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Abstract

**Background:** Squamous cell carcinoma is the most common epithelial tumor of the esophagus. Upper endoscopy with multiple minimally invasive biopsies should be performed to confirm the diagnosis. Leiomyoma of esophagus is rare, but it's the most common benign submucosal mesenchymal tumor of the esophagus. The simultaneous occurrence of an overlying epithelial lesion and a mesenchymal lesion is very rare. This study aims to show a case operated due to squamous cell carcinoma of esophagus that was postoperatively diagnosed with coexistent esophageal leiomyoma and provide a clear overview of the existing literature on it.

**Case presentation:** The patient was a 41-year-old lady who underwent three field esophagectomy (McKeown). In reviewing the pathology slides, the patient had poorly differentiated squamous cell carcinoma and also multiple leiomyomas. A leiomyoma was coexisted with an invading overlying squamous cell carcinoma.

**Conclusion:** It is concluded that esophageal carcinomas may coexist with leiomyomas; preexisting benign tumors may have played an important role in the development of carcinoma by inducing constant stimulation of the overlying mucosa; endoscopic ultrasonography is recommended to avoid overestimating the extent of tumor invasion and the resultant aggressive radical surgery; and esophageal resection is still the modality of choice in treatment in developing countries and also countries with limited equipment.

**Background:**

Leiomyoma of esophagus is rare, but it’s the most common benign submucosal mesenchymal tumor (SMT) of the esophagus; it originates in the smooth muscle cells, and accounts for two-thirds (60–70%) of all benign esophageal tumors (1–3). It almost appears as a single tumor, and multiple leiomyomas of the esophagus are extremely rare (1, 4). Since esophageal leiomyoma is generally a slow-growing tumor and the size of tumor may not change for many years, most affected patients are asymptomatic (2). Often, a diagnosis of esophageal leiomyoma is made as an incidental finding during routine investigation or screening for upper gastrointestinal (GI) pathology (3). Endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) and computerized tomography (CT) are used for the diagnosis of leiomyoma. The diagnosis is difficult when multiple leiomyomas coexist with carcinoma lesions. In cases where carcinoma overlies a submucosal leiomyoma, there is a possibility of overestimating the extent of tumor invasion, and multiple minute leiomyomas are sometimes misdiagnosed as intramural metastasis (2). Although esophageal leiomyoma is conventionally treated by surgical removal via open thoracotomy for the tumors in the upper two-thirds of the esophagus, minimally invasive approaches like video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) and endoscopic resection are other alternative ways used for enucleation of the tumor (3, 5).

Squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) is the most common epithelial tumor of the esophagus (2). Upper endoscopy with multiple minimally invasive biopsies should be performed to confirm the diagnosis. Computed tomography of the thorax and abdomen should be performed to evaluate the extent of the primary tumor and search potential liver metastases and celiac lymphadenopathy. An accurate preoperative staging will guide the most appropriate treatment selection. The general treatment recommendations are as follows: Endoscopic resection for superficial, limited mucosa disease (less than T1a), direct surgical resection with lymphadenectomy for lesions penetrating the submucosa with negative lymph nodes (more than T1b), neoadjuvant chemoradiation of resectable lesions invading muscularis propria with positive lymph nodes (less than T2N1), palliative systemic therapy for those locally advanced unresectable or metastatic disease (6).

The simultaneous occurrence of an overlying epithelial lesion (SCC) and a mesenchymal lesion (leiomyoma) is very rare. This study aims to show a case operated due to SCC of esophagus that was postoperatively diagnosed with coexistent esophageal leiomyoma and provide a clear overview of the existing literature on it.

**Case Presentation:**

The patient was a 41-year-old lady who was first seen at Shahid Mofateh clinic affiliated to Yasuj University of medical sciences in 2019 with complaints of having progressive dysphagia to solid foods and a ten kilograms weight loss for the preceding 6 months; the patient had also anorexia, decreased intake, and general fatigue and lethargy as accompanying symptoms, but the patient had no nausea and vomiting. In past medical and drug history, the patient had asthma and used fexofenadine and salbutamol spray. There was no family history of malignancy in her parents and siblings. The patient didn't use tobacco, smoke cigarette and drink alcohol. Laboratory values were all within normal limits.

