Introduction: Ventilator-associated pneumonia refers to pneumonia that happens at least 48 hours after endotracheal intubation. It is associated with high economic costs, longer attributable lengths of stay in the hospital, and high mortality. Therefore, this study aims to determine the incidence and predictors of ventilator-associated pneumonia among adult intensive care unit admitted patients at Bahir Dar Specialized Hospitals.

Methods: A retrospective follow-up study was conducted among 312 adult intensive care unit admitted patients. A data extraction checklist was used to collect the data. The collected data were coded, cleaned, and entered into EpiData version 3.1 and exported to SPSS version 22 and STATA version 14 for analysis. Descriptive analysis was done by using tables, text and percentages. Bivariable and multivariable log binomial analyses were conducted to identify predictors of ventilator-associated pneumonia. Variables having p-value <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results: The study found that 27.9% (95% CI: 23%–33%) of patients developed ventilator-associated pneumonia and the incidence rate of VAP was 45.7 per 1000 ventilator days. Patient's stay more than or equal to 14 days (ARR: 13, 95% CI: 9.3–31) and 7–13 days on MV (ARR: 7.2, 95% CI: 6.2–11), blood transfusion (ARR: 2.8, 95% CI: 1.1–6.9), low GCS (ARR: 2.5, 95% CI: 1.3–5.1), use of corticosteroid (ARR: 2.1, 95% CI: 1.1–4.1), and supine head position (ARR: 8.1, 95% CI: 1.7–40) were identified as independent predictors of ventilator associated pneumonia.

Conclusion: Nearly one-fourth of the participants developed ventilator-associated pneumonia. Duration of ventilation, blood transfusion, corticosteroid use, supine head position, and low Glasgow coma scale were significant predictors of ventilator-associated pneumonia.
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According to 2016 clinical practice guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and American Thoracic Society, VAP is diagnosed when there is a new or changing lung infiltrates on chest x-ray and at least two of the following clinical feature: fever (≥38 °C), increased white blood cell count (≥12*10⁹ WBC/mL), and purulent tracheobronchial secretions.¹

Globally, the prevalence of VAP is 15.6%.⁶ The presence of VAP was associated with an increased risk of hospital morbidity and it remains the most frequent infection among patients hospitalized in the ICU.⁷

The crude mortality rate of VAP ranged from 16% to 94% compared to 0.2% to 51% in non-VAP patients, and the ICU length of stay in VAP patients ranged from 8 to 24 days compared to 2.5 to 13 days in non-VAP patients. VAP was associated with increased ICU length of stay (LOS) by 10 days and higher mortality than patients without VAP. Patients with VAP develop many complications like severe sepsis, septic shock, acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), atelectasis, and infection with MDR organisms, which in turn increase cost, morbidity, and mortality.⁸–¹⁰

It is associated with high economic costs, longer attributable lengths of stay in the hospital, and high mortality, especially when lung infection is caused by high-risk pathogens.¹¹–¹³ Studies assessing the economic impact of VAP in America found that patients with VAP had higher mean costs for hospitalization, nursing service, antibiotics, anesthesia, ventilator support, respiratory therapy, and chest x-rays, which increased the cost by 40% or higher,¹⁴ and the total cost for VAP patients was about 3-fold higher than for non-VAP patients, in which this cost was probably associated with prolonged hospitalization of VAP patients.¹⁵

Various studies in developing countries have shown that the implementation of simple, and cost-effective measures like hand washing, proper handling of respiratory tract secretions, oral hygiene with chlorhexidine, head of bed elevation greater than 30°, daily sedation break and assessment for extubation, peptic ulcer prophylaxis, deep vein thrombosis prophylaxis and the use of gloves by health workers can result in a significant reduction in the incidence of VAP.⁸,¹⁶–¹⁸

Therefore, this study aimed to determine the incidence and predictors of VAP to implement more effective preventive measures of VAP and thereby reduce the morbidity related to VAP.

Materials and Methods

Study Design
A retrospective follow-up study was conducted to determine the incidence and predictors of VAP.

Study Area and Study Period
This study was conducted at Tibebe Ghion Specialized Hospital (TGSH) and Felege Hiwot Comprehensive Specialized Hospital (FHCSH) among patients admitted to adult intensive care units from September to October 2021. Those hospitals are located in Bahir Dar city, 575 kilometers from Addis Ababa.

