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Brainstem clinical and neurophysiological involvement in COVID-19
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Dear Sirs,

Whilst respiratory failure in COVID-19 arises from severe interstitial lung involvement [17], SARS-CoV-2 likely spreads also through the nervous system [3, 6]. Before that SARS-CoV-2 emerged at a global scale [14], other coronaviruses have been proven to invade the brainstem in mice [5] and humans [1, 2, 7]. SARS-CoV-2 might spread cell-to-cell in a prion-like way [3, 8, 11], along the vagus nerve, reaching respiratory centers in the brainstem, possibly adding a neurogenic component to the respiratory failure [11, 15]. To test this hypothesis, we assessed neurophysiologically and clinically the brainstem in patients admitted to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU; time of hospitalization: 10.5 ± 4.8 days, mean ± standard deviation).

The blink reflex (BR) was assessed in 11 severe COVID-19 patients (9 males, mean age 55.2 ± 7.1 years, range 48–70; Fig. 1) [4, 9]. Diagnosis of COVID-19 was confirmed by positive results on a reverse-transcriptase-polymerase-chain-reaction (RT-PCR) assay performed on nasopharyngeal and throat swab, or on lower respiratory tract specimens; each patient suffered from interstitial pneumonia typical of SARS-CoV-2 disease and was intubated at the time of the neurological evaluation. The BR includes two responses: the first is mediated by a disynaptic pathway between the sensory nucleus of the trigeminal nerve (V) in the mid pons and the ipsilateral facial nucleus in the lower pontine tegmentum. The second response (RII) originates through a multi-synaptic circuit in the medulla oblongata [9]. The supraorbital nerve was stimulated through a pair of silver chloride cup electrodes with the cathode over the supraorbital foramen and the anode 2 cm above (constant current stimulation, pulse width 200 µs, inter-trial interval ranging between 25 and 35 s to avoid habituation) [4, 9]. A total of 8 blink reflexes were recorded on each side and data were collected from superimposed traces. Electromyographic signal was captured by surface electrodes and analyzed (band-pass 10 Hz–10 kHz, sampling rate 5 kHz, sensitivity set 200 µV/Div; sweep speed 10 ms/Div). Neurophysiological responses in COVID-19 patients were compared with those from 15 age-matched healthy volunteers and 5 non-COVID ICU intubated patients (Fig. 1). The glabellar and corneal reflexes were also clinically tested; the response to both reflexes was labeled as normal (score = 2), reduced (1) or absent (0).

At the time of evaluation, patients were awake and in the 60 min before none of them assumed drugs interfering with neuromuscular transmission or depressing the central nervous system. Whereas all the COVID-19 patients had normal pontine RI latencies (p = 0.1), in two of them the RI was absent and in the remaining cases markedly abnormal, both the ipsilateral (latency: p < 0.001; amplitude: p < 0.001; duration: p < 0.001) and the contralateral response (latency: p = 0.0014; amplitude: p < 0.0001; duration: p < 0.0001; see Table 1).

Among COVID-19 patients, four had an absent glabellar reflex (score = 0), while the others had a markedly impaired reflex (score = 1). The corneal reflex was present in eight COVID-19 patients out of 11, and reduced in the remaining three. Non-COVID patients showed normal glabellar and corneal reflexes.

Our findings provide the neurophysiological and clinical evidence of SARS-Cov-2-related brainstem involvement in
severe Covid-19 patients, especially at the medullary level. Our results agree with recent histopathological data showing a preferential involvement of the lower medulla, without any evidence of intracerebral bleeding or small-vessels thromboses, and confirming the intraneuronal localization of SARS-Cov-2 nucleoprotein [13].

Although SARS-Cov-2-related Guillain-Barré syndrome has been recently reported, mainly of axonal type and with an early involvement of the cranial nerves [16], normal RI latencies and amplitudes rule out this diagnosis in our patients.

Table 1 Data concerning the medullary RII component of the blink reflex (BR)

|                | Ipsilateral R2 | Contralateral R2 |
|----------------|----------------|------------------|
|                | Latency (ms)   | Amplitude (µV)   | Duration (ms) | Latency (ms)   | Amplitude (µV)   | Duration (ms) |
| Covid-19       | 40.1 ± 7.0     | 261.6 ± 235      | 45.9 ± 8.7    | 41.2 ± 7.5     | 172.6 ± 171.2    | 48.3 ± 9.1     |
| Healthy Controls| 33.3 ± 2.7     | 638.7 ± 316      | 32.2 ± 4.2    | 35.9 ± 4.1     | 443.0 ± 239      | 37.0 ± 6.3     |
| Non Covid-19 ICU patients | 32.2 ± 1.0 | 597.2 ± 192 | 35.7 ± 6.7 | 34.7 ± 1.3 | 421.5 ± 131 | 39.4 ± 5.5 |
From a clinical perspective, the glabellar reflex was impaired more than the corneal. The two reflexes rely on slightly different circuits, targeting the pontine sensory nucleus and the nucleus of the spinal tract of the trigeminal nerve, then projecting to the facial nucleus and reticular formation (RF). However, the amount of fibers reaching the interneuronal network of the medullary reticular formation is lower for the corneal than for the glabellar reflex, probably accounting for the differences we observed [9].

Overall, our results suggest that the brainstem involvement likely contributes to respiratory failure in COVID-19 patients as postulated by Manganelli [12] and Baig [3].

Yet, BR assesses a ponto-medullary circuitry partly involving the reticular formation [9] close to the respiratory nuclei. The reticular formation itself modulates the activity of the respiratory centers [10].
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