Epidemiology, Genomic Structure, the Molecular Mechanism of Injury, Diagnosis and Clinical Manifestations of Coronavirus Infection: An Overview

Abstract
COVID-19 is caused by a novel beta coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) strain that was first discovered in 2019 in the Wuhan city of China. Based on virus genome sequencing studies, the bat is suspected as the natural host of virus, and infection might be transmitted from bats via unknown intermediate hosts like reptiles and snakes etc., to infect humans. COVID-19 is transmitted from person to person contact, primarily via droplet infection within the incubation period or after clinical manifestations of fever, cough, sneezing, sputum, dyspnea, and pneumonia and through contaminated fomites. COVID-19 enters the respiratory tract through the ACE2 receptor on alveoli through binding of s-protein of the virus and causes injuries though the cytopathic effect, as well as cytokines and other mediators, released after developing sepsis. ACE 2 is almost 100-fold higher in kidneys than lung, and the virus can also involve the kidney in the same manner. Kidney involvement manifests in the form of proteinuria, hematuria, and an acute rise in serum creatinine. Kidney involvement is an independent risk factor for mortality. Diagnosis is primarily made by detecting viral RNA by reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (rtPCR) in nasopharyngeal swab samples. Role of antibodies, both IgM and IgG are still evolving and at best restricted for epidemiological purpose. Though a large number of treatments, including hydroxychloroquine, anti-viral, convalescent plasma etc., are being tried, as of now treatment is symptomatic only.
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Introduction
Coronaviruses (CoV) are amongst the newly emerging zoonotic virus which is transmitted between animals and human beings.[1] In the past, it has caused illness ranging from the common cold to more severe diseases such as Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS-CoV) and Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS-CoV).[2] The SARS-CoV was transmitted from civet cats to humans and MERS from dromedary camels to humans. Many other coronaviruses are still circulating in animals that are not found in humans to date.[3-5]

Corona Virus Disease-19 (COVID-19) is a novel CoV induced disease that was first discovered in December 2019, which was not previously reported in humans.[6] This CoV was renamed several times after discovery, first of all, as a newly identified β-coronavirus in Wuhan. On 12th January 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) renamed it as the 2019-novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV), and subsequently on 11th February 2020, the Coronavirus Study Group of the International Committee on Taxonomy of viruses of WHO proposed the name SARS-CoV-2 for this virus, and the disease caused by the virus was called COVID-19.[7,8]

Global Situations of COVID-19
At the end of 2019, SARS-CoV-2 caused a cluster of pneumonia cases in Wuhan, a Chinese city, in the Hubei province of China. On 30th January, the outbreak was declared as Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC) and later a declared global pandemic by WHO.[10] The disease gradually gripped the entire world. The epicenter of pandemic later changed from Wuhan city in China to Europe and the USA [11,12]
As on 21st March 2020, WHO reports, there were 266,073 confirmed cases, and 11,184 confirmed deaths in 183 countries. On 22nd April, WHO reported region-wise situation of COVID cases and death, globally 2,471,136 confirmed and 169,006 deaths. And region wise; the European Region 1219,486 cases and 109,952 deaths; Americas 925,291 cases and 44,775 deaths; the Eastern Mediterranean Region 139,349 cases and 6326 deaths; Western Pacific Region 136,271 cases and 5793 deaths; the South-East Asia Region 33,912 cases and 1427 deaths; and African Region 16,115 cases and 720 deaths. WHO reported a very high level of risk assessment. The global map released by CDC as per the notification by WHO indicates that the entire world is affected by this COVID pandemic.\[14\]

**India-specific situation**

India noticed the first case of the COVID pandemic on 30th January 2020. On March 23, the Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) data revealed a result of a total of 18,383 samples tested from 17,493 individuals; 415 were positive, and there were 7 deaths due to COVID-19.\[15\] The Center for Disease Dynamics, Economics and Policy (CDDEP), applying mathematical models used in the USA, pointed out that possibly 300 million (30 crore) cases will occur in India, out of them 10 crores will face severe COVID infection.\[16\] Looking at the incidence of 5.1% of AKI in severe cases,\[17\] there would be 5.1 million AKI patients because of SARS-CoV-2, and presumably many of them may require renal replacement therapy (RRT). It is estimated that with community spread COVID-19 in India with limited resources and health infrastructure, it could be challenging to combat the situation of patients with multiorgan failure and kidney failure, if the disease spreads fast within 2-3 months.

