Silicon modulates multi-layered defense against powdery mildew in Arabidopsis
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Abstract
Silicon (Si) has been widely employed in agriculture to enhance resistance against pathogens in many crop plants. However, the underlying molecular mechanisms of Si-mediated resistance remain elusive. In this study, the Arabidopsis-powdery mildew pathosystem was employed to investigate possible defense mechanisms of Si-mediated resistance. Because Arabidopsis lacks efficient Si transporters and thus is a low Si-accumulator, two heterologous Si influx transporters (from barley and muskmelon) were individually expressed in wild-type Arabidopsis Col-0 and a panel of mutants defective in different immune signaling pathways. Results from infection tests showed that while very low leaf Si content slightly induced salicylic acid (SA)-dependent resistance, high Si promoted PAD4-dependent but largely EDS1- and SA-independent resistance against the adapted powdery mildew isolate Golovinomyces cichoracearum UCSC1. Intriguingly, our results also showed that high Si could largely reboot non-host resistance in an immune-compromised eds1/pad4/sid2 triple mutant background against a non-adapted powdery mildew isolate G. cichoracearum UMSG1. Taken together, our results suggest that assimilated Si modulates distinct, multi-layered defense mechanisms to enhance plant resistance against adapted and non-adapted powdery mildew pathogens, possibly via synergistic interaction with defense-induced callose.
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Background
Silicon (Si) is the second most abundant element on the earth. Although it is not considered to be an essential element for plant growth, Si has long been recognized as a “beneficial” or “quasi-essential” substance to plants, mainly due to its important role in plant nutrition, particularly under stressful conditions. Over the past 25 years, a plethora of studies with >800 publications have collectively shown that Si can effectively protect plants from abiotic stresses, including drought, salinity and metals toxicity, as well as biotic stresses caused by insect herbivores or various pathogens ranging from viruses, bacteria, fungi, oomycetes, to nematodes (Van Bockhaven et al. 2012; Coskun et al. 2019). However, despite the extensive studies, the exact molecular mechanisms underlying the protective roles of Si in plants still remain elusive (Coskun et al. 2019). Conceivably, this knowledge gap limits the exploitation of the full potential concerning practical application of Si in agriculture. It has been demonstrated that Si needs to be absorbed by plants through passive channel-type, selective Si transporters to realize its prophylactic effect (Ma 2010). Intriguingly, Si accumulation varies over 100-fold in different plant species, ranging from 0.1 to 10% in dry weight (Epstein 1994). Based on the levels of Si accumulation, plants are divided into three categories: high Si (or active) accumulator, intermediate Si (or passive) accumulator and low Si (rejective) accumulator (Takahashi et al. 1990). Understandably, most studies on Si transport and its physiological roles in plants have been conducted with high accumulators, and to a lesser extent,
intermediate accumulators. The ability of a particular plant for the accumulation of Si is determined by the transport efficiency of its Si influx transporters. The first identified Si influx transporter (OsLsi1) in higher plants was found in rice in 2006 (Ma et al. 2006). Since then, many Si transporters homologous to OsLsi1 have been identified and characterized in different plant species, such as barley (HvLsi1) (Chiba et al. 2009), wheat (TaLsi1) (Montpetit et al. 2012), pumpkin (CmLsi1) (Mitani et al. 2011). By contrast, no similar Si transporter has been identified in Arabidopsis, agreeing with its classification as a low Si accumulator.

Mechanistic studies on how Si exerts a prophylactic effect on plants using high or intermediate Si accumulators have been focused on the aspect of disease resistance. Based on published data generated with distinct pathosystems, two types of mechanisms have been proposed to explain how Si might act in plant cells. The first type conforms to the “apoplastic obstruction hypothesis” recently proposed by Coskun and colleagues based on many observations that Si is deposited on the plant cell surface or in the apoplastic space whereby it strengthens physical barriers that can limit entry of pathogens and/or delivery of pathogen molecules (Coskun et al. 2019). For example, Si can accumulate and deposit beneath the cuticle of rice leaves to reduce penetration of rice blast Magnaporthe grisea (Yoshida 1965) and Si can also polymerize in specialized cells and cellular structures of some species (particularly grasses), such as leaf silica and long cells, and spikelet hairs and papillae to strengthen the physical barriers to prevent pathogen entry (Rafi et al. 1997). For the second type of mechanism, some studies have suggested that Si may be able to potentiate a plant defense program(s), which enables more sensitive and rapid response of plants to pathogen attack (Van Bockhaven et al. 2012). Inducible plant defense mechanisms have been extensively studied in the field of molecular plant-microbe interaction and known to engage a conserved and complex network of signal transduction pathways that may involve activation of mitogen-activated protein kinases, and/or production and signaling of small-molecule phytohormones such as salicylic acid (SA), jasmonic acid (JA), and/or ethylene (ET) (Pieterse et al. 2009). If these molecular and biochemical events are “primed” to a more ready-state by Si, more effective chemical defense ensues. For example, Si application was reported to reprogram gene transcription in uninoculated rice plants (Van Bockhaven et al. 2012) and may modulate the rice ET pathway to induce resistance to the brown spot fungus Cochliobolus miyabeanus, which is a necrotrophic pathogen (Van Bockhaven et al. 2015). However, in many cases, Si supplementation had little, if any, effect on gene expression of plants (Fau teux et al. 2006; Brunings et al. 2009; Chain et al. 2009).

