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Increase in recreational drug use between 2008 and 2018: results from a prospective cohort study among HIV-negative men who have sex with men
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Abstract

Aims: To test whether recreational drug use (RDU) and sexualized drug use (SDU) changed in the Amsterdam area between 2008 and 2018 and quantify associations of SDU with condomless anal sex (CAS), recent human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) or sexually transmitted infections (STI) among human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-negative men who have sex with men (MSM).

Design: Open prospective cohort study.

Setting: Public Health Service of Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

Participants: A total of 976 HIV-negative MSM, aged ≥ 18 years.

Measurements: Self-reported RDU and sexual behaviour in the past 6 months. Laboratory-confirmed HIV and STI (chlamydia, gonorrhoea and syphilis). We studied: any RDU; any SDU (i.e. any RDU during sex); specific SDU (i.e. use of mephedrone, methamphetamine, gamma-hydroxybutyric acid/gamma-butyrolactone, ketamine, amphetamine, cocaine and/or ecstasy during sex); use of individual drugs; and use of individual drugs during sex. We evaluated changes over calendar years in the proportion of individuals with these end-points [using logistic regression with generalized estimating equations (GEE)] and number of drugs (using negative binomial regression with GEE), adjusted for current age, country of birth and education level.

Findings: Median age of participants in 2008 was 33.2 years (interquartile range = 27.8–40.1); 83.1% were born in the Netherlands. The proportion of any RDU increased from 67.2% in 2008 to 69.5% in 2018 [adjusted odds ratio (aOR) = 1.25; 95% confidence interval (CI) = 1.03–1.51]. Any SDU increased from 53.8% in 2008 to 59.8% in 2013 (aOR = 1.23; 95% CI = 1.07–1.42) and remained stable afterwards. Specific SDU increased from 25.0% in 2008 to 36.1% in 2018 (aOR = 2.10; 95% CI = 1.71–2.58). The average number of drugs used increased for those reporting any RDU, any SDU and specific SDU (all P < 0.05. Among those engaging in sex, any SDU was associated with CAS (aOR = 1.36; 95% CI = 1.19–1.55), HIV (aOR = 5.86; 95% CI = 2.39–14.4) and STI (aOR = 2.31; 95% CI = 1.95–2.73). Specific SDU was associated with CAS (aOR = 1.58;
INTRODUCTION

Studies have shown a high prevalence of recreational drug use (RDU) among men who have sex with men (MSM). Prevalence estimates therefore differ among settings and depend upon definitions used. Chemsex, a subset of SDU, is most commonly defined as the use of methamphetamine, gamma-hydroxybutyric acid/gamma-butyrolactone (GHB/GBL) or mephedrone to facilitate and enhance sex. To correspond with local RDU patterns, ketamine, cocaine, amphetamine, ecstasy [XTC/3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA)] and new psychoactive substances (NPS) have been occasionally included as part of the chemsex definition. Chemsex has been demonstrated to be associated with condomless anal sex (CAS) and STI acquisition in MSM, and has therefore historically been used as an indicator for STI risk. However, a recent study from our group in Amsterdam, the Netherlands, demonstrated that STI prevalence was high for several RDU combinations; hence, focus upon an overly narrow definition may not be justified in this setting.

There have been indications that SDU has increased among HIV-negative MSM in Europe, mainly from the United Kingdom and other western European countries, including the Netherlands. However, longitudinal data are limited to one study from the United Kingdom in which a decrease in use of three chemsex drugs was reported between 2015 and 2018. Despite the rapidly expanding body of literature on SDU, the vast majority of studies assessing its prevalence and association with sexual behaviour and HIV/STI outcomes among HIV-negative MSM have been cross-sectional or covered few years of follow-up. The Amsterdam Cohort Studies (ACS) among MSM has consistently monitored RDU since 2008, alongside measures of sexual behaviour and regular HIV/STI testing. Using these data, we estimate longitudinal community-level trends in RDU and SDU between 2008 and 2018, while investigating associations with sexual behaviour and the prevalence of recent HIV infection and STI in HIV-negative MSM. Specifically, we aim to test whether RDU and SDU changed during calendar years between 2008 and 2018; quantify the associations of SDU with CAS and recent HIV and STI diagnoses; and examine whether the strength of these associations changed over time.

