Abstract. In this talk the role of spin and polarization is discussed in experimental search for new excited baryon states and in the study of the internal quark-gluon structure of the proton and neutron. Also the perspective of spin physics at the 12 GeV CEBAF electron accelerator is discussed and what we hope to learn about fundamental properties of hadrons such as their multi-dimensional structure through the momentum and spatial imaging, and about the forces on the quarks in the proton and how quark confinement may be realized through the spatial distribution of such forces.

1 Introduction

Dramatic events occurred in the evolution of the microsecond old universe that had tremendous implication for the further development of the universe to the state it is in today. As the universe expanded and cooled sufficiently into the GeV range (see Fig. 1), the transition occurred from the phase of free (unconfined) quarks and gluons, to the hadron phase with quarks and gluon confined in volumes of ≈ 1 fm³, i.e. protons, neutrons, and anti-baryons. In course of this process, elementary, nearly massless quarks acquire dynamical mass due to the coupling to the gluon field, and chiral symmetry is broken. This transition is not a simple first order phase transition, but a "cross over" between two phases of strongly interacting matter, which is moderated by the excitation of baryon resonances starting from the highest mass states and ending with the low mass states.

A quantitative understanding of this transition requires more excited baryons of all flavors, than have been observed to date and are included in the "Review of Particle Properties" [1, 2]. These 3 phenomena, the presence of the full complement of excited baryons, the acquisition of dynamical mass by light quarks, and the transition from unconfined quarks to confinement are intricately related and are at the core of the problems we are trying to solve in hadron physics today. Although we cannot recreate the exact conditions that existed during this phase in the history of the universe, we do have all the tools at our disposal to search for new states and study the individual states in relative isolation, by probing the quark mass versus the momentum or distance scale, and study the forces in the nucleon, which provide the confinement of quarks and gluons in the nucleon.

Accounting for excitation spectrum of protons and neutrons and describing the effective degrees of freedom is one of the most important and certainly the most challenging task in hadron physics. It has been the focus of the CLAS N* program at Jefferson Lab and likely will remain so with its extensions towards higher energies with CLAS12. The first part of the talk is focussed on what has been achieved in discovering new excited baryons, and in revealing the active degrees of freedom. In the second part I discuss experiments that are planned to explore the internal quark structure and the quark longitudinal and transverse spin distributions as well as what we could learn...
about the confinement forces underlying the internal quark structure.

2 The CW Electron Accelerator and the 12 GeV experimental equipment.

The electron accelerator is shown schematically in Fig. 2. The two linear accelerators are based on superconducting rf technology. Electrons are injected into an injector shown at the upper left end of the racetrack, where they are pre-accelerated, injected into the north linac and boosted in energy to 600 MeV. A set of magnetic dipole magnets deflects the beam first out of plane into the uppermost of the recirculating arcs where the beam is bent by 180 degrees and injected into the south linac to be accelerated to up to 1200 MeV. This is repeated four more times to reach the final energy of 6000 MeV. For the energy upgrade five accelerating cryomodules with four times higher gradients per unit length are added to both linacs to reach a maximum energy at the existing end stations of nearly 11 GeV. One arc and one more path through the north linac are added to accelerate the beam to 12 GeV and transport it to the new Hall D.

Figure 2. The Jefferson Lab continuous electron beam accelerator facility showing the components needed for the 12 GeV upgrade.

Hall D, which houses the GlueX experiment, a 4π solenoid based detector uses a linearly polarized photon beam, initially mostly for meson spectroscopy experiments. Hall C has a pair of focussing high momentum spectrometers, an existing HMS and the new one under construction super-high momentum spectrometer (SHMS) for use in precision coincidence measurements, for L/T separations among other applications. Hall A will add a Super Bite Spectrometer (SBS) to the existing High Resolution Spectrometers. The focus is on elastic form factor measurements, and SIDIS experiments with polarized He-3 target. Hall B is in the process of completing the installation of CLAS12, a new and upgraded version of CLAS with a large superconducting Torus magnet and forward tracking and particle identification, and a solenoid magnet for central charged particle tracking and id. A new polarized solid state target with NH3 and ND3 as target material is under development for use in CLAS12.