The role of Si in promoting disease resistance is particularly evident in the case of powdery mildew as shown in cucumber, muskmelon, and zucchini squash, barley, and rose (Menzies et al. 1992; Wiese et al. 2005; Shetty et al. 2012). In mechanistic studies concerning Si-mediated resistance to powdery mildew, Si has been shown to strengthen papilla-mediated inhibition of apressorial penetration in wheat (Bélanger et al. 2003), and it has also been reported to promote the accumulation of antifungal flavonoid phytoalexins in rose (Shetty et al. 2011). Thus, Si appears to promote both physical and chemical barriers against powdery mildew (and possibly other) pathogens. However, the genetic and molecular basis of Si’s action largely remained unexplored until a recent genetic study in which transgenic Arabidopsis expressing a heterologous Si transporter was exploited to address this question. Vivancos et al. (2015) expressed the wheat Si transporter (TaLsi1) in Arabidopsis wild-type Col-0 and mutants that are defective in SA-signaling (due to the loss of PAD4) or biosynthesis (due to the loss of SID2). They showed that TaLsi1-expressing Col-0 plants were able to accumulate high levels of Si and hence exhibited enhanced resistance to powdery mildew. Interestingly, they found that pad4 and sid2 mutant plants transgenic for TaLsi1 also showed similar levels of resistance when Si was supplied. These results suggested that Si, when above a threshold level, is able to activate disease resistance via an unknown mechanism(s) that is largely independent of SA signaling (Vivancos et al. 2015).

In this study, we employed the Arabidopsis-powdery mildew pathosystem to further investigate the molecular mechanisms of Si-mediated disease resistance in plants. Our results present genetic evidence for the role of Si in multi-layered plant defense mechanisms, which should help clarify the current confusion and controversy over the molecular mechanisms underlying Si’s positive role in plant disease resistance against fungal pathogens.

Results
Plants with either very low or very high endogenous Si display enhanced resistance against powdery mildew
In our pilot experiment, we surprisingly found that Arabidopsis thaliana wild-type Col-0 plants grown in regular soil matrix (Sun Gro Horticulture, Massachusetts) irrigated with deionized water without Si became slightly less susceptible to an adapted powdery mildew isolate Golovinomyces cichoracearum (Gc) UCSC1 compared to those grown in the same soil irrigated with 1.7 mM silicon or with tap water (Additional file 1: Figure S1). Measurement of leaf Si content showed that plants irrigated with deionized water only had lower levels of Si than those irrigated with deionized water +Si or with tap water (which contains ~ 8 mg/L Si, Additional file 1: Figure S2a), and there was no significant difference between the latter two (Additional file 1: Figure S2b). These preliminary results suggested that leaf Si content below a certain threshold level may enhance basal resistance in Arabidopsis and that cautions are needed when selecting soil and water for Si-related
experiments. Therefore, to reliably assess the role of Si in modulating plant defense mechanisms, we decided to use perlite which contains the least amount of Si available to plants compared to three other types soil media (Additional file 1: Figure S2c) to grow Arabidopsis plants for a more accurate supply of Si dissolved in deionized water in all of our later experiments. We first tested eight-week-old wild-type Col-0 plants with Gc UCSC1. As expected, we found that Col-0 plants irrigated with the nutrient solution without Si (−Si) showed enhanced disease resistance, which was discernable by the naked eye when compared with plants irrigated with the same nutrient solution plus 1.0 mM Si (+Si) (Fig. 1a). Quantification of fungal spore production at 10 days post-inoculation (dpi) showed that plants of Col-0/−Si produced ~30% fewer spores than plants of Col-0/+Si (Fig. 1b). Leaf Si content in Col-0/−Si plants was 1.60 mg/g dry leaf tissue, whereas Col-0/+Si plants had slightly but significantly higher leaf Si content (2.38 mg/g; ~48% increase) (Fig. 1c). These results reinforce the notion that Arabidopsis was a low Si-accumulator with poor Si absorption (Vivancos et al. 2015) and suggest that very low endogenous Si may prime defense activation in Arabidopsis against powdery mildew pathogens.

