Suppression of oxidation of high chromium steels at elevated temperatures in steam atmosphere by alumina-based coating film grown by chemical solution deposition process

Akio SAYANO, Toshiyuki TAZAWA,*† Liang YAN,* Masashi TAKAHASHI,* Kenichi OKUNO† and Itaru MURAKAMI**

Power and Industrial Systems R&D Center, Toshiba Corp.; 8 Shinsugita-cho, Isogo-ku, Yokohama 235–8523, Japan
*Power and Industrial Systems R&D Center, Toshiba Corp.; 2–4 Suehiro-cho, Tsurumi-ku, Yokohama 230–0045, Japan
**Thermal & Hydro Power Systems & Services Division, Toshiba Corp.; 2–4 Suehiro-cho, Tsurumi-ku, Yokohama 230–0045, Japan

The surface roughness in moving and stationary blades of steam turbines is known to become rougher through steam oxidation during plant operation, resulting in the degradation of the turbine performance. The formation of an Al2O3–SiO2 based coating film on high chromium steel, which is used in moving and stationary blades, through chemical solution deposition (CSD) is attempted to suppress the increase of surface roughness. The arithmetic average roughness Ra and maximum height Rz after an oxidation test in a steam atmosphere at 873 K for 1,000 h were equal to 3.0 and 19.4 μm, respectively, for high chromium steels without coating, while those for high chromium steel with an Al2O3–SiO2 based coating were 0.10 and 1.0 μm, respectively. Therefore, the formation of a coating film is proven to significantly suppress the roughening of the surface. The observation of the cross-section texture near the high chromium steel substrate surface of both specimens showed that a two-layer oxide film forms on the surface of the non-coated specimen; the outer layer consists of Fe3O4 whereas the inner layer comprises (Fe,Cr)3O4 and CrO2. This film forms a very coarse texture. On the other hand, the oxide film does not form on high chromium steel with an Al2O3–SiO2 based coating film deposited using CSD; the Al2O3–SiO2 based coating film remains as the topmost surface after testing. A thin intermediate layer with a thickness smaller than 0.1 μm forms between the high chromium steel substrate and the coating film. Its thickness increases with increasing the temperature and reaches approximately 0.5 μm with heat treatment at 973 K for 1,000 h. This layer consists of Cr, Mn, Al, Si, and O.
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1. Introduction

Owing to its strength and creep properties, high chromium steel is currently used in moving and stationary blades of steam turbines. As these blades are exposed to a steam flow, the surface roughness is suppressed beforehand considering the aerodynamic characteristics. However, the surface roughness of the blades gradually increases by steam oxidation during plant operations. This causes turbulence in the steam flow, degradation of the aerodynamic characteristics, and a decrease of the turbine efficiency. Figure 1 shows a schematic of the turbulence generated in a steam flow when the surface roughness increases. Improving the oxidation resistance of the moving and stationary blades can retain the initial surface roughness, and therefore maintain the turbine performance, for a longer time.

Using Ni-based or Co-based superalloys in moving and stationary blades can improve the antioxidation properties;1) however, this is not realistic because of the high cost of these metals.

Thermal spraying and coating such as through physical vapor deposition (PVD) or chemical vapor deposition (CVD) are examples of effective methods to increase the oxidation resistance.
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assessments of the oxidation resistance at 1073 K in air.11) Furthermore, Guglielmi et al. formed a SiO₂–B₂O₃ based coating film on the surface of carbon steel by CSD, investigated the oxidation resistance at 823 K in air, and reported that the weight gain by oxidation can be suppressed by coating.12)

As mentioned above, several studies have been conducted on the enhancement of the oxidation resistance by coating of ceramics through CSD. However, most investigations focus on the oxidation resistance in air, and only a few studies on the oxidation resistance in a steam atmosphere. Moreover, there is barely any research focusing on the suppression of surface roughness through coating.

This article reports the formation of an Al₂O₃–SiO₂ based coating film on the surface of high chromium steel along with the evaluation of its effect on the oxidation resistance in a steam atmosphere.

2. Experimental procedure

High chromium steel [composition Cr (11.9%), Ni (0.6%), Mn (0.4%), Si (0.2%), C (0.14%), Mo (0.10%), Nb (0.08%), V (0.08%), and N (0.035%)] is used as the metal substrate. Specimens are rectangular plates with dimensions of 20 × 40 × 2 mm³. Surface roughness measurements indicate that the arithmetic average roughness Ra and maximum height Rz are 0.10 and 2.7 μm, respectively.

