Mercury Exposure and Associations with Hyperlipidemia and Elevated Liver Enzymes: A Nationwide Cross-Sectional Survey
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Abstract: Mercury (Hg) has obesogenic properties. However, the associated health outcomes of population-level mercury exposure were unclear. This study investigated the relationships between blood mercury levels and obesity-related outcomes such as hyperlipidemia and elevated liver enzymes. Using the second cycle of the Korean National Environmental Health Survey (n = 6454), we performed logistic regression to examine the effects of Hg on hyperlipidemia and elevated liver enzymes. The blood mercury levels were significantly higher in the hyperlipidemia group (n = 3699, male: 4.03 µg/L, female: 2.83 µg/L) compared to the non-hyperlipidemia group (n = 2755, male: 3.48 µg/L, female: 2.69 µg/L), and high blood mercury levels were associated with an 11% higher risk of hyperlipidemia. The elevated liver enzymes group had higher mean blood mercury levels (n = 1189, male: 4.38 µg/L, female: 3.25 µg/L) than the normal group (n = 5265, male: 3.64 µg/L, female: 2.70 µg/L), and elevated blood mercury was associated with a 35% higher risk of elevated liver enzymes. Moreover, the effect was constant after adjusting for personal medications. These results indicate that mercury exposure is significantly associated with hyperlipidemia and elevated liver enzymes.
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1. Introduction

Obesity is a major risk factor for several chronic diseases, including hypertension, diabetes, and hyperlipidemia, and is a growing concern worldwide. The prevalence of metabolic syndromes in Korea is approximately 30% due to increasing obesity [1]. A Westernized diet, lifestyle patterns, and exposure to environmental pollutants are involved in the development of obesity. Endocrine-disrupting chemicals such as phthalates, phenols, polychlorinated biphenyl (PCBs), and polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDEs) are well-known obesogens [2], and several studies have reported that mercury (Hg) is also associated with metabolic syndromes [3,4].

According to the first cycle of the Korean National Environmental Health Survey [5], the geometric mean (GM) of blood Hg among Koreans was 3.08 µg/L, which is high compared to the US (mean: 0.68 µg/L) [6] and Canada (mean: 0.59 µg/L) [7]. Blood Hg levels in Korea have been decreasing for the
last ten years, but approximately 25% of the Korean population still has high levels over 5.00 µg/L, which represents the control value for blood Hg (HBM-I) [8].

The main reason for high blood Hg levels in the Korean population is frequent seafood consumption, due to the country’s geographical characteristics [9]. A previous study reported that methyl mercury (MeHg) exposure is approaching the reference dose within the Korean population, which is the allowable daily intake [10].

Exposure to Hg induces oxidative stress, lipid peroxidation, and mitochondrial dysfunction [11,12], and γ-glutamyltransferase (GGT), a well-known biological marker of oxidative stress, is significantly associated with blood Hg [13]. Interestingly, GGT levels may reflect insulin resistance [14] and cardiovascular risk because of the relationship with lipoprotein cholesterol oxidation [15]. In a cohort study from Japan, the risk of metabolic syndrome and diabetes increased with the levels of hepatic enzymes, such as alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), and GGT, among the metabolic syndrome–free participants [16]. Thus, the hepatic enzymes may serve as surrogate markers of obesity.

Several studies have investigated the associations between Hg and health, but the population-level health effects of Hg exposure remain unclear. Therefore, we hypothesized that Hg exposure induces obesity-related outcomes and investigated the relationships between blood Hg and hyperlipidemia and elevated liver enzymes. We used national biomonitoring data to identify the variables that influence blood Hg and analyzed the relationships between blood Hg and the lipid profiles and hepatic enzymes. Finally, we assessed the effects of Hg on hyperlipidemia and elevated liver enzymes.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Survey Data

The Korean National Environmental Health Survey (KoNEHS) is a nationwide cross-sectional biomonitoring survey that aims to monitor the trends of environmental chemicals, including blood Hg, and to identify major exposure sources. Approximately 2000 subjects (≥19 years) were annually recruited via stratified multistage sampling units to represent the residential distributions of geographical area, sex, and age. A total of 6454 participants provided blood and urine samples and questionnaire responses, including demographic information and lifestyle. The KoNEHS was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the National Institute of Environmental Research (NIER #2014-01-01-074, date of approval: 20 March 2014), Korea. Written informed consents were obtained from all participants. Detailed study information is provided in a prior publication [17]. The present study used data from the second cycle of the KoNEHS, conducted between 2012 and 2014.

