Colinearity and Similar Expression Pattern of Rice DREB1s Reveal Their Functional Conservation in the Cold-Responsive Pathway
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Abstract
The clustered genes C-repeat (CRT) binding factor (CBF1)/dehydration-responsive element binding protein (DREB1B, CBF2/ DREB1C, and CBF3/DREB1A) play a central role in cold acclimation and facilitate plant resistance to freezing in Arabidopsis thaliana. Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is very sensitive to low temperatures; enhancing the cold stress tolerance of rice is a key challenge to increasing its yield. In this study, we demonstrate chilling acclimation, a phenomenon similar to Arabidopsis cold acclimation, in rice. To determine whether rice CBF/DREB1 genes participate in this cold-responsive pathway, all putative homologs of Arabidopsis DREB1 genes were filtered from the complete rice genome through a BLASTP search, followed by phylogenetic, colinearity and expression analysis. We thereby identified 10 rice genes as putative DREB1 homologs: nine of these were located in rice genomic regions with some colinearity to the Arabidopsis CBF1–CBF4 region. Expression profiling revealed that six of these genes (Os01g73770, Os02g45450, Os04g48350, Os06g03670, Os09g35010, and Os09g35030) were similarly expressed in response to chilling acclimation and cold stress and were co-expressed with genes involved in cold signalling, suggesting that these DREB1 homologs may be involved in the cold response in rice. The results presented here serve as a prelude towards understanding the function of rice homologs of DREB1 genes in cold-sensitive crops.

Introduction
Low temperature is one of the adverse environmental factors that can limit crop distribution and productivity. This adverse environment is particularly widespread in temperate regions, where a significant temperature change frequently occurs during the transition between cold and warm seasons. To overcome this constraint, most temperate plants have evolved an adaptive response, generally known as cold acclimation. Cold acclimation is a process by which plants acquire freezing tolerance upon prior exposure to low, non-freezing temperatures [1]. The molecular mechanism of cold acclimation may involve multiple pathways; of these, the CBF/DREB1-dependent cold-responsive pathway has been clearly elucidated and plays an important role in cold acclimation [2].

To date, the molecular mechanism of the C-repeat (CRT) binding factor/dehydration-responsive element binding protein 1 (CBF/DREB1)-dependent cold-responsive signal pathway has been studied in depth in the freezing-tolerant plant Arabidopsis thaliana [3]. Three CBF genes, CBF1/DREB1B, CBF2/DREB1C, and CBF3/DREB1A, play a central role in this pathway. These genes belong to a small subfamily of the ethylene response factor/Apetala2 (ERF/AP2) family, named the DREB1 family that contains six members (i.e. CBF1–CBF4, DDF1, and DDF2). Besides the ERF/AP2 domain, the six genes have special conserved domains or motifs (the nuclear localization signal [NLS], DSASW, and LWSY motifs) [4]. These genes encode DREB1 proteins - transcription factors that recognise the C-repeat elements of cold-responsive genes (CORs) and activate their expression. DREB1 genes are rapidly induced to high levels by low temperature treatment, subsequently activate CORs, and promote plant resistance to cold stress. All of the CORs with different functions are regulated by CBF/DREB1s; therefore, they are collectively called the ‘CBF regulon’ [5]. Three genes, CBF1–CBF3, are indispensable for the signal transduction of upstream cold-involved transcription factors. Inducer of CBF expression 1 (ICE1) encodes a Myc-type basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factor, which binds specifically to the Myc elements of CBF3, and induces its expression at low temperatures [6]. ICE2, a paralog of ICE1, positively regulates the expression of CBF3 [7]. MYB15 (an R2R3-MYB family protein) negatively regulates the expression of CBF genes by binding to their MYB elements [8]. A cold-induced C2H2 zinc finger transcription factor gene, ZAT12, also appears to function as a negative regulator of CBF gene expression. Transcriptome analysis of ZAT12-overexpressing Arabidopsis revealed that the ZAT12 regulon consists of at least 24 cold standard set (COS) genes [9]. In addition, calmodulin-binding transcription activator 3 (CAMTA3) binds to the CM2 elements of CBF2 and positively regulates the expression of the latter [10].
short, *Arabidopsis CBF1–CBF3* play central roles in the cold responsive pathway.

The functions of CBF homologs have been extensively studied in low temperature-sensitive plants, such as maize and rice, which are incapable of cold acclimation. However, these two species do exhibit chilling acclimation, which is similar to the cold acclimation of *Arabidopsis*. Following exposure to suboptimal low temperatures for some time, the temperature threshold for chilling damage is lowered in freezing-sensitive plants such as maize [11] and rice (see our results). Thus, it has been proposed that CBF homologs are also required for chilling resistance in cold-sensitive plants. In rice, ten putative CBF homologs (OsDREB1A to OsDREB1J) have been identified. Of these, OsDREB1A, OsDREB1B, and OsDREB1F are induced, whereas OsDREB1D is not induced by cold stress. Over-expressions of OsDREB1A, OsDREB1D, and OsDREB1F can up-regulate the expression of CORs and enhance cold resistance in *Arabidopsis* [12, 13, 14, 15, and 16]. Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) also has three clustered CBF homologs, LeCBF1–LeCBF3, but only LeCBF1 was found to be cold-inducible. Constitutive over-expression of LeCBF1 in transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants induced the expression of CBF-targeted genes and increased freezing tolerance. However, the constitutive overexpression of either LeCBF1 or AcorB3 in transgenic tomato plants did not increase cold tolerance. Additionally, only four out of approximately 8000 tomato genes were induced 2.5-fold or more in plants overexpressing LeCBF1 or AcorB3; of these, three were putative members of the tomato CBF regulon, as they were also up-regulated in response to low temperature. Taken together, these results suggest that freezing-sensitive tomato has a functional CBF/DREB1-dependent cold-responsive pathway, but the tomato CBF regulon differs from that of freezing-tolerant *Arabidopsis* [17]. Thus, it can be concluded that the functions of CBF homologs are conserved, at least in part, in low temperature-sensitive plants.

