Abstract
Purpose of Review Since the past year, the fast spread of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has represented a global health threat, especially for cancer patients, that has required an urgent reorganization of clinical activities. Here, we will critically revise the profound impact that the pandemic has generated in lung cancer patients, as well the most significant challenges that oncologists have to face to maintain the highest possible standards in the management of lung cancer patients in the pandemic era.

Recent Findings Evidences suggested a higher susceptibility and mortality of lung cancer patients due to COVID-19. The hard management of this patient population has been also due to the potential cross interference of anti-tumor drugs on SARS-CoV-2 infection and to the differential diagnosis between COVID-19 pneumonitis and drug-related pneumonitis.

Summary COVID-19 pandemic has generated a profound reshaping of oncological activities and the development of recommendations by the oncology scientific community to prioritize anti-tumor treatments for lung cancer patients.
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Introduction
Since the first case in Wuhan in late 2019, a novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), rapidly spread across the globe, leading the World Health Organization to declare a pandemic on March 11, 2020 [1]. The causal agent of COVID-19 is SARS-CoV-2, a newly identified strain of the single-stranded RNA coronavirus, that shows high structural homology to the SARS coronavirus (SARS-CoV) and Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS-CoV), responsible for the 2002 and 2012 respiratory pandemic, respectively [2]. Unfortunately, in terms of death rates, COVID-19 has demonstrated to be the worst pandemic compared to the previous CoVs ones [3, 4]. Consistently, as of February 6, 2021, more than 105 million of confirmed infected cases and more than 2.3 million of deaths have been globally reported; unfortunately, these figures are estimated to increase (https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/). Consequently, COVID-19 has been considered a public health emergency of major international concern that has required since the past year an urgent reorganization of hospital wards, mostly dedicated to intensive care, together with a modulation of clinical activities, to cope with the growing number of SARS-CoV-2 infected patients requiring hospitalization and intensive supportive
of care [5, 6]. Overall, the in-hospital mortality due to COVID-19 has been reported up to 25%; noteworthy, mortality rate may vary between countries based on resource limitations, geography, literacy, population, and political factors [1, 7••]. Interestingly, the public health interventions have not changed significantly since the 1918 flu pandemic and include home quarantine after infection, restricting mass gatherings, travel restrictions, and social distancing that temporarily reduce rates of transmission. However, the risk of restarting follows when these interventions are lifted, and this is exactly what has happened leading to the second wave of the COVID-19 pandemic [8].

Clinical manifestations of COVID-19 are very heterogeneous and strictly dependent on the nature of the interaction between SARS-CoV-2 and host [9, 10]. Along this line, SARS-CoV-2 dependent factors can include genetics, viraemia title, and virulence, while host-dependent factors may include genetic characteristics, age, gender, humoral and cellular immune system health, and, finally, comorbidities. In the majority of infected patients, clinical manifestations of COVID-19 are mild and include cold, fever, cough, dysgeusia, anosmia, or other non-specific symptoms or signs [9]. More severe symptoms, ranging from severe pneumonia, to acute respiratory distress syndrome, septic shock, and/or multiorgan failure, may occur more frequently in patients affected by comorbidities, such as chronic disease or cancer [7••]. The advanced phase of COVID-19 infection usually associates with blood test alterations, including lymphopenia, increased leukocyte count and neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, serum levels of C-reactive protein, procalcitonin, lactate dehydrogenase, creatinine, directed bilirubin, and transaminases, and most of them associate with a poor prognosis [11••].

Although a plethora of treatments have been evaluated or are currently under clinical development, there are still no drugs used in the clinical practice that have proven truly effective. Therefore, the clinical management of COVID-19 infection is mainly based on symptomatic agents, antivirals, as well as organ support in the intensive care unit (ICU) for critically ill patients [11••, 12, 13]. The search for effective vaccines for SARS-CoV-2 has been in full swing by pharmaceutical companies in the last months. Consistently, a variety of vaccines have been already developed (Table 1), and a large-scale vaccination, among the widest in the history, has globally started since December 2020, though no definite information is available regarding the efficacy of these vaccines against the recently identified SARS-CoV-2 variants that are rapidly spreading worldwide (https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/draft-landscape-of-covid-19-candidate-vaccines).

