Insulin responsiveness of glucose transporter 4 in 3T3-L1 cells depends on the presence of sortilin
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ABSTRACT Insulin-dependent translocation of glucose transporter 4 (Glut4) to the plasma membrane of fat and skeletal muscle cells plays the key role in postprandial clearance of blood glucose. Glut4 represents the major cell-specific component of the insulin-responsive vesicles (IRVs). It is not clear, however, whether the presence of Glut4 in the IRVs is essential for their ability to respond to insulin stimulation. We prepared two lines of 3T3-L1 cells with low and high expression of myc-7-Glut4 and studied its translocation to the plasma membrane upon insulin stimulation, using fluorescence-assisted cell sorting and cell surface biotinylation. In undifferentiated 3T3-L1 preadipocytes, translocation of myc-7-Glut4 was low regardless of its expression levels. Coexpression of sortilin increased targeting of myc-7-Glut4 to the IRVs, and its insulin responsiveness rose to the maximal levels observed in fully differentiated adipocytes. Sortilin ectopically expressed in undifferentiated cells was translocated to the plasma membrane regardless of the presence or absence of myc-7-Glut4. AS160/TBC1D4 is expressed at low levels in preadipocytes but is induced in differentiation and provides an additional mechanism for the intracellular retention and insulin-stimulated release of Glut4.

Adipocytes, skeletal muscle cells, and some neurons respond to insulin stimulation by translocating intracellular glucose transporter 4 (Glut4) to the plasma membrane. In all these cells, the insulin-responsive pool of Glut4 is localized in small membrane vesicles, the insulin-responsive vesicles (IRVs; Kandror and Pilch, 2011; Bogan, 2012). The protein composition of these vesicles has been largely characterized (Kandror and Pilch, 2011; Bogan, 2012). The IRVs consist predominantly of Glut4, insulin-responsive aminopeptidase (IRAP), sortilin, low-density-lipoprotein receptor–related protein 1 (LRP1), SCAMPs, and VAMP2. Glut4, IRAP, and sortilin physically interact with each other, which might be important for the biogenesis of the IRVs (Shi and Kandror, 2007; Shi et al., 2008). In addition, the IRVs compartmentalize recycling receptors, such as the transferrin receptor and the IGF2/mannose 6-phosphate receptor, although it is not clear whether these receptors represent obligatory vesicular components or their presence in the IRVs is explained by mass action (Pilch, 2008), inefficient sorting, or other reasons.

Deciphering of the protein composition of the IRVs is important because it is likely to explain their unique functional property: translocation to the plasma membrane in response to insulin stimulation. Even if we presume that IRV trafficking is controlled by loosely associated peripheral membrane proteins, the latter should still somehow recognize the core vesicular components that create the “biochemical individuality” of this compartment. In spite of our knowledge of the IRV protein composition, however, the identity of the protein(s) that confer insulin sensitivity to these vesicles is unknown.

Insulin responsiveness of the IRVs was associated with either IRAP or Glut4. Thus it was shown that Glut4 interacted with the intracellular anchor TUG (Bogan et al., 2003, 2012), whereas IRAP...
associated with other proteins implemented in the regulation of Glut4 translocation, such as AS160 (Larance et al., 2005; Peck et al., 2006), p115 (Hosaka et al., 2005), tankyrase (Yeh et al., 2007), and several others (reviewed in Bogan, 2012). Results of these studies, or at least their interpretations, are not necessarily consistent with each other, as the existence of multiple independent anchors for the IRVs is, although possible, unlikely.

Ablation of the individual IRV proteins has also led to controversial data. Thus knockout of IRAP decreases total protein levels of Glut4 but does not affect its translocation in the mouse model (Keller et al., 2002). On the contrary, knockdown of IRAP in 3T3-L1 adipocytes has a strong inhibitory effect on translocation of Glut4 (Yeh et al., 2007). In yet another study, knockdown of IRAP in 3T3-L1 adipocytes did not affect insulin-stimulated translocation of Glut4 but increased its plasma membrane content under basal conditions (Jordens et al., 2010). By the same token, total or partial ablation of Glut4 had various effects on expression levels, intracellular localization, and translocation of IRAP (Jiang et al., 2001; Abel et al., 2004; Carvalho et al., 2004; Gross et al., 2007; Yeh et al., 2007). Knockdown of either sortilin or LRP1 decreased protein levels of Glut4 (Shi and Kandror, 2005; Jedrychowski et al., 2010).

