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1. Introduction

In 1995, Murali Krishna Rao [16, 17] introduced the notion of a $\Gamma$–semiring as a generalization of $\Gamma$–ring, ring, ternary semiring and semiring. As a generalization of ring, the notion of a $\Gamma$–ring was introduced by Nobusawa [22] in 1964. As a generalization of ring, semiring was introduced by Vandiver [25] in 1934. In 1981, Sen [24] introduced the notion of $\Gamma$–semigroup as a generalization of semigroup. The notion of ternary algebraic system was introduced by Lehmer [12] in 1932. Lister [13] introduced ternary ring. The set of all negative integers $\mathbb{Z}$ is not a semiring with respect to usual addition and multiplication but $\mathbb{Z}$ forms a $\Gamma$–semiring where $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$. The important reason for the development of $\Gamma$–semiring is a generalization of results of rings, $\Gamma$–rings, semirings, semigroups and ternary semirings. Murali Krishna Rao and Venkateswarlu [21] introduced the notion of $\Gamma$–incline and field $\Gamma$–semiring and studied properties of regular $\Gamma$–incline and field $\Gamma$–semiring.

The theory of fuzzy sets is the most appropriate theory for dealing with uncertainty was first introduced by Zadeh [26]. Rosenfeld [23] introduced fuzzy group. There are many extensions of fuzzy sets, for example, intuitionistic fuzzy sets, interval valued fuzzy sets, vague sets, bipolar fuzzy sets, cubic sets etc. Molodtsov [15] introduced the concept of soft set theory as a new mathematical tool for dealing with uncertainties. Feng et al. [5] initiated the study of soft semirings. Soft rings are defined by Acar et al. [1] and Ghosh et al. [6] who initiated the study of fuzzy soft rings and fuzzy soft ideals. In 2001, Maji et al. [14] combined the concept of
fuzzy set theory which was introduced by Zadeh [26] in 1965 and the notion of soft set theory which was introduced by Molodstov [15] in 1999. Aktas and Cagman [2] introduced the concept of fuzzy subgroup, soft sets and soft groups which was extended by Aygunoglu and Aygun [4]. Murali Krishna Rao [18] studied fuzzy soft $\Gamma$-semiring homomorphism, fuzzy soft $\Gamma$-semiring and fuzzy soft $k$-ideal over $\Gamma$-semiring. In 2000, Lee [10, 11] used the term bipolar valued fuzzy sets and applied it to algebraic structure. Bipolar fuzzy sets are an extension of fuzzy sets whose membership degree range is $[-1, 1]$. In 1994, Zhang [27] initiated the concept bipolar fuzzy sets as a generalization of fuzzy sets. Jun et al. [7, 8] introduced the notion of bipolar fuzzy ideals and bipolar fuzzy filters in CI-algebras. The ideals of “an intuitionistic fuzzy set” was first introduced by Atanassor [3] as a generalization of notion of fuzzy set. Murali Krishna Rao [19] introduced the notion of tripolar fuzzy set to be able to deal with tripolar information as a generalization of fuzzy set, bipolar fuzzy set and intuitionistic fuzzy set and introduced the notion of tripolar fuzzy ideals and tripolar fuzzy interior ideals of $\Gamma$-semigroup. In this paper, we have introduced the notion of tripolar fuzzy soft ideals and interior ideals over $\Gamma$-semiring. We have studied some of their algebraic properties and the relations between them.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some definitions introduced by the pioneers in this field earlier.

Definition 2.1. Let $(M, +)$ and $(\Gamma, +)$ be commutative semigroups. Then we call $M$ as a $\Gamma$-semiring, if there exists a mapping $M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$ is written $(x, \alpha, y)$ as $x \alpha y$ such that it satisfies the following axioms:

(i) $x \alpha (y + z) = x \alpha y + x \alpha z$
(ii) $(x + y) \alpha z = x \alpha z + y \alpha z$
(iii) $x(\alpha + \beta) y = x \alpha y + x \beta y$
(iv) $x \alpha (y \beta z) = (x \alpha y) \beta z$, for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Every semiring $R$ is a $\Gamma$-semiring with $\Gamma = R$ and ternary operation $x \gamma y$ as the usual semiring multiplication.

Example 2.1. Let $M$ be a a set of all rational numbers and $\Gamma$ be a set of all natural numbers are commutative semigroups with respect to usual addition. Define the mapping $M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$ by $a \circ b$ as usual multiplication, for all $a, b \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$. Then $M$ is a $\Gamma$-semiring.

Definition 2.2. A $\Gamma$-semiring $M$ is said to have zero element if there exists an element $0 \in M$ such that $0 + x = x = x + 0$ and $0 \alpha x = x \alpha 0 = 0$, for all $x \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$. 
Definition 2.3. Let $M$ be a $\Gamma$–semiring. An element $1 \in M$ is said to be unity if for each $x \in M$ there exists $\alpha \in \Gamma$ such that $x\alpha 1 = 1\alpha x = x$.

