Cell-death-inducing DFFA-like Effector B Contributes to the Assembly of Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) Particles and Interacts with HCV NS5A
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Hepatitis C virus (HCV) uses components of the very-low-density lipoprotein (VLDL) pathway for assembly/release. We previously reported that hepatocyte nuclear factor 4α (HNF4α) participates in HCV assembly/release through downstream factors those participate in VLDL assembly/secrection. Cell-death-inducing DFFA-like effector B (CIDEB) is an important regulator of the VLDL pathway. CIDEB is required for entry of HCV particles from cell culture (HCVcc), but the effects of CIDEB on the post-entry steps of the HCV lifecycle are unclear. In the present study, we determined that CIDEB is required for HCV assembly in addition to HCVcc entry. Furthermore, CIDEB interacts with the HCV NS5A protein, and the N terminus of CIDEB and the domain I of NS5A are involved in this interaction. Moreover, CIDEB silencing impairs the association of apolipoprotein E (ApoE) with HCV particles. Interestingly, CIDEB is also required for the post-entry stages of the dengue virus (DENV) life cycle. Collectively, these results indicate that CIDEB is a new host factor that is involved in HCV assembly, presumably by interacting with viral protein, providing new insight into the exploitation of the VLDL regulator CIDEB by HCV.

As a positive-strand RNA virus belonging to Hepacivirus of Flaviviridae, hepatitis C virus (HCV) hijacks host lipid metabolism during its life cycle1, including viral particle assembly/release. HCV assembly begins on the ER–lipid droplet (LD) surface, where the viral core protein, surface glycoproteins (E1 and E2), and viral RNA are assembled and packaged in a temporally and spatially regulated manner2–4. Nascent HCV particles then form by budding into the ER and fuse with pre-very-low-density lipoprotein (VLDL) particles to form lipo-viro particles (LVPs)²⁵, or nascent HCV particles then form by budding of viral capsids into the ER lumen, incorporate cholesterol and triglycerides (TGs), and further bind ApoB and exchangeable apolipoproteins in a manner similar to lipoproteins to form LVPs⁵. The LVPs finally bud from the ER and transit via the secretory pathway²⁵.

It has been widely discussed that HCV hijacks the VLDL secretory pathway to facilitate its assembly and secretion⁷–⁹. LDs, which are sources of VLDL lipidation, play a crucial role in HCV assembly¹⁰. Several VLDL key components, such as apolipoprotein E (ApoE), ApoC-I, ApoC-III, and ApoA-I, are involved in HCV assembly/release¹¹–¹⁷. We previously reported that PLA₂GXIIIB, another VLDL component, also participates in HCV release¹⁸. One of the mechanisms by which HCV exploits the VLDL components is via protein-protein interactions between HCV proteins and VLDL components, as illustrated by the participation of ApoE in HCV assembly¹²,¹⁹,²⁰. ApoE is an exchangeable apolipoprotein in VLDL assembly and participates in the formation of infectious HCV particles by interacting with HCV NS5A and E2 proteins¹²,¹⁹,²⁰.
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Cell-death-inducing DFFA-like effector B (CIDE-B), a member of the CIDE protein family, is primarily expressed in liver tissues as well as in the small intestine\(^{21,22}\). As a CIDE family member, overexpression of CIDE-B protein induces cell death, but the physiological function of CIDE-B is more closely related to various lipid metabolic pathways, particularly the VLDL pathway\(^{22–25}\). For instance, CIDE-B mediates VLDL lipidation and maturation by interacting with ApoB\(^{24}\); CIDE-B is also required for the biogenesis of VLDL transport vesicles\(^{25}\) and for chylomicron lipidation in the small intestine\(^{25}\). CIDE-B is transcriptionally regulated by hepatocyte nuclear factor 4\(\alpha\) (HNF4\(\alpha\)), the most abundant transcription factor in the liver; HNF4\(\alpha\) is crucial for VLDL-mediated lipid transport and participates in HCV assembly/release\(^{18}\). As a key transcriptional coactivator of HNF4\(\alpha\), peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma coactivator-1\(\alpha\) (PGC-1\(\alpha\)) also regulates HCV production\(^{26}\), and PGC-1\(\alpha\) stimulates VLDL assembly in a CIDE-B-dependent manner\(^{27}\).

CIDE-B is required for HCVcc entry into hepatocytes\(^{29}\); however, the effects of CIDE-B on the post-entry steps of the HCV lifecycle are unclear. Based on the function and regulation of CIDE-B, we hypothesized that CIDE-B, as a VLDL regulator, is also involved in HCV assembly/release. In this study, we validated this hypothesis and determined that 1) CIDE-B is a previously unrecognized requirement for HCV assembly; 2) CIDE-B interacts with the HCV NS5A protein and regulates the association of HCV particles with ApoE; and 3) CIDE-B also regulates the post-entry stages of the dengue virus (DENV) lifecycle.

**Results**

**CIDE-B contributes to the assembly of HCV particles.** CIDE-B is required for HCV production. To determine the importance of CIDE-B for HCV infection, we investigated whether decreasing CIDE-B expression affects HCV production. Huh7.5.1 cells were transfected with CIDE-B siRNAs and then inoculated with HCV-Jc1EGFP. Compared with siNC, siCIDE-B reduced HCV viral protein expression (as indicated by the HCV core protein) (Fig. 1a) and the number of intracellular NS5A-positive cells (Fig. S1a) and extracellular and intracellular HCV RNA levels (Fig. S1a). In addition, extracellular HCV infectivity also decreased, as observed in both the limiting dilution (Fig. 1b) and FCN (Fig. S1a) assays. No siRNA-related toxicity effect was observed (data not shown).

