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1 INTRODUCTION

Prostate cancer (PCa) accounts for almost one in every five new non-melanoma cancer diagnoses in men, and although reduced by more than 50% within the last three decades, PCa is still the second most cancer-related mortality in men in the Western countries.1,2 While the incidence of primary metastatic PCa decreased about 20% by the introduction of prostate specific antigen (PSA)
in the late 1980s, two other clinical challenges emerged, that is, overdiagnosis and overtreatment. Therefore, an accurate risk stratification tool at the time of diagnosis is the cornerstone of clinical decision making paving the way for personalized management of PCa.

Since the mid-1990's there was a plethora of pre-and post-treatment predictive models to propose risk assessment of localized PCa of both clinical and biochemical outcome. These models depend either completely or partially on PSA, clinical tumor stage (cT), and Gleason score (GS).

Whereas GS is still the best prognostic indicator in PCa management, discrepancy between GS in the diagnostic needle biopsies and the prostatectomy specimens, inter- and intraobserver variability, and sampling error are among its most common pitfalls in daily practice.

These models are challenged by the nature of PCa as a heterogeneous disease. Patients with high risk PCa have an approximate 50% risk of progression at 5 years while 70% of the patients with PCa under the active surveillance strategy (AS) can avoid active treatment during 15 years of follow-up. Moreover, throughout treatment it may also be difficult as well as doubtful to rely on a model based only on the PCa characteristics at the time of diagnosis.

More robust risk assessment tools are therefore needed in the management of PCa and with the advent of bio-informatics, temporal genomic analysis may now be incorporated into the predictive tools to improve stratification accuracy. Since heterogeneity of PCa tissue is a well-known clinical challenge in PCa management, liquid biomarkers may represent an alternative diagnostic and prognostic tool in PCa.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are non-coding single-stranded evolutionarily conserved RNA molecules comprising 19–24 nucleotides in length that regulate gene expression both at the transcriptional and post transcriptional level. Recently, more than 1900 human miRNAs have been identified (www.mirbasae.org, March 2018), their genes being located within protein-coding genes or in intergenic regions; either alone or in clusters. MicroRNAs play a crucial role in both physiological and pathological cellular processes such as cell cycle regulation, development, and apoptosis, and in cancer development and progression. They are quite stable in different biological materials and in various storage conditions. They are dysregulated in many type of cancers, including PCa and available for sampling through a variety of body fluids. Consequently, miRNAs have attracted attention as candidates for minimally invasive biomarkers in PCa.

The aim of this study was to illustrate the change of circulating miRNAs after management of newly diagnosed patients with localized/locally advanced PCa and to analyze the correlation between circulating miRNAs and common risk parameters (PSA, GS, cT, and the European Association of Urology (EAU) risk profile).

2 MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Patients

As described in our previous work (validation cohort), all patients were referred to the Department of Urology either at Vejle Hospital or Esbjerg Hospital, Denmark, between September 2015 and May 2017. All participants provided informed written consent.

In total, 149 patients were prospectively included in this study. Patients were referred for further diagnostic evaluation due to high PSA levels with or without lower urinary tract symptoms. All patients were diagnosed with localized/locally advanced adenocarcinoma of the prostate based on transrectal ultrasound biopsy (TRUS) with no clinical evidence of distant metastases on the standard staging radiological examination (bone scan +/- CT scan). The cohort was divided into two groups according to national guidelines, an intervention group and an observation group. Three different treatment strategies were applied to the intervention group; robot assisted radical prostatectomy (RARP), curative intent radiotherapy (RT), or palliative treatment with androgen-deprivation therapy (ADT) alone. In the observation group patients were managed either by AS or the watchful waiting (WW) strategy. Baseline plasma samples were collected at the time of diagnosis and approximately 6 months later.

2.2 Blood collection and storage

Two venous blood samples were collected from all patients; baseline (prior to TRUS) and follow-up (after 6 months). Sampling was performed by skilled phlebotomists using a minimum of venous stasis to prevent hemolysis. Whole blood was collected into 9 mL ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) containing tubes (Becton-Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Platelet-poor plasma (PPP) was prepared by dual centrifugation within 2 h from blood sampling. The samples were centrifuged at 3000 g for 15 min. and carefully transferred to another tube, leaving approximately 1 mL of plasma on top of the buffy coat. The centrifugation step was repeated and again approximately 1 mL was left at the bottom of the tube when the PPP was transferred into cryo-tubes and stored at −80°C.

To reduce the risk of hemolysis and diminish the risk of release of miRNAs from other intravascular cell compartments, all blood samples were handled within the first 2 h after sample collection. Also, all samples were evaluated for hemolysis by A414 measure and approx. 85% of all values were s log (abs) = 0.25, which corresponds to less than 1% hemolysis when comparing to recommendations. There were 32 samples (11%) above 0.25 and below 0.5. Evaluation of these samples did not show any trends toward neither higher nor lower Ct values and therefore, they were not excluded. In only 11 samples (4%) the hemolysis index was relatively high (≥ 0.5) and these samples were excluded from further data analysis.

