Disorder-Induced Order in Quantum XY Chains
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We observe signatures of disorder-induced order in 1D XY spin chains with an external, site-dependent uni-axial random field within the XY plane. We numerically investigate signatures of a quantum phase transition at $T = 0$, in particular an upsurge of the magnetization in the direction orthogonal to the external magnetic field, and the scaling of the block-entropy with the amplitude of this field. Also, we discuss possible realizations of this effect in ultra-cold atom experiments.

PACS numbers:

Disorder is common in a wide range of physical phenomena [1]. It typically originates from inhomogeneous environmental conditions and other parameters beyond experimental control. The time scale $\tau$ of observations on the considered system makes it possible to divide disorder into two categories: Annealed disorder, that changes in time scales much shorter than $\tau$, and correspond to fluctuations (thermal, quantum or externally driven), and quenched disorder, i.e. disorder that is permanent or does not change significantly on the scale of $\tau$. In general, disorder tends to reduce material properties that depend on regularity, such as magnetization, mechanical strength, or electric conductivity. However, even if counterintuitive, sometimes the opposite is true: there exist systems that develop order (as measured by some order parameter) when in presence of disorder. Various instances of such counterintuitive effect occur in the context of annealed disorder in geometrically frustrated systems, such as frustrated antiferromagnets. The term order-by-disorder was introduced by Villain et al. [2] to describe the effect that occurs in the Ising model on a 2D square lattice with ferromagnetic interactions between all next neighbors, except for antiferromagnetic interactions along the, say, even columns. Classically, such a system is truly disordered at temperature $T = 0$, and exhibits a large number of degenerate ground states. Thermal fluctuations at arbitrarily low $T$ induce ferrimagnetic order, in which spins at odd columns order parallelly. The same ordering occurs in the case of quenched disorder induced by site dilution (i.e. introduction of non-magnetic sites). The phenomenon of order-by-disorder persists also in quantum systems, although its appearance is not as dramatic (for a review see [3]).

A completely different mechanism, called disorder-induced order (DIO), has been recently investigated by some of us [4], and earlier by several other authors [5]. This phenomenon depends crucially on two ingredients: the unperturbed system must have a continuous symmetry, and the disorder must break it — for instance by promoting a single direction in space. Let us explain this through an example. Consider a chain of spins with isotropic nearest neighbor interaction in the $XY$ plane, which has a $U(1)$ rotational symmetry about the $Z$ axis. As it is well known, the Mermin-Wagner-Hohenberg (MWH) theorem [6] states that there is no spontaneous magnetization at any positive temperature for the bare (i.e. without quenched disorder) $XY$ model for dimensions two and smaller (in the limit of infinite systems). The continuous symmetry of the model is pivotal for the proof of the MWH theorem, since it is responsible for the freedom of fluctuations, or, more precisely, for the density of states of low energy excitations in the system. Let us add now to the system a quenched disorder in the form of local external magnetic fields in the $XY$ plane, with random strengths and directions. The key ingredient for the appearance of DIO is the relation between the disorder probability distribution and the symmetries of the physical system. In particular, if a disorder distribution has the same symmetry as the system, the disorder suppresses the spontaneous magnetization even more: even arbitrarily small disorder of this form destroys the order at $T = 0$ in 2D, and it presumably lowers the critical temperature of the transition in 3D [7]. However, if the random field is aligned only along the $X$ axis, the disorder has a symmetry different than that of the system — the MWH theorem no longer applies, and spontaneous magnetization can appear precisely because of the presence of disorder.

The mechanism of DIO is quite general — it can be applied whenever there is a continuous symmetry in the system that can be broken with a random field with a lesser symmetry. Indeed, we have recently showed that a disordered random field with a symmetry different than that of the system may increase order in the direction where there is no disorder [4]. We considered a two-dimensional array of classical spins with a ferromagnetic
interaction in presence of a random external magnetic field in the $Y$ direction. With this choice of disorder, the system has a remaining mirror symmetry along the $Y$ axis (which can easily be broken by appropriate boundary conditions). We argued that, in this case, the disorder will always induce a non-zero magnetization in the $X$ axis – i.e. in the direction orthogonal to the random field. Similarly, the relative phase of two-component interacting Bose-Einstein Condensates can be fixed by applying a suitable random coupling Raman field [8]. The same can be done with the relative phase between the superfluid order parameter of an ultra-cold Fermi gas and the wave function of a molecular BEC of the same species [9]. In this case the disorder is provided by a spatially random photo-associative-dissociative coupling between the BEC and the Fermi gas atoms.

