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Catechol-Type Flavonoids from the Branches of Elaeagnus glabra f. oxyphylla Exert Antioxidant Activity and an Inhibitory Effect on Amyloid-β Aggregation
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Abstract: Elaeagnus glabra f. oxyphylla (Elaeagnaceae) is a small evergreen tree with narrow lanceolate leaves that is native to Korea. In this work, we studied the chemical composition of E. glabra f. oxyphylla branches (EGFOB) for the first time. Additionally, we evaluated the effects of the ethanol extract of EGFOB and each of its chemical components on key mediators of Alzheimer’s disease (AD), namely, amyloid-β (Aβ) aggregation and oxidative stress. The ethanol extract of EGFOB decreased Aβ aggregation (IC50 = 32.01 µg/mL) and the levels of the oxidative free radicals 2,2′-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid) (ABTS) and 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) (IC50 = 11.35 and 12.32 µg/mL, respectively). Sixteen compounds were isolated from EGFOB. Among them, procyanidin B3 (8), procyanidin B4 (9), and helichrysoside (13) significantly inhibited Aβ aggregation (IC50 = 14.59, 32.64, and 44.45 μM, respectively), indicating their potential as bioactive compounds to control Aβ aggregation. Furthermore, these compounds markedly enhanced in vitro scavenging activity against ABTS (IC50 = 3.21–4.61 µM). In the DPPH test, they showed lower scavenging activity than in the ABTS test (IC50 ≥ 54.88 µM). Thus, these results suggest that EGFOB and specifically compounds 8, 9, and 13 may be beneficial in AD prevention and treatment through their antioxidant and anti-Aβ aggregation activities.
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1. Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder with no available cure. The incidence rate is higher among people over the age of 60, with AD patients accounting for 60–80% of all dementia cases [1,2]. Currently, the number of dementia patients in the world is estimated at 44 million, and this number is predicted to more than triple by 2050 [3].

AD is characterized by cognitive disruptions, such as memory loss and language difficulties, and non-cognitive dysfunctions, such as behavioral disturbance, depression, hallucination, and delusion [4,5]. The hallmark of AD pathogenesis is the progressive accumulation of amyloid-β (Aβ) in the brain [6]. Aβ plaques are extracellular accumulations of pathological forms of Aβ that are principally composed of abnormally folded Aβ, such as Aβ1-40 and Aβ1-42. Because of its higher rates of fibrillation and insolubility, Aβ1-42 is more abundant in the plaques than Aβ1-40 [3]. Aβ aggregation can be initiated by oxidative stress in the early stage of AD, and Aβ plaques also influence free radical...
generation and oxidative stress [7–9]. The excessive generation of free radicals in pathological AD conditions causes an imbalance between free radicals and antioxidants, which are conditions for oxidative stress [9]. Furthermore, previous studies have indicated that Aβ_{1–42} is neurotoxic. It increases the oxidation of proteins in the cell, thereby leading to neuronal dysfunction and cell death during the late stage of AD [10]. The neurotoxicity of abnormal Aβ deposition results from oxidative stress, which is central in the neuronal cell death and inflammation associated with the pathogenesis of AD [11]. It has been reported that antioxidants can attenuate the symptoms of AD and prevent progression [7]. Therefore, new AD drugs that target Aβ with potent antioxidant activity may be useful in the prevention and treatment of AD.

Unfortunately, there is currently no cure for AD, and the current treatment strategies are aimed at symptom relief rather than correction of the underlying cause. There are two classes of medications approved for AD by the US Food and Drug Administration, namely, cholinesterase inhibitors (ChEIs) (donepezil, rivastigmine, and galantamine) and the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonist memantine [9]. These drugs temporarily alleviate symptoms, thereby improving patients’ quality of life, but there is no evidence that they suppress AD progression. In addition, the administration of these drugs has been reported to cause side effects, such as leg cramps, flatulence, and increased gastrointestinal secretions for ChEIs, and confusion, dizziness, constipation, and headache for NMDA receptor antagonists [3,12]. In contrast, most natural products have lower toxicity and fewer side effects [13,14] and thus represent attractive therapeutic candidates.

