Microstructure and Tensile Properties of Fine-Grained Bulk Copper Fabricated by Thermomechanical Consolidation of Copper Nanopowder/Micron-Sized Powder Blend
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Samples of fine structured Cu were fabricated by spark plasma sintering (SPS) of compacts of Cu nanopowder/micron-sized powder blend with a ratio of 3:7 by weight, and one of the SPSed samples was further processed by hot extrusion. The microstructures of the as-SPSed and the as-extruded samples and the tensile properties and fracture behavior of the as-extruded sample were studied. It was found that the microstructures of the samples consist of a concoction of ultrafine and coarse grains with high dislocation densities (~ 10^15 m^-2) as a result of microstructural evolution during material processing. Some nanograins were oxidized to form Cu₂O particles residing around the coarse grains. Extrusion of the SPSed sample increases its microhardness from 70 HV to 90 HV. The electrical conductivity of the as-extruded sample reaches 87% international annealed copper standard (IACS), and its tensile properties are 200 MPa for yield strength, 218 MPa for ultimate tensile strength and 9% for elongation to fracture. The tensile test specimens from the as-extruded sample exhibit nearly ideal plastic deformation and undergo ductile fracture, suggesting that the fine-grained copper is a highly desirable material for high strength electrical conductors.
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1. Introduction

Over the past two decades, the fabrication of novel nanocrystalline (NC) (size ≤ 100 nm) and ultrafine-grained (UFG) (size ≤ 1000 nm) metallic materials have drawn close attention of the material research community, particularly focusing on the metals such as copper and nickel. For industrial applications of NC/UFG materials, it is important to study both the pragmatic fabrication routes and the plausible mechanical performances of as-fabricated samples. Among the metals, pure copper (Cu) has spurred a special attention for its potential applications in electronic and electrical engineering industries.

The strength of UFG/NC Cu is two or threefold greater than that of their coarse grains counterparts due to the high density of grain boundaries (GBs) to strengthen them through boundary hardening. Recently, it has been reported that the tensile ductility is accompanied (due to early strain accumulation) by diminished strain hardening capacity of such materials during plastic deformation. However, in order to enhance the tensile ductility without compromising strength, various microstructure development strategies such as bimodal (coarse grain in NC/UFG matrix) microstructure, gradients (nano-grain and twins) microstructure, heterogeneous (nano grains and coarse grain) microstructure and nanocomposite (second phase nanoparticles in a NC/UFG Cu matrix) microstructure have been extensively studied. All of these strategies belong to either top-down approaches including equal channel angular pressing and rolling, automotive roll bonding and high-pressure torsion or bottom-up approaches such as thermomechanical consolidation of NC/UFG powder into bulk materials.

Among the various methods, fabrication of materials with bimodal microstructure by bottom-up approach is one of the prominent routes in which the UFG/NC grains exhibit enhanced tensile strength. Moreover, the coarse grains in the microstructure provide the strain hardening capacity to prolonging the ductility by maintaining the stable tensile
deformation. Additionally, in heterogeneous and composite microstructure\textsuperscript{15}, the strengthening mechanism such as grain boundary retardation by nanoparticle pinning (Zener pinning effect)\textsuperscript{22} and nanoparticle strengthening (Orowan’s mechanism)\textsuperscript{23} would come into an effect to drag the grain boundaries to ensure a slower grain growth rate. As a consequence, the materials retain a higher fraction of fine grains and improve their mechanical strength\textsuperscript{23-24}.

In the present work, fine grained bulk copper samples were fabricated from a mixture of copper nanopowder and coarse grained and micrometer sized copper powder with a weight ratio of 30:70 and using a powder metallurgy (PM) route combining powder blending, spark plasma sintering (SPS) and hot extrusion (HE). The microstructures and microhardness of the as-SPSed and as-extruded samples and the tensile properties and fracture behavior of the as-extruded samples were studied to elucidate microstructural evolution during processing and establish a correlation between the microstructure and mechanical properties of the fine-grained PM copper.

2. Experimental Procedure

An electrolytic commercial micrometer sized copper powder and a copper nanopowder were purchased from Shanghai ST-Nano Science and Technology Co. Ltd. The purity level, particle size and weight composition of the powders are listed in Table 1. A mixture of the copper nanopowder and micrometer sized powder with a weight ratio of 30:70 was milled without using a process control agent (PCA) for 6 hours in a QM-3SP4 planetary ball mill (Nanjing Nanda instrument Ltd.) with a speed of 200 rpm. While milling, 5 mm diameter hardened stainless steel balls and a ball to powder weight ratio of 5:1 were used. The milled powder was then spark plasma sintered by using a HP D 25/4 furnace (FCT Systeme, Germany) in vacuum at temperature of 550 °C and compaction pressure of 50 MPa with holding time of 5 min. The heating rate was 100 °C/min.