**Lockdown effect in India**

To curb the transmission of the virus in the community, India observed a 14-hour voluntary public curfew on 22 March 2020, and subsequently, on 24th March, a nationwide lockdown for 21 days was implemented, affecting the entire 1.3 billion population of India. On 14th April, the lockdown was extended till 3 May\[18\] and further till 17th May, with the lifting of restriction as per the zones defined on number of new cases seen in the different areas.

The lockdown has reduced the rate of positivity from 16% on 14th April 2020 to 6% on 28th April 2020; and the death rate also declined from 9% to 6% during the same period. Till date, India successfully prevented widespread community spread. As of 28th April 2020, the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare has confirmed a total of 29,974 cases, 7,027 recoveries (including 1 migration), and 937 deaths in the country.\[19\] The COVID-19 affected almost all states of India, which has been highlighted in Figure 2. Experts suggest that the number of infections could be much higher as India’s testing rates are among the lowest in the world. The infection rate of COVID-19 in India is reported to be 1.7, significantly lower than in the worst affected countries.\[18\] Despite lower testing rates, the case fatality rate in India and other south Asian countries appears low because of several reasons, few being the early implementation of lockdown\[20,21\]; and the universal BCG vaccination in childhood, which induces non-specific protection mediated via the induction of innate immune memory.\[22\] The hot and humid environment may be another factor as high COVID pandemic related fatality observed in latitude band with average temperature below 17degree Celsius and a Chinese study showed both one unit increase of temperature and absolute humidity were associated with the decreased COVID-19 death.\[23\] The individual host immunity and virulence factor may also affect the fatality.\[24\] Possibly the lower virulence of the COVID strain and proportionately lower elderly population than the western world in India and other parts of South Asia may be a plausible explanation of low

**Figure 1:** Global map showing entire world is affected with COVID pandemic. (source https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/cases-updates/world-map.html)
Epidemiology

The impact of a pandemic depends on the number of infected persons, transmissibility, and the clinical severity of the infection. The world needs to expand public health activities, social and economic planning in reference to the SARS-CoV-2, and reduced the impact on public health, social and economic well-being of the people. Till March end, the epidemiology of COVID-19 was not very clear. Now, with increasing information on the epidemiology of COVID-19, the newly affected parts, including India, evolved with the concept of lockdown and reduced the transmission as an effective way to curb the transmission.

Mode of transmission

Epidemiological studies in Wuhan at the beginning of the outbreak identified an initial association with a seafood market that sold live animals for food purposes, where most of the early patients had worked or at least visited there. The market was traced as the source, and subsequently, the market was closed for disinfection. However, as the outbreak progressed, person-to-person spread became the primary mode of transmission.

The respiratory droplets released during cough, sneezing, talks, and mucus secretion are the dominant medium of transmission. The infection occurs if a person inhales such droplets or touches the contaminated surface with droplets and, subsequently, their own eyes, nose, and mouth. The droplets do not travel more than 6 feet and do not linger in the air also. The aerosol spread is uncommon; however, the aerosol-generating procedures like intubation, suction, nebulization, etc., may transmit the disease. However, a report revealed that SARS-CoV-2 might remain viable in aerosols under experimental conditions for at least three hours. The possibility of transmission is higher in the early phase as soon as symptoms appear as the viral RNA peaks during that period. However, it may transmit during the incubation period as well. The incubation period is typically within two weeks of exposure, with the majority occurring within 4-5 days of exposure.