CD81\(^{29}\), RACK1\(^{30}\), PI4KIII\(\alpha\)\(^{31–33}\), and ApoE\(^{8,12,17,34}\) are important cellular factors in HCV entry, IRES-mediated translation, RNA replication, and assembly, respectively. To determine the specific stage of the HCV life cycle that requires CIDE-B, a panel of siRNAs targeting these genes was used. Consistent with a previous report, CIDE-B silencing did not affect HCV pseudoparticle (HCVpp) infection (Fig. 1c) but did affect HCVcc entry (Fig. S1c). To determine whether CIDE-B is required for HCV IRES-mediated translation, Huh7.5.1 cells stably expressing T7 RNA polymerase were treated with siRNAs, followed by transfection with the linearized HCV subgenomic replicon (SGR-GND-Luc) downstream of the T7 RNA promoter. SGR-GND is defective in HCV RNA replication but is competent in HCV protein translation\(^{35}\). As shown in Fig. 1d, siCIDE-B treatment did not reduce the level of luciferase expression. As a positive control, silencing of RACK1 (which is important for HCV IRES-mediated translation\(^{35}\)) significantly impaired luciferase expression. This result suggests that CIDE-B does not affect HCV IRES-dependent translation.

To determine whether CIDE-B is required for HCV RNA replication, siCIDE-B was transfected into Huh7.5.1 cells harboring HCV subgenomic replicons: Huh7.5.1-sgJFH2a for genotype 2a and Huh7-sgHCV1b for genotype 1b. CIDE-B knockdown had no effect on intracellular HCV RNA and NS3 protein levels in both Huh7.5.1-sgJFH2a cells (Fig. 1e) and Huh7-sgHCV1b cells (data not shown). By contrast, as a positive control, siPI4KIII\(\alpha\) significantly decreased HCV RNA and NS3 protein expression levels (Fig. 1e). This result suggests that CIDE-B does not affect HCV RNA replication.

To further investigate whether CIDE-B is involved in the late stage of the HCV life cycle, we calculated the specific infectivity (infectious titer divided by the HCV RNA copy number) and the ratio of the extracellular HCV titer to the intracellular HCV titer, as previously described\(^{18,26}\). The specific infectivity was calculated to evaluate the efficiency of HCV assembly\(^{36}\), whereas the ratio of the extracellular titer to the intracellular titer was used to evaluate the level of viral particle secretion\(^{18,26}\). Results showed that similar to siApoE, siCIDE-B significantly reduced extracellular HCV specific infectivity whereas siCD81 had no effect (Fig. 1f), and that both siCIDE-B and siApoE had no effect on the ratio of the extracellular titer to the intracellular titer (Fig. 1g). These results suggest that CIDE-B regulates HCV particle assembly but not secretion.

Collectively, these results indicate that, in addition to entry\(^{29}\), CIDE-B also affects HCV assembly.

**CIDE-B is involved in the assembly step of the HCV life cycle.** To further confirm the importance of CIDE-B for HCV assembly, we employed two additional cell lines: persistently HCV-infected Huh7.5.1 cells and shCD81-transduced Huh7.5.1 cells (Fig. 2a). We defined Huh7.5.1 cells from 8 days post-infection (dpi) with HCV as persistently HCV-infected cells; these cells exhibited a relatively constant infection efficiency of >90%, as evidenced by the percentage of core-positive cells (Fig. 2b). The persistently infected cells and shCD81-transduced cells did not permit HCV re-infection; therefore, these cells were used to analyze the importance of CIDE-B for HCV assembly without interference from HCVcc entry.

HCV-Jc1EGFP persistently infected cells were transduced with CIDE-B siRNA. Cell lysates and culture supernatants were collected and analyzed at 4 dpi (Fig. 2a). The percentage of NS5A-EGFP-positive cells did not change upon siCIDE-B, siCD81, or siApoE treatment, suggesting that HCV reinfection did not happen in HCV-Jc1EGFP persistently infected cells (Fig. 2c). In addition, similar to siApoE, siCIDE-B markedly decreased extracellular and intracellular HCV infectivity, whereas siCD81 had no effect (Fig. 2d,e) (as shown in Fig. S1d, siCD81 pre-treatment decreased HCV production when naïve Huh7.5.1 cells were infected with HCV-Jc1EGFP, suggesting that siCD81 functioned properly). Moreover, exogenous overexpression of CIDE-B encoded by a siCIDE-B-resistant gene rescued extracellular HCV infectivity impaired by CIDE-B knockdown (Fig. 2f).

Furthermore, expression of a CIDE-B TALEN (transcription activator-like effector nuclease) pair significantly
decreased extracellular HCV infectivity in HCV-Jc1EGFP persistently infected cells (Fig. S1e–h), confirming that CIDEB is responsible for the decreased HCV infectivity.

