Solid adsorbents for low-temperature CO₂ capture with low-energy penalties leading to more effective integrated solutions for power generation and industrial processes
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INTRODUCTION

CO₂ CAPTURE AND AN INTEGRATED ENERGY–CHEMICAL SOLUTION

The atmospheric CO₂ concentration now exceeds 400 ppm (CO₂Now.org, 2014), and its continuous increase is believed to be the major factor leading to global warming and climatic change (Crowley, 2000; Held and Soden, 2000; Faiz et al., 2003). Kaya et al. and Hoffert et al. have quantified net CO₂ emissions in terms of population, economic activity, and energy-related factors using Eq. 1 (Kaya, 1995; Hoffert et al., 1998).

\[ \text{CO}_2 \text{ emission} = P \times \frac{\text{GDP}}{P} \times \frac{E}{\text{GDP}} \times \frac{C}{E} \]  

where C is the net CO₂ emission, P is population, GDP is the gross domestic product that is used here as an indicator of economy-related factor, and E is energy production. Therefore, GDP/P is the Per capita GDP, and E/GDP can be regarded as the energy intensity during production that is closely related to the efficiency of energy utilization. The last term, C/E, represents the carbon intensity during energy production. As for the development of the human society and life quality, it is improper to limit population and production, which means there is no solution to CO₂ reduction from the first and second term in Eq. 1. On the other hand, increase in the energy production/utilization efficiency and control of the carbon footprint during energy processes are the major strategies for CO₂ sequestration (third and fourth term in Eq. 1).

The complete decarbonization of energy is believed to be the ultimate solution for the long-term sustainability, and thus over the past decades, considerable researches have been devoted to the development of low-carbon technologies covering nearly all forms of energy (Song, 2006; Li and Fan, 2008; Kumar et al., 2009; Panswar et al., 2011; Swain et al., 2011; Vasireddy et al., 2011; Chu and Majumdar, 2012; Shi et al., 2013; Sangeeta et al., 2014). Novel concepts and ideas for fossil, bio-, and renewable energies have emerged as depicted in Figure 1. Indeed, for the continued using of fossil energy and fully decarbonized electricity supply, CO₂ capture, utilization, and storage (CCUS) has been recognized as one of the key technologies that needs to be demonstrated and commercialized (Mikkelsen et al., 2010; Buit-Handford et al., 2014).

As the most energy- and cost-intensive phase of CCUS, it is well-demonstrated that CO₂ capture is of vital importance to the technical and economical availability of the technology, and many investigations have been carried out (MacDowell et al., 2010; Markewitz et al., 2012). However, fewer researchers have recognized that CO₂ capture, when linked to CO₂ utilization and chemical production processes, will act as an enabling technology for more effective integrated energy solutions, for example, as...
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**FIGURE 1** | Overall solutions for low-carbon energy and chemical production from fossil, biomass, and renewable sources.

**FIGURE 2** | An integrated energy–chemical solution with CO₂ capture.

those depicted in Figure 2 where all the energies needed for fuel upgrading and chemical production are provided by renewables, and CO₂ capture represents a linker between the CO₂ emitting and recycling processes (red and green arrows in Figure 2, respectively; Graves et al., 2011; Budzianowski, 2012; Siglinda and Centi, 2014). Consequently, carbon is fully converted to fuels and/or chemicals in this integrated energy–chemical scenario so that near zero emissions can be achieved. Furthermore, if CO₂ is captured from power plants running on biomass, then an integrated energy and chemical production scenario with negative carbon emissions can be achieved that would potentially be profitable when carbon tax is applied.

Based on these future energy scenarios, it is obvious that CO₂ capture is even more important to the integrated energy–chemical solution as compared to CCUS. This is not to say that development of CCUS is unnecessary, but to emphasize that CO₂ capture may be involved in our combat toward climate change and energy solution much longer than we currently recognize.

**TECHNICAL OPTIONS FOR CO₂ CAPTURE AND THE POTENTIAL OF SOLID ADSORBENTS**

Currently, the state-of-the-art technology for CO₂ separation is amine scrubbing. In this process, CO₂ in a mixture gas is absorbed by an aqueous solution of alkanolamine via the acid–base reaction, and the amines can be regenerated by stripping with water vapor at elevated temperatures, concentrated CO₂ can be obtained after water is condensed from the stripper vapor (Rochelle, 2009). However, although amine scrubbing technology has been deployed in a range of applications such as natural gas sweetening, it suffers from a number of shortcomings such as high energy penalty, thermal/oxidative degradation of the solvents, and corrosion (Sunil and Merched, 2014). Furthermore, when amine scrubbing is applied, the overall energy penalty at power plants reduces efficiency typically by 10%, representing a reduction in electricity generation of 25% for a modern supercritical coal power plant. On the other hand, some emerging technologies, such as membranes, are still in their infancy, therefore, more energy-efficient processes
are needed to be developed for CO₂ capture, where adsorption is one such alternative (Choi et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2011a).

The greatest challenge for adsorption is the development of appropriate materials that can be effectively used under processing conditions (Choi et al., 2009; Bollini et al., 2011; Jones, 2011; Sayari et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011a,b). In many papers, adsorption capacity is the only criterion used to evaluate adsorbents, for practical CO₂ capture applications however, there are more requirements. This is illustrated in Figure 3, where process cost, process efficiency, and engineering feasibility are represented as the corners of a triangle (elements, hereafter), and factors (circles inside the triangle) that determine the quality of an adsorbent can be located in the triangle according to their influence on each of the elements. For example, the factor of adsorption/desorption kinetics (factor 6 in Figure 3) is located at the bottom left of the triangle since adsorbents with fast kinetics can increase the efficiency of the process and lowering the related costs by accelerating the adsorption–desorption cycles. Meanwhile, faster cycling requires better designed and integrated adsorption/desorption sub-units, leading to further difficulties in process engineering and limitations in adsorbents selection. The comprehensive requirements for CO₂ adsorbents are also discussed in a recent review by Sayari et al. (2011). In fact, it is arbitrary to gain any quantitative conclusions from Figure 3 as the influence of the different factors on the three key elements may vary according to different CO₂ capture environments, but it is obvious that development of suitable materials for CO₂ capture is indeed the key challenge.

