The effect of receiving thyroid hormone replacement on the dysphonia severity index for congenital hypothyroid children
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Abstract

Objectives: Dysphonia is a common compliant in hypothyroid patients. Subjective voice changes could be clearly found in congenital hypothyroid children (CHC); however, the early treated children with thyroid hormone replacement (THR) may not experience dysphonia. The objective of this study is to determine if the CHC who were receiving early THR would have objectively measurable changes on vocal function as assessed by the dysphonia severity index (DSI).

Methods: Participants were 29 children aged from 6 to 12 years. Fifteen children had congenital hypothyroidism on eltroxin therapy started before the age of 1 year and 14 were typically developing children (TDC). All children did not have voice compliant. DSI was calculated for all children through the measure of maximum phonation time (MPT), highest frequency (F0-high), lowest intensity (I-low), and jitter percent (j %).

Results: CHC receiving early THR were not significantly different from TDC on DSI value; however, both groups showed significant difference on MPT and F0-high. Degree of voice changes by DSI in males was significantly higher than females in CHC on eltroxin therapy while no significant difference regarding gender has been found on DSI in TDC.

Conclusions: The results suggested that there is no evidence of DSI objective voice changes in CHC receiving THR compared to TDC, yet separate objective voice measures alternations had been found in CHC. This highlights the importance of achieving efficient neonatal screening programs for CHC and providing strong support to initiate early THR to avoid any alternation of laryngeal function.
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Background

The voice is a significant element that defines self-assurance and the socially appropriate attitude of a person. A good voice is a fundamental constituent of the evaluation of oneself. It reduces the social and physiological impediments of an individual [1]. The influence of hormones on the voice and larynx has been a subject of interest in laryngology. Although there are various hormones in the body, it has been evident that sex hormones and thyroid hormones directly affect the voice [2, 3]. The impact of hormones on voice continues throughout human life and differs from males to females. The voice pitch could be one of the principal factors that influence the perception of gender based on the voice [4].

Thyroid hormones stimulate the development of speech, voice, language, hearing, and all developmental skills. Congenital hypothyroidism (CH) can cause language, voice, and other developmental disturbances in children [5]. It was reported that rough crying could be one of the clinical symptoms common at birth [6], which
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might occur in up to 20% of cases of congenital hypothyroidism [7]. Dysphonia in CH is usually gradual and slowly progressive; thus, the patient may not notice the voice change. The voice features associated with hypothyroidism involve decreased pitch, voice weakness, and reduced range of voice [8]. The exact mechanism behind these voice changes is not clear. Typically, the anterior pituitary gland releases thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH). It results in the release of T4 and T3 by the thyroid gland. Thyroid hormones increase the body’s rate of metabolism. In hypothyroidism, dysphonia may result from the deposition of polysaccharides and the accumulation of fluids in vocal folds, thus increasing their thickness [9]. The thickening of vocal folds leads to decreased frequency of their vibration. Dysphonia could also be caused by excess levels of thyroid hormones [8]. Receptors for thyroid hormones have been detected in the larynx, and laryngeal development is substantially affected by thyroid hormones [10].

Subjective assessment of voice in cases of thyroid hormones disturbances has been studied in various types of research; however, the studies about the objective voice assessment in hypothyroidism are limited [11]. Few studies about objective voice assessment in CH found a considerable level of congenital hypothyroid newborns having voice deviations. Moreover, they pointed out that even after a month of treatment, some children still had vocal disturbances with the hormone levels within standard patterns [2, 12]. Acoustic and aerodynamic measures are commonly used to evaluate voice changes objectively. Although these measures simplify voice signals and are easy to interpret, their correlation with the subjective evaluation of dysphonia remains uncertain [13].

Dysphonia severity index (DSI) is a tool capable of measuring the multidimensional elements of the voice. The index was created by correlating the results of a study involving 387 subjects using acoustic, aerodynamic, self-reporting measurements, and auditory-perceptual assessment methods. Therefore, the DSI can be reliably used as an objective simple multi-parametric tool because it strongly correlates with the subjective degree of dysphonia. DSI is computed by mean of its constituents: (F0-high), (I-low), (MPT), and % [14].

