Engineering defensin α-helix to produce high-affinity SARS-CoV-2 spike protein binding ligands
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Abstract
The binding of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) spike protein to the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor expressed on the host cells is a critical initial step for viral infection. This interaction is blocked through competitive inhibition by soluble ACE2 protein. Therefore, developing high-affinity and cost-effective ACE2 mimetic ligands that disrupt this protein–protein interaction is a promising strategy for viral diagnostics and therapy. We employed human and plant defensins, a class of small (2–5 kDa) and highly stable proteins containing solvent-exposed alpha-helix, conformationally constrained by two disulfide bonds. Therefore, we engineered the amino acid residues on the constrained alpha-helix of defensins to mimic the critical residues on the ACE2 helix 1 that interact with the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. The engineered proteins (h-deface2, p-deface2, and p-deface2-MUT) were soluble and purified to homogeneity with a high yield from a bacterial expression system. The proteins demonstrated exceptional thermostability (Tm 70.7°C), high-affinity binding to the spike protein with apparent Kd values of 54.4 ± 11.3, 33.5 ± 8.2, and 14.4 ± 3.5 nM for h-deface2, p-deface2, and p-deface2-MUT, respectively, and were used in a diagnostic assay that detected SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies. This work addresses the challenge of developing helical ACE2 mimetics by demonstrating that defensins provide promising scaffolds to engineer alpha-helices in a constrained form for designing of high-affinity ligands.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)
emerged as a pandemic in March 2020, resulting in over 300 million infections and more than 5.5 million deaths worldwide as of January 2022. The emergence of highly transmissible variants and the possible occurrence of other pandemics calls for innovative protein engineering strategies to develop improved viral detection probes for rapid diagnosis followed by proper therapeutic interventions.

The viral entry into human cells occurs when SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein (S) binds the human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) followed by its proteolytic cleavage by the cellular trans-membrane protease serine 2 (TMPRSS2). Therefore, binding to the human ACE2 receptor is a critical initial step for SARS-CoV-2 infection and targeting such protein–protein interactions (PPIs) via competitive binding might be a promising strategy for blocking viral entry into human cells. Accordingly, studies have shown that soluble ACE2 inhibits SARS-CoV-2 infection by blocking the interaction between the human receptor and the viral protein.

Biochemical and structural studies have demonstrated that interactions with the receptor-binding domain (RBD) of Spike SARS-CoV-2 mainly involve ACE2 N-terminal α-helix 1, and such α-helical peptide may effectively mimic ACE2 and bind to the spike protein. However, once a short peptide is isolated from the original protein, much of its binding ability is lost, as the peptide tends to adopt random-coil conformations, reducing the number of optimal binding conformations.

Therefore, the development of stabilized α-helical mimetic ligands is crucial for spike binding, allowing SARS-CoV-2 detection, COVID-19 neutralizing antibody analysis, and therapeutic intervention design. For that reason, several attempts to produce stable and potent α-helical ACE2 peptidomimetics are underway.

The proteolytic instability of peptides is an additional factor that limits their utility as reagents in diagnostic and therapy since flexible peptides are also easy targets for proteases. In this regard, reduced conformational heterogeneity of stabilized helical peptides has been demonstrated to increase protease resistance.

Defensins are small (45–54 amino acid residues), cysteine-rich, cationic proteins found in animals, plants, and fungi that play crucial roles in the innate immune system by protecting against pathogens. These proteins usually enclose three to five disulfide bonds that confer protease resistance, extreme temperature tolerance, and wide pH-range stability.

In plants, all defensins share a common topology that consists of one α-helix and three antiparallel β-strands stabilized by at least four disulfide bonds. However, in vertebrates, α-helices are present only in a subset of defensins called beta-type that contain a α-helix restricted to the carboxy-terminal region of these proteins.

In this study, we hypothesized that the design of ACE2 α-helix mimetics using defensin scaffolds could be advantageous given their stability and helix-constrained conformation. Therefore, considering the published crystal structure of the SARS-CoV-2 RBD-ACE2 complex, we selected the main residues located on the α-helix 1 of ACE2, contacting RBD, and introduced them into the α-helix of plant and human defensins to investigate the ability of the engineered proteins to bind the RBD of spike protein from SARS-CoV-2.