One model that might explain these complicated and somewhat inconsistent results is that depletion of either major integral protein of the IRVs disrupts the network of interactions between vesicular proteins and thus decreases the efficiency of protein sorting into the IRVs (Kandror and Pilch, 2011). Correspondingly, the remaining IRV components that cannot be faithfully compartmentalized in the vesicles (Kandror and Pilch, 2011). These results, in these cases, show low insulin response (Ross et al., 1998; Shi et al., 2008). We found that ectopic expression of increasing amounts of Glut4 in undifferentiated preadipocytes does not lead to its marked translocation to the plasma membrane upon insulin stimulation. On the contrary, sortilin expressed in undifferentiated preadipocytes was localized in the IRV-like vesicles and was translocated to the plasma membrane in response to insulin stimulation. Moreover, upon coexpression with Glut4, sortilin dramatically increased its insulin responsiveness to the levels observed in fully differentiated adipocytes. Thus sortilin may represent the key component of the IRVs, which is responsible not only for the formation of vesicles (Shi and Kandror, 2005; Aniga et al., 2008; Hatakeyama and Kanzaki, 2011), but also for their insulin responsiveness. It is worth noting that sortilin levels are significantly decreased in obese and diabetic humans and mice (Kaddai et al., 2009). We thus suggest that sortilin may be a novel and important target in the fight against insulin resistance and diabetes.

Our experiments also demonstrate that undifferentiated preadipocytes lack a mechanism for the full intracellular retention of Glut4 that can be achieved by ectopic expression of AS160/TBC1D4.

**FIGURE 1:** Sortilin ectopically expressed in undifferentiated 3T3-L1 preadipocytes is localized in the IRV-like vesicles and is translocated to the cell surface in response to insulin stimulation. (A) Undifferentiated and differentiated 3T3-L1 cells were homogenized, and total cell lysates (40 μg) were analyzed by Western blotting with antibodies against sortilin. Cyclophilin A was used as a loading control. Dotted line indicates that irrelevant lanes have been spliced out. Representative result of three independent experiments. (B) Undifferentiated S preadipocytes and differentiated wild-type 3T3-L1 adipocytes were homogenized and centrifuged at 27,000 × g for 35 min, and supernatants (1 mg of total protein) were separated by continuous sucrose gradient centrifugation. The arrow indicates the direction of sedimentation. Representative result of at least 10 independent experiments. (C) Undifferentiated S preadipocytes were biotinylated with sulfo-NHS-S-S-biotin, and biotinylated proteins were isolated from 100–140 μg of total cell lysates using streptavidin-agarose and analyzed by Western blotting along with total lysates and unbound material (40 μg each). Representative result of four independent experiments. (D) Undifferentiated empty vector (EV)–infected and S preadipocytes were incubated with 7 nM [H]neotensin for the indicated periods of time, washed as described in Materials and Methods, and counted in the scintillation counter. Normalized mean values ± SEM of three experiments.

**RESULTS**

Ectopically expressed sortilin shows insulin responsiveness in 3T3-L1 preadipocytes

