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Abstract Let $A$ be a unital Banach function algebra with character space $\Phi_A$. For $x \in \Phi_A$, let $M_x$ and $J_x$ be the ideals of functions vanishing at $x$, and in a neighbourhood of $x$, respectively. It is shown that the hull of $J_x$ is connected, and that if $x$ does not belong to the Shilov boundary of $A$ then the set \{ $y \in \Phi_A : M_x \supseteq J_y$ \} has an infinite, connected subset. Various related results are given.
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1. Introduction

Let $A$ be a Banach algebra and let $Prim(A)$ be the set of primitive ideals of $A$. The hull-kernel topology on $Prim(A)$ is defined by declaring the open sets to be those of the form \{ $P \in Prim(A) : P \not\supset I$ \}, as $I$ varies through the closed ideals of $A$. This topology is compact if $A$ has an identity, but not usually Hausdorff, nor even $T_1$. Indeed it seems, in general, to have few useful properties, and it has not played a prominent part in the general theory of Banach algebras. An attempt to find a more useful topology has been made in [14].

The situation is different, however, for particular classes of Banach algebras, such as $C^*$-algebras and certain $L^1$-group algebras. Here the hull-kernel topology does have good properties such as local compactness, the Baire property, and (for separable $C^*$-algebras) second countability. These properties have been considerably exploited in $C^*$-algebra theory and abstract harmonic analysis.

For commutative Banach algebras, the hull-kernel topology plays a secondary role. The primitive ideals of a (unital) commutative Banach algebra $A$ are precisely the kernels of characters. Thus $Prim(A)$ is in bijective correspondence with the character space $\Phi_A$, which carries the compact, Hausdorff Gelfand topology. This is the topology usually employed in the study of commutative Banach algebras, but the hull-kernel topology (defined on $\Phi_A$ using the natural bijection) is also used from time to time. The hull-kernel topology is a $T_1$ topology in this case, and is weaker than the Gelfand topology. Thus the two topologies coincide if and only if the hull-kernel topology is Hausdorff, in which case the algebra is said to be regular. Even for non-regular algebras, however, it is known that every Gelfand clopen subset of the character space is hull-kernel clopen. This is the
celebrated Shilov Idempotent Theorem, see [9; 3.5.13] for example, one of the deepest results in the whole theory. Another interesting result involving the hull-kernel topology is Neumann’s characterization of the elements of a commutative Banach algebra which induce a decomposable multiplication operator—these are precisely the elements which are continuous with respect to the hull-kernel topology, see [8].

The purpose of this paper is to analyse the failure of regularity in a non-regular algebra a little more closely. A first approach, as in C*-algebra theory, might be to regard non-regularity as the failure of the Hausdorff property for the hull-kernel topology. This would lead to the study of separated points (i.e. points which can be separated by disjoint hull-kernel open sets from any point not in their closure). For a separable C*-algebra, the local compactness and the second countability of the hull-kernel topology ensure the existence of a dense subset of separated points. For a separable commutative Banach algebra, however, there might not be any separated points, as the case of the disc algebra shows. Furthermore, this approach fails to take advantage of the Gelfand topology on the character space.

What we do, therefore, is to adopt the approach used in the study of spectral synthesis. Let $A$ be a Banach function algebra on a compact Hausdorff space $X$, and for $x \in X$, let $M_x$ and $J_x$ be the ideals of functions vanishing at $x$, and in a neighbourhood of $x$, respectively. The standard notion is that if $J_x$ is dense in $M_x$ then $A$ is strongly regular at $x$. If $A$ is not strongly regular at $x$, there is still the possibility that $x$ is the only point in the hull of $J_x$, i.e. in the set $\{y \in X : M_y \supseteq J_x\}$. In this case we will say that $x$ is an R-point. If $x$ is not an R-point, so that the hull of $J_x$ is non-trivial, the properties of the hull of $J_x$ then become interesting. An investigation along these lines, for the non-regular algebra $H^\infty + C$, is conducted in [5]. One question that arose in that work, which we are able to answer, is whether the hull of $J_x$ is necessarily connected.

As well as the hull of $J_x$, another set which it is natural to consider is the set which we call $F_x$, defined by $F_x = \{y \in x : M_x \supseteq J_y\}$. If $F_x$ is a singleton, we say that $x$ is a point of continuity. In Proposition 2.2 we show that a point $x$ is a separated point in $X$ if and only if it is both an R-point and a point of continuity. Thus our general approach, given a non-regular Banach function algebra, is to ask the following questions. Firstly, how badly does regularity fail—how many R-points and non-R-points are there, and how many points of continuity and discontinuity? Secondly, if $x$ is a non-R-point, or a point of discontinuity, how large is the hull of $J_x$ or the set $F_x$? Are they finite or infinite, countable or uncountable, connected or disconnected? As we shall see, the answers to these questions can vary depending on such things as whether the Banach function algebra is natural, or whether it is a uniform algebra.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the various definitions in more detail, and consider some conditions which ensure that there are an abun-
dance of R-points, or points of continuity. In Section 3 we consider non-R-points. The
main result is that if $A$ is a natural Banach function algebra then the hull of $J_x$ is con-
nected for each point $x \in \Phi_A$. Thus the hull of $J_x$ is either a singleton, in which case $x$
is an R-point, or it is uncountable. In Section 4 we consider points of discontinuity. The
main results are that if $A$ is a natural Banach function algebra and $x$ does not belong to
the Shilov boundary of $A$ then $F_x$ has an infinite connected subset, while if $A$ is a uniform
algebra and $x$ is a point of discontinuity then $F_x$ has a non-empty perfect subset.

