Discussing Challenges in Diagnosis of Tuberculous Meningitis and Neurosarcoidosis
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ABSTRACT: Isolated chronic granulomatous meningitis remains a diagnostic challenge for the physician. Symptoms are often nonspecific and ancillary tests have low-sensitivity rates, which may delay targeted treatment and lead to increased morbidity and mortality. Here, we discuss the challenges in diagnosing and treating patients with chronic meningitis by reporting two cases of previously healthy patients who presented with granulomatous meningitis on brain biopsy.

RÉSUMÉ : Les défis diagnostiques liés à la méningite tuberculeuse et à la neuro-sarcoïdose : une discussion. Diagnostiquer la méningite granulomateuse chronique isolée demeure un défi pour les médecins. En effet, les symptômes sont souvent non-spécifiques tandis que les examens auxiliaires disponibles ont un faible taux de sensibilité, ce qui peut retarder l'amorce d'un traitement ciblé et entraîner une morbidité et une mortalité accrues. Nous voulons aborder ici les défis qui représentent le diagnostic et le traitement de patients atteints de méningite chronique en nous penchant sur les cas de deux patients auparavant en bonne santé qui ont donné à voir une méningite granulomateuse à l’occasion d’une biopsie cérébrale.
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Chronic meningitis is defined as meningeal inflammation of the subarachnoid space associated with cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) pleocytosis persisting at least 4 weeks. It is a major diagnostic challenge for clinicians since symptoms are often nonspecific and the differential diagnosis is wide, including infectious, autoimmune/inflammatory, and neoplastic disorders. Yet an accurate diagnosis is necessary in order to provide targeted treatment and is a key determinant of morbidity and mortality. Here, we present two cases of previously healthy patients who presented with granulomatous meningitis on brain biopsy. Clinical, radiological, and histopathological evidences are discussed.

A 25-year old man from Kosovo presented with fluctuating fever and persistent weight loss (13 kg) over 1 year, associated with headache and cerebellar symptoms (Case 1). Brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) disclosed diffuse nodular leptomeningeal enhancement (Figure 1A–B). CSF analysis showed lymphocytic-predominant leucocytosis, increased protein level and low glucose index (Table 1). Routine blood work-up was normal, and large infectious work-up (Annex), autoimmune and (para-) neoplastic screening (thoracic and abdominal computed tomography (CT) (Figure 2A), and screening for paraneoplastic antibodies in blood and CSF) were negative. Meningeal biopsy showed confluent granulomatous lesions with central caseous-like necrosis (Figure 3A–B). Although the enzyme-linked immunospot (Elispot) assay, as well as several CSF and brain biopsy polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and cultures were negative for Mycobacterium tuberculosis (M. tuberculosis), a tuberculous meningitis was suspected in this patient from a high incidence country and a therapy of rifampicin, ethambutol, isoniazid, and pyrazinamide was initiated, together with a tapering oral prednisone course.

Three months after antituberculous treatment initiation, the patient kept worsening, with new psychiatric and cognitive symptoms, generalized seizures, persistent CSF alterations, and worsening of meningeal enhancement on brain MRI (Figure 1C–D). A course of corticosteroids as add-on therapy to the antituberculosis treatment was followed by clinical improvement, leading to the hypothesis of a dysregulated...
immune response to *M. tuberculosis*. After consulting both infectious diseases’ experts and immunologists, anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFa) was introduced in parallel to the antituberculous therapy. A complete resolution of leptomeningeal enhancement was observed (Figure 1E–F) and the patient fully recovered with a normalized neurocognitive evaluation and no seizure recurrence. Nevertheless, on every attempt to stop infliximab, the patient relapsed. After the first relapse, while still on antituberculous treatment, thoracic CT scan was repeated and this time revealed mediastinal and hilar lymph node enlargements (Figure 2B–D). Histological evaluation of the lymph nodes showed multiple rather well-defined epithelioid granulomas without any focus of necrosis and histologically favoring sarcoidosis lesions (Figure 3C–D). The patient was diagnosed with a multisystem sarcoidosis and azathioprine was added to infliximab therapy. At 3 years, the patient had fully recovered with no further relapses.

