Although the cellular prion protein (PrP<sup>C</sup>) is concentrated at synapses, the factors that target PrP<sup>C</sup> to synapses are not understood. Here we demonstrate that exogenous PrP<sup>C</sup> was rapidly targeted to synapses in recipient neurons derived from Prnp knockout<sup>(0/0)</sup> mice. The targeting of PrP<sup>C</sup> to synapses was dependent upon both neuronal cholesterol concentrations and the lipid and glycan composition of its glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor. Thus, the removal of either an acyl chain or sialic acid from the GPI anchor reduced the targeting of PrP<sup>C</sup> to synapses. Isolated GPIs (derived from PrP<sup>C</sup>) were also targeted to synapses, as was IgG conjugated to these GPIs. The removal of sialic acid from GPIs prevented the targeting of either the isolated GPIs or the IgG-GPI conjugate to synapses. Competition studies showed that pretreatment with sialylated GPIs prevented the targeting of PrP<sup>C</sup> to synapses. These results are consistent with the hypothesis that the sialylated GPI anchor attached to PrP<sup>C</sup> acts as a synapse homing signal.

The cellular prion protein (PrP<sup>C</sup>) gained prominence when it was identified as the normal isoform of the disease-associated protein (PrP<sup>Sc</sup>) that accumulates in the brains of humans and animals with transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (1). That observation increased interest in the role of PrP<sup>C</sup> in neurons. Reports that PrP<sup>C</sup> is concentrated at synapses (2, 3) and that transgenic mice in which the gene for PrP had been knocked-out<sup>(0/0)</sup> showed synaptic and memory deficits (4, 5) suggest that it plays a role in neurotransmission. The targeting of proteins to specific cellular locations may be critical for their function. For example, the proteins involved in synaptic vesicle recycling need to be delivered to the synapse in recipient neurons. Littes is known about the molecular mechanism(s) by which PrP<sup>C</sup> accumulates within synapses. PrP<sup>C</sup> is linked to cell membranes by a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor (6), which affects the cellular distribution and function of PrP<sup>C</sup> (7–9). PrP<sup>C</sup> is found within cholesterol-rich, membrane microdomains that are commonly called lipid rafts (10, 11). Because only a small proportion of proteins that are found within lipid rafts are subsequently transported to synapses, the factors affecting the targeting of PrP<sup>C</sup> to synapses were studied. The GPI anchor that links PrP<sup>C</sup> to the cell membrane targets it to lipid rafts (7). There are many different lipid rafts that demonstrate heterogeneity in their protein, glycolipid, and lipid composition (12), and different GPI-anchored proteins are targeted to different lipid rafts. For example, Thy-1 and PrP<sup>C</sup> occupy separate domains on the neuronal surface (13). Although all GPI anchors contain a conserved core, variations on this core structure are common (14), and the GPI attached to PrP<sup>C</sup> differs from that of Thy-1 (15, 16). It has been hypothesized that the localization of some GPI-anchored proteins to specific lipid rafts and hence specific cell membranes is due to the composition of the GPI anchor. Thus, the composition of GPI anchors directs antigens to different rafts in the absence of interactive external domains (17, 18), and the chemical composition of the GPI anchor alters the intracellular trafficking of proteins (19). Many GPI-anchored molecules are rapidly incorporated into living cells (20, 21). In this study, PrP<sup>C</sup> was transferred to recipient cortical neurons derived from Prnp knock-out<sup>(0/0)</sup> mice. We demonstrate that the targeting of PrP<sup>C</sup> to synapses was dependent upon cholesterol concentrations in the recipient neurons. Critically, we also demonstrate that the composition of the GPI anchor attached to PrP<sup>C</sup> is a key factor that affects the targeting of PrP<sup>C</sup> to synapses. More specifically, we show that the presence of sialic acid on the GPI anchor is necessary for the targeting of PrP<sup>C</sup> to synapses.

Results

PrP<sup>C</sup> Is Targeted to Synapses—To study the factors that control the targeting of PrP<sup>C</sup> to synapses, PrP<sup>C</sup> (10 nm) was introduced into neurons derived from Prnp<sup>(0/0)</sup> mice (22). PrP<sup>C</sup> preparations run in gels and stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue did not show any contaminants (Fig. 1A). After 2 h, recipient neurons were collected, and organelles were separated upon a Percoll density gradient. The synaptic protein synaptophysin, used to identify synaptosomes, was concentrated in fractions 42–46 (Fig. 1B). These fractions also contained high concentrations of the synaptic proteins synapsin-1 and synaptobrevin-1 and were consequently pooled as synaptosomes. Following introduction to neurons, PrP<sup>C</sup> showed a time-dependent (Fig. 1C) and concentration-dependent (Fig. 1D) accumulation within synaptosomes. Not all GPI-anchored proteins were targeted to synapses because GPI-anchored CD14 added to neurons did not accumulate within synapses.
Targeting of PrPC to Synapses Is Sensitive to Cholesterol Depletion—PrPC is found in lipid rafts that contain high concentrations of cholesterol (11). Because cholesterol depletion affects the expression of PrPC (7, 23, 24), the effects of cholesterol depletion on the targeting of PrPC to synapses were examined. Prnp(0/0) neurons were treated with squalestatin, a selective squalene synthase inhibitor that reduced neuronal cholesterol concentrations (25). Although treatment with 1 nM squalestatin did not affect the uptake of exogenous PrPC into neurons (9.3 nm PrPC ± 0.6 compared with 9.2 nm ± 0.5, n = 9, p = 0.68), it significantly reduced the concentrations of PrPC found in lipid rafts (detergent-resistant membranes (DRMs)) (Fig. 2A).

