Cytotoxic Effects of Drug-loaded Hyaluronan-Gluteraldehyde Cross-Linked Nanoparticles and the Release Kinetics Modeling
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Abstract
In this study, paclitaxel (PTX)-loaded hyaluronic acid (HA) nanoparticles (NPs) were synthesized. FESEM and TEM showed HA-PTX NPs with spherical shape and sizes >100 nm. The HA-entrapment maintained the crystalline nature of PTX as suggested by XRD, and the entrapment was confirmed by the characteristic peak of PTX at 1736 cm⁻¹ by FTIR. HA-PTX showed cytotoxicity towards lung (A549) (IC₅₀ 0.3 µg/ml), breast (MCF-7) (IC₅₀ 0.2 µg/ml) and colorectal (HT-29) (IC₅₀ 0.2 µg/ml) cancer cells, with 2-3 fold more cytotoxic effects than free PTX. At 10 ng/ml in A549 cell lines, PTX induced apoptosis, whilst HA-PTX showed enhanced apoptosis. The release kinetics profile showed PTX release in a biphasic manner, with initial burst release of 60-70%, followed by a slow and uniform release. PTX release was best modeled by first-order kinetics, Higuchi and Korsmeyer-Peppas models suggesting that it was concentration dependent, with anomalous, non-Fickian diffusion as a predominant mechanism for the drug transport.

Effective Drug-delivery systems (DDS) are being developed for targeted delivery to tumor cells and spare the normal tissues, with reduced severe systemic toxicity within the therapeutic concentration [7]. Ligation of anticancer drug to a biocompatible polymer could enhance drug stabilization, localization and controlled release with improved bioavailability of chemotherapeutic agents. Sustained-release formulations for many hydrophobic drugs are difficult because they may be released too slowly from the nanoparticles (NPs), thus diminishing the efficacy. Modifying water uptake into the NPs can speed up the release, whilst at the same time retaining the desired drug sustained-release profile [8].

Nano-vehicle aims to reduce adverse side effects with improved bioavailability of chemotherapeutic agents [9], and these include liposomes, polymer therapeutic conjugates, polymeric micelles, dendrimers, nanoshells and nucleic acid-based nanoparticles. The wide applications of polymeric nano-carriers are due to their characteristic features and remarkable results in preclinical studies, demonstrating their potential as therapeutic carriers [10]. Nanomedicines confer several advantages over naked drug such as reducing premature degradation, improving interaction with the biological environment, enhancing absorption or bioavailability into selected tissue and prolonging retention time with effective intracellular penetration [11]. Rapid advances in cell and molecular biology and genomics and proteomics research could further facilitate identification of novel tumor-specific molecular targets and better understanding of the pathophysiology of various diseases, leading to improved diagnosis and ultimately disease therapy [12].

Hyaluronic acid (HA) is a glycosaminoglycan found inside human body and distributed throughout the epithelial, connective, and neural tissues [13,14]. It is the main component of the extracellular matrix and the main ligand for CD44 and Receptor for Hyaluronan-Mediated Motility (RHAMM). The receptors are over-expressed in a variety of tumor cell surfaces including human breast epithelial cells, colon cancer, lung cancer and acute leukemia cells. Due to the versatile nature of HA, bio-conjugates of low molecular weight HA with cytotoxic agents have been developed [15,16]. The aim is to improve solubility of cytotoxic agent to facilitate its intravenous administration and for effective targeting to cancerous cells, with enhanced therapeutic efficiency and sustained/control release properties [14]. Paclitaxel (PTX), a diterpenoid originally isolated from the bark of the Pacific yew, Taxus brevifolia, is a powerful anti-mitotic agent. It binds to microtubules, inhibits their depolymerization into tubulin, and stabilizes tubulin polymerization resulting in cell cycle arrest and mitosis inhibition. Although PTX has shown remarkable potential as anticancer compound, it causes toxicity, with drug resistance in some tumors, and has poor stability and sparing aqueous solubility. Taxol®14, the clinically used formulation of PTX, contains polyoxyethylated
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Introduction
Cancer continues to become a major killer with about 12.7 million cases and 7.6 million deaths reported. Out of these, 56% of the cases and 64% of the deaths occur in the developing world [1]. Compounding the problem are the drug adverse side effects, and the estimated 40% of the drugs in the pipeline not deliverable through the preferred route or in some cases, at all, owing to poor water solubility [2]. Regular screening and improved patient prognosis could enhance the survival rate. There are now developments in imaging technologies together with advancement in nanotechnology but high equipment costs dampen rapid progress. Chemotherapy has been an important component of cancer clinical management along with radiotherapy, in which the combined effects show more promising results [3-5]. The use of pharmaceutical solvents to increase drug solubility may however have disastrous effects [6].
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was added after 30 mins to stop the cross-linking process. After 2 h, the solution was heated at 40°C with constant stirring at 500 rpm to ensure homogeneous mixing. Two ml of 20% sodium sulfate was added until the HA aggregates were formed, which changed the color from turbid back to clear solution. Four ml of sodium meta-bisulfite solution (12%) was added, which provided advantages in drug solubilization, stabilization, localization and controlled release [20].

