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ABSTRACT
The revolution of IoT highly impacts on different applications such as remote sensing, smart cities, and remote digital healthcare. People use IoT devices for performing business transactions, daily tasks, and healthcare monitoring. IoT devices generate huge amounts of data assets that have potential applications. Biometrics is a potential application of sensors data. The traditional biometric methods such as PINs, passwords are exposed to numerous attacks such as replication, repeated passwords, etc. Sensors’ data-based continuous authentication methods are suitable for maintaining users’ privacy and security in mobile IoT systems. Most of the existing authentication methods have applied motion-based sensors for building users’ identification profiles. The proposed method uses motion sensors and biomedical sensors for reliable and multi-factor user authentication. In this article, we have introduced an IoT sensors data analytics framework to construct user authentication models. We apply the fiducial points-based feature extraction method data for extracting discriminative features. These features act as unique user profiles for authentication purposes. We have performed a detailed analysis of the proposed approach using the publically available datasets. The experiments elaborate on the effectiveness of IoTauth for improved authentication results.

INDEX TERMS Authentication, biometrics, data analytics, Internet of Things, sensors.

I. INTRODUCTION
In the last two decades, the information technology industry has progressed remarkably. Technologies such as the Internet of Things (IoT), sensor networks, and wearable devices have exhibited tremendous progress. Internet connectivity is widespread in most remote areas of the world, with declined costs [1]. IoT vision defined more than five years ago has become a reality. The number of connected devices has reached up to 20 billion by the end of 2020. Moreover, in the last few months, the ongoing pandemic situation due to COVID-19, use of smart IoT devices, and the internet have increased tremendously for various applications such as online shopping, remote sensing, grocery, educational purposes, and personal health assistance [2]. Fig. 1 describes the uses of smart IoT devices in our daily routine, especially after the COVID-19 pandemic [3]. For example, healthcare systems use body-worn sensors to collect information. Robots perform efficiently in industrial applications, drones for crowd monitoring, and many other smart applications for personal activities assistance. People use smart devices to manage most of their routine tasks. It is also used for remote health monitoring avoiding unnecessary visits to hospitals. Performing daily life activities such as counting walk steps, tracking sleep hours, tracking calories, paying bills, purchasing things, finding directions, and healthcare-related information management, etc. IoT devices generate huge personal data assets containing unique patterns that can be utilized for obtaining meaningful information such as the activity of a subject etc. Data stored on these devices need protection for the security and privacy of the users.

Security issues have risen due to the widespread usage of IoT devices [9]. Authentication is a vital means for securing access to devices. Few widely used authentication methods
include passwords, patterns lock, fingerprints, etc., which are vulnerable to security issues such as replication attacks, simple passwords, reusing the same passwords, stealing passwords, guessing patterns, etc. [4]. Effective user authentication methods are required to utilize and secure users’ data passively without requiring the direct intervention of the users [5].

The behavioral models have generally been used in mobile applications for passive and continuous authentication. They improve the performance of user authentication by applying user activity patterns [6]. We introduce an IoT data analytics framework that uses sensors data for constructing users and activity recognition models by applying classification algorithms, i.e., machine learning, and deep learning, etc. These models act as the unique features of a subject, which we can verify later with real-time data for validation purposes.

These unique patterns are not easy to duplicate [6]. Smart IoT devices easily capture these signals. It enables the implementation of the proposed approach quite applicable in real-life environments. Many efforts in the literature use heart rate patterns for user identification. It is considered a unique biological human body feature that has the characteristics to change with the movement of the human body while performing different activities [7]. The application of biomedical sensors for user authentication is relatively a new field. The heterogeneous nature of IoT data and the environmental noise interruptions are a few obstacles in the practical implementation of IoT-based authentication systems.

The availability of smart devices with embedded sensors measures different user’s specific activity and physiological patterns. In existing research, most of the works deploy accelerometer and gyroscope sensors data for user authentication purposes [8]. Most authentication techniques rely on physiological biometric like face matching, iris recognition, and fingerprints, etc. These characteristics can be duplicated and also change with time [9].

