INVERSION OF INTEGRAL SERIES ENUMERATING PLANAR TREES
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Abstract. We consider an integral series $f(X, t)$ which depends on the choice of a set $X$ of labelled planar rooted trees. We prove that its inverse for composition is of the form $f(Z, t)$ for another set $Z$ of trees, deduced from $X$. The proof is self-contained, though inspired by the Koszul duality theory of quadratic operads.

1. Introduction

Let $I$ be a finite set of indices. Let $Y_n \times I^n$ be the set of planar binary rooted trees whose $n$ vertices are labelled by elements in the index set $I$. Let $X$ be a subset of $Y_2 \times I^2$ and let $Z$ be its complement. Define $X_n$ as the subset of $Y_n \times I^n$ made of labelled trees whose local patterns are in $X$. In other words, a tree is in $X_n$ if for every pair of adjacent vertices the subtree defined by this pair is in $X$. By convention $X_0 = Y_0 \times I^0$ and $X_1 = Y_1 \times I$. From the definition of $X_n$ it comes immediately $X_2 = X$. The set $Z$ determines similarly a sequence $Z_n$.

The alternate generating series of $X$ is by definition

$$f(X, t) := \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^{n+1}(\#X_n)t^{n+1} = -t + (\#I)t^2 - (\#X)t^3 + \cdots.$$ 

Theorem. If $Z$ is the complement of $X$, i.e. $X \sqcup Z = Y_2 \times I^2$, then the generating series of $X$ and $Z$ are inverse to each other for composition:

$$f(X, f(Z, t)) = t.$$ 

For some choices of $I$ and $X$ the integer sequence $(\#X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ appear in the data base “On-line Encyclopedia of Integer sequences!” [SI], but for some others they do not.

Here is an application of this theorem. Given an integer sequence $a = (a_0, \ldots, a_n, \ldots)$ it is often interesting to know a combinatorial...
interpretation of these numbers, that is to know a family $X_n$ of combinatorial objects such that $a_n = \#X_n$. The theorem provides a solution for some integer sequences as follows. Suppose that the inverse for composition of the alternate series of $a$ gives an integer sequence $b$ which can be interpreted combinatorially by labelled trees. Then the integer sequence $a$ admits also such an interpretation.

Our proof of the theorem consists in constructing a chain complex whose Poincaré series is exactly $f(X, f(Z, t))$. Then we prove that this chain complex is acyclic (i.e. the homology groups are 0 except $H_1$ which is of dimension 1) by reducing it to the sum of subcomplexes which turn out to be augmented chain complexes of standard simplices. Hence the Poincaré series is $t$.

Our proof is self-contained but the idea of considering this particular chain complex is inspired by the theory of quadratic operads. Indeed the choice of $X$ determines a certain type of algebras, i.e. a certain quadratic operad, and the choice of $Z$ gives the “dual operad” in the Koszul duality sense cf. [G-K]. Then the chain complex is the Koszul complex attached to this dual pair of operads. So our main theorem gives a large family of Koszul operads.

We give all the details for the case of binary trees, but this method can be generalized to planar trees. We outline the case of $k$-ary trees in the last section. A surprising consequence is the following property of the Catalan numbers $c_n$. The series $h(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^{n+1}c_n t^{3n+1}$ is its own inverse for composition: $h(h(t)) = t$.

After the release of the first version of this paper, I was informed by Prof. I. Gessel that his student S.F. Parker obtained the same result by combinatorial methods in her thesis (unpublished). A far reaching generalization of our result has been obtained subsequently by R. Bacher in [B] using different techniques.

2. LABELLED TREES

2.1. Planar binary rooted trees. Denote by $Y_n$ the set of planar binary rooted trees of degree $n$, that is with $n$ vertices (with valence at least 2):

$Y_0 = \{ \{ \} \}$, $Y_1 = \{ \_ \}$, $Y_2 = \{ L := \_ \_ , R := \_ \_ \}$

$Y_3 = \{ \_ \_ \_ , \_ \_ \_ , \_ \_ \_ , \_ \_ \_ , \_ \_ \_ \}$
The number of elements in $Y_n$ is the so-called Catalan number $c_n = \frac{(2n)!}{n!(n+1)!}$, cf. 2.3 (b). Let $I$ be a finite set of indices. By definition a labelled tree is a planar binary rooted tree such that each internal vertex is labelled by an element of $I$. These elements need not be distinct. Therefore the set of labelled trees of degree $n$ is in bijection with $Y_n \times I^n$.

