Abstract

The family of aquaporins, also called water channels or major intrinsic proteins, is characterized by six transmembrane domains that together facilitate the transport of water and a variety of low molecular weight solutes. They are found in all domains of life, but show their highest diversity in plants. Numerous studies identified aquaporins as important targets for improving plant performance under drought stress. The phylogeny of aquaporins is well established based on model species like Arabidopsis thaliana, which can be used as a template to investigate aquaporins in other species. In this study we comprehensively identified aquaporin encoding genes in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum), which is an important vegetable crop and also serves as a model for fleshy fruit development. We found 47 aquaporin genes in the tomato genome and analyzed their structural features. Based on a phylogenetic analysis of the deduced amino acid sequences the aquaporin genes were assigned to five subfamilies (PIPs, TIPs, NIPs, SIPs and XIPs) and their substrate specificity was assessed on the basis of key amino acid residues. As ESTs were available for 32 genes, expression of these genes was analyzed in 13 different tissues and developmental stages of tomato. We detected tissue-specific and development-specific expression of tomato aquaporin genes, which is a first step towards revealing the contribution of aquaporins to water and solute transport in leaves and during fruit development.

Introduction

Water is an essential substance for all life on earth. Adequate supply with water is critical for plants to thrive. In agriculture and horticulture water supply is critically to achieve high yields. Approximately 70% of all fresh water use in the world can be attributed to agriculture, with developing countries using up to 95% of their water resources for the irrigation of crops (www. faostat.org). One fifth of the word population is already living under conditions of water scarcity and with increasing population that number will increase in the future [1]. Given the importance of irrigation for agriculture, uptake and transport, and ultimately efficiency of water use, are important subjects of study.

The primary uptake organ of plants for water is the root, and in order to bypass the Casparian strip and reach the xylem water has to cross the plasma membrane (PM) and enter the symplast. Since biomembranes are essentially a lipid bilayer, they present an obstacle for water uptake. Also within the plant efficient cell-to-cell transport of water is needed for growth and development. To achieve this specialized channel proteins are present in the membranes of not only plants but all living organisms. Aquaporins (AQPs) are water channel proteins that allow rapid and selective transport of water across membranes. They were first discovered in human erythrocytes [2] and plant nodules associated with N fixation [3]. Since then it became clear that AQPs belong to a large family of channel proteins called major intrinsic proteins (MIPs) [4]. The MIP family is comprised of AQPs in the strict sense, which are water transporters, and also aquaglyceroporins which facilitate the transport of a variety of solutes, like B, NH₄⁺, glycerol or urea. Water movement through the plant is controlled by AQPs in different physiological contexts [5]. In addition to a role in water uptake into the roots, AQPs also play a role in water homeostasis in the leaf [6,7]. Finally, AQPs are implicated in controlling water movement during tissue expansion [8,9].

The classification based on sequence comparison of plant AQPs is well established. There are currently five major subfamilies recognized in plants based on sequence similarities. The plasma membrane intrinsic proteins (PIPs), the tonoplast intrinsic proteins (TIPs), the NOD26-like intrinsic proteins (NIPs), the small basic intrinsic proteins (SIPs) [10] and the plant-specific subfamily of X-intrinsic protein (XIPs) [11,12]. Although the subfamilies were originally named after the subcellular localization of its members, it was shown that this classification does not always represent the actual localization [13]. In humans 13 different AQPs have been
Materials and Methods

Identification of Solanum lycopersicum AQPs

To comprehensively identify Solanum lycopersicum AQPs the tomato genome was analyzed using the BLAST tools available from the Sol Genomics Network [28]. For each of the five tomato AQP subfamilies, the CDS (coding DNA sequence) of an already identified tomato AQP was used as a query to identify additional members from the complete set of predicted CDSs [26]. The identified CDSs were then used to find cDNAs and EST clones from the EST databases found at http://www.pgb.kazusa.or.jp/mibase [29] or http://www.solgenomics.net. After consolidation of the data, the most similar EST clone for each putative AQP locus was obtained and sequenced to verify the current gene model. All EST sequences are available from the DNA Data Bank of Japan [http://www.ddbj.nig.ac.jp/] under the accession numbers AB845604 to AB845638.

Multiple sequence alignments and phylogenetic analysis

Final classification of AQP genes into subfamilies and subgroups was done according to phylogenetic analysis. Multiple sequence alignments using the predicted AA (amino acid) sequences were made using the CLUSTAL alignment function in the CLC Main Workbench software (CLC Bio, Aarhus, Denmark). Phylogenetic trees were built using the Neighbor-joining algorithm in the same software and visualized using Treeview [30] and Dendroscope [31].

In silico prediction of subcellular localization and transmembrane helical domains

Prediction of subcellular localization of putative AQPs was performed using the WoLFPSORT algorithm [http://wolfpsort. seq.crc.jp] [32]. Prediction of transmembrane helical domains was performed using TMHMM Server v.2.0 [http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM/] [33].

