Quantitative assessment of microglial morphology and density reveals remarkable consistency in the distribution and morphology of cells within the healthy prefrontal cortex of the rat
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Abstract

Background: Microglial morphology within the healthy brain has been the subject of a number of observational studies. These have suggested that microglia may consist of separate classes, which possess substantially different morphological features. Critically, there have been no systematic quantitative studies of microglial morphology within the healthy brain.

Methods: We examined microglial cells within the adult rat prefrontal cortex. At high magnification, digital reconstructions of cells labelled with the microglial-specific marker ionized calcium-binding adapter molecule-1 (Iba-1) were made in each of the cortical layers. These reconstructions were subsequently analyzed to determine the convex hull area of the cells, their somal perimeter, the length of processes, the number of processes, the extent of process branching and the volume of processes. We additionally examined whether cells’ morphological features were associated with cell size or numerical density.

Results: Our analysis indicated that while there was substantial variability in the size of cells within the prefrontal cortex, cellular morphology was extremely consistent within each of the cortical layers.

Conclusions: Our results provide quantitative confirmation that microglia are largely homogenous in the uninjured rodent prefrontal cortex.
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Background

To date, the majority of morphological characterization of microglia has occurred in situations of extensive neuroinflammation (Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinson’s disease, traumatic brain injury and stroke) [1-7]. Accordingly, our current understanding of microglial form has been heavily influenced by the study of these cells in their reactive state. By comparison, there is relatively little information concerning the morphological characteristics of microglia in the nondiseased brain. Such information, however, may be of considerable importance, given emerging evidence of the cells’ involvement in synaptic modelling within the healthy brain [8-13].

Several recent studies have indicated that normal environmental challenges, for instance changes in sensory experience or exposure to stress, can provoke structural remodelling of ramified microglia in the absence of any notable inflammatory disturbance [10,14]. These changes draw attention to the richness of microglial morphological transformation within the healthy brain [15]. Presently, however, no wholly quantitative studies have been undertaken to identify definitively what the typical properties of microglia are in the rodent. A long history of detailed observational studies of microglial morphology describe widely varying cellular structures. Particularly notable amongst these, Lawson et al. [16] described clear regional differences in microglial morphology, describing the occurrence of
compact (round, short processes), longitudinally branched (long primary processes) and radially branched (tortuous processes with secondary branching) microglia. Lawson et al. did also note that microglia in each of these classes differed somewhat in area and perimeter across each of the regions examined. Vela et al. [17], examining the representation of microglial forms across the mammalian cerebellum, observed that microglial morphology varied according to the cells’ extracellular environment. Their research suggested that microglial structure might vary in a manner that is dependent upon the synaptic activity within a given region, with white matter containing flattened microglia, whose processes extended parallel to the axon projections, and cerebellar nuclei accompanied by highly branched microglia that extended in all directions. In one of the few studies to examine microglia quantitatively, Jinno et al. [18] identified that microglia appear to be homogenously distributed within the hippocampus of the adult rat. A more recent study by Yamada and Jinno [19] is the first to classify microglia on the basis of quantitative measurements. Following hypoglossal axotomy, the authors grouped microglia according to discrete morphological measurements, revealing that compromised neural tissue contains microglia that progress from highly ramified to compact, thickened processes. However, their model focused on microglial structure following injury, which would likely have different characteristics from that of the uninjured brain. Collectively, these studies have suggested that ramified microglia may fall into distinct categories based on their morphology and may differ significantly in their form in a location dependent manner.

Recently, Torres-Platas et al. [20] published the first detailed quantitative neuroanatomical examination of microglia in the human prefrontal cortex and also found evidence of distinct morphological phenotypes. Specifically, the authors identified four classes of microglia: classically ramified microglia; primed microglia (wider cell body with standard ramified processes); reactive microglia (wider cell body, few ramified processes), and amoeboid microglia. The authors also undertook an observational analysis of mouse microglia and identified a significantly higher level of consistency, with the majority of cells being of the ramified phenotype.