To confirm the above observation, an alternative approach was taken. Two weeks after shCD81-transduction, Huh7.5.1 cells were transfected with siRNA and then inoculated with HCVpp at 48 hpt. HCVpp infectivity was evaluated by FCM at 3 dpi. The values are presented relative to siNC after normalization by VSVGpp infectivity. (d) Effect of CIDEB knockdown on HCV IRES-mediated translation. Huh7.5.1 cells stably expressing T7 RNA polymerase and pretreated with siRNA for 48 hpt were transfected with the linearized SGR-GND-luciferase reporter plasmid, and luciferase expression was measured at 24 hpt. The pRL-TK-Renilla reporter plasmid served as a transfection control.

CIDEB co-localizes with NS5A. To determine whether CIDEB co-localizes with HCV proteins, GFP-CIDEB was co-expressed with each individual HCV protein and examined by confocal microscopy. Because CIDEB exists as a homodimer, the co-localization of Flag-CIDEB and GFP-CIDEB was used as a positive control (Fig. 3a). As shown in Fig. 3a, CIDEB co-localized with HCV NS5A and the core protein but not with the NS4B protein. The co-localization of NS5A with CIDEB was further confirmed by subjecting HCV-Jc1EGFP-infected cells to a
co-localization assay. Both exogenous Flag-CIDEB (Fig. 3b) and endogenous CIDEB (Fig. 3c) co-localized with endogenous NS5A-EGFP. We concluded from these results that CIDEB co-localizes with HCV NS5A.

CIDEB interacts with NS5A. To determine whether CIDEB directly interacts with NS5A, co-immunoprecipitation experiments were performed. HEK293T cells were co-transfected with HCV proteins and CIDEB. The cell lysates were subjected to IP using an anti-Flag or anti-HA antibody or anti-GFP antibody and then immunoblotted using anti-HA or anti-FLAG or anti-NS3 antibodies. CIDEB co-immunoprecipitated with NS5A (Fig. 4a and Fig. S2a) and NS2 (Fig. S2a) but not with Core (Fig. S2a), NS3 (Fig. S2a), NS4B (Fig. 4a), or NS5B (Fig. S2a), indicating that CIDEB

Figure 2. CIDEB is required for the assembly of HCV particles. (a) Schematic of the two protocols used to determine the effect of CIDEB silencing on infectious HCV particle assembly. In protocol one, Huh7.5.1 cells were infected with HCV-Jc1EGFP (0.02 MOI) for 8 days, re-seeded, and transfected with siRNA. Viral supernatants and cells were collected at 12 dpi. In protocol two, Huh7.5.1 cells were transduced with shRNA targeting CD81, and pools of cells with stable shCD81 expression (named shCD81-transduced Huh7.5.1 cells) were established by puromycin selection. shCD81-transduced Huh7.5.1 cells were then transfected with HCV-Jc1 RNA for 3 days, re-seeded, and transfected with siRNA. Viral supernatants and cells were collected at 7 dpt. (b–f) The effect of CIDEB silencing on HCV assembly was evaluated by protocol one. (b) The intracellular core expression in HCV-infected Huh7.5.1 cells was detected by IFA at 8 dpi. (c) FCM analysis of the percentage of intracellular NS5A-EGFP-positive cells. (d) Analysis of extracellular HCV infectivity (FFU/ml). (e) Analysis of intracellular HCV infectivity (FFU/ml). (f) Persistently HCV-infected Huh7.5.1 cells were co-transfected with siCIDEB and plasmid expressing siRNA-resistant CIDEB at 8 dpi, and the HCV titer in the supernatants was then evaluated at 12 dpi. (c–f) The results are presented as the mean ± SD (n = 3 independent experiments; *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001). (g–j) The effect of CIDEB silencing on HCV assembly was evaluated by protocol two. (g) qRT-PCR was performed to determine the CD81 mRNA level (normalized to shControl after normalization to 18S rRNA). (h) HCVpp infectivity in shCD81 and shControl cells was evaluated by FCM at 3 dpi. (i) The extracellular HCV infectivity was determined. (j) shCD81-transduced Huh7.5.1 cells were co-transfected with siCIDEB and a plasmid expressing siRNA-resistant CIDEB at 3 dpt, and the HCV titer in the supernatants was evaluated at 7 dpt. (h–j) The results are presented as the mean ± SD (n = 3 independent experiments; *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001).
interacts with NS5A in addition to the previous reported NS2/3C. IP assays using HCV-Jc1EGFP-infected cells transfected with Flag-CIDEB further confirmed that CIDEB also interacts with NS5A in HCV infected cells (Fig. 4b).

To determine whether the NS5A-CIDEB interaction is conserved among different genotypic NS5A or among different species of CIDEB, HEK293T cells were co-transfected with the expression vectors pReceiver-Flag-NS5A (from genotype 2a or 1b) and pReceiver-HA-CIDEB (from human, primate tree shrew or rodent mouse). The cell lysates were subjected to IP using an anti-Flag antibody and then immunoblotted using an anti-HA antibody. As shown in Fig. 4c and S2b, human HA-CIDEB co-immunoprecipitated with Flag-NS5A from both genotype 2a (JFH1) and genotype 1b (Con1), whereas tree shrew HA-CIDEB and mouse HA-CIDEB did not co-immunoprecipitate with Flag-NS5A from either genotype. These results indicate that human CIDEB interacts with HCV NS5A, whereas tree shrew CIDEB and mouse CIDEB do not interact with HCV NS5A.

