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Abstract
Anxiety is the most common mental health problem that occurs with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD), and due to creating a more inclusive learning environment, children with ASD are placed in general education classrooms. Thus, addressing anxiety problems for children with ASD in school settings become critically important. This systematic review and meta-analysis investigated the current qualities of studies and the effects of school-based interventions for reducing anxiety in children with ASD. The study included six studies with a total of 165 participants. A random-effect meta-analysis yielded a moderate overall effect (\(g = -0.58, 95\% \text{ CI } [-0.96, -0.20], z = -3.01, p < .05\)) with no significant heterogeneity, \(Q (5) = 7.31, p = 0.20\). Findings of the systematic review indicated that school-based interventions for anxiety problems are still in the early stages, and studies showed significant issues with adaptations of current clinical-based interventions and anxiety measurements to use in school settings for children with ASD. Interpretation of these findings and implications are discussed.
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Introduction
Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a lifelong neurodevelopmental disability that causes severe impairments in individuals’ social and communication skills with repetitive behaviors and interests (APA, 2013). Prevalence estimates of ASD have increased dramatically over the past decades, and ASD has become one of the most common disability categories in the USA. Currently, 1 in every 59 children has been diagnosed with ASD (Maenner et al., 2020). Unsurprisingly, because of the high prevalence estimates of ASD, treating and educating children with ASD have been focused on by researchers, and schools have offered a range of supports to children with ASD at different levels to meet their educational and behavioral needs (Ferraioli & Harris, 2011). More specifically, researchers have focused on interventions with a structured applied behavior analysis (ABA) approach to minimize inappropriate behaviors of children with ASD, and as of today, various evidence-based practices (EBP) have been developed, including social skills interventions, the Program for the Education and Enrichment of Relational Skills (PEERS), and the Social Communication, Emotional Regulation, and Transactional Support (SCERTS) model have demonstrated improvement in targeted skills, such as emotional regulation and communication skills, that can be evidence of effectiveness in treatments of internalizing problems in children with ASD (Rutherford et al., 2019). These programs have
not targeted or have not reported improvement of anxiety or depression symptoms in children with ASD, which makes the effects of these interventions in internalizing problems uncertain. Indeed, a relevant literature review noticed that children with ASD are more likely to exhibit one or more mental health difficulties (Vasa & Mazurek, 2015). Recent limited studies also support that internalizing problems may predict externalizing problems in children with ASD. For instance, recently, Bos and colleagues (2018) examined a correlation between internalizing and externalizing problems in children with and without ASD, and they noted some internalizing problems, including levels of worry/rumination, were significant predictors in externalizing problems for boys with ASD. Furthermore, recent studies have also identified internalizing problems as a predictor of being a victim of bullying (Cappadocia et al., 2012). Cappadocia and colleagues showed that children with autism and internalizing problems are 11 times more likely to be victimized in bullying compared to students who have ASD without internalizing problems. Therefore, the identification and treatment of internalizing problems in children with ASD should be prioritized to minimize behavioral and social failures.

