**Abstract:** The use of water as an oxygen and hydrogen source for the paired oxygenation and hydrogenation of organic substrates to produce valuable chemicals is of utmost importance as a means of establishing green chemical syntheses. Inspired by the active Ni$^{3+}$ intermediates involved in electrocatalytic water oxidation by nickel-based materials, we prepared NiB$_2$, as a catalyst and used water as the oxygen source for the oxygenation of various organic compounds. NiB$_2$ was further employed as both an anode and a cathode in a paired electrocatalysis cell for the respective oxygenation and hydrogenation of organic compounds, with water as both the oxygen and hydrogen source. Conversion efficiency and selectivity of $\geq 99\%$ were observed during the oxygenation of 5-hydroxymethylfurfural to 2,5-furandicarboxylic acid and the simultaneous hydrogenation of p-nitrophenol to p-aminophenol. This paired electrocatalysis cell has also been coupled to a solar cell as a stand-alone reactor in response to sunlight.
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**Introduction:**

Water is an abundant resource on earth and is widely used as either an oxygen or a hydrogen source during naturally occurring biosynthetic oxygenation and hydrogenation reactions.[1,2] Inspired by natural systems, we consider water to be an ideal source of oxygen and hydrogen instead of high-cost H$_2$ or chemical oxidants/reductants for catalytic oxygenation and hydrogenation in green chemical processes.

During the last decade, the electrocatalytic oxygenation of organic compounds to value-added products with water as the oxygen source has started to attract increased attention. Several interesting electrocatalytic systems have been reported with improving performance. Ni$_3$P$_{10}$[3a] Co-P$_{10}$[3b] Ni$_2$S$_3$[3c] Ni,B$_2$[3d] NiFe LDH[3e] and noble metals (Au and Pd) and their alloys[3f] are used as efficient electrocatalysts for the oxidation of furanics and other biomass-derived platform chemicals. Recently, the Schuhmann group provided new insight into the oxidation pathway of 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) through operando electrochemistry-coupled attenuated total reflection infrared spectroscopy with Ni,B$_2$ as the catalyst.[3g] To date, the major challenges have focused on conversion efficiency and selectivity, whereas the water-active process, the catalytic active sites, and the oxygen-atom-transfer mechanism remain ambiguous.[3h]

In electrocatalytic oxygenation reactions, protons are generated as a by-product.[3i] Recently, these reactions have been paired with the electrocatalytic hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) to increase the energy-conversion efficiency.[3j] However, as compared with HER, organic oxygenation reactions are kinetically sluggish, especially in the late stages of the reaction, owing to the decrease in reactant concentrations. Thus, both catalytic efficiency and electron economy could be improved by pairing organic oxygenation with a rate-matched organic hydrogenation to create sustainable chemical-synthesis strategies.[3k]

In this study, the electrocatalytic oxygen-atom-transfer mechanism was investigated in 1M KOH with NiB$_2$ ($\alpha = 0.40 \pm 0.05$) as the catalyst and the oxygenation of HMF to 2,5-furandicarboxylic acid (FDCA) as a model reaction. Experiment results indicated that the elecgenerated Ni$^{3+}$ species are the active intermediates. Furthermore, a paired electrolysis system was constructed by combining the electrocatalytic oxygenation of HMF to FDCA and the hydrogenation of p-nitrophenol (p-NP) to p-aminophenol (p-AP). Conversion, efficiency, selectivity, and faradic efficiency as high as $\geq 99\%$ were attained on both sides with water as the oxygen and hydrogen source. This paired electrolyzer uses electricity to drive the organic oxygenation and hydrogenation reactions in aqueous solutions, without the need to handle hazardous gaseous hydrogen or oxygen or to incorporate external oxidants/reductants.

