Comorbidity is associated with higher risk of financial burden in Medicare beneficiaries with cancer but not heart disease or diabetes
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Abstract
The aim of the study was to examine how multimorbidity influences the prevalence of financial burden among older adults with heart disease, diabetes, or cancer.

The study was a cross-sectional analysis of prospective observational cohort survey study.

Older adults (age 65 or older) who did not report 1/6 major chronic illnesses (n=2773; reference group), reported 1/3 major chronic illnesses without comorbidity (heart disease n=206; diabetes n=460; cancer n=417), and reported 1/3 major chronic illnesses with comorbidity (heart disease n=232; diabetes n=202; cancer n=109).

The measures were presence of chronic diseases (heart disease, diabetes, cancer), comorbid chronic diseases (stroke, lung disease, dementia), medical-related financial burden (credit card debt due to medical costs, paying medical bills over time), and overall financial burden (financial help from family, credit card debt, help with food, utilities, and other necessities).

The proportion reporting financial burden ranged from 15% to 27% across samples. Heart disease was unrelated to medical or overall financial burden, regardless of comorbidity. Diabetes was unrelated to financial burden except diabetes without comorbidity was associated with lower odds of overall financial burden compared to healthy older adults (odds ratio [OR]=0.655, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.468–0.917). Cancer with comorbidity, but not cancer without comorbidity, was associated with greater odds of medical related (OR=1.678, 95% CI: 1.057–2.664) and overall financial burden (OR=1.748, 95% CI: 1.064–2.872).

The association of multimorbidity with financial burden likely varies based on specific diseases. Future research on financial burden should focus on specific disease combinations such as cancer with comorbidity.

Abbreviations: GED = general education degree, NHATS = National Health and Aging Trends Study, OR = Odds ratio.
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1. Introduction
Increased life expectancy partly reflects the success of advanced health interventions, but the steady growth of the aging population also poses a challenge in the increased number of older adults with chronic conditions.[11] Total health care expenses in 2014 estimated that cancer, heart disease, and diabetes were among the top 5 most expensive chronic conditions.[12] Persons diagnosed with cancer, heart disease, or diabetes often face potential financial burden due to ongoing out of pocket expenses for both medical and nonmedical care. Severe financial burden can cause nonadherence with treatment.[3,4]

Financial burden can be defined in a variety of ways. Bankruptcy, out-of-pocket costs, and out-of-pocket costs in relation to family income have frequently been studied as a measure of objective financial burden.[5–7] Subjective financial burden, also called financial stress or perceived financial burden, has also frequently been studied.[8–10] These measures can be conceptualized at the individual level (i.e., such as using individual income) or the family level (such as using family or household income). For this particular study, we were interested in assessing financial burden not specifically related to the chronic conditions to see if chronic illness can increase the risk of financial problems in other areas of a person’s life as has been suggested by prior research.[11]

Patient-level factors may increase the risk of financial burden, including multimorbidity (the presence of 2 or more chronic conditions)[12] and comorbidity (the presence of another chronic condition in addition to the index condition).[3,13] Previous research on comorbidity and patient-level financial burden has been mixed[14–16] but previous studies did not always focus on a specific index condition. We have to know who is at highest risk for financial burden by index condition to intervene, particularly given the organization of most health care systems by specialty.

Using cross-sectional analysis of data from The National Health and Aging Trends Study (NHATS),[17] this study examined whether comorbidity in the context of heart disease,
diabetes, or cancer predicted worse individual (patient) level financial burden. Research has documented the cost to society overall (i.e., payers, total costs) of these diseases, but the actual individual impact to older adults is less studied.\(^{18}\) We hypothesized that comorbidity will be associated with greater likelihood of financial problems compared to healthy controls.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants and procedures

We used public use data files from the National Health and Aging Trends Study (NHATS).\(^{17,17}\) NHATS consists of a nationally representative sample of Medicare enrollees age 65 or older with oversampling of African American enrollees. The NHATS sample is community dwelling. NHATS started in 2011 and surveyed participants every year. Surveys cover the environment, technology use, and other factors that affect the independence of older adults. Trained interviewers administered each survey. In 2015, NHATS recruited additional participants for the 5th survey. The 1st cohort, recruited in 2011, had 8245 participants. The 2nd cohort, recruited in 2015, had 4182 participants. The NHATS study was reviewed by the John Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health Institutional Review Board and all NHATS participants provided written informed consent. For this analysis, we used both cohorts and focused on the baseline surveys (2011 for the 1st cohort, 2015 for the 2nd cohort).