In the current study, PTX-entrapped HA nanoparticles were synthesized and characterized. The cytotoxic effects on breast (MCF-7), lung (A549) and colorectal (HT-29) cancer cell-lines were determined. The morphological and cell analysis were observed under inverted microscopy and flow cytometry. The interpretation of PTX released kinetics and mechanism based on zero order and first order kinetics and the drug release models based on Higuchi, Korsmeyer Peppas and Hixson-Crowell were established.

**Materials and Methods**

Human breast (MCF-7), colorectal (HT-29) and lung cancer cell lines (A549) were purchased from American Tissue Culture Collection. The Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) and Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Media (DMEM) were purchased from PAA Laboratories (Linz, Austria). Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS), penicillin-streptomycin, Trypsin-EDTA and hyaluronic acid sodium salt (MW 1.5 MDa) isolated from Streptococcus equisp were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Paclitaxel (Pt) and HA-Pt (0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100, 1000, 10000 µg/ml) were prepared in RPMI or DMEM and incubated for 24 h. Different concentrations of Pt and HA-Pt and HA-PTX NPs was freshly prepared in PBS, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and media, as described earlier. Cells were seeded into 96-well plate (~5000 cells/ml) in 100 µl of RPMI or DMEM and incubated for 24 h. Different concentrations of Pt and HA-Pt were prepared in culture medium; and A549 in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% antibiotics (100 IU/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin). The concentration of PTX and HA-PTX were prepared in formic acid, ethanol, methanol and placed in an incubator for 7-10 min. After incubation, cells were detached and then rinsed with culture media before the cells pipetted out.

**MTT** (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide) assay: The *in vitro* cytotoxicity of anticancer drugs (Pt and PTX), HA-Pt and HA-PTX NPs on A549, MCF-7 and HT-29 were evaluated using MTT assay [22]. MTT assay is a colorimetric assay in which a yellow tetrazolium salt (MTT) is reduced by a viable cell mitochondrial enzyme (dehydrogenase) to produce purple crystals of formazan. The amount of colored product formed is directly proportional to the number of viable cells. Solution of Pt, PTX, HA-Pt and HA-PTX NPs were freshly prepared in PBS, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and media, as described earlier.

Cells were seeded into 96-well plate (~5000 cells/ml) in 100 µl of RPMI or DMEM and incubated for 24 h. Different concentrations of Pt and HA-Pt were prepared in culture media. The concentration of PTX and HA-PTX were prepared in culture medium at 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100, 1000, 10000 µg/ml were prepared in culture media. The concentration of PTX and HA-PTX were prepared in culture medium at 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100, 1000 µg/ml. The individual cell lines treated with Pt, HA-Pt, PTX and HA-PTX at various concentrations were incubated at 37°C with 5% CO2, in a humidified atmosphere for 24, 48 and 72 h. Cells were treated with 20 µl of 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl) - 2,5 diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT) and incubated for 4 h. Medium was removed and 100 µl DMSO/well was added to dissolve formazan purple crystal. The MTT reading was done at 570 nm, with reference at 630 nm, using UVM 340 micro plate reader. Each experiment was carried out in triplicate in three independent experiments for each cell line.

