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ABSTRACT

Observations in sedimentary basins affected by deformation show that the fault-induced depositional accommodation, at various spatial and temporal scales, is closely linked to basin kinematics. The tectonically-driven sediment infill displays the history of deepening and shoaling facies that are controlled by the activation of faults and changes in their offset rates. Simply stated, this results in shifting sedimentary facies towards the source area or towards the basin centre in response to increasing or decreasing depositional space. We propose a first-principle conceptual model for tectonic successions, controlled by the balance between the rates of creation of depositional space and sediment supply. These sediment bodies are bounded by succession boundaries and comprise sourceward or basinward shifting facies tracts that are separated at a point of reversal. Due to the relatively steep slopes associated with the evolution of faults, changes in sediment supply rates and mass-wasting are common in these systems and may complicate the normal rhythm of the shifting facies tracts. Once tectonic quiescence is achieved, and if the basin is connected to the open ocean, eurybatic or eustatic base level changes may take over and play a greater role in sedimentary rhythm and cyclicity. We illustrate the efficacy of the new concept with a review of examples from extensional, contractional and strike-slip basins. We show that the basic tectonic succession model is applicable at all temporal and spatial scales and whether the tectonics cause subsidence or uplift, and in all types of tectonic settings that determine the evolution of sedimentary basins.

1. Introduction

Large to small scale Earth movements, together with erosion, control most aspects of global surficial morphology (e.g., Oncken et al., 2006; Cloetingh et al., 2007; von Hagke et al., 2014, among others). Among prominent surficial features are sedimentary basins, formed in the Earth’s upper crust, often initiated by deep- or shallow-seated tectonics that create new depositional (accommodation) space for sediments (Fig. 1, e.g., Cloetingh et al., 2015; Noda, 2016; Sato et al., 2017; Ballato et al., 2019). When connected to the open ocean, accommodation is also modulated by sea-level fluctuations, especially in the post-diastrophic phases of the basinal evolution (e.g., Vail et al., 1977a; van Wagener et al., 1990; Haq, 2014). New space for deposition can also be generated through thermal cooling and subsidence of the lithosphere (e.g., Turcotte and Ahern, 1977; Faccenna et al., 2013; Burov and Gerya, 2014), as well as an expression of the long wavelength thermo-elastic flexure that has been broadly labelled as dynamic topography (e.g., Gurnis, 1993; Bertelloni and Gurnis, 1997; Flament et al., 2013). Surface topography, which results from the lithospheric memory retained at several temporal and spatial scales, plays an important role in the assembly and the resultant profile of the stratigraphic architecture (e.g., Cloetingh and Haq, 2015 and references therein).

With this cognizance, it seemed appropriate to us to first re-examine how tectonics (vertical and horizontal displacements) generate depositional accommodation on more local or regional scales and identify the common elements of tectonic successions that occupy sedimentary basins. The efficacy of the conceptual model of tectonic successions thus developed can then be tested with a careful review of depositional patterns in various types of basins with examples from various tectonic settings (Fig. 1). Our objective here has been to present a working model that is based on first principles of the interaction between accommodation (depositional space) and sediment supply (availability of sediments to fill the basin by advective, diffusive, biogenic and mass-wasting processes) in tectonic settings. Such basic tenets practice has already been advocated for passive margin shallow-water sequences, such as that by Neal et al. (2016) and their “accommodation succession (ΔA/ΔS)” approach, which has considerable appeal in simplifying the sequence-stratigraphic idiom. This is particularly true for tectonic deposits, where we use the term “successions” to differentiate them from...
The terminology of sequence stratigraphy.

The infill history of a sedimentary basin primarily records the interaction between rate of creation (or elimination) of depositional (accommodation) space ($\delta AS$) and the rate of sediment supply ($\delta SS$) (e.g., Jervey, 1988; Schlager, 1993). The latter is primarily controlled by climate, erosional processes, distance to the source area, size of the drainage basin and the presence of intermediate basins that might trap sediment flux (e.g., Matenco et al., 2016). On relatively stable passive margins, where the source of sediment supply is largely unidirectional, this interplay results in distinct facies and stratal architecture (e.g., Mitchum et al., 1977; Posamentier and Allen, 1999). These stratal associations can be characterized as building out (progradational, i.e., $\delta AS/\delta SS < 1$), building up (aggradational, i.e., $\delta AS/\delta SS = 1$) or back-stepping (retrogradational, i.e., $\delta AS/\delta SS > 1$) successions (e.g., van Wagoner et al., 1990) that are often interpreted as the movement of shoreline in response to eurybatic (relative sea-level) changes, which remains the basis of sequence-stratigraphic analysis (e.g., Vail et al., 1977b; Haq et al., 1987; Hardenbol et al., 1999; Posamentier and Allen, 1999; Catuneanu et al., 2009; Pomar and Haq, 2016). This relatively simple relationship breaks down if the source of sediment supply is multidirectional (e.g., in interior basins or lakes), especially if sediments from different source areas have a tendency to interfinger on the basinfloor (e.g., Haughton, 2001; Leever et al., 2006; Fongngern et al., 2016; Baláz et al., 2018).

Descriptions of tectonics-related basin fills are often couched in eustatic (or eurybatic) terms, and while the background influence of climate or sea-level change is undeniable, once a basin connects to the ocean it is quite unnecessary to use the terminology of sequence stratigraphy or ocean's transgressive-regressive cyclicity (e.g., Hardenbol et al., 1999; Embry, 2002; Catuneanu, 2019 and references therein) to characterize tectonic successions at various scales. Such successions are largely affected by the dynamics of Earth movements and often start off in non-marine settings (e.g., Dickinson, 1974; Einsele, 2000). This is the case in most basins generated by fault tectonics, where the use of geometric retrogradation - progradation terminology (implying shoreline movements associated with transgression - regression) is untenable, because the use of such terms does not describe fault-related vertical movements, but rather imply the variability of sediment influx. Furthermore, the sequence-stratigraphic terminology was developed on stable continental margins and was tied to the concepts of onlap and offlap and resulting stratal patterns. Tectonic successions, on the other hand, can develop in any setting, which may include intra-montane and interior basins, far from the marine domain, as well as in marine basins far from the influence of coastal areas. Thus, the use the sequence-stratigraphic terminology to describe tectonic successions can be misleading. These shortcomings have prevented the development of a generic terminology that can be employed in fault-related successions at all scales and types. Another important difference in conceptualizing tectonic successions is the fact the while climate/sea-level driven stratal architectures reflect the interaction between accommodation and sediment supply, tectonic successions have to analysed also in terms of changes in topography (elevation) and bathymetry (depth) associated with vertical movements.

2. Tectonic successions and their facies tracts

On a local or regional scale Earth movements are most commonly expressed as faults in the upper crust, caused by rock failures, that simultaneously create room for sediment deposition on their subsiding sides (the local sink) and erosion that generates sediment supply on their uplifted sides (the local source) (e.g., Matenco and Andriessen, 2013; Morley, 2014; Hawie et al., 2017; Horton, 2018). The fault-created depcenters tend to be triangular in cross-sectional profile (Fig. 1), often with steep slopes that accumulate wedge-shaped sediment fills sourced from one or more areas of sediment supply. Mass wasting of existing sediments, such as slides, slumps and debris flows are the most
common features that rest on or at the foot of such steep slopes (e.g., Galloway, 1986; Scholz et al., 1993; Dondurur et al., 2013), often with turbidite extensions onto the basin floors.

