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Abstract: Biodiesel is non-toxic, free of sulphur and aromatics, readily biodegradable, safe to handle, enhances lubricity and its main physicochemical properties are comparable to those of conventional middle distillate fuels. But the nature of biodiesel makes it more sensitive to oxidation than petroleum diesel during long-term storage. The greater the level of unsaturation in an alkyl ester, the more susceptible it will be to oxidation. Oxidation stability is thus a parameter that describes the degradation tendency of biodiesel and is of great importance in the context of possible problems with engine parts. The purpose of this study was to investigate the impact of biodiesel source material on the oxidation stability of the final blends. The results indicated that the trend of the induction period has a direct correlation with the percentage of saturated fatty acids in the biodiesel.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Biodiesel is an alternative diesel fuel consisting of long-chain fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) derived from vegetable oils, used frying oils and animal fats. Biodiesel is chemically produced through the transesterification reaction of a triglyceride with an alcohol (methanol or ethanol) in the presence of an alkaline or acidic catalyst (Rashid and Anwar, 2008). Several articles report on the beneficial characteristics of biodiesel. It is non-toxic, free of sulphur and aromatics, readily biodegradable, safe to handle, enhances lubricity and its main physicochemical properties are comparable to those of conventional middle distillate fuels (Schinas et al., 2009; Bautista et al., 2009; Raheman and Ghadge, 2007). Despite its many advantages, biodiesel’s nature makes it more sensitive to oxidation than petroleum diesel during long-term storage. The sensitivity varies, depending on the raw material, the presence of naturally occurring antioxidants and the storage conditions (Dunn et al., 2008; Tang et al., 2008).

The mechanism for biodiesel oxidation is well known (Lapuerta et al., 2012). The oxidation stability depends on the composition of the oil source, the unsaturated and polyunsaturated methyl esters being the more reactive species. The greater the level of unsaturation in an alkyl ester, the more susceptible it will be to oxidation. In any case, besides depending on the degree and configurations of olefinic unsaturations, the resistance of biodiesel against oxidation will also be dependent on the presence of antioxidants and on storage conditions.

The oxidation kinetics of biodiesel has been studied as well as the effect of antioxidants (Xin et al., 2009). Since oxidation products can cause many problems in diesel engines (Knothe, 2007; Lapuerta et al., 2008), it is important to prevent oxidation by adding additives such as butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA), pyrogallol (PA), butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), and other commercial products such as Baynox (Lanxess), Bioextend (Eastman), and Ethanol 4760E (Albemarle). Several papers dealing with natural (Liang et al., 2006; Santos et al., 2012) and synthetic (Dunn, 2005; Liang et al., 2006; Karavalakis et al., 2011; Focke et al., 2012) antioxidants for biodiesel can be found in the literature, reflecting that the search for new alternative low-cost additives is currently a major issue.

In this context, the use of different experimental techniques to evaluate the oxidation stability of biodiesel has been discussed (Hoshino et al., 2007), as methods based on FTIR (Araújo et al., 2011),
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thermogravimetry (Kivevele et al., 2011; Santos et al., 2012), or DSC (García-Pérez et al., 2010). The standardized accelerated oxidation test accepted in EN14112 and ASTM D6751 standards is the usually called Rancimat method (Maia et al., 2011; Focke et al., 2012; Lapuerta et al., 2012). A new determination method was reported by Neumann et al. (2008), which provides a more rapid test to measure oxidation stability than the Rancimat method, called Petrooxy method.

Oxidation stability is thus a parameter that describes the degradation tendency of biodiesel and is of great importance in the context of possible problems with engine parts. The main oxidation products are peroxides and hydroperoxides. During further degradation, these products form shorter-chain compounds such as low molecular weight acids, aldehydes, ketones and alcohols. Reactions with the unstable hydroperoxide species with another fatty acid chain may form high molecular weight materials, such dimer or trimer acids which may lead to filter blocking, injector failures and deposit formation. The purpose of this study was to investigate the impact of biodiesel source material on the oxidation stability of the final blends.

2. MATERIALS AND METHOD

2.1. Feedstocks

The feedstocks used for the production of BD-1 were 65% refined rapeseed oil and 35% used frying oils. BD-2 derived from 36% used frying oils, 20% palm oil and 44% soybean oil, while BD-3 feedstocks were 32% used frying oils, 32% soybean oil and 36% rapeseed oil. BD-4 was produced from pure rapeseed oil. Table 1 shows the main fatty acids of all biodiesel samples used in this study.