Let us conclude this introduction by mentioning an interesting consequence of our
work. One striking difference between $C^*$-algebras and commutative Banach algebras is
that whereas there are simple examples of $C^*$-algebras with hull-kernel topology which is
non-Hausdorff, but very close to being Hausdorff, the standard examples of non-regular
Banach function algebras all have highly non-Hausdorff hull-kernel topologies. For ex-
ample, let $A$ be the $C^*$-algebra of all sequences of two-by-two complex matrices which
converge to a diagonal matrix at infinity. Then $Prim(A)$ is isomorphic to the set of nat-
ural numbers, with a double point at infinity, so there are only two non-separated points
in $Prim(A)$. The disc algebra, however, which is the most familiar non-regular Banach
function algebra, has character space equal to the disc, and the hull-kernel topology on this
space is only a little stronger than the cofinite topology (the weakest possible $T_1$ topology),
see [6; p.89] for a description. Part of the motivation for this paper was the search for a
non-regular Banach function algebra with a hull-kernel topology which was close to being
Hausdorff—perhaps with only a finite or countable number of non-separated points. The-
orem 3.2 shows, however, that the set of points which cannot be separated from a given
point is connected, and hence is either a singleton (the point itself) or uncountable. Thus
if a Banach function algebra is not regular, its hull-kernel topology must be very far from
Hausdorff.

2. Separated points, R-points, and points of continuity

In this section we establish various basic results about separated points, R-points, and
points of continuity, and consider some conditions which ensure an abundance of such
points.

Let $X$ be a topological space and let $x, y \in X$. Then $x \sim y$ if $x$ and $y$ cannot be separated
by disjoint open sets in $X$. A point of $X$ is a separated point if it can be separated from
every point not in its closure.

Now suppose that $A$ is a Banach function algebra on a compact, Hausdorff space $X$.
In this setting, when discussing separated points, we will always work with the hull-kernel
topology on $X$. Evidently $x \sim y$ whenever either $M_x \supseteq J_y$ or $J_x \subseteq M_y$. Let us say that $x$
is an $R$-point if for all $y \in X$ with $y \neq x$, $J_x \not\subseteq M_y$ (or in other words if, working on $X$,
the hull of \( J_x \) is just \( \{x\} \)). We say that \( x \) is a point of continuity if for \( y \neq x \), \( J_y \not\subseteq M_x \) (or, in terms of the notation introduced earlier, if \( F_x = \{x\} \)). Recall that \( A \) is regular on \( X \) if every point of \( X \) is an \( R \)-point, or equivalently, if every point of \( X \) is a point of continuity. We say that the algebra \( A \) is regular if is regular on \( \Phi_A \). The algebra \( A \) is normal on \( X \) if, for every pair of disjoint closed sets \( E, F \) contained in \( X \), there is an \( f \in A \) with \( f(E) \subseteq \{0\} \) and \( f(F) \subseteq \{1\} \); \( A \) is normal if it is normal on \( \Phi_A \). It is standard, see [16; 27.2] for example, that every regular Banach function algebra is normal.

With \( A \) and \( X \) as above, we denote the Shilov boundary of \( A \) by \( \Gamma_A \). The algebra \( A \) can clearly be regarded as a Banach function algebra on \( \Phi_A \), or on \( \Gamma_A \) if we wish. However we will also consider cases where \( X \) is neither equal to the character space nor the Shilov boundary. In the case where \( X = \Phi_A \) we say that \( A \) is natural on \( X \).

Unless otherwise specified, we shall only consider unital Banach function algebras.

**Lemma 2.1** Let \( A \) be a Banach function algebra on a compact Hausdorff space \( X \), and let \( x \in X \). The following are equivalent:

(i) \( x \) is a point of continuity,

(ii) every Gelfand neighbourhood of \( x \) contains a hull-kernel neighbourhood of \( x \),

(iii) every net in \( X \) which converges to \( x \) in the hull-kernel topology converges to \( x \) in the Gelfand topology.

**Proof.** The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) is a simple matter of general topology. Suppose then that (i) holds. Let \((x_\alpha)\) be a net in \( X \) converging to \( x \) in the hull-kernel topology. Suppose for a contradiction that \((x_\alpha)\) does not converge to \( x \) in the Gelfand topology. Then by passing to a subnet if necessary, we may suppose that \((x_\alpha)\) converges to \( y \) in the Gelfand topology, for some \( y \in X \) with \( y \neq x \). Since \( x \) is a point of continuity, there exists \( f \in A \) such that \( f \in J_y \) and \( f(x) \neq 0 \). Hence eventually \( f(x_\alpha) = 0 \), since \( f \in J_y \) and \((x_\alpha)\) converges to \( y \) in the Gelfand topology. On the other hand eventually \( f(x_\alpha) \neq 0 \), since \((x_\alpha)\) converges to \( x \) in the hull-kernel topology. This contradiction shows that \((x_\alpha)\) must converge to \( x \) in the Gelfand topology after all. Hence (iii) holds.

Finally, suppose that (ii) holds. Let \( y \in X \) with \( y \neq x \). Let \( N \) be a Gelfand neighbourhood of \( x \) such that \( y \) is not in the Gelfand closure of \( N \). By assumption there exists \( f \in A \) with \( f \) vanishing outside \( N \) and such that \( f(x) \neq 0 \). Hence \( f \in J_y \), so \( M_x \nsubseteq J_y \). Thus (i) holds. Q.E.D.

It follows from Lemma 2.1(ii) that if \( \Gamma_A \subseteq X \) then every point of continuity is contained in the Shilov boundary of \( A \). In particular this is the case if \( A \) is natural on \( X \), or if \( A \) is a uniform algebra on \( X \).

Recall that for a Banach function algebra on a compact, Hausdorff space \( X \), a point \( x \in X \) is an independent point if for every \( \epsilon \) with \( 0 < \epsilon < 1 \) and every neighbourhood
of $x$, there exists $f \in A$ with $f(x) = 1$ and $|f|_{X \setminus N} < \epsilon$ (where $|f|_{X \setminus N}$ is defined to be $\sup\{|f(x)| : x \in X \setminus N\}$). Lemma 2.1(ii) shows that every point of continuity is an independent point.

**Proposition 2.2** Let $A$ be a Banach function algebra on a compact Hausdorff space $X$. Then a point $x \in X$ is a separated point if and only if it is both an R-point and a point of continuity.

