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Abstract

Resorcin and Rivanol are known as substances with antiseptic properties (bactericidal and/or fungicidal), widely used in the treatment of various skin conditions. Their effect on Prototheca is not known, which justifies research on this topic.

For testing purposes, we have used Resorcin (5% aqueous solution) and Rivanol (solution for external use, 1‰). We have tested 22 strains of P. zopfii, isolated from cows’ milk with mastitis and from manure collecting ditches. The strains were identified based on morphological, cultural and biochemical properties. A reference strain of P. wickerhamii 16529 ATCC was also tested. Verification of the anti-algal effect was determined by the agar diffusion test (for 22 strains), using different amounts of each product (10 μl, 20 μl, and 40 μl), distributed in wells of 6 mm diameter. In 5 strains of P. zopfii and the P. wickerhamii strain, the inhibitory effect was also determined through the liquid dilution method, but also considering contact time. Prototheca strains were grown on broth and glucose agar, but for the assay, suspensions were applied to density 1 according to McFarland standards.

Both tested products had an anti-algae effect, algicidal in the case of Resorcin and algistatic in the case of Rivanol.
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Introduction

Unicellular algae of the genus *Prototheca* are more frequently reported in the production of localized or systemic diseases in humans ([W-S. LEE et al. [21]]; [Z.C. KAMINSKI et al. [16]]; [LASS-FLÖRL, et al. [20]]) and in various species of domestic animals. Of these, the more commonly reported are cows’ mastitis (sometimes endemic outbreaks) ([E.O. COSTA et al. [7]]; [E.O. COSTA et al. [8]]); ([H.E. JENSEN et al. [15]]) and sporadic diseases in dogs ([N. BUYUKMIHICI et al. [3]]; [J.R. Jr. COOK et al. [6]]; [J.R. BLOGG et J.E. SYKES [2]]; [C. SALVADORI et al. [31]] and cats ([W. KAPLAN et al. [17]]; [P.J. COLE et al. [5]]; [J.E. DILLBERGER et al. [10]])). Diseases are also reported in some wild animals: bat ([F. METTLER [24]], snake ([C.G. CRISPENS et al. [9]] and fish ([J.C. GENTLES et al. [12]]); ([G. LOUPAL et al. [22]]; [T. JAGIELSKI et al. [14]]). In animals, illnesses occur in weakened organisms, with different forms of immunodeficiency, chronic diseases or antibiotic abuse. In humans, illnesses are more commonly reported in HIV-infected individuals ([Z.C. KAMINSKI et al. [16]]; [R.H. LAENG et al. [19]] or in the presence of other chronic medical conditions ([K. TAKAKI et al. [33]]; [A.J. MOHABEE et al. [26]]). *Prototheca*, once entered the body, produce local granulomatous lesions, but can spread lymphatically by producing a systemic, or even generalized infection, followed by fatal outcome. Such evolutionary forms have been described in cows ([H. TANIYAMA et al. [34]]), dogs ([D.E. TYLER et al. [35]]; [S.D. GAUNT et al. [11]]; [G.D. IMES et al. [13]]; [N. LIZA SOUZA et al. [32]]) and in humans, after immunosuppressive therapy ([I.D. WOLFE et al. [37]]) or in immunocompromised hosts ([F.A. WIRTH et al. [36]])

Treatment of *Prototheca* infections often encounters difficulties or fail, especially in the disseminated form, and it is found that even if some improvements are made, they are temporary, with infections recurring, and it is justified to state that no effective treatment is known. Therapy does not provide results due to granulomatous lesions in different tissues/organs, and their architecture and normal functioning are seriously altered, requiring the slaughter or euthanasia of diseased animals ([N. BUYUKMIHICI et al. [3]]; [P.J. COLE et al. [5]]; [H. KRUKOWSKI et al. et al. [18]]; [N. BUYUKMIHICI et al. [3]]; [P.J. COLE et al. [5]])

Resorcin is a meta-isomer of benzenediol. The recommended name is Benzene-1,3-diol (by the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry in its Recommendations for the Nomenclature of Organic Chemistry) ([43]). It has astringent, antiseptic, disinfectant and keratolytic action. It is included in the composition of conditioned mixtures in the form of solutions (2.5%), eye drops (1-2%), ointments (10%) as well as various shampoos ([43]). They are recommended for treating medical conditions such as psoriasis, chronic eczema, skin mycoses, acne, wound antisepsis and others ([40]). Resorcin is not absorbed through the skin and produces no side effects. It may be stored in tightly closed containers and away from light ([42]).

