Multiple-clone infections of *Plasmodium vivax*: definition of a panel of markers for molecular epidemiology
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Abstract

**Background:** *Plasmodium vivax* infections commonly contain multiple genetically distinct parasite clones. The detection of multiple-clone infections depends on several factors, such as the accuracy of the genotyping method, and the type and number of the molecular markers analysed. Characterizing the multiplicity of infection has broad implications that range from population genetic studies of the parasite to malaria treatment and control. This study compared and evaluated the efficiency of neutral and non-neutral markers that are widely used in studies of molecular epidemiology to detect the multiplicity of *P. vivax* infection.

**Methods:** The performance of six markers was evaluated using 11 mixtures of DNA with well-defined proportions of two different parasite genotypes for each marker. These mixtures were generated by mixing cloned PCR products or patient-derived genomic DNA. In addition, 51 samples of natural infections from the Brazil were genotyped for all markers. The PCR-capillary electrophoresis-based method was used to permit direct comparisons among the markers. The criteria for differentiating minor peaks from artifacts were also evaluated.

**Results:** The analysis of DNA mixtures showed that the tandem repeat MN21 and the polymorphic blocks 2 (*msp1B2*) and 10 (*msp1B10*) of merozoite surface protein-1 allowed for the estimation of the expected ratio of both alleles in the majority of preparations. Nevertheless, *msp1B2* was not able to detect the majority of multiple-clone infections in field samples; it identified only 6% of these infections. The merozoite surface protein-3 alpha and microsatellites (*PvMS6* and *PvMS7*) did not accurately estimate the relative clonal proportions in artificial mixtures, but the microsatellites performed well in detecting natural multiple-clone infections. Notably, the use of a less stringent criterion to score rare alleles significantly increased the sensitivity of the detection of multi-clonal infections.

**Conclusions:** Depending on the type of marker used, a considerable amplification bias was observed, which may have serious implications for the characterization of the complexity of a *P. vivax* infection. Based on the performance of markers in artificial mixtures of DNA and natural infections, a minimum panel of four genetic markers (*PvMS6, PvMS7, MN21*, and *msp1B10*) was defined, and these markers are highly informative regarding the genetic variability of *P. vivax* populations.
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Background

Plasmodium vivax is globally the most widely distributed Plasmodium species that infects humans, being common in tropical and sub-tropical areas outside of Africa [1, 2]. Several factors have highlighted the clinical importance of malaria caused by P. vivax, such as the spread of parasite resistance to available drugs [3]. In addition, the concept of vivax malaria as a benign disease has evolved with the description of severe cases and even deaths [4–6]. Finally, dormant forms of the parasite in the liver, i.e., hypnozoites, act as a reservoir for the disease and have hindered the control of malaria caused by P. vivax [7]. These factors have all increased interest in vivax malaria, primarily in the new Malaria Eradication Research Agenda (malarEra) [8].

Plasmodium vivax infections are often characterized by the presence of two or more genetically distinct parasites in the same individual [9–11]. These infections are very common in malaria-endemic areas worldwide [12–11] and can arise from a single mosquito bite carrying a mixture of parasites or from inoculation by different mosquitoes carrying single clones. Additionally, relapses of P. vivax infection due to the reactivation of hypnozoites can contribute to increased clonal diversity. As a result, the association between the multiplicity of P. vivax infection and malaria endemicity is weak, with areas of low endemicity sometimes featuring high rates of multiple infections [10–12]. The number of parasite clones in a patient varies greatly, and some infections contain up to nine clones [12]. Characterizing the multiplicity of infection has broad implications ranging from population genetic studies of the parasite to malaria treatment and control. First, evolutionary and population genetic studies rely on accurate parasite genotype/haplotype inference, which is non-trivial when more than one clone is present and clones differ at examined loci [13, 14]. Second, characterizing the within-host diversity is essential to address several issues, such as differentiation between new infection and recrudescence, in order to better estimate the true risk of treatment failure and explore the dynamics of clones influenced by host immunity during anti-malarial treatment or challenge with vaccine [12, 15, 16]. Third, malaria patients infected by multiple parasite strains have been shown to be at a higher risk of treatment failure [17]. Thus, a broad understanding of the genetic diversity of parasite populations can contribute to the definition of control measures, including an appropriate anti-malarial treatment.