According to a joint WHO-China report, the rate of secondary COVID-19 ranged from 1-5% among tens of thousands of close contacts of confirmed patients in China. In the United States, the symptomatic secondary attack rate was 0.45% among 445 close contacts of 10 established patients. SARS-CoV-2 has been detected in non-respiratory specimens, including stool, blood, and ocular secretions, but the role of these sites in the transmission is uncertain. Live viruses had also been cultured from stool; however, the fecal-oral transmission did not appear to be a significant factor in the spread of infection.

Period of infectivity

The period of infectivity is uncertain in COVID-19. The detection of viral RNA from the respiratory tract does not always indicate the presence of an infectious virus. The patient might be more infectious during the earlier stage of infections. The viral RNA from the upper respiratory tract specimen after the onset of symptoms is usually higher than the later phase of the disease. Additionally, in a study of nine patients with mild COVID-19, infectious virus was isolated from nasal/oropharyngeal and sputum specimens during the first week of illness, but not after this interval, despite continued viral RNA levels at these sites. A modeling study from China showed that infectiousness started 2.3 days before symptom onset, peaked 0.7 days before symptom onset and declined within seven days, particularly after isolation of the patients. However, an asymptomatic individuals may also transmit the disease during the incubation period. A Singapore study in an analysis of 157 locally acquired COVID-19 showed the transmission rate of 6.4% during the incubation period. The exposures occurred one to three days before symptoms in these patients. The exact estimation of asymptomatic infection will be possible with serologic testing, which is still in the phase of testing and validation.

The duration of viral shedding varies with degree of severity. With milder illness, 90% showed negative test by ten days, while severe illness shows a more prolonged period of positivity. However, another study has shown more prolonged shedding of the virus of a median duration.
of 24 days. However, detection of viral RNA in sample does not correlate with infectivity. In the study of nine patients with mild COVID-19, the infectious virus was not detected from respiratory specimens when the viral RNA level was <10⁶ copies/mL.[39]

Environmental contamination

Environmental contamination and fomite transmission from a contaminated surface to mucous membranes of the nose, eye, mouth etc., is possible in heavy viral contamination settings. A Singapore study showed the presence of viral RNA on handles, light switches, bed and handrails, interior doors and windows, toilet bowl and also the sink basin in the airborne infection isolation room of a patient with symptomatic mild COVID-19.[28] However, routine cleaning with sodium dichloroisocyanurate cleared the virus from these surfaces. SARS-CoV-2 may persist for differing time interval on different surfaces. The various disinfectants including ethanol at concentrations between 62 and 71% inactivate SARS-CoV-2 within one minute.[43] The duration of viral persistence on surfaces depends on type of surface, the ambient temperature, relative humidity, and the size of the initial inoculum.[44,45]

Risk of animal contact

Despite the thought of initial transmission from animals to human beings, there is no evidence to suggest domestic animals are a major source of infection in humans for SARS-CoV-2. There have been no reports of domesticated animals transmitting SARS-CoV-2 infection to humans. Experimental studies showed the virus replicated in cats after intranasal inoculation, but not in dogs.[46] CDC recommends that pets should be kept away from other animals or people outside of the household. People with confirmed or suspected COVID-19 try to avoid close contact with household pets, in similar fashion as with other human household members for the duration of their quarantine period.[47]

Protective Immunity and risk of reinfection

The definitive evidence of the development of protective antibody in infected COVID patients is still emerging.[18,39] The presence of neutralizing activity in convalescent plasma has been reported.[40] In a study on 23 recovered COVID-19 patients revealed, antibodies to the receptor-binding domain of the spike protein and the nucleocapsid protein appears by day 14 following onset of symptoms. The study also showed that antibody titer by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) correlated with neutralizing activity.[41] Having neutralising activities, these antibodies are likely to be protective. The US-FDA has granted emergency use authorization for tests that qualitatively identify antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 in serum or plasma.[49] The serologic screening will be an important tool to understand population immunity and distinguishing peoples at lower risk for reinfection.

