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ABSTRACT

Background: The social response towards transgenders in India is very discriminatory and prejudiced in general. They are experiencing discrimination in all domains of their personal and social life. They are even deprived of in their own families. Discrimination is believed to be associated with worse quality of life. The aim of this study was to explore the relationship between perceived discrimination and harassment with mental health and further quality of life in transgenders population.

Methods: The sample consists 60 transgenders (30 ‘Gurus’ or Leaders and 30 are the ‘Chellas’ or Student)) belongs to Varanasi (U.P.) and Chhapra (Bihar). A non-random purposive sampling including the snowball technique was used to collect the data. The age range of the respondents are 18 to 55 years. A questionnaire consists of self-developed scale of mental health, quality of life, discrimination and harassment were administered. A consent form was filled from participants before the administration of the questionnaire.

Results: The results show that (a) ‘Guru’ (Leaders) were perceived more discrimination and less harassment in comparison to their ‘Chellas’ (Students). Further, it was also found that (b) ‘Guru’ (Leaders) have perceived poor mental health (more negative affect, anxiety, depression and stress) and quality of life (less life satisfaction, and but more social acceptance) in comparison to their ‘Chellas’ (Students). (c) Perceived discrimination and harassment were negatively related to mental health status and quality of life. (d) Perceived discrimination was accounted for maximum variation in mental health (61.80%) while, component wise, perceived discrimination was accounted for maximum variation in anxiety (75.20%) and depression (68.50%) whereas, perceived harassment was accounted for maximum variation in negative affect or feelings (67.20 %) and stress (70.30 %). On the other hand, perceived discrimination was accounted for maximum variation in predicting quality of life (63.70%). Component wise, perceived discrimination was accounted for maximum variation in life satisfaction (84.20%) and socio-economic status (57.50%) whereas, perceived harassment was accounted for maximum variation in social acceptance (55.30 %) and (e) Mental health partially mediated in the relationship between perceived discrimination and harassment and quality of life. Results were discussed in light of previous researches and theories of this field.

Conclusion: Since, transgenders are regarded as the most disgraceful creature in this world. They are denied due to their status. The contribution of the study is important for understanding the pain and psychology of transgenders and aware others to include them in the mainstream society.
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INTRODUCTION

A growing body of evidence suggests that experiences with discrimination have implications for mental health and that these associations may vary by social status [1]. Perceived discrimination is consistently, positively associated with impaired mental health across a vast array of cross-sectional and longitudinal data and has been linked to multiple mental health outcomes, including depressive symptoms, psychological distress, anxiety, and psychiatric disorders [2-4].

Transgenders people are facing discrimination, harassment and violence throughout society, from their family growing up, in school, at work, by homeless shelters, by doctors, in emergency rooms, before judges, by landlords, and even police officers [5]. Every day, transgenders and gender non-conforming people bear the brunt of social and economic marginalization due to discrimination based on their gender identity or expression. However, little is known about variation in the association between perceived discrimination and mental health across social status groups such as Transgenders people- a socially marginalized and deprived group.

The problems being faced by the Transgenders community have been brought to the notice of the Government in the recent past. In this regard, a meeting was organized by the Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment on 23rd August, 2013 to discuss the issues relating to Transgenders community such as social stigma, discrimination, lack of education, public health care, employment opportunities, issue of various government documents, etc.

The social response towards transgenders in India is seen very discriminatory and prejudiced in general. This study was an attempt to explore the relationship between perceived discrimination and harassment with mental health and quality of life of transgenders population.

Transgenders

Today, the term transgenders or in Indian notion ‘Hijras’ have a recorded history of more than 4,000 years. In India, the total population of transgenders is around 4.88 Lakh as per 2011 census but the majority of them are invisible in mainstream society.

Transgenders is the state of one’s gender identity or gender expression which does not match with ones assigned sex [6] and globally considered physically and psychologically ambivalent and because of ambivalence characteristics. They can encompass multiple labels or titles of people who do not fit the binary definitions of male or female. Some titles include transvestite, transsexual, cross-dresser, gender queer, non-gendered, and drag king/queen.

