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SUMMARY
The use of biomacromolecules is a nascent development in clean alternative energies. In applications of biosensors and biophotovoltaic devices, the bacterial photosynthetic reaction center (RC) is a protein-pigment complex that has been commonly interfaced with electrodes, in large part to take advantage of the long-lived and high efficiency of charge separation. We investigated assemblies of RCs on an electrode that range from monolayer to multilayers by measuring the photocurrent produced when illuminated by an intensity-modulated excitation light source. In addition, atomic force microscopy and modeling of the photocurrent with the Marcus-Hush-Chidsey theory detailed the reorganization energy for the electron transfer process, which also revealed changes in the RC local environment due to the adsorbed conformations. The local environment in which the RCs are embedded significantly influenced photocurrent generation, which has implications for electron transfer of other biomacromolecules deposited on a surface in sensor and photovoltaic applications employing a redox electrolyte.

INTRODUCTION
There has been considerable interest recently in the use of biomacromolecules for cheap, clean, and efficient sources of sustainable energy production (Ravi and Tan, 2015; Jones, 2009; Barber, 2008). One example is the modification of electrodes with biological photosynthetic protein complexes in biosensors and biophotovoltaic devices for the generation of electrical current. Photosynthetic proteins, such as reaction centers (RCs), are found in plants, algae, and photosynthetic bacteria. In particular, the RC from the bacterium Rhodobacter sphaeroides (Kontur et al., 2012; Mackenzie et al., 2001) has been a paradigm for the development of biohybrid solar cells due to its near perfect quantum efficiency in photon-to-electron conversion and the subsequent long-lasting quasi-stable, charge-separated state (Blankenship, 2018; Friebe and Frese, 2017; Ravi and Tan, 2015).

To date, devices incorporating RCs used a variety of methods with the aim of increasing the photocurrent, such as employing plasmonic substrates (Friebe et al., 2016a) or embedding RCs within a redox hydrogel matrix to increase surface concentration (Bialek et al., 2020a). Alignment of protein complexes is possible, such as using electrostatic interactions (Mirvakili et al., 2014), gold-thiol covalent bonds (Jun et al., 2018, 2019), molecular (Trammell et al, 2004, 2006; Mahmoudzadeh et al., 2011) or protein (Yaghoubi et al., 2014; Friebe et al, 2016b, 2017; Lebedev et al, 2006) linkages, and Langmuir-Blodgett methods (Kamran et al., 2015) to deposit proteins to produce photocurrents that depended on the orientation of the complexes. However, these approaches resulted in surfaces that were likely composed of multilayers despite preparation strategies to remove non-specifically adsorbed proteins. Often, many use surfaces that are modified to prevent rather than to remove non-specifically adsorbed proteins (Campuzano et al., 2019; Siegers et al., 2004). Furthermore, there are challenges in differentiating the small photocurrents from faradaic currents when an overpotential is applied (Hollander et al., 2011). Photocurrents are typically measured at the open circuit potential (OCP), where the net faradaic current is zero for the redox system present in the electrolyte. The small photocurrents are often measured from multilayer assemblies to improve the signal to noise. We have previously established a methodology that is capable of isolating photocurrents from faradaic currents with high sensitivity enabling small photocurrents to be accurately measured even when buried in 104 larger faradaic currents at potentials other than the OCP (Jun et al., 2019). This method allows for fundamental studies of electron transfer energetics which require a well-defined,
controllable surface arrangement of RCs on the electrode surface; ideally, a single monolayer of RCs adsorbed in a homogeneous manner (Gerster et al., 2012).

Assembling a uniform monolayer on an electrode surface has been accomplished for a variety of biomacromolecules, such as DNA and proteins, through self-assembly, gold-thiol covalent bonds, and displacement of non-specifically adsorbed species with a competitive agent. Here, we demonstrate that genetically modifying the RC to introduce two cysteines enables covalent binding to the gold surface (Figure 1B) and that adsorbed RCs can be arranged in different surface structure assemblies, such as a monolayer or multilayer configuration. The RC is a membrane-embedded protein-pigment complex that consists of three polypeptide chains that provide a scaffold for ten cofactors. These pigments in the RC are a dimer of bacteriochlorophyll (BChl) molecules called the “special pair” (P), two accessory BChls (B\(A\), B\(B\)), two bacteriopheophytins (BPhes) (H\(A\), H\(B\)), two quinones (Q\(A\), Q\(B\)), a carotenoid, and an iron atom (Figure 1A). Light adsorption by P results in the excited state P\(^*\), generating an electron that cascades through B\(A\) and H\(A\), reaching Q\(A\) and forming the P\(^*\)Q\(A\) charge-separated state. Electrical photocurrent flows if the RCs are oriented appropriately on an electrode for electrons to transfer from Q\(A\) to the electrode (Jun et al., 2018).

The structural assemblies of electrode-bound RCs yield characteristic photocurrent-potential (i\(\text{ph}\)-E) responses that can be modeled by the Marcus-Hush-Chidsey theory, and shift the potentials at which photocurrents are generated. The methodology of increasing photocurrents by increasing protein loading and measurements at the OCP may not take into consideration the underlying mechanistic processes occurring on the surface that influence electron transfer. In contrast, we are able to elucidate the differences in energetics between structural assemblies of RC monolayers or multilayers, and their effects on the relationship between photocurrent generation and the OCP.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The adsorption of RC proteins onto an electrode with the goal to maximize photocurrent generation has been accomplished by deposition in thick layers (Plumeré and Nowaczyk, 2016; Ravi and Tan, 2015; Yehezkeli et al., 2014; Kamran et al., 2014; Mirvakili et al., 2014), or with RCs suspended in a redox hydrogel polymer deposited onto the electrode (Bialek et al., 2020a, 2020b). Although other approaches to orient RCs have been successful in achieving large photocurrents, the possibility of significant random orientations or multilayers of RCs still remains. Large photocurrents are needed to overcome the background faradaic...
currents and, consequently, these approaches make accurate energetic measurements challenging which impedes the understanding of photocurrent generation at a molecular level. Creating and measuring the photocurrents from a well-defined monomolecular assembly of RC proteins on an electrode surface are described here with this goal in mind.