Nevertheless, DSI can be influenced by agents such as age, gender, and instrument [15]. The studies about DSI are mainly restricted to adults. The effect of age and gender on DSI in a normal population has been studied by Hakkesteegt et al. [16]. In the age range of 20–79 years, they found that (F0-high) was decreased with the increase of age in both males and females; however, (I-low) decreased only in females.

Children have constant voice quality variations because of their laryngeal structural difference from adults [17]. Pediatric dysphonia is caused by vocal fold nodules, vocal fold paralysis, velopharyngeal insufficiency, and hormonal disorders [18]. Although there are tools available that assess dysphonia in children, such as voice range profile (VRP) [19] and acoustic voice quality index [20], the DSI was limitedly used to assess dysphonia in children.

Screening for hypothyroidism in newborns and early thyroxin replacement has a noticeable effect on decreasing cognitive and voice problems in (CHC) [21]. However, mild mental, speech, and voice disturbances may be continued even with early hormonal therapy for children with congenital hypothyroidism [22]. However, no studies are available about the use of DSI to assess objective voice measures in CHC receiving THR. Consequently, DSI scores in those children need to be investigated. The current study aims to assess the DSI scores in CHC receiving replacement therapy and compare it to measures of DSI in normal children to determine the effect of hormonal replacement and whether any subtle voice alternations might necessitate follow-up.

Method
Participants
Participants were 29 children aged 6-12 years. Fifteen children (9 males and six females) were diagnosed by neonatal screening to have hypothyroidism. All congenital hypothyroid children were on thyroid hormone therapy for 1 year with controlled hypothyroidism. Fourteen typically developing children (7 males and 7 females), age- and gender-matched served as controls. Inclusion criteria for the control group were cooperative children with subjective average intellectual abilities; children with any voice compliant were excluded. Exclusion criteria for CHC were patients with acquired hypothyroidism, delayed treatment after 1 year, patients with associated disorders that may have affected the voice, such as patients with childhood vocal fold nodules, vocal folds paralysis, or other apparent communicative, cognitive, genetic, and hearing disorders.

All children were subjected to the protocol of assessment applied in the Diabetes, Endocrine and Metabolism Pediatric Unit (DEMPU), Cairo University Children Hospital, and the Phoniatric Unit, Kasr El Aini Hospital. The study was approved by the ethical committee of the department.

Procedures
Elementary diagnostic procedures
History taking involved the age of the child, the age of detection of congenital hypothyroidism and the start of therapy, any signs or symptoms found, and any complaint about voice, including (hoarseness, soreness, frequent throat clearing, the presence of associated congenital
disorders or hearing disorders), the dose of eltroxin therapy, the control of symptoms and continuity of talking therapy, family history of similar conditions or other endocrinopathies, perinatal history, developmental history, and history of childhood illness. Subjects under study had no complaint about their voices; no abnormality was detected regarding perinatal, natal, and developmental histories. All children were subjected to clinical examination, general examination, ear, nose, and throat examination, including external and internal laryngeal examination. No abnormalities were found. The auditory perceptual assessment was done for subjective voice assessment, and there was no detectable auditory dysphonia.

**Clinical diagnostic aids**
Video recorded flexible fiberoptic nasopharyngolaryngoscopy (Xion EF-N 6186), and stroboscopy (Xion Endostrob—DX) was done. No laryngeal abnormalities could be detected.

**Additional instrumental measures**
The parameters of DSI were measured for all children to calculate the DSI [F0-high in Hz, I-low in dB sound pressure level (SPL), MPT in seconds, and (j %)]. Assessment of all parameters was done in the acoustically treated room. The subject was instructed to sit comfortably using a dynamic microphone with a 30-cm distance between the subject and microphone.

**F0-high and I-low**
To measure F0-high and I-low voice range profile (VRP), a module of Computerized Speech Lab model 4500 (Kay Elemetrics., Lincoln Park, NJ, USA) was used. F0-high was measured by asking the participant to phonate the vowel /a/ with a comfortable pitch and reach the highest pitch. The participant was asked to phonate /a/ as softly as possible with a comfortable pitch to obtain the I-low. The examiner molded both measurements to the participant to attain the most relevant F0-high and I-low measures. The participant was encouraged to watch the visual feedback on the VRP screen; the examiner also helped the participant reach the best trial.