2.2. Measurement

The participant blood samples were collected in EDTA-containing tubes. After mixing, the blood samples were aliquoted into cryo-tubes and stored at −20 °C. The blood chemistry markers were measured by Seoul Clinical Laboratories (SCL, Yongin, South Korea), with a reference laboratory service [18]. Briefly, the serum concentrations of total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, and triglycerides (TG) were measured by an enzymatic method using auto analyzer ADVIA 1800 (Siemens Medical Solutions, USA). Serum low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol concentrations were calculated from Friedewald’s equation [19]. Calculated LDL values less than zero were designated as 0 (n = 37). The hepatic enzymes (ALT, AST, and GGT) were measured on an auto-analyzer ADVIA 1800 (Siemens Medical Solutions, Malvern, PA, USA).

Total Hg was measured by flow injection cold-vapor atomic absorption spectrometry (DMA 80, Milestone, Bergamo, Italy) using whole blood samples. The limit of detection (LOD) for blood Hg was 0.10 µg/L. A value below the LOD (n = 1) was included as LOD divided by the square root of 2. External quality control was performed twice per year by the Korean Association of Quality Assurance
for Clinical Laboratory (KSLM) and the German External Quality Assessment Scheme for analysis of heavy metals in biological materials (G-EQUAS) [17].

2.3. Criteria for Hyperlipidemia and Definition of the Elevated Liver Enzymes

The criteria for hyperlipidemia were taken from the National Cholesterol Education Program—Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP-ATP III) [20]. Based on these guidelines, hyperlipidemia was defined as lipid profiles showing high LDL (above 130 mg/dL), high total cholesterol (above 200 mg/dL), or high triglycerides (above 150 mg/dL). Elevated liver enzymes were defined by the reference ranges provided by SCL; ALT concentrations above 49 U/L, AST concentrations above 34 U/L, or GGT concentrations above 73 U/L (for men, above 38 U/L for women) [21].

2.4. Statistical Analyses

Subjects with missing records of blood Hg, lipid profiles, and hepatic enzymes were excluded (n = 24). The final dataset contained 6454 personal records, and the distribution of blood Hg was calculated using sampling weights and survey strata information. The blood Hg distribution was right-skewed, so a log-transformation was performed to satisfy the assumptions of normality. Bivariate analyses were initially performed to evaluate the demographic variables, including sex (male, female), age group (19–29, 30–39, 40–49, 50–59, 60–69, and >70), BMI (underweight: <18.5, normal: 18.5–23, overweight: 23–25, and obese: >25), smoking status (non-smoker, past-smoker, or current smoker), alcohol consumption frequency (never, <1 time/month, 1–3 times/month, 1–2 times/week, >3 times/week, or daily), household monthly income (<USD 1500, USD 1500–USD 3000, USD 3000–USD 5000, USD 5000–USD 10000, and ≥USD 10000), and fish consumption (rarely, 1–3 times/month, 1–2 times/week, or 4–6 times/week). We divided the blood Hg levels into three groups based on the interquartile range, low (blood Hg < 25th), middle (25th ≤ blood Hg < 75th), high (blood Hg ≥ 75th), and compared the blood lipid levels and hepatic enzymes among groups. Each marker was regressed on blood Hg with sex, age, BMI, smoking status, alcohol frequency, and income using sampling weights and survey strata information. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) by sex and analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with age were used to assess the associations between blood Hg and criteria status of each clinical chemistry marker.

Logistic regression analyses were performed to examine the effect of Hg on hyperlipidemia and elevated liver enzymes. Self-reported personal medications were considered to adjust for the effect of medicine and individual health status. The corresponding health question was open-ended, so we extracted information for hyperlipidemia-associated diseases by including the following terms: ‘hyperlipidemia’, ‘dyslipidemia’, ‘high blood pressure’, ‘hypertension’, and ‘diabetes’. The terms ‘fatty liver’, ‘hepatitis’, ‘liver cirrhosis’, ‘liver disease’, and ‘elevated liver enzymes’ were included to represent personal medications for liver diseases. The final models were selected via model fit scores, such as the Akaike information criteria (AIC) and Bayesian information criteria (BIC). The main effects of sex, age, BMI, smoking status, alcohol frequency, and fish consumption, and the two-way interaction of sex and alcohol frequency were included in the final model with the Hg levels. Personal medication information was included in the logistic regression model as a covariate. Finally, the correlations between liver enzymes and lipid profiles were analyzed across the blood Hg groups as part of the sensitivity analysis. The significance level (alpha) was set to 0.05, and all of the statistical analyses were performed in SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA, 2013).