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Medical-related financial burden. Each of the NHATS surveys included 2 questions about financial difficulties due to medical costs: paying medical bills over time and any amount of credit card debt from medical costs. We counted participants as experiencing medical-related financial burden if they reported at least one of the 2 items on the 1st survey.

2.2.2. Overall financial burden. Each of the NHATS surveys included 5 questions about financial difficulties the participant might have experienced in the previous year: only paying the minimum each month on credit cards; financial help from family members; used food stamps (Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program or SNAP); received other food assistance such as meals-on-wheels; and received assistance with utilities. We counted a participant as experiencing financial burden if they reported any of the 5 items on the 1st survey. This dichotomous measure is similar to analyses from the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey, Cancer Survivorship Supplement.\(^{15,19}\)

2.2.3. Health conditions. The NHATS also asks participants to self-report whether a doctor diagnosed them with any of the following medical conditions: heart attack, heart disease, high blood pressure (hypertension), arthritis, osteoporosis, diabetes, lung disease, stroke, dementia, and cancer. Previous research has shown good concordance between self-report and medical-record extracted health conditions except for arthritis.\(^{20,21}\)

2.2.4. Demographics and insurance characteristics. The NHATS surveys ask participants to self-report age, gender, household income, and race/ethnicity. Participants also report whether they have prescription drug insurance, such as through Medicare Part D, a Medicare Advantage Plan or other means. The surveys also ask whether participants have additional health insurance from Medicaid or Tricare. Age is reported in 5-year increment categories: 65 to 69 years, 70 to 74 years, 75 to 79 years, 80 to 84 years, 85 to 89 years, and 90 years or more. Income was also categorized: less than $27,600 per year, $27,600 to $41,999, $42,000 to $63,999, $64,000 to $107,999, and $108,000 or more. NHATS provides multiple imputations for income when missing. We used the average of the 5 imputations for any participants with missing income data. As the income data came from 2 different years, we converted income amount to 2015 dollars.

2.3. Data analysis

We limited the NHATS sample (n=12,427) to 6 groups of participants with chronic illness and compared the association of chronic illness to a control (comparison) group with no reported chronic disease. People were classified into the disease groups if they reported one of the 3 diseases of interest and whether they reported another comorbid disease: cardiovascular disease (either heart attack or heart disease; No comorbidity, n=206; Comorbidity, n=232), diabetes (No comorbidity, n=460; Comorbidity, n=202), or cancer (No comorbidity, n=417; Comorbidity, n=109). Participants were classified as having a comorbidity if they reported one or more of the other 3 index diseases (heart disease, diabetes, cancer), stroke, lung disease, or dementia. The comparison group (n=2773) were NHATS participants that did not report any of the following 6 diseases: cardiovascular disease, diabetes, cancer, lung disease, stroke, or dementia. Participants missing information on diseases were excluded from analyses.

A logistic regression tested the relationship of group (disease only, disease with comorbidity, and control) to reporting financial burden (Y/N). Predictors included group and covariates (age, gender, income, race/ethnicity, drug coverage, and additional insurance). Our dependent variable was whether participants reported financial burden at the 1st survey. If they did not have financial burden data at the 1st survey, we checked subsequent surveys and used that financial burden data instead. For participants who entered NHATS on the 5th survey, we treated the 5th survey as their 1st survey. A separate logistic regression was run for each disease group (cancer, cardiovascular disease, diabetes) and for 2 measures of financial burden (overall, medical-related) for a total of 6 regressions. We constructed 95% confidence intervals (CIs) around each odds ratio (OR). Analyses were performed using SAS, version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc, Cary, NC).

