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Present research aims to assess the influence of nanocrystalline mica (NM) dispersion (10, 15, 20, and 25 vol.%) in hydroxyapatite (HA) matrix on its mechanical and tribological properties and bioactivity. Nanosized mica (NM) was prepared by mechanical milling of commercial mica powder. The composite was prepared by mechanically mixing the milled mica with HA and consolidated by microwave sintering at 1200°C for 10 min. Phase characterization by X-ray diffraction (XRD) shows dissociation of HA into β-TCP (tetracalcium phosphate) in sintered compact. Estimated densification is the highest (∼98%) with 20% NM addition. HA-20%NM also shows an optimum combination of mechanical (hardness 2.80 GPa and indentation fracture toughness 1.51 MPa m^{1/2}) and tribological properties (wear rate ∼1.6 × 10^{-6} mm^3/Nm). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of worn outsurface elicits that wear mechanism is governed mainly by delamination and abrasive mode. Biocompatibility assessment in simulated body fluid (SBF) indicates that no elemental change occurs (confirmed by energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS)) even after 60 days of emersion. It reveals that the optimized composition is satisfying fundamental requirements of an implant material.

1. Introduction

Hard orthopedic implant materials, especially which can replace bone tissues for compensating traumatic or non-traumatic injuries have drawn an overwhelming research interest. However, stringent compatibility requirements are major constraints for developing orthopedic implant materials for biomedical applications, especially for replacement, support, and supplementary functions of the living tissues of human body [1,2]. Hydroxyapatite (HA) (Ca_{10}(PO_{4})_{6}(OH)_{2}) is the major available inorganic component with biocompatibility, bioactivity, and osteoconductive properties. It is extensively applied for dental and skeleton implants, bone repair scaffolds, and body insert materials [3–5]. Except bone tissue related applications, HA is also used for tissue repair and replacement and also for implant osseointegration [6]. However, major limitation of its monolithic application is attributed by its low fracture toughness and fracture strength (0.8–1.2 MPa m^{1/2} and <120 MPa, resp.) in comparison to natural human bone (2–12 MPa m^{1/2} and ∼150 MPa, resp.) [7]. Thus, for compensating inherited brittleness, HA is usually reinforced with second phases like Al_{2}O_{3}, ZrO_{2}, TiO_{2}, and so forth without affecting its biocompatibility [8, 9]. Wen et al. [10] reported that HA/TiO_{2} coating (by sol gel method) on TiZr alloy improves bond between living tissue and implant. Their work revealed that Ca:P ratio increased to 1.67 due to dissolution of OH⁻ and PO{^{5−}} ions from coating. It combines with Ca²⁺ ions after soaking in SBF for 15 days, showing good bioactive property. Incorporation of HA in Mg has improved the compressive strength (from
1.327 MPa to 191.40 MPa) and modulus (from 22.9 GPa to 35.80 GPa) of HA-Mg composites [11]. Furthermore, HA addition in Mg also significantly reduces hydrogen evolution and localized pH variation during in vitro degradation and thereby enhances mechanical and biological performances of composite. Researchers also reported that the addition of Ag particles in HA matrix exhibited enhanced hardness, densification, and antimicrobial properties as compared to pure HA [12]. Nath et al. [13] reported that when 30% mullite was added to HA, it decomposed into secondary phosphate phase (β-TCP) at 1200°C sintering temperature. Nanosized dispersion of partially stabilized zirconia (PSZ) particles in HA matrix was reported to have the highest value of microhardness 9.3 GPa with 30% addition of PSZ [14]. Again, with such dispersion the coefficient of friction also reduced by lubricating condition provided by ceramic particles [14]. During solid state processing HA-ZrO₂ composites decomposes and forms β-TCP, CaO, t-ZrO₂, and Ca₂ZrO₃ [8]. The formation of secondary phases affects the sinterability of green compact due to differential shrinkage, resulting in poor densification as compared to sintered pure HA. Farzin et al. [15] prepared dense nanostructured and microstructured HA-TiO₂ composite by consolidating conventional and two-step sintering method. This nanostructured HA-TiO₂ exhibits excellent cell viability within 5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide solution (MTT) by promoting osteoblast proliferation [15]. Dispersion of Al₂O₃ in HA matrix enhances the fracture toughness from 1.38 MPa m¹/² to 2.07 MPa m¹/² which again bolstered by synergistic action of carbon nanotube addition to reach the fracture toughness of resulting composite to ~2.3 times of parent HA [16]. The HA-Ti composites prepared by spark plasma sintering (SPS) with varying Ti concentration (5, 10 and 20%) show the high fracture toughness (4-5 MPa m¹/²) with a good combination of elastic modulus (50 GPa–58 GPa) and flexural strength (79 MPa–99.7 MPa) [17]. Mica is one of the naturally occurring mineral having high strength, good corrosion resistance, and thermal stability [18-20]. Inherited brittleness of HA was reported to be mitigated by reinforcing strong mica sheets or fibers [21]. When mica is reinforced in HA, the major portion of stress is transmitted to dispersoids, thereby enhancing its mechanical properties [21, 22]. In the present work nanonica was prepared by mechanical milling; it was then mixed (10–25 wt.%) with HA mechanically. Composite was then prepared by subjecting the powder blend under microwave sintering. In order to optimize the influence of volume fraction of NM addition, its mechanical property was measured. Finally, the optimized composition was subjected to wear testing and biocompatibility assessment.