One of the as-SPSed samples was further processed by hot extrusion (HE) using a self-built multifunction thermomechanical powder consolidation equipment which combines a 200-ton hydraulic press (Huade Press Ltd, China), an induction heating equipment (Bamake Ltd, China) and a self-built glovebox for argon inert atmosphere protection. For the extrusion experiment, the SPSed sample was heated to 650 °C at an average heating rate of 100 °C/min, held for 2 min. and then extruded with an extrusion ratio of 9:1 to produce a cylindrical rod. The heating, holding, extrusion and cooling were performed under an argon inert atmosphere with an oxygen content of less than 200 ppm.

The density of both as-SPSed and as-extruded samples was measured based on the Archimedes principle by using an automatic ET-320 densimeter. An X-ray diffractometer (XRD) (Rigaku Smart Lab) with Cu-Kα radiation was employed for phase and crystallographic analysis. A step size of 0.02° and scanning speed of 5°/min was maintained during XRD analysis. The microstructures of the samples were examined using optical microscopy (Axio lab A1, Zeiss), scanning electron microscopy (SEM, JSM-6510A) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEM-2100F). The TEM specimens were prepared by double jet polishing methods using 30% HNO\textsubscript{3} in methanol. For energy back scattered diffraction (EBSD) analysis, a metallographic specimen from the as-extruded sample was prepared and polished using an electropolishing technique. Vickers microhardness measurements were performed on the polished surfaces of the metallography specimens at least ten times for each specimen, and the data were averaged to calculate the mean microhardness value. A load of 300 grams, indenting distance 0.2 mm and dwell time of 10 s were fixed during microhardness measurements. Dog-bone shaped tensile test specimens with a regular cross-section of 2×3 mm\textsuperscript{2} and gauge length of 15 mm were cut from the as-extruded sample, and the tensile tests were performed at 5×10\textsuperscript{-4} strain/s. Four specimens were tested, and the mean value was calculated. Before tensile testing, the electrical resistance of the tensile test specimens was measured using a DC resistance meter (TH2525) based on four-probe methods. Linear fitting of the measured electrical resistance values with corresponding lengths was employed to minimize the effects of contact resistance and measured lengths on the measurement accuracy. The fracture surfaces of the tensile tested specimens were examined using SEM to analyze the fracture behavior of the as-extruded sample.

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Phase analysis by XRD

Figure 1 is the indexed XRD patterns (in logarithmical scale) of the as- spark plasma sintered and as-extruded compact which showed \{111\} \{200\} and \{220\} peaks of face-centered cubic (FCC) Cu. Pseudo-Voigt fit at full width half maximum (FWHM) and Williamson-Hall equation\textsuperscript{25} resulted in 1.17×10\textsuperscript{15} m\textsuperscript{2} and 2.1×10\textsuperscript{15} m\textsuperscript{2} dislocation density \((\rho = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{Db} \text{ cm}^2)\) for the as-SPSed and the as-hot extruded compact respectively. These results are higher than that of reported results of pure copper fabricated by equal channel angular rolling (~10\textsuperscript{14} m\textsuperscript{2})\textsuperscript{16} and copper matrix composite (~10\textsuperscript{13} m\textsuperscript{2})\textsuperscript{12} prepared by powder metallurgy. The high dislocation densities are predominantly attributed to the processing parameter selected in fabricating the compacts. Additional crystallographic parameters are shown in Table 2. The low lattice strain and high level of dislocation density of the hot extruded sample, as shown in Table 2, suggests that the crystalline lattices are remarkably stressed and corroborated with small crystallite size.

| Ingredient                  | Purity (%) | Particle sizes | Weight percentage (%) |
|-----------------------------|------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| Cu nano-powder              | 99.9       | <100 nm        | 30                    |
| Cu electrolytical powder     | 99.9       | ~200 mesh (< 75 µm) | 70                    |

Table 1. Purity level, particle size and weight composition of precursor powder materials.
Table 2. Crystallographic parameters of as-SPSed and as-extruded copper samples from XRD analysis.