An element of $Y_2 \times I^2$ is either of the form $(L; i_1, i_2)$ or of the form $(R; i_1, i_2)$.

Let $X$ be a subset of $Y_2 \times I^2$ and let $Z$ be its complement. We define a subset $X_n$ of $Y_n \times I^n$ as follows. A pair of adjacent vertices in the labelled tree $y$ determines a subtree of degree 2, called a local pattern. The labelled tree $y$ is in $X_n$ if and only if all its local patterns belong to $X$. In other words we exclude all the trees which have a local pattern which belongs to $Z$. It is clear that $X_2 = X$. By convention we define $X_0 = Y_0 \times I^0$ and $X_1 = Y_1 \times I$.

The alternate generating series of $X$ is determined by the integer sequence $(\#X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ as follows:

$$f(X, t) := \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^{n+1} (\#X_n) t^{n+1} = -t + (#I) t^2 - (#X) t^3 + \ldots .$$

If, instead of $X$, we start we $Z$, then we get another family $Z_n$. For $n = 2$, $Z_2$ is the complement of $X_2$, but this property does not hold for higher $n$'s.

2.2. Theorem. If $Z$ is the complement of $X$, i.e. $X \sqcup Z = Y_2 \times I^2$, then the generating series of $X$ and $Z$ are inverse to each other for composition:

$$f(X, f(Z, t)) = t.$$

The proof is given in the next section.

2.3. Examples. We list a few interesting examples of integer sequences and their dual which appear in the study of quadratic operads (cf. 12). The notation is as follows: the sequence $(a_0, \ldots, a_n, \ldots)$ is such that $a_n = \#X_n$ and $f(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^{n+1} a_n t^{n+1}$. The dual sequence is $(b_0, \ldots, b_n, \ldots)$ where $b_n = \#Z_n$ and $g(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^{n+1} b_n t^{n+1}$.

Observe that $a_0 = 1$, $a_1 = #I$, $a_2 = #X$ and $0 \leq a_2 \leq 2(a_1)^2$. In the following examples we can write $g(t)$ as a rational function, hence we get a combinatorial interpretation of the integer sequence $a$ whose alternate series $f(t)$ is determined by the functional equation $g(f(t)) = t$.

(a) $(1, 1, 1, \ldots, 1, \ldots)$ versus itself.

- $I = \{1\}$, $X = \{L\}$ and $Z = \{R\}$. 

(b) \((1, 1, 2, 5, 14, 42, 132, \ldots, c_n, \ldots)\) versus \((1, 1, 0, \ldots, 0, \ldots)\).

- \(I = \{1\}, Z = \emptyset, X = Y_2.\)
- \(g(t) = -t + t^2.\)
- We get the well known functional equation for the generating series of the Catalan numbers \(c(t) := \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n t^n,\)
  \[tc(t)^2 - c(t) + 1 = 0.\]

(c) \((1, 2, 6, 22, 90, \ldots, 2C_n, \ldots)\) versus \((1, 2, 2, \ldots, 2, \ldots)\).

- \(C_n\) is the super Catalan number (also called Schröder number), that is the number of planar trees with \(n + 1\) leaves.
- \(I = \{1, 2\}, Z = \{(L; 1, 1), (R; 2, 2)\}\) and \(X\) has 6 elements.
- It is immediate to see that \(Z_n\) has only two elements: the right comb indexed by 1’s and the left comb indexed by 2’s. Therefore
  \[g(t) = \frac{-t + t^2}{1 + t}.\]
  On the other hand one can show that, for \(n \geq 2\), there is a bijection between the elements of \(X_n\) and two copies of the set of planar trees with \(n + 1\) leaves (see \(L-R2\) for a variant of this result). The theorem gives the well known functional equation for the generating series of the super Catalan numbers \(C(t) := \sum_{n \geq 0} C_n t^n,\)
  \[tC(t)^2 + (1 - t)C(t) - 1 = 0.\]

(d) \((1, 2, 6, 21, 80, \ldots)\) versus \((1, 2, 2, 1, 0, \ldots, 0, \ldots)\).