3. Results

More than half the sample was female and half was married or in a long-term relationship (Table 1). The majority of the sample was white and reported incomes below $27,600. Most had completed high school or some form of college degree. Between two-thirds and four-fifths reported owning their home. Between 59% and 71% reported some form of health insurance for medications. Across the 7 groups, the proportion reporting financial burden ranged from 15% to 27%. Of the 232 people with heart disease and a comorbidity, 35% (n=81) also had diabetes and 25% (n=59) also had cancer. Of the 202 people with diabetes and a comorbidity, 40% (n=81) also had heart disease and 31% (n=62) also had cancer. Of the 109 people with cancer and a comorbidity, 57% (n=62) also had diabetes and 54% (n=59) also had heart disease.

In unadjusted logistic regressions, heart disease was associated with overall financial burden (without comorbidity OR: 1.609,
was not associated with medical-related overall (OR: 0.706, 95% CI: 0.540–0.924). Cancer without comorbidity was not associated with overall financial burden (OR: 1.031, 95% CI: 0.802–1.327; overall OR: 0.847, 95% CI: 0.637–1.126). Cancer with comorbidity was associated with overall financial burden (OR: 1.568, 95% CI: 1.004–2.447) and nearly associated with medical-related financial burden (OR: 1.398, 95% CI: 0.906–2.158).

In the multivariate models, increasing age predicted lower odds of medical-related financial burden (Figs. 1 and 2; Supplementary Tables S1–S3, http://links.lww.com/MD/C745; P < .01) compared to the youngest age group (65–69 years old) but not for overall financial burden. Women, Hispanic and African American participants had higher odds of reporting both medical-related and overall financial burden than men and white participants (P < .05) in nearly all analyses. People with higher incomes tended to report less financial burden (P < .02) but this association was less consistent with medical-related financial burden. Not having drug coverage and not having additional insurance was unassociated with medical-related financial burden but was associated with overall financial burden (P < .05) such that not having additional insurance or drug coverage predicted lower odds of overall financial burden. This was likely because some of the financial burden measures

### Table 1

Descriptive statistics for disease samples and controls.

