Assessment of Tissue Oxygenation and Radiation Dermatitis Pre-, During, and Post-Radiation Therapy in Breast Cancer Patients
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Over 95% of breast cancer patients treated with radiation therapy (RT) undergo an adverse skin reaction known as radiation dermatitis (RD). Assessment of severity or grading of RD is clinically visual and hence subjective. Our objective is to determine subclinical tissue oxygenation (oxygen saturation) changes in response to RT in breast cancer patients using near-infrared spectroscopic imaging and correlate these changes to RD grading. A 4-8 week longitudinal pilot imaging study was carried out on 10 RT-treated breast cancer patients. Non-contact near-infrared spectroscopic (NIRS) imaging was performed on the irradiated ipsilateral and the contralateral breast/chest wall, axilla and lower neck regions before RT, across the weeks of RT, and during follow-up after RT ended. Significant changes (p < 0.05) in oxygen saturation (StO2) of irradiated and contralateral regions were observed across weeks of RT. The overall drop in StO2 was negatively correlated to RD scaling (in 7 out of 9 cases) and was higher in the irradiated regions when compared to its contralateral region. Differences in the pre-RT StO2 between ipsilateral and contralateral chest wall and axilla regions were observed across weeks of RT. The subclinical recovery of StO2 to its original state was longer than the visual recovery in RD grading scale, as observed from the post-RT assessment of tissue oxygenation.
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INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer has become one of the most prominent diseases among women in the U.S. and one of women’s leading cause of death (1). The American Cancer Society has estimated that a total of 1.9 million new cancer cases will arise in 2022, 15% of which are breast cancer (1). Treatment for breast cancer includes lumpectomy or mastectomy in order to remove cancerous tissue from the breast.
These procedures may then be followed by radiation therapy (RT) and/or chemotherapy in order to eradicate any microscopic residual malignant tissue and avoid recurrence of the disease. In most cases of RT, patients generally develop adverse skin reactions, one of the most prominent being radiation dermatitis (RD).

Radiation dermatitis is a side effect of RT whereby radiation that targets cancer cells inflicts collateral damage to nearby normal skin cells. RD manifestations can be as minor as reddening of the skin (erythema) or as severe as skin ulcerations and necrosis. Currently clinicians visually assess skin tissue health throughout patients’ RT based on the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) and/or Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) grading scales. Currently there is no Level 1 evidence for any product used to treat radiation dermatitis.

Many research groups have performed different optical imaging studies to assess the physiological effect of RT on the irradiated tissues, via in-vivo human and animal studies for various cancer types as given in Table 1. The purpose of these studies is to objectively assess skin toxicity based on skin tissue oxygenation and/or hemoglobin concentrations. These objective assessments can complement the subjective visual assessment used by clinicians. Across the studies in head and neck cancers or breast cancer models, there is a correlation between hemoglobin concentrations and perfusion changes with the development of RD at the irradiated tissue site (2, 5–9, 13–15). While most of the studies focused on the impact of RT on the irradiated tissue, there has been no study that focused on the physiological effect of RT on the surrounding tissues nor their contralateral non-irradiated tissues. Hence, the objective of this study was to derive correlations between tissue oxygenation and skin toxicity in not only irradiated tissues, but also the surrounding tissues and its contralateral non-irradiated counterparts, in breast cancer patients undergoing RT. A non-contact near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) based imaging approach was used to perform weekly imaging during the 5-7 week RT.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### NIRS Imaging Device

A non-contact NIRS imaging system, known as SnapshotNIR (Kent Imaging, Inc, Calgary, CA), was employed to generate static 2D tissue oxygenation maps of the tissue. The system utilizes LEDs of multiple wavelengths (670, 730, 890, and 940 nm) within the red-NIR spectrum (650-1000 nm) to acquire diffuse reflectance images of the tissue and use them to compute tissue oxygenation measurements in terms of oxygen saturation (StO2). The device utilizes red lasers as positional indicators during imaging as well as fix the distance between the imaging plane and the device. The device contains a black box feature to correct the StO2 measurements obtained from patients with darker skin, i.e. high melanin concentrations. The NIRS device also acquires digital white light images within the field of view as the tissue oxygenation maps (i.e. 15 x 20 cm) and resolution (960 x 640 pixels). The measurements were acquired by the same team throughout the entire study to minimize operator error.

