Experimental Study of Cement - Sandstone/Shale - Brine - CO$_2$ Interactions

Susan A Carroll*, Walt W McNab and Sharon C Torres

Abstract

**Background:** Reactive-transport simulation is a tool that is being used to estimate long-term trapping of CO$_2$, and wellbore and cap rock integrity for geologic CO$_2$ storage. We reacted end member components of a heterolithic sandstone and shale unit that forms the upper section of the In Salah Gas Project carbon storage reservoir in Krechba, Algeria with supercritical CO$_2$, brine, and with/without cement at reservoir conditions to develop experimentally constrained geochemical models for use in reactive transport simulations.

**Results:** We observe marked changes in solution composition when CO$_2$ reacted with cement, sandstone, and shale components at reservoir conditions. The geochemical model for the reaction of sandstone and shale with CO$_2$ and brine is a simple one in which albrite, chlorite, illite and carbonate minerals partially dissolve and boehmite, smectite, and amorphous silica precipitate. The geochemical model for the wellbore environment is also fairly simple, in which alkaline cements and rock react with CO$_2$-rich brines to form an Fe containing calcite, amorphous silica, smectite and boehmite or amorphous Al(OH)$_3$.

**Conclusions:** Our research shows that relatively simple geochemical models can describe the dominant reactions that are likely to occur when CO$_2$ is stored in deep saline aquifers sealed with overlying shale cap rocks, as well as the dominant reactions for cement carbonation at the wellbore interface.

**Background**
Carbon dioxide is actively being stored at depth in a sandstone saline reservoir as part of the In Salah Gas Project in Krechba, Algeria [1]. It is one of few commercial scale CO$_2$ storage projects and serves as an important platform to study the scientific and technical issues for safe and effective long-term CO$_2$ storage in deep saline reservoirs [2-11].

Wellbores are a potential risk pathway for leakage of CO$_2$ from the storage reservoir to overlying drinking water aquifers and back into the atmosphere. Carbonation of cements, used in wellbores to seal off fluid flow from the reservoir, can bring about changes in permeability and alter the movement of fluids within the wellbore environment. Field, experimental and modeling studies suggest that carbonation of hydrated cements lowers porosity and has the potential to heal fractures within the cement [12-18].

Risk of leakage from a CO$_2$ storage reservoir would be significantly reduced if the CO$_2$ could be stored as a solid carbonate mineral and if these reactions improved the seal within the cap rock above the reservoir. Field and laboratory experiments have shown mineral dissolution in CO$_2$-rich brines leads to increased concentrations of Ca, Fe, and Mg and, in some cases, to the formation of carbonate minerals [19-24]. The amount of CO$_2$ stored as carbonate minerals over geologic times estimated from reactive transport simulations varies substantially and depends on the reaction rates and the amount of CO$_2$ injected into the subsurface [25-30]. The possibility of even small amounts of carbonate mineralization in shale cap rock may significantly improve seal integrity by reducing porosity. Simulation results suggest seal integrity is enhanced due to carbonate mineral precipitation after 100 years of reaction with CO$_2$-rich fluids [31]. Another modeling study predicts that redistribution of calcite within 0.1 m of the cap rock - reservoir interface effectively seals reservoir from the overlying strata [32].

The focus of this work was to determine the key geochemical reactions involving common cements used in
wellbore construction, formation mineralogy, and supercritical CO2 stored at the Krechba site. We reacted the end member components of the heterolithic sandstone and shale unit that forms the upper section of the carbon storage reservoir with supercritical CO2 and representative brine with and without cement at 95°C and 10 MPa in gold bag autoclaves. Separate cement experiments without CO2 were conducted to measure cement hydration at temperature prior to the injection of CO2. The experimental results can be used to develop geochemical models for estimating long-term trapping of CO2, and wellbore and cap rock integrity at the Krechba site.

**Methods**

**Materials**
The heterolithic sandstone and shale in units C10.2 and C10.3 form the upper section of the carbon storage reservoir at the Krechba Field, In Salah, Algeria. Reported mineralogy among 17 core samples collected from Krechba reservoir ranged from a quartz-dominated sandstone to a shale-like material containing abundant illite clay [33]. Iron-rich chlorite appears as coatings on quartz grains in the sandstone and in abundances as high as 30 percent by volume in the shale. Other aluminosilicates include minor quantities (e.g., typically less than 5 percent) of kaolinite and feldspar. Carbonate phases have been described as siderite [33], ankerite plus dolomite (unpublished mineralogical analyses conducted by Statoil), or calcite (unpublished XRD analyses of Krechba core samples). The shale end member, Sample 14, consisted of 44% illite, 30% chlorite, 20% quartz, 4% kaolinite, 2% feldspar and trace amounts of pyrite by weight. The sandstone end member, Sample 7, consisted of 88% quartz, 6% chlorite, 4% kaolinite and 2% siderite by weight. Limited availability of the heterolithic sandstone and shale necessitated the use of rock fragments in the experiments rather than a well-defined powdered size fraction.

The powdered class G oil well cement used in the experiments was provided by Mountain Cement Company and consists of 56% Ca3SiO5, 39% Ca2SiO4, 5% Ca3AlO4·5 + 0.5% Na2O and K2O by weight as determined by standard ASTM C 150. In some experiments small amounts of bentonite were added to the cement to reflect mixtures identified in well logs from the Krechba site (bentonite to cement ratio = 1:39 by weight). Any curing of the cement occurred in the reaction vessels at the experimental conditions. Combination of powdered cement and rock fragments did not compromise the results because the primary objective of the experiments was to determine the dominant geochemical reactions controlling the solution composition.

