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Abstract
Aim: The objective of this research was to determine the effectiveness of enzyme replacement therapy for juvenile-onset Pompe disease (patients aged 2 to 18 years at symptom onset) by systematic review.

Methods: A systematic search was conducted according to a protocol designed a priori of bibliographic databases and search engines. Studies selected according to pre-specified criteria were assessed for quality and risk of bias using standardised appraisal tools. Data were reported according to PRISMA conventions (Liberati et al. in PLoS Med 6:e1000100, 2009) and synthesised using GRADE (Guyatt et al. in J Clin Epidemiol 64:380–382, 2011).

Results: Of 2537 titles screened, 1 case series and 16 case reports met the inclusion criteria. No studies reported on the impact of enzyme replacement therapy on the survival of juvenile-onset patients. Low level evidence found that respiratory function may improve or be maintained in the early months of therapy. Improved muscle function in the first 6 to 12 months was also suggested, but results may be confounded by natural development. Patients with less severe baseline status and treated at a younger age showed more response than patients with more severe baseline status, treated as adults.

Conclusions: Interpretation of the findings was hindered by the lack of good quality evidence. The available data suggests that some JOPD patients may benefit in the short term from ERT through improved muscle strength and a reduced need for assisted ventilation. A focus by clinicians on improved and more consistent evidence collection, and use of study designs tailored to rare conditions, would provide more definitive results.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Pompe disease (PD) is a lysosomal storage disorder, caused by a deficiency of acid-alfa-glucosidase (GAA). Defective lysosomes rupture, spilling contents into the cell, leading to an accumulation of cellular glycogen. This neuromuscular disease is characterised by progressive muscle hypotonia, deterioration of respiratory and cardiac function.

PD is a rare and severe genetic disorder inherited in an autosomal recessive mode, with a wide phenotypic variation affecting age groups from infants to adults. Two classical forms of PD—infantile and late-onset—are recognised in the...
literature, although many cases do not fit the clinical picture for classical disease and are classified as atypical\(^1\)–\(^3\). The estimated collective frequency is 1 in 40 000 people, with the infantile form making up one thirds of the cases.\(^3\)

Patients older than 2 years diagnosed with Pompe disease tend to have a varied amount of GAA activity and considerable heterogeneity in clinical presentation. This late-onset form of PD can fall into the category of either juvenile or adult, depending on the age at onset of symptoms.\(^3\),\(^4\) The age of symptom onset in juvenile-onset Pompe disease (JOPD) varies in the literature from 1 to 2 years old at the lower threshold and 16 to 18 years at the upper threshold.\(^2\),\(^3\) Categorisation may be confused by the patient’s age at diagnosis, which can occur years before (through genetic characterisation) or after symptom onset (if symptoms are not recognised in the early PD stages). Symptoms at onset vary but can include signs of muscle weakness or decreased respiratory capacity.\(^5\) Juvenile and adult forms have been found to be associated with the milder c.-32-13T>G genotype, with variation in disease severity being attributed to different haplotypes.\(^6\)

There is little published data for the juvenile-onset group, and its natural course is less well characterised. A separate analysis of this group is justified as patients are still in developmental stages, have a tendency to more severe disease and may have a different response to enzyme replacement therapy (ERT) to patients with adult-onset of the disease.

Published data on the natural history of late-onset PD (juvenile and adult patients) suggests that the earlier the age at which symptoms of PD occur, the worse the prognosis is likely to be.\(^5\) However, within each age group, there is wide variation in the course of the disease.\(^4\)

Prior to ERT, there was no specific treatment for PD, with supportive care the only option available. ERT with alglucosidase alfa (Myozyme\(^6\)) was included in the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods (ARTG) in March 2008, and has been subsidised through the Life Saving Drugs Program (LSDP) since 2010 for infantile-onset Pompe disease. Treatment for JOPD has been subsidised through the LSDP since 2014. A review of this policy decision was undertaken as part of a broader review of the LSDP.

