Assessing subspecies status of leopards (*Panthera pardus*) of northern Pakistan using mitochondrial DNA

Muhammad Asad Corresponding Author, Francesco Martoni, James G Ross, Muhammad Waseem, Fakhar-i-Abbas, Adrian M Paterson

1 Department of Pest-management and Conservation, Faculty of Agriculture and Life Science, Lincoln University, Lincoln, Canterbury, New Zealand
2 AgriBio Centre for AgriBioscience, Agriculture Victoria Research, Bundoora, Victoria, Australia
3 World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF), Islamabad, Pakistan
4 Bioresource Research Centre, Islamabad, Pakistan

Corresponding Author: Muhammad Asad
Email address: muhammad.asad@lincolnuni.ac.nz

Despite being classified as critically endangered, little work has been done on leopard protection in Pakistan. Once widely present throughout this region, leopards are now sparsely distributed, and possibly extinct, from much of their previously recorded habitat. While leopards show morphological and genetic variation across their species range worldwide, resulting in the classification of nine different subspecies, the leopard genetic structure across Pakistan is unknown, with previous studies including only a very limited sampling. To clarify the genetic status of leopards in Pakistan we investigated the sequence variation in the subunit 5 of the mitochondrial gene NADH from 43 tissue samples and compared it with 238 sequences available from online databases. Phylogenetic analysis clearly separates the Pakistani leopards from the African and Arabian clades, confirming that leopards from Pakistan are members of the Asian clade. Furthermore, we identified two separate subspecies haplotypes within our dataset: *P. p. fusca* (N=23) and *P. p. saxicolor* (N=12).
Assessing subspecies status of leopards (*Panthera pardus*) of northern Pakistan using mitochondrial DNA.

Muhammad Asad¹*, Francesco Martoni², James G. Ross¹, Muhammad Waseem³, Fakhar-I-Abbas⁴, Adrian. M. Paterson¹

1 Department of Pest-management and Conservation, Faculty of Agriculture and Life Science, Lincoln University, Ellesmere Junction Road/Springs Road, PO Box 85084, Canterbury, New Zealand.
2 Agriculture Victoria Research, AgriBio Centre, 5 Ring Road, Bundoora 3083, Australia.
3 WWF Pakistan, Pakistan academy of science building, 3rd constitution avenue, G-5/II, Islamabad, Pakistan.
4 Bioresource Research Centre, Islamabad, House No: 34, Bazaar Road G-6/4, Islamabad, Pakistan.

Corresponding author

Muhammad Asad
masadj@yahoo.co.uk (MA)
Tel: 0064212065301
Despite being classified as critically endangered, little work has been done on leopard protection in Pakistan. Once widely present throughout this region, leopards are now sparsely distributed, and possibly extinct, from much of their previously recorded habitat. While leopards show morphological and genetic variation across their species range worldwide, resulting in the classification of nine different subspecies, the leopard genetic structure across Pakistan is unknown, with previous studies including only a very limited sampling. To clarify the genetic status of leopards in Pakistan we investigated the sequence variation in the subunit 5 of the mitochondrial gene NADH from 43 tissue samples and compared it with 238 sequences available from online databases. Phylogenetic analysis clearly separates the Pakistani leopards from the African and Arabian clades, confirming that leopards from Pakistan are members of the Asian clade. Furthermore, we identified two separate subspecies haplotypes within our dataset: *P. p. fusca* (N=23) and *P. p. saxicolor* (N=12).
Introduction

Leopards (*Panthera pardus*) are classified as critically endangered in many habitats where they are present (Uphyrkina et al. 2001), including Pakistan (Sheikh & Molur 2004). There are currently nine recognized subspecies of the common leopard (Uphyrkina et al. 2001) and two out of these, African (*P. p. pardus*) and Indian (*P. p. fusca*), are considered “near threatened”, while the rest are classified as “critically endangered”, “endangered” and “near threatened to endangered” (Sheikh & Molur 2004; Stein et al. 2016).

