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Abstract. Solar climate intervention using stratospheric aerosol injection is a proposed method of reducing global mean temperatures to reduce the worst consequences of climate change. A detailed assessment of responses and impacts of such an intervention is needed with multiple global models to support societal decisions regarding the use of these approaches to help address climate change. We present here a new modeling protocol aimed at simulating a plausible deployment of stratospheric aerosol injection and reproducibility of simulations using other Earth system models, Assessing Responses and Impacts of Solar climate intervention on the Earth system with stratospheric aerosol injection (ARISE-SAI). The protocol and simulations are aimed at enabling community assessment of responses of the Earth system to solar climate intervention. ARISE-SAI simulations are designed to be more policy relevant than existing large ensembles or multi-model simulation sets. We describe in detail the first set of ARISE-SAI simulations, ARISE-SAI-1.5, which utilize a moderate emissions scenario, introduce stratospheric aerosol injection at ~ 21.5 km in year 2035, and keep global mean surface air temperature near 1.5°C above the pre-industrial value utilizing a feedback or control algorithm. We present here the detailed set-up, aerosol injection strategy, and preliminary climate analysis from a 10-member ensemble of these simulations carried out with the Community Earth System Model, version 2 with the Whole Atmosphere Community Climate Model version 6 as its atmospheric component.

1 Introduction

Solar climate intervention (SCI), or solar radiation modification, is a proposed strategy that could potentially reduce the adverse effects on weather and climate associated with climate change by increasing the reflection of sunlight by particles and clouds in the atmosphere. The recent National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine (NASEM) report on solar geoengineering research and governance (NASEM, 2021) calls for increased research to understand the benefits, risks and impacts of various SCI approaches. Stratospheric aerosol injection (SAI), which
aims to mimic the effects of volcanic eruptions on climate, has been shown to be a promising method of global climate intervention in terms of restoring climate to present day conditions in global climate or Earth system models (e.g.: Tilmes et al., 2018; MacMartin et al. 2019; Simpson et al., 2019). However, there still exist large uncertainties in climate response and impacts (NASEM, 2021, Kravitz and MacMartin, 2020), and ensuing human and ecological impacts (Carlson and Trisos, 2018). Due to the large internal variability of Earth’s climate, the evaluation of SCI risks and impacts requires large ensembles of simulations (Deser et al., 2012; Kay et al., 2015; Maher et al., 2021) and Earth system models (ESMs) capable of simulating the key processes and interactions between multiple Earth system components, including prognostic aerosols, interactive chemistry, and coupling between the atmosphere, land, ocean, and sea ice. For studies of climate intervention using SAI, an accurate representation of the entire stratosphere, including dynamics and chemistry, is needed to capture the transport of aerosols and their interactions with stratospheric constituents such as water vapor and ozone (e.g.: Pitari et al., 2014).

The Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project (GeoMIP) for many years has facilitated inter-model comparisons of possible climate responses to SCI to examine where model responses to geoengineering were robust and identify areas of large uncertainty. However, in order to ensure participation from multiple ESMs, the design of GeoMIP simulations has often been simplified by utilizing solar constant reduction (Kravitz et al., 2013; Kravitz et al., 2021) or prescription of an aerosol distribution (Tilmes et al., 2015) or a spatially uniform injection rate of SO$_2$ (i.e. continuous injection from 10$^\circ$N to 10$^\circ$S in the most recent G6sulfur experiments (Visioni et al., 2021b). Visioni et al. (2021a) showed that solar dimming does not produce the same surface climate effects as simulating aerosols in the stratosphere. Kravitz et al. (2019) showed that strategically injecting SO$_2$ at multiple locations to maintain more than one climate target may reduce some of the projected side-effects by more evenly cooling at all latitudes; hence, model experiments with plausible implementation of SCI are needed in order to assess risks and benefits of these strategies.

The Geoengineering Large Ensemble (GLENS, Tilmes et al. 2018), which used version 1 of the Community Earth System Model with the Whole Atmosphere Community Climate Model as its atmospheric component (CESM1(WACCM), Mills et al. 2017), was the first large-ensemble (20-member) set of climate intervention simulations carried out with a single ESM that interactively represented many of the key processes relevant to SAI and has provided a community dataset for the examination of potential impact of SAI on mean climate and variability. GLENS utilized sulfur dioxide (SO$_2$) injections that were strategically placed every year to keep the global mean temperature, equator-to-pole, and pole-to-pole temperature gradients near 2020 levels in an effort to minimize the surface temperature impacts of this intervention. However, GLENS has several experimental design issues that are not aligned with realistic projections for Earth system outcomes that would provide more accurate representation of possible real-world effects and impacts. Firstly, GLENS adopted a high emission scenario of RCP8.5 until 2100, requiring a very large amount of stratospheric aerosols by the end of the century to offset the continuously increasing emissions. Estimates for future emissions based on current commitments are lower than RCP8.5 (Hausfather and Peters, 2020), and thus impact analyses, especially based on the last two decades of the GLENS, are likely to overestimate the risks and adverse impacts of SAI. Additionally, in the GLENS simulations, intervention commenced
in 2020, adding another unrealistic element from a real-world standpoint. Furthermore, SO\textsubscript{2} injections were at 23-25 km altitude, which is technologically more difficult to achieve than a lower altitude injection (Bingaman et al. 2020).

Tilmes et al. (2020) has carried out simulations with SO\textsubscript{2} injections with CESM2(WACCM6) and GLENS-like set-up for the Shared Socioeconomic Pathway SSP5-8.5 and SSP5-3.4-OS scenarios (O’Neill et al., 2016). Here we propose a new SAI modeling protocol for a suite of simulations designed to simulate a more plausible implementation scenario of SCI using SAI that can be replicated by other modeling centers. We denote the entire set of current and future simulations conducted under this protocol as “Assessing Responses and Impacts of Solar climate intervention on the Earth system,” or “ARISE,” with simulations of SAI denoted “ARISE-RAI”. We anticipate that in the future similar simulations utilizing other climate intervention methods such as Marine Cloud Brightening (MCB) or Carbon Dioxide Removal (CDR), will result in ARISE-MCB or ARISE-CDR simulations respectively. In addition, we present preliminary results from the first set of these simulations carried out with the Community Earth System Model, version 2 with the Whole Atmosphere Community Climate Model version 6 as its atmospheric component (CESM2(WACCM6)). The paper is structured as follows: section 2 provides an overview of ARISE-RAI protocol including ARISE-RAI-1.5, section 3 describes the model used to describe the realization of ARISE-RAI-1.5 with CESM2(WACCM6), section 4 shows surface temperature and precipitation in these simulations, and section 5 offers a summary and conclusions.

2 ARISE-RAI

2.1 Reference Simulations

Evaluation of impacts of SCI requires a set of non-SCI reference simulations to enable comparison of impacts with and without SAI. As motivated by MacMartin et al (2022), we use here the moderate Shared Socioeconomic Pathway scenario of SSP2-4.5 for our simulations, which more closely captures current policy scenarios compared to higher emission scenarios such as SSP5-8.5 (Burgess et al., 2020). SSP2-4.5, which marks a continuation of the Representative Concentration Pathway 4.5 (RCP4.5) scenario, is a “middle-of-the-road,” intermediate mitigation scenario where “the world follows a path in which social, economic, and technological trends do not shift markedly from historical patterns” (O’Neill et al., 2017), representing the medium range of future forcing pathways (O’Neill et al., 2016).

