Facial Expression Recognition Based on Transfer Learning and SVM
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Abstract. The facial expression datasets always have a problem: data with small amount or large amounts of data but also with large noisy. Both problems will affect the facial expression recognition accuracy of the model. A transfer learning method for facial expression recognition is proposed by combining the Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) and Support Vector Machine (SVM). SVM have good performance on small data sets and CNN based on transfer learning have better ability of feature extraction for large noisy data set. This method reduces the training time of model and increase the facial expression recognition accuracy. The experimental results show that the accuracy of the proposed method on the CK+ and FER2013 data sets has reached 99.6% and 68.1%.
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1. Introduction
Facial expression recognition technology has a wide range of applications in the fields of driving safety, business and education. However, there are still many difficulties in facial expression recognition in practice. In the real expression dataset, there are usually the following problems: brightness effect (too bright or too dark), various face angles, occluder and more. The facial expression recognition based on deep learning is more effective than traditional method in these issues. In 2016, Zhao et al. [1] proposed peak-piloted deep network (PPDN). PPDN uses samples with peak expression (simple samples) to supervise the intermediate feature responses of the same type of non-peak expression samples (hard samples) from the same subject. PPDN performs well on the Oulu-CASIA and CK+ datasets. In 2021, Cui et al. [2] proposed improved VGGNet and improved Focal Loss which achieved extremely good performance in CK+, JAFFE and FER2013.

To improve the accuracy of facial expression recognition and enhance the generalization of the training model, the model based on the traditional Inception-ResNet-v1 [3] has been modified by replacing softmax with SVM. The new model is training by transfer learning. The improved model has better performance on CK+ [4] and FER2013 [5].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section II details proposed method; Section III introduce experiments preparation; results and analysis of experiments are in Section IV. Finally, we conclude our work and present future challenges in Section V.

2. The Proposed Methods
In our methods, the Inception-ResNet-v1 model derived from FaceNet [6] is adopted, which is trained on the VGGFace2. The dataset including more than three million images of various objects, with an
average of 362.6 images for each subject. Even though Inception-ResNet-v1 model is based on VGGFace2 which is specially organized to face recognition instead of facial expression recognition, the features are highly similar between face recognition and facial expression recognition. Therefore, some knowledge learned by Inception-ResNet-v1 model can be shared with the task of facial expressions recognition.

2.1. The Framework of the Proposed Method
The framework of the proposed method is based on the Inception-ResNet-v1 with replacing the softmax with SVM. Some layer parameters are transferred from the pre-trained model, so those layers will be frozen. Only training the unfrozen layers and SVM with new datasets. The overall architecture of our proposed method is shown in figure 1. And the main steps of our method are Fine-tuning Pre-trained Model and training classifiers.

Figure 1. The architecture of the proposed method.

Inception-ResNet-v1. Inception-ResNet-v1 is a convolutional neural network which combined Inception architecture with residual connections in 2017. The residual connections can accelerate the training of the Inception network with a small increase in accuracy. And the computational cost of Inception-ResNet-v1 is similar to Inception_v3 [7]. Also, this network is widely used in image classification.

Transfer Learning. Transfer learning is widely used in the field of image processing for improving learning and training efficiency. Principles of transfer learning is transferring information from the related domains (source domain) to another domains (target domains). In this paper, transfer learning is used to share the learned model’s parameters with the proposed model.

Support Vector Machine. Support Vector Machine is a supervised classification algorithm and a linear classifier with the largest interval in the feature space. SVM maps the vector from low-dimensional space to high-dimensional space using kernel function method to solve the nonlinear two-class classification problem [8].

2.2. Fine-Tuning Pre-trained Model
The pre-trained model is chosen in this paper is trained by Google in 2018 which has state-of-art performance in face recognition. The details of the pre-trained model are shown in table 1.

Table 1. Details of pre-trained model.

| Model name          | LFW accuracy | Training dataset | Architecture      |
|---------------------|--------------|------------------|-------------------|
| 20180402-114759     | 0.9965       | VGGFace2         | Inception-ResNet-v1 |

Firstly, the pre-trained model was loaded, and stem, 5xInception_resnet_A, Reduction_A, 5xInception_resnet_B and Reduction_B were freezeed. Secondly, the facial expression datasets were
input to train the rest layers and softmax. In this process, the weight coefficients of frozen layers won’t be updated.

2.3. Training Classifiers
The output of the network is embeddings. Therefore, the model is loaded without softmax above all. For calculating embeddings, facial expression datasets in models are input to run forward pass. Then machine learning classifiers are trained with those embeddings.

