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In Brief
We describe a structure of an unusual helicase domain of DNA polymerase theta (Polθ). The helicase-like domain of Polθ forms tetramers and this tetrameric structure might bring together broken DNA ends for microhomology-mediated end joining.
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SUMMARY

DNA polymerase theta (Polθ) has been identified as a crucial alternative non-homologous end-joining factor in mammalian cells. Polθ is upregulated in a range of cancer cell types defective in homologous recombination, and knockdown has been shown to inhibit cell survival in a subset of these, making it an attractive target for cancer treatment. We present crystal structures of the helicase domain of human Polθ in the presence and absence of bound nucleotides, and a characterization of its DNA-binding and DNA-stimulated ATPase activities. Comparisons with related helicases from the Hel308 family identify several unique features. Polθ exists as a tetramer both in the crystals and in solution. We propose a model for DNA binding to the Polθ helicase domain in the context of the Polθ tetramer, which suggests a role for the helicase domain in strand annealing of DNA templates for subsequent processing by the polymerase domain.

INTRODUCTION

DNA polymerase theta (Polθ, encoded by the POLQ gene) is one of ~15 DNA polymerases in the human genome, first identified by homology to the Drosophila mus308 gene, with mus308 mutants exhibiting hypersensitivity to DNA interstrand crosslinking agents (Sharief et al., 1999). Polθ is distinct among human DNA polymerases, exhibiting not only a C-terminal DNA polymerase domain (Seki et al., 2003; Shima et al., 2003; Yousefzadeh and Wood, 2013) but also an N-terminal domain exhibiting similarity and motifs typical of superfamily 2 (SF2) helicases (Singleton et al., 2007), separated by a long and lesser-conserved central domain of unknown function (Seki et al., 2003; Shima et al., 2003; Yousefzadeh and Wood, 2013) (Singleton et al., 2007). POLQ genes are widespread in multicellular eukaryotes (Yousefzadeh and Wood, 2013), including higher plants but not in fungi (Inagaki et al., 2006). Polθ is one of three human Family A DNA polymerases (Braithwaite and Ito, 1993) but, unusually within this family, it exhibits a very low fidelity of synthesis on normal DNA (Arana et al., 2008) and can bypass thymine glycol oxidative lesions (Seki et al., 2004; Yoon et al., 2014). Unusually, it can both insert opposite, and extend from, an abasic (AP) site (Seki et al., 2004), and the non-canonical DNA polymerase fold of Polθ influences processivity and translesion synthesis (Hogg et al., 2011; Zahn et al., 2019). Furthermore, the ability of Polθ to extend single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) (Hogg et al., 2012) and its 5′ deoxyribosephosphate lyase activity (Prasad et al., 2009) point to roles in various DNA repair pathways (Hogg et al., 2011, 2012; Prasad et al., 2009; Seki et al., 2004; Seki and Wood, 2008; Yoon et al., 2014).

Recent studies have established Polθ as playing a central role in an alternative DNA double-strand break repair process named the microhomology-mediated end-joining (MMEJ) pathway (Ceccaldi et al., 2015; Kent et al., 2015; Mateos-Gomez et al., 2015; Yousefzadeh et al., 2014). MMEJ is a mutagenic and error prone alternative to homologous recombination (HR) or non-homologous end-joining that utilizes short (2–6 bp) microhomologies to join the two strands (McVey and Lee, 2008), an activity that is unique to Polθ (Kent et al., 2015). MMEJ is generally not the preferred method of double-strand break repair in healthy cells, although it is increasingly important in cells deficient in HR (Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, 2011). Polθ is overexpressed in certain cancers (Ceccaldi et al., 2015; Higgins et al., 2010a; Kawamura et al., 2004), correlating with poor survival in breast cancers (Higgins et al., 2010a; Lemee et al., 2010). Depletion of Polθ leads to hypersensitivity to radiation (Goff et al., 2009; Higgins et al., 2010b; Yousefzadeh et al., 2014), and decreased survival of cells deficient in homology-directed repair (Ceccaldi et al., 2015).

While the DNA polymerase activity of Polθ has been extensively studied, less is known about the functions of the helicase domain. The Polθ helicase domain (Polθ-HLD) is a member of the SF2 helicases, most closely related to the Skι/Hel308 family of helicases, which are involved in the ATP-dependent 3′-5′ unwinding of lagging strands on replication forks (Tafel et al., 2011; Buttner et al., 2007; Guy and Bolt, 2005), thought to facilitate replication restart at stalled or damaged forks. Biochemical characterization of Polθ-HLD showed DNA-stimulated ATPase activity but failed to show any helicase activity (Ceccaldi et al., 2015; Maga et al., 2002; Seki et al., 2003). Thus, the role of the helicase domain in MMEJ is uncertain, as it was recently shown...
that the polymerase domain alone is capable of performing the majority of the enzymatic steps of MMEJ in vitro (Kent et al., 2015). One clue as to the role of the helicase domain is the demonstration of the anti-recombinase activity of PolI (Ceccaldi et al., 2015), with depletion of PolI leading to an increase in RAD51 foci, which could be complemented by PolI constructs lacking the polymerase domain. PolI was found to interact directly with RAD51 (Ceccaldi et al., 2015), displacing RAD51 from ssDNA in a PolI ATPase-dependent manner. The interaction was mapped to three regions in PolI, two in the linker domain and one ~50 amino acid region in the C terminus of the helicase domain (Ceccaldi et al., 2015). PolI depletion reduced survival of HR-deficient cells exposed to PARP, cisplatin, or MMC inhibitors, and this toxicity can be rescued by PolI constructs lacking the polymerase domain but not by constructs lacking the RAD51 interacting motifs in the helicase domain (Ceccaldi et al., 2015). This points to PolI-HLD as a potential target for cancer therapy to potentiate synthetic lethality in chemotherapeutic approaches (Shaheen et al., 2011).

In this study we present the crystal structure of apo and nucleotide-bound forms of PolI-HLD. In common with the recently derived structure of the PolI polymerase domain (Zahn et al., 2015), the structure displays numerous features based on insertions in the amino acid sequence that appear to be responsible for its unique activities. We find that PolI-HLD exists as a tetramer in solution and consider the implications of this quaternary structure with regard to template preparation in the MMEJ pathway.

