Statistical descriptions of delivered quantity of water by sources in the federation of Bosnia & Herzegovina
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Abstract—Bosnia and Herzegovina is a country rich in unpolluted water resources which could in the future become the driving force of its economic development. In accordance with EU legislation, adopted in 2006, the Federal Law on Water, which among other things regulates the amount of water delivered in order for their sustainable use. The purpose of this study is to determine the trend and statistical descriptions of the amount of water delivered to the sources in the Federation of Bosnia & Herzegovina (FB&H), for the period 2001-2015. The minimum value of the total amount of water delivered in FB&H for the period 2001-2015 (in thousands m³) was 216620 × 10³ (m³) of water in 2014 and the maximum 237 480 × 10³ (m³) of water in 2005.

1 Introduction

BOSNIA and Herzegovina is a country in Southeastern Europe, located in the west part of the Balkan Peninsula. Border states of Bosnia and Herzegovina are the Republic of Serbia at north-east, Republic of Croatia at the north, west and south and Republic of Montenegro at south-east.

It is administratively divided in to entities and one district: Federation of Bosnia & Herzegovina (FB&H) and Republic of Srpska (RS) and Brčko District. According to the census from 2013, the total number of people living in FB&H is 3531159.

Total surface of FB&H is 51209.2 km², of which the land surface is 51197 km² and the surface of coastal sea is 12.2 km². Territory of FB&H covers two river basins: basin of the river Sava that includes 75.7% and the basin of Adriatic sea that includes 24.3% of the total surface of the country. Bosnia and Herzegovina is rich in salt and fresh water resources. There are listed 262 rivers on its territory. Surface waters of FB&H are, according to the global standards, the waters of high quality. Bosnia and Herzegovina is rich in underground waters. Thermal and mineral waters are one of its most important natural resources. Coast of B&H is south from the delta of Neretva river, its total length is 22 km. Bosnia and Herzegovina has the access to the sea which ahs the surface of 8 km².

Waters of Bosnia and Herzegovina are unpolluted and in the future they could becme the initiator of its economic development. Insufficient spatial and temporal distribution of water flow requires the construction of the plant for managing the waters of significat size and complexity, in order to provide rational exploitation of waters, protection of quality and quantity of waters and protection against harmful effects of the water.

Federal law on waters that is under jurisdiction of the Federal Ministry of Agriculture, Waterpower Engineering and Forestry and Federal Ministry of Environment and Tourism has appropriately related competences by this Law, regulates the manner of water management within the territory of Federation of Bosnia & Herzegovina (water protection, water usage, protection against harmful effect of waters and regulation of waterflow and other waters), water resources, public water resources, water objects, legal entities and other institutions competent for particular issues of water management and other issues related to the waters of FB&H. The purpose of this law is to reduce the water polution, achieve good state of waters and prevent waters degradation; achievement of sustainable water usage; ensuring a just access to waters; achievement of social and economic development; ecosystem protection; reduction of risk against floods and other negative water impacts; ensuring the participation of the public in making decisions that refer to waters; prevention and solving of the conflicts related to the protection and water usage; meeting the
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obligations from international contracts that are obligatory for Bosnia and Herzegovina.

The most important characteristic of water is its quality. In the world there is a defined set of indicators of water quality, which are incorporated in the so-called Water Quality Index (WQI). In table 1 is given Water Quality Index (WQI) and status of water quality by C. Chaterjee and M. Razuddin [1].

Table 1. Water quality index (wqi) and status of water quality by c. Chaterjee and m. Razuddin [1]

| Water Quality Index (WQI) | Water Quality Status or Category
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 0-25                     | Excellent Water Quality          |
| 26-50                    | Good Water Quality               |
| 51-75                     | Poor Water Quality               |
| 76-100                   | Very Poor Water Quality          |
| >100                     | Unfit for drinking               |

Analysis of WQI index as an indicator for evaluation and classification of different water types and in different world territories is presented in the following papers: for Lagos (Nigeria) by T. A. Adesalu and D. I. Nwankwo [2], for Douro River by A. A. Bordalo, R. Teixeira and W. J. Wiebe [3], for Warri River (Nigeria) by A. B. M. Egboroge and J. Benka-Coker [4], for Tuticorin coastal city (south India) by S. Selvam, G. Manimaran, P. Sivasubramanian, N. Balasubramanian and T. Seshunarayana [5], for five river basins in Europe by C. P. Von der Ohe, A. Prüß, R. B. Schäfer, M. Liess, E. De Deckere and W. Brack [6].

Water quality index (WQI) as management tool is given by N. C. Ferreira, C. Bonetti and W. Q. Seiffert [7] and as classification tool is given by H. Boyacioglu [8] and by P. R. Kannal, S. Lee, Y.-S. Lee, S. R. Kanel and S. P. Khan [9].

