Ion distribution dynamics near the Earth’s bow shock: first measurements with the 2D ion energy spectrometer CORALL on the INTERBALL/Tail-probe satellite

Yu. I. Yermolaev, A. O. Fedorov, O. L. Vaisberg, V. M. Balebanov, Yu. A. Obod, R. Jimenez, J. Fleites, L. Llera, A. N. Omelchenko

To cite this version:

Yu. I. Yermolaev, A. O. Fedorov, O. L. Vaisberg, V. M. Balebanov, Yu. A. Obod, et al.. Ion distribution dynamics near the Earth’s bow shock: first measurements with the 2D ion energy spectrometer CORALL on the INTERBALL/Tail-probe satellite. Annales Geophysicae, European Geosciences Union, 1997, 15 (5), pp.533-541. <hal-00316237>

HAL Id: hal-00316237
https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00316237
Submitted on 1 Jan 1997

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.
Ion distribution dynamics near the Earth’s bow shock: first measurements with the 2D ion energy spectrometer CORALL on the INTERBALL/Tail-probe satellite

Yu. I. Yermolaev¹, A. O. Fedorov¹, O. L. Vaisberg¹, V. M. Balebanov¹, Yu. A. Obod¹, R. Jimenez², J. Fleites², L. Llera², A. N. Omelchenko³

¹ Space Research Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Russia
² Intercosmos, Republic of Cuba
³ Institute of Applied Geophysics, Russian Hydrometeorological Service, Russia

Received: 20 May 1996 / Revised: 9 December 1996 / Accepted: 22 January 1997

Abstract. The dynamics of the ion distribution function near the Earth’s bow shock is studied on the basis of quasi-3D measurements of ion energy spectra in the range of 30–24200 eV/q with the Russian-Cuban CORALL instrument on the INTERBALL/Tail-probe satellite. The instrument was designed for observations of magnetospheric plasma and measures ions, in an angular range of 36°–144° from the Earth-Sun direction. Ion populations generated by the Earth bow shock are often observed upstream from the bow shock. In the solar-wind stream compressed and heated by the passing of very dense magnetic cloud (CME), two types of these ion populations were measured upstream and before the bow shock crossing on 25 August 1995 at 07:37 UT. Both populations were observed in the energy range above 2 keV. At ~06:20 UT, when the angle between the direction of the interplanetary magnetic field and normal to the bow shock $\theta_B$ was $\approx 43^\circ$, the instrument observed a narrow, fast ($\approx 800$ km/s) field-aligned beam moving from the Earth. At ~07:30, when $\theta_B \approx 28^\circ$, the wide ion pitch-angle distribution was observed. A similar suprathermal ion population is observed in the magnetosheath simultaneously with the solar-wind ion population being heated and deflected from the Sun-Earth direction. The similarity of observations during the mentioned time-interval and under usual solar-wind conditions allows us to conclude that types of suprathermal ion populations upstream and downstream from the bow shock do not depend on the solar-wind disturbance generated by magnetic cloud.

1 Introduction

The INTERBALL Project (a Tail probe with subsatellite launched on 3 August 1995 and an Auroral probe with subsatellite) focuses on the study of the energy, momentum and mass transport in critical regions of the solar wind/magnetosphere system. Measurements of thermal and suprathermal ions in the Earth’s magnetosphere and its environs are essential for understanding the structure and dynamics of the magnetosphere and its interaction with the solar wind (Yermolaev, 1994; Galeev et al., 1995).

The solar wind is an important source of magnetospheric plasma and magnetospheric dynamics. Decelerated and heated on the bow shock, the solar-wind plasma interacts with the magnetopause and provides the mass, energy and momentum source for magnetospheric processes.

