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Abstract

“Explosive” adaptive radiations on islands remain one of the most puzzling evolutionary phenomena and the evolutionary genetic processes behind such radiations remain unclear. Rapid morphological and ecological evolution during island radiations suggests that many genes may be under fairly strong selection, although this remains untested. Here, we report that during a rapid recent diversification in the Hawaiian endemic plant genus Schiedea (Caryophyllaceae), 5 in 36 studied genes evolved under positive selection. Positively selected genes are involved in defence mechanisms, photosynthesis, and reproduction. Comparison with eight mainland plant groups demonstrates both the relaxation of purifying selection and more widespread positive selection in Hawaiian Schiedea. This provides compelling evidence that adaptive evolution of protein-coding genes may play a significant role during island adaptive radiations.
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Introduction

Studies of adaptive radiations of species on oceanic islands have yielded important insights into our understanding of evolution ever since the pioneering works of Darwin (1845, 1859) and Wallace (1881). Although island adaptive radiations may be viewed as extreme examples of evolutionary diversification, it is thought that major adaptive radiations in the history of our planet have followed the same evolutionary processes as island endemic radiations, so islands may be viewed as evolutionary laboratories one can use to understand the general processes of adaptation and speciation (Emerson 2002; Gavrilets and Losos 2009).

The most dramatic “bursts” of adaptive radiation often occur within confined geographical regions (e.g., oceanic islands or large lakes; cf. Schluter 2000). This might seem surprising given that natural selection is more effective in large populations, while island habitats are always limited in area and island populations are thus of limited size. In addition, many island species are thought to have been formed via the colonization of new islands or habitats (Hollocher and Williamson 1996) and such colonization events should lead to a drastic reduction in the effective population size. Low levels of genetic variability caused by the small size of island populations have been reported for island species—and in particular for endemic ones (Frankham 1997; Eldridge et al. 1999; Dixon et al. 2011)—although exceptions do occur, especially among species with high dispersal abilities (Bonhomme et al. 1989; Frankham 1997). The small population sizes of island species may render selection less effective in the face of stronger genetic drift (Otta 2002) and the genetic processes that control the rapid morphological and ecological evolution during island adaptive radiations remain unclear.

Many groups of animals and plants have radiated extensively in the Hawaiian archipelago (Wagner and Funk 1995). The Hawaiian Islands were created by the interplay of an active volcanic hot spot and continental drift. New islands are created by volcanic activity at the eastern end of the archipelago, whereas older islands are eroding away at the western end as continental drift moves them away from the volcanic hotspot (Fleischer et al. 1998). The linear sequence of the archipelago, known ages of the individual islands and the richness of endemic flora and fauna make Hawaii one of the best locations to study adaptive radiations.

The genus Schiedea (Caryophyllaceae: Alisoideae) is one of the largest plant adaptive radiations on the Hawaiian archipelago, comprising 34 endemic palaeopolyploid species (Kapralov et al. 2009) of perennial herbs, vines, and shrubs (Wagner et al. 2005). Schiedea species from contrasting environments (e.g., rainforest vs. coastal cliffs) are dramatically different from each other in morphology and many physiological traits (Wagner et al. 2005), which may require differences in gene expression and/or alterations in coding sequences. We demonstrate that all the morphological and ecological diversity in Schiedea has evolved surprisingly rapidly, as interspecific divergence at silent sites of nuclear genes in the genus does not exceed 4%. Low molecular divergence together with species biogeography suggests that most extant Schiedea species evolved in less than a couple of million years. This suggests that many genes might have been under fairly strong positive selection during the radiation of Schiedea.

Only few previous studies have investigated the action of selection at the molecular level during island adaptive radiations (Barrier et al. 2001; Remington and Purugganan 2002). They found some increase in the ratio of nonsynonymous (dN) to synonymous (dS) substitution rates in the Hawaiian populations.
silversword alliance, which may reflect a slight relaxation of purifying selection in small island populations, but no compelling evidence of positive selection was reported. Here, we report the analysis of positive and purifying selection during a rapid recent adaptive radiation in Schiedea and compare it with the mainland plant groups.