Although cholesterol is required for the formation and maintenance of synapses (26, 27), the mild cholesterol depletion caused by 1 μM squalestatin did not significantly alter the concentrations of synaptophysin, cysteine string protein (CSP), synapsin-1, or VAMP-1 (vesicle-associated membrane protein 1) in neurons (Fig. 2B) or isolated synaptosomes (Fig. 2C), indicating that it did not damage synapses. When Prnp(0/0) neurons were treated with squalestatin for 24 h and incubated with 10 nM PrPC, squalestatin caused a dose-dependent reduction in the concentrations of PrPC found within synaptosomes (Fig. 2D). There was a significant correlation between cholesterol concentrations in synaptosomes-treated neurons and the concentrations of PrPC found in synapses (Pearson’s coefficient = 0.73, p < 0.01) (Fig. 2E). Treatment of Prnp(+/-) wild-type neurons with 500 nm squalestatin reduced the concentrations of PrPC in synaptosomes (Fig. 2). The addition of 5 μM squalestatin reversed the effects of squalestatin upon neuronal cholesterol concentrations (23) and PrPC trafficking to synapses (Fig. 2G).

Monoc酰lated PrPC Is Not Targeted to Synapses—PrPC was digested with PLA_{2}, an enzyme that targets acyl chains contained within GPIs to form monoc酰lated PrPC (Fig. 3B) and isolated using an immunoaffinity column and reverse phase chromatography as described (8). Although similar amounts of monoc酰lated PrPC and PrPC bound to recipient Prnp(0/0) neurons (9.4 nm PrPC ± 0.7 compared with 9.2 nm ± 0.5, n = 12,
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FIGURE 3. Monoacylated PrP\textsuperscript{C} is not targeted to synapses. Schematics show the putative structure of the GPs attached to PrP\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{C}} (A) and monoacylated PrP\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{C}} (B). Glycan residues shown include mannose (Man), sialic acid (SA), galactose (Gal), N-acetyl galactosamine (GalNAc), inositol (Ins), and glucosamine (GlcN). C, concentrations of PrP\textsuperscript{C} (\textbullet) and monoacylated PrP\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{C}} (\textbullet) in raft and non-raft membranes derived from Prnp\textsuperscript{0/0} neurons incubated with 10 nM PrP\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{C}} or monoacylated PrP\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{C}} for 2 h. Values are means ± S.D. (error bars) from triplicate experiments performed three times (n = 9). D, the concentrations of PrP\textsuperscript{C} in synaptosomes derived from Prnp\textsuperscript{0/0} neurons incubated with PrP\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{C}} or monoacylated PrP\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{C}} as shown for 2 h. Values are means ± S.D. from duplicate experiments performed five times (n = 10).

Glia-derived PrP\textsuperscript{C} Did Not Target Synapses—Because PrP\textsuperscript{C} is also expressed by glial cells, we sought to determine whether glia-derived and neuron-derived PrP\textsuperscript{C} had the same properties. Prnp\textsuperscript{0/0} neurons were incubated with 10 nM glia-derived PrP\textsuperscript{C} or 10 nM neuron-derived PrP\textsuperscript{C} for 2 h. There were no significant differences in the concentrations of glial and neuronal PrP\textsuperscript{C} incorporated into recipient neurons; nor were there any significant differences in the concentrations found within DRMs (Table 1). However, glial PrP\textsuperscript{C} did not accumulate within synaptosomes (Fig. 4A). By comparing neuron and glia-derived PrP\textsuperscript{C} we sought to determine the factors that affect synaptic targeting. Because the glycosylation of PrP\textsuperscript{C} affects the trafficking of PrP\textsuperscript{C} (28, 29), the possibility that cell-specific glycosylation affected the targeting of PrP\textsuperscript{C} to synapses was examined. PNGase removed the GlcN, concentrations of PrP\textsuperscript{C} (\textsuperscript{C}) and monoacylated PrP\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{C}} (\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{C}}) in raft and non-raft membranes derived from Prnp\textsuperscript{0/0} neurons incubated with 10 nM PrP\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{C}} or monoacylated PrP\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{C}} for 2 h. Values are means ± S.D. (error bars) from triplicate experiments performed three times (n = 9). D, the concentrations of PrP\textsuperscript{C} in synaptosomes derived from Prnp\textsuperscript{0/0} neurons incubated with PrP\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{C}} or monoacylated PrP\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{C}} as shown for 2 h. Values are means ± S.D. from duplicate experiments performed five times (n = 10).

