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Abstract

This paper is a continuation and a partial summary of our analysis of the pairing at a quantum-critical point (QCP) in a metal for a set of quantum-critical systems, whose low-energy physics is described by an effective model with dynamical electron-electron interaction $V(\Omega_m) = (\bar{g}/|\Omega_m|)^{\gamma}$ (the $\gamma$-model). Examples include pairing at the onset of various spin and charge density-wave and nematic orders and pairing in SYK-type models. In previous papers, we analyzed the physics for $\gamma < 2$. We have shown that the onset temperature for the pairing $T_p$ is finite, of order $\bar{g}$, yet the gap equation at $T = 0$ has an infinite set of solutions within the same spatial symmetry. As the consequence, the condensation energy $E_c$ has an infinite number of minima. The spectrum of $E_c$ is discrete, but becomes more dense as $\gamma$ increases. Here we consider the case $\gamma = 2$. The $\gamma = 2$ model attracted special interest in the past as it describes the pairing by an Einstein phonon in the limit when the dressed phonon mass $\omega_D$ vanishes. We show that for $\gamma = 2$, the spectrum of $E_c$ becomes continuous. We argue that the associated gapless "longitudinal" fluctuations destroy superconducting phase coherence at a finite $T$, such that at $0 < T < T_p$ the system displays pseudogap behavior of preformed pairs. We show that for each gap function from the continuum spectrum, there is an infinite array of dynamical vortices in the upper half-plane of frequency. For the electron-phonon case, our results show that $T_p = 0.1827\bar{g}$, obtained in earlier studies, marks the onset of the pseudogap behavior, while the actual superconducting $T_c$ vanishes at $\omega_D \to 0$.

I. INTRODUCTION.

This work presents a continuation and a partial summary of our analysis of the interplay between non-Fermi liquid (NFL) physics and superconductivity for a set of quantum-critical (QC) itinerant systems, whose low-energy dynamics can be described by an effective model of spin-full electrons with dynamical interaction on the Matsubara axis $V(\Omega_m) = (\bar{g}/|\Omega_m|)^{\gamma}$ (the $\gamma$-model). Examples include pairing near spin-density-wave, charge-density wave, and Ising-nematic instabilities in isotropic and anisotropic 3D and 2D systems, pairing of fermions at a half-filled Landau level, pairing of dispersion-less fermions randomly coupled to phonons, and so on. We listed the examples in the first paper in the series, Ref. [1], and discussed earlier works. In that and subsequent papers [1][4], hereafter called Papers I-IV, we analyzed the $\gamma$-model with exponents $0 < \gamma < 2$. We rationalized Eliashberg-type
approach, solved generalized Eliashberg equations, and found that the solution with a non-zero pairing gap develops below a finite $T_p$, which for a generic $\gamma = O(1)$ is of order $\bar{g}$. The corresponding gap function $\Delta(k, \omega_m)$ can be roughly approximated as $\Delta(\omega_m) f(k)$, where a normalized $f(k)$ has a particular spatial symmetry ($d$-wave, $s^{+-}$, etc), and $\Delta(\omega_m)$ is a sign-preserving function of Matsubara frequency, whose amplitude increases with decreasing $T$. At $T = 0$, $\Delta(0)$ is of order $T_p$ (the ratio $\Delta(0)/T_p$ is a $\gamma$-dependent number $^5$ $^6$). In this respect, the pairing at a QCP is similar to pairing away from a QCP, when $V(\Omega_m)$ saturates at a finite value at $\Omega_m = 0$. However, on a more careful look, we found qualitative difference between the two cases. Namely, away from a QCP, Eliashberg gap equation at $T = 0$ has at most a finite number of solutions with a given spatial symmetry. At a QCP, it has an infinite number of solutions for $\Delta(\omega)$, i.e., the condensation energy, $E_c$, has an infinite number of local minima. The solutions $\Delta_n(\omega_m)$, labeled by an integer $n$, are topologically distinct in the sense that $\Delta_n(\omega_m)$ changes sign $n$ times along the positive Matsubara axis, and each such point is a vortex in the upper half-plane of frequency.

The ultimate goal of our studies of the $\gamma$-model is to understand how the existence of an infinite set of solutions affects the interplay between pairing (i.e., the appearance of a non-zero $\Delta(\omega)$) and a true superconductivity. In a conventional Eliashberg theory (ET) of SC out of a non-critical Fermi liquid, phase fluctuations are small in the same parameter that allows one to neglect vertex corrections. In this situation, the onset temperature for the pairing, $T_p$, and the actual superconducting $T_c$ nearly coincide. To address a possible reduction of superconducting $T_c$ by phase fluctuations, one then has to include the effects not incorporated into ET, e.g., a localization of electrons when the interaction exceeds the fermionic bandwidth. We analyze whether the emergence of an infinite number of solutions for the gap at a QCP gives rise to a substantial reduction of $T_c/T_p$ ratio already when the interaction is smaller than the fermionic bandwidth. For $\gamma < 2$, which we analyzed in Papers I-IV, the spectrum of the condensation energies, $E_{c,n}$, is discrete, and $E_{c,0}$ is the largest. In this situation, the physics at small $T$ is determined by a single solution $\Delta_0(\omega_m)$, and phase fluctuations are weak. We showed, however, that the set becomes more dense at $\gamma$ increases: the ratios $(E_{c,n+1} - E_{c,n})/E_{c,n}$ get progressively smaller.

In this paper, we analyze the case $\gamma = 2$. We argue that for this $\gamma$, the set of the gap functions and the spectrum of the condensation energies become continuous. Specifically, at $\gamma = 2 - \delta$, and $\delta = 0^+$, $\Delta_n(\omega_m)$ with $n < 1/\delta$ become equal to $\Delta_0(\omega_m)$ for all $\omega_m > 0$, and
become equal to $E_{c,0}$, while $\Delta_n(\omega_m)$ and $E_{c,n}$ with infinite $n > 1/\delta$ form a continuous, one-parameter gapless spectra, $\Delta_\xi(\omega_m) \ E_{c,\xi}$. Here $\xi$ is a continuous variable, that runs between zero and infinity and depends on how the double limit $n \to \infty$ and $\delta \to 0$ is taken (we define $\xi$ such that the minimum of $E_{c,\xi}$ is at $\xi = 0$, and $E_{c,\infty} = 0$). We argue that fluctuation corrections to superconducting order parameter from $E_{c,\xi}$ destroy long-range superconducting order at any finite $T$. We emphasize that this holds for itinerant fermions, in the limit when the interaction is smaller than the bandwidth, and the ET is rigorously justified.

We present a corroborative evidence that the $\gamma = 2$ model is critical. It comes from the analysis of the gap equation on the real frequency axis and in the upper half-plane of frequency, $z = \omega + i\omega''$, $\omega'' > 0$. The gap function $\Delta(z)$ generally cannot be obtained from $\Delta(\omega_m)$ by just replacing $i\omega_m$ by $z$ as such gap function is not guaranteed to be analytic. To obtain an analytic function, one has to perform a more sophisticated analysis [7–10]. As a consequenc, $\Delta(\omega)$ on the real axis can be quite different from $\Delta(\omega_m)$. For the $\gamma$-model, some difference is expected on general grounds, particularly for $\gamma > 1$, because while the interaction $V(\Omega_m)$ on the Matsubara axis is positive (attractive) for all $\gamma$, the one on the real axis is complex, $V(\Omega) = e^{i\pi\gamma/2 \text{sgn} \Omega} / |\Omega|^\gamma$, and its real part $V'(\Omega) = (\bar{g} / |\Omega|)^\gamma \cos \pi \gamma / 2$ becomes repulsive for $\gamma > 1$.

In Paper IV we compared the forms of $\Delta_n(\omega_m)$ and $\Delta_n(\omega)$ for $1 < \gamma < 2$. We found that at small $\omega, \omega_m < \bar{g}$ and at large $\omega, \omega_m > \bar{g}(|\log (2 - \gamma)/|2 - \gamma|)^{1/2}$, the two gap functions transfer into each other under a rotation $i\omega_m \to \omega$. However, at intermediate $\bar{g} < \omega, \omega_m < (|\log (2 - \gamma)/|2 - \gamma|)^{1/2}$, $\Delta_n(\omega_m) = a_n / |\omega_m|^\gamma$ is a sign-preserving function of frequency, while $\Delta_n(\omega) = |\Delta_n(\omega)| e^{i\eta_n(\omega)}$ oscillates, and its phase $\eta_n(\omega)$ winds up by $2\pi k_\gamma$, where $k_\gamma$ is an integer, which depends on $\gamma$, but not on $n$. We extended the analysis to complex $z$ in the upper frequency half-plane and showed that there exists an array of $k$ dynamical vortices, centered at some complex $z_i$.

Here we show that for $\gamma = 2$, the gap functions on the real axis form a continuous set, each $\Delta_\xi(\omega)$ oscillates up to an infinite frequency, and its phase winds up by an infinite number of $2\pi$. Accordingly, the number of vortices at $z_i$ becomes infinite, and the array of $z_i$ extends up to an infinite frequency, where, we argue, each $\Delta_\xi(\omega)$ develops an essential singularity. We show that for each gap function from the set, the density of states (DoS) $N_\xi(\omega + i0)$ has an infinite number of maxima and minima, and does not recover the normal state form up
to $\omega = \infty$. For the solution with $\xi = 0$, which was studied before [7–9, 11, 12], $N(\omega + i0)$ reduces to a set of $\delta$–functions at some $\omega_i$.

We combine the results for $\gamma = 2$ and earlier results for $\gamma < 2$ (Papers I-IV) and present the phase diagram of the $\gamma$–model for $\gamma \leq 2$, Fig.16. For all $\gamma$, the ground state is a superconductor with a finite superfluid stiffness $\rho_s$, and the onset temperature for the pairing, $T_p$, is finite. However, superconducting $T_c$ decreases with $\gamma$ and vanishes for $\gamma = 2$. In between $T_p$ and $T_c$, the system displays a pseudogap (preformed pairs) behavior. One feature of this phase is ”gap filling” behavior, as $T$ increases towards $T_p$. In the next paper we consider the case $\gamma > 2$. We show that the behavior at a finite $T$ remains largely the same as for $\gamma = 2$, however new physics emerges at $T = 0$ and gives rise to a reduction and eventual vanishing of $\rho_s$ even in the ground state.

The model with the pairing interaction $V(\Omega_m) = (\bar{g}/|\Omega_m|)^2$ attracted a substantial attention on its own as it describes the pairing, mediated by an Einstein boson, in the limit where the effective (dressed) Debye frequency $\omega_D$ vanishes [13]. Electron-phonon model at $\omega_D \to 0$ has been studied before by a large number of authors [7–9, 14–17]. We use the results of these studies, particularly the works by Karakozov, Maksimov, and Mikhailovsky [7], Mar-siglio and Carbotte [8], and Combescot [9] as the input for some of our calculations. This limit is often termed strong coupling as the dimensionless coupling constant $\lambda = (\bar{g}/\omega_D)^2$ diverges at $\omega_D \to 0$. However, the interaction $\bar{g}$ is still assumed to be smaller than the Fermi energy, $E_F$. Indeed, ET includes contributions to all orders in $\lambda$ within the ladder approximation, but neglects vertex corrections to ladder series. The latter hold in powers of Migdal-Eliashberg parameter $\lambda_E = \bar{g}^2 N_0/\omega_D = \lambda(N_0\omega_D)$, where $N_0 \sim 1/E_F$ in the DoS per unit volume. For small enough $\bar{g}/E_F$, $\lambda_E$ remains small even when $\lambda$ is large. From this perspective, the strong coupling limit of the ET is the double limit in which $\omega_D$ and $\bar{g}/E_F$ tend to zero simultaneously, such that $\lambda_E$ remains small. In physical terms, the smallness of $\lambda_E \ll \lambda$ comes about because in a process that gives rise to a vertex correction, fermions are forced to vibrate at a phonon frequency, far away from their own resonance, while in the processes, which form series in $\lambda$, fermions are vibrating near their resonance frequencies. The smallness of $\lambda_E$ also allows one to neglect the renormalization of the bosonic propagator by fermions, both in the normal and in the superconducting state.

Previous studies have found that a non-zero gap function emerges at $T_p \approx 0.25\omega_D e^{-1/\lambda}$ at weak coupling (Refs. [18–24]) and at $T_p = 0.1827\bar{g}$ at strong coupling [8, 9, 15, 24, 26].
To understand the interplay between the onset of pairing and \( T_c \), one has to also compute superfluid stiffness, \( \rho_s \). At weak coupling, \( \rho_s \sim E_F \gg T_p \) [27–32]. In this situation, \( T_c \) and \( T_p \) almost coincide. At strong coupling, the situation is more complex. At \( T = 0 \), the \( \rho_s \sim T_p/\lambda_E \) (see below). Within the validity of ET, this stiffness exceeds \( T_p \). If we were to neglect the continuum spectrum of the condensation energy, we would obtain that \( T_p \) and \( T_c \) again also coincide, as thermal corrections to SC order parameter are of order \( T/\rho_s \) and hence remain small for all \( T < T_p \). Including the additional corrections from the continuum of \( E_{c,\xi} \), we find that thermal corrections actually hold in powers of \( T/(\omega_D \lambda_E) \) and become of order one at \( T \sim \omega_D \lambda_E \), which we identify with the actual \( T_c \). At small \( \omega_D/\bar{g} \), this \( T_c \) is much smaller than \( T_p \), even if we set \( \lambda_E = O(1) \). In between \( T = T_p \) and \( T_c \) the system displays a preformed pairs behavior. When \( \omega_D \) increases and becomes of order \( \bar{g} \), the pseudogap region shrinks and the system gradually recovers BCS-like behavior (Fig.15).

The structure of the paper is the following. In Sec.[I] we present the Eliashberg gap equations that we use in this paper. In Sec. [II] we discuss the solution of the gap equation along the Matsubara axis at \( T = 0 \) and \( \gamma \to 2 \). We first obtain, in Secs. [III A] and [III B] the exact solution of the linearized gap equation, \( \Delta_\infty(\omega_m) \), which changes sign an infinite number of times between \( \omega_m = 0 \) and \( \omega_m \sim \bar{g} \), and sign-preserving solution \( \Delta_0(\omega_m) \), which tends to a finite value at \( \omega_m \to 0 \). At larger \( \omega_m > \bar{g} \), both \( \Delta_\infty(\omega_m) \) and \( \Delta_0(\omega_m) \) scale as \( 1/|\omega_m|^2 \). In Sec. [III C] we obtain the solutions of the non-linear gap equation in the order-by-order expansion in the gap magnitude and show that they form a one-parameter continuum set \( \Delta_\xi(\omega) \), for which \( \Delta_\infty(\omega_m) \) and \( \Delta_0(\omega_m) \) are the two limiting cases. In Sec. [IV] we analyze the properties of the gap function \( \Delta(\omega) \) along the real frequency axis. We first obtain, in Sec. [IVA] the exact solution of the linearized gap equation on the real axis, \( \Delta_\infty(\omega) \), and show that it oscillates not only at \( \omega < \bar{g} \), but also at \( \omega > \bar{g} \), with a different period. In Sec. [IV B] we consider the real-frequency form of \( \Delta_0(\omega) \), which does not change sign on the Matsubara axis. We use as an input the results from earlier works [7–9], which demonstrated that \( \Delta_0(\omega) = |\Delta_0(\omega)| e^{i\eta(\omega)} \) oscillates at \( \omega > \bar{g} \), and argue that the phase \( \eta(\omega) \) winds up by an infinite number of \( 2\pi \) between \( \omega = O(\bar{g}) \) and \( \omega = \infty \). In Sec. [IV C] we present a one-parameter continuum set of \( \Delta_\xi(\omega) \), which in the two limits reduces to \( \Delta_\infty(\omega) \) and \( \Delta_0(\omega) \). In Sec. [V] we extend \( \Delta_\xi(\omega) \) into the upper frequency half-plane (\( \omega \to z \)) and show that for each \( \xi \), there is an infinite array of vortices in the upper frequency half-plane and an essential singularity at \( |z| = \infty \). In Sec. [VI] we consider the gap equation at a finite
We argue that the number of vortices becomes finite and the high-frequency behavior of the gap function becomes regular; however, this holds only above a frequency, which scales inversely with $\omega_D$. In Sec. VII we consider fluctuation corrections to superconducting order parameter $\Delta\langle e^{i\eta}\rangle$. We argue that the ground state is a superconductor, however corrections to $\langle e^{i\eta}\rangle$ become $O(1)$ already at $T \leq \omega_D$. We identify this scale with the actual superconducting $T_c$ and discuss pseudogap behavior in between $T_p \sim \bar{g}$ and $T_c$. In Sec. VIII we combine the results for $\gamma = 2$ and for $\gamma < 2$ from Papers I-IV and obtain the full phase diagram of the $\gamma$ model for $\gamma \leq 2$. We present our conclusions in Sec. IX. Some technical aspects are discussed in the Appendices.

