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Abstract

Background

Tick-borne diseases (TBD) and dirofilariasis are currently not under surveillance in most Latin American countries, and there is a significant lack of studies describing the current situation in most endemic areas, including Colombia. Seroprevalence studies are crucial for understanding the epidemiology of these vector-borne diseases.

Methods

A serosurvey for TBD and dirofilariasis amongst 100 dogs was carried out in the municipality of Pereira, located in the Coffee-Triangle region, Colombia. Samples were tested using a rapid assay test system (SNAP® 4Dx®); based on an enzyme immunoassay technique, screening for antibodies to Anaplasma phagocytophilum/platys (sensitivity 99.1%), Borrelia burgdorferi s.l. (98.8%), and Ehrlichia canis/ewingii (96.2%) by using specific antigens, and checking for Dirofilaria immitis antigen based on specific antibodies (99.2%). Bivariate analyses were performed on Stata®14, significant p < 0.05.

Results

Global seroprevalence to the selected vector-borne pathogens was 74% (95%CI 65–83%). The highest seroprevalence was found for Ehrlichia canis/ewingii (74%), followed by Anaplasma phagocytophilum/platys (16%). Seropositivity for Borrelia spp. and Dirofilaria spp. was 0%. All Anaplasma spp. seropositive dogs showed co-detection of Ehrlichia spp. (16%). Seroprevalence was significantly higher among dogs from families of lower socioeconomic status / level (I, 86%), followed by level II (74%), and III (36%) (p = 0.001); no seropositive dogs were found in for levels IV and V (higher socioeconomic status). All dogs exhibiting anorexia (12%) were invariably seropositive (100%) (p = 0.029). Seroprevalence was higher amongst those showing mucocutaneous paleness (95%) compared to those without paleness (68%) (p = 0.013) (OR = 9.3; 95%CI 1.18–72.9). There was high variability in seroprevalence through the studied areas, ranging from 0% (La Libertad Park) up to Combia, Cesar Nader, Las Brisas and Saturno localities (100%) (p = 0.033).

Conclusions

Given the high seroprevalence obtained in an area with documented presence of ticks, there is a potential risk for zoonotic transmission to humans. Further seroprevalence studies in humans are needed to assess the prevalence of infections. Poverty is highly associated with these tick-borne pathogens in Pereira, as shown in the present study.
Background

Tick-borne diseases (TBD) are an important group of infectious diseases that may affect both animals and humans, particularly in tropical and subtropical regions of the world. Amongst these diseases are Lyme borreliosis, spotted fever group rickettsioses, Colorado tick fever, monocytic ehrlichiosis, tularemia, granulocytic anaplasmosis, amongst others [1, 2, 3].

Among the most vulnerable populations for these vector-borne diseases [4] are dogs [5, 6, 7]. Multiple studies worldwide have assessed the prevalence of such diseases in canine populations [8]. However, many countries still lack detailed studies, with many aspects in relation to their epidemiology that remain yet to be clarified, including many societal aspects [9, 10, 11].

Unfortunately, TBD, such as ehrlichiosis, anaplasmosis, and dirofilariasis, are not reportable and currently not under surveillance in most Latin American countries [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. In addition, there is a significant gap of knowledge pertaining their circulation across endemic areas in certain countries, such as Colombia [18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. This is why seroprevalence studies remain crucial to better understand the diverse epidemiological aspects of these vector-borne diseases.

Herein, we present a prospective study aimed to evaluate the seroprevalence of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum/platys, Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato (s.l.), *Ehrlichia canis/ewingii*, and *Dirofilaria immitis* infection and scrutinize on the main associated factors related to the epidemiology of tick-borne diseases amongst dogs inhabiting the municipality of Pereira, Risaralda department, Colombia, in 2020.

Methods

Pereira is the main city of the Coffee-Triangle region, which includes three departments (first administrative territory level) and 53 municipalities (second administrative territory level). Pereira is the capital of Risaralda (967,780 habitants in 2020), a department surrounded by six other western departments (Antioquia, Caldas, Tolima, Quindio, Valle del Cauca and Choco) [23]. Pereira’s landscape embraces both urban and rural areas. The first consisting of 20 communities (the city) and the second by 12 corregiments (sub-municipalities) (both tertiary administrative territory level) (Fig. 1). As per the National Statistics Department (DANE, www.dane.gov.co) the total population for 2020 reached 477,027 inhabitants. The metropolitan area includes Dosquebradas and La Virginia municipalities (Fig. 1), with a reported 709,338 inhabitants for 2020. The communities of Rio Otun, Centro, San Joaquin, Del Café, Boston, El Oso, Consota and Cuba, are the most populated areas of the Pereira municipality, making up for 51% of its population (Fig. 2). The municipality of Pereira extends over an area of 702 Km² (4°48’51”N 75°41’40”W). The climate is tropical with an annual median temperature of 18.8°C (median minimum of 15.8°C, median maximum of 26.3°C).