3. Results

3.1. The Distribution of Blood Hg

The geometric mean (GM) and 95th percentile of blood Hg among all participants were 3.11 µg/L and 9.01 µg/L, respectively. The blood Hg levels were significantly higher in males (GM = 3.70 µg/L) than in females (GM = 2.63 µg/L; Table 1). Blood Hg levels increased until the participants were in their 60s. The blood Hg levels also increased as the BMI, alcohol frequency, and household income increased.
Fish consumption > 4 times per week was associated with blood Hg levels that were approximately twice as high as those who rarely ate fish (GM = 4.04 µg/L vs. GM = 2.17 µg/L). Smoking, alcohol consumption frequency and amount, cooking types, education, marital status, parity, and menopause were also significantly related to blood HG, but herbal medicine had no influence (Supplementary Materials Table S1).

| Table 1. Blood Hg distributions by demographic variables (µg/L). |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Variables | N | GM | 95 % Confidence Interval | P75 | P95 | p-Value a |
| All       | 6454 | 3.11 | (3.02, 3.20) | 4.69 | 9.01 | - |
| Sex       |     |     |                       |     |     |     |
| Male      | 2767 | 3.70 | (3.57, 3.84) | 5.48 | 10.2 | <0.0001 |
| Female    | 3687 | 2.63 | (2.54, 2.72) | 3.83 | 7.11 |     |
| Age       |     |     |                       |     |     |     |
| 19–29     | 536  | 2.37 | (2.23, 2.52) | 3.22 | 6.93 | <0.0001 |
| 30–39     | 1053 | 3.18 | (3.04, 3.33) | 4.71 | 8.10 |     |
| 40–49     | 1224 | 3.58 | (3.43, 3.75) | 5.25 | 9.56 |     |
| 50–59     | 1434 | 3.64 | (3.47, 3.82) | 5.21 | 10.4 |     |
| 60–69     | 1326 | 3.23 | (3.05, 3.43) | 4.85 | 9.17 |     |
| 70+       | 881  | 2.54 | (2.38, 2.71) | 3.86 | 8.40 |     |
| BMI       |     |     |                       |     |     |     |
| <18.5     | 159  | 2.13 | (1.85, 2.45) | 2.76 | 5.61 | <0.0001 |
| 18.5 to <23.0 | 2209 | 2.74 | (2.64, 2.85) | 4.08 | 7.61 |     |
| 23.0 to <25.0 | 1602 | 3.27 | (3.13, 3.41) | 4.90 | 9.05 |     |
| ≥25.0     | 2484 | 3.54 | (3.41, 3.68) | 5.24 | 9.95 |     |
| Smoke     |     |     |                       |     |     |     |
| Non-smoker | 4244 | 2.74 | (2.65, 2.83) | 4.03 | 7.62 | <0.0001 |
| Past      | 1053 | 3.92 | (3.71, 4.14) | 5.82 | 11.0 |     |
| Current   | 1157 | 3.81 | (3.64, 4.00) | 5.71 | 10.03 |     |
| Alcohol frequency |     |     |                       |     |     |     |
| Never     | 2219 | 2.68 | (2.57, 2.79) | 4.07 | 7.49 | <0.0001 |
| <1 time/month | 709  | 2.68 | (2.53, 2.84) | 3.78 | 6.90 |     |
| 1–3 times/month | 1033 | 2.94 | (2.79, 3.09) | 4.28 | 7.83 |     |
| 1–2 times/week | 1400 | 3.47 | (3.30, 3.64) | 5.25 | 9.67 |     |
| ≥3 times/week | 612  | 4.03 | (3.88, 4.27) | 5.93 | 11.5 |     |
| Daily     | 481  | 4.08 | (3.77, 4.43) | 5.85 | 13.3 |     |
| Household income (USD/month) |     |     |                       |     |     |     |
| <1500     | 1792 | 2.76 | (2.62, 2.92) | 4.29 | 8.98 | <0.0001 |
| 1500 to <3000 | 1621 | 3.01 | (2.84, 3.18) | 4.52 | 8.79 |     |
| 3000 to <5000 | 1765 | 3.21 | (3.08, 3.33) | 4.70 | 7.91 |     |
| 5000 to <10,000 | 1103 | 3.37 | (3.19, 3.56) | 5.01 | 9.50 |     |
| ≥10,000   | 173  | 3.47 | (3.06, 3.92) | 5.19 | 9.97 |     |
| Fish consumption frequency |     |     |                       |     |     |     |
| Rarely    | 622  | 2.17 | (2.03, 2.32) | 3.07 | 6.95 | <0.0001 |
| 1–3 times/month | 2030 | 2.88 | (2.77, 2.99) | 4.36 | 7.76 |     |
| 1–3 times/week | 3377 | 3.41 | (3.30, 3.53) | 5.03 | 9.37 |     |
| 4–6 times/week | 425  | 4.04 | (3.68, 4.43) | 6.20 | 11.2 |     |

