Reducing the effective dose of cisplatin using gold nanoparticles as carriers
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Background
The increasing rate of cancer cases is alarming. In 2018, 9.6 million people died of the disease (WHO 2020). Current treatments, such as chemical therapy using cisplatin (CisPt) as a basis, cause considerable collateral damage to the patient (Ahmad 2017).

CisPt acts as an alkylating agent with affinity to DNA, binds to the guanine and cytosine residues, and prevents the processes of replication and transcription of the genetic material, resulting in cell death by apoptosis (Ahmad 2017). However, cisplatin is unable to differentiate between normal and cancerous cells. Moreover, cancer cells can develop resistance to chemotherapy over time.

Abstract
Nanotechnology is a frequent treatment for cancer. Nanomaterials are the vehicles which deliver drugs in smaller but equally effective quantities. The aim of this investigation is to synthesize gold nanoparticles, functionalize them for the transportation of cisplatin and release them to the cancer-affected area. They have the same cytotoxicity as conventional treatments but with the smallest effective quantity of cisplatin. We synthesized spherical gold nanoparticles using the Turkevich method. We functionalized them with polyethylene glycol and cisplatin, adapting the method used by Sun. Using electronic transmission microscopy, Dynamic Light Scattering and potential Z, we analyzed the size, hydrodynamic size, shape and stability of the synthesized nanoparticles. We analyzed their composition using images from scanning electronic microscopy to carry out energy dispersive spectroscopy measurements, ultraviolet/visible light spectroscopy and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy. We used MTT tests to find cell viability and obtained the IC50 of the different cancer cell lines. The synthesized nanoparticles were spherical in shape and, after functionalization, were of the core–shell type. They contain approximately 7% cisplatin. The IC50 obtained diminished approximately 7 times (compared to the IC50 of cisplatin used alone) when using the gold nanoparticles. Therefore, this study opens up the possibility of an alternative way of transporting the cisplatin anticancer drug, using gold nanoparticles. It decreases the dose and has the same effect as the cisplatin, so that the undesired side effects decrease.
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Background
The increasing rate of cancer cases is alarming. In 2018, 9.6 million people died of the disease (WHO 2020). Current treatments, such as chemical therapy using cisplatin (CisPt) as a basis, cause considerable collateral damage to the patient (Ahmad 2017).

CisPt acts as an alkylating agent with affinity to DNA, binds to the guanine and cytosine residues, and prevents the processes of replication and transcription of the genetic material, resulting in cell death by apoptosis (Ahmad 2017). However, cisplatin is unable to differentiate between normal and cancerous cells. Moreover, cancer cells can develop resistance to chemotherapy over time.
This anticarcinogen also has serious side effects such as hair loss, weakness and pain (Astolfi et al. 2013).

Given this situation, it is necessary to seek new treatment alternatives that reduce or eliminate side effects. To achieve this, it is desirable to administer controlled doses, focused exclusively on attacking cancer cells.

The field of nanotechnology may offer an alternative way to treat cancer. Nanoparticles are particles with sizes between 1 and 100 nm (López 2013). They have various uses in nanomedicine, biosignalization, biological separation, molecular imaging and cancer therapy (Kaushik et al. 2009; Kuo et al. 2009; Ortiz-Benitez et al. 2015; Sánchez et al. 1996). It is possible to engineer the nanoparticles to deliver drugs to specific cells or parts of the human body, although some of them can become, due to their atomic components, toxic, non-selective, and unstable (Ma et al. 2015; Nath et al. 2017). One of the nanoparticles that does not suffer from these shortcomings is the gold nanoparticle (AuNps) which combines ease of synthesis, chemical stability, and limited cytotoxic effects (Couto et al. 2016). Thus, it has been used in signaling, in imaging and as a drug carrier, given its low toxicity (Alkilany and Murphy 2010; Craig et al. 2012).

