Changes in Self-Reported Physical Activity Predict Health-Related Quality of Life Among South African Schoolchildren: Findings From the DASH Intervention Trial
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Introduction: Regular physical activity is associated with multiple health benefits for children. Evidence from cross-sectional studies suggests that physical activity is positively associated with health-related quality of life (HRQoL). The promotion of physical activity, and hence HRQoL, through a school-based intervention is therefore an important endeavor, particularly in disadvantaged areas of low- and middle-income countries, including South Africa.

Methods: We designed a multicomponent physical activity intervention that was implemented over a 20-week period in 2015 in eight disadvantaged primary schools of Port Elizabeth, South Africa. Overall, 758 children aged 8–13 years participated. HRQoL was measured with the 27-item KIDSCREEN questionnaire. Self-reported physical activity was assessed with a single item of the Health-Behavior of School-Aged Children test, and cardiorespiratory fitness with the 20-m shuttle run test. Post-intervention scores were predicted with mixed linear regression models, taking into consideration the clustered nature of the data.

Results: Higher baseline levels as well as increasing levels of self-reported physical activity predicted all dimensions of children’s HRQoL. Baseline levels and increases in cardiorespiratory fitness predicted children’s self-perceived physical well-being (one of the HRQoL subscales). Participation in the multicomponent physical activity intervention did not affect children’s HRQoL.

Conclusion: Higher and increasing self-reported physical activity predict all assessed HRQoL dimensions, which underlines that the promotion of regular physical activity among children living in disadvantaged settings is an important public health measure. Policy makers should encourage schools to create physical activity friendly environments, while schools should implement regular physical education as proposed by the school curriculum.
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INTRODUCTION

Physical activity is a cornerstone for people's health and well-being (1). With regard to children and adolescents, previous research has shown that regular physical activity is positively associated with cardiovascular health (2), stronger bones (3), better weight control (4), lower depressive symptoms (5), improved sleep (6), positive cognitive development and academic achievements (7). Additionally, physical activity is associated with more favorable overall physical and mental well-being (8). There is also evidence from cross-sectional studies that children who regularly engage in physical activities report higher health-related quality of life (HRQoL) (9–11). Although different definitions of HRQoL exist in the literature (12, 13), in the present study, HRQoL is considered as a multidimensional construct that comprises of physical, mental, emotional, social, and behavioral aspects of well-being and functioning (14). Among children, low HRQoL is a predictor of poor childhood development, including lower educational attainment (15). HRQoL is therefore an important target variable in child research and for health interventions (16, 17).

Several pathways have been proposed on how regular physical activity might impact HRQoL (8). These pathways include the release of neurotransmitters that improve mood (18), the strengthening of social ties with peers and adults (19), and healthy, sufficient sleep (6). Furthermore, physical activity has the potential to promote stress resilience (11) by decreasing the release of stress hormones when being exposed to psychosocial stress (20). Evidence also suggests that regular physical activity has a favorable impact on brain functioning (21), which in turn contributes to children’s psychosocial well-being (22).

Against this background, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommends at least 60 min of moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity (MVPA) for children and young people (aged 5–17 years) (23). Yet, this recommendation is not met all over the world. For instance, a report comparing 38 countries from six continents found that worldwide, only four out of 10 children and youth meet this physical activity guideline (24). The situation is particularly critical in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), including South Africa (25), where many children only have limited access to safe physical activity environments (26).

Schools are considered an appropriate platform for the promotion of physical activity, since a large number of children are reached and a considerable amount of children's daily physical activity can be acquired during school hours (27). As shown in previous research, schools can contribute to children’s physical literacy through quality physical education lessons (28). It has also been shown that multi-dimensional physical activity interventions have a positive effect on children’s body mass index (BMI) (29) and have the potential to positively influence children’s academic achievements (30). However, equivocal results were reported in studies examining the impact of school-based physical activity interventions on HRQoL. Although many studies found that there were significant positive effects of a physical activity intervention on HRQoL (31–33), others did not detect such effects (34–36). In their meta-analysis, Wu et al. (8) identified 31 studies, in which researchers explored the relationship between physical activity, sedentary behavior, and HRQoL in the general population of children and adolescents. Of these, 21 studies were cross-sectional, whereas seven studies used a longitudinal design and three studies examined the effects of a school-based physical activity intervention. Importantly, none of the identified studies pertained to children from an African country. Among longitudinal studies, six found that higher levels of physical activity predicted higher HRQoL over time (37–42). The only study that did not find a significant relationship used a very long (22-year) follow-up period (43). Taken together, the school-based intervention trials provided mixed findings. Whereas a school-community program in Australia improved HRQoL among adolescent girls (32), no (or a very limited) impact of a daily physical education intervention was observed in Swiss primary schoolchildren (33, 34).

