High HIV prevalence and associated factors in a remote community in the Rwenzori region of Western Uganda
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Abstract

In Uganda, previous studies have shown a tremendous decline in HIV prevalence over the past two decades due to changes in sexual behavior with a greater awareness of the risks involved. However, studies in Fort-Portal municipality, a rural town in Western Uganda, continued to show a persistent high HIV prevalence despite the various interventions in place. We conducted a study to establish the current magnitude of HIV prevalence and the factors associated with HIV prevalence in this community. This cross-sectional study was conducted between July and November 2008. Participants were residents of Fort-Portal municipality aged 15-49 years. A population-based HIV sero-survey and a clinical review of prevention of mother to child HIV transmission (PMTCT) and voluntary counseling and HIV Testing (VCT) records were used to collect quantitative data. An interviewer administered structured questionnaire was used to collect qualitative data on social demographics, risk behavior and community perceptions. Focus group discussions (FGDs) and in-depth interviews provided supplementary data on community perceptions. Logistic regression was used in the analysis. The overall HIV prevalence in the general population was 16.1% [95% CI; 12.5-20.6]. Prevalence was lower among women (14.5%; 95% CI; 10.0-19.7) but not significantly different from that among men (18.7%; 95% CI; 12.5-26.3) (χ²=0.76, P=0.38). Having more than 2 sexual partners increased the odds of HIV by almost 2.5 times. None of low education and age over 35 years were independently associated with HIV prevalence (P<0.05). Most participants contributed the high HIV prevalence to promiscuity/multiple sexual partners (32.5%), followed by prostitution (13.6%), alcoholism (10.1%), carelessness (10.1%), poverty (9.7%), ignorance (9.5%), rape (4.7%), drug abuse (3.6%) and others (malice/malevolence, laziness, etc.) (6.2%). Although there was a slight decline compared to previous reports, the results from this study confirm that HIV prevalence is still high in this community. In order to prevent new infections, the factors mentioned above need to be addressed, and we recommend that education aimed at changing individual behavior should be intensified in this community.

Introduction

Several studies in sub-Saharan Africa have reported divergent trends of the HIV epidemic with some countries experiencing declining or stabilizing epidemic while a few others still record an increasing epidemic.1,2 Variability in HIV prevalence has also been observed in sub-populations in different countries with generalized HIV epidemics in sub-Saharan Africa.3,4 Uganda is among the African countries with generalized HIV epidemics that have registered a significant decline in the overall prevalence of HIV/AIDS in the last two decades.5,6 Although there is new evidence of an increase in HIV infections in some parts of Uganda,7 several other studies in both urban and rural areas have shown a tremendous decline in HIV prevalence associated with increased awareness and significant decrease in sexual behavior at risk.8-10 The Uganda National sero and behavioural survey 2004/511 showed adult HIV prevalence declined from 18-30 % in the early 1990s to 6.4% in 2005. The report further showed an estimated 1.1 million Ugandans living with the HIV/AIDS in 2005 with lowest prevalence in the West Nile region (2.3%) and highest prevalence in Central region (8.5%), followed by North ern region (8.2%), Western region (6.9%) and Eastern region (5.3%).

According to the 2003 sexually transmitted diseases (STDs)/AIDS surveillance report by the Uganda Ministry of Health,12 the most predominant factors driving the HIV epidemic in most communities in Uganda were sexual behavior at risk (multiple sexual partners, extra-marital sex, early age sex, unprotected casual sex), infection with sexually transmitted infections (STIs) such as syphilis and herpes simplex virus type 2 (HSV-2), and socio-cultural and economic factors (poverty, alcoholism, prostitution, drug abuse, lack of male circumcision, domestic violence, conflict and civil strife). Since HIV infection in Uganda is mainly sexually transmitted,13 promotion of safer sexual behavior, particularly sexual abstinence, mutual faithfulness and condom use (the “ABC” approach), has been the main HIV/AIDS prevention strategy throughout the country. To monitor HIV incidence and prevalence trends, four surveillance systems have been used in Uganda: longitudinal cohort studies describing the trends in HIV incidence, antenatal care (ANC)/prevention of mother to child HIV transmission (PMTCT) sentinel surveillance, voluntary HIV counseling and testing (VCT) sentinel surveillance and population-based HIV-sero surveys which describe trends in HIV prevalence.14

