The retinal lesions in ultra-wide-field retinal imaging and the consistency of different fundus screening methods in HIV/AIDS patients
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Abstract

Background: To observe the retinal lesions in HIV/AIDS patients and to evaluate the consistency of non-mydriatic ultra-wide-field (UWF) retinal imaging and mydriatic slit lamp biomicroscope with Superfield lens.

Methods: 193 eyes of 98 consecutive HIV/AIDS patients were enrolled. The retinal lesions in each patients were observed through UWF fundus imaging and slit lamp biomicroscopic with Superfield lens whose consistency was analyzed.

Results: 100 eyes (51.8%) had fundus lesions, 20 eyes (20%) presented posterior microvascular retinopathy (MVR), 19 eyes (19%) presented peripheral MVR, 15 eyes (15%) presented early stage cytomegalovirus retinitis (CMVR), 6 eyes showed initial stage CMVR, and 51 eyes showed other changes (papilledema, etc.). The consistency of two methods was moderate in detecting the isolated peripheral lesions (Kappa = 0.445) or HIV-related MVR (Kappa = 0.513), and high in inspecting the posterior/posterior involved lesions (Kappa = 0.831) or CMVR (Kappa = 1.0). The detection rate of UWF retinal imaging and slit lamp biomicroscopic were 15.5% (30/193) and 17.6% (34/193) (P=0.557>0.05) for HIV-related MVR, 7.8% (15/193) (P=1.000>0.05) for CMVR, 37.3% (72/193) and 33.7% (65/193) (P=0.118>0.05) for posterior/posterior involved lesions, 8.8% (17/193) and 17.6% (34/193) (P=0.001<0.05) for isolated peripheral lesions, respectively.

Conclusions: The HIV-related MVR can be posterior or peripheral. Various fundus changes appear in HIV/AIDS patients, not only MVR or CMVR. The non-mydriatic UWF fundus imaging system and mydriatic slit lamp biomicroscope exhibited good consistency and nondiscriminatory detection rate for CMVR, HIV-related MVR and posterior lesions, but not for isolated peripheral lesions.

Background
The current estimated national HIV prevalence rate in China are 0.0598% according to the HIV/AIDS data from the national surveillance systems of China[1]. Once an infectious retinitis appeared in AIDS patients, lesions would rapidly progress, causing irreversible vision loss or blindness. Nearly 19.7% to 44.25% of HIV/AIDS patients had fundus damages [2–3]. In the Post-HAART-Era, the most common fundus opportunistic infection is still cytomegalovirus retinitis (CMVR), which is also known as the main cause of vision loss in these patients. In fact, some CMVR patients who are detected in early stage or without macular involvement, is treatable and can thus avoid blindness. Therefore, it is crucial for HIV/AIDS patients to conduct routine ocular screening and regular follow-up so as to detect early lesions and reduce visual impairment[4]. Traditionally, the most commonly adopted method for fundus screening was mydriatic fundoscopy with indirect ophthalmoscopy (90D microscope lens and 20D indirect lens, Superfield lens, etc.), or one field digital fundus photography comprising 30° to 45° posterior pole. A research had confirmed that the traditional fundus digital photography has a low sensitivity (30.2%) in the telemedicine screening for CMVR. The missed CMVR lesions were more likely to be small and located in the peripheral retina. The author suggested that an improved accuracy would require a camera which is able to image the peripheral retina more easily [5].

The ultra-wide-field (UWF) fundus imaging systems: Optos® (Optos Carfornia®, Optos PLC, Dunfermline, United Kingdom) is a pioneer in UWF fundus imaging systems. Due to the advantages of wide field, non-mydriatic, convenient and time-saving operation, UWF was widely used and was designed to cover up to 200° (about 80%) of the retina in a single image, thereby making the image information more complete, and enhancing our understanding of peripheral retinal diseases[6].
This analysis aimed to observe the fundus change of HIV/AIDS patients via UWF fundus imaging systems, which might bring us new discoveries. Moreover, the consistency between the non-mydriatic UWF fundus imaging systems and mydriatic slit lamp biomicroscope was analyzed.