Few of these require additional hardware support and constant input from users. Moreover, the most commonly used password-based user authentication has its drawbacks, such as reusing the same passwords for multiple accounts and difficulties in memorizing many passwords for different accounts [10]. The sensor’s data-based biomedical characteristics have the potential to overcome the above-stated challenges and maintain user’s security and privacy [11].

In the proposed approach, we consider and address a few of the stated challenges. We have used the heart rate, pulse oximeter, and glucometer sensors along with motion sensors. These sensors’ measurements provide critical information about a person’s health status and unique characteristics that can be used to provide customized services. Through the proposed approach, we make the following main contributions.

- We propose an IoT data analytics framework for user authentication and activity recognition.
- We have applied the fiducial point’s analysis method to extract a small set of statistical features with high accuracy and are computationally efficient.
- We performed the experimental evaluation of the proposed approach on real data set.
- We implement the proposed approach on a real application scenario for practical evaluation.

We organize the rest of the paper as follows. In Section II, we present the related work. Section III describes the proposed approach. In Section IV, we present the experiments and results. Section V is composed of the relevant discussions. Section VI establishes the conclusion and future work.

II. RELATED WORK

Sensors’ data-based biometrics for user authentication has attracted the researcher’s attention. The sensor-based authentication methods using physiological and behavioral biometric data have produced tremendous results [12]. Most of these methods deploy embedded motion sensors, such as accelerometers and gyroscopes [13]. The sensor-based authentication methods collect the user devices’ sensor data to extract distinct patterns.

Additional biomedical sensors such as heart rate sensors, fingerprints sensor, oxygen saturation sensor embedded in IoT devices have enabled the use of such characteristics for user identification purposes. The user authentication models are of the following two categories (i) biometrics (face, fingerprints, iris, ECG, Heart rate, etc.) and (ii) behavioral biometrics (motion-based, touch gestures, activity patterns, etc.). In this section, we have discussed the sensor data-based user authentication methods under these two main categories.

A. PHYSIOLOGICAL BIOMETRIC USER AUTHENTICATION

Many biological characteristics have proved to be unique for each subject. In [14], the authors have evaluated the existing research on the use of ECG-based biometrics. They presented the state-of-the-art technique review and also highlighted the gaps and future research possibilities in this field. They also
evaluated the proposed ECG-based user authentication on different data sets and gave tremendous results. The other techniques that also used ECG signals for user identification include [15].

Latest models of smartphones and other wearable devices have embedded fingerprint sensors. These sensors' measurements are highly accurate and perform efficiently for user authentication. But they are vulnerable to various security risks such as spoofing attacks and replication of fingerprints.

In [16], the authors introduced user authentication based on multimodal physiological signals. They deployed four types of sensors signals perinasal perspiration (PER-EDA), palm EDA (P-EDA), heart rate (HR), and breathing rate (BR). The convolutional Neural Network with mono-dimensional convolution (ID-CNN) takes an input window of raw signals. They performed experiments on data set of multimodal signals from 37 subjects. It produced an accuracy of 99.51%. The limitation of the approach includes the use of a single model for experiments. The use of multimodal and uncontrolled environment data collection may test the performance.

In [17], the authors have used the fusion of accelerometer and ECG signals to construct activity models. Those activities are targeted that consume low energy or consume energy without any movement. They have used the data set from 13 subjects of the following set of activities, sitting, standing, ascending, resting, walking, and running. The accuracy of 96.35% is achieved, which is much higher than the accelerometer sensor data-based activity recognition solely. The authors also support the evidence for using human physiological data for activity recognition. ECG data have been utilized for user authentication purposes due to their unique characteristics in each person.

