Local structural changes in paramagnetic and charge-ordered phases of Sm\(_{0.2}\)Pr\(_{0.3}\)Sr\(_{0.5}\)MnO\(_3\): an EXAFS study
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Abstract

Sm\(_{0.5-x}\)Pr\(_x\)Sr\(_{0.5}\)MnO\(_3\) exhibits a variety of ground states as \(x\) is varied from 0 to 0.5. At an intermediate doping of \(x = 0.3\) a charge-ordered CE-type antiferromagnetic insulating (AFI) ground state is seen. The transition to this ground state is from a paramagnetic-insulating (PMI) phase through a ferromagnetic-metallic phase (FMM). Local structures in PMI and AFI phases of the \(x = 0.3\) sample have been investigated using Pr K-edge and Sm K-edge extended x-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS). It can be seen that the tilting and rotation of the MnO\(_6\) octahedra about the \(b\)-axis are responsible for the charge-ordered CE-type antiferromagnetic ground state at low temperatures. In addition a shift in the position of the rare-earth ion along the \(c\)-axis has to be considered to account for observed distribution of bond distances around the rare-earth ion.

(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

1. Introduction

Colossal magneto-resistive (CMR) materials have provided an opportunity to understand the intricate relationship between their structural, electronic and magnetic degrees of freedom. The magnetic order in these materials is essentially ferromagnetic which is due to parallel alignment of the spin of the hopping \(e_g\) electron between Mn\(^{3+}\)–O–Mn\(^{4+}\) pairs caused by the double exchange mechanism [1]. Study of these materials becomes more complex at low temperatures owing to the rich magnetic, electronic and structural phases exhibited by them. The recent finding of the coexistence of ferroelectricity and magnetism in manganites has only added to the existing complexity [2–4]. Khomskii and co-workers [5] have pointed out that coupling between magnetic and charge-ordering in charge-ordered and orbital-ordered perovskites can give rise to ferroelectric magnetism. Although the CMR properties have been investigated extensively, the physics behind their varied low temperature ground states is still unclear. The search for a microscopic picture of the ground state especially in half-doped manganites remains a very active research field.

Compounds belonging to the series Sm\(_{0.5-x}\)Pr\(_x\)Sr\(_{0.5}\)MnO\(_3\) (0 \(\leq x \leq 0.5\)) exhibit significantly different low temperature ground states with \(x\) and provide an opportunity to understand the causes for attaining such varied ground states. In this series, end member Sm\(_{0.5}\)Sr\(_{0.5}\)MnO\(_3\) undergoes a paramagnetic-insulating (PMI) to ferromagnetic-metallic (FMM) phase transition below 95 K [6–8]. The other end member Pr\(_{0.5}\)Sr\(_{0.5}\)MnO\(_3\) exhibits a PMI phase at RT, a FMM phase below 255 K and an orbitally-ordered antiferromagnetic-insulating (AFI) phase below 140 K [9, 10]. However, at the intermediate doping level of \(x = 0.3\), Pr\(_{0.3}\)Sm\(_{0.2}\)Sr\(_{0.5}\)MnO\(_3\) exhibits a CE-type charge-ordered (CO) state below 155 K starting from a PMI phase at RT and a FMM phase in the temperature range 215–155 K [6]. Presence of the CO state, for the intermediate doping, evokes interest in understanding the role of rare-earth ions in inducing the CO ground state in the material.

The role of the weighted average radius (\(\langle r_A \rangle\)) of the A-site cation and the size mismatch of A-site cations is well known...
in CO materials [6, 11–15]. A smaller A-site cation size is reported to support the charge-ordered state due to the smaller $\varepsilon_g$ electron bandwidth ($W$) whereas a greater size mismatch in A-site cations has been reported as destroying charge-ordering and rendering the manganite ferromagnetic [11]. In all these investigations, the role of Mn–O–Mn bond angle and also Mn–O bond distance have been the center of focus in deciding the ground state of these materials. Ferromagnetic double exchange, antiferromagnetic superexchange, Jahn–Teller (JT) distortion of manganese octahedra and the charge-ordered state invariably depend upon these quantities. The multiferroic properties of manganites have also been attributed to tilting of polyhedra or frustrated magnetism [16–18]. Some studies on the properties of manganites have also been attributed to tilting of MnO$_6$ octahedra and the charge-ordered state invariably depend upon these quantities. The multiferroic properties of manganites have also been attributed to tilting of polyhedra or frustrated magnetism [16–18].