Globally, leopard subspecies recognition is based on genetic, morphological and geographical information (Jacobson et al. 2016). However, leopards show high genetic and morphological variation across their range and in many cases genetic patterns do not align with the geographical variation recorded for previously defined subspecies (Uphyrkina et al. 2001). Therefore, the study of the genetic structure of leopard populations is considered vital for a better understanding of both subspecies and population subdivision and, consequently, for their conservation (Khorozyan et al. 2006; Sugimoto et al. 2013). Molecular studies have contributed significantly in the field of conservation for many other elusive cat species, such as snow leopards, lions and tigers (Dubach et al 2005; Wei et al. 2008; Bhavanishankar et al. 2013). Similarly, in the case of leopards, genetic analyses increasingly provide taxonomic guidance for subspecies identification (Uphyrkina et al. 2001; Arif et al. 2011; Sugimoto et al. 2014).

Conservation and management of leopards are difficult tasks made even harder by a general lack of understanding of the broad geographic ranges and adaptability of this species, factors that make leopards more detectable than their actual numbers would warrant (Jacobson et al 2016). These detections increase the misconception that leopards are not as severely endangered as they
actually are (Jacobson et al. 2016). In Pakistan, while leopards are known to be present, there is no information on their distribution, number or subspecies. Based on the severe decline rate of leopard populations in Asia, the Pakistani population is likely to be fragmented and with depleted genetic variation (Dutta et al. 2013; Jacobson et al. 2016). Asian leopards have lost around 83–87% of their former range, compared with a 48–67% decline in Africa (Sheikh & Molur 2004; Khorozyan et al. 2006; Laguardia et al. 2015; Jacobson et al. 2016). It is, therefore, essential to identify the subspecies present in Asia to prevent further loss of biodiversity (Arif et al. 2011).

Pakistan provides a major disjunction in the fauna and flora of southern Asia, divided along the western edge of the Indus Basin and the upper Indus valley of Kohistan (Frodin 1984). In the past, this biogeographic disjunction has served as a geographical limit to two of the main standard floras for Southwest and South Asia: Boissier’s Flora Orientalis (1867-1888) and Hooker’s Flora of British India (1872-1897).

Four leopard subspecies have been suggested as present in Pakistan: *Panthera pardus fusca*, *P. p. saxicolor*, *P. p. sindica*, and *P. p. millardi*. These subspecies were identified based solely on morphological characters, such as unique coat pattern, coloration, fur length, body size and skull size (Pocock 1930a and b; Roberts et al. 1977). However, these characters vary in different environmental conditions and may lead to subspecies misidentification (Uphyrkina et al. 2001). A morphological analysis of Pakistani leopard skulls (Khorozyan et al. 2006) suggested the presence of only two subspecies: *P. p. sindica* from Baluchistan, similar to the subspecies population in southern Iran, and *P. p. millardi* from Kashmir, similar to the population present in India. A recent study based on the global geographic distribution patterns suggested that the subspecies present in the region were *P. p. saxicolor* and *P. p. fusca* (Jacobson et al. 2016). The only genetic sample collected from a Pakistani leopard in the wild was from a single individual in
the region of Baluchistan, and identified as the subspecies *P. p saxicolor* (Uphyrkina et al. 2001).

This study aims to better understand the genetic structure of the leopard in Pakistan, identify the subspecies present, and establish baseline information for future monitoring. We present results based on more than 40 leopard samples from Pakistan and we investigate genetic variation in the mtDNA, targeting the subunit 5 of the NADH gene (NADH-5), following the approaches of previous studies (e.g. Uphyrkina et al. 2001; Farhadinia et al. 2015; Anco et al. 2018). The choice of mtDNA was based on the good performances and wide adoption for felids (e.g. Jae-Heup et al. 2001; Luo et al. 2004; Barnett et al. 2006; Havird & Sloan 2016). In particular, the choice of NADH-5 gene was based on the good performance of this gene in subspecies delimitation for carnivores, showing a higher mutation rate for this group (e.g. Lopez et al. 1997). Furthermore, considering the general use of NADH-5 in leopard studies (e.g. Uphyrkina et al. 2001; Farhadinia et al. 2015; Anco et al. 2018), we focused on this gene also for continuity with previous works, by generating sequences that can be added to previous datasets. More specifically, Our samples are mostly sourced from the northern region of Pakistan (Galyat, Murree, and Aazad Kashmir) with a single specimen from Baluchistan. This research will add to the scarce existing scientific knowledge of species assessment in Pakistan, helping prioritize the conservation efforts for leopards, and will consequently contribute to the international works on felids.

