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The Association between Helicobacter pylori Infection and Glycated Hemoglobin A in Diabetes: A Meta-Analysis
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Background. The association between Helicobacter pylori infection and glycated hemoglobin A has been confirmed in many studies, but these conclusions are still contradictory and controversial. Therefore, we conducted a meta-analysis to resolve the problem of inconsistent results in diabetes. Methods. A comprehensive search was conducted on related researches published in PubMed, Embase, and China Academic Journal Full-text Database (CNKI) from the inception of each database to April 2019. Fixed or random effects model was used to pool the weighted mean difference with 95% confidence interval from individual studies. Subgroup and sensitivity analyses were also performed. Publication bias was estimated by funnel plot, Egger’s test, and fail-safe numbers. Results. 35 studies with 4,401 participants with diabetes were included in the meta-analysis. Glycated hemoglobin A levels were elevated in patients with Helicobacter pylori infection compared with patients without Helicobacter pylori infection (WMD = 0.50, 95% CI: 0.28-0.72, \( p \leq 0.001 \)). In subgroup analysis by the subtype of diabetes, there was a correlation between Helicobacter pylori infection and elevated glycated hemoglobin A in type 1 diabetes (\( I^2 = 74\%\), \( p \leq 0.001 \), WMD = 0.46, 95% CI: 0.12-0.80), and in type 2 diabetes (\( I^2 = 90\%\), \( p \leq 0.001 \), WMD = 0.59, 95% CI: 0.28-0.90, \( p \leq 0.001 \)). In subgroup analysis by the study design, there was a correlation in cross-sectional study (\( I^2 = 89\%\), \( p \leq 0.001 \), WMD = 0.42, 95% CI: 0.16-0.69, \( p \leq 0.003 \)) and in case-control study (\( I^2 = 83\%\), \( p \leq 0.001 \), WMD = 0.39, 95% CI: 0.14-0.64, \( p \leq 0.003 \)). By different methods for detecting Helicobacter pylori, there was a correlation in the biopsy group (\( I^2 = 83\%\), \( p \leq 0.001 \), WMD = 0.6, 95% CI: 0.11-1.09, \( p \leq 0.03 \)) and in other groups of test methods (\( I^2 = 87\%\), \( p \leq 0.001 \), WMD = 0.37, 95% CI: 0.17-0.56, \( p \leq 0.001 \)). Sensitivity analysis showed that our results were reliable, and no evidence of substantial publication bias was detected. Conclusion. The meta-analysis might indicate a correlation between Helicobacter pylori infection and glycated hemoglobin A levels in diabetes.

1. Introduction

In 2007, the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry (IFCC) clearly defined glycated hemoglobin A (HbA1c) as a stable adduct formed by glucose and the free amino group of the hemoglobin β chain-N-terminal proline [1]. In 2011, World Health Organization officially recommended HbA1c ≥ 6.5% as a diagnostic cutoff point for diabetes [2]. The American Diabetes Association (ADA) recommends that HbA1c should be measured in patients with newly developed diabetes, and it plays an important role in the monitoring of diabetes as an evaluation index to judge the effect of blood glucose control. Helicobacter pylori infection is now considered the most important cause of gastritis and peptic ulcer in humans. And studies have reported on the potential links between H. pylori infection and a variety of extra-gastrointestinal manifestations ischemic as heart disease, liver diseases, skin diseases, blood disorders, neurologic disorders, and others [3]. Christie et al. found serological evidence of H. pylori infection which was associated with an increased rate of incident diabetes in a Latino elderly cohort [4]. However,
studies on the relationship between H. pylori infection and HbA1C in diabetic patients are inconsistent and sometimes contradictory. The finding of Bazmamoun et al. showed that there was no correlation between Helicobacter pylori infection and HbA1c levels [5]. Studies by Akın et al. found that HbA1c levels in Helicobacter pylori-positive patients were significantly higher than those in Helicobacter pylori-negative patients [6]. Due to these discrepancies, we performed a meta-analysis investigating the relationship between H. pylori infection and glycated hemoglobin A in patients with diabetes.

2. Methods

2.1. Literature Search Strategy. “Helicobacter pylori” and “glycated hemoglobin A” were jointly searched in PubMed database, the Embase database, and China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) as keywords for all relevant literature published before April 2019. Moreover, we also reviewed the reference not captured by our database search.

2.2. Inclusion Criteria. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) observational studies; (2) studies are related to the relationship between H. pylori and diabetes, including case groups and control groups, and providing the exact sample size, the number of patients with H. pylori infection, and the mean and standard deviation of the level of HbA1c; (3) the diagnosis of diabetes was in agreement with international guidelines [7]; (4) H. pylori infection is judged by at least one diagnostic method; and (5) the studies are not directly related but with the abovementioned requirements.