- \(I = \{1, 2\}, Z = \{(L, 2, 1), (R, 1, 2)\}\) and \(X\) has 6 elements.
- It is immediate to see that \(X_3\) has only one element and that \(X_n\) is empty for \(n \geq 4.\) Hence \(g(t) = -t + 2t^2 - 2t^3 + t^4.\)
- This example and the previous one show that the integer sequence determined by \(X\) does not depend only on the number of elements of \(I\) and \(X.\)

(e) \((1, 2, 7, 31, 154, \ldots)\) versus \((1, 2, 1, 1, \ldots, 1, \ldots)\).

- Let \(I = \{1, 2\}\), \(Z = \{(L; 1, 1)\}\) and \(X\) has 7 elements.
- It is immediate to see that for \(n \geq 2, Z_n\) has only one element: the right comb indexed by 1’s. Hence \(g(t) = \frac{-t + t^2 + t^3}{1 + t}.\)

(f) \((1, 3, 17, 121, 965, \cdots)\) versus \((1, 3, 1, 1, \ldots, 1, \ldots)\), and

\((1, k, 2k^2 - 1, 5k^3 - 5k + 1 \cdots, 2, \cdots)\) versus \((1, k, 1, 1, \ldots, 1, \ldots)\).

- \(I = \{1, \cdots, k\},\) \(Z = \{(L; 1, 1)\}\) and \(X\) has \(2k^2 - 1\) elements.
- It is immediate to see that, for \(n \geq 2, Z_n\) has only one element. Hence \(g(t) = \frac{-t + (k-1)(1 + t)t^2}{1 + t}.\)

(g) \((1, 3, 14, 80, 510, \cdots)\) versus \((1, 3, 4, 5, \ldots, n + 2, \ldots).\)
\[ I = \{1, 2, 3\}, \quad Z = \{(L; 1, 1), (L; 2, 1), (R; 2, 2), (R; 3, 3)\} \]

- One checks that \( Z_n \) is made of \((n + 1) + 1\) elements. Hence \( g(t) = \frac{t(-1 + t + t^2)}{(1 + t)^2} \).

(h) \((1, 4, 23, 156, 1162, \ldots)\) versus \((1, 4, 9, 16, \ldots, (n + 1)^2, \ldots)\).

- \( I = \{\kappa, \nearrow, \swarrow, \searrow\} \), in the following we write \( R_{ij} \) in place of \((R; i, j)\):

\[ Z = \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
R \searrow \searrow \quad R \nearrow \nearrow \quad L \nearrow \nearrow \\
R \nearrow \nearrow \quad R \searrow \searrow \quad L \searrow \searrow \\
R \searrow \nearrow \quad L \nearrow \nearrow \quad L \nearrow \nearrow \\
R \nearrow \leftarrow \quad R \leftarrow \leftarrow \quad L \leftarrow \leftarrow \\
R \leftarrow \leftarrow \quad R \rightarrow \rightarrow \quad L \rightarrow \rightarrow \\
R \rightarrow \rightarrow \quad R \leftarrow \leftarrow \quad L \leftarrow \leftarrow \\
R \leftarrow \rightarrow \quad L \rightarrow \rightarrow \quad R \rightarrow \rightarrow \\
R \rightarrow \rightarrow \quad R \leftarrow \leftarrow \quad L \leftarrow \leftarrow \\
R \leftarrow \rightarrow \quad L \rightarrow \rightarrow \quad R \rightarrow \rightarrow \\
R \rightarrow \rightarrow \quad R \leftarrow \leftarrow \quad L \leftarrow \leftarrow \\
\end{array} \right\} \]

- We have shown in [A-L] Proposition 4.4 that \( Z_n = (n + 1)^2 \), hence \( g(t) = \frac{t(-1 + t + t^2)}{(1 + t)^2} \).

- The first sequence has been given a different combinatorial interpretation in terms of connected non-crossing configurations in [F-N].

(i) \((1, 9, 113, \ldots)\) versus \((1, 9, 49, \ldots)\).

