Functions of SMC2 in the Development of Zebrafish Liver
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Abstract: SMC2 (structural maintenance of chromosomes 2) is the core subunit of condensins, which play a central role in chromosome organization and segregation. However, the functions of SMC2 in embryonic development remain poorly understood, due to the embryonic lethality of homozygous SMC2−/− mice. Herein, we explored the roles of SMC2 in the liver development of zebrafish. The depletion of SMC2, with the CRISPR/Cas9-dependent gene knockout approach, led to a small liver phenotype. The specification of hepatoblasts was unaffected. Mechanistically, extensive apoptosis occurred in the liver of SMC2 mutants, which was mainly associated with the activation of the p53-dependent apoptotic pathway. Moreover, an aberrant activation of a series of apoptotic pathways in SMC2 mutants was involved in the defective chromosome segregation and subsequent DNA damage. Therefore, our findings demonstrate that SMC2 is necessary for zebrafish liver development.
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1. Introduction

Chromosomes undergo essential changes in morphology, to control the proper expression of genes, and these changes are partially mediated by the structural maintenance of chromosome (SMC) proteins [1]. SMC proteins are evolutionarily conserved from bacteria to human, and function in chromosome condensation, sister-chromatid cohesion, DNA repair and recombination, and gene dosage compensation in somatic and meiotic cells [2]. SMC proteins were initially found in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, and later in all tested eukaryotes [3]. Bacteria contain a single gene that encodes a single SMC protein to form homodimers [4]. In eukaryotes, at least six members of the SMC protein family are found in individual organisms. The primary structure of SMC proteins consists of the following five distinct domains: two nucleotide-binding motifs, Walker A and Walker B motifs that are located in the highly conserved N-terminal and C-terminal domains, respectively, and the central domain, which is composed of a moderately conserved “hinge” sequence that is flanked by two long coiled-coil motifs [5].

As members of the SMC family, SMC2 and SMC4 form a heterodimer that is the catalytic subunit of both condensins I and II complexes, which play roles in mitotic and meiotic chromosome condensation and rigidity, interphase ribosomal DNA compaction, and removal of cohesion during mitosis and meiosis [6–8]. Previous knockout or knockdown studies of SMC2 revealed its importance for mitotic and meiotic chromosome condensation and segregation in Drosophila melanogaster [9], Caenorhabditis elegans [10], S. cerevisiae [10], and other species [3]. In mice, the knockout of SMC2 led to embryonic lethality [11]. Thus, the functions of SMC2 in the embryonic development of vertebrates remain largely unknown.

The liver is a visceral organ in vertebrates that has many important functions in metabolism, secretion, detoxification, and homeostasis. The advantages of high fecundity,
transparent embryos, and small size make zebrafish a powerful model for specialized mutagenesis screens, to identify genes whose counterparts can regulate liver development in humans. Liver organogenesis begins with the establishment of a population of cells gaining hepatic competency within the ventral foregut endoderm, instructed by Foxa and Gata factors. Thereafter, mesodermal signals, including Fgfs, Bmps, Wnt2b, and retinoic acid, induce the specification of hepatoblasts, which then migrate and proliferate to form a discrete liver bud. Finally, hepatoblasts in the liver bud undergo rapid proliferation and differentiation, giving rise to bile duct cells and functional hepatocytes [12–15].

In zebrafish, there are the following three main stages of hepatogenesis: (1) specification (as part of endoderm patterning); (2) differentiation (the budding phase); and (3) hepatic outgrowth, accompanied by morphogenesis [16]. Hepatoblast specification is thought to occur at approximately 22 h post-fertilization, as marked by the localized endodermal expression of hhex and prox1 [14], which are two transcription factor genes that are also expressed in mice [17] and chicks [18]. The budding phase occurs from 24 to 50 hpf. At the subsequent growth stage, the liver undergoes dramatic changes in its size, shape, and placement, because of rapid cell proliferation.