II. ELIASHBERG EQUATIONS

The Eliashberg gap equation for the $\gamma$-model is obtained by combining the equations for the pairing vertex $\Phi$ and the self-energy $\Sigma$. The two equations are obtained in a standard way, by summing up ladder series and neglecting vertex corrections (see Paper I and the text below for justification). On the Matsubara axis we have ($\Phi = \Phi(\omega_m), \Sigma = \Sigma(\omega_m)$):

$$\Phi(\omega_m) = \bar{g}^\gamma \pi T \sum_{m'} \frac{\Phi(\omega_{m'})}{\sqrt{\omega_{m'} + \Sigma(\omega_{m'})^2 + \Phi^2(\omega_{m'})}} \frac{1}{(|\omega_m - \omega_{m'}|^2 + \omega_D^2)^{\gamma/2}}$$

$$\Sigma(\omega_m) = \bar{g}^\gamma \pi T \sum_{m'} \frac{\omega_{m'} + \Sigma(\omega_{m'})}{\sqrt{(\omega_{m'} + \Sigma(\omega_{m'})^2 + \Phi^2(\omega_{m'})}} \frac{1}{(|\omega_m - \omega_{m'}|^2 + \omega_D^2)^{\gamma/2}}$$

(1)

Introducing $\Delta(\omega_m) = \Phi(\omega_m)\omega_m / (\omega_m + \Sigma(\omega_m))$ and substituting into (1), we obtain after a simple algebra the equation that contains only $\Delta(\omega_m)$:

$$\Delta(\omega_m) = \bar{g}^\gamma \pi T \sum_{m'} \frac{\Delta(\omega_{m'}) - \Delta(\omega_m) \frac{\omega_{m'}}{\omega_m}}{\sqrt{(\omega_{m'} - \Delta^2(\omega_{m'}))^2 + \omega_D^2}} \frac{1}{(|\omega_m - \omega_{m'}|^2 + \omega_D^2)^{\gamma/2}}. \tag{2}$$

For $\gamma = 2$ this reduces to

$$\Delta(\omega_m) = \bar{g}^2 \pi T \sum_{m'} \frac{\Delta(\omega_{m'}) - \Delta(\omega_m) \frac{\omega_{m'}}{\omega_m}}{\sqrt{(\omega_{m'} - \Delta^2(\omega_{m'}))^2 + \omega_D^2}} \frac{1}{(|\omega_m - \omega_{m'}|^2 + \omega_D^2)}. \tag{3}$$

This is the same equation as for the interaction with an Einstein phonon [7,9,11,12,14,17,25,33,35]. As we said, we consider the limit $\omega_D \to 0$. The self-action term with $m' = m$ in the r.h.s. of (3) can be safely eliminated because the numerator term vanishes at $m = m'$. Setting then $\omega_D = 0$, we obtain the gap equation at a QCP:

$$\Delta(\omega_m) = \bar{g}^2 \pi T \sum_{m' \neq m} \frac{\Delta(\omega_{m'}) - \Delta(\omega_m) \frac{\omega_{m'}}{\omega_m}}{\sqrt{(\omega_{m'} - \Delta^2(\omega_{m'}))^2 + \omega_D^2}} \frac{1}{(|\omega_m - \omega_{m'}|^2 + \omega_D^2)}. \tag{4}$$
At $T = 0$, $\pi T \sum_{m' \neq m} (1/2) \int d\omega_m$. 

The gap equation on the real axis is more conveniently expressed in terms of

$$D(\omega) = \Delta(\omega)/\omega.$$  

(5)

The equation has the form

$$D(\omega) B(\omega) = A(\omega) + C(\omega)$$

(6)

where

$$A(\omega) = -\frac{g^2}{2} \int_0^\infty d\omega' R \frac{D(\omega')}{\sqrt{1 - D^2(\omega')}} A_T$$

$$A_T = \frac{\tanh \frac{\omega'}{\omega} + \tanh \frac{\omega'}{\omega} - \tanh \frac{\omega'}{\omega} - \tan \frac{\omega'}{\omega}}{(\omega' + \omega)^2} + \frac{1}{T \cosh^2 \frac{\omega'}{\omega}} \omega'$$

$$B(\omega) = 1 + \frac{g^2}{2\omega} \int_0^\infty d\omega' \left[ R \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - D^2(\omega')}} \right] B_T$$

$$B_T = \frac{\tanh \frac{\omega'}{\omega} - \tanh \frac{\omega'}{\omega} - \tanh \frac{\omega'}{\omega} - \tan \frac{\omega'}{\omega}}{(\omega' - \omega)^2} + \frac{1}{T \cosh^2 \frac{\omega'}{\omega}} \omega'$$

$$C(\omega) = -i \frac{\pi g^2 \pi}{2\sqrt{1 - D^2(\omega)}} \left[ \frac{dD(\omega)}{d\omega} \tanh \frac{\omega}{2T} - T \left( \frac{dD^2(\omega)}{d\omega^2} + \left( \frac{dD(\omega)}{d\omega} \right)^2 \frac{D(\omega)}{1 - D^2(\omega)} \right) \right]$$

(7)

where the integrals are principal values. At $T = 0$, the expressions simplify to

$$A(\omega) = -\frac{g^2}{2} \int_0^\infty d\omega' \frac{D(\omega')}{(|\omega| + \omega')^2} \sqrt{1 - D^2(\omega')}$$

$$B(\omega) = 1 + \frac{g^2}{|\omega|} \int_0^\infty d\omega' \frac{1}{(|\omega| + \omega')^2} \sqrt{1 - D^2(\omega')}$$

$$C(\omega) = -i \frac{\pi g^2}{2\sqrt{1 - D^2(\omega)}} \frac{dD(\omega)}{d\omega} \text{sign}\omega,$$

(8)

and the gap equation becomes

$$-i \frac{\pi g^2}{2} \frac{dD(\omega)}{d\omega} \text{sign}\omega =$$

$$D(\omega) \left( 1 + \frac{g^2}{|\omega|} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega'}{(|\omega| + \omega')^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - D^2(\omega')}} \right) + \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega'}{(|\omega| + \omega')^2} \frac{D(\omega')}{\sqrt{1 - D^2(\omega')}}$$

(9)

The functions $A(\omega)$ and $B(\omega)$ can be equivalently expressed in terms of the solution of the gap equation on the Matsubara axis

$$A(\omega) = 2\pi T \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{\Delta(\omega_m)}{\sqrt{\Omega_m^2 + \Delta^2(\omega_m)}} \frac{\omega_m^2 - \omega^2}{(\omega_m^2 + \omega^2)^2}$$

$$B(\omega) = 1 + 4\pi T \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega_m^2 + \Delta^2(\omega_m)}} \frac{\omega_m^2}{(\omega_m^2 + \omega^2)^2}.$$  

(10)
This simplifies numerical calculations: the recipe is to first solve for the gap at the Matsubata points \( \omega_m = \pi T (2m + 1) \) and then use Eqs. (10) as an input for the calculation of \( D(\omega) \) on the real axis.

### III. GAP EQUATION ALONG THE MATSUBARA AXIS AT \( T = 0 \)

In Papers I-IV we analyzed the gap equation for \( \gamma < 2 \) and found that at \( T = 0 \) it has an infinite, discrete set of solutions at \( \Delta(\omega_m) = \Delta_n(\omega_m) \). A gap function \( \Delta_n(\omega_m) \) changes sign \( n \) times between \( \omega_m = 0 \) and \( \omega_m = O(\bar{g}) \), and decays as \( 1/|\omega_m|^{\gamma} \) at larger frequencies. The two end points of the set are the sign-preserving solution \( \Delta_0(\omega_m) \) and the solution of the linearized gap equation \( \Delta_\infty(\omega_m) \), which changes sign an infinite number of times. The existence of this infinite set is a distinct feature of the pairing at a QCP. Away from a QCP, the number of solutions becomes finite \( (n = 0, 1..n_{max}) \), and far away from a QCP only the \( n = 0 \) solution remains, like in a conventional BCS/Eliashberg theory.

Here we extend this analysis to \( \gamma = 2 \). We show that for this \( \gamma \), the set of gap functions becomes \( \Delta_\xi(\omega_m) \), where \( 0 \leq \xi \leq \infty \) is a continuous variable. We first analyze the two end points, \( \Delta_\infty(\omega_m) \) and \( \Delta_0(\omega_m) \), and then obtain the gap function for arbitrary \( \xi \).

#### A. Linearized gap equation

The linearized gap equation at \( T = 0 \) is obtained from (4) by assuming that the gap function is infinitesimally small, \( \Delta(\omega_m) = \Delta_\infty(\omega_m) \). In terms of \( D_\infty(\omega_m) = \Delta_\infty(\omega_m)/\omega_m \) we have

\[
D_\infty(\omega_m) = \frac{\bar{g}^2}{2\omega_m} \int d\omega_m' \frac{D_\infty(\omega_m') - D_\infty(\omega_m)}{(\omega_m - \omega_m')^2} \text{sign} \omega_m'.
\]  

Equation (11)

One can verify that the leading term in \( D_\infty(\omega_m) \) at small \( \omega_m \ll \bar{g} \) is obtained by neglecting the l.h.s. of (11), i.e., by solving

\[
\int_0^\infty d\omega_m' \left[ \frac{D_\infty(\omega_m') - D_\infty(\omega_m)}{(\omega_m - \omega_m')^2} + \frac{D_\infty(\omega_m') + D_\infty(\omega_m)}{(\omega_m + \omega_m')^2} \right] = 0
\]

Equation (12)

This approximation is equivalent to neglecting the bare \( \omega \) in the fermionic propagator in comparison with the NFL fermionic self-energy without the self-action term, \( \Sigma(\omega_m) = -\bar{g}^2/\omega_m \).

The solution of (12) is

\[
D_\infty(\omega_m) = 2\epsilon \text{ Re} \left[ \epsilon^{i(\beta \log (|\omega_m|/\bar{g}) + \phi)} \right] \text{sign} \omega = 2\epsilon \cos \left( \beta \log \left( \frac{|\omega_m|}{\bar{g}} \right)^2 + \phi \right) \text{sign} \omega
\]

Equation (13)
where \( \epsilon \) is an infinitesimally small real overall factor, \( \phi \) is a phase factor, which is arbitrary at this stage, and \( \beta = 0.38187 \) satisfies \( \pi \beta \tanh(\pi \beta) = 1 \) and is the solution of

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \frac{|x|^{2i\beta} - \text{sign} x}{(x - 1)^2} = 0, \tag{14}
\]

The function \( D_\infty(\omega_m) \) is scale-invariant (an arbitrary phase factor \( \phi \) can be absorbed into the prefactor for \( \omega \) under the logarithm). This is the consequence of the fact that \( \bar{g} \) falls off from the gap equation (11), once we neglect \( D_\infty(\omega_m) \) in the l.h.s.

We now analyze the full gap equation. By power counting, the r.h.s of (11) is of order \( D_\infty(\omega_m)(\bar{g}/|\omega_m|) \). This justifies neglecting \( D_\infty(\omega_m) \) in the l.h.s. for \( |\omega_m| < O(\bar{g}) \), but for larger frequencies it must be kept.

We obtained the exact solution of Eq. (11). The derivation parallels the one for smaller \( \gamma \) in Papers I and IV. We skip the details and present the final result:

\[
D_\infty(\omega_m) = \epsilon \bar{g} \frac{1}{\omega_m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk b_k e^{-ik \log (\omega_m/\bar{g})^2}, \tag{15}
\]

where

\[
b_k = \frac{e^{-iI_k}}{[\cosh(\pi(k - \beta)) \cosh(\pi(k + \beta))]^{1/2}}, \tag{16}
\]

and

\[
I_k = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk' \log |\epsilon_{k'} - 1| \tanh \pi(k' - k + i\delta), \tag{17}
\]

\[
\epsilon_{k'} = \pi k' \tanh(\pi k'), \tag{18}
\]

Here \( \beta \approx 0.38187 \) is the same as in Eq. (13).

At \( \omega_m \ll \bar{g} \), the exact \( D_\infty(\omega_m) \) has the form of Eq. (13) with some particular \( \phi \). At \( \omega_m \gg \bar{g} \), \( D_\infty(\omega_m) \) does not oscillate and decreases as \( 1/(\omega_m)^3 \) (\( \Delta_\infty(\omega_m) \) decreases as \( 1/(\omega_m)^2 \)). We plot the exact \( D_\infty(\omega_m) \) in Fig. 1. The crossover between the two forms occurs at \( \omega_m \sim \bar{g} \), as expected.

The corrections to Eq. (13) at small \( \omega_m \) hold in powers of \( |\omega_m|/\bar{g} \); the leading correction scales as \( (|\omega_m|/\bar{g})^{2.78} \). The corrections to \( 1/(\omega_m)^3 \) at large \( \omega_m \) hold in powers of \( \bar{g}/|\omega_m| \); the leading correction scales as \( (\bar{g}/|\omega_m|)^5 \log(|\omega_m|/\bar{g}) \). We present the details of the analysis in Appendix B. There, we also show that at \( \omega_m \gg \bar{g} \) there exists an exponentially small, oscillating component \( D_{\infty;u}(\omega_m) \) in the form

\[
D_{\infty;u}(\omega_m) \propto 2 \sqrt{2} \epsilon e^{-(|\omega_m|/\bar{g})^2} \cos \left[ \frac{\pi^2 - 2}{2\pi} \left( \frac{|\omega_m|}{\bar{g}} \right)^2 + \frac{\pi}{4} \right]. \tag{19}
\]
FIG. 1. \( D_\infty(\omega_m) \) as a function of \( \omega_m/\bar{g} \). The scale is logarithmic for \( \omega_m < \bar{g} \) and linear at \( \omega_m > \bar{g} \).

This term is the contribution to \( D_\infty \) from large \( k \) and \( k' \) in Eqs. (16) and (17). It is completely irrelevant on the Matsubara axis, but we will see that it gives the dominant contribution to \( D_\infty(\omega) \) on the real axis.

**B. Non-linear gap equation. Sign-preserving solution.**

We now analyse the full non-linear gap equation, Eq. (4). We first search for a “conventional” sign-preserving solution \( \Delta_0(\omega_m) \)

The analytical analysis uses the same computational steps as in Paper IV and we will be brief. We use the identity

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega'_m \frac{1 - \frac{\omega'_m}{\omega_m}}{\left| \omega_m - \omega'_m \right|^\gamma} = 0, \tag{20}
\]

valid for \( \gamma > 1 \), and re-express Eq. (4) as

\[
\Delta_0(\omega_m) \left[ 1 - \frac{\bar{g}^2}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega'_m \frac{1 - \frac{\omega'_m}{\omega_m}}{\left| \omega_m - \omega'_m \right|^2 \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_0^2(\omega'_m) + (\omega'_m)^2}} - \frac{1}{\Delta_0(\omega_m)} \right)} \right] = \frac{\bar{g}^2}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega'_m \frac{\Delta_0(\omega'_m) - \Delta_0(\omega_m)}{\left| \omega_m - \omega'_m \right|^2 \sqrt{\Delta_0^2(\omega'_m) + (\omega'_m)^2}} \tag{21}
\]

Both integrals in (21) are infra-red convergent and are determined by \( \omega'_m \leq \Delta(\omega'_m) \). In the
FIG. 2. Sign-preserving solution $\Delta_0(\omega_m)$ of the nonlinear gap equation along the Matsubara axis. We obtained $\Delta_0(\omega_m)$ by solving the non-linear gap equation numerically and taking the limit $T \to 0$. At $\omega_m < \bar{g}$, $\Delta_0(\omega_m)$ remains comparable to $\bar{g}$; at larger frequencies it decays as $1/\omega_m^2$.

We assume and then verify that $\Delta_0(\omega_m) \approx \Delta_0(0)$ for $\omega_m \leq \Delta_0(0)$, for small $\omega_m$ and for $\omega_m \leq \bar{g}$ remains comparable to $\Delta_0(0)$. In the opposite limit of large $\omega_m$, the prefactor for $\Delta_0(\omega)$ in the l.h.s. of (21) is approximately 1, and in the r.h.s. of this equation $1/|\omega_m|^2$ can be pulled out from the integral. This yields

$$\Delta_0(\omega_m) \approx Q \left( \frac{\bar{g}}{|\omega_m|} \right)^2$$

where

$$Q = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega'_m \Delta_0(\omega'_m)}{\sqrt{\Delta_0^2(\omega'_m) + (\omega'_m)^2}}$$

(25)
The integral is determined by $\omega_m' \sim \bar{g}$ and is of order $\bar{g}$. Then $\Delta_0(\omega_m) \sim \bar{g}^3/|\omega_m|^2$ at high frequencies. The full gap function is sign-preserving. We show the numerical result for $\Delta_0(\omega_m)$ in Fig.\[2\]. At small $\omega_m$ we find $\Delta_0(0) \approx 0.75\bar{g}$. This fully agrees with the earlier result, Ref. [8]. We note in passing that the first numerical evidence that $\Delta_0(0)$ scales with $\bar{g}$ has been obtained in Ref. [8].

C. Continuous set of solutions. Expansion in the gap magnitude

The solutions $\Delta_\infty(\omega_m)$ and $\Delta_0(\omega_m)$ (or, equivalently, $D_\infty(\omega_m)$ and $D_0(\omega_m)$) also exist for $\gamma < 2$. For such $\gamma$, these two solutions are the end points of a discrete set of topologically distinct solutions $\Delta_n(\omega)$. We argue below that the set becomes continuous for $\gamma = 2$. For a continuous set there is no one-to-one correspondence between a particular member of the set and integer $n$, and we will show how this correspondence gets lost at $\gamma = 2 - 0$.

Comparing $D_\infty(\omega_m)$ and $D_0(\omega_m)$, we see that they have the same form $1/(\omega_m)^3$ for $\omega_m > \bar{g}$, but are very different for $\omega_m < \bar{g}$. We therefore focus on the range $\omega_m < \bar{g}$ and use to our advantage the fact that we know the analytic form of $D_\infty(\omega_m)$ in this range, Eq. (13). We use this $D_\infty(\omega_m)$ as an input and expand it in powers of $D_2(\omega'_m)$ in the r.h.s. of the gap equation (4). Specifically, we will be searching for the solution of (4) in the form

$$D(\omega_m) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} D^{(2j+1)}(\omega_m)$$

where

$$D^{(1)}(\omega_m) = D_\infty(\omega_m) = 2\epsilon \cos f(\omega_m) \operatorname{sign} \omega_m$$

with

$$f(\omega_m) = \beta \log \left( \frac{|\omega_m|}{\bar{g}} \right)^2 + \phi$$

We will see that $D^{(2j+1)} \sim \epsilon^{2j+1}$.

