Extensive scar modification for the treatment of intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia in patients after congenital heart surgery
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Abstract

Background: Catheter ablation is an important therapeutic option for atrial tachycardias in patients with CHD. As a result of extensive scarring and surgical repair, multiple intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia circuits develop and serve as a substrate for arrhythmias. The best ablation approach for patients with multiple intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardias has not been investigated. Here, we compared substrate-based ablation using extensive scar modification to conventional ablation. Methods: The present study included patients with surgically corrected CHD that underwent intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia ablation. Extensive scar modification was defined as substrate ablation based on a dense voltage map, aimed to eliminate all potentials in the scar region. The control group had activation mapping-based ablation. A clinical composite endpoint was assessed. Points were given for type, number, and treatment of intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia recurrence. Results: In 40 patients, 63 (extensive scar modification 13) procedures were performed. Acute procedural success was achieved in 78%. Procedural duration was similar in both groups. Forty-nine percent had a recurrence within 1 year. During a 5-year follow-up (2.5–7.5 years), 46% required repeat catheter ablation. Compared to baseline, clinical composite endpoint significantly decreased by 46% after 12 months (p = 0.001). Acute procedural success, procedural parameters, recurrence and repeat ablation were similar between extensive scar modification and activation mapping-based ablation. Conclusion: Catheter ablation using extensive scar modification for intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardias occurring after surgically corrected CHD illustrated similar short- and long-term outcomes and procedural efficiency compared to catheter ablation using activation mapping-based ablation. The choice of ablation approach for multiple intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia should remain at the discretion of the operator.

Atrial arrhythmias have a major impact on the quality of life in patients with CHD. Surgical incisions in the atrium and atrial fibrosis form the substrate of intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia. The presence of extensive scar may cause multiple intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia circuits.12–3 During catheter ablation, the mapping of all the critical isthmuses of these circuits can be time-consuming. Moreover, even after a successful ablation of all identified critical isthmuses, the long-term results are hampered by a high recurrence rate.4,5 A scar-related approach has been used with success in patients with scar-related ventricular tachycardia6 and even in atrial fibrillation.7

One of the most important limitations of long-term success in this population is complex scar with multiple re-entrant circuits. To the best of our knowledge, there have not been studies performed addressing this small but very significant patient group. Extensive scar modification is a treatment option for multiple intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia circuits using the same scar. We hypothesised that extensive scar modification compared to activation map-based ablation for the treatment of intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia in patients with CHD reduces procedure times and improves efficiency of procedural outcomes.

Methods

Study population and data collection

Forty-eight consecutive patients with a surgically corrected congenital defect and atrial arrhythmias were screened. Forty of these patients had entrainable tachycardias and were included into the study. These 40 patients underwent 63 ablation procedures for scar-related intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia between May 2006 and May 2016 in our centre. Intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia was defined as an arrhythmia from which the central obstacle was a surgical scar or any anatomical barrier other than the tricuspid annulus.8 If more than three different intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardias were present, we defined it as multiple.
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All catheter ablation procedures were performed in accordance with institutionally approved local medical treatment protocols. The local ethical committee approved data collection (MEC-2016-705). Procedural informed consent was obtained from all the patients prior to the electrophysiological study. All patient information was de-identified.

**Procedural protocol**

Anti-arrhythmic drugs were discontinued for at least four half lives prior to the procedure with the exception of amiodarone. The procedures were performed under local or general anaesthesia. The procedures were guided using EnSite NavX 3D (St. Jude Medical Inc., St. Paul, Minnesota, United States of America) mapping or CARTO system (Biosense Webster, Inc., Diamond Bar, California, United States of America). Only detailed extensive maps were included in the study. Intracardiac bipolar electrograms filtered at 30 to 500 Hz were recorded by a computerised electrophysiological recording system. In all catheter ablations for intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia, the chamber of origin was determined. When a left-sided intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia was suspected, a deflectable decapolar catheter was inserted into the coronary sinus and functioned as a reference mapping catheter.