The empirical evidence with regard to the prospective association between physical activity and HRQoL is growing. Nevertheless, to date, research on children from African countries is completely missing. Additionally, little is known about the potential of school-based interventions (8, 44). This is particularly true for studies in resource-poor settings, where opportunities for physical activity inside and outside the school environment are lacking. Nevertheless, a few studies suggest that increased physical activity might be particularly beneficial for children living in disadvantaged settings. For instance, Crews et al. (45) found that aerobic exercise training had a positive impact on psychological well-being among Hispanic children living in low socioeconomic districts in the United States of America. To our knowledge, only two cross-sectional studies have been conducted in South Africa, investigating the relationship between schoolchildren’s physical activity and HRQoL (9, 46). Van Hout et al. (46) found that those children who participated in sportive activities at least twice a week, reported better quality of life than their inactive peers. Similarly, Salvini et al. (9) showed that schoolchildren who reported that they were active on at least 6 days a week for a minimum of 60 min per day, self-reported higher HRQoL than their peers with lower physical activity levels.

In light of these findings and in view of the absence of physical education lessons in most South African schools in disadvantaged areas (47), the current paper examines whether and to what extent (i) participation in a school-based physical activity intervention vs. a control condition; (ii) baseline levels of physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness; and (iii) changes in physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness, predict children’s HRQoL over time. Exploring the effect of physical activity on different aspects of physical, psychological, and social functioning of HRQoL among schoolchildren will help to establish an evidence-base for public health policy decision makers, and to judge whether the promotion of physical education and physically active lifestyles is worthwhile. Based on the literature reviewed above, we hypothesized that a multi-dimensional physical activity intervention could have a positive effect on children’s HRQoL. Given the mixed results observed in intervention studies, we further assumed that children’s overall physical activity and fitness levels (as assessed at baseline), as well
as positive changes in these two variables would have an even stronger impact on HRQoL.

METHODS

Study Design

Data presented in this paper are based on a cluster-randomized controlled trial that served to evaluate the potential impact of several school-based health promotion measures to improve the health and well-being of primary schoolchildren in disadvantaged settings in the Nelson Mandela Bay district, Port Elizabeth region, South Africa. In brief, trial schools were randomized based on a computer-generated number list either to one of four interventions or to a control condition. As described previously (29, 30, 48), schools were randomly allocated to one of the following intervention combinations: (i) physical activity alone; (ii) physical activity plus health and hygiene education; (iii) physical activity plus health and hygiene education plus nutrition education; and (iv) health and hygiene education plus nutrition education. Four schools served as control group and did not receive any intervention. Table 1 provides specific information regarding the intervention combinations and the socio-demographic background of the students at baseline in each of the participating schools.

The physical activity intervention component was thus carried out in three of the eight schools. It lasted for 20 (school) weeks and contained four elements: (i) two 40 min physical education lessons per week; (ii) one weekly 40 min moving-to-music lesson; (iii) regular in-class physical activity breaks; and (iv) improving school environments to promote physical activity, including the implementation of physical play structures (jungle gyms, monkey bars, and over- and under bars) and colorful floor-painted games. Prior to the intervention, workshops were held in which lessons and games were demonstrated and class management techniques were shared. The class teachers, supported by an experienced physical education coach, held two physical education lessons. The lessons were pre-made and structured progressively, ∼40 min in duration and contained four parts: (i) warm-up (5–10 min); (ii) fitness component (10–15 min); (iii) modified invasion games (10–15 min); and (iv) cool-down and stretching activities (5–10 min). The aerobic dancing-to-music lessons lasted 40 min and were conducted by student-dancers from the Nelson Mandela University after school to the entire grade (ranging from 80 to 160 learners at one time). The lessons were structured to contain a fast-paced dance to form the main component, followed by an aerobic dancing-to-music sequence as the main component, and concluded with a slow-paced routine to music for the cool-down component. Baseline assessment took place between January and March 2015, whereas the post-intervention assessment was carried out between May and June 2016.

