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Preliminary Studies Demonstrating Acetoclastic Methanogenesis in a Rat Colonic Ring Model
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Washed rat colonic rings were incubated in closed flasks under N\textsubscript{2} at physiologic pH and temperature levels. In the absence of an exogenous substrate, negligible H\textsubscript{2} but some CH\textsubscript{4} concentrations were detected in vitro after one hour of incubation, but high concentrations (H\textsubscript{2} > 100 ppm, CH\textsubscript{4} > 10 ppm) of both gases were found after 24 hours of culture. Production of H\textsubscript{2} and CH\textsubscript{4} by the washed colonic rings was stimulated by lactose addition. Maximum H\textsubscript{2} production occurred at about pH 7.0, while maximum CH\textsubscript{4} production occurred between pH 4.0 and 6.0. The increased production of both gases at 24 hours was associated with dramatic increases (10\textsuperscript{4}-fold) in anaerobic bacteria colony counts on the colonic rings and in the incubation media, as well as dramatic increases (100-fold) in acetate concentrations in the media, while lactate concentrations first rose and then fell significantly. These results suggest that gas production in colonic ring preparations is subject to quantitative changes in microbiota, pH, and metabolite formation analogous to in vivo conditions. In addition, microbiota firmly attached to colonic tissue appears to utilize colonic tissue to support its growth in the absence of an exogenous substrate.

1. Introduction

Humans and animal breath contains gaseous materials not found in normal air; these materials are thought to be products of bacterial and nonbacterial metabolism [1–3]. Two of these gases, hydrogen (H\textsubscript{2}) and methane (CH\textsubscript{4}), have attracted considerable attention in recent years, [4, 5] including a study suggesting that obese patients who have methane in their breath have significantly higher body mass indexes which may play a role in obesity [6–8]. Breath H\textsubscript{2} has been shown (a) to be reduced with fasting, (b) to be responsive to exogenous substrate ingestion, (c) to vary with the various populations, (d) to be elevated in disease states (especially cancer), and (e) to be produced by the distal colonic bacteria.

Considerable attention has been devoted toward clinical applications for the measurement of breath H\textsubscript{2} and CH\textsubscript{4} levels. These studies have focused on H\textsubscript{2} and CH\textsubscript{4} generation in vivo by human or animal models or have used in vitro assays to monitor H\textsubscript{2} and CH\textsubscript{4} production using stool (feces) homogenates. Although the ultimate applicability of these breath tests have been improved, intrinsic limits exist to a complete understanding of the molecular mechanisms involved in the actual production of the gases at the site of origin, that is, the colon. In vivo fecal homogenate assays have clearly advanced our understanding of which substrates function in the production of which gases. However, these systems represent studies on bacterial populations that are “distal to” and “outside of” the colon. The present communication details an initial attempt to develop a model system to monitor the production of gaseous products in vitro using the bacteria adherent to the colon itself.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Animals. Female rats weighing 125–175 g (CD, Charles River Breeding Farms, Wilmington, MA, USA) or germ-free female rats of similar weight (Taconic Farms, NY, USA) were used throughout these studies and maintained in accordance with the guidelines of the Committee on Animals of the Harvard Medical School and those prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, the Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, National Research Council (DHHS, Publication No. NIH 78-23, Revised 1978). All animals were fasted overnight prior to use. Typically, six animals were used in each experiment.

2.2. Colonic Ring Preparation. Rats were sacrificed by cervical dislocation. The colon was removed, washed with 50 mL sterile saline, and then cut into 2–3 cm rings. All subsequent work was done inside an anaerobic chamber (Coy Laboratory Products, Grass Lake, MI, USA). One gram of tissue was incubated in 10 mL sterile buffer (100 mM Tris buffer, pH 7.4, 33 mM potassium chloride, 120 mM sodium chloride) in a sterile 50 mL Erlenmeyer flask. The contents of the flasks were gassed for 30 sec with N₂ (10 liter/min), after which the flask was capped with a rubber stopper and incubated at 37°C in a shaking water bath (100 strokes/min). At prescribed intervals, the flasks were removed from the water bath. A 50 cc syringe filled with sterile water was attached to a 22-gauge needle and inserted through the rubber stopper. An empty 50 cc syringe was attached to a three-way stopcock which was attached to a needle and also inserted through the rubber stopper. The water filled syringe was then emptied, expelling the gas into the empty syringe. The gas-filled syringe was closed and removed from the flask after about 40 mL of gas was collected. The needle was removed and a 0.45 μm sterile filter unit (Millipore Milllex) was attached. A new needle was attached to the filter unit and inserted into a sterile vacutainer. The stopcock was opened and the gas passed into the vacutainer.