RESULTS

Overall Structure of the PolI-HLD

We determined the structure of PolI-HLD (residues 67–894), in a complex with the non-hydrolysable ATP analogue AMP-PNP to 2.9-Å resolution, and with ADP to 3.2-Å resolution, both in the same crystal form with a single copy of PolI-HLD in the asymmetric unit. Using a slightly longer construct (residues 1–894), we obtained crystals of the apo protein, which diffracted to 3.55-Å resolution in a different crystal form containing four copies of PolI-HLD in the asymmetric unit. Slight differences are seen in the ordering and positioning of various loops that are probably a result of local crystal contacts, as well as minor differences in domain orientation with the nucleotide-bound complexes (discussed later). A full summary of the model quality and refinement statistics are given in Table 1.

The overall structure of PolI-HLD is more similar to the archaeal helicases exemplified by HEL308 from Archaeoglobus fulgidus and Sulfolobus solfataricus (Buttner et al., 2007; Richards et al., 2008) and Hjm from Pyrococcus furiosus (Oyama et al., 2009) (which share ~30% sequence identity with PolI-HLD) and can be aligned with a root mean square deviation (RMSD) of around 2.6 Å over approximately 600 Cα residues (Figure S1A). Like its archaeal homologs, PolI-HLD comprises five subdomains including the two core helicase domains and three additional, closely associated globular domains (Figure 1A). The N- and C-terminal RecA-like domains (D1 and D2), spanning residues 1–289 and 290–513, respectively, share a prototypical fold similar to Escherichia coli RecA, and contain the core machinery required for helicase activity, including ssDNA-binding motifs, the nucleotide-binding site, and all of the core helicase motifs (motifs I–VI) that are conserved across SF2 helicases (Figures 1B and S2). In the apo crystals, 32 additional residues can be seen at the N terminus. These residues form an extended loop and α-helix (only fully ordered in chain A, due to crystal packing), which packs against the rest of the D1 domain and is unique among the structures of SF2 helicases solved to date (Figures 1A and 1B). Other atypical features in the D1 domain include an unusual conformation of the loop connecting the third and fourth β strands (residues 171–180), which adopts a conformation similar to the equivalent loop in the Hel308 DNA complex (Buttner et al., 2007) (Figure S1A), and a 12-residue insertion at the C-terminal end of α6 (residues 238–260), which is somewhat disordered in both the apo and nucleotide-bound complexes (Figures 1B and S1A).

The D2 domain of PolI-HLD also contains a number of inserted regions distinct from other helicase structures, most notably the loop between the third β strand and first α helix (residues 314–324), and the loop between the second and third helices on the D2 domain (residues 367–382) (Figures 1B and S1B). The conformation of the latter loop differs between the apo and nucleotide-bound crystals, and although sections of this loop are disordered in both crystals (and to a different extent in the various chains of the apo crystals), its conformation in the nucleotide-bound crystals may be a result of a citrate anion from the crystallization cocktail and is bound in the path of the loop, making polar contacts to nearby residues.

The PolI-HLD Nucleotide-Binding Site

In the nucleotide-bound complexes, AMP-PNP and ADP bind in the nucleotide-binding site in a similar conformation to that observed in other helicase complexes. The electron density for the AMP-PNP molecule is significantly weaker than in the ADP complex (Figure 1C), especially around the adenine and ribose moieties, suggesting only partial occupancy in these crystals. The adenine moiety is hydrogen bonded to the conserved guanoylate of the helicase motif 0 or “Q motif,” although in PolI-HLD the adenine moiety stacks against V89 and F93 rather than the conserved Leu and Arg residues common to the RecQ family. The α, β, and γ phosphates are in the equivalent positions as found in other helicase nucleotide complexes with conserved polar contacts formed to residues in the helicase motif I (Figure 1D). Similarly the Mg2+ ion is located in a similar position to that observed in other helicase nucleotide complexes (Bernstein et al., 2003; Pike et al., 2009), although in PolI-HLD only a single contact with the γ phosphate is made, presumably due to the fact that the angle of the phosphorus nitrogen linkage places the oxygens of the β and γ phosphates slightly too distant to be bridged by a single Mg2+ ion.

Structural studies on other SF2 family helicases have established a model for the basic helicase mechanism whereby the binding, hydrolysis, and subsequent release of the nucleotide induces sequential conformational changes that lead to differences in the relative orientations of the ssDNA-binding regions of the D1 and D2 domains, which, when coupled to the molecular ratcheting of the ATP hydrolysis, lead to a single base translocation along ssDNA in the 3'-5' direction (Gyimesi et al., 2010; Velankar et al., 1999). Comparing the relative orientations of the D1 and D2 domains in the apo and nucleotide-bound PolI-HLD...
crystals reveals a modest difference in relative domain orientation (maximal displacements of up to 5 Å), which would place the ssDNA-binding motifs slightly closer together in the nucleotide-bound crystals (Figure S1B). Comparison with other homologs reveals that the D1-D2 conformation adopted by Polq-HLD is most similar to the A. fulgidus Hel308 structure (Buttner et al., 2007), and distinct from either the apo or nucleotide-bound forms of the Hjm helicase from P. furiosus (Oyama et al., 2009), and the S. solfataricus Hel308 structure (Richards et al., 2008) (Figure S1C).