The percentage of cytotoxicity was calculated as follows [23]:

\[
\text{Cell viability (\%)} = \left(\frac{OD_{\text{sample}} - OD_{\text{blank}}}{OD_{\text{control}}}\right) \times 100\%
\]

Where \(OD_{\text{sample}}\) represents the optical density (absorbance) of the samples (drug at respective concentration + media + cells), \(OD_{\text{blank}}\) as the optical density of the blank (drug + media only), and \(OD_{\text{control}}\) as the optical density of the control wells without treatments (cells + media only).

A dose-response curve (percentage of cell viability versus sample...
A549 cells was grown in 25 cm$^3$ and 75 cm$^3$ culture flasks separately, with propodium iodide (PI) staining were used for quantitative morphological characteristics were observed. 10 ng/ml concentrations of PTX and HA-PTX NPs for 24 h and the old media were replaced with fresh media. Cell lines were treated with cells were seeded for 24 hours. After washing the cells with PBS, the old media were replaced with fresh media. Cell lines were treated with µL PBS. The encapsulation efficiency (EE) of PTX in HA-PTX NPs was Saline (PBS) solution. One ml of hyaluronidase (1 mg/ml in PBS) was dispersed in 1 ml of Phosphate Buffer. Four mg of HA-PTX NPs were dispersed in 1 ml of Phosphate Buffer saline (PBS) solution. One ml of hyaluronidase (1 mg/ml in PBS) was used to digest the HA-PTX NPs in a shaker incubator at 37°C. After about 1.5 h incubation, extraction was done with ethyl acetate, which was further dried under nitrogen blanket and then reconstituted in 200 µL PBS. The encapsulation efficiency (EE) of PTX in HA-PTX NPs was calculated as follows [23]:

$$\text{PtX}_{\text{TOTAL}} = \text{PtX}_{\text{ENTRAPPED}} + \text{PtX}_{\text{FREE}}$$

(1)

EE % = \left(\frac{\text{Amount of entrapped PTX in HA-PTX NPs}}{\text{Theoretical amount of PTX used}}\right) \times 100\% \quad (2)

PTX release: To test the release characteristics of the PTX from HA-PTX NPs, dialysis method was used. [23]. Twenty mg of the HA-PTX NPs was resuspended in 5 mL of PBS (pH 7.4) and transferred to a dialysis tube (MWCO of 2 g/mol). The dialysis tube was placed in a 200 mL bottle with 95 mL of PBS. PTX release was studied at 100 rpm at 37°C. Two ml of the sample solution was taken and the solution was further evaluated by UV spectrophotometry at 310 nm wavelength.

Modeling: To study the release kinetics data of PTX from HA-PTX NPs, data was fitted into five kinetics and mechanistic models. The rate constants and regression coefficients, $R^2$, were determined.

The zero-order kinetics was evaluated as follows:

$$C_p - C = K_t t$$

(4)

Rearrangement of equation (3) gives:

$$C_p = C_o + K_t t$$

(5)

Where $C_p$ is the amount of drug (mg L$^{-1}$) dissolved in time $t$ (hr), $C_o$ is the initial amount of drug (mg L$^{-1}$) in the solution (C$=0$) and $K_t$ is the zero order release constant (h$^{-1}$).

The first-order kinetics was developed based on Eq. (5):

$$\log C = \log C_o - K_t t$$

(6)

where $dC/dt$ is the rate of change in concentration with respect to time (mg L$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$), and $K$ is the first order rate constant (h$^{-1}$). Integrated form of equation (3) can be expressed as:

$$\log C = \log C_o - K_t t/2.303$$

(7)

where $C_o$ is the initial concentration of drug (mg L$^{-1}$). The data obtained are plotted as log cumulative percentage of drug remaining versus time to yield a straight line with a slope of $K_t/2.303$.

