Resistance of UV-perforated reduced graphene oxide on polystyrene surface
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UV-perforated reduced graphene oxide flakes of large areas, some of them up to 500 \( \mu m \) in diameter, have been produced on polystyrene surface. These flakes were formed during precipitation of UV-reduced graphene oxide composites based on polystyrene from benzene solutions by petroleum ether. Two composites based on polystyrene with molecular weights of 9,000 Da and 45,000 Da were synthesized to compare their conductive properties. Conditions of the formation of planar structures from UV-perforated reduced graphene oxide flakes were varied. So, resistances were compared for composites deposited from solutions with different concentrations and at different temperatures. Very low resistances for some flakes precipitated from 5 wt.% solution of composite of 9,000 Da molecular mass at the room temperature were obtained. The absolute values of measured resistances were found to be 1.5 orders of magnitude lower than resistance of copper. At the same time some, regions of graphene inclusions from 12 wt.% solution of latter polystyrene composite demonstrated even lower resistance, almost 3 orders of magnitude lower than copper resistance. This result is explained by existence of superconducting component in the reduced graphene oxide inclusions. In the case of composites with graphene flakes produced from higher molecular weight polystyrene (45,000 Da) resistance was high and varied from semiconducting values to non-conductive state.
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1. Introduction

Graphene-like materials are very attractive for various branches of practical use due to its unique electronic properties, including possible high-temperature superconductivity [1–7]. It was shown in [8] that some places of graphene oxide reduced at high temperature (RGO) [9] and obtained as planar structures on polystyrene surface have extremely low resistance. Absolute values of experimentally obtained resistance were 2 orders of magnitude lower than copper resistance. In this paper, we investigated the resistance of other graphene structures in the polystyrene composite, namely graphene oxide reduced by UV-perforation (RGO\(_p\)). Details of its producing can be found in [10, 11]. The mechanism of the holes formation in the graphene oxide film is shown on Fig. 1.

![Fig. 1. Reduction scheme of thin graphene oxide (GO) films consisting of flakes with lateral size up to 100 \( \mu m \) through soft ultraviolet irradiation under argon atmosphere [10]](image)
It was found in [10] that reduction leads to a significant decrease in the overall content of the basal-plane functional groups, namely, epoxides and hydroxyls, but with simultaneous increase in the total number of edge-located carboxyl groups and formation of nanoscale holes.

Lateral sizes of individual RGO\(_p\) flakes were 10 – 100 \(\mu m\) [10]. As it was previously, the maximum length of reduced graphene oxide flakes produced by heating at 800 °C (RGO) are only up to 8 \(\mu m\) according to the SEM data [12]. Precipitation in [8] led to enlargement of RGO inclusion areas. So this chemical precipitation method of polystyrene composite from benzene can also be used for obtaining planar RGO\(_p\) flakes of sufficient size for electrical measurements.

It is important to note that RGO considered in [8, 12–15] was functionalized by 3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl methacrylate and can participate in radical polymerization with styrene [8], forming covalent bonds between RGO and polystyrene chains, similar to the work [16]. These features of RGO interaction with polystyrene can cause special mutual location of RGO flakes and its further self-organization under precipitation from solvents mixture leading to erasing of superconducting state as in [8]. The occurrence of holes in the RGO sheets after UV-irradiation can change location of (trimethoxysilyl)propyl methacrylate on their surface and affect the mutual self-organization the resulting RGO\(_p\) flakes. Thus, the aim of present study was to find out what conditions are needed to obtain the superconducting state for another form of reduced graphene oxide – RGO\(_p\).