Based on the municipality dog’s census, we calculated a minimum sample of 98 dogs to be assessed. Finally, 100 were included distributed in four urban communes (out of 20) and four rural corregiments (out of 12) of the municipality Pereira, and its neighboring municipality of Dosquebradas (Fig. 1). From
those owners who voluntarily accepted to participate with their dogs, only one dog was included, regardless of where co-living was in the same house.

Blood samples from each dog was individually collected from the radial vein into a sterile vacuum tube (Vacutainer, Becton, Dickinson and Company Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) without anticoagulant at morning time. In the evening, samples were centrifuged at 1300–1800 × g for 20 min, followed by serum separation from the clot.

To determine selected-vector-borne pathogens exposure, a rapid enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (SNAP® 4Dx® Plus Test Kit, IDEXX Laboratories, Inc, Westbrook, ME, USA) was used following the manufacturer's instructions [8]. This qualitative test allowed us to simultaneously detect the presence of circulating antibodies (IgG and IgM) against immunodominant proteins of *E. canis/ewingii* (p30 and p30-1, sensitivity of 96.2%), *A. phagocytophilum/platys* (p44/MSP2, sensitivity of 99.1 %), *B. burgdorferi* s.l. (C6, the sensitivity of 98.8%), and *D. immitis* antigens (antigens principally produced by adult females) based on specific antibodies (sensitivity of 99.2%). The SNAP® 4Dx® Plus Test Kit showed a specificity of ~100% for the above mentioned microorganisms [8, 24, 25]. 4Dx® Plus Test Kit has been validated in dogs [8, 24, 25].

Besides the general demographic, clinical and laboratory surveys, a questionnaire for social variables related to living conditions and households was also performed, including aspects such as: house vulnerability (defined according to five possible types of houses, from those with luxury and appropriate sanitary conditions to those without luxury and inappropriate sanitary conditions, last category considered as a vulnerable house); house location (in rural or urban areas); environmental elements close proximity to the house (e.g. small lakes, small rivers or wetlands); materials employed in the building of the walls (e.g. blocks, bricks, cement, wood, cardboard-tin) and the floors (e.g. cement, wood, soil); access to tap water; need of water collection and its keeping at appropriate receptacles; and disposal of sewage water and waste disposal (e.g. by an urban service) [26], among other social aspects such as the socioeconomic level of the house which is determined by the National Statistics Department (DANE).

Statistical analysis was performed using Stata 14®IC (Stata Corp., College Station, Texas, USA). Chi-square tests were used to compare proportions of positivity related to categorical dependent variables and establish statistical significance. Association between seropositivity and independent variables such as social house conditions, dog living conditions, and socioeconomic level was evaluated. For all the independent variables, chi-square ($\chi^2$) and Fisher tests were used to assess associations and significance. In those significant values ($p < 0.05$), also the odds ratio with their 95% confidence interval (95%CI) was calculated.

The location of the houses hosting the dogs was georeferenced with their correspondent coordinates by the free mobile cell-GPS software application "Herramientas de GPS" v.3.1.0.5, developed by Virtual Maze® (www.virtualmaze.com). Seroprevalence was also presented by geographical information systems (GIS)-based maps. The georeferenced places were incorporated in the software Google Earth.
The mean age of the canine population was 4.2 years (± 2.89 years, range 0.21–12.56), 53% were female, and 47% were males (Table 1). The average age did not differ significantly by sex (males 4.34 ± 3.27 years; females 4.10 ± 2.59 years) (p = 0.6345). Other dog variables are presented in Table 1. From the total number of canines, 89% presented clinical alterations, 100% presented anaemia, 54% lethargy, 39% alopecia, 21% decay, 21% mucocutaneous paleness, among others.
Table 1  
Physical, clinical, and living conditions of the studied dogs.

