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Abstract. We describe a method to accurately measure the light scattering model parameters from forward-directed flux (FDF) measurements carried out with a fiber-optic probe (optrode). Improved determination of light scattering parameters will, in turn, permit better modeling and interpretation of optical mapping in the heart using voltage-sensitive dyes. Using our optrode-based system, we carried out high spatial resolution measurements of FDF in intact and homogenized cardiac tissue, as well as in intralipid-based tissue phantoms. The samples were illuminated with a broad collimated beam at 660 and 532 nm. Measurements were performed with a plunge fiber-optic probe (NA = 0.22) at a spatial resolution of up to 10 μm. In the vicinity of the illuminated surface, the FDF consistently manifested a slow decaying exponent with a space constant comparable with the decay rate of ballistc photons. Using a Monte Carlo model, we obtained a simple empirical formula linking the rate of the fast exponent to the scattering coefficient, the anisotropy parameter g, and the numerical aperture of the probe. The estimates of scattering coefficient based on this formula were validated in tissue phantoms. Potential applications of optical fiber-based FDF measurements for the evaluation of optical parameters in turbid media are discussed.
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1 Introduction

Current interest in understanding light transport in cardiac tissue has been motivated in part by continued improvements in optical mapping methods over the past two decades. In optical mapping, voltage-sensitive and Ca2+-sensitive fluorescent probes are used in conjunction with high-speed imaging devices to map electrical impulse propagation and are used in conjunction with high-speed imaging devices to map electrical impulse propagation and the development of 3-D tomographic imaging techniques.20,25,26,27 Optical recordings are usually taken in epifluorescence mode, in which the tissue surface is illuminated with a broadfield source located outside the tissue while the emitted fluorescence is also simultaneously measured outside of the same tissue surface.15 Significant fluorescent signal contributions from sub-surface heart tissue layers, however, are typically present in such experiments.16,17 Contributions to measured fluorescence arising from sub-surface heart tissue layers are particularly significant for recently developed near-infrared voltage-sensitive dyes such as Di-4-ANEPPS, which have excitation maxima at red wavelengths (∼660 nm) and emission maxima at near-infrared wavelengths (∼700 to 800 nm).7,18-20 Subsurface contributions can also be significant for voltage-sensitive dyes excited with green wavelengths (∼520 nm), and with emission at red wavelengths (∼640 nm), such as Di-4-ANEPPS.21-24

The objective of our study was to improve the quantitative interpretation of voltage-dependent and Ca2+-dependent fluorescence signals in three-dimensional (3-D) heart tissues via accurate measurements of light transport in the surface and internal layers of the myocardial wall. Obtaining a representative light transport model involves accurate measurements of the absorption and scattering coefficients and the anisotropy parameter, μs, μa, and g, respectively. The existence of an accurate tissue-specific light transport model is the key to signal deconvolution and the development of 3-D tomographic imaging techniques.20,25,26,27

There are several methods for measuring the absorption and scattering coefficients and the anisotropy parameter, μs, μa, and g, respectively. The classic method is based on measurement of diffuse reflectance R̄, the diffuse transmittance T̄, and the collimated transmittance Tc in thin tissue slices.28 The method utilizes two integrating spheres to measure R̄ and T̄ and then uses a series of apertures to measure Tc. More recent methods use time-resolved measurements of local reflectance R(ρ) at different distances ρ from a light source.29,30

One incarnation of this method described in Ref. 30 uses a sinusoidally modulated laser as the source and measures the phase some distance away at the surface of the medium with a multidistance frequency domain (MDFD) spectrometer. The local reflectance R(ρ) is measured as well with a multidistance broadband spectrometer (MDBBS). While the MDFD
method alone can be used to determine $\mu_a$ and $\mu'_s$; MDFD and MDBBS together can be used to improve the accuracy of the measurements.

In this work, we set out to extract the key light scattering model parameters $\mu_a$, $\mu_s$, and $g$ using an approach based on high-resolution forward-directed flux (FDF) measurements. The method is intended for isolated tissue samples and tissue phantoms, and its major advantage over the existing techniques is its extreme simplicity. The majority of measurements were carried out in pig myocardium (a close analog of the human heart), which is one of the major models used in cardiac electrophysiology to study cardiac arrhythmias using optical mapping. The measurements were carried out at 532 and 660 nm, common excitation and emission wavelengths of widely used voltage-sensitive and Ca-sensitive dyes (see discussion above).

The existing experimental information about key light scattering parameters in cardiac tissue is rather scarce and shows a high degree of scatter between different studies. To date, we know of only one study in porcine heart, where all three of the key light scattering model parameters were reported and which utilized the wavelengths in the neighborhood of 660 nm (see Appendix C). This makes it particularly interesting to derive these data independently using a different technique.

