Mental Health and Wellbeing in Lithuanian Medical Students and Resident Doctors During COVID-19 Pandemic

Agne Stanyte¹, Aurelija Podlipskyte¹, Egle Milasauskiene², Orsolya Király³, Zsolt Demetrovics³,⁴, Laurynas Ambrasas², Julius Burkauskas¹* and Vesta Steibliene¹,²

¹ Laboratory of Behavioral Medicine, Neuroscience Institute, Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Palanga, Lithuania, ² Clinic of Psychiatry, Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Kaunas, Lithuania, ³ Institute of Psychology, ELTE Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary, * Centre of Excellence in Responsible Gaming, University of Gibraltar, Gibraltar

Background: The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has had a negative effect on mental health and subjective psychological wellbeing. One of the most affected population is medical students, reporting higher levels of depression, anxiety, sleep difficulties, and overall poorer wellbeing. However, the relationship between depression, anxiety, and sleep difficulties, and subjective psychological wellbeing has not been extensively researched in medical students in the context of COVID-19 pandemic. The aim of this study was to investigate the associations between depression, anxiety, and sleep quality, and subjective psychological wellbeing.

Methods: In total, 524 medical students and resident doctors (78.6% female, mean age 24 ± 3 years old) participated in an online survey between December 2020 and February 2021. Participants completed the WHO—Five Wellbeing Index Questionnaire, the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index questionnaire, the Patient Health Questionnaire-9, and the Generalized Anxiety Disorder Assessment-7.

Results: Multivariable logistic regression analysis showed that female participants’ worse subjective psychological wellbeing was associated with sleep difficulties [odds ratio (OR) = 2.39, 95% CI = 1.37–4.18, p = 0.002], higher depression (OR = 6.13, 95% CI = 3.46–10.88, p < 0.001), and anxiety symptoms (OR = 2.95, 95% CI = 1.66–5.22, p < 0.001). In male participants, analysis revealed an association between worse subjective psychological wellbeing and higher depression scores (OR = 9.94, 95% CI = 3.29–30.03, p < 0.001).

Conclusion: Sex differences are an important factor to consider when evaluating subjective psychological wellbeing. Clinicians should be aware of significant contributors, such as sleep patterns, anxiety, and depression, to subjective psychological wellbeing.
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INTRODUCTION

It is known that external life circumstances can have an impact on a persons’ subjective wellbeing (1, 2). The coronavirus disease 2019 [COVID-19; (3)] pandemic and restrictions, implemented to stop the spread of the virus, have caused a lot of disruption in today’s world. The COVID-19 pandemic can be described as a traumatic event, as one study in China found that the post-traumatic stress symptoms in the hardest-hit areas reached 7% (4). Individuals’ mental health and subjective psychological wellbeing may be jeopardized under such circumstances.

Early evidence suggests that the pandemic has had a negative and substantial effect on mental wellbeing. Research reports that subjective wellbeing is decreasing during the COVID-19 pandemic (5–9). Subjective psychological wellbeing is strongly linked to depression and anxiety symptoms (10) as well as sleep problems, such as insomnia (11), all of which have also been on the rise since the start of the COVID-19 pandemic (11–14).

When it comes to mental health and wellbeing, a significant amount of research has found differences between genders. In general, men are known to report higher levels of wellbeing compared with women (15). Across various different studies, women are more likely to have mental health problems compared with men (16–18), such as depressive symptoms, suicidal ideation, sleeping problems, and fatigue (18). Many risk factors for mental disorders, such as low educational level, low social support, inadequate income, and lack of physical, sexual, and psychological safety and security are more prominent in women compared with men (18, 19). Sex and gender differences can emanate from biomedical, psychosocial, or epidemiological reasons (19) and can impact the development of mental health disorders.

Differences in mental health and wellbeing have only escalated since the start of the pandemic. In a study conducted in the United Kingdom, women reported higher levels of depression, anxiety, insomnia, and had lower scores of wellbeing compared with men (20). Similar results were found in the United States (21), Poland (5), China (9), and Denmark (10). Overall, women have been found to be at a higher risk for psychological distress during the COVID-19 pandemic compared with men (22).