AIMS AND SCOPE OF THIS REVIEW
In this review, recent advances on researches of solid adsorbents for CO₂ capture is summarized, readers who are interested in other CO₂ capture technologies such as absorption, oxy-fuel, and chemical looping are directed to references (Bara et al., 2010; Blamey et al., 2010; Karadas et al., 2010; Tøttegaard et al., 2010; Du et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2012; Sunil and Merched, 2014). We will focus on the low-temperature adsorbents because they can (i) potentially match the requirements in Figure 3 and (ii) be suitable for using in the integrated energy–chemical solutions (Figure 2). Readers who are interested in mid-high-temperature CO₂ adsorbents (which are very important materials for CO₂ treatment as well) are directed to references (Choi et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2011a,b; Donat et al., 2012; Ridha et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2013, 2014). At the same time, some of the emerging adsorbents such as metal organic frameworks (MOFs), covalent organic frameworks (COFs) where material cannot yet be prepared at large-scale for the moment will not be covered. Readers who are interested in these adsorbents are directed to several excellent reviews published recently (Choi et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2011a,b; Dawson et al., 2012; Sumida et al., 2012).

CARBON GENERAL INFORMATION
Carbon-based materials such as activated carbons (ACs), carbon nano-tubes (CNTs) have been used in gas separation for a long time (Bradley, 2011; Ren et al., 2011; Ma et al., 2013;
Sevilla and Mokaya, 2014), and therefore, it is not surprising that various carbons are prepared and tested as adsorbents for CO₂ capture. Basically, carbon-based materials can be prepared by high-temperature treatment of carbon-containing substance in an inert atmosphere, (carbonization, pre-treatments may be applied before carbonization in some cases), the obtained carbons can be further treated by activation, where the carbons are partially gasified by reaction with an activating agent (H₂O steam, CO₂, and KOH), leading to enhanced porosity (microporosity, particularly). Functionalization of carbon materials may be performed at any stage of their preparation to further modify the surface chemistry toward desired tasks.

Activated carbons are characterized by their high surface areas (typically >1000 m²/g) and low chemical activity, therefore, they have been typically characterized as physical adsorbents (Sayari et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011a). Consequently, adsorption of CO₂ on carbon surface was believed to rely on the highly developed porous structure. It was reported that narrow microporosity (<0.7 nm) is vitally important for CO₂ adsorption at low pressures (~1 bar), while at elevated pressures, larger pores are gradually occupied, which means the adsorption occurs via a “pore-filling” mechanism. Such a theory has been verified by some authors via the linear relationship between the measured CO₂ adsorption capacities and the volumes of pores with different sizes as illustrated in Figures 4 and 5 (Martin et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2013). More recently, Hu et al. used a more sophisticated method (Quenched-Solid Density Functional Theory) to analyze the low-temperature N₂ adsorption data, by which high-resolution pore distributions of a series of petroleum coke-derived carbons could be obtained. This enabled conclusions to be drawn that at atmospheric pressure, high-density CO₂ filled the pores smaller than 1 nm, upon increasing pressure, larger pores are filled (up to about 4 nm at 10 bar; Hu et al., 2011). Similar conclusions have also been achieved by using Grand Canonical Monte Carlo simulation and Non-Local Density Functional Theory (NLDFT) (Martin-Martinez et al., 1995; Cazorla-Amoros et al., 1996; Vishnyakov et al., 1999; Wei et al., 2012).

Merits for carbon adsorbents include low cost, fast kinetics (equilibrium adsorption can be achieved within less than 10 min), ultra-high stability, easy-to-regenerate, hydrophobicity, and most importantly, high adsorption capacities at elevated (partial) pressures, these features make them well suited to IGCC applications (Choi et al., 2009; Shafeeyan et al., 2010). Their main disadvantage currently is the low capacities at low (partial) pressures due to the inert surface chemistry. To circumvent this issue, some attempts with encouraging results have been published on the modification and/or activation of carbons to strengthen the surface affinity toward CO₂, by which the adsorption occurs to be more “chemical.” All these will be overviewed in the following sections, some of the key parameters for carbon adsorbents reported in the literature are summarized in Table 1.

### PURE CARBONS FROM DIFFERENT PRECURSORS

As mentioned above, carbon materials can be prepared by carbonization of almost all carbon-containing substances. In this section, pure carbons without any hetero-atom modification for CO₂ capture that have been developed are summarized.

#### POLYMERS AS PRECURSORS

Polymers have been frequently used as precursors for preparing carbon-based CO₂ adsorbents since the purity, porous structure, macroscopic morphologies, and surface chemistries can be easily tuned by optimization and appropriate pre-treatment of the polymer precursors. The most used polymer precursors were phenolic resins due to their relatively low costs (An et al., 2009; Martin et al., 2011; de Souza et al., 2013; Garcia et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2013; Wickramaratne and Jaroniec, 2013). By varying the formaldehyde-to-phenol ratio and catalysts during synthesis, resol and novolac phenolic resins were prepared by Pevida et al., after carbonization of the resulted resins and subsequent activation in a CO₂ atmosphere, microporous carbons were obtained with CO₂ adsorption capacities of around 10.8 wt. % (25°C and 1 bar), which further increased to 44.7 wt. % at a pressure of 25 bar on the best sample
Table 1 | Key parameters for carbon-based adsorbents.