In order to evaluate the impact of higher levels of assimilated Si in plant defense using Arabidopsis, we generated Col-0 lines expressing the barley (Hordeum vulgare) Si influx transporter-encoding gene HvLsi1 (Chiba et al. 2009) or the muskmelon (Cucumis melo) Si influx transporter-encoding gene CmeLsi1 (which was amplified from the genomic DNA of muskmelon based on sequence homology to the Cucurbita moschata Si influx transporter gene CmLsi1) (Mitani et al. 2011). As expected, all transgenic lines supplemented with Si showed high Si content. For example, CmeLsi1-expressing Col-0 plants of a representative T3 homozygous line treated with exogenous Si increased leaf Si content by ~280% (5.52 ± 0.43 mg/g) compared with untreated control plants of the same transgenic line (Fig. 2a). Interestingly, HvLsi1, when expressed in Col-0, appeared to have a stronger Si absorbing capability than CmeLsi1, because leaf Si content in plants of one representative Col-0 transgenic line expressing HvLsi1 increased by ~55% compared with untreated Col-0 even without

---

**Fig. 1** Arabidopsis plants containing very low leaf Si exhibit enhanced basal resistance against an adapted powdery mildew pathogen. **a** Infection phenotypes of representative Arabidopsis Col-0 plants grown in perlite supplemented with 0 mM (−Si) or 1.0 mM (+Si) silicon (See Methods for details). Seven-week-old plants were inoculated with G. cichoracearum (Gc) UCSC1. Photos were taken at 10 dpi. **b** Quantification of spore production in the infected leaves of the plants in (a) at 10 dpi. **c** Silicon content in leaves of Col-0 plants grown under conditions indicated in (a) before fungal infection. FW, fresh weight; DW, dry weight; Error bar indicates SE based on 4 replicates, and asterisks denote significant difference (Student t-test, *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001).
exogenous Si application and further increased by ~318% (10.38 ± 0.22 mg/g) in plants supplied with Si, resulting in Si accumulation of more than 1% of the total dry weight (Fig. 2a). Similar patterns were seen in other genetic backgrounds (Additional file 1: Figure S3).

We then tested plants of these transgenic lines grown in perlite supplied with Si (1.0 mM) or without Si with Gc UCSC1. Visual disease scoring and spore quantification showed that both HvLsi1- and CmeLsi1-transgenic plants with Si supplement displayed remarkable enhanced resistance in comparison with plants of the respective transgenic lines without Si supplement (Fig. 2c). Interestingly, HvLsi1-Col-0/−Si plants (with a leaf Si content of 2.49 ± 0.34 mg/g) showed a similar level of disease susceptibility as Col-0/+Si plants (with a similar leaf Si content of 2.38 ± 0.05 mg/g) (Fig. 1a and Fig. 2c), whereas CmeLsi1-Col-0/−Si plants (with a leaf Si content of 1.45 ± 0.10 mg/g) showed reduced susceptibility similar to the level seen in Col-0/−Si plants (with a leaf Si content of 1.60 ± 0.04 mg/g) (Fig. 1a and Fig. 2c). Quantification of fungal spore production fully supported the visual phenotypes (Fig. 2b).

Very low Si-conditioned resistance requires SA-pathway components EDS1, PAD4 and SID2

H₂O₂ production and accumulation in mildew-invaded epidermal cells correlate with known powdery mildew resistance mechanisms in Arabidopsis (Xiao et al. 2003) and other plants (Wei et al. 1998). To understand why plants with very low Si content are more resistant to powdery mildew, we first performed DAB (3,3′-Diaminobenzidine) staining of infected leaves of Col-0 for in situ detection of H₂O₂ accumulation. We found that Col-0/−Si plants showed more frequent H₂O₂ accumulation in powdery mildew-invaded epidermal cells (~15%) in comparison with Col-0/+Si plants (~5%) (Fig. 3a), which likely contributed to the enhanced resistance in Col-0/−Si plants.

Next, to investigate the possible defense signaling pathway(s) engaged for the H₂O₂ production and resistance to powdery mildew due to very low Si content in Col-0/−Si plants, we prepared plants of eds1, pad4, sid2, coi1, ein2 single and eds1pad4 double, and eds1pad4sid2 triple Arabidopsis mutants that are defective in SA-dependent (EDS1, PAD4 and SID2), jasmonic acid (JA)-dependent (COI1) and ethylene-dependent (EIN2) signaling pathways in perlite supplemented with or without Si. Infection tests with Gc UCSC1 revealed that plants of coi1/−Si and ein2/−Si showed slightly enhanced resistance in comparison with their respective +Si plants, similar to the situation of Col-0/−Si vs. Col-0/+Si (Fig. 3b). By contrast, plants of the remaining genotypes that are defective in SA signaling or biosynthesis displayed no significant difference between −Si and + Si-treated plants (Fig. 3b). Quantification of fungal spore production supported the visual phenotypes (Fig. 3c). DAB staining showed that those mutants defective in
SA-signaling or biosynthesis (as represented by pad4) showed no H$_2$O$_2$ accumulation in powdery mildew-invaded epidermal cells of either −Si or +Si-treated plants (Fig. 3a).

To further determine if very low leaf Si content somehow constitutively activates an SA-dependent defense mechanism, we also measured leaf SA and JA levels of the Col-0 plants before and after infection. We found that Col-0/−Si plants indeed had slightly but significantly higher total SA levels at 0 and 3 dpi compared to Col-0/+Si plants (Fig. 3d), whereas there was no significant difference in JA levels between Col-0/−Si and Col-0/+Si plants (Fig. 3e). Thus, together our data suggest that very low leaf Si content ectopically activates SA-dependent basal defense against powdery mildew.

