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ABSTRACT The release of neurotransmitters from synaptic vesicles (SVs) at pre-synaptic release sites is the principle means by which information transfer between neurons occurs. Knowledge of the location of SVs within a neuron can thus provide valuable clues about the location of neurotransmitter release within a neuron and the downstream neurons to which a given neuron is connected, important information for understanding how neural circuits generate behavior. Here the development and characterization of four conditional tagged SV markers for Drosophila melanogaster is presented. This characterization includes evaluation of conditionality, specificity for SV localization, and sensitivity of detection in diverse neuron subtypes. These four SV markers are genome-edited variants of the synaptic vesicle-specific protein Rab3. They depend on either the B2 or FLP recombinases for conditionality, and incorporate GFP or mCherry fluorescent proteins, or FLAG or HA epitope tags, for detection.

Synaptic vesicles (SVs) are the foundation of action potential driven chemical synaptic transmission, the major form of neuronal communication in the nervous system (Katz 1971; Jahn and Südhof 1994). The ability to precisely locate synaptic vesicles within a neuron is thus important for understanding how a given neuron transmits information to others and ultimately how neural circuits contribute to the generation of behavior. Knowledge of the subcellular location of SVs in a neuron provides information about where neurotransmitter release can occur and also gives insight into which neighboring neurons may or may not be receiving neurotransmitter-based information. It is thus desirable to have a conditional SV marker that accurately reflects the distribution of SVs in individual neurons in order to understand neuronal signaling and the mechanisms by which behavior is generated.

Previous approaches to localizing SVs in Drosophila have utilized binary transcription systems in which a tagged version of a SV-specific protein is placed under the control of a regulatory element (UAS, LexAop, QUAS) in a responder transgene (Zhang et al. 2002; Petersen and Stowers 2011; Nern et al. 2015) whose expression is controlled by a transcription factor (GAL4, LexA, QF) in a driver transgene. Although this approach has been useful, it has the potential for inaccuracy because the level of expression of the SV marker is dependent on the strength of the driver and strong drivers may result in expression of the tagged SV protein at a level that exceeds the capacity of a neuron to localize the tagged protein to SVs. Binary transcription system-dependent SV markers are thus potentially subject to erroneous results.

With the development of CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing in Drosophila (Bassett et al. 2013; Gratz et al. 2013; Ren et al. 2013; Yu et al. 2013), it is now possible to engineer tagged variants of any gene of interest at their endogenous genomic location such that their expression is under the control of their own regulatory region in a conditional manner. Since a gene’s complete regulatory region is preserved on such a genome-edited chromosome, conditionally-expressible genes will recapitulate temporal and spatial expression in the native cellular pattern of the endogenous gene and, critically for accurate subcellular protein localization, at endogenous levels. This strategy has been successfully used for the SV-specific vesicular acetylcholine transporter (vAChT) by fusing an HA epitope tag onto the vAChT protein and incorporating an upstream transcription stop cassette flanked with FLP recombinase target sites (FRTs) (Pankova and Borst 2017). Although conditional HA-vAChT is useful for determining whether a neuron is cholinergic and accurately marking SVs in cholinergic neurons, it has the limitation that it cannot be used to mark SVs in neurons that use neurotransmitters other than acetylcholine.

Here a set of four conditional Drosophila SV markers is described and characterized that are based on the neurotransmitter-independent...
SV-specific protein Rab3. These conditional SV markers utilize the fluorescent tags GFP and mCherry, the epitope tags FLAG and HA, and utilize the B2 and FLP recombinases for conditionality. Their conditionality, SV specificity, and sensitivity are demonstrated in four diverse types of neurons: the larval neuron Basin-4, the adult lamina neuron L2, adult mushroom body PPL1-α3 neurons, and adult mushroom body Kenyon cell y neurons.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmid construction

The pCD4-Rab3A and pCD4-Rab3B double guide RNA plasmids were generated as previously described (Port et al. 2014). Targeting sequences included in pCD4-Rab3A guide RNAs are acatgtgataccgt and ttctacatcaacagca. Targeting sequences included in pCD4-Rab3B guide RNAs are acatgtgataccgact and aatacatgtgataccgacttct. Donor plasmids were constructed with NEBuilder HiFi (New England Biolabs) in the vector pHSG298 (Takara Biosciences). The complete sequences of all donor plasmids are shown in Supplemental information.

The 20XUAS-DSCP-B2, 20XUAS-DSCP-6XGFP, and N-syb-GAL4 expression clones were assembled using Gateway MultiSite cloning as previously described (Petersen and Stowers 2011). The L1-20XUAS-DSCP-L5 entry clone was generated by using the L1-20XUAS-L5 entry clone (Petersen and Stowers 2011) as template such that the hsp70 minimal promoter was replaced with the Drosophila Synaptic Core Promoter (DSCP) (Pfeiffer et al. 2008). The L5-B2-L2 entry clone used pJFRC153-20XUAS-IVS-B2::PEST (Nern et al. 2011) (Addgene #32132) as template for the B2 recombinase coding sequence that includes a syn21 translation enhancement sequence and omission of the PEST sequence. UAS-DSCP-FLP was a gift from the lab of Brian McCabe (EPFL Mind Brain Institute, Lausanne, Switzerland). FLP recombinease with Asparatic acid at position 5 (Nern et al. 2011) was PCR amplified from pJFRC150-20XUAS-IVS-Flp::PEST (Addgene #32132) to include a syn21 sequence and remove the PEST sequence. It was then transferred into pBID-UAS-G (Wang et al. 2012) using standard gateway cloning.