Substituting $D(\omega_m)$ from (26) into (4) and expanding in $D^2(\omega'_m)$ in the r.h.s. of (4), we obtain the set of equations, which express $D^{(2j+1)}$ for a given $j$ in terms of $D^{(2j+1)}$ with smaller $j$. For $j = 1$, we have

$$D^{(3)}(\omega_m)\omega_m - \frac{\bar{g}^2}{2} \int d\omega'_m \left( D^{(3)}(\omega'_m) - D^{(3)}(\omega_m) \right) \frac{\operatorname{sign} \omega'_m}{(\omega'_m - \omega_m)^2} = K_3(\omega_m)$$
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where the source term is
\[ K_3(\omega_m) = -\frac{\bar{g}^2}{4} \int d\omega'_m \left[ D^{(1)}(\omega'_m) - D^{(1)}(\omega_m) \right] [D^{(1)}(\omega'_m)]^2 \frac{\text{sign} \omega'_m}{(\omega_m - \omega'_m)^2} \] (30)

The source term is of order \( \epsilon^3 \), hence \( D^{(3)} \propto \epsilon^3 \) (\( D^{(5)} \propto \epsilon^5 \) and so on). Substituting \( D^{(1)}(\omega_m) \) from Eq. (27) and evaluating the integrals, we find the source term for \( D^{(3)} \) as the sum of the two terms, \( K_3 = K_{3a} + K_{3b} \), where
\[ K_{3a}(\omega_m) = -\epsilon^3 \frac{\bar{g}}{\omega_m} \cos (3f(\omega)) (2\pi \beta \coth(2\pi \beta) - 3\pi \beta \tanh(3\pi \beta)) \text{ sign} \omega_m \] (31)

and
\[ K_{3b}(\omega_m) = -\epsilon^3 \frac{\bar{g}}{\omega_m} \cos (f(\omega)) 1 + \sinh^2(\pi \beta) \text{ sign} \omega_m \] (32)

Solving for \( D^{(3)} \) we find that the first term gives rise to \( \epsilon^3 \cos (3f(\omega)) \), while the second term accounts for the renormalization of the prefactor for \( \log(\omega^2) \) in \( f(\omega_m) \) in (28). To order \( \epsilon^2 \), the dressed \( f(\omega_m) \), which we label \( f_\epsilon(\omega_m) \), becomes
\[ f_\epsilon(\omega_m) = \beta_\epsilon \log \left( \frac{|\omega_m|}{\bar{g}} \right)^2 \phi_\epsilon \] (33)

where
\[ \beta_\epsilon = \beta \left( 1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \right) \approx \beta(1 - \epsilon^2)^{1/2} \] (34)

The full \( D(\omega_m) \) to order \( \epsilon^3 \) is
\[ D(\omega_m) = 2 \left( \epsilon \cos f_\epsilon(\omega_m) + Q_3 \epsilon^3 \cos 3f(\omega_m) \right) \text{ sign} \omega_m \] (35)

where
\[ Q_3 = \frac{2\pi \beta \coth(2\pi \beta) - 3\pi \beta \tanh(3\pi \beta)}{2(1 - 3\pi \beta \tanh(3\pi \beta))} = \frac{5 - (\pi \beta)^2}{16} \approx 0.222 \] (36)

Expanding to next order, we find (i) \( \epsilon^5 \cos 5f(\omega_m) \) term with the prefactor \( Q_5 = 0.043 \), (ii) \( O(\epsilon^4) \) corrections to \( \beta_\epsilon \) in (34) (\( \beta_\epsilon = 1 - 0.5\epsilon^2 + 0.806\epsilon^4 \)), and (iii) \( O(\epsilon^2) \) corrections to \( Q_3 \) (\( Q_3 \rightarrow Q_{3,\epsilon} \)) and to the argument of \( \cos 3f(\omega_m) \) in (35). We verified that the last correction changes \( \cos 3f(\omega_m) \) to \( \cos 3f_\epsilon(\omega_m) \) with the same \( f_\epsilon \) as in (33). This is the strong indication that the series contain the same fully renormalized \( f_\epsilon(\omega_m) \) in each term. Combining the results, we obtain, for \( \omega_m \ll \bar{g} \), \( D(\omega_m) = D_\epsilon(\omega_m) \), where
\[ D_\epsilon(\omega_m) = 2\epsilon \left( \cos f_\epsilon(\omega_m) + Q_{3,\epsilon} \epsilon^3 \cos 3f_\epsilon(\omega_m) + Q_{5,\epsilon} \epsilon^5 \cos 5f_\epsilon(\omega_m) + \ldots \right) \text{ sign} \omega_m \] (37)
We emphasize that a continuous set of solutions exists only for $\gamma = 2$. Applying the same perturbative analysis for $\gamma < 2$, we find that the expansion holds in $\epsilon^2(\bar{g}/|\omega_m|)^{2-\gamma}$ and breaks at a finite $\omega_{\text{min}} \sim \bar{g}^2/(2-\gamma)$ (see Appendix A for more detail). At smaller $\omega_m$, $\Delta(\omega_m)$ saturates, and $D(\omega_m) \propto 1/\omega_m$. The forms of $D(\omega_m)$ at $\omega_m < \omega_{\text{min}}$ and $\omega_m > \omega_{\text{min}}$ match only for a discrete set of $\epsilon = \epsilon_n$, which implies that for $\gamma < 2$ the solutions of the full non-linear gap equation form a discrete set.

Because $f_\epsilon(\omega_m)$ contains $\log \omega_m^2$, each $D_\epsilon(\omega_m)$ from (37) changes sign an infinite number of times down to $\omega_m = 0$, i.e., in our original classification the gap functions from the set are different realizations of $n = \infty$. At $\omega_m = 0$, each $D_\epsilon(\omega_m)$ has an essential singularity as neither $\lim_{\omega_m \to 0} D_\epsilon(\omega_m)$ nor $\lim_{\omega_m \to 0} 1/D_\epsilon(\omega_m)$ exist.

For a generic $\epsilon$, Eq. (37) is valid for $\omega_m < \bar{g}$. At larger $\omega_m$, $D_\epsilon(\omega_m) = D_\epsilon/|\omega_m|^{2}$. We expect that for every $\epsilon$, the crossover to proper high-frequency behavior can be achieved by fixing the phase factor $\phi_\epsilon$ in (33) (see Paper I for a similar analysis for the linearized gap equation for $\gamma < 1$).

Next, we see from Eq. (34) that $\beta_\epsilon^2$ decreases with increasing $\epsilon$. It is natural to expect that it vanishes at some $\epsilon_{\text{cr}} = O(1)$. The expansion in (37) holds only as long as $\beta_\epsilon$ is real, as there is no solution of the nonlinear gap equation for imaginary $\beta_\epsilon$ (see Paper I for detailed discussion on this). For $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_{\text{cr}}$, $\beta_\epsilon$ is small, and the range, where $D(\omega_m)$ oscillates, is confined to small $\omega_m \leq \bar{g}e^{-\pi/\beta_\epsilon}$. By properly taking the double limit $\epsilon \to \epsilon_{\text{cr}}$ and $\omega_m \to 0$, one can obtain an infinite set of gap functions, which change sign a given number of times in the immediate vicinity of $\omega_m = 0$. At $\epsilon = \epsilon_{\text{cr}}$ all these gap functions coincide with $\Delta_0(\omega_m)$ at any $\omega_m > 0$. This agrees with the observation in Paper IV that as $\gamma$ increases towards 2, the region, where $\Delta_n(\omega_m)$ with finite $n$ change sign, gets confined to progressively smaller $\omega_m$, while at larger $\omega_m$, all $\Delta_n(\omega_m)$ with $n = 0, 1, 2...$ nearly coincide. We illustrate this in Fig 3. For consistency with the notations in previous sections, it is convenient to introduce $\xi = (\epsilon_{\text{cr}} - \epsilon)/\epsilon$ and label the continuum set of the gap functions by $\Delta_\xi(\omega_m)$. Then the end point solutions $\epsilon \to 0$ and $\epsilon = \epsilon_{\text{cr}}$ are $\Delta_\infty(\omega_m)$ and $\Delta_0(\omega_m)$.

It is beyond the ability of the order-by-order expansion to determine the form of $\Delta_\xi(\omega_m)$ near $\xi = 0$. On general grounds, we expect that corrections to $f_\epsilon(\omega_m) \to f_\xi(\omega_m)$ in (33) become relevant starting already from small frequencies, and that at $\xi = 0$, the gap function coincides with $\Delta_0(\omega_m)$, which we found in the previous section. A way to reproduce this behavior is to assume that at $\xi \to 0$, the series for $D(\omega_m)$ in (37) become geometrical.
FIG. 3. The gap function $\Delta_n(\omega_m)$ for $\gamma < 2$ and $\gamma = 2$. For $\gamma < 2$, $\Delta_n(\omega_m)$ changes sign $n$ times. As $\gamma$ gets close to 2, the frequency region where these $n$ sign changes happen, shrinks to progressively smaller $\omega_m = 0$, and at $\gamma = 2 - 0$, $\Delta_n(\omega_m)$ with finite $n$ collapse into $\Delta_0(\omega_m)$ at all $\omega_m > 0$. The continuum set of $\Delta_\xi(\omega_m)$ at $\gamma = 2 - 0$ emerges from $\Delta_n(\omega_m)$ with $n \to \infty$, and the continuous parameter $\xi$ is determined by how the double limit $n \to \infty$ and $\gamma \to 2$ is taken. As the consequence, all $\Delta_\xi(\omega_m)$ with $\xi > 0$ change sign infinite number of times between $\omega_m = 0$ and $\omega_m \sim \tilde{g}$. The solution of the linearized gap equation is the $\xi \to \infty$ limit of this set.

\[ Q_{2n+1} \epsilon^{2n+1}_{cr} \approx (-1)^n \]. In this case,

\[ D_\xi(\omega_m) \sim \frac{\cos f_\xi(\omega_m)}{\alpha^2 + \cos^2 f_\xi(\omega_m)} \text{ sign}\omega_m, \tag{38} \]

where $\alpha \sim \xi^2$ and $f_\xi(\omega_m) \sim \sqrt{\alpha} \log \tilde{g}/|\omega_m| + f^*(\omega_m)$, where $f^*(\omega_m)$ is a regular function of $\omega_m$, which at low frequencies reduces to $\pi/2 + O(\omega_m/\tilde{g})$. For any $\xi > 0$, this $D_\xi(\omega_m)$ changes sign an infinite number of times, but at $\xi = 0$, $D_{\xi=0}(\omega_m) \sim \tilde{g}/\omega_m$, as we expect. We also note that between the nodes (the vortex points), $D_\xi(\omega_m)$ from (38) is large, of order $1/\xi$. Extending this $D(\omega_m)$ to complex frequencies, $z = \omega' + i\omega''$, we find that there exist antivortices at small $z$ in the lower frequency half-plane. At $\xi = 0$, vortices and anti-vortices annihilate at $z = 0$, leaving a regular gap function $\Delta_0(\omega_m)$. 
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In Appendix C we consider the extended $\gamma-$ model with non-equal interactions in the particle-particle and particle-hole channels and introduce $M \neq 1$ as a measure of the difference of the two interactions. For the extended model, there is a critical $M_{max}$, below which the ground state is a non-Fermi liquid with $\Delta = 0$. For $\gamma = 2$, $M_{max} = 0$. We obtain the set of $\Delta_\xi(\omega_m)$ at small $\omega_m$ at $M = 0+$ and show that all gap functions from the continuous set appear simultaneously with the overall magnitude $M^{1/2}$.

We next analyze the condensation energy $E_c$. We define $E_c$ as the difference between the actual ground state energy $E_\Delta$ at a finite $\Delta(\omega_m)$ and the would be ground state energy of the normal state, $E_{\Delta=0}$. The expression for $E_c$ for $\gamma = 2$ has been obtained before: [34, 36–38] and we just copy it here:

$$E_c = -N_0 \int_0^{\infty} d\omega_m \omega_m \frac{(\sqrt{1+D^2(\omega_m)} - 1)^2}{\sqrt{1+D^2(\omega_m)}} - N_0 \bar{g}^2 \int_0^{\infty} d\omega_m d\omega'_m \frac{(\sqrt{1+D^2(\omega_m)} - \sqrt{1+D^2(\omega'_m)})^2}{\sqrt{1+D^2(\omega_m)} \sqrt{1+D^2(\omega'_m)}} \frac{\omega_m \omega'_m}{(\omega_m^2 - (\omega'_m)^2)^2}$$

(39)

This formula has been derived with the use of (4) and is therefore valid only for the solutions of the gap equation. Both terms in (39) are negative, i.e. any solution of the gap equation lowers the ground state energy compared to the normal state.

Substituting (37) into (39), we find that $E_c = E_{c,\xi}$ is a continuous function of $\xi$. At $\xi \gg 1$,

$$E_{c,\xi} = -aN_0 \bar{g}^2 \frac{\xi^4}{\xi^4}$$

(40)

where $a = O(1)$. It is natural to expect that $|E_{c,\xi}|$ increases with decreasing $\xi$ and reaches a maximum at $\xi = 0$, see Fig. 4 [39]

In the next two Sections we present corroborative evidence for the special, critical behavior of the $\gamma$-model with $\gamma = 2$ from the analysis of the gap function on the real frequency axis and in the upper half-plane of frequency.

IV. GAP EQUATION ALONG THE REAL FREQUENCY AXIS

As we said in the Introduction, the analysis of the gap equation for the $\gamma$ model along real frequency axis should generally be more revealing than the analysis along the Matsubara axis, because the pairing interaction on the real axis $V(\Omega) = (\cos(\pi\gamma/2) + i\text{sign}(\Omega)\sin(\pi\gamma/2))(\bar{g}/|\Omega|)^\gamma$ is complex. The real part of the interaction becomes repulsive
FIG. 4. (a) The condensation energy $E_c$ the solutions of the Eliashberg gap equation for $\gamma < 2$. $E_c = E_{c,n}$ is a discrete function of a number of a solution, $n$. The largest condensation energy is for $n = 0$. (b) The condensation energy $E_{c,\xi}$ for $\gamma = 2$. $E_{c,\xi}$ is a continuous function of the parameter $\xi$. The condensation energy at $\xi = 0$ is the accumulation point of all $E_{c,n}$ from $\gamma < 2$ with finite $n = 0, 1, \ldots$. Every other point on the curve $E_{c,\xi}$ comes from the limit $n \to \infty$, and different $\xi$ correspond to different ways how the double limit $n \to \infty$ and $\gamma \to 2$ is taken. In the limit $\xi \to \infty$, $E_c$ is the condensation energy for infinitesimally small gap function $\Delta_\infty(\omega_m)$.

for $\gamma > 1$, and the imaginary part vanishes at $\gamma = 2$ for any non-zero $\Omega$. This makes the $\gamma = 2$ case special.

We present the results for $\Delta(\omega)$ on the real axis in the same order as in previous section: we first obtain the solution of the linearized gap equation, which we label $\Delta_\infty(\omega)$, then analyze the solution $\Delta_0(\omega)$, and then show that there is a one-parameter continuous set of solutions $\Delta_\epsilon(\omega)$ in between $\Delta_\infty(\omega)$ and $\Delta_0(\omega)$.

A. Linearized gap equation in real frequencies

The linearized gap equation in real frequencies is obtained by taking the limit $\Delta(\omega) \to 0$ in (9). We again introduce $D_\infty(\omega) = \Delta_\infty(\omega)/\omega$ and re-write the gap equation as

$$D_\infty(\omega) = -\bar{g}^2 \omega \left[ \frac{i \pi}{2} \frac{dD_\infty(\omega)}{d\omega} \text{sign}\omega + \frac{D_\infty(\omega)}{\omega} + \int_0^\infty \frac{dw'}{|\omega| + \omega'} \Re[D_\infty(\omega')] \right], \quad (41)$$
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where \( \Re \) stands for the real part. The \( D_\infty(\omega) \) term in the l.h.s. of (41) is the analog of \( D_\infty(\omega_m) \) in the l.h.s. of the gap equation on the Matsubara axis, and, like there, it originates from the bare \( \omega \) term in the fermionic Green’s function. Neglecting this term, we find that the solution of (41) is

\[
D_\infty(\omega) = -2i\epsilon \cos \left[ \beta \left( \log \left( \frac{\omega}{g} \right)^2 - i\pi \text{sign}(\omega) \right) + \phi \right],
\]

(42)

where \( \beta = 0.38187 \) the same as in (13), and \( \epsilon \) is infinitesimally small. We note that this \( D_\infty(\omega) \) can be obtained from \( D_\infty(\omega_m) \), Eq. (13), by rotating from \( i\omega_m \) to \( \omega + i0 \). In explicit form,

\[
D'_\infty(\omega) = 2\epsilon \sin \left( \beta \log \left( \frac{\omega}{g} \right)^2 + \phi \right) \sinh(\pi\beta) \text{sign}(\omega)
\]

\[
D''_\infty(\omega) = -2\epsilon \cos \left( \beta \log \left( \frac{\omega}{g} \right)^2 + \phi \right) \cosh(\pi\beta)
\]

(43)

Observe that \( D'_\infty(-\omega) = -D'_\infty(\omega) \) and \( D''_\infty(-\omega) = D''_\infty(\omega) \), as it should be. The relation

\[
\int_0^\infty dx \frac{x^{2i\beta}}{(x+1)^2} = \frac{2\pi\beta}{\sinh(2\pi\beta)} = \frac{1}{\sinh^2(\pi\beta)},
\]

(44)

is useful for the verification that \( D_\infty(\omega) \) satisfies Eq. (41) without \( D_\infty(\omega) \) in the l.h.s. Using another relation

\[
\int_0^\infty dx \frac{x^{i\beta}}{x-1} = i\pi \coth(\pi\beta),
\]

(45)

one can verify that \( D'_\infty \) and \( D''_\infty \) satisfy KK relations:

\[
\frac{2}{\pi} \int dx \frac{D'_\infty(x)x}{x^2-\omega^2} = -D''_\infty(\omega), \quad \frac{2\omega}{\pi} \int dx \frac{D''_\infty(x)}{x^2-\omega^2} = D'_\infty(\omega),
\]

(46)

where the integrals are principle values.

We next consider \( |\omega| > \bar{g} \). To obtain \( D_\infty(\omega) \) in this region, we take as an input the exact solution on the Matsubara axis and analytically continue it to the real axis. By construction, this can be done by replacing \( \omega_m \) by \((-iz)\) - the function \( \Delta_\infty(z) \) is guaranteed to be analytic in the upper half-plane of frequency. However, because we don’t have the exact analytical expression for \( D_\infty(\omega_m) \) for an arbitrary \( \omega_m \), we have to replace \( \omega_m \) by \(-i(\omega+i0)\) in Eq. (15) and obtain \( \Delta_\infty(\omega) \) by integrating over \( k \). For small \( \omega < \bar{g} \), we find, after this integration, series of corrections to (42) in powers of \( \omega/\bar{g} \). For large \( \omega > \bar{g} \), the largest contribution to
FIG. 5. Real and imaginary part (a) and the phase $\eta_\infty(\omega)$ (b) of $D_\infty(\omega)$. The periodicity of oscillation is set by $[(\omega/\bar{g})^2 + \log(\omega/\bar{g})^2]/\pi$.