Collectively, these results indicate that CIDEB interacts with HCV NS5A.

Figure 3. Analysis of the co-localization of CIDEB with HCV NS5A. (a) The co-localization of GFP-CIDEB with HCV proteins (Core, Flag-NS5A, and Flag-NS4B) was analyzed by confocal microscopy. The magnification of the framed section of the merged images is presented at the right of the merged images. (b) Co-localization of exogenous Flag-CIDEB with endogenous NS5A-EGFP in HCV-Jc1EGFP-infected Huh7.5.1 cells. (c) Co-localization of endogenous CIDEB with endogenous NS5A-EGFP in HCV-Jc1EGFP-infected Huh7.5.1 cells. The insets at the lower left of the merged images show the boxed areas with an arrow. (a–c) Arrows indicate the selected lines in the RGB line profiles to the right of each row.
Figure 4. CIDEB interacts with the HCV NS5A protein, and the N terminus of CIDEB and domain I of NS5A are essential for the CIDEB-NS5A interaction. (a) Co-IP assay to determine the interaction of exogenous HA-CIDEB with Flag-NS5A and Flag-NS4B in HEK293T cells. Cell lysates were subjected to IP with an anti-Flag antibody and then immunoblotted with an anti-HA antibody. (b) Co-IP assay to determine the interaction of exogenous Flag-CIDEB with endogenous NS5A-EGFP in HCV-Jc1EGFP-infected Huh7.5.1 cells transfected with pReceiver-Flag-CIDEB. (c) Co-IP assay to determine the interaction of exogenous CIDEB from different species (Homo sapiens, Tupaia chinensis, and Mus musculus) with Flag-NS5A (from HCV-JFH1) in HEK293T cells. (d) The principle of the yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) assay: AH109 transfected with HCV NS5A mutants mated with Y187 transfected with CIDEB mutants; interaction between two proteins is indicated by the activation of the reporter genes HIS3 and ADE, which allows the mated yeast cells to grow on plates containing SD/-Ade/-His/-Leu/-Trp (+++ ++). UAS: upstream activating sequence; AD: activation domain; BD: DNA-binding domain. (e) Schematic of the tested CIDEB constructs and screening results for the CIDEB-NS5A interaction. (f) Schematic of the tested NS5A constructs and screening results for the CIDEB-NS5A interaction. ND: cannot be determined due to autoactivation. (g,h) Co-IP assays to identify the specific domain of NS5A responsible for the CIDEB-NS5A interaction. HEK293T cells were co-transfected with the expression vectors for Flag-CIDEB and truncated HA-NS5A. (g) The cell lysates were subjected to IP with an anti-Flag antibody and then immunoblotted with an anti-HA antibody. (h) The cell lysates were subjected to IP with an anti-Flag antibody and then immunoblotted with an anti-HA antibody. (i-k) The effect of siRNA-resistant truncated CIDEB on HCV production in siCIDEB-pretreated Huh7.5.1 cells. The results are presented as the mean ± SD (n = 3 independent experiments; *P < 0.05).
Figure 5. CIDEB silencing impairs the association of HCV particles with ApoE and disrupts the NS5A-ApoE interaction. (a–c) Concentrated culture supernatants from HCV persistently infected Huh7.5.1 cells treated with siRNAs were subjected to 20–60% sucrose gradients and equilibrium ultracentrifugation. Eleven fractions were harvested from the top of the sucrose gradients and used to determine the density, HCV RNA levels, infectivity titer, and HCV core amounts. (a) The buoyant density of sucrose was plotted with the HCV RNA level as measured by qRT-PCR in each fraction. (b) The HCV infectivity of each fraction was evaluated. (c) Left: Western blot analysis of the ApoE and core protein levels in each fraction of the sucrose gradient. The right panel displays the relative core-to-total-core amounts as detected in all fractions. (d) Western blot analysis of ApoE from the indicated fractions of the sucrose gradient those were rich in HCV infectious particles. (e) Western blot analysis of total supernatants ApoE (left) and cell lysates ApoE (right). (f) The effect of CIDEB...
The N terminus of CIDEB and domain I of NS5A are involved in the CIDEB-NS5A interaction. To further explore the domains responsible for the CIDEB-NS5A interaction, a yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) assay was performed (Fig. 4d). As indicated in Fig. 4e, analysis of various CIDEB mutants revealed that the N terminus of CIDEB is required for the interaction with NS5A. NS5A deletion mutants were also evaluated to identify the NS5A domains essential for the NS5A-CIDEB interaction. Deletion of domain II eliminated the NS5A-CIDEB interaction, whereas deletion of domain III did not affect the NS5A-CIDEB interaction (Fig. 4f and S2c). NS5A-ΔI was autoactivated in this Y2H system; thus, we could not evaluate its interaction with CIDEB (Fig. 4f and S2c).

We further performed co-IP assays to identify which domain of NS5A accounts for the CIDEB-NS5A interaction. As shown in Fig. 4g, h, both NS5A-Δ(DII + DIII) and NS5A-ΔDI co-immunoprecipitated with Flag-CIDEB, whereas NS5A-ΔDI did not co-immunoprecipitate with Flag-CIDEB, suggesting that the DI domain is required for the CIDEB-NS5A interaction. Taken together, the Y2H results and the co-IP results indicate that the N terminus of CIDEB and domain I of NS5A are involved in the CIDEB-NS5A interaction (summarized in Fig. S2c).