The objective of this research is to synthesize AuNps, functionalize them with CisPt, and release them into the cancer cells. In this study, we suggest a methodology for the functionalization of the AuNps and carry out in vitro experiments with several concentrations of CisPt to assess their cytotoxic effect. For this purpose, we obtained two types of functionalized gold nanoparticles, one with polyethylene glycol (PEG), henceforth AuNpPEG, and another with PEG and CisPt, henceforth AuNpPEGCisPt. We carried out chemical analysis based on energy dispersion spectroscopy (EDS) using scanning electron microscopy to verify the core–shell type of our samples. To check chemical bonding, we also used Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). We measured the size of the nanoparticles using an electronic transmission microscope (TEM) and their hydrodynamic size using dynamic light scattering (DLS) and potential Z. The tests against cancer cell lines showed that the AuNpPEGCisPt reaches inhibitory concentration 50 (IC50) using a smaller dose than the CisPt by itself. This may be the first step to achieving an alternative therapy for cancer, using nanotechnology.

The present investigation seeks to develop a nanoparticle that would deliver the smallest effective quantity of cisplatin to the cancer-affected area.

### Results

#### The functionalization and synthesis of gold nanoparticles

The AuNps possess a characteristic spectrum when visualized in the UV/VIS spectrophotometer (Ji et al. 2007). In this case, the AuNps had a peak at 519 nm, AuNpPEG at 523 nm and AuNpPEGCisPt at 525 nm (Fig. 1). We used TEM to estimate the size and shape of the different nanoparticles, showing that the AuNps have a diameter of 8.6 ± 1.97 nm, AuNpPEG of 9.5 ± 1.24 nm and AuNpPEGCisPt of 10 ± 1.76 nm. All the nanoparticles synthesized have a spherical shape (Fig. 2). In addition, we determined the hydrodynamic size, Z potential and polydispersity index of the Nps (Table 1); Nps, being immersed either in H2O and DMEM medium without fetal bovine serum, tended to form agglomerates, and Z potential was use to 1 mV. On the other hand, when the nanoparticles were immersed in DMEM medium supplemented with fetal bovine serum
at 10%, they also tended to form agglomerates although of smaller size, Z potential being close to 1 mV.

**Functioning of the AuNps with PEG and CisPt**

One aim of this investigation was to bond the CisPt to the AuNps. Direct bonding resulted in the product obtained precipitating and forming large agglomerates. We used PEG as an agent to solubilize and stabilize the bond (Vijaya and Ganesan 2011). We
attempted to join the CisPt to the AuNps and the modified technique reported by Sun et al. (2014) is the one that gave better results. We analyzed each synthesized nanoparticle to determine the type of bonding created between the CisPt and the AuNps. For this purpose, we used the FTIR technique. We placed the samples in aqueous solution. H2O produces a large signal between 3300 and 3500 cm⁻¹ where hydroxyls groups occur (Botha et al. 2015). The AuNps show a characteristic spectrum of this type when they are in aqueous solution (Botha et al. 2015). When we functionalized the AuNps with PEG, we observed the C=O bonds, proper to this polymer (at 1634 and 1633 cm⁻¹). In addition, we found a peak corresponding to CH groups of PEG at 2152 cm⁻¹ (Ha et al. 2005). Hydrogen bonding, tight OH junctions between 3570 and 3200 cm⁻¹, and wide junctions of H between 3400 and 3200 cm⁻¹ indicate that the CisPt and the different nanoparticles are in interaction with H₂O (Botha et al. 2015). Finally, we observed Pt–N bonds in AuNpPEGCisPt, corresponding to CisPt; the signals occurred at 3945, 3458, 3418, 615, 581 cm⁻¹ (Rajath et al. 2015), 599 and 560 cm⁻¹ (Balice and Theophanides 1970) (Fig. 3).

The bonding of CisPt with AuNps
To confirm that the CisPt had coupled with the AuNps, and the type of bond which existed, we carried out an analysis based on SEM/EDS and FTIR. Moreover, we used the EDS analysis to verify the composition of the AuNps and we took this as a point of reference to compare the functionalized nanoparticles with PEG (Fig. 4b) and CisPt. The AuNps showed the presence of Au, O and Ca (Fig. 4a). When analyzing the AuNpPEG-CisPt, we observed the presence of the chemical components of the CisPt, such as Pt, Cl and N, in addition to Au (Fig. 4c). Using the FTIR technique, we detected the elements which make up the AuNpPEGCisPt nanoparticle and the links which form between these elements as we explained in the previous paragraph.