In this paper we report numerical studies of onedimensional quantum spin chains that present disorder-induced order. In particular, we consider isotropic $XY$ spin chains subject to a random magnetic field along one axis on the $XY$ plane. We show evidence of the formation of spontaneous magnetization, and a transition from that phase to a phase without long-range order for a critical strength of the disordered field. Apart from the magnetization, we study the behavior of entanglement. Using numerical methods, we are able to identify the quantum critical point for a particular case of staggered magnetic field and the critical exponents in that situation. The transition that we discuss here is an analogue of the one that can be obtained with staggered magnetic fields in the gapless phases of the $XXZ$ model [10]. The main difference is that we mostly consider magnetic fields that oscillate on a much larger spatial scale, or are fully random.

We envision an implementation of our ideas using ultra-cold atom experiments. Technological developments have made ultra-cold gases a powerful tool to study condensed matter systems with an unprecedented degree of control – allowing the manipulation of geometry, dimensionality, and even the interaction strength between particles (by means of Feshbach resonances) [11][13]. An example of the power of ultra-cold atom systems as a test bed for condensed matter physics was the recent observation of matter-wave Anderson Localization [14][15] – predicted already in 1958 in the context of the metal-insulator transition [16], and for ultra-cold atoms in 2003 [17]. These experiments, and others, illustrated an interesting difference between cold gases and usual condensed matter systems: because the potentials are exceedingly clean, and thermal fluctuations negligible, disorder in cold atom experiments does not occur naturally and has to be introduced by design. This, in turn, translates into complete control over the disorder distribution: e.g. by changing light intensity the disorder strength can be varied continuously. Typically one can use laser speckles to induce static unstructured randomness (pioneered by the late G. Grynberg [18][19]), or superposed lasers of incommensurate wavelengths to create quasi-randomness (for recent reviews see [20]). Moreover, one can control nonlinear interaction strengths using Feschbach resonances, and reach in this way various regimes [21] of the Anderson-Bose glass phase [22]. This manipulability is essential for deep exploration of disorder-induced order phenomena, and for observation of the phenomena discussed in this paper.

**MODEL DESCRIPTION**

We consider a ferromagnetic spin chain with $N$ spins $1/2$ in a random external magnetic field, described by the following Hamiltonian:

$$
\hat{H} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (\hat{\sigma}_x^i \hat{\sigma}_x^{i+1} + \hat{\sigma}_y^i \hat{\sigma}_y^{i+1}) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} h^i \hat{\sigma}_{z}^i, 
$$

(1)

where $\hat{\sigma}_a^i$ are the $\alpha = x, y$-Pauli spin matrices at site $i$, and $h^i$ is the random field at site $i$. The field points along an arbitrary direction $\vec{n}$ inside the $XY$ plane and $\hat{\sigma}_n = \vec{n} \cdot \hat{\sigma}$. Also within the $XY$ plane, we will distinguish observables (and measurements) aligned about the axis $\vec{n}$ with a $\parallel$ subscript, and observables perpendicular to $\vec{n}$ with a $\perp$ subscript. As mentioned before, the second term of Eq. (1) does not have the same symmetry as the first term (which is invariant with respect to rotations along the $Z$ axis).

The relevant order parameters are the mean expectation values of the magnetization along the parallel and orthogonal directions: $m_{\parallel} = \langle (\sum \sigma_{i\parallel}^z) \rangle / N$ and $m_{\perp} = \langle (\sum \sigma_{i\perp}^z) \rangle / N$. Typically, we also consider the local magnetization $m_{\parallel i} = \langle \sigma_{i\parallel}^z \rangle$ and $m_{\perp i} = \langle \sigma_{i\perp}^z \rangle$, indicating which regions of the chain are being discussed. Both $m_{\parallel i}$ and $m_{\perp i}$ vanish as the amplitude of the external fields approaches zero. For large field intensity, $m_{\parallel i}$ follows the local direction of the field. In this case, the average $m_{\perp}$ is essentially zero.