The Y2H analysis also suggested that the NS5A-CIDEB interaction is not restricted to genotype 2a because the interaction was also observed with NS5A from genotype 1b (HCV-Con1) (Fig. S2c). The HCV core and NS3 did not interact with CIDEB in the Y2H system (data not shown).

These results collectively indicate that CIDEB interacts with NS5A and that the N terminus of CIDEB and domain I of NS5A are involved in the CIDEB-NS5A interaction (summarized in Fig. S2c).

The NS5A-interaction domain and LD-binding domain of CIDEB are required for HCV production. To identify the specific domains of CIDEB that are functionally important for HCV production, Huh7.5.1 cells were transfected with siCIDEB to knockdown the endogenous CIDEB protein. These cells were then transfected with plasmids encoding truncated forms of CIDEB that are siCIDEB-resistant. Exogenous overexpression of siRNA-resistant full-length CIDEB rescued extracellular HCV infectivity impaired by CIDEB knockdown, whereas expression of CIDEB Δ(1–117) did not rescue HCV production, suggesting that the N-terminal region that interacts with NS5A is required for HCV production (Fig. 4i–k). In addition, deletion of the LD-binding domain (aa 166–219) within CIDEB abolished its ability to rescue HCV production, whereas deletion of the ApoB-interaction domain (aa 116–165) rescued HCV production, suggesting that the LD-binding domain but not the ApoB-interaction domain of CIDEB is required for HCV production (Fig. 4k).

Collectively, these results suggest that the NS5A-interaction domain and LD-binding domain of CIDEB are required for HCV production.

CIDEB silencing impairs the association of HCV particles with ApoE and disrupts the NS5A-ApoE interaction. CIDEB silencing impairs the association of HCV particles with ApoE. We next characterized the extracellular HCV particles produced from siCIDEB-treated HCV-Jc1EGFP persistently infected cells by sucrose density gradient centrifugation. Because ApoE is a key component of infectious HCV particles and affects HCV assembly, siApoE-treated cells were used as a positive control. In most of the fractions, higher HCV RNA copy numbers (Fig. 5a) and lower HCV infectivity (Fig. 5b) were observed in siCIDEB-treated cells compared with siNC cells (Fig. 5c). This result is consistent with a previous report in which ApoE silencing enhanced the extracellular HCV RNA content12. Although the density distribution of the HCV infectious particles produced from siCIDEB cells was similar to that of particles produced from siNC-treated cells, we observed a shift toward a higher density of viral core protein released from both siCIDEB and siApoE cells (Fig. 5c). These results indicate that CIDEB silencing induces higher levels of core protein and HCV RNA, which is generally not infectious in HCV persistently infected cells.

Reduced ApoE expression from fractions 4 to 7 released from siCIDEB and siApoE cells was observed compared with siNC cells (Fig. 5c). This reduced expression was most apparent when ApoE expression from fractions 6 to 8 was visualized in the same gel (Fig. 5d). However, ApoE expression in the total supernatant and cell lysates were similar for siCIDEB-treated and siNC cells (Fig. 5e). These results suggest that CIDEB silencing affects the amount of ApoE associated with HCV particles without affecting the total amounts of ApoE secreted in the supernatant.

Collectively, these results indicate that CIDEB silencing impairs the association of HCV particles with ApoE.

CIDEB silencing disrupts the NS5A-ApoE interaction. Previous studies have suggested that HCV NS5A and E2 interact with ApoE and are involved in ApoE recruitment12,13,38. To determine whether CIDEB is important for these interactions, we analyzed the effect of siCIDEB treatment on co-localization of NS5A and E2 with ApoE. siCIDEB impaired the co-localization of NS5A-EGFP with endogenous ApoE in HCV-Jc1EGFP persistently infected Huh7.5.1 cells (Fig. 5f,g) but had no effect on ApoE-E2 co-localization (Fig. S3). Moreover, Huh7.5.1 cells transduced with Flag-NS5A and HA-ApoE lentivirus were treated with siNC or siCIDEB, and CIDEB silencing impaired exogenous NS5A-ApoE interaction in the co-IP analysis (Fig. 5h). These results suggest that CIDEB is involved in the NS5A-ApoE interaction.
CIDEB is required for the post-entry stages of the DENV lifecycle. In addition to HCV, other viruses of the genus Flavivirus, such as DENV, interact with host lipid metabolism. To determine whether CIDEB utilization is unique to HCV or also occurs in DENV, DENV production was analyzed in Huh7.5.1 cells treated with siRNA. CIDEB silencing decreased extracellular DENV infectivity (Fig. 6a). NS1 protein staining was weaker in CIDEB-knocked down cells, indicating lower DENV replication (Fig. 6b). To determine which steps of the DENV lifecycle are affected by CIDEB, time-course analysis was performed to monitor the DENV infection efficiency. Compared with siNC cells, DENV RNA levels were unchanged in siCIDEB treated cells at 24 and 48 hpi but markedly lower at 72 hpi (Fig. 6c). These results indicate that CIDEB is required for the post-entry stages of the DENV life cycle.

