Development of bioluminescent *Salmonella* strains for use in food safety
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Abstract

**Background:** *Salmonella* can reside in healthy animals without the manifestation of any adverse effects on the carrier. If raw products of animal origin are not handled properly during processing or cooked to a proper temperature during preparation, salmonellosis can occur. In this research, we developed bioluminescent *Salmonella* strains that can be used for real-time monitoring of the pathogen's growth on food products. To accomplish this, twelve *Salmonella* strains from the broiler production continuum were transformed with the broad host range plasmid pAKlux1, and a chicken skin attachment model was developed.

**Results:** *Salmonella* strains carrying pAKlux1 constitutively expressed the luxCDABE operon and were therefore detectable using bioluminescence. Strains were characterized in terms of bioluminescence properties and plasmid stability. To assess the usefulness of bioluminescent *Salmonella* strains in food safety studies, we developed an attachment model using chicken skin. The effect of washing on attachment of *Salmonella* strains to chicken skin was tested using bioluminescent strains, which revealed the attachment properties of each strain.

**Conclusion:** This study demonstrated that bioluminescence is a sensitive and effective tool to detect *Salmonella* on food products in real-time. Bioluminescence imaging is a promising technology that can be utilized to evaluate new food safety measures for reducing *Salmonella* contamination on food products.

Background

*Salmonella enterica*, with over 2000 different serovars, is indigenous to the gastrointestinal tracts of many mammals, birds, and reptiles, usually in low levels. *Salmonella* can be pathogenic in these animals if it reaches certain numbers in vivo. However, moribund animals are usually culled before they reach slaughter. Therefore, the food safety problem relative to humans is that *Salmonella* can be carried into the processing plant in healthy asymptomatic animals. If cross-contamination occurs during the slaughter process, *Salmonella* can then be transferred to carcasses that were previously uncontaminated. A significant increase in the number of *Salmonella* positive broilers between exiting the scalding water immersion tank and
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exiting the crop extractor demonstrates how in-plant cross-contamination can occur [1,2]. When carcasses were sampled at 6 points in processing, an increase occurred in the presence of Salmonella from 19% pre-scald to 36.9% post-chill (after exiting the immersion chill tank).

Regardless of whether the source of contamination was pre-harvest or during processing, Salmonella is difficult to remove from carcasses due to its ability to adhere to chicken skin and endure the stages of processing [3]. Laboratory research, as well as in-plant trials, has demonstrated this relationship [4-7]. Therefore, persistence of Salmonella within the processing plant may be partially explained by interactions between chicken skin and Salmonella. Chemical treatments have been developed and shown to be effective under controlled conditions in the reduction of Salmonella levels on broiler carcasses or skin [8-11]. In spite of these efforts, Salmonella is yet to be eliminated from the process.

When testing the efficacy of different antimicrobial compounds, conventional cultural techniques are typically used. The product is sampled, microbiological culturing protocols are followed, and 48 to 72 hours later the results are known. Bioluminescence imaging (BLI) is a technique that can be used for real-time quantification and tracking of live bacteria in hosts [12-15]. To enable BLI, bacteria are tagged with bacterial luciferase, which catalyzes the oxidation of a long-chain aldehyde and FMNH₂ to cause emission of visible light [16-19]. Salmonella strains constitutively expressing bacterial luciferase have no significant alterations in phenotype, including growth kinetics [20,21] or biochemical, serological, or structural phenotypes [20,22]. Advantages of the bacterial luciferase reporter system include negligible background [23], no toxic or phenotypic effects from accumulation of signal [24], real-time detection, and no need for addition of an exogenous substrate. In addition, bioluminescence from bacterial luciferase correlates well with the amount of luciferase protein and lux mRNA [25,26], bacterial plate counts [20,27], and intracellular numbers of bacteria in cell culture [28].

In this research, our aim was to develop twelve bioluminescent Salmonella enterica strains that can be used for real-time monitoring of the pathogen’s growth on food products. Our study is unique in that it includes multiple Salmonella field strains isolated from the broiler production continuum, including post hatchery, prior to harvest, arrival at the plant, pre-chill tank, and post-chill tank.