The publication of the complete genome sequence of P. vivax has led to the discovery of many molecular markers, such as microsatellites, tandem repeats and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) [18]. These markers have proven useful for population genetic studies and for the characterization of the multiplicity of P. vivax infections. However, many studies have shown that the characterization of multi-clone infections depends on both the accuracy of the genotyping method, and the type and number of the molecular markers analysed [19, 20]. Thus, the use of different approaches may significantly affect the ability to detect multi-clone P. vivax infections and may hinder comparability among studies [21, 22]. Furthermore, the method used may influence the estimation of the relative abundance of clones in multiple infections.

This study evaluated and compared the ability of different molecular markers—two microsatellites, one tandem repeat and three antigen-coding genes—to estimate the number and the relative abundance of alleles present in multi-clone P. vivax infections. In order to simulate multiple-clone infections with well-defined proportions of different parasite genotypes, cloned PCR products or patient-derived genomic DNA were artificially mixed. In addition, the performance of these markers was also evaluated by genotyping P. vivax isolates that had infected patients from the Brazilian Amazon. The PCR-capillary electrophoresis-based method (PCR-CE), which offers several advantages, such as high resolution (1 bp), reproducibility in determining fragment size, and a cost-benefit for the analysis of a large number of field samples, was used to genotype all markers [23]. Although this method of quantification is subject to some limitations, many studies have shown that the peak heights correspond to the actual relative proportions of clones in an infection when data are properly normalized [19, 24, 25]. The ability to identify less abundant clones depends on the criteria applied to differentiate minor peak from artifacts, which allows the multiplicity of infection to be properly defined. Two criteria are commonly used to score multiple alleles per locus: cut-off values for minor peak detection of (1) one-fourth or (2) one-third the height of the predominant peak [9, 12, 26–29]. Although the one-third criterion is the most widely used, few studies have evaluated the sensitivity of these criteria for the detection of multi-clone infections [19]. Here, the results showed the necessity to apply the less stringent one-fourth criterion to increase the detection of multiple-clone infections. Specifically, a minimum panel of four markers was defined to characterize the multiplicity of a P. vivax infection.

This is the first study to show that depending on the type of marker used for P. vivax analysis, a considerable amplification bias is observed. This relationship may have serious implications for the characterization of the complexity of an infection. Moreover, these findings were facilitated by the use of parasite DNA samples with well-defined proportions of each genotype in artificial mixtures as well as the use of molecular markers.
with different features, such as neutral and non-neutral markers.

Methods

Field isolates and DNA extraction
Fifty-one P. vivax isolates were obtained from the blood of infected patients from the Brazilian Amazon, including 22 samples previously characterized as having multi-clonal infection [9, 11]. The mono-infections were confirmed by 6 to 11 molecular markers described here or elsewhere [9, 11]. DNA was extracted from whole blood samples using the Genta Puregene Blood Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Ethics and Research Committee of René Rachou Research Center (Protocol number 20/2009).

Artificial mixtures of DNA
To simulate multiple-clone infections with a known proportion of each clone, two plasmid DNAs (pDNA), each containing distinct variants of each locus (A-type and B-type alleles), were mixed in different proportions to produce 11 different mixtures prior to PCR amplification and genotyping. To set up mixtures, each pDNA was quantified in a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA), and the number of plasmid copies was estimated as follows: plasmid copy number = [(6.02 × 10^23 copies/mol) × DNA quantity (g)]/[DNA length (bp) × 660 (g/mol/bp)]. Two-fold serial dilutions of pDNA were prepared for each experiment, with DNA concentrations ranging from 3.13 × 10^7 to 1.0 × 10^9 copies/µL of the plasmid. For all PCR reactions, 1 µL of each plasmid in a specific concentration was added to reaction to produce a curve with varied proportions of pDNA. In addition, mixed genomic DNA (gDNA) samples from two P. vivax-infected patients previously identified as being mono-clonal were also used to simulate multi-clonal infections [9]. To this end, samples with similar parasitaemia (microscopy) and leukocyte counts (leukogram determination) were selected, and the gDNA concentration was determined using a spectrophotometer. The parasite density in samples from P. vivax-infected patients ranged from 3000 to 7500 parasites/µL, as determined by light microscopy. The genomic DNA was two-fold serially diluted (8–0.25 ng), and 1 µL of each sample was added to each PCR reaction. To estimate the relative abundance of alleles in the mixture after genotyping, the ratio between the two peak heights (given in arbitrary fluorescence units) was calculated for each mixture. Data shown represent the average of two independent experiments, and each experiment was analysed in duplicate in an automatic DNA sequencer.