2. Experimental Procedure

2.1. Powder Preparation. In present work, hydroxyapatite (HA) powder has been prepared by suspension precipitation method. Initially calcium oxide (CaO) was dispersed in distilled water, kept on hot plate, and magnetically stirred. After that H₃PO₄ was added into the solution by keeping Ca/P ratio similar to HA. Finally solution was heated to 80°C and stirred for 3-4 h to form synthesized HA. Ammonia (NH₄OH) was then added to the solution to increase pH to 10. Final solution was then left for one day for precipitation, which was collected subsequently by filtering. Obtained powder was then dried and calcined at 800°C. Mica powder was synthesized from commercially available micron sized powders of mica (99% Pure, LOBA Chemic Pvt Ltd, Mumbai) by milling. The milling was performed in a planetary ball mill (FRITSCH, Germany). Initially the particle size of the mica was measured with the help of a particle size analyzer (FRITSCH Particle Sizer Analysett-22). After milling for a predetermined time of 6 h ball-milled powder was taken out for analysis.

2.2. Composite Preparation. The mica powder was dry milled for 6 hours and particle size was analyzed to ensure nanocrystalline formation. The composites for present investigation was prepared by mechanically mixing the ball milled nanonica in varying proportion (10–25 wt%) with HA in wet medium (acetone) into a vial by using tungsten carbide ball as mixing media. The ball to powder weight ratio was maintained at 10:1. The HA-NM (10–25%) ball milled powder was consolidated by microwave sintering method at 1200°C for 10 min.

2.3. Phase and Microstructural Characterization of Sintered Composite. The phase analysis of milled and sintered samples was performed using PANalytical 3 kW X’pert Powder XRD, Multifunctional instrument with CuKa (λ = 0.1541 nm) source of incident radiation with the potential 30 kV and current 20 mA. The analysis was recorded in the 2θ range of 20° to 80° at a scanning rate of 0.02° /min. The microstructural investigation of sintered samples was done by using ZEISS EVO Series Scanning Electron Microscope Model EVO 18 instrument. Microstructural characterization of synthesized HA and milled nanonica powder was also carried out by using transmission electron microscopy ((FEI Tecnai TF-30 G2 Super Twin, with Bruker EDS Detector) with beam energy of 300 keV. Figures 1(a) and 1(b) show the TEM image of milled NM powder and its elemental distribution, respectively, and also image of as prepared HA powder in Figure 1(c).