**Materials and Methods**

**Polymerase Chain Reaction Amplification and Sequencing of Schiedea Genes**

The data set is comprised of partial sequences of 36 nuclear genes from up to 27 species of the island endemic genus Schiedea (supplementary tables S1 and S2, Supplementary Material online). Plant samples used in this study (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online) have been described previously (Kapralov and Filatov 2006). Genomic DNA was isolated from fresh leaf material using magnetic beads-based Plant DNA Charge Switch Kit (Invitrogen) in accordance with manufacturer’s protocol. Given that Schiedea is not a model group and no cDNA or genomic sequences are available, we sequenced 1,000 clones from a Schiedea globosa cDNA library (Atanassov I and Filatov DA, unpublished data) and used them as queries for blast searches against the GenBank database. Thus, after removing duplicates and genes with unknown functions, we were left with approximately 150 nonredundant sequences representing protein-coding genes with known functions. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) primers were designed for these genes and tested across all species. PCR amplification of all studied genes was conducted using BioMix Red (Bioline) with the following PCR conditions: one cycle of 94 °C, 2.5 min, 55 °C, 30 s, 72 °C, 3.5 min followed by 37 cycles of 93 °C, 20 s, 53 °C, 30 s, 72 °C, 2.5 min. For 36 genes (supplementary tables S1 and S3, Supplementary Material online), clear single band PCR products were obtained for 20 or more Schiedea species. Primers for these genes are listed in the supplementary table S4, Supplementary Material online. The PCR products were separated on 1% agarose gels and extracted from the gels using Qiagen gel extraction kit. The relatively short length of the obtained PCR products (<1,200 base pairs) allowed us to use the same primers for both PCR and sequencing. Sequencing was performed using ABI BigDye v3.1 system on an ABI3700 automated sequencing machine. Sequence chromatograms were checked and corrected, and the contigs were assembled and aligned using ProSeq3 software (Filatov 2009). All polymorphic sites were checked against the original sequence chromatograms and problematic regions were resequenced; the sequences obtained were compared with S. globosa cDNA sequence and homologs from GenBank, and open reading frame (ORF) integrity was confirmed for protein-coding sequences.

**Sequence Data for Mainland Groups**

Homologs of genes analyzed in Schiedea were retrieved using BLAST (Altschul et al. 1990) from Genbank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) for eight mainland plant groups (families Asteraceae, Fabaceae, and Poaceae; tribe Cichorieae; and genera Citrus, Helianthus, Populus, and Solanum). Schiedea globosa genes with introns removed were blast-searched against the Genbank expressed sequence tag (EST) database with searches limited to one selected species from each of the eight mainland groups. The sequences obtained were aligned with Schiedea sequences and trimmed to the same length as the analyzed Schiedea sequences. These trimmed sequences from mainland groups were used as queries for new BLAST searches narrowed to species from the respective mainland groups with sufficient numbers of available ESTs. The data sets obtained were aligned using ClustalW and manually checked; ambiguous sites and gaps were removed before further analyses. Sequences that diverged from the queries substantially more than expected from average species divergence were not included into alignments as they are likely to be paralogs. Including more species inevitably reduced the number of genes in the analysis, as not all genes are available for all species. Subject to this trade-off, 22, 21, and 28 species were included in the analysis of families Asteraceae, Fabaceae, and Poaceae, respectively, whereas from 6 to 8 species were sampled from the tribe Cichorieae and genera Citrus, Helianthus, Populus, and Solanum (supplementary table S5, Supplementary Material online, for species names and GenBank accession numbers). Six of the mainland groups were represented by herbaceous plants (Asteraceae, Cichorieae, Fabaceae, Helianthus, Poaceae, and Solanum) and two—by shrubs and trees (Citrus and Populus); and the sizes of corresponding data sets varied from 11 to 21 genes, as not all genes we sequenced in Schiedea are sequenced in other groups (supplementary table S5, Supplementary Material online). Both number of sequences in a data set and their divergence affect performance of phylogeny based tests for positive selection (Anisimova et al. 2001). Thus, ideal groups for comparison with Schiedea would be ones with similar species number and interspecific divergence. We could not find data sets of over 20 closely related plant species with available sequences homologous to obtained Schiedea genes. Hence, we used three data sets of similar size to Schiedea one consisting of species from families Asteraceae, Fabaceae, and Poaceae, which are much more diverged than Schiedea species. There is less statistical power to find positive selection in a less diverged group (Schiedea) compared with more diverged ones (Anisimova et al. 2001), that makes these comparisons more conservative. Also we used five data sets of six to eight closely related plant species (tribe Cichorieae; and genera Citrus, Helianthus, Populus, and Solanum). These data sets were compared with the subset comprised of the seven most diverged Schiedea species to minimize differences in interspecific divergence and species numbers per data set.