Although the focus of our study was on measuring light transport characteristics in porcine heart relevant for optical mapping, the new experimental approach utilizing in this study may have broader applications: it can be used for the evaluation of optical parameters in turbid media as a simple alternative to collimated transmittance measurements. Reflectance-mode confocal microscopy and optical coherence tomography, both requiring rather sophisticated equipment.

### 2 Methods

In this study, we use a thin custom-made fiber-optic probe to investigate the FDF with a 10-μm resolution. The experiments are carried out in pig myocardial wall and homogenized pig myocardial tissue, as well as in lipid-based tissue phantoms. In the vicinity of the illuminated surface, we observed a rapid decay of the light intensity with a spatial constant in the range of 100 μm, suggesting that we were likely detecting an phenomenon linked to the decay of ballistic photons. This effect was observed in the intact myocardial wall, homogenized cardiac tissue, and intralipid (IL)-based tissue phantoms.

To determine the factors affecting the rate of the fast exponent, we simulated measurements of FDF using Monte Carlo (MC) simulations. The FDF was evaluated in the physiological range of absorption and scattering coefficients, variable anisotropy parameter $g$, and different numerical apertures (NA) of the probe. Based on the MC model, we obtained a simple empirical formula linking the rate of the fast exponent to the scattering coefficient, the anisotropy parameter, and the NA. The predictions of the formula were tested experimentally in phantoms.

#### 2.1 Experimental Setup

A schematic of the experimental setup for FDF measurements is shown in Fig. 1. The sample was illuminated from underneath with a broad collimated beam of light. The fiber-optic probe was placed over the sample and gradually advanced via a micromanipulator to measure the FDF $\psi(z)$.

The apparatus consisted of an aluminum stand (not shown) with a sample tray, the three-axis micromanipulator with attached fiber-optic probe, and the illumination system. The stand was painted flat black so as not to reflect light. The micromanipulator, bolted to the top of the stand, allowed the probe to move along $x$, $y$, and $z$ axes as well as to rotate up to 90 deg around the horizontal axis. The fine adjustment allows the probe to be advanced into the sample in steps as small as 10 μm.

The light sources (Shanghai Dream Lasers) were a 660 nm, 600 mW laser (model SDL-LM-660-600T) and a 532 nm, 1000 mW laser (model SDL-532-1000T). To remove the nonuniformity in the 660-nm laser’s beam profile, the beam was focused with a 45-mm double convex (DCX) lens ($F = 250$ mm) onto a holographic diffuser plate. The outgoing beam was collimated using an 83-mm diameter DCX lens with $F = 173$ mm (Anchor Optics AX74813) and reflected upward by a 108 mm × 145 mm × 3 mm mirror (Anchor Optics AX27536) to illuminate the tissue sample.

We used a custom-built optical probe (optrode) using the FG200LCC optical fiber (Thorlabs). NA of the fiber and its diameter were 0.22 and 200 μm, respectively (see Appendix A for more details).

#### 2.2 Measuring Forward-Directed Flux

Measuring the FDF required calibrating the position of the probe, such that the micromanipulator would read 0 when...
the tip of the needle touched the glass. Next, the probe was raised and the sample (heart tissue, homogenized heart tissue, or phantom) was placed under the probe. The needle was then advanced in small steps into the sample, starting on the surface and continuing until the probe tip touched the glass bottom \((z = 0)\). To keep the change in intensity more uniform over the entire thickness of the medium, the step size \((\Delta z)\) varied with \(z\), so that the change in power between steps did not exceed 10%. The initial value of \(\Delta z\) was chosen between 100 and 200 \(\mu m\). When change in power between steps exceeded 10\%, the step size was cut in half. As a result, \(\Delta z\) was reduced to 50, 20, and 10 \(\mu m\) at depths of \(z = 1\) mm, 200 and 100 \(\mu m\), respectively.

### 2.3 Tissue Samples

All tissue experiments were performed in accordance with National Institutes of Health Guidelines in the use of laboratory animals and approved by the SUNY Upstate Medical University Animal Care and Use Committee. Female Yorkshire pigs (26 to 32 kg) undergoing 48-hr sepsis studies were anesthetized using a continuous infusion of ketamine/xylazine. Our choice of the specimens was determined in part by the availability of freshly harvested hearts from another study, which enabled us to achieve our objectives without sacrificing additional animals. Upon completion of the study, pigs were euthanized and the hearts were removed. The right ventricle was dissected from each heart and laid flat on a piece of glass with the epicardial side facing the light source and the endocardial side facing the fiber-optic probe. A small incision was made in the endocardial side with a scalpel at the fiber-optic probe insertion site to prevent a dimple from forming on the surface as the flat tipped and comparatively large-diameter needle went in.

