Abstract

Background. Earlier we showed that profile patients of Truskavets’ spa are characterized by a wide range of blood pressure (BP) - from low norm to arterial hypertension III that correspond to the hemodynamics parameters. The purpose of this study is to clarify the autonomic and endocrine accompaniments of quantitative-qualitative BP clusters in the same contingent. Materials and methods. Under an observations were 44 patients with chronic pyelonephritis and cholecystitis in the phase of remission. Testing was performed twice - on admission and after 7-10 days of standard balneotherapy. The main object of the study was BP (tonometer “Omron M4-I”, Netherlands). The parameters of HRV ("CardioLab+HRV", Ukraine), plasma levels of Cortisol, Aldosterone, Testosterone, Triiodothyronine and Calcitonin (ELISA) as well as Ca-P marker of parathyroid hormone were determined. Results. In order to identify among the registered parameters, those for which the BP clusters differ from each other, a discriminant analysis was performed. The program forward stepwise included in the discriminant model 29 parameters. The most informative among them are HRV-markers of sympathetic tone and sympathetic-vagal balance as well as testosterone and cortisol, whose levels are maximal in patients with hypertension II, while minimal in patients with low norm BP, on the one hand, and markers of vagal tone and Kerdoe vegetative index, the levels of which are polar, on the other hand. The accuracy of patient classification is 98,9%. Conclusion. Autonomic and endocrine accompaniments of quantitative-qualitative
blood pressure clusters corresponding to the existing ideas about the regulation of blood pressure.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Earlier we showed that profile patients of Truskavets’ spa are characterized by a wide range of blood pressure - from low norm to arterial hypertension III [4,15] that correspond to the hemodynamics parameters [14]. Research has shown that the heart communicates to the brain in four major ways: neurologically (through the transmission of nerve impulses), biochemically (via hormones and neurotransmitters), biophysically (through pressure waves) and energetically (through electromagnetic field interactions) [5,28].

The **purpose** of this study is to clarify the autonomic and endocrine accompaniments of quantitative-qualitative blood pressure clusters in the same contingent. Such research remains relevant, as previous research in this area is fragmentary and unsystematic [12,13,16,18,20-24].

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Under an observations were 34 males and 10 females by age 24-76 years with chronic pyelonephritis and cholecystitis in the phase of remission. Testing was performed twice - on admission and after 7-10 days of standard balneotherapy (drinking of bioactive water Naftussya, applications of ozokerite, mineral pools).

The main object of the study was blood pressure (BP). Systolic (Ps) and diastolic (Pd) BP was measured (by tonometer “Omron M4-I”, Netherlands) in a sitting position three times in a row. Then we recorded electrocardiogram in II lead to assess the parameters of HRV [1,2,10] (software and hardware complex "CardioLab+HRV" produced by "KhAI-MEDICA", Kharkiv, Ukraine). For further analysis the following parameters heart rate variability (HRV) were selected. Temporal parameters (Time Domain Methods): heart rate (HR), mode (Mo), the standart deviation of all NN intervals (SDNN), the square root of the mean of the sum of the squares of differences between adjacent NN intervals (RMSSD), the percent of interval differences of successive NN intervals greater than 50 msec (pNN_{50}); triangular index (TNN). Spectral parameters (Frequency Domain Methods): power spectral density (PSD) bands of HRV - high-frequency (HF, range 0,4÷0,15 Hz), low-frequency (LF, range 0,15÷0,04 Hz), very low-frequency (VLF, range 0,04÷0,015 Hz) and ultralow-frequency (ULF, range 0,015÷0,003 Hz). We calculated the Entropy of HRV band [17] and classical indexes LF/HF and LFnu=100%•LF/(LF+HF) as well as (VLF+LF)/HF as Centralization Index. Baevskiy’s parameters: the amplitude of mode (AMo) and variational sweep (MxDMn) as well as author’s indexes: Baevskiy’s Stress Index (BSI=AMo/2•Mo•MxDMn) and Baevskiy’s Activity Regulatory Systems Index (BARS1) [1].

In addition, the autonomic reactivity (AR) was assessed by the ratio of Stress Indices in supine and orthostatic positions [1]: AR=BSI_{orth}/BSI_{sup}. 
Among hormones determined Cortisol, Aldosterone, Testosterone, Triiodothyronine and Calcitonin (by the ELISA with the use of analyzers “Tecan” and “RT-2100C” and corresponding sets of reagents from “Алкор Био”, XEMA Co, Ltd and DRG International Inc).