Rivanol is part of the group of organic dyes with antiseptic properties. The commercial product that we have used contains 1g of ethacridine lactate/1000 ml of distilled water. It is used as such, undiluted ([38]). In animals, it is recommended for dogs and cats, for the asepsis of wounds, urogenital, oral or conjunctival mucosa, and infected eczema ([38]). It has bactericidal action, especially on Gram-positive bacteria ([39]; [41]). It does not influence the phagocytic leukocyte activity ([39]). Long-term use may delay the forming of scar tissue on the wounds ([38]). It is non-irritating and non-toxic.

The present study aims to test the in vitro efficacy of Resorcin and Rivanol for *Prototheca zopfi* strains isolated from cows’ milk and from manure collection ditches from cattle shelters. The strains have been isolated through the course of many years and are being kept in the Microorganism Collection of the Department of Microbiology of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine from Cluj-Napoca. A collection strain of *Prototheca wickerhamii*; ATCC 16529 was also examined.

Materials and Methods

The *P. zopfi* strains have been identified based on the following features: morphological (ovoid or kidney shape aspect, 20-30 μm in size, highlighting endospores presence, size and layout constituting an important identification criterion); cultural (cultivation on liquid and solid nutritive media with glucose, development at 37°C, the formation of characteristic colonies: 3-4 mm Ø, matte white color, slightly lobed edges and blackberry or cauliflower-like appearance); biochemical (glucose, glycerol and fructose fermentation, variable to other sugars, catalase and oxidase-positive reaction, negative to indole and H2S). In order to test their sensitivity, we used Resorcin (5% aqueous solution, pharmaceutical preparation) and Rivanol (ethacridine lactate) (solution for external use 1% VITALIA SRL Ploiești).

**Determination of inhibitory effect by agar diffusion test.** The antibiogram technique has been used, with the necessary adaptations for testing the products in solution form. For testing, the strains were cultured in glucose broth and incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. From these cultures, inoculations (1 ml culture at density 1 on McFarland scale) were performed in 90 mm diameter Petri dishes (divided into two halves) in which the agar (Nutrient agar from UK company LAB Neogen) was previously poured and glucose (10% solution) was added. The agar layer in the dish was 3 mm thick. Once the inoculum was dispersed (by repeated tilting and rotation of the dishes), excess liquid was removed, then the dishes were placed in the incubator, with the lid ajar, for 30 minutes. Following this step, 6 mm diameter wells were cut into the agar gel, grouped by 3 in each half, in which the following amounts of the tested products were dispensed: 10µl, 20µl, 40µl/well. The dishes were then incubated at 37°C and examined within 24 and 48 hours, assessing the presence or absence of inhibition zones. Where inhibition zones presented, their diameter
was measured (in mm). To check if the inhibitory effect was of algicidal type, inoculations were made in the glucose broth, using surfaces from the inhibition areas (at 40 μl/well).

**Determination of the inhibitory effect by dilution.** For this purpose, two series of tubes were prepared in which 4.5 ml of glucose broth were placed: 5 tubes for Resorcin and 5 tubes for Rivanol; 3 control tubes were added: 1 for Resorcin, 1 for Rivanol and 1 for culture verification. In the first tube of each series, 0.5 ml of each product were added, from which, after homogenization, 0.5 ml were passed successively into the following tubes, eliminating 0.5 ml from tube no. 5. Thus, a dilution of the tested products was obtained at ax 10 rate (10⁻¹, 10⁻², 10⁻³, 10⁻⁴, 10⁻⁵). Subsequently, each tube was inoculated with a fixed amount of 10 μl of the liquid culture of some *Prototheca zopfii* strains and the *Prototheca wickerhamii* strain. In control tubes 1 and 2, 0.5 ml of Resorcin, respectively Rivanol were introduced (for sterility testing), and 0.5 ml of the culture was introduced into tube 3 (to check the development of the culture). All tubes were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Afterwards, glucose agar inoculations were performed from each tube with the dilutions using a single dish for each strain tested, the dish being divided into 5 sections of appropriate triangular shape for each dilution. This was done to check the inhibitory effect. For the control tubes, another Petri dish was used, divided into three triangular sectors. The dishes were incubated at 37°C and interpreted at 24 and 48 hours (but under observation for another 5 days).