The RC used in this work was engineered such that all native cysteine residues were replaced with serine or alanine residues (Dutta et al., 2014a, 2014b; Jun et al., 2018; Mahmoudzadeh et al., 2011), and through rational design, two additional cysteine residues were added on the hydrophilic regions of the RC in the vicinity of B_A and Q_A resulting in the “double mutant” RC (referred to as RC). UV-vis absorbance spectroscopy indicated no changes in the pigment cofactor absorbance bands, inferring that the protein structure was not adversely affected by the mutations (absorbance spectra provided in supplementary information). On a low-resolution millisecond timescale, the P^+ Q_A and P^+ Q_B charge recombination time constants of the RC were similar to that of previously reported values of an unmodified wild type RC (data in supplemental information) (Dutta et al., 2014b; Jun et al., 2020).

Modifying RCs with cysteine thiol moieties enables the use of gold-thiol covalent bonds for binding RCs on a gold electrode surface in a well-defined orientation. Although RCs have been bound to the P-side (Hollander et al., 2011; Kondo et al., 2007, 2012; Yaghoubi et al., 2014; Friebe et al., 2016b; Tan et al., 2012) or Q-side (Jun et al., 2018, 2019; Trammell et al., 2006) previously, our approach is different and enables binding of the RC on its side such that Q_A is closer to the electrode surface than in other configurations (Figure 1B). It is important to note that this approach will not prevent multilayer formation because RCs are large, complex protein entities and the formation of multilayers is most likely due to hydrophobic interactions and entropic energy gains. Therefore, removing multilayers and non-specifically adsorbed RCs requires a competitive adsorption strategy. For example, in thiol-based DNA self-assembled monolayers (SAMs), an approach to minimize non-specific adsorption involved an additional step where the self-assembly of small alkylthiols competitively displaced weakly interacting, non-specifically adsorbed DNA (Herne and Tarlov, 1997). Here, we used a similar strategy to prepare and characterize a monolayer of RCs on a gold electrode.

Preparing RC/MCH surfaces

The alkylthiol 6-mercaptop hexanol (MCH) was used to displace multilayers and non-specifically adsorbed RCs. Additionally, MCH treatment passivated areas of the electrode not covered with RCs from the sacrificial electron donor HQH_2 and limited faradaic current. HQH_2 was used due to the favorable difference between its midpoint potential of ~250 mV vs. standard hydrogen electrode (SHE) and the P^+ reduction midpoint potential of ~500 mV vs. SHE (Jun et al., 2018, 2019; Lin et al., 1994), and has been observed to not affect or interact with the Q_A quinone pocket (Jun et al., 2018). Figure 2 shows changes in the faradaic currents from HQH_2 redox and photocurrents (i_ph) after RC deposition and subsequent MCH treatment.

Deposition of 5 μM RCs (24 h) on bare gold resulted in HQH_2 redox behavior that was significantly reduced when compared to a clean gold surface (shown in supplemental information, Figure S1) indicating the surface was significantly blocked (Finklea et al., 1993; Diao et al., 1999). Subsequent exposure of this same surface to 100 μM MCH (1 h) decreased the faradaic current, as expected, and notably resulted in larger photocurrents. The decrease in the faradaic currents of HQH_2 redox may be due to the displacement of multilayers and non-specifically adsorbed RCs by MCH and thus better passivation of the electrode surface; an MCH SAM significantly blocked faradaic currents as shown in Figure 2A (green trace). Additionally, removing RC multilayers may allow for a larger number of photons to reach RCs that are close to the electrode surface and more efficient in electron transfer, increasing i_ph.

In contrast, a short deposition time of 5 μM RCs (10 min) followed by a longer incubation in 100 μM MCH (24 h) resulted in decreased photocurrent and a faradaic current that was nearly identical to that of an MCH-only surface. This indicated that an organized RC/MCH monolayer was created, resulting in a well-blocked electrode surface. The decrease in photocurrent relative to the 24 h incubation of RCs suggested that MCH displaced not only the majority of multilayers and non-specifically adsorbed RCs, but possibly also some of those covalently linked to gold, via a thiol exchange mechanism (Schlenoff et al., 1995; Baralia et al., 2005). The MCH-only surface generated a small background photocurrent signal due to the leakage of the faradaic current (~0.01%).

The removal of non-specifically adsorbed RCs and multilayers of RCs changed the i_ph-E response relative to an organized monolayer of RC/MCH. The i_ph-E relationship is addressed in subsequent sections, where we
hypothesize that organized monolayers of RC/MCH generate measurable photocurrents at an onset potential ($E_{\text{onset}}$) more positive than that of RC/MCH multilayers. Crucially, we have established a procedure that consistently generates RC/MCH surfaces that show the same blocking of faradaic currents as an MCH-only surface, which is essential for controlling and replicating the formation of biomolecular surfaces and redox reactions in order to make energetic measurements under well-defined conditions.