**MPT**
The participant was instructed to phonate a sustained vowel /a/ as long as possible at a comfortable pitch and loudness. After deep inhalation, the phonation sample was recorded using the CSL 4500 (Kay Elemetrics). Three trials were required to measure MPT to obtain the longest one needed for assessing DSI.

**Jitter%**
The participant was instructed to phonate vowel /a/ at a comfortable pitch and loudness for 5 s. Phonation samples were recorded and analyzed using the advanced Multidimensional Voice Program (MDVP) CSL 4500 (Kay Elemetrics). To record and analyze the phonatory sample, Jitter% was calculated on a stable middle segment of 3 s duration to get a voice sample representing the individual’s vocal stability at the time of assessment.

The DSI was calculated for each child using the following equation [10]

\[
\text{DSI} = 0.13 \times \text{MPT} + 0.0053 \times \text{F0-High} - 0.26 \times \text{I-low} - 1.18 \times \text{Jitter (\%)} + 12.4
\]

A pilot study was carried out on five children (2TDC and 3CHC) prior to the study to ensure the DSI’s applicability to the Arabic-speaking children. A pilot study revealed that the DSI was applied to the children.

**Statistical analysis**
Sample size was calculated based on the previous work by Pebbili et al. [23]. The expected difference in DSI between hypothyroidism and control expected to be 1.5 ± 1.3. Using power 80% and 5% significance level; 13 participants are required in each group. Sample size calculation was achieved using PS: Power and sample size calculation software version 3.1.2 (Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA).

Data management and statistical analysis were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21. Numerical data were summarized using means and standard deviations. Comparisons between the two groups concerning normally distributed numeric variables were made using the t test. For categorical variables, differences were analyzed with the chi-square test. All p values are two-sided. \( p < 0.05 \) were considered significant.

**Results**

The age of the group of congenital hypothyroid children (CHC) and the group of typically developing children (TDC) was not significantly different (Table 1). Both groups were matched regarding gender distribution (Table 2).

DSI constituents’ mean and standard deviation values, i.e., F0-high, I-low, MPT, and Jitter%, in both groups CHC and TDC. Comparison between both groups showed a significant difference (\( p < 0.05 \)) regarding the DSI parameters. F0-high was significantly lower in CHC than TDC. MPT was significantly lower in CHC than TDC. However, there was no significant difference of I-low between CHC and TDC; also, there was no significant difference of jitter % between CHC and TDC. The
comparision of DSI values between CHC and TDC was not significantly different (P = 0.081). DSI in CHC was (2.92 ± 0.96) and in TDC was (3.61 ± 0.99) (Table 3).

In TDC, males and females were compared with their DSI and its components (Table 4). In TDC, the results showed that the values of the DSI and its components were not substantially varied across gender (P = 0.186).

In CHC, the values of the DSI and its parameters were compared between genders (Table 5). The F0-high, MPT, and jitter % values did not vary significantly between males and females in CHC. I-low value for males was significantly lower than females (p = 0.019). The mean DSI value was significantly higher in males (3.42 ± 0.78) than in females (2.13 ± 0.72); the p value was 0.023.

### Table 1: Age of the congenital hypothyroid children (CHC) and typically developing children (TDC)

| Group | Mean | SD  |
|-------|------|-----|
| CHC   | 7.9  | 0.346 |
| TDC   | 8.9  | 2.3  |

| Group | Mean | SD  |
|-------|------|-----|
| CHC   | 7.9  | 0.346 |
| TDC   | 8.9  | 2.3  |

### Table 2: Gender distribution of the congenital hypothyroid children (CHC) and typically developing children (TDC)

| Sex     | CHC   | TDC   | P value |
|---------|-------|-------|---------|
| Females | 6     | 7     | 0.588   |
| Males   | 9     | 7     |         |

| Sex     | CHC   | TDC   | P value |
|---------|-------|-------|---------|
| Females | 6     | 7     | 0.588   |
| Males   | 9     | 7     |         |