Anxiety is an excessive feeling of worry about a variety of events and activities over a long period (DSM-IV, 2013). Compared to typically developing children, anxiety is the most common mental health problem that children with ASD experience in their school and social life (Vasa & Mazurek, 2015). Several studies have shown evidence that the prevalence of anxiety disorders in children with ASD differs significantly, ranging from 42 to 84%, with nearly 40% meeting a diagnostic criterion for anxiety disorders (Van Steensel et al., 2011; Simonoff et al., 2008). The prevalence of anxiety symptoms in ASD is also much higher than the prevalence of anxiety (7.1%) in typically developing children aged 2–17 years (Ghandour et al., 2018) and in other specific disability categories (Gillott et al., 2001). The high rates of anxiety symptoms, along with the significant variations in prevalence that are estimated in the literature, support the idea that anxiety symptoms may cause a broad range of challenges in children with ASD (Luxford et al., 2016). Unfortunately, anxiety symptoms are usually inner-directed, and most of these symptoms are invisible and not easily detectable by other individuals (Ginsburg et al., 2008). In addition to the complexity of diagnoses of anxiety, due to unique factors related to children with ASD characteristics, including the overlap of symptoms in anxiety with ASD and the unique anxiety symptoms of children with ASD, the identification of anxiety symptoms in children with ASD needs further investigations (Wood & Gasow, 2010). Relevant literature using multiple assessments across the different informants is assessing anxiety symptoms of children with ASD to minimize inaccurate conclusions (Vasa et al., 2015). In addition, both diagnoses and treatments of anxiety in children with ASD have been conducted and completed in clinical settings by clinicians who have more knowledge related to unique anxiety symptoms in typically developing children (Perihan et al., 2020a, 2020b). Despite that, the significant variations of the prevalence estimate in the literature show the inconsistency in the identification of anxiety symptoms in children with ASD (Van Steensel et al., 2011; Simonoff et al., 2008). One of the most common reasons for the variations in the prevalence estimates might be the use of measurements, with some changes or modifications, that were designed to measure anxiety in typically developing children (Perihan et al., 2020a, 2020b). Although measurements such as Spence children’s anxiety scale-parent and children versions (SCAS; Spence, 1997); the Screen for Child Related Anxiety Disorders-child and parent versions (SCARED; Birmaher et al., 1999) have excellent psychometric properties in typically developing children, it is still unclear how these measurements address unique anxiety symptoms of children with ASD. For instance, Kerns and colleagues (2014) investigated the common and unique anxiety symptoms of 59 children with ASD, and the adequacy of the DSM definition of anxiety. The finding of the study noted that 15% of youth presented unique anxiety symptoms while 31% of them showed both traditional and unique anxiety symptoms. Further, evaluating these unique anxiety symptoms with the DSM-IV criteria demonstrated that DSM-IV failures to identify these symptoms in children with ASD might cause to conclude inaccurate and significant variant results related to anxiety disorders in children with ASD with existed measurements. Similarly, a recent meta-analysis (Perihan et al., 2020a, 2020b) showed that children with ASD receive existing treatments, such as Facing Your Fears (Reaven et al., 2011) or variations of CBT programs with or without adaptations. Although these clinical-based treatments are found effective to reduce anxiety symptoms in children with ASD, it is still unclear how these interventions meet the unique needs of children with ASD. For example, existing clinical anxiety programs for typically developing children are based on communication and relationship between clients and therapists. Since students with ASD have both social and communication difficulties, they may struggle to interact with therapists and understand both social and emotional contexts that are essential to success within these treatments (Safroinoff et al., 2005). In contrast, relevant literature demonstrated that visualization is one of the most effective strategies in promoting effective social interaction and communication with ASD. A few studies showed that with current communication methods in anxiety treatments without adaptations, children with ASD are less likely to benefit from the treatments and similarly, some studies showed that making necessary changes in current programs, such as using visualized language show significant improvements in the success of anxiety treatments in...
children with ASD (Ekman & Hiltunen, 2015). In addition to these challenges in clinical treatments, children with ASD have less access to community health services (Montes et al., 2009). Due to a lack of access to mental health services, the rate of children with ASD that receive appropriate mental health treatment is even less than typically developing children, and literature showed that only 20% of children with ASD can receive mental health services (Bromley et al., 2004). As of today, with the coronavirus restrictions, such as the closure of schools, the limited opportunities for students with ASD to access mental health services have become even less (Kalvin et al., 2021). Despite that, these children spend most of their time in school settings without a diagnosis, and most of the children are placed in regular classroom settings due to full inclusion requirements. These barriers to accessing adequate mental health treatments make schools and teachers even more important in the treatment of anxiety problems in children with ASD.