Initial solutions were distilled and deionized water, 0.13 m CaCl2, and synthetic Krechba brine consisting of 1.8 molal NaCl, 0.55 molal CaCl2, and 0.1 molal MgCl2. All salts used to synthesize the brines were reagent grade. The experiments were conducted in a synthetic brine to capture the major ion chemistry measured at the site. A more complex reservoir brine was not used to avoid masking relevant geochemical reactions. High purity liquid CO2 was pressurized at temperature and pressure to generate supercritical CO2 for the experiments.

**Cement Hydration Experiments**
Distilled and deionized, 0.13 m CaCl2, and synthetic Krechba solutions were used to determine ion activity products for cement hydration at different solid:solution ratios at 115 and 95°C (Table 1). Solutions and solids were reacted in teflon-lined Parr reaction vessels, sealed, and placed into an oven to maintain temperature. Sealed reaction vessels were quenched in cold water prior to taking filtered aqueous samples for chemical analyses. Solids were washed with distilled and deionized water and dried at 60°C prior to analysis by an environmental scanning

Table 1 Cement Hydration Experiments

| ID | Solid | Solution | Solid:Soln (g/g) | T °C | Days | pH(c,25) |
|----|-------|----------|-----------------|------|------|---------|
| G3 | A     | 0.13 m CaCl2 | 1:10           | 115  | 43   | 11.9    |
| G6 | A     | Brine     | 1:10           | 115  | 43   | not measured |
| G7 | B     | MQ water  | 1:10           | 115  | 58   | 12.2    |
| G8 | B     | MQ water  | 1:10           | 115  | 87   | 12.3    |
| G9 | B     | MQ water  | 2:10           | 115  | 58   | 12.3    |
| G10| B     | MQ water  | 2:10           | 115  | 87   | 12.1    |
| G11| B     | MQ water  | 1:10           | 95   | 74   | 12.3    |
| G12| B     | MQ water  | 2:10           | 95   | 43   | 12.3    |
| G13| B     | MQ water  | 2:10           | 95   | 74   | 12.1    |
| G14| B     | Brine     | 1:10           | 95   | 88   | 11.4    |
| G15| B     | Brine     | 2:10           | 95   | 88   | 12.3    |

A indicates Class G cement, B indicates Class G cement plus bentonite (39 g cement to 1 g bentonite), and Brine indicates 1.8 molal NaCl, 0.55 molal CaCl2 and 0.1 molal MgCl2 solution. Note that brine pH values are conditional (c) because of the high ionic strength.
electron microscopy with energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (ESEM/EDX) and powder x-ray diffraction (XRD).

Cement - Rock - Brine - CO2 Experiments

Static Dickson-type Au reactors housed in water-filled pressure vessels were used to react cement, sandstone, shale, synthetic brine and supercritical CO2 at 95°C and 10 MPa. Specific weights of cement, sandstone, shale, and brine are listed in Table 2. Coherent sandstone or shale rock fragments were used in the experiments due to limited availability from core. We monitored reaction kinetics and the approach to equilibrium by sampling the solution as a function of time. The reactor setup allows sequential sampling of the aqueous phase while the experiment is at pressure and temperature. All metals measured in solution were from the rock-fluid interactions, because the supercritical CO2 and the brine contact only gold or passivated titanium. After one month of reaction, supercritical CO2 was injected into the gold bag and reacted for an additional month. About 20 grams of supercritical CO2 were added to the reaction vessel to ensure excess CO2 during the reaction. To add the CO2, liquid CO2 was pressurized above the run pressure and injected into the reaction vessel through the sample tube. The liquid CO2 transitions to supercritical CO2 at the run pressure and temperature. The amount of CO2 injected was estimated from change in volume of the liquid CO2. Several brine samples were taken and analyzed for solution chemistry over the duration of the experiment. At the end of the experiment, the reaction vessel was cooled to room temperature, excess CO2 was removed, and solid reactants were rinsed with distilled and deionized water several times to remove brine. The solids were dried at 60°C prior to XRD and ESEM/EDX analysis. Samples for dissolved Al, Ca, Fe, Mg, and Si analyses were filtered, and directly diluted with acidified distilled and deionized water (using high purity HNO3). Samples for total dissolved inorganic carbon were injected directly into 1 N NaOH to trap the CO2, filtered to remove any solids that precipitated, and analyzed for dissolved inorganic carbon, calcium, and magnesium. Total dissolved carbon should be equal to the measured inorganic carbon in the filtered sample plus the amount of carbon trapped as calcite minerals in the NaOH extraction. Comparison of results from the NaOH extraction with estimates from Duan and Sun (2003) caused us to question the viability of using the extraction technique to quantify dissolved carbon in the experiments. Although the median value of the dissolved carbon concentrations estimated from the extraction technique (0.78 molal) agrees with the theoretical prediction (0.69 molal), there is a significant amount of scatter in the extracted values over time (ranging from 1.12 to 0.53 molal). The scatter largely correlates to changes in measured dissolved calcium, because calcium is predicted to be trapped as CaCO3 solid rather than some mixture of CaCO3 + Ca(OH)2 solids (any Mg is predicted to be trapped as Mg(OH)2 and was not considered in the NaOH extraction method). We have chosen to use the theoretical dissolved CO2 concentrations in the development of the geochemical model because of the uncertainty associated with the NaOH extraction chemistry. However, we report both the extraction and theoretical values, because caustic extractions are commonly used to quantify total dissolved CO2.