The observed data were normalized according to Havryliuk et al. [17] to allow direct comparisons between expected ratios and observed values. Specifically, the peak height ratios observed in a 1:1 mixture were used as normalization factors in subsequent analyses.

PCR amplification and genotyping of molecular markers
The six loci selected for this study consisted of two microsatellites (PvMS6 and PvMS7), one tandem repeat (MN21), and blocks 2 and 10 of merozoite surface protein-1 (msp1B2 and msp1B10) and merozoite surface protein-3 alpha (msp3α). These fragments were amplified using specific primers and conditions as described previously [10, 11, 34]. The nested approach described by Koepfli et al. [10] was modified to capture more variability and used to amplify block 2 of msp1 and msp3α. The primers used in the nested reaction were changed to the following: msp1B2 (forward primer 5’GACGATAATG-GAAATTGGA3’; reverse primer 5’CTCCTTCAG-CACTTTCAGCGGCTT3’); and for msp3α (forward primer 5’CCCGCATGAGGAGCCAAAACACTT3’; reverse primer 5’CCCTTGTGATTTTGCGGCA3’). For msp1b2, these new primers are in a more conserved region of the gene, and the new msp3α primers were designed to amplify a larger and more polymorphic fragment. All forward primers used in the genotyping were conjugated to the fluorescent dye 6-FAM.

The following mixture was subjected to nested PCR: 1 µL of PCR product of the first reaction (diluted 1:1000 in H•O2, 1 µM of each primer (Integrated DNA Technologies, San Diego, CA, USA), 0.125 mM of dNTPs, 2 µL of 10X Taq polymerase buffer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and 1 U of recombinant Taq polymerase (Invitrogen) in a final volume of 20 µL. The magnesium concentration varied from 3 mM (msp1B2) to 2 mM (msp3α). The cycling parameters were set to the following: 1 cycle at 94 °C for 4 min; 25 cycles consisting of 40 s of denaturation at 94 °C, 30 s of annealing at 64 °C (for msp1B2) or 63 °C (msp3α), and 40 s of elongation at 72 °C; and a final cycle of 10 min at 72 °C.

For capillary electrophoresis, 2 µL of the diluted PCR products (1:20 in H•O2) was mixed with the size standard ET-Rox 550 or ET-Rox 900 (Amersham Biosciences) diluted 1:40 in 0.1 % Tween-20 to a final volume of 10 µL. After capillary electrophoresis, alleles were visualized and scored in an automatic DNA sequencer (MegaBACE; Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ, USA). Their lengths and relative abundance (peak heights in electropherograms) were determined using the MegaBACE™ Fragment Profiler version 1.2 software (Amersham Biosciences). For the electropherogram analysis, the minimum peak height was set to 150 arbitrary fluorescence units/second.
units (rFU). Additionally, cut-off values for minor peak detection of one-fourth or one-third the height of the predominant peak were used to exclude artifact peaks.

Cloning of PCR products
For each locus, two variants differing in size were cloned into the pGEM-T Vector (Promega) or TOPO-TA Cloning Vector (Invitrogen): 305 or 203 bp for PvMS6; 362 or 350 bp for PvMS7; 641 or 692 bp for msp3α; 402 or 429 bp for msp1B2; 252 or 258 bp for msp1B10; 292 or 257 bp for MN21 (Additional file 1). The fragments cloned into the pGEM-T Vector were termed A-type, and those cloned into the TOPO-TA Cloning Vector were termed B-type. The PCR amplicons were cloned according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Recombinant vectors were used to transform the competent *Escherichia coli* Top10 strain using thermal shock, and the cells were plated in LB agar supplemented with ampicillin (50 µg/mL), X-Gal (1 mg/mL) and IPTG (0.1 mM) [30]. The plasmid DNAs were extracted using a QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (Qiagen).