To inform this program review, a systematic literature review was conducted to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of alglucosidase alfa in JOPD patients, using broad search criteria to maximise the number of articles identified. Searches for published literature were performed on 4th March 2016. The search included Orphanet (www.orphanet.net), PubMed, Embase, com, the Cochrane Library, CINAHL and the Web of Science (Web of Knowledge). A full list of databases searched and the terms used to search the PubMed database can be seen in Supplementary Data A in Appendix A.

A formal grey literature search was conducted with Google Scholar. Pertinent reviews and included studies were pearled and snowballed to ensure that all relevant articles were identified.

### 2.1 | Study selection criteria

The study selection criteria were pre-specified using a PICO\(^a\) format. JOPD patients were defined a priori as those for whom disease-onset occurred between 2 and 18 years of age. Criteria were applied independently by two researchers, and any differences were resolved by consensus (selection criteria are listed in Supplementary Data B in Appendix A).

Studies were assessed for eligibility using a staged approach, whereby case reports were included if sufficient higher level evidence was not identified. The level of evidence was determined by the NHMRC Evidence Hierarchy for Interventional evidence.\(^7\)

Articles not written in English would have been included if they had represented a higher level of evidence than that available in English. Literature identified as opinion pieces, editorials, conference abstracts or papers without a clear study design or a description of method and results were not included.

### 2.2 | Documentation of the literature search

All literature identified in the search was documented using a flowchart recommended by the Preferred Reporting of Items in Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) statement\(^8\) (Figure 1).

### 2.3 | Critical appraisal of selected evidence

It was intended that included studies would be critically appraised as per the protocol for risk of bias using appropriate appraisal tools for the different study designs,\(^9\),\(^10\) with the exception of case reports that are primarily descriptive in nature.

The body of evidence reporting on individual health outcomes was synthesised using the online Guideline Development Tool\(^11\) and rated according to the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) system.\(^12\)

### 2. METHODS

A protocol for the systematic review was designed a priori. The peer-reviewed literature was searched for studies assessing the safety and effectiveness of alglucosidase alfa in
2.4 | Data extraction and synthesis

Data on the study authors, country and setting, population, intervention and comparator drug and dosage details, level of evidence, risk of bias, relevant outcome measures, results and follow-up period were extracted from the included studies. Characteristics of the study populations including age, race, sex, disease status and severity were reported. Key outcome data of the included studies were extracted in duplicate and independently by two researchers.

As there were insufficient data for a meta-analysis, the findings were synthesised into an overall narrative. This synthesis was informed by the GRADE method of evidence analysis.12 The findings were reported according to PRISMA reporting standards and incorporated all of the components required by AMSTAR for a high-quality systematic review.13

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Systematic review findings

There was a paucity of comparative evidence identified in the literature specifically for juvenile Pompe patients. Studies that included a mixed late-onset population were only included if data from juvenile patients could be separated. One randomised controlled trial14 and one systematic review2 were identified, which included mixed populations of late-onset PD, but as the data were combined, this ‘higher level’ evidence was not included. The remaining evidence was low level (case series and case reports) and lacking a relevant comparator.

One case series and 16 case report studies provided specific data on JOPD patients. Details of these studies are given in Supplementary Data C (Appendix A).b

The case series15 included 24 late-onset PD patients, 7 of whom had JOPD. Outcomes were reported separately at baseline and 12-month intervals up to 3 years from the initiation of ERT for juvenile patients, and some data synthesis was performed. The case reports provided information on outcome measures before and after ERT for between one and five JOPD patients.

The age of onset for the JOPD patients varied from 2 to 17 years, with the age at first treatment with alglucosidase alfa varying from 2.3 to 52 years. One case report16 did not state the dose of alglucosidase alfa, but all the other studies were consistent, using a dose of 20 mg/kg per fortnight.
Due to the high number of conference abstracts identified in the literature search, which then were not published in full as journal articles, the possibility of publication bias cannot be ruled out.

3.2 Effectiveness of ERT

3.2.1 Survival

There were no data specific to patients with JOPD, which addressed whether ERT would extend survival. Individual case reports mentioned age at death, but given the wide variability in the natural history of the disease, nothing could be concluded as to whether ERT extends life.