Population based sero-surveys are the most preferred means for monitoring HIV prevalence because data are systematically collected and are more representative than in ANC/PMTCT and VCT-based sero-surveillance.14,15 However, they are expensive and are usually conducted after long intervals.16 ANC sentinel HIV surveillance system based on annual antenatal HIV serological surveys in selected sentinel clinics was established in...
Uganda in 1989. Later, the Uganda Ministry of Health adopted the policy on PMTCT following evidence in 1999 that single dose nevirapine can significantly lower mother to child HIV transmission. Since then, the Ministry of Health has implemented a nation-wide PMTCT program integrated with ANC services in selected sentinel HIV surveillance sites spread throughout the country. However, since PMTCT is ANC-based, the program does not collect any information on HIV prevalence in men, non-pregnant women, nor women who either do not attend clinics for pregnancy care or who receive ANC at facilities not represented in the PMTCT program. VCT programs were developed to address gaps in the PMTCT program and have expanded rapidly in recent years as a complementary data source for monitoring the trend of the HIV epidemic in many sub-Saharan African countries. In Uganda, the Ministry of Health developed the first VCT policy in 2002. This was later revised in 2005 to include home-based HIV testing and counseling (HBHCT) and routine counseling and testing (RCT) that involves provider initiated HIV testing and counseling. Since then, VCT/RCT services have been launched in all major hospitals (national, regional and district level) and health centers (at county level) to offer HIV counseling and testing, antiretroviral treatment, and home-based care and support to persons living with HIV/AIDS in Uganda.

Fort-Portal municipality is a remote town located in the Ruwenzori region in the foothills of the Rwenzori mountains, 290 km west of Kampala (capital of the Republic of Uganda) and about 70 km from the border with the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC). The town was hit by the HIV epidemic as early as the 1980s and was one of the most severely affected areas in Uganda. It has an estimated population of 49,873 dominated by the Batogo ethnic community, and is a hub of business in this most agriculturally productive region of Uganda. Administratively, the town is divided into three divisions (East, West and South) with three major hospitals, one of which is a regional referral hospital (Fort-Portal hospital) and the other two are private hospitals (Virika and Kabarole hospitals). VCT and PMTCT services were introduced by the government at the three major hospitals around 2004 through private and public sector partnership. Despite the above HIV interventions, previous studies in the municipality showed a relatively high HIV prevalence (19-25.4%) compared to other areas in Uganda. A population-based HIV sero-surveillance survey was conducted in Fort-Portal municipality showed that HIV prevalence increased from 19.9-31.7% between 1991 to 1993 and thereafter declined to 21.7% among women aged 20-24 years. Another review of ANC data in 2006 found an overall prevalence of 22.1% for the period 1991-94 and 19.0% for the period 1995-2004 among women of reproductive age (15-49 years). Another study that reviewed VCT data at the three major hospitals in 2007 reported an HIV prevalence of 25.4%. In addition, the 2004/5 National HIV sero-survey report indicated that the Batogo ethnic community (the predominant tribe in Fort Portal municipality) had the highest HIV prevalence in Uganda at 14.8%. However, the factors responsible for this high HIV prevalence in this remote community were not well known. We, therefore, conducted a study: i) to establish the current HIV sero-prevalence in the general population and at PMTCT and VCT centers in the municipality; ii) to determine the factors associated with HIV prevalence in the community.