TGSH started serving people in 2018, and it is one of the teaching hospitals found in Amhara regional state, Bahir Dar; which gives a full range of clinical services of specialty and subspecialty care. This facility provides adult and pediatric ICU services with nine beds for adult ICU, six mechanical ventilators, nine patient monitors and 2 beds for pediatric ICU.

FHCSH provides health care services for more than 10 million people coming from different surrounding districts. The adult ICU is one of the 13 wards in FHCSH where critically ill patients were admitted for intubation and critical follow up having twelve beds with 6 mechanical ventilators and 12 monitors.

Source Population
All patients aged 15 and up who were on a mechanical ventilator for at least 48 hours of duration.

Study Population
All patients aged 15 and up who were on MV for at least 48 hours between April 2019 and September 2021.
Inclusion Criteria
All patients from the age of 15 years and above intubated and on mechanical ventilator for at least 48 hours.

Exclusion Criteria
Patients with pneumonia before MV or within 48 hours of MV.

Sample Size Determination
The sample-size of this study was determined by using 24.3%,19 from a study conducted at Addis Ababa university, and the target sample size was 312 patients, calculated by using a single population proportion formula:

\[
N = \left( Z_{\alpha/2} \right)^2 \frac{p(1-p)}{d^2}
\]

Where
\( \text{N} = \text{sample size} \)
\( \text{Z} = \text{the standard normal deviate} \)
\( p = \text{prevalence of the previous study characteristic} \)
\( \alpha = \text{significance level} \)
\( d = \text{degree of precision or accuracy} \)

\[
N = \left( 1.96 \right)^2 \frac{(0.243 \times 0.757)}{(0.05)^2}
\]

\[
N = 282.66 \approx 283
\]

\[
N = 283 + 10\% \text{ non response rate}
\]

\[
N = 312 \text{ participants}
\]

Sample size in this study also tried to be determined by the second objective of the study using different predictor variables of VAP as shown on (Table 1).

So, 312 became the final sample size because it is largest of all.

Sampling Technique and Procedure
There were 723 intubated patients admitted to FHCSH (372), and TGSH (351), ICU departments from April 2019 to September 2021. By preparing a sampling frame using the medical registration numbers of patients for both hospitals, 312 (161 from FHCSH and 151 from TGSH) participants' cards were drawn by a proportional allocation formula. Study participants were selected randomly by Microsoft Excel computer-generated simple random sampling technique.

Variables
Dependent Variable
Ventilator-associated pneumonia (Yes/No).

Independent Variables
There were different predictor variables like socio-demographic factors (age and sex) and admission diagnosis-related factors (low GCS, ARDS, neurosurgery, burn, cardiac diseases, diabetes mellitus, AIDS, trauma, and sepsis). In addition, intervention-related factors (duration on mechanical ventilator support, duration on intensive care unit stay, tracheostomy, reintubation, tube thoracostomy, nasogastric feeding, use of corticosteroid, supine head position, use of histamine

| Variables         | Confidence Level | Power | RR | The Ratio of Unexposed to Exposed | Percent of Outcomes in Unexposed | Calculated Sample Size | The p-value in Source Study |
|-------------------|------------------|-------|----|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Reintubation      | 95%              | 80%   | RR 3.04 | 2                                | 16.2                             | 80                     | <0.0327                     |
| NG-tube feeding   | 95%              | 80%   | RR 2.14 | 2                                | 14.0                             | 225                    | 0.0194                      |
| Tracheostomy      | 95%              | 80%   | RR2.59 | 2                                | 17.9                             | 90                     | < 0.019                     |
blockers, blood transfusion, use of continuous intravenous sedatives, and prior use of broad-spectrum antibiotics) that predict the incidence of ventilator-associated pneumonia.

**Operational Definitions**

Ventilator-associated pneumonia: was diagnosed with the presence of a new or progressive chest x-ray infiltrate plus at least two of the following clinical symptoms: fever >38 °C with no other recognized causes, tachycardia, leukocytosis or leucopenia, new-onset purulent tracheal secretion, increased oxygen requirements in patients on a mechanical ventilator for at least 48 hours.20

Patient use of continuous intravenous sedation: administration of continuous infusions of sedative drugs like diazepam, propofol, and ketamine.

Prior antibiotic administration: intravenous antibiotic administration for longer than 24 hours during any portion of the patient’s hospitalization before and during MV.