**Materials and methods**

**Sample collection**

Animal ethics committee approval AEC2017-02 was obtained prior to the experiment. A total of 49 samples of leopard skin tissue was initially obtained for this study. Most of these samples were from the northern region of Pakistan, where the leopard population is considered stable (Shehzad
et al. 2014) (Fig. 1). Of these, 22 leopards were killed by communities in retaliation for attacks, including seven killed during the period of this study. Two leopards had died of natural causes, the rest of the leopard mortality is unknown. Eighteen samples were provided by the Bioresource Research Centre of Islamabad (Pakistan) and had been used in a previous study (Bebi et al. 2015). Nine tissue samples were provided by Wildlife Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and 15 samples from the World Wide Fund for Nature Pakistan (Fig. 1). The majority of the samples were collected from two different areas: the Azad Jamu Kashmir and the Galyat region. Additionally, two samples were provided by BRC from Baluchistan and Sukkur.

DNA extractions and amplifications

All tissues were preserved in 70% ethanol until DNA extraction and amplification. In a preliminary analysis conducted at the Bio Resource Research Centre facilities of Islamabad (Pakistan), DNA was extracted from three samples using the QIA amp DNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN) following the manufacturer’s instructions while the remaining samples were sent to Macrogen Inc. (Seoul, Korea) for DNA extraction and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) optimization. Four samples were discarded because of a lack of detailed information on their origin, while two samples were not sequenced due to possible contamination during the transport.

Using the full mitochondrial sequence of leopard available on the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database (accession number EF551002.1); two set of primers pairs (F/RL2 and FL2/RL4; Table 1) were designed to target two overlapping regions of the subunit 5 of the NADH mitochondrial gene. These corresponded to nucleotide positions 12632-13242 of the mitochondrial DNA of leopards. Primer design, PCR amplification, PCR purifications and sequencing were outsourced to Macrogen Inc. (Seoul, Korea) and were carried out following the methods of previous studies (Uphyrkina et al. 2001; Farhadinia et al. 2015).
Sample preparation and DNA extraction were conducted in a laminar flow hood in an area isolated from other samples to prevent any contamination. Genomic DNA was isolated using InstaGene Matrix (Bio-Rad Laboratories; USA) and MG Tissue SV (Doctor protein inc, Korea). PCR was run for 35 cycles, with 5 min pre-denaturation at 95 °C followed by denaturation at 94 °C for 30 sec, and 30 sec annealing at 50 °C, and by 1 min extension at 72 °C. Products were then checked in 1.5% agarose gel, running for 20 min at 300V, 200A. Purification was carried out with multiscreen filter plate (Millipore Sigma; USA). Each PCR product obtained was sequenced (both forward and reverse) by Macrogen Inc. (Seoul, Korea) using Sanger sequencing technologies.

**Data analysis**

A total of 43 samples produced viable sequences. Sequencing data is available at NCBI GenBank via accession numbers MK425702-MK425744. This data is also available as a Supplemental File. The software MEGA 7 (Kumar et al. 2016) was used to visually inspect the electropherograms, to align the sequences and generate a pairwise distance matrix that was then used to identify the different haplotypes.

In order to compare the haplotypes obtained here with other sequences belonging to the species *Panthera pardus*, all 238 sequences present on the GenBank database (to the month of August 2018) were obtained (Table 2) and aligned with those collected in this study together with sequences from snow leopards, tigers and lions as outgroups (Table 2). We used the software MEGA X (Kumar et al., 2018) to identify the best model of nucleotide substitution based on the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC). This reported the Kishino and Yano (HKY) + G model of nucleotide substitution (gamma distribution with five rate categories) as the best model, as previously found elsewhere (Farhadinia et al. 2015). Additionally, the Kimura-2-parameters (K2P) substitution model was also tested here. A maximum likelihood (ML) NADH 5 gene tree was
generated using MEGA X, setting the bootstrap to 10000 replicates and using both the substitution models. PopArt (Leigh & Bryant 2015) was used to construct a Median Joining haplotype network (ε=0) of all the sequences from Asian leopards.