**Proof.** Suppose first that $x$ is a separated point. Let $y \in X$ with $y \neq x$. Then there exist $f, g \in A$ with $fg = 0$ and $f(x) \neq 0$, $g(y) \neq 0$. Thus $f \in J_y$ but $f \notin M_x$, while $g \in J_x$ but $g \notin M_y$. Hence $M_x \nsubseteq J_y$ and $M_y \nsubseteq J_x$. Since this is true for all $y \neq x$, $x$ is an R-point and a point of continuity.

Now suppose that $x$ is both an R-point and a point of continuity. Let $y \in X$ with $y \neq x$. Since $x$ is an R-point, $M_y \nsubseteq J_x$, so there exists $g \in J_x$ such that $g(y) \neq 0$. But $x$ is a point of continuity, so by Lemma 2.1(ii) the Gelfand neighbourhood of $x$ on which $g$ vanishes must contain a hull-kernel neighbourhood of $x$. Thus there exists $f \in A$ such that $fg = 0$, and $f(x) \neq 0$. Hence $x \not\sim y$. Since this is true for all $y \neq x$, $x$ is a separated point. Q.E.D.

Recall that a Banach function algebra $A$ on a compact Hausdorff space $X$ is weakly regular on $X$ if every non-empty Gelfand open subset of $X$ contains a non-empty hull-kernel open set. If $A$ is weakly regular and uniform on $X$, then $X$ is necessarily the Shilov boundary of $A$. The standard example of a weakly regular algebra which is not regular is the ‘tomato-can algebra’, which is the uniform algebra of continuous functions on a solid cylinder which are analytic on the base of the cylinder. This is weakly regular on its character space, which is the solid cylinder.

For a subset $U$ of $X$, let $\overline{U}_{h-k}$ and $\overline{U}^G$ denote the closures of $U$ in the hull-kernel and Gelfand topologies respectively.

**Theorem 2.3** Let $A$ be a Banach function algebra on a compact metrizable space $X$. Then $A$ is weakly regular on $X$ if and only if the set of points of continuity is Gelfand dense in $X$. In this case the set of points of continuity contains a dense $G_\delta$ of $X$ in the Gelfand topology.

**Proof.** Suppose first that set of points of continuity is dense in $X$ in the Gelfand topology. Then Lemma 2.1(ii) shows that $A$ is weakly regular on $X$.

Conversely, suppose that $A$ is weakly regular on $X$. Let $(U_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ be a base for the Gelfand topology on $X$. For each $i$, the set $\overline{U_i}_{h-k} \setminus U_i$ is a hull-kernel closed set with no
hull-kernel interior, and hence no Gelfand interior. Thus $Y := \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i^{h-k} \setminus U_i$ is a Gelfand meagre subset of $X$. Let $y \in X \setminus Y$. Then for any $x \in X$ with $x \neq y$, there exists a $U_i$ containing $x$ but not $y$. Since $y \notin \bigcup_{i}^{h-k}$, there exists a function $f \in A$ which is non-zero at $y$ but vanishes on the Gelfand neighbourhood $U_i$ of $x$. Hence $M_y \nsubseteq J_x$. This shows that $y$ is a point of continuity. Q.E.D.

It follows from Theorem 2.3 that if $A$ is weakly regular on a metrizable space $X$ then the hull of $J_x$ has empty interior for each $x \in X$. It would be interesting to know whether Theorem 2.3 can be improved to show that $X$ has to have a dense $G_\delta$ of separated points.

**Theorem 2.4** Let $A$ be a Banach function algebra on a compact Hausdorff space $X$, and let $y \in X$. If the hull-kernel topology is first countable at $y$ then $F_y$ has no Gelfand interior. If the hull-kernel topology is second countable on $X$ then the set of R-points contains a dense $G_\delta$ of $X$ in the Gelfand topology.

**Proof.** Let $V$ be a Gelfand open subset of $\Phi_A$ not containing $y$. Let $(U_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a base for the hull-kernel topology at $y$. For each $i$, set $V_i = V \cap U_i$. Then for each $x \in V$ there is an $i$ such that $x \notin V_i$. Hence $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i$ is empty. Since $V$ is a Baire space, it follows that at least one $V_i$ is not dense in $V$ in the Gelfand topology. Thus there exists $f \in A$ such that $f(y) \neq 0$ but $f$ vanishes on a Gelfand open subset, $W$ say, of $V$. Thus for $x \in W$, $x \notin F_y$. It follows that $F_y$ has empty Gelfand interior.

Now let $(U_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a base for the hull-kernel topology on $X$. Then for each $i$, $\overline{U_i^G} \setminus U_i$ is a Gelfand closed set with no Gelfand interior. Hence $Y := \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{U_i^G} \setminus U_i$ is a meagre subset of $X$. Let $y \in X \setminus Y$. Then since $(U_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a base for the hull-kernel topology on $X$, for any $x \in X$ there exists a $U_i$ containing $x$ but not $y$. Since $y \notin \overline{U_i^G}$, there exists a function $f \in A$ which is non-zero at $x$ but vanishes in a Gelfand neighbourhood of $y$. Hence $M_x \nsubseteq J_y$. This shows that $y$ is an R-point. Q.E.D.

Lemma 2.1(ii) shows that the hull-kernel topology is first countable at every point of continuity, provided that the space $X$ is first countable in the Gelfand topology.

**Example** Let $X = \{0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \ldots\}$, and let $A$ be the restriction to $X$ of the disc algebra. By the identity principle, the restriction map is an isomorphism, and so $A$ is a Banach function algebra on $X$ (where the norm is the uniform norm of the functions on the closed unit disc). The hull-kernel topology on $X$ is simply the cofinite topology, which is second countable because $X$ is countable. By Theorem 2.4, therefore, the set of R-points is dense in $X$. In fact every point except 0 is an R-point, while 0 is the only point of continuity.

We do not have an example of a natural, non-regular Banach function algebra for which
the hull-kernel topology is second countable, or even first countable. It could well be that such things do not exist. A partial result in this direction is given in Section 4.