A list of expression clones described in this study and the components of the Gateway MultiSite reactions are shown in Table 1. The following entry clones were previously described: L5-eGFP-LA, R4-eGFP-R3, L3-4XeGFP-L2 (Shearin et al. 2014), L1-N-syb’ Reg-L5 (Shearin et al. 2015) and L5-GAL4-L2 (Petersen and Stowers 2011). The destination vector pDESTp10aw was previously described (Shearin et al. 2013).

Genome editing

The pCfD4-Rab3A and pCfD4-Rab3B guide RNA plasmids were co-injected with donor plasmids into embryos of strain nos-Cas9 TH_attP2 (Ren et al. 2013) by Bestgene, Inc. The surviving adults that were injected as embryos were crossed to a 2nd chromosome balancer stock. ~200 F1 progeny males from the first balancer cross were crossed to the 2nd chromosome balancer stock a second time in pools of five males to 10-15 balancer females/vial. After five days of mating, pools of the five males from each vial were subjected to genomic DNA extraction. Pools containing genome-edited chromosomes were identified using a genomic PCR genotyping strategy that paired internal primers unique to the donor constructs (i.e., STOP cassette, GFP, or mCherry) with genomic primers external to the donor constructs on both ends. Pairing primers internal and external to the donor construct in the screening strategy avoids false-positives in which the donor construct has inserted at a spurious location in the genome other than the Rab3 locus. 10-15 F2 males from pools that were positive on both ends for DNA fragments of the predicted sizes for successful genome editing were individually crossed to yw; UAS-DSCP-B2; N-syb-GAL4 (GFP; Rab3, mCherry-Rab3), or yw; N-syb-GAL4, UAS-FLP (3XFLAG-Rab3, 2XHA-Rab3) in test crosses and third instar larva of the appropriate genotype were screened by immunostaining. Balanced fly stocks containing the desired genome-edited chromosomes were established from the progeny of the test crosses that produced positive immunostains.

Genomic DNA extraction/PCR genotyping

Five flies were placed in a 1.5ml microfuge tube and homogenized with a pestle (VWR-47750-354) in 10mM Tris pH 8.0, 60mM NaCl, 10mM EDTA, 200µg/ml Proteinase K. After homogenization, tubes were placed in a 37°C water bath for 30 min. Samples were subsequently phenol/chloroform extracted and ethanol precipitated. After a 70% ETOH wash, pellets were resuspended overnight in 15µl water. 1µl of purified DNA was used as template in 20µl PCR reactions using KAPA2G polymerase ReadyMix (KAPA Biosystems) as per the manufacturer's instructions.

Immunostaining

Larval and adult immunostaining were performed as previously described (Cerlet and Thor 2004; Petersen and Stowers 2011). Primary antibodies and dilution factors: The dCSP-2 (6D6) mAb 1:50 developed by S. Benzer (Zinsmaier et al. 1994), Brp (Nc82) mAb 1:50 developed by E. Buchner (Wagh et al. 2006); and SYN (3C11) mAb 1:50 developed by E. Buchner (Klagges et al. 1996) were obtained from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, created by the NICHD of the NIH and maintained at The University of Iowa, Department of Biology, Iowa City, IA 52242; Rabbit DSYT2 anti-Syt 1:500 (Littleton et al. 1993); Rat anti-hA 3F10 (Sigma-Aldrich) 1:100; Rabbit anti-hA RM305 (RevMab) 1:500, Rabbit Abfinity anti-GFP (Thermo-Fisher) 1:500, Mouse anti-GFP 3E6 (Thermo-Fisher) 1:200; Rat anti-mCherry 16D7 (Thermo-Fisher) 1:500, Rabbit anti-mCherry (Abcam ab213511) 1:500, Rat anti-FLAG L5 (Covalab) 1:200. Jackson Immunoresearch secondary antibodies: Donkey anti-Ms Alexa 488 (715-456-151), Donkey anti-Rb Alexa 488 (711-546-152), Donkey anti-Rt Alexa 488 (712-546-153), Donkey anti-Ms Cy3 (715-166-151), Donkey anti-Rb Cy3 (711-166-152), Donkey anti-Rt Cy3 (712-166-153), Donkey anti-Ms Alexa 647 (714-606-151), Donkey anti-Rb Alexa 647 (712-606-153). All secondary antibodies were used at a 1:400 dilution.