| Reference                     | Precursor(s)                  | Activation agent | Adsorption temperature (°C) | Adsorption (partial) pressure (bar) | Adsorption capacity (wt. %) |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Martin et al. (2011)          | Phenolic resin                | CO₂              | 25                            | 1                                   | 10.8                        |
| Sun et al. (2013)             | Phenolic resin                | –                | 30                            | 1                                   | 44.7                        |
| Hu et al. (2011)              | Petroleum coke                | KOH              | 25                            | 1                                   | 15.2                        |
| Wei et al. (2012)             | Granular bamboo               | KOH              | 0                             | 25                                  | 30.8                        |
| Wickramaratne and Jaroniec    | Phenolic resin                | KOH              | 0                             | 23                                  | 39.1                        |
| de Souza et al. (2013)        | Phenolic resin                | KOH              | 0                             | 25                                  | 30.8                        |
| An et al. (2009)              | Phenolic resin                | CO₂              | 20                            | 1                                   | 10.0                        |
| Ben et al. (2012)             | PAF-1                         | –                | 0                             | 1                                   | 19.8                        |
| Zhang et al. (2013)           | PAF-1                         | –                | 22                            | 1                                   | 18.2                        |
| Sevilla and Fuertes (2011)    | Biomass                       | KOH              | 0                             | 25                                  | 28.8                        |
| Wahby et al. (2010)           | Petroleum coke                | KOH              | 0                             | 25                                  | 38.0                        |
| Silvestre-Albero et al. (2011)| Petroleum residual            | KOH              | 25                            | 1                                   | 20.8                        |
| Shao et al. (2011)            | Coal tar pitches              | KOH              | 25                            | 18                                  | 90.9                        |
| Presser et al. (2011)         | Carbide                       | KOH              | 0                             | 25                                  | 30.4                        |
| Liu et al. (2011)             | Phenolic resin                | –                | 25                            | 1                                   | 14.5                        |
| Sevilla et al. (2011)         | Polypyrrole                   | KOH              | 0                             | 25                                  | 25.9                        |
| Shen et al. (2011)            | Polycrylonitrile              | KOH              | 25                            | 1                                   | 19.8                        |
| Nandi et al. (2012)           | Polycrylonitrile              | KOH              | 25                            | 1                                   | 50.6                        |
| Chen et al. (2012)            | Melamine polymer              | –                | 25                            | 1                                   | 14.1                        |
| Chandra et al. (2012)         | Polypyrrole                   | KOH              | 25                            | 1                                   | 18.9                        |
| Zhao et al. (2012a)           | p-diaminobenzene, furfural alcohol | KOH              | 25                            | 0.2                                  | 7.7                         |
| Li et al. (2010)              | Ethylenediamine, carbon tetrachloride | –              | 25                            | 1                                   | 12.6                        |
| Xia et al. (2011)             | Acetonitrile                  | –                | 0                             | 1                                   | 12.6                        |
| Pevida et al. (2008)          | Melamine polymer              | –                | 25                            | 1                                   | 9.9                         |
| Xia et al. (2012)             | Reduced-graphene-oxide/poly-thiophene | KOH              | 25                            | 1                                   | 19.8                        |
| Zhao et al. (2012b)           | p-diaminobenzene              | KOH              | 25                            | 0.1                                  | 7.1                         |
| Hao et al. (2011)             | Polybenzoxazine-co-resol      | –                | 25                            | 1                                   | 14.5                        |
| Hao et al. (2010)             | Phenolic resin                | –                | 25                            | 1                                   | 14.1                        |
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The adsorption capacities of the phenolic resin-based adsorbents can be effectively improved by KOH activation (20.2 wt. % at 25°C and 1 bar) or alternatively, by inducing a second carbon source during preparation (ca. 13.0 wt. % at 25°C and 1 bar; An et al., 2009; de Souza et al., 2013; Wickramaratne and Jaroniec, 2013).

In addition to phenolic resins, Qiu and co-workers carbonized porous aromatic frameworks PAF-1 (Ben et al., 2009), and an all-carbon-scaffold microporous carbon was thus prepared, which adsorbs 19.8 wt. % CO₂ at 0°C and 1 bar, and the CO₂/N₂ adsorption selectivity is as high as 209 in a 15/85 CO₂/N₂ mixture as calculated by the Ideal Adsorption Solution Theory (IAST) (Ben et al., 2012). Similar to the strategy of Feng et al. (An et al., 2009), adsorbents were prepared by embedding an extra carbon source into the PAF-1 framework and, following carbonization, the resulted sample gave a CO₂ adsorption capacity of 18.2 wt. % as compared to 15.5 wt. % over the bare PAF-1-derived carbon owing to the introduction of ultra-narrow pores (<0.8 nm) that are very effective for CO₂ adsorption at low (partial) pressure as previously mentioned (Zhang et al., 2013).

**Carbons from biomass**

Biomass represents a cost-effective and widely available option for the preparation of carbon materials (Mohan and Pittman, 2006; White et al., 2009; Kalyani and Anitha, 2013). For example, CO₂ could be substantially adsorbed on olive stone or almond shell (both are low-cost biomass residuals) derived carbon materials (Plaza et al., 2009). In Fuertes et al.’s work, hydrochar that was obtained by hydrothermal treatment of selected biomass was submitted to KOH activation, a high CO₂ adsorption capacity of 21.2 wt. % was achieved at 25°C and 1 bar (Sevilla and Fuertes, 2011). Similarly, carbonization of bamboo followed by KOH activation led to ACs with almost the same CO₂ adsorption capacity at identical conditions (Wei et al., 2012).

