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1.Introduction

Similarity measures for networks have been studied extensively. Up to now, exploring methods to measure the similarity/distance between networks has been a current research item [1]. However, not every measure is generally applicable as these methods rely on different concepts, e.g., graph isomorphism. Also, different measure methods may be used for different kind of networks.

In this paper, we employ a distance measure [1, 2]:

\[
d(x, y) = 1 - e^{-(x - y)^2 / \sigma^2},
\]

for \(x, y \in \mathbb{R}\), which leads to a graph distance measure based on topological indices [1, 3]:

\[
d_t(G, H) = d(I(G), I(H)) = 1 - e^{-(I(G) - I(H))^2 / \sigma^2},
\]

where \(G\) and \(H\) are two networks and \(I(G)\) and \(I(H)\) are topological indices applied to both \(G\) and \(H\). In this paper, we set \(\sigma = 1\). Dehmer et al. [1, 3–6] explored the interrelations between the graph similarity measures based on some well-known topological indices such as Wiener index, Randić index, graph entropy, and eigenvalue-based quantities. Triggered by this, we continue to investigate the network similarity measure \(d_t\) by employing a new topological graph measures, namely, the Ediz eccentric connectivity index [7]. Furthermore, we compare the similarity measures based on different topological indices.

2. Topological Indices

A topological index [8] is a network/graph invariant, which maps networks to the reals. Topological indices for graphs have been well studied. They have been used for examining quantitative structure-activity relationships (QSARs) [9]. Also, they have been applied in ecology [10], biology [11], and network physics [12, 13]. In the following, we list several topological indices which will be used.

Wiener index \(W(G)\) of a graph \(G = \{V, E\}\) is defined as

\[W(G) = \sum_{x, y \in V} D(x, y),\]

where \(D(x, y)\) is the distance
between two vertices \( x \) and \( y \) of \( G \), which is introduced by Wiener [14]. Some properties of Wiener index were discussed, see [15, 16] and the references cited therein.

Randić index \( R(G) \) of a graph \( G \) is defined as
\[
R(G) = \sum_{x,y \in V} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d(x)d(y)}},
\]
where \( d(x) \) is the degree of the vertex \( v \) in \( V \), which was proposed by Randić [17]. Some properties of Randić index were discussed, see [18, 19] and the references cited therein.

Graph energy \( \mathcal{B}(G) \) of a graph \( G \) on \( n \) vertices is defined as
\[
\mathcal{B}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_i|,
\]
where \( \lambda_i \) (\( 1 \leq i \leq n \)) is the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of \( G \), which is introduced by Gutman [20]. Some properties of graph energy were discussed, see [21] and the references cited therein.

Connective eccentric index \( \xi^c(G) \) of a graph \( G \) [22] is defined as
\[
\xi^c(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} d(v)/\varepsilon(v),
\]
where \( d(v) \) and \( \varepsilon(v) \) are the degree and the eccentricity of the vertex \( v \in V \), respectively. Some properties of the connective eccentric index were discussed, see [23] and the references cited therein.

The Ediz eccentric connectivity index \( \xi^e(G) \) of a graph \( G \) [7] is defined by
\[
\xi^e(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} S(v)/\varepsilon(v),
\]
where \( S(v) \) is the sum of the degrees of the vertices adjacent to the vertex \( v \) and \( \varepsilon(v) \) is the eccentricity of the vertex \( v \). Some mathematical properties of Ediz eccentric connectivity index are discussed in [7]. The physico-chemical properties of certain molecular structures were investigated by computing the Ediz eccentric connectivity index [24]. In [25], the Ediz eccentric connectivity index for Circumcoronene Series of Benzenoid by Ring-Cut method.

### 3. Main Results

3.1. Extremal Values of Ediz Eccentric Connectivity Index

Let \( G \) be an unweighted, undirected graph with vertex set \( V(G) \). For vertices \( u, v \in V(G) \), the distance \( d(u, v) \) is defined as the length of the shortest path between \( u \) and \( v \) in \( G \). The eccentricity \( \varepsilon(v) \) of a vertex \( v \) is the maximum distance from \( v \) to any other vertex. Obviously, \( \varepsilon(v) \geq 1 \), for any vertex \( v \in V(G) \).

