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Alloplastic Reconstruction of the Extensor Mechanism after Resection of Tibial Sarcoma
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Reconstruction of the extensor mechanism is essential for good extremity function after endoprosthetic knee replacement following tumor resection. Only a few biological methods have been able to reliably restore a functional extensor mechanism, but they are often associated with significant complication rates. Reattachment of the patellar tendon to the prosthesis using an alloplastic patellar ligament (Trevira cord) can be an appropriate alternative. In vivo and in vitro studies have already shown that complete fibrous ingrowth in polyethylene chords can be seen after a period of six months. However, until now, no biomechanical study has shown the efficacy of an alloplastic cord and its fixation device in providing sufficient stability and endurance in daily life-activity until newly formed scar tissue can take over this function. In a special test bench developed for this study, different loading regimes were applied to simulate loads during everyday life. Failure loads and failure modes were evaluated. The properties of the cord were compared before and after physiological conditioning. It was shown that rubbing was the mode of failure under dynamic loading. Tensile forces up to 2558 N did not result in material failure. Thus, using an artificial cord together with this fixation device, temporary sufficient stable fixation can be expected.

1. Introduction

Until the early 1970s, amputation was the only alternative in the treatment of malignant musculoskeletal tumors. But, even then the five-year survival rates of patients with osteosarcoma reached only 20 percent. However, since the introduction of chemotherapy and radiotherapy, survival rates have increased significantly, that is, up to 70 percent in osteosarcoma. Adjuvant chemotherapy in combination with local resection can keep the local recurrence rate below 5% [1, 2]. By using special therapeutic regimen, the incidence of metastatic disease in limb salvage is similar to that using amputation alone [3]. Therefore, the number of patients eligible for limb salvage procedures after wide resection of tumors has been increased continuously [4]. For reconstruction of skeletal defects after local tumor resection, both biological techniques (e.g., autografts, allografts, rotation plasty) and megaprostheses are used. These procedures, mainly allograft reconstruction and endoprostheses, are well established and have been well described in the literature [5–8]. Depending on the extent and localization of the tumor, soft tissue loss within a wide tumor resection yields several problems. Especially in tumors of the proximal tibia and the knee joint, reconstruction of the extensor mechanism can be a challenging problem [9, 10]. The integrity of the extensor system is essential for good extremity function, and good soft tissue coverage may be difficult to achieve [11–13]. Different reconstructive techniques are described to
restore the extensor mechanism and to avoid an extension lag: direct reattachment of the patellar tendon to the prosthesis [14], pedicled muscle transpositions [13, 15–17], reinforcement with an autologous bone graft [18, 19], and allograft reconstruction [20, 21]. Only a few biological methods, however, have been able to reliably restore a functional extensor mechanism [22].

Reattachment of the patellar tendon to the prosthesis with synthetic material can be a promising alternative. In previously released reports, the alloplastic cord was sutured to the remainder of the patellar ligament on one end while the opposite end was fixed to the tibial implant by clamps, staples, or blocks [23–25]. In 2002, a clinical report on the latter reconstruction mode was given by our working group whereat the results were encouraging [25]. Textile implants were first introduced for reconstruction of the extensor mechanism in failure of conventional total knee arthroplasty [26, 27] and reconstruction of the cruciate ligaments [28, 29]. Since then, more and more possible indications were added [30–33]. Therefore, these implants have been extensively tested both experimentally and clinically [34–37].

In vivo and in vitro studies have already shown that complete fibrous ingrowth in polyethylene chords can be seen after a period of six months [38]. From then on, newly formed scar tissue can assume extensor function, while the capacity of an alloplastic cord in providing durable stability seems to be limited [23]. Clinical studies corroborate this belief [24, 25, 39]. But until now, no biomechanical study has verified the efficacy of an alloplastic cord and its fixation device in providing stability and good functional results in patients’ everyday life after reconstruction of the extensor mechanism following proximal tibial replacement. The aim of this study was to test the endurance of this particular cord (Trevira cord) and its fixation device during normal daily life activities, like level walking, stair climbing, or rising from a chair.

The mechanical properties of an alloplastic cord are shown to change under functional loading and under in vivo conditions. Therefore, we compared the quasistatic properties of the Trevira cord before and after physiological conditioning. Changes in quasi-static failure load and failure mode following low-cycle fatigue were evaluated. Furthermore, the number of cycles to failure and failure mode for various simulated angle combinations and for two-edge designs of the fixation block was determined.