Per definition re-entry is the mechanism in patients with intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia, and mapping is aimed to map the whole cycle length. Intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardias were separated from another based on both morphology and cycle length.

The target of ablation was identified by activation and/or voltage mapping. Irrigated catheters were used for the majority of the procedures. The electrode-tip temperature limit was set at 43°C, with a power ranging from 30 to 45 W, and needed irrigation flow of 20–30 ml/min. The catheter selection was dependent on clinical judgement of the operator. Remote magnetic navigation (Stereotaxis, Inc., St. Louis, Missouri, United States of America) was used in 58% of the cases.

If the patient was not in atrial tachycardia at the beginning of the procedure, atrial tachycardia was induced, most commonly with rapid atrial pacing or atrial extra-stimuli. An electroanatomic map was created during atrial tachycardia. The only exception for creating a biatorial map was if activation mapping-based ablation was performed and the whole atrial cycle length could be mapped in the chamber of origin and tachycardias remained non-inducible after ablation. Entrainment pacing was used to identify and/or confirm atrial tachycardia circuits. Sites with a post-pacing interval minus atrial tachycardia cycle length within 30 ms were considered to lie within the circuit. Hereafter in the presence of multiple re-entry circuits and at the preference of the operator, a conventional activation mapping-based ablation or extensive scar modification approach was chosen.

**Activation mapping-based approach**

A line was created across the critical isthmus, usually between areas of scar of between a scar and an anatomical structure (e.g. the inferior caval vein, superior caval vein or tricuspid annulus). Pacing was performed to prove bidirectional block. Localised re-entry was treated with discrete ablation lesions. After termination of an intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia, we attempted to re-induce the intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia using the stimulation protocol as described previously.

**Extensive scar modification**

Using a detailed 3D bipolar voltage map, an effort was made to identify the sites of surgical incisions and sutures. Substrate mapping was performed during sinus rhythm with standard settings defined as normal tissue greater than 0.5 mV and very low-voltage area as 0.1 mV.9 Dense scar was defined as areas with amplitudes less than the baseline noise level of the recording system (amplitude of <0.05 mV). Maps were considered complete when both atria were extensively mapped and all scar borders were clearly defined. After mapping, the ablation was extended throughout the entire scar.9 Ablation was continued until the scar was unexcitable which was proven with pacing manoeuvres. A final result of extensive scar modification is illustrated in Figure 1.

**Post-procedural protocol**

All patients underwent continuous rhythm monitoring for 48 hour, a resting 12-lead electrocardiogram, laboratory tests and chest radiograph after the procedure. Regular follow-up was performed at 3 months after the ablation and thereafter depending on the underlying symptoms and pathology for every 3–12 months. A 24-hour Holter recording was performed when a patient experienced recurrence symptoms.

**Outcome definitions**

We analysed the following outcome data per strategy (substrate – extensive scar modification versus non-substrate – activation mapping-based ablation): acute procedural success, early atrial tachycardia recurrences, median time to atrial tachycardia recurrence and redo and His bundle ablations during follow-up. Acute procedural success was defined as termination and non-inducibility of all intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia. Any atrial
Table 1. Clinical composite endpoint count

| Points | Documented intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia | Acute treatment | Long-term treatment |
|--------|---------------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| 0      | None                                        | None           | None, betablocker, verapamil, digoxin |
| 1      | Non-sustained only                          | 1 ECV          | Class I, sotalol     |
| 2      | One sustained                               | >2 ECV         | Class III           |
| 3      | Incessant                                   | Hospitalisation for symptoms of heart failure, syncope of cardiac arrest | His, repeat ablation |

ECV = electrical cardioversion.

Tachycardia during follow-up was considered a recurrence. Early recurrences were defined as recurrences within 1 year after ablation. If during an electrophysiology study the arrhythmia was an isthmus-dependent atrial flutter without the involvement of scar, it was not considered a repeat ablation.