**Theorem 1.** Let \( G \) be a graph on \( n \) vertices with the decreasing degree sequence \( \{d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n\} \). Then,
\[
\xi^e(G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2,
\]
with equality if and only if \( G \) is a complete graph.

**Proof.** Since \( \varepsilon(v) \geq 1 \) for any \( v \in V(G) \), then
\[
\xi^e(G) \leq \sum_{v \in V(G)} \frac{S(v)}{\varepsilon(v)} = \sum_{v \in V(G)} S(v) \sum_{u \sim v} d(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2,
\]
where \( u \sim v \) means that \( u \) is adjacent to \( v \). The above equalities hold if and only if \( \varepsilon(v) = 1 \) for any \( v \in V(G) \), i.e., \( G \) is a complete graph. \( \square \)

**Remark 1.** Since the first Zagreb index [26] of a graph \( G \) is defined as
\[
M_1(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} [d(v)]^2,
\]
the result \( \xi^e(G) \leq M_1(G) \) holds. The equality holds if and only if \( G \) is a complete graph.

Average eccentrcity of a graph \( G \) on \( n \) vertices is defined as
\[
(\xi^e(G) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{v \in V(G)} \varepsilon(v).\]
A relation between Ediz eccentric connectivity index and average eccentricity is presented as follows.

**Theorem 2.** Let \( G \) be a graph on \( n \) vertices. Then,
\[
\xi^e(G) \leq n(n-1)^2 \xi(G),
\]
with equality if and only if \( G \) is a complete graph.

**Proof.** Since \( \varepsilon(v) \geq 1 \) for any vertex \( v \in V(G) \), then \( 1/\varepsilon(v) \leq \varepsilon(v) \) with equality if and only if \( \varepsilon(v) = 1 \). Moreover, \( d(v) \leq n-1 \). Therefore,
\[
\xi^e(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} \frac{S(v)}{\varepsilon(v)} \leq \sum_{v \in V(G)} \varepsilon(v)S(v) \leq \sum_{v \in V(G)} (n-1)^2 \varepsilon(v) = n(n-1)^2 \xi(G).
\]

The first equality holds if and only if \( 1/\varepsilon(v) = \varepsilon(v) \), i.e., \( G \) is a complete graph. The second equality holds if and only if \( d(v) = n-1 \) for any \( v \in V(G) \), i.e., \( G \) is a complete graph. \( \square \)

**Theorem 3.** Let \( G \) be a graph on \( n \) vertices with independence number \( \alpha \). Then,
\[
\xi^e(G) \leq (n-\alpha)[(n-1)(n+\alpha-1)+n-\alpha\alpha],
\]
with equality if and only if \( G \equiv K_{n-\alpha} \vee K_{\alpha} \).

**Proof.** Let \( G \) be the set of graphs on \( n \) vertices with independence number \( \alpha \). Assume that \( G_0 \in G \) has the maximal Ediz eccentric connectivity index. Let \( V(G_0) \) be the vertex set of \( G_0 \) and \( S = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\} \) be the maximal independence set. Let \( G^* \) be the subgraph, induced by \( V(G_0)/S \), of \( G_0 \). Since adding an edge shall increase the Ediz eccentric connectivity index, \( G^* \) is complete. Moreover, there must exist an edge joining any two vertices between \( S \) and \( V(G_0)/S \) in \( G_0 \). So, \( G_0 \equiv K_{n-\alpha} \vee K_{\alpha} \). By directed computation, we have
\[
\xi^e(K_{n-\alpha} \vee K_{\alpha}) = (n-\alpha)[(n-1)(n+\alpha-1) + (n-\alpha)\alpha].
\]
Let \( \gamma(G) \) be the covering number of a graph \( G \). It is well known that \( \alpha(G) + \gamma(G) = n \). From Theorem 3, the following holds. \( \square \)
Corollary 1. Let $G$ be a connected graph on $n$ vertices with covering number $\gamma$. Then,
\[
\xi_{E}^{c}(G) \leq \gamma[(n-1)(2n-\gamma - 1) + \gamma(n-\gamma)],
\]
with equality if and only if $G \equiv K_{n}V_{n-\gamma}$.

In [23, 27], authors investigated the eccentric distance sum and connective eccentricity index of graphs with vertex connectivity, respectively. By modifying their methods, we have the following.