**High Si-conditioned resistance is largely SA-independent but PAD4-dependent**

To investigate if high Si-conditioned stronger resistance has the same or a distinct mechanistic basis, we first wondered if the enhanced resistance in transgenic plants of CmeLsi1-Col-0/+Si and HvLsi1-Col-0/+Si is also associated with
H₂O₂ production. DAB staining detected H₂O₂ production in sporadic mesophyll cells unrelated to fungal infection and occasionally in powdery mildew-infected epidermal cells of these plants (Fig. 4a, b). However, little H₂O₂ production was detectable in plants of the CmeLsi1-Col-0/−Si and HvLsi1-Col-0/−Si lines and these plants supported larger and more advanced mycelial networks at 5 dpi compared to their +Si-treated counterparts (Fig. 4c, d). These observations suggest that very high Si content may trigger H₂O₂ production in mesophyll cells and potentiate H₂O₂ production in powdery mildew-invaded epidermal cells.

Next, we introduced the same DNA constructs for expression of the two heterologous Si transporters into seven single Arabidopsis mutants (i.e. eds1, pad4, sid2, coi1, ein2), one double (eds1pad4) and one triple (eds1pad4sid2) mutant. Measurement of Si content showed that expression of HvLsi1 or CmeLsi1 resulted in elevation of leaf Si content in the backgrounds of all of these mutants (Additional file 1: Figure S3), similar to that in Col-0 (Fig. 2a), indicating that none of these immunity-related mutations interferes with Si uptake. We then grew plants of the above described representative transgenic lines under −Si or +Si conditions for seven weeks and then inoculated them with Gc UCSC1 and assessed their disease reaction phenotypes. As shown in Fig. 5a, b, all transgenic lines without Si supplement exhibited disease susceptibility phenotypes as expected based on their genotypes (i.e. those SA-pathway defective mutants were more susceptible than Col-0 and those defective in JA or ET pathways). Strikingly, for the transgenic plants supplemented with Si, only plants of those genotypes that contain the pad4 mutation, i.e. pad4, eds1pad4 and eds1pad4sid2 did not show enhanced disease resistance relative to their counterparts without Si supplement (Fig. 5a-d). These results indicate that high Si content-mediated resistance is EDS1-, SA- and JA/ET-independent but PAD4-dependent.

To evaluate the molecular attributes of the PAD4-dependent mechanism activated by high Si content, we first used qRT-PCR to measure the expression levels of PRI in plants of HvLsi1-Col-0 plants with or without Si treatment at 0, 3, 5 dpi with Gc UCSC1. We found that PRI in HvLsi1-Col-0/+Si plants was highly expressed before powdery mildew infection (0 dpi) and had no or only slight increase at 3 or 5 dpi (Additional file 1: Figure S4). This observation is in agreement with H₂O₂ production independent of powdery mildew infection in HvLsi1-Col-0/+Si plants (Fig. 4d). Next, we examined if high leaf Si content can increase PAD4 expression. Interestingly, we
found that although PAD4 was induced to higher levels by powdery mildew infection at 3 and 5 dpi, no significant difference was detected between –Si and + Si plants (Additional file 1: Figure S4). This result suggests that high-level Si may not impact transcription of PAD4 but rather augment certain functionality of PAD4 via an unknown post-transcriptional mechanism, thereby activating this defense pathway. Not surprisingly, we detected no significant difference in PDF1.2 expression between –Si and + Si plants before and after powdery mildew infection (Additional file 1: Figure S4), which was consistent with the observation that no significant phenotypic difference was found between transgenic lines of Col-0 and those of coi1 (Fig. 5). We also measured PMR4 expression under different Si conditions given that the callose formation and deposition may coordinate with biological silicification in Arabidopsis (Brugière and Exley 2017). The result showed that Si content had little impact on PMR4 expression.
To further test if the PAD4-dependent, high Si-conditioned resistance is influenced by SA or JA biosynthesis, we also measured the total SA, free SA and JA levels in the transgenic –Si and + Si plants and found that levels of total SA were significantly higher in HvLsi1-Col-0/+Si plants compared to the plants of the same line with –Si treatment before and after powdery mildew infection (Additional file 1: Figure S5). As expected, the levels of total SA and free SA were significantly lower in transgenic eds1, pad4, and particularly sid2 lines in comparison with those of transgenic Col-0 under either –Si or + Si conditions (Additional file 1: Figure S5). Interestingly, compared with the respective –Si plants, JA levels were significantly higher in HvLsi1-Col-0/+Si plants before and after powdery mildew infection, so were the total SA and JA levels in HvLsi1-eds1+/+Si, HvLsi1-pad4+/+Si, and HvLsi1-sid2+/+Si plants in general (except in the case of total SA in the sid2 background) (Additional file 1: Figure S5). However, the lack of correlation between either total SA or JA levels and high Si-mediates resistance suggests that increased SA or JA levels cannot explain PAD4-dependent, high Si-mediated resistance in Arabidopsis.

