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Summary

In both immunoglobulins (Ig) and T cell receptors (TCR), the rearrangement of V, D, and J region sequence elements during lymphocyte maturation creates an enormous degree of diversity in an area referred to as the complementarity determining region 3 (CDR3) loop. Variations in the particular V, D, and J elements used, precise points of recombination, and random nucleotide addition all lead to extensive length and sequence heterogeneity. CDR3 loops are often critical for antigen binding in Igs and appear to provide the principal peptide binding residues in TCRs. To better understand the physical and selective constraints on these sequences, we have compiled information on CDR3 size variation for Ig H, L (κ and λ) and TCR α, β, γ, and δ. Ig H and TCR δ CDR3s are the most variable in size and are significantly longer than L and γ chains, respectively. In contrast, TCR α and β chain distributions are highly constrained, with nearly identical average CDR3 lengths, and their length distributions are not altered by thymic selection. Perhaps most significantly, these CDR3 length profiles suggest that γ/δ TCRs are more similar to Igs than to α/β TCRs in their putative ligand binding region, and thus γ/δ and α/β T cells may have fundamentally different recognition properties.

Specific vertebrate immune responses are initiated by B and T lymphocytes via Igs and TCRs, respectively. Although x-ray crystal structures are available only for Igs, TCRs are believed to share a similar tertiary and quaternary structure (1-3). Antigen-specific immune receptors confer specificity against a wide variety of potential pathogens by recombination of V, D, and J elements into a single Ig or TCR variable domain-encoding exon (4). Ig L (κ and λ), TCR α and TCR γ chains utilize only V and J gene elements, whereas Ig H, TCR β, and TCR δ also employ one or more D elements. X-ray structural analysis of antibody-antigen complexes shows that one or both of the CDR3 loops of Ig H and L chains are always involved in antigen contact (4). Similarly, the CDR3s of both α and β TCR chains seem critical for peptide recognition (5, 6). As a result of variation in numbers of D and J elements used, D element reading frames, junctional diversity, and N region nucleotide addition, the estimated number of possible CDR3 sequences is greatest for γ/δ TCRs and least for Igs (irrespective of somatic mutation), with α/β TCRs being intermediate (7).

Characterization of the length distribution of CDR3 in different immune receptor chains is of interest for two reasons. First, in computer modelling of antibodies, the length of a given CDR has a profound effect on its shape, with differences of even one amino acid able to produce significant changes in the overall structure (8, 9). Thus, the analysis of CDR3 length variation among different antigen receptors might shed light on structure-function relationships in different immune receptor classes. Second, Igs undergo affinity maturation via somatic mutation, which does not change CDR3 length. T cells, on the other hand, undergo thymic selection, which is also likely to affect receptor affinity. Since thymic selection may largely be driven by “peptide” (10-12) and the CDR3 regions of the TCR are involved in peptide contact, it is important to know whether or not thymic selection affects CDR3 length distributions.

In this report, we characterize CDR3 length distribution in immune receptor repertoires and examine their potential mechanistic basis. Our results indicate that thymic selection has no effect on CDR3 length of α/β TCRs. Interestingly, the analyses do indicate that γ/δ TCRs are much more similar in their CDR3 lengths to Ig than to α/β TCR. This latter result suggests that γ/δ and α/β T cells may recognize antigens differently.

Materials and Methods

CDR3 lengths were tabulated using a computer program written in Pascal running on a VAX 6000-420 under VMS 5.4. All chains were tabulated from either the online Sequences of Proteins of Immunological Interest as of January 1992 (13) or a more specific compilation (14, 15). After excluding sequence fragments without a complete CDR3 region, the program calculates CDR3 length as the distance from the J region-encoded GXG triplet (where G is glycine and X is any amino acid) to the nearest preceding V region.
encoded cysteine (C). In the results shown, however, CDR3 length is defined as four amino acids less than the number of residues between the aligned C in the V element to the GXG triplet in the J region (3). Thus, the CDR3 region included in the sequence V~CASSLNWSQDTYPFGPG-J0 would count as nine amino acids. Results for each family were checked by hand and consecutive duplicates excluded. Additional sequences were included when CDR3 length could be identified unambiguously from the original reference or when alanine was identified as a substitute for one G in the GXG motif.

