Long noncoding RNA FOXD2-AS1 enhances chemotherapeutic resistance of laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma via STAT3 activation
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Abstract

Laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma (LSCC) is a common head and neck cancer. Despite recently improved management of LSCC, chemotherapy resistance of patients remains a challenge. In this study, we identified that long noncoding RNA FOXD2-AS1 regulates LSCC therapeutic resistance by augmenting LSCC stemness. LSCC chemotherapy-resistant patients showed increased FOXD2-AS1 expression compared with that in chemotherapy-sensitive patients, which predicted poor prognosis. Gain- or loss-of-function experiments showed that upregulated FOXD2-AS1 maintained cancer stemness, reducing the response to chemotherapy, while FOXD2-AS1 downregulation had the opposite effects. FOXD2-AS1 acted as a scaffold for STAT3 and PRMT5, promoting STAT3 transcriptional activity, which is essential to maintain cancer stemness and promote chemotherapeutic resistance. Interfering with FOXD2-AS1 using short hairpin RNA rescued LSCC’s chemotherapeutic sensitivity. Thus, FOXD2-AS1 promotes LSCC chemotherapeutic resistance and is an upstream activator of STAT3, making FOXD2-AS1 a potential therapeutic target to improve the chemotherapy effect in LSCC patients.

Introduction

Laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma (LSCC) is one of the most common subtypes of laryngeal cancers and has the second highest incidence among respiratory tumors¹. Notably, the occurrence of LSCC in China is approximately four times higher than that in the United States, with >15,000 estimated deaths each year². For patients with late-stage LSCC, chemotherapy is the standard first-line treatment¹,³, which stops the growth of cancer cells, either by killing the cells or by stopping the cells from dividing. However, platinum-based combined chemotherapy approaches did not induce an overall survival (OS) benefit in patients with advanced LSCC, resulting from toxicity of these agents and chemoresistance of the patients⁴. Therefore, revealing the underlying mechanisms of chemotherapy resistance in LSCC and identifying novel biomarkers for targeted therapy are urgently required to improving the dismal outcome of this disease.

The prevalence of cancer stem cells (CSCs) and the heightened propensity for tumors to maintain CSC sub-populations, also known as cancer stemness, are essential for tumors to establish resistance to therapy⁵,⁶. Recent studies revealed that reducing CD133⁺ CSC populations in LSCC cells in vitro could elevate the cancer cells’ sensitivity to cisplatin treatment⁷–⁹. These results not only reflected the impact of reducing CSCs on cancer cell longevity, but also highlighted the substantial effects that CSCs have on enhancing chemoresistance. It is likely that inherent cancer cell drug resistance arises from the tendency of CSCs to
display heightened DNA repair, cell quiescence, and the expression of ATP-binding cassette transporters that promote drug efflux. When CSCs survive an initial course of chemotherapy, they can adopt a drug resistance phenotype that contributes to acquired chemoresistance. In laryngeal carcinoma, a number of chemoresistance mechanisms have been identified, and in this study, we sought to further verify the molecular mechanism of laryngeal carcinoma stemness and chemoresistance.

Recently, emerging evidence indicated that long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) participate in tumor progression and drug resistance. LncRNAs are a type of RNAs with no or weak protein-coding potential that function mainly by acting as host genes for microRNAs, by serving as molecular scaffolds to guide proteins to their direct chromosomal targets, or by protecting targeted proteins from degradation. Different lncRNAs confer chemoresistance in several types of cancer cells by changing multiple drug resistance transporters, modulating the cellular anti-apoptosis potential, affecting the epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT) process, and modulating signaling pathways. However, the identification of the lncRNAs that might be involved in LSCC stemness and chemoresistance, and their precise mechanisms remain limited.