#### Patient Recruitment/Information

This study was WIRB approved and was carried out at the Miami Cancer Institute (MCI). Ten (non-metastatic) breast cancer patients were recruited as they received a 4-8 week radiation therapy (RT) treatment. Prior to imaging, patients provided a written consent as well as HIPAA authorization to access their medical records related to the study.

Each patient was prescribed 42.6-50.4 Gy in 16-28 fractions. Only 3 of the 10 patients (patients 6, 7, and 9) received an extra radiation boost (10 Gy) towards the end of their RT. Two of the patients were black non-Hispanic (patients 4 and 10) while the rest were white Hispanic or non-Hispanic. Four of the 10 patients (patients 6-9) had a lumpectomy surgery prior to RT while the rest (patients 1-5) had a mastectomy. Eight patients received photon therapy and two received proton therapy.

### Table 1 | Optical imaging studies related to radiation dermatitis.

| Optical Method | Contact-Based | Wavelength(s) nm | Human/Animal | Cancer Type | Measured Parameter(s)                       |
|----------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------------------------------|
| DRS (2)        | ✓             | 500-700          | Human        | Head & Neck | Total Hemoglobin Concentration, Oxy- and Deoxy-hemoglobin |
| DRS (3, 4)     | ✓             | 450-650          | Animal       | ✓ (only erythema) | Hemoglobin Concentration, Oxygen Saturation, Scattering Power, Relative Blood Flow (rBF) |
| DCS (3)        | ✓             | 785              | Human        | Head & Neck | Perfusion                                  |
| LDI/LDF (6, 7) | ✓             | 670              | Human        | Breast      | Absorbance Spectra, Oxy- and deoxy-hemoglobin concentration, Perfusion |
| HSI (8, 9)     | ×             | 450-800          | Human        | Skin, Breast | Hemoglobin Oxidation (so2), Oxy- and deoxy-hemoglobin concentration, Perfusion |
| HSI (10, 11)   | ×             | 500-660          | Animal       | × (regular irradiated skin) | NIR Reflective Spectra, Spatial-temporal changes in tissue oxygenation |
| NIRS (6, 12)   | ✓, ×          | 833-2,500        | Human        | Breast      | Tissue Oxygenation Saturation, Total Hemoglobin Concentration, reduced scattering coefficients |
| SFDI (13)      | ✓             | 400-900          | Human        | Breast      |                                                                           |

DRS, diffuse reflectance spectroscopy; DCS, diffuse correlation spectroscopy; LDI, laser doppler imaging; LDF, laser doppler flowmetry; HSI, hyperspectral imaging; NIRS, near-infrared spectroscopy; SFDI, Spatial Frequency Domain Imaging.
Patients 3-6, 9, and 10 were below 60 years and patients 1, 2, 7, and 8 were ≥60 years of age. Details of each recruited patient and their and treatment plan is given in Table 2.

### Imaging Approach

Imaging of patients was performed weekly over the full duration of their RT, with a follow-up imaging session 4 weeks after the completion of RT. Week-0 of imaging was performed prior to the beginning of the RT (i.e. pre-RT) on all recruited patients. Each weekly imaging session was performed immediately following the clinician’s assessment of RD but before the patient’s scheduled RT procedure. Patients were imaged at an angled sitting position. Images were attained from several regions of breast tissue and its surroundings that were possibly affected by RT (as typically observed by the clinicians).

These regions included the chest wall, lower neck, and axilla (underarms). Each region was imaged 3–4 times per session, at slightly varying angles. Each repeated image represented a repeated trial for quantitative and statistical analysis. Both the ipsilateral irradiated areas and their contralateral non-irradiated tissue were imaged at all the regions (chest wall, lower neck and axilla). Several fiducial markers were placed to obtain an approximate spatial reference across weeks of imaging the same patient. The key fiducial markers were at the inner ends of the clavicle, and close to the nipple region of both the breast tissues. Pre-RT imaging studies were performed to determine correlation of inherent tissue oxygenation differences between the irradiated and the non-irradiated breast tissues on the severity of RD as assessed visually. A one-month post-RT images were also acquired to determine if the rate of tissue recovery from RD was visually similar to the physiological change in terms of tissue oxygenation.