2.2 Protocol Overview

The ARISE-RAI simulations are designed to simulate a plausible implementation scenario of SCI using SAI for evaluation of potential climate intervention risks and impacts. MacMartin et al. (2022) described in detail the need for various scenarios to evaluate impacts of SCI and five dimensions of SCI deployment options which include the background climate-change scenario, desired target of cooling, start date of deployment, how cooling is achieved, and other factors that could affect decisions. The proposed default ARISE-RAI protocols follow closely the recommended scenario choices described in MacMartin et al. (2022) and describe details of implementation in Earth system models, although different choices can be made in the future to expand the simulation set. In particular, the proposed ARISE-
SAI simulations utilize a moderate emission scenario, SSP2-4.5 (O’Neill et al., 2016) and cool the Earth to a global mean temperature target (TT) above preindustrial levels denoted in the specific name of the simulations (e.g.: ARISE-SAI-TT). For example, ARISE-SAI-1.5 and ARISE-SAI-1.0 simulations aim to maintain global surface temperatures at ~1.5°C and ~1.0°C above preindustrial levels respectively.

The protocol in the first ARISE-SAI simulations (without a delayed start) simulates deployment beginning in 2035 after the global surface temperature reaches ~1.5°C above preindustrial levels, the target proposed in the 2015 Paris agreement and described by the IPCC as an important threshold for climate safety (IPCC 2018). Simulations are carried out for 35 years (2035 - 2069), which is sufficient to consider both a transition period of ~10 years and a quasi-equilibrium of at least 20 years after the controller converges. Minimum recommended ensemble size is 3, although more members will allow for more thorough evaluation of impacts on variability.

### 2.3 ARISE-SAI-1.5

The first ARISE-SAI simulations, ARISE-SAI-1.5 presented here, aim to keep the global mean temperature at ~1.5°C above pre-industrial levels. There is uncertainty among Earth system models with regard to when Earth’s global mean surface temperature (T0) will reach 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels. The recent Intergovernmental Panel of Climate Change (IPCC) Sixth Assessment Report (AR6) (IPCC, 2021) finds that 1.5°C over pre-industrial will very likely be exceeded in the near term (2021-2040) under the very high greenhouse gas (GHG) emission scenario (SSP5-8.5) and likely to be exceeded under the intermediate and high GHG emissions scenarios (SSP2-4.5 and SSP3-7.0). The IPCC AR6 defines 1.5°C as the time at which T0 will reach 0.65°C above the historical reference period of 1995 - 2014. The T0 between 1995 - 2014 is 0.85°C above the pre-industrial (PI) value defined as the 1850 - 1900 average in the observational record. Using 31 global models, Tebaldi et al. (2021) found that the average across models of when 1.5°C will be reached is 2028 under the SSP2-4.5 scenario (using 1995-2014 as 0.84°C rather than 0.85°C above PI), but with considerable variation across models. To simplify future model intercomparisons, we choose the time period of 2020 - 2039 (or ~ 2030 levels) as our reference period of when T0 is ~ 1.5°C above PI values and make that the target T0 in the ARISE-SAI-1.5 climate intervention simulations.

In addition to keeping T0, the ARISE-SAI simulations aim to keep the north-south temperature gradient (T1), and equator-to-pole temperature gradient (T2) to those corresponding to the temperature target. This is achieved by utilizing a “controller” algorithm (MacMartin et al., 2014; Kravitz et al., 2017) that specifies the amount of SO2 injection. This approach was used in GLENS and the simulations presented in Tilmes et al. (2020). The controller algorithm is freely available as described in the Code Availability section. Sulfur dioxide injections in the ARISE-SAI simulations are placed at four injection locations (15°S, 15°N, 30°S, 30°N) into one grid box at ~ 21.5 km altitude. The injection latitudes are the same as used in GLENS and in previous studies examining the model’s responses to single-point SO2 injections (Tilmes et al., 2017; Richter et al., 2017). These four injection locations are sufficient to independently control the targets that we are trying to achieve (Kravitz et al., 2017). These four injection locations have also been demonstrated to be sufficient to produce the optical depth patterns that independently control the targets that we are trying to achieve in various versions of CESM(WACC) (MacMartin et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2022;
MacMartin et al., 2022). The prescribed injection altitude is estimated to be achievable by existing aircraft technologies that could be adapted for climate intervention use (Bingaman et al., 2020). After each year of simulation, the algorithm calculates the global mean temperature, $T_0$, north-south temperature gradient, $T_1$, and equator-to-pole temperature gradient, $T_2$, and based on the deviation from the goal, specifies the annual values of injections at the four locations for the subsequent year. $T_1$ and $T_2$ were defined in Kravitz et al. (2017), Equation 1.

2.4 Recommended Output

Comprehensive monthly output as well as high-frequency output for analysis of high-impact events (described in detail in the Data Records section) is needed for analysis of SCI impacts on the Earth System. Acknowledging limitations of various modeling centers, we recommended a minimum set of monthly-mean output fields in Table A1 in the Data Records section and include the full comprehensive output list that was created with the CESM2(WACCM) simulations based on input from the broader community. All model output for the simulations should be provided in NetCDF format. All variables should be in time-series format, with one variable per file. 3-dimensional atmospheric output should be on the original model levels or on standard CMIP6 levels. For monthly atmospheric output, information on aerosol microphysics (which is not a standard CMIP6 output) is also very relevant for diagnostics of the aerosols' behavior under SAI; for instance, CESM2(WACCM6) includes as standard output the mass and number concentration for all aerosol modes and the aerosol effective radius. Other modeling centers should consider providing this (model specific) information as well. In addition, higher-frequency (daily averaged, 3-hourly averaged, 3-hourly instantaneous, and 1-hourly mean) output is desired for the atmospheric model that will enable analysis of extreme events (e.g.: Tye et al. 2022). The atmospheric output at various time frequencies is described in Appendix A, Tables A2 - A5. Daily averaged output of land model variables is shown in Tables A6 and A7, whereas 6-hourly output from the land model is listed in Table A8. Tables A9 and A10 show the daily output from the ocean and sea-ice models respectively. The table captions describe which output is specific to ARISE-SAI-1.5 and the new five SSP2-4.5 CESM2(WACCM6) ensemble members, and which is common to all simulations. An online table showing all the output fields for the simulations, along with their description and units, is at: https://www.cgd.ucar.edu/ccr/strandwg/WACCM6-TSMLT-SSP245/.

2.5 Additional ARISE-SAI simulations

The ARISE-SAI-1.5 simulations described above are likely to be most relevant to policy makers and hence reproduction of the experiments in multiple models is desired. ARISE-SAI simulations are already being performed with the UKESM model. ARISE-SAI-1.0 simulations as well as ARISE-SAI-1.5-2045, with start of intervention delayed by 10 years, are in progress with CESM2(WACCM). A subset of simulations describing these different initial conditions and targets is discussed in MacMartin et al. (2022) using a slightly more simplified version of CESM2(WACCM6).
3. ARISE-SAI-1.5 with CESM2(WACCM6)

We present here the details of implementation of ARISE-SAI-1.5 simulations in CESM2(WACCM6).

