Immunohistochemical Analysis of Retinoblastoma and β-Catenin as an Assistant Tool in the Differential Diagnosis between Crohn’s Disease and Ulcerative Colitis
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Abstract

In about 10–15% of patients with inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) there is no clear definitive differential diagnosis between Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC) and the disease is classified as indeterminate colitis. Since pharmacological and surgical treatments differ in CD and UC, establishing a correct diagnosis is critical. The aim of this work was to access the expression profile of proteins involved in colonic inflammation and cancer in samples from CD and UC. For that, colon samples from 24 CD, 21 UC and 10 control patients were processed for immunohistochemistry using anti-phosphorylated RB at Ser807/811 and anti-β-catenin. Crypts were blinded, analyzed and counted for phosphorylated RB-positive (phospho-RB) cells or scored for positive β-catenin staining. Western blot was used for confirming immunohistochemical results: RB phosphorylation was significantly greater in colon samples from patients with CD compared with UC (p<0.005). In contrast, the expression of β-catenin was significantly increased in UC compared with CD (p<0.005) samples. Phospho-RB and β-catenin are negatively correlated (CC = −0.573; p = 0.001). A positive phospho-RB test yielded high levels of sensitivity, specificity, negative and positive predictive values, and accuracy for the diagnosis of CD against UC. This work indicates that RB phosphorylation and β-catenin nuclear translocation are differently expressed in CD and UC, and provide novel insights into the pathogenic mechanisms of IBD. In particular, rates of phospho-RB-positive cells in mucosal samples emerge as a promising tool for the differential diagnosis of patients with IBD.
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Introduction

Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC) are the two main forms of inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD), characterized by intestinal inflammation and ulceration of unknown etiology [1,2]. Although CD and UC share similar pathophysiological mechanisms, such as immune activation, leukocyte infiltration and increased colonic vascular density, they usually present important differences regarding anatomical localization, histopathological findings, disease progression and therapeutic response [3,4]. The diagnosis of CD and UC currently relies on a combination of clinical, endoscopic, histological, and imaging parameters [5,6]. Nevertheless, a subset of patients remains indeterminate in their diagnosis, whenever presenting exclusively with colitis [7,8].

In fact, the differentiation between Crohn’s colitis and UC can be challenging sometimes, even to experienced clinicians, and the rates of indeterminate colitis, also known as IBD-unclassified (IBD-U) has not changed significantly over the past thirty years [9,10]. Of note, since pharmacological and particularly surgical treatments, as well as the concerns of associated tumorigenesis differ in CD and UC [11], the establishment of a correct diagnosis is of paramount importance, and critically influences the disease outcome.

Over the last decades, advances in molecular biology techniques provided a better understanding of the pathogenic mechanisms underlying IBD. To elucidate the molecular events involved in IBD pathogenesis, the efforts of some research groups have been focused on the analysis of protein expression and the investigation of susceptibility genes [12]. Lawrence and co-workers [13] showed significant differences in the expression profile of 170 genes in CD and UC. Christophi and collaborators [14] also showed that several inflammatory mediators, oxidative stress inducers, proteases and mucosal genes were differently regulated in CD and UC, suggesting that each of these diseases have different molecular interactions. However, to this date, no study addressed the differential expression of β-catenin and retinoblastoma protein (RB), two key regulators of colonic proliferation, inflammation, and tumorigenesis, in CD and UC.
β-catenin is mainly detected as part of the adherent junction component, decorating the basolateral membrane of epithelial cells. In the bottom of colonic crypts, however, progenitor cells accumulate cytoplasmic/nuclear β-catenin that binds to members of the transcriptional factors family lymphoid enhancer factor/T-cell factor (LEF/TCF) to drive proliferation [15]. It was already observed that dysplastic areas of UC surgical specimens demonstrated a strong and diffuse nucleocytoplasmic β-catenin immunolabeling [16]. However, β-catenin expression and localization in CD surgical samples has not been investigated, so far. Previous findings from Sturm and co-workers [17] showed that T cells isolated from the intestinal mucosa of CD patients express higher phosphorylation levels of RB than UC T cells. The involvement of RB in colonic inflammation has also been investigated. A mutation in Rb caspase cleavage site (Rb-MI) protects mice colonic epithelium from LPS-induced cell death [18] and from dextran sodium sulfate (DSS)-induced cell death and ulceration [19,20]. These data suggest that RB could protect intestinal epithelial cells against tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-induced cell death in human pathology. Since TNF-α production is increased in IBD [21], we decided to investigate RB expression and phosphorylation levels in epithelial cells from CD and UC patients.

