Moosense pedometer activity and periestrual hormone profile in relation to oestrus in crossbred cattle
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ABSTRACT

The objective of the study was to establish the characteristics of pedometer activity in crossbred cows (20) and to determine the relationships between the pedometer activity and the serum concentrations of periestrual hormones. Animals in oestrus were detected by trained personnel based on oestrus behaviour by visual observation twice daily. Further oestrus was detected by pedometer activity and it was confirmed by serum progesterone and estradiol concentration. Individual animal daily activity data were collected and transformed into hourly activity in Excel sheet and arranged for statistical analysis. The relationship of pedometer activity with E2, E2:P4 and progesterone level on the day of oestrus was determined. Pearson correlation analysis was performed using the statistical package SPSS (SPSS Inc. USA). Activity count per hour (ACPH) increased from 248.51±22.46, 312.51±37.16, 323.52±49.24 and 423.42±47.77 from -3 day, -2 day and -1 day of proestrus, respectively to estrus day. After estrus, the activity decreased from 313.76±46.62 and 271.36±36.06 on the 1st day and 2nd day of metestrus, respectively. A similar trend was observed for serum E2 and E2:P4 which increased from 3 days before estrus to reach a peak level on the day of estrus (31.40±2.34 pg/ml) and declined after that to the basal level (2 pg/ml) after estrus. Contrary to this, P4 concentration showed a decreasing trend from –3 day proestrus to estrus (0.46±0.05) and then a gradual increase after estrus day. ACPH showed positive correlation with estradiol concentrations (r = 0.34; P = 0.0779) and E2:P4 ratio (r=0.50; P=2.077) but, negative correlation with progesterone concentration on day of oestrus (r=–0.73; P=2.74). In conclusion, our results suggested that the concentration of E2, E2:P4 ratio and P4 concentration during proestrus and on the day of estrus are the important factors contributing the behavioral manifestation of estrus in terms of ACPH in crossbred cows.
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oestrus. The decrease in concentration of P4 to fairly low basal level allows the sudden release of gonadotropins (Arthur et al. 1992). Progesterone from the corpus luteum also controls oestrus by inhibiting GnRH and LH pulses, which reduces estradiol concentration (Smith and Jennes 2001). The level can be measured in blood plasma or milk. There is a sharp decline in milk progesterone from >10 to <3 ng/ml when pro-oestrus starts (Docke 1994).

Thus by knowing pedometer activity on the day of oestrus and by quantifying estradiol and progesterone concentrations during periestrus improves the accuracy for oestrus detection. However, measuring these hormones is laborious, costly, time-consuming and requires sophisticated equipment and thus not suitable for field conditions. Circulating hormone concentrations during periestrus influences the estrus behavior and intensity. Although the relationship among estrus behaviours and periestrus hormones has been studied, very little work has been carried out on the relationship among walking activity based on the pedometer activity and periestrus hormones in crossbred cows. Therefore, the present study was designed to evaluate the relationship among periestrual blood hormone concentration (E2 and P4) and pedometer activity in crossbred cattle to authenticate the accuracy of pedometer estrus.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted on Karan Fries cows (HF × Tharparkar) maintained at Livestock Research Centre of ICAR-National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal, Haryana (India).

Experimental animals and general management: Lactating cows (20), housed in a loose housing system of management were selected randomly for the study based on the behavioural signs and symptoms of oestrus. The average production of the animals during the 305 d lactation period was 3,988.42±278.21 with an average daily milk yield of 13.07 kg. The experimental animals were maintained in a loose housing system under group management practice.

Measuring activity by pedometer: The experimental animals were 40–60 days in milk at the time of attaching pedometer developed by IIT, New Delhi in collaboration with ICAR-NDRI, Karnal (Haryana). Wireless sensor network based precision animal management system known as Moosense was developed at ICAR-NDRI, Karnal (Sarangi et al. 2014). Moosense is a comprehensive monitoring solution meant to simultaneously monitor multiple animal management parameters such as ambient temperature and humidity, nutrient intake, and activity (Mohanty et al. 2010, Sarangi et al. 2014).