Despite the important role that schools play in the treatment of these children, there is a lack of research and knowledge related to general and special education teachers’ responses and understanding of anxiety-related behaviors in children with ASD. Trudgen and Lawn’s study (2011) showed that only 20% of teachers received training in anxiety for typically developing children, and the prevalence of teachers trained for anxiety in children with ASD is estimated to be even lower. Due to core ASD symptoms (Clarke et al., 2016) and lack of teacher’s knowledge about anxiety in ASD, children with ASD might face more serious misunderstandings in the diagnosis of anxiety problems than typically developing children. For example, Rodgers (2016) noted that although school refusal is considered as a core feature of separation anxiety in typically developing children, it cannot be accurate for children with ASD because they are likely to avoid going to a school due to other factors, including sensory overload or disruption of daily routines. In addition to that, The COVID-19 pandemic has caused significant changes in the daily routines of children with ASD, these children have faced significant unique mental health distress and challenges (SPARK, 2020). Because of the lack of knowledge and training, it is common for anxiety in children with ASD to go unnoticed by teachers until their symptoms become more harmful and visible. Inadequate and reduced mental health services increase the risk of children with ASD placed in school environments without diagnosis and treatment of anxiety. That may cause further impacts on their ability to interact with others and may increase the risk of educational failures, isolation from society, substance use, or other long-term psychological problems (van Steensel et al., 2011). Currently, few studies have addressed the impacts of anxiety on children’s academic achievements (Bellini et al., 2007). Thus, awareness of anxiety in children with ASD in a school environment is particularly critical to make accurate diagnoses related to anxiety symptoms in children with ASD and to provide appropriate treatment options or referrals to health or other professionals to meet the individual needs of students with ASD. Therefore, researchers need to develop and identify types of interventions that are appropriate and effective for implementing within schools for children with ASD.

**Purpose and Research Questions**

As of today, most children with ASD have limited access to community health services. Therefore, schools are a unique environment where both general and special education teachers are the first responders to support anxiety issues in children with ASD. Although research in ASD has provided a few treatment options for anxiety symptoms in clinical settings, there is still a gap between research and practices in school settings. Most children with ASD are placed and spend their time in general or special education classroom settings with necessary modifications and supports in several areas, but treatment options for anxiety symptoms in children with ASD are still limited. These limited investigations of treatment options that have been conducted in school settings for treating anxiety in children cause significant delays in making correct referrals (Baker et al., 2008). Until recently, few studies have focused on testing the identification and treatment of anxiety in children with ASD, and no studies have existed examining the overall effects of current school-based or home-schooled collaborated interventions for anxiety problems of children with ASD. Meta-analytic studies of the literature also support this conclusion. For instance, a recent meta-analytic study (Perihan et al., 2020a, 2020b) has not examined the studies from school perspectives, and the findings did not include any information related to strengths, weaknesses, or needs of school-based anxiety treatments for children with ASD. Thus, it is critically important to provide and improve treatment options for anxiety in children in school settings to decrease the risk factors for children with ASD. In this study, specific research questions were,

1. What are the characteristics of current school-based anxiety treatments in ASD?
2. What is the overall efficacy of school-based treatments to reduce anxiety symptoms in children with ASD?
3. What are the important needs of today’s literature to improve the treatment of anxiety in school settings?

**Method**

**Search Procedures**

The current study followed The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA;
Moher et al., 2009) protocol for the searching process. The electronic databases of PsycINFO, Eric, ProQuest, and RefWorks were searched to identify potential studies. Google Scholar was also searched to help identify as many relevant studies as possible. The literature search included studies containing one of the following keywords: “anxiety,” “autism,” “ASD,” “mental health,” “social-emotional development,” and “school-based intervention.” The keywords were used alone or in combination to identify potential studies for inclusion. Due to the limited number of publications, the initial search included all published studies up to 2020.

Following the first search, studies were evaluated and included in this review if they: (1) included participants with a primary diagnosis of ASD, (2) indicated participants had anxiety disorders or exhibited high levels of anxiety, (3) implemented school-based intervention or a home-school collaboration model that focused on decreasing anxiety symptoms, and/or (4) reported at least one standardized measure of anxiety. Studies were excluded if they were not published in peer-reviewed journals in English. Descriptive studies were excluded from the current study. The literature search returned with 6 studies (see Fig. 1).