Results
Evaluation of amplification bias in artificial mixtures of plasmid DNA
Mixtures of DNA were prepared using known proportions of two different pDNAs (cloning vectors, each containing one of the two variants of a locus studied). Overall, the efficiencies of six genetic markers (two microsatellites, one tandem repeat, and three antigen-coding markers) to detect alleles in artificial mixtures were compared. All six molecular markers accurately identified the correct alleles in samples containing a single clone. However, an amplification bias was detected for most markers in the 1:1 mixtures; specifically, one of the two alleles at a given locus was predominantly amplified, even when the expected ratio of A-type to B-type alleles was as high as 1:0.125 (Additional file 1). The fragments cloned into the pGEM-T Vector were termed A-type, and those cloned into the TOPO-TA Cloning Vector were termed B-type. The PCR amplicons were cloned according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Recombinant vectors were used to transform the competent *Escherichia coli* Top10 strain using thermal shock, and the cells were plated in LB agar supplemented with ampicillin (50 µg/mL), X-Gal (1 mg/mL) and IPTG (0.1 mM) [30]. The plasmid DNAs were extracted using a QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (Qiagen).

Criteria for detection of rare alleles in artificial mixtures of plasmid DNA
Two criteria are frequently used to score rare alleles in multiple-clone infections: (1) the one-third criterion, wherein peak heights of rare alleles are equal or higher than 33 % of the height of the predominant peak, and (2) the one-fourth criterion, in which a cut-off value of 25 % is applied to detect rare alleles. When the one-third criterion was applied, *PvMS6* and both *msp1* markers detected 36–45 % of multiple infections (Fig. 2). For *PvMS6*, the use of the one-fourth criterion allowed an increase of 18 % in the detection rate of multiple infections, increasing the overall rate to 54 %. For *PvMS7*, *msp3α* and *MN21*, the multi-clonal parasite rates were below 30 % using either of the cut-off value criteria (25 or 33 %).

Based on the tested mixtures, the application of the one-third criterion allowed the detection of the rare alleles up to a dilution of 1:0.0625 (16× diluted), whereas the one-fourth criterion permitted the identification of rare alleles in more unbalanced mixtures (32x diluted) (Additional file 5). Overall, the use of the one-fourth criterion improved the detection rate of multiple infections up to 33 %, as shown for 1:1 mixtures.

Genotyping of *Plasmodium vivax* isolates from natural infections
Fifty one samples from the Brazilian Amazon were genotyped with the six markers based on the one-fourth criterion, and 36 (70.6 %) samples were identified as multiple-clone infections, for which at least one locus contained more than one allele. The greatest number of multiple-clone infections was identified using *msp1B10* and the microsatellite markers (27 and 24 % of all samples, respectively) (Fig. 3a). Conversely, the *msp1B2* marker only identified three (5.9 %) multiple-clone
infections. A detailed analysis of the data showed that three additional infections were likely lost by the msp1B2 marker due to a weak fluorescent signal for the rare allele. Moreover, half of the 36 multi-clonal infections defined using the one-fourth criterion were identified using two or more markers (Fig. 3b). msp1B10 identified 38% of the multiple-clone infections, and this proportion increased to 68% when msp1B10 was combined with PvMS6 (Fig. 3b). The further addition of PvMS7 and MN21 detected 95% of the clonal infections. Combined with an analysis based on msp3α, the percentage of identified multi-clonal infections reached 100%.

The potential of each marker to identify polymorphisms can vary considerably and reflects an estimate of the multiplicity of infection. For this part of the study, many samples (22 out 51) that were selected have been previously characterized as multiple-clone infections [9, 11, 34]. Thus, they were not used to determine the rate of multi-clonal infection and the genetic diversity of this parasite population in order to prevent overestimation. To compare the genetic diversity of parasite populations estimated by these markers, the available data for P. vivax from different geographic regions were compiled. The estimates of diversity were highest for the
antigen-encoding loci *msp1B10* (*H*$_E$ range 0.880–0.902) and *msp1B2* (0.813–0.875) (Additional file 6). For the microsatellites *PvMS6*, *PvMS7*, and *MN21*, only data from Brazil were available and the estimate of diversity was lower (mean *H*$_E$ of 0.584, 0.785 and 0.650, respectively).