In the present study, we found that lncRNA FOXD2-AS1 was significantly upregulated in patients with advanced LSCC. Gain- or loss-of-function experiments showed that lncRNA FOXD2-AS1 is a key mediator of the development of chemotherapeutic resistance in LSCC, being involved in cancer stemness maintenance. Mechanistically, FOXD2-AS1 serves as a scaffold of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) and protein arginine methyltransferase-5 (PRMT5), thereby augmenting the transcriptional activity of STAT3, which was required to maintain CSCs subpopulations in tumors. More importantly, silencing FOXD2-AS1 using short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) restored the chemotherapy sensitivity of LSCC cells to a level similar to treatment with statins, a STAT3-selective inhibitor. Therefore, our results revealed a novel mechanism mediated by FOXD2-AS1 to maintain the cancer stemness of LSCC, and highlighted the clinical significance of FOXD2-AS1 in LSCC therapy.

Results

FOXD2-AS1 was upregulated in LSCC and correlated with LSCC chemoresistance

To define the expression pattern of lncRNA FOXD2-AS1 in laryngeal cancer, we first analyzed the mRNA expression levels of FOXD2-AS1 in head and neck cancer, as well as in normal tissues using a dataset from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), containing 26% of tumors from laryngeal sites, using UALCAN webserver (http://ualcan.path.uab.edu/index.html). FOXD2-AS1 mRNA levels were significantly increased in malignant tissues compared with that in the normal tissues in the TCGA data (Fig. 1a). Moreover, the results of public data analysis indicated that increases in FOXD2-AS1 expression levels were clearly discernible between clinical stages, with significantly higher levels in patients with more advanced stage or higher grade of head and neck cancer (Fig. 1b, c). Moreover, analysis results from Kaplan–Meier Plotter Pan-cancer RNA sequencing public webserver (http://kmplot.com/analysis/index.php?p=service&cancer=pancancer_rnaseq) indicated that higher level of FOXD2-AS1 was significantly associated with poorer OS in all head and neck cancer cases, and stage IV head and neck cancer cases (Fig. 1d–f). We further analyzed the expression pattern of FOXD2-AS1 in 24 paired of LSCC samples, comprising tumor and adjacent normal tissues form individuals, by real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis. The results showed that FOXD2-AS1 expression was significantly upregulated in 21 out of 24 tumor tissues comparing with their adjacent normal tissues (Fig. 1g, h), and ratcheted up in later stage cancer (Fig. 1i).

Since all of the 14 patients with advance laryngeal cancer (stages III and IV) were treated with cisplatin-based chemotherapy, we separated them into two groups according patients’ relapse status. An increased expression level of FOXD2-AS1 was observed in relapse group (Fig. 1j), patients in which group were considered to be resistant to chemotherapy, suggesting that FOXD2-AS1 upregulated might confer chemoresistance of LSCC patients.

Next, we verified the coding potential of FOXD2-AS1 using a protein-coding potential assessment tool. The results showed that FOXD2-AS1 does not contained a protein-coding open reading frame that is longer than 300 nt, suggesting that the coding probability of FOXD2-AS1 is low. Then, full-length FOXD2-AS1 cDNA was cloned into pcDNA3.1 with a C-terminal Flag tag (FOXD2-AS1-Flag), according to the sequence provided by the nucleotide database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/), while glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) with a C-terminal Flag tag (GAPDH-Flag) was used as positive control. Western blotting assay showed that Flag-tagged GAPDH could be detected in GAPDH-Flag transiently transfected 293Ft cells, whereas the FOXD2-AS1-Flag group did not show expression of a Flag-tagged protein (Supplementary Fig. 1b). Collectively, these observations confirmed that FOXD2-AS1 had no coding capability, which was consistent with previous studies.