Transgenders are quite often made to live on the fringe of the society and are referred with derogatory labels like ‘hijras’ [7-8]. The societal outlook, as well as acceptance of transgenders has been quite different in India than the western cultures. Ancient myths bestow them with special powers to bring luck and fertility. Yet, despite this supposedly sanctioned place in Indian culture, they are subjected to many social adversities. They often face discrimination in education, housing, health, employment and official bureaucratic dealings [9-10]. They are often required to tend to jobs below their intellectual capacity. Many generate income from petty extortion, performing at ceremonies, through begging and sex work [11].

Violence against transgenders, especially towards sex workers, is common and occurs in a variety of settings [12-13]. Many transgenders have experienced abuse during childhood, and the rates of sexual abuse has been reported to be higher in transgenders than those with other gender orientations [14]. These adverse life circumstances are likely to have adverse psychological impact on transgenders. Moreover, access to health care services are reported to be more adversarial towards transgenders, which may result in their health concerns not being addressed fairly [15].

Perceived Discrimination, Harassment and Mental Health

The World Health Organization (2004) defines the concept of mental health status as, “a state of well-being in which the individual realizes his or her own abilities, can cope with the normal stresses of life, can work productively and fruitfully, and is able to make a contribution to his or her community.” [16]

Sen Gupta and gave six indices of mentally healthy person namely, adjustment, emotional stability, intelligence, autonomy, security-insecurity, activity-level [17]. Mental health thus involves a continuous
process of adjustment through optimum use of one’s potentialities rather than a static condition. Mental health of police employees may be influenced by a number of work environmental factors such as sexual harassment, leadership style, stressful condition of the work, peer group support, family influence, and accessibility of coping mechanism.

Discrimination in general is classically defined as a phenomenon that involves ‘deny(ing) to individual or groups of people equality of treatment which they may wish’ [18]. Recognized as a worldwide experience, discrimination in terms of gender and sexuality is no exception [19]. India is not known for being a LGBTIQ-friendly place at a macro level, that is, in social arenas. There is little protection under the recent provision made by the Supreme Court of India discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identity. Though the ‘Hijras’ are tolerated by the Indian society. They are not accepted and are discriminated against in various settings, first because of their transgenders status—their cross-dressing or feminine appearance—which is often ridiculed and second, because of their presumed occupation, sex work [20].

Previous studies consistently reported the link between perceived discrimination and mental health, namely more individual experiences of discrimination are associated with poor mental health and mental diseases [21-22]. Discrimination was also reported to be associated with many physical health measures, including high blood pressure [23-24], respiratory problems [25], self-rated health [26-27] and chronic health conditions [28-29]. Mental health may be affected by perceived discrimination more than physical health [30].

Grant and others released a comprehensive study on discrimination with 6,450 transgenders and gender non-conforming study participants for the National Centre for Transgenders Equality and National Gay and Lesbian Task Force [31]. Discrimination was found to be pervasive throughout the entire sample. Respondents lived in extreme poverty. A staggering 41% of respondents reported attempting suicide compared to 1.6% of the general population, with rates rising for those who lost a job due to bias (55%), were harassed/bullied in school (51%), had low household income, or were the victims of physical assault (61%) or sexual assault (64%). Ninety percent of transgenders individuals have encountered some form of harassment or mistreatment on the job. Respondents reported various forms of direct housing discrimination. Almost half of the respondents (46%) reported being uncomfortable seeking police assistance. Nineteen percent of the sample reported being refused medical care due to their transgenders or gender non-conforming status, with even higher numbers among people of color in the survey.

Mustanski, Garofalo and Emerson sought to address some of the gaps in past research by conducting structured diagnostic interviews in a community-sample of 246 LGBT youth [32]. Participants in the study were very diverse in terms of ethnicity and were between the ages of 16-20 years old, with an average age of 18. It was found that nearly 10% of study participants met criteria for post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and about 15% met criteria for major depression. A third of the participants had made a suicide attempt at some point in their life.