Germline excisions and inversions

Germline excisions and inversions were generated by crossing the conditional tagged Rab3 variants to yw; nos-GAL4; UAS-DSCP-B2

| Table 1 Original Fly Strains |
|-----------------------------|
| **Genome-edited fly strains** | **Landing site** |
| B2RT-STOP-B2RT-2XHA-Rab3   | VK20 |
| B2RT-STOP-B2RT-GFP-Rab3     | VK18, JK65C |
| B2RT-STOP-B2RT-mCherry-Rab3 | VK5 |
| FRT-F3-3XFLAG-Rab3          | JK66B |

| Transgenic fly strains | **Landing site** |
|------------------------|------------------|
| UAS-DSCP-FLP           |                  |
| UAS-DSCP-B2            |                  |
| UAS-DSCP-6XGFP         |                  |
| N-syb-GAL4             |                  |

738 I J. L. Williams, H. K. Shearin, and R. S. Stowers
Table 2 Expression Clone Components

| Expression clone     | Component Entry Clones for Gateway MultiSite LR reactions | Destination vector |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 20XUAS-DSCP-B2       | L1-20XUAS-DSCP-R5, L5-B2-L2                               | pDESTp10aw          |
| 20XUAS-DSCP-6XGFP     | L1-20XUAS-DSCP-R5, L5-eGFP-L4, R4-eGFP-R3, L3-4XeGFP-L2   | pDESTp10aw          |
| N-syb-GAL4           | L1-N-syb 5’ Reg-R5, L5-GAL4-L2                            | pDESTp10aw          |

(B2RT-STOP-B2RT-GFP-Rab3 and B2RT-STOP-B2RT-mCherry-Rab3) or yw; nos-GAL4; UAS-FLP (FRT-F3-3XFLAG-Rab3 and FRT-F3-2XHA-Rab3). Progeny males of the appropriate genotype were crossed to a 2nd chromosome balancer stock to generate potential germ line excisions or germ line inversions. Germ line excisions or inversions were identified by taking individual males from the first balancer cross, crossing them to the 2nd chromosome balancer stock, and screening the third instar larva of the appropriate genotype directly for fluorescence (GFP-Rab3 and mCherry-Rab3) or by immunostaining (3XFLAG-Rab3 and 2XHA-Rab3). Balanced fly stocks containing the desired germ line excised or inverted chromosomes were established from progeny of positive single male crosses.

Fly strains

Stocks obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (NIH P40OD018537) were used in this study. Previously described fly strains: UAS-FLP (Duffy et al. 1998) (BDSC # 4540), N-syb-GAL4 (Bushey et al. 2009), Basin-4: R72F11AD; R57F07DBD (Ohshima et al. 2015), L2: R53G02AD; R29G11DBD (Tuthill et al. 2013), MB131B: R13F02AD; R89B01DBD, and MB060B: R82C10AD; R72B05DBD (Aso et al. 2014), FRT-STOP-FRT-HA-vAChT (Pankova and Borst 2017) (BDSC #76021), UAS-CD8-mCherry (F. Schnorrer, BDSC# 27392), nos-GAL4 (Tracey et al. 2000), rab3<sup>++</sup> (Graf et al. 2009) (BDSC# 78045); UAS-N-syb-GFP (BDSC# 6922) and UAS-Syt-GFP (BDSC# 6926) (Zhang et al. 2002). Fly strains original to this study (Table 2): B2RT-STOP-B2RT-2XHA-Rab3, B2RT-STOP-B2RT-GFP-Rab3, B2RT-STOP-B2RT-mCherry-Rab3, FRT-F3-3XFLAG-Rab3, FRT-F3-2XHA-Rab3, UAS-DSCP-B2, UAS-DSCP-FLP, 20XUAS-DSCP-6XGFP, N-Syb-GAL4.

Data availability

Complete sequences of entry clones are available upon request. Complete sequences of donor plasmids are shown in Supplemental Information. Fly strains original to this publication will be deposited at the Bloomington Drosophila stock center or will be made available upon request. Entry clones and donor plasmids will be deposited at Addgene or will be made available upon request. Supplemental material available at Figshare: https://doi.org/10.25387/g3.7575392.

RESULTS

Strategic design of conditional tagged Rab3 variants

In an effort to develop a conditional synaptic vesicle marker for Drosophila, two CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing strategies were utilized that both target the endogenous locus of Rab3, a gene whose encoded protein is firmly established to localize specifically to SVs in a variety of species (Fischer Von Mollard et al. 1990; Südhof 2004; Takamori et al. 2006), including Drosophila (Graf et al. 2009). In the first strategy, donor constructs were assembled in which a transcription STOP cassette flanked by B2 Recombinase Target sites (B2RTs) (Nern et al. 2011) was inserted upstream of the ATG start codon in the first intron of the Rab3 gene (Figure 1A, middle). Three variants of this donor construct were generated all of which include the STOP cassette, but which differ in their incorporation of either a 2XHA epitope tag, or GFP or mCherry fluorescent proteins at the Rab3 amino-terminus. In this strategy, prior to excision of the STOP cassette, transcription of the tagged variants of Rab3 is terminated before the ATG translation start codon and no tagged Rab3 protein is produced. However, after excision of the STOP cassette resulting from selective expression of the B2 recombinase in neurons of interest (Figure 1A, bottom), transcription proceeds normally and the tagged versions of the Rab3 protein are expressed.

In the second approach, donor constructs were assembled such that two strategically oriented FRT-F3 pairs (Schnütgen et al. 2003; Fisher et al. 2017) flank an inverted second intron of the Rab3 gene in which the coding sequence of either the 3XFLAG or 2XHA epitope tags was inserted at the Rab3 amino-terminus (Figure 1B, top). F3 is a variant of the FLP Recombinase Target (FRT) site and both F3 and FRT efficiently function as substrates for the FLP recombinase with themselves, but not with each other (Schlake and Bode 1994). Since the second coding intron of Rab3 is initially in opposite orientation to the direction of Rab3 transcription, no epitope-tagged Rab3 protein is produced prior to FLP recombinase-catalyzed inversion. However, after inversion of the second intron resulting from selective expression of FLP recombinase in neurons of interest (Figure 1B, bottom), epitope-tagged versions of Rab3 protein are expressed. Note that in this strategy multiple inversions can occur, alternating between the epitope-tag expressed orientation and non-expressed orientation, but after an odd number of inversions and, inevitably, a single excision, the expressed orientation will become stable.

