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Abstract

In this paper we present a gold standard dataset for Entity Linking (EL) in the Music Domain. It contains thousands of musical named entities such as Artist, Song or Record Label, which have been automatically annotated on a set of artist biographies coming from the Music website and social network LAST.FM. The annotation process relies on the analysis of the hyperlinks present in the source texts and in a voting-based algorithm for EL, which considers, for each entity mention in text, the degree of agreement across three state-of-the-art EL systems. Manual evaluation shows that EL Precision is at least 94%, and due to its tunable nature, it is possible to derive annotations favouring higher Precision or Recall, at will. We make available the annotated dataset along with evaluation data and the code.
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1. Introduction

When we refer to the Music Domain in a Natural Language Processing (NLP) context we refer to Music product reviews such as Albums or Songs, Music-related biographies or even song lyrics. While these are valuable resources in NLP for tasks like Sentiment Analysis, Music Information Retrieval (MIR), however, has barely exploited the information and knowledge that can be extracted from textual data. This opens up a vibrant area of research where MIR tasks may benefit dramatically from mining textual data (Sordo et al., 2015). For example, Music Recommendation is increasingly leveraging NLP in order to go beyond shallow Music item features and shared listening habits (Ostuni et al., 2015). However, the intersection between NLP and MIR is vastly underdeveloped, with few available evaluation frameworks, Music-specific corpora and ad-hoc tools.

An interesting common ground for exploiting the intersection between NLP and MIR is the automatic generation of Music Knowledge Bases (KBs). These may be further exploited for computing Artist Similarity (Oramas et al., 2015a) or Music Recommendation (Sordo et al., 2015). While it is not the purpose of this paper to provide an overview of methods for KB learning, let us mention that generally the approach starts by processing large corpora in order to obtain an annotation for Music entity mentions in text, either simply as Music types (e.g. tagging ‘Yellow Submarine’ as Song) or performing Entity Linking (EL), e.g. tagging ‘Yellow Submarine’ as dbpedia.org/page/Yellow_Submarine_(song). However, this is not a trivial task as mentions to Music entities show language and register idiosyncrasies (Tata and Di Eugenio, 2010; Gruhl et al., 2009), and therefore a certain degree of tailoring is required in order to account for them. Let us consider multiword Music entities, which usually are those who pose greatest challenges for EL. As (Tata and Di Eugenio, 2010) point out, they are difficult to discover because they may not be restricted to a single Noun Phrase or may be abbreviated (by means of acronyms, dropping entire words or even full rephrasing). Additionally, a specific trait of Music texts is the fact that one song may have many covers by many different artists and, according to our evaluation, it may be difficult even for a human to identify what version of the song the writer is referring to. Furthermore, availability of EL testbeds in general (Usbeck et al., 2015), and in the Music domain in particular (Gruhl et al., 2009), is scarce, making it very difficult to evaluate novel systems and approaches. Hence, it is difficult to know how well a certain method, which may work well for generic texts, will perform on Music data.

Despite the current context of scarcity of both EL systems and evaluation benchmarks in the Music domain, there are some exceptional cases in which these issues were addressed, such as: (1) Detecting Music entities (e.g. songs or bands) on informal text (Gruhl et al., 2009); (2) Applying Hidden Markov Models for discovering Music entity mentions in Chinese corpora (Zhang et al., 2009); or (3) Recognizing musical entities in the context of a relation extraction pipeline (Oramas et al., 2015b).

We argue that the problem of precision in detecting musical entities may be tackled by leveraging a combination of several generic EL off-the-shelf systems. Simply put, we hypothesize that if two or more generic systems annotate with the same URI an entity mention, the probability of this annotation to be correct increases. To the best of our knowledge, very little effort has been put in exploiting this agreement feature. One of the reasons may be that, as of now, most EL systems speak their own language, partially due to the fact that each of them points back to different KBs, and hence their output is heterogeneous and cannot be directly compared, let alone combine. This has motivated research towards unification frameworks for evaluation of EL. For instance, (Cornolti et al., 2013) put forward a benchmarking framework for comparing EL systems. Moreover, (Rizzo and Erp, 2014) describe a system aimed at combining the output of the different NER sys-
tems. Finally (Usbeck et al., 2015) present GERBIL, an evaluation framework for semantic EL based on (Cornolti et al., 2013).

In this paper we aim to provide a twofold answer to the challenges described above, and bridge the gap between the Music domain and EL. Specifically, we present ELMD, an automatically constructed corpus where named entities are classified as any of four predefined musical categories, namely SONG, ALBUM, ARTIST, and RECORD LABEL, by leveraging the hyperlinks present in a set of artist biographies. Then, we further enrich ELMD by performing EL and automatically annotating a large portion of the entities with their DBpedia URI. The source data used in this paper comes from the music website and social network Last.fm. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first attempt to provide an annotated large-scale corpus of linked entities in the music domain.