Rice is one of the most important low temperature-sensitive grass crops with an available whole-genome sequence. To improve its cold tolerance, both forward and reverse genetics approaches have been adopted to identify the genes responsible for cold tolerance in rice. Several quantitative trait loci (QTLs) have been mapped or isolated using genetic populations in rice [18,19,20,21,22], and three CBF homologs have been identified to function in the cold-response pathway in the *Arabidopsis* genome background [12,14,15,16]. However, a limited number of QTLs have been fine-mapped onto regions containing CBF/DREB1 genes in the rice genome, and the functions of rice CBF homologs in the rice genome background remain to be elucidated. This raises the question of whether there are additional homologs of *Arabidopsis* CBF genes in the rice genome, and how these rice homologs function in response to cold treatment. To answer these questions, we searched the entire rice genome for homologs of CBF/DREB1s. A total of 10 rice genes were identified as putative homologs of CBF genes according to reciprocal blast search results, phylogenetic relationships, and protein sequence similarity. The results of our expression analysis and gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis suggest that six of these genes (Os01g73770, Os02g45430, Os04g48350, Os06g03670, Os09g35010, and Os09g35030) may be involved in the cold response in rice, whereas other homologs may have acquired new functions or diverged and became pseudogenes. These results provide insights into the mechanisms of chilling tolerance in rice, as well as the evolution of CBF genes in monocots.

### Materials and Methods

#### Phenotypes of Low-temperature Resistance in Rice Seedlings

The resistance of rice plants to low temperatures was determined by the survival rates of 7-day-old seedlings of four rice varieties (the indica varieties 9311 and Kasalath, and the japonica varieties Niigobake and TP309) after cold stress with or without chilling acclimation. For cold treatment, 7-day-old seedlings were first kept for 4 days (in the case of indica 9311 and Kasalath varieties) or seven days (in the case of japonica Niigobake and TP309 varieties) at a low temperature of 4°C, and then restored for 10 days to a normal growth temperature (28°C for the day/25°C for the night). For chilling acclimation treatment (CA), 7-day-old seedlings were initially kept for two days at a chilling temperature of 12°C, then for four days (indica rice, both 9311 and Kasalath) or seven days (japonica Niigobake and TP309 varieties) at a low temperature of 4°C, and subsequently restored for 10 days to the normal growth temperature.

#### Sequences of the Rice and Arabidopsis Genes

The sequences of *Arabidopsis* genes belonging to the DREB1 subfamily were downloaded from the TAIR9 database (http://www.arabidopsis.org, TAIR9_pep_20090619). Conserved protein sequences of DREB1s (i.e. the NLS, the AP2/ERF domain, and the DSAW motif) were used as query sequences to carry out BLASTP searches against rice protein sequences in the Michigan State University (MSU) Rice Genome Annotation Project (RGAP) database, release 7 [http://rice.plantbiology.msu.edu/anayses_search_blast.shtml]. The resulting sequences from BLASTP were downloaded from the Rice MSU Osal database, release 6.1 [http://rice.plantbiology.msu.edu]. All protein sequences were subjected to phylogenetic analysis. Putative homologs were then further confirmed by conserved domain alignment and colinearity analyses.

#### Identification of Rice Homologs of Arabidopsis DREB1s

In order to identify rice homologs of *Arabidopsis* DREB1s, the full protein sequences of all non-redundant candidates obtained from BLASTP and *Arabidopsis* DREB1s were used to construct a phylogenetic tree by the neighbour-joining method using the ClustalW2 software [23,24]. From the phylogenetic tree, rice genes with close evolutionary relationships to *Arabidopsis* DREB1s were analysed by BLASTP against the *Arabidopsis* genome, and the genes possessing the highest E value or similarity with *Arabidopsis* DREB1s in the BLASTP analysis were ascertained to be candidate DREB1 homologs. The full protein sequences of these genes were aligned using ClustalW2, and also used to construct a phylogenetic tree by the neighbour-joining method of ClustalW2. Bootstrap analysis was performed using 1000 replicates. The phylogenetic tree was displayed by MEGA 4.0 [25], and then edited and viewed by TreeView software [26].

#### Colinearity Analysis between/among Regions Containing Arabidopsis DREB1s or their Rice Homologs

The colinearity between/among regions containing either *Arabidopsis* DREB1s or their rice homologs was analysed using reciprocal blast searches as previously described by Higgins et al [27]. Those genes belonging to small families, or existing as singleton genes, such as the gene adjacent to Os06g03670 (Os06g03600, encoding an SLK protein), were used in a BLASTP search against the protein database of *Arabidopsis*, and the genes with the highest E values and/or similarity scores in the results...
were taken as candidates for their orthologs. Further, protein sequences for these ortholog candidates were used in a BLASTP search of the rice protein database, and the rice genes with highest E values and/or similar scores in the result were then taken as the query genes in the first BLASTP. For some genes with multiple family members, such as genes belonging to the ERF/AP2 family, orthologs between rice and Arabidopsis were determined based not only on the results of reciprocal blast searches but also on the conservation of neighboring genes and order between the two species resulting from colinearity analysis [20].