Structure of Domains 3 to 5
The C-terminal half of Polq-HLD contains three additional globular domains that are not commonly found in SF2 helicases but are conserved across the Hel308 family. The first of these is a winged helix (WH) domain (residues 514–612), which is commonly found in DNA-binding proteins, including members of the RecQ family of helicases, where the wing of the WH is inserted at the interface of double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) and ssDNA, and forms a strand separation pin (Pike et al., 2009). WH domains are typically associated with binding to dsDNA by insertion of an α-helix (recognition helix) into the major groove and the wing contacting bases in the adjacent minor groove. In Polq-HLD, the WH domain is quite closely associated with the D1 and D2 domains (Figure 2A) and, although the putative recognition helix and wing are exposed to solvent in such a way as to be accessible for DNA binding, no significant regions of aromatic or positively charged residues can be identified as would be required for DNA binding in the classical mode of other WH domains. Consistent with this, the WH domain of A. fulgidus Hel308 does not form any significant contacts to the bound DNA, thus the function of this domain within Polq-HLD is unclear. Structural similarity searches using this domain have uncovered a surprisingly strong similarity (0.74 Å RMSD over 49 residues with 22%

Table 1. Data Collection and Refinement Statistics

|                      | AMP-PNP Complex | ADP Complex | Polq-HLD apo |
|----------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|
| Space group          | I 2 2 2         | I 2 2 2     | P 1 2 1     |
| Cell dimensions, a, b, c (Å) | 116.2, 132.7, 156.4 | 115.8, 133.7, 162.7 | 128.0, 130.5, 160.9 |
| Angles α, β, γ (°)   | 90, 90, 90      | 90, 90, 90  | 90, 100.7, 90 |
| Wavelength (Å)       | 0.92            | 0.92        | 0.98         |
| Resolution (Å)       | 46.6–2.90 (3.08–2.90) | 49.1–3.20 (3.42–3.20) | 48.8–3.55 (3.64–3.55) |
| Rmerge               | 0.07 (1.09)     | 0.05 (0.72) | 0.05 (0.74) |
| Rp.i.m.              | 0.04 (0.56)     | 0.05 (0.53) | 0.04 (0.52) |
| I/σI                | 22.8 (1.9)      | 14.9 (1.8)  | 12.8 (1.8)  |
| CC1/2                | 0.999 (0.737)   | 0.999 (0.688) | 0.999 (0.681) |
| Completeness (%)     | 99.3 (98.5)     | 98.8 (99.8) | 99.3 (99.4) |
| Multiplicity         | 5.6 (5.3)       | 3.4 (3.5)   | 3.4 (3.4)   |
| No. of unique reflections | 26,924 (4,262)  | 20,889 (3,774) | 62,574 (4,603) |

Refinement Statistics

|                      | AMP-PNP Complex | ADP Complex | Polq-HLD apo |
|----------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|
| Resolution           | 46.6–2.9        | 49.1–3.2    | 48.9–3.55    |
| Rwork/Rfree (%)      | 21.7/26.4       | 22.6/27.3   | 22.3/26.5    |
| No. of atoms         |                 |             |              |
| Protein              | 6,093           | 6,053       | 24,413       |
| Solvent              | 39              | –           | –            |
| Ligand/ion           | 46              | 29          | –            |
| Average B factors (Å²) |               |             |              |
| All atoms            | 95              | 120         | 150          |
| Protein              | 95              | 120         | 150          |
| Solvent              | 80              | –           | –            |
| Ligand/ion           | 150             | 140         | –            |
| Wilson B             | 85              | 110         | 130          |
| RMSD                 |                 |             |              |
| Bond lengths (Å)     | 0.002           | 0.005       | 0.004        |
| Bond angles (°)      | 0.533           | 1.1         | 1.0          |
| Ramachandran plot    |                 |             |              |
| Favored (%)          | 94.7            | 94.1        | 94.6         |
| Allowed (%)          | 100             | 100         | 100          |
| PDB                  | 5AGA            | 5A9F        | 5A9J         |

Values in parentheses refer to the statistics in the highest resolution shell.
sequence identities) to the C-terminal WH domain of the 32-kDa subunit of replication protein A (RPA32c). In RPA32c, the WH domain serves as a protein interaction module with proteins involved in DNA damage response such as XPA, UNG, RAD52, TIPIN, and SMARCAL1 (Feldkamp et al., 2014), and a similar role in Polq-HLD is a possibility, especially given the potential interaction interface is solvent exposed in the Polq-HLD crystals.

Domain 4 in Polq-HLD spans residues 613–789 and is all helical in character, containing 10 α-helices (we have used a slightly different nomenclature to the archaeal Hel308 proteins in which the first helix of this domain was considered part of the WH domain). The helical domain contacts the D2, WH, and domain 5, and in the Hel308 DNA complex structure this domain forms contacts to the DNA via three different areas (Buttner et al., 2007), with the C-terminal end of

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Figure 1. Structure of Polq-HLD and ATPase Core**

(A) Overview of the Polq-HLD structure with domains colored individually (same color scheme is used throughout). The image is a composite of the AMP-PNP structure (PDB: 5AGA), with the nucleotide in the sphere representation, and the N-terminal extension from the apo crystals (PDB: 5A9J), which is color brown. Dashed lines represent portions of the chains not well-ordered in the crystal structure.

(B) Close-up view of the core helicase domains D1 and D2, with the location of the conserved helicase motifs and variable regions labeled. The curved arrow shows the different path of loop 367–382, which is altered in the nucleotide-bound crystals, possibly because of the presence of a citrate ion (shown in sphere representation).

(C) Electron density maps covering the ADP and AMP-PNP nucleotides, the upper half shows $F_o - F_c$ omit maps colored green and contoured at 2.8σ, while the lower half shows the final refined $2F_o - F_c$ map contoured at 1.0σ in blue.

(D) Close-up view of the nucleotide-binding site with interacting residues labeled and polar contacts shown as dashed lines.

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure 2. Structure and Comparison of Polq-HLD Domains 3 to 5**

(A) Close-up view of domain 3 (winged helix domain), with secondary structure elements labeled according to the canonical WH nomenclature. Dashed lines represent portions of the chains not well-ordered in the crystal structure.

(B) Close-up view of domain 4 (helical domain) with the equivalent domain (shown in gray) and DNA (shown in black) from A. fulgidus Hel308 shown for reference.

(C) Close-up view of domain 5 (helix-hairpin-helix domain) with the equivalent domain (shown in gray) and DNA (shown in black) from A. fulgidus Hel308 shown for reference.
Hel308 DNA complex interacts with the 3\textsuperscript{1}996 diverse functions including DNA-binding activity (helix-hairpin-helix motif (HhH), in which is found proteins with neighboring molecules in the crystal (discussed later).