In the next section I will discuss results on baryon spectroscopy from the 6 GeV operation of CEBAF where polarization was essential for major advances in this sector of hadron physics towards a better understanding of effective degrees of freedom underlying the nucleon excitation spectrum.

3 Establishing the light quark baryon spectrum

Obtaining an accurate account of the full nucleon resonance spectrum is the basis for making progress in our understanding of strong QCD as it relates to light quark sector. To accomplish this, we need precise measurements of the nucleon excitation spectrum to test our best models. The symmetric quark model provides a description of the lower mass spectrum in terms of isospin and spin-parity quantum numbers, but masses are off, and there are many states predicted within the $SU(6) \otimes O(3)$ symmetry that are missing from the observed spectrum. Although we have already the correct theory, QCD, we cannot really test it on the nucleon spectrum, because the full spectrum is not known, and the theory is currently not in a position to predict more than what the quark model already has done. Our task is therefore two-fold: 1) to establish the experimental nucleon spectrum, and 2) to develop applications of strong QCD to be able to reliably explain the spectrum in detail, including masses and hadronic and electromagnetic couplings.

The experimental part has been the goal of the $N^*$ program with CLAS detector and with other facilities, especially CB-ELSA. Significant progress has been made in recent years that also included development of multichannel partial wave analysis frameworks. Much of recent progress came as a result of precise data, including measurement of polarization observables collected in the strangeness channel. Figure 3 shows examples of double polarization measurement in $\Lambda$ hyperon photoproduction with the CLAS detector. The Bonn-Gatchina group has claimed a set of eight states that are either newly discovered or have significantly improved evidence for their existence. These states entered in recent editions of the Review of Particle Properties.

3.1 Hyperon photoproduction

Here one focus has recently been on precision measurements of the $\gamma p \to K^- \Lambda$ and $\gamma p \to K^+ \Sigma^-$ differential cross section, and using polarized photon beams, with circular or linear polarization, several polarization observables can be measured by analyzing the weak decay of the recoil $\Lambda \to pn^-$, and $\Sigma^+ \to \gamma A$. Samples of the data are shown in Fig. 3. It is well known that the energy-dependence of a partial-wave amplitude for one
3.2 Single pion and vector meson photoproduction

Single pion production used to be the workhorse in the search for new baryons in the light quark sector, and in particular in the elastic $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$. The fact that very few high mass states were found in the elastic channel led to the "missing resonance" crises and the move away from this channel and to photoproduction processes. With the high precision possible and with the use of polarization as an effective tool, processes $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ may still be an effective process even for single pion production if the initial state channel $\gamma N \rightarrow N\pi$ has significant photocoupling amplitudes. Figure 5 shows the example of the $\Delta(2200)^+\pi^-$, the parity partner of $\Delta(1950)^+\pi^-$. The latter is situated at $E_{cm}$.
much lower mass than the former. The \( \Delta(2200)^{2+} \) is a candidate state with poor evidence and a low 1* rating the RPP [8]. Precise measurements of cross sections and single and double polarization observables have sufficient sensitivity to uniquely identify the state [14] as shown in Fig. 5.

Other channels, such as \( \gamma p \rightarrow \omega [15, 16] \) and \( \gamma p \rightarrow \phi [17, 18] \) are now abundantly available, including high statistics polarization measurements. These large and high precision data sets still need to be fully utilized in multi-channel partial wave analyses.