Materials and Methods

Study design and settings

The study was cross-sectional involving both quantitative and qualitative data collection methods. A population-based HIV serological survey and a clinical review of PMTCT and VCT records were used to collect quantitative data. Participants were also interviewed using an interviewer administered structured questionnaire on socio-demographics, sexual behavior and perceived risk factors. The interviews and HIV tests were conducted on weekends (Sundays) to increase the chance of obtaining a representative sample, since most people in this community are Christians and therefore don’t work on Sundays. Prior to individual interviews, focus group discussions and in-depth interviews of key informants were conducted to help gain some insights on community perceptions with regard to factors associated with HIV prevalence in the area. Five focus group discussions were conducted. These included a youth group made up of 5 girls and 4 boys aged between 14-24 years, a group of 11 business women aged between 23-47 years, a group of 8 business men aged between 19-42, an HIV positive living group of 5 men aged 27-49, and another HIV positive living group of 7 women aged 24-49 years. Key informants included local leaders, community health workers and prominent personalities in the community. These were purposively selected for their willingness to participate and for their knowledge and experience of the people of Fort-Portal municipality.

HIV serological tests

After household interviews, study participants were counseled for HIV testing and consenting participants were offered an HIV test. Blood samples were collected using EDTA vacutainers which were carried to the laboratory and processed on the same day. HIV testing was performed using 3 different rapid tests: Determine HIV1/2 (Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL, USA), HIV-1/2 Stat-Pak dipstick (Chembio Diagnostic Systems, New York, USA) and Unigold (Trinity Biotech PLC, Bray, Ireland). Positive samples from the Determine test were subjected to a second test using HIV-1/2 Stat-Pak Dipstick for confirmation. Samples which were positive on both tests were regarded as sero positive and those with
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negative results on both tests as sero negative. Samples with discordant results on the two tests were re-tested using Unigold as a tie-breaker. Test results from Unigold were taken as conclusive. For quality control, 10% of the tested samples were taken to the National Laboratory for Reference and Quality Assurance at the Uganda virus research Institute (UVRI) Entebbe, for confirmation before disclosure.

Antenatal care/prevention of mother to child HIV transmission and voluntary HIV counseling and testing records review

All the three hospitals (i.e. Fort-Portal referral hospital, Virika hospital and Kabarole hospital) were purposively selected for medical records review. PMTCT and VCT clients’ data for the period July 2007-June 2008 were extracted from clients’ registers on case report forms, entered in a Microsoft access database, and analyzed using STATA version 9.0 (College Park, TX, USA) software.

Ethical considerations and approval

Ethical clearance was sought from Kampala International University Research and Ethics Committee (IREC) and the study was approved by the National Council for Science and Technology (NCST). Written permission was obtained from Kabarole Local Government, and PMTCT and VCT clients’ data were de-linked from any personal identifiers (name of the patient) and only anonymous medical data were used in the study.

Data analysis

Population-based data were entered into Epi Info version 3.1.5 soft ware (CDC, Atlanta, GA, USA), and cleaned and analyzed using STATA version 9.0 (College Park, TX, USA). Data from FGDS and in-depth interviews were transcribed and translated from the local language into English and thereafter a transcript was prepared from which key themes were identified. The themes were used to define the different categories in the structured questionnaire.

Overall and specific HIV prevalence were calculated using descriptive statistics through frequencies and cross tabulations. The \( \chi^2 \) test was used to test for the significance of the differences in the HIV prevalence by demographic characteristics. The strength of association between HIV prevalence and the various associated factors was by both univariable and multivariable logistic regression models. Odds ratios and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were used to assess the association between a factor and HIV prevalence. Only factors that were significantly associated with HIV prevalence at univariable analysis (P<0.05) were included in a multivariable logistic regression model. Tribe was included because it gave a borderline significance (P=0.07). Sex was included in the multivariable model because it is a known a priori confounder. The role of a factor was assessed by comparing a model without the variable to a full model using the likelihood ratio test. Continuous variables were further tested for a possible linear effect by comparing a model with a continuous variable when the variable was categorized using a likelihood ratio test.