Transgenders individuals are particularly vulnerable to mental health concerns and psychological distress [32]. Regarding specific psychological distress, research has shown that transgenders individuals report higher levels of both anxiety and depression than the population as a whole. Depression has been reported to affect 16.6% of the total population, and combined anxiety disorders affect 28.8% of the United States population [33].

Within the literature, rates of depression for transgenders individuals range from 48% to 62% [31-33]. Anxiety and overall distress rates for transgenders individuals range from 26% to 38% [30, 34]. Although the rates of depression, anxiety, and overall distress indicate above-average rates for the transgenders population, there are only several studies that examine potential explanations for these findings. Nuttbrock and others report that there is a significant positive relationship between gender-related abuse and depression in transgenders women. The authors note that social stressors and/or ostracism from peers can explain a large amount of the depression that is reported by the individuals in their study [35].

Budge and others in their qualitative study indicate that distress varies on the basis of several factors, including the individual’s transition process, coping mechanisms used, and level of social support. Although both of these studies provide insight into possible explanations for contributing factors to transgenders individuals’ distress, there continues to be a lack of generalizable information regarding the actual process through which individuals cope with and experience depression and anxiety [36].
Social anxiety is possibly the most prevalent disorder found among transgenders people, with studies in 2005 and 2010 showing that 55% of transgenders people experience high levels of anxiety, compared to only 6.8% of the cisgender (non-transgenders) population [37]. Transgender people may find themselves living in constant fear of verbal harassment or physical violence. While a healthy mind can deal with this kind of pressure for short periods, over time a perpetual sense of danger may develop into debilitating forms of anxiety and/or depression. Tragically, this also leads to an increased rate of suicide attempts in the transgender community (as compared with cisgender individuals). Grossman and D’Augelli [38] studied the risk factor of suicide among transgenders youth. Nearly half of the sample reported having seriously thought about taking their lives and one quarter reported suicide attempts. Factors significantly related to having made a suicide attempt included suicidal ideation related to transgenders identity; experiences of past parental verbal and physical abuse; and lower body esteem, especially weight satisfaction and thoughts of how others evaluate the youths' bodies. Pascoe and Smart Richman [39] found that perceived discrimination has negative physical and mental health outcomes, and that it also heightens perceived stress. Harris and others associated discrimination with poor or fair self-rated health, lower physical functioning, lower mental health, smoking, and cardiovascular disease [40].

**Perceived Discrimination, Harassment and Quality of Life**

Quality of Life (QoL) is a broader concept than personal health status. Lindström defines QoL as the total essence of existence of an individual, a group, or a society, as measured objectively and perceived subjectively [40]. Sex discrimination harassment can also occur, in which unwelcome sexual advances or non-sexual offensive comments are made about a person’s sex (Equal Employment Opportunity Commission). Discrimination has found deleterious influences on health and quality of life in previous researches. *Discrimination* is believed to be associated with worse *quality of life* (QoL) in study done by Başar and others [41]. In India, a study done by Aneesh M.S. (2017) has reported that 13% of transgenders of Kerala positively rated that they have good quality of life. The overall perception of their quality of life shows that 16% rated very good quality of life, 30% rated as neither poor nor good, 35% are negatively rated that they have very poor quality of life and remaining 6% have poor quality of life. It is understood that majority of the respondents believes that they possess poor quality of life [42].

Further, in India there has not been much academic interest in studying, understanding, and debating the transgenders issues. Much of the studies concern were in field of legal perspectives, discrimination in uses of health care systems, understanding their social and economic problems, This study was an attempt to understand the problems faced by transgenders in terms of psychological consequences of perceived discrimination and harassment in their personal and professional domain of life. How these perceptions of discriminations and harassment are affecting their mental health and further quality of life.

On the basis of aforementioned literatures in the field of transgenders researches around the world and India, researcher has proposed following model explaining the relationships between the variables of the research.