3. Experiments Preparation
This section includes data preparation and experiment environment. All the experiments were evaluated in a PC computer by running in the CentOS 7 system, with a 2.10 GHz Inter Xeon Gold 6230R CPU, 124.4G RAM and 1.0 TB hard disk. The software environment is TensorFlow-gpu (1.7.0) and python 2.7.

3.1. Data Preparation
In this part, three databases and data enhancement methods in experiments will be introduced. CK+ is lab-controlled data. SFEW [9] and FER2013 are wild environment data. All of them have seven emotion labels, which include six basic expressions. The details of emotion labels are shown in table 2.

| Dataset    | Six Common Basic Expressions | Plus       |
|------------|------------------------------|------------|
| CK+        | Anger                        | Disgust    | Contempt   |
| SFEW 2.0   | Fear                         | Sadness    | Neutral    |
| FER2013    | Happiness                    | Surprise   | Normal     |

3.2. DataSet Introduction
• CK+: The CK+ database is laboratory-controlled database. Sequences in CK+ show a shift from neutral expression to peak expression and shown in figure 2. Only the peak expression images are selected in our experiments.
• FER2013: The FER2013 database is large-scale and unconstrained. The image data of it is collected automatically by Google image search API. There are 28,709 training images, 3,589 test images and 3,989 validation images in FER2013.
• SFEW 2.0: The Static Facial Expression in the Wild (SFEW) database, which has been selected static frames from movies, is different from the laboratory-controlled database. There are 958 training images, 372 test images without labels and 436 validation images in SFEW 2.0.

![Figure 2. part of the sequences in CK+.](image)

3.3. Data Enhancement
In order to match Inception-ResNet-v1 model, it is necessary to preprocess and enhance the data. First, the images are resized to 160*160 to match the input size. Second, the original images are enlarged by flipping, brightening, darkening, adding GaussianNoise and GaussianBlur, rotating 180 degrees and
90 degrees, which also enhanced data. The examples of data enhancement are shown in figure 3. After enhancing data, there are 17,411 training images of CK+ and 33,039 training images of SFEW 2.0.

![Figure 3. Data enhancement.](image)

4. Experimental Results and Analysis

In order to illustrate the performance of our method, experiments have been done in this section. Firstly, models training from scratch and models training based on pre-train model are compared in three datasets. And then, combining those two type models with SVM (linear), SVM (rbf) and Random Forest. In addition, SVM (linear) means SVM with linear kernel and SVM (rbf) means SVM with gaussian kernel.

4.1. Model Performance Comparison

For better illustrate the performance of our method, the network architecture is Inception-ResNet-v1 in all models. And the details of the parameters are shown in table 3. Those parameters are choosing through many experiments.

| Parameters                   | Setting |
|------------------------------|---------|
| Learning Rate                | 0.1     |
| Batch Size                   | 128     |
| Epoch Size                   | 200     |
| Optimizer                    | ADAM    |
| Embedding Size               | 128     |
| Embedding Size               | 512     |
| Epoch Numbers (CK+)          | 10      |
| Epoch Numbers (SFEW 2.0)     | 10      |
| Epoch Numbers (FER2013)      | 50      |

The embedding size of the pre-trained model is 512. But setting embedding size is 128 for models training from scratch will have better performance than setting embedding size is 512. So, experimented on different embedding size settings. To check the effectiveness of our method quickly, small epoch numbers are chosen for different datasets. And the experimental results shown in table 4. Specifically, the rules of model code are “dataset + digital”. “1” means models training based on pre-train model. “2” means models training from scratch with embedding size is 512. “3” means models training from scratch with embedding size is 128.

In general, on the condition of same embedding size, models training based on pre-train model have significantly better performance for all datasets than models training from scratch and improved accuracy results by 5.571%-11.929%. Particularly, embedding size is a crucial factor in our experiments. In FER2013, FER3 even have subtly better performance than FER1.
4.2. Classifier Performance Comparison

In this part, six models which trained from last part are selected to replace softmax with the traditional machine learning classifiers. The parameters of the classifiers are shown in table 5. Those main parameters are choosing through many experiments.