**Data Analyses**

Alignments of obtained sequences were created manually or using ClustalW with default parameter values (Larkin et al. 2007). All alignments were unambiguous, which is not surprising given the low divergence between Schiedea species as well as within the groups of mainland plants. Coding region assignment, intron splicing, and gene concatenation were
performed in ProSeq3 (Filatov 2009). Average pairwise divergence per nucleotide for silent and nonsilent sites as well as preliminary gene trees using the neighbor-joining algorithm were obtained using Mega v.4 (Tamura et al. 2007). The fully resolved phylogenies of *Schiedea* and mainland groups were reconstructed using silent mutations (noncoding and the third positions of exons) and indels manually recoded as single mutations in a concatenated data set of all sequenced genes. The statistical selection of best-fit models of nucleotide substitution was done using jModelTest (Posada and Crandall 1998; Posada 2008). The phylogenies were reconstructed by Bayesian analysis implemented in MrBayes 3.1.2 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003) using a GTR+I+G model of DNA sequence evolution. Potential topological conflicts between the phylogenetic trees obtained using concatenated data sets and individual genes were assessed with approximately unbiased (AU) and weighted Shimodaira–Hasegawa (WSH) tests (Shimodaira 2002) performed in the Treefinder package (Jobb et al. 2004). The fully resolved phylogenies of *Schiedea* and the mainland groups obtained using concatenated data sets were in agreement with previously published ones (Wagner et al. 2005) and showed no significant topological conflict with individual genes at the 5% level or below in AU and WSH tests. These fully resolved phylogenies of *Schiedea* and the mainland groups were used as input trees for analyses of individual genes using PAML, sitewise likelihood-ratio (SLR), and FitModel (discussed later) to increase analytical power, which would be compromised if we used less resolved phylogenies obtained using individual genes (Anisimova et al. 2001). When some species were missing for particular genes, these species were manually pruned from the input tree.

Molecular adaptation can be inferred from the comparison of the rates of nonsynonymous (changing amino acid protein sequence, $d_N$) and synonymous (resulting in no change at the protein level, $d_S$) mutations. Under neutrality the two rates are expected to be equal ($d_N/d_S \sim 1$), whereas purifying or adaptive selection is expected to reduce ($d_N/d_S < 1$) or inflate ($d_N/d_S > 1$) this ratio, respectively. To infer molecular adaptation, we used three programs (PAML, SLR, and FitModel) calculating $d_N/d_S$ ratio within phylogenetic framework. First, we used the program codeml in the PAML 4.0 package (Yang 2007) to estimate the nonsynonymous divergence ($d_N$), synonymous divergence ($d_S$), and their ratio ($d_N/d_S$) in the model M0, which allows for a single $d_N/d_S$ value throughout the whole phylogenetic tree. We tested for the presence of positive selection in *Schiedea* and in the mainland data sets by comparing a pair of models, M8 versus M8a, implemented in PAML 4.0 (Yang 2007). These are nested models and their relative fit to the data can be compared in a likelihood ratio test (LRT). Both M8 and M8a models allow the $d_N/d_S$ ratio to vary between 0 and 1, which takes into account those codons that evolve under purifying selection as well as codons evolving neutrally. In addition, the M8 model also allows for a class of codons with $d_N/d_S > 1$ that accommodates codons under positive selection. In the M8a model, the positively selected codons are forced to have $d_N/d_S = 1$. The M8/M8a LRT specifically tests whether $d_N/d_S$ for codons falling into the positively selected class is significantly larger than unity (Swanson et al. 2003). The significance of the LRTs was calculated assuming that twice the difference in the log of maximum likelihood between the two models is distributed as a chi-squared distribution with the degrees of freedom (df) given by the difference in the numbers of parameters in the two nested models. It has been argued that for M8a–M8 comparisons the appropriate test would use a 50:50 mixture of $df = 0$ and $df = 1$ (Swanson et al. 2003); thus, our approach is conservative. The $P$ values of the LRT tests were corrected using the false discovery rate (FDR) procedure (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995). Codon distributions were extracted from the model M8 outputs and basic descriptive statistics were calculated in Excel. Groups were compared using the nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis test, which does not make assumptions about normality and is designed for conditions when both nominal and measurement variables are present.