The patient underwent upper GI endoscopy. In esophagus, upper esophageal sphincter, cricopharyngeus and upper third of esophagus were normal. A large fungating and ulcerative mass was found in middle and lower third of esophagus, 25–33 cm from the upper incisors. Z line was normal (Fig. 1). In stomach, fundus, body and antrum were normal. In duodenum, bulb and 2nd part were normal. The patient underwent incisional biopsy and was found to have poorly differentiated squamous cell carcinoma in pathology evaluation.

In spiral chest CT scan with and without intravenous (IV) contrast, obtained axial images, represented a 29*18 mm soft tissue fullness at middle to distal esophagus (at the level of carina to the level of main pulmonary artery), which was suggestive for tumor lesion. No lung metastasis was found. In spiral abdomen and pelvic CT scan with oral and IV contrast, there was a 12*9 mm lymph node within gastrohepatic ligament (Fig. 2). In abdomen and pelvic sonography, there was a 10*9 mm hypoechoic lesion between left liver lobe and greater curvature which was suggestive of lymphadenopathy (LAP) (Fig. 3.).

The patient received 3 sessions of chemotherapy before undergoing operation. The patient underwent three field esophagectomy (McKeown) including laparotomy, right thoracotomy, and gastric pull-up with cervical anastomosis including extended thoracotomy, esophagectomy, gastric pull-up, pyloroplasty, cervical esophagostomy, jejunostomy, and chest tube insertion. An abdominal lymph node at the gastrohepatic ligament was also resected. The postoperative
course was uncomplicated. There was no evidence of leak at the anastomotic site by administration of methylene blue dye on the fifth postoperative day and then nasogastric tube was removed and the patient was begun on liquids by mouth. The patient advanced to a regular diet by the tenth postoperative day. She was discharged from the hospital on the 14th day of admission. At a follow-up visit of 1 week and then 2 weeks after discharge from hospital she was feeling well. The patient's condition after surgery came back to her normal habitual life within 3 months. The patient had no complaint at the 9th month follow-up visit after surgery. Spiral chest and abdomen CT scan was done and showed a pulled-up stomach, filled with fluid and air at the right paraspinal region (Fig. 4).

The specimen was sent in formalin for pathology and immunohistochemistry evaluation. The received specimen was consisted of esophagus and a segment of stomach. Resected esophagus was 12 cm in length and 3 cm in greatest diameter. Adventitial surface was congested. On opening, there were four intramural creamy round firm masses measuring from 1 to 2 cm. No perforation site was found. Proximal and distal margins were not grossly involved. The resected segment of stomach was 8 cm in greater curvature and 6 cm in lesser curvature and showed no mass (Fig. 5). Multiple lymph nodes were identified measuring from 0.5 to 1 cm in diameter. In reviewing the slides, the patient had small groups of residual poorly differentiated squamous cell carcinoma and also multiple leiomyomas. A leiomyoma was coexisted with an invading overlying SCC (Fig. 6). There were 5 isolated lymph node within the pathology specimen, of which two were involved with the tumor, but pathology didn't show any sign of metastasis in the lymph node resected at the gastrohepatic ligament. Tumor pathology characteristics are summarized and shown in Table 1.

**Discussion:**

There are several reports of co-existing overlying esophageal SCC and leiomyoma in the literature. In this situation, the esophageal submucosal layer is over laid by the superficial cancer. This coexistence is very rare, and this is usually detected following surgery. Callanan et al, reported the first case of its kind in 1954 (7). In this regard, all previously published reports on cases with leiomyoma overlying with esophageal SCC were searched and found on the web and only available full-text original articles were encountered. As a result, 15 cases in 14 reports were elucidated. These reports were evaluated and summarized in Table 2 according to the originated country and published year of case report, patient's gender, age, chief complaints, risk factors, and comorbid diseases, and upper GI endoscopy, EUS, other diagnostic modalities and pathologic results, location of tumor and treatments applied (1, 2, 4, 8–18).