**Carbons from pitches**

Carbons with superior adsorption capacity toward CO₂ were prepared from KOH activation of petroleum pitch by Silvestre-Albero et al., the nature of the petroleum residue, the KOH/pitch ratio, the mesophase content, and the preparation conditions are optimized, the best sample achieved a CO₂ adsorption capacity of 20.2 wt. % at 25°C and 1 bar with excellent selectivity (~100% for CO₂/CH₄ separation and 14% for CO₂/N₂ separation), the performance was claimed to be highly exceeding that for MOFs (Wahby et al., 2010; Silvestre-Albero et al., 2011). Employing similar fabrication protocol (carbonization-KOH activation), Cao et al. prepared ACs with high surface areas (~3000 m²/g) from coal tar pitches (Shao et al., 2011), the samples showed relatively weak CO₂ adsorption at low pressures (<10 wt. % at 25°C and 1 bar), surprisingly, with the increasing of pressure, significant enhancement of adsorption was observed with an extremely high CO₂ uptake of nearly 90 wt. % at 18 bar.

**Carbons from other precursors**

In contrast to the traditional precursors described above, some alternative materials are emerging and have been tested by several researchers. For example, deep eutectic solvents composed of resorcinol, 4-hexylresorcinol, and tetraethylammonium bromide were used by Monte et al. for the preparation of carbons with good capacities for CO₂ adsorption (up to 10.0 wt. % at 25°C and 1 bar; Patino et al., 2012). Gogotsi et al. treated titanium carbide powders in chlorine and hydrogen, after activation, one of the samples exhibited a high CO₂ adsorption capacity of 31.2 wt. % at 0°C and 1 bar, a linearship between CO₂ uptake and the pore volume of narrow micropores was observed confirming their importance to the adsorption of CO₂ at low pressures (Presser et al., 2011). More recently, Srinivas prepared a novel carbon materials by direct carbonization of MOFs, thanks to the releasing of CO₂, CO₂, and gaseous Zn (which is formed by the reduction of ZnO during carbonization), a hierarchically porous structure with simultaneous high surface area and pore volume can be obtained, and thus the obtained samples adsorbed ~15.0 and 119.0 wt. % CO₂ at 1 and 30 bar, respectively (27°C) (Srinivas et al., 2014).

**HETERO-ATOM MODIFIED CARBONS**

One of the challenges for the development of carbon-based CO₂ adsorbents is to enhance their adsorption capacities at relatively low pressures by strengthening their surface affinity toward the CO₂ molecule. The most studied strategy to address this issue is modification of the carbon framework with hetero-atoms, such as nitrogen, sulfur, and metallic atoms.

**Nitrogen-modified carbons**

Nitrogen-bearing functionalities are basic in nature, they interact more strongly with the acidic CO₂ molecule as compared with the neutral carbon framework. Therefore, introduction of these groups into carbons has been investigated extensively as a means to increase the CO₂ adsorption capability. There are basically two approaches to involve nitrogen into the carbon frameworks, namely post-synthesis treatment and using nitrogen-bearing precursors.

To introduce nitrogen by post-synthesis treatment, thermal treatment in ammonia gas is normally used since it decomposes at elevated temperatures to nitrogen-bearing radicals such as NH₃ and NH that are particularly active and can attack the carbon framework leading to the modification of the carbon surface by anchoring of nitrogen-bearing functionalities. By thermal treatment of commercially available ACs and biomass-derived carbons in ammonia, it was confirmed that nitrogen functional groups were successfully introduced into the carbon framework, and an increase of CO₂ adsorption was observed on the modified samples as compared with the pristine one (Przepiorski et al., 2004). A similar effect of amination in enhancing the adsorption of CO₂ has also been reported based on the modification of ordered mesoporous carbons, which showed an adsorption capacity of 14.5 wt. % at 25°C and 1 bar (Liu et al., 2011). In fact, the amount of nitrogen that can be introduced into the carbon framework by post-synthesis treatment is low (<5 wt. %), which led to limited increases in CO₂ uptakes, although a pre-oxidation step would benefit the introduction of nitrogen (Shafeeyan et al., 2011), more effective methodologies are yet to be developed.

Direct carbonization of nitrogen-bearing precursors will lead to the in situ retention of nitrogen as various functionalities in the produced carbons. This has been demonstrated to be a more...
promising means for the synthesis of carbon-based CO$_2$ adsorbents as compared with the post-synthesis method in terms of the amount of nitrogen that can be introduced into the carbon framework. Nitrogen-bearing polymers are excellent candidates as precursors for the preparation of CO$_2$ adsorbents owing to their wide availability. To such a purpose, polyacrylonitrile (PAN), polyacrylonitrile-block-poly($n$-butyl acrylate) (PAN-b-PBA), melamine modified phenolic resins, and polypyrrole have been carbonized and activated by several authors. Evaluation of these materials indicated high CO$_2$ adsorption capacities up to 22.6 wt. % (25°C, 1 bar) with excellent kinetics, selectivity, and regenerability (Drage et al., 2007; Sevilla et al., 2011; Shen et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2012; Nandi et al., 2012; Zhong et al., 2012).

In Kim’s work, polypyrrole was combined with graphene sheets by \textit{in situ} polymerization of pyrrole in graphene oxide using ammonium persulfate and subsequent reduction using hydrazine. Such a combination offered a solution to the small surface area and high cost of polypyrrole when used for CO$_2$ capture, and the resulted composite adsorbent possessed a high CO$_2$ adsorption capacity of 18.9 wt. % at 25°C and 1 bar (Chandra et al., 2012).