Most sedimentary basins have an early tectonic component, even if later they evolve into stable “passive” basins or margins (e.g., Keller et al., 1988; Ziegler and Cloetingh, 2004). Tectonically-generated, fault-bounded basins come in many guises, but they can be classed into three main categories, i.e., extensional (such as rift or intramontane) basins, contractional (foredeep or wedge-top) basins, and strike-slip basins (Fig. 1). The sediment-fill successions in all of these basin types have some elements in common: 1) basal unconformity associated with the onset of faulting; 2) common occurrence of mass-wasting deposits, especially in the early phases; 3) early stages can also show a transition from continental (non-marine) to marine facies; 4) as the basin fills, facies associations vary in response to changes in δAS/δSS ratio, expressed as sourceward and basinward migrating facies tracts.

Tectonics create new depositional space by fault movement and subsidence, including sediment compaction, independently of sea-level variations. Therefore, for tectonic successions we prefer a terminology that describes the observable stratal/facies patterns rather than underlying controlling factors (often prone to multiple causes and interpretations). Our preferred terminology is explicitly based on the dynamic interaction of changes in the rate of creation of depositional accommodation with sediment supply that would be applicable to all fault-bounded basin types. Thus, to avoid the ambiguity of using stratal-stacking terminology of seismic and sequence stratigraphy that implies regressive/transgressive sea-level control (i.e., expressed as progradation and retrogradation), we advocate the use of terms that simply imply the distribution of facies associations in response to each phase of tectonic movement.

We conceptualize lower as well higher order tectonic successions (TS) by the balance between the rate of creation of depositional (accommodation) space (δAS) and the rate of sediment supply (δSS) (Fig. 2). These tectonic successions (TS and TS+1, respectively) are separated by succession boundaries (SB and SB+1). The succession boundary at base of the lower order tectonic succession is represented by a diachronous fault-bounded unconformity, whereas the one at the top may be an erosional boundary. The top is also time transgressive and marks the transition from a tectonically active period to post-tectonic relative quiescence, which is the equivalent of, for example, the so-called “breakup unconformity” in extensional systems. The building blocks of the lower-order TS are higher-order sediment-fill events (i.e. higher order TS+1 tectonic successions), such as individual pulses of fault movement.

“Facies tract” is a term that has been previously used to describe the relatively contemporaneous facies associations (e.g., nearshore or offshore facies), and when a sea-level control is implied, either characterized as “transgressive” and “regressive” facies tracts (e.g., Brown and Fisher, 1977; Stanley and McCabe, 1991; Sinclair, 1993; Burns et al., 1997), or physiographically, as “slope” and “basinal” facies tracts (Slatt et al., 2000). We adopt the term “facies tracts” by defining two distinct types of shift patterns in facies associations in tectonic successions (Fig. 2), without reference to transgressive or regressive shoreline movements. We term the first sourceward-shifting facies tracts (SFT) when shallower water facies shift toward the source of sediment supply due to higher rate of creation of depositional space compared to the rate of sediment supply (i.e., δAS/δSS = 1). Similarly, the second basinward-shifting facies tracts (BFT) is defined when shallower-water facies in each successively younger facies association migrate basinward in response to a lower rate of creation of depositional space compared to the rate of sediment supply (i.e., δAS/δSS < 1). The sourceward-shifting facies tract is separated from the overlying basinward-shifting facies tract by a point of reversal (POR), which is the position when the rate of sediment supply exceeds the rate of creation of depositional space. Although we term the position of change in the shift patterns as a “point” of reversal, in practice this is a boundary that can be gleaned as

a diachronous surface along its total extent. In young tectonic basins such alternations of SFTs and BFTs may occur in multiple directions moving away from the depositional centre on the basin floor toward basin margins (depending of the number of sources of sediment supply).

Our conceptual model of tectonic facies tracts can be applied at both lower and higher orders of tectonic movements and basin development. Although the facies tracts are shown to be similar in dimensions in our conceptual model (Fig. 2), in reality they can vary appreciably in thickness and extent. In addition, the introduction of slope-failure related mass-wasting deposits (that are very common features in tectonic successions and can be found in all facies tracts) may also complicate the overall stratatal architecture.

3. A review of tectonic basins and their sedimentary successions

In this section we review the sedimentary depositional patterns in most common types of tectonic basins in the light of our new tectonic successions concept that illustrates the application of this simple, first-principles’ model and shows how it is independent of the type of deformation and can be applied in different tectonically-driven depositional settings.

3.1. Extensional basins

Extensional (rift) basins result from lithospheric stretching by divergent tectonic movements, often reactivating older suture zones, and generally start to develop in either intra-cratonic areas, such as the East African Rift, or in back-arc regions, such as the Mediterranean and the Southeast Asian basin systems, where extension is driven by the rollback of slabs during oceanic or continental subduction (e.g., Chorowicz, 2005; Facenna et al., 2014; Pubellier and Morley, 2014; Heron et al., 2016 and references therein). In such systems, the organisation of normal faults may result in the formation of quasi-symmetrical half-grabens with changing kinematics along their strike, where the localisation of deformation is often controlled by the inherited rheology of continental plates (e.g., van Wijk et al., 2008; Corti, 2009). Extension may also be associated with tens of kilometres of exhumation in the footwall of asymmetric detachments or low-angle normal faults, where kilometres-size (half-) grabens become gradually tilted by the continuation of deformation (e.g., Angelier and Colletta, 1983; Buck, 1991; Tirol et al., 2008; Buck, 2015). Depositional space in such basins is created by the subsidence of hanging-walls during successive events of normal faulting or may be cancelled by the relative uplift of footwalls associated with flexural effects or active rifting mechanics (e.g., Ziegler and Cloetingh, 2004). Space is also commonly created by the overlying (or laterally displaced) sagging, brought on by thermal cooling of stretched lithosphere, sometimes assisted by dynamic asthenospheric effects, conditioned by the presence of inherited rheological weakness zones, or by extreme lithospheric thinning effects driven by the exhumation of continental mantle lithosphere (e.g., McKenzie, 1978; Wernicke, 1985; Manatschal et al., 2015; Balázs et al., 2017a; Naliboff et al., 2017).

