Teaching the basics of autophagy and mitophagy to redox biologists—Mechanisms and experimental approaches

Jianhua Zhang a,b,c,*

a Center for Free Radical Biology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA
b Department of Pathology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA
c Department of Veterans Affairs, Birmingham VA Medical Center, Birmingham, AL, USA

Abstract

Autophagy is a lysosomal mediated degradation activity providing an essential mechanism for recycling cellular constituents, and clearance of excess or damaged lipids, proteins and organelles. Autophagy involves more than 30 proteins and is regulated by nutrient availability, and various stress sensing pathways. This article provides an overview of the mechanisms and regulation of autophagy, its role in health and diseases, and methods for its measurement. Hopefully this teaching review together with the graphic illustrations will be helpful for instructors teaching graduate students who are interested in grasping the concepts and major research areas and introducing recent developments in the field.
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**Introduction**

Autophagy is defined as the processes that degrade intracellular materials in the lysosome/vacuole. It was first discovered in ultrastructural studies in the 1950s [1]. Vacuoles that contain amorphous materials were observed in kidneys from the newborn undergoing remodeling [2]. In the liver after glucagon perfusion or injection of a detergent, Triton WR-1339, there was a clear increase in vesicles containing partially digested cytoplasmic materials, in particular partially digested mitochondria [3,4]. These vacuolar structures were then named “autophagosomes”, and examples surveyed in a review encompassing all major tissues [1].

Research in autophagy mechanisms and regulation was boosted by two key discoveries. One is the identification of AuTophaCy-related (ATG) genes in the 1990s, mutations of which resulted in dysregulated vacuole formation in yeast [5,6]. The other is the finding that one key autophagy mammalian ATG gene homolog, ATG6/BECN1, is a tumor suppressor gene [7,8].

Following that, autophagy has been demonstrated to be important not only in cancer biology, but also in the development, function and survival of essentially all cell types and tissues. It is subjected to regulation by a variety of signals, including nutrient availability, metabolic activities, and environmental changes [9]. Consequently, cellular lipids, proteins and organelles that exceed their “expiration date”, either due to structural or conformational changes or due to oxidative damages, are to be degraded by autophagy. Degradation by autophagy serves the purpose of decreasing the cellular energy cost for the maintenance of cellular structure and function, and attenuating further propagation of cellular damage. Autophagy is now considered the primary process that deals with damaged lipids, proteins, and organelles and can also contribute to the antioxidant defenses of the cells [9–12].

**Mechanisms and regulation of autophagy**

**Three types of autophagy**

Three types of autophagy have been described: microautophagy, chaperone-mediated autophagy and macroautophagy (Fig. 1) [13]. Microautophagy describes extension and/or invagination of lysosomal membranes to take in intracellular contents [14,15]. The signaling pathways have been shown in yeast to be dependent on Ca²⁺/Calmodulin, but independent of components involved in vesicle fusions [16,17]. In response to ER stress, massive ER expansion triggers the formation of ER whorls, which are selectively taken up into the yeast vacuoles by invagination of the vacuolar membrane, in a process equivalent to microautophagy [18]. Electron microscopy studies have suggested that a decrease in microautophagic structures correlates with a decrease in protein turnover both during starvation and in response to refeeding in hepatocytes [19]. The appearance of microautophagic structures has been shown to be dependent on ATP and microfilaments in mammalian cells [20,21]. Recent studies have also indicated microautophagy-like mechanisms of direct trapping of proteins into late endosomes via a process facilitated by heat shock cognate 70 (HSC70)-endosomal acidic phospholipid interactions in mammalian systems [22].

Chaperone-mediated autophagy involves chaperone protein HSC70 recognizing proteins with a consensus KFERQ sequence and bringing the proteins one by one, to the lysosome-associated membrane protein 2A (LAMP-2A) receptor to transport them into the lysosomes and be degraded. This is highly specific and is studied by incubating proteins with isolated lysosomes or genetic manipulations of chaperones or the lysosomal receptor [23].

