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ABSTRACT

SUMMARY: We present 5 cases of demyelination in patients diagnosed with multiple sclerosis that are closely associated with a developmental venous anomaly. Although the presence of a central vein is a known phenomenon with multiple sclerosis plaques, demyelination occurring around developmental venous anomalies is an underreported phenomenon. Tumefactive demyelination can cause a diagnostic dilemma because of its overlapping imaging findings with central nervous system neoplasm. The relationship of a tumefactive plaque with a central vein can be diagnostically useful, and we suggest that if such a lesion is closely associated with a developmental venous anomaly, an inflammatory or demyelinating etiology should be a leading consideration.

ABBREVIATION: DVA — developmental venous anomaly

The perivenular nature of demyelinating plaques in multiple sclerosis has been observed in many studies, with the earliest mention of this relationship found in an 1863 autopsy specimen. The central veins within demyelinating lesions are not usually perceived on conventional T2 and FLAIR MR imaging sequences, but inferred anatomically; a classic example of this is the demyelination occurring along callosal-septal medullary veins known as “Dawson fingers.” Advances in MR techniques, such as 3T FLAIR*, high-field 7T MR imaging, and susceptibility-weighted imaging, have helped to demonstrate that nearly all multiple sclerosis plaques are oriented along a central vein. This has recently led the North American Imaging in Multiple Sclerosis Cooperative to propose that the “central vein sign” has potential diagnostic utility to prospectively diagnose multiple sclerosis. Gaitán et al used dynamic contrast-enhanced 7T MR to show that smaller multiple sclerosis lesions enhance centrifugally, suggesting that these lesions grow outward from this central vein. Recently, Samaraweera et al showed that a central vein can be identified on clinical 3T scanners by using T2* sequences with high-echoplanar imaging.

Developmental venous anomalies (DVAs) have been found to be more prevalent in patients with multiple sclerosis. Despite this relationship, there is little research regarding the spatial proximity between DVAs and demyelinating plaques. A single published case report describes a focus of acute demyelination surrounding a DVA in the cerebellum, which was confirmed histologically. Strengthening this association may provide a useful clue in the diagnosis of tumefactive demyelination in the setting of confounding imaging findings.

We present 5 cases of demyelination in patients diagnosed with multiple sclerosis that are closely associated with a DVA.

CASE SERIES

Case 1

A 56-year-old woman with a medical history of hypertension and chronic lymphocytic (Hashimoto) thyroiditis initially presented with left-sided facial numbness, word-finding difficulty, and ataxia. Contrast-enhanced MR imaging demonstrated enhancing lesions within the left middle cerebellar peduncle and right cerebellum. The right cerebellar lesion was closely associated with a large DVA (Fig 1). Follow-up MR imaging 1 month later showed enlargement of these lesions, along with a new enhancing lesion in the left pons.

The initial differential diagnoses included acute demyelination and metastatic disease from an unknown primary malignancy. A CT of chest/abdomen/pelvis in search of a source of malignancy was negative, as was a lumbar puncture for malignant cells and oligoclonal bands. MR imaging perfusion examination demonstrated decreased $K^\text{trans}$, increased $Ve$, and decreased $Vp$ within these lesions, consistent with increased permeability, decreased cellularity, and decreased vascularity, respectively.
Taken together, the findings were consistent with acute demyelination, and this was dictated and verbally reported to the referring neurosurgeons. Persistent clinical uncertainty led to an excisional biopsy of the right cerebellar lesion. Demyelination was histologically confirmed (Fig 1). Imaging performed 3 months postoperatively demonstrated decreased size and enhancement of the pontine and left middle cerebellar peduncle lesions. A year later, her facial numbness and ataxia resolved, and she subsequently developed increased fatigue and lack of concentration. Based on the imaging and the pathologic findings, the patient was diagnosed by her neurologist with multiple sclerosis and has not had a relapse while on fingolimod therapy.

**Case 2**

A 37-year-old man with a medical history of transverse myelitis presented with gradually increasing blurry vision of his left eye, which was mildly painful. MR imaging and ophthalmologic examination were consistent with left optic neuritis (Fig 2).

After treatment with steroids, the patient improved. He did not tolerate treatment with natalizumab because of an allergic reaction, so he was started on dimethyl fumarate. He returned 15 months later with left homonymous hemianopsia and right visual field constriction. MR imaging at this time demonstrated left frontal, left parietal, and right temporal lesions with discontinuous leading-edge enhancement, typical for acute tumefactive demyelination. Postcontrast images demonstrated that the left frontal lobe lesion was centered on a large DVA (Fig 2). Spectroscopy was obtained, which showed elevated choline and decreased N-acetyl aspartate, suggestive of active cell membrane turnover with underlying neuronal loss.

