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Abstract. In the context of the aggravation of environmental problems, in particular those related to the use of forest resources, mineral and fuel and energy resources, the violation and degradation of natural ecosystems in large areas, it became necessary to preserve unique areas of the earth's surface. Monitoring of protected areas is an effective tool for maintaining unique natural complexes in a high-quality condition, reducing the level of degradation of the components of the natural environment. Currently, specially protected natural areas of the Tyumen region are experiencing high anthropogenic loads associated with industrial, tourism, and investment activities. To develop a methodology for monitoring the lands of unique natural complexes and objects of the Tyumen region, the main factors that have a negative impact on natural complexes are identified.

1 Introduction

Specially protected natural areas (hereinafter referred to as protected areas) play a crucial role in maintaining a favorable ecological situation of the environment. Meanwhile, in the conditions of modern nature management, direct and indirect impact of man and his economic activities on natural complexes, the fact of the organization of protected areas does not always mean a reduction in anthropogenic impact. Therefore, at present, it is necessary to organize systematic observations of the state of protected areas, assess and predict changes in the components of protected areas under the influence of natural and anthropogenic factors.

Monitoring protected areas is a mandatory measure for the conservation of biodiversity and the maintenance of a favorable habitat, and therefore can be aimed at solving the most important tasks, such as:

1. Systematization of data on the components of the natural environment of protected areas, allowing to draw conclusions about the state of the environment and to provide timely measures for its protection.

2. Assessment of the degree of impact of natural and anthropogenic factors on the object of protected areas and development of action plans to reduce the negative impact.

3. Information support of state authorities, local self-government, legal entities, individual entrepreneurs, and citizens regarding the state of the environment within the boundaries of protected areas.
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4. Provision of up-to-date information of the state cadastre of protected areas of regional and local significance.

Currently, at the legislative level, there is no separate subsystem for monitoring protected areas within the framework of state environmental monitoring, and there is no single scientific basis for monitoring work, so each subject develops its own methodology, while in some regions it is absent. In the absence of a methodology at the regional level, various indicators and methodological approaches for monitoring protected areas are used, which does not allow them to be correctly compared for certain indicators.

While monitoring protected areas, special attention is paid to the study of the properties and condition of the main objects of protection – natural complexes, geological objects, plant and animal species listed in the Red Books. However, the main objects of protection do not always cover the entire area of protected areas, less valuable areas and often have huge areas, but their study did not get enough attention yet. Also, the developed methods do not always take into account a systematic approach to the concept of monitoring (observations-assessment-forecast), in the absence of tasks for assessing the state, data is collected that are insufficient for conducting evaluation work. In addition, there is also a low representativeness of the collected data, when the indicators obtained from one tract are extrapolated to the entire territory of the protected area without taking into account its landscape heterogeneity.

Consider the experience of other countries in monitoring protected areas (Table 1).

Table 1. Foreign experience in the organization and management of specially protected natural territories.

| A country   | Authority managing specially protected natural territories | Main features of the functioning of protected areas |
|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| USA         | National Park Service (NPS)                              | - The system of protected areas is based on the organization of national parks, the main purpose of which is to create conditions for recreation of the population and to obtain income from the implementation of the recreational potential of these territories - GIS technology involvement in the scientific activities of protected areas - regular inventory and monitoring of the maximum possible range of various natural resources of protected areas - monitoring in the territory of protected areas is carried out in the following key areas: air and climate, geology and soil, water, biota, anthropogenic activities (including recreational activities), landscapes - a protocol containing information about the goals, objectives, applied methods and objects of monitoring, including map data, as well as a scientific report on the results of monitoring is available on the official NPS website for all users |
| Great Britain | Natural England                                         | - private conservation organizations, as well as numerous private foundations and members of the public, play an important role in the protection and conservation of protected areas - monitoring results are public information and are publicly available on the official website of Natural England |
Having analyzed the organization and functioning of protected areas, as well as the organization of monitoring of unique natural complexes in foreign countries, we can draw the following conclusions:

1. A number of countries have developed a system for monitoring protected areas, which makes it possible to effectively manage these territories, as well as to protect them. When conducting monitoring studies, geoinformation systems containing large amounts of text and graphic information about protected areas are effectively used.