Table 1

| Concentration PrP\textsuperscript{C} | Total | DRM | DSM | Synaps | | Glia-derived PrP\textsuperscript{C} | 9.2 ± 0.9 | 8.5 ± 0.8 | 1.0 ± 0.4 | 1.8 ± 0.32 | | PNGase-digested neuronal PrP\textsuperscript{C} | 8.9 ± 0.6 | 8.2 ± 0.8 | 0.9 ± 0.3 | 1.9 ± 0.35 | | Glial PrP\textsuperscript{C} | 9.2 ± 1.0 | 8.6 ± 0.8 | 1.1 ± 0.3 | 0.29 ± 0.24 | | PNGase-digested glial PrP\textsuperscript{C} | 9.0 ± 0.5 | 8.0 ± 0.9 | 1.1 ± 0.4 | 0.24 ± 0.18 * |

*Concentration of PrP\textsuperscript{C} in synaptosomes significantly less than in neurons incubated with neuron-derived PrP\textsuperscript{C}.

FIGURE 4. Glia-derived PrP\textsuperscript{C} is not targeted to synapses. A, concentrations of PrP\textsuperscript{C} in synaptosomes from Prnp\textsuperscript{0/0} neurons incubated for 2 h with neuronal PrP\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{C}} (\textbullet) or glial PrP\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{C}} (\textbullet) as shown. Values are means ± S.D. (error bars) from triplicate experiments performed three times (n = 9). B, Coo-massie Brilliant Blue-stained gel showing protein ladder (lane 1), deglycosylated glial PrP\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{C}} (lane 2), and deglycosylated neuronal PrP\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{C}} (lane 3). C, concentrations of PrP\textsuperscript{C} in synaptosomes from Prnp\textsuperscript{0/0} neurons incubated for 2 h with neuronal PrP\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{C}} (\textbullet) or endoglycosidase F-digested neuronal PrP\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{C}} (checkered bars) as shown. Values are means ± S.D. from duplicate experiments performed five times (n = 10). D, dot blots showing the binding of mAb 5AB3-11 (phosphatidylinositol), concanavalin A (mannose), or s. nigra lectin (sialic acid) to GPs isolated from neuronal PrP\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{C}} (lane 1) or glial PrP\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{C}} (lane 2).

Effects of N-linked glycans on the trafficking of PrP\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{C}}, all subsequent experiments were carried out on PNGase-digested PrP\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{C}} preparations.

Because the composition of the GPI anchor is dependent upon the cell type (31, 32), the nature of GPs associated to glial and neuronal PrP\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{C}} was determined. Isolated GPs from neuronal and glial PrP\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{C}} were blotted onto nitrocellulose membranes. Detection with the phosphatidylinositol-reactive mAb 5AB3-11 and biotinylated concanavalin A (which binds to mannose, a core component of all GPs) showed that similar amounts of GPs were loaded onto membranes. However, Sam\textit{bucus nigra} lectin (which reacts with terminal sialic acid bound either α-2,6 or α-2,3 to galactose) bound to GPs isolated from
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neuronal PrPC but not glial PrPC (Fig. 4C), indicating that only the GPI attached to neuronal PrPC contained a terminal sialic acid.

Desialylated PrPC Does Not Target Synapses—The composition of GPI anchors affects the targeting of proteins to specific membranes (20, 33). This sialic acid on GPIs derived from neuronal PrPC is susceptible to neuraminidase digestion (34), resulting in desialylated PrPC (Fig. 5A). Digestion of neuronal PrPC with neuraminidase reduced the binding of S. nigra lectin without affecting the binding of concanavalin A or the phosphatidylinositol-reactive mAb 5AB3-11. Neuraminidase removal of terminal sialic acid would be expected to reveal a galactose residue (Fig. 5A). The lectin RC1 (which reacts with terminal galactose) binds to GPIs derived from desialylated PrPC but not to GPIs from neuronal PrPC, consistent with the putative structure of PrPC-GPIs as proposed by Stahl et al. (15) (Fig. 5B). Similar amounts of PrPC and desialylated PrPC bound to Prnp(0/0) neurons and were found within DRMs (Table 1). Although both neuronal and desialylated PrPC were targeted to rafts, desialylated PrPC did not accumulate in synapses (Fig. 5C).