**Determining the inhibitory effect at different contact times.** The strains tested were the ones used in the dilution method. We introduced 0.9 ml of Resorcin and Rivanol respectively into 2 tubes (10/110 mm) and 0.1 ml of broth culture of the tested strains (density 1 on the McFarland scale) was added to each tube. Contact times were 5’, 10’, 15’, 30’ and 60’. After the expiration of each contact time, glucose agar inoculations were performed, following the division of the Petri dishes into 5 triangular sectors. Dishes were incubated at 37°C. Interpretation was done at 24 and 48 hours, but the dishes were kept under observation for another 5 days.

**Results and Discussions**

**A.** Using different amounts of Resorcin and Rivanol distributed in wells (10, 20 and 40 μl/well)

When analyzing the areas of inhibition of *P. wickerhamii*, it is observed that it is susceptible to Resorcin, with inhibition zones between 14 and 24 mm, higher at 40 μl/well (Figure 1a); sensitivity was also observed in Rivanol, with smaller inhibition areas, ranging from 10 to 18 mm (Figure 1b). In the case of *P. zopfii* strains, it was found that they were less susceptible to Resorcin, with absent or poorly expressed inhibition zones, sized 10-12 mm, and only at 40 μl/well (Figure 2a); for Rivanol, inhibition zones were found in all amounts deposited in wells with sizes between 10-14 mm, larger and better expressed at 40 μl/well (Figure 2b).

Inoculations from the inhibition areas (at 40 μl/well) allowed us to conclude that the nutritional broth remained sterile in the case of *P. wickerhamii* (algicidal effect) and the culture was developed only in the case of *P. zopfii* (algistatic effect). The same aspect was also found in the nutrient agar.
B. Different dilutions (rate x10) of the two products: $10^{-1}, 10^{-2}, 10^{-3}, 10^{-4}, 10^{-5}$

![Figure 3. Inhibition at dilution of $10^{-1}$](image3)

![Figure 4. Lack of inhibition of all dilutions](image4)

Using these dilutions, there were differences in the inhibitory capacity of the two tested substances, compared to the examined *Prototheca* species.

In the case of *P. wickerhamii*, it was found that Resorcin inhibits its development at $10^{-1}$ dilution, but not at higher dilutions ($10^{-2}$ to $10^{-5}$) (Figure 3). Rivanol did not induce inhibition at any of the dilutions (Figure 4), which is explained by the fact that the product is manufactured at a concentration of 1‰ and recommended to be used as such.

In the case of *P. zopfii* strains (5 strains examined under the same conditions), it was found that no inhibition was observed in either of the dilutions performed for both Resorcin and Rivanol (Figures 5 and 6).

For both products, in dilutions without inhibition, colony development in the triangular areas corresponding to the respective dilutions can be observed for both *P. wickerhamii* and *P. zopfii* dishes. However, a lower density of colonies can be observed at the $10^{-1}$ dilution.

This observation concludes that in order to obtain an inhibitory effect, the two products should be used as such and not diluted. We mention that they are photosensitive products and must be stored under conditions that avoid degradation (dark-colored bottles, in dark areas and at an appropriate temperature).

The control tubes in which Resorcin (tube 1) or Rivanol (tube 2) were inserted remained clear, demonstrating that the two tested products were sterile, also confirmed by inoculation in Petri dishes, which showed no colonies in the corresponding areas.

![Figure 5. Lack of inhibition at all dilutions](image5)

![Figure 6. Lack of inhibition at all dilutions](image6)

The tube for culture development verification (tube 3) showed turbidity and microscopic control (wet smear in Lugol solution) revealed microorganisms with typical morphology for *P. wickerhamii* and *P. zopfii* respectively. Inoculations carried out in glucose agar on Petri dishes, yielded colonies typical of each *Prototheca* species. Aspects of the results obtained in the control dishes for the 3 control tubes are shown in Figures 7 and 8.
C. Aspects of inhibition (at different contact times:
5, 10, 15, 30 and 60 minutes)

The *P. wickerhamii* strain was found to be very susceptible to Resorcin, with inhibition in the first 5 minutes of contact (Figure 9), in contrast with reduced sensitivity to Rivanol, with total inhibition after 30 minutes of contact (Figure 10). In the pictures below we can observe the lack of colonies in the Resorcin dish (at all contact times) and their presence in the Rivanol dish, denser at 5, 10 minutes and rarer at 15 and 30 minutes of contact.