In some of the experiments, the measurements of FDF were carried out in homogenized tissue. The heart ventricles were separated from the valves, veins, arteries, atria, auricle, and fat. The 100 to 200 cc of isolated muscle tissues were then homogenized for 2 to 3 min into a fine paste by the Omni Macro ES digital programmable homogenizer by Omni International. The homogenized tissue was then placed in the sample tray and spread to an even thickness of 5 to 10 mm. The measurement protocol for these samples was the same as that used for the intact heart tissue.

### 2.4 Phantoms

We used a mixture of India ink, as the absorber, with IL 20% emulsion (Sigma Aldrich), as the scattering media. The experiments were conducted for IL concentration of 8% being close to the scattering properties of cardiac tissue at 660 nm, see discussion below.

### 2.5 Monte Carlo Light Transport Simulations

To simulate measurements of FDF, \(\psi\), at different depths, \(\psi(z)\), using optical fibers of varying NA (i.e., varying maximum acceptance angle, \(\theta\), where \(NA = n \sin(\theta)\) and \(n = \) index of refraction of the tissue), we performed MC simulations using the open-source software packages Monte Carlo modeling of photon transport in multi-layered tissues (MCML)\(^{22}\) and CONV.\(^{38}\)

Using MCML, we obtained the tissue response to an infinitely narrow light beam. The “total transmittance” MCML routine was modified to allow simulation of the FDF at any given depth \(z\) inside the tissue. This was achieved by allowing user-defined placement of the \(z\)-plane, where total transmittance was calculated, which, in the original routine, was fixed at the tissue’s rear surface. The output of the modified program produced the FDF \(\psi(z, r, \alpha)\) as function of the distance, \(r\), from the beam axis, and the angle of photon incidence \(\alpha\) with respect to a line normal to a given \(z\)-plane (grid spacing, \(\Delta z = 1\) deg; \(\alpha = 0\) deg to 90 deg).

The function \(\psi(z, r, \alpha)\) was then convolved using the CONV package to simulate broad-field illumination. We simulated a circular, flat incident beam of radius \(R = 1.5\) cm with total incident energy of 1.0 J. The probe was located in the center of the beam \((r = 0)\). Increasing \(R\) above the set value did not affect the convolution results. This suggests that the condition of uniform broad-field illumination was satisfied. To obtain responses for probes with different NAs, the function \(\psi(z, r = 0, \alpha)\) was convolved with the function representing the angular sensitivity of the respective probe.

The following parameters were used as inputs to the program: (1) the photon absorption coefficient, \(\mu_a\); (2) the photon scattering coefficient, \(\mu_s\); (3) the scattering anisotropy factor, \(g\); (4) the refractive index of both the tissue \((n_{tissue})\) and surrounding ambient air medium \((n_{air} = 1.0)\); and (5) the thickness of the tissue, \(d\). The numerical values of the parameters were chosen based on the literature data obtained for cardiac tissues in different species for wavelengths ranging from 650 to 700 nm (see Table 5, Appendix C). The absorption coefficient was held constant at \(\mu_a = 0.1\) mm\(^{-1}\) for all simulations. This value is approximately the median of the reported values (0.018 to 0.150 mm\(^{-1}\)). The values of \(n_{tissue}\) were set at 5, 10, and 20 mm\(^{-1}\), which cover the range of values reported in the literature (6.27 mm\(^{-1}\) in Ref. 31, 10.4 mm\(^{-1}\) in Ref. 32, and 21.8 mm\(^{-1}\) in Ref. 28). The refractive index in the tissue was set at 1.4 following Ref. 39. (Respective measurements were carried in bovine muscle illuminated with 632.8-nm light.)

We explored how the FDF, \(\psi(z)\), as function of depth \((z = 0\) to 5 mm), was affected by the anisotropy coefficient, \(g\) (from 0.10 to 0.99), and fiber-optic probe maximum acceptance angle, \(\alpha\) (from 1 deg to 36 deg). The tissue thickness, \(d\), was set at a value of 5.0 mm. For each MCML simulation, a total of 100,000,000 photon packets were launched.

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Measuring \(\psi(z)\) in Heart Tissue

Representative samples of forward flux measurements in the intact pig right ventricular wall at 660 and 532 nm are shown in Fig. 2(a). The normalized FDF is plotted on a log scale. The data points for 530 nm lie well below those for 660 nm, which reflects the higher rate of attenuation of green light as compared with red light. However, both curves have an important similarity. Each has a steeper slope at smaller \(z\) and a lower one at larger \(z\) suggesting that the curves represent a sum of two decaying exponents.

Confirmation that the data in Fig. 2(a) are indeed in accordance with the sum of two-exponential decay functions as presented in Eq. (1) are obtained by using the FIT function of MATLAB,

\[
\psi(z) = k_1e^{-\mu_1z} + k_2e^{-\mu_2z}.
\]

Note that for normalized data, \(k_1 + k_2 = 1\). The weighting of each point was set to the inverse of its value. Such weighting
enabled accurate fitting of the smaller valued points of the slow exponent, which otherwise would not be given the same consideration as the larger values of the fast exponent. Figure 2(b) shows the error of the two-exponent fit of the data shown in Fig. 2(a). One can see that the maximum error is below 8%, and the average error is about 1.5%, which supports the two-exponent function hypothesis.