COVID-19: Pathophysiology and Immunology

SARS-CoV-2 spreads primarily via respiratory droplets and aerosol during close face-to-face contact. Once inside the body, SARS-CoV-2 targets the upper and lower airways cells, such as nasal and bronchial epithelial cells, and pneumocytes, via viral structural spike (S) protein that binds to the functional angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2). The entry of SARS-CoV-2 into host cells is mediated by the type 2 transmembrane serine protease (TMPRSS2) that promotes viral uptake by cleaving ACE2 and by activating the SARS-CoV-2 S protein [14•, 15]. Both ACE2 and TMPRSS2 are highly expressed in lung alveolar type II cells, but also in extrapulmonary organs, including digestive tract, liver, kidney, cardiovascular system, and brain, thus explaining an involvement of these organs in the clinical manifestations and/or complications of the infection in a proportion of infected subjects [16, 17].

Although a plethora of COVID-19 studies have been published in the past year in an effort to rapidly unravel the pathogenetic mechanism of SARS-CoV-2 and to identify potential therapeutic approaches, the pathophysiology of COVID-19 remains largely unknown. Recent investigations suggest that SARS-CoV-2 induces a host dysregulated immune response that can translate in severe organ damages [10]. Indeed, in the early phase of the infection, the SARS-CoV-2 virus is mainly localized in the upper respiratory tract, thus generating a strong host immune response that involves the release of chemokines and interferons (IFNs), sufficient in the majority of subjects to contain the spread of infection. Unfortunately, in about one-fifth of all infected patients, the viral infection progresses and involves the lower respiratory tract leading to severe symptoms and complications [15]. Consistently, the viral infection of pneumocytes induces the release of proinflammatory cytokines, including interleukins, tumor necrosis factor-α, IFN-λ and IFN-β, CXCL-10, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1, and the macrophage inflammatory protein-1α [15]. If not resolved, this exacerbated cytokine production, so-called cytokine storm, acts as a chemoattractant for a variety of immune cells whose activation on one side fights off the virus while on the other side contributes to sustain inflammation and lung injury [15]. The persistent inflammation and lung injury can lead to both a diffuse alveolar damage, disruption of epithelial-endothelial barrier integrity, with consequent respiratory distress syndrome, and an activation of coagulation with consequent high incidence of thrombotic complications, that can finally contribute to a multiorgan failure with potentially lethal consequences [10, 15].

Immunologically, in addition to the innate immune response, both humoral and cellular immune responses are
involved in the elimination of the virus [18]. Consistently, it has been reported a robust B cell response to SARS-CoV-2, as evidenced by the rapid detection of virus-specific IgM, IgG and IgA, and neutralizing IgG antibodies (nAbs) in the days following infection. Sero-conversion occurs approximately between 7 and 14 days after the onset of symptoms in the majority of infected patients, and antibody titers persist in the weeks following virus clearance [19–22]. Similarly, the cellular adaptive immune response, mainly sustained by helper and cytotoxic T cells, plays a crucial role in the clearance of viral-infected cells [23]. Though mechanisms utilized by SARS-CoV-2 to escape the host’s adaptive immune response and to induce a dysregulation of host immune response are not yet properly clear, evidence from SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV reported a depletion of both CD4 and CD8 T cells and the induction of T cell apoptosis that may explain the peripheral lymphopenia, a common laboratory hallmark of these infections, usually observed in moderate and severe COVID-19 subjects [24–27]. Noteworthy, the extent of lymphopenia, most striking for CD8 T cells in patients admitted to ICU, correlates with COVID-19-associated...
disease severity and mortality, while it recovers as patients improve [11••, 24, 25, 28]. Supporting these findings, a dysregulation of T cells, expressing on their surface markers of activation (including HLA-DR, CD69, CD38, and CD44), exhaustion (such as mucin-3, programmed cell death protein (PD)-1 and natural killer group 2 member A), and/or co-stimulatory and inhibitory molecules (such as OX-40 and CD137, cytotoxic T lymphocyte A-4 (CTLA-4), TIM3, TIGIT), has been reported in subjects with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection [24, 29, 30]. Moreover, cellular functionality has been shown to be impaired in both CD4 and CD8 T cells of critically ill patients, with reduced frequency of polyfunctional T cells [24, 25].