**Methods**

Approved by Ethics Committee of Beijing Youan Hospital, Capital Medical University (LL2018150K), this prospective observational study targeted 193 eyes of 98 consecutive HIV/AIDS patients from the ophthalmology department of Beijing Youan Hospital between October and December 2018.

Patients who were previously diagnosed with HIV infection or AIDS, with or without ocular symptoms were enrolled in this study. Patients should voluntarily accept these ocular examinations, including non-mydriatic UWF fundus photography, mydriasis medication and slit lamp biomicroscope with Superfield lens. Exclusion criteria: poor image quality; serious opacity of refractive media.

All the enrolled patients received routine ophthalmological examinations, such as visual acuity, intraocular pressure and slit lamp. The basic information (age and gender) and a recent blood CD4+T lymphocytes level were collected. A trained member (Dr. Du) took all fundus photographs during the study with Optos UWF fundus imaging systems under the natural state of pupil. After the shooting, all eyes had compound tropicamide eye drops every 5 minutes for a total of 3 times. After the pupils dilated, a seasoned retina specialist (Dr. Chen) subsequently checked each eye using indirect ophthalmoscopy with Superfield lens (Volk Optical, USA) but was not aware of the findings of the fundus photography. The type and location of fundus lesions were recorded independently to avoid potential sources of bias. The lesions were recorded at the posterior pole or peripheral part according to the position of vortexes vein. Patients with poor image quality
were excluded from the study. If a fundus disease involved posterior pole or peripheral part simultaneously, we classified it into the posterior/posterior involved lesions. If different fundus diseases appeared in the same eye, we recorded these fundus diseases separately. When the diagnoses of two approaches were inconsistent, another retina specialist (Dr. Xie) rechecked the same eye with indirect ophthalmoscopy combining UWF fundus photography or optical coherent tomography (OCT). All clinical information and blood test results were available for Dr. Du, Dr. Chen and Dr. Xie.

All the diagnoses in this study were based on fundus examination. CMVR was defined through fundus examination showing local or quadrantal retinal necrosis: cheese ketchup necrosis, granular appearance or frosted branch retinal angiitis. CMVR was divided into two categories as follows: (1) initial stage (when the focus is less than one disc diameter and observation was approximately less than one week after onset), (2) early stage (when the initial therapeutic dose of anti-CMV agents is used and the observation was approximately less than 2 to 4 weeks after onset)\(^7\). For HIV-related MVR, a participant was defined as having posterior or peripheral cotton wool spots, microaneurysms, telangiectasia, or retinal hemorrhages.

SPSS 25.0 software was adopted for statistical analysis. The results were recorded according to the presence or absence of the lesions detected by each method. The consistency Statistics (Kappa value) was performed to determine the agreement between two approaches. The kappa value was standardized to range from \(-1\) to \(1\) scale, where \(1\) is perfect agreement and \(0\) represents what would be expected by chance. Negative values indicate the potential disagreement between the observers. Positive values make sense. The larger the Kappa value is, the better the consistency is. The consistency statistics where kappa values were considered as: 1, perfect; 1.0–0.75, good; 0.75–0.40, moderate; \(\leq0.40\), poor. The differences were assessed in age and CD+4T lymphocyte
between some fundus lesions through Kruskal-Wallis test. Cross tabulation and the chi-
square test were applied to compare the rate of diseases with two screening methods. P
values <0.05 were regarded as statistically significant.

Results

Patient characteristics

193 eyes of 98 HIV/AIDS patients were confirmed eligible in our study, including 93 males
(94.9%) and 5 females (5.1%). UWF images of three eyes were excluded because of
serious vitreous opacity or cataract. The mean patient age was 37.40±10.58 years (range
21–76 yeas). The mean blood CD4+ T lymphocyte, which were available in 93 patients, was
167.22±237.72 cells/μl (range 2–1089 cells/μl). The blood CD4+T lymphocytes was less
than 200 cells/μl in 71 patients (72.45%), more than 200 cells/μl in 22 patients (22.45%),
and unclear in 5 patients (5.10%).