Similar measurements were carried out in different locations, in five different hearts. The recording sites were chosen primarily across the apical section of the right ventricle, which lacks fat patches and large blood vessels. An example of the measurement sites we chose is shown in Fig. 3, which depicts the endocardial and epicardial surfaces of the right ventricle in one of the hearts and all the measurement sites. The number of measurements conducted on each heart was 20 (10 with red light and 10 with green light).

Figure 3 shows the averaged FDF data and fit curves in one of the preparations. It is notable that while the scatter of the light intensity at any given depth is quite significant, the accuracy of the individual fits [see Fig. 3(d)] remains relatively high. This reflects the fact that while the optical properties of the tissue vary from one location to another, $\psi(z)$ remains as a two-exponential function. The average fit parameters $k_1$, $\delta_1$, and $\delta_2$ for different hearts and their standard deviations for 532- and 660-nm light are shown in Table 1 for five hearts each with 10 measurements for red and green light, for a total of 100 measurements.

Due to signal normalization to $\psi(0)$, the parameter $k_2$ is calculated as $k_2 = 1 - k_1$. The attenuation length for ballistic photons $\delta_1$ at 530 nm is almost two times smaller than at 660 nm (0.13 versus 0.24 mm). The difference is even greater for $\delta_2$ (0.58 versus 2.1 mm). The observed differences are the manifestation of reduced light absorption and scattering at longer wavelengths.

The interpretation of the long spatial constant is rather straightforward. It represents a spatial decay of fluence rate in the diffuse regime, with $\delta_2$ being the attenuation length commonly symbolized as $\delta$ in the literature. Indeed, our experimentally measured values of $\delta_2$ are within the range of values reported in Refs. 28, 31, 32, and 40 for cardiac tissue (1.4 to 3.6 mm). The origin of the fast exponent is less obvious. The numerical value of $\delta_1$ is not reported in the literature. However, according to our experiments, its inverse ($\delta_1^{-1}$) is between 5 and 8 mm$^{-1}$, which is not too far off from the values that are reported in Refs. 28, 31, and 32 for the scattering coefficient in biological tissues (6.27 to 21.8 mm$^{-1}$). This suggests that $\delta_1$ may represent the decay of ballistic photons in the tissue. It may also reflect a heterogeneity of the optical properties of the myocardial surface layer or both.

To assess the potential contribution of myocardial heterogeneity on $\psi(z)$, we carried out the following experiment. After measuring $\psi(z)$ in intact heart tissue, the tissue was homogenized, and the experiments were repeated. Figure 4 compares $\psi(z)$ before and after homogenization. If the first exponent was the result of optical heterogeneity, after homogenization, we would have observed a significant reduction, or the complete

Fig. 3 Picture of (a) endocardial and (b) epicardial sides of pig right ventricular wall in one of the hearts. The red-solid circles represent measurement sites for 660-nm light, and the green-dashed circles represent measurement sites for 532-nm light. (c) Plot of average FDF data across all recording sites for 660 and 532-nm light. (d) Averaged fit error.
disappearance, of the fast exponent. However, this was not the case.

One can see that after homogenization, the first exponent is largely preserved, which suggests that it is not the result of tissue heterogeneity. The average values of $\delta_1$ and $\delta_2$ obtained in intact and in homogenized tissues ($N = 100$ and $5$, respectively) at 660 nm are compared in Table 2. The differences are insignificant, suggesting that the process of homogenization does not affect the decay constants.

### 3.2 Monte Carlo Simulations

To determine a potential link between the fast exponent and the rate of decay of ballistic photons, we carried out MC simulations of FDF measurements reproducing our experimental protocol. The results are presented in Fig. 5.

Figure 5(a) shows simulated $\psi(z)$ for two probes with different NAs. One had an NA $= 0.22$, matching the probe used in our experiments, whereas the second probe had a much smaller NA (NA $= 0.024$) and consequently should accept fewer diffuse photons. The parameters (see figure legend) were chosen to be close to those reported in the literature for pig myocardium.31 As in the tissue experiments, both plots could be well approximated with the sum of two exponents [see fit error plots in Fig. 5(b)].

The slope of the slow exponent $\delta_2$ is nearly identical in both cases (for NA $= 0.024$ and for NA $= 0.22$). As expected, $\delta_2$ corresponds to attenuation length reflecting the decay of diffuse photons

$$\delta_2 = \frac{1}{\mu_s + \mu_s'},$$

(2)

where $\mu_a$ and $\mu_s'$ are the absorption and reduced scattering coefficients, respectively. Note that for Eq. (2) to be valid, $\mu_s' \gg \mu_s$, which is satisfied in our case.

