Relationship between quality of life and restless legs syndrome among a community-dwelling population in Japan
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Objectives: Restless legs syndrome (RLS) is a sensorimotor disturbance that causes the production of impulses and dysesthesia and makes the patients feel as though they must move their lower extremities. Because the symptoms of RLS in the lower limbs tend to develop at night, RLS could cause sleep disorders. We investigated an association between the symptoms of RLS and the health-related quality of life among community-dwelling individuals in Japan.

Methods: In this cross-sectional survey, we enrolled 985 volunteers who participated in the Iwaki Health Promotion Project in 2013. The symptoms of RLS were evaluated by the criteria of the International Restless Legs Syndrome Study Group. The assessments included an interview to obtain sociodemographic data, the second version of the Short Form Health Survey, the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression scale, and the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index. A multiple regression analysis was used to assess the relationship between the symptoms of RLS and subscores of the Short Form Health Survey, Version 2.

Results: The overall prevalence of RLS in our participants was 1.0%. We found a significant and negative association between symptoms of RLS and physical functioning, role – physical functioning, bodily pain, social functioning, and the physical composite summary score.

Conclusion: After adjusting for confounders such as age, sex, and comorbidity, the burden of RLS appears to be mainly a physical problem. Impaired health-related quality of life among community individuals with RLS emphasizes the importance of screening for these symptoms and evaluating the need for treatment.
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Background

Restless legs syndrome (RLS) is a sensorimotor disturbance characterized by an irresistible urge to move the legs during periods of rest or inactivity. The urge to move is typically accompanied or prompted by unpleasant sensations in the affected legs, which have been described by terms such as burning, crawling, painful, pulling, and tingling. The symptoms worsen at night and are temporarily relieved with movement. Because the diagnostic criteria of RLS were proposed by the International Restless Legs Syndrome Study Group (IRLSSG) of the National Institutes of Health, several studies on the prevalence of RLS have been carried out. The prevalence of RLS, as reported from population-based studies, ranges from 5.5% to 9.6% in Western countries and from −0.9% to 4.0% in Japan. Although cultural and ethnic differences might affect the differences between Japan and Western countries, the causes for this lower rate of susceptibility among Japanese individuals have not been established.
Exacerbation of RLS symptoms at night often results in marked sleep disturbances,1 and chronic sleep deprivation would be expected to have a negative impact on economic costs and on individual daily life role functioning.10 Although the sleep and sensorimotor disturbances associated with RLS are well documented, there is limited information on the effect of RLS across a range of health-related quality of life (HRQoL) domains in Japan.

In this study, we assessed (1) the prevalence of RLS and (2) the relationship between symptoms of RLS and the self-reported HRQoL among a community-dwelling population in Japan. We hypothesized that RLS symptoms would be associated with a decreased HRQoL.

Methods
Participants
The subjects included 985 volunteers (375 males and 610 females) who participated in the Iwaki Health Promotion Project in 2013. The data collection method for this study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Hirosaki University School of Medicine, and all subjects provided written informed consent before participating in the project. The demographic data (age, sex, amount of education) and medical information (positive history of hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia) were obtained from self-questionnaires and interviews.

The participants were assessed by trained testers in face-to-face interviews according to the RLS diagnostic criteria developed by the IRLSSG.1 The RLS diagnostic criteria consist of the following four items: (1) an urge to move the legs, usually accompanied or caused by an uncomfortable sensation in the legs; (2) the beginning or worsening of symptoms during periods of rest or inactivity; (3) the partial or total relief of symptoms by movement; and (4) worse symptoms in the evening or night than during the day, or occurring only in the evening or night.

The Short Form Health Survey, Version 2 (SF-36®v2), was used to assess the participants’ HRQoL.11 The SF-36®v2 is a standardized, 36-item, self-administered questionnaire that has been translated, adapted, and validated for use in Japan.12 This questionnaire assesses eight quality-of-life (QoL) domains of health status. The domains concerning physical health consist of physical functioning, role – physical functioning, bodily pain, and general health. The domains concerning mental health consist of vitality, social functioning, role – emotional functioning, and mental health. For each QoL domain, a score ranging from 0 to 100 is calculated, and higher scores indicate more positive perceptions of the HRQoL. The scores from all eight domains are combined to create more comprehensive indicators of physical and mental health: the physical composite summary (PCS) and the mental composite summary (MCS). The PCS and MCS are standardized (Japanese mean =50, standard deviation =10) to compare with the general population or with the results of other studies.

