Challenges and navigating conundrums in setting up an obstetrics and gynecology coronavirus disease facility in a pre-existing tertiary care hospital – An Indian perspective
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INTRODUCTION

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection is an ongoing pandemic,[1] and the first case in national capital Delhi was reported on March 2, 2020. By June 13, Delhi reported 36824 cases with1214 deaths.[2] Being the largest tertiary health-care facility under Delhi Government, our center was designated as a 2000 bedded dedicated COVID hospital.[3] We, hereby, discuss the dynamics of converting an existing OBG facility to a COVID set up, which involves a continuous change in logistical variables paralleling the phases of a pandemic.

The key logistical requirements include formulation of standard operating procedures (SOPs), infrastructure development, building surge capacity, maintaining supplies, and workforce.
management. This task was undertaken by a multidisciplinary team of obstetricians, anesthesiologists, pediatricians, and the Hospital Infection Control Committee (HICC).

FORMULATING SOPS

High quality of SOPs based on ethical principles, guided by procedural values, has a direct impact on preparedness and level of performance of a health-care facility.\(^\text{[4]}\)

Department protocols were formulated as per available guidelines.\(^\text{[5-7]}\) With a rapidly changing scenario of COVID-19, needs evolved fast over a period of 3-4 weeks, and we revised our SOPs thrice.

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION AND ESTABLISHMENT

For conversion of the maternity block to a dedicated COVID OBG facility, reassignment of areas was done broadly into green and red zones aiming to create a facility safe for patients and health care workers (HCWs).

Blueprint of the floor plan made and dry run done before the facility was made functional. Pre-event planning was done to identify areas for surge capacity and floor plan was kept flexible.

EVACUATION OF PATIENTS FROM THE EXISTING FACILITY

In the emergent situation of rising COVID cases, footfall in OPD fell by 90%, followed by the curtailment of OPD services by the end of March.

Non-COVID inpatients were evacuated in a stratified manner over 2–3 weeks. Depending on the potential impact on inpatient surge capacity by cancelling or delaying surgery, gynecological cases with surgical intervention were categorized as those in which procedure: (a) has no impact; (b) can be delayed indefinitely; (c) can be delayed by a week; and (d) cannot be delayed.\(^\text{[8,9]}\) Category A and B were discharged. Non-COVID tertiary care centers were identified and collaborated with, for the transfer of Category C. Category D was operated over the next few days and discharged.

The percentage reduction in elective obstetric and gynecological surgeries from February \((n = 180)\) to March \((n = 50)\) was 73% and further fell by 98% \((n = 4; \text{category C, D})\) in early April. The reduction in emergency cases (Category D) was only 15% \((n = 200)\) from February to March and fell by 89% in April [Figure 1a].

The obstetric bed occupancy \((n = 206)\) reduced to 23% in 1\(^{st}\) week to 9% in 2\(^{nd}\) week of evacuation, whereas the bed occupancy of gynecological patients \((n = 72)\) reduced from 16% to 5%, respectively [Figure 1b].

SUPPLIES

A dedicated team managed the constant availability of patient care equipment and other supplies. Potential stockpiling was maintained to keep an adequate amount in reserve.

WORKFORCE DISTRIBUTION

Workforce assignment was guided by anticipated inpatient workload and bed occupancy – in labor and non-laboring areas while minimalizing exposure of HCWs.

Redeployment of workforce

The workforce from all the wards was pooled. Dedicated teams were deployed in different COVID areas. Each team worked for a period of 2 weeks, including residents, nursing staff, and paramedical workers guided by a lead consultant.\(^\text{[9]}\)

Care and training of HCW

Regular training in infection prevention and control was taken by the HICC. The content of training was structured according to the guidelines on prevention and control of COVID infections in hospitals.\(^\text{[6,10]}\)

---

**Figure 1:** Evacuation Phase: (a) Staged reduction of non-COVID surgeries. (b) Step-wise evacuation of non-COVID patients.
Appropriate accommodation and transport arrangements were made, adequate quarantine, and testing ensured and constant psychological support was extended to HCWs through continuous motivation and appreciation.

**DYNAMICS OF WORKFORCE AND PATIENT INFLUX**

Each health-care facility must evaluate and plan for surge capacity and elevate to meet the challenging demands during such pandemics. Surge capacity has been defined as "ability to manage a sudden, unexpected increase in patient volume that would otherwise severely challenge or exceed the current capacity of the health-care system." The goal should be to increase the inpatient surge capacity between 10% and 20%, which may vary according to the resources available. We managed to increase it by 8% \( (n = 100) \) as the bed occupancy increased exponentially from 30% in the 2\(^{nd} \) week to 88% in the 5\(^{th} \) week from of starting the COVID facility. The second week marked the initial phase of surge and additional sites of care outside the facility, COVID care/quarantine/isolation centers for mild cases were utilized. Admission dynamics changed over these few weeks; out of the total cases \( (n = 27) \) in the 2\(^{nd} \) week 22% were positive and 78% were suspects, whereas in the 3\(^{rd} \) week, the number of suspects decreased to 12% and positives cases increased to 88% \( (n=49) \) [Figure 2a]. With the rising number of patient admissions, the workforce was increased by 21% [Figure 2b].

We observed that from the beginning of evacuation until the endpoint of surge – a period of 5–6 weeks; marked the most dynamic phase of establishing COVID facility during the pandemic. This requires building of surge capacity with continuous modifications of its components – system, supplies, space, and staff.

**CONSTRAINTS**

Developing and operationalizing services to this unforeseen health-care emergency put the systems’ capacity to expand under enormous pressure. There was a single labor area for both suspects and confirmed cases; hence, individual labor suites were created, but the staff remained common. The preoccupation of the anesthesiologist in the care of sick and critically ill patients led to utilization of a dedicated operating room for COVID-19 patients common for all surgical specialties, in the intensive care unit block rather than in obstetrics and gynecology block.

Staff morale took an early hit due to multiple factors – fear and anxiety of getting infected, increasing workload due to implementation of strict infection control measures, and uncertainty over effectiveness and availability of personal protective equipment.

A subjective assessment of well-being of all the HCWs was done frequently. However, such assessments should be more objective and self-administered assessment tools for symptoms of depression PHQ-9 and Anxiety GAD-7 scale can be used. Regular assessment of dedicated COVID facilities should be done for their preparedness in preventing infection and promoting the satisfaction of HCWs.

**CONCLUSION**

The preparedness of a health-care facility in the dynamic setting of evolving pandemic involves strategizing the management of infrastructure, workforce, and available resources. A phased evacuation plan begins by curtailing non-emergency services and postponing elective surgeries, this process may take longer for obstetric patients than others on account of labor and postnatal care. Pre-event planning for surge capacity should be made at the inception of such pandemics. The most dynamic phase of establishing COVID facility is from evacuation till the endpoint of surge, lasting for 5–6 weeks. The surge response should be based on the local and regional policies which depend on the competing demands of resources to maintain sustainability. These logistical demands are dynamic and vary as the pandemic progresses through its phases.
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