In differentiating 3T3-L1 adipocytes, sortilin and Glut4 are induced on days 3 and 4, respectively (Shi and Kandror, 2005). Immediately upon induction, Glut4 is incorporated in the preexisting IRVs (ElJack et al., 1999; Shi and Kandror, 2005). Of importance, the IRVs do not exist in undifferentiated preadipocytes but are formed on day 3 of differentiation simultaneously with induction of sortilin (Shi and Kandror, 2005). On the basis of these results, we hypothesized that sortilin played the key role in the formation of insulin-responsive vesicles in differentiating adipocytes (Shi and Kandror, 2005). To further clarify the role of this protein, we stably expressed sortilin tagged with myc/histidine (His) epitopes at the C-terminus in 3T3-L1 cells, which are named S cells. Note that expression of sortilin/myc/His in undifferentiated S preadipocytes is equivalent to expression of endogenous sortilin in differentiated adipocytes (Figure 1A). Using sucrose gradient centrifugation, we showed that, in undifferentiated preadipocytes, ectopically expressed sortilin is localized in the IRV-like vesicles (Figure 1B; see also Shi and Kandror, 2008).

We showed that cell surface biotinylation of sortilin-myc/His in S preadipocytes is increased by insulin (2.00 ± 0.23-fold, suggesting that this protein is translocated to the plasma membrane (Figure 1C).
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Sortilin confers insulin responsiveness to Glut4

Insulin responsiveness of Glut4 ectopically expressed in undifferentiated cells is a controversial issue. Earlier studies (Haney et al., 1991; Hudson et al., 1992), as well as our subsequent experiments (Shi and Kandror, 2005), showed that Glut4 expressed in undifferentiated fibroblasts is not insulin responsive. McGraw’s group, however, reported that Glut4 can undergo insulin-dependent translocation to the cell surface even in undifferentiated cells (Kanai et al., 1993; Lampson et al., 2000; Sadacca et al., 2013). These studies raise a possibility that translocation of Glut4 in undifferentiated cells has not been observed due to technical reasons, such as low levels of its expression and/or low stability of the transporter (Shi and Kandror, 2005; Liu et al., 2007). Given that sortilin is known to stabilize Glut4 in preadipocytes (Shi and Kandror, 2005; Hatakeyama and Kanzaki, 2011), this effect alone might account for the effect of sortilin on translocation of Glut4 in response to insulin.

To test this possibility, we used the retroviral expression system to prepare two lines of stably transfected 3T3-L1 preadipocytes, one with low (low G) and one with high (high G) content of Glut4 tagged with seven consecutive myc epitopes in the first luminal loop (myc-Glut4). Then we stably expressed sortilin-myc/His in high-G cells with the help of the lentivirus carrying a different selection marker. This cell line was named GS. Figure 2A shows expression of various proteins in all three cell lines. Note that, in agreement with our earlier report (Shi and Kandror, 2005), expression of sortilin in high-G cells causes a small but significant increase in the total myc-Glut4 content (Figure 2B).

In the next experiment, we separated the total lysate of low-G, high-G, and GS preadipocytes by centrifugation into two fractions: a vesicular fraction (27,000 × g supernatant) and a heavy membrane fraction (27,000 × g pellet). As shown in Figure 2C, expression of sortilin-myc/His increases myc-Glut4 content specifically in the vesicular fraction. Then we analyzed the intracellular compartmentalization of myc-Glut4 in the vesicular fraction with the help of sucrose gradient centrifugation. Figure 2D demonstrates that the presence of myc-Glut4 in small vesicles is increased in GS preadipocytes in comparison to high-G cells. Further analysis shows that the sedimentation properties of Glut4 vesicles formed in undifferentiated cells by ectopic expression of myc-Glut4 and sortilin-myc/His are close to those of “classic” IRVs from fat and skeletal muscle cells (compare Figures 2D and 1B).

Using fluorescence-assisted cell sorting, we found that myc-Glut4 is translocated to the cell surface in both low-G and high-G cells, but only to a small degree (Figure 3, A, B, and F). Note that a fourfold difference in the total content of myc-Glut4 between these two cell lines does not lead to corresponding changes in translocation of the transporter in these cells. Then we compared insulin responsiveness of myc-Glut4 in high-G and GS preadipocytes that express close amounts of the transporter. We found that the mean insulin responsiveness of myc-Glut4 in GS preadipocytes is markedly higher than in G cells (Figure 3 B, C, and G).