2.3 Selected miRNA targets

In the present study a panel of four microRNAs was selected; miRNA-21, -93, -125b, and miRNA-221. This choice was based on results from our previous work and a comprehensive review of the literature (Supplementary Table SI).
2.4 RNA extraction

2.4.1 Plasma samples

Based on the validation cohort from our previous work,25 microRNA purification from PPP was performed using the Maxwell RSC miRNA Tissue Kit (AS1460) verified for plasma use (Scientific Style and Format, 7th edition, 2006). Two hundred microliter plasma was mixed with 200 μL chilled 1-Thioglycerol/Homogenization Solution and 200 μL lysis solution. After incubation for 10 min, spike-in Cel-miRNA-39 (5’ phosphorylated) was added (final concentration 1 pM) as a purification control and then loaded into the Maxwell RSC Instrument. Elution was done using 60 μL elution buffer.

2.4.2 Reverse transcription, pre-amplification, and qPCR

All preparations took place according to the standard protocol from Life Technologies, Custom Taqman® Advanced miRNA Assays single tube assays (A25576) and the qPCR instrument Roche Lightcycler®96. In brief, reverse transcription (RT) was performed in three steps, first a poly-A tailing, then adaptor ligation reaction followed by a universal RT. After RT, we performed targeted pre-amplification according to protocol and the final cDNA was diluted 10 times before mixing the qPCR reaction. All qPCR reactions were performed in triplicate. Results were analyzed using Roche Lightcycler®96 Software.

We have previously established both miRNA-17 and miRNA-191 as suitable internal controls, that is, they displayed stable expression levels throughout all previous samples as judged by manual review and according to the Normfinder algorithm.28 Both miRNAs had previously proven suitable as reference RNA targets.29 In this study, however, the commercial miRNA-191 single assay did not perform satisfactorily and was discarded from further use leaving miRNA-17 as the internal control miRNA. Samples were distributed across several qPCR plates and in order to facilitate individual comparison between samples on different plates we used plate-to-plate variation controls.

2.5 Statistical analysis

Data are presented as means of three technical replicates per plasma sample from each patient. The fold change of plasma miRNA was calculated based on the threshold cycle (Ct) value using the following formula:

\[ \Delta \text{Ct} = \text{mean Ct value of target miRNA} - \text{mean Ct value of miRNA} - 17 \]

Results were linearized using \(2^{-\Delta \text{Ct}}\), which was subsequently applied in the statistical analysis.

Samples with missed Ct measurements in either baseline or follow-up samples were dismissed. However, Ct values above 35 were dismissed only from baseline plasma samples, since a change in miRNAs in plasma was expected in follow-up samples. A summary of all dismissed values is showed in supplementary Table SII.

Wilcoxon signed rank sum test was used to analyze the difference between plasma miRNA levels in follow-up and baseline samples. For assessment of the difference between baseline plasma miRNAs in the different groups Wilcoxon rank sum test was applied.

Spearman’s rank-order correlation coefficient (univariate analysis) was used to investigate the correlation between baseline plasma miRNA levels and clinical characteristics (PSA, GS, and the updated EAU risk profile).26

Up/downregulation of plasma miRNA levels in baseline compared with follow-up samples in both the observational and the interventional groups was illustrated by box-plots.

All analyses were performed in STATA version 15.1 (STATA Corp LLC, TX, USA), and correlations/differences were considered statistically significant when the P-value was less than 0.05.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Patient characteristics

While mean PSA level was significantly lower, mean prostate volume was significantly higher in the observation cohort compared to the intervention cohort.

The clinicopathological characteristics of all PCa patients are presented in Table 1.

3.2 Changes in circulating miRNAs from baseline to follow-up

The plasma levels of both miRNA-93 and miRNA-221 were significantly downregulated in the follow-up samples compared to the baseline samples in the interventional group (\(z = -2.738, P = 0.006\) and \(z = -4.498, P < 0.001\), respectively) (results regarding miRNA-93 has been published in our previous work).25 The downregulation of miRNA-93 was more significant in the RT subgroup (\(z = -2.366, P = 0.018\)) than in the RARP subgroup (\(z = -2.169, P = 0.030\)). On the other hand, plasma levels of miRNA-221 was more downregulated in the RARP subgroup (\(z = -3.802, P < 0.001\)) than in the RT subgroup (\(z = -2.197, P = 0.028\)).

In the observation group, miRNA-125b was downregulated whereas miRNA-221 tended to be upregulated in follow-up plasma samples compared to baseline samples (\(z = -2.656, P = 0.008\) and \(z = 1.863, P = 0.063\), respectively) (Table 2).