Analysis

Major and trace metals in the aqueous samples and the stock solution were analyzed using inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy (ICP-MS, Make/Model: Thermo Electron Corp/X Series Q-ICPMS). Samples were prepared volumetrically using an internal standard solution in 2% nitric acid. A fully quantitative analysis using a linear calibration curve based on known standards was performed. The internal standard was corrected for instrument drift and suppression from the sodium chloride matrix. Silica was run in collision cell technology (CCT) mode to avoid polyatomic interferences. Detection levels were established from duplicate blanks and serial dilution preparations. Matrix spike samples were analyzed for quality control. Detection limits were about 3, 0.2, 0.5, 4, and 0.35 ng/g for Ca, Mg, Al, Si, and Fe, respectively.

Total inorganic carbon (TIC) concentrations are determined using an automated OI Analytical Aurora 1030W Carbon Analyzer. The Aurora 1030W uses a

| Table 2 Cement-Rock-Brine-CO2 Experiments |
|------------------------------------------|
| ID | Cement (g) | Shale (g) | Sandstone (g) | Brine (g) | Days reacted before CO2 | Days reacted after CO2 |
|----|------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|------------------------|------------------------|
| GBCO2_1 | 4.0 | 280.5 | 11 | 30 |
| GBCO2_2 | 20.0 | 204.5 | 21 | 22 |
| 7CO2 | 5.6 | 301.2 | 33 | 28 |
| 14CO2 | 6.4 | 301.2 | 31 | 31 |
| GB7CO2 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 252.5 | 26 | 44 |
| GB14CO2 | 8.6 | 8.7 | 246.5 | 40 | 35 |

All experiments were conducted in 1.8 molal NaCl, 0.55 molal CaCl2 and 0.1 molal MgCl2 brine with 20 grams of supercritical CO2 at 95°C and 10 MPa.
syringe pump to transfer samples and reagents to a temperature-controlled reaction chamber. TIC samples are reacted with 5% phosphoric acid to evolve CO₂ gas purged by a stream of N₂ gas and quantified using a NDIR detector.

Solid mineralogy was determined from data collected from random orientation powder samples with a Scintag PAD V instrument using a Cu-Kα source at 45 kV and 35 mA from 5° to 70° 2θ in 0.02° steps. XRD cannot detect amorphous solids or minerals that are present at less than 2 wt%.

Unreacted and reacted samples were analyzed using a Quanta 200 Environmental Scanning Electron Microscope in low vacuum mode with EDX. Images were collected with secondary and backscatter detectors from pressures ranging from 0.23 to 0.90 torr and 20-25 kV. EDX was used to determine the local chemical composition of materials using at 20kV and 11 mm working distance. All analyses are semi-quantitative.

Geochemical Modeling
Solution compositions from the batch experiments were modeled using the PHREEQC 2.15.0 geochemical code [34], and the SUPCRT92 thermodynamic database [35] augmented by CEMDATA07v2 [36]. The CEMDATA provides Gibbs free energies, heat capacity, and volume data for cement phases as a function of temperature and pressure [37,38]. The standard state for minerals and pure water is unit activity, and for all aqueous species other than dissolved CO₂ is unit activity in a hypothetical 1 molal solution referenced to infinite dilution at any pressure and temperature. The dissolved CO₂ concentrations were calculated assuming equilibrium with fCO₂ estimated from CO₂ equation of state at 10 MPa [39]. No other mass balance reactions were corrected for pressure. This introduces an error of about ± 0.1 log K. pH was estimated from the forward model simulations. The B-dot ion interaction model was used to approximate the non-ideal behavior of solutions at elevated ionic strength and temperature. The B-dot equation is an extended form of the Debye-Hückel equation and was used in this study because it can be applied to NaCl based solutions with high ionic strengths (3 molal) over a wide range of temperature. However, it is generally recognized that the B-dot equation becomes less accurate at T > 0.5 molal. Despite these limitations, we chose to use B-dot equation to correction for species activity because the Pitzer equations are lacking for many elements at temperatures above 25°C. The use of the B-dot equation typically yields brines with slightly higher solution pH (= 0.1 pH units). The thermodynamic and kinetic inputs to the geochemical model for reaction of cement, sandstone and shale with CO₂-rich Na-Ca-Mg chloride brines are shown in Tables 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7.

| Phase | Sandstone | Shale |
|-------|-----------|------|
| Albite | 1.5% | 2% |
| Chlorite | 6% | 30% |
| Dolomite | 0.5% | 0.75% |
| Illite | 1.5% | 44% |
| Kaolinite | 4% | 4% |
| Quartz | 86% | 20% |
| Siderite | 1.5% | 0.75% |

(Ankerite was modeled as a 25 - 75 siderite-dolomite mixture for sandstone and a 50 - 50 siderite-dolomite mixture for the shale.)

All sandstone and shale reactions are assumed to be kinetically controlled and are modeled using

\[ r = \pm kA \left( \frac{LAP}{K_{sp}} \right) \]  

where \( r \) is the dissolution or precipitation rate per unit time per unit area, \( k \) is the kinetic rate constant, \( A \) is the mineral surface area, \( LAP \) is the ion activity product, and \( K_{sp} \) is the solubility constant. Surface area is adjusted to fit the solution composition (Table 4). All of reactive surface area was assumed to be available for reaction for the sandstone experiments, whereas only 10 percent of the shale reactive surface area was assumed to participate in reactions. The rate constant for Fe(OH)₃ precipitation in the sandstone experiments was fitted to the solution composition and is normalized the mineral moles (Table 7). All other rate constants are from Palandri and Kharaka [40] (Table 6):

\[ k = k_A \exp \left( \frac{E_{nA}}{R} \left( \frac{n}{7} - \frac{1}{7} \frac{T}{298.15} \right) \right) + k_H \exp \left( \frac{E_{nH}}{R} \left( \frac{n}{7} - \frac{1}{7} \frac{T}{298.15} \right) \right) \]  

where \( R \) is the universal gas constant, \( T \) the absolute temperature, \([H^+]\) the activity of the hydrogen ion, \( n \) an exponential factor, and \( k_{25}^{nA} \) and \( k_{25}^{nH} \) the neutral and acid rate constants at 25°C and \( E_{nA} \) and \( E_{nH} \) the neutral and acid activation energies, respectively.

