Toxic effects of *Ricinus communis* non-protein trypsin inhibitor on *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J. E. Smith) (Lepidoptera:Noctuidae)
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In the study reported herein, we aimed to isolate a trypsin inhibitor from *Ricinus communis* leaves through chromatographic and spectrometric techniques and evaluate its toxic effects on the development of *Spodoptera frugiperda* larvae. Plant extracts were submitted to fractionation in adsorption column. The fraction 10, which showed the highest inhibitory activity, were incorporated into an artificial diet at the concentrations of 0, 0.06, 0.12, 0.25 and 0.5%, and offered to *S. frugiperda* larvae. Fresh weight of larvae, food consumed and weight of eliminated faeces were registered. Based on these parameters the following nutritional index were calculated: Relative Consumption Rate (RCR), Relative Metabolic Rate (RMR), Relative Growth Rate (RGR), Approximated Digestibility (AD), Efficiency of Ingested Food Conversion (EIC), Efficiency of Digested Food Conversion (EDC) and the Metabolic Cost (100 - EDC). The inhibitor at 0.5% concentration was deleterious to *S. frugiperda*, extending the larval stage in 11 days, with higher RCR and ECD, and lower RGR, ECI and ECD. Therefore, the trypsin inhibitor from leaves of *R. communis* affected the *S. frugiperda* larval development, being promising in studies of alternative and sustainable control methods for lepidopteran pest species.
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INTRODUCTION

The fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Smith, 1797) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), is one of the most important insect pest of maize in Brazil, attacking the plant at different growth stages, when the larvae burrow into the plant whorl causing severe defoliation and 37% loss in production (Cruz, 2002). Due to the polyphagous feeding...
behavior, fall armyworm may attack and damage many other agricultural crops such as rice, sorghum, cotton, soybean and many others (Alvarez et al., 2009). Current control of S. frugiperda populations relies mainly on spraying chemical insecticides, which have been overused in recent years, resulting in insect resistance (Yu et al., 2003), environmental contamination (Starner and Goh, 2012) and affecting the human health (Loewenherz et al., 1997). Due to those factors, organic cultivation has been increased, replacing the use of chemical insecticides by alternative methods of pest control (Uchino et al., 2015). A promising alternative to control fall armyworm is the use of plants secondary metabolites (Tavares et al., 2009; Alves et al., 2014). These chemicals compounds produced by plants can induce deleterious effects on insects such as weight loss, fecundity and fertility reduction, increasing duration of immature stages, feeding deterrence, ultra structural modification on tissues, changes in some nutritional parameters, and inhibition of digestive enzymes, which is lethal to some insects (Malau and James, 2008; Nathan et al., 2008; Correia et al., 2009). The use of proteinase inhibitors (PIs), a class of substances involved in plant defense is an example of these new alternative. Levels of PIs in plant leaves are usually low and can be increased to high levels if the plants are attacked by insects, suffer mechanical damage or exposed to plant hormones (Rakwal et al., 2001).

Plant proteinase inhibitors forms stable and complex structures in which proteolysis is limited and extremely slow (Tiffin and Gaut, 2001). Proteinase inhibitors also causes an amino acid deficiency in insects influencing growth, development and eventually causing its death by inhibiting gut proteinases or due to a massive overproduction of the digestive enzymes, reducing the availability of essential amino acids for the production of other proteins (Jongsma et al., 1997). Proteinase inhibitors (PIs) are known to be produced in response to pest attack in several tropical and subtropical crops (Kakade et al., 1974) as an example of alternative defense structures in plants, which are induced by the presence of pests. In this study, we aim to isolate a trypsin inhibitor to evaluate its effect on the development of S. frugiperda larvae.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Insect rearing

The experiment was conducted in a climatized room at 25 ± 2°C with 12 h photofase and 70 ± 10% relative humidity. The insects were reared on the artificial diet developed by Karster-Junior et al. (1978).

Collection of the plant and preparation of extracts

Leaves of R. communis were collected in a field located in Lavras County (21°13'29.73" S; 49°58'43.93" W) state of Minas Gerais, Brazil, and the voucher specimen was deposited in the herbarium of the Federal University of Lavras (UFLA). All of the plant extracts were prepared in the Biochemistry laboratory at UFLA. Leaves, without petiole, central and secondary nervures, were cut in 1 cm² pieces, and placed on an Erlemeyer with ethanol (pa > 99.8%) respecting the proportion of 1:7 (weight of leaves/volume of extractor). The remaining moisture was stored away from the light for 20 days, and filtered after this period in a Büchner funnel with filter paper and a rotary evaporator connected to a vacuum pump. After filtration, the extract was oven-dried at 45°C to eliminate all of the solvent.