Coding of Study Coding Reliability

Two Ph.D. level researchers coded the studies independently for the following information: study name, sample size, age (mean; SD), gender, treatment, control group, treatment modality, study design, anxiety measures, and effect size (Hedges’ g; see Table 1 for characteristics of the included studies). Researchers coded the studies independently. The percentage of agreement was used to calculate the Inter-Coder Reliability (IRA). The formula for the IRA was the ratio of agreements multiplied by the total number of agreements plus disagreements divided by 100 (House et al., 1981). The IRA for the study was 95%.

Calculation of Effect Size and Meta-Analytic Procedures

Since each study had different variables, the study used a random-effects meta-analysis method to examine the overall effect of the included studies. To express efficacies of treatments that used different psychometric scales, Standardized Mean Difference, also known as Cohen’s d, was used (Borenstein et al., 2021). Cohen’s d was calculated as effect size (ES) with the mean difference scores of control and experimental groups at the post-treatment scores then dividing the result by the pooled standard deviation (n = 5). For one study (Drmic et al., 2017), Cohen’s d was calculated given r as an effects size that has been calculated with corresponding Chi-square. The majority of studies (n = 5) used more than one type of outcome measurement, thus, the effect sizes were combined and averaged for each study (as suggested in Lipsey & Wilson, 2001). Extended literature showed that Cohen’s d is biased in small sample size studies which cause slight changes in calculating the overall effect in a meta-analysis (Hedges, 1981). Therefore, all the effect sizes were converted from Cohen’s d to Hedges’ g with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for minimizing the biases due to small sample sizes and the possibility of the standard error of effect sizes (Lipsey & Wilson, 2001). The Hedge’s g formula is similar to Cohen’s d and uses mean difference scores of control and experimental groups at the post-treatment then dividing the result by the pooled standard deviation while Cohen’s d uses the pooled standard deviation. Negative effect sizes for both Cohen’s d and Hedge’s g indicate the effect of interventions on decreasing the mean of anxiety symptoms. The weighted mean estimate of the effect sizes was then calculated with Hedges’ g to demonstrate the overall effectiveness. Cochran’s Q-statistic (Cochran, 1954) was used to test heterogeneity within the studies to detect the moderators of treatment response. The study also included forest and funnel plots for illustrating the relative strength of treatment effects and possible publication biases (Sterne & Egger, 2001).

Results

Initially, 552 citations were identified through initial search after removing duplicates, but most of these citations were related to ASD, not anxiety (n = 497) or they were not experimental studies (n = 18). 55 citations were screened for full text, and 26 of the studies were excluded because either they were not school-based (n = 15), including children with only ASD (n = 2), follow-up studies (n = 2), or adult population (n = 2). Two studies only could not be included in the final review because the effect sizes could not be calculated based on given information. As a result, six studies were found to have met the initial inclusion criteria.

The total of six ES estimates across the included studies was between (g) – 2.06 to –0.12. The weighted overall effect size of school-based interventions for anxiety in children with ASD was found effective, g = −0.58, 95% CI [−0.96, −0.20], z = −3.01, p < 0.05 (see Fig. 2 for effects sizes and confidence intervals across all of the studies at 95% CI) with no significant heterogeneity (see Fig. 3), Q (5) = 7.31, p = 0.20. The funnel plot has identified Fujii et al. (2013) as an outlier but removing Fujii et al. (2013) did not cause significant changes in the
findings ($g = -0.47$, 95% CI $[-0.86, -0.07]$, $z = -2.32$, $p < 0.05$).

**Study and Intervention Characteristics**

Six studies were included in the final review with 165 participants (109 participants were located in experimental groups while 56 of the participants were in the control groups). Of the five studies that included gender, 95 participants were male (58%), and 70 were female (42%). The ages of participants were between 5 and 21 years. All studies included participants from special education settings. Only two of the included studies (Fujii et al., 2013; Clarke et al., 2016) reported participants’ racial or ethnic backgrounds (see Table 2 for characteristics of the participants).