Second, we used the SLR program, which implements SLR method for detecting nonneutral evolution, a statistical test that can identify sites under positive selection even when the strength of selection is low (Massingham and Goldman 2005). The SLR test consists of performing a likelihood-ratio test on a sitewise basis, testing the null model (neutrality $d_N/d_S = 1$) against an alternative model ($d_N/d_S \neq 1$). SLR method is a test of whether a given site has undergone selection, and the test statistic summarizes the strength of the evidence for selection rather than the strength of the selection itself (Massingham and Goldman 2005). The SLR program implements Hochberg’s step-up procedure (Hochberg 1988) to correct $P$ values for multiple tests.

Third, we applied switching Markov modulated codon models as implemented in the program FitModel (Guindon et al. 2004) to genes shown to be under positive selection by PAML and SLR. Unlike site model, switching models allow each codon site to change the selective regime, and thus be affected by different selective pressures at different time points. This is accomplished by using an additional Markov process to describe the switches between selection regimes at any individual site. We used model M2a (same as in PAML) both with switches of selective pressure over time (M2a + S1) and without switches (M2a) and the LRTs were performed to test whether switches of selective pressure over time occurred (M2a vs. M2a + S1). Sites with episodes under positive selection were detected a posteriori using the Bayesian approach (Guindon et al. 2004). The same input files with sequence alignment and species phylogeny were used for PAML, SLR, and FitModel.

**Results**

**Analysis of Selection in Schiedea**

To test whether rapid diversification and adaptation to contrasting conditions in *Schiedea* was accompanied by widespread adaptation at the molecular level, we obtained partial sequences of 36 nuclear genes from up to 27 species of the island endemic genus *Schiedea* (supplementary tables S1 and S2, Supplementary Material online). With a total alignment length of approximately 24 kb, our data set represents by far the largest multigenic study of substitution rates in
rapidly radiating island endemics. The sequenced genes are involved in a wide range of metabolic pathways and biological functions (supplementary table S3, Supplementary Material online) and have not been chosen in any specific way with respect to their function. Interspecific divergence in *Schiedea* averaged across all genes and species was quite low: 2% for noncoding regions and synonymous sites and 0.5% for nonsynonymous sites (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). The largest pairwise silent divergence between *Schiedea* species averaged across all genes did not exceed 4%, demonstrating that all the morphological and phenotypic diversity in this endemic genus evolved in a very short period of time. Although the divergence between *Schiedea* species is relatively low, it is still at least an order of magnitude higher than intraspecific polymorphism (Filatov and Burke 2004; Wallace et al. 2009; Dixon et al. 2011).

We reconstructed a fully resolved phylogeny of *Schiedea* from a concatenated data set of all 36 nuclear genes, using Bayesian inference as implemented in MrBayes 3.1.2 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003). The phylogeny we obtained (fig. 1) was similar to the previously published *Schiedea* phylogenies based on morphology and internal/external transcribed spacers (ITS/ETS) sequence data (Wagner et al. 2005) and three nuclear genes (Willyard et al. 2011) but was better resolved and supported. Potential topological conflicts between *Schiedea* phylogenies obtained using the concatenated data set and those obtained from individual genes were assessed using AU and weighted Shimodaira–Hasegawa tests (Shimodaira 2002). None of these tests revealed any significant topological conflict and the phylogeny based on the concatenated data set was therefore used in subsequent tests for positive selection in individual *Schiedea* genes.

