Earlier treatment improves the chances of complete relief from postherpetic neuralgia
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Background: As herpes zoster progresses via postherpetic neuralgia (PHN) to well-established PHN, it presents its recalcitrant nature to the treatment. At this point, the well-established PHN is fixed as a non-treatable, but manageable chronic painful neuropathic disorder. This study evaluated the incidence of complete relief from PHN according to PHN duration at their first visit, and the other factors influencing it.

Methods: A retrospective chart review was performed on patients with PHN at a university-based pain clinic over 7 years. The responders were defined as patients who had complete relief from pain after 1 year of active treatment. Age, sex, PHN duration at their first visit, dermatomal distribution, and underlying disorders were compared in the responder and non-responder groups. Responders were also compared according to these factors.

Results: Among 117 PHN patients (M/F = 48/69), 35 patients (29.9%) had complete relief from PHN. Mean ages were 64.3 ± 10.6 and 66.9 ± 10.7 years, numbers of male to female patients were 11/24 and 37/45, and mean durations of PHN at their first visit were 8.5 ± 6.3 and 15.3 ± 10.7 months in the responder and non-responder groups, respectively. In addition, PHN patients who visited the clinic before 9 months showed a better result. Dermatomal distribution and underlying disorders did not show significant differences.

Conclusions: Almost 30% of PHN patients received complete relief. Those who sought treatment in a pain clinic before 9 months received a better result. (Korean J Pain 2017; 30: 214-9)
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INTRODUCTION

Patients suffering from postherpetic neuralgia (PHN) commonly ask their doctors when they can discontinue medication or whether they can have complete pain relief. Traditionally, it has been generally accepted that patients over 50 years old have the same incidence, by percentage, of PHN (persistent pain over 4 months after the onset of
skin rash) as their numeric age [1]. In addition, well-established postherpetic neuralgia (PHN) is usually defined as pain persisting for more than 6 months after the onset of herpes zoster (HZ) rash [2].

Dermatologists usually meet patients with HZ during the rash progression, from vesicles via pustular lesions and crust to the final resolution of the rash, while pain physicians commonly meet patients with PHN after resolution of the skin rash. Even though the term “well-established PHN” reflects its recalcitrant nature, some patients show a good response to active treatment in clinical observation [2,3].

Well-replicated risk factors for PHN are known to be severity of prodromal pain, acute herpetic neuralgia, and skin rash, as well as age. Other less well-replicated risk factors are female gender, greater sensory abnormalities in the affected dermatomes, polyneuropathy, psychosocial variables, and ophthalmic distribution [3-5].

This study evaluated the incidence of complete relief from PHN over 4 months and well-established PHN over 6 months, as well as the factors influencing it.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A retrospective chart review was performed on patients with PHN at their first visit between 2008 and 2015 at a university-based pain clinic (IRB: 05-2016-161).

The responders were defined as patients who had complete relief of pain at the 1-year follow-up after active treatment. Active treatment in this study was defined as administration of an individual-tolerable maximal dose of both anticonvulsants (gabapentin or pregabalin), for positive neuropathic components, antidepressants (nortriptyline), for negative neuropathic components, and a discontinuation of these medications at the time of complete pain relief after dose-down titration [6,7]. Patient-controlled intravenous analgesia, using nefopam, dexmedetomidine, ketamine, midazolam, ketorolac, and/or morphine, was administered during dose-up titration for oral medication during hospitalization [8-11]. In addition, the active treatment also included a transforaminal epidural block (to prevent scarring of the dorsal horn and dorsal root ganglion) and a trigeminal nerve or ganglion block, as needed. An exclusion criterion was patients who did not visit again before complete pain relief.

Age, sex, PHN duration at their first visit, dermatomal distribution, and underlying disorders were also compared in the responder and non-responder groups.

1. Mean age was compared in both groups. Both were divided into four groups according to age at their first visit: less than 50, 50-59, 60-69, or 70 years and over. The complete pain relief rate was compared among these age groups.

2. The male/female ratio was compared in both groups. The complete pain relief rate was compared between these sex groups.

3. Mean PHN duration at their first visit was compared in both groups. Both groups were divided into 1) ≥ 4 and < 6, 2) ≥ 6 and < 9, 3) ≥ 9 and < 12, 4) ≥ 12 and < 15, 5) ≥ 15 and < 18, 6) ≥ 18 and < 24, and 7) ≥ 24 months according to age at their first visit. The complete pain relief rate was compared between these duration groups.

4. Dermatomal sensory distribution was compared in both groups: 1) trigeminal, 2) cervical, 3) thoracic, and 4) lumbosacral lesions.

5. Underlying disorders were compared in both groups including diabetes mellitus (DM) and cancer with immunosuppressive state.

Age and PHN duration at their first visit were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Multiple logistic regression modeling was done to find out the odds ratio of predictive factors such as age, sex, and duration of PHN at the patients’ first visit. The ratio of responders at 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, and 24 months, were compared using paired comparisons. The duration of PHN at the patients’ first visit was compared between the responder and non-responder groups using the student’s t-test. A P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant and Bonferroni’s correction was done for multiple comparisons. We used the IBM SPSS Statistics software package for Windows (SPSS version 23.0, IBM, Armonk, NY).