Ethics Statement

The study was approved by the ethics committee of Northwestern and Central Switzerland (EKNZ; reference no. 2014-179, approval date: 17 June 2014), the Nelson Mandela University (NMU) Ethics Committee (study number H14-HEA-HMS002, approval date: 4 July 2014) and the ethics review boards of the Eastern Cape Department of Education (approval date: 3 August 2014), and the Eastern Cape Department of Health (approval date: 7 November 2014). The study is registered at ISRCTN registry under controlled-trials.com (unique identifier: ISRCTN68411960, registration date: 1 October 2014). Prior to beginning the data assessment, written informed consent was obtained from the parents/legal guardians of children, while children assented orally. All procedures were in line with the ethical principles described in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Participants and Procedures

Eight primary schools participated in the Disease, Activity and Schoolchildren’s Health (DASH) study. Schools were selected according to geographic location, representation of the target communities, and commitment shown by school principals to support the project activities. The detailed inclusion criteria can be found in the study protocol (48). Participation in the study was voluntary and children could withdraw at any time and without any further obligation.

The initial sample at baseline consisted of 1,009 children [508 girls, 501 boys, mean age at baseline = 9.5 years, standard deviation (SD) = 0.9 years]. For the present data analyses, 190 children were excluded because they left the study between the baseline assessment and post-intervention. Moreover, 61 children were excluded due to missing baseline data in at least one of the covariates [i.e., age, gender, BMI, and socioeconomic status (SES)]. Thus, the final sample for the current analyses consisted of 758 children (373 girls, 385 boys). Hereof, 448 belonged to the control group (220 girls, 228 boys, mean age at baseline = 9.4 years, SD = 0.9 years), whereas 310 received the physical activity intervention component (153 girls, 157 boys, mean age at baseline = 9.6 years, SD = 0.9 years). We performed a series of univariate analyses of variance (ANOVA) (for metric study variables) and χ²-tests (for categorical variables), in order to compare those 758 children who were included in the present analyses with those who dropped out or were excluded due to missing data. No significant differences (p > 0.05) existed between these two groups in any of the covariates, the predictor or outcome variables. Moreover, included/excluded students were similarly represented in the intervention and control condition.

Measures

The same indicators were assessed before the start, and after completion of the intervention. The data assessment was carried out class-wise during official school hours by trained research officers. SES was assessed with a nine-item self-report questionnaire about housing characteristics, ownership of durable assets (e.g., washing machine), and household-level living standards. Scores of the SES index ranged from one to nine, with higher scores being indicative of higher family SES. Evidence for the validity of similar SES scales has been reported in previous studies (49). To assess self-perceived well-being, children completed the 27-item KIDSCREEN (50). Answers were given on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from “never” to “always.” This instrument is composed of five
TABLE 1 | Intervention measures and baseline demographics at the eight primary schools in Port Elizabeth, South Africa.

| Condition                          | School | Children N | Gender Male N | Gender Female N | Age M (± SD) | BMI M (± SD) | SES M (± SD) |
|------------------------------------|--------|------------|---------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Physical activity                  | 1      | 75         | 38            | 37             | 9.1 (1.0)   | 16.9 (2.5)  | 6.7 (2.1)   |
| Physical activity + health education| 2      | 85         | 39            | 46             | 9.1 (0.6)   | 16.9 (2.5)  | 7.9 (2.0)   |
| Physical activity + health education + nutrition | 3      | 150        | 80            | 70             | 9.9 (0.9)   | 16.3 (2.1)  | 6.7 (2.1)   |
| Health education + nutrition       | 4      | 71         | 38            | 33             | 9.7 (1.0)   | 18.0 (3.3)  | 7.5 (1.6)   |
| Control                            | 5      | 76         | 37            | 39             | 9.8 (0.8)   | 15.5 (2.0)  | 6.6 (2.2)   |
| Control                            | 6      | 97         | 47            | 50             | 9.0 (0.8)   | 17.2 (2.7)  | 8.7 (0.9)   |
| Control                            | 7      | 121        | 66            | 55             | 9.5 (0.9)   | 17.3 (3.1)  | 6.5 (2.8)   |
| Control                            | 8      | 83         | 40            | 43             | 9.2 (0.7)   | 17.1 (3.0)  | 8.2 (1.3)   |

