Factors associated with seizure and cognitive outcomes after epilepsy surgery for low-grade epilepsy-associated neuroepithelial tumors in children
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Low-grade epilepsy-associated neuroepithelial tumors (LEATs) are responsible for drug-resistant chronic focal epilepsy, and are the second-most common reason for epilepsy surgery in children. LEATs are extremely responsive to surgical treatment, and therefore epilepsy surgery should be considered as a treatment option for LEATs. However, the optimal time for surgery remains controversial, and surgeries are often delayed. In this review, we reviewed published articles on the factors associated with seizure and cognitive outcomes after epilepsy surgery for LEATs in children to help clinicians in their decision whether to pursue epilepsy surgery for LEATs. The achievement of gross total resection may be the most important prognostic factor for seizure freedom. A shorter duration of epilepsy, a younger age at surgery, and extended resection of temporal lobe tumors have also been suggested as favorable prognostic factors in terms of seizure control. Poor cognitive function in children with LEATs is associated with a longer duration of epilepsy and a younger age at seizure onset.
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Introduction

Low-grade epilepsy-associated neuroepithelial tumors (LEATs) are responsible for drug-resistant chronic focal epilepsy, and are the second-most common reason for epilepsy surgery in children.¹ LEATs are extremely responsive to surgical treatment, and therefore epilepsy surgery should be considered as a treatment option for LEATs. However, the optimal time for surgery remains controversial, and surgeries are often delayed. In a study of brain specimens from 9,523 patients who underwent epilepsy surgery, the histopathologic etiology was identified as a tumor in 24% of all patients. LEATs comprised 82% of the tumors, and 84% of the LEATs were GGs or DNTs (Table 1). LEATs are extremely responsive to surgical treatment, and more than 80% of patients achieve seizure freedom after surgery.¹³⁻¹⁶ Therefore, epilepsy surgery should be considered a treatment option for LEATs. However, the optimal surgical timing remains controversial, and surgeries are often delayed (Table 1), mostly due to late referral after lengthy trials of antiepileptic drugs (AEDs), with a mean duration from seizure onset to surgery (i.e., duration of epilepsy) of more than 10 years.¹⁹

To help clinicians decide whether to pursue epilepsy surgery...
Table 1. Histopathological classification of 1,846 low-grade epilepsy-associated neuroepithelial tumors obtained from patients undergoing epilepsy surgery\(^\text{16}\)

| Tumor diagnosis          | No. (%) | Age at seizure onset (yr) | Duration of epilepsy (yr) |
|--------------------------|---------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| GG                       | 986 (53.6) | 12.1±10.3                 | 11.4±10.4                 |
| DNT                      | 565 (30.6) | 14.4±10.9                 | 12±10.7                   |
| Pilocytic astrocytoma    | 99 (5.4)  | 14±10.9                   | 12.3±11.4                 |
| PXA                      | 43 (2.3)  | 17±12.4                   | 12.8±12.1                 |
| Isomorphic astrocytoma   | 17 (0.9)  | 16±14.3                   | 11.4±10.5                 |
| Gangliocytoma             | 16 (0.9)  | 12±7.0                    | 17±12.4                   |
| Angiocentric glioma       | 12 (0.7)  | 7.7±4.8                   | 6.9±6.0                   |
| Low-grade tumor, not specified | 108 (5.9) | 14.6±13.2                 | 11.5±10.1                 |

Values are presented as number (%) or mean±standard deviation.
GG, ganglioglioma; DNT, dysembryoplastic neuroepithelial tumor; PXA, pleomorphic xanthoastrocytoma.

for LEATs, here we performed a systematic literature review of the factors associated with seizure and cognitive outcomes after epilepsy surgery for LEATs in children. Articles were extracted in July 2019 using PubMed searches of titles and abstracts with the following query terms: seizure or epilepsy; low-grade epilepsy-associated neuroepithelial tumor, long-term epilepsy-associated tumor, LEAT, ganglioglioma, dysembryoplastic neuroepithelial tumor, glioma, astrocytoma, xanthoastrocytoma, or tumor; surgery or resection; and child or pediatric. The inclusion criteria for the studies were: (1) epilepsy surgery in children with histopathologically confirmed LEATs, (2) seizure or epilepsy as the main symptom and reason for the surgery, and (3) mention of statistically significant predictive factors for seizure or cognitive outcomes. The exclusion criteria were: (1) inclusion of adults and children in the study and the reporting of data such that the child-specific data could not be distinguished from those for adults, (2) inclusion of high-grade tumors. Of 290 extracted articles, 86 were excluded after initial screening due to irrelevancy, while an additional 186 were excluded after full article review due to ineligibility. Ultimately, 18 studies were included; of them, 16 addressed factors associated with seizure outcome, and 6 addressed factors associated with cognitive outcome. All included studies were retrospective.