Conditionality assessment

Five fly strains, each incorporating at the endogenous Rab3 locus one of the variations described above, were established via CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing (Ren et al. 2013). As the goal of this work was to generate conditional tagged variants of Rab3, each of the five fly strains was first assessed for constitutive, or “leaky”, expression. For this assessment, ventral nerve cord (VNC) immunostains of third instar larva with and without pan-neuronal excision of the STOP cassette were compared. Unexpectedly, for B2RT-STOP-B2RT-2XHA-Rab3, there was almost as much HA VNC signal without STOP cassette excision (Figure S1A<sub>1</sub>) as with pan-neuronal STOP cassette excision (Figure S1A<sub>2</sub>). No signal was detected in negative control larva (Figure S1A<sub>3</sub>), indicating the observed signal in the experimental larva is coming from 2XHA-Rab3 and not an endogenous antigen recognized by the primary or secondary antibodies. Sequencing of PCR products using DNA from the B2RT-STOP-B2RT-2XHA-Rab3 fly strain as template revealed that the flanking B2RTs and the STOP cassette were complete and mutation-free, thus ruling out a defective STOP cassette as an explanation for its ineffectiveness in terminating transcription. The constitutive expression of 2XHA-Rab3 is particularly enigmatic given the fact that the GFP-Rab3 and mCherry-Rab3 versions show only a trace of leaky expression (see below) and the placement of the STOP cassette is at the identical nucleotide position in the Rab3 gene in all three versions. Due to its high level of constitutive expression, B2RT-STOP-B2RT-2XHA-Rab3 was not characterized further.
The other four tagged Rab3 variants were similarly assessed for constitutive activity. In each of these strains, slight (GFP-Rab3 and mCherry-Rab3) or no (3XFLAG-Rab3 and 2XHA-Rab3) signal was observed in the absence of excision (Figures S1B3, C3) or inversion (Figures S1D3, E3) above the signal seen in negative controls (Figures S1B1, E1) while robust signal was observed with pan-neuronal excision (Figures S1B1, C1) or inversion (Figures S1D1, E1). These latter four tagged Rab3 variants thus meet the necessary criteria of a conditional SV marker in that they are conditionally, and not constitutively, expressed.

Assessment of synaptic vesicle localization

In addition to conditional expression, an effective conditional SV marker must meet the criteria of strict localization to SVs. For an initial assessment, germline excision or inversion alleles of each of the four tagged variants of Rab3 were generated and assessed for co-localization with the endogenous SV-specific marker Cysteine String Protein (CSP) (Mastrogiacomo et al. 1994; Zinsmaier et al. 1994) in immuno-stained cryostat sections of adult heads. The distribution of the tagged Rab3 variants shows a general agreement with a neuropil localization (Figure 2A1-D1) and near precise overlap with CSP (Figures 2A1-D1) as is apparent in the overlay (Figures 2A1-D1). The one exception is that mCherry-Rab3 is very weak in the lamina (arrows, Figure 2B2). The low levels of background expression of the four tagged Rab3 variants in negative control adult brains should also be noted (Figures 2A1-D1).

A comparison of the distribution of the four tagged Rab3 variants after pan-neuronal excision or inversion with endogenous CSP was also made in the larval VNC and at the larval neuromuscular junction (NMJ). In the larval VNC, all four tagged Rab3 variants exhibit nearly exclusive localization to the neuropil region (arrow, Figure 3A1) of the VNC (Figures 3, A2-D2) where synaptic terminals are located. Little or no localization was observed in the surrounding cortex (arrowhead, Figure 3A1) where the neuronal cell bodies reside (Prokop and Meinertzhagen 2006). A similar VNC distribution was observed for CSP (Figure 3A1-D1) that is highly co-incident with each of the tagged Rab3 variants as made apparent in the overlay (Figure 3A1-D1).

The co-localization of pan-neuronal expression of the tagged Rab3 variants with CSP was also assessed at the larval neuromuscular junction (NMJ). Each of the tagged Rab3 variants exhibits robust signal in presynaptic boutons at the larval NMJ (Figures 3A1-D1). CSP distributes similarly at the larval NMJ (Figures 3A1-D1) and this distribution is nearly identical to that of the tagged variants of Rab3 (Figures 3A1-D1). These results thus demonstrate that all four tagged variants of Rab3 exhibit the expected distribution of a synaptic vesicle-specific marker at the larval VNC and NMJ when pan-neuronally expressed. Taken together, these results in larva and adults showing that all four tagged Rab3 variants distribute co-incidentally with the established endogenous SV marker CSP are consistent with expectations for a SV marker.