An Al₂O₃ based coating film is formed on the surface of the high chromium steel substrate using CSD. Figure 2 shows the film formation process. The surface of the high chromium steel substrate is rinsed with acetone, immersed in an Al₂O₃ based ceramic sol, and then conducting a thermogravimetric and differential thermal analysis (TG/DTA, SII Nanotechnology Inc., TG/DTA 320). The evaluation conditions entail an air atmosphere over a time span of approximately 1 h, and then maintained at that temperature. After the test, the furnace is cooled with a cooling rate of 100 K/h from the testing temperature to 623 K; the flow gas is then changed from steam to Ar, and the furnace is finally cooled to room temperature.

The surface roughness of the specimens after a steam oxidation test is measured using a contact-type surface roughness tester (Kosaka Laboratory Ltd., Surfcorder SE-30C). The arithmetic average roughness Ra and the maximum height Rz are used to assess the surface roughness; the measurement conditions include a cutoff value of 0.8 mm and an evaluation length of 16 mm, conforming to JIS B 0601. Five points are measured for each specimen, and the average value is adopted. Figure 4 shows the surface roughness measurement points. The five points are selected at 5 mm intervals from the center of the specimen.

The change in specimen weight during the steam oxidation test is also measured. A coated specimen is heat treated at 423 K for 15 min and 773 K for 1 h in air, and its weight reduction ratio is
measured. This result is used to derive the weight reduction ratio when the Al₂O₃ coating film is completely mineralized, which is 72.8%; this weight reduction ratio is considered when evaluating the change in weight during oxidation. The heat treatment condition at 773 K for 1 h is chosen as the Al₂O₃ sol used in this study completely mineralizes, and there is no further loss of weight, as discussed later.

The center is cut, embedded in resin, and the cross section is polished using diamond abrasive grains for high chromium steel specimens, with and without coating, after the steam oxidation test. Furthermore, the cross sections near the specimen surface are prepared with a cross-section polisher (CP; Jeol Ltd., IB-09010CP) and observed using field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM; Jeol Ltd., JSM-7800F), while element mapping is conducted using electron probe micro analysis (EPMA; Jeol Ltd., JSM-7800F). Portions near the specimen surface are cut out in some specimens using focused ion beam (FIB; Hitachi Hi-Technologies Corp., FB-2100), observed using scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM; Hitachi Hi-Technologies Corp., HD-2000), and analyzed with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS; Thermo Fisher Scientific K.K., Noran System Seven).

The crystal phases near the surface are identified in high chromium steel specimens, with and without coating, after a steam oxidation test using X-ray diffraction (XRD, Rigaku Corp., RINT2200). Measurements are performed with an applied voltage of 40 kV, tube current of 40 mA, and scanning speed of 2°/min.

3. Results and discussion

Figure 5 shows the TG/DTA data of the dried Al₂O₃–SiO₂-based sol. The TG measurement indicates that the loss of weight is complete at approximately 800 K, and therefore all the organic matter has completely decomposed at that point. The heating rate is fast, 20 K/min; hence, the loss of weight is estimated to finish at a much lower temperature. A measurement of the weight change after holding each temperature for 30 min showed that the loss of weight is completed at 773 K. Two small exothermic peaks between 400 K and 500 K as well as another exothermic peak near 600 K are observed during the weight loss.

Figure 6 shows the relation between the heat treatment temperature and the specimen surface roughness in steam oxidation tests. The heat treatment time is 1,000 h in all tests. The surface roughness of the high chromium steel before the steam oxidation test is also provided in the graphs for comparison. The arithmetic average roughness Ra, which is shown in the left panel of Fig. 6, is 0.1 μm for the metal substrate before the steam oxidation test, but increases with increasing the temperature for specimens without coating; the values are 0.42, 3.0, and 3.4 μm at 773, 873, and 973 K, respectively. In contrast, the value for coated specimens after the steam oxidation test remains constant at 0.10 μm at 973 K. The right panel of Fig. 6 shows the maximum height Rz of the surface roughness. The value for the metal substrate before a steam oxidation test is 2.7 μm. After the steam oxidation test, Rz significantly increases with increasing the temperature for specimens without coating, achieving the values of 6.9, 19.4, and 31.2 μm at 773, 873, and 973 K, respectively. On the other hand, in coated specimens, almost no change in Rz is observed after the steam oxidation tests at 773 and 873 K, as Rz is equal to 0.78 and 1.0 μm, respectively; however, the value increases to 6.0 μm at 973 K. In summary, a significant change in surface roughness in the high chromium steel used in this study occurs between 773 and 973 K in a steam atmosphere. However, the increase in surface roughness can be dramatically suppressed by depositing an Al₂O₃ based coating through CSD. In particular, almost no change in surface roughness, namely, in Ra and Rz, is found at 773 and 873 K. The Rz values at 773 and 873 K are slightly smaller than those observed in the high chromium steel substrate before testing. This may be because the slurry smoothed the unevenness of the surface of the high chromium steel substrate during dip coating.