WQI for coastal water is given by A. K. Gupta, S. K. Gupta and R. S. Patil [10] and for evaluating surface water quality by F. W. Kaurish and T. Younos [11].

Prediction of WQI by regression analysis (RA) and artificial neural networks (ANN) is given by E. R. Rene and M. B. Saidutta [12].

2 Data sources and methods

Data on values of delivered amounts of water according to the springs in FB&H (in thousands m³), are taken from Statistic Yearbooks of the Federation of Bosnia & Herzegovina (FB&H) Bureau of Statistics, for the period 2001-2015, Statistical yearbook of Federation of Bosnia & Herzegovina for 2006 [13], Statistical yearbook of Federation of Bosnia & Herzegovina for 2009 [14], Statistical yearbook of Federation of Bosnia & Herzegovina for 2012 [15], Statistical yearbook of Federation of Bosnia & Herzegovina for 2016 [16], are given in Table 2.

Table 2. Supplied amounts of water according to the springs in FB&H, for period from 2001-2015 (in thousands m³)

| Year | TVWPWSS | VWTWSS | Total |
|------|---------|--------|-------|
| 2001 | 219255  | 4074   | 223329|
| 2002 | 218292  | 5888   | 224180|
| 2003 | 221554  | 7843   | 229397|
| 2004 | 229168  | 7936   | 237104|
| 2005 | 229573  | 7907   | 237480|
| 2006 | 220860  | 7271   | 228131|
| 2007 | 217123  | 6437   | 223560|
| 2008 | 223156  | 6789   | 229945|
| 2009 | 218021  | 7016   | 225037|
| 2010 | 219313  | 6570   | 225883|
| 2011 | 220814  | 6783   | 227597|
| 2012 | 219926  | 6438   | 226364|
| 2013 | 219401  | 6971   | 226372|
| 2014 | 209668  | 6952   | 216620|
| 2015 | 216481  | 7486   | 223967|

Note:
TVWPWSS - Total volume of water from the public water supply system,
VWTWSS - Volume of water taken from other water supply systems.

Fig.s 1-3 show a graphical presentation of the trend of supplied amounts of water in FB&H according to the springs, for the period 2001-2015 (in thousands m³) as well as their annual growth rate (AGR) in (%) for:
1) TVWPWSS (total volume of water from the public water supply system) (Fig. 1);
2) VWTWSS (volume of water taken from other water supply systems) (Fig. 2);
3) Total (Fig. 3).

Value of supplied amounts of water from TVWPWSS (total volume of water from the public water supply system) in FB&H for period from 2001-2015 (in thousands m³) is reduced by 2774·10³ (m³) water (from 219255·10³ (m³) water in 2001 to 216481·10³ (m³) water in 2015), with cumulative growth index (CGI) of 98,73%.
in relation to the year 2001, and it also had a continuous trend of supplied amounts of water from TVWPWSS in FB&H (Fig. 1). Mean value of delivered amounts of water from TVWPWSS in FB&H for period from 2001-2015 (in thousands m$^3$) is 220174·10$^3$ (m$^3$) of water. The highest annual growth of supplied amounts of water from TVWPWSS in FB&H was in 2004 by 7614·10$^3$ (m$^3$) water or by 3.44%, and then in 2015 for 6813·10$^3$ (m$^3$) water or by 3.25% etc. (Fig. 1).

The highest annual reduction of delivered amounts of water from TVWPWSS in FB&H was in 2014 by 9733·10$^3$ (m$^3$) water or by 4.44%, and then in 2006 by 8713·10$^3$ (m$^3$) water or by 3.80% etc. (Fig. 1).

Value of the total supplied amount of water in FB&H for period from 2001-2015 (in thousands m$^3$) was increased by $638·10^3$ (m$^3$) of water (from $223329·10^3$ (m$^3$) water in 2001 to $223967·10^3$ (m$^3$) water in 2015), with cumulative growth index (CGI) of 100.29% in relation to 2001, and it had a continuous trend of total supplied waters in FB&H (Fig. 3). Mean value of total supplied water amount in FB&H for the period 2001-2015 (in thousands m$^3$) is 226998·10$^3$ (m$^3$) water.

The highest annual growth of total supplied water amounts in FB&H was in 2015 by 7347·10$^3$ (m$^3$) water or by 3.39%, and then in 2004 by 7707·10$^3$ (m$^3$) water or by 3.36% etc. (Fig. 3).

The highest annual reduction of total supplied water amount in FB&H was in 2014 by 9752·10$^3$ (m$^3$) water or by 4.31%, and then in 2006 by 9349·10$^3$ (m$^3$) water or by 3.94% etc. (Fig. 3).