The faradaic currents shown in Figure 2A were sensitive to the changes on the surface resulting from differences in RC organization and passivation by MCH. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was also measured at the OCP (+220 mV/SHE) for these surfaces. EIS provided more evidence of the change in the state of the adsorbed layers. It confirmed the increased blocking of HQH$_2$ redox corresponded with the increase in MCH coverage. Capacitance of the interface was also estimated through the use of a constant phase element (CPE) circuit component that also reports on the uniformity of the interfacial response to potential perturbation (details in supplemental information, Table S1). The capacitance decreased by almost 50% as the MCH content on the surface increased indicating that adsorbed RCs do not form a low dielectric layer on the electrode surface as compared to MCH, likely due to entrapped electrolyte in the disorganized layer or poor adsorption to the surface. EIS also indicated that the absence of an MCH treatment resulted in a surface that was less ordered and more heterogeneous as compared to the RC-modified surface that was treated with 100 mM MCH (24 h). This behavior was also observed for the considerably shorter 1 hr MCH treatment, which implied that significantly heterogeneous surfaces result if the electrodes are only incubated with RCs and not subsequently immersed in MCH. Additionally, EIS showed that MCH also coated the bare areas of the gold surface in addition to displacing non-specifically adsorbed or multilayers of RCs, as evidenced by the changes in capacitance and the CPE exponent. Unfortunately, EIS was unable to distinguish the characteristics of the surfaces that produced different $i_{\text{ph}}$-$E$ response curves and different $E_{\text{onset}}$ in Figure 2. This is reasonable as the comparison was based on HQH$_2$ redox, which is much more sensitive to the extent of surface blocking. Therefore, CV and EIS based redox measurements cannot be relied upon to detect different surface structures or explain the differences in the $i_{\text{ph}}$-$E$ response curves because these techniques were neither sensitive nor specific enough. However, identification of the transition from a monolayered to a multilayered surface was revealed by the $i_{\text{ph}}$-$E$ response curves.

**Distinguishing a monolayer or multilayer of RCs with $i_{\text{ph}}$-$E$ response curves**

The photocurrents and the resulting $i_{\text{ph}}$-$E$ response curves were more sensitive to the structural differences of a monolayer or multilayer configuration of RCs on the electrode surface when compared to...
electrochemical characterization. Surfaces were prepared by varying the RC concentration from 0.5 to 10 mM and maintaining the incubation time of 10 min, followed by immersion in a 100 mM CH solution (24 hr), as previously established, to form a uniform layer of RC/MCH. The photocurrents and \( i_{ph} \)–E response curves are shown in Figure 3.

First, Figure 3 confirms that the photocurrents were not contaminated by the faradaic current of HQH\(_2\) redox occurring concurrently because no photocurrent was measured from the MCH-only surface. Furthermore, the faradaic responses of all the RC/MCH surfaces were similar to that of an MCH coated surface, further confirming that the electrochemical response was not effective for analyzing and elucidating the structural configuration of the assembled RC adsorbates.

The \( i_{ph} \) showed similar E dependence for all the RC concentrations, with a plateau in photocurrent generation between 2.5 and 5 mM RC. A significant increase in photocurrent was observed for the surface prepared with 10 mM RC, which was also accompanied by a change in the shape of the \( i_{ph} \)–E response curve. The \( i_{ph} \)–E response showed that the onset of photocurrent increase began at a more negative potential when compared to the surfaces prepared with lower concentrations of RCs (+100 mV vs +220 mV/SHE, respectively). The potential at which the photocurrent was above the noise (>0.2 nA/cm\(^2\)) was designated as the onset potential (\( E_{onset} \)) for photocurrent generation. This negative shift in \( E_{onset} \) behavior was similar to the trend previously described with either no MCH treatment or a short time in MCH (Figure 2).

Earlier, we hypothesized that the more negative \( E_{onset} \) potential appeared to be a characteristic of RC multilayers on the electrode surface relative to a monolayer. Here, the data suggest that the transition from a monolayer to a multilayer occurs between 5 and 10 mM RC solutions. Additionally, at higher RC concentrations, despite incubation with 100 mM MCH (24 h), the negatively shifted \( E_{onset} \) value suggests the presence of multilayer features that remain on the surface after treatment.

As the other surfaces prepared with 0.5–5 mM RC showed the same \( E_{onset} \) value, we hypothesized that they consisted of a monolayer adsorbed configuration rather than a multilayer assembly on the surface. Confirmation of the hypothesis required visualization of the surface using atomic force microscopy (AFM).

**AFM evaluation of the RC/MCH monolayer surface**

The electrochemical and photocurrent measurements indirectly showed the differences between monolayer or multilayer structural assemblies of RCs on the electrode surface. A direct measurement using ex situ AFM confirmed the trends in the changes in the RC/MCH surface structures that resulted in the \( i_{ph} \).
E response curves. Surfaces were modified with RCs, as previously described, using conditions identified as representative of monolayer formation and of multilayer formation. Previously, the Au(111) facet, of a single crystal gold bead electrode was used for AFM studies as it is atomically flat (Ogaki and Itaya, 1995). In the supplemental information, Figure S2 shows a 5 × 5 μm AFM image of an MCH-coated Au(111) facet, where features of one or more atomic steps of Au (0.2 nm) are observed. These are substantially smaller than the expected height of adsorbed RCs, making this surface ideal for height determinations.

Figure 4 shows AFM images from such an Au(111) facet for three different surface preparations, clearly showing significant differences in the surface structures. Much more flat gold (set as 0 nm), which was most likely MCH covered, was visible in Figure 4A as compared to the higher coverage surfaces in Figures 4B and 4C. Essentially no MCH covered gold was observed for the high RC coverage (Figure 4C).