Elaeagnus glabra f. oxyphylla (Servett.) W. T. Lee (Elaeagnaceae) is a small evergreen tree with narrower lanceolate leaves than those of Elaeagnus [15]. This plant species is native to Korea (Jeju) and is also distributed in China. Several studies have described the pharmacological effects and phytochemicals of plants belonging to the genus Elaeagnus. It has been reported that E. glabra has anti-tumor and antibacterial effects, and it has been used to treat tetanus, asthma, and diarrhea [16,17]. E. angustifolia exerts antinociceptive, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, antioxidant, and antimutagenic activities [18,19]. E. umbellate is known to have antidiabetic, antioxidant, and anticancer properties [20,21]. Interestingly, our previous study reported the memory-ameliorating effects of E. glabra f. oxyphylla in a mouse model of scopolamine-induced memory impairment [22]. Previous studies have reported that the genus Elaeagnus contains flavonoids, triterpenoids, lignan glycosides, and phenolic compounds [17,20,23]. However, there have been no phytochemical studies using E. glabra f. oxyphylla extracts.

Since the neuronal Aβ aggregation in AD occurs early during the pathogenesis and appears to be the main pathogenic cause [6], blocking Aβ accumulation may suppress the progression of AD [24]. Therefore, in the present study, we assessed ethanol extracts of E. glabra f. oxyphylla branches (EGFOB) and leaves (EGFOL) and their chemical components for their inhibitory effects on Aβ aggregation.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Inhibitory Effects of EGFOB and EGFOL on Aβ Aggregation

To explore whether E. glabra f. oxyphylla has an inhibitory effect on Aβ aggregation, we prepared ethanol extracts of EGFOB and EGFOL at various concentrations (6.25, 12.5, 25, 50, or 100 µg/mL). Both EGFOB and EGFOL extracts inhibited in vitro Aβ aggregation in a dose-dependent manner (IC_{50} = 32.01 and 92.97 µg/mL, respectively) (Figure 1A, B). These results indicate that EGFOB have a stronger inhibitory effect on Aβ aggregation compared with EGFOL. Aβ aggregation is one of the primary pathological indicators in AD patients [6]. Despite continuous debate about the relevance of Aβ as a target biomarker in new drug development for AD, many researchers are still focusing on Aβ [25–27]. The amyloid hypothesis suggests that the abnormality of Aβ is observed somewhat earlier before the onset of AD compared with other AD biomarkers [28]. Therefore, Aβ should be considered an important priority in investigations for the prevention as well as the treatment of AD. In our study, the robust activity of EGFOB is a notable result for anti-Aβ therapy research.
Figure 1. Inhibitory effects of E. glabra f. oxyphylla branches (EGFOB) and leaves (EGFOL) extracts on amyloid-β (Aβ) aggregation. Various concentrations (6.25, 12.5, 25, 50, or 100 µg/mL) of (A) EGFOB and (B) EGFOL were prepared and reacted with Aβ1–42 peptides, followed by the addition of Thioflavin (ThT). Fluorescence intensity was measured at 440 nm (excitation) and 485 nm (emission). Each value is expressed as the mean ± SEM (n = 3). * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, or *** p < 0.001 vs. control.