For daily recording of activity, a pedometer was tied on foreleg of cows at the metatarsal region. The pedometer recorded the number of steps a cow taken continuously 24 hr and send the activity on an hourly basis and these daily activities were collected by visiting the shed twice with the Mini Computer attached with the Base station (10:00 AM and 4:00 PM) from day zero up to 45 days for collecting daily biorhythm of activities from dairy cows. The pedometer has the capacity to store the activity data for 30 h. The battery was charged regularly after every week for 2 h. Pedometer oestrus or increase in a number of steps (measured by a pedometer) was calculated using a method based on the median number of steps as per Roelofs et al. (2005).

Periestrual hormone profile during oestrus: Approval of Institutes Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC) was obtained for blood collection in the experimental animals. Blood was collected on three days before oestrus and on the day of oestrus and two days after oestrus through jugular venipuncture.

Hormone assay: For confirmation of oestrus, estradiol and progesterone hormone assay was done in the sequential serum sample collected during this period. Estradiol hormone (E2) was estimated to determine the peak level during the day of oestrus and decline in E2 during metestrus days. Progesterone (P4) level estimated for confirmation of oestrus with level below <1 ng/ml. The hormone was estimated using ELISA test kit (Uscn Life Science Inc. Wuhan, Hubei) as per manufacturer’s instruction. The standard concentration of E2 and P4 hormone was calculated using Graph Pad PRISMs 3.0 software (GraphPadSoftware, USA).

Statistical analysis: The activity of the animals during experiments was collected every day from individual animals for collecting information about daily activity pattern analysis and was transformed to hourly activity in Excel sheet and arranged for statistical analysis. To determine the relationship of pedometer activity with E2, E2:P4 ratio and progesterone level on the day of oestrus Pearson correlation analysis was performed using the statistical package SPSS (SPSS Inc. USA). All means are presented as mean±SE unless otherwise stated. P values < 0.05 are considered for statistically significance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Oestrus expression and behaviour is the outcome of various endocrinological events. Among all hormones, estradiol is the primary hormone for regulating oestrus drive and initiation of behavioural oestrus resulting from the action of ovarian steroids on behavioural centres in the brain (Roelofs et al. 2010). Concentrations of estradiol in plasma increased after concentrations of progesterone were less than 1 ng/ml. Concentrations of estradiol begin to rise from prooestrus period, reaches its maximum level at 6–12 h before oestrus day and then sharply increase to its peak at the peak of LH (White et al. 2002). Further, it has been proved that in 50%, estradiol decreased by 5 h after LH peak and came to the basal level at 14 h (Chenault et al. 1975).

Hormone profile during periestrual period and walking activity: Activity count per hour (ACPH) in crossbred cows and estradiol concentration (pg/ml) during prooestrus and oestrus days is depicted in Figs 1 and 2. Mean prooestrus and oestrus activity count per hour found to be 294.85±32.68
Mean estradiol concentration (pg/ml) estimated to be 17.39±0.85 (range 12.49–25.44) and 31.40±2.34 (range 17.05–52.69) during proestrus and oestrus period, respectively. There is a relation between estradiol concentration and activity both in proestrus and oestrus days. Out of 20 animals, serum E2 estimated in serum samples collected in 3 animals showed a slight decrease in E2 concentration on the day of oestrus as compared to proestrus days. However, the activity was higher in these animals on the day of oestrus when compared to proestrus days. The difference in concentration may be due to individual variation and also may be due to an error in analyzing the sample. The maximum E2 concentration was lower in Holstein cows of both ultrasound performed and control group, i.e. 11.7±0.48 pg/ml and 10.2±0.48 pg/ml respectively (Roelofs et al. 2005). It has been reported that mounting other cow is the very next important oestrus behaviour after sniffing and chin resting being observed in the maximum percentage of oestrus cows. As 90–95% of the estrous periods mounting occurred during oestrus which acts as the best predictor for the time of ovulation (Roelofs et al. 2004, 2005). An important external indicator for an animal in oestrus is restlessness (Wendl et al. 1995). Based on the above fact ACPH can be included as useful oestrus behaviour for explaining the intensity of oestrus. Intensity and duration of estrus were positively related to circulating E2 concentration (Lopez et al. 2004).