We compared the $\delta_2$ predicted by Eq. (2) with the respective value obtained from fitting the FDF, $\psi(z)$. The value of $\mu_s'$ was calculated using the following equation:

$$\mu_s' = \mu_s (1 - g),$$

(3)

**Table 2** Optical characteristics of intact and homogenized pig ventricular myocardium at 660 nm.

| Tissue        | $\delta_1$ (mm) | $\delta_2$ (mm) |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Intact        | $0.24 \pm 0.05$ | $2.1 \pm 0.45$  |
| Homogenized   | $0.25 \pm 0.10$ | $2.1 \pm 0.28$  |

Fig. 5 (a) MC simulations of the FDF, $\psi(z)$, for fiber-optic probes with large (NA $= 0.22$) and small (NA $= 0.024$) NAs ($\lambda = 660$ nm, $\mu_s = 5$ mm$^{-1}$, $\mu_a = 0.1$ mm$^{-1}$, $g = 0.80$) and (b) the error of curve fit is shown on the right.
where $\mu_s$ and $g$ are the scattering coefficient and anisotropy parameter, respectively. The difference between the predicted and the “experimental” values of $\delta_2$ was within 3%.

The slopes of the fast exponents were also similar. Despite a 10-fold difference in the NA (NA = 0.22 and NA = 0.024), the values of $\delta_1$ were 0.24 and 0.20, respectively. The only significant difference between the two cases was in the distribution of the weights between the fast and the slow exponents, which could be anticipated. Indeed, the weight of the slow exponent $k_s$ obtained using the probe with larger NA should be greater because it accepts a larger portion of diffuse photons.

Notably, the shorter $\delta_1$ obtained from the fit was very close to the rate of decay of ballistic photons $\delta_0 = 0.196$ mm for the given values of $\mu_s$ (0.1 mm$^{-1}$) and $\mu_s$ (5 mm$^{-1}$)

$$\delta_0 = (\mu_a + \mu_s)^{-1}. \quad (4)$$

This result suggests that for a given set of optical characteristics, the decay rate is not significantly influenced by diffuse photons despite relatively large NAs of the probe. This was unexpected considering that the NA for measuring $\delta_0$ is usually 10$^{-2}$ smaller than that of NA used in our experiments.

To determine how well this observation holds at different parameters, we carried out a series of MC simulations by varying the scattering coefficient $\mu_s$, the anisotropy coefficient $g$, and the NA of the probe NA.

The simulation results are shown in Fig. 6. Panel (a) shows the dependence of $\delta_1^{-1}$ on $g$ at three different values of $\mu_s$ for a probe with NA = 0.22, which we used in our experiments. Panel (b) shows the same data after normalization by $\mu_s$. Panel (c) shows the effect of NA on $\delta_1^{-1}$ at different $g$ for $\mu_s = 10$ mm$^{-1}$.

Our findings can be summarized as follows:

1. $\delta_1^{-1}$ is proportional to $\mu_s$ for all values of $g$ tested. Indeed, Fig. 6(b) shows that after normalization by $\mu_s$, the data points from the three sets in panel (a) fall on top of each other for all values of $g$.

2. At smaller values of $g$, $\delta_1^{-1} \approx \mu_s$. The range of $g$, where this holds [the plateau region in Figs. 6(a) and 6(b)], is rather wide and becomes even wider as the NA of the probe becomes smaller [see Fig. 6(c)]. For $g < 0.7$ and a probe with NA = 0.22, the difference between $\delta_1^{-1}$ and $\mu_s$ is <10%.

3. The dependence of $\delta_1^{-1}$ on $\mu_s$, $g$, and the optical probe’s maximum acceptance angle, $\theta_0$, (in radians) can be fitted using the following simple empirical formula:

$$\delta_1^{-1} = \mu_s \left[1 - \exp \left(\frac{g - 1}{\theta_0^g}\right)\right]. \quad (5)$$

The accuracy of the fit can be appreciated from Fig. 6, panel (b), which shows plots of Eq. (5) for $\delta_1^{-1}/\mu_s$ versus the anisotropy coefficient $g$, superimposed on the normalized MC simulation data. Please note that all the above is valid for $\mu_s \gg \mu_a$, the condition that is usually satisfied in the cardiac and other biological tissues.

3.3 Using Forward Directed Flux Measurements for Estimating $\mu_s$, $\mu_a$, and $\mu_s$

In cardiac tissues, the reported values of $g$ fall in the range between 0.78$^{11}$ and 0.96. In pig myocardium, at 700 nm, it is reported to be around $g = 0.91$. Using this value of $g$ as well as the experimentally derived values of $\delta_1$ and $\delta_2$, we estimated the key optical parameters of the tissue, such as $\mu_s$, $\mu_a$, and $\mu_s$, and compared them with the respective values reported in the literature. The value of $\mu_s$ was obtained from Eq. (5) by substituting the numerical value of $g$ and the average value of $\delta_1$ obtained in our experiments. Then, we calculated the reduced scattering coefficient $\mu_s'$ from Eq. (3) using the earlier derived value of $\mu_s$. Finally, we plugged $\mu_s'$ into Eq. (2) and solved for $\mu_a$. The respective results are shown in Table 3.

