Geoffrey Miller has hypothesized that producing artwork functions as a mating display. Here we investigate the relationship between mating success and artistic success in a sample of 236 visual artists. Initially, we derived a measure of artistic success that covered a broad range of artistic behaviors and beliefs. As predicted by Miller’s evolutionary theory, more successful male artists had more sexual partners than less successful artists but this did not hold for female artists. Also, male artists with greater artistic success had a mating strategy based on longer term relationships. Overall the results provide partial support for the sexual selection hypothesis for the function of visual art.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data were collected from self-identified visual artists using an online questionnaire. The first author worked closely with two artists in the construction of a set of questions that assayed success within this community. Artists were initially asked whether they considered themselves to be professional, serious, or hobby artists and this variable acted as a measure of self-perceived artistic success. The other questions in this section examined more diverse measures of artistic success. These were how long they had been an artist, time spent on art per week, number of exhibitions in past 5 years, average length of time of an exhibition, minimum and maximum cost of a piece of artwork, percentage of income made from artwork over the past 12 months, importance of art in the person’s life, the degree to which they believe that art is about taking risks, the importance of being recognized by the general public, and the importance of being recognized by other artists as an artist (the last four questions were rated on a scale from 1 to 10).

The final section consisted of demographic and sexual behavior questions. Numbers of biological children were requested as this acts as a measure of achieved fertility (Perusse, 1993; Hopcroft, 2004). However, it should be noted that men tend to, if anything, undercount their offspring and so it is possible that the findings may underestimate male artists’ achieved fertility (Hopcroft, 2004). Lifetime number of sexual partners was asked as an indicator of the ability to attract mates and is a commonly used measure of mating success (Faurie et al., 2004; Nettle and Clegg, 2006). The participants were also asked about the length of the relationships they had had as an indicator of mating strategy. Participants were provided with eight options ranging from “one night stands” to “lasting over 10 years” for which they put the number of relationships they had experienced for each relationship length.

The sample was primarily recruited from an advert in two artists’ magazines, one of which predominantly caters for professional artists and one which tends to attract more amateur/hobby artists. Information about the study was also posted on the websites of a number of artists’ groups, both professional and amateur, which have a presence on the internet. Amateur/hobby artists were also recruited by advertising on the Intranet at a University in the South of England and another site which publicizes online psychological research.

RESULTS

The sample consisted of 236 heterosexual artists of whom 85 (36%) were men and 151 (64%) were women. The age range was from 18 to 78 years, with a mean of 42.67 years and SD of 13.22, and approximated a normal distribution. Ninety-one percent of participants were from a white western background.

ARTISTIC SUCCESS

To develop a broadly based measure of artistic success, we conducted an unrotated principal components analysis (PCA) on the variables concerning artistic success. Any missing values were replaced with the mean. After an initial PCA was conducted the variable “Art is about taking risks” was removed due to low communality and a second PCA performed. A three-factor solution accounted for 63% of the variance.

All variables loaded on factor one, from 0.246 to 0.802, with the majority loading above 0.400. The variables were (with loadings on factor 1 in brackets) “self-reported artistic success” (0.802), “time spent on art” (0.743), “public display” (number of days artists have displayed their work over the past 5 years; 0.246), “minimum cost of art” (0.433), “maximum cost of art” (0.399), “percentage of income from art” (0.677), “importance of art in life” (0.698), “importance of public recognition” (0.506), and “importance of recognition from other artists” (0.515). Factor one accounted for 34% of the total variance. Therefore this factor will be taken as the measure of artistic status.

MATING SUCCESS

The distribution of number of sexual partners for these participants was highly skewed with a minimum of 0 and a maximum of 250 ($M = 10.67$, $SD = 21.75$). Therefore, the data were converted to a log scale and the following statistics computed using this scale.

A multiple regression was conducted with mating success as the dependent variable and artistic success, age, income, and length of current relationship as the independent variables. Separate multiple regressions were conducted for males and females as it is predicted by evolutionary theory that different variables will predict mating success for the two sexes. For males, the model was significant [$F(4, 50) = 8.387$, $p = 0.001$] and accounted for 35% of the variance (adjusted $R^2 = 0.354$). Artistic status was the only predictor variable that reached significance ($\beta = 0.546$, $p = 0.001$). Bivariate correlations of the variables that make up the artistic success variable with male artists’ mating success showed that “time spent on art” ($r = 0.592$, $p = 0.001$), “self-reported status” ($r = 0.470$, $p = 0.001$), “percentage of income from art” ($r = 0.255$, $p = 0.048$), “importance of art in life” ($r = 0.361$, $p = 0.004$), “importance of public recognition” ($r = 0.280$, $p = 0.027$), “importance of recognition from other artists” ($r = 0.340$, $p = 0.007$) were all significantly correlated with mating success.

When a multiple regression analysis was conducted for female artists, using the same variables as for males, the model was significant [$F(4, 95) = 5.734$, $p = 0.001$] and accounted for 16% of the variance (adjusted $R^2 = 0.161$). Length of relationship was the strongest predictor variable of female artists’ mating success ($\beta = -0.560$, $p = 0.001$) with age of artist also being a significant predictor ($\beta = 0.296$, $p = 0.029$). None of the other variables, including artistic success were significant predictors for female artists’ mating success.

MATING STRATEGY

To measure differences in mating strategy, a mating strategy index was computed in the following way. Each one night stand gained one point, each relationship up to a month two points, and so on up to each relationship 10 years or over which gained eight points. The total number of points for each person was added up and divided by their total number of relationships. Thus, the lower their score the more short-term their mating strategy. Since the distribution was highly skewed the data were converted to a log scale and the following statistics carried out using this scale.