Entanglement is known to be a good predictor of quantum phase transitions [23][25]. Although there is a variety of possibilities, the observation of a singularity in an entanglement measure most certainly implies a second order quantum phase transition. In order to measure entanglement we will use the block entropy $S(p)$, defined as the Von Neumann entropy of the reduced density matrix obtained by tracing out the degrees of freedom of $N-p$ spins of the chain. By means of a Schmidt decomposition, any pure state $|\psi\rangle$ of the system can be expressed as

$$
|\psi\rangle = \sum_i \lambda_i^{1/2} |\psi_i^{[1...p]}\rangle \otimes |\psi_i^{[p+1...N]}\rangle,
$$

(2)

where $\{|\psi_i^{[1...p]}\rangle\}$ and $\{|\psi_i^{[p+1...N]}\rangle\}$ are orthonormal states in the Hilbert space of the first $p$ and last
Thus, away from the critical point, entropy saturates beyond a certain block size $p_0$. The so called area law says that the block entropy of a ground state generally scales with the size of the boundary (area) of the system — except at criticality, where there are typically logarithmic corrections. In one dimensional systems, the boundary of a block is constant. Thus, away from the critical point, entropy saturates beyond a certain block size $p_0$: $S(p) = S(p_0)$ for all $p > p_0$.

Let us analyze the behavior of the block entropy of our system for some limit cases. For small fields, the $XY$ term in Eq. (1) dominates, and the system exhibits long range entanglement. This leads to a large number of non-zero Schmidt coefficients — and therefore large block entropy. In contrast, for large amplitudes of the field, the second sum of Eq. (1) dominates the behavior of the system: the ground state is a product state with only one non-zero Schmidt coefficient (that must be equal to one because of normalization), which gives $S(p) = 0$.

**NUMERICAL RESULTS**

**Methods and Materials**

To obtain the ground state of finite chains for arbitrary configurations of disorder we employ the Time Evolving Block Decimation algorithm with an imaginary time evolution. The algorithm is based on calculating Schmidt decompositions at all links of the spin chain, which leads to describing the quantum state through a product of matrices. The rank of these matrices reflects the number of Schmidt coefficients that are retained for the simulations. Therefore, slightly entangled systems (in terms of the number of non-vanishing Schmidt coefficients) are described accurately by small matrices, which leads to a large computational speedup. Strongly entangled systems, in contrast, require very large matrices to be described accurately. Excessive truncation of the matrices induces a breakdown of the algorithm, although in general one can monitor the accuracy before this happens — for example, by measuring the value of the smallest retained Schmidt coefficients. We also perform additional tests to ensure that the numerical solution does not depend on the maximum number of Schmidt coefficients.

The TEBD algorithm used for our simulations is particularly efficient for one-dimensional systems with on-site and nearest-neighbors interactions only, as is the case for the $XY$ system in presence of the external random field. We implemented the algorithm for finite systems with open boundary conditions, and for infinite systems with a periodic Hamiltonian by imposing the periodicity of the solution. Due to the numerical complexity of the algorithms, we used a wide range of resources from desktop computers and local clusters to the Zaragoza supercomputer with up to 50 parallel processors.

The disorder-induced order effect is symmetric with respect to the orthogonal direction of the disordered field. Because of this, the original proposal used convenient boundary conditions in order to lift this symmetry. For our simulations, usually it turned out to be enough to impose non-symmetric initial conditions for computing the imaginary time evolution towards the ground-state.

**Staggered field**

We begin by reviewing the case of an staggered magnetic field, $h^x = (-1)^x h_0$. Although it is not random, its non-uniformity will help us gain a good intuition for the random case.