Low GCS: patients having less than nine GCS during admission to the ICU.

Corticosteroid use: use of corticosteroid drugs like, hydrocortisone, prednisolone, and dexamethasone for greater than 2 days during intubation.

**Data Collection Tools and Procedure**

Data were extracted from the patient’s registration book and select medical records of patients who had been intubated for at least 48 hours using a semi-structured data extraction checklist. Then the charts of the selected patients were reviewed in detail. The extraction checklists contained socio-demographic characteristics, patient admission diagnosis-related conditions, and ICU intervention conditions. The data were extracted by two BSc nurses and supervised by the investigator.

**Data Processing and Analysis**

Data were entered into EpiData version 3.1 and exported to SPSS version 22 and STATA version 14 for descriptive and log binomial regression, respectively. The descriptive outputs were presented by median, inter quartile range, and percent. Bi-variable log-binomial regression analysis was done to have an insight and select candidate predictors for multi-variable log-binomial regression analysis. The variables from bi-variable log-binomial regression with a p-value <0.25 were entered into a multivariable log-binomial regression analysis model to identify independent predictors of VAP. All p-values lower than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. The final regression model fitness was tested by the Hosmer and Lemeshow goodness of fit test and found to be good fitness with a p-value of 0.894.

**Data Quality Control**

One-day training was given for the data collectors on how to collect data, and the collected data was checked for its completeness and accuracy on the same day of collection. A pretest was done on five intubated ICU patient cards in FHCSH, and based on the pretest findings; there was some modification of data extraction checklists. Epi data was used for data entry to prevent data entry errors.

**Ethical Considerations**

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Bahir Dar University, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, and ethical clearance was obtained from the board. Ethical clearance was submitted to TGSH chief clinical director and FHCSH medical director. Then the written permission was obtained from both hospitals’ clinical directors. To ensure confidentiality of the patients’ information the names and addresses of the patients were not recorded during the data collection. No one other than the investigator had access to the collected data. The investigator used the collected data only to answer the stated objectives. This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.
Result

Incidence of Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia (VAP)
From 312 study participants 87 (27.9%, 95% CI: 23%–33%) patients developed VAP during their intensive care unit stay and the incidence rate of VAP was 45.7 per 1000 ventilator days. The total ventilator days were found to be 1901 days; the median ventilator-days were 5 days with a minimum of 2 days and a maximum of 40 days. Based on onset, 13 (14.9%) patients had early onset VAP and the remaining 74 (85.1%) had late onset VAP.

Predictors of Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia

Socio-Demographic Characteristics
In this study a total of 312 patients were enrolled out of which 183 (58.7%) were male and 129 (41.3%) were female. The median age of patients was 35 years (inter-quartile range of 25–54 years).

Intervention Related Factors
The median lengths of patients on the mechanical ventilators were 6 days with an inter-quartile range of 3–10 days. The median lengths of stay of patients in the intensive care unit were 7 days and inter-quartile range of 4–14 days. Around three fourths (76%) of the participants had naso-gastric tube feeding while only 49 (16.2%) patients had reintubation (Table 2).

Admission Diagnosis-Related Factors
From the total participants, 119 (38.5%) had low GCS, from these 47 (39.5%) developed VAP, and only 4 (1.3%) of the participants had burns, as shown in Table 3.

Bi-Variable and Multi-Variable Log Binomial Regression Analysis
The bi-variable log-binomial regression analysis identified 11 candidate predictors for the multivariable log-binomial regression model. To be liberal a p-value of 0.25 as a cut off value was used to enter in to multivariable log binomial regression analysis.

Table 2 Intervention-Related Factors of VAP Among Patients Admitted to Adult Intensive Care Units of Felege Hiwot and Tibebe Ghion Hospitals, Bahir Dar, Ethiopia, 2021

