Single-step isolation of extracellular vesicles by size-exclusion chromatography

Abstract

Background: Isolation of extracellular vesicles from plasma is a challenge due to the presence of proteins and lipoproteins. Isolation of vesicles using differential centrifugation or density-gradient ultracentrifugation results in co-isolation of contaminants such as protein aggregates and incomplete separation of vesicles from lipoproteins, respectively. Aim: To develop a single-step protocol to isolate vesicles from human body fluids. Methods: Platelet-free supernatant, derived from platelet concentrates, was loaded on a sepharose CL-2B column to perform size-exclusion chromatography (SEC; \( n = 3 \)). Fractions were collected and analysed by nanoparticle tracking analysis, tunable resistive pulse sensing, flow cytometry and transmission electron microscopy. The concentrations of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL) and protein were measured in each fraction. Results: Fractions 9–12 contained the highest concentrations of particles larger than 70 nm and platelet-derived vesicles (46 ± 6% and 61 ± 2% of totals present in all collected fractions, respectively), but less than 5% of HDL and less than 1% of protein (4.8 ± 1.0% and 0.65 ± 0.3%, respectively). HDL was present mainly in fractions 18–20 (32 ± 2% of total), and protein in fractions 19–21 (36 ± 2% of total). Compared to the starting material, recovery of platelet-derived vesicles was 43 ± 23% in fractions 9–12, with an 8-fold and 70-fold enrichment compared to HDL and protein. Conclusions: SEC efficiently isolates extracellular vesicles with a diameter larger than 70 nm from platelet-free supernatant of platelet concentrates. Application SEC will improve studies on the dimensional, structural, and functional properties of extracellular vesicles.
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8.1 Introduction

The scientific and clinical interest in plasma-derived vesicles is tremendous, since these vesicles may contain clinically relevant information \[ \text{[186, 285, 290, 306, 338]} \]. Isolation of vesicles from plasma with good recovery and without contamination of proteins and lipoproteins, however, is a challenge. Thus far, most isolation protocols are based on differential centrifugation. After removal of cells in the first low-speed centrifugation step, vesicles are isolated using centrifugal accelerations of \( 19,000 - 100,000 \text{g} \) \[ \text{[292]} \]. Unfortunately, protein aggregates are generated at high velocities of \( \sim 100,000 \text{g} \) \[ \text{[21, 120, 254]} \] and vesicles may clump. Isolation of vesicles from plasma is further hampered by the viscosity and density of plasma, and by the presence of lipoprotein particles with a density and diameter similar to the extracellular vesicles of interest \[ \text{[292, 247, 229]} \]. Consequently, isolation of vesicles from plasma or serum by density-gradient ultracentrifugation results in co-isolation of high-density lipoprotein (HDL), and isolation of HDL results in co-isolation of vesicles (as described in the response of Yuana Y. and Nieuwland R. to \[ \text{[315]} \] and by Vickers K.C. et al. \[ \text{[310]} \]). Thus, there is an urgent need for a simple and fast protocol to isolate vesicles from human plasma.

In platelet research, two different protocols are commonly applied to isolate platelets from platelet-rich plasma, that is, to replace the plasma by buffer. In one procedure, platelets are isolated from the plasma by centrifugation and washing \[ \text{[71, 337]} \]. In the other procedure, platelets are isolated by size-exclusion chromatography (SEC), also known as “gel filtration” \[ \text{[337, 179]} \]. SEC has also been used previously to isolate vesicles from sera, ascites and saliva, and was shown to separate vesicles from proteins \[ \text{[289, 367, 272, 218]} \]. Whether SEC separates vesicles from HDL, however, has never been investigated. In this Chapter, we investigate the efficacy of single-step SEC for isolation of extracellular vesicles from human platelet-free supernatant of platelet concentrates and we studied the separation of vesicles by SEC from HDL and proteins.