- \( I \) is a set of 9 indices denoted \( \leftarrow \circ \rightarrow \) and \( Z \) is made of the following 49 elements (indexed by the cells of \( \Delta^2 \times \Delta^2 \)):

\[ R \searrow \searrow \quad R \nearrow \nearrow \quad L \nearrow \nearrow \\
R \nearrow \nearrow \quad R \searrow \searrow \quad L \searrow \searrow \\
R \searrow \nearrow \quad L \nearrow \nearrow \quad L \nearrow \nearrow \\
R \nearrow \leftarrow \quad R \leftarrow \leftarrow \quad L \leftarrow \leftarrow \\
R \leftarrow \leftarrow \quad R \rightarrow \rightarrow \quad L \rightarrow \rightarrow \\
R \rightarrow \rightarrow \quad R \leftarrow \leftarrow \quad L \leftarrow \leftarrow \\
R \leftarrow \rightarrow \quad L \rightarrow \rightarrow \quad R \rightarrow \rightarrow \\
R \rightarrow \rightarrow \quad R \leftarrow \leftarrow \quad L \leftarrow \leftarrow \\
R \leftarrow \rightarrow \quad L \rightarrow \rightarrow \quad R \rightarrow \rightarrow \\
R \rightarrow \rightarrow \quad R \leftarrow \leftarrow \quad L \leftarrow \leftarrow \\
R \leftarrow \rightarrow \quad L \rightarrow \rightarrow \quad R \rightarrow \rightarrow \\
R \rightarrow \rightarrow \quad R \leftarrow \leftarrow \quad L \leftarrow \leftarrow \\
\]

- Unfortunately we do not know how to compute the number of elements in \( Z_n \). This example is strongly related to dendriform trialgebras [L-R1] and motivated by the ennea-algebras [L&].

Added after the release of the first version: a thorough study of this example has been performed in [B].

3. Koszul complex and the Theorem

3.1. Koszul complex. Given a planar binary rooted tree \( y \in Y_n \) one numbers the leaves from left to right by \( 0, \ldots, n \). Accordingly, one numbers the vertices by \( 1, \ldots, n \), the \( i \)th vertex being in between the leaves \( i - 1 \) and \( i \). So a decoration is a map \( \epsilon \) from \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \) to \( I \). A
vertex of $y$ is said to be a *cup* if it is directly connected to two leaves (no intermediate vertex). In the following example $z$ has a cup at vertex 1 and vertex 3:

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
& | & \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
& \uparrow & \uparrow \\
\end{array} \]

The grafting of two trees $y$ and $y'$ is the new tree $y \lor y'$ obtained from $y$ and $y'$ by joining the roots to a new vertex and adding a new root. For instance the above tree is the grafting $\begin{array}{ccc}
& | & \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
& \uparrow & \uparrow \\
\end{array} \lor \begin{array}{ccc}
& | & \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
& \uparrow & \uparrow \\
\end{array}$.

We define a chain complex $K_* = (K_n, d)_{n \geq 0}$ over the field $\mathbb{K}$ as follows. The space of $(n+1)$-chains is

$$ K_{n+1} := \bigoplus \mathbb{K}[Z_n \times X_{i_0} \times \cdots \times X_{i_n}] $$

where the sum is extended to all $(n+1)$-tuples $(i_0, \ldots, i_n)$, where $i_j \geq 0$. The boundary map $d : K_{n+1} \to K_n$ is of the form $d = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i d_i$, where $d_i$ sends a basis vector to a basis vector or 0 according to the following rule.

Let $z \in Z_n$ and $x_j \in X_{i_j}$. If the $i$th vertex of $z$ is not a cup, then $d_i(z; x_0, \ldots, x_n) := 0$. If the $i$th vertex of $z$ is a cup, then

$$ d_i(z; x_0, \ldots, x_n) := (d_i(z); x_0, \ldots, x_{i-1} \lor_{\epsilon(i)} x_i, \ldots, x_n) $$

where $d_i(z)$ is the labelled tree obtained from $z$ by deleting the $i$th vertex (replace it by a leaf), and where $\lor_{\epsilon(i)}$ means the grafting with $\epsilon(i)$ as the decoration of the new vertex. If it happens that the labelled tree $x_{i-1} \lor_{\epsilon(i)} x_i$ contains a pattern in $Z$, then we put $d_i(z; x_0, \ldots, x_n) := 0$.

3.2. **Lemma.** $d^2 = 0$.