In this work, we show that there is a remarkable difference in the expression of β-catenin and RB phosphorylation (phospho-RB). The colonic epithelium from UC patients shows an increase in β-catenin cytoplasmic and nuclear accumulation, whereas epithelial cells from CD patients present an increase in RB phosphorylation.

Materials and Methods

Patients

Forty-seven patients with IBD, 24 with Crohn’s disease (CD), 21 with ulcerative colitis (UC), and 2 with IBD unclassified (IBDU) were enrolled in this study. The diagnosis of IBD was confirmed by the routine clinical, radiological, endoscopic and histological criteria.

Patients with CD consisted of 13 women and 11 men, with a mean age of 38 years (range 18–65 years). All patients had active CD at the time of the study, based on the Simple Index of Harvey

Figure 1. Immunofluorescence staining for phosphorylated RB is increased in colon biopsies from CD patients. A. RB phosphorylation was detected in colon biopsies from control (non inflamed; n = 5), CD (n = 7) and UC patients (n = 6) by immunofluorescence staining using phosphorylated RB Ser807/811 and phosphorylated RB Thr821/826 antibodies. Nuclei were stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Scale bar = 50 μm. B. Histogram showing RB fluorescence staining intensity in Ser807/811 (white bars) and Thr821/826 (black bars) residues. *p<0.05 when compared with percentage of phosphorylated RB Ser807/811-positive cells in UC samples and ##p<0.01 when compared with percentage of phosphorylated RB Thr821/826-positive cells in UC samples.
and aminosalicylates. Twelve patients had limited left-sided colitis and nine had pancolitis.

Of the two cases of IBDU, both presented moderate to severe pancolonic disease, where criteria for either CD or UC could not be definitively established by the time of the sample collection for the study. One of them was a 29-year old woman with a history of undiagnosed hemorrhagic diarrhea, with abdominal pain, weight loss, and anemia, which had been present for the last 3 years. The other patient was a 50-year old woman with a 4-year history of undiagnosed diarrhea, with abdominal pain and tenesmus, peripheral arthritis and scars on the lower limbs, possibly compatible with previous pyoderma gangrenosum. At the time of colonoscopy and biopsies, both were taking immunosuppressors and aminosalicylates.

Control patients consisted of five individuals with diverticular disease, four with benign polyps, and one with benign intestinal obstruction. The control group comprised five men and five women, with a mean age of 44 years (range: 18–64 years). None of the controls were taking any medication by the time of the study.

The study protocol was approved by the Ethical Committee of the University Hospital, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, and written informed consent was obtained from all patients (approval number # 188/08).

**Colonic specimens**

Biopsy specimens from individuals undergoing diagnostic or surveillance colonoscopy, or surgical resections were obtained at the Hospital Universitário Clementino Fraga Filho, Rio de Janeiro.

Histologically normal tissue was obtained from at least 10 cm from the polyps or diverticula. IBD samples were all inflamed colonic specimens from patients with CD and UC. All control patients had normal colonoscopy, and the mucosal biopsies were histologically normal. Specimens were fixed in 40 g/l formaldehyde saline, embedded in paraffin, cut into serial sections of 5 μm, and submitted to the different staining procedures.