Hard templating represents an alternative route for the preparation of nitrogen-modified carbons by using wet chemistry and/or chemical vapor deposition. Nitrogen-bearing precursors with a “pre-defined porous structure” can be obtained by introducing precursors into the matrix of the sacrificial template, which facilitates the efficacy of subsequent carbonization and activation. Following this strategy, Han et al. developed porous carbon materials by using a tri-continuous mesoporous silica IBN-9 as a hard template, the resulted samples after KOH activation exhibited very high CO$_2$ uptakes of 19.8 and 46.3 wt. % at 1 and 8 bar, respectively (25°C) (Zhao et al., 2012a). Similar results by using other templates and carbon precursors are reported by a number of groups (Pevida et al., 2008; Li et al., 2010; Xia et al., 2011; Wang and Yang, 2012).

**Non-nitrogen atom modified carbons**

Park and co-workers employed a copper/nickel-electroplating method to prepare copper oxide and nickel oxide-decorated carbons, it was found that the introduction of these metal oxides into the carbon framework enhanced the adsorption of CO$_2$ substantially due to the electron donating effect of copper and nickel oxides. However, the adsorption capacity of these metal oxide modified carbons are relatively low (<10 wt. % at 25°C and 1 bar) as compared with those of nitrogen-modified carbons (Jang and Park, 2012; Kim et al., 2012).

Sulfur-doped carbons were prepared and evaluated for CO$_2$ capture by Kim et al., the microporosity, surface area, and oxidized sulfur content of the material were found to be the determining factors for CO$_2$ adsorption, with the highest CO$_2$ uptake reported being 19.8 wt. % at 25°C and 1 bar (Seema et al., 2014). Xia et al. used zeolite EMC-2 as a hard template to fabricate sulfur modified carbons, a much lower adsorption capacity of 11.0 wt. % was reported, most probably because activation was not carried out. However, an ultra-high CO$_2$ adsorption heat of 59 kJ/mol was calculated at low CO$_2$ coverage, this result indicates the promise of the sulfur modified carbons to be used in low-pressure CO$_2$ adsorption applications, and therefore further studies are needed (Xia et al., 2012).

It is worth mentioning here that in a study by Han et al., extra-framework potassium cation was introduced into a nitrogen containing carbon material attempting to combine the strong affinity from both basic sites, a high CO$_2$ uptake at 25°C of 7.13 wt. % at only 0.1 bar (more relevant to CO$_2$ capture from flue gas) was reached with excellent adsorption selectivity, which can be related to the enhanced surface electrostatic interactions (Zhao et al., 2012b).

**CARBONS WITH ORDERED MACROSCOPIC MORPHOLOGIES**

As illustrated in Figure 3, adsorbents that can be used in practical CO$_2$ capture applications will have to be fabricated in certain physical forms, however, most of the reported carbon-based CO$_2$ adsorbents are powders with very low densities. Therefore, they need to be further fabricated via pelletization or granulation process with the aid of binders or additives, which can often lead to significant loss in their adsorption performance (Williams, 2001; Davis, 2002; Kadib et al., 2009; Lohe et al., 2009; Wen et al., 2010; Hao et al., 2011). Correspondingly, it is of great promise to directly prepare carbon adsorbents with ordered macroscopic morphologies. To this end, using polymers as precursors, advantage of their ability to be easily fabricated to desired forms can be taken, which can potentially be retained in the produced carbons. By carbonization of monolithic polymer precursors, Lu’s group developed a nitrogen-modified carbon monolith with a high mechanical strength, which exhibited CO$_2$ adsorption capacities up to 14.5 wt. % at 25°C and 1 bar (Hao et al., 2010, 2011). Uyama et al. dissolved PAN in a mixture of hot DMSO and H$_2$O, where cooling of the solution led to the phase separation and resulted in a PAN monolith, and CO$_2$ uptakes up to 22.6 wt. % (25°C and 1° bar) were obtained after carbonization (Nandi et al., 2012). Sun et al. developed a hydrothermal process to prepare phenolic resin beads, after carbonization and subsequent modification, carbon beads with a diameter of ca. 0.7 mm were obtained. Nitrogen-bearing functionalities can be introduced by either post-synthesis treatment of the beads or directly preparing melamine modified resin beads, the samples exhibited CO$_2$ uptakes of ca. 10.0 and 40.0 wt. % at 1 and 40 bar, respectively (30°C) (Sun et al., 2013).

In summary, the development of carbon-based CO$_2$ adsorbents with high performance has been extensively investigated recently with some encouraging results emerging. However, important challenges remain unsolved such as enhancement of adsorption capacities at low (partial) pressures by surface modification, lowering the cost of preparation by using biomass or wastes as precursors, and so on.

**IMMOBILIZED ORGANIC AMINES**

**GENERAL INFORMATION**

Immobilization of organic amines into the pores of supporting materials (normally SiO$_2$) is one of the most studied adsorbent systems for CO$_2$ capture after Song and co-workers described the concept of “molecular basket” (Xu et al., 2002, 2003). The basic idea is dispersing organic amines, which are normally liquids of high viscosity, in a porous support to best expose the basic amine...
groups as adsorption sites, and thus the mass transfer difficulties in pure amines can be circumvented (Figure 6).