Proof. Let $\omega = (z; x_0, \ldots, x_n)$. It is sufficient to prove that $d_i d_j = d_j d_i$ for $i < j$ (presimplicial relation). If $i < j + 1$, then the actions of $d_i$ and $d_j$ on $\omega$ are sufficiently far apart so that they commute (the indexing $j - 1$ comes from the renumbering). In the case $j = i + 1$ we will prove that $d_i d_{i+1}(\omega) = 0 = d_i d_i(\omega)$. We are, locally in $z$, in one of the following two situations:

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
& | & \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
& \uparrow & \uparrow \\
\end{array} \]

$\epsilon(i)$

In the first situation $d_i d_i(\omega) = 0$ because the $i$th vertex is not a cup. If $i + 1$ is not a cup, then $d_i d_{i+1}(\omega) = 0$ because $d_{i+1}(\omega) = 0$. If $i + 1$
is a cup, then \( d_i d_{i+1}(\omega) = 0 \) because one of the entries of \( d_i d_{i+1}(\omega) \) is a \( \lor_{(i)} (b \lor_{(i+1)} c) \) which has a local pattern in \( Z \) and so is 0 in \( X_t \).

The proof is similar in the second situation. \( \Box \)

The chain complex \( K_* \) is called the Koszul complex of \( X \) (see section 4 for an explanation of this terminology).

3.3. **Extremal elements.** By definition a basis vector \( \omega = (z; x_0, \ldots, x_n) \) of \( K_n \) is an extremal element if there does not exist a basis vector \( \omega' \) such that \( d_i(\omega') = \omega \) for some \( i \).

3.4. **Proposition.** For each extremal element \( \omega \) with \( k \) cups, the basis vectors \( d_i \cdots d_i \omega \) span a subcomplex \( K_\omega \) of \( K \) which is isomorphic to the augmented chain complex of the standard simplex \( \Delta^{k-1} \).

**Proof.** Let \( \omega = (z; x_0, \ldots, x_n) \) be an extremal element. The graded subvector space of \( K_* \) spanned by the elements \( d_i \cdots d_i \omega \) is stable by \( d \) and so forms a subcomplex.

Let us now prove the isomorphism. We claim that \( d_i \cdots d_i \omega \) is non-zero if and only if the indices \( i_j \) are such that the vertices \( i_j \) are cups. Indeed the “only if” case is immediate. In the other direction: if \( d_i \cdots d_i \omega \neq 0 \), then this would say that there is an \( l \), such that the vertex \( l \) is a cup and \( d_l(\omega) = (d_l(z); \ldots, (a \lor_u b) \lor_v c, \ldots) \) with \( (R; u, v) \) in \( Z \), or \( d_l(\omega) = (d_l(z); \ldots, a \lor_u (b \lor_v c, \ldots) \) with \( (L; u, v) \) in \( Z \). So could construct \( \omega = (\hat{z}; \ldots, a, b, c, \ldots) \) so that \( d_l(\omega) = \omega \) and \( \omega \) would not be extremal.

We construct a bijection between the cells of \( \Delta^{k-1} \) and the set of non-zero vectors \( \{d_i \cdots d_i \omega\} \) by sending the \( j \)th vertex (number \( j - 1 \)) of \( \Delta^{k-1} \) to \( d_i \cdots d_i \cdots d_i \omega \) where \( i_j \) is the \( j \)th cup of \( x \). It is immediate to verify that the boundary map in the chain complex of the standard simplex corresponds to the boundary map \( d \) by this bijection. Observe that, under this bijection, the big cell of the simplex is mapped to \( \omega \) and the generator of the augmentation space is mapped to \( d_i \cdots d_i \omega \). \( \Box \)

3.5. **Proposition.** The chain complex \( K_* \) is isomorphic to \( \bigoplus_\omega K_\omega \) where the sum is taken over all the extremal elements \( \omega \).

**Proof.** Let us show that any basis vector belongs to \( K_\omega \) for some extremal element \( \omega \). If \( \omega \) is extremal, then the proposition holds. If not, then there exists an element \( \omega_1 \) such that \( d_i(\omega_1) = \omega \) for some \( i \), and so on. The process stops after a finite number of steps because \( (z; |, \ldots, |) \) is extremal.

Now it is sufficient to prove that, if a basis vector belongs to \( K_\omega \) and to \( K_{\omega'} \), then \( \omega = \omega' \).
Let \( \omega = (z; x_0, \ldots, x_n) \) and \( \omega' = (z'; x'_0, \ldots, x'_n) \). If \( d_i(\omega) = d_j(\omega') \neq 0 \), then \( i \) is a cup of \( z \), \( j \) is a cup of \( z' \) and \( d_i(z) = d_j(z') \). If \( i < j \), then there exists \( \bar{\omega} \) such that \( d_j(\bar{\omega}) = \omega \), \( d_i(\bar{\omega}) = \omega' \), and therefore \( \omega \) is not extremal. So we have \( i = j \).