The patient was treated with methylprednisolone, leading to improvement of his vision to near baseline. Given the pathognomonic brain lesions with peripheral discontinuous enhancement, along with the preceding history of optic neuritis and transverse myelitis, a neurologist diagnosed the patient with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis.

**Case 3**

A 46-year-old man with a history of relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis, renal stones, and gastric ulcers related to *Helicobacter pylori* infection presented for routine follow-up. MR imaging from 2 years prior demonstrated multiple white matter and spinal cord FLAIR hyperintense lesions, predominantly in a callosal and pericallosal distribution typical of multiple sclerosis. A new enhancing lesion was present in the left middle cerebellar peduncle, closely associated with a left cerebellar DVA (Fig 3). The T2 sequence demonstrated the central vein sign. The enhancement of this lesion was no longer present on the follow-up MR imaging 2 years later.

The patient had multiple relapses over several years, with tran-
sient symptoms including vertical diplopia, ataxia, and, most recently, left-sided extremity numbness. Despite this, he remains physically active. He continues to be followed by a neurologist and has avoided an exacerbation over the past 5 years on dimethyl fumarate therapy.

**Case 4**

A 47-year-old man with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis presented for evaluation of new diplopia secondary to internuclear ophthalmoplegia. MR imaging demonstrated numerous white matter and spinal cord FLAIR and T2 hyperintense lesions in a pattern typical of multiple sclerosis. A review of his imaging from 7 years prior showed an interim development of a new enhancing demyelinating lesion closely associated with a left frontal lobe DVA (Fig 4). The enhancement resolved on the follow-up MR imaging 9 months later.

A neurologist initially diagnosed him with multiple sclerosis in his early 20s after recurrent episodes of optic neuritis. Since then, he had multiple relapses, with symptoms dominated by progressive gait difficulty and spasticity, neuropathic pain, and depression. The patient continues to be followed by a neurologist and is currently being treated with rituximab.

**Case 5**

A 27-year-old woman with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis presented for routine follow-up. MR imaging demonstrated multiple subcortical and periventricular FLAIR hyperintense lesions, including callosal and pericallosal plaques typical of multiple sclerosis. A comparison of axial FLAIR and T1 contrast-enhanced images demonstrated a grouping of FLAIR hyperintense lesions around a right parietal DVA. These lesions were new compared with MR imaging from 8 months prior, most consistent with new demyelinating lesions (Fig 5).

The patient had no motor or sensory deficits, but she struggled with symptoms of chronic fatigue and cognitive dysfunction, with occasional word-finding difficulty. She continues to be followed by a neurologist and treated with maintenance therapy of interferon β-1a.

**DISCUSSION**

The relationship of demyelination and DVAs is underreported, despite the presence of a central vein as a known phenomenon with multiple sclerosis plaques. This may be due in part to limitations of conventional imaging because both abnormalities may not be apparent together on the same standard MR imaging sequence. DVAs are easily seen on contrast-enhanced images, whereas multiple sclerosis plaques are best seen on T2 and FLAIR sequences. Occasionally, demyelination is enhancing in its acute phase, allowing the association with a DVA to be demonstrated on contrast-enhanced images (as shown in cases 1–4). Sometimes, a DVA may be large enough that the flow void is clearly identified within the plaque on a T2 sequence. Although advanced MR imaging sequences such as 3T FLAIR* and high-field 7T MR imaging can improve the detection of the central vein in demyelinating plaques, the central vein...
It should be acknowledged that although MR imaging is a useful tool in expediting the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis by demonstrating the dissemination of lesions in space and time, clinical criteria remain the criterion standard for diagnosis.11

Tumefactive demyelination can cause a diagnostic dilemma because of its overlapping imaging findings with central nervous system neoplasm. The relationship of a tumefactive plaque with a central vein can be diagnostically useful, and we suggest that if such a lesion is closely associated with a DVA, an inflammatory or demyelinating etiology should be a leading consideration. In addition to correlation with other clinical and imaging clues, further evaluation with MR imaging perfusion and MR imaging spectroscopy can also aid in the diagnosis in such cases, distinguishing neoplastic from non-neoplastic lesions, thereby avoiding biopsy.16 Biopsy in the presence of a DVA will carry an additional risk of infarction and/or hemorrhage beyond the surgical margin because the DVA may represent the sole venous outflow to adjacent normal brain parenchyma. Another noninvasive testing such as MR perfusion imaging in an attempt to avoid biopsy and possible associated complications. The present cases support the perivenular nature of multiple sclerosis.

FIG 5. A, Axial contrast-enhanced T1 MR imaging shows a right parietal lobe DVA (arrow). B and C, Axial FLAIR MR images over an 8-month interval show development of right parietal lobe hyperintense plaques (B and C, arrows) oriented along the course of the DVA demonstrated in A.
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