Given Torres-Platas et al.’s recent findings, it is of considerable interest to understand how microglia within the prefrontal cortex of the rat, one of the most commonly used laboratory species, compares with that observed in the human brain. Accordingly, we undertook a detailed quantitative analysis of microglia within the prefrontal cortex of healthy adult rats. To examine changes in the rat prefrontal cortex, we immunohistochemically identified microglia, in coronally sectioned tissues, using ionized calcium-binding adapter molecule-1 (Iba-1) labelling. Iba-1 is a 17 kDa EF hand protein that plays a critical role in the bundling of F-actin, and is centrally involved in cytoskeletal reorganization [21]. As such, Iba-1 represents an excellent labelling target to examine morphological changes in microglia, and has been used extensively for this purpose [18,22,23]. We created digital reconstructions of Iba-1-labelled microglia from the adult rat prefrontal cortex. Once reconstructed, we examined differences in cellular area, perimeter, process number, process length, process volume, soma size and fractal complexity by assessing overall changes and using Sholl analysis. We further examined differences in metrics within the entire prefrontal cortex and across layers (I to VI). We identified substantial differences in the area occupied by microglia throughout the prefrontal cortex. As we have previously observed that psychological stress can differentially influence large and small microglia, we also further investigated the relationship between cell area and each of the listed metrics (length, process volume, and so on) [14]. Our results provide the first comprehensive quantitative mapping of microglial morphology in the rat prefrontal cortex, and extensively substantiate previous observational work.

Methods
Ethics statement
Experiments were approved by the University of Newcastle Animal Care and Ethics Committee and were conducted in strict accordance with the NSW Animal Research Act and Australian code of practice for the use of animals for scientific purposes.

Experimental animals
Adult male Sprague-Dawley rats (N =10; 350 to 450 g; 70 days old) were obtained from the Animal Resource Centre (Perth, Western Australia). Animals were acclimatized for 1 week in individual cages in temperature-controlled animal holding rooms (21 ± 1°C) on a 12 h reverse light-dark cycle (lights on at 19:00).

Tissue processing and immunohistochemistry
Animals were deeply anaesthetized with sodium pentobarbital and transcardially perfused via the ascending aorta with 2% sodium nitrite followed by 4% ice-cold paraformaldehyde. Brains were removed and post-fixed overnight in the same fixative and then placed into 12.5% sucrose for cryoprotection. Brains were cut into 30 μm sections using a freezing microtome (Leica, Germany).

As previously described [24], coronal sections were incubated in rabbit polyclonal anti-Iba-1 (1:10,000; Wako Bioproducts, Japan), followed by anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:500; Jackson Immunoresearch, PA, USA). Iba-1-specific labelling was visualized with a nickel-enhanced 3’3’-diaminobenzidine reaction. Brain regions were located anatomically in accordance with a stereotaxic rat brain atlas, which identified sections
between Bregma coordinates +2.2 and +3.2 (anterior-posterior) as containing the prefrontal cortex (Figure 1A; [25]). Within this region the cortex was divided further into its five layers according to cortical depth from the pial surface: Layer I, 17.8%; Layer II, 27.9%; Layer III, 46.6%; Layer V, 73.0%; and Layer VI, 100% (Figure 1B; [26,27]).

**Microglial reconstruction**

Microglia in the prefrontal cortex were reconstructed using a computer-assisted morphometry system consisting of a Zeiss Axioskop photomicroscope equipped with an MAC 6000 XYZ computer-controlled motorized stage and joystick with focus control (Ludl Electronic Products, NY, USA), a Q Imaging video camera (MBF Biosciences, VT, USA), and Neurolucida morphometric software (MBF Biosciences, VT, USA). Microglia were visualized and reconstructed under a Zeiss Axio Plan objective (NEOFLUAR × 100 objective with a numerical aperture of 1.3 under oil immersion) using Neurolucida software (Figure 1A). Only microglia that displayed intact processes unobscured by background labelling or other cells were included in reconstructions. Microglia were traced throughout the entire thickness of the section, and trace information was then rendered into a 2-dimensional diagram of each cell (Figure 1B). Five cells per region were randomly selected for a total of 250 cells included for analysis. Cells were ranked in quartiles according to overall cell size (Q1 = 709.92 to 1,059.68 μm²; Q2 = 1,097.23 to 1,489.34 μm²; Q3 = 1,510.63 to 2,027.75 μm²; Q4 = 2,036.26 to 3,784.34 μm²).