2. Scientific reports on the state of protected areas, compiled based on the results of monitoring, are publicly available.

3. In the United States, each interested user can create a researcher account and register their research program on the territory of any national park in the United States on the official website of the National Park Service (NPS), which allows interested parties to participate in the management and preservation of a unique object.

4. Maintaining protected areas in these foreign countries are allocated significant financial resources that allow us to effectively implement monitoring, which in turn allow you to preserve the unique properties of a particular object that allows you to use a site for the development of tourism and to obtain an economic benefit flowing to the budget of the country. For example, in Finland, for every dollar invested in a protected area, the state receives $ 10.

| Country       | Organization                      | Monitoring levels and details                                                                 |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Finland       | Forest Service of Finland (Metsähallitus) | Monitoring of protected areas is carried out at 3 levels:                                        |
|               |                                   | - the law on protected areas of wildlife does not contain any special restrictions on the use of these areas, with the exception of activities that could entail significant changes in nature or landscapes |
|               |                                   | - protected areas are predominantly formed in forest areas, within the framework of which protection regimes are established |
|               |                                   | - educated protected objects serve as a territory for the development of tourism |
|               |                                   | - regular inventory of biotopes and species is carried out |
|               |                                   | - monitoring of forests, fish stock, the most important species for hunting, flora and fauna, birds and environmental monitoring (water, air quality) is carried out by the Research Institute |

| Spain         | Ministry of Environment           | Monitoring of protected areas is carried out at 3 levels:                                        |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|               |                                   | - most protected areas are multipurpose |
|               |                                   | - support for small farms located within national parks is an effective measure to preserve natural-territorial complexes |

- Monitoring is carried out for groups of parks with similar climatic conditions
- Monitoring of a specific park, taking into account its unique features
- Information on the spatial characteristics of specially protected natural areas is publicly available

Monitoring of protected areas is carried out at 3 levels:

- **I level** - monitoring is carried out in all parks, includes programs for observing climate change, mapping the spatial distribution of natural resources and habitats of living organisms, tracking changes in forest ecosystems, monitoring the functioning of ecosystems, as well as general observations of avifauna
- **II level** - monitoring is carried out for groups of parks with similar climatic conditions
- **III level** - monitoring of a specific park, taking into account its unique features
In the Tyumen Region, taking into account the autonomous districts, as of 01.01.2021, 139 objects of protected areas were formed, which occupy 118.1 thousand km², which is 8.1 % of the entire territory of a complex subject. Protected areas of the Tyumen region is located in the borders of several natural areas are of significant geological and geomorphological, soil, Botanical diversity, protected areas of the region cover an area of 0.3 hectares (natural monument Zinovsky mound) to 4113685.7 ha (state nature reserve of regional importance Yamal), the level of exposure it is possible to allocate the protected areas with high or low anthropogenic pressure.

The regional SPNA Tyumen region have a high level of anthropogenic impact, because of the intense economic development, especially the unique natural complexes of the Autonomous regions, the development of oil and gas, timber industry, traditional nature management of indigenous peoples of the North, the protected areas as places of rest without taking into account the permissible recreational activity, functional zoning of protected areas, and conscious of nature.

Currently, the methodology for monitoring the lands of protected areas in the Tyumen region is not sufficiently developed. Monitoring is carried out by the state authorities of the Tyumen region and subordinate organizations for individual components of the natural environment. However, the lack of comprehensive monitoring, as well as mutually coordinated activities of structures, tracking a limited number of indicators leads to deterioration of the state of protected areas, as well as low efficiency of management of these territories. (Article sizov and we)

Also, based on the information contained in open sources, it can be concluded that the monitoring of protected areas is carried out irregularly, there is no division of protected areas into groups depending on the level of anthropogenic impact. For example, the latest data on environmental monitoring of the components of the natural environment of the regional nature reserve "Sorumsky", located in the Beloyarsky district of the Khanty-Mansi Autonomous Okrug-Ugra, are presented for 2011, despite the fact that the main gas pipeline, oil pipeline, and year-round highway pass within the boundaries of the protected area.