Definition 2.4. A $\Gamma$–semiring $M$ is said to be commutative $\Gamma$–semiring if $x\alpha y = y\alpha x$, for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Definition 2.5. Let $M$ be a $\Gamma$–semiring. An element $a \in M$ is said to be regular $\Gamma$–semiring if there exist $x \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ such that $a = ax\beta a$.

Definition 2.6. Let $M$ be a $\Gamma$–semiring. If every element of $M$ is a regular then $M$ is said to be regular $\Gamma$–semiring.

Definition 2.7. A non-empty subset $A$ of $\Gamma$–semiring $M$ is called

(i) a $\Gamma$–subsemiring of $M$ if $(A, +)$ is a subsemigroup of $(M, +)$ and $A\Gamma A \subseteq A$.
(ii) a quasi ideal of $M$ if $A$ is a $\Gamma$–subsemiring of $M$ and $A\Gamma M \cap M\Gamma A \subseteq A$.
(iii) a bi-ideal of $M$ if $A$ is a $\Gamma$–subsemiring of $M$ and $A\Gamma M\Gamma A \subseteq A$.
(iv) an interior ideal of $M$ if $A$ is a $\Gamma$–subsemiring of $M$ and $\Gamma A\Gamma M \subseteq A$.
(v) a left (right) ideal of $M$ if $A$ is a $\Gamma$–subsemiring of $M$ and $M\Gamma A \subseteq A(\Gamma M \subseteq A)$.
(vi) an ideal if $A$ is a $\Gamma$–subsemiring of $M, A\Gamma M \subseteq A$ and $M\Gamma A \subseteq A$.
(vii) a $k$–ideal if $A$ is a $\Gamma$–subsemiring of $M, A\Gamma M \subseteq A, M\Gamma A \subseteq A$ and $x \in M, x + y \in A, y \in A$ then $x \in A$.

Definition 2.8. A tripolar fuzzy set $A$ in a universe set $X$ is an object having the form $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \lambda_A(x), \delta_A(x)) \mid x \in X \text{ and } 0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \lambda_A(x) + \delta_A(x) \leq 1\}$, where $\mu_A : X \to [0, 1]; \lambda_A : X \to [0, 1]; \delta_A : X \to [-1, 0]$ such that $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \lambda_A(x) \leq 1$. The membership degree $\mu_A(x)$ characterizes the extent that the element $x$ satisfies to the property corresponding to tripolar fuzzy set $A$, $\lambda_A(x)$ characterizes the extent that the element $x$ satisfies to the not property (irrelevant) corresponding to tripolar fuzzy set $A$ and $\delta_A(x)$ characterizes the extent that the element $x$ satisfies to the implicit counter property of tripolar fuzzy set $A$. For simplicity $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A, \delta_A)$ has been used for $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \lambda_A(x), \delta_A(x)) \mid x \in X, 0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \lambda_A(x) \leq 1\}$.

Remark 2.1. A tripolar fuzzy set $A$ is a generalization of a bipolar fuzzy set and an intuitionistic fuzzy set. A tripolar fuzzy set $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \lambda_A(x), \delta_A(x)) \mid x \in X \}$ represents the sweet taste of food stuffs. Assuming the sweet taste of food stuff as a positive membership value $\mu_A(x)$, i.e. the element $x$ is satisfying the sweet property. Then bitter taste of food stuff as a negative membership value $\delta_A(x)$, i.e. the element $x$ is satisfying the bitter property, and the remaining tastes of food stuffs like acidic, chilly etc., as a non memberships value $\lambda_A(x)$, i.e., the element is satisfying irrelevant to the sweet property.
**Definition 2.9.** A tripolar fuzzy set $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A, \delta_A)$ of a $\Gamma$-semiring $M$ is called a tripolar fuzzy $\Gamma$-subsemiring of $M$ if $A$ satisfies the following conditions.

(i) $\mu_A(x + y) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}$

(ii) $\lambda_A(x + y) \leq \max\{\lambda_A(x), \lambda_A(y)\}$

(iii) $\delta_A(x + y) \leq \max\{\delta_A(x), \delta_A(y)\}$

(iv) $\mu_A(x \cdot y) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}$

(v) $\lambda_A(x \cdot y) \leq \max\{\lambda_A(x), \lambda_A(y)\}$

(vi) $\delta_A(x \cdot y) \leq \max\{\delta_A(x), \delta_A(y)\}$, for all $x, y, z \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$.

**Definition 2.10.** A tripolar fuzzy $\Gamma$-subsemiring $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A, \delta_A)$ of a $\Gamma$-semiring $M$ is called a tripolar fuzzy ideal of $M$ if $A$ satisfies the following conditions.

(i) $\mu_A(x \cdot y) \geq \max\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}$

(ii) $\lambda_A(x \cdot y) \leq \min\{\lambda_A(x), \lambda_A(y)\}$

(iii) $\delta_A(x \cdot y) \leq \min\{\delta_A(x), \delta_A(y)\}$, for all $x, y, z \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$.