The Japanese version of the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression (CES-D) scale was administered to all participants to measure their depressive status.13,14 This questionnaire has been widely used to measure depressive symptoms in community populations and is used as a screening tool for depression. The CES-D is a 20-item self-report measure that focuses on depressive symptoms within the week prior to the administration of the questionnaire. The maximum score is 60, with higher scores indicating an increased severity of depression.

As an evaluation of sleep, we used the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI).15 The PSQI is a validated self-rated questionnaire that assesses sleep quality and disturbances during the preceding month. Eighteen individual items generate seven component scores: subjective sleep quality, sleep latency, sleep duration, habitual sleep efficiency, sleep disturbances, use of sleeping medication, and daytime dysfunction. The cutoff value is 5.5, and a perfect score is a total of 21 points.

Statistical analyses
Continuous variables were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. After adjusting for confounding factors (sex, age, CES-D score, PSQI score, and positive history of hypertension, diabetes, and dyslipidemia), a multiple regression analysis was employed to assess the relationship between the symptoms of RLS (numbers meeting the criteria of IRLSSG) and subscores of the SF-36®v2. A value of P<0.05 was considered significant. The data were analyzed using the SPSS software for Windows (Version 23.0).

Results
Characteristics of participants
Characteristics of the study subjects are shown in Table 1. Ten subjects (four males, six females) met all four items of the RLS diagnostic criteria, and the prevalence of RLS was 1.0% (male 1.1%, female 1.0%).

Comparing subscores of the SF-36®v2 between the subjects who met more than three items of the RLS diagnostic criteria and who met less than two items, all former subscores were lower than the latter (Table 2).
Factors that influenced the Short Form 36 scores

Table 3 shows the multiple regression results for the Short Form 36 (SF-36) subscores. The physical functioning, role – physical functioning, bodily pain, social functioning, and PCS scores were significantly and negatively associated with the symptoms of RLS (numbers meeting the criteria of the IRLSSG).

Table 2 Comparison of the SF-36®v2 scores between the subjects who met >3 RLS diagnostic criteria and who met <2 criteria

| Subjects who met RLS diagnostic criteria | P-value |  
|-----------------|---------|-----------------|  
| >3 (n=36) | 2 (n=949) |  
| Physical functioning | 42.0±14.3 | 49.8±12.0 | 0.003 |
| Role – physical functioning | 44.9±11.9 | 50.9±10.1 | 0.005 |
| Bodily pain | 43.7±10.1 | 49.4±9.8 | 0.001 |
| General health | 45.2±9.7 | 49.0±9.7 | 0.024 |
| Vitality | 45.9±9.3 | 50.7±9.3 | 0.003 |
| Social functioning | 48.3±10.3 | 52.3±8.6 | 0.028 |
| Role – emotional functioning | 48.2±11.2 | 51.8±9.0 | 0.064 |
| Mental health | 47.8±9.3 | 51.4±9.4 | 0.023 |
| Physical component summary | 43.8±14.6 | 50.6±11.1 | 0.010 |
| Mental component summary | 47.9±9.4 | 50.7±9.2 | 0.066 |

Abbreviations: SF-36®v2, Short Form Health Survey, Version 2; RLS, restless legs syndrome.

Discussion

This study evaluated the association between the symptoms of RLS and HRQoL among a community-dwelling population in Japan. The prevalence rate of RLS in this sample was 1.0% of participants. After adjusting for confounders, the three domains of physical health, social functioning, and the PCS score were significantly and negatively associated with RLS symptoms.