The basic adsorption mechanism of these materials is the chemical reaction between amine groups and CO$_2$ that is similar to aqueous amine scrubbing as shown by Eqs 2 and 3 (Sayari et al., 2011).

\[
2 (RNH$_2$) + CO$_2$ $\leftrightarrow$ RNHCO$_2^-$RNH$_3^-$ \tag{2}
\]

\[
\text{RNH}_2 + \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{RNH}_3^+\text{HCO}_3^- \tag{3}
\]

Primary and secondary amines react with CO$_2$ following a zwitterion mechanism where water or another amine group is needed. Consequently, two amine groups are required to capture one CO$_2$ molecule in dry conditions (Eq. 2), while in the presence of water, bicarbonate can be formed to potentially double the amine efficiency as indicated in Eq. 3. For tertiary amines, H$_2$O is necessary for CO$_2$ adsorption via the formation of bicarbonate. It should be noted that when taking the surface characteristics of the immobilized amine adsorbents into consideration, the adsorption mechanism becomes more complicated. For example, amine groups may react with the surface silanol groups leading to amine efficiency lower than expected, and some of the initially formed carboxylic acid may remain unchanged due to the lack of amine groups at close vicinity (Knöfel et al., 2009; Bollini et al., 2011). Even in the presence of H$_2$O, the formation of carbamates is kinetically favored over bicarbonate although the latter is more stable. The co-existence of the two competing reactions as well as the potential mass transfer barriers from the surface to bulk amine groups suggests that the CO$_2$ adsorption by immobilized amines will depend at least partially on contact time (Vaidya and Kenig, 2007; Serna-Guerrero et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2009).

According to Jones et al., the immobilized amine adsorbents can be classified into three categories (Choi et al., 2009; Bollini et al., 2011), namely (i) those prepared from physical deposition/combination of pre-synthesized amines onto SiO$_2$ (Class 1), (ii) those materials with covalent bonds between the amines and the SiO$_2$ support (Class 2), and (iii) those prepared by the in situ polymerization of reactive amine monomers on and in the silica support. Figure 7 reproduced from Bollini et al. (2011) illustrates the difference between these materials, and this section will be organized according to this classification, key parameters for immobilized amine adsorbents can be found in Tables 2–4.

**INTRODUCTION OF AMINE BY PHYSICAL DEPOSITION/COMBINATION (CLASS 1)**

**Effect of support**

For the Class 1 immobilized amine adsorbents, pre-synthesized organic amines are firstly dissolved in methanol or H$_2$O, porous SiO$_2$ is then added and the formed slurry is stirred and dried to remove the solvent, leading to the formation of amine–SiO$_2$ hybrid materials that amines are dispersed and filled into the pores of SiO$_2$. Therefore, the nature of support is of great importance to the performance of the adsorbents by providing enough dispersing spaces (Bollini et al., 2011).

The most widely investigated supports are ordered mesoporous SiO$_2$ such as MCM-41 and SBA-15. It was reported that upon loading of polyethylenimine (PEI) up to a certain level, the porous structure of the original supports can be roughly reserved although the surface area and pore volume decreased drastically. Accordingly, the adsorption capacities of the resulted adsorbents increased with the loading of PEI before a maximum value (ca. 14.0 and 18.0 wt. % for MCM-41 and SBA-15 supports, respectively at 75°C and 15/85% CO$_2$/N$_2$) is reached, after which over-loading of the amines led to the decreasing of capacities as well as the adsorption kinetics. It is believed that under extreme high amine loadings, all the pores are filled and the outer surface of SiO$_2$ will be coated by the sticky amines, leading to limited accessibility of the bulk amine molecules toward CO$_2$ as illustrated in Figure 8(Xu et al., 2003). According to this model, the maximum amount of amines that a SiO$_2$ support can accommodate depends upon its pore volume, and this hypothesis has been verified by experimental observations that when the amount of the used amine is larger than that calculated according to the pore volume of the support and the density of amine, the resultant adsorbent appears to be sticky and gel-like. The high viscosity of the organic amines will also cause difficulties in CO$_2$ diffusion from the surface to the bulk amines, therefore a two-stage adsorption kinetics was observed (a fast surface reaction stage followed by a slower diffusion-controlling stage; Wang and Song, 2012; Chen et al., 2013), and when adsorption temperature was considered, it appears that rather than lower temperatures, the highest capacities were typically obtained at 75°C so as to get rid of the diffusion barrier.

The effectiveness of larger pore volumes in promoting the performance of the adsorbents was further evidenced by comparing materials including MCM-41, MCM-48, SBA-15, SBA-16, KIT-6,
and two hexagonal mesoporous silicas by Ahn et al. (Son et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2010). Based on similar principle, commercialized fumed silica with large pore volumes (>1 cm$^3$/g) was also tested to support PEI as CO$_2$ adsorbents (Goeppert et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2014a,b). Recently, Giannelis and co-workers prepared a silica foam with ultra-large mesopores (1.46 cm$^3$/g) so that 80 wt. % PEI can be loaded, a high uptake of ca. 25.5 wt. % (75°C and 1 bar) with fast adsorption kinetics was observed (Qi et al., 2012). Similar capacities were achieved from the same group by using mesoporous SiO$_2$ capsules as a support (Qi et al., 2011), the measured pore volume of the capsules was not high, however, the hollow cores provided abundant space for the accommodation of amines, leading to the exceptionally high adsorption capacities.

Effect of amines
Amine groups are the main adsorption sites and, therefore, the type of the amine is another decisive factor in determining the performance of the adsorbents. The most studied amines for impregnating SiO$_2$ support are tetraethylenepentamine (TEPA) and PEIs with different molecular weights. Basically, owing to the higher concentration of amine groups, larger amounts of primary amine, and lower viscosity, higher capacities can be obtained by using TEPA as compared with that of PEIs. However, the cyclic stability of using TEPA is questionable due to its lower thermal stability which led to amine loss during desorption at elevated temperatures (Chen et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2010; Qi et al., 2011). A similar theory can be applied to linear PEI as well. In contrast, in spite of the relatively lower adsorption capacity, PEIs with higher molecular mass or a branched structure possessed better thermal stability leading to a stable performance during multi-adsorption/desorption cycles (Goeppert et al., 2010).

