Evolution of Evaporation Paradox at Tharandt
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Graphical Abstract

In the past decades evaporation of water has been generally decreasing in most parts of the world with increasing air temperature, which is called the 'evaporation paradox'. At Tharandt, Germany from 2004 to 2013 on annual basis the so-called 'evaporation paradox' had not existed because air temperature had not shown increasing trend. However, when we exclude Class A pan evaporation (E_p) in to consideration, indeed, it had existed on the summer half-year (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Summer half-year evaporation paradox at Tharandt.

Highlights

a) Consideration of the warmer times of a year in a cold climate site.

b) Use of methods very suitable for a very humid climate.

c) Consideration of both measured and estimated evaporation schemes.

Abstract

In the past decades pan water evaporation has been generally decreasing in most parts of the world with increasing air temperature; which is called the ‘evaporation paradox’. In this article, evaporation paradox was checked at Tharandt, Germany for the years from 2004 to 2013. The annual as well as summer half-year trends of air temperature as well as Class A pan evaporation (E_p), potential evapotranspiration (PET), and reference evapotranspiration (ET_o) was analyzed using linear regression model. Generally, the so-called ‘evaporation paradox’ had not existed at Tharandt on annual basis. Because although PETs and ET_o had shown annual as well as summer half-year decreasing trends, air temperature had not shown increasing annual trends. However, when we had not considered E_p, indeed, it had existed on the summer half-year based on PETs and ET_o estimates. Decreasing trend of shortwave or solar radiation and increasing trend of relative air humidity might have caused the decreasing trends of PETs and ET_o. The summer half-year E_p had shown nearly constant trend over the ten years; however, the cause for the nearly constant trend of summer half-year E_p was not understood. Also, when 2013 was excluded, the annual as well as the summer half-year trends of PETs remained decreasing; however, the summer half-year trend of E_p and ET_o showed changes. Moreover, in all cases, the trends were not statistically significant. Thus, if data is available, using more than ten years of data is needed to evaluate the exact trends of ET_o and E_p.
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Introduction

A literature review of different articles [1-5] for the past decades had shown that pan water evaporation has been generally decreasing in most parts of the world instead of increase with increased air temperature, which is called the ‘evaporation paradox’ or ‘evaporation fallacy’ [6]. Roderick et al. [1] have made comprehensive study on the trend of pan evaporation conducted by large numbers of international studies in many parts of the world such as USA, Former Soviet Union, India, China, Australia, Thailand, New Zealand, Tibetan Plateau, Israel, Turkey, Canada, Kuwait, Ireland, and UK for different periods (ranging from 1948 to 2005) and for different sites (ranging from 1 site to 746 sites).

According to Roderick et al. [1] many countries maintained standardized networks of evaporimeters where the long-term data can be used to determine trends in evaporative demand. However, there may be limitations such as changes in observation practice, instrument location, the environment surrounding the pan (e.g., buildings or trees obstructing the airflow), etc. With those caveats in mind, when averaged over a large number of pans, pan evaporation has indicated widespread declines over 30 to 50 years [1]. According to Roderick et al. [1], an overall trendof -2 mm a⁻¹ was reasonably typical (Roderick et al. [1] (Table 1)).

Table 1: Average air Temperature (in °C) at Tharandt, Germany.

| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | SHY | Annual |
|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------|
| 2004 | -2.3| 1.9 | 3.7 | 9.1 | 11.1| 15.3| 17.2| 18.4| 13.5| 9.9 | 4.0 | 0.9 | 14.1 | 8.6   |
| 2005 | 1.5 | -2.1| 2.6 | 9.2 | 12.9| 15.5| 18.3| 15.9| 14.9| 10.8| 3.6 | 0.2 | 14.5 | 8.6   |
| 2006 | -3.8| -1.6| 1.1 | 8.4 | 12.9| 16.4| 21.6| 15.8| 16.6| 11.5| 6.4 | 3.8 | 15.3 | 9.1   |
| 2007 | 4   | 3.8 | 6.1 | 10.3| 14.5| 18  | 18.1| 17.2| 12.4| 8   | 2.9 | 1.1 | 15.1 | 9.7   |
| 2008 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 7.6 | 14  | 17.1| 18.4| 17.7| 12.7| 9.4 | 4.8 | 1   | 14.6 | 9.5   |
| 2009 | -4  | 0.4 | 4.5 | 12.1| 13.2| 14.7| 18.5| 18.4| 14.8| 7.5 | 6.5 | -0.4 | 15.3 | 8.9   |
| 2010 | -5  | -1.1| 3.7 | 8   | 11.1| 16  | 19.8| 17.4| 12  | 7.3 | 4.7 | -5.2 | 14.1 | 7.4   |
| 2011 | -0.2| 1   | 4.7 | 11.3| 13.7| 17.4| 16.5| 18.4| 15.6| 9.8 | 4.1 | 3.2 | 15.5 | 9.5   |
| 2012 | 1.6 | -3.7| 6.7 | 8.8 | 14.5| 16.1| 18.6| 18.7| 14.2| 8.2 | 4.5 | 0   | 15.2 | 9     |
| 2013 | -0.9| -0.9| 8.6 | 12.6| 15.9| 19.4| 18.1| 12.9| 10.6| 4.2 | 2.9 | 14.6 | 8.5   |
| Avg  | -0.6| 0   | 3.6 | 9.3 | 13.1| 16.2| 18.6| 17.6| 14  | 9.3 | 4.6 | 0.7 | 14.8 | 8.9   |

On the other hand, pan evaporation in the semiarid Loess Plateau in China showed a unique and significant upward trend, with a normalized value from approximately -1 to 1 [2]. Zhang et al. [2] have also shown that although they have different time-length series, pan evaporations have indicated widespread declines over 30 to 50 years [1]. According to Roderick et al. [1], an overall trend of -2mm a⁻¹ was reasonably typical (Roderick et al. [1] (Table 1)).

Data and Methodology

The study area was Tharandt, Germany (altitude: 220 ma.s.l., latitude: 50°58'42.06” N, longitude: 13°34'52.69” E). Ten years (01.01.2004 to 31.12.2013) daily and ten-minutes climate data of Tharandt meteorological station was the basis of the data set. See Figure 2 for the 2004-2013 average values of part of the data set.

The pan used for measurement of pan evaporation was the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) standard Class A pan evaporimeter. Mainly the following data were used:

- Air temperature in °C at 2p.m.,
- Relative humidity (RH) in % at 2p.m.,
- Wind speed in m s⁻¹ at 3 m above ground (uₚ),
- Precipitation in mm (P) (measured at 7a.m.),
- Precipitation in mm (RF) (automatic measurement at midnight),
- Global or shortwave radiation in Wm⁻² at 3m above ground (Rₜ); in Figure 3, half of the shortwave radiation is shown,
- Water table height in mm (automatic measurement at every 10-minutes interval using pressure sensor instrument),
- Maximum air temperature in °C (T_max),
- Minimum air temperature in °C (T_min),
j) Maximum relative air humidity in % at 2m above ground (RH$_{max}$).

k) Minimum relative air humidity in % at 2m above ground (RH$_{min}$).

l) Water level height values in mm (7a.m. to 7a.m. of the next day).

m) Air temperature in °C (T); $T = (T_{max} + T_{min})/2$.

n) Relative air humidity in % (RH); $RH = (RH_{max} + RH_{min})/2$.

o) Wind speed in m s$^{-1}$ at 2m above ground ($u_2$); $u_2$ was calculated from $u_3$ using the equation of Allen et al [7].

Figure 2: Some of the meteorological parameters used for the study at Tharandt (2004-2013).

Figure 3: Annual and summer half-year air temperature trend.

Potential evaporation (PET) was estimated using three methods:

a. Haude (2005) [8],

b. Wendling (1991) [9], and

c. Penman (1963) [10].
Whereas, reference evapotranspiration ($ET_o$) was estimated according to Allen et al. [7]. Class A pan evaporation ($E_p$) was calculated for the summer half-year as described in Antensay et al. [11]. Then, trends of evaporation schemes were analyzed using linear regression model and evaluated using error evaluation statistics such as $R^2$ and RMSE [12]. Finally, a significant test was performed using p-value at 95% confidence interval.

**Result and Discussion**

**Evaluation of annual evaporation paradox**

For evaporation paradox to exist, the temperature should show an increasing trend. However, the annual air temperature showed slightly decreasing trend; decreased about 0.25 °C over the ten years (see Figure 3 'A'). Therefore, from 2004 to 2013 evaporation paradox did not exist at Tharandt on annual basis despite of the fact that PETs and $ET_o$ had shown an annual decreasing trends (not shown).