Macroautophagy is a high capacity process, initiated by

---

Fig. 1. The 3 types of autophagy. Lysosomal-mediated degradation of cellular contents, or autophagy, is divided into 3 general categories. 1. Microautophagy is defined by ultrastructural studies as performed by lysosomal/endosomal invagination of nearby cellular contents, or lysosomal extension to wrap around cellular contents, to engulf and degrade lipids, proteins and organelles. It has been shown to depend on ATP and microfilaments and be facilitated by HSC70-phospholipid interactions. 2. Chaperone-mediated autophagy is dependent on lysosomal LAMP-2A membrane receptor and chaperone HSC70 protein recognizing unfolded proteins that carry KFERQ consensus sequences. 3. Macroautophagy is characterized by formation of double membrane vesicles that recognize and encircle intracellular excessive or damaged lipids, proteins and organelles. The autophagic vesicles, or autophagosomes, then fuse with lysosomes, and their contents degraded by lysosomal enzymes. These 3 types of autophagy have shared as well as independent machineries, and their activities may compensate or regulate one another.
membrane circling target proteins, organelles and to form autophagosomes. These autophagosomes then fuse with the lysosomes so that the contents can be degraded. This machinery consists of more than 30 proteins and regulators [8]. The main regulatory pathways involves sensing amino acid and nutrient availability by mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR)-unc-51 like autophagy activating kinase 1 (ULK1)-Atg13 pathway, nucleation of autophagic vesicles by the Beclin-1/vacuolar protein sorting 34 (VPS34)/VPS15/Atg14 complex, expansion of autophagosomes by ubiquitin-like conjugation pathways ending with Atg5/Atg12/Atg16 as well as p62 that has an ubiquitin binding domain as well as an LC3-II interacting domain.
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**Fig. 2.** Proteins involved in autophagosome formation. 1. Initiation of autophagosomal formation is regulated by mTOR inhibition. In response to insulin receptor withdrawal, amino acid starvation, low ATP, mTOR is inhibited, resulting in ULK1 activation and initiation of autophagy. 2. Beclin-1/VPS34/VPS15/Atg14 complex formation also plays an important role in nucleation of autophagic vesicles. 3. Extension of autophagosomal membranes are regulated by 2 ubiquitin-like conjugation pathways, with both LC3 and Atg12 resembling ubiquitin structure. One involves Atg7 and Atg10 acting as E1 and E2 enzymes, sequentially conjugating with Atg12 via glycine-cysteine thioester bonds, eventually conjugating Atg12 to Atg5 at a lysine residue through an isopeptide bond, resulting in Atg5/Atg12/Atg16 complex association with autophagosomal membranes. The other involves Atg4 cleaving pro-LC3 exposing a glycine residue at the C-terminal, conjugation of LC3-I with Atg7, then Atg3 via thioester bonds, resulting in conjugation of LC3-I with phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) through an amide bond, forming LC3-II and insertion into autophagosomal membranes. 4. Recognition of cargo can be mediated by adaptor proteins such as p62.
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**Fig. 3.** mTOR in sensing autophagy signals: mTOR inhibition integrates amino acid starvation, growth factor deprivation, decreased ATP or oxygen levels, enhanced reactive oxygen species (ROS) to activate autophagy. Amino acid deprivation leads to mTOR dissociation with lysosomes. An association of AMPK with the late endosomes in response to glucose starvation also leads to dissociation and inactivation of mTOR. ATP depletion activates AMPK which can activate TSC1/2 and thereby inhibits mTOR or activates ULK1 to activate autophagy. In addition, mitochondrial generated ROS may also induce autophagy via an AMPK mediated pathway. Growth factor deprivation leads to activation of TSC1/2, inactivation of Rheb, and inactivation of mTOR. Peroxisomal TSC1/2 localizes to peroxisomes through binding to PEX19 and PEX5, and can be activated by peroxisomal ROS to inhibit mTOR activities and induce autophagy. Oxygen deprivation may activate autophagy by HIF1α-mediated transcription activation of BNIP3 which inhibits Rheb. mTOR inhibition activates autophagy by activation of ULK1, VPS34 and TFEB, a master transcription activator of genes encoding autophagy and lysosomal proteins. Conformational inhibitors of mTOR such as rapamycin and catalytic inhibitors such as Torin1 have been shown to induce autophagy.
as MAP1 light chain 3 (LC3)-II insertion into autophagosomal membranes, and cargo recognition, including p62/sequestosome 1 (SQSTM1) binding to both ubiquitinated proteins and LC3-II (Fig. 2) [10,24,25]. Transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation of autophagy and lysosomal proteins also play important roles in macroautophagy [26,27].

mTOR and nutrient sensing

mTOR is a key autophagy regulator integrating amino acid starvation, growth factor deprivation, the drop of ATP or oxygen levels, and accumulation of reactive oxygen species to the autophagy pathway activities [28–31] (Fig. 3). mTOR is associated with either complex 1 (mTORC1) or complex 2 (mTORC2) depending on cofactors in the complexes. In the presence of amino acids, mTORC1 is associated with the lysosome with the Regulator complex and Rag GTPase [32]. The lysosomal association facilitates the binding and activation of mTORC1 with Ras homolog enriched in brain (Rheb) GTPase. Amino acid deprivation leads to mTOR disassociation with lysosomes. Growth factor deprivation leads to inactivation of Akt protein kinase, activation of tuberous sclerosis 1/2 (TSC1/2), and inactivation of Rheb, thus attenuated Rheb activation of mTOR [33]. ATP depletion either due to glucose starvation or mitochondrial dysfunction activates AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) which can activate TSC1/2 and thereby inhibits mTOR, or activate ULK1 to activate autophagy [34–36]. Recent studies also identified an association of AMPK with the late endosomes in response to glucose starvation, leading to dissociation and inactivation of mTOR [37].

Oxygen deprivation may activate autophagy by attenuating mitochondrial function or by hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF1α) mediated transcription activation of Bcl-2 adenovirus E1B 19-kDa interacting protein 3 (BNIP3) which inhibits Rheb [38,39]. Inhibition of mTOR activities has also been found to play a role in autophagy induction by reactive oxygen species (ROS) [29,40]. It has been shown that TSC1/2 localizes to peroxisomes through binding to peroxisomal biogenesis factor (PEX) 19 and 5, and can be activated by peroxisomal ROS to inhibit mTOR activities and induce autophagy [29].

