Effective Removal of Methyl blue and Crystal Violet Dyes Using Improved Polysulfone / ZIF-8 Nanocomposite Ultrafiltration Membrane
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Abstract: Zeolitic Imidazole framework 8 (ZIF-8) was successfully prepared in an aqueous medium and then dissolved with concentrations ranging from 0.5% to 5% in polysulfone (PSF) to prepare an ultrafiltration membrane. ZIF-8 nanosized material uniformly distributed in the PSF membrane ultrafiltration membrane was prepared in a polar solvent of N,N'-dimethyl formamide (DMF) by phase inversion procedure. Significantly, ZIF-8 enhanced the membrane flux from 50.42 L/m2.h (PSF) to 83.65 L/m2.h at 100 Kpa, and the rejection of methyl blue and crystal violet dyes up to 95.1% and 89.65%, respectively compared to the PSF membrane without ZIF-8, 41.08%, 46.32%. Wavelength dispersive X-ray fluorescence (WD-XRF), X-ray Diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), contact angle, and dead-end filtration experiment were carried out to characterize the morphology and performance of the prepared ZIF-8 and membranes. The hydrophilicity of the membrane was determined by a contact angle test between water and membrane. SEM was used to study the surface and the cross-sectional morphologies.
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1. Introduction

Water became essential in various fields, such as domestic use, electricity generation, tourism, leisure, manufacturing, and agriculture. However, the water’s most crucial usage in drinking water is increasing quickly. This high demand encouraged many scientists and investors to focus on water treatment.

Many industries incorporate dyes, including papers, paints, and textiles industries. Unfortunately, the wastewater released from those industries contains many organic compounds and hazardous dyes which harmfully affect the environment. In addition, any small quantity of dye in water could affect aquatic life by blocking the sunlight beams. To avoid all those drawbacks, this colored wastewater must be treated appropriately before discarding it.

It was challenging to handle a dye effluent adequately due to its complexity. Many decolorization procedures have been documented in recent decades, including physical [1], chemical, and biological processes [2].
Within water treatment technologies, membrane processes are more favored, as they are cost-effective and quickly purify the water, highly selective, and flexible to be combined with other procedures. Polymer membranes are the famously used technique, but their hydrophobic surface is the major limitation that affects the permeability and rejection of the membrane [3-12]. In order to avoid these drawbacks, different organic and inorganic materials have been applied [13-19]. Metal ions and organic ligands are connected, forming one of the highest porous materials, the Metal-Organic Framework (MOFs), which attracts many researchers nowadays [20-22]. It has a very high surface area and pore structure. Its different chemical compositions allow it to be utilized in various applications [23, 24] with high selectivity according to its design and chemical composition. The zeolitic imidazole framework (ZIF-8) is a famous MOF used in diverse applications because of its exceptional chemical and thermal stability [25, 26]. ZIFs have high porosity and unique surface structure, making them more favorable than zeolites in many applications [27-33].

ZIF-8 was prepared and utilized to acquire different concentrations in PVDF membranes [34]. Those membranes were tested for permeability and rejection Rose Bengal (RB) from ethanol and isopropanol (IPA). The membrane containing 25% of ZIF-8 obtained the best rejection for (RB).

A fabricated uniform ZIF-8/polymer hybrid membrane self-assembly and interfacial reaction methods were acquired [35]. The prepared membrane of a thin layer of ZIF-8/PEI hybrid membrane had a methyl blue rejection of 99.6% and flux of 33.0 L m⁻² h⁻¹ bar⁻¹.

Another form of ZIF-8 membrane was prepared, a hydrostable membrane of ZIF-8 thin film [35] containing DNA on dopamine-modified PSF membrane, and the DNA enhanced the hydrophilicity.

Another application for ZIF-8 in wastewater is to determine a highly toxic material in wastewater with high selectivity [36]. ZIF-8 – ERGO nanocomposite was synthesized and successfully utilized as a sensor for hydrazine detector in wastewater for a wide range of concentrations (0.1 µM – 1.16 mM), reaching down to a very low detection limit, 0.96 ppb.