Figure 7 shows the relation between the heating temperature in a steam atmosphere and the weight gain. The heat treatment time lasts for 1,000 h in all cases. For specimens without coating, there is almost no weight gain at 773 K, but a weight gain of approximately 20 and 160 g/m² is observed at 873 and 973 K, respectively. In contrast, for specimens with coating, there is no
weight gain at both 773 and 873 K, and a slight weight gain of approximately 6 g/m² is found at 973 K. These results are very consistent with the surface roughness measurements discussed previously; therefore, the Al₂O₃ based coating is found to significantly suppress the weight gain due to oxidation.

Figure 8 shows the FE-SEM observation results of a cross section near the surface of the high chromium steel substrate without coating heat treated at 873 K for 1,000 h in a steam atmosphere. The surface of the substrate exhibits an oxide film consisting of two layers: an outer layer (portion A) and an inner layer (portion B). The outer layer has a thickness of approximately 10–20 μm, is very uneven, and partially contains relatively large pores. The inner layer is non-uniform and contains many fine pores. The inner layer does not exist in some regions, where only the outer layer can be observed. Remarkably, the thickness of the outer layer is small in the regions without the inner layer, and large in the regions with the inner layer. This increases the unevenness of the outer layer, and may lead to the increase in surface roughness, as shown in Fig. 6. The positioning of the layers against the substrate suggests that the outer layer grows outward from the substrate, whereas the inner layer grows inward from the substrate surface.

Figure 9 shows the EPMA analysis for the same view of a specimen without coating. Strong signals from Fe and O are found in the outer layer (portion A) formed on the surface of the high chromium steel substrate; therefore, this layer is expected to consist of iron oxides. On the other hand, the inner layer (portion B) consists of regions with high concentration of Fe, Cr, and O, and regions consisting of only Cr and O. The latter regions, which include Cr–O compounds, surround the former regions, which include Fe–Cr–O compounds. The high chromium steel substrate consists of Fe and Cr, and a layer where Cr concentrates is also found near the substrate surface.

Figure 10 shows the XRD results of a high chromium steel specimen without coating heat treated at 873 K for 1,000 h. The obtained diffraction peaks completely match those of Fe₃O₄ (hematite) and (Fe,Cr)₃O₄ with the same crystal structure. Furthermore, minute peaks of α-Fe (ferrite) originating from the substrate are also found. The measurements in Figs. 8–10 suggest that the outer layer formed on the substrate surface consists of Fe₃O₄, whereas the inner layer comprises (Fe,Cr)₃O₄ and Cr–O compounds.

Ueda et al. claimed that a two-layer structure forms during the
steam oxidation of ferrite steels; the outer layer is $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ and the inner layer is $(\text{Fe},\text{Cr})_3\text{O}_4$. The rate limiting step in the growth of the outer layer is the outward diffusion of the Fe ions, whereas that of the inner layer is the inward diffusion of the O ions.\(^{14}\) Fujikawa et al. found that local layers with high Cr concentration form in the inner layer of high chromium ferrite steel, and the formation of a high Cr content layer at the oxide film/alloy interface is especially pronounced in 12Cr steel.\(^{15}\) The results of our experiments agree well with these reports; however, the formation of the inner layer was non-uniform, and, in some regions, only the outer layer was found. The reason is currently not clear, although it is possible that the high Cr concentration layer formed on the surface of the high chromium steel substrate at an early stage, owing to unknown reasons, inhibited the Fe diffusion. The reason why the thickness of the outer layer is small in regions with no inner layer and conversely large in regions with an inner layer can be attributed to the diffusion process. As the outward diffusion of Fe is significant in regions with a thick outer layer, Cr can easily move into the depleted layer formed by the Fe diffusion to form the morphology that is observed. Figure 11 shows the FE-SEM observation results for regions near the surface of the coated high chromium steel specimens that
There is no Fe in the region where Cr is highly concentrated; instead, Al, Si, and O, which constitute the coating interface. There is no Fe in the region where Cr is highly concentrated, but there is no significant segregation near the ceramic coating layer/metal substrate interface. Figure 12 shows the EPMA analysis results of a specimen heat treated at 973 K for 1,000 h; the view is the same as that in Fig. 11(a). The distribution of Al, Si, and O is close to uniform in the film presumed to be the coating layer. Fe and Cr distribute within the metal substrate. There are some regions where Cr is highly concentrated, but there is no significant segregation near the ceramic coating layer/metal substrate interface. Figure 13 shows the EPMA results of a specimen heat treated at 973 K for 1,000 h. The view is the same as that in Fig. 11(b). Again, Fe and Cr distribute in the metal substrate and there are some regions with high Cr content. Al, Si, and O distribute in the film presumed to be the coating layer, while a high concentration of Cr is found near the metal substrate/ceramic coating layer interface. There is no Fe in the region where Cr is highly concentrated; instead, Al, Si, and O, which constitute the coating layer, are found. It was suggested that the diffusion occurred at the interface between high chromium steel substrate and Al2O3—SiO2 based coating film. In any case, the presence of iron oxides, which are found in specimens without coating, cannot be observed.