In all these investigations, the role of Mn–O–Mn bond angle and also Mn–O bond distance have been the center of focus in deciding the ground state of these materials. Ferromagnetic double exchange, antiferromagnetic superexchange, Jahn–Teller (JT) distortion of manganese octahedra and the charge-ordered state invariably depend upon these quantities. The multiferroic properties of manganites have also been attributed to tilting of polyhedra or frustrated magnetism [16–18].

Hence, the local structure around Mn sites needs to be probed carefully to understand the role of MnO$_6$ octahedra in the various phases exhibited by such samples. Such investigations using Mn K-edge EXAFS have been undertaken earlier in some CMR materials [21–25]. However, a similar Mn K-edge EXAFS study on Sm$_{0.3}$Pr$_{0.2}$Sr$_{0.5}$MnO$_3$ is not possible as Mn K-edge EXAFS is severely affected by EXAFS of Pr/Sm L-edges. Recently it has been shown that [26] that information about MnO$_6$ octahedra could be deduced from the study of rare-earth K-edge EXAFS. Hence it will be interesting to investigate the local structure of A-site cations in various phases of a CO compound in order to gain an insight on the role of MnO$_6$ octahedra in stabilizing a particular ground state. We report herein EXAFS of the A-site cation (Pr/Sm) in PMI and CE-type AFI charge-ordered phases of Sm$_{0.2}$Pr$_{0.3}$Sr$_{0.5}$MnO$_3$.

2. Experimental details

A polycrystalline Sm$_{0.2}$Pr$_{0.3}$Sr$_{0.5}$MnO$_3$ sample was synthesized using the conventional solid-state reaction method using the preparation technique mentioned in [6]. The samples were characterized by XRD, resistivity and AC susceptibility measurements. X-ray powder diffraction patterns were recorded with Cu Kα radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å) at room temperature between 20 = 20° and 100° with a step 0.02° on a Siemens D5000 diffractometer. Low field ac susceptibility measurements were carried out during warming from liquid nitrogen temperature to 300 K. A standard four-probe method was used to measure the resistivity of the samples in the temperature interval of 80–300 K. EXAFS spectra at Pr and Sm K-edges were recorded using the BL01B1 XAFS beam-line at SPring-8. The Si(311) crystal plane served as the monochromator. The first AC susceptibility as a function of temperature presented in figure 1 and 3 and the four-probe resistivity measurements (not shown) performed on these samples closely match with those reported in literature [6].

Figure 1. Room temperature XRD pattern of Sm$_{0.3}$Pr$_{0.2}$Sr$_{0.5}$MnO$_3$. The solid line is the calculated pattern for the Pbnm structure and the markers below indicate positions of Bragg reflections.

3. Results and discussion

Room temperature XRD patterns of the sample, presented in figure 1, show a primarily single phase sample. No crystallographic data is available in literature on this sample. However, the diffraction pattern is very similar to that of Sm$_{0.3}$Sr$_{0.5}$MnO$_3$ which is reported to have an orthorhombic structure [28]. Indexing our diffraction pattern with the Pnma space group identifies all the reflections and gives lattice parameters as $a = 5.4301$ Å, $b = 7.6471$ Å, $c = 5.4733$ Å. AC susceptibility as a function of temperature presented in figure 2 and the four-probe resistivity measurements (not shown) performed on these samples closely match with those reported in literature [6].

Weighted EXAFS spectra, $k\chi(k)$ versus $k$ plots of Sm$_{0.2}$Pr$_{0.3}$Sr$_{0.5}$MnO$_3$ at room temperature (RT) at Pr and Sm K-edges are presented in figure 3. It can be seen that EXAFS oscillations are visible up to 16 Å$^{-1}$ indicating the usable data range. Fourier transforms (FT) (not corrected for phase shift) of Pr K-edge EXAFS in Pr$_{0.3}$Sm$_{0.2}$Sr$_{0.5}$MnO$_3$ at RT and 50 K are presented in figure 4. New features appear at around 2.4 and 3.25 Å at 50 K as compared to that at room temperature. This is perhaps due to redistribution of Pr–O coordination numbers at 50 K. In addition, a slight shift of the main peak in the FT towards higher $R$-side indicates longer Pr–Mn bonds...
Figure 2. AC susceptibility versus temperature plot of Sm$_{0.2}$Pr$_{0.3}$Sr$_{0.5}$MnO$_3$. Vertical arrows indicate paramagnetic to ferromagnetic and charge-ordering transitions.