FOXD2-AS1 promoted chemotherapeutic resistance of LSCC

To determine the role of FOXD2-AS1 in promoting drug resistance in LSCC, we stably expressed or knocked down FOXD2-AS1 in laryngeal cancer cell lines to perform gain- and loss-of-function experiments in these cells, respectively. A quantitative PCR assay was used to
confirm the efficiencies of overexpression and interference for FOXD2-AS1 in Hep-2 and Tu-212 cells (Fig. 2a). Next, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide and colony formation assays were used to explore the sensitivity to cisplatin of the two laryngeal cancer cell lines. The results showed that FOXD2-AS1-overexpressing Hep-2 and Tu-212 cells were less sensitive to cisplatin (Fig. 2b, c). In contrast, knockdown of FOXD2-AS1 increased the cells’ sensitivity to cisplatin (Fig. 2b, c). We then subcutaneously inoculated BALB/c nude mice with control Hep-2 cells, Hep-2 cells that overexpressed FOXD2-AS1 and cells expressing...
FOXD2-AS1-targeting shRNA#1, respectively. When the tumor volume reached roughly 100 mm$^3$, mice were administrated with cisplatin (5 mg/kg). The results showed that increased FOXD2-AS1 facilitated the growth of Hep-2 cells in response to chemotherapy (Fig. 3a–c). By contrast, FOXD2-AS1 silencing using shRNAs rescued the chemotherapeutic sensitivity of the Hep-2 cells, resulting decreased tumor volumes and better survival of the mice (Fig. 3a–c). Moreover, immunohistochemistry (IHC) analysis and terminal deoxynulceotidyl transferase nick-end-labeling (TUNEL) staining of harvested xenografts revealed that upregulation of FOXD2-AS1 increased laryngeal cancer cell proliferation, and significantly decreased cell apoptosis level in LSCC (Fig. 3d, e). Thus, these findings indicated that upregulation of FOXD2-AS1 enhanced chemotherapy resistance in LSCC.

**FOXD2-AS1 was essential for stem cell-like properties of LSCC cells**

Cancer stemness, which is determined by the proportion of CSCs in tumors, is responsible for the development of resistance to chemotherapy. To further reveal the relationship between IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 and LSCC progression, we performed several experiments to assess the stem cell-like properties of LSCC cells. Intriguingly, we found that upregulation of FOXD2-AS1 dramatically increased the size and number of spheres among Hep-2 cells, while knockdown of FOXD2-AS1 suppressed sphere
formation (Fig. 4a). Increased side population (SP) efflux properties are linked to tumor stem-like cells; therefore, we applied a flow cytometry SP discrimination assay on laryngeal cancer cells to visualize SP cells as a dim tail of events with decreased fluorescence in two Hoechst channels. As shown in Fig. 4b, flow cytometry analyses revealed the presence of SP cells in parental Hep-2 and Tu-212 cells, and in FOXD2-AS1-overexpressing Hep-2 and Tu-212 cells. The percentage of SP cells in FOXD2-AS1-overexpressing Hep-2 and Tu-212 cells was larger than that in the parental cells. CD133 expression has been demonstrated as a marker of CSC-like populations in many tumors, including laryngeal cancer; therefore, the effect of FOXD2-AS1 on the CD133-positive cell population of LSCC was detected using immunofluorescence. The analysis showed that FOXD2-AS1 overexpression induced the CD133-positive cell population in LSCC cells, while FOXD2-AS1 downregulation showed the opposite effect (Fig. 4c). Consistently, octamer-binding protein 4 (OCT4), SRY-box 2 (SOX2), and nanog homeobox (NANOG), which are transcription factors that are upregulated in various types of cancer and are involved in cancer stemness maintenance, were upregulated at both the mRNA and protein levels in FOXD2-AS1-overexpressing LSCC cells, but were downregulated in FOXD2-AS1-silenced cells, compared with those in the control (Fig. 4d, e). These results suggested that FOXD2-AS1 is required for the maintenance of the stem cell-like properties of LSCC cells.

**FOXD2-AS1 bound to STAT3 and augmented its transcriptional activity**

Next, we investigated the precise mechanism of FOXD2-AS1 in regulating cancer stemness. The subcellular localization of the lncRNA could provide insights into its functions; therefore, we browsed the annotations of FOXD2-AS1 at the LncATLAS webserver (http://lncatlas.crg.eu/). The online data indicated that FOXD2-AS1 is primarily located in the nucleus of several types of cells (Fig. 5a). We then detected the cellular distribution of FOXD2-AS1 in Hep-2 and Tu-212 cells by performing quantitative real-time reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis in cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions of cells. The percentages of FOXD2-AS1 distribution in cytoplasm and nucleus were shown in Fig. 5b, which revealed that FOXD2-AS1 is expressed and distributed predominantly in the nucleus of these cells. Next, the mRNA expression of FOXD2 (encoding forkhead box D2),
Fig. 4 Upregulation of FOXD2-AS1 increased CSC-like potential in laryngeal cancer. 