3.1 Model Description

CESM2(WACCM6) is the most comprehensive version of the NCAR whole atmosphere ESM and is described in detail in Gettelman et al., 2019; Danabasoglu et al., 2020. CESM2(WACCM6) was used to contribute climate change projection simulations to the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) (Eyring et al., 2016). CESM2(WACCM6) includes a parameterization of non-orographic waves which follows Richter et al. (2010) with changes to tunable parameters described in Gettleman et al. (2019). Parameterized gravity waves are a substantial driver of the quasi-biennial oscillation (QBO) which is internally-generated in CESM2(WACCM6). CESM2(WACCM6) includes prognostic aerosols which are represented using the Modal Aerosol Model version 4 (MAM4) as described in Liu et al. (2016). The ocean model in CESM2(WACCM6) is based on the Parallel Ocean Program version 2 (POP2; Smith et al., 2010; Danabasoglu et al., 2012; Danabasoglu et al., 2020). The horizontal resolution of POP2 is uniform in the zonal direction (1.125°) and varies from 0.64° (occurring in the Northern Hemisphere) to 0.27° at the Equator. The ocean biogeochemistry is represented using the Marine Biogeochemistry Library (MARBL), which is an updated implementation of the Biochemistry Elemental Cycle (Moore et al., 2002; 2004; 2013). CESM2 uses version 3.14 of the NOAA WaveWatch-III ocean surface wave prediction model (Tolman, 2009). Sea-ice in CESM2(WACCM6) is represented using CICE version 5.1.2 (CICE5; Hunke et al., 2015) and uses the same horizontal grid as POP2.

CESM2(WACCM6) uses the Community Land Model version 5 (CLM5) (Lawrence et al., 2019). CLM5 includes a global crop model that treats planting, harvest, grain fill, and grain yields for six crop types (Levis et al.,
208 a new fire model (Li et al., 2013; Li and Lawrence, 2017), multiple urban classes and an updated urban energy
209 model (Oleson & Feddema, 2019), and improved representation of plant dynamics. The river transport model used is
210 the Model for Scale Adaptive River Transport (MOSART; H. Y. Li et al., 2013).

3.2 Reference simulations

A 5-member reference ensemble with CESM2(WACCM6) and the SSP2-4.5 scenario was carried out as part of the
215 CMIP6 project for years 2015 - 2100. Surface temperature evolution and equilibrium climate sensitivity in these
216 simulations are described in detail in Meehl et al. (2020). We carried out an additional 5-member ensemble of these
217 simulations from years 2015 - 2069 with augmented high-frequency output for high-impact event analysis, as well as
218 additional output for the land model to match the SCI simulations. The additional 5-member ensemble was branched
219 from the three existing historical CESM2(WACCM6) simulations in the same manner as the first 5-member ensemble,
220 but with an addition of small temperature perturbations for each ensemble member ([6, 7, 8, 9, 10] x10^{-14} K,
221 respectively), at the first model timestep. CESM2 ranks highly against other CMIP6 models in the ability to represent
222 large scale circulations and key features of tropospheric climate over the historical time period (e.g.: Simpson et al.,
223 2020; Duviver et al., 2020; Coburn and Pryor 2021).

3.3 ARISE-SAI-1.5 Simulations

In CESM2(WACCM6) SO\textsubscript{2} injections were placed at 180° longitude and bounded by two pressure interfaces: 47.1
227 hPa and 39.3 hPa (approximate geometric altitude at gridbox midpoint of 21.6 km). Based on the 2020 - 2039 mean
228 of the SSP2-4.5 simulations with CESM2(WACCM6), the surface temperature targets for the ARISE-SAI-1.5
229 ensemble for T0, T1, and T2 are 288.64 K, 0.8767 K, and -5.89 K, respectively.

The first five members of ARISE-SAI-1.5 simulations were initialized in 2035 from the first five members
230 (001 to 005) of the SSP2-4.5 simulations carried out with CESM2(WACCM6); hence, all had different initial ocean,
231 sea-ice, land, and atmospheric initial conditions on January 1, 2035. Similarly to the SSP2-4.5 simulations, subsequent
232 ensemble members (006 through 010) were initialized from the same initial conditions as members 001 through 005,
233 respectively, with an addition of a small temperature perturbation to the atmospheric initial condition to create
234 ensemble spread.
Figure 1: SO$_2$ injection rate as a function of time in ARISE-SAI-1.5 simulations at 30°S (blue), 15°S (red), 15°N (green), 30°N (pink), and total (black). Thin lighter colored lines represent individual ensemble members, whereas thick lines show the 10-member ensemble mean.

The amount of SO$_2$ injection in the ARISE-SAI-1.5 simulations chosen by the controller algorithm is shown in Figure 1. The majority of SO$_2$ is injected at 15°S, with an approximate linear increase from 0.5 Tg SO$_2$ per year in 2035 to 6 Tg SO$_2$ per year in 2069. SO$_2$ injections at 30°S and 15°N are about $\frac{1}{3}$ of that injected at 15°S. Throughout all the ARISE-SAI-1.5 simulations, the amount of SO$_2$ injection at 30°N is very small, less than 0.5 Tg SO$_2$ per year, diminishing to nearly zero by the end of the simulations. The distribution of SO$_2$ across the four injection latitudes in ARISE-SAI-1.5 is very different from that in GLENS (Tilmes et al., 2018) despite having the same goals for the controller. In GLENS, the majority of SO$_2$ was injected at 30°S and 30°N, with a significant amount at 15°N, and almost none at 15°S; that is, GLENS required more injection in the Northern Hemisphere than the Southern in order to maintain the interhemispheric temperature gradient T1, whereas ARISE-SAI-1.5 requires more injection in the Southern Hemisphere to maintain T1. GLENS also required more SO$_2$ injection at 30°N/30°S to maintain T2 than is required in ARISE-SAI-1.5. It is unclear at this time how much of this difference is a result of the different model version and how much is a result of changes in the forcing between RCP8.5 and SSP2-4.5.

4 Initial Results

One of the intents of ARISE-SAI simulations is to provide the broader community a data set for examining various impacts of SCI on the multiple components of the Earth system. Below we present basic diagnostics that verify that the SO$_2$ injections and controller are working as intended, and we describe how well the temperature targets are being met in CESM2(WACCM6). Detailed analysis of the simulations is left for future work.

4.1 Stratospheric Aerosols
Injection of sulfur dioxide into the stratosphere results in the formation of sulfate aerosols, which are transported by the stratospheric Brewer-Dobson circulation (Andrews et al., 1987; Tilmes et al., 2017). The dominance of SO$_2$ injections at 15ºS in ARISE-SAI-1.5 results in a stratospheric sulfate (SO$_4$) increase that primarily occurs in the southern hemisphere, with the majority of SO$_4$ concentrated near the primary injection location (Figure 2a, 2b).

Averaged over the 2035 - 2054 period, there is a peak SO$_4$ increase of 25 mg-S/kg air (Fig 2a) relative to the 2020 - 2039 mean, and averaged over 2050 - 2069 an SO$_4$ increase of 48 mg-S/kg air is found near 15ºS, 40 hPa (Fig 2b).