Cytotoxic effect of nanoparticles
To determine the cytotoxic effect of the different nanoparticles synthesized, it was necessary to challenge them against the cervical cancer (HeLa), gastric cancer (AGS) and glioblastoma (C6) cell lines. In the same way, we tested the CisPt as a positive control. This investigation suggests that neither AuNps (open square) nor AuNpPEG

| Nanoparticles | Size (nm) ± SD | PDI ± SD | Zeta potential (mV) ± SD |
|---------------|---------------|---------|--------------------------|
| AuNps (H₂O)   | 371.3 ± 144.6 | 0.566 ± 0.290 | 0.826 ± 0.641 |
| AuNpPEG (H₂O) | 149.5 ± 16.61 | 0.219 ± 0.008 | −1.23 ± 0.218 |
| AuNpPEGCisPt (H₂O) | 594.1 ± 323.8 | 0.623 ± 0.326 | 0.738 ± 0.803 |
| AuNps (w/o serum) | 1942 ± 402.9 | 0.992 ± 0.014 | 0.172 ± 0.606 |
| AuNpPEG (w/o serum) | 101.6 ± 40.97 | 0.197 ± 0.042 | −0.007 ± 0.012 |
| AuNpPEGCisPt (w/o serum) | 147.7 ± 114.1 | 0.447 ± 0.201 | −0.0097 ± 0.008 |
| AuNps (serum) | 55.12 ± 5.023 | 0.650 ± 0.11 | 0.044 ± 0.099 |
| AuNpPEG (serum) | 49.08 ± 0.51 | 0.531 ± 0.006 | −0.014 ± 0.017 |
| AuNpPEGCisPt (serum) | 67.52 ± 31.78 | 0.344 ± 0.131 | −0.078 ± 0.06 |

Table 1 Hydrodynamic characteristics of the Nps synthesized in different solutions
(open triangle) has a cytotoxic effect on the different cancer cell lines, or that this is minimal (Fig. 5a–c).

On the one hand, CisPt (open circle) causes IC$_{50}$ at a concentration of \(69.2 \pm 3.2 \mu g/mL\) in HeLa cells, \(286.5 \pm 2.5 \mu g/mL\) in AGS cells and \(379.3 \pm 3.0 \mu g/mL\) in C6 cells. On the other hand, AuNpPEGCisPt (open diamond) causes an IC$_{50}$ in HeLa cells at \(104.5 \pm 7.0 \mu g/mL\), in AGS cells at \(76.5 \pm 5.0 \mu g/mL\) and in C6 cells at \(97.2 \pm 5.4 \mu g/mL\) (Fig. 5, Table 2).

**Discussion**

CisPt is widely used in cancer therapy, sometimes in combination with other drugs, despite its multiple side effects. Nanotechnology provides an alternative solution that unites the effectiveness of CisPt and a reduction of its secondary effects.

In this study, we carried out the synthesis of a nanoparticle using the Turkevich et al. (1951) technique. We obtained AuNps functionalized with PEG and CisPt. The AuNps synthesized exhibits a characteristic spectrum in solution (Alcantar et al. 2000).

The first step out was to determine the composition of the nanoparticles. We used the FTIR technique that indicates the presence of the elements that make up each of
the synthesized Nps by recognizing the bonds they have formed. The EDS technique was then used to determine the composition of the Nps quantitatively.

We observed two signals using the FTIR analysis: one in the range between 2900 and 3800 cm\(^{-1}\) and another between 1600 and 1700 cm\(^{-1}\). It seems that the OH signal overshadowed the methyl groups that the OH groups produce (Botha et al. 2015). When functionalizing the AuNps with PEG, the methyl groups (2960–2870 cm\(^{-1}\)) and carboxylic acid (3400–3200 cm\(^{-1}\)), the H\(_2\)O signal overshadowed them (Botha et al. 2015). In the case of the CisPt adhered to the AuNpPEG, the bonds Pt–N (599–560 cm\(^{-1}\)) and Pt (3458–3418, 1641–1640 and 599–560 cm\(^{-1}\)) also showed this effect (Rajath et al. 2015). CisPt displays two signals at 1318 and 1298 cm\(^{-1}\). We also
observed these signals in AuNP-PEG-CisPt at 1066 and 1046 cm$^{-1}$. A possible explanation for this difference between the peaks is the existence of an alteration of the ions of the CisPt, which encapsulate inside the matrix of the AuNP-PEG-CisPt (Rajath et al. 2015).