We observe two distinct regimes as a function of the magnetic field intensity $h_0$ (see Fig. 1A). For small fields, a finite spontaneous magnetization arises in the direction orthogonal to the field. On the other extreme, for large $h_0$, the magnetization in this direction is zero. Interestingly, we observe that the transition between the two regimes is sharp, indicating the presence of a second order quantum phase transition. Our numerical estimate of the critical point is $h_c = 2.915 \pm 0.001$, which is in agreement with previous studies [29]. As the field intensity approaches $h_c$ from below, the spontaneous magnetization decays according to a power law, $m_z(h_0) \sim (1 - h_0/h_c)^\beta$. Our numerical analysis gives $\beta = 0.125 \pm 0.002$. In Fig. 1B, we see evidence that at the critical field intensity of the staggered magnetization along the direction of the field does, indeed, show a singularity in the first derivative.

In Fig. 1C we show the block entropy $S_\infty$ for a semi-infinite block as a function of intensity of the staggered field. Near the critical point, the entropy of a semi-infinite block diverges as [23]:

$$S_\infty = \frac{1}{23} c \log_2(\xi) + a.$$  (4)

where $c$ is the central charge of the underlying conformal field theory. The factor $\frac{1}{23}$ in (4) appears because we measure entropy between two semi-infinite parts of the chain with only one boundary between them. Through a best fit to the data shown in Fig. 1D, we obtain a value of $c = 0.53 \pm 0.05$ for the central charge.
Figure 1: Panel A shows the ground state magnetization in the direction orthogonal to the staggered field depending on the strength of the staggered field. In B, we see the staggered magnetization in the direction parallel to the external field. Panel C represents the entropy of entanglement $S_\infty$ for a semi-infinite block. Results in panel D show the entropy of entanglement $S_\infty$ as a function of the logarithm of the correlation length near the critical point $h_c \simeq 2.915$.

For small values of the field, the entropy diverges as we approach the isotropic XY critical point. For larger values of the field intensity, entropy decays to zero, which is expected as the ground state becomes a product state. As a curiosity, for field intensities smaller than the critical, there is a special value $h_0 = 2\sqrt{2}$ of the field for which the block entropy is exactly zero, and the ground state is thus a Néel product state [29].

**Oscillating fields**

Next, we focus on the case of a smooth periodic field such that at site $i$ the field is $h_i^\parallel = h \sin(ki)$, where $k \ll 1$ is the wave number of the periodic field. The system exhibits spontaneous perpendicular magnetization for small, non-zero values of $h$, whereas for large intensities $h$ the parallel magnetization follows the oscillating field.

Figure 2 shows the orthogonal magnetization of the individual spins for different amplitudes of the external oscillating field. Similar to the staggered field (Fig 1B), we observe two regimes of orthogonal magnetization: presence of orthogonal magnetization for small amplitudes up to a given value depending on $k$, and disappearance thereof for larger amplitudes. The sinusoidal nature of the uni-axial external magnetic field is translated to a slight variation in the strength of the orthogonal magnetization.

In Fig. 2, we see the appearance of a regime with orthogonal magnetization for disorder amplitudes of around 1.0 and disappearance of orthogonal magnetization around disorder amplitude of about 1.5. This confirms that uni-axially oscillating magnetic fields can induce magnetization orthogonal to the oscillating direction.

Figure 3: Parallel magnetization in the presence of a regularly oscillating field. The plot shows that the spin chain magnetizes according to the external magnetic field if this uni-axially oscillating field has a large enough amplitude. This confirms that the physical intuition trivially works for strong magnetic fields.

In Fig. 3, we see that the magnetization of the spins is dominated by external field when the latter has large amplitudes, confirming physical intuition. The amplitude region of the presumed phase transition shows a strictly monotone increase in the tendency of individual spins to align with the external magnetic field.

We show the block entropy as a function of the site and disorder amplitude in Fig. 4. As expected, at very low fields — near the isotropic XY critical point — the entropy grows slowly with system size, while it stabilizes rapidly for larger $h$. Moreover, the saturation value decreases with field intensity. The entropy has a local maximum at a field value that coincides with an abrupt decrease in perpendicular magnetization.