3.2.2 Quality of life

Three articles reported on the quality of life (QoL) for JOPD patients.17–19 Orlikowski et al. used the Short-form 36 (SF-36) to measure self-reported physical and mental health scores in two patients with advanced JOPD aged 16 and 14 years at symptom onset, and 28 and 40 years respectively when they started ERT. Both patients improved from baseline in the SF-36 mental component after 1 year of ERT. For the physical component, one patient’s score increased, while the other’s decreased (Supplementary Table S6). Changes were not large enough to be considered clinically meaningful.

In the other two studies,17,19 there was no standardised measure for QoL; observations were reported narratively. All three patients in the studies showed signs of improved QoL, such as being able to attend school or longer periods sitting upright in a wheelchair, following one or more years of ERT.

3.2.3 Cardiorespiratory function

Cardiorespiratory function was reported in all but three of the included studies using a number of surrogate measures. The most commonly used were vital capacity (VC) and forced vital capacity (FVC).

VC was measured in seven case reports, two of which Orlikowski et al.18 and Papadimas et al.20 reported readings in the sitting and supine positions. Measurements taken at 4 to 6 months from baseline showed an increase in VC and FVC, with one exception in a patient whose VC remained unchanged while receiving ERT.18 Both JOPD patients discussed by Orlikowski et al.18 had severe Pompe symptoms at baseline and remained on continuous ventilation support 24 h per day throughout the study.

At 1 year after the initiation of ERT, the results were more varied with some patients still measuring an increase in %VC, and others showing a decline. Measurements at 2 years or more after baseline were also inconsistent (Figure 2a).

Winkel et al.19 performed a piecewise linear regression (“broken-stick” method) to compare the difference in the rate of change prior to receiving ERT, and after ERT. Patients 1 and 2 both had a significant decline in vital capacity in the 6 to 9 years prior to starting ERT (patient 1 \( r = -0.99, p < 0.001 \); patient 2, \( r = -0.98, p = 0.021 \)). After the start of treatment, the slope of VC changed
FVC was measured in five patients from one study who were tested after 4 to 5 years of ERT. FVC scores over 80% are considered to be within the normal range, so at baseline, three of the five patients were considered to have normal respiratory functioning. One patient who had much lower capacity at baseline than the others measured a significant increase in capacity over that time period (increase from 14 to 30%). The four other patients were within the normal range at follow-up (Figure 2b).

Piecewise regression analysis was performed across case studies to assess the change in treatment effectiveness on % VC and FVC over the treatment period. Time was initially partitioned into the intervals 0–6 months and > 6 months, and a separate line segment was fit to each interval for the outcome %VC and then FVC. Linear regression analysis showed no difference in treatment effect between the 0–6 month and > 6-month treatment periods for either measure when coefficients were compared (%VC − 0.02 vs. − 0.03, p = 0.996; FVC − 1.9 vs. 1.6, p = 0.624). Similarly, there was no difference between 0 and 12 month and > 12-month treatment periods. Due to low sample size (N = 22 for outcome %VC and N = 17 for outcome FVC), this piecewise regression analysis is likely to be underpowered. There was insufficient pre-ERT data to compare before and after treatment effects.

In some case reports, cardiorespiratory function was assessed through patient requirements for ventilation support. Ventilation hours were reduced moderately in one patient (aged 16 at treatment initiation) and remained consistent in another after 8 years of ERT (aged 32 at treatment initiation). Changes to need for ventilation assistance showed improvement for three out of four patients after 12 months of ERT (age at treatment onset 17 to 44 years), as their status changed from ‘mild nocturnal dyspnoea’ to ‘normal’, but one patient deteriorated and required additional intermittent mechanical ventilation.

### 3.2.4 Gross motor function

Objective measures of muscle strength and function, such as the 6-min walk test or 10-m walk time (6MWT, 10MWT), global motor functioning and disability, gross motor functioning, muscle strength and motor testing were used in the case reports. The two most common assessments of gross motor function were walk testing (6MWT and 10MWT tools) and muscle strength testing, using manual muscle testing, (MMT) and handheld dynamometer (HHD) tools.

Five articles published data on how far patients with JOPD could walk on the 6MWT and one article reported on the time taken for the 10MWT before and after treatment with alglucosidase alfa. Results for the 6MWT are summarised in Figure 3.