This study aims to prepare high-performance ZIF-8/PSF membranes in a simple phase inversion procedure which is more accessible than the procedure followed in the previously mentioned papers, understanding and explaining the effect of ZIF-8 on the PSF membrane. As a result, there is an improvement in membrane rejection, and flux noticed in the following concentrations of ZIF-8, 1.5%, and 3% in the rejection of crystal violet dye and methyl blue dye.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials.

All of the chemicals and materials utilized in this experiment were of analytical quality and were used without additional purification. Deionized (DI) water produced by the Ultrapure water system by UF, RO, Mixed bed, conductivity less than 0.055 µS/cm at 25°C. Polysulfone beds (Udel P 3500 LCD MP7, MW= 77000, Mn=22000), N, N dimethylformamide (DMF), methyl blue, crystal violet, and rhodamine B were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Zinc nitrate hexahydrate 99%, purchased from Tianjin Kemiou Chemical Reagent, China, Ammonia Solution max. 33% NH3, extra pure, Scharlab, 2-methylimidazole (Hmim) purchased from Sinopharm chemical reagent Co. Ltd., China.
2.2. Synthesis of ZIF-8.

This study synthesized the membrane with the same procedure as previous studies [37, 38]. First, in 3 gm of deionized water, zinc nitrate hexahydrate (Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O) weight of 2.97 gm was mixed till dissolution. Next, 20.75 mL ammonium hydroxide solution was utilized to dissolve 1.64 gm of Hmim was; then, zinc nitrate and Hmim solutions were mixed. The solution transformed immediately into a milky suspension and was stirred for 10 minutes at room ambient temperature for crystallization to be accomplished. Finally, the sample was collected by centrifugation and washed with deionized until the pH value of the final product was around 7, then dried overnight at 60 °C.

2.3. Preparation of membranes.

Polysulfone membranes were synthesized by the phase inversion method. Membranes with various concentrations of ZIF-8 were prepared. A blank membrane was prepared for polysulfone only. PVP also was used as a pore former in some trials.

First, at a temperature of 70 °C pure PSF was dissolved in DMF, mixed with a magnetic stirrer for 8 hours. Then, various ZIF-8 concentrations were prepared by adding them to the mixture during the mixing step. The mixture was left for overnight degassing to avoid any gas bubbles inside. Later, the casting of the solution was done by a homemade casting knife on a glass sheet. The glass sheet was finally immersed in a demineralized water bath for the phase inversion. The membrane was left in demineralized water to remove the residual solvent from the membrane to be ready for testing.

Table 1. Composition of the membrane casting solution.

| Membrane Number | Membrane Description | PSF (wt %) | ZIF-8 (wt%) | DMF (wt%) |
|-----------------|----------------------|------------|-------------|-----------|
| M1              | 16%PSF               | 16         | 0           | 84        |
| M2              | 16%PSF 0.5%ZIF-8     | 16         | 0.5         | 83.92     |
| M3              | 16%PSF 1.0%ZIF-8     | 16         | 1           | 83.84     |
| M4              | 16%PSF 1.5%ZIF-8     | 16         | 1.5         | 83.76     |
| M5              | 16%PSF 2.0%ZIF-8     | 16         | 2           | 83.68     |
| M6              | 16%PSF 3.0%ZIF-8     | 16         | 3           | 83.52     |
| M7              | 16%PSF 5.0%ZIF-8     | 16         | 5           | 83.2      |

2.4. Characterization.

2.4.1. Characterization by morphological studies.

XRD was used to study the structure of the prepared ZIF-8. Diffractometer system, CubiX3, Tube anode is copper, Generator Settings, 40 mA, 40 kV, Start Position [°2θ]15.0025, End Position [°2θ] 130. FTIR analysis using JASCO FT/IR 4100 spectrometer (Jasco, Easton, MD, USA). The sample was ground and put into KBr disc before analysis from 400 cm$^{-1}$ to 4000 cm$^{-1}$.

UV spectrometer, Orion aquamate 8000 used 1 cm quartz cell for measuring the dye's absorbance.