**Fig. 1.** Unrooted tree of 27 *Schiedea* species representing perennial herbs, vines, and shrubs occurring in a range of contrasting environments. The phylogeny was estimated using a concatenated alignment of 36 nuclear genes using MrBayes (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003); posterior probabilities are shown next to branches. All recognized sections are monophyletic and indicated by section names in bold and gray bars on the right of species names. Branches are color-coded according to the islands where each species is found (see map of central Hawaii, top left). Images of representative species are placed near the section names. Blue and pink bars to the right of the plant photographs indicate the borders of the former genera *Schiedea* and *Alsinidendron*, respectively, before they were merged by Wagner et al. (2005).
We analyzed selection in the sequenced protein-coding genes using a phylogeny-based maximum likelihood approach based on a comparison of nonsynonymous (i.e., changing the protein sequence, \(d_N\)) and synonymous (resulting in no change at the protein level, \(d_S\)) substitution rates (Yang 2007). Under neutrality, the two rates are expected to be equal (\(d_N/d_S = 1\)), whereas purifying or adaptive selection is expected to reduce (\(d_N/d_S < 1\)) or inflate (\(d_N/d_S > 1\)) this ratio, respectively. The average \(d_N/d_S\) ratio among 36 nuclear genes of the island genus Schiedea was 0.39 (ranging from 0.03 to 1.44; supplementary table S6, Supplementary Material online), which is relatively high, compared with other studied groups (e.g., Drosophila [Drosophila 12 Genomes Consortium 2007]). This may be due either to somewhat relaxed purifying selection or to widespread positive selection in Schiedea. To test for positive selection in individual genes, we employed a LRT that compares the fit of two nested models, the more general model allowing for positive selection and more restrictive model that assumes only purifying selection or neutral evolution. The signal of positive selection was detectable by PAML in 10 of the 36 genes (\(P < 0.05\); M8/M8a LRT; Yang 2007), and remained significant in five of these genes after correction for multiple tests using the FDR (supplementary table S6, Supplementary Material online). Thus, at a 5% FDR, at least 5 in 36 of the studied protein-coding genes were shown to be under positive selection in Schiedea (supplementary table S6, Supplementary Material online), which gives conservative estimate of proportion of genes under positive selection in Schiedea within the binomial confidence interval from 5% to 30%. This is likely to be an underestimate given that we only analyzed an average of approximately 30% of the length of the protein-coding regions in each gene (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online), and hence could have missed regions under positive selection.

Results of analyses performed with the SLR program (Massingham and Goldman 2005) showed presence of codons under positive selection in nine genes; genes evolving under positive selection were the same as shown by PAML before FDR with exception of the glyceraldehyde-3-P dehydrogenase gene, which was shown under selection by PAML before FDR but not by SLR.

LRTs M2a versus M2a + S1 were performed using the FitModel program (Guindon et al. 2004) to compare the model that allows the site-specific selection process to vary along lineages of a phylogenetic tree with one that does not take into account switches between selection patterns. The model that allows selection process to vary along lineages provided significantly better fit to the data for two Schiedea genes under positive selection, CBPS and putative cell division protein genes with \(P\) values of 0.009 and 0.002, respectively. Positively selected substitutions in the CBPS gene were either associated with branches leading to species of the Mononeura section or all branches except of Mononeura, whereas positively selected substitutions in the putative cell division protein gene appeared across all phylogeny.

Selection in the Mainland Plant Groups
To compare Schiedea to mainland plants, we compiled three data sets for herbaceous plants from the families Asteraceae, Fabaceae, and Poaceae, which were of comparable size with the Schiedea data set. In addition, we compiled five small data sets consisting of six to eight closely related species from the tribe Cichorieae and genera Citrus, Helianthus, Populus, and Solanum and compared them with the subset of seven Schiedea species. These data sets consist of homologs of the genes we sequenced in Schiedea (supplementary table S5, Supplementary Material online). The fully resolved Bayesian phylogenies of the concatenated data sets for each mainland group are shown in supplementary figures S1–S8, Supplementary Material online. No topological conflict was detected between the genes, and the phylogenies matched the current classification in each group with minor differences which likely aroused due to different sampling designs and common usage of chloroplast genes in published phylogenies but not in our ones (Nicolosi et al. 2000; Doyle and Luckow 2003; Hamzeh and Dayanandan 2004; Weese and Bohs 2007; Panero and Funk 2008; Schneider et al. 2009; Kane et al. 2011; Morrone et al. 2011).