Similarly, improvements were seen across most cases, with clinically important improvements observed over time, particularly in the short term. The case series by Bembi et al. reported that seven juvenile patients (mean age at onset was 2.5 years) had an improvement in walking performance on the 6MWT over 3 years, with the greatest change seen in the first 12 months. After 3 years of alglucosidase alfa, JOPD patients were able to walk an average of 192 m further than at baseline. Similar improvements were reported by van Capelle et al. (mean improvement 157.7 m) after 3 years and Deroma et al. (mean of 209.2 m of improvement) after 4–5 years of treatment. In all three studies, the patients were treated when they were aged between 5.9 and 15.2 years.

**Figure 3** Metres walked at baseline and follow-up after ERT using the 6MWT in case reports and a case series of patients with JOPD.

6MWT 6 minute walk test; ERT enzyme replacement therapy; JOPD juvenile onset Pompe disease. Notes: Results for the 6MWT in the case series reported by Bembi et al. is an average of metres walked by 7 patients at baseline and 12 months follow-up, and 6 patients for 2 and 3 year follow-up, the standard deviations were also reported (baseline 434.7 ± 260.8 m; 12 months 590.6 ± 213.6 m; 2 years 599.6 ± 240.9; 3 years 614.3 ± 233.1 m; case report 7 indicated an inability to walk any distance at baseline or at 6 months follow-up; case report 8 6MWT was measured at baseline and after 4 months ERT.
Two single case reports found patients could walk further or longer after 4 and 6 months of ERT compared to baseline, but following that time, one case deteriorated and one case continued improving. There is little information on the natural history of JOPD, so it is difficult to know the extent to which improvements over time in a juvenile population are due to growth or to treatment benefit. There was no change in treatment effect over time when a piecewise regression was performed across the studies comparing the periods of 0–6 months and > 6 months of ERT treatment (6MWT coefficients − 42.9 vs. 2.4, P = 0.163) (Figure 3).

Three studies used MMT to measure muscle strength. Despite considerable heterogeneity in baseline values between cases, all patients either remained stable, or improved while on ERT (Figure 4a).

One case report found muscle strength increased significantly to near normal values in patients aged between 5.9 and 12.9 years at treatment. However, two other case reports did not find significant improvement in MMT after ERT. The two patients reported by Furusawa et al. had severe disease requiring ventilation, while a 10-year-old boy reported by Ishigaki et al. (first signs of JOPD at age 2) showed only minimal change on the MMT after 6 months of ERT compared to baseline.

Similar variable improvements in strength were seen in six patients when the HHD tool was used to measure muscle strength (Figure 4b).

Of note, among the other measures used, is the quick motor function test (QMFT), a 16-item scale, which was developed specifically for use in patients with PD. The authors claim the QMFT provides an assessment of clinical severity and motor function and is also suitable for the longitudinal measure of changes over time in PD. All three patients described in article showed considerable improvement after 3 years of ERT using the QMFT (patients 1, 2 and 4 showed improvements of 70.3 to 95.3%, 73.4 to 92.2% and 67.2 to 92.2% of maximum possible, respectively).

4 DISCUSSION

The JOPD population comprises a subset of the total PD population, that is likely to be larger but is under-diagnosed. Data on this subpopulation are rarely separated in the literature, despite the earlier onset being associated with disease prognosis. The evidence we identified suggests that respiratory function may improve, or be maintained in the early months of ERT. After two or more years, the evidence is less consistent for respiratory function. Case reports also suggest that the need for assisted ventilation may be decreased with the use of ERT. Muscle functioning appears to improve with use of alglucosidase alfa, again with the largest improvements seen in the first 6 to 12 months of treatment. Improvements in gastrointestinal function and fatigue may also occur with the use of ERT. The heterogeneity in the severity of symptoms between patients at baseline and the differences in the extent of treatment effect makes it difficult to determine which patients will do better than others. The limited nature of the evidence and the poor quality of the data prevents any generalisations or strong conclusions from being made regarding the effects of ERT and the characteristics that will predict patient response.

This systematic review highlights current challenges to the assessment of treatment for JOPD, some of which may be common to the translational of evidence science for rare
diseases in general.\textsuperscript{33,34} Recent research suggests ways in which measurement of the treatment effect of ERT for JOPD may be improved.