Plant material and growth conditions

Solanum lycopersicum plants for gene expression analysis were of the dwarf cultivar 'Micro-Tom'. Plants were grown on soil in a growth chamber (Biotron LPH-330S, NK Systems, Osaka, Japan) with a light regime of 8 h of light/16 h darkness at 25°C and 60% relative humidity. Plants were watered twice a week with tap water. Fertilizer (Otsuka Chemicals, Osaka, Japan) was applied once per week.

RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis

Plant tissues from young leaves, mature leaves, roots, shoots, flowers and from developing fruits 3, 7, 14, 21 and 28 days after pollination (DAP) and during the Breaker, Orange and Red stages of fruit development were harvested into liquid nitrogen. Vegetative tissues were harvested from ca. six week old plants. Samples of young leaves included developing, not fully expanded leaves, samples of mature leaves included fully expanded, non-senescent leaves. RNA from developing fruits 14 and 21 DAP was isolated using the RNA Suisui-R kit (Rizo, Tsukuba, Japan). RNA from all other tissues was isolated using TRizol reagent (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, USA) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Quality of the RNA was assessed using a spectrophotometer. RNA was stored at −80°C. cDNA was prepared using the PrimeScript RT reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (Clontech, Mountain View, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. For each 20 μl reaction 500 ng of total RNA was used.

RT-PCR expression analysis

Semi-quantitative RT-PCR was performed using 0.1 μl cDNA preparation as a template and EmeraldAmp PCR Mastermix (Clontech, Mountain View, USA) for all other components needed for PCR. For each primer pair the PCR program was empirically adjusted (Table S1). All primers were tested for specificity by trying to obtain a PCR product using plasmid DNA containing ESTs from other subfamily members as a template (data not shown). As an internal control the constitutively expressed gene SUBQ (Ubiquitin, Solyc01g056940.1) was used. PCR products were analyzed using 1% (w/v) Agarose gels stained for nucleic acids with Ethidium Bromide.

Results and Discussion

Genome-wide identification of SIAQPs

By using identified tomato AQP sequences as queries we could detect 47 loci in the tomato genome putatively encoding AQPs (Table 1). This number is consistent with the number of AQPs found in the genome of other plant. For 36 of these loci at least one EST was found. It is possible that the 11 loci with no EST evidence are pseudogenes or are expressed exclusively in response to a specific stimulus or in a very specific part of the plant and thus are not represented in the available EST collections. In some cases the DNA sequence of the EST revealed slightly different splitting compared to the predicted gene model for the respective locus. In these cases the experimentally determined sequence was used for further analysis. In two cases (SlPIP2;12 and SlXIP1;2) the
Table 1. Comprehensive nomenclature and feature list of 47 aquaporins identified in the tomato genome.