The membranes were characterized by morphological analysis and permeation characteristics. The morphological characteristics were analyzed by scanning electron microscope, wavelength dispersive X-ray fluorescence (WD-XRF) Axios mAx by PANalytical, by the Netherlands. In addition, the contact angle instrument Kruss model DSA25B, Sissle drop method was used to study the hydrophilicity of the membrane. The
contact angle depends on the angle between the surface of the membrane and the meniscus formed by the water droplet.

Scanning electron microscope (SEM) Quanta FEG250, USA, accelerating voltage 20Kv, spot size 3.5, low vacuum mode, controlled backscattered detector was used for membrane surface and cross-section morphology. In addition, SEM was used to study topography, pore structure, and microscopic characteristics of the membranes and ZIF-8.

2.4.2. Characterization by permeation studies.

Stirred dead-end cell HP4750 was utilized to test the performance of the prepared membranes with 14.6 cm² as an effective membrane area, a cell diameter of 5.1 cm², and a processing volume of 300 mL. This stirred cell was pressurized by a nitrogen gas cylinder. The pure water permeability of the membranes was tested at different applied pressures ranging from 1 to 5 bar. The volume flux was calculated as follow:

\[
\text{Flux} = \frac{\text{Volume of water passed (Liter)}}{(\text{membrane surface area (m}^2))} \times (\text{time consumed to pass this quantity (hour)}).
\]

![Figure 1. 3D drawing for the stirred cell permeation test system.](image)

3. Results and Discussion

The characteristics and formula of the membrane were changed by changing its components, an additive which is in our case is ZIF-8. The additive concentration was the dominant factor studied within this paper to choose the proper concentration of the nanomaterial added. So, the effect of nanomaterial concentration on pure water flux and membrane rejection was studied with concentration. It was clear that pure PSF membrane has a lower water flux of 50.42 L/m².h while ZIF-8 in PSF membrane enhanced the flux to 83.65 L/m².h.

3.1. Morphological study.

3.1.1. ZIF-8 Characterization.

3.1.1.1. ZIF-8 Characterization by FTIR.

FTIR and XRD were used to study the composition of the prepared nanoparticles ZIF-8. We used the FTIR in the wavenumber range of 400 to 4000 cm⁻¹ to confirm the composition of the prepared ZIF-8 by investigating its functional groups. Imidazole stretching of the
aromatic and aliphatic C–H, respectively, were confirmed by the peaks at 2930 cm\(^{-1}\) and 3134 cm\(^{-1}\). C=N stretching mode of the 2-methyl imidazole was detected at 1583 cm\(^{-1}\). The C–N stretch has been detected by the peaks in the range of 1460–1143 cm\(^{-1}\), and the 420 cm\(^{-1}\) band is assigned to the Zn–N stretch [36]. The stretching of the ring was detected by the peaks at 1380–1583 cm\(^{-1}\). The ring bending in the plan is indicated by peaks in the spectral region of 952-1380 cm\(^{-1}\) [39].

![FTIR spectrum of the prepared ZIF-8](https://biointerfaceresearch.com/)

**Figure 2.** FTIR spectrum of the prepared ZIF-8.

3.1.1.2. ZIF-8 Characterization by SEM.

SEM was used to study the structure, physical and chemical properties. We measured some of the particles from the SEM picture of the average particle size of the prepared ZIF-8 particles was around 200 nm.

![SEM image of the prepared ZIF-8](https://biointerfaceresearch.com/)

**Figure 3.** SEM image of the prepared ZIF-8.

3.1.1.3. ZIF-8 Characterization by XRD.

The phase of ZIF-8 was the only one existing within the prepared material, showing a highly crystalline structure.

The ZIF-8 pattern XRD as-synthesized was presented in Figure 4. It is possible to allocate the sample to ZIF-8, and no other step has been found in these samples. According to
the XRD analysis, planes (011), (002), (112), (022), (013), and (222), respectively, correspond to the occurrence of high peaks at $2\theta = 9.94, 12.29, 14.28, 15.91, 17.35$ and $18.98^\circ$, This income that the prepared ZIF-8 has high crystallinity (JCPDS 00-062-1030). Calculations using Fullprof and check cell software revealed that the ZIF-8 crystal system under consideration exhibited a monoclinic crystal system with space group $P_{21}$, and the lattice parameters were; $a = 17.75$ Å, $b = 12.817$ Å, $c = 19.226$ Å, $\alpha = 90.0^\circ$, $\beta = 104.64^\circ$ and $\gamma = 90.0^\circ$. Miller indices ($hkl$) and interplanar spacing ($dhkl$) for ZIF-8 are recorded in Table 3. Using Scherrer formula (Eq. 1), the crystallite size nanoparticles of ZIF-8 (D, Å) ZIF-8 was determined.