Nonetheless, men have also reported disturbances in their mental health, with depression symptoms being the most prevalent. A cross-sectional study of German students found major depressive syndromes were present for 36.8% of men, while anxiety syndromes were present for only 12% of men (23).

One of the most affected and vulnerable populations globally is medical students and resident doctors (24). Overall, medical students are known to have higher rates of depression, suicidal ideation, and are also less likely to seek help (25). The COVID-19 pandemic has only highlighted the problems in this population, with high levels of depression, anxiety (26–30), sleep problems, and decreased appetite (31). An Australian study found that the deterioration in mental wellbeing since the COVID-19 pandemic was reported by 68% of medical students (32). Another study reported that one in three students and medical residents showed symptoms of depression and anxiety, and almost 35% of students suffered from poor sleep quality (33). Such mental health problems can lead to burnout, which in the COVID-19 pandemic have become more prevalent in both medical students and resident doctors (34, 35). Most medical students perceive that the COVID-19 pandemic had a negative impact on their medical training, with pre-clinical medical students reporting the most difficulties in their academic and social life (36–39). The prevalence of stress, anxiety, and depression in medical students is significantly higher than in medical staff and community populations (40), making this population more vulnerable to the psychological effects of the COVID-19 pandemic to their psychological wellbeing.

However, the relationship between depression, anxiety, and sleep problems and subjective psychological wellbeing has not been researched in medical students and resident doctors in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. In this study, we aimed to investigate the associations between depression, anxiety, and sleep quality and subjective psychological wellbeing. In the light of reviewed literature, we hypothesize that there will be significant sex differences in subjective wellbeing: anxiety and depression will be more important in the relationship among women, while the most important determinant for wellbeing in men will be depression.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Procedure

A cross-sectional study design was used to conduct the study within the Lithuanian University of Health Sciences (LUHS) during the COVID-19 pandemic period between December 2020 and February 2021. Participants—medical students and resident doctors—were invited to participate in the study via the official university mailing system and appropriate social media groups. They had to fill in an online survey available through Google Forms. To participate in the study, participants had to be older than or equal to 18 years old and provide online informed consent by ticking the appropriate answer “agree/disagree.” They received no incentives for participating in the study.

The study protocol was approved by the Bioethics committee of the LUHS (No. BEC-LSMU [R]-18) and the study was executed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki principles. More detailed description of study design and questionnaires used can be found in our initial study (41).

Measures

The survey consisted of scales measuring general wellbeing (the WHO–Five Wellbeing Index (WHO-5) and Cronbach’s $\alpha$ was 0.88 (42, 43), sleeping patterns (Pittsburg sleep quality index (PSQI) and Cronbach's $\alpha$ was 0.71) (44, 45), depression symptoms (Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) and Cronbach’s $\alpha$ was 0.84) (46), and anxiety symptoms (Generalized Anxiety Disorder Assessment (GAD-7) and Cronbach’s $\alpha$ was 0.91) (47, 48). Questions about socio-demographic characteristics, such as participants’ sex, age, living conditions, family situation, physical activity, academic achievements, and participation in academic classes were developed by the researchers.
Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed with the Statistical Package for Science Software v.27 (SPSS, Chicago, IL). The comparisons between the socio-demographic characteristics and subjective psychological assessments between male and female study participants were completed using the two-tailed Student’s t-test and Fisher’s χ²-test. Multivariable logistic regression analyses were used to assess the relationship between wellbeing, sleeping patterns, depression, and anxiety symptoms, and the outcome variable was wellbeing. Two different models were created for male and female participants, adjusted for age, types of studies, and marital state. Regarding the sample size, a 10:1 ratio of cases to variables was used (49). The level of significance was set at \( p < 0.05 \).

RESULTS

Overall, 524 individuals participated in the study, of whom 65.6% were medical students and 34.4% were resident doctors. The mean age of participants was 23.7 ± 3.1 years, and the majority of the participants were women \((n = 412)\). A detailed description of sample characteristics is presented in Table 1.

In general, 45.2% of the participants reported a WHO-5 wellbeing score of less than 50, which indicates serious impairment of subjective psychological wellbeing (42). Sleep problems were reported by 64.7% of the participants. Higher depression symptoms were prevalent in 41.6% of the participants and anxiety symptoms in 34.2% of the participants.