2. Experimental

Surface modification technique of RGO\(_p\) and its further radical copolymerization with styrene as well as synthesis of RGO composite can be found in [12, 14]. Only the content of the radical initiator, azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) was varied during the synthesis of composites in the reaction mixture, 1 wt.% and 0.2 wt.%, correspondingly. Thus, the RGO\(_p\)–polystyrene composites differed by molecular mass have been obtained. Molecular masses of composites were evaluated for additionally synthesized pure polystyrene. Polystyrene and composites based on it were synthesized by radical polymerization. The AIBN of 0.2 wt.% and 1 wt.% of the weight of the monomer were taken in the synthesis of pure polystyrene. The molecular weights of synthesized polystyrene using the above-mentioned amounts of initiator were evaluated using an Ubbelohde viscometer and were 9,000 Da and 45,000 Da, respectively. Since the amount of RGO\(_p\) introduced into the polystyrene is small and it acts along with styrene as a monomer due to surface modification by vinyl groups we assume that the molecular weights of the composites will be close to their values for unfilled polymer. The composite with molecular mass 9,000 Da further will be named Composite (1), with molecular mass 45,000 Da – Composite (2). The largest areas of graphene inclusions on the polystyrene surface were observed for the benzene/petroleum ether ratio of 1:1 [8]. In this regard, benzene/petroleum ether in ratio of 1:1 was used for RGO\(_p\) composite precipitation. This solvents ratio (1:1) was chosen also for production of sufficient RGO\(_p\) planar structures. Films of the polymer composite were deposited on glass substrate by casting through the dispenser. We proposed that the sizes of the RGO\(_p\) inclusions were dependent on kind of composite, concentration of solvent mixture, and deposition method on the glass substrate. Composite content in the solvents mixture was 5 – 12 wt.%. Deposition was performed at room temperature and at the boiling point of benzene (70°C).

Since areas of obtained RGO\(_p\) flakes were not too large to apply 4-probe method their resistance measurements were performed using 2-probe method. Steel needles with curvature radii of 15 \(\mu m\) were used. Resistance values of RGO\(_p\) flakes were compared with ones corresponded to macroscopic copper substrate. Pressure on electrodes during measurements did not exceed 0.5 g/\(\mu m^2\) as in [8].

3. Results and discussion

The RGO\(_p\) flake distribution for both composites after deposition was irregular on the polystyrene surface, and their sizes were many fold different from each other in the same way as in [8]. However, special differences in shape and size were observed for the synthesized RGO\(_p\) composites. Particularly, for the Composite (1) maximal observed diameters of RGO\(_p\) flakes in planar dimensions deposited from 5 wt.% solution were approximately 150 \(\mu m\) without heating and 300 \(\mu m\) when glass substrate was heated up to 70°C. Maximal diameters of RGO\(_p\) inclusions in the Composite (2) deposited from 5 wt.% solution reached 300 \(\mu m\) without heating and were up to 500 \(\mu m\) in the opposite case. When concentrated solutions were used, maximal diameters of RGO\(_p\) inclusions for both considered composites were even larger. There was one more difference between these two composites: for the Composite (2) RGO\(_p\) shapes were close to spherical, for the Composite (1) RGO\(_p\) shapes were practically planar, rising above the surface of polystyrene not higher than 100 nm. So, the objects of our investigation had turned out to be the multilayered RGO\(_p\) flakes obtained as a result of self-organization during precipitation process.
Since during the precipitation process the polymer coils were tightened, some tensions between the filler and polystyrene led to the release of the RGO$_p$ of each coil from the polymer matrix. In this manner, separate RGO$_p$ particles coalesced into large flakes. Due to the holes in the RGO$_p$ sheets attaching of the 3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl methacrylate to them will largely depend on the edge groups around cavities. Thus, the molecules of the organosilicon modifier, which are very close to each other at the edges of the hole, can interact between themselves. This process may cause additional tensions between releasing RGO$_p$ sheets and change way of their further self-organization. As a result, new types of RGO$_p$ flakes on the polystyrene surface were formed. So, these RGO$_p$ flakes of different sizes and shapes being deposited from the composites’ solutions on glass substrate demonstrated special current-voltage characteristics.