Theorem 4. Let $G$ be a connected graph on $n$ vertices with the vertex connectivity $\kappa$. Then, $\xi_{E}^{c}(G) \leq \kappa(\kappa + 1)(2n-\kappa - 1) + (3/2k-n\epsilon)[(n-\kappa)^2 - 2(n-\kappa - 1)] + 1/2k(n-\kappa + 1/2)[(n-\kappa)^3 - 3(n-\kappa)(n-\kappa - 1)]$ with equality if and only if $G \equiv K_{\kappa}V(K_{n-2}\kappa)$.

Proof. Let $G_0$ be a graph having the maximal Ediz eccentric connectivity index among all connected graphs on $n$ vertices with vertex connectivity $\kappa$. Then, there exists a vertex cut $C \subseteq V(G_0)$ with $|C| = \kappa$ such that $G_0 - C = G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \ldots \cup G_t$, where $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_t$ are $t \geq 2$ connected components of $G_0 - C$. Since adding an edge will decrease Ediz eccentric connectivity index, let $G_1, G_2$, and $G|C|$ are complete graphs, any vertex of $G_1$ and $G_2$ is adjacent to any vertex in $C$. So, $G_0 \equiv K_{\kappa}V(K_{n-2}\kappa)$ and $n_1 + n_2 = n - \kappa$ for $n_i = |G_i|$ ($i = 1, 2$).

By directly computing, we have
\[
\xi_{E}^{c}(K_{\kappa}V(K_{n-2}\kappa)) = \left[\frac{3}{2}\kappa - 1\right][(n-\kappa)^2 - 2n_1n_2]
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2}(n-\kappa)^3 - 3(n-\kappa)n_1n_2.
\]

Theorem 5. Let $G$ be a triangle-free connected graph on $n$ vertices. Then, $\xi_{E}^{c}(G) \leq n^2(n - 2)/4$ if $\epsilon(u) > 1$ for any vertex $v \in V(G)$, with equality if and only if $G \equiv K_{2,2}$. Otherwise, $\xi_{E}^{c}(G) \leq n^2 - 1/2$, with equality if and only if $G \equiv K_{1,1,n-1}$.

Proof. Let $G$ be a triangle-free graph with the maximal Ediz eccentric connectivity index. If there exists a vertex $u \in V(G)$ such that $\epsilon(u) = 1$, then $d(u) = n - 1$. Hence, $G \equiv K_{1,1,n-1}$ since $G$ is triangle-free. Assume that $\epsilon(v) > 1$ for $v \in V(G)$. Then, $d(v) \leq n - 2$ and
\[
\xi_{E}^{c}(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} S(v) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} S(v) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} (n-2)d(v)
\]
\[
= (n-2)|E(G)| \leq \frac{n^2(n - 2)}{4},
\]
by Lemma 2.

The above equalities holds if and only if $\epsilon(v) = 2$, $d(v) = n - 2$ for all $v \in V(G)$ and $|E(G)| = n^2/4$, i.e., $G \equiv K_{2,2}$. By computing, $\xi_{E}^{c}(K_{1,1,n-1}) = n^2 - 1/2$.

Theorem 6. Let $G$ be a connected graph on $n$ vertices with $k$ pendant vertices. Then,
\[
\xi_{E}^{c}(G) \leq \frac{(n-1)^2}{2} + \frac{(n-k)(n-k-1)^2}{2} + k,
\]
with equality if and only if $G \equiv K_{n-k}^{k}$, where $K_{n-k}^{k}$ is a graph obtained from $K_{n-k}$ by attaching $k$ pendant edges to one vertex of $K_{n-k}$.

Proof. Let $G_0$ be the graph on $n$ vertices with $k$ pendant vertices and the maximal Ediz eccentric connectivity index. Assume that $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$ be the set of pendant vertices in $G_0$. The subgraph $G_0'$ induced by $V(G_0)/\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$ is a complete graph. In what follows, we would prove that $G_0 \equiv K_{n-k}^{k}$.