| Phase | Surface Area (cm²/g) |
|-------|---------------------|
| Boehmite | 0.02 | 0 |
| Smectite | 9505 | 317.7 |
| Ripidolite | 224.2 | 87.9 |
| Dolomite | 0.5 | 1.0 |
| Illite | 9505 | 317.7 |
| Kaolinite | 18.3 | 17.9 |
| Low-albite | 45.3 | 33.4 |
| Quartz | 9.1 | 39.1 |
| Siderite | 0.4 | 2.2 |
A few additional constraints to the geochemical model were needed to match Al, Fe, and Si solution chemistry and involve the precipitation of secondary phases. We added dissolved O₂ to account for the attenuation of the initial spike in Fe in the sandstone experiment as secondary precipitation of Fe(OH)₃. Quartz precipitation is suppressed and dissolved Si concentrations are limited by chalcedony equilibrium with no kinetic controls. Smectite precipitation is tied to illite surface area and is modeled as Ca-beidellite, Ca₀.₁₆₅Al₂.₃₃Si₃.₆₇O₁₀(OH)₂. Boehmite, AlO(OH), precipitation is suppressed until the solution is slightly supersaturated (log SI = 1). Uptake of Fe(II) during cement carbonation is modeled as an ideal continuous FeCO₃ - CaCO₃ solid solution. No other solid solutions are included in this model. We model cement carbonation from an assemblage of portlandite, pseudowollastonite, brucite, hydrotalcite, Fe-hydrogarnet, and anhydrite estimated from the hydration of initial

### Table 5 Geochemical model for reaction of cement, sandstone and shale with CO₂ and Na, Ca, Mg chloride brines

| Phase                  | Mass Balance                                                                 | Log K 95°C | Log SI 95°C |
|------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-------------|
| Portlandite            | Ca(OH)₂ + 2H⁺ ⇌ Ca⁺⁺ + 2H₂O                                                 | 18.30      | 0.04 ± 0.04 |
| Pseudowollastonite     | CaSiO₄ + 2H⁺ ⇌ SiO₂ + Ca⁺⁺ + H₂O                                            | 10.97      | 0.3 ± 1.3   |
| Brucite                | Mg(OH)₂ + 2H⁺ ⇌ Mg⁺⁺ + 2H₂O                                                 | 12.65      | 0.7 ± 0.1   |
| ¹Hydrotalcite          | Mg₉Al₂O₇(OH)₁₂H₂O + 14H⁺ ⇌ 2Al⁺⁺ + 4Mg⁺⁺ + 17H₂O                           | 53.67      | 2.8 ± 0.2   |
| ¹Fe-Hydrogarnet        | Ca₂Fe(OH)₁₂ + 12H⁺ ⇌ 3Ca⁺⁺ + 2Fe³⁺ + 12H₂O                                | 68.50      | -3.4 ± 2.7  |
| Anhydrite              | CaSO₄ ⇌ Ca⁺⁺ + SO₄²⁻                                                        | -5.08      | -0.3 ± 0.1  |

### Table 6 Rate parameters from Palandri and Kharaka [40]

| Phase                  | Neutral k₂⁵°C (mol/m²/s) | E_a (mol/KJ) | Acid k₂⁵°C (mol/m²/s) | E_a (mol/KJ) | N | Base k₂⁵°C (mol/m²/s) | E_a (mol/KJ) | n |
|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|---|-----------------------|--------------|---|
| aBoehmite              | -11.5                     | 61.2         | -7.7                   | 47.5         | 0.99 | -16.7                 | 80.1         | -0.78 |
| aDolomite              | -7.5                      | 52.2         | -3.2                   | 36.1         | 0.50 |                      |              |    |
| aFe(OH)₃               | -12.5                     | 88           | -11.1                  | 88.0         | 0.50 |                      |              |    |
| Ripedolite 14Å         | -12.8                     | 35           | -11.0                  | 236          | 0.34 | -16.5                 | 58.9         | -0.40 |
| Illite                 | -13.2                     | 222          | -11.3                  | 659          | 0.78 | -17.0                 | 17.9         | -0.47 |
| Kaoalinite             | -12.6                     | 698          | -10.2                  | 65.0         | 0.46 | -15.6                 | 71.0         | -0.57 |
| Low-albite             | -14.0                     | 87.7         | -3.8                   | 45.0         | 0.90 |                      |              |    |
| Quartz                 | -8.9                      | 62.76        | -11.0                  | 236          | 0.34 | -16.5                 | 58.9         | -0.40 |
| Siderite               | -12.8                     | 35           | -11.0                  | 236          | 0.34 | -16.5                 | 58.9         | -0.40 |

aGibbsite dissolution rates were applied for boehmite. a²Fe(OH)₃ rate was estimated from match to the solution chemistry normalized to mineral moles and is listed in Table 7.
anhydrous cement composition using the experimental cement to brine ratios (Table 2). We use pseudowollastonite to represent the amorphous hydrated calcium silicate ("CSH") because its solubility is consistent with our experimental measurements. Brucite and hydrotalcite were needed to account for the observed removal of Mg from the brine during cement hydration and its enhanced solubility during carbonation, even though these phases were not identified in the XRD pattern. Attempts to model the poorly crystalline phase as Mg-Ca silica hydrate introduced excessive amounts of dissolved silica. Anhydrite accounts for the sulfate noted in the anhydrous components.