Female participants were significantly younger \((t = -3.34, 23.4 \text{ vs. } 24.5, p < 0.001, \text{ medium effect } d = 0.337)\), more of them were pre-clinical medical students \((\chi^2 = 12.68, 42.2 \text{ vs. } 28.6, p < 0.002, \text{ small effect } V = 0.156)\) and had a higher anxiety score \((t = 2.33, 7.7 \text{ vs. } 6.4, p = 0.020, \text{ small effect } d = 0.255)\) than men (Table 1). In addition, we noticed a tendency that women were more likely to be married or in a partnership \((\chi^2 = 3.50, 59.0 \text{ vs. } 49.1, p = 0.061)\), they were less likely to smoke \((\chi^2 = 3.37, 19.7 \text{ vs. } 27.7, p = 0.066)\) and had lower wellbeing \((t = -1.76, 51.1 \text{ vs. } 54.6, p = 0.078)\) compared with men. Due to significant differences between the sexes, further analysis was performed separately for men and women. Inter-correlation between study variables is presented in Supplementary Tables 1, 2.

Table 2 shows multivariable logistic regression analyses for women and men separately. Both models were adjusted for age, types of studies, and marital state. Multivariable logistic regression analysis for women showed that worse subjective psychological wellbeing is significantly associated with sleep difficulties [odds ratio \((OR) = 2.39, 95\% CI = 1.37–4.18, p = 0.002\)], higher depression \((OR = 6.13, 95\% CI = 3.46–10.88, p < 0.001)\), and anxiety symptoms \((OR = 2.95, 95\% CI = 1.66–5.22, p < 0.001)\). Multivariable logistic regression analysis for men revealed that worse subjective psychological wellbeing is significantly associated with higher depression scores \((OR = 9.94, 95\% CI = 3.29–30.03, p < 0.001)\).

| Characteristics | All, \(n = 524\) | Female, \(n = 412\) | Male, \(n = 112\) | \(\chi^2\) | Cohen’s \(d\)/Cramer’s \(V\) | \(p\) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|-------|
| Age, years; mean (SD) | 23.7 (3.1) | 23.4 (3.0) | 24.5 (3.5) | -3.34 | 0.337 | <0.001 |
| Types of studies, n (%) | | | | | 0.002 |
| Pre-clinical medical student | 206 (39.3) | 174 (42.2) | 32 (28.6) | 12.68 | 0.156 | 0.020 |
| Clinical medical student | 138 (26.3) | 112 (27.2) | 26 (23.2) | | |
| Doctor resident | 180 (34.4) | 126 (30.6) | 54 (48.2) | | |
| Living condition, n (%) | | | | 0.40 | 0.028 | 0.525 |
| Alone | 174 (33.2) | 134 (32.5) | 40 (35.7) | | |
| With partner/family members | 350 (66.8) | 278 (67.5) | 72 (64.3) | | |
| Marital state, n (%) | | | | 3.50 | 0.082 | 0.061 |
| Single | 266 (43.1) | 169 (41.0) | 57 (50.9) | | |
| Married/Partnership | 298 (56.9) | 243 (59.0) | 55 (49.1) | | |
| Smoking, n (%) | | | | 3.37 | 0.080 | 0.066 |
| Yes | 112 (21.4) | 81 (19.7) | 31 (27.7) | | |
| No | 412 (78.6) | 331 (80.3) | 81 (72.3) | | |
| Five wellbeing index WHO5, total score; mean (SD) | 51.9 (18.9) | 51.1 (18.6) | 54.6 (19.7) | -1.76 | 0.183 | 0.078 |
| WHO5 ≤ 50, n (%) | 237 (45.2) | 196 (47.3) | 42 (37.5) | 3.44 | 0.081 | 0.064 |
| Global PSQI index, mean (SD) | 6.6 (3.0) | 6.1 (3.0) | 6.0 (3.1) | 0.36 | 0.033 | 0.723 |
| PSQI ≥ 5, n (%) | 339 (64.7) | 271 (65.8) | 68 (60.7) | 0.99 | 0.043 | 0.320 |
| PHQ-9, total score; mean (SD) | 9.1 (5.7) | 9.3 (5.8) | 8.5 (5.6) | 1.31 | 0.140 | 0.190 |
| PHQ-9 ≥ 10, n (%) | 218 (41.6) | 174 (42.2) | 44 (39.3) | 0.32 | 0.025 | 0.575 |
| GAD-7, total score; mean (SD) | 7.4 (5.1) | 7.7 (5.2) | 6.4 (5.0) | 2.33 | 0.255 | 0.020 |
| GAD-7 ≥ 9, n (%) | 179 (34.2) | 149 (36.2) | 30 (26.8) | 3.44 | 0.081 | 0.063 |