Non-SiO$_2$ support
Comparable adsorption capacities have also been reported using supports other than SiO$_2$ (Wang et al., 2011c, 2012; Chen et al., 2013). For example, low-cost carbons were used by Song et al.
Table 2 | Key parameters for Class 1 immobilized amine adsorbents.

| Reference       | Support     | Amine | Amine loading (wt. %) | Adsorption temperature (°C) | Adsorption (partial) pressure (bar) | Adsorption capacity (wt. %) |
|-----------------|-------------|-------|-----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Xu et al. (2002, 2003) | MCM-41      | PEI   | 75                    | 1                          | 13.3                               |
| Chen et al. (2013)     | Resin       | PEI   | 75                    | 0.15                       | 13.0                               |
| Son et al. (2008)      | MCM-41      | PEI   | 50                    | 75                         | 11.1                               |
|                         | MCM-48      | PEI   | 50                    | 1                          | 11.9                               |
|                         | SBA-15      | PEI   | 75                    | 1                          | 12.7                               |
|                         | SBA-16      | PEI   | 75                    | 1                          | 12.9                               |
|                         | KIT-6       | PEI   | 75                    | 1                          | 13.5                               |
| Chen et al. (2010)     | HMS         | PEI   | 60                    | 75                         | 1                                   |
| Goeppert et al. (2010) | Fumed SiO₂ | PEI   | 50                    | 85                         | 16.5                               |
| Qi et al. (2012)       | SiO₂ foam   | PEI   | 80                    | 75                         | 25.5                               |
| Qi et al. (2011)       | SiO₂ capsules | PEI | 83                    | 75                         | 19.6                               |
|                         |             | TEPA  | 75                    | 0.1                        | 24.5                               |
| Wang et al. (2011c, 2012) | Carbon    | PEI   | 50                    | 75                         | 1                                   |
| Chaikittisilp et al. (2011a) | γ-Alumina | PEI   | 48                    | 25                         | 8.6                                 |

Table 3 | Key parameters for Class 2 immobilized amine adsorbents.

| Reference             | Supporting material | Grafted amine                          | Adsorption temperature (°C) | Adsorption (partial) pressure (bar) | Adsorption capacity (wt. %) |
|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Serna-Guerrero et al. | Pore-expanded MCM-41| Triamine-containing silane             | 25                         | 0.05                               | 9.0                        |
| Zelenak et al. (2008) | MCM-41 SBA-12 SBA-15 | 3-Aminopropyltriethoxysilane           | 25                         | 0.1                                | 2.6                        |
|                       |                      |                                        |                            |                                    | 4.5                        |
|                       |                      |                                        |                            |                                    | 6.7                        |
| Zukal et al. (2009)   | ITQ-6               | 3-Aminopropyltrimethoxysilane [3-(Methylamino)propyl]trimethoxysilane [3-(Phenylamino)propyl]trimethoxysilane | 20                         | 1                                  | 5.3                        |
|                       |                      |                                        |                            |                                    | 4.2                        |
|                       |                      |                                        |                            |                                    | 2.2                        |
| Yang et al. (2012)    | MCM-22 MCM-36 ITQ-2 | 3-Aminopropyltrimethoxysilane           | 25                         | 1                                  | 6.7                        |
|                       |                      |                                        |                            |                                    | 5.3                        |
|                       |                      |                                        |                            |                                    | 7.6                        |

Table 4 | Key parameters for Class 3 immobilized amine adsorbents.

| Reference        | Supporting material | Organic precursors | Adsorption temperature (°C) | Adsorption (partial) pressure (bar) | Adsorption capacity (wt. %) |
|------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Liang et al. (2008) | SBA-15             | Melamine-based dendrimers | 20                         | 0.9                                | 4.3                         |
| Liang et al. (2009) | MCF               | Melamine-based dendrimers | 20                         | 0.9                                | 3.9                         |
| Hicks et al. (2008) and Drexel et al. (2009) | SBA-15 | Polymerized aziridine | 75                         | 0.1                                | 8.8                         |

INTRODUCTION OF AMINES BY GRAFTING (CLASS 2)

Class 2 immobilized amine adsorbents are those materials where the amines are covalently bonded with the framework of a "supporting" material. They are typically prepared by surface grafting based on the reaction between silanol groups on the surface of SiO₂ and the alkoxy groups in an aminosilane molecule.

A number of papers on Class 2 adsorbents are contributed from Sayari’s group based on a pore-expended MCM-41 support, by grafting either a monoamine silane or triamine silane.
the materials achieved CO\textsubscript{2} capacities of 9.9 and 12.3 wt. % at 0.15 and 1 bar (25°C), respectively (Serna-Guerrero et al., 2008, 2010), and the materials can be used in a variety of environments (Belmabkhout et al., 2010). Other mesoporous silicas that have been tested for the preparation of Class 2 adsorbents include SBA-12, SBA-15, MCM-41, MCM-22, MCM-36, ITQ-2, ITQ-6, and silica microspheres (Zelenak et al., 2008; Zukal et al., 2009; Belmabkhout et al., 2010; Serna-Guerrero et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2012). In contrast to Class 1 adsorbents, pore volumes of the supports for Class 2 are less important, and it was reported that the effectiveness of these materials is influenced by the surface area, pore diameter, pore connectivity, and most importantly, the density of the surface silanol groups. This is expectable since the maximum amount of aminosilane that can be grafted onto SiO\textsubscript{2} is determined by the amount of silanol groups existing on the surface. A good demonstration of this relationship was presented by Yang et al., where the density of surface silanol groups was tuned by using different template removal procedures, and higher amine loadings can be achieved on the sample with more silanol groups, resulting in a higher CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption capacity and selectivity (Wang and Yang, 2011).