Consistent with this, the equivalent domain in the Hel308 DNA complex interacts with the 3’s sssDNA tail, although in this complex the DNA binds to domain 5 in a looped-back manner, running in the opposite orientation to the rest of the molecule, leading the authors of this study to suggest that this domain may have a function in binding to a different DNA strand to the rest of the protein, possibly positioning Hel308 specifically onto the lagging strand of a replication fork (Buttner et al., 2007).

Comparisons with Pol\textsubscript{I}-HLD reveal that, although a significant domain motion is required to superpose the two domains, the structures are well conserved at the potential DNA interface, including the “RAR” motif (Figure 2C). As is the case with domain 4, a significant insertion (38 residues) is evident in the Pol\textsubscript{I}-HLD structure, which forms an extended loop with a prominent \(\beta\)-hairpin that is partially disordered in both the nucleotide-bound and apo crystals (although to different extents). This prominent \(\beta\)-hairpin is almost entirely formed by one of the regions identified in a recent study to be responsible for binding Rad51 (Ceccaldi et al., 2015) (residues 861–868) which is at least partially solvent exposed. Three positively charged residues (R860, R867, and K868) from this region cluster together and form a significant positively charged feature on the protein surface, suggesting that electrostatic forces may play a significant role in the interaction (Figure 2C).

**Quaternary Structure of Pol\textsubscript{I}-HLD**

Analysis of the asymmetric unit of the apo crystals reveals four forming a tetrameric clover-shaped molecule with D2 symmetry (Figure 3). Analysis of the nucleotide-bound crystals reveals that the same arrangement can be formed from the crystallographic symmetry operators, suggesting the tetramer is unlikely to be a result of crystal packing interactions. Each subunit in the potential tetramer contacts all three of its neighbors (Figure 3A), with the interface between chains AC and BD in the apo crystals being slightly more extensive (850-A\(^2\) interface area) and hydrophobic than the interface linking chains AD and BC (600-A\(^2\) interface area), as calculated by the program PISA (Krissinel and Henrick, 2007). Finally, a much smaller interface (180-A\(^2\)) that is composed entirely of polar contacts connects AB and CD. The interfaces are almost entirely composed of contacts from the inserted regions of domain 4, explaining why the quaternary structure is not generally conserved across the Hel308 family (archaeal Hel308/Hjm proteins were reported to be monomeric). Further, the fact that the D1 and D2 domains are not constrained by symmetry contacts suggests they would be free to move relative to each other as is required for the helicase mechanism. Higher-order quaternary structures are not unprecedented in SF2 helicases, for example, the human RECQ1 helicase has a
tetrameric structure, which is essential for activity on Holliday junctions but not on simpler fork-like substrates (Pike et al., 2015).

Solution Studies of PolI-HLD

We have performed a number of solution measurements to further analyze the quaternary structure of PolI-HLD in solution. Analysis of PolI-HLD by size-exclusion chromatography with multi-angle light scattering (SEC-MALS) reveals a single species with an elution volume consistent with a particle with molecular mass of 360 kDa and light-scattering profiles consistent with a particle of 379 kDa, both almost exactly four times the monomer mass (93,080 Da) (Figure 4A). Consistent with this, sedimentation velocity analytical ultracentrifugation (AUC) of the same PolI-HLD construct suggested a major species with a sedimentation coefficient of around 8.9 (S20,w = 9.2–9.3) (Figure 4B). This is consistent with a particle mass of four times the monomer mass for interference optics, and 3.2 times with absorbance. Smaller species suggestive of monomer, dimer, or degradation products were present, but at very small fractions compared with the suggested tetramer. The relatively large fractional ratio suggests a significantly more elongated or flexible protein in solution than that appearing in the crystal structure, which may account for the difference between the observed and the theoretical sedimentation coefficients (S20,w = 11.6) calculated from the PolI-HLD tetramer X-ray crystal structure using the program HYDROPRO (Ortega et al., 2011). We have also performed an analysis of PolI-HLD in solution using small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS). Scattering profiles of PolI-HLD show radius of gyration values of around 52 Å (calculated in both real and reciprocal space) and volumes of correlation consistent with a large oligomer with an approximate mass of 390 kDa. The experimental scattering profiles show distinctive humps at q values of 0.04, 0.09, and 0.15 Å⁻¹ (Figure 4C). Comparing these profiles directly with theoretical scattering profiles calculated from the PolI-HLD tetramer crystal structure reveals good agreement between the experimental profiles and the PolI-HLD tetramer in crystals (χ² = 1.60, χ² free = 1.80) (Figure 3D). The SAXS data shown in Figure 4C were collected on the 67–894 construct in the presence of 1 mM ADP Mg²⁺, while the SEC-MALS and AUC data were collected on the same construct in the absence of nucleotide, suggesting the tetramer in solution is present irrespective of the nucleotide-binding status of PolI-HLD.

Characterization of PolI-HLD DNA-Binding and ATPase Activity

Previous studies of PolI-HLD have shown qualitatively that PolI-HLD has DNA-binding and DNA-stimulated ATPase activity but have so far failed to demonstrate any helicase activity (Ceccaldi et al., 2015; Seki et al., 2003). We performed a more quantitative characterization of the DNA-binding activity using a fluorescence polarization-based assay against a variety of DNA substrates generated using a similar approach to that used for characterization of UvrD helicase (Sharma and Rao, 2012) (Tables S1A and S1B). We noticed that the apparent dissociation constant obtained was significantly affected by the salt concentration in the assay buffer, as is common for DNA-binding proteins, with an apparent 10-fold tighter dissociation constant (single digit nM) obtained for ssDNA in low salt buffer (10 mM HEPES [pH 7.5], 50 mM NaCl) (Figure S3). Fluorescence polarization assays, performed in a near-physiological ionic-strength buffer (10 mM HEPES [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl) (Figure 5A) show a general trend of PolI-HLD binding to substrates with significant regions of ssDNA binding relatively tightly (Kd = 20–50 nM), complex substrates with only dsDNA (three- and four-way junctions) being somewhat intermediate (Kd = 60–90 nM), and dsDNA showing significantly weaker binding (Kd = 190 nM). Given the sensitivity of the assay technique, it is not clear if the modest differences between similar substrates are significant.