**Discussion**

Numerous studies have revealed substantial clonal diversity of *Plasmodium* within its vertebrate hosts. The characterization of this diversity can influence treatment outcome and elucidate within-host dynamics that may be shaped by several factors, such as host immunity, density-dependent control mechanisms and drug treatment [12, 16, 31]. Furthermore, estimates of within-host variability are relevant to correctly infer evolutionary and population genetics parameters, e.g., selection and recombination [13, 14]. To infer the multiplicity of infection of *P. vivax*, a panel of suitable molecular markers was
defined herein, which included microsatellites, a tandem repeat and antigen-coding genes.

The analysis of artificial DNA mixtures with well-defined proportions of cloned products showed that the commonly used msp1 antigen-coding marker and the tandem repeat MN21 allowed for the estimation of the expected ratio of both alleles in the majority of preparations when using normalized data. Conversely, the microsatellite markers were sensitive to the decreased relative abundance of alleles but, in addition to msp3α, did not accurately estimate the relative clonal proportions in artificial mixtures. For example, a preferential amplification of the shortest allele (with fewest repeats) for PvMS6 was consistently observed in tested dilutions. Accordingly, the preferential amplification of alleles of differing length was previously reported for other microsatellite and antigen-coding loci [32–34]. As indicated by Walsh et al. [27], the extent of preferential amplification is related to the size difference between the allelic PCR products, which was significantly greater for PvMS6 (102 bp). Although not assessed in this study, several other conditions may lead to preferential PCR amplification, such as significant differences in the GC content between alleles, stochastic fluctuation in the presence of low amounts of target DNA molecules [33], and reduced amplification efficiency due to sequence polymorphism in the primer-binding site [35]. Finally, the capillary-based instrument itself may introduce errors in measured relative density of the PCR product for each allele. However, instrument-based errors are unlikely in this study because the results presented here indicate that the method is reproducible. Specifically, replicate experiments yielded similar results, as also reported in other studies [24].

Although some markers, such as the antigen-coding marker msp1 and the tandem repeat, provide an indication of the actual relative proportions of clones in artificial infections, the quantification yielded by the method described herein is subjected to limitations. First, traditional endpoint PCR may lead to bias in the template-to-product ratios of target sequences amplified during PCR, particularly for nested PCR, due to increasing numbers of PCR cycles [36, 37]. Thus, the amplification bias observed in the 1:1 mixtures and other dilutions of microsatellites and msp3α may be the result of reaction saturation (plateau phase). At later cycles, the efficiency of PCR eventually declines for a number of reasons, including the exhaustion of reagents and the enzyme, the accumulation of inhibitors and the rehybridization of PCR products, which may interfere with primer binding or extension [37–39]. As a consequence, templates that reach inhibitory concentrations essentially stop amplifying while others continue to efficiently undergo amplification. In addition, the results shown here and by others indicate that accurate quantification requires normalization using a baseline mixture of known proportion to calibrate samples to be analysed [19, 24, 25]. Such normalization restricts the use of this method for experimental infection models. To circumvent these limitations, quantitative PCR (qPCR) or next-generation sequencing (NGS) may be applied to more reliably estimate the relative abundance of clones in an infection. Whereas qPCR is restricted to known single-species infections, like the method described here [34], the applications of NGS are much broader. As recently published for P. falciparum and P. vivax, NGS robustly represents clonal multiplicity and is very promising for drug-resistance or population genetics studies [40–43]. Nevertheless, genomic-level studies remain infeasible in many settings.