Risk factors

Older age, diabetes mellitus, chronic lung disease, cardiovascular disease, obesity, immunocompromised states, chronic kidney disease and liver diseases are the risk factor for the COVID-19. All ages and both sexes are affected. Middle-aged adults and elderly above 60 years are most commonly affected with predominantly severe disease and increased mortality.[50-52] The US-CDC advocated that the people with the immunocompromised state, severe obesity, and liver disease are at a risk for severe illness.[53] Males had disproportionately high number of deaths in cohorts from China, Italy and the United States.[10,51,52] The pre-existing comorbidities are associated with a higher mortality.

Structure and viral genome of coronavirus in brief

The SARS-CoV-2 is a β-coronavirus, which is enveloped non-segmented positive-sense RNA virus of subfamily Orthocoronavirinae of the Coronaviridae family.[11,54] CoVs are divided into four genera called alpha (α), beta (β), gamma (γ) and delta (δ) CoV. α- and β-CoV can infect mammals, while γ- and δ-CoV tend to affect birds. Members of this large family of viruses can cause respiratory, enteric, hepatic, and neurological diseases in different animal species, including camels, cattle, cats, and bats.[54,55] Six CoVs have been discovered which can affect human, among which α-CoVs HCoV-229E and HCoV-NL63, and β-CoVs HCoV-HKU1 and HCoV-OC43 had low pathogenicity, and cause common cold like milder respiratory symptoms. The other two known β-CoVs, SARS-CoV, and MERS-CoV lead to severe and fatal respiratory tract infections.[2,54] SARS-CoV-2 is 29.9 kb.[55]

While SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV have positive-sense RNA genomes of 27.9 kb and 30.1 kb, respectively.[56] It has been shown that the genome of CoVs contains a variable number (6-11) of open reading frames (ORFs).[57]

Figure 3 depicts the structure of the CoVs. CoVs are round or elliptic and often pleomorphic form, with a diameter of approximately 60–140 nm. The single-stranded RNA genome contains 29891 nucleotides, encoding for 9860
amino acids. Two-thirds of viral RNA, mainly located in the first open reading frame (ORF 1a/b), encodes 16 non-structure proteins (NSPs). The rest part of the virus genome encodes four essential structural proteins, including spike (S) glycoprotein, small envelope (E) protein, matrix (M) protein, and nucleocapsid (N) protein, and also several accessory proteins that interfere with host immune response. The primary functions that direct coronavirus RNA synthesis and processing reside in nonstructural protein (nsp) 7 to 16, which are cleavage products of two large replicase polypeptides translated from the coronavirus genome.

The sequencing studies of Wu et al. revealed genomic and phylogenetic similarity of the SARS-CoV-2 with SARS-CoV, particularly in the S-protein gene and the receptor binding domain (RBD). This indicated the capability of direct human transmission like SARS-CoV. The whole-genome sequence studies showed that COVID-19 appears closer to the SARS-like bat CoVs as compared to the known SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV. Chan et al. have proven that the genome of the new HCoV, isolated from a cluster-patient with atypical pneumonia after visiting Wuhan, had 89% nucleotide identity with bat SARS-like-CoVZXC21 and 82% with that of human SARS-CoV. For this reason, the new virus was called SARS-CoV-2. The majority of genomically encoded proteins of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoVs were similar, except few differences in some amino acid substitutions in NSP2, NSP3, spike protein and receptor binding domains.

Another recent research suggested that the mutation in NSP2 and NSP3 play a role in infectious capability and differentiation mechanism of COVID-19. A study by Zhang et al. revealed that SARS-CoV-2 was mutating in different patients in China. Tang et al. conducted a population genetic analysis of 103 COVID-19 genomes and classified out two prevalent types of COVID, L type (approximately 70%) and S type (approximately 30%). The strains in L type, derived from S type, are evolutionarily more aggressive and contagious. There is a need to keep an eye over this novel CoVs for their virulence and epidemic spread over the globe, at present.

It was also found that the genome sequence of SARS-CoV-2 is 96.2% identical to a bat CoV RaTG13, whereas it shares 79.5% identity to SARS-CoV. Based on virus genome sequencing results and evolutionary analysis, the bat is suspected as the natural host of virus origin, and COVID-19 might be transmitted from bats via unknown intermediate hosts like pangolins, other reptiles, and snakes etc., to infect humans.