We have also performed helicase assays using radiolabeled versions of the same substrates. As was the case for a number
Figure 5. Characterization of DNA-Binding and ATPase Activity of PolI-HLD
(A) Fluorescence polarization DNA-binding assays with various DNA substrates (sequences in Table S1).
(B) ATPase activity of PolI-HLD with and without stimulation by single-stranded DNA. Error bars are plotted ± standard error of at least three independent replicates.
of previous investigations of Polβ-HLD (Maga et al., 2002; Seki et al., 2003), we were unable to show any helicase activity, although we were able to demonstrate a significant stimulation of ATPase activity by ssDNA. The $K_m$ for ATP is similar in the presence or absence of DNA (40–50 μM; Figure 5B), but the $K_{cat}$ is increased 140-fold (to ~400 min⁻¹). These kinetic parameters are quite typical when compared with other helicases of a similar type and indicate that the reason for lack of observed helicase activity in Polβ-HLD is not due to a defect in the core ATPase machinery.

Model of Polβ-HLD Bound to DNA

To gain further insight into the interaction of Polβ-HLD with DNA, we have used the Hel308 DNA complex (Buttnier et al., 2007) to construct a model of Polβ-HLD bound to DNA containing an extended 3' overhang. As is the case with previous modeling studies (Oyama et al., 2009), a global superposition reveals Polβ-HLD is not quite in an overall conformation consistent with DNA binding, and relative domain motions are necessary to avoid steric clashes. For this reason, we have created a hybrid model in which the five domains of Polβ-HLD are individually superimposed onto the Hel308 DNA complex (Figure 6A). In this model, the majority of the steric clashes are removed, although a number of additional side-chain and nucleotide movements would be required to fully accommodate the DNA. As is the case in the Hel308 DNA complex, the phosphodiester backbone of the final 3'–4 bp of the dsDNA is in position to make several polar contacts to residues in both the D2 domain (K347, K348, K352, and K497) and domain 4 (T663, Y667, K699, and K701). Several of the contacts to domain 4 are from residues in inserted regions with respect to the archaeal Hel308/Hjm proteins, suggesting these regions also contribute to DNA binding. Another feature that differs between Polβ-HLD and other Hel308 family helicases is the extent of the β-hairpin, which in Polβ-HLD is significantly shorter and lacks equivalents of the aromatic residues that form interactions with the final paired bases in the Hel308 DNA complex structure (Figures 6B and 6D). The 3' overhang then passes through a tight cavity created primarily by D1, D2, and domain 4, with the first three phosphates of the overhang contacting conserved residues in the D2 domain (helicase motifs IV and V), and the fourth to sixth phosphates contacting the D1 domain (helicase motifs la and Ib). The nucleobases face primarily toward domain 4 and are in positions with the potential to form a number of polar contacts to nearby side-chain residues. The potential ratcheting mechanism that has been suggested to be a feature of the A. fulgidus Hel308 mechanism is not conserved in Polβ-HLD, although it is possible that the bulky hydrophobic residues of V757 and M761 may provide a similar function creating a barrier resistant to backward movement of the DNA (Figures 6B and 6C). The final six nucleotides at the 3' ends exit the cavity, and in the Hel308 DNA complex loop back with the final three nucleotides binding to domain 5 in the opposite orientation to the rest of the overhang. This mode of binding is a possibility for Polβ-HLD, although significant shifts were required to position this domain, and even after this shift some minor steric clashes remain (Figure 6D).

Extrapolating the Polβ-HLD DNA complex model onto the Polβ-HLD tetramer reveals significant insights into a possible role of Polβ-HLD function in DNA repair pathways. The symmetry between chains AC and BD is such that, if both subunits are bound to DNA simultaneously, the two 3' ends exit from the cavity in close proximity and point in opposite directions (Figure 7A). Continuing the path of these two strands leads to a number of possibilities, for example the 3' end of the DNA to become
bound to domain 5 of the neighboring subunit in a “swapped” rather than the “looped” conformation adopted by the Hel308 DNA complex (Figure 7B). We have also considered the possible implications of these two emerging strands with respect to the polymerase activity of the C-terminal polymerase domain. If a small area of sequence complementarity exists between them, their proximity and positioning may encourage the formation of short sections of dsDNA (Figure 7B). This would then be the preferred substrate (containing both template strand and a 3’ hydroxyl primer) for the polymerase domain to bind and initiate synthesis. If the two strands brought together by the PolI-HLD were the result of a double-strand break that has undergone end...
resection by Mre11 or CtIP nuclease (Truong et al., 2013), the close positioning of these two strands by the helicase domain and subsequent joining by the polymerase domain would be a plausible model of how the polymerase and helicase domains of Polq are able to repair double-strand breaks by the MMEJ pathway (Figure 7C). We have attempted to develop an assay to test this activity in vitro, using DNA crosslinking and FRET-based assays to capture the possibly transient annealing of DNA strands containing only short (6 bp or less) microhomologies; however, we are unable to demonstrate this activity experimentally, possibly for technical reasons.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study we have determined the structure of Polq-HLD. The structure displays a number of deep cavities and pockets, some of which are sites of nucleotide or DNA binding, and some created by the arrangement of subunits in the Polq-HLD tetramer. It is conceivable that these cavities may represent “druggable” sites for the development of Polq-HLD inhibitors that could be used to specifically damage certain types of cancer cells deficient in HR (Ceccaldi et al., 2015; Higgins et al., 2010a). Comparisons with other helicases allow us to identify a number of unique features based on inserted regions, the most significant of which are additional helices of domain 4 that contribute to an extensive tetramer interface that we have shown to be stable in solution. It has previously been speculated that multimerization of the polymerase domain of Polq may be a requirement for some of its enzymatic activities (Kent et al., 2015; Zahn et al., 2015), and although the polymerase domain behaves primarily as a monomer on size-exclusion chromatography (Kent et al., 2015; Zahn et al., 2015), two potential dimer interfaces were identified in the crystal structure of the polymerase domain (Zahn et al., 2015). We note that it is possible in the context of the full-length protein for these symmetry elements to be combined, with each half of a single helicase domain tetramer connected to a dimer of the polymerase domain. The tetrameric arrangement of the helicase domain may also explain how Polq is able to promote chromosomal translocations (Mateos-Gomez et al., 2015), with the possibility of binding to, and promoting exchanges with, two distinct pairs of double-strand breaks within the same molecule.