To confirm directly the functionalization of the AuNPs with PEG and CisPt, we used scanning electron microscopy and transmission electron microscopy. The micrographics (SEM/EDS) corresponding to the three nanoparticles are given in Fig. 4. We stress that we took the images in the backscattering electron mode to detect any visual difference in the atomic composition, with the aim of exposing the core–shell shape. The images are blurred and remained so, even using a higher resolution, because the size of the sample was very small. Therefore, to check any possible bonding between AuNPs and PEG/CisPt, we carried out an EDS analysis in the punctual mode, that is, and an

| Cell line | CisPt (IC$_{50}$ μg/mL) | AuNps-PEG-CisPt (IC$_{50}$ μg/mL) | CisPt inside the AuNps-PEG-CisPt (μg/mL) |
|-----------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| HeLa      | 69.2 ± 3.2               | 104.5 ± 7.0                     | 7.31                                   |
| C6        | 379.3 ± 3.0              | 76.5 ± 5.0                      | 5.35                                   |
| AGS       | 286.5 ± 2.5              | 97.2 ± 5.4                      | 6.80                                   |

Table 2 The quantity of CisPt and AuNP-PEG-CisPt which causes IC$_{50}$ in the different cell lines
EDS at a point in the image. For the case of AuNps, we carried out punctual EDS measurements on several AuNPs. The results showed a high percentage of the nanoparticle is Au (Fig. 4a–c). By a similar procedure, we analyzed the samples with AuNpPEGCisPt and we detected traces of Au, Pt and O (Fig. 4c). This is what one would expect if PEG and CisPt invariably bonded to AuNps. To rule out the possibility that these components appeared all over the substrate, we carried out several punctual EDS in the interstitial regions between nanoparticles and we observed no traces of Au, Pt, Cl, Na, or O. This shows that, in between the nanoparticle areas, there is no other material. Therefore, it is possible to deduce that PEG and CisPt bond to AuNps.

Once we have found the composition of each of the synthesized Nps, we proceed to measure its size. It is important to note that the size of the Nps is influenced by the characteristics of the environment in which they are located (Moore et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2015). That is why we measured the size of the different Nps after their synthesis and then their size in conditions closer to their physiological conditions. That is, their hydrodynamic size and potential Z were determined. Both parameters gave us an idea of how Nps would behave in a more complex system such as cancer cell lines (Lowry et al. 2016).

The size of the Nps after their synthesis was measured by TEM. It was found to be 8.6 nm with a standard deviation of 1.97 nm for AuNps, and 10 nm with standard deviation of 1.76 nm for AuNpPEGCisPt. This clearly shows that there is an overall increase in diameter of 1.4 nm which can only be attributed to the functionalization of the AuNps with PEG and CisPt. Gathering all this information, we could make a rough estimate of the quantity of CisPt that adhered to the AuNps. We subtracted the volume of the sphere of AuNps from the volume of AuNpPEGCisPt, which is the volume occupied by the “shell” full of PEG and CisPt. To determine the quantity of CisPt in this shell, we divide the volume of the shell by the volume of a CisPt molecule and multiply it by 7% which is the maximum atomic concentration of Pt in AuNpPEGCisPt (according to the EDS). Taking the radius of a single CisPt molecule as 0.5 nm (Baowan et al. 2017), we found that each AuNpPEGCisPt has roughly 462 molecules of CisPt, which corresponds to a mass of $2.263 \times 10^{-19}$ g.