---

**Diagrammatic frame work of the study illustrating the relationships between variables**

- **Mental Health Status**
  - Negative Affect
  - Anxiety
  - Depression
  - Stress
- **Quality of Life**
  - Life Satisfaction
  - Social Economic Status
  - Social Acceptance

---
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The following research hypothesis were formulated to establishing the link between the objectives of the research.

- **H₁**: (a) ‘Guru’ (Leaders) will have more perceived discrimination and less harassment in comparison to their ‘Chellas’ (Students). (b) ‘Guru’ (Leaders) will have poor mental health and quality of life in comparison to their ‘Chellas’ (Students).

- **H₂**: Perceived discrimination will negatively affect the mental health & quality of life of transgenders people in terms of more negative affect, low level of life satisfaction, more anxiety and depression.

- **H₃**: Perceived harassment in social and profession life will negatively affect the mental health & quality of life of transgenders people in terms of more negative affect, low level of life satisfaction, more anxiety and depression.

- **H₄**: Mental health status will mediate the relationship between perceived discrimination and quality of life (life satisfaction, socio-economic status, acceptance) of transgenders.

- **H₅**: Mental health status will mediate the relationship between perceived harassment and quality of life (life satisfaction, socio-economic status, acceptance) of transgenders.

**METHODOLOGY**

**Participants**
The sample consists 60 transgenders (30 ‘Gurus’ or leaders and 30 are the ‘Chellas’ or Student) belongs to Varanasi (U.P.) and Chhapra (Bihar). A non-random purposive sampling including the snowball technique was used to collect the data. The age range of the respondents are 18 to 55 years with a mean scores of 38.3 years.

A questionnaire consists of self-developed measures of mental health status, quality of life, perceived discrimination and harassment were administered. A consent form was filled from participants before the administration of the questionnaire.

**Criteria for selecting the sample**
- Only transgenders having by birth and castrated were selected for the study confirmed by ‘Guru’ (Leader)
- Age of the ‘Chellas’ (students) are restricted between 18 to 35 years and for Gurus 40 to 55+ Years.
- Their economic sources are: private jobs in company, begging money during travelling in trains and crossing.
- They were taken from urban background i.e. city location.
- For members of the community, at least 6 months of membership in the group was compulsory for ‘Chellas’ (students).

**Study Measures**
**Perceived discrimination**: In this study, perceived discrimination was operationalized in terms of transgenders’s experiences of day-to-day discriminations at school (discouraged from continuing education, denied a scholarship), work (not hired or promoted, fired), receiving financial and other services (denied a bank loan, prevented from renting or buying a home, given inferior services), and experiences with social hostility (forced out of a neighborhood, hassled by the police). The scale consists of 9 items indicating their experience of discrimination on five point rating scale (where ‘0’ indicates no discrimination and ‘4’ indicates maximum level of perceived discrimination).

Respondents were asked to indicate how frequently they experienced each of 9 types of discrimination on a day-to-day basis. For each, respondents chose between 0 to 4 descriptors (“never,” “rarely,” “sometimes,” “often” and “always”). Because we were interested in the prevalence of relatively common experiences with discrimination. The Cronbach's Alpha coefficient of this self-developed scale is 0.728 on 9 items.
Perceived Harassment: In this study, perceived harassment was operationalized in terms of transgenders’s experiences of being harassed or mistreatment by police, people, colleagues etc. while begging in trains and at crossing or workplace. The scale consists of 9 items indicating their experience of discrimination on five point rating scale (where ‘0’ indicates no discrimination and ‘4’ indicates maximum level of perceived discrimination). Respondents were asked to indicate how frequently they experienced each of 9 types of discrimination on a day-to-day basis. For each, respondents chose between 0 to 4 descriptors (“never,” “rarely,” “sometimes,” “often” and “always”). Because we were also interested in the prevalence of relatively common experiences with harassment. The Cronbach's Alpha coefficient of this self-developed scale is 0.681 on 3 items. Most of them were reported high rate of physical harassment by police (62%) followed by public (45%) and colleagues (23%).