The magnetospheric dynamics are strongly coupled with the particle populations. Properties of magnetospheric plasma are controlled by the their sources, one of which is the solar wind, and by physical processes inside the magnetosphere: plasma may be heated and accelerated, beams are formed by acceleration of ionospheric plasma and by non-stationary processes in the tail plasma sheet and in the auroral region. The measurements of the velocity distribution of the hot ions is an important source of information on the dynamics of magnetospheric plasma, its sources and sinks, transport processes, and heating and acceleration. Of particular interest is the investigation of the thin boundaries that play a key role in the mass, momentum and energy-transfer processes between plasma domains.

The CORALL instrument was developed and built jointly by the Space Research Institute, Russia, and Intercosmos, Cuba. The scientific objectives of CORALL experiment are as follows:

1. To provide the 3D ion distribution function characteristics for the investigation of the dissipative and transport processes, and acceleration of ions at the plasma boundaries.
2. To provide measurements of magnetospheric ion populations, particularly of the plasma sheet in the geotail, for the investigation of dynamics and acceleration of the tail plasma.

In the present paper we embark on a study of the first group of problems, in particular, the physical processes
which are responsible for the appearance of ions with an energy a few times that of the solar wind near the Earth's bow shock.

Ions with energy higher than several keV upstream of the Earth's bow shock have been observed on many spacecraft and have been studied both theoretically and numerically; see reviews by Armstrong et al. (1985), Gosling and Robson (1985), Thomsen (1985), Krimigis (1987), Fuselier (1994). There are different types of these ion populations which are connected with the bow shock by interplanetary magnetic field lines and have different distribution functions.

Energetic ($\geq 10$ keV/q) ion beams are observed at the edge of the foreshock where the interplanetary magnetic field convected with the solar wind first encounters with the bow shock. Downstream from this boundary, low-energy ($\sim 1$ keV/q) field-aligned ion beams are produced by reflection of a small fraction of the incident solar wind from the shock. The production of specularly reflected ion distribution is also observed here. The foreshock region upstream from the quasi-parallel bow shock is responsible for the production of energetic (or diffuse) ion distribution with an energy of a few keV/q extending to over 150 keV/q (Armstrong et al. 1985; Gosling and Robson 1985; Thomsen 1985; Krimigis 1987; Fuselier 1994). Energetic ($\geq 3$ keV/q) ions were also observed within the Earth's magnetosheath in the vicinity of the bow shock (Asbridge et al., 1985).

The types and features of suprathermal ion distributions may depend on conditions in the solar wind and position of the bow shock. Unusual magnetic cloud or coronal mass ejection (CME) with very high proton density (up to 50 cm$^{-3}$) were observed on 24–25 August 1995. This magnetic cloud crossed the Earth’s orbit on 25 August 1995 at 02 UT and compressed and heated the solar wind and magnetosphere plasma. In the present paper different distributions of suprathermal ions observed upstream and downstream of the bow shock on 25 August 1995 at 07:37 UT are discussed in detail. Thus presented observations may lead to a better understanding of suprathermal ion populations under different conditions in the solar wind.

2 Instrument description

The plasma spectrometer CORALL measures ion characteristics in the energy-per-charge (E/q) range of 30–24200 eV/q. The fan-shaped field of view of the instrument $5^\circ \times 110^\circ$ is divided into five angular sectors. The combination of the large field of view and the spin of the satellite (spin axis is approximately directed towards the Sun, and the period of the spacecraft’s rotation is about 120 s) allows one to measure the 3D ion distribution every 120 s. The accumulation time on one energy step is 1/1024th of the satellite rotation period, i.e. about 100 ms, and the scan period of one energy spectrum (32 steps) is equal to $\sim 4$ s.