subscales labeled physical well-being, psychological well-being, autonomy and parent relation, peers and social support, and school environment. To obtain an overall estimate of children’s HRQoL, we calculated the mean across all five KIDSCREEN dimensions. Additionally, we calculated the 10-item overall HRQoL index, as suggested in the KIDSCREEN manual. The reliability and validity of the KIDSCREEN has been documented previously. Following the official scoring guidelines, for each dimension, raw scores were transformed into Rasch person parameter estimates using the available IBM SPSS statistics software version 25 (IBM Corp.; Armonk, NY, United States of America) syntax for each dimension. The calculated Rasch scores had a scale mean of 50 and a SD of 10, with higher scores reflecting better well-being and HRQoL. To assess physical activity behavior, the children were asked to answer a single-item question taken from the Health-Behavior of School-Aged Children (HBSC) survey: “Over the past 7 days (1 week), on how many days were you physically active for a total of at least 60 min (1 h) a day?” Answering options ranged from 0 to 7 days. Previous studies showed that this question has acceptable validity when compared with physical activity assessed by accelerometers; it has previously been used in studies measuring physical activity and HRQoL. Finally, to assess children’s cardiorespiratory fitness, the 20-m shuttle run test was employed. A 20 m flat course was laid out and marked with 10–15 color coded cones. Children ran back and forth according to a sound signal on the premeasured running court and they were accompanied by a trained researcher officer. The pre-recorded sound signal started at a speed of 8.5 km/h and steadily increase by 0.5 km/h every minute. If a child was unable to cross the marked 2 m line at the moment of the sound signal for two consecutive intervals, the child was asked to stop and only the fully completed laps were noted.

All children underwent a clinical examination by a registered medical nurse to identify any health problems. Children who did not pass the health examination were excluded from the maximal exercise test. The 20-m shuttle run test has a moderate-to-high mean criterion-related validity for estimating cardiorespiratory fitness.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive Statistics

Table 2 provides an overview of the descriptive statistics and group differences at baseline and at post-intervention assessment, for all study variables. Our study sample consisted of 758 children.
TABLE 2 | Means (M) and standard deviations (SD) at baseline and post-intervention in wellbeing, physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness, and differences between intervention and control group.

| Categorical variables | Control group (n = 448) | Intervention group (n = 310) | χ² tests |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------|
| Gender                | N                       | %                         | N       | %          | χ²       | ϕ       |
| Girls                 | 220                     | 49.1                      | 153     | 49.4       | 0.0      | 0.002   |
| Boys                  | 228                     | 50.9                      | 157     | 50.6       |          |         |

HRQoL = Health-related quality of life. *Socioeconomic status was only assessed at baseline.

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

from eight primary schools in Port Elizabeth. At baseline, children were aged between 8 and 12 years, 50.8% were boys.

Differences Between Intervention and Control Group at Baseline and Post-intervention

Table 2 also shows the descriptive statistics separately for children assigned to the intervention and control group. Based on univariate ANOVAs, the intervention and control group significantly differed with regard to age, self-reported physical activity, and three of the KIDSCREEN subscales (psychological well-being, social support and peers, and school environment) as well as the overall HRQoL (mean across dimensions). The intervention group was slightly older, had higher self-reported physical activity, higher psychological well-being, higher scores in the domain social support and peers, school environment, and in the overall mean index compared to the control group. At post-intervention, significant group differences disappeared except for the difference in age, self-reported physical activity, psychological well-being, and overall HRQoL (10 item).
Prediction of HRQoL
Prediction of Post-intervention Scores
Table 3 summarizes the results of the mixed linear regression analyses, highlighting variables that acted as predictors of children’s HRQoL. In Model 1, the dependent variable was the HRQoL scores at post-intervention, and analyses were computed separately for the five KIDSCREEN subscales and the two overall HRQoL indices.