2.4. Mechanical and Tribological Characterization. The microhardness of sintered pellets was measured by SIMANDZU Semi-Automatic Micro Vickers hardness tester. The microhardness test was carried out using 100 g load with a dwell time of 15 sec for each 10 indents taken to get the average value. The microhardness of the indent is calculated by using the following formulae:

\[ H_v = 1.854 \frac{F}{d^2}, \]
where $H_V$ is the value of microhardness, $F$ is the applied load (kg-f), and $d$ is the diagonal indent length (mm). Indentation fracture toughness of sintered composites was estimated by using the diagonal crack lengths at the corners of the indentation during the microindentation testing. Fracture toughness was calculated by [23]

$$K_{IC} = 0.16HV(a/c)^{3/2},$$

where $K_{IC}$ is the fracture toughness in MPa-m$^{1/2}$, $H_V$ is the Vickers microhardness (GPa), $a$ is the half-diagonal of the indentation in mm, and $c$ is the half-distance between the opposite crack tips in mm.

Tribological behavior of composites was evaluated using a zirconia ball counterbody on reciprocating fretting wear tester. The frequency was kept constant for all samples at 5 Hz. The wear test was carried out at constant load of 5 N with $10^3$ cycles for all different samples.

### 2.5. Weight Loss Study in Simulated Biological Fluid

To study the bioactivity of synthesized composites the dissolution study in simulated body fluid (SBF) was carried out. The composition to prepare artificial simulated body fluid was proposed by Kokubo et al. [24]. The chemical reagents used to prepare simulated body fluid are almost 99% pure. The composition and concentration of simulated body fluid solution are prepared by using different chemicals like NaCl, KCl, $K_2HPO_4\cdot3H_2O$, MgCl$_2\cdot6H_2O$, CaCl$_2$, and Na$_2$SO$_4$ in distilled water and pH with tris (hydroxymethyl), aminomethane, and HCl. The required chemical reagents were mixed in distilled water using magnetic stirrer at a temperature of 60°C with a speed of 40 rpm for 30 min. The sintered samples were immersed in SBF for 1, 7, and 60 days. After each immersion period the samples were removed from SBF solution, washed with deionized water and acetone, and dried at room temperature. The surface morphology and elemental composition of HA-nanomica after immersion in SBF were studied by SEM and EDS analysis.
3. Results and Discussion

Figure 2 shows the XRD profiles of pure HA and HA-NM (10, 15, 20, and 25 vol.%) composites after sintering. The XRD spectrum shows the strong peaks of pure HA without NM addition. However, with increase in NM content HA peak intensity decreases and additional peaks of β-TCP appear. Present result is streamlined with previous investigations where thermal dissociation of HA was attributed the reason of β-TCP formation [25, 26]. In addition to this, small traces of mica are noticeable throughout the compositional range. The similar diffraction peaks of HA and mullite composites are observed by Dubey et al. [26] with 20% mullite content consolidated at 1200°C by spark plasma sintering technique. Dasgupta et al. [27] also reported that the microwave sintered pure HA powder (at 1150°C) shows only diffraction peaks corresponding to HA without formation of any secondary phases.

The microstructural features of pure HA and HA-NM composites were observed using SEM. Figure 3(a), the microstructure of pure HA with presence of porosity and nanosized grains whereas Figures 3(b)–3(e) display the microstructure of HA-10% to 25% NM, respectively. The microstructural features of HA-10%NM composite show less porosity as compared to pure HA. The microstructure appears to be dense and less porous in HA-15%NM and HA-20%NM, respectively. Here, the nanomica particles are distributed throughout the matrix. In Figure 3(e) the microstructure of 25% NM content shows the more pores as compared to other microstructures which affects the relative density of the sample (see Table 1). The plastic flow during sintering is evident (Figure 3(f)).

