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Abstract

Pain has a major impact on levels of anxiety and depression. The aim of this study is to demonstrate how these symptoms (depression and anxiety) could positively influence the perception of pain after neurostimulation system implantation.

We enrolled 100 patients and divided in 2 different groups, by using tests screening such as Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HAM-A), Nursing Rating Scale (NRS): the group with spinal cord stimulation (SCS) and the group with pulsed spinal cord radiofrequency (RFP).

We highlighted a significant decrease of scores (BDI, HAM-A, NRS) in each group between T0 (baseline) and T1. Moreover, the intra-group analysis showed a positive significant correlation between NRS and depressive and anxiety symptoms.

We assert that the use of alternative methods (SCS and RFP) to the traditional pharmaceutical-surgical treatments, provide the reduction of the algic and anxiety-depressant symptoms, restoring also the perception of psychological well-being.

Abbreviations: BDI = beck depression inventory, GAD = general anxiety disorder, HAM-A = Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale, NRS = numerical rating scale, PD = panic disorder, RFP = Pulsed spinal cord radiofrequency, SCS = spinal cord stimulation.
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1. Introduction

Pain has a major impact on levels of anxiety and depression. Several studies showed a high prevalence of depression and anxiety associated with chronic pain.[1–3] Anxiety plays an important role in acute pain: the fear of suffering and/or anticipatory anxiety may lead to a more pronounced perception of pain. Chronic pain and depression are linked in a bidirectional way, that is, one feeds the other, thus entering a vicious circle. A possible explanation for this bi-directionality could be given by social isolation and depressive symptoms[4] that encourage the increase of symptoms. Some studies highlighted the correlation between mood disorders and acute and/or chronic pain. In particular, depression and anxiety seem to be associated with a greater perception of the pain severity and less tolerance of it, moreover the prolonged exposure to pain would lead to mood dysregulation.[5] The recognition of the depressive state is not easy, because the patient complains of physical pain and not psychological disturbances; thus, the algic experience can lead to a series of negative thoughts that can have a significant impact on levels of functionality and tolerance to pain.[6–8]

However, good emotional regulation can improve the understanding of risk and protective factors that contribute to the onset and maintenance of chronic pain.[9]

Anatomically, the areas involved in pain and depression are amygdala and hypothalamus, playing an important role in both disorders.[10]

To date, there are not many researches on the use of alternative methods to pharmacological treatments, to encourage a decrease in algic symptoms related to the psychological one. Neuro-modulation methods are a valid alternative when pharmacological and surgical treatments are not effective in pain control. Spinal cord stimulation (SCS) and pulsed spinal cord radio-frequency (RFP) are the most effective system in the pain treatment The SCS has been used for the treatment of chronic severe neuropathic pain since its first description by Shealy et al in 1967.[11] Several studies reported that more of 50% of patients treated with neurostimulation achieve a marked improvement in pain relief.[12–20] It also leads to a 50% reduction in opioid use and quality of life improved in most patients treated with SCS.[21]
RFP currents have been useful for the treatment of radical pain, attributing the analgesic response to the electromagnetic field generated around the tip of the cannula. RFP appears to be suitable for the management of different neuropathic pain conditions. SCS is used in the following conditions: chronic intractable back pain, complex regional pain syndrome, chronic lumbar and cervical radiculopathy, secondary neuropathic pain due to peripheral nerve injury, post-traumatic or post-irradiation brachial plexopathy, while RFP is often applied in cervical root pain, trigeminal neuralgia, Arnold’s neuralgia, chronic shoulder pain and chronic lumbago pain.

The aim of our study was to evaluate the effect of two alternative methods (SCS and RFP system) on patients with chronic pain for at least 3 months and to investigate the relationship between pain and anxiety-depressant symptoms. Moreover, we assumed a negative correlation between the two different symptoms, that is, decreasing the algic symptoms through the use of SCS and RFP decreased the anxiety-depressant symptoms.