Our enzymatic characterization of Polq-HLD showed relatively strong ssDNA-binding activity for various substrates, and a robust DNA-stimulated ATPase activity that is comparable with other helicases. In common with previous studies of Polq-HLD, we were unable to demonstrate any helicase activity. It is possible that this is due to the requirement for a specific substrate, although this is not generally the case for other helicases assayed in vitro with specialized functions.

We have modeled DNA in the Polq-HLD structure using the DNA from the A. fulgidus Hei308 DNA complex. We did not see any single obvious feature that would prevent Polq-HLD from functioning as a helicase, although the relatively short β-hairpin (Figure S3) and lack of conserved contacts in the ratchet helix indicate that the defect, if present, most likely lies in the ability to couple ATP hydrolysis to directional movement along the DNA. This is consistent with the fact that no global conformational changes were observed between the ADP- and AMP-PNP-bound states, and only relatively modest changes were observed when the nucleotide-bound states were compared with the apo state. This is similar to the situation observed in the Swi/Snf chromatin remodeling factors such as Rad54 (Thoma et al., 2005), which also lack helicase activity, yet the only significant difference that could be observed relative to related active helicases is the lack of an effective DNA unwinding wedge (Thoma et al., 2005).

We have also noticed that the symmetry of Polq-HLD would place the emerging ends of the 3’ overhangs in close proximity, and if short sections (4–6 bp) of complementarity existed between them, it is possible that one of the functions of the helicase domain could be to catalyze this strand-annealing step. In this context, the lack of helicase activity would begin to make sense as significant processive helicase activity would have the potential to introduce large mutagenic deletions to the repair pathway. The DNA substrate used in our modeling studies (14 nucleotides 3’ overhang with five bases of homology at the 3’ terminus) is similar to structures produced by Mre11/CtIP (Cannavo and Cejka, 2014) (15–20 nucleotides in yeast) and the preferred substrate for the polymerase domain (4–6 bp of homology with an overhang <18 bp) (Kent et al., 2015). While the Polq polymerase domain alone has recently been shown to catalyze both the strand-annealing and overhang extension stages of MMEJ in vitro (Kent et al., 2015), the demonstration of anti-recombinase activity linked specifically to the helicase domain (Ceccaldi et al., 2015) means that in vivo, the competing presence of a number of additional ssDNA-binding factors, for example RPA and RAD51, would make the first step relatively inefficient. The fact that the initial stages of both HR and MMEJ share the same substrate would place the two pathways in competition for the same cellular resource. In this context, the joining of a helicase with dedicated roles in displacing HR intermediates and DNA strand annealing to a polymerase capable of performing overhang extension from poorly annealed templates would be an elegant solution to the problem of double-strand break repair.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Protein Expression and Purification**

The Polq-HLD constructs (residues 1–894 and 67–894) were expressed with a His6 tag in baculovirus-infected insect cells. The proteins were purified by immobilized metal affinity chromatography, cleavage of the tag, and gel filtration.

**Crystallization and Structure Determination**

Crystals of AMP-PNP- and ADP-bound Polq-HLD were obtained at 20°C from solutions containing 19% PEG 3350, 0.2 M potassium citrate tribasic (pH 8.5), 10 mM MgCl₂, and 10 mM of either nucleotide, and the apo protein crystallized in 0.2 M NaCl, 0.1 M HEPES (pH 7.0), 20% PEG 6K, and 10% ethylene glycol. The structures were solved by molecular replacement with a P. furiuous HjN (Oyama et al., 2009) structure as a starting model. The structures were deposited in the PDB (PDB: 5A9J, 5AGA, 5APR).

**Analytical Size-Exclusion Chromatography**

Analytical online SEC-MALS was performed on a Viscotek TDA 305 system (Malvern Instruments) using a 15-ml Shodex KW-803 silica column (GE Healthcare).

**Analytical Ultracentrifugation**

Sedimentation velocity AUC experiments were performed on an XL-1 Analytical Ultracentrifuge (Beckman Coulter). Absorbance (280 nm) and interference data were analyzed with SEDFIT (Schuck, 2000) and SEDNTERP (Harding...
et al., 1993). Theoretical sedimentation coefficients of model proteins were calculated from Polθ-HLD structures using the program HYDROPRO (Ortega et al., 2011).

Small-Angle X-Ray Scattering
SAXS measurements of Polθ-HLD in solution were performed at Diamond Light Source beamline B21 using a BIOSAXS robot for sample loading. The data were reduced and buffer contributions subtracted with the DawnDiamond software suite, and analyzed using the program SCATTER (www.bioisis.net). Real-space scattering profiles of atomic models were calculated from atomic models using CRYSOL (Svergun et al., 1999) and aligned and scaled to the experimental data using PRIMUS (Konarev et al., 2003). The agreement between theoretical and experimental scattering profiles was evaluated using the χ²-free procedure (Rambo and Tainer, 2013) implemented in the program SCATTER.

DNA-Binding Assays
DNA binding was measured using fluorescence polarization. Using the oligonucleotides listed in Table S1, kinetic constants were calculated from binding curves with a four-parameter logarithmic binding equation using the program PRISM (GraphPad).