The use of prokaryotic expressed proteins presents several advantages, including production cost and time efficiency compared to antibodies or eukaryotic proteins. The engineered proteins developed in this study were highly expressed in Escherichia coli, binding SARS-CoV-2 spike protein with low nanomolar $K_d$ values and presenting exceptional thermal stability. In addition, proteins were able to detect HEK-293/SARS-CoV-2 spike expressing cell lines and detected the presence of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies via competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) tests. In summary, we report a novel strategy to generate constrained α-helix SARS-CoV-2 binders stabilized by defensin scaffolds that are promising candidates for COVID-19 diagnostics and viral neutralization.

2 RESULTS

2.1 Design of defensin-based ACE2 mimetics

To produce a potentially low-immunogenic and stable ACE2 mimic, we initially chose a human scaffold (defensin PDB1IRH). Analysis of the complex ACE2-RBD structure using the Pymol software reveals that most RBD contacts involve the surface located ACE2 helix 1 residues L29, D30, K31, N33, H34, E35, E37, D38, and L39. Therefore, we inserted residues D30, K31, H34, E35, E37, D38, and L39 into the human defensin α-helix to produce the engineered protein named h-deface2 (Figure 1, Figure S1). The chosen defensin scaffold contains a α-helix stabilized by two disulfide bonds; therefore, we hypothesized that they would provide a constrained and stable framework to display ACE2 residues responsible for spike RBD binding.

Nonetheless, the human defensin contains a short α-helix that accommodates the insertion of only four α-helix ACE2 residues (Figure 1b). Therefore, we further selected a defensin from the tobacco plant (PDB 6MRY) that contained a more extended α-helical structure,
which accommodated five additional ACE2 residues, intending to produce higher affinity binders (Figure 1c).

Therefore, residues L29, D30, K31, N33, H34, E35, E37, D38, and L39 were introduced into the plant defensin α-helix, and the resulting protein was named p-deface2 (Figure 1). In addition, based on recent studies, claiming increased binding upon ACE2 mutations E35K and K31N,27 we introduced such mutations on p-deface2 to produce a new version of the protein named p-deface2-MUT.

Engineered proteins were initially expressed as Trx-fusion peptides (Figure S1) to assist disulfide bond formation, which led to soluble protein expression of pronounced yield (>50 mg/L) (Figure S2; S2A was created with BioRender.com, and Table S1). To rule out the possibility of Trx-tag interference into the binding, Trx-free proteins were also expressed, purified, and tested accordingly. Both the Trx tagged and untagged proteins exhibited similar binding to the ECD of S-protein, demonstrating that the tag did not interfere with the binding (Figure S3). However, heterologous expression of engineered peptides in the absence of Trx-tag resulted in a substantial yield decrease (<5 mg/L).

### 2.2 Determination of dissociation constant

Biolayer interferometry (BLI) experiments were initially performed using biotinylated ACE2-defensins and SARS-CoV-2 S-protein to investigate the molecular interactions. Biotinylated h-deface2 and p-deface2 were immobilized on streptavidin-conjugated biosensors, and experiments were performed using a gradient of SARS-CoV-2 S-protein. Measured data indicate association and dissociation phases (Figure 2a,b), but curves did not follow a single exponential kinetic behavior. Therefore, kinetic analysis using either 1:1 or 1:2 binding models was not possible using this method, and so were the $K_d$ calculations. We, therefore, calculated the apparent dissociation constants using dose–response curves from ELISA assays and the apparent $K_d$ values were determined using nonlinear regression Michaelis-Menten curve fits (Figure 2c).

Accordingly, calculated apparent $K_d$ values were 54.4 ± 11.3, 33.5 ± 8.2, and 14.4 ± 3.5 nM for h-deface2, p-deface2, and p-deface2-MUT, respectively (Table 1).

To test the binding specificity of the engineered ACE2-defensins, the amino-acid residues D30, K31, E35,
D38, and L39 were mutated to alanine to produce p-deface2 ala mutant, and binding analysis was performed. We found that the alanine mutation disrupted binding to the SARS-CoV-2 S protein, thus reconfirming the importance of these residues for the binding interaction (Figure 3).