| Variables                  | Category | VAP |       | Total (%) |
|----------------------------|----------|-----|-------|-----------|
|                            | Yes (%)  | No (%) |       |           |
| Reintubation               | Yes      | 30 (35.3) | 19 (35.3) | 49 (16.2) |
|                            | No       | 55 (64.7) | 198 (91.2) | 253 (83.8) |
| Tube Thoracostomy          | Yes      | 28 (32.9) | 21 (9.4) | 49 (15.9) |
|                            | No       | 57 (67.1) | 202 (90.6) | 259 (84.1) |
| Tracheostomy               | Yes      | 25 (29.1) | 10 (4.4) | 35 (11.3) |
|                            | No       | 61 (70.9) | 215 (95.6) | 276 (88.7) |
| Corticosteroids use        | Yes      | 61 (71.8) | 65 (30) | 126 (41.7) |
|                            | No       | 24 (28.2) | 152 (70) | 176 (58.3) |
| Naso-gastric feeding       | Yes      | 85 (97.7) | 149 (67.4) | 234 (76.0) |
|                            | No       | 2 (2.3) | 72 (32.6) | 74 (24.0) |
| Supine head position       | Yes      | 25 (29.4) | 16 (7.8) | 41 (13.4) |
|                            | No       | 60 (7.6) | 205 (92.8) | 265 (86.6) |
| Use of histamine blockers  | Yes      | 74 (86) | 104 (46.4) | 178 (57.4) |
|                            | No       | 12 (14) | 120 (53.6) | 132 (42.6) |
| Broad spectrum antibiotic use | Yes   | 18 (22.2) | 44 (20.5) | 62 (20.9) |
|                            | No       | 63 (77.8) | 171 (79.5) | 234 (79.1) |
| Blood transfusion          | Yes      | 40 (47.1) | 40 (17.9) | 80 (25.9) |
|                            | No       | 45 (52.9) | 184 (82.1) | 229 (74.1) |
| Use continuous IV sedatives | Yes    | 15 (17.6) | 29 (12.9) | 44 (14.2) |
|                            | No       | 70 (82.4) | 196 (87.1) | 266 (85.8) |

Abbreviation: IV, intravenous.
regression. Finally, five variables including duration of patients on MV in days, steroid use, supine position, blood transfusion, and low GCS of patients were identified as predictors of VAP. Patients on MV support for more than or equal to 14 days have a 13-fold (ARR: 13, 95% CI: 9.3–31) increased risk of developing VAP, while patients on MV for 7–13 days have a 7-fold (ARR: 7.2, 95% CI: 6.2–11) increased risk of VAP than patients stay less than 7 days on MV. The patients who used corticosteroid drugs had 2.1 (ARR: 2.1, 95% CI: 1.1 – 4.1) times higher risk of developing VAP than who were not used corticosteroids. The risk of developing VAP was 8.1(ARR: 8.1, 95 % CI: 1.7 - 40) times higher among patients on supine position than semi recumbent position. Patients who were transfused blood were 2.78 (ARR: 2.8, 95 % CI: 1.1 - 6.9) times higher risk for VAP than who were not transfused. Patients with low GCS were 2.5(ARR: 2.5, 95% CI: 1.3 - 5.1) times at risk for VAP than patients who had higher GCS (Table 4).

Table 3 Admission Diagnostic-Related Factors of VAP Among Patients Admitted to Adult Intensive Care Unit of Felege Hiwot and Tibebe Ghion Hospitals, Bahir Dar, Ethiopia, 2021

| Variables                  | Category | Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia |
|----------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|
|                            |          | Yes (%) | No (%) | Total (%) |
| Neurosurgery               | Yes      | 30 (35.3) | 19 (35.3) | 49 (16.2) |
|                            | No       | 55 (64.7) | 198 (91.2) | 253 (83.8) |
| AIDS                       | Yes      | 28 (32.9) | 21 (9.4) | 49 (15.9) |
|                            | No       | 57 (67.1) | 202 (90.6) | 253 (83.8) |
| Low Glasgow coma scale     | Yes      | 25 (29.1) | 10 (4.4) | 35 (11.3) |
|                            | No       | 61 (70.9) | 215 (95.6) | 276 (88.7) |
| Trauma                     | Yes      | 61 (71.8) | 65 (30) | 126 (41.7) |
|                            | No       | 24 (28.2) | 152 (70) | 176 (58.3) |
| Burn                       | Yes      | 85 (97.7) | 149 (67.4) | 234 (76.0) |
|                            | No       | 2 (2.3) | 72 (32.6) | 74 (24.0) |
| Cardiac disease            | Yes      | 25 (29.4) | 16 (7.8) | 41 (13.4) |
|                            | No       | 60 (7.6) | 205 (92.8) | 265 (86.6) |
| Diabetes mellitus          | Yes      | 74 (86) | 104 (46.4) | 178 (57.4) |
|                            | No       | 12 (14) | 120 (53.6) | 132 (42.6) |
| ARDS                       | Yes      | 18 (22.2) | 44 (20.5) | 62 (20.9) |
|                            | No       | 63 (77.8) | 171 (79.5) | 234 (79.1) |
| Sepsis out of chest focus  | Yes      | 40 (47.1) | 40 (17.9) | 80 (25.9) |
|                            | No       | 45 (52.9) | 184 (82.1) | 229 (74.1) |

Abbreviations: AIDS, acquired immune deficiency syndrome; ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome.