In order to reduce the negative human impact on the unique natural ecosystems of the Tyumen region and their rational use, it is necessary to monitor the lands of protected areas-a set of works on monitoring, assessing and predicting the state and use of the lands of protected areas as the most important component of the natural and economic complex, using primarily remote sensing methods of the Earth. In this case, the concept of "land" includes the entire ecological system in which the object resides PA, that is the whole complex of environmental factors and natural conditions of production, which determine the actual state of land subject to soil, water bodies, vegetation, animal life.

As a result of the study, the authors identified anthropogenic factors of negative impact on the protected areas of the Tyumen region (Table 2).

**Table 2. Anthropogenic factors of negative impact on the protected areas of the Tyumen region.**

| Factors                              | Consequences                                                                 | Examples of protected areas of the Tyumen region |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Exploration and development of oil and gas fields | The oil and gas industry affects most of the components of the natural environment-the soil (the main volume of violations of the soil cover is more than 60% in the development of mineral deposits), air, surface and underground water, flora, fauna, etc. It leads to the formation of highly degraded ecosystems in local areas | Natural parks: Konda Lakes; Numto; Nature reserves: Zoromski; Yamal; Messo-yakhinskiy; Nadym |
| Factors | Description |
|---------|-------------|
| **Timber industry development** | Forests within the boundaries of the protected areas of the Tyumen region are undergoing intensive development, there is an increase in the volume of logging, a reduction in valuable tree species. During the period of active development of the forest resource base, a large number of logging roads were laid on the territory of unique natural complexes. The impact of this factor leads to the degradation of the fertile layer, the violation of all tiers of vegetation, the migration of animals, the disappearance of rare plant species, the development of erosion, desertification, and waterlogging on the land. |
| **Recreational use of natural resources** | Currently, there is no current data on the permissible recreational load on the objects of protected areas of the Tyumen region, within the boundaries of which the organization and arrangement of excursion ecological trails and routes, tourist parking and recreation areas, the construction of recreational infrastructure, the organization of beaches are allowed. This factor affects most often, the intensity of recreation depends on the location of protected areas, including the transport accessibility of the territory and the proximity of protected areas to settlements. Recreation negatively affects the soil and vegetation cover, leads to an increase in the area of cluttered land, an increase in the risk of fire. |
| **Traditional nature management of the indigenous small-numbered peoples of the North** | Part of the territories of traditional nature management of autonomous districts is located within the boundaries of protected areas. Traditional nature management includes reindeer husbandry, hunting and fishing, collecting wild plants, animal husbandry, etc. The implementation of the traditional image of the indigenous small-numbered peoples of the North in the protected areas is accompanied by the construction of national homes, we lay trails. |
| **Urban planning factor** | Currently, more and more forested areas located within the boundaries of cities, as well as in suburban areas, acquire the status of protected areas. Changes in the boundaries of localities associated with the expansion of their territory lead to the absorption and inclusion of protected areas in the urban environment. The implementation of the basic environmental functions of protected areas located in urban or suburban area, should be limited to use of the forest population in terms of the acceptable recreational activity for environmental education, with a minimum of site improvement. However, the organization of recreation of the population in protected areas, as a rule, is associated with... |

| Natural parks | Nature reserves |
|---------------|----------------|
| **Natural parks:** | **Nature reserves:** |
| Kondinsky lakes; Polar-Uralsky; Siberian Uvaly | Yamal, Sorum |
| Nature reserves: | The memorial nature of the far Nyris |
| **Natural parks:** | **Nature reserves:** |
| Numto; Siberian Rocks; Polar-Uralsky | Undersky; Zorumski; Surgut; Messoyakhinskiy; Sysko-Voltarsi; Sobti Yugansk |
| Natural monuments: the island of the Smolny; the forest-swamp zone "Big Naukowo"; Ceskinsky boron; lake system ay-Novinka, UN-Novinka; Ilichevskiy Bor | **Nature Park "Samarovsky Chugas" Natural monument Ovechy Island; Zatyumensky Forest Park Nature reserve "Pacholski"** |
the construction of infrastructure, including linear structures, laying trails, as well as paved paths, which is accompanied by the cutting down of forest vegetation and the destruction of natural vegetation cover.

When planning and conducting monitoring studies of protected areas of the Tyumen region, it is advisable to take into account the features of economic activity in protected areas, as well as to identify the main factor of negative impact and the main components affecting the unique natural complex, in order to determine the technology for monitoring the land of protected areas of a complex subject.