Note: GM, geometric mean; P75, 75th percentile; P95, 95th percentile. a p-Value obtained from bivariate analysis (SAS Proc SURVEYREG).

3.2. The Distribution of Lipid Profiles and Hepatic Enzymes

The blood Hg levels were categorized into three groups—low: ≤2.36 µg/L, medium: 2.36 < Hg ≤ 4.07 µg/L, and high: >4.07 µg/L. Approximately 45% of men and 24.6% of women were in the high blood Hg group. Table 2 shows the distribution of each marker across the blood Hg groups. The GMs of LDL, total cholesterol, and TG increased with blood Hg and was highest in the high blood Hg group for both sexes. HDL tended to decrease with increasing blood Hg in all populations, but the trend disappeared after stratifying by sex. The GMs of hepatic enzymes increased with blood Hg in both sexes, and blood Hg had a significant effect on all of the markers, except TG.
Table 2. Lipid profiles and hepatic enzymes among the blood Hg groups.

| Biomarkers (units) | Blood Hg Group | Male | Female | All | p-Value |
|-------------------|----------------|------|--------|-----|---------|
|                   | N | GM (P5, P95) | N | GM (P5, P95) | N | GM (P5, P95) |
| LDL (mg/dL)       | Low | 613 | 82.7 (45.3, 143) | 1534 | 89.6 (52.1, 144) | 2156 | 87.3 (48.8, 143) | 0.0085 |
|                   | Middle | 895 | 84.5 (40.1, 146) | 1236 | 92.3 (53.8, 150) | 2142 | 88.4 (45.8, 148) |
|                   | High | 1234 | 86.7 (40.1, 146) | 905 | 91.2 (50.0, 152) | 2156 | 88.2 (43.3, 149) |
| HDL (mg/dL)       | Low | 613 | 48.9 (33.2, 73.6) | 1534 | 56.0 (36.5, 83.5) | 2156 | 53.6 (35.0, 80.6) | 0.0012 |
|                   | Middle | 895 | 49.6 (33.6, 72.8) | 1236 | 56.4 (37.8, 85.8) | 2142 | 52.9 (34.7, 80.5) |
|                   | High | 1234 | 49.2 (33.3, 72.4) | 905 | 55.7 (37.2, 84.4) | 2156 | 51.3 (34.1, 77.1) |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL) | Low | 613 | 171 (126, 234) | 1534 | 178 (129, 240) | 2156 | 176 (128, 238) | <0.0001 |
|                   | Middle | 895 | 180 (129, 244) | 1236 | 183 (135, 248) | 2142 | 181 (132, 246) |
|                   | High | 1234 | 184 (132, 246) | 905 | 186 (137, 242) | 2156 | 185 (134, 245) |
| TG (mg/dL)        | Low | 613 | 139 (49.6, 370) | 1534 | 119 (49.2, 303) | 2156 | 125 (49.4, 331) | 0.5822 |
|                   | Middle | 895 | 160 (63.2, 496) | 1236 | 127 (49.2, 365) | 2142 | 143 (56.5, 415) |
|                   | High | 1234 | 176 (72.8, 461) | 905 | 134 (53.8, 393) | 2156 | 160 (62.6, 437) |
| ALT (U/L)         | Low | 613 | 22.2 (10.3, 62.4) | 1534 | 16.5 (8.54, 33.8) | 2156 | 18.2 (8.99, 42.7) | 0.0002 |
|                   | Middle | 895 | 25.5 (11.7, 71.6) | 1236 | 18.1 (9.39, 38.2) | 2142 | 21.5 (10.0, 55.4) |
|                   | High | 1234 | 27.4 (12.6, 69.7) | 905 | 19.7 (9.93, 45.0) | 2156 | 24.5 (11.1, 60.9) |
| AST (U/L)         | Low | 613 | 24.0 (16.0, 39.1) | 1534 | 21.4 (14.1, 32.9) | 2156 | 22.2 (14.6, 34.9) | 0.0226 |
|                   | Middle | 895 | 25.8 (16.2, 45.0) | 1236 | 21.9 (15.0, 34.2) | 2142 | 23.8 (15.3, 39.0) |
|                   | High | 1234 | 26.1 (16.7, 46.0) | 905 | 23.1 (15.0, 36.5) | 2156 | 25.0 (16.0, 44.0) |
| GGT (U/L)         | Low | 613 | 25.7 (11.1, 106) | 1534 | 15.9 (7.93, 41.7) | 2156 | 18.6 (8.36, 56.5) | <0.0001 |
|                   | Middle | 895 | 32.6 (12.6, 132) | 1236 | 17.8 (8.60, 51.5) | 2142 | 24.0 (9.46, 88.7) |
|                   | High | 1234 | 39.2 (13.9, 152) | 905 | 19.9 (9.04, 58.2) | 2156 | 31.1 (10.7, 134) |