Overall molecular mechanism of injury by COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2)

S-protein of SARS-CoV-2 binds to host cell receptors, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), which is a critical step for virus entry into cell. ACE2 is cell receptor for COVID-19 and regulates the transmission across the species and between human beings as well. S-protein contain two subunits, S1 and S2. S1 determines the virus-host interaction and cellular tropism with the vital function domain-RBD, while S2 mediates virus-cell membrane fusion by two tandem domains, heptad repeats 1 (HR1) and HR2.

S-protein and ACE2 binding efficiency of COVID-19 is 10- to 20-fold higher than that of SARS-CoV. For SARS-CoV, the cleavage of trimer S protein is triggered by the cell surface-associated transmembrane protease serine 2 (TMPRSS2) and cathepsin, however, the possible molecules facilitated membrane invagination for SARS-CoV-2 endocytosis are still under investigations.

After membrane fusion, the viral genome RNA is released into the cytoplasm, and the uncoated RNA translates two polyproteins, pp1a and pp1ab, which encode non-structural proteins, and form replication-transcription complex (RTC) in double-membrane vesicle. Continuously RTC replicates and synthesizes a nested set of subgenomic RNAs, which encode accessory proteins and structural proteins. Mediating endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and Golgi bodies, newly formed genomic RNA, nucleocapsid proteins, and envelope glycoproteins assemble and form viral particle buds. Lastly, the virion-containing vesicles fuse with the plasma membrane to release the virus leading to viremia.

The S2 subunit of Covid-19 containing a fusion peptide, a transmembrane domain, and cytoplasmic domain is highly conserved, which could be a target for antiviral targeting against S-2 (anti-S2) compounds. The spike RBD presents only a 40% amino acid identity with other SARS-CoVs. The ORF3b has no homology with that of SARS-CoVs and a secreted protein (encoded by ORF8), which is structurally different from those of SARS-CoV, may be an area of interest and research in the future.

Mechanisms of kidney and other organ injuries

Host susceptibility, particularly elderly and peoples with underlying diseases, hypertension, cardiac diseases, bronchial asthma, diabetes, chronic kidney disease, etc., influence the risk of acquiring and progression of COVID-19. The mechanism of kidney injury by COVID-19 appears multifactorial and, although precisely, remains unknown. The direct viral cytopathic effect on kidney tissue is a postulated mechanism, which is supported by the finding of viral nucleic acid material of CoV in blood and urine in SARS-CoV as well as COVID-19 patients. The molecular study showed CoV uses ACE2 receptor for cell entry. ACE2 expression is 100-fold higher in kidney tissues than the lung. It makes sense to postulate that ACE2 dependent pathway may be used by CoV to infect kidneys more severely than the lung. However, clinical
observation is different from more lung involvement than the kidney.

The direct effector T cell-mediated injury and the immune complex-mediated glomerular injury with viral antigen and specific antibody could be another plausible mechanism. However, the present evidence of information with normal glomerular aspect on microscopy and absence of electron-dense deposit in SARS-CoV patients do not support this hypothesis.[79] Furthermore, there is report of collapsing glomerulonephritis in COVID-19 and proteinuria and hematuria in significant number of patients.

The other mechanism could be by inducing sepsis and the cytokine storm theory.[80] The cytokines and other mediators are released after CoV infection leading to sustained inflammatory response leading to hypotension, hypoxia, shock, and target organ injuries. The clinical pictures of patients with COVID-19 with sepsis support this hypothesis. The manifestations are particularly severe, with a wide range of signs and symptoms of multiorgan involvement. These signs and symptoms include respiratory events such as severe dyspnea and hypoxemia, renal impairment with reduced urine output, tachycardia, altered mental status, and functional alterations of organs expressed as laboratory data of hyperbilirubinemia, acidosis, high lactate, coagulopathy, and thrombocytopenia. However, these findings suggest the probable mechanism of AKI in many terminal cases. Wang et al. showed that 138 patients with COVID-19 disease, who were admitted in ICU, showed a tendency towards increased creatine kinase levels.[83] It contributes to AKI indirectly through the effects on renal tissues, because of hypotension, hypoxia, shock, and rhabdomyolysis.