Phenotypic assessment of tagged Rab3 variants

Rab3 loss-of-function mutants exhibit the recessive phenotype at the third instar larval NMJ of altering the distribution of Brp (Drosophila homolog of mammalian ELKS/CAST/ERC) such that Brp forms a reduced number of puncta that are larger and more intensely labeled than in wild type controls (Graf et al. 2009). To determine if the tagged Rab3 variants exhibit recessive or dominant phenotypes with respect to Brp expression, Brp immunostaining was performed in heterozygous and homozygous germline excision (GFP-Rab3 and mCherry-Rab3) or germline inversion (3XFLAG-Rab3 and 2XHA-Rab3) alleles of the tagged Rab3 variants. Heterozygous and homozygous germline

Figure 1 Strategies for generating conditional tagged variants of a Drosophila Rab3 synaptic vesicle marker. A) top: genomic exon structure of Drosophila Rab3. middle: strategy 1 involves incorporating a B2 recombinase target (B2RT)-flanked transcription STOP cassette upstream of exon 2 and either a 2XHA epitope tag, or a GFP or mCherry fluorescent protein tag, at the amino-terminus of Rab3. Prior to excision of the transcription STOP cassette, the tagged variants of Rab3 will not be expressed. bottom: after B2 recombinase expression in neurons of interest, the tagged variants of Rab3 will be expressed in neurons in which the STOP cassette has been excised. B) top: strategy 2 involves paired FRT and F3 FLP recombinase target sites flanking an inverted exon 2 containing 2XHA or 3XFLAG amino-terminal epitope tags. bottom: after FLP recombinase expression in neurons of interest and an odd number of inversions followed by an excision, the tagged variants of Rab3 will be stably expressed. Note that FLP recombinase is functional with FRT pairs and F3 pairs but is not functional in an FRT-F3 combination.
excisions of GFP-Rab3 (Figure S2C1, D1) and mCherry-Rab3 (Figure S2E1, F1) exhibit a similar Brp distribution as compared to controls (A) that is not indicative of the rab3 loss-of-function phenotype (B). However, the levels of Brp expression are sharply reduced. Heterozygous and homozygous germline inversion alleles of 3XFLAG-Rab3 (Figure S2G1, H1) and 2XHA-Rab3 (Figure S2I1, J1) also exhibit a similar Brp distribution as compared to controls that is not reminiscent of the rab3 loss-of-function phenotype. In both germline inversion alleles there is a perceptible, but less significant, reduction in Brp expression levels than in the GFP-Rab3 or mCherry-Rab3 germline excision alleles. All NMJs were co-labeled for their corresponding tagged Rab3 variants as shown to the right of each Brp image (C2-J2). Since the reduced levels of Brp phenotype is observed in both the heterozygous and homozygous conditions, the phenotype is dominant. The simplest explanation for this phenotype is that the fluorescent and epitope tags fused to Rab3 sterically hinder the formation of the five-protein oligomeric complex comprising the core of pre-synaptic active zones that includes Brp and Rab3-Interacting Molecule/RIM (which directly interacts with Rab3) (Südhof 2012), thus destabilizing all of the proteins in the complex including Brp. Despite the dominant Brp expression phenotype, all four of the germline excision or germline inversion alleles of the tagged Rab3 variants are homozygous viable and fertile with no obvious locomotor or behavioral defects.

Comparison to existing Drosophila SV markers

To assess the comparative reliability of conditional GFP-Rab3 and mCherry-Rab3 as SV markers with existing UAS-Neuronal-synaptobrevin-GFP (UAS-N-syb-GFP) and UAS-Synaptotagmin-GFP (UAS-Syt-GFP) SV markers that have long been used to detect SVs in Drosophila (Zhang et al. 2002), each was pan-neuronally expressed and their distributions assessed in the third instar larval VNC. The same pan-neuronal N-syb-GAL4 driver was used for excision of the STOP cassettes from B2RT-STOP-B2RT-GFP-Rab3 and B2RT-STOP-B2RT-mCherry-Rab3 that were used for expression of N-syb-GFP and Syt-GFP. Pan-neuronal expression of GFP-Rab3 (Figure S3A) and mCherry-Rab3 (Figure S3B) reveal that their distributions are restricted to the neuropil region of the VNC where SVs are known to localize (Prokop and Meinertzhagen 2006). In contrast, a significant fraction of both N-syb-GFP (Figure S3C) and Syt-GFP (Figure S3D) localizes to neuronal cell bodies as revealed in both the dorsal (top) and orthogonal (bottom) views (arrowheads, Figures S3C, D) as well as to the lateral nerves emanating from the VNC. No neuronal cell body or lateral nerve signal was observed in immunostains for the endogenous SV-specific proteins Syt (Figure S3E) or Synapsin (Syn) (Figure S3F), thus indicating the cell body and lateral nerve signals observed with pan-neuronal expression of N-syb-GFP and Syt-GFP is spurious and is not representative of actual SVs. The highly similar distributions of GFP-Rab3 and mCherry-Rab3 as compared to the endogenous SV proteins Syt and Syn demonstrate GFP-Rab3 and mCherry-Rab3 are significantly more reliable markers of SVs than UAS-N-syb-GFP or UAS-Syt-GFP.