In [18], the authors use the chest-worn ECG sensors data of various activities. Four participants contributed to the data collection process. The experimentation of the proposed technique achieved an error rate of 6% to 13%, which can be considered well controlled. The sample size for experiments is small, and large data sets and uncontrolled data collection settings might affect the performance of the proposed approach.

In [19], the authors have used multimodal physiological sensors for user authentication purposes. The authors utilized the signals of airflow, ECG, and galvanic skin reaction. The rotation ensemble algorithm was applied to the data set of 6 subjects for experiments. It produced an accuracy of 99.6%. The small data set raises few concerns, such as the consistency in performance for a larger population in real-time environments.

B. BEHAVIORAL BIOMETRIC

Users’ activities and motion-related sensor data for authentication purposes lies under behavioral biometrics. With advancements in sensor technology, more types of sensors have been explored for different applications with high accuracy [1].

In a previous research effort, we used the accelerometer sensor data for activity recognition and user identification purpose. To record the single accelerometer sensor data of the following activities standing, walking, and running. The results produced by the classification experiments using the random forest classifier are highly encouraging. But there is a certain level of overlapping in various classes of users and activities. We addressed the class overlapping by adding the heart rate sensor data along with the physical activity data set from motion sensors in [7]. The results produced with the addition of the heart rate sensor data set have reduced certain overlapping between classes compared to the single motion sensor. In IoT-based environments, the energy consumption of IoT devices is also of great importance for activity recognition.

In [20], the authors have presented the precise details of the existing classification methods of accelerometer sensor data sets. They discussed classification methods such as the decision tree, dynamic time wrapping, and support vector machines. The SVM classifier produces an accuracy of 90% in terms of activity recognition. It is higher than the other two classifiers. The gait-based user authentication techniques use the gait features for authentication purposes. In [21], the authors have introduced a gait-based authentication technique. The data is collected using the ankle-worn accelerometer sensor. The scoring system applies the threshold values calculated using the gait-related features. An EER of 20% produced. In Table 1, we present the summary of the state-of-the-art techniques for the last three years for user authentication with their limitations.

III. THE PROPOSED APPROACH

We introduce IoTauth, a sensor-data-based authentication method using IoT sensors. The accelerometer and gyroscope are the most widely used IoT-based sensors for activity-based user authentication [20]. Biomedical sensors such as heart rate, ECG, and glucometer also contain unique patterns to support user identification [24]–[25].

We consider the unique sensor data patterns of each user’s activities and physical biometrics produced from their smart devices. It provides an additional security cover for remote healthcare systems to improve user’s privacy.

Fig. 2 describes the proposed approach and its components. Several efforts have utilized individual biomedical sensors such as ecg, heart rate, etc., for user identification. Table 1 presents the list of sensors of the mysignals-ehealth. These sensors assist in the diagnosis and treatment of many critical health conditions as well [27]–[28]. IoT sensor data analytics consists of the following phases such as data acquisition, preprocessing, and feature extraction. Here we describe each component according to the proposed method.
The MySignals-eHealth IoT KiT [26] offers a wide range of biomedical sensors for data collection and also provides a platform for building IoT applications for healthcare. Several efforts in the literature have deployed individual biomedical sensors such as ECG, heart rate, etc., for user identification. The proposed work is the first effort of its sort that comprehensively introduces a platform for IoT based biomedical sensor data analytics for user authentication and activity recognition. Raw sensory data produced from IoT devices is in continuous or non-continuous form that contains noise and unstructured data. The IoT devices produced data while normally operating in diverse environments.