**Results**

We used 43 NADH 5 sequences for the final stage of the analysis. When these were aligned to the 238 leopard sequences available on GenBank, the ML analysis grouped all Pakistan sequences within the Asian leopards, well separated (with a bootstrap of 85% using a K2P model and 52% using a HKY+G model) from both African and Arabian leopards (Fig. 2). While the new sequences obtained in this study could be separated from those of African leopards and attributed to the Asian leopards, the ML analysis showed low genetic variation within the two groups, highlighted by very low bootstrap values throughout the tree (Fig. 2). After confirming that all our sequences belonged to Asian leopards, further analysis aimed to understand the relationships of our samples to the different subspecies of this group. In order to measure genetic variation between our sequences and within the Asian leopards, a haplotype network analysis was performed on a total of 35 sequences (Accession numbers MK425702-MK425736). For this analysis, eight samples where discarded due to shorter length of the sequence. Hence, this analysis included 35 samples from this dataset, all the sequences of Asian leopards available on GenBank, a subset of 13 sequences of African leopards and one sequence from an Arabian leopard (Fig. 3). The sequences presented here identified three distinct haplotypes (Fig. 1, Fig. 3). The first haplotype (A) included 23 samples and was identical to two sequences previously identified as *Panthera pardus fusca* (Accession number: AY035274.1) and *Panthera pardus orientalis* (Accession number: HQ185550.1) (Fig. 3). The second haplotype (B) included 11 samples with identical sequences to *Panthera pardus saxicolor* (Fig. 3). The third haplotype (C) was represented by a single Pakistani
sample (Fig. 3) and was not the same as any previously identified haplotype. The haplotype diversity amongst the Pakistani samples was based on three segregation sites with a nucleotide variation ranging between 0.003 and 0.006 (Table 3).

Discussion

Despite the limitations of our sample size, this study generated the first genetic datasets for leopard populations in Pakistan. The genetic diversity of leopards from the northern region of Pakistan was determined here based on 43 specimens. As expected, all the samples included in this study clustered within the Asian leopard clade. However, the haplotype network analysis highlighted the presence of three different haplotypes. We recorded a similar nucleotide diversity, ranging between 0.003 and 0.006, to that reported for different leopard subspecies in other studies (Uphyrkina et al. 2001; Farhadinia et al. 2015).

The genetic variation of the Pakistan leopard samples was not consistent with their being a single subspecies in Pakistan, whether existing or novel. Eleven samples (Haplotype B) grouped with sequences of *Panthera pardus saxicolor* and are here attributed to this subspecies. Similarly, the haplotype represented by a single specimen (Haplotype C) grouped closely to the other *P. p. saxicolor* haplotypes and is here attributed to this subspecies, as well. The main Pakistan haplotype (Haplotype A), including 23 samples, grouped with two separate sequences previously recorded from two studies in Caucasus (Uphyrkina et al. 2001; Rozhnov et al. 2011). These sequences (HQ185550.1 and AY035274.1) are identical to each other although they were named differently: respectively *P. p. orientalis, and P. p. fusca*. Based on the similarities between our sequences and the other haplotypes of *P. p. fusca*, however, we are inclined to consider them as belonging to this subspecies. Similarly, considering the genetic distance between this single sequence of *P. p. orientalis* obtained from GenBank and the other sequences of the same subspecies, we consider its
attribution to *orientalis* an incorrect identification. However, it is possible that the subspecies *P. p. fusca* has a large amount of genetic variation, to the point that it could subsume some of the other subspecies. In order to confirm this hypothesis, additional samples from this subspecies are required, together with additional samples of *P. p. orientalis*, together the analysis of additional gene regions.