The *P. zopfii* strains were inhibited by Resorcin when contact exceeds 10 minutes (Figure 11), and by Rivanol when it exceeds 30 minutes (Figure 12). The images show the development of colonies in the Resorcin dish at 5 and 10 minutes, and in the Rivanol dish at 5 and 10 minutes, and rare colonies at 15 and 30 minutes of contact.
Resorcin is derived from phenol, so the mechanism of action is integrated into the effects induced by it [42]; [43]. It is mentioned that in small concentrations (0.02-0.1%) it acts as bacteriostatic, and at 5% it is bactericidal by precipitation of proteins. Its antiseptic action is due to the OH group that reacts with the basic groups of proteins, producing colloidal changes [43]. Phenol destroys vegetative forms of microorganisms in 30-90 minutes, Gram-positive germs showing increased sensitivity compared to Gram-negative ones [40]; [43]. The investigations show that Resorcin has an inhibitory effect on algae of the genus *Prototheca*, which is more intense for *P. wickerhamii* strains (more commonly involved in the production of human diseases) and weaker on *P. zopfii* strains (more frequently involved in the production of animal diseases). The fact that there are some morphological differences between the two species that influence the behavior to some substances is also underlined by the different sensitivity to clotrimazole, to which *P. wickerhamii* strains show sensitivity, whilst *P. zopfii* show resistance (M.J. CASAL et al [4]).

Rivanol (ethacridine lactate) is known for its antiseptic action (bactericide) and it is used to render wounds, as well as urogenital, oral or conjunctival mucous membranes aseptic [38, 41]. As for the action mechanism, it is shown that the bactericidal effect is determined by the combination with the nucleic acids of the microbial cells, by inhibiting the synthesis of the ribosomal proteins [38]; [44]. It has a strong antibacterial effect on the surface of the skin, it is non-irritating and non-toxic [39]; [44]. Bactericidal action is more intense on Gram-positive bacteria, especially streptococci and staphylococci [39]. Our research demonstrates that Rivanol exhibits antialgal activity, with an algistatic inhibitory effect, of similar intensity in both *P. wickerhamii* and *P. zopfii*.

Treatment of infections with *Prototheca* species remains a difficult problem. Different studies have proven the efficacy of certain antibiotics (lincomycin forte, neomycin, kanamycin, colistin, gentamycin), antifungals (mycostatin, ketoconazole, econazole, itraconazole, batrafen, amphoterin B (G. RĂPUNTEAN et al [27]), iodine-based products (iodine tincture, betadine, Videne Antiseptic Solution) (S. RĂPUNTEAN et al [28]), copper hydroxyquinoline-based preparations deposited on hydroxyapatite (S. RĂPUNTEAN et al [30]) and guanidine (A.C. ALVES et al [1]). However, *in vivo* results are often unsatisfactory, especially in systemic forms where serious destructive lesions occur and the tissues suffer serious irreversible alterations.

Given the broad spectrum of affected hosts and increasing reports of cases in both animals and humans, it is justified to carry out research to verify the anti-algal efficacy of different substances in order to increase their therapeutic chances. Consideration should be given to the phenomenon of increased risk for public health, due to milk dissemination with high values, which can go up to $10^3$/ml (J.S. MCDONALD et al [23]). In this context, it is shown that in some cattle farms, predominantly in the last 10 years, mastitis with *Prototheca* became an issue of emerging pathology, leading to important economic losses (D. MILANOVO et al [25]); (A.C. ALVES et al [1]). For this reason, some countries have initiated epidemic surveillance programs.

Based on the research results, it can be stated that both Resorcin and Rivanol have an inhibitory effect on both *Prototheca* species, with some differences regarding the size of inhibition zones, product dilution or contact time. Consequently, it can be stated that the two tested products show anti-algal action, beside the known bactericidal and fungicidal properties. This also results in practical application in the treatment of cutaneous protothecosis.
Conclusion

Resorcin exhibits a stronger inhibitory effect on P. wickerhamii (14-24 mm) and lower on P. zopfii (10-18 mm).

Rivanol has an inhibitory effect on both P. wickerhamii and P. zopfii, the inhibition areas being similar in size (10-14 mm).

The inhibitory effect against P. wickerhamii occurs in the first 5 minutes with Resorcin and after 30 minutes with Rivanol, and against P. zopfii after 10 minutes with Resorcin and 30 minutes with Rivanol.

The inhibitory effect is algicidal to P. wickerhamii and algistatic to P. zopfii.
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