Carbonation of the hydrated mineral assemblage was modeled with calcite, amorphous SiO2 (as chalcedony), Fe(OH)3, and boehmite for cement: brine < 1:50 or amorphous Al(OH)3 for cement: brine > 1:25 (Table 2). Fitted rate constants for the cement phases reflect the varied extent of cement hydration and carbonation in each experiment and are normalized to mineral moles (Table 7). It is important to note that the fitted reaction rates are conditional to these experiments and likely represent diffusion of the reactants into the cement, which had a tendency to solidify at the bottom of the reaction vessel even though the experiments were rocked.

Model Uncertainty
It is important to note that the lithology and cement geochemical models represent possible realizations of the dominant geochemical reactions. The non-uniqueness of the lithology model reflects the wide range of minerals that can be used to describe major element chemistry and was made apparent in our efforts to fit the dissolved Si prior to the injection of CO2 in the sandstone experiment. The best matches required either using unrealistically high illite in the sandstone (higher than in the shale) or alternatively excessive high quartz surface areas (which were also higher than in the shale). Presumably, some combination of aluminosilicate dissolution and enhanced quartz dissolution (in the sandstone) is responsible for the Si accumulation prior to CO2 injection. Compositional variations among carbonates, chlorite, and illite could not be considered owing to a lack of thermodynamic data. Additionally, phases such as boehmite or Fe(OH)3 represent idealizations of aluminum- or ferric oxyhydroxides that may, in reality, be characterized by different stoichiometries or crystal structure than those phases represented in the thermodynamic database.

A significant number of factors contribute to the uncertainty in the cement carbonation geochemical model. Mineralogy, including the pertinent stoichiometry and equilibrium constants, of the hydrated cement is poorly constrained by the experimental data. Moreover, the mineralogical sink for magnesium is unconstrained and may or may not be associated with the CSH phase. Solid solutions may be important for a number of phases in the hydrated cement and carbonated mineral datasets as well as in the reservoir lithology. We employ either pure phase or ideal solid solution because data to constrain non-ideal solid solutions are lacking.

Experimental Results
Cement Hydration at 95 and 115°C
Cement hydration with reservoir brines altered both the brine chemistry and the hydrated cement phases in experiments at 95 and 115°C (Table 8). Our results show that when Class G cement reacts with distilled water or 0.13 molal CaCl2 brine, the water composition is largely controlled by the solubility of portlandite and an amorphous calcium silica hydrate (CSH). Portlandite was identified as the only crystalline phase by XRD. ESEM analysis also showed crystalline portlandite, as well as an
amorphous calcium silicate, which we assume to be CSH (Figure 1).

The storage reservoir brine is likely to be distinct from the dilute waters used to mix the cement prior to injection in the well. The experiments in synthetic brine show that mineral assemblage at the cement - brine interface will be different than in the interior of the cement. The primary difference is that Mg has a very low solubility when the brine reacts with the anhydrous cement. Cement hydration produced a less alkaline and Mg-poor brine (Mg = 10^{-5} molal). Although the solution was supersaturated with respect to brucite, Mg(OH)_{2}, the resulting Mg-bearing phase was poorly crystalline and could not be identified by XRD.

There is a large amount of uncertainty associated with the identification of stable or metastable cement minerals in the wellbore environment, because cements can range in composition and are often amorphous. Possible cement phases include anhydrous belite (Ca_2SiO_4) which was present as a residual reactant in most of the experiments, and CSH phases such as hillebrandite (Ca_2SiO_3(OH)_2*0.17H_2O), jennite (Ca_{1.67}SiO_2(OH)_{3.33}:0.43H_2O), and tobermorite-CSH (Ca_{0.83}SiO_2(OH)_{1.7}*:0.5H_2O). Average ion activity products calculated from the solution chemistry at 95 and 115°C are listed in Table 9. It is likely that cements will alter to crystalline phases with time, because the transformation from amorphous to stable phases has been observed at 150°C.

Table 8  Measured solution composition for cement hydration and the experiment end

| ID  | Al molal | Ca molal | Fe molal | Mg molal | Si molal | F molal | Cl molal | NO_3 molal | SO_4^2- molal |
|-----|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------|-----------|-------------|
| G3  | 1.39E-05| 0.109   | 3.68E-07| ND      | 1.73E-05|        |         |           |             |
| G6  | 1.91E-05| 4.68E-01| 9.64E-07| 1.34E-05| 4.87E-06|        |         |           |             |
| G7  | 1.70E-05| 7.83E-03| 1.07E-07| ND      | 8.53E-06| 5.86E-05| 1.73E-05| 2.38E-05  | 1.81E-03    |
| G8  | 1.89E-05| 6.62E-03| 2.21E-07| ND      | 3.99E-05| 6.01E-05| 1.66E-04| 2.21E-05  | 1.77E-03    |
| G9  | 3.30E-05| 4.42E-03| 6.30E-08| ND      | 9.89E-06| 8.06E-05| 1.54E-05| 2.91E-05  | 3.64E-03    |
| G10 | 2.76E-05| 6.01E-03| 7.99E-08| ND      | 3.4E-05 | 1.2E-04 | 2.30E-05| 2.60E-05  | 3.85E-03    |
| G11 | 2.05E-05| 7.82E-03| 7.30E-08| ND      | 3.30E-05| 5.15E-05| 2.42E-05| 2.43E-05  | 2.33E-03    |
| G12 | 4.22E-05| 5.74E-03| 6.89E-08| ND      | 8.56E-06| 7.06E-05| 1.93E-05| 2.59E-05  | 4.10E-03    |
| G13 | 3.12E-05| 5.90E-03| 7.43E-08| ND      | 5.31E-05| 6.96E-05| 3.89E-05| 2.77E-05  | 4.85E-03    |
| G14 | 3.05E-06| 5.88E-01| 1.46E-06| 5.41E-06| 1.89E-07|        |         |           |             |
| G15 | 3.35E-06| 5.82E-01| 1.38E-06| 5.95E-06| 2.05E-07|        |         |           |             |