Table 4 Bi-Variable and Multivariable Analysis of Predictors of VAP Among Patients Admitted at FHCSH and TGSH Adult Intensive Care Units, Bahir Dar, Ethiopia, 2021

| Variables                      | VAP                  | Bi-Variable Log Binomial Analysis | Multi-Variable Log Binomial Analysis |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                               | Yes | No | CRR | 95% CI | P-value | ARR | 95% CI | P-value |
| Duration of patients on MV(days) | <7  | 7  | 188 | 1      | 0.000   | 7.24 | 6.17–11.3 | <0.001   |
|                               | 7–13 | 32 | 27  | 15.10  | 7.03–32.48 | 0.000 | 2.74 | 2.35–3.06 | <0.001   |
|                               | ≥14 | 48 | 10  | 23.05  | 11.02–48.22 | 0.000 | 0.99 | 0.46–2.16 | 0.753     |
| Sex                           | Male | 61 | 122 | 1.65   | 1.1–2.47 | 0.014 | 1.16 | 0.47–2.8 | 0.737     |
|                               | Female | 26 | 103 | 2.5    | 1.8–3.5 | 0.000 | 2.14 | 1.1–4.1 | 0.023*     |
| Thoracostomy Tube             | Yes | 28 | 12 | 2.5    | 1.8–3.5 | 0.000 | 2.55 | 2.25–2.9 | <0.001     |
|                               | No  | 57 | 202 | 3.55   | 2.35–5.37 | 0.000 | 2.14 | 1.1–4.1 | 0.023*     |
| Steroid use                   | Yes | 61 | 65  | 3.55   | 2.35–5.37 | 0.000 | 2.14 | 1.1–4.1 | 0.023*     |
|                               | No  | 24 | 152 | 3.55   | 2.35–5.37 | 0.000 | 2.14 | 1.1–4.1 | 0.023*     |

(Continued)
**Table 4 (Continued).**

| Variables       | VAP | Bi-Variable Log Binomial Analysis | Multi-Variable Log Binomial Analysis |
|-----------------|-----|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                 | Yes | No | CRR | 95% CI | P-value | ARR | 95% CI | P-value |
| Supine position | Yes | 25 | 16  | 2.69   | 1.93–3.75 | 0.006 | 8.1 | 1.66–39.6 | 0.010* |
|                 | No  | 60 | 205 | 2.54   | 1.81–3.58 | 0.000 | 2.78 | 1.13–6.86 | 0.027* |
| Blood transfusion | Yes | 40 | 40  | 1.74   | 1.93–3.25 | 0.081 | 0.61 | 0.31–1.26 | 0.183 |
|                 | No  | 45 | 184 | 1.43   | 0.78–2.63 | 0.250 | 1.96 | 0.75–5.1  | 0.169 |
| AIDS            | Yes | 7  | 11  | 1.87   | 1.32–2.67 | 0.000 | 2.5  | 1.27–5.1  | 0.009* |
|                 | No  | 47 | 55  | 0.65   | 0.36–1.17 | 0.150 | 0.83 | 0.18–3.8  | 0.811 |
| Diabetes mellitus | Yes | 10 | 43  | 3.23   | 2.38–4.39 | 0.000 | 0.94 | 0.37–2.3  | 0.898 |
|                 | No  | 74 | 180 | 3.29   | 2.38–4.39 | 0.000 | 0.94 | 0.37–2.3  | 0.898 |

Note: *Indicates statistically significant.

Abbreviations: ARR, adjusted relative risk; AIDS, acquired immuno deficiency syndromes; CI, confidence interval; CRR, crude relative risk; GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; MV, mechanical ventilator; IQR, inter-quartile range.