$\Delta_\infty(\omega)$ comes from the continuation of the universal oscillating term $\Delta_{\infty;u}$, Eq. (19). Upon rotation to the real axis, this term splits into two. One remains exponentially small, but in the other the exponential factor cancels out. As a result, on the real axis we have (see Appendix B for details)

$$D_{\infty;u}(\omega) \sim \sqrt{2\epsilon} e^{i\pi/4} \left[ (\omega/\bar{g})^2 + \log(\omega/\bar{g})^2 \right].$$

(47)

Other contributions contain powers of $\bar{g}/|\omega|$ and are smaller. Neglecting them, we obtain $D_{\infty}(\omega) = D_{\infty;u}(\omega)$ at $\omega \gg \bar{g}$.

Comparing this form with (42), we see that both $D'_\infty(\omega)$ and $D''_\infty(\omega)$ continue oscillating at $\omega > \bar{g}$, but with the period set predominantly by $(\omega/\bar{g})^2$ rather than by $\log(\omega/\bar{g})^2$. In
Fig. 5, we plot real and imaginary parts of $D_\infty(\omega)$ and the phase of the gap, $\eta_\infty(\omega)$, defined via $D_\infty(\omega) = |D_\infty(\omega)|e^{i\eta_\infty(\omega)}$, or, equivalently, via $\eta_\infty(\omega) = \text{Im} D_\infty(\omega) = \text{Im} \log \Delta_\infty(\omega)$.

We see that the phase winds up an infinite number of times between $\omega = 0$ and $O(\bar{g})$ and between $O(\bar{g})$ and $\infty$. Oscillations at $\omega < \bar{g}$ are directly related to oscillations of $\Delta_\infty(\omega)\tilde{m}$ on the Matsubara axis, and there is one-to-one correspondence between each phase winding by $2\pi$ on a real axis and a vortex on the Matsubara axis. Oscillations and phase winding at $\omega > \bar{g}$ are present on the real axis, but not on the Matsubara axis. It is natural to relate this discrepancy to the fact that the pairing interaction is attractive on the Matsubara axis, but on the real axis, $V'(\Omega)$ is repulsive, and a non-zero $D_\infty(\omega)$ comes from $V''(\Omega) \propto \delta(\Omega^2)$ (see more on this below).

**B. The function $D_0(\omega)$**

We now consider the opposite limit of the real-axis partner of sign-preserving $D_0(\omega_m)$. At $\omega_m < \bar{g}$, $D_0(\omega_m) \approx \Delta_0(0)/\omega_m$, and $D_0(\omega)$ on the real axis must also be close to $D_0(0)/\omega$ at $\omega < \bar{g}$. At larger $\omega_m > \bar{g}$, we will see that $D_0(\omega_m)$ and $D_0(\omega)$ are very different: $D_0(\omega_m)$ decays as $1/\omega_m^3$, while $D_0(\omega)$ does not decay and oscillates in sign.

The solution of the gap equation along the real axis for $\omega > \bar{g}$ has been found by Combescot [9], who build his analysis on earlier results by Karakozov, Maksimov, and Mikhailovsky [7] and by Marsiglio and Carbotte [8]. We follow Ref. [9] below.

It is convenient to introduce $\phi_0(\omega)$ via $D_0(\omega) = 1/\sin \phi_0(\omega)$ and re-express the gap equation (9) at $T = 0$ as the equation on $\phi_0(\omega)$. The equation is

$$\frac{d\phi_0(\omega)}{d\omega} = \frac{2}{\pi \bar{g}^2} [\omega B(\omega) - A(\omega) \sin \phi_0]$$

(48)

where $A(\omega)$ and $B(\omega)$ are given by Eq. (8). The initial condition for $\phi_0$ is $\phi_0(\bar{g}) \approx \bar{g}/\Delta_0(0) = O(1)$, consistent with $\phi_0(\omega) \approx \omega/\Delta_0(0)$ at $\omega < \bar{g}$.

At $\omega \geq \bar{g}$, $B(\omega) \approx 1 + \bar{g}^2/\omega^2$ and $A(\omega) \approx -\alpha \bar{g}^3/\omega^2$, where $\alpha \approx 1.27$ (Ref. [9]). The $A(\omega)$ term can then be neglected if $\phi_0(\omega)$ is real, as we will assume and then verify. Without this term, Eq. (48) can be solved easily, and the result is

$$\phi_0(\omega) \approx \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \log \left( \frac{\omega}{\bar{g}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\omega}{\bar{g}} \right)^2 + C \right)$$

(49)

where $C = \bar{g}/\Delta_0(0) - 1/\pi$. We see that $\phi_0(\omega)$ is real, as we anticipated. We note that this $\phi_0(\omega)$ coincides with the argument of the exponent for $D_\infty(\omega)$ in (47)
FIG. 6. $\Delta_0(\omega) = \omega/\sin \phi_0(\omega)$ for $\phi_0(\omega)$ given by (49). The real part of the gap $\Delta'_0(\omega)$ diverges at the set of points where $\phi_0(\omega) = p\pi$, $p = 1, 2, \ldots$. The imaginary part $\Delta''_0(\omega)$ is a set of $\delta-$functions at these points. The behavior of $\Delta'_0(\omega)$ has been obtained in Refs. [7,9,11].

The function

$$D_0(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sin \phi_0(\omega + i0)}$$

(50)

is a sign-changing function of $\omega$, whose real part almost diverges at a set of frequencies where $\phi_0(\omega) = p\pi$, and $p = 1, 2, \ldots$ is an integer. The imaginary component $D''_0(\omega)$ is a set of $\delta-$functions at these frequencies. We plot the gap function $\Delta_0(\omega) = \omega D_0(\omega)$ in Fig. 6.

To analyze the phase winding, we again introduce the phase factor via $D_0(\omega) = |D_0(\omega)| e^{i\eta_0(\omega)}$ and consider how $\eta_0(\omega)$ varies at $\omega \geq \bar{g}$. The imaginary component $D''_0(\omega)$ in (50) is infinitesimally small, except in the vicinity of $\omega_p$, where $\phi_0(\omega_p) = p\pi$. We use Eq. (49) for $\phi_0(\omega)$ and express $D_0(\omega)$ near each such point as

$$D_0(\omega) \approx \frac{\pi \bar{g}^2}{2} \frac{(-1)^p \omega_p}{\omega_p^2 + \bar{g}^2} \frac{1}{\omega - \omega_p + i\delta}.$$  

(51)

Then

$$e^{i\eta_0(\omega)} = (-1)^p \frac{\omega - \omega_p - i\delta}{\sqrt{(\omega - \omega_p)^2 + \delta^2}}$$

(52)

We plot $\eta_0(\omega)$ in Fig. 7. We see that the phase rapidly changes by $\pi$ around each $\omega_p$. If we restrict $\eta_0(\omega)$ to $(-\pi, \pi)$, we find that the phase jumps by $2\pi$ in between $\omega_p$ and $\omega_{p+1}$. 
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FIG. 7. Variation of the phase of the gap η₀(ω) (Δ₀(ω) = |Δ₀(ω)|e^{iη₀(ω)}). We restrict η₀(ω) to (−π, π). Phase slips of η₀(ω) continue up to infinite frequency.

The number of ω_p is infinite, hence the number 2π jumps is also infinite. We reiterate that behavior has no analog the Matsubara axis, where D₀(iω_m) is real and positive for ω_m > 0, hence η₀ = 0. [40]

C. The one-parameter set of gap functions

We follow the same strategy as in the analysis on the Matsubara axis and expand the non-linear gap equation (9) in powers of D^2. We search for the solution in the form

$$D(ω) = \sum_{j=0}^{∞} D^{(2j+1)}(ω)$$  \hspace{1cm} (53)

where D^{(1)}(ω) = D_∞(ω) and higher-order terms are obtained by solving Eq. (9) iteratively. For ω < g, we use Eq. (42) for D_∞(ω). The computational steps are the same as in Sec. III C and we obtain

$$D_ε(ω) = -2iε \left( \cos f_ε(ω) + ε^2 Q_{3,ε} \cos 3f_ε(ω) + ε^4 Q_{5,ε} \cos 5f_ε(ω) + ... \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (54)

where Q_{i,ε} are the same as in (37) and

$$\bar{f}_ε(ω) = β_ε \left( \log \left( \frac{ω}{g} \right)^2 - iπ \text{sign}ω \right) + φ_ε$$ \hspace{1cm} (55)

This D_ε(ω) could also be obtained directly from (37) by replacing log ω^2 by log ω^2 − iπ in each term in (37).
We recall that the continuous set exists for \( \epsilon \leq \epsilon_{\text{cr}} \). For any \( \epsilon < \epsilon_{\text{cr}} \), \( D(\omega) \) oscillates an infinite number of times down to \( \omega = 0 \). As \( \epsilon \) approaches \( \epsilon_{\text{cr}} \), log-oscillations shift to progressively smaller frequencies. At \( \epsilon = \epsilon_{\text{cr}} \), \( \beta_\epsilon \) vanishes and log-oscillations disappear. The behavior of \( D(\omega) \) at \( \omega \to 0 \) at \( \epsilon \to \epsilon_{\text{cr}} \) depends on how the double limit \( \omega \to 0 \) and \( \epsilon \to \epsilon_{\text{cr}} \) is taken.

Like we did in Sec. III C, we introduce \( \xi = (\epsilon_{\text{cr}} - \epsilon)/\epsilon \) and re-express \( \Delta_\epsilon(\omega) \) as \( \Delta_\xi(\omega) \). The two limits \( \epsilon = 0 \) and \( \epsilon = \epsilon_{\text{cr}} \) now correspond to \( \xi = \infty \) and \( \xi = 0 \), respectively. This brings the notations in line with the ones we used in Secs. IV A and IV B.

On the Matsubara frequency, all \( \Delta_\xi(\omega_m) \) behave in the same way at \( \omega_m > \bar{g} \): \( \Delta_\xi \propto 1/\omega_m^2 \). On the real axis, the dependence on \( \xi \) is more complex. To see this, we use the solution of the linearized gap equation \( D^{(1)} \propto i e^{i \phi(\omega)} \) with \( \phi_0(\omega) \), given by (49), and evaluate \( D^{(2n+1)} \) in order-by-order expansion of the non-linear gap equation in \( D^2 \). Collecting the series, we obtain the closed form expression

\[
D_\xi(\omega) = \frac{-2 i e^{i \phi(\omega)}}{1 + \xi - e^{2i \phi_0(\omega)/(1 + \xi)}} = \frac{1}{\sin[\phi_0(\omega) + i \log(1 + \xi)]} \tag{56}
\]

This expression can be equivalently obtained by solving Eq. (48) for \( \phi(\omega) \) with the initial condition \( \phi(\bar{g}) = \frac{\bar{g}}{\Delta_0(0)} + i \log(1 + \xi) \).

The parameter \( \xi \) runs between 0 and \( \infty \). For \( \xi = 0 \), Eq. (56) yields \( D_0(\omega) = 1/\sin \phi_0(\omega) \), which agrees with (50) (one should add \( i 0 \) to \( \omega \) in this case). At \( \xi \to \infty \) we recover, by construction, the solution of the linearized gap equation. For any \( \xi \), including \( \xi = 0 \), \( D_\xi(\omega) \) oscillates up to an infinite frequency, and its phase \( \eta_\epsilon(\omega) \) winds up by an infinite number of \( 2\pi \) between \( \omega \sim \bar{g} \) and \( \omega = \infty \).

We see therefore that in both limits \( \omega \ll \bar{g} \) and \( \omega \gg \bar{g} \), the solutions of the non-linear gap equation form a continuous one-parameter set, Eqs. (54) and (56). We conjecture that for any value of \( \xi \), one can use a free phase factor \( \phi_\xi \) in (55) to merge small-\( \omega \) and large-\( \omega \) expressions into a single \( D_\xi(\omega) \).

D. density of states

The fermionic DoS is defined as \( N(\omega) = (-N_0/\pi) \text{Im} G_l(\omega) \), where \( N_0 \) is the DoS in the normal state and

\[
G_l(\omega) = -i \pi \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 - D^2(\omega)}} \tag{57}
\]
In a BCS superconductor, \( N(\omega) \propto \text{Re} \omega/\sqrt{\omega^2 - \Delta^2} \) vanishes at \( \omega < \Delta \), has an integrable singularity at \( \omega = \Delta + 0 \), and is non-zero for all \( \omega > \Delta \) because quasiparticle states in a BCS superconductor form a continuum \( \omega = \pm \sqrt{\Delta^2 + (\epsilon_k - \mu)^2} \). In our case, the form of \( N(\omega) = N_\xi(\omega) \) strongly depends on \( \xi \). At small \( \omega < \bar{g} \), \( N_\xi(\omega) \) remains finite down to \( \omega = 0 \) for all \( \xi > 0 \). In this respect, all such solutions describe gapless superconductivity. The gap function \( \Delta_0(\omega) \) tends to a finite \( \Delta_0(0) \) at small \( \omega \), and the corresponding \( N_0(\omega) \) vanishes, like in BCS superconductor. We show this in Fig. 8(a).

At \( \omega > \bar{g} \), \( \Delta_\xi(\omega) \) is given by (56), and \( N_\xi(\omega) = N_0\Im \tan[\phi_0(\omega) + i \log (1 + \xi)] \). For \( \xi > 0 \), \( N_\xi(\omega) \) oscillates around \( N_0 \) up to \( \omega = \infty \). The amplitude of the oscillations increases with decreasing \( \xi \). For \( \xi = 0 \), \( N_0(\omega) = N_0 \delta/(\cos^2 \phi_0(\omega) + \delta^2) \), where \( \delta = 0+ \). This DoS consists of a set of \( \delta \)-functions at the points \( \omega_k \), for which \( \phi_0(\omega_k) = \pi/2 + k\pi \) (\( k \) is an integer) (Refs [2, 13, 12]). We show this in Fig. 8(b). In Fig. 8(c) we show \( N_\xi(\omega) \) in the whole range of frequencies.

The function \( N_0(\omega) \) is the true DoS at \( T = 0 \), as the \( \xi = 0 \) solution has the lowest condensation energy. It is different from the DoS in a BCS-type superconductor, which is non-zero at all \( \omega > \Delta \) and approaches \( N_0 \) at \( \omega \to \infty \). We emphasize that a qualitative distinction holds only for \( \gamma = 2 \). For smaller \( \gamma \), the DoS for the \( n = 0 \) solution evolves as a
function of frequency, but still remains non-zero at all $\omega > \Delta$ and approaches $N_0$ at infinite $\omega$ (see Paper IV).

In a BCS superconductor, a continuous $N(\omega)$ at $\omega > \Delta$ is the consequence of the fact that fermionic energy $E_k$ is a continuous function of the normal state dispersion $\epsilon_k$, $E_k = \sqrt{\epsilon_k^2 + \Delta^2}$. The form of $N_0(\omega)$ as a set of $\delta$-functional peaks raises the issue whether fermionic energies get quantized at $\gamma = 2$. To address this issue, we compute the total weight of each level: $N_k = (1/2\pi) \int N_0(\omega)/N_0$, where the integration is confined to the vicinity of $\omega_k$. Using $\phi_0(\omega) \approx \omega^2/\pi$, we obtain $N_k = 1/\sqrt{8(1 + 2k)}$. We see that $N_k < 1$ for all $k$. Because of this, $\omega_k$ cannot be viewed as true quantized fermionic energy levels, as a fermion is necessary distributed between $\omega_k$ with different $k$.

V. GAP FUNCTION IN THE UPPER FREQUENCY HALF-PLANE.

Comparing $D_\xi(\omega)$ and $D_\xi(\omega_m)$, we see that they are similar at small frequencies, but very different at $\omega, \omega_m > \bar{\gamma}$. Indeed, on the real axis, the phase $\eta_k(\omega)$ winds up by an infinite number of $2\pi$ between $\omega = O(\bar{\gamma})$ and $\omega = \infty$, while near the Matsubara axis, $\eta_k(\omega_m) = 0$ in this frequency range. The discrepancy implies that phase winding must end somewhere between the real and the Matsubara axis. We now argue that there is a set of vortices in the upper frequency half-plane, at $|z| \geq \bar{\gamma}$ and the phase winding drops by $2\pi$ each time the axes of $z$ passes through a vortex upon rotation away from the real axis.

We use the Cauchy relation

$$\Delta(z) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty dx \frac{x \Delta''(x)}{x^2 - z^2}$$

(58)

to extend the gap function $\Delta(x) = x D(x)$ from the real axis to complex $z = \omega' + i\omega''$ with $\omega'' > 0$. We use Eq. (56) for the gap function as we expect vortices to be present at $|z| > \bar{\gamma}$. Like before, we first consider the cases $\xi = 0$ and $\xi \to \infty$, and then extend the analysis to arbitrary $\xi$.

A. case $\xi = 0$

Using the expansion near $\phi(\omega_p) = p\pi$, Eq. (51), we approximate $\Delta''_0(\omega)$ as

$$\Delta''_0(\omega) \approx \frac{\pi^2 \bar{\gamma}^2}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{p+1} \omega_p^2}{\omega_p^2 + \bar{\gamma}^2} \delta(\omega - \omega_p).$$

(59)
FIG. 9. $\Delta_0(z)$ in the upper half plane. Upper panel: $\log |\Delta_0(z)|$. Blue spots mark the locations of dynamical vortices, where $|\Delta_0(z)| = 0$. Lower panel: the phase of the gap $\eta_0(z)$ defined via $\Delta_0(z) = |\Delta_0(z)| e^{i\eta_0(z)}$. The phase slips by $2\pi$ upon crossing a white line in the direction from near-white to dark-blue. The white lines are the locations of points where $\Delta_0''(z) = 0$ and $\Delta_0'(z) < 0$.

Substituting into (58), we obtain

$$\Delta_0(z) = \pi \bar{g}^2 \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{p+1} \omega_p^3}{\omega_p^2 + \bar{g}^2} \frac{1}{\omega_p^2 - z^2}. \quad (60)$$

Here $\omega_p$ is a solution of $\phi_0(\omega_p) = \pi p$, where $\phi_0(\omega)$ is given by (49). We verified numerically that KK relations on the real axis are satisfied, i.e., if we use (59), we reproduce with high accuracy $\Delta'(\omega)$. On the Matsubara axis, $z = i\omega_m$, Eq. (60) yields, at $\omega_m \gg \bar{g}$,

$$\Delta_0(\omega_m) = a \frac{\bar{g}^3}{\omega_m^2} \quad (61)$$

where $a = \pi \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \left[ (-1)^{p+1} \omega_p^3/((\omega_p^2 + \bar{g}^2)\bar{g}) \right]$. Approximating $\omega_p$ by $\bar{g}\pi \sqrt{p}$, we find $a = 2.56$.