Blank values indicate that the ions were not measured. ND indicates concentrations were below detection.

Figure 1  ESEM images of Class G cement reacted in 0.13 molal CaCl_2 solution showing crystalline portlandite, residual anhydrous Ca_2SiO_4 and amorphous CSH.

a. Amorphous CSH
b. Alteration of anhydrous cement to amorphous CSH

Figure 1 ESEM images of Class G cement reacted in 0.13 molal CaCl_2 solution showing crystalline portlandite, residual anhydrous Ca_2SiO_4 and amorphous CSH.
for tobermorite [41]. Given the amorphous nature of CSH in our experiments, we have chosen to model it as pseudowollastonite (CaSiO3) because measured solution compositions are near pseudowollastonite equilibrium (Table 5).

**Cement - Rock - Brine - CO2 Experiments**

In this section we describe the experimental results from the reaction of supercritical CO2 and synthetic brine with reservoir rock, cap rock, and wellbore cement. The sandstone and shale used in the experiments are meant to represent storage reservoir and the cap rock respectively (although the materials themselves are from the heterolithic sandstone and shale unit that forms the upper section of the carbon storage reservoir at the Krechba Field, In Salah, Algeria). Interpretation of the rate and solubility controlling reactions will be discussed in Geochemical Model.

**Sandstone - brine - CO2**

The sandstone consists of tightly carbonate-cemented quartz grains with about 7 wt % chlorite lining the pores [33]. Figures 2 and 3 show the solution profiles with time and images of the unreacted and reacted sandstone. Reaction of sandstone with brine produced a fairly neutral solution with low dissolved CO2, dissolved Ca and Mg near the initial brine concentrations, dissolved Si and Fe that increased slowly with time, and very low dissolved Al. Injection of supercritical CO2 into the reaction vessel produced a marked increase in dissolved CO2, Si, and Fe, with no change in the dissolved Ca and Mg.

We see very little indication of alteration of the sandstone, caused by the CO2-rich brines at the experiment end by ESEM/EDX analysis (Figure 5). We detect only small precipitates on the sandstone surface and in the suspension, which may have formed when the sample was quenched from 95°C to room temperature. Particle size was too small to confirm the chemical composition with EDX.

**Cement - brine - CO2**

Cement altered to aragonite, calcite, and amorphous silica by the CO2-rich brines. XRD analyses show aragonite, calcite, and residual anhydrous Ca2SiO4. We assume that Si from the CSH phase was altered to amorphous silica. Figures 6 and 7 show the change in solution composition for the reaction of cement, brine, and supercritical CO2 at 95°C and 10 MPa as solution pH (95°C), total dissolved CO2, Ca, Mg, Si, Fe, and Al. These experiments had solid to brine ratios of 1:68 and 1:10 on g/g basis. Trends in dissolved Ca and Mg suggest that starting materials may not have been fully hydrated prior to the injection of CO2. Extrapolation of the linear decrease in Mg to values measured in the solubility experiments, suggest that the cements would fully equilibrate with the brine within 20 days of reaction at 95°C. The lack of complete hydration is of little consequence because the cement system is very reactive in brines with supercritical CO2. Upon injection of supercritical CO2, there was a marked increase in dissolved CO2, dissolved Ca decreased, dissolved Mg increased toward their initial brine concentration, dissolved Si increased to a constant value, and dissolved Fe peaked rapidly to a constant concentration. Dissolved Fe peaked upon injection of CO2, dropped to a minimum value and then increased linearly with time. No abrupt changes were observed in dissolved Ca, Mg, or Al.

Secondary precipitates were either amorphous to XRD or present in amounts below the XRD detection limit for crystalline phases to be identified. ESEM images show relatively large amounts of silica and iron precipitates as well as thin hexagonal sheet silicates (Figure 3). It is not possible to identify the composition with EDX because the beam samples an area larger than the surface precipitates. It is also possible that the precipitates formed as the solution was cooled prior to taking apart the reaction vessel and recovering the solids for analysis.

### Table 9 Calculated ion activity products (IAP) for select CSH phases

| Phase               | Mass Balance                                      | Log IAP 95°C | Log IAP 115°C |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Belite              | C3A3SiO4 + 4H+ = 2Ca2+ + SiO2(aq) + 2H2O          | 296 ± 12     | 289 ± 0.4    |
| Hillibrandite       | C2A8SiO7(OH)7 *0.2H2O + 4H+ = 2Ca2+ + SiO2(aq) + 3.2H2O | 296 ± 12     | 289 ± 0.4    |
| Jennite - CSH       | C2A8SiO7(OH)7 *0.43H2O + 3.33H+ = 1.67Ca2+ + SiO2(aq) + 1.76H2O | 278 ± 14     | 273 ± 0.5    |
| Tobermorite         | C3A3SiO7(OH)7 *0.5H2O + 1.66H+ = 0.83Ca2+ + SiO2(aq) + 2.2H2O | 117 ± 0.7    | 111 ± 0.5    |
| Pseudowollastonite  | CaSiO3 + 2H+ = SiO2 + Ca2+ + H2O                   | 113 ± 1.3    | 115 ± 0.5    |

**Shale - brine - CO2**

Figures 4 and 5 show the solution profiles with time and images of the unreacted and reacted shale. Similar to the sandstone experiment, reaction of shale with brine produced a fairly neutral solution with low dissolved CO2, dissolved Ca and Mg near the initial brine composition, dissolved Si that increased slowly, and very low dissolved Fe and Al. Injection of supercritical CO2 into the reaction vessel produced a marked increase in dissolved CO2, Si, Fe, and Al, with no change in the dissolved Ca and Mg.