The level of parathyroid hormone was judged by a coefficient (Cap•Pu/Pp•Cau)^0.25, based on the direction of its effect on the level of calcium and phosphates in plasma and urine [9].

Finally, the battery of tests included the good old breath-holding Stange’s and Gench’s tests, which are traditionally considered markers of the state of the cardio-respiratory system [3,19] as well as Kerdö’s Vegetative Index [6] and (Cap/Kp)^0.5 ratio [7] as markers of Sympathetic/Vagal balance.

For statistical analysis used the software package "Statistica 64".

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In order to identify among the registered parameters, those for which the blood pressure clusters differ from each other, a discriminant analysis was performed [11]. The program forward stepwise included in the discriminant model 29 parameters (Tables 1 and 2).

**Table 1. Discriminant Function Analysis Summary for Neuro-Endocrine Variables, their actual levels for Clusters of Blood Pressure as well as Reference levels and Coefficients of Variability**

Step 29, N of vars in model: 29; Grouping: 5 grs; Wilks' Λ: 0.0043; approx. F(116)=5.6; p<10^-6

| VARIABLES CURRENTLY IN THE MODEL | Clusters of Blood Pressure (n) | Parameters of Wilk's Statistics | Reference (88) | Cv |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|----|
| Blood Pressure Systolic, mmHg    |                                | Wilks’ Λ: 0.0043                | 0.003          | 0.076 |
| Sex Index (M=1; F=2)             |                                | Partial Λ: 0.72                | 0.580          | 0.036 |
| LF band HRV, %                  |                                | F-re-move (4.55): 0.36         | 0.298          | 0.688 |
| Blood Pressure Systolic 2, mmHg |                                |                                 |                |     |
| Ps3/Ps1 ratio•1000               |                                |                                 |                |     |
| (Cap/Kp)^0.5 as Symp/Vag balance |                                |                                 |                |     |
| ULF band HRV, %                 |                                |                                 |                |     |
| Ps3/Ps1 ratio•1000               |                                |                                 |                |     |
| AMo/MxDMn as Symp/Vag balance   |                                |                                 |                |     |
| Kerdö’s Vegetative Index, units |                                |                                 |                |     |
| Pd2/Pd1 ratio•1000               |                                |                                 |                |     |
| Ps2/Ps1 ratio•1000               |                                |                                 |                |     |
| Testosterone stand by Sex&Age, Z|                                |                                 |                |     |
| Cortisol Plasma,                 |                                |                                 |                |     |
| Variables Currently Not IN THE MODEL | Clusters of Blood Pressure (n) | Parameters of Wilk’s Statistics |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                                    | AH II (11) | AH I (35) | High N (13) | No m (16) | Low N (13) | Wilks’ Λ | Partial Λ | F to-enter | p-level | Tolerance | Reference (88) |
| Parathyroid Activity, units        | 1.80 ± 0.09 | 1.80 ± 0.05 | 1.80 ± 0.11 | 1.77 ± 0.07 | 1.90 ± 0.07 | 0.004 | 0.945 | 0.78 | 0.544 | 0.612 | 1.82 ± 0.04 | 0.230 |
| Aldosterone Plasma, pm/L           | 226 ± 5     | 229 ± 4    | 232 ± 11    | 227 ± 6    | 221 ± 5    | 0.004 | 0.972 | 0.38 | 0.820 | 0.417 | 238 ± 5    | 0.187 |
| Triiodothyronine Plasma, nM/L       | 1.90 ± 0.16 | 1.95 ± 0.11 | 1.72 ± 0.24 | 2.17 ± 0.31 | 2.24 ± 0.20 | 0.004 | 0.941 | 0.85 | 0.502 | 0.336 | 2.20 ± 0.05 | 0.227 |
| Blood Pressure Diastolic, mmHg     | 90.7 ± 4.5  | 87.6 ± 1.2  | 81.3 ± 1.5  | 77.8 ± 1.5  | 71.5 ± 1.5  | 0.004 | 0.957 | 0.61 | 0.655 | 0.284 | 79 ± 0.5    | 0.054 |
| Blood Pressure Diastolic 2, mmHg   | 89.9 ± 3.8  | 88.7 ± 1.4  | 81.4 ± 2.0  | 75.5 ± 2.0  | 70.0 ± 0.8  | 0.004 | 0.971 | 0.40 | 0.806 | 0.275 |            |        |
| Blood Pressure Diastolic 3, mmHg   | 90.2 ± 4.2  | 89.6 ± 1.4  | 82.5 ± 2.2  | 77.5 ± 1.9  | 69.4 ± 1.5  | 0.004 | 0.955 | 0.63 | 0.643 | 0.258 |            |        |
| MxDMn HRV, msec                    | 182 ± 16    | 212 ± 13   | 215 ± 15    | 205 ± 21   | 264 ± 16   | 0.004 | 0.960 | 0.56 | 0.691 | 0.083 | 244 ± 8    | 0.293 |
| RMSSD HRV, msec                    | 19.5 ± 3.2  | 30.2 ± 3.0  | 26.2 ± 4.1  | 26.4 ± 5.4 | 37.5 ± 3.9 | 0.004 | 0.987 | 0.18 | 0.949 | 0.047 | 28.8 ± 1.5 | 0.486 |
| pNN50 HRV, %                       | 2.8 ± 1.1   | 10.9 ± 2.1  | 6.5 ± 2.6   | 8.4 ± 3.9  | 14.9 ± 3.8 | 0.004 | 0.968 | 0.44 | 0.776 | 0.070 | 9.0 ± 0.8   | 0.820 |
| ULF band HRV, %                    | 81 ± 78     | 78 ± 150    | 83 ± 98     | 0.004 | 0.940 | 0.87 | 0.489 | 0.100 | 122 | 0.892 |        |        |