However, it is not true for all as in an ovariectomized progesterone-primed cows revealed that those cows maintained on higher circulating E2 (12 vs.6 pg/ml) exhibited similar estrus intensity (Reames et al. 2011). Also supported by Lymio et al. (1999) and Roelofs et al. (2004) in Holstein cows where the intensity of the behavior, like maximum score or average estrus score, are not correlated with E2. In contrast to this it was found that higher circulating E2 (105.2 versus 85.6 pg/ml) respectively exhibited higher estrus intensity both in repeat breeder and
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normal cows (Sood et al. 2015). However, some reports also suggested that the threshold estradiol level rather than circulating E2 is responsible for oestrus intensity (Allrich 1994). Furthermore, variation in estrus characteristics at an individual or herd level cannot be excluded (Bertilsson et al. 1998). Mean ACPH on pro-estrus and estrus days reported to be 152.96±9.28 and 252.61±11.05 activity/h, respectively (Madkar 2013) and 371±9 during estrus when recorded with activity monitoring systems—heatime (Silper et al. 2015) was lower when compared to our study. The level of estradiol on proestrus and estrus days by Henricks et al. (1971) was less when compared with our study. The plasma estrogen concentrations were less than 10 pg/ml until the day before estrus, 15–25 pg/ml on the day before estrus. There were transient increases between 3 and 10 pg/ml during the first 3 days of proestrus. Further with the onset of estrus, the estrogen level became equal to that present on the day before estrus (15–25 pg/ml). However, it began to decrease within 2–5 h after the onset of estrus. E2 concentrations were correlated with milk production (r=–0.57; P<0.0001) and it was reported that higher producers had lower E2 concentrations than lower producers (6.8±0.5; n=31 vs 8.6±0.5 pg/ml; n=40; P=0.01) (Lopez et al. 2004). The other factor which may affect the decrease in walking activity is milk yield. Lopez et al. (2004) reported that cows with higher milk yield had a lower serum E2 concentration on the day of estrus. In another study on estrus events, each 1 kg increase in milk yield was associated with a 1.6% decrease in walking activity (Lo’pez-Gatius et al. 2005b). Thus from the figure it is clear that cows activity during oestrus is related to the concentration of E2, with maximum average activity during both proestrus and oestrus has highest E2 concentration.

Activity count per hour (ACPH), estradiol concentration, progesterone concentration, and E2:P4 ratio (Mean±SE) of different days for various phases of estrous cycle

| Proestrus–3 day | Proestrus–2 day | Proestrus–1 day | Estrus | Metestrus 1st day | Metestrus 2nd day |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|------------------|------------------|
| E2 (pg/ml)      | 15.06±1.54      | 18.14±1.68      | 21.99±1.71 | 31.40±2.34      | 24.21±1.93       | 19.07±1.48       |
| P4 (ng/ml)      | 2.17±0.20       | 1.67±0.16       | 1.03±0.14  | 0.46±0.05       | 1.02±0.12        | 1.53±0.16        |
| E2:P4           | 6.93±2.21       | 10.81±1.35      | 21.21±14.43 | 67.89±15.15    | 23.52±6.76       | 12.45±2.45       |

Average Activity per hour 248.51±22.46 312.51±37.16 323.52±49.24 423.42±47.77 313.76±46.62 271.36±36.06

Table 1. Activity count per hour (ACPH), Estradiol concentration, progesterone concentration, and E2:P4 ratio (Mean±SE) of different days for various phases of estrous cycle

Also out of 20 estrus cows in which P4 level was <1 ng/
Fig. 3. Average activity count/hour and estradiol concentration (pg/ml) at different estrous cycle stages in crossbred cows

Fig. 4. Average activity count/hour and progesterone concentration (ng/ml) at different estrous cycle stages in crossbred cows