Microarray Expression Data Analysis
Expression profile data of rice DREB1 genes for an indica variety, Zhenshan 97, were extracted from the Collection Rice Expression Profile (CREP) database [32], which is composed of Affymetrix rice microarray data from the hybridization of RNA samples from 39 tissues/organ systems covering the entire life cycle of rice. Hierarchical cluster analysis was performed using the expression values of each gene and then a heat map was constructed using R software, version 2.9.0 (http://www.r-project.org).

Expression profile data for rice DREB1s under abiotic stresses such as salt, cold, and drought were extracted from the RiceGE database [http://signal.salk.edu/cgi-bin/RiceGE]. The rice seedlings that were subjected to abiotic stresses were of the indica variety IR64 and were seven days old. According to the protocol, the rice seedlings were soaked in a 200 mM NaCl solution for 3 h for salt treatment, placed between folds of dry tissue paper at 28°C for 3 h for drought treatment, and kept at 4°C for 3 h for cold treatment.

Expression Analysis of Rice DREB1 Genes at Low Temperatures
Seven-day-old seedlings of the cold-sensitive indica variety Kasalath and the cold-resistant japonica variety Nipponbare were both subjected to low temperature treatments at 4°C and 12°C. Samples were collected at 0, 3, 6, 12, and 24 h timepoints during the treatments, and those from the 0 h timepoint were regarded as controls. Total RNA (2 µg) of each sample was isolated using an RNA extraction kit (TRizol reagent, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and reverse-transcribed in a 20-µL reaction using Revert AidTM First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Fermentas, Ontario, Canada) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed in a 10-µL reaction mixture with 1 µL of first-strand cDNA, 5 µL 2× SYBR® Premix Ex TaqTM (TaKaRa, Shiga, Japan), 0.2 µL 50× ROX reference dye, and 1 µL of each primer (2.5 µM). The reactions were carried out using an ABI PRISM 7500 system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The following amplification protocol was used: 95°C for 30 s, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 5 s, and 60°C for 30 s.

Rice ubiquitin 5 was used as the internal control. The relative expression levels were calculated using the 2DDCt method [30]. All experiments were repeated at least 3 times independently. The sequences of primers used in real-time PCR are shown in Table 1.

GO Enrichment Analysis of Genes Co-expressed with Rice DREB1 Homologs
Genes co-expressed with the rice DREB1 homologs were determined by Pearson pair-wise correlation analysis, the results of which are already available in the CREP microarray expression database (http://crep.ncpgr.cn) and the Rice Oligo Array Database (ROAD; http://www.ricearray.org). Both CREP and ROAD databases were used to analyse gene expression profiles over the entire life cycle of rice growth and development. The genes co-expressed with the rice DREB1s were used in singular enrichment analysis (SEA) with the online software AgiGO (http://bioinfo.cau.edu.cn/agnigo/) [31]. Biological process was selected as the GO category, and the ‘Rice TIGR Gene model’ was selected as the background. Two types of co-expression databases, abiotic stress and general in ROAD, were taken for GO enrichment analysis using the Chi square test. All co-expressed genes for each rice DREB1 gene were mapped to the GO category by the online GO enrichment analysis software, and Chi square tests for GO enrichment analysis were performed for genes that belong to ‘responsive to freezing (GO:00050826)’ as well as for genes that were mapped to both ‘responsive to freezing’ (GO:00050826) and ‘responsive to stress’ (GO:0006250), and were induced by a cold stress of 4°C for 3 h in the SALK RiceGE database.

Results
Rice Resistance to Cold Stress with or without Chilling Acclimation
In order to determine whether rice exhibits chilling acclimation, 7-day-old seedlings of four rice varieties (indica, 9311 and Kasalath; japonica, Nipponbare and TP309) were treated with cold stress with or without chilling acclimation. Growth and survival in all varieties tested were improved by first exposing seedlings to a 12°C acclimation treatment for 2 d before applying the chilling treatment (Fig. 1). In the case of indica rice, approximately 20% of the acclimated seedlings of Kasalath, but none of the non-acclimated seedlings, were alive after cold treatment at 4°C for four days (t test, P<0.05). Enhanced cold resistance after chilling acclimation was also observed in indica rice 9311, though the difference was not statistically significant (P=0.252). For japonica rice (i.e. Nipponbare and TP309), at least 97% of the acclimated seedlings, but only 24.5–49.6% of the non-acclimated seedlings were alive after cold treatment at 4°C for seven days (P<0.01). This suggests that rice possesses the ability to undergo chilling acclimation as described in maize [11].

Moreover, these results also showed that both the japonica rice varieties (i.e. Nipponbare and TP309) could survive more than two days of cold stress with more surviving seedlings than was seen in the two indica varieties (i.e. Kasalath and 9311), with or without chilling acclimation. For example, in contrast to only ~20% of the acclimated indica rice seedlings surviving, 97–100% of the acclimated japonica rice seedlings survived. Even without chilling acclimation, 24.5–49.6% of japonica rice seedlings survived after a longer period of cold stress (4°C for seven days), but only 0–11% of indica rice seedlings were alive after a shorter period of cold stress (4°C for four days). These data indicate that japonica rice is more resistant to low temperature than is indica rice.