In this study, we addressed an in vivo role of SMC2 in the liver development of zebrafish. The mutation of SMC2, with a CRISPR/Cas9-mediated approach, led to a small liver phenotype, due to elevated apoptosis in the liver and decreased cell proliferation. We then found that extensive apoptosis occurred within the defective liver, due to the activation of intrinsic apoptotic signaling pathways, especially the p53-dependent apoptotic pathway. We further demonstrated that the aberrant activation of the apoptotic pathways was closely associated with DNA damage.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Zebrafish Husbandry and Ethics

Zebrafish AB strain was used and maintained under standard conditions in this study. The p53M241K/M214K and Tg(fabp10a:dsRed;ela3l:EGFP) lines were previously described [19,20]. All zebrafish studies were conducted according to standard animal guidelines and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (approval ID: Keshuizhuan 0829).

2.2. Generation of SMC2 Mutant Zebrafish Lines

The SMC2 mutant lines were generated with the CRISPR/Cas9 system following previous methods [21]. Briefly, the target site sequence of SMC2 is ATCACTGGACT-GAACGGCAG, which is located in the second exon. The gRNAs were synthesized in vitro with T7 RNA polymerase (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, USA). The Cas9 mRNA was synthesized using the mMESSAGE mMACHINE T7 kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). A total of 400 pg Cas9-mRNA and 50 pg SMC2-gRNA were co-injected into zebrafish embryos at one-cell stage. The SMC2 mutations were examined by PCR, and the amplified fragments were sequenced using the following primer pair: 5′-TGGTTGAACTGAAAGCAACG-3′ and 5′-CTTCCAGTTTGTTCATCTCG-3′.

Because SMC2−/− died at about 7 days post-fertilization (dpf), the SMC2h504 (SMC2+/−) fish were used to cross with Tg (faby10a:dsRed; ela3l:EGFP) fish. To obtain the (SMC2+/−; DsRed) adult zebrafish, the fluorescence microscope was used to identify DsRed-expressing fish, followed by the genotype of SMC2+/− fish with the primer pair SMC2-gF/SMC2-gR (Table S1). The (SMC2+/−; DsRed) adult zebrafish were inbred to obtain the (SMC2+/−; DsRed) embryos by phenotypic observation and fluorescence microscope since the SMC2−/− mutants exhibited abnormal brain and eyes at about 28 h post-fertilization (hpf).

To obtain the (SMC2+/−; p53−/−; DsRed) fish, the (SMC2+/−; DsRed) adult zebrafish were crossed with p53−/− fish. Fluorescence microscope was used to identify DsRed-expressing fish, followed by the genotype of SMC2+/− and p53+/− with two primer pairs SMC2-gF/SMC2-gR and tp53-gF/tp53-gR, thus obtaining the (SMC2+/−; p53+/−; DsRed) adult fish. The (SMC2+/−; p53+/−; DsRed) adult fish were inbred to obtain the (SMC2−/−;
p53\(^{-/-}\); DsRed) embryos by the observation of dsRed fluorescence and abnormal phenotypes, followed by tail-PCR of 96-hpf embryos with primer pair tp53-gF/tp53-gR (Table S1).

### 2.3. Quantitative Real-Time PCR (qPCR)

Total RNA was extracted from 50 embryos at indicated stages with TRIZOL reagent (Invitrogen, cat#15596026) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A first strand cDNA synthesis kit (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, USA) was used to synthesize cDNAs. SYBR Green real-time PCR master mix (Bio-Rad) was used for qPCR. The qPCR primers used in this study are listed in Table S1.

### 2.4. Whole-Mount In Situ Hybridization (WISH)

Zebrafish embryos at desired stages were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) overnight before processing for WISH analysis as described [22]. Digoxigenin-UTP-labeled antisense RNA probes for *fabp10a*, *cp*, *insulin*, *typsin*, *fabric2*, *hhex*, *prox1*, *foxa1*, *foxa3* and *gata4* were generated with an in vitro transcription method using T7 RNA polymerase (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, USA). The primer sequences for these genes are listed in Table S1.