The zonally averaged latitudinal distribution of the increase in the column of SO$_4$ is shown in Figures 2c, d; both figures show the strong hemispheric asymmetry, and also a double peak at around 15ºS and one near 50ºS. The peak near 15ºS is due to the predominant location of the injection, and matches the peak in concentration, the latter is due to the largest vertical stratospheric layer over which SO$_4$ is spread out (between 10 and 22 km) compared to the layer in the tropical stratosphere (between 18 and 26 km). Integrated over 20-year periods of ARISE-SAI-1.5 simulations, there is little difference in the latitudinal distribution of column SO$_4$ between the various ensemble members, but amplitude differences of up to 15% exist (not shown), reflecting variability in the amount of SO$_2$ injection at each location and small differences in the stratospheric circulation.

*Figure 2:* Zonal mean stratospheric SO$_4$ concentration increase (in μg-S/kg of air) in (a) 2035-2054 and (c) 2050-2069 relative to the 2020 - 2039 mean. Black contour lines show the background concentration in 2020-2039. Blue line shows the annual mean tropopause height in the control period; the red line shows the annual mean tropopause height in the ARISE simulation in 2035-2054 and 2050-2069, respectively. Gray shadings indicate the grid-boxes
where SO$_2$ is injected. Zonal mean total increase in the column burden of sulfate (in mg-SO$_4$/m$^2$) for (b) 2035 - 2054 and (d) 2050 - 2069. The contribution to the column increase is shown in dark red, for the fraction located in the stratosphere, and in orange for the fraction located in the troposphere.

4.2 Meeting temperature targets

Global mean surface temperature, the inter-hemispheric temperature gradient, and equator-to-pole temperature gradients for the SSP2-4.5 and ARISE-SAI-1.5 simulations are shown in Figure 3. There is a notable difference in behavior of T1 and T2 in the SSP2-4.5 simulations as compared to the RCP8.5 simulations with CESM1(WACCM) (not shown). In the CESM1(WACCM) simulations with RCP8.5, T1 and T2 were increasing steadily with time of simulation, reaching a change in T1 of nearly 0.45 K, and a T2 change of 0.3 K by 2070 relative to ~ 2020 - 2039 mean (Tilmes et al. 2018). In contrast, T1 and T2 in the SSP2-4.5 simulation are increasing much more slowly, less than 0.05 K for T1 and less than 0.1 K for T2 between the reference period (2020-2039) and 2070. The more moderate (SSP2-4.5) emission scenario used in the CESM2(WACCM6) control simulations partially explains the slower increase of T1 and T2 with time, however not all. Simulations with CESM2(WACCM6) and SSP5-8.5 scenarios also show a much slower increase of T1 and T2 as compared to CESM1(WACCM) with RCP8.5. Differing modeling physics, in particular cloud feedbacks, between CESM1 and CESM2 are key differences that could lead to the differences in projected spatial patterns of surface warming between the two model configurations, as well as changes in the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation as discussed in Tilmes et al. (2020). Additional simulations with CESM2 and RCP emissions have been performed to understand the relative role of differences in forcing and differences in model physics on projected spatial patterns of global mean temperature and other variables between CESM1 and CESM2. A detailed discussion of the reasons behind the model dependence in injection strategy in GLENS, CESM1(WACCM) and ARISE-SAI-1.5, CESM2(WACCM6) simulations can be found in Fasullo and Richter (2022). They show that the main contributors to the differences are: rapid adjustment of clouds and rainfall to elevated levels of carbon dioxide, dynamical responses in the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) and differences in future climate forcing scenarios.
Figure 3: Global mean a) surface temperature, b) inter-hemispheric temperature gradient, T1, and c) equator-to-pole temperature gradient, T2, for SSP2-4.5 (red) and ARISE-SAI-1.5 (blue) simulations. Thin lines represent individual ensemble members, whereas the thick lines show the ensemble mean.

The differences between the projected surface temperature patterns in CESM2 as compared to CESM1 have implications for climate intervention. Since the changes in T1 and T2 targets differ between the CESM1(WACCM) and CESM2(WACCM6) future simulations, the controller selects different SO$_2$ injection locations to best counteract these changes. Injections needed to offset increasing T1 and T2 in CESM1(WACCM) required primarily injections at 30°S and 30°N, whereas a small change in T1 and T2 relative to the 2020 - 2039 period in CESM2(WACCM6), SSP2-4.5 requires injections primarily at 30°S. The SO$_2$ injections applied in ARISE-SAI-1.5 do a very good job at keeping the global mean temperature, T1 and T2 at the target levels. This is demonstrated by the blue lines in Figure 2. There is a fair amount of variability among the individual ensemble members (thin light blue lines) in their ability to meet the global mean, T1 and T2 targets, however the ensemble mean (thick blue line) shows very good agreement between these variables and their target values.
4.3 Surface temperature and precipitation

Figure 4: Ensemble and annual mean surface (2m) temperature differences between a) SSP2-4.5 (2035-2054) and SSP2-4.5 (2020-2039), b) ARISE-SAI-1.5 (2035-2054) and SSP2-4.5 (2020-2039), c) SSP2-4.5 (2050-2069) and SSP2-4.5 (2020-2039), and d) ARISE-SAI-1.5 (2050-2069) and SSP2-4.5 (2020-2039). Gray shading indicates regions where the differences are not statistically significant at the 95% level using a two-sided Student’s t test.

Figure 4 shows the ensemble and annual mean surface temperature changes for two time periods, 2035 - 2054 and 2050 - 2069, during the SSP2-4.5 and ARISE-SAI-1.5 simulations relative to the 2020 - 2039 period. Fig 4 a, c show the steady increase in surface temperature with time over the majority of the globe, with the largest warming occurring in the Northern Hemisphere high latitudes. The North Atlantic is the only region of the globe that is cooling in the 21st century. This “warming hole” in the North Atlantic is a feature of several of the recent generation Earth system models and is attributed to the AMOC (Drijfhout et al. 2012, Chemke et al. 2020, Keil et al. 2020). Specifically, in a warming climate with a reduction in the deep water formation, the AMOC weakens. This results in less heat transport into the Northern North Atlantic, producing cooler temperatures that oppose the anticipated effects of global warming.

Figures 4b and 4d demonstrate the success of the SAI strategy in keeping the global temperatures near the 2020 - 2039 average, or at ~ 1.5 K above pre-industrial values. In ARISE-SAI-1.5, near surface annual mean temperature throughout the entire simulation is within 0.5 K of that goal over the majority of the globe. The largest exception to
that is the North Atlantic warming hole, where surface temperatures remain cooler relative to the northern North
Atlantic than in the present day; while AMOC strength is partially recovered under SAI relative to SSP2-4.5, it is not
fully restored back to present-day conditions. In addition, in the ensemble mean, ARISE-SAI-1.5 simulations show
residual warming over North America, as well as over Eastern South Pacific Ocean (off the coast of South America),
and in parts of Antarctica as compared to the 2020 - 2039 period. Residual changes relative to the target period from
the application of SAI are expected, as SAI can not perfectly reverse the effects of increasing greenhouse gases.

