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Abstract
This study investigated the effect of Ethnophysics – Based Instruction on students’ academic performance and attitude towards Density, Forces and Heat Transfer at Mufulira College of Education, Mufulira District, Copperbelt Province of Zambia. The study employed a quasi-experimental: pre-test – post-test control group design on 156 purposively sampled students. The research questions were answered using descriptive statistics. The hypotheses on both academic performance and attitude were tested using two sample t-test statistic at 0.05 level of significance. The study found that the experimental group performed better than the control group t(154) = 6.06; p < 0.05. It also found that Ethnophysics – Based Instruction which was used to teach the experimental group enhanced the attitude better than the conventional method which was used to teach the control group t(154) = 7.49; p < 0.05. Lastly the study revealed that Ethnophysics – Based Instruction is a gender friendly teaching approach when teaching Density, Forces and Heat Transfer to students t(69) = - 0.68; p > 0.05. The study concludes that this instructional approach is a viable innovation for the enhancement of students’ academic performance and attitude towards the mentioned three topics and that it is not gender biased. The study recommends that lecturers should use Ethnophysics - Based-Instructions in their teaching. Physics courses in pre-service teacher training should be taught using Ethnophysics - Based Instruction. At the in-service level, seminars and workshops should be organized to educate practicing lecturers on how to use Ethnophysics - Based Instruction in teaching.
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1. Introduction
This study focused on Physics at college level. Physics is a branch of science that studies the natural symptoms and interactions (Navika and Fajar, 2016) whose role in society cannot be overemphasized. This subject has attracted a lot of research because it has from time immemorial to date recorded poorest enrolments, it has suffered negative attitude from students and it has been the poorly performed subject among the sciences worldwide, in Africa and in Zambia (Kola, 2014; Guido, 2013; Maguswi, 2011) respectively. These researchers have found several reasons such as learners’ failure to interact meaningfully with alien, imported and imposed learning materials and environments, learners’ negative attitude towards Physics, and largely, the ineffective instructional strategies employed by educators being responsible for the persistent poor performance in Physics at all levels. Additionally, the Zambia’s Seventh National Development Plan 2017 – 2021 indicates that one of the major challenges the education system faces in Zambia is low quality of education as evidenced by low performance.

1.1 Statement of the Problem
The performance in Physics and attitude towards Physics at Mufulira College of Education are no better than elsewhere because Physics at diploma level recorded highest numbers of candidates failing. Table 1 shows the comparison of failure rate among Biology, Chemistry and Physics for the years 2012, 2015 and 2018.
Table 1: Number of candidates who failed Physics compared to those who failed Biology and Chemistry at diploma level.

| YEAR | 2012 | 2015 | 2018 |
|------|------|------|------|
| **CANDIDATES** |      |      |      |
| M: 118 | F: 89 | M: 105 | F: 82 | M: 122 | F: 71 |
| **NUMBER** | BIO | CHE | PHY | BIO | CHE | PHY | BIO | CHE | PHY |
| 20 | 40 | 71 | 6 | 12 | 26 | 1 | 16 | 44 |
| **FAILED** | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
| 13 | 7 | 29 | 11 | 15 | 56 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 7 |
| 19 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 7 | 18 | 26 |

Source: (College Secretariat).

Key for Table 1: M = Male, F = Female, BIO = Biology, CHE = Chemistry, PHY = Physics.