There was no difference in baseline miRNA levels between the observation and intervention group (Supplementary Table SIII).

The difference between baseline and follow-up samples in both groups is illustrated by box plots in Figure 1. For graphical reasons values with linear \(\Delta\text{Ct}\) higher than 30 has been removed from miRNA-221 box plots.
### Table 1  Clinicopathological characteristics of the observational and interventional group

|                        | Interventional cohort n = 68 | Observational cohort n = 81 | P-value |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Mean age, years (range)| 69 (48–86)                  | 70 (51–90)                  | 0.28    |
| Reason for management  |                             |                             |         |
| High PSA               | 50 (74)                     | 55 (68)                     |         |
| LUTS + high PSA        | 17 (25)                     | 23 (28)                     |         |
| Accidental             | 1 (1)                       | 3 (4)                       |         |
| Mean prostate volume, cm³ (range) | 41 (20–65)     | 53 (11–176)                | 0.03    |
| Mean PSA level, ng/mL (range) | 32 (4.5–250)  | 10 (2.5–53)                | <0.01   |
| GS<sup>a</sup>         |                             |                             |         |
| 6 (3+3)                | 5 (7)                       | 52 (64)                     |         |
| 7 (3+4)                | 33 (49)                     | 20 (25)                     |         |
| 7 (4+3)                | 14 (21)                     | 4 (5)                       |         |
| 8                      | 3 (4)                       | 3 (4)                       |         |
| ≥9                     | 13 (19)                     | 2 (2)                       |         |
| cT                     |                             |                             |         |
| ≤ cT2b                 | 47 (69)                     | 70 (86)                     |         |
| cT2c–cT3a              | 15 (22)                     | 9 (11)                      |         |
| ≥ cT3b                 | 6 (9)                       | 2 (3)                       |         |
| cN stage               |                             |                             |         |
| cNx                    | 43 (63)                     | 73 (90)                     |         |
| cN0                    | 23 (34)                     | 8 (10)                      |         |
| cN1                    | 2 (3)                       | 0 (0%)                      |         |
| Management             |                             |                             |         |
| RARP                   | 36 (53)                     | AS 52 (64)                  |         |
| RT+/– ADT              | 8 (12)                      | WW 29 (36)                  |         |
| ADT                    | 24 (35)                     |                             |         |
| Death of any cause     | 3 (4)                       | 3 (4)                       |         |
| Another cancer         | 5 (7)                       | 9 (11)                      |         |

ADT, androgen deprivation therapy; cT, clinical tumour stage; cN, clinical lymph node stage; GS, Gleason score; LUTS, lower urinary tract symptoms; PSA, prostate specific antigen; RARP, robot-assisted radical prostatectomy; RT, Radiotherapy.

<sup>a</sup>The highest GS.

### Table 2  Change in plasma levels of miRNA in follow-up samples compared to baseline in both the observation and the intervention PCa group

| Management type | miRNA-93 |  | miRNA-21 |  | miRNA-221 |  | miRNA-125b |  |
|-----------------|----------|---|----------|---|-----------|---|-----------|---|
|                 | z score  | P-value | z score | P-value | z score | P-value | z score | P-value |
| Intervention    | -2.738<sup>a</sup> | 0.006 | 0.923 | 0.356 | -4.498 | <0.001 | 0.536 | 0.592 |
| RARP            | -2.169 | 0.030 | 0.601 | 0.548 | -3.802 | <0.001 | -1.345 | 0.179 |
| RT              | -2.366 | 0.018 | -0.447 | 0.654 | -2.197 | 0.028 | 1.782 | 0.075 |
| ADT             | -0.743 | 0.457 | 0.805 | 0.420 | -1.802 | 0.072 | 0.592 | 0.554 |
| Observation     | -1.683<sup>a</sup> | 0.092 | 0.431 | 0.666 | 1.863 | 0.063 | -2.656 | 0.008 |

ADT, androgen deprivation therapy; RARP, robot-assisted radical prostatectomy; RT, radiotherapy.

<sup>a</sup>P-value and z score are based on Wilcoxon signed rank sum test. Values in bold are statistically significant.

<sup>a</sup>Data regarding miRNA-93 in both intervention and observation cohort was published in our previous work (ref. 25).
3.3 | Correlation between miRNA plasma levels in baseline samples with diagnostic clinical characteristics

Baseline plasma levels of miRNA-125b were significantly correlated with both prebiopsy PSA (rho = 0.198, P = 0.043) and EAU risk profile (rho = 0.230, P = 0.015). Moreover, there was a tendency toward a correlation with both needle GS and cT (rho = 0.171, P = 0.069, and rho = −0.170, P = 0.070, respectively). There was a significant correlation between plasma miRNA-221 and the EAU risk profile (rho = 0.203, P = 0.016). The plasma level of miRNA-21 showed an almost significant correlation with cT (rho = 0.155, P = 0.060).