**a** Representative micrographs and quantification of tumor spheres formed by indicated Hep-2 and Tu-212 cells. Histograms showed the mean number of spheres formed by the indicated cells. 

**b, c** Flow cytometry analyses showed proportion of side population (SP) and CD133+ population in laryngeal cancer cells. 

**d** Real-time PCR analysis of OCT4, SOX2, and NANOG expression in FOXD2-AS1-overexpressing and -silencing cells. Expression levels were normalized to GAPDH. 

**e** Western blot analysis of OCT4, SOX2, and NANOG expression in indicated cells. GAPDH was used as a loading control. *P* < 0.05, **P** < 0.01, and ***P*** < 0.001.
which is the neighboring protein-coding gene to FOXD2-AS1, was verified in FOXD2-AS1-overexpressing or -silenced cells. Results showed that the FOXD2 mRNA levels did not change in response to alterations in FOXD2-AS1 expression (Fig. 5c), suggesting FOXD2-AS1 would not act as a cis-regulator in laryngeal cancer. Recent studies have reported that nuclear lncRNAs can execute their cellular functions by acting as an RNA scaffold.23
We hypothesized that nuclear FOXD2-AS1 may bind to cancer stemness regulators directly to either stabilize or activate them.

Previous studies indicated that STAT3 plays an important role in the EMT and self-renewal of laryngeal CSCs, acting as a mediator that transduce intracellular and extracellular signals to the nucleus.24–26 Interestingly, we found that upregulation of FOXD2-AS1 significantly induced STAT3 reporter activity, while it had no effect on TCF/LEF or Notch reporter activity, which reflect the activation level of Wnt signaling and Notch signaling, two important signaling pathways in cancer stemness regulation (Fig. 5d, Supplementary Fig. 2a, b). Consistently, the mRNA expression levels of STAT3 downstream target genes MYC, BIRC5, and MCL1 were significantly increased in FOXD2-AS1-overexpressing cells (Fig. 5e). Therefore, we sought to clarify the relationship between FOXD2-AS1 and STAT3 signaling. Intriguingly, the results of western blotting shown that the levels of phosphorylated STAT3 (p-STAT3) and total STAT3 were not change by FOXD2-AS1 in Hep-2 cells (Fig. 5f), and the mRNA levels of STAT3 were consistent in FOXD2-AS1-overexpressing Hep-2 and vector cells (Supplementary Fig. 2c). We then performed RNA pull-down and western blotting assay to verify the RNA–protein interaction between FOXD2-AS1 and STAT3. As shown in Fig. 5g, STAT3, along with protein arginine methyltransferase-5 (PRMT5), a key transcriptional cofactor of STAT3 that can improve its transcriptional activity to downstream products of pre-mRNA splicing.27,28 Many lncRNAs have been reported to exert a regulatory role in tumor progression. Importantly, dysregulated lncRNAs have also been linked to therapeutic resistance of breast cancer,29 lung cancer,30 glioma,31 esophageal cancer,32 and other carcinomas.33 Our results clearly demonstrated that upregulation of FOXD2-AS1 was a frequent oncogenic event in LSCC, contributing to the reduced sensitivity of LSCC to chemotherapy. Furthermore, gain- and loss-of-function studies showed that upregulated FOXD2-AS1 maintained cancer stemness in LSCC and promoted the cells’ resistance to cisplatin treatment. Therefore, our clinical and functional studies strongly supported an oncogenic role of FOXD2-AS1 in chemotherapy resistance.

Moreover, stattic treatment enhanced the chemotherapy toxicity of cisplatin to LSCC cells in vitro (Fig. 6c, d) and in vivo (Fig. 6e–g, Supplementary Fig. 3a), which could not be rescued by upregulating FOXD2-AS1. Taken together, the results showed that FOXD2-AS1 was involved in cancer stemness maintenance and chemoresistance promotion in a manner that is strongly dependent on STAT3.