| Variable (n/%) | Heart disease | Diabetes | Cancer |
|---------------|---------------|----------|--------|
|               | Disease alone N = 206 | Comorbidity N = 232 | Disease alone N = 460 | Comorbidity N = 202 | Disease alone N = 417 | Comorbidity N = 109 | Comparison group N = 2773 |
| **Age group (n/%)** | | | | | | | |
| 65-69 | 39/19% | 26/16% | 91/20% | 37/18% | 75/18% | 22/20% | 69/25% |
| 70-74 | 31/19% | 46/20% | 118/25% | 48/24% | 96/23% | 22/20% | 64/23% |
| 75-79 | 45/22% | 47/20% | 109/24% | 38/19% | 95/23% | 20/18% | 56/24% |
| 80-84 | 48/23% | 52/22% | 74/16% | 37/18% | 91/22% | 21/19% | 47/17% |
| 85-89 | 21/10% | 34/15% | 50/11% | 20/14% | 43/10% | 12/11% | 26/10% |
| 90+ | 22/11% | 17/7% | 18/4% | 13/6% | 17/4% | 12/11% | 22/12% |
| **Gender** | | | | | | | |
| Male | 67/41% | 92/47% | 133/38% | 71/41% | 22/5% | 44/40% | 114/41% |
| Female | 95/59% | 103/53% | 214/62% | 103/59% | 188/45% | 64/60% | 162/59% |
| **Marital status** | | | | | | | |
| Married | 95/46% | 119/51% | 239/52% | 95/47% | 250/60% | 50/45% | 153/55% |
| Long-term partner | 63/3% | 4/2% | 12/3% | 5/2% | 10/2% | 2/2% | 48/2% |
| Separated | 2/1% | 7/7% | 11/2% | 4/2% | 4/1% | 2/2% | 36/1% |
| Divorced | 26/13% | 21/9% | 49/11% | 24/12% | 34/8% | 16/15% | 30/11% |
| Widowed | 72/35% | 74/32% | 132/29% | 67/33% | 104/25% | 37/34% | 74/27% |
| Never married | 5/2% | 7/3% | 16/3% | 7/3% | 14/3% | 2/2% | 100/4% |
| **Race/ethnicity** | | | | | | | |
| White/Caucasian | 137/67% | 148/64% | 290/63% | 119/59% | 329/79% | 81/74% | 202/73% |
| Black/African American | 39/19% | 48/21% | 106/23% | 53/26% | 59/14% | 15/14% | 48/18% |
| Hispanic | 14/7% | 16/9% | 27/6% | 12/6% | 13/3% | 4/4% | 11/4% |
| Other (Am. Indian, Asian, Native Hawaiian, other) | 15/8% | 20/9% | 37/6% | 19/9% | 16/4% | 9/8% | 11/4% |
| **Income** | | | | | | | |
| <$27,600 | 175/86% | 185/80% | 402/87% | 159/79% | 257/62% | 79/72% | 164/59% |
| $27,600–$41,999 | 17/8% | 21/9% | 19/4% | 18/0% | 46/11% | 10/9% | 279/10% |
| $42,000–$63,999 | 4/2% | 11/5% | 19/4% | 13/6% | 42/10% | 11/10% | 35/13% |
| $64,000–$107,999 | 7/3% | 8/3% | 12/3% | 9/4% | 50/12% | 4/4% | 271/10% |
| >$108,000 | 3/1% | 7/3% | 8/2% | 3/1% | 22/5% | 5/5% | 21/8% |
| **Education** | | | | | | | |
| None | 2/1% | 1/1% | 4/1% | 2/1% | 4/1% | 2/2% | 24/1% |
| 1st–8th grade | 30/15% | 35/16% | 52/12% | 19/4% | 32/8% | 10/10% | 243/9% |
| 9th–12th grade | 32/16% | 44/20% | 56/13% | 28/15% | 45/11% | 15/14% | 306/11% |
| High school or GED | 51/26% | 61/28% | 116/26% | 55/29% | 115/28% | 32/31% | 77/28% |
| Vocational, technical, business, or trade school certificate | 20/10% | 8/4% | 26/6% | 12/6% | 30/7% | 3/3% | 206/8% |
| Some college | 27/14% | 33/15% | 64/14% | 29/15% | 55/13% | 22/21% | 305/12% |
| Associate degree | 7/4% | 6/3% | 24/5% | 13/7% | 20/5% | 6/6% | 136/5% |
| Bachelor degree | 19/10% | 18/8% | 44/10% | 21/11% | 60/15% | 8/8% | 369/13% |
| Master degree or higher | 10/5% | 13/6% | 57/13% | 13/7% | 51/12% | 6/6% | 350/13% |
| Owns home (%h) | 132/69% | 149/69% | 302/70% | 127/68% | 329/84% | 72/72% | 2105/79% |
| Medicare Part D or other drug coverage | 129/69% | 149/70% | 276/65% | 118/64% | 248/62% | 66/67% | 1563/59% |
| Additional insurance such as Medicaid or Tricare | 35/18% | 55/25% | 73/15% | 38/15% | 44/11% | 18/17% | 298/11% |
| Number reporting medical-related financial burden | 49/23% | 43/20% | 71/15% | 44/22% | 68/21% | 20/27% | 570/21% |
| Number reporting overall financial burden | 52/25% | 56/24% | 83/18% | 47/23% | 63/15% | 27/26% | 471/17% |
(qualifying for food stamps or other assistance programs) had similar eligibility requirements as Medicaid, one of the other forms of insurance and a possible source of drug coverage.