As for the hydrodynamic size and potential Z, we have confirmed that the behavior of the different Nps is influenced by the medium in which they are resuspended (Danaei et al. 2018). We observed that the AuNp, regardless of the medium in which they are resuspended, tend to form agglomerates. This is one of the reasons why we decided to functionalize the AuNps with PEG. Previous work shows that PEG is a compound that provides solubility and stability to the AuNps (Guerrini et al. 2018). In our case, we observed that, when functionalizing the AuNps with PEG, the size of the agglomerates which formed decreases.

Finally, the behavior of the Nps was observed in an identical medium to that in which the cancer cells develop. Under these conditions, closer to their physiological conditions, we observed that the agglomerates were smaller and more stable in a medium similar to the conditions found in cellular development.

Once we had confirmed that the AuNpPEGCisPt contained CisPt and that its behavior in a physiological-like environment was acceptable, we proceeded to perform cell viability tests to determine whether the synthesized Nps had a cytotoxic
effect on cancer cell lines. First, it was necessary to establish if, in carrying out the feasibility test, there was no overlap (and subsequent overestimation) of the wavelengths of the product of MTT metabolism (formazan) and the wavelengths of the cells and the different synthesized Nps. Our results show (Additional file 1) that there is no overlap between the wavelengths of the cells, the Nps and the formazan. Hence, we did not obtain overestimated figures when determining the cell viability and the IC$_{50}$. It has been shown that AuNps and functionalized AuNps do not interfere with the MTT feasibility tests. (Altunbek and Culha 2017; Sanderson et al. 2014).

Under experimental conditions, we found that neither AuNps nor AuNpPEG have a cytotoxic effect on the cell lines tested here.

On the other hand, when the gold particle is the vehicle for the CisPt (AuNpPEG-CisPt), the amount necessary to obtain the IC$_{50}$ diminishes. In the case of the HeLa cells, it is necessary to use 69.2 ± 3.2 μg/mL of CisPt and only 104.5 ± 7.0 μg/mL of AuNpPEGCisPt. At first sight, it would seem that we use more CisPt. However, we must take into consideration that in the case of the HeLa cells, the AuNpPEGCisPt only contains approximately 7% of CisPt to obtain IC$_{50}$. We used only 7.31 μg/mL of CisPt to obtain the same IC$_{50}$. We observed the same tendency in the other cell lines (Table 2).

The synthesized nanoparticles must have a series of characteristics to be a valid alternative in the treatment of cancer. They must be harmless and not destroy normal cells. In our case, both AuNps and AuNpPEG comply with this requirement. AuNpPEG can therefore function as a vehicle for the CisPt. We have shown that AuNpPEGCisPt contains small amounts of CisPt. However, a smaller amount of CisPt is required, if it is attached to the AuNPEGCisPt, than the quantity required if CisPt is administered alone.

Previous work has shown that the Nps can suffer a series of inconveniences when they come into contact with a more complex system such as that of the human metabolism. Nps can be recognized by the immune system and become less effective due to a low bioavailability (Gustafson et al. 2015). Thus, we decided to functionalize our AuNps with PEG, which has been shown to help AuNps to gain solubility, evade the immune system and therefore increase their half-life (Li et al. 2014a, b). In our case, we have also observed an increase in the effectiveness of CisPt in which it diminishes the IC$_{50}$, despite the fact that the AuNpPEGCisPt forms agglomerates. This phenomenon seems to support our position. Nps functionalized with PEG have the ability to bind to cell membranes and, in the case of AuNpPEGCisPt, because of its size, may permit it to cross the cell membrane. This would facilitate the arrival of CisPt inside the cell and its subsequent transportation to the nucleus, which is where this compound would take effect (Suk et al. 2016).

Reports show that cancer cells can reduce the effects of CisPt by binding to the metallothionine protein or glutathione (Huska et al. 2009). The CisPt ejects through the membrane (Ahmad 2017). By joining the CisPt to AuNpPEG, these proteins may no longer recognize the CisPt because it is inside the core–shell nanoparticle (Li et al. 2014a, b; Zrazhevskiy et al. 2010). This is the reason why, in this investigation, we used much smaller amounts of CisPt (Brown et al. 2010). The AuNp, because of its size and polarity, helps the CisPt to cross the cellular membrane (Lin et al. 2010). In
addition, AuNpPEG works as a masking system for the CisPt (Babu et al. 2013). CisPt is poorly soluble in water. One must suspend it in water to reach the nucleus of the cell. It seems that AuNpPEGCisPt improves its solubility (Johnstone et al. 2016).