Assessment of SV localization and sensitivity in specific neuron subtypes

With conditionality and pan-neuronal synaptic vesicle localization thus established, the remaining criteria an effective conditional SV marker must satisfy are that its sensitivity must be high enough to be detected in individual neurons and that it localizes to SVs in individual neurons. To make these assessments, three diverse cholinergic neuron types and one dopaminergic neuron type were chosen for analysis: larval Basin-4 neurons (Ohyama et al. 2015), adult L2 lamina neurons (Tuthill et al. 2013), adult mushroom body Kenyon cell γ neurons (Aso et al. 2014), and adult mushroom body PPL1-α3 neurons (Aso et al. 2014). Cholinergic neuron types were predominantly chosen so the conditional cholinergic SV marker HA-vAChT (Pankova and Borst 2017) could be used to assess SV localization of the tagged Rab3 variants.

Larval Basin-4 neurons

Basin-4 neurons are located in the larval VNC and consist of one symmetric pair per segment with cell bodies near the periphery and highly branched axodendritic processes that project to the midline (low magnification-Figures 4A1, C1, E1, F1; high magnification-Figures 4A2, C2, E2, F2). In contrast to the CD8-mCherry plasma membrane and 6XGFP cytoplasmic markers that distribute throughout Basin-4 neurons, the distribution of all four tagged variants of Rab3 is spatially restricted to two thin longitudinal strips of expression near the midline (low magnification-Figures 4A2, C2, E2, F2; high...
magnification—Figures 4 A6, C6, E6, F6). These strips of expression were not observed in controls containing all genetic components except the Basin-4 GAL4 driver (Figures 4A4, C4, E4, F4). The difference in distribution between CD8-mCherry and 6XGFP that highlight the entire neuron and the tagged Rab3 SV markers is also clearly apparent in the overlays (low magnification—Figures 4A3, C3, E3, F3; high magnification—Figures 4B3, C3, E3, F3).

To determine if the distribution of the tagged Rab3 variants in thin longitudinal stripes near the midline represents bona fide synaptic vesicles, HA-vAChT was co-conditionally expressed with GFP-Rab3 or mCherry-Rab3 in Basin-4 neurons. Like GFP-Rab3 (low magnification—Figure 4B2; high magnification—Figure 4B6) and mCherry-Rab3 (low magnification—Figure 4D2; high magnification—Figure 4D6), HA-vAChT also localizes to two thin strips near the midline of the VNC (low magnification—Figures 4B1, D1; high magnification—Figures 4B5, D5). The strips of HA-vAChT expression show a near exact coincidence, especially evident at high magnification, with the strips of GFP-Rab3 (low magnification—Figure 4B3; high magnification—Figure 4B7) and mCherry-Rab3 (low magnification—Figure 4D3; high magnification—4D7). These results demonstrate GFP-Rab3 and mCherry-Rab3 are reliable markers of SVs in Basin-4 neurons

because each of these markers relies on potentially cross-reactive FRTs for conditional expression. Nevertheless, given the similarity of the 3XFLAG and 2XHA distribution patterns to HA-vAChT, it is only reasonable to infer their validity as SV markers in Basin-4 neurons as well.

**Visual system L2 lamina neurons**

L2 lamina neurons (Figures 5A1, C1, D1, E1) are present in each of the ~800 ommatidia of the fly eye and have cell bodies (arrowhead, Figure 5A1) located between the photoreceptor rhabdomeres and the lamina neuropil (Prokop and Meinertzhagen 2006). L2 lamina neurons extend axodendritic processes through the lamina neuropil and into the medulla neuropil where their synaptic terminals are located (arrow, Figure 5A1). Conditional expression of the tagged Rab3 variants in L2 lamina neurons reveals a horizontal stripe of expression (arrows—Figures 5A2, C2, D2, E2) coincident with L2 pre-synaptic terminals and above background levels of fluorescence observed in the medulla of controls (Figures 5A4, C4, D4, E4). These results indicate all four tagged Rab3 variants localize to the pre-synaptic terminals of L2 neurons. However, due to autofluorescence in the lamina from the w+ eye pigment used as a marker in transgenes (Figures 5A4, C4, D4, E4) it is not possible to conclude one way or the other if the tagged Rab3 variants localize to L2 cell bodies or L2 axodendritic processes in the lamina as
Figure 4 Conditional expression of tagged Rab3 variants in Basin-4 larval neurons. A1, C1, E1, F1) The location and structure of Basin-4 neurons in the larval ventral nerve cord visualized with the plasma membrane marker CD8-mCherry or the cytoplasmic marker 6XGFP. There are two symmetric Basin-4 neurons per segment with peripherally located cell bodies and branched axodendritic processes extending medially to the central region of the VNC. A2, C2, E2, F2) Each of the tagged Rab3 variants exhibits highly restricted localization to two longitudinal strips near the center of the VNC when conditionally expressed in Basin-4 neurons. A3, C3, E3, F3) Overlay. A4, C4) Background levels of expression of GFP-Rab3 and mCherry-Rab3 in the absence of a GAL4 driver. A4 and C4 were imaged and processed identically to A2 and C2, respectively. E4, F4)
autofluorescence and legitimate signal cannot be distinguished in these regions. The apparent overlap in fluorescence seen in these regions (Figures 5A3, C3, D3, E3) is thus not interpretable. It is also apparent from these experiments that the signal from GFP-Rab3 and mCherry-Rab3 is significantly stronger than that of either 3XFLAG-Rab3 or 2XAHA-Rab3.