Downstream of mTOR inhibition, autophagy is activated by increased phosphorylation of ULK1 [34–36], VPS34 activation [41], as well as transcription factor EB (TFEB) activation [42,43]. Notably, mTOR knockout mice are embryonically lethal [44]. ULK1 has a homolog ULK2 and ULK1/2 double knockout mice exhibit respiratory deficits [45]. The soil bacterium Streptomyces hygroscopius produced macrolide rapamycin, and the mTOR ATP-competitive inhibitor Torin1, both have been shown to be potent inducers of autophagy in diverse cellular contexts [46].

Beclin–VPS34 complex

Beclin-1 is a Bcl-2-homology (BH)-3 domain only protein, which is under the transcription regulation of NF-kB, and E2F [47,48]. Beclin-1 can be sequestered by the anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 protein, the interaction is also under the control of post-translational modification of both Bcl-2 and Beclin-1, or their binding to other regulatory proteins [47–49]. Beclin-1 association with class III PI3K, VPS34 plays an important role in nucleation of autophagosomes [47,48] (Fig. 4). VPS34 can also be phosphorylated, which impacts its binding to Beclin-1 [47,48]. Among others, UV radiation resistance-associated gene (UVRAG), Bax-interacting factor 1 (Bif-1)/Endophilin B1, Run domain Beclin-1 interacting and

Fig. 4. Beclin1–VPS34 complex in regulation of autophagy. Beclin-1/VPS34/VPS15/ATG14 complex plays an important role in regulating autophagy and is subjected to transcriptional (e.g., by transcription factor NFκB and E2F) and post-translational controls (phosphorylation or ubiquitination). Beclin-1 can also complex with the anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 protein, attenuating its involvement in autophagic activities. Beclin-1–VPS34 complex is subject to regulation by UVRAG, Bif-1, Rubicon, Ambra1, NRBF2, and HMGB1. PI3P production by VPS34 can be sensed by WIPIs which control the localization of Atg9 and its involvement in autophagy. ALFY can also bind PI3P and associate with p62 and cytoplasmic protein aggregates and mediates their autophagic degradation. PI3K inhibitors wortmannin and 3-methyladenine can inhibit autophagy, although they may have additional impact on other PI3K activities.
MAP-1 LC3 homologs

LC3 is one of the microtubule associated proteins (Fig. 5A).

There are 4 groups of these microtubule associated proteins important for sustaining cell shape. MAP2 is specifically expressed in neurons, the others (Tau, MAP4 and MAP1) are enriched in neurons but are also in other cell types. The MAP1 family has one heavy chain and 3 light chains, LC3 is the smallest light chain [65]. In mammalian cells, LC3A, B, C, Golgi-associated ATPase enhancer of 16 kDa (GATE16), gamma-aminobutyric acid receptor-associated protein (GABARAP), gamma-aminobutyric acid receptor-associated protein like 1 (GABARAPL1) are homologs encoded by independent genes. All can be processed by Atg4 protease and ubiquitin-like conjugation by E1 and E2 like Atg7 and Atg3, ending with lipidation by phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) and insertion into autophagosomes (Fig. 5B) [66–68].

Adaptor proteins and selective autophagy

Certain adaptor proteins recognize selective autophagy substrates, although the full range of mechanisms of substrate selection is still largely unclear. Ubiquitinated proteins can be recognized by adaptor proteins, p62/SQSTM1 (sequestosome 1), NBR1 (neighbor of Brc1 gene 1) NDP52 (nucleotid protein 52), and Optineurin, through an ubiquitin associated domain (UBA), and brought to autophagosomes through binding of these adaptor proteins to LC3 via their LC3-interaction regions (LIR) with XXXWXXLX consensus sequences, the core aromatic residue can be W, F, or Y [69,70] (Fig. 6A). P62 also has a kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 (KEAP1) interacting domain important for KEAP1 degradation by autophagy allowing nuclear factor (erythroid-derived 2)-like 2 (NRF2) transcription factor to translocate to the nucleus and activate antioxidant gene expression [11,69,71] (Fig. 6A). The increase of p62 protein may be either due to insufficient autophagic degradation of p62, or activation of p62 transcription, which has been shown to be under the control of the redox sensing transcription factor NRF2 [11,72] (Fig. 6A). P62 has a P81 domain which mediates its homopolymerization, and has been found to accumulate in ubiquitin-positive inclusions in neurodegenerative diseases [73]. When autophagy is blocked, for example due to Atg5, Atg7, or VPS34 deficiency, p62 as well as other autophagy substrates accumulate [57,74,75]. In addition to participating in autophagy and being an autophagy substrate, p62 can shuttle poly-ubiquitinated tau for proteasomal degradation [76]. Mutations in the UBA of p62 are associated with adult onset cysteine-rich containing protein (Rubicon), activating molecule in Beclin 1-regulated autophagy 1 (Ambra1), high-mobility group protein B1 (HMGB1), and nuclear receptor-binding factor 2 (NRFB2) have been shown to regulate Beclin-1–VPS34 binding or their participation in autophagic activities [47,48,50].

Downstream of Beclin-1–VPS34 complex activities that produce phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate (PI3P), WD repeat domain phosphoinositide-interacting protein (WIPI)s sense PI3P and control the localization of ATG9 and its role in autophagy regulation [48,51,52], autophagy-linked FYVE protein (ALFY) binds PI3P and associates with cytoplasmic protein aggregates and mediates their autophagic degradation [53–55]. Beclin-1 and VPS34 gene knockout mice are embryonic lethal [56–60]. PI3K inhibitors wortmannin and 3-methyladenine have been shown to inhibit autophagy, however, they may have additional impact on other PI3 kinase activities depending on the specific cellular context [61–63].

