Synthesis of cross-linked polyurethane elastomers with the inclusion of polar-aromatic moieties (BA, PNBA and 3, 5-DNBA): Electrical and thermo-mechanical properties analysis
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Abstract
In this work, we used the design strategy “doped nonpolar polymers” and synthesized the polyurethane elastomers (PUEs) by doping with highly polar aromatic molecules such as benzoic acid (BA), 4(Para)-nitro-benzoic acid (PNBA), and 3, 5-dinitro-benzoic acid (3, 5-DNBA) by using the solution casting method. The effect of each molecule in three different weight percentages 2%, 4%, and 6% on electrical and thermo-mechanical properties of the material has studied. Experiments were carried out to determine electrical properties such as DC volume resistivity, dielectric constant, and loss factor. DMA and DSC measurements were done to assess thermo-mechanical properties. Also, thermal conductivity measurement was carried out and a strong nitro group and doping percentage dependent results have been observed. A comparative analysis of the effect on the said properties was done among the doped and undoped PUEs.
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Introduction
Polyurethane is a class of polymer that has a wide range of physio-chemical properties. Its unique properties make it useful in different fields such as foams, coatings and adhesives industry, bio-medical sciences, plastic industry, and thermoplastic elastomers, etc. [1–3]. Apart from these, it has some disadvantages too, such as non-polarity, low mechanical strength, and low thermal stability [1]. To compensate for these drawbacks material scientists have started synthesizing new composite materials revolutionizing the present material industry. Polymer composites combine both the properties of a polymer and the properties of the filler. For decades, different types of moieties are used as a filler to ameliorate some of the limitations of a polymer to increase their applications. The usage of the polar molecules in a non-polar polymer not only led to high piezoelectricity but also affect the physical and electrical properties which had replaced the conventional ceramic-based piezoelectric materials [4–10]. Some piezoelectric polymers like cellulose and their derivative, polyurethanes (PU), polyimides (PI), poly-lactic acid (PLA), polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) and its copolymers, etc. have wide applications to many, energy-related systems [11–15].

In spite of different figures of merit, the polar nonlinear optical (NLO) materials have some similar demands and somehow similar design schemes. For example, doping a nonpolar polymer with a polar compound has yielded considerable success, allowing the optimization of the dopant for ideal optical properties and the optimization of the polymer for easy processing. Complex chromophore molecules are dispersed in nonpolar polymers such as poly (methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) and poled to produce axial alignment [11, 20–22, 26].

Hitherto, many researchers have demonstrated and found that doping a nonpolar polymer with polar dopants is a highly general strategy and has the potential to lead the materials with considerably higher piezoelectric responses, thermal stability, high-performance NLO materials, and also impact on electrical properties [4, 10, 16–25]. One of the most important classes of polymer for such application is polyurethane. Recently, Moody et al. reported $d_{33}$ piezo-coefficients approx. 244±30 pCN$^{-1}$ for flexible
piezoelectric PU foams [10]. More recently, Petroff et al. used the same strategy and created polar molecules doped polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) foams and measured the electrical and mechanical properties and by tuning these properties they achieved a maximum $d_{33}$ piezo-coefficients about $153 \text{ pCN}^{-1}$ [4]. Furthermore, many researchers showed that the inclusion of polar molecules in polyurethane can create either high piezoelectricity or NLO materials [27–41]. We motivated by reviewing the previous works to do further experiments to observe the effect of polar moieties on other properties of polyurethane.

In this work, we used the strategy of the doped non-polar polymer and synthesized polyurethane elastomers by doping with highly polar aromatic molecules such as benzoic acid (BA), 4(p)-nitro-benzoic acid (PNBA), and 3, 5-dinitro-benzoic acid (DNBA) via solution casting method. The effect of each molecule in three different weight percentages (2%, 4%, and 6%) on the electrical properties such as dielectric constant, loss factor, and DC volume resistivity and thermo-mechanical properties using DSC, DMA, and thermal conductivity of the material has been studied. A wide range of applications is therefore accessible through further investigation and optimization.

