Natural orifice surgery applied for colorectal diseases
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Abstract

Clinical natural orifice surgery has been applied to abdominal surgery in recent years, mostly using transvaginal and transgastric access. Rectal and transcolonic natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery (NOTES) were tested in animal and cadaver models by a few research groups. Despite the potential advantages of transcolonic NOTES for colorectal diseases, it has not yet been clinically applied. The first successful series of human applications of transcolonic NOTES in the literature from the NOTES Research Group in Brazil provide new possibilities in the field in new transrectal procedures for rectal cancer and benign disease. Successful first human reports on Transcolonic NOTES potentially brings new frontiers and applications for minimally invasive surgery. The treatment of colorectal diseases through flexible Perirectal NOTES Access is a promising new approach alongside existing laparoscopic and open surgery to improve patient care.

© 2010 Baishideng. All rights reserved.

Key words: Rectal cancer; Total mesorectal excision; Colorectal surgery; Natural orifice surgery; Natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery; Minimally invasive surgery

Peer reviewers: Rungsun Rerknimitr, MD, Division of Gastroenterology, Internal Medicine, King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital, Rama IVC Rd, Lumpini, Bangkok 10310, Thailand; Tsuyoshi Konishi, MD, PhD, Department of Gastroenterological Surgery, Cancer Institute Hospital, 3-10-6 Ariake, Koto-ku, Tokyo 135-8550, Japan; Akira Tsunoda, MD, PhD, Professor Chief, Department of Surgery, Kameda Medical Center 929 Higashi-cho, Kamogawa City, Chiba 296-8602, Japan

Zorron R. Natural orifice surgery applied for colorectal diseases. World J Gastrointest Surg 2010; 2(2): 35-38 Available from: URL: http://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9366/full/v2/i2/35.htm DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.4240/wjgs.v2.i2.35

INTRODUCTION

Natural orifice surgery has emerged in recent years as a promising new alternative to open and laparoscopic abdominal surgery. Potential benefits in avoiding surgical incision complications led to the first successful series of clinical applications in the literature for transvaginal and transgastric natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery (NOTES)\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\).

Transcolonic NOTES surgery was the subject of a few recent experimental studies that suggested that the access could be an attractive option for treating colonic and abdominal diseases\(^4\)\(^-\)\(^8\). Technical obstacles such as the risk of infection, safe entrance in the abdominal cavity and reliable colonic closure remain as issues preventing transcolonic clinical applications in the same time frame as vaginal and transoral access. The research group at our institution effectively developed new flexible techniques on transcolonic studies in an animal set and a transcolonic perirectal access was designed to allow access and therapy in the abdominal cavity and retroperitoneal space. Transcolonic NOTES has the potential to diagnose intra abdominal diseases and promote cancer staging, as well as representing a direct access to left colon diseases such as rectal and sigmoid cancer, diverticular disease and ulcerative colitis.

A technique developed at our institution and tested in an animal model, Perirectal NOTES Access (PNA), was used to start clinical applications. In 2009 this research enabled the performing of the first transcolonic NOTES human series in the literature using flexible endoscopic
Instruments to allow rectosigmoidectomy and total mesorectal excision (TME) for rectal cancer and applications for other benign diseases such as diverticulosis (Figures 1-3).

**EVOLUTION OF THE COLORECTAL NOTES CONCEPT**

In the last few years, there has been an increase in interest in the surgical community in even lesser invasive procedures to diagnose and treat abdominal diseases since the evolution of laparoscopy. Natural orifice surgery, other than promising potential advantages and enthusiasm, had not yet been seen as superior to standard surgical therapy for any medical indication. Experimental and clinical studies still demonstrate that evolution of technology is needed to expand the possibilities of the new field to allow safety and efficiency of NOTES techniques.

Publications and presentations from scientific meetings since 2007 have described the first clinical human applications of the new method following IRB approval for human trials on NOTES in different countries. Since Zorrón et al., Marescaux et al., Zorrig et al. and Branco Filho et al. described successful NOTES transvaginal cholecystectomy in early 2007, other groups followed and published their initial clinical results of the techniques, mostly assisted by laparoscopy for retraction or for camera purposes. Transgastric NOTES was first performed by Rao and Reddy. A large multicenter trial on NOTES (IMTN trial) describes the results of 362 cases with results similar to laparoscopy and longer operative times.

