Cerebellar transcranial magnetic stimulation facilitates excitability of spinal reflex, but does not affect cerebellar inhibition and facilitation in spinocerebellar ataxia
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Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) over the cerebellum facilitates the spinal reflex in healthy humans. The aim of this study was to investigate whether such cerebellar spinal facilitation (CSpF) appears in patients with spinocerebellar ataxia (SCA) presenting with atrophy in the cerebellar gray matter and dentate nucleus. One patient with SCA type 6 and another with SCA type 31 participated in this study. TMS over the right primary motor cortex was used to induce motor-evoked potentials in the right first dorsal interosseous muscle, which were detected using electromyography. Conditioning TMS using interstimulus intervals of 1–8 ms was performed over the right cerebellum as a test to measure cerebellar brain inhibition (CBI). To assess the H-reflex and the M-wave recruitment curve of the right soleus muscle, we performed electrical stimulation of the right tibial nerve. The stimulation intensity was set to that at the center of the H-reflex curve of the ascending limb. To measure CSpF, we delivered TMS over the right cerebellum 100, 110, 120, and 130 ms before the right tibial nerve stimulation. Voxel-based morphometry was used to verify the presence of atrophy in the cerebellar gray matter and dentate nucleus. CBI was absent in both cases. However, a significant facilitation of the H-reflex occurred with an interstimulus interval of 120 ms in both cases. These findings indicate that the pathways associated with the induction of CSpF and CBI are different, and that the cerebellar gray matter and dentate nucleus are not needed for the induction of CSpF. The possible origin of CSpF may be examined by stimulation of other cerebellar deep nuclei or the brainstem. NeuroReport 29:808–813 Copyright © 2018 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.
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To achieve this goal, we selected patients diagnosed with SCA 6 or 31 in whom we confirmed the presence of cerebellar gray matter atrophy using MRI [10]. Recently, a voxel-based lesion-symptom mapping study using MRI showed that voxel-based morphometry (VBM) allows for an unbiased in-vivo whole-brain quantitative analysis of differences in the volumes of gray and white matter and of cerebrospinal fluid [11]. Limb ataxia has been shown to significantly correlate with lesions in the interposed nucleus and part of the dentate nucleus, whereas ataxic posture and gait have been shown to be correlated with lesions in the fastigial and interposed nuclei [12]. We used VBM to examine the atrophy in the cerebellar gray matter and dentate nuclei.

The cortical silent period (cSP) in electromyograms (EMGs) of hand muscles appears after the induction of MEP by TMS over the M1 during small voluntary muscle contraction [13]. This cSP, which reflects the excitability of the γ-aminobutyric acid-mediated inhibitory neural circuit in M1 [14], was found to be expanded in patients with cerebellar ataxia [15], suggesting an impairment in this circuit. To confirm that this is the case, we measured cSP in our patients.

**Participants and methods**

**Participants**

Two individuals with SCA type 6 and 31, respectively, participated in this study. Eighty-five healthy adults (mean age: 23.7 ± 3.7 years) with no history of epilepsy were also enrolled to obtain control data for CSpF. The experimental protocol was explained to the participants, who provided written informed consent to participate in the experiments. The Ethics Committee of Mihara Memorial Hospital approved the experimental procedures (approval number: 079-03) and the study was carried out according to the principles and guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Case 1 was a 79-year-old male patient. He had been diagnosed with SCA 6 on the basis of genetic testing 22 years ago. Case 2 was a 57-year-old female patient diagnosed with SCA 31 six years ago. Both patients had undergone physical therapy following their diagnoses. The Scale for the Assessment and Rating of Ataxia [16] score was 23 in case 1 and 10 in case 2. Gait without support was not possible in daily living in case 1, but was possible in case 2. Muscle weakness and sensory disturbance were not observed in either case. Brain MRI indicated atrophy of the anterior lobe of the cerebellum in both cases (Fig. 1).