**Analysis of reconstructed cells**

NeuroExplorer software (MBF Biosciences, VT, USA) was used to generate metric analyses of reconstructed microglia. The cell body perimeter, number of primary processes, number of nodes (branch points), total length of all processes, and total volume of all processes were measured. The area encompassed by the entire cell was measured as the convex hull area, determined from the polygon created from straight lines connecting the most distal points of the microglial processes. A box counting protocol determined the fractal dimension of each cell (k-dim) to determine how fully the cell occupied its convex hull area [28]. To account for changes in the cell’s complexity in relation to distance from the cell soma, Sholl analyses were performed for each microglial cell. Concentric circles (radii) were spaced 5 μm apart, originating from the soma [29]. The number of branch points (nodes) and processes that intersected the radii, and process length, surface area, volume and average diameter were measured as a function of the distance from the cell soma for each radius.

**Absolute cell counts and density estimates**

Microglia numbers were exhaustively counted in three sections containing the prefrontal cortex. The region was defined as before, and consisted of the region between the forceps minor and the pial surface, approximately 1 mm in width, and extending in height for 1 mm from the base of the forceps minor to the border with the prefrontal cortex, at Bregma coordinates +2.5, +2.7 and +2.9 (anterior-posterior), according to Paxinos and Watson [25]. The left hemisphere from each section was imaged on a Zeiss Axioskop with Zeiss Axio Plan objective (Neofluor 10× objective) to contain the entire region within one field of view, and converted to a binary image using Metamorph software (MDS Analytical Technologies, version 7.5.4.0, CA, USA). Each microglial cell within the region was counted and marked to yield x-y coordinates of each cell. Cellular coordinates were then extracted and processed in MatLab (Version 7.9.0.529 (R2009b), Mathworks, Inc.). The prefrontal cortex was divided into a grid of three by five equally sized rectangles, and the number of cells in each one counted. These counts were then used to generate heat maps illustrating the cellular distribution. Overall cellular density measurements were calculated using the formula:

\[ D = \sum Q / (A \times T), \]

where ΣQ is the total number of cells in the prefrontal cortex, A is the area of the prefrontal cortex and T is the section thickness.

**Statistical analysis**

Group averages for microglia from each cortical layer were compared using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post-hoc testing with Bonferroni’s correction. Sholl analyses for microglia grouped by cortical layer or size were compared using one-way ANOVA of group means followed by post-hoc testing. An overall group effect

**Figure 1 Microglia in the prefrontal cortex. (A)** Cells were imaged using a 100x objective on a Zeiss Axioskop if their processes could be fully visualized within the given section. (B) Imaged cells were traced and ordered according to size (smallest to largest, Q1 to Q4). Scale bars =20 μm.
was determined using the trapezoidal area under each Sholl curve. Bivariate correlations were calculated for morphological parameters according to convex hull area. All statistical work was performed in IBM SPSS Statistics version 19.

**Results**

Microglial cells displayed remarkably consistent morphologies across all cortical layers, with only a few significant variations in structure. Indeed, the only difference we observed was that Layer II microglia possessed significantly fewer processes than microglia in Layer III or V (Table 1). The microglia within the prefrontal cortex otherwise appeared to be relatively homogenous, on average exhibiting between four and five primary processes that branched on average two times each.

Sholl analysis of microglial cells from cortical layers of the prefrontal cortex did not reveal any further variations in morphological characteristics (Figure 2). In all layers, microglia followed a similar pattern where the highest degree of branching and the largest, thickest processes were located approximately 10 to 20 μm from the soma. After this point, these features steadily decreased the farther they travelled from the microglial cell body. Furthermore, for all microglia, processes displayed the largest diameter at the most proximal position to the soma, and then tapered towards the distal ends. No overall group differences between layers were detected.

Our frequency analysis of convex hull areas indicated that while the size distribution was considerable (800 to 3,800 μm²), the distribution was unmistakably unimodal (Figure 3). However, as previous research had indicated that microglial cell size can differentially influence cellular morphology in response to environmental challenges [14], we investigated whether the size of microglial cells impacts structural characteristics in the normal brain. Correlation of microglial size with morphological parameters revealed significant correlations with cell complexity, branch points, total process length and total process volume (Figure 4). Thus, larger cells tended to have larger, thicker and more branched processes; overall, a higher degree of complexity and ramification. Despite these changes, soma size and the number of primary processes were consistent regardless of cell size ($r = 0.0033$, $r = 0.0116$, respectively).