**Clinical manifestations**

There are no specific clinical features that can yet reliably distinguish COVID-19 from other viral respiratory infections. Pneumonia appears to be the most severe and frequent manifestation of infection, characterized primarily by fever, cough, dyspnea and bilateral lung infiltrates on chest imaging.[50,51,82] In a study describing 138 patients with COVID-19 pneumonia in Wuhan, the most common clinical features at the onset of illness were fever (99%), fatigue (70%), dry cough (59%), anorexia (40%), myalgias (35%), dyspnea (31%) and sputum production (27%).[51,82]

However, different clinical manifestations were noticed from other parts of the world. A study report from New York city of USA, one of the worst affected city in the world showed the median age of 62 years, predominantly males (61%), and obese (36%). The clinical symptoms were cough (79%) more common than China, fever (77%) relatively less than China, and dyspnea (57%). Gastrointestinal symptoms (diarrhea, 24%; nausea and vomiting, 19%) were relatively common.[83] The US-CDC[84] added a few new symptoms in COVID checker list with chills, repeated shaking with chills, muscle pain, headache, sore throat and new loss of taste or smell.

The mild disease is usually characterized by fever, malaise, cough, upper respiratory symptoms, in the absence of dyspnea. Most of these patients do not need hospitalization. The severe disease is characterized by hypoxia (oxygen saturation ≤93 and on room air or PaO₂/FiO₂ < 300 mmHg), tachypnea (respiratory rate >30 breaths per minute) or respiratory distress, more than 50% involvement of the lung parenchyma on chest imaging and other organ involvement.[85]

Respiratory failure needing to ventilatory requirement also varied in different countries. Respiratory failure requiring mechanical ventilation occurred in a third of the patients in the USA, which was relatively greater than other parts of the world. 30% of the patients required mechanical ventilation (MV) before the requirement of supplemental oxygenation, which indicates that a substantial proportion deteriorates soon after the presentation. The patients needing MV were predominantly male (71% vs. 56%) and obese (43% vs. 32%). They had elevated liver function tests and other inflammatory markers.[83] The other notable complications in those who required ventilatory support include need for vasopressor support (95%), cardiac arrhythmias (19%), bacteraemia (12%), and new RRT (13%). Besides respiratory tract, the involvement of other organs such as the kidney, heart, digestive tract, blood, and nervous system also reported as for MERS.[54,55,84]

**Kidney specific manifestations**

Kidney involvement may be directly due to SARS-CoV-2 mediated injury or, a part of multiorgan dysfunction consequent upon cytokine storm. However, kidney involvement is a strong and independent predictor of mortality with COVID. The incidence of AKI with COVID infection reportedly vary from 3% to 9%.[17,51,82,86] A larger prospective study reported the overall incidence of 5.1%.[87] Li et al.[79] found that 34% of patients had albuminuria on the first day of admission and that 63% developed proteinuria during the hospital stay. 19% of the people showed an elevated level of plasma creatinine. Each one of those (27/27) who had computerized tomography (CT) scan showed radiographic abnormalities of the kidneys with reduced density suggesting inflammation and edema. The study also emphasized that renal impairment may be an independent factor of mortality.[79] A prospective study showed that on admission, 43.9% of the patients had proteinuria, and 26.7% had haematuria. The prevalence of elevated serum creatinine and estimated glomerular filtration under 60 ml/min/1.73 m² were 14.4, and 13.1%, respectively. Cox proportional hazard regression confirmed that elevated baseline serum creatinine was an independent predictor of mortality. The hazard ratio also increases with the staging of AKI from 1.9 in stage-1, 3.51 in stage-2,
4.38 in stage-3 AKI. Patients with kidney disease had a significantly higher risk of in-hospital death.\cite{97}

