A Delay-Constrained General Achievable Rate and Certain Capacity Results for UWB Relay Channel
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Abstract—In this paper, we derive UWB version of (i) general best achievable rate for the relay channel with decode-and-forward strategy and (ii) max-flow min-cut upper bound, such that the UWB relay channel can be studied considering the obtained lower and upper bounds. Then, we show that by appropriately choosing the noise correlation coefficients, our new upper bound coincides with the lower bound in special cases of degraded and reversely degraded UWB relay channels. Finally, some numerical results are illustrated.

I. INTRODUCTION

In a UWB relay channel, two diversity techniques, i.e., cooperative communication and UWB radios are combined and the system performance is improved considerably [1].

The relay channel was first introduced in [2]. In [3], the relay channel was studied carefully, e.g., special capacity results and the best achievable rates via two coding schemes, namely decode-and-forward (DF) and estimate-and-forward (EF) strategies were obtained. We have other studies on the relay channel in [4, 5, 2, 6, 7].

Limited research has been done on the capacity bounds for frequency-selective block-fading relay channels. Achievable rates using amplify-and-forward (AF) with network training in which the source node and the destination node broadcasts training symbols and each relay node carries out channel estimation are analyzed in [6], for narrow and wideband relaying over frequency selective fading channel. In [7] an upper bound and DF lower bound were derived for UWB relay channel with an assumption of independent noises at the relay and destination. In [7] Gaussian relay channels with correlated noises have been studied.

Our Work includes first, the investigation of a more general lower bound that is achieved by partial decode-and-forward scheme (PDF) and determining it for frequency-selective block-fading UWB relay channel. Our result encompasses the DF lower bound in [7]. Second, we obtain the UWB new version of max-flow min-cut upper bound with the assumption of correlated noises at the relay and destination. Third, we show that the upper bound coincides with the lower bound in two special cases of degraded and reversely degraded relay channels when the corresponding correlation coefficients are applied and the capacity is determined.

Notation: Throughout the paper $\Re(\cdot)$, $\mathbb{E}$, $\text{var}(\cdot)$ and $\text{cov}(\cdot)$ denote real part, expectation, variance and covariance operations, respectively. $\lfloor x \rfloor$ returns the largest integer $\leq x$. $\text{diag}(\cdot)$ builds a diagonal matrix and $C(x) \triangleq \log(1 + x)$.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II we define the UWB relay channel model. The lower bound on the capacity of the relay channel obtained via PDF strategy and the max-flow min-cut upper bound for the defined channel model are derived in Sec. III. Two capacity achieving cases corresponding to the degraded and reversely degraded relay channels are discussed in Sec. IV and Sec. V, respectively. Numerical results are illustrated in Sec. VI and finally, we provide the conclusion in Sec. VII.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

We assume that data is sent in blocks of size $K$ as a train of impulse based UWB signals to the destination via link 1 and to the relay via link 2, as depicted in Fig. 1. Based on the received signal, the relay builds a secondary message and forwards it as a UWB signal to the destination via link 3. We assume that all nodes are perfectly synchronized and channel state information (CSI) is available at the receiving terminals only. The fading coefficients between different nodes are assumed mutually independent identically distributed. We assume arbitrarily correlated noises at the relay and destination. The complex baseband impulse response of each UWB link can be considered based on the Saleh-Valenzuela (S-V) model [7]

$$h(t) = \hat{\beta} \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} a_{i,l} e^{j\phi_{i,l}} \delta(t - T_l - \tau_{i,l}), \quad (1)$$

where $L$ is the number of clusters and $M$ is the number of rays in each cluster. $T_l$ and $\tau_{i,l}$ represent the cluster and ray arrival times. The factor $\hat{\beta}$ jointly models the pathloss, shadowing, and antenna insertion loss. $a_{i,l}$ is the gain of the $i$th path in the $l$th cluster and finally $\phi_{i,l}$ is the complex baseband phase of each multipath component.

