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Removal of cervical spinal tumor with large infero-lateral extension through anterolateral (interscalene and transforaminal) approach
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**ABSTRACT**

Cervical spinal tumor with large lateral extension is usually removed through posterolateral approach and rarely through anterolateral approach. A young man presented with neck pain and brachialgia. Cervical spinal MRI showed a intraspinal tumor with huge extraforaminal paraspinous extension. We removed the tumor through anterolateral (interscalene and transforaminal) approach. Postoperatively patient recovered from his sufferings and returned to normal life. Here we report the case along with operative technical aspects.
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**Introduction**

Spinal schwannoma and meningioma constitutes the main bulk of spinal extramedullary tumor.[1] This type of schwannoma may be intradural, extradural or hourglass form (intra and extradural).[2] For removal of such tumor standard midline posterior, unilateral posterior, posterolateral, anterior and anterolateral approach has been described.[2-6] Here we report removal of a cervical C7 spinal tumor with large inferolateral extension through anterolateral (interscalene and transforaminal) approach along with operative technical aspects.

**Case Report**

A thirty years old male presented with neck pain and left sided brachialgia. His neck movements were restricted and painful (mild to moderate pain). There was an ill-defined fixed mildly tender mass in left lower posterior triangle of neck. Hoffman’s sign was bilaterally positive. But motor, sensory and autonomic functions including reflexes were normal in all limbs. Other general and systemic examination revealed no abnormality. MRI of cervical spine showed a 4 × 6 × 4 cm intradural extramedullary tumor with paraspinous extension through left C6 and 7 intervertebral foramen [Figure 1a-c]. Plain X-ray cervical spine showed large intervertebral foramen between C6 and 7 on left side [Figure 1d and e].

**Operation**

**Approach**

Anterolateral (interscalene) approach was used to remove the tumor completely.

**Position of the patient**

Supine position; with 20° elevation of head and neck. Head was turned to right side. Left shoulder was slightly elevated and kept on slight traction toward the leg.

**Incision**

Under the operating microscope, a 4 cm transverse incision was made 4 cm above the middle third of left clavicle that extended over the posterior part of sternocleidomastoid muscle and anterior part of trapezius [Figure 2].

**Techniques**

After cutting of subcutaneous tissue and platysma in the same line of skin incision investing layer of deep
cervical fascia was cut along the posterior border of sternocleidomastoid 3 cm up and down to the skin incision line. Tumor was found after deep fascial incision. Sternochleidomastoid muscle was retracted anteriorly to exposure anterior scalenus muscle. On the anterior surface of anterior scalenus muscle phrenic nerve was identified and safeguarded by medial mobilization. Anterior scalenus muscle was cut above its insertion to scalenus tubercle of first rib after taking care not to injure subclavian vessels. Sternochleidomastoid muscle was retracted anteriorly and trapezius muscle was retracted posteriorly and the tumor was dealt. Fascia over the tumor capsule was dissected and tumor debulking was started but it was very hard and minimally vascular. Tumor was debulked by cutting with micro-scissor and sharp BP blade (No. 11). After removal of lateral part of tumor upper and middle trunk of brachial plexus came in view that were safeguarded. Intraspinal intradural part was dealt through the C6 and 7 intervertebral foramen which was already capacious. The tumor easily came out through the dural opening along with arachnoid which was peeled off and tumor removed completely [Figure 3a-f]. Any bony work for foraminal enlargement was not needed and spinal stability seemed to be intact. Vertebral artery did not come in view. A portion of arachnoid remained outside the spinal canal through the dural opening with leaking of CSF. The redundant arachnoid was put inside the dura but closure of dural opening was not possible. For prevention of CSF leak, pseudo-meningocele or arachnoid herniation two pieces of thigh fat was put in the dead space. Wound was closed in layers without drain.

Postoperative period
Post operatively patient recovered uneventfully. Histopathological examination of operative specimen reported schwannoma Antoni A. His neurology after operation was intact. Postoperative MRI at the end of 4 months after operation showed no residual tumor but there was a pseudo-meningocele with grafted fat at the operated site [Figure 4a-d].

Discussion
70% spinal schwannoma arises from sensory root, 20% from motor roots and rest from both motor and sensory roots.[7] About 75% schwannomas are intradural, 10% intra-extradural and rest (15%) are extradural.[8] A multiplicity of schwannomas is frequently noted in cases involving NF Type 1. A multiplicity of schwannomas at any spinal level is reported in approximately 4% of cases.[5,8] Symptoms and signs are usually diagnostic in nature. Unusual symptoms of syncopal attacks, migraine headaches, and unrelated motor and sensory symptoms, however, can lead to misdiagnosis.[9,10] These tumors are slow growing and usually attain a large size before becoming symptomatic.[9] Dumbbell-shaped tumors located elsewhere in the spine are generally classified as intraspinal, foraminal, and extraradicular.[2] Asazume et al. classified cervical dumbbell tumors in six types as follows: Type I represents intraspinal intra- and extradural tumors which constrict the dura and do not involve the foramen. Type IIa, lesions are extradural, show foraminal constriction, but are not extraradicular. Types IIb and IIc tumors are extradural/paravertebral