The final resource amounts to 47,254 sentences, in which 92,930 entities are categorized into the aforementioned musical categories, and 64% of them are disambiguated and linked to DBpedia. We achieve a Precision score of 97% in the most restrictive setting, in which our approach manages to annotate more than 31,000 entities.

In the remainder of this paper, we first introduce ELVIS (Entity Linking Voting and Integration System), our EL integration and agreement approach. Then, we describe the text corpus we compiled from the Last.fm website and how it is combined with ELVIS. In the next step, the obtained dataset is evaluated. Finally, we describe the resulting output of our system: The ELMD dataset.

2. ELVIS

In this section we describe ELVIS, the generic integration framework for Entity Linking, which is leveraged for the construction of ELMD. First, we describe our Entity Linking research problem and provide an intuition on how this may be surmounted via an agreement scheme. Then, we provide details on the main modules integrating ELVIS, highlighting the possible cases of agreement and disagreement over the EL systems that are integrated in our framework.

2.1. Argumentum ad Populum in EL

Our method relies on the argumentum ad populum intuition, i.e. if two or more different EL systems perform the same prediction in linking a named entity mention to its entry in a reference KB, the more likely this prediction is to be correct. We put this intuition into practice by combining the output of three well-known systems, namely DBpedia Spotlight (Mendes et al., 2011), Tagme (Ferragina and Sciallela, 2012) and Babelfy (Moro et al., 2014), whose agreement (or disagreement) when disambiguating an in-text entity mention is taken as an agreement-driven confidence score. These specific tools were chosen for being considered state-of-the-art EL systems and for being well known in the NLP community. However, ELVIS can easily incorporate any additional system. We also selected these tools because entities identified by all of them can be easily referenced to DBpedia URIs. Although there are other knowledge bases (e.g. MusicBrainz) with substantially more musical entities than DBpedia, to the best of our knowledge, there is no EL tool that works with these domain specific knowledge bases.

Let us briefly describe each of the selected EL systems:

- **DBpedia Spotlight** (Mendes et al., 2011) is a system for automatically annotating text documents with DBpedia URIs, finding and disambiguating natural language mentions of DBpedia resources. DBpedia Spotlight is shared as open source and deployed as a Web service freely available for public use. Its output is shared as open source and deployed as a Web service.
- **TagMe** (Ferragina and Sciallela, 2012) is an EL system that matches terms with Wikipedia link texts and disambiguates them using the in-link graph and the Wikipedia page dataset. Then, it performs a pruning process by looking at the entity context. TagMe is available as a web service, and provides the Wikipedia page id, Wikipedia categories, and a confidence score.
- **Babelfy** (Moro et al., 2014) is an EL and Word Sense Disambiguation based on non-strict identification of candidate meanings (i.e. not necessarily exact string matching), together with a graph based algorithm that traverses the BabelNet graph and selects the most appropriate semantic interpretation for each candidate. Babelfy is available as a web service. Its output is based on the corresponding BabelNet synset of the disambiguated mention. If the synset references to a Wikipedia page, it returns the Wikipedia URL, the DBpedia URI, as well as Wikipedia categories.

While these tools have proven highly competitive on their own, in this paper we explore the gain in performance obtained by combining them together, and apply global agreement-driven decisions on the Last.fm corpus.

2.2. ‘Translating’ EL Formats

In order to have each EL system speak the same language for measuring agreement in their predictions, output homogenization is required. This is not a trivial task, as each EL approach may be based on a different reference KB, the offsets may be computed differently, and so on. For instance, DBpedia Spotlight links entity mentions via DBpedia URIs, whereas Tagme provides Wikipedia page IDs, and Babelfy disambiguates against BabelNet (Navigli and Ponzetto, 2012) and its corresponding BabelNet synsets. We attempt to surmount this heterogeneity as follows: First, we retrieve DBpedia URIs of every named entity. There are some considerations to be taken into account, however: (1) Character encoding differs from system to system, which

---

1. http://www.last.fm
2. https://github.com/dbpedia-spotlight
3. http://tagme.di.unipi.it/
4. http://babelfy.org/guide
we address by converting the character encoding of the retrieved URI to UTF-8; (2) Several URIs may refer to the same DBpedia resource. We solve this specific issue thanks to the transitive redirections provided by DBpedia. If a URI has a transitive redirection, it is replaced by the redirected URI. (3) Note that, in the case of Tagme, only Wikipedia page IDs are provided, which we can straightforwardly exploit to map entity mentions to their DBpedia equivalent. Finally, and after surmounting compatibility issues among systems, we retrieve DBpedia types (rdf:type property) for all entities. This type information is further used in the creation of ELMD.