### 2.5. TUNEL Assay

Embryos at 96 hpf were fixed with 4% formaldehyde for 4 h at room temperature and embedded in OCT compound overnight. The embryos were then sectioned at 10 \(\mu\)m thickness using a Leica cryostat. TUNEL assays were performed with in situ cell death detection kit, Fluorescein (Roche, Wilmington, MA, USA), following the manufacture’s instruction.

### 2.6. Immunofluorescence Staining

Sectioned samples were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min and washed five times of 5 min each in PBS. After completely removing paraformaldehyde, samples were permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS for 15 min at room temperature and blocked in 1% bovine serum albumin for 1 h. Incubation with the primary antibody occurred at 4 \(^\circ\)C overnight, followed by overnight incubation with the secondary antibody at 4 \(^\circ\)C. Primary antibodies against γ-H2AX (1:400; 9718T; Cell Signaling Technology) were used. The fluorescence-conjugated second antibody FITC goat anti-rabbit IgG (H + L) (BOSTER, Wuhan, China) was applied to sample at a dilution of 1:50. Sections were counterstained with DAPI for 30 min at room temperature and then mounted with an antifade agent. The samples were imaged under an SP8 confocal microscope (Leica).

### 2.7. EdU Labeling

Embryos at 96 hpf were microinjected into the yolk cell with 10 mM EdU. After two hours, the embryos were fixed with 4% formaldehyde for 4 h at room temperature and embedded in OCT compound overnight. The embryos were then sectioned at 10 \(\mu\)m thickness using a Leica cryostat. EdU staining was performed according to the manufacture’s instructions (Beyotime, Shanghai, China).

### 2.8. Synthesis of Capped SMC2 mRNA

The coding sequence of zebrafish SMC2 was cloned into the vector pSBRNAX and linearized for in vitro transcription. mRNAs were synthesized using the mMESSAGE mMACHINE T7 kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). One-cell stage embryos were injected.

### 2.9. Fluorescence-Activated Cell Sorting

Sib and SMC2\(^{-/-}\) mutant embryos at 96 hpf were used. The embryos were transferred into 1.5 mL centrifuge tubes and washed three times in PBS. Then 1 mL of 0.25% trypsin was added to each centrifuge tube. Embryos were passed through the syringe to generate cell suspensions, and the cell suspensions were passed through a 40 \(\mu\)m filter. Cells were washed in ice-cold PBS and incubated for 30 min in 1 mL DAPI. Samples were filtered.
again over a 40 µm filter, washed with the ice-cold PBS and finally resuspended in PBS for sorting by flow cytometry (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA).

2.10. Statistical Analysis

The data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. Statistical differences between two sets of data were analyzed using two-tailed paired Student’s t-test, and a value of \( p < 0.05 \) was considered to indicate significance.

3. Results

3.1. Conservation of SMC2-Containing Complexes among Vertebrates, and the Expression Patterns of SMC2 during Embryonic Development of Zebrafish

To illuminate the potential conserved functions of SMC2 among vertebrates, we first compared the similarity of SMC2-containing complexes among zebrafish, mice, and humans. The zebrafish genome contains a single copy of each gene encoding subunits of condensin I and II complexes, and the amino acid identity between zebrafish and their human counterparts ranged from 36.5 to 74.2% (Table S2), indicating that the two SMC2-containing complexes are highly conserved among vertebrates. Zebrafish SMC2 encodes a protein consisting of 1199 amino acids. Amino acid sequence alignment indicates that zebrafish SMC2 is the most conserved subunit, sharing 74.2% identity with human SMC2 (Table S2).