Kola (2014), Abonyi et al (2014) and Maguswi (2011) cited in Kola (2014) note that Physics is generally an underachieved course everywhere from time immemorial. They have indicated that Europe has a relatively better standing while Africa is the poorest performing continent in Physics. They have reported that there is low enrolment in physics and that girls’ performance is below that of boys’. Adesoji (2008) and Guido (2013) have established that a significant relationship exists between attitude and method of instruction and also between attitude and performance and it is possible to predict performance from attitude scores. Hence, performance and attitude can be attributed to the teaching approach used. Simply put, poor performance, negative attitude and low enrolment levels are a reflection of how effective/defective teaching methods used are in the teaching of Physics at all levels of learning. Students do not learn because there is a gap in today’s education system between how students live and what they learn and how they learn (Halubova, 2008). The Teacher Education Diploma Syllabus for Physics in Zambia lacks a method which considers learners’ culture as prior knowledge for learning school physics as seen from the list of suggested teaching methods. To this effect, it is wise to recognize and implement counsel given in Ministry of General Education Bulletin (2017) that if a learner cannot learn the way we teach, maybe we should teach the way they learn. It can therefore be said that poor performance in Physics is mainly attributed to instructional approaches employed in teaching Physics to learners. It is very clear that Ethnophysics-Based Instruction has no recognition and place in both documents guiding Teacher Education in Zambia and in classroom practice as a classroom instructional method for the purpose of creating fertile ground for good performance and positive attitude formation but as a compensatory measure.

The researcher did not come across literature in Zambia on the use of Ethnophysics-Based Instruction in formal Physics teaching to improve performance and positive attitude formation towards Physics except Musonda (2013) who studied ethnophysics in terms of energy content in indigenous trees as a source of energy in Kafironda forest in Mufulira District, Zambia.

The repercussion of not changing physics teaching approach is that Physics teachers will be difficult to find and so teacher – pupil ratios in schools and colleges of education will remain unpleasant. All Physics dependent advancements will remain backwards as the economy of Zambia continues dwindling burying hopes for realization of benefits of national development plans for Zambia as physics is at the heart of technology which in turn is the driver of the economy and development.

It is against this background that the researcher examined the effect of Ethnophysics-Based Instruction on students’ academic performance and attitude towards density, forces and heat transfer in college Physics.

1.2 Scope of the study

This study was designed for students at Mufulira College of Education pursuing a diploma in science. It focused on examining the effect of Ethnophysics-Based Instruction on their academic performance and attitude towards Density, Forces and Heat Transfer in College Physics. It further sought to establish its effect on students’ attitude basing on gender. The following Research Questions and Null Hypotheses were formulated to guide the study:

1. What is the statistical difference between the academic performance mean scores of students taught Density, Forces and Heat Transfer using Ethnophysics-Based Instruction and those taught using conventional method?

2. What is the statistical difference in mean attitude scores between students taught Density, Forces and Heat Transfer using Ethnophysics-Based Instruction and those taught using conventional method?

3. What is the statistical difference in mean attitude scores between male and female students taught Density, Forces and Heat Transfer using Ethnophysics-Based Instruction?

**H0:** There is no statistically significant difference in academic performance mean scores between students taught Density, Forces and Heat Transfer using Ethnophysics-Based Instruction and those taught using conventional method.
1.3 Theoretical and methodological framework

This study is rooted in both Haskell’s (2001) transfer of learning and Ausubel’s (1972) subsumption theory of meaningful learning. Haskell stated that all learning is transfer of learning, which is to say learning takes place in an analogue. Learners can only learn school Physics well and with minimal barriers if their indigenous knowledge is part of school physics instruction. In a similar manner, Ugwu and Diovu (2016) submitted that Ausubel emphasized the role of the existing cognitive structure or advance organisers in subsuming newly introduced concepts to be learnt. According to subsumption theory of meaningful learning, learning occurs when there is interaction between the learner’s appropriate knowledge that already exists in the cognitive structure and the new material to be learnt which undergo change and growth. Here ethno-physics knowledge, artefacts and practices form the learners’ knowledge structure while school physics is the new material to be learned bridged by Ethnophysics - Based Instruction manifested through academic performance and attitude.

The study adopted the critical (emancipatory and transformative) paradigm in instrumentation, data collection and data analysis which allows the use of mixed methods of quantitative and qualitative research. This paradigm aims at destroying myths and empower people to change society radically with a steady focus on critical praxis using multiple realities shaped by social, political, cultural, economic, race, ethnic, gender and disability values where all science must begin with a value position; however, some positions are right, some are wrong.