Figure 1: Preoperative MRI of Cervical spine; a-coronal, b-axial and c-sagittal view showing intradural extramedullary tumor with paraspinal and interlateral extension through left C6 and 7 intervertebral foramen. Preoperative X-ray of cervical spine; d-lateral view and e-antero-posterior view showing enlarged left C6 and 7 intervertebral foramen

Figure 2: Healed incision mark on left antero-lateral neck above the clavicle
and foraminal/paravertebral, respectively. Type III tumors have both dural and foraminal constrictions: Type IIIa tumors include intra- and extradural foraminal tumors with dural and foraminal constructions and IIIb are intra- and extradural paravertebral. Type IV tumors are extra- and intravertebral and invade the vertebral body; type V lesions are extradural and extralaminal with laminar invasion and type VI tumors show multi-directional bone erosion.\[11\] PUTH classification for cervical dumbbell tumors includes 7 categories (types 1-7) and 2 foraminal modifiers. Posterior approach is appropriate for PUTH type 1, 2 and 5 tumors, anterior and anterolateral approach is an ideal choice for type 4 and 6 tumors.\[12\] PUTH Type 7 tumors need combined anterior and posterior approach. Malignant changes of such tumor are marked by infiltration of paraspinal muscles and destruction which is rare.\[9\]

These tumors are located lateral, anterolateral, or anterior to the spinal cord. Several posterior, posterolateral, lateral, and anterolateral approaches have been described to surgically approach these tumors.\[2-5,13\] Pecker et al. in 1980 reported interscalene removal of six intervertebral foraminal schwannoma; then there is large pause in the literature regarding this approach.\[11\] Our report is probably the second in the literature describing interscalene transforaminal approach for removal of C7 spinal nerve schwannoma. Standard midline posterior approach is suitable and most appropriate to resect almost all types of schwannomas with transtumoral resection techniques. A similar surgical strategy had been reported by several investigators in the past.\[2-4\] The exposure used in the surgery (midline posterior approach) is standard and quick and there is no need for manipulation of any cranial nerves, blood vessels, or joints to affect exposure.\[9\] Tumor with large paraspinal extension posterolateral is
usually used and rarely anterior-lateral approach is used. These tumors are less vascular and most are firm in consistency. Such schwannomas are relatively simple to resect because they have a well-defined arachnoid plane of dissection intradurally and well defined capsule extradurally. In some cases of dumbbell schwannoma, extradural portion is covered with an attenuated dura and that the dural ring is invaginated into the intradural portion. Kim et al. suggested that invagination of the dural ring is the anatomical feature that may be confused with an intradural/extradural tumor during operations for extradural dumbbell tumors; so initial epidural mass removal, extraction of intradural/extradural tumors subsequent to dural opening, and affirmation of presence of intradural tumor remnant could save time during dumbbell tumor surgery. Some authors have suggested proximal control of the VA prior to tumor resection. There is a low risk of VA injury in this surgery. So preoperative angiography or intraoperative proximal or distal control of the VA does not appear to be necessary. After the tumor removal, attention should be made for spinal instability as sometime it may present, if present it should be stabilized. Successful tumor resection results in rapid and sustained neurological recovery. Total tumor resection is advocated by several authors for complete treatment of the disease. The significant chance of early tumor recurrence in a partially excised tumor is known, and every attempt should be made to remove them completely.

In spite of the critical location of these tumors, with an anterior or anterolateral extension to the spinal cord and a relationship with vital neural structures and the VA, surgery for cervical spinal schwannoma is usually very successful. If the anatomy of the tumor in relation to normal structures in the vicinity is appropriately understood, then surgery in these formidable-looking tumors is relatively uncomplicated. In our case we did not face any instability.

In our case, there was huge lateral and down ward extension of tumor in comparison with intraspinal portion and intraspinal part of the tumor was much smaller than the extraspinal part which was very large. Lateral portion of tumor could be palpated through lower part of posterior triangle. Moreover intervertebral foramen was enlarged by the tumor, at the same time we had the option to enlarge the foramen more during operation if it would needed. So we decided to remove the tumor through anterior-lateral interscalene transforaminal approach rather than posterolateral approach. Here posterolateral approach could lead to incomplete tumor removal for huge lateral and inferior paraspinal extension (along with tumor consistency).

Conclusion

Anterolateral interscalene transforaminal approach can be an effective and safe alternative to posterior-lateral approach in lower cervical spinal schwannomas with large paraspinal extension in selected cases.
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