8.2 Material and methods

8.2.1 Platelet concentrates

Platelet concentrates were from Sanquin (Amsterdam, The Netherlands). Buffy coats from 5 whole blood units are pooled together with one plasma unit of one of these donors. Subsequently, this pool was gently centrifuged. The resulting platelet rich plasma was slowly extracted using an automated separator via leukocyte reduction filter to a PVC-citrate storage bag. Platelet concentrates \( (n = 3, \ 9-12 \text{ days old}, < 1 \text{ leukocyte / } 3 \cdot 10^8 \text{ platelets}) \) were stored with agitation at room temperature until use.
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8.2.2 Platelet-depleted plasma

Platelet concentrate (30 mL) was diluted 1:1 with filtered phosphate-buffered saline [PBS; 1.54 mol L\(^{-1}\) NaCl, 12.4 mmol L\(^{-1}\) Na\(_2\)HPO\(_4\), 2.05 mmol L\(^{-1}\) NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), pH 7.4; 0.22 µm-filter (Merck chemicals BV, Darmstadt, Germany)]. Next, 12 mL acid citrate dextrose (ACD; 0.85 mol L\(^{-1}\) trisodiumcitrate, 0.11 mol L\(^{-1}\) D-glucose and 0.071 mol L\(^{-1}\) citric acid) was added and the suspension was centrifuged for 20 min at 800 g, 20°C. Thereafter, the vesicle-containing supernatant was isolated and centrifuged (20 min at 1,550 g, 20°C) to remove remaining platelets. This centrifugation procedure was repeated for 3 cycles, to ensure complete removal of platelets.

8.2.3 SEC column

Sepharose CL-2B (30 mL, GE Healthcare; Uppsala, Sweden) was washed with PBS containing 0.32% trisodiumcitrate (pH 7.4, 0.22 µm-filtered). Subsequently, the tip of a 10 mL plastic syringe [Becton Dickinson (BD), San Jose, CA] was stuffed with nylon stocking (20 denier, Hema, Amsterdam, The Netherlands), and the syringe was stacked with 10 mL washed sepharose CL-2B to create a column with a diameter of 1.6 cm and a height of 6.2 cm (Fig. 8.1).
8.2.4 Collection of fractions

Platelet-free supernatant of a platelet concentrate (1.5 mL) was loaded on the column, followed by elution with PBS/citrate (0.32%, pH 7.4, 0.22 µm filtered). The eluate was collected in 26 sequential fractions of 0.5 mL (Fig. 8.1). For each fraction, the number of particles was determined by nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA), tunable resistive pulse sensing (TRPS) and flow cytometry. In addition, HDL cholesterol and protein concentrations were measured for each fraction. Of each fraction, ∼200 µL was frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C for subsequent transmission electron microscopy (TEM) on thawed fractions.

8.2.5 Nanoparticle tracking analysis

The concentration and size distribution of particles in collected fractions was measured with NTA (NS500; Nanosight, Amesbury, UK), equipped with an EMCCD camera and a 405 nm diode laser. Silica beads (100 nm diameter; Microspheres-Nanospheres, Cold Spring, NY) were used to configure and calibrate the instrument. Fractions were diluted 10−1,000-fold in PBS to reduce the number of particles in the field of view below 200 per image. Of each fraction, 10 videos with a duration of 30 s were captured with the camera shutter set at 33.3 ms and the camera gain set at 400. All fractions were analysed using the same threshold, which was calculated by custom-made software (MATLAB v.7.9.0.529). Analysis was performed by the instrument software (NTA 2.3.0.15).

8.2.6 Tunable resistive pulse sensing

The concentration and size distribution of particles was measured with TRPS (qNano; Ionz Science, Christchurch, New Zealand) using an NP200A nanopore. This nanopore was suitable for the detection of 100−400 nm particles. Samples were measured with 7 mbar pressure, 45 mm stretch and 0.34 V. Samples were analysed for 5 min or until 1,000 vesicles were counted, whichever came first. To calibrate size and concentration, carboxylated polystyrene beads (Ionz Science) were sonicated for 10 s, diluted in PBS with 0.3 mM sodium dodecyl sulphate and analysed immediately after dilution.