2. Literature Review

Ethnophysics is mostly found in African/non-European literature because it is the African/indigenous Knowledge which has Physics concepts and principles which Abonyi, (1999) cited in Nwosu and Ibe, (2017) define it as materials, ideas and beliefs from the African environment and technology while to Heckenberger (2005), ethnophysics refers to indigenous systems of knowledge that incorporate elements of what, in Western societies, are called mathematics, engineering, astronomy and calendric. Ethnophysics describes the peoples, environment and cultures (Lawrence, 2017). Hinging physics instruction on ethnophysics and as a tool, gives Ethnophysics - Based Instruction an approached which was used in this study.

Shumba (2017) observes that the curriculum is not adequately contextualised and largely lacks inclusivity with respect to local examples and cultures that may inform pedagogy. Other researchers with similar observation are: (Halubova, 2008; Guido, 2013; Ugwu & Diovu, 2016; Nwosu and Ibe, 2017 and Abonyi et al, 2014).

Adams (1983) noted that nobody has monopoly of truth adding that there is no correct way of knowing; there are ways of knowing. As early as 1938 Raum (1938:4-5) as cited in Naidoo (2010), education cannot be truly effective until it is intelligently based on the indigenous culture and living interests. Learning can be more effective provided it starts from cultural or cognitive experiences of students (Masingila, 1993). Until definitions of science become more harmonious with personal values, physics will continue to be unappealing to many students (Michie, 1999:8). If it remains unappealing to many students, their performance in physics will continue to be poor. And Malcolm (2001:10) said, the science (Physics) of the curriculum should serve to support needs of all students ranging from those who see themselves as potential scientists to those who would prefer to remain in the rural villages, close to traditional belief systems. In view of all the above recognition of culture in Physics teaching and learning, Naidoo (2010) puts it that since schools exist as agencies for the transfer of the culture of the society from one generation to the next, a good deal of what is taught and how it is taught in school physics should be decided by reference to the culture of that society. Therefore, the curriculum should acknowledge the role of indigenous knowledge in learning school physics as informed by these research works. According to Abonyi (2014), any assumption that an African learner can easily be adjusted to a drastic change without creating a suitable link is bound to fail. For this learner to adapt to a new field of knowledge, the gap between him – his culture and the new field of knowledge has to be bridged.

Several researchers have integrated ethnophysics in physics instruction and none of them has reported negative effects the integration in academic performance and attitude. Students had better academic performance and attitude than their counterparts who learnt same concepts using other teaching approaches (Nashon and Anderson 2013; Savelsbergh’s et al, 2016; Nwosu & Ibe, 2017; Achor, Imoko, & Uloko, 2009 and Peni, 2015).

3. Methodology and Procedures

The study employed a quasi-experimental design of non-equivalent groups. More precisely, a pre-test – post-test control group design in which mixed methods of qualitative and quantitative approaches in data collection and
analysis were used in line with the critical paradigm used for this study. This design was adopted because the college had two classes of first year diploma Physics students where the selected topics were taught. The classes were Class A and Class B. Purposive sampling of the classes was used in this study to draw research participants. This helped in minimizing treatment diffusion as members of these classes were new to one another. Additionally, class A was a morning class while class B was an afternoon class. Random assignment was used to assign the two classes to experimental and control groups by toss of a coin. The population of Mufulira College of Education was 1718 students. The target population in this study was 358 Physics students. The sample for this study was 156 students: 71 students from class A (experimental group) taught Density, Forces and Heat Transfer using EBI (Treatment) and 85 students from class B (control group) taught the same topics using Conventional Method. The purposive choice of Density, Forces and Heat Transfer for this study came as noted that these topics are threshold concepts in Physics and that they are reported by both learners and teachers that they are difficult (Kiptum, 2015; Pen, 2015 and Ogunkola & Samuel 2011). Additionally, they have been found to be commonly practiced in many Zambian societies but with a lot of misconceptions such as size is proportional to density, force taken as power and that cold moves from one point to another.