Note: GM, geometric mean; P5, 5th percentile; P95, 95th percentile. * Blood Hg was categorized into three groups - low: ≤ 2.36 µg/L, middle: 2.36 < Hg ≤ 4.07 µg/L, or high: > 4.07 µg/L. ** p-Values are the significance of blood Hg levels for each clinical marker, and the regression model included sex, age, BMI, smoking frequency, alcohol frequency, and income.
3.3. Associations between the Blood Hg Levels and Lipid Profiles

Table 3 shows the GMs of the blood Hg levels and their associations with the lipid profiles. Blood Hg increased until LDL levels reached ‘Borderline high’. Total cholesterol consistently increased with blood Hg in females, but the blood Hg levels decreased with a ‘High’ total cholesterol classification in males. The blood Hg levels significantly differed for each lipid profile after being adjusted for sex. However, the significant difference for HDL disappeared after considering age. According to the definitions for hyperlipidemia (LDL ≥ 130 mg/dL, total cholesterol ≥ 200 mg/dL, or TG ≥ 150 mg/dL), 61.8% of males (n = 1710) and 53.9% of females (n = 1989) were hyperlipidemia. The blood Hg levels were significantly higher in the hyperlipidemia group (male: 4.03 µg/L, female: 2.83 µg/L) compared to the non-hyperlipidemia group (male: 3.48 µg/L, female: 2.69 µg/L).

| Lipid Profiles          | Criteria            | Male    | Female  | p-Value a | p-Value b |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|
|                         | N                   | GM      | N       | GM        |           |
| LDL                     | <100                | 1640    | 3.70    | 1982      | 2.70      | 0.0009    | 0.1054    |
|                         | 100–129             | 791     | 3.85    | 1161      | 2.84      |           |           |
|                         | 130–159             | 285     | 4.31    | 434       | 2.80      |           |           |
|                         | 160–189             | 40      | 4.19    | 95        | 3.13      |           |           |
|                         | ≥190                | 11      | 4.05    | 15        | 2.79      |           |           |
| HDL                     | <40                 | 552     | 3.53    | 321       | 2.59      | 0.0004    | 0.1730    |
|                         | 40–60               | 1658    | 3.87    | 2023      | 2.77      |           |           |
|                         | ≥60                 | 557     | 3.92    | 1343      | 2.82      |           |           |
| Total cholesterol       | <200                | 1955    | 3.64    | 2504      | 2.71      | <0.0001   | <0.0001   |
|                         | 200–239             | 654     | 4.28    | 909       | 2.86      |           |           |
|                         | ≥240                | 158     | 4.13    | 274       | 2.95      |           |           |
| TG                      | <150                | 1286    | 3.59    | 2235      | 2.73      | <0.0001   | <0.0001   |
|                         | 150–199             | 519     | 4.01    | 599       | 2.71      |           |           |
|                         | 200–499             | 854     | 4.00    | 794       | 2.94      |           |           |
|                         | ≥500                | 107     | 4.02    | 58        | 2.63      |           |           |
| Hyperlipidemia c        | No                  | 1057    | 3.48    | 1698      | 2.69      | <0.0001   | <0.0001   |
|                         | Yes                 | 1710    | 4.03    | 1989      | 2.83      |           |           |

Note: GM, geometric mean; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; TG, triglyceride. a p-Value obtained using two-way ANOVA of the lipid profiles and sex. b p-Value obtained by ANCOVA adjusted for age. c Hyperlipidemia was identified according to the following criteria - LDL ≥ 130, total cholesterol ≥ 200, or TG ≥ 150.

