Grip strength modifies the association between estimated glomerular filtration rate and all-cause mortality
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Chronic kidney disease (CKD) with reduced glomerular filtration rate (GFR) represents a high-magnitude increased risk for cardiovascular disease (CVD) and all-cause mortality (ACM) [1]. The main non-GFR determinant of the endogenous filtration marker creatinine is its production rate in muscle [2]. Stratification of GFR by a marker of muscle function (grip strength) associated with muscle mass [3] may remove heterogeneity, providing a more accurate marker of mortality risk.

This study evaluates whether grip strength identifies ACM risk associated with estimated GFR (eGFR) from serum creatinine in a subsample of the UK Household Longitudinal Survey (UKHLS) [4–6]. Of the eligible participants, 10,900 had complete data, with an eGFR of 15–120 mL/min/1.73 m² body surface area (BSA) and followed for up to 4–5 years [7].

eGFR was determined using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation [8] and grip strength was standardized by age and sex. Baseline diagnoses were self-reported. ACM was reported by the diseased individual’s household or identified through systematic enquiries in the event of non-contact; otherwise participants were classified as alive.

All procedures were in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975 on ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects, as revised in 2013 [9].

Associations between eGFR and ACM were evaluated using logistic regression (due to the wave structure of the data) adjusting for age, sex, ethnicity, body mass index (BMI), smoking and self-reported pre-existing diagnoses of CVD (ischaemic heart disease or stroke), diabetes and hypertension. The linearity of the relationship between the log odds of mortality and the continuous variables eGFR, age and BMI was assessed by applying the multivariable fractional polynomial method [10]. Odds ratios (ORs) for ACM were calculated for the following categories of eGFR: median 37.5 (range 30–44), 52.5 (45–60), 75 (60–89), 97.5 (90–104) and 112.5 (105–119) mL/min/1.73 m² BSA using 90 mL/min/1.73 m² BSA as a reference. Sparsely populated categories at the extremes of the distribution are not included. Effect modification by grip strength was evaluated by adding the main effects and the eGFR–grip strength multiplicative interaction term to the adjusted model. The association between eGFR and ACM was further estimated by stratifying the adjusted model into thirds of the distribution of grip strength. Sensitivity analyses included additional adjustment for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cancer and congestive heart failure at baseline. Further sensitivity analysis included eGFR values outside the 15–120 mL/min/1.73 m² BSA range.

Mortality was recorded for 2.48% (270/10900). Standardized grip strength is not correlated with eGFR (Pearson’s correlation coefficients −0.04–0.01). The multiplicative interaction between grip strength and eGFR for mortality risk is statistically significant (P = 0.04). Characteristics of the study population and adjusted OR for ACM calculated at specified eGFR values are presented in Table 1. In the entire sample, the corresponding unadjusted OR decrease linearly from 12.8 [95% confidence interval (CI) 8.90–16.67] at eGFR 37.5 mL/min/1.73 m² BSA to 0.34 (0.30–0.73) at eGFR 112.5 mL/min/1.73 m² BSA compared with eGFR 90 mL/min/1.73 m² BSA. The adjusted OR for the lowest third of grip strength compared with the highest associated with ACM is 1.77 (95% CI 1.28–2.46). The distribution of eGFR values is illustrated in Supplementary data, Figure S1. Results for all covariates are presented in Supplementary data, Table S1. Sensitivity analyses did not indicate notable changes in associations (Supplementary data, Tables S2 and S3).

In this large general population cohort, eGFR has a U-shaped association with increased ACM risk in adjusted models. Following stratification into thirds of the grip strength distribution, a statistically significant association between low eGFR and increased ACM risk is present only for the lowest grip strength (there is no elevated risk in the two higher thirds). These findings suggest that associations between lower eGFR and increased ACM are driven disproportionately by individuals with low grip strength. Testing grip strength may be a convenient and inexpensive way to improve the stratification of ACM risk based on eGFR.
The Kruskal–Wallis test, with the exception of age, which was compared using analysis of variance.

Grip strength may be used to improve estimates of eGFR-associated risk. To refine this further, studies should include non-linear associations or with a medical history indicating non-eligibility for future treatments. GFR may be underestimated as it is obtained from other household members or by enquiries by the researchers. UKHLS data have not been linked to national health registers, so diagnoses are potentially relevant to the adverse effects of CKD.

Our study has several major strengths, including the large general population sample and the use of flexible modelling. No previous study that we are aware of evaluated the effect modification by grip strength for the association between low eGFR and ACM.

Our study also has potential limitations. Due to the distribution of our data, we estimated ACM risk associated with a mild or moderate reduction of eGFR, but this is of major public health importance. Our data do not include measured GFR or a specific measure of muscle mass, nor do they allow estimation of risk of progression of CKD to end-stage renal disease. The relatively short follow-up may not allow some low-risk groups to be represented fully in the results. Morbidity associated with low eGFR may not be as pronounced in our general population sample as in a clinical population, as individuals living in institutions or with a medical history indicating non-eligibility for future treatments may have a different profile of risk factors compared to those living in the community.

Grip strength may be used to improve estimates of eGFR-related ACM risk. To refine this further, studies should include both eGFR and measured GFR, as well as measures of muscle strength or mass.
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