**Pre-Analysis: Segmentation and ROI Selection**

The diffuse reflectance signals obtained during imaging studies were automatically processed by the built-in software of the device to obtain tissue oxygenation (StO2) maps. A manual freehand segmentation technique combined with edge detection was performed on these StO2 maps to isolate only the region of the chest wall within the plane of focus of the device and remove the irrelevant surrounding tissues. The fiducial markers were also segmented out using this technique. Segmentation was not applied to StO2 maps of the lower neck and axilla.

A square region of interest (ROI) was extracted from each segmented (chest wall) or the non-segmented (lower neck and axilla) StO2 images (as shown in Figure 1). A 300 × 300-pixel resolution ROI was extracted from the chest wall and axilla, centered on a fiducial marker. A large ROI oriented by the fiducial markers on the clavicle ends and sternum was extracted from the lower neck.

Within the extracted ROIs, 40 sample points were randomly selected for statistical relevance. The sample points were used toward further analysis.

**Study A: Effect of RT on Tissue Oxygenation Across Weeks of RT**

Analysis of longitudinal changes in ipsilateral and contralateral tissues across chest wall, axilla, and lower neck during RT was done using the sampled ROI data points. The StO2 measurements across the ROI were averaged for 3 trials and plotted (with error bars) across time of the RT. A contrast of the average StO2 from each week with respect to average StO2 from the baseline (week 0) was determined as the tissue oxygenation index (TOI), as given in Equation 1. The TOI values were plotted across time of treatment:

$$\text{TOI}(t) = \frac{(\text{StO2})_{\text{Avg,Week}(t)} - (\text{StO2})_{\text{Avg,Week}(0)}}{(\text{StO2})_{\text{Avg,Week}(0)}} \times 100\%$$

A one-way ANOVA (α = 0.05, 95% confidence) was carried out to determine if the extent of changes in StO2 during RT were significant. The test was applied to each trial individually. The p-value was used to determine if the changes were significant, and the F-value was used to determine the relative extent of StO2 changes across RT when comparing across different cases.

**Study B: Correlation of RD to Tissue Oxygenation**

The development of RD was compared to tissue oxygenation 1) pre-RT, 2) during RT, and 3) post-RT.

---

**TABLE 2** | Detailed history of each recruited patient’s demographics, surgical procedure, tumor location, radiation treatment plan, and dosage.

| Case | Age | Demographics | Surgery | Location of Tumor | Right/Left | Proton/Photon | Total Dose (Gy) |
|------|-----|--------------|---------|------------------|------------|--------------|----------------|
| 1    | 61  | White Hispanic | Mastectomy | 12 o’clock       | Left       | Photon       | 50.4           |
| 2    | 76  | White Hispanic | Mastectomy | 12 o’clock       | Left       | Photon       | 50.4           |
| 3    | 56  | White Non-Hispanic | Mastectomy | 2 o’clock       | Right      | Photon       | 50.4           |
| 4    | 57  | Black Non-Hispanic | Mastectomy | 12 o’clock       | Right      | Photon       | 50.4           |
| 5    | 49  | White Non-Hispanic | Mastectomy | 12 o’clock       | Left       | Photon       | 50             |
| 6    | 47  | White Hispanic | Lumpectomy | 3 o’clock       | Left       | Photon       | 50.4 + 10 Boost |
| 7    | 77  | White Non-Hispanic | Lumpectomy | 7 o’clock       | Right      | Photon       | 42.56 + 10 Boost |
| 8    | 68  | White Non-Hispanic | Lumpectomy | 12 o’clock       | Right      | Photon       | 42.56          |
| 9    | 40  | White Non-Hispanic | Lumpectomy | 9 o’clock       | Right      | Photon       | 42.56 + 10 Boost |
| 10   | 34  | Black Non-Hispanic | Mastectomy | 12 o’clock & 4 o’clock | Left | Photon | 50.4 |
Study B.1: Evaluating pre-RT StO2 Contrast and Comparing to Max RD Grading

The focus of this study was to determine if the baseline (pre-RT) StO2 differences between the ipsilateral and contralateral chest wall has any correlation with the maximum grade of RD development by the patient during their course of the RT. This physiological information can potentially determine if patients are at risk for severe RD. The pre-RT StO2 contrast between the ipsilateral and contralateral chest wall regions were calculated based on Equation 2. The contrast was then compared to the maximum RD grading scale.