SD, standard deviation; WHOS, World health Organization—five wellbeing index; PSQI, Pittsburgh sleep quality index; PHQ-9, Patient health questionnaire; GAD-7, Generalized anxiety disorder assessment.
Our study aimed to investigate the associations between depression, anxiety, and subjective psychological wellbeing. Our study revealed different associations of mental distress, such as anxiety and depression symptoms and subjective psychological wellbeing among men and women. In women, wellbeing was associated with sleep difficulties, depression, and anxiety symptoms. In comparison, in men, we found that wellbeing was associated only with depression. These results are in line with our hypotheses.

Comparing our results with other research, we can see some similarities between other countries. In a study conducted in Germany about students’ wellbeing, the researchers found that 72.2% of the participants had a WHO-5 wellbeing score of less than 50. Of the responders, 41.6% had indications of the major depressive syndromes (measured by PHQ-9), 20%—for generalized anxiety or panic syndromes (measured by GAD-7) (23). However, the researchers reported that students of medicine were less affected compared with students from other disciplines (23). Our study sample consisted only of medical students and residents, which could help explain the differences between samples in subjective psychological wellbeing scores. It is believed that medical students and resident doctors had more opportunities for social exchange during the COVID-19 pandemic due to the nature of work in healthcare and the fact that they could not work remotely, providing more opportunities for everyday social interactions and activities.

Nevertheless, mental health is in decline during the COVID-19 pandemic. A study from Turkey before the COVID-19 pandemic investigated depression and anxiety among medical students and found that 30.6% of them reported feeling depressive symptoms (measured by Beck depression inventory II) and 20.7% of students reported feeling anxiety (measured by Beck anxiety inventory) (50). Other studies before the COVID-19 pandemic have found similar results (51). Some differences between studies could arise from different forms of screening of depression and anxiety as well as from different cultural backgrounds. However, the trend of students reporting more depression than anxiety is still prevalent in both cases as well as in the current study.

Our study showed that subjective psychological wellbeing in women was associated with sleep difficulties, depression and anxiety. It is known that women consistently report poorer wellbeing compared with men (52) and such a divide is being made more apparent in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic. Other sex-related factors, such as the unemployment rate (53) during COVID-19 pandemic might have contributed to this burden. Furthermore, the newest data suggest that the loss of non-parental child care and involvement in homeschooling were associated with more negative employment outcomes for mothers but not for fathers (54).

In our study, women consistently reported higher levels of depression, anxiety, and sleep difficulties, all of which were important to women’s overall wellbeing. This could be explained by the gender differences in mental disorders, where women are known to have a higher lifetime prevalence of mood and anxiety disorders (55). The differences can be partly explained by the potential role of the sex hormones acting as risk factors for depression (56), anxiety, stress-related, and trauma-related disorders (57).

Furthermore, we found that in men, the subjective psychological wellbeing was associated only with depression. No other research linking men’s depression to their subjective psychological wellbeing could be found for comparison. Gender roles may have implications for coping styles used in stressful situations. A cross-sectional study investigating the risk factors of psychological distress during the COVID-19 pandemic found that men were more likely to experience higher psychological distress compared with women, and were more likely to use negative coping styles, for example, emotion suppression (58). Psychological distress and negative coping styles can lead to deteriorated mental health, as it has been discovered that stressful life events have a predictive role for major depressive episodes in men (59). During the COVID-19 pandemic, men feel more stressed than before the pandemic. They employ unhealthy coping mechanisms to deal with their emotions, which in turn can lead to feelings of depression. Therefore, depression can have a bigger impact on men’s subjective psychological wellbeing compared with anxiety and sleep difficulties.