Five following interventions were implemented in six studies; the Exploring Feelings (Attwood, 2004; $n = 2$), Adapted Facing Your Fears (Reaven et al., 2011; $n = 1$) and Adapted CBT programs ($n = 2$), and MASSI (White et al., 2010; $n = 1$). Two studies used only the Exploring Feelings intervention (Clarke et al., 2017; Luxford et al., 2016). Three studies used adapted versions of clinical interventions while three studies implemented interventions that were designed specifically for children with ASD (Exploring Feelings; MASSI). Treatment length varied from 6 to 32 weeks with
60 to 90 min. sessions. Only one study (Fujii et al., 2013) used longer than 16 weeks of treatment protocol (32 weeks). All six programs have been designed based on CBT principles. Overall, all programs included three distinct parts. The first part included psychoeducation to teach students how to understand feelings and emotions. The second part aimed to teach anxiety management techniques to cope with anxiety symptoms, including deep breathing, and relaxation.
problem-solving, and cognitive self-control strategies. The third part included graded exposure strategies. Both Exploring Feelings and MASSI programs included some specific strategies that promote the generalization of skills for children with ASD to school and community settings. Most of the studies used visual cues and social stories for children with ASD. Ooi et al. (2008) used a specific problem-solving strategy (STAR procedure). Fujii et al. (2013) delivered the programs as a home-school collaboration model and used in vivo parent training. The length of this program also was extended to 32 weeks. Two other programs (FYF and MASSI) made similar changes to their length to adapt to the school calendar. All programs delivered in small group contexts, and each group consisted of three (Ooi et al., 2008) to six participants (Luxford et al., 2016). Both Clarke et al. (2016) and Ireri et al. (2019) offered one-to-one support to participants. Only Fujii et al. (2013) and Drmic et al. (2017) involved parents in their study while other studies included only students as participants.

### Dependent Variables

Only anxiety measurements were reported in this study. The following nine different types of measurements were used; Spence Children’s Anxiety Scale-Parent and Child Versions (SCAS; Spence, 1997; n = 6); the Screen for Child Related Anxiety Disorders-Child and Parent versions (SCARED; Birmaher et al., 1999; n = 2), the Anxiety Disorders Interview Schedule: Child and Parent versions (ADIS-C/P; Silverman & Albano, 1996; n = 2), Developmental-Behavioral Checklist-Teacher (DBC-T; Einfeld & Tonge, 2002; n = 1), the Child and Adolescent Symptom Inventory-4 ASD Anxiety Scale (CASI-Anx; Sukhodolsky et al., 2008; n = 1), School Anxiety Scale (Lyneham et al., 2008; n = 1), and the Asian Children Anxiety Scale-Caretaker Version (ACAS; Koh et al., 2002; n = 1). All studies included at least one report from parents while five of the six studies reported child reports. Two of the studies (Drmic et al., 2017; Ooi et al., 2008) performed teacher reports. Most of the outcome measurements were designed to assess anxiety in typically developing children. Only the Child and Adolescent Symptom Inventory-4 ASD Anxiety Scale (CASI-ASD-Anxiety; Sukhodolsky et al., 2008) was designed for measuring anxiety in children with ASD. Two studies reported (Drmic et al., 2017; Ooi et al., 2008) subdomain scores of measurements with total scores while other included studies (n = 4) reported only total anxiety scores. Drmic et al., (2017) provided subdomain scores for SCARED-P/C (Panic/somatic; Generalized anxiety; Separation anxiety; Social anxiety; School avoidance) and for DBC-T (Disruptive/antisocial; Self-absorbed; Communication disturbance; Anxiety; Social relating). Ooi et al.