2. Materials and Methods

In an experimental setup, the proximal tibial component of the modular MML tumor prosthetic system (Modular endoprosthetic system Munich-Luebeck, Eska Orthodynamics GmbH, Luebeck, Germany) was tested (Figure 1). All metal parts of this system are made of CoCrMo alloy. It has been in clinical use since 1994. The modular construction allows substituting or bridging any kind of bone defect. The most frequent indications are tumors followed by revision arthroplasty due to loosening, periprosthetic fractures, and joint resection surgery due to infection [40, 41].

Biomechanical tests were carried out with a 10 mm wide and 1 mm thick Trevira cord (Telos, Hungen-Obbornhofen, Germany) as it is in clinical use [25]. The material complies with the requirement §177.1630, polyethylene phthalate polymers, of the Code of Federal Regulations, Food and Drug Administration (FDA/USA) from 4 January 1979. In vivo, the alloplastic cord loops the patella and it is proximally fixed at the remaining tissue of the extensor mechanism by nonresorbable sutures. Our test setup simulated exactly the fixation device as it is in clinical use. Both cord ends were grasped one on top of each other in a metal block which was fixed to the tibial component with two screws penetrating block and cord. Two different fixation block designs were tested. One was the original block as currently in clinical use and the other one a modification of it, comprising an optimized smoothened surface at the proximal edge where the ligament exits the block with oblong holes for screw fixation instead of the regular drill holes.

Due to the fact that every fixation method of a ligament causes marked shortcomings in tensile strength compared to the ultimate tensile strength of the cord itself, we performed the following test procedures.
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(1) Quasi-static tensile loading to rupture (test velocity 5 mm/min), pulling parallel to tibial axis. Ligament specimens were tested as received and after conditioning in saline at 37°C over 25 days (each group \( n = 5 \)).

(2) Quasi-static loading to 500 N, then 100 cycles of loading between 200 and 500 N at 1 Hz, final quasi-static loading until rupture (test velocity 5 mm/min), pulling parallel to tibial axis (\( n = 10 \)).

(3) Cyclic loading under willful neglect of the varying angle between cord and tibia, pulling parallel to tibial axis (frequency = 2.6 Hz; \( n = 7 \)).

(4) Cyclic loading at different maximum load levels at anatomically relevant angles with a frequency of 2.6 Hz. With the regular fixation block, the load regimes for stair climbing (\( n = 9 \)) and walking (\( n = 9 \)) were tested. With the modified block, the load regimes for walking (\( n = 10 \)) and rising from a chair (\( n = 2 \)) were investigated in the same manner.

For the tests described under (1)–(3), only the original fixation block was used.

The test setup is shown in Figure 2. Quasi-static tests were performed on a universal testing machine (Wolpert TZZ 707/50 kN, Ludwigshafen, Germany). During the test, load and displacement were recorded. For the dynamic tests without variation of the ligament angle, a servohydraulic testing machine (Roell Amsler REL 2151/20 kN, Gottmadingen, Germany) was used under load control. Load and displacement were recorded. The parameters tensile load to rupture and ligament stiffness were recorded as well. The parameters tension before and after conditioning in saline at 37°C over 25 days were subjected to statistical analysis (MAPLE 11, Student’s \( t \)-test). Mean values and standard deviations were calculated, a nominal alpha level of .05 was preselected for the assessment of differences by conditioning.

To simulate the varying angle between cord and tibia during activities, like level walking, stair climbing, or rising from a chair, a special test bench was developed (Figure 2). Thus, the stress could be applied to the artificial patellar ligament at varying degrees of knee flexion and ligament angle of emergence, that is, the angle between the patellar ligament and tibial axis. The different activities were simulated by changing the synchronization between these parameters. The necessary data were obtained from the literature.

Hirokawa described the quadriceps force as a function of the knee flexion angle for different activities like walking or stair climbing [42]. Ellis et al. evaluated knee joint forces while rising from a chair in an experimental, study [43]. In a three-dimensional mathematical model Hirokawa introduced the ratio of patellar ligament force to quadriceps forces as a function of knee flexion in a normal knee [44]. At full extension the ratio is approximately equal and changes with increasing flexion angle to 0.75 for a flexion angle of 120°. A decreasing ratio for an increasing flexion angle of the knee joint was also ascertained by Ellis et al. [45], who reported that the force ratio varied from 1.0 to 0.5 under dynamic loading conditions and by Huberti et al. [46], who reported a range of 1.25 to 0.75 under static loading conditions. Van Eijden et al. [47] showed numerically that this ratio decreases from 1.1 at maximal extension to 0.55 at 80° flexion. Sharma et al. [48, 49] analyzed the ratio after the implantation of a knee endoprosthesis. Van Eijden et al. [50] described an almost linear relationship between knee flexion and the ligament angle of emergence. Under physiologic conditions, the ligament undergoes a backward rotation of approximately 35° relative to the tibia during flexion of 120°. From the tibial tuberosity, the patellar ligament points anteriorly between 0° and 80° of flexion and posteriorly between 80° and 120° of flexion. During a gait cycle, the knee flexes to 60°. During stair climbing, the flexion angle changes between 10° and 90° and during rising from a chair about 100° [42, 43, 51–54].