**Materials and method**

**Polyurethane precursors**

Part A: CARADOL MC28-02 is the activated propylene oxide and ethylene oxide-based polyether polyol was purchased from Shell Chemicals. ALCUPOL D0411 or PPG 400 from Repsol is a Polypropylene Glycol. Chain extender MEG (Mono Ethylene Glycol) or Ethylene Glycol were purchased from VWR. Moisture Scavenger (FINMASORB 430PR) molecular sieve pastes were used. DABCO 33-LV (33% triethylenediamine dissolved in 67% dipropylene glycol) by Evonik was used as a Catalyst and in the synthesis of pre-polyol and curing of polyurethanes. Benzoic Acid, 4(p)-nitro-benzoic acid and 3, 5-di-nitro-benzoic acid supplied by Reanal, Budapest, Hungary used as doping moieties or as fillers in the PU.

Part B: ONGRONAT XP 1117 (Mixture of MDI mixed isomers and polymeric MDI) was arranged from Borsod-Chem Zrt. and used as isocyanate part. All ingredients for PUE synthesis are listed in Table 1.

**Synthesis method (Solution casting)**

The procedure of preparation of PU elastomers is schematically shown below in Fig. 1. First, we prepare a solution of all properly weighed chemicals of PART-A such as polyols, mono ethylene glycol, FINMASORB, DABCO, etc. Fillers/ additives/ doping agents can be added to this solution if necessary. After proper shear stirring, wait for 10–15 min to avoid air bubbles. Then, pour the as-prepared solution (PART-A) in a specified amount into MDI (PART-B). In this step, a quick reaction is started between isocyanate and polyols. After quick shear stirring of the final solution for 10 s, we poured the final solution (PART-A+PART-B) into preheated (50 °C) mold and after waiting for approximately 60 min for curing finally remove the cured sample of 1 mm-4 mm thickness.

**Results and discussion**

**Effect of doping on electrical properties**

**Dielectric constant and Loss factor**

Radiometer-Copenhagen Type- CMB 1d No.110033 was used for dielectric properties measurement. This instrument based on the Schering bridge method. The measurement specimen was taken from a 1 mm thick sample sheet. The graphite spray (GRAPHIT 33) was used for making the

### Table 1 Ingredients of polyurethane elastomers

| Description                    | Trade name         | Mass/g   |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------|
| Polyether Polyol              | Caradol MC28-02    | 10       |
| Polypropylene glycol (PPG-400)| ALCUPOL D4011      | 5        |
| Monoethylene glycol           | MEG                | 10       |
| Catalyst                      | Dabco 33-LV        | 0.3      |
| Moisture scavenger            | Finmasorb 430 PR   | 5        |
| 4,4’-Diphenylmethane diisocyanate (MDI) | ONGRONAT XP 1117 | 29.92–30.60 (a) |
| Benzoic Acid                  | –                  | 2, 4, 6  |
| 4(para)-nitro-benzoic acid    | –                  | 2, 4, 6  |
| 3, 5- nitro-benzoic acid      | –                  | 2, 4, 6  |

(a) Varying with the other ingredients to keep the content of NCO: OH ratio and these values are for a total 100 g of the system mass
The electrode surface area of the sample was 11.33 cm². The measurement was taken at room temperature of 23 °C and the applied AC input voltage was 63 V at a frequency of 1 kHz. The measurement results are tabulated below in Table 2. The plot of Dielectric constant (εₐ) and loss factor (D) with percentage doping content is shown in Fig. 2(a) and (b), respectively. It is observed that dielectric constant of pure PU is less than the poled ones because the undoped (pure) PU elastomers is a nonpolar insulator and have only electronic polarization. By the inclusion of aromatic polar moieties, the dielectric constant increased as compared to pure PU elastomer. This is due to the contribution of dipole polarization (or orientation polarization) in addition to electronic polarization. This can be explained by increasing the doping percentage (wt. %) of each type in the system the dielectric constant increases due to an increase in the mobility of polar groups and hence mobile groups which contributes more orientation