**Immunohistochemical evaluation**

Immunohistochemical staining was performed using a LSAB+ System-HRP (DakoCytomation, Denmark) kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Amigen retrieval was performed boiling the slides in 10 mM citrate buffer pH 6.0 for 10 min. Sections were incubated with anti-phosphorylated RB at Ser807/811 antibody (1:100, Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA) and anti-β-catenin (1:100, BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). Slides were developed using 3,3′-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride and counterstained with methyl green (Sigma Chemical Co, St Louis, USA).

For the immunofluorescence studies, slides were incubated with anti-phosphorylated RB at Ser807/811 (1:100, Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA), at Thr821/826 (1:50, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) and anti-β-catenin (1:100, BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). The secondary antibodies used were donkey anti-rabbit conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 (green) or goat anti-mouse (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) conjugated to Alexa Fluor 594 (red). Nuclei were stained with DAPI (4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole). Fluorescence intensity was evaluated using Photoshop 7.0.1 software (Adobe), by analyzing at least five representative fields for each sample obtained through a fluorescence microscope (Nikon TE 300) under 400x magnification.

**Quantitative Assessment of Colon Sections**

Two experienced observers, who were unaware of the experimental data, carried out all histomorphological analyses of tissue sections. Any epithelial cells exhibiting identifiable reactivity distinct from background were regarded as positive. For counting...
phosphorylated RB-positive epithelial cells, sections of at least 10 crypts from each colon sample were analyzed, and percentages were defined by the number of immunoreactive cells in relation to total cells (immunoreactive and non-immunoreactive cells). Results are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean (S.E.M).

For analyzing the expression of β-catenin, at least three representative photomicrographs (200× magnification) of each case were obtained. A semi-quantitative analysis was then performed, based on the staining intensity (at nuclei, cytoplasm and cell membrane): 0 (no staining), 1 (weak staining), 2 (medium staining) and 3 (strong staining). Representative photomicrographs of this score are shown in Fig. 3B. For the purpose of comparing results among groups, staining intensity categories were converted into numerical and the average reading was recorded.

Protein extraction from paraffin-embedded tissues

Protein extraction from paraffin-embedded colonic biopsies was carried out according to Nirmalan et al [24]. For each sample, three 10 μm sections from CD, UC and control patients were used. Samples were collected into a glass tube, deparaffinized in three baths of xylene (10 min each), rehydrated in 100%, 90%, 80% and 70% ethanol baths, centrifuged and minced with scissors for 15 s in PBS (phosphate-buffered saline) buffer on an ice-cold plate. Then, each sample was centrifuged, resuspended in 150 μl freshly prepared Laemmli buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 2% w/v SDS, 20% v/v glycerol, 4% v/v β-mercaptoethanol), heated at 105°C for 20 min, and cooled for 5 min on ice. Protein concentration was calculated using the Bradford assay [25].

![Figure 3. β-catenin is overexpressed in colonic samples from UC patients. A. Colonic biopsies from control (non inflamed), CD and UC patients were stained by immunohistochemistry using anti-β-catenin antibody. Slides were counterstained with methyl green. Scale bar = 50 μm. B. Representative pictures of β-catenin staining scores (0 to 3). C. Graph shows the mean scores of β-catenin expression intensity in control (n = 5), CD (n = 14) and UC (n = 14) samples. *p = 0.019 when compared with β-catenin expression scores in CD samples. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0070786.g003](#)

![Figure 4. Immunoblotting detection of phospho-Rb and β-catenin in samples from control, CD and UC samples. A. Representative images of immunoblots from control (CTL, n = 4), CD (n = 4) and UC (n = 4) samples, detecting β-catenin expression and RB phosphorylation at ser 807/811 residues (phospho-RB ser807/811), α-tubulin was used as loading control. B. Histogram showing the quantification of RB phosphorylation at Ser807/811 residues in control (CTL), CD and UC samples by band densitometry. C. Histogram showing the quantification of β-catenin expression in control (CTL), CD and UC samples by band densitometry. ***p = 0.005 when compared with UC. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0070786.g004](#)
Western blot