To compare the maximal insulin response of GS preadipocytes with that of differentiated adipocytes, we performed fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis of myc-Glut4 translocation in undifferentiated GS preadipocytes and differentiated high-G adipocytes that express sortilin endogenously (Figure 1A). Figure 3, C, D, and H, shows that insulin response of myc-Glut4 in GS preadipocytes is even higher than in high-G adipocytes. The explanation for this “overshoot” is not known. It might be attributed to the fact that, in differentiated cells, myc-Glut4 is “diluted” by endogenously expressed Glut4. At the same time, undifferentiated cells have significantly more myc-Glut4 at the plasma membrane under the basal conditions than differentiated cells. Thus GS preadipocytes may have the same amount of the IRVs as differentiated adipocytes but, in agreement with results in Figure 3, B, C, and G, do not have a mechanism for the efficient intracellular sequestration and/or basal retention of Glut4. Apparently the latter mechanism is independent of sortilin-driven biogenesis of the IRVs (see next section).

In the next experiment, we directly demonstrated that intracellular sequestration of myc-Glut4 is achieved upon differentiation of preadipocytes. Indeed, Figure 3, C, E, and I, shows that basal GS preadipocytes have much more myc-Glut4 at the plasma membrane than differentiated cells in spite of the fact that the latter express significantly more myc-Glut4 (Supplemental Figure S1). The latter observation suggests that activity of lentiviral promoters that drive the expression of myc-Glut4 and sortilin-myc/His is markedly stimulated upon adipocyte differentiation.
We supported data shown in Figure 3 by manual analysis of cells using ImageJ software, with essentially the same results (Supplemental Figure S2). Note that because of differentiation-induced changes in the shape of the cell, preadipocytes and adipocytes have to be analyzed separately.

We decided to confirm the effect of sortilin on translocation of Glut4 by an independent approach, unrelated to immunofluorescence staining, that is, by cell surface biotinylation. Although wild-type Glut4 has but one lysine in its extracellular regions and thus cannot be efficiently biotinylated, every myc epitope has a lysine residue, so that myc-Glut4 represents a good target for biotinylation. As is shown in Figure 4A, cell surface biotinylation of myc-Glut4 in high-G cells is not increased by insulin (1.01 ± 0.22). We believe that the sensitivity of this approach is not sufficient to detect a relatively small change in the plasma membrane myc-Glut4 caused by insulin administration in high-G cells. On the contrary, biotinylation of myc-Glut4 and sortilin-myc/His is clearly increased by insulin in GS preadipocytes (2.44 ± 0.26 and 1.95 ± 0.38, respectively; Figure 4B), suggesting that both proteins are translocated to the cell surface in response to insulin stimulation.

Of importance, insulin-stimulated increase in sortilin biotinylation is similar in GS and S preadipocytes (Figure 4B). Thus Glut4 does not contribute much in terms of insulin responsiveness of corresponding vesicles, and the protein that renders this compartment insulin sensitive could be sortilin.

Intracellular sequestration of the IRVs during differentiation depends on the expression of AS160/TBC1D4

As shown in Figure 3 and Supplemental Figure S2, differentiation of high-G and GS preadipocytes is associated with efficient intracellular sequestration of myc-Glut4. Two mechanisms for such sequestration have been described. One depends on the expression of TUG (Bogan et al., 2003) and another on AS160/TBC1D4 together with its target Rab10 (Sano et al., 2003, 2007, 2008; Eguez et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2012). As is shown in Figure 5A, all of these proteins are expressed in both undifferentiated and differentiated cells; however, AS160/TBC1D4 is strongly induced upon differentiation (Figure 5A and Supplemental Figure S3). Low expression of AS160/TBC1D4 in preadipocytes may explain our observation that the intracellular retention of myc-Glut4 in these cells is not complete. Indeed, a substantial amount of myc-Glut4 in
Sortilin controls Glut4 translocation