Average \(d_N/d_S\) ratios of all mainland groups were lower than in Schiedea (fig. 2A; supplementary table S6, Supplementary Material online), supporting the view that purifying selection is relaxed and/or there is more positive selection in island Schiedea, compared with the mainland groups. These differences were significant when the data set of 27 Schiedea species was compared with family-level mainland groups with over 20 species per group (\(P < 0.05\), the Kruskal–Wallis test; fig. 2A), but not significant when the subset of seven Schiedea species was compared with genus-level mainland groups of 6–8 species (with exception of Cichorieae; fig. 2A). To test for positive selection in the mainland groups, we used the same models as in the analyses of Schiedea. Higher divergence in the mainland groups (supplementary table S6, Supplementary Material online), compared with Schiedea should lead to more power to detect positive selection in the mainland groups (Anismanova et al. 2001); hence, this comparison is conservative, if it is used to address the question whether there is more positive selection in Schiedea, compared with mainland groups. Nevertheless, with the exception of one gene in Helianthus, no genes were identified as evolving under positive selection in the mainland groups by PAML (after FDR correction, see supplementary table S6, Supplementary Material online). Another approach, SLR, showed no codons under positive selection in the mainland groups with exception of one gene in Helianthus and one gene in Populus (1-aminocyclopropane oxidase and mannitol dehydrogenase, respectively). The lack of positive selection cannot be due to lower power of the analysis in mainland groups given that species divergence in the mainland groups was higher than in Schiedea (supplementary table S6, Supplementary Material online).

The model M8 allows the \(d_N/d_S\) ratio to vary between 0 and 1, which takes into account those codons that evolve under purifying selection as well as codons evolving neutrally.
In addition, it also allows a class of codons with $d_{NS}/d_S > 1$ that accommodates codons under positive selection. We extracted data on $d_{NS}/d_S$ ratios and the size of the classes from the M8 output for each gene and compared the proportion of codons under strong purifying selection ($d_{NS}/d_S < 0.05$) and strong positive selection ($d_{NS}/d_S > 2$) in island *Schiedea* and the mainland plant groups. We found a lower proportion of codons under strong purifying selection in *Schiedea* than in mainland groups; these differences being significant in comparisons between *Schiedea* and Poaceae, Asteraceae, and Cichorieae, $P < 0.05$, the Kruskal–Wallis test; fig. 2B). The proportion of codons under strong positive selection was higher in *Schiedea* compared with all mainland groups with exception of *Helianthus* and *Populus* (fig. 2C). This difference was statistically significant for large mainland groups ($P < 0.05$, the Kruskal–Wallis test; fig. 2C), but not for small ones (fig. 2C).

Thus, strong purifying selection is somewhat relaxed and positive selection is more common in island *Schiedea* than in the most of studied mainland plant groups. This supports the view that rapid morphological evolution in *Schiedea* is driven by fairly strong positive selection, as might be expected for an island radiation. Population sizes on islands are often small, so the dynamics of mutations with weak effect are dominated by drift and only mutations of strong effect are "visible" to selection. Indeed, the $d_{NS}/d_S$ ratios for positively selected *Schiedea* genes are quite high (supplementary table S6, Supplementary Material online).

**Discussion**

Our estimates of relatively low interspecific divergence in *Schiedea* confirms that remarkable morphological diversity of leaf shapes, reproductive syndromes, and growth forms have evolved recently and rather rapidly in *Schiedea* (Wagner et al. 2005). During an island adaptive radiation, *Schiedea* species not only underwent dramatic morphological evolution but also colonized a range of habitats from shady understory of rainforest to desert-like sun-exposed oceanic cliffs (Wagner et al. 2005). It is not known whether such rapid phenotypic and ecological diversification predominantly occurs due to strong selection at only a few genes, or if many genes are involved. It is also not clear whether it is mainly due to the evolution of gene expression and regulatory regions, or due to selection for amino acid replacements in the protein-coding regions.