**Diagnosis**

**Reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)**

The sample collection and storage for the diagnosis in a resource-limited place is also challenging. The WHO recommends collecting specimens from the upper respiratory tract (nasopharyngeal - and/or opharyngeal samples); and lower respiratory tract such as sputum, endotracheal aspirate, or bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL). The collection of BAL samples should only be performed in patients on MV. The samples require storage at four degrees celsius. Lower tract samples may have greater sensitivity than upper respiratory tract specimens.\cite{88}

A study\cite{89} showed that pharyngeal virus shedding was very high during the first week of symptoms with a peak on day 4 and was readily isolated from the throat- and lung-derived samples. However, it was not isolated from stool samples despite high virus RNA concentration. Blood and urine never yielded a virus. Viral replicative RNA intermediates confirmed active replication in throat samples. Independent replication of sequence -distinct virus was also observed in throat and lung samples from the same patient. The shedding of viral RNA from sputum outlasted the end of symptoms. Seroconversion occurred after seven days in 50% of patients and in majority of patients by day 14. However, this event was not universally followed by a rapid decline in viral load.

In the laboratory, a reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) is used for the amplification of the genetic material extracted from the saliva, mucus, and other samples. It involves the synthesis of a double-stranded DNA molecule from an RNA mold. The search is targeted towards the genetic code of the CoV that is conserved. CDC recommends to assess for the presence of 1 or several nucleic acid targets specific to SARS–CoV-2.\cite{77,90}

The probes used are based on the initial gene sequence released by the Shanghai Public Health Clinical Center and School of Public Health, Fudan University, Shanghai, China on Virological.org, and subsequent confirmatory evaluation by additional labs.\cite{77} If the test result is positive, it is recommended that the test is repeated for verification. In patients with confirmed COVID-19 diagnosis, the laboratory evaluation should be repeated to evaluate for viral clearance before being released from observation.

In the United States, the CDC has developed the most widely used SARS–CoV-2 assay. The kit contains PCR primer-probe sets for 2 regions of the viral nucleocapsid gene (N1 and N2) and for the human RNase P gene to ensure the RNA extraction was successful. This assay differs from the WHO primer-probe sets, which target the SARS–CoV-2 RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRP) and envelope (E) genes.\cite{99} Both assays have high analytic sensitivity and specificity for SARS–CoV-2, with minimal cross-reactivity with other circulating strains of coronaviruses, and both use a cycle threshold (CT) of less than 40 as the criterion for positivity.

The lack of an established reference standard, use of differing sample collection and preparation methods, and an incomplete understanding of viral dynamics across the time course of infection, hamper the rigorous assessment of the diagnostic accuracy of the many newly introduced SARS–CoV-2 assays.\cite{91} Conversely, after a patient has had a positive test result, several authorities have recommended obtaining at least 2 negative upper respiratory tract samples, collected at intervals of 24 hours or longer, to document SARS–CoV-2 clearance.\cite{92}

**Viral culture**

Although viral culture is an important method to evaluate viral infectivity and activity, it is not commonly used in clinical practice because of its low sensitivity and long turn-around time for virus detection.\cite{93} Virus isolation in a culture in the laboratory with a facility for viral culture using Vero-CCL-81 cells is possible. However, this facilities are limited within the country.

**Rapid antigen detection tests**

Rapid antigen detection test (RDT) detects the presence of viral antigens expressed by the COVID-19 virus in a sample from the respiratory tract. It detects the target antigen as it binds to specific antibodies fixed to a paper strip enclosed in a plastic casing. It generates a visually detectable signal, typically within 30 minutes. However, this test has a limitation in the form of expression occurring only when the virus is actively replicating. It can be used to identify acute or early infection. The test result varies with time from onset of illness, the concentration and the quality of the specimen and the precise formulation of the reagents in the test kits.\cite{94,95}

From previous experience of the use of these types of kits in Influenza, the sensitivity of these tests is expected to vary from 34-80% for COVID-19 as well.\cite{96} False-positive results may also occur with the antibodies on the test strip also recognize antigens of viruses other than COVID-19, such as human CoV causing common cold. Prototypes of such tests for other novel coronaviruses have not received regulatory approval\cite{97,98} but are under development.\cite{99} Monoclonal antibodies against the nucleocapsid protein of SARS–CoV-2 have been developed which might form the basis of a future rapid antigen detection test.\cite{100}