Next, we detected the spatiotemporal expression patterns of SMC2 with RT-PCR and WISH. Transcripts of SMC2 can be detected in developing embryos at 0 to 120 hpf (Figure 1A), weakly detected in embryos at the one-cell stage (Figure 1B(a)), and ubiquitously expressed in embryos at two-cells, shield, and 12-hpf stages (Figure 1B(b–d)), indicating the maternal origin of SMC2 transcripts. The SMC2 transcripts were expressed in diencephalon (di), mesencephalon (me), eye (e), and endoderm (en), at 48 hpf (Figure 1B(f)). Later, its expression was found in the forebrain ventricular zone (fvz), branchial arches (ba), midbrain–hindbrain boundary (mhb), liver (lv), and intestine (i), at 72 and 96 hpf (Figure 1B(g–i)). These results indicate that SMC2 functions in early embryonic development and the formation of multiple organs in zebrafish.

Figure 1. Expression of SMC2 mRNA during zebrafish embryogenesis. (A) Expression levels of SMC2 at different stages were analyzed with RT-PCR and the expression of β-actin served as the control. (B) Detection of SMC2 transcripts during embryogenesis with WISH. hpf, hours post-fertilization; me, mesencephalon; di, diencephalon; fvz, forebrain ventricular zone; ba, branchial arches; mhb, midbrain–hindbrain boundary; i, intestine; en, endoderm; e, eye; lv, liver.
3.2. Knockout of SMC2 in Zebrafish

To investigate the function of SMC2, we generated SMC2 mutant zebrafish with the CRISPR/Cas9 system. Two mutant alleles were obtained from different P0 founders, by targeting exon 1 of the SMC2 gene. One contains a 31-bp deletion (named SMC2<sup>c504/c504</sup>), and the other has a 15-bp deletion and 1-bp insertion (named SMC2<sup>c505/c505</sup>) (Figure 2A). Both of the two mutants led to frame-shift mutations of the open reading frame, and premature stop codons that can abolish all functions of SMC2 (Figure 2B). The relative mRNA expression levels were significantly reduced in homozygotes of SMC2<sup>c504/c504</sup> and SMC2<sup>c505/c505</sup> embryos (Figure 2C), likely through a nonsense-mediated decay mechanism [23].

![Figure 2](image-url)

**Figure 2.** (A) Top panel: the schematic diagram shows the structure of the target region in the SMC2 gene. Black box, exon; solid line, introns. The bottom panel shows a comparison of the genomic DNA sequences among WT and two mutant alleles, SMC2<sup>c504/c504</sup> mutants carrying a 31-bp deletion and SMC2<sup>c505/c505</sup> mutants carrying a 15-bp deletion and 1-bp insertion. The target sequence is indicated in red and underlined. (B) Schematic structures of WT and truncated SMC2 proteins. (C) The relative mRNA levels of SMC2 in WT and homozygous mutants were assayed by qPCR at 4 dpf. The results are expressed as the mean ± SD of three independent experiments (**, p < 0.01; t-test). (D) Lateral views showing the morphology of WT and SMC2<sup>−/−</sup> mutants at 28, 36, 48 and 72 hpf. (E) Morphology of WT and SMC2<sup>−/−</sup> embryos injected with or without 200 pg SMC2-mRNAs at indicated stages. The smaller eyes and smaller head were significantly reduced in SMC2-mRNA-injected mutant embryos. The ratios at the bottom right corners indicate the number of embryos with indicated phenotypes vs. total number of observed embryos.
Heterozygous SMC2<sup>504/+</sup> or SMC2<sup>505/+</sup> fish that showed no discernable phenotypes are viable, and can develop into fertile adults. However, homozygous mutants obtained from a cross between either SMC2<sup>504/504</sup> or SMC2<sup>505/505</sup> homozygotes exhibited a dark phenotype in the head, due to extensive cell death after 28 hpf, and this extended to the whole brain and spinal cord afterwards (Figure 2D). The homozygous mutant embryos displayed small eyes and a small head at 48 and 72 hpf (Figure 2D), and died at about 7 dpf. Since the SMC2<sup>504/504</sup> and SMC2<sup>505/505</sup> larvae showed exactly the same phenotypes, SMC2<sup>504/504</sup> mutants (SMC2<sup>−/−</sup> mutants hereafter) were used for further analysis. To determine whether the phenotypes of mutant embryos resulted from SMC2 depletion, synthesized SMC2 mRNA was injected into F2 SMC2<sup>−/−</sup> larvae. The injection of SMC2 mRNA did not cause any obvious morphological defects in WT embryos, but markedly rescued the small eyes and small head phenotypes of SMC2<sup>−/−</sup> larvae at 60 hpf and 72 hpf (Figure 2E). These findings suggest a crucial role of SMC2 during zebrafish embryogenesis.