Mental Health Status: Mental health status was operationalized in terms of positive and negative affect, anxiety, depression, and stress. The index consists of 30 items was the combinations of four measures which was adopted by researcher for this study. Hindi adaptation of PANAS scale (Pandey, Manoj Kumar), and self-developed items for anxiety, depression and stress were used for assessing mental health status of transgenders. Items were rated on a five point rating scale where respondents had to choose between 0 to 4 descriptors (“never,” “rarely,” “sometimes,” “often” and “always”). The Cronbach's Alpha coefficient of his index is 0.833 on 30 items.

Quality of Life (QoL): Quality of life was operationalized in terms of life satisfaction, socio-economic status, and social acceptance as perceived by transgenders. Hindi adaptation of life satisfaction scale (Diener, E. et.al. 1985) and self-developed items were used for assessing perceived socio-economic status and social acceptance by transgenders [42]. Items were rated on a five point rating scale where respondents has chosen between 1 to 5 descriptors (“very less”, “less”, “neutral”, “much” and “very much”). The Cronbach's Alpha coefficient of this scale is 0.774 on 24 items.

Data Collection
The first ten minutes of initial talk involved reading and discussing the informed consent form. The form contains information about the purpose of the study, the participant's rights, the risks and benefits of the study and contact information for the researcher. The consent form also asks for the participant to give consent to be re-contacted so that researcher can get feedback during the analysis process. This study is a part of previously done project work [43] which included semi-structured interviews with participants and questionnaires. As a part of that project, participants were asked to filled the form or give their responses of booklet of questionnaire (those who are unable to read the form). Before starting the data collection, a written informed consent was obtained from the participants and confidentiality of the information were assured. They were also assured that the information collected was used only for the purpose of the research.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
The data obtained from this study was analyzed for testing the hypotheses and understand the patterns of relationships among the variables understudy. For which ANOVA, inter-correlations among variables and stepwise regression analysis were used with the help of IBM SPSS 23 version

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
This study was an attempt to understand the problems faced by transgenders in terms of psychological consequences of discrimination and harassment in their personal and professional domain of life. In other word, how these perceived discriminations and harassment are affecting their mental health and quality of life.

Differences in Perception of Discrimination and Harassment
It was hypothesized that (a) ‘Guru’ (Leaders) will have more perceived discrimination and less harassment in comparison to their ‘Chellas’ (Students). (b) ‘Guru’ (Leaders) will have poor mental health and quality of life in comparison to their ‘Chellas’ (Students).
One-way ANOVA for finding the significance of the mean difference between ‘Guru’ and ‘Chellas’ on perception of discrimination, harassment, mental health and quality of life

| Discrimination | Harassment | Mental Health Status | Quality of Life Index |
|----------------|------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|                |            | Mental Health | Negative Affect | Anxiety | Depression | Stress | Quality of Life | Life Satisfaction | Socio-Economic Status | Social Acceptance |
| G C G C G C G C G C G C G C G C G C | G C G C G C G C G C G C G C G C G C G C |            |            |            |            |        |                |               |                    |                |
| N              | 30         | 30             | 30             | 30         | 30         | 30     | 30             | 30             | 30                 | 30               | 30               | 30 |
| M              | 3.95       | 2.65           | 2.86           | 3.78       | 4.23       | 3.21   | 3.68           | 4.43           | 4.54               | 3.65            | 3.43            | 2.32 |
| S D            | 674        | .497           | 4.12           | .567       | .674       | .564   | .543           | .675            | .345               | .653            | .543            | .432 |
| F              | 4.432**    | 3.432**        | 5.321**        | 3.126*     | 3.198*     | 4.231**| 3.982**        | 5.124**        | 3.894**            | 1.986           | 4.345**        |

***p< .001 level, **p< .01 level, *p<.05 level (2-tailed test)

Higher scores on perceived discrimination & harassment indicates higher level discrimination and harassment.