Overall, as a consequence of the dysregulation of host’s immune system, that is unable to eradicate SARS-CoV-2 virus, the infection may spread within all the body with the concurrent manifestation of viraemia and septic shock [10, 24].

The Implication of the COVID on Lung Cancer

The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic in oncology field has been really dramatic both for the hard management of cancer patients in this chaotic period, and the intricate relationships that may occur between cancer and susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Concerning this latter aspect, as known, cancer patients have in general a higher sensitivity and susceptibility to adverse outcomes from infections compared to general population; therefore, they may be vulnerable to SARS-CoV-2 too [10]. Consistently, increasing evidence reports severe outcome from COVID-19, including higher rates of hospitalization and death, in cancer patients [31, 32•, 33]. This is mainly due either to their chronic immunosuppressive state induced by tumor cells or exacerbated by myelosuppressive therapies (such as cytotoxic agents or radiotherapy) which in turn maintain a local and systemic inflammation, and may lead/predispose to overlapping infections, or to treatment-related toxicity, including cardiovascular disease [34, 35]. Along this line, it has been observed an association between severity of COVID-19 and subjects with an advanced phase of cancer disease and a poor ECOG performance status. Additionally, aging, obesity, and metabolic syndrome are predisposing factors for cancer and represent comorbidities that may influence susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection and severity of its complications [36].

In this complex scenario, the impact of SARS-CoV-2 is even more dramatic in patients with thoracic neoplasms, as they are in most cases elderly, often suffering from concomitant obstructive or restrictive lung diseases, which expose them to a greater risk of an unfavorable outcome in case of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Moreover, it has been demonstrated an aberrant expression of ACE2 in lung carcinoma compared to normal tissues, regardless of the stage of disease, making this patient population even more vulnerable to COVID-19 [37]. In support of these findings, a retrospective analysis reported an estimated risk of infection to SARS-CoV2 and severe or fatal complications of about 2.31 times higher in lung cancer patients than general population, or compared to patients with other malignancies [38, 39]. In an Italian study, lung cancer patients showed a four-fold risk of death due to COVID-19 infection [40]. Similarly, Luo and colleagues reported a longer course of COVID-19 and more severe complications in lung cancer patients than the general US population [41]. In particular, two-thirds of cancer patients required hospitalization, and one-quarter of them died. Smoking status and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease were the main determinants of severe outcome from SARS-CoV-2 infection [41]. Consistent with these analyses are the results of the TERAVOLT study conducted in 200 patients with thoracic malignancies and with concomitant COVID-19 infection. Preliminary results showed a mortality rate of 33%, and an association among older patients (over 65 years), current or former smoking, presence of comorbidities, and risk of death was observed [32•].

Overall, although these data suggest that lung cancer may be an independent risk factor for severe COVID-19, prospective studies are needed to fully elucidate which role the neoplastic disease may play in this infective disease. Adding complexity to this already complex/intricate scenario is the potential cross-interference of COVID-19 and lung cancer treatments, in which, unfortunately, no conclusive data are currently available. In this context, among therapeutic approaches largely utilized for locally advanced and/or metastatic lung cancer patients, cytotoxic agents, tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs), and radiotherapy could play a role due to their pleiotropic effects on the patient’s immune system [42••]; on the other hand, immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICI), that in the last years have represented a cornerstone in the therapeutic armamentarium of thoracic malignancies, may play a potentially more relevant role in view of their profound immunomodulatory activity [42••]. Indeed, as above reported, in the early phase of COVID-19, it has been observed an up-regulation of T cell subsets expressing surface markers of early activation, or PD-1 that identifies a subset of T cell exhaustion; both these T cell subpopulations could be expression of an early phase of T cell priming in the context of an acute viral infection [42••, 43]. Along this line, targeting CTLA-4 or PD-1/PD-ligand (L)-1 axis quickly induces an expansion of CD4 and CD8 pool of T cells and re-invigorates exhausted T cells, respectively [44]. Then, these findings could support a potential therapeutic role of ICI against the dysfunction of the host’s immune system induced by SARS-CoV-2 infection. Indeed, in one
potential mechanistic scenario, ICI therapy may ameliorate the early phase of COVID-19 by contributing to viral clearance also through the re-activation of PD-1 positive viral epitope-specific T cells [42••]. Conversely, the therapeutic targeting of ICI, through the promotion of different immune activation mechanisms and increased levels of circulating cytokines, could represent a clinical issue in the early phase of SARS-CoV-2 infection, as it may favor a worsening of COVID-19 towards its more aggressive inflammatory stage [42••]. On the other hand, supporting the safety role of ICI during COVID-19 pandemic, in a variety of clinical studies conducted in cancer patients affected by a concomitant virus infection, such as HIV, HBV, and/or HCV, and treated with ICI, both toxicity and efficacy rates were similar to those observed in patients without viral infection; moreover, no viral reactivation was observed [42••, 45–47]. Supporting these findings, results from the TERAVOLT study, though still preliminary, suggest that the type of systemic therapy, including targeted therapy, chemotherapy, and ICIs, did not affect survival in patients with COVID-19 [32•].