Results

Population-based behavioral, socio-cultural and HIV sero-survey

In the population-based sero-survey, a total of 360 participants were interviewed and out of these, 341 (94.7%) accepted to be tested for HIV of which 207 (60.7%) were women and 134 (39.3%) men. Most participants belonged to the Batooro tribe (82.4%), 44.6% were under

Prior to the study, study participants were sensitized about the purpose of the study, counseled on HIV testing and thereafter requested to give informed consent. Participants, who expressed interest in their HIV test results were given the results in private by an HIV counselor after post-test counseling. Those found HIV positive were referred to the ART clinics in the municipality for care or treatment. To ensure confidentiality, VCT and PMTCT patients’ medical data were de-linked from any personal identifiers (name of the patient) and only anonymous medical data were used in the study.

Table 1. Unadjusted and adjusted factors associated with HIV prevalence in Fort-Portal municipality.

| Variables     | Category   | HIV+ n (%) | HIV- n (%) | Unadjusted OR(95% CI)* | P     | Adjusted OR(95% CI) | P     |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|------------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|
| Sex           | Female     | 30 (14.5)  | 177 (85.5) | 1                      | 1     | 1.07(0.55-2.05)     | 0.845 |
|               | Male       | 25 (18.7)  | 109 (81.3) | 1.35 (0.76-2.42)       | 0.308 |                     |       |
| Age           | 15-24      | 14 (9.2)   | 138 (90.8) | 1                      | 1     |                     |       |
|               | 25-34      | 27 (20.9)  | 102 (79.1) | 2.61(1.30-5.22)        | 0.007 | 2.13(1.04-4.38)     | 0.039 |
|               | 35+        | 14 (23.3)  | 46 (76.7)  | 3.00 (1.33-6.76)       | 0.008 | 2.62(1.13-6.05)     | 0.025 |
| Marital status| Married    | 28 (14.3)  | 168 (85.7) | 1                      | 1     |                     |       |
|               | Never married | 6 (15.4)  | 33 (84.6)  | 1.09 (0.42-2.84)       | 0.859 |                     |       |
|               | Cohabiting  | 15 (17.6)  | 70 (82.4)  | 1.29 (0.65-2.55)       | 0.473 |                     |       |
|               | Divorced/widowed | 6 (28.6) | 15 (71.4)  | 2.40 (0.86-6.71)       | 0.095 |                     |       |
| Tribe         | Others     | 5 (8.3)    | 55 (91.7)  | 2.38 (0.91-6.25)       | 0.078 | 2.38(0.88-6.41)     | 0.084 |
|               | Batooro    | 50 (17.8)  | 231 (82.2) | 1                      | 1     |                     |       |
| Religion      | Catholic   | 23 (15.0)  | 130 (85.0) | 1                      | 1     |                     |       |
|               | Protestant | 23 (21.9)  | 82 (78.1)  | 1.59 (0.84-3.01)       | 0.159 |                     |       |
|               | Moslem     | 3 (7.3)    | 38 (92.7)  | 0.45 (0.13-1.57)       | 0.208 |                     |       |
|               | Others     | 6 (14.3)   | 36 (85.7)  | 0.94 (0.36-2.49)       | 0.942 |                     |       |
| Education     | None       | 10 (34.5)  | 19 (65.5)  | 1.00                   |       |                     |       |
|               | Primary    | 32 (17.7)  | 149 (82.3) | 0.41 (0.17-0.96)       | 0.040 | 0.41(0.17-0.96)     | 0.119 |
|               | Secondary  | 12 (11.3)  | 94 (88.7)  | 0.24 (0.09-0.64)       | 0.004 | 0.24(0.09-0.64)     | 0.027 |
|               | Tertiary   | 1 (4.0)    | 24 (96.0)  | 0.88 (0.01-0.68)       | 0.020 | 0.08(0.01-0.68)     | 0.019 |
| Sexual partners| 1          | 36 (14.9)  | 206 (85.1) | 1                      | 1     | 2.37(0.74-7.65)     | 0.149 |
|               | 2+         | 6 (31.6)   | 13 (68.4)  | 2.64 (0.94-7.40)       | 0.065 |                     |       |
|               | None       | 13 (66.2)  | 67 (33.8)  | 1.11 (0.56-2.22)       | 0.767 | 1.09(0.53-2.26)     | 0.806 |
| Feel at risk  | No         | 6 (8.4)    | 58 (90.6)  | 1                      | 1     |                     |       |
|               | Yes        | 49 (17.7)  | 228 (82.3) | 2.08 (0.85-5.09)       | 0.110 |                     |       |