We know that if the transmitter sends a block of $K$ symbols $(x_0, \ldots, x_{K-1})^T$ through the above UWB channel, the received signal $(y_0, \ldots, y_{K-1})^T$ is in the form [7]:

$$y_i = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} g_k x_{i-k} + z_i \quad (i = 0, \ldots, K - 1) \quad (2)$$
where \( z_i \)'s are complex zero mean additive white Gaussian noises, \( K \)’s is the ISI length due to the multipath fading, and \( g_k \)'s are related to the channel impulse response as
\[
g_k = \sum_{i:L:|T_i+\tau_{ij}|/T_s=k} \tilde{\beta}_{a_i} e^{j\phi_{a_i}}. \tag{3}
\]
In this equation, \( T_s = \frac{1}{W} \), where \( W \) is the bandpass channel bandwidth. We assume that the channel coefficients stays constant within each block of data transmission and independently and identically distributed fashion from one block to another, i.e. a block fading channel is considered because the UWB channel is underspread [7]. The size of each block, \( K \) is constrained by the channel coherence time and can be at most equal to \( \frac{T_s}{\Delta} \). Taking the DFT of the two sides of (2), we obtain the frequency domain UWB channel model [7]. The vectors \( G^{(n)} \) \((n = 1, 2, 3)\), \( \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{Y}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{Y} \) corresponds to the \( K \)-point DFT of vectors of complex baseband channel coefficients related to each link, the transmitted signals from the source and the relay and the received signals at relay and destination, respectively. Now, we can formulate the input-output relation for the UWB relay channel in the frequency domain as
\[
Y_{1i} = G^{(2)}_i \mathbf{X}_{1i} + Z_{1i} \quad (i = 0, \ldots, K - 1)
\]
\[
Y_i = G^{(1)}_i \mathbf{X}_{1i} + G^{(3)}_i \mathbf{X}_{2i} + Z_i \tag{4}
\]
where \( Z_i \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, N) \) and \( Z_{1i} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, N_1) \) are complex circularly symmetric zero mean additive white Gaussian noises with correlation coefficient \( \rho_{z_i} = \mathbb{E}\{Z_{1i}Z^*_i\}/\sqrt{NN_1} \).

III. UPPER AND LOWER BOUNDS ON CAPACITY

In this section, we provide the PDF achievable rate and max-flow min-cut upper bound for the capacity of the UWB relay channel.

A. UWB Lower Bound

When the channel between the source and the relay is better than the channel between the relay and the receiver, DF strategy gives the best achievable rate. To date the best rate achieved by DF strategy is obtained in [7, theorem 7] by substituting \( \bar{Y}_1 = \phi_i, V = X_2, U = (X_2, U) \) which we call it as PDF. A delay-constrained form for this lower bound can be expressed as
\[
C \geq \sup_{(X_1, X_2, U)} \min \left\{ \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} I(X_{1i}, X_{2i}; Y_i), \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} I(U_i; Y_{1i} | X_{2i}) + I(X_{1i}; Y_i | X_{2i}, U_i) \right\} \tag{5}
\]
where the supremum is taken over all joint probability mass functions of the form
\[
p(X_1, X_2, U) = \prod_{i=1}^{K} p(X_{2i})p(U_i | X_{2i})p(X_{1i} | U_iX_{2i}) \tag{6}
\]
The resulted UWB version is expressed in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1:** A delay-constrained achievable rate with PDF strategy for frequency-selective block fading UWB relay channel is given by
\[
R = \max_{\bar{\alpha}_0, \ldots, \bar{\alpha}_{K-1}, \bar{\beta}_0, \ldots, \bar{\beta}_{K-1}} \min \left\{ \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} C(\gamma_{1i}), \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} C(\gamma_{2i}) \right\},
\]
where
\[
\gamma_{1i} = \frac{|G^{(2)}_i|P_1 + |G^{(3)}_i|^2P_2}{N} \tag{7}
\]
\[
\gamma_{2i} = \left(1 + \frac{|G^{(2)}_i|^2|\alpha_i||\bar{\beta}_i|P_1}{N + \rho_{z_i}}\right) \left(1 + \frac{|G^{(3)}_i|^2|\beta_i|P_2}{N}\right) - 1 \tag{9}
\]