Discussion
This study is the first to report that CIDEB, a VLDL regulator, contributes to HCV assembly and interacts with the HCV NS5A protein.

We demonstrated that CIDEB is involved in the assembly step of the HCV lifecycle. This study provides the following lines of evidence to demonstrate that CIDEB is involved in HCV assembly: 1) decreased extracellular HCV-Jc1 specific infectivity upon siCIDEB treatment (Fig. 1f); 2) decreased intracellular and extracellular HCV infectivity in HCV-Jc1EGFP persistently infected Huh7.5.1 cells upon CIDEB silencing (Figs 2d,e and S1h); 3) decreased HCV infectivity upon CIDEB silencing in shCD81-transduced Huh7.5.1 cells (Fig. 2i); 4) Exogenous overexpression of siCIDEB-resistant CIDEB rescued extracellular HCV infectivity impaired by CIDEB knockdown in HCV-Jc1EGFP persistently infected cells (Fig. 2f) and shCD81-transduced Huh7.5.1 cells (Fig. 2j); 5) alteration of the biophysical characteristics of HCV particles by siCIDEB (Fig. 5).

Three laboratories have recently focused on the effect of CIDEB on the HCV life cycle. Wu et al. demonstrated that CIDEB is required for HCVcc entry into hepatocytes and does not affect HCV RNA replication in the HCV subgenomic system. Singaravelu et al. demonstrated that siCIDEB treatment does not affect HCV RNA replication in Huh7 cells harboring an HCV subgenomic replicon. This study is the first to report that CIDEB contributes to HCV assembly and that CIDEB interacts with the HCV NS5A protein. In summary, CIDEB affects HCV entry and assembly but not HCV RNA replication in Huh7 and derived cells. The demonstrated contribution

Figure 6. CIDEB is required for DENV production. Huh7.5.1 cells were transfected with siCIDEB and then inoculated with DENV at 48 hpt. (a) Limiting dilution assay to determine the effect of CIDEB knockdown on the DENV titer at 72 hpi. (b) The effect of CIDEB silencing on DENV NS1 expression at 72 hpi was quantified by IF assay. (c) The intracellular DENV RNA level at the indicated times was determined by qRT-PCR. (a,c) The results are presented as the mean ± SD (n = 3 independent experiments; *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001).
of siCIDEB treatment on HCV RNA replication in human-serum-differentiated hepatoma cells observed by Singaravelu et al.\textsuperscript{40} may reflect differences between serum-differentiated hepatoma cells and Huh7 cells. In addition, Lee et al. and Wu et al. from the same group found that HCV infection down-regulates CIDEB protein and also investigated the mechanism and biological consequence of HCV-induced downregulation of CIDEB\textsuperscript{41}. They found that HCV down-regulates CIDEB by inducing CIDEB protein degradation, most likely through proteolytic cleavage, and further demonstrated that knockout of CIDEB in Huh7.5 cells reduces TGS secretion and VLDL lipidation and stabilizes cytoplasmic LDs in a manner similar to HCV infection, which may contributes to hepatic steatosis in the setting of HCV infection\textsuperscript{41}. Considering the important role of CIDEB in LDs stabilization and VLDL secretion, it is highly pertinent that CIDEB plays a role in HCV lipoviral particle assembly.

CIDEB is required for VLDL assembly/release. In this study, CIDEB was identified as a novel host factor that facilitates HCV assembly and interacts with NS5A; CIDEB silencing impaired the NS5A-ApoE interaction and the association of ApoE with the HCV particle and resulted in the production of higher levels of core protein and HCV RNA, which are generally not infectious. Fusions of pre-VLDL with premature HCV particles promote the low density and high infectivity of HCV particles. These results suggest that HCV may use CIDEB and/or the NS5A-CIDEB interaction to promote the fusion of pre-VLDL with premature HCV particles (Fig. 7). CIDEB is predominantly located on LDs and secondarily on the smooth ER by its C terminus\textsuperscript{24}. Therefore, by localizing on the smooth ER and LDs by its C terminus, the N terminus of CIDEB may interact with NS5A to influence the NS5A-ApoE interaction, which further affects the association of HCV particles with ApoE. The detailed mechanisms should be further investigated.

NS5A is a multifunctional protein, and its functions are presumably dependent on interactions with various host factors. In this study, CIDEB was identified as a novel NS5A-interacting protein, as determined by confocal microscopy analysis, co-immunoprecipitation, and Y2H analysis. Notably, CIDEB silencing impaired the NS5A-ApoE interaction (Fig. 5f–h). These results suggest that CIDEB does not compete with ApoE to interact with NS5A, which is consistent with that different domains of NS5A interact with CIDEB and ApoE. The N terminus of CIDEB may interact with NS5A to influence the NS5A-ApoE interaction and further affect the fusion of pre-VLDL with premature HCV particles (Fig. 7). However, the detailed mechanisms should be further investigated.