We also tested the thermostability of the engineered proteins. For this, we preincubated the purified proteins at specific temperatures and followed the binding using standard ELISA assay. We observed that all three proteins retained binding activity after heating (Figure 4). Additionally, we performed differential scanning fluorimetry (DSF) experiments with h-deface2 (Figure S4) to determine the melting temperature ($T_m$) of the engineered mini-protein. Assays were performed with the protein constructs in the absence of TRX-tag and revealed a $T_m$ of 70.7°C for h-deface2. However, the $T_m$ calculations by DSF were not possible for p-deface2, and p-deface2-MUT, since these proteins lack tyrosine and...
**FIGURE 4** Defensins based-ACE2 mimetics are thermostable. p-deface2 (gray bars), p-deface2-MUT (black bars), and h-deface2 (white bars) were incubated at temperatures ranging from 37 to 95°C for 5 min, and binding against SARS-CoV-2 spike protein was tested. A 50 ng of ECD SARS-CoV-2 Spike was immobilized onto polystyrene ELISA plates following incubation with defensin-ACE2 previously incubated at different temperatures. All measurements were conducted in triplicate ($n = 3$) sets.

**FIGURE 5** Detection of the presence of neutralizing antibodies using defensins based-ACE2 mimetics. (a) Schematic diagram of competitive ELISA to detect SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody. In the presence of: (1) non-neutralizing antibodies the HRP-coupled Spike protein binds to the immobilized p-deface-2. (2) Neutralizing antibodies block the HRP-coupled Spike and p-deface-2 interaction, resulting in decrease of signal in presence of HRP-substrate. The figure was created with BioRender.com. (b) ELISA assay. Biotinylated ACE2 or p-deface2 were immobilized into streptavidin-coated ELISA plates, followed by the addition HRP-coupled Spike protein in the presence of human serum. After incubation, wells were washed and incubated with TMB for 15 min. All measurements were conducted in triplicate ($n = 3$) sets.
tryptophan residues. In addition, DSF assays using extrinsic hydrophobic fluorescence dyes (e.g., Sypro Orange) were not feasible due to the extensive unstructured N-terminal region of the protein that included S-tag and His-tag.

Notably, the proteins also retained their total activity upon lyophilization, followed by reconstitution (Figure S5).

2.3 Diagnostic application of the ACE2-defensins

Next, we investigated the potential application of ACE2-defensins in a diagnostic platform for detecting SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies. For this, we used a Brazilian Health Regulatory Agency (ANVISA)-approved neutralizing antibody test. The assay is based on the principle of competitive ELISA. Briefly, the biotinylated-ACE2 protein is immobilized on the streptavidin-coated microplates that can bind HRP-coupled SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and generate a signal in the presence of HRP-substrate. However, in the presence of SARS-CoV-2 anti-S-protein binding antibodies (neutralizing sera), the availability of HRP-coupled SARS-CoV-2 spike protein to bind the ACE2 protein decreases, thus resulting in a decrease of the measured signal. We modified this assay and replaced the biotinylated-ACE2 with the biotinylated p-deface2 protein (Figure 5). This was followed by adding HRP-coupled SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and the test (neutralizing) or control (non-neutralizing) human serum provided with the kit. The presence of neutralizing antibodies disrupted the interaction between p-deface2 and HRP-coupled SARS-CoV-2 spike protein, thus decreasing the measured signal compared to the control non-neutralizing sera, indicating that biotinylated p-deface2 could be applied in a diagnostic assay platform to screen between positive and negative SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing serum (Figure 5).

2.4 ACE2-mimetics binding to cells expressing SARS-CoV-2 spike proteins

Finally, we wanted to determine whether h-deface2 and p-deface2 could bind the S-protein expressed on the cell surface that might mimic the architecture of the trimeric S-protein displayed on the SARS-CoV-2 surface. Transgenic HEK293 cell lines stably transfected with (a) wild-type (Wuhan-Hu-1) or (b) Delta-variant (B.1.617.2) S-protein expressing plasmids were used for this assay. First, we performed flow-cytometry analysis using these cell lines and soluble ACE2 protein to confirm the expression and cell-surface display of the S-proteins (Figure S6). Following this, we performed titrations using the biotinylated h-deface2 and p-deface2 to determine the ideal concentration of the proteins for the subsequent flow-cytometry experiments. We observed an initial increase in binding, as measured by geometric mean (Geo Mean FL-2-PE) of flow-cytometry histograms for both h-deface2 and p-deface2 with the increase in the concentration of the proteins.