**Proof:** We now construct the code book and find the achievable rate based on the code book definitions. We assume that the source and relay nodes transmit their signals per complex baseband sample with average powers \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \), respectively. For every \( i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, K-1\} \) define \( \bar{\alpha}_i \) and \( \bar{\beta}_i \) as complex variables with \( 0 \leq |\bar{\alpha}_i|, |\bar{\beta}_i| \leq 1 \) and assume that \( |\alpha_i| = 1 - |\bar{\alpha}_i| \) and \( |\beta_i| = 1 - |\bar{\beta}_i| \). Let \( X_{2i} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, P_2) \), \( N_{1i} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, N_1) \), \( U_i \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, 0) \), \( M_{1i} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, |\beta_i|P_1) \) and \( X_{1i} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, P_1) \), where \( X_{2i}, N_{1i} \) and \( M_{1i} \) are mutually independent. First generate normal distributed random variables \( X_{2i}, N_{1i} \) and \( M_{1i} \). Then, define \( U_i \) and \( X_{1i} \) as (6) suggests in the following way
\[
U_i = \sqrt{\frac{P_0}{P_2}} X_{2i} + N_{1i} \tag{10}
\]
\[
X_{1i} = \sqrt{\frac{P_1}{P_2}} U_i + M_{1i} \tag{11}
\]
\[
= \sqrt{\frac{P_0}{P_2}} X_{2i} + \sqrt{\frac{P_1}{P_0}} N_{1i} + M_{1i}
\]
The random code associated with this distribution is then given by
\[
X_{2i} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, P_2) \quad s \in \{1, 2^{K-1}\}
\]
\[
N_{1i} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, N_1) \quad w_i \in \{1, 2^{nK-1}\}
\]
\[
M_{1i} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, C_{M_1}) \quad w_{i} \in \{1, 2^{nK-1}\}
\]
where the covariance matrices of \( K \)-variate complex normal distribution of \( N_{1i} \) and \( M_{1i} \) are
\[
C_{N_1} = \text{diag}(P_0|\alpha_0|, P_0|\alpha_1|, \cdots, P_0|\alpha_{K-1}|) \tag{13}
\]
\[
C_{M_1} = \text{diag}(P_1|\beta_0|, P_1|\beta_1|, \cdots, P_1|\beta_{K-1}|) \tag{14}
\]
\( U \) and \( X_1 \) are constructed as
\[
U(w_1 | s) = \sqrt{\frac{P_1}{P_2}} \mathbf{[\bar{\alpha} \, \bar{\beta} \cdots \bar{\beta}_{K-1}] \times X_2(s)}
+ N_1(w_1)
\]
\( X_1(w_2 | w_1, s) = \sqrt{\frac{P_1}{P_2}} \mathbf{[\bar{\alpha} \, \bar{\beta} \cdots \bar{\beta}_{K-1}] \times X_2(s)}
+ \sqrt{\frac{P_1}{P_2}} \mathbf{\bar{\beta}_1 \cdots \bar{\beta}_{K-1}] \times N_1(w_1)}
+ \mathbf{M}_1(w_2)
\]
where \( \times \) denotes an element by element matrix multiplication. Then if
\[
R_0 < \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K} I(X_{2i}, Y_i)
\]
\( R_1 < \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K} \min \{ I(U_i; Y_1 | X_{2i}), R_0 + I(U_i; Y_i | X_{2i}) \} \)
\( R_2 < \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} I(X_{1i}; Y_i | U_i, X_{2i}) \)
where
\[
I(X_{2i}; Y_i) = h(Y_i) - h(Y_i | X_{2i})
= \log \left( \frac{\pi e \ \text{var}(Y_i)}{\text{var}(Y_i | X_{2i})} \right)
= \log \left( 1 + \frac{G_i^{(1)} \sqrt{\bar{\beta}_i P_i} + G_i^{(3)} \sqrt{\bar{\beta}_i}^2}{\left| G_i^{(1)} \right|^2 |P_i| + \left| G_i^{(3)} \right|^2 \beta_1 P_i + N_1} \right),
\]
\[
I(U_i; Y_1 | X_{2i}) = h(Y_1 | X_{2i}) - h(Y_1 | X_{2i}, U_i)
= \log \left( \frac{\pi e \text{var}(Y_1 | X_{2i})}{\text{var}(Y_1 | X_{2i}, U_i)} \right)
= \log \left( 1 + \frac{G_i^{(2)} |\alpha_i| |\bar{\beta}_i| P_i}{\left| G_i^{(2)} \right|^2 |\beta_1 P_i + N_1} \right),
\]
\[
I(X_{1i}; Y_i | U_i, X_{2i}) = h(Y_i | X_{2i}, U_i) - h(Y_i | X_{1i}, X_{2i}, U_i)
= \log \left( \frac{\pi e \text{var}(Y_i | X_{2i}, U_i)}{\text{var}(Y_i | X_{1i}, X_{2i}, U_i)} \right)
= \log \left( 1 + \frac{G_i^{(1)} \beta_1 |P_i|}{\left| G_i^{(1)} \right|^2 N_1} \right),
\]
the rate \( R = R_1 + R_2 \) can be achieved with arbitrarily small probability of error and the proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