8.2.7 Flow cytometry

To detect platelet vesicles, 20 µL of each fraction was incubated for 15 min with an antibody against glycoprotein IIIa (CD61), which is a subunit of the platelet fibrinogen receptor and also known as integrin β3 [phycoerythrin (PE)-conjugated CD61; 5 µL 1:10 prediluted in PBS/citrate, Pharmingen, San Diego, CA]. IgG1-PE (BD) was used as control antibody. To detect all vesicles, 20 µL of each fraction was labelled with lactadherin (fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated, 5 µL 1:10 prediluted in PBS/citrate). After incubation, 300 µL PBS/citrate was added and samples were analysed on a FACS Calibur (BD, Cellquest version 4.0.2) for 1 min at a flow rate of 60 µL min−1. The trigger was set on FSC at E00, the SSC voltage at
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329 V, and the thresholds at FSC 30 and SSC 0. No gates were used to determine extracellular vesicles.

8.2.8 Transmission electron microscopy

After thawing, samples from all fractions, both undiluted and 50-fold diluted in PBS, were subjected to overnight fixation, in 0.1% final concentration (v/v) paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Science, Hatfield, PA). Then, a 200-mesh formvar and carbon coated copper grid (Electron Microscopy Science) was placed on a 10 µL droplet to allow adherence of particles to the grid (7 min, room temperature). Thereafter, the grid was transferred onto drops of 1.75% uranyl acetate (w/v) for negative staining. Each grid was studied using a transmission electron microscope (Fei, Tecnai-12; Eindhoven, the Netherlands) operated at 80 kV using a Veleta 2,000×2,000 side-mounted CCD camera and Imaging Solutions software (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

8.2.9 Protein

The protein concentration was determined using a Bradford protein assay according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Pierce, Rockford, IL). The absorbance was measured at 595 nm on a Spectramax Plus (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). In addition, to directly visualize the relative presence of proteins in the collected fractions, in a single experiment, 10 µL of each fraction was mixed with 10 µL 2-fold concentrated reducing sample buffer, boiled for 5 min and loaded on an 8−16% gradient gel (BioRad, Hercules, CA). Proteins were stained with Bio-Safe Coomassie G-250 Stain (BioRad).

8.2.10 Western blot

Proteins from all fractions (800 µL) were precipitated using trichloroacetic acid (20% final concentration; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). From each fraction, equal amounts of protein (4 µg) were dissolved in non-reducing sample buffer, boiled and loaded on 8−16% gradient PAGE gels (BioRad), and proteins were transferred to PVDF membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA). Blots were incubated with anti-CD63 (BD, clone H5C6) or anti-CD9 (BD, clone M-L13), extensively washed and then incubated with a goat-anti-mouse (GAM)-horseradish peroxidase (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark). Subsequently, the PVDF membranes were incubated with a 5-fold diluted peroxidase substrate (LumiLight, Roche Diagnostics, Almere, The Netherlands) for 5 min, followed by analysis of luminescence using a LAS4000 luminescence image analyser (Fuji, Valhalla, NY).

8.2.11 High density lipoprotein

HDL cholesterol was determined using the colorimetric reagent HDL-Cholesterol Plus third generation (Roche Diagnostics, Almere, The Netherlands) on a Cobas
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C8000 analyser (Roche) as per manufacturer’s instructions. This assay specifically detects HDL-associated cholesterol [251]. Furthermore, a specific protein present in HDL, apo lipoprotein A1 (APO A1), was measured on an Architect (Abbott, Abbott Park, IL) according to manufacturer’s instructions.

8.2.12 Recovery and enrichment

Recovery was defined as the total number of CD61-exposing vesicles in all fractions combined divided by the total number of CD61-exposing vesicles in the starting material. Recovery in a limited number of fractions is the total number in those fractions divided by the total number in the starting material.