2.2. Antioxidant Effects of EGFOB and EGFOL

Oxidative stress induced by Aβ in the brain is related to the pathogenesis of AD [11]. Previous studies have reported that many natural products have robust antioxidant properties [29,30]. To examine the antioxidant activities of EGFOB and EGFOL, 2,2′-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid) (ABTS) and 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging assays, widely used to assess the antioxidant efficacy of natural products [29,30], were performed. The EGFOB and EGFOL extracts reached 100% ABTS radical scavenging activity at the concentrations of 25 and 100 µg/mL, respectively (IC₅₀ = 11.35 and 26.01 µg/mL, respectively) (Figure 2A,B). While the EGFOB extract showed similar results in the DPPH assay to those in the ABTS assay, the EGFOL extract had a significant effect on DPPH radical scavenging only at 100 µg/mL (IC₅₀ = 12.32 and >100 µg/mL, respectively) (Figure 2C,D). The remarkable radical scavenging activity of EGFOB extract against ABTS and DPPH indicates the antioxidant capacity of EGFOB. Previous studies have been reported focusing on the relationship between free radicals and the formation of Aβ aggregates in AD development. Aβ plaques contribute to the generation of free radicals and oxidative stress [31], and it was found that oxidative stress is closely related to Aβ aggregation [32]. As is well known, there are many natural products that are powerful antioxidants and can play an important role in the regulation of various chronic diseases, including AD. In new drug development for AD, natural extracts such as EGFOB are attractive agents with dual- or multi-function. By comparing the effects of the two extracts in the Aβ aggregation and radical scavenging assays according to the IC₅₀ values, it was found that the EGFOB extract was more effective than the EGFOL extract.

2.3. Inhibitory Effects of the Solvent Fractions of EGFOB on Aβ Aggregation

The ethanol extract of EGFOB was suspended in water and successively fractionated by using n-hexane, ethyl acetate (EtOAc), and n-butanol (n-BuOH) (Figure 3). The Aβ aggregation assay was performed using the water, n-hexane, EtOAc, and n-BuOH fractions. As shown in Figure 4, the EtOAc- and n-BuOH-soluble fractions significantly inhibited Aβ aggregation (IC₅₀ = 24.31 and 30.24 µg/mL, respectively), unlike the other fractions. This observation indicates that the EtOAc- and n-BuOH-soluble fractions contain the bioactive compounds of EGFOB against Aβ aggregation.
Figure 2. Antioxidant effects of *E. glabra* f. *oxyphylla* branches (EGFOB) and leaves (EGFOL). Antioxidant activity was measured by free radical scavenging assay for 2,2′-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid) (ABTS) (A,B) and 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) (C,D). Each value is presented as the mean ± SEM (*n* = 3). Ascorbic acid (AA) was used as a positive control. ** *p* < 0.01 or *** *p* < 0.001 vs. control.

Figure 3. Extraction and fractionation of *E. glabra* f. *oxyphylla* branches (EGFOB).
Figure 4. Inhibitory effects of solvent fractions of EGFOB on amyloid-β (Aβ) aggregation. Various concentrations (6.25, 12.5, 25, 50, or 100 µg/mL) of (A) n-hexane, (B) EtOAc, (C) n-BuOH, and (D) water fractions were prepared and reacted with Aβ1–42 peptides, followed by the addition of ThT. Fluorescence intensity was measured at 440 nm (excitation) and 485 nm (emission). Each value is expressed as the mean ± SEM (n = 3). * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, or *** p < 0.001 vs. control.

2.4. Isolation and Identification of Compounds 1–16 from EGFOB

To identify the chemical components that have antioxidative activities and inhibit Aβ aggregation, we isolated the chemical constituents from the EtOAc-soluble fraction of EGFOB, which significantly inhibited Aβ aggregation and had more components than BuOH-soluble fraction according to the HPLC chromatogram (data not shown). We further fractionated the EtOAc-soluble fraction by using silica gel column chromatography to produce six subfractions (F1–F6). F1–F4 were further resolved using octadecylsilane (ODS) and Sephadex LH-20 column chromatography and preparative reversed-phase HPLC. Finally, sixteen compounds (1–16), including three phenols (1–3), ten flavonoids (4–13), two triterpenoids (14, 15), and one fatty acid ester (16), were purified (Figure 5).