**Rapid antibody diagnostic test**

The principle of the test is based on the detection of the IgM and IgG antibodies, in the blood of patients with COVID-19.\cite{101-104} The test can be performed with enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA). The test
is relatively less complex than other molecular tests and primarily used for the epidemiological purpose in limited situations.\[^{105}\] The IgG antibodies appear late in the second week after onset of symptoms, while the majority of them show futures of recovery.\[^{106,107}\]

Thus the use of this test in making clinical intervention and in the prevention of transmission of the disease remains limited. The negative result also does not exclude recent SARS–CoV-2 exposure and infection.\[^{105}\] Several factors, like age, malnutrition, the severity of the disease, and immunosuppressed state because of medications or HIV like disease, affects the formation of the antibodies.\[^{106,107}\]

However, the test may be combined in combination with RT-PCR report with the presence or absence of antibody response.\[^{106,107}\] The possibility of cross-reactivity and false positivity of COVID specific antibody with other human CoV can not be excluded.\[^{88,107,108}\]

The information about the protective nature of the antibody is still emerging; however, antibodies against S-protein may be protective, and plasma from recovered patients show neutralizing activity.\[^{108}\] The test may help in analyzing antibody responses to COVID-19, a critical response in the development of vaccines. The test can be used for detecting the epidemiological extent of infection missed during active surveillance efforts, analyzing the attack rate, and infection fatality rate.

**Ancillary diagnostic test**

Radiographic imaging: The plain chest radiography is still the early and easy ancillary supportive test in COVID-19 management. The bilateral pneumonia is the most common finding ranging from 11.8-100%. The bilateral findings are more common than a unilateral focus.\[^{82,109}\]

Computed tomography of thorax appears more sensitive than plain radiography. A large study have shown that typical imaging features, include ground-glass opacities (86.1%) or mixed ground-glass opacities and consolidation (64.4%), vascular involvement in the lesion (71.3%), and traction bronchiectasis (52.5%). The lesion on CT images had more peripheral distribution (87.1%), bilateral involvement (82.2%), lower lung predominant, and multifocal each in 54.5%.\[^{110}\] Studies reported that CT chest might be more sensitive than serial nasopharyngeal sampling and RT-PCR test at a single-point diagnosis of COVID-19.\[^{111,112}\] Although artificial intelligence may help in distinguishing COVID-19 from other etiologic agents of community-acquired pneumonia,\[^{113}\] but the CT findings, do not exclude a co-infection or an alternative diagnosis.\[^{114}\]

**Other Biomarkers Associated with COVID-19**

Decreased albumin, elevated C-reactive protein, and elevated lactate dehydrogenase levels, and lymphopenia were other laboratory parameters associated with COVID-19.\[^{115}\] Increased erythrocyte sedimentation rates, elevated aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, and creatinine kinase levels, leukopenia, leukocytosis increased bilirubin and creatinine levels were associated with severe cases and multi-organ involvements.\[^{50,51,116}\] These biomarkers are an indication of the inflammatory host response to SARS–CoV-2, as observed in any patients with sepsis.\[^{117}\] It is difficult to predict clinical outcomes with any identified single or combination of biomarkers currently exists.

In summary, COVID-19 is a novel Beta CoV infection which has genomic homology with Bat CoV and transmitted to human beings through intermediate host. Person to person transmission in the human being is a major mode of transmission, which lead to pandemic from a small cluster outbreak from Wuhan city of China. The lung is primarily involved. However, kidney involvement is frequent and is an independent risk factor for mortality with this novel CoV infection. With the increasing stage and severity of AKI, the hazard ratio of death of patients with COVID also increases. The overall case-fatality rate is reportedly 2.3% in confirmed cases, about 15% in elderly patients, in particular those aged ≥80 years, and 8% in people who are 70-79 years of age.\[^{118}\] The mainstay of therapy of COVID-19 is supportive and preventive requiring quarantine and waiting self recovery.
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