Of the previous published reports, 10 reports were from Japan, 2 reports were from South Korea, and one report from Iran and Turkey each. It shows that this pathology is much more common in the East Asia, especially in Japan. There was only one female patient previously reported, so our case was the second one. It shows the male predominance pattern in this regard. Ishida et al. reported male to female ratio of 4:1 in patients with SCC overlying leiomyoma (16). Mean age was 59.62 +/- 9.46 years (minimum 41 and maximum 75 years). Our case was the youngest patient in all, as the youngest patient was previously from Turkey with age of 44 years (4).

In chief complaints, there were 5 cases with dysphagia or stenotic sensation in the pharyngeal region, 3 cases with significant weight loss, 3 cases with esophageal tumor which were found at the routine health checkups, and one patient with epigastric pain. From the reports in which risk factors were mentioned, heavy smoking was seen in three cases. Comorbid diseases were found negative in 4 cases, and malignant lymphoma of the thyroid and hyperglycemia and osteoporosis were found in one case each. Upper GI endoscopy showed an elevated or protruding lesion in 5 cases, a sessile polypoid lesion in 3 cases, and a submucosal tumor in 3 cases. Chromoendoscopy with iodine staining was done in 7 cases and showed a non-staining area in all. EUS was done in 8 cases and showed a hypoechoic homogeneous submucosal tumor with a well demarcated and clear margin in the muscularis mucosae (MM) covered with intraepithelial layer indicative of leiomyoma in most of the cases. Barium esophagogram study was done in 4 cases. In pathologic evaluation, squamous cell carcinoma in situ (high-grade intraepithelial neoplasia) was seen in 4 cases, poorly differentiated SCC in 2, moderately differentiated SCC in 2, and malignant SCC in one case. More than one leiomyoma was seen in 3 cases. According to the location of the lesions, proximal, middle and distal third of the esophagus accounted for 5, 6 and 4 cases respectively. Surgery (esophageal resection) was done in 9 cases, and this is comparable with endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) which was done in 6 cases.

There are two types of coexistence: 1. Overlying type in which the carcinoma covers the benign tumor; and 2. the two lesions are completely separated and encountered during or after esophagectomy for esophageal SCC (2, 12, and 19). In our case study, the two types exist and this result was as the same as the two other studies done by Iwaya et al. and Geramizadeh et al. (2, 15). There are two theories on the etiology of carcinoma development over the leiomyoma: 1. protrusion of leiomyoma into the esophageal lumen and chronic irritation of the esophageal mucosa and predisposing it to dysplasia may be the pathogenesis of the disease; 2. Underlying leiomyoma prevents the SCC from dissemination and widespread invasion (2, 13, and 15).

An upper GI endoscopy is usually used for the differential diagnosis of esophageal carcinoma and leiomyoma, but it may not always lead to an accurate diagnosis, because esophageal leiomyomas are submucosal lesions. Availability of EUS provides an advantage in the detection and management of these tumors as it clearly reveals the five-layered structure of the gastrointestinal wall as well as by enabling exact localization and origin, tumor margin, echogenic pattern, and accurate size measurement (4, 5); but unfortunately we didn't have EUS in our hospital, so we were unaware about coexistence of any leiomyoma until it had been removed through esophagectomy.

Narrow band imaging (NBI) endoscopy uses optical filters that improve absorbance and scattering of light, enhancing the appearance of vessels and other structures, thus providing a high contrast of tissue surface during endoscopy. Along with magnifying endoscopes and chromoendoscopy, a close to histological tissue description is possible. These have been used to improve the assessment of esophageal lesions (5); but unfortunately we did not have any of these to help evaluate the tumor or esophageal mucosa.

When choosing treatment for SMT, it is important to determine by endoscopic ultrasonography from which layer the tumor originates. If a small SMT is diagnosed as originating from the muscularis mucosa, endoscopic submucosal resection (EMR) is the treatment of choice. When the tumors are large and
originate in the muscularis propria, this technique has the risk of severe complications, such as esophageal perforation and massive bleeding. Hence, in those cases, open surgery or thoracoscopic resection is chosen. In this case, as we didn't have EUS and EMR, so open surgery was performed.