Figure 5 summarizes our studies of the oscillating fields with different amplitudes. Comparing the magnetization in Fig. 5A to the transverse magnetization shown...
in Fig. 5C, we see that spontaneous symmetry breaking appears near the zeros of $h_i$. For strong enough intensities $h$, this leads to the creation of a set of “islands” of perpendicularly magnetized spins in a sea of transverse magnetization. When $h$ is weak enough, however, the island size $R$ becomes greater than the distance between the islands $\pi/k$, the isolated islands merge, and there is non-zero $m_\perp$ of definite sign everywhere (Fig. 5C). The periodic transition from one phase to the other can be understood in terms of quantum phase transitions in space [30]: away from the critical points, the system follows the local value of the field adiabatically (Fig. 5A) and remains on the corresponding phase. In our system, this corresponds to the regions where the field is very large and therefore the local magnetization is in the symmetric phase $m_\parallel = 0$ (see [31]). However, when the amplitude of the field $h_i$ approaches its critical value, the local correlation length of the system, $\xi \sim |h_i|^\nu$, can become much larger than the rate of change of the field, $\ell \sim |h_i|/\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}$. In this regime the system cannot heal fast enough (compared to the change in the field), and it begins to transition from one phase to the other, forming an island of broken symmetry with a random sign of $m_\perp$.

We can estimate the size $R$ of an island by linearizing the magnetic field near its zero at $i_0$: $|h_i| \approx |hk| (i - i_0)$. At the boundary of the island we have the condition $\xi \simeq \ell$, which writes as $|hk R|^{-\nu} \simeq |R|$. Thus, the size of an isolated island of perpendicularly magnetization results

$$ R \simeq (hk)^{-\frac{1}{\nu+1}}. \quad (5) $$

This line of reasoning, based on the Kibble-Zurek mechanism, allows us to also estimate how the amplitude of perpendicular magnetization in the islands depends on $h$ and $k$. In a first approximation, the total magnetization is constant during the transition in space (Fig. 5D). When the local density approximation starts to break, i.e. at $i_0 \pm R$, the system goes from an adiabatic to an impulse region, and the order parameter at this point must “freeze”. Therefore, we can estimate the amplitude of perpendicular magnetization in the island with the value of the parallel magnetization at the freezing point, $m_\parallel^{i_0} \sim |m_\parallel^{i_0}| R$. Using that near the critical point $|m_\parallel^{i_0}| \sim |h|^\frac{1}{\nu+1}$ [31], we obtain

$$ m_\perp^{i_0} \sim (hk R)^{1/\nu} = (hk)^{\frac{1}{\nu+1}}. \quad (6) $$

We simulated an infinite system with $k = 2\pi/N$ and $N=512$. We compare with the critical exponents of a pure XY chain, $1/\nu \simeq 0.14$ and $\nu \simeq 0.57$ [31]. The results, shown in Fig. 5 give $R \simeq h^{-0.369}$, with the exponent close to the predicted value $\frac{1}{\nu+1} \simeq 0.363$. For the amplitude of the magnetization of the islands we obtain $m_\perp^{i_0} \sim h^{0.092}$, again in good agreement with our prediction $\frac{1}{\nu+1} \simeq 0.089$.

**Randomly oscillating fields**

We study randomly oscillating fields produced from a normal distribution of mean zero and varying standard deviation. A large standard deviation is equivalent to a large amplitude of an oscillating field. Producing pseudo-random numbers following a normal distribution is sim-
Figure 6: Orthogonal magnetization of a particular realization of the random external field. We see that magnetization in the orthogonal direction of the random field is non-zero up to a threshold. The sudden drop to zero at a certain amplitude (0.3 in this realization) indicates the phase-transition between the disorder-induced order state and the state primarily following the external magnetic field.

Figure 7: Parallel magnetization for a given random field. Note that no abrupt change occurs in the amplitude region of the phase-transition (here 0.3). At unit amplitude, the parallel magnetization is no longer exactly following the quick variations of the randomly oscillating external field.

In close analogy to the possible experimental realization of a disordered field using laser speckles (which has already been demonstrated), Figure 6 shows the formation of islands of magnetization, coinciding with positive, negative, or alternating regions of the parallel magnetization. Since the external random field oscillates rapidly, the parallel magnetization, shown in Fig. 7, cannot no longer follow the field exactly, even at unit amplitude.

