Waning Antibody Responses in Asymptomatic and Symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 Infection
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We investigated the kinetics of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 neutralizing antibodies in 7 asymptomatic persons and 11 patients with pneumonia. The geometric mean titer of neutralizing antibodies declined from 219.4 at 2 months to 143.7 at 5 months after infection, indicating a waning antibody response.

Neutralizing antibodies develop in asymptomatic persons with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection; however, the initial immune response is not as strong as in patients with more severe disease (1,2). We investigated the kinetics of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies during the 5 months after infection in asymptomatic persons and patients with pneumonia caused by SARS-CoV-2.

We studied 7 persons infected with SARS-CoV-2 who were isolated in a community treatment center operated by Seoul National University (SNU) Hospital in Daegu, South Korea (3). Comprehensive monitoring confirmed that these 7 patients were asymptomatic (4). We also evaluated 11 SARS-CoV-2–positive patients with pneumonia at the Biocontainment Unit in the SNU Hospital and SNU Bundang Hospital. We classified each case of pneumonia as asymptomatic (i.e., infiltrations observed only on computed tomography) or apparent (i.e., infiltrations observed on plain chest radiograph) (Appendix Table, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/1/20-3515-App1.pdf). All patients provided informed consent.

We evaluated the antibody responses at 2 and 5 months after infection, as reported (1). We semiquantitatively measured IgG against SARS-CoV-2 using ELISA (Euroimmun, https://www.euroimmun.com) with the recombinant S1 domain of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein as the antigen. We interpreted the optical density ratio (sample/calibrator) as negative (<0.8), borderline (0.8 to <1.1), or positive (≥1.1), according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. We also conducted neutralization assays as previously described (5) using BetaCoV/Korea/SNU01/2020 virus (6) and 2-fold serially diluted plasma samples (2–4,096-fold). We recorded the highest dilution of plasma that showed inhibition activity of SARS-CoV-2 as the neutralizing antibody titer. We considered a ≥4-fold reduction in antibody titer to be a waning response. The Institutional Review Boards of Seoul National University Hospital approved the study (IRB no. H-2004-158-1118).

Two months after infection, 11 (100%) patients with pneumonia and 5 (71%) with asymptomatic infection had positive ELISA results. Five months after infection, 5 (100.0%) patients with apparent pneumonia, 5 (83.3%) with subtle pneumonia, and 4 (57.1%) with asymptomatic infection had positive ELISA results. The mean ELISA optical density decreased significantly from 2 to 5 months after infection (4.93 at 2 months vs. 4.09 at 5 months; p = 0.01).

Two months after infection, all patients had neutralizing antibodies. Antibody titers correlated with disease severity; the geometric mean titer was 105 among asymptomatic persons, 161 among patients with subtle pneumonia, and 891 among patients with apparent pneumonia. Five months after infection, all patients still had neutralizing antibodies, but the geometric mean titer decreased significantly (219.4 at 2 months vs. 143.7 at 5 months; p = 0.03). In the linear regression model, the decline was significantly associated with the antibody levels at 2 months as measured by ELISA (r = 0.536, p = 0.02) and the neutralization assay (r = 0.563, p = 0.02) (Appendix Figure). The waning neutralizing antibody response occurred in 2 (40%) of 5 patients with apparent pneumonia and 2 (33%) of 6 with subtle pneumonia, but none of the asymptomatic persons (Figure).

Determining the longevity of humoral immunity to SARS-CoV-2 is essential to predicting herd immunity to coronavirus disease. Among patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus, which is closely related to SARS-CoV-2, a total of 90% maintained IgG for 2 years and 50% for 3 years (7). However, humoral immunity to common human coronavirus is short-lived; antibodies against seasonal coronaviruses return to baseline levels by 52 weeks after infection, enabling homologous reinfections (8). A recent study showed that the antibody titers of patients with mild coronavirus disease declined more quickly than did those of patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome (9).

1These first authors equally contributed to this article.
Our findings demonstrate waning humoral immunity in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. We documented the decline of neutralizing antibody titers in asymptomatic and symptomatic patients. In this study, the initial neutralizing antibody reaction appeared to correlate with the severity of the disease. However, patients with pneumonia were considerably older than asymptomatic persons, and increasing age is associated with a stronger neutralizing antibody response (10). In this study, neutralizing antibody titer decreased more in symptomatic than asymptomatic patients. Our study reinforces the concern that naturally acquired humoral immunity against SARS-CoV-2 might not be long-lasting.
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Postmortem Stability of SARS-CoV-2 in Nasopharyngeal Mucosa
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Analyses of infection chains have demonstrated that severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 is highly transmissible. However, data on postmortem stability and infectivity are lacking. Our finding of nasopharyngeal viral RNA stability in 79 corpses showed no time-dependent decrease. Maintained infectivity is supported by virus isolation up to 35 hours postmortem.