To determine whether the GPI attached to PrPC found at synapses differed from that found in the cell body, synaptosomes from Prnp(+/+) wild-type neurons were isolated. PrPC was purified, and GPIs were isolated. There were no obvious differences between GPIs isolated from synaptic PrPC or from cell body PrPC when analyzed by high performance thin layer chromatography (HPTLC) (Fig. 5D) or by dot blots (Fig. 5E). The S. nigra lectin (which binds terminal sialic acid) bound to GPIs isolated from PrPC derived from the cell body.

Isolated GPIs Are Targeted to Synapses—GPIs from neuronal PrPC and desialylated PrPC were isolated on C18 columns (Fig. 6A) and HPTLC (Fig. 6B) and labeled with FITC. Prnp(0/0) neurons were incubated with 10 nM FITC or 10 nM FITC-labeled GPIs for 2 h and washed, and fluorescence was measured. Neurons incubated with 10 nM FITC contained only 0.6 nM ± 0.2 FITC compared with neurons incubated with FITC-GPI (8.2 nM ± 0.88) or FITC-desialylated GPI (7.7 nM ± 0.98) (Table 2). Similar concentrations of GPIs and desialylated GPIs were found in lipid rafts (DRMs) (Table 2). GPIs derived from neuronal PrPC accumulated in synaptosomes in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 6C). FITC-GPIs accumulated in synaptosomes at higher concentrations than FITC alone or desialylated GPIs (Fig. 6D).

To determine whether GPIs inhibited the targeting of neuronal PrPC to synaptosomes, Prnp(0/0) neurons were pretreated with GPIs derived from PrPC (10 to 1.25 nM) and incubated with 10 nM neuronal PrPC for 2 h. These GPIs reduced the concentrations of PrPC found within synaptosomes in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 6E). This effect was structure-dependent because desialylated GPIs did not affect the concentrations of PrPC found within synaptosomes (Fig. 6F).

GPIs Target IgG to Synapses—To test the hypothesis that specific GPIs could target other proteins to synapses, rabbit IgG was conjugated to GPIs (derived from neuronal PrPC) or desialylated GPIs. The GPI-modified rabbit IgG was isolated by reverse phase chromatography on C18 columns. IgG conjugated to GPIs or desialylated GPIs eluted from C18 columns in concentrations between 60 and 70%, whereas control IgG did not bind and eluted in the void volume (Fig. 7A). IgG conjugated to GPIs was differentiated from IgG by HPTLC (Fig. 7B). Subsequently, neurons were incubated with 10 nM IgG, 10 nM IgG-GPI, or 10 nM IgG-desialylated GPI for 2 h. Only low concentrations of IgG (0.3 nM) bound to neurons. Similar concentrations of IgG-GPI and IgG-desialylated GPI were found in neurons, indicating that the composition of the GPI did not affect the binding to neurons (Fig. 7C). Both IgG-GPI and IgG-desialylated GPI were targeted to lipid rafts (DRMs) (Table 3). Both were released from neurons by digestion with phosphatidylinositol-specific phospholipase C (PI-PLC), indicating that they were expressed at the surface of neurons (Table 3). Critically, IgG-GPI, but not IgG-desialylated GPI, was found in synaptosomes (Fig. 7D).
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GPIs are targeted to rafts

Shown are the concentrations of GPIs in Prnp\(^{0/0}\) neurons incubated with 10 nM FITC (as a control) or 10 nM FITC conjugated to GPI or desialylated GPI for 2 h when cell extracts, DRMs, and DSMs were isolated. Values are means ± S.D. from triplicate experiments performed 4 times (n = 12).

| Total | DRM | DSM |
|-------|-----|-----|
| FITC-control | 0.6 ± 0.2 | 0.2 ± 0.2 | 0.4 ± 0.2 |
| FITC-GPI | 8.2 ± 0.88 | 7.6 ± 0.64* | 0.53 ± 0.21 |
| FITC-desialylated GPI | 7.7 ± 0.98 | 7.2 ± 0.71* | 0.51 ± 0.25 |

* Concentration of GPIs in DRMs (rafts) significantly less than in neurons incubated with control GPIs.

Discussion

Our study examined the factors that affect the distribution of PrP\(^\text{C}\) in neurons. We report that PrP\(^\text{C}\) added to recipient Prnp\(^{0/0}\) neurons rapidly accumulated at synapses. The targeting of PrP\(^\text{C}\) to synapses was dependent upon two key factors: the cholesterol concentration of cell membranes and the composition of the GPI attached to PrP\(^\text{C}\). More specifically, PrP\(^\text{C}\) was not targeted to synapses following the removal of either sialic acid or an acyl chain from the GPI attached to PrP\(^\text{C}\).