Suppose that there exist at least two vertices of $G_0'$ such that their degrees are more than $n - k - 1$. Set $v_i, v_j \in V(G_0')$ and $d(v_i) \geq d(v_j) > n - k - 1$. Let $G_1$ be the new graph obtained from $G_0$ by removing all pendant vertices attached to $v_j$ and attaching them to $v_i$. Let $V_1$ be the set of pendant vertices attached to $v_j$ in $G_0'$. Let $V_3$ be the set of pendant vertices not in $V_1$ or $V_2$. Note that $\epsilon_{G_1}(v_j) = \epsilon_{G_1}(v_j) = 2$, $\epsilon_{G_1}(v_j) = \epsilon_{G_1}(v_j) = 2$, and $\epsilon_{G_1}(v) = \epsilon_{G_1}(v) = 2$ for $v \in V(G_0')/\{v_i\}$ and $\epsilon_{G_1}(v) = \epsilon_{G_1}(v) = 3$ for $v \in V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3$. The degree of vertex in $V(G_0')/\{v_i, v_j\}$ does not change, but the degree of $v_i$ increases by $|V_2|$ and the one of $v_j$ decreases by $|V_3|$. Let $G$ be the sum of the degrees of vertices in $V(G_0')/\{v_i, v_j\}$. Note that

Lemma 1. (see [28]). Let $G$ be a graph which contains no $K_k$ ($k \geq 2$). Then, $|E(G)| \leq |E(T_{k-1,m})|$ with equality if and only if $G \equiv T_{k-1,m}$.

The following is immediate from Lemma 1.

Lemma 2. A graph $G$ is connected with $|E(G)| > 1/4|V(G)|^2$, and then $G$ contains at least one triangle.
C is a constant under $G_0$ and $G_1$. By the definition of Ediz eccentric connectivity index, we have

$$\xi^e_{G_0} = \sum_{x \in V(G_0)} \frac{C + d_{G_0}(x) + d_{G_1}(x)}{2} + \sum_{x \in V_1} \frac{d_{G_0}(x)}{3} + \sum_{x \in V_2} \frac{d_{G_1}(x)}{3} + \sum_{x \in V_3} \frac{s_{G_0}(x) + s_{G_1}(x)}{2}$$

$$\xi^e_{G_1} = \sum_{x \in V(G_1)} \frac{C + d_{G_0}(x) + d_{G_1}(x)}{2} + \sum_{x \in V_1} \frac{d_{G_0}(x)}{3} + \sum_{x \in V_2} \frac{d_{G_1}(x)}{3} + \sum_{x \in V_3} \frac{s_{G_0}(x) + s_{G_1}(x)}{2}$$

$$= \sum_{x \in V(G_0)} \frac{C + d_{G_0}(x) + d_{G_1}(x)}{2} + \sum_{x \in V_1} \frac{d_{G_0}(x)}{3} + \sum_{x \in V_2} \frac{d_{G_1}(x)}{3} + \sum_{x \in V_3} \frac{s_{G_0}(x) + s_{G_1}(x)}{2} + \frac{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2}{2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Then,

$$\xi^e_{G_0} - \xi^e_{G_1} = -\sum_{x \in V_1} \frac{|V_2|}{3} + \sum_{x \in V_2} \frac{d_{G_0}(x) - d_{G_1}(x) - |V_2|}{3} < 0,$$

(13)

and this is a contradiction. So, $G_0 \equiv K^k_{n-k}$. By computing, it follows that $\xi^e_{G_0}(K^k_{n-k}) = (n - 1)^2/2 + (n - k)(n - k - 1)^2/2 + k$.

Lemma 3. Let $H_1$ and $H_2$ be two disjoint connected graphs on at least 2 vertices with $u \in V(H_1)$ and $v \in V(H_2)$. $G_1$ is

$$\xi^e_{G_1} = \sum_{x \in V(H_1) \setminus \{u\}} \frac{S_{G_1}(x)}{\epsilon_{G_1}(x)} + \sum_{x \in V(H_2) \setminus \{u\}} \frac{S_{G_1}(x)}{\epsilon_{G_1}(x)} + \sum_{x \in V_1} \frac{S_{G_1}(x)}{\epsilon_{G_1}(x)} + \sum_{x \in V_2} \frac{S_{G_1}(x)}{\epsilon_{G_1}(x)} + \frac{C_1 + d_{G_1}(v) - 1}{\epsilon_{G_1}(v)}$$