By combining the literature data cited above, a peak load for level walking of 1400 N was calculated in the patellar ligament when the patellar ligament points 15° anteriorly compared to the tibial axis. For stair climbing, the peak load was determined to be 2700 N when the patellar ligament is pulling approximately parallel to the tibial axis. Rising from a chair results in a load of 2200 N when the patellar ligament points 4° posteriorly (Figure 3).

3. Results

The cord ruptured at a mean quasi-static tensile load of 2558 N (SD = 40 N) at the proximal fixation hole of the metal block Table 1). Initial cracks at this critical cross-section of the cord lead to a complete rupture (Figure 4(a)). The load to failure was statistically significantly reduced by approximately 12% to 2248 N (SD = 88 N) when Trevira cords were kept for 25 days in saline at 37°C before testing (\( P = .007 \)) (Figure 5). The stiffness before plastic deformation of the fixation mechanism was reduced.

Figure 2: Test bench for cyclic load application on artificial ligament and fixation block.
from 136.5 N/mm (SD = 4.9 N/mm) to 126.0 N/mm (SD = 9.1 N/mm) after conditioning in saline (Table 1). This 8% decrease was statistically not significant ($P = .14$).

Table 2 shows the ultimate quasi-static tensile strength after one quasi-static load cycle up to 500 N and after 100 cycles of dynamic loading between 200 and 500 N. Compared to the quasi-statically loaded cords, the ultimate load after this low-cycle fatigue test decreased from 2558 N to 2015 N. Failure always occurred at the proximal screw fixation hole.

Under dynamic conditions, the cord scrubbed at the proximal edge of the metal block until it ripped at this point (Figure 4(b)). In terms of the number of cycles to failure, the Wöhler curve (Figure 6) showed a significant difference between a block with a modified surface finish at the proximal edge and the original block. Improved edge design led to higher endurance of the artificial ligament as shown by the times-to-failure curve shifting to higher cycles.

No significant influence was observed regarding the ratio of maximum load and corresponding ligament angles of emergence. Tests performed with the original block in the servohydraulic testing machine (without swiveling of the tibial component) showed the same load-dependent lifespan as tests with varying ligament angles performed in the test bench. The results determined with the modified block did not show any difference between load regimes of the level walking either rising from a chair (Figure 6).

In two cases in which the original block did not perfectly fit its notch, the fixation screws fractured due to dynamic bending. In tests using the block with oblong screw holes no failures occurred due to the absence of a gap between the block and the proximal edge of its notch thus avoiding bending and shear to the screws.

### 4. Discussion

This study sought to evaluate the quasi-static and dynamic properties of the Trevira cord for reconstruction of extensor mechanism after tibial replacement. Several mechanical test regimes were explored to determine the effect of physiological conditioning, cyclic loading at various load levels, the effect of optimized fixation, and the incorporation of more physiological kinematics in the mechanical loading systems.

In previous works, the Trevira cord has been analyzed for artificial replacement of the anterior cruciate ligament and different statements about properties of artificial cords in vivo are documented. Siebert et al. [55] stated that the mechanical properties of artificial cords clearly deteriorate under in vivo conditions. The ultimate load of a Trevira cord after 500 days of implantation in a sheep decreased approximately 17%, and the elongation under loading of 500 N increased 2.4% compared to a cord as received.
Table 1: Mean ultimate tensile strength and mean stiffness of the investigated fixation mechanism with Trevira cords. Tested as received and conditioned in saline (37°C) for 25 days.

|                     | Ultimate tensile strength $F_{\text{max}}$ (SD) (N) | Stiffness $S_{\text{max}}$ (SD) (N/mm) |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| As received         | 2558 ($\pm$ 40)                                  | 136.5 ($\pm$ 4.9)                     |
| Conditioned         | 2248 ($\pm$ 88)                                  | 126.0 ($\pm$ 9.1)                     |