With regard to the covariates, higher age was associated with lower overall HRQoL (mean across dimensions and 10-item index) and lower scores for psychological well-being at post-intervention. Girls reported better physical well-being at post-index) and lower scores for psychological well-being at post-intervention. Moreover, baseline levels of, subscales, no significant association was observed for the overall HRQoL (mean across dimensions). Except for psychological well-being, baseline scores in HRQoL significantly predicted the respective KIDSCREEN scales at post-intervention.

Condition (physical activity intervention vs. control condition) was not associated with the outcomes. Baseline levels and change of physical activity predicted all KIDSCREEN subscales (physical well-being, psychological well-being, autonomy and parent relations, social support and peers, and school environment) as well as the overall HRQoL (mean across dimensions). The positive association between the predictor and outcomes indicates that higher baseline physical activity levels predicted better HRQoL scores at post-intervention. The findings also show that increases in self-reported physical activity were associated with better HRQoL at post-intervention. Whereas, this pattern was found consistently across all KIDSCREEN subscales, no significant association was observed for the overall 10-item HRQoL index. Moreover, baseline levels of, and improvements in, cardiorespiratory fitness predicted one domain, namely better physical well-being at post-intervention.

DISCUSSION
The key findings of the present study highlight that assignment to the intervention or control condition did not affect the HRQoL among schoolchildren from the Port Elizabeth area in South Africa. Importantly, however, our analyses revealed that baseline levels and levels of self-reported physical activity positively (and consistently across all investigated dimensions) predicted children’s HRQoL perceptions. Additionally, baseline levels and increases in cardiorespiratory fitness made a significant contribution to the prediction of children’s self-perceived physical well-being.

The observation that higher self-reported physical activity levels result in higher HRQoL in our study population is important and in line with previous research. This finding might be attributed to several factors. Gopinath et al. (40) found that physically active adolescents reported higher HRQoL over a 5-year period and argued that participation in sports or games has a positive effect on the development of social reinforcement and social functioning. Physical activity contributes to the feeling of being socially accepted and popular, which are important concepts of self-perceived well-being (10). Higher levels of physical activity might be further associated with better quality of sleep, which in turn has a positive effect on children’s behavioral and emotional health (6). Studies also show that children who are physically active can better cope with stress (11) and have an enhanced physical self-concept (58). Previous research has also shown that children who engage in regular physical activity report fewer depressive symptoms (5). Omorou et al. (37) found a cumulative and bidirectional association between physical activity and HRQoL for adolescents in France over a 2-year period. The authors concluded that physical activity and sedentary behavior are important components in improving adolescents’ well-being as well as preventing non-communicable diseases. Vella et al. (39) found a protective effect of sport participation on HRQoL in children aged 8–10 years. They found that the maintenance of sport participation resulted in elevated HRQoL. Based on a systematic review, Lubans et al. (22) concluded that it is still unclear what kind of neurobiological and behavioral mechanism might be at play when it comes to the effects of physical activity on HRQoL. Yet, they emphasized that participation in physical activity can improve physical self-perceptions and enhance self-esteem in young people (59).

Contrary to our working hypothesis, baseline levels of, and improvements in, cardiorespiratory fitness predicted only one HRQoL domain, namely physical well-being. This observation might be explained as follows. First, similar to our study, Morales et al. (60) observed that in comparison to other HRQoL domains, there is a particularly close relationship between cardiorespiratory fitness and physical well-being. This is not unexpected because low cardiorespiratory fitness is an independent marker of cardiovascular risk (61), and thus a physical health marker. Second, even though cardiorespiratory fitness is seen as a proxy for physical activity, it is depending on genetic factors, whereas physical activity is a behavioral component (62). Third, in a study with Swedish adults, Lindwall et al. (63) observed that self-reported physical activity is more closely associated with mental health outcomes than objectively assessed cardiorespiratory fitness. The authors argued that psychological processes, such as perceived control over one’s health and body, might play a more important role than improved cardiovascular change. Fourth, both physical activity and HRQoL are self-reported and may therefore suffer from reporting bias and share common method variance (64). Nevertheless, it is important to mention that numerous researchers found positive associations between cardiorespiratory fitness and HRQoL (65). For instance, Andersen et al. (66) reported that cardiorespiratory fitness is positively associated with higher scores on all five KIDSCREEN-27 domains in a cross-sectional analysis. Hence, cardiorespiratory fitness should be seen as an important target variable for public health interventions.