Relative density, hardness, and indentation fracture toughness of the composite are presented in Table 1. It is clear that the relative density increases with NM content. Density of HA-10%NM is 96.20% which increases up to 98% with 20% NM dispersion. However, the density of the composite does not improve on further increase (say ~98% for 25% NM) in NM dispersion; this is in agreement with the earlier reported results in the literature [28–30]. For HA-20%NM higher relative density is owing to minimum porosity content. Formation of porosity can be attributed to different shrinkage of parent phase (HA) and product β-TCP phase (shown in XRD, Figure 2). Dehydroxylation of HA leads to formation of β-TCP during sintering by the following reaction [25, 26]:

\[
\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_{4})_6(\text{OH})_2 \rightarrow 3\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2 + \text{CaO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \uparrow \quad (3)
\]

The microhardness value of HA-10%NM shows hardness of ~2.10 GPa which increases to ~2.80 GPa up to 20% NM addition. With further increase in NM content (say 25 vol.%) resulting composite shows a comparatively lower value of hardness (~2.55 GPa), which may be attributed to agglomeration of NM particles in microwave sintered composites. Dubey et al. [26] observed the Vickers hardness in between 3.2 and 7.2 GPa by spark plasma sintered (1050–1100°C) HA-mullite composites. The indent image of microwave sintered composites shown in Figure 4(a). The fracture toughness of 10% NM is 1.31 MPa·m\(^{1/2}\) which increases up to 1.51 MPa·m\(^{1/2}\) for 20% NM addition in HA matrix. The fracture toughness of HA-25%NM decreases to 1.43 MPa·m\(^{1/2}\) due to decrease in hardness. SEM micrograph of 25% NM content (Figure 4(e)) shows NM agglomeration in HA matrix, presumably responsible for detrition of mechanical properties say microhardness and indentation fracture toughness. As mechanical properties degrade when reinforcement > 20%, we continued further investigations for 20% NM only.

The interactions of a crack path with microstructural features are shown in representative SEM images of HA-20%NM composite (Figure 4). In Figure 4(a), the crack arising from the Vickers indent is shown. A wavy nature of crack path is visible, as marked by a series of arrows (Figure 4(b)). Clearly, the crack goes along the grain boundaries or is deflected and/or bridged by elongated second phase particles on its propagation (Figures 4(c) and 4(d)).

Coefficient of friction (COF) is the ratio of tangential force \(F_t\) to normal load \(F_N\) [31]. The experimentally observed variations of coefficient of friction (COF) against the number of cycles in HA-NM composites under ambient condition are shown in Figures 5(a) and 5(b). Data obtained for the particular test condition (say 5 N load, 5 Hz frequency, 10\(^5\) cycles) refers that COF can be distinguished into three (3) distinct segments say running-in period, intermediate region, and steady state region (see Figure 5(a)). In running in period (~100 cycles) value of COF is minimum (see Figure 5(b)). Most probable reason is less asperity contact as the test duration is short. With increase in number of cycles, COF increases sharply and reaches a steady state. Increase in asperity contacts with time/number of cycles was attributed to this initial rise in COF. As asperities equilibrate...
Table 1: Density, hardness, and fracture toughness of HA-nanomica composites.

| Composition     | Relative density (%) | Vickers hardness (GPa) | Indentation fracture toughness (MPa m^{1/2}) |
|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| HA-10%nanomica  | 96.20                | 2.10                   | 1.31                                        |
| HA-15%nanomica  | 97.65                | 2.35                   | 1.4                                         |
| HA-20%nanomica  | 98.00                | 2.80                   | 1.51                                        |
| HA-25%nanomica  | 97.80                | 2.55                   | 1.43                                        |

Figure 3: SEM images of (a) pure HA, (b) HA-10%nanomica, (c) HA-15%nanomica, (d) HA-20%nanomica, and (e) HA-25%nanomica composites. (f) High magnification image showing plastic flow responsible for densification during sintering.