B. DATA PREPROCESSING

1) DATA FILTERING/DATA SEGMENTATION

The processing of large IoT data sets that comprise noisy and unwanted data is a loss of resources in the resource-constrained IoT environment [29]. The data filtration phase discards the unwanted data. It reduces further processing, storage, and computational overhead. Table 1 presents the set of selected parameters for the data preprocessing phase. We divide the streaming data into the time sliding window. Fig 4 highlights the prominent points of each data cycle.

| Sensor         | Physical parameter | Measurement Attribute   |
|----------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Heart rate monitor | Heart rate BPM     | Heart rate in BPM       |
| Pulse oximeter   | Oxygen Saturation  | Level of Oxygen Saturation |
| Glucometer       | Glucose Mg         | Blood Glucose level     |

2) NOISE REMOVAL

Sensor data contains noise produced from the environmental sources and movement of other body parts. To remove the noise from the sensor data we use the smoothing moving average filter of the order 3. the filter is computationally efficient and removes the undesired noise from the sensors data

3) DATA LABELING

The Data Profiles Of Each Subject Contain The Sensor Measurement Produced By Their Devices. They Are Properly Arrange In Labeled Form. It Helps In Better Management Of The Data Set, Extract Subject-Related Features And Improve Performance [31].

C. FEATURE EXTRACTION

The fiducial points represent prominent characteristics in a data cycle, such as the peaks, valleys, minimum value of a signal, and the smooth path as an average value. these fiducial points elaborate the patterns in each data cycle. unique features based on these fiducial point forms the user authentication profiles. the sensors’ readings are distinct for each subject, depending upon the inherent health conditions and biometric characteristics. the fiducial points-based features highlight sudden variations, extreme points, and smooth average values. they correspond to the relevant statistical features.

We extract the following features from each data segment and store them in corresponding feature vectors. Below we describe each feature.

1) MEAN

The mean feature represents the normal trend of a series and helps in predicting future trends [33]. For each type of sensor, we extract the mean values of each data cycle, i.e., \( \bar{H}, \bar{G}, \bar{Ox} \) and accelerometer sensor’s each axis. There is a corresponding vector for storing the value to each subject profile. The following equation represents the formula for the calculation of mean value.

\[
\text{Mean}(\bar{S}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} S_k
\]

Here \( \bar{S} \) represents the signal, \( N \) represents the total no of values and \( k \) represents the current value of \( S \).

2) STANDARD DEVIATION

To represent the consistency and patterns in a signal, we use standard deviation. How much the signals lies in the expected range. This feature represents the variation in normal patterns and changes in the heart rate of a subject to detect the abnormal scenarios. The decreased variation shows the stability of the subject heart rate patterns. We have calculated standard deviation for each sensor reading i.e. heart rate, oxygen saturation, glucose level and accelerometer sensor. It also shows the physical health status of a subject with unique traits.

\[
\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \mu)^2}
\]

Here \( N \) represents the total number of values, and \( i \) is the current value of \( x \), whereas \( x \) is the set of values of sensor measurements. \( \mu \) represents the mean of \( x \) value. The square root of \( x \) is sum up and divided by zero. The used symbols and notations are presented in the following Table 3

3) KURTOSIS

The kurtosis measures the sharpness and height of the peaks in a signal. Kurtosis of heart rate signal measures the intensity of the heart rate during different activities [34]. We calculate the kurtosis value of each sensor data cycle. The following formula in equation V is used for calculating \( Kurt_H \).

\[
Kurt_H = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (H_i - \bar{H})^4}{s^4}
\]

Here \( \bar{H} \) represents the mean value of a gait cycle, \( s \) represents the standard deviation, and \( N \) is the sample size of a set of values n.
TABLE 2. Existing biometric user authentication methods and their limitations.