A clinical composite endpoint was calculated at baseline, at 6 months′ and 12 months′ follow-up. The clinical composite endpoint was a score per subject and therefore only focused on the index procedure (first procedure in our centre, or first procedure after May 2006). Points (1–4) were given for three categories: electrocardiographically documented occurrence of intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia, acute and long-term treatment (Table 1). Review of medical records and procedure notes was performed to ascertain the dates of occurrence of cardioversion and emergency room visit or hospital admission for management of arrhythmia or symptoms of the arrhythmia.

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using SPSS 15.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States of America). Descriptive statistics for categorical data were expressed in absolute numbers and percentages. Student t-test, chi-square test and Fisher exact test were used to compare differences across groups. After checking for normality, mean values and standard deviations were calculated for normally distributed continuous variables. Median and interquartile ranges were computed for continuous variables with non-normal distribution, and the Mann–Whitney U-test to compute statistical significance. Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to calculate differences in significance for the clinical composite endpoint at different points in time. A two-sided P-value <0.05 (two tailed) was considered significant.

Results

Clinical characteristics

Sixty-three procedures for intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia in a total of 40 patients with surgically repaired CHD were included in this analysis (one procedure n = 21, two procedures n = 15 and three procedures n = 4). Thirteen procedures were a substrate approach. Two patients with an activation mapping-based ablation index procedure (Supplement 2A) underwent extensive scar modification during a repeat procedure. Two patients with an extensive scar modification index procedure (Supplement 2B) underwent an activation mapping-based ablation approach during the repeat procedure.

A median number of two sustained intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia episodes were recorded in the 6 months before ablation. Four patients had incessant intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia at the index procedure. Thirty-one percent used Amiodarone at baseline. Six procedures were performed in children.

In 13 intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia ablation procedures, the approach was extensive scar modification, and in 50 procedures, it was activation mapping-based ablation. In 43 out of 63 procedures, multiple intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardias could be induced. There were no differences in baseline characteristics between the two groups (Table 2). The congenital cardiac anomalies in this cohort are described in Table 3 according to the presence of multiple intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia and extensive scar modification. Transposition of the great arteries was present in 12 cases, Ebstein or tricuspid atresia in eight cases and tetralogy of Fallot in five cases. Six out of forty patients had a Fontan operation, and 10 patients were following a Mustard operation.

Procedural data

Number of applications (26 – interquartile range 18–69), time (1124 seconds – interquartile range 627–2385) and procedural duration (240 minutes – interquartile range 163–298) were similar in both groups (Table 4).

Complications

There were six complications, one in the extensive scar modification group and five in the activation mapping-based ablation group (8 and 9%, respectively, in extensive scar modification and activation mapping-based ablation) (P = Not Significant). Four were vascular peripheral complications: there were two haematomas, one arterio-venous fistula and one thrombus in the common femoral artery in relation to a percutaneous left ventricular support device. There was one cerebral vascular event in a patient who had an activation mapping-based ablation approach. One ablation led to altered impendence of the pacemaker lead.

Outcome of the procedures

Acute procedural success was reached in 78% (Table 5) of the intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia population. Forty-nine percent of the total patients had a recurrence within 1 year. One patient was lost to follow-up. The median time to recurrence was 120 days (interquartile range 30–525). In case of a successful procedure (50), the 1-year recurrence rate was 45%. In case of an unsuccessful procedure (13), there was a 1-year recurrence rate of 57% (p = 0.55). The clinical composite endpoint in the overall group was reduced by more than one-third after 6 and 12 months (Fig 2). After 6 and 12 months, 66 and 68% of the patients improved. This was a significant change compared to baseline (Table 6) (Fig 2). Three patients required reinstitution and two patients needed an increase of anti-arrhythmic drugs at 12 months after the index procedure, while seven patients were able to discontinue their anti-arrhythmic drugs altogether. Of the 16 patients with an early recurrence of intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia, 4 had non-sustained atrial tachycardia episodes.
Substrate modification versus a conventional approach

There was no significant difference in procedural success between both approaches. Extensive scar modification compared to activation mapping-based ablation was similar with regard to early and late recurrence and repeat procedures. The clinical composite end-point after 6 and 12 months was not significantly different between the two groups (Table 7).