Interestingly, at higher concentrations, h-deface2 and p-deface2 displayed loss of binding to the cells, as evident from the “bell-shaped curve” (Figure S7), suggesting that oligomerization of the proteins at high concentrations might be responsible for removing the engineered proteins from the solution phase. Therefore, based on the results from titration experiments, we selected the protein concentration of 0.1 μg/μl for both h-deface2 and p-deface2 to perform our subsequent assay to test the specificity of the proteins. We observed higher binding of both the h-deface2 and p-deface2 proteins to the spike protein (Wuhan and Delta) expressing HEK293 cells than the control HEK293 cells (Figures S8 and S9 and Figure 6). However, a noticeable background binding of h-deface2 and p-deface2 to the control, HEK293 cells was also observed. Defensins are highly basic proteins, and the interaction of their positively charged amino-acid residues with negatively charged phosphatidyl-lipid moieties on the cell plasma membrane is most likely responsible for this background binding.

3 DISCUSSION

Early studies revealed that soluble ACE2 inhibits SARS-CoV-2 infection, showing that blocking the PPIs between ACE2 and Spike RBD might be a promising strategy for COVID-19 diagnosis and treatment. Since then, many trials using soluble ACE2 variants have been underway. However, although soluble ACE2 is a promising approach, it will be both cost and time prohibitive to mass-produce the protein in a eukaryotic expression system for deploying it in the production of COVID-19 diagnostics or therapeutics. In this respect, peptide-based therapeutics present advantages, including cost and time efficiency in synthesis compared to eukaryotic proteins. Therefore, researchers are focusing on developing minimalist and efficient α-helical mimetic structures suitable for large-scale and cost-effective production. Most rationally designed peptidomimetics are based on ACE2 N-terminal helix 1 since this is the secondary structure that encloses most RBD interactions.

Unconstrained α-helical peptide inhibitors based on ACE2-helix 1 were able to block the interaction between
spike protein and ACE2 with binding affinities at the millimolar range. Linear α-helices are flexible and less structured, frequently leading to lower affinity binders. Therefore, conformationally restricted ACE2 helix1-stapled peptides were produced, but affinity increased only to the micromolar range. Other stapled peptides were created and presented no evidence of viral neutralization, indicating that enhanced binding interactions are required to outcompete all the interactions of membrane-bound ACE2 and the virus spike-protein to prevent viral infection effectively. In this regard, SARS-CoV-2 mini protein inhibitors containing residues 23–46 from helix 1 were also developed presenting dissociation constant at a low nanomolar range. Mini proteins are a diverse group of protein scaffolds characterized by small (1–10 kDa) size, stability, and versatility, being currently used as scaffolds for protein engineering. These SARS-CoV-2 mini protein inhibitors were computer generated and effectively targeted the ACE2–RBD interface; however, as non-natural scaffolds, little is known about their stability or cellular toxicity.

Expanding the portfolio of nontoxic, stable, and inexpensive ACE2 mimetics is crucial for producing SARS-CoV-2 detection probes, detecting neutralizing antibodies, and generating new therapeutic strategies. Therefore, our study intended to create conformationally constrained α-helices structured on a prokaryotically expressed and highly stable tertiary structure to generate potent and stable binders of SARS-CoV-2 spike RBD. We used defensins as scaffolds, which are small and highly stable proteins found in nature. Defensins are efficiently expressed in E. coli, nontoxic for eukaryotes, present exceptional thermostability, and often enclose a disulfide-stabilized α-helix. We reasoned that defensins would provide a well-structured framework to display critical ACE-2 residues on a constrained α-helical structure.