Epileptogenesis of LEATs

The reason why almost all GNTs, including GGs and DNTs, cause seizures is not entirely understood, and various epileptogenic mechanisms of LEAT-associated epilepsy have been suggested.\(^{17}\) The LEAT itself can be intrinsically epileptogenic due to the presence of hyperexcitable dysplastic neurons or a high neuronal density within the tumor as evidenced by various results from immunocytochemical studies, such as the high expression of glutamate receptor subtypes, downregulation of several gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) receptors, and deregulation of cation-chloride cotransporters.\(^{18-22}\)

LEATs can also invade normal tissues, altering neurotransmitter expression and inflammatory reactions.\(^{23}\) For example, the deregulation of glutamate uptake and release by glutamate receptors on glial cells, which results in increased extracellular glutamate concentrations and decreased glial glutamate transporter expressions, has been observed.\(^{19,20,24,25}\) LEATs have also been noted to activate the innate and adaptive immune systems.\(^{26,27}\) Proinflammatory molecules increase neuronal excitability by enhancing extracellular glutamate concentrations and modifying the functions of glutamate and GABA receptors.\(^{28}\) Immune system activation also causes upregulation of major histocompatibility complex class I molecules in neuronal cells and activates the mammalian target of rapamycin pathway.\(^{26,27,29}\)

Adjacent cortical areas can also undergo dysplastic reorganization, leading to hyperexcitability.\(^{30,31}\) Studies have shown that the afferentation of adjacent cortical regions can lead to generation hypersensitivity, modified synchronization of local networks, and overexpression of neurotransmitters in adjacent cortical areas.\(^{7,23,32}\)

Other suggested mechanisms for LEAT-related seizures include blood-brain barrier dysfunction, altered gap junction channels in glial cells, alterations in the surrounding neuronal network, altered regional metabolism and pH, regional hypoxic effects on the surrounding tissue, and an altered vascular supply.\(^{7,18,13-19}\) Genetic predispositions for tumor-associated seizures have also been studied.\(^{33,40}\) Therefore, the etiology of tumor-induced seizures is multifactorial and extends beyond the physical size of the tumor itself.\(^{41,42}\)

Factors associated with seizure outcomes after epilepsy surgery for LEATs

The following factors were mentioned at least once among the 16 studies that addressed seizure outcome as being predictive of seizure recurrence after surgery: younger age at seizure onset\(^{43}\); older age at surgery\(^{44,45}\); longer duration of epilepsy\(^{44,46}\); greater number of AEDs taken at the time of surgery\(^{47}\); presence of generalized seizure\(^{48}\); presence of generalized epileptiform discharges (EDs) in an electroencephalogram\(^{49}\); extratemporal or parietal location of the tumor\(^{43}\); presence of satellite lesions on a MRI scan\(^{50}\); lesionectomy of temporal tumors\(^{43}\); and subtotal tumor resection.\(^{15,45,46,51-55}\) The included studies that examined seizure outcome are summarized in Table 2, and more detailed information regarding the tumor types included, parameters for seizure outcome assessment, and factors irrelevant to seizure outcome are shown in Supplementary Table 1.

Degree of tumor resection

Gross total resection was the most frequently suggested favorable prognostic factor.\(^{15,45,46,49,55}\) Fig. 1 shows an example of successful gross total resection of a LEAT (ganglioglioma) in a 7-year-old boy performed due to uncontrolled seizures after
he took 3 AEDs for 1.3 years. Several studies that included large numbers of patients confirmed that gross total resection is among the strongest factors leading to seizure freedom after LEAT resection. However, some studies have stated otherwise. Gross total resection may not be possible if the tumor is located adjacent to eloquent areas or other functional cortical areas. Nevertheless, gross total resection should be the goal of surgery, and efforts should be made using multimodal approaches to maximize the extent of resection.