The N terminus (aa 1–117) of CIDEB is required for the NS5A-CIDEB interaction. The structure of CIDEB (aa 1–117) has been analyzed by NMR spectroscopy\textsuperscript{42}. Both ends of CIDEB (aa 1–117) exhibit conformational flexibility, and the internal portion of CIDEB (aa 1–117), which consists of two oppositely charged regions, may be involved in the CIDEB-NS5A interaction. In contrast to mouse and tree shrew CIDEB, human CIDEB interacts...
efficiently with the HCV NS5A protein (Figs 4c and S2b). We also observed a weak co-immunoprecipitation of tree shrew CIDEB with NS5A in Fig. 4C, which suggests a weak interaction. Differences in the CIDEB-coding sequences among Homo sapiens, Tupaia belangeri chinensis, and Mus musculus probably determine the NS5A-CIDEB interaction (Fig. 4B). The gradually decreased detection of the interaction of NS5A with CIDEB from Homo sapiens, Tupaia belangeri chinensis, and Mus musculus is consistent with the gradually reduced susceptibility of these species to HCV infection, which suggested that CIDEB is an important determinant for the HCV host tropism. In addition to NS5A, NS2 has also been reported to interact with CIDEB47. A previous two-hybrid analysis47 demonstrated that the amino acids at positions 135 to 139 of NS2 are responsible for the CIDEB-NS2 interaction. We performed IP analysis and detected the interaction between NS2 and CIDEB in an overexpression system (Fig. S2a). Considering that NS2 plays an important role in HCV assembly43–45 as well as CIDEB, the NS2-CIDEB interaction might contribute to HCV assembly. However, further study is needed to confirm this hypothesis.

As members of the family Flaviviridae, both DENV and HCV interact with host lipid metabolism10,39. The present study demonstrated that CIDEB is also required for DENV production (Fig. 6). This result suggests that CIDEB may be involved in other RNA virus life cycles in which lipid metabolism plays a critical role. However, further investigation is required to confirm this hypothesis.

In summary, we identified a novel function of CIDEB in HCV assembly and interaction with NS5A. Our results demonstrate that CIDEB silencing impairs the ApoE-NS5A interaction, which may underlie the decrease of ApoE amounts in HCV particles and the invalid assembly of HCV particles. These results provide new insights into the exploitation of the VLDL regulator CIDEB by HCV.

Methods

Cell culture. HEK293T (ATCC), Huh7.5.1 (from Dr. Francis V. Chisari, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California, USA), Huh7-sGHC1b and Huh7.5.1-sgJFH2a cells were maintained as previously reported18.

Plasmids. The pRleni vector was used to generate the following expression plasmids: HA-APOE, Flag-NS4B and Flag-NS5A. HA-CIDEB (Homo sapiens: NP_055245.2, Mus musculus: NP_034024.2, and Tupaia belangeri chinensis: XP_006144636.1), Flag-CIDEB and GFP-CIDEB were constructed by cloning CIDEB-encoding fragments into the following respective plasmids: pReceiver-M06 (3 × HA), pReceiver-M12 (3 × Flag), and pReceiver-M29 (GFP). Flag-NS5A (from JFH1 and Con1) and Flag-Core were constructed with pReceiver-M12 plasmids. HA-NS5A, truncated HA-NS5A and HA-NS5B were constructed with pReceiver-M06 (3 × HA). GFP-NS2 was constructed with pReceiver-M29 (GFP).

Virus. The adapted HCV-Jc1EGFP (the EGFP gene was inserted into the C terminus of the NS5A-encoding sequence in the HCV-Jc1 genome) was prepared as previously described18. Dengue type 2 virus strain 43 was provided by Dr. Zhao Wei (Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China). Lentiviral particles were produced as previously described46 by transfecting HEK293T cells with the pRleni construct, the packaging psPAX2 construct (Addgene plasmid 12260), and a construct that expressed the glycoprotein of vesicular stomatitis virus pMD2.G (Addgene plasmid 12259).

Antibodies and reagents. The following antibodies were used: anti-HCV core antibody (C7-50, Abcam), anti-HCV NS3 antibody (H23, Abcam), monoclonal mouse GFP antibody (AG281, Beyotime), monoclonal mouse anti-Flag antibody (F1804, Sigma), monoclonal mouse HA antibody (AH138, Beyotime), polyclonal rabbit HA Antibody (Sc-805, Santa Cruz), anti-DENV NS1 antibody (ab41616, Abcam), anti-β-actin antibody (BioVision), anti-CIDEB monoclonal antibody (M01, Abnova), anti-ApoE antibody (10817-RP02, Sino Biological), polyclonal anti-CIDEB antibody (LS-C119539, Lifespan), goat anti-mouse antibody (Chemicon), goat anti-rabbit antibody (Chemicon) conjugated with horseradish peroxidase, Cy3-labeled goat anti-mouse IgG (H + L) (Beyotime), Alexa Fluor 488-labeled goat anti-rabbit IgG (Beyotime), Alexa Fluor 555-labeled donkey anti-rabbit IgG (H + L) (Beyotime) and Alexa Fluor 647-labeled goat anti-rabbit IgG (Beyotime).

Virus titration assay. HCV titers in cell lysates or viral supernatants were quantified as previously described46. In brief, two assays were performed to determine HCV titers. To titrate supernatant HCV-Jc1 titers, viral RNA isolation, reverse transcription, and real-time PCR. RNA isolation was performed as previously described46. In brief, total intracellular RNA was extracted from the cells using TRIZol (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Extracellular HCV RNA was extracted from the supernatant using a QIAamp viral RNA mini kit (Qiagen). RNA was then reverse transcribed using a Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase (Fermentas) in the presence of random hexamers (TaKaRa). The reaction mixture was incubated for
10 min at 25°C and then for 1 h at 42°C. The reaction was terminated by heating at 95°C for 5 min. cDNA was used to perform SYBR-PCR using a SYBR Premix Ex Taq kit (TaKaRa). The housekeeping gene 18S rRNA was used as an internal control. The primer sequences used for real-time PCR are available upon request. Real-time PCR reactions were performed in triplicate using the CFX96 Touch™ real-time PCR detection system (BIO-RAD).