Two instruments were used to collect data: Achievement Test and Attitude Questionnaire. All the data were analysed quantitatively as permitted by Harry and Deborah (2012) that Likert Type items can be analysed using two – sample t – test.

All three research questions were answered by descriptive statistics of the mean and standard deviation. Similarly, all three null hypotheses were tested using two sample t-test statistic on SPSS at alpha = 0.05. This is because Gail and Anthony (2013) have permitted that parametric tests tend to give the right answers even when statistical assumptions such as normality are violated even to an extreme degree.

4. Results

Two types of data were collected:
1. Pre-test and post-test of students’ scores in ATs on density, forces and heat transfer.
2. Pre-test and post-test scores of students’ attitude towards density, forces and heat transfer in college physics.

Research Question 1: What is the statistical difference between the academic performance mean scores of students taught Density, Forces and Heat Transfer using Ethnophysics-Based Instruction and those taught using conventional method?

Table 7 and table 8 show means, standard deviations and the standard error means in both pre-test and post-test.

**Table 2: Pre – Test Comparison of means and standard deviations between Experimental and Control groups in Achievement Test (n = 156)**

| GROUP               | N | Mean  | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean |
|---------------------|---|-------|----------------|-----------------|
| Pre-test scores on Achievement Test | Experimental | 71 | 11.82 | 3.21 | 0.38 |
| Control             | 85 | 12.73 | 3.17          | 0.34            |

**Table 3: Post-Test Comparison of means and standard deviations between Experimental and Control groups in Achievement Test (n = 156)**

| GROUP               | N | Mean  | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean |
|---------------------|---|-------|----------------|-----------------|
| Post-test scores on Achievement Test | Experimental | 71 | 21.42 | 3.53 | 0.42 |
| Control             | 85 | 18.14 | 3.23          | 0.35            |

Results of table 7 and table 8 show means of the pre-test and post-test of the experimental group and the control group for this study. The experimental group’s means were 11.82 and 21.42 in pre-test and post-test.
respectively. The gain was 9.60. Its standard deviations in both pre-test and post-test stood at 3.21 and 3.53 respectively. The control group recorded 12.73 as mean score in pre-test and 18.14 mean score in post-test, giving a gain of 5.41. The control group’s standard deviations were 3.17 and 3.22. These results show that the experimental group was outperformed by the control group by 0.91 in the pre-test. However, the control group was outperformed in the post-test by 3.28. The 9.60 gain in the experimental group against 5.41 gain in the control group could indicate the potential which Ethnophysics – Based Instruction has in improving academic achievement. The 3.28 mean difference in the post-test against 0.91 mean difference in the pre-test reveals that Ethnophysics – Based Instruction (treatment) had a greater positive effect on enhancing students’ academic performance.

**Research Question 2:** What is the statistical difference in mean attitude scores between students taught Density, Forces and Heat Transfer using Ethnophysics-Based Instruction and those taught using conventional method?

### Table 4: Post-Test Comparison of means and standard deviations between Experimental and Control groups in Achievement Test (n = 156)

| GROUP         | N  | Mean   | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean |
|---------------|----|--------|----------------|-----------------|
| **Pre-test scores on Attitude** | | | | |
| Experimental  | 71 | 24.80  | 4.93           | 0.59            |
| Control       | 85 | 25.14  | 4.33           | 0.47            |

### Table 5: Post-Test Comparison of means and standard deviations between Experimental and Control groups in Attitude scores (n = 156).

| GROUP         | N  | Mean   | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean |
|---------------|----|--------|----------------|-----------------|
| **Post-test scores on Attitude** | | | | |
| Experimental  | 71 | 40.48  | 4.87           | 0.51            |
| Control       | 85 | 34.96  | 4.81           | 0.52            |