Results and discussion

Bioluminescent Salmonella strains

pAKlux1 was transferred to twelve Salmonella strains that we isolated in a study on the poultry production and processing continuum. The strains represented the twelve most commonly isolated serovars from our study. This result compared favorably with a previous report where transformation of a lux plasmid into Salmonella isolates from a poultry processing plant was only successful for one isolate out of seven attempted [20]. Expression of the luxCDABE operon, which encodes bacterial luciferase, was driven by the lacZ promoter on pAKlux1. Because Salmonella does not have lacZ in its chromosome, it constitutively expresses the lacZ promoter on pAKlux1 and hence produces continuous light while it is alive and metabolically active.

We showed that bacteria numbers and bioluminescence correlated well (R² = 0.99) in all strains used (Figure 1). The minimum detectable numbers for all twelve strains was less than 1500 CFU/ml, and it was less than 300 CFU/ml for a majority of strains (S. Alachua, 334 CFU/ml; S. Braenderup, 217 CFU/ml; S. Enteritidis, 175 CFU/ml; S. Heidelberg, 169 CFU/ml; S. Kentucky, 229 CFU/ml; S. Mbandaka, 248 CFU/ml; S. Montevideo, 209 CFU/ml; S. Newport, 125 CFU/ml; S. Schwarzengrund, 1470 CFU/ml; S. Sepfenberg, 1386 CFU/ml; S. Thompson, 1044 CFU/ml; and S. Typhimurium, 202 CFU/ml). This result was comparable to previous studies [13,15,29,30].

The average theoretical light intensity per CFU was calculated for each strain and revealed a greater than 10-fold difference between some serovars (Figure 2). This difference was not due to lack of viability; bacterial plate counts showed that all the strains were viable at this stage and that viable bacterial densities for all the serovars were within a 3 fold range. The difference in luminescence could reflect a difference between serovars in the activity of bacterial luciferase within the bacteria. Alternatively, the difference could reflect differences in metabolic activity between the serovars at this stage of growth (16 hours). Bioluminescence is known to correlate well with bacterial metabolism [31,32].

Plasmid stability

The stability of pAKlux1 was determined by subculturing bioluminescent Salmonella broth cultures under selective (with ampicillin) and non-selective (no ampicillin) conditions for 15 days. Bioluminescence of Salmonella strains cultured under non-selective conditions was declining by day 2 and continued declining linearly (R² = 0.95) until the conclusion of the experiment (Figure 3). Based on the data, the average half-life of pAKlux1 in Salmonella was approximately 7 days under the described culture conditions. However, the stability varied between Salmonella serovars. Among the Salmonella strains, plasmid stability was lower in S. Kentucky and S. Typhimurium as com-
Figure 1

**Correlation between bioluminescence and bacterial numbers.** The correlation between luminescent signals and bacteria numbers for representative serovars S. Montevideo and S. Newport. A) 96-well plate containing S. Montevideo (rows A, B, C, and D) and S. Newport (rows E, F, G, and H). 25 μl of bacteria suspension from columns 11 and 12 were spread on LB agar plates with ampicillin (100 μg ml⁻¹) to determine the concentration of colony forming units. B) plot of bioluminescence against bacterial numbers for S. Montevideo. C) plot of bioluminescence against bacterial numbers for S. Newport.
pared to the others. The half-life of pAKlux1 was about 4 days in S. Kentucky and about 5 days in S. Typhimurium (Figure 3). S. Newport, S. Slachua, and S. Enteritidis maintained pAKlux1 longer than others, with a half life of about 9 days.

pAKlux1 is derived from the broad host range plasmid pBBR1, which is relatively stable in gram-negative bacteria in the absence of antibiotic selection [33-35]. pAKlux1 was stable in the gram-negative species Edwardsiella ictaluri for at least 10 days without antibiotic selection, and the plasmid caused no alterations in growth kinetics, native plasmids, and pathogenicity as compared to the parent strain [15]. Data from the current study indicates that the pBBR1 replicon is not as stable in Salmonella as it is in other gram-negative bacteria. However, bioluminescent Salmonella strains labeled with pAKlux1 should be suitable for short term experiments in which antibiotic selection cannot be applied.