ATPase Activity Assays
ATPase activity of Polθ-HLD was measured using a pyruvate kinase, lactate dehydrogenase enzyme-linked absorbance assay (Norbey, 1988) with ATP concentrations between 3 μM and 0.8 mM. For DNA-stimulated ATPase, the reaction mix contained in addition 2 μM of single-stranded 18-bp DNA.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, four figures, and one table and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2015.10.014.
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Supplemental Information

Structure of the Helicase Domain of DNA Polymerase Theta Reveals a Possible Role in the Microhomology-Mediated End-Joining Pathway

Joseph A. Newman, Christopher D.O. Cooper, Hazel Aitkenhead, and Opher Gileadi
Figure S1, related to Figure 1- Comparison of Polθ-HLD core with archaeal Hel308/Hjm proteins. (A) Structural superposition of Polθ-HLD (blue), Hjm (green), A. fulgidus Hel308 (pink), and S. solfataricus Hel308 (yellow), on the basis of the D1 (upper) and D2 (lower) domains, with variable regions labelled. (B) Comparison of the D1-D2 conformation of the nucleotide and the 4 chains of the APO protein. All structures were superposed on the basis of the D2 domain alone. (C) Comparison of the D1-D2 domain conformations of Hjm (green), S. solfataricus Hel308 (yellow) and A. fulgidus Hel308 (pink) with PolQ. The semi-transparent image shows the position of the D1 domain when a structural superposition to PolQ is performed on the basis of the D2 domain alone, the solid image shows the altered position when superposed on the basis of the D1 domain alone. The black arrow shows an approximate vector describing the relative domain motion (shorter arrow is more similar conformation).
Figure S2, related to Figure 1B – Multiple sequence alignment of Polθ-HLD and selected homologues. Multiple sequence alignment was performed using CLUSTALW, and displayed using ESCRIPT. Secondary structural elements from the Polθ-HLD AMP-PNP structure (PDBid 5AGA) are displayed on top with important helicase regions highlighted in purple, and regions disordered in the 5AGA structure highlighted in green.
Figure S3, related to Figure 5- Polθ-HLD binding to single stranded DNA in low salt buffer. Error bars are plotted ± standard error of at least three independent replicates.
Figure S4, related to Figure 6- Comparison of the β-hairpins of Polθ-HLD, A. fulgidus Hel308 and Hjm. Stereo view of the β-hairpin regions of Polθ-HLD (blue, upper panel), A. fulgidus Hel308 (green, centre panel) and Hjm (pink, lower panel) with key residues labelled. The DNA from the A. fulgidus Hel308 DNA complex is shown in grey for reference throughout.
Table S1A, related to Experimental Procedures: DNA-binding assays. Sequences of oligonucleotides used in the fluorescence polarisation DNA binding assay

| Oligonucleotide name | Sequence |
|----------------------|----------|
| POLQ-1               | 5’ATCGATAGTCCGATCTCCATCTTAGACAGCTCCATGTCAGCAAGGCACCTGGTAGAATTAGCAGCTC (63 bases) |
| POLQ-2               | 5’GACGCTGCAGATCTACCTAGTGCCTTGCTCTAGAGATCAGGATCCGACATCGAT (63 bases) |
| POLQ-3               | 5’GACGCTGCGCAATTCTACCAGTGCCTTGCTAGGACATCTTTGCCCCACCTGACAGTTTACCG (61 bases) |
| POLQ-4               | 5’GGAGCTTGCTAGAGATCCGACTATCGA (28 bases) |
| POLQ-5               | 5’TGGGTGAACCTGCGGTTGGCAAGAT (28 bases) |
| POLQ-6               | 5’GGGTGAACCTGCGGAGTGGCAAGATCCATTAGGATCCCTTAGCCACCTGGTTTG (61 bases) |
| POLQ-7               | 5’CGTCTTTCAAACGGTCAGTGCTAAGGATCCACACTGATGGAGCTGTCTAGAGGA TCCGACTATCGAT (63 bases) |
| POLQ-8               | 5’GACGCTGCGCAATTCTACCAGTGCCTTGCTATTTTTTTTTTTTTTCTAGGAGCTGTCTAG AGGATCCGACTATCGAT (75 bases) |
| POLQ-9               | 5’GACGCTGCGCAATTCTACCAGTGCTTG (28 bases) |

* Oligonucleotide POLQ-1 was obtained with a Fluorescein isothiocyanate label on the 5’ end
Table S1B, related to Experimental Procedures: DNA-binding assays. Mixing scheme for DNA substrates

| Substrate name          | Structure | Oligonucleotides |
|-------------------------|-----------|------------------|
| Single stranded         | ![Single stranded structure](image) | POLQ-1           |
| Double stranded         | ![Double stranded structure](image) | POLQ-1 + POLQ-2  |
| 3’ Overhang             | ![3’ Overhang structure](image)   | POLQ-1 + POLQ-4  |
| Splayed duplex          | ![Splayed duplex structure](image)| POLQ-1 + POLQ-3  |
| 3’ Flap                 | ![3’ Flap structure](image)      | POLQ-1 + POLQ-3 + POLQ-4 |
| 3 way junction          | ![3 way junction structure](image)| POLQ-1 + POLQ-3 + POLQ-4 + POLQ-5 |
| 4 Way junction          | ![4 Way junction structure](image)| POLQ-1 + POLQ-3 + POLQ-6 + POLQ-7 |
| Looped duplex           | ![Looped duplex structure](image) | POLQ-1 + POLQ-8   |
| 5’ overhang             | ![5’ overhang structure](image)  | POLQ-1 + POLQ-9   |

In all cases the fluorophore was attached to the 5’ end of oligo POLQ-1 in the position marked by the 5 on the structure diagrams.
**Supplemental Experimental Procedures**

**Protein expression and purification.**

The Polθ-HLD constructs (residues 1-894 and 67-894) were cloned in to the baculovirus transfer vector pFB-LIC-Bse and transformed into *E. coli* DH10Bac to generate recombinant bacmids. Spodoptera frugiperda (Sf9) cells were infected with P2 virus (2x 10⁶ cells/ml), and proteins were expressed in 1 litre cultures at 27°C for 72hrs and harvested by centrifugation. For purification cell pellets were thawed and resuspended in buffer A (50 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 10 mM imidazole, 0.5 mM Tris (2-carboxyethyl) phosphene (TCEP)), with the addition of 1x protease inhibitor set VII (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Cells were lysed using sonication and cell debris pelleted by centrifugation. Lysates were applied to a Ni-IDA IMAC gravity flow column, washed with 2 column volumes of wash buffer (buffer A supplemented with 30 mM imidazole), and eluted with the addition of 300 mM imidazole in buffer A. The purification tag was cleaved with the addition of 1:20 mass ratio of His-tagged TEV protease during overnight dialysis into buffer B (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 5 % glycerol, 0.5 mM TCEP). TEV was removed by IMAC column rebinding and final protein purification was performed by size exclusion chromatography using a HiLoad 16/60 Superdex 200 column at 1 ml/min in buffer B. Protein concentrations were determined by measurement at 280nm (Nanodrop) using the calculated molecular mass and extinction coefficients.