*OR, odds ratio, CI, confidence interval, n, number.* probability value.
25 years of age, 57.5% were married, 53.1% had attained no or primary education, and 66% were unemployed (without formal employment either in the public or private sector). Knowledge of HIV was high with 99% having heard about HIV/AIDS and knowing that it was sexually transmitted. HIV prevalence was higher among the indigenous Batooro tribe (17.8%) compared to other tribes (8.3%) (P<0.05). The overall HIV prevalence among those who accepted to be tested in the population serological survey (341) was 16.1% [95% CI 12.5-20.6] with women having lower prevalence (14.5%) compared to men (18.7%) (χ²=0.76, P=0.38) (Table 1). In the univariable analysis, the Batooro were 2.4-fold [95% CI; 0.89-6.41] more likely to be infected with HIV than other tribes in the municipality. Men were 1.4-fold [95% CI; 0.76-2.42] more likely to be infected than women (Table 1). Having more than 2 partners increased the odds of HIV by approximately 3-fold compared to having only one partner (95% CI; 0.94-7.40, P = 0.065)

In the multivariable logistic regression model (Table 1), a low level of education and age over 35 years were significantly associated with HIV prevalence (P<0.05). Residents with no education were twice more likely to be HIV positive than those with primary education and 4 times more than those with secondary or higher education. Most participants attributed the high HIV/AIDS prevalence in the municipality to promiscuity/multiple sexual partners (32.5%). This was followed by prostitution (13.6%), alcoholism (10.1%), carelessness (10.1%), poverty (9.7%), ignorance (9.5%), rape (4.7%), drug abuse (3.6%) and others (malice/malevolence, laziness, etc.) (6.2%) (Figure 1).

**Prevention of mother to child HIV transmission and VCT records review**

Retrospective review of ANC/PMTCT data showed that 1205 clients were tested under the PMTCT program in the period July 2007 to June 2008 of which 1,141 (94.7%) were females and 64 (5.3%) were males, average age 23.9 years versus 30 years, respectively. Overall, 163 (13.5%) were found to be HIV positive of which 153 (94.5%) were females (94.5%) and 10 were males (5.5%). Like in the general population, HIV prevalence was higher among men 15.6% (95% CI; 7.8-26.9) compared to women 13.4% [95% CI; 11.5-15.6] though the difference was not significant (Table 2). Review of VCT data showed that 1971 clients were tested under the VCT program in the period July 2007 to June 2008 of which 1282 (65%) were females and 689 (35%) were males, mean age 26 and 28 years, respectively. The overall HIV prevalence among VCT clients was 19.4% [95% CI; 17.7-21.3] and HIV prevalence among females was 21.9% [95% CI; 19.7-24.3], significantly higher than that among men (14.8%) [95% CI; 12.3-17.7] (P<0.05) (Table 2).

Whereas highest HIV prevalence was observed in the 35-39 age group in the population survey (30.8%), it was highest in the 40-49 age group in VCT (29.5%) and the 20-24 age group in PMTCT (15.4%). Overall, HIV prevalence among women aged 15-45 years was significantly higher in VCT (21.9%) [95% CI; 19.7-24.3] than in the general population (14.5%) [95% CI 10.0-19.7] and PMTCT (13.4%) [95% CI 11.5-15.6] (P<0.05). However, HIV prevalence among women in the general population was not significantly different from that of women of similar age in the ANC/PMTCT program (Table 2).