$$D = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta\cos\theta}$$ (1)

where $\lambda = 1.54$ Å is the X-ray tube wavelength, $\beta$ is the peak's angular width at half its highest amplitude (full width at half-maximum) that corrects for instrumental broadening, B is the most significant factor in the Bragg diffraction peak's, and the Scherrer constant $K = 0.9$ Å. Calculated from the high-intensity (002) peak, the size of crystallite for ZIF-8 was 255 nm.

| $2\theta_{\text{obs}}$ (°) | $2\theta_{\text{Calc}}$ (°) | $d_{\text{Hkl(obs)}}$ (Å) | $d_{\text{Hkl(calc)}}$ (Å) | $(hkl)$ |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------|
| 12.1978                  | 12.1978                  | 12.3136                    | -0.1158                  | 111    |
| 14.1729                  | 14.1729                  | 14.2619                    | -0.0890                  | 111    |
| 17.4991                  | 17.4991                  | 17.6108                    | -0.1117                  | 021    |
| 21.5970                  | 21.5970                  | 21.6823                    | -0.0853                  | 221    |
| 23.9636                  | 23.9636                  | 24.0667                    | -0.1031                  | -131   |
| 25.0680                  | 25.0680                  | 25.1422                    | -0.0742                  | 131    |
| 29.1220                  | 29.1220                  | 29.1654                    | -0.0434                  | 421    |
| 30.0638                  | 30.0638                  | 30.0514                    | 0.0124                   | -113   |
| 30.9795                  | 30.9795                  | 30.9910                    | -0.0115                  | 041    |
| 31.8659                  | 31.8659                  | 31.8978                    | -0.0319                  | -241   |
| 33.5901                  | 33.5901                  | 33.5544                    | 0.0357                   | 241    |
| 36.0206                  | 36.0206                  | 36.0152                    | 0.0054                   | 332    |
| 36.8077                  | 36.8077                  | 36.7118                    | 0.0959                   | 133    |
| 37.7099                  | 37.7099                  | 37.7936                    | -0.0837                  | -712   |
| 38.3415                  | 38.3415                  | 38.2753                    | 0.0662                   | 621    |
| 41.2450                  | 41.2450                  | 41.2260                    | 0.0190                   | 533    |
| 42.6429                  | 42.6429                  | 42.6040                    | 0.0389                   | 641    |
| 43.9638                  | 43.9638                  | 43.8943                    | 0.0695                   | 443    |
| 45.9710                  | 45.9710                  | 45.8714                    | 0.0996                   | 260    |
| 47.2699                  | 47.2699                  | 47.2570                    | 0.0129                   | -624   |
| 49.1499                  | 49.1499                  | 49.2526                    | -0.1027                  | 062    |
| 64.3503                  | 64.3503                  | 64.3739                    | -0.0236                  | -573   |
| 77.4669                  | 77.4669                  | 77.4154                    | 0.0515                   | 591    |

Figure 4. XRD diffractogram for ZIF-8 sample.
3.1.2. Membrane characterization.

Figure 5 shows the cross-section pictures of the membranes. The membrane in the first picture of pure PSF is a spongy-like structure. By adding the nanoparticles to the membrane, the pores started to expand to be macro voids forming finger-like pores due to increasing ZIF-8 concentration. ZIF-8 penetrates through the membrane polymer, enhancing the interconnectivity of the pores. The porosity started to be uniformly formed from the concentration of 1.5% ZIF-8, at which the finger-like pores were significant, and the pores were sponge-like. In higher concentrations, the pores existed but looked less spongy, and the pore size was getting more significant.

