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Abstract

Background: One promising way of reducing caries is by using sucrose substitutes in food. Rubusoside is a prototype sweet substance isolated from the leaves of the plant Rubrus suavissimus S. Lee. (Rosaceae), and is rated sweeter than sucrose. The purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of rubusoside on Streptococcus mutans growth, acidogenicity, and adherence to glass in vitro.

Methods: The effects of rubusoside on the growth and glass surface adhering of Streptococcus mutans were investigated by measuring the optical density of the culture at 540 nm with a spectrophotometer. Rubusoside influence on Streptococcus mutans acidogenicity was determined by measuring the pH of the culture. Sucrose, glucose, maltose, fructose and xylitol were designed to compare with rubusoside.

Results: S. mutans growth in the rubusoside-treated group was significantly lower than that in the sucrose, glucose, maltose and fructose groups (p < 0.05) except for xylitol group (p > 0.05). Sucrose-treated S. mutans exhibited the highest adherence to glass, and rubusoside-treated S. mutans exhibited the lowest. S. mutans adherence to a glass surface and acidogenicity with sucrose were significantly reduced by rubusoside.

Conclusions: Rubusoside may have some potential as a non-cariogenic, non-caloric sweetener.
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Background

Dental caries is a multifactorial and chronic bacterial disease that involves the destruction of tooth hard tissue structure. It is directly caused by the acid produced by oral bacteria fermentation of dietary carbohydrates in dental plaque [1]. Dental plaque represents a microbial ecosystem in which non-mutans bacteria (mainly non-mutans streptococci and Actinomyces) are the key microorganisms responsible for maintaining dynamic stability on the tooth surface (dynamic stability stage) [2, 3]. Subjects that frequently consume a considerable amount of fermentable carbohydrates, select for bacteria that ferment these carbohydrates and produce acids. This leads to more sugar fermentation and thus acid production, increasing the cariogenic bacteria even more. Acidogenic (acid-producing) and aciduric (acid-tolerating) bacteria such as the classic Streptococcus mutans, Streptococcus sobrinus and Lactobacillus spp., and the later discovered Bifidobacterium spp., lower the pH to levels at which enamel is demineralized, which can result in caries [4]. S. mutans is the major microbial etiological agent of dental caries, due to its ability to adhere to the tooth surface, by producing sticky extracellular polysaccharides from sucrose, and to ferment sucrose and other sugars to acids which attack the tooth enamel [5, 6]. There has been broad consensus that quantity and frequency of consumption of sucrose-containing foods is correlated with caries incidence [7, 8]. Strict, long-term restriction of cariogenic sugars undoubtedly results in significant caries reduction. However, considering the human preference for sweet food items, restriction of cariogenic sugars without offering alternatives is impractical [9]. Therefore, especially for patients who are susceptible to dental caries, the use of non-cariogenic sugar substitutes should be considered.

Plants contain a number of highly sweet compounds, several of which are used commercially in one or more countries, particularly in Japan [10]. Rubusoside is a prototype sweet substance isolated from the leaves of the plant Rubrus suavissimus S. Lee. (Rosaceae), a natural...
non-toxic traditional Chinese medicine [11]. Historically, Rubrus suavissimus S. Lee leaves have been used by people in the Guangxi province (People’s Republic of China) as tea to drink and to prevent and cure diseases. Rubusoside, structurally characterized in the early 1980s, is a diterpene glycosides consisting mainly of steviol and dextrose (C32H50O13) [12], (Fig. 1) and was rated as sweeter than sucrose. Rubusoside is investigated to be a low toxicity, light side effect material. Liang et al studied the acute and chronic toxicity of Rubusoside. They found that Rubusoside had no toxicity on the development, hematology, function of the liver and kidney and histology in rats [13]. Rubusoside widely used as a natural sweetener for seasoning and additive in food industry [12]. The purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of Rubusoside on in vitro S. mutans cariogenic properties and to determine possibility to use as a non-cariogenic sugar substitute.

Methods
Saccharides
A highly purified preparation of rubusoside (98 % pure) was kindly provided by Guangxi Jinxiu Shengtang Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. (China). Sucrose, glucose, maltose, fructose and xylitol were reagent-grade (Sigma, Saint Louis, MO, USA).

Microorganisms
Stock cultures of S. mutans Ingbritt 1600 (serotype c) were maintained at 4 °C on brain-heart infusion agar slants. Bacterial identity was confirmed before and after testing previously described by Drucker and Green [14]. Washed cell-suspensions were prepared from 200 mL samples of brain-heart infusion broth, inoculated with 5 mL starter culture and incubated micro-aerophilically for 18 h at 37 °C. Cultures were re-incubated with 1 volume of fresh medium for 1 h, centrifuged at 3000 g for 15 min at 4 °C, and washed three times with cold, sterile, phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and resuspended in PBS to an OD$_{540}$ = 1.0 using a spectrophotometer (LAMBDA BIO 20, PerkinElmer, Massachusetts, USA), corresponding to ~5.0 x 10$^8$ CFU/mL. Cell suspensions were used immediately.