| Gene Name | Locus | Best Hit EST | DDBJ No. | AA¹ | TMD² | Comments |
|-----------|-------|--------------|----------|-----|------|----------|
| PIP       |       |              |          |     |      |          |
| SlPIP1;1² | Solyc08g008050.2 | SGN-E310188 | AB845604 | 288 | 6    |          |
| SlPIP1;2² | Solyc01g094690.2 | LEF11005BF02 | AB845605 | 286 | 6    |          |
| SlPIP1;3³ | Solyc12g056220.1 | LEF10458E12 | AB845606 | 289 | 6    |          |
| SlPIP1;4³ | Solyc08g081190.2 | LEF10158BC05 | AB845607 | 287 | 6    |          |
| SlPIP1;5⁴ | Solyc03g096290.2 | FC17CC02 | AB845608 | 287 | 6    |          |
| SlPIP2;1² | Solyc09g007770.2 | FC04BE01 | AB845609 | 280 | 6    |          |
| SlPIP2;2³ | Solyc06g011350.2 | LEF1052AA02 | AB845610 | 281 | 6    |          |
| SlPIP2;4³ | Solyc10g084120.1 | SGN-E542248 | AB845611 | 282 | 6    |          |
| SlPIP2;5³ | Solyc11g069430.1 | FC11CE01 | AB845612 | 288 | 6    |          |
| SlPIP2;6³ | Solyc01g111660.2 | LEF1010CC03 | AB845613 | 284 | 6    |          |
| SlPIP2;8³ | Solyc10g055630.1 | LEF10888BC11 | AB845614 | 284 | 6    |          |
| SlPIP2;9³ | Solyc09g007760.2 | Not Found | - | pred. 307 | 6 | short N- and C-terminus |
| SlPIP2;10| Solyc09g007760.2 | Not Found | - | pred. 307 | 6 |          |
| SlPIP2;11| Solyc02g083510.2 | Not available⁵ | - | pred. 260 | 6 | EST frameshift* |
| SlPIP2;12| Solyc05g055990.2 | LEF10688CF11 | AB845615 | 274 | 5 |          |
| TIP       |       |              |          |     |      |          |
| SlTIP1;1³ | Solyc06g074820.2 | FC01AB01 | AB845616 | 251 | 7 |          |
| SlTIP1;2³ | Solyc06g075650.2 | SGN-E544724 | AB845617 | 254 | 6 |          |
| SlTIP1;3³ | Solyc10g083880.1 | Not Found | - | pred. 249 | 7 |          |
| SlTIP2;1³ | Solyc12g044330.1 | LEF1025BD07 | AB845618 | 249 | 7 |          |
| SlTIP2;2³ | Solyc03g120470.2 | LEF1013DH10 | AB845619 | 250 | 7 | characterized in [27] |
| SlTIP2;3³ | Solyc06g060760.2 | LEF10688B11 | AB845620 | 251 | 6 |          |
| SlTIP2;4³ | Solyc06g06560.1 | SGN-E545679 | AB845621 | 274 | 7 | EST not full length (Δ1–22) |
| NIP       |       |              |          |     |      |          |
| SlNIP1;1³ | Solyc03g005980.2 | SGN-E351875 | AB845625 | 278 | 6 | EST not full length (Δ1–173) |
| SlNIP1;2 | Solyc02g071920.2 | LEF10660CF11 | AB845626 | 291 | 6 |          |
| SlNIP1;3³ | Solyc03g013340.2 | LEFL1026AC05 | AB845627 | 284 | 6 |          |
| SlNIP2;2² | Solyc02g071910.1 | Not Found | - | pred. 232 | 4 | 17 AA from TMD2 deleted |
| NIP       |       |              |          |     |      |          |
| SlNIP3;1³ | Solyc06g037590.2 | LEFL1011K20 | AB845628 | 346 | 6 |          |
| SlNIP3;2³ | Solyc12g057050.1 | Not Found | - | pred. 261 | 5 |          |
| SlNIP4;1³ | Solyc02g091240.2 | SGN-E361487 | AB845629 | 268 | 6 |          |
| SlNIP4;2² | Solyc05g008080.1 | Not Found | - | pred. 273 | 6 |          |
| SlNIP4;3² | Solyc02g063310.2 | Not Found | - | pred. 138 | 5 | short N- and C-terminus |
| SIP       |       |              |          |     |      |          |
| SlSIP1;1³ | Solyc08g013730.2 | LEFL2003BD12 | AB845630 | 296 | 6 |          |
| SlSIP1;2³ | Solyc01g117050.2 | LEFL1034DB12 | AB845631 | 307 | 6 |          |
| SlSIP3;1³ | Solyc12g019690.1 | LEFL2041K14 | AB845633 | 243 | 5 |          |
| SlSIP3;2³ | Solyc10g078490.1 | LEFL1029CD02 | AB845634 | 244 | 5 |          |
| SlSIP3;3³ | Solyc10g078500.1 | Not Found | - | pred. 105 | 2 | short C-terminus |
| XIP       |       |              |          |     |      |          |
| SlXIP1;1³ | Solyc01g056720.2 | LEFL2043B16 | AB845635 | 241 | 6 |          |
| SlXIP1;2³ | Solyc10g054840.1 | LEFL1059DF06 | AB845636 | 328 | 6 | SlXIP1;2 from [11] |
| SlXIP1;3³ | Solyc10g054820.1 | LEFL1004BA01 | AB845637 | 248 | 6 | EST frameshift* |
| SlXIP1;4³ | Solyc10g054800.1 | LEFL1078DB07 | AB845638 | 303 | 6 |          |
sequenced ESTs had a 1 bp insertion compared to the reference genome, leading to a framesshift and a premature stop codon. We assumed these insertions were artifacts from EST cloning and used corrected, full-length ORFs for our further analysis.

While mostly following the nomenclature of Sade et al. [27] some AQPs identified solely on the basis of EST evidence by Sade et al. could not be integrated into our nomenclature which is based on the tomato reference genome. To avoid confusion we decided not to reuse gene names proposed by Sade et al. for these AQPs, which explains why the nomenclature of AQPs is not always consecutive in our nomenclature. Specifically, this affected SIPP1;3 and SIPP1;6 (ESTs BP888840 and BP876517), where a BLAST search revealed that both of these ESTs most likely belong to SIPP1;5 together with LEFL1015BC05 which we used to define SIPP1;3. For SIPP2;3 (TC174068) the best BLAST hit was Solyc04g0515002.1, a non-AQP-type transporter. A BLAST search using SIPP2;7 (CO751218) did not produce a significant alignment with any annotated cDNA, while for STIP2;4 (TC188024) no sequence data could be obtained from any database.