Chromatographic conditions and enzyme inhibition assays

Chromatographic analysis was performed using a 50 cm long column, and 2.5 cm inner diameter packed with silica Kieselgel 60 (0.040 to 0.063 mm; 230 to 400 mesh) Merck®. The eluents were hexane, chloroform, ethyl acetate, ethanol, methanol and acetic acid. All the fractions collected showed different volume (around 100 mL) and the solvent exchange occurred based on the observations of spots in the column. Seventeen fractions were obtained from this column, which were concentrated in rotary evaporator until complete eluent evaporation. All fractions were resuspended separately in 5 mL of ethanol and subjected to trypsin inhibition in vitro testing. Inhibitor activity was determined as described by Kakade et al. (1974) by the comparison between a kinetic assay of trypsin activity in the inhibitor presence and absence, over four time intervals ( Erlanger et al., 1961). Results, obtained in three replicates, were expressed in milliunits of inhibited trypsin (mUVT). This unit represents the amount of light absorbed by 1 nMole p-nitroanilide produced from the trypsin activity on N –alpha – benzoyl – DL – arginine - p - nitroanilide (BapNA) substrate after one minute of reaction with 1 g leaves of R. communis. Fifth instar larvae of S. frugiperda were immobilized on ice and dissected. Midgut was removed and macerated in a Potter homogenizer in the proportion of 1 midgut to 4 mL of water at 4°C. The homogenized solution was filtered through 100 μm nylon mesh and centrifuged for 10 min at 14,000 x g (4°C). Supernatant was used in the inhibitor activity assays. The fraction that exhibited higher trypsin inhibition in in vitro tests was submitted to chromatographic separations, which were achieved using a High Performance Liquid Chromatographer Shimadzu LC 20A, equipped with an automatic injector (injection volume 20 µL) and a UV-VIS detector. A Agilent – Zorbax Eclipse AAA-C18 column (150 mm × 4.6 mm containing 5 µm spherical particles) and an Agilent – Zorbax Eclipse AAA pre-column (12.5 × 4.6 mm, 5 µm) were used. Oven temperature was 45°C. Analyses were realized through elution gradient, in which Eluent A (Metanol HPLC grade) was added in the eluent B (Water MilliQ) by a linear gradient (20 to 100% in 45 min), returning to initial concentration in the last 5 min. Fractions with 1 mL of volume were collected at every minute in glass tubes for 50 min. Fifty fractions were obtained and used in trypsin inhibition assays following Kakade et al. (1974). Control assays were performed to avoid variation caused by different eluent concentrations. These assays were driven through the injection of ethanol (solvent used to solubilize the inhibitor) in the HPLC in the same conditions of the samples. Fractions that showed enzyme inhibition were injected in a mass spectrometer. Mass spectrometry was performed using Photo-Fenton UV/H2O2 process. The spectra...
Figure 1. Trypsin Inhibited activity (mUTI) per g of *R. communis* leaves extract. Fractions (obtained by silica absorption column): 1 - hexane, 2 - hexane / chloroform, 3 to 6 - chloroform; 7 - chloroform / ethyl acetate, 8 - acetate ethyl, 9 - ethyl acetate / ethanol, 10 and 11 - ethanol, 12 - ethanol / methanol, 13 to 15 - methanol, 16 - methanol / acetic acid; 17:18 - acetic acid.

were obtained in the negative mode in a mass spectrometer model LC/MS Trap Agilent 1100 under the following conditions: air flow 5 µL/min; capillary voltage of -3500 V; dry temperature of 325°C; and nebulizer pressure 10 psi. The analysis was carried in triplicates.

**Assay with larvae of caterpillars of *S. frugiperda***

Fraction obtained were also utilized in vivo bioassays with larvae of *S. frugiperda*. The semi-purified inhibitor (preparative HPLC) was added in the artificial diet (Kasten-Junior et al., 1978), at the concentrations of 0, 0.06, 0.12, 0.25 and 0.5% (mass/volume). Diets were weighted (5 g) and offered to five-days-old larvae in a closed individual acrylic recipient. Bioassay was conducted in a complete randomized design (CRD) with six replicates per treatment. Each replicate contained six individually reared larvae totaling 36 larvae per treatment. The biological characteristics evaluated were: larvae weight each three days, which were used to develop a growth curve; larvae survival and length of larval and pupae stages. The amount of food consumed was calculated with a correction factor for the water losses ([1-a/2][W-(L+bL)]), where: e = initial diet weight; b = aliquot average loss; W = introduced diet weight; L = non-eaten food weight (Cohen, 2004). Growth curve values were analyzed by the DRC statistical package of R® software (R Development Core Team, 2009), using the logistic model and lack-of-fit test. Amount of food consumed, larvae weight, pupae weight, and length of larval and pupae stages were submitted to Shapiro-Wilk's test, using the Mvnormtest package of R® software® (R Development Core Team, 2009). Data were submitted to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Scott-Knott test, using the Laercio package of R® software® (R Development Core Team, 2009). The mortality data were evaluated counting the number of dead individuals during the assay period and corrected by the Abbott’s formula ([M = m_t - m_c/1 - m_c] where: M = Mortality, m_t = Treatment mortality, m_c = Control mortality).