Repeat procedure

During a median follow-up of 5 years (interquartile range 2.5–7.5), a repeat procedure was considered necessary in 29 patients, 46% of the patients in both groups. One of the patients had multiple intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardias, and one had a single intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia which foci could not be reached during the index ablation. Both patients had activation mapping-based ablation as an ablation strategy.

In four patients, a His bundle ablation with pacemaker implantation was needed, and in six patients cavo-tricuspid isthmus ablation after intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia ablation was necessary to control recurrence. The underlying CHD in the patients who required cavo-tricuspid isthmus was pulmonary stenosis, double-outlet ventricle, atrial septal defect (2) and tetralogy of Fallot (2).

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that analysed different ablation approaches in a difficult patient population.

### Table 2. Baseline characteristics

|                          | Total N = 63 procedures | Extensive scar modification N = 13 procedures | Activation mapping-based ablation N = 50 procedures | p-value |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Male                     | 40 (64)                 | 9/13 (69)                                   | 31/50 (62)                                        | 0.75    |
| Median age [IQR]         | 34 [25–47]              | 33 [26–43]                                  | 35 [25–47]                                        | 0.66    |
| Median age at correction [IQR] | 5 [2–11]              | 5 [1–10]                                    | 5 [3–12]                                          | 0.44    |
| Number of patients who were paediatric at the time of the ablation | 7/63 (11)                  | 1/13 (6)                                    | 6/50 (12)                                         | 1.00    |
| Number of sustained episodes 6 months before the procedure [IQR] | 2 [1–3]                        | 1.5 [0–2.75]                                | 2 [1–3]                                           | 0.65    |
| Pacemaker for sinus node dysfunction at baseline | 17 (27)                           | 1 (8)                                       | 16 (32)                                           | 0.16    |
| Index procedure is a repeat procedure* | 31 (49)                           | 9 (69)                                      | 22 (44)                                           | 0.129   |

**Medication**

|                        | All – 40 | Multiple – 26 | Extensive scar modification – 9 |
|------------------------|----------|---------------|---------------------------------|
| Betablocker             | 16 (25)  | 1 (8)         | 15 (30)                         | 0.16    |
| Verapamil               | 1 (2)    | 0 (0)         | 1 (2)                           | 1.00    |
| Class 1C anti-arrhythmic drug | 1 (2)    | 0 (0)         | 1 (2)                           | 1.00    |
| Sotalol                | 24 (38)  | 7 (54)        | 17 (34)                         | 0.21    |
| Amiodarone             | 19 (30)  | 5 (39)        | 14 (28)                         | 0.51    |

**Procedure**

|                               | All – 40 | Multiple – 26 | Extensive scar modification – 9 |
|-------------------------------|----------|---------------|---------------------------------|
| Multiple intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia morphologies | 40 (63) | 13 (100) | 27 (68) | 0.006 |
| Haemodynamic support device   | 3 (5)    | 2 (15)        | 1 (2)                           | 0.51    |
| Remote magnetic navigation    | 40 (64)  | 8 (62)        | 32 (64)                         | 1.00    |

**Follow-up**

|                           | All – 40 | Multiple – 26 | Extensive scar modification – 9 |
|---------------------------|----------|---------------|---------------------------------|
| Median duration of follow-up (years) [IQR ] | 5 [2.5–7.5] | 5 [3–7] | 5 [1.5–8] | 0.98 |

*In 13 of the repeat procedures, the index procedure was performed before 2006 or in another centre.

IQR = interquartile range.