3. Non-R-points

In this section we consider what happens when there is a non-R-point $x$. We show that the hull of $J_x$ is connected, provided one is working on the character space. Thus there must be uncountably many points of discontinuity, and also uncountably many points which cannot be separated from $x$ in the hull-kernel topology by disjoint open sets. We also show that, although there might not be a net in $X$ converging to each point of the hull of $J_x$ in the hull-kernel topology, if $A$ is a separable Banach function algebra then the set of points $x$ which do have this property contains a dense $G_δ$ subset of $X$.

**Proposition 3.1** Let $A$ be a natural, unital Banach function algebra. Suppose that $(x_α)$ is a net in $Φ_A$ such that every hull-kernel cluster point of $(x_α)$ is a hull-kernel limit point, and such that there is an $x ∈ Φ_A$ to which $(x_α)$ converges in the Gelfand topology. Then the set of hull-kernel limit points of $(x_α)$ is Gelfand connected.

**Proof.** Let $L$ be the set of hull-kernel limit points of $(x_α)$. Note that $L$ is hull-kernel closed, and hence also Gelfand closed. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $L$ is a disjoint union of two non-empty Gelfand closed sets, $M$ and $N$ say. Let $S$ and $T$ be disjoint Gelfand open subsets of $Φ_A$ containing $M$ and $N$ respectively. For each $α$, let $K_α$ be the hull-kernel closure of the set $\{x_β : β ≥ α\}$. Then $L = \bigcap_α K_α$ [7; Theorem 2.7], and each $K_α$ is Gelfand closed, so a simple topological argument shows that there is an $α_0$ such that for all $α ≥ α_0$, $K_α ⊆ S ∪ T$. If we suppose that $x ∈ M ⊆ S$ then there is a $γ ≥ α_0$ such that for all $α ≥ γ$, $x_α ∈ S$. The quotient Banach algebra $A/I(K_γ)$ has the disconnected maximal ideal space $K_γ$. By the Shilov Idempotent Theorem there exists $f ∈ A$ such that $f$ is zero on $K_γ ∩ S$, but $f$ equals one on $K_γ ∩ T$. But then $f(x_α) = 0$ for all $α ≥ γ$. Since the zero set of $f$ is hull-kernel closed, this contradicts the hull-kernel-convergence of $(x_α)$ to points in $N$. Hence $L$ is connected. Q.E.D.

**Theorem 3.2** Let $A$ be a natural, unital Banach function algebra. Let $x ∈ Φ_A$. Then

(i) the hull of $J_x$ is Gelfand connected,

(ii) the set $\{y ∈ Φ_A : x ∼ y\}$ is Gelfand connected.

**Proof.** (i) Let $E$ be the hull of $J_x$. Suppose that $y ∈ E$ with $y ≠ x$. Then every hull-kernel neighbourhood of $y$ has non-empty intersection with every Gelfand neighbourhood of $x$. Thus there is a net $(x_α)$ in $Φ_A$ converging to $x$ in the Gelfand topology, and to $y$ in the hull-kernel topology. By passing to a universal subnet we may assume that every
hull-kernel cluster point of \((x_\alpha)\) is a limit point. Thus the set \(L\) of hull-kernel limit points of \((x_\alpha)\) is Gelfand connected, by Proposition 3.1. But if \(z \in L\) then \(z\) cannot have a hull-kernel neighbourhood disjoint from a Gelfand neighbourhood of \(x\), so we must have \(M_z \supseteq J_x\). Thus \(L\) is a Gelfand connected subset of \(E\), and \(y \in L\). Hence every point of \(E\) is in the same Gelfand connected component of \(E\) as \(x\), so \(E\) is Gelfand connected.

(ii) Let \(H\) be the set \(\{z \in \Phi_A : x \sim z\}\). Let \(y \in \Phi_A \setminus \{x\}\) with \(y \sim x\). Let \((x_\alpha)\) be a net in \(\Phi_A\) converging to both \(y\) and \(x\) in the hull-kernel topology. By passing to a universal subnet we may suppose that \((x_\alpha)\) is Gelfand convergent, and that every hull-kernel cluster point of \((x_\alpha)\) is a limit point. Thus the set \(L\) of hull-kernel limit points of \((x_\alpha)\) is connected, by Proposition 3.1. But for each \(z \in L\), \(z \sim x\). Thus \(L\) is a Gelfand connected subset of \(H\), and \(y \in L\). As above it follows that \(H\) is Gelfand connected. Q.E.D.

Theorem 3.2(i) answers one of the questions posed by Gorkin and Mortini [5].

One immediate consequence of Theorem 3.2 is the following.

**Corollary 3.3** Let \(A\) be a unital Banach function algebra. Then \(\Phi_A\) has no isolated points of discontinuity.

We now give examples to show that for a non-natural Banach function algebra the hull of \(J_x\) does not have to be connected, and there may be isolated points of discontinuity.

**Examples** Let \(A\) be the disc algebra on the countable space \(X\) described in the Example after Theorem 2.4. Then the hull of \(J_0\) is neither connected, nor uncountable. The only point of continuity is 0, so every other point of \(X\) is an isolated point of discontinuity.

It is possible for a uniform algebra to have a solitary point of discontinuity. For example, let \(A\) be the uniform algebra obtained by restricting \(H^\infty\) (the algebra of bounded functions on the disc which are analytic on the open disc) to the fibre of its maximal ideal space associated with a point on the unit circle, see [6; p.187ff]. Then \(A\) is regular on its Shilov boundary \(\Gamma_A\), but \(A\) is not normal. Now consider \(A\) as a uniform algebra on \(X = \Gamma_A \cup \{y\}\), where \(y\) is any point of \(\Phi_A \setminus \Gamma_A\). Then \(y\) is the solitary point of discontinuity for \(A\) on \(X\) (although there must be many non-R-points — see the results in Section 4).