When assessing the degree of impact of each factor on protected areas, it is necessary to rely on the following parameters: K – the amount (volume of exposure); P – the features of the spread of exposure; C – the degree of risk of exposure. The value of each specified parameter accepts from 1 to 3 points depending on the quantitative impact indicator, the ratio of exposures with regulations; of the nature of the distribution, severity of exposure. As a result of multiplying these points assigned to the parameters, the final score is obtained, which characterizes the degree of impact of this factor on protected areas.

Let us consider the features of calculating the degree of impact of exploration and development of oil and gas fields on protected areas (Table 3).

| The impact on the environment | The Points - Quantity (K) | Notes |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| Air emissions, tons / year: For point sources | 1 point | 2 points | 3 points | Must be rated according to hazard classes |
| For distributed sources | <5 | 5-25 | >25 |
| | <50 | 50-300 | >300 |
| Discharges of pollutants from wastewater, tons / year | <0,5 | 0,5-2 | >2 | Must be rated according to hazard classes |
| Waste, tons / year | <10 | 10-100 | >100 | Must be rated according to hazard classes |
| The consumption of water, cubic metres per year | Does not exceed the established standard, or is not established | Exceeds the established standard, or the standard is not required |
| Electric energy consumption, thousand kW * h | <100 or custom generation objects | 100-1000 | >1000 |
| Thermal energy consumption, Gcal per year | <100 or custom generation objects | 100-1000 | >1000 |
| Physical impact, the number of uncomfortable days in a year | <30 | 30-300 | >300 |
As a result of multiplying these points assigned to the parameters, the final score is the ratio of exposures with regulations; of the nature of the distribution, severity of exposure. The parameter accepts from 1 to 3 points depending on the quantitative impact indicator, the spread of exposure; C

The land of protected areas of a complex subject. Affecting the unique natural complex, in order to determine the technology for monitoring studies of protected areas of the Tyumen region, it is advisable to take into account the features of economic activity in protected areas, as well as to identify the main factor of negative impact and the main components for distributed point sources. Black carbon consumption, thousand kW * h/year; Thermal energy consumption, Gcal/year; Water discharges, tons/year: Air emissions, ton/year; Other impacts; Determined on the basis of expert assessments

| Radioactive exposure | For liquid radioactive waste, the waste water criteria are used; for solid radioactive waste, the waste criteria are used |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Violation of the soil cover. Area of disturbed land, ha | <0,1 | 0,1-1 | >1 |
| Risks and accidents  | Within the boundaries of a production facility | Within the boundaries of the sanitary protection zone of the object | Within the boundaries of the territory of the administrative district of the region the location of the object |

Other impacts

Table 4. Overall rating of scores for the dissemination of exposure (P).