\section*{B. UWB Upper Bound}

A \( K \)-block delay constrained form for the max-flow min-cut upper bound on the capacity of the general relay channel, established in \cite{23}, theorem 7] can be expressed as
\[
C \leq \sup_{p(X_1, X_2)} \min \left\{ \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} I(X_{1i}, X_{2i}; Y_i), \quad \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} I(X_i, Y_i; Y_{1i} | X_{2i}) \right\}
\]
The UWB version of this upper bound is expressed in the following theorem.

\textbf{Theorem 2:} The delay-constrained max-flow min-cut upper bound on the capacity of a frequency-selective block fading relay channel is given by
\[
C \leq \max_{\beta_0, \ldots, \beta_{k-1}} \min \left\{ \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} C(\gamma_{1i}), \quad \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} C(\gamma_{3i}) \right\}
\]
where \( \gamma_{3i} = \frac{1}{N_1} \frac{(G_i^{(1)})^2}{N_1} \frac{(G_i^{(2)})^2}{N_1} \frac{2}{N_1} \frac{\text{Re}\{G_i^{(1)} G_i^{(2)} \rho_2 \}}{N_1} \)
and \( \gamma_{1i} \) has been defined in \cite{23}.

\textbf{Proof:} We start from \cite{24}. By noticing that normal random variables have the maximum entropy and by letting \( X_{1i} \) and \( X_{2i} \) each have the maximum allowed power \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) and by choosing \( E(X_{1i}, X_{2i}) = \sqrt{\bar{\beta}_i P_i P_2} \), the first term \( I(X_{1i}, X_{2i} ; Y_i) \) is upper bounded by \( C(\gamma_{1i}) \), the same expression as in the lower bound. The proof is a trivial extension of that in \cite{23}, theorem 4] and is skipped here. For the second term, by the same assumptions we have
\[
I(X_{1i} ; Y_i, Y_{1i} | X_{2i}) = h(Y_i, Y_{1i} | X_{2i}) - h(Z_i, Z_{1i})
\leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{(2\pi e)^2 E \text{ det} \text{cov}(Y_i, Y_{1i} | X_{2i})}{} \right)
- \frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{(2\pi e)^2 E \text{ det} \text{cov}(Z_i, Z_{1i})}{} \right) = C(\gamma_{3i})
\]
where
\[
E \text{ det} \text{cov}(Y_i, Y_{1i} | X_{2i})
= N N_1 (1 - |\rho_2|^2) + P_1 N N_1 (1 - |\alpha_i| |\bar{\beta}_i|)
\left( \frac{\left| G_i^{(1)} \right|^2}{N_1} + \frac{\left| G_i^{(2)} \right|^2}{N_1} - \frac{2}{N_1} \frac{\text{Re}\{G_i^{(1)} G_i^{(2)} \rho_2 \}}{N_1} \right)
\]
\[
E \text{ det} \text{cov}(Z_i, Z_{1i}) = N N_1 (1 - |\rho_2|^2)
\]
Due to space constraints, the details are omitted.

\section*{IV. Capacity of Degraded UWB Relay Channel}

\textbf{Theorem 3:} The delay-constrained capacity of the degraded frequency-selective block fading relay channel is
\[
C = \max_{\beta_0, \ldots, \beta_{k-1}} \min \left\{ \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{N} (G_i^{(1)})^2 P_i \right)
+ |G_i^{(3)}|^2 P_2 + 2 \sqrt{P_1 P_2} \text{Re}\left\{ \sqrt{N_1} (G_i^{(1)})^2 \rho_2 \right\} \right) \right) \right) \right)
= \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} \log \left( 1 + \frac{|G_i^{(2)}|^2 |\alpha_i| P_1}{N_1} \right)
\]
and dependency are equivalent terms, thus we find
\( p(y \mid y_1, x_1, x_2) = p(y \mid y_1, x_2) \) (30)

To adapt the general input-output relation (4) to this condition, we rewrite (4) as
\[
Y_{1i} = G_i^{(2)} X_{1i} + Z_{1i} \\
Y_i = \frac{G_i^{(1)}}{G_i^{(2)}} Y_{1i} + G_i^{(3)} X_{2i} + Z_{2i} \tag{31}
\]

where
\[ Z_{2i} = Z_i - \frac{G_i^{(1)}}{G_i^{(2)}} Z_{1i} \tag{32} \]