We next performed a Sholl analysis of microglial structural characteristics according to their size. Microglia were assigned to a quartiles 1 to 4 (Q1 to 4) based on convex hull area, with Q1 representing the smallest cells and Q4 representing the largest. Larger cells tended to have increased measurements for all parameters (Figure 5). To assess group differences, the trapezoidal area under each Sholl curve was calculated. Large cells possessed significantly more branch nodes and intersections, and their processes were longer and thicker, and possessed greater surface area than their smaller counterparts (Figure 5). Cells falling in quartiles 2 and 3 were most similarly structured, with significant differences only reaching $P < 0.05$ for branch nodes, process volume and process diameter. The smallest microglia were least complex, with thin, short and relatively unbranched processes. Thus, the correlation between complexity and cell size appears to stem from process characteristics and not the overall number of processes or size of the cell body.

To characterize the distribution of microglial cells across the prefrontal cortex, we performed an exhaustive count of all microglial cells at Bregma +2.9 mm (anterior-posterior). Densities of microglia within each cortical layer were then calculated (Table 2). Layer I microglial density was significantly less than Layer V density ($P < 0.05$); otherwise, no significant differences were observed (Figure 6). As microglial density has been shown to vary inversely with microglial size [18], we investigated the relationship between microglial density and morphology. No significant correlations were revealed, with the exception of an inverse relationship between Layer VI microglial density and fractal complexity ($r^2 = 0.4646$; $P < 0.05$). Interestingly, no significant relationships between density and size were observed for any of the cortical layers.

| Table 1 Morphological characteristics of forebrain microglia |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                 | Layer I         | Layer II        | Layer III       | Layer V         | Layer VI        |
| Fractal dimension (k-dim) | 0.992 (0.008)   | 0.980 (0.009)   | 0.996 (0.006)   | 0.989 (0.005)   | 0.990 (0.006)   |
| Convex hull area (μm²)     | 1,605.52 (88.36)| 1,818.78 (95.61)| 1,761.14 (71.11)| 1,634.34 (68.87)| 1,577.00 (56.40)|
| Cell body perimeter (μm)   | 34.43 (0.98)    | 33.81 (1.13)    | 34.57 (1.49)    | 30.58 (0.73)    | 31.61 (1.34)    |
| Branch points              | 10.81 (0.60)    | 10.59 (0.54)    | 11.98 (0.61)    | 11.02 (0.63)    | 11.87 (0.64)    |
| Total process length (μm)  | 243.72 (12.44)  | 257.63 (12.81)  | 274.69 (11.21)  | 251.19 (10.55)  | 261.10 (10.19)  |
| Total process volume (μm³) | 54.13 (4.68)    | 55.49 (4.32)    | 55.37 (3.56)    | 53.17 (3.33)    | 54.93 (3.76)    |
| Number of processes       | 4.51 (0.16)     | 4.39* (0.19)    | 5.15 (0.20)     | 5.16 (0.17)     | 5.0 (0.16)      |

Microglia from each cortical layer were assessed for size and complexity of branch structure using computer-generated cell reconstructions. Values are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean; *P < 0.05 compared with Layers III and V.
Discussion

This study is the first to systematically quantify microglial morphology within the prefrontal cortex of healthy adult rats. The most striking finding to emerge from this study is the remarkable consistency of microglial morphology across each of the cortical layers (I to VI). Despite this laminar consistency, microglia were found to vary substantially with respect to the area that they occupy within the parenchyma (ranging from 800 to 3,800 μm²). Indeed, analysis of the morphological properties of microglia based on size revealed some intriguing phenomena. For instance, while a strong positive relationship was observed between the overall area of the microglial cell and the magnitude of certain morphological features, not all characteristics were affected equally. Similarly, when we compared the properties of cells grouped in quartiles according to area, our analysis revealed that the averages for each quartile did not always change in a graduated pattern. Collectively, these results indicate that while the morphology of microglia appear quite homogenous ‘on average’, there are clear morphological differences, particularly with respect to size, that suggest the existence of some degree of cellular specialisation.