**Discussion**

The aim of this study is to determine the incidence and identify determinants of VAP among adult intensive care unit admitted patients at Tibebe Ghion and Felege Hiwot Specialized Hospitals. Despite MV being an essential feature of modern intensive care unit service, it is associated with a substantial risk of VAP. In this study, 27.9% (95% CI: 23%–33%) of intubated patients developed VAP. Duration ventilation, blood transfusion, corticosteroid use, supine head position, and low GCS were identified as significant predictors of VAP.

This study found that the cumulative incidence of VAP was 27.9% (95% CI: 23%–33%) and the incidence rate was 45.7 per 1000 ventilator days. It agrees with studies from Istanbul 28%,

but is lower than a study conducted in Egypt, 57.5%.

This may be due to sociodemographic variation, and small sample size in the Egyptian study. In studies conducted in Canada and India, the incidence of VAP was 17.5% and 18% respectively, which were lower than this study's findings. This difference may be due to differences in geographical location of health facilities, VAP diagnosis criteria, as in Pondicherry, India they used both clinical and microbiological diagnosis criteria, whereas; this study only used clinical diagnosis criteria.

Patients who received blood transfusions (ARR = 2.8) were significantly predisposed for the development of VAP and it proved to be an independent risk factor, which differs from a study conducted in Turkey (95% CI: 0.4–2.6),

this difference may be due to a variation of study designs, in Turkey the study design was case-controlled whereas the study design in this study was a retrospective follow-up study design.

In this study, low GCS was identified as an independent risk factor for the development of VAP, which is comparable with studies done in India (95% CI: 1.21 to 4.42), Addis Ababa University (p-value <0.001) and China (p-value < 0.001),

but this finding is different from studies conducted at Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Research Institute, India (95% CI: 0.41 to 2.27). The difference might be due to the sample size being too small in the Indian study with a total of 76 study participants as compared with this study.

Patients who had a longer stay on mechanical ventilator support had a significantly higher risk of developing VAP, which is consistent with studies from Egypt (95% CI: 4.8–22.9), India (95% CI: 0.25–0.67), Greece (p-value 0.001), and Turkey (p-value 0.001),

but not in studies conducted at a tertiary care hospital in India.

The reason for the difference could be due to the use of both the clinical and microbiological diagnosis criteria in the Indian study, whereas this study only used clinical diagnostic criteria.
In this study, supine head position of patients was an independent risk factor for the development of VAP, which is similar with other findings in North Bengal Medical College, India (95% CI: 0.46–0.82), while it was not significantly associated with VAP in studies conducted in a tertiary care hospital in India (95% CI: 0.09 to 3.94).

In the current study finding, corticosteroid use was significantly associated with the development of VAP. This is similar to findings of studies conducted in Al-Azhar University, Egypt (95% CI: 1.1–9.2) and India (p-value: 0.013) but it was not in studies conducted in India (95% CI: 0.41–2.78) and Turkey (95% CI: 0.75–1.60). The reason for these differences might be due to differences in the methods of data analysis; logistic analysis was used in Indian and Turkey studies while the log binomial method of analysis was executed in this study.

Tracheostomy was not significantly associated with VAP, which is the same as Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Research Institute, Pondicherry, India. However, it has a significant association in studies conducted at Addis Ababa University, a tertiary care hospital in India and in Greece.

The sex of patients was not a significant factor for VAP, which is similar with studies in Turkey and China.

Diabetes mellitus was not an independent factor for VAP in congruence with reports done in Turkey, India and China, on the other hand it was an independent risk factor for VAP in a Turkish study, this difference may occur due to the small sample size in Turkey.

In this study, patients with tube thoracostomy (a chest tube) were not identified as a risk factor for VAP. This finding is similar to study reports done at Addis Ababa University (p-value: 0.345), but it is an independent risk factor in a study conducted in Greece.

Sepsis, out of a chest focus, is not a significant factor for VAP, which is in line with study reports in France (p-value 0.85), but different from a study finding done in 20 European countries (95% CI: 9.5–19.9).

**Conclusion**

Nearly one fourth of study participants developed VAP. The independent risk factors identified for the development of VAP were a longer duration of patients on a MV, corticosteroid use, supine position, blood transfusion, and low GCS. Knowledge of the important risk factors predisposing to VAP may prove to be useful in implementing simple and effective preventive measures including non-invasive ventilation, precaution during emergency intubation, minimizing a patient's stay on mechanical support and in an intensive care unit, avoidance of a supine position of patients, and minimize the use of histamine blockers and corticosteroids.
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