Table 2: Strength and elongation of fixed Trevira cords.

|                                             | Mean value (SD) |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Yield strength $F_{\text{lin}}$ (N) (start of the plastic deformation) | 768 ($\pm$ 42) |
| Ultimate tensile strength $F_{\text{max}}$ (N) | 2015 ($\pm$ 127) |
| Yield elongation $d_{\text{lin}}$ (%)        | 5.8 ($\pm$ 0.7)  |
| Ultimate elongation $d_{\text{max}}$ (%)    | 31.3 ($\pm$ 6.0) |
| Stiffness $S_{\text{max}}$ (N/mm) (normalized on a cord length of 50 mm) | 343 ($\pm$ 50) |

Figure 5: Ultimate tensile strength of the artificial ligament fixed in the block.

On the other hand, Letsch [37] and Contzen [56] found that this artificial cord is an ideal solution for the biomechanical and biological requirements of cruciate ligament prostheses. Contzen found in his experimental study that the ultimate load of a Trevira cord after 170 days of implantation in the stifle joint of a sheep decreased by approximately 10%. Elongation under physiological relevant loading of 500 N increased from 1.8% to 2.5% in the same period. In our investigation, the ultimate tensile strength of the Trevira cord was reduced by approximately 12% after conditioning in saline (37°C) for 25 days.

A comparison of the material properties between those previously described in the literature and in the presented study is not feasible because of the different test arrangements. The described tumor prosthesis is a hinged knee system and allows no rotational torque of the alloplastic cord. In contrast, previously tested cords were drilled when using them for reconstruction of the cruciate ligament. Furthermore, in our test arrangement the cord was twofold fixed in the metal block, whereas the previously tested ones were only fixed in a single layer technique.

Figure 6: The Wöhler curve showing the load cycles to failure for the two different fixation blocks (originally and modified) and different loading regimes.

Our tests showed that the Trevira cord in combination with a metal block fixation device can temporarily achieve sufficient stability and extensor function in daily-life activity until such time as newly formed scar tissue can take over function. The results of our study suggest that the maximum material endurance of both the cord and the surface finish of the edge of the proximal fixation block is important. During the lifespan of the artificial cord providing active extension, biological tissue can form and eventually take over function of the extensor mechanism in the long term.
as in vivo and in vitro studies have already shown [39]. To avoid an extension lag, a high primary and midterm stability are essential, stressing the importance of pretensioning the artificial ligament at the time of implantation.

Clinical results, published by Plotz et al. [25], showed that the investigated fixation mechanism can bridge a crucial time gap. In 15 patients with malignant tumors of the proximal tibia, the patellar ligament of 5 patients was fixed with various techniques to the prostheses. In 10 of those 15 patients, the extensor mechanism was reconstructed with the 10 mm wide Trevira cord, fixed to a metal fixation block of the MML prosthetic system, similar to that experimentally tested (mean followup was 4.2 years). Mechanical failure occurred in two cases. Although the Trevira cord was ruptured in these cases, the extensor mechanism of these persons kept working well, because newly formed scar tissue took over function.

In this experimental study, we found two screw failures when the original metal block with regular drill holes for the screws was used. In contrast, no screws failed when combined with the modified metal block with oblong holes giving the device an advantageous design with better fit. Given the fact that avoiding any bending and shear forces on the screws is most important for their durability, proper fit between the fixation block and the proximal edge of its notch is essential and can be easily achieved by oblong or bigger screw holes in the block. For this reason, the design of the MML tumor prosthetic knee system was changed according to our findings.

The mean lag of active extension in the study of Plotz et al. [25] was 7.7° (0°–20°). In contrast, in a study of Petschnig et al. [57], in which the extensor apparatus was reconstructed by transposition of the fibula (mean followup 8 years), it was 12.5° (3°–15°). This group was compared to five patients (mean followup 5 years) having an extension deficit of 32.5° (18°–36°) after transposition of the M. gastrocnemius. Due to the superior functional results of the patients treated with Trevira cord reconstruction of the patellar ligament by Plotz et al., we recommend the use of this alloplastic material to achieve immediate postoperative stable fixation of the extensor mechanism. This gives the chance for exact adjustment and high pretension over a period of time long enough to allow scar tissue formation and strong enough to provide function without or with only minor extension lag. The use of an alloplastic material and the described fixation mechanism offers the possibility to combine it with other biological reconstructive procedures.
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