### 3.3. Loss of SMC2 Led to a Small Liver Phenotype in SMC2<sup>−/−</sup> Mutants

The existence of SMC2 transcripts in the endoderm at 24 hpf, and liver at 96 hpf suggests the involvement of SMC2 in the liver development of zebrafish. We evaluated liver development in homozygous SMC2 mutants, using the hepatocyte marker gene fabp10a as a probe of WISH. As shown in Figure 3A, the expression of fabp10a in SMC2 mutants at 72 and 96 hpf severely reduced. We also examined the liver phenotype of SMC2 mutants in the Tg (fabp10a:dsRed; ela3l:EGFP) line that expresses DsRed specifically in differentiated hepatocytes. Markedly reduced sizes of livers were shown in SMC2 mutant larvae in comparison with those in WT larvae, at 72 and 96 hpf (Figure 3B).

![Figure 3](image_url)

**Figure 3.** Loss of SMC2 confers a small liver phenotype. (A) WT and SMC2<sup>−/−</sup> mutant embryos were stained with RNA probes of fabp10a, a marker of hepatocytes at 72 and 96 hpf. (B) Liver size in SMC2<sup>−/−</sup> mutants is smaller than that in the WT at 72 and 96 hpf, under the Tg (fabp10a:dsRed; ela3l:EGFP) transgenic background. (C) Livers from WT and SMC2<sup>−/−</sup> mutants were analyzed with hematoxylin and eosin staining. (D–F) WISH using the exocrine pancreas marker trypsin (D), endocrine pancreas marker insulin (E), and intestinal maker fabp2 (F) as RNA probes.
Next, the livers in WT and SMC2−/− mutants were analyzed with hematoxylin and eosin staining, and the small liver in SMC2−/− mutants at 96 hpf was clearly shown (Figure 3C). The development of an exocrine pancreas and islet was examined by checking the expression of trypsin and insulin with WISH at 72 hpf, respectively. We found that the exocrine pancreas in SMC2−/− mutants significantly reduced in size (Figure 3D), while the islet was slightly affected by the loss of SMC2 (Figure 3E). Moreover, the gut formation was detected with the expression of the intestinal marker gene fabp2, and a small gut phenotype was exhibited in SMC2−/− mutants at 96 hpf (Figure 3F).

Taken together, these data demonstrate that SMC2 is required for the digestive system development in zebrafish.

3.4. SMC2 Is Required for Liver Expansion

Liver development in zebrafish begins with the specification of hepatoblasts to form a liver bud at about 30 hpf, and these progenitor cells are later expanded and differentiated into either hepatocytes or bile duct cells [24]. We first examined whether the specification of hepatoblasts was affected by the loss of SMC2. As shown in Figure 4, the expression patterns of endodermal marker genes, including foxa1, foxa3, and gata4, which are required for the establishment of competent hepatic cells, were similar in the liver primordium of WT and SMC2−/− mutants at 30 and 34 hpf. We also detected the expression of prox1 and hhx, which are the earliest markers for definitive hepatoblasts [25,26]. The expression of both prox1 and hhx was not affected in SMC2−/− mutants at 30 and 34 hpf (Figure 4). However, the expression of foxa1, foxa3, gata4, prox1, and hhx, as well as hepatic marker (cp), reduced in the liver region of SMC2−/− mutants at 48 hpf (Figure 4 and Figure S1). These data indicate that the expansion of the liver bud, but not the specification of hepatoblasts, was affected by the loss of SMC2.