By comparing the spectral and physicochemical properties of these compounds (Supplementary Materials) with those of previously reported compounds, the sixteen compounds were identified as 4-hydroxybenzoic acid (1) [33], salicylic acid (2) [34], vanillic acid (3) [35], (+)-catechin (4) [36], (–)-epicatechin (5) [37], (+)-gallocatechin (6) [36], (–)-epigallocatechin (7) [38], procyanidin B3 (8) [39], procyanidin B4 (9) [40], kaempferol (10) [41], astragalin (11) [42], trans-tiliroside (12) [38], helichrysoside (13) [43], betulinic acid-3-O-trans-caffeate (14) [44], ursolic acid-3-O-trans-caffeate (15) [45], and 1-mono(22-O-feruloyl-oxydocosanoyl)glycerol (16) [46]. Previous studies have reported the presence of various types of phytochemicals in the genus *Elaeagnus*, including flavonoids (e.g., rutin, epigallocatechin gallate, and isorhamnetin) and phenolic compounds (e.g., chlorogenic acid, pyrogallol,
and ferulic acid) from *E. umbellata* [20], *E. angustifolia* [47], and *E. pungens* [48]; and triterpenoid saponins (e.g., terpenguistifol), lignan glycosides (e.g., phengustifols A and B), and alkaloids (e.g., harmane and tetrahydroharmol) from *E. angustifolia* [23,47]. Of note, this study represents for the first time these sixteen compounds have been identified in EGFOB.

![Structures of compounds 1–16 constituting EGFOB](image)

**Figure 5.** Structures of compounds 1–16 constituting EGFOB. 4-hydroxybenzoic acid (1), salicylic acid (2), vanillic acid (3), (+)-catechin (4), (–)-epicatechin (5), (+)-gallocatechin (6), (–)-epigallocatechin (7), procyanidin B3 (8), procyanidin B4 (9), kaempferol (10), astragalin (11), trans-tiliroside (12), helichryside (13), betulinic acid-3-O-trans-caffeate (14), ursolic acid-3-O-trans-caffeate (15), and 1-mono(22-O-feruloyl-oxydocosanoyl)glycerol (16).
The isolated compounds were simultaneously analyzed using HPLC. The sixteen compounds were successfully separated on a Luna Omega C\(_{18}\) analytical column (4.6 x 250 mm, 5 \(\mu\)m, Phenomenex) at 30 °C with two mobile phases consisting of 0.1% (v/v) aqueous trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) (a) and acetonitrile (ACN) (b) within 60 min. The conditions of the gradients were 10–15% (b) for 0–15 min, 15–50% (b) for 15–40 min, 50–100% (b) for 40–50 min, and 100% (b) for 50–60 min. The HPLC chromatograms of the ethanol extract of EGFOB and isolated compound mixture at 240 nm are presented in Figure 6.

![HPLC chromatograms](image)

**Figure 6.** HPLC chromatograms of the ethanol extract of (A) EGFOB and (B) isolated compound mixture at 240 nm.

### 2.5. Inhibitory Effects of Compounds 1–16 from EGFOB on \(\alpha\beta\) Aggregation

We evaluated the biological activities of the sixteen compounds through an in vitro \(\alpha\beta\) aggregation assay and a free radical scavenging assay. Compounds 8, 9, and 13 inhibited \(\alpha\beta\) aggregation comparably to morin, a known inhibitor of \(\alpha\beta\) aggregation [49] (Figure 7A). Subsequently, we evaluated the inhibitory effects of these compounds on \(\alpha\beta\) aggregation at various concentrations (6.25, 12.5, 25, 50, or 100 \(\mu\)M). Compounds 8, 9, and 13 significantly inhibited \(\alpha\beta\) aggregation in a dose-dependent manner (IC\(_{50}\) = 14.59, 32.64, and 44.45 \(\mu\)M, respectively) (Figure 7B–D). These results indicate the potential of compounds 8, 9, and 13 as bioactive compounds to control \(\alpha\beta\) aggregation. The inhibitory rates of the other thirteen compounds were <63%.