Characterization of skin attachment properties of Salmonella strains

We developed an in vitro skin attachment model for characterisation of attachment properties of different Salmonella strains using BLI. Bioluminescence was successfully detected on chicken skin after being exposed to Salmonella strains expressing pAKlux1. Using this model, we were able to show that Salmonella strains from different serovars vary in their ability to attach to chicken skin (Figure 4). Bacteria numbers in S. Seftenberg, S. Thompson, and S. Schwarzengrund were significantly different from each other and from other strains (P ≤ 0.05). The S. Heidelberg strain had significantly less binding to chicken skin than

Figure 2
Expression of bioluminescence in Salmonella serovars. The theoretical amount of bioluminescence produced per CFU of each Salmonella serovar following 16 hrs of growth was calculated. Bioluminescence per cfu was calculated by dividing the background subtracted bioluminescence value (in p/s/cm²/sr) in each well by the number of bacteria (determined by serial dilution and plate counts). The mean and standard error from four replicates were then determined for each strain. Light emission was almost 12 fold higher in S. Newport as compared to S. Schwarzengrund.

Figure 3
Stability of pAKlux1 in Salmonella. Salmonella strains carrying pAKlux1 were subcultured under ampicillin selective and non-selective conditions for 15 days. At each passage, bioluminescence was measured and normalized for cell density (OD600). The ratio between the normalized values under non-selective (nLB) and ampicillin selected conditions (nLBamp) revealed the plasmid stability in different Salmonella serovars. The black line represents the mean of eleven strains, and the red line is the linear trend line.

Figure 4
Attachment of Salmonella strains to chicken skin. The amount of Salmonella attachment to chicken skin was determined by measuring the bioluminescence following 1 h incubation of bacterial suspension with quadruplicate skin samples. Bacteria numbers of each strain were estimated from the bioluminescence values using each strain's linear correlation formula. Unattached Salmonella were removed by gentle washing prior to bioluminescence measurements. The bacteria number for each strain is the geometric mean of four replicates from three separate experiments. Letters on the right of the graph indicate statistical groupings as determined by Tukey’s test. Values with the same letter are not significantly different (P > 0.05).
all the other strains and was 79 fold lower than S. Seftenberg, which had the highest amount of binding (Figure 4). This result suggests that *Salmonella* strains vary in their ability to bind to chicken skin; this ability may be a discriminating factor determining whether *Salmonella* strains persist through processing or whether they are removed.

**The effect of washing on *Salmonella* removal from chicken skin**

Bioluminescence was an effective tool for measuring the effects of washing for removal of *Salmonella* from chicken skin using our model (Figure 5). Bioluminescent *Salmonella* strains have been previously utilized to monitor

---

**Figure 5**

*The effect of washing on removal of *Salmonella* from chicken skin.* Bioluminescence was used to measure the ability of agitated water bath to remove *Salmonella* strains from chicken skin. *Salmonella* was allowed to attach to chicken skin for 1 h, and then the amount of *Salmonella* present on skin that received four 30 min washes was compared to the amount of *Salmonella* present on non-washed skin. Bioluminescence was measured after each 30 min wash, and the mean of four replicates from three separate experiments was determined. A) Representative plate containing 3 *Salmonella* strains at five time points. Half of the plate included washed skin samples (w) and the other half included unwashed skin controls (nw). Column 1 is S. Seftenberg, column 2 is S. Thompson, and column 3 is S. Typhimurium. B) Amount of bioluminescence for the three representative strains at each time point.
progress of infection, effect of heat and pH treatments, growth in food samples, and toxicity [13,20,22,36]. One study used a single bioluminescent Salmonella Hadar isolate to investigate the effectiveness of washing for removal of Salmonella from turkey skin [20]. The current study demonstrates, for the first time, use of BLI for real-time monitoring of twelve Salmonella strains using a chicken skin model.

As a general trend observed from all strains, washing suppressed the reproduction of Salmonella on chicken skin, probably due to physical removal of bacteria (Figure 6). In non-washed skin samples, Salmonella numbers increased steadily over the two hour incubation period (Figure 5B), with final numbers showing an increase ranging from 143% (S. Schwarzengrund) to 459% (S. Newport) compared to initial measurement at time zero. In washed skin samples, the increase in Salmonella numbers was lower, demonstrating the effectiveness of simple agitation in water for suppressing Salmonella growth on chicken skin.