$$= \sum_{x \in V(H_1) \setminus \{u\}} \frac{S_{G_1}(x)}{\epsilon_{G_1}(x)} + \sum_{x \in V(H_2) \setminus \{u\}} \frac{S_{G_1}(x)}{\epsilon_{G_1}(x)} + \sum_{x \in V_1} \frac{S_{G_1}(x)}{\epsilon_{G_1}(x)} + \sum_{x \in V_2} \frac{S_{G_1}(x)}{\epsilon_{G_1}(x)} + \frac{C_1 + d_{G_1}(v) - 1}{\epsilon_{G_1}(v)} + \frac{C_2 + d_{G_1}(u) - 1}{\epsilon_{G_1}(u)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Case 1. $\epsilon_{H_1}(u) \geq 1 + \epsilon_{H_2}(v)$

In this case, $\epsilon_{G_1}(u) = \epsilon_{H_1}(u) = \epsilon_{G_1}(u)$ and $\epsilon_{G_1}(v) = 1 + \epsilon_{H_2}(v)$. It follows that

$$\xi^e_{G_2} = \sum_{x \in V(H_1) \setminus \{u\}} \frac{S_{G_1}(x)}{\epsilon_{G_1}(x)} + \sum_{x \in V(H_2) \setminus \{u\}} \frac{S_{G_1}(x)}{\epsilon_{G_1}(x)} + \sum_{x \in V_1} \frac{S_{G_1}(x) + d_{G_1}(v) - 1}{\epsilon_{G_1}(x)} + \sum_{x \in V_2} \frac{S_{G_1}(x) + d_{G_1}(u) - 1}{\epsilon_{G_1}(x)} + \frac{C_1 + C_2 + 1}{\epsilon_{G_1}(u)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

Proof. Let $V_1$ be the set of neighbors of $u$ in $H_1$ and $C_1$ be the sum of degrees of vertices in $V_1$. Let $V_2$ be the set of neighbors of $v$ in $H_2$ and $C_2$ be the sum of degrees of vertices in $V_2$. Note that $\epsilon_{G_1}(x) \geq \epsilon_{G_1}(x)$ for all $x \in (V(H_1) \cup V(H_2)) \setminus \{u, v\}$ and $\epsilon_{G_1}(x) = \epsilon_{G_1}(x)$ for $x \in (V(H_1) \cup V(H_2)) \setminus (V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \{u, v\})$. So, we have
Then,

$$\xi^c_{E}(G_2) - \xi^c_{E}(G_1) \geq \sum_{x \in V(H_j) \setminus (V_j \cup \{u\})} S_{G_2}(x) - S_{G_1}(x) + \sum_{x \in V(H_j) \setminus (V_j \cup \{v\})} S_{G_2}(x) - S_{G_1}(x) + \sum_{x \in V_j} d_{G_1}(v) - 1 + \frac{d_{G_1}(v) - 1 - C_2}{\epsilon_{G_1}(v)} - C_1 + d_{G_1}(v) - C_2 + d_{G_1}(u)$$

$$\geq \frac{C_1 + C_2 + 1}{\epsilon_{H_1}(u)} - \frac{C_1 + d_{G_1}(v)}{\epsilon_{G_1}(u)} - \frac{C_1 + d_{G_1}(v) - 1 - C_2 + d_{G_1}(u)}{\epsilon_{G_1}(v)}$$

$$= \frac{C_1 + C_2 + 1}{\epsilon_{H_1}(u)} - \frac{C_1 + d_{G_1}(v)}{\epsilon_{H_1}(u)} - \frac{C_1 + d_{G_1}(v) - 1 - C_2 + d_{G_1}(u)}{\epsilon_{H_1}(v)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\epsilon_{H_1}(v)} \left( 1 + C_1 + C_2 \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon_{H_1}(u)} - \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon_{H_1}(u)} \right) \right) > 0.$$  