### Table 3: Comparison between congenital hypothyroid children (CHC) and typically developing children (TDC) regarding the DSI and its parameters (I-low, F0-high, MPT, and jitter %)

| Parameter         | CHC Mean | CHC SD  | TDC Mean | TDC SD  | P value |
|-------------------|----------|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| Lowest intensity (I-low) | 42.93    | 3.26    | 45.20    | 2.86    | 0.0679  |
| Highest frequency (F0-high) | 359.27   | 28.72   | 601.71   | 58.40   | <0.001*|
| MPT               | 6.51     | 1.26    | 7.82     | 1.28    | 0.009*  |
| Jitter%           | 0.88     | 0.12    | 0.91     | 0.17    | 0.547   |
| DSI               | 2.92     | 0.96    | 3.61     | 0.99    | 0.081   |

### Table 4: Comparison between males and females in typically developing children (TDC) for the DSI and its parameters (I-low, F0-high, MPT, and jitter %)

| Parameter         | Males Mean | Males SD  | Females Mean | Females SD | P value |
|-------------------|------------|-----------|--------------|------------|---------|
| Lowest intensity (I-low) | 44.37     | 2.69      | 46.03        | 2.98       | 0.317   |
| Highest frequency (F0-high) | 612.43  | 50.74     | 590.22       | 67.41      | 0.522   |
| MPT               | 8.22      | 0.87      | 7.49         | 1.3        | 0.256   |
| Jitter%           | 0.91      | 0.19      | 0.91         | 0.17       | 1.000   |
| DSI               | 3.99      | 0.52      | 3.23         | 1.23       | 0.186   |

This table shows that there was no significant difference between males and females in TDC for the DSI and its parameters.

### Table 5: Comparison between males and females in congenital hypothyroid children (CHC) for DSI and its parameters (I-low, F0-high, MPT, and jitter %)

| Parameter         | Males Mean | Males SD  | Females Mean | Females SD | P value |
|-------------------|------------|-----------|--------------|------------|---------|
| Lowest intensity (I-low) | 41.18   | 2.35      | 45.47        | 2.49       | 0.019*  |
| Highest frequency (F0-high) | 360.23 | 24.51     | 357.42       | 36.67      | 0.871   |
| MPT               | 6.23      | 0.89      | 6.93         | 1.57       | 0.318   |
| Jitter%           | 0.89      | 0.15      | 0.87         | 0.08       | 0.749   |
| DSI               | 3.42      | 0.78      | 2.13         | 0.72       | 0.023*  |

This table shows that the DSI and I-low were significantly different between males and females in CHC however the F0-high and jitter % values were not significantly different.

### Discussion

The goal of this study was to compare the values of DSI and its parameters between CHC and TDC to assess the voice quality of CHC receiving early THR objectively. The DSI was used for this investigation because it is a multi-parametric, easily applied method for objective speech quality evaluation. The index was proposed by Wuyts et al. [14] and correlated with parameters.
of voice disorders [24]. Moreover, the DSI was used to compare voice quality to various groups of speakers [25]. The present study investigated the DSI and its parameters in all 15 children with congenital hypothyroidism receiving THR (mean age 7.9 ± 3.1 years) and 14 TDC (mean age 8.9 ± 2.3 years).

According to Wuyts et al. [14], the DSI assumed values ranged from negative to positive. A value of +5 identified standard voice quality, and a value of −5 represented severe dysphonic voice. Also, scores beyond that range were possible, marking healthy or deficient voice quality [16]. In the current study, the DSI value obtained for CHC was (2.92 ± 0.96) and for TDC was (3.61 ± 0.99), which means that there is no objectively recorded dysphonia via the multi-parametric index for CHC, which are supplemented with thyroid hormone therapy.

The typical DSI values for children were not demonstrated in many studies. In a study to document the DSI scores in normal Indian children (8–12 years), Pebbili et al. [23] found that the DSI value was 3.29 ± 1.33, which was as close as the DSI value of typical children in the present study (3.61 ± 0.99).