nM/L: nanomoles per liter; msec: milliseconds; %: percentage.
The first root contains 92.6% of discriminative opportunities, the second 3.1%, the third 2.7%, the last 1.6%.

Next, the 29-dimensional space of discriminant variables transforms into 4-dimensional space of a canonical roots. For Root 1 $r^*=0.986$ (Wilks' $\Lambda=0.0043; \chi^2(116)=382; p<10^{-4}$), for Root 2 $r^*=0.727$ (Wilks' $\Lambda=0.149; \chi^2(88)=133; p=0.0005$), for Root 3 $r^*=0.708$ (Wilks' $\Lambda=0.315; \chi^2(54)=81; p=0.010$) and for Root 4 $r^*=0.607$ (Wilks' $\Lambda=0.632; \chi^2(28)=32; p=0.188$). The first root contains 92.6% of discriminative opportunities, the second 3.1%, the third 2.7%, the last 1.6%.

| Variables currently in the model                                                                 | F to enter | p-level | $\Lambda$ | F-value | p-value |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|
| Blood Pressure Systolic, mmHg                                                                  | 298        | 0.065   | 0.97      | 298     | 10^{-4} |
| Sex Index (M=1; F=2)                                                                           | 4.95       | 0.001   | 0.52      | 69.0    | 10^{-4} |
| LF band HRV, %                                                                                 | 2.99       | 0.024   | 0.46      | 39.5    | 10^{-4} |
| Blood Pressure Systolic 2, mmHg                                                                | 2.89       | 0.027   | 0.040     | 28.6    | 10^{-4} |
| Ps/Pd1 ratio                                                                                   | 2.76       | 0.033   | 0.035     | 23.0    | 10^{-4} |
| (Cap/Kp)$^{-1}$ as Sympathetic/Vagal balance                                                   | 2.33       | 0.063   | 0.031     | 19.4    | 10^{-4} |
| ULF band HRV, %                                                                                | 1.56       | 0.194   | 0.029     | 16.6    | 10^{-4} |
| Ps3/Ps1 ratio                                                                                  | 1.40       | 0.243   | 0.027     | 14.7    | 10^{-4} |
| AMo/MxDMn as Sympathetic/Vagal balance                                                         | 1.67       | 0.165   | 0.025     | 13.2    | 10^{-4} |
| 100(1-Pd/HR) as Kerdö Vegetative Index                                                          | 2.22       | 0.075   | 0.022     | 12.2    | 10^{-4} |
| Ps2/Pd1 ratio                                                                                  | 1.41       | 0.239   | 0.021     | 11.3    | 10^{-4} |
| Testosterone standardized by Sex&Age, Z                                                         | 1.34       | 0.265   | 0.017     | 9.83    | 10^{-4} |
| Cortisol Plasma, nM/L                                                                          | 1.87       | 0.126   | 0.016     | 9.35    | 10^{-4} |
| Blood Pressure Systolic 3, mmHg                                                                | 1.22       | 0.312   | 0.015     | 8.81    | 10^{-4} |
| Calcitonin standardized by Sex&Age, Z                                                           | 1.41       | 0.239   | 0.014     | 8.38    | 10^{-4} |
| Mode HRV, msec                                                                                | 1.49       | 0.216   | 0.012     | 8.02    | 10^{-4} |
| (VLF+LF)/HF as Centralization Index                                                             | 2.25       | 0.073   | 0.011     | 7.82    | 10^{-4} |
| LFnu HRV, %                                                                                    | 1.11       | 0.357   | 0.010     | 7.47    | 10^{-4} |
| HF band HRV, msec                                                                              | 1.32       | 0.272   | 0.009     | 7.19    | 10^{-4} |
| Baevskiy's Activity Regulatory Systems Index                                                    | 1.72       | 0.157   | 0.009     | 7.00    | 10^{-4} |
| Triangular Index HRV, units                                                                    | 1.01       | 0.408   | 0.008     | 6.72    | 10^{-4} |
| VLF band HRV, msec                                                                             | 1.29       | 0.285   | 0.007     | 6.51    | 10^{-4} |
| SDNN HRV, msec                                                                                 | 1.62       | 0.181   | 0.007     | 6.36    | 10^{-4} |
| Total Power HRV, msec                                                                           | 1.57       | 0.194   | 0.006     | 6.21    | 10^{-4} |
| AMo HRV, %                                                                                    | 1.32       | 0.274   | 0.006     | 6.05    | 10^{-4} |
| Grench’s Expiration Test, sec                                                                   | 1.03       | 0.400   | 0.005     | 5.87    | 10^{-4} |
| Baevskiy’s Stress Index HRV, units                                                              | 1.07       | 0.378   | 0.005     | 5.70    | 10^{-4} |
| LF band HRV, msec                                                                              | 1.77       | 0.147   | 0.004     | 5.63    | 10^{-4} |
Table 3 presents raw and standardized coefficients for discriminant variables. The calculation of the discriminant root values for each person as the sum of the products of raw coefficients to the individual values of discriminant variables together with the constant enables the visualization of each patient in the information space of the roots (Figs. 1 and 2).