Elevated Si can largely restore resistance to a non-adapted powdery mildew in an immunocompromised triple mutant

G. cichoracearum (Gc) UMSG1 infects sow thistle (Sonchus oleraceus) but fails to reproduce on 25 tested Arabidopsis accessions including Col-0, even though it has largely overcome penetration resistance of Arabidopsis (Wen et al. 2010). Thus, by definition, Gc UMSG1 is still a non-adapted powdery mildew pathogen of Arabidopsis. However, the triple mutant eds1pad4sid2 (in the background of Col-0) is nearly fully susceptible to Gc UMSG1, indicating breakdown of non-host resistance of Col-0 (Zhang et al. 2018). To test if increased Si content can compensate the loss of the non-host resistance, we inoculated plants of HvLsi1-eds1pad4sid2 and CmeLsi1-eds1pad4sid2 with or without Si supplement with Gc UMSG1. Visual examination of the infection phenotypes at 10 dpi showed that while there was no obvious difference between –Si and + Si plants of eds1pad4sid2, there was remarkably increased resistance in +Si plants but not seen in –Si plants of the same HvLsi1- or CmeLsi1-transgenic lines (Fig. 6a). Spore counting showed that there was a ~17-fold reduction in sporulation in infected plants of
the same transgenic lines treated with Si versus those without Si (Fig. 6b). These results indicate that high leaf Si content can largely compensate the loss of EDS1, PAD4 and SID2 and reboot resistance to a non-adapted powdery mildew pathogen via an EDS1-, PAD4- and SID2-independent mechanism.

_Gc_ UMSG1 is arrested after its penetration of the cell wall in leaves of Col-0 (Wen et al. 2010). To find out at what stage _Gc_ UMSG1 was arrested in plants of _HvLsi1- or CmeLsi1- eds1pad4sid2/+Si lines, we examined fungal growth in the leaves of the same transgenic lines under –Si or +Si conditions at 2 dpi and 5 dpi using Trypan Blue staining. We found that appressorial penetration of sporelings was almost completely restricted in +Si transgenic plants at 2 dpi (Fig. 6c), with only rare exceptions (~1/20 sporelings) where the sporelings further differentiated limited hyphae at 5 dpi (Additional file 1: Figure S6), some of which eventually continued to grow into sporadic colonies with sporulation at 10 dpi (Fig. 6a). These observations suggest that high Si content can largely restore the penetration resistance lost in the immunocompromised Arabidopsis mutant plants against the non-adapted _Gc_ UMSG1 isolate. This resistance mechanism is obviously different from that of the PAD4-dependent resistance mediated by high Si against the well-adapted _Gc_ UCSC1 isolate where no gross difference in hyphal growth of sporelings at 2 dpi was observed between –Si and + Si plants (Additional file 1: Figure S7).

**Discussion**

Si has long been known to increase disease resistance in plants. The suppressive effect of Si on powdery mildew was first reported in 1983 (Miyake and Takahashi 1983). However, the molecular mechanisms underlying Si-mediated resistance remain largely elusive and even controversial till today. In this study, by using the Arabidopsis-powdery mildew pathosystem, we collected genetic evidence to demonstrate that Si affects different layers of plant defense in a dosage-dependent manner, providing novel mechanistic insights into Si's prophylactic role in plants against fungal pathogens.

A few previous studies on how Si might affect basal resistance to adapted powdery mildew using Arabidopsis Col-0 transgenic lines did not generate consistent results (Ghanmi et al. 2004; Fauteux et al. 2006; Vivancos et al. 2015). One contributing factor might be that different types or even batches of commercial soil for growing Arabidopsis may vary in Si content. This prompted us to use perlite as soil medium (Xiao et al. 2003) in this study to enable tighter control of Si supplement, which led to our observation that Col-0 plants with a very low leaf Si content (<2.0 mg/g) displayed slightly but visually discernable and statistically significant enhanced resistance against the adapted powdery mildew _Gc_ UCSC1 (Fig. 1).

The resistance was found to be associated with H$_2$O$_2$ accumulation in the invaded cells and require intact SA-signaling (Fig. 3). Interestingly, a previous study also showed that oat plants deprived for Si exhibited higher phenylalanine ammonia lyase activity compared to those with normal Si supply, which led to a speculation that lack of Si may activate a compensatory mechanism resulting in better resistance to powdery mildew penetration resistance (Carver et al. 1998). Hence, we speculate that Si content below a certain threshold level (<2.0 mg/g for Arabidopsis leaves) may produce a warning signal in plant cells to activate and/or potentiate SA signaling. Considering the positive role of high Si in plant resistance against powdery mildew pathogens based on data from this and other studies (Bélanger et al. 2003; Vivancos et al. 2015), the Si dosage-impact characteristics we observed is reminiscent of the case concerning PMR4-dependent callose: Whereas deposition of callose can strengthen the papilla as a physical barrier and more extensive and faster deposition of callose by overexpression of the responsible callose synthase PMR4 confers complete resistance to powdery mildew (Ellinger et al. 2013), loss of PMR4 also results in enhanced resistance to powdery mildew (Nishimura et al. 2003). Such functional similarity between Si and callose may imply mechanistic connection between them. We thus checked if callose deposition is affected in powdery mildew-infected Col-0/Si plants. Interestingly, we found that very low Si appeared to increase callose deposition to the papilla (Additional file 1: Figure S8), possibly as an indirect consequence of enhanced SA-dependent defenses in Col-0/Si plants.