\[
\text{Contrast}_{\text{pre-RT}} = \frac{\text{StO2}_\text{Irr, Week0} - \text{StO2}_\text{Nonirr, Week0}}{\text{StO2}_\text{Nonirr, Week0}} \times 100\% \tag{2}
\]

Study B.2: Evaluating Changes in StO2 During RT and Comparing to Changes in RD

The focus of this study was to derive correlations (if any) between the development of RD and changes in StO2 across weeks of RT. This could provide evidence toward association between the two parameters (StO2 and RD). The changes in average StO2 of the ipsilateral (irradiated) tissues were compared to the development of RD during RT. Due to the ranked data from RD CTCAE grading scale and the discrete data from the average StO2 measurements, nonparametric correlation methods were utilized. One of the statistical methods used was Spearman’s Rho (14, 15), which was used to determine direction and strength of association between the two parameters. Rho was calculated using Equation 3 and ranges from -1 (negative correlation) to 1 (positive correlation).

\[
\rho(\text{StO2}, \text{RD}) = 1 - \frac{6 \sum d^2}{n(n^2 - 1)} \tag{3}
\]

The second statistical method used was Kendall’s tau (14, 15), which indicates if two parameters are statistically dependent. Tau was calculated using Equation 4 and have the same range and outcome as Spearman’s Rho.

\[
\tau(\text{StO2}, \text{RD}) = \frac{2K}{n(n-1)} \tag{4}
\]

The combination of both methods can provide evidence toward the correlation of StO2 and RD during RT.

Study B.3: Evaluating Post-RT StO2 Contrast Between Last Week vs Follow Up

The focus of this study was to determine if the StO2 changes between post-RT assessment (typically during 1-month follow-up) and last week of RT correlated to the visual reduction in RD grading during the follow-up visit. This could provide clinicians additional sub-clinical information to better assess the healing of tissues affected by irradiation after RT ends. The contrast between average StO2 of the last week of RT and follow-up assessment of the irradiated chest wall was calculated, as given by Equation 5.

\[
\text{Contrast}_{\text{post-RT}} = \frac{\text{StO2}_\text{Irr, 1-month} - \text{StO2}_\text{Irr, Week last}}{\text{StO2}_\text{Irr, Week last}} \times 100\% \tag{5}
\]

The post-RT contrast was calculated for the ipsilateral chest wall and compared to the change in RD CTCAE grading between the last week of RT and follow-up assessment. The axilla and lower neck were not graded for RD at the follow-up assessment and omitted from post-RT analysis.

RESULTS

Study A: Effect of RT on Tissue Oxygenation Across Weeks

The oxygen saturation (StO2) maps were obtained from all patients and across all weeks of imaging, and a sample case is shown in Figure 2. A 2D pseudo-color map of StO2 of the
ipsilateral and contralateral chest wall, axilla, and lower next region of patient 2 (from Table 2) across week 0-6 and 1-month follow-up is shown in Figure 2. In the 2D StO2 maps, red indicates high concentrations of oxygen saturation and blue indicating low concentration of oxygen saturation.

Qualitative Analysis of StO2 Changes
A distinct difference in the StO2 maps was observed in the ipsilateral regions of the chest wall, axilla, and lower neck across the weeks of RT and in comparison to their respective contralateral tissues. While the StO2 with RT in the chest wall and axilla regions, a decreasing trend was not distinct in the lower neck region.

No distinct differences in the StO2 maps were observed in the contralateral chest wall, axilla, and lower neck regions of patient 2 across weeks of RT. These StO2 maps from the contralateral tissues detected relatively minimal changes across weeks of RT, compared to that in the ipsilateral tissues. This trend was also seen in most patients. A majority of patients (patients 1, 3-5, 7-9) demonstrated a distinct decrease in the StO2 in both the contralateral and ipsilateral tissues, although it was to a greater extent in the ipsilateral tissue.

This indicates that the contralateral regions were exhibiting changes in StO2 in response to RT, although not to a high extent as the ipsilateral tissue.