### Duration of epilepsy

Two studies mentioned that a longer duration of epilepsy was a poor prognostic factor for seizure outcomes. The same has been demonstrated in previous systematic reviews that examined adults or both adults and children. Early seizures may promote progressive changes in synaptic plasticity and cerebral blood flow, with prolonged epilepsy making surrounding neurons more epileptic; thus, seizure control can become more difficult once a period of time has elapsed following seizure onset. However, 8 studies found that epilepsy duration is not predictive of seizure outcome, while 2 studies suggested otherwise. Thus, more studies are needed to draw a definitive conclusion.

### Table 2. Summary of articles addressing factors associated with seizure outcomes after epilepsy surgery for low-grade epilepsy-associated neuroepithelial tumors

| Study                        | No. of patients | Seizure-free rate | Factors associated with poor seizure outcome                                                                 |
|------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Babini et al. (2013)         | 30             | 86.7%             | Younger age at seizure onset                                                                                   |
|                              |                |                   | Tailored surgery (i.e., extended resection for epileptogenic foci) for temporal lobe tumors                   |
| Brahimaj et al. (2014)       | 18             | 44.4%             | Greater number of AEDs tried before surgery                                                                      |
| Daszkiewicz et al. (2018)    | 52             | 86.5%             | Age of >6 yr at surgery                                                                                       |
|                              |                |                   | Duration of epilepsy >1 yr                                                                                     |
| Ehrstedt et al. (2017)       | 25             | 64.0%             | Subtotal resection                                                                                             |
| Faramand et al. (2018)       | 92             | 80.4%             | Subtotal resection                                                                                             |
| Garcia-Fernandez et al. (2011)| 21            | 85.7%             | Subtotal resection                                                                                             |
| Khajavi et al. (1995)        | 15             | 66.7%             | Subtotal resection                                                                                             |
| Khajavi et al. (1999)        | 34             | 73.5%             | Older age at surgery                                                                                          |
|                              |                |                   | Subtotal resection                                                                                             |
| Ko et al. (2019)             | 58             | 87.9%             | Subtotal resection                                                                                             |
| Minkin et al. (2008)         | 24             | 83.3%             | Presence of generalized seizure                                                                                |
| Nolan et al. (2004)          | 26             | 84.6%             | Subtotal resection                                                                                             |
| Ogwara et al. (2010)         | 30             | 90.0%             | None                                                                                                          |
| Packer et al. (1994)         | 50             | 72.0%             | Parietal location of tumor                                                                                     |
|                              |                |                   | Subtotal resection                                                                                             |
|                              |                |                   | Duration of epilepsy >1 yr                                                                                     |
| Ramantani et al. (2014)      | 29             | 75.9%             | Subtotal resection                                                                                             |
| Uliel-Sibony et al. (2011)   | 41             | 82.9%             | Presence of generalized EDs in an EEG                                                                          |
| Yang et al. (2019)           | 39             | 66.7%             | Presence of satellite lesions on an MRI scan                                                                  |

AED, antiepileptic drug; ED, epileptiform discharge; EEG, electroencephalogram; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.
Age at surgery

Two studies found that an older age at surgery is predictive for persistent seizures, whereas 9 studies found no association between age at surgery and seizure outcome. In an Italian nationwide study of epilepsy surgery for LEATs that included a total of 282 adults and children, older age at surgery was the most significant predictor of persistent seizures, with a 4% increase in the probability of an unfavorable outcome for every year waited. Since previous studies demonstrated no significant difference in surgical outcome between pediatric and adult patients with LEATs, the reason for the poor prognosis associated with older age at surgery may be the duration of epilepsy rather than the patient’s age itself.

Extent of resection

One article suggested that extended resection (i.e., removal of the tumor and surrounding epileptogenic zone) is helpful for achieving seizure freedom, whereas 6 other articles found no difference in seizure outcomes between lesionectomy alone and extended resection. Thus, the matter of surgical strategy remains controversial. The discordance among previous studies also raises an important question regarding the use of additional corticectomy or additional amygdalohippocampectomy for temporal lobe tumors. Extended resections are mainly performed for temporal tumors, while there is a lack of reports detailing outcomes for extratemporal tumors. One indicator that endorses the application of extended tailored resection is the presence of adjacent dual pathology, such as focal cortical dysplasia or hippocampal sclerosis, that can cause seizures after lesionectomy. Therefore, what can currently be said with confidence is that extended resection may be considered, particularly when treating temporal tumors with evidence of dual pathology.