Table 9 and table 10 show that the experimental group recorded mean attitudes of students for both pre-test and post-test as 24.80 (SD = 4.93) and 40.48 (SD = 4.29) producing a gain of 15.68 while control group recoded 25.14 (SD = 4.33) and 34.96 (SD = 4.81) with 9.82 as gain respectively. In the pre-test, the groups’ means differed by 0.34 in favour of the control group. On the contrary, the groups’ means were separated by 5.52 with the experimental group having a higher positive attitude towards Density, Forces and Heat Transfer in college Physics in the post-test. Despite the experimental group having a lower attitude mean score in the pre-test, it produced a higher attitude mean score in the post-test. This appreciably larger experimental group’s gain in the mean attitude score, might be an indication of the Ethnophysics – Based Instruction’s ability to create and strengthen the attitude towards Density, Forces and Heat Transfer in college physics.

**Research Question 3:** What is the statistical difference in mean attitude scores between male and female students taught Density, Forces and Heat Transfer using Ethnophysics-Based Instruction?
Table 6: Pre-Test Comparison of means and standard deviations of attitude scores between male and female students in experimental group (n = 71).

| GENDER          | N  | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean |
|-----------------|----|------|----------------|-----------------|
| Pre-test scores |    |      |                |                 |
| Male students   | 40 | 25.40| 4.82           | 0.76            |
| Female students | 31 | 24.03| 5.03           | 0.90            |

Table 7: Post-test Comparison of means and standard deviations of attitude scores between male and female students in experimental group (n = 71).

| GENDER          | N  | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean |
|-----------------|----|------|----------------|-----------------|
| Post-test scores|    |      |                |                 |
| Male students   | 40 | 40.18| 4.60           | 0.73            |
| Female students | 31 | 40.87| 3.88           | 0.70            |

Results in table 11 and table 12 show that males had means of 25.40 (SD = 4.82) and 40.18 (SD = 4.60) in pre-test and post-test respectively. The gain was 14.78. For females, means stood at 24.03 (SD = 5.030) in the pre-test and 40.87 (SD = 3.88) in the post-test with a gain of 16.84. Both the larger gain and small standard deviation for the female students indicate that Ethnophysics – Based Instruction had a greater effect on their attitude towards Density, Forces and Heat Transfer in College Physics.

Testing Null Hypotheses

The null hypothesis 1 (H₀₁), sought to find out if there was statistically significant difference in the mean academic performance of students taught Density, Forces and Heat Transfer using Ethnophysics – Based Instruction and those taught the same topics using Conventional Method. The null hypothesis 1 is thus stated as below.

H₀₁: There is no statistically significant difference in academic performance mean scores between students taught Density, Forces and Heat Transfer using Ethnophysics-Based Instruction and those taught using conventional method.

To test this null hypothesis, the post-test scores of the achievement test were subjected to two – sample independent t – test. The result is shown in table 13.

Table 8: Independent t-test for post-test scores in the Achievement Test for the experimental group and control group (n = 156)

| GROUPS          | N  | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean | Levene's F | Sig     | df   | t - value | p - value | Remark     |
|-----------------|----|------|----------------|-----------------|------------|---------|------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Post-test scores|    |      |                |                 |            |         |      |           |           |            |
| for Achievement | Experimental | 71 | 21.42 | 3.53 | 0.42 |          |         |      |           |           | Significant |
| Test            | Control         | 85 | 18.14 | 3.23 | 0.35 | 0.71 | 0.40  | 154 | 6.06      | 0.00      | Significant |

Significant at alpha = 0.05.