Detailed documentation and models of sedimentation associated with the moments of slip along normal faults, which are coeval with the hanging-wall subsidence creating depositional space and footwall uplift enhancing source areas and mass-wasting processes, is widely available from outcrop studies carried out in small to medium size extensional basins in either clastic, carbonate or mixed depositional settings (e.g., Boscence, 2005; Leppard and Gawthorpe, 2006; Hinsken et al., 2007; Cross and Bosence, 2008; Strachan et al., 2013; Henstra et al., 2016; Alves and Cupovic, 2018; Andrić et al., 2018; among many others). In contrast to such detailed documentation, only few genetic sedimentation models are available for the entire or parts of extensional basins (Fig. 3) based on empirical observations and interpretation of reflection seismic profiles, well-logs and outcrops. Based on lithofacies distribution, these models demonstrate that the system works toward achieving
Conceptual definition of lower-order (i) and higher-order (i+1) tectonic successions (TS) in fault-bounded sedimentary basins that are composed of a sourceward-shifting facies tract (SFT) and a basinward-shifting facies tract (BFT). The red, blue, and green lines on the left indicate the type of normal (NF), thrust (TF), and strike-slip (SSF) fault offsets that create the wedge-shaped depositional space along the hanging-wall, footwall, or transtensive compartment of the fault, respectively. The source areas of sediment supply to the basin may be located on its both sides (S₁, proximal to the tectonically active margin, and S₂, the tectonically distal margin), as well as along the strike of the fault bounding structure, which may be contributed by a mixed S₁ + S₂ sourcing. Inclined thick and thin lines are contacts between different paleo-physiographic (bathymetry/elevation) facies units in the lower- and higher-order tectonic sequences, respectively. Lower order tectonic sequences (TS) are defined at the scale of the entire depositional space, while higher-order tectonic sequences (TS⁺) form in response to individual movements that create depositional space in the basin, such as by individual faults, or by individual offsets along the same fault. These successions are bounded by Succession Boundaries (SB) that are unconformities with a conformable extension into the deeper parts of the basin. The rate of creation of depositional space (δAS) is higher than the rate of sediment supply (δSS) in SFT, while the rate of sediment supply (δSS) is higher than the rate of creation of depositional space (δAS) in BFT. These facies tracts are separated at a point of reversal (POR), which is the instant when the rate of sediment supply (δSS) exceeds the rate of creation of depositional space (δAS). The point of reversal (POR) can be conceptually considered the equivalent to a maximum flooding surface (MFS) in sequences driven by sea-level changes (see Fig. 3a for an example). A balanced equilibrium fill (or steady state) may be defined when the rate of creation of depositional space equals the rate of sediment supply (δAS = δSS). From this situation, a facies line dipping at low angle towards the basin or towards the source indicate a much higher rate of creation of depositional space (δAS) or rate of sediment supply (δSS), respectively. A facies line dipping at high angles towards the basin or towards the source indicates comparable values, but still higher rate of creation of depositional space (δAS) or rate of sediment supply (δSS), respectively. When rapid tectonic subsidence is followed by longer periods of slow or thermal subsidence, then the point of reversal (POR) is closer to the basal succession boundary (SB), creating dominantly shoaling upward sequences. When tectonic subsidence is slower and the rate of creating depositional space (δAS) remains higher than the rate of sediment supply (δSS) for most of the succession, then the point of reversal (POR) is closer to the upper succession boundary (SB), creating dominantly deepening upward sequences.
Fig. 3. Conceptual models of sedimentation in extensional basins. a) Model of a continental rifting structure buried beneath the sediments of a passive continental margin (redrawn from Partington et al., 1993). In the lower part, sediments respond to moments of the normal fault activations by producing retrograding-prograding patterns detected by lateral facies changes. Mass-wasting deposits may be shed in the deeper parts of the basin by flows triggered by steepening of the half-graben flanks. In the upper part of the figure, a normal depositional sequence is illustrated that formed in response to sea level variations at a passive continental margin setting. This sequence is composed of a low-stand system tract (LST, made up by the basin floor fan, basin slope fan and LST delta) overlying a type 1 sequence boundary, followed by transgressive and highstand system tracts (TST and HST) separated by a maximum flooding surface (MFS). Figures b-f various expressions of tectonic successions, illustrated in worldwide examples of rift basins. b) Schematic log of an idealized succession in a rift basin where accommodation and sediment supply are in balance (after Ravnas and Steel, 1998), creating early, climax, late and post-rift stages of sedimentation; c) Schematic log in a rift basin that marks the transition from continental to marine sedimentation (after Prosser, 1993). In this concept, the gradual deepening creates rift-initiation, rift-climax (early, middle and late) and post-rift (immediate and late) tectonic system tracts. These system tracts are in fact the expression of one rift-scale tectonic succession; d) Schematic log showing a coarsening-upward vertical stacking pattern that has been observed in some rift successions. The coarsening upward parasequences are separated by flooding surfaces, i.e. assuming instantaneous or rapid tectonics (after Frostick and Steel, 1993); e) Schematic log showing coarsening to fining upwards intervals in an alluvial – lacustrine syn-rift basin (after Martins-Neto and Catuneanu, 2010); f) Schematic log showing dominantly fining-upwards successions in offshore rift basins, associated with individual pulses of tectonic movement (after Nottvedt et al., 1995).
a balance between the rate of creation of depositional space and the rate of sediment supply in a wide variety of environments, such as alluvial, lacustrine, and shallow and deep marine settings. Mass wasting becomes an important component in the deep-water environments due to steep slopes associated with normal faults, while the source of sediment supply is multi-directional, such as across the footwall, from the hanging-wall and along the strike of active normal faults (e.g., Alexander and Leeder, 1987; Leeder, 1991; Leeder et al., 1991; Gawthorpe et al., 1994; Gawthorpe and Leeder, 2000; Nixon et al., 2016). In all observed situations, movement along the fault controls the distribution of facies, and whether the basin will be underfilled, overfilled or balanced.

Conceptual stratigraphic models have been developed using seismic interpretations on passive continental (Atlantic type) margins, where syn-rift deposits were subsequently buried beneath post-rift sediments, the latter being commonly described using standard sequence-stratigraphic nomenclature (Fig. 3a). Various stratigraphic models have been developed for the active stages of rifting assuming a general change in sedimentary environments from alluvial to lacustrine and to then to marine during the extension. Several phases of rifting are often distinguished: rift initiation, rift-climax and post-rift (alternatively subdivided as early, climax, late and post-rift stages) (Fig. 3b,c, Prosser, 1993; Ravns and Steel, 1998; Rábágya and Matenco, 1999; Pereira and Alves, 2012). In these schemes, the rift initiation phase is generally considered to be dominantly alluvial and sourced from the axis of the rift, wherein stacking patterns are dependent on facies associations (Fig. 3b,c). Increasing the rate of creation of depositional space by offsets against bounding normal faults that generate rapid subsidence leads to deeper marine facies intercalated with chaotic sediments from mass-wasting episodes sourced from the slopes created by normal faults and anithetic rotations of hanging walls. A gradual decrease in normal fault offsets results in a dominance of sediment supply over the creation of depositional space, while the basin may fill partially or completely. This scenario is likely during a transition from rifting to drift-climax deposition, while the BFT is made up by post-rift sediments, which mostly become younger in the same direction (Horváth et al., 2006; Vergès and Fernández, 2012; Jolivet et al., 2013). The rapid extensional evolution of such back-arc systems was generally associated with deposition at rates that were high enough for the moments of fault offsets to be captured at the resolution of seismic lines (e.g., Matenco and Radivojević, 2012; Do Couto et al., 2016).