2. Materials and methods

This observational study was conducted on a sample of 100 patients with chronic pain (56 females, 44 males) divided in two groups: with SCS and with RFP. All patients were recruited from January 2018 for one year in the antalgic therapy unit of our Institute. All subjects did not respond to traditional pharmacological therapies of pain (paracetamol, FANS, opioids, anticonvulsants and cortisone). The study protocol was presented to the participants by health care professionals. Informed consent was provided, and confidentiality was guaranteed. The study was approved by local ethics committee.

The choice of treatment (SCS or RFP) depended on pain etiology and chronic pain level. The patients that showed a severe algic symptomatology were included in SCS group. These subjects were affected to chronic cervical and lumbar radiculopathies, post-traumatic brachial plexopathy and back pain. The RFP group consisted of patients with moderate chronic pain. In this group, the patients presented trigeminal neuralgia and fibromyalgia.

Therefore, the inclusion criteria have been dictated by the presence of severe and moderate chronic pain for at least 3 months. The first group (with SCS) consisted of 50 subjects with mean age of 70.0±13.23 years and mean education of 9.0±5.20 years; the second group (with RFP) consisted of 50 patients with mean age of 62.0±13.73 years and mean education of 8.0±4.76 years.

The exclusion criteria were: the presence of psychiatric disorders accompanied by antidepressants and anxiolytics use, severe cognitive changes, neurodegenerative disorders. All subjects had chronic pain associated with high levels of anxiety and depression. In the group with SCS, we introduced a cable located in the epidural space using a Tuohy needle and connected to a subcutaneously implantable pulse generator, which contains the power source and electronics to provide programmable stimulations. In the group with RFP, the procedure was performed in awake patients, under local anesthesia, and consists of introducing one or more thin needles into specific areas, without creating brain damage.

Each patient underwent to BDI-II, HAM-A and NRS at baseline evaluation (T0) and after 6 months from T0 (T1).

BDI-II is a self-assessment tool composed of 21 multiple choice items. Each item has a score of 0–3. It was considered a 0–13 score as an absence of depressive content, scores ranging from 14 to 19 mild depression, between 27–29 moderate degree depression and ultimately 30–63 severe grade depression.[22]

HAM-A measures the subjective severity of anxiety symptoms within the previous 7 days. It has long been used as an indicator of anxiolytics in panic disorder (PD) and general anxiety disorder (GAD). Each element is marked on a scale from 0 (not present) to 4 (severe), with a total score range of 0–56, where <14 indicates no symptoms, ≥14–17 indicate mildness, 18–24 from mild to moderate, >25 severe. Anxiety and depression levels were compared with pain levels, extrapolated from the NRS results.[23,24]

NRS is a one-dimensional quantitative clinical scale of 11-point pain assessment; in this scale the patient selects the number that describes the intensity of pain, from 0 to 10.

Two different alternative methods, SCS and RFP, to traditional treatments have been used, as already mentioned, compared to the decrease in pain and anxiety-depressant symptoms.

2.1. Statistical analysis

The analysis was conducted with descriptive statistic of respondent’s sociodemographic characteristic of two groups. Normal distribution of the data evaluated using the Shapiro-Wilk normality test. The Wilcoxon signed-rank test used in order to compare, for each group (SCS or RFP), the results in 2 times (intra-groups analysis) while, the Mann-Whitney U test used for the comparison between the 2 groups (inter-group analysis) a T0 and T1. Finally, we performed an interaction effect analysis (improved time) by calculating the T1–T0 differences in clinical variables (BDI-II and HAM-A) scores and NRS scores determining their correlations using the Spearman’s coefficient in each group. Analyses performed using an open source R3.0 software package (R Foundation for Statistical Computer, Vienna, Austria). A 95% of confidence level was set with a 5% alpha error. Statistical significance was set at P< .05.

3. Results

In the intra-group analysis, we compared the clinical test at baseline (T0) and at T1 (follow-up after six months) (Fig. 1). In SCS group, we observed a decrease highly significant of scores in BDI (P< .001), HAM-A (P< .01) and in NRS (P=.04) (Table 1). In RFP group, we highlighted a decrease highly significant of scores in BDI (P< .001), HAM-A (P< .001) and in NRS (P< .001) (Table 1).