Previous studies have reported a relationship between RLS and the HRQoL. Abetz et al compared differences in the HRQoL between 85 patients with primary RLS and the general population in the UK.16 In that study, patients with RLS reported significant deficits in all domains of physical health and in three domains of mental health (vitality, social functioning, and role – emotional functioning) compared with the general population. Another study from the US found that subjects with RLS reported poorer HRQoL in all physical domains as well as in the mental health and vitality domains.17 Kushida et al reported that all SF-36 measures of individuals with RLS were significantly below the adjusted US general population norms, and the burden of RLS was greater on physical than on mental/emotional HRQoL.18 In 2010, Allen et al reported the largest-scale population study from primary practices in six countries of Western Europe.9 Although 365 of the 10,564 original participants (3.5%) were diagnosed by physicians, 91% of these subjects had not been previously diagnosed with RLS. The RLS subjects showed significant associations between the severity of RLS and all domains of physical health and two domains of mental health (vitality and social functioning) of the HRQoL. In Japan, most previous studies that investigated an association between RLS and the HRQoL were based on clinical patient populations. Therefore, there was limited evidence in Japan.19 20 Two studies focusing on an association between RLS and the HRQoL among a community population in Japan showed that the MCS on the Short Form 8 among individuals with RLS was significantly lower than in subjects without RLS.8,21

Although our results indicate that the primary health impact of RLS involves more physical than mental aspects of HRQoL, previous studies have shown a two- to fourfold risk of depression in patients with RLS.22 24 Although the neurobiological pathways involved in RLS have not been established, the effect of dopamine agonists on RLS symptom alleviation suggests that dopaminergic dysfunction might be involved.25 A dopaminergic deficiency has also been implicated in the pathophysiology of depression.26 27 A shared neurobiological origin might explain the comorbidity of mood disorders and RLS, and the high prevalence...
Table 3 Factors that influenced the SF-36 scores

| Multiple regression statistics | B      | SE   | β    | t-value | P-value |
|--------------------------------|--------|------|------|---------|---------|

### Physical functioning

| Factor                        | B     | SE   | β    | t-value | P-value |
|-------------------------------|-------|------|------|---------|---------|
| Sex                           | 1.91  | 0.69 | 0.08 | 2.79    | <0.01   |
| Age                           | -0.35 | 0.03 | -0.44| -13.71  | <0.001  |
| Positive history of hypertension | -1.74 | 0.85 | -0.06| -2.04   | <0.05   |
| Positive history of diabetes  | -2.97 | 1.46 | -0.06| -2.04   | <0.05   |
| Positive history of dyslipidemia | 1.67  | 0.96 | 0.05 | 1.74    | 0.08    |
| Number met criteria           | -1.51 | 0.44 | -0.09| -3.44   | <0.01   |
| CES-D score                   | -0.33 | 0.05 | -0.21| -7.09   | <0.001  |
| PSQi score                    | -0.25 | 0.16 | -0.05| -1.59   | 0.11    |

### Role – physical functioning

| Factor                        | B     | SE   | β    | t-value | P-value |
|-------------------------------|-------|------|------|---------|---------|
| Sex                           | 1.14  | 0.59 | 0.05 | 1.95    | 0.05    |
| Age                           | -0.19 | 0.02 | -0.29| -8.74   | <0.001  |
| Positive history of hypertension | -0.86 | 0.73 | -0.04| -1.18   | 0.24    |
| Positive history of diabetes  | -2.08 | 1.25 | -0.05| -1.66   | 0.1     |
| Positive history of dyslipidemia | 0.81  | 0.83 | 0.03 | 0.98    | 0.33    |
| Number met criteria           | -1.12 | 0.38 | -0.08| -2.97   | <0.01   |
| CES-D score                   | -0.46 | 0.04 | -0.34| -11.35  | <0.001  |
| PSQi score                    | -0.4  | 0.14 | -0.09| -2.91   | <0.01   |

### Bodily pain

| Factor                        | B     | SE   | β    | t-value | P-value |
|-------------------------------|-------|------|------|---------|---------|
| Sex                           | 0.65  | 0.61 | 0.03 | 1.08    | 0.28    |
| Age                           | -0.1  | 0.02 | -0.15| -4.44   | <0.001  |
| Positive history of hypertension | 0.12  | 0.75 | 0.01 | 0.16    | 0.87    |
| Positive history of diabetes  | -1.93 | 1.29 | -0.05| -1.5    | 0.13    |
| Positive history of dyslipidemia | 0.5   | 0.85 | 0.02 | 0.59    | 0.56    |
| Number met criteria           | -1.85 | 0.39 | -0.14| -4.76   | <0.001  |
| CES-D score                   | -0.3  | 0.04 | -0.24| -7.3    | <0.001  |
| PSQi score                    | -0.59 | 0.14 | -0.13| -4.14   | <0.001  |