### Table 3. Patient characteristics CHDs

| CHDs                          | All – 40 | Multiple – 26 | Extensive scar modification – 9 |
|------------------------------|----------|---------------|---------------------------------|
| Tricuspid atresia            | 6  5  1  |               |                                 |
| Ebstein                      | 2  2  1  |               |                                 |
| Transposition of the great arteries | 12  7  1  |               |                                 |
| Double-outlet left ventricle | 5  4  1  |               |                                 |
| Tetrology of Fallot          | 5  3  2  |               |                                 |
| Atrial septal defect/venous sinus defect | 5  3  1  |               |                                 |
| Concomitant atrial septal defect | 5  3  1  |               |                                 |
| Atrioventricular septal defect | 2  1  0  |               |                                 |
| Hypoplastic left ventricle   | 1  1  1  |               |                                 |
| Cor triatriatum              | 1  1  0  |               |                                 |
| Pulmonary stenosis, valvular or sub or supravalvular | 5  3  0  |               |                                 |
| Congenital aortic stenosis, valvular and subvalvular | 1  1  0  |               |                                 |
| Surgery correction congenital heart defect | 6  6  3  |               |                                 |
| Fontan                        | 10  5  1  |               |                                 |

The distribution of anomalies among all procedures, procedures with multiple intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardias (multiple) and extensive scar modification procedures.
major finding of this study is that extensive scar modification compared to activation mapping-based ablation in patients with intra-atrial re-entry tachycardia as a result of congenital heart surgery is not different with regards to short- and long-term outcome and procedural efficiency.

Outcome intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia ablation

Intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia ablation in the present study has an acute success of 78% and leads to a significant reduction in arrhythmia burden after 6 and 12 months. A high recurrence rate after ablation and even after repeat ablation in patients with intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia is a standard in today’s practice.4,5

Complex atrial anatomy, markedly enlarged, scarred right atria with wall thickening and high right atrial pressure are factors associated with poor ablation outcome.4 In the contrary, intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia that is cavo-tricuspid isthmus dependent is associated with a better long-term outcome after ablation. Cavo-tricuspid isthmus-dependent intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia was excluded in the present study.

Even if intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia episodes are not eliminated entirely by ablation, the procedure can often provide substantial improvement by reducing the frequency of episodes and eliminating the need for ongoing drug therapy10 illustrated by a significant drop in composite endpoint score after ablation. Triedman et al11 reported similar results to the current study regarding the frequency of clinical events pre-ablation and post-ablation periods and found a subsequent significant decrease in clinical events.

In our study, alike other studies,12 multiple atrial tachycardias are present in more than half of the patients referred for intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia ablation. The clinical value of multiple arrhythmias during an ablation procedure is not completely known, also considering that one arrhythmia frequently dominates. Recurrences during the follow-up, however, frequently means the development of novel onset atrial tachyarrhythmias1–3 even in the absence of multiple atrial arrhythmias at baseline.

Challenges in the ablation of intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia

The circuit of the intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia predominantly uses a central obstacle formed by the right lateral patch or right lateral atriotomy scar14 and is less frequently formed between the scar and IVC (inferior vena cava), SVC (superior vena cava) or TV (tricuspid valve).13 Multiple intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia circuits may develop due to incisional scar and obstacles in the atria.1–3 Rather than mapping all the intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia individually, extensive scar modification can be applied as an alternative. In the present study, extensive scar modification was equally effective compared to activation mapping-based ablation in treating intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia. The equal number of RF (radio frequency) applications and duration of RF between the two groups may be a representation of multiple unsuccessful ablation points in conventional ablation. A combination of extensive scar modification and activation mapping-based ablation is not performed in the present study, yet it is likely to assume it can become a successful future ablation approach, especially in case of a frequently re-occurring clinical intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia.

**Table 4. Procedural parameters**

|                          | All          | Extensive scar modification | Activation mapping-based ablation | p-value |
|--------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|
| Applications number      | 26 [18–69]   | 31 [23–68]                 | 24 [15–71]                       | 0.43    |
| Application time (sec)   | 1124 [627–2385] | 1100 [851–2494]           | 1148 [459–2400]                  | 0.39    |
| Procedural duration (min)| 240 [163–298] | 240 [165–329]             | 225 [158–293]                    | 0.73    |

**Table 5. Procedural outcome**

|                          | All          | Extensive scar modification (%) | Activation mapping-based ablation (%) | p-value |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| Acute success            | 50/63 (79)   | 11/13 (85)                     | 39/50 (78)                           | 0.719   |
| Early recurrence         | 29/63 (46)   | 4/13 (31)                      | 25/50 (50)                           | 0.349   |
| Repeat procedure         | 29/62* (46)  | 6/13 (46)                      | 23/49* (46)                          | 1.00    |

*One patient was lost to follow-up.

