Advanced renal cell carcinoma and COVID-19 — a personal perspective
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The management pathways of advanced renal cell carcinoma (RCC) have considerably evolved in the past 5 years, presenting a particular challenge during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. In this Comment, we propose a management algorithm on the basis of the current evidence to safely manage patients with advanced RCC during this pandemic.

The goal of treatment is to maintain favourable clinical outcomes while limiting exposure to SARS-CoV-2 and potential adverse effects of infection. The pandemic of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection resulted in a major redistribution of health-care resources to combat this global public health emergency. Temporary restructuring of health-care delivery has been required to meet the demand of COVID-19 while providing quality care for routine health needs, including cancer therapy. Preliminary data suggest that patients with cancer are at an increased risk of developing severe complications from COVID-19 (REF. 2). To avoid SARS-CoV-2 infection, a part of the treatment strategy for oncology patients is to delay elective procedures, forego unnecessary testing and consider deferring treatment until the risk of COVID-19 subsides. Cancer societies and national authorities have already issued guidelines on cancer care during the COVID-19 pandemic. The goal of treatment is to maintain favourable clinical outcomes while limiting exposure to SARS-CoV-2 and potential adverse effects of infection resulting in prolonged hospitalizations.

In the past 5 years, the therapeutic landscape of advanced RCC has considerably evolved, resulting in multiple approved therapeutic options, which has added substantial complexity to clinical decision-making. This situation poses a challenge during the COVID-19 pandemic, forcing a re-evaluation of management strategies in these unprecedented times. Here, we propose a treatment algorithm for patients with advanced RCC, which reduces the risk of exposure to SARS-CoV-2 but still emphasizes good clinical practice (FIG. 1).

For patients with locally advanced RCC, upfront surgical resection is the standard of care. Surgical resection requires extensive use of personal protective equipment and, usually, overnight hospitalizations with an increased risk of exposure to SARS-CoV-2 for patients, providers and hospital staff. A theme throughout this Comment is that surgical therapy (and the subsequent use of valuable resources) can often be deferred in favour of effective systemic therapy, which does not require hospital admissions. Surgery should be prioritized for those patients at greatest risk of disease progression or complications from the disease if untreated. For patients with a high risk of postoperative complications or evidence of extensive localized disease (for example, inferior vena cava thrombus and extensive retroperitoneal lymphadenopathy), deferral of immediate surgical intervention can be considered. These patients can start systemic therapy, with a plan to address the surgical intervention in the next few months. The selection of systemic therapy should be weighed between the risk of bleeding when receiving vascular endothelial growth factor tyrosine kinase inhibitors (VEGF TKIs) and the risk of hospitalization from immune-related adverse events (irAEs) from immuno-oncology agents. Single-agent VEGF TKIs might be ideal in this circumstance. These agents are orally administered at home, and patients can be managed through telemedicine. Toxic effects can be managed with supportive care or drug cessation and, usually, do not require inpatient management. Surgery can be reconsidered after 3 months or sooner when elective surgeries resume at each institution.

The treatment of patients with metastatic RCC has continued to evolve over the past few years. The CARMENA and SURTIME trials investigated the benefit of upfront and delayed cytoreductive nephrectomy, respectively. Although the interpretation of these studies was limited by several caveats in study enrolment and analysis, their findings suggest limited benefits of cytoreductive nephrectomy and a lack of harm by choosing upfront systemic therapy. Currently, cytoreductive nephrectomy is considered in highly select patients without extensive metastatic burden or poor-risk disease. However, in the COVID-19 era, we propose to consider upfront cytoreductive nephrectomy as a non-essential surgical procedure unless there are severe symptoms from the primary tumour; thus, we recommend deferral of cytoreductive nephrectomy and consideration of systemic therapy for patients with intermediate-risk or poor-risk disease.

Systemic therapy of patients with treatment-naive metastatic RCC has undergone a radical shift in the
As COVID-19 might become a long-term public health problem, updated guidelines by the kidney cancer community might be warranted.
prolonged disease-free interval after nephrectomy (>12 months), good performance status, low-grade tumours and lung-only metastasis, are associated with better outcomes in the oligometastatic setting\textsuperscript{10}. During the COVID-19 pandemic, we propose deferring metastasectomy and ablative procedures. Some patients might require upfront systemic therapy with the consideration of metastasectomy at a later time point. Active surveillance is also an option in select patients with limited disease burden and slow progression.

Finally, providing adequate support to our patients is crucial throughout this pandemic. For patients with cancer, concerns over receiving inadequate care can surpass the perceived risk of COVID-19. In a survey by the Kidney Cancer Research Alliance (KCCure) of >500 patients with RCC in the USA, 71% of the participants felt that they were at a high risk of contracting COVID-19; however, 50% were either unwilling or very unwilling to skip an infusion of systemic therapy, and 64% were anxious about disease progression if systemic therapy was delayed (KCCure patient survey). Oncologists and surgeons caring for patients with RCC should reassure them that for many patients deferring therapies and avoiding the risks of SARS-CoV-2 exposure are in their best interest. Further studies are needed to gain insight into the effects of COVID-19 on cancer, particularly RCC. As COVID-19 might become a long-term public health problem, updated guidelines by the kidney cancer community might be warranted.
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