The contrast between these two final extremal points resembles the discontinuity observed in the staggered field and appears to support the claim of a phase-transition at the corresponding disorder amplitudes.

The fact that even for uncorrelated magnetic fields there appears to be (in most cases), a region of orthogonal magnetization illustrates the robustness of the effect. Our studies show that the disorder amplitude for which the orthogonal magnetization disappears now strongly depends on the individual uni-axial random field configuration.

Figure 8 shows the mean value over 10 disordered realizations of the perpendicular magnetization. The graph shows a clear average presence of orthogonal magnetization for small amplitudes. The mean orthogonal magnetization appears to be strongest for a disorder amplitude of approximately 30% of the XY spin-spin correlation.

As expected, the randomly oscillating field presents a number of islands of different sizes because there are regions of predominantly positive, negative or oscillating random values. When averaging over several realizations, we still see a clear overall induced constant order for small amplitudes of the external magnetic uni-axial random field.

**EXPERIMENTAL REALIZATION**

The proposal below combines Raman coupling to realize the spin dependent lattice with radio-frequency transitions to induce the desired structure of the hopping matrices. The main idea stems from L. Mazza et al. [32], and consists of creating a lattice that traps certain bosonic alkali atoms in all states from the lower hyperfine manifold, and atoms in a certain state of the up-
states, on the one hand, have good overlaps with the $F = I - 1/2$ states in the basic 1D lattice sites, and obviously can serve as intermediate states for the radiofrequency transitions between the $F = I - 1/2$ atoms. On the other hand, the trapping potential for the states in the $F = I + 1/2$ manifold can be quite weak, so that tunneling effectively dominates over interactions. The trapping potential for $F = I - 1/2$ atoms can be strong enough to put them in the Mott insulating regime.

In the case of Rubidium $I = 3/2$, one should prepare a large condensate in the $F = 2$ manifold, and then pump some atoms to the $F = 1, F_z = -1$ states. In the strong repulsion regime (hard bosons regime), the Hamiltonian for $F = 1$ atoms reduces to that of the $XY$ model. The uni-axial random field in the $XY$ plane can be easily realized using Raman (optical or RF) transitions with fixed phases and random strengths, as in the proposal of Ref. [8].

Interestingly, the same scheme can be generalized to 2D in a square, and even 3D in a simple cubic lattice. In 2D for instance, we start by confining the atoms in 2D, say in the $XY$ plane. We take two laser pulses propagating in the $X$ direction with circularly polarized light with respect to the $X$ direction. Similarly, we apply two laser pulses in the $Y$ direction with the circular polarizations corresponding to propagation axis $Y$. Again, the general result is that for appropriately designed laser fields all $F = I - 1/2$ states are trapped in the square lattice sites. The natural quantization axis for them is now a 45 degrees axis between $X$ and $Y$. The optical potential for the $F = I + 1/2$ manifold has minima in the same lattice sites, but, interestingly, develops also minima for the states $|F = I + 1/2; F_y = I + 1/2 \rangle$ and $|F = I + 1/2; F_y = I + 1/2 \rangle$ in the middle of the links in the $X$ and $Y$ directions, respectively. These states have good overlaps with the $F = I - 1/2$ states in the basic square lattice sites, and obviously can serve as intermediate states for the radio-frequency transitions between the $F = I - 1/2$ atoms. The remaining ingredient of the proposal are the same as in 1D.

CONCLUSIONS

After the effect of disorder-induced order has been shown in classical systems, we have presented numerical evidence that this effect also exists in quantum systems such as quantum $XY$ chains. The effect consists in the appearance of magnetization in the direction orthogonal to a spatially disordered external magnetic field for small amplitudes of this field. The key ingredients for justifying this result are values of various components of magnetization (which are directly measurable in the experimental scheme discussed above), and non-monotone block entropy. The latter cannot be measured directly, but its properties can be inferred from the measure-
ments of density-density correlations using Bragg spectroscopy, noise interferometry, and/or spin polarization spectroscopy. Finally, let us mention that recently an analogue of DIO in the time domain (i.e. with time dependent perturbations) has been proposed and termed rocking [54].
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