This analysis is consistent with Uphyrkina and colleagues who grouped the subspecies *P. pardus sindica* and *P. pardus millardi* with the Persian and Indian leopards (Uphyrkina et al. 2001). These two subspecies, first described by Pocock (1930) based on morphometric characters only, were retained by Khorozyan and colleagues (2006). On the other hand, all the samples collected for this study likely belong to two subspecies of *Panthera pardus: P. p. fusca* (Indian) and *P. p. saxicolor* (Persian), highlighting a higher than expected subspecies diversity for the area that we examined.

In fact, our findings are not consistent with the assumption that the river Indus separates the *saxicolor* and *fusca* subspecies, as was hypothesised in previous studies (Khorozyan et al. 2006; Jacobson et al. 2016). The vast majority of our samples (except those from Baluchistan, Nizampur and Sakher) belonged to the northern region of the Indus, reporting the presence of both *P. p. saxicolor* and *P. p. fusca* in this region, where only latter was hypothesised to live.

The limited number of samples used for this study (especially from the regions of Baluchistan, and Nizampur) most certainly does not fully reflect the subpopulation of these regions. Therefore, further studies are likely to discover an even higher diversity in subspecies than reported here, as already confirmed from Baluchistan, where subpopulation closely related to the Persian leopard are known to be present (Jacobson et al. 2016).

Consequently, our study suggests that the leopards in Pakistan do not appear to have an endemic haplotype or subspecies (Fig. 1). Instead, the Pakistani landscape plays a key role in the
ecological overlap of the two leopard subspecies recorded here and it provides suitable conditions for a high level of gene flow between them. Random mating in the expanded region may support the hypothesis that overall, the Asian population is panmictic and it presents a moderate level of genetic variation (Fig. 2). Panmixis assumes that there are no mating restrictions and, for this to happen, gene flow between leopard sub-populations and sub-species has to happen across all their habitat in Asia. Leopards are known to travel long distances, e.g. 194 km (Fattebert et al. 2013), and have the largest home range recorded of large cats, e.g. 670 km² (Hunter, 2011) in central Iran.

Pakistan assumes a role in leopard conservation by providing a contact zone for the subspecies *P. p. fusca* and *P. p. saxicolor*, subspecies considered endangered (*P. p. saxicolor*) or near threatened (*P. p. fusca*). The overlapping distribution of these two subspecies provides an impetus to extend full protection to leopards beyond the limits of regional parks and reserves, over all the Pakistani territory.

The primary constraint in the conservation of leopards in Pakistan is a lack of detailed information, such as presence/absence of each subspecies within the highly fragmented habitat. While the information obtained in this study enables a better understanding of leopard distribution in the areas monitored, further work is required for the more remote regions. Unfortunately, the political instability of some of these regions, together with a widespread lack of financial resources remain a key challenge for sustainable management and conservation of leopards. In addition, illegal hunting of leopards and limited management capacity of local wildlife department in many areas have created an ongoing stressful situation for leopards. This results in leopards moving away from many habitats, such as the Kirthar National Park where they have been reported as a locally extinct (Khan et al. 2013).

**Conclusions**
With the present work, we have highlighted the co-existence of multiple subspecies in the same area in the north of Pakistan. In order to compare these results with other areas of the country, we suggest that further studies focusing on the presence-absence of leopards in Baluchistan, Sindh and in the areas of Punjab and Khyber Pakhtunkhwa are urgently required. In fact, obtaining genetic information from these areas will complement the knowledge now available and enable a better understanding of distribution and ecology of leopards, not only in Pakistan but also worldwide.
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Figure 1

Sampling locations of *Panthera pardus* in the northern regions of Pakistan (Galyat and Azad Kashmir).

The 35 samples included in the haplotype analysis are represented here. Red dots indicate samples belonging to haplotype A; blue squares are samples from haplotype B; and the single Yellow triangle indicates haplotype C. The different colours present in the map indicate the habitat where samples were found, highlighting the presence of natural reserves, national parks and forests.
Figure 2

Maximum likelihood NADH5 gene tree (10000 replicates, K2P model) of 273 sequences from across the globe.

The gene tree separates Asian leopards (green) from Africans (orange), with a bootstrap of 85%. Outgroups are reported in grey.
Figure 3

Median joining network analysis of the Asian leopards.