Figure 3. $k$ weighted room temperature (300 K) EXAFS data plots at the Pr and Sm K-edge in Sm$_{0.2}$Pr$_{0.3}$Sr$_{0.5}$MnO$_3$.

Figure 4. Magnitude of Fourier transforms of Pr K-edge EXAFS in Sm$_{0.2}$Pr$_{0.3}$Sr$_{0.5}$MnO$_3$ at 300 and 50 K.

Figure 5. Back transformed Pr K-edge EXAFS in Sm$_{0.2}$Pr$_{0.3}$Sr$_{0.5}$MnO$_3$ in $k$-space. Circles represent experimental data and the line represents the best fit.

at 50 K. It reflects a gradual increase in Pr–Mn bond lengths from RT to 50 K.

Pr/Sm K-edge EXAFS were fitted with $k^4$ weighting in the $k$-range of 2 to 16 Å$^{-1}$ and in the $R$-range between 1 and 3.5 Å to include Pr/Sm–O and Pr/Sm–Mn bond lengths. As no structural report is available for this compound, the theoretical EXAFS function ($\chi(k)$) including amplitude and phase, coordination numbers and bond distances (given as calculated bond lengths in tables 1 and 2) were calculated with FEFF6.01 [30] using the crystallographic data available for the isostructural and charge-ordered compound, Nd$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$MnO$_3$ [29] with lattice parameters obtained from XRD. The value of $k_{\text{max}} = 16$ Å$^{-1}$ gives a resolution in $R$-space of about 0.1 Å. Therefore all the Pr/Sm–O bond lengths with differences less than 0.1 Å were grouped together. For example, Pr has one oxygen neighbor at 2.418 Å (written as $2.418 \times 1$) and 2 oxygens at 2.443 Å. These were clubbed together as one bond distance at 2.43 Å with a coordination number 3. Thus three Pr–O bond lengths at 2.43, 2.69 and 3.06 Å with coordination numbers of 3, 6 and 3 respectively and two Pr–Mn bond lengths at 3.23 and 3.35 Å with coordination number 2 and 6 respectively were realized. Using these constraints the theoretical EXAFS function was fitted to the experimental data with the bond length and mean square relative displacement ($\sigma^2$) along with amplitude reduction factor and $\Delta E_0$ as variable parameters. The coordination numbers were kept fixed to the above distribution. The final fitted parameters for Pr K-edge EXAFS are presented in table 1 and fitting in $k$-space is shown in figure 5. It can be seen that the one of the three Pr–O bond length obtained from EXAFS analysis is much longer than the one calculated from XRD. It must be noted here that one obtains an average picture from XRD and hence local distortions in the structure are masked in comparison with EXAFS, which is essentially a local probe. Here, since there are three cations (Pr, Sm and Sr) occupying the A-site, such a distortion could be expected. The EXAFS at 50 K cannot be fitted with the above distribution of bond lengths and coordination numbers. Different combinations of coordination numbers were tried and the best fits were obtained for two cases: one with a coordination number of 4 for all
three Pr–O and two Pr–Mn bond lengths and second one with a 6, 3 and 3 combination for three Pr–O bond lengths and 4 + 4 for Pr–Mn bond lengths. The second model was rejected as it gave negative \( \sigma^2 \) for one of the Sm–O bond lengths when it was applied to Sm K EXAFS. Fourier transforms of the Sm K-edge EXAFS of Sm\(_{0.3}\)Pr\(_{0.7}\)Sm\(_{0.3}\)MnO\(_3\) and their fitting in k-space are presented in figures 6 and 7 respectively. Sm–O and Sm–Mn bond lengths are relatively smaller compared to the corresponding Pr–O and Pr–Mn bond lengths (table 2), due to the smaller ionic radius of the Sm ion. However, the changes in Sm–O and Sm–Mn distances in going from 300 to 50 K are similar to those in Pr–O and Pr–Mn respectively. Furthermore, it may also be noted from tables 1 and 2 that there is an overall contraction of Pr/Sm–O bonds and expansion of Pr/Sm–Mn bonds as the system evolves from the PMI (300 K) to CO (50 K) state.