The precipitation changes in SSP2-4.5 and ARISE-SAI-1.5 simulations for the same time periods examined
for surface temperature changes are shown in Figures 5 and 6. Consistent with prior similar studies, SSP2-4.5
simulations show primarily an increase of precipitation in a warming climate, with the largest increases along the
Equatorial Pacific Ocean, and a strong drying region northward of that (Figs 5, 6a,c). In ARISE-SAI-1.5, consistent
with previous studies (Kravitz et al., 2017; Lee et al. 2020), restoring global mean temperature is associated with an
overall decrease in annual mean precipitation (Fig 5), however regionally both increases and decreases occur. In
ARISE-SAI-1.5, the increased precipitation across the Equatorial Pacific seen in SSP2-4.5 decreases in magnitude,
but is still a persistent feature. ARISE-SAI-1.5 also shows drying north and south of that region as well as intensified
drying over Northern South America, South Africa, Indian Ocean south of the Equator and northernmost Australia.
The Indian Ocean north of the Equator and India are projected to be wetter in ARISE-SAI-1.5 as compared to the
2020 - 2039 period of SSP2-4.5.

Figure 5: Same as Figure 3a but for precipitation.
Figure 6: Same as Figure 4 but for annual mean precipitation.

5 Conclusions

We have described here a detailed new modeling protocol and the first set of simulations of Assessing Responses and Impacts of Solar climate intervention on the Earth system with Stratospheric Aerosol Injection (ARISE-SAI), for studies of impacts of climate intervention using stratospheric aerosols. We have carried out the ARISE-SAI-1.5 simulations utilizing CESM2(WACCM6) and provided extensive output for community analysis. The protocol for simulations described here can be easily implemented in other Earth system models with similar capabilities; furthermore, the protocol can easily be adapted to explore different climate intervention scenarios considering other climate targets, such as different global mean cooling targets, and in the future extended to other types of climate intervention, such as marine cloud brightening. The SAI injection strategy defined by the protocol builds on the approach used in GLENS that was carried out with CESM1(WACCM), but uses a more moderate background emissions scenario, a start date of 2035 rather than 2020, and a target temperature of 1.5°C over pre-industrial following the AR6 definition; the set of simulations presented here also uses a newer version of CESM, which is the same as used for CMIP6 (Gettelman et al., 2019). In these new simulations, the SO$_2$ injections required to keep the global mean temperature, interhemispheric temperature gradient, and pole-to-pole temperature gradient at the target level in ARISE-SAI-1.5 are needed primarily at 15°S, in contrast to GLENS which utilized SO$_2$ injections primarily
at 30°N and 30°S. The reasons for these differences are currently being investigated in detail, and it highlights the
need to reproduce such experiments with other climate models to understand their sources. Surface climate in ARISE-
SAI-1.5 is very similar to that during the reference period (2020 - 2039), however residual changes still remain, in
particular in the North Atlantic, where surface temperature is cooler than in the reference period. The robustness of
these projected regional residuals in other climate models, or under different climate targets, would also be of extreme
interest. Consistent with prior studies, global mean precipitation in ARISE-SAI-1.5 is smaller than during the reference
period.

The output for the ARISE-SAI-1.5 simulations is extensive and includes variables from multiple Earth system
components enabling the community analysis of changes in many variables that are crucial to making decisions about
the implementation of SCI including weather and climate extremes, crops, ozone changes, etc. To enable broad access
to the data, output from the ARISE-SAI-1.5 simulations is available on the Amazon Web Services Open Data portal.

Appendix A

| Variable Name | Description |
|---------------|-------------|
| AEROD_v       | Total Aerosol Optical Depth in visible band |
| AODVIS        | Aerosol optical depth 550 nm, day only |
| BURDENSO4dn   | Sulfate aerosol burden, day night |
| CLDHGH        | Vertically-integrated high cloud |
| CLDLOW        | Vertically-integrated low cloud |
| CLDMED        | Vertically-integrated mid-level cloud |
| CLDTOT        | Vertically-integrated total cloud |
| CLOUD         | Cloud fraction |
| dgnumwet1     | Aerosol mode (accumulation) wet diameter |
| dgnumwet2     | Aerosol mode (Aitken) wet diameter |
| dgnumwet3     | Aerosol mode (coarse) wet diameter |
| DTCOND        | T tendency - moist processes |
| FLDS          | Downwelling longwave flux at surface |
| FLDSC         | Clearsky Downwelling longwave flux at surface |
| FLNR          | Net longwave flux at tropopause |
| FLNS  | Net longwave flux at surface          |
|-------|--------------------------------------|
| FLNSC | Clearsky net longwave flux at surface|
| FLNT  | Net longwave flux at top of model     |
| FLNTC | Clearsky net longwave flux at top of model |
| FLUT  | Upwelling longwave flux at top of model |
| FLUTC | Clearsky upwelling longwave flux at top of model |
| FSDS  | Downwelling solar flux at surface    |
| FSDSC | Clearsky downwelling solar flux at surface |
| FSNR  | Net solar flux at tropopause          |
| FSNS  | Net solar flux at surface             |
| FSNSC | Clearsky net solar flux at surface    |
| FSNTOA| Net solar flux at top of atmosphere   |
| FSNTOAC| Clearsky net solar flux at top of atmosphere |
| FSNT  | Net solar flux at top of model        |
| FSNTC | Clearsky net solar flux at top of model |
| LWCF  | Longwave cloud forcing                |
| H2O   | Water vapor concentration             |
| ICEFRAC | Fraction of sfc area covered by sea-ice |
| num_a1| Aerosol mode (accumulation) number concentration |
| num_a2| Aerosol mode (Aitken) number concentration |
| num_a3| Aerosol mode (coarse) number concentration |
| O3    | Ozone concentration                   |
| O3_Loss| Ozone reaction rate group             |
| O3_Prod| Ozone reaction rate group             |
| MSKtem | Transformed Eulerian Mean diagnostics mask |
| OMEGA | Vertical velocity (pressure)          |
| PBLH  | PBL height                            |
| PHIS  | Surface geopotential                 |
| Symbol  | Description                                      |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------|
| PRECC   | Convective precipitation rate                    |
| PRECT   | Total (convective and large-scale) precipitation rate |
| PRECTMX | Maximum (convective and large-scale) precipitation rate |
| PS      | Surface pressure                                 |
| PSL     | Sea level pressure                               |
| Q       | Specific humidity                                |
| QRL     | Longwave heating rate                            |
| QRL_TOT | Merged LW heating: QRL+QRLNLTE                   |
| QRS     | Solar heating rate                               |
| QRS_TOT | Merged SW heating:                               |
| QSNOw   | Diagnostic grid-mean snow mixing ratio           |
| RELHUM  | Relative humidity                                |
| REFF_AERO| Aerosol effective radius                         |
| RHREFHT | Reference height relative humidity               |
| SO2     | Sulfur dioxide concentration                     |
| so4_a1  | so4_a1 (accumulation) concentration              |
| so4_a2  | so4_a2 (Aitken) concentration                    |
| so4_a3  | so4_a3 (coarse) concentration                    |
| SST     | Sea surface temperature                          |
| SWCF    | Shortwave cloud forcing                          |
| T       | Temperature                                      |
| TREFHT  | Reference height temperature                     |
| TREFHTMN** | Minimum reference height temperature          |
| TREFHTMX** | Maximum reference height temperature         |
| TS      | Surface temperature (radiative)                  |
| TROP_P  | Tropopause Pressure                              |
| TROP_T  | Tropopause Temperature                           |
| TSMN    | Minimum surface temperature                      |
| Variable Name | Description |
|---------------|-------------|
| TSMX          | Minimum surface temperature |
| U             | Zonal wind |
| U10           | 10m wind speed |
| V             | Meridional wind |
| Z3            | Geopotential Height (above sea level) |
| Z500          | Geopotential height at 500 hPa pressure surface |