**Table 3. Standardized and Raw Coefficients and Constants for Blood Pressure and Neuro-Endocrine Variables**

| Variables currently in the model | Coefficients | Standardized | Raw |
|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----|
|                                   | Root 1       | Root 2       | Root 3 |
| Blood Pressure Systolic, mmHg     | -0,555       | 1,365        | -0,034 |
| Sex Index (M=1; F=2)             | -0,108       | -0,727       | -0,114 |
| LF band HRV, %                   | 0,512        | -0,082       | 1,208 |
| Blood Pressure Systolic 2, mmHg  | -5,961       | 1,410        | -3,733 |
| Pd3/Pd1 ratio                    | -0,363       | 0,925        | -0,685 |
| (Cap/Kp)² as Sympathetic/Vagal balance | -0,311      | -0,120       | 0,662 |
| ULF band HRV, %                  | 0,622        | 0,224        | 0,142 |
| Ps3/Ps1 ratio                    | -3,393       | 5,410        | -4,221 |
| AMo/MDMn as Sympathetic/Vagal balance | 3,321      | 2,496        | 2,107 |
| 100(Pd/HR) as Kerdô Vegetative Index | 0,008       | -0,801       | -0,416 |
| Pd2/Pd1 ratio                    | -0,627       | -0,162       | -0,001 |
| Ps2/Ps1 ratio                    | 5,328        | -1,646       | 3,268 |
| Testosterone standardized by Sex&Age, Z | -0,208 | 0,017 | -0,296 |
| Cortisol Plasma, nM/L            | -0,180       | -0,260       | -0,238 |
| Blood Pressure Systolic 3, mmHg  | 3,791        | -5,203       | 3,665 |
| Calcitonin standardized by Sex&Age, Z | 0,267      | -0,336       | 0,407 |
| Mode HRV, msec                    | -0,224       | -1,132       | -0,017 |
| (VLF+LF)/HF as Centralization Index | 0,171       | -0,410       | -0,154 |
| LFnu HRV, %                      | -0,176       | 0,567        | 0,435 |
| HF band HRV, msec²               | 1,811        | 1,106        | 2,875 |
| Baevsiky’s Activity Regulatory Systems Index | 0,675      | -0,215       | 0,180 |
| Triangular Index HRV, units      | 1,320        | -0,173       | -0,384 |
| VLF band HRV, msec²              | 2,588        | 1,097        | 4,454 |
| SDNN HRV, msec                   | 1,840        | -2,904       | 2,854 |
| Total Power HRV, msec²           | -8,527       | 0,285        | -10,22 |
| AMo HRV, %                       | 0,684        | -1,610       | 0,879 |
| Gench’s Expiration Test, sec     | -0,274       | -0,244       | 0,115 |
| Baevsiky’s Stress Index HRV, units | -3,522      | -1,841       | -1,699 |
| LF band HRV, msec²               | 2,342        | 0,166        | 2,487 |
| Constants                        |              |              |       |
| Eigenvalues                      | 34,03        | 1,12         | 1,00  |
| Cumulative proportions           | 0,926        | 0,957        | 0,984 |