The targeting of proteins to specific membrane domains is of key importance in neurons. PrP\(^\text{C}\) is concentrated in synapses (2, 3), and in this study, neuron-derived PrP\(^\text{C}\) was targeted to synapses after its inclusion in specific, cholesterol-sensitive, lipid rafts. The mild cholesterol depletion caused by squalstatin did not affect the number of synapses. However, this cholesterol depletion reduced the targeting of neuronal PrP\(^\text{C}\) to lipid rafts and the trafficking of PrP\(^\text{C}\) to synapses (there was a significant correlation between the concentrations of cholesterol in squalstatin-treated neurons and the concentrations of PrP\(^\text{C}\) found within synaptosomes), results consistent with the hypothesis that the inclusion of PrP\(^\text{C}\) into lipid rafts precedes delivery of PrP\(^\text{C}\) to synapses. Squalstatin also reduced the concentrations of PrP\(^\text{C}\) found in synaptosomes from wild type neurons. The effect of squalstatin on cholesterol concentrations and the targeting of PrP\(^\text{C}\) to rafts and synaptosomes were reversed by the addition of squalene.

We hypothesized that the GPI also targeted PrP\(^\text{C}\) to synapses. This hypothesis was supported by the observation that monosaccharylated PrP\(^\text{C}\) was neither targeted to rafts nor found in synapses when cell extracts, DRMs, and DSMs were isolated. Values are means ± S.D. from triplicate experiments performed three times (n = 6). * Significantly less synaptic PrP\(^\text{C}\) than in control synaptosomes.
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**FIGURE 6. Desialylated GPIs do not target synapses.** A, GPIs derived from neuronal PrP\(^\text{C}\) (●) and desialylated GPIs ( ●) eluted from C18 columns. Values are means ± S.D. from triplicate experiments performed twice (n = 6). B, amounts of FITC in synaptosomes from neurons incubated for 2 h with FITC-labeled GPIs as shown. Values are means ± S.D. from triplicate experiments performed twice (n = 6). C, concentrations of PrP\(^\text{C}\) in synaptosomes isolated from Prnp\(^{0/0}\) neurons pretreated with control medium ( ) or with 10 nM FITC-labeled GPIs ( ●) or 10 nM FITC-desialylated GPIs ( ●). Values are means ± S.D. from triplicate experiments performed twice (n = 6). D, concentrations of PrP\(^\text{C}\) in synaptosomes isolated from Prnp\(^{0/0}\) neurons pretreated with control medium ( ) or control medium ( ●) and incubated with 10 nM PrP\(^\text{C}\). Values are means ± S.D. from triplicate experiments performed two times (n = 6). * Significantly less synaptic PrP\(^\text{C}\) than in control synaptosomes.
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**FIGURE 7. Sialylated GPIs targeted IgG to synapses.** A, concentrations of IgG ( ), IgG-GPI ( ●), or IgG-desialylated GPI ( ●) in fractions eluted off of C18 columns under a gradient of propanol and water. B, IgG ( ) and IgG-GPI ( lane 1) and IgG-GPI ( lane 2) separated by HPTLC. C, concentrations of IgG in synaptosomes derived from neurons incubated with 10 nM IgG ( ), 10 nM IgG-GPI ( ), or 10 nM IgG-desialylated GPI ( striped bar) for 2 h. Values are means ± S.D. from triplicate experiments performed three times (n = 9). D, concentrations of IgG in synaptosomes derived from neurons incubated with 10 nM IgG ( ), 10 nM IgG-GPI ( ), or 10 nM IgG-desialylated GPI ( striped bar) for 2 h. Values are means ± S.D. from triplicate experiments performed three times (n = 9).
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**TABLE 2**

GPIs and Synaptic Targeting

| Total | DRM | DSM |
|-------|-----|-----|
| FITC-control | 0.6 ± 0.2 | 0.2 ± 0.2 | 0.4 ± 0.2 |
| FITC-GPI | 8.2 ± 0.88 | 7.6 ± 0.64* | 0.53 ± 0.21 |
| FITC-desialylated GPI | 7.7 ± 0.98 | 7.2 ± 0.71* | 0.51 ± 0.25 |

* Concentration of GPIs in DRMs (rafts) significantly less than in neurons incubated with control GPIs.
glial PrPC was not found within synapses indicated that the increased association of desialylated PrPC with gangliosides sialic acid from neuronal PrPC reduced its migration to synapses. The hypothesis was supported by observations that the removal of IgG in neurons following digestion with PI-PLC. Values are means ± S.D. from triplicate experiments performed four times (n = 12).

These results suggested that the synapse-targeting information is contained within the GPI alone, which is consistent with reports that the glycan composition of GPls direct antigens to specific membrane microdomains in the absence of interactive external domains (18, 33). The targeting of isolated GPls (derived from PrP(C)) also required the presence of sacial acid. The role of the GPI as a major “synapse-targeting” signal was demonstrated when isolated GPls were conjugated to rabbit IgG. IgG was not readily taken up by neurons and was not found within synapses. In contrast, IgG conjugated to GPls derived from neuronal PrP(C) inserted into recipient neurons and was targeted to synapses. Although IgG conjugated to desialylated GPls also inserted into neurons, it was not found within synapses. Such results suggest a novel mechanism of cell engineering whereby proteins could be targeted to synapses by the attachment of specific GPls.