The NiB$_2$ working electrode (WE) was prepared by electrolecoating for 2 h with a nickel foam (NF) substrate at 90°C in NaOH aqueous solutions (pH 13.5) containing...
NiB and ethanediamine (750 mm), and NaBH₄ (55 mm).[5] The Ni:B atomic ratio (1:0.40 ± 0.05) was verified by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy, and the NiB loading on the NF surface was (2.47 ± 0.10) mg cm⁻². Top-view scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images showed that NiB was uniformly deposited on the NF to provide a rough surface (Figure 1a,b). High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images (Figure 1c) suggested that the surface particles were composed of amorphous nickel boride and nickel. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis confirmed that Ni and B were the main components (see Figure S1 in the Supporting Information). The Ni 2p peak at 852.7 eV can be assigned to Ni²⁺, while the B 1s peak at 187.8 eV can be assigned to B⁰, as expected for nickel borides.⁹ The weak B 1s hump at 192.1 eV and the O 1s peak at 532.5 eV are due to small amounts of boron oxide (Figure 1j–l).

Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) and in situ Raman spectroscopy were used to study the formation of the Ni³⁺ species. The LSV sweep of NiB@NF in 1 M KOH demonstrated that an open-circuit potential versus time (OCPT) experiment. The change in the OCP value reflects variations in the WE value of NiB particles (Figures 1d,i), while the Ni 2p₂₃/₅₅ peak at 0.098 V indicates that this shell was composed of a mixture of Ni oxide and Ni hydroxide (Figure 1m–o). Raman spectra of the NiB@NF at 0.50 V versus SHE showed the emergence of a Ni^3⁺–O stretching peak at 549 cm⁻¹ and a Ni^3⁺–O bending peak at 471 cm⁻¹, thus demonstrating that NiOOH (Ni^3⁺) was the electrogenerated intermediate (Figure 2a).[7]

Initially, the oxidizability of Ni³⁺ for HMF oxygenation was computationally and experimentally investigated. The oxygenation of HMF to FDCA is a three-step process and includes the oxidation of the hydroxy and aldehyde groups to carboxylic acids (see Figure S3).⁹ The oxidation of HMF to FDCA can proceed through two pathways, distinguished by the primary oxidation of the hydroxy or carbonyl functionality (see Figure S3a). The standard potential (E⁰) for each step on both pathways was estimated by DFT calculations (see Figure S3b). HMF is converted into 5-hydroxymethyl-2-furancarboxylic acid (HMFA) with an E⁰ value of 0.098 V versus SHE, whereas the competitive reaction from HMF to the dialdehyde 2,5-diformylfuran (DFF) has an E⁰ value of –0.187 V versus SHE. Further oxidation of DFF and HMFA leads to the formation of 5-formyl-2-furancarboxylic acid (FFCA) with E⁰ values of 0.119 and –0.167 V versus SHE, respectively. The final oxidation of FFCA to FDCA occurs at an E⁰ value of 0.109 V versus SHE. The reduction potential of Ni²⁺/Ni³⁺ is approximately 0.50 V versus SHE.⁹ Therefore, based on the DFT calculations, the oxidizing ability of Ni³⁺ would be expected to drive each step during the oxidation of HMF to FDCA. Indeed, chemical oxygenation of HMF with the Ni³⁺ complex Ni₃O₄(OH)₄⁹ (see Figure S4) showed a high yield (≥ 99%) of FDAC in aqueous media. The powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of the Ni material after oxygenation demonstrated the formation of Ni(OH)₂, which is indicative of the Ni³⁺ to Ni²⁺ redox process responsible for the oxidation (see Figure S4).

The activity of electrochemically generated Ni³⁺ toward the oxygenation of HMF in 1 M KOH was further verified by an open-circuit potential versus time (OCPT) experiment. The change in the E⁰ value reflects variations in the WE.
In this study, 2019 + species. A control with and without KOH was investigated to understand the extent to which H + group originating from water. The Ni(OH)_{2} is electrooxidized to NiOOH with a value of 2e⁻ H + with KOH versus SHE, whereas the Ni^{2+} species corresponds to an E_{OCP} value of −0.01 V versus SHE. The surface Ni was oxidized to Ni^{3+} at 0.50 V versus SHE (Ni(OH)_{2} + OH⁻ → NiOOH + H_{2}O + e⁻), followed by a spontaneous transition to Ni^{3+} (NiOOH + H_{2}O + e⁻ → Ni(OH)_{2} + OH⁻) with a lifetime of 1700 s (Figure 2b). A control OCPT experiment showed that the lifetime of the electrochemically generated Ni^{3+} was shortened to 200 s upon the addition of HMF to the electrolyte (Figures 2b,c). This accelerated quenching indicates that the electrochemically generated Ni^{3+} is the active intermediate during the oxygenation of HMF.