The Higuchi model is proposed as follows:

$$J = D \frac{dC}{dx}$$

(8)

where $J$ is diffusion flux (mol/m$^2$. s); $D$ is diffusion coefficient (m$^2$/s); $C$ is concentration (mol/m$^3$); $x$ is length (m); and $Q = A\sqrt{D(2C - C{_t})}$

(9)

where $Q$ is the amount of drug released in time $t$ (hr) per unit area $A$ of matrix (mg/cm$^2$), $C$ is the drug initial concentration (mg L$^{-1}$) and $D$ is the diffusivity of the drug molecules (diffusion coefficient) in the matrix (cm$^2$ hr$^{-1}$).

$$Q = K_p t^{1/2}$$

(10)

Where $D$ is the diffusion coefficient of the drug molecule in the solvent, $\delta$ is the porosity of the matrix, $\tau$ is the tortuosity of the matrix and $Q, A, C$ and $t$ have the meaning as assigned above, and $K_p$ is Higuchi dissolution constant (hr$^{-1}$).

The Hixson-Crowell model is given as follows:

$$\sqrt{W_o} - \sqrt{W_t} = Kt$$

(11)

The Korsmeyer-Peppas model is described as:

$$\frac{Mt}{M_{\infty}} = K_t^n$$

(12)

$$\log Mt/M_{\infty} = \log K_{\infty} + n \log t$$

(13)

where $Mt/M_{\infty}$ is the fraction of drug released at time $t$ (hr), $K_{\infty}$ is the release rate constant unit (h$^{-n}$) and $n$ is the release exponent.

Statistical analysis

All determinations were expressed as means ± standard error (SE). All the $K_{\infty}$ values of Pt, HA-Pt, PTX and HA-PTX on the breast (MCF-7), lung (A549) and colorectal (HT-29) cancer cell lines were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), Tukey HSD, LSD Test, p<0.05 and p<0.01 (SPSS version 15.0). A probability of $p<0.05$ was considered significant, and $p<0.01$ considered as very significant.
Results and Discussion

Synthesis and characterization of PTX-entrapped HA NPs

HA-PTX NPs were successfully synthesized by desolvation method with glutarylaldehyde (GA) crosslinking of HA, which appeared as fine white powder, whilst void HA NPs were coarser. The desolvation method offers the advantage of producing NPs directly in aqueous suspension. It does not require heat treatment and may be useful for biopolymers and heat sensitive drug formulations [18]. Although both ethanol and acetone can be used as desolating agent in the preparation of NPs [24-26], acetone was used in this study. Ethanol could inhibit GA crosslinking of HA as shown by a study on protein crosslinking by GA where the crosslinking is formed between the two polyol molecules [27]. GA has two aldehyde groups and ethanol produces acetals with aldehydes. There is a high probability of the free hydroxyl groups on HA to be in competition with hydroxyl groups of ethanol for reaction with GA, thus reducing the cross-linked HA [26].

Figure 1a and 1b shows the morphology of freeze dried HA-PTX NPs as observed by FESEM and TEM. HA-PTX was shown to have distinct spherical shape with moderate uniformity with sizes in ranges of 100-250 nm. The nanosizes make the NPs easily move into leaky vessels of tumor tissue to target the tumor cells. Figure 2 shows the IR spectrum of void HA NPs and HA-PTX NPs. Peaks at 3400–3600 cm⁻¹ in both are attributed to OH and NH group stretching vibrations and residual moisture content. CH₂ peaks show asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations at 2870-2955 cm⁻¹ and the peaks between 1600 to 1800 cm⁻¹ are due to C-N bonding. The peaks at 1638 and 1639 cm⁻¹ are due to C-H bonding and 507 to 534 cm⁻¹ to C-H and C-X (halogen) bonding. The characteristic peak of PTX at 1736 cm -¹ can be observed due to C=O stretching. The characteristic peak of PTX at 1736 cm -¹ can be observed due to C=O stretching. The peaks at 844 to 846 cm⁻¹ are due to C-H bonding and 507 to 534 cm⁻¹ to C-H and C-X (halogen) bonding. The characteristic peak of PTX at 1736 cm -¹ can be observed due to C=O stretching. The band due to CH₃ scissoring at 1463 cm⁻¹ and the sharp peak at 996 cm⁻¹ may be due to interactions between PTX and HA cross-linked molecule, which are completely absent in void HA NPs. Figure 3a and 3b shows the X-ray diffractograms of void HA and HA-PTX NPs. The void cross-linked HA showed its own intrinsic crystal peaks but the increased intensity of sharp peaks in HA-PTX diffractograms suggest the crystalline nature of PTX. XRD diffractograms may show typical and more specific peaks of drug crystal, with relatively fewer peaks for void NPs. Our results correlate well with previous study on HA-PLGA encapsulating doxorubicin [30].