Fundus changes

Among the 98 HIV/AIDS patients, 33 patients (33.7%) were normal while 65 patients
(66.3%) had fundus changes. There were 93 eyes (48.2%) with normal fundus and 100
eyes (51.8%) with fundus changes. 20 eyes (20/193, 10.4%) manifested posterior MVR, 19
eys (19/193, 9.8%) presented peripheral MVR, 15 eyes (15/193, 7.8%) showed CMVR, and
51 eyes had other fundus changes (such as papilledema, peripheral lattice degeneration,
PORN, diabetic retinopathy, etc.) (Table 1). Some eyes may present two or more different
lesions simultaneously. In addition to the conventional changes, some new lesions were
discovered: peripheral MVR, refers to peripheral microaneurysms, telangiectasia, retinal
hemorrhages, but no cotton wool spots was found (Figure 1); initial stage CMVR, refers to
posterior or peripheral small granular lesions about 1–3 disc-diameter, which is similar to
granular CMVR (Figure 2). These similar retinal changes were divided into four groups:
Group 1: Posterior MVR; Group 2: Peripheral MVR; Group 3: Early stage CMVR; Group 4:
Initial stage CMVR. No significant difference was found in age nor CD4+T lymphocyte (P > 0.05) between those groups.

No adverse events were found during our study.

Consistency check

In general, these two approaches showed moderate consistency in the detection of isolated peripheral lesions (Kappa value = 0.445), and high consistency in the detection of posterior/posterior involved lesions (Kappa value = 0.831 > 0.75). The consistency of two approaches in detecting HIV-related microvascular retinopathy was moderate as well (Kappa value = 0.513), and it was completely consistent for CMV retinitis (Kappa value = 1.0 > 0.75) (Table 2).

Detection rate

The detection rate of UWF fundus imaging and slit lamp biomicroscope were 15.5% (30/193) and 17.6% (34/193) (P = 0.557 > 0.05) for HIV-related MVR, 7.8% (15/193) (P = 1.000 > 0.05) for CMVR, 37.3% (72/193) and 33.7% (65/193) (P = 0.118 > 0.05) for posterior/posterior involved lesions, 8.8% (17/193) and 17.6% (34/193) (P = 0.001 < 0.05) for isolated peripheral lesions, respectively (Table 3).