For all 12 strains, the washed treatments had a significantly lower change in bioluminescence than the corresponding non-washed treatments after the 2 h wash period. However, there was strain variation in the effectiveness of washing (Figure 6). For three strains (S. Kentucky, S. Mbandaka and S. Montevideo), washing reduced the number of Salmonella present on chicken skin at 120 min compared to 0 min (pre-wash). For the other strains, although washing did not decrease the number of Salmonella on chicken skin, it was effective in significantly reducing the growth relative to non-washed samples.

When the differences in bioluminescence between washed and non-washed treatments at 120 min were compared across strains, the decrease in bioluminescence for S. Newport caused by washing was significantly greater than the decrease in bioluminescence for S. Alachua, S. Braenderup, S. Enteritidis, and S. Schwarzengrund (Figure 6). Thus, based on the difference in bioluminescence between washed and non-washed treatments, washing was apparently most effective in removing S. Newport and least effective for S. Alachua, S. Braenderup, S. Enteritidis, and S. Schwarzengrund. However, it is interesting to note that the two strains that attached to chicken skin most effectively (S. Seftenberg and S. Thompson; Figure 4) were also able to increase their numbers in the wash treatment most effectively (3.7 and 2.7 fold increases, respectively, relative to pre-wash) over the 2 hr incubation.

Conclusion
Our results demonstrate that pAKlux1 is effective for labeling Salmonella enterica strains with bioluminescence. Using this tool, we were able to develop twelve bioluminescent Salmonella strains that were isolated from the poultry production/processing continuum. However, stability results indicated that pAKlux1 is not as stable in Salmonella as it is in other gram-negative species. Therefore, this labeling system may not be useful for long-term experiments, and work is already in progress in our group to develop a labeling system for Salmonella that is more stable.

Our work also shows that bioluminescence is an effective and sensitive method to monitor Salmonella on food products. In particular, our study demonstrates that Salmonella strains vary in their ability to attach to chicken skin. Future research aimed at determining whether the ability to attach to chicken skin correlates with the ability to persist in poultry production/processing environments is warranted. Finally, our results indicate that simple washing in an agitated water bath can remove contaminating Salmonella from chicken skin, but this method alone cannot eliminate the Salmonella completely due to strong bacterial attachment to skin. Therefore, additional methodologies should be used in poultry processing to eliminate Salmonella from the chicken skin to prevent disease outbreaks. The skin model developed in this study should be very useful for testing alternative strategies in addition to washing procedures.

Methods

Bacterial strains and growth media
As part of a previous study, we have collected, isolated, and serotyped thousands of Salmonella specimens derived
and cataloged at five different sites along the broiler production continuum: post hatchery, prior to harvest, arrival at the plant, pre-chill tank, and post-chill tank. Salmonella isolates were collected from 66 different flocks during the years 2003–2006. The cataloged information ascribed to each isolate includes location within the production continuum, flock environmental and production parameters, as well as processing plant information. Strains from twelve Salmonella enterica serovars from the poultry production continuum were selected for this study (S. Alachua, S. Braenderup, S. Enteritidis, S. Heidelberg, S. Kentucky, S. Mbandaka, S. Montevideo, S. Newport, S. Schwarzengrund, S. Seftenberg, S. Thompson, and S. Typhimurium). Salmonella strains were grown using Luria-Bertani broth and agar plates at 37°C, and bioluminescent Salmonella strains were grown in the same medium containing ampicillin (100 µg ml⁻¹) for plasmid maintenance.

**Bioluminescence tagging of Salmonella strains**

Bioluminescent Salmonella enterica strains were established using the broad host range plasmid pAKlux1 containing the luxCDABE operon from *Photobacterium luminescens* [15]. Salmonella strains were grown to logarithmic phase (OD₆00 of 0.6–0.8), made electrocompetent by washing with 10% cold glycerol solution four times, and stored at -80°C. pAKlux1 was isolated from *Escherichia coli* DH5α strain by QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Salmonella strains were transformed with pAKlux1 by electroporation using a Gene Pulser II system at 2.5 kV, 25 μF, and 400 Ω (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Cells were allowed to recover for 1 h at 37°C in SOC media (Invitrogen Corp., Carlsbad, CA). Following recovery, bacteria were spread on LB plates with ampicillin and placed in an incubator at 37°C for approximately 16 h. Ampicillin resistant bioluminescent Salmonella colonies were detected using a ChemiImager 5500 imaging system with AlphaEaseFC software (Alpha Innotech, San Leandro, CA) or using an IVIS Imaging System 100 Series with Living Image Software v2.50 (Xenogen Corp.). The last two dilutions were spread on LB agar with ampicillin to determine viable bacterial densities.