### General health

| Factor                        | B     | SE   | β    | t-value | P-value |
|-------------------------------|-------|------|------|---------|---------|
| Sex                           | -0.14 | 0.56 | -0.01| -0.26   | 0.8     |
| Age                           | -0.06 | 0.02 | -0.1 | -3.06   | <0.01   |
| Positive history of hypertension | -1.61 | 0.7  | -0.07| -2.3    | <0.05   |
| Positive history of diabetes  | -0.44 | 1.19 | -0.01| -0.37   | 0.71    |
| Positive history of dyslipidemia | -1.32 | 0.79 | -0.05| -1.67   | 0.1     |
| Number met criteria           | -0.52 | 0.36 | -0.04| -1.44   | 0.15    |
| CES-D score                   | -0.56 | 0.04 | -0.44| -14.49  | <0.001  |
| PSQi score                    | -0.35 | 0.13 | -0.08| -2.66   | <0.01   |

### Vitality

| Factor                        | B     | SE   | β    | t-value | P-value |
|-------------------------------|-------|------|------|---------|---------|
| Sex                           | 0.7   | 0.5  | 0.04 | 1.39    | 0.16    |
| Age                           | 0.05  | 0.02 | 0.07 | 2.43    | <0.05   |
| Positive history of hypertension | -0.25 | 0.62 | -0.01| -0.4    | 0.69    |
| Positive history of diabetes  | -1.01 | 1.07 | -0.03| -0.95   | 0.34    |
| Positive history of dyslipidemia | 0.34  | 0.71 | 0.01 | 0.48    | 0.63    |
| Number met criteria           | -0.54 | 0.32 | -0.04| -1.67   | 0.1     |
| CES-D score                   | -0.64 | 0.03 | -0.53| -18.72  | <0.001  |
| PSQi score                    | -0.5  | 0.12 | -0.12| -4.24   | <0.001  |

### Social functioning

| Factor                        | B     | SE   | β    | t-value | P-value |
|-------------------------------|-------|------|------|---------|---------|
| Sex                           | 0.25  | 0.49 | 0.01 | 0.51    | 0.61    |
| Age                           | -0.04 | 0.02 | -0.07| -2.28   | <0.05   |
| Positive history of hypertension | 0.16  | 0.62 | 0.01 | 0.26    | 0.79    |
| Positive history of diabetes  | -0.64 | 1.05 | -0.02| -0.61   | 0.54    |
| Positive history of dyslipidemia | 0.55  | 0.7  | 0.02 | 0.79    | 0.43    |
| Number met criteria           | -0.71 | 0.32 | -0.06| -2.24   | <0.05   |
| CES-D score                   | -0.54 | 0.03 | -0.47| -15.82  | <0.001  |
| PSQi score                    | -0.37 | 0.12 | -0.1 | -3.24   | <0.01   |

(Continued)
of depressive disorders in patients with RLS indicates an association between these disorders. There is a strong relationship between insomnia and depression, and studies have shown that patients with chronic insomnia are at a high risk of developing depression.\textsuperscript{28,29} Sustained sleep disturbances in RLS likely have particular relevance in the development of depression. In line with this consideration is the modulating effect of RLS-related sleep disturbances on the association of RLS severity with emotional distress.\textsuperscript{30}

RLS is still underdiagnosed and poorly understood by clinicians.\textsuperscript{9} Cho et al reported that the SF-36 QoL in patients with RLS was lower than that of the normal controls, and even lower than patients with hypertension or diabetes.\textsuperscript{31} However, there is sufficient evidence to conclude that dopamine agonists are effective in the treatment of RLS.\textsuperscript{32} Promotion of the best practices of pharmacotherapy and screening is needed for physicians treating patients with RLS. Furthermore, there are many risk factors for RLS, including female sex, pregnancy, low iron levels, lower socioeconomic status, poor health, elderly age, comorbidity with Parkinson’s disease, positive family history of RLS, and comorbidity with psychiatric disorders. However, most of these risk factors are speculative at this time, and further investigations are required to establish their validity.\textsuperscript{33}