Previously, natural history survival data for patients with non-classic PD has been used to show that patients with later onset disease have significantly lower than normal life expectancy despite suffering a milder form than infantile-onset disease.\textsuperscript{5} Natural history data have also proved to be a useful resource for assessing the effectiveness of treatment of JOPD. For example, Kishnani et al. conducted a study of infantile PD patients through a retrospective chart review,\textsuperscript{1} which later provided a historical control for the assessment of ERT in that same population.\textsuperscript{35,36} Data collection by physicians and participation in rare disease registries and databases should be encouraged.\textsuperscript{9}

Accrued data has the potential to contribute to a greater understanding of the causes of clinical heterogeneity in JOPD. These differences between patients cannot be fully explained by mutation variability\textsuperscript{6} making it difficult to design eligibility criteria for controlled trials, especially with the small numbers of patients involved. To maximise the use of study data, it is valuable to describe in detail the context of treatment and symptoms in terms of time from diagnosis, time from onset of symptoms, disease severity, and age so that true changes can be measured between baseline and all time points.

Recently innovative trial designs for small populations have been discussed in the literature in regard to the assessment of many drugs for rare diseases reaching the market.\textsuperscript{33,34} For JOPD, the challenge is to provide a valid estimate of treatment benefit and risk in a very small heterogeneous population without a traditional control population as a comparator. N-of-1 trials have a number of advantages for rare diseases, in particular in determining an individual treatment effect.\textsuperscript{37} Milder forms of PD and older patients may have sufficiently stable JOPD to be assessed with an n-of-1 trial, but not those patients at the more severe end of the spectrum. Adaptive and sequential trials may be suitable for these JOPD patients, as all participants have the opportunity for active treatment, smaller sample numbers are required and trial times can be shortened if treatment effectiveness is established. The use of an historical control developed through a disease registry may still be the most effective means of establishing a treatment effect, as was used by Kishnani et al.\textsuperscript{35,36}

Patient reported outcomes (PROs) are becoming increasingly important in measuring the effectiveness of treatments for rare diseases. They can be designed to be more appropriate and sensitive to patient needs than traditional blunt measures, such as survival.\textsuperscript{33,38} The QMFT was developed by van Capelle for Pompe patients, to provide a sensitive measure of muscle function and disease progression that is quick and easy to use.\textsuperscript{31} The QMFT, which consists of 16 items based on clinical expertise, the Gross Motor Function Measure and the IPA/Erasmus MC Pompe survey was tested for scale in 91 patients and, while correlating well with other measures, it was sensitive to varying degrees of patient severity.

## 5 | CONCLUSION

Poor quality evidence taken from case studies and one case series has suggested that ERT provides short-term benefits to some JOPD patients by improving muscle strength and function and reducing ventilation needs. Benefits may be greater when ERT is administered to those who are younger and those with less severe disease at baseline. Publication and reporting bias cannot be ruled out from influencing these findings, and the applicability of the results to the wider JOPD population cannot be assured.

The collection of data and assessment of ERT effectiveness in the JOPD population may be improved by increasing the use of global registries to document patient data in a systematic way and by using adaptive trial designs to randomise small patient numbers while enabling treatment for all. Further improvements in assessment of ERT may be gained by using sensitive PROs, specific to the disease.
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ORCID

Joanne Milverton ORCID https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5571-2203

REFERENCES

1. Kishnani P, Hwu W, Mandel H, Nicolino M, Yong F, Corzo M. A retrospective, multinational, multicenter study on the natural history of infantile-onset Pompe disease. J Pediatr. 2006;148:671-676. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2005.11.033.

2. Toscano A, Schoser B. Enzyme replacement therapy in late-onset Pompe disease: a systematic literature review. J Neurol. 2013;260:951-959. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-012-6636-x.

3. Bembi B, Cerini E, Danesino C, et al. Management and treatment of glycogenosis type II. Neurology. 2008;71:S12-S36. https://doi.org/10.10121/WNL.0b013e318188da93f.

4. Pascual S. Phenotype variations in early onset Pompe disease: diagnosis and treatment results with Myozyme. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2009;652:39-46. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-2813-6_4.