On the other hand, the summer half-year (SHY) air temperature showed increasing trend; showed an increase of about 0.5 °C over the ten years (see Figure 3 ‘B’). Therefore, from 2004 to 2013 evaporation paradox could exist at Tharandt on the SHY basis if PETs, $ET_o$ and $E_p$ had showed a SHY decreasing trends.

**Evaluation of summer half-year evaporation paradox**

The summer half-year (SHY) total PET according to Haude, Wendling, and Penman showed decreasing trends; decreased by about 45, 30, and 33 millimeters over the ten years, respectively (see Figure 4). Similarly, the SHY total $ET_o$ showed decreasing trend; decreased by about 14mm over the ten years (see Figure 5 ‘A’). However, the SHY total $E_p$ showed approximately constant trend (see Figure 5 ‘B’).

![Figure 4: Summer half-year potential evapotranspiration trends.](image)

![Figure 5: Summer half-year reference evapotranspiration and Class A pan evaporation trends from 2004 to 2013 (figures ‘A’ & ‘B’) and from 2004 to 2012 (figures ‘C’ & ‘D’).](image)
When only year 2013 was excluded, the SHY PETs showed decreasing trends again (not shown). However, the SHY total ET$_s$ showed negligibly very weak increasing trend; increased by about 2 mm over the ten years (see Figure 5 ‘C’). Whereas, the SHY total E$_p$ showed increasing trend; increased by about 20 mm over the ten years (see Figure 5 ‘D’).

At Tharandt from 2004 to 2013, the annual trend of shortwave or solar radiation (R$_s$) had shown a decreasing trend; note that evaporation or evapotranspiration at Tharandt was mainly driven by R$_s$. Similarly, the annual trend of relative air humidity (RH) and wind speed at 2m (u$_2$) had shown increasing and decreasing trends, respectively; note the inverse relationship between evaporation and RH; note that the effect of u$_2$ in deriving evaporation or evapotranspiration at Tharandt was negligibly too low. The summer half-year trend of R$_s$, RH and u$_2$ was the same as the annual trend except that the summer half-year air temperature had shown an increasing trend. These could be the possible causes for the decreasing annual as well as summer half-year trends of ET$_s$ and PETs.

However, the cause for the nearly constant or very slightly increasing trend of summer half-year E$_p$ was not understood. Note however that E$_p$ was mainly driven by solar radiation and vapor pressure deficit (T and RH). Note also that the correlation between E$_p$ (n = 1709) as well as R$_s$ (n = 1830) with air temperature was low ($R^2$ ≤ 0.34).

Last but not least, in all cases, $R^2$, RMSE, and p-values of the linear regression model revealed that the trends of E$_p$, PETs, and ET$_s$ had statistically insignificant and very low correlation with time (see figure 3-5) [13-16].

Conclusion

Although potential evapotranspiration according to Haude, Wendling, and Penman (PETs) and reference evapotranspiration (ET$_r$) had shown annual as well as summer half-year decreasing trends, the so-called ‘evaporation paradox’ had not existed on annual basis because air temperature had not shown increasing annual trend at Tharandt. Class A pan evaporation (E$_p$) had shown nearly constant trend for summer half-year. When we had not considered E$_p$, indeed, evaporation paradox had existed at Tharandt on the summer half-year based on PETs and ET$_s$ estimates.

When 2013 was excluded, the annual trend of PETs for the remaining nine years remained decreasing; however, the summer half-year trends of ET$_s$ and E$_p$ were changed. Thus, it can be concluded that ten years are not enough to evaluate the summer half-year evaporation paradox on the basis of Class A pan evaporation at Tharandt. Particularly, trend determination of ET$_s$ and E$_p$ needs to be performed over longer time span of more than ten years, if data is available; preferably over 30 years and above as stated by the World Meteorological Organization.

The causes for the nearly ‘no trend’ of summer half-year E$_p$ need further investigations for better explanation because except the trend of air temperature, the trends of shortwave or solar radiation (R$_s$), relative air humidity (RH), and wind speed at 2m (u$_2$) were in favor of a decreasing trend of E$_p$.
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