This example also shows that it is possible for the hull of \(J_x\) to have exactly two points, because if \(x\) is any element of \(F_y \setminus \{y\}\) then the hull of \(J_x\) is precisely the set \(\{x, y\}\). An easy modification produces an example of a uniform algebra on its Shilov boundary with a \(J_x\) having a two-point hull. Simply form a new compact space \(Y\) by gluing an interval to \(X\) with endpoints at \(x\) and \(y\) above, and take the uniform algebra of all continuous functions on \(Y\) whose restriction to \(X\) is in \(A\).

Here is another consequence of Theorem 3.2.
Corollary 3.4 Let $A$ be a Banach function algebra with $\Phi_A = [0, 1]$. If $A$ is weakly regular then $A$ is normal.

Proof. By Theorem 2.3, the set of points of continuity contains a dense $G_\delta$ of $[0, 1]$ in the Gelfand topology. Suppose that $x \in [0, 1]$ with $x$ not an R-point. Then the hull of $J_x$ is a connected subset of $[0, 1]$ by Theorem 3.2(i), and hence is an interval. Thus it contains points of continuity other than $x$ itself, contradicting the definition of a point of continuity. Thus every point of $[0, 1]$ is an R-point, so $A$ is regular, and hence normal. Q.E.D.

If the condition on the character space is dropped, there are non-regular, weakly regular uniform algebras on $[0, 1]$. For example, let $A$ be the non-trivial uniform algebra on the Cantor set described in [17; 9.3]. The character space of $A$ is the whole of the Riemann sphere (see [17; 9.2, 9.3]), so [16; 27.3] shows that $A$ is not normal on the Cantor set. Let $B$ be the algebra of continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ whose restrictions to the Cantor set lie in $A$. Then $B$ is a non-normal uniform algebra on $[0, 1]$. Each point of $[0, 1]$ not in the Cantor set is a separated point, so $B$ is weakly regular on $[0, 1]$. The character space of $B$ is not equal to $[0, 1]$ since it contains a copy of the Riemann sphere.

Now let $A$ be a Banach function algebra on a compact Hausdorff space $X$, and let $x \in X$. Then for $y$ in the hull of $J_x$ there is a net converging to both $x$ and $y$ in the hull-kernel topology. It is not necessarily the case, however, that a net can be found converging to an arbitrary pair of points in the hull of $J_x$. Consider the following example.

Example Let $A$ be the disc algebra on the disc $X$. Let $x$ be a fixed point in $X$, and let $B = \{(f, g) \in A \oplus A : f(x) = g(x)\}$. Then the character space of $B$ consists of two copies of the disc glued at the point $x$. The ideal $J_x$ is the zero ideal, so the hull of $J_x$ is the whole of $\Phi_B$. But if $y$ and $z$ belong to different copies of the disc then there is no net in $\Phi_B$ converging simultaneously to both $y$ and $z$ in the hull-kernel topology.

To show that there are $x$’s for which the hull of $J_x$ is contained in a hull-kernel limit set, we require the notion of a primal ideal. Recall that an ideal $I$ in a commutative ring $R$ is primal if whenever $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in R$ with $a_1a_2\ldots a_n = 0$, then $a_i \in I$ for at least one $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, see [13] for example. It is a straightforward piece of general topology to show that if $A$ is a Banach function algebra, and $I$ is a closed primal ideal of $A$ then there is a net in $\Phi_A$ converging to every point in the hull of $I$ in the hull-kernel topology, see [1; 3.2].

We shall show that if $A$ is a separable Banach function algebra then, in the sense of Baire category, most of the ideals $J_x$ are primal. First we observe that every closed primal ideal contains a $J_x$. 
Lemma 3.5 Let $A$ be a Banach function algebra on a compact Hausdorff space $X$. Let $P$ be a closed primal ideal of $A$. Then there exists $x \in X$ such that $P \supseteq J_x$.

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that $P \not\supseteq J_x$ for each $x \in X$. Thus for each $x \in X$ there exists $f \in A$ such that $f$ vanishes in a neighbourhood of $x$, but $f \notin P$. By a compactness argument, there exist a finite number of functions $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ such that $f_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot f_n = 0$, with $f_i \notin P$, $(1 \leq i \leq n)$. This contradicts the assumption that $P$ is primal. Hence $P$ contains $J_x$ for some $x \in X$. Q.E.D.

Lemma 3.6 Let $A$ be a Banach function algebra on a compact Hausdorff space $X$. For $f \in A$ the function $x \mapsto \|f + J_x\|$ is upper semi-continuous on $X$.

Proof. Let $f \in A$, $x \in X$, and let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists $g \in J_x$ such that $\|f - g\| < \|f + J_x\| + \epsilon$. But $g \in J_y$ for all $y$ in a neighbourhood $N$ of $x$, so $\|f + J_y\| \leq \|f - g\| < \|f + J_x\| + \epsilon$ for all $y \in N$. Thus the norm function $x \mapsto \|f + J_x\|$ is upper semi-continuous on $X$. Q.E.D.

For an upper semi-continuous function $f$ on a Baire space $X$, the set of points of continuity of $f$ contains a dense $G_\delta$ of $X$, see [3; B18] for example. Suppose now that $A$ is a separable Banach function algebra on a compact Hausdorff space $X$, and let $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a countable dense subset of $A$. Then $X$ is a Baire space, so there is a dense $G_\delta$ $Y$ of $X$ consisting of points at which all the norm-functions $x \mapsto \|f_i + J_x\|$ are continuous. But it is straightforward to check that the continuity of these norm-functions, at a particular point, for a dense subset of $A$ forces the continuity of all the norm functions at that point. Thus if $A$ is separable there is a dense $G_\delta$ of $X$ consisting of points at which every norm function $x \mapsto \|f + J_x\|$ is continuous.

Proposition 3.7 Let $A$ be a separable Banach function algebra on a compact Hausdorff space $X$. Then the set of $x \in X$ for which $J_x$ is primal is a dense $G_\delta$ in $X$.