METHODS

Study design and participants

The ACS is an ongoing, open prospective cohort study among MSM at the Public Health Service of Amsterdam (PHSA), which was initiated in 1984. The aim of the ACS is to investigate the epidemiology, psychosocial determinants, pathogenesis and course of HIV-1 infection, STI and blood-borne infections other than HIV, and to evaluate the effect of interventions. Detailed information on recruitment has been provided elsewhere. Briefly, men aged ≥ 18 years are eligible for participation if they report sex with men in the 6 months preceding recruitment and live in the Amsterdam area or regularly participate in MSM-related activities in the area. During several time-periods since 1995, recruitment was restricted to HIV-negative MSM aged ≤ 30 years to minimize bias associated with an ageing cohort. Recruitment entailed convenience sampling and chain referral sampling. Participation is voluntary and each participant provided written informed consent before enrolment. The ACS has been approved by the ethics board of the Amsterdam University Medical Centres, location Academic Medical Centre, the Netherlands (MEC 07/182).

Participants completed a self-administered questionnaire on behaviours during the preceding 6 months, including sexual behaviour and RDU, using a paper version or iPad on site, prior to each semi-annual face-to-face consultation with the study nurse. Since 2018, the questionnaires were completed at home on-line during the week prior to the study visit. During the visit, participants were tested free of charge for HIV, syphilis and pharyngeal, urethral and anal gonorrhoea and chlamydia. Detailed sampling and laboratory testing procedures have been described elsewhere. Results from HIV and STI
tests performed during additional, non-study visits to the STI clinic of the PHSA were included in the analysis. Data are pseudonymized.

In the current analysis, we included all MSM who were not diagnosed with HIV by 1 January 2008 and who completed the questionnaire on RDU at least once between 1 January 2008 and 31 December 2018 (n = 976; Supporting information, Figure S1).

Measures

Information regarding demographic characteristics (i.e. date and country of birth, education level, sexual orientation and living situation) was collected at enrolment. Semi-annually, participants responded to questions about recreational/non-prescription use of specific drugs. A total of 24 individual drugs were explicitly listed in the questionnaire to reduce recall bias (provided in Supporting information, Table S1). The list of drugs in the questionnaire was adapted over time to reflect drugs reported by ACS participants. Additionally, participants could provide individual drugs that were not listed. For each drug, we assessed any use and use during sex with a steady or casual sexual partner.[38] Participants additionally reported if they injected any drugs and, if so, which drugs were injected.

Analysis

Participants contributed to follow-up beginning at the first visit on or after 1 January 2008 (i.e. ‘baseline’) and ending at the first HIV-positive visit or last visit before 1 January 2019, whichever occurred first. We examined changes over time for the following: (1) any RDU (i.e. use of visit or last visit before 1 January 2019, whichever occurred first. We evaluated the number of different drugs used during the preceding 6 months, (2) use of gamma-hydroxybutyric acid/gamma-butyrolactone, ketamine, amphetamine, mephedrone, methamphetamine, cocaine and/or XTC/MDMA during sex); (3) specific SDU (i.e. use of mephedrone, methamphetamine, gamma-hydroxybutyric acid/gamma-butyrolactone, ketamine, amphetamine, cocaine and/or XTC/MDMA during sex); (4) use of individual drugs and IQRs for each calendar year among those reporting the use of ≥ 1 drug. We modelled the average number of drugs over each calendar year using negative binomial regression models with GEE. To evaluate whether the strength of the association changed over time, we added interaction terms between SDU and calendar year to each model and obtained P-values using Wald χ² tests.