Utilization of intraoperative electrocorticography

Intraoperative electrocorticography (ECoG) or 2-stage surgery is performed when delineation of the epileptogenic zone is needed due to the presence of multifocal epileptogenic foci or a tumor location adjacent to functional areas. All 3 studies that investigated the association between the utilization of intraoperative ECoG and seizure outcome found that it is not related to higher rates of seizure freedom. Other large reviews reported the same conclusion. However, caution must be taken when interpreting these results, as intraoperative ECoG is more likely to be used in more difficult cases that involve multifocal epileptogenic foci or tumors located near eloquent areas. Other studies advocate for the utilization of intraoperative ECoG during tailored surgery. Therefore, the use of intraoperative ECoG is advised when extended resection is anticipated.

Factors associated with cognitive outcome after epilepsy surgery for LEATs

Studies that addressed factors associated with preoperative full-scale intelligence quotient (FSIQ), longer duration of epilepsy, and younger age at seizure onset are universally mentioned as poor prognostic factors for preoperative FSIQ. An explanation of this finding is reduced brain plasticity and a limited degree of possible postoperative cognitive gains. The studies addressing cognitive outcome are summarized in Table 3, and more detailed information regarding the cognitive parameters is shown in Supplementary Table 2.

Postoperative cognitive function has been shown to significantly depend on preoperative cognitive function. García-Fernández et al. reported that none of the various cognitive domains of a postoperative neuropsychological test performed 1 year after resection showed significant decline; on the contrary, there were statistically significant improvements in several cognitive domains (Supplementary Table 2). Ramantani et al. also reported that, at the group level, there was significant intra-individual improvement in verbal intelligence quotient (IQ) and performance IQ as well as a trend toward FSIQ improvement after epilepsy surgery. Finally, a study by Faramand et al. showed that postoperative FSIQ improved in 61% of children, declined in 36.5% of children, and was unchanged in 2.5% of children. The study by García-Fernández et al. also mentioned above also showed poorer preoperative cognitive function in children with drug-resistant epilepsy, suggesting that it can be beneficial for surgery to occur before drug-resistant epilepsy develops.

Overall, earlier surgery can prevent low postoperative FSIQ in children, particularly young children, and that improved cognitive function can be expected following epilepsy surgery.

Conclusion

LEATs usually develop in children and young adults who present with seizures that are highly drug-resistant. Surgical treatment, however, is extremely effective, resulting in seizure freedom in approximately 70%–80% of cases. The achievement of gross total resection may be the most important prognostic factor for seizure freedom. Shorter duration of epilepsy, younger age at surgery, and extended resection of temporal lobe tumors have also been suggested as favorable prognostic factors for seizure control.

Poor cognitive function in children with LEATs is strongly associated with longer duration of epilepsy and younger age at seizure onset. Therefore, surgical treatment should be considered as an early option in children with LEATs prior to the diagnosis of drug-resistant epilepsy to protect the cognitive function.
function of LEAT patients by averting recurrent seizures and the administration of multiple AEDs.
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## Supplementary Table 1. Detailed summary of literatures on factors associated with seizure outcome in epilepsy surgery of low-grade epilepsy-associated neuroepithelial tumors

