Posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome presenting as acute blindness
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) is the most common histologic subtype of non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) accounting for approximately 25–30% of NHL cases. The treatment is based upon stage and extent of disease. In most cases, NHL is treated with systemic chemotherapy and sometimes also radiation. As it is well known, there are numerous side effects to chemotherapy that includes rituximab. We are here to present one rare side effect of treatment called posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome (PRES). Case Report: This is a 67-year-old female patient with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma with extensive neoplastic involvement of the lower thorax, abdomen, pelvis, and bilateral hydronephrosis with diffuse tumor infiltration of the left renal hilum. She was started on aggressive chemotherapy with dose adjusted etoposide, prednisone, vincristine, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, rituximab (DA-EPOCH-R). She was also being treated with intrathecal chemotherapy with methotrexate (MTX). She presented to the emergency room with generalized weakness, confusion, and fevers. She started complaining of loss of vision in the emergency room. A quick ophthalmic examination showed that her pupils were round, regular, and reactive to light with no gross deficits. Computed tomography (CT) of the head demonstrated bilateral, symmetrical vasogenic edema in the right and left posterior frontoparietal and occipital cortices and cerebellar hemispheres. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brain showed vasogenic edema in the cerebellum and parieto-occipital lobes with no restricted diffusion consistent with PRES. Conclusion: Posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome can result from various etiologies of which hypertensive encephalopathy, thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura, and eclampsia are common. This case serves to raise awareness among hospitalists that PRES should be a differential diagnosis in the setting of patients receiving certain chemotherapeutic agents presenting with the aforementioned clinical symptoms.
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INTRODUCTION

Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) is the most common histologic subtype of non-Hodgkin lymphoma...
(NHL) accounting for approximately 25–30% of NHL cases. The treatment is based upon stage and extent of disease. In most cases, NHL is treated with systemic chemotherapy and sometimes also radiation. As it is well known, there are numerous side effects to chemotherapy that includes rituximab. We are here to present one rare side effect of treatment called posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome (PRES).

**CASE REPORT**

This is a 67-year-old female patient with DLBCL with extensive neoplastic involvement of the lower thorax, abdomen, pelvis, and bilateral hydronephrosis with diffuse tumor infiltration of the left renal hilum. She was started on aggressive chemotherapy with DA-EPOCH-R. She was also being treated with intraheatal chemotherapy with methotrexate (MTX). She was recently discharged from the hospital three days ago after completion of her first cycle of chemotherapy. She presented to the emergency room with generalized weakness, confusion and fevers. She had a maximum temperature of 103.1 °F. She denied any nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, chest pain, or shortness of breath. She started complaining of loss of vision in the emergency room. A quick ophthalmic examination showed that her pupils were round, regular, and reactive to light with no gross deficits. Suddenly, she suffered a grand mal seizure and was unresponsive following the seizure. She was immediately intubated for airway protection. She was noted to have severe hypertension and was started on propofol. Computed tomography (CT) of the head demonstrated bilateral, symmetrical vasogenic edema in the right and left posterior frontoparietal and occipital cortex and cerebellar hemispheres (Figure 1). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brain showed vasogenic edema in the cerebellum and parieto-occipital lobes with no restricted diffusion consistent with PRES (Figure 2). Electroencephalogram (EEG) performed under propofol sedation, showed diffuse delta 2–3.5 Hz waves with theta activity of 4–5 Hz with a poor degree of reactivity. There was no ictal evolution or epileptiform discharges (Figure 3). Photic stimulation produced no additional response. She was started on levetiracetam 1500 mg BID and lacosamide 100 mg BID. She was monitored with routine EEGs that had occasional generalized periodic discharge (GPD). It was felt the PRES was secondary to DA-EPOCH-R with hypertension. She was monitored in the hospital for 13 days and post-discharge had significant clinical improvement. She is ambulating and performing most of her activities of daily living with some assistance from her family. She does report poor short-term memory. She remains on levetiracetam 1500 mg BID and lacosamide 100 mg BID with no seizure or seizure like activity. Her family reports her blood pressures have been under relatively good control.
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**Figure 1:** Contrast-enhanced axial CT images obtained through the level of the cerebellum (A), occipital cortex (B), parietal cortex (C), and frontal cortex (D). The most common brain imaging finding seen with PRES is vasogenic edema symmetrically involving the white mater of the posterior cortex with the occipital and parietal lobes most frequently affected. Vasogenic edema (red arrows) is seen as decreased attenuation (dark) on the CT images. No abnormal enhancement is present.
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**Figure 2:** Corresponding axial fluid attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) MRI images obtained through the level of the cerebellum (A), occipital cortex (B), parietal cortex (C), and frontal cortex (D). The vasogenic edema is much better and more easily visualized than on CT. The vasogenic edema (red arrows) seen as increased signal (white) on the MRI images.
DISCUSSION

Posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome was first mentioned in 1996 as a clinical syndrome consisting of headache, confusion, visual changes, seizures, and associate neuroimaging findings of posterior cerebral white matter edema [1–4]. There are numerous causes of PRES of which hypertensive encephalopathy, thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura, and eclampsia are common (Table 1). It has also been noted in electrolyte imbalance and sepsis in rare occasions. Common chemotherapeutic medications that have contributed to similar findings are vincristine, rituximab, gemcitabine, etc. [5]. The pathogenesis appears to be related to cerebral autoregulation and endothelial dysfunction [6]. The failure of normal autoregulatory mechanisms in the maintenance of cerebral arteriolar pressure causes sudden hyperperfusion and extravasation of fluid leading to edema in the white matter of the brain [7].

CONCLUSION

Posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome can result from various etiologies of which hypertensive encephalopathy, thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura, and eclampsia are common. In rare occasions, it also occurs in electrolyte imbalance and sepsis. Common chemotherapeutic agents such as vincristine, rituximab, and gemcitabine can cause PRES. This case serves to raise awareness among hospitalists that PRES should be a differential diagnosis in the setting of patients receiving certain chemotherapeutic agents presenting with the aforementioned clinical symptoms.
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