### DISCUSSION

**TABLE 2 | Multivariable logistic regression analyses for women and men.**

| Predictors | $R^2 (p)$ | Women | Men |
|------------|----------|-------|-----|
| PSQI $\geq 5$ (1) | OR (95%CI) ($p$) | 2.39 (1.37–4.18) (0.002) | 0.94 (0.32–2.75) (0.910) |
| PHQ-9 $\geq 10$ (1) | OR (95%CI) ($p$) | 6.13 (3.46–10.88) (< 0.001) | 9.94 (3.29–30.03) (< 0.001) |
| GAD-7 $\geq 9$ (1) | OR (95%CI) ($p$) | 2.95 (1.66–5.22) (< 0.001) | 1.27 (0.37–4.30) (0.609) |
| Cohen’s $\eta^2$ | | 0.499 | 0.331 |

Multivariable: adjusted for age, types of studies, and marital state. WHO-5, World Health Organization—five well-being index; PSQI, Pittsburgh sleep quality index; PHQ-9, Patient Health Questionnaire for depression symptom severity; and GAD-7, Generalized anxiety disorder assessment for anxiety symptom severity.
The study should be interpreted in the context of its design and limitations. First, the results depended on self-assessment data and cannot be generalized to a wider population, as only a small sample of medical students and resident doctors from Lithuania participated in the study. Second, the self-selected cross-sectional design prevents us from making implications with regards to causal effects between study variables. Third, the data for the study were collected during the COVID-19 pandemic period, therefore, we are unable to draw inferences on how the associations could possibly change after the pandemic is over. Last, we did not collect the COVID-19 related medical status of participants, isolation, or other variables related to the pandemic that could have had an impact on mental health and subjective psychological wellbeing.

It is known that women consistently report poorer wellbeing compared with men (52), however, not a lot of research has been dedicated to learn about sex differences. Understanding the differences and their causes could give us a more comprehensive understanding of psychological wellbeing as well as provide help in creating policies and programs designed to strengthen the wellbeing and mental health of men and women. This study highlighted the differences between associations of wellbeing, depression, anxiety, and sleep difficulties in men and women, showing that there are significant differences between the sexes and how they express subjective psychological wellbeing. Future research should concentrate on replicating these results in a wider population sample.

In conclusion, we found that in women, subjective psychological wellbeing is associated with sleep problems, depression, and anxiety. In men, subjective psychological wellbeing is associated only with depression.

**DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

The data analyzed in this study is subject to the following licenses/restrictions: Institutional agreement. Requests to access these datasets should be directed to VS (vesta.steibliene@lsmuni.lt).

**REFERENCES**

1. Abreu M, Oner O, Brouwer A, van Leeuwen E. Well-being effects of self-employment: a spatial inquiry. *J Bus Ventur.* (2019) 34:589–607.
2. Herrin J, Witters D, Roy B, Riley C, Liu D, Krumholz HM. Population wellbeing and electoral shifts. *PLoS One.* (2018) 13:e0193401. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0193401
3. World Health Organization. *Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Pandemic.* Geneva: World Health Organization (2022).
4. Liu N, Zhang F, Wei C, Jia Y, Shang Z, Sun L, et al. Prevalence and predictors of PTSS during COVID-19 outbreak in China hardest-hit areas: gender differences matter. *Psychiatry Res.* (2020) 287:112921. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112921
5. Bojanowska A, Kaczmarek LD, Koscielnik M, Urbanska B. Changes in values and well-being amidst the COVID-19 pandemic in Poland. *PLoS One.* (2021) 16:e0255491. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0255491
6. Gecaite-Stonciene J, Saudargiene A, Pranckeviciene A, Liaugaudeite V, Griskova-Bulanova I, Sinkute D, et al. Impulsivity mediates associations between problematic internet use, anxiety, and depressive symptoms in students: a cross-sectional COVID-19 study. *Front Psychiatry.* (2021) 12:634464. doi: 10.3389/fspst.2021.634464
7. Shibata M, Burkauskas J, Dorez AR, Kobayashi K, Yoshimura S, Simonato P, et al. Exploring the relationship between mental well-being, exercise routines, and the intake of image and performance enhancing drugs during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic: a comparison across sport disciplines. *Front Psychol.* (2021) 12:689058. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.689058
8. Lades LK, Lafan K, Daly M, Delaney L. Daily emotional well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Br J Health Psychol.* (2020) 25:902–11. doi: 10.1111/ bhp.12450
9. Ahmed M, Ahmed O, Aibao Z, Hanbin S, Siyu L, Ahmad A. Epidemic of COVID-19 in China and associated psychological problems. *Asian J Psychiatry.* (2020) 51:102092. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102092