![Figure 4. SMC2 is required for liver expansion. WT and SMC2−/− mutant embryos were analyzed with markers for liver specification and liver bud expansion at 30, 34 and 48 hpf. WISH probes used include pan-endodermal markers gata4, foxa1, foxa3, and hepatic markers prox1 and hhx. Black arrowhead: liver.](image)

3.5. Hepatocellular Apoptosis Increased in SMC2−/− Mutants

Since the specification of hepatoblasts was not disturbed in SMC2−/− mutants, the reduction in liver size may have resulted from increased cell death or a decreased cell proliferation rate [27]. TUNEL assays and EdU (5-ethynyl-2-deoxyuridine) detection were performed in the liver region of zebrafish larvae at 96 hpf. The results of the TUNEL assays indicated that the liver cells of SMC2−/− mutants underwent active apoptosis, whereas no apoptotic cells were observed in the same region of sibling WT larvae (Figure 5A,B). Moreover, extensive EdU+ signals and a high proportion of dividing cells were observed in the liver region of WT larvae, but not in the liver of SMC2−/− larvae, suggesting that a loss of SMC2 also reduced the proliferation of liver cells (Figure 5C,D).
Abnormally elevated expression of can regulate the expression of the genes hastening apoptosis and cell cycle arrest [28].

Figure 5. Hepatocellular apoptosis increased in SMC2−/− mutants. (A) TUNEL analysis of apoptotic cells in the liver of WT and homozygous mutants under the Tg (fabp10a:dsRed; ela3l: EGFP) transgenic background at 96 hpf. Scale bar, 50 μm. (B) Quantitative analysis of the apoptotic cells in the liver. Fluorescence intensities of three WT and three mutant embryos across the liver were determined using the ImageJ software. **, p < 0.01. (C) Frozen sections were stained with EdU staining buffer and nuclei counterstained with DAPI (blue) under the Tg (fabp10a:dsRed; ela3l: EGFP) transgenic background at 96 hpf. Scale bar, 50 μm. (D) The proportions of EdU-positive cells vs. DAPI-positive cells in the liver of three WT and three SMC2 mutant embryos were determined using the ImageJ software. **, p < 0.01. (E) The mRNA levels of genes involved in apoptotic pathways were analyzed with qPCR. Expression levels were normalized to WT. The data expressed as mean ± SD were representatives of three independent experiments containing 40 embryos per sample. *, p < 0.01.

We then employed qPCR to detect the expression of a set of genes related to apoptosis during embryogenesis. We found that a number of genes, including bax, p53, caspase 8, mdm2, puma, gadd45al, and p21, were highly up-regulated in SMC2−/− mutants (Figure 5E). These observations indicate that the loss of SMC2 has triggered intrinsic and extrinsic apoptotic pathways in developing zebrafish.

3.6. Activation of the p53-Driven Apoptotic Pathway Contributed to the Small Liver Phenotype in SMC2−/− Mutants

p53 is a key signal molecule of both intrinsic and extrinsic apoptotic pathways, which can regulate the expression of the genes hastening apoptosis and cell cycle arrest [28]. Abnormally elevated expression of p53 in SMC2−/− mutants suggests the possibility that p53-dependent apoptosis might be a key cause for the small liver phenotype. To address whether p53 deficiency could suppress the increased apoptosis in the liver, the SMC2+/c504 (SMC2−/− hereafter) fish were crossed with p53M1214K/M1214K (p53−/− hereafter) fish [19], and then double heterozygotes (SMC2+/c504; p53+/M1214K) were identified by genotyping. Double homozygote mutants (SMC2−/−; p53−/−) were subsequently obtained.