3.4. Association between Blood Hg and the Hepatic Enzymes

The blood Hg levels were higher in participants who fell outside of the reference range for the hepatic enzymes (Table 4). The levels differed significantly by sex, and the significance remained after adjustment for age. According to the criteria for elevated liver enzymes, 24.3% of males (n = 671; ALT > 49 U/L, AST ≥ 34 U/L, or GGT ≥ 73) and 14.0% of females (n = 518; ALT > 49 U/L, AST ≥ 34 U/L, or GGT ≥ 38) had elevated liver enzymes. The blood Hg levels were significantly higher in the elevated liver enzymes group (male: 4.36 µg/L, female: 3.25 µg/L) compared to the normal liver enzymes group (male: 3.64 µg/L, female: 2.70 µg/L).
Table 4. The geometric means of blood Hg and the associations with elevated liver enzymes (unit: µg/L).

| Hepatic Enzymes | Male Criteria | Male N | Male GM | Female Criteria | Female N | Female GM | p-Value<sup>a</sup> | p-Value<sup>b</sup> |
|-----------------|---------------|--------|---------|-----------------|----------|-----------|---------------------|---------------------|
| ALT             | ≤49           | 2513   | 3.78    | ≤49             | 3583     | 2.75      | <0.0001             | <0.0001             |
|                 | >49           | 254    | 4.14    | >49             | 104      | 3.56      |                     |                     |
| AST             | <34           | 2373   | 3.76    | <34             | 3446     | 2.74      | <0.0001             | <0.0001             |
|                 | ≥34           | 394    | 4.14    | ≥34             | 241      | 3.22      |                     |                     |
| GGT             | <73           | 2361   | 3.67    | <73             | 3305     | 2.71      | <0.0001             | <0.0001             |
|                 | ≥73           | 406    | 4.73    | ≥73             | 382      | 3.30      |                     |                     |
| Elevated Liver enzymes<sup>c</sup> | No | 2096 | 3.64 | No | 3169 | 2.70 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 |
|                 | Yes | 671  | 4.38 | Yes | 518  | 3.25 |         |         |

Note: GM, geometric mean; <sup>a</sup>p-Value obtained by two-way ANOVA of the hepatic enzymes and sex. <sup>b</sup>p-Value obtained by ANCOVA adjusted for age. <sup>c</sup>Elevated liver enzymes were identified according to the following criteria - ALT > 49, AST ≥ 34, or GGT ≥ 73 for males, and ALT > 49, AST ≥ 34, or GGT ≥ 38 for females.

3.5. The Risks of Hyperlipidemia and Elevated Liver Enzymes

Figure 1 shows the number of participants reporting personal medications; 317 (4.91%) reported hyperlipidemia, 1175 (18.2%) reported hypertension, and 539 (8.35%) reported diabetes. One hundred and forty-four subjects took medication for hyperlipidemia and hypertension, both. And 49 subjects indicated that they took medication for hyperlipidemia, hypertension, and diabetes. Increased blood Hg was associated with a 1.105-fold increase in the odds of hyperlipidemia (95% CI: 1.013, 1.208) (Table 5). Thus, an increase of 1 µg/L blood Hg was associated with an 11% risk of hyperlipidemia. The significance of the odds ratio (OR) estimates remained even after adjustment for personal medications related to hyperlipidemia. For those participants reporting hyperlipidemia and diabetes, the blood Hg GM was 3.60 µg/L, and blood Hg was associated with a 1.105-fold increase in the odds of hyperlipidemia (95% CI: 1.013, 1.207). This remained after adjusting for personal medications (hyperlipidemia and diabetes).