| Sample Name   | Capacitance (C, pF) | Loss factor (D) | Permittivity (ε, pFm⁻¹) | Dielectric constant (εₐ) |
|---------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Pure PU       | 55.15                | 0.0452          | 48.666                  | 5.499 ± 0.601           |
| PU + 2% BA    | 59.22                | 0.0476          | 54.878                  | 6.201 ± 0.101           |
| PU + 4% BA    | 58.14                | 0.0515          | 51.312                  | 5.798 ± 0.302           |
| PU + 6% BA    | 63.20                | 0.0518          | 55.772                  | 6.302 ± 0.202           |
| PU + 2% PNBA  | 65.76                | 0.0593          | 57.454                  | 6.492 ± 0.226           |
| PU + 4% PNBA  | 66.73                | 0.0997          | 58.887                  | 6.654 ± 0.064           |
| PU + 6% PNBA  | 68.90                | 0.112           | 62.020                  | 7.008 ± 0.290           |
| PU + 2% DNBA  | 69.26                | 0.1             | 59.295                  | 6.7 ± 0.241             |
| PU + 4% DNBA  | 66.60                | 0.112           | 60.542                  | 6.841 ± 0.100           |
| PU + 6% DNBA  | 71.60                | 0.112           | 64.454                  | 7.283 ± 0.342           |
polarization to the system [42–44]. The trend is shown in the graph (Fig. 2(a), (b).

The dielectric loss factor (tan δ) for each sample increased very fast between 2 and 4% then it starts decreasing at 6% doping content as shown in Fig. 2(b).

**DC volume resistivity**

DC volume resistivity (ρ) was measured by IM6 MEGOHMMETER from RE setup. The measurement specimens were taken from the 1 mm thick sheet of prepared samples. The
measurement was done at a constant room temperature of 23 °C and DC voltage between electrodes was 500 V. The electrodes diameter and contact area was 7.5 cm and 44.156 cm², respectively. The waiting time for the reading of each measurement was 60 s.

As previous studies show this type of polymer can be used in energy harvesting, piezoelectricity, and sensing applications [12] so it would be essential to elucidate static electrical properties as a function of dopants concentration. Therefore, Fig. 3 shows the plot of the variation of DC volume resistivity with the percentage doping content of each sample series. It is observed from Fig. 3 that the undoped polymer shows high electric resistivity of about $10^{12}$ Ω-cm, which is found consistent with previous studies [42, 44–47]. Also, the inclusion of polar molecules in a nonpolar polymer matrix improves conductivity by reducing the resistivity by several orders of magnitude. These findings support permittivity findings that polar dopants in a polymer system cause an increase in mobility. As a consequence, more obstruction will be created, resulting in a decrease volume resistivity. [45–47]. It also shows that a nitro group in benzoic acid boosts the higher reduction in resistivity. In addition, the volume resistivities measured from 4-nitrobenzoic acid and 3, 5-dinitrobenzoic acid series are observed to converge unexpectedly approx. at 5 wt. %. It is also noted, during the measurement of DC resistivity of undoped and doped PUEs the reading was very stable (no fluctuation) as tabulated in Table 3.

![Fig. 3 Variation of DC volume resistivity with percentage of doping moieties](image)

| Sample Name   | Resistance (R, MΩ) | Volume Resistivity (ρ, Ω-cm) |
|---------------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| Pure PU       | $1.75 \times 10^3$ | $7.727 \times 10^{11}$       |
| PU + 2% BA    | $0.7 \times 10^3$  | $2.943 \times 10^{11}$       |
| PU + 4% BA    | $0.32 \times 10^3$ | $1.412 \times 10^{11}$       |
| PU + 6% BA    | $0.29 \times 10^3$ | $1.280 \times 10^{11}$       |
| PU + 2% PNBA  | $2.45 \times 10^2$ | $1.092 \times 10^{11}$       |
| PU + 4% PNBA  | $0.65 \times 10^2$ | $2.870 \times 10^{10}$       |
| PU + 6% PNBA  | $1.22 \times 10^1$ | $5.281 \times 10^{9}$        |
| PU + 2% DNBA  | $7.9 \times 10^1$  | $3.596 \times 10^{10}$       |
| PU + 4% DNBA  | $4.6 \times 10^1$  | $1.972 \times 10^{10}$       |
| PU + 6% DNBA  | $1.7 \times 10^1$  | $7.359 \times 10^{9}$        |