Table 4 shows the correlation coefficients of blood pressure and neuro-endocrine parameters (discriminant variables) with canonical discriminant roots; the cluster centroids of both roots; and $Z$-scores of the discriminant variables, as well as not included in the discriminant model. The reason for the last step is our experience that not getting a variable into the model does not always indicate a lack of recognition ability, but may be a consequence of redundancy/duplication of information [17].
Table 4. Correlations Variables-Canonical Roots, Means of Roots and Z-scores of Blood Pressure and Neuro-Endocrine Variables

| Variables currently in the model | Correlations Variables-Roots | AH II (11) | AH I (35) | High N (13) | Norm (16) | Low N (13) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| Root 1 (92.6%)                  | R 1 | R 2 | R 3 | -10.4 | -2.5 | +0.8 | +4.8 | +8.9 |
| Blood Pressure Systolic, Z      | -0.648 | -0.106 | 0.200 | +5.12 | +2.48 | +1.00 | 0.00 | -1.43 |
| Blood Pressure Systolic 3, Z    | -0.242 | 0.018 | -0.054 | +4.08 | +1.42 | +0.76 | -0.48 | -2.00 |
| Blood Pressure Systolic 2, Z    | -0.233 | -0.100 | -0.075 | +4.66 | +1.23 | +0.81 | 0.00 | -1.79 |
| AMo HRV, Z                      | -0.041 | -0.032 | 0.089 | +1.26 | +0.47 | +0.21 | +0.67 | -0.18 |
| (VLF+LF)/HF as Centralization   | -0.033 | 0.015 | -0.068 | +2.74 | +0.93 | +2.02 | +2.05 | +0.04 |
| Baevsky’s Stress Index HRV, Z   | -0.032 | -0.013 | 0.151 | +1.51 | +0.75 | -0.25 | +1.26 | -0.62 |
| Testosterone standardized, Z    | -0.032 | -0.017 | -0.065 | +0.95 | +0.46 | +0.46 | -0.11 | +0.02 |
| AMo/MxDNm as Symp/Vag bal       | -0.031 | -0.006 | 0.146 | +4.36 | +2.28 | +0.99 | +3.61 | -0.11 |
| Cortisol Plasma, nM/L           | -0.015 | -0.042 | -0.159 | +0.89 | +0.04 | +0.68 | +0.15 | +0.19 |
| Pd3/Pd1 ratio                   | -0.035 | 0.249 | 0.069 | 0.997 | 1.023 | 1.014 | 0.996 | 0.971 |
| (Cap/Kp)2% as Sym/Vag balance   | -0.022 | 0.279 | 0.132 | -0.15 | +0.24 | +0.14 | +0.14 | -0.48 |
| Blood Pressure Diastolic, Z     | currently not in the model | +2.75 | +2.01 | +0.54 | -0.30 | -1.75 |
| Blood Pressure Diastolic 2, Z   | currently not in the model | +2.56 | +2.26 | +0.55 | -0.83 | -2.21 |
| Blood Pressure Diastolic 3, Z   | currently not in the model | +2.58 | +2.48 | +0.81 | -0.36 | -2.25 |
| 100+(1-Pd/HR) as Kerdoo’s VI, Z | 0.087 | -0.089 | -0.123 | -0.42 | -0.17 | +0.34 | +0.41 | +1.08 |
| Triangular Index HRV, Z         | 0.051 | -0.046 | -0.033 | -1.13 | -0.04 | -0.15 | -0.40 | +1.03 |
| SDNN HRV, Z                     | 0.037 | -0.053 | 0.011 | -0.50 | -0.26 | -0.35 | -0.43 | -0.02 |