Similar amounts (20 μg) of total protein were loaded on SDS-PAGE, transferred onto a PVDF membrane and probed with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C. HRP conjugated secondary antibodies were incubated for 2 h at room temperature. Primary antibodies used were anti-phosphorylated RB at Ser807/811 (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, USA), anti-β-catenin (BD Biosciences, San Jose, USA) and anti alpha-Tubulin (Sigma Aldrich, St Louis, USA). Secondary antibodies used were Horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies purchased from Invitrogen, CA. In the quantification process, we used alpha-tubulin densitometry of each sample as a normalizing factor for the quantification of phospho-RB and β-catenin.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the statistical software SPSS for Windows (Version 10.0.1, SPSS Inc., 1989–1999, USA). Statistical differences among the experimental groups were determined by ANOVA test in which pair wise multiple comparisons were carried out using the Dunnett’s test and Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. Correlation between phospho-RB and β-catenin was assessed using the Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient. Relative operating characteristic curves (ROC) were applied to tests in order to define thresholds. The ability to discriminate between CD and UC was determined in terms of sensibility, specificity, positive predictive values, negative predictive values, and accuracy based on the clinical, endoscopic, histological, and radiologic parameters as the gold standard for diagnosis. The level of significance was set at P<0.05.

Results

Retinoblastoma phosphorylation is increased in CD colonic samples

Previous studies from our group showed that apoptosis and ulceration induced by colitis in mice depends on Rb cleavage by caspase [18,19], supporting a significant role for RB in intestinal inflammation. Therefore, we sought to determine the potential involvement of retinoblastoma phosphorylation in IBD by analyzing colonic samples from patients. Colonic specimens from 7 patients with CD and 6 patients with UC were firstly screened by immunofluorescence using antibodies directed against serine (anti-phospho RB Ser807/811) and threonine (anti-phospho RB Thr821/836) residues. Fig. 1A shows that RB phosphorylation is increased in colonic samples from patients with CD but not with UC. When compared with control colonic samples, a significantly different phosphorylation of RB Ser807/811 (p<0.05) and Thr821/836 (p<0.01) was observed (Fig. 1B). The levels of RB phosphorylation staining in UC and control samples were not significantly different, although there was a significant difference between RB phosphorylation of CD and UC samples.

To evaluate the RB phosphorylation profile within the colonic samples we performed immunohistochemistry analysis in 15 specimens of CD patients and 13 from UC patients. Fig. 2 shows that, in agreement with our previous findings, RB phosphorylation is significantly increased in colonic samples from patients with CD, compared with UC patients. Of the two patients with IBDU pancolitis, the expression of phosphorylated RB was high (67%) in the 28-year old woman intestinal specimens.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the statistical software SPSS for Windows (Version 10.0.1, SPSS Inc., 1989–1999, USA). Statistical differences among the experimental groups were determined by ANOVA test in which pair wise multiple comparisons were carried out using the Dunnett’s test and Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. Correlation between phospho-RB and β-catenin was assessed using the Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient. Relative operating characteristic curves (ROC) were applied to tests in order to define thresholds. The ability to discriminate between CD and UC was determined in terms of sensibility, specificity, positive predictive values, negative predictive values, and accuracy based on the clinical, endoscopic, histological, and radiologic parameters as the gold standard for diagnosis. The level of significance was set at P<0.05.

Results

Retinoblastoma phosphorylation is increased in CD colonic samples

Previous studies from our group showed that apoptosis and ulceration induced by colitis in mice depends on Rb cleavage by caspase [18,19], supporting a significant role for RB in intestinal inflammation. Therefore, we sought to determine the potential involvement of retinoblastoma phosphorylation in IBD by analyzing colonic samples from patients. Colonic specimens from 7 patients with CD and 6 patients with UC were firstly screened by immunofluorescence using antibodies directed against serine (anti-phospho RB Ser807/811) and threonine (anti-phospho RB Thr821/836) residues. Fig. 1A shows that RB phosphorylation is increased in colonic samples from patients with CD but not with UC. When compared with control colonic samples, a significantly different phosphorylation of RB Ser807/811 (p<0.05) and Thr821/836 (p<0.01) was observed (Fig. 1B). The levels of RB phosphorylation staining in UC and control samples were not significantly different, although there was a significant difference between RB phosphorylation of CD and UC samples.