Table A1: Minimum recommended monthly mean output for ARISE-SAI simulations and corresponding reference simulations.
| Code     | Description                                      |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------|
| dst_a2*  | Dust concentration in Aitken mode               |
| dst_a3*  | Dust concentration in coarse mode                |
| dst_a2_SRF* | Aitken mode dust in bottom layer               |
| FCTL     | Fractional occurrence of cloud top liquid       |
| FLDS     | Downwelling longwave flux at surface            |
| FLDSC    | Clearsky Downwelling longwave flux at surface   |
| FLNR     | Net longwave flux at tropopause                 |
| FLNS     | Net longwave flux at surface                    |
| FLNSC    | Clearsky net longwave flux at surface           |
| FLNT     | Net longwave flux at top of model               |
| FLNTC    | Clearsky net longwave flux at top of model      |
| FLUT     | Upwelling longwave flux at top of model         |
| FLUTC    | Clearsky upwelling longwave flux at top of model|
| FSDS     | Downwelling solar flux at surface               |
| FSDSC    | Clearsky downwelling solar flux at surface      |
| FSNR     | Net solar flux at tropopause                    |
| FSNS     | Net solar flux at surface                       |
| FSNSC    | Clearsky net solar flux at surface              |
| FSNTOA   | Net solar flux at top of atmosphere             |
| FSNTOAC  | Clearsky net solar flux at top of atmosphere    |
| LHFLX    | Surface latent heat flux                        |
| MASS     | mass of grid box                                |
| O3       | Ozone                                           |
| MSKtem   | Transformed Eulerian Mean diagnostics mask       |
| OMEGA    | Vertical velocity (pressure)                    |
| OMEGA500 | Vertical velocity at 500 hPa                    |
| PBLH     | Planetary boundary layer height                 |
| PDELDRY  | Dry pressure difference between levels          |
| Symbol   | Description                                      |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------|
| PHIS     | Surface geopotential                            |
| PM25_SRF | PM2.5 in the bottom layer                        |
| pom_a4_SRF* | Particulate organic matter in additional mode in bottom layer |
| PRECC    | Convective precipitation rate                    |
| PRECT    | Total (convective and large-scale) precipitation rate |
| PRECTMX  | Maximum (convective and large-scale) precipitation rate |
| PS       | Surface pressure                                 |
| PSL      | Sea level pressure                               |
| Q        | Specific humidity                                |
| QREFHT   | Reference height humidity                        |
| QSNOW    | Diagnostic grid-mean snow mixing ratio           |
| RELHUM   | Relative humidity                                |
| RHREFHT  | Reference height relative humidity               |
| SFso4_a1* | Surface flux of SO$_4$ in accumulation mode     |
| SFso4_a2* | Surface flux of SO$_4$ in Aitken mode            |
| SFbc_a4*  | Surface flux of black carbon in additional mode  |
| SFpom_a4* | Particulate organic matter in additional mode    |
| SFdst_a1* | Surface flux of dust in accumulation mode        |
| SFdst_a2* | Surface flux of dust in Aitken mode              |
| SFdst_a3* | Surface flux of dust in coarse mode              |
| SHFLX    | Surface sensible heat flux                       |
| SO2      | Sulfur dioxide concentration                     |
| SOLIN    | Solar insolation                                 |
| SOLLD    | Solar downward near infrared diffuse to surface  |
| SOLSD    | Solar downward visible diffuse to surface        |
| T        | Temperature                                      |
| T500, T700, T850 | Temperature at 500, 700 and 850 hPa respectively |
| TAUBLJX  | Zonal integrated drag from Beljaars SGO         |
| Symbol  | Description                                              |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| TAUBLJY | Meridional integrated drag from Beljaars SGO            |
| TAUGWX  | Zonal gravity wave surface stress                        |
| TAUGWY  | Meridional gravity wave surface stress                   |
| TAUU    | Zonal surface stress                                     |
| TAUY    | Meridional surface stress                                |
| TGCLDIWP| Total grid-box cloud ice water path                      |
| THzm    | Zonal-Mean potential temperature defined on ilevels      |
| TGCLDLWP| Total grid-box cloud liquid water path                   |
| TMQ     | Total (vertically integrated) precipitable water         |
| TREFHT  | Reference height temperature                             |
| TREFHTMN** | Minimum reference height temperature              |
| TREFHTMX** | Maximum reference height temperature              |
| TS      | Surface temperature (radiative)                          |
| TSMN    | Minimum surface temperature                              |
| TSMX    | Minimum surface temperature                              |
| U       | Zonal wind                                               |
| U10     | 10m wind speed                                           |
| UTGWORO | U tendency - orographic gravity wave drag                |
| UTGWSPEC| U tendency - non-orographic gravity wave drag            |
| UVzm    | Meridional flux of zonal momentum: 3D zonal mean         |
| UWzm    | Vertical flux of zonal momentum: 3D zonal mean           |
| Uzm     | Zonal mean zonal wind defined on ilevels                 |
| V       | Meridional wind                                          |
| VTHzm   | Meridional Heat Flux: 3D zonal mean                      |
| Vzm     | Zonal mean meridional wind defined on ilevels            |
| Wzm     | Zonal mean vertical wind defined on ilevels              |
| Z3      | Geopotential Height (above sea level)                    |
| Z500    | Geopotential height at 500 hPa pressure surface          |
Table A2: Available daily averaged output from the atmospheric model in ARISE-SAI-1.5 simulations and SSP2-4.5 CESM2(WACCM6) simulations. Variables marked with a '*' are not available from the first five members of CESM2(WACCM6) SSP2-4.5 simulations. **indicates variables that are available (but erroneous) in the first five members of CESM2(WACCM6) SSP2-4.5 simulations. Variables in bold are used to calculate extremes indices such as those presented in Tye et al. (2022).

| Name of Variable(s) | Variable Description |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| CAPE                | Convective available potential energy |
| CIN                 | Convective inhibition |
| CLDLOW              | Vertically-integrated low cloud |
| FLUT                | Upwelling longwave flux at top of model |
| PRECT               | Total (convective and large-scale) precipitation rate |
| PRECC               | Convective precipitation rate |
| PRECSC              | Convective snow rate (water equivalent) |
| PRECSL              | Large-scale snow rate (water equivalent) |
| PSL                 | Sea level pressure |
| Q200, Q500, Q700, Q850, Q925 | Specific humidity at 200, 500, 700, 850 and 925 hPa respectively |
| T200, T300, T500, T700, T850, T925 | Temperature at 200, 300, 500, 700, 850 and 925 hPa respectively |
| TMQ                 | Total (vertically integrated) precipitable water |
| U200, U300, U500, U700, U850, U925 | Zonal wind at 200, 300, 500, 700, 850 and 925 hPa respectively |
| V200, V300, V500, V700, V850, V925 | Meridional wind at 200, 300, 500, 700, 850 and 925 hPa respectively |
| Z200, Z500, Z700, Z850, Z925 | Geopotential height at 200, 500, 700, 850 and 925 hPa respectively |