| Total Power HRV, Z              | 0.032 | -0.021 | 0.026 | -0.40 | +0.35 | +0.18 | -0.07 | +0.89 |
| VLF band HRV PS, Z              | 0.030 | -0.033 | 0.005 | -0.47 | -0.07 | -0.12 | -0.32 | +0.30 |
| HF band HRV PS, Z               | 0.029 | -0.012 | -0.030 | -0.16 | +0.44 | +0.54 | -0.16 | +0.87 |
| LF band HRV PS, Z               | 0.024 | -0.010 | 0.120 | +0.27 | +1.39 | +0.34 | +0.75 | +1.19 |
| Entropy HRV, units              | currently not in the model | -1.28 | -0.94 | -0.75 | -1.07 | -0.81 |
| pNNn0 HRV, Z                    | currently not in the model | -0.75 | +0.20 | -0.24 | -0.06 | +0.76 |
| Root 2 (3.1%)                   | R 1 | R 2 | R 3 | -1.59 | +0.43 | +1.37 | +0.32 | -1.58 |
| Sex Index (M=1: F=2)            | 0.036 | -0.450 | 0.006 | 1.36 | 1.11 | 1.00 | 1.25 | 1.62 |
| Calcitonin standardized, Z      | 0.034 | -0.169 | 0.055 | -0.76 | -0.80 | -0.94 | -0.52 | -0.23 |
| Mode HRV, Z                     | -0.007 | -0.021 | 0.019 | -0.16 | -0.11 | -0.37 | -0.34 | -0.21 |
| Triiodothyronine Plasma, Z      | currently not in the model | -0.60 | -0.50 | -0.95 | -0.06 | +0.09 |
| ULF band HRV PS relative, Z     | 0.004 | 0.076 | -0.130 | -0.46 | -0.53 | +0.12 | -0.15 | -0.41 |
| Ps3/Ps1 ratio                   | 0.018 | 0.070 | -0.150 | 0.944 | 0.934 | 0.984 | 0.964 | 0.953 |
| ULF band HRV PS, Z              | currently not in the model | -0.38 | -0.40 | +0.25 | -0.36 | -0.22 |
| Aldosterone Plasma, Z           | currently not in the model | -0.28 | -0.20 | -0.14 | -0.24 | -0.37 |
| Root 3 (2.7%)                   | R 1 | R 2 | R 3 | -0.51 | +0.61 | -1.92 | +1.01 | -0.53 |
| LF/TP ratio HRV, Z              | 0.010 | 0.031 | 0.297 | +0.87 | -0.88 | +0.08 | +1.73 | +0.24 |
| LFnu HRV, Z                     | -0.028 | 0.126 | 0.197 | +0.68 | +0.51 | +0.34 | +1.28 | -0.34 |
| Baevsky’s ARS Index HRV, un     | -0.000 | 0.032 | 0.179 | 2.64 | 3.27 | 2.25 | 3.43 | 2.62 |
| Ps2/Ps1 ratio                   | 0.027 | -0.047 | 0.150 | 0.975 | 0.922 | 0.988 | 1.000 | 0.971 |
| LF/HF ratio HRV, Z              | currently not in the model | +0.99 | +0.44 | +0.88 | +1.97 | -0.22 |
| Gench’s test, Z                 | -0.003 | -0.063 | -0.061 | +0.27 | +0.05 | +0.13 | +0.04 | +0.18 |
| Pd2/Pd1 ratio                   | -0.032 | 0.089 | -0.010 | 1.000 | 1.013 | 1.001 | 0.970 | 0.976 |
| Slo/SIs as Autonomic Reactivity | currently not in the model | 2.45 | 2.60 | 2.67 | 1.95 | 3.42 |
| VLF/TP ratio HRV, Z             | currently not in the model | -0.18 | -0.37 | -0.01 | -0.58 | -0.48 |
| HF/TP ratio HRV, Z              | currently not in the model | -0.10 | 0.00 | -0.19 | -0.39 | +0.36 |
| Parathyroid Activity, Z         | currently not in the model | -0.05 | -0.05 | -0.06 | -0.12 | +0.19 |