Ubiquitin-like conjugation pathways

Extension of autophagosomal membranes are regulated by 2 ubiquitin-like conjugation pathways, with both LC3 and Atg12 resembling a ubiquitin structure [64] (Fig. 2). One involves Atg7 and Atg10 acting as E1 and E2 enzymes, sequentially conjugating with Atg12 via glycine–cysteine thioester bonds, eventually conjugating Atg12 to Atg5 at a lysine residue through an isopeptide bond, resulting in the Atg5/Atg12/Atg16 complex association with autophagosomal membranes. This complex associates with the autophagosomal membrane at an early step and dissociates from autophagosomal membranes upon completion of autophagy. The other involves a redox sensitive protease Atg4 cleaving pro-LC3 exposing the glycine residue generating LC3A-I, LC3B-I, LC3C-I, GATE16-I, GABARAP-I and GABARAPL1-I, allowing E1, E2, and E3-like conjugation by Atg7, Atg3 mediated reaction, ending with conjugation with phosphatidyethanolamine (PE), resulting in membrane associated form of LC3A-II, LC3B-II, LC3C-II, GATE16-II, GABARAP-II and GABARAPL1-II.

Fig. 5. LC3 function and homologs. (A) LC3 is a microtubule-associated protein, MAP1 light chain 3. These microtubule associated proteins, including MAP2 (A, B, and C) that are restricted to the central nervous system, Tau that is enriched in the central nervous system but also expressed elsewhere, MAP4 that is widely expressed in mammalian tissues, and MAP1 (A, B, and C heavy chain, and light chain 1 and 2 that are enriched in the central nervous system, but also expressed in other tissues), are thought to be important for maintaining cell structure and shape. (B) LC3 has 6 mammalian homologs including LC3A, LC3B, LC3C, GATE16, GABARAP and GABARAPL1. All these homologs can be cleaved by Atg4 at the C-terminal which exposes the glycine residue generating LC3A-I, LC3B-I, LC3C-I, GATE16-I, GABARAP-I and GABARAPL1-I, allowing E1, E2, and E3-like conjugation by Atg7, Atg3 mediated reaction, ending with conjugation with phosphatidyethanolamine (PE), resulting in membrane associated form of LC3A-II, LC3B-II, LC3C-II, GATE16-II, GABARAP-II and GABARAPL1-II.
Mitophagy

Mitophagy, or autophagy of the mitochondria, is important for mitochondrial quality control, thereby essential for providing cellular energy, calcium homeostasis, redox signaling and apoptotic signaling [81,82] (Fig. 7). Apart from Nix, BNIP3 and FUNDC1 that target mitochondria to autophagosomes by binding to LC3 in response to hypoxia or during erythrocyte development, there are additional pathways regulating mitophagy. For example, mitochondrial dynamics, including fission and fusion, play a key role in signaling mitophagy [83,84]. Mitochondrial DNA damage, inhibition of respiratory chain activity, loss of iron, and mitochondrial protein misfolding or damage, have all been shown to signal mitophagy [80,85–93]. The mitochondrial membrane lipid cardiolipin also mediates mitophagy by binding to LC3 [88]. Recent studies demonstrated that choline dehydrogenase (CHDH) accumulates on the outer membrane in response to loss of membrane potential and recruits p62 to signals mitophagy [80,85–93]. The mitochondria mitochondrial membrane lipid cardiolipin also mediates mitophagy by binding to LC3 [88]. Recent studies demonstrated that choline dehydrogenase (CHDH) accumulates on the outer membrane in response to loss of membrane potential and recruits p62 to signals mitophagy [80,85–93].

Parkin and PINK1 have been shown to play an important role in mitophagy [98]. PINK1 targets to the mitochondria but is normally degraded by presenilin associated rhomboid-like protease (PARL). In response to loss of mitochondrial membrane potential, PARL is inactivated, PINK1 is stabilized and recruits Parkin. Parkin ubiquitinates several mitochondrial associated proteins and they are then recognized by p62 and bring mitochondria to the autophagosomes. Thus mitochondria with membrane potential loss can be selectively degraded. Parkin substrates include voltage-dependent anion-selective channel protein (VDAC), components of the mitochondrial transport translocase of the outer membrane (TOM) complex, mitochondrial fission 1 (FIS1), mitochondrial Rho-GTPase
Mitophagy can be induced by mtDNA damage, mitochondrial electron transport chain inhibition, loss of mitochondrial membrane potential, mitochondrial fission or fragmentation, mitochondrial unfolded or damaged proteins. Mitophagy is important for mitochondrial quality control and thus essential for maintaining cellular energy, calcium homeostasis, redox signaling, mitochondrial-lysosomal or mitochondrial-nuclear signaling, and sequestration of apoptotic factors. One major signaling pathway is through inactivation of mitochondrial protease PARL due to loss of membrane potential, thus stabilization of PINK1. PINK1 recruits Parkin which ubiquitinates mitochondrial associated proteins. P62 recognizes ubiquitinated proteins and brings mitochondria to autophagosomes by binding to LC3. Parkin and PINK1 can be regulated by a variety of cellular proteins in many recent studies, including those listed in this diagram. Deubiquitinating USP8 plays an important role in Parkin recruitment to the mitochondria and mitophagy. In contrast USP15 and 30 remove ubiquitin from Parkin substrates and thus attenuate mitophagy. Parkin/PINK1-independent mitophagy also exist, including those mediated or signaled by BNIP3, Nix, FUNDC1 or cardiolipin, which can bind LC3 and directly bring mitochondria to the autophagosomes. In addition, mitochondrial spheroids and mitochondria derived vesicles (MDV) may bring mitochondria to the lysosomes for degradation. In response to loss of mitochondrial membrane potential, choline dehydrogenase (CHDH) can recruit p62 directly and target mitochondria to mitophagy.