Cytotoxicity of drug-loaded NPs

Figure 4a-4c shows the cytotoxic effects of HA-PTX and PTX on cancer cell lines. The IC₅₀ of HA-PTX NPs after 48 h for lung (A549) (0.3 µg/ml ± 0.012), breast (MCF-7) (0.2 µg/ml ± 0.015) and colorectal (HT-29) (0.3 µg/ml ± 0.016) suggest 2-3 fold higher cytotoxicity than free PTX. Cytotoxicity of PTX on A549 cells (IC₅₀=0.7 µg/ml ±0.012) and the MCF-7 cells (IC₅₀=0.6 µg/ml ±0.015) was comparable to previous reports [31,32]. At low PTX (0.01-0.1 µg/ml) after 48 h, significant reduction in cell viability was observed in all the three cell lines, but lower change was observed at higher concentration (1-10 µg/ml). Time dependant changes were observed in A549 and MCF-7, but not so clear in HT-29 cell lines. At 1 µg/ml, there were less than 50% cell viability in A549 and MCF-7, but more than 50% cell viability was observed in HT-29 cell line.

Table 1 shows the summary of IC₅₀ values of Pt, HA-Pt, PTX and HA-PTX on the three cancer cell lines. PTX was more sensitive towards breast and colorectal cancer cells, while Pt showed dose dependant cytotoxicity in all cancer cell lines. However, the IC₅₀ values of PTX at all times were lower than Pt. The IC₅₀ of Pt on lung cells in our study corresponds well with the previously reported value (IC₅₀=2 µg/ml), the IC₅₀ of 5 µg/ml ± 0.034 in MCF-7 agrees with previous report (13µM=4 µg/ml); and the IC₅₀ of 8 µg/ml ± 0.038 in HT-29 is close to reported 20 µM (6 µg/ml) [33-35]. The difference in dosage and efficacy could have been a result of mode of action of the drug molecule on specific cancer cells. Pt is a metal based drug for cancer treatment via direct interaction with cell genetic material. The chlorine atom in the Pt molecule is easily

Figure 1a: FESEM image of HA-PTX NPs at 10000X magnifications.

Figure 1b: TEM image of HA-PTX NPs.

Figure 2: FTIR spectrum of void HA NPs (grey) and HA-PTX NPs (black).
replaced by a hydroxyl (OH-) group and it binds with a protein on one side and the DNA molecule on the other [36]. Any unstability in the structure of Pt may result in drug decreased cytotoxicity or drug resistance. The high IC_{50} values in some cell-lines such as MCF-7 and HT-29 with Pt may suggest drug resistance which may lead to various associated side effects including renal toxicity, nausea and vomiting.
in clinical trials. HA-Pt NPs formed through anionic polymer–metal complexation between Pt and HA, showed not only lower cytotoxicity than HA-PTX, but also no significant difference between Pt and HA-Pt cytotoxicity in vitro. HA-Pt therefore maintained its cytotoxicity in conjugate form, although HA void NPs did not show any cytotoxic effects up to concentration as high as 10 mg/ml. The non-cytotoxic nature of HA could therefore still possibly reduce the systemic cytotoxicity and enhance the accumulation of cisplatin towards the site of tumor via HA-CD44 receptor-ligand uptake mechanism [7].