The size of the AuNpPEGCisPt provides a large contact surface that facilitates its interaction with the genetic material of the cell (Wang et al. 2016). It also enhances the interaction of CisPt with the nitrogenous bases of DNA, intervening in the functions of cellular transcription and replication and causes cell death by apoptosis (Hall et al. 2007).

Conclusions

We developed a new methodology for the synthesis of a Gold core–shell type nanoparticle. It contains the anticancer CisPt within the shell and may facilitate transport across the cell membrane.

This in vitro study has determined that, if we use AuNps as the nanocarriers, the amount of CisPt necessary to cause the desired cytotoxic effect is less than the amount used in conventional cancer treatments.

These results show that the synthesized AuNpPEGCisPt is a good transport of CisPt. It decreases substantially the dose and has the same effect as the CisPt, so that the undesired side effects may decrease. The synthesized nanoparticles AuNps and AuNpPEG could be potential nanovehicles for the creation of theranostic nanoparticles.

Material and method

Synthesis of nanoparticles

We synthesized gold nanoparticles using the chemical reduction method (Turkevich et al. 1951). We cleaned the glass material with a solution of aqua regia at 1:3 (HNO₃ + 3 HCl). Afterward, we washed the material with H₂O miliQ.

First, we heated 0.01 M of HAuCl₄·3H₂O [Gold (III) chloride trihydrate, sigma 520918] with miliQ water in a ratio of 1:10. We added sodium citrate (18 mL of 38.88 mM, S4641 Sigma-Aldrich), boiled the mixture for 15 min with magnetic agitation, and let it cool down to 4 °C until use. Next, we functionalized AuNps with PEG and CisPt, adapting the method used by Sun et al. (2014).

We synthesized AuNpPEG by mixing 1 mg of AuNps with 1 mg of PEG (average Mn 3500. 757837 Aldrich) stirring the mixture for 18 h at room temperature. We eliminated the excess of PEG by centrifuging the mixture at 15000 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C.

We synthesized the AuNpPEGCisPt by mixing 1 mg AuNps with 1 mg of PEG and 1 mg of CisPt (P4394 Sigma). The solutions remained in constant magnetic agitation for 18 h at room temperature. Later, we separated the PEG and CisPt that did not bind to the AuNps by centrifuging the product at 15,000 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C. We washed the synthesized nanoparticles with H₂O miliQ on three occasions and stored them at 4 °C until use.

Characterization of the gold nanoparticles

We analyzed the size, microstructure, atomic concentration, chemical composition and morphology of the samples using scanning electron microscopy with energy dispersive spectroscopy (SEM/EDS), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), ultraviolet–visible
light spectroscopy (UV/VIS) and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). The dynamic light scattering (DLS) technique was employed to obtain the particle size and PDI values. Meanwhile, we measured Zeta Potential.

**Ultraviolet/visible spectroscopy (UV/VIS)**
We read the spectrometric absorbance of the synthesized nanoparticles by means of an Ultraviolet–Visible Spectrophotometer (UV–Vis) SPECTROstar nano BMG labtech spectrophotometer. 50 µL of nanoparticles was selected and deposited in a quartz cuvette. We recorded the optical absorbance at a wavelength range between 200 and 800 nm.

**Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)**
We analyzed the samples in solution by FTIR spectroscopy, using two Perkin Elmer spectrometers. 50 µL of the nanoparticles were placed in the universal ATR plate. It was necessary to establish good contact with the sample to carry out the reading. The wavenumber interval used for this analysis was from 4000 to 400 cm$^{-1}$, at a resolution of 1.0 cm$^{-1}$.

**Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)**
For the analysis of the microstructure, we placed the AuNps, AuNpPEG or AuNpPEG-CisPt on a formvar coated onto copper grids and examined them with a Transmission Electron Microscope Philips Tecnai-10, at an accelerating rate of 100 kV. We determined the size of the nanoparticles using the program Soft Imaging System analySIS 3.0.