Quantitative Analysis of StO2 Changes
The average StO2 and TOI across weeks of RT from patient 2 is shown in Figure 3. A one-way ANOVA analysis was performed across all patients, their weeks of RT, and all 3 trials to determine if the changes in average StO2 across RT were significant across the imaging time points. The average of the p-values from the three one-way ANOVAs across each trial were further compiled across all patients and imaged regions (ipsilateral and contralateral chest wall, axilla, and lower neck) to determine if the changes in average StO2 across weeks of RT were significant (i.e. p<0.05).

From analysis of the ipsilateral data, all patients’ irradiated chest wall region and a majority of patient’s irradiated axilla region (n=8) expressed a significant change (p<0.05) in average StO2 throughout RT. This is consistent with past work, demonstrating that there are changes in hemoglobin parameters throughout RT, especially demonstrating changes in oxyhemoglobin (HbO) during radiation exposure (9). The changes evaluated in the ipsilateral chest wall and axilla were noted to mostly have a negative trend in average StO2 across time of RT, similar to the case in Figure 3. This negative relationship is more distinct when plotted as a contrast parameter (TOI). It has been documented in literature that during continued radiation therapy, patients experience symptoms including improper vascularization and decreased blood perfusion due to damage in the endothelium (16). Within the ipsilateral chest wall and axilla regions, it was observed that several patients (n=4) expressed an initial increase in average StO2 before decreasing thereafter. This can be representative of the initial response of inflammation due to RT (16, 17). It was also noted from previous studies that tissue oxygenation increased as an early response to
most of the patients, similar to what is shown in
demonstrated no distinct consistent trend (an increase or
was found, the contralateral chest wall, axilla, and lower neck
extent of change in average StO₂ were smaller than their
The F-value from the one-way ANOVA across all patients’
tissue irradiation (4, 10). All patient’s lower neck regions
expressed no significant change in average StO₂ throughout RT.

From the statistical analysis of the contralateral tissue, a
majority of the patients’ chest wall region (n=9) and axilla
region (n=8) expressed a significant change (p<0.05) in average
StO₂ across weeks of RT. This further indicates that radiation
from RT is having an impact on the contralateral chest wall and
axilla regions, apart from the irradiated ipsilateral regions. The
impact can be caused by radiation spill from the proton or
photon RT received by the patients (18, 19). Radiation spill can
launch stray ionizing particles to come in contact with tissues not
targeted for therapy. Although statistically a significant change
was found, the contralateral chest wall, axilla, and lower neck
demonstrated no distinct consistent trend (an increase or
decrease in oxygenation across the weeks of treatment) among
most of the patients, similar to what is shown in Figure 3. This is
evidenced further by the TOI plots for the contralateral chest wall, axilla, and lower neck which indicates that most patients’
extent of change in average StO₂ were smaller than their
counterpart ipsilateral regions.

The F-value from the one-way ANOVA across all patients’
chest wall were plotted in Figure 4. The data was categorized by
age group and ipsilateral or contralateral region. The F-values for
ipsilateral regions were generally higher compared to
contralateral regions, indicating a greater extent of change in
average StO₂ in irradiated ipsilateral regions compared to the
contralateral regions. It was also noted that the F-values chest wall and axilla produced higher F-values as compared to the
lower neck region for most patients (not shown for brevity). This
indicates that the extent of change in average StO₂ in the
ipsilateral chest wall and axilla is greater than the lower neck. Additionally, a relatively high F-value were evaluated for patients
1, 2, 7, and 8 in the chest wall region, whose ages ranged between
61-77 years. This indicates the extent of change of average StO₂
in response to RT is greater in older patients (≥ 60 years). This
can be from thinning of the skin with age, especially in post-
menopause women (20). The thinner skin provides less

Study B: Correlation of RD to Tissue Oxygenation
All the RD CTCAE gradings observed and recorded by the
clinicians during RT on the same day of each imaging session
are displayed in Table 3.

Most patients developed radiation dermatitis (RD) during
RT. The most severe cases of RD grading reached grade 2, which
occurred in 6 out of 10 patients. In patients 4 and 10, there were
no changes in RD grading throughout the RT. However, imaging
of patient 10 was interrupted after 4 sessions of StO₂
measurements due to the pandemic (COVID-19), although her
RT continued and she developed RD. Patient 6 was the only
patient that was observed to have a decrease in RD grading
during their RT. This may be because her RT was spaced out due
to many missed treatment days, allowing her to recover
intermittently. Patient 3 was the only patient to begin with an
RD grading of 1, as she paused her initial RT and imaging from
her first restart week was considered as her week-0 (with RD
grading 1). It was noted by several patients that their condition
worsened following the conclusion of their RT but was not
observed nor recorded by a radiation oncologist for the
grading scale until the standard one-month follow up visit.