The current findings should be cautiously interpreted for several reasons. First, the cross-sectional nature of the study does not allow for causal assumptions about the HRQoL and RLS. Future longitudinal studies are needed to

### Table 3 (Continued)

| Role – emotional functioning | Multiple regression statistics |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                              | $B$    | SE | $\beta$ | $t$-value | $P$-value |
| Sex                          | 0.28   | 0.5 | 0.02   | 0.56     | 0.57     |
| Age                          | 0.1    | 0.02 | 0.17  | 5.51     | <0.001   |
| Positive history of hypertension | -0.66 | 0.63 | -0.03 | 1.05     | 0.29     |
| Positive history of diabetes  | -1.34  | 1.07 | -0.03 | 1.25     | 0.21     |
| Positive history of dyslipidemia | 0.7    | 0.71 | 0.03   | 0.99     | 0.32     |
| Number met criteria          | 0.44   | 0.32 | 0.04   | 1.35     | 0.18     |
| CES-D score                  | -0.61  | 0.03 | -0.51  | 17.56    | <0.001   |
| PSQI score                   | -0.21  | 0.12 | -0.05  | 1.78     | 0.07     |

**Mental health**

| Sex                          | 0.28   | 0.45 | 0.01   | 0.62     | 0.54     |
| Age                          | 0.05   | 0.02 | 0.08   | 2.76     | <0.01    |
| Positive history of hypertension | 0.5    | 0.56 | 0.02   | 0.88     | 0.38     |
| Positive history of diabetes  | -1.55  | 0.96 | -0.04  | -1.62    | 0.11     |
| Positive history of dyslipidemia | 0.34   | 0.63 | 0.01   | 0.53     | 0.59     |
| Number met criteria          | -0.28  | 0.29 | 0.02   | -0.99    | 0.32     |
| CES-D score                  | -0.8   | 0.03 | -0.66  | -26.06   | <0.001   |
| PSQI score                   | -0.26  | 0.11 | -0.06  | 2.43     | <0.05    |

**Physical component summary**

| Sex                          | 1.53   | 0.64 | 0.07   | 2.39     | <0.05    |
| Age                          | 0.31   | 0.02 | 0.2    | -13.27   | <0.001   |
| Positive history of hypertension | -1.43  | 0.8   | -0.06  | -1.79    | 0.07     |
| Positive history of diabetes  | -2.48  | 1.36 | -0.05  | -1.83    | 0.07     |
| Positive history of dyslipidemia | 1.32   | 0.9   | 0.04   | 1.47     | 0.14     |
| Number met criteria          | -1.47  | 0.41 | -0.1   | -3.61    | <0.001   |
| CES-D score                  | -0.32  | 0.04 | -0.22  | -7.37    | <0.001   |
| PSQI score                   | -0.32  | 0.15 | -0.06  | -2.16    | <0.05    |

**Mental component summary**

| Sex                          | -0.37  | 0.46 | -0.02  | -0.81    | 0.42     |
| Age                          | 0.12   | 0.02 | 0.2    | 7.01     | <0.001   |
| Positive history of hypertension | 0.33   | 0.57 | 0.02   | 0.58     | 0.56     |
| Positive history of diabetes  | -0.47  | 0.98 | -0.01  | -0.48    | 0.63     |
| Positive history of dyslipidemia | -0.4   | 0.65 | -0.02  | -0.62    | 0.54     |
| Number met criteria          | -0.25  | 0.3  | -0.02  | -0.84    | 0.4      |
| CES-D score                  | -0.7   | 0.03 | -0.58  | -22.02   | <0.001   |
| PSQI score                   | -0.39  | 0.11 | -0.1   | -3.61    | <0.001   |

**Notes:** $B$, the coefficient that is not standardized; $\beta$, the coefficient that was standardized.

**Abbreviations:** SF-36, Short Form-36; SE, standard error; CES-D, Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression; PSQI, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index.
investigate these associations. Second, several potential confounding factors, such as physical activity levels, socioeconomic status, lifestyle, iron deficiency, and antidepressant medications, were not assessed in our study. Future studies adjusting for these confounders are needed. Third, because all participants were volunteers with interest in their health, the subjects might be healthier than the general population. Therefore, those not in the study might have more severe RLS symptoms. Finally, because our sample size was relatively small, we could not completely rule out beta error as the reason that we did not detect associations between symptoms of RLS and the HRQoL.