### Table 2 Characteristics of the participants

| Variable                               | n   | %   |
|----------------------------------------|-----|-----|
| Number of participants                 |     |     |
| Experimental group                     | 109 | 66.1|
| Control group                          | 56  | 33.9|
| Gender                                 |     |     |
| Male                                   | 95  | 57.6|
| Female                                 | 70  | 42.4|
| Variable                               | N   |     |
| Level of education                     |     |     |
| Elementary education                   | 3   | 50  |
| Secondary education                    | 2   | 33  |
| Kindergarten to secondary education    | 1   | 17  |
| Race/ethnicity                         |     |     |
| White British                          | 2   | 68  |
| Caucasian                              | 2   | 32  |
| Variable                               | Range |     |
| Age (years)                            | 5–21 |     |
| IQ Scores                              | 76–157 |     |

*IQ* Intelligence quotient, *N* Number of studies, *n* = number of participants

Fig. 3 Funnel plot of sample size by Hedges’ g for the included studies

| Table 2 Characteristics of the participants |
|--------------------------------------------|
| Variable | n  | % |
| Number of participants |     |    |
| Experimental group | 109 | 66.1|
| Control group | 56  | 33.9|
| Gender |     |     |
| Male | 95  | 57.6|
| Female | 70  | 42.4|
| Variable | N (n) |    |
| Level of education |     |    |
| Elementary education | 3 (18) | 50  |
| Secondary education | 2 (107) | 33  |
| Kindergarten to secondary education | 1 (40) | 17  |
| Race/ethnicity |     |    |
| White British | 2 (26) | 68  |
| Caucasian | 2 (9) | 32  |
| Variable | Range |     |
| Age (years) | 5–21 |     |
| IQ Scores | 76–157 |     |
(2008) also provided subdomains for SCAS-P (Social anxiety; Obsessive–compulsive behavior).

Discussion

The present study is the first study that aims to explain the characteristics of current school-based anxiety treatments and demonstrate the overall efficacy of school-based anxiety interventions for children with ASD. Although there are limited studies in the relative literature, the findings of this meta-analytic study showed that school-based interventions are effective \( (g = -0.58) \) for decreasing anxiety symptoms in children with ASD. Although, the current finding was slightly \( (g = 0.66) \) lower than the recent meta-analytic study that evidenced the efficacy of clinic-based interventions for anxiety symptoms in children with ASD across different settings (Perihan et al., 2020a, 2020b). The results of this study supported the use of school-based interventions to treat anxiety symptoms in children with ASD. The current results can help educators and practitioners close the gap between research and practice in schools since initial results support the beneficial effects of anxiety treatments in school settings. The transferring of anxiety treatments for ASD from research to practice in school settings is in the early phase, and results of the systematic review noted two considerable issues with school-based intervention for children with ASD; adaptations of both the anxiety measurements and current clinical-based interventions for use in schools to identify and treat anxiety in children with ASD.

