Solitary Fibrous Tumor of Internal Jugular Vein: An Extremely Rare Entity with Review of Literature
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Abstract

Solitary fibrous tumor (SFT) is an unusual spindle cell neoplasm that commonly arises from pleura. In the last decade, multiple case reports have described its diverse occurrence in extrapleural locations involving almost every anatomic site. Intravascular SFT is extremely rare and has been reported in inferior vena cava and renal vein only, to the best of our knowledge. SFT of the internal jugular vein has never been reported. We present a case of a SFT arising from internal jugular vein with extraluminal exophytic component extending into supraclavicular fossa. It should also be considered as a differential diagnosis for neoplasm arising from the internal jugular vein.
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Introduction

Solitary fibrous tumor (SFT) is a mesenchymal tumor and commonly arises from pleura. It was first described by Klemperer and Rabin in 1931.1 Although pleura is the most common site of origin, multiple case reports in the last decade have shown that this can arise from any part of the body. It is commonly seen in middle-aged individuals without any gender preference. It is usually benign but rarely can show local recurrence and metastasis.2 Surgical excision is the main treatment method; however, there is the role of chemotherapy and radiotherapy in unresectable and metastatic disease. Intravascular SFT is extremely rare with only two cases reported so far, one arising from inferior vena cava (IVC) and another arising from the right renal vein. Herein we present a case of SFT arising from right internal jugular vein that has not been reported previously.

Case History

A 45-year-old male presented with complaints of swelling over the face and neck predominantly on the right side, as well as right arm region with redness in the right eye. Physical examination revealed a dilated vein over the right neck and chest area with fullness over the right supraclavicular fossa. Ultrasound color Doppler of right neck vessels revealed a large hypoechoic lesion in the right lower internal jugular vein showing minimal vascularity on color Doppler (►Fig. 1). A continuing exophytic component was seen extending into the right supraclavicular fossa with discontinuity in the venous wall. Confluence of the right internal jugular vein and the right subclavian vein was not clearly delineated. To assess the extent of lesion and status of remaining vessels, computed tomography (CT) venography was advised that revealed a heterogeneously enhancing mass lesion arising from the distal right internal jugular vein with intraluminal and eccentric extraluminal component extending into the right supraclavicular fossa. Nonenhancing filling defect suggestive of thrombus was seen in the remaining lumen of the right internal jugular vein, both brachiocephalic vein, and superior vena cava (►Fig. 2). Contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and MR venography showed an intensely enhancing mass lesion arising from the lateral wall of the distal right internal jugular vein with small intraluminar and large exophytic component (►Fig. 3). Internal jugular vein was not displaced. Nonenhancing filling defect suggestive of thrombus was seen in the remaining lumen
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of the right internal jugular vein, bilateral brachiocephalic vein, and superior vena cava. Confluence of right subclavian and right internal jugular vein was not separately identified from the mass lesion. Multiple superficial venous collaterals were seen over the right neck and chest region (►Fig. 4). Exophytic component was seen extending into the right supraclavicular fossa; however, no evidence of any soft tissue or bony invasion was noted. Ultrasound-guided biopsy was done from the exophytic component that revealed clusters of pleomorphic spindle cells showing Bcl-2 vimentin and FLI 1 positivity suggesting SFT (►Fig. 5). Based on the radiological and histopathological findings, a diagnosis of SFT arising
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from the right internal jugular vein with extension into the right supraclavicular fossa was made. The patient was started on anticoagulants and referred for radiotherapy. Patient is symptomatically better and is on follow-up on the outpatient department. Follow-up ultrasound Doppler shows mild resolution in the size of mass lesion and thrombus extension.

Discussion

SFT is an uncommon tumor of mesenchymal origin arising from pleura. Extrapleural involvement is uncommon but has been observed at almost every anatomic site including orbit, prostate, liver, kidney, pancreas, reproductive system, retroperitoneum, head and neck, pelvis, breast, and soft tissue of extremities. The intravascular SFT is very uncommon. There are case reports in the literature describing SFT arising from IVC and renal vein but no one has reported SFT arising from the internal jugular vein so far.