Identification of Rice DREB1 Homologs
In the Arabidopsis genome, there are six genes belonging to the CBF/DREB1 family, which is a small subfamily of the ERF/AP2 gene family. The CBF/DREB1 family contains the CBF1–CBF4, DDF1, and DDF2 genes, and each of the corresponding proteins has conserved sequences such as the nuclear localization signal (NLS), ERF/AP2 domain, and the DSAW and LWSY motives (Fig. 2). As the first three motifs or domains are arranged together, these sequences are suited to searching for homologous genes in rice using BLASTP. The BLASTP results revealed 100 genes with a range of E values from 3.7E−32 to 6.2E−14 and similarities from 46.5% to 83.3% (Spreadsheet S1). Using the protein sequences of 100 rice genes and six Arabidopsis genes, a joint
phylogenetic tree of all these genes in rice and *Arabidopsis* was constructed using the neighbour-joining method in the ClustalW2 software. On the sub-tree, 10 rice genes showed a close evolutionary relationship with the genes from the *Arabidopsis* DREB1 family (Fig. S1). In contrast to other genes, all 10 genes were very similar to the *Arabidopsis* DREB1s, with the highest E values among the results of reciprocal blast searches in the *Arabidopsis* genome (Spreadsheet S1), suggesting that only these genes are the homologs of *Arabidopsis* DREB1s.

Of these homologous genes, Os01g73770, Os02g45450, Os04g48350, Os06g03670, and Os06g06970 are located separately on rice chromosomes 1, 2, 4, and 6, respectively, but Os08g43200 and Os08g43210, or Os09g35010, Os09g35020, and Os09g35030 are distributed on same chromosomes in clusters.

The DREB1 genes of rice and *Arabidopsis* were further analysed using ClustalW2 for multiple alignment and phylogenetic tree construction (neighbour-joining method). A multiple alignment of DREB1-related proteins of rice and *Arabidopsis* revealed that with the exception of Os06g06970, all rice DREB1 genes retain the four conserved regions, i.e. the NLS, ERF/Ap2 domain, and the DSAW and LWSY motifs (Fig. 2B). In the phylogenetic tree, there were three sub-trees. The six *Arabidopsis* genes (the clustered genes CBF1–CBF3 and the paralogous genes DDF1–DDF2) were clustered in a single sub-tree. The rice genes were grouped in two clusters, one of which contained two sets of tandemly

### Table 1. The messages of relative genes in the study.

| TIGR Locus | Gene   | qPCR forward primers | qPCR reverse primers | Probe Set | EST/cDNA |
|------------|--------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|----------|
| Os01g73770 | OsDREB1F | AGGACGCCCATCTCGCAGCT | GTCGAGATCTCCTCCAATCG | Os.40424.15.1_at | J013070D13* |
| Os02g45450 | OsDREB1G | CCCGACTACGGGTCATGGG | GCTACCTACGGCCAGGTCAC | Os.51078.15.1_at | 001–021-H10* |
| Os04g48350 | OsDREB1E | GAATTCGAAATGCAGGGGTG | GTTGAGACGAGAAGAGCTTG | Os.25721.15.1_at | 21141110* |
| Os06g03670 | OsDREB1C | CAAAGCTTATCAGCAGTAGC | GTGACGGAAGAAGAGCTTG | Os.50636.15.1_at | 21352525* |
| Os08g43200 | OsDREB1J | CATGACCAGCTGGCGAGCTG | GTGACGTAAGGGGCAGAGCG | OsAffx.6212.1.A1_at | 21368852* |
| Os08g43210 | OsDREB1I | GAGCCTGTACTACGCGAGCTTG | TCAGCGGTGCTGCGCTTG | OsAffx.29642.15.1_at | 21368852* |
| Os09g35010 | OsDREB1B | GATGGCGACGAGAAGAGAA | GAACTGACGGGTCAGTGC | Os.5816.15.1_at | 001–102-G08* |
| Os09g35020 | OsDREB1H | - | - | - | NO* |
| Os09g35030 | OsDREB1A | ACCACCTACTACGCGAGCTTG | TAGTACGCTCGAGGTGGGC | Os.14125.15.1_at | 001–200-A04* |
| Os10g22490 | Ubiquitin 5 | ACCACCTACGCGGCGACT | ACGCTAAAGCTCGTGGT | - | CF293679* |

*aKOME FL-cDNA; bCommunity cDNA; cNCBI-EST; dNo EST or FL-cDNA found.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047275.t001

**Figure 1.** Survival rate of 7-day-old rice seedlings after the low temperature stress with or without chilling acclimation. Cold treatment (CT): 7-day-old seedlings were first maintained for 4 days (for indica rice: 9311 and Kasalath) or 7 days (for japonica rice: Nipponbare and TP309) at a low temperature of 4°C, then restored for 10 days to normal growth temperature. Chilling acclimation treatment (CA): 7-day-old seedlings were first maintained for 2 days at a mild temperature of 12°C, then 4 days (for indica rice: 9311 and Kasalath) or 7 days (for japonica rice: Nipponbare and TP309) at a low temperature of 4°C, and finally restored for 10 days to normal growth temperature. Each rice varieties were treated with four times of independent experiments and the bars represent the standard deviation. P values of T-test for difference between CT and CA treatments of each variety were shown in Fig. 1.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047275.g001
Colinearity and Expression Analysis of OsDREB1s
distributed genes (Os08g43200 and Os08g43210, Os09g35010, Os09g35020, and Os09g35030) plus Os06g06970, whereas the other comprised four rice genes (Os01g73770, Os06g03670, Os02g45450, and Os04g48350). The phylogenetic analysis suggests that rice and Arabidopsis DREB1 genes diverged after species differentiation between rice and Arabidopsis, and then increased by duplication (Fig. 2A).