The linear correlation between population densities and bioluminescence was determined for each strain by plotting bioluminescence against bacteria numbers determined by duplicate plate counts. The minimum detectible number for each strain was determined using the number of bacteria present in the last dilution that had detectable luminescence above background. Colony counts were also used to calculate the theoretical amount of bioluminescence produced per CFU for each strain, which was used to compare expression of bioluminescence among serovars.

**Plasmid stability in vitro**

Plasmid stability of pAKlux1 in eleven Salmonella strains was analyzed by subculturing bioluminescent Salmonella strains in LB medium with and without ampicillin 15 times. For each passage, new 0.1 ml cultures were inoculated in microplates in quadruplicate from 16 h cultures at a 40-fold dilution. Bacterial density (OD₆00) and bioluminescence were determined for each of the 16 h cultures prior to starting new subcultures. Bioluminescence was measured using an IVIS Imaging System for 5 s at 37°C and normalized by dividing total flux by OD₆00 readings. The average normalized bioluminescence for each strain and passage was determined under non-selective and ampicillin-selected conditions, and plasmid stability was determined by calculating the ratio between normalized bioluminescence under non-selective conditions versus ampicillin-selected conditions. This revealed the capability of each serovar to maintain pAKlux1 under non-selective conditions.

**Development of skin attachment model**

An experimental model for investigating bacterial attachment to chicken skin was established. Chicken skin was obtained from a commercial poultry processing plant and submerged in 0.26% sodium hypochlorite solution for approximately 2 h and stored at 4°C until use. Circular sections of skin approximately 8 mm in diameter were made using a circular cutting blade and placed in black 24-well culture plates. To determine the optimal bacterial dose to prevent loss of experimental data due to image saturation, chicken skin was incubated with different numbers of bioluminescent Salmonella (1 × 10⁸ to 2.5 × 10⁴ CFU) in 1 ml of phosphate-buffered saline. Based on these results, we used a bacterial concentration of 1 × 10⁶ CFU/ml for subsequent experiments because this dose does not cause saturation and because it falls in the linear detection interval (1 × 10⁵-1 × 10⁸ photons/s/cm²/steradean [p/s/cm²/str]).
Characterization of skin attachment properties of Salmonella strains

After establishing the skin attachment model, differential attachment properties of twelve Salmonella strains were determined. For each strain, overnight bioluminescent Salmonella cultures were diluted to approximately 1 × 10⁶ CFU/ml in distilled water, and 1 ml was added to skin sections in quadruplicate. Plates were incubated at room temperature for 1 h to allow Salmonella to attach to skin. Then bacterial suspension was removed, and each well was gently washed twice with distilled water to remove unattached bacteria. Immediately after washing, plates were warmed to 37°C for 5 min and bioluminescence was measured for 15 s using the IVIS Imaging System. The experiment was repeated three times.

Total flux was calculated from the pseudo color images. To normalize for bacterial density differences between strains, the total flux from each well was divided by the OD₆₀₀ of the bacterial suspension used to infect that well. Because the amount of bioluminescence from different strains varied, theoretical bacterial numbers were calculated from bioluminescence using the linear correlation formulas calculated for each strain (Figure 1). The data were transformed by taking the base 10 logarithm of the calculated bacterial numbers to improve normality. To compare bacterial numbers of the 12 Salmonella strains following skin attachment (after 1 h incubation), a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the transformed data was conducted using PROC GLM SAS 9.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Carey NC). Variables for replicate, strain, and their interaction were included in each model. To compare the effect of washing across strains, the mean difference in bioluminescence between washed and non-washed treatments after 120 minutes was calculated for each strain. A two-way analysis of variance was conducted on the mean differences using PROC GLM SAS 9.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Carey NC). Variables for replicate, strain, and their interaction were included in the model. Pairwise comparison of the means was done using Tukey procedure. A significance level of 0.05 was used in all analyses.
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