An estimate of the coverage and configuration of adsorbed RCs on the electrode surface was achieved using AFM imaging of the RC monolayer modified Au(111) facet. The RCs can be thought of as an elliptical cylinder that is ~8 nm in length from the P-side to the Q-side and ~5 nm in width along the elliptical minor axis; the height is ~7 nm along the elliptical major axis (Figure 1B) (Trammell et al., 2007; Jones, 2009) AFM imaging accurately measures the height of well-defined features; however, lateral dimensions are less reliable due to convolution of the tip shape with the entity being imaged. Here the tip radius and the RCs are of similar size, around 10 nm.

A 2 × 2 μm topographic AFM image of an Au(111) facet exposed to 2.5 μM RC (1 h), followed by 100 μM MCH (24 h) which is representative of monolayer formation, shown in Figure 4A. The analysis (outlined in supplemental information) of the complete 5 × 5 μm image found >8000 particles (outlined in Figure S3) that were easily identified because of the flat gold substrate that surrounded the particles. An example of the individual features observed on this surface is shown in Figure 5A along with line scans across the features and from the nearby flat Au(111) surface. Complete analysis of the maximum height distribution for all the particles found in the full 5 × 5 μm image is shown in Figure 5B (details in supplemental information Figure S4). These particles were on average 5–7 nm high, with a broad range of heights up to 20 nm, though only ~10% were above 10 nm. The observed height corresponded well with the expected RC height of 5–7 nm, if they adsorbed to the surface as designed. The average footprint area of the 5–7 nm high particles was 350 nm², equivalent to a 20 nm diameter. Because tip convolution adds about 10–15 nm to the diameter, the actual diameter of these particles was closer to 6–10 nm. Given the observed heights and diameters, and known RC dimensions, most of the observed particles were single adsorbed RCs arranged in a monolayer.

Another surface was prepared, which was expected to be representative of a multilayer (5 μM RC (10 min), 100 μM MCH (1 h)), and is shown in Figure 4C (details in supplemental information, Figure S8). The surface was completely covered with particles and regions of flat gold surface were not visible, making absolute height determinations problematic. This sample was complicated to analyze, as individual isolated RCs...
were not found in this multilayer configuration. Even so, the number of particles that were taller than their neighbors by 10–20 nm was significantly larger when compared to the monolayer sample (15% vs. 6% respectively).

In other experiments, a similar surface was prepared using the same solution of 5 μM MR C(10 min), but followed by 100 μM MCH for 24 h, rather than 1 h. The topographic AFM image is shown in Figure 4B, and the analyses and data are shown in Figures 5C and 5D (more details in supplemental information, Figures S6 and S7). The average maximum height distribution was ~5 nm, slightly lower than the surface incubated with 2.5 μM RC, but well within the expected range. A significant fraction (>5%) of these particle heights was greater than 10 nm, suggesting the existence of multilayer formations. Interestingly, the adsorbed species were arranged differently with fewer individual isolated particles and more that appeared to be interconnected. Two different aggregation states were observed and are shown in Figure 5C. The interconnected nature may be a consequence of RC protein aggregation as a precursor to multilayer formation. Importantly, regions of bare gold were visible again that were previously obscured in the multilayer configuration.

From the $i_{ph}$ - $E$ response curves, the surface preparation conditions using 2.5 μM RC (10 min) followed by 100 μM MCH (24 h) and 5 μM RC (10 min) followed by 100 μM MCH (24 h) were hypothesized to generate a monolayer configuration, and a multilayer configuration for 5 μM RC (10 min) followed by 100 μM MCH (1 h). The confirmation with AFM underscores the importance of MCH treatment as necessary to remove multilayer or ill-formed adsorbate configurations. The increase in surface density of adsorbed RCs and the shift from a monolayer to a multilayer configuration corresponded to the changes observed in the photocurrent measurements, namely the shift in the $E_{onset}$ to more negative potentials. This characteristic seems to be a signature of multilayer adsorption and becomes difficult to model due to the numerous factors that are active at the interface and in the adsorbed film (e.g., diffusion of mediator, electron transfer kinetics and thermodynamics, random or unknown orientations of the RC in the multilayer). Therefore,
modeling of the energetics of the electron transfer event is focused on the well-defined monolayer RC/MCH surfaces.

**Modeling iph-E response curves for monolayer RC/MCH surfaces**

The photocurrent and AFM analysis of the RC/MCH surfaces showed that under specific deposition conditions, a well-defined monolayer of RCs can be prepared; therefore, an estimate of the electron transfer characteristics is possible. The RC is bound to the electrode surface via two cysteines, and if oriented correctly, QA is closest to the electrode so that electron transfer can occur. Upon absorption of a photon by P BChls, an excited state is formed, P*, and an electron rapidly cascades through a chain of cofactors to the QA quinone resulting in the P"QA charge-separated state. Concurrently, an HQH2 reduces P" back to P. The photocurrent measured is the electron transfer from the QA cofactor to the electrode, driven by the difference in the potential between QA and the gold surface (its Fermi level). This process can be modeled by electron transfer theories, most appropriately with the Marcus-Hush-Chidsey model (Zeng et al., 2014).

The iph - E response curves of RC/MCH surfaces were fitted to the Marcus-Hush-Chidsey theory using only photocurrents greater than 0.2 nA/cm² (at least 5-fold larger than the smallest reliably-measured photocurrent). These RC modified surfaces were continuously illuminated with a modulation in the intensity range of 10–90% at 13 Hz, and so the photocurrents were representative of processes that were fast enough to respond to the intensity modulation. The resulting fits and parameters, such as the reorganization energy and the equilibrium potential (E_{eq}^{ph}), are given in Figure 6 and Table 1 (individual fit results shown in Figure S11). The data correlated well with theory (r² > 0.995).