Local structure investigations on this material are modeled on the orthorhombic structure in space group \( Pmna \). In going from the PMI to CO state most of the Pr/Sm–O and Pr/Sm–Mn bond lengths remain nearly similar. The changes happen in the coordination numbers which change from \( 3 + 6 + 3 \) to \( 4 + 4 + 4 \) for Pr/Sm–O bond lengths and from \( 2 + 6 + 4 + 4 \) for Pr/Sm–Mn bond lengths. The Pr/Sm–O bond length at about 2.6 Å at 300 K is made up of 4 FEFF calculated Pr/Sm–O bond lengths ranging from 2.59 to 2.88 Å. This wide distribution in the bond distances is reflected in the corresponding value of \( \sigma^2 \) which is more than 0.02 Å. The changes in Pr/Sm–O coordination numbers at RT and 50 K (tables 1 and 2) can be understood in terms of rotation and tilting of Mn–O octahedra along the \( b \)-axis. In the charge-ordered state, the neighboring Mn\(_b\) octahedra rotate about the \( b \)-axis in opposite directions as shown in figure 8. This cooperative rotation effect affects mainly the Pr/Sm–O distances in the \( ab \) and \( bc \) planes. In effect one of the six bond lengths at 2.6 Å shortens to 2.44 Å while another one in the diagonally opposite plane elongates to \( \approx 3.25 \) Å. At room temperature, oxygen ions in Mn–O–Mn chain along \( b \)-axis are off-axis resulting in a Mn–O–Mn bond angle of \( \approx 174^\circ \). This Mn–O–Mn bond angle decreases in the CO state due to tilting of the octahedra and results in shortening of one of the Pr/Sm–O bonds and lengthening

---

**Table 1.** Structural parameters of Pr ion in Sm\(_{0.3}\)Pr\(_{0.7}\)Sm\(_{0.3}\)MnO\(_3\). Figures in brackets indicate uncertainty in the last digit.

| Bond | \( 300 \) K Bond length × CN\(^\ddagger \) | \( \sigma^2 \) \( (\text{Å})^2 \) | \( 50 \) K Bond length × CN\(^\ddagger \) | \( \sigma^2 \) \( (\text{Å})^2 \) |
|------|------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Pr–O | 2.418 × 1 2.443 × 2                       | 2.432(1) × 3 0.007(2) | 2.423(8) × 4 0.010(1) |
| Pr–O | 2.591 × 1 2.633 × 2                       | 2.639(2) × 6 0.023(4) | 2.602(1) × 4 0.013(2) |
| Pr–O | 3.026 × 1 3.085 × 2                       | 3.270(2) × 3 0.002(1) | 3.320(2) × 4 0.004(1) |
| Pr–Mn| 3.233 × 2                                | 3.266(1) × 2 0.004(1) | 3.285(1) × 4 0.003(5) |
| Pr–Mn| 3.303 × 2 3.346 × 2                       | 3.373(5) × 6 0.006(6) | 3.374(5) × 4 0.002(6) |

\(^\ddagger \) Coordination number.

---

**Table 2.** Structural parameters of Sm ion in Sm\(_{0.3}\)Pr\(_{0.7}\)Sm\(_{0.3}\)MnO\(_3\). Figures in brackets indicate uncertainty in the last digit.

| Bond | \( 300 \) K Bond length × CN\(^\ddagger \) | \( \sigma^2 \) \( (\text{Å})^2 \) | \( 50 \) K Bond length × CN\(^\ddagger \) Bond Fitted (Å) | \( \sigma^2 \) \( (\text{Å})^2 \) |
|------|------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Sm–O | 2.418 × 1 2.443 × 2                       | 2.415(1) × 3 0.009(2) | 2.446(8) × 4 0.008(1) |
| Sm–O | 2.591 × 1 2.633 × 2                       | 2.645(2) × 6 0.033(4) | 2.579(1) × 4 0.033(2) |
| Sm–O | 3.026 × 1 3.085 × 2                       | 3.103(2) × 3 0.007(1) | 3.298(2) × 4 0.004(1) |
| Sm–Mn| 3.233 × 2                                | 3.272(1) × 2 0.004(1) | 3.267(1) × 4 0.011(5) |
| Sm–Mn| 3.303 × 2 3.346 × 2                       | 3.339(5) × 6 0.009(6) | 3.343(5) × 4 0.0055(6) |

\(^\ddagger \) Coordination number.
Figure 6. Magnitude of Fourier transforms of Sm K-edge EXAFS in Sm$_{0.2}$Pr$_{0.3}$Sr$_{0.5}$MnO$_3$ at 300 and 50 K.