**Definition 2.11.** A tripolar fuzzy $\Gamma$-subsemiring $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A, \delta_A)$ of a $\Gamma$-semiring $M$ is called a tripolar fuzzy interior ideal of $M$ if $A$ satisfies the following conditions.

(i) $\mu_A(x \cdot z \cdot y) \geq \mu_A(z)$

(ii) $\lambda_A(x \cdot z \cdot y) \leq \lambda_A(z)$

(iii) $\delta_A(x \cdot z \cdot y) \leq \delta_A(z)$, for all $x, y, z \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

**Definition 2.12.** Let $(f, A), (g, B)$ be fuzzy soft sets over a $\Gamma$-semiring $M$. The intersection of fuzzy soft sets $(f, A)$ and $(g, B)$ is denoted by $(f, A) \cap (g, B) = (h, C)$ where $C = A \cup B$ is defined as

$$h_c = \begin{cases} 
  f_c, & \text{if } c \in A \setminus B; \\
  g_c, & \text{if } c \in B \setminus A; \\
  f_c \cap g_c, & \text{if } c \in A \cap B.
\end{cases}$$

for all $c \in A \cup B$ and $x \in M$.

**Definition 2.13.** Let $(f, A), (g, B)$ be fuzzy soft sets over a $\Gamma$-semiring $MS$. The union of fuzzy soft sets $(f, A)$ and $(g, B)$ is denoted by $(f, A) \cup (g, B) = (h, C)$ where $C = A \cup B$ is defined as

$$h_c = \begin{cases} 
  f_c, & \text{if } c \in A \setminus B; \\
  g_c, & \text{if } c \in B \setminus A; \\
  f_c \cup g_c, & \text{if } c \in A \cap B.
\end{cases}$$

for all $c \in A \cup B$ and $x \in M$. 


Definition 2.14. Let \((f, A), (g, B)\) be fuzzy soft sets over a \(\Gamma\)-semiring \(M\).

\(\text{“}(f, A)\text{ and } (g, B)\text{”}\) is denoted by \(\text{“}(f, A) \land (g, B)\text{”}\) is defined by \(\text{“}(f, A) \land (g, B) = (h, C)\text{”}\), where \(C = A \times B\).

\(h_c(x) = \min \{f_a(x), g_b(x)\}\) for all \(c = (a, b) \in A \times B\) and \(x \in M\).

Definition 2.15. Let \((f, A), (g, B)\) be fuzzy soft sets over an ordered \(\Gamma\)-semiring \(M\).

\(\text{“}(f, A) \lor (g, B)\text{”}\) is denoted by \(\text{“}(f, A) \lor (g, B)\text{”}\) is defined by \(\text{“}(f, A) \lor (g, B) = (h, C)\text{”}\), where \(C = A \times B\) and \(h_c(x) = \max \{f_a(x), g_b(x)\}\), for all \(c = (a, b) \in A \times B\), \(x \in U\).

3. TRIPOLAR FUZZY SOFT IDEALS OVER \(\Gamma\)-SEMIRING

In this section, we introduce the notion of tripolar fuzzy sets to be able to deal with tripolar information as a generalization of fuzzy sets, bipolar fuzzy set and intuitionistic fuzzy sets. We introduce the notion of tripolar fuzzy soft ideals and interior ideals over \(\Gamma\)-semiring.

Definition 3.1. A tripolar fuzzy soft set \((f, A)\) over \(\Gamma\)-semiring \(M\) is called a tripolar fuzzy soft \(\Gamma\)-semiring over \(M\) if \(f(a) = \{\mu_f(a)(x), \lambda_f(a)(x), \delta_f(a)(x) \mid x \in M, a \in A\}\), where \(\mu_f(a)(x) : M \rightarrow [0, 1]; \lambda_f(a)(x) : M \rightarrow [0, 1]; \delta_f(a)(x) : M \rightarrow [-1, 0]\) such that \(0 \leq \mu_f(a)(x) + \lambda_f(a)(x) \leq 1\) and for all \(x \in M\) satisfying the following conditions

(i) \(\mu_f(a)(x + y) \geq \min\{\mu_f(a)(x), \mu_f(a)(y)\}\)
(ii) \(\lambda_f(a)(x + y) \leq \max\{\lambda_f(a)(x), \lambda_f(a)(y)\}\)
(iii) \(\delta_f(a)(x + y) \leq \max\{\delta_f(a)(x), \delta_f(a)(y)\}\)
(iv) \(\mu_f(a)(x \alpha y) \geq \min\{\mu_f(a)(x), \mu_f(a)(y)\}\)
(v) \(\lambda_f(a)(x \alpha y) \leq \max\{\lambda_f(a)(x), \lambda_f(a)(y)\}\)
(vi) \(\delta_f(a)(x \alpha y) \leq \max\{\delta_f(a)(x), \delta_f(a)(y)\}\), for all \(x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma\) and \(a \in A\).