Membrane source

Where do autophagosomes come from? De novo synthesis of membrane materials has been proposed. However, recent studies also found that essentially all existing cellular membranes can contribute to the formation of autophagosomes, including plasma membrane, ER, Golgi, and mitochondrial membranes. Localization of autophagosomal associated protein Atg16L1 to plasma membrane and interaction of Atg16L with assembly polyepitope 2 (AP2) and clathrin heavy chain have been found to occur prior to the formation of subsequent endosomal-like structures. Knockdown of clathrin heavy chain of AP2 decreased both basal and starvation-induced autophagosomes biogenesis. The acquisition of LC3 by the plasma membrane-derived phagophore precursors requires homotypic fusion mediated by vesicle-associated membrane protein 7 (VAMP7) and partner soluble NSF attachment protein receptors (SNARES). Also internalized by clathrin-mediated endocytosis as Atg16L1, but in Atg16L1-free vesicles, is the transmembrane protein Atg9. Atg9- and Atg16L1-containing vesicles can undergo VAMP3-mediated heterotypic fusion, and this step also stimulates autophagosomal formation with plasma membrane as a contributing source. In addition to autophagy proteins Atg16L1 and Atg9 localizing to the plasma membrane and recruiting plasma membrane to formation of autophagosomes, double FYVE-containing protein 1 (DFCP1), a PI3P binding protein, has been found to localize to the ER and Golgi. In response to starvation, Utk complex and subsequently Atg14L moves to the ER at a site where vacuole membrane protein (VMP1) also transiently localizes. This is followed by DFCP1 moving to punctate structures called "omegasomes", in a Beclin and VPS34 dependent manner, and colocalizes with LC3 and Atg5–Atg12–Atg16L complex. Then the formation of autophagosomes begins. Recent studies have also found that the VMP1–Beclin interaction plays an important role in autophagy induction. Using a membrane fractionation approach, it has been demonstrated that the ER–Golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC) which contains SEC22B (SEC22 vesicle trafficking protein homolog B), but not Atg9, RPN1 (ER marker), prohibitin1 (mitochondrial marker), PMP70 (peroxisome marker), LAMP2 (lysosomal membrane protein) or GM130 (cis-Golgi marker), is the most efficient membrane substrate for LC3 lipidation in

Fig. 7. Mitophagy. Mitophagy can be induced by mtDNA damage, mitochondrial electron transport chain inhibition, loss of mitochondrial membrane potential, mitochondrial fission or fragmentation, mitochondrial unfolded or damaged proteins. Mitophagy is important for mitochondrial quality control and thus essential for maintaining cellular energy, calcium homeostasis, redox signaling, mitochondrial-lysosomal or mitochondrial-nuclear signaling, and sequestration of apoptotic factors. One major signaling pathway is through inactivation of mitochondrial protease PARL due to loss of membrane potential, thus stabilization of PINK1. PINK1 recruits Parkin which ubiquitinates mitochondrial associated proteins. P62 recognizes ubiquitinated proteins and brings mitochondria to autophagosomes by binding to LC3. Parkin and PINK1 can be regulated by a variety of cellular proteins in many recent studies, including those listed in this diagram. Deubiquitinating USP8 plays an important role in Parkin recruitment to the mitochondria and mitophagy. In contrast USP15 and 30 remove ubiquitin from Parkin substrates and thus attenuate mitophagy. Parkin/PINK1-independent mitophagy also exist, including those mediated or signaled by BNIP3, Nix, FUNDC1 or cardiolipin, which can bind LC3 and directly bring mitochondria to the autophagosomes for mitophagy. In addition, mitochondrial spheroids and mitochondria derived vesicles (MDV) may bring mitochondria to the lysosomes for degradation. In response to loss of mitochondrial membrane potential, choline dehydrogenase (CHDH) can recruit p62 directly and target mitochondria to mitophagy.
an in vitro reconstitution experiment. Coat protein complex II (COP II) plays an important role in autophagosomal formation from ERGIC [118] (Fig. 9B). Glucose starvation also induces colocalization of LC3 and Atg5 with mitochondria, this localization has been shown to recruit mitochondrial lipids to autophagosomes. The mitochondria-associated ER membrane (MAM) fraction has been shown to contain Atg5 and DFCP1. MFN2 or phosphofurin acidic cluster sorting protein 2 (PACS2) are required for autophagosomal formation. Furthermore the SNARE protein syntaxin 17 (STX17) recruits Atg14L to the MAM sites [119,120] (Fig. 9C).