2.3. Exclusion Criteria. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) case report and observational studies without control groups; (2) studies in which the data of the level of HbA1c were not available for either diabetes group or control group; (3) subset of a published article by the same authors or repeated published literature; (4) studies limited to animal; and (5) the data of literature are incomplete with little information, and the extraction of original data is not enough to calculate the statistics of this study.

2.4. Study Selection. Two researchers independently screened the literature, extracted the data, and cross-checked. If the results were inconsistent, those would be discussed together or judged by a third senior researcher. This study used pre-established data extraction forms to extract data from the literature that will eventually be included in the meta-analysis. The excerpts included the first author, the year of publication, the study area, the diagnostic criteria for H. pylori infection, the sample size of the case and control groups, and the mean and standard deviation of HbA1c.

2.5. Statistical Analysis. The data and the database were organized and checked carefully according to the requirements of the meta-analysis. RevMan 5.3 was used for statistical analysis, and weighted mean difference (WMD) with 95% CI was used for quantitative analysis of measurement data. I² was used to quantitatively test the heterogeneity among different studies. If I² ≤ 50%, the heterogeneity had no statistical significance, and fixed effects model was used to analyze it. On the contrary, if I² > 50% the heterogeneity had statistical significance, and random effects model was used to analyze. Moreover, subgroup analysis was carried out to explore the sources of heterogeneity according to the factors that might produce heterogeneity. To ensure the stability of the results of the meta-analysis, the sensitivity analysis (after the included studies removed one by one, the combined analysis was performed again, and the significant difference between the effect values before and after the combination was compared) was performed. The funnel plot, Egger’s test, and fail-safe number were used to quantitatively evaluate the
publication bias, \( p < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant, suggesting that publication bias is not excluded.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Study Selection and Characteristics.

A total of 459 articles were initially searched by terms, and 35 studies eventually met the predetermined inclusion and exclusion criteria (Figure 1). The relevant literature was published from 2000 to 2018 (Table 1). A total of 4,401 diabetic patients were included in the meta-analysis, including 1176 patients with type 1 diabetes, 2877 patients with type 2 diabetes, and 348 patients who were not typed. The included literature included 20 case-control studies involving 1970 patients with diabetes and 15 cross-sectional studies involving 2,431 people with diabetes.