With regard to the investigated covariates, our results suggest that higher age was associated with lower overall HRQoL (10-item index, mean across dimensions) and lower psychological well-being at post-intervention. This is in line with prior research (67) and can be explained through the physical as well as social transition from childhood to adulthood. Subjective well-being...
TABLE 3 | Multiple mixed linear regression analyses to predict post-intervention scores in children’s health-related quality of life.

Prediction of KIDSCREEN post-intervention scores (N = 758)

| Model 1* | Physical well-being | Psychological well-being | Autonomy and parent relations | Social support and peers | School environment | Overall HRQoL: Mean across dimensions | Overall HRQoL: 10-item index |
|----------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|          | B                    | p-value                  | B                          | p-value                | B                | p-value                            | B                               |
| Age      | −0.36                | −1.14                    | 0.036                   | −1.99                  | −2.95            | −1.03                 | 0.000                          | −0.17                        |
| Gender (1 = male, 2 = female) | 1.88                  | 0.37                      | 0.014                    | 1.24                   | −0.61            | 3.1                   | 0.190                        | 1.29                        |
| BMI z-scores | 0.00                 | −0.02                     | −0.01                    | 0.697                  | 0.02             | −0.01                | 0.161                        | 0.00                        |
| Socioeconomic status | 0.41                  | 0.08                      | 0.07                    | 0.74                   | 0.018            | 0.24                   | −0.17                        | −0.65                        |
| Baseline score of KIDSCREEN dimension | 0.09                  | 0.04                     | 0.015                   | 0.000                  | 0.00            | 0.00                  | 0.096                        | 0.17                        |
| Condition (1 = control, 2 = intervention) | −0.24                | −2.62                    | 2.14                    | 0.843                  | −1.94            | −5.11                  | 0.215                        | −0.14                        |
| Baseline physical activity | 0.50                  | 0.09                     | 0.91                    | 0.016                  | 0.69            | 0.18                   | 0.18                        | 0.19                       |
| Change in physical activity | 0.45                  | 0.16                     | 0.74                    | 0.002                  | 0.43            | 0.07                   | 0.07                        | 0.78                        |
| Baseline cardiorespiratory fitness | 0.32                  | 0.13                     | 0.05                   | 0.001                  | 0.13            | −0.1                 | 0.28                        | −0.04                        |
| Change in cardiorespiratory fitness | 0.26                  | 0.08                     | 0.44                    | 0.005                  | 0.07            | −0.16                 | 0.550                        | −0.15                        |

*In the mixed linear regression models, cases were excluded listwise from the analysis if they had missing data in one or several of the covariates. Thus all mixed linear regression analyses were based on data of children with complete data records across all variables: N = 758.

B represents the estimate of the beta coefficient.

B represents the estimate of the beta coefficient.

To obtain change scores for physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness, baseline scores were subtracted from post-intervention scores. Thus, higher change scores reflect stronger increases in physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness.

To obtain change scores for the KIDSCREEN overall index and subdimensions, baseline scores were subtracted from post-intervention scores. Thus, higher change scores reflect stronger increases in self-perceived wellbeing.