3.3 Search for hybrid mesons and baryons

Gluonic excitations of baryons (hybrid baryons) have been explored theoretically and predictions of hybrid baryon masses and quantum numbers have recently been made in Lattice QCD. In distinction to the meson sector, where some hybrid meson states have "exotic" \( J^P \) combinations that are not possible in \( q\bar{q} \) quark model configuration, hybrid baryons have the same quantum numbers as regular three-quark baryons, and cannot be identified through their quantum numbers. The different internal structure of hybrid baryons leads to transition amplitudes with a very different \( Q^2 \) dependence than ordinary \( q\bar{q} \) baryons. For example, for the lowest mass, Roper-like, hybrid baryon with spin-parity \( J^P = 1^- \), the scalar amplitude is predicted to be zero and the transverse amplitude \( A_{1/2} \) should drop rapidly with \( Q^2 \), while both is not expected for the equivalent \( q\bar{q} \) state. These circumstances are exploited in the planned experimental program with CLAS12 at JLab, that uses even higher luminosities than previously available to measure several reactions, such as \( K^-\Lambda, \bar{N}\pi, \, p\pi^+\pi^- \), and others, to search for new states in the mass range from 2.0 to 3.0 GeV and to measure their transition helicity amplitudes at low \( Q^2 \), where hybrid baryon contributions are expected to be more prominent. The hybrid meson program at 12 GeV has led to the development of a quasi-real photon tagger facility for CLAS12 [19] that can also be utilized in the search for new baryon states in that high mass region, and measure their transition form factors [20]. The linear polarization of the virtual photon plays an important role in both programs to identify the quantum numbers of excited states, while measurement of the transition amplitudes help in identifying the hybrid nature of baryons.

4 Polarized Parton Distributions

Polarized quark distribution have been studied over more than 2 decades and excellent information is now available on the valence quark \( w(x) \) and \( d(x) \) distribution. On the other hand the sea quark distributions and the gluon distribution are much less well known. Figure 6 shown by Elliott Leader at this conference, highlights the results of one of the global analysis of inclusive scattering data. The uncertainties in the data still leave significant room for improvement. One of the discrepancies in \( x\Delta x \) that has been resolved is the sign change difference of the DIS with the analysis using SIDIS data with specific fragmentation function (FF). Using a different set of FF brings the DIS and DIS+SIDIS results in agreement. Also, the gluon distribution \( x\Delta G \) has still very large uncertainties.

Precise information is also lacking on the spin structure function \( g_1(x, Q^2) \) and the helicity asymmetry \( A_1(x) \) at large values of \( x \). Several experiments [21–23] will study polarized parton distributions at large \( x \) using polarized \( NH_3, ND_3, \) and \( ^3He \) as target material. Examples of the improvement expected for the polarized d-quark density and the asymmetry of the polarized sea are shown in Fig. 7.

Parton distribution functions are extracted from experimental data in global analysis that make use of all available data. The limited information available for the polarized structure function \( g_1(x, Q^2) \) has as a consequence that the polarized gluon density \( \Delta G(x) \) is the least constrained of the parton distribution function. The precision of the expected data on \( g_1(x, Q^2) \) at 12 GeV provides a model-independent way of determining \( \Delta G(x) \) through the \( Q^2 \) dependence measured in a large range of \( Q^2 \). The improvement from the 12 GeV upgrade is also significant at lower \( x \). Other polarized parton densities, especially \( \Delta s \) will be improved as well using polarized proton and deuterium targets. The projected data will not only reduce the error band on \( \Delta G \), but will likely allow a more detailed modeling of its \( x \)-dependence. Fig. 7 shows the expected uncertainty for the asymmetry of the polarized sea from measurements with polarized proton (\( NH_3 \)) and deuterium (\( ND_3 \)) targets.

5 3D Imaging of the proton and orbital motion

Recent LQCD calculations [24, 25] of the spin decomposition in the proton, for the first time have included the
disconnected interactions (DI). The results have spectacularly overturned previous LQCD calculations that need not have the DI included. Instead of obtaining negligible net orbital angular momentum (OAM) contributions, net OAM of ≈ 45% have been obtained when DI are included. These results make the broad planned GPD and TMD programs at Jefferson Lab all the more important and urgent as the possibly largest contribution to the proton spin has not been experimentally accessed. These calculations start from the gravitational form factors of the nucleon matrix element of the energy-momentum tensor, and compute the elements of the gauge invariant decomposition

\[
J_\rho = \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta \Sigma + L^3 \right) + G^\rho,
\]

where \(\Delta \Sigma\) is the quark helicity, \(L^3\) is the quark OAM, and \(G^\rho\) is the gluon spin contribution. The quark contribution \(L^3\) is accessible through measurement of the generalized parton distributions, which discussed in the following section. Details are discussed in section 5.1 and section 5.2.