Colinearity analysis of DREB1s from rice and Arabidopsis showed that each of the rice homologs possessed colinearity to Arabidopsis DREB1s to some extent. For easy description, colinearity analysis of all regions with DREB1 was performed both within and between the genomes of Arabidopsis and rice. In the Arabidopsis genome, fragments >100-kb long that contained either the CBF1–CBF3 cluster on chromosome 4, or CBF1 on chromosome 5, had four pairs of paralogs (i.e. AT4G25440/AT5G51780, AT4G25440/AT5G51790, AT4G25440/AT3G51980, or CBF1–CBF3/CBF4). The two unlinked regions on chromosome 1, either carrying DDF1 or DDF2, had three pairs of paralogs (AT1G12560/AT1G62980, DDF1/DDF2, or AT1G12640/AT1G63050). All these results indicated that the clustered genes (CBF1–CBF3) and CBF4, equivalent to DDF1 and DDF2, were paralogous to each other, and were likely derived from two rounds of segmental duplication. Furthermore, there was another pair of paralogs (AT5G51930–51950/AT1G12570) among these paralogous regions (CBF1-4 and DDF1-2), suggesting that two pairs of paralogous or duplicated genes (CBF1–CBF3 and CBF4, and DDF1 and DDF2) came from an even earlier duplication in the Arabidopsis genome (Fig. S2; Spreadsheet S1).

Within the rice genome, there were six or five pairs of paralogs, respectively, in the 100–200 kb intervals containing each of the DREB1 homologs on chromosomes 2 and 4 (i.e. Os02g45530/Os04g48340, Os02g45520/Os04g48390, Os02g45450/Os04g48350, Os02g45380/Os04g48300), and on chromosomes 8 (Os09g3200/Os09g35600) and 9 (Os08g43200–43210), respectively, in the 100–200 kb intervals containing each of the homologs Os01g73770, Os06g03670, Os02g45450, and Os04g48350). The phylogenetic analysis is carried out by the neighbour-joining method of ClustalW2, and the tree was edited and viewed by TreeView software. Bootstrap values from 1000 replicates were indicated at each node. Scale bar represented 0.1 amino acid substitution per site.

The paralogous pairs of paralogs were derived from recent segmental duplication, whereas the pairs of paralogous segments of chromosome 6 and chromosomes 2/4 originated from an earlier duplication.

After investigating the relationships between the paralogous regions within the rice or Arabidopsis genomes, we next analysed the colinearity of these regions between the rice and Arabidopsis genomes. The paralogous pair of fragments on rice chromosomes 2 and 4 had a total of three homologous genes on Arabidopsis chromosome 4 that contain CBF1–CBF3 (i.e. AT4G25440/Os04g48330, AT4G25440/Os04g48330, and CBF1–CBF3), respectively, in the 100–200 kb intervals containing each of the homologs had the same transcriptional direction and arrangement order on the regions of Arabidopsis chromosome 4 and rice chromosomes 2 and 4. Located close to the homologous CBF gene (Os01g73770) on chromosome 1, Os01g73890 is one of two rice homologs of Arabidopsis TFIIA-S (AT4G24440) which also localise together to the CBF1–CBF3 cluster. As a result, Os01g73770 is likely homologous to DREB1 genes. The region bearing the DREB1 homolog Os06g03670 on rice chromosome 6 had two pairs of homologs in the Arabidopsis region containing CBF1–CBF3 with the same transcriptional direction and arrangement order (i.e. Os06g03670/CBF1–CBF3, Os06g03600/AT5G25155–25520). Hence, in addition to Os01g73770, Os02g45450, and Os04g48350, Os06g03670 is also homologous to the DREB1 genes (Fig. 3; Spreadsheet S1).

The paralogous pairs of clusters of Os08g43200–43210 and Os09g35010–35030 were mapped onto rice regions with perfect colinearity with the Arabidopsis region bearing CBF4, because the pair of paralogous regions on rice chromosomes 8 and 9 has a total of seven homologous to the following genes located in the region, including CBF4 on Arabidopsis chromosome 5: AT5G51910/Os08g43160/Os09g34990, AT5G51920/Os08g43180, AT5G51970/Os08g43190, CBF4/Os08g43200–43210, Os09g35010–35030, AT5G20300/Os08g43290, AT5G20500/Os08g43250/Os09g35600, and AT5G20600/Os08g43270/Os09g35630 (Fig. 4; Spreadsheet S1). Thus, these genes are also likely to be homologs of Arabidopsis DREB1 genes. This result was perfectly consistent with the results of a previous study by Vandepoele and colleagues [32].

The region containing the rice homolog Os06g06970, which is most similar to Os08g43200, did not show significant homology to any Arabidopsis or rice regions containing DREB1 genes, suggesting that it might be derived from single-gene duplication by the transposition of Os08g43200.

In summary, within the rice genome, rice regions containing Os02g45450, Os04g48330, Os06g03670, and the clustered genes Os08g43200–43210 and Os09g35010–35030 had some degree of colinearity with each other. Within the Arabidopsis genome, there was perfect colinearity between regions bearing the clustered genes CBF1–CBF3 and CBF4, and regions containing DDF1 and DDF2. Between the rice and Arabidopsis genomes, rice regions containing the homologs Os01g73770, Os02g45450, Os04g48330, and Os06g03670 had good colinearity to regions bearing CBF1–CBF3, whereas rice regions containing the paralogous pairs of clustered genes (i.e. Os08g43200–43210, and Os09g35010–35030) had good colinearity to the region bearing CBF4. Together with Os06g06970, the potentially transposed copy of Os08g43200, these rice genes were homologous to the Arabidopsis DREB1 genes.
To evaluate the functional diversity of these rice DREB1 genes, their expression patterns throughout the plant life cycle were examined using expression data from 39 tissues or treatments of the variety Zhenshan 97 from the CREP database. All rice homologs of Arabidopsis DREB1 had cDNAs or expressed sequence tags (ESTs), except for Os09g35020, suggesting that Os09g35020 might be a pseudogene. Os06g06970 had a community cDNA and microarray probe, but the hybridization signal was too low to be detected (Table 1). Therefore, only the other eight genes were taken into account for genome-wide expression analysis (Fig. 5).