Prediction of TMDs (transmembrane domains) showed that most identified putative AQPs contained six TMDs (Table 1). Manual inspection of hydrophobicity plots (data not shown) and AA sequence alignments (Figs. S1 to S5) revealed that most likely all full-length AQPs (excluding the truncated AQPs SlSIP2;3, SlNIP4;3 and SlSIP1;3) possess six TMDs. It is conceivable that the TMHMM algorithm did not correctly identify all TMDs. An additional analysis using the SOSUI program (data not shown) established all SlAQPs as transmembrane proteins except SlTIP3;2 and SlSIP2;1 (http://bp.nuap.nagoya-u.ac.jp/sosui/) [34]. Similar to TMHMM, also SOSUI predicted six TMDs for most, but not all AQPs. Since the in silico predictions presented here are in a few cases contradicting, they should be validated by experimental means. Given the high degree of sequence conservation between AQPs it is however very likely that tomato AQPs feature six TMDs, comparable to AQPs found in other organisms.

Analysis of the predicted subcellular localization showed diverse results (data not shown), not always in agreement with experimentally determined localizations [reviewed in [35]]. In summary, SIPP1 were predicted to localize to the PM, which is in agreement with current literature. TIP-type AQPs were experimentally determined to localize to the tonoplast but diverse results were obtained when trying to predict STIP localizations, including clearly mispredicted cytosolic localizations. NIP-type AQPs were determined to localize to the PM, the ER membrane or the peribacteroid membrane of root nodules in other organisms. Our in silico predictions included the PM, the tonoplast and chloroplast membranes. SSIPs were predicted to localize to the tonoplast, but experimental evidence showed that the Arabidopsis SSIPs are localized to intracellular membranes, most likely representing the ER [36]. Of the XIPs, SXIP1;1 was localized to the PM [11]. The other SXIPs were predicted to also localize to the PM or were mispredicted to be cytosolic or nuclear proteins.

Through phylogenetic analysis the 47 tomato AQPs were classified into 14 PIPs, 11 TIPs, 12 NIPs, 4 SIPs and 6 XIPs (Fig. 1 and Fig. S6). Through alignments of AA sequences from members of each subfamily alone several sub-groups were found in agreement with current literature (Figs. S1 to S5). The SIPs could be divided entirely in a SXIP1;1 (five members) and a SXIP2;6 (nine members) subgroup according to differences in their AA sequence, especially in the N- and C-terminal regions that seemed to have different water transport activities in oocyte experiments [35,37]. Similarly, the STIPs clustered into subgroups STIP1 (three members), STIP2 (three members), STIP3 (two members) and two further STIPs. The SXIPs were classified into SXIP1, SXIP2, SXIP3 (two members each), SXIP4 (three members) and three additional loci. In the SXIP subfamily the SXIP1 subgroup (three members) was found to form a clade distinct from SXIP2;1. The XIP-type AQPs represent a novel clade of AQPs, first described in the moss Physcomitrella patens [12]. Additionally, XIPs have been described in poplar [19,20] and in selected Solanaceae species, including tomato [11]. A separate phylogenetic analysis using the tomato XIPs described in this study as well as the XIPs described in the literature was performed (Fig. 2). SXIP1;1 and 1;2 were found to be most similar to the two splice variant of potato SXIP1 described in [11]. SXIP1;5 and 1;6 were found to cluster together with XIPs from other Solanaceae species (tobacco and morning glory) used in this analysis, although some of the nodes were not well supported by bootstrapping analysis. It should be noted that all SXIPs, except SXIP1;6, are likely the result of recurring gene duplications, since the loci SXIP1;1 to 1;5 are found next to each other on chromosome 10. Also obvious gene duplications occurred in other subfamilies leading to the gene-pairs SIPP2;1/SIPP2;10, SXIP1;2/SXIP2;2 and SXIP1;2/SXIP1;3.

Table 1. Cont.

| Gene Name | Locus | Best Hit EST | DDBJ No. | AA¹ | TMD² | Comments |
|-----------|-------|--------------|----------|-----|------|----------|
| SlSIP1;3  | Solyc10g054790.1 | Not Found | - | pred. 329 | 7 |          |
| SlSIP1;6  | Solyc01g111010.2 | Not Found | - | pred. 521 | 6 | extended N-terminus |

¹The amino acid sequence length was either confirmed by cDNA sequencing or predicted using SL2.40 gene models.
²The number of transmembrane domains was predicted by TMHMM Server v2.0.
³The sequenced cDNA contained a 1 bp insertion (assumed to be a cloning artifact) leading to a frameshift. Further analyses were performed using the corrected gene model.
⁴EST is present in the databases but was not available for ordering.
⁵First named by Sade et al., 2007 [27].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0079052.t001