We see very little indication of alteration of the shale by CO2-rich brines at the experiment end by ESEM/EDX analysis (Figure 5). We detect only small precipitates on the shale surface and in the suspension, which may have formed when the sample was quenched from 95°C to room temperature. Particle size was too small to confirm the chemical composition with EDX.

---
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We suspect that dissolution was ultimately limited by diffusion at the cement-solution interface. Less than 40% of the cement reacted with CO₂-rich brine based on the dissolved Mg. We estimate the extent of the cement carbonation reaction from the recovery of Mg in solution, because the brines are undersaturated with respect to magnesite (MgCO₃) and there is no indication of Mg in the carbonate precipitates. Another
Figure 3 ESEM images of reacted sandstone. (A) Unreacted sandstone consisted of quartz, carbonate cement, and chlorite which lines the pore spaces. Reaction of sandstone with CO$_2$-rich brine produced (B) aluminum hydroxide or aluminosilicate reaction products deposited on the sandstone surface and (C-D) coagulated Si-rich and Fe-rich precipitates in the brine. Reaction of the sandstone with cement and CO$_2$-rich brine produced (E) Fe-bearing CaCO$_3$ precipitates.
difference between this experiment and the one at lower cement: brine was that the dissolved Ca increased with time. Cement - sandstone - brine - CO₂

Comparison of the solution chemistry profiles from the cement and cement - sandstone experiments suggest that cement carbonation will drive reaction chemistry in the wellbore environment where the cement contacts sandstone geology (Figures 2 and 8). In the first phase of the experiment, cement hydration produced alkaline solutions with elevated Ca and depleted Mg. The cement mineral assemblage underwent rapid carbonation when
supercritical CO2 was injected into the brine. Dissolved CO2 increased by several orders of magnitude to values between 0.6 and 0.8 molal, dissolved Ca decreased, dissolved Mg increased to the initial brine concentration, dissolved Si also increased to a constant value, and dissolved Al was quite low.

Despite the dominance for the cement carbonation reactions, there is a chemical signature from the sandstone. Upon injection of the CO2, the dissolved Fe increased by 3 orders of magnitude to a peak concentration, then decreased over time to a constant value. This is in sharp contrast to the continued increase in dissolved Fe when sandstone was reacted with CO2-rich brines. Qualitative EDX analyses show some Fe in rhombahedral and bladed shaped calcium carbonate alteration products (Figure 3). Fe was also detected in the thin bladed micron-sized silicates that are either residual chlorite or a secondary smectite or iron hydroxide. Cr is also detected in these micron-sized crystals.

Cement - shale - brine - CO2
Similar to cement - sandstone - CO2 experiment, the cement carbonation chemistry drives the dominant alteration products when shale is reacted with cement and CO2-rich brines (Figure 9). Cement hydration in this experiment was analogous to the other experiments, producing an alkaline solution with elevated Ca and depleted Mg. Reaction of supercritical CO2, brine, shale and cement yielded Mg, Si, and Fe profiles that are different from their respective profiles in the cement and
cement - sandstone experiments. About 80% of the Mg removed from the brine during the cement hydration phase of the experiment was recovered in the solution at the experiment end suggesting that 80% of the bulk cement was carbonated. Dissolved Si increased to a level below that observed for experiments with cement and cement - sandstone. Dissolved Fe approached a value similar to the final concentrations measured in the cement - sandstone experiments, and dissolved Al was quite low.
SEM images show that sheet silicates were altered when the shale reacts with the cement and CO₂-rich brines (Figure 5). Extensive dissolution groves formed along the edges of the sheet silicates and fibrous precipitates formed on the planar surfaces of the sheet silicates, in addition to calcium carbonate precipitation from cement carbonation.

Geochemical Model

Geochemical modeling was used to identify a plausible set of reactions consistent from (1) the reported mineralogy from the Kreecha reservoir, (2) the changes in brine chemistry observed during each of the experiments, and (3) the alteration products identified at the end of the
experiments. Our objective in creating the geochemical model was to preserve key attributes, such as mineral composition and dissolution rates, across all experiments to better constrain conceptual models for the assessment of long-term CO$_2$ trapping mechanisms and wellbore and cap rock integrity using reactive-transport simulations. It is important to note that the geochemical model represents one realization that describes six experiments. Details of the modeling approach and related uncertainties can be found in Modeling Uncertainty.
Sandstone Reservoir and Shale Cap Rock Geochemical Model

The geochemical model for the reaction of sandstone and shale with CO₂ and brine is a simple one, in which chlorite, illite, albite, quartz and carbonate minerals partially dissolve and boehmite, smectite, Fe(OH)₃ and amorphous silica precipitate (Table 5). The same geochemical model is used to describe both the storage reservoir and the cap rock, because the mineralogy is the same for both rock types, although the relative proportion of the minerals differs.