Definition 3.2. A tripolar fuzzy soft set \((f, A)\) over a \(\Gamma\)-semiring \(M\) is called a tripolar fuzzy soft ideal over \(M\) if

(i) \(\mu_f(a)(x + y) \geq \min\{\mu_f(a)(x), \mu_f(a)(y)\}\)
(ii) \(\lambda_f(a)(x + y) \leq \max\{\lambda_f(a)(x), \lambda_f(a)(y)\}\)
(iii) \(\delta_f(a)(x + y) \leq \max\{\delta_f(a)(x), \delta_f(a)(y)\}\)
(iv) \(\mu_f(a)(x \alpha y) \geq \min\{\mu_f(a)(x), \mu_f(a)(y)\}\)
(v) \(\lambda_f(a)(x \alpha y) \leq \max\{\lambda_f(a)(x), \lambda_f(a)(y)\}\)
(vi) \(\delta_f(a)(x \alpha y) \leq \min\{\delta_f(a)(x), \delta_f(a)(y)\}\), for all \(x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma\) and \(a \in A\).
Theorem 3.2. Every tripolar fuzzy soft interior ideal $(f, A)$ over a $\Gamma$-semiring $M$ is a tripolar fuzzy soft ideal $(f, A)$ over $M$ but the converse is not true.

Example 3.1. Let $M = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}, \Gamma = \{\alpha, \beta\}$. Then, we shall define the operations with the following tables:

| $+ \alpha \beta$ | $\alpha x_1 x_2 x_3$ | $\beta x_1 x_2 x_3$ |
|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| $\alpha$ $\alpha$ | $\alpha x_1 x_2 x_3$ | $\alpha x_1 x_2 x_3$ |
| $\beta$ $\beta$   | $\beta x_1 x_2 x_3$   | $\beta x_1 x_2 x_3$   |

Let $E = \{a, b, c\}$ and $B = \{a, b\}$. Then $(\phi, B)$ is tripolar fuzzy soft set defined as $(\phi, B) = \{\phi(a), \phi(b)\}$, where

$\phi(a) = \{(x_1, 0.2, 0.7, -0.2), (x_2, 0.3, 0.6, -0.3), (x_3, 0.6, 0.3, -0.3)\}$

$\phi(b) = \{(x_1, 0.4, 0.5, -0.3), (x_2, 0.6, 0.3, -0.5), (x_3, 0.5, 0.4, -0.2)\}$.

Then $(\phi, B)$ is a tripolar fuzzy soft $\Gamma$-subsemiring over $M$ and $(\phi, B)$ is not a tripolar fuzzy soft ideal over $M$.

$(\phi, B)$ is tripolar fuzzy soft interior ideal over $M$.

Definition 3.3. A tripolar fuzzy soft $(f, A)$ over $\Gamma$-semiring $M$ is called a tripolar fuzzy soft interior ideal of $M$ if

(i) $\mu_{f(a)}(x_\alpha z_\beta y) \geq \mu_{f(a)}(z)$

(ii) $\lambda_{f(a)}(x_\alpha z_\beta y) \leq \lambda_{f(a)}(z)$

(iii) $\delta_{f(a)}(x_\alpha z_\beta y) \leq \delta_{f(a)}(z)$, for all $x, y, z \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $a \in A$.

Theorem 3.1. Every tripolar fuzzy soft ideal over a $\Gamma$-semiring $M$ is a tripolar fuzzy soft interior ideal over $\Gamma$-semiring $M$.

Proof. Let $(f, A)$ be tripolar fuzzy soft ideal over a $\Gamma$-semiring $M$. Then $f(a) = \{\mu(a), \lambda(a), f(a)\}$ is a tripolar fuzzy ideal of $M, a \in A$. Then

(i) $\mu_{f(a)}(x_\alpha z_\beta y) \geq \mu_{f(a)}(x_\alpha z) \geq \mu_{f(a)}(z)$

(ii) $\lambda_{f(a)}(x_\alpha z_\beta y) \leq \lambda_{f(a)}(x_\alpha z) \leq \lambda_{f(a)}(z)$

(iii) $\delta_{f(a)}(x_\alpha z_\beta y) \leq \delta_{f(a)}(x_\alpha z) \leq \delta_{f(a)}(z)$, for all $x, y, z \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $a \in A$.

Hence $(f, A)$ is a tripolar fuzzy soft interior ideal over $M$. \qed

Theorem 3.2. Every tripolar fuzzy soft interior ideal over a regular $\Gamma$-semiring $M$ is a tripolar fuzzy soft ideal over $M$.

Proof. Let $(f, A)$ be tripolar fuzzy soft interior ideal over a regular $\Gamma$-semiring $M$. Then $f(a) = \{\mu_{f(a)}, \lambda_{f(a)}, \delta_{f(a)}\}$ is a tripolar fuzzy ideal of $M, a \in A$. 