Statistics were calculated on a Macintosh computer using StatView II (Abacus Concepts, Berkeley, CA). The variance formula (a measure of dispersion) used by StatView II is for an unbiased sample estimate, rather than for the population mean (13). Skewing and kurtosis are measures to assess whether a data set conforms to a normal distribution. Skewing is the average of cubed standard scores (or z-values) of the distribution and reveals whether extreme values are evenly distributed above and below the mean (16). Kurtosis is three less than the average fourth power of the standard scores; it describes the peak and tails of a distribution (16). A normal distribution is symmetric (skewness = 0) and mesokurtic (modestly peaked with modest tails, kurtosis = 0). Results of Mann-Whitney and Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistics are expressed as a p value, which represents the probability that the values tested could have occurred due to chance alone.

Results and Discussion

To assess the distribution of CDR3 lengths in immune receptor repertoires, we have developed a program that scans an online version of the Kabat database to tabulate CDR3 lengths measured from the conserved residues flanking CDR3. Results for mouse and human Ig, \( \alpha/\beta \), and \( \gamma/\delta \) TCR are shown in Table 1. Histograms and cumulative distribution functions of CDR3 lengths are shown in Fig. 1.

To facilitate comparison between immune receptor chain families, we sought to characterize the relative order of CDR3 length distributions. Skewing and kurtosis were first calculated to determine whether the data sets conform to a normal distribution. Human \( \kappa \) and mouse L chain CDR3 length distributions are markedly platykurtic (sharply peaked); human L chains also show significant skewing (Table 1). Mouse \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \) chains show moderate platykurtosis and negative skewing. Thus the data sets obtained do not all conform to a normal distribution. We therefore compared chain-family lengths using the Mann-Whitney U test, a statistic for comparing data from two independent groups which assumes no particular, e.g., normal, distribution of values (16). At the 0.01 confidence level, CDR3 length in humans is in the order \( \kappa > H > \alpha > \beta \), but does conform to the rules: \( \delta > H > \alpha > \beta > \gamma > L \). However, at the 0.05 confidence level, human \( \gamma \) chain CDR3 lengths are longer than those of L chains \( (p = 0.04) \). In the mouse, \( \beta \) chain CDR3 lengths are longer than those of \( \alpha \) \( (p = 0.001) \), although the difference in means is small relative to that between L and H or \( \gamma \) and \( \delta \). Also, neither \( \alpha \) nor \( \beta \) lengths were statistically different from those of H or \( \gamma \) chains \( (p = 0.07-0.62) \). Thus, mouse CDR3 length at the 0.01 confidence level is not simple but does conform to the rules: \( \delta > H > \alpha > \beta > \gamma > L \) and \( \beta > \alpha \).

**Table 1. CDR3 Length in Antigen-specific Immune Receptor Chain Families from Human and Mouse**

| Family      | Sequences tested | CDR3* | Range† | Median§ | Mean  | Variance | Skewing | Kurtosis |
|-------------|------------------|-------|--------|---------|-------|----------|---------|----------|
| Human CDR3 lengths |                  |       |        |         |       |          |         |          |
| L           | 319              | 100   | 2,7    | 6       | 5.9   | 0.6      | -2.8    | 10       |
| \( \kappa \) | 150              | 74    | 5,10   | 7       | 7.3   | 0.9      | -0.1    | -0.4     |
| Total       | 469              | 174   | 2,10   | 6       | 6.5   | 1.2      | -2.3    | 2.2      |
| \( \lambda \) | 325             | 123   | 3,25   | 12      | 12.7  | 20.7     | 0.6     | 0.003    |
| H           |                  |       |        |         |       |          |         |          |
| \( \alpha \) | 75               | 66    | 6,12   | 9       | 9.2   | 2.8      | 0.1     | -0.9     |
| \( \beta \)  | 116              | 97    | 6,12   | 9       | 9.5   | 4        | 0.4     | 0.2      |
| \( \gamma \) | 46               | 31    | 1,12   | 7       | 7.2   | 5.5      | 0.03    | 0.6      |
| \( \delta \) | 42               | 40    | 8,21   | 14      | 14.5  | 11       | 0.2     | -0.8     |
| Mouse CDR3 lengths |                 |       |        |         |       |          |         |          |
| L           | 1,068            | 484   | 4,8    | 6       | 6    | 0.2      | 0.6     | 11.3     |
| \( \kappa \) | 75               | 21    | 6,6    | 6       | 6    | 0        | -       | -        |
| Total       | 1,143            | 505   | 4,8    | 6       | 6    | 0.1      | 0.6     | 12       |
| H           | 1,620            | 757   | 1,18   | 9       | 8.5   | 8.1      | -0.01   | -0.2     |
| \( \alpha \) | 123              | 95    | 6,12   | 8       | 8.5   | 1.6      | -0.5    | -0.3     |
| \( \beta \)  | 174              | 147   | 4,13   | 9       | 8.9   | 2        | -0.5    | 1.7      |
| \( \gamma \) | 46               | 46    | 4,11   | 9       | 8.8   | 1.8      | -0.8    | 1.7      |
| \( \delta \) | 171              | 161   | 6,19   | 13      | 12.7  | 6.4      | 0.02    | -0.05    |