Inter-groups analysis showed a positive significant correlation between groups in NRS at T0 (P=.02) and T1 (P< .001) (Table 1). Correlation analysis showed a relation significant between NRS and BDI-II (r=0.48; P=.04), and HAM-A (r=0.51; P=.03) in SCS group. Moreover, in RFP group we highlighted a positive significant correlation between NRS and BDI-II (r=0.56, P=.01), and HAM-A (r=0.50, P=.02).

4. Discussion

Our observational study showed a decrease of algic, psychological and physical symptoms after treatment in both groups.

Significant results (P< .001) to T1 (follow-up after six months) in NRS showed that the pain perception scores are lower in both groups, and the two different methods provided a positive response in pain perception decrease. The effectiveness of the SCS
and RFP treatment, therefore, can stop or correct chronic excessive pain. Statistically significant responses were obtained to HAM-A ($P < .001$) and BDI-II ($P < .001$) in both groups.

The comparison between the two methods showed that pain perception decreased considerably in both conditions, resulting in the decrease of anxious-depressant symptoms. Therefore, the alternative methods to traditional pharmacological treatments lead to relief in patients and ensure an improvement of psycho-physical wellbeing.

These results showed that the painful perception and anxiety-depressant symptomatology decreases in both conditions, highlighting a significant correlation between pain and psychological disorders. Pain is associated with rapid neuro-vegetative, affective-emotional and cognitive changes. The correlation between chronic pain and psychological disorders (anxiety and depression) was analyzed by several authors. In fact, some studies showed that the anxiety appears in patients with chronic pain (e.g., rheumatologically patients than in healthy controls).[25] Heer et al.[2] correlate with anxiety and cardio-respiratory pain, respect to a lower correlation with gastrointestinal and musculoskeletal pain.

The chronic pain reduction, obtained to our patients with alternative methods, decreased anxiety and depression levels with changes in the daily life of the subject. Therefore, we confirm that to severity pain increasing, the psychological symptoms worsen. Our evaluation, unlike other studies, was carried out in 2 different types of patients, based on the severity of pain (SCS vs RFP treatment). In particular, the anxiety and depression levels, as detected by the HAM-A and BDI-II scales, are significantly represented in all patients. In literature, pain and depression often appear associated, even if a causal relationship is difficult to establish; it has been hypothesized that the depressed mood causes altered perception of painful stimuli, and the intensity of pain is an element with a significant influence on the tone of mood.[26] The importance of our study is to highlight how anxiety and depression is not related to a mood disorder, but rather related to a physical condition that disables people. In fact, the pain decrease matched with levels of anxiety and depression, thus increasing the quality of life of each subject.

Our results show as the pain is slightly more intense in the RFP group, while anxiety and depression are equally present in both groups. A study conducted by Morone et al.[27] shows that patients with chronic pain are more predisposed to anxiety disorders than painless patients (35% vs 18%). The study suggests that subjects with GAD (general anxiety disorder), related to chronic pain, were less responsive to first treatment, while subjects with mild or absent pain were more responsive to treatment. Another study, Xie et al.[28] investigated the correlation

| Table 1 | Intra-inter-group differences in clinical variables at T0-T1 of patients with SCS and patients with RFP. |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------|
|         | SCS Group | Median (I-III quartile) | RFP Group | Median (I-III quartile) | P (U-Mann Whitney) |
| BDI T0  | 11 (8.0–16.0) | 10 (8.25–13.75) | .73 |
| BDI T1  | 6 (4.0–10.0) | 6 (3.25–8.0) | .78 |
| $P$ (Wilcoxon) | .0003** | <.001** |
| HAM T0  | 12 (9.0–14.0) | 10 (8.0–14.0) | .49 |
| HAM T1  | 6 (5.0–8.0) | 6 (5.0–8.0) | .49 |
| $P$ (Wilcoxon) | .0003** | <.001** |
| NRS T0  | 3 (1.0–7.0) | 5.5 (4.9–7.0) | .02* |
| NRS T1  | 0 (0–2.0) | 3 (2.25–4.0) | <.001** |
| $P$ (Wilcoxon) | .004* | <.001** |

* $P < .05$.
** $P < .001$.