The enrichment factor of vesicles to protein or HDL in fraction X is the ratio of CD61-exposing vesicles to protein or HDL in fraction X compared to the ratio of vesicles to protein or HDL in the starting material. Results from 3 independent experiments are presented as the mean ± standard deviation.

8.3 Results

8.3.1 Particles by NTA

The concentration of particles was determined by NTA in both starting material and fractions. Particles detected by NTA are not necessarily extracellular vesicles. With our settings, NTA will detect single particles with a diameter larger than ~70 nm, which may include not only vesicles, but also protein aggregates, chylomicrons (size range 100–2,000 nm [67]) and very low density lipoproteins (VLDL; 27–200 nm [67]). NTA will not detect HDL (7–12 nm [67]), low density lipoproteins (LDL; 18–23 nm [67]) and intermediate density lipoproteins (IDL; 23–27 nm [67]). After SEC, the highest concentration of particles was found in fractions 9–12 (Fig. 8.2A). The recovery of particles measured by NTA was 76 ± 38 %, and 46 ± 6 % of the recovered particles were present in fractions 9–12.

8.3.2 Particles by TRPS

The concentration of particles was determined by TRPS in both the starting material and in the fractions. Also particles detected by TRPS are not necessarily vesicles. With our settings, TRPS can detect single particles with a diameter of ~100–400 nm, which will include vesicles, protein aggregates, chylomicrons and VLDL. TRPS will not detect HDL, LDL, or IDL. After SEC, the highest concentrations of particles were present in fractions 9–12 (Fig. 8.2B). The recovery of particles measured by TRPS was 60 ± 10 %, and 72 ± 1 % of the recovered particles were present in fractions 9–12 (Fig. 8.2B).
Figure 8.2: Presence of vesicles, protein, and lipoproteins per fraction. Each bar shows the number present in a fraction as % of the total number that passed the column. The height of the bar represents the mean, the error bars the standard deviation from 3 experiments. (continued)
8.3.3 Detection of platelet-derived vesicles

Since the particles detected in fractions 9–12 by both NTA and TRPS are not necessarily vesicles, we applied flow cytometry to distinguish vesicles from lipoprotein particles. Because the studied extracellular vesicles originated from platelets, we used CD61 and lactadherin as vesicle markers. With our settings, the flow cytometer detects vesicles with a diameter larger than 500 nm. The recovery of CD61-exposing vesicles by flow cytometry was 71 ± 35 %, and 61 ± 2 % of the recovered vesicles were present in fractions 9–12 (Fig. 8.2C). The recovery of CD61-exposing vesicles in fractions 9–12 was 43 ± 23 % of the starting material. The recovery of lactadherin-binding vesicles was 163 ± 55 %, and 44 ± 5 % of the recovered vesicles were present in fractions 9–12 (Fig. 8.2D). The recovery of lactadherin-binding vesicles in fractions 9–12 was 73 ± 31 % of the starting material.

8.3.4 Lipoproteins and protein

The recovery of HDL cholesterol was 103 ± 11 %, and fractions 18–20 contained 32 ± 2 % of total recovered HDL (Fig. 8.2E). Although the HDL cholesterol assay is specific for HDL, we confirmed these measurement results by also measuring APO A1, a specific HDL protein, in a control experiment. The recovery of HDL APO A1 was 72 % and fractions 18–20 contained 38 % of total recovered HDL APO A1 (Fig. 8.2F). The recovery of protein was 95 ± 17 %, and fractions 19–21 contain 36 ± 2 % of total recovered protein (Fig. 8.2G). Fractions 9–12 contained the majority of vesicles and additionally contained 4.8 ± 1.0 % of total recovered HDL cholesterol, whereas HDL APO A1 was below the detection limit (0.01 g L−1) in these fractions. Fractions 9–12 contained 0.65 ± 0.30 % of total recovered protein.