Vivancos and colleagues used Arabidopsis Col-0 transgenic for wheat Si influx transporter (TaLsi1) to demonstrate that high Si absorbed by Arabidopsis can increase resistance to an adapted powdery mildew isolate (Vivancos et al. 2015). However, they showed that the high Si-enhanced resistance was not affected by the loss of PAD4 or SID2, which differs from our result that high Si-mediated resistance is PAD4-dependent (Fig. 3). Our conclusion was inferred from the infection phenotypes of wild-type, single, double and triple pad4-containing transgenic lines expressing one of the two selected heterologous Si transporters using very even conidia inoculation (see Methods for details). It is possible that the discrepancy concerning PAD4 might have been caused by the differences in powdery mildew inoculation and/or growth conditions. Nevertheless, our results corroborated with their conclusion that high Si enhances SA-independent resistance to powdery mildew. The PAD4-dependence of high Si-mediated resistance is particularly interesting, because it suggests that high Si may activate or potentiate an intracellular defense mechanism beyond apoplastic obstruction (Coskun et al. 2019). Moreover, it also suggests that
this PAD4-dependent mechanism is distinct from that activated by PAD4 and its partner EDS1 in SA-dependent resistance against biotrophic and hemibiotrophic pathogens (Falk et al. 1999; Jirage et al. 1999; Feys et al. 2001). Thus, our results resemble the earlier finding that PAD4, but not EDS1, contributes to resistance of Arabidopsis to green peach aphids (GPA) (Pegadaraju et al. 2007). PAD4 has also been shown to be required for the enhanced resistance to GPA in two Arabidopsis mutants exhibiting heightened resistance against biotrophic pathogens (Louis et al. 2010; Louis et al. 2012; Lei et al. 2014). Given that Si has also been shown to increase resistance to piercing and sucking (phloem-feeding) insects including aphids (Reynolds et al. 2009), one may speculate that PAD4 also plays a similar role in Si-heightened resistance against insects. How PAD4 contributes to resistance (exerted by high Si) against pathogens and GPA (and potentially other insects when plants are supplemented with Si) via a largely SA-independent pathway is currently unknown. There are reports that implicate JA-dependent defense in Si-mediated resistance to pathogens (Ghareeb et al. 2012) and insects (Ye et al. 2013). In this study, we did find higher JA levels in +Si plants; however, we cannot establish a role of JA-signaling in high Si-mediated resistance because JA-signaling deficient coil1 mutant plants transgenic for either of the two heterologous Si transporter genes under +Si conditions also showed resistance (Fig. 5). Similar results were reported for Si-induced brown spot resistance (Van Bockhaven et al. 2015). The increased JA levels in all HvLsi1-transgenic Col-0/+Si plants may be associated with high Si-caused toxicity manifested as whitish spots in Arabidopsis leaves (Additional file 1: Figure S9), which apparently did not affect powdery mildew infection as pad4-containing transgenic plants supplemented with high Si were very susceptible despite having similar whitish spots (Fig. 5). Such toxicity was also observed when TaLsi1 and OsLsi1 were expressed in Arabidopsis using the 3SS promoter but was largely absent when a root specific promoter was used (Montpetit et al. 2012).

Apart for the unexpected finding with regard to PAD4-dependent Si-mediated resistance, another surprising observation from this study is the high Si-mediated restoration of penetration resistance in the eds1pad4sid2 triple mutant background to Gc UMSG1 (Fig. 6). This finding has several implications: First, Si may play an important role in blocking the entry of numerous non-adapted and probably poorly-adapted cell-wall penetrating fungal pathogens and perhaps insects. Such a role cannot be easily revealed unless an artificial pathosystem such as eds1pad4sid2-Gc UMSG1 is used, thus demonstrating the power of such a system.

Second, that Si-mediated penetration resistance against non-adapted powdery mildew pathogens can occur in the absence of EDS1, PAD4 and SID2 implies that Si deposition to the cell wall and papillae is probably a mechanical process independent of the immunity status of the plant. Third, adapted powdery mildew, by definition, can largely overcome this physical barrier.