One can see that our estimates of all three parameters are consistent with the data reported in the literature for pig myocardium obtained using completely different techniques. The difference between our data and respective values obtained in Ref. 32 are <8% for $\mu_s$ and $\mu_a$, and <15% for $\mu_a$, which can be the result of myocardial heterogeneity and difference in wavelength (Data from the literature was obtained at 700 nm, and ours was obtained at 660 nm).

![Fig. 6 Data from the MC simulations. (a) The dependence of $\delta_1^{-1}$ on anisotropy $g$ for $\mu_s = 5$, 10, and 20 mm$^{-1}$. (b) The data from panel A replotted with $\delta_1^{-1}$ normalized by dividing by $\mu_s$. (c) The dependence of $\delta_1^{-1}$ on anisotropy $g$ for five different values of the optical probe maximum acceptance angle $\alpha$ (here, $\mu_s = 10$ mm$^{-1}$). In panels A and B, the NA of the probe was NA = 0.22 ($\alpha \approx 10$ deg). The absorption coefficient was $\mu_a = 0.1$ mm$^{-1}$ for all plots.](image-url)
3.4 Using Forward Directed Flux Measurements for Estimating $\mu_0s$, $\mu_a$, $g$ and $\mu_s$ in Intralipid-Based Tissue Phantoms

As we will show below, the values of $\mu_0s$, $\mu_a$, $g$, and $\mu_s$ can be obtained from FDF even without prior knowledge of $g$. All we need is to repeat the measurements of $\psi(z)$ after adding a known amount of absorber. By comparing the changes in $\delta_2$ after adding an absorber, one can determine the values of $\mu_0s$ and the absorption coefficient $\mu_a$. This method is known in the literature as the added absorber method.\textsuperscript{42} Indeed, adding an absorber with a known absorption coefficient $\Delta\mu_a$ changes the attenuation length $\delta_2$ to a new value $\delta_2\Delta$ that can be expressed using the following formula:

$$\delta_2\Delta = \left[\frac{3(\mu_a + \Delta\mu_a)(\mu_a + \Delta\mu_a + \mu_0s)}{C}\right]^2.$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

Solving Eqs. (2) and (6) together yields the values of $\mu_a$ and $\mu_0s^\prime$. Subsequently, one can solve Eqs. (3) and (5) together to derive $g$ and $\mu_s$. To illustrate the feasibility of this approach, we carried out experiments in IL-based tissue phantoms.

Figure 7 shows sample plots of $\psi(z)$ in 4% IL phantom with and without an absorber (India ink) at 660 nm (panel A) and 532 nm (panel C). The data fit average error was within 1% to 2% [panels (b) and (d)]. The addition of an absorber has a negligible effect on $\delta_1$ because $\Delta\mu_a$ is very small. In contrast, the values of $\delta_2$ undergo a significant change, which is roughly proportional to the square root of $\mu_a$ [see Eq. (6)].

Table 4 shows the values of $\delta_1$, $\delta_2$, $\mu_0s$, $\mu_a$, $g$, and $\mu_s$ for different phantoms at 660 and 532 nm. Notably, the values of $g$ obtained in our experiments

| $\mu_s$ (mm$^{-1}$) | $\mu_0s$ (mm$^{-1}$) | $\mu_a$ (mm$^{-1}$) |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Estimated from Swartling et al.\textsuperscript{32} | 9.6 | 0.87 | 0.08 |
| | 10.4 | 0.94 | 0.094 |

Table 3 Optical parameters of pig myocardial tissue at 660 nm.

Fig. 7 Forward-directed flux measurements in phantoms at 660 and 532 nm. The data was collected with the optrode made from the 25G needle and the FG200LCC optical fiber (0.22 NA). (a) shows the FDF measurements for 660 nm with the corresponding error of the fit in panel (b), (c) shows the FDF measurements for 532 nm light with the corresponding error of fit in panel (d).
are within 8% of the values reported in the literature (0.64 for red light and 0.73 for green light) for this particular type of IL. In addition, they reproduce the increase in $g$ due to the reduction of the illumination wavelength from 660 to 532 nm.

To compare our values of $\mu_s$ with those reported in the literature, we also estimated values of $\mu_s$ for 20% emulsion IL under the assumption that $\mu_s$ is proportional to IL concentration. Using the scattering coefficient for undiluted IL given in the literature ($\mu_s = 70$ mm$^{-1}$ for red light and 123 mm$^{-1}$ for green light), we can expect $\mu_s = 5.6$ mm$^{-1}$ for red light and $\mu_s = 9.84$ mm$^{-1}$ for green light at 8% concentration. The estimated values are close to the measured values in Table 4.