Autophagosome–lysosome fusion

Degradation of autophagic cargo depends on lysosomal activities and therefore fusion of autophagosomes and lysosomes can be rate limiting in autophagic flux. It has been shown that mTOR localization to the lysosomes is an important regulator of autophagosome–lysosomal fusion, and mTOR inhibition by Torin1 stimulates fusion [121]. It has been shown that microtubules [122], MFN2 [123], Tecpr1 [124], presenilins [125], DNA damage-regulated autophagy modulator 1 (DRAM1) [126], myosin VI and Tom1 [127], and pleckstrin homology domain containing protein family member 1 (PLEKHM1) [128], are required for or promote autophagosome–lysosomal fusion. Tubulin polymerization-promoting protein (TPPP/p25α) [129], two pore channel 2 (TPC2) [130], and vacuolin-1 (by activating Rab5A) [131] inhibits autophagosomal–lysosomal fusion. Insertion of SNARE protein syntaxin 17 (STX17) to the autophagosomal membrane, binding to SNAP29 and complexing with lysosomal VAMP7/8, play important roles in the fusion step [132,133] (Fig. 10). STX17 interaction with the homotypic fusion and protein sorting (HOPS)-tethering complex that consists of VPS33A, VPS16, VPS39 is required for this fusion [134].

Methods for macroautophagy measurements

Macroautophagy can be assessed with a combination of methods including ultrastructural studies using electron microscopy (EM), degradation assays for measuring protein half-lives using radioisotope labels, assessment of fluorescence puncta with autophagy proteins fused to RFP or GFP, as well as western blot analyses of levels and modifications of autophagy proteins [135]. These methods are outlined briefly below:

Autophagic flux

As discussed above, MAP1 LC3 is processed and lipidated by phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) and inserted into autophagosomal membranes [136]. It has been shown that the PE conjugated LC3, named LC3-II, migrates differently compared to the unconjugated LC3-I on SDS-PAGE and the levels of LC3-II is proportional to the amount of autophagosomes as assessed by electron microscopy studies [136]. Based on these properties, western blots to quantify LC3-II levels have been used to assess autophagosomal accumulation (Fig. 11A). Inhibition of autophagy prior to LC3-II generation, either by 3-methyladenine (3-MA) or by knockout/knockdown of Atg7 decreases LC3-II (Fig. 11B). Activation of autophagy either by starvation or by inhibitors of mTOR such as rapamycin increases LC3-II (Fig. 11C). However, if LC3-II levels are up in response to experimental conditions compared to controls, it can be due to increased conversion of LC3-I to LC3-II as in the case of starvation or inhibition of mTOR by rapamycin, or due to decreased clearance of LC3-II by the lysosomes. Chloroquine, bafilomycin, or ammonium chloride can change lysosomal pH and attenuate lysosomal degradation of autophagic cargo, inner membrane of autophagosomes, and LC3-II. E64 and Pepstatin A can inhibit lysosomal proteases and as a consequence, decrease clearance of LC3-II by the lysosomes. Chloroquine, bafilomycin, or ammonium chloride can change lysosomal pH and attenuate lysosomal degradation of autophagic cargo, inner membrane of autophagosomes, and LC3-II. E64 and Pepstatin A can inhibit lysosomal proteases and as a consequence, decrease clearance of LC3-II by the lysosomes. Chloroquine, bafilomycin, or ammonium chloride can change lysosomal pH and attenuate lysosomal degradation of autophagic cargo, inner membrane of autophagosomes, and LC3-II. E64 and Pepstatin A can inhibit lysosomal proteases and as a consequence, decrease clearance of LC3-II by the lysosomes. Chloroquine, bafilomycin, or ammonium chloride can change lysosomal pH and attenuate lysosomal degradation of autophagic cargo, inner membrane of autophagosomes, and LC3-II.
Fig. 9. ER and Golgi contribute to autophagosomal biogenesis. (A) ER donates membranes to autophagosomes. In response to starvation, ULK complex and subsequently Atg14L moves to the ER at a site where vacuole membrane protein (VMP1) also transiently localizes, followed by DFCP1 moving to punctate structures called "omegasomes", in a Beclin and VPS34 dependent manner, and colocalizes with LC3 and Atg5–Atg12–Atg16L1 complex, this begins the formation of autophagosomes. Recent studies have also found that VMP1-Beclin interaction plays an important role in autophagy induction. (B) ER–Golgi intermediate complex compartment (ERGIC) promotes LC3 lipidation. Autophagosomal formation from ERGIC depends on coat protein complex II (COPII) vesicles. (C) The mitochondria-associated ER membrane (MAM) donates membranes to autophagosomes. Glucose starvation also induces colocalization of LC3 and Atg5 with mitochondria, this localization has been shown to recruit mitochondrial lipids to autophagosomes. Formation of autophagosomes from MAM requires MFN2 or phosphofurin acidic cluster sorting protein 2 (PACS2). The SNARE protein syntaxin 17 (STX17) recruits Atg14L to the MAM sites and further recruits DFCP1.

Fig. 10. Autophagosome–lysosome fusion. Fusion of autophagosomes and lysosomes depends on lysosomal VAMP7/8 and insertion of SNARE protein syntaxin 17 (STX17) to the autophagosomal membrane and binding SNAP29. Additional factors required or inhibit fusion are listed.
In addition to western blot analyses, LC3 localization to the autophagosomes can be visualized by immunostaining or by fluorescence microscopy of GFP-LC3 [136]. To provide assessment of autophagic flux from the autophagosomes to the lysosomes, the tandem fluorescent tagged LC3, or RFP–GFP-LC3 method can be used [137]. The colocalized red and green fluorescence puncta represent the association of lipidated LC3-II with autophagosomes, with the number and intensity of the puncta correlating with the amount of autophagosomes present [136]. The red only puncta indicate the flux of RFP–GFP-LC3 protein into the acidic environment of the lysosomes, where the GFP but not the RFP fluorescence is quenched due to the differences in the pKa of GFP and RFP [137] (Fig. 12).