To test for changes over time, we modelled each dichotomous drug use end-point with calendar year as the exposure using logistic regression with generalized estimating equations (GEE). From these models, we obtained parameter estimates with 95% CIs, which were interpreted as a relative ratio (RR) comparing the number of drugs between calendar years. For all models, we specified an exchangeable working correlation structure to account for the repeated observations within each participant. We adjusted all models for current age, country of birth and education level.

RESULTS

Study population

Table 1 reports the characteristics of 976 MSM included into the study. Their median age at baseline was 33.2 years (interquartile range [IQR] = 27.8–40.1). The majority of MSM was born in the Netherlands (83.1%), had obtained a college or university degree (76.5%), identified as exclusively homosexual (79.4%), lived in Amsterdam (78.1%) and lived alone (51.6%) at enrolment. One hundred and forty-five of 839 (8.3%) MSM with a visit in 2015 or later and 139 of 669 (20.8%) MSM with a visit during the second half of 2018
indicated pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) use in the 6 months preceding their visit. MSM contributed a median of 10 study visits (IQR = 4–19) with RDU data over a median follow-up of 5.3 years (IQR = 1.7–10.5) between 2008 and 2018. Dropout was between 2 and 11% per year, and 30.9% in total (Supporting information, Table S2); 95.4% of all study visits contained information on RDU (Supporting information, Table S3).

Recreational drug use over calendar years

There was a small but statistically significant increase in the proportion reporting any RDU from 67.2% in 2008 to 69.5% in 2018 (Figure 1a,b; Supporting information, Table S4). Among those reporting any RDU, the median number of recreational drugs used per 6-month interval increased from two (IQR = 1–3) in 2008 to three (IQR = 1–5) in 2018. Any SDU increased from 53.8% in 2008 to 59.8% in 2013 and remained stable afterwards. Among those reporting any SDU, the median number of drugs used per 6-month interval increased from two (IQR = 1–4) in 2008 to three (IQR = 1–5). Specific SDU increased from 25.0% in 2008 to 36.1% in 2018. Among those reporting specific SDU, the median number of drugs used per 6-month interval increased from four (IQR = 3–5) in 2008 to four (IQR = 2–6) in 2018.

Figure 2a,b and Supporting information, Table S5 provide the proportion reporting use of individual drugs per calendar year. Increasing trends over calendar year between 2008 and 2018 were observed for the majority of drugs, with trends in any use reflecting those during sex. Overall, use of poppers was most commonly reported, followed by XTC/MDMA, erectile stimulants, cannabis, cocaine and GHB/GBL. Increases in any use from 2008 to 2018, respectively, were observed for amphetamine (2.5 to 11.0%), ketamine (5.9 to 15.2%), XTC/MDMA (27.0 to 38.1%), methamphetamine (1.1 to 2.5%), cocaine (21.2 to 25.6%) and GHB/GBL (15.4 to 19.8%). Any use of erectile stimulants increased from 23.2% in 2008 to 32.5% in 2017. We observed similar trends for use of all drugs listed above during sex. Between 2008 and 2018, we observed non-significant changes in the use of cannabis (any use: 29.6–28.6%, P = 0.14; use during sex: 17.4–16.5%, P = 0.75) and poppers (any use: 49.3–48.4%, P = 0.40; use during sex: 41.7–44.2%, P = 0.16). Mephedrone was included in the questionnaire from 2010. There was evidence for a difference in any use of mephedrone among calendar years (P = 0.033), but the increase from 3.1% in 2010 to 4.5% in 2018 was not statistically significant. Use of mephedrone during sex increased from 1.9% in 2010 to 4.1% in 2018. Benzodiazepines, 2-CB, 4-FA and ritalin were included to the questionnaire from 2015. Any

### Table 1

Table 1: Characteristics of 976 HIV-negative MSM participating in the Amsterdam Cohort Studies at enrolment, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, 2008–18.