| The impact on the environment | The Points - Distribution (P) | Notes |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Emissions to the atmosphere   | 1 point | 2 points | 3 points | Always equal to three points | Based on global distribution |
| Wastewater discharges         | Discharge of wastewater to third-party treatment facilities or discharge of treated wastewater into surface water bodies and underground horizons | Discharge of contaminated wastewater into underground horizons, filtration fields, evaporation ponds, or surface water bodies after local treatment facilities in excess of established standards | Discharge of contaminated wastewater into surface water bodies and into the treatment terrain | Must be rated according to hazard classes |
| Waste                         | Waste less than 11 months. Accumulated in the enterprise in accordance with the established requirements, used in the enterprise or transferred to other enterprises for use | Waste less than 11 months. They are accumulated on the territory of the enterprise in accordance with the established requirements, dewatered at the enterprise, placed on licensed landfills or transferred to other enterprises for dewatering, placed on licensed landfills | Waste more than 11 months. Stored on the territory of the enterprise or placed in unauthorized landfills | Must be rated according to hazard classes |
| Water                         | Always equal to | | | The problem |
| **The impact on the environment** | **The Points - Impact (B)** | **Notes** |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| Emissions to the atmosphere     | 1 point: Substances of the 4 class of danger | 2 points: Substances of the 2-3 hazard class, methane | 3 points: Substances of the 1 hazard class |
|                                 |                             | Must be rated according to hazard classes |
| Wastewater discharges           | 1 point: Substances of the 4 class of danger | 2 points: Substances of the 2-3 hazard class, methane | 3 points: Substances of the 1 hazard class |
|                                 |                             | Must be rated according to hazard classes |
| Waste                           | 1 point: Substances of the 4 class of danger | 2 points: Substances of the 2-3 hazard class, methane | 3 points: Substances of the 1 hazard class |
|                                 |                             | Must be rated according to hazard classes |
| Water consumption               | 1 point: Use of underground water from own sources of water supply. The use of imported water, or centralized water supply from surface and underground sources of water | 2 points: Use of surface water from own water supply sources | 3 points: Use of surface water from own water supply sources |
| Consumption of electric and thermal energy | 1 point: Third-party energy generation facilities (energy purchase) | 2 points: Own energy generation facilities |
| Physical impact                 | 1 point: The level of physical | 2 points: The level of physical |
Let us consider in more detail how environmental monitoring of natural environment components is carried out within the boundaries of regional protected areas in the Khanty-Mansiysk Autonomous Okrug-Ugra on the example of the regional nature park "Kondinsky Lakes", located in the Sovetsky District of the Autonomous Okrug. It should be noted that within the boundaries of the park there is a natural monument of local significance Lake Rank-Tur, which is under the jurisdiction and management of the Federal State Budgetary Institution "State Nature Reserve" Malaya Sosva " named after V. V. Raevsky", while the functions of managing the territory of the park "Kondinsky Lakes" are assigned to the budget institution of the Khanty-Mansi Autonomous Okrug-Ugra " Natural Park "Kondinsky Lakes" named after L. F. Stashkevich".

The park "Kondinsky Lakes" has a recreational value and covers an area of 43,900 hectares. The layout of the park boundaries is shown in Figure 1.

The Nature Park "Kondinsky Lakes" is crucial for the conservation and study of valuable natural complexes, rare plants and animals, and objects of historical and cultural heritage.

Its value is also due to the fact that the park has an international status and acts as a key ornithological territory intended for the conservation of rare and endangered bird species. This is due to the fact that on the territory of the natural park there is a unique water system of the Kondinsky Lakes, which is the main ecosystem of the protected areas. A white-tailed eagle nests on the territory of the Kondinsky Lakes Park; this species of birds is listed in the Red Book of IUCN 96, the Red Books of the Russian Federation, the Tyumen Region and the Khanty-Mansi Autonomous Okrug-Ugra.

| Other impacts | Determined on the basis of expert assessments |
|---------------|---------------------------------------------|

| Radioactive exposure | Does not exceed the established standards | Exceeds the established standards | It is set for each type of exposure |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Violation of the soil cover. | The impact is eliminated by recultivation without reducing the fertile soil | Recultivation can be carried out with deviations from the norms, it is possible to reduce soil fertility | It is possible to completely degrade the soil and remove it from the crop rotation |
| Risks and accidents | Accidents with environmental damage associated with the assessed risk did not occur | Accidents with environmental damage associated with the assessed risk occurred more than a year ago | Accidents with environmental damage related to the assessed risk occurred in the current year |

| exposure does not exceed the maximum permissible levels for a working, sanitary-protected or residential area | exposure exceeds the maximum permissible levels for a working, sanitary-protected or residential area | | |
Legend

Fig. 1. Fragment of a map of the boundaries of the natural park "Kondinsky Lakes".

So, the Kondinsky Lakes Nature Park fulfills not only the tasks of preserving the reference natural complexes, landscapes, biodiversity, but also provides the conditions for the development of tourism activities, as well as subsoil use. Within the boundaries of the zone of limited nature use of the park, the development and operation of the Talnik oil field is carried out.

Depending on the degree of protection, protection and use of the natural park, taking into account local natural, historical, cultural and social features, the following functional zones are established, presented in table 2.
So, the Kondinsky Lakes Nature Park fulfills not only the tasks of preserving the reference natural complexes, landscapes, biodiversity, but also provides the conditions for the development of tourism activities, as well as subsoil use. Within the boundaries of the zone of limited nature use of the park, the development and operation of the Talnik oil field is carried out.