Fig. 5. Average activity count/hour and E2:P4 ratio at different estrous cycle stages in crossbred cows
ml only one cow showed lower activity. Hence, this can be due to individual variation which showed activity lower as compared to others. Further results of oestrus detection varied depending on the used threshold value, the number of cows, housing and treatment of cows and the utilised method of time series analysis (Firk et al. 2002). So by considering activity for estrus detection, the detection rate of the device in oestrus detection is 95% after being confirmed by serum P4 level. Accuracy was as low as 49% (Pulvermacher and Wiersma 1991) and over 90% (Roelofs et al. 2005). The detection of oestrus depends upon different threshold values. Further results are highly dependent on the number of cows investigated and also on managemental conditions of the farm (Firk et al. 2002).

**Correlations between hormone concentrations and ACPH during prooestrus, oestrus and metoestrus period:**

The correlation coefficients between hormone concentrations and ACPH during prooestrus (3 days before oestrus), oestrus and metoestrus period (2 days after the end of oestrus) are presented in Table 2. ACPH had positive correlation with estradiol concentrations (r = 0.34; P = 0.0779) and E2:P4 ratio (r = 0.50; P = 0.0777), but negative correlation with progesterone concentration on day of oestrus (r=-0.73; P=2.74). Similarly for prooestrus days ACPH had significant positive correlation with estradiol concentrations (r = 0.26; P = 0.0417) and E2:P4 ratio (r=0.43; P=0.0007), but negative correlation with progesterone concentration on day of oestrus (r=-0.69; P=1.52). Further, the result was similar for metoestrus days with ACPH had a positive correlation with estradiol concentrations and E2:P4 ratio, but a negative correlation with progesterone concentration. The positive correlation of estradiol concentrations and ACPH in crossbred cows in the present investigation is in agreement with the study of Mondal et al. (2006) and Lyimo et al. (1999) for oestrus behaviour in mithun cows. This indicated that estradiol hormone is important contributor for expressing estrus activities. Similarly, the positive association of activity and E2:P4 ratio at oestrus days also reflect the importance of ratios for day exhibiting behavioral estrus signs in cows. Further, the negative correlation of ACPH with progesterone concentration on the day of prooestrus and oestrus indicated that the change in concentration of this hormone changes the ACPH, i.e. on the day of prooestrus, P4 level was higher so activity was less but on oestrus as the P4 level decreases the activity increases. Negative correlation exists between P4 and estrus intensity (Waldmann et al. 2001). Furthermore, P4 depresses heat signs during estrus which is dose dependent, also P4 blocks the estrus inducing action of E2 (Davidge et al. 1987).

The detection rate of the pedometer in oestrus detection is 95% which becomes more reliable after being confirmed by serum P4 level. Pedometer activity has a strong relationship with serum periestrual hormone profile in deciding the oestrus in crossbred cattle. Further the concentration of E2, E2:P4 ratio and P4 concentration during prooestrus and on the day of estrus are the important factors contributing to the behavioral manifestation of estrus in terms of ACPH in crossbred cows. Thus pedometer based on activity is very accurate in oestrus detection and may prove to be a promising tool for improving fertility performance.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors are greatly thankful to the director and vice-chancellor of ICAR-National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal, for providing all research facilities. The work was funded by National Agricultural Innovation Project (NAIP/C4/C2008/416101–02).

**REFERENCES**

Allrich R D. 1994. Endocrine and neural control of estrus in dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 77: 2738–44.

Arora R C and Pandey R S. 1982. The pattern of plasma progesterone, estradiol-17β, luteinizing hormone and androgen in non-pregnant buffaloes (*Bubalus bubalis*). *Acta Endocrinologica* 100: 279–84.

Arthur G H. 1992. An overview of reproduction in the camelds. Proceedings of 1st International Camel Conference, 2–6 February, Dubai, United Arab Emirates: 109–113.

Beg M A, Meira C, Borgfelt D R and Ginther O J. 2003. Role of oestradiol in growth of follicles and follicle deviation in heifers. *Reproduction* 125: 847–54.