## 4 Discussion

In this study, we use a thin custom-made fiber-optic probe to investigate the FDF with a 10-μm resolution in pig myocardial wall. The measurements were carried out at 660 and 532 nm, which represent the most common range of the excitation and emission wavelengths of fluorescent voltage-sensitive and Ca$^{2+}$-sensitive probes used in cardiac electrophysiology. We show that the FDF can be described as the sum of two exponents with distinctly different decay rates. In the vicinity of the illuminated surface, we observed a rapid decay of the light intensity with the decay constant $\delta_1$ in the range of 100 to 200 μm for green and red lights, respectively. The slower exponent $\delta_2$ had a decay constant of 5 to 10 times longer. A similar effect was observed in homogenized cardiac tissue and IL-based tissue phantoms.

A similar two-exponential decay was previously observed in collimated transmission experiments. In these experiments, the fast exponent represents the decay of ballistic photons. However, this interpretation does not fully apply to our experimental data. There are the following two major differences between collimated transmission and our experiments: (a) in our experiments, the probe is inside and not outside the specimen and (b) the NA of the optical fiber in our experiments was about two orders of magnitude larger than the NA typically used in collimated transmittance experiments. Thus, it would be reasonable to expect that in our experiments, $\delta_1$ is affected by the gradient of diffuse photons near the surface.

It is notable that previous measurements of FDF did not report the fast exponent and describe only the slow exponent. The decay constant of the slow exponent $\delta_2$ is typically referred to in the literature as the "attenuation length." The value of $\delta_2$ measured in our cardiac tissue experiments is comparable with the attenuation lengths reported in the literature at similar wavelengths. Considering that $\delta_1$ is in the order of 100 μm, the fast exponent can be easily missed, unless the probe is advanced at very small increments near the surface. In our experiments, the increments of $z$ near the surface were as low as 10 μm, which enabled us to reconstruct the fast exponent.

To determine the factors affecting the rate of the fast exponent, we reproduced our experiments using MC simulations. The FDF was evaluated in the physiological range of absorption and scattering coefficients, variable anisotropy parameter $g$, and different NAs of the probe.

One of the unexpected findings was that despite the relatively large NA of the probe and the existence of a gradient of diffuse photons near the illuminated surface, as long as $g$ was not too large, the decay constant $\delta_1$ still determined the rate of decay of the ballistic photons. The MC simulations show that in turbid media with $g < 0.7$, the value $\delta_1$ yields a quite accurate (error <10%) estimate of $\mu_s$. Thus, simple FDF measurements using a fiber-optic probe provide an alternative to the more sophisticated methods that are usually used to assess the scattering coefficient. Specifically, such an approach would be useful for estimating $\mu_s$ in lipid-based phantoms that are characterized with a relatively low anisotropy parameter.

It is interesting that the dependence of the rate of the fast exponent on the scattering coefficient, the anisotropy parameter $g$, and the NA of the probe can be linked by a simple empirical formula without any adjustable parameters. The formula predicts MC simulation results in a broad range of parameters. It works particularly well at NA ≤ 0.22. We started observing deviations only at NA > 0.34. We tested the predictions of the formula in the cardiac tissue and in well-characterized, IL-based tissue phantoms. The tests results were in agreement with the data reported in the literature.

Our findings pave the way to an alternative method for determining major optical parameters in turbid media based exclusively on FDF measurements. The new approach allows the utilization of the same simple experimental setup for measuring all key optical parameters including anisotropy $g$. Previously, however, measurements of $\mu_s$ and $g$ required completely different experimental setups than those used for measurements of $\mu_s$ and $\mu'_s$. The simplicity of the experimental approach described here as well as the ability to utilize the same setup for all measurements can make it a viable alternative to existing methods. Although the feasibility of the new approach was experimentally verified only in IL-based tissue phantoms with known values of $\mu_s$, $\mu'_s$, and $g$, it would be reasonable to assume that it would work in homogenized tissues as well. Indeed, our data suggest that the values of $\delta_1$ and $\delta_2$ in the homogenized tissues are not significantly different from the respective values in the intact tissue (see Table 2).