This analysis revealed that except for the cluster of Os08g432000 and Os08g43210, the other six genes were expressed in a similar pattern (Fig. 5). The levels of expression of the six genes were generally higher in young tissues (radicle, flag leaf, and young panicle) than in aged tissues (seed, endosperm, and stem). It was noteworthy that genes in the cluster Os09g35010/Os09g35030 or those in the pair of paralogs Os02g45450/Os04g48350 had the highest similarity. Two genes clustered on rice chromosome 8 Os08g43200/Os08g43210 also had a similar expression pattern, but this expression pattern was different from those of the other six genes. This result suggests that the six rice DREB1s (Os01g73770, Os02g45450, Os02g45380, Os06g03670, Os09g35010, and Os09g35030) have similar functions but differ functionally from the other two genes (Os08g48200 and Os08g43210).

Expression of Rice DREB1s Under Abiotic Stresses

To investigate the response of rice DREB1s in abiotic stresses, we compared the expression of all these genes in rice variety IR64 under three types of stress (drought, salt, and cold) using microarray data from RiceGE (Fig. 6). Two genes in the paralogous regions of chromosomes 2/4 (Os02g45450, Os04g45380), and those in the cluster of chromosome 9...
Os09g35010/Os09g35030 were massively and rapidly induced only by cold stress (4°C for 3 h). Os01g73770 and Os06g03670 were induced by all three abiotic stresses; moreover, the expression levels of Os01g73770 in response to salt stress and that of Os06g03670 in response to drought stress were much higher than those seen in response to other stresses. The other genes (Os06g06970, Os08g43200, Os08g43210, and Os09g35020) were not responsive to any of the stresses (data not shown).

Real-time PCR Analysis of Rice DREB1s Under Cold Stress

As most of the rice homologs of Arabidopsis DREB1s are known to be induced rapidly by cold stress, 7-day-old seedlings of two varieties, Nipponbare (japonica) and Kasalath (indica) were exposed to two different low temperatures (4°C or 12°C) to determine the expression pattern of the six rice DREB1s by quantitative reverse-transcription PCR (qRT-PCR; Fig. 7). The results indicated that all six genes were upregulated by the low temperature treatments, consistent with the microarray data.
However, there were some differences between the two low temperature treatments. For all the genes, the expression level at 4°C was much higher and lasted longer than at 12°C. The expression level peaked at 6 h after treatment and lasted for more than 18 h at 4°C, with an increase of 80- to 7000-fold. In contrast, expression peaked at 3 h after treatment at 12°C, with an increase of 10- to 600-fold. Consistent with the microarray data, the results of qRT-PCR confirmed that the expression of four other rice DREB1 homologs (i.e. Os06g06970, Os08g43200, and Os08g43210) did not change in response to cold stress (Fig. S4).

Through the japonica rice variety Nipponbare was more resistant to low temperatures than the indica rice variety Kasalath (Fig. 1), not all rice DREB1s showed higher expression levels under low temperature stress in Nipponbare than that in Kasalath. For example, upon treatment at both the low temperatures (4°C and 12°C), the expression levels of Os04g48350 and Os09g35010 were higher, whereas those of Os01g73770 and Os02g45450 were lower, in Nipponbare than in Kasalath. The expression levels of Os06g03670 and Os09g35030 were not significantly different between the two varieties at 4°C, whereas the level in Nipponbare was lower than that in Kasalath at 12°C (Fig. 7).

GO Enrichment Analysis of co-expressed Genes of Each Rice DREB1 Homolog

Genes with similar functions have similar expression profiles [33]. Co-expression has been widely used to identify genes involved in the same functional pathway [34,35]. The fact that rice DREB1s are induced by cold treatment suggests that they may be involved in chilling acclimation or cold resistance in rice, just like their homologs in Arabidopsis. To confirm that the rice DREB1 homologs are involved in the low temperature response, their co-expressed genes were used in GO enrichment analysis. The results showed that genes responsive to stress, especially those responsive to cold stress, were over-represented among the genes co-expressed with rice DREB1 homologs (Table 2). The genes belonging to GO:000950 (responsive to stress) and/or GO:0009628 (responsive to abiotic stimulus) were obviously enriched among the genes co-expressed with Os01g73770, Os02g45450, Os04g48350, Os06g03670, Os09g35010, and Os09g35030. Moreover, most of the co-expressed genes in GO:0009628 (responsive to stress) were induced by cold stress (4°C for 3 h) according to expression profiles from the SALK RiceGE database. Further, GO:0009607 (responsive to biotic stimulus), GO:0009605 (responsive to external stimulus), and GO:0009719 (responsive to endogenous stimulus) were over-represented in the co-expressed genes of the above rice DREB1 homologs. In the case of the rice DREB1 homologs not induced by cold stress, such as Os08g43200 and Os08g43210, co-expressed genes belonging to GO:000950 (responsive to stress) were obviously enriched, but did not respond to cold stress, according to expression data from the SALK RiceGE database. Therefore, Os08g43200 Os08g43210 might be involved in responses to stresses other than low temperature.