**ETHICS STATEMENT**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Bioethics committee of the LUHS (No. BEC-LSMU [R]-18). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

JB and VS designed the study. EM and LA collected and analyzed the data. AP performed statistical analyses. AS drafted the first manuscript. EM, JB, LA, AP, ZD, OK, and VS edited the manuscript. All authors contributed to the manuscript and approved the final version.

**FUNDING**

ZD’s contribution was supported by the Hungarian National Research, Development and Innovation Office (KKP126835; K128614). OK was supported by the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences and by the ÚNKP-21-5 New National Excellence Program of the Ministry for Innovation and Technology from the source of the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank the COST Action CA16207 “European Network for Problematic Usage of the Internet,” supported by COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology: www.cost.eu) for the inspiration to explore this topic.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.871137/full#supplementary-material
Stanyte et al. Wellbeing in Lithuanian Medical Students

University of Malta. Anat Sci Educ. (2020) 13:671–9. doi: 10.1002/ase.2020

Torun F, Torun SD. The psychological impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on medical students in Turkey. Pakistan J Medical Sci. (2020) 36:1355–9. doi: 10.12699/pjms.36.6.2395

Abdulhani HM, Sattar K, Ahmad T, Akram A. Association of COVID-19 pandemic with undergraduate medical students’ perceived stress and coping. Psychol Rel Behav Manag. (2020) 13:871–81. doi: 10.2147/PRBM.S276938

Lyons Z, Wilcox H, Leung L, Dearsley O. COVID-19 and the mental wellbeing of Australian medical students: impact, concerns and coping strategies used. Australas Psychiatry. (2020) 28:649–52. doi: 10.1177/1039856220974945

Saraswathi I, Saikarthik I, Senthil Kumar K, Madhan Srinivasan K, Ardhanaari M, Gunaipriya R. Impact of COVID-19 outbreak on the mental health status of undergraduate medical students in a COVID-19 treating medical college: a prospective longitudinal study. PeerJ. (2020) 8:e10164. doi: 10.7717/peerj.10166

Zis P, Artemiadias A, Bargiotas P, Nteveros A, Hadjigeorgiou GM. Medical Studies during the COVID-19 pandemic: the impact of digital learning on medical students’ burnout and mental health. Int J Environ Res Public Health. (2021) 18:3439. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18103439

Dimitriu MCT, Panete-Stoian A, Smaranda AC, Nica AA, Carac AC, Constantin VD, et al. Burnout syndrome in Romanian medical residents in time of the COVID-19 pandemic. Med Hypotheses. (2020) 144:109972. doi: 10.1016/j.mehy.2020.109972

TMs Collaborative. The perceived impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on medical student education and training – an international survey. BMC Med Educ. (2021) 21:566. doi: 10.1186/s12909-021-02983-3

Walters M, Phil M, Alonge T, Zeller M. Impact of COVID-19 on medical education: perspectives from students. Acad Med. (2022) 97:540–8. doi: 10.1097/ACM.00000000000014525

Bachir B, Naji A, Tafiyi A. The educational and psychological impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on medical students. Medicine. (2021) 100:e26646. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000000026646

Caleb H, Jasmine L, Helen X, Pooja Y, Ian N, Muhammad H, et al. The negative impact of COVID-19 on medical education among medical students interested in plastic surgery: a cross-sectional study. Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open. (2021) 9:e5355. doi: 10.1097/GOX.00000000000053535

Vahedian-Azimi A, Moayed MS, Rahimibashar F, Shojaei S, Ashtari S, Pourhoseingholi A. Comparison of the severity of psychological distress among four groups of an Iranian population regarding COVID-19 pandemic. BMC Psychiatry. (2020) 20:402. doi: 10.1186/s12888-020-02804-9

Milasauskiene E, Burkauskas J, Podlipskyte A, Kiraly O, Demetrovics Z, Ambrašas L, et al. Compulsive internet use scale: psychometric properties and associations with sleeping patterns, mental health, and well-being in Lithuanian medical students during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic. Front Psychol. (2021) 12:685137. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.685137

Topp CW, Ostergaard SD, Sondersgaard B, Bech P. The WHO-5 well-being index: a systematic review of the literature. Psychother Psychosom. (2015) 84:167–76. doi: 10.1159/000375685

World Health Organization. Wellbeing Measures in Primary Health Care/The Deparcare Project. Stockholm: Report on a WHO Meeting (1998).

Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF III, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ. The Pittsburgh sleep quality index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. Psychiatry Res. (1989) 28:193–213. doi: 10.1016/0165-1781(89)90047-4

Varoneckas G, Subjektvystis miegio įvertinimas pagal Pittsburgo miegio kokybės indeksą, (subjective evaluation of sleep according to the pittsburgh sleep quality index). Nervo Ir Psichikos Ligos. (2003) 4:31–3.

Kroenen K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. J Gen Intern Med. (2001) 16:606–13. doi: 10.1046/j.1525-1497.2001.160096606.x

Spitzer RL, Kroenen K, Williams JB, Lowe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. Arch Intern Med. (2006) 216:1092–7. doi: 10.1001/archinte.166.16.1092

Pranckeviciene A, Sauzdargiene A, Geciaite-Stonecici J, Liaugaude V, Griskova-Bulanova I, Simkute D, et al. Validation of the patient health questionnaire-9 and the generalized anxiety disorder-7 in Lithuanian student sample. PLoS One. (2022) 17:e0263027. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0263027
49. Agresti A. Logistic Regression. An Introduction to Categorical Data Analysis. 2nd ed. Hoboken: Wiley (2007). p. 99–136. doi: 10.1002/0470114754
50. Ediz B, Ozcakir A, Bilgel N. Depression and anxiety among medical students: examining scores of the beck depression and anxiety inventory and the depression anxiety and stress scale with student characteristics. Cogent Psychol. (2017) 4:1283829. doi: 10.1080/23311908.2017.1283829
51. Azad N, Shahid A, Abbas N, Shaheen A, Munir N. Frequency of anxiety and depression in medical students of a private medical college. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad. (2017) 29:123–7.
52. Alegria M, NeMoyer A, Falgas I, Wang Y, Alvarez K. Social determinants of mental health: where we are and where we need to go. Curr Psychiatry Rep. (2019) 20:95. doi: 10.1007/s11920-018-0969-9
53. Gezici A, Ozay O. How Race and Gender Shape COVID-19 Unemployment Probability. Amsterdam: Elsevier BV (2020). doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3675022
54. Petts RJ, Carlson DL, Pepin JR. A gendered pandemic: childcare, homeschooling, and parents’ employment during COVID-19. Gend Work Organ. (2021) 28:515–34. doi: 10.1111/gwao.12614
55. Riecher-Rössler A. Sex and gender differences in mental disorders. Lancet Psychiatry. (2017) 4:8–9. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(16)30348-0
56. Kuehner C. Why is depression more common among women than among men? Lancet Psychiatry. (2016) 4:146–58. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(16)30263-2
57. Li SH, Graham BM. Why are women so vulnerable to anxiety, trauma-related and stress-related disorders? The potential role of sex hormones. Lancet Psychiatry. (2016) 4:73–82. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(16)30358-3
58. Li N, Fan L, Wang Y, Wang J, Huang Y. Risk factors of psychological distress during the COVID-19 pandemic: the roles of coping style and emotional regulation. J Affect Disord. (2022) 299:326–34. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2021.12.026
59. Assari S, Lankarani MM. Stressful life events and risk of depression 25 years later: race and gender differences. Front Public Health. (2016) 4:49. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2016.00049

Conflict of Interest: In the past several years JB has been serving as a consultant to Cogstate, Ltd. VS reported being a consultant to SignantHealth; received personal fees from Lundbeck, Sanofi, Servier, Johnson and Johnson, KRKA, grants from the Research Council of Lithuania.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher’s Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Stanyte, Podlipskyte, Milauskuiene, Kiraly, Demetrovics, Ambrasas, Burkauskas and Steibliene. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.