2.3. Fecal Preparations. Fecal material (formed pellets) was taken from the colon of rats immediately after sacrifice and handled in the anaerobic chamber as described previously. The fecal material was homogenized (1 gram/10 mL) in a Waring blender for 1 min in the media described previously. Ten milliliters of homogenate was placed in a sterile 50 mL Erlenmeyer flask and gassed for 30 sec with N₂ (10 liter/min). The flasks were then capped and incubated at 37°C in a shaking water bath.

2.4. H₂ and CH₄ Analysis. The gaseous samples were analyzed for H₂ and CH₄ by gas chromatographic procedures described previously [1].

2.5. Colony Counts. Bacterial colony units were determined in both the bathing media and on the tissue using horse blood agar plates. Aliquots of media were serially diluted with sterile PBS. Aliquots (100 μL) were then transferred to the horse agar plates and anaerobically incubated at 37°C for 18 hours. Tissue was decanted from the flasks and lightly patted on sponges to remove excess media. Subsequently, the tissue samples were homogenized in 10 mL sterile PBS and serially diluted with additional sterile PBS. Again, 100 μL aliquots were transferred to the horse agar plates and anaerobically incubated at 37°C for 18 hours.

2.6. Acetate and Lactate Measurements. Acetate and lactate concentrations in the media were determined in the bathing media using Boehringer-Mannheim kits (West Germany). For these experiments the colonic rings and media were transferred to 15 mL plastic tubes, sealed and spun at 100 × g for 15 min at room temperature. The supernatant was deproteinized using perchloric acid for lactate and charcoal and boiling water for acetate.

2.7. Statistical Analysis. Analyses were performed by standard Student’s unpaired t test.

3. Results

We first examined the ability of fecal homogenates made from the colonic fecal contents to produce H₂ and CH₄ with and without lactose addition after 1 or 24 hr of incubation; these two time points were chosen because human fecal homogenates have been shown to produce H₂ or CH₄ after 1 or 24 hr of incubation with lactose or glycoprotein, respectively [9]. As can be seen in Table 1, homogenates made from fecal material taken from the colon produced significant amounts of H₂ and CH₄, a production that was increased with time (24 hr of incubation), but not with lactose addition (Table 1). No H₂ or CH₄ was detected in flasks incubated with the sterilized media alone.

We next examined the ability of washed colonic rings to produce H₂ and CH₄ in the presence or absence of lactose at 1 and 24 hr incubation. As can be seen in Table 2, negligible amounts of H₂ were detected with or without lactose after 1 hr of incubation, although small amounts of CH₄ were detected. However, copious amounts of H₂ and CH₄ were detected after 24 hr of incubation. The addition of lactose increased H₂ and CH₄ production significantly at 24 hr (P < 0.01). Colonic preparations from germ-free rats incubated in the sterilized media did not produce H₂ or CH₄ in the absence or presence of lactate. This confirms a previous report that germ-free rats produce no methane in vivo [10]. The pH for maximum H₂ production at 24 hr appeared to be 7.0, while the pH for maximum CH₄ production was closer to 5 (Figure 1).

We next attempted to correlate the increases in H₂ and CH₄ production in the absence of lactose with actual bacterial colony counts as well as lactate and acetate concentrations, known metabolites of ruminant bacterial digestion [11]. As can be seen in Table 3, the colony counts observed in the media or on the colonic tissue increased some 10⁴-fold after 24 hr of incubation. Lactate concentrations appeared to drop dramatically after 24 hr of incubation but acetate concentrations increased.
concentrations increased 100-fold (Table 3). To confirm these observations and to determine the time course, studies were repeated with determination of lactate and acetate at 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12, and 24 hours. As can be seen in Figure 2, lactate concentrations gradually increased during the first 4 hr of incubation, but fell off rapidly after 6 hr. By contrast, acetate concentrations began to increase after 6 hrs of incubation and increased to levels 100 times those seen at one hour.