In the Pannonian Basin, the extension had started at ~20 Ma during the rotations associated with the eastward migration of the Carpathians arc that resulted in the formation of successive extensional half-grabens, which mostly become younger in the same direction (Horváth et al., 2015; Balázs et al., 2016). The overall evolution of these half-grabens was associated with the deposition within a lower-order tectonic rifting cycle at the scale of the entire structure that recorded the transition from continental alluvial and lacustrine to marine and back to lacustrine facies during the gradual opening and closure of the Central Paratethys basins (Fig. 4a, Rögl, 1999; terBorgh, 2013; Balázs et al., 2016; Saić et al., 2017). Migration of normal fault during rifting induced lateral displacements in the early-rift phase deposition, as compared with the later rift phases (Fig. 4a). These three components of the sub-basin fill together constitute a lower-order tectonic succession that was deposited within 1.5–2 Myr (TS<sup>i</sup>, Figs. 2 and 4a). In this lower-order tectonic succession, the SFT comprises the rift-initiation and rift-climax deposition, while the BFT is made up by post-rift sediments that continued until the middle Miocene unconformity that was caused by basin inversion during the peak of Carpathians collision (Fig. 4a). Superposed on this lower-order tectonic succession, a higher-order tectonic periodicity, comprising sourceward- and basinward-shifting facies tract cycles is observed, which is expressed as migration of lobes, clinoforms and divergent seismic facies units (Fig. 4a) that correlate with a lateral deepening of sedimentary facies in well logs (Balázs et al., 2016). These higher-order tectonic cycles were controlled by individual movements of offset along the basin boundary fault and are fitting examples of higher-order tectonic successions composed of thinner SFTs and BFTs (TS<sup>i+1</sup> in Fig. 2). These higher-order tectonic successions were deposited within 300–400 Kyr. Such durations are rather common for rapidly developing extensional back-arc or intramontane basins, such as for instance observed in the Sarajevo-Zenica Basin of the Dinarides orogen (Andrić et al., 2017).

In other situations, higher-order tectonic successions may form in response to successive activations of multiple normal faults in the same half-graben structure, as in the case of the Malaga Basin in the western Mediterranean. This basin is part of the larger Alboran domain that formed during the Miocene back-arc extension associated with the westward roll-back of the Gibraltar slab and the formation of the Betic-Rif orogenic system, which recorded significant inversion after ~8 Ma.
(Vergés and Fernández, 2012; Vissers, 2012; Platt et al., 2013; van Hinsbergen et al., 2014). Recent studies have shown that the Malaga Basin is a large half-graben system where its eastern flank is made up of a succession of W to NW-dipping normal faults that are most likely rooted at depth in a larger detachment structure, while the basin recorded less to no inversion after 8 Ma along its NW and N areas (Fig. 4b, Comas et al., 1992; Watts et al., 2007; Suades and Crespo-Blanc, 2013; Suades Sala, 2015; Do Couto et al., 2016). The activation of each
flanking normal fault creates an overall sourceward- to basinward-shifting facies tracts pattern that can be detected by the migration of lobes, sigmoidal and tabular facies units that are equivalent to the formation of individual TS$^{i+1}$ tectonic successions (Fig. 4b). Within the larger Malaga Basin structure, these units comprise a gradual transition from rift-initiation to climax to post-rift that is bounded by a basal transgressive unconformity and an upper unconformity (U1 and U6 in Fig. 4b) that constitutes a lower-order TS$^i$ tectonic succession. While the overall lower-order tectonic succession was deposited within 14–15 Myr, the high-order tectonic succession associated with the activation of individual faults (TS2-5 in Fig. 4b) were individually deposited within variable time intervals that span from 1.5 to 4 Ma. The U6 unconformity marks the onset of the Messinian Salinity Crisis (MSC) in the Malaga Basin and, therefore, separates a tectonic succession in its lower part from an upper eurybatic sequence driven by the large late Miocene sea-level drawdown.

In other situations, in a wholly continental environment, all or most of a lower-order tectonic succession of an extensional basin and the high-order movements of individual normal faults can be associated with deposition in an alluvial to lacustrine transition. Such is the case of many faults-bounded Oligocene to mid Miocene basins in or bordering the South China Sea (e.g., Morley and Westaway, 2006; Mansor et al., 2014; Morley, 2014; Pubellier and Morley, 2014). Here, the transition from continental to deep marine environment did not occur, though such a transition is often the case in typical tectonic successions where facies vary from shallower to deeper settings. Good examples have been described from the Gulf of Thailand, such as the Pattani Basin or the lower infill of the Malay Basin (Fig. 4c, Morley and Westaway, 2006). These shallower to deeper transitions are seen in the Oligocene to mid Miocene successions comprising alluvial fan, fluvio-deltaic and lacustrine facies at the scale of individual sub-basins. The (late – Eocene?) Oligocene syn-kinematic infill of the north Malay Basin (∼6–7 Myr) forms a lower order tectonic succession (TS$^i$) covered by post-rift late Oligocene – early Miocene lacustrine shales (Fig. 4c). Individual movements of normal faults activation that lasted 1–1.5 Myr, are discernible as higher order tectonic successions (TS$^{i+1}$) on seismic imagery, where they are represented by changes of slope lobes that inter-finger with more distal pelagic facies, separated by strong reflective unconformities that make up the higher-order succession boundaries (SB$^{i+1}$, Fig. 4c).

Process-oriented numerical modelling of sedimentation is also well suited to illustrate our conceptual model of tectonic successions in extensional basins. Such studies are available either at the scale of whole extensional systems, where they exemplify lower-order tectonic successions (e.g., Embry, 1990; Kooi et al., 1992; Kusznir et al., 1996; Burov and Cloetingh, 1997; Meredith and Egan, 2002; Cloetingh et al., 2013), or at the higher-order scale of individual sub-basins or individual normal fault structures (e.g., Csato and Kendall, 2002; Balázs et al., 2017b; Barrett et al., 2018). Numerical modelling of sediment infill in asymmetric extensional half grabens can discern the development of high-order tectonic successions (associated with sourceward and basinward facies shifts) that can be discriminated from other external forcing factors such as low-amplitude eurybatic sea-level variations (or climatic effects) that show more regular shallowing-deepening patterns in the stratigraphic architecture (Fig. 5). It is also clear that the signature of eustatic influence within this record is discernible only at a...
scale that is at a different order of magnitude than the one of tectonic forcing (Fig. 5, Baláz et al., 2017a, b).

3.2. Contractional basins

Most contractional basins are related to orogenic areas where depositional space is created by tectonic loading during thrust and nappe emplacement associated with the growth of mountain ranges. It has been long recognized that such loading results in creation of significant depositional space due to the flexure of oceanic and continental lithosphere undergoing subduction, forming accretionary wedges and forearc or back-arc foreland or foredeep basins, influenced by a large number of forcing factors (Beaumont, 1981; Royden and Karner, 1984; Allen et al., 1986; Stockmal et al., 1986; DeCelles et al., 1991; Watts, 1992; Wagreich, 1995; Ziegler et al., 1995; Fuller et al., 2006; Naylor and Sinclair, 2008; DeCelles et al., 2009, 2014; Noda, 2016). One difference of contractional basins from other types of basins is that continued convergence at the leading edge scrapes off the sediments deposited earlier in the flexural accommodation and incorporates them into the orogenic system as highly deformed accretionary prisms, external fold and thrust belts or deformed foredeeps, where depositional records may be sharply eroded. In the Mediterranean such is the case of accretionary wedges in the Herodotus and Ionian Basins, where the MSC evaporites and sediments (originally deposited horizontally) are scraped, in places highly thickened, and deformed (e.g., Güneş et al., 2018).