The clinical symptoms of intravascular SFT can vary from paraneoplastic syndrome of SFT to obstructive symptoms of vascular compression. Venous obstruction is usually seen at advanced staged and can manifest in the form of edema, congestion, and thrombosis. Besides, SFT is known to secrete insulin-like growth factors that lead to hypoglycemia and weight loss. Our patient presented with symptoms due to luminal obstruction of the right internal jugular vein.

SFT is usually hypoechoic on ultrasound and shows variable vascularity. Occasionally, it may appear heterogeneous due to myxoid degeneration. Appearance on CT varies from hypodense to hyperdense depending upon the collagen content, with high collagen tumor appearing hyperdense and vice versa. Heterogeneous enhancement is seen on contrast administration in 60% of benign and 100% of malignant tumors. On MRI, it appears isointense on T1 and heterointense on T2 images. Round or linear T1 and T2 hypointense foci are attributable to the collagen content. The combination of these features is commonly called a chip cookie appearance. On contrast administration, it produces vigorous enhancement. Associated bland thrombus with the tumoral thrombus is also seen that is secondary to stasis, hypercoagulability, turbulence, and thrombus propagation.

The diagnosis of intravascular SFT is very challenging for imaging. Several benign and malignant vascular neoplasms share similar imaging features. The differentials of primary vein lesions are bland thrombus, leiomyoma, and leiomyosarcoma. The secondary venous tumors are a direct extension of tumor into the vein and thromboembolism from the malignancy. CT and MRI are reliable techniques in differentiating these entities. The features like lumen expansion, exophytic component, contrast enhancement, and diffusion restriction favor intraluminal mass over bland thrombus. Approach to the differentiation between bland and tumoral thrombus is highlighted in the flowchart. The next diagnostic conundrum is to establish the vascular origin of neoplasm from a locoregional mass, more so in cases of pliable veins. Webb et al have proposed imperceptible IVC sign on CT imaging, differentiating IVC leiomyosarcoma from retroperitoneal mass with IVC invasion. This proposed sign is equivalent to “phantom (invisible) organ sign” that shows imperceptible vein (IVC) at the site of maximum contact with mass. Nonvascular origin of the mass shows a negative embedded sign, that is, vessel compressed at the periphery in the form of a crescent. Other CT signs described for vascular origin of mass were positive embedded sign (vein is embedded in the periphery of mass) and luminal tumor (invasion of IVC lumen). They concluded that imperceptible vein sign has a positive predictive value 100%, for the origin mass from the IVC and a negative embedded organ sign was most useful for excluding IVC origin.

Final diagnosis is made on biopsy and histopathological examination. Histologically, the SFT shows a patternless appearance with alternating hyper and hypocellular areas of spindle-shaped cells. On immunohistochemistry, tumor cells are positive for CD34, CD99, Bcl2, vimentin and negative for S-100 and desmin. Histopathology in our case revealed a cluster of pleomorphic spindle cells that showed positivity for FL1, Bcl-2, and vimentin on immunohistochemical staining.

Surgical resection is the most appropriate and definitive treatment. Metastasis is seen with SFT. The common sites of metastasis are liver, lung, and vertebra. Chemotherapy and radiotherapy are reserved for patients with unresectable and metastatic disease. Our patient was referred for radiotherapy and is on follow-up in the outpatient department.

In summary, intravascular SFTs are extremely rare neoplasm and present with vascular obstructive features. There are no specific imaging features and common mimickers include plebothrombosis, leiomyoma, and leiomyosarcoma. As far as the vascular SFT is concerned, there are case reports of SFT involving IVC and renal vein, but this is the first case report of SFT involving internal jugular vein.

We conclude that SFT of internal jugular vein is a very rare tumor and must be included in the differentials with similar imaging findings.
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