**Figure 2.** Clinical composite endpoint. Clinical composite endpoint in p/subject in the ESM and AMBA groups at baseline, 6 months and 12 months. AMBA=activation map-based ablation; ESM=extensive scar modification.
Scar modification in VT ablation

Shorter procedural and fluoroscopy times are needed for a direct substrate VT (ventricular tachycardia) ablation strategy compared to ablation guided by entrainment manoeuvres. Higher scar heterogeneity is related to a higher arrhythmogenic potential of the ventricular scar, which rationalises scar modification in VT ablation. In a recent multi-centre randomised study that compared scar modification with clinical VT ablation in ischemic cardiomyopathy, a significantly larger freedom from VT recurrence is seen at 1 year. Moreover, a larger percentage of patients were able to discontinue anti-arrhythmic drugs after substrate ablation.

Scar modification in the atria

Possible drawbacks of scar modification approach are the lack of an established endpoint to verify individual or multiple point lesion efficacy, need for remapping to assess for complete elimination of abnormal electrograms, and ablation of bystander regions. In the atria, the latter might be of less importance. In VT ablation, abundant ablation leading to a reduced ventricular function is of critical importance. On the contrary, a large ablation area in a scarred atrium will not deprive cardiac output substantially. A theoretical disadvantage of extensive scar modification is a higher chance of complications with more extensive ablation. The current study does not support this potential hazard.

In extensive scar modification, there is no need for arrhythmia induction. This can be an advantage not only in case of non- inducibility, but also for haemodynamic instability. Especially, patients with a univentricular heart can become haemodynamically unstable during mapping and ablation. Substrate mapping would abolish the need for a haemodynamic support device.

Candidates for extensive scar modification

The recurrence risk is particularly high among Fontan patients who tend to have the largest number of intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia circuits, the largest atrial dimensions and most atrial hypertrophy. Therefore, potential candidates for extensive scar modification are patients with RA (right atrium)–PA (pulmonary artery) Fontan connections. On the contrary in Mustard patients, the cavo-tricuspid isthmus usually is the target. Our extensive scar modification cohort is represented by a heterogeneous group of CHD. Also surgically corrected ASD (atrial septal defect) and TOF (tetrology of Fallot) patients, who usually have limited areas of low voltage, are represented. In the current study, inaccessiveness of congenital heart surgery did not correlate with the arrhythmia burden and the presence of multiple intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardias. Disease progression is a possible explanation for the presence of multiple intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia. If multiple re-entrant circuits are present in ASD and TOF, more extensive areas of low voltage should be suspected and extensive scar modification can be a feasible approach.

Limitations of the study

The main limitation of the study is that it is a single-centre retrospective analysis with a low number of patients who underwent extensive scar modification. Not all patients with a recurrence had an electrophysiology study; therefore, we cannot be sure about the mechanism of the recurrent atrial tachycardia. In some analyses, patients were used repeatedly as unique events which adds potential bias. One should be cautious to draw conclusions on the specific congenital population referred for intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardia ablation there the analysis included a heterogeneity of anomalies. The follow-up duration in this study is representative of standard clinical practice. A single recurrence or the time to a first recurrence in this population does not represent the most important clinical outcome marker. In this article, we have made an effort to give an insight in the reduction of arrhythmia burden.

Conclusions

In the ablation treatment of intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardias in patients after congenital heart surgery, extensive scar modification illustrated similar outcome compared to a conventional mapping approach, even in the presence of multiple intra-atrial re-entrant tachycardias. Based on the outcome of this study, we do not recommend operators to change their current approach. Long-term recurrence rates remain high, so further studies are needed to develop the best treatment strategy.
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