The findings of this study showed a need for specific anxiety measurements for children with ASD. A variety of instruments that were developed for assessing clinical anxiety symptoms in typically developing children have been used for children with ASD. Although most of these measurements, including RCMAS (Reynolds & Richmond, 1985), MASC (March et al., 1999), and SCAS (Spence, 1998) have excellent psychometric properties in typically developing children, both validities and reliabilities of these measurements in ASD are still being investigated and need more clarifications. For example, recently, Carruthers and colleagues (2020) have tested the psychometric properties of the SCAS and SCARED in ASD. The finding showed that although both measurements had appropriate internal consistency, a parent–child agreement was still fair to good. The broader reason for potential differences in psychometric properties of these measurements between typically developing children and ASD would be the unusual anxiety symptoms and core features of children with ASD. As Kerns and colleagues (2014) demonstrated, youth with ASD presents unique anxiety symptoms, which might not be identified by current DSM-IV criteria. These measurements should able to identify these unique anxiety symptoms and lead to more accurate conclusions. For example, gaze avoidance and the lack of social skills, which are the most known characteristics of autism, could be linked to social anxiety disorders (Kleberg et al., 2016). Also, most of the children with ASD meet the criteria of social anxiety disorders if they are measured with current measurements that are designed for typically developing children (White et al. 2009). Outcome measurements without appropriate adaptations may fail to explain whether the symptoms stem from anxiety disorders or core features of ASD. Relevant literature showed that there are limited numbers of available measures that have been developed for individuals with ASD to detect these unique symptoms of anxiety in children with ASD (White et al., 2012). Due to the lack of available instruments, most of the studies have used existing anxiety measurements whether without or limited modifications for assessing anxiety levels in children with ASD. The modifications usually were completed by adding screening questions related to anxiety in children with ASD without considering both emotional and cognitive developments of children with ASD. The findings of previous research also support this conclusion. For example, Grondhuis and Aman (2012) identified 36 different measurements that have been used to measure anxiety symptoms, and most of them have not been validated in children with ASD. Parallel to this finding, only one assessment (CASI-Anx) was designed specifically for children with ASD has been used in the six included studies. The finding of this study showed that school-based programs have a similar issue related to assessing anxiety in children with ASD. Only two studies (Drmic et al., 2017; Ooi et al., 2008) used teacher reports (DBC-T; Einfeld & Tonge, 2002 and ACAS; Koh et al., 2002). More interestingly, the psychometric properties of these school measurements were advocated based on the findings of only one study, thus the validity and reliability of these teacher reports need further investigation. The significant overlap between ASD and anxiety symptoms with a lack of appropriate teacher measurements may also cause dilemmas in diagnoses of anxiety disorders in children with ASD in schools. For example, as Rodgers (2016) noted a variety of anxiety symptoms in children with ASD can be present because of core features of autism and environmental factors, such as sensory overload, fear of separation from their parents, and disruption of daily routines (e.g., Drmic et al., 2017; Ireri et al., 2019). Similarly, children with ASD might have more specific fears in school settings such as fear of using a school bus, staying in a small room, and social phobia. Typically developing children might have different fears, such as fear of making mistakes by answering questions, a bad grade, taking a test, and be more fearful of animals than children with ASD (Evans et al., 2005). Therefore developing reliable and valid anxiety measurements for children with ASD, especially in
school settings, may be particularly important for adapting or improving best practices in this population to meet their unique needs.

Another important finding of this study was the number of issues related to transferring current clinic-based interventions into school settings. In school settings, it is important to consider a variety of unique environmental factors that may impact the efficacy of interventions (Owens et al., 2014), including time and resource limitations (i.e., mental health professionals, school psychologists). Thus, clinical-based interventions should make necessary changes or modifications according to the unique features of school environments. For instance, since social interactions are likely to exist more in school environments, school-based interventions need to address students’ social problems in school settings to minimize the existence of anxiety problems and increase the efficacy of the treatment programs in children with ASD. Similarly, the implementation of the clinical programs during the year (32 weeks with 90 min sessions) may not be an option for most schools, as well as families, because of a lack of resources. Unfortunately, most of the included studies used interventions without adaptations to specific circumstances that may be faced in school settings or failed to mention or explain how social problems might influence the efficacy of these interventions in children with ASD. Further, studies have not yet explained which specific strategies can help students to engage in social interaction with their peers in school environments. The systematic review showed that most studies used current CBT-based treatments in school settings with or without modifications and the qualities of these modifications remain unclear and limited. The most common modifications were adjustments of visual cues and social stories (Clarke et al., 2016; Ooi et al., 2008). In addition to these adjustments, Drmic et al. (2017) included more strategies for children with ASD including the incorporation of special interests, use of video self-modeling without explanations based on research. Only Fujii et al. (2013) used specific strategies to minimize the social isolation of children with ASD, which included teaching friendship skills to make the treatment more ASD-specific. Despite this, many studies identified specific practices, including targeted visual supports, social communication groups, or social thinking for improvements in emotional regulation and communication skills in school settings to help children with ASD. Future studies also need to consider adding these strategies to CBT-based programs or using these strategies as alternatives to CBT-based interventions. Using these alternative strategies will also help teachers lead the anxiety intervention in school settings without extra support from clinical professionals. Although the initial feasibilities and acceptabilities of CBT programs are strong (Drmic et al., 2016), included studies showed that teachers’ roles in CBT-based interventions are still unclear. For instance, Drmic et al. (2017) and Luxford et al. (2016) used educators, including special educators, to implement programs with coaching and noted significant reeducation in both children and parent reports. In contrast, Fujii et al. (2013) and Ireri et al. (2019) included teachers in programs to help the students with transferring and practicing the skills they learned during treatments over to the school environments, rather than leading the programs. Unlike all of these roles, some studies (Clarke et al., 2016; Ooi et al., 2008) included only doctorate students and researchers from educational psychology, psychology, and psychiatry, not teachers. Although the findings of the two studies (Drmic et al., 2017; Luxford et al., 2016) showed the interventions that were lead by teachers may be comparably effective for decreasing anxiety symptoms to the intervention led by clinical professionals, the different roles of educators across different studies cause uncertainties in the impacts of teachers in the efficacy of these interventions. Due to limited clinical professionals in both school and community settings, teachers should able to intervene in anxiety issues of children with ASD without needing clinical professionals. The finding of this study also showed that identifying and providing training programs for teachers to implement clinical-based practices is also another weakness and needs to be studied in detail by future studies. Most of the studies have not provided sufficient information related to the training teachers participating in the treatment programs received for anxiety in children with ASD (Clarke et al., 2016; Ireri et al., 2019). Yet, the literature still needs to develop evidence-based practices for teachers to lead interventions with limited consultations or appropriate training programs.