**RESULTS**

Among 117 PHN patients (M/F = 48/69), 35 patients (29.9%) had complete relief from PHN (Table 1, Fig. 1). The result of multiple logistic regression modeling in order to find out the odds ratio of predictive factors such as age, sex, and duration of PHN at the patients’ first visit was shown in Table 2.
Table 1. Comparison of Age, Duration of PHN, Risk Factors, Underlying Disorders, and Dermatomal Distribution in the Responder and Non-responder Group

|                        | R         | NR        |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Age (y)                |           |           |
| < 50                   | 2 (5.7%)  | 7 (8.5%)  |
| 50−59                  | 10 (28.6%)| 14 (17.1%)|
| 60−69                  | 9 (25.7%) | 24 (29.3%)|
| ≥ 70                   | 14 (40%)  | 37 (45.1%)|
| Sex                    |           |           |
| M                      | 11 (31.4%)| 37 (45.1%)|
| F                      | 24 (68.6%)| 45 (54.9%)|
| Duration of PHN at their first visit (m) |           |           |
| < 6                    | 20 (57.1%)| 38 (46.3%)|
| ≥ 6 and < 9            | 5 (14.3%) | 12 (14.6%)|
| ≥ 9 and < 12           | 3 (8.6%)  | 4 (4.9%)  |
| ≥ 12 and < 15          | 2 (5.7%)  | 6 (7.3%)  |
| ≥ 15 and < 18          | 2 (5.7%)  | 2 (2.4%)  |
| ≥ 18 and < 24          | 9 (25.8%) | 11 (13.4%)|
| 24 ≥                   | 2 (2.7%)  | 11 (14.3%)|
| Diabetes               |           |           |
| −                      | 33 (94.3%)| 80 (97.6%)|
| +                      | 2 (5.7%)  | 2 (2.4%)  |
| Cancer with immune suppression |           |           |
| −                      | 34 (91.1%)| 80 (97.6%)|
| +                      | 2 (2.8%)  | 2 (2.4%)  |
| Dermatomal distribution |           |           |
| Trigeminal             | 17 (20.7%)|           |
| Cervical               | 13 (15.9%)|           |
| Thoracic               | 43 (52.4%)|           |
| Lumbosacral            | 9 (11.0%) |           |

R: Responder group (n = 35, 29.9%), NR: Non-responder group (n = 82, 70.1%).

1. Age
   1) Mean ages at the patients’ first visit were 64.3 ± 10.6 and 66.9 ± 10.7 years in the responder and non-responder groups, respectively. There was no significant difference related to mean age in this study.
   2) Meanwhile, of those seventy or older, 14/51 (27.5%) received complete relief from PHN. Of those sixty or older, 23/84 (27.4%) received complete relief; however, of those less than sixty, 12/33 (36.4%) received complete pain relief. However, there was no statistical difference between the 2 groups.

2. Sex
   The numbers of males to females were 11/24 and 37/45 in the responder and non-responder groups, respectively. The response to active treatment was higher in female patients (24/69, 34.8%) than male patients (11/48, 22.9%). However, there was no statistical difference between the 2 groups.

3. Mean durations of PHN at the patients’ first visit
   1) Mean durations of PHN at their first visit were 8.5 ± 6.3 and 15.3 ± 10.7 months in the responder and non-responder groups, respectively (P = 0.006).
   2) But among those who visited within 6, 9, or 12 months, 20 of 58 (34.5%), 25 of 75 (33.3%), or 28 of 82 (34.1%) patients received complete pain relief, respectively. However, of those who first visited after 12 months, only 7 of 35 (20%) received complete pain relief. Those who visited within 6 or 9 months showed better results rather than

Fig. 1. Complete pain relief rate (%) according to affecting factors. Duration of postherpetic neuralgia (PHN) at the patients’ first visit of less than 9 months (red bar) showed a better result (P = 0.0038). However, other factors (red bars), such as age (the patients’ being less than 60 years old at their first visit) and being female, did not show any statistical difference. Dermatomal distribution and underlying disorders, such as diabetes mellitus and cancer with an immunosuppressive state, showed no difference due to a lack of enrolled patients.
Table 2. Results of Multiple Logistic Regression Modeling between Responder and Non-responder Groups

| Variates | Regression coefficient | SE  | Significance | Odds ratio |
|----------|------------------------|-----|--------------|------------|
| Time     | −0.058                 | 0.027| 0.034        | 0.944      |
| Age      | −0.018                 | 0.019| 0.354        | 0.983      |
| Sex      | −0.673                 | 0.439| 0.125        | 0.510      |
| Constant | 1.164                  | 1.276| 0.362        | 3.202      |

those of who visited within 12, 15, 18, or over 24 months ($P = 0.002$ or $P = 0.038$).

4. Dermatomal involvement

The most frequently involved dermatomes were the thoracic (18/43), followed by the trigeminal (9/17), cervical (4/13), and lumbosacral nerve (4/9) territory in the responder and non-responder groups. There was no difference in the dermatomal involvement between the 2 groups.