Depending on the degree of protection, protection and use of the natural park, taking into account local natural, historical, cultural and social features, the following functional zones are established, presented in table 2.

| **Functional area** | **Territory composition** | **Purpose** | **Area, hectare** | **Share in the total area,**% |
|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| Conservation area   | - a site located in the quarters of the forest fund of Arantur forestry: 10, 12, 14, 37-39, 44-47, 66-69, 87-89, 108-111, 131-133, 153-156; - the stretch of the Lemia river and the territory between the river and the northern border of the natural park; - eastern section, including the southeastern part of quarters 50, 51, the northeastern part 9 kv of quarters 72 and 73 of Arantursky forestry - bands of water protection zones of rivers | Preservation in the natural state of typical mid-taiga natural complexes that perform water protection, water storage functions, preserving the biodiversity of the territory | 22828 | 52% |
| Protected Ecosystem Subzone | - a site located in the quarters of the forest fund of Arantur forestry: 44-47, 67-69, 88-89, 108-111, 131-133, 153-156; - the water area of Lake Rank-Tour and the coastal zone 1 km wide | Preservation of natural ecosystems in the process of their natural development, conservation of biodiversity of local species of plants and animals | 11853 | 27% |
| Environmenta l Regime Subzone | - plots located in the quarters of Arantursky forestry: 10, 12, 14, 37-39, 66, 87; - the stretch of the Lemia river and the territory between the river and the northern border of the natural park; - eastern section, including the southeastern part of quarters 50, 51, the northeastern part 9 kv of quarters 72 and 73 of Arantursky forestry | Promotion of self-regulation and restoration of natural landscapes | 10975 | 25% |
| Recreation area Northeast, Northwest, and Southeast Parts of the Natural Park | Ensuring optimal conditions for recreation of the population, the organization of recreation, combined with cognitive, tourist, sightseeing and other activities | 12731 | 29% |
The zone of protection of historical and cultural complexes and objects

More than 200 identified objects of historical and cultural heritage are grouped in 36 sites and allocated at the locations of archeological monuments

Preservation of historical and cultural monuments for use in scientific, educational and recreational purposes

878 2%

Restricted area

The northern part of the natural park, which includes:
- areas where exploratory exploration drilling sites, cluster sites are located;
- sections occupied by linear facilities — pipelines, power lines, infield roads, and other oilfield infrastructure facilities;
- areas directly adjacent to the field facilities and experiencing the most pronounced anthropogenic impact

For the implementation of the tasks of nature users within the framework of a regime specially established during the work of the project, taking into account the assessment of the environmental impact within the boundaries of the land allotment

7463 17%

Total 43900 100%

Figure 2 presents a map of the functional zoning of the natural park, developed on the basis of a full-scale study of the territory, landscape mapping, studies of the terrain features.

Based on the data presented in table 2, we can draw the following conclusions:

1. On the territory of the Nature Park "Kondinsky Lakes", various special protection and regimes have been established depending on the ecological and recreational value of natural sites, a large part of the territory is occupied by natural protection zone, within the borders of which any activity involving changes or destruction of the natural environment of protected areas or its components is prohibited.

2. 29% of the park’s territory is used by the population for recreation, gathering wild plants, amateur hunting and fishing, while the developed limits for recreational nature management are not always respected. Also, roads were laid on the territory of the park to ensure transport accessibility, the use of which leads to the transformation of sections of the natural complex.

3. The development of oil field facilities within the boundaries of the restricted use zone leads to significant negative consequences for the entire territory of the park.
The zone of protection of historical and cultural complexes

More than 200 identified objects of historical and cultural heritage are grouped in 36 sites and allocated at the locations of archeological monuments.

Preservation of historical and cultural monuments for use in scientific, educational and recreational purposes

Restricted area

The northern part of the natural park, which includes:
- areas where exploratory exploration drilling sites, cluster sites are located;
- sections occupied by linear facilities — pipelines, power lines, infield roads, and other oilfield infrastructure facilities;
- areas directly adjacent to the field facilities and experiencing the most pronounced anthropogenic impact.

For the implementation of the tasks of nature users within the framework of a regime specially established during the work of the project, taking into account the assessment of the environmental impact within the boundaries of the land allotment.

Fig. 2. Functional zoning of the territory of the natural park "Kondinsky Lakes".