Table A3: 3-hourly averaged output from the atmospheric model in ARISE-SAI-1.5 simulations and additional five SSP2-4.5 CESM2(WACCM6) simulations. None of the above output is contained in the first five ensemble members of CESM2(WACCM6) SSP2-4.5 simulations.
| Variable | Description                                      |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------|
| IVT      | Integrated water vapor transport                 |
| PS       | Surface Pressure                                 |
| Q*       | Specific humidity                                |
| T*       | Temperature                                      |
| TS       | Surface temperature (radiative)                 |
| PSL      | Sea level pressure                               |
| RELHUM*  | Relative humidity                                |
| TMQ      | Total (vertically integrated) precipitable water |
| U*       | Zonal wind                                       |
| U10      | 10m wind speed                                   |
| uIVT     | Zonal water vapor transport                      |
| vIVT     | Meridional water vapor transport                 |
| V*       | Meridional wind                                  |
| Z3*      | Geopotential Height                              |

**Table A4:** 3-hourly instantaneous output from the atmospheric model in ARISE-SAI-1.5 simulations and additional five SSP2-4.5 CESM2(WACCM6) simulations. For the variables marked with a ‘*’, only the bottom-most 22 levels were retained, hence levels for those variables range from 1000 to 103 hPa. None of the above output is contained in the first five ensemble members of CESM2(WACCM6) SSP2-4.5 simulations.
| Name of Variable | Variable Description          |
|------------------|-----------------------------|
| NO2_SRF          | NO2 in bottom layer         |
| O3_SRF           | O3 in bottom layer          |
| PM25_SRF         | PM2.5 at the surface        |
| PRECC            | Convective precipitation rate|
| PRECT            | Total (convective and large-scale) precipitation rate|
| TS               | Surface temperature (radiative) |

**Table A5:** 1-hourly instantaneous output from the atmospheric model in ARISE-SAI-1.5 simulations and additional five SSP2-4.5 CESM2(WACCM6) simulations. None of the above output is contained in the first five ensemble members of CESM2(WACCM6) SSP2-4.5 simulations.

| Variable Name                  | Description                                                                 |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| AR                             | Autotrophic respiration                                                     |
| COL_FIRE_CLOSS                 | Total column-level fire C loss                                              |
| CPHASE                         | Crop phenology phase                                                        |
| DSTDEP                         | Total dust deposition                                                       |
| DSTFLXT                        | Total surface dust emission                                                 |
| DWT_CONV_CFLUX_PATCH           | Patch-level conversion C flux                                               |
| DWT_SLASH_CFLUX                | Slash C flux to litter and CWD due to land use                              |
| DWT_WOOD_PRODUCTC_GAIN_PATCH   | Patch-level landcover change-driven addition to wood product pools          |
| EFLX_LH_TOT                    | Total latent heat flux                                                      |
| FGR                            | Heat flux into soil/snow including snow melt and lake / snow light transmission|
| FIRA                           | Net infrared (longwave) radiation                                           |
| FIRE                           | Emitted infrared (longwave) radiation                                        |
| FROOTC                         | Fine root carbon                                                            |
| Variable      | Description                                                                 |
|--------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| FSH          | Sensible heat not including correction for land use change and rain/snow conversion |
| FSR          | Reflected solar radiation                                                  |
| GDDHARV      | Growing degree days needed to harvest                                       |
| GDDPLANT     | Accumulated growing degree days past planting date for crop                |
| GPP          | Gross primary production                                                   |
| GRAINC_TO_FOOD | Grain carbon to food                                                       |
| H2OSNO       | Snow depth (liquid water)                                                  |
| HR           | Total heterotrophic respiration                                             |
| HTOP         | Canopy top                                                                 |
| NPP          | Net primary production                                                     |
| Q2M          | 2m specific humidity                                                       |
| QDRAI        | Sub-surface drainage                                                       |
| QDRAI_XS     | Saturation excess drainage                                                 |
| QIRRIG       | Water added through irrigation                                              |
| QOVER        | Surface runoff                                                             |
| QRUNOFF      | Total liquid runoff                                                        |
| QSNOMELT     | Snow melt rate                                                             |
| QSOIL        | Ground evaporation                                                          |
| QTOPSOIL     | Water input to surface                                                     |
| QVEGE        | Canopy evaporation                                                          |
| QVEGT        | Canopy transpiration                                                       |
| RH2M         | 2m relative humidity                                                       |
| SLASH_HARVESTC | Slash harvest carbon                                                      |
| SNOWDP       | Gridcell mean snow height                                                  |
| SOILWATER_10CM | Soil liquid water + ice in top 10cm of soil                              |
| TG           | Ground temperature                                                         |
| TLAI         | Total projected leaf area index                                            |
| TOTSOILLICE  | Vertically summed soil ice                                                 |
| Acronym   | Description                                                      |
|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| TOTSOILLIQ | Vertically summed soil liquid water                               |
| TREFMNAV   | Daily minimum of average 2-m temperature                          |
| TREFMXAV   | Daily maximum of average 2-m temperature                          |
| TSA        | 2m air temperature                                                |
| TSKIN      | Skin temperature                                                  |
| TSOI_10CM  | Soil temperature in top 10cm of soil                              |
| TV         | Vegetation temperature                                            |
| TWS        | Total water storage                                               |
| U10        | 10-m wind                                                        |
| U10_DUST   | 10-m wind for dust model                                          |
| URBAN_HEAT | Urban heating flux                                                |
| WASTEHEAT  | Sensible heat flux from heating/cooling sources of urban waste heat |
| WOOD_HARVESTC | Wood harvest carbon                                           |
| CPHASE     | Crop phenology phase                                              |
| CROPPROD1C | 1-yr grain product carbon                                         |
| CWDC_vr    | Coarse woody debris carbon, vertically resolved                   |
| CWDN_vr    | Coarse woody debris nitrogen (vertically resolved)                |
| EFLX_LH_TOT | Total latent heat flux                                          |
| FGR        | Heat flux into soil/snow including snow melt and lake / snow light transmission |
| FPSN       | Photosynthesis                                                    |
| FROOTC     | Fine root carbon                                                  |
| FSH        | Sensible heat not including correction for land use change and rain/snow conversion |
| FSNO_ICE   | Fraction of ground covered by snow                               |