The exon-intron structure of the 47 SlAQPs was analyzed using the tomato gene models (TAG release 2.3 SL2.40) or by comparing experimentally determined EST sequences to the reference genome (Fig. 3). With some exceptions the number and the size of the exons (but not of the introns) is conserved within each AQP subfamily. This finding further validates the nomenclature proposed by our phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 1). Most members of the SIPP subfamily are characterized by four exons, the exceptions being SIPP2;1, SIPP2;4 and SIIP2;6 which feature only three exons. The majority of the members of the STIP subfamily features three exons, while SXIP1;1 and SXIP1;3...
lack the last intron. For *SlTIP1;3* no EST was available, so this finding could only be validated for *SlTIP1;1*. The genes assigned to the *SlNIP* subfamily mostly feature five exons. The exceptions were *SlNIP2;2* (four exons, no EST), *SlNIP4;3* (three exons, no EST) and *SlNIP5;1* (four exons confirmed by EST). The genes in the small subfamily of the *SlSIPs* seem to contain three exons. Only *SlSIP1;3* seemed to encode for a C-terminally truncated protein (two exons, no EST). The subfamily of *SlXIPs* was characterized by a conserved three-exon structure. Only *SlXIP1;6* deviated from that structure, featuring six predicted exons.

**Figure 1.** Phylogenetic analysis of 47 aquaporins identified in tomato. Shown is phylogenetic tree generated by the neighbor-joining method derived from a CLUSTAL alignment of amino acid sequences from all 47 aquaporins identified in tomato. Numbers at internal nodes show the results of bootstrapping analysis (*n* = 1000).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0079052.g001
residues (named P1 to P5) that were proposed to discriminate between AQPs- and GlpF-type AQPs [39]. The AA residues in these positions will be discussed for each subfamily. Also, when appropriate, potential phosphorylation sites or subfamily specific features will be discussed.

**PIPs**

All SiPIPs featured the dual NPA motif characteristic for AQPs (Fig. S1). Also all SiPIPs showed an ar/R filter configuration typical for a water-transporting AQP (F,H,T,R). In fact, these residues are identical to those found in the human AQP1, except for a C191T exchange. This seems to be a plant specific exchange, as it is also found in the PIPs from other plant species [17,20,19,44]. The P1 position is more variable and filled by M/Q/G/Y, while the positions P2 to P5 are strictly conserved and filled with S-A-F-W. Member of the PIP subfamily in other plant species have been described to be positively regulated in their water transport activity through phosphorylation [45–48]. These phosphorylation sites were found to be conserved also in the SiPIPs. More specifically, one S residue in loop B and E each was conserved in all SiPIPs. Also multiple S residues at the C-terminus were present in most SiPIPs while SiPIP2;1 to SiPIP2;10 featured a conserved S-X-R motif in their extreme C-terminus which is a recognition site for the protein kinase C [47,49]. A number of other residues was found to be specific to either the SiPIP1 or SiPIP2 family members. Just before the second TMD a Q is found in SiPIP1 proteins while a more hydrophobic L/V is found in SiPIP2 proteins. In the fifth TMD L (PIPs) is replaced by M (PIP2s) and after the sixth TMD a P (PIPs) is replaced by A/M (PIP2s). Site-directed mutagenesis of PIP1 or PIP2 specific residues of radish AQPs established also an I (PIPs) or V (PIP2s) located after the second NPA motif as critical for water transport activity [50]. Reciprocal mutations of these residues showed that a V in this position, as found in PIP2s, is increasing water transport activity compared to L. In tomato PIPs a V is found at this position in all SiPIP2s and also SiPIP1;7. This indicates that members of the SiPIP2 subgroup might have water transport activity.

It is established that members of the PIP family function as water transporters enabling efficient transport of water into and out of the symplast [reviewed in 5,7]. In addition to transporting water, PIP1 family member NaAQP1 was reported to facilitate the diffusion of CO₂ in the mesophyll [51,52]. Using an Arabidopsis PIP1;2 mutant it was shown that CO₂ diffusion facilitated by PIP1;2 can become a limiting factor for photosynthesis [53]. It is also noteworthy that Arabidopsis PIP1;2 had almost no water transport activity. The structural basis for this specificity is currently not known. Given the high degree of conservation between tomato PIPs and functionally characterized PIPs from other plant species it is very likely that individual tomato PIPs also play a role in either water homeostasis or CO₂ diffusion.