To confirm the results of the co-expression analysis, GO enrichment analysis of the co-expressed genes of rice DREB1 homologs was performed using the general and/or abiotic stress types from the ROAD database. The results were consistent with those of the analysis using CREP data, i.e. genes involved in the freezing response were over-represented among the genes co-expressed with Os01g73770, Os02g45450, Os04g48350, Os06g03670, Os09g35010, and Os09g35030, but not among
Discussion

In our study, we have ascertained that rice possesses 10 homologs of Arabidopsis DREB1 genes, which is consistent with previous reports that up to 10 DREB1-like genes exist in the rice genome [12, 13, and 14]. Skinner et al (2005) suggested that rice has at least 14 members of rice CBF family and ten of them were known as OsDREB1A–OsDREBlJ [13]. In our work, we showed that only these genes are the homologs of Arabidopsis DREB1s by reciprocal blast searches. However, how these genes evolved and how they respond to stress treatments is still not fully clear. The phylogenetic relationships and colinearity of DREB1-related regions within/between species indicate that rice and Arabidopsis DREB1 genes diverged after the species differentiation of rice and Arabidopsis, and the family then expanded by processes such as segmental duplications, tandem duplications and transposition. Without considering single-gene duplication, the four paralogs of Arabidopsis DREB1s (CBF1–CBF3/CBF4/DDF1/DDF2) arose by three independent segmental duplications [36]. The six rice paralogs (Os01g73770/Os02g45450/Os04g48350/Os06g03670/Os08g43200–43210/Os09g35010–35030) might also be derived from several rounds of segmental duplication. Actually it has been confirmed that the four duplicated blocks, to which the pairs of DREB1 homologous genes on chromosomes 2 and 4, and 8 and 9 were mapped, were derived from whole-genome duplication [37,38].

Gene duplication plays an important role in biological evolution by supplying raw genetic material [39]. After duplication, the new gene descendants may maintain the same function as the parent genes, adopt part of the task of ancient genes (subfunctionalization), acquire novel functions (neofunctionalization), or change into nonfunctional pseudogenes (nonfunctionalization) [40]. In Arabidopsis, all of the tandem duplicated genes, CBF1–CBF3, function as key transcription factors in the cold-responsive pathway. The recently duplicated gene CBF4 still functions in abiotic stress, but as a regulator of drought adaptation in Arabidopsis [41], whereas the much earlier duplicated genes, DDF1 and DDF2, not only function in the response to salt stress but are also involved in plant development [42,43].

Of the rice DREB1 homologs, the recent segmentally duplicated genes, OsDREB1E and OsDREB1G, have the same expression...
Figure 7. Expression of rice DREB1s under chilling condition (4°C) and chilling-acclimation (12°C) temperatures.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047275.g007
Table 2. GO enrichment analysis of co-expressed genes of rice DREB1 homologs using agriGO software.

| GO ID | Biological process | Number of co-expressed genes for each OsDREB1 (a) | P-values of Fisher exact test for enrichment analysis (b) | Total number of genes in the category | Number of cold-responsive genes (c) |
|-------|---------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| go:0009690 | response to stress | 18 (7) | 8.4E-11 | 10 | 2.6E-12 | 3.2E-12 | 1.7E-12 |
| go:0009687 | response to abiotic stimulus | 67 | 10 | 6.2E-10 | 1.2E-12 | 1.2E-12 | 5.9E-12 |
| go:0096667 | response to biotic stimulus | 20 | 6 | 4.2E-08 | 1.0E-15 | 1.3E-15 | 1.0E-15 |
| go:0096665 | response to external stimulus | 34 | 5 | 1.2E-18 | 1.0E-15 | 1.2E-15 | 1.2E-15 |
| go:009719 | response to endogenous stimulus | 49 | 13 | 7.3E-24 | 1.0E-15 | 1.0E-15 | 1.0E-15 |

- **go:0009690** response to stress: indicates that six rice homologs of OsDREB1A, OsDREB1B, OsDREB1C, OsDREB1D, OsDREB1E, and OsDREB1F have expression patterns similar to those of other cold-responsive DREB1s, and are induced by other abiotic stresses in addition to cold stress, indicating that they retain a part of their functionality in the cold responsive pathway similar to Arabidopsis CBF/DREB1s. The paralogs containing two tandem duplicated genes on chromosome 9 (i.e. OsDREB1D and OsDREB1E), may have gained a different function, because they have different expression patterns from those of cold-induced genes, and are not upregulated under any of the three stresses.

CBF/DREB1s of Arabidopsis and other species such as barley and wheat have been confirmed to play an important role in freezing resistance. For example, CBF2 was the candidate of the major frost tolerant QTL (FTQ4) in a recombinant inbred line (RIL) population [44]. Frost resistance-2 (FR-2), a major QTL explaining up to 40% of the phenotypic variance, is localized to the same homologous genomic regions in different barley (FR-H2), or diploid (FR-Am2), and haploid (FR-A2) wheat mapping populations. Finally, both the major QTLs from the two different species are located in the TaCBF or HcCBF gene clusters, respectively, suggesting the importance of CBFs in freezing resistance [45, 46, 47, and 48]. In this study, the results of GO enrichment analysis indicated that six rice homologs of DREB1, all of which also responded to cold stress, had expression patterns similar to those of many other genes that are likely to be involved in the cold response. Moreover, the co-expressed genes included rice homologs of Arabidopsis MIF1/5 [18] and 2AT12 [19], both of which are key genes in the DREB1-dependent cold-responsive pathway (data not shown). Thus, the pathway may be conserved in rice to some extent, and rice DREB1 may play an important role in cold resistance.