**DISCUSSION**

Sortilin and other members of the sortilin family represent multiligand protein receptors in mammalian cells with various functions in protein sorting and signaling (Hermey, 2009). We (Shi and Kandror, 2005; Kim and Kandror, 2012) and others (Ariga et al., 2008; Hatakeyama and Kanzaki, 2011) found that sortilin is essential for the acquisition of insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in cells, although the mechanism of sortilin action is uncertain. According to one model, sortilin is directly involved in the formation of the IRVs on the perinuclear "donor" membranes that represent a specialized domain of the trans-Golgi network (TGN; Shewan et al., 2003), recycling endosomes (Karylowksi et al., 2004), or both. It is also feasible that sortilin is involved in stabilization of Glut4 (Shi and Kandror, 2005) by, for example, facilitating its retrieval from early endosomes to the TGN (Hatakeyama and Kanzaki, 2011). In agreement with the latter hypothesis, it was shown that sortilin cycles between endosomes and the TGN (Nielsen et al., 2001) and is abundant in endosome-to-TGN transport vesicles (Mari et al., 2008).

To characterize the mechanism of sortilin action, we prepared and compared four stably transfected lines of 3T3-L1 cells: with low Glut4 expression (low-G cells), high Glut4 expression (high-G cells), preadipocytes is localized at the plasma membrane and is sequestered inside the cell upon differentiation (Figure 3). To determine whether the latter effect is associated with the induction of AS160/TBC1D4, we overexpressed this protein in undifferentiated GS preadipocytes. cDNA for green fluorescent protein (GFP) was used to visualize transfected cells. Immunofluorescence staining demonstrates that expression of AS160/TBC1D4 leads to an efficient intracellular sequestration of myc7-Glut4 in preadipocytes (Figure 5, B and C). Of importance, treatment of transfected preadipocytes with insulin leads to phosphorylation of ectopically expressed AS160/TBC1D4 (Figure 5C) and reconstitutes PM localization of myc7-Glut4 (Figure 5B). In agreement with our results, Sadacca et al. (2013) recently found that AS160/TBC1D4 increases intracellular retention and insulin responsiveness of Glut4 ectopically expressed AS160/TBC1D4 (Figure 5C).
cells expressing both Glut4 and sortilin (GS cells), and cells expressing only sortilin (S cells). We found that Glut4 has a small degree of insulin responsiveness in undifferentiated cells (Figure 3F and Supplemental Figure S3). Increase in levels of Glut4 protein per se, however, is not sufficient to confer full insulin responsiveness to the transporter in undifferentiated preadipocytes. Indeed, a fourfold up-regulation of myc-GLUT4 expression in high-G cells in comparison to low-G cells results in only a minute increase in translocation of the transporter (Figure 3, A, B, and F, and Supplemental Figure S2). At the same time, expression of sortilin results in a relatively small increase in total myc-Glut4, whereas its insulin responsiveness is increased to the maximal level observed in differentiated adipocytes (Figure 3, B–D, G, and H). This result suggests that sortilin not only stabilizes myc-Glut4 but also directly facilitates formation of the IRVs, a notion supported by the sucrose gradient analysis of Glut4-containing vesicles in preadipocytes (Figure 2, C and D).

According to our model of IRV biogenesis, the cytoplasmic tails target Glut4, IRAP, and sortilin to the perinuclear compartment where the IRVs are formed. In the lumen of this compartment, the Vps10p domain of sortilin interacts with the first luminal loop of Glut4 and the luminal domains of IRAP and, likely, LRPI. The heteromeric complex consisting of the major IRV proteins is then distributed from the donor membranes to the IRV as a single entity with the help of GGA adaptors, which bind to the cytoplasmic tail of sortilin, and ACAP1, which interacts with the central loop of Glut4 (reviewed in Kandror and Pilch, 2011).