Voice problems in patients with hypothyroidism were reported to range from 9 to 52% [26]. In a study of the objective values of different voice parameters in patients with hypothyroidism, Mohammadzadeh et al. [27] found that most voice parameters in hypothyroidism were impaired compared to reference typical values. With early treatment via levothyroxine to attain a standard range of thyroid hormones, better voice outcomes might be achieved [28]. The current study results confirmed that the DSI value for the treated congenital hypothyroid children was not significantly different from normal children (P = 0.081), which associates non-detected DSI objective voice alternations with early treatment for CHC. It emphasizes the essential role of thyroid hormones for the normalization of laryngeal function, so it is mandatory to screen newborns for hypothyroidism to detect the need for early starting therapy. Mostly, newborns may not show the signs of thyroid hormone deficiency.

As far as we can tell, this study may be the first to use the DSI as a multi-parametric method for the objective assessment of voice quality in CHC treated with early THR. Birkent et al. [29] performed a study for patients with hypothyroidism who had total thyroidectomy to determine the effects of THR therapy on the objective vocal parameters. However, none of the multi-parametric objective voice tools were used; they executed voice analysis before and after THR by measuring the mean fundamental frequency (F0), jitter, shimmer, amplitude perturbation quotient (APQ), pitch perturbation quotient (PPQ), noise-to-harmonics ratio (NHR), and MPT. They found that F0 in female patients was significantly decreased after thyroid hormone therapy while there were no significant changes of other objective voice parameters.

When we examined the separate constituents of DSI, we also found that the frequency-related parameter (F0-high) was significantly lower in CHC receiving THR than TDC. This result explains that THR may increase fluids in vocal fold layers, which causes decreased voice range production and subsequent decrease of (F0-high) parameter because it is a reasonably sensitive measure for small changes in vocal fold. Nevertheless, a slight effect of THR therapy on vocal folds might occur as vocal folds edema was not observed during laryngoscope examination.

In the present study, MPT was found to be significantly lower in CHC than TDC, unlike the finding of Birkent et al. [29]. It could be interpreted by the decrease of pulmonary function in hypothyroidism, although CHC was controlled. Some studies documented that they demonstrated voice-related sequelae beyond their hormonal status. Moreover, they found that exercise ability and tolerance were affected [30, 31]. Furthermore, Biondi et al. [32] demonstrated that hypothyroid patients under levothyroxine treatment had impaired exercise capacity.

No dissimilarity in vocal quality for TDC within gender was found in the current study. When we assessed the values of DSI and its components, the DSI was not significantly different between males and females in TDC (p = 0.186). These results were not coinciding with the other studies that found variations in the objective voice parameters across gender. Pebbili et al. [23] found that DSI values for females were significantly higher than those for males in Indian TDC, with age ranging from 8 to 12 years (p = 0.027). Another study by Stathopoulos and Sapienza [33] suggested that in the same age range, male and female children were found to have a difference in acoustic voice values because the length of vocal folds in males is more significant and, the rate of vocal growth per year for males exceeds that of females. The present study’s discrepancy of findings relative to others could be due to a small study population.

As for the DSI difference between males and females in CHC in this study, the DSI in females (2.13 ± 0.72) was significantly lower (p = 0.023) than males (3.42 ± 0.78). Within the CHC group, the I-low in females was significantly higher than in males (p = 0.019). It could be explained by that the softest intensity is a sensitive parameter for changes in voice quality. The deviance noticed in DSI is probable as females’ lowest intensity parameter increases. Pebbili et al. [23] also attributed the results of higher values of DSI and I-low scores in females to the differences in anatomical and behavioral characteristics between male and female children. Another
explanation made by Stathopoulos and Sapienza [33] was the physiological pattern of thoracic respiration during talking for females rather than abdominal respiration for males.

The small population size should be counted as a limitation of this study. Also, it may be the reason for non-significant DSI differences across gender in normal children. Unlike the group of CHC, DSI in females was significantly lower in males. Further studies should be performed with intervals of children’s age to specify the DSI values for a small range of children and gain information about oncoming puberty.

**Conclusion**

This study is the first to use the multi-parametric DSI for the objective voice assessment of CHC early supplemented with thyroid hormone. This study revealed that the DSI values were not significantly different between CHC receiving early THR and TDC. However, there was a significant difference between both groups regarding two DSI parameters (MPT and \( F_0 \)-high).

Therefore, this study demonstrates the importance of early screening and treatment for congenital hypothyroidism to prevent further voice problems. Additionally, it can provide the initial information about the DSI values for normal Arabic-speaking children.
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