Test solutions and media
Test solutions consisted of Trypticase (2 %), NaCl (0.2 %), K$_2$HPO$_4$ (0.3 %), KH$_2$PO$_4$ (0.2 %), K$_2$CO$_3$ (0.1 %), MgSO$_4$ (0.012 %), and MnSO$_4$ (0.0015 %). An appropriate amount of yeast extract and the cysteine in distilled water which was used as the basic suspending fluid in all experiments [15].

The test solutions (50 mM of each carbohydrate) were sucrose, glucose, maltose, fructose, rubusoside, rubusoside + sucrose and xylitol. Sucrose and the basic suspending fluid were used as positive and negative controls. Carbohydrate solutions of sweeteners in dH$_2$O were sterilized by membrane filtration and the pH was adjusted to 7.4 with 10 mM NaOH.

Saccharides influence on S. mutans growth
The original cell suspension (1 mL) was added to 15 mL of the various test solutions: the basic suspending fluid; or the basic suspending fluid include saccharides; sucrose, glucose, maltose, fructose, rubusoside, rubusoside + sucrose or xylitol, and incubated micro-aerophilically for 48 h at 37 °C. After, test suspensions were centrifuged at 3000 g for 15 min at 4 °C, and the cells were washed three times with cold, sterile, PBS and resuspended in PBS. The suspensions were homogenized by sonic oscillation. The optical density (OD$_{540}$) of the aqueous phase was then read to compare the cell growth in the various media used. Experiments were performed in triplicate.

Saccharides inhibition of S. mutans adherence to a glass surface
To assess the bacterial adherence of growing cells of S. mutans to a glass surface, the original cell suspension (1 mL) was added to 20 mL of the various test solutions: the basic suspending fluid; or the basic suspending fluid include saccharides; sucrose, glucose, maltose, fructose, rubusoside, rubusoside + sucrose or xylitol, in a glass test tube (15 × 150 mm) containing a glass rod (5 x 100 mm), and incubated micro-aerophilically for 48 h at 37 °C. After, the glass rod was carefully taken out from the culture, and the microbial deposits on the glass rod were washed for 10 s with dH$_2$O to remove the cells that grew in close contact with the glass surface but did not actually adhere. The the glass rod was then suspended in 20 mL of water by vigorous vibration with a mixer, as adherent
cells of strains can be removed by being mixed with a vortex blender. The suspensions were centrifuged at 3000 g for 15 min at 4 °C; and the cells were washed three times with cold, sterile PBS and resuspended in sterile PBS. The suspensions were homogenized by sonic oscillation. Optical density at OD$_{540}$ of the suspensions was measured with a spectrophotometer. Experiments were performed in triplicate.

Saccharides influence on S. mutans acidogenicity
For assaying pH reduction, the original cell suspension (1 mL) prepared was added to 15 ml of the various test solutions: the basic suspending fluid; or the basic suspending fluid include saccharides; sucrose, glucose, maltose, fructose, rubusoside, rubusoside + sucrose or xylitol, and incubated micro-aerophilically with shaking for 48 h at 37 °C. After, the pH of the solutions was measured via a pH-meter (MP230, Mettler-Toledo, Inc., Switzerland). Experiments were performed in triplicate.

Statistical analysis
Data were analyzed using SPSS 17.0 software by ANOVA, followed by a post-hoc Newman–Keuls test with $\alpha = 0.05$.

Result
Saccharides influence on S. mutans growth
The effect of the various Saccharide solutions on S. mutans growth is shown in Fig. 2. The rubusoside-treated group was significantly lower than that of the sucrose (positive control), glucose, maltose and fructose groups ($p < 0.05$). No significant differences in OD$_{540}$ was observed when comparing the rubusoside-treated group to the xylitol group and negative control ($p > 0.05$). When rubusoside was incubated with S. mutans in the presence of sucrose, S. mutans growth was higher than that with rubusoside alone ($p < 0.05$), but significantly lower than in the positive control (sucrose) ($p < 0.05$).

Saccharides inhibition of S. mutans adherence to a glass surface
The inhibitory effects of the various saccharides on S. mutans adherence to a glass surface are summarized in Fig. 3. In the saccharide groups, sucrose-treated S. mutans exhibited the highest adherence to glass, and rubusoside-treated S. mutans exhibited the lowest. When rubusoside was incubated with S. mutans in the presence of sucrose, S. mutans adherence to glass was increased, but was significantly lower than sucrose ($p < 0.05$) ; and did not significantly differ from that of the negative control.