In the multivariate analyses, the majority of the relationships from the unadjusted models did not remain. Diagnosis of heart disease was not associated with increased or decreased odds of

Figure 1. Forest plots for medical-related financial burden for heart disease (A), diabetes (B), and cancer (C). Odds ratios over 1 indicate greater odds of reporting financial burden. Reference groups are listed before corresponding effects groups.
medical-related and overall financial burden compared to healthy controls ($P > .260$). A diagnosis of diabetes without one of the other 5 major illnesses was associated with lower odds of overall financial burden (OR = 0.66, 95% CI: 0.47–0.92) but was unrelated to medical-related financial burden ($P = .139$). Having a diagnosis of cancer without comorbidity was unrelated to
financial burden compared to healthy controls but having a cancer diagnosis plus comorbidity was associated with greater odds of medical-related financial burden (OR = 1.68, 95% CI: 1.06–2.66) and overall financial burden (OR = 1.75, 95% CI: 1.06–2.87).

4. Discussion

The present study examined the cross-sectional association of comorbidity in common medical conditions to self-reported financial burden compared to healthy older adults. Heart disease and diabetes were largely unrelated to reported financial burden regardless of comorbidity status. Cancer with comorbidity was associated with greater odds of financial burden, both medical related and general. Cancer without comorbidity was not associated with increased odds of financial burden compared to controls without major chronic illness. Results suggest the relationship of comorbidity to financial burden may depend on the index disease.

Previous studies examining the relationship between comorbidity and financial burden at the patient level have been mixed. Some studies have shown no relationship between comorbidity and financial burden with cancer. While another study showed comorbidity in cancer and heart disease was associated with a decrease in income. Previous studies have not focused exclusively on older adults and this could explain the difference from our results in older adults as younger adults may face different financial problems (loss of or decrease in employment, less savings) than most older adults (fixed income). Also, the studies finding no relationship of comorbidity and financial burden used disease count instead of examining specific diseases. Both our study and the study finding a relationship examined specific diseases.

Heart disease was unrelated to financial burden as was diabetes despite increasing insulin costs. A possible explanation is that Medicare coverage, which the entire sample had, buffered against some of the financial consequences of chronic illness. Research in cancer has shown that younger patients (<65 years of age) experience higher costs than older patients (≥65 years of age) in the United States. Adverse selection may also explain these findings. The variation in heart disease could account for the lack of a relationship of heart disease to financial burden. The survey did not ask about specific forms of heart disease so this hypothesis was not tested. People with diabetes in this sample may have been less likely to need expensive medications like insulin and may have used less expensive medication such as metformin. The cost of diabetes may have largely been due to medication costs rather than outpatient or inpatient services and the insurance coverage of participants buffered against the cost of medications more so than the cost of outpatient treatments such as chemotherapy infusions. This would explain why having diabetes was unassociated with financial burden but cancer with comorbidity was. It is also important to note that the majority of the people with cancer and a comorbidity had diabetes or heart disease and this group had higher financial burden. So it might be the combination of cancer with either heart disease or diabetes that confers the greatest risk of financial burden.

The limitations of the study warrant discussion. The sample sizes for some subgroups were relatively small preventing additional investigation of specific disease combinations and our design was cross-sectional. Due to the sample size, we had to combine 2 cohorts of data. Comorbidity and cancer may have led to financial problems or financial problems may have preceded cancer with comorbidity. The financial difficulties and chronic conditions measured were not comprehensive. We also could not include all possible confounds such as Medicare advantage vs Medicare supplement. We were unable to determine who had a Medicare advantage plan based on the survey. Although we had information on other insurance plans, one of these plans (Medicaid) has similar eligibility criteria to some of the measures of financial burden (food assistance). We also were not able to assess medical or out-of-pocket costs directly as these were not collected in the survey. Despite these limitations, the results suggest important directions for future research.

Our results support the continued focus on comorbidity in older adults. While research has shown the overall societal cost of comorbidity, our results suggest that, for cancer at least, comorbidity may also increase financial burden for patients despite any buffering from Medicare coverage. As this sample had health insurance (Medicare), future research is needed on what insurance characteristics buffer against financial burden. Research has shown that increases in price and service intensity account for growth in health care spending in the United States and these may also be factors in patient-level financial burden for people with cancer and comorbidity. Although continued research on societal costs of comorbidity is warranted, future research should include a focus on how increasing health care costs affect patients financially.
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