| Variable                          | Mean / n | SD / % | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------------------------|----------|--------|---------|---------|
| Age (years)                      | 4.20     | 2.89   | 0.21    | 12.56   |
| Sex                              |          |        |         |         |
| Male                             | 53       | 53.00  |         |         |
| Female                           | 47       | 47.00  |         |         |
| Weight (kilograms)               | 13.99    | 7.76   | 4.00    | 40.00   |
| Body condition                   |          |        |         |         |
| 1 (Very slim)                    | 0        | 0.00   |         |         |
| 2 (Slim)                         | 21       | 21.00  |         |         |
| 3 (Ideal)                        | 50       | 50.00  |         |         |
| 4 (Overweighted)                 | 29       | 29.00  |         |         |
| 5 (Obese)                        | 0        | 0.00   |         |         |
| Body temperature (°C)            | 38.84    | 0.96   | 30.50   | 40.00   |
| Cardiac rate (beats/min)         | 94.80    | 9.86   | 50.00   | 115.00  |
| Respiratory rate (breaths/min)   | 30.83    | 4.51   | 24.00   | 55.00   |
| Capillary Refill Time (seconds)  |          |        |         |         |
| 1                                | 0        | 0.00   |         |         |
| 2                                | 60       | 60.00  |         |         |
| 3                                | 28       | 28.00  |         |         |
| 4                                | 10       | 10.00  |         |         |
| 5                                | 2        | 2.00   |         |         |
| Recent deworming (last month)    |          |        |         |         |
| Yes                              | 16       | 16.00  |         |         |
| No                               | 84       | 84.00  |         |         |
| Any vaccine (in the last year)   |          |        |         |         |
| Yes                              | 45       | 45.00  |         |         |
| Rabies vaccine                   | 43       | 43.00  |         |         |
| No                               | 55       | 55.00  |         |         |
The global seroprevalence to the selected vector-borne pathogens was 74% (95%CI 65–83%). The highest seroprevalence was found for *E. canis/ewingii* (74%), followed by *A. phagocytophilum/platys* (16%). Seropositive for *Borrelia* spp. and *Dirofilaria* spp. was 0%. All the *Anaplasma* spp. seropositive dogs were also positive for *Ehrlichia* spp. (16%).

Twenty-two percent of the houses had rudimentary walls (adobe, cardboard, and palm), 84% rudimentary roofs (wood, zinc, and palms), 41% rudimentary floors (wood and earth), and 73% of the houses had only one bathroom. In those with only one bathroom, 100% had seropositive dogs, whilst in those houses with two or more bathrooms had 65% (p = 0.025). Although there was water supply (100%) in all the houses, 28% collect and store water (25% in tanks and 3% in plastic recipients). The water supply in 75% was by the public system, but the rest (25%) utilized water wells, rivers, water stream, and rainwater; 56% and reported presence of rats in the house. Thirty nine percent of the dogs, were allowed to be inside the house with the family; 10% of the dogs remain leashed in the house or the yard (all of them, 100%, were seropositive), whilst 90% were free to go anywhere (71% were seropositive) ($\chi^2 = 3.903; p = 0.048$); 82% of the dogs had access to wild areas. Regarding the house waste, 81% was collected by the urban system, but the rest (29%) is burned. Illiteracy was reported in 2% of dog owners; 8% of dog owners were unemployed at the moment of the survey. Twelve percent of owners lived in overcrowded houses ($\geq 3$ persons/room); in 70% of the owners, the number of persons per bathrooms in their houses was $\geq 3$.

The seroprevalence at rural (79%) and urban areas (67%) was not significantly different (p = 0.181). The seroprevalence was significantly higher in dogs from families of the lower socioeconomic level (I [Low-low, the lowest], 86%), followed by level II (74%), and III (36%) ($\chi^2 = 14.162; p = 0.001$); no seropositive dogs in strata IV and V (highest) (no dogs evaluated from these level) (Fig. 3). There was high variability.
in seroprevalence by studied areas, ranging from 0% (La Libertad Park) up to Combia, Cesar Nader, Las Brisas and Saturno (100%) \( (p = 0.033) \). In the rural areas, Cerritos was the corregiment with the highest seroprevalence (100%), followed by Combia Baja and Caimalito (Fig. 4), whilst in the urban area, Oriente and Villasantana were the communes with the highest seroprevalence (100%) (Fig. 4).