Figure 7. Back transformed Sm K-edge EXAFS in Sm$_{0.2}$Pr$_{0.3}$Sr$_{0.5}$MnO$_3$ in $k$-space. Circles represent experimental data and the best fit to the data is shown as a line.

of the other Pr/Sm–O bond. The reduced Mn–O–Mn angle also decreases the double exchange transport in the Mn–O–Mn chain rendering the sample insulating.

In the CO phase the crystal structure is reported as belonging to the $P2_1/n$ space group [29]. The Pr/Sm–O and Pr/Sm–Mn bond lengths generated from this space group can be grouped into $6+3+3$ and $2+6$ respectively. It has already been mentioned that the $6+3+3$ distribution of Sm–O bond lengths resulted in negative $\sigma^2$ or a much higher $R$-factor of fitting. However, even with this distribution of oxygens around the rare-earth ion, Pr/Sm–Mn coordination numbers had to be $4+4$ and not $2+6$. This change in the distribution of Mn ions around the rare-earth can only be reconciled if one assumes a shift of the rare-earth or the Mn ion along the $c$-axis. Shifting of the rare-earth ion along the $c$-axis decreases two Pr/Sm–Mn bond lengths from 3.36 to 3.28 Å. Such a shift of cations has been reported in CE-type charge-ordered compounds [29, 31].

The cooperative rotation of Mn–O octahedra observed here indirectly from the changes in Pr/Sm–O bond lengths also hint towards JT distortions. JT distortion results in an unequal distribution of Mn–O bond lengths in Mn$^{3+}$O$_6$ octahedra. The rotation of MnO$_6$ octahedra along the $b$-axis, moves one of the oxygen ions closer to a particular Mn site, simultaneously increasing its distance from another Mn ion in the neighboring octahedra. Such a type of unequal bond distribution in neighboring MnO$_6$ octahedra results in JT distortions and is known in CE-type charge-ordered materials [29, 31].

The overlap between O(2$p_\sigma$) and 4f orbitals of rare-earth cations is one of the probable causes for inducing the charge-ordered state in manganites [13, 20]. In the present case, shifting of RE cations increases the overlap between 4f orbitals of rare-earth cation and O(2$p_\sigma$) orbitals. Such an overlap reduces itinerant electron density in the $e_g$ band. Furthermore, tilting and rotation of the MnO$_6$ octahedra reduce the Mn–O–Mn angle, thereby decreasing the ferromagnetic double exchange transfer in Mn–O–Mn chains. As a combined result, with 3d$_{x^2−y^2}$ orbitals of depleted electron density and a rotation of MnO$_6$ octahedra the ferromagnetic double exchange between Mn$^{3+}$−O−Mn$^{4+}$ Zener pairs is drastically reduced. Moreover, the unequal Mn–O distance in the O–Mn–O chain strengthens the antiferromagnetic superexchange between the $t_{2g}$ electrons. Hence, the shifting of the RE ion and rotation together with tilting of MnO$_6$ octahedra drive the material into a CE-type AFI state at low temperatures.

4. Conclusion

We have investigated the local structure of MnO$_6$ octahedra in a Sm$_{0.2}$Pr$_{0.3}$Sr$_{0.5}$MnO$_3$ sample with the help of Pr and Sm K-edge EXAFS. In this case the transition to the CO ground state is strongly associated with the local structural environment of A-site cation. The CO state in Sm$_{0.2}$Pr$_{0.3}$Sr$_{0.5}$MnO$_3$ arises from the combined effect of rotation and tilting of MnO$_6$ octahedra and a shifting of the RE cations. Rotation and tilting of MnO$_6$ octahedra leads to a reduced Mn–O–Mn angle and a shifting of the RE cations increases the overlap between O$_{2p_\sigma}$ and 4f orbitals of A-site cations thereby decreasing the carrier density in the $e_g$ level. This effect reduces ferromagnetic exchange between Zener pairs and strengthens antiferromagnetic superexchange interactions. Trapping of $e_g$ electrons can be viewed as the JT polaronic effect that leads to the charge-ordering state.
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