| Samples    | Mean Crystallite size (nm) | Lattice strain (%) | Dislocation density (m\(^{-2}\)) |
|------------|---------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| As-SPSed   | 436                       | 0.038              | 1.17×10\(^{-15}\)               |
| As-extruded| 118                       | 0.022              | 2.1×10\(^{-15}\)                |

The XRD plots in logarithmical scale show that the as-SPSed sample presents the minor peaks of impurity, while the as-extruded sample demonstrates some minor peaks of Cuprite as shown in Figure 1. This suggests that hot extrusion enhances oxidation of Cu to Cu\(_2\)O which might be due to the process and parameters used. Though, the result is consistent to the observed microstructure and EDS results below. Although, Tenorite (CuO) – second oxide of Cu is probable oxide impurities, the XRD patterns do not detect Tenorite even in log scale plots. This is because its existence on Cu matrix according to enthalpy versus temperature diagram is unstable and an ultimate result is the formation of Cuprite following the oxide-reduction anti-dissmutation reaction (Cu + CuO \(\rightarrow\) Cu\(_2\)O)\(^2\). Such type of reaction is also observed in annealed copper powder at 750°C under H\(_2\)\(^2\). Being similar intensity ratios of 2.17 and 2.30 for \(\{111\}/\{200\}\) and \(\{200\}/\{220\}\) peaks in both samples showed absence of shear bands in microstructure. Additionally, the as-extruded sample consists of 4.5 wt% (6.5 vol%, %) of Cu\(_2\)O particles with the balance being Cu matrix, as calculated from the XRD result. The crystallite size of Cu\(_2\)O particles was calculated to be ~40 nm from its first two peaks and using the Scherrer’s equation\(^{29}\):

\[
D = \frac{0.916\lambda}{\beta_{hlk}\cos\Theta_{hlk}}
\]

Where \(D\) is the average size of the crystallite, \(\lambda\) is the wavelength of the X-ray beam (\(\lambda\)\(_{CuK\alpha} = 0.154184\) nm), \(\Theta_{hlk}\) is Bragg’s angle and \(\beta_{hlk}\) is the widening of the peak of diffraction (in radians), which can be determined by measuring the full width at the half maximum (FWHM) from the main reflections (main \(hkl\)) for each phase.

3.2. Density and electrical conductivity

The average density of as-SPSed and as-extruded samples were found to be 8.35 g/cm\(^3\) and 8.21 g/cm\(^3\) respectively (see Figure 2). The results seemed quite lower than that of theoretical density (8.96 g/cm\(^3\)) of pure copper which is partly attributed to micropores. Additionally, the light Cu\(_2\)O with a density of 6 g/cm\(^3\) in microstructure is responsible to achieve slightly lower density results. The reason for the slightly lower density of the hot extruded compacts is the cubical expansion of entrapped gases inside the pores and the increased contents of lighter Cu oxides. The electrical conductivity measurement of the as hot extruded sample showed 87% of IACS which is the resemblance to the result of pure copper compacts (~88% IACS) prepared by selective laser melting (SLM)\(^{30}\) and higher than Cu-NbC composite alloy (70-85% IACS) prepared by powder metallurgy\(^{31}\). The lower results of electrical conductivity are attributed to Cu\(_2\)O which is a P-type semiconductor with the bulk direct band gap in the range of 2 – 2.17 eV\(^{28,31}\) and plenty of dislocations to scattering the conductive electrons.

3.3. Microstructure analysis

Figures 3(a) and (b) show the light microscopy images of the as-SPSed and as-extruded copper samples respectively. Figure 3(a) demonstrated that the microstructure of as SPSed sample consists of a mixture of coarse grains and ultrafine grains. A few of them are very large, disoriented with oval-shaped structure suggesting that the coarse grains do not prefer to grow in a fixed direction. The large coarse grain has length up to 35 µm along the longitudes. These large coarse grains provide bounty of space for dislocations activities in tension. For balanced mechanical performances, the coarse grain offers enormous space for dislocations to scattering the conductive electrons.

![Figure 1. XRD profiles (in logarithmical scale) of as-SPSed and as-extruded samples.](image1.png)

![Figure 2. The density and the electrical conductivity of the compacts.](image2.png)
EBSD technique is used to analyze further microstructure of the as-extruded sample.