Morphological characterization

The morphological observation of lung (A549) cancer cell lines was studied under light microscope and flow cytometry at 10 ng/ml of HA-PTX NPs and PTX treatment for 24 hours (Figures 5 and 6). Two major cell characteristics of cell death were evaluated – apoptotic cell death which is a physiological or programmed cell death, and necrotic cell death which is an accidental cell death. The control (untreated) cells were larger, rounded and appeared to be healthy with no apoptotics bodies or necrotic cells. After 24 h, cells exhibited condensation with apoptotic bodies (shown by the arrow), which were more profound with HA-PTX treatment than free PTX. The cells were round or oval in shape, smaller in size than the cell of origin. A549 cells showed characteristic changes of apoptotic cell death with nucleus becoming phase-dense, due to condensation of nuclear chromatlin, and membrane blebbing, resulting in condensed rounded cells, and fragmentation, which resemble previous studies [37,38]. Flow cytometric analysis (Figure 6) suggests that apoptosis (V+/PI–) was higher after treatment with HA-PTX NPs as compared to free PTX, but high PI+ (necrosis) was observed after treatment with PTX as compared to HA-PTX NPs. The PTX-induced apoptosis correlate well with previous studies [39,40] and this may be triggered through different mechanisms depending on cell cycle stage. PTX induces necrosis by disrupting the plasma membrane integrity of mitotic cells, where the treated cells release significant amount of Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) and some growth-inhibitory substances into the supernatant [39]. The annexin V/PI+ double staining assay reveals early necrotic cells among the mitotic cells. Low concentration of PTX may cause apoptosis without the appearance of mitotic arrest. PTX is a powerful antimitotic agent which promotes stabilization of tubulin polymerization and consequently cell cycle arrest at the G2/M phase, resulting in inhibition of mitosis and apoptotic cell death. PTX binds selectively and reversibly to the β subunit of tubulin, to promote tubulin polymerization and formation of stable microtubules even in the absence of GTP. This leads to disruption of the equilibrium between the tubulin dimer-polymer in favour of polymer assembly [41].

PTX release kinetics and modeling

The PTX entrapment efficiency as calculated by equations (2) and (3) was 84% which was similar to the reported PTX-loaded chitosan microspheres at 84% [29], but higher than HA-Pt conjugation of 73% [42], and HA-Pt NPs of 81% [43]. To assess the potential of HA NPs as a control drug carrier of PTX, the release behaviour of 1% PTX from HA NPs was assessed at 37°C ± 1 in PBS (pH 7.4) using dialysis method. As shown in Figure 7, the PTX release from the NPs involves two phases – an initial burst phase for the first 12 h, with about 60-70% drug release, followed by a continuous release phase until 48 hrs. Initial phase could be due to those PTX located on or near the surface of NPs; while the second slow and uniform release could be caused by the drugs from inside the NPs. The release kinetics may follow 3 stages: (i) penetration...
mechanism is incorporated in Korsmeyer-Peppas model where root of time dependant process based on Fickian diffusion. Hixson-hydroxypropyl methylcellulose with $K = 0.1414$ (R$^2=0.993$). Initial release kinetics corresponds well with ibuprofen release from overall PTX release is therefore concentration dependant, and the drug dosage also affect the release kinetics. The target site in the body complete release, as the dissolution of HA may have been slower. Apart from the material construct, the chemical characteristics of drug and drug dosage also affect the release kinetics. The target site in the body and the possible use of pH or temperature to trigger the drug release are all important considerations to be optimized.

Kinetics study of drug release in vitro will be useful to explain the release behavior of drug from NPs. As diffusion and dissolution are two meaningful influencing factors, the release kinetics can be correlated to bioavailability. Zero order kinetics suggests that the release rate is independent of the concentration of dissolved drug, while first order kinetics describes a concentration-dependant release of drug. As shown in Table 2, with zero-order release kinetic constant for initial burst, $K_o = 5.726$ (R$^2=0.985$) and the overall release $K = 1.719$ (R$^2=0.661$), PTX release may be independent of concentration during early release. The overall release however cannot be fully explained by zero-order kinetics. This is different from repaglinide released from PNPs, which shows zero-order release constant, $K_o = 0.885$ (R$^2=0.968$), and ibuprofen release from hydroxypropyl methylcellulose which exhibits zero order constant value $K = 4.004$ (R$^2=0.967$), suggesting that both drugs are released independently of drug concentration [45,46]. The PTX released from HA-PTX NPs is better described by First-order kinetics as shown in Figure 8a and 8b with the release kinetics of initial burst, $K = 0.107$ (R$^2=0.999$), and the overall release, $K = 0.127$ (R$^2=0.963$). The overall PTX release is therefore concentration dependant, and the initial release kinetics corresponds well with ibuprofen release from hydroxypropyl methylcellulose with $K = 0.1414$ (R$^2=0.993$).