5. Underlying disorders

It was difficult to show a statistical difference between the 2 groups related to underlying disorders, such as DM and cancer with an immunosuppressive state due to a lack of enrolled patients. There were only 4 DM patients, including 2 responders and 2 non-responders. There were also 3 cancer patients with an immunosuppressive state, including 1 responder and 2 non-responders.

DISCUSSION

Almost 30% of PHN patients received complete relief from PHN after active treatment. The duration of PHN at the patients’ first visit in the responder group was significantly shorter than that of the non-responder group. Those who sought treatment in a pain clinic before 9 months, received a better result. Factors, such as being less than 60 years old or being female, seemed to be good factors for complete pain relief, however, they did not show any statistical differences. However, factors such as dermatomal distribution and underlying disorders did not show significant differences between the 2 groups in this study due to a lack of enrolled patients.

The mean duration of PHN at the patients’ first visit in the responder group was 8.5 months, 6.8 months less than in the non-responder group. Those who visited within 6 and 9 months showed a better result than those of who visited within 12, 15, 18, or over 24 months. The mean duration of PHN at the patients’ first visit at the university–based pain clinics may be quite different from country to country according to the national health care or insurance system. Patients who visit relatively early, within 6 months, may have intractable pain which could not be treated at the primary local clinic or secondary general hospital. While patients who visit later, after 1 or 2 years, may have continuous moderate pain which did not respond to treatment, or were dependent on guardians to bring them for treatment.

The mean age of the responder group was 64.3 years, only 2.6 years lower than that of the non-responder group. It seems that the mean age did not affect the incidence of complete relief. Even though there was no statistical difference in this study, younger patients, less than 60 years old, visited earlier and seemed to have better results in this study. It seems that it was easier for younger patients to visit the university–based hospital without the aid of a guardian.

The number of female patients was 69, while there were only 48 male patients. The higher incidence of progression from HZ to PHN in the female gender [3] was not different in this study. However, even though there was no statistical difference in this study, the response to active treatment seemed to be higher in female patients than male patients [24/69 (34.8%) vs. 11/48 (22.9%), respectively]. The mean durations of PHN at the male and female patients’ first visit were 11.0 ± 10.6 and 12.2 ± 10.6 months in this study, respectively. The mean ages of the male and female patients were 66.3 ± 9.5 and 65.9 ± 11.5 years. Therefore, female patients had a better result even though they visited later and were older. In conclusion, female patients may progress to PHN more easily, however, they may also be more likely to receive complete relief from PHN according to this study.

The most frequent dermatomal locations were the
thoracic, trigeminal, cervical, and lumbosacral regions, in order of frequency. This distribution did not differ from the previous studies [12,13]. It is known that ophthalmic dermatomal involvement of the trigeminal nerve (V1) is also a risk factor for PHN [5]. The VI dermatomal distribution of PHN in the responder and non-responder groups were 9/35 (25.7%) and 17/82 (20.7%), respectively. The incidence of receiving complete pain relief in the VI dermatome was 9/26 (34.6%). Therefore, even though the incidence of the progression from HZ to PHN in cases of VI dermatomal involvement has been known to be higher, the possibility of complete pain relief was not lower compared to that of the other sensory dermatomes [26/91 (28.6%)]. The mean duration of PHN at the patients’ first visit in the group of VI dermatomal involvement was not much longer than those of other dermatomal distributions (12.6 ± 11.8 vs. 11.5 ± 10.3 months). The male and female ratio of the involvement of VI (12/14) was not different from that of the other dermatomal involvements (35/56). The mean age of VI involvement (66.3 ± 7.8 years) was not different from that of other dermatomal involvements (60.0 ± 11.3 years). Therefore, there did not seem to be any difference in complete pain relief between VI and other dermatomal distributions in this study.

Underlying conditions such as diabetes mellitus and cancer with an immunosuppressive state, known risk factors of PHN [5], were difficult to compare due to the small number of patients in the 2 groups. Further studies are needed.

The limitation of this study was the small number of enrolled patients. The duration between the development of skin rash and the patients’ first visit could not be divided by month from the determination of PHN at 4 months after skin rash to well-established PHN from 6 months after skin rash, Therefore, it was difficult to redefine recalcitrant well-established PHN in this study. The underlying conditions, known as risk factors, could also not be examined. The first visit of enrolled PHN patients might show a unique pattern of PHN with a relatively short (less than 6 months) or long (1 or 2 years) duration, because this study was performed in a university-based pain clinic.

Further long-term studies are needed to determine whether non-responders should take medication for the rest of their life or receive spinal cord stimulation at a certain point [14]. The old definition of PHN, pain persisting after the rash has healed (usually after 1 month), has been changed to a new definition in this study, 4 months after the onset of the skin rash. Further studies should determine the outcome of treatment during the gap between 2 and 4 months.

In conclusion, nearly 30% of PHN patients received complete relief from pain. Those who sought treatment earlier, within 9 months, in a pain clinic, received a better result.
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