Proof. By the remarks above, there is a dense $G_\delta$ $Y \subseteq X$ such that for each $y \in Y$ every norm function is continuous at $y$. Let $y \in Y$. We show that $\overline{J_y}$ is primal. Suppose that $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ are a finite number of elements of $A$ which are not in $\overline{J_y}$. By continuity of the norm functions at $y$, there is a neighbourhood $N$ of $y$ in $X$, such that $f_i \notin \overline{J_x}$ for $x \in N$. For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, let $N_i = \{x \in N : f_i(x) \neq 0\}$. Then $N_i$ is a dense open subset of $N$, so $\bigcap_{i=1}^n N_i$ is non-empty. Let $z$ belong to this intersection. Then $f_i(z) \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, so $f_1 f_2 \ldots f_n \neq 0$. This shows that $\overline{J_y}$ is primal. Q.E.D.

4. Points of discontinuity
In this section we consider what happens when there is a point \( y \) of discontinuity. The general aim is to show that \( F_y \) has to be big, and hence that there have to be many non-R-points. The main results are that if \( A \) is a natural unital Banach function algebra and \( y \notin \Gamma_A \) then \( F_y \) has an infinite, connected subset, while if \( A \) is any uniform algebra then \( F_y \) has a perfect subset. Thus in both cases there are uncountably many non-R-points. A simple example was given after Corollary 3.3 of a non-natural Banach function algebra with a solitary non-R-point, but we are unable to say whether this phenomenon can occur for a natural Banach function algebra, or for a Banach function algebra on its Shilov boundary.

**Definition** Let \( A \) be a Banach function algebra on a compact, Hausdorff space \( X \). Then \( A \) is **local** on \( X \) if the following condition holds: a function on \( X \) belongs to \( A \) if it agrees in a neighbourhood of each point of \( X \) with an element of \( A \). The algebra \( A \) is **2-local** on \( X \) if the following condition holds: a function on \( X \) belongs to \( A \) if there are elements \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \) in \( A \) so that every point of \( X \) has neighbourhood on which \( f \) agrees with either \( g_1 \) or \( g_2 \). If \( X \) is the character space of \( A \) above, then \( A \) is said to be **local** or **2-local** respectively.

Every normal Banach function algebra is local, and every local Banach function algebra is, of course, 2-local. Most commonly-met uniform algebras are local. For instance if \( X \) is a compact subset of the plane then the uniform algebras \( A(X) \) and \( R(X) \) are local. All approximately normal uniform algebras (hence all uniform algebras with character space equal to \([0,1]\)) are 2-local \([18; 2.4, 3.1]\). It follows from \([4; \text{Theorem 11}]\) that the algebra \( B \) after Corollary 3.4 is not 2-local on \([0,1]\). For any compact plane set \( X \), the Banach function algebras on \( X \) introduced by Dales and Davie in \([2]\) are local on \( X \).

In the first result of this section we do not require a norm on our algebra. In fact the result is valid for multiplicative sub-semigroups of \( C(X) \) (where \( C(X) \) denotes the algebra of continuous, complex-valued functions on a compact Hausdorff space \( X \)).

**Proposition 4.1** Let \( X \) be a compact Hausdorff space and let \( A \) be a subalgebra of \( C(X) \). Suppose that \( A \) is 2-local on \( X \). Let \( y \in X \), and recall that \( F_y = \{ x \in X : J_x \subseteq M_y \} \). Then \( F_y \) is connected.

**Proof.** Suppose, for contradiction, that \( F_y \) is not connected. Since \( F_y \) is closed, we may write \( F_y = E_1 \cup E_2 \) where \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \) are non-empty, disjoint, closed subsets of \( F_y \). Suppose that \( y \in E_2 \). Choose a closed neighbourhood \( N \) of \( E_1 \) such that \( N \cap F_y = E_1 \), and let \( K \) be the boundary of \( N \). Then \( K \) is a compact subset of \( X \setminus F_y \). Thus, for each \( t \in K \) we can find an \( f \in J_t \) with \( f(y) = 1 \). By compactness, multiplying finitely many of these functions together, we can find a function \( g \in A \) which vanishes on a neighbourhood of \( K \) and such that \( g(y) = 1 \) (if \( K \) is empty, simply take \( g \) to be zero on \( N \), and 1 elsewhere). Define \( h(t) \) to be 0 for \( t \in N \), and set \( h(t) = g(t) \) for other values of \( t \). Then \( h \in A \) since \( A \)
is 2-local. But then $h \in J_x$ and $h(y) = 1$, contradicting the choice of $x$. The result follows. Q.E.D.

**Corollary 4.2** Let $A$ be a 2-local Banach function algebra on $[0, 1]$. If the hull-kernel topology on $[0, 1]$ is first countable then $A$ is regular on $[0, 1]$. If $\Phi_A = [0, 1]$ and the set of points at which the hull-kernel topology is first countable is dense in $[0, 1]$, then $A$ is normal.

**Proof.** Let $y$ be a point of first countability for the hull-kernel topology. Then $F_y$ is a connected set with no interior, by Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 2.4, and hence is a singleton. Thus $F_y = \{y\}$, so $y$ is a point of continuity. Thus if the hull-kernel topology is first countable, every point is a point of continuity, so $A$ is regular on $[0, 1]$. The proof of the second statement is as in Corollary 3.4. Q.E.D.

Corollary 4.2 shows that non-regular Dales-Davie algebras on $[0, 1]$ must have hull-kernel topology which is not first countable.

We now use Proposition 4.1 to show that if $A$ is a natural unital Banach function algebra and $y \not\in \Gamma_A$ then $F_y$ has an infinite, connected subset.

Let $B$ be a uniform algebra on its character space $\Phi_B$. Then there is a smallest local uniform algebra $C$ on $\Phi_B$ containing $B$, which is obtained from $B$ as follows. Set $L^0(B) = B$, and for each ordinal $\sigma$ define $L^{\sigma+1}(B)$ inductively to be the uniform closure of the functions belonging locally to $L^\sigma(B)$. If $\sigma$ is a limit ordinal, let $L^\sigma$ be the uniform closure of $\bigcup\{L^{\sigma'} : 0 \leq \sigma' < \sigma\}$. The process must terminate (with $C(\Phi_B)$, if not before), so eventually $L^\sigma = L^{\sigma+1}$. For this ordinal $\sigma$, set $C = L^\sigma$. Then evidently $C$ is the smallest local uniform algebra on $\Phi_B$ containing $B$. Examples are given in [12] showing that, with $\Phi_B$ metrizable, the process can terminate at any given stage before the first uncountable ordinal.