Table 1: Basic characteristics of first cerebrospinal fluid analysis for both cases

|                                | Normal values | Case 1 | Case 2 |
|--------------------------------|---------------|--------|--------|
| Opening pressure (cmH2O)       | 5–20          | 26     | 12     |
| White blood cell count (mm³)   | 0–4           | 113    | 247    |
| Protein (mg/l)                 | 150–450       | 6270   | 4000   |
| Glucose index (CSF-serum)      | >0.5x serum glucose | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Lactate (mmol/l)               | 1.2–2         | 4.75   | 5.4    |
| Adenosine deaminase (U/l)      | <20           | 16     | 16     |

*On second CSF analysis.

Figure 1: Brain MRI of Case 1. Initial contrast-enhanced T1-weighted brain MRI on axial plane demonstrated diffuse nodular leptomeningeal enhancement in the sulci (A, thick arrows) and choroid plexus (thin arrows), in the basal cisterns (B) around the optical chiasm and rectus gyrus (arrowheads), and around the mesencephalon (dashed arrows), as well as in the internal auditory canal and along the spinal cord (not shown). Three-month follow-up MRI after antituberculous treatment initiation showed increase in leptomeningeal thickening (C and D). After anti-TNFα introduction, MRI demonstrated complete resolution of nodular leptomeningeal enhancement (E and F).

A 79-year-old Swiss woman, without relevant medical history, developed over 2 weeks somnolence, confusion, and persistent fever, following an adequately treated *Escherichia coli* bacteraemia of urinary tract origin (Case 2). Brain MRI demonstrated generalized leptomeningeal enhancement on T1-weighted gadolinium-injected sequences and ventriculitis (Figure 4A–C), and CSF analyses showed lymphocytic pleocytosis with increased protein and low glucose index (Table 1). Given the high suspicion of infectious meningitis, an empiric antibiotic and antiviral therapy was initiated. Extensive infectious (Annexe), autoimmune, and (para-) neoplastic work-up came back negative and the patient kept worsening. Brain biopsy showed a diffuse meningeal granulomatous infiltrate with numerous epithelioid histiocytes and rare giant cells without clearly organized granulomas nor evidence of *M. tuberculosis* (Figure 5A–D). Tuberculous meningitis and neurosarcoidosis were both considered in the differential diagnosis of
granulomatous diseases, although the infectious work-up and positron emission tomography (PET)-CT were negative (Figure 6A–C). A dual approach was decided with antituberculous treatment along with high-dose intravenous methylprednisolone, followed by a gradual prednisone tapering. The patient became afebrile and showed neurological improvement within a week of treatment, followed by gradual worsening after involuntary interruption of the

Figure 2: Initial and follow-up computed tomography of Case 1. Initial thoracic contrast-enhanced computed tomography on axial plane (A) did not show any mediastinal lymph node. Follow-up imaging was performed after initiating therapy. It revealed multiple enlarged mediastinal and peribronchial lymph nodes (arrows, B and C) but no parenchymal abnormality (D).

Figure 3: Histopathology for Case 1. Left frontal lobe brain biopsy: brain parenchyma and meninges were extensively infiltrated by irregular confluent granulomatous lesions (HE, initial magnification x2.5) (A); granulomas were of various age composed of epithelioid cells, rare giant multinucleated cells, and frequently centered by caseous-like necrosis very suggestive of tuberculosis even in the absence of mycobacteria on Ziehl–Neelsen coloration (HE, initial magnification x20) (B). Mediastinal lymph node biopsy: lymph node parenchyma was extensively infiltrated by rather regular granulomatous lesions (HE, initial magnification x2.5) (C); granulomas were round, well defined, mostly composed of epithelioid and, without any focus of necrosis and, suggestive of sarcoidosis (HE, initial magnification x20) (D).
Antituberculous therapy (after 14 days of treatment). Antituberculous treatment was then adapted and the patient further improved over a period of 6 months. MRI showed a resolution of inflammation with a remaining slight ventricular enhancement (Figure 4D–F). At last follow-up (12 months after treatment discontinuation), she still had cognitive issues and difficulties to walk without aid but kept improving and had not experienced any relapses.