It has been reported that phenolic compounds, including flavonoids, prevent oligomerization of \(\alpha\beta\) by changing its conformation [50]. Structure–activity relationship studies have revealed that the catechol moiety on ring B of flavonoids plays a critical role in their inhibitory activities against \(\alpha\beta\) aggregation, and catechol-type flavonoids can specifically inhibit \(\alpha\beta\)\(_{1-42}\) aggregation by targeting lysine residues. In addition, flavonols containing a C2–C3 double bond on ring C have been found to inhibit \(\alpha\beta\)\(_{1-42}\) aggregation by reacting with the \(\beta\)-sheet regions of \(\alpha\beta\)\(_{1-42}\) aggregates [51,52]. These findings are in line with our observation that compounds 8 (catechin-(4\(\alpha\)→8)-catechin dimer), 9 (catechin-(4\(\alpha\)→8)-epicatechin dimer), and 13 (catechol-type flavonol) had stronger inhibitory effects on \(\alpha\beta\) aggregation than other compounds.
Figure 7. Inhibitory effects of compounds 1–16 from EGFOB on amyloid-β (Aβ) aggregation. Compounds 1–16 (100 µM) isolated from EGFOB (A) and various concentrations (6.25, 12.5, 25, 50, or 100 µM) of procyanidin B3 (8) (B), procyanidin B4 (9) (C), and helichrysoide (13) (D) were reacted with Aβ1–42 peptides, followed by the addition of ThT. Fluorescence was measured at 440 nm (excitation) and 485 nm (emission). Morin (100 µM) was used as a positive control. Each value is shown as the mean ± SEM (n = 3). * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, or *** p < 0.001 vs. control.

2.6. Antioxidant Effects of Compounds 1–16 from EGFOB

To examine the antioxidant activities of the sixteen compounds isolated from EGFOB, ABTS and DPPH radical scavenging assays were performed. Twelve compounds, excluding compounds 1, 2, 14, and 15, significantly increased radical scavenging activity against ABTS at 100 µM (Figure 8A). The scavenging activities of compounds 1, 2, 14, and 15 against ABTS were <66%. However, the DPPH radical scavenging activities of the sixteen compounds were <56% (Figure 8B). Additionally, we evaluated the ABTS and DPPH radical scavenging activities of compounds 8, 9, and 13, candidate inhibitors of Aβ aggregation, at various concentrations from 1.5625 to 100 µM. As shown in Figure 8C–E, compounds 8 (IC₅₀ = 3.21 µM), 9 (IC₅₀ = 3.44 µM), and 13 (IC₅₀ = 4.61 µM) dose-dependently enhanced radical scavenging activity against ABTS, which reached 100% at 12.5, 12.5, and 25 µM, respectively. In the DPPH test, we observed that the scavenging activity patterns of compounds 8, 9, and 13 were
similar to those detected in the ABTS test. However, the DPPH radical scavenging activities of these three compounds were <52% \( (IC_{50} = 54.88, >100, \text{ and } >100 \mu M, \text{ respectively).} \) As mentioned above, since \( \beta \)-amyloid aggregation and free radicals are closely related, compounds 8, 9, and 13 targeting \( \beta \)-amyloid with potent antioxidant activity are promising as valuable candidates for the prevention and treatment of AD. Additional studies will be necessary to identify the bioactive compounds of EGFOB in the inhibition of AD pathogenesis. In vitro and in vivo assays are required to determine the efficacy and safety of each candidate bioactive compound.