A multiple regression was conducted separately for males and females, with mating strategy as the dependent variable, and
artistic success, age, length of current relationship, and income over the last 12 months as the independent variables. Ideally, whether the artists had children or not should also have been included as mating strategy has been shown to change once the individual has offspring. However the available data on children had a large number of missing values and it was not clear whether these individuals did not have children or whether they had chosen not to respond to these questions. Therefore numbers of offspring were not included in the regression.

For males, the model was significant \[ F(4, 32) = 8.993, p = 0.001 \] and accounted for 47% of the variance (adjusted \( R^2 = 0.470 \)). Artistic status was the only significant predictor variable (\( \beta = 0.579, p = 0.001 \)). Bivariate correlations of the variables that make up the artistic success variable with male artists’ mating strategy demonstrated that “time spent on art” \( (r = 0.528, p = 0.001) \), “self-reported artistic status” \( (r = 0.507, p = 0.001) \), “minimum cost of art” \( (r = 0.319, p = 0.047) \), and “percentage of income from art” \( (r = 0.446, p = 0.004) \) were all significantly correlated with male mating strategy.

For females the model was significant \[ F(4, 74) = 3.209, p = 0.017 \] and accounted for 10% of the variance (adjusted \( R^2 = 0.102 \)). Length of current relationship was the strongest significant predictor variable \( (\beta = -0.536, p = 0.001) \). Age was also a significant predictor of mating strategy \( (\beta = 0.453, p = 0.005) \). None of the other variables were significant including artistic success.

**DISCUSSION**

Although, according to the sexual selection hypothesis of creativity, it is actually quality of art that attracts sexual partners this is a subjective concept that is difficult to measure. The alternative tactic followed here is to consider other aspects of artistic behavior that may suggest professional success. Whilst we recognize that since the participants were asked to supply the information that made up the integrated success variable it remains a self-report measure, it is likely to reflect objective success in the artistic domain reasonably well. Although we acknowledge that this is not a truly integrated or comprehensive measure of artistic success, it is arguable whether any quantitative measure could truly capture this and it is certainly an improvement on previous self-reported measures of artistic success. Considering the variables that made up the artistic success variable, public display, minimum and maximum cost of art, and percentage of income earned from art could be taken as indicators of public endorsement of the artist’s work and as such could be argued to be indicators of quality of the artwork as perceived by the community. Time spent on artwork is indicative of the role that art plays in the artist’s life and can be considered as an indicator of quality of the artwork since according to the equal-odds rule (Simonton, 1988, 1997) the more work a creative individual produces the more pieces of work of high-quality the individual is likely to produce. Moreover it takes a creative individual approximately 10 years in their chosen field before they can build up the necessary knowledge and skill to produce a product that is truly innovative (Simonton, 1999). Hence, length of time as an artist is also likely to be closely associated with quality of artwork. Importance of art in life, recognition from the general public and recognition from other artists are also relevant to artistic success.

Bain (2005) highlights the difficulties for artists of being recognized as such, due to lack of requirement of formal qualifications and no shared workplace. Therefore recognition from others of their identity as artists as well as an importance of art in their lives constructs a narrative that projects an acknowledgment of their professional status (Bain, 2005). Thus, the measure of artistic status within this study is a broad based measure of artistic status that captures a comprehensive variety of behaviors and beliefs held by artists.

The results for mating success and artistic success are consistent with the sexual selection hypothesis of creativity, with more professional male artists having more sexual partners than less professional male artists but this relationship is not found for female artists. This is the case for male artists even when income, a commonly cited desirable trait in potential partners for women, is included. These results are supported by a study by Haselton and Miller (2006) that found that women in their most fertile period of their menstrual cycle preferred poor but creative men over wealthy, non-creative individuals as short-term mates. Furthermore, although significant, the model only accounts for 35% of the variance for males. Further research is required to consider other relevant variables to mating success and how these may interact with artistic success to effect numbers of sexual partners gained.

One possible interpretation of these results is that what is attracting more partners is high status rather than creativity per se. However, it is not always clear what is meant by the term status. If it is accumulation of resources such as income then although income was found to be significantly correlated with mating success, once this was controlled for objective artistic success remained a significant predictor of numbers of sexual partners for male artists. However, if the term status is used as an indicator of how successful one is in one’s chosen field then, within the particular community we have studied, this is inseparable from creative acclaim.

The non-significant finding for female artists may not mean that professional female artists are not attracting sexual partners through their creativity, but rather that they are seeking quality over quantity. This can not be confirmed or refuted from the data available in this study. However, Griskevicius et al. (2006) found that women’s creativity increased when primed with a stimulus for a long-term, committed, high-quality mate but not when the primes for a short-term, potential long-term or control were presented. This suggests that more professional female artists may be channeling their creativity toward attracting mates of high-quality rather than increasing numbers of mates. Further research using a sample of female artist is required before such conclusions can be drawn.

Contrary to prediction, more successful male artists were found to employ longer term mating strategies. This appears to be at odds with the finding that more professional artists had greater numbers of sexual partners. It may be that more successful artists have a greater choice of potential partners, and males therefore sample a greater number before choosing one to have a longer term relationship with which then endures. Another possibility is that more successful artists are more likely to have extra pair copulations whilst in a long-term relationship. Further research is required to understand the mating strategies of artists of differing
professional success. This should include a consideration of presence or absence of offspring, which has found to influence mating strategy in previous studies.

**CONCLUSION**

The findings reported here are generally consistent with the sexual selection hypothesis for the maintenance of artistic behaviors.
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