**Scanning electron microscopy with energy dispersive spectroscopy (SEM/EDS)**
For the analysis of the microstructure, chemical composition and atomic concentration, we placed the nanoparticles on a 7 mm × 12 mm aluminum sample holder and loaded it into the vacuum chamber of a scanning electron microscope Hitachi SU5000 SEM. The distance between the samples and the electron source was 10 cm. An electron beam with an energy of 20 keV impinged on the sample. We determined the size of the nanoparticles using the program Image J (version 1.52a).

**Hydrodynamic size and zeta potential**
To characterize the nanoparticles, we measured their size, distribution (Polydispersity Index, PDI) and zeta potential. The dynamic light scattering (DLS) technique was employed to obtain the particle size and PDI values. Meanwhile, Zeta Potential was measured by Velocimetry Laser Doppler (VLS). AuNps, AuNpPEG and AuNpPEGCisPt were resuspended in H$_2$O, culture medium DMEM with bovine fetal serum (serum) at 10% and DMEM and culture medium DMEM without bovine fetal serum (w/o serum). The measurements were performed using a Malvern Instrument ZS90; Malvern, UK Results are reported in terms of average ± standard deviation (SD).

**Cell culture**
We cultivated three cancer cell lines. These were cervical cancer (HeLa), gastric cancer (AGS) and neuroblastoma (C6). All the cell lines were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Rockville, MD, USA).
We used the cells obtained to interact with the nanoparticles and determine IC\textsubscript{50}. The cells grew for 24 h in 25 cm\textsuperscript{2} culture bottles with DMEM medium (Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium, Thermo Fisher 11,995–065) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Thermo Fisher 16000–044), 1% antibiotic (10,000 U/mL penicillin and 10,000 μg/mL streptomycin, Thermo Fisher 15140122) at 37 °C and 5% CO\textsubscript{2}.

The in vitro tests for the determination of the IC\textsubscript{50} (Fig. 6)
We carried out the assays in triplicate in a 96-well plate. In each well, we deposited 4 × 10\textsuperscript{4} cells and allowed them to adapt for 24 h at 37 °C and 5% CO\textsubscript{2}. Subsequently, we added increasing amounts of AuNps, AuNpPEG and AuNpPEGCisPt (62.5, 125, 250, 500 and 1000 μg/mL). We left them incubating for 24 h at 37 °C and 5% CO\textsubscript{2}. To determine the percentage of viability and the IC\textsubscript{50} we added 10 μL of a solution of 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT, M5655 Sigma) at a concentration of 5 mg/mL to each well and incubated them for 4 h at 37 °C and 5% CO\textsubscript{2}. Next, we added 100 μL of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, D2650 Sigma). Finally, we read the plate in a spectrophotometer at 570 nm (SPECTROstar nano BMG labtech.). We determined the IC\textsubscript{50} using the Graph Pad Prism version 6.00 for Windows, GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA, http://www.graphpad.com. We included the following controls to the feasibility test: a positive control with CisPt (at a concentration of 62.5, 125, 250, 500 and 1000 μg/mL) and a negative control without treatment. We also carried out UV/VIS to determine the wavelengths of the cancer cells and clarify the problem of possible overlap with the wavelengths of the different synthesized Nps and the MTT metabolism product (formazan). We would thus avoid overvalued figures. We placed an aliquot of cancer cells, Nps or formazan in a cuvette at a concentration (optical density, OD) of between 0.8 and 1. We measured the absorbance peaks of the cells, Nps and formazan. Subsequently, the following combinations were made: Cells + AuNps, Cells + AuNpPEG and Cells + AuNpPEGCisPt.

Statistical analysis
We presented the data as means ± SEM from at least three independent experiments and compared by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by multiple
comparison analysis averages Tukey. P value less than 0.05 was considered significant. We carried out statistical analyses with the GraphPad Prism version 6.00 for Windows, GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA, http://www.graphpad.com.
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Additional file 1. Ultraviolet-visible light spectroscopy of HeLa cells and synthesized nanoparticles.
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