In order to hold (31), \( Z_{1i} \) and \( Z_{2i} \) should be independent for each \( i \). For normal distributed random variables correlation and dependency are equivalent terms, thus we find \( \rho_{zi} \) so that \( Z_{1i} \) and \( Z_{2i} \) become uncorrelated. This is achieved when
\[
\rho_{zi} = \left( \frac{G_i^{(1)}}{G_i^{(2)}} \right) * \sqrt{\frac{N_i}{N}} \tag{33} \]

**Achievability:** The achievable rate is resulted from the substitution of \( U_i = \sqrt{\frac{P_i}{K}} X_{1i} \) in the codebook of Theorem 1, i.e., by substituting \( \alpha_i = 1 \) in (7), (8) and (9).

**Converse:** The upper bound on the capacity of reversely degraded UWB relay channel can be obtained if we apply the condition (33) to the established upper bound (25) and to make the notations consistent, choosing \( E(X_{1i}X_{2i}) = \sqrt{\beta_i} P_1 P_2 \). By applying these substitutions the upper bound is reached and coincides with the lower bound as follows
\[
C = \sup_{\beta_0, \cdots, \beta_{K-1}} \min \left\{ \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} \log \left( 1 + \frac{|G_i^{(1)}|^2 P_i}{N} \right) \right\}
+ |G_i^{(3)}|^2 P_2 + 2\sqrt{P_1 P_2} \Re \left\{ \sqrt{\beta_i} G_i^{(1)} G_i^{(3)*} \right\} \tag{39}
\]

Now, we show that the first term in (39) is always greater than the second one. Consider \( S \) as the subtraction of the second term from the first term of (39), determined as
\[
S = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} C(\zeta_i) \tag{40}
\]

where
\[
\zeta_i = \frac{|G_i^{(1)}|^2 \beta_i P_i + |G_i^{(3)}|^2 P_2 + 2\sqrt{P_1 P_2} \Re \left\{ \sqrt{\beta_i} G_i^{(1)} G_i^{(3)*} \right\}}{N + K |G_i^{(1)}|^2 |\beta_i| P_i} \tag{41}
\]

We now show that \( \zeta_i \geq 0 \). The minimum value of \( \zeta_i \) happens when \( \sqrt{\beta_i} G_i^{(1)} G_i^{(3)*} \) is a real negative value, so considering the worst case, we choose
\[
\sqrt{\beta_i} = -\sqrt{\beta_i} \frac{|G_i^{(1)}|^2 |\beta_i| P_i}{|G_i^{(1)}|^2 |\beta_i| P_i} \tag{42}
\]

Now, the numerator of \( \zeta_i \) can be written as
\[
\left( |G_i^{(1)}| |\sqrt{\beta_i}| \sqrt{P_1} - |G_i^{(3)}| \sqrt{P_2} \right)^2 \geq 0 \tag{43}
\]

and the denominator of \( \zeta_i \) is always positive. Therefore, \( \zeta_i \geq 0 \) and \( S \geq 0 \). So, the minimum of the two terms of (39) is the second one and the capacity is achieved by maximizing the second term with respect to \( \beta_i \), which results in \( \beta_i = 0 \). This completes the proof of the Theorem 4.
as the relay moves toward the destination. The capacity of the direct transmission is also plotted in which the power of the source is assumed twice its power in the relay channel scenario for a more fair comparison. In Fig. 3, the upper bound for three different values of $\rho_{zi} = 0, 0.6, 0.9$ is plotted. It can be observed that the upper bound increases for higher values of correlation coefficients and also the peak value occurrence of the upper bound moves toward the destination.

CONCLUSION

In this paper, we first computed an achievable rate obtained with PDF coding scheme and the max-flow min-cut upper bound with correlated noises at the relay and destination for a relay channel with UWB links versus channel coefficients, transmitted powers and correlation coefficients of noises with the assumption of known CSI at the receiving terminals only. Then, by appropriately finding the corresponding noise correlation coefficients, we established the capacity of degraded and reversely degraded UWB relay channels. The UWB lower and upper bounds obtained here can be used for further investigation of the UWB relay channels, specially for the ones with known capacities.