Saccharides influence on S. mutans acidogenicity
The effects of the various saccharides on S.mutans acidogenicity are summarized in Fig. 4. The terminal pH of rubusoside-treated S. mutans was significantly higher than that of the sucrose, glucose, maltose and fructose groups ($p < 0.05$). No significant differences were observed when comparing rubusoside-treated S. mutans to the xylitol group and negative control ($p > 0.05$).

Discussion
The microbial and dietary factors that cause tooth decay have been studied scientifically for more than 100 years. Frequent and/or excessive sugar (especially sucrose) consumption has been described to play a central role in caries causation, and S. mutans appeared to play a key role in metabolizing sucrose to produce lactic acid, which can demineralize enamel [16]. The cariogenic nature of sucrose has led to an intensive search for alternative compounds possessing sweetness without cariogenicity [17]. Sucrose substitutes that are not metabolized by plaque-forming
bacteria, particularly *S. mutans*, have been proposed as a promising approach to caries prevention [18].

Rubusoside is a naturally sweet substance isolated from the leaves of the plant *Rubrus suavissimus* S. Lee. (Rosaceae), and was rated as sweeter than sucrose. Sucrose has been established to have the strongest cariogenicity, with glucose, maltose and fructose in decreasing cariogenicity. Xylitol is non-cariogenic and superior to the other sweetener for caries control [19–21]. In the present study, we focused on *S. mutans* growth, adherence and acid production when incubated with rubusoside, glucose, maltose, fructose, xylitol, and sucrose.

The results of saccharide effects on *S. mutans* growth showed that OD$_{540}$ of rubusoside-treated group was significantly lower than that of the sucrose (positive control), glucose, maltose and fructose groups. Moreover, rubusoside was significantly less acidogenic than glucose, maltose, fructose and sucrose. However, no significant differences were observed when rubusoside was compared to the xylitol group and negative control. These results indicate that rubusoside, like xylitol, either cannot be metabolized or is metabolized very slowly by *S. mutans*.

The ability of *S. mutans* to adhere to and form aggregations as plaque on tooth surfaces is closely related to its ability to cause caries [5, 22–24]. Many studies indicate that the production of water-insoluble glucan from sucrose by extracellular glucosyltransferases (GTF) facilitates the bacterial adherence and plays an important role in the formation of the bacterial aggregates which make up plaque [5, 23, 25]. Our present study shows that of the carbohydrate groups, the highest optical density of *S. mutans* adhering to a glass rod was found for sucrose group (positive control) and the lowest for the rubusoside group. This result suggests that rubusoside markedly reduce *S. mutans* adhesion to solid surfaces. Thus, we propose that rubusoside is not utilized by GTF of *S. mutans* to produce water-insoluble glucan.

Sucrose is cheap, easily produced from sugar cane or sugar beet, high in calories, and is used as a bulk constituent of foods, a role which no other sweetener would be
likely to replace. Although rubusoside is highly sweet, it is low in calories [11]. Thus, the apparent non-cariogenic properties of rubusoside suggest a possible role as an additive to sucrose itself. The results of the present experiments with co-fermentation of rubusoside and sucrose by S. mutans reveal S. mutans growth and adhesion reduction. Moreover, the acidogenicity of sucrose was markedly decreased by the presence of rubusoside. Therefore, rubusoside may affect the cariogenicity of sucrose when used as sweetening additives.

So far, rubusoside’s mechanism of action is still unknown. However, it has been established that structure of rubusoside is a diterpene glycosides consisting mainly of steviol and dextrose (C32H50O13) [12]. From our study we proposed that its possible mechanism of action was that as a five-cancer sugar alcohol, rubusoside cannot be digested by S. mutans when it is transported into the cell, where it probably stays bound to the transport protein. This bond is unbreakable by the usual enzymes so the transport protein is tied up. The transport protein cannot go back out to get more glucose to provide the cell with energy. The reduced number of the transport protein is the process thought to reduce acid production in S. mutans.

Conclusion
In the last years, the multifactorial etiology associated to ecological theory is widely accepted. The literature showed that caries is not a S. mutans dependent disease [26]. Hence, further in vitro and clinical studies are needed, particularly for assessment of the role played in the dental biofilm. In short, from the present study, rubusoside may not be used as an energy source by S. mutans so that no significant production of acids by these organisms will be followed. It may not act as the substrate for the synthesis of glucon by GTF of S. mutans, however it may inhibit glucon synthesis from sucrose. It may have some potential as a non-cariogenic, non-caloric sweetener.
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