Figure 1. Frequency of the reported personal medications. Hyperlipidemia-associated diseases were extracted with the terms ‘hyperlipidemia’, ‘dyslipidemia’, ‘high blood pressure’, ‘hypertension’, and ‘diabetes’. Liver diseases were categorized with the terms ‘fatty liver’, ‘hepatitis’, ‘liver cirrhosis’, ‘liver disease’, and ‘elevated liver enzymes’.
Table 5. The relationships between blood Hg and hyperlipidemia and elevated liver enzymes.

| Disease | Personal Medication | GM   | OR              | 95% CI          | p-Value |
|---------|---------------------|------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
|         | Unadjusted          | 3.33 | 1.105           | (1.013, 1.206)  | 0.0252  |
| Hyperlipidemia |                   | 3.12 | 1.104           | (1.012, 1.206)  | 0.0266  |
| Hyperlipidemia and Hypertension |                | 2.95 | 1.104           | (1.011, 1.205)  | 0.0275  |
| Hyperlipidemia and Diabetes |                | 3.60 | 1.105           | (1.013, 1.207)  | 0.0250  |
| Hyperlipidemia and Hypertension and Diabetes |         | 3.16 | 1.104           | (1.012, 1.206)  | 0.0263  |
| One of the Hyperlipidemia, Hypertension, Diabetes |            | 3.19 | 1.100           | (1.007, 1.201)  | 0.0335  |
|         | Elevated liver enzymes (n = 1189) |       |                 |                 |         |
| Unadjusted |                   | 3.84 | 1.345           | (1.206, 1.500)  | <0.0001 |
| Liver disease |                | 3.24 | 1.350           | (1.210, 1.506)  | <0.0001 |

Note: GM, geometric mean; OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. a Personal medication information was included in the model from the self-reported response. b p-Value shows the significance of the odds ratio of blood Hg from logistic regression. The unadjusted model included the main effects of sex, age, BMI, smoke, alcohol frequency, fish consumption, and the two-way interaction of sex and alcohol frequency. Each personal medication information was included in the unadjusted model.

Sixty-three (0.98%) participants reported fatty liver, hepatitis, cirrhosis, liver disease, increased hepatic enzymes, or other liver-related diseases. Increased blood Hg induced a 1.345-fold increase in the odds of elevated liver enzymes (95% CI: 1.206, 1.500). Thus, high blood Hg induced a 35% greater odds of elevated liver enzymes. After adjustment for personal medications related to liver diseases, the OR showed a 1.350-fold risk of elevated liver enzymes.

3.6. Relationships between the Lipid Profiles and Hepatic Enzymes across Blood Hg Groups

The correlations between the lipid profiles and hepatic enzymes in each blood Hg group are presented in Figure 2. In general, the correlation coefficients showed no associations between the hepatic enzymes and lipid profiles, except for TG. The correlation coefficients between log TG and log hepatic enzymes were 0.28 for ALT, 0.14 for AST, and 0.35 for GGT, and did not differ across blood Hg levels.
Figure 2. Correlations between the lipid profiles and hepatic enzymes in each blood Hg group. The x-axes represent the natural log scale of hepatic enzymes, and the y-axes represent the lipid profiles including natural log scale of TG. The black, blue, and orange colors indicate low, middle, and high blood Hg levels, respectively. ‘ρ’ represents the correlation coefficient, and * indicates the significance of the correlation coefficient (p < 0.05). BHg on the left side of the figure indicates blood Hg.
4. Discussion

This study used the second cycle of the KoNEHS (2012–2014) to examine the obesogenic properties of blood Hg as it relates to hyperlipidemia and elevated liver enzymes. The GM of blood Hg was high, up to 3.11 µg/L, and 57.3% of the survey population had hyperlipidemia. For participants aged 40 and above, 55–66% had hyperlipidemia, whereas 38% of the participants in their 20s and 50 % of the participants in their 30s had hyperlipidemia. The mean BMI of the hyperlipidemia group was 25.0 compared to 23.3 in the non-hyperlipidemia group. Moreover, the mean BMI was 24.0 in the normal group and 25.7 in the elevated liver enzymes group.

Approximately 32.1% of males (n = 889) and 15.4% of females (n = 566) had blood Hg levels over 5.00 µg/L, which is the acceptable level for no adverse effects (HBM-I) [22]. These results are consistent with the first cycle of the KoNEHS (2009–2011), where 33.4% of males and 16.1% of females exceeded the HBM-I [10]. Many studies have investigated the high blood Hg levels in the Korean population. Some reported significant associations between Hg, high BMI, and metabolic syndromes [23,24], while others reported no associations or even negative associations [25–27]. Metabolic syndromes are associated with many factors, including dietary habits and living patterns, and some factors may have a stronger influence than Hg exposure. For example, alcohol consumption is a major risk factor for metabolic syndromes, and raw-fish and clam soup are popular menu items that are often consumed with alcohol in Korea. Thus, blood Hg is also significantly associated with drinking alcohol, and the frequency of alcohol intake should be accounted for when evaluating the relationship between Hg exposure and metabolic syndromes in Korea. In our study, 62.3% of males and 20.9% of females drank alcohol more than once a week. Therefore, we also included the interaction of alcohol consumption frequency and sex (p < 0.0001 for hyperlipidemia) when examining the obesogenic effects of Hg.

