INTRODUCTION

Acute eosinophilic pneumonia (AEP) is characterized by an acute febrile onset, progressive respiratory failure, bilateral chest infiltrates, an increase of eosinophils in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (>25%), and a dramatic response to corticosteroids. It was first described by Allen and colleagues in 1989 (1), but the cause remains unknown. Many investigators suggest that unidentified inhaled antigens, such as Aspergillus species, mycotic infections, parasite infestations, drugs, and chemical agents, may induce a hypersensitivity reaction in an otherwise healthy individual (2).

The smoking of cigarettes has been proposed as a cause of AEP (3-5). Most of the papers published have described young Asians with episodic events, who started smoking prior to the time symptoms developed. We present a case of a young Korean patient, suspected to have cigarette smoking-induced AEP who was treated with corticosteroids and later showed recurrent symptoms on a provocation test.

CASE REPORT

An 18-yr-old Asian male presented to our department complaining of dyspnea on exertion and fever for over 24 hr. He denied chest pain, hemoptysis, or cough. He reported no family or past medical history and denied the use of drugs or medication. He denied any history of allergic conditions. He reported starting smoking up to 1 pack per day approximately 30 days prior to the admission. On examination, his body temperature was 38.5 °C. The findings of complete blood cell counts revealed a white blood cell count of 27,800/L with segmented neutrophils at 94%. Arterial blood gas analysis showed pH of 7.424, PaO2 of 66.2 mmHg, and PCO2 of 38.0 mmHg in room air. Inspiratory rhonchi and wheezing were heard on both lower lung fields on auscultation. Chest radiography showed multi-focal opacities in both lungs (Fig. 1). Blood and sputum cultures were taken, and antibiotics were promptly administered under the impression of bacterial pneumonia. Despite the administration of moxifloxacin and clarithromycin, clinical and laboratory parameters did not improve. On the 3rd day of hospital admission, dyspnea worsened and he developed peripheral cyanosis. He also developed a productive cough. Expectorated sputum was mucoid with a whitish to yellowish appearance. Documented fever persisted, from 38 up to 39 °C. Serial chest radiographs also showed aggravation (Fig. 1).
pneumoniae, Legionella pneumophila, Streptococcus pneumoniae, parasites (Taenia solium, Paragonimus westermani, Spirometra, Clostridium sinensis), and viral tests including human immunodeficiency virus were also negative. Serologic markers for autoimmune diseases including immunoglobulin, complements and autoimmune antibodies were non-specific. High resolution computed tomography of the chest showed diffuse nonsegmental patchy or nodular ground glass attenuation in both lungs, small air space consolidations in the peripheral portion of both upper lungs, and diffuse interlobular septae thickening. On lung spirometry forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume of 1 sec (FEV1) and their ratio (FEV1/FVC) were 65%, 54%, and 76% of predicted value, respectively. Diffusion of carbon monoxide (DLco) was 58% of predicted value.

Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) and transbronchial lung biopsies were performed on the 4th day of admission to clarify the pulmonary process. Analysis of BAL fluids showed a significant increase in eosinophils, 39%. Transbronchial lung biopsies revealed mild interstitial thickening with significant eosinophil infiltration (Fig. 3). The patient met the criteria for AEP described by Allen and Davis (2). Clinical symptoms rapidly subsided after administration of intravenous methylprednisolone (125 mg/day), and C-reactive protein and sputum eosinophil levels as well as follow-up chest radiology showed rapid improvement (Fig. 1). To identify the cause of AEP, we carefully reviewed the history of the patient. The only significant change in his life style or environment was the recent beginning of smoking 1 month before. To establish a connection between smoking and the development of AEP,
we decided to perform a provocation test with given informed consent. He was given 1 cigarette to smoke, followed by an additional 3 cigarettes 2 hr later. Before and after smoking, his vital signs, sputum eosinophil percentage, C-reactive protein, white blood cells with % of eosinophils from peripheral blood, partial oxygen pressure, spirometry, and DLco were checked regularly. The same laboratory and lung function parameters were followed up serially when the patient perceived any changes in his condition. Two hours after the last cigarette smoking, a mild fever at 37.6℃ developed. High fever and chills developed 7 hr later. Cough and sputum redeveloped the following day. An increase in self expectorated sputum eosinophils and decrease in FEV1 and DLco (Fig. 2) were observed. Fever and other symptoms were relieved by methylprednisolone.