A comparison of the distribution of the cholinergic SV marker HA-vAChT (Figure 5B1) with GFP-Rab3 (Figure 5B2) upon co-conditional expression in L2 lamina neurons revealed a highly overlapping distribution in L2 pre-synaptic terminals in the medulla (Figure 5B3). This accumulation of HA-vAChT in L2 pre-synaptic terminals in the medulla confirms these terminals as the region of L2 neurons where SVs localize and the accumulation of all four tagged Rab3 variants at these terminals is consistent with their authenticity as a SV marker. It was not possible to compare mCherry-Rab3 with HA-vAChT in a similar experiment in L2 lamina neurons because the HA-vAChT chromosome carries a 3X3P-DsRed marker that is expressed in all photoreceptors, including photoreceptors R7 and R8 that project their axons to the same region of the medulla as the L2 lamina neuron, and antibodies to mCherry also recognize the DsRed protein from which it was derived (i.e., mCherry-Rab3 and the DsRed marker from HA-vAChT cannot be unambiguously distinguished in the medulla).

**Mushroom body γ neurons**

Mushroom body γ neurons (Figures 5F1, G1, H1, I1), represented by the MB131B GAL4 driver, are a subset of ~600 mushroom body Kenyon cells with dorsally located cell bodies (arrow, F1) that project axodendritic process downward (small arrowhead, F1) to the γ-lobe of the mushroom body (large arrowhead, F1) where they make synaptic connections (Tanaka et al. 2008; Pech et al. 2013; Aso et al. 2014). Consistent with expectations, the tagged Rab3 variants distribute to the γ-lobe neuropil of the MB upon conditional expression in MB131B neurons (Figures 5F2, G2, H2, and I2) but not to the cell body or axodendritic processes (Figures 5F3, G3, H3, I3). Unexpectedly, in addition to the distribution to the γ-lobe, the tagged Rab3 variants also distribute to the α- (large arrowhead, Figure 5F2), α’- (arrow, Figure 5F2), and β- (small arrowhead, Figure 5F2) lobes of the MB. Since no expression in the mushroom body was observed in controls of any of the four tagged Rab3 variants (Figures 5E1, G1, H1, I1) this expression cannot be attributed to constitutive/leaky expression of the tagged Rab3 variants. The most plausible explanation for the observed expression in other lobes of the mushroom body besides the γ-lobe is that the MB131B GAL4 driver is historically active earlier in development in the MB neurons that in adulthood comprise the α-, α’-, and β-lobes.

In this scenario, irreversible recombination events occur in those neurons during development even though by adulthood the expression of the MB131B GAL4 driver has become restricted to the subset of Kenyon cells that comprise the γ-lobe. Regardless of the explanation, the important finding in this assessment is that the tagged variants of Rab3 are detectable and localize exclusively to the neuropil region of the lobes of the MB where pre-synaptic terminals and synaptic vesicles are located and not to cell bodies or axodendritic regions where SVs would not be expected. As in the L2 lamina neurons, signal from 3XFLAG-Rab3 and 2XAHA-Rab3 is clearly weaker than for GFP-Rab3 and mCherry-Rab3 in the MB neuropil.

Co-localization of HA-vAChT with GFP-Rab3 and mCherry-Rab3 using the MB131B GAL4 driver was also attempted in co-conditional expression experiments. Although HA-vAChT signal was weak (Figures 5A4, B1) MB neuropil signal above background levels in controls (Figures 5A4, B4) was discernable in HA-vAChT experimental genotypes and appeared to strongly coincide with the much stronger expression of GFP-Rab3 (Figures 5A2, A3) and mCherry-Rab3 (Figures 5B2, B3). This co-localization result also supports the validity of GFP-Rab3 and mCherry-Rab3 as SV markers, despite sub-optimal HA-vAChT expression. The localization of the independently developed conditional HA-vAChT in other lobes of the MB besides the γ-lobe also supports the explanation of historical developmental expression of the MB131B driver in other MB neurons.