For low coverage RC monolayers prepared using 0.5 to 2.5 μM RC, the reorganization energies were on average 0.24 ± 0.03 eV and E_{eq}^{ph} = +257 ± 19 mV/SHE. The reorganization energies are similar to values reported in the literature for surface-bound RCs (Trammell et al., 2007), but smaller than for the QA to Qb electron transfer in RCs in solution (Ptushenko and Krishtalik, 2018). Interestingly, one of the surfaces prepared with 5 μM RC showed similar results, but a repeat of this preparation had a larger reorganization energy (0.29 eV) and a more negative E_{eq}^{ph} of +217 mV/SHE (shown in supplemental information, Figure S10). Unlike lower concentrations of RCs, the 5 μM RC preparation was challenging to replicate in a monolayer and as postulated earlier, this may be the concentration at which multilayer formation and protein-protein interactions begin to exert a larger influence on adsorbed surface formation. The surface prepared with 10 μM RC also followed this trend with a reorganization energy of 0.30 eV and E_{eq}^{ph} of +152 mV/SHE. Multi-layer characteristics, such as interconnected regions shown in the AFM images, are correlated to fits that yielded higher reorganization energies and more negative E_{eq}^{ph}.

The same analysis was performed on the faradaic currents measured in the same region of potential used in the photocurrent analysis. The oxidation of HQH2 was consistent for all samples with a reorganization
energy that ranged from 0.36 to 0.44 eV with an $E_{\text{ph}}$ of +200 to +230 mV/SHE. All data and fits are shown in supplemental information (Table S3 and Figure S9). Fitting the photocurrents measured at the same time show distinctly different values of the reorganization energy and $E_{\text{ph}}$ when compared to the faradaic currents, confirming that the photocurrents measured were not influenced by the faradaic currents.

The systematic increase in the fitted reorganization energy and more negative $E_{\text{ph}}$ with an increase in RC coverage may indicate the presence of another RC configuration. Fitting to only one set of parameters may produce average reorganization energy and $E_{\text{ph}}$ resulting from two (or more) different populations of RCs on the surface or RCs in different environments. In order to elucidate the change in $E_{\text{onset}}$ further, multilayers were evaluated using the same fitting procedure for surfaces modified with 5 mM RC (24 h) without MCH treatment as well as 5 mM RC (24 h) with 100 mM MCH (1 h) treatment. Using a single set of parameters, the fits continued the trend observed for higher concentration RC treated surfaces, namely larger reorganization energies (0.427 eV (no MCH) and 0.247 eV (1 h MCH)) and an $E_{\text{ph}}$ shift to more negative potentials (−87 mV/SHE (no MCH) and +40 mV/SHE (1 h MCH)). The fit to theory improved with the addition of a second set of parameters (details in supplemental information, Tables S4 and S5 and Figure S12). One component had a reorganization energy of 0.28 ± 0.02 eV which was consistent for both multilayer samples. The $E_{\text{ph}}$ parameter for both samples ranged from +125 to +75 mV/SHE. This component was present at about 50% of the adsorbate for both surfaces. The second component was the same for both multilayer preparations with a small reorganization energy of 0.06 ± 0.002 eV and $E_{\text{ph}}$ = +150 ± 7 mV/SHE. The results from the two component fitting were consistent with one part of the surface composed of adsorbed RCs similar to a monolayer configuration and a second component, possibly distorted or denatured RCs, indicating little change in conformation during electron transfer. The same shift toward negative potentials was observed for the $E_{\text{onset}}$ for the monolayer and multilayer samples, suggesting that $E_{\text{ph}}$ is closely related to or can be interpreted as $E_{\text{onset}}$. Although the mechanism behind the shift in $E_{\text{ph}}$ to negative potentials remains uncertain, we suggest a distortion of proteins that shortens the distance for electron transfer to the electrode, or changes in the local environment within the multilayers, due to restrictions in the diffusion of HQH$_2$, HQ, and/or H$^+$. This is supported by evidence of diffusion-limited currents in multilayers observed when measuring the DC photocurrent at the OCP.

DC photocurrent measurements at the OCP

To facilitate comparison with data in the literature, DC photocurrents were measured using 860 nm illumination with the potential set to the OCP (+200 to +220 mV/SHE) with three surface conditions: 5 mM RC (24 h) without MCH (“multilayer”); the same surface, but then incubated with 100 mM MCH (1 h) (“monolayer-like”); and 2.5 mM RC (10 min), 100 mM MCH (24 h) (“monolayer”). The DC photocurrent responses are shown in the left column of Figure 7 with the right column comparing the photocurrents measured around the OCP (taken from Figure 2) using our modulated method that exclusively measures the photocurrent.

A light-dependent response was observed in which the “multilayer” surface sustained a DC photocurrent of 10 nA/cm$^2$ at OCP (+218 mV/SHE). This was approximately 4-fold larger than the photocurrents of 2.5 nA/cm$^2$ (Figure 7A (right panel)) measured using the modulation method ($i_{\text{ph}}$) at +218 mV/SHE (arrow in Figure 7A(right panel)). The DC photocurrents were stable for many seconds suggesting that the current was not limited by HQH$_2$ reduction of P$^\bullet$. Turning the light off resulted in a slow decrease in the measured

| [RC] (µM) | $\lambda_{\text{810nm}}$ (eV) | $E_{\text{ph}}$ (mV/SHE) |
|----------|-----------------|------------------|
| 0.5      | 0.271 ± 0.016   | +253 ± 8         |
| 1.0      | 0.240 ± 0.001   | +241 ± 1         |
| 1.0      | 0.194 ± 0.001   | +286 ± 1         |
| 2.5      | 0.248 ± 0.001   | +242 ± 1         |
| 5.0      | 0.215 ± 0.001   | +262 ± 1         |
| 5.0*     | 0.289 ± 0.001   | +217 ± 1         |
| 10.0     | 0.301 ± 0.001   | +152 ± 1         |