The number is somewhat larger than 1.27, obtained by solving the gap equation on the Matsubara axis (Ref. [9] and Sec. III B). The difference likely comes from subleading terms in $\phi(\omega)$.

We plot $\Delta_0(z)$ for a generic $z$ in the upper half-plane in Fig.9. We clearly see that there is a set of points, where $\Delta_0'(z) = \Delta_0''(z) = 0$. These points are the centers of dynamical vortices with anti-clockwise circulation $2\pi$. The vortices are located along a particular line.
in the complex plane. The set extends to an infinite frequency, i.e., the number of vortices is infinite. This is consistent with an infinite phase winding along the real axis. We verified that if we use a more accurate form of $\omega_p$, the positions of the vortices shift a bit, but their number remains infinite.

To see how the winding number changes once we rotate from the real to the Matsubara axis, we introduce $z = |z| e^{i\psi}$ ($\psi = 0$ along the positive real semi-axis and $\pi/2$ along the Matsubara axis) and check the winding of the phase of $\Delta_0(z) = |\Delta_0(z)| e^{i\eta_0(z)}$ between $|z| \sim \bar{g}$ and $|z| \to \infty$ along the directions in the upper frequency half-plane, specified by $\psi$. We show the results in Fig. 10. We see that for any $\psi > 0$, the phase $\eta_0(z)$ winds for $|z|$ below a certain value, and then saturates. At larger $|z|$, both $\Delta'_0(z)$ and $\Delta''_0(z)$ scale as $1/|z|^2$ with no oscillations. Counting the total phase winding $\delta \eta_0$ between $|z| = O(\bar{g})$ and $|z| = \infty$, we see that $\delta \eta_0 = 2\pi s$, where $s$ is an integer. It decreases by one every time the direction set by $\psi$ passes through a vortex. The winding vanishes at some $\psi \leq \pi/4$.

B. case $\xi = \infty$

We next consider the opposite limit $\xi = \infty$. The form of $\Delta_\infty(z)$ can be obtained starting from (15) and replacing $\omega^2_m$ by $|z|^2 e^{i(2\psi - \pi)}$. This gives

$$\Delta_\infty(z) \propto \int_0^\infty dk \left( b_k e^{-ik \log |z|^2/\bar{g}^2 + (2\psi - \pi)k} + b_{-k} e^{ik \log |z|^2/\bar{g}^2 - (2\psi - \pi)k} \right),$$

where $b_k$ is defined in (16). We obtain $\Delta_\infty(z)$ by numerical integration. We plot its phase $\eta_\infty(z)$ in Fig. 11. We again see that there is an infinite array of vortices. The array extends to an infinite frequency, where it approaches the real axis. The vortex arrangement in Fig. 11 is remarkable similar to that in Fig. 9 for $\xi = 0$. Moreover, if we approximate $\phi(\omega)$ by the leading term $(\omega/\bar{g})^2/\pi$, we find that the positions of the vortices are at the same $z_i$ in both cases. We can see this by comparing Fig. 12 (a) where $\xi \to \infty$ and Fig. 12 (c) where $\xi \to 0$. The gap function $\Delta_\xi(z)$ are very similar in these two cases, despite that the overall factors are different. The vortex positions for these two cases are almost identical, as can be seen from Fig. 12 (d).
FIG. 10. Phase variation \( \eta_0(|z|, \psi) \) along different paths specified by \( \psi \), defined via \( z = |z|e^{i\psi} \).
Along real axis, \( \psi = 0 \); along Matsubara axis, \( \psi = \pi/2 \). Along the real axis the phase \( \eta_0(\omega) \) winds up an infinite number of times, i.e., the winding number (the number of \( 2\pi \) phase slips) is infinite. For a finite \( \psi \), phase winding ends at some finite \( |z| \), and the winding number becomes finite.

C. arbitrary \( \xi \)

The same infinite array of vortices exists for all \( 0 < \xi < \infty \). As an example, in Fig.12(b) we show the gap function for \( \xi = 1 \). We clearly see that there is an infinite array of vortices, similar to the ones for \( \xi = \infty \) and \( \xi = 0 \), and the positions of vortices are almost indistinguishable, see Fig.12(d). Analytically, if we use Eqs. (56) and (58), we find that the positions of vortices are independent on \( \xi \).
FIG. 11. The phase $\eta_\infty(z)$ of $\Delta_\infty(z)$ in the first quarter of the complex plane of frequency ($\omega' > 0$, $\omega'' > 0$).

D. Essential singularity

There is another consequence of the existence of an infinite array of vortices – each the gap function $\Delta_\xi(z)$ has an essential singularity at $|z| = \infty$. Indeed, one can reach $|z| = \infty$ from the set of vortex points, where $\Delta_\xi(z) = 0$, or from the real axis, where $\Delta_\xi(\omega)$ oscillates, and the amplitude of the oscillations does not vanish at $\omega \to \infty$, hence neither $\lim_{|z| \to \infty} \Delta_\xi(|z|)$ nor $\lim_{|z| \to \infty} 1/\Delta_\xi(|z|)$ exist. We emphasize that an essential singularity is only present for $\gamma = 2$. For smaller $\gamma$, phase winding and associated vortices exist only at $|z|$ smaller than a certain, $\gamma-$dependent value. At larger $|z|$, $\Delta(z)$ scales as $1/|z|^\gamma$ and vanishes at $|z| = \infty$ no matter how this limit is reached.

Further, for $\gamma = 2$, the very existence of a non-zero $\Delta_\xi(z)$ for a generic $z$ away from vortex points, is ultimately related to an essential singularity at $|z| = \infty$. The argument is that the set of vortex points is complete, hence one can analytically continue the gap function from this set to the upper half-plane of frequency in the same way as $\Delta(z)$ is obtained from a discrete set of Matsubara points $\omega_m = \pi T(2m+1)$ in standard diagrammatic calculations for interacting fermions. If this analytical continuation was unique, we would obtain $\Delta(z) \equiv 0,$
FIG. 12. (a)-(c) Gap functions $\Delta_\xi$ defined in [56] for different $\xi$ in the frequency upper half plane. Here we take $\phi(\omega) \approx (\omega/\bar{g})^2/\pi$ (d) Comparison of the vortex positions for different $\xi$ obtained by approximating $\phi(\omega)$ by $(\omega/\bar{g})^2/\pi$. The results suggest that the positions of the vortices almost do not depend on the value of $\xi$.

because $\Delta(z) = 0$ at the vortex points. For a non-zero $\Delta_\xi(z)$, the extension must be multi-valued. A rigorous mathematical argument is that this is the case when the end point of the set, $|z| = \infty$, goes outside the domain of analyticity. This is exactly what we have because of an essential singularity at $|z| = \infty$.

We conjecture that the multi-value nature of the extension is the reason why the set of $\Delta(\omega)$ is a continuous one at $\gamma = 2$. This is plausible, particularly if the vortices are at the same $z_i$ for all $\xi$, as Fig 12 seems to indicate. However, at the moment, we cannot prove this.

VI. **FINITE $\omega_D$**

A. **Gap equation at a finite $\omega_D$**

We now consider the case when the bosonic mass is small but finite. By analogy with the phonon case we call this mass $\omega_D$. On the Matsubara axis, $\Delta_0(\omega_m)$ changes little compared
to the case $\omega_D = 0$. The set of $\Delta_n(\omega_m)$ still exists at small $\omega_D$, but becomes discrete and holds up to a finite $n_{max}$. In particular, there is no solution of the linearized gap equation at $T = 0$ for any non-zero $\omega_D$. The value of $n_{max}$ can be estimated by noticing that if we, e.g., depart from the solution on the Matsubara axis at $\omega_D = 0$ and compute corrections due to finite $\omega_D$, these corrections increase at small $\omega_m$ and become $O(1)$ at $\omega_m \sim \omega_D$. A simple experimentation shows that this sets $n_{max}$ at

$$n_{max} \sim \frac{\bar{g}}{\omega_D}$$

(63)

On the real axis, the gap equation still has the form $D(\omega)\omega B(\omega) = A(\omega) + C(\omega)$, and $A(\omega)$ and $B(\omega)$ remain the same as in (8), up to irrelevant small corrections. However, $C(\omega)$ changes to

$$C(\omega) = -i \frac{\pi g^2}{2\omega_D} \frac{D(\omega - \omega_D) - D(\omega)}{\sqrt{1 - D^2(\omega - \omega_D)}} \text{sign}\omega$$

(64)

Expanding to first order in $\omega_D$ and introducing, as before, $D(\omega) = 1/\sin \phi(\omega)$, we obtain after straightforward algebra that the gap equation reduces to

$$\dot{\phi} - \frac{\omega_D}{2} \left( (\dot{\phi})^2 \tan \phi(\omega) + \ddot{\phi} \right) = \frac{2}{\pi g^2} [\omega B(\omega) - A(\omega) \sin \phi(\omega)] + \ldots$$

(65)

where dots stand for the terms with higher powers of $\omega_D$. A similar equation at a finite $T$ instead of finite $\omega_D$ has been obtained by Combescot [9].

For definiteness, let’s consider the case $\xi = 0$. At $\omega \geq \bar{g}$, $B(\omega)$ and $A(\omega)$ from (8) can be approximated by $B(\omega) \approx 1 + \bar{g}^2/\omega^2$ and $A(\omega) \approx -1.27\bar{g}^3/\omega^2$. To understand the effect of $\omega_D$ we use as an input the solution at $\omega_D = 0$, $\phi(\omega) \approx \omega^2/(\pi \bar{g}^2) + i\delta$. Substituting this input into (65), expanding near $\omega = \pi \bar{g}/\sqrt{2}$, where $\phi(\omega) = \pi/2$, expressing $\phi(\omega) = \phi'(\omega) + i\phi''(\omega)$, and solving for $\phi''(\omega)$, we find that it jumps to $O(\omega_D)$ once $\omega$ exceeds $\pi \bar{g}/\sqrt{2}$. The same happens at all $\omega_n = \pi \bar{g}/\sqrt{2}(2n + 1)^{1/2}$, where $\tan \phi'(\omega) = 0$. After $n$ jumps, $\phi''(\omega)$ becomes

$$\phi''(\omega) = \frac{\pi \omega_D}{\sqrt{2}\bar{g}} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \sqrt{2m + 1} \approx \frac{2\pi \omega_D}{3\bar{g}} n^{3/2} \approx \frac{2\omega_D \omega^3}{3\pi^2 \bar{g}^4}.$$ 

(66)

A more accurate, non-perturbative analysis of (65) shows that $\phi''(\omega)$ appears slightly before $\phi'(\omega)$ reaches $\pi/2$. This smoothes up the jumps, but the functional form of $\phi''(\omega)$ in (66) remains intact. When both $\phi'$ and $\phi''$ are nonzero, $D(\omega)$ is a complex function of frequency:

$$D_0'(\omega) = \omega \frac{\sin \phi'(\omega) \cosh \phi''(\omega)}{\sin^2 \phi'(\omega) + \sinh^2 \phi''(\omega)} \text{sign}\omega$$

(67)
and

\[ D''_0(\omega) = -\omega \frac{\cos \phi'(\omega) \sinh \phi''(\omega)}{\sin^2 \phi'(\omega) + \sinh^2 \phi''(\omega)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (68)

At \( \omega > 3\pi^2 \bar{g}^2 / (2\omega_D) \), \( \phi''(\omega) \) becomes larger than one. At such frequencies, both \( D'(\omega) \) and \( D''(\omega) \) oscillate with progressively decreasing magnitudes, approximately as the real and the imaginary parts of

\[-2i e^{i\omega^2/(\pi\bar{g}^2)} e^{-\frac{2\pi D}{3\sqrt{2} \bar{g}} (\omega/\bar{g})^3}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (69)

and the phase \( \eta_0(\omega) \) gradually winds up as \( \omega \) increases. We show this in Fig.13. This behavior holds as long as \(|A(\omega)| \ll \omega\), i.e., \( \omega < \omega_{\text{max}} \), where

\[ \omega_{\text{max}} \sim \bar{g} \left( \frac{\bar{g}}{\omega_D} \log \frac{\bar{g}}{\omega_D} \right)^{1/3} \]  \hspace{1cm} (70)

At even larger frequencies, the \( A(\omega) \) term cannot be neglected, and the forms of \( \phi'(\omega) \) and \( \phi''(\omega) \) change. We show the full numerical solution of Eq. (65) in Fig.14. We see that at \( \omega > \omega_{\text{max}} \), \( \phi''(\omega) \) keeps increasing, while \( \phi'(\omega) \) saturates. A simple analysis shows that Eq. (65) is satisfied, up to corrections of order \( \omega_D \), if

\[ \phi''(\omega) = 3 \log \frac{\omega}{\bar{g}} + 0.45, \quad \phi'(\omega) = -\frac{\pi}{2} + 2m\pi \]  \hspace{1cm} (71)

where \( m \) is an integer. Substituting this complex \( \phi(\omega) \) into \( \Delta_0(\omega) = \omega / \sin \phi(\omega) \approx -2i\omega e^{i\phi(\omega)} \), we see that at \( \omega > \omega_{\text{max}} \), the real part of the gap function gradually decreases.
Asymptotic forms of $\phi'(\omega)$ and $\phi''(\omega)$. At $\omega < \omega_{\text{max}}$, $\phi'(\omega)$ increases as $\omega^2$, while $\phi''(\omega)$ first displays a step-like behavior and then increases as $\omega^3$, with $\omega_D$ in the prefactor. At $\omega > \omega_{\text{max}}$, $\phi'(\omega)$ saturates at $(2m - 1/2)\pi$, where $m = 19$ for our chosen $\omega_D$, and $\phi''(\omega)$ increases logarithmically. The corrections to asymptotic values oscillate with the period set by $\omega^2/\pi$. In the numerical solution, we neglected $\ddot{\phi}$ term in [65] compared to $(\dot{\phi})^2$ and verified that this is a valid approximation.

as

$$\Delta''_0(\omega) = \frac{1.27\bar{g}^3}{\omega^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (72)$$

To obtain $\Delta''_0(\omega)$ at these frequencies, we need to keep the $\omega_D$ term in the l.h.s. of (65) and obtain the correction to (71), which we label as $\bar{\phi}$. Solving perturbatively for $\bar{\phi}(\omega)$ we obtain

$$\bar{\phi}(\omega) = f\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{\text{max}}}\right) e^{i\omega^2/(\pi\bar{g}^2)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (73)$$

where $f(...)$ is a decreasing function of the argument. The $\omega^2$ oscillations of $\bar{\phi}(\omega)$ are clearly visible in the numerical results for $\phi'$ and $\phi''$ in Fig. 14. Substituting (73) into (68), we obtain

$$\Delta''_0(\omega) \sim \frac{\bar{g}^3}{\omega^2} f\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{\text{max}}}\right) \cos \frac{\omega^2}{\pi\bar{g}^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (74)$$

One can verify that an integer $m$ in (71) determines the number of $2\pi$ variations of $\eta_0(\omega)$ on the real axis and, equivalently, the number of vortices at complex $z_i$. The value of $m$
decreases one-by-one as $\omega_D$ increases and $\omega_{\text{max}}$ decreases. That $m$ is finite implies that there is no essential singularity at $|z| = \infty$. Indeed, at the largest frequencies $\Delta(\omega) \propto 1/\omega^2$.

For completeness, we verified that higher-order terms in $\omega_D$, which we neglected in the l.h.s. of (65), become important at frequencies $\omega \sim g^2/\omega_D$, which well exceed $\omega_{\text{max}}$ and are therefore irrelevant to our purposes.

VII. DRESSED SUPERFLUID STIFFNESS

In this section we analyze superfluid stiffness and thermal corrections to a superconducting order parameter. As we discussed in the Introduction, we consider the $\gamma = 2$-model as the double limit $\omega_D \to 0, E_F \to \infty$, such that Migdal-Eliashberg parameter $\lambda_E = g^2 N_0/\omega_D$ remains small ($N_0 \sim 1/E_F$ is the DoS per unit volume in the normal state). Accordingly, in the analysis below we keep $\omega_D$ small, but finite.

A. Bare stiffness

A superfluid stiffness is the ratio of the excess energy $E_\eta$ due to inhomogeneous variation of the phase of a superconducting order parameter $\Delta(r) = \Delta e^{i\eta(r)}$ and $\int dr (\nabla \eta(r))^2$: $E_\eta = \rho_s \int dr (\nabla \eta(r))^2$. In the momentum space,

$$E_\eta = \rho_s \sum_q q^2 \eta_q^2$$

(75)

A way to compute $\rho_s$ is to choose $\eta_q = \delta_{q,q_0}$ and extract $\rho_s$ as the prefactor for $q_0^2$ term in the particle-particle bubble (the sum of GG and FF terms) at zero frequency and finite $q$ (see Refs. [30, 32, 41]).

At $\omega_D/g > 1$, the system is in a weak coupling limit, and superfluid stiffness at $T = 0$ is a fraction of the Fermi energy, $\rho_s(T = 0) = E_F/(4\pi)$ (Refs. [30, 32]). This stiffness is much larger than $T_c$ [42]. At $T > 0$, $\rho_s(T)$ drops and vanishes at $T_c$, but at weak coupling a drop of $\rho_s$ occurs only in the immediate vicinity of $T_c$.