The understanding of movements of thrust loading in highly deformed systems requires careful reconstruction of the deformed strata to restore original depositional conditions as closely as possible (e.g., Morley, 1996; Vilasi et al., 2009; Tărăpoană et al., 2010). Since the distribution pattern of sedimentary facies is a direct response to thrusting, it can be used to gain an understanding of the movements of thrust loading during subduction (e.g., Jordan et al., 1988; Ballato et al., 2008; Santra et al., 2013; Ballato et al., 2019, among many others). The recurrent arrangement of thrusts maintains a relative constancy in the orogenic geometry and as such these basins may have extensive continuity of hundreds to thousands of kilometres along the orogenic strike (e.g., Dahlstrom, 1970; Roure, 2008). Since the flexurally-created depositional space is asymmetric in response to the thrust load distribution, the geometry of such basins is notably wedge shaped,
changing over time due to shortening and sediment loading. It is primarily controlled by the flexural rigidity of the lithosphere that is undergoing subduction (Watts, 1989; Burov and Diament, 1992; Garcia-Marín and Castellanos, 2002). The most prominent grouping of tectonic successions in contractional basins is observed in collisional foredeeps formed during the final phases of orogenic convergences. Here the sediment cover over the continental lower plate is overlain transgressively above a diachronous unconformity in response to successive thrust loadings, followed by a shallowing-upwards phase of basin fill varying from lacustrine to alluvial sedimentation (the classical old term “molasse”). Such successions of complete basin fill have been described from many collisional orogens, such as those from the Alps, the Carpathians, the Caucasus and the Apennines (e.g., Homewood et al., 1986; Waschbusch and Royden, 1992; Ershov et al., 1999; Roure, 2008; Covault et al., 2009).

Several genetic sedimentation models (based mostly on seismic interpretations, combined with observations on well logs and outcrops) are available for foredeep basins (e.g., Fig. 6). Detailed sedimentological facies distribution studies demonstrate the importance of thrust loading in controlling the balance between the rate of accommodation creation and sediment supply (Bruhn and Steel, 2003; Catuneanu, 2004; Ford, 2004; Roca and Nadon, 2007). Such models are highly variable depending on the paleoenvironment (whether continental, shallow or deep marine) of the contractional basin (Einsele, 2000 and references therein). Some of these studies also demonstrate the increasing importance (during deformation) of the source of the basin fill from the foreland lower plate and along the strike direction that produces the mixed-source sedimentary successions observed in the infills (Oszczypko, 2006; Ridd, 2013). The study of foredeep dynamics is also key to detecting the history of orogenic movements further afield in the hinterland areas (Roure, 2008; Grool et al., 2018).

All of these models have one key observation in common; the facies deepen in the foredeep basin depocenters during thrust loading, while a shallowing-upwards phase of basin fill is recorded during the period of reduced or non-thrust activity that create the sourceward- and basinward-shifting facies tracts of our model (SFT$^i+1$ and BFT$^i+1$ in Fig. 2). This is exemplified by observations in the Ebro Foredeep of the Pyrenean Foreland Basin (e.g., Desegaulx and Moretti, 1988; Gómez-Paccard et al., 2012), where the movements of thrust activation are associated with the migration of a fan delta system (proximal gravels, alluvial plain and marine sediments) towards the active thrust front, while periods of reduced thrust activity and/or slight uplift are characterized by the migration of the same system towards the basin centre (Fig. 6a, Burns et al., 1997). The first period of source migration makes up the high-resolution SFT of our model, while the periods of decreasing and/or low subsidence or minor uplift, are equivalent to our BFT (Fig. 6a). This cyclicity observed in the Ebro Basin has also been validated by numerical modelling of sedimentation dynamics (Garcia-Marín and Castellanos, 2002, 2006).

An illustrative example of tectonic successions and their interplay with sea-level changes at the scale of entire foreland basin comes from the northern Alpine foredeep (or the German-Austrian molasse/foreland basin) (Fig. 6b, Zweigle et al., 1998; Covault et al., 2009). Previous studies have shown that the basin fill was controlled by a major thrust loading and flexural subsidence event that took place during late Eocene–Early Miocene times, which included the middle Oligocene transition from the classical “flysch” to “molasse” stages, possibly in response to the European slab break-off (e.g., Sinclair, 1997). This was followed by a phase of reduced subsidence that resulted in an overall shoaling-upwards sedimentation that filled the basin. Thrusting resulted in both the creation of depositional space in the footwall and the formation of a connected wedge-top (or piggy-back) basin covering the hanging-wall, which provided the source of sediments including the mass-wasting deposits. The interplay between the tectonic subsidence and sediment supply controlled the large-scale deepening- and shoaling-upwards megacycles within 6–7 Myr, while higher-order unconformities were related both to sea-level variations (sequence boundaries) and thrust loading events (successions boundaries) that took place most likely within 1–2 Myr time intervals (Fig. 6b, Zweigle et al., 1998; Covault et al., 2009; Knieringer et al., 2018). Such models of thrust-loaded sourceward and basinward migration of sedimentary facies (TS$^i+1$ successions) have been also validated by numerical modelling of the foredeep evolution (e.g., Clevis et al., 2004).

3.3. Strike-slip basins

Strike-slip basins develop along key transcurrent boundaries, where major displacements occur in the horizontal plane due to either right- or left-lateral movements. In these tectonic settings, depositional space is created by secondary normal offsets that take place along the major fault bends (i.e., releasing bends, e.g. Fig. 7a) when horizontal offsets are transferred between two or more strike-slip faults (pull-apart basins), or through a combination of these two mechanisms (e.g., Mann et al., 1983; Christie-Blick and Biddle, 1985; van Wijk et al., 2017). Many such basins are known to be associated with major strike-slip offsets that display tens of hundreds of km of horizontal displacement. Well-known examples are along the San Andreas Fault system in western United States, the North Anatolian Fault in northern Turkey, the
Alpine Fault of New Zealand, and the Cerna-Timok Fault system of the Carpathians (Okay et al., 2000; Barnes et al., 2005; McLaughlin and Nilsen, 2006; Schmid et al., 2008; Krézsek et al., 2013). Limited to bends or transfer zones along major strike-slip faults, these sedimentary basins are spatially restricted, with limited lateral continuity and large variability in the sedimentary infill. Because a small amount of

Fig. 8. An example of tectonic successions in a sag basin characterized by a gradual change in bathymetry and where an equivalence between the tectonic successions and sequence-stratigraphic nomenclature can be attempted. The example comes from a tectono-stratigraphic summary of the middle–late Miocene evolution of the Transylvanian Basin in the vicinity of the East, SE and South Carpathians of Europe. The moments of progradation near the basin margins created by regional uplift define a basinward shifting facies tract, while regional subsidence creates subsequent retrogression that define a sourceward migration of facies. Together, they define higher-order tectonic successions in the Transylvanian Basin. The comparison shows that HST and LST are equivalent to BFT, while TST is equivalent to SFT. a) Cross-section over the Transylvanian Basin, East Carpathians and their foreland (after Matenco et al., 2010). The basin marginal areas are uplifted due to thrusting and growth in the orogenic core; b) Tectono-stratigraphic summary of the Miocene sequence of the Transylvanian Basin (after Matenco et al., 2010); c) Lithostratigraphic summary of the Miocene sequence of the Transylvanian Basin (after Krézsek et al., 2010).
transcurrent offset generates a large vertical displacement component, strike-slip basins can fill rapidly with thick sedimentary successions that are sourced from the vicinity of the main left- or right-lateral fault from multiple directions. The strike-slip bounding faults are generally steep and get rapidly degraded by erosion, filling the basin with coarse fault breccias in the vicinity, while transtension can generate normal faults that may be associated with deepening and fining upwards to shoaling and coarsening upwards prisms of sediments.