STEM observation and EDS analysis were also conducted on the intermediate layer. Figure 14 shows a STEM image near the surface of the coated high chromium steel substrate heat treated at 973 K for 1,000 h in a steam atmosphere. An intermediate layer approximately 0.5 μm thick is found, as in the FE-SEM observation. The intermediate layer/high chromium steel substrate interface and the intermediate layer/coating layer interface both have good integrity and there are no gaps or cracks. Figure 15 shows the EDS analysis results near the intermediate layer of the same specimen. The results show that this intermediate layer comprises Cr, Mn, Al, Si, and O. There is no significant segregation, and the stoichiometry is relatively uniform within the intermediate layer. The diffusion of Mn is observed in the Al2O3—SiO2 coating side. Figure 16 shows the XRD results of the surfaces of coated high chromium steel specimens heat treated at 873 and 973 K, respectively, for 1,000 h in a steam atmosphere. No significant diffraction peaks, other than peaks from α-Fe in the substrate, are observed in the specimen heat treated at 873 K for 1,000 h. In contrast, weak, relatively broad peaks are found in addition to the α-Fe peaks from the substrate in the specimen heat treated at 973 K for 1,000 h. These peaks accord considerably well at those observed for θ-Al2O3; however, as the match is not perfect, the pattern cannot be definitely identified as θ-Al2O3. There is a possibility that the film is oriented. If this assumption is correct, these peaks are likely to originate from a phase transition in the ceramic coating layer with the temperature increase instead of the intermediate layer observed in FE-SEM. However, the origin is inconclusive at this point.

The ceramic coating layer is thought to suppress the surface roughness change because the steam does not come in direct contact with the high chromium steel substrate. However, pores with sizes ranging from a few to a few tens of nanometers are known to typically form in films grown by CSD.16–18 This is because the organic content in the ceramic precursor exits through the ceramic coating during the thermal decomposition, and the paths through which the organic content moved remain as pores. A gradual steam oxidation at high temperature in coated specimens is considered to occur as a result of the steam gradually passing through these pores, and reaching and oxidizing the high chromium steel surface. Choi et al. dip coated a TiO2 alkoxide solution on borosilicate glass and measured the pore distribution in the coating film after heat treatment at 773 K for 15 min. They reported that the pore size is distributed between 3
and 8 nm.\(^{16}\) Guo et al. prepared a sol of Nb\(_2\)O\(_5\) by hydrolysis of an ethanol solution of NbCl\(_5\). They coated glass substrates with chromium steel without coating were 3.0 and 19.4 nm, respectively. In contrast, the values for high chromium steel with an Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)–SiO\(_2\) based coating after testing under the same conditions were 0.10 and 1.0 µm, respectively, demonstrating that the formation of a coating film significantly suppresses the increase in surface roughness.

The observation of the regions near the surface shows that a very uneven oxide film consisting of Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) forms in high chromium steel without coating; the formation of this oxide layer is considered to increase the surface roughness. On the other hand, in high chromium steel with an Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)–SiO\(_2\) based coating film, the oxide film consisting of Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) does not form, and only the Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)–SiO\(_2\) based film can be observed on the surface. Moreover, an intermediate layer consisting of Cr, Mn, Al, Si, and O is confirmed to form at the interface between the high chromium steel substrate and the Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)–SiO\(_2\) based coating film when the heat treatment temperature is increased to 973 K for 1,000 h.

4. Conclusions

An Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)–SiO\(_2\) based coating film is formed on the surface of a high chromium steel substrate using CSD to suppress the increase in surface roughness due to steam oxidation. After an oxidation test at 873 K for 1,000 h in a steam atmosphere, the arithmetic average roughness \(R_a\) and maximum height \(R_z\) for high chromium steel without coating were 3.0 and 19.4 µm, respectively. In contrast, the values for high chromium steel with an Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)–SiO\(_2\) based coating after testing under the same conditions were 0.10 and 1.0 µm, respectively, demonstrating that the formation of a coating film significantly suppresses the increase in surface roughness.

The observation of the regions near the surface shows that a very uneven oxide film consisting of Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) forms in high chromium steel without coating; the formation of this oxide layer is considered to increase the surface roughness. On the other hand, in high chromium steel with an Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)–SiO\(_2\) based coating film, the oxide film consisting of Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) does not form, and only the Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)–SiO\(_2\) based film can be observed on the surface. Moreover, an intermediate layer consisting of Cr, Mn, Al, Si, and O is confirmed to form at the interface between the high chromium steel substrate and the Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)–SiO\(_2\) based coating film when the heat treatment temperature is increased to 973 K for 1,000 h.
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