**Lemma 4.3** Let $\{A_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be an increasing family of uniform algebras, all with the same character space $X$. Let $B$ be the uniform closure of their union in $C(X)$. Suppose that $\Gamma_{A_\lambda} = Y$ for all $\lambda$, where $Y$ is a Gelfand closed subset of $X$. Then $\Phi_B = X$ and $\Gamma_B = Y$.

**Proof.** It is easy to see that $\Phi_B = X$, and it is also clear that $\Gamma_B \supseteq Y$, since $\Gamma_B$ is a boundary for each $A_\lambda$. Let $x \in X$ be a strong boundary point for $B$. Let $N$ be any Gelfand neighbourhood of $x$. Then there exists $f \in B$ such that $|f(x)| > 3/4$ while $|f|_{X \setminus N} < 1/4$ [16; 7.18]. Hence for some $\lambda$ there exists $g \in A_\lambda$ such that $|g(x)| > 1/2$ while $|g|_{X \setminus N} < 1/2$. This shows that $Y = \Gamma_{A_\lambda}$ meets $N$, and hence that $x \in Y$ since $N$ was an
arbitrary neighbourhood of \( x \). Thus \( \Gamma_B \subseteq Y \), since the set of strong boundary points is dense in \( \Gamma_B \) [16; 7.24]. Hence \( \Gamma_B = Y \). Q.E.D.

**Lemma 4.4** Let \( A \) be a unital Banach function algebra and let \( C \) be the smallest local uniform subalgebra of \( C(\Phi_A) \) containing \( A \). Then \( \Phi_A = \Phi_C \) and \( \Gamma_A = \Gamma_C \).

**Proof.** First, let \( B \) be the uniform closure of \( A \) in \( C(\Phi_A) \). It is straightforward that \( \Phi_A = \Phi_B \). We now argue as in Lemma 4.3. Since \( \Gamma_B \) is a boundary for \( A \), we have \( \Gamma_B \supseteq \Gamma_A \). On the other hand suppose that \( x \in \Phi_A \) is a strong boundary point for \( B \). Let \( N \) be any Gelfand neighbourhood of \( x \). Then there exists \( f \in B \) such that \( |f(x)| > 3/4 \) while \( |f|_{\Phi_A \setminus N} < 1/4 \) [16; 7.18]. Hence there exists \( g \in A \) such that \( |g(x)| > 1/2 \) while \( |g|_{\Phi_A \setminus N} < 1/2 \). This shows that \( \Gamma_A \) meets \( N \), and hence that \( x \in \Gamma_A \) since \( N \) was an arbitrary neighbourhood of \( x \). Thus \( \Gamma_A = \Gamma_B \).

Now let \( C \) be the smallest local uniform subalgebra of \( C(\Phi_A) \) containing \( B \). Then Stolzenberg showed that \( \Phi_{L^\sigma(B)} = \Phi_{L^\sigma+1(B)} \) for each ordinal \( \sigma \) [15], and he also mentioned in a remark that \( \Gamma_{L^\sigma(B)} = \Gamma_{L^\sigma+1(B)} \) for each ordinal \( \sigma \) (this follows, as with the maximal ideal space space result, by considering the intermediate uniform algebras generated by \( L^\sigma(B) \) together with a single function \( f \) which is locally in \( L^\sigma(B) \)). Thus it follows from Lemma 4.3 that \( \Phi_C = \Phi_B \) and \( \Gamma_C = \Gamma_B \), and hence that \( \Phi_C = \Phi_A \) and \( \Gamma_C = \Gamma_A \). Q.E.D.

We are now ready for the main result of this section.

**Theorem 4.5** Let \( A \) be a unital Banach function algebra and let \( y \in \Phi_A \setminus \Gamma_A \). Then \( F_y \) has an infinite, connected subset.

**Proof.** Let \( C \) be the smallest local uniform subalgebra of \( C(\Phi_A) \) containing \( B \). Then we saw in Lemma 4.4 that \( \Phi_C = \Phi_A \) and that \( \Gamma_C = \Gamma_A \). Hence \( y \notin \Gamma_C \), so Lemma 2.1(ii) shows that \( y \) is not a point of continuity for \( C \). Thus the set \( F_{y,C} = \{ x \in \Phi_C : J_x \subseteq M_y \} \) (where \( J_x \) and \( M_y \) are here defined relative to the algebra \( C \)) has more than one point, and is connected by Proposition 4.1. Since \( F_y \supseteq F_{y,C} \), the result follows. Q.E.D.

For uniform algebras a weaker but more general statement is true.

**Theorem 4.6** Let \( A \) be a uniform algebra on a compact Hausdorff space \( X \) and let \( y \in X \). Then either \( y \) is a point of continuity or \( F_y \) has a non-empty, perfect subset.

**Proof.** Suppose, for a contradiction, that \( F_y \) has no non-empty, perfect subsets, and that there exists \( x \in F_y \setminus \{ y \} \). Choose \( f \in A \) with \( f(x) = 0 \) and \( f(y) = 1 \). By [10], \( f(F_y) \) is a countable, compact set. Thus it is easy to choose two disjoint, closed rectangles \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) in the complex plane such that \( 0 \in R_1 \), \( 1 \in R_2 \), and such that \( f(F_y) \) is contained in the interior, \( U \), of \( R_1 \cup R_2 \). By Runge’s theorem [11; 13.9] we can choose a sequence \( (p_n) \) of
polynomials such that \( p_n \to 0 \) uniformly on \( R_1 \), but \( p_n \to 1 \) uniformly on \( R_2 \), as \( n \to \infty \). Also, \( X \setminus f^{-1}(U) \) is a compact subset of \( X \setminus F_y \), so by compactness (as in Proposition 4.1) we can find a function \( g \) in \( A \) which vanishes on a neighbourhood of \( X \setminus f^{-1}(U) \), but such that \( g(y) = 1 \). Set \( h_n = gp_n(f) \). Then \( h_n \in A \), and the sequence \( (h_n) \) converges uniformly on \( X \) to a function which vanishes on a neighbourhood of \( x \) but which is 1 at \( y \). This contradicts the choice of \( x \). Thus \( F_y \setminus \{y\} \) must be empty, as claimed. Q.E.D.