Uncertainties (1σ) are determined using a weighted fitting routine in MATLAB (fitnlm) with weights determined from the moving standard deviation calculated using a 20 mV window. *Large faradaic current (see data in SI: Figure S10).
current that lasted tens of seconds and was characterized by a diffusional response (in supplemental information, Figure S15), likely a result of the rate at which HQH₂ or HQ diffused into or out of the layer to reach equilibrium with the bulk solution. The same surface was then treated with MCH, creating a “monolayer-like” adsorbed layer, and a similar photocurrent magnitude was obtained in addition to an improved response time observed by the absence of a diffusional response. This effect was also reflected in an increase in the modulated photocurrent to 4 nA/cm² since the faster response would be able to produce greater photocurrents at 13 Hz (Figure 7B (right panel)). The displacement of multilayers and non-specifically adsorbed RC by MCH eliminated the diffusion behavior. Diffusion-controlled currents for RC modified electrode surfaces have been reported previously and described in multilayer models (Takshi et al., 2009; Mirvakili et al., 2014; Buesen et al., 2019). The “monolayer” surface generated a small DC photocurrent transient that rapidly returned to baseline after the light was turned on, which is in agreement with the modulated photocurrent measurement where at OCP, no iph was observed (Figure 7F). Examples of the DC photocurrents measured from other monolayer surfaces are shown in Figure S16.

Comparing photocurrent measurements for monolayer and multilayer adsorbed RCs

The DC photocurrent response of the monolayer and multilayer RC surfaces depended on the nature of the adsorbed layer; a similar dependency was observed for Eonset where significant iph was measured. The OCP was essentially independent of the surface configuration and similar for all the samples. The measured OCP was controlled by the HQH₂ redox species in solution (+200 to +220 mV/SHE at pH = 7.2). Classically, the OCP is the potential where the net current is zero, and reflects a balance between the rates of oxidation and reduction, dominated by the largest rates for a mixture of redox species. The use of MCH to remove non-specifically adsorbed RCs does not completely passivate the gold surface, so HQH₂ redox still takes place and controls the OCP.

The photocurrent-specific Eonset was shifted to more negative potentials for the multilayer compared to the monolayer RC covered surfaces. Therefore, these photocurrent measurements may be sensitive to the local environment experienced by the adsorbed RCs. For example, the difference in the Eonset measured from the photocurrents of the monolayer and multilayer surfaces was most likely influenced by the changes in the environment within the multilayer, which sets the local redox potential, independent of the OCP. In other words, a monolayer surface is not constrained by layers of protein that impede the access of the redox species, and therefore, the individually adsorbed RCs experience the same conditions as the
MCH covered gold electrode surface; the opposite is the case for multilayer surfaces that may “trap” redox species and change the local redox environment. As the E\text{onset} shifted to more negative potentials, the local redox environment within the multilayer RC assembly differed from that in solution, which can be rationalized via the Nernst equation for HQH_2 redox:

$$E = E^o + \frac{0.0592 \log \left[ \frac{[HQH_2]}{[HQ]} \right]}{2}$$

The negative shift in E\text{onset} would result from an increase in the [HQH_2]/[HQ] ratio and/or an increase in pH within the RC multilayer. Meanwhile, the OCP measured via faradaic redox from the entire electrode would be determined by the redox conditions in the solution. Consequently, in the “multilayer” surface, the DC photocurrent measurement at OCP was performed at an overpotential of +100 mV relative to the local equilibrium potential experienced by the RCs in the adsorbed multilayer. This resulted in large photocurrent generation which also had a diffusional character when illumination was removed. Conversely, the “monolayer” surface had an E\text{onset} equal to the OCP revealing that these RCs existed in a local environment in equilibrium with the solution phase. Therefore, creation of P^+Q^- via photon absorption did not result in significant photocurrents when measured at the OCP as the measurements were performed at a negligible overpotential (Figure 8).

Confirming evidence of the influence of [HQH_2] on the photocurrent and E\text{onset} was obtained by measurements on a surface that had multilayer characteristics similar to the 5 μM RC sample with a short MCH treatment time (Figure S13). An increase in the [HQH_2] from 5 to 30 mM correlated with increased photocurrents, a negative shift in E\text{onset} (or E^o_{\text{ph}} from fitting), and an increase in reorganization energy that agreed with results previously described for multilayer samples (more details in supplemental information: Figures S13 and S14 and Table S6). As expected, no significant shift in the OCP was observed for the faradaic process taking place through the MCH layer on the electrode surface. Therefore, the negative shift in E\text{onset} was correlated to the increase in [HQH_2]. Partitioning of HQH_2 into the RC multilayer would increase the local concentration of HQH_2, consequently shifting the E\text{onset} for photocurrents to more negative potentials, and explaining the difference between the E\text{onset} values for multilayer and monolayer surfaces.

Figure 8 shows a schematic of the multilayer and monolayer samples detailing the local environment and its relationship to the measured photocurrents. The photocurrent measurements are sensitive to the adsorbed states of the RCs and their interfacial environment. This reveals that the DC photocurrent measurements done at the OCP provide a limited evaluation of the surface modifications. Moreover, manipulation of the local redox environment could influence the driving force (overpotential) that controls the magnitude of the generated photocurrents. These factors result in an uncertainty of the measurement of electron transfer energetics that are important for understanding the activity of the adsorbed RC and the electron
transfer to an electrode from an adsorbed RC protein. Finally, the influence of the redox conditions in local interfacial regions plays an important role for surfaces modified with molecular adsorbates emphasizing the need for approaches that can distinguish between cases where the conditions of the interface and the bulk solution differ.