| Characteristic                        | Total (n = 976) |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| **Age at baseline** (median, IQR)    |                |
| 18–34                                | 550 (56.4%)    |
| 35–44                                | 277 (28.4%)    |
| 45+                                  | 149 (15.3%)    |
| **Born in the Netherlands**          |                |
| 1 missing                            | 810 (83.1%)    |
| **College or university degree**     |                |
| 1 missing                            | 744 (76.5%)    |
| **Exclusively homosexual**           |                |
| 5 missing                            | 771 (79.4%)    |
| **Residence in Amsterdam**           |                |
| 1 missing                            | 761 (78.1%)    |
| **Living situation** (3 missing)     |                |
| Alone                                | 502 (51.6%)    |
| With steady partner                  | 204 (21.0%)    |
| With parents/caretakers              | 56 (5.8%)      |
| With others                          | 211 (21.7%)    |

IQR = interquartile range; MSM = men who have sex with men.

*aAge at baseline was defined as the age at 1 January 2008 (for participants who were enrolled before this date) or as the age at enrolment (for those who were enrolled on or after this date).
use of benzodiazepams increased from 1.0% in 2015 to 4.9% in 2018, with a similar trend observed for use during sex (Supporting information, Figure S2a, S2b). There was no evidence for a change in any use of 2-CB ($P = 0.084$) and ritalin ($P = 0.52$). Any use of 4-FA decreased from 6.5% in 2015 to 4.0% in 2018, while there was no evidence for a change in use during sex ($P = 0.20$).

Injecting drug use (IDU) was reported by $\leq 1.1\%$ of participants in each calendar year, which precluded analysis of trends over time. Overall, 24 MSM (2.5%) reported to have injected drugs during the preceding 6 months on a total of 49 questionnaires between 2008 and 2018.

**Associations of recreational drug use with CAS, HIV and STI**

CAS was positively associated with any and specific SDU (Table 2). Associations became stronger over time for any SDU (any SDU: $P = 0.019$ for interaction, Supporting information, Figure S3a; specific SDU: $P = 0.070$, Supporting information, Figure S3b).

A total of 55 new HIV infections were diagnosed between 2008 and 2018; nine and 10 of these were excluded from analysis for any and specific SDU, respectively, due to missing exposure or covariate information on the study visit at diagnosis or the first study visit after diagnosis. No HIV infections were diagnosed among participants using PrEP. HIV was strongly and positively associated with any and specific SDU (Table 2). Associations were slightly attenuated after additionally adjusting for CAS and number of partners. We did not test for a difference in effect over time, given the low number of HIV outcomes.

There were 1276 study visits at which an STI was diagnosed during the preceding 6 months, of which 117 and 122 were excluded from analysis for any and specific SDU, respectively, due to missing exposure or covariate information. The odds of being diagnosed with an STI during the preceding 6 months were more than doubled for those who reported any and specific SDU compared to those who did not (Table 2). Associations were slightly attenuated after additionally adjusting for CAS and number of partners. There was no evidence for a difference in effect over time for any (Supporting information, Figures S4a, S5a) or specific SDU (Supporting information, Figures S4b, S5b) ($all P > 0.05$).

**FIGURE 2** Proportion reporting use of individual drugs during the preceding 6 months per calendar year between 2008 and 2018, for (a) any use and (b) use during sex, after adjusting for current age, country of birth and education level. Data for erectile stimulants were not included in 2018 due to an error in the questionnaire.

**DISCUSSION**

This longitudinal analysis of RDU among MSM in Amsterdam shows an increase in the proportion of MSM who use recreational drugs, particularly for use in sexual settings, between 2008 and 2018. Increases in use were observed for the majority of drugs, but mainly for amphetamines, ketamine and XTC/MDMA. We also observed increases in the number of drugs used among those reporting use. We found strong positive associations between SDU with CAS and prevalent recent HIV and STI, while the associations with CAS strengthened over time.