BDI-II = beck depression inventory, HAM = Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale, NRS = numerical rating scale, RFP = pulsed medullar radiofrequency, SCS = spinal cord stimulation.
between anxiety and depression in painful patients by using hospital anxiety and depression scales and visual analogue scale. This study identifies the relationship between anxiety and depression related to degree of physical pain. This outcome could help to better conceptualize the relationship between physical pain and psychological symptoms, which are closely related. Other studies, focused only on the depressive symptomatology. In our study, the SCS and RFP highlighted, as analgesic treatments, can provide not only a when pharmacological and surgical treatments are not effective detect and treat anxiety disorders in pain condition. However, as suggested in our study, the efforts are needed to detect and treat anxiety disorders in pain condition.

No-invasive neuromodulation methods are a valid alternative when pharmacological and surgical treatments are not effective in pain management. The use of two different methods highlighted, as analgesic treatments, can provide not only a decrease of pain perception, but also a decrease of anxiety-depressive symptomatology. In our study, the SCS and RFP methods facilitate a reduction of pain levels. Previous studies focused only on the efficacy of SCS, or RFP in chronic headache. We confirm that the two treatments are effective for the our sample. There are no studies that analyze the differences between the two methods, particularly in correlation with psychological disorders. Therefore, our study can be a starting point to evaluation of alternative methods to pharmacological/surgical treatment, improving the quality of life and psychophysical state of patients with chronic pain.

Author contributions
Conceptualization: Simona De Salvo.
Data curation: francesco corallo.
Formal analysis: Lilla Bonanno.
Methodology: Daniela Floridia.
Supervision: Marcella Di Cara, Viviana Lo Buono.
Validation: Placido Bramanti, Silvia Marino.
Visualization: Nunzio Muscarà, Francesco Cerra.
Writing – original draft: Cecilia Cannistraci.