From table 13, the calculated t₁₅₄ = 6.06; p < 0.05. With this result is significant at alpha = 0.05, the null hypothesis that there is no statistically significant difference in academic performance mean scores between students taught Density, Forces and Heat Transfer using Ethnophysics-Based Instruction and those taught the same topics using Conventional method is rejected and conclude that the alternative hypothesis that there is a statistically significant difference in academic performance mean scores between students taught Density, Forces and Heat Transfer using Ethnophysics-Based Instruction and those taught the same topics using conventional method is supported. This result shows that Ethnophysics – Based Instruction had a greater positive effect on students’ academic performance in the experimental group than in the control group. To determine the magnitude...
of the effect, effect size was calculated using Cohen’s d. The value of Cohen’s d = 0.97 was calculated from the formula:

\[ d = \frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2}{s} \]

Where \( \bar{X}_1 \) is mean for experimental group and \( \bar{X}_2 \) is the mean for control group and \( s \) is pooled standard deviation given by:

\[ s = \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2 + s_2^2}{2}} \]

Where \( s_1 \) is standard deviation of the experimental group and \( s_2 \) is standard deviation of the control group.

According to Cohen (1988), a value of 0.50 and above is considered as large effect. This implies that Cohen’s d = 0.97 indicates that Ethnophysics – Based Instruction produced a very large positive effect in the academic performance of students in this study.

Null hypothesis 2 (H\(_{02}\)) was tested using independent t-test. The hypothesis is:

\[ H_{02}: \text{There is no statistically significant difference in the mean attitude scores between students taught Density, Forces and Heat Transfer using Ethnophysics-Based Instruction and those taught the same topics using Conventional method.} \]

Table 14 shows summary of the test.

| GROUPS                  | N  | Mean | SD   | SEM   | F    | Sig   | df  | t-value | p-value | Remark      |
|-------------------------|----|------|------|-------|------|-------|-----|---------|----------|-------------|
| Post-test scores for Attitude                      |    |      |      |       |      |       |     |         |          |             |
| Experimental            | 71 | 40.48| 4.29 | 0.51  |      |       |     |         |          | Significant |
| Control                 | 85 | 34.96| 4.81 | 0.52  |      |       |     |         |          |             |

Significant at alpha = 0.05.

The results from table 14 reveal that \( t_{154} = 7.49; \ p < 0.05 \). We therefore reject the null hypothesis that there is no statistically significant difference in the mean attitude scores between students taught Density, Forces and Heat Transfer using Ethnophysics-Based Instruction and those taught the same topics using Conventional method and conclude that the alternative hypothesis that there statistically significant difference in the mean attitude scores between students taught Density, Forces and Heat Transfer using Ethnophysics-Based Instruction and those taught using Conventional method is true and tenable at alpha = 0.05 in favor of the experimental group. The effect size of 1.21 was calculated using the formula:

\[ d = \frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2}{s} \]

This value for effect size is large according to Cohen (1988). This means that Ethnophysics – Based Instruction has the potential to re-shape students’ attitude towards Density, Forces and Heat Transfer in College Physics. Therefore, this effect cannot be ignored.

The null hypothesis 3 (H\(_{03}\)) sought to find out if there is a statistically significant difference in mean attitude scores between male and female students taught Density, Forces and Heat Transfer using Ethnophysics-Based Instruction.

\[ H_{03}: \text{There is no statistically significant difference in mean attitude scores between male and female students taught Density, Forces and Heat Transfer using Ethnophysics-Based Instruction.} \]

Table 15 presents the result of the test for null hypothesis 3.

| GENDER         | N  | Mean | SD   | SEM   | F    | Sig   | df  | t-value | p-value | Remark        |
|----------------|----|------|------|-------|------|-------|-----|---------|----------|---------------|
| Post-test scores on Attitude by gender                      |    |      |      |       |      |       |     |         |          |               |
| Male students   | 40 | 40.18| 4.60 | 0.73  |      |       |     |         |          | Non-Significant |
| Female students | 31 | 40.87| 3.88 | 0.70  | 0.74 | 0.39  | 69  | -0.68   | 0.50     |               |

Significant at alpha = 0.05.