The effect of water on the catalytic oxygenation of HMF with NiB_{x}@NF was assessed by performing trials in acetonitrile (see Figure S5). The blank cyclic voltammogram (CV) curve obtained with NiB_{x}@NF in acetonitrile showed a Faradaic silent region at 2.2 V versus SHE, thus indicating difficulty in forming the Ni^{3+} species without water. The subsequent addition of HMF gave an identical curve to that produced during the blank CV, indicating that HMF oxidation did not proceed without the Ni^{3+} species. A control experiment with NiB_{x}@NF in acetonitrile with water produced a water oxidation current at 1.90 V versus SHE, thus demonstrating the formation of Ni^{3+}. When water was added together with HMF, a current increase observed at 1.70 V versus SHE was assigned to the oxygenation of HMF. These results show that HMF was oxygenated, with water serving as the oxygen source, and that Ni^{3+} is an essential intermediate.

We concluded that the electrocatalytic oxygenation of HMF with NiB_{x}@NF as the WE and water as an oxygen source occurs through a two-step oxygen-transfer mechanism (see Figure S6). First, Ni^{3+} is electrooxidized to Ni^{2+} with a newly bonded OH⁻ group originating from water. The resulting Ni^{2+} species reacts with HMF, DFF, and/or HMFA to produce FDCA, along with the regeneration of Ni^{3+}. In this process, water is the only oxygen source.

The electrocatalytic performance of NiB_{x}@NF toward HMF oxygenation in 1 m KOH is summarized in Figure 3. The LSV curve of NiB_{x}@NF without HMF shows a Ni^{2+}/Ni^{3+} oxidation peak at 0.50 V versus SHE, followed by a silent region between 0.55 and 0.75 V versus SHE, and the OER beyond 0.75 V versus SHE. To investigate the extent to which oxygenation was catalyzed, 10 mM HMF was added to the electrolyte. The LSV curve shows a similar Ni^{2+}/Ni^{3+} process at 0.50 V versus SHE, followed by a significant enhancement at 0.60 V versus SHE with a peak current density of 40 mA cm⁻², which is attributed to the HMF oxygenation reaction (Figure 3a). It is evident that HMF oxygenation is favored over the OER. Furthermore, the current density beyond 0.70 V versus SHE is attributed to the superposition of HMF oxygenation and the OER. Control experiments with a glassy carbon plate produced a Faradaic silent region from 0.20 to 0.80 V versus SHE both with and without the HMF (Figure 3b). These results indicate that NiB_{x}@NF promoted HMF oxygenation through a electrocatalytic process. Further research showed that the peak current densities at 0.60 V versus SHE increased linearly along with increases in the HMF concentration (see Figure S7). These results suggest that the oxygenation on the WE surface is a diffusion-controlled process.

Conversion, selectivity, and Faradaic efficiency were investigated during the oxygenation of HMF to FDCA by chronoamperometry. A constant potential of 0.60 V versus SHE was applied during the electroysis (Figure 3c,d). The reaction process was monitored by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). The results showed that (99.8±0.2)% of the HMF had been converted into FDCA after 100 min. DFF and FFCA were identified by HPLC as intermediates. Overall, the oxygenation of HMF to FDCA is a six-electron process and the Faradaic efficiency of the electrocatalytic HMF oxygenation was approximately (99.5±0.5)%, as based on the electrolysis and the theoretical charges for the formation of FDCA (see Figure S8).