Whereas, most investigators have chosen to gain peritoneal access via a vaginal or an oral transgastric route, few have investigated the transcolonic route. The transcolonic approach has several theoretic advantages over the transgastric route. By eliminating the need for scope retroflexion for upper abdominal surgery, it allows a more direct access and closer route than a transgastric approach and the anorectum allows passage of larger diameter instrumentation and retrieval of larger specimens.

Transcolonic and transvaginal extractions of specimens in laparoscopic surgery have been more constantly used in recent years to allow larger organs such as the spleen, kidney and colon to be retrieved avoiding an abdominal incision. These techniques, classified as NOSE (natural orifice specimen extraction), showed good results with few complications reported. NOTES Transvaginal colectomy assisted by minilaparoscopy was first described in clinical setting by Lacy et al., naming the technique as MA-NOS in a patient for sigmoid cancer. Burghardt et al. reported a case of hybrid transvaginal right colectomy.

Transanally Endoscopic Microsurgery, developed and described by Buess et al. in the early 1980s, is currently a minimally invasive alternative for most benign lesions of the rectum and represents pioneer efforts in the field of natural orifice surgery. Resection of tumors in the upper rectum with entry into the suture closure of the peritoneum has recently been described without an increase in infectious postoperative complications.

Transcolonic NOTES using rigid transanal systems was the subject of research in recent experimental and cadaver studies. Whiteford et al. showed the feasibility of rigid NOTES sigmoid resection in three cadavers using transanal endoscopic microsurgery and allowing en-bloc lymphadenectomy and transanal retrieval and Anastomosis.
They found that the main obstacles for the use of rigid systems in colorectal surgery are the acute angle created by the sacral promontory and the limited reach of current instruments. Sylla et al. successfully performed a technique using rigid transanal resection of the colon and sometimes a transgastric flexible access to allow mobilization of the colon in a set of 14 non-survival and cadaver animal experiments. Leroy et al. described a combined technique using flexible and rigid transrectal and flexible transgastric accesses to perform sigmoidectomy in a pig model. The technique using the concept of Totally NOTES resulted in survival of all 5 pigs and no signs of peritonitis or intra-abdominal abscess was found either in laparoscopy or by laparotomy on the 14th postoperative day. The authors pointed to the possibility of performing colorectal natural orifice surgery using available instruments.

TME with high lymphadenectomy is the standard of care for curative resection of rectal cancer, and minimally invasive surgery is showing growing evidence of being similar to open surgery in treating the disease. The Brazilian NOTES Research Group, using the PNA, performed radical TME in the opposite of laparoscopic and open direction (from anal to rectosigmoid junction) performed along embryologic fusion planes, beginning the dissection by gaining access to the presacral plane located between the mesorectum and the presacral fascia (Figures 1-3). Entry and subsequent dissection in this avascular plane using flexible endoscopic equipment was more hazardous than formal laparoscopy due to limited instrumentation, but retroperitoneoscopy improved the exposure and dissection inside the anatomic plane. The new access provided safe entry and closure also for benign colorectal disease (diverticulosis) and as access for appendectomy and liver biopsy (Figures 4 and 5).

Naturally, transrectal access raises concerns of infection control and still requires the need for secure closure of the colorectum. However, a low entry point, as described in this human series using a retroperitoneal tunnel instead of puncturing the recto-sigmoid junction, previously closing the proximal rectum allowed for a relatively isolated field that can be adequately disinfected. Despite the need of a colorectal perforation, choosing the entry point in the exact planned line of anastomosis minimizes the fear of unnecessary viscerotomy. The original transcolonic PNA allows a low and safe perforation of the rectum with ease and a safe entrance and closure under direct view. Former studies also described use of natural orifice surgery for cancer staging, using a transgastric or a transvaginal approach. Retroperitoneal insufflation and retroperitoneoscopy also represents original access to perform transcolonic retroperitoneal lymph node biopsies and even pancreatic, renal or adrenal surgery. The PNA promotes a fast and easy access to abdominal cavity, avoiding the need of expensive technology for access.

The described new NOTES access for rectal cancer adhere to the principles of oncologic resection and opens a wide range of possibilities for future applications of transcolonic NOTES for colorectal surgery. Advantages over existing laparoscopic and open methods cannot be distinguished in this early concept; however flexible transorificial performance of colorectal operations seems promising.
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