Results in table 15 show that \( t_{68} = -0.68; \ p > 0.05 \). Basing on results of table 15, we fail to reject the null hypothesis that there is no statistically significant difference in mean attitude scores between male and female students taught Density, Forces and Heat Transfer using Ethnophysics-Based Instruction.
students taught Density, Forces and Heat Transfer using Ethnophysics-Based Instruction and conclude that the alternative hypothesis that there is a statistically significant difference in mean attitude scores between male and female students taught Density, Forces and Heat Transfer using Ethnophysics-Based Instruction is not tenable. This means that Ethnophysics – Based Instruction is not gender biased. The following are the main findings of this study:

1. Students taught Density, Forces and Heat Transfer using Ethnophysics – Based Instruction performed better than those taught the same topics using Conventional method.
2. Ethnophysics – Based Instruction (EBI) had a statistical significance on academic performance.
3. Ethnophysics – Based Instruction (EBI) had large effect size on the academic performance of students.
4. Ethnophysics – Based Instruction (EBI) had statistical significance on attitude towards Density, Forces and Heat Transfer in College Physics.
5. Ethnophysics – Based Instruction (EBI) had large effect size on the attitude of students towards Density, Forces and Heat Transfer in College Physics.
6. Students taught Density, Forces and Heat Transfer using Ethnophysics – Based Instruction (EBI) developed a larger mean positive attitude score than those taught the same topics using Conventional method.
7. Ethnophysics – Based Instruction (EBI) is not gender biased.

5. Discussion of the Results
The results from testing the null hypothesis one showed that the participants in the experimental group who were taught density, forces and heat transfer using ethnophysics – based instruction performed significantly better than their counterparts in control group who were taught the same topics using conventional method. Effect size of 0.97 as Cohen’s d, implies large effect produced by EthnoPhysics –Based Instruction (EBI) on the performance of the participants in the experimental group. These results show the effectiveness of ethnophysics – based instruction in enhancing students’ academic performance over conventional method. This could be because ethnophysics – based instruction used events, practices, artefacts and ideas which were very familiar to students. Figures 1 to 3 show some of the home practices which were used in EBI.

(Figure 1): Carrying containers of water in both hands (a), Carrying in one hand and children playing a game called “Sojo” (c).

(Figure 2): Carrying hoes (a) and one type of winnowing (b)
The finding of this study is supported by those of Achor, Imoko, & Uloko (2009) who studied the effects of Ethnomathematics Teaching Approach (ETA) on senior secondary students’ performance and retention in Locus. Their findings revealed that students taught Locus using ETA had higher academic performance than those taught using the conventional approach. They argued that the higher performance by the ETA taught group was because the group was able to integrate or link their background/indigenous knowledge to the new concepts they were taught. The finding is also in line with those of Peni (2015) who studied the impact of Ethnoscience-Enriched instruction on attitude, Retention and performance in Basic Science among Rural and Urban students in Kano State, Nigeria. His study showed that the subjects in the experimental groups taught Basic Science concepts using the ethnoscience-enriched-instruction performed significantly better than their counterparts in the control group who were taught same concepts using lecture method. The finding of this study is against Solomon’s (1993) assertion that Science (Physics inclusive) should be taught in laboratories. This study has revealed that it is the approach which might matter most and not merely the place.