Study B.1: Evaluating pre-RT StO₂ Contrast and
Comparing to Max RD Grading
The contrast between the ipsilateral and contralateral StO₂ were
evaluated to determine if it could be a predictor of the severity of
RD that the patient may experience due to the RT. The pre-RT
StO₂ contrast across the patients was calculated and shown in
Figure 5. Data was categorized by the maximum RD grade
experienced by patients during RT, and the nature of surgical
procedure performed prior to RT.

It was apparent that a majority of patients (n=6) had a
relatively higher pre-RT StO₂ contrast (>5%). These relatively
higher pre-RT StO₂ contrast (>5%) correlated to all patients who
developed RD grade 2. The difference between the contralateral
tissue and the targeted ipsilateral tissue may indicate that
physiologically the targeted chest wall had underlying
abnormal tissue oxygenation (StO₂). This is either attributed to
poor vasculature or atypical perfusion. This can indicate that
pre-RT tissue oxygenation in the targeted irradiated region may
be an indicator of the potential severity in RD because of RT.

Consistent with the observation made regarding a high pre-
RT StO₂ contrast, it was also noted that a low pre-RT StO₂
contrast could be an indicator for less severe RD during RT. Four
(patients 4,6,7, and 8) out of the ten patients experienced a pre-
RT StO₂ contrast below 5%. Three out of these four patients

FIGURE 3 | Changes in average oxygen saturation (StO₂) and tissue
oxygenation index (TOI) across weeks of RT for every imaged region (chest
wall, axilla, and lower neck).
patients 6, 7, and 8) experienced a maximum of RD grade 1 throughout the course of their RT. This further supports the correlation between pre-RT StO₂ contrast and maximum RD grade during RT.

Additionally, from Figure 5, it was apparent that among the 6 patients who had received a mastectomy, 5 had a high pre-RT StO₂ contrast (>5%) and experienced a max RD grade of 2. Among the 4 patients who had received a lumpectomy, 3 had a relatively low pre-RT StO₂ contrast (<5%) and 2 had experienced a max RD grade of 1. This is congruent to what has been observed in the past, that patients that undergo mastectomy tend to experience more severe RD from RT, compared to lumpectomy patients (21, 22). Therefore, pre-RT tissue oxygenation based contrast can potentially be part of the contributing factors toward risk assessment to determine if a patient is predisposed to adverse reactions during RT.

Study B.2: Evaluating Changes in StO₂ During RT and Comparing to Changes in RD

Statistical correlation tests were utilized to evaluate if the changes in RD grading had a relationship with the changes in tissue oxygenation (StO₂) during RT. The correlation coefficients for Spearman’s Rho and Kendall’s Tau were evaluated and plotted in Figure 6.

The correlation tests demonstrate that in most patients there was a negative relationship between RD grading and changes in StO₂. The negative correlation combined with the trend of StO₂ found in study A (and Figure 3 for sample patient 2) indicate that in most cases StO₂ decreases as RD grading increases. This is consistent with past studies that have concluded similar findings (9). Although patient 4 produced no correlation due to no RD grade changes, it was evaluated in study 1 that they exhibited the least amount of StO₂ change across time. This can be seen based on their low F-value from a one-way ANOVA, as shown in Figure 4. This further supports the relationship between the two parameters.

Study B.3: Evaluating Post-RT StO₂ Contrast Between Last Week vs Follow Up

A post-RT contrast was carried out between the StO₂ measurements during the follow-up assessment after completion of RT and the last week of RT, and shown in Figure 7. Most patients had their follow-up assessment 1-month after their RT ended, but due to the pandemic (COVID-19), patients 4, 6, and 10 had a larger time period (3+ months) between the last week of RT and their follow-up assessment.

It was noted that in a majority of the patients, the RD grading decreased to a 0 or 1 by the follow-up assessment, while in 2 cases it remained the same (patients 4 and 8). All the cases where the follow-up assessment was 1-month from their RT ended, but due to the pandemic (COVID-19), patients 4, 6, and 10 had a larger time period (3+ months) between the last week of RT and their follow-up assessment.