Suppose \( x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma \). Then \( x\alpha y \in M \). Then there exist \( \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma, z \in M \) such that \( x\alpha y = x\alpha y\beta z \gamma x\alpha y \).

\[
\mu_{f(a)}(x\alpha y) = \mu_{f(a)}(x\alpha y\beta z \gamma x\alpha y) \\
= \mu_{f(a)}(x\alpha y\beta (z\gamma x\alpha y)) \\
\geq \mu_{f(a)}(y) \\
\mu_{f(a)}(x\alpha y) = \mu_{f(a)}((x\alpha y\beta z) \gamma x\alpha y) \\
\geq \mu_{f(a)}(x).
\]

Hence \( \mu_{f(a)} \) is a fuzzy ideal of \( M \).

\[
\lambda_{f(a)}(x\alpha y) = \lambda_{f(a)}(x\alpha y\beta z\gamma x\alpha y) \\
\leq \lambda_{f(a)}(y) \\
\lambda_{f(a)}(x\alpha y) = \lambda_{f(a)}((x\alpha y\beta z) \gamma x\alpha y) \\
\leq \lambda_{f(a)}(x).
\]

Hence \( \lambda_{f(a)} \) is a fuzzy ideal of \( M \).

\[
\delta_{f(a)}(x\alpha y) = \delta_{f(a)}(x\alpha y\beta z \gamma x\alpha y) \\
\leq \delta_{f(a)}(y) \\
\delta_{f(a)}(x\alpha y) \leq \delta_{f(a)}(x).
\]

Hence \( \delta_{f(a)} \) is a fuzzy ideal of \( M \).

Therefore, \( f(a) \) is a tripolar fuzzy ideal of \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( M \).

Thus, \( (f, A) \) is a tripolar fuzzy soft ideal of \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( M \).

**Theorem 3.3.** If \( (f, A) \) and \( (g, B) \) are two tripolar fuzzy soft ideals over a \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( M \) then \( (f, A) \land (g, B) \) is a tripolar fuzzy soft ideal over a \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( M \).

**Proof.** Suppose \( (f, A) \) and \( (g, B) \) are two tripolar fuzzy soft ideals over a \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( M \).

Then by Definition [2.14], \( (f, A) \land (g, B) = (f \cap g, C) \), where \( C = A \times B \) and \( (f \land g)(a, b) = f(a) \land g(b) \), for all \( (a, b) \in C \). Then

\[
\mu_{f(a) \land g(b)}(x + y) = \min\{\mu_{f(a)}(x + y), \mu_{g(b)}(x + y)\} \\
\geq \min\{\min\{\mu_{f(a)}(x), \mu_{f(a)}(y)\}, \min\{\mu_{g(b)}(x), \mu_{g(b)}(y)\}\} \\
= \min\{\min\{\mu_{f(a)}(x), \mu_{g(b)}(x)\}, \min\{\mu_{f(a)}(y), \mu_{g(b)}(y)\}\} \\
= \min\{\mu_{f(a) \land g(b)}(x), \mu_{f(a) \land g(b)}(y)\}
\]

\[
\lambda_{(f \land g)(a, b)}(x + y) = \min\{\lambda_{f(a)}(x + y), \lambda_{g(b)}(x + y)\} \\
\geq \min\{\max\{\lambda_{f(a)}(x), \lambda_{f(a)}(y)\}, \max\{\lambda_{g(b)}(x), \lambda_{g(b)}(y)\}\} \\
= \max\{\min\{\lambda_{f(a)}(x), \lambda_{g(b)}(x)\}, \min\{\lambda_{f(a)}(y), \lambda_{g(b)}(y)\}\} \\
= \max\{\lambda_{f(a) \land g(b)}(x), \lambda_{f(a) \land g(b)}(y)\}.
\]
Then by Theorem 3.3, \((\delta_a)\) is a tripolar fuzzy soft ideal over a \(\Gamma\)-semiring \(M\).

**Theorem 3.4.** If \((f, A)\) and \((g, B)\) are two tripolar fuzzy soft interior ideals over a \(\Gamma\)-semiring \(M\) then \((f, A) \cap (g, B)\) is a tripolar fuzzy interior ideals of \(\Gamma\)-semiring \(M\).

**Proof.** Suppose \((f, A)\) and \((g, B)\) are two tripolar fuzzy soft interior ideals over a \(\Gamma\)-semiring \(M\).

Then by Theorem 3.3, \((f, A) \cap (g, B)\) is soft tripolar fuzzy \(\Gamma\)-subsemiring of \(M\).

By Definition 2.14, \((f, A) \cap (g, B) = (f \cap g, C)\), where \(C = A \times B\).