Fig. 1. Graphical presentation of supplied amounts of water from TVWPWSS in FB&H and their annual growth rate (AGR) in (%).

Fig. 2. Graphical presentation of supplied amounts of water from VWTWSS in FB&H and their annual growth rate (AGR) in (%).

Fig. 3. Graphical presentation of total supplied water amounts in FB&H and their annual growth rate (AGR) in (%).
Fig. 4. Graphical presentation of the structure and share in percents of the sources of supplied water amount in FB&H for 2015 (in thousands m³).

Statistical descriptions parameter of delivered quantity of water by sources in the FB&H is given in table 3.

Table 3. Statistical descriptions parameter of delivered QUANTITY OF WATER BY SOURCES IN THE FB&H

| Measures      | Sign | TVWPWSS | VWTWSS | Total  |
|---------------|------|---------|--------|--------|
| Minimum       | Min  | 0.21 mil | 4074   | 216620 |
| Maximum       | Max  | 0.22 mil | 7936   | 237480 |
| Range         | Rx   | 0.02 mil | 3862   | 20860  |
| Total         | Sum  | 3.3 mil  | 102361 | 3404966|
| Arithmetic mean| Aver | 0.22 mil | 6824.067| 226997.733|
| Geometric mean | GM  | 0.22 mil | 6748.025| 226941.704|
| Harmonic mean | HM  | 0.22 mil | 6655.340| 226886.032|
| Median        | Med  | 0.22 mil | 6952   | 226364 |
| Std. deviation| SD   | 0.004 mil | 962.344| 5237.782|
| Variance      | Var  | 23.18 mil | 926106.210| 27434357.9|
| Coef. of variation | CV | 2.187   | 14.102 | 2.307  |
| Skewness      | Sk   | 0.244   | -1.633 | 0.549  |
| Kurtosis      | Ku   | 1.732   | 4.204  | 1.297  |

Fig. 5 graphically presents arithmetic (Aver), geometric (GM) and harmonic mean (HM) and median (Med) for delivered quantity of water by sources in the FB&H.

Minimum value of delivered amounts of water from TVWPWSS in FB&H for period from 2001-2015 was 209668 (in thousands m³) in 2014, and maximum 229573 (in thousands m³) in 2005.

Minimum value of supplied water amounts from VWTWSS in FB&H for period from 2001-2015 was 4074 (in thousands m³) in 2001, and maximum 7936 (in thousands m³) in 2004.

Minimum value of the total supplied water amounts in FB&H for period from 2001-2015 was 216620 (in thousands m³) in 2014, and maximum 237480 (in thousands m³) in 2005.

Conclusion

Continuous trend of supplied water amounts in FB&H for the period 2001-2015 had the water from TVWPWSS (total volume of water from the public water supply system) (Fig. 1) with cumulative growth index (CGI) by 98.73% in relation to 2001, and mean value of 226998 × 10³ (m³) water and total supplied water amount (Fig. 3) with the cumulative growth index (CGI) by 100.29% in relation to 2001 and mean value of 226998 × 10³ (m³) water.

Trend of a great growth wavering of the value of delivered amounts of water in FB&H for the period 2001-2015 had the waters from VWTWSS (volume of water taken from other water supply systems) (Fig. 2) with cumulative growth index (CGI) by 183.75% in relation to 2001.

Based on the structure and share in percents of the sources of supplied water amounts in FB&H for 2015 (in thousands m³) (Fig. 4) have the waters from underground waters (46.72 %), and then the waters from springs (39.29 %). Waters from underground waters (46.72 %) and waters from springs have a percentage share of 86.01 % in supplied water amounts in FB&H for 2015 (in thousands m³) while the other sources have a percentage share of only 13.99 %.

The arithmetic mean value of the delivered amount of water in FB&H for the period 2001-2015 (in thousands of m³) is 226998 × 10³ (m³) of water, geometric mean is 226942 × 10³ (m³) and harmonic mean is 226886 × 10³ (m³). Sum of total delivered amount of water in FB&H for period 2001-2015 (in thousands of m³) was 3404966×10³ (m³) of water. The value of the total delivered amount of water in FB&H for the period 2001-2015 (in thousands of m³) had a constant trend of the total delivered amount of water in FB&H, and has increased by 638 × 10³ (m³) of water (from 223329 × 10³ (m³) of water in 2001 to 223967 × 10³ (m³) of water in 2015), with a cumulative growth index (CGI) of 100.29% compared to 2001. Largest annual growth of
The total delivered amount of water in FB&H was in 2015 for \( \text{7347} \times 10^3 \) (\( \text{m}^3 \)) of water or for 3.39% etc. Highest annual decrease of total delivered amount of water in FB&H was in 2014 for \( \text{9752} \times 10^3 \) (\( \text{m}^3 \)) of water or for 4.31% etc.
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