The non-trivial uniform algebra \( A \) on the Cantor set, mentioned after Corollary 3.4, is not regular on the Cantor set, because it is an integral domain. Thus for a point \( y \) of discontinuity, the set \( F_y \) is not connected. This example is, however, 2-local on its character space (which is in fact equal to the Riemann sphere) so the set \( F_y \) would be connected if we were working on the character space.

The next corollary is used in [4].

**Corollary 4.7** Let \( A \) be a uniform algebra on a compact Hausdorff space \( X \). Suppose that \( A \) is not regular on \( X \). Then the set of non-R-points has a non-empty perfect subset.

**Proof.** Since \( A \) is not regular on \( X \), there exists \( y \in X \) such that the set \( \{x \in X \setminus \{y\} : J_x \subseteq M_y\} \) is non-empty. But all points of this set are non-R-points, and, by Proposition 4.6, this set contains a non-empty, perfect set. The result follows. Q.E.D.

We saw in Section 3 that a Banach function algebra can have a solitary non-R-point. We do not know, however, whether this can happen for a natural Banach function algebra, nor for a Banach function algebra on its Shilov boundary. Proposition 4.1 shows that such an example would have to be non-2-local. The next theorem shows that the difficult case is when every point is an independent point.

**Theorem 4.8** Let \( A \) be a Banach function algebra on a compact Hausdorff space \( X \) and let \( y \) be a non-independent point of \( X \). Then \( F_y \) has a non-empty, perfect subset.

**Proof.** Let \( B \) be the uniform closure of \( A \) in \( C(X) \). Then it is easy to see that \( y \) is not a point of continuity for \( B \) on \( X \), so the set \( F_{y,B} = \{x \in X : J_x \subseteq M_y\} \) (where \( J_x \) and \( M_y \) are here defined relative to the algebra \( B \)) has an infinite perfect subset by Theorem 4.6. Since \( F_y \supseteq F_{y,B} \), the result follows. Q.E.D.

Recall that a Banach function algebra \( A \) on a compact Hausdorff space \( X \) is approximately regular on \( X \) if whenever \( Y \) is a closed subset of \( X \), and \( x \in X \setminus Y \), then for any \( \epsilon > 0 \) there exists \( f \in A \) such that \( f(x) = 1 \) and \( |f|_Y < \epsilon \). This is clearly equivalent to every point of \( X \) being an independent point. It is also clear that if \( A \) is a uniform algebra and \( A \) is approximately regular on \( X \) then \( X \) must be the Shilov boundary of \( A \).
The disc algebra is approximately regular on the circle, but the tomato-can algebra is not approximately regular on its character space.

**Lemma 4.9** Let $A$ be a uniform algebra on a compact, Hausdorff space $X$. Let $x \in X$ be an independent point, and let $y \in X$. Then $x$ cannot be an isolated point in $F_y$, unless $x = y$ and $y$ is a point of continuity.

**Proof.** Suppose, for a contradiction, that $x$ is an isolated point of $F_y$ and that $F_y \{x\}$ is non-empty. Since $x$ is an independent point there is a function $f' \in A$ taking the value 1 at $x$ and nearly zero on $F_y \{x\}$. Hence $f = 1 - f'$ is zero at $x$ and nearly 1 on $F_y \{x\}$.

We now argue as in Theorem 4.6.

It is easy to choose two disjoint, closed rectangles $R_1$ and $R_2$ in the complex plane such that $0 \in R_1$, $1 \in R_2$, and such that $f(F_y)$ is contained in the interior, $U$, of $R_1 \cup R_2$. By Runge’s theorem [11; 13.9] we can choose a sequence $(p_n)$ of polynomials such that $p_n \to 0$ uniformly on $R_1$, but $p_n \to 1$ uniformly on $R_2$. Also, $X \setminus f^{-1}(U)$ is a compact subset of $X\setminus F_y$, so by compactness (as in Proposition 4.1) we can find a function $g$ in $A$ which is 0 on a neighbourhood of $X \setminus f^{-1}(U)$, but such that $g(y) = 1$. Set $h_n = gp_n(f)$. Then $h_n \in A$, and the sequence $(h_n)$ converges uniformly on $X$ to a function $h$ which is 0 on a neighbourhood of $x$ but which is 1 on a neighbourhood of $F_y \{x\}$.

The function $h$ shows that $x = y$, for otherwise we have a function vanishing in a neighbourhood of $x$, but non-zero at $y$, which contradicts the fact that $x \in F_y$. Q.E.D.

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.9, we have the following.

**Theorem 4.10** Let $A$ be a uniform algebra. If $A$ is approximately regular on $X = \Gamma_A$, and $y \in \Gamma_A$, then $F_y \{y\}$ has no isolated points. Hence $A$ has no isolated non-R-points.

We conclude with an example showing that a uniform algebra on its Shilov boundary can have an isolated non-R-point. We do not know if this is possible for a uniform algebra on its character space.

**Example** Let $X$ be the closed unit disc and let $Y$ be the set $T \cup \{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \ldots\}$. Let $A$ be the uniform algebra of all functions in $C(X)$ whose restriction to $Y$ is in the restriction to $Y$ of the disc algebra. Then it is easy to see that $X$ is the Shilov boundary of $A$, and that the only non-R-points for $A$ are the points of $T$ and the point 0. Thus 0 is an isolated non-R-point for $A$. In fact, for $y \in Y$, $F_y = \{0, y\} \cup T$. All other points of $X$ are points of continuity for $A$.
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