| Study | No. of patients | Types of tumor (percent) | Parameters for seizure outcome | Factors associated with poor seizure outcome | Factors irrelevant to seizure outcome |
|-------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Babini et al. (2013) | 30 | GG (66.7%), DNT (17.3%), PKA (6.7%), Gangliocytoma (3.3%), Anaplastic glioma (2.9%), Papillary GNT (2.9%), Extraventricular neurocytoma (3.9%) | Good: Engel class I (n=26, 86.7%) Poor: Engel class III (n=4, 13.3%), at last f/u, f/u duration of mean 7.1 years (range, 1-17 years) | Younger age at sz onset 64-years at sz onset Tailored surgery in temporal lobe tumors | Age at surgery, duration of epilepsy, secondary generalization, sz frequency before surgery, location of tumor, side of tumor location |
| Brahimg et al. (2014) | 18 | DNT (27.9%), PKA (16.7%), GG (11.1%), Demisomorphic GG (11.1%), Low-grade glioma (11.1%), Pilocytic astrocytoma (11.1%), Oligodendroglioma (5.6%), Fibrillary astrocytoma (5.6%) | Good: sz free (n=8, 44.4%) Poor: persistent sz (n=10, 55.6%), at last f/u, f/u duration of mean 39 months (minimum, 6 months) | Greater number of AEDs tried before surgery | Age at sz onset, age at surgery, gender, contralateral ED on EEG, extent of resection |
| Daszkiewicz et al. (2018) | 52 | GG (92.3%), DNT (7.7%) (tumors located in mesial-basal part of the temporal lobe were included) | Good: Engel class I (n=46, 88.5%) Poor: Engel class III (n=6, 11.5%), at last f/u, f/u duration of mean 2.9 years (range, 1-7 years) | Age > 6 years at surgery Duration of epilepsy > 1 year | Extent of tumor, coexistence of cortical dysplasia, surgical approach, extent or resection |
| Ehret et al. (2017) | 25 | GG, DNT, Infantile desmoplastic GG (proportions unknown) | Good: Engel class I (n=46, 88.5%) Poor: Engel class III (n=6, 11.5%), at last f/u, f/u duration of mean 2.9 years (range, 1-7 years) | Age at sz onset, gender, duration of epilepsy, drug-resistant epilepsy before surgery, tumor location | Extent of resection of epileptogenic foci utilizing EEG |
| Farmand et al. (2017) | 92 | DNT, GG, Demoplastic GG, Anaplastic glioma (not specified, proportions unknown) | Good: Engel class I (n=74, 80.4%) Poor: Engel class III (n=18, 19.6%), at last f/u, f/u duration of ≥12 months | Age at sz onset, age at first assessment, age at surgery, gender, duration of epilepsy, secondary generalization, tumor location, side of tumor location, tumor type | Age at sz onset, age at surgery, duration of epilepsy, tumor location, side of tumor location, type of tumor, type of ECoG, extent of interictal epileptogenic foci on EEG, interictal ED on EEG, extent of resection, ECoG |
| Garcia-Fernández et al. (2011) | 21 | GG (47.6%), DNT (42.9%), Gangliocytoma (9.5%) | Good: sz free (n=18, 85.7%) Poor: persistent sz (n=3, 14.3%), at last f/u, f/u duration of mean 4.68 years (SD, 2.13; minimum, 1 year) | STR | Age at sz onset, gender, duration of epilepsy, tumor location, type of tumor, GTR/STR, extent of resection, ECoG |
| Khajavi et al. (1995) | 15 | GG (100%) | Good: sz free or ≥90% sz reduction (n=12, 80.0%) Poor: <90% sz reduction (n=3, 20.0%), at last f/u, f/u duration of 42 months (range, 18-107 months) | Age at sz onset, gender, duration of epilepsy, drug-resistant epilepsy before surgery, tumor location | Extended resection of epileptogenic foci utilizing EEG |
| Khajavi et al. (1999) | 34 | GG (44.1%), DNT (20.6%), Low-grade astrocytoma (20.6%), Oligodendroglioma (11.8%), Mixed glioma (2.9%) | Good: sz free or ≥90% sz reduction (n=29, 85.3%) Poor: <90% sz reduction (n=5, 14.7%), at last f/u, f/u duration of 43 months (range, 18-126 months) | Older age at surgery | Duration of epilepsy, sz frequency before surgery, tumor type, location of tumor, GTR/STR, extent of resection, ECoG |