Implementation of monitoring studies allows you to respond in a timely manner to changes in the main indicators characterizing the monitoring object. However, as noted above, at the level of the Khanty-Mansi Autonomous Okrug-Ugra there is no single monitoring technique for protected areas, which leads to the degradation of such natural complexes.

Currently, it is necessary to develop a methodological approach to assessing the state of protected areas objects according to uniform criteria at the regional level.

As part of the selection of the main indicators for assessing the state of the natural park "Kondinsky Lakes" of the Khanty-Mansiysk Autonomous Okrug - Ugra, it is considered possible to distribute them into three main groups: quantitative, qualitative indicators, as well as indicators of the favorableness of the components of protected areas for the development of tourist and recreational activities (Buzmakov, S. A., 2011; Bogdanova, O.V., 2018; Budarova, V.A., 2018). (table 3.)
Table 6. Estimated indicators for assessing the status of protected areas in the Khanty-Mansiysk Autonomous Okrug – Ugra.

| Monitoring object | Quantitative indicators | Qualitative indicators | Favorable indicators of protected areas components for the development of tourist and recreational activities |
|-------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Fauna and flora (including forest vegetation) | - data on the number of rare and endangered species within the boundaries of protected areas; - the dynamics of the number of rare and endangered species of fauna and flora; - the ratio of species of different categories of rarity; - quantitative indicator of species listed in the Red Books; | - degree of disturbance of vegetation; - disturbance and damage to the stand; - the sanitary condition of the forest stand; - habitat quality; | - wealth of species composition; - the presence of rare species of flora and fauna; - landscape diversity; |
| Land resources | - total land area within the boundaries of protected areas; - the area of land within the boundaries of protected areas occupied by utilities, road-path network, development, etc.; - total area of sanitary and protective zones of objects; - the area of the main functional zones within the boundaries of protected areas; | - the level of soil pollution with oil and oil products, heavy metals, etc.; - the degree of development of negative natural processes on lands within the boundaries of protected areas; | - transport accessibility; - the possibility of construction (from the point of view of urban planning, land, environmental legislation) of tourism infrastructure; |
| Water objects | - area of water within the boundaries of protected areas; | - quality condition of the water system; - chemical composition of water; | - convenience of coasts for recreational development; - availability of open approaches to water; - sanitary and hygienic conditions of water bodies; - the ability to arrange beaches and their quality; |
| Objects of historical and cultural heritage | - the number of objects of historical and cultural heritage; | - physical condition of objects of historical and cultural heritage; - historical value; | - the status of objects of historical and cultural heritage; - the value of the object (memorial, architectural, urban planning, artistic and aesthetic); - the authenticity of the object; |
The presented indicators can be used by state authorities of the Khanty-Mansiysk Autonomous Okrug - Ugra, local governments, organizations engaged in economic activities within the boundaries of protected areas, organizations engaged in monitoring the environment and its individual components in order to assess the state of the natural complex.

As a result of the study, it becomes possible to formulate the following conclusions:

1. Monitoring of environmental components at the level of the Khanty-Mansiysk Autonomous Okrug-Ugra is carried out by authorized state authorities at the subject level, while several state institutions may be responsible for conducting monitoring studies, which often leads to inaccuracy and incomparability of information, as well as to questions which authority is responsible.

2. The organization of integrated monitoring and inventory of protected areas was entrusted to the Department of Subsoil Use and Natural Resources of the Khanty-Mansiysk Autonomous Okrug - Ugra. However, it is worth noting that the conservation functions of unique natural complexes, landscapes and objects are carried out by budgetary institutions subordinate to the Department, which do not always have sufficient resources (technical, material, labor) for conducting quality monitoring studies. Also a significant problem is the lack of an approved methodology developed taking into account the regional characteristics of the district, including taking into account significant anthropogenic impact and severe disturbance of the territory of the subject, which impedes the fulfillment of the assigned function of conservation of protected areas.

3. Environmental monitoring includes work on the observation, assessment, prediction of the state of various components of the natural environment. However, in our opinion, depending on the level of protected areas, its goals, significance in the system of protected areas of the subject, location, natural features, absence or, conversely, the development of economic activity within the boundaries of the natural complex and other characteristics, it is necessary to determine the significance of this or that component natural environment, as well as its degree of influence on the state of protected areas.
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