The two aforementioned cases illustrate the difficulties and frustration when dealing with isolated chronic meningitis cases,
known to be a diagnostic challenge, not only because of the variety of clinical manifestations and causative factors (viral, bacterial, or fungal infections, autoimmune diseases, granulomatous disorders, carcinomatous meningitis, and paraneoplastic disorders among others) but mostly, because of the limited sensitivity of the available ancillary tests to yield a definitive diagnosis.3

In our first case, multiple elements were suggestive, although not specific, of neurotuberculosis: the patient origin from a high incidence country,4 the diffuse leptomeningeal gadolinium enhancement with brain stem and cranial nerve predominance,5 the lymphocytic pleocytosis of a few hundred cells/μl with elevated protein, elevated lactate with a typically very low, sometimes undetectable CSF glucose, and the presence of caseating granulomas on meningeal biopsy6,7 (Table 2). Caseating granulomas with central necrosis are typical of tuberculosis (present in up to 80% of suspected cases8), although they can rarely be observed in other granulomatous diseases, including sarcoidosis.9,10 Interestingly, the infectious work-up was completely negative for the presence of *M. tuberculosis*, in blood, CSF, and brain tissue, both by culture and PCR detection. Given the low and variable yield of these exams (sensitivity of antibody and antigen assays for *M. tuberculosis* varies from 38 to 94%,6 cultures are positive in 23%, acid-fast bacilli smears in 20%–90%,11 and PCR in 18%–100%6), the absence of alternative diagnosis and the high suspicion for tuberculous meningitis the patient was treated with quadritherapy (rifampicin, isoniazid, pyrazinamide, and ethambutol) and adjunctive low-dose corticosteroids, this approach being reported as able to improve survival.12 Nonetheless, the clinical course with relapses at steroid tapering with improvement under pulse courses of methylprednisolone was unexpected for this diagnosis. While progressive deterioration is expected in untreated neurotuberculosis with high morbidity and mortality, evolution is characterized by gradual improvement under treatment. In our case, we considered that the absence of improvement after 3 months, despite a well-conducted antituberculous treatment, reflected an immune-mediated exacerbation triggered by tuberculosis treatment. Thus, in an attempt to control this alleged paradoxical reaction, we decided to add an anti-TNFα agent in order to tame the *M. tuberculosis*-specific exaggerated cellular immune response as it has been previously described.13 The use of anti-TNFα in a tuberculosis is not common practice and should not be considered without

---

**Table 2: Common clinical features and CSF findings during neurological involvement in tuberculosis and sarcoidosis patients**

| Clinical features | Tuberculosis | Sarcoidosis |
|-------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Evolution         | Rapidly evolutive, monophasic | Onset can be indolent to rapidly progressive, polyphasic |
| Multi-organic involvement | Pulmonary (sometimes multi-organic) | Pulmonary and/or multi-organic |
| Nervous system involvement | Meningitis (often basal), cranial neuropathy, encephalopathy, vasculopathy, tuberculomas, brain abscess, myelopathy, radicular | Acute or chronic meningitis, cranial neuropathy, hypophysitis, mass lesions, granulomas, myelopathy, peripheric neuropathy, myopathy |
| Histopathology    | Caseous granulomas | Non caseating granulomas |
| Inflammatory parameters | ↑↑↑↑↑ (lymphocytic or neutrophilic) | ↑↑↑↑↑ (lymphocytic) |
| Pulmonary CD4/CD8 rate | N- | N- |

| CSF | | |
|-----|---|---|
| Cellularity (predominance) | ↑↑↑↑↑ (lymphocytic or neutrophilic) | ↑↑↑↑↑ (lymphocytic) |
| Proteins | ↑↑↑↑↑ | ↑↑↑↑↑ |
| Lactates | ↑↑ | N- |
| Glucose index | ↓ | N- |
| ACE | N- | N- |
| ADA† | N-↑↑ | N- |