Higuchi model describes the release of drug from matrix as a square root of time dependant process based on Fickian diffusion. Hixson-Crowell model proposes drug release from a system where there is a change in surface area and diameter of particles or tablets. The diffusion mechanism is incorporated in Korsmeyer-Peppas model where $K_{dp}$ is the kinetic constant and slope $n$ is an exponent characterizing the diffusional mechanism. The value of $n = 0.45$ suggests a Fickian release (case I); between 0.45 < $n$ < 0.89 for non-Fickian diffusion anomalous release; and $n = 0.89$ for case II (zero order) release. Case II transport generally refers to the dissolution of the polymeric matrix due to the relaxation of the polymer chain, and the anomalous transport (non-Fickian) refers to the summation of both diffusion and dissolution of a controlled drug release [44].

The overall release of drug is best explained by Higuchi (R$^2 = 0.998$) and Korsmeyer-Peppas (R$^2 = 0.996$) models as shown in Figures 8c and 8d. The released data fitted to Higuchi’s Model with $K_{dp} = 24.87$ (R$^2 = 0.998$) agrees well with the release of ibuprofen from hydroxypropyl methylcellulose with $K_{dp} = 17.569$ (R$^2 = 0.999$) and aceclofenac from hydroxypropyl methylcellulose with $K_{dp} = 21.73$ (R$^2 = 0.99$) [46,47]. The first initial drug release mechanism fitted to Korsmeyer-Peppas model with $K_{dp} = 12.336$ and $n = 0.7439$ indicates that the non-Fickian diffusion is predominant having anomalous transport including both diffusion and dissolution of controlled drug release. Previous studies with repaglinide have reported n = 0.485 suggesting that the release rate is diffusion and erosion control mechanism, but with lower R$^2 = 0.969$ [45]. The reported value of n = 0.2465 is not considered within the limit of Korsmeyer-Peppas model due to complex phenomena (swelling, diffusion and erosion) even with high R$^2 = 0.994$, but diffusion with erosion could be the mechanism for the drug release from methylcellullose based matrix tablet [46]. Based on Hixson-Crowell model (data not shown), the $K_{dp} = -0.1076$ (R$^2 = 0.956$) was better fitted than the aceclofenac released from Hydroxypropyl methylcellulose with $K_{dp} = -0.134$ (R$^2 = 0.61$) [47]. The low $IC_{50}$ of HA-PTX as discussed earlier clearly supports the suggestion on successful controlled-release, concentration dependent, diffusion mechanism as proposed by first order kinetics, Higuchi and Korsmeyer-Peppas models.

**Conclusion**

PTX entrapped HA NPs were successfully synthesized and developed. The cross-linked HA polymers with entrapped PTX was confirmed by FTIR analysis with the PTX characteristic peaks observed...
where diffusion and dissolution are predominant mechanisms with kinetics best described by Higuchi and Korsmeyer-Peppas models from the HA-PTX NPs in a biphasic manner, where initial drug release enhanced the cytotoxicity with increased apoptosis. PTX was released Analysis showed that PTX induced apoptosis, whilst HA-PTX the morphological changes in A549 cell lines where Flow Cytometric while HA-Pt had similar cytotoxic effects with cisplatin. PTX induced cells with HA-PTX showing 2-3 fold more cytotoxic effects than PTX, than cisplatin especially towards breast and lung colorectal cancer molecules as suggested by XRD. PTX and HA-PTX were more sensitive non- Fickian diffusion.

value of 0.7439 indicating that the drug transport follows anomalous or
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