* CDR3, number of lengths analyzed.
† Range, lowest value, highest value (in amino acids).
§ Median CDR3 length (50th percentile).
Among L chains as a group, $\lambda > \kappa$ CDR3 length in humans ($p = 0.0001$), and there is no difference in the mouse ($p = 0.81$). Human L, H, $\alpha$, and $\delta$ chain CDR3 lengths are longer than those of the mouse ($p = 0.0001$–0.01) although this is not the case for $\beta$ chains ($p = 0.09$). In contrast, mouse $\gamma$ CDR3 lengths are longer than those of the human ($p = 0.0003$).

For chain families having similar lengths as determined above, we wished to establish whether the spread of distributions might nonetheless be different. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov formula tests for whether two distributions are different in any way, again without requiring any particular grouping of data (16). There is a difference between CDR3 length distributions of mouse H and $\alpha$ chains ($p = 0.04$), as well as between H and $\beta$ chains ($p = 0.006$). No other differences were found between CDR3 length distributions of chain families having similar lengths ($p = 0.07$–0.78).

Several points stand out. Ig H and TCR $\delta$ chains have the longest and most variable CDR3 lengths, whereas their respective paired L and $\gamma$ chains are notably shorter and less variable. By contrast, $\alpha/\beta$ TCR chain CDR3 lengths have more similar ranges, medians (50th percentiles), and variance (dispersion). This dichotomy may be resolved by considering the nature of antigenic targets recognized by Igs versus $\alpha/\beta$ TCRs.  

Igs bind to a vast array of large and small unprocessed antigens. Thus the dispersed length distribution of Ig H CDR3 lengths is likely a function of the need to form crevices and protuberances that facilitate binding to a wide variety of antigenic surfaces. In contrast, $\alpha/\beta$ TCR are specific primarily for peptide-MHC complexes, in which the peptidic focus of antigenicity constitutes the central portion of a roughly planar surface (17, 18). We propose that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ chain CDR3 lengths are constrained in size because of their evolutionary selection for binding to peptide-MHC complexes, since such lengths would be consistent with both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ CDR3s contacting peptide directly (6).

Since both mouse and human $\alpha$ and $\beta$ CDR3 lengths are remarkably uniform, we sought to ask whether thymic selection plays any role in this uniformity. We can address this by tabulating CDR3 lengths in subpopulations of T cells expressing the same V region gene element. Fig. 2 A shows the thymic CD4$^+$ $\delta^+$, thymic CD4$^+$ $\delta^-$, LN CD4$^+$ $\delta^+$, and LN CD4$^+$ $\delta^-$ CDR3 lengths of V$\delta_{17}$ T cells from SJL mice (14). Using the method of Kolmogorov-Smirnov (16), we find no significant differences in length distribution between mouse $\beta$ chains as a group and any of these four compartments ($p = 0.41$–0.78). Fig. 2 B shows CDR3 length distributions in V$\alpha$8$^+$ T cells from LN and spleen of wild-type C57BL/6 and C57BL/6 mice expressing the TCR $\alpha$ transgene (CDR3 length = 10) of the T cell hybridoma 2B4 (15). The $\beta$ chain repertoire of the CD4$^+$ $\delta^+$ and CD4$^+$ $\delta^-$ cells in these mice was found to be limited, but that in the CD4$^+$ $\delta^-$ population is as diverse as in nontransgenic mice. It is thought that the accumulation of CD4$^+$ $\delta^+$ and CD4$^+$ $\delta^-$ but not CD4$^-$ $\delta^-$ cells is the result of positive selection (15). This comparison thus enables us to ask whether selective forces related to chain pairing could impinge on CDR3 length distribution in shaping the peripheral double-negative, CD4$^+$ $\delta^-$, or CD4$^+$ $\alpha/\beta$ TCR repertoires. Again, no differences in distribution are manifest between mouse $\beta$ chains and any of the specific subsets examined ($p = 0.08$–0.18). Each of these two sets of data indicates that CDR3 length distributions of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ chains are unaltered by thymic selection.