**Figure 8.** Antioxidant effects of compounds 1–16 from EGFOB. Antioxidant activities of compounds 1–16 (100 \( \mu M \)) (A, B), procyanidin B3 (8) (C), procyanidin B4 (9) (D), and helichryside (13) (E) (1.5625–100 \( \mu M \)) were measured using ABTS and DPPH radical scavenging assays. Ascorbic acid (AA) (50 \( \mu M \)) was used as a positive control. Each value is presented as the mean ± SEM \((n = 3)\). * \( p < 0.05, 
 *** \( p < 0.001, \text{ or } **** \( p < 0.0001 \) vs. control.
3. Materials and Methods

3.1. General Experimental Procedures

$^1$H-NMR (500 MHz), $^{13}$C-NMR (125 MHz), and 2D NMR (HMQC, HMBC, and COSY) experiments were conducted on a Bruker Avance 500 NMR spectrometer (Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA). HRESI-MS and ESI-MS data were recorded on a SYNAPT G2 (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) mass spectrometer and Waters Acquity UPLC H-class system equipped with a QDa detector (Waters, Waters, Milford, MA, USA), respectively. Optical rotations were measured using the JASCO P-2000 digital polarimeter (JASCO, Tokyo, Japan). Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker ALPHA-T IR Spectrometer (Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA). Melting points were measured using a Mettler Toledo TM50 melting point apparatus (Mettler Toledo, Columbus, OH, USA). Column chromatography was performed using silica gel (70–230 mesh, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), ODS-A (S-75 µm, YMC Co., Ltd., Kyoto, Japan), and Sephadex™ LH-20 (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA). Preparative HPLC was performed on Luna C$_{18}$ (21.2 × 250 mm, 5 µm, Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA), Triart C$_{18}$ (20 × 250 mm, 5 µm, YMC Co., Ltd., Kyoto, Japan), and Luna C$_{18}$ (10 × 250 mm, 5 µm, Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA) columns with analytical grade water and ACN (J. T. Baker Chemical Co., Phillipsburg, NJ, USA) as mobile phases by using the Interface LC 3000 system. Thin-layer chromatography was performed on 60 F$_{254}$ or RP-18 F$_{254}$ (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) pre-coated with silica gel. To identify the isolated compounds from their HPLC chromatogram, HPLC was performed on a Luna Omega C$_{18}$ (4.6 × 250 mm, 5 µm, Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA) analytical column by using a Waters Alliance e2695 system equipped with a photodiode array detector (#2998, Waters, Milford, MA, USA). The analytical grade reagent TFA was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA).

3.2. Plant Materials

Dried EGFOB and ethanol extracts of EGFOL were obtained from the Korean Seed Association. The origins of the herbal materials were identified by Professor Joo-Hwan Kim (Gachon University, Seongnam, Korea). A voucher specimen (SCD-A-112) was deposited at the Clinical Medicine Division of Korea Institute of Oriental Medicine (Daejeon, Korea).