The maximum concentration of PrP(C) measured in synapses of recipient neurons, regardless of how much PrP(C) was added, was ~2 nm. Similar concentrations of PrP(C) were found in synaptosomes from Prnp wild-type neurons, suggesting that the process by which PrP(C) traffics to synapses is limited. In a similar manner, the concentrations of isolated GPls found at synapses were also limited. The observation that pretreatment with isolated GPls reduced the targeting of neuronal PrP(C) to synapses supported the hypothesis that PrP(C) and isolated GPls compete for the same synapse-targeting pathways. This hypothesis is supported by the observation that the capacity of GPls to block the targeting of neuronal PrP(C) to synapses was also dependent upon the presence of sialic acid.

In conclusion, we demonstrated the role of GPls as targeting signals in neurons. The targeting of PrP(C) to synapses was dependent upon the structure of the GPI anchor requiring the presence of two acyl chains and sialic acid. The composition of the GPI anchor alone contained sufficient information to target synapses in the absence of the external protein domain.

### Experimental Procedures

#### Primary Neuronal Cultures—
Cortical neurons were prepared from the brains of mouse embryos (day 15.5) from both Prnp wild-type(+/+) and Prnp knock-out(0/0) mice. Neurons were plated at 1 × 10⁶ cells/well in 6-well plates (precoated with poly-L-lysine) in Ham’s F-12 containing 5% fetal calf serum for 2 h. Cultures were then shaken (600 rpm for 5 min), and non-adherent cells were removed by three washes in PBS. Neurons were grown in neurobasal medium containing B27 components and nerve growth factor (5 ng/ml) (Sigma) for 10 days. Immunohistochemistry showed that the cells were greater than 95% neuronal, neurofilament-positive. For cell-targeting studies, neurons were incubated with PrP(C) preparations for different time periods. In some experiments, neurons were pretreated with test compounds (drugs, PrP preparations, and isolated GPls) and incubated with test preparations.

#### Cell Extracts—
Neurons were homogenized in an extraction buffer containing 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 10 mM EDTA, 0.5% Nonidet P-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.2% SDS, and mixed protease inhibitors (4-(2-amoethoxy) benzenesulfonyl fluoride hydrochloride, aprotinin, leupeptin, bestatin, pepstatin A, and E-64) (Sigma) and a phosphatase

### Table 3

Sialylated GPls target IgG to rafts

| Rabbit IgG          | Total | DRM  | DSM  | PI-PLC |
|---------------------|-------|------|------|--------|
| Control IgG         | 0.3 ± 0.1 | 0.1 ± 0.1 | 0.1 ± 0.1 | 0.1 ± 0.1 |
| IgG-GPI             | 9.1 ± 0.4 | 8.4 ± 0.5 | 0.8 ± 0.2 | 0.2 ± 0.2 |
| IgG-desialylated GPI | 9.2 ± 0.5 | 8.5 ± 0.7 | 0.8 ± 0.2 | 0.1 ± 0.1 |
inhibitor mixture including PP1, PP2A, microcystin LR, cantharidin, and \(p\)-bromotetramisole (Sigma) at \(10^6\) cells/ml. Nuclei and cell debris were removed by centrifugation (500 \(\times\) g for 5 min).

**Isolation of Synaptosomes**—Synaptosomes were prepared on a discontinuous Percoll gradient as described (48). Briefly, neurons were homogenized at 4 °C in 1 ml of SED solution (0.32 M sucrose, 5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.2, 1 mM EDTA, and 0.25 mM dithiothreitol) and centrifuged at 1000 \(\times\) g for 4 °C. The supernatant was filtered through a four-step gradient of 3, 7, 15, and 23% Percoll in SED solution and centrifuged at 16,000 \(\times\) g for 30 min at 4 °C. The synaptosomes were collected from the interface between the 15 and 23% Percoll and washed (16,000 \(\times\) g for 10 min at 4 °C) and suspended in neurobasal medium containing B27 components. All synaptosomes were used on the same day of preparation; freshly prepared synaptosomes were incubated with peptides for 1 h. After the test period, synaptosomes were homogenized in either extraction buffer (as above) or in the DRM extraction buffer (as below). All synaptosome preparations contained equal amounts of synaptophysin.

**Western Blotting**—Samples were mixed with Laemmli buffer containing \(\beta\)-mercaptoethanol and heated to 95 °C for 5 min, and proteins were separated by electrophoresis on 15% polyacrylamide gels. Proteins were transferred onto a Hybond-P polyvinylidene fluoride membrane by semidyry blotting. Membranes were blocked using 10% milk powder; synapsin-1 was detected with goat polyclonal antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), synaptophysin with MAB368 (Abcam), CSP with rabbit polyclonal anti-CSP (sc-33154, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), VAMP-1 with mAb 4H302 (Abcam), caveolin with rabbit polyclonal antibodies to caveolin (Upstate), and PrP\(^C\) with mAb 4F2 (J. Grassi). These were visualized using a combination of biotinylated anti-mouse/goat/rat/rabbit IgG (Sigma), extravidin-peroxidase, and enhanced chemiluminescence.