**Mushroom body PPL1-α3 neurons**

Lastly, the sensitivity of detection of GFP-Rab3 and mCherry-Rab3 was assessed in dopaminergic PPL1-α3 neurons. This neuron type is represented by the MB060B GAL4 driver (Aso et al. 2014) and expresses in three neurons per brain hemisphere. PPL1-α3 neurons have lateral cell bodies that project medially to the ventral α lobes (arrows, Figure 6A1) with axodendritic processes extending to the midline (Figures 6A1, C1). Conditional expression of GFP-Rab3 in these neurons reveals exclusive localization to the vertical mushroom body α-lobe (Figure 6A2) where the mushroom body neuropil and SVs are located. No expression of GFP-Rab3 was detected in controls in which the GAL4 driver was not present (Figure 6B). Higher resolution images reveal the fine scale structure of SV distribution in PPL1-α3 neurons (Figure 6A2). Conditional expression of mCherry-Rab3 in these neurons reveals a predominant distribution to the mushroom body α lobes, although mCherry-Rab3 is discernable in the cell bodies of some PPL1-α3 neurons (arrows Figures 6C2, C3) that likely does not reflect actual SVs since no GFP-Rab3 was observed in the cell bodies of this neuron type. The small amount of mCherry-Rab3 detectable in some PPL1-α3 neuronal cell bodies may reflect less efficient trafficking of mCherry-Rab3 through the ER/Golgi as compared to GFP-Rab3. However, like GFP-Rab3, no mCherry-Rab3 was observed in the axodendritic processes outside the α lobe, thus
Figure 5  Conditional expression of tagged Rab3 variants in adult L2 lamina optic lobe neurons and MB131B adult γ-lobe mushroom body neurons. A1, C1, D1, E1) Anatomy of L2 lamina neurons visualized with the plasma membrane marker CD8-mCherry or the cytoplasmic marker 6XGFP. The structure of L2 lamina neurons includes cell bodies located between photoreceptor rhabdomeres and the lamina neuropil (arrowhead, A1), axodendritic processes that extend through the lamina neuropil, and synaptic terminals in the medulla (arrow, A1). A2, C2, D2, E2) Localization of tagged Rab3 variants in L2 lamina neurons. Arrows indicate synaptic terminals in the medulla. A3, C3, D3, E3) Overlay. A4, C4, D4, E4) Controls for the tagged Rab3 variants in the absence of a GAL4 driver showing background levels of autofluorescence due to w+ pigment present in photoreceptors. The significant levels of autofluorescence in the lamina, especially in the green channel, confound assessment of localization in the lamina neuropil. Signal above background is detectable in L2 synaptic terminals for all four tagged Rab3 variants, although it is noticeably weaker for 3XFLAG-Rab3 and HA-Rab3. B1-3) Conditional co-expression of HA-vAChT (B1) and GFP-Rab3 (B2) in L2 lamina neurons. Arrows indicate synaptic terminals. B3) Overlay. HA-vAChT and GFP-Rab3 show a high degree of co-localization in L2 synaptic terminals. B4) Control for HA-vAChT in the absence of a GAL4 driver. F1, G1, H1, I1) Anatomy of MB131B Kenyon cell neurons γ-lobe mushroom body neurons visualized with CD8-mCherry or 6XGFP. For one lobe in F1, cell bodies are indicated with an arrow, axodendritic processes with a small arrowhead, and...
Rab3 in PPL1-α3 neurons. mCherry-Rab3 localizes predominantly to the α-lobe of the mushroom body, although cell body signal in some PPL1-α3 neurons is perceptible (arrows). This likely does not represent actual SVs since no cell body signal was observed with GFP-Rab3. Outside the cell bodies, mCherry-Rab3 localizes exclusively to the α-lobe region of the mushroom body in a distribution almost indistinguishable from GFP-Rab3. A higher resolution image shows the fine scale structure of SV localization. Negative control with all genetic components except the GAL4 driver shows background levels of signal. Conditional expression of mCherry-Rab3 markers exhibited noticeably stronger signal, especially in adults, than did 3XFLAG-Rab3 or 2XHA-Rab3, or the previously reported HA-vAChT. The design and characterization of four conditional tagged Rab3 SV markers for Drosophila has been described. These SV markers have been characterized for conditionality, specificity for SV localization (pan-neuronally and in four diverse neuron sub-types), and sensitivity. Data has been presented for all four SV markers that convincingly demonstrates conditional expression and highly specific localization to SVs. A significant difference in sensitivity of detection was, however, evident between the various SV markers. The GFP-Rab3 and mCherry-Rab3 SV markers exhibited noticeably stronger signal, especially in adults, than did 3XFLAG-Rab3 or 2XHA-Rab3, or the previously reported HA-vAChT. The reasons for this are uncertain, but factors that could play a role include differences in the affinity of primary antibodies, differences in protein stability, and a differential effect on transcription of the upstream B2RT or FRT remaining after excision or inversion. Due to their higher sensitivity, the GFP-Rab3 or mCherry-Rab3 SV markers are thus probably the better choice for most experiments unless additional potentially cross-reacting B2RTs are present that could confound the experiment.

**DISCUSSION**

The design and characterization of four conditional tagged Rab3 SV markers for Drosophila has been described. These SV markers have been characterized for conditionality, specificity for SV localization (pan-neuronally and in four diverse neuron sub-types), and sensitivity. Data has been presented for all four SV markers that convincingly demonstrates conditional expression and highly specific localization to SVs. A significant difference in sensitivity of detection was, however, evident between the various SV markers. The GFP-Rab3 and mCherry-Rab3 SV markers exhibited noticeably stronger signal, especially in adults, than did 3XFLAG-Rab3 or 2XHA-Rab3, or the previously reported HA-vAChT. The reasons for this are uncertain, but factors that could play a role include differences in the affinity of primary antibodies, differences in protein stability, and a differential effect on transcription of the upstream B2RT or FRT remaining after excision or inversion. Due to their higher sensitivity, the GFP-Rab3 or mCherry-Rab3 SV markers are thus probably the better choice for most experiments unless additional potentially cross-reacting B2RTs are present that could confound the experiment.

The SV markers reported here should thus be useful for a variety of purposes for understanding neuronal communication and ultimately how neural circuits generate behavior. The only limitation is the availability of specific driver lines to drive B2 or FLP recombinase expression in small neuronal subsets or single neuron types. Hundreds of GAL4 or split-GAL4 drivers currently exist with specificity for specific neuron types (Jenett et al. 2012; Manning et al. 2012; Tuthill et al. 2013; Aso et al. 2014; Li et al. 2014; Jovanic et al. 2016; Wu et al. 2016;
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