There are multiple examples demonstrating the importance of gene expression in adaptive evolution and speciation (reviewed in Hoekstra and Coyne 2007; Wray 2007). Studies of selection at the molecular level in crop species also often point toward the importance of cis-regulatory changes. For example, the dramatic evolution of candelabra-like teosinte into pole-like maize (the development of strong apical dominance) was demonstrated to be due to strong selection in the cis-regulatory region of the *TB1* gene that led to much higher expression of that gene in maize, compared with teosinte (White and Doebley 1998; Wang et al. 1999). However, it is not clear whether the rapid morphological and ecological evolution during island adaptive radiations is mainly driven by...
selection on cis-regulatory sequences, or in the protein-coding genes. Because of the difficulties of working with non-model island species, there are only a few reports devoted to the analysis of the genetic bases of adaptation in island radiations (Barrier et al. 2001; Remington and Purugganan 2002; Abzhanov et al. 2004, 2006) and all these reports point toward the importance of cis-regulatory changes and evolution of gene expression. However, the previous studies focused on only a few genes and the wider survey of genes undertaken in our study does reveal the acceleration of the rate of protein evolution in the island Schiedea, compared with mainland species. After FDR correction, at least 5 in 36 of studied protein-coding genes of Schiedea were shown to be under positive selection.

Similar phylogeny-based analyses of positive selection in animals revealed that 878 out of 8,510 (binomial confidence interval is 9.7–11.0%) protein-coding genes in six species of Drosophila, and 400 out of 16,529 (binomial confidence interval is 2.2–2.7%) in six mammalian genomes, evolved under positive selection (Drosophila 12 Genomes Consortium 2007; Kosiol et al. 2008; Larracuente et al. 2008). The analyzed Drosophila and mammalian genomes cover phyla that are more than an order of magnitude deeper than that of Schiedea (Drosophila 12 Genomes Consortium 2007; Kosiol et al. 2008). If positive selection is not persistent and acts only occasionally in the phylogeny, then with longer divergence times, more genes are expected to have undergone positive selection, compared with a shallower phylogeny of species that diverged only recently. In addition, greater divergence between the species provides more power to detect positive selection using the phylogeny-based maximum likelihood approach we used; and species divergence in these groups is nearly optimal for detecting positively selected genes (Anisimova et al. 2001). Another issue when analyzing highly diverged lineages is high proportion of false-positives produced by PAML due to misalignment of sequences that was demonstrated recently on the data set of 12 Drosophila genomes (Markova-Raina and Petrov 2011). Although number of genes detected to be under positive selection might decrease after removing misaligned regions from analysis of highly diverged groups (Markova-Raina and Petrov 2011), this will not affect our findings in Schiedea where alignments were unambiguous due to low divergence and absence of indels in the analyzed regions of exons. Thus, the estimate of at least 5% to 30% of Schiedea genes evolving under positive selection is thus surprisingly high given the recent divergence in the genus. However, selection may act differently in plants and animals. For example, many plant genes are expressed in the haploid gametophyte, whereas only a few animal genes are expressed in gametes (Borg et al. 2009), which may lead to inherent differences in selective pressures between the two kingdoms. Nevertheless, the comparison with mainland plant groups revealed significantly more positive selection in Schiedea, which may reflect the acceleration of adaptive evolution in protein-coding genes during the rapid morphological and ecological radiation in this Hawaiian endemic genus. Colonization of island habitats and expansion into unoccupied niches might be among the conditions that make widespread positive selection possible.

The ubiquity of positive selection in Schiedea genes might seem surprising given positive selection is associated with a substantial cost, often expressed in terms of genetic load. In the classic paper on genetic load Haldane (1957) argued that a reasonable estimate to the limit for selection is approximately 1 substitution per 300 generations. Our analysis of selection in Schiedea spans over 2–3 Myr, or at least a million generations. According to Haldane, this would be sufficiently long time for selection to fix substitutions in over 3,000 genes. Assuming that Schiedea genome contains approximately 30,000 genes, our estimate of 5–30% of genes under positive selection is compatible with Haldane’s limits for selection. Furthermore, the original Haldane’s view of the cost of positive selection is now considered too extreme (Turner and Williamson 1968; Nunney 2003), as much of adaptation can occur via soft or polygenic selection (Pritchard et al. 2010) that does not require elimination of all individuals that do not possess the advantageous allele.