The null hypothesis two sought the effect of ethnophysics-based instruction on students’ attitude towards density, forces and heat transfer in college Physics in experimental and control groups. Post-test results in Table 7 have indicated that experimental group had a more relative positive attitude than the control group. The difference in the attitude towards density, forces and heat transfer in college Physics among students exposed to ethnophysics-based instruction and those exposed to conventional method was statistically significant with a
large effect size of 1.21. This effect observed could be attributed to the students’ familiarity with the practices used in experimental group. Learning was funny and enjoyable with a known lived experience and relevance attached to the activities of the experimental group. Students had smiles on their faces and at times they looked surprised to find how scientifically rich the simple practices in their homes were. Some students could not hold back their appreciation of the instructional approach used by writing on the questionnaire how grateful they were even when they were not asked to do so. The other surprising thing was that students were available even when it was an arranged time of meeting them. These findings in this study could be pointing out that EthnoPhysics – Based Instruction (EBI) has an inherent potential to create, change and improve the attitude of students towards density, forces and heat transfer in college Physics. It could be true that cultural practices are held with high esteem by students. As such, a teaching that recognizes way of life of learners rekindles, creates and re-orients attitude. The effect of ethnophysics – based instruction on students’ attitude towards the selected topics in college Physics found in this study is in line with Nashon and Anderson (2013) who found that contextualized teaching made learners form positive attitudes towards Science. This study further confirms what Dana (2006) observed that a person’s attitude is learned not inherited and that such attitudes can be influenced through effective instructional strategies. It also agreed with the positions of Cracker (2006) and Akinbobola & Ikide (2008) who established that a person’s attitudes are learned; as opposed to being inherited thus it can be changed through persuasion using a variety of techniques.

Null hypothesis three sought to find out if there was significant difference in attitudes between male and female students taught density, forces and heat transfer using ethnophysics – based instruction. Table 12 indicates that there was no statistically significant difference in attitude of male and female students and small effect size of 0.16 was obtained. This result could stem from the balance which was there in the treatment. Some activities like playing of a game called ‘sojo’ and carrying logs are done mainly by males in the community while carrying objects on the head without holding and cooking beans lean more to females’ activities. Winnowing and carrying hoes are done by both males and females. In this sense, there was no biasness in the practices used in the experimental group. However, the mean of female students was higher than that of male students. The standard deviations also revealed that female students’ attitude was nearer the mean as it was smaller than that of male students. This is in line with both Peni (2015) who found that students’ attitude based on gender revealed a significant difference in favor of the female students and Abonyi (2002) who established that although, the mean score of students interest to science between male and female was not statistically different, female students had relatively higher mean compared to males students. This finding shows that ethnophysics – based instruction does not favour only male students but rekindles female students’ attitude towards density, forces and heat transfer in college Physics as well. The result of this study resonates very well with Peni’s (2015) study that the use of culturally relevant practices that are familiar to the female students enhanced their attitude towards the study of Science. Generally, this study agrees with the studies of Abonyi (2014) and Savelsbergh’s et al (2016) that ethnophysics provides three irreplaceable benefits: Firstly, it is a base for construction of reality by learners; secondly, it acts a bridge through which learners from various backgrounds cross over to modern conventional or western physics and thirdly, this approach clears false beliefs about physics. Therefore, teaching Physics using conventional method is like revising known concepts in an unfamiliar language such that known materials sound completely new. Adesoji (2008) and Guido (2013) have established that a significant relationship exists between attitude and method of instruction and also between attitude and performance and it is possible to predict performance from attitude scores.

6. Conclusion
This study sought the effect of ethnophysics – based instruction on students’ academic performance and attitude towards density, forces and heat transfer in college physics at Mufulira College of Education. It was concluded that the instructional approaches used in teaching density, forces and heat transfer significantly affected the academic performance of students in the achievement test on the stated topics. The experimental group performed better than their counterparts in the control group. This enhanced academic performance was attributed to ethnophysics – based instruction used in the experimental group and the relative poor academic performance in the control group was attributed to the conventional method used to teach that group. EBI was found to be gender friendly as the attitude of both male and female students exposed to it was equally positively affected and that its instructional activities were evenly distributed in Zambian homes thereby providing equal attitudinal favour to both male and female students. The study also established that ethnophysics (home practices) do not create conflict in the students’ knowledge systems on density, forces and heat transfer. This means that Zambian home practices have a complimentary relationship with density, forces and heat transfer in college physics.
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