Table 1: H$_2$ and CH$_4$ production by rat fecal homogenates.

|                     | H$_2$         | CH$_4$         |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|
|                     | 1 hour 24 hours | 1 hour 24 hours |
| Without added lactose | 486 ± 220     | 17 ± 15       |
| With added lactose   | 2,001 ± 706   | 48 ± 12       |

*One gram of freshly collected feces in the colon was incubated as described in Methods. Lactose (1.25 g% final concentration) was added where indicated. H$_2$ and CH$_4$ were determined as described previously [1]. The concentration of the gases is expressed as part per million. The results are the average of three experiments, mean ± SD, with six rats in each experiment.

Table 2: H$_2$ and CH$_4$ production by rat colonic rings.

|                     | H$_2$         | CH$_4$         |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|
|                     | 1 hour 24 hours | 1 hour 24 hours |
| Without added lactose | 0             | 0.7 ± 0.3     |
| With added lactose   | 34,280 ± 8,927| 6.3 ± 0.6     |

*One gram of washed rat colonic rings was incubated as described in Methods. Lactose (1.25 g% final concentration) was added where indicated. H$_2$ and CH$_4$ were determined as described previously [1]. The concentration of the gases is expressed as part per million. The results are the average of three experiments, mean ± SD, with six rats in each experiment.

Table 3: Bacterial counts, lactate, and acetate concentrations in rat colonic ring preparations.

| Assayed system | Concentrations |
|----------------|----------------|
|                | 1 hour 24 hours | 1 hour 24 hours |
| Bacterial counts | (N = 3, mean ± SD) | (N = 3, mean ± SD) |
| Tissue         | 4.0 ± 0.4 × 10^9 | 5.0 ± 5.0 × 10^9 |
| Media          | 5.0 ± 0.5 × 10^9 | 6.0 ± 6.0 × 10^9 |
| Lactate        | 20.0 ± 1.0      | 3.0 ± 1.0      |
| Acetate        | 5.0 ± 1.0       | 693 ± 1.5      |

*Washed rat colonic rings were incubated at the times indicated, as described in Methods. Bacterial counts expressed as counts per gram of tissue or per 10 mL of media. Lactate or acetate concentrations were determined in the media, as described in Methods, and are expressed in mg/liter of media. The results are the average of three experiments, mean ± SD, with six rats in each experiment.

4. Discussion

Methanogens are microorganisms that produce methane as a metabolic byproduct in anoxic conditions. They are common in the guts of animals such as ruminants and humans, where they are responsible for the methane content of belching in ruminants and flatulence in humans [12]. Methanogens have been found to be present in the colons of rats [10]. Rodkey et al. [8] reported CH$_4$ production rates of as high as 29 mL/day with Sprague-Dawley rats. In a similar system of in vivo gas collection, we have observed both H$_2$ and CH$_4$ production in vivo by the rats in our facility (Carter, unpublished observations). The washed colonic tissue from the rats in our study produced both CH$_4$ and acetate. This may be related to acetoclastic methanogenesis (reaction 6, Table 2 [13]) based on the increase in the methane production by 400% under the same conditions.

It is generally felt that obesity disorders are the result, in part, of the gut microbiota which contributes to the energy imbalance because of its involvement in energy intake, conversion, and storage. Culture-independent methods have showed that high proportions of methanogens can comprise up to 10% of all anaerobes in the colons of healthy adults [14]. The development of Methanobrevibacter smithii in anorexic nervosa patients may be associated with an adaptive attempt towards optimal exploitation of the low caloric diet of anorexic patients [14]. Hence, an increase in M. smithii leads to the optimization of food transformation in low caloric diets. M. smithii could also be related to constipation, a common condition for anorexic patients [14].
It has been proposed that the role of Methanobrevibacter smithii in weight gain in animals is related to the ability of the M. smithii to scavenge hydrogen produced by syntrophic organisms for its hydrogen-requiring anaerobic metabolism, producing methane as a byproduct [7]. These authors proposed that the scavenging of hydrogen allows the syntrophic organisms to be more productive, increasing short chain fatty acids (SCFA) production and availability of calories for the host [7]. In their study [7], the presence of hydrogen and methane on breath test, but not either methane or hydrogen alone, was associated with higher BMI and percent body fat. The authors postulated that, in the subjects that had an abundance of hydrogen to fuel methane production, the intestinal methanogens could also contribute to enhanced energy harvest. These authors previously noted an association between breath methane and constipation in human subjects and, using an in vivo animal model, demonstrated that methane gas directly slows transit in the gut by 59% [7]. The authors hypothesized that the slowing of transit could result in greater time to harvest nutrients and absorption of calories, representing another potential mechanism for weight gain [7].