Many criteria have been used to differentiate rare alleles from artifacts, such as stutter (peaks that result from DNA strand slippage during PCR at intervals corresponding to nucleotide repeat sizes) or non-specific peaks [11, 11, 26]. Because the sensitivity and specificity of these criteria can vary, comparing studies that applied different criteria is problematic. The present study sought to compare the rates at which multi-clonal infections were detected using the two criteria that are frequently applied to score multiple alleles per locus, i.e., minor peaks ≥ 25 % or ≥ 33 % of the height of the predominant peak. Overall, the one-fourth criterion allowed the detection of rare alleles in more unbalanced mixtures and the detection of significantly more alleles, especially for microsatellite markers. The results clearly show that when the one-third criterion is applied for microsatellites, multi-clonal infections may be underestimated, even when the clones were present in similar proportions. This finding was not surprising because several technical difficulties have been described related to the scoring of microsatellite alleles, such as the preferential amplification of alleles with fewer repeats and the higher probability of failing to detect the less abundant alleles [19, 25].

This study examined six loci were of differing molecular features that have been widely used in studies of population genetics and the molecular epidemiology of vivax malaria [9, 12, 11, 34]. These loci included selectively neutral and non-neutral markers on different chromosomes and markers differing in mutation rate (microsatellites, a tandem repeat and an antigen-encoding gene). Thus, most of the variability of P. vivax populations should have been captured, and the within-host diversity should have been effectively characterized in different epidemiological settings. In these areas, several factors may modulate the genetic variability of a locus and/or of complete genomes, such as the malaria transmission rates, selective constraints imposed by the host's immunity and
anti-malarial drug use, and the historical-demographic processes of the parasite population. Compiling the available data on the genetic diversity of P. vivax from different geographic regions higher estimates of diversity were found for antigen-encoding loci and less variable estimates for microsatellites and tandem repeat loci. When samples from the Brazilian Amazon were genotyped for all six markers, msp1B10, microsatellite markers and the tandem repeat MN21 yielded similar results. Interestingly, a significant difference was observed between blocks 2 and 10 of msp1. Whereas msp1B10 was able to detect almost 40% of the 36 multi-clonal infections identified, msp1B2 allowed the detection of only 6% of these infections. Moreover, msp3a also showed poor performance in the detection of multiple-clone infections from field samples. Because these loci encode antigens exposed to the immune system, these results suggest that the patterns observed could reflect the different regimes of immune selection that these antigens are exposed to in this population. Notably, 50% of the multiple-clone infections were detected by only one marker, indicating that adding additional loci may further increase the probability of detecting these infections.

Determining whether a sample is mono-clonal or contains multiple clones of the parasite requires the careful selection of markers because most randomly selected markers may not faithfully depict the true complexity of an infection. Based on the performances of the six markers used here to characterize parasite diversity in both artificial mixtures of clones and in field samples, the combination, including PvMS6, PvMS7, MN21, and msp1B10 were selected for use in molecular epidemiology studies. These markers comprise a panel containing highly informative loci that exhibit genetic variability in all examined P. vivax populations as well as loci that are less sensitive to PCR amplification biases and were able to better characterize the multiplicity of infection. In this study, a PCR-CE was proposed for allele scoring, which has the advantage of allowing the accurate and fast genotyping of a large number of field samples at relatively low cost. Furthermore, a less stringent criterion for rare allele identification significantly increases the sensitivity of this method. Thus, a minimum of one-fourth should be used as a cut-off value for minor peak detection. Although the results described apply to a small subset of alleles and field samples likely contain several unknown alleles, they reveal information about amplification bias, which can be used to identify markers and conditions under which such biases are minimized. Field samples from the Brazilian Amazon region, an area of low and unstable malaria transmission, were used to define the proposed panel. Nevertheless, further characterization is required, especially in regions of high endemicity, where this panel would also provide information about multi-clonal infections due to the high genetic variability of parasite population in such areas.

Conclusions
This study showed that the analysed molecular markers varied in their ability to detect and estimate the relative abundance of different clones in a P. vivax infection, which may bias the estimated of the genetic diversity of the parasite population. Using artificial mixtures of DNA and the genotyping of field isolates from Brazil, four markers (two microsatellites, one tandem repeat and one antigen-encoding gene) were selected based on their ability to characterize the within-host parasite diversity. Moreover, the use of a less stringent criterion is recommended to increase the probability of detecting rare alleles.
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