**Image processing**

We used Discovery MR750w on a 3.0 T system (GE Healthcare, Waukesha, Wisconsin, USA) for MRI acquisition. Axial three-dimensional T1-weighted turbo gradient echo images (sequence: three-dimensional spoiled gradient-recalled, slice resolution: 100%, frequency encoding: 256, matrix size: 256 × 256, slice thickness: 1 mm, flip angle: 14°, and field of view: 25.6) were obtained.

VBM was performed to identify differences in the regional volume between the two patients with SCA. Structural brain data were obtained from Information eXtraction from Images (IXI), which is a database including a large set of MRI data (N = 546; 241 men, 304 women, and one unknown; age: 20-86 years). This database has been used previously in a study using the open-access software BAAD (Brain Anatomical Analysis using diffeomorphic deformation, version 4.1; Shiga University of Medical Science, Otsu, Japan) for MRI processing [17]. The T1-weighted image data from the two patients were converted into Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine files and segmentation analysis was carried out to separate gray and white matter data. Regions of interest (ROIs) were set according to the Automated Anatomical Labeling, Brodmann, and Laboratory of Neuro Imaging Probabilistic Brain Atlas atlasses on the basis of the Montreal Neurological Institute coordinate system. The z-score for regional volume, which indicates the number of SDs from the mean, was calculated on the basis of age-matched standard brain templates in the IXI database. For example, a z-score of 2 refers to the mean volume of an ROI of the standard brain plus 2 SD.

**Cerebellar brain inhibition**

The participants were seated in a chair, and their heads, trunks, and forearms were fixed. Motor cortex TMS was delivered using a figure-of-eight coil connected to a magnetic stimulator (The Magstim Co. Ltd, Whitland, UK). The maximum magnetic flux density of this coil was 1.2 T. Cerebellar TMS was delivered using a double-cone coil (YM-133B; Nihon Kohden, Tokyo, Japan) connected to a magnetic stimulator (SMN-1200; Nihon Kohden). The maximum magnetic flux density of this double-cone coil was 0.96 T. The figure-of-eight coil was then positioned at the hotspot for MEPs on the right first dorsal interosseous (FDI) muscle and the current in the coil was directed in the anterior to posterior direction. The center of the junction of the double-cone coil was placed 1 cm below and 3 cm to the right of the inion to stimulate the right cerebellum [4,18] and the current in the coil was directed downward. This induced an upwardly traveling current in the brain.

To record EMG signals, two surface-recording electrodes were placed 2 cm apart on the right FDI. The signals were amplified through an EMG amplifier (house-made) with a pass-band filter of 0.3 Hz to 1 kHz and converted into digital signals at a sampling rate of 1 kHz using an analog-to-digital converter (USB-6008; National Instruments Co. Ltd, Austin, Texas, USA).

To calculate CBI, unconditioned and conditioned MEPs induced by cerebellar TMS were measured. In conditioning trials, cerebellar TMS was delivered before the test TMS.
over the contralateral M1. Interstimulus intervals (ISIs) of 1–8 ms were used [19]. The intensity of the conditioning cerebellar TMS was set to 90% of the maximum output of the magnetic stimulator [18,20]. To obtain a test MEP size of ~1 mV [2], the intensity of the stimulator was adjusted before the examination and applied during the examination. Ten trials were conducted for each ISI. The intertest intervals were 5 s.

**Cortical silent period**
To measure cSP duration, TMS was delivered to the right FDI muscle during slight muscle contraction with the same intensity as that used for the CBI measurements. Ten trials were conducted in the same position as that used for the CBI measurements.

**Cerebellar spinal facilitation**
The participants with SCA were seated in a chair in a position similar to that used during the CBI measurements. Both ankles of the participants were fixed using a brace to prevent changes in ankle angle [5,6]. Test posterior tibial nerve electrical stimulation was delivered using an electrical isolator (MEB-2306; Nihon Kohden) over the right popliteal fossa. To record EMG signals, two Ag/AgCl surface-recording electrodes were placed 2 cm apart on the right soleus muscle. The EMG signals were amplified and stored in a measuring device (MEB-2306; Nihon Kohden). The recruitment curve of the H-reflex and M-wave amplitude were calculated before the examination. A stimulation intensity sufficient to induce an amplitude approximately at the center of the H-reflex curve of the ascending limb was used for the test H-reflex [21]. The test H-reflex was calculated on the basis of the stimulation intensity.