**Conclusion:**

To sum up it can be concluded that 1. It must kept in mind that esophageal carcinomas may coexist with leiomyomas, 2. preexisting benign tumors may have played an important role in the development of carcinoma by inducing constant stimulation of the overlying mucosa, 3. EUS is recommended to avoid overestimating the extent of tumor invasion and the resultant aggressive radical surgery 4. Esophageal resection is still the modality of choice in treatment in developing countries and also countries with limited equipment.

**Abbreviations:**

- Submucosal mesenchymal tumor (SMT)
- Endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS)
- Computerized tomography (CT)
- Gastrointestinal (GI)
- Video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS)
- Squamous cell carcinoma (SCC)
- Intravenous (IV)
- Muscularis mucosae (MM)
- Endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD)
- Endoscopic submucosal resection (EMR)
- Narrow band imaging (NBI) endoscopy
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Tables
| Table 1. Tumor pathology and immunohistochemistry characteristics |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Tumor type**       | SCC, poorly differentiated (G3) |
| **Residual tumor size** | 0.6 cm |
| **Tumor extension**   | Tumor invaded submucosal (at least) |
| **Proximal margin**   | Free of tumor |
| **Distal margin**     | Free of tumor |
| **Radial margin**     | Free of tumor |
| **Treatment effect**  | Near complete response, score 1 (single cell and small groups of cancer cells) |
| **Lymphovascular invasion** | Present |
| **Perineural invasion** | Present |
| **Lymph-node invasion** | Total: 5, involved: 2; metastatic poorly differentiated squamous cell carcinoma (LN2) |
| **Additional pathologic findings** | Multiple leiomyomas, the largest one measuring: 2 cm in diameter |
| **Pathologic staging** | ypT1bN1Mx |
| **Immunohistochemistry** | Negative for C-KIT and CDX2 proto-oncogenes |
|                       | Positive for Desmin and P63 proto-oncogenes |
| **Note**              | This staging categorizes the extent of tumor actually present at the time of examination (after the chemotherapy). The "y" categorization is not an estimate of tumor before chemotherapy. |
| Country and year | Gender and age | Chief complaints                        | Risk factors         | Comorbid diseases | Upper GI Endoscopy                                                                 | EUS                          | Other diagnostic modalities (1) | Other diagnostic modalities (2) |
|------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Japan, 1984 (8)  | Male/75        | Stenotic sensation in the pharyngeal region | Heavy smoking        |                   | An elevated erosive lesion in the posterior wall of the esophagus                 | Barium esophagogram: a tumorous shadow, 5cm, middle thoracic esophagus |
| Japan, 1995 (9)  | Male/45        | Dysphagia                              |                      |                   |                                                                                   |                              |                                |                                |
| Japan, 1995 (9)  | Male/71        | Not mentioned, referred for treatment of an esophageal tumor |                      |                   | A mucosal irregularity on the surface of the submucosal tumor                     |                              |                                |                                |
| Japan, 1995 (10) | Male/52        | Asymptomatic                           | Heavy smoking        | Negative          | Sessile polypoid lesion at abdominal esophagus                                   | Barium swallow: as health checkup | Double contrast barium esophagogram: semispherical tumor, 1.5 cm |
| Japan, 1997 (11) | Male/61        |                                         |                      |                   | Sessile polypoid lesion with a smooth surface at the esophagus                    | A hypochoic tumor just below the epithelial layer                       |                                |                                |
| Japan, 2002 (12) | Male/62        | Not mentioned, referred for treatment of an esophageal tumor |                      |                   | A protruding lesion, resembling a submucosal tumor, in the proximal third of the esophagus | A hypochoic tumor confined to the submucosa with a well demarcated, smooth outline | Chromoendoscopy: non-staining |                                |