![Cross-section SEM images for the membranes](https://biointerfaceresearch.com/)

**Figure 5.** Cross-section SEM images for the membranes show the ZIF-8 particle size in the membrane and the pore size.

Figure 6 shows the effect of the addition of ZIF-8 into the membranes' surface and membrane porosity. ZIF-8 was found to be homogeneously distributed. The surface porosity increased by increasing the concentration of ZIF-8 compared with the pure PSF; specifically, it started to be clear as the concentration of the nano ZIF-8 concentration started to increase.
Nanoparticles material is distributed homogeneously in the PSF polymer chain, which is apparent in SEM surface figures. The figures show that adding ZIF-8 to the casting solution improved the roughness of the PSF membrane.

![SEM images of membranes](image)

**Figure 6.** Surface SEM images for the membranes show the ZIF-8 particle size in the membrane and the pore size.

Wavelength dispersive X-ray fluorescence ZIF-8 was used to investigate the membranes by tracing the Zinc element to indicate the presence of ZIF-8 in the membrane. Zn K alpha and K beta peaks existed in all PSF membranes containing ZIF-8. Also, the intensity was linearly decreasing in decreasing order of ZIF-8 concentration.
Figure 7. Elemental analysis for the membranes by WD-XRF shows the increasing intensity of the Zn peaks correlated with the rising concentration of ZIF-8.

The contact angle is used in many pieces of research as an indication for membrane hydrophilicity. Therefore, it was expected that adding ZIF-8 would enhance the hydrophilicity, while our measurements show a clear relationship between increasing ZIF-8 concentration and the contact angle [40].

| Membrane Number | PSF (wt%) | ZIF-8 (wt%) | DMF (wt%) | Average Contact Angle |
|-----------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| M1              | 16        | 0           | 84        | 91.7                  |
| M2              | 16        | 0.5         | 83.92     | 48.87                 |
| M3              | 16        | 1           | 83.84     | 58.67                 |
| M4              | 16        | 1.5         | 83.76     | 71.25                 |
| M5              | 16        | 2           | 83.68     | 43.70                 |
| M6              | 16        | 3           | 83.52     | 53.45                 |
| M7              | 16        | 5           | 83.2      | 61.23                 |

3.2. Permeation experiment.

Membranes were tested to see the effect of different concentrations addition of ZIF-8 on their permeation behavior. Finally, the membranes are subjected to study for rejection and permeate flux behavior.

3.2.1. Pure water flux.

The pure water flux test was performed at a pressure of 1 bar after compacting the membrane at 5 bar for 30 minutes. The flux average results show that the highest flux was at the membrane 1.5% ZIF-8.

Pure water flux of the ZIF-8/PSF membranes enhanced from 50.41 L/m².h in the pure PSF membrane to 83.65 L/m².h, 57.53 L/m².h, and 70.05 L/m².h, respectively for M4, M2, and M6 samples, and then declined to 47.6 L/m².h, 40.69 L/m².h, and 38.72 L/m².h for M3, M7, and M5 samples, respectively. The permeability of water was due to the sodalite Zeolite-type structure of cavities of 1.1 nm and pore size of 0.34 nm [41,42].
The framework of ZIF-8 has pores of the range 4.0 to 4.2 Å allowing water to path through (water molecules diameter 2.8 Å). Through the membrane, channels of hydrophobic nature are formed to accelerate the transition of water molecules because of the repulsion between ZIF-8 on the channel's walls and the water molecules.

Table 3. The flux through the different prepared membranes.

| Membrane | Average of Flux L/m².h |
|----------|-----------------------|
| M1       | 50.41                 |
| M2       | 57.53                 |
| M3       | 47.60                 |
| M4       | 83.65                 |
| M5       | 38.72                 |
| M6       | 70.05                 |
| M7       | 40.69                 |

![Figure 8. Pure water flux L/m².h of PSF membranes with different ZIF-8 concentrations.](image)

Table 4. The rejection percentage of two dyes, crystal violet and methyl blue, in membranes of different ZIF-8 concentrations.

|            | M1  | M2  | M3  | M4  | M5  | M6  | M7  |
|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Crystal Violet | 41.08 | 75.38 | 62.13 | 90.62 | 89.11 | 89.03 | 53.79 |
| Methyl Blue | 46.32 | 71.79 | 68.21 | 95.10 | 91.03 | 93.01 | 68.81 |

![Figure 9. Performance of the prepared membranes with different ZIF-8 concentrations by rejection percentage of 60 mg/L methyl blue dye at a pressure of 100 kpa.](image)
3.2.2. Dye separation evaluation.