After successfully providing a process which harmonizes the output of EL systems, it is possible to compute the degree of agreement among them, which will become our system’s confidence score. We define the following set of agreement heuristics to set such score for each linking prediction (an overview of the workflow of ELVIS is provided in Figure 1).

- **Full Agreement** (+++) When all systems detect an entity with the same URI and offset.
- **Partial Agreement** (+) When more than one but less than all systems detect an entity with the same URI and offset. Outliers (i.e., systems performing a different prediction) may detect a different entity or may not detect anything.
- **Singleton Decision** (−) When only one system detects an entity for a given text offset.
- **Disagreement** (−−) When more than one system performs a linking over the same text offset, but all of their predictions are different.

We crawled artist biographies from LAST.FM in March 2015, and gathered 13,000 artist biographies, which comprise 47,254 sentences with at least one hyperlink, amounting to a total of 92,930 links. These may be broken down as follows: (1) 64,873 hyperlinks referencing Artist pages; (2) 16,302 to Albums; (3) 8,275 to Song pages; and finally (4) 3,480 hyperlinks referencing Record Labels. This type information is extracted thanks to the structure of each link’s URL, as it includes in its path the category of the annotated entity. Consider, for example, the following sentence:

After their debut The Intelligence got signed to

In the Red Records.

Here, we may infer that the entity In the Red Records is a Record Label, thanks to its LAST.FM URL: http://www.last.fm/label/In+the+Red+Records. This information is extracted from the whole LAST.FM corpus for those entities falling in one of the four musical categories previously defined.

### 3.1. Data Enrichment

For the creation of the ELMD dataset, the crowdsourced annotations extracted from LAST.FM biographies are combined with decisions made by ELVIS and its voting framework.

Every entity mention annotated in the LAST.FM corpus is a candidate to be included in ELMD. The challenge is to assign to each entity its correct DBpedia URI. We approach this problem by leveraging (1) The DBpedia URI assigned by ELVIS, (2) The agreement score for that prediction, as well as (3) The type information derived from the entity’s LAST.FM URL. Our intuition is that the higher the agreement score, the more likely the prediction is to be correct. Likewise, we also hypothesize that if a linking decision made by ELVIS coincides in type with the original LAST.FM annotation, it is more likely to be correct. Since there is no direct mapping between LAST.FM and DBpedia types, we manually set the type equivalences shown in Table 1.

Regarding the agreement score, it corresponds to the number of systems that agreed in a decision (see Score column in Table 2). Note that an agreement score of 1 may be caused either by cases in which only one system detected an entity mention, or when there is disagreement among systems, but one and only one of them coincides in type with the original LAST.FM annotation (last row in Table 2). As for type value, this is a binary value (type-equivalent or type-discrepant) based on coinciding types between LAST.FM URLs and ELVIS decisions.

### 4. Evaluation

Considering the different possibilities of agreement across the systems integrating ELVIS, there are in total 7 possible configurations: 1 with full agreement (score= 3); 3 with partial agreement (score = 2); and 3 singleton configurations (score= 1). Moreover, considering also the two possible values of type agreement, namely equivalent and discrepant, we have a total number of 14 configurations. Figure 2 provides a visual overview of these con-
and the academic minimalism of Steve Reich

The new album Hypocrisy followed shortly thereafter

The third album Lucifer Songs, opened new and unexpected doors

The band’s debut album, Cookies, was released on 14 May 2007

Table 2: Agreement examples

| Last.fm type | Tagme       | Babelfy      | Spotlight     | Score | Type Eq.   |
|--------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|-------|------------|
| Art          | Steve_Reich (type:artist) | Steve_Reich (type:artist) | Steve_Reich (type:artist) | 3     | type-equivalent |
| Album        | —           | Hypocrisy (type:band) | Hypocrisy (type:band) | 2     | type-discrepant |
| Album        | —           | Lucifer_Songs (type:album) | —             | 1     | type-equivalent |
| Album        | HTTP_cookie (type:unknown) | Cookies (type:album) | —             | 1     | type-equivalent (only Babelfy) |

Table 1: Type equivalence

| Last.fm type | DBpedia type |
|--------------|--------------|
| Song         | DBpedia:Song, DBpedia:Single, Yago:Song |
| Album        | DBpedia:Album, Yago:Album, Schema:MusicAlbum |
| Artist       | DBpedia:MusicalArtist, DBpedia:Band, Schema:MusicGroup, Yago:Musician, Yago:Creator, DBpedia:Artist |
| Record Label | DBpedia:RecordLabel |

Figure 2: Number of entities and precision of the manual evaluation. Note the major differences in Precision between type-equivalent and type-discrepant systems.