Case 2. $\epsilon_{H_1}(u) = \epsilon_{H_1}(v)$

In this case, $\epsilon_{G_1}(u) = 1 + \epsilon_{H_1}(u)$, $\epsilon_{G_1}(u) = \epsilon_{H_1}(u)$, $\epsilon_{G_1}(v) = 1 + \epsilon_{H_1}(v)$, and $\epsilon_{G_1}(v) = 1 + \epsilon_{H_1}(v)$. It follows that

$$\xi^c_{E}(G_2) - \xi^c_{E}(G_1) > \frac{C_1 + C_2 + 1}{\epsilon_{H_1}(u)} - \frac{C_1 + d_{G_1}(v)}{\epsilon_{H_1}(u)} - \frac{C_1 + d_{G_1}(v) - 1 - C_2 + d_{G_1}(u)}{\epsilon_{H_1}(v)}$$

$$= \frac{C_1 + C_2 + 1}{\epsilon_{H_1}(u)} - \frac{C_1 + d_{G_1}(v)}{\epsilon_{H_1}(u)} - \frac{C_1 + d_{G_1}(v) - 1 - C_2 + d_{G_1}(u)}{\epsilon_{H_1}(v)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\epsilon_{H_1}(v)} \left( 1 + C_1 + C_2 \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon_{H_1}(u)} - \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon_{H_1}(u)} \right) \right) > 0.$$  

Case 3. $\epsilon_{H_1}(u) < \epsilon_{H_1}(v)$.

In this case, $\epsilon_{G_1}(u) = 1 + \epsilon_{H_1}(v)$, $\epsilon_{G_1}(u) = \epsilon_{H_1}(v)$, $\epsilon_{G_1}(v) = \epsilon_{H_1}(v)$, and $\epsilon_{G_1}(v) = 1 + \epsilon_{H_1}(v)$. It follows that

$$\xi^c_{E}(G_2) - \xi^c_{E}(G_1) > \frac{C_1 + C_2 + 1}{\epsilon_{H_1}(u)} - \frac{C_1 + d_{G_1}(v)}{\epsilon_{H_1}(u)} - \frac{C_1 + d_{G_1}(v) - 1 - C_2 + d_{G_1}(u)}{\epsilon_{H_1}(v)}$$

$$= \frac{C_1 + C_2 + 1}{\epsilon_{H_1}(u)} - \frac{C_1 + d_{G_1}(v)}{\epsilon_{H_1}(u)} - \frac{C_1 + d_{G_1}(v) - 1 - C_2 + d_{G_1}(u)}{\epsilon_{H_1}(v)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\epsilon_{H_1}(v)} \left( 1 + C_1 + C_2 \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon_{H_1}(u)} - \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon_{H_1}(u)} \right) \right) > 0.$$  

This completes the proof. □

**Theorem 7.** Let G be a connected graph on n vertices with $k \geq 1$ cut edges. Then,

$$\xi^c_{E}(G_2) \leq \frac{(n-1)^2}{2} + \frac{(n-k)(n-k-1)^2}{2} + k,$$

with equality if and only if $G \equiv K^k_n$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $G_0$ is a connected graph on n vertices with $k \geq 1$ cut edges and the maximal Ediz eccentric connectivity index. By Lemma 3, all cut edges in $G_0$ must be pendant edges. From the proof of Theorem 6, the proof is completed. □

3.2. Numerical Result and Analysis. As we know, infinitely many topological indices exist. The number of similarity measures like the ones employed in this paper is infinite too. So, finding a suitable topological index to obtain a better measure is an interesting question. This section contributes to the results obtained by comparing the similarity measures based on topological indices by means of two different perspectives. We shall discuss numerical results to underpin our analytical findings. The first method is to comparing the similarity measures based on topological indices and graph edit distance. Graph edit distance serves as a benchmark similarity measure, but it is generally NP-hard. Here, we shall compare how close the similarity measures based on topological indices (Wiener index, graph energy, Randić, the largest adjacent eigenvalue, the largest Laplacian eigenvalue,
connective eccentricity index, and Ediz eccentric connectivity index) and graph edit distance are. We choose all nonisomorphic trees whose number of vertices is from 6 to 9, respectively. To ensure the comparability of the two measures, we revise the graph edit distance for trees as

$$\text{ged}(T_1, T_2) = 1 - \frac{1}{2n - 6} \text{GED}(T_1, T_2),$$

(20)

where GED$(T_1, T_2)$ is the graph edit distance of trees $T_1, T_2$. The number $1/(2n - 6)$ is the standardization coefficient which is used to standardize the value of graph edit distance into the interval $[0, 1]$. This revised graph edit distance is still a benchmark similarity measure. To compare the similarity measure with the graph edit distance, we employ the least square method as follows to compute the error between each similarity measure and revised graph edit distance:

$$\sum_{T_i,T_j} \sqrt{(d_i(T_i, T_j) - \text{ged}(T_i, T_j))^2},$$

(21)

where the summation is over all pairs of the different nonisomorphic trees with the same number of vertices.