Some QTLs related to cold or chilling tolerance have been mapped onto rice chromosomes using genetic populations, but few QTLs have been fine mapped to the regions containing CBF/ DREB1 genes [18, 19, 20, 21, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54 and 55]. As we showed, rice possesses the phenomenon of chilling acclimation [Fig. 1], and the expression pattern of cold-responsive OsDREB1s under the acclimation temperature (12°C), rather than that under the chilling temperature (4°C), is similar to the expression pattern seen for Arabidopsis CBF1–CBF3 genes under cold acclimation temperature (4°C) [56,57]. Thus for rice, acclimation under the mild temperature (i.e. 12°C), could have a positive effect on improving chilling tolerance, but the chilling temperature (i.e. 4°C), which is effective for the acclimation of freezing-tolerant species, is harmful to chilling-sensitive plants. However, the above-mentioned rice QTLs were mapped by phenotypic identification without prior cold or chilling acclimation, and they may be loci involved only in cold resistance rather than chilling acclimation.
Therefore, it is not surprising that they do not overlap with DREB1 genes that may participate in chilling acclimation.

In this work, expression analysis of DREB1 genes in the cold-tolerant japonica variety (Nipponbare) and the cold-sensitive indica variety (Kasalath) was performed, and the results showed no obvious relationship between the expression levels of DREB1s and the degree of cold resistance [Fig. 7]. This is consistent with a report that CBF gene expression levels in Arabidopsis were not proportional with the degree of freezing tolerance [38]. This can be explained by the fact that besides the CBF/DREB1-dependent cold-responsive pathway, other pathways, such as the abscisic acid-dependent pathway, are involved in this process. The functional redundancy of DREB1s may attribute to the discrepancy. In addition, as rice usually grows in a warm environment, it is likely that it has just retained part of, rather than all, the functions of the cold response during its evolution, due to the accumulation of mutations. This is corroborated by the finding that Arabidopsis ectotypes in warmer latitudes generally exhibited lower expression levels of CBF1–CBF3 [59].

In summary, as a prelude to elucidating the function of DREB1s in rice, we identified 10 homologs of Arabidopsis CBF/DREB1s, and found that some of these homologs are functionally conserved in the cold-responsive pathway. However, how these genes participate in cold signalling remains to be elucidated. Genetic analysis of single and multiple gene knockout mutants, and association mapping of DREB1s in natural rice populations, are underway in our lab. This research will facilitate our understanding of the molecular mechanisms of cold-responsive signalling in cold-sensitive plants.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Phylogenetic tree of Arabidopsis CBF/DREB proteins and their homologs in rice, constructed using the neighbour-joining method of ClustalW2. (TIF)

Figure S2 Colinearity of CBF/DREB1 regions within the Arabidopsis genome. The solid lines indicate that the 2 genes have the highest E or identity values from BLASTP, while the dotted line indicates that the 2 genes possess some identity but do not have the highest E or identity values from BLASTP within the Arabidopsis genome. The presence of pairs of chromosomes regions within the same dotted box indicates that they are pairs of paralogous regions due to duplication. (TIF)

Figure S3 Colinearity of rice CBF/DREB1 regions within the genome. The presence of 2 regions in the same dotted box indicates that they are a pair of paralogous regions due to segmental duplication, and the solid lines in the boxes indicate that the 2 genes have highest E or identity values from BLASTP. Outside the boxes, the solid and dotted unidirectional arrows indicate that the genes of chromosome 6 were used in BLASTP as queries, and those indicated by solid arrows have the highest E or/and identity values, compared to those indicated by the dotted arrows, with the exception of Os06g03640. The bidirectional arrow indicates that the 2 pairs of paralogs on chromosomes 2 and 4, and 8 and 9, have some degree of identity. Os06g03640 has the highest E and/or identity value from BLASTP with the pair of paralogs Os08g43270/Os09g35630. (TIF)

Figure S4 Expression of rice DREB1s in the japonica rice variety Nipponbare under low temperature conditions (4°C). (TIF)

Table S1 GO enrichment analysis of general ROAD co-expression data by the Chi square test. a, total number of genes in the background from the ROAD database, b number of genes belonging to GO:0050826 (responsive to freezing), c total number of co-expressed genes of each rice DREB1 gene, d expected number of genes belonging to GO:0050826 of each rice DREB1’s co-expression genes, e number of co-expressed genes mapping to GO:0050826 (responsive to freezing) and co-expressed genes induced by cold and mapping to GO:0006950 (responsive to stress), g, h values of chi square test. χ²1, 0.05 = 3.84, χ²1, 0.01 = 6.63. (DOC)

Table S2 GO enrichment analysis of the abiotic stress ROAD co-expression data using Chi square test. a, total number of genes in the background from the ROAD database, b number of genes belonging to GO:0050826 (responsive to freezing), c total number of co-expressed genes of each rice DREB1 gene, d expected number of genes belonging to GO:0050826 of each rice DREB1’s co-expression genes, e number of co-expressed genes mapping to GO:0050826 (responsive to freezing) and co-expressed genes induced by cold and mapping to GO:0006950 (responsive to stress), g, h values of chi square test. χ²1, 0.05 = 3.84, χ²1, 0.01 = 6.63. (DOC)

Spreadsheet S1 The blastp results of DREB1s and their neighbor genes within or between rice and Arabidopsis genomes. (XLSX)
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