**Table 4. Model performance results.**

| Model code | Dataset | Pre-trained model | Embedding size | Accuracy  |
|------------|---------|-------------------|----------------|-----------|
| CK1        | CK+     | ✓                 | 512            | 0.97714   |
| CK2        | CK+     | ×                 | 512            | 0.92143   |
| CK3        | CK+     | ×                 | 128            | 0.96714   |
| SFEW1      | SFEW 2.0| ✓                 | 512            | 0.57571   |
| SFEW2      | SFEW 2.0| ×                 | 512            | 0.51429   |
| SFEW3      | SFEW 2.0| ×                 | 128            | 0.52357   |
| FER1       | FER2013 | ✓                 | 512            | 0.68500   |
| FER2       | FER2013 | ×                 | 512            | 0.56571   |
| FER3       | FER2013 | ×                 | 128            | 0.69429   |

**Table 5. Parameters in classifiers.**

| Classifier    | Main setting                                      |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| SVM (linear)  | gamma=10, probability=True, C=10                 |
| SVM (rbf)     | gamma=10, probability=True, C=10                 |
| Random Forest | n_estimators=1000, max_depth=None                |

And the six models are CK1, CK2, CK3, FER1, FER2 and FER3. They are combining with machine learning classifiers and training separately on CK+ and FER2013. The results are shown in table 6.

**Table 6. Training on CK+ and FER2013.**

| CK+        | FER2013       |
|------------|---------------|
| Model code | Accuracy      | Trained time  | Model code | Accuracy | Trained time  |
|------------|---------------|---------------|------------|----------|---------------|
| CK1        | SVM (linear)  | 0.996         | 11.147s    | FER1     | SVM (linear)  | 0.681       | 40.019s     |
| CK1        | SVM (rbf)     | 0.991         | 456.312s   | FER1     | SVM (rbf)     | 0.679       | 846.796s    |
| CK1        | Random Forest | 0.996         | 272.248s   | FER1     | Random Forest | 0.681       | 991.081s    |
| CK2        | SVM (linear)  | 0.995         | 14.733s    | FER2     | SVM (linear)  | 0.490       | 3638.737s   |
| CK2        | SVM (rbf)     | 0.998         | 498.703s   | FER2     | SVM (rbf)     | 0.503       | 4168.842s   |
| CK2        | Random Forest | 0.993         | 393.786s   | FER2     | Random Forest | 0.520       | 1329.447s   |
| CK3        | SVM (linear)  | 0.998         | 5.008s     | FER3     | SVM (linear)  | 0.657       | 131.248s    |
| CK3        | SVM (rbf)     | 0.991         | 317.055s   | FER3     | SVM (rbf)     | 0.627       | 513.965s    |
| CK3        | Random Forest | 0.998         | 170.966s   | FER3     | Random Forest | 0.644       | 877.651s    |

In CK+, all types of classifiers achieved better performance than softmax, especially SVM (linear) improved accuracy results by 1.886%~7.357%. In some case, Random Forest and SVM (linear) do have same accuracy. However, the training time of Random Forest is more than 20 times that of SVM (linear). In FER2013, SVM (linear) is also the best choice among the comparison classifiers. The classifiers combined with FER1 better than others. And that proved FER1 have the best ability of feature extraction. Comprehensively, SVM (linear) achieved the best performance for most of the
cases. Specially point out, classifiers combined with CK2 or FER2 all have significantly different performance with others.

Compared with classifiers combined with CK3 or FER3, the more suitable embedding size for facial expression recognition must be 128. And transfer learning can improve models’ ability of feature extraction. Additionally, the effect of embedding size is particularly obvious. In tables 4 and 7, the “dataset” +2 is always worse than “dataset” +3. It seems 128 is the suitable embedding size for face expression recognition. Far more, we compared our models with others in CK+ and FER2013. The results show that our models all have good performance in accuracy. The result shows in table 7.

Table 7. Comparison with different methods on CK+ and FER2013.

| Method          | CK+  | Accuracy | Method          | FER2013 | Accuracy |
|-----------------|------|----------|-----------------|---------|----------|
| Zeng [10]       | 97.35% | Zeng [10] | 61.86%          |         |          |
| Nwosu L [11]    | 97.71% | Bag of words [14] | 67.40% |         |          |
| M-MobileNet [12]| 99.29% | proposed method | 68.1%  |         |          |
| EM-AlexNet [13] | 94.25% | I_FL [2] | 72.49%          |         |          |
| Proposed method | 99.6%  | VGG [15] | 73.28%          |         |          |

Comparing with excellent models, proposed model still slightly improved the accuracy by 0.31%~5.35%. On FER2013, proposed model not achieved the state-of-art performance but better than 65%. Human accuracy achieved on this dataset is at par with 65% [11].

5. Conclusions

This paper combined Inception-ResNet-v1 with SVM and training model by transfer learning. Also, embedding size verified in this paper is a crucial factor for face expression recognition and 128 is the suitable choice. This work can be further extended to training new face recognition model with 128 embedding size as pre-trained model. Also, studying at improve Loss function is another way.
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