![Fig. 2. Current-voltage characteristic of 1 – RGO$_p$ flakes deposited from polystyrene composite of 45,000 Da, distance between electrodes 10 µm, concentration of solution 5 wt.%; 2 – current-voltage characteristic of copper](image)

To measure the resistance, sufficiently large flakes of RGO$_p$ with a diameter of 150 µm or more were used. Resistance was evaluated from current-voltage characteristics (Fig. 2,3). In general, the resistance of RGO$_p$ flakes of Composite (1) obtained without heating lied in the range of 0.6 – 7 Ω for 12 wt.% composite and in interval of 20 – 40 Ω for 5 wt.% composite. Resistance of some RGO$_p$ flakes approached 0.13 Ω for 12 wt.% and 15 Ω for 5 wt.% composites without heating during deposition (Fig. 3, curves 1 and 2). The common resistances for RGO$_p$ flakes of this composite when RGO$_p$ flakes were formed practically immediately being deposited from precipitated composite solution on heated glass substrate were up to 1 order of magnitude lower than copper resistance and were about 5 – 12 Ω. The resistance of copper substrate was approximately 67 Ω (Fig. 2, curve 2; Fig. 3, curve 3). As one can readily see, a temperature increase in the case of low-molecular weight Composite (1) did not significantly affect resistances of deposited RGO$_p$ flakes. Since relatively small polymer coils are divided by the solvent this result would be explained that sufficiently long distances are needed to overcome by separate RGO$_p$ sheets of every polymer molecule for self-organization into larger structures.

The RGO$_p$ flakes in the case of the Composite (2) exhibited both a non-conducting state and a low conducting state in the range of hundreds of kΩ and MΩ. One of the lowest values of resistance obtained for this composite was 670 kΩ (Fig. 2, curve 1). We suppose that fast self-organization of RGO$_p$ inclusions due to the heating in the process of deposition on glass substrate can cause irregular and chaotic interaction of relatively close located RGO$_p$ sheets. In this case, many defects in RGO$_p$ flakes prevent high conductivity.

The resistance of RGO$_p$ flakes was dependent on distance between measuring electrodes. The distance increase in the case of the Composite (1) caused sharp resistance amplification, as was seen in [8]. At the same time, for Composite (2), the distance between measuring electrodes very slightly influenced resistance values. Moreover,
the distance between the measuring electrodes for Composite (2) had a very weak effect on the resistance values. These values were almost equal even when the distance between electrodes reached 200–300 µm.

The obtained results of very low resistances for RGO<sub>p</sub> flakes from the Composite (1) can be explained by the existence of superconducting phase in the RGO<sub>p</sub> flakes at the room temperature. We suppose since there are theoretical papers predicting possibility of superconducting state for graphene at room temperature under special conditions [6, 17–19] the self-organization of separate RGO<sub>p</sub> sheets during precipitation and further deposition on the glass substrate possibly can satisfy these conditions. The electric properties of these multilayered RGO<sub>p</sub> flakes obtained as a result of self-organization during precipitation process of Composite (1) can be similar to finely dispersed pyrolytic graphite having superconducting inclusions [20]. Obtained resistance values are in consent with our previous work [8] as also with [21] where it was shown for RGO/polysterene composite that Josephson oscillations and Shapiro steps exist at room temperature.

4. Conclusions

Large planar RGO<sub>p</sub> structures (hundreds of micrometers in diameter) from two RGO<sub>p</sub>/polystyrene composites differing by molecular masses were obtained on polystyrene surface. For this purpose, precipitation of composites with perforated reduced graphene oxide based on the polystyrene from benzene was used. Precipitation of both composites was performed by petroleum ether in ratio 1:1 to benzene. The temperature of further RGO<sub>p</sub> deposition on glass substrate strongly influenced on the sizes and resistances of obtained RGO<sub>p</sub> flakes. Thus, heating to 70 °C allowed deposit larger, up to 500 µm, structures. At the same time, such enlargement caused decrease of conductivity to non-conductive state. Sizes of RGO<sub>p</sub> structures can be enlarged by increasing of composites concentration at the room temperature as well. In this case, the RGO<sub>p</sub> flakes‘ enlargement, otherwise, causes a significant decrease of resistance. The resistance of some rare planar inclusions measured by steel needles was found to be up to 3 orders of magnitude lower than the resistance measured for the copper substrate.
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