The network includes 35 sequences of Pakistani leopards, 13 sequences from African leopards, a single sequence from a Persian leopard, and all the sequences from Asian leopards publicly available. Haplotypes are color-coded based on previous subspecies identification. Size of the circles represents the number of sequences with the same haplotype.
Table 1 (on next page)

Primers used for DNA isolation and amplification.

Name, direction and sequences of the primers designed for this study are reported.
Table 1. Primers used for DNA isolation and amplification. Name, direction and sequences of the primers designed for this study are reported.

| Primer Name | Direction | Sequence (5’ to 3’) |
|-------------|-----------|---------------------|
| F           | Forward   | GTGCAACTCCAAATAAAAG |
| RL2         | Reverse   | TAAACAGTTGGAACAGGTT |
| FL2         | Forward   | CGTTACATGATCGATCATAG |
| RL4         | Reverse   | TTAGGTTTCGTGTTGGGT  |
Table 2 (on next page)

Leopard sequences obtained from GenBank together with outgroups used in our study.

Accession numbers are reported with the number of sequences for each gene region per species and subspecies used in this work. Origin of the samples and original work publishing these sequences are reported.
Table 2. Leopard sequences obtained from GenBank together with outgroups used in our study. Accession numbers are reported with the number of sequences for each gene region per species and subspecies used in this work. Origin of the samples and original work publishing these sequences are reported.

| Accession numbers     | Sequences | Gene     | Species     | Subspecies | Sample Area | Reference            |
|-----------------------|-----------|----------|-------------|------------|-------------|----------------------|
| KY292222.1 - KY292277.1 | 56        | NADH5    | Panthera pardus | Africans   | Africa      | Anco et al. 2018     |
| JX559073.1 - JX559076.1 | 4         | NADH5    | P. pardus      | Saxicolor  | Iran        | Farhadinia et al. (Unpublished) |
| JF720187.1 - JF720319.1 | 133       | NADH5    | P. pardus      | LEO(African)| Africa      | Ropiquet et al. 2015  |
| HQ185549.1 - HQ185550.1 | 2         | NADH5    | P. pardus      | orientalis | Caucasus    | Rozhnov et al. 2011  |
| HQ185544.1 - HQ185548.1 | 5         | NADH5    | P. pardus      | saxicolor  | Caucasus    | Rozhnov et al. 2011  |
| EF551002.1             | 1         | Mitochondrion complete | P. pardus      | Not specified | China     | Lei et al. 2011      |
| Accession  | Count | Region   | Subspecies | Location Description                                               | Reference                  |
|-----------|-------|----------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| EF056501.1 | 1     | NADH5    | *P. pardus* | Not specified                                                     | Shouche (Unpublished)      |
| AY035292.1 | 1     | NADH5    | *P. pardus* | Melas                                                             | Uphyrkina et al. 2001      |
| AY035280.1 - AY035291.1 | 12 | NADH5 | *P. pardus* | Shortridgei                                                       | Uphyrkina et al. 2001      |
| AY035279.1 | 1     | NADH5    | *P. pardus* | Nimr                                                              | Uphyrkina et al. 2001      |
| AY035277.1 - AY035278.1 | 2  | NADH5    | *P. pardus* | Saxicolor                                                         | Uphyrkina et al. 2001      |
| AY035276.1 | 1     | NADH5    | *P. pardus* | Sindica                                                           | Uphyrkina et al. 2001      |
| AY035270.1 - AY035275.1 | 6  | NADH5    | *P. pardus* | Fusca                                                             | Uphyrkina et al. 2001      |
| AY035267.1 - AY035269.1 | 3  | NADH5    | *P. pardus* | Kotiya                                                            | Uphyrkina et al. 2001      |
| AY035264.1 - AY035266.1 | 3  | NADH5    | *P. pardus* | Delacouri                                                         | Uphyrkina et al. 2001      |
| Accession | Length | Region | Species | Location | Reference |
|-----------|--------|--------|---------|----------|-----------|
| AY035262.1 - AY035263.1 | 2 | NADH5 | P. pardus | Japonensis | East Asia (North China) | Uphyrkina et al. 2001 |
| AY035260.1 - AY035261.1 | 2 | NADH5 | P. pardus | Orientalis | Russia | Uphyrkina et al. 2001 |
| KF768352-KF768354 | 3 | NADH5 | P. pardus | Saxicolor | Iran | Farhadinia et al. 2015 |
| EF551004.1 | 1 | Mitochondrion complete | Uncia uncia | Out Group | China | Wei et al. 2008 |
| EF551003.1 | 1 | Mitochondrion complete | Panthera tigris | Out Group | China | Lei et al. 2011 |
| AF385614.1 | 1 | Mitochondrion complete | P. tigris | Out Group | Africa | Dubach et al. 2005 |
| HM589215.1 | 1 | Mitochondrion complete | P. tigris | Out Group | China | Zhang et al. 2011 |
| AF385613.1 | 1 | Mitochondrion complete | P. leo | Out Group | Brookfield zoo (Exact location unknown) | Dubach et al. 2005 |
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Genetic variation of the *Panthera pardus* mtDNA from different geographical locations.