We then examined the liver phenotype of the double homozygous mutants that express DsRed from the intercross with the Tg (fabp10a:dsRed; ela3l: EGFP) line, and found that the small liver defects of SMC2−/− mutants at 72 hpf were partially rescued by the loss of p53 (Figure 6A). WISH assays using fabp10a as a probe also showed a partially recovered liver in double homozygous mutants (SMC2−/−; p53−/−) at 96 hpf (Figure 6B). TUNEL assays showed that the number of TUNEL-positive cells in SMC2 and p53 double-deficient larvae at 96 hpf was obviously reduced when compared to that in SMC2−/− mutants.
(Figure 6C). These data suggest that a loss of p53 could rescue the liver defects, mainly by a reduction in hepatocyte apoptosis in SMC2−/− mutants.

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6.** Activation of the p53-dependent apoptotic pathway contributed to the small liver phenotype in SMC2−/− mutants. (A) Phenotype comparison of WT, SMC2−/− and SMC2−/−/p53−/− embryos under the Tg (fabp10a:dsRed; ela3l:EGFP) transgenic background at 72 hpf and 96 hpf. (B) WT embryos, SMC2−/− and SMC2−/−/p53−/− embryos stained with the fabp10a probe at 96 hpf. (C) TUNEL analysis of apoptotic cells in the liver of SMC2−/−/p53−/− mutants compared to WT and SMC2−/− mutants at 96 hpf under the Tg (fabp10a:dsRed; ela3l:EGFP) transgenic background. Scale bar, 50 μm. (D) Quantitative analysis of the apoptotic cells in the liver. Fluorescence intensities of three WT embryos, three SMC2−/− mutant embryos and three SMC2−/−/p53−/− embryo across the liver were determined using the ImageJ software. **, p < 0.01.

3.7. Extensive Apoptosis Occurring in the Liver of SMC2 Mutants Is Attributable to DNA Damage

It has previously shown that simultaneous depletion of two condensins led to severe defects in chromosome assembly and segregation, which, in turn, caused DNA damage and triggered p53-induced apoptosis in cells [11]. Thus, we suspected that the DNA damage pathway that functions upstream of p53 signaling may be responsible for the small liver phenotype of SMC2−/− mutants. DNA content analysis with flow cytometry revealed that SMC2−/− mutants showed an increase, from 9.99% to 16.44%, in mitotic 4N and apoptotic sub-G1 populations (Figure 7A), and a significant increase in the number of cells with a big nucleus (DAPI staining), in comparison with the WT liver (Figure 7B,C). These data demonstrate that a loss of SMC2 resulted in the blockage of cell cycles at the M-phase, and defects in chromosome segregation.
Figure 7. Extensive apoptosis occurring in liver of SMC2−/− mutants is attributable to DNA damage. (A) FACS analyses after DAPI staining of dissociated cells at 96 hpf from wild-type and SMC2−/− embryos. The SMC2−/− embryos have an accumulation of cells in the G2/M phase and more debris. (B) Frozen sections were stained with DAPI to visualize nuclei under the Tg (fabp10a:dsRed; ela3l:EGFP) transgenic background at 96 hpf. The SMC2−/− embryos have an increased number of cells with bigger nucleus compared with WT. Figure 7 (B3, B6) are enlargement of the framed part in Figure 7 (B2, B5), respectively. *, bigger nuclei. (C) Quantitative analysis of the cell numbers with big nucleus in the liver. Three WT and three mutant embryos across the liver were determined using the ImageJ software. **, p < 0.01. (D) Expression levels of genes (atm and atr) implicated in DNA damage response pathway were analyzed by qPCR in WT and SMC2−/− mutants at 96 hpf. Expression levels were normalized to WT embryos. Data expressed as mean ± SD were representative of three independent experiments. * p < 0.01. (E) Frozen sections were stained against γ-H2AX antibody, which marks DNA double-stranded breaks and nuclei counterstained with DAPI (blue) under the Tg (fabp10a:dsRed; ela3l:EGFP) transgenic background at 96 hpf. The signal was labeled with white arrowhead. (F) Quantitative analysis of the apoptotic cells in the liver. Fluorescence intensities of three WT and three SMC2−/− mutant across the liver were determined using the ImageJ software. **, p < 0.01.