To enhance atom utilization, a cathodic reaction is necessary to consume the protons generated during the oxygenation of HMF. The hydrogenation of nitro compounds to their corresponding amines is important for the synthesis of fine chemicals, such as dyes and pigments. In subsequent trials, the hydrogenation of p-NP to p-AP was used as a model reaction in conjunction with NiB_{x}@NF as the WE and water as the hydrogen source.

The electrocatalytic hydrogenation of p-NP proceeds through three two-electron-two-proton processes (see Figure S9). Under standard conditions, the ΔG value was found to be negative (ΔG < 0) for each step, thus indicating that the hydrogenation of p-NP is an exergonic process. The reduction potentials for the continuous reduction reactions are 0.603, 0.333, and 0.719 V versus SHE (R’NO_{2} + 2H^{+} + 2e⁻ → R’NO + H_{2}O, R’NO + 2H^{+} + 2e⁻ → R’NHOH, R’NHOH → R’NH + H_{2}O, R’NH + 2H^{+} + 2e⁻ → R’NH_{2}, R’NO_{2} = p-nitrophenol) and thus occur prior to hydrogen evolution on
a thermodynamic basis. These calculations demonstrate that the reduction of nitrosamine to hydroxylamine requires the highest potential of 0.333 V versus SHE.

In 1 m KOH, NiB\textsubscript{x}@NF showed an onset potential of −0.90 V versus SHE for HER (Figure 4a). After the addition of p-NP, the LSV curve exhibited an onset potential of −0.60 V vs. SHE, followed by a current density of −40 mA cm\textsuperscript{−2} at −0.83 V vs. SHE (0 V vs. RHE). The increased current density beyond −0.90 V versus SHE is attributed to the superposition of the hydrogenation reaction and the HER. These results indicate that the targeted nitro-group hydrogenation occurred prior to the HER, and that a high Faradaic efficiency can readily be achieved through controlling the applied potential. Control experiments with a glassy carbon electrode showed a similar activity toward p-NP hydrogenation with an onset potential of −0.65 V versus SHE (Figure 4b). It is evident that the hydrogenation of p-NP in 1m KOH proceeds by an electroreduction mechanism.\textsuperscript{[14]} Furthermore, a linear relationship was observed between the p-NP concentration and the corresponding current density (see Figure S10), thus indicating a molecular diffusion reaction process. The catalytic properties of NiB\textsubscript{x}@NF during the hydrogenation of p-NP were further explored by chronoamperometry. Conversion and selectivity of \(
{+99}\%\) were observed after 50 min at the applied potential of −0.83 V versus SHE (Figure 4c,d; see also Figure S11). During the catalytic process, no intermediates were observed by HPLC. A Faradaic efficiency of approximately 99% was calculated by comparing the charge consumed in the production of p-AP with the integrated current.

Paired electrolysis combines two desirable half-reactions to maximize energy efficiency and the formation of useful products.\textsuperscript{[15]} As previously described, the as-prepared NiB\textsubscript{x}@NF exhibited promising catalytic performance during the electrocatalytic oxygenation and hydrogenation half-reactions under the same conditions. Hence, we integrated these two half-reactions in an electrolyzer to realize paired electrolysis, using the full potential of water as both an oxygen and a hydrogen source (Figure 5a). The catalytic activity of NiB\textsubscript{x}@NF during HMF oxygenation and p-NP hydrogenation in the paired electrolyzer was further evaluated by acquiring polarization curves and electrolysis (Figure 5). In the absence of HMF and p-NP, NiB\textsubscript{x}@NF exhibited HER activity beyond 1.65 V (Figure 5b). The LSV curve obtained during the paired oxygenation of HMF and hydrogenation of p-NP (Figure 5b) exhibited an onset potential of 1.10 V and reached a plateau of 50 mA cm\textsuperscript{−2} at 1.5 V. These results indicate that the paired reactions proceeded preferentially, rather than hydrogen evolution.