All the dogs with anorexia (12%) were seropositive (100%) \( (\chi^2 = 4.791; p = 0.029) \). Seroprevalence was higher in those with mucocutaneous paleness (95%) compared to those without it (68%) \( (\chi^2 = 6.232; p = 0.013) \) \( (OR = 9.3; 95\% CI 1.18–72.9) \).

**Discussion**

Poverty has been linked as a determinant to multiple disease groups, especially infectious diseases [30, 31, 32]. Among the infectious diseases linked to poverty, tropical diseases and vector-borne diseases, such as malaria [33, 34, 35, 36] and dengue [37, 38, 39], have been well documented. Housing conditions are related to the occurrence and persistence of tropical infectious diseases [26, 40, 41, 42, 43]. There is a global lack of studies exploring the links between tick-borne diseases, such as Ehrlichia spp. infection, the main pathogen that elicited antibodies in our study, and poverty.

A recent study in Argentina, assessing different infectious diseases among dogs from a rural area in the humid Chaco, found 7.9% of them prevalent for ehrlichiosis. They suggest that their findings likely reflect structural poverty, poor sanitation and lack of a safe water supply [44]. In another study, from Brazil, but in carthorses from low-income owners, authors found that 27.4% were positive for *Ehrlichia* exposure [45]. However, none of these studies linked the pathogen prevalence to social factors or poverty directly and statistically. In our study, we observed a social profile of the studied population, but especially a significantly higher seroprevalence among those in the poor socioeconomic level, which is at the same time related to multiple other potentially associated factors that may be suitable for tick-borne and other tropical diseases.

This study was performed in Colombia, where there is also a lack of seroprevalence studies about *Ehrlichia* spp. (less than 20 in PubMed database), 11 in canine populations, none in Pereira and Risaralda [4, 19, 20, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50]. Given the high seroprevalence obtained in an area with ticks’ infestation, the potential risk for zoonotic transmission to humans remains latent, deserving immediate seroprevalence studies.

Albeit their importance, emerging infectious diseases such as those caused by *Ehrlichia* and *Anaplasma* spp. are still neglected in many aspects that need to be understood, including clinical and epidemiological topics. Tick-borne diseases may lead to severe clinical consequences, both in animals and humans, leading to potential fatal outcomes [51, 52, 53, 54]. Even for some species, there is still questioning whether these may cause, in addition, to dogs, infection in humans, such as is the case of *Anaplasma platys* [55]. Thus, more studies assessing their circulation in different hosts are needed to understand the ecoepidemiological associated factors, including the social ones, such as poverty [56, 57,
For example, in Eastern Europe, background socioeconomic conditions determine susceptibility to risk of tick-borne encephalitis; some authors suggested that increased unemployment during the economic recession of 2009 triggered a sudden increase in risk [56].

Although we should acknowledge as a limitation that this study only assessed 100 dogs, preventing the possibility to run multivariate analyses, clearly evaluated multiple relevant social variables in addition to the clinical conditions of the animals, and the seroprevalence by a widely used [8, 14, 59, 60] serological diagnostic test for selected vector-borne pathogens in dogs, in different areas of the municipality, for the first also mapping, using geographical information system (GIS), the location of the assessed population, but particularly the distribution of the seropositive populations. Indeed, the use of GIS for mapping infectious diseases is highly valuable and previously used in our setting for human and animal diseases, such as malaria, Zika, neosporosis, among others [23, 27, 28, 29]. Its use, as shown here, would be helpful also in ehrlichiosis. These maps clearly showed the high seroprevalence in rural and poor communes in the urban area. The individual assessed conditions suggested that poverty is highly associated with these tick-borne pathogens in Pereira, as observed in this study.

Conclusions

Given the high seroprevalence obtained in an area with documented presence of ticks, there is a potential risk for zoonotic transmission to humans. Further seroprevalence studies in humans are needed to assess the prevalence of infections. Poverty is highly associated with these tick-borne pathogens in Pereira, as shown in the present study.
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Relative location of Pereira and Dosquebradas municipalities, in the Risaralda department, Colombia, Latin America.
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**Figure 2**

Urban areas (communes) of Pereira and the study areas in the metropolitan area (Pereira and Dosquebradas municipalities).
Figure 3

Seroprevalence by socioeconomic strata.

$\chi^2 = 14.162; p = 0.001$
Figure 4

Seroprevalence in the municipalities of Pereira and Dosquebradas, metropolitan area, rural and urban areas of the capital of Risaralda.
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