Discussion

Besides HIV-related MVR and CMVR, different fundus changes were found in HIV/AIDS patients. HIV-related MVR could be posterior or peripheral. These CMVRs in initial stage have not been placed much emphasis in clinic and rarely reported in literature. These findings yielded new insights for our future research to understand the significance and possible long-term consequences. It was also confirmed that the UWF fundus imaging system and Superfield lens showed good consistency and nondiscriminatory detection rate for CMVR, HIV-related MVR and posterior lesions, thereby laying a scientific basis to our future clinical work.
Non-contact Daytona UWF fundus imaging system in this study provides 200° range of retinal imaging, and brings great convenience for ophthalmic clinic. A large number of studies have been conducted on the observation of peripheral retinal conditions of fundus diseases through UWF laser scanning ophthalmoscopy[8], aiming to reduce the burden of ophthalmic outpatient service by combining with optical coherence tomography for virtual retinal outpatient service[9]. With UWF fundus imaging, we confirmed that the most common HIV-related fundus changes were HIV-related MVR, followed by CMVR, which met the results of another group’s study[3,10]. Other changes included HIV related/non-related lesions: optic disc edema, peripheral lattice degeneration, subretinal lesions, and PORN, etc. HIV-related MVR refers to non-infectious retinal vascular changes. The diagnosis was based on the findings of microaneurysms, telangiectasia, retinal hemorrhages, and cotton wool spots (CWS)[11]. One assumption regarding the pathogenesis of HIV/AIDS-related retinopathy is that HIV-1 can induce an inflammatory state in human retinal pigment epithelial (HRPE) cells, which results in impairment of HRPE barrier function[12]. The symptoms were not aroused by these lesions, and were usually discovered through routine fundus eye screening. The prevalence of HIV-related microvascular retinopathy was 2% in patients with CD4+T lymphocytes ≥ 200 cells/μl, 15% in patients with CD4+T lymphocytes < 200 cells/μl. The CD4+T lymphocytes was <200 cells/μl in 87% of patients with HIV-related microvascular retinopathy[10]. Traditionally, it is believed that HIV-related MVR lesions are located at the posterior pole. However, we found 2 types of HIV-related MVR with both UWF fundus imaging and traditional fundoscopy: Type 1: Cotton wool spots at the posterior pole, with slight retinal hemorrhage; Type 2: Peripheral retinal microangioma, telangiectasias or patchy superficial retinal hemorrhage. The prevalence of type 2 was similar to that of type 1 (19/193 VS 20/193). There was also no difference in
age or CT4+T lymphocyte level between these groups. The peripheral retinal vascular abnormalities are novel findings in HIV/AIDS patients. Like our awareness of the peripheral microvascular lesions in diabetic retinopathy through UWF fundus imaging\textsuperscript{[13-14]}, further studies are needed to understand the significance and possible long-term consequences of these findings.

As HIV-related MVR lesions are small and scattered, especially in some patients with thick nerve fiber layer around optic disc, the CWS is easy to be ignored in UWF fundus imaging. These lesions are displayed more clearly under high magnification of the slit lamp biomicroscope with Superfield lens. The detection method may also miss these small CWS lesions due to the narrow light band especially when patients were sensitive to light stimulation and could not cooperate with eye rotation. Although a small number of missing diagnosis of HIV-related MVR will not affect the treatment plan, it could affect the evaluation of patients’ condition, as some patients may further develop CMVR\textsuperscript{[15]}. Therefore, strict ophthalmic follow-up should be carried out for these patients clinically.

Although both examination methods have their limitations, the statistical results showed a moderate level of consistency and similar detection rate with no significant differences. For peripheral lesions, it brings challenges to both screening methods. Due to the distortion of peripheral retinal images, eyelid/eyelash shielding and the high reflection point from eyelashes in UWF fundus imaging system, it is difficult to obtain real peripheral microvascular lesions. We also found that the consistency of the two methods for isolated peripheral lesions was worse than that for the posterior retinopathy, with the Kappa value = 0.445 and 0.831, respectively. The detection rate for isolated peripheral lesions with UWF fundus imaging system was also significantly lower than slit lamp biomicroscope (8.8% vs 17.6%), indicating that mydriatic slit lamp biomicroscope with
Superfield lens or UWF fundus photograph combining different eye position should be recommended for peripheral fundus screening.

6 patients in group 4 was classified as initial stage CMVR. This lesion constitutes several well-defined white granules, about 1–3 disc-diameter. It is distinct in appearance from the early stage CMVR or MVR. In the optical coherence tomography, these white granular lesions showed concavity of the retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) toward the retinal pigment epithelium and destruction of all retinal layers vertically; while the cotton wool spot showed elevation of the RNFL and no destruction of the retinal structure. We divided it into an independent group and compared it with early stage CMVR group, posterior MVR group and peripheral MVR group in age and CD4+T lymphocyte level. However, no difference was found. More information should be collected from HIV/AIDS patients, such as the use of HAART, blood/aqueous CMV-DNA level and HIV viral load, which are also the limitations in this study.