8.3.5 Overview of detected parameters

Figure 8.2H shows an overlay of the percentage of particles (NTA, TRPS), vesicles (CD61-exposing, lactadherin-binding), HDL (cholesterol, APO A1) and protein per fraction. Particles and vesicles showed a peak at fraction 10, whereas HDL (cholesterol and APO A1) and protein showed a peak at fraction 19 and 20, respectively. Thus, it is clear that vesicles can be separated from protein and lipoproteins by SEC.
8.3.6 Presence of proteins per fraction

To directly visualize the efficacy of SEC to separate vesicles from plasma proteins, a control experiment was performed in which similar volumes from all collected fractions (as described in section 8.2) were compared for the presence of plasma protein after gel electrophoresis. The starting material, platelet-free supernatant of a platelet concentrate (1.5 mL), contained very high concentrations of proteins including albumin (66 kDa) when applied directly to gel electrophoresis (3 and 20 µL, 8.3A right gel). Evidently, after SEC low levels of protein become detectable from fraction 8 or 9 onwards, but the bulk of the protein elutes from fraction 15 onwards. Thus, vesicles, which are mainly present in fractions 9–12, are clearly separated from the bulk of soluble plasma proteins by SEC.

8.3.7 Presence of CD63 and CD9 per fraction

To confirm the detection of vesicles by flow cytometry, we performed a control experiment to study the presence of CD63 and CD9, both vesicle-associated tetraspanins, by Western blot. CD63 and CD9 were both detectable in fractions 9 and 10 (Fig. 8.3B and C, respectively), confirming the presence of vesicles in these fractions.

8.3.8 Visualization of vesicles, lipoproteins, and proteins

TEM was used to confirm the presence of vesicles or lipoprotein particles. Fig. 8.4 shows representative images of the starting material and fractions 5, 9–11, and 17–20 (Fig. 8.4A–I). In the starting material, vesicles were not visible due to the abundant presence of lipoproteins and proteins (Fig. 8.4A). To improve the visualization of the contents of the starting material and fractions 17–20, we also performed TEM on 50-fold diluted samples (Fig. 8.5A–E). In the diluted starting material, vesicles (cup shaped) as well as lipoproteins (white spheres) and proteins (white ragged structures) were visible (Fig. 8.5A). In fraction 5, no vesicles or lipoproteins are detectable (Fig. 8.4B), which confirms the results of NTA, TRPS and flow cytometry. As expected, in fraction 9 the vesicles were clearly visible, but also visible were low numbers of lipoproteins (Fig. 8.4C). Vesicles were also visible in fractions 10 and 11 (Fig. 8.4D–E), but the number of lipoprotein particles was increased compared to fraction 9. The vesicles in fractions 9–11 range in diameter from 70 to 500 nm. Very few vesicles and an abundance of proteins and lipoprotein particles were visible in fractions 17–20 (Figs. 8.4F–I and 8.5B–E). Thus, TEM confirms that SEC separates vesicles from proteins and lipoproteins.

8.3.9 Recovery and enrichment

As mentioned, most particles and vesicles were present in fractions 9–12. To gain insight into the extent of purification of the vesicles, we calculated the recovery of CD61-exposing vesicles, protein, and HDL cholesterol of fractions 9–12 compared to the starting material (Fig 8.6A). In fraction 9, the recovery of vesicles was 14 ±
Figure 8.3: Presence of proteins, CD63, and CD9 in collected fractions. (continued)
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Figure 8.3: (A) The presence of proteins in each fraction determined by loading 20 µL on PAGE gels. The molecular weight of albumin is 66 kDa. (B,C) Presence of tetraspanins in the different fractions was studied by Western blot, with 4 µg protein used per fraction. First, the presence of CD63 was shown (53 kDa, panel B), and next the presence of CD9 was shown (24 kDa, panel C). The tetraspanin bands are indicated by arrows in panels B and C. Platelet lysate was used as positive control.