It was noted that in a majority of the patients, the RD grading decreased to a 0 or 1 by the follow-up assessment, while in 2 cases it remained the same (patients 4 and 8). All the cases where the follow-up assessment was 1-month from their RT ended, but due to the pandemic (COVID-19), patients 4, 6, and 10 had a larger time period (3+ months) between the last week of RT and their follow-up assessment.

Each patient underwent surgery before RT, which was either mastectomy (M) or lumpectomy (L). Symbols near patient number indicate dark skin (*) or ≥60 years old (+).

Table 3: The CTCAE RD gradings at each imaging session for each patient is obtained from the clinician.

| Patient | Pre-RT Surgery M/L | RD before and during RT | RD post-RT (*3-Mo.) |
|---------|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| 1+ M    | (0,0,1,1,1,2)      | 1                       |
| 2+ M    | (0,0,0,1,2,2)      | 0                       |
| 3 M     | (1,1,2,2)          | 0                       |
| 4* M    | (0,0,0,0,0,0)      | 0*                      |
| 5 M     | (0,0,0,1,2,2)      | 0                       |
| 6+ L    | (0,0,1,0,1,1,1,1)  | 0*                      |
| 7+ L    | (0,1,1,2,2)        | 1                       |
| 8+ L    | (0,0,1,1)          | 1                       |
| 9 L     | (0,0,1,2)          | 0                       |
| 10* M   | (0,0,0,0)          | 0*                      |

Figure 4: A bar plot illustrating the F-value across all patients for the irradiated chest wall. The F-value was calculated via One-Way ANOVA for each trial. Symbols near patient number indicate dark skin (*) or ≥60 years old (+).
increasing with time after completion of RT, indicating vasculature and tissue recovery of those affected regions at a slower pace than visual changes. Clinicians and patients have noted that in the weeks after RT concludes and before the follow-up assessment, patients continue to experience worsening adverse effects from RT. The adverse effects can be as severe as moist desquamation coupled with bleeding which indicates grade 3 RD. This may give evidence toward prolonged effects of RT and would indicate why high negative post-RT StO2 contrast was evaluated for patients at the 1-month follow-up assessment.

**DISCUSSION**

The effects of radiation therapy on skin toxicity has been extensively studied across the years (23). In more recent years, the physiological changes in tissue oxygenation in response to radiation therapy and its correlation to skin toxicity has been studied in *in-vivo* head and neck cancers and breast cancer patients and/or *via* animal studies (2–13). Tissue oxygenation was shown to change with the extent of skin toxicity, quantified by CTCAE grading scales for radiation dermatitis. While most of the past studies (as given in Table 1) have demonstrated that tissue oxygenation changed with extent of skin toxicity, the correlation of these changes across weeks of RT was not performed.