**TIPS**

All SiTIPs feature the two canonical NPA motifs (Fig. S2). The H2 residue of the ar/R filter region is H, except in SiTIP5;1, where N is found. The H5 position is mostly I, except for SiTIP3;1 (V), SiTIP3;2 (T) and SiTIP5;1 (V). The positions LE1 and LE2 were found to be specific for each subgroup in the SiTIP subfamily. The SiTIP1 subgroup is characterized by A (LE1) and an unusual V (LE2) instead of R, the SiTIP2 subgroup by G (LE1) and R (LE2) and the TIP3 subgroup (and also SiTIP4;1) by A (LE1) and R (LE2). As found for the other positions, TIP3;1 is deviating and showed G (LE1) and Y (LE2) residues. The position P1 in the SiTIP subfamily was found to be a highly conserved T, except for SiTIP5;1 (N). P2 was found to be S in all SiTIPS but
Figure 3. Exon-Intron structure of 47 tomato aquaporins genes. Shown is a graphic representation of the gene models of all 47 aquaporins identified in this study. UTRs are shown as hatched boxes, exons are shown as black boxes and introns are shown as black lines. Gene models are based on sequenced cDNAs. In the case of lacking cDNA evidence *in silico* predictions (ITAG release 2.3 SL2.40) are used.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0079052.g003
| Name  | 1st | 2nd | H2 | H5 | LE1 | LE2 | P1 | P2 | P3 | P4 | P5 |
|-------|-----|-----|----|----|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|
| PIP   |     |     | F  | H  | T   | R   | M  | S  | A  | F  | W |
| SIP;1 |     |     | F  | H  | T   | R   | Q  | S  | A  | F  | W |
| SIP;2 |     |     | F  | H  | T   | R   | M  | S  | A  | F  | W |
| SIP;3 |     |     | F  | H  | T   | R   | G  | S  | A  | F  | W |
| SIP;4 |     |     | F  | H  | T   | R   | Q  | S  | A  | F  | W |
| SIP;5 |     |     | F  | H  | T   | R   | Q  | S  | A  | F  | W |
| SIP;6 |     |     | F  | H  | T   | R   | Q  | S  | A  | F  | W |
| SIP;7 |     |     | F  | H  | T   | R   | M  | S  | A  | F  | W |
| SIP;8 |     |     | F  | H  | T   | R   | M  | S  | A  | F  | W |
| SIP;9 |     |     | F  | H  | T   | R   | M  | S  | A  | F  | W |
| TIP   |     |     | H  | I  | A   | V   | T  | S  | S  | Y  | W |
| TIP;2 |     |     | H  | I  | A   | V   | T  | S  | S  | Y  | W |
| TIP;3 |     |     | H  | I  | A   | V   | T  | S  | S  | Y  | W |
| TIP;4 |     |     | H  | I  | A   | V   | T  | S  | S  | Y  | W |
| TIP;5 |     |     | H  | I  | A   | V   | T  | S  | S  | Y  | W |
| TIP;6 |     |     | H  | I  | A   | V   | T  | S  | S  | Y  | W |
| TIP;7 |     |     | H  | I  | A   | V   | T  | S  | S  | Y  | W |
| NIP   |     |     | N  | V  | G   | Y   | N  | S  | A  | Y  | W |
| SIP;1 |     |     | N  | V  | G   | Y   | N  | S  | A  | Y  | W |
| SIP;2 |     |     | N  | V  | G   | Y   | N  | S  | A  | Y  | W |
| SIP;3 |     |     | N  | V  | G   | Y   | N  | S  | A  | Y  | W |
| SIP;4 |     |     | N  | V  | G   | Y   | N  | S  | A  | Y  | W |
| XIP   |     |     | N  | V  | G   | Y   | N  | S  | A  | Y  | W |
| SIP;1 |     |     | N  | V  | G   | Y   | N  | S  | A  | Y  | W |
| SIP;2 |     |     | N  | V  | G   | Y   | N  | S  | A  | Y  | W |
| SIP;3 |     |     | N  | V  | G   | Y   | N  | S  | A  | Y  | W |
| SIP;4 |     |     | N  | V  | G   | Y   | N  | S  | A  | Y  | W |
| XIP;1 |     |     | N  | V  | G   | Y   | N  | S  | A  | Y  | W |
| XIP;2 |     |     | N  | V  | G   | Y   | N  | S  | A  | Y  | W |
| XIP;3 |     |     | N  | V  | G   | Y   | N  | S  | A  | Y  | W |
| XIP;4 |     |     | N  | V  | G   | Y   | N  | S  | A  | Y  | W |
| XIP;5 |     |     | N  | V  | G   | Y   | N  | S  | A  | Y  | W |

1 Only non-standard NPA- motifs are shown.
2 Specificity determining positions according to Froger et al. 1998 [39].

Table 2. Conserved specificity-determining residues in tomato aquaporins.
S/TIP3;1 and S/TIP3;2, where A is found in P2. P3 is occupied by A in almost all S/TIPs, only S/TIP1;1 had S substituted for A. P4 (Y) and P5 (W) were strictly conserved in all S/TIPs.