**RESULTS**

**Chromatographic and spectrometric analyses**

Chromatographic fractions soluble in hexane, chloroform and ethyl acetate (fractions from 1 to 9) showed no activity in the trypsin inhibition tests. The fraction 7, corresponding to the eluent chloroform/ethyl acetate, had one activity peak and the fractions soluble in ethanol, methanol and acetic acid (fractions 10 to 18) showed trypsin inhibition. The higher inhibition activity was registered at the 10th ethanol fraction (ethanol – 10), which was selected for HPLC analysis and in vivo bioassays (Figure 1). Fractions originated from HPLC retention time of 26 to 29 min (Figures 2 and 3) inhibited trypsin from the *S. frugiperda* digestive system. Aiming to decrease the influence of eluents in the trypsin inhibition analysis, a control test containing only ethanol was injected in the HPLC. All mass spectra (Figure 4) were obtained from the HPLC fractions (26 to 29 min) that inhibited trypsin from the lepidopteran digestive system. Mass spectrometry did not allow quantifying the inhibitor mass. However, repeated peaks related with trypsin inhibition were identified in all mass spectra.
Figure 2. Growth curve of *S. frugiperda* larvae fed on artificial diet containing extract from the leaves of *R. communis*. Inhibitor fraction.

Figure 3. HPLC chromatograms for the ethanolic fraction (370 nm).

Figure 4. Inhibition of Mass Spectrum of fractions obtained from HPLC (A, 27; B, 28; C, 29; D, 30 min).
Biological assay

Castor oil trypsin inhibitor showed toxic characteristic to *S. frugiperda* larvae only at the concentration of 0.5%. Insects fed with 0 and 0.06% of inhibitor developed normally. In the treatments with inhibitor concentrations at 0.12 and 0.25% the larvae reached its maximum weight at the 23rd day, whereas in the treatment with inhibitor concentration at 0.5% the larvae development was problematic, extending the larval stage and decreasing weight average (Figure 5). In the treatment with inhibitor concentration at 0.5%, larval stage was ten days higher than the control treatment. Rates of larvae and pupae survival were not affected by the treatments, ranging from 63.3 to 87.7 and 12.0 to 14.4 days, respectively (Figure 6). Among the nutritional indexes evaluated (Figure 7), 0.5% of inhibitor concentration resulted in higher relative consumption rate (RCR), lower relative growth rate (RGR), efficiency of ingested food conversion (EIC) and efficiency of digested food conversion (EDC). Approximated digestibility (AD) and relative metabolic rate (RMR) showed no significant differences among treatments (Figure 7). The Abbott formula (Abbott 1925) of efficacy for the concentrations of 0.5, 0.25 and 0.12% provided values of 25, 12 and 4.17%, respectively. The concentration of 0.06% showed no efficiency.

DISCUSSION

Trypsin inhibitors are extensively studied in several aspects aiming biochemistry characterization, three dimensional structures, interactions with multiple protease classes and effects on pests and pathogens (Abd El-Latif, 2014; Kuwar et al., 2015; Pontual et al., 2014; Zhu-Salzman and Rensen, 2015). Previous works performed with castor oil leaves suggested that trypsin inhibitor is a non-proteic organic molecule (Rossi et al., 2012) differing from other trypsin inhibitors described in literature. Precipitations with acetone and saturated ammonium solution, high temperature exposition and inhibition assays in the presence of de β-mercapto-ethanol were performed demonstrating the molecule non-proteic characteristic and suggesting different ways to extract and purify this trypsin inhibitor. Aiming to isolate the inhibitor, two chromatographic methods were utilized in the present study. Previously, adsorption chromatography
with silica was carried out to separate the organic compounds present in the extract, based on the molecule polarity. Subsequently all fractions obtained were subjected to in vitro analysis. Trypsin from the *S. frugiperda* digestive system was inhibited by the ethanol and methanol fractions. Several inhibition peaks were observed (Figure 1), suggesting the presence of more than one trypsin inhibitor in castor oil leaves. A trypsin activator molecule was also detected in the fraction chloroform/ethyl acetate. Due to the higher trypsin inhibition activity (Figure 1) only the ethanol fraction – 10 was analyzed in the HPLC. Inhibition assays showed presence of inhibitor molecules...
Figure 7. Efficiency of digested food conversion (%EDC), efficiency of ingested food conversion (%EIC), relative consumption rate (RCR), relative consumption rate (RCR) (g/g/day), relative metabolic rate (RMR) (g/g/day), approximated digestibility (AD) of *S. frugiperda* larvae feed on artificial diet containing extract from the leaves of *R. communis*.