Bertilsson J, Berglund B, Osterman S, Rehn H and Tengroth G. 1975. Transitory changes in plasma progestins, estradiol, and luteinizing hormone approaching ovulation in the bovine. *Journal of Dairy Science* 58: 709–717.

Davidge S T, Wiebold J L, Senger P L and Hillers J K. 1987. Influence of varying levels of blood progesterone upon oestrous behavior in cattle. *Journal of Animal Science* 66: 126–32.

Docke F. 1994. Docke F. Veterinär medizinische Endokrinologie. Gustav Fischer Verlag, Jena, pp. 399–508.

Firk R, Stamer E, Junge W and Krieter J. 2002. Automation of oestrus detection in dairy cows: a review. *Livestock Production Science* 75: 219–32.

Galina C S and Orihuela A. 2007. The detection of the estrus in cattle raised under tropical conditions: What we know and

| Hormone Concentration                  | Correlation Coefficient | P Value |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Estradiol concentration on prooestrus | 0.26                    | 0.0417  |
| Progesterone concentration on prooestrus| -0.69                  | 1.52    |
| Ratio E2: progesterone on prooestrus   | 0.43                    | 0.0007  |
| Estradiol concentration on day of oestrus | 0.34              | 0.0779  |
| Progesterone concentration on day of oestrus | -0.73     | 2.74    |
| Ratio E2: progesterone on day of oestrus | 0.50              | 2.077   |
| Estradiol concentration on metoestrus  | 0.20                    | 0.0009  |
| Progesterone concentration on metoestrus | -0.65          | 0.812   |
| Ratio E2: progesterone on metoestrus   | 0.45                    | 1.05    |
what we need to know. Hormone and Behaviour 52: 32–38.
Henricks D M, Dickey J F and Hill J R. 1971. Plasma estrogen and progesterone levels in cows prior to and during estrus. Endocrinology 89: 1350.
López-Gatius F, Santolaria, Mundet I and Yañiz J L. 2005b. Walking activity at estrus and subsequent fertility in dairy cows. Theriogenology 63: 1419–29.
Lopez H, Satter L D and Wiltbank M C. 2004. Relationship of level of milk production and estrous behavior of lactating dairy cows. Animal Reproduction Science 81: 209–223.
Lyimo Z C, Nielen M, Ouweltjes W, Kruip T A. and van Lopez H. 2005. Relationship of estrous behavior and progesterone levels in cows prior to and during estrus. Acta Endocrinologica 108: 312–318.
Mandkar A R. 2013. ‘Efficiency of wireless sensor device (Pedometer) for detection of estrus in Karan Fries cows.’ MVSc Thesis submitted to NDRI, Karnal.
Mohanty T K, Ruhil A P, Kar S, Lathwal SS, Behera K, Layek S P, Pathbandha T and Sarangi S. 2010. Climate control of livestock houses through sensor controlled system, International Conference on Physiological Capacity Building in Livestock under Changing Climate Scenario Bareilly (1): 48–53.
Mondal S and Prakash B S. 2003. Peripheral plasma progesterone concentration in relation to estrus expression in Sahiwal cows. Indian Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology 47: 111–114.
Mondal S and Prakash B S. 2006. Relationship of plasma estradiol-17β, total estrogen, and progesterone to estrus behavior in Mithun (Bos frontalis) cows. Hormones and Behaviour 49: 626–33.
Mondal S and Prakash B S. 2003. Peripheral plasma progesterone concentration in relation to estrus expression in Sahiwal cows. Indian Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology 47: 111–114.
Pulvermachr R J and Wiersma F. 1991. Effectiveness of an automated estrus detection system for dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 74(Suppl. 1): 193