Both GFP-LC3 and RFP–GFP-LC3 transgenic mice have been generated to facilitate assessment of autophagy in vivo [138,139]. Using these mice, LC3 puncta can be observed in skeletal muscles and the heart in response to starvation [138]; a time dependent accumulation of RFP/GFP colocalization puncta followed by RFP only puncta have been observed in proximal tubular cells of the kidney in response to ischemia–reperfusion injury [139]. In vivo flux assays using lysosomal inhibitors in non-transgenic mice have also been used with systemic injection of chloroquine, bafilomycin, or leupeptin [140,141]. Drawbacks to these approaches include the varied half-life and effective concentrations of the pharmacological probes in different tissues.

Ultrastructural studies

Electron microscopy is the first used visualization of autophagosomes. The advantage is that it can detect the different types and stages of autophagosomes, and the origin of the autophagosomal membranes [1,142,143]. The disadvantage is that it cannot easily sample large numbers of cells, or comparing multiple experimental conditions and time courses simultaneously.

Degradation of long lived protein

It was discovered that protein degradation rate in hepatocytes changes dramatically in response to insulin, glucagon, amino acid availability and lysosomotropic agents, and degradation is mediated largely by autophagy [61,144–149]. Since then, measurement of protein degradation rate using radiolabeled amino acid has been used to confirm and support many autophagy studies.

Mitophagy

As macroautophagy, a variety of biochemical and cell biological methods can be used to assess mitophagy [85–88,93,150–157]. Mitophagy can be visualized by colocalization of mitochondria with mitophagic proteins. Depending on the steps and mechanisms of mitophagy, one can measure mitochondrial proteins (such as VDAC, MnSOD, or subunits of electron transport chain complexes) with LC3, p62, Parkin, or lysosomal protein LAMP1. Visualization of mitochondria and autophagosomes or mitochondria and lysosomes can also be facilitated by MitoTracker/GFP-LC3 or MitoTracker/LysoTracker colocalization provided neither mitochondria nor lysosomes lose their membrane potentials and the ability to take up the dyes under specific experimental conditions. Furthermore, loss of mitochondrial mass (such as loss of citrate synthase protein level and activities, loss of electron transport
chain complexes, or loss of mitochondrial DNA) can also be used to assess mitochondrial clearance, provided biogenesis is unchanged. Finally, the consequence of mitophagy can be measured by mitochondrial activities including oxygen consumption, regulation of redox status, membrane potential or release of apoptosis signals [158–160] (Fig. 13).

Assessment of mitophagy:
- Colocalization of mitochondria with p62, LC3, Parkin, PINK1
- Colocalization of mitochondria with lysosomal markers
- Decrease of mitochondrial proteins or DNA
- Change of mitochondrial activities such as reactive species production, oxygen consumption, or membrane potential

Fig. 12. Autophagic flux assessment using RFP–GFP-LC3. To assess autophagic flux from the autophagosomes to the lysosomes, RFP–GFP–LC3 plasmids can be transfected into cells. Under conditions with minimum autophagosomal accumulation, RFP–GFP–LC3-I is diffused in the cytosol. When RFP–GFP–LC3-I is converted into RFP–GFP–LC3-II and inserted into autophagosomal membrane, RFP and GFP signals colocalize to punctate structures. As autophagosomes fuse with lysosomes, the outer membrane RFP–GFP–LC3-II is delipidated to RFP–GFP–LC3-I and diffused to the cytosolic space, the inner membrane RFP–GFP–LC3-II is quenched for the GFP signal but not the RFP signal. This is due to the lysosomal acidic environment that protonates the fluorophore of the GFP but not RFP because of differences in their pKa values. Therefore, the red only puncta indicate the flux of RFP–GFP–LC3-II protein into the acidic environment of the lysosomes.

Fig. 13. Mitophagy assessment. Mitophagy is characterized by colocalization of autophagy proteins (such as p62, LC3, Parkin or PINK1) with the mitochondria; colocalization of mitochondria with lysosomal markers (either due to autophagosomal–lysosomal fusion or due to mitochondrial derived vesicles (MDV) fusing with the lysosomes). These characteristics can be used to assess mitophagy activities. In addition, the consequences of mitophagy can be assessed by either a decrease of mitochondrial proteins or DNA, or changes of mitochondrial activities such as reactive species production, oxygen consumption or changes of mitochondrial membrane potential.
Role of macroautophagy in health and diseases

Autophagy and mitophagy are highly regulated biological processes and their dysfunction have been found to contribute to all major diseases [10,12,24,27,80,161–170]. Representative evidence of their involvement in diseases are highlighted below focusing on cancer, aging, neurodegenerative diseases and infection (Fig. 14).