The San Andreas transcurrent system of western North America provides one well-documented example where spatially limited trans- tensive basins are located along the sinuous trace of the strike slip fault. Located in the middle of the California’s Transverse Ranges and comprised of a system of transpressional mountains and transstensional basins, the San Gabriel Basin is a Pliocene-Pleistocene basin formed through dextral transtension along the San Gabriel Fault that is a part of the larger San Andreas Fault system (Fig. 7a, May and Walker, 1989; Nourse, 2002; Yeats, 2004). A thick breccia was deposited in the vicinity of the San Gabriel Fault and a lesser one on the opposite flank of the basin, while the general composition of the basin displays deepening-upwards and shoaling-upwards facies shifts that were generated by the activity of the strike-slip system. This variation in facies and sediment influx farther into the basin is an example of the alternation between higher-order SFTs and BFTs comprising tec tonic successions, lasting anywhere between 500Kyr and 1 Myr, driven by the transtensional activity of marginal controlling faults (TSi+1). Following the Miocene precursor deposition, the entire Pliocene-Pleistocene basin makes up an overall TSi lower-order tectonic succession (Fig. 7b, see also Yeats, 2004). It is noteworthy that the gradual opening of such a basin along the strike of a major transcurrent fault generates a basin fill where depocenters of tectonic successions are laterally displaced in the same direction. One typical example is provided by the Oligocene–Early Miocene basin formation stage of the Cerna-Timok dextral fault system in the South Carpathians, where the younger transpressive basins formed eastwards in the releasing bend direction of the main controlling faults (Ratschbacher et al., 1993; Răbăgia and Matenco, 1999; Krézsek et al., 2013).

3.4. Tectonic-induced uplift

Similar to the tectonically created depositional space discussed above, diastrophic processes may also reduce or wipe out such space by uplifting part or the entire infilled basin. This is a typical evolution in plate tectonics, where rifts are created and buried beneath passive continental margins that are subsequently uplifted and incorporated in orogens during subduction and/or collision (e.g., Ziegler et al., 2002). Inversion commonly occurs in extensional basins due to transient processes or a switch from divergent to convergent movements (e.g., Williams et al., 1989). Extensional back-arc basins formed during slab-retrat are commonly uplifted and/or inverted during the final phases of collision and slab-detachment (Uyeda and Kanamori, 1979; Matenco et al., 2016). Large parts of foreland basins are scraped-off and incorporated into fold and thrust belts by on-going subduction or convergence, where the depositional space gradually diminishes followed by subaerial exposure and erosion in fore-arc or wedge top (piggy-back) basins (e.g., DeCelles and Giles, 1996; Ford, 2004; Noda, 2016).

The analysis of sedimentary facies shows that deposition associated with tectonic uplift is controlled by a relative base-level drop that creates forced-regressive type sedimentary patterns that are localized at the active margin of the basin. In our tectonic succession model, this means that at the onset and during the subsequent major tectonic movements BFTs will dominate, while during the gradual decrease in fault offset or quiescence SFTs will be the common norm. A good example of such a case is provided by the Miocene evolution of the Transylvanian Basin, a sag basin located in the hinterland of the Romanian Carpathians (Fig. 8). The retreat of the Carpathians slab resulted in the onset of the successive phases of subsidence recorded in the basin centre during middle Miocene times (Krézsek and Bally, 2006; Tiliţă et al., 2013, 2015). The margins of the basin recorded successive uplift events due to gradual orogenic exhumation during the final collisional phases of South and East Carpathians (Matenco et al., 2016). Application of sequence-stratigraphic concepts to these margins revealed that each phase of uplift was associated with forced-regressive type patterns with local unconformities at the basin margins, overlain by transgressive facies associated with the regional subsidence of the entire basin (Fig. 8, Krézsek et al., 2010; Matenco et al., 2010). Sedimentation was interrupted subsequently by complete exhumation of the basin during late Miocene times. In the framework of our new model, the Miocene sediment fill can be interpreted: the period of regressive facies associated with tectonic uplift in the East and South Carpathians margins are higher-order BFTs (BFTi+1), while the periods of transgressive trends during regional subsidence can be categorised as higher-order SFTs (SFTi+1). These high-order tectonic successions have a variable duration, within 500 Kyr – 1.5 Myr (TSi+1, Fig. 8). Together, these higher-order facies tracts constitute a lower-order tectonic succession that lasted for around 6–7 Myr (TSi). Similar examples are also available in observational or numerical modelling studies of wedge-top (or piggy-back) basins, which show that movements of hanging-wall uplift during thrusting induce migration of sedimentary facies towards the centre of the basin, while regional orogenic subsidence results in a migration of facies towards the basin margins (e.g., Nijman, 1998; Clevis et al., 2004; Răbăgia et al., 2011) comprising BFT-SFT couplets.

3.5. Source area and mass-wasting deposits

The position of various sediments in a sourceward- or basinward- shifting facies tract is controlled by their location in the paleo-physiography of the basin and not by their grain size. In tectonically active areas an increased sediment yield is recorded from tectonically active (often small) watersheds, as pointed out by Millman and Farnsworth (2011). Their work has demonstrated that high yields are a function of gradient and impact of episodic events in which both sediment supply and sediment transport can play and important role, and which can be especially exaggerated in the case of volcanic eruption events. The fact that there is no direct correspondence between physiography and grain size is best illustrated by the deep-water mass wasting deposits, where coarse deposition is often triggered by fault activation that creates not only accommodation space, but also enhances the exhumation of the source area and modifies the topography that is subject to erosion. One example is the Miocene evolution of the Sorbas Basin of southern Spain that is a well-studied area for the interaction between tectonics, sea-level changes and orbitally-forced stratigraphy. Many recent mass-wasting models are based on outcrop observations in this basin (Krijgsman et al., 2001; Hodgson and Haughton, 2004; Do Couto et al., 2014; Andrić et al., 2018; Postma and Kleverlaan, 2018). Part of the larger extensional Alboran Domain that evolved in the hinterland of the Betics-Rif system, the lower infill of the Sorbas Basin displays high-resolution tectonic successions (TSi+1) associated with individual movements along normal faults, where the depositional space and sediments sourcing is created either by fault offset, or by antithetically tilting hanging-wall blocks (e.g., Andrić et al., 2018). In deeper-water environments, such movements are marked by transition between slope to basin floor turbiditic fans, while coarser material temporary stored on shallow shelves is recycled in a hanging-wall direction toward the deeper-water environments by various types of mass-wasting events during movements of fault-offset associated with anithetic tilting (Fig. 9). Such high-order tectonic sedimentary successions may also be sourced across footwalls or along the strike of normal faults and are most often associated with severe erosional degradation of faults footwalls (e.g., Henstra et al., 2016; Alves and Cupkovic, 2018). The result is that coarser deposits are sourced in deeper water environments during moments of fault activation, enhanced by an increased output from the source area and availability of such material in temporary
shelf storages (Fig. 9). In contrast, periods of fault quiescence are characterized by finer sedimentation in shallower environments, favoured by temporary storage on the shelf and a decreased input from sources area (Fig. 9).