3.3. Extraction, Fractionation, and Isolation

The dried EGFOB (2.9 kg) were extracted twice with 70% aqueous ethanol (30 L each time) by using an electric extractor (COSMOS-660, Kyungseo Machine Co., Incheon, Korea) at 80 ± 2 °C for 3 h. The extract solution was filtered, concentrated using a rotary evaporator system (EYELA N-12, Rikakikai Co., Tokyo, Japan) under vacuum, and freeze-dried to obtain a powdered extract (179.3 g, 6.2%). This powdered extract (165.0 g) was suspended in water (1 L) and successively partitioned four times with 1 L each of n-hexane, EtOAc, and n-BuOH to obtain n-hexane (7.3 g), EtOAc (16.3 g), and n-BuOH (28.6 g)-soluble fractions. The EtOAc-soluble fraction (15.5 g), which significantly inhibited Aβ aggregation, was subjected to silica gel column chromatography (70–230 mesh, 11 × 19 cm) and eluted with a stepwise gradient of CH$_2$Cl$_2$–MeOH (100:1, 80:1, 40:1, 20:1, 10:1, 5:1, 3:1, 2:1, 1:1, and 0:1) to afford six fractions (F1–F6). F1 (960.0 mg) was subjected to ODS column chromatography (5–75 µm, 2.3 × 40 cm) and eluted with an MeOH–H$_2$O gradient (40:60→100:0) to obtain ten fractions (F1–F1–F10). F1-8 (79.0 mg) was further purified using preparative HPLC with a Luna C$_{18}$ column (21.2 × 250 mm, 5 µm) containing ACN–H$_2$O (94:6) to obtain compound 16 (12.4 mg). F2 (746.0 mg) was chromatographed on the ODS column (2.3 × 40 cm) and eluted with an MeOH–H$_2$O gradient (40:60→100:0) to obtain nine fractions (F2-1–F2-9). F2-4 (65.7 mg) was subjected to preparative HPLC (21.2 × 250 mm, 5 µm, Luna C$_{18}$) with ACN–H$_2$O (45:55) as the eluent and then recrystallized to obtain compound 10 (3.8 mg). Compound 15 (28.3 mg) was isolated from F2-8 (117.0 mg) by preparative HPLC (21.2 × 250 mm, 5 µm, Luna C$_{18}$) with ACN–H$_2$O (88:12) as the eluent. F3 (5.1 g) was chromatographed on an ODS column (4 × 49 cm) and eluted with an MeOH–H$_2$O gradient (10:90→100:0) to obtain twenty-four fractions (F3-1–F3-24). Compound 1 (10.0 mg) was isolated from F3-1 (90.0 mg) through
silica gel column (2.3 × 42 cm) chromatography involving a CH$_2$Cl$_2$–MeOH gradient (10:1→1:1) as the eluent. F3-5 (1.3 g) was subjected to silica gel column (3 × 45 cm) chromatography and eluted with a CH$_2$Cl$_2$–MeOH gradient (40:1→1:1) to obtain compound 4 (250.0 mg). Subfraction F3-5-6 (217.0 mg) was further purified using preparative HPLC (20 × 250 mm, 5 μm, Triart C$_{18}$) with ACN–H$_2$O (13:87) as the eluent to obtain compounds 7 (39.5 mg) and 9 (27.0 mg). F3-6 (226.0 mg) was chromatographed on a silica gel column (2.3 × 32 cm) by eluting with a CH$_2$Cl$_2$–MeOH gradient (40:1→1:1) and further purified using preparative HPLC (20 × 250 mm, 5 μm, Triart C$_{18}$) with ACN–H$_2$O (8:92) as the eluent to obtain compound 3 (4.6 mg). F3-7 (534.0 mg) was subjected to consecutive preparative HPLC (20 × 250 mm, 5 μm, Triart C$_{18}$) to obtain compound 5 (68.0 mg). Compounds 11 (18.0 mg) and 12 (30.0 mg) were isolated from F3-15 (100.0 mg) and F3-17 (178.0 mg), respectively, by using preparative HPLC (10 × 250 mm, 5 μm, Luna C$_{18}$) with ACN–H$_2$O (19:81) as the eluent, followed by recrystallization. Compound 14 (13.5 mg) was purified from F3-24 (70.0 mg) by using preparative HPLC (21.2 × 250 mm, 5 μm, Luna C$_{18}$) with ACN–H$_2$O (83:17→84:16) as the eluent. F4 (4.4 g) was applied on a Sephadex LH-20 column (4.2 × 50 cm) and eluted with an MeOH–H$_2$O gradient (10:90→100:0) to obtain ten fractions (F4-1→F4-10). Compound 2 (20.0 mg) was isolated from F4-2 (72.0 mg) by using preparative HPLC (21.2 × 250 mm, 5 μm, Luna C$_{18}$) with ACN–H$_2$O (2:98) as the eluent. F4-5 (285.5 mg) was chromatographed on a silica gel column (2.2 × 50 cm) with a CH$_2$Cl$_2$–MeOH gradient (40:1→1:1) as the eluent and subsequently purified through preparative HPLC (21.2 × 250 mm, 5 μm, Luna C$_{18}$, ACN–H$_2$O (6:94)) to obtain compound 6 (7.0 mg). F4-6 (1.4 g) was subjected to silica gel column (3.2 × 40 cm) chromatography involving a CH$_2$Cl$_2$–MeOH gradient (40:1→1:1) as the eluent and purified through consecutive preparative HPLC (21.2 × 250 mm, 5 μm, Luna C$_{18}$) to obtain compound 8 (13.5 mg). Compound 13 (3.6 mg) was isolated from F4-9 (262.0 mg) through consecutive preparative HPLC (21.2 × 250 mm, 5 μm, Luna C$_{18}$) with ACN–H$_2$O (27:73) and ACN–H$_2$O (24:76) as the eluents.