Defects in chromosome segregation provide a potential source of DNA damage. qPCR was performed to determine the expression levels of atm and atr, which are the critical components of the DNA damage response pathway. As expected, the qPCR results revealed increased expression levels of these two genes in the DNA damage response pathway in SMC2 mutants, when compared to those in the WT controls (Figure 7D). Phosphorylated H2AX, referred to as γ-H2AX, can be detected within minutes after the induction of a DNA strand break [29]. Frozen sections were stained with γ-H2AX antibody and nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue), under the Tg (fabp10a:dsRed; ela3l:EGFP) transgenic background, at 96 hpf. The results revealed an increased number of γ-H2AX foci in the liver of SMC2−/− mutants when compared to those in the WT controls (Figure 7E,F).

Taken together, these findings indicate that loss of SMC2 led to defects in chromosome segregation, followed by DNA damage and the activation of apoptotic pathways in SMC2-expressing tissues, including the liver region of zebrafish.

4. Discussion

Previous studies have shown that condensins play a central role in chromosome organization and segregation [11], but the functions and mechanisms of condensins during the development of the liver in vertebrates remain to be explored. In this study, we demonstrated pivotal roles of zebrafish SMC2 in the development of the liver. Using the CRISPR/Cas9 technology, we generated two zebrafish homozygous SMC2 mutant
we found that the abnormal phenotypes of SMC2 was not upregulated (data not shown). Thus, the mild abnormal phenotypes of SMC2 likely mediated by the abnormality of chromosome organization and segregation. Indeed, chromosomes [37]. Thus, the activation of p53 apoptotic signaling by the loss of p53 is thought to be a decision-making transcription factor that selectively activates genes to determine cellular outcomes [33]. Upon DNA damage, the p53 protein accumulates rapidly through a post-transcriptional mechanism(s), and is also activated as a transcription factor, leading to growth arrest or apoptosis [34,35]. Cells can respond to DNA damage by instigating robust DNA damage response pathways [36], which serve as cellular surveillance systems to sense the presence of damaged DNA, and elicit checkpoint activation and subsequent lesion repair in preventing the amplification or loss of genes or chromosomes [37]. Thus, the activation of p53 apoptotic signaling by the loss of SMC2 is likely mediated by the abnormality of chromosome organization and segregation. Indeed, we found that SMC2 mutants had a low fraction of cells in the G1 phase and an accumulation of cells in the G2/M phases. In comparison with WT, SMC2 mutants exhibited
an increased number of cells with a big nucleus, indicating that the knockout of SMC2 resulted in defects in chromosome segregation. Moreover, we found that defects in the chromosome segregation of SMC2 mutants led to DNA damage responses, as evidenced by the increased expression levels of atm and atr, and the significantly elevated expression of γ-H2AX. Thus, the small liver phenotype is mainly attributable to the extensive apoptosis caused by defective chromosome segregation and DNA damage in SMC2 mutants.

5. Conclusions

The loss of SMC2 led to a small liver phenotype in SMC2−/− mutants. The expansion of the liver bud, but not the specification of hepatoblasts, was affected by the loss of SMC2. Increased cell apoptosis and decreased cell proliferation are responsible for the small liver phenotype in SMC2−/− mutants. The p53-driven apoptotic pathway was activated in SMC2−/− mutants. Extensive apoptosis occurring in the liver of SMC2 mutants is attributable to DNA damage.
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