at four retention times (26 to 29 min) (Figure 2 and 3). These fractions were submitted to mass spectrometry (Figure 5). More studies are needed to identify the compound responsible for the inhibition. However, based on the repeated peaks observed in the mass spectrometry, this molecule likely has a common main structure, differing only in some ramifications. Inhibitor activity can be evidenced by adding these molecules to artificial diets offered to the target insect. Although *in vitro* assays are initial tests to indicate insecticide activity, many works confirmed their results through *in vivo* assays on larvae of pest insects (Alves et al., 2014; Tavares et al., 2009). Several works on literature reported *in vivo* effect of Kunitz and Bowman-Birk proteinase inhibitors on larval development (Shade et al., 1986), pupae weight (Duan et al., 1996), total viability of insects cycle (Shade et al., 1986) and insect adaptation mechanisms (Brioschi et al., 2007; Paulillo et al., 2000). However, to the best of our knowledge there is no study exploring these responses for a non-proteic trypsin inhibitor.

In this study, the castor oil trypsin inhibitor (COTI) activity was analyzed during the larval development of *S. frugiperda*, an important pest of the Brazilian agriculture. For this, COTI was added in artificial diet in crescent concentrations. Among all the biological parameter analyzed only the length of larval stage and pupae weight showed significant results when compared to the control (Figure 6). An increase of ten days was registered in *S. frugiperda* larval stage length for the treatment with inhibitor concentration at 0.5%, proving the deleterious effect of this inhibitor. Probably, an essential amino acid deficiency may have affected the insect development, delaying the stage conclusion (Ryan, 1990). Decreased pupae weight was registered in the treatments with inhibitor concentration of 0.25% and 0.5%. This parameter
suggested reduced food ingestion, probably caused by the inhibitor presence. Parameters as length of the pupae stage, food ingestion, feces weight, larvae and pupae survival rates showed no variations with the inhibitor inclusion on the diet (Figure 6). Differences between in vitro and in vivo assays are frequently reported in the literature (Bolter and Jongsma, 1995). In this study, COTI reached 66% of trypsin inhibition in vitro, whereas in vivo assays showed deleterious effect in few biological parameters. This result is completely understandable due to the pest capacity to adapt to the inhibitor presence on diets or genetically modified plants (Bolter and Jongsma, 1995; Ishimoto et al., 1996; Jongsma and Boulter, 1997). Pest adaptation capacity can be explained by two hypotheses: 1) Higher quantity of digestive enzyme expressed in presence of inhibitor; 2) different proteinase classes expressed to overcome the inhibitor effect. According to Broadway et al., (1986), insect larvae can overproduce protease in the presence of proteinase inhibitor in the digestive system. In the inhibitor concentration of 0.5%, the growth curve presented to the larval stage 12 days was higher compared to the control treatment, showing an evident deficit in larvae development. Pupae formation was also affected, delaying in three days compared with control treatment. Remaining treatments were not affected comparing with control, demonstrating a regular development. However, at the concentration of 0.12 and 0.25% presented larval stage three days higher compared with control treatment (Figure 5).

According to Martinez and Endem (2001) increasing the larval stage and growth inhibition can be triggered by reduced food ingestion and lower food conversion, caused by the presence of one or more inhibitor in the diet. Larvae of S. frugiperda fed with concentration of 0.5% also showed alterations in the nutritional indexes (Figure 7) as higher relative consumption rate (RCR), lower relative growth rate (RGR), lower efficiency of ingested food conversion (EIC) and lower efficiency of digested food conversion (EDC) with more metabolic cost. These results attest the potential of COTI, since similar studies on larvae of H. virescens fed on tobacco plants expressing potato proteinase inhibitors (PIN-2), showed no variation in nutritional parameters evaluated (Brito et al., 2001). Approximated digestibility (AD) and relative metabolic rate (RMR) showed no significant differences among treatments (Figure 7). The efficacy at the concentrations of 0.5, 0.25 and 0.12% were 25, 12 and 4.17%, respectively. The concentration of 0.06% showed no efficiency. In this study, the non-proteic castor oil trypsin inhibitor at the concentration of 0.5% impaired S. frugiperda larvae development changing their nutritional parameters. These results can be used to develop an alternative and more sustainable method to control lepidopteran pest species through trypsin inhibition. Future works should investigate the inhibitor molecule characteristics, activities on enzyme catalysis and evaluate its kinetic properties.
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