Suppose \((a, b) \in C, x, y \in M \) and \(\alpha \in \Gamma\). Then

\[
\delta_{(f \cap g)(a,b)}(x + y) = \min \{\delta_f(x + y), \delta_g(x + y)\} \\
\geq \min \{\max \{\delta_f(x), \delta_g(y)\}, \max \{\delta_f(y), \delta_g(y)\}\} \\
= \max \{\min \{\delta_f(x), \delta_g(y)\}, \min \{\delta_f(y), \delta_g(y)\}\} \\
= \max \{\delta_{f(a) \cap g(b)}(x), \delta_{f(a) \cap g(b)}(y)\}.
\]

Hence \((f, A) \cap (g, B)\) is a tripolar fuzzy soft ideal over a \(\Gamma\)-semiring \(M\). 

\[
\mu_{f(a) \cap g(b)}(x \alpha y) = \min \{\mu_{f(a)}(x \alpha y), \mu_{g(b)}(x \alpha y)\} \\
\geq \min \{\min \{\mu_{f(a)}(x), \mu_{f(a)}(y)\}, \min \{\mu_{g(b)}(x), \mu_{g(b)}(y)\}\} \\
= \min \{\min \{\mu_{f(a)}(x), \mu_{g(b)}(x)\}, \min \{\mu_{f(a)}(y), \mu_{g(b)}(y)\}\} \\
= \min \{\mu_{f(a) \cap g(b)}(x), \mu_{f(a) \cap g(b)}(y)\}.
\]

\[
\lambda_{f(a) \cap g(b)}(x \alpha y) = \min \{\lambda_{f(a)}(x \alpha y), \lambda_{g(b)}(x \alpha y)\} \\
\leq \min \{\max \{\lambda_{f(a)}(x), \lambda_{f(a)}(y)\}, \max \{\lambda_{g(b)}(x), \lambda_{g(b)}(y)\}\} \\
= \max \{\min \{\lambda_{f(a)}(x), \lambda_{g(b)}(x)\}, \min \{\lambda_{f(a)}(y), \lambda_{g(b)}(y)\}\} \\
= \max \{\lambda_{f(a) \cap g(b)}(x), \lambda_{f(a) \cap g(b)}(y)\}.
\]

\[
\mu_{f(a) \cap g(b)}(x \alpha y \beta z) = \mu_{f(a) \cap g(b)}(x \alpha y) \cdot \mu_{g(b)}(x \alpha y) \\
= \min \{\mu_{f(a)}(x \alpha y), \mu_{g(b)}(x \alpha y)\} \\
\leq \min \{\mu_{f(a)}(y), \mu_{g(b)}(y)\} \\
= \mu_{f(a) \cap g(b)}(y).
\]

\[
\lambda_{f(a) \cap g(b)}(x \alpha y \beta z) = \lambda_{f(a) \cap g(b)}(x \alpha y) \cdot \lambda_{g(b)}(x \alpha y) \\
= \min \{\lambda_{f(a)}(x \alpha y), \lambda_{g(b)}(x \alpha y)\} \\
\leq \min \{\lambda_{f(a)}(y), \lambda_{g(b)}(y)\} \\
= \lambda_{f(a) \cap g(b)}(y).
\]
Then by Definition [2.13], we have 

\[
\delta_{f \cup g(a,b)}(xayb) = \delta_{f(a) \cup g(b)}(xayb) = \min\{\delta_{f(a)}(xayb), \delta_{g(b)}(xayb)\} 
\leq \min\{\delta_{f(a)}(y), \delta_{g(b)}(y)\} = \delta_{f(a) \cap g(b)}(y) = \delta_{f \cap g(a,b)}(y).
\]

Hence \((f, A) \cap (g, B)\) is a soft tripolar fuzzy interior ideal of \(\Gamma\)-semiring \(M\). \(\square\)

The following theorem proofs are similar to Theorem [3.4].

**Theorem 3.5.** If \((f, A)\) and \((g, B)\) are two tripolar fuzzy soft interior ideals over a \(\Gamma\)-semiring \(M\) then \((f, A) \cup (g, B)\) is a tripolar fuzzy interior ideals of \(\Gamma\)-semiring \(M\).

**Theorem 3.6.** If \((f, A)\) and \((g, B)\) are two tripolar fuzzy soft interior ideals over a \(\Gamma\)-semiring \(M\) then \((f, A) \cap (g, B)\) is a tripolar fuzzy interior ideals of \(\Gamma\)-semiring \(M\).

**Theorem 3.7.** If \((f, A)\) and \((g, B)\) are two tripolar fuzzy soft ideals over \(\Gamma\)-semiring \(M\) then \((f, A) \cup (g, B)\) is a tripolar fuzzy soft ideal over \(M\).