Defensins exhibit antimicrobial properties against pathogenic organisms, including bacteria, fungi, and viruses. Recently, a human beta-defensin expressed in the human respiratory epithelium was shown to bind to the spike RDB and inhibit SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus...
infection on ACE2 expressing HEK 293T cells. Earlier studies also demonstrated the binding of a fungal defensin into the spike RBD from SARS-CoV-2. However, unlike our study, in both these cases, the defensin alpha-helix was not engineered to mimic the ACE2 alpha helix 1, and perhaps for that reason, their binding affinities for SARS-CoV-2 spike protein were observed at micromolar ranges.

The engineered proteins were highly expressed in a bacterial expression system, and purified to homogeneity, demonstrating exceptional thermostability and potent binding against SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. We observed that the apparent dissociation constant for h-deface2 is higher than p-deface2. The reason is likely due to the shortened length of the human defensin α-helix. The use of plant defensin provided a more extended α-helix that accommodated ACE2 residues 29–39, improving binding as observed by a lower apparent Kd value for p-deface2. Based on the three-dimensional structure reported earlier, we noticed that ACE2 displays a palindromic region where Histidine 34 is centered and the pairs L29/D30 and D38/L39 are equidistant located from H34. We reasoned that this palindromic positioning could allow spike docking in both orientations, and therefore we introduced these additional residues into p-deface2.

Furthermore, the addition of K31N and E35K mutations in p-deface2-MUT culminated with improved binding (Kd 14.4 nM). Therefore, the defensin structure was not only able to incorporate the function of the native ACE2 residues for binding, but remarkably it was also able to achieve a gain of function with the same specific mutations discovered in the evolution of ACE2 derivatives, demonstrating that they are versatile scaffolds.

Kd calculations using the BLI assay were not possible since curves did not follow a single exponential behavior, indicating that multiple binding events were likely occurring. Defensins tend to dimerize through their β-sheet face. Additionally, the SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein undergoes oligomerization. It is possible that binding to multimeric structured defensins and spike protein produces a more complex interaction that did not allow proper kinetic analysis through BLI assays.

In addition, the engineered proteins distinguished HEK293/SARS-CoV-2 cell lines from the parental HEK293 control cells at the conditions tested. However, a high background binding was observed, which is likely due to the defensins mode of action that involves an electrostatic interaction with the pathogen cell membrane due to the highly cationic character of defensins. Studies have demonstrated that the binding of defensins to cell membrane phosphatidic acids is part of their mechanism of action. Although it might sound contradictory, cell surface stickiness might be a wanted feature of such scaffolds since their local use into the respiratory tract may be favored by a diminished local clearance. Mutations of the engineered proteins are being studied to evaluate this hypothesis by our group.

In addition to the observed thermostability, the proteins retained total biological activity upon lyophilization and reconstitution, important features considering their potential use as point of care diagnostic reagents.

The initial focus of our study was directed against the SARS-CoV-2 that emerged in 2019, but the current emergence of genetic variants introduces new challenges that need to be addressed. Furthermore, recent data demonstrated that therapeutic intervention based on ACE2 hotspot residues is a promising strategy to hit emerging variants and similar SARS viruses. Accordingly, the engineered defensin-ACE2 peptides demonstrated binding toward the Wuhan and Delta variant SARS-CoV-2.

α-Helices play a significant role in mediating protein–protein interactions in nature, being stabilized via noncovalent interaction within proteins’ tertiary structures. Although chemical biologists frequently use synthetic strategies to stabilize the α-helical conformation of peptides and other strategies involve using mini-proteins as scaffolds to display stable α-helical domains. To our knowledge, defensins have not yet been explored as mini protein scaffolds, and our strategy demonstrated that they might represent a superior strategy for developing constrained alpha-helices mimetic and high-affinity binders for additional targets.

4 MATERIALS AND METHODS

4.1 Material

The extracellular domain of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein (ECD) was purchased from Genescript, USA, Inc. Chemical reagents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Biolisa COVID-19 Anticorpo Neutralizante kit (Ref: K243-1) was kindly provided by Bioclin®.

4.2 Cell Lines overexpressing SARS-CoV-2 spike protein

HEK293 cells overexpressing (a) Wuhan-Hu-1 (D614) (Catalogue code: 293-cov2-sdf) or (b) Delta/B.1.617.2 variant (Catalogue code: 293-SARS2-S-V8-dfur) were purchased from Invivogen. The cells were grown in DMEM media containing 10% fetal bovine serum, and 1% penicillin–streptomycin antibiotic following the standard
tissue culture method. The parental HEK293 cells were used as a control for binding specificity.