| Japan, 2004 (13) | Male/65        |                                         |                      |                   | A sessile polypoid lesion with a smooth surface in the proximal third of the esophagus | A hypochoic tumor, 13 mm in diameter, located in the submucosa, with clear margins and a smooth contour, with the muscularis propria layer intact | Chromoendoscopy: non-staining |                                |
| Japan, 2006 (2)  | Male/71        | malignant lymphoma of the thyroid       |                      |                   | A superficial ulcerative tumor (24 mm in diameter) in the lower third of the esophagus | Cancer overlaid the smaller leiomyoma and invaded the submucosal layer | Barium swallow Esophagogram: two smoothly rounded defects | Chromoendoscopy: non-staining |
| Japan, 2008 (14) | Male/61        | Mild epigastralgia                      |                      |                   | A submucosal tumor with a smooth and mildly A hypochoic lesion originating from the | Chromoendoscopy with iodine staining: non-staining area |                                |                                |
| Country, Year | Gender/Age | Symptoms and Findings | Radiological Findings | Endoscopic Findings |
|---------------|------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Iran, 2009 (15) | Male/47 | Progressive dysphagia and epigastric pain and about 20 kg weight loss. A depressed area with uneven and irregular border | A large fungating and ulcerative mass, middle and lower third of esophagus | Chromoendoscopy with iodine staining: non-staining area |
| Japan, 2009 (16) | Male/65 | Asymptomatic, Heavy smoking and alcohol consumption | An esophageal tumor in the middle thoracic esophagus, Hypoechoic tumor in the muscularis mucosae covered with intraepithelial layer indicative of leiomyoma | Magnifying endoscopy with narrow band imaging (NBI): dilated and elongated intrapapillary capillary loop (IPCL), indicated intraepithelial carcinoma |
| Turkey, 2012 (4) | Male/44 | Weight loss and dysphagia for two months | A tumoral mass with a partially irregular surface in the distal third of the esophagus | CT scan of the thorax and abdomen: minimally diffuse esophageal wall enlargement in a 5 cm segment of the distal esophagus with no lymph node involvement or distant metastasis |
| Japan, 2013 (17) | Female/75 | Esophageal tumor at health checkup, hyperglycemia and osteoporosis | A submucosal tumor, measuring 5 x 4 mm in diameter, 20 cm from the incisors | Chromoendoscopy with iodine staining: non-staining area |
| South Korea, 2014 (18) | Male/53 | Esophageal tumor at health checkup | An elevated mass, 1.8 cm in diameter, with irregular central small erosion in the upper thoracic esophagus. | Chromoendoscopy with iodine staining: non-staining area |
| South Korea, 2015 (1) | Male/66 | Esophageal tumor at health checkup | A protruding mass that measured 1x1 cm in diameter and was located 25 cm from the upper incisors. | Chromoendoscopy with iodine staining: non-staining area |
| Iran, 2020 | Female/41 | Progressive dysphagia and significant weight loss for the preceding 6 months | A large fungating and ulcerative mass, middle and lower third of esophagus | Chest CT scan: a 29x18 mm soft tissue fullness at middle to distal esophagus, suggestive for tumoral lesion. |
esophagus, 25-33 cm from the upper incisors.

**Figures**

**Figure 1**

Upper GI endoscopy: large fungating and ulcerative mass in middle (A and B) and lower third (C and D) of esophagus, Z-line (E), Cardia (F)
Figure 2
Spiral chest CT scan with IV contrast (yellow arrows show the tumor); A: Axial view with IV contrast; B: Axial view without contrast; C: Coronal view with IV contrast; D: Sagittal View with IV contrast

Figure 3
Spiral abdomen and pelvic CT scan: a lymph node within gastrohepatic ligament shown with yellow arrow
Figure 4

Spiral chest CT scan: pulled-up stomach at the right paraspinal region shown in yellow arrow.

Figure 5

A. Gross Pathology of the specimen, B. Three leiomyomas in gross pathology of the specimen
Figure 6

A. A leiomyoma with normal overlying epithelium, B. A small separated leiomyoma, C. Overlying submucosal SCC on a leiomyoma, D. SCC residual tumor cell nests