Numerical results are shown in Figure 1. It shows that the similarity measure based on Wiener index has more advantage than the one based on Ediz eccentric connectivity index, but the measure based on Ediz eccentric connectivity index has more advantage than the ones based on other topological indices (graph energy, Randić index, the largest adjacent eigenvalue, the largest Laplacian eigenvalue, and connective eccentricity index).

Distribution of the ranked values of the similarity measure based on Wiener index $(W)$, graph energy $(E)$, Randić index $(R)$, the largest adjacent eigenvalue $(\lambda)$, the largest Laplacian eigenvalue $(\mu)$, connective eccentricity index $(CEI)$, and Ediz eccentric connectivity index $(EECI)$. The $X$-axis represents the values of the distance measure. The $Y$-axis represents the percentage rate of all graph pairs studied.

The second method is to compare the values of different similarity measures on the set of 14-vertex trees. The number of trees with 14 vertices is 3159 and the number of pairs is 4988061, see [29]. Here, we compare the measures based on the seven topological indices. From the plots shown by Figure 2, some inequalities are demonstrated as follows:

$$d_W(T_1, T_2) \geq d_\xi (T_1, T_2), d_\xi (T_1, T_2) \geq d_R(T_1, T_2), d_\xi (T_1, T_2) \geq d_\mu(T_1, T_2),$$

(22)

where the subscripts $W, R, \xi, \lambda, \mu, \xi_\xi$, and $\xi_\mu$ are the abbreviations of Wiener index, graph energy, Randić index, the largest adjacent eigenvalue, the largest Laplacian eigenvalue, connective eccentricity index, and Ediz eccentric connectivity index, respectively. These inequalities reveal the same result as the one obtained from the first method. So, the similarity measure based on Ediz eccentric connectivity index has certain advantage to some extent.

In fact, we derive the same result by employing two other datasets. One dataset is random regular graphs on 100 vertices with degree 3, another is Watts–Strogatz small-world graphs on 100 vertices with $k = 4$ and $p = 0.2$. The results are shown in Figures 3 and 4.

Next, we shall present an upper bound for the similarity measure based on Ediz eccentric connectivity index among all connected graphs on $n$ vertices.

**Theorem 8.** Let $G_1$ and $G_2$ be two connected graphs on $n$ vertices. Then, we have

$$d_\xi (G_1, G_2) \leq d_\xi (K_n, P_n),$$

(23)
with equality if and only if $G_1$ and $G_2$ are $K_n$ and $P_n$, respectively. Moreover, $\xi_{EC}^E(K_n) = n(n-1)^2$ and

$$\xi_{EC}^E(P_n) = \begin{cases} 2 \left( \frac{2}{n-1} + \frac{3}{n-2} + \frac{4}{n-3} + \frac{4}{n-4} + \cdots + \frac{4}{(n+1)/2} \right) + \frac{4}{(n-1)/2} & \text{for } n \text{ is odd}, \\ 2 \left( \frac{2}{n-1} + \frac{3}{n-2} + \frac{4}{n-3} + \frac{4}{n-4} + \cdots + \frac{4}{n/2} \right) & \text{for } n \text{ is even}. \end{cases}$$

(24)
advantage than the ones based on other topological indices (graph energy, Randić index, the largest adjacent eigenvalue, the largest Laplacian eigenvalue, and connective eccentricity index). This means that the similarity measure based on Ediz eccentric connectivity index has certain advantage to some extent.

Actually, there are many useful topological indices, which are widely investigated, such as Wiener polarity index [30], eccentric connectivity index [31, 32], Estrada index [33], Laplacian Estrada index [34], extended Estrada index [35], and Kirchhoff index [36]. In the future we would like to study the network similarity measure, which is studied in this paper, based on the above indices and explore the relations between them and the one based on Ediz eccentric connectivity index.
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