An applied potential of 1.40 V was used for further chronoamperometric tests. In the electrolysis process, the Faradaic current density gradually decreased to 0 within 240 min, with a charge buildup of 228 C (Figure 5c). The starting substrates (HMF and p-NP) were consumed with \(\geq 99\%\) conversion into the corresponding products (Figures 5d,e). The expected intermediates FFCA and DFF were also detected by HPLC (Figure 5d). Furthermore, a Faradaic efficiency of \(\geq 99\%\) was attained for each side.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure4}
\caption{(a) LSV curves for NiB\textsubscript{x}@NF in 1 m KOH in the absence and presence of 10 m\textsuperscript{m} p-NP, at a scan rate of 5 mV s\textsuperscript{−1}; (b) polarization curves for a glassy carbon plate in 1 m KOH in the absence and presence of 10 m\textsuperscript{m} p-NP, at a scan rate of 5 mV s\textsuperscript{−1}; (c) [p-NP] and [p-AP] versus electrolysis time; (d) HPLC chromatograms acquired at various electrolysis time points.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure5}
\caption{(a) Paired electrochemical cell; (b) LSV curves for the paired electrolyzer in 1.0 m KOH in the absence and presence of organic reactants (scan rate, 5 mV s\textsuperscript{−1}); (c) current–time and charge–time transients during constant-potential electrolysis at 1.40 V; (d) results from analysis of the anode side at various charges; (e) results from analysis of the cathode side at various charges.}
\end{figure}
The design of the paired electrolyzer is scalable to meet different requirements. A simple photoelectrochemically driven oxygenation and hydrogenation device was created by connecting solar cells (1.5 V silicon solar panel) and the paired electrolyzer in series (see Figure S12). This photo-voltaic-integrated electrolyzer demonstrated the simultaneous oxygenation of HMF and hydrogenation of p-NP in an aqueous solution under outdoor sunlight with high conversion and selectivity (> 99%). High conversion and selectivity were also observed when HMF was replaced with furan-2-carbaldehyde, furan-2-ylmethanol, pyridin-4-ylmethanol, and 4-(hydroxymethyl)phenol as the compounds undergoing oxygenation, and when p-NP was replaced with m-NP, o-NP, 4-nitrobenzonitrile, and 1-ethynyl-4-nitrobenzene (see Figures S13 and S14 and Table S1 in the Supporting Information).

In summary, we have developed a paired electrochemical system for the oxygenation and hydrogenation of organic compounds to replace the chemical-driven stoichiometric reactions with sacrificial reagents. Water was found to be essential as the oxygen and hydrogen source for these redox reactions in the paired electrolyzer. The as-designed electrochemical cell exhibited high conversion, selectivity, and Faradaic efficiency at both the anode and cathode simultaneously, without the involvement of oxygen and hydrogen. For example, a conversion efficiency of ≥ 99% was observed for the oxygenation of HMF to FDCA and the hydrogenation of p-NP to p-AP. The experimental results show that this paired electrolyzer can be extended to other organic substrates. This new (photo)electrocatalytic cell system should have practical applications in future green chemical systems using electricity or sunlight as the energy input and water as both the oxygen and hydrogen sources.

**Experimental Section**

All electrochemical experiments were performed with a CH Instrument 660E potentiostat. The electrochemical cell contained the sample as a WE, a platinum foil (4 cm²) as an auxiliary electrode, and Hg/HgO (1 M KOH, Tjaida) as a reference electrode (E°[Hg/HgO] = 0.098 V vs. SHE). The reference electrode was calibrated by measuring the SHE potential. Potentials were converted to SHE through the Nernst equation: 
\[ E_{\text{SHE}} = E_{\text{ref}} + 0.059 \log P_e + E_{\text{ref}} \].

The internal resistance between the reference and WE (Rₑ) was determined by the automatic current interrupt method, with a value of 75% x Rₑ. Chronopotentiometry measurements were performed under an argon atmosphere (unless stated otherwise) with an H-type cell to evaluate the catalytic activity. Nafion® 117 membranes were used to separate the anodic and cathodic compartments.
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