References
[1] Derby J, Polatin PB, Gatchel RJ. Chronic pain and psychopathology: research findings and theoretical considerations. Psychosom Med 2002;64:773–86.
[2] De Heer EW, Gerrits MM, Beekeeman AT, et al. The association of depression and anxiety with pain: a study from NESDA. PloS One 2014;9:e106907.
[3] Gerrits MM, van Oppen P, Leone SS, et al. Pain, not chronic disease, is associated with the recurrence of depressive and anxiety disorders. BMC Psychiatry 2014;14:187.
[4] Sato T, Kai L, Takizawa A. Effects of a program to prevent social isolation on loneliness, depression, and subjective well-being of older adults: a randomized trial among older migrants in Japan. Arch Gerontol Geriatr 2012;55:539–47.
[5] Michaelides A, Ziv P. Depression, anxiety and acute pain: links and management challenges. Postgrad Med 2019;131:438–44.
[6] Van Tulder MW, Ostelo RW, Vlaeyen JW, et al. Behavioural treatment for chronic low back pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2010;7:CD002104.
[7] Chochinov HM, Wilson KG, Enns M, et al. Desire for death in the terminally ill. Am J Psychiatry 1995;152:1185–91.
[8] Bachiocco V, Credico C, Tiengo M, et al. The pain locus of control orientation in a healthy sample of the Italian population: Sociodemo- graphic modulating factors. J Cult Divers 2002;9:55–65.
[9] Koechlin H, Coakley R, Schechter N, et al. The role of emotion regulation in chronic pain: a systematic literature review. J Psychosom Res 2018;107:38–45.
[10] Aloupis MH, Dussor GO, Porreca F. Central modulation of pain. J Clin Invest 2010;120:3779–87.
[11] Shealy CN, Mortimer JT, Reswick JB. Electrical inhibition of pain by stimulation of the dorsal columns. Anesth Analg 1967;46:489–91.
[12] Klemar MA, Rarendse GA, Van Kleef M, et al. Spinal cord stimulation in patients with chronic reflex sympathetic dystrophy. N Engl J Med 2000;343:618–24.
[13] Long DM, Hagfors N. Electrical stimulation in the nervous system: the current status of electrical stimulation of the nervous system for relief of pain. Pain 1975;1:109–23.
[14] North RB, Kidd DH, Zahrakur M, et al. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: experience over two decades. Neurosurgery 1993;32:384–95.
[15] Meglio M, Cioni B, Rossi GF. Spinal cord stimulation in management of chronic pain: a 9-year experience. J Neurosurg 1989;70:519–24.
[16] Kumar K, Toth C, Nath R, et al. Epidural spinal cord stimulation for treatment of chronic pain—some predictors of success. A 15-year experience. Surg Neurol 1998;50:110–21.
[17] Robaina HJ, Robaina EJ, Dominguez M, et al. Spinal cord stimulation for relief of chronic pain in vasospastic disorders of the upper limbs. Neurosurgery 1989;24:63–7.
[18] Kumar K, Nath R, Toth C. Prognostic factors for epidural spinal cord stimulation in treatment of chronic Pain. Neurosurgery 1996;39:649.
[19] North RR, Ewend MG, Lawton MT, et al. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: superiority of “multi-channel” devices. Pain 1991;44:119–30.
[20] Hassensbusch SJ, Stanton-Hicks M, Schoppa D, et al. Long-term results of peripheral nerve stimulation for reflex sympathetic dystrophy. J Neurosurg 1996;84:415–23.
[21] Calvillo O, Racz G, Didie J, et al. Neuroaugmentation in the treatment of complex regional pain syndrome of the upper extremity. Acta Orthop Belg 1998;64:57–63.
[22] Beck AT, Steer RA, et al. Beck depression inventory-II. San Antonio 1996;78:490–8.
[23] Downie WW, Leatham PA, Rhind VM, et al. Studies with pain rating scales. Ann Rheum Dis 1978;37:378–81.
[24] Grotta E, Borgli C, Cerchiari E, et al. Analogue chronic continuous scale (ACCS): a new method for pain assessment. Clin Exp Rheumatol 1983;1:337–40.
[25] Bagnato G, De Filippis LG, Caliri A, et al. Comparazione dei livelli di ansia e depressione in soggetti affetti da patologie reumatiche su base autoimmune e cronico-degenerative: dati preliminari. Reumatismo 2006;58:26–11.
[26] Graziotto A. La percezione del dolore pelvico cronico nella donna: fattori predittivi e implicazioni cliniche. Urologia 2002;75:67–72.
[27] Morone NE, Beltapah IH, He F, et al. Pain adversely affects outcomes to a collaborative care intervention for anxiety in primary care. J Gen Intern Med 2013;28:58–66.
[28] Xie J, Bt Q, Shang W, et al. Positive and negative relationship between anxiety and depression of patients in pain: a bifactor model analysis. PloS One 2012;7:e47577.
[29] Corallo F, Italiano D, Bonanno L, et al. Olanzapine treatment improved quality of life in a patient with fibromyalgia syndrome: a psychological evaluation. Acta Reumatol Port 2011;36:311–2.
[30] McWilliams LA, Goodwin RD, Cox BJ. Depression and anxiety associated with three pain conditions: results from a nationally representative sample. Pain 2004;111:77–83.
[31] Aho KM, Ylind MJ, Redik V, et al. Lumbar and sacral nerve root stimulation (NRS) in the treatment of chronic pain: a novel anatomic approach and neuro stimulation technique. Neuromodulation 1999;2:23–31.
[32] Yang Y, Huang X, Fan Y, et al. Efficacy of pulsed radiofrequency on cervical 2–3 posterior medial branches in treating chronic migraine: a randomized, controlled, and double-blind trial. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2015;2015:90856.