**Isolation of DRMs**—These membranes were isolated by their insolubility in non-ionic detergents as described (49). Briefly, samples were homogenized in an ice-cold buffer containing 1% Triton X-100, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.2, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, and mixed protease and phosphatase inhibitors, and nuclei and large fragments were removed by centrifugation (300 \(\times\) g for 5 min at 4 °C). The postnuclear supernatant was incubated on ice (4 °C) for 1 h with intermittent shaking and centrifuged (16,000 \(\times\) g for 30 min at 4 °C). The supernatant was reserved as the DSM, whereas the pellet was homogenized in an extraction buffer (as above) and centrifuged (10 min at 16,000 \(\times\) g), and the soluble material was reserved as the DRM fraction.

**Synaptophysin ELISA**—The amounts of synaptophysin in neurons were measured by ELISA (50). Maxisorb immunoplates were coated with 0.5 µg/ml mouse anti-synaptophysin mAb (MAB368, Chemicon, Darmstadt, Germany) and blocked with 5% milk powder. Samples were added for 1 h, and bound synaptophysin was detected with rabbit polyclonal anti-synaptophysin (Abcam) followed by biotinylated anti-rabbit IgG, extravidin-alkaline phosphatase, and 1 mg/ml 4-nitrophenyl phosphate (Sigma). Absorbance was measured on a microplate reader at 405 nm. Samples were expressed as “units of synaptophysin,” where 100 units was defined as the amount of synaptophysin in 10^6 control neurons.

**Cholesterol**—The concentrations of cholesterol in samples were measured using the Amplex Red cholesterol assay kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, cholesterol was oxidized by cholesterol oxidase to yield hydrogen peroxide and ketones. The hydrogen peroxide reacted with 10-acetyl-3,7-dihydroxyphenoxazine (Amplex Red reagent) to produce highly fluorescent resorufin, which was measured by excitation at 550 nm and emission detection at 590 nm.

**Isolation of PrP\(^C\)**—PrP\(^C\) molecules were isolated from neurons or from N9 glial cells that had been homogenized in an extraction buffer (as above), and cell debris and nuclei were removed by centrifugation. The postnuclear supernatant was incubated with an affinity column loaded with mAb ICSM35 (M. Tayebi), and PrP\(^C\) was eluted using glycine-HCl at pH 2.7, neutralized with 1 M Tris, and desalted. These PrP\(^C\) preparations were further purified using size exclusion chromatography (Superdex 200 PC column). Some PrP\(^C\) preparations were digested with 2 units/ml endoglycosidase F (Elizabetkingia meningoseptica), 0.2 units/ml PI-PLC (Bacillus cereus), 10 units/ml bee venom PLA\(_2\), or 0.2 units/ml neuraminidase (Clostridium perfringens) (all from Sigma) for 2 h at 37 °C. Samples were centrifuged through a 50-kDa filter to remove enzymes, and samples were loaded onto C18 columns (Waters). Proteins were purified using reverse phase chromatography with a gradient of propanol in water. Fractions were tested by ELISA; PrP-containing fractions were pooled, desalted, and stored at −80 °C. For bioassays, samples were thawed on the day of use and solubilized in culture medium by sonication.

**PrP ELISA**—The concentrations of PrP in samples were determined by ELISA as described (8). Briefly, Maxisorb immunoplates were coated with mAb ICSM18 and blocked with 5% milk powder. Samples were applied and detected with biotinylated mAb ICSM35, followed by extravidin-alkaline phosphatase and 1 mg/ml 4-nitrophenyl phosphate. Absorbance was measured on a microplate reader at 405 nm, and the amount of PrP in samples was calculated by reference to a standard curve of recombinant murine PrP (Fisher).

**Isolation of CD14**—CD14 was isolated from N9 glial cells that had been homogenized in an extraction buffer (as above). Insoluble debris and nuclei were removed by centrifugation. CD14 in the postnuclear supernatant was isolated using an affinity column loaded with rat anti-mouse CD14 mAb (clone RmC5-3) (BD Biosciences). CD14 was eluted with glycine-HCl at pH 2.7, neutralized with 1 M Tris, and loaded onto C18 columns. Proteins were eluted under a gradient of acetonitrile in water and 0.1% TFA. Fractions were tested by ELISA, and CD14-containing fractions were pooled, lyophilized, and stored at −80 °C. For bioassays, samples were thawed on the day of use and solubilized in culture medium by sonication.