Cancer

Cancer was the first disease connected to autophagy abnormalities. Beclin/Atg6 deficiency has been found to be associated with human breast, ovarian and prostate tumors [56,171]. Beclin +/− mice develop tumors [56]. TSC1/2 that are mTOR inhibitors are known to be tumor suppressor proteins [172]. Atg4C, Atg5, and Atg7 genetic disruptions have been found to result in tumorigenesis in mice [173,174]. Knockdown of GABARAPL1 in breast cancer cells has been found to increase cell proliferation [66]. It has been proposed that autophagy is required to guard against oxidative damage to the genome by clearance of dysfunctional mitochondria; however, once tumorigenic mutations have been established, autophagy provides a survival advantage to the tumor cells in nutrient deprived conditions and supports tumors cells chemoresistance. Thus, autophagy inhibitors, such as chloroquine and derivatives, has been tested in cancer therapy [175].

Aging

Impaired autophagy has been found to contribute to aging in model organisms [176–178]. Pharmacological or genetic manipulations that increase lifespan in model organisms often stimulate autophagy [177,179–187]. Rapamycin, an mTOR inhibitor, as well as Atg5 overexpression in mice, have been shown to extend lifespan [179–181,183]. It would be interesting to analyze autophagy in a library of cryopreserved “cell zoo” of fibroblasts from species of mammals and birds, which are notable because of their exceptionally long- or short-lives relative to their body size, such as shrews, bats, or a variety of rodents [188].

Neurodegenerative diseases

Accumulation of autophagosomes have been noted in aging and neurodegenerative diseases [189,190]. Furthermore, accumulation of protein aggregates and dysfunctional mitochondria in neurodegenerative diseases corroborate with the idea that autophagy is insufficient in these pathologies. It has been found that Atg5 and Atg7 knockout mice accumulated ubiquitinated proteins and exhibit neurodegenerative pathologies in the brain [74,75]. Beclin has been found to be decreased in Alzheimer’s disease brains, its knockdown exacerbates and overexpression alleviates Alzheimer’s disease-like pathology in mouse models [191]. Recessive gene mutations of Parkin or PINK1 cause a submit of familial Parkinson’s disease [190]. Translating these ideas to potential treatment of neurodegenerative diseases, neuroprotection has been demonstrated by enhancers of autophagy by rapamycin [192] and trehalose [17] in animal models.

Infectious disease

Autophagy plays an important role in immune surveillance by clearing bacterial and viral proteins or particles. As discussed before, ubiquitinated bacteria can be recognized by p62, NDP52, or optineurin [193–195] (Fig. 6). Autophagic clearance of Shigella can also be mediated by binding of Tectp1 with Atg5 and WIPI-2 to target Shigella to autophagosomes [196]. Evasion of autophagic degradation by Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Shigella flexneri, Listeria monocytogenes, or Coxiea burnetii due to binding to proteins that inhibit autophagy protein binding to the bacteria, secreting protein that inhibits autophagy induction by bacterial protein, directly blocking autophagosome maturation, or hijacking autphagic machinery for replication, respectively, plays a role in infection [197–200]. Enhancement of autophagy inhibits M. tuberculosis intracellular survival [197], and inhibition of autophagy delays clearance of Sindbis virus infection [201]. Herpes, influenza and HIV contain Bcl-2 family of proteins that interact with Beclin, and inhibit autophagosomal formation or maturation [202,203].
negative outcomes will be important in autophagy biology and its application to medicine.

expression of antioxidant proteins and p62), and understanding how to apply pharmacological or genetic interventions to achieve maximal bene

oxygen, reactive species, damage to DNA, lipid, proteins or organelles) may stimulate autophagy, the exactly mechanisms are unclear. Understanding the genetic, epigenetic, transcriptional, and post-translational regulation of autophagy, understanding the regulation of membrane formation, fusion and movement, and understanding the speci
cificity, timing, duration, location of these regulations, understanding the cross regulation of autophagy with proteasomal and NRF2 transcription activities (in regulating expression of antioxidant proteins and p62), and understanding how to apply pharmacological or genetic interventions to achieve maximal beneficial impact without negative outcomes will be important in autophagy biology and its application to medicine.

Future directions

Autophagy is an essential cellular process involved in homeostasis and quality control of cellular constituents. Complex signaling, target recognition, vesicle formation, fusion and movement mechanisms interpose and influence the outcome of the process. A great deal is now known about the autophagy process and its contribution to normal and pathological conditions. Nonetheless there are still an infinite number of unanswered questions (Fig. 15). For example: How many different ways and exactly through which mechanisms do cellular damage induce autophagy, what are the signaling pathways sensing reactive species, old, excessive and toxic protein species, and what is the maximal capacity of autophagy that can be used to deal with perturbations of the cell? In addition, whether and how autophagy is regulated by epigenetic, transcription, and post-translational processes, and whether and how it is independent or inter-dependent on other cellular vesicles are unclear. Furthermore, how to enhance autophagosome–lysosomal fusion, what are rate-limiting steps in different cellular contexts and disease conditions, and how to enhance positive autophagy in these situations without off-target or undesirable negative outcomes are unknown. Last but not least, determining the extent and signaling mechanisms of the coordination and cross regulation of autophagy with the proteasomal and the NRF2-KEAP1 antioxidant defense pathways are important to our understanding of cellular and organismal homeostasis and adaptation to age, lifestyle and environmental exposures [11,204–207]. Research addressing these questions may therefore provide new insights into potential disease intervention.
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