| Ko et al. (2019) | 58 | GG (46.6%), DNT (46.8%), Pilocytic astrocytoma (3.4%), Papillary gliomevmet- ronal tumor (1.7%) | Good: sz free (n=51, 87.9%) Poor: persistent sz (n=6, 12.1%), at last f/u, f/u duration of median 5.6 years (GR, 3.2-10.0; minimum, 2 years) | Univariate: -Greater number of ictal episodes -Greater number of AEDs taken before surgery -STR -Temporal location of tumor Multivariate: -STR | Age at seizure onset, gender, f/u duration, sz frequency before surgery, tumor type, location of tumor, GTR/STR, extent of resection, ECoG |
| Mckinna et al. (2008) | 24 | DNT (100%) | Good: Engel class I (n=20, 83.3%) Poor: Engel class II (n=4, 16.7%), at last f/u, f/u duration of mean 6.7 years (range, 1-16 years) | Age at sz onset, gender, age at surgery, GTR/SEEG, concordance, pathology (simple/complex/nonspecific), malformation of cortical development, f/u duration | Presence of generalizad sz | Age at sz onset, age at surgery, gender, GTR/seizure concordance, pathology (simple/complex/nonspecific), malformation of cortical development, f/u duration |
| Nolan et al. (2014) | 26 | DNT (100%) | Good: sz free (n=22, 84.6%) Poor: persistent sz (n=4, 15.4%), at 12 months after surgery | Duration of epilepsy > 2 years | Age at surgery > 10 years | Age at sz onset, gender, duration of developmental delay, presence of focal neurological deficit, sz semiology, MRI appearance of tumor (typical/atypical/enhanced), utilization of ECoG, pathologic classification of DNT (simple/complex/undifferentiated), presence of cortical dysplasia |
| Ogwara et al. (2010) | 30 | GG (100%) | Good: Engel class I (n=27, 90.0%) Poor: Engel class II (n=3, 10.0%), at last f/u, f/u duration of mean 3.4 years (range 1 month - 6 years) | None | Age at surgery, gender, tumor location, extent of resection, utilization of infratemporal ECoG | Age at surgery, gender, tumor location, extent of resection, utilization of infratemporal ECoG |
| Parker et al. (1994) | 60 (11 sz before surgery) | GG (68.3%), Low-grade glioma (18.3%), PKA (6.7%), Intermediate-grade glioma (3.3%), Mixed low-grade glioma (3.3%) | Good: sz free or >75% sz reduction (n=47, 78.3%) Poor: <75% sz reduction (n=13, 21.7%), at 2 years after surgery | Panaretal location of tumor | Age at surgery, duration of epilepsy, sz semiology, sz frequency before surgery, tumor type | Age at surgery, duration of epilepsy, sz semiology, sz frequency before surgery, tumor type |
| Ramanantsoa et al. (2014) | 29 | GG (55.2%), DNT (44.8%) | Good: Engel class I (n=22, 75.9%) Poor: Engel class II (n=7, 24.1%), at last f/u, f/u duration of mean 7.3 years (SD, 3.0; range, 1.3-12.3 years) | STR | Age at onset, age at surgery, duration of epilepsy, tumor type, utilization of ECoG, type of tumor, type of ECoG, GTR/STR, extent of resection | Age at surgery, age at seizure onset, duration of epilepsy, tumor type, utilization of ECoG, GTR/STR, extent of resection, ECoG |
| Ullt-Simony et al. (2011) | 41 | Pilocytic astrocytoma (48.8%), GG (29.3%), Low-grade oligodendrogioma (14.6%), DNT (7.3%) (tumors located in temporal lobe were included) | Good: Engel class I (n=34, 82.9%) Poor: Engel class II (n=7, 17.1%), at last f/u, f/u duration of mean 5.3 years (range, 1-13 years) | Presence of generalized ED on EEG | Age at seizure onset, duration of epilepsy, semiology, tumor type, utilization of ECoG, type of tumor, type of ECoG, GTR/STR, extent of resection | Age at seizure onset, duration of epilepsy, semiology, tumor type, utilization of ECoG, GTR/STR, extent of resection |
| Yang et al. (2018) | 39 | DNT (100%) | Good: sz free (n=26, 66.7%) Poor: persistent sz (n=13, 33.3%), at last f/u, f/u duration of mean 92 months (range, 6-155 months) | Presence of satellite lesions on MR | Age at seizure onset, gender, duration of epilepsy, location of tumor, GTR/SEEG | Age at seizure onset, gender, duration of epilepsy, location of tumor, GTR/STR |