Conclusion
RLS symptoms have a significant and negative impact on the HRQoL among community-dwelling populations. Previous studies suggest that pharmacological treatment including dopamine agonists could alleviate RLS symptoms. Promotion of the best practices of pharmacotherapy and screening is needed for physicians treating patients with RLS.

Acknowledgments
The authors thank all coworkers for their skillful contributions to the data collection and management. Norio Sugawara has received funding from a Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B); The Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan; the Karoji Memorial Fund for Medical Research (Grant B); and SENSHIN Medical Research Foundation. Norio Yasui-Furukori has received grant/research support or honoraria from and been on the speakers’ bureaus of Astellas Pharma, Dainippon Sumitomo Pharma, Eli Lilly and Company, GlaxoSmithKline, Janssen Pharmaceutical, Meiji Seika Pharma, Morphica Pharmaceutical Company, MSD K.K., Otsuka Pharmaceutical, Pfizer, TAKATA Pharmaceutical and Yoshitomiakuhin Corporation. These funders do not specifically relate to this study in design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Disclosure
The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References
1. Allen RP, Picchietti D, Hening WA, Trenkwalder C, Walters AS, Montplaisir J. Restless Legs Syndrome Diagnosis and Epidemiology workshop at the National Institutes of Health; International Restless Legs Syndrome Study Group. Restless legs syndrome: diagnostic criteria, special considerations, and epidemiology. A report from the restless legs syndrome diagnosis and epidemiology workshop at the National Institutes of Health. Sleep Med. 2003;4(2):101–119.
2. Mizuno S, Miyaoa T, Inagaki T, Horiguchi J. Prevalence of restless legs syndrome in non-institutionalized Japanese elderly. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2005;59(4):461–465.
3. Nomura T, Inoue Y, Kusumi M, Oka Y, Nakashima K. E mail-based epidemiological surveys on restless legs syndrome in Japan. Sleep Biol Rhythm. 2008;6(3):139–145.
4. Nomura T, Inoue Y, Kusumi M, Uemura Y, Nakashima K. Prevalence of restless legs syndrome in a rural community in Japan. Mov Disord. 2008;23(16):2363–2369.
5. Tsuboi Y, Imamura A, Sugimura M, Nakano S, Shirakawa S, Yamada T. Prevalence of restless legs syndrome in a Japanese elderly population. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2009;15(8):598–601.
6. Hening W, Walters AS, Allen RP, Montplaisir J, Myers A, Ferini-Strambi L. Impact, diagnosis and treatment of restless legs syndrome (RLS) in a primary care population: the REST (RLS epidemiology, symptoms, and treatment) primary care study. Sleep Med. 2004;5(3):237–246.
7. Tison F, Crochard A, Léger D, Bouée S, Lainey E, El Hasnaoui A. Epidemiology of restless legs syndrome in French adults: a nationwide survey: the INSTANT Study. Neurology. 2005;65(2):239–246.
8. Allen RP, Walters AS, Montplaisir J, et al. Restless legs syndrome prevalence and impact: REST general population study. Arch Intern Med. 2005;165(11):1286–1292.
9. Allen RP, Stillman P, Myers AJ. Physician-diagnosed restless legs syndrome in a large sample of primary medical care patients in western Europe: prevalence and characteristics. Sleep Med. 2010;11(1):31–37.
10. Durgin T, Witt EA, Fishman J. The humanistic and economic burden of restless legs syndrome. PLoS One. 2015;10(10):e0140632.
11. Jenkinson C, Stewart-Brown S, Petersen S, Paice C. Assessment of the SF-36 version 2 in the United Kingdom. J Epidemiol Community Health. 1999;53(1):46–50.
12. Sugawara N, Sasaki A, Yasui-Furukori N, et al. Hearing impairment and cognitive function as a community-dwelling population in Japan. Ann Gen Psychiatry. 2011;10(1):27.
13. RadloffLS. The CES-D scale: a self-report depression scale for research in the general population. Appl Psychol Meas. 1977;1:385–401.