On ectopic expression in undifferentiated preadipocytes that do not express sortilin, targeting of Glut4 (this study) and IRAP (Shi et al., 2008) to small vesicles is inefficient, and plasma membrane translocation of these proteins is low. On the contrary, sortilin ectopically expressed in these cells is recovered in small, IRV-like vesicles (Figure 1B), shows considerable insulin responsiveness (Figures 1, C and D, and 4B), and facilitates recruitment of both Glut4 and IRAP into the IRVs (Figure 2D; Shi et al., 2008). We suggest, therefore, that sortilin not only plays the key role in vesicle biogenesis but may also represent the long-sought IRV component responsible for their insulin sensitivity. The mechanism of this effect is unknown. We were unable to detect phosphorylation of sortilin in response to insulin stimulation (G.H. and K.K.V., results not shown). We believe that the search for sortilin-binding proteins in adipocytes may help to find an answer to this question.

Of importance, acquisition of insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in differentiating adipocytes requires not only formation of the IRVs, but also efficient sequestration of glucose transporters from the plasma membrane under basal conditions. Previous studies showed that in the process of differentiation, 3T3-L1 cells acquire a mechanism for the efficient sequestration of Glut1 (Yang et al., 1992) and IRAP (Ross et al., 1998). Here we show that the same is true for Glut4, as preadipocytes have significantly more myc-Glut4 at the plasma membrane under basal conditions than differentiated adipocytes (Figure 3). We also show that complete sequestration of myc-GLUT4 is associated with an increase in expression of AS160/TBC1D4 (Figure 5).

Stable cell lines
Preparation, culturing, and differentiation of 3T3-L1 cells stably transfected with mLNCX2 (VE cells), mLNCX2-sortilin-myc/His (S cells), and pBabe-myc-GLUT4 (G cells), as well as 3T3-L1 double transfected with pBabe-myc-GLUT4 and mLNCX2-sortilin-myc/His (GS cells), were described previously (Shi and Kandror, 2005). Cells were grown in DMEM containing 10% calf bovine serum. Two days after confluence, cells were transferred to the differentiation medium (DMEM with 10% FBS, 1.67 μM insulin, 1 μM dexamethasone, and 0.5 mM 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine). After 48 h, differentiation medium was replaced with DMEM containing 10% FBS.

Transient transfection of undifferentiated 3T3-L1 preadipocytes
3T3-L1 cells were trypsinized, washed with D-PBS twice, and resuspended in 500 μl of electroporation buffer with 60 μg of cDNA in a Gene Pulser cuvette with 0.4-cm electrode gap (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Electroporation was performed with a Gene Pulser MXCell Electroporation System at 950 μF, 0.16 kV. After electroporation, 1 ml of DMEM containing 10% FBS was added to the cuvette, and cells were left to recover for 10 min at room temperature and replated on collagen IV–coated cover slips (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA). Alternatively, 3T3-L1 cells were transfected with Lipofectamine 2000 according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Once AS160/TBC1D4 is expressed, however, it provides an additional mechanism for the intracellular retention and insulin-regulated release of Glut4 (Figure 5B).

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Reagents and antibodies
Insulin, bovine serum albumin, and other chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Bovine serum and fetal bovine serum (FBS) were from Atlanta Biologicals (Lawrenceville, GA). DMEM, Opti-MEM, D-PBS, Slowafade antidote solution, and Lipo-fectamine 2000 were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). Alexa 488–conjugated transferrin was from Molecular Probes (Carlsbad, CA). Sulfo-NHS-biotin, sulfo-NHS-S-S-biotin, streptavidin–agarose, and horseradish peroxidase–conjugated streptavidin were from Thermo Scientific (Rockford, IL). [3,11-tyrosyl-3,5-3H(N)]-Neurortensin and [3H2]-deoxyglucose were purchased from PerkinElmer (Waltham, MA). Monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies against myc epitope and rabbit polyclonal antibody against the phosphorylated form of AS160 (Thr-642) were from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA). Mouse monoclonal antibody against sortilin was from BD Bioscience Pharmingen (San Diego, CA). Rabbit monoclonal antibody against LRPI was from Abcam (Cambridge, MA). Rabbit polyclonal antibody against cellugyrin (Ac-CONVETEGYQPPPVY-OH) was raised and affinity purified by BioSource International (Camarillo, CA; Xu and Kandror, 2002). Cy3-conjugated anti-mouse immunoglobulin G (IgG) was obtained from Jackson ImmunoResearch (West Grove, PA). Rabbit polyclonal antibody against TUG was a kind gift of Jonathan Bogan (Yale Medical School, New Haven, CT). Chicken polyclonal antibody against the C-terminus of AS160/TBC1D4 (HPTNDKAKAGNK), generated by Quality Controlled Biochemicals (Hopkinton, MA), was a kind gift of Michael Czech (University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA). cDNA for Flag-tagged AS160/TBC1D4 and Flag-tagged unphosphorylated 6-P mutant (Sano et al., 2003) with Ser-318, Ser-341, Ser-570, Ser-588, Thr-642, and Thr-751 replaced for Ala was a kind gift of Gustav Lienhard (Dartmouth Medical School, Hanover, NH) and Takahiro Nagase (Kazusa DNA Research Institute, Chiba, Japan).
using 0.2 μg of cDNA/well of a 24-well plate or 2 μg/60-mm dish. After 48 h, cells were analyzed by immunofluorescence and Western blotting.