Table 1 shows the density, hardness, and fracture toughness of HA-nanomica composites with different nanomica compositions. Under steady state, COF reaches a steady state. Under steady state HA-20%NM composite shows minimum COF value (~0.4). At steady state the COF values depict the following trend:

\[ \text{Pure HA} \approx \text{HA-10NM} \approx \text{HA-15NM} \approx \text{HA-25NM} > \text{HA-20NM} \]

Measured values of wear rates are plotted in Figure 6. Superior wear resistance is observed for HA-20NM composite (1.6 \( \times \) 10^{-6} mm^3/Nm) when compared to pure HA (8 \( \times \) 10^{-6} mm^3/Nm). Superior wear resistance was presumable due to its higher hardness and fracture toughness (2.8 MPa and 1.51 MPa m^{1/2}) owing to least porosity (~2%). The porosity of the HA-based composites was obtained by quantitative image analysis.
In order to understand the dominant mechanism governing the fretting wear, topographical investigation of worn surface was carried out by SEM. The worn surface of representative HA-20%NM is shown in Figure 7. The delamination and abrasive mode of material transfer are the main damage mechanism that can be observed. Moreover, the worn surface shows numerous microcracks which formed perpendicular to the fretting direction. The cracks propagate to the surface initiating the generation of wear debris in wear surface. Formation of wear debris and their displacement from contact surface at the time of fretting causes fluctuations in coefficient of friction which has been observed in Figure 5(a). Fu et al. [32] reported the COF of HA coating stable in between 0.7 and 0.8 whereas for titanium alloy COF is 0.6–0.7. Their work demonstrated that the HA coating as well as Ti alloy shows delamination and abrasive mode of wear under unlubricated fretted condition.

The in vitro biocompatibility test of the optimized HA-20%NM composite was conducted by immersing it into simulated body fluid (SBF). It elicits out whether the prepared composite is undergoing any chemical alteration after reaction. After putting it in SBF, chemical composition of dipped sample was analyzed by EDS. The surface microstructure of sample before and after soaking (Figures 8(a) and 8(c)) does not show any detectable microstructural change. It can be seen that both samples show uniform structure without any visible surface cracks. Furthermore, the compositional analysis also reveals similar results, presumably no chemical reaction of the composite with SBF (Figures 8(b) and 8(d)). Zhang et al. [33] reported that the biological behavior of biomaterials depends on the interaction between the simulated body fluid solution and biomaterial. Sample weight of the dipped one also does not show any weight loss after 60 days of immersion. This suggests that the addition of nanomica in HA matrix is stable in SBF for this duration. However, a detailed biocompatibility assessment of the newly developed composite requires the in vivo performance evaluation, which is not within the scope of the work reported here.

Figure 4: Representative SEM micrographs of indent image of (a) HA-20%nanomica. (b) Crack propagation path through matrix (marked by arrow), crack bridging by mica ((c) and (d) marked by arrow), and (e) mica agglomeration and pull-out for HA-25%nanomica composites.
4. Conclusions

Based on experimental results and discussions, the salient conclusions of the present research work can be summarized as follows:

(1) HA-nanomica composite was successfully prepared by mechanical milling and subsequent microwave sintering. With 20% dispersion of second phase optimum mechanical property was achieved. Observed microhardness and indentation fracture toughness of representative HA-20%NM was obtained 2.8 MPa and 1.51 MPam$^{1/2}$, respectively.

(2) Porosity formation is due to different thermal shrinkage of HA and $\beta$-TCP, which forms during sintering by dehydroxylation of HA. SEM observation of the indent crack shows bridging, deflection, and pulling out of second phases which contributes towards hardness of the composite.

(3) At steady state value of COF is minimum ($\sim$0.4) for HA-20%NM and also minimum wear rate 1.6 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$ mm$^3$/Nm., governing wear mechanisms are mainly delamination and abrasive mode of material transfer.

(4) Assessment of in vitro biocompatibility by immersing in SBF shows neither any weight change nor any chemical change (confirmed by EDS), which makes...
it suitable for further in vivo investigation for precise investigation of its biocompatibility.
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