*Proof.* Suppose \((f, A)\) and \((g, B)\) are two tripolar fuzzy soft ideals over \(\Gamma\)-semiring \(M\). Then by Definition [2.13], we have \((f, A) \cup (g, B) = (h, C)\), where \(C = A \cup B\); and

\[
h(c) = f \cup g(c) = \begin{cases} 
  f(c) & \text{if } c \in A \setminus B; \\
  g(c) & \text{if } c \in B \setminus A; \\
  f(c) \cup g(c) & \text{if } c \in A \cap B, \forall c \in A \cup B.
\end{cases}
\]

Case(i): If \(c \in A \setminus B\) then \(f \cup g(c) = f(c)\). Thus we have

\[
\mu_{f \cup g(c)}(x+y) = \mu_{f(c)}(x+y) \geq \min\{\mu_{f(c)}(x), \mu_{f(c)}(y)\} 
= \min\{\mu_{f \cup g(c)}(x), \mu_{f \cup g(c)}(y)\},
\]
\[
\lambda_{f \cup g(c)}(x+y) = \lambda_{f(c)}(x+y) \leq \max\{\lambda_{f(c)}(x), \lambda_{f(c)}(y)\}
= \max\{\lambda_{f \cup g(c)}(x), \lambda_{f \cup g(c)}(y)\},
\]
\[
\delta_{f \cup g(c)}(x+y) = \delta_{f(c)}(x+y) \leq \max\{\delta_{f(c)}(x), \delta_{f(c)}(y)\}
= \max\{\delta_{f \cup g(c)}(x), \delta_{f \cup g(c)}(y)\},
\]
\[
\mu_{f \cup g(c)}(xay) = \mu_{f(c)}(xay)
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{f \cup g}(x, y) &= \max \{\lambda_f(x), \lambda_g(y)\} \\
\delta_{f \cup g}(x, y) &= \max \{\delta_f(x, y), \delta_g(x, y)\}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_{f \cup g}(x, y) &= \max \{\mu_f(x, y), \mu_g(x, y)\} \\
\gamma_{f \cup g}(x, y) &= \max \{\gamma_f(x, y), \gamma_g(x, y)\}
\end{align*}
\]

**case (ii):** If \( c \in B \setminus A \) then \( f \cup g(c) = g(c) \).

Since \( g(c) \) is a tripolar ideal of \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( M \), \( f \cup g(c) \) is a tripolar ideal of \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( M \).

**case (iii):** If \( c \in A \cap B \) then \( f \cup g(c) = f(c) + g(c) \).

Similarly we can prove:

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{f \cup g}(x + y) &= \max \{\lambda_{f \cup g}(x), \lambda_{f \cup g}(y)\} \\
\delta_{f \cup g}(x + y) &= \max \{\delta_{f \cup g}(x), \delta_{f \cup g}(y)\} \\
\mu_{f \cup g}(x + y) &= \max \{\mu_{f \cup g}(x + y), \mu_{f \cup g}(y)\} \\
\gamma_{f \cup g}(x + y) &= \max \{\gamma_{f \cup g}(x + y), \gamma_{f \cup g}(y)\}
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore, \( f \cup g(c) \) is a tripolar fuzzy ideal of \( M \).

Hence \( (f, A) \cup (g, B) \) is a tripolar fuzzy soft ideal over \( M \). \( \square \)

**Corollary 3.1.** If \( (f, A) \) and \( (g, B) \) are two tripolar fuzzy soft ideals over \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( M \) then \( (f, A) \cap (g, B) \) is a tripolar fuzzy soft ideal over \( M \).
Definition 3.4. Let \((f, A)\) and \((g, B)\) be two tripolar fuzzy soft sets over a \(\Gamma\)-semiring \(M\). The the product \((f, A) \circ (g, B) = (f \circ g, C)\), where \(C = A \cup B\). Then we have \((f, A) \circ (g, B) = (h, C)\), where \(C = A \cup B\); and

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_{(f \circ g)(c)}(x) &= \begin{cases} 
\mu_f(c) & \text{if } c \in A \setminus B; \\
\mu_g(c) & \text{if } c \in B \setminus A; \\
\sup_{x = y \in c} \{ \min\{\mu_f(c), \mu_g(c)\} \} & \text{if } c \in A \cap B.
\end{cases} \\
\lambda_{(f \circ g)(c)}(x) &= \begin{cases} 
\lambda_f(c) & \text{if } c \in A \setminus B; \\
\lambda_g(c) & \text{if } c \in B \setminus A; \\
\inf_{x = y \in c} \{ \max\{\lambda_f(c), \lambda_g(c)\} \} & \text{if } c \in A \cap B.
\end{cases} \\
\delta_{(f \circ g)(c)}(x) &= \begin{cases} 
\delta_f(c) & \text{if } c \in A \setminus B; \\
\delta_g(c) & \text{if } c \in B \setminus A; \\
\inf_{x = y \in c} \{ \max\{\delta_f(c), \delta_g(c)\} \} & \text{if } c \in A \cap B.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

Theorem 3.8. If \((f, A)\) and \((g, B)\) are tripolar fuzzy soft interior ideals over \(\Gamma\)-semiring \(M\) then \((f, A) \circ (g, B)\) is a tripolar fuzzy soft interior ideal over \(\Gamma\)-semiring \(M\).