Higher scores on Mental Health Status Index indicates higher level negative affects, anxiety, depression, and stress

Higher scores on quality of life index indicates high level of quality of life.

The one-way ANOVA was computed for examining the significance of the differences of the mean scores (a) ‘Guru’ (Leaders) and ‘Chellas’ (Students) on perception discrimination and less harassment, mental health and quality of life in comparison to their ‘Chellas’ (Students). Results revealed that (a) ‘Guru’ (Leaders) were perceived more discrimination and less harassment in comparison to their ‘Chellas’ (Students). Further, it was also found that (b) ‘Guru’ (Leaders) have perceived poor mental health (more negative affect, anxiety, depression and stress) and quality of life (less life satisfaction, and but more social acceptance) in comparison to their ‘Chellas’ (Students). There is no significant difference was found on socio-economic status of both groups. Thus, the results support the hypothesis H1.

‘Guru’ (Leaders) were reported poor mental health related issues and quality of life because of they are now dependent on their ‘Chellas’ in terms of economic support, care, love and affection. Although, they are living in a group of same people but sometimes they are ignored by their ‘Chellas’ like an old tiger. They are just spending their time to seek a peaceful end of life.

Relationships between Perceived Discrimination and Harassment with Mental Health Status and Quality of Life in Transgenders

It was hypothesized that (a) perceived discrimination will negatively affect the mental health & quality of life of transgenders people. (b) Perceived harassment in social and profession life will negatively affect the mental health & quality of life of transgenders people.

Table 2

Coefficient of correlation between perceived discrimination and harassment with mental health status and quality of life among transgenders.

| Mental Health Status Index | Quality of Life Index (QoL) |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                           | Positive Affect | Anxiety | Depression | Stress | Life Satisfaction | Socio-Economic Status | Social Acceptance |
| Perceived discrimination  | - 0.621**        | 0.430** | 0.451**    | 0.474** | -0.144            | -0.305**              | 0.405**          |
| Perceived harassment      | -0.572**         | 0.501** | 0.327**    | 0.542** | -0.220            | -0.317**              | 0.242            |

Note: *p<0.05 level (2-tailed), **p<0.01 level (2-tailed).
Higher scores on perceived discrimination & harassment indicates higher level discrimination and harassment. Higher scores on Mental Health Status Index indicates higher level negative affects, anxiety, depression, and stress. Higher scores on quality of life index indicates high level of quality of life.

On the basis of the results presented in Table-2 we can say that (a) perceived discrimination is significantly negatively correlated with mental health and quality of life. (b) Perceived harassment was also found significant negatively correlated with mental health and quality of life among transgenders. The obtained results supports the true hypothesis $H_2$ & $H_3$.

Further on the basis of this result, it can be said that discriminations and harassments (physical and psychological) in social and professional life are found responsible for creating more negative affect or feelings, anxiety, depression and stress among transgenders. It can be also said that discriminations and harassments (physical and psychological) in social and professional life are accountable for poor quality of life in terms of less life satisfaction, low socio-economic-status (respect, economic crisis, love etc.), and less social acceptance (inclusion of main stream society, social participation etc.) among transgenders.

Previous studies consistently reported the link between perceived discrimination and mental health, namely more individual experiences of discrimination are associated with poor mental health and mental diseases [2-3, 20]. Discrimination was also reported to be associated with many physical health measures, including high blood pressure [22-23], respiratory problems [24], self-rated health [25-26] and chronic health conditions [27-28]. Mental health may be affected by perceived discrimination more than physical health [29].

Transgender people may find themselves living in constant fear of verbal harassment or physical violence. Grossman and D’Augelli [40] studied the risk factor of suicide among transgenders youth [39, 48-50]. Factors significantly related to having made a suicide attempt included suicidal ideation related to transgenders identity; experiences of past parental verbal and physical abuse; and lower body esteem, especially weight satisfaction and thoughts of how others evaluate the youths’ bodies.