4. Discussion

4.1. Scales of tectonic successions

The examples presented in this study exemplify the large spatial and temporal variability of tectonic successions observed from system of faults to individual movements along a single fault. These examples show that tectonic successions have spatial scales ranging from tens of metres to kilometres and temporal scales ranging from hundreds of thousands to million years. Furthermore, centimetres to metres synkinematic deposition responding to similar size faulting has been documented in many basins worldwide by outcrop studies (e.g., Andrić et al., 2018). However, movements along an individual fault or shear zone also include exhumation, which result in offsets much larger than the created depositional space. Such high offsets can reach tens of kilometres and have been observed along detachments associated with the formation of core-complexes or basalt decollements of thin-skinned thrust belts, where the accommodation space created in break-away, supra-detachment, foredeep or wedge-top basins is in the order of hundreds of metres to kilometres (e.g., Beaumont, 1981; Wernicke, 1985; Brun et al., 1994; Roure, 2008). The resulting change in bathymetry and topography created by exhumation are of similar order or magnitudes, locally controlled by climate and erodibility of rock types in the source area (e.g., Hooke and Rohrer, 1977; Willett et al., 2006; Flowers and Ehlers, 2018).

The conceptual model of tectonic successions presented here serves the practical need for quantifying the time and amplitude of tectonic movements in sedimentary basins. Plate tectonics megacycles open continental rifts that are buried beneath passive continental margins, which eventually become involved in oceanic subduction and subsequent continental collisions, leading to the formation of mountain chains. On this larger scale, the transition from continental sedimentation during the early stages of rifting to deep-water pelagic sedimentation later can be considered a large-scale sourceward-shifting facies tract, while the subsequent shallowing of sedimentary facies during subduction and collision is a large-scale basinward-shifting facies tract. Together, these facies tracts define a mega-tectonic (or first order) succession at the spatial and temporal scale of a plate tectonic cycle. Such mega-tectonic cycles can have very variable durations, spanning from 10 to 200 Myr, creating changes in topography/bathymetry in the order of 3–11 km (e.g., Dewey, 1988; Krapez, 1997; Audet
The evolution of a sedimentary basin system as related to one of the various components of the Wilson cycle (such as continental rifts, subduction or continental collision) is commonly associated with the onset of sedimentation over a major unconformity, gradual deepening to the maximum paleodepth, which comprises a sourceward-shifting facies tract, followed by a gradual shoaling until the basin is completely filled, which defines a basinward-shifting facies tract. Examples of such basinal systems are provided by major extensional, contractional or strike-slip systems that exist within cratons or mountain chains, such as the East African Rift, the Pannonian Basin, South China Sea, or collisional systems where foreland basins contain a record of complete basin fill, such as the Northern Alpine or Carpathian forelands. These basin systems also show high variability in spatial and temporal scales. For instance, the evolution of a continental rift from onset to drifting (or abandonment) may last anywhere between 5–200 Myr, and create changes in topography/bathymetry of 100 m to 10 km (Mosar et al., 2002; Ziegler and Cloetingh, 2004).

Sedimentary basin systems are composed of individual sub-basins, often formed diachronously, that may become connected (or sequestered) at a later stage due to migration of deformation centres or due to other mechanisms, such as thermal subsidence or tectonic inversion. The associated sedimentation in these sub-basins involves an earlier facies deepening, comprising a sourceward-shifting facies tract, followed by a gradual shoaling when the rate of sediment supply surpasses the rate of creation of depositional space expressed as a basinward-shifting facies tract (such as TS_i in Figs. 4, 6 and 7). Thus, the infill of an individual sub-basin may be described as a higher-order tectonic succession when compared with the whole sedimentary basin. The tectonic evolution of such sub-basins is time independent and may take anywhere between 0.5–60 Myr, creating changes in topography/bathymetry of 50 m to 8 km.

Within the sub-basins, the activation of individual faults is responsible for the creation of depositional space at the local level and the formation of higher-order tectonic successions (e.g., the TS_i+1 cycles illustrated in Fig. 4b). Typical examples can be observed along growth faults observed in the North Sea and the Norwegian continental margin (Mosar et al., 2002) or syn-kinematic foredeep wedges observed in the Carpathians (Rábága et al., 2011). Their time scale may vary significantly, from 10 Kyr to 20 Myr, creating changes in topography/bathymetry of 10 m to 5 km.

The total offset of a single fault surface is comprised of individual movements (or offsets) that take place during periods of faulting activity. Each individual offset may generate individual tectonic
successions made up of SFT-BFT cycles (such as the higher-order cycles in Fig. 4a). These are higher-order cycles (when compared to the total offset along a fault), but their time scales again may vary significantly between about 30 yr to 1 Myr, creating depositional space of 1 m–1000 m. The tectonic signal can sometimes be interpreted in high-resolution depositional cycles, such as in high frequency peritidal carbonates (Bosence et al., 2009).

Even higher-orders of cyclicity than those defined by movements during fault activations occur (comprising individual SFT-BFT cycles) that can extend to as short time scales as the single seismogenic cycle, where mega-thrust earthquakes create surface offsets (and depositional space), reaching up to a few tens of meters that are subsequently filled with sediments at few years to thousands years’ time scales. Examples are also available in the stratigraphic record, such as in the carbonate systems that are very sensitive to variations at the tidal scale (e.g., Cisne, 1986).

One may be tempted to classify such variable timescales of tectonic activity as “orders” of cyclicity, from first order major tectonic cycles to fifth or sixth order seismogenic cycles. However, such a strict classification is not necessary or practical, given the extreme temporal and spatial variability of tectonic movements. Therefore, we recommend that the order of periodicity is defined as a function of the relative scale being studied (i.e., at the level of the tectonic sedimentary cycle, system, basin, sub-basin or individual fault). By employing the most common observational techniques (seismic, well-log, and outcrop observations), only two levels of cyclicity of tectonic successions may therefore be commonly necessary in practice (a lower order “i” and a higher order “i + 1”). For quantitatively defining the timing and amplitude of tectonic movements, the exact i number is not relevant and may be left undefined.

4.2. Factors controlling the geometry of tectonic successions

In addition to tectonics, the evolution of sedimentary basins is influenced by other external forcing factors, such as the sediment supply, climate and sea-level variations that determine the resultant stratigraphic architecture (Fig. 10a). In their initial formative stages, all basins are primarily controlled by tectonics. Whenever the rate of tectonic subsidence or uplift is greater than the cumulative rates of sediment supply and sea-level change, an alternation between SFTs and BFTs is observed, irrespective of whether tectonic activity is extensional, contractional, strike-slip or sagging due to sub-lithospheric processes (Fig. 10b–e). Extreme situations of very high sediment supply may work together with sea-level changes and tectonics, which may result in a situation where deepening of the facies is not observed at the onset of tectonics and the entire tectonic succession may contain only BFTs (Fig. 10f). The same result can be generated when a large sea-level fall, working together with the sediment supply, outpaces the creation of tectonic depositional space.