Conditioning cerebellar TMS was performed as described for the CBI measurements [5,18,20]. Tibial nerve electrical stimulation evoking the H-reflex from the right
soleus muscle was delivered 100, 110, 120, and 130 ms after the conditioning cerebellar TMS [5]. Background EMG activity was monitored during the measurement and the absence of activity in the tested muscle was confirmed. The test was repeated nine times for each ISI. The test intervals were longer than 7 s.

Data from the healthy participants were acquired in exactly the same manner as that used in a previous study of CSpF [5]. The healthy participants were placed in the prone position, whereas the patients with SCA were seated on a reclining seat as they could not maintain the prone position for long periods. The ISI for the healthy participants was 110 ms, which is known to produce the greatest facilitation [5].

**Analysis**

CBI was calculated as the ratio of the amplitude of the conditioned MEP to that of the unconditioned MEP [3,4]. CSpF was calculated by dividing the amplitude of the conditioned H-reflex by that of the unconditioned H-reflex [5,6]. We used the one-sample t-test to measure differences in CBI or CSpF for each ISI. We used a significance level (α value) of 0.05 and Bonferroni’s correction. We used one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) with post-hoc Bonferroni’s correction for multiple comparisons to evaluate differences in the means for all ISIs (α = 0.05).

**Results**

None of the participants showed any side effects during any of the examinations. Figure 1 shows the z-score maps obtained on the basis of the VBM analysis for cases 1 and 2, indicating significant atrophy in all cerebellar areas. In case 1, the z-scores for the total volumes of the cerebellum, brainstem, and right and left dentate nuclei were 4.4, 0.8, 4.3, and 3.1, respectively. In case 2, the z-scores were 3.6, 0.6, 3.2, and 3, respectively. In case 1, the z-scores of the total volumes of the precentral gyrus, supplementary motor area, putamen, thalamus, and red nucleus (right/left) were −1.2/−1.2, −0.5/−0.1, −0.8/−0.7, −0.6/−0.3, and −0.7/−1.4, respectively. The z-scores for the same areas in case 2 were −0.8/0.3, 0.8/0.8, −1.8/−1.1, −0.2/−0.4, and −1.4/−2.1, respectively.

Figures 2a and b show CBI in cases 1 and 2. A one-sample t-test showed no significant differences for any of the ISIs in either case (P > 0.05). A one-way ANOVA did not show significant differences for any of the ISIs in case 1 [F(7, 79) = 0.23, P = 0.98] or case 2 [F(7, 79) = 0.2, P = 0.98]. Figure 2c and d show the recruitment curves of the H-reflex and M-wave amplitudes for both patients. The H-reflex recruitment curve for the ascending limb was centered at 10 mV in both cases. This intensity was used for our experiments. Figure 2e and f show CSpF in both cases. A one-sample t-test showed significant facilitation, with ISIs of 100, 110, 120, and 130 ms for case 1, and with an ISI of 120 ms in case 2. A one-way ANOVA showed a significant difference between ISIs, both in case 1 [F(3, 35) = 3.1, P = 0.04] and in case 2 [F(3, 35) = 3.9, P = 0.018]. The post-hoc Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test indicated that CSpF with an ISI of 120 ms was significantly higher than that with an ISI of 100 ms in case 1 (P = 0.04) and that with an ISI of 110 ms in case 2 (P = 0.03). The CSpF means for an ISI of 120 ms were 1.7 ± 0.6 and 2.3 ± 0.5 for cases 1 and 2, respectively. The mean CSpF in the healthy participants was 1.7 ± 0.5. The mean CSP durations in cases 1 and 2 were 217.6 ± 12 and 103 ± 13, respectively.