The current study also highlighted some differences in characteristics of participants from the literature, which is important to conclude whether anxiety treatment might be effective in a school setting and to generalize the findings of this study. The first interesting finding was that 42% of the total participants were female. This rate is higher than the reported 4:1 male/female ratio typically reported in studies with gender differences in the diagnosis of ASD (Maenner et al., 2020). To date, there are limited studies examining gender differences in anxiety problems among children with ASD. Recently, Sedgewick and colleagues (2020) indicated that girls in ASD are more likely to experience internalizing problems than boys. The finding of this study supports this conclusion, as the rate of females included in the study is disproportionate to the rate of females diagnosed with ASD. Similarly, studies included participants with IQ scores of at least 70 or above. The first and most important potential reason for selecting high-functioning participants could be types of treatments. All studies used CBT-based treatments which require a variety of prerequisite cognitive skills such as emotional recognition and metacognition skills to cope with internalizing problems (Lickel et al., 2012). Thus, it
was assumed that children would need high cognitive functioning to benefit from these treatments. The findings of Lickel and colleagues (2012) also noted a significant positive correlation between the effectiveness of CBT treatments and the IQs of participants. Against this prediction, literature demonstrated that at least 30% of the individuals with ASD have an intellectual disability (IQ < 70; Christensen et al., 2019). Moreover, studies showed that children with lower IQ are more likely to exist higher anxiety issues in children with ASD (Edirisooriya et al., 2020; Rosa et al., 2016). Although existing studies have demonstrated the effects of both clinical and school-based treatments in anxiety among children with high functioning autism, more research in the diagnosis and treatment of anxiety disorder in children with ASD and intellectual disability is needed. Therefore, future studies need to test the effectiveness of both new and existing treatments in children with ASD and lower IQs.

Limitations

Although the findings of the current study prove that school-based interventions may be beneficial in the anxiety treatment of children with ASD, the study should be interpreted in the context of limitations. One major limitation of this study was the limited number of included studies. The related literature has limited studies, and anxiety treatment of children with ASD is a recently focused area in the relative literature. Thus, the current study includes only six studies. Due to the limited number of included studies, the current study could not complete moderator analyses. Future meta-analytic studies need to include more studies to confirm the efficacy of school-based interventions and examine possible moderators. One of the possible moderators could be types of settings. Findings from this study demonstrated that all studies selected their participants from special education schools and settings, and no one implemented the treatments in general education settings. It was assumed that each country has different approaches to teach students with ASD, and three of the included studies’ countries had separate special education schools. In the USA, due to law and inclusive education, children with ASD are placed in a regular classroom with typically developing peers. Therefore, these findings should be interpreted with caution due to the lack of implementation in general education classrooms. To generalize these findings to other settings, future studies should implement anxiety treatments in this population in general education settings.
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