Pascoe and Smart Richman found that perceived discrimination has negative physical and mental health outcomes, and that it also heightens perceived stress [39]. Discrimination has found harmful influences on health and quality of life in previous researches [40-44].

On the basis of bivariate correlation analysis, it is not sure that which one is the best predictors for the outcome variables or to know which one among discrimination and harassment is responsible for assessing poor mental health (negative affect or feelings, anxiety, depression and stress) and quality of life (life satisfaction, socio-economic-status and social acceptance) among transgenders, stepwise regression analysis was done for predicting the outcome variable more accurately and presented in Table No-3.

**Predicting mental health and quality of life along with their dimensions from perceived discrimination and harassment at social and professional life**

Higher scores on perceived discrimination & harassment indicates higher level discrimination and harassment. Higher scores on Mental Health Status Index indicates higher level negative affects, anxiety, depression, and stress. Higher scores on quality of life index indicates high level of quality of life.

Stepwise regression analysis was done to find out the best predictors among perceived discrimination and harassment to determine the maximum contribution in assessing the negative impact on mental health status and quality of life of transgenders.

The predictor variables, which were found to be most significantly predicting the criterion variable are presenting here. (a) Perceived discrimination was accounted for maximum variation in mental health (61.80%). Component wise perceived discrimination was accounted for maximum variation in anxiety (75.20%) and depression (68.50%) while perceived harassment was accounted for maximum variation in negative affect or feelings (67.20 %) and stress (70.30 %).

On the other hand, (b) Perceived discrimination was accounted for maximum variation in predicting quality of life (63.70%). Component wise perceived discrimination was accounted for maximum variation in life satisfaction (84.20%) and socio-economic status (57.50%) while perceived harassment was accounted for maximum variation in social acceptance (55.30 %).
Table No. 3

Showing the stepwise regression analysis to determine the best predictors of (a) mental health (negative affect or feelings, anxiety, depression and stress) and (b) quality of life (life satisfaction, socio-economic status and social acceptance) from perceived discrimination and harassment.

| Predictor Variable | Dependent Variables | R | Adjusted R Square | R Square Change | Standardized Coefficients (β) | t-value | Sig. |
|--------------------|---------------------|---|------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|---------|------|
| Mental Health Status and Components | | | | | | | |
| Discrimination | Mental Health | 0.618 | 0.617 | 0.618 | 0.856 | 11.150 *** | 0.000 |
| Harassment | Negative Affect | 0.673 | 0.672 | 0.673 | 0.761 | 9.216*** | 0.000 |
| Discrimination | Anxiety | 0.752 | 0.751 | 0.752 | 0.733 | 8.783*** | 0.000 |
| Discrimination | Depression | 0.685 | 0.684 | 0.685 | 0.794 | 6.743*** | 0.000 |
| Harassment | Stress | 0.703 | 0.702 | 0.703 | 0.643 | 8.956*** | 0.000 |
| Quality of Life and Components | | | | | | | |
| Discrimination | Quality of Life | 0.637 | 0.636 | 0.637 | 0.672 | 7.0124*** | 0.000 |
| Discrimination | Life Satisfaction | 0.842 | 0.841 | 0.842 | 0.690 | 7.674** | 0.008 |
| Discrimination | Socio-Economic Status | 0.575 | 0.574 | 0.575 | 0.581 | 6.893** | 0.006 |
| Harassment | Social Acceptance | 0.553 | 0.552 | 0.553 | 0.632 | 6.121** | 0.005 |

***p< 0.001 level, **p< 0.01 level, *p < 0.05 level (2-tailed test)

In sort, perceived discrimination was found more accountable or predicting maximum in assessing the impact on mental health and quality of life. Since, transgender people are confronted at every turn with discrimination—in school, at work, on the streets, in the doctor’s office, in the line for public assistance, in the restroom, in the boardroom, and many more places. Even in their own homes. It is exhausting, it is frightening, and it is, for far too many people, almost continuous [45-46].