Absolute microglial cell counts provided important population information regarding cell representation within the prefrontal cortex. Astroglial cells (positive for glial fibrillary acidic protein) are known to exhibit strong medial-lateral differences within the prefrontal cortex, however, microglial cells have never been examined in the naive prefrontal cortex in this way [18]. We found no difference in microglial cell number in either the medial-lateral or the anterior-posterior directions in the prefrontal cortex. Our observations demonstrate that the distribution of microglia within the prefrontal cortex is
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**Figure 2** Microglial morphology is consistent across cortical layers of the prefrontal cortex. Sholl analysis of microglia according to cortical layer location yielded regular patterns of morphological characteristics. Maxima for all measures were observed approximately 15 μm from the soma, followed by steady tapering in size and complexity. Values are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean.
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**Figure 3** Microglia in the prefrontal cortex have a wide range of sizes. A frequency histogram of all microglial cells sampled revealed a right-tailed distribution with a range of approximately 3,000 μm².
Figure 4 Microglial size affects the extent of ramification. Bivariate correlation of morphological characteristics with overall microglial cell size revealed that increasing cell size directly correlated with an increase in process size and complexity. * $P < 0.05$.

Figure 5 Microglial cell size affects overall process characteristics. Sholl analysis of microglial cells divided into quartiles on the basis of size revealed a marked increase in process complexity as cell size increased. Overall differences by group were determined from the trapezoidal area under each Sholl curve (inset graphs). Black circles, Q1; white circles, Q2; black triangles, Q3; white triangles, Q4; * $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$; *** $P < 0.001$. 
relatively homogenous in the absence of injury or inflammation. From a functional perspective, this form of dispersion is consistent with the increasingly popular view that microglia actively survey their environment, and their arrangement at regularly-spaced intervals permits efficient monitoring [9,12].

To assess microglial morphology, we created high-resolution digital reconstructions of Iba-1-labelled microglia, in order to provide the greatest sensitivity to their intricate morphological features [30]. With respect to our analysis in this study, we identified that the fractal dimension, convex hull area, cell body perimeter, number of branch points, total process length, total process volume and total numbers of processes were remarkably consistent on average across each of the cortical layers. Similarly, our Sholl analysis (that is, our assessment of the morphological differences in relation to the radial distance from the soma) of each of the measured morphological parameters was also remarkably consistent across layers. While this latter finding might have been predicted by consideration of the averages alone, it was in principle possible for each of the metrics to peak at different points across the layers, a situation that would have had no influence on the averages. Thus, it seems reasonable to conclude that the morphology of microglia across each of the cortical layers is extremely similar. It also appears possible to conclude, given this consistency, that the density of cells does not meaningfully alter the morphological properties of cells in this region.

One result that was of particular note was the between-cell variability in overall (convex hull) area, which ranged from 800 to 3,800 $\mu m^2$. Such size variability has not been previously reported. Our analysis of the distribution of cell areas revealed an unmistakably Gaussian curve, with the majority (68%) of cells possessing an area of 1,130.94 to 2,231.05 $\mu m^2$. We expected that each of the cells’ morphological characteristics (process number, process length, and so on) would

Table 2 Number and density of microgila in the forebrain

| Bregma (anterior-posterior) | Single section count (cells) | Density (cells/µm³) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| +2.5                        | 257.90 (7.28)               | 9.55 (0.27)       |
| +2.7                        | 244.60 (5.65)               | 9.06 (0.21)       |
| +2.9                        | 246.20 (4.87)               | 9.12 (0.18)       |

Microglia were exhaustively counted within the prefrontal cortex at each Bregma level to yield counts within a single section. Densities were calculated for the volume between one Bregma level and the next. Values represent means (± standard error of the mean).

Figure 6 Heat maps demonstrating microglial representation in prefrontal cortex, Bregma +2.5 mm. Absolute cell counts of microglia yielded x-y coordinates for each cell. (A) Coordinates were converted into representative heat maps for the region, which was divided into a 3 × 5 grid for analysis of population differences. The point (0, 0) represents the most ventral point on the pial surface of the prefrontal cortex. Red indicates relatively heavily populated regions while blue indicates relatively lightly populated regions. (B) Two-dimensional representation of microglial population.
correlate with the overall area of the cell, as observed in microglia from brains post-injury [31]. Surprisingly, this was true for some metrics but not others. Specifically, we observed a very strong positive correlation between microglial process length \( r = 0.8624 \) and branch number \( r = 0.6583 \) with the cells’ overall area. In contrast, there was no observable relationship between microglial area and the cells’ somal perimeter, fractal complexity, or the number of primary processes. Our Sholl analysis, on the basis of cells grouped by quartile according to their area, showed a strong relationship between microglial process length, branch number and branch volume with the cells’ overall area.