The diagnosis of CMVR was based on clinical signs and symptoms. If not treated in time, the disease will turn to total retinal necrosis and retinal detachment, thereby leading to irreversible vision loss. The UWF Optomap imaging system captured greater areas of total retina and peripheral CMVR lesions than conventional fundus photography, and was more favored by patients because of the perceived time requirements and comfort of use. For CMVR patients without receiving HAART, it is recommended to begin HAART after the induction period of systemic anti-cytomegalovirus treatment, which is beneficial to reduce the possibility of immune reconstruction of uveitis. Intravitreal injection of antiviral drug is widely used: ganciclovir or foscarnet sodium (mostly adopted for drug-resistant strains). Therefore, it is necessary to conduct CMVR screening before starting HAART for high-risk patients (such as patients with low income level, long
distance to hospital, systemic symptoms, CMV-DNA positive blood, patients who have not received HAART treatment and CD4+T <200 cells/μl \textsuperscript{[10,15,19]}. CMVR screening can not only clarify the fundus condition, but also guide the treatment plan. In this study, we found that two common fundus screening methods for HIV/AIDS patients were completely consistent with the same detection rate. Because the reason is that CMVR has a wide range of lesions and obvious characteristics, and is not easy to be ignored in UWF fundus photograph nor Superfield lens. So, we can choose either method to provide these CMVR screening for HIV/AIDS patients. However, we were unable to carry out further research related to the peripheral MVR, or initial stage CMVR in this study as the aqueous humor, vitreous specimens or other important variable were not obtained from these patients. Although the machine operation and fundus examination in this study were conducted by seasoned ophthalmologists independently, there may be potential bias.

Conclusion

The HIV-related MVR can be posterior or peripheral. There are various fundus changes in HIV/AIDS patients, not only MVR or CMVR. The non-mydriatic UWF fundus imaging system and mydriatic slit lamp biomicroscope showed good consistency and nondiscriminatory detection rate for CMVR, HIV-related MVR and posterior lesions, but not for isolated peripheral lesions.
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Table 1: Classification of the fundus lesions

| Pathological type                | Affected eyes | Percentage |
|----------------------------------|---------------|------------|
| Posterior MVR                    | 20            | 10.4%      |
| Peripheral MVR                   | 19            | 9.8%       |
| Early stage CMVR                 | 15            | 7.8%       |
| Papilledema                      | 11            | 5.7%       |
| Peripheral lattice degeneration  | 10            | 5.2%       |
| DR                               | 6             | 3.1%       |
| Initial stage CMVR               | 6             | 3.1%       |
| Drusen                           | 4             | 2.1%       |
| PORN                             | 4             | 2.1%       |
| Old retinal lesions              | 4             | 2.1%       |
| Subretinal lesion                | 3             | 1.6%       |
| Subretinal hemorrhage            | 2             | 1.0%       |
| Tractional retinal detachment    | 1             | 0.5%       |
| Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment| 1             | 0.5%       |
| PVR                              | 1             | 0.5%       |
| Normal                           | 93            | 48.2%      |

Table 2: The consistency of UWF fundus imaging system and slit lamp biomicroscope

| Pathological type                                | Kappa value | Consistency |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Isolated peripheral lesions                      | 0.445       | Moderate    |
| Posterior/posterior involved lesions             | 0.831       | Good        |
| HIV-related microvascular retinopathy            | 0.513       | Moderate    |
| CMVR                                             | 1.0         | Perfect     |

Table 3: The detection rate of UWF fundus imaging and slit lamp biomicroscope
|                     | HIV-related MVR | CMVR | Posterior/posterior involved lesions | Isolated peripheral lesions |
|---------------------|----------------|------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| UWF                 | 15.5%          | 7.8% | 37.3%                               | 8.8%                        |
| slit lamp biomicroscopy | 17.6%       | 7.8% | 33.7%                               | 17.6%                       |
| χ² values           | 51.154         | 193.00 | 133.960                             | 44.492                      |
| P values            | 0.557          | 1.000 | 0.118                               | 0.001                       |

**Figures**
Figure 1

Ultra-wide-field fundus photograph of peripheral MVR with peripheral microaneurysms
Figure 2

Ultra-wide-field fundus photograph of peripheral MVR with peripheral microaneurysms