2.2. Oxidation Stability Measurements

The oxidation stability was quantified by the induction period (IP) of neat methyl esters and their blends with automotive diesel. The IP was evaluated according to the Rancimat method EN 14112 for pure biodiesel and the modified Rancimat method EN 15751 for the biodiesel blends. In the modified Rancimat method, a number of parameters were changed, mainly due to the higher volatility of hydrocarbon fuels compared to methyl esters, which may lead to higher sample evaporation. The changes include elongated reaction vessels and higher amount of distilled water, while the evaluation of the IP can be only performed manually (2 tangents). All stability measurements were carried out on a Metrohm 873 Biodiesel Rancimat Instrument. Samples of 3 g of pure biodiesel and 7.5 g of biodiesel blends respectively, were analyzed under constant air flow of 10 L/h passing through the fuel and into a vessel containing distilled water (HPLC water). The samples were held at 110°C heating block temperature, with a temperature correction factor DT to be set to 1.5° C (as recommended by the test method). As soon as volatile organic acids (mainly acetic and formic) are formed in the sample, this is indicated by an increase in conductivity in the measuring vessel. The time that elapses until the secondary oxidation products are detected, is known as the induction period.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physicochemical properties of methyl esters of biodiesel were determined and represented in table-1. Fatty acid composition of the methyl esters is presented in table-2.

Table 1. Physicochemical Properties of the Methyl Esters

| Properties                        | BD1  | BD2  | BD3  | BD4  |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| Viscosity (mm²/s, 40° C)          | 4.2  | 4.1  | 4.4  | 4.09 |
| Density (g/cm³, 15°C)             | 0.881| 0.882| 0.888| 0.883|
| Water content (µg g⁻¹)            | 348  | 367  | 355  | 765  |
| Cold filter plugging point, CFPP (°C) | -1   | -2   | -5   | -2   |
| Iodine number                     | 119  | 102  | 119  | 115  |
| Acid value (mg KOH/g)             | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.48 |
| Oxidation stability (110°C, h)    | 4.32 | 5.21 | 4.89 | 5.06 |
| Monoglyceride content (% m/m)     | 0.21 | 0.35 | 0.39 | 0.45 |
| Diglyceride content (% m/m)       | 0.081| 0.076| 0.099| 0.06 |
| Triglyceride content (% m/m)      | 0.09 | 0.078| 0.081| 0.184|
| Total glycerol (% m/m)            | 0.085| 0.075| 0.101| 0.154|

Table 2. Fatty Acid Composition of the Methyl Esters

| Fatty acid | BD1 | BD2 | BD3 | BD4 |
|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| C12:0      | -   | 0.19| -   | 0.21|
| C14:0      | 0.21| 0.11| 0.11| 0.01|
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| C16:0 | 11.98 | 12.31 | 7.97 | 6.8 |
|-------|-------|-------|------|-----|
| C18:0 | 3.16  | 3.18  | 2.35 | 2.75|
| C18:1 | 21.43 | 22.56 | 31.09| 26.22|
| C18:2 | 34.94 | 35.81 | 31.03| 42.30|

Oxidation stability of biodiesel samples Fig. 1 shows the changes recorded on the oxidation stability of the nine biodiesel samples. Two measurements are presented for each sample, spaced approximately 3 weeks apart. It should be mentioned, that certain biodiesel properties such as those of acid value, water content and ester content were not found to significantly differ during the test period. The samples of BD-1, BD-2, BD-3 and BD-4 were found well below the minimum induction period of 6 h. These samples mainly comprised unsaturated methyl esters and were free of antioxidant.

![Figure 1. Changes on the Oxidation Stability of the Methyl Esters during Storage](image)

The biodiesel stability generally depends upon the fatty acid profile of the parent feedstock. Therefore, biodiesels with high unsaturated fatty acid contents, such as linoleic and linolenic acids, are especially prone to oxidation. Oxidation of biodiesel starts with the abstraction (removal) of hydrogen atoms from the “allylic” position next to the double bond to produce a carbon free radical. If diatomic oxygen is present, therefore, the subsequent reaction to form a peroxyradical is extremely fast. The peroxy free radical is not as reactive as the carbon free radical but is sufficiently reactive to quickly abstract hydrogen from a carbon to form another carbon radical and a hydroperoxide (ROOH). The new carbon free radical can then react with diatomic oxygen to continue the propagation cycle. This chain reaction terminates when two free radicals react with each other to yield stable products, such as aldehydes, shorter chain carboxylic acids, insolubles, gum, and sediments. When biodiesel containing this oxidation products is used in the engine, it impairs the engine performance because of fuel filter plugging, injector fouling, and deposit formation in the engine combustion chamber and various components of the fuel system (Jain and Sharma, 2010).

Several studies related to the stability of biodiesel have been reported in the literature. A number of researchers have investigated the oxidation stability of biodiesel synthesized from most common oils (Dunn, 2002; 2005; Ferrari et al., 2005; Liang et al., 2006; Bondioli et al., 2003). However, increasing the production of biodiesel from conventional sources (soybean, rapeseed, palm, etc.) has placed strain on food production, its price and availability. Therefore, the search for additional regional biodiesel feedstocks is an important objective (Sarin et al., 2007; Rashid et al., 2008). There are few studies reported on oxidation stability of biodiesel from less common or unconventional oils. Sarin et al.11 reported oxidation stability of biodiesel derived from tree-borne non-edible oil seeds, such as Jatropha and karanja, and compared it to biodiesel derived from edible oils, such as palm and sunflower oils. It was found that the trend of the induction period has a direct correlation with the percentage of saturated fatty acids in the biodiesel.
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