Conclusions
The conditions for preparing a gold surface covered with a monolayer of RC covalently tethered via thiol bonds was determined with a modulated illumination intensity method that measured the photocurrent-potential response in addition to ex situ AFM. The photocurrent response under an applied potential for an electrode surface with a partial to full monolayer of adsorbed RCs was fitted to the Marcus-Hush-Chidsey theoretical formalism and a consistent reorganization energy of 0.24 ± 0.03 eV was determined with an equilibrium potential (E_{eq}) of +257 ± 19 mV/SHE. The photocurrent characteristics were sensitive enough to reveal the initiation of multilayer formation on the gold electrode. Multilayer coated electrode surfaces showed a significant negative shift in the photocurrent E_{onset} and a photocurrent-potential response that was best fit using two components, one with the same reorganization energy as the monolayer samples, and the other component having a close to zero reorganization energy (<0.1 eV). AFM imaging confirmed the presence of isolated adsorbed RCs with 7 nm heights for the monolayer covered surfaces. AFM also showed multilayer formation as aggregated adsorbed species and tall structures. The negative shift in the E_{onset} for multilayers of RCs was hypothesized to be a result of the difference in the local redox conditions present within the adsorbed multilayer. The difference between the local redox potential and the OCP, defined by the redox couple in solution, may create conditions for large DC photocurrents.

Limitations of the study
The formation of RC monolayers requires proteins that are not significantly oxidized or aggregated. The use of freshly prepared proteins is ideal, but aggregation occurs over time resulting in some reproducibility challenges in making monolayer RC surfaces. The method for measuring the photocurrents can distinguish between monolayer and multilayer samples. The photocurrent signals are very small, which requires a high performance lock-in-amplifier that is capable of working at frequencies below 20 Hz, and not all amplifiers will be able to measure these signals reliably.
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KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| Software and algorithms |        |            |
| ImageJ or FUJI       | ver 2.1.0/1.53c | https://imagej.net/Fiji |
| Gwyddion             | ver 2.55 | http://gwyddion.net |
| Matlab               | R2020a  |            |
| Nova                 | ver 1.11 | https://metrohm-autolab.com |
| Other               |        |            |
| SRS 830              | Stanford Research Systems | https://www.thinkrs.com |
| Metrohm PGStat 30    | Metrohm Autolab | https://metrohm-autolab.com |
| AFM Agilent 5500     | Keysight Technologies | https://www.keysight.com |

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact
Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Dan Bizzotto (bizzotto@chem.ubc.ca).

Materials availability
There are restrictions to the availability of the DM RC due to the viability of supply and long-term storage. The Rhodobacter sphaeroides strain containing the plasmid expressing the DM RC may be available upon request.

Data and code availability
This study did not generate datasets.

METHOD DETAILS

Protein purification
The previously published cysteine-less RC (Mahmoudzadeh et al., 2011), where all native cysteines were substituted with serine or alanine, was used as starting material to make genetic modifications. Two cysteine residues were introduced (A51C on the H subunit, W59C on the L subunit), yielding the “double mutant” (DM) RC and to enable chemisorption onto a gold electrode surface.

Purification of the DM RCs followed a previously published protocol of using a His-tag and affinity chromatography (Jun et al., 2014). RCs were stored at a concentration of 5 or 10 mM in PBS pH 7.2 (1.8 mM KH2PO4, 10 mM Na2HPO4, 2.7 mM KCl, 137 mM NaCl) and with 0.03% lauryldimethylamine oxide (LDAO) detergent. The absorption spectrum and RC stability data are given in the SI.

Materials
Gold flag electrodes were prepared from gold sheets. The electrodes were electrochemically cleaned by cyclic voltammetry (CV) in 100 mM KOH (25 mV/s), for 15 cycles then flame annealed in a butane flame. This was repeated until a consistent CV was measured with a clean double layer region. Estimates of the real surface area were obtained by capacitance and using gold oxide reduction charge measurements. All currents reported are normalized to the real surface area of the gold electrodes, not the geometric area. Ultrapure type I water (18.2 MΩ cm) from a Milli-Q Integral 5 system was used for all solutions. A stock solution of 1 M hydroquinone (HQH2, 99% Sigma-Aldrich) was prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Sigma-Aldrich) and diluted into PBS as required. Working solutions of 6-mercaptohexanol (MCH, 99% Sigma-Aldrich) were prepared biweekly from a stock of 50 mM MCH in HPLC-grade methanol and then diluted in PBS.
Deposition of RCs
Gold flag electrodes were immersed in a PBS solution of RCs (100 µL in a 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube), as described previously (Jun et al., 2019). Electrodes were then removed from the RC solution and immersed in PBS to remove excess RCs. Subsequently, surfaces were immersed in a freshly prepared solution of 100 µM MCH in PBS as required.