**Discussion**

In the present study, we identified a novel lncRNA, FOXD2-AS1, as the important mediator of cancer stemness maintenance and chemoresistance promotion in LSCC. A higher expression of FOXD2-AS1 correlated positively with a worse response to chemotherapy by patents with LSCC. Further mechanistic study revealed that upregulated FOXD2-AS1 acted as a scaffold for STAT3 and PRMT5, resulting in increased transcriptional activity of STAT3, which contributed to stem cell-like properties of LSCC cells. Furthermore, we showed that inhibiting FOXD2-AS1 using targeted shRNAs in LSCC cells could recover the chemotherapy sensitivity of tumor cells. Therefore, our results suggested the importance of lncRNA FOXD2-AS1 as a novel therapeutic target in LSCC.

Increasing evidence shows that IncRNAs are crucial in diverse pathological contexts, rather than being the “junk” products of pre-mRNA splicing. Many lncRNAs have been reported to exert a regulatory role in tumor progression. Importantly, dysregulated lncRNAs have also been linked to therapeutic resistance of breast cancer,29,30 lung cancer,30 glioma,31 esophageal cancer,32 and other carcinomas.33 Our results clearly demonstrated that upregulation of FOXD2-AS1 was a frequent oncogenic event in LSCC, contributing to the reduced sensitivity of LSCC to chemotherapy. Furthermore, gain- and loss-of-function studies showed that upregulated FOXD2-AS1 maintained cancer stemness in LSCC and promoted the cells’ resistance to cisplatin treatment. Therefore, our clinical and functional studies strongly supported an oncogenic role of FOXD2-AS1 in chemotherapy resistance.

Recently, the characteristic molecular functions of IncRNAs have been identified, including acting as signals, decoys, guides, and scaffolds. These archetypal functions of IncRNAs could represent a useful framework to consider how IncRNAs acquire properties as biological signal transducers, which are determined by their subcellular locations. However, only a few IncRNAs have been reported to play roles in LSCC and most of these studies lacked convincing experimental support for the underlying mechanisms. To better understand the regulatory mechanisms of FOXD2-AS1 in LSCC chemotherapy resistance, we showed that: (i) FOXD2-AS1 is predominantly located in the nuclei of LSCC cells; (ii)
FOX2-AS1 does not act as a cis-regulator of neighbor genes; (iii) FOX2-AS1 can bind to with STAT3; and (iv) FOX2-AS1 is required for the interaction between STAT3 and PRMT5, and is involved in regulating the transcriptional activity of STAT3. According to these experimental data, we proposed that FOX2-AS1...
functions as a scaffold for certain proteins, such as STAT3, and contributes to signal transduction in LSCC. The excessive activation of STAT3 signaling has been observed in many types of cancer, and is considered as one of the most frequent impact factors in cancer stemness maintenance. When the STAT3 signaling pathway is activated, STAT3 is phosphorylated by receptor-associated kinases and subsequently translocates to the cell nucleus where it activates the transcription of target genes that regulate cell stemness. Although multiple mediators, including interleukin-6, G-protein-coupled receptors, Toll-like receptors, and microRNAs, were identified to regulate STAT3 signaling in cancer, we have a limited understanding of the upstream regulatory mechanisms of STAT3 in LSCC. The results of the present study revealed that FOXD2-AS1-promoted cancer stemness of LSCC cells relies on STAT3 transcriptional activation. The positive correlation between the expression level of FOXD2-AS1 and STAT3 signaling activity supported the hypothesis that the FOXD2-AS1-STAT3 axis plays a critical regulatory role in the maintenance of the CSC proportion in LSCC. Thus, we demonstrated that nuclear-localized FOXD2-AS1 directly bound to STAT3 in LSCC cells, which activated the STAT3 signaling pathway, and upregulated the levels of stemness-associated factors, including NANOG, SOX2, and OCT4. Moreover, previous studies showed that STAT3 could regulate gene expression through epigenetic mechanisms. Our results showed that FOXD2-AS1 served as scaffold to maintain the binding of STAT3 to PRMT5, an epigenetic regulator that is essential for transcriptional regulation by promoting the methylation of the guanidino nitrogen of arginyl residues present in histones. Collectively, these data suggested that FOXD2-AS1 is a regulator of STAT3 that functions to control the maintenance of cancer stemness and chemotherapy resistance in LSCC.