Proof. Obviously \((f, A) \circ (g, B)\) is tripolar fuzzy soft \(\Gamma\)-subsemiring over \(M\). Let \(x, y, z \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma\).

By Definition [3.4], \((f, A) \circ (g, B) = (f \circ g, C)\), where \(C = A \cup B\) and \(c \in C, x \in M\).

case(i) : If \(c \in A \setminus B\) then

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_{f \circ g}(c) &= \mu_f(c) \\
\lambda_{f \circ g}(c) &= \lambda_f(c) \\
\delta_{f \circ g}(c) &= \delta_f(c).
\end{align*}
\]

Since \((f, A)\) is a tripolar fuzzy soft interior ideal over \(M\), \(f \circ g(c)\) is a tripolar fuzzy soft interior ideal of \(M\).

case(ii) : If \(c \in B \setminus A\) then

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_{f \circ g}(c) &= \mu_g(c) \\
\lambda_{f \circ g}(c) &= \lambda_g(c) \\
\delta_{f \circ g}(c) &= \delta_g(c).
\end{align*}
\]

Since \((g, B)\) is a tripolar fuzzy soft interior ideal over \(M\), \(f \circ g(c)\) is a tripolar fuzzy soft interior ideal of \(M\).

case(iii) : If \(c \in A \cap B\) then

\[
\mu_{f \circ g}(c) = \sup_{x = a \in c} \{ \min\{\mu_{f(a)}(x), \mu_{g(b)}(x)\} \}.
\]
\[\mu_{f \circ g(c)}(x \alpha y \beta z) = \sup_{x=aa} \{ \min_{y=ab} \{ \mu_{f(a)}(x \alpha y \beta z), \mu_{g(b)}(x \alpha y \beta z) \} \} \geq \sup_{x=aa} \{ \min_{y=ab} \{ \mu_{f(a)}(y), \mu_{g(b)}(y) \} \} = \mu_{f \circ g(c)}(y).\]

\[\lambda_{f \circ g(c)}(x \alpha y \beta z) = \inf_{x=aa} \{ \max_{y=ab} \{ \lambda_{f(a)}(x \alpha y \beta z), \lambda_{g(b)}(x \alpha y \beta z) \} \} \leq \sup_{x=aa} \{ \max_{y=ab} \{ \lambda_{f(a)}(y), \lambda_{g(b)}(y) \} \} = \lambda_{f \circ g(c)}(y).\]

\[\delta_{f \circ g(c)}(x \alpha y \beta z) = \inf_{x=aa} \{ \max_{y=ab} \{ \delta_{f(a)}(x \alpha y \beta z), \delta_{g(b)}(x \alpha y \beta z) \} \} \leq \inf_{x=aa} \{ \max_{y=ab} \{ \delta_{f(a)}(y), \delta_{g(b)}(y) \} \} = \delta_{f \circ g(c)}(y).\]

Hence \( f \circ g(c) \) is a tripolar fuzzy interior ideal of \( M \).

Therefore \((f, A) \circ (g, B)\) is a tripolar fuzzy interior ideal over \( M \).

**Theorem 3.9.** Let \( E \) be a perimeter set and \( \sum_E(M) \) be the set of all tripolar fuzzy soft interior ideals over \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( M \). Then \((\sum_E(M), \cup, \cap)\) forms complete distributive lattices along with the relation \( \subseteq \).

**Proof.** Suppose \((f, A)\) and \((g, B)\) be soft interior ideals over \( M \) such that \( A \subseteq E, B \subseteq E \).

By Theorems 3.6, 3.7, \((f, A) \cap (g, B)\) and \((f, A) \cup (g, B)\) are tripolar fuzzy soft interior ideals over \( M \).

Obviously \((f, A) \cap (g, B)\) is lub of \( \{(f, A), (g, B)\} \) and \((f, A) \cup (g, B)\) is glb of \( \{(f, A)(g, B)\} \).

Every sub collection of \( \sum_E(M) \) has lub and glb.

Hence \( \sum_E(M) \) is a complete lattice.

We can prove \((f, A) \cap ((g, B) \cup (h, C)) = ((f, A) \cap (g, B)) \cup ((f, A) \cap (h, C))\).

Therefore \((\sum_E(M), \cup, \cap)\) forms a complete distributive lattice.

4. Conclusion

Murali Krishna Rao [19] introduced the notion of tripolar fuzzy set in \( \Gamma \)-semigroup to be able to deal with tripolar information, as a generalization of fuzzy set, bipolar fuzzy set and intuitionistic fuzzy set. In this paper, we have introduced the notion of tripolar fuzzy soft subsemiring, tripolar fuzzy soft ideal, tripolar fuzzy soft interior ideals over \( \Gamma \)-semiring and studied some of their algebraic basic properties and relations between them. The results of this paper can be extended to different algebraic structures and it can be applied to decision making problems.
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