Comparison of the measured and simulated data shows that this simple model adequately describes the...
experiments (Figures 2 and 4). In both experiments dolomite dissolution predicts dissolved Ca and Mg to within 5% of the experimental values. Upon injection of CO\textsubscript{2} into the sandstone experiment dissolved Si from albite, chlorite, and lesser amounts of illite dissolution is offset by silica precipitation once chalcedony saturation is exceeded and some smectite precipitation. In the sandstone experiment small amounts of illite limit the amount of smectite precipitation, which is tied to the illite surface area. However, in the shale experiment, where there is significantly more illite (44%) than in the sandstone experiment (1.5%), smectite precipitation effectively limits the dissolved Si to concentrations below chalcedony saturation. Pre-CO\textsubscript{2} and the abrupt changes in dissolved Si, possibly from the dissolution of fines that occurred when CO\textsubscript{2} was first injected into the sandstone experiment, are not captured in the model fits. Both siderite and chlorite dissolution contribute to the dissolved Fe concentrations in both experiments. The sharp decrease in dissolved Fe in the sandstone experiment can be modeled as Fe(OH)\textsubscript{3} precipitation and the depletion of dissolved oxygen present in the stock solutions that were prepared at atmospheric conditions. Any dissolved oxygen appears to have been quickly consumed in the shale experiment as no concentration peaks were observed. The low dissolved aluminum concentrations are a product of secondary precipitation of boehmte, kaolinite, and smectite.

Wellbore Geochemical Model
We derive the wellbore geochemical model by combining the lithologic model with cement hydration and carbonation models described below. Carbonation of the hydrated cement assemblage was modeled with a set of carbonate minerals, amorphous SiO\textsubscript{2} (as chalcedony), Fe(OH)\textsubscript{3}, and boehmite or amorphous Al(OH)\textsubscript{3} (dependent on the cement: brine; Table 5, Figures 6 and 7). Comparison of the measured and simulated data shows that this simple model adequately describes the data and captures the effects of reacting varying amounts of cement with the CO\textsubscript{2}-rich brine. At low solid to brine ratios (1:68 g/g), calcite precipitation results in a decrease in dissolved Ca, brucite and hydrogarnet dissolution result in the recovery of dissolved Mg to initial values, and SiO\textsubscript{2}, Fe(OH)\textsubscript{3} and boehmite precipitation limit the amount of dissolved Si, Fe, and Al as CSH, Fe-hydrogarnet and hydrotalcite dissolved during the carbonation process. At higher solid to brine ratios (1:10 g/g), where roughly 60% more cement reacted with brine (based on percent recovery of dissolved Mg and the initial amount of cement), the model captures the increase in dissolved Ca with cement carbonation and higher dissolved Al concentrations when amorphous Al (OH)\textsubscript{3} is used to control Al solubility. Recall, that rates used here are conditional to the experiments and scale with dissolved Mg recovery.

The combination of the lithology - brine - CO\textsubscript{2} and cement carbonation models reproduces brine chemistry evolution observed during the carbonation phases of the composite experiments (Figures 8 and 9). As might be expected, cement carbonation dominates the geochemical reactions in the wellbore environment, largely because cement reactivity masks contributions from the much less reactive sandstone and shale minerals. Dissolved Ca can be accounted for by the carbonation of portlandite and CSH. Dissolved Mg can be accounted for by dissolution of brucite and hydrotalcite (where the extent of cement carbonation is fit to the proportion of Mg recovered). Although chalcedony precipitation accounts the bulk of Si during carbonation, the higher inputs of Si and Ca result in smectite precipitation in both the cement - sandstone and cement - shale experiments. This model result agrees with the appreciable amount of smectite observed in the cement - shale experiment. One added parameter specific to the lithology - cement - brine - CO\textsubscript{2} experiments was the introduction of a ferroan calcite solid solution, which limited the dissolved Fe from chlorite dissolution in the sandstone and shale experiments.

Conclusions
Our research shows that relatively simple geochemical models can describe the dominant reactions that will occur when CO\textsubscript{2} is stored in deep saline aquifers sealed with overlying shale cap rocks, and when CO\textsubscript{2} reacts at the interface between cement and reservoir and shale cap rock. Although the experiments and modeling reported here are specific to the CO\textsubscript{2} storage at the Krevcha site, the model may be applicable to other storage sites with similar geology. Development of these relatively simple geochemical models is needed to assess long-term CO\textsubscript{2} trapping mechanisms, cap rock and wellbore integrity in more computationally intensive reactive-transport simulations that couple chemistry, flow, and possibly geomechanics. As is expected, Al/Fe silicate dissolution drives the geochemical alterations within the reservoir and cap rock pore space. Addition of CO\textsubscript{2} lowers the pH and promotes silicate dissolution and amorphous silica, smectite and boehmite precipitation. The dissolved Fe may be a source of long-term mineral trapping of CO\textsubscript{2} and the precipitation of secondary Fe-carbonates, clays and hydroxides could alter reservoir and seal permeability by clogging pores and fracture networks. In agreement with other studies we find that alkaline cements are highly reactive in the presence of CO\textsubscript{2}-rich brines and are quickly transformed to carbonate minerals and amorphous silica. These reactions can be easily modeled as
the transformation of portlandite, and Ca- and Mg-silicates to aragonite or calcite and amorphous silica. Finally, we find that dissolved Mg common in deep saline brines will react with the wellbore cement to form poorly-crystalline solids. Additional research is required to assess mineral structure of the Mg-rich cement phase, as it could not be identified in this study and to assess what the impact of the Mg - induced alteration may have on wellbore integrity.
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