It is also possible that individual treatments for obesity attenuate the effects of Hg exposure. Those diagnosed with metabolic syndromes may actively control their lipid profiles and insulin resistance. Therefore, treatments including personal medications, could affect the associated markers and the diagnoses of metabolic syndromes. In the Table 5, we showed only the ORs of blood Hg after each medication. And the same analyses provided that taking personal medications for hyperlipidemia reduced the odds of hyperlipidemia by 29% (OR: 0.710, 95% CI: 0.559, 0.902), and taking personal medications for hyperlipidemia, hypertension, and diabetes was associated with 59% lower odds of hyperlipidemia (OR: 0.410, 95% CI: 0.029, 0.733). Nonetheless, Hg significantly affected to the odds of having hyperlipidemia.

Among the different forms of Hg, alkyl Hg is more lipid soluble and passes readily through biological membranes [28]. Especially, methylmercury (MeHg) among the alkyl Hg, is the dominant form in human blood [29] because the primary exposure source for the general population is fish consumption. MeHg exposure inhibits paraoxonase-1, which prevents the atherosclerotic process by metabolizing toxic oxidized lipids associated with LDL and HDL [30]. Therefore, Hg induces oxidative stress and disrupts gluconeogenesis, resulting in systemic inflammation that affects the accumulation of abnormal adipocytes [23,31]. Our results showed that the levels of blood Hg were significantly higher (p < .0001) in the hyperlipidemia group (male: 4.03 µg/L, female: 2.83 µg/L) than in the non-hyperlipidemia group (male: 3.48 µg/L, female: 2.69 µg/L), and that an increase of 1 µg/L blood Hg was associated with an 11% increase in the odds of hyperlipidemia, even after adjustment for personal medications.

Though bile is the major route of excretion, Hg can be reabsorbed into the blood via the enterohepatic system [12,32]. In particular, methylated Hg makes up most of the mercury in humans and can easily bind to cysteine residues [33], such as glutathione, and penetrate the cellular membranes [34]. The MeHg-cysteine complex can then enter the bile tract and be hydrolyzed by GGT and other dipeptides [13,33]. As a result, Hg induces hydrogen peroxide, depletes glutathione, and increases GGT levels. The association between Hg exposure and GGT, a marker of oxidative stress, is supported by several animal and human studies [35–37]. Our study also showed that the levels of blood Hg were significantly higher (p < 0.0001) in the elevated liver enzymes group (male: 4.36 µg/L, female:
3.25 µg/L) compared to the normal group (male: 3.64 µg/L, female: 2.70 µg/L). After adjustment for personal medications, blood Hg was associated with 35% higher odds of elevated liver enzymes.

This study has several limitations and strengths. The study design is a cross-sectional survey, and each measurement was analyzed from an individual spot sample. However, the dataset is representative of the entire Korean population. According to the previous studies, the intra-class correlation for blood Hg was 0.67–0.71 [38]. Moreover, diet is the major source of Hg, so we anticipate that the individual blood Hg levels would be constant. Secondly, individual health status or medical history data were unavailable. Instead, personal medication data were adjusted for the obesogenic effects of Hg. We also performed correlation analyses between the lipid profiles and hepatic enzymes to avoid overestimating the Hg effects. There were no associations between the lipid profiles and hepatic enzymes, nor were there any differences across blood Hg groups (Figure 2). This indicates that the effects of blood Hg on the lipid profiles were irrelevant to the hepatic enzymes and that the hepatic enzymes were not affected by the lipid profiles. Thus, correlation analyses demonstrate the significant effects of blood Hg on hyperlipidemia and elevated liver enzymes.

5. Conclusions

In this study, we investigated the obesogenic properties of blood Hg using lipid profiles and hepatic enzymes. Higher blood Hg levels were observed in the hyperlipidemia group than in the non-hyperlipidemia group, and the elevated liver enzymes group had higher mean blood Hg levels than the normal group. Blood Hg was associated with higher odds of hyperlipidemia and elevated liver enzymes, even after adjusting for personal medications. These results indicate that Hg exposure is associated with obesity-related outcomes and that other health effects due to low-level Hg exposure should be investigated.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2305-6304/8/3/47/s1, Table S1: Blood Hg distributions by influential variables.
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