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.
can be impaired through an imbalance of hormones and new physiological processes (68). Bisegger et al. (68) argued that puberty is physically more radical for girls than for boys and this can contribute to a decreased psychological well-being. Yet, in our study sample, girls reported better physical well-being at post-intervention and rated the school environment more positively than boys. The finding that girls perceived the school environment more positively could be attributed to the fact that girls saw the school as a social place and a “sanctuary.” Our observation that girls perceived their overall HRQoL and their physical health more positively than boys is contrary to most studies with European children. We hypothesize that underlying social concepts might be different in the South African context. One study by Chen et al. (69) found that a higher BMI is linked to lower HRQoL, while Griffiths et al. (70) reported that excess weight may impact HRQoL due to low self-image and low self-confidence. In our study sample, BMI was not statistically significantly associated with HRQoL. This might be due to cultural differences, since being overweight is seen more positively in the local culture, as it indicates wealth and happiness (71). Finally, we found that children with higher SES rated the HRQoL subscale physical well-being, autonomy and parent relations, school environment, and overall HRQoL (mean across dimensions) more positively than their peers with lower SES. This finding accords well with a study carried out in seven European countries, in which children with lower SES reported lower HRQoL (72).

Our observation that a multi-dimensional physical activity intervention had no effect on children's HRQoL is in line with the equivocal findings reported in recent reviews. In their systematic review of school-based physical activity interventions, Rafferty et al. (44) evaluated 11 studies. Hereof, only three studies reported a positive effect on children's well-being. In a recent school-based physical activity trial, Resaland et al. (35) observed no significant effect of the intervention on HRQoL. However, it remained unclear whether the intervention indeed resulted in increased overall physical activity. In their review, Wu et al. (8) suggested that there is a dose-response relation between physical activity, sedentary behavior, and HRQoL, indicating that there is a linear relationship between higher physical activity levels (or less time spent being sedentary) and better HRQoL.

The lack of impact of our intervention on children's HRQoL may be due to limited exposure and intensity of our program. Our intervention lasted for 20 weeks, including two physical education and one moving-to-music lesson per week. Moreover, we observed that overall physical activity levels increased more in the control group, compared to the self-reported levels of physical activity which increased only slightly in the intervention group. Hence, it is conceivable that unrelated changes, or a participant bias in the physical activity behavior in control schools, have superimposed the effects of our intervention.

Although our study provides new insights with regard to the effect of regular physical activity on HRQoL in South African schoolchildren, our findings must be considered in light of several limitations. First, physical activity was assessed with a relatively simple single-item instrument. Of note, this item was successfully employed in large-scale studies with children and adolescents in a European context (HBSC study), in which meaningful relationships were found between physical activity and health-related outcomes (73). Second, no objective physical activity measurements were obtained, which would have required the use of accelerometers (74). Accelerometry data have the potential to differentiate between intensities of physical activity and should be considered in future research. Nevertheless, although the precision of physical activity data might have been limited due to the simplicity of our instrument, it is noteworthy that it was self-reported physical activity that performed best as predictor of children's HRQoL (and not cardiorespiratory fitness). Third, our impression was that the quality of the intervention implementation depended to some extent on the motivation and skills of the teachers. For future research, we therefore suggest to more systematically assess the quality of intervention implementation, and to consider this as a moderating factor. Fourth, we acknowledge that in our study there was only one school in each intervention program, and some students received physical activity alone, some students in combination with other intervention components (health and hygiene and/or nutrition), and some students not at all. While this can be seen as a limitation, we included random intercepts for school classes in order to adjust for cluster effects. As highlighted by Geiser (75), using a mixed model approach (and taking into consideration the hierarchical structure of clustered data) is important because (i) clustered samples violate some of the assumption of independence of observations which is made in traditional regression analyses, and (ii) in many studies, variables at different level (e.g., individual, class, and intervention condition) are relevant for the prediction of an outcome variable. Fifth, although the 20 m shuttle run test is a frequently used procedure to assess children's cardiorespiratory fitness, a recent meta-analysis has revealed that compared to children, the criterion-related validity of Léger's protocol was statistically higher for adults ($r = 0.94$, 95% CI 0.87–1.00). Yet, the protocol performed quite well in children ($r = 0.78$, 95% CI 0.72–0.85).

**CONCLUSION**

Higher physical activity and positive change in physical activity was prospectively associated with better HRQoL in a sample of South African children attending primary schools from disadvantaged neighborhoods. In view of our findings, we suggest that South African policy makers might reflect on how children's overall physical activity can be increased and how schools can be supported to provide physical activity-friendly environments that promote intramural physical activity. Concerted efforts are required to implement high quality physical education in schools in disadvantaged settings in order to sustainably increase children's physical literacy and to promote physically active lifestyles.
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