### 5.1 Generalized Parton Distributions and DVCS

At the lower and intermediate energies DVCS is the most direct way to access GPDs, and information on GPDs has recently been extracted from JLab and Hermes data [26]. DVCS is most suitable for mapping out the twist-2 vector GPDs \(H, E\) and the axial GPD \(H\). Having access to a 3-dimensional image of the nucleon opens up new insights into the complex structure of the nucleon. The beam helicity-dependent cross section asymmetry is given in leading twist as

\[
A_{LU} = \sin \phi [F_1(t)H + \xi (F_1 + F_2)\tilde{H}] d\phi,
\]

where \(\phi\) is the azimuthal angle between the electron scattering plane and the hadronic plane. The kinematically suppressed term with GPD \(E\) is omitted. The asymmetry is mostly sensitive to the GPD \(H(x = \xi, \xi, t)\).

The target asymmetry \(A_{UL} = \Delta \sigma_{UL}/2\sigma\) accesses the same GPDs but emphasizes GPD \(\tilde{H}\) more strongly, while GPD \(H\) is kinematically unfavored, although at not too small values of \(\xi, H\) and \(\tilde{H}\) both contribute, and the combination of \(A_{LU}\) and \(A_{UL}\) enables the separation of GPD \(H(x = \xi, \xi, t)\) and \(\tilde{H}(x = \xi, \xi, t)\). Using a transversely polarized target the asymmetry

\[
A_{UT} \approx \cos \phi \sin(\phi - \phi_s) [t/4M^2(F_2H - F_1E)]
\]

can be measured, where \(\phi_s\) is the azimuthal angle of the target polarization vector relative to the electron scattering plane. \(A_{UT}\) depends in leading order on GPD \(E\).

The first DVCS experiments carried out at JLab [27-30] and DESY [31] showed promising results in terms of the applicability of the handbag mechanism to probe GPDs. The 12 GeV upgrade offers much improved possibilities for accessing GPDs. Figure 8 shows the expected statistical precision of the beam DVCS asymmetry for the full kinematics. Using polarized targets one can measure the target spin asymmetries with high precision. Figure 9 shows the expected statistical accuracy for one kinematics bin of the transverse target asymmetry. A measurement of all 3 asymmetries will enable the separation of GPDs \(H, \tilde{H}\) and \(E\) at the above specified kinematics. A Fourier transformation of the s-dependence of GPD \(H\) can be used to determine the quark distribution in transverse impact parameter space. From the \(t\) dependence of GPD \(E(x, t)\) one obtains the angular momentum distribution in transverse impact parameter. Figure 10 shows projected results for
5.2 Gravitational form factors and confinement.

In addition to providing the basis for spatial imaging of the proton GPDs carry information of more global nature. For example, the nucleon matrix element of the energy-momentum tensor contains 3 form factors that encode information on the angular momentum distribution $J^q(t)$ of the quarks with flavor $q$ in transverse space, their mass-energy distribution $M^q_1(t)$, and their pressure and force distribution $d^q_1(t)$. These form factors appear as moments of the vector GPDs [35], thus offering prospects for accessing gravitational form factors through the detailed mapping of GPDs. As an example, the quark angular momentum distribution in the nucleon is given by

$$J^q(t) - 4/5d^q_1(t)\xi^2 = \int_{-1}^{+1} dxxE^q(x, \xi, t),$$

and the mass-energy and pressure distribution

$$M^q_1(t) + 4/5d^q_1(t)\xi^2 = \int_{-1}^{+1} dxxH^q(x, \xi, t).$$

The mass-energy and force-pressure distribution of the quarks are given by the second moment of GPD $H$, and their relative contribution is controlled by $\xi$.