### Table 1

| Authors              | Year | Country   | Type      | HP+ Mean ± SD (%) | n  | HP− Mean ± SD (%) | n  |
|----------------------|------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|----|-------------------|----|
| Chobot et al. [8]    | 2014 | Poland    | T1DM      | 7.8 ± 1.42        | 17 | 7.60 ± 1.66       | 132|
| Fernandini-Paredes et al. [9] | 2008 | Peru      | T2DM      | 7.6 ± 0.23        | 49 | 7.25 ± 0.49       | 26 |
| Hamed et al. [10]    | 2008 | Egypt     | T1DM/T2DM | 8.1 ± 0.8         | 68 | 8.3 ± 0.1         | 6  |
| Candelli et al. [11] | 2004 | Rome      | T1DM      | 8.2 ± 1.06        | 29 | 8.4 ± 1.7         | 29 |
| Yingjian [12]       | 2018 | China     | T2DM      | 8.7 ± 1.45        | 45 | 6.77 ± 1.52       | 49 |
| Qing et al. [13]    | 2018 | China     | T2DM      | 8.2 ± 0.77        | 51 | 7.10 ± 0.55       | 59 |
| Ziyue et al. [14]   | 2017 | China     | T2DM      | 8.6 ± 1.84        | 85 | 7.70 ± 0.75       | 51 |
| Chunying et al. [15] | 2016 | China     | T2DM      | 8.4 ± 1.68        | 62 | 8.53 ± 1.96       | 69 |
| Licheng et al. [16] | 2016 | China     | T2DM      | 7.7 ± 1.34        | 106| 6.24 ± 0.58       | 64 |
| Li [17]             | 2016 | China     | T2DM      | 8.4 ± 2.06        | 112| 8.01 ± 2.01       | 68 |
| Zhili et al. [18]   | 2014 | China     | T2DM      | 9.0 ± 0.89        | 48 | 8.02 ± 0.23       | 25 |
| Yi et al. [19]      | 2011 | China     | T2DM      | 9.21 ± 2.4        | 43 | 9.34 ± 2.1        | 22 |
| Candelli et al. [20] | 2003 | Rome      | T1DM      | 8.3 ± 1.1         | 34 | 8.2 ± 1.5         | 87 |
| Fayed et al. [21]   | 2014 | Egypt     | T1DM      | 7.4 ± 1.6         | 40 | 7.9 ± 1.3         | 13 |
| Vafaie manesh et al. [22] | 2016 | Iran      | T1DM/T2DM | 8.1 ± 1.67        | 139| 8.08 ± 1.32       | 72 |
| Nasif et al. [23]   | 2016 | Saudi Arabia | T2DM | 6.9 ± 0.69        | 33 | 7.30 ± 0.55       | 17 |
| Anandani et al. [24] | 2014 | Indonesia | T2DM     | 9.52 ± 1.12       | 5  | 9.08 ± 1.22       | 25 |
| Toporowska-Kowal ska et al. [25] | 2007 | Poland | T1DM     | 7.87 ± 1.51       | 48 | 7.17 ± 1.46       | 150|
| Bazmamoun et al. [6] | 2016 | Iran      | T1DM      | 8 ± 0.65          | 48 | 7.90 ± 0.40       | 32 |
| Huang et al. [26]   | 2015 | China     | T2DM      | 8.34 ± 2.02       | 195| 8.47 ± 2.04       | 220|
| Demir et al. [27]   | 2008 | Turkey    | T2DM      | 7.9 ± 1.8         | 87 | 8.7 ± 7.1         | 54 |
| Arslan et al. [28]  | 2000 | Turkey    | T1DM      | 11.08 ± 3.17      | 49 | 10.32 ± 2.63      | 39 |
| Colombo et al. [29] | 2002 | Italy     | T1DM      | 8.16 ± 1.7        | 41 | 7.8 ± 1.6         | 97 |
| Gulcelik et al. [30] | 2005 | Turkey    | T2DM      | 8.2 ± 1.4         | 59 | 7.9 ± 2.2         | 19 |
| Zekry et al. [31]   | 2013 | Egypt     | T1DM      | 7.75 ± 1.67       | 24 | 5.72 ± 1.2        | 36 |
| Ko et al. [32]      | 2001 | China     | T2DM      | 8.09 ± 2.11       | 32 | 8.42 ± 2.37       | 31 |
| Jones et al. [33]   | 2002 | Australia | DM        | 8.8 ± 0.4         | 15 | 8.6 ± 0.2         | 48 |
| Candelli et al. [34] | 2012 | Italy     | T1DM      | 8.8 ± 0.8         | 17 | 8.4 ± 0.7         | 52 |
| Zhou et al. [35]    | 2012 | China     | T2DM      | 8.45 ± 2.46       | 84 | 8.18 ± 2.13       | 96 |
| El-Eshmawy et al. [36] | 2011 | Egypt    | T1DM      | 8.3 ± 1.58        | 128| 6.8 ± 2.3         | 34 |
| Senmaru et al. [37] | 2013 | Japan     | T2DM      | 7.3 ± 1.0         | 146| 7.4 ± 1.2         | 187|
| Tanrivedi [38]      | 2011 | Turkey    | T2DM      | 6.9 ± 1.2         | 53 | 6.1 ± 1.4         | 40 |
| Peng et al. [39]    | 2013 | China     | T2DM      | 9.53 ± 1.96       | 43 | 7.08 ± 1.53       | 42 |
| Akın. et al. [6]    | 2014 | Turkey    | T2DM      | 8.0 ± 2.1         | 159| 6.9 ± 1.2         | 156|
| El Hadidy et al. [40] | 2009 | Saudi Arabia | T2DM | 9.2 ± 2.1         | 23 | 8.92 ± 2.1        | 37 |
3.2. Results of Meta-Analysis. RevMan 5.3 was used to test the heterogeneity, $I^2 = 89\%$, $p < 0.001$, so the random effects model was used to conduct a combined analysis. 35 studies (WMD = 0.50, 95% CI: 0.28-0.72, $p < 0.001$) showed that there was a significant difference in the level of HbA1c between patients infected with H. pylori and that of noninfected patients. H. pylori infection was correlated with the increased level of HbA1c (Figure 2).