In summary, the present report details our initial studies to develop an in vitro model for monitoring H2 and CH4 production that will allow closer examination of the association of the evolution of these gases with changes in the tissue microbiota involved, particularly the methanogens, adherent to colonic tissue. Such a model may prove useful in the elucidation of the molecular mechanism(s) involved in the elaboration of these gases and the role of these microorganisms in the development of obesity.

**Acknowledgments**

This work is supported by a NIH Grant in Mucosal Immunity, the Medical Research Council of Canada, and Telethon Funds from the McGill University, Montreal Children’s Hospital Research Institute. Dr. Barr is a William T. Grant Faculty Scholar.

**References**

[1] M. D. Levitt and F. J. Ingelfinger, “Hydrogen and methane production in man,” *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, vol. 150, no. 1, pp. 75–81, 1968.
[2] D. H. Calloway and E. L. Murphy, “The use of expired air to measure intestinal gas formation,” *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, vol. 150, no. 1, pp. 82–95, 1968.
[3] T. C. Wallace, F. Guarner, K. Madsen et al., “Human gut microbiota and its relationship to health and disease,” *Nutrition Reviews*, vol. 69, no. 7, pp. 392–403, 2011.
[4] A. Gasbarrini, G. R. Corazza, M. Montalto, and G. Gasbarrini, “Methodology and indications of H2-breath test in gastrointestinal diseases: final statements from the 1st Rome consensus conference,” *Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, vol. 29, supplement 1, pp. 1–49, 2009.
[5] B. P. J. d. L. Costello, M. Ledochowshi, and N. M. Ratcliffe, “The importance of methane breath testing: a review,” *Journal of Breath Research*, vol. 7, Article ID 023001, pp. 1–8, 2013.
[6] Cedars Sinai Medical Center, “Cedars Sinai Researchers find link between methane production and obesity,” 2010, http://www.cedars-sinai.edu/About-Us/News/News-Releases-2010/Cedars-Sinai-Researchers-Find-Link-Between-Methane-Production-and-Obesity.aspx.
[7] R. Marthur, M. Amichai, K. S. Chua, J. Mirocha, G. M. Barlow, and M. Pimentel, “Methane and hydrogen positivity on breath test is associated with greater body mass index and body fat,” *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, vol. 98, pp. E698–E702, 2013.
[8] F. L. Rodkey, H. A. Collison, and J. D. O’Neal, “Carbon monoxide and methane production in rats, guinea pigs, and germ-free rats,” *Journal of Applied Physiology*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 256–260, 1972.
[9] L. F. McKay, W. G. Brydon, M. A. Eastwood, and J. H. Smith, “The influence of pentose on breath methane,” *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, vol. 34, no. 12, pp. 2728–2733, 1981.
[10] A. E. Maczulak, M. J. Wolin, and T. L. Miller, “Increase in colonic methanogens and total anaerobes in aging rats,” *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 55, no. 10, pp. 2468–2473, 1989.
[11] A. Haines, J. Dilawari, and G. Metz, “Breath methane in patients with cancer of the large bowel,” *Lancet*, vol. 2, no. 8036, pp. 481–483, 1977.
[12] J. W. Lengeler, *Biology of the Prokaryotes*, Thieme, Stuttgart, Germany, 1999.
[13] S. A. Dar, R. Kleerebezem, A. J. M. Stams, J. G. Kuenen, and G. Muyzer, “Competition and coexistence of sulfate-reducing bacteria, acetogens and methanogens in a lab-scale anaerobic bioreactor as affected by changing substrate to sulfate ratio,” *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, vol. 78, no. 6, pp. 1045–1055, 2008.

[14] F. Armougom, M. Henry, B. Vialettes, D. Raccah, and D. Raoult, “Monitoring bacterial community of human gut microbiota reveals an increase in Lactobacillus in obese patients and Methanogens in anorexic patients,” *PLoS One*, vol. 4, no. 9, Article ID e7125, 2009.