14. Sugawara N, Yasui-Furukori N, Takashashi I, Matsuzaaka M, Nakaji S. Age and gender differences in the factor structure of the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale among Japanese working individuals. Compr Psychiatry. 2015;56:272–278.
15. Doi Y, Minowa M, Uchiyama M, et al. Psychometric assessment of subjective sleep quality using the Japanese version of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI-J) in psychiatric disordered and control subjects. Psychiatry Res. 2000;97(2–3):165–172.
16. Abetz L, Allen R, Follet A, et al. Evaluating the quality of life of patients with restless legs syndrome. Clin Ther. 2004;26(6):925–935.
17. Winkelman JW, Redline S, Baldwin CM, Resnick HE, Newman AB, Gottlieb DJ. Polysomnographic and health-related quality of life correlates of restless legs syndrome in the Sleep Heart Health Study. Sleep. 2009;32(6):772–778.
18. Kushida C, Martin M, Nikamp P, et al. Burden of restless legs syndrome on health-related quality of life. Qual Life Res. 2007;16(4):617–624.
19. Matsuzaaka T, Ichikawa T, Kondo H, et al. Prevalence of restless legs syndrome in Japanese patients with chronic liver disease. Hepatol Res. 2012;42(12):1221–1226.
20. Suzuki K, Miyamoto M, Miyamoto T, et al. Nocturnal disturbances and restlessness in Parkinson’s disease sleep scale-2. J Neurol Sci. 2012;318(1–2):76–81.
21. Kaginuma T, Nomura T, Kusumi M, Nakashima K, Inoue Y. Prospective survey on the natural course of restless legs syndrome over two years in a closed cohort. Sleep Med. 2011;12(9):821–826.
22. Lee HB, Hening WA, Allen RP, et al. Restless legs syndrome is associated with DSM-IV major depressive disorder and panic disorder in the community. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2008;20(1):101–115.
23. Li Y, Mirzaei F, O’Reilly EJ, et al. Prospective study of restless legs syndrome and risk of depression in women. Am J Epidemiol. 2012;176(4):279–288.
24. Hornyak M. Depressive disorders in restless legs syndrome: epidemiology, pathophysiology and management. *CNS Drugs*. 2010;24(2):89–98.
25. Becker PM, Sharon D. Mood disorders in restless legs syndrome (Willis-Ekbom disease). *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2014;75(7):e679–e694.
26. Leggio GM, Salomone S, Bucolo C, et al. Dopamine D3 receptor as a new pharmacological target for the treatment of depression. *Eur J Pharmacol*. 2013;719(1–3):25–33.
27. Finan PH, Smith MT. The comorbidity of insomnia, chronic pain, and depression: dopamine as a putative mechanism. *Sleep Med Rev*. 2013;17(3):173–183.
28. Ford DE, Kamerow DB. Epidemiologic study of sleep disturbances and psychiatric disorders. An opportunity for prevention? *JAMA*. 1989;262(11):1479–1484.
29. Riemann D, Voderholzer U. Primary insomnia: a risk factor to develop depression? *J Affect Disord*. 2003;76(1–3):255–259.
30. Kushida CA, Allen RP, Atkinson MJ. Modeling the causal relationships between symptoms associated with restless legs syndrome and the patient-reported impact of RLS. *Sleep Med*. 2004;5(5):485–488.
31. Cho YW, Kim do H, Allen RP, Earley CJ. Assessing health-related quality of life in patients with restless legs syndrome in Korea: comparison with other chronic medical diseases. *Sleep Med*. 2012;13(9):1158–1163.
32. Nagandla K, De S. Restless legs syndrome: pathophysiology and modern management. *Postgrad Med J*. 2013;89(1053):402–410.
33. Yeh P, Walters AS, Tsuang JW. Restless legs syndrome: a comprehensive overview on its epidemiology, risk factors, and treatment. *Sleep Breath*. 2012;16(4):987–1007.
34. Fuhs A, Bentama D, Antkowiak R, Mathis J, Trenkwalder C, Berger K. Effects of short- and long-term variations in RLS severity on perceived health status – the COR-study. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(4):e94821.