**Table A6:** Available daily averaged output from the land model at landunit-level in ARISE-SAI-1.5 simulations and additional five SSP2-4.5 CESM2(WACCM6) simulations. None of the above output is contained in the first five ensemble members of CESM2(WACCM6) SSP2-4.5 simulations.
| Symbol     | Description                                                        |
|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| GDDHARV    | Growing degree days needed to harvest                               |
| GDDPLANT   | Accumulated growing degree days past planting date for crop        |
| GPP        | Gross primary production                                            |
| GRAINC     | Grain carbon                                                       |
| H2OSOI     | Volumetric soil water                                              |
| HTOP       | Canopy top                                                         |
| LEAFC      | Leaf carbon                                                        |
| LEAFN      | Leaf Nitrogen                                                      |
| LITR1C_vr, LITR2C_vr, LITR3C_vr | Amount of carbon in litter in different decomposition pools, vertically resolved |
| LITR1N_vr, LITR2N_vr, LITR3N_vr | Amount of nitrogen in litter in different decomposition pools, vertically resolved |
| LIVESTEMC  | Live stem carbon                                                   |
| PCT_CFT    | % of each crop on the crop landunit                                |
| PCT_GLC_MEC | % of each GLC elevation class on the glc_mec landunit            |
| PCT_LANDUNIT | % of each landunit on grid cell                                   |
| PCT_NAT_PFT | % of each PFT on the natural vegetation (i.e., soil) landunit    |
| QICE_FORC  | Surface mass balance of glaciated grid cells forcing sent to the glacier model |
| QIRRIG     | Water added through irrigation                                     |
| RAIN       | Atmospheric rain, after rain/snow repartitioning based on temperature |
| Rnet       | Net radiation                                                      |
| SMINN      | Soil mineral N                                                     |
| SMP        | Soil matric potential                                              |
| SOILC_vr   | SOIL C (vertically resolved)                                       |
| SOILN_vr   | SOIL N (vertically resolved)                                       |
| TLAI       | Total projected leaf area index                                    |
| TOPO_FORC  | Topographic height sent to glacier model                           |
| Variable               | Description                                      |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| TOTLITC               | Total litter carbon                              |
| TOTSOMC               | Total soil organic matter carbon                 |
| TOTVEGC               | Total vegetation carbon, excluding cpool         |
| TOT_WOODPRODC         | Total wood product carbon                         |
| TREFMNAV              | Daily minimum of average 2-m temperature         |
| TREFMXAV              | Daily maximum of average 2-m temperature         |
| TSA                   | 2m air temperature                               |
| TSAI                  | Skin temperature                                 |
| TSRF_FORC             | Surface temperature sent to glacier model        |
| TV                    | Vegetation temperature                            |

**Table A7:** Available daily averaged output from the land model at gridcell-level in ARISE-SAI-1.5 simulations and additional five SSP2-4.5 CESM2(WACCM6) simulations. None of the above output is contained in the first five ensemble members of CESM2(WACCM6) SSP2-4.5 simulations.
| Name of Variable   | Variable Description                                                                 |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| EFLX_LH_TOT        | Total latent heat flux                                                               |
| FSH                | Sensible heat not including correction for land use change and rain/snow conversion |
| H2OSNO             | Snow depth (liquid water)                                                            |
| H2OSOI             | Volumetric soil water                                                                |
| QDRAI              | Sub-surface drainage                                                                 |
| QDRAI_XS           | Saturation excess drainage                                                            |
| QOVER              | Surface runoff                                                                       |
| QRUNOFF            | Total liquid runoff                                                                  |
| QSNOMELT           | Snow melt rate                                                                       |
| QSOIL              | Ground evaporation                                                                   |
| QTOPSOIL           | Water input to surface                                                                |
| QVEGE              | Canopy evaporation                                                                   |
| QVEGT              | Canopy transpiration                                                                 |
| SOILICE            | Soil ice                                                                             |
| SOILLIQ            | Soil liquid water                                                                    |
| SOILWATER_10CM     | Soil liquid water and ice in top 10cm of soil                                         |
| TOTSOILICE         | Vertically summed soil ice                                                            |
| TOTSOILLIQ         | Vertically summed soil liquid water                                                   |
| TWS                | Total water storage                                                                  |

**Table A8**: 6-hourly averaged output from the land model in ARISE-SSAI-1.5 simulations and additional five SSP2-4.5 CESM2(WACCM6) simulations. None of the above output is contained in the first five ensemble members of CESM2(WACCM6) SSP2-4.5 simulations.
| Name of Variable          | Variable Description                                                                 |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| CaCO3_form_zint_2         | Total CaCO3 formation vertical integral                                               |
| diatChl_SURF              | Diatom chlorophyll surface value                                                      |
| diatC_zint_100m           | Diatom carbon 0-100m vertical integral                                                |
| diazChl_SURF              | Diazotroph chlorophyll surface value                                                  |
| diazC_zint_100m           | Diazotroph carbon 0-100m vertical integral                                            |
| DpCO2_2                   | Atmosphere-ocean difference in the partial pressure of CO2                           |
| ECOSYS_IFRAC_2            | Ice fraction for ecosystem fluxes                                                     |
| ECOSYS_XKW_2              | Gas transfer velocity computed based on wind speed squared for ecosys fluxes         |
| FG_CO2_2                  | Dissolved inorganic carbon surface gas glux                                            |
| photoC_diat_zint_2        | Diatom carbon fixation vertical integral                                              |
| photoC_diaz_zint_2        | Diazotroph carbon fixation vertical integral                                           |
| photoC_sp_zint_2          | Diatom carbon fixation vertical integral                                              |
| spCaCO3_zint_100m         | Small Phyto CaCO3 0-100m vertical integral                                             |
| spChl_SURF                | Small phyto chlorophyll surface value                                                 |
| spC_zint_100m             | Small phyto carbon 0-100m vertical integral                                           |
| STF_O2_2                  | Dissolved oxygen surface flux                                                         |
| zooC_zint_100m            | Zooplankton carbon 0-100m vertical integral                                           |
| HMXL_DR_2                 | Mixed-Layer depth                                                                    |
| SSS                       | Sea surface salinity                                                                 |
| SST                       | Surface potential temperature                                                         |
| SST2                      | Surface potential temperature**2                                                     |
| XMXL_2                    | Diazotroph carbon fixation vertical integral                                           |

**Table A9:** Daily averaged output from the ocean model in ARISE-SAI-1.5 simulations and all SSP2-4.5 CESM2(WACCM6) simulations.
| Name of Variable | Variable Description                          |
|------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| aice_d           | cce area (aggregate)                         |
| aicen_d          | ice area, categories                         |
| apond_ai_d       | melt pond fraction of grid cell              |
| congel_d         | congelation ice growth                       |
| daidtd_d         | area tendency dynamics                       |
| daidtt_d         | area tendency thermodynamics                 |
| dvidtd_d         | volume tendency dynamics                     |
| dvidtt_d         | volume tendency thermodynamics               |
| frazil_d         | frazil ice growth                            |
| fswabs_d         | snow/ice/ocn absorbed solar flux            |
| fswdn_d          | down solar flux                              |
| fswthru_d        | shortwave through the sea ice to ocean       |
| hi_d             | grid cell mean ice thickness                 |
| hs_d             | grid cell mean snow thickness                |
| ice_present_d    | fraction of time-avg interval that ice is present |
| meltb_d          | basal ice melt                               |
| meltl_d          | lateral ice melt                             |
| melts_d          | top snow melt                                |
| meltt_d          | top ice melt                                 |
| sisnthetic_d     | sea ice snow thickness                       |
| sispeed_d        | ice speed                                    |
| sitemptop_d      | sea ice surface temperature                 |
| sithick_d        | sea ice thickness                            |
| siu_d            | ice x velocity component                     |
| siv_d            | ice y velocity component                     |
| vicen_d          | ice volume, categories                       |
| vsnon_d          | snow depth on ice, categories                |
Table A10: Daily averaged output from the sea-ice model in ARISE-\text{SAI}-1.5 simulations and all SSP2-4.5 CESM2(WACCM6) simulations.

**Code Availability**

CESM2(WACCM6) is freely available from [https://www.cesm.ucar.edu/](https://www.cesm.ucar.edu/). CESM tag cesm2.1.4-rc.08 was used to carry out the simulations. Python scripts to generate the case directories with appropriate model tags and output can be found at [https://zenodo.org/record/6474201](https://zenodo.org/record/6474201). The code for the SO$_2$ injections controller can be downloaded from [https://zenodo.org/record/6471092](https://zenodo.org/record/6471092).
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