Mediating role of mental health in the relationship between perceived discrimination and harassment and quality of life of transgenders

It was hypothesized that mental health status will mediate the relationship between (a) perceived discrimination and quality of life (life satisfaction, socio-economic status, acceptance) of transgenders & (b) perceived harassment and quality of life (life satisfaction, socio-economic status, acceptance) of transgenders.

Mediation analysis was carried out to assess the mediating role of mental health on the relationship between perceived discrimination and harassment and quality of life. To test the mediation, the procedure suggested by Baron and Kenny was adopted; the steps have been stated below:

- The independent and dependent variable must be significantly related
- The independent and mediating variable must be significantly related
- The mediator and dependent variable must be significantly related and
- The independent variable must have no effect on the dependent variable when the mediator is held constant (full mediation) or should become significantly smaller (partial mediation).

The hierarchical linear regression analyses for exploring the mediating role of mental health are demonstrated in Table 4.

Higher scores on perceived discrimination & harassment indicates higher level discrimination and harassment. Higher scores on Mental Health Status Index indicates higher level negative affects, anxiety, depression, and stress. Higher scores on quality of life index indicates high level of quality of life.
Table 4

| Mediation Steps | Non-Standardized Coefficients (β) | Std. Error | Standardized Coefficients (β) | t-value | Sig. |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------|------|
| 1               | 0.681                             | 0.781      | 0.625                         | 0.712   | 10.483 | 13.423 | .000 | .000 |
| 2               | 0.587                             | 0.653      | 0.476                         | 0.567   | 6.825  | 8.655  | .000 | .000 |
| 3               | 0.402                             | 0.589      | 0.484                         | 0.653   | 7.624  | 7.224  | .000 | .000 |

Sobel Test Results

| Mediation Type | Z Score | Direct Effect | Indirect Effect | Total Effect | Sig. |
|----------------|---------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|------|
| Partial        | 3.64    | .542          | .654            | .0133        | .675 | .888  | .000 | .000 |

Results indicate perceived discrimination and harassment was negatively and significantly related to the quality of life ($b = 0.625$, $p < 0.001$; $b = 0.712$, $p < 0.001$), perceived discrimination was negatively and significantly related to the mental health ($b = 0.476$, $p < 0.001$; $b = 0.567$, $p < 0.001$) and mental health was negatively and significantly related to the quality of life ($b = 0.253$, $p < 0.001$; $b = 0.312$, $p < 0.001$). Thus, the steps one, two, three of the mediation analysis were supported. Furthermore, results show that after mental health was taken into account in first condition, the beta weight for perceived discrimination dropped from the initial level of 0.625 to 0.511 & .712 to .653, although still significant ($b = 0.487$, $p < 0.001$; $b = 0.653$, $p < 0.001$). Thus, mental health satisfied the requirements of a partial mediator in the relationship between perceived discrimination and harassment and quality of life. To further assess the significance of the mediation, a Sobel test ($z = 3.64 p < 0.001$; $z = 3.79 p < 0.001$) was obtained. Thus, our hypothesis $H_4$ & $H_5$ were partially supported.

Implication of the Research and Future Direction

Living with the identity of transgender is very difficult in India as they are facing discrimination in every step in their life starting from their own family to the society. They are suffering from their birth to till death and after death also. Multiple problems are faced by transgenders, which necessitate a variety of solutions and actions. While some actions require immediate implementation such as introducing transgender’s specific social welfare schemes, some actions need to be taken on a long-term basis changing the negative attitude of the general public and increasing accurate knowledge about transgender’s communities. The required changes need to be reflected in policies and laws; attitude of the government, general public and health care providers; and health care systems and practice. One of his own effort, researcher has tried to aware people, academician and government about the inclusion of these socially excluded group in to the mainstream society try to understand their pain of being transgender [44].

CONCLUSION

It is suggested that still we need to address their problems on a large scale. Although, government and law have made some provisions on paper, but still it need to be implemented on ground level. People need to understand that they are the part of our society not a person to be hate, discriminate, harass and treat them in a different way. They can contribute our society or even our nation like us.
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