3.3. Subgroup Analysis. In order to further increase the reliability of the study, the subtypes of diabetes mellitus, the design of the studies and different detection methods of H. pylori were analyzed, which were divided into three subgroups: type 1 diabetes mellitus and type 2 diabetes mellitus; cross-sectional studies and case-control studies; and biopsy and other detection methods. The results of the analysis are shown in Figures 3–5. (1) In subgroup analysis by the type of diabetes, it is indicated that there is a significant correlation between H. pylori infection and increased HbA1c in type 1 diabetic patients ($I^2 = 74\%$, $p < 0.001$, WMD = 0.46, 95% CI: 0.12-0.80) and in type 2 diabetes ($I^2 = 90\%$, $p < 0.001$, WMD = 0.59, 95% CI: 0.28-0.90, $p < 0.001$). (2) In subgroup analysis by design of studies, 15 of them were cross-sectional studies, of which 2217 were infected with H. pylori and 2184 were uninfected. 20 studies were case-control studies, of which 524 patients with H. pylori infection and 1046 patients without. A total of 35 studies were analyzed by meta-analysis. It is showed that H. pylori infection was associated with Hba1c in cross-sectional study ($I^2 = 89\%$, $p < 0.001$, WMD = 0.42, 95% CI: 0.16-0.69, $p < 0.003$) and in case-control study ($I^2 = 83\%$, $p < 0.001$, WMD = 0.39, 95% CI: -0.14-0.64, $p < 0.003$). (3) Gastric biopsy group and other detection methods group: biopsy was used in 6 studies and other detection methods were used in 29 studies, including serological detection and breath test. There was statistical significance in the biopsy group ($I^2 = 83\%$, $p < 0.001$, WMD = 0.6, 95% CI: 0.11-1.09, $p < 0.03$) and in other groups of test methods ($I^2 = 87\%$, $p < 0.001$, WMD = 0.37, 95% CI: 0.17-0.56, $p < 0.001$). It shows that H. pylori infection is correlated with the level of HbA1c whether in biopsy or other methods.
4. Publication Bias

Egger’s test (p > 0.05) showed no significant publication bias. Funnel plot is basically symmetrical and has no publication bias (Figure 6). Fail-safe numbers, indicating the publication bias, are reported in Table 2. The fail-safe numbers were all relatively large in the meta-analysis, suggesting that the results were reliable.

5. Discussion

The quantitative data of this meta-analysis showed that the level of HbA1c in the H. pylori-infected group was significantly higher than that in the H. pylori-negative group, indicating that H. pylori infection and HbA1c were correlated in diabetes. Subgroup analysis revealed that (1) Helicobacter pylori infection was correlated with the level of HbA1c in type 1 diabetes mellitus and type 2 diabetes mellitus. (2) According to the type of studies, they were divided into two subgroups: case-control study and cross-sectional study. There was statistical significance in cross-sectional studies and case-control studies. (3) According to the diagnostic criteria of H. pylori infection, the H. pylori infection was correlated with the level of HbA1c in the gastric biopsy group. Similarly, the differences in other test groups were also statistically significant.

The results of this study are not completely consistent with the results of a meta-analysis of Dai et al. [41] in 2015. Their 11 studies showed that HbA1c of type 1 diabetic patients with H. pylori infection was significantly higher than that of type 1 diabetic patients without H. pylori infection (WMD = 0.35, 95% CI: 0.05-0.64, p ≤ 0.03). However, there was no significant difference in the levels of HbA1c between type 2 diabetes mellitus with and without H. pylori infection (WMD = 0.51, 95% CI: -0.63-1.65, p ≤ 0.38). According to the results of increasing sample size, both type 1 diabetes
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 figure 4: Forest plot of Helicobacter pylori infection and glycated hemoglobin level: subgroup analysis forest map, grouped by study type.  

is still unclear, more and more studies have shown that there is a potential link between them. Because of the large number of patients with the two diseases, if the causal relationship between them becomes clear, the impact will be great.

Meta-analysis is a secondary literature analysis based on previous research evidence. Therefore, there are limitations and biases in the analysis. Case-control studies are inevitably affected by selective bias. The results are not as reliable as prospective studies, and there are some limitations. Moreover, the results of this study are not grouped by population, region, and race. The limitation of this meta-analysis is that it does not consider other characteristics that may affect blood sugar control besides H. pylori infection, such as treatment status, age, gender, obesity index, or smoking status.

In conclusion, the results of this meta-analysis indicate that Helicobacter pylori infection is associated with increased glycosylated hemoglobin A, with a large sample size and a certain degree of confidence. Although some biases affect the accuracy of the results, it is still possible to provide new reference and guidance for eradication of H. pylori as a secondary prevention or treatment of diabetes. Proper screening for H. pylori infection and regular monitoring of blood glucose and HbA1c may be effective for early detection of blood glucose disorders and prevention of type 2 diabetes. Further research, especially longitudinal studies, is necessary to validate current results.

6. Conclusion

This study conducted a meta-analysis of existing literature and concluded that H. pylori infection may increase the level of glycosylated hemoglobin A in diabetic patients, and the
same conclusions were obtained in both type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes. The sample size included in this paper is large and has credibility, which can guide clinical work to a certain extent. In clinical practice, individualized prevention and treatment need to be closely combined with the actual situation of the patient.
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