ZIF-8 is a highly porous material with a high potential to enhance the performance of the membrane so it can enhance membrane permeance without affecting its selectivity. ZIF-8 concentration in PSF membranes contribution on dye removal was studied by two dyes: crystal violet (CV) and methyl blue (MB). A dead-end filtration cell under operating pressure of 100 KPa and the dye concentration of 10 mg/L for CV and 60 mg/L for MB. The ZIF-8/PSF membranes had higher rejection than the pure PSF due to the small particle size of the prepared ZIF-8, the high porosity, and the hydrophobicity of ZIF-8, which is presented in Table 4.

![Figure 10. Performance of the prepared membranes with different ZIF-8 concentrations by rejection percentage of 10 mg/L crystal violet dye at a pressure of 100 kpa.](image)

| Chemical Structure | Methyl Blue | Crystal violet |
|--------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Molecular Formula  | C37H27N3Na2O9S3 | C25H30ClN3 |
| Molecular Weight (g/mol) | 799.8 | 408.0 |

Considering the membrane permeance and rejection, the optimum ZIF-8 concentration in the membrane for methyl blue and crystal violet separation was 1.5% ZIF-8.
Table 6. Membrane performance comparison between this study and other studies using MOF as filler material.

| Membranes                  | Water flux (L/m².h) | Rejection (%) | Contact angle (°) | References |
|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------|-------------------|------------|
| ZIF-8/GO/PA                | 40.63               | MgCl₂         | 10.5              | 6.8        | [42]       |
| ZIF-8(hZIF-8)/PSF (2.0 wt%) | 597                 | BSA           | > 98              | 62.4       |           |
| Uio-66/GO/PES (3.0 wt%)    | 15                  | Dye           | > 95              | 60.4       | [44]       |
| ZIF-8/PS                   | 117                 | BSA           | > 80              | 49         | [43]       |
| TMU-5/MOF (0.1 wt%)        | 182                 | Powder Milk solution | > 98 | 57.5       | [45]       |
| ZGO-NH/PES (1.0 wt%)       | 95.49               | BSA           | > 99              | 62.45      | [41]       |
| ZIF-8/PVDF                 | 134.56              | BSA           | > 98              | 48.2       | [46]       |
| PS/PEI                     | 30                  | AO-74         | 68.5              | 80.8       |            |
|                            |                     | OM            | 64.7              |            |            |
| ZIF-8/PS                   | 44.53               | Methyl Blue   | > 95              | 71.25      | This study |

4. Conclusions

ZIF-8 nanomaterial was prepared in aqueous media to be utilized in the PSF membrane. The high dispersibility of ZIF-8 was obtained after preparing ZIF-8 in nano size, around 200 nm. Utilizing this nano-size ZIF-8 in phase inversion prepared PSF flat membrane was found to improve their performance. The prepared nanomaterial and membrane were characterized by XRD, SEM, WD-XRF, and contact angle. The characterization results confirmed the incorporation and the effect of the nanomaterial ZIF-8 into the morphology and chemical structure prepared membrane.

The pure water flux of the membrane reached the highest figures with a 1.5% concentration of ZIF-8, 83.65 L/m².h, significantly higher than PSF without ZIF-8, 50.42 L/m².h. This increase in PWF was related to the small size of the prepared ZIF-8, and its hydrophobic nature, which allowed extra pores and channels to be formed. Methyl Blue and Crystal violet dyes were utilized to study the improvement of the performance of the membrane. For methyl blue, the rejection of the dye was increased to reach the highest figure in membranes with 1.5% & 3% concentrations of ZIF-8, 95.1 %, and 93%, respectively.

For Crystal violet, the rejection was 90.68% and 89.01% for membranes with concentrations of 1.5% and 3% ZIF-8, respectively. From the rejection of the two dyes and the pure water flux, it is clear that 1.5% ZIF-8 was the optimum concentration in the membrane, which resulted in the highest PWF and highest rejection for the two dyes.
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