On the other extreme, the rate of tectonic subsidence may be very low, such as in the long-lived rift systems as in the Norwegian or North Sea margins, and surpassed by the combined effect of the sediment supply and sea-level variations resulting in tectonic successions entirely characterized by SFTs (Fig. 10g, e.g., Nottvedt et al., 1995). The same pattern of tectonic successions entirely characterized by SFTs during deformation times may be obtained when the sediment influx from the source area is trapped in intervening sub-basins, while structures situated at farther distances are characterized by condensed or starved sedimentation (e.g., Bartol et al., 2012; Muntau et al., 2012; Matenco et al., 2016). This is also the case in post-tectonic times, when the basin is gradually filled and tectonic activity slows down considerably or ceases all together.

Sedimentary basins may acquire more “stable” depositional patterns later in their evolutionary history. This stability means unidirectional sediment flux largely controlled by the quasi-cyclic local/regional (eurybatic) and/or global (eustatic) sea-level variations, where sequence-stratigraphic approach and terminology can be applied more effectively for stratigraphic analyses. A good case in point is the North...
American Atlantic coast where earlier extensional stages of the breakup are buried under a later more typical passive-margin type sedimentation (e.g., Enachescu, 1992; Aranegui et al., 2019).

In summary, it can be stated that nearly all basins start off as being driven by tectonics and can later morph into stable platforms or passive margins when tectonic activity subsides or ceases. Thus, for a complete appreciation of the evolution of a large basin, both the tectonic-successions approach in the earlier history (recommended here) and the sequence-stratigraphic approach in the later stages may be required to unravel the complete sedimentological evolution of the basin.

4.3. Variability of mechanisms controlling depositional space

The temporal and spatial scales of mechanisms that drive sea-level variations and create or wipe out depositional space are extreme, ranging from years to hundreds of million years and from centimetres to tens of kilometres (Fig. 11). Therefore, understanding the impact of these mechanisms is essentially a multi-scale problem. The intrinsic lithospheric rheology, the rate of creation of depositional space and the dynamics of surface processes conditioned by climate and eustasy strongly influence the infill and physiography of sedimentary basins (Cloetingh and Haq, 2015). This infill is affected not only by modulating the rates of deformation, erosion and sediment supply, but also by the system’s response to lithospheric flexure, rheology, thermal evolution, glacial isostatic adjustment, mantle-lithosphere interaction, rate of formation of oceanic lithosphere and dynamic topography (Fig. 11).

For instance, the extension rate, rheology and thermal convective or advective effects have direct impact in the width and structure of continental rifts, creating wide and shallow or narrow and deep structures (Burov and Cloetingh, 1997; Brun, 1999; van Wijk and Cloetingh, 2002; Huismans and Beaumont, 2003; Gueydan and Precigout, 2014; Naliboff et al., 2017). In such extensional zones thermal subsidence and the rate of sediment supply together modulate the timing and position of the point of reversal (POR, Fig. 2) between basinward- and sourceward-shifting facies tracts. During extension, the presence of inherited rheological weakness zones controls the evolution of asymmetric basins that migrate in space and with time (e.g., Manatschal et al., 2015; Balázs et al., 2017a). Crustal loads and rheology are modified by surface processes and sedimentation during extension, which influences the architecture and evolution of continental rifts (e.g., Burov and Cloetingh, 1997; Andrés-Martínez et al., 2019). The overall extension may be followed by the development of passive continental margins whose width is controlled by the initial rifting rates, rheology and changing the geometry and kinematics of associated basins (e.g., Willet and Brandon, 2002; Willett et al., 2006; Thiede and Ehlers, 2013; Armijo et al., 2015). Such external and internal controlling factors have been widely documented to impact the evolution of tectonic successions in various types of sedimentary basins (e.g., Buitert et al., 2009; Fillon et al., 2013; Erdos et al., 2014; Beniest et al., 2017, among others).

Mantle - lithosphere interaction is an important component that creates or destroys regional depositional space (Fig. 11), such as thermal subsidence in rift zones, which is particularly important for the evolution of passive continental margins (Steckler and Watts, 1978; Stephenson, 1989; Xie and Heller, 2009; Yamasaki and Stephenson, 2009; Cloetingh and Haq, 2015; Stein et al., 2018). Steps should also be taken to separate out the long-wavelength depositional space created or diminished by dynamic topography (i.e., by sub-lithospheric induced subsidence or uplift) that has regional effects on sedimentary basins (Fig. 11, Bertelloni and Gurnis, 1997; Flament et al., 2013) and also creates sourceward or basinward facies migration patterns that can be similar to those caused by sea-level changes.

In summary, the depositional space created or wiped out by tectonics may be higher than any other external or internal forcing factor and cannot be ignored at any spatial and temporal scale (Fig. 11). Therefore, the necessity of a tectonic successions’ nomenclature serving the practical need for quantifying the time and amplitude of tectonic movements and deposition in sedimentary basins is very high.

5. Conclusions

Based on observations in tectonically-dominated environments, we have proposed a modified approach to understanding the forcing induced by fault movements in sedimentary systems. Tectonic activity, when reduced to its simplest expression, is the development of a single fault or subsidence episode, i.e., its activation, reactivation and duration that comprise its total history. Faults (or multiples thereof) produce basins that are filled with sediments. Thus, any starting point toward understanding the influence of tectonics in sedimentary successions has to be the history of the fault’s kinematics (activation, increase or decrease in offset rate) and the response of sedimentary facies to these changes. Here we have endeavoured to produce a basic conceptual model of the facies response that can be applied at any scale of tectonic expression, from the movements along a single fault to the infilling at the basin-wide scale.

Our starting point is the conceptual definition of a tectonic succession (TS), bracketed by succession boundaries (SBs), and comprised of a sourceward-shifting facies tract (SFT) and a basinward-shifting facies tract (BFT), separated by a point of reversal (POR) and characterized by the balance between the rate of creation of depositional (accommodation) space (δAS) and the rate of sediment supply (δSS). In practice such tectonic successions are a function of the methodological resolution (seismic stratigraphy, well logs, outcrop studies) and have extremely variable temporal and spatial scales. This can range from the long temporal duration of the major tectonic cycles to moments of fault activation during megathrust earthquakes.

The conceptual tectonic successions model is applicable whether tectonics induce subsidence or uplift during deposition. Although these successions are more obvious in extensional systems, where many attempts at classifications are already available, we argue that the expression of basinward- and sourceward-shifting facies tracts is a unifying concept across all tectonic regimes and timescales and is, therefore, applicable in all practical situations. Their expression in terms of geometry, sedimentary facies and distribution can be extremely variable, controlled by the balance with other external or internal forcing factors, such as the variability of the sediment flux, eurybatic and eustatic variations, the basin morphology, slope stability and mass-wasting characteristics, or the autocyclic variations within the depositional system. But there is one common characteristic that makes the definition of these BFTs and SFTs possible: the paleo-physiographic position in the depositional environment and its variability with time.
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