Faradaic and photocurrent measurements
Electrochemical measurements were performed in PBS with an Ag/AgCl reference electrode (EDAQ ET072-1), and a platinum counter electrode. The electrolyte was not deaerated. All potentials were converted to standard hydrogen electrode (SHE). The PBS buffer contained 20 mM HQH2. This sacrificial reagent was required to regenerate the RC (reduce P+) which resulted in large faradaic current at potentials away from the OCP. The photocurrents were measured at applied potentials between -0.4 V/SHE and +0.1 V/SHE using a light intensity modulated method in the presence of a large faradaic background current as described previously (Jun et al., 2019). Briefly, both sides of the gold flag electrode were illuminated using the output from two LEDs (810 nm, DigiKey Part #1125-1158-ND), one on each side. The LEDs were connected to an LED driver (ILX Lightwave) which applied a constant current (40 mA) to which was added a 13 Hz 30 mA sine wave resulting in a peak-peak amplitude of 70 mA with a DC offset of 40 mA. The LED characteristics are given in Table 2. A potentiostat (Metrohm PGStat30) and scan generator (PAR175) were used to scan the potential of the gold electrode at 2 mV/s starting at OCP, and going between +0.1 V/SHE and -0.4 V/SHE for two cycles ensuring repeatable measurements (~15 min). The total electrochemical current was measured and the same current signal was analyzed with a lock-in amplifier (SRS830) which measured the amplitude and phase of the embedded 13 Hz photocurrent signal.

DC photocurrent measurement
The DC photocurrent was measured at the OCP by using the 865 nm LED (Digikey Part#475-1200-ND). The OCP was first measured until it stabilized (<3 min). This potential was then applied, and after ensuring only a very small faradaic current (<0.1 µA), the DC photocurrent measurements were made. The electrochemical current was continuously measured while turning on and off the LED. The difference in current was taken as the photocurrent. Illumination was turned off after a maximum of 10 s, and the interface was allowed to equilibrate for 10 s. This procedure was repeated multiple times. Small changes in the background current (< 10 nA) when the LED was turned off were baseline corrected.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS)
EIS was measured in the presence of HQH2 at the OCP using 5 mV RMS perturbation over a narrow frequency range (10 Hz to 3 kHz) with the high frequency limit due to the RE characteristics. Two equivalent circuits were used for fitting the impedance depending on the extent of faradaic reaction measured. Impedance spectra were fit to an equivalent circuit composed of a solution resistance (Rsolv) in series with a parallel combination of a constant phase element (Q) and a resistor representing the charge transfer resistance for the redox reaction (Rct). The results from the fitting were not normalized to the area of the working electrode (5.5 cm2). A CPE was used to model the electrode interface, and an estimate of capacitance was calculated using a previously published procedure (Lasia, 2014).

AFM
AFM images were obtained using an Agilent/Keysight 5500 microscope, operating in tapping mode in air. A single crystal gold bead electrode was used that presented large flat (111) facets enabling imaging at sub nm height resolution (see supplemental information for an example of an AFM of the MCH coated electrode surface). The bead electrode was modified with RCs, was rinsed with PBS then water and dried under argon. The (111) feature was aligned by laser reflection. The electrode was transferred into the AFM and the (111) feature was found under an optical microscope mounted in the AFM. Standard rectangular silicon
Nitride tips purchased from BudgetSensors with aluminum reflective coating were used. The resonance frequency was measured to be 121 kHz, with a spring constant of 5 N/m. The tip was scanned over 8 μm at 0.14 lines/s, with one image consisting of 4,096 lines. Gwyddion (Necas and Klapetek, 2012) and ImageJ or FUJI (Schindelin et al., 2012) were used to analyze the images. Before further detailed analysis, the AFM results were treated in Gwyddion by flattening using polynomial background correction, aligning rows, and correcting for scars if needed. The modified images were analyzed using Fuji. They were zeroed to the bare gold surface, or to the minimum height measured. A threshold of 1 nm was set and a mask created. The mask was eroded twice and dilated once. The resulting image was segmented via watershed transformation to delineate or separate the particles. The mask was used to analyze the AFM image restricting the particles to be >3 pixels in area and excluded any particles on the edge of the image. A histogram of the maximum height for each particle was created, as well as the distribution of the footprint area of each particle.

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

**Photocurrent analysis**

Fitting to Marcus-Hush-Chidsey was performed using Matlab function fitnlm on the average of the positive and negative scan measured at 2 mV/s. The photocurrent was smoothed (Gaussian-weighted moving average) over a 5 mV window and the experimental error was estimated by calculating the standard deviation using a moving 20 mV window over these smoothed data. The standard deviation was used as a weighting parameter in the non-linear fits to the approximation of the Marcus-Hush-Chidsey theory provided by Zeng et al. (2014). The error in the fitted parameters reported in the tables is the standard error of the estimate.

Two component fits were also performed in the same manner with a partial F-test used to verify statistical significance of the additional component. Performing an F-test which compares the reduced model (one component, 3 parameters) against the full model (two components, 6 parameters) will calculate a F statistic. If this calculated value is larger than that in a table of F scores at the appropriate significance level (α = 0.05, 95%), it indicates that the improvement in the fitting of the data by adding an extra component is statistically significant. This depends on the degrees of freedom for both models. The F value can be calculated using:

$$F = \frac{(SSE_{reduced} - SSE_{full}) / \text{(change in the number of parameters)}}{MSE_{full}}$$

where SSE is the sum of squared errors and \( MSE = \frac{SSE}{n-k} \) where \( n \) = number of observations (~900) and \( k \) is the number of independent variables for the full (2 component) model (~6). For example, in the 5 μM RC (24 h) 100 μM MCH (0 h) sample, \( F = \frac{(400-8)/(6-1)}{1000/900} = 1.4 \times 10^4 \). The F statistic for 95% with two degrees of freedom (1 for each model) is 16.1. Therefore, the fit using the two component model is statistically better. This is based on the same approach outlined in Lasia, 2014.

**EIS data analysis**

The NOVA software controlling the Metrohm Autolab potentiostats was used to fit to the equivalent circuits. The errors reported are the standard deviations reported by the fitting program. Data above 3 kHz were not used in the fitting as the reference electrode introduced significant distortions in the impedance of the complete electrochemical cell.