4.3 | Rational design of defensin-based mimetics

The ACE2–RBD interface was analyzed using the Protein Data Bank (PDB) annotated structure 2AJF. Analysis of the complex structure reveals that most RBD contacts involve the surface located ACE2 helix 1 residues L29, D30, K31, N33, H34, E35, E37, D38, and L39. Therefore, we positioned the ACE2 Histidine 34 at the center of the human defensin α-helix on its most solvent-accessible face. Additional residues D30, K31, and E35, were modeled into the helix to produce h-deface2.

Likewise, p-deface2 was engineered by positioning H34 from ACE2 at the center of the plant defensin α-helix, then modeling the additional residues (L29, D30, K31, N33, E35, E37, D38, and L39) at the flanking regions of defensin helix, to generate p-deface2. Finally, the hypothetical three-dimensional structure of defensin-based peptides was created using The Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling, prediction, and analysis.26

All genes were purchased from Biomatik Corporation (Ontario, CA) and cloned into pET-32a (+) between the Nco I and Xho I restriction sites. Therefore, all proteins contained a Trx-Tag, followed by a His-tag and an S-tag (Figure S1). Trx-tag was included in the construct to assist disulfide bond formation. Control experiments using proteins lacking Trx-Tag were performed and indicated accordingly (Figure S3).

4.4 | Protein expression and purification

Recombinant pET-32a (+) plasmids were transformed by electroporation into E. coli BL21(DE3) pLysS competent cells (Invitrogen Cat#200132). The transformed cells were plated on Luria-Bertani (LB) agar plates containing 100 µg/ml of ampicillin and 37.5 µg/ml chloramphenicol, and isolated colonies were inoculated into 5 ml LB starter culture containing the same antibiotics and cultured for 12–18 hr at 37°C in a shaking incubator. Next, the starter culture was inoculated (1:100 dilution) into 1,000 ml expression media containing the same antibiotics and incubated at 37°C with shaking until OD reached 0.6 absorbance at 600 nm. Cells were then induced with 0.1 mM IPTG and incubated shaking at 37°C for 7 hr.

For purification, all procedures were performed at 4°C. Cells were collected by centrifugation at 1,000g for 10 min at 4°C and suspended in 20 ml buffer A (50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl) containing 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonfluoride (PMSF) and 10 mg/ml lysozyme. Suspended cells were stirred at room temperature for 30 min, transferred to 50 ml falcon tubes, and sonicated five times for 40 s, each pulse, at high power. Samples were maintained on the ice during the whole procedure and allowed to rest for 5-min intervals between each pulse. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 10,000g for 10 min, and the supernatant was applied into a Ni-NTA Sepharose column previously equilibrated with buffer A.

The weakly bound proteins were removed by washing with buffer B (Tris–HCl 50 mM pH 8.0; 500 mM NaCl; 60 mM imidazole), and proteins were eluted in buffer C (500 mM NaCl, 500 mM Imidazole; 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0). The eluate was dialyzed against PBS pH 7.2 (Dulbecco's phosphate buffered saline; SIGMA), mixed to 50% glycerol, and stored at −20°C. SDS-PAGE determined the purity.

Biotinylated proteins were obtained using Biotin N-hydroxysuccinimide ester reagent (Sigma Aldrich) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

4.5 | Lyophilization and reconstitution

Purified Defensin-ACE2 mimetics were stored in PBS with 4% mannitol (w/v). Samples were frozen at −80°C for 12 hr and dried for 24 hr using a workbench freeze drier (L101-Liobras) with a condenser temperature presented at −51°C and vacuum <55 Hg. The dried samples were tightly capped and stored at 4°C. The lyophilized samples were reconstituted by adding the appropriate amount of ultrapure water. Glycerol was added to 50% final concentration and samples were stored at −20°C.