Number of samples and number of haplotypes are reported. Haplotype diversity is calculated based on the number of different nucleotides, while nucleotide diversity was obtained from the pairwise distance matrix. Number of segregating sites are reported specifying the number of substitution and if these were transitions or transversions.
Table 3. Genetic variation of the *Panthera pardus* mtDNA from different geographical locations. Number of samples and number of haplotypes are reported. Haplotype diversity is calculated based on the number of different nucleotides, while nucleotide diversity was obtained from the pairwise distance matrix. Number of segregating sites are reported specifying the number of substitution and if these were transitions or transversions.

| Sub species | Geographic location | Accession Number | No of samples | Haplotypes | Haplotype diversity (nt) | Nucleotide diversity | Segregating sites | Transitions | Transversions | Substitutions |
|-------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------|------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|
| This study  | Pakistan            | MK425702-MK425744| 43            | 3          | 1-3                      | 0.003-0.006         | 3                | 3           | 0            | 3             |
| *P. p. sindica* | Pakistan          | AY035276.1       | 1             | 1          | NA                      | NA                  | NA               | NA          | NA           | NA            |
| *P. p. saxicolor* | Iran               | JX559073.1 - JX559076.1 | 14          | 4          | 1-3                      | 0.002-0.004         | 6                | 6           | 0            | 6             |
| *P. p. fusca* | India              | AY035270.1 - AY035275.1 | 6           | 6          | 2/3-6/9                  | 0.003-0.01          | 15               | 15          | 0            | 15            |
| *P. p. kotiya* | Srilanka           | AY035267.1 - AY035269.1 | 3           | 2          | 1                        | 0.002               | 1                | 1           | 0            | 1             |
| *P. p. orientalis* | Russia              | HQ185549.1 - HQ185550.1 AY035260.1 - AY035261.1 | 4           | 3          | 3-6                      | 0.01                | 7                | 7           | 0            | 7             |
| *P. p. nimer* | Arabia              | AY035279.1       | 1             | 1          | NA                      | NA                  | NA               | NA          | NA           | NA            |
| *P. p. leo* | Africa              | KY292222.1 - KY292277.1 JF720187.1 - JF720319.1 AY035280.1 - AY035291.1 | 201         | 30         | 1-20/23                  | 0.002-0.038         | 77               | 75          | 2            | 77            |
| *P. p. shortridgei* | South Chinese | AY035264.1 - AY035266.1 | 3           | 3          | 2-4                      | 0.003-0.01          | 6                | 6           | 0            | 6             |
| *P. p. melas* | Indonesia           | AY035292.1       | 1             | 1          | NA                      | NA                  | NA               | NA          | NA           | NA            |
| *P. p. delacouri* | South Chinese | AY035264.1 - AY035266.1 | 3           | 3          | 2-4                      | 0.003-0.01          | 6                | 6           | 0            | 6             |
| P. p. japonensis | North Chinese | AY035262.1 - AY035263.1 | 2     | 2     | 3/5   | 0.005 | 3-5   | 3-5   | 0     | 3-5   |
|------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
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