At small $\omega_D/g$, strong mass renormalization $m^*/m = 1 + g^2/\omega_D^2$ changes the stiffness to

$$\rho_s(T = 0) \sim E_F \frac{\omega_D \Delta(0)}{g^2} \sim \frac{T_p}{\lambda_E}$$

(76)

where $T_p \sim \Delta(0)$ is the onset temperature of the pairing. As long as $\lambda_E \leq 1$, $\rho_s(T = 0) > T_p$. 
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We now relate the stiffness to the strength of thermal phase fluctuations of $\Delta(r) = \Delta e^{i\eta(r)}$. For this, consider the correlator

$$\langle \eta(r)\eta(0) \rangle = \frac{\int D[\eta] \eta(r)\eta(0)e^{-\rho_s \int dr (\nabla \eta(r))^2/T}}{\int D[\eta] e^{-\rho_s \int dr (\nabla \eta(r))^2/T}}. \quad (77)$$

We assume that in equilibrium $\eta(r) = 0$ and expand $\langle e^{i\eta(r)} \rangle$ as $1 - \langle \eta^2(r) \rangle/2$. Transforming (77) to the momentum space, we obtain

$$\langle \Delta(r) \rangle = \Delta(1 - \langle \eta^2 \rangle),$$

where $N$ is the number of particles in the system. Evaluating the integrals, we obtain the conventional result

$$\langle \eta^2 \rangle = \frac{T}{\rho_s} \frac{1}{N} \sum_q \frac{1}{q^2}. \quad (79)$$

We assume for simplicity that spatial dimension $D$ is larger than 2, in which case the sum converges. By order of magnitude we then have

$$\langle \eta^2 \rangle \sim \frac{T}{\rho_s(T)}. \quad (80)$$

As long as $\rho_s(T) > T$, fluctuation corrections to the order parameter are small. This does not hold in the immediate vicinity of the onset temperature of the pairing, $T_p$, but as long as $\rho_s(0) \gg T_c$, the $T$ range, where fluctuations are strong and destroy phase coherence, is quite narrow, i.e., superconducting $T_c$ remains close to $T_p$. We see that this holds even when $\omega_D$ is small and the reduction of $\rho_s$ by mass renormalization is strong.

**B. Dressing of $\rho_s$ by soft longitudinal fluctuations**

We now argue that in our case the expression for $\langle \eta^2 \rangle$ is different due to the presence of a continuum gapless spectrum of condensation energy, $E_{c,\xi}$, where, we remind, $\xi$ runs between 0 and $\infty$, and the bottom of the spectrum is at $\xi = 0$. We will need states near the bottom of the continuum, at $\xi \ll 1$. For such states, we assume

$$E_{c,\xi} = E_{c,0} + b_1 N_0 N\bar{g}^2 \xi^2,$$

where $b_1 = O(1)$ and $N$ is the total number of particles. We will also need superfluid stiffness $\rho_{s,\xi}$ for the states near the bottom of the continuum. Evaluating the particle-
particle susceptibility for a generic $\Delta_\xi(\omega_m)$ and extracting the $q^2$ term we obtain

$$\rho_{s,\xi} \sim E_F \frac{\omega_D}{\bar{g}^2} \int d\omega_m \frac{D_\xi^2(\omega_m)}{1 + D_\xi^2(\omega_m)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (82)$$

For $\xi = 0$, the integral is determined by $\omega_m \sim \bar{g}$, where $D_0(\omega_m) \sim 1$. This yields $\rho_{s,0} \sim E_F \omega_D / \bar{g} \sim T_p / \lambda_E$, as in (76). For states with $\xi > 0$, the magnitude of $\Delta_\xi(\omega_m)$ is reduced, and the stiffness gets smaller. We assume that for the states near the bottom of the continuum, the stiffness is obtained by expanding to first order in $\xi$:

$$\rho_{s,\xi} = \rho_{s,0} (1 - b_2 \xi)$$  \hspace{1cm} (83)$$

where $b_2 = O(1)$ is positive. The extra energy of a given state $\xi$ due to phase variation is

$$E_{\eta,\xi} = \rho_{s,\xi} \sum_q q^2 \eta_q^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (84)$$

We assume (see reasoning below) that all states near the bottom of a continuum contribute to the variation of the phase, i.e., the averaging in $\langle (\eta_q)^2 \rangle$ is over both $\eta_q$ and $\xi$ with the weight factor $e^{-E_\xi / T}$, where

$$E_\xi = E_{c,\xi} + E_{\eta,\xi} = E_0 + \delta E_\xi,$$  \hspace{1cm} (85)$$

and

$$E_0 = E_{c,0} + \rho_{s,0} \sum_q q^2 \eta_q^2$$

$$\delta E_\xi = b_1 N_0 g^2 \xi^2 - b_2 \rho_{s,0} \xi \sum_q q^2 \eta_q^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (86)$$

If we neglected $\delta E_\xi$, we would obtain the same result as before:

$$\langle \eta^2 \rangle = \frac{T}{\rho_{s,0}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_q \frac{1}{q^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (87)$$

Keeping $\delta E_\xi$ we find that $\langle \eta^2 \rangle$ has an additional overall factor, which we label as $I_T$. Dropping for simplicity numerical prefactors $b_1$ and $b_2$, we obtain after integrating over $\eta_q$:

$$I_T = \int d\xi e^{-N f(\xi)} \left( \frac{1}{1 + \xi} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (88)$$

where

$$f(\xi) = \frac{N_0 \bar{g}^2}{T} \xi^2 - \frac{\xi}{2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (89)$$
We assume that the measure of the integration over $\xi$ is non-singular. The linear in $\xi$ term in $f(\xi)$ comes from integration over $q'$ with $q' \neq q$ (see Eq. 78). Each integration over $q'$ yields $1/\sqrt{1-\xi}$, and the product of the integrals over all $q'$ yields $1/(1-\xi)^{N/2} = e^{-(N/2)\log(1-\xi)} \approx e^{(N/2)\xi}$.

At small $T$, the function $f(\xi)$ in (89) has a minimum at $\xi = T/(4N_0\bar{g}^2) \sim T/(4\omega_D \lambda_E)$. Then $I_T = 1/(1-T/(4\omega_D \lambda_E))$ and

$$\langle \eta^2 \rangle \sim \frac{T\lambda_E}{T_p} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{T}{4\omega_D \lambda_E}}$$

We see that the renormalizations coming from the low-energy states of the continuum spectrum of the condensation energy hold in powers of $T/\omega_D$. With these renormalizations, the fully dressed stiffness is

$$\rho_s(T) = \frac{T_p}{\lambda_E} \left(1 - \frac{T}{4\omega_D \lambda_E}\right)$$

We see from that the value of $\rho_s(T)$ at $T \to 0$ and $\omega_D \to 0$ depends on the order of limits. At $T = 0$, $\rho_s(0) = T_p/\lambda_E$ is finite and exceeds $T_p$. At $\omega_D \to 0$ the corrections to stiffness rapidly increase with $T$, and $\rho_s(T)$ becomes comparable to $T$ at $T \sim \omega_D \lambda_E$. For the largest $\lambda_E \sim 1$, at which our theory is valid, this holds at $T \sim \omega_D$. It is tempting to associate this temperature with the actual $T_c$ above which the system looses long-range phase coherence.

Further, there is an analogy between finite $\omega_D$ and finite $2-\gamma$, as the two have similar effect on the gap function (see Paper IV). Replacing $\omega_D$ by $\bar{g}(2-\gamma)$, we find that at $\omega_D = 0$ and $\gamma < 2$, superconducting $T_c \sim \bar{g}(2-\gamma)$.

Before concluding this Section, we elaborate on our assumption that the averaging over phase fluctuations should include low-energy states from the continuum spectra of the condensation energy. Consider the case $\gamma < 2$, when the spectrum is still discrete and the $n = 0$ solution has the lowest condensation energy $E_{c,0}$. The energies $E_{c,n \geq 1}$ are close to $E_{c,0}$, yet the solutions with different $n$ are topologically distinct as $\Delta_n(\omega_m)$ has $n$ vortices. These other states contribute to the renormalization of the phase of $\Delta_0(\omega_m)$ only if the tunneling amplitude between the states $n = 0$ and $n > 0$ is non-zero, which requires the barrier between $E_{c,0}$ and $E_{c,n}$ to be small. The height of the barrier depends on the path along which a state without a vortex transforms into a state with a vortex at some small $\omega_m$. A vortex can either come from $\omega_m = \infty$, in which case the barrier is high, or via a creation of a vortex-antivortex pair at $\omega_m = 0$, in which case it is low. For a generic $\gamma < 2$, $\Delta_n(z)$ are regular at small $z$ in the complex plane, hence one should not expect an anti-vortex
nearby. However, for \( \gamma \to 2 \), our candidate \( \Delta_\xi(z) \), Eq. (38), possess anti-vortices at small \( z \) in the lower frequency half-plane. In this situation, it is natural to expect that , the barriers between \( E_{c,0} \) and \( E_{c,n} \) with \( n > 0 \) are low, hence our reasoning is justified.

VIII. PHASE DIAGRAM OF THE \( \gamma \) MODEL

A. \( \gamma = 2 \), finite \( \omega_D \)

In Fig. 15, we present the phase diagram for \( \gamma = 2 \) for non-zero \( \omega_D \) and \( T \). At \( \omega_D = 0 \), the true transition temperature into a SC state is zero, although the onset temperature for the pairing, \( T_p \) is finite. At finite \( \omega_D \), \( T_c \) is finite but much smaller than \( T_p \), at least for small \( \omega_D \). In between \( T_c \) and \( T_p \), the system displays pseudogap behavior: the spectral function and the DoS display a peak at a finite frequency, but the spectral weight below the peak remains finite. Close to \( T_p \), the pairing is mainly induced by fermions with the two lowest Matsubara frequencies \( \pm \pi T \) [44–46]. In this situation, the position of the peak in the spectral function and the DoS increases linearly with \( T \), and the gap fills in as \( T \) approaches \( T_p \). In the \( T \) range near \( T_c \), fermions with all Matsubara frequencies contribute to the pairing, and the positions of the maxima in the spectral function and the DoS move to smaller frequencies as \( T \) increases (gap closing behavior). We show the DoS in the two regimes in Fig.18 below.

B. \( \omega_D = 0 \), \( 0 < \gamma \leq 2 \)

In Fig. 16 we show the phase diagram for the \( \gamma \) model with \( 0 < \gamma \leq 2 \), at \( \omega_D = 0 \) and finite \( T \). This phase diagram is based on the results of this work and previous works (Papers I-IV). For \( \gamma < 2 \), we found earlier the largest condensation energy is for sign-preserving solution of the gap equation (\( n = 0 \) in our classification). Still, for any \( \gamma > 0 \), there exists an infinite set of topologically distinct solutions for the gap (all with the same symmetry), labeled by integer \( n \). This generates a discrete spectrum of the condensation energy \( E_{c,n} \).

The spectrum is sparse near the bottom at small \( \gamma \), but becomes dense and flattens up at the bottom as \( \gamma \) approaches 2. At \( \gamma \leq 2 \), the corrections to superconducting order parameter from the states with \( n \neq 0 \) are small at low \( T \), but rapidly increase with increasing \( T \) and destroy phase coherence at \( T_c \sim \tilde{g}(2 - \gamma)/\lambda_E \). For \( \gamma \leq 2 \), \( T_c \ll T_p \), and there exists a wide intermediate temperature range where the system displays a pseudogap behavior. By
FIG. 15. The phase diagram of the $\gamma$ model for $\gamma = 2$ in variables $(T/\bar{g}, \omega_D/\bar{g})$, where $\omega_D$ is the mass of a pairing boson. $T_p$ is the onset temperature of the pairing, and $T_c$ is the actual superconducting transition temperature, below which the system establishes phase coherence. In between the system displays pseudogap behavior, in which fermionic pairs are formed, but there is no macroscopic phase coherence. The dashed line separates the two regimes within the pseudogap phase – the one at higher $T$, where the system behavior is chiefly determined by fermions with the two lowest Matsubara frequencies $\pm \pi T$, and the one at lower $T$, when fermions with all Matsubara frequencies contribute to the pairing. In these two regimes the system displays gap filling and gap closing behavior, respectively.

continuity, we expect that the pseudogap region to exist for all $\gamma > 0$ albeit with a smaller width.

C. Properties of the pseudogap phase

1. toy model for $\gamma = 2$

Let’s start with $\gamma = 2$. At $T = 0$ the DoS is the set of $\delta$–functions, (Fig.17(a)) At a finite $T$, two new features appear. First, $\Delta_0(\omega)$ decreases with increasing $\omega$ and displays no oscillations above $\omega_{max}$, similar to the case with finite $\omega_D$ discussed in [VI]. As a result,
FIG. 16. The phase diagram of the $\gamma$ model for a generic $\gamma < 2$ at a finite $T$ and vanishing $\omega_D$. For any $\gamma < 2$, the true SC transition temperature $T_c$ is finite, but is smaller than the onset temperature for the paring, $T_p$. In between $T_c$ and $T_p$, the system displays a pseudogap behavior. There are two distinct behaviors in the pseudogap regime, like in Fig. 15: close to $T_p$, the spectral function and the DoS display gap filling behavior, while close to $T_c$, the behavior becomes more conventional and the gap frequency shifts to a smaller value as $T$ increases.

$\delta$-functional peaks in the DoS at larger frequencies get broadened and eventually disappear. Second, other $D_\xi(\omega)$ from the continuum spectrum of condensation energies contribute to the DoS with Boltzmann factors. For all these solutions, $\text{Im}\Delta_\xi(\omega)$ remains finite down to $\omega = 0$. As a result, the DoS also becomes non-zero at the smallest $\omega$ (this phenomenon is often called a gapless superconductivity [33, 34, 47, 48]). We model both effects by introducing a phenomenological $\Delta(\omega) = \omega/\sin(ia + (\omega/\bar{g})^2(1 + ib))$, where $a$ and $b$ increase with $T$. We show the corresponding DoS in Fig. 17(b) and (c).

2. gap filling vs gap closing

We argue, based on earlier works [44, 45], that there are two different regimes of system behavior within the pseudogap phase. At low $T$, the position of the peak in the DoS scales with $\Delta_0(0)$ and decreases as $T$ increases (the gap “closes” with increasing $T$). At higher
FIG. 17. The density of states, $N(\omega)$, at different temperatures, for a toy model with $\Delta_0(\omega) = \omega / \sin(ia + \omega^2(1+ib))$, where $a$ and $b$ are two parameters, which increase with $T$. (a) The $T = 0$ limit, $a = b = 10^{-4}$. The DoS has a set of $\delta$-functional peaks. (b) A finite but small $T$, $a = b = 0.05$. The first few peaks are well defined, but the peaks at large frequencies get overdamped and disappear. (c) A higher temperature, $a = b = 0.25$. The peak at the smallest frequency is still present, at about the same frequency as at $T = 0$, but other peaks are washed out, and the spectral weight below the peak increases, i.e., the DoS at low frequencies fulls in.

At a finite $\omega_D$ and/or $2 - \gamma$, the “gap filling” behavior holds in some range between the onset temperature of the pairing $T_p$ and a finite superconducting $T_c$ (Fig.18). To estimate the crossover temperature between the two regimes, we compare the actual $T_p$ with the one obtained by neglecting the contributions from fermions with $\omega_m = \pm \pi T$. We show the results in Fig. [19]. We see that for $\gamma = 2$ the onset temperature without $\pm \pi T$ fermions is strongly reduced – it is about $1/7$ of the actual $T_p \sim 0.18\bar{g}$. This implies that the “gap filling” behavior holds in a wide range below $T_p$ and crosses over to “gap closing” behavior only near $T_c$. 

T, the peak in the DOS shifts to higher frequencies and the spectral weight below the peak increases (gap “fills in” with increasing $T$). We illustrate this in Fig. This last behavior is at least partly related to the fact that in some finite range of $T$ below $T_p$, the gap function on the Matsubara axis is strongly peaked at the first Matsubara frequencies $\pm \pi T$ (Refs. [44, 45]). On the real axis the corresponding $\Delta(\omega)$ displays $\omega/T$ scaling. For such $\Delta(\omega)$, the peak frequency in the DoS increases linearly with $T$. 

At a finite $\omega_D$ and/or $2 - \gamma$, the “gap filling” behavior holds in some range between the onset temperature of the pairing $T_p$ and a finite superconducting $T_c$ (Fig.18). To estimate the crossover temperature between the two regimes, we compare the actual $T_p$ with the one obtained by neglecting the contributions from fermions with $\omega_m = \pm \pi T$. We show the results in Fig. 19. We see that for $\gamma = 2$ the onset temperature without $\pm \pi T$ fermions is strongly reduced – it is about $1/7$ of the actual $T_p \sim 0.18\bar{g}$. This implies that the “gap filling” behavior holds in a wide range below $T_p$ and crosses over to “gap closing” behavior only near $T_c$. 

42
\( \gamma < 2 \) :

\[
\begin{array}{c}
T = 0 \\
T_c \\
T_p
\end{array}
\]

**SC**  
**Pseudogap**  
**Normal State**

\( T_c \)  
\( T_p \)

gap closes  
\( T_{low} - T_{high} \)

gap fills in  
\( T_{low} - T_{high} \)

\( \gamma = 2 \) :

\[
\begin{array}{c}
T = 0 \\
T_c \\
T_p
\end{array}
\]

**Pseudogap**  
**Normal State**

\( T_{low} - T_{high} \)

FIG. 18. The temperature evolution of the DoS \( N(\omega) \). For \( \gamma < 2 \) (upper panel) there is a SC order at \( T < T_c \). In this regime and in the pseudogap state at \( T \geq T_c \), the temperature variation of \( N(\omega) \) resembles that in a conventional BCS superconductor, i.e. when \( T \) increases, the position of the maximum in \( N(\omega) \) moves to a smaller frequency. At larger \( T \) within the pseudogap phase, \( N(\omega) \) displays gap filling behavior when the peak position increases with increasing \( T \) and \( N(\omega = 0) \) increases towards its normal state value. For \( \gamma = 2 \) (lower panel), \( T_c = 0 \), but the two different regimes of pseudogap behavior are present.

**IX. CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper, we extended our earlier analysis of the \( \gamma \)-model to \( \gamma = 2 \). The \( \gamma = 2 \) model describes, among other cases, the pairing, mediated by an Einstein boson, in the limit when the bosonic mass \( \omega_D \) tends to zero. On the real axis, the effective interaction in this
FIG. 19. The onset temperature of the pairing, obtained without including Matsubara frequencies \( \omega_m = \pm \pi T \). For \( \gamma = 2 \), this temperature is roughly \( 1/7 \) of the actual \( T_{p,0} \).

limit \( V(\Omega) = -\bar{g}^2/\Omega^2 \) is repulsive, and, at a first glance, should not give rise to pairing. However, the same interaction on the Matsubara axis, \( V(\Omega_m) = \bar{g}^2/\Omega_m^2 \), is attractive, and earlier calculations on the Matsubara axis found that the onset temperature of the pairing, \( T_p \), tends to a finite value \( T_p = 0.1827\bar{g} \) at \( \omega_D \to 0 \) (\( T_p = 0.1827\omega_D\sqrt{\lambda} \) in terminology of Ref. [15], which is the same expression because \( \lambda = \bar{g}^2/\omega_D^2 \)). The issue we discussed in this paper is whether this \( T_p \) is close to the actual superconducting \( T_c \), or \( T_c \) is smaller, and there is a range of pseudogap behavior between \( T_c \) and \( T_p \). We argued that the actual \( T_c \) scales with \( \omega_D \) and is much smaller than \( T_p \) when \( \omega_D/\bar{g} \) is small.