To assess the degree to which CDR3 length variation results from the relative lengths of the different D and J gene segments, we collated D (Table 2) and J region (Table 3) contributions to CDR3 size. There is a high correlation between median CDR3 length and the sum of median D and J contributions to CDR3 length ($r = 0.96$, excluding $\delta$ chains for which the number of D elements used is variable). A strong correlation also exists between median CDR3 length and me-
Figure 2. Effects of thymic selection on cumulative distribution functions of CDR3 lengths from subpopulations of T cells expressing the same V region gene element. The vertical axis shows the proportion of sequences analyzed having a length less than or equal to the corresponding CDR3 length value on the horizontal axis. (A) VB17-positive TCR β chains: mβ, all mouse β (as in Fig. 1); DP, CD4+CD8+ (double positive); 4+, CD4+CD8-; 8+, CD4-CD8+. m/8, n = 147; thymic DP, n = 49; thymic 4+, n = 68; LN 4+, n = 80; LN 8+, n = 80. (B) Vβ-positive TCR β chains in 2B4 c~ transgenic mice. tg, 2B4 TCR c~ chain transgenic; DN, CD4-CD8- (double negative); (wt) wild type. tg 4+, n = 22; tg DN n = 18; wt 4+, n = 34; wt 8+, n = 40.

Table 2. D Subregion Lengths from Human and Mouse

| Family | Number | Range | Median | Mean | Variance |
|--------|--------|-------|--------|------|----------|
| Human D subregion lengths | H | 31 | 3.14 | 10 | 8.5 | 6.5 |
| | β | 3 | 4.5 | 5 | 4.7 | 0.3 |
| | δ | 3 | 2.4 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Mouse D subregion lengths | H | 12 | 3.7 | 5 | 5.2 | 1.1 |
| | β | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| | δ | 2 | 3.5 | 4 | 4 | 2 |

Labels are as in Table 1.
Table 3. *J* Subgene Contribution to CDR3 Length in Human and Mouse

| Family       | Number | Range | Median | Mean | Variance |
|--------------|--------|-------|--------|------|----------|
| Human J contribution | L      | 10    | 1      | 1    | 0        |
|               | H      | 6     | 3.8    | 4.5  | 3.5      |
|               | α      | 9     | 7.8    | 7    | 0.3      |
|               | β      | 13    | 4.6    | 5    | 0.5      |
|               | γ      | 4     | 5.9    | 5.5  | 3.6      |
|               | δ      | 3     | 4.7    | 5    | 2.3      |
| Mouse J contribution | L      | 10    | 1      | 1    | 0        |
|               | H      | 4     | 3.5    | 4    | 1.3      |
|               | α      | 17    | 6.10   | 8    | 0.8      |
|               | β      | 12    | 4.6    | 5    | 0.3      |
|               | γ      | 2     | 7.9    | 8    | 2        |
|               | δ      | 2     | 4.7    | 5.5  | 4.5      |

Labels are as in Table 1.

if constraints on α and β CDR3 length reflect a functional requirement for contact between CDR3 residues of both chains and antigenic peptides bound to MHC molecules (6), then γ/δ T cells may not as a general rule recognize peptide in association with MHC. Consistent with this, Schild et al. (23) have shown that when γ/δ T cells recognize MHC molecules, bound peptides do not appear to play any role in conferring specificity. Thus γ/δ T cells may occupy a unique niche in the immune system combining Ig-like recognition properties with cellular effector functions such as cytotoxicity and cytokine release.
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