Rajamahendran R and Taylor C. 1991. Follicular dynamics and temporal relationships among body temperature, oestrus, the surge of luteinizing hormone and ovulation in Holstein heifers treated with norgestomet. Journal of Reproduction and Fertility 92: 461–67.
Reames P S, Hatler T B, Hayes S H, Ray D L and Silvia W J. 2011. Differential regulation of estrus behavior and luteinizing hormone secretion by estradiol-17b in ovariectomized dairy cows. Theriogenology 75: 233–40.
Roeelofs J B, van Eerdenburg F J C M, Soede N M and Kemp B. 2005. Pedometer readings for estrus detection and as predictor for time of ovulation in dairy cattle. Theriogenology 64: 1690–1703.
Roeelofs J, Lopez-gatius F, Hunter R H, van Eerdenburg F and Hanzen C. 2010. When is a cow in estrus? Clinical and practical aspects. Theriogenology 74: 327–44.
Roeelofs J B, Bouwman EG, Dieleman S J, Van Eerdenburg F J, Kaal-Lansbergen L M, Soede N M and Kem, B. 2004. Influence of repeated rectal ultrasound examinations on hormone profiles and behaviour aroundoestrus and ovulation in dairy cattle. Theriogenology 62(7): 1337–52.
Rorie R W, Bilby T Rand Lester T D. 2002. Application of electronic estrus detection technologies to reproductive management of cattle. Theriogenology 57: 137–148.
Saratani S, Bishi A, Rau V, Kar S, Mohanty T K and Ruhil A P. 2014. Development of a Wireless Sensor Network for Animal Management: Experiences with Moosense. IEEE International Conference on Advanced Networks and Telecommunications Systems (ANTS), New Delhi 2153–1676: 1–6.
Schallengerber E, Schondorfer A M and Walters D L. 1985. Gonadotrophins and ovarian steroids in cattle: I. Pulsatile changes of concentrations in the jugular vein throughout the oestrous cycle. Acta Endocrinologica 108: 312–318.
Silper B F, Madureira A M L, Kaur M, Burnett T A and Cerri R L A. 2015. Short communication: Comparison of estrus characteristics in Holstein heifers by 2 activity monitoring systems. Journal of Dairy Science 98: 3158–65.
Smith M J and Jennnes L. 2001. Neural signals that regulate GnRH neurons directly during the oestrous cycle. Reproduction 122: 1–10.
Soop P, Zachut M, Dube H and Moallem U. 2015. Behavioral and hormonal pattern of repeat breeder cows around estrus. Reproduction 149: 545–54.
Staigmiller R B, England B G, Webb R, Short R E and Bellows R A. 1982. Estrogen Secretion and Gonadotropin Binding by Individual Bovine Follicles during Estrus. Journal of Animal Science 55: 1473–82.
Stevenson J S, Lamb G C, Kobayashi Y and Hoffman D P. 1998. Luteolysis during two stages of the estrous cycle: subsequent endocrine profiles associated with radiotelemetrically detected estrus in heifers. Journal of Dairy Science 81: 2897–2903.
Thakkar O P, Singh M and Verma P N. 1983. Progesterone levels vis-à-vis anoestrum in buffaloes concurrent with profile during stages of estrous cycle. Indian Journal of Dairy Science 36: 125–28.
Waldmann A, Reksen O, Landsverk K, Kommisrud E, Dahl E, Refsdal A O and Ropstad E. 2001. Progesterone concentrations in milk fat at first insemination-effects on non-return and repeat-breeding. Animal Reproduction Science 65: 33–41.
Walker W L, Nehel R L, and McGilliard M L. 1996. Time of ovulation relative to mounting activity in dairy cattle. Journal of Dairy Science 79(9): 1555–61.
Wendl G, Kliindworth K and Wagner M. 1995. Einsatz von Aktivitätssensoren und injizierbaren Transpondermit integriertem Temperature sensor in der Milchviehhaltung. 46th Annual Meeting of the European Association of Animal Production, Prague, Czech Republic, 4–7 September, 1995. C 4.6.
White F J, Wettemann R P, Looper M L, Prado T M and Morgan G L. 2002. Seasonal effects on estrous behavior and time of ovulation in nonlactating beef cows. Journal of Animal Science 80: 3053–59.