The instrument consists of a nearly hemispheric electrostatic analyser (Gorn and Khazanov, 1979) followed by an MCP with five-sector collector (Fig. 1). The two concentric electrodes of the electrostatic analyser have radii of 4.8 and 5.3 cm. The parts of the 180$^\circ$ analyser are removed in order to set the entrance and exit aperture immediately at the edges of electrodes and to avoid ion trajectories which are not perpendicular to the edges (Fig. 2). The shape of electrostatic analyser and five-collector detector provide simultaneous measurements in five directions. The trajectories of two ions moving in one plane but at an angle of 110$^\circ$ relative to each other are shown schematically in Fig. 2. The potentials $U$ varying in the range from 3 to 2420 V is applied to plates (a positive one, $U_+$, for the outer electrode and a negative one, $U_-$, for the inner electrode). All interior surfaces of the electrostatic analyser are chromium-blackened in order to reduce the influence of scattered solar ultraviolet radiation. The output pulses of MCP’s collectors are amplified by amplifiers with pulse discriminators, and are counted by individual counters.

The geometry of the electrostatic analyser was chosen for its mechanical simplicity, suitable energy passband and geometric factor, and its ability to provide angular distribution within a fan-shaped solid angle of view. The fan-shaped field of view is $5^\circ \times 110^\circ$ and is divided into sectors with central directions relative to the Earth-Sun line $42^\circ$, $66^\circ$, $90^\circ$, $114^\circ$ and $138^\circ$.

![Fig. 1. Construction of hemispherical electrostatic analyser. Section plane is perpendicular to satellite spin axis](image1)

![Fig. 2. Schematic view of analyser geometry and ion trajectories](image2)
The energy and angular resolutions were measured during calibration in laboratory facilities and are shown in Fig. 3. The full width at half maximum is 0.1 for energy bandwidth, and 5° × 25° for azimuthal and polar angles, respectively. Neighbouring azimuthal channels overlap and calibration data are used to derive the angular distribution of ions. The 32 energy steps are evenly distributed over the energy range. The distance between successive energy steps is \( (E_i - E_{i-1})/E_{i-1} = 24\% \). The geometric factors of the angular sector, determined by laboratory calibration, is \( 2.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{cm}^2 \text{sr} \). These values are cross-checked in flight with the use of the hot plasma environment. The sensitivity and dynamic range of the instrument is sufficient for comprehensive measurements of ions in the Earth’s magnetosphere and its environs. Basic characteristics of instrument are presented in Table 1.

The CORALL instrument was designed as an analyser of magnetospheric plasma. Similar instruments were on board ISEE-1 and ISEE-2 satellites at that time (Bame et al., 1978), but these analysers could not obtain real 3D ion energy spectra and measured only near-equatorial plane distribution. The ion instruments of AMPTE UKS (Coates et al., 1985) and AMPTE IRM (Paschman et al., 1985) were improved and could obtain 3D spectra every spacecraft spin. But due to telemetry-rate limitations the processed data had a rough azimuthal and energy resolution. So the CORALL, measuring 3D ion spectra with azimuthal step 11° has an advantage in comparison with ISEE’s instruments and can be used for magnetospheric and magnetosheath measurements as well as AMPTE’s instruments.

Table 2 gives the numbers of energy steps (NE) and azimuthal sectors (NS) transmitted during one satellite rotation period. In sub-mode \( G = 0 \), the output data include all 32 (energy) \( \times 32 \) (azimuthal angle) counts of 5 channels for one rotation period (this sub-mode is similar to one in real-time telemetry mode). In other

### Table 1. Technical characteristics of spectrometer CORALL

| Parameter                               | Value                        |
|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Energy range, eV/q                      | 30–24200                     |
| Number of energy steps                  | 32                           |
| \( (E_i - E_{i-1})/E_{i-1}, \%          | 24                           |
| Energy resolution, \%                   | ±5                           |
| Number of polar channels                | 5                            |
| Polar angles, deg. from sun direction   | 42, 66, 90, 114, 138         |
| Angular resolution:                     |                              |
| polar angle, deg.                       | ±25                          |
| azimuthal angle, deg.                   | ±5                           |
| Geometric factor, cm²/sr                | \( 2.1 \times 10^{-3} \)  |
| Exposition time, s                      | 0.1                          |