GG, gangliocytoma; DNT, dysembryoplastic neuroepithelial tumor; PKA, pleomorphic xanthoastrocytoma; GNT, glioneuronal tumor; f/u, follow-up; sz, seizure; AED, antiepileptic drug; EEG, epileptiform discharge; EEG, electroencephalography; STR, subtotal resection; SD, standard deviation; EEG, invasive subdural electroencephalography; EEG, focal cortical dysplasia; IQ, interquartile range; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; ECoG, electrocorticography; GTR, gross total resection.

See the end-reference list in main text for references of the Supplementary Table 1.
## Supplementary Table 2. Detailed summary of literatures on factors associated with cognitive outcome in epilepsy surgery of low-grade epilepsy-associated neuroepithelial tumors

| Study                   | No. of patients | Types of tumor (%)                                                                 | Parameters for cognitive functions                                                                 | Factors associated with poor cognitive outcome                  | Factors irrelevant to cognitive outcome                        |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Faramand et al. (2017)  | 90              | DNT, GG, Demoplastic GG, Angiocentric glioma, GNT not specified (proportions unknown) | Preoperative full-scale IQ                                                                        | Longer duration of epilepsy                                      | Age at surgery, tumor location                                   |
|                         | 41              |                                                                                     | Postoperative full-scale IQ, at 1 year after surgery                                               | Younger age at sz onset                                         | Low preoperative full-scale IQ                                   |
|                         |                 |                                                                                     |                                                                                                    | Age at sz onset, duration of epilepsy, degree of resection      |                                                                  |
| Garcia-Fernández et al. (2011) | 21              | GG (47.6%), DNT (42.9%), Gangliocytoma (9.5%)                                        | Preoperative full-scale IQ, performance IQ, motor function of dominant hand, motor function of non-dominant hand, verbal reasoning, auditory processing, vocabulary recognition, visual learning, arithmetic | Younger age at sz onset Drug-resistant epilepsy                 | Tumor location, side of tumor location                           |
|                         |                 |                                                                                     | Preoperative verbal IQ, verbal learning                                                              | Younger age at sz onset Drug-resistant epilepsy                 | Side of tumor location                                           |
|                         |                 |                                                                                     | Preoperative vasomotor coordination, visuo-constructional praxis, spatial memory, concept formation |                                                                 | Drug-resistant epilepsy, tumor location, side of tumor location  |
|                         |                 |                                                                                     | Preoperative delayed verbal recall, reading/understanding                                           | Drug-resistant epilepsy Left hemispheric tumor                   | Age at sz onset, side of tumor location                          |
|                         |                 |                                                                                     | Preoperative visual perception, phonemic and semantic verbal fluency, sustained attention            | Drug-resistant epilepsy                                         | Age at sz onset, tumor location, side of tumor location          |
|                         |                 |                                                                                     | Preoperative visual perception, phonemic and semantic verbal fluency, sustained attention            | Extratemporal location of tumor                                 | Age at sz onset, drug-resistant epilepsy, side of tumor location  |
|                         |                 |                                                                                     | Postoperative improvement in visual attention, auditory processing, verbal comprehension, verbal delayed recall, spatial memory, executive function/ nonverbal fluency, at 1 year after surgery | Extended lesionectomy (compared to extended resection)         | Not mentioned                                                    |
| Giulioni et al. (2017)  | Not specified   | GG, DNT, PXA, Pilocytic astrocytoma, Angiocentric glioma, GNT not specified, Low-grade glioma not specified, Mixed (proportions unknown) | Preoperative neuropsychological test (normal vs pathologic)                                        | Longer duration of epilepsy                                      | Not mentioned                                                    |
| Ko et al. (2019)        | 58              | GG (46.6%), DNT (48.3%), Pilocytic astrocytoma (3.4%), Papillary glioneuronal tumor (1.7%) | Preoperative full-scale IQ                                                                        | Univariate:                                                    | Age at seizure onset, gender, age at surgery, if u duration, sz frequency before surgery, sz semiology, drug-resistant epilepsy, duration of video EEG monitoring, generalized ED on EEG, side of tumor location, iEEG monitoring, tumor type, associated FCD |
|                         |                 |                                                                                     | Postoperative full-scale IQ, at median 21.0 months (IQR, 13.2–31.0 months) after surgery            | Multivariate:                                                  |                                                                 |
|                         |                 |                                                                                     |                                                                                                    | Longer duration of epilepsy                                     |                                                                 |
| Ramantani et al. (2014) | 25              | GG, DNT (proportions unknown)                                                        | Preoperative full-scale IQ                                                                        | Longer duration of epilepsy                                      | Age at sz onset, age at surgery, sz frequency before surgery, generalized sz |
|                         | 24              |                                                                                     | Postoperative full-scale IQ                                                                         | Low preoperative full-scale IQ                                  | Not mentioned                                                    |

GG, ganglioglioma; DNT, dysembryoplastic neuroepithelial tumor; IQ, intelligence quotient; sz, seizure; GNT, glioneuronal tumor; PXA, pleomorphic xanthoastrocytoma; ED, epileptiform discharge; iEEG, invasive subdural electroencephalography; FCD, focal cortical dysplasia; IQR, interquartile range.

See the end-reference list in main text for references of the Supplementary Table 2.