**DISCUSSION**

The diagnostic criteria for AEP was suggested by Allen and Davis (2), which include an acute febrile illness of short duration (usually less than one week), hypoxemic respiratory failure, diffuse pulmonary opacities on chest radiograph, BAL eosinophilia >25 percent, evidence of eosinophilic infiltrates (acute and organizing diffuse alveolar damage with prominent eosinophilia) on lung biopsy, and absence of known causes of eosinophilic pneumonia, including drugs, infections, asthma, or atopic disease. Some authors suggest that the diagnosis can be made with confidence without lung biopsy in immunocompetent patients with a compatible history and prominent BAL eosinophilia in the absence of infection or other known precipitants (6). The patient in our case met the criteria, and we were able to make the diagnosis of AEP without a difficulty. However, we were not certain whether smoking played a role in the development of AEP. This led us to design a provocation test.

Since 1996, there have been several reports of cigarette smoking-induced AEP. Some investigators have tried a cigarette smoking challenge test to provoke recurrence in patients who recovered from AEP (3). The cigarette smoking challenge test that we designed was similar, but the test was performed almost immediately after the patient showed full recovery. We demonstrated that not only sputum eosinophilia but the symptoms of AEP and worsening of pulmonary function could be reproduced by a provocation test. The patient's symptoms after the provocation test were mild, but almost identical to those at admission. Worsening of pulmonary function, particularly DLco, and the increase in self expectorated sputum eosinophils were also characteristic. Moreover, symptoms and pulmonary function normalized almost immediately after readministration of steroids. Although symptoms fully recovered, peripheral eosinophil counts were beginning to climb at the time we decided to perform the provocation test. However, the absence of peripheral eosinophilia in the acute stages of AEP with elevation during recovery is a feature of AEP that has been reported in several studies (7-10). Because peripheral eosinophilia was also absent in the acute stages of disease and appeared after the patient had shown recovery, we decided to perform the test. Additionally, we could find no previous reports of febrile episodes following provocation at the time of recovery when peripheral eosinophilia was evident (3, 10).

Despite his recurrent symptoms, we could not find significant chest radiographic changes following the provocation test. Generally, the early radiographic findings of AEP are faint reticular markings that represent interstitial infiltration. As the disease progresses and/or the patient is exposed to continuous stimuli, diffuse interstitial and patchy alveolar infiltrates develop (8). We can only speculate that although the single stimulus of the provocation test was enough to induce a decrease in DLco and an inflammatory febrile response, it may not have been sufficient enough to induce chest radiographic changes. To our knowledge, there have been no reports of chest radiographic change after a provocation test in patients with AEP in the literature written in English.

After discharge, the patient restarted cigarette smoking, but showed no evidence of recurrence for more than 1 yr of follow-up. This may be explained by the development of tolerance. There have been several reports of tolerance following resumption of smoking cigarettes, but the mechanism remains unknown (4). Shintani et al. speculated that desensitization to cigarette smoke or interaction of various drugs and viruses with cigarette smoke may play a role in the development of tolerance (4). Indeed, though several investigators designed provocation tests to verify smoking-induced AEP, tests that were not associated with recurrent symptoms after provocation were performed 10-30 days after recovery (3, 10). Considering this, the performance of the provocation test immediately after the patient's recovery and associated recurrent symptoms may suggest that tolerance develops earlier than we expected.

To date, the cause or mechanism of AEP is not completely understood, and no single organism or agent has been proved to directly cause AEP. Some patients have been involved in unusual outdoor activities just prior to the onset of their illness (6, 7, 11, 12). The possible role of environmental factors at home has also been suggested (13). High levels of interleukin-5 have been found in the BAL fluid (14-16) suggesting its pathogenic role in AEP. In addition, interleukin-1 receptor antagonist, soluble type II interleukin-1 receptor, vascular endothelial growth factor, and granulocyte macrophage colony stimulating factor have also been found in the BAL fluid of patients with AEP, but their roles in the pathogenesis of AEP remain unknown (16, 17).

The cause of AEP is unknown and cigarette smoking may represent only one of many associated factors. However, the recurrence of eosinophilic pneumonia by provocation test supports previous reports that in certain individuals cigarette
smoking may induce AEP. Further studies and understanding of the mechanism of AEP are needed to reach a conclusion, and the use of provocation tests such as the one used in our case may shed a light on this subject. The majority of reported cigarette smoking-induced AEP cases are young adults who were first-time smokers. In light of these reports and considering the increase of early cigarette smoking in young adults, it is important that physicians are aware of the possibility of this potentially life-threatening, but fully reversible disease.
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