To evaluate the RB phosphorylation profile within the colonic samples we performed immunohistochemistry analysis in 15 specimens of CD patients and 13 from UC patients. Fig. 2 shows that, in agreement with our previous findings, RB phosphorylation is significantly increased in colonic samples from patients with CD, compared with UC patients. Of the two patients with IBDU pancolitis, the expression of phosphorylated RB was high (67%) in the 28-year old woman intestinal specimens.

Figure 5. Scatter-plot showing the correlation between phospho-RB and β-catenin among patients with CD and UC. ROC curves determined thresholds for establishing positive test values. Phospho-RB is expressed as percentages, while β-catenin is expressed as intensity scores.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0070786.g005

Table 1. Diagnostic capability of phospho-RB and β-catenin immunohistochemical tests in differentiating Crohn’s disease from ulcerative colitis.

| Test           | Sensitivity | Specificity | PPV  | NPV  | Accuracy |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|------|------|----------|
| Phospho-RB (+) | 93.3        | 86.7        | 87.5 | 92.9 | 90.0     |
| β-catenin (−)  | 57.1        | 71.4        | 66.7 | 62.5 | 64.3     |

All values are presented as percentages. Cut-off values were determined by ROC curves, establishing as positive tests: phospho-RB-positive cells ≥24%; and β-catenin score ≥1.4. The positive endpoint was arbitrarily considered for the diagnosis of Crohn’s disease. NPV, negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0070786.t001

Figure 6. Phospho-RB and β-catenin staining pattern helps the differential diagnose of IBDU cases. A. Low phospho-RB ser807/811 and high β-catenin staining in a 50-year old woman patient lately diagnosed with UC (Patient A). B. High phospho-RB ser807/811 and low β-catenin staining in a 28-year old woman lately diagnosed with CD (Patient B). Slides were counterstained with methyl green. Scale bar = 50 μm.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0070786.g006
**Discussion**

Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC) are complex and polygenic diseases caused by deregulation in genes that contribute to innate and adaptive immune responses in predisposed individuals. Despite similar clinical and demographic characteristics, CD and UC carry substantial differences in histopathological appearance and disease course, suggesting distinct etiopathogenic processes [8]. Recent studies point out significant differences in the genetic expression profile of CD and UC, corroborating the idea that they constitute distinct molecular entities [13,14,26–20].

Several proteins have been shown to be implicated in the control of histopathological alterations of CD or UC. We used colon samples of CD and UC patients to verify by immunohistochemistry and by western blot the expression pattern of proteins involved in colonic inflammation and cancer. In our findings, the expression of β-catenin in UC samples was almost two times higher than in CD samples. On the other hand, phosphorylation of retinoblastoma protein (RB) was markedly increased in CD, but not in UC samples. Determining the expression status of these molecules can greatly contribute in the discrimination between CD and UC, and constitute novel biomarkers potentially influencing the therapeutic management and the clinical outcomes of patients with IBD.