Three of the five genes identified to evolve under positive selection are potentially involved in plant defence mechanisms, whereas photosynthesis and reproduction were represented by one gene each (supplementary tables S3 and S6, Supplementary Material online). Schiedea ubiquitin activating enzyme is similar to Arabidopsis thaliana AT2G30110 gene, which encodes an enzyme, involved in the first step in conjugating multiple ubiquitins to proteins targeted for degradation and plays a role in plant defence signalling. Schiedea glycosyl hydrolase gene is similar to A. thaliana glycosyl hydrolases family 17 protein (AT1G11820) which is involved in metabolic processes of carbohydrates and possibly in defense of plants against pathogens (Dong et al. 1991). Schiedea putative cell division protein is similar to the cell division protein of Bacillus cereus and putative allergen protein from sugar beet (Fowler et al. 2000). Finding that three in five of Schiedea genes under positive selection are potentially involved in defence response is in agreement with previous results from genome-wide studies of various organisms showing that a category of defence-related genes is enriched with genes targeted by positive selection (Drosophila 12 Genomes Consortium 2007; Petersen et al. 2007).

Schiedea gene for NHL repeat-containing protein is similar to Arabidopsis thaliana gene AT5G14890 that is expressed in the flowers. Expression of this gene has been shown to accompany pollen germination and tube growth in Arabidopsis (Schmid et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2008). Flower development and reproduction are areas, which experienced strong diversification and directional selection during Schiedea evolution (Wagner et al. 2005).

Schiedea CBP5 gene is similar to A. thaliana gene AT3G27690, which encodes the light-harvesting chlorophyll a/b-binding (LHC) proteins that constitute the antenna system of the photosynthetic apparatus (Jansson 1999). This is the second photosynthetic gene reported to be under positive selection in Schiedea after the chloroplast gene rbcL encoding the large subunit of the Rubisco enzyme (Kapralov and Filatov 2006, 2007). Adaptive radiation
in *Schiedea* included diversification into niches with contrasting light regimes, such as shadowy understory of wet forest and oceanic cliffs exposed to direct sun, and species from different habitats differ in photosynthetic parameters (Wagner et al. 2005).

Although we detect positive selection in 5 out of 36 nuclear genes in *Schiedea*, no significant signal of adaptation was found in a DNA polymorphism analysis in a subset of these genes (Gossmann et al. 2010). The difference between the results of the two studies may be due to timing of selection in *Schiedea*—if selection occurred predominantly during the early evolution of the genus, then this may not be detectable in polymorphism data without a sufficiently diverged outgroup, but can be detected by the phylogeny-based approach employed here. Alternatively, the discrepancy may be due to different sensitivities of the analyses in the two studies. Indeed, out of 10 different plant groups analyzed by Gossmann et al. (2010), *Schiedea* was the only genus that showed some signs of adaptive evolution (positive, but not significant alpha). The *Schiedea* data set was the smallest in the earlier study and with a larger data set the signal of adaptive evolution in this genus might become detectable with polymorphism-based analyses.

Analysis of the fossil record suggests that many major groups of organisms have evolved very rapidly (e.g., flowering plants) and adaptive radiations on islands provide us with a way to analyze the evolutionary processes during such rapid evolutionary events. Unfortunately, the analysis of island radiations is often hampered at the population genetic and phylogenetic level: the lack of intraspecific DNA polymorphism and molecular divergence between the species makes it necessary to sequence multiple genes to uncover enough molecular variation for further analysis. However, improvements in molecular techniques (in particular, the availability of high-throughput sequencing) provides hope that more studies devoted to the genetic basis of adaptation during island radiations will soon be published. Undoubtedly, such studies will significantly improve our understanding of the evolutionary processes that act during the relatively short but critically important periods of rapid morphological and ecological change.

**Supplementary Material**

Supplementary tables S1–S6 and figures S1–S8 are available at *Molecular Biology and Evolution* online (http://www.mbe.oxfordjournals.org/).
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