### Effect of doping on thermo-mechanical properties

**Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)**

DSC823e by METTLER was used for the analysis of the thermal properties of the sample. Figure 4(a) shows the DSC thermogram for pure and doped polyurethane. The graph shows a weak transition at temperature around -63 °C. The glass transition temperature of soft segment ($T_{g,ss}$) is found around -59 °C. There is no other transition or peaks recorded which clearly indicating the purity of the undoped PUEs sample. Also, a very weak transition is recorded for all the
doped samples which are very similar in trends to the pure one except for some little variation. There is no other transition or peaks seen which clearly indicates doping moieties completely reacted to the PU system. Figure 4(b) is a combined thermogram for pure 4(para)-nitro-benzoic acid (PNBA) and PU doped with PNBA, similar to Fig. 4(a) as very weak transitions are observed with exception of little endothermic peaks at around 230 °C, 236 °C, and 236 °C of 2%, 4% and 6% wt.% of PNBA crystals, respectively. Comparing with the thermograph of pure PNBA, this is clear that these peaks did not significantly change but it is still in the range of melting temperature (240 °C) of PNBA. This clearly indicates that the PNBA partially reacted to the PU system, a dispersed phase of PNBA crystals is found in the PU matrix. The reason for partial solubility or insolubility of PNBA crystal in the PU system is maybe due to the presence of moisture (water molecules) in the PART-A materials mixture and PNBA is insoluble in normal or cold water [53].

Figure 4(c) is a DSC plot for 3, 5- di-nitro- benzoic acid (DNBA) doped PU elastomers. Thermogram shows, very weak transitions near to glass transition of PU, and no endothermic peaks were observed. This means the DNBA moieties completely reacted to the PU system. However, the glass transition temperature of the hard segment (Tg, HS), a small transition temperature at a higher temperature, did not observe by the DSC technique because it is not sensitive enough. The Tg,SS and Tg,HS from the DSC measurement are tabulated in Table 4.

**Dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA)**

Dynamic mechanical analysis is used for the measurement of viscoelastic properties. The storage modulus gives information about the stiffness, while the loss tangent (tan δ), provides information about the degree of molecular motion. The transition peak in the tan δ curve gives the glass transition temperature (Tg). The main feature of the smaller areas of low-temperature maximum location on tan δ curve is that it is related to the better phase separation of PU soft and hard segment also hard phase incorporation in the glass transition.
is less. The magnitude of tan δ across the application temperature is defined as the ratio of heat energy absorbed by the sample to energy returns as the sample movement [48].

The DMA measurement for each sample in the temperature range -80 °C to -100 °C was measured with the instrument DMA 8000 Perkin Elmer at the frequency of 1 Hz and a heating rate of 2 °C/min. The plots for each sample are shown in Fig. 5, 6, and 7. The storage modulus for all doped samples is very high and constant at a low temperature around -80 °C and it starts decreasing from around temperature -50 °C to further increase in temperature. The trend of decrease in storage modulus with an increase in temperature is the same for all samples. Furthermore, the magnitude of modulus of all doped samples shows 10 times higher than the pure one except for the 2% BA doped PU. The tanδ curve represents a peak (lower peak) related to the $T_{g, SS}$ at the same temperature range between -44 °C and -50 °C as tabulated in Table 4. The intensity of tanδ peak of the soft segment for all doped samples tends to decrease as compared to a pure one.

The decrease in intensity of tanδ peak of the soft segment for PNBA doped PUE is less than the other two doped samples, this is maybe because PNBA crystals are not completely reacted to the PU chain but it is dispersed. The other reason may be due to PNBA restricted the polyl segment motion in PU structure. At high temperatures, a shift of the tanδ curve to higher temperature is noted for all the samples. Result reveals a little shift for PNBA doped PU and also slight broadening and high intensity of the peaks associated with hard segment is noted for all samples but this effect is more for DNBA doped PU. This is maybe because of the rise in the number of larger lengths of hard segment chains in hard domains which contributes to polymer’s hard segment content [49–51]. This significant increase in hard segments improves the hard segment crystallinity and phase separation that further reduces the mobility of the soft segment [49].

### Thermal conductivity

TCi thermal conductivity analyzer (C-THERM) was used to measure the thermal conductivity of the pure and doped samples. For measurements, the sample is placed on the

---

**Table 4** Thermal properties of pure and doped polyurethane elastomers

| Sample name | DSC | DMA |
|-------------|-----|-----|
|             | $T_{g, SS}$ / °C | $T_{g, HS}$ / °C |
| Pure PU     | -59 | NA  |
| PU + 2% BA  | -56 | NA  |
| PU + 4% BA  | -57 | NA  |
| PU + 6% BA  | -54 | NA  |
| PU + 2% PNBA| -62 | NA  |
| PU + 4% PNBA| -59 | NA  |
| PU + 6% PNBA| -59 | NA  |
| PU + 2% DNBA| -62 | NA  |
| PU + 4% DNBA| -63 | NA  |
| PU + 6% DNBA| -63 | NA  |