**CD14 ELISA**—Concentrations of CD14 were measured by ELISA as described (39). Briefly, Maxisorb immunoplates were coated with 0.5 µg/ml rat IgG1 anti-mouse CD14 mAb (clone RmC5-3). Samples were applied, and bound CD14 was detected using a goat polyclonal IgG anti-mouse CD14 (R&D Systems), followed by anti-goat IgG conjugated to alkaline phosphatase.
(Sigma) and 1 mg/ml 4-nitrophenyl phosphate. Absorbance was measured on a microplate reader at 405 nm and compared with a titration of recombinant mouse CD14 (Enzo Lifesciences).

Isolation and Analysis of GPI Anchors—PrPSc preparations were digested with 100 μg/ml protease K for 24 h at 37 °C, resulting in GPI anchors attached to the terminal amino acid. The released GPIs were extracted with water-saturated butanol-1-ol and washed with water a further three times before being loaded onto C18 columns. GPIs were eluted using reverse phase chromatography under a gradient of acetonitrile and water. GPIs were detected by ELISA (see below), and positive fractions were pooled and lyophilized. Stock solutions were dissolved in chromatography under a gradient of acetonitrile and water.

The released GPIs were extracted with water-saturated butanol (Sigma), and visualized using chemiluminescence. Samples were digested with 100 μg/ml of mAb 5AB3-11, followed by biotinylated anti-mouse IgM and extravidin-horseradish peroxidase (Sigma), and visualized using chemiluminescence.

GPI ELISA—Maxisorb immunoplates were coated with 0.5 μg/ml concanavalin A (which binds mannose) and blocked with 5% milk powder in PBS-Tween. Samples were added, and any bound GPI was detected by the addition of the phosphatidylinositol-reactive mAb 5AB3-11, followed by a biotinylated anti-mouse IgM (Sigma), extravidin-alkaline phosphatase, and any bound GPI was detected by the addition of the phosphatidylinositol-reactive mAb 5AB3-11, followed by a biotinylated anti-mouse IgM (Sigma), extravidin-alkaline phosphatase, and 1 mg/ml 4-nitrophenyl phosphate. Absorbance was measured on a microplate reader at 405 nm, and the amount of rabbit IgG in samples was calculated by reference to a standard curve of rabbit IgG (Sigma). IgG and IgG-GPI conjugates were separated by HPTLC on silica gel 60 plates and developed using a mixture of chloroform/methanol/water (4:4:1, v/v/v). Plates were soaked in 0.1% polyisobutyl methacrylate in hexane, dried, and blocked with 5% milk powder and probed with 1 μg/ml of mAb 5AB3-11, followed by biotinylated anti-mouse IgM and extravidin-horseradish peroxidase (Sigma), and visualized using chemiluminescence.

Lectin Analysis of GPI Anchors—The presence of specific glycans in GPI anchors was determined using biotinylated lectins and dot blotting as described (34). Isolated GPIs were blotted onto nitrocellulose membranes, which were blocked (10% milk powder). Samples were incubated with biotinylated S. nigra (which detects terminal sialic acid residues bound α-2,6 or α-2,3 to galactose) or biotinylated concanavalin A to detect mannose (Vector Laboratories). Bound biotinylated lectins were visualized using extravidin peroxidase and enhanced chemiluminescence. The presence of phosphatidylinositol in GPIs was determined using a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-murine IgG and chemiluminescence.

Conjugation of GPIs to Rabbit IgG—Rabbit IgG (Sigma) was conjugated to GPIs by a two-step process. First, GPIs were conjugated to protein A (Innova Biosciences). The protein A complex was then cross-linked to rabbit IgG, and both steps used the homobifunctional cross-linking agent dimethyl pimelimidate (Pierce) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. IgG-GPI conjugates were purified using reverse phase chromatography on C18 columns. The concentrations of rabbit IgG in samples were measured by ELISA. Maxisorb immunoplates were coated with mAb anti-rabbit IgG, clone L27A9 (New England Biolabs) and blocked with 5% milk powder. Samples were added, and rabbit IgG was detected with biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Sigma) followed by extravidin-alkaline phosphatase and 1 mg/ml 4-nitrophenyl phosphate. Absorbance was measured on a microplate reader at 405 nm, and the amount of rabbit IgG in samples was calculated by reference to a standard curve of rabbit IgG (Sigma). IgG and IgG-GPI conjugates were separated by HPTLC on silica gel 60 plates and developed using a mixture of chloroform/methanol/water (4:4:1, v/v/v).

Statistical Methods—Comparison of treatment effects was carried out using Student’s paired t tests and one-way and two-way analysis of variance with Bonferroni’s post hoc tests (IBM SPSS Statistics version 20). Error values are S.D., and significance was determined where p was <0.01. Correlations between data sets were analyzed using Pearson’s bivariate coefficient (IBM SPSS Statistics version 20).

Author Contributions—C. B., W. N., and H. M.-O. were responsible for carrying out the experiments and data analysis. C. B. and A. W. were responsible for planning experiments and writing the manuscript.
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