**Preparation of cell extracts and immunoblotting.** Immunoblotting was performed as previously described. Proteins were extracted from cells using radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer supplemented with protease inhibitors (Cocktail, Sigma), and β-actin or GAPDH was used as an internal control. For immunoblotting, equal amounts of protein extracts were boiled in sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) loading buffer containing β-mercaptoethanol (140 mM) and then subjected to SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. The electrophoresed samples were transferred onto PVDF (Millipore) or nitrocellulose membranes (Portran, Whatman) and incubated with the respective primary antibodies. Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies were used to visualize the corresponding proteins in an enhanced chemiluminescence detection system (Millipore). Chemiluminescence light was detected using either a photographic film or a ChemiDoc™ MP system (Bio-Rad).

**Immunofluorescence assay (IFA) and confocal microscopy.** Immunofluorescence assay and confocal microscopy experiments were performed as previously described. Cells were seeded onto cover slides 24 h after transfection or 72 h after infection. After overnight culture, the cells seeded on the cover slides were directly fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 15 min at room temperature, followed by permeabilization with 0.5% Triton X-100 for 5 min. These cells were then stained with diluted antibodies. The FLAG epitope in the fusion proteins was detected using anti-FLAG monoclonal antibody M2 (Sigma, USA) followed by goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin conjugated to Alexa Fluor 555 dye (Beiyotime). The HCV Core protein was detected using an anti-core monoclonal antibody followed by goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin conjugated with Alexa Fluor 555 dye. Endogenous CIDEB was detected using anti-CIDEB monoclonal antibody. APOE was detected using anti-APOE rabbit polyclonal antibody and Alexa Fluor 647-labeled goat anti-rabbit IgG (Beiyotime) or Alexa Fluor 555-labeled donkey anti-rabbit IgG (H + L) (Beyotime). To counterstain nuclei, cells were incubated with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride (DAPI; Molecular Probes, USA). The cover slides were viewed and imaged using a confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss LSM 710 or Leica SP8 Confocal System).

**Immunoprecipitation (IP).** IP was performed as previously described. In brief, transfected cells were washed with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), suspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% NP-40, 1.0 mM EDTA, and 0.5 mM PMSF) for 30 min at 4°C, and passed 50 times through G23 needles. The lysates were centrifuged at 13,000 × g for 20 min at 4°C. The bound antibody was added to the supernatant and incubated overnight at 4°C. Approximately 80 μl of 50% protein A/G bead (Santa Cruz) suspension was added to the supernatant and subsequently incubated at 4°C for 3 h. The beads were washed with lysis buffer once and with PBS five times. The beads were resuspended in 50 μl of PBS and then boiled with 12 μl of 5 × loading sample buffer for 10 min. The supernatant (25 μl per lane) was analyzed by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; the separated protein bands were transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane (Portran, Whatman). The membrane was blocked for 1 h in PBS with 0.05% Tween-20 containing 5% milk and then incubated with antibody as needed. The bound antibodies were detected with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated rabbit anti-mouse IgG and enhanced chemiluminescence (Millipore).

**Yeast two-hybrid (Y2H).** The CIDEB–NS5A interaction was determined by Y2H analysis. In brief, a panel of truncated mutants of CIDEB and NS5A was subcloned by PCR amplification. The mutants were inserted into pGBK7T and pGADT7 by fusion with the DNA-binding and activation domains, respectively. Small-scale yeast mating was performed. AH109 yeast cells were pre-transformed with truncated NS5A in pGBK7T and mated with Y187 yeast cells that were pre-transformed with truncated CIDEB in pGADT7. The mated yeast cells were then spread onto small SD agar plates, and positive clones were screened on SD/-Trp/-Leu/-His plates with 2 mM 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole (3-AT, Sigma) at 30 °C for 5 to 8 d. AH109 yeast expressing pGBK7T–53 was mated with Y187 yeast expressing pGADT7–SV40T; the resulting product was used as a positive control. Empty pGBK7T and pGADT7 were used as negative controls.

**Sucrose density gradient centrifugation.** Sucrose density gradient centrifugation was performed as previously described. The culture medium of HCV-infected cells treated with siRNAs was centrifuged 3,000 rpm, 20 min) to remove cellular debris and filtered through 0.45 μm filters. The supernatant was pelleted by centrifugation at 100,000 × g for 3 h at 4°C. The pellet was resuspended in 300 μl of PBS buffer and applied to a 20–60% sucrose gradient (3.5 ml volume) in SW60 tubes (Beckman Coulter) and centrifuged at 100,000 × g (RCFav) for 16 h at 4°C. We collected 340 μl fractions from the top of the gradient. The fractions were tested for protein levels using western blot, RNA levels using real-time PCR, and relative viral titer with limiting dilution assay.

**Statistical analysis.** Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviations (SD) and were analyzed by t-test.
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