Figure 8.4: Transmission electron microscopy images of starting material (A), fraction 5 (B), fractions 9–11 (C–E), and fractions 17–20 (F–I). All samples were undiluted. Scale bars are 200 nm (A–G), 500 nm (H), and 1 µm (I).
Figure 8.5: Transmission electron microscopy images of starting material (A), and fractions 17–20 (B–E). All samples were diluted 50-fold in PBS prior to fixation. Scale bars are 200 nm.
9% and the recoveries of protein and HDL cholesterol were low, $0.023 \pm 0.010\%$ and $0.80 \pm 0.07\%$, respectively. Thus, sepharose CL-2B SEC results in a $560 \pm 340$-fold enrichment of vesicles compared to proteins in the starting material (Fig. 8.6B), and a $17 \pm 11$-fold enrichment of vesicles compared to HDL cholesterol (Fig. 8.6C).

When fractions 9 and 10 were combined, the recovery of vesicles was $31 \pm 19\%$, but this increase was at the expense of an increased contamination with protein and HDL cholesterol compared to fraction 9 alone (Fig. 8.6A). Nevertheless, combining fractions 9 and 10 gives a $330 \pm 110$-fold enrichment of vesicles compared to protein (Fig. 8.6B), and a $19 \pm 11$-fold enrichment of vesicles compared to HDL cholesterol (Fig. 8.6C). Combining fractions 9–11 recovered $38 \pm 21\%$ of the vesicles from the starting material and results in a $150 \pm 40$-fold enrichment of vesicles compared to protein (Fig. 8.6B), and a $12 \pm 4$-fold enrichment of vesicles compared to HDL cholesterol (Fig. 8.6C). Combining fractions 9–12 recovered $43 \pm 23\%$ of vesicles from the starting material and give a $70 \pm 19$-fold enrichment of vesicles
8.4 Discussion

We demonstrate that vesicles can be purified from human platelet-free supernatant of platelet concentrates by sepharose CL-2B SEC. With this approach, vesicles can be easily separated from proteins and HDL. We also isolated vesicles from human plasma with SEC, which resulted in similar recoveries of vesicles, proteins, and lipoproteins in fractions 9–12 (data not shown).

SEC has several major advantages compared to differential centrifugation and density-gradient ultracentrifugation, which are the most widely applied protocols for vesicle isolation. Compared to differential centrifugation, there is no risk of protein complex formation and vesicle aggregation. In addition, the high viscosity of plasma affects the recovery of vesicles isolated by differential centrifugation [206] [217], but does not affect the recovery of vesicles by SEC.

Compared to density-gradient ultracentrifugation, buffers with physiological osmolarity and viscosity can be used. The most commonly applied density gradient for the isolation of vesicles, sucrose [202] [21] [5] [162] [221] [235] [243], may have some additional downsides. For example, isolation of organelles by sucrose density-gradient ultracentrifugation is detrimental, since these gradients are “highly viscous and grossly hypertonic, leading to slow sedimentation rates for small particles and loss of water from subcellular organelles” [104]. Furthermore, whereas several investigators reported a loss of biological function when vesicles are isolated by sucrose density-gradient ultracentrifugation (International Society for Extracellular Vesicles meeting Budapest, October 2013), vesicles from saliva isolated by SEC are still fully functional with regard to their capacity to induce coagulation (data not shown, personal communication C.M. Hau), indicating that the biological properties of vesicles seem unaffected after isolation by SEC. Moreover, by density-gradient ultracentrifugation, contaminants with overlapping densities cannot be isolated. For example, the density of HDL considerably overlaps with vesicles [202] [217].

The recovery of vesicles isolated with SEC is 43 ± 23%, when combining fractions 9–12. Similar recoveries are reported after isolation of vesicles by (ultra) centrifugation and detection by flow cytometry, namely 50–80% [147]. Furthermore, Momen-Heravi showed a recovery of 2% of plasma vesicles after ultracentrifugation as measured by NTA [206]. In both studies, however, contamination by proteins and lipoproteins was not studied. When combining fractions 9–12, a reduction of 70-fold in the protein to vesicle ratio and 8-fold in the HDL to vesicle ratio is found. To our knowledge, it is unknown to which extent HDL and protein are reduced in the vesicle fraction after a (sucrose gradient) ultracentrifugation protocol. However, despite the reduced contamination after isolation of vesicles
with SEC, we recommend the use of blood samples collected from fasting subjects, to minimize potential contamination by chylomicrons and VLDL.