---

Fig. 5 (a) and (b) shows storage modulus and tanδ from DMA of pure and benzoic acid (BA) doped PU elastomer
sensor at room temperature and a small amount of heat generated by applying a current of known amplitude to a heating element (spiral) of the sensor is applied. The thermophysical characteristics are inversely proportional to the rate at which the sensor voltage increases [48]. Figure 8 shows the variation of thermal conductivity of pure and BA, PNBA, and DNBA doped PUE with different doping contents. The result of BA doped PUE shows a different trend as compared to PNBA and DNBA doped samples. This variation may be because BA has no nitro group and it reacted to the PU backbone differently. On average thermal conductivity is constant except for little variation as tabulated in Table 5. The variation of thermal conductivity due to PNBA and DNBA is following the same trend and an increase of the nitro group

![Fig. 6](https://example.com/figure6.png)

Fig. 6 (a) and (b) shows storage modulus and tanδ from DMA of pure and 4(p)-nitro-benzoic acid (PNBA) doped PU elastomer

![Fig. 7](https://example.com/figure7.png)

Fig. 7 (a) and (b) shows storage modulus and tanδ from DMA of pure and 3, 5 di-nitro-benzoic acid (DNBA) doped PU elastomer
in doping moieties increases the thermal conductivity. For PNBA and DNBA doped samples it is increased up to 4% doping content and then it ramps down at 6% doping content. This increase thermal conductivity might be due to increasing the ordering of molecular chains (crystallinity) level by cross-linking when doping content was increased but higher doping (up to 6%) led to lower crystallinity and hence lower thermal conductivity. Moreover, it may be because thermal conductivity is strongly dependent on polymer crystallinity. The physical properties are also heavily affected by the hard segment content of polyurethane [52]. The maximum thermal conductivity is found for DNBA doped PU which is about 0.39 $\text{Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$ at 4% content.

**Table 5** Thermal conductivity of pure and doped polyurethane elastomers

| Doping Content (%) | Thermal conductivity ($\text{Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Pure PU             | 0.26                                          |
| PU + 2% BA          | 0.26                                          |
| PU + 4% BA          | 0.25                                          |
| PU + 6% BA          | 0.25                                          |
| PU + 2% PNBA        | 0.26                                          |
| PU + 4% PNBA        | 0.28                                          |
| PU + 6% PNBA        | 0.35                                          |
| PU + 2% DNBA        | 0.31                                          |
| PU + 4% DNBA        | 0.39                                          |
| PU + 6% DNBA        | 0.28                                          |

**Conclusions**

Pure and doped PU elastomers with three different weight percentage 2%, 4%, and 6% of each doping moieties namely benzoic acid (BA), 4(p)-nitro-benzoic acid (PNBA), and 3, 5-di-nitro-benzoic acid (DNBA) were successfully prepared using the solution casting method. Electrical DC volume resistivity measurement showed a high electric resistivity of about $10^{12}\ \Omega\cdot\text{cm}$ for the pure-PU sample and a decrease in the resistivity for each type of doping agent. This confirms the fact that a polar molecule in a nonpolar polymer matrix improves conductivity. The dielectric constant of pure PU is found less than the doped ones this is due to the contribution of dipole polarization in addition to electronic polarization to the polymer. DSC thermograms of doped PUE represented a very weak transition near $T_{g,ss}$ and no other endothermic peaks as compared to the Pure PU except for PNBA doped PUE. This is confirming that all doping molecules (BA and DNBA) completely reacted to the system except for the PNBA crystals. DMA results showed the magnitude of modulus of all doped samples were approx. 10 times higher than the pure one except for the 2% BA doped PU. The intensity of tan$\delta$ peak of the soft segment for all doped samples tends to decrease as compared to a pure one, this decrease for PNBA doped PUE is less than the other two doped samples. Increasing in the number of higher lengths of the hard segment chains in hard domains that occur as the hard segment content of the polymer can be approved from a little shift for PNBA doped PUE and...
also slight broadening and high intensity of the peaks associated with hard segment was noted for all samples but this effect is more for DNBA doped PUE. The nitro group in the doping moieties greatly affects the thermal conductivity. This variation may be because BA has no nitro group and it reacted to the PU backbone differently when compared to PNBA and DNBA doped samples. The maximum thermal conductivity is found for DNBA doped PUE which is about 0.39 W m\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\) at 4% content.
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