The CORALL instrument was designed as an analyser of magnetospheric plasma. Similar instruments were on board ISEE-1 and ISEE-2 satellites at that time (Bame et al., 1978), but these analysers could not obtain real 3D ion energy spectra and measured only near-equatorial plane distribution. The ion instruments of AMPTE UKS (Coates et al., 1985) and AMPTE IRM (Paschman et al., 1985) were improved and could obtain 3D spectra every spacecraft spin. But due to telemetry-rate limitations the processed data had a rough azimuthal and energy resolution. So the CORALL, measuring 3D ion spectra with azimuthal step 11° has an advantage in comparison with ISEE’s instruments and can be used for magnetospheric and magnetosheath measurements as well as AMPTE’s instruments.

### Table 2. The numbers of azimuthal sectors, NS, and of energy intervals, NE, in different modes of instrument and telemetry system

| Instrument mode | instrument sub-mode | telemetry mode | NS | NE |
|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|----|----|
| non-processed   | –                   | real time      | 32 | 32 |
| –               | –                   | memory         | 32 | 32 |
| processed       | \( G = 7 \)         | real time      | 32 | 32 |
| \( G = 0 \)     | memory              | 32 | 32 |
| \( G = 1 \)     | memory              | 16 | 32 |
| \( G = 2 \)     | memory              | 16 | 32 |
| \( G = 3 \)     | memory              | 8  | 16 |
| \( G = 4 \)     | memory              | 4  | 16 |
| \( G = 5 \)     | memory              | 4  | 8 |
| \( G = 6 \)     | memory              | 4  | 4 |

### 3 Results

The two top panels of Fig. 4 show the dynamics of ion energy spectra in 2 angular channels, CORALL 1 and 5 (42° and 138° relative to the Earth-Sun direction, respectively), on 25 August 1995: abscissa – UT time, ordinate axis – ion energy in keV. Colour indicates counts per 1.0 s and a colour table is presented at the right-hand side of the plot. The magnitude of the magnetic field is presented in the bottom panel of Fig. 4. Ion measurements were carried out in submode \( G = 0 \), i.e. with the highest energy and angular resolution. Data modulation (with period ~2 min) on dynamic spectrograms is due to satellite rotation. From the beginning to 07:37 UT the satellite was in the solar wind: the main ion component exists only in a narrow cone near the Sun-Earth direction and is not registered by the CORALL instrument. Additionally, another (suprathermal) ion component with an energy of >2 keV is observed during several time-intervals, moving in a direction different from that of the solar-wind flow. It should be noted that ion distributions in the time-interval 06:10–06:34 UT have a narrower energy range and higher flux than in subsequent time-intervals. At 07:37 UT the satellite crosses the Earth’s bow shock and moves in the magnetosheath where the

![Fig. 3a, b. Results of laboratory calibration. a Curves for analyser energy response integrated over β angle and b β response integrated over energy](image-url)
instrument observed solar-wind plasma which was heated, decelerated and deflected from Sun-Earth direction by the bow shock.

Figure 5 shows in more detail ion spectra and the magnitude of interplanetary magnetic field in the time-interval 06:10–06:40. Narrow (in energy and azimuthal angle) ion distribution with energy range of 1–20 keV/q is observed and is accompanied by large disturbances of the interplanetary magnetic field.

Pitch-angle distributions of ions and projection of this distribution on the $V_y - V_z$ plane (that is perpendicular to the Sun direction, with the $y$-axis lying in the same plane along the magnetic field projection) are shown in Fig. 6 for the time-interval marked by vertical lines in the previous figure. Both panels in Fig. 6 (and in the following figures) present distribution functions as the density in the phase space. This figure shows that the ion beam has a narrow pitch-angle distribution and moves along magnetic field lines from the Earth with bulk velocity $\sim$800 km/s (in supposition that observed ions are protons).