In summary, results from this study implicated Si in three unexpected and distinct defense mechanisms that lead to enhanced resistance to powdery mildew: (1) very low Si-triggered SA-dependent defense; (2) high Si-mediated PAD4-dependent defense; and (3) high Si-enhanced penetration resistance. How to make sense of these seemingly distinct mechanisms? Information from previous reports (see below) and this study as a whole appears to support the “Si-callose synergy theory” (Brugiére and Exley 2017) which may offer a plausible explanation for our observations. First, Si is deposited to callose-rich papillae thereby playing a positive role in restricting cell-wall penetrating fungal pathogens such as powdery mildew (Carver et al. 1998; Bélanger et al. 2003; Shetty et al. 2012). Second, cell wall silicification appears to require callose and may be initiated by callose (Brugiére and Exley 2017; Kulich et al. 2018). Third, either very low Si (Fig. 1) or lack of callose (due to loss of PMR4/GSL5) (Nishimura et al. 2003) triggers SA-dependent defense. Fourth, either high Si (Fig. 3) or overexpression of PMR4/GSL5 (Ellinger et al. 2013) confers resistance to powdery mildew. Lastly, PAD4-dependent resistance to aphids is also associated with increased callose deposition (Rashid et al. 2017), similar to Si-mediated resistance to insects (Yang et al. 2018) or even nematodes (Zhan et al. 2018), despite that in the latter cases whether resistance is PAD4-dependent remains to be tested. Therefore, it is possible that PAD4 (but not EDS1) may play a critical role in the deposition of a basal level of PMR4-dependent callose to papillae, thus explaining the PAD4-dependence of high Si-mediated resistance against powdery mildew pathogens. Combining all the above information, we developed a schematic diagram to summarize our genetic data on Si and hypothesize that deposition of Si, along with callose, to the papilla in plant cells enhances defense against powdery mildew infection in three different scenarios (Fig. 7). Future research is needed to investigate whether and how Si and callose may synergize with each other to fortify cell wall-based defense against fungal invasion, how exactly PAD4 regulates this defense mechanism, whether Si-mediated resistance to insects also requires a PAD4-regulatory node, and whether this mechanistic model is also applicable to medium- and high-Si-accumulating plants.
Conclusions

Through the use of Arabidopsis genetic mutants, transgenic expression of heterologous Si transporters and a more stringent control of Si supply, we found that Arabidopsis plants containing either very low or very high Si content displayed enhanced resistance to powdery mildew. Interestingly, we found that whereas very low Si-induced resistance is SA-dependent, high Si-mediated resistance is indeed largely independent of SA signaling but surprisingly requires PAD4. Moreover, we also found that high Si can effectively reboot penetration resistance in a SA signaling-defective and PAD4-ablated Arabidopsis mutant that is otherwise fully susceptible to a non-adapted powdery mildew isolate. Thus, our results present genetic evidence for the role of Si in multi-layered plant defense mechanisms, which should help clarify the current confusion and controversy over the molecular mechanisms underlying Si’s positive role in plant disease resistance against fungal pathogens.

Methods

Plant lines and growth conditions

All mutants used in this study were in the Arabidopsis thaliana accession Col-0 background. Mutants eds1 (eds1–2), pad4 (pad4–1), sid2 (sid2–2), eds1pad4 (eds1–2pad4–1), eds1pad4sid2 (eds1–2pad4–1sid2–2), coi1 (coi1–1) have been described previously by Zhang et al. (2018). Mutant ein2 (ein2–1) has been described by Guzman and Ecker (1990). Seeds were sown in Propagation Mix (Sun Gro Horticulture, Massachusetts) and
cold treated (4 °C for 2 days), and seedlings were grown under 22 °C, 65% relative humidity, short day (10 h light at 125 μmol/m²/s, 14 h dark). For Si experiments, 12-day-old seedlings grown in regular soil (Propagation Mix) were transplanted into pots containing horticultural perlite (Whittemore). Plants were irrigated with nutrient solution prepared with deionized pure water. The nutrient solution was prepared based on Boursiac et al. (2010) with some modifications (pH 5.8: 1.5 mM Ca (NO₃)₂·4H₂O, 1.25 mM KNO₃, 0.5 mM KH₂PO₄, 0.75 mM MgSO₄·7H₂O, 0.046 mM H₂BO₃, 9.55 μM MnSO₄·4H₂O, 0.77 μM ZnSO₄·7H₂O, 0.32 μM CuSO₄·5H₂O, 0.016 μM (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄·4H₂O, 0.054 mM EDTA-bisodium salt). When plants were 6 weeks old, half of them were irrigated with nutrient solution containing 1.0 mM Na₂SiO₃·9H₂O (+Si), the other half were irrigated with nutrient solution containing 1.0 mM Na₂SO₄ (−Si).

### DNA constructs and generation of transgenic lines

To make Arabidopsis absorb more Si from soil medium, we generated stable transgenic lines of Col-0 wild-type and various mutants that express HvLsi1 (a barley Si transporter gene; GenBank accession LOC103487002) or CmeLsi1(a muskmelon Si transporter gene; GenBank accession LOC103487002) from the 35S promoter. Briefly, total RNA was extracted from roots of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) or muskmelon (*Cucumis melo* L.) using the TRIZOL reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations and stored at −80 °C until use. First strand cDNAs were prepared from 1 μg of total RNA using a reverse transcriptase (superscript III, Invitrogen) and Oligo (dT)¹⁸ primers. The coding sequences of *HvLsi1* and *CmeLsi1* were amplified with the Q5 DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs, M0491L) with appropriate primer pairs (Additional file 2: Table S1). The DNA fragments were cloned into pENTR/D-TOPO (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) and shuttled to the Gateway Compatible binary vector pEarleyGate100. After DNA sequence confirmation, the constructs/genotype combination. T2 progenies (24 plants) were introduced into Arabidopsis plants via *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101. At least 20 independent T1 transgenics were obtained for each DNA construct/genotype combination. T2 progenies (24 plants) of at least ten T1 lines were grown under −Si and +Si conditions and inoculated with powdery mildew to visually assess the infection phenotypes. T3 generations derived from three T1 independent lines were used to confirm the infection phenotypes, and one representative homozygous T3 line for each genotype was used for comparative and quantitative analysis with other relevant genotypes.