In summary, this study provided compelling evidence that lncRNA FOXD2-AS1 is a mediator of LSCC chemotherapeutic resistance promotion. FOXD2-AS1 functions by activating the STAT3 signaling pathway, thus increasing the expression of stemness-associated factors to maintain the CSC proportion in LSCC, which is illustrated in Fig. 7. Our findings offer a novel therapeutic target, FOXD2-AS1, to improve the current treatment status of human LSCC.

**Materials and methods**

**Cell culture**

Human LSCC cell line Hep2 was obtained from CoBioer (Nanjing), and the TU-212 cell line was obtained from XINYU BIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. (Shanghai). Both of the two cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Hyclone, South Logan, UT) and maintained in an incubator at 37°C with 5% CO2 and 100% humidity with the medium changed every other day.

**Patients and tissue specimens**

Twenty-four cases of fresh laryngeal squamous cancer tissues and adjacent normal tissues were collected for this study, including nine chemotherapeutic resistant tissues from patients that had relapse after receiving chemotherapy, and five chemotherapeutic sensitive tissues from non-relapse patients, which were frozen and stored in liquid nitrogen until required. No samples were excluded in this study. Prior patients’ consents and approval from the Institutional Research Ethics Committee were obtained for the use of these clinical specimens in research.

**RNA purification and real-time quantitative PCR validation**

Total RNA was extracted from cultured cells or tissues using the TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. One microgram of extracted RNA from each sample was used for cDNA synthesis with HiScript III 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Vazyme, Nanjing, China). cDNAs were amplified and quantified by TB Green® Premix Ex Taq™ II (Takara, Japan) in CFX96 Real Time System C1000 Cycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Singapore). Expression data were normalized to the housekeeping gene GAPDH and calculated as $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_{t}}$, where $C_{t}$ represents the threshold cycle for each transcript. Primer sequences were listed in the Supplementary Table 1.
experiments were carried out at least twice with three or more technical triplicates for each.

Plasmids, virus constructs, and retroviral infection

Human FOXD2-AS1 (NR_026878.1), FOXD2-AS1-Flag, GAPDH (NM_002046.7), and GAPDH-Flag cDNAs were PCR amplified and cloned into the pMSCV-puro retro vector (Clontech, Beijing, China). Two shRNAs against FOXD2-AS1 in pLKO.1-puro vector were purchased from Transheep Bio (Shanghai, China). pMSCV-IRES-GFP II (pMIG II) was a gift from Dario Vignali (Addgene plasmid #52107). Transfections of above plasmids were performed by the Lipofectamine 3000 reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A total of 2 × 10^5 cells were seeded and infected by retrovirus generated by pMSCV-puro cDNAs or lentivirus generated by pLKO.1-puro-shRNAs for 72 h. The stable cell lines were selected with 0.5 μg/mL puromycin and 250 μg/mL G418 for 7 days.

Western blot

Cells or tissues were harvested in sampling buffer [62.5 mmol/L Tris-HCl (pH 6.8), 10% glycerol, 2% and sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)]. Protein concentration was determined with the bicinchoninic acid Protein Assay Kit (Pierce, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Equal quantities of protein were separated electrophoretically on 10% SDS/polyacrylamide gels (PAGs) and transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (Roche). The PVDF membrane was hybridized with the following primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C: anti-OCT4 (1:1000, #75463, CST), anti-NANOG (1:2000, #4903, CST), anti-SOX2 (1:1000, #4900, CST), anti-GAPDH (1:1000, #5174, CST), anti-STAT3 (1:1000, #9139, CST), anti-phosphorylated-STAT3 (1:1000, #9145, CST), and anti-PRMT5 (1:1000, #79998, CST). Secondary antibodies: goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G (1:2000, Pierce, USA), and goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin G (1:2000, Pierce, USA) were used in this study. Protein expression was detected by enhanced chemiluminescence (Pierce) according to the manufacturer’s suggested protocols. All experiments were carried out at least twice with three or more technical triplicates for each.