| Approach/Year | Physiological /Behavioral | Sensors Used | No of Subjects | Types of Features Extracted | Accuracy | Limitations |
|---------------|---------------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------------------|----------|-------------|
| [21]/2011     | Behavioral                | Accelerometer | 10             | Gait Cycle Analysis        | 20% EER  | - Small data set for analysis with very few aspects no of gait cycle are tested |
| [19]/2015     | Physiological             | Airflow, ECG, galvanic skin response sensor | 6               | Time domain and Frequency domain | 99.6%    | - Testing in real time uncontrolled environments is required |
| [17]/2016     | Behavioral                | Accelerometer | (6 activities * 100 samples each) | Fast Fourier Transform coefficient | 92.17%   | - Larger data sets should be used for validation of the proposed approach |
| [8]/2017      | Behavioral                | Accelerometer | 19             | Time and Frequency domain  | 93%      | - The focus of the experiments is only in terms of energy efficiency |
| [22]/2017     | Behavioral                | Accelerometer | 35             | Dynamic Time Wrapping/Local Maxima |          | - Only the Impersonation attack based analysis is performed for continuous authentication |
| [26]/2017     | Behavioral                | Accelerometer | 35             | Gait segments/Fiducial points | EER 13%  | - Performance evaluation in different real time environments is required |
| [27]/2018     | Behavioral                | Accelerometer, Photoplethysmography (PPG) | 40             | Time domain and Frequency domain | 98.5%    | - Additional overhead of multiple classification models selection |
| [16]/2019     | Physiological             | ECG          | 29             | Fiducial points based distance features | 97%      | - The scalability of data set and features on the performance needs further testing |
| [18]/2019     | Physiological             | heart rate (HR), breathing rate (BR), palm electro dermal activity (P-EDA), and perinasal perspiration (PER-EDA) | 37             | Time and frequency domain features | 99%      | - Longer periods feature stability requires to be tested |
| [44]/2020     | Behavioral                | Accelerometer | 50             | Cross correlation          | 11.2     | - The data set collected in uncontrolled environment needs evaluation |
| [45]/2021     | Behavioral                | Accelerometer | 30             | LSTM based Features        | 7.9      | - More activity scenario needs to be tested |

4) HEART RATE VALLEYS $H_{VAL}$

The set of minimum values that in a data cycle represents valleys. The deepest valley represents the minimum value in a cycle. It is stored as a unique attribute in the profile of each subject. We calculate the valleys of each sensors data cycle.

If H is a series of heart rate values. It is denoted as $H_{val}$.

5) HEART RATE PEAKS $H_{PEAK}$

The maximum value represents the highest peak in a data cycle. These values are also distinct for each subject based on physical characteristics and activity patterns. We store the maximum value of the heart rate as a unique feature. We also calculate Peak values of data cycle for sensors. It is denoted as $H_{peak}$.

If H is a series of heart rate values. It is denoted as $H_{peak}$.
6) VARIANCE
The following equation is used to calculate the variance of the signal.

$$\text{var}(S) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} (S_k - \bar{S})^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (IV)

7) MEDIAN
The median value is calculated for all the sensor data cycles. It is used to separate the lower and higher half data cycle.

8) MEDIAN ABSOLUTE DEVIATION
The median absolute deviation value is used to calculate the average distance between each data point and the mean. We calculate this feature for motion sensor each axis data.

$$\text{mad}(S) = \text{median} \left( |(S_k - \bar{S})| \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (V)

Here $S$ represents the signal and $k$.

9) INTERQUARTILE RANGE
The interquartile range measures the fifty percent of the middle values in a data set. We calculate it for each axis of accelerometer data cycle. Following formula is used to calculate the value.

$$\text{iqr}(S) = Q3(S) - Q1(S)$$  \hspace{1cm} (VI)

10) AUTOREGRESSION (AR) COEFFICIENTS
This is a model of time series data that learns from the previous time steps as input to a regression equation and predicts the value for next time. We calculate this value for each axis of accelerometer sensor data.

The equation for autoregression calculation is presented below.

$$a = \text{arburg}(S, 4), \quad a \in \mathbb{R}$$  \hspace{1cm} (VII)

Here we use arburg function of Matlab for calculation of autoregression.