471
The localization of the patients with AH II along the first root axis (Fig. 1) in the extreme left (negative) zone reflects combination of significantly elevated BP with maximum for sampling levels of HRV-markers of sympathetic tone and sympathetic/vagal balance as well as testosterone and cortisol plasma levels while minimum for sampling levels of HRV-markers of vagal tone as well as the entropy of HRV bands.

The extreme right zone of the axis of the first root is occupied by patients with low norm blood pressure. This reflects lower than normal or minimum for sampling levels of parameters that correlate with the root negatively and higher than normal or maximum for sampling levels of positively related parameters.

Contrary to expectations, among the constellation of vagal markers was Kerdoe’s vegetative index, the negative level of which is considered to reflect the vagal shift of the vegetative balance. In a special study, we showed that Kerdoe’s vegetative index correlates with a number of EEGs parameters, but not HRVs [6]. However, we confirmed the relevance of the (Cap/Kp)$^{0.5}$ ratio as a marker of sympathetic/vagal balance [7].

Intermediate positions of members of other blood pressure clusters usually reflect intermediate levels of their autonomic and endocrine parameters.

Additional differentiation of patients with High Norm BP occurs along the axis of the second root. The upper position of the cluster members reflects their maximum reduced levels of calcitonin, triiodothyronine and Mode HRV (indicating the maximum sampling level of circulating catecholamines and other positive chronotropic factors [1,9]) in combination with the maximum sampling levels of aldosterone and ULF band HRV spectral power. Interestingly, the gender composition of the cluster is represented exclusively by men (Sex index = 1).

Along the axis of the third root (Fig. 2), the top position is occupied by patients with the optimal level of BP, which is accompanied by the highest (compensatory?) normalized (relative) parameters of LF band HRV and Baevsky’s Activity Regulatory Systems index in combination with the minimum for sampling relative parameters of VLF and HF bands HRV as well as Autonomic Reactivity, Gench’s test and parathyroid activity.

![Scattering of individual values of the first and second discriminant autonomic-endocrine roots of patients of different blood pressure clusters](image_url)
In our opinion, the patterns of BP parameters recorded three times in a row deserve special attention. Returning to the table, we see that the level of Pd3/Pd1 ratio in patients with AH I is greater than 1 and the maximum for the sample. This is combined with an elevated level (+0.50 Z) of general peripheral resistance of vessels (GPRV) [see: 14]. In contrast, patients with Low Norm BP have a Pd3/Pd1 ratio less than 1 and are associated with low GPRV (-0.54 Z). Patients in the other three clusters are characterized by almost identical close to 1 Pd3/Pd1 ratio and quasi-zero GPRV levels.

Hence, it is suggested that in patients with AH I in response to triple occlusion of the shoulder arteries with a tonometer cuff, their endothelium increases the release of vasoconstrictors and/or reduces the release of vasodilators while in patients with Low Norm BP a similar procedure causes increase the release of vasodilators and/or decrease the release of vasoconstrictors. It seems that vasodilation has a cholinergic nature and realizes by M2-receptors [8]. In patients of other clusters, the balance of vasoconstrictors/vasodilators remains stable.

Patients with AH I also had the highest level of Pd2/Pd1 ratio, while the lowest level was found in patients with Norm BP, combined with maximum levels of sympathetic markers. This suggests the realization of vasodilation through β2-adrenoceptors [8].

Maximal level of Ps3/Ps1 ratio in patients with High Norm BP indicates the minimum for the sample reduction of systolic BP in the third consecutive measurement, which we interpret as the minimum release of vasodilators and/or maximum release of vasoconstrictors in response to occlusion.

We dare to assume that vasodilators/vasoconstrictors balance is in some way related to both the aldosterone-renin-angiotensin system and the ULF band HRV, the physiological nature of which is still unknown.

In general, all clusters on the planes of three roots are clearly delineated, which is documented by calculating the Mahalanobis distances (Table 5).
Clusters

Table 6. Coefficients and Constants for Classification Functions for Blood Pressure Clusters

| Blood Pressure Clusters | High Norm | AH I | Norm | Low Norm | AH II |
|-------------------------|-----------|------|------|----------|-------|
| High Norm               | 0         | 19.1 | 26.2 | 77.2     | 137   |
| AH I                    | 4.15      | 0    | 56.7 | 136      | 69.5  |
| Norm                    | 4.29      | 14.2 | 0    | 26.5     | 237   |
| Low Norm                | 11.5      | 29.6 | 4.35 | 0        | 376   |
| AH II                   | 18.7      | 13.3 | 35.2 | 51.2     | 0     |

The same discriminant parameters can be used to identify the belonging of one or another person to one or another cluster (Table 6).

Table 6. Coefficients and Constants for Classification Functions for Blood Pressure Clusters