5. Winkel L, Hagemans M, van Doorn P, et al. The natural course of non-classic Pompe’s disease; a review of 225 published cases. J Neurol. 2005;252:875-884. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-005-0922-9.

6. Kroos M, Pomponio R, Hagemans M, et al. Broad spectrum of Pompe disease in patients with the same c.-32-13T->G haplotype. Neurology. 2007;68:110-115. https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000252798.25690.76.

7. Merlin T, Weston A, Tooler H. Extending an evidence hierarchy to include topics other than treatment: revising the Australian ‘levels of evidence’. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2009;9:34-41. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2289-9-342700132.

8. Liberati A, Altman D, Tetzlaff J, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. PLoS Med. 2009;6:e1000100. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.10001002707010.

9. Khan K, Ter Riet G, Glanville J, Sowden A, Kleijnen J. Undertaking systematic reviews of research on effectiveness. CRD’s guidance for those carrying out or commissioning reviews. CRD Report Number 4 (second edition), NHS Centre for Reviews and Dissemination, University of York, York, 2001.

10. SIGN. SIGN 50: A Guideline Developer’s Handbook. Edinburgh: Scottish Intercollegiate Guideline Network; 2011.

11. McMaster University. GRADEpro GDT: GRADEpro Guideline Development Tool [Software]. Evidence Prime, Inc.; 2015. https://graderpro.org/.

12. Guyatt G, Oxman A, Schunemann H, Tugwell P, Knottnerus A. GRADE guidelines: a new series of articles in the Journal of Clinical Epidemiology. J Clin Epidemiol. 2011;64:380-382. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.09.011.

13. Shea B, Hamel C, Wells G, et al. AMSTAR is a reliable and valid measurement tool to assess the methodological quality of systematic reviews. J Clin Epidemiol. 2009;62:1013-1020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2008.10.009.

14. Van Der Ploeg A, Clements P, Corzo D, et al. A randomized study of alglucosidase alfa in late-onset Pompe’s disease. N Engl J Med. 2010;362:1396-1406. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0909859.

15. Bembi B, Pisa FE, Confalonieri M, et al. Long-term observational, non-randomized study of enzyme replacement therapy in late-onset glycogenosis type II. J Inherit Metab Dis. 2010;33:727-735. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10545-010-9201-8.

16. Furusawa Y, Mitsuhashi S, Mori-Yoshimura M, et al. Late-onset Pompe disease after 4 years of enzyme replacement therapy: an autopsy case. Neurol Clin Neurosci. 2014;2:7-9. https://doi.org/10.1111/ncn.66.

17. Cerón-Rodríguez M, Zamora A, Erdmenger J, Ureña R, Consuelo Sánchez A. First case of a patient with late-onset Pompe disease: cardiomyopathy remission with enzyme replacement therapy. Boletín Médico del Hospital Infantil de México (English Edition). 2014;71:41-46.

18. Orlikowski D, Pellegrini N, Prigent H, et al. Recombinant human acid alpha-glucosidase (rhGAA) in adult patients with severe respiratory failure due to Pompe disease. Neuromuscul Disord. 2011;21:477-482. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nmd.2011.04.001.

19. Winkel L, Van den Hout J, Kamphoven J, et al. Enzyme replacement therapy in late-onset Pompe’s disease: a three-year follow-up. Ann Neurol. 2004;55:495-502. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.20019.

20. Papadimas G, Spengos K, Konstantinopoulou A, et al. Adult Pompe disease: clinical manifestations and outcome of the first Greek patients receiving enzyme replacement therapy. Clin Neurol Neurosurg. 2011;113:303-307. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clineuro.2010.12.005.

21. Deroma L, Guerra M, Sekhi A, et al. Enzyme replacement therapy in juvenile glycogenosis type II: a longitudinal study. Eur J Pediatr. 2014;173L:805-813. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00431-013-2258-2.

22. Lachmann R, Schoser B. The clinical relevance of outcomes used in late-onset Pompe disease: can we do better. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2013;8:160. https://doi.org/10.1186/1750-1172-8-1604015 278.