**Immunofluorescence**

Undifferentiated and differentiated 3T3-L1 cells were grown on coverslips coated with collagen IV (Sigma Aldrich). Serum-starved cells were treated with either insulin (100 nM) or carrier (5 mM HCl) for 15 min and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; pH 7.4) for 20 min. Fixed cells were stained overnight at 4°C with mouse monoclonal anti-insulin antibody or nonspecific mouse IgG, followed by incubation with Cy3-conjugated anti-mouse IgG for 1 h at room temperature. Antifade solution was used for mounting cells on slides. Slides were examined with an Axio Observer Z1 fluorescence microscope equipped with C10600/ORCA-R2 digital camera (Hamamatsu, Hamamatsu, Japan) and AxioVision 4.8.1 (Carl Zeiss, Thornwood, NY). Quantitative analysis of immunostaining was carried out with ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD).

**Fluorescence-assisted cell sorting**

Fluorescence-activated cell sorter analysis was performed as described previously (Shi and Kandror, 2008) with minor modifications. In brief, 3T3-L1 cells were grown in six-well plates. Before the experiment, cells were incubated in serum-free DMEM for 2 h, and insulin (100 nM) was administered for 15 min. Cells were then cooled to 4°C and washed with cold PBS containing 0.9 mM CaCl₂ and 0.5 mM MgCl₂ (PBS`). All subsequent steps were carried out at 4°C. Cells were incubated with anti-myc (1:1000) antibody or nonspecific mouse IgG in PBS` containing 5% BSA and 5% donkey serum (1 ml/well) for 1 h and washed twice with PBS` for 5 min each time. Cells were then incubated with 5 μg/ml phycoerythrin-conjugated donkey F(ab)₂ anti-mouse IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch) for 1 h. At the end of the incubation, cells were rinsed twice with PBS` and additionally washed three times with PBS` for 10 min each wash. Cells were incubated with 1 ml of 0.25% trypsin and 0.5 mg/ml collagenase in PBS without calcium and magnesium (to decrease cell adhesion) at 37°C for 3–5 min until most cells detached from the plate. Detached cells were washed once by centrifugation at 300 × g for 4 min and resuspended in 1 ml of PBS. Immediately before sorting, cells were passed through a 40-μm cell strainer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). Data were acquired using a FACSBD (BD Biosciences) maintained by the Boston University Medical Campus Flow Cytometry Core Facility. At least 20,000 cells were counted in each sample. Specific phycoerythrin fluorescence signal was determined by subtracting the signal from transfected cells treated under the same conditions as the experimental group and stained with nonspecific IgG and phycoerythrin-conjugated secondary antibody. Mean fluorescence intensities were used for quantification.