Perhaps one of the more interesting findings to emerge from this analysis is the relative comparisons that are now possible between rat and human microglia. For instance, Torres-Platas et al. [20] identified that ramified microglia in the human prefrontal cortex have on average 5.8 \( \pm 0.5 \) primary processes (that is, those that emerge from the cell body), and we have determined that there are approximately 5 \( \pm 0.2 \) for the rat. This result is striking in its similarity. However, other metrics do not align as closely. For instance, the extent of primary process branching would appear to be much higher in the human prefrontal cortex, with an average of 46 branch points being observed for the human parenchymal microglia, whereas the average in the rat is only 16. Consistent with this finding, the cumulative length of branches in the human prefrontal cortex is much higher: 590 \( \mu \)m versus an average of approximately 250 \( \mu \)m for the rat. It is, certainly, tempting to speculate, given recent findings concerning the role of microglia in monitoring synaptic junctions, that the higher levels of branching in human microglia might be associated with increased neuronal number and synaptic density in our species [9,10,32].

This study significantly advances understanding of microglial morphology within the cerebral cortex. Microglia from the healthy brain have been previously described as belonging to either a compact, longitudinally branched, or radially branched group [16]. Here, we quantified the characteristics of microglia belonging to the radially branched group, which show that while laminar divisions do not affect morphology, size varies markedly. However, our observations are also consistent with the proposal that the local environment may dictate microglial morphology. Indeed, the laminar homogeneity of microglial morphology is perhaps peculiar to the cerebral cortex, as Vela et al. [17] have noted a distinct medial-lateral pattern to microglial branching within the cerebellar cortex. In their study, the authors reported that microglia in the outer layers ran parallel to the pial surface, whereas microglia in the medial regions of the cerebellum had more primary processes that extensively branched. These observations were quite unlike those of the present work, where primary processes rarely varied, and branching was not different across cortical layers. The differences may reflect the greater heterogeneity of cellular components within the cerebellum, which is distinctly divided amongst white matter tracts and neuronal nuclei, while the prefrontal cortex consists mainly of neuronal cell bodies.

One potential caveat associated with the interpretation of results presented in this study is the method of sectioning that was used. Specifically, the sections that we included in the study were taken through the coronal plane and in undertaking our analysis we ensured that each of the reconstructed cells was fully located within this plane of the section. Cells that had obviously been bisected during the sectioning process were not included for analysis. As many of the microglia were 40 to 50 \( \mu \)m in diameter, and our section thickness was 30 \( \mu \)m, we cannot rule the possibility that our analysis approach did not provide an accurate representation of the microglia that were primarily orientated within the sagittal plane. Accordingly, we believe it is important that our results can only be considered to be representative of those cells orientated within the coronal plane of the prefrontal cortex.

Conclusions
Knowledge of the role played by microglia within the healthy brain has, in recent years, begun to dramatically expand. While these cells were once considered to be primarily involved in the response to injury, it is now clear that they also play a direct role in monitoring synaptic function in the healthy brain [12,13]. Moreover, it is now recognized that the cells can be disturbed by significant changes in sensory experience, such as changes in the level of light or stressful situations [10,14,19]. It is primarily because of their role in regulating normal homeostatic processes that microglia have remained unappreciated and have not been extensively studied under nonpathological conditions. Indeed, many fundamental neuroanatomical questions that have been completely resolved for other cell types, such as neurons, remain largely unaddressed for microglia. This issue was the primary motivation for undertaking this study, namely, to systematically characterize the density and morphology of microglial cells in the prefrontal cortex. In broad terms, our results reveal that the distribution of cells within the cortex appears remarkably homogenous, with the same types occurring in all regions in the same proportions. With that said, however, there are also some striking differences, particularly in relation to the size of microglia. This study clearly demonstrates that microglia within the cortex are heterogeneous with respect to size. This is a potentially important finding, given that it is now being recognized that astrocytes, which were also once essentially considered to be homogenous, possess quite distinct morphological, molecular and functional properties [7,33,34]. Accordingly, an obvious question for future studies to
address is whether or not microglia that possess quite distinct sizes (and morphological properties) also differ with respect to their functional and molecular signatures.
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