11) ENERGY
The energy of a signal is measured as the signal strength. It is calculate using the formula below.

$$E_f(S) = \sum |S|f|^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (VIII)

| Accuracy | Precision | EER  | Specificity |
|----------|-----------|------|-------------|
| 88%      | 0.86      | 12%  | 86%         |

The interquartile range is found using the quartiles. It subtracts the first quartile from the third quartile.
TABLE 4. Results Metric for the user authentication experiment on MySignals Dataset.

| Subject Id | Subject 1 | Subject 2 | Subject 3 | Subject 4 | Subject 5 | Subject 6 | Subject 7 | Subject 8 | Subject 9 | Subject 10 |
|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Subject 1  | 50        | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| Subject 2  | 0         | 48        | 0         | 0         | 0         | 1         | 0         | 10        | 0         | 0         |
| Subject 3  | 1         | 2         | 47        | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| Subject 4  | 0         | 0         | 0         | 50        | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| Subject 5  | 0         | 1         | 0         | 0         | 48        | 0         | 0         | 1         | 0         | 0         |
| Subject 6  | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 50        | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| Subject 7  | 0         | 1         | 1         | 0         | 0         | 46        | 0         | 2         | 0         | 0         |
| Subject 8  | 2         | 0         | 1         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 47        | 0         | 0         |
| Subject 9  | 3         | 0         | 5         | 2         | 2         | 3         | 1         | 1         | 30        | 3         |
| Subject 10 | 6         | 5         | 2         | 7         | 1         | 3         | 1         | 5         | 1         | 22        |

FIGURE 3. Fiducial points (a) Heart rate (b) Oxygen Saturation (c) Glucose mg.

12) SUM OF PEAKS
In this feature we calculate the sum of all the peak values of a data cycle. It is calculated for each axis of accelerometer sensor data.

We performed an empirical analysis and extracted the following set of statistical features from motion sensors data. We present the list of selected features in the following table 5. We have extracted each feature along three axis of motion sensors i.e (x, y, z) in this section we describe only those features that are not discussed for biomedical sensors features.

α: MODEL CONSTRUCTION
In this step, we construct the models for user authentication from the extracted features set. This phase applies machine learning tools. We give input of features. We have applied weka for constructing unique models for each user for authentication purposes.

β: USER AUTHENTICATION
In the user authentication phase, we compare the saved features profile models with real-time data of the users.

IV. EXPERIMENTS & RESULTS
In this section, we elaborate the validation of the proposed approach through experiments to collect results.

Data set Description.

A. MY SIGNALS-EHEALTH DATASET
For the collection of biomedical sensors data we have used the MySignals-eHealth IoT Kit. It contains several biomedical sensors for the measurement of different body parameters. We have used the biomedical sensors listed in the Table 1. The participants measured the sensor readings using the sensor device and transfer to the Mysignals-eHealth cloud storage.

We saved the sensor readings of each subject against their ids in excel. Each data cycle consists of a fixed-length window of 5 seconds from which we extract data samples. It also reduces the computational overhead of processing huge features set. We extracted the features listed in Table 3 for each...
data cycle of a subject and saved in .csv format excel data based. For this experiment, we applied the random forest classifier with 10 fold cross-validation. Table 3 describes the results using different metrics. Table 4 presents the confusion matrix of the experiment.

Experiments for User Authentication on WISDM data set.

**B. WISDM DATASET**

The WISDM dataset contains data of 36 volunteers. The participants carried the phone in their front leg pocket and performed the different activities, i.e., walking, jogging, ascend stairs, descend stairs, sit, and stand for a specific time. We collected the data every 50ms, and there were 20 samples per second. The following Table 5 describes the details of WISDM dataset.

We have extracted the following set of statistical features from the WISDM data set. These features correspond to the fiducial points in data cycles. And for each axis these features are selected that comprise of \((11^*3) = 33\).

We constructed the models using the Random Forest and SVM classifier with 10 fold cross validation. The results of the experiments are presented in Table 6. We present the confusion matrix of only first 20 subjects due to space constraints and better visibility in Table 7.

The results of the activity wise user authentication experiments are presented in the following Table 8. Here we have described the detailed results of classifier performing the best. Compared with other classifiers the random forest classifier provided the best results.