**Crystallization and Structure Determination**

For crystallization Polθ-HLD was concentrated to 10 mg/ml using a 50,000 mwco centrifugal concentrator and exchanged to a buffer containing 10 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 250 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP. AMP-PNP and ADP bound crystals were obtained at 20°C from conditions containing 19% PEG 3350, 0.2M potassium citrate tribasic pH 8.5, 10 mM MgCl₂ and 10 mM of either AMP-PNP or ADP respectively. The apo protein was concentrated to 15 mg/ml and crystallized at 20°C from conditions containing 0.2 M NaCl, 0.1 M HEPES pH 7.0, 20 % PEG 6K and 10% ethylene glycol. Crystals were cryo-protected by transferring to a solution of mother liquor supplemented with 25 % ethylene glycol and flash-cooled in liquid nitrogen. Data were collected at diamond light source beamline I04-1 (AMP-PNP and ADP) and I03 (apo). Diffraction data were processed with the program XDS(Kabsch, 2010), and the structures were solved by molecular replacement using the program PHASER(McCoy et al., 2007) with *P.furiosis* Hjm(Oyama et al., 2009) structure as a starting model. Model building and real space refinement were performed in COOT(Emsley et al., 2010) and the structures refined using PHENIX REFINE(Adams et al., 2010). The structural coordinates and structure factors were
deposited in the Protein Data Bank, PDB: 5A9J (apo), 5AGA (AMP-PNP) and 5A9F (ADP). Structure validation summary reports can be retrieved from the PDB entries.

**Analytical Size Exclusion Chromatography**

Analytical size exclusion chromatography with on-line multiangle light scattering (SEC-MALS) was performed on a Viscotek TDA 305 system (Malvern Instruments Ltd) using a 15-ml Shodex KW-803 silica column (GE healthcare). 50 µl of the respective proteins were injected on to the column which was run at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min in a buffer containing 20 mM HEPES 7.5, 5% glycerol, 500 mM NaCl and 0.5 mM TCEP. Calibration was performed using the molecular weight standards thyroglobulin (670,000 Da), γ-globulin (158,000 Da), ovalbumin (44,000 Da), myoglobin (17,000 Da) and vitamin B<sub>12</sub> (1,350 Da), using the same buffer and flow rate. MALS data were analysed using the Omnisec software (Malvern Instruments Ltd) using Bovine serum albumin as an internal calibration sample.

**Analytical Ultracentrifugation**

Sedimentation velocity AUC experiments were performed on a XL-I Analytical Ultracentrifuge using a Ti-50 rotor and cells with double-sector centrepieces (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA). Experiments were performed with 0.35 mg/ml protein in 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, and 0.5 mM TCEP. Samples were filtered through a 0.22 µm syringe filter prior to analysis, employing a rotor speed of 40,000 rpm at 20°C, against a matched buffer reference. Absorbance (280 nm) and interference data were analyzed with SEDFIT (Schuck, 2000) to calculating c(s) distributions, and using partial specific volumes and buffer parameters calculated using the program SEDNTERP (Harding et al., 1992). Theoretical sedimentation coefficients of model proteins were calculated from Polθ-HLD structures using the program HYDROPRO (Ortega et al., 2011).

**Small angle X-ray scattering**

Small angle X-ray scattering measurements of Polθ-HLD in solution were performed at Diamond light source beamline B21 using a BIOSAXS robot for sample loading. Measurements were made using protein concentrations of 2.5, and 1.25 mg/ml in a buffer comprising 20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 250 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP, 1 mM ADP. The data were reduced and buffer contributions subtracted with the DawnDiamond software suite and analysed using the program SCATTER (www.bioisis.net). Real space scattering profiles of atomic models were calculated from atomic models using CRYSOL (Svergun et al., 1995) and aligned and scaled to the experimental data using PRIMUS (Konarev et al., 2003). The agreement between theoretical and experimental scattering profiles was evaluated using the χ<sup>2</sup> free procedure (Rambo and Tainer, 2013) implemented in the program SCATTER.
DNA binding assays

DNA binding was measured using a fluorescence polarisation based assay. DNA oligonucleotide substrates were prepared by mixing the oligonucleotide sequences and combinations listed in table S1. For all substrates the master strand was labelled on the 5’ end with Fluorescein isothiocyanate and oligonucleotides were mixed in the ratio 1:1.1 (slight excess on unlabelled oligonucleotide) at 5 mM final concentration in a buffer consisting of 10 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl before heating to 96°C and allowing to cool on a heat block over 2 hrs. Probes were used at a final concentration of 10 nM and binding experiments were performed in a buffer containing 10 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl.

Measurements were performed in 96 well plates (200 µl volume) in a POLARstar omega plate reader (BMG Labtech). Kinetic constants were calculated from binding curves using a 4 parameter logarithmic binding equation using the program PRISM (GraphPad).

ATPase activity assays

ATPase activity of Polθ-HLD was measured using a pyruvate kinase, lactate dehydrogenase enzyme linked absorbance assay(Norby, 1988) in which the loss of absorbance at 340 nM (6,250 cm⁻¹ M⁻¹) is coupled to ATP hydrolysis. The reaction mixtures (40 ul) contained 0.85 U Lactate Dehydrogenase, 4.2 U Pyruvate Kinase, 0.2 mM NADH, 0.5 mM Phosphoenolpyruvate, 20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, and ATP concentrations between 3 µM and 0.8 mM. For DNA stimulated ATPase the reaction mix contained in addition 2 µM of single stranded 18 bp DNA (ACTCGTCTCTAGCTTTTT). Reactions were initiated by the addition of protein (0.1 µM with DNA and 3.4 µM without) and measured over 15 minutes in a POLARstar omega plate reader (BMG Labtech). Kinetic constants were calculated from binding curves using a 4 parameter logarithmic binding equation using the program PRISM (GraphPad).
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