In a previous study in tomato S/TIP2;2 was shown to be a functional water transporter and overexpression in tomato resulted in improved fruit yield and plant biomass [27]. A number of reports (discussed in Hove et al., 2011 [30], and references therein) on other plant species characterized members of the TIP subfamily also as transporters of small solutes such as NH$_4$\(^+\) and urea [53-57] and urea (S/TIP1;1, 1;2 and 2;3) [58-60] and urea (S/TIP1;1 to 1;3, 2;1, 5;1 and S/TIP4;1) [61–64]. Since the residues forming the central pore and determining the specificity (NPA motifs, ar/R filter, P1 to P5) are conserved across species in these subgroups, there is a possibility that also the tomato TIPs will be able to transport solutes. As in other species (Arabidopsis, rice, soybean), also in tomato one unusual member of the TIP family was found (S/TIP5;1). The AA sequence of S/TIP5;1 is less similar to a hypothetical S/TIP consensus sequence compared to the other S/TIP family members, resulting in S/TIP5;1 forming a single-gene clade within the S/TIP subfamily. Recently it was found that in Arabidopsis S/TIP5;1 is highly expressed in pollen and transports water and urea [65]. Also, expression of S/TIP5;1 was shown to be induced under elevated B conditions and overexpression of S/TIP5;1 enhanced the tolerance to higher B conditions [66]. This tissue and stimulus-specific expression might be one reason, why no EST of S/TIP5;1 was found in the databases.

NIPs

In the S/NIP subfamily the NPA motifs showed some variability (Fig. S3). In S/NIP1;1 and S/NIP5;1 the first NPA motif is changed to NPS, while in S/NIP2;2 S/NIP5;1 and S/NIP6;1 the second NPA motif is changed to NPT (S/NIP2;2) or NPV (S/NIP5;1, S/NIP6;1). Also the residues that form the ar/R constriction were more variable. However, within the different subgroups a higher degree of conservation was detected. The ar/R filter in the S/NIP1, S/NIP3 and S/NIP4 subgroup consisted of W (H2), V/I (H5), A (LE1) and R (LE2). S/NIP4;3 was found to encode a C-terminally shortened protein, compared to the rest of the S/NIP subfamily, so only H2 could be specified. In the S/NIP2 subgroup the ar/R filter consisted of G (H2), S (H5), G (LE1) and R (LE2), although a deletion in the second transmembrane domain of S/NIP2;2 made it impossible to specify H2 in this protein. The positions P1 to P4 were mostly conserved in the S/NIP subfamily, the consensus sequence being F/L (P1) S (P2), A (P3) and Y (P4). P5 was found to be more variable showing L, M, I and V residues.

The S/NIP subfamily is named after its first described member, soybean nodulin 26 (reviewed in [67]), which is found in the symbiosome membrane of the nitrogen-assimilating root nodules. It was found to transport water (albeit with a lower conductivity than true AQPs) and also solutes like formamide, glycerol [68,69] and ammonia [70]. The S/NIP subgroups S/NIP1, S/NIP3 and S/NIP4 show an ar/R filter configuration consistent with that of soybean Nodulin 26, indicating water- as well as solute-transport capability [71,72]. In cereals members of the NIP2 subgroup were characterized as Si transporter [73–75]. Whereas the ar/R filter positions and the P1 to P5 positions are almost perfectly conserved compared to barley, maize and rice in S/NIP2;1, S/NIP2;2 lacks position H2 since a 17 AA stretch from TMD2 is missing. Also no EST evidence for S/NIP2;2 was found. While S/NIP2;1 might be a functional Si transporter, functionality of S/NIP2;2 is questionable. For the Arabidopsis orthologs of S/NIP5;1, 1;6 and 1;7 it was shown that they play a role in B homeostasis in the shoot and probably in the anther [76–78]. Orthologs from both organisms share non-canonical NPA-motifs and also the ar/R filter region was found to be conserved between organisms. This indicates that the S/NIPs 5;1, 6;1 and 7;1 are B transporters, however experimental evidence is needed to confirm this. Nodulin 26, the founding member of the NIP subfamily was shown to be phosphorylated by the CDPK (calcium dependent protein kinase) at an S residue in the C-terminal region which enhanced water permeability [79,80]. Recognition sites for CDPK phosphorylation are also found in the C-terminus of S/NIP1 and S/NIP4 members (except S/NIP4;1), implying regulation by phosphorylation (Fig. S3).

SIPs

The S/SIP subfamily has a less conserved first NPA motif, while the second NPA motif is perfectly conserved in all full-length members (Fig. S4). Position H2 of the ar/R filter is occupied by a hydrophobic and aromatic V or F. The positions H5 and LE1 are filled by the more polar AA T and P in S/SIP1;1 and 1;2. In S/TIP2;1 the unique combination of K (H5) and G (LE1) is found. Position LE2 has a unique N or S residue in place of the expected R. The position P1 to P5 of the SIP1 subgroup were C/F, A, A, Y and W, while in S/SIP2;1 I, V, A, Y, W were found. S/SIP1;3 was found to encode a C-terminally truncated protein compared to the rest of the family. Since also no EST evidence could be detected, it likely represents a pseudogene. All full-length S/SIPs contained several K residues in their C-terminal region, which is characteristic for members of the SIP family [10] (Fig. S4). Members of the S/SIP1 subgroup were shown to transport water and localize to the ER membrane in vitro [36]. The subcellular localization of the S/SIPs however was predicted to be the tonoplast. So far no data regarding the physiological role of SIPs is available.