**V. DISCUSSIONS**

IoT devices such as sensor-enabled smartphones, wearables, etc., produce a large number of personal data assets. These data sets provide different contextual information about a person’s activity, biometric, biological and behavioral, and social patterns. In IoT applications, there is a frequent disconnection in mobile environments. Continuous authentication and monitoring of users are essential [38]. The existing user authentication methods which require interaction and input from a user are not suitable and troublesome for the users. It also causes the overhead and delay in real-time applications where time and efficiency is the basic requirement [39].

Therefore, the user device-generated sensor data contains unique patterns for user authentication. There exist efforts that use the sensor data from different contexts and perspectives for authentication credentials such as Touch patterns, device holding position [40], keystroke input [41], user gait [42], etc. The most widely adopted method for sensor data-based user recognition includes the user behavior related data such as the actions, activities patterns, etc.

There exists a variety of sensors in the IoT devices that produce user actions, motions, and biomedical-related information. Motion sensors are explored in the existing literature for this purpose quite effectively compared to other sensors, such as fingerprints, blood sugar level, oxygen saturation level, which got less attention. We can use these sensors individually or with fusion with existing techniques to improve the performance of user authentication. It is encouraged in existing research [43].

---

**TABLE 7. Confusion matrix for the experiment on WISDM dataset.**

| Subject Id | A   | B   | C   | D   | E   | F   | G   | H   | I   | J   | K   | L   | M   | N   | O   | P   | Q   | R   | S   | T   |
|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| A          | 64  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| B          | 0   | 64  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| C          | 0   | 0   | 59  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| D          | 0   | 0   | 0   | 52  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| E          | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 65  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| F          | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 69  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| G          | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 56  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| H          | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 62  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| I          | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 87  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 2   | 0   |
| J          | 0   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 67  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 1   |
| K          | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 65  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| L          | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 62  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| M          | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 35  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| N          | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 32  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| O          | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 35  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| P          | 0   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 31  | 0   | 0   |
| Q          | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 2   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 34  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| R          | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 66  | 0   |
| S          | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 62  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| T          | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 2   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 69  |
In this study, we have introduced the IoT data-based user authentication method that evaluated the wide range of sensors on a diverse range of data sets. Moreover, we have examined the different tools for sensor-based data analytics for user recognition. The MySignals-eHealth platform provides a large number of sensors with quite a realistic accuracy level of measurements for research purposes. It has potential applications in various healthcare-related systems such as monitoring. We also used the publicly available data sets such as WISDM for the experimentation. The results are evidence for future research directions. The openSMILE [37] tool provides a built-in feature set with different configuration files. These are particularly for various uses.

We present a comparative analysis to highlight the difference of features set an impact on accuracy. The measurements provided by the biomedical sensors through smart devices are a good addition for the customization of user profiles. Even though the proposed approach performs better in many aspects, but here we highlight a few limitations of the proposed approach.

MySignals-eHealth platform is still at its earlier stage of implementation and has limited data sets available till now. For testing purposes, we have used a real-time data set. The IoT devices have limited batteries, so when we are collecting real-time data continuously and storing it in the cloud. There are a few steps required for the better management of the data collection and storage process for long periods.

VI. CONCLUSION & FUTURE WORK
User-device-generated data contain important user information. Moreover, the biomedical sensors included in the smart devices have enabled more options for the collection of subject-related data. These sensors have been used for healthcare monitoring and activity recognition. Although they contain unique behavioral patterns of each user. In this research, we have proposed a user authentication framework based on IoT data. We use biomedical sensors such as heart rate, oxygen saturation, and glucometer sensors for authentication purposes. We have performed detailed experimentation on the real data sets. The MySignal-eHealth produced an accuracy of 97%. The experiments on the WISDM data set with the same feature set produced an accuracy of 99%. The feature set of openSmile provided less accuracy with a large number of features that causes computational overhead. The construction of user sensor profiles and experiments for user authentication has proved the effectiveness of these sensor data based profiles for user authentication.
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