RB was the first tumor suppressor identified [29] and has a critical role in controlling cell cycle. Hypophosphorylated RB controls cell cycle by sequestering the E2F family of transcription factors. Mitogenic signals induce RB hyperphosphorylation through cyclin D/CDK4-6 and cyclin E/CDK2, with consequently E2F release and transcription of growth-associated genes [30]. About 70% of all human cancers have mutations in RB upstream proteins, resulting in RB hyperphosphorylation [31,32]. RB phosphorylation is also increased in colon tumor cells following inflammatory stimuli [33,34]. In agreement with our findings, Sturm et al. [17] observed that colonic T cells obtained from CD patients show increased RB phosphorylation than UC-derived T cells. Moreover, DSS-induced colitis increased RB phosphorylation and inactivation in the colonic mucosa [35,36], resulting in increased proliferation through the E2F1 pathway. This RB hyperphosphorylation is mediated by nitric oxide and is dependent of MEK/ERK (mitogen activated protein kinase/extracellular signal-regulated kinase kinase and PI3K [phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase]/AKT pathways [36].

The high rates of phospho-RB found in mucosal samples of CD patients appear to open a conceptual new approach for understanding pathogenic mechanisms of intestinal diseases. In particular, in addition to the new mechanistic observation, the expression of intestinal phospho-RB also potentially emerges as an invaluable tool for the differentiation between CD and UC. Currently, even after all advances in the field of molecular biology, a thorough biologic marker for differentiating CD colitis from UC is still pending. Traditionally, the combination of a positive anti-*Sacccharomyces cerevisiae* antibodies (ASC4) test with a negative perinuclear antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (pANCA) test has been utilized as serological markers for discriminating cases of CD colitis from UC [37,38]. However, there are concerns regarding the utility of these tests, because data show that almost half of the patients may not develop ASC4 or pANCA antibodies [39–41]. In fact, many studies have shown that patients with diseases other than CD, for example, Behcet disease, ankylosing spondylitis and cystic fibrosis, may also have a higher frequency of ASCA seropositivity than the general population [42–44]. In the current study, we present for the first time the analysis of mucosal phospho-RB expression as a potential new surrogate marker for CD, with diagnostic capabilities, in conjunction, better than the ones obtained with combined ASCA and p-ANCA.

The involvement of Wnt pathway is a hallmark of colon cancer. Loss or mutation in the tumor suppressor gene *APC* (which controls β-catenin degradation) causes adenomatous transforma-
tion of intestinal epithelium [45,46] and it is regarded as the main cause of colon cancer in humans [47]. Therefore, most epithelial cells in human colorectal cancer (CRC) samples present nuclear or cytoplasmic accumulation of β-catenin [48]. We have shown that nuclear β-catenin translocation protects colon cancer cells from TNF-induced cell death [49], which may have an important role in chronic intestinal inflammation. Lee and co-workers [50] showed that β-catenin nuclear/cytoplasmic translocation occurs not only in sporadic CRC, but also in human colonic biopsies of UC-induced cancer, UC-induced dysplasia and UC. Van Dekken and collaborators [16] also demonstrated a strong and diffuse nucleo-cyttoplasmic β-catenin immunolabelling in dysplastic areas of UC surgical specimens, corroborating our results. Brown et al. [51] showed that mesalamine, a mainstay therapeutic agent for UC, decreases the expression of Akt-phosphorylated β-catenin on intestinal crypts of UC patients and in an animal model of intestinal chronic inflammation (IL-10−/−).

Taking into consideration the cases of IBDU enrolled in this study, it is interesting to notice that the disease definition as either CD or UC could almost be anticipated by the findings on the intestinal expression of phospho-RB and β-catenin. Whether or not phospho-RB and β-catenin expression could be utilized as adjunct tools for helping in the differential diagnosis is yet to be determined. Nevertheless, the results on the differential expression of phospho-RB and β-catenin lend additional support to the potential role of those proteins in the pathogenesis of the different types of IBD.

Despite all the new studies showing distinct molecular mechanisms in IBD and differences in protein expressions on CD and UC colonic samples [13,14], there are no immunohistochemical-based methods routinely used for IBD diagnosis. Although more research with a greater cohort is needed before immunohistochemical detection of phospho-RB and β-catenin could be routinely applicable as a laboratory test, our findings raise the possibility of using these proteins as potential molecular markers to help in the differentiation between CD and UC, and for elucidating unclassified-IBD cases.
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