If \( d_i(\omega) = d_i(\omega') \neq 0 \), then it is of the form \((\bar{z}; \ldots, a \vee_{\epsilon(i)} b, \ldots)\). But the element \((z; \ldots, a, b, \ldots)\) where \( z \) is the labelled tree obtained from \( \bar{z} \) by replacing the \( i \)th leaf by a cup and putting \( \epsilon(i) \) as a decoration, is the only element such that \( d_i(\omega) = (z; \ldots, a \vee_{\epsilon(i)} b, \ldots) \). Hence \( \omega = \omega' \).

So we have proved that any basis vector belongs to one and only one subcomplex of the form \( K_\omega \).

**3.6. Remark.**

In order to visualize these two proofs it is helpful to think of the element \( \omega = (z; x_0, \ldots, x_n) \) as a single graph (with a “horizon”) obtained by gluing the \( x_j \)'s to the leaves of \( z \). The horizon indicates where to cut to get the \( x_j \)'s back. The operator \( d_j \), where \( j \) is the number of a cup, consists in lowering the horizon under the relevant vertex.

**3.7. Corollary.** For any choice of \( X \) the Koszul complex \( K_* \) is acyclic.

Proof. By Propositions 3.5 and 3.4 the homology of the Koszul complex is trivial since the standard simplex is contractible. There is only one exception in dimension 1 since the subcomplex corresponding to the extremal element \( \omega = ([; ;]) \) is \( K \) in dimension 1. So we have \( H_n(K_\omega) = 0 \) for \( n > 1 \) and \( H_1(K_\omega) = K \).

**3.8. Proposition.** The Poincaré series of the Koszul complex \( K_* \) is equal to \( f(Z, f(X, t)) \).

Proof. Let us call \( w = n + i_0 + \cdots + i_n \) the weight of an element \( \omega \in Z_n \times X_{i_0} \times \cdots \times X_{i_n} \). From the definition of \( d_i(\omega) \) we see that the weight of \( d_i(\omega) \) is also \( w \). Therefore the Koszul complex is the direct sum of subcomplexes \( K^w_* \) made of all the elements of weight \( w \). For a fixed weight \( w \) the complex \( K^w_* \) is finite, beginning with \( K[Z_w \times (X_0)^{w+1}] \), ending with \( K[Z_0 \times X_w] \). More generally one has \( K^w_n = \bigoplus K[Z_n \times X_{i_0} \times \cdots \times X_{i_n}] \) where the sum is extended over all the \((n + 1)\)-tuples \((i_0, \ldots, i_n)\) such that \( n + i_0 + \cdots + i_n = w \).

Let \( a_n := \#X_n \) and \( b_n := \#Z_n \) so that \( f(X, t) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n+1} a_n t^{n+1} \) and \( f(Z, t) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n+1} b_n t^{n+1} \). From the explicit description of \( K^w_n \) we check that the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of \( K^w_* \) is precisely the coefficient of \((-1)^w \omega t^{w+1}\) in the expansion of

\[
\sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n+1} b_n \left( \sum_{m \geq 1} (-1)^{m+1} a_m t^{m+1} \right)^n.
\]
Therefore the Poincaré series \( \sum_{w \geq 0} (-1)^w \chi(K^{(w)}_*) t^{w+1} \) is equal to \( f(Z, f(X, t)) \).

\( \square \)

3.9. **End of the proof of Theorem 2.2.** By Proposition 3.8 it suffices to show that the Poincaré series of \( K_* \) is \( t \). The Poincaré series of a complex is the same as the Poincaré series of its homology. Since the homology of \( K_* \) is 0, except in weight 0 where it is \( \mathbb{K} \) by Corollary 3.7 the Poincaré series is \( t \). \( \square \)

4. Operadic interpretation.

4.1. **Algebraic operad.** Let \( I \) be a finite set of indices, \( X \) be a subset of \( Y_2 \times I^2 \) and \( Z \) its complement. Over the field \( \mathbb{K} \) we define a type of algebras, denoted \( \mathcal{P} \), as follows. There is one binary operation \( \circ_i \) for any \( i \in I \) and the relations are

\[
(x \circ_i y) \circ_j z = 0 \text{ if } (R; i, j) \in Z \text{ and } x \circ_i (y \circ_j z) = 0 \text{ if } (L; i, j) \in Z.
\]

It is immediate to check that the free algebra of type \( \mathcal{P} \) on one generator admits \( X_{n-1} \) as a basis of the homogeneous part of degree \( n \), \( n \geq 1 \).