4.6 | Biolayer interferometry

BLI was performed on an Octet Red96 at 25°C. Binding reactions were performed in 1X kinetic buffer (Sartorius, 18-1105) consisting of 20 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.6, 2 mM KCl, 150 mM NaCl, 0.02% Tween 20, 0.1% BSA, and 0.05% sodium azide. Biotinylated defensin-based mimetics were immobilized on streptavidin-conjugated (SA) Biosensors (Sartorius, 18-5019) by dipping the sensor in 150 nM solution until the signal was saturated (unless otherwise noted in the data). For nonspecific background binding of the S-receptor protein to the SA surface, a negative control sensor for every concentration tested was used to subtract individual background signal intensities. Kinetic experiments were performed separately for proteins to SARS-CoV-2 S-Protein. Experiments were performed using a gradient of concentrations and
vary from experiment to experiment. Kinetic analysis was performed using Octet Data Analysis HT Software Version 12, with either a 1:1 or a 2:1 binding model. All Octet BLI experiments were performed at the Scripps Research Biophysics and Biochemistry Core.

4.7 | ELISA analysis

All ELISA assays were performed in 96-wells high-binding microtiter plates. For direct ELISA assays, wells were coated (18 hr, 4°C) with 25/50 ng of SARS-CoV-2 Spike ECD (Genescript) in 50 μl phosphate buffer saline (PBS) and blocked with 200 μl of 1% BSA in TBST (PBS, 0.05% Tween-20) for 2 hr at 37°C. Next, biotin-coupled defensins were diluted in TBST, 1% BSA and added to the wells to a final volume of 100 μl. Finally, 100 μl of streptavidin-HRP (1:5,000) was added to the wells and incubated for 15 min at room temperature. Plates were washed five times with PBST after each step, except for final washing, which included eight washing steps. The reaction was visualized by adding 50 μl chromogenic substrate (ONE STEP TMB, Scienco Biotech) for 15 min. The reaction was quenched with 50 μl stop solution, and absorbance at 450 nm was measured using an ELISA plate reader.

4.8 | Thermostability assay

Defensin-based ACE-2 mimetics (1 μg) were pre-incubated at 37, 50, 65, 80, or 95°C for 5 min, cooled on ice for additional 5 min and mixed to 90 μl of PBST containing 1% of BSA and incubated for 1 hr against 50 ng of ECD previously immobilized on polystyrene ELISA plates. The wells were washed three times with PBST, followed by the addition of streptavidin-HRP (1:5,000 dil), 15 min incubation at room temperature, additional PBST washing, and finally, color development by the presence of the HRP substrate TMB. The addition of 0.16 M H₂SO₄ quenched reactions.

4.9 | Flow cytometry

Cells were grown to 80% confluency in tissue culture flasks. The adherent cells were then detached from the plates following the standard cell-detachment protocol using 0.05% Trypsin–EDTA (ThermoFisher Scientific, Catalog number: 25300054). Following this, the cells were resuspended in flow cytometry (FCM) buffer (PBS containing 2% FBS and 0.1% sodium azide), and the cell number was estimated using a Vi-CELL XR Cell Viability Analyzer (Beckman Coulter). For the FCM experiments, approximately 1 million cells were incubated in the FCM buffer with biotinylated ACE2-mimetics (h-deface2 and p-deface2) labeled with Streptavidin-phycocerythrin (SA PE, Prozyme) at the indicated protein concentrations (for 1 hr at 4°C in the dark) in a total reaction volume of 100 μl. Propidium iodide (PI) (e Bioscience, Catalog number 00-6990-50) (2 μl) was also added to the reaction to estimate cell viability. The cells were then washed twice with 500 μl FCM buffer, and the cell pellets were resuspended back in 500 μl of FCM buffer for analysis in Becton-Dickinson FACSCalibur. The data were analyzed using FlowJo software (BD Biosciences). For FCM assay using hACE2-Fc (Invivogen, Catalogue code: fc-hace2), 5 μl of the protein was incubated for 1 hr at 4°C with the cells using a similar assay condition described above. The cells were washed once (500 μl FCM buffer) and resuspended in 100 μl of the FCM buffer with 5 μl of the secondary Antibody (PE-anti human IgG Fc Recombinant, Biolegend, Catalogue 366903) and incubated for 30 min at 4°C, in the dark. The cells were finally washed as described before and resuspended in 500 μl FCM buffer for flow-analysis. PI was used for the estimation of cell viability.
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