Figures 4(a) and (b) show the SEM backscattered electron micrographs (BEI) of the as-SPSed and as-extruded samples, respectively. As shown in Figure 4(a), the thick grain boundaries in the as-SPSed samples might be due to oxidized nanograins at the boundaries between the coarse grains in line to the OM observation. This may also be the effect of grain boundary on the oxidation behavior of Cu. Of course, the grain boundaries are more open space than

Figure 3. Light microscopy micrographs (a) as-SPSed and (b) as-extruded samples respectively.

Figure 4. (a) and (b) BEI images of the as-SPSed and as-extruded samples, (c) EBSD inverse pole figure (IPF) contrast map and (d) the grain size distribution of as-extruded sample.
the matrix and may become easier to be oxidized. Though, the in-depth role of grain boundary on the oxidation of copper is in reference [32] which suggests that the activation energy beyond 100 kJ/mol is correlated to vacancy mediated diffusion of copper atoms leading to boundary oxidations. As could be seen in Figure 4(b), the grain boundaries of the as-extruded sample are still unclear. However, the matrix microstructure is deformed along the extrusion direction as shown by the arrow in the micrograph. Additionally, the harder brittle oxides elongated and some of them split up due to mechanical stress during extrusion. Also, some micropores were observed in the SEI images (not shown). It is previously claimed that well homogenized powder is sintered reasonably leading to low percentage of micropores around the powder particle junctions [33]. The EDS test results of the as-hot extruded sample demonstrate that the oxygen content of the as-extruded sample is in agreement with the XRD results. From the EDS analysis results, the black regions and Cu matrix contain 8.8 wt% (27.59 at%) and 0.06 wt% (0.26 at%) of oxygen respectively.

The EBSD coloring micrograph of the as-extruded sample is shown in Figure 4(c) which demonstrates the elongated matrix grains along the extrusion direction in consistent result of BEI micrographs. Additionally, the grain boundaries of coarse grains are not smooth and some fine grains are trapped around these curved boundaries. Moreover, some fine grains which look like recrystallized grains are distributed at the grain triple junctions. Interestingly, few fine grains are seen inside the coarse grains as well. Figure 4(d) shows the grain size distribution with mean size of 1.40 μm. Furthermore, most of the grains have sizes below 1 μm and some are in UFG regime. In addition, the coarse grains varied from 1 to 11 μm with mean size of 1.67 μm and ultrafine grains varied from 350 to 1000 nm with mean size of 303 nm respectively. Very few counts of grains have large sizes, indicating that significant grain growth is suppressed during extrusion.

It is already claimed that the low stacking fault materials such as copper undergo partial recrystallization leading to bimodal microstructure due to heat in severe deformation [34]. In contrast, the UFG grains are due to the growth of unoxidized nano grains. However, grain growth could be controlled by adjusting a fast heating rate that would facilitate surface diffusion instead of mass transport and a short holding period for limiting growth time [35]. Moreover, grain boundary dragging by second phase, impurities and solute (Kinetic approach) and particle segregating from the boundaries (thermodynamic approach) are additional strategies to control growth [36]. Thus, Cu2O particles at the grain boundaries might drag the boundary migration of some nano grains in growth process and rendered them in ultrafine regime as shown in grain size distribution graph.

The TEM micrographs in Figure 5 showed some dislocations (Figure 5(a)) in the SPSed sample which is consistent with XRD result, and the microstructure of as-extruded sample has several nanograins (Figure 5(b)) as shown in arrowhead. This is consistent to the pure commercial copper compact prepared by accumulative roll bonding [30].

3.4. Mechanical properties and fracture behavior

The representatives tensile engineering stress–strain curves of four tested specimens cut from the as-extruded sample are shown in Figure 6(a). They show that the material exhibits nearly ideal plastic flow behaviors similar to the material flow behavior demonstrated by NC/UFG copper previously reported [37]. The steady plastic flow of the materials might be due to dynamic balance between dislocation generation in the deformation process and dislocation annihilation during tensile testing. Additionally, the sample exhibits rapid strain hardening in the initial stage of plastic deformation after
yielding which can be attributed to the high initial dislocation
density\textsuperscript{38} similar to other metallic materials fabricated by
severe plastic deformation and ball milling\textsuperscript{39}. The measured
average yield strength (200 MPa) and ultimate tensile
strength (218 MPa) are higher than those (YS = 78 \textendash 87 MPa,
UTS = 158 \textendash 177 MPa) of coarse grained copper fabricated
by selective electron beam melting (SEBM)\textsuperscript{40}. The difference
between the YS and UTS of the as-extruded Cu sample is
due to the UFG grains in the microstructure of as-extruded
Cu sample. However, the observed elongation to fracture
of the extruded Cu sample (~ 9%) is lower than that of
SEBMed Cu sample (~ 14%).