The generator is the unique element of \( X_0 \), that is \( | \). So the operad \( \mathcal{P} \) determined by this type of algebras is such that \( \mathcal{P}(n) = \mathbb{K}[X_{n-1}] \otimes \mathbb{K}[S_n] \), where \( S_n \) is the symmetric group. In fact, we are in a case where the operations have no symmetry, and the relations leave the variables in the same order. So the operad is regular, that is it is determined by a non-\( \Sigma \)-operad: \( \mathcal{P}_n = \mathbb{K}[X_{n-1}] \).

Reversing the roles of \( X \) and \( Z \), that is taking the elements of \( X \) as relations, gives rise to a new (non-\( \Sigma \)-)operad \( \mathcal{Q} \) such that \( \mathcal{Q}_n = \mathbb{K}[Z_{n-1}] \).

4.2. **Lemma.** The Koszul dual operad of \( \mathcal{P} \) is \( \mathcal{Q} \), that is \( \mathcal{P}^! = \mathcal{Q} \).

Proof. Recall from [C-K], (see [L1] for a short survey and [E] for details) that the dual operad \( \mathcal{P}^! \) of the non-\( \Sigma \)-operad \( \mathcal{P} \) is constructed as follows. The generating operations are the same. The space of relations is made of the elements \( \sum \alpha_{ij}(x \circ_i y) \circ_j z + \sum \beta_{ij}x \circ_i (y \circ_j z) \) (for some scalars \( \alpha_{ij} \) and \( \beta_{ij} \)) which are orthogonal to the relations of \( \mathcal{P} \) for the inner product \( \langle - , - \rangle \) defined on the linear generators by

\[
\begin{align*}
(1) & \quad \langle (x \circ_i y) \circ_j z , (x \circ_i y) \circ_j z \rangle = 1, \\
(2) & \quad \langle x \circ_i (y \circ_j z) , x \circ_i (y \circ_j z) \rangle = -1 \\
(3) & \quad \langle - , - \rangle = 0 \quad \text{otherwise.}
\end{align*}
\]

One immediately checks that the vector space generated by \( X \) is orthogonal to the vector space generated by \( Z \), and therefore the Koszul dual of \( \mathcal{P} \) is \( \mathcal{Q} \). \( \square \)
4.3. **Theorem.** The operads $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ are Koszul operads.

Proof. The Koszul duality of $\mathcal{P}$ is equivalent to the acyclicity of the Koszul complex of $\mathcal{P}$, which is $(\mathcal{P}^!$$(\mathcal{P}(V)), \delta)$. Since $\mathcal{P}$ is regular (i.e. comes from a non-$\Sigma$-operad), it is sufficient to check the acyclicity for $V = \mathbb{K}$. Since $\mathcal{P}^! = \mathcal{Q}$ the chains of the Koszul complex of $\mathcal{P}$ are the same as the chains of the Koszul complex of $X$ constructed in the first section. A careful checking of the construction of $\delta$ shows that $\delta = d$.

So we can apply Corollary 3.7 and the proof is completed. □

4.4. **Remarks.** The Poincaré series of an operad is defined as

$$f^\mathcal{P}(t) := \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^n \frac{\dim \mathcal{P}(n)}{n!} t^n = \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^n \dim \mathcal{P}_n t^n .$$

Hence, for the operad $\mathcal{P}$ defined by $X$, one has $f^\mathcal{P}(t) = f(X, t)$ and the functional equation of Theorem 2.2 is the functional equation $f^\mathcal{P}(f^\mathcal{P}(t)) = t$ proved in [G-K] for Koszul operads.

In this paper we exploit only the Poincaré series property of Koszul operads. There are many other applications like constructing homotopy algebras (cf. [G-K]) and computing the homology of the associated partition complex (cf. [V2]).

5. **Generalization**

There is no reason to restrict oneself to binary trees, that is to binary operads. One can start with planar rooted trees. In this framework we choose a set of index for each integer $k \geq 2$. Hence the functional equation is now in two variables, see [V2] for the operadic interpretation. In this section we give some examples of a particular case: the vertices of the trees have valence $k \geq 2$ for a fixed $k$.