We estimated 60% any SDU in a sample of HIV-negative MSM in Amsterdam in 2018. This is similar to the 60% among sexually active MSM attending the STI clinic in Amsterdam in 2017, but slightly higher than MSM attending STI clinics in urban areas surrounding Amsterdam (42% in 2017) and outside major cities in the Netherlands (54% in 2018). These studies and ours showed MSM use a wide variety of drugs during sex. The drugs most commonly used in our cohort were poppers, erectile stimulants, XTC/MDMA, cocaine, GHB/GBL and cannabis, whose use was an estimated 15% throughout calendar years. Additionally, we found a stark increase in the use of ketamine. While mephedrone and methamphetamine are included in most chemsex definitions, the use of these drugs seems to be less prevalent (i.e. under 5%) in our cohort, despite increases over time.

One of the few studies comparing SDU among MSM across European cities, EMIS-2017, found that sexualized stimulant drug use during the past 4 weeks was most common in Amsterdam (17%), which is somewhat higher than Barcelona (14%), Paris (12%), London (11%) and Berlin (11%). The Netherlands is a large producer of certain drugs, such as cannabis and XTC/MDMA, and an important
|                          | Number of outcomes | Number of visits | Univariable model | Multivariable model 1<sup>a</sup> | Multivariable model 2<sup>b</sup> |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                          |                    |                 | OR (95% CI)       | aOR (95% CI)                     | aOR (95% CI)                     |
| **CAS<sup>c</sup>**      |                    |                 | P-value           | P-value                          | P-value                          |
| Any SDU                  | 6113               | 10 470          | 1.39 (1.22–1.59)  | < 0.001                          | 1.36 (1.19–1.55)                 | < 0.001                          |
| Specific SDU             | 6095               | 10 444          | 1.65 (1.43–1.91)  | < 0.001                          | 1.58 (1.37–1.81)                 | < 0.001                          |
| **HIV infection in preceding 6 months<sup>d,e</sup>** |                    |                 |                   |                                  |                                  |                                  |
| Any SDU                  | 46                 | 10 535          | 4.94 (2.10–11.7)  | < 0.001                          | 5.86 (2.39–14.4)                 | < 0.001                          |
| Specific SDU             | 45                 | 10 508          | 5.60 (3.01–10.4)  | < 0.001                          | 6.30 (3.28–12.1)                 | < 0.001                          |
| **STI in preceding 6 months<sup>f</sup>** |                    |                 |                   |                                  |                                  |                                  |
| Any SDU                  | 1159               | 9603            | 2.23 (1.90–2.62)  | < 0.001                          | 2.31 (1.95–2.73)                 | < 0.001                          |
| Specific SDU             | 1154               | 9577            | 2.26 (1.91–2.68)  | < 0.001                          | 2.15 (1.81–2.55)                 | < 0.001                          |

<sup>a</sup>Adjusted for current age, country of birth, education level and calendar year.

<sup>b</sup>Adjusted for current age, country of birth, education level, calendar year, CAS and number of sexual partners.

<sup>c</sup>There were 6233 visits at which CAS was reported, of which 120 and 138 were excluded from analysis for specific and any SDU, respectively, due to missing data.

<sup>d</sup>Including diagnoses made at additional, non-study visits to the STI clinic.

<sup>e</sup>55 recent HIV infections were diagnosed, of which nine and 10 were excluded from analysis for any and specific SDU, respectively, due to missing data.

<sup>f</sup>There were 1276 visits at which an STI was diagnosed, of which 117 and 122 were excluded from analysis for any and specific SDU, respectively, due to missing data.
transit country for other drugs, such as cocaine. In addition, the use of any drugs is not punishable by law. These country-level factors increase the availability and ease of obtaining these drugs and should be considered when comparing our results to other countries. The increasing trends observed in our study are in contrast with a decrease in chemsex between 2015 and 2018 in a cohort of HIV-negative MSM in the United Kingdom,[33] which was a closed cohort and only studied three chemsex drugs, but corroborate with increases in HIV-positive MSM in Switzerland between 2007 and 2017.[45]

The low IDU prevalence of 1% in our cohort is noteworthy. Studies in other countries have described more prevalent injecting use of methamphetamine and other drugs in sexual settings.[21,46–49] In a cohort of early PrEP adopters in Amsterdam, of whom 90% reported recent SDU at enrolment, IDU was also low at 4%.[50] Our findings suggest that IDU is restricted to a small group of MSM in the Netherlands. In addition, previous reports have shown very low and even decreasing IDU over time in the Netherlands, which probably reflects changes in drug culture and drug market.[51,52]