To prove this, we solved the non-linear gap equation at \( T = 0 \) and \( \omega_D = 0 \) and found a continuum of solutions, governed by a single parameter \( \xi (0 \leq \xi \leq \infty) \). This in turn gives rise to a continuum spectrum of condensation energy, \( E_{c,\xi} \), which can be viewed as a continuum gapless spectrum of “longitudinal” gap fluctuations. An infinite set of the gap functions and the condensation energies exists already for \( \gamma < 2 \), but is a discrete one. For \( \gamma = 2 \), this spectrum becomes continuous in a manner similar to how a discrete set of energy levels in a finite size crystal becomes a continuous vibration spectrum when system size
becomes infinite. In our case, $1/(2 - \gamma)$ plays the role of a system size.

Without the contribution from the gapless longitudinal branch, superfluid stiffness $\rho_s(T = 0)$ is larger than $T_p$, and thermal corrections to superconducting order parameter scale approximately as $T/\rho_s(0)$ and remain small at all $T < T_p$. However, upon including contributions from the longitudinal branch, we found that thermal corrections become of order one already at much smaller $T \sim \omega_D$. We identified this temperature with the actual superconducting $T_c$. We emphasize that $T_c$ vanishes at $\omega_D = 0$, and the behavior of the stiffness depends on the order in which the double limit $\omega_D \rightarrow 0$ and $T \rightarrow 0$ is taken. This strongly suggests that the $\gamma = 2$ model is critical at $T = 0$. At smaller $\gamma$, the ground state is not critical at $\omega_D = 0$, and $T_c \sim \bar{g}(2 - \gamma)$. It is finite but at $\gamma \leq 2$ is still much smaller than $T_p \sim \bar{g}$.

We presented collaborative evidence that the $\gamma = 2$ model is critical, from the analysis of the continuum set of gap functions along real frequency axis and in the upper half-plane of frequency. We found that for each solution, there is an infinite array of $2\pi$ vortices in the upper frequency half-plane. The array of vortices stretches up to an infinite frequency, where each gap function from the continuous set has an essential singularity. We speculated that different gap functions from the continuous set are different extensions from the array of vortices, onto the upper half-plane of frequency.

At a finite $\omega_D$, the set of gap functions becomes discrete and contains only a finite number of solutions, all of which behave regularly in the high-frequency limit. The the number of vortices also becomes finite. Still, at small $\omega_D/\bar{g}$, the system behavior over a wide frequency range mimics that at $\omega_D = 0$.

We showed the phase diagram of the $\gamma = 2$ model in variables $T$ and $\omega_D$ in Fig. 15 and the phase diagram of the $\gamma$ model at $\omega_D = 0$ in variables $T$ and $\gamma$ in Fig. 16. In both cases, there is range of pseudogap behavior between the onset temperature of the pairing $T_p$ and the actual $T_c$. In the pseudogap region, the bound pairs are formed, but there is no macroscopic phase coherence. We argued that in most of the pseudogap regime, the DoS and other observables display “gap filling” behavior, in which the peak position remains at a finite frequency up to $T_p$, while the states below the peak gradually fill in.

In the next (last) paper in the series we consider the behavior of the $\gamma$ model for $\gamma > 2$ and show that the new physics emerges at $T = 0$, which gives rise to a reduction and eventual vanishing of the superfluid stiffness in the ground state.
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Appendix A: Expansion in $D^2(\omega_m)$ for $\gamma = 2$ and $\gamma < 2$

In this Appendix we present some details of the analysis of the non-linear gap equation for $\gamma = 2$ and elaborate on the claim in the main text that a continuous set of gap functions exists only for $\gamma = 2$, while for smaller $\gamma$, the set is a discrete one.

1. $\gamma = 2$

We begin with $\gamma = 2$. Consider first the limit of small frequencies $\omega_m \ll \bar{g}$. For such $\omega_m$, $\Delta(\omega_m)$ in the l.h.s. of the gap equation [4] can be neglected, as its inclusion leads to terms with extra $(\omega_m/\bar{g})^2$. This approximation is equivalent to neglecting $\omega_m$ compared to the self-energy $\Sigma(\omega_m)$ and is similar to the “no $\omega_m$” approximation, used in the studies of SYK-type models [49–51]. The non-linear gap equation at $T = 0$ without $\Delta(\omega_m)$ in the l.h.s reduces to

$$\int d\omega_m' \frac{D(\omega_m') - D(\omega_m)}{\sqrt{1 + D^2(\omega_m')}} \frac{\text{sgn} \omega_m'}{|\omega_m' - \omega_m|^2} = 0 \quad (A1)$$
where, we recall, \( D(\omega_m) = \Delta(\omega_m)/\omega_m \).

The linearized gap equation is obtained from (A1) by neglecting \( D^2(\omega_m') \) in the denominator. The exact solution of the linearized gap equation is Eq. (13):

\[
D(\omega_m) = 2\varepsilon \cos \left( \beta \log \left( \frac{|\omega_m|}{\bar{g}} \right)^2 + \phi_{\varepsilon} \right) \text{ sgn } \omega_m
\]  

where \( \varepsilon \) is an arbitrary overall factor, \( \phi_{\varepsilon} \) is yet undetermined constant, and \( \beta = 0.38187 \) satisfies \( \pi\beta\tanh(\pi\beta) = 1 \).

We now expand Eq. (A1) in powers of \( D^2 \). We will be searching for the solution in the form

\[
D_{\varepsilon}(\omega_m) = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{2n+1}Q_{2n+1} \cos \left( (2n+1) \left( \beta_{\varepsilon} \log \left( \frac{|\omega_m|}{\bar{g}} \right)^2 + \phi_{\varepsilon} \right) \right)
\]

Substituting into (A1) and collecting contributions at each order in \( \varepsilon^{2n+1} \), we find that \( D_{\varepsilon}(\omega_m) \) given by (A3) does satisfy Eq. (A1), and that all integrals are ultra-violet convergent, i.e., there is no need for regularization. The calculations are lengthy, but straightforward. We checked explicitly that \( \beta_{\varepsilon} \) is the same in all terms in (A3) and is related to the original \( \beta \) by

\[
\beta_{\varepsilon} = \beta \left( 1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} + 0.806\varepsilon^4 + ... \right)
\]

The numerical coefficients are \( Q_3 = 0.222 + O(\varepsilon^2), Q_5 = 0.043 + O(\varepsilon^2) \). We cited this result and Eqs. (A3) and (A4) in Sec. III C.

At larger frequencies, we need to keep \( \Delta(\omega_m) \) in the l.h.s. of (4). In the opposite limit \( \omega_m \gg \bar{g} \), the leading term in \( D_{\varepsilon}(\omega_m) \) is obtained by pulling \( 1/\omega_m^2 \) from the integrand in the r.h.s. Then we obtain

\[
D_{\varepsilon}(\omega_m) = \frac{a_{\varepsilon}}{\omega_m^3}
\]

where

\[
a_{\varepsilon} = \frac{\bar{g}^2}{2} \int d\omega_{m'} \frac{D(\omega_{m'})}{\sqrt{1 + D^2(\omega_{m'})}} \text{ sgn } \omega_m
\]

Substituting this form of \( D(\omega_m) \) into the integrand in the r.h.s, we find that the integral is ultra-violet convergent, i.e., the solution (A6) is self-consistent.

The two solutions have to merge at \( \omega_m \sim \bar{g} \). For the linearized gap equation (the limit \( \varepsilon \to 0 \)) we verified that this does happen for a certain value of \( \phi_{\varepsilon} \) in (A3). We conjecture that the same holds for other \( \varepsilon \), i.e., for a certain \( \phi_{\varepsilon} \), \( D_{\varepsilon}(\omega_m) \) smoothly evolves between (A3) and (A6). We did similar analysis in Paper I. There, we demonstrated that
for arbitrary $\phi_\epsilon$, $D_\epsilon(\omega)$ of Eq. \ref{eq:A3} approaches the constant at $\omega_m \to \infty$, while the desired term ($D_\epsilon(\omega_m) \propto 1/|\omega_m|^\gamma$ for a generic $\gamma$) is the subleading one. For a particular $\phi_\epsilon$, a constant vanishes, and the high-frequency behavior becomes the expected one.

We see from \ref{eq:A4} that $\beta_\epsilon$ decreases with increasing $\epsilon$, while the overall magnitude of $\Delta(\omega_m)$ increases. It is natural to expect that $\beta_\epsilon = 0$ at some critical $\epsilon = \epsilon_{cr}$. We explored this in the main text.

Another way to argue for the existence of $\epsilon_{cr}$ is to depart from the opposite limit $\epsilon \gg 1$, where $D(\omega_m)$ is supposed to be large. In this case, we introduce $\Xi(\omega_m) = 1/D(\omega_m)$ and re-express the gap equation as

$$
\int d\omega_m' \frac{\Xi(\omega_m') - \Xi(\omega_m)}{1 + \Xi^2(\omega_m')} \frac{1}{|\omega_m - \omega_m'|^2} = 0 \quad (A7)
$$

Note the absence of $\text{sgn} \omega_m'$ in the integrand. At small $\Xi$, we neglect the $\Xi^2(\omega_m')$ in the denominator and search for the solution in the form $\Xi(\omega_m) = \text{sgn} \omega_m |\omega_m|/\bar{g}$. Substituting into (A7) we find $b = \pm 1$, i.e.,

$$
\Xi(\omega_m) = \left( A_1 \frac{\bar{g}}{|\omega_m|} + A_2 \frac{|\omega_m|}{\bar{g}} \right) \quad (A8)
$$

The first term does not satisfy the normalization condition and has to be discarded (see Paper I for the details on this). This leaves no parameter to adjust in order to match with the behavior at high frequencies. This implies that there is no solution for the gap at large $\epsilon$.

There is a similarity between this analysis and the analysis in Paper I, where we considered the $\gamma$ model for $\gamma < 1$ and extended it using a continuous variable $N$ to make interactions in the particle-hole and particle-particle channels non-equivalent. There, we found that there exists $N_{cr}$, which separates oscillating and non-oscillating solutions, and only oscillating solutions are compatible with high-frequency behavior. Here, $\epsilon$ plays the same role as $N$. We illustrate this in Fig. 20

2. $\gamma < 2$

We now extend this approach to $\gamma < 2$. The gap equation for $D(\omega_m)$ at $\omega_m \ll \bar{g}$ has the same form as in \ref{eq:A1}, only $|\omega_m - \omega_m'|^2$ in the denominator is replaced by $|\omega_m - \omega_m'|^\gamma$. The
The comparison between the behavior of $\beta_\epsilon$ in the $\gamma = 2$ model and $\beta_N$ in the model with $\gamma < 1$, extended to $N > 1$.

The solution of the linearized equation for $D(\omega_m)$ is

$$D(\omega_m) = 2\epsilon \left( \frac{\bar{g}}{|\omega_m|} \right)^{1-\gamma/2} \cos \left( \beta_\gamma \log \left( \frac{|\omega_m|}{\bar{g}} \right) + \phi \right) \text{sign}\omega$$

(A9)

where $\beta_\gamma$ is some regular function of $\gamma$. As before, we search for the solutions in the form

$$D_\epsilon(\omega_m) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \epsilon \left( \frac{\bar{g}}{|\omega_m|} \right)^{1-\gamma/2} \right)^{2n+1} Q_{2n+1} \cos \left( (2n+1) \left( \beta_\gamma \log \left( \frac{|\omega_m|}{\bar{g}} \right) \right) Q_{2n+1} \cos \left( (2n+1) \left( \beta_\gamma \log \left( \frac{|\omega_m|}{\bar{g}} \right) \right) + \phi_\epsilon \right)$$

(A10)

Substituting into (A9), we find that the integrals that determine $Q_{2n+1}$ now contain infrared divergencies. The only way to eliminate the divergencies is to assume that $\Delta_\epsilon$ tends to a finite value at $\omega_m \to 0$. But this is only possible for a discrete set of finite $\epsilon$. We also note in passing that because the actual expansion parameter is $\epsilon(\bar{g}/|\omega_m|)^{1-\gamma/2}$, the expansion of $\beta_{\gamma,\epsilon}$ in powers of $\epsilon$ yields $\beta_{\gamma,\epsilon} = \beta_\gamma (1 + a(\epsilon(\bar{g}/|\omega_m|)^{1-\gamma/2})^2)$. For $a \neq 0$, this gives rise to additional terms, which are not matched by the terms in Eq. (A10). The only option then is to set $a = 0$, i.e., leave $\beta_{\gamma,\epsilon}$ equal to its bare value $\beta_\gamma$.

The outcome is that the continuous set of gap functions exists only for $\gamma = 2$. For smaller $\gamma$, this set is discrete. We also emphasize that the distinction between $\gamma = 2$ and $\gamma < 2$ holds only at $T = 0$. At any finite $T$, the set of gap functions is a discrete one for all $\gamma \leq 2$, and the solutions with different $n$ from the set vanish at different temperatures $T_{p,n}$. In Fig. 21 we show the results of high-accuracy numerical calculation of $T_{p,1}$ for $\gamma = 2$. We see that $T_{p,1} \simeq 3.6827 \times 10^{-3}\bar{g}$ is finite.
FIG. 21. Determination of the temperature $T_{p,1}$, at which the $n = 1$ solution develops in the $\gamma = 2$ model. $M_c \sim 10^3$ is the largest value of the Matsubara number, used in this numerical calculation. Extrapolating $M_c$ to $\infty$ yields a finite value $T_{p,1} \simeq 3.6827 \times 10^{-3} \bar{g}$.

**Appendix B: The gap function $\Delta_\infty$**

The exact solution of the linearized gap equation at zero temperature has been derived for $0 < \gamma < 1$ in Paper I and $1 < \gamma < 2$ in Paper IV. Here we extend the analysis of Paper IV to $\gamma = 2$.

We first solve for the gap function $\Delta_\infty(\omega_m)$ along the Matsubara axis. Following the same computational steps as in the analysis for $\gamma < 2$, we obtain $D_\infty(\omega_m) = \Delta_\infty(\omega_m)/\omega_m$ in the form

$$D_\infty(\omega_m) = \epsilon \frac{\bar{g}}{\omega_m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk b_k e^{-ik \log (\omega_m/\bar{g})^2},$$

where $\epsilon$ is an infinitesimal number,

$$b_k = \frac{e^{-iI_k}}{[\cosh(\pi(k - \beta)) \cosh(\pi(k + \beta))]^{1/2}},$$

and

$$I_k = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk' \log |\epsilon_{k'} - 1| \tanh \pi (k' - k + i\delta),$$
Here $\epsilon_{k'} = \pi k' \tanh(\pi k')$ and $\beta \simeq 0.38187$ is the solution of $\pi \beta \tanh(\pi \beta) = 1$. We cited these results in Eqs. (15), (16) and (17) in the main text.

The integrals (B3) and (B1) can be computed numerically. We showed the result for $D_\infty(\omega_m)$ in Fig.1 in the main text. The function $D_\infty(\omega_m)$ oscillates at $\omega_m < \bar{g}$ and decays as $1/|\omega_m|^3$ at $\omega_m > \bar{g}$.

1. Series expansion

The integral in Eq. (B3) can be evaluated by closing the integration contour along an infinite arc in the complex plane of frequency. For $|\omega_m| < \bar{g}$, the arc must be in the upper half-plane, and for $|\omega_m| > \bar{g}$, in the lower half-plane. The integral is equal to the sum of the contributions from each pole of the function $b_k$ in the upper or lower half-plane. The position of these poles are obtained from the representation of $b_k$ as an infinite product of the Gamma-functions: (see Papers I and IV for details on this)

$$b_k = \frac{\Gamma(1 - ik)}{\Gamma(1 + ik)} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + i(k + \beta) \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + i(k - \beta) \right) \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + i(k - m\beta_m) \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - i(k + m\beta_m) \right)} \Gamma \left( 1 + m - ik \right) \Gamma \left( 1 + m + ik \right)$$

(B4)

Here $\beta_m > 0$ are the solutions of $\pi \beta_m \tan(\pi \beta_m) = -1$. There is an infinite set of such $\beta_m$, specified by an integer $m = 0, 1, 2...$. Each $\beta_m$ is located within the interval $1/2 + m < \beta_m < 3/2 + m$. Viewed as a function of complex $k$, $b_k$ has poles from individual Gamma-functions in the upper half-plane at $k = \pm \beta + i(n + 1/2)$ and $k = i\beta_m + i(n + 1/2)$ where $n, m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, and in the lower half-plane, at $k = -i(n + 1)$ and $k = -i(1 + m + n)$, where $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and $m = 1, 2, \ldots$.

2. $|\omega_m| < \bar{g}$

For $|\omega_m| < \bar{g}$, the relevant poles are at $k = \pm \beta + i(n + 1/2)$ and at $k = i\beta_m + i(n + 1/2)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. This yields series expansion for $D_\infty(y)$ with $y = (|\omega_m|/\bar{g})^2$ in the form

$$D_\infty(y) = \text{Re} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{(i\beta \log y + \phi)} C_n^\prec y^n + \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} D_n^\prec y^{n+m\beta_m}$$

(B5)

The leading term in (B5) at small $\omega_m$ comes from the contribution of the poles at $k = \pm \beta + i/2$

$$D_\infty(y) = C_0^\prec \cos(\beta \log y + \phi)$$

(B6)
The first subleading term comes from the contribution of the pole at \( k = i(1/2 + \beta_0) \) and scales as \( y^{\beta_0} \), where \( \beta_0 \approx 0.89 \).

In the direct perturbation expansion in \( y \), the series in \( y^n \) (the first term in (B5)) come from fermions with internal \( y' \sim y \) and form the “local” series. The second term in (B5)) is the sum of contributions from fermions with \( y' = O(1) \), which for \( y \ll 1 \) can we regarded as “non-local”. The total \( D_\infty(y) = D_{\infty,L}(y) + D_{\infty,NL}(y) \).