Detailed analyses of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) transmission have shown the virus to be highly transmissible through droplet and contact-transmitted viral spreading; reproduction indices were 2.2–3.6 (1). Amid the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic, case-fatality rates of up to 9.26% occur in areas hard-struck by SARS-CoV-2 (2). The likelihood of virus transmission through deceased persons remains unclear. However, in recent pandemics of influenza, high and sustainable virus stability and infectivity within corpses were demonstrated (3,4), necessitating careful and conscious handling. To determine the possibility of SARS-CoV-2 transmission through deceased persons, we conducted a study of postmortem viral RNA stability.

The federal state of Hamburg, Germany, has mandated autopsies since March 2020 in accordance with the German Infection Protection Act for all patients with reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR)-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection. Data and sample acquisition for the study were performed during March 22–May 1, 2020. To confirm the initial diagnosis and quantify the viral load in the corpses, nasopharyngeal swab samples (ESwab; Copan, https://products.copan-group.com) were taken at patient admission to the Department of Legal Medicine (University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf). Corpses were stored at 4°C in the refrigerator. Antemortem and postmortem nasopharyngeal swab samples were taken according to recent standards (5) by trained, medically qualified personnel to ensure maximum reliability and consistent quality. Samples were analyzed for SARS-CoV-2 RNA as described previously (6).

The Ethics Committee of the Hamburg Chamber of Physicians approved the study (no. PV7311). The local clinical institutional review board, complying with the Declaration of Helsinki, also approved the study.

Antemortem nasopharyngeal swab samples (Appendix Figure, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/1/20-3112-App1.pdf) were collected by medical staff at the intensive care unit of the University Medical Center Hamburg and by general practitioners from on-call duty at a median of 6 days (range 2–14 [interquartile range (IQR) 6.3]) before death (n = 10). Using a Wilcoxon test for paired data, we did not detect any effect of the event of death on the SARS-CoV-2 RNA load (U= −5; p = 0.85). We found no correlation between the postmortem interval (time of death until cooling at 4°C; median 17.8 [range 2.7–482.6] hours) and the viral RNA loads of corpses, as indicated by Spearman correlation of 79 matched datasets (Figure, panel A).

To analyze postmortem stability of SARS-CoV-2 RNA, we selected 11 corpses with short postmortem intervals for a detailed observation over 7 days (168 hours) (Table). The median postmortem interval was 5.7 (range 2.9–32.0 [IQR 6.9]) hours. The median cycle threshold (C) of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in swab samples taken at admission was 29.52 (range 15.2–50.0 [IQR 22.5]) (Figure, panel A). We determined viral load in a series of 9 sequential pharyngeal swab samples (time points 0, 12, 24, 36, 48, 60, 72, 96, and 168 hours after admission). We consistently detected SARS-CoV-2 RNA at constant levels at all time points analyzed (Figure, panel B), except for patient 7 at 0, 12, and 24 hours after admission and patient 8 at admission. Because subsequent samples were positive for all corpses, we attributed those...
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Appendix

Appendix Table. Clinical characteristics of patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, South Korea, 2020.

| Characteristics                      | Completely asymptomatic | Subtle pneumonia | Apparent pneumonia |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Total                                | 7                       | 6                 | 5                  |
| M                                    | 5 (71.4)                | 2 (33.3)          | 4 (80.0)           |
| F                                    | 2 (28.6)                | 4 (66.7)          | 1 (20.0)           |
| Age, median years (range)            | 25 (20–28)              | 47 (24–60)        | 48 (39–69)         |
| Concurrent conditions                |                         |                   |                    |
| Hypertension                         | 0                       | 0                 | 2 (40.0)           |
| Diabetes mellitus                    | 0                       | 2 (33.3)          | 1 (20.0)           |
| Initial lymphocyte counts (cells/µL), median (range) | NA                     | 1,170 (789–2,051) | 1,028 (652–1,780) |
| Initial C-reactive protein (mg/dL), median (range) | NA                     | 0.21 (0.08–1.32)  | 5.09 (3.89–7.28)   |
| On oxygen therapy                    | 0                       | 0                 | 1 (20.0)           |
| Antiviral treatment (lopinavir/ritonavir) | 0                     | 1 (16.7)          | 4 (80.0)           |
| ELISA results at 2 mo after infection|                         |                   |                    |
| Negative                             | 1 (14.3)                | 0                 | 0                  |
| Borderline                           | 1 (14.3)                | 0                 | 0                  |
| Positive                             | 5 (71.4)                | 6 (100.0)         | 5 (100.0)          |
| ELISA results at 5 mo after infection|                         |                   |                    |
| Negative                             | 2 (28.6)                | 1 (16.7)          | 0                  |
| Borderline                           | 1 (14.3)                | 0                 | 0                  |
| Positive                             | 4 (57.1)                | 5 (83.3)          | 5 (100.0)          |

*Unless otherwise indicated
Appendix Figure. Association between baseline levels and total decline of antibodies against severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, South Korea, 2020. Measured by A) ELISA optical density values and B) neutralizing antibody titers.