5.1. **$k$-ary planar trees.** Let $Y_n^{(k)}$ be the set of planar rooted trees with $n$ vertices, each vertex being of valence $k$. The number of leaves of such a tree is $(k - 1)n + 1$. The case $k = 2$ is the one treated in the first part. Let $I$ be a set of indices and let $Y_n^{(k)} \times I^n$ be the set of labelled trees. Choose a subset $X$ of $Y_n^{(k)} \times I^2$ and let $Z$ be its complement. As before we define $X_n \subset Y_n^{(k)} \times I^n$ to be the subset made of labelled trees whose local patterns belong to $X$.

In order to state the Theorem we need to introduce the following series. Let $a = (a_0, \ldots, a_n, \ldots)$ be a sequence of numbers (we will
always have $a_0 = 1$). Define the (lacunary) series $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$ as follows:

$$f^{(k)}(g, t) := \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^{n+1} a_n t^{(k-1)n+1} = -t + a_1 t^k - a_2 t^{2k-1} + \ldots$$

$$g^{(k)}(g, t) := -\sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^{(k+1)n} a_n t^{(k-1)n+1} = -t + (-1)^k a_1 t^k - a_2 t^{2k-1} + \ldots$$

Observe that when $k$ is even $f^{(k)} = g^{(k)}$ and when $k$ is odd all the signs in $g^{(k)}$ are $-$. When $k = 2$, one has $f^{(2)} = g^{(2)} = f$ as defined in section 2. The series $f^{(k)}(X, t)$ and $g^{(k)}(X, t)$ are obtained by taking $a_n = \#X_n$.

5.2. Theorem. Let $X$ be a subset of $Y_2^{(k)} \times I^2$ and let $Z$ be its complement, i.e. $X \sqcup Z = Y_2^{(k)} \times I^2$. Then the following functional equation holds:

$$g^{(k)}(Z, f^{(k)}(X, t)) = t.$$  

The proof is along the same line as the proof of Theorem 2.5 and we let the diligent reader to verify it.

There is an operadic interpretation of this result, which involves the notion of $k$-ary algebras. The relevant generalization of Koszul duality theory for quadratic algebras (not just binary) can be found in [F].

It would be interesting to study the analogous question with operads replaced by props as in [VI].

5.3. Examples. The integer sequences involved in this case are of the form

$$(1, 0, \ldots, 0, a_1, 0, \ldots, 0, a_2, 0, \ldots, 0, a_3, 0, \ldots)$$

with $a_1 = \#I, a_2 = \#X$, so $0 \leq a_2 \leq k(a_1)^2$. We denote such a lacunary sequence by $(1; a_1; a_2; \cdots; a_n; \cdots)_k$.

(a) $(1; 1; k; \frac{k(3k-1)}{2}; \frac{k(8k^2-6k+1)}{3}; \cdots)_k$ versus $(1; 1; 0; \cdots; 0; \cdots)_k$.

Let $c_n^{(k)}$ be the number of $k$-ary trees with $n$ vertices. Taking $I = \{1\}$, $X = Y_2^{(k)}$ and $Z = \emptyset$ we get $g^{(k)}(\emptyset, t) = -t + (-1)^k t^k$ and $f^{(k)}(Y_2^{(k)}, t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^{n+1} c_n^{(k)} t^{(k-1)n+1}$. So this last series, denote it $y$, satisfies the functional equation $-y + (-1)^k y^k = t$.

(b) $(1; 1; 2; 5; \cdots; c_n; \cdots)_3$ versus $(1; 1; 1; \cdots; 1; \cdots)_3$.

Take $I = 1$, $X$ has two elements and $Z$ has one element. The set $X_n$ has $c_n+1$ elements and $Z_n$ has one element. This case is related to the
notion of totally associative ternary algebras and partially associative ternary algebras studied in [Gn].

(c) (1; 1; 2; 5; · · · ; c_n; · · · )_4 versus itself.

Let k = 4, I = {1}. The set X is made of two elements of Y_2(4) and Z is made of the other two. It is clear that the sets X_n and Z_n are in bijection with the planar binary trees of degree n. As a consequence of Theorem 5.2 the series \( h(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^{n+1}c_n t^{3n+1} \) satisfies

\[
    h(h(t)) = t.
\]

Of course this result can also be proved by direct computation from the expression \( c(t) := \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n t^n = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4t}}{2t} \).
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