The coefficients \( C_n^< \) in (B5) can be obtained analytically, as we already found in Papers I and III for \( \gamma \leq 1 \) and Paper IV for \( 1 < \gamma < 2 \). At \( \gamma = 2 \), the result takes a very simple form

\[
C_n^< = C_0^< i^n / \beta^n n!
\]  

(B7)

Substituting this into Eq. (B5), we find that the first term (the local contribution) becomes

\[
D_{\infty,L}(y) \propto \cos [\beta (\log y - y) + \phi].
\]  

(B8)

It oscillates with the periodicity set by \( \beta \log y \) for \( y \ll 1 \), i.e., \( |\omega_m| \ll \bar{g} \), which is the right behavior of the gap function at small frequencies, see (B6).

We note, however, that the first subleading term in (B8) scales as \( y \sin(\beta \log y) \). This contribution is smaller than the actual subleading term, which scales as \( y^{0.89} \) and does not oscillate. This implies that, besides the leading term, the form of \( \Delta_\infty(y) \) is determined by non-local corrections.

3. \( |\omega_m| > \bar{g} \) and logarithmic correction

For \( y > 1 \), i.e., \( |\omega_m| > \bar{g} \), relevant poles are in the lower half-plane. According to Eq. (B4), a pole at \( k = -i(n - 1) \) \((n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)\) is of order \( n + 1 \), namely a simple pole at \( n = 0 \), a double pole at \( n = 1 \), etc. The leading term in the limit of \( |\omega_m| \to \infty \) is the contribution from a simple pole at \( k = -i \) \((n = 0)\), This contribution accounts for \( 1/y \) behavior of \( \Delta_\infty(y) \) at large \( y \). However, the subleading terms from the rest poles contain extra logarithms on top of powers of \( 1/y \):

\[
\Delta_\infty(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{C}_n^> y^{-2(1+n)} (\log y)^n,
\]  

(B9)

To demonstrate the presence of the logs, consider as an example the contribution from the double pole at \( k = -2i \). We shift \( \gamma \) to \( 2 - \delta \), \( \delta > 0 \) and then take the limit \( \delta \to 0 \). The expression of \( b_k \) for \( \gamma \leq 2 \) is presented in Paper IV. Using it, we find that a double
pole splits into two simple poles at $z_1 = -2i$ and $z_2 = -(2 + \delta/2)i$. In the neighborhood of the two poles, the function $b_k$ takes the form $\sim 1/(z - z_1)/(z - z_2)$. The contribution from these two poles is obtained by circling out a loop $C$ enclosing $z_1$ and $z_2$. Evaluating the integral and taking the limit $\delta \to 0$, we obtain

$$\sqrt{y} \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \frac{\bar{g}}{\omega_m} \int_C dz \frac{1}{(z + 2i)(z + (2 + \delta/2)i)} e^{-iz \log(y^{1-\delta/2})} = 2\pi \frac{\log y}{y^2}. \quad (B10)$$

Similarly, the triple pole at $k = -3i$ gives rise to $(\log y)^2/y^3$, etc. Collecting the contributions from every pole on the lower-half plane, we obtain (B9).

4. **The universal oscillating term at large $y$**

We now show that the high-frequency form of $D_\infty(\omega_m)$ contains an additional oscillating contribution. This contribution is exponentially small on the Matsubara axis, but, as we will see, it becomes the dominant one on the real axis. To extract this contribution, we note that for large $|\omega_m|/\bar{g}$, the argument of the cosine function, $I_k + k \log y$, passes through extremum at $k \sim k_* = y/\pi$. Expanding around this point and evaluating the Gaussian integral, we obtain the universal piece $D_{\infty, u}(y)$ in the form

$$D_{\infty, u}(y) = 2\sqrt{2} e^{-y} \cos \left[ \frac{(\pi^2 - 2)}{2\pi} y + \frac{\pi}{4} \right]. \quad (B11)$$

We see that $D_{\infty, u}(y)$ is exponentially small, yet this oscillating term is present. The total $D_\infty(y)$ is the sum of (B9) and (B11).

5. **$D_\infty(y)$ along real axis**

Let’s now transform from Matsubara to real axis. We use $\omega$ instead of $y$ for better transparency. By construction, the gap function along the real axis is obtained by replacing $i\omega_m \to \omega + i0^+$ in the integrand in the r.h.s. of Eq. (B1). Under this transformation, $\log(|\omega_m|/\bar{g})^2$ transforms into $\log(|\omega|/\bar{g})^2 - i\pi$. The integral in Eq. (B1) splits into two parts:

$$D_\infty(\omega) = e^{-\bar{g}} \omega \int_0^\infty dk \frac{e^{-\pi k e^{-iI_k - ik \log(|\omega|/\bar{g})^2}} + e^{\pi k e^{iI_k + ik \log(|\omega|/\bar{g})^2}}}{\sqrt{\cosh(\pi(k - \beta)) \cosh(\pi(k + \beta))}}. \quad (B12)$$

Evaluating each integral by expanding near the point where $I_k \pm k \log(|\omega|/\bar{g})^2$ passes through extremum and approximating the denominator in (B12) by its form at large $k$, we find that
the first term is small in $e^{-2\pi k}$, while in the second term the exponential factor cancels out. Ignoring the first term, we obtain

$$D_{\infty;u}(\omega) \approx \sqrt{2}\epsilon e^{\frac{i}{2}\left((\frac{\bar{\omega}}{\bar{g}})^2 + \log((\frac{\bar{\omega}}{\bar{g}})^2)\right)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (B13)

Other contributions to $D_\infty(\omega)$ contain powers of $\bar{g}/\omega$ and are smaller. As a result, on the real axis, $D_\infty(\omega) \approx D_{\infty;u}(\omega)$ at $\omega \gg \bar{g}$.

The same calculation can be carried out for an arbitrary complex frequency $z = \omega' + i\omega''$ in the upper frequency plane. For this, one has to replace $i\omega_m$ by $z \equiv |z|e^{i\psi}$ ($0 < \psi < \pi$) in the integrand in the r.h.s. of \([B1]\). This changes $\log(\omega_m/\bar{g})^2$ to $\log(|z|/\bar{g})^2 + i(2\psi - \pi)$ and gives $\Delta_\infty(z)$, which we presented in Eq. (62) in the main text.

**Appendix C: Extended $\gamma-$ model**

In Papers I-III and other works \([44]-[46],[52]-[57]\), we and others extended the $\gamma$ model to in-equal interactions in the particle-particle and particle-hole channel. This was done by adding a factor $1/N$ to the interaction in the particle-particle channel and leaving the interaction in the particle-hole channel intact. The advantage of extending the model to $N \neq 1$ is that superconducting order in the ground state exists for $N < N_{cr}$, while for larger $N$ the ground state is a non-Fermi liquid. By analyzing the gap equation near this point, one can obtain useful information about how a discrete set of solutions emerges. In Paper III we argued that the extension to $N \neq 1$ makes sense for $\gamma < 1$, while for $\gamma \geq 1$, the model with $N \neq 1$ possesses singularities, not present in the original $\gamma$ model. We proposed another way to extend the model with $\gamma > 1$, which is free from singularities. The idea is to first explicitly cancel out singularities in the original $\gamma$ model with $\gamma > 1$, and only then extend the model to $M \neq 1$ by making interactions in the particle-particle and particle-hole channel in-equivalent. The extended model is then free from singularities, and one can obtain critical $M_{cr}$, where superconducting order disappears at $T = 0$ (by our construction, it exists at $M > M_{cr}$). In this Appendix, we analyze the extended $\gamma$ model for $\gamma = 2$. We show that a continuous set of solutions for the gap equation emerges at $M_{cr} + 0$.

We first briefly describe the extension procedure. The two coupled Eliashberg equations
are for the pairing vertex $\Phi(\omega_m)$ and the self-energy $\Sigma(\omega_m)$. For $\gamma = 2$, the equations are

$$
\Phi(\omega_m) = \tilde{g}^2 \pi T \sum_{m' \neq m} \frac{\Phi(\omega_{m'})}{\sqrt{\tilde{\Sigma}^2(\omega_{m'}) + \Phi^2(\omega_{m'})}} \frac{1}{|\omega_m - \omega_{m'}|^2},
$$

$$
\tilde{\Sigma}(\omega_m) = \omega_m + \tilde{g}^2 \pi T \sum_{m' \neq m} \frac{\tilde{\Sigma}(\omega_{m'})}{\sqrt{\tilde{\Sigma}^2(\omega_{m'}) + \Phi^2(\omega_{m'})}} \frac{1}{|\omega_m - \omega_{m'}|^2} \tag{C1}
$$

where $\tilde{\Sigma}(\omega_m) = \omega_m + \Sigma(\omega_m)$. At $T = 0$, the r.h.s. of each of the two equations contains a divergent integral $\int dx/x^2$. To regularize the divergencies, we keep the temperature small but finite and set $T = 0$ at the end of calculations. At a finite $T$, the sum over $m'$ is non-singular as singular self-action term with $m' = m$ cancels out by the same reason as the contributions from non-magnetic impurities.

We then introduce

$$
\bar{\Phi}(\omega_m) = \Phi(\omega_m) \left(1 - \tilde{g}^2 \frac{\zeta(2)}{(2\pi T)} \frac{1}{\tilde{\Sigma}^2(\omega_m) + \Phi^2(\omega_m)}\right)
$$

$$
\bar{\Sigma}(\omega_m) = \tilde{\Sigma}(\omega_m) \left(1 - \tilde{g}^2 \frac{\zeta(2)}{(2\pi T)} \frac{1}{\tilde{\Sigma}^2(\omega_m) + \Phi^2(\omega_m)}\right) \tag{C2}
$$

where $\zeta(2) = \pi^2/6 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/n^2$. Because $\Phi(\omega_m)/\tilde{\Sigma}(\omega_m) = \bar{\Phi}(\omega_m)/\bar{\Sigma}(\omega_m)$, Eqs. (C1) can be re-expressed solely in terms of $\bar{\Phi}(\omega_m)$ and $\bar{\Sigma}(\omega_m)$:

$$
\bar{\Phi}(\omega_m) = \tilde{g}^2 \pi T \sum_{m' \neq m} \left(\frac{\bar{\Phi}(\omega_{m'})}{\sqrt{\bar{\Sigma}^2(\omega_{m'}) + \Phi^2(\omega_{m'})}} - \frac{\Phi(\omega_m)}{\sqrt{\tilde{\Sigma}^2(\omega_m) + \Phi^2(\omega_m)}}\right) \frac{1}{|\omega_m - \omega_{m'}|^2},
$$

$$
\bar{\Sigma}(\omega_m) = \omega_m + \tilde{g}^2 \pi T \sum_{m' \neq m} \left(\frac{\bar{\Sigma}(\omega_{m'})}{\sqrt{\bar{\Sigma}^2(\omega_{m'}) + \Phi^2(\omega_{m'})}} - \frac{\tilde{\Sigma}(\omega_m)}{\sqrt{\tilde{\Sigma}^2(\omega_m) + \Phi^2(\omega_m)}}\right) \frac{1}{|\omega_m - \omega_{m'}|^2} \tag{C3}
$$

These equations are now free from singularities at $T = 0$, when the summation over Matsubara numbers is replaced by the integration over $\omega_m$.

We now extend the modified Eliashberg equations (C3) by multiplying the interaction in the particle-particle channel by a factor $1/M$:

$$
\bar{\Phi}(\omega_m) = \frac{\tilde{g}^2}{2M} \int d\omega_{m'} \left(\frac{\bar{\Phi}(\omega_{m'})}{\sqrt{\bar{\Sigma}^2(\omega_{m'}) + \Phi^2(\omega_{m'})}} - \frac{\Phi(\omega_m)}{\sqrt{\tilde{\Sigma}^2(\omega_m) + \Phi^2(\omega_m)}}\right) \frac{1}{|\omega_m - \omega_{m'}|^2} \tag{C4}
$$
The gap function $\Delta(\omega_m)$ is expressed via $\Phi(\omega_m)$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}(\omega_m)$ in the same way as via the original $\Phi(\omega_m)$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}(\omega_m)$: $\Delta(\omega_m) = \omega_m \Phi(\omega_m)/\tilde{\Sigma}(\omega_m)$. The equation on $\Delta(\omega_m)$ is

$$\Delta(\omega_m) = \frac{g^2}{2M} \int \frac{d\omega'_m}{|\omega_m - \omega'_m|^2} \left( \frac{\Delta(\omega'_m) - M \Delta(\omega_m) \omega'_m}{\sqrt{\Delta^2(\omega'_m) + (\omega'_m)^2}} - \frac{\Delta(\omega_m)(1 - M)}{\sqrt{\Delta^2(\omega'_m) + \omega'_m^2}} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (C5)$$

Absorbing $1/M$ into $g^2_M = g^2/M$, introducing a dimensionless $\bar{\omega}_m = \omega_m/g_M$, $D(\bar{\omega}_m) = \Delta(\bar{\omega}_m)/\bar{\omega}_m$ and re-arranging, we obtain from (C5)

$$D(\bar{\omega}_m) \left( \bar{\omega} + \frac{1 - M}{2} \int \frac{d\omega'_m}{|\bar{\omega}_m - \omega'_m|^2} \left( \frac{\text{sgn} \, \bar{\omega}_m}{\sqrt{1 + D^2(\omega'_m)}} - \frac{\text{sgn} \, \bar{\omega}'_m}{\sqrt{1 + D^2(\omega'_m)}} \right) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d\omega'_m}{|\bar{\omega}_m - \omega'_m|^2} \frac{D(\omega'_m) - D(\bar{\omega}_m)}{\sqrt{1 + D^2(\omega'_m)}} \text{sgn} \, \omega'_m$$  \hspace{1cm} (C6)$$

Both integrals in (C6) are free from singularities and infra-red convergent.

For infinitesimally small $D(\bar{\omega}_m)$, Eq. (C6) becomes

$$D(\bar{\omega}_m) \left( \bar{\omega} + \frac{1 - M}{2} \int \frac{d\omega'_m}{|\bar{\omega}_m - \omega'_m|^2} \left( \frac{\text{sgn} \, \bar{\omega}_m}{\sqrt{1 + D^2(\omega'_m)}} - \frac{\text{sgn} \, \bar{\omega}'_m}{\sqrt{1 + D^2(\omega'_m)}} \right) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d\omega'_m}{|\bar{\omega}_m - \omega'_m|^2} \frac{D(\omega'_m) - D(\bar{\omega}_m)}{\sqrt{1 + D^2(\omega'_m)}} \text{sgn} \, \omega'_m$$  \hspace{1cm} (C7)$$

At small $\bar{\omega}_m$, the solution of the gap equation is

$$D(\bar{\omega}_m) = 2\epsilon \cos \left( \beta_M \log \bar{\omega}_m^2 + \phi \right) \text{sgn} \, \omega_m,$$  \hspace{1cm} (C8)$$

It has the same form as Eq. (13), but now $\beta_M^2 = M/\pi^2$. This form implies that $M_{cr} = 0$.

We now assume that $M$ is small and solve the non-linear gap equation. Our key intention is to check whether we still have a continuum of solutions. For this purpose, it is sufficient to focus on small $\bar{\omega}_m$, when we can neglect bare $\bar{\omega}_m$ in the l.h.s. of (C6).

As in Sec. III C, we search for the solution of (C6) in the series in $\epsilon^2$ for both $D(\bar{\omega}_m)$ and $\beta$. To leading order in $M$, we obtain

$$D(\bar{\omega}_m) = \frac{2\epsilon}{\pi} M^{1/2} \log \bar{\omega}_m^2 \left( 1 - 3\epsilon^2 + \ldots \right)^{1/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (C9)$$

where dots stand for $\epsilon^4$ and higher order terms. The $M^{1/2}$ dependence (same as $(M - M_{cr})^{1/2}$ as $M_{cr} = 0$) is an expected one. The logarithmic dependence on frequency is consistent with the result in Paper I, where we obtained log $\bar{\omega}_m^2$ dependence at $N = N_{cr}$. However, there such dependence exists only for $N = N_{cr}$, while here we have an infinite set of solutions with the same frequency dependence, but different amplitudes, parametrized by $\epsilon$. All solutions appear simultaneously at $M = 0+$.
A complimentary piece of evidence for multiple solutions comes about if we simplify the l.h.s. of (C6) by dropping $D^2$ terms in the denominator of the $(1 - M)$ term. The gap equation then reduces to

$$D(\bar{\omega}_m) \left( \bar{\omega} + \frac{1 - M}{2\bar{\omega}} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d\bar{\omega}_m'}{|\bar{\omega}_m - \bar{\omega}_m'|^2} \frac{D(\bar{\omega}_m') - D(\bar{\omega}_m)}{\sqrt{1 + D^2(\bar{\omega}_m')}} \text{sign}\bar{\omega}_m'$$

(C10)

The full gap equation for the original $\gamma$ model is reproduced if we set $M = 1$, so Eq. (C10) can be viewed as another extension of the original model. The solution of the linearized gap equation is the same as before, with $\beta^2_M = M/\pi$, hence $M_{cr} = 0$. At $M \to 0$, the expansion in $\epsilon^2$ now yields, to leading order in $M \equiv M - M_{cr}$:

$$D(\bar{\omega}_m) = 2\epsilon \left( \cos f_M(\bar{\omega}_m) - \frac{\epsilon^2}{16M} \cos 3f_M(\bar{\omega}_m) + \ldots \right)$$

(C11)

where

$$f_M(\bar{\omega}_m) = \beta_{M,\epsilon} \log \bar{\omega}_m^2 + \phi$$

(C12)

and

$$\beta^2_{M,\epsilon} = \beta^2_M \left( 1 - \frac{3\epsilon^2}{M} + \ldots \right)$$

(C13)

We see that the expansion holds in powers of $\epsilon^2/M$ and is valid up to $\epsilon \sim (M)^{1/2}$, at which $\beta^2_{M,\epsilon}$ vanishes. At larger $\epsilon$, $\beta^2_{M,\epsilon}$ becomes negative, and the solution disappears (there is no normalized solution of the linearized gap equation). We see that there is again an infinite set of solutions, specified by $\epsilon$, which runs between 0 and $\epsilon_{cr} = O(\sqrt{M})$.
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