The dynamics of ion spectra and interplanetary magnetic field at the bow shock when the spacecraft moved from the solar wind into the magnetosheath are shown in Fig. 7 (the symbols are the same as in Fig. 5). The bow-shock crossing was at 07:37 UT when an abrupt change in the ion distribution function (the instrument began to measure large flux of low-energy shocked solar wind) and ramp of magnetic field are observed. Downstream from the bow shock the anisotropic flux of shocked solar-wind plasma is modulated by spacecraft rotation.
Pitch-angle distributions and $V_y - V_z$ distributions for the time-intervals marked by vertical lines are presented in Figs. 8 and 9, respectively. Figure 8 shows suprathermal ion distribution upstream from the bow shock. This ion population is observed at all pitch-angles and has a ring-like distribution in the $V_y - V_z$ plane. Nevertheless, the bulk velocity of this ion population is equal to $\sim 400$ km/s and is directed along the interplanetary magnetic field from the Earth.

Ion distribution downstream from the bow shock is presented in Fig. 9. The change in the ion distribution slope in the vicinity of $|V| \sim 800$ km/s shows that this distribution consists of two ion populations: a bell-like core, hot ($T_p \sim 250$ eV) and deflected from the Sun-Earth direction solar-wind plasma; and a flat tail, similar to the Fig. 8 suprathermal ion population which, in contrast with the previous figure, moves along magnetic field line to the Earth. It is interesting to note that the suprathermal ion population was not observed so clearly during the first satellite rotation just after crossing the bow-shock front. It was registered during the second satellite rotation, disappeared for the next two rotations, reappeared, and so on.

4 Discussion and conclusions

The most important parameters influencing the type of ion suprathermal population upstream from the bow shock are the position of the spacecraft in the foreshock and orientation of the interplanetary magnetic field relative to the bow-shock normal (Gosling et al., 1978; Gosling and Robson, 1985). The position of the satellite in the solar-ecliptic plane, components of average
magnetic field and calculated angle between the interplanetary magnetic field and normal to the average bow shock $B_n$ for time-intervals shown in Figs. 6, 8 and 9 are presented in Table 3.

As is seen from the table, during three time-intervals the satellite was near the terminator in the ecliptic plane because $|Y_{SE}| \gg X_{SE}, Z_{SE}$. The average distance between the centre of the Earth and the bow shock in this region is about 26 $R_E$ (Fairfield, 1971), but during our observations the distance was less than 20 $R_E$. Observations on the INTERBALL/Tail probe with the VDP instrument show that on 25 August 1995 in the time-interval 01–02 UT both satellites crossed the boundary of magnetic cloud or coronal mass ejection (CME) and then measured compressed and heated plasma of solar wind. On one hand, moving disturbances in the solar wind are additional sources of energetic particles in the interplanetary space. On the other, the disturbed solar wind may result in a change in structure of the bow-shock front. In this case several features of bow shock and suprathermal ions observed near the bow shock may differ from features observed under quiet conditions in the solar wind.

Two factors, motion of the bow shock towards the Earth and the change of its shape (compression of its wings in the direction of the $x$-axis), lead to opposite changes of the normal’s direction. We assume therefore that they may compensate each other, and orientation of the normal to the bow shock near the satellite position will remain close to that calculated from average shock shape. Under this assumption we calculated the angles $\vartheta_B$ presented in Table 3.

During the time-interval 06:00–06:35 UT, when $\vartheta_B \sim 43^\circ$, the spacecraft is located relatively close to the outer edge of ion foreshock (see Table 3). In this case the narrow field-aligned beam moving with bulk velocity $\sim 800$ km/s from the bow shock is observed. This observation is in good agreement with previous observations of suprathermal ions upstream from the Earth’s bow shock (Armstrong et al., 1985).