It is necessary to mention here that, although several authors have tried to enhance the grafting process by surface pre-treatment (Harlick and Sayari, 2007; Zhao et al., 2010), the amount of amine groups that can be introduced is still low as compared with Class 1 adsorbents, leading to a substantially lower adsorption capacities which represents a major disadvantage of this type of adsorbents. Merits of Class 2 adsorbents are obvious such as the better-preserved textural properties of the supporting framework, and more importantly, since the amines are covalently bonded with SiO\textsubscript{2}, their interactions with the support are stronger, leading to a better stability as compared with Class 1 adsorbents.

FIGURE 8 | Schematic diagram of PEI loaded in the mesoporous molecular sieve of MCM-41 (A) MCM-41 support; (B) low PEI loading; (C) high PEI loading; (D) extremely high PEI loading, reproduced from Ma et al. (2009).

CLASS 3

Class 3 immobilized amine adsorbents are characterized by the in situ polymerization of amines inside the pores of a silica material. Chaffe and co-workers used a stepwise growth strategy to induce melamine-based dendrimers into the pores of SiO\textsubscript{2}, with up to ca. 55 wt. % organic loadings could be achieved (fourth generation) leading to a substantially higher CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption (4.0 wt. % at 20°C and 90% CO\textsubscript{2}–10% Ar) as compared with the bare support (Liang et al., 2008, 2009). Jones et al. reported a hyper-branched aminosilica (HAS) material for CO\textsubscript{2} capture that was synthesized by surface polymerization of aziridine initiated by the silanols on the surface of SBA-15, the resultant adsorbent exhibited a CO\textsubscript{2} uptake of ca. 8.8 wt. % at 75°C in a wet 10% CO\textsubscript{2}–90% Ar stream (Hicks et al., 2008; Drese et al., 2009). Later, an investigation into the effect of support on the polymerization of aziridine was carried out by the same group, but higher loadings could not be achieved by using pore-expanded SiO\textsubscript{2} materials, revealing that fabricating and controlling of the in situ polymerization may be more complicated than expected (Drese et al., 2012). Another example of the Class 3 adsorbents was synthesized by in situ ring-opening polymerization of Z-protected lysine N-carboxyanhydride also by Jones’ group (Chaikittisilp et al., 2011b).

Compared to Class 1 and 2 adsorbents, it was claimed that the Class 3 counterpart combined the advantages of the first two types, namely increased amount of amine groups with covalent bonding with the support, leading to a thermal stable adsorbents with higher adsorption capacities (Hicks et al., 2008; Drese et al., 2009, 2012; Liang et al., 2009). However, it seems that the actual CO\textsubscript{2} uptakes of Class 3 adsorbents are relatively low and, therefore, further increasing the loading and/or the efficiency of the in situ formed polymers should be addressed in future works.

DEGRADATION AND REJUVENATION OF IMMOBILIZED AMINE ADSORBENTS

In most of the literatures, a gradual decreasing of adsorption capacity of the immobilized amine adsorbents has been observed owing to thermal and/or oxidative degradation (Heydari-Gorji and Sayari, 2012). According to Sayari et al., formation of urea-like compounds in dry capturing conditions caused irreversible adsorption and finally leading to the deactivation of the adsorbents, the deactivated adsorbents can be fully recovered by treatment in steam-containing gases, and thus using wet CO\textsubscript{2} as a purge gas could provide opportunities to stabilized separation of CO\textsubscript{2} (Sayari and Belmabkhout, 2010; Sayari et al., 2012). More recently, an in-depth investigation on the oxidative degradation was reported by the same group, C = O and –CH = N– species were conclusively identified by 1D and 2D NMR techniques (Ahmadalinezhad and Sayari, 2014). Nevertheless, the mechanism of amine degradation induced by different factors remains unclarified, and it is of particular importance to move to a further step, namely how the deactivated amines can be rejuvenated, this is also important to liquid amine scrubbing technologies since the degradation of solvents represents a major contributor to the entire costs of the process.

To conclude, immobilization of organic amines results in a series of adsorbents particularly suited to low (partial) pressure
CO₂ capture due to the chemical adsorption that occurs, which makes these materials the most suitable candidates for flue gas treatment. Therefore, significant research efforts have been devoted to this area. Currently, all three classes of adsorbents have their own pros and cons, and further innovations and solutions are still needed. Furthermore, the degradation of amines in practical CO₂ capture conditions was an universal observation for all the three classes of adsorbents and understanding the involved mechanisms together with further treatments to mitigate degradation are important.

### CONCLUDING REMARKS AND OVERALL PERSPECTIVES

CO₂ capture is an indispensable component for CCUS. Based on our analysis however, the deployment of CO₂ capture goes far beyond CCUS and, in the future, it will connect the CO₂ emission and recycling sectors, leading to a profitable near carbon-zero or even carbon-negative energy–chemical production and consumption networks. Thus, highly efficient CO₂ capture processes, such as using solid adsorbents and others (such as chemical looping, oxy-fuel), are the enablers for integrated energy–chemical solutions with higher efficiencies. In this review, the recent advances for adsorbents for low-temperature (<100°C) CO₂ capture have been covered, namely carbon-based adsorbents and amine immobilized adsorbents (Table 5).

- **Carbon**
  - High at elevated pressures, low at elevated temperatures

- **Immobile organic amines**
  - High at low pressures and medium temperatures (60–75°C)

#### Table 5 | Features of different adsorbents.

| Classification | Capacity | Selectivity | Kinetics | Stability | Preparation cost |
|----------------|----------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|
| Carbon         | High at elevated pressures, low at elevated temperatures | Low-medium | Fast (<10 min to reach equilibrium capacity) | Good | Low-medium |
| Immobilized organic amines | High at low pressures and medium temperatures (60–75°C) | High | Slow at lower temperatures and when amines are over loaded (>20 min to reach equilibrium capacity) | Capacity decreases at prolonged adsorption–desorption cycles | Medium–high |
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