For the extraction of GPD $H$, the electron beam must have spin aligned parallel and anti-parallel to the beam direction to determine $A_{LT}$, and the analysis uses dispersion relations to determine $d^q_1(t)$. A Fourier transform in $t$ allows for the determination of the radial pressure distribution using a parameterization for the $t$ dependence of the form factor. An experimental program has been approved [34] to obtain the high statistics data at several beam energies that are needed for this analysis.

5.3 TMDs and semi-inclusive DIS

Semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering (SIDIS) processes give access to the transverse momentum distributions of quarks (TMDs). Azimuthal distributions of final state particles in SIDIS provide access to the orbital motion of quarks and play an important role in the extraction of TMDs of quarks in the nucleon. TMD distributions describe transitions of a nucleon with one polarization in the initial state to a quark with another polarization in the final state. The diagonal elements of the table [1] are the momentum, longitudinal and transverse spin distributions of partons, and represent well-known parton distribution

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text{N} / q & U & L & T \\
U & f_U & h_U & f_{UT} \\
L & g_L & h_L & g_{LT} \\
T & f_{UT} & g_{LT} & h_{UT} \\
\end{array}
\]
functions related to the square of the leading-twist, light-cone wave functions. Off-diagonal elements require non-zero orbital angular momentum and are related to the wave function overlap of $L=0$ and $L=1$ Fock states of the nucleon. The chiral-even distributions $f_{1U}^{+}$ and $g_{1T}$ are the imaginary parts of the corresponding interference terms, and the chiral-odd $h_{1T}^{\perp}$ and $h_{1L}$ are the real parts. The TMDs $f_{1U}^{+}$ and $h_{1T}^{\perp}$, which are related to the imaginary part of the interference of wave functions for different orbital momentum states and are known as the Sivers and Boer-Mulders functions. They describe unpolarized quarks in the transversely polarized nucleon and transversely polarized quarks in the unpolarized nucleon respectively.

The most simple mechanism that can lead to a Boer-Mulders function is a correlation between the spin of the quarks and their orbital angular momentum. In combination with a final state interaction that is on average attractive, already a measurement of the sign of the Boer-Mulders function is a correlation between the spin of the quarks and their orbital angular momentum. In combination with a final state interaction that is on average attractive, already a measurement of the sign of the Boer-Mulders function is a correlation between the spin of the quarks and their orbital angular momentum.

Similar to the GPDs, TMD studies will greatly benefit from the higher energy and high luminosity at 12 GeV. A comprehensive program is in preparation at JLab to study new structure functions that encode the TMDs. The main focus is the measurement of SIDIS processes in the full phase space available. Examples of expected uncertainties for the Boer-Mulders asymmetry $A_{UU}^{\cos 2\phi}$ in SIDIS of $\pi^+$, $\pi^0$, and $\pi^-$ are presented in Fig. 11. Projected data for the $x$ dependence of the Sivers asymmetries for $\pi^+$ and $\pi^-$ are shown in Fig. 12 for bins in the pion transverse momentum.

While pions are currently the main focus of the JLab TMD program, SIDIS with $K^+$ or $K^-$ as leading particles are also of high interest. Earlier HERMES results show unexpectedly large Boer-Mulders asymmetries for kaons compared to pions, and the opposite signs for $K^+$ and $\pi^-$. With the excellent particle identification and high luminosity expected for CLAS12, these puzzling issues can be addressed very efficiently.

## 6 Conclusions

The JLab 12 GeV energy upgrade and the new experimental equipment are well matched to an exciting scientific program aimed at studies of the complex nucleon structure in terms of the longitudinal and transverse momentum dependent parton distribution functions, the GPDs and TMDs. They provide fundamentally new insights into the complex and multi-dimensional internal structure of the nucleon. For the first time, direct information on the orbital angular momentum of quarks in protons may become accessible experimentally, as does the distribution of confinement forces acting on the quarks in the proton. Other programs will extend the spin structure function measurements of protons and neutrons to higher $x$ and search for new meson and baryon states with significant components of "glue" in their wave functions. The CLAS12 detector will be at the core of this exciting program.
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