23. van Capelle C, Winkel L, Hagemans M, et al. Eight years experience with enzyme replacement therapy in two children and one adult with Pompe disease. Neuromuscul Disord. 2008;18:447-452. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nmd.2008.04.009.
24. Kobayashi H, Shimada Y, Ikegami M, et al. Prognostic factors for the late onset Pompe disease with enzyme replacement therapy: from our experience of 4 cases including an autopsy case. *Mol Genet Metab*. 2010;100:14-19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymgme.2010.01.015.

25. Ishigaki K, Murakami T, Nakanishi T, Oda E, Sato T, Osawa M. Close monitoring of initial enzyme replacement therapy in a patient with childhood-onset Pompe disease. *Brain Dev*. 2012;34:98-102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.braindev.2011.05.004.

26. Korpe1 M, Paeta A, Lober M, Timonen M, Lamminen A, Kiuru-Enari S. A novel mutation of the GAA gene in a Finnish late-onset Pompe disease patient: clinical phenotype and follow-up with enzyme replacement therapy. *Muscle Nerve*. 2009;40:143-148. https://doi.org/10.1002/mus.21291.

27. Merk T, Wibmer T, Schumann C, Kruger S. Glycogen storage disease type II (Pompe disease)—influence of enzyme replacement therapy in adults. *Eur J Neurol*. 2009;16:274-277. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-1331.2008.02377.x.

28. van Capelle C, van der Beek N, Hagemans M, et al. Effect of enzyme therapy i juvenile patients with Pompe disease: a three-year open-label study. *Neuromuscul Disord*. 2010;20:775-782. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nmd.2010.07.277.

29. Furusawa Y, Mori-Yoshimura M, Yamamoto T, et al. Effects of enzyme replacement therapy on five patients with advanced late-onset glycogen storage disease type II: a 2-year follow-up study. *J Inherit Metab Dis*. 2012;35:301-310. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10545-011-9393-6.

30. Sugai F, Kokunai Y, Yamamoto Y, et al. Use of the muscle volume analyzer to evaluate enzyme replacement therapy in late-onset Pompe disease. *J Neurol*. 2010;257:461-463. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-009-5367-0.

31. van Capelle C, van der Beek N, de Vries J, et al. The quick motor function test: a new tool to rate clinical severity and motor function in Pompe patients. *J Inherit Metab Dis*. 2012;35:317-323. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10545-011-9388-3.

32. Bernstein D, Bialer M, Mehta L, Desnick R. Pompe disease: dramatic improvement in gastrointestinal function following enzyme replacement therapy. A report of three later-onset patients. *Mol Genet Metab*. 2010;101:130-133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymgme.2010.06.003.

33. Facey K, Granados A, Guyatt G, et al. Generating health technology assessment evidence for rare diseases. *Int J Technol Assess Health Care*. 2014;30:416-422. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266462314000464.

34. Pariser A, Gahl W. Important role of translational science in rare disease innovation, discovery, and drug development. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2014;29:S804-S807. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-014-2881-2.

35. Kishnani P, Corzo D, Nicolino M, et al. Recombinant human acid (alpha)-glucosidase: major clinical benefits in infantile-onset Pompe disease. *Neurology*. 2007;68:99-109. https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000251268.41188.04.

36. Kishnani P, Corzo D, Leslie N, et al. Early treatment with alglucosidase alpha prolongs long-term survival of infants with Pompe disease. *Pediatr Res*. 2009;66:329-335. https://doi.org/10.1203/PDR.0b013e3181b24e943129995.

37. Gabler N, Duan N, Vohra S, Kravitz R. N-of-1 trials in the medical literature: a systematic review. *Med Care*. 2011;49:761-768. https://doi.org/10.1097/MLR.0b013e318215d90d.

38. Basch E, Bennett A. Patient-reported outcomes in clinical trials of rare diseases. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2014;29:S801-S803. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-014-2892-z.

**SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

**How to cite this article:** Milverton J, Newton S, Merlin T. The effectiveness of enzyme replacement therapy for juvenile-onset Pompe disease: A systematic review. *J Inherit Metab Dis*. 2019;42:57–65. https://doi.org/10.1007/jimd.12027