3.4. Aβ Aggregation Assay

To measure the inhibitory effect on Aβ$_{1-42}$ aggregation, the SensoLyte® Thioflavin T (ThT) β-Amyloid aggregation kit (AnaSpec, Fremont, CA, USA) was used according to the modified manufacturer’s instructions. This assay is based on the property of ThT dye that increases fluorescence when bound to the aggregates of Aβ$_{1-42}$ peptides. The detailed protocol is described in a previous report [33]. Morin (100 μM) was used as a positive control for the inhibition of Aβ aggregation. Experiments were performed in triplicate and independently repeated three times. The inhibition rate (%) of Aβ aggregation was calculated according to the following equation:

\[
\text{Inhibition of Aβ aggregation (\%) = } \left(1 - \frac{\text{Fluorescence of Aβ - treated sample}}{\text{Fluorescence of untreated sample}}\right) \times 100
\]

3.5. Free Radical Scavenging Assay

ABTS and DPPH radical scavenging assays were performed with reference to the modified method described in previously published reports [54,55] to examine the antioxidant activities. In the ABTS assay, 7 mM ABTS aqueous solution and 2.45 mM potassium persulfate were reacted for 12–16 h in the dark at room temperature to prepare the ABTS* solution. Subsequently, the reactant was diluted 25-fold to adjust its absorbance at 734 nm to 0.7 by using a spectrophotometer (Benchmark Plus, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). The sample solution (100 μL) and ABTS* solution (100 μL) were mixed and incubated for 5 min at room temperature in the dark.

To determine the DPPH radical scavenging activity, the sample solution (100 μL) and 0.15 mM DPPH solution (100 μL) were mixed and incubated for 3 h at room temperature in the dark.
The absorbance was measured at 517 nm. Ascorbic acid was used as a positive control for antioxidative activity. The radical scavenging activity (%) was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{Radical scavenging activity (\%) } = \left(1 - \frac{\text{Absorbance of sample}}{\text{Absorbance of control}}\right) \times 100
\]

(2)

3.6. Statistical Analysis

Calculated values were expressed as mean ± SEM. One-way analysis of variance or Student’s t-test was performed using GraphPad Prism 7.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA) to determine statistical significance. P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

4. Conclusions

Our data demonstrate that the ethanol extract of EGFOB has anti-Aβ aggregation and antioxidant activities. Sixteen compounds (1–16) were isolated from the ethanol extract of EGFOB by using chromatographic techniques. The chemical structures of these compounds were determined using 1D and 2D NMR spectroscopy, or electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. Among these sixteen compounds, compounds 8, 9, and 13 showed potent antioxidative and anti-Aβ aggregation activities. These results suggest that EGFOB, especially compounds procyanidin B3 (8), procyanidin B4 (9), and helichryssoside (13), may be promising candidate therapeutics against AD and AD-related diseases.

Supplementary Materials: The NMR spectra (Figures S1–S33), spectroscopic and physicochemical data of compounds 1–16 are available online.
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