![Figure 1. Perceived reasons for high HIV prevalence in Fort-Portal municipality (n=360).](image)

**Table 2. Comparative analysis of HIV prevalence among women in the general population, prevention of mother to child HIV transmission and voluntary counseling and testing centers by age group in Fort-Portal municipality.**

| Age groups (years) | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-49 | Total |
|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| POP               |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| n                 | 43    | 54    | 51    | 26    | 13    | 20    | 207   |
| % HIV+            | 7.0   | 11.1  | 17.6  | 15.4  | 30.8  | 20.0  | 14.5  |
| 95% CI            | 1.5-19.1 | 4.2-22.6 | 8.4-30.9 | 4.4-34.9 | 9.1-61.4 | 5.7-43.7 | 10.0-19.7 |
| ANC/PMTCT         |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| n                 | 282   | 409   | 263   | 119   | 55    | 13    | 1141  |
| % HIV+            | 11.3  | 15.4  | 14.1  | 12.6  | 9.1   | 7.7   | 13.4  |
| 95% CI            | 7.9-15.6 | 12.1-19.4 | 10.1-18.9 | 7.2-19.9 | 3.0-20.0 | 0.2-36.0 | 11.5-15.6 |
| VCT               |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| n                 | 313   | 358   | 242   | 147   | 93    | 129   | 1282  |
| % HIV+            | 13.1  | 20.1  | 26.0  | 28.6  | 26.9  | 29.5  | 21.9  |
| 95% CI            | 9.7-17.5 | 16.2-24.7 | 20.6-32.0 | 21.4-36.6 | 18.2-37.1 | 21.8-38.1 | 20.0-24.3 |

CI, confidence interval.
Discussion

Though there was a slight decline in HIV prevalence compared to that previously reported,22,23,25 the results from this study confirm that HIV prevalence is still high in this community. This is also consistent with findings in the National sero behavioral survey of 2004/5 in which the Batotoo tribe had the highest HIV prevalence (14.8%) compared to other ethnicities in Uganda.26

The current study also shows that in this community, age over 35 years increased the odds of HIV infection by more than 3-fold. This is consistent with a recent study in Eastern Uganda which showed that HIV incidence significantly increased in older age groups (>40 years) compared to younger age groups for the period 1989-2005.21 Similar findings were also reported in Zimbabwe27 and South Africa,28 and were attributed to an increased focus on prevention among young people. We also observed that no education or little education is associated with high HIV prevalence in this community. This is consistent with other studies carried out in the region.21 Knowledge of HIV was high in this community at 99.4%, which is consistent with findings in the national HIV sero and behavioral survey 2004/5 which showed that 99% of Ugandans aged 15-49 were knowledgeable about HIV transmission and prevention.29,30 However, the high HIV prevalence despite the high level of awareness and knowledge of HIV prevalence was paradoxical. A multi-ethnic study conducted in Western Uganda in 1995 showed Batotoo ethnic communities were at a higher risk of acquiring HIV/AIDS compared to other ethnic communities in the Rwenzori region. However, the reasons for this were not given. In this study, the perceived factors for high prevalence in this community were mainly behavioral (promiscuity/multiple sexual partners, prostitution) and socio-cultural (alcoholism, carelessness/laziness, malice/malvolence, poverty, ignorance and drug abuse) factors. Related factors have also been reported elsewhere in different subpopulations in Africa.21,31 For instance, a population-based study in urban Arusha, Tanzania found the common risk factors for HIV transmission to be under-age marriage/cohabiting, alcoholism, multiple sexual partners, unprotected casual sex and sexually transmitted infections (STIs). Another study in Northern Tanzania21 found that alcohol consumption was a strong predictor of HIV infection. In the current study, some participants attributed the high HIV prevalence on complacency due to availability of antiretroviral drugs. Recent study findings from Eastern Uganda32 showed that persons receiving ARVs had significantly less risk of transmitting HIV due to the strong reduction of the viral load by ARVs. However, more research is required to establish whether such attitudes towards antiretroviral therapy have any significant impact on HIV transmission.