The increases over time in RDU parallel those in CAS and STI previously found in our cohort: the percentage engaging in CAS with a casual partner increased from 27% in 2009 to 39% in 2017, while the incidence rate for any bacterial STI increased from 16.8 per 100 person-years (PY) in 2010 to 33.1 per 100 PY in 2017.[37] This is of concern, given the associations between RDU and HIV/STI observed in our study. These associations could be explained by a myriad pathways. RDU may be causally responsible for increased HIV/STI acquisition through enhancing certain sexual behaviours or could act as a proxy for sexual behaviours.[53] The use of recreational drugs is known to increase disinhibition and mitigate prevention strategies.[26,54] The stronger associations of any and recent SDU with CAS over time might suggest these behaviours have become more normalized in recent years. Associations with HIV and STI were attenuated but remained positive after adjusting for CAS and number of sexual partners. It is likely that the intensity or practice of other sexual behaviours further mediate these associations, such as frequency of CAS acts, duration of sex, group sex, decreased serosorting and certain sex practices (i.e. fisting, use of sex toys). Further qualitative and quantitative research making use of data on specific sexual and drug use behaviours per sexual act[55] should be conducted to identify the exact pathways through which RDU is implicated in HIV/STI acquisition, which can be targeted in interventions. Additionally, a qualitative study in Madrid identified multiple types of chemsex, with each type involving different profiles of MSM, group dynamics, settings, motivations, attitudes and forms of and exposure to risk.[56] It might then be helpful to focus upon the wider context in which SDU is practiced, thus requiring different prevention strategies.

HIV incidence in our cohort was low and previously shown to decrease over time, probably as the result of treatment as prevention.[37] PrEP use in our cohort was below 10% until 2017,[57] and the national roll-out of PrEP began in September 2019. In our sample, 8% of MSM with a visit in 2015–18 indicated recent PrEP use and no HIV infections were diagnosed among MSM using PrEP. However, SDU was common among early PrEP adopters in Amsterdam,[50] and qualitative analysis among these users indicated a link between PrEP use and engagement in more disinhibited and experimental use of drugs.[58] It is therefore worth considering SDU as an additional eligibility criterion for PrEP even if other sexual behaviour criteria are not met, as is the case in Ireland.[59]

Besides increased HIV/STI acquisition, problematic RDU could be associated with other health problems. Studies have shown a high prevalence of co-occurring syndemic comorbidities among MSM, such as depression, sex and substance use disorders and growing drug dependency.[60–63] In light of increasing RDU rates, it is important to screen for problematic RDU and mental health problems during sexual health-seeking contacts (e.g. HIV and STI testing) to identify MSM who may benefit from additional counselling and referral to help. In the Amsterdam STI clinic, walk-in peer-led chemsex counselling sessions have been initiated to address syndemic-driven difficulties.[64]

Our study is subject to some limitations. First, this study included mainly urban MSM, of whom the majority was born in the Netherlands and highly educated, and therefore may not represent MSM nation-wide. Secondly, we were unable to distinguish any use from use outside of sexual settings, such as parties and festivals.[65] Thirdly, self-reporting of sexual and RDU behaviours may have led to under-reporting. Fourthly, as we analysed only individuals with complete data, we assumed that their probability of being included in the model was independent of the outcome after conditioning on the covariates. This assumption might not hold for certain models. Lastly, our study could be subject to selection bias due to differential loss to follow-up; however, dropout was relatively low.

In conclusion, our study revealed increases in RDU including SDU over time in a sample of HIV-negative MSM in Amsterdam. Increases were found for the majority of drugs. SDU was associated with new HIV and STI diagnoses, which calls for interventions such as counselling (including peer-led sessions), referrals to specialized care (if there is problematic RDU use) and targeted PrEP.
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