The principle of SEC is separation based on a difference in size. The sepharose beads in the column have pores with a diameter of approximately 75 nm [328, 122], and a tortuous path through the bead. A particle that can enter the beads is delayed due to the increased path length. All particles larger than $\sim 75$ nm, including lipoproteins, cannot enter the beads and can only travel along with the void volume fluid. Based on our TEM results, the smallest vesicles that are present in fraction 9–12 have a diameter of 70 nm or larger, which confirms the theoretical separation of components below and above 75 nm.

Because the size distribution of vesicles, as measured by TRPS and NTA, does not change between the starting material and fraction 9–12 (data not shown), there seems to be no separation of vesicles in the size range from 70 to 500 nm. We confirmed this by making a mixture of 100 and 400 nm silica beads. After application of SEC, 45–48% of both sizes of beads were recovered in fractions 9–12, confirming no separation within this size range (data not shown).

Vesicles smaller than $\sim 75$ nm are probably present in the fractions high in HDL, that is fractions 18–20. From the size distributions of vesicles in urine [233] and erythrocyte concentrates (Y. Yuana, personal communication), we estimate that approximately 50% of all vesicles are larger than 75 nm. It is unknown whether vesicles smaller than 75 nm harbour different clinical information than the larger vesicles. Sepharose CL-2B has relatively large pores. Choice of a sepharose with smaller pores may allow the isolation of vesicles smaller than 75 nm, albeit with higher contamination by lipoproteins.

We used sepharose CL-2B in a 10 mL plastic syringe (Fig. 8.1), which has a diameter of 1.6 cm and a height of 6.2 cm. We expect that the column height, column diameter and sample volume can be optimized to improve separation of vesicles from contaminants and the recovery of vesicles. For example, a longer, narrower column with the same volume of sepharose may result in an improved separation of protein and vesicles. A narrower column with a smaller volume of sepharose and the same length, on the other hand, may result in a higher recovery of vesicles. Investigators should optimize those parameters to their own experimental needs.

Because the size distribution of vesicles does not vary between fractions 9 and 12, we assume that the vesicles in each fraction are comparable. Fraction 9 is the purest vesicle fraction, but contains only 14% of the vesicles in the starting material. The method used for further analysis determines whether it is best to collect only fraction 9 or to combine multiple fractions. For example, for proteomics the lowest possible contamination with protein is essential and the use of fraction 9 only may be optimal. For TEM imaging, the background is much improved when comparing fractions 9–11 to the starting material (Fig. 8.4A–D). Combining multiple fractions may result in a higher density of vesicles on the TEM grid, speeding up the analysis. For flow cytometry, we prefer a higher concentration of vesicles if this does not lead to swarm detection [216, 232], and thus fractions 9–12 would be combined.
In conclusion, this chapter shows that vesicles of a diameter larger than 75 nm can be isolated from complex body fluids, such as plasma, by single-step SEC. Purification of vesicles in combined fractions 9–12 relative to protein and HDL is 70- and 8-fold, respectively. Recovery of vesicles with sepharose CL-2B SEC is 43% compared to 2–80% with ultracentrifugation. Thus, compared to ultracentrifugation, SEC results in a good recovery of vesicles with almost complete removal of contaminants. Furthermore, vesicle isolation by sepharose CL-2B SEC takes less than 20 min, compared to 2–96 h for ultracentrifugation, thus vesicle samples can be prepared for analysis on the same day of collection. In addition, sepharose CL-2B SEC components cost approximately €15,- and no expensive equipment is needed. Thus, isolation of vesicles by SEC is quick, cheap, and easy.