**Discussion**

The aim of this study was to determine whether CSpF is present in patients with atrophy of the cerebellar gray matter and dentate nucleus in whom CBI is absent. VBM analysis showed that both patients presented with extended atrophy in the cerebellar gray matter and dentate nucleus, which are regions needed for the induction of CBI. CBI was indeed absent in these patients, but CSpF was observed in both cases at the same level as that observed in healthy participants. These findings indicate that the pathway underlying CSpF is different from that underlying CBI.

The MEP induced by TMS over the M1 is inhibited by contralateral conditioning cerebellar TMS applied 5–7 ms before the TMS over the M1 [1,2]. No such CBI was observed in patients with neocerebellar atrophy, such as SCA, dentatorubral-pallidoluysian atrophy [3], or infarction of the cerebellar outflow to the thalamus [22]. These findings indicate that the traveling time, number of synapses, and action potentials induced by conditioning TMS in Purkinje fibers within the cerebellar gray matter are considered the origin of CBI [19] and that the cerebellar gray matter, dentate nucleus, and thalamus are needed for CBI induction [3,19]. However, in our study, VBM analysis indicated that the sites of atrophy were the cerebellar gray matter and the dentate nucleus, but not the thalamus. Therefore, the absence of CBI can be explained by the atrophy of the cerebellar gray matter, which is in agreement with the findings of previous studies [3]. In other words, CBI is caused by TMS-induced action potentials in cerebellar Purkinje fibers, whereas this cannot be the case for CSpF.

The conditioning stimulation on the cerebellar hemisphere not only inhibits the test MEP induced by TMS over the contralateral M1, but may also facilitate the MEP when an ISI of 3 ms is used [19]. This facilitation is believed to occur because of stimulation of output fibers from the dentate nucleus [3,19]. In our study, the facilitation induced by cerebellar TMS with an ISI of 3 ms was absent in both patients. Therefore, the dentate nucleus may not be needed for the induction of CSpF.

In addition to the dentate nucleus, cerebellar deep nuclei including the fastigial and interposed nuclei have projections.
to the spinal motoneuron pool through the vestibulospinal or reticulospinal tracts [23,24]. Furthermore, the vestibulospinal and reticulospinal tracts may contribute toward the induction of CSpF [6]. Therefore, it is possible that the CSpF is because of stimulation of the fastigial or interposed nuclei in the cerebellum. However, in this study, it was not clear whether these nuclei were intact or atrophied. This is because the above nuclei were not available for VBM analysis in the BAAD software. We also could not create ROIs at the fastigial and interposed nuclei. Therefore, further studies are needed to investigate whether these nuclei are associated with CSpF.

The CSpF may alternatively be because of the direct stimulation of the corticospinal tract or the extrapyramidal tract in the brainstem as cerebellar TMS using a double-cone coil has been shown to stimulate the brainstem [25]. In this study, VBM analysis indicated no atrophy in the brainstem in either of the patients. Therefore, it is possible that the CSpF was because of stimulation of the brainstem. However, the cervicomedullary MEP with short latency [25] was not found in not only healthy participants but also both SCA patients in EMG of the soleus muscle. This indicates that although the corticospinal tract in the brainstem was not adequately stimulated to induce action potentials following cerebellar TMS, CSpF could still be induced. Nevertheless, we cannot reject the possibility that the CSpF was because of the stimulation of extrapyramidal tracts such as the vestibulospinal, rubrospinal,
or reticulospinal tracts in the brainstem. This is because there is currently no non-invasive method for the detection of the potential induced by TMS in the extrapyramidal tract in the brainstem. Therefore, further studies are needed to probe whether CSPF is induced in patients with atrophy or damage only in the brainstem.

The durations of CSP in cases 1 and 2 were ~200 and 100 ms, respectively. A previous study reported that the duration of CSP in a patient with cerebellar ataxia was about 200 ms, whereas it was ~100 ms in healthy adults [15]. CSP duration reflects the excitability of the inhibitory neural circuit in M1, which is mediated by γ-aminobutyric acid [14]. These findings indicate that this circuit might be implicated in patients with spinocerebellar degeneration or multiple system atrophy. Cerebellum Ataxias 2016; 3:14.
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