From our current studies, we observed that the tissue oxygenation (measured in terms of oxygen saturation) in many cases initially increased with the onset of RT, possibly from an inflammatory response of the skin to radiation. Upon continued RT, the overall oxygen saturation decreased across weeks and this drop correlated negatively with the severity of RD. The changes in physiology from RD have an impact on tissue oxygenation and how hemoglobin travels to certain regions of tissue. Inflammation is generally an early and common adverse reaction that is induced by RT. Inflammation is the body’s response to remove any foreign pathogens and isolate any injuries to a localized region by increasing vascular permeability with vasodilation. This allows neutrophils, monocytes, and nutrients to localize to the region (17). This results in an increased concentration of oxygen-bonded hemoglobin to the region to compensate for increased metabolic demand. Continued RT leads to skin deformation and damage to the endothelium. The irradiated area experiences improper vascularization, leading to less blood perfusion. This can cause a sharp decrease in hemoglobin concentration and other nutrients which results in a reduction in metabolic and healing processes. In more severe cases of RD, if inflammation persists, the skin is susceptible to atrophy or necrosis, causing further decrease in perfusion and oxygenated hemoglobin to the region. In most cases, the severity in RD is lesser (typically 2 or 3) in RT-treated breast cancer patients than in head and neck cancers because of lower delivered dose. From our studies, we observed that it is not only the irradiated chest wall region that is impacted by RT, but also the surrounding tissues such as the axilla and the lower neck regions that are exposed to radiation. There is a drop in tissue oxygenation in these surrounding regions apart from the breast/chest wall due to the extent of dose delivered to the region of interest. This confirms that there are changes in the underlying physiology from RT in the tissue surrounding the irradiated regions and from radiation spill, although the changes are not manifested as visual changes to record a RD grading scale. Additionally, the radiation spill and the mild radiation dosage given to the contralateral tissues also causes a (statistically significant) decrease in tissue oxygenation across weeks of treatment, although this drop is much less than that in the irradiated ipsilateral tissues. The contralateral tissues were not evaluated for RD grading by clinicians. Hence the clinical significance of this decrease in tissue oxygenation in the contralateral tissues is not known and can explored *via* a future study where clinical evaluation of contralateral tissues are also obtained.
Approximately 95% of the patients will develop skin erythema and will manifest RD of different grading scales (21, 24, 25). RT-induced acute dermatitis, though reversible in the vast majority of cases, can delay the overall radiotherapy treatment time, negatively influence the quality of life of patients, and require symptom management (16, 21, 24–27). Some factors amongst others that may affect the occurrence and severity of RD caused by RT are the irradiated body surface area and anatomy, the total dose and dose per fraction, the radiotherapy technology used, and concurrent treatment with systemic agents. Recent findings predict that vasodilation and angiogenesis contribute to radiation-induced dermatitis in postmenopausal RT breast cancer patients (24). Vasodilation and angiogenesis are related to the functional variations in oxygenation and perfusion to the region of interest.

Our hypothesis was that pre-RT vasodilation and angiogenesis contributed to RD in RT treated breast cancer patients; and tissue oxygenation measurements are directly related to these two physiological parameters that can be measured using a near-infrared imaging approach. From our current studies, we proved our hypothesis that the difference in tissue oxygenation contrast between the irradiated ipsilateral and the contralateral chest wall was a potential indicator of RD severity (grade 2 or lesser). This implies that there are inherent differences in breast’s tissue oxygenation in response to surgical removal of cancer (more so in mastectomy than lumpectomy) that potentially leads to a severe...
skin toxicity upon RT. Early identification of differences in these oxygenation differences pre-RT is essential to determine ways to predict extent of toxicity and guide interventional therapies, when used in conjunction with other dosimetric and non-dosimetric factors that assess RD risk.

Understanding the physiological changes after the completion of the RT effect was also performed in our study. While the severity of RD decreased during the one-month follow-up visit, the tissue oxygenation did not recover (or increase). In cases where we imaged during their third-month follow-up, the tissue oxygenation seems to have increased more distinctly from the last RT treated visit. This implies that the physiological recovery is slower than the visual RD grading as observed. Several patients observed an increase in RD severity after completion of their RT but were not clinically assessment until the one-month follow-up. This severity in RD could have lowered the tissue oxygenation even further and shown as a slower recovery during their follow-up visit. In future studies, the weekly imaging after completion of RT will need to be carried out to continuous monitor these oxygenation changes along with clinical assessment towards better correlations and understanding the underlying physiology.

CONCLUSIONS

The objective of our studies was to derive correlations between tissue oxygenation and skin toxicity in breast cancer patients by monitoring their tissue oxygenation changes throughout their radiation therapy using a NIR-based imaging technique. The studies demonstrated significant changes in oxygen saturation (StO2) of the ipsilateral and contralateral chest wall and axilla during RT. These changes were evaluated to be negatively correlated to the development of radiation dermatitis (RD). It was also shown that pre-RT assessment of StO2 can potentially be part of the contributing factors toward risk assessment to determine if a patient is pre-disposed to adverse reactions during RT. Post-RT assessment of StO2 showed potential in providing additional sub-clinical information to monitor RD and tissue health after the completion of RT to assess complete extent of recovery. Future work will involve a larger focused subgroup of these RT treated breast cancer patients to develop threshold factors from pre-RT analysis that can predict the severity of RD along with non-clinical parameters and comorbidities. The effect of various clinical factors such as time between surgery and RT, chemotherapy used during surgery or RT, hormonal agents used during RT, and ointments used to treat RD severity. Our imaging approach will also be expanded to head and neck cancer patients to develop physiological and non-clinical parameter-based risk assessment in RD prediction, RD monitoring during RT, and physiological recovery rate post-RT.
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