---

**Figure 6: Initial PET-CT of Case 2. 18F-FDG PET-CT did not show any abnormal uptake focus either on maximum intensity projected view (A) or on axial fused images (B). There was also no parenchymal abnormality on the CT lung windows (C).**
multidisciplinary evaluation by infectious diseases’ experts, neurologists, and immunologists, as was the case in our hospital. The spectacular improvement following anti-TNFα and subsequent relapses at its discontinuation questioned the initial diagnosis and led to a new series of ancillary tests and a final diagnosis of sarcoidosis, almost 6 months after the onset. Retrospectively, the patient had severe neurosarcoidosis, corticosteroid-resistant, which was spectacularly improved by anti-TNFα.

We consider this first case to be didactic for two reasons: (1) careful and constant reassessment for evidence of the underlying pathology is valuable in the follow-up of chronic patients notably in the absence of bacteriological proof. In patients with isolated chronic meningitis, we suggest a complete diagnostic work-up at presentation, and, depending on the patient evolution, a thorough follow-up at 3–6 and 12 months with a brain MRI with gadolinium and a contrast-enhanced chest CT scan (or PET-CT if possible); and (2) adopting a dual-therapy approach (antituberculous treatment with steroid tapering) may be suggested when definitive diagnosis is not possible and extensive infectious work up is negative. Deterioration after 3 months of well-conducted antituberculous treatment, or during/after steroid tapering should prompt the realization of new extensive work-up (see point 1). In case of persistent doubt, use of immunosuppressive agent, adjuvant to the antituberculous treatment, should be the subject of a multidisciplinary evaluation.

The second case underlines other issues in case of chronic meningitis patients. Despite the absence of exposition or risk factor (such as prior immunosuppression or country at risk), the CSF pattern as well as the presence of ventriculitis in the MRI were suggestive of an infectious cause. Exhaustive work-up (including PET-CT) was negative and brain biopsy showed a nonspecific granulomatous inflammation without organized casing or non-casing granulomas. A high level of adenosine deaminase (ADA) in the CSF, which is a T-lymphocyte-produced enzyme, speaks in favor of a granulomatous in meningitis of tuberculosis or sarcoidosis origin rather than of meningitis of other causes. Nevertheless, with a sensitivity of <59% and specificity of >96%, a normal value cannot rule out a granulomatous origin. Complementary to ADA, recent publications suggest that high levels of serum soluble IL-2R have a high specificity (85%) for sarcoidosis over other granulomatous or infectious diseases. On the other hand, CSF-soluble IL-2R does not discriminate between neurosarcoidosis and neuro-tuberculosis, although it could be a valuable tool to assess the response to treatment.

Although the prevalence of the sarcoidosis in Switzerland, in an immunocompetent patient, is higher than tuberculosis, negative PET-CT scan, alveolar lavage with normal CD4/CD8 count in bronchoscopy, and aspecific brain biopsy findings did not allow the diagnosis to be retained. Following initiation of empiric antituberculous therapy, the patient improved. Given the absence of definitive diagnosis, the patient underwent continuous assessments (repetition of lumbar punctures and brain MRIs, active research of primary neoplasm, and other causes of granulomatous meningitis). In parallel, the patient gradually worsened following an involuntary interruption of the antituberculous treatment while still on steroids and improved significantly after dose readjustment. She continued to improve after tapering of steroids leading eventually to the diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis.

In conclusion, suspecting tuberculous meningitis is reasonable in patients with cryptogenic chronic meningitis, in whom common mimics are excluded and exhaustive infectious work-up has been performed. The incidence of tuberculosis varies between European countries and through time, making it difficult to estimate the probability of this infection. Thus, we suggest not to withhold from empirical therapy when initial work-up is inconclusive, given the high morbidity in neotuberculosis patients. Furthermore, increased awareness and careful re-assessment of the treatment plan are essential and should be evaluated regularly.
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