The results of our work shed a new light on light transport in the vicinity of the myocardial surface. This can be particularly useful for the interpretation of the optical recordings of cardiac excitation obtained using voltage-sensitive dyes, known as optical mapping, as well as for the development of 3-D tomographic approaches. One of the potential applications of our findings is

| $\lambda$ (nm) | Concentrations | $\delta_1$ (mm) | $\delta_2$ (mm) | $\mu_s$ (mm$^{-1}$) | $\mu'_s$ (mm$^{-1}$) | $g$ |
|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|----|
| 660           | 8% IL          | 0.17           | 5.30           | 0.006               | 1.83                | 0.69 |
| 8% IL, 7% ink | 0.18           | 1.79           | 0.055          | 2.99                | 11.5                | 0.67 |
| 532           | 8% IL          | 0.10           | 5.85           | 0.003               | 2.99                | 11.5 |
| 8% IL, 7% ink | 0.10           | 1.33           | 0.066          | 11.5                | 0.74                |    |
obtaining an accurate description of voltage distribution in the vicinity of the myocardial surface during strong defibrillation shocks. According to theoretical models, the transmembrane voltage should fall off rapidly with depth,\(^4\) which makes the detailed knowledge of light transport near the illuminated surface critically important for an accurate description of this phenomenon. Our study adds a potentially useful tool to the arsenal of existing methods, which could help to fill this gap.

Appendix A: Manufacturing and Characterization of the Optical Probes

The optical fibers were threaded through a needle mounted on a 1-ml syringe and secured with super glue. A 25-gauge needle was used for the 200-\(\mu\)m fibers. To pass the fiber through the shaft of the needle, the jacket and coating were removed. We then applied Norland Optical Adhesive 81 (NOA81) from Thorlabs, which protected the fiber from chipping and secured it within the needle. The fiber and needle were both cleaned prior to application of the adhesive; then, a small amount of NOA81 was poured into the needle and cured with a UV light source. Care was taken to ensure that the tip of the probe was covered with a protective layer of adhesive. The tip was then sanded flat using 5, 0.3, and then 0.05 \(\mu\)m lapping film (Digi-Key). The probe was continuously inspected under a microscope to ensure flatness and that the layer of NOA81 had been sufficiently polished to remove any imperfections. The fiber was attached to an optical sensor S120C (or an S150C for measurements at lower intensity) connected to a PM100D optical power meter, all from Thorlabs.

The angular sensitivity of the probes was measured by rotating the optrode with respect to the light beam as shown in Fig. 8(a). To approximate the change in refractive index at the probe/tissue interface, the tip of the probe was immersed in a petri dish containing normal saline (0.9% w/v NaCl). Figure 8(b) shows the relative light intensity as a function of angle, for all probes measured at 532 and 660 nm.

![Fig. 8 Measurement of the angular sensitivity of the probe. (a) Schematic of the experimental setup and (b) angular sensitivity of the 25-gauge needle optical probes at 660 nm.](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Biomedical-Optics)

![Fig. 9 Effect of ink concentration on absorption coefficient (a) and IL concentration on reduced scattering coefficient (b) for 660- and 532-nm light.](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Biomedical-Optics)
As expected, optrodes utilizing fibers with higher NA had significantly wider acceptance angles.

Appendix B: Characterization of Phantoms
The $\mu_s$ of the solution of India ink in water was characterized using a DU730 Beckman Coulter spectrophotometer, and the $\mu'_s$ of the IL was characterized using the added absorber method. Figure 9 shows the absorption coefficient $\Delta\mu_s$ of the solution as a function of ink concentration for 660- and 532-nm light. The equation of the fit line is

$$\Delta\mu_s = 0.007 C_{\text{ink}},$$  

(7)

for 660-nm light and

$$\Delta\mu_s = 0.009 C_{\text{ink}},$$  

(8)

for 532-nm light, where $C_{\text{ink}}$ is the concentration in water (in percent). For our experiments, we chose a concentration of 7% that yields $\Delta\mu_s = 0.049 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ for red and 0.063 mm$^{-1}$ for green light, respectively, which is roughly the median of the values of $\mu_s$ for heart tissue reported in Refs. 28, 31, 32, 40, and 46.

Appendix C: Optical Parameters of Heart Tissue
The values of $\mu_s$, $\mu_a$, $g$, and $\mu'_s$ for heart tissue at 660 to 700 nm reported in the literature and obtained using different experimental techniques are shown in Table 5.
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| Source            | Wavelength (nm) | $\mu_s$ (mm$^{-1}$) | $\mu_a$ (mm$^{-1}$) | $g$ | $\mu'_s$ (mm$^{-1}$) | Species |
|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----|---------------------|---------|
| Swartling et al.  | 660             | 0.146               | —                   | 0.920 | —                   | Pig     |
|                   | 700             | 0.094               | 10.4                | 0.91 | 0.878               |         |
| Walton et al.     | 650 to 700      | 0.032               | —                   | 0.899 | —                   | Pig     |
| Ding et al.       | 669             | 0.150               | 27.1                | 0.95 | 1.355               | Rabbit  |
|                   |                 |                     |                     |      |                     | w/Rh237 |
|                   |                 |                     |                     |      |                     | and Oregon Green |
|                   |                 | 0.100               | 21.8                | 0.96 | 0.872               | Rabbit  |
|                   |                 |                     |                     |      |                     | w/Di-4-ANEPPS |

Table 5: Optical parameters of heart tissue as reported in the literature.
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