### Pathogen infection and quantification of disease phenotypes

Adapted powdery mildew isolate *G. cichoracearum* (Gc) UCSC1 was maintained on *pad4* plants and the non-adapted isolate Gc UMSG1 was maintained on sow thistle plants (Wen et al. 2010). Inoculation and visual scoring of disease reaction phenotypes and spore quantification were done as previously described (Zhang et al. 2018). Briefly, for quantification of disease susceptibility, five or six duplicate leaf samples (each consisting of ~120 mg leaves) collected from 12 plants of each representative T3 line at 10 dpi were used to quantify the level of sporulation. A spore suspension (or 10× dilution if the genotype was very susceptible) of each sample, which was made by vortexing the leaves in a 50 mL falcon tube containing 10 mL of H₂O₂ + 0.02% Silwet L-77 (Lehle seeds, USA) for 1 min, was used for spore counting using Luna™ Automated Cell Counter (Logos biosystems). Spore counts were normalized to the fresh weight of the corresponding leaf samples. All infection trials with T3 generations were repeated three times with similar results, and data from one experiment were presented.

### Detection of H₂O₂, callose deposition and fungal structures

The detection of H₂O₂ accumulation in leaf tissues by 3,3-diaminobenzidine (DAB) staining was modified from Thordal-Christensen et al. (1997). Inoculated leaves were excised at the base of the petiole, placed in 1 mg/mL DAB (Sigma), and incubated for 6 h at 25 °C with illumination. Fungal structures in inoculated leaves were visualized with 0.25% Trypan blue staining solution (Xiao et al. 2003). Callose deposition at the fungal penetration sites (i.e. papillae) and around the haustorium was detected by aniline blue staining. Leaves were cleared in a solution containing ethanol, water, acetic acid, and glycerol (8:1:1:1) for 48 h at 37 °C with one change of the solution. The cleared leaves are then stained with 0.01% aniline blue in an aqueous solution containing 150 mM KH₂PO₄ (PH 9.5) for 4 h. Callose deposition was visualized by fluorescence microscopy.

### Measurement of Si content in Arabidopsis leaves

Leaf Si content was determined 10 days after treatment with +Si or −Si nutrient solution using 7 or 8-week-old plants. All rosette leaves from five +Si or −Si plants per sample were oven dried at 65 °C for 72 h, and ground into a fine powder using a mortar and pestle before measurement of Si concentration by colorimetric analysis using 0.1 g alkali-digested leaf tissue powder (Frantz et al. 2008). Si content was calculated, adjusted for dry weight of leaf tissues used, and presented as mg Si dioxide per gram dry matter.
qRT-PCR analysis

Gene expression was measured by qRT-PCR according to Zhang et al. (2018) with minor modification. Five duplicate leaf samples (~100 mg each) per genotype-treatment were collected at 0, 3 and 5 dpi with Gc UCSC1. The transcript levels of the target genes were normalized to that of UBC9 (Ubiquitin conjugating enzyme 9, AT4G27960). Data were analyzed by using the comparative ∆∆Ct method (Livak and Schmittgen 2001). Primers are listed in Additional file 2: Table S1.

Measurement of levels of SA and JA

Five leaf samples (~150 mg each) per genotype-treatment were harvested at 0, 3 and 5 dpi with Gc UCSC1 for determining levels of both SA and JA as previously described (Floková et al. 2014), with some modifications. For each experiment, detection was performed with three biological replicates per treatment. The leaf tissues in a 1.5 mL tube were added with 1 mL of 50% ethanol containing the internal standards (vanillic acid and dihydro jasmonic acid), four steel balls (diameter 5 mm), and then shaken for 5 × 1 min in a TissueLyser II (QIAGEN) at 25 Hz with 1 min pause between every minute before centrifugation at 20,000 × g for 10 min. The supernatant was analyzed with a Waters Acquity UPLC system equipped with a Waters LCT Premiere XE ESI-TOF mass spectrometer.

SA and JA levels were detected with the above system. Four microliter injections of leaf tissue supernatant were made and phytohormones were separated using a Waters HSS C18 column (2.1 mm × 100 mm; 1.7 μm particle size). A binary solvent system consisting of 0.1% formic acid (solvent A) and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile (solvent B) was used. Gradient conditions were: 0–1 min, 20% solvent B; 1–3.5 min, a linear gradient to 90% solvent B; 3.5–4 min, 90% solvent B. The flow rate was 0.35 mL/min. Phytohormones were quantified via mass spectrometry by integrating extracted ion chromatogram peak areas for compounds of interest. Compounds were detected in negative ion mode using the following parameters: Capillary voltage 2150 V, cone voltage 20 V, desolvation temperature 275 °C, desolvation gas flow 250 L/h, cone gas flow 15 L/h, source temperature 115 °C. Standard curves were constructed using authentic phytohormone standards.
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