Table 3: Precision and number of entities with this value of precision. Type-equivalent implies entities from the type-equivalent configuration only, whilst All implies all entities regardless their type information.

| Agreement | Precision | No. Entities |
|-----------|-----------|--------------|
| type-equivalent | 3       | 0.97         | 31,180       |
|           | ≥ 2       | 0.96         | 46,544       |
|           | ≥ 1       | 0.94         | 59,680       |
| all       | 3         | 0.94         | 33,455       |
|           | ≥ 2       | 0.90         | 51,802       |
|           | ≥ 1       | 0.81         | 72,365       |

We observe that the type-equivalent configuration yields much better Precision with only a slight tradeoff in terms of Recall. Therefore, we decided to select for the final ELMD dataset only those URIs stemming from a type-equivalent setting where agreement score is equal or greater to 1. This ensures a Precision of at least 0.94 in terms of Entity Linking. Moreover, a manual survey of false positives in the highest scoring setting (agreement score ≥ 3 and type-equivalent) showed that these are cases in which even a human annotator may not find it trivial to correctly find the correct entity to those entity mentions. One of these cases are those in which ELVIS is presented with an entity mention that on surface may refer to either an Artist or an Album named after the artist or band itself. An actual case of false positive in our evaluation dataset is the following sentence:

Her debut album, Kim Wilde, (released on RAK records) came out in July 1981 and stayed in the U.K. album charts for 14 weeks, peaking at number 3 and getting much acclaim.

Here, the entity Kim Wilde should be disambiguated as the Album with the same name as the artist, but ELVIS incorrectly assigned the Artist’s DBpedia URI: dbpedia.org/resource/Kim_Wilde. In ELMD there...
are 50 cases where the same surface text is correctly linked to an Artist entity in some sentences, and to a Song entity in others. Similar ambiguous cases involving Artist and Album (148) and Song and Album (95) are correctly resolved by our system. These particularly challenging cases may be interesting for training Music specific EL algorithms. Another interesting source of false positives comes between musical entities and equally named entities (not necessarily related to Music). In cases in which the latter are more popular in a reference KB, e.g. their associated node in the graph may have higher connectivity, may become prioritized by disambiguation EL algorithms that consider graph connectivity as a feature. Consider the following sentence:

He is becoming more and more in demand for his remixing skills; working for the likes of Justin Timberlake and Armand van Helden, and labels including Ministry Of Sound, Defected and Intec, to name a just a few.

Here, the entity Ministry of Sound refers to a Record Label, a spin-off of the well-known club, which is the entity that was incorrectly assigned: dbpedia.org/resource/Ministry_of_Sound. Cases like this would require, first, to ensure that the different entities derived from Ministry of Sound (such as the Record Label or a clothing brand of the same name) exist in a reference KB, and second, to exploit contextual information so that a correct decision is made. A similar situation happens when song or album names may be confused with very common words or expressions (e.g. ‘Easy’, ‘Stupid’, ‘Sad song’, ‘If’, ‘Be there’). ELMD is rich in challenging cases like these.

5. Conclusion and Discussion

In this paper we have described two main contributions. First, for the task of Entity Linking, we have presented an integration framework called ELVIS which, based on a voting procedure which leverages decisions made by an arbitrary number of off-the-shelf EL systems, provides high confident entity disambiguations. Currently, ELVIS incorporates three state-of-the-art systems, namely DBpedia Spotlight, Tagme and Babelify, and can be easily extended with additional systems. The ELVIS code is available at https://github.com/sergiooramas/elvis. Second, we have leveraged the potential of ELVIS for the creation of a novel benchmarking dataset for EL in the Music domain, called ELMD. This corpus comes from a collection of LAST.FM artist biographies, and contains 47,254 sentences with 92,930 annotated and classified entity mentions (64,873 Artists, 16,302 Albums, 8,275 Songs and 3,480 Record Labels). From this set of entity mentions, 59,680 are linked to DBpedia (see Table 4), with a precision of at least 0.94. In addition, by setting up a higher confidence threshold it is possible to obtain a subset of ELMD that prioritize higher Precision by sacrificing Recall (see Figure 3). The ELMD dataset together with the evaluation data can be downloaded from http://mtg.upf.edu/download/datasets/elmd.
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