This study also showed a high willingness to test for HIV and to be informed about HIV status.33 In 2002, the Ministry of Health in Uganda developed the first VCT policy as a means for effective HIV/AIDS management in Uganda. However, the 2004/5 National HIV sero and behavioral survey showed that only 13% of adult women and 8% of adult men in Uganda had ever taken an HIV test and received their results34 in spite of the availability of testing services. This led to the revision of the VCT policy in 2005 to include home-based HIV counseling and testing (HBHCT) and Routine Counseling and Testing (RCT) which are provider initiated HIV testing and counseling services. However, the 2006 Uganda Demographic and Health Survey (UDHS) still showed only 18% among women and 13% among men had ever taken an HIV test and had their results.35 Previous studies in Uganda reported various barriers to HIV testing including self-stigmatization, social discrimination, and domestic violence, among others.36,37 Our findings provide further evidence that provider initiated HIV counseling and testing could be more effective than client initiated HIV counseling and testing.

Analysis of PMTCT data showed 5.3% male attendance which was still very low despite an intensified campaign for testing couples under the PMTCT program in Uganda.38 Factors contributing to this low involvement of male partners need to be investigated further. A comparison of the population-based HIV prevalence with PMTCT HIV prevalence showed that ANC/PMTCT HIV surveillance over-estimates HIV prevalence at younger ages (11.3% vs. 7%, respectively among 15-19 years old) and underestimates HIV prevalence at older ages (9.1% vs. 30.8%, respectively, among 35-39 years old). The same age pattern differences have been reported previously and were attributed to poor representation and self-selection of ANC/PMTCT clients.36,39

Although anonymous ANC HIV sero-surveillance has been previously used to monitor HIV sero-prevalence in the general population,39,40 integrated ANC/PMTCT re-enforces selection bias as some mothers are likely to stay away for fear of being tested for HIV, thus making ANC/PMTCT data unsuitable for monitoring HIV prevalence in the general population. Previous studies have established that those refusing to test are often at a higher risk of HIV infection than those who consent.39,41

In this study, it was observed that the population HIV sero-prevalence in women was significantly lower than that of women who attended VCT clinics. This is consistent with a previous study in Uganda32 which compared prevalence trends among VCT clients and ANC/PMTCT attendees, and showed that HIV prevalence was relatively higher in VCT clients though the overall trend was almost similar. It was also observed that HIV prevalence was higher among women (21.9%) compared to men (14.8%) under the VCT program and yet the reverse was observed in the population-based survey where HIV prevalence was higher in men (18.7%) compared to women (14.5%). This could probably be attributed to the self-selection bias as previously reported in other studies that women who considered themselves at high risk for HIV infection were more likely to seek VCT services than those who considered themselves to be at low risk.39,29,23 Other studies have also shown that VCT services are likely to attract high-risk individuals, especially when they are linked with provision of anti-retroviral drugs.25,23

Limitations

This study, like any other, faced a number of limitations which included the likelihood that within this area, where both PMTCT and VCT services were offered, many people might have been aware of their HIV status and this may have influenced their decision to participate in the population-based sero-survey. Although our response rate was quite good, we cannot rule out the possibility of participation biases. For instance, due to uncertainty about the willingness of the community to test for HIV, the study may have suffered a selection bias by studying only those willing to test, which may have over-represented certain categories of persons in the households. Similarly, the study may have had response biases during the collection of perceived risk factors, though this concern is common to most studies of self-reported behavior. Due to the small numbers in certain age and ethnic categories during the population-based-sero survey and household interviews, our estimate of HIV prevalence within these age and ethnic categories might not be precise and might, therefore, have limited generalizability. The PMTCT and VCT routine data analyzed were collected for treatment and patient care and not for research purposes, which may have over-estimated or under-estimated HIV prevalence at these centers. Finally, due to the inherent weakness of the cross-sectional study design, we could not establish causal relationships between HIV infection and perceived risk factors.

Conclusions

Although there was a slight decline compared to previous reports, the results from this study confirm that HIV prevalence was still high in this community. The factors associated with HIV infection in this community were
being male, age over 35 years, and having no or primary education. The major perceived risk factors for high HIV prevalence by this community were promiscuity/multiple sexual partners, prostitution, alcoholism, carelessness/laziness, malice/malevolence, poverty, ignorance and drug abuse, but their association with HIV infection needs further investigation. In order to prevent new infections, all the factors mentioned above need to be addressed and we recommend that education aimed at changing individual behavior be intensified in this community.
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