**Cell surface biotinylation**

Serum-starved cells in KRP buffer (12.5 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid [HEPES], 120 mM NaCl, 6 mM KCl, 1.2 mM MgSO₄, 1.0 mM CaCl₂, 0.6 mM Na₂HPO₄, 0.4 mM NaH₂PO₄, 2.5 mM glucose, pH 7.4) were pretreated with 100 nM insulin or carrier for 2 min at 37°C, and sulfo-NHS-biotin or sulfo-NHS-S-S-biotin was added to cells to final concentration 0.5 mg/ml for 15 min. The reaction was stopped by adding quenching buffer (50 mM Tris, 10 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 1 μM aprotinin, 2 μM leupeptin, 1 μM pepstatin, 5 mM benzamidine, and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride [PMSF], pH 7.4) for 15 min at 4°C, followed by two washes with the same buffer. Cells were then lysed in 400 μl of quenching buffer with 1% Triton X-100, and cell lysates were incubated with 15–30 μl of monoclonal anti-myc antibody or nonspecific mouse IgG-conjugated agarose beads overnight at 4°C. Alternatively, biotinylated proteins were isolated using streptavidin-agarose. The beads were washed three times with 1% Triton X-100 in quenching buffer, and elution was carried out with Laemmli sample buffer at room temperature for 30 min.

**Neurotensin uptake**

EV or S cells were grown in 35-mm dishes. Cells were starved in serum-free DMEM for 2 h and treated with 100 nM insulin or carrier along with 7 nM [3,11-tyrosyl-3,5-[2-(N-morpholino)]ethanesulfonate, pH 5.0] for 2 min, followed by two washes with PBS. Cells were then lysed with 400 μl of 1% SDS in KRH buffer (Krebs Ringer HEPES buffer; 121 mM NaCl, 12 mM HEPES, 4.9 mM KCl, 1.2 mM MgSO₄, 0.33 mM CaCl₂) without glucose, and 300-μl aliquots were used for determination of radioactivity by liquid scintillation counter (LKB-Wallac, Bromma, Sweden). Protein concentration was determined using the bicinchoninic acid protein assay kit and was used to normalize counts.

**Subcellular fractionation of 3T3-L1 cells**

3T3-L1 adipocytes or preadipocytes were six times washed with serum-free DMEM warmed to 37°C and starved in the same media for 2 h. Cells were treated with 100 nM insulin or carrier (5 mM HCl at 1000× dilution) in DMEM for 15 min at 37°C. Cells were then washed three times with cold HES buffer (250 mM sucrose, 20 mM HEPES, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.4, 1 μM aprotinin, 2 μM leupeptin, 1 μM pepstatin, 5 mM benzamidine, and 1 mM PMSF) and harvested in the same buffer (0.3–1 ml/10-cm dish). Homogenization was performed in a ball-bearing homogenizer (Isobiotec, Heidelberg, Germany) with a 12-μm clearance by 10 strokes for adipocytes and 15 strokes for preadipocytes. Homogenates were centrifuged at 27,000 × g for 35 min. In some experiments, membrane vesicles in supernatants were concentrated by pelleting at 200,000 × g for 90 min. Supernatants (or thoroughly resuspended 200,000 × g pellets) were loaded onto a 4.6-ml linear 10–30% (wt/vol) sucrose gradient in HES buffer without sucrose and centrifuged for 55 min in a SW55 rotor (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA) at 48,000 rpm. Each gradient was separated into 22–26 fractions starting from the bottom of the tube. The fractions were further analyzed by SDS–PAGE and Western blotting.

**Gel electrophoresis and Western blotting**

Proteins were separated in SDS–polyacrylamide gels and transferred to Immobilon-P membranes (Millipore, Bedford, MA) in 25 mM Tris and 192 mM glycine. After transfer, the membrane was blocked with 10% BSA in PBS with 0.5% Tween 20 for 1 h. Blots were probed overnight with specific primary antibodies at 4°C, followed by 1-h incubation at room temperature with horseradish peroxidase–conjugated secondary antibodies (Sigma-Aldrich). Protein bands were detected with the enhanced chemiluminescence substrate kit (PerkinElmer Life Sciences, Boston, MA) using a Kodak Image Station 440CF (Eastman Kodak, Rochester, NY).
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