Interestingly, the plasma levels of all miRNAs (except for miRNA-93) were significantly correlated with patient age at the time of diagnosis (Table 3).

3.4 | Correlation to post-operative pathological characteristics in the RARP subgroup

In the subgroup analysis of the baseline plasma level of miRNAs in patients who underwent RARP, miRNA-93 showed an almost significant correlation with prostatectomy GS (rho = 0.276, P = 0.058). Looking at pN, radicality of tumor resection (R), and pT, no correlation was observed with miRNAs levels in baseline plasma samples (Table 4).

4 | DISCUSSION

In this study we analyzed circulating miRNAs in two cohorts of localized/locally advanced PCa patients; an intervention cohort (RARP, RT, or...
levels similar to those of the controls. In prostatectomy specimens Kelly et al found post-RARP expression levels of miR-141 to decline to miRNA-195 serum levels after RARP in 37 localized PCa patients. Mahn et al observed significant reduction of miRNA-16, -26a, and levels. There was a tendency toward an increase of miRNA-221.

Both RARP and RT but not after ADT treatment. In the observation cohort, the plasma level of miRNA-125b decreased significantly while there was a tendency toward an increase of miRNA-221. Accumulating evidence shows that RT in cancer treatment, including PCa, can significantly change miRNA expression levels. Leung et al found decrease levels of 16 miRNAs (including miRNA-221) in PC-3 PCa cells when exposed to different radiation doses compared to the parental cells. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to demonstrate a change of plasma miRNAs level in PCa patients having received curative intent RT.

Change of circulating miRNA levels after radical surgery has also been reported previously in breast cancer, colon cancer, and PCa. Only miRNA-125b correlated with prebiopsy PSA in our study. Guan et al did not observe any correlation between miRNA-21 and the diagnostic characteristics in mPCa patients (initial PSA, cT, or GS). Investigating the serum level of 10 miRNAs (including miRNA-93) in localized/locally advanced PCa, Moltzahn et al could not demonstrate any correlation with PSA, GS, or even age. However, we showed a significant correlation of miRNA-21, -125b, and miRNA-221 with patient age at time of PCa diagnosis. Both miRNA-21 and miRNA-125b have been shown to be involved in the aging process through an altered DNA damage response. Interestingly, the plasma level of miRNA-93 was significantly correlated with prostatectomy GS but not with diagnostic GS, which emphasizes the discordance between needle biopsy GS and post-operative GS.

The prospective collection of blood samples, the different treatment modalities, and a participation rate of 100% for both sampling time points are the strengths of the current study. However, the relatively small sample size of the RT and ADT subgroups is considered a limitation in our study and the results should be seen as hypothesis generating.

The clinical importance of any promising biomarker in cancer in general and specifically in PCa is derived from its ability to better predict metastatic risk, allow for better stratification of patients into risk groups, and monitor for treatment response.

**TABLE 4** Correlation of miRNA level in baseline plasma samples with pathological characteristics in localized/locally advanced PCa patients managed with curatively intended radical prostatectomy.

| pN | miRNA-21 | miRNA-93 | miRNA-125b | miRNA-221 |
|----|----------|----------|-------------|-----------|
| p-value | 0.302 | 0.911 | 0.107 | 0.895 |
| R: | 0.082 | 0.061 | -0.068 | 0.283 |
| p-value | 0.935 | 0.951 | 0.946 | 0.777 |
| pT: | -0.130 | 0.049 | 0.076 | -0.093 |
| p-value | 0.369 | 0.742 | 0.644 | 0.536 |
| pGS: | -0.023 | 0.276 | 0.155 | -0.117 |
| p-value | 0.874 | 0.058 | 0.340 | 0.433 |

pN, pathological lymph nodes; R, free resection; pT, pathological tumour stage; pGS, prostatectomy Gleason score. rho-scores are from Spearman's rank correlation coefficient.
distinguish indolent from aggressive cases in a more individualized pattern rather than the “one size fits all” principle. Large-scale studies with long follow-up periods are essential to get more reliable results. Prediction of treatment response is another challenge in PCA management where serial non-invasive liquid biopsies could be of great help in monitoring and observing the patients, since early detection of relapse/progression of PCa is an important factor for better control of the disease.50

5 | CONCLUSION

MiRNA-93 and miRNA-221 plasma levels decreased significantly after intervention compared to observation in localized/locally advanced PCa. While miRNA-125b and miRNA-221 were correlated with EAU risk assessment, there was a tendency toward a significant correlation between miRNA-93 with GS in prostatectomy specimens. These findings emphasize the clinical significance of miRNAs as potential biomarkers in PCa. Further studies illustrating the prognostic and predictive value of miRNAs in different stages of PCa are required.
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