The Vickers microhardness of both as-SPSed and as-
HEed compacts are shown in Figure 6(b) with an average
result of 70 ± 2 HV and 90 ± 2 HV, respectively. Both samples
exhibit approximately 48\% and 90\% more microhardness
than coarse grained Cu sample annealed at 600 °C for 2 hours
(47.3 HV)\textsuperscript{14} and the Cu sample pure copper processed via
SEBM (46 \textendash 48 HV)\textsuperscript{40}. In addition, the microhardness of the
as-SPSed sample is similar to the microhardness of UFG Cu
annealed at 673 K for 3 hours (69 HV), while the microhardness
of the as-extruded sample is clearly higher than that UFG-Cu
prepared by ECAP followed by annealing at 573 K for 10 hours
(83.4 HV)\textsuperscript{14}. The consistent and repeatable microhardness
results as could be seen in Figure 6(b) demonstrated the
microstructural isotropy along the surface. The enhanced
microhardness of the as-extruded sample as compared with
that of as-SPSed sample is apparently colligated with the
change in microstructural features.

The strengthening of as-extruded sample is attributed by
grain boundary hardening and dislocation strengthening. The
grain boundary hardening can be ascribed by the well-known
Hall–Petch equation $\Delta \sigma = K_{HP} D^{-1/2}$, where $\sigma$ is the yield
stress and $K_{HP} = 0.11 \text{ MPam}^{-1/2}$ material constant. The calculated
grain boundary strengthening contribution to the strength
is ~ 93 MPa. The dislocations strengthening contribution is

![Figure 6.](image)

**Figure 6.** (a) Tensile stress-strain curves of as-extruded sample and (b) Vickers microhardness profile over 02 mm surface distances.

![Figure 7.](image)

**Figure 7.** Fracture morphology of as-extruded sample (a) at lower magnification, (b) at higher magnification.
described by Taylor’s equation \( \Delta \sigma_{\text{isc}} = M^{\alpha} G b^{\rho} 0.5^{41} \), where Taylor’s (M) = 3.06, strength coefficient of dislocation (\( G^{\delta} \)) = 0.2, shear modulus (G) = 47.7 MPa and Burger’s vector (B) = 0.256 nm and the calculated results ~ 109 MPa. For pure Cu, the material contribution (\( \sigma_0 \)) is quite small and is the order of 5 MPa. Therefore, the strength is described by the sum of individual contributors as: \( \sigma = \sigma_0 + \Delta \sigma_{\text{isc}} + \Delta \sigma_{\text{ph}} \). The calculated result is 207 MPa slightly more than the experimental result (~ 200 MPa).

The SEM micrographs of fractured surfaces of the tensile tested specimens cut from the as-extruded samples are shown in Figure 7. The fracture morphology consists of dimples of sizes varying from small to large and shapes varying from round and shallow to irregular and deep. The dimples are interconnected by soft ductile ligaments indicating ductile fracture. The observed fracture surface is partly resembling to the fracture morphology of UFG copper with a bimodal microstructure. It could also be seen in Figure 7(b) that the localized thick, deep and round dimples suggested the appreciable local plastic retained in the materials. The tortuous crack propagation (not shown) is, in contrast, to crack profile as demonstrated by pure ultrafine grained copper, low density nano twin copper and high density nano twin copper\(^{42}\). The tortuosity in the crack propagation is attributed to bimodal microstructure in which large grains appearing on crack path leads to crack ridges\(^{43}\). Moreover, the brittle cuprate facilitated to achieve short stable intercrystalline crack propagation in between crack ridges.

4. Conclusions

Fine grained bulk copper samples with grain sizes ranging from 0.3 to 11.95 μm, were successfully fabricated by SPS of compacts of Cu nanopowder/micron-sized powder blend with a weight ratio of 30:70 followed by hot extrusion of the SPSed sample. Trivial oxidation of Cu nanograins occurs during hot extrusion, leading to the formation of Cu₂O particles around Cu coarse grains. The microstructural changes of the sample during extrusion cause a significant increase of its microhardness from 70 to 90 HV. The as-extruded Cu sample has a large range of grain sizes. The as-extruded sample also has a high electrical conductivity (87% IACS), and demonstrates ideal plastic deformation and ductile fracture behaviors which are highly desirable for applications requiring high electrical conductivity and good mechanical performance.
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