Sphere formation and colony formation assays

For examining spheroid formation potentials, a total of 1000 cells were suspended in sphere culture medium (SCM) (containing DMEM/F12 serum-free medium (Invitrogen), 2% B-27 Supplement (Invitrogen), 20 ng/ml basal fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) (PeproTech, Rocky Hill, NJ, USA), 20 ng/ml epidermal growth factor (EGF) (PeproTech), 0.4% bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Sigma-Aldrich), and 5 mg/ml insulin (SigmaAldrich)) for 10 days and the number of spheroids larger than 50 μm were counted. For colony formation assay, cells were planted in six-well plates (in triplicate at 200 cells per well), and cultured with complete medium for 10 days. After most of the colonies had expanded to >50 cells, they were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), fixed in methanol for 15 min, and dyed with crystal violet for 15 min at room temperature. After washing out the dye and drying, the plates were photographed. The software Image J was used to quantify the colonies objectively.

SP discrimination assay

Cells were analyzed by FACS during logarithmic growth phase (24 h after replanting). Cells were digested with 0.25% trypsin (Sigma-Aldrich), washed twice with calcium/magnesium-free PBS, resuspended in fresh DMEM.
(supplemented with 2% FBS) at a concentration of $1 \times 10^6$ cells/mL. The DNA binding dye, Hoechst 33342 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), was then added at a final concentration of 5 µg/mL and the samples were incubated in the dark for 45 min with periodic mixing. The cells were then washed twice with ice-cold PBS, and kept at 4 °C in dark prior to sorting by a MoFlo XDP (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA). Because Hoechst 33342 extrudes from cells treated with verapamil (a calcium ion tunnel antagonist)-sensitive ABC transporters, a subset of the cells were incubated with 50 µmol/L verapamil for 30 min at 37 °C before the addition of Hoechst 33342 to determine whether this would block the fluorescent efflux of SP cells in the samples.

**Immunoprecipitation**

A total of $1 \times 10^6$ cells were harvested by 1 mL lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, and 50 mM Tris–HCl [pH = 7.5]) in the presence of protease inhibitor (Roche) and phosphatase inhibitor (Sigma). The anti-STAT3 antibody (2.5 µg/reaction), anti-PRMT5 antibody (2.5 µg/reaction), and corresponding IgG control (2.5 µg/reaction, sc-2025, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was added to lysates and samples were incubated at 4 °C overnight before adding 40 µL Protein-G Dynabeads (Invitrogen) for 1 h at 4 °C. The IP samples were washed by wash buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl (pH = 7.5), 150 mM NaCl 0.1% Triton-x100, and 10% glycerol) three times before electrophoresis.

**Tumor formation in an animal model**

The animal studies were approved by the Ethics Committee, and all the experiments conform to the relevant regulatory standards. Nude mice were purchased from the Shanghai Slac Laboratory Animal Co. Ltd and maintained in microisolator cages. All animals were used in accordance with institutional guidelines and the current experiments were approved by the Use Committee for Animal Care. In the tumor model, male BALB/c nude mice (5-week old, 15–18 g) were randomly divided into four groups ($n = 6$ mice/group). The indicated cells (Hep-2/Vector cells [$1 \times 10^6$], Hep-2/FOXD2-AS1 cells [$1 \times 10^6$], Hep-2/Ri #Vector cells [$1 \times 10^6$], or Hep-2/Ri #1 cells [$1 \times 10^6$]) were implanted subcutaneously into the nude mice. After the volume of xenograft tumors reached ~100 mm$^3$, mice were treated with intraperitoneal injection of cisplatin (10 mg/kg) three times per week (as per cycle), for up to 6 weeks. Tumor volumes were detected weekly in a blinded fashion. Upon experimental end point, animals were euthanized, tumors were excised, weighed, paraffin-embedded, and sectioned for IHC and hematoxylin–eosin staining.

**Statistical analysis**

Independent sample $t$-tests were performed to compare continuous variables between two groups, and a $\chi^2$ test was applied for comparison of dichotomous variables. Values of $P < 0.05$ were considered significant. The data are presented as the mean ± SD or else described in figure legends. For animal studies, no statistical method was used to predetermine sample size. The experiments were not randomized.
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