XIPs

All members of the S/XIP subfamily showed a modified first NPA motif (N/S, P, V/I), whereas the second NPA motif is extended to an NPARC motif, reported to be conserved in XIP subfamily members from other plant [12] (Fig. S3). The ar/R filter is comprised of I/A (H2), T (H5), A/V (LE1) and R (LE2). Since the first three AA of the ar/R filter have rather hydrophobic residues, the S/XIPs might be involved in transport of molecules other than water [38]. The positions P1 to P5 are occupied with V, C, P/A, F and W conserved in all members of the S/XIP subfamily. The XIP1 paralogues from several Solanaceae species, including tomato, tobacco and potato were recently characterized [11]. In these experiments XIPs showed reduce water transport activity compared to AQPs from the PIP subfamily while being able to transport substrates like urea, H$_2$O$_2$ and B when expressed in a yeast system. Furthermore, the proteins were localized to the PM of epidermal and parenchyma cells. Since the additional XIPs discovered in tomato showed mostly conserved ar/R filter regions it is very likely that they also function as solute transporters, although their physiological substrates are still unknown.

Expression analysis

The expression of 32 tomato AQPs in different vegetative tissues and in developing fruits of the tomato cultivar ‘Micro-Tom’ was analyzed by semi-quantitative RT-PCR (Fig. 4). Only AQPs that were represented by at least one EST in the analyzed tissues were included in the analysis. For most of the analyzed AQPs expression in at least one tissue could be detected. No expression could be detected in any tissue for SIPP2;5 and SIPP2;12. There is the possibility that these genes are only expressed at a detectable level after exposure to a specific stimulus. Several genes (SIPP1;3, SIPP2;1, SIPP2;4, SIPP2;6, SIPP2;8, SIPP2;9, SIPP4;1, SSSIP1;1, SSSIP1;2) seemed to be expressed in all analyzed tissues,
indicating a role in constitutive transport processes throughout the plant. A strong signal in cDNA from root tissue, but not from shoot or leaf tissues, was obtained for \( \text{SlPIP1;1}, \text{SlTIP2;3} \) and \( \text{SlNIP3;1} \) indicating a specific function in roots. Based on the known properties, two functions for AQPs in roots seem likely. First, water uptake and conductance in roots is, at least in parts controlled by AQPs [81]. Roots are also the primary uptake organ for macro- and micronutrients. It is conceivable that AQPs play a

---

**Figure 4. Expression analysis of selected tomato aquaporins.** Shown is a semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis of tomato aquaporins. RNA was extracted from the indicated tissues, transcribed to cDNA and used as a template for PCR. + indicates reactions using the respective EST-containing plasmid DNA as a template. Gene-specific primers (amplicons ca. 200 bp) were used to analyze expression levels by PCR. UBQ indicates a tomato ubiquitin gene used as a constitutively expressed control gene. DAP = days after pollination. Results are representative of two technical replicates for each tissue.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0079052.g004
role in the uptake and translocation of nutrients, illustrated by the effect of AtTIP5;1 on B homeostasis [66].

Several SlAQPs were found to be expressed in dynamic, fruit-specific pattern, indicating a role in fruit development, most likely transport of water or solutes. Increasing amounts of SlNIP2;1 and SlNIP6;1 transcripts could be detected in flowers and fruits from the earliest (3 days after pollination, 3 DAP) to the last stage of fruit development (Red). Expression of SlTIP1;1 and SlTIP3;2 started at 14 DAP and increased with proceeding fruit development. SlTIP3;1, SlNIP5;1, SlSIP1;1 transcripts were found exclusively in fruits during mid-development (around 21 DAP), SlSIP1;2, SlPIP1;7 and SlSIP2;1 expression was strongest in early-to-mid fruit development but ceased during the later stages. Expression of SlNIP4;1 was restricted to the flower and the ‘Orange’ stage of fruit development. Developing fruits are strong sink organs and the accumulation of sugars in them causes a negative water potential. It seems likely that at least some AQPs identified here as expressed in fruits are necessary for water accumulation during fruit development. It can be speculated that AQPs also facilitate water accumulation of sugars in them causes a negative water potential.

Development. It can be speculated that AQPs also facilitate water accumulation during fruit development. At least some AQPs identified here as expressed in fruits during mid-development (around 21 DAP). SlSIP1;2
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**Figure S1 Alignment of AA sequences of SlTIP subfamily members.** Shown is an AA sequence alignment of all SlTIPs. Black lines above the alignment indicate predicted transmembrane domains. The two conserved NPA motifs are shown in bold letters. Residues comprising the ar/R filter are marked in grey and labelled H2, H5, L1 and L2. Residues occupying conserved positions one to five (from N- to C-terminus P1 to P5) are marked in yellow. (DOCX)
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