Another time-interval, 06:40–07:37 UT, is characterized by $\vartheta_B \sim 28^\circ$. As Table 3 shows, the satellite was in a region of quasi-parallel foreshock. In this region there is an ion population with higher energy (from 3 keV/q to higher than the upper limits of the instrument of 24 keV/q) and with all pitch-angles. This population has the

### Table 3. Satellite position, magnetic field and angle $\vartheta_B$

| Time-intervals, UT | S/C coordinates, $R_E$ | IMF components, nT | $\vartheta_B$, deg. |
|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| 06:19:22–21:41    | 2.5                    | X$_{SE}$ $-19.3$ $0.9$ | $B_x$ $0.5$ $B_y$ $6.8$ $B_z$ $2.2$ | 43 |
| 07:27:38–29:57    | 2.5                    | Y$_{SE}$ $-18.4$ $0.5$ | $B_x$ $-5.4$ $B_y$ $1.7$ $B_z$ $2.3$ | 28 |
| 07:39:22–41:41    | 2.5                    | Z$_{SE}$ $-18.2$ $0.5$ | $B_x$ $-11.5$ $B_y$ $-9.4$ $B_z$ $14.6$ | 45 |

Fig. 6. Pitch-angle distribution (top) and $V_y - V_z$ ($V_y$ is parallel to the IMF projection on the $Y$, $Z_{SE}$ plane) distribution (bottom) for interval 06:19:22–06:21:41 UT

These data are confirmed by the WIND observations: in the time-interval of 01–08 UT when the satellite coordinates were approximately $4.2 \cdot 10^5$ km for $X_{SE}$, $-2.1 \cdot 10^5$ km for $Y_{SE}$ and $-0.3 \cdot 10^5$ km for $Z_{SE}$, the ion flux decreased from 18 to $6.3 \cdot 10^8$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. This unusually high pressure of solar wind is a possible cause of the unusual position of the bow shock during our observations. The WIND data show also that in the time-interval of 01–02 UT the proton temperature increased and magnitude of the magnetic field decreased in such a manner that the ratio of proton thermal to magnetic pressures $\beta$ increased from $\sim 0.5$ up to 1.7, and then $\beta$ increased slowly up to $\sim 2.5$ at 08 UT. In accordance with the method of solar-wind-stream selection described by Yermolaev (1991, 1996), the WIND and INTERBALL observations indicate that on 25 August 1995 in the time-interval 01–02 UT both satellites crossed the boundary of magnetic cloud or coronal mass ejection (CME) and then measured compressed and heated plasma of solar wind.
shape of a sphere and does not have high bulk velocity, \( \sim 400 \) km/s, which is directed along magnetic field line from the Earth’s bow shock. This energetic ion population is likely to be classified as the diffuse distribution of suprathermal ions upstream from the bow shock (Gosling et al., 1978).

Preliminary analysis shows that both types of suprathermal ion populations are likely to be similar to ones observed under quiet conditions in the solar wind. We hope that larger statistics of observation cases will allow us to compare some details of ion populations with different conditions in the solar wind.

Downstream from the bow shock (07:39–07:41 UT) in addition to hot solar-wind plasma the instrument measured suprathermal ion population, which is very similar to the diffuse ion population observed in the quasi-parallel foreshock under quiet conditions in the solar wind. This ion population moved along the magnetic field line from the bow shock (i.e. towards the Earth). This fact supports the suggestion that this suprathermal ion population was generated by the bow shock. Although during the first satellite rotation period just after the bow-shock crossing this suprathermal ion population was not observed, we have many examples of measurements of this ion population downstream from the bow shock. Presented data agree with the suggestion of Asbridge et al. (1978) that the energetic ions are observed in the magnetosheath only under certain conditions upstream and downstream from the bow shock. So in future publications, with larger statistics, we will try to study the problem of whether this ion population is generated by the bow shock or by processes in the magnetosheath.

Fig. 7. Plasma and magnetic field for the time-interval 07:27–07:47 UT. Top: dynamics of ion spectra of 2 channels. Bottom: magnitude of interplanetary magnetic field.
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