| Blood Pressure Clusters | High N | AH I | Norm | Low N | AH II |
|-------------------------|--------|------|------|-------|-------|
| Variables currently in the model | p=,148 | p=,398 | p=,182 | p=,148 | p=,125 |
| Blood Pressure Systolic, mmHg | 165.4 | 165.4 | 164.7 | 163.5 | 165.9 |
| Sex Index (M=1; F=2) | -28.88 | -27.08 | -28.67 | -26.14 | -20.42 |
| LF band HRV, % | 0.338 | 0.396 | 0.799 | 0.727 | 0.097 |
| Blood Pressure Systolic 2, mmHg | -91.28 | -90.75 | -94.06 | -96.38 | -86.29 |
| Pd3/Pd1 ratio | 2918  | 2881  | 2847 | 2783 | 2931 |
| (Cap/Kp)$^3$ as Sympathetic/Vagal balance | -813.8 | -757.1 | -804.3 | -831.9 | -729.2 |
| ULF band HRV, % | 7.450 | 6.913 | 8.160 | 8.390 | 5.826 |
| Ps3/Ps1 ratio | 10220 | 10121 | 9843 | 9520 | 10459 |
| AMo/MxDMn as Sympatho/Vagal balance | -0.471 | -0.508 | -0.396 | -0.375 | -0.651 |
| 100(I-Pd/HR) as Kerdő Vegetative Index | 1.874 | 1.846 | 1.867 | 1.966 | 1.974 |
| Pd2/Pd1 ratio | -759.5 | -720.7 | -800.1 | -820.5 | -654.0 |
| Ps2/Ps1 ratio | 13384 | 13307 | 13759 | 14053 | 12751 |
| Testosterone standardized by Sex&Age, Z | -3.129 | -2.991 | -4.369 | -4.268 | -2.093 |
| Cortisol Plasma, nM/L | 0.0197 | 0.0208 | 0.0134 | 0.0134 | 0.0343 |
| Blood Pressure Systolic 3, mmHg | -70.60 | -70.14 | -68.29 | -65.85 | -72.71 |
| Calcitonin standardized by Sex&Age, Z | -78.46 | -77.92 | -75.43 | -74.09 | -80.15 |
| Mode HRV, msec | 0.403 | 0.411 | 0.409 | 0.410 | 0.445 |
| (VLF+LF)/HF as Centralization Index | 2.897 | 2.810 | 2.996 | 3.038 | 2.851 |
| LF/nu HRV, % | -1.127 | -1.067 | -1.114 | -1.295 | -1.063 |
| HF band HRV, msec$^2$ | 0.166 | 0.164 | 0.190 | 0.189 | 0.132 |
| Baevsky's Activity Regulatory Systems Index | -41.61 | -42.11 | -40.37 | -38.84 | -44.46 |
| Triangular Index HRV, units | 16.75 | 15.33 | 17.92 | 19.59 | 12.78 |
| VLF band HRV, msec$^2$ | 0.132 | 0.134 | 0.160 | 0.161 | 0.100 |
| SDNN HRV, msec | -16.13 | -15.85 | -15.21 | -14.58 | -16.61 |
| Total Power HRV, msec$^2$ | 0.0739 | 0.0748 | 0.0428 | 0.0321 | 0.1138 |
| AMo HRV, % | 3.136 | 3.244 | 3.587 | 3.914 | 3.017 |
| Gench's Expiration Test, sec | 0.0267 | 0.1566 | -0.0285 | -0.0702 | 0.3409 |
| Baevsky's Stress Index HRV, units | 1.089 | 1.144 | 0.987 | 0.934 | 1.347 |
| LF band HRV, msec$^2$ | -0.0078 | -0.0094 | 0.0108 | 0.0182 | -0.0352 |

Constants | -12988 | -13015 | -12820 | -12594 | -13292 |

In this case, we can retrospectively recognize patients with high norm BP with one mistake and others patients **unmistakably**. Overall classification accuracy is 98.9% (Table 7).
Table 6. Classification Matrix for Blood Pressure Clusters

| Group   | Percent Correct | High N p=.14773 | AH I p=.39773 | N p=.18182 | Low N p=.14773 | AH II p=.12500 |
|---------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|
| High N  | 92.3            | 12              | 1              | 0           | 0               | 0              |
| AH I    | 100.0           | 0               | 35             | 0           | 0               | 0              |
| N       | 100.0           | 0               | 0              | 16          | 0               | 0              |
| Low N   | 100.0           | 0               | 0              | 0           | 13              | 0              |
| AH II   | 100.0           | 0               | 0              | 0           | 0               | 11             |
| Total   | 98.9            | 12              | 36             | 16          | 13              | 11             |

CONCLUSION

Thus, a wide range of blood pressure in Truskavets’ spa patients is accompanied by an equally wide range of HRV parameters and adaptive hormones. The most informative among them are HRV-markers of sympathetic tone and sympathetic/vagal balance as well as testosterone and cortisol, whose levels are maximal in patients with hypertension II, while minimal in patients with low norm BP, on the one hand, and markers of vagal tone and Kerdö’s vegetative index, the levels of which are polar, on the other hand. Autonomic and endocrine accompaniments of quantitative-qualitative blood pressure clusters corresponding to the existing ideas about the regulation of heart and blood pressure [8].

The following articles, already prepared for publication, will provide data on EEGs, metabolic and immune accompaniments of quantitative-qualitative blood pressure clusters.
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