Overall, though not yet conclusive, data so far generated support the safety of PD-(L)1 blockade with or without chemotherapy and/or TKIs, and the lack of association with an increased severity or mortality by COVID-19 [10].

An additional major challenge in the COVID-19 pandemic consists in making a rapid and correct differential diagnosis among SARS-CoV-2-induced pneumonitis and drug-induced lung toxicity. Indeed, this latter may present with symptoms often not specific, consisting mainly of cough (or its worsening), chest pain, dyspnea, and fever, that are very similar to those observed in the SARS-CoV-2 infection (Table 2) [5, 48]. Along this line, also radiological imaging of drug-related toxicity may overlap with that typical of COVID-19-induced pneumonia, thus hindering the discrimination of these clinical entities (Table 2) [5]. Chest computed tomography (CT) is the imaging modality of choice, for the evaluation of pneumonitis in patients with lung cancer underwent target therapy or immunotherapy, and it is also the most sensitive method for diagnosis of COVID-19 pneumonitis, even in initial stages of the illness [15]. Concerning ICI-related lung toxicity, a wide spectrum of imaging manifestations has been reported, such as ground glass (GG) areas and/or consolidations that occurs in approximately 70–80% of cases, usually with no specific distribution (Fig. 1a), septal thickening, and traction bronchiectasis in about 15–20% of patients, or features of acute interstitial pneumonitis (AIP) including consolidations and volume loss that depend on severity of toxicity; additionally, lesions are mostly multifocal and involve the lower lobes (Table 2) [7••, 48–50]. Similar to ICI, TKIs can induce lung toxicity, particularly interstitial lung disease (ILD), in approximately 4% of treated patients [51]. The CT patterns of TKI-associated ILD include nonspecific areas of GG opacity, multifocal areas of air space consolidation, patchy distribution of GG accompanied by interlobular septal thickening and extensive bilateral GG, or air space consolidations with traction bronchiectasis and small centrilobular nodes (Table 2) [52]. Similar to radiological imaging observed in drug-related lung toxicity, CT features of typical COVID-19 pneumonitis include bilateral subpleural areas of GG that are mainly located in the lower lobe, frequently reported to have round morphology (Fig. 1b) and they are often extensive (Table 2) [7••]. These radiological features seem to be dependent on disease duration; indeed, the onset of COVID-19 coronavirus disease 2019, ICI immune checkpoint inhibitor, TKI tyrosine kinase inhibitor, GG ground glass, AIP acute interstitial pneumonitis, ILD interstitial lung disease

\*As a further immune-related adverse event

| Table 2 | Differential diagnosis between COVID-19-related pneumonitis and drugs (ICI or TKI)-related pneumonitis: clinical (A) and radiological (B) characteristics |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| (A) Main clinical characteristic | (B) Main radiological characteristics |
| COVID-19 | ICI | TKI | | COVID-19 | ICI | TKI |
| Dyspnea | X | X | X | GG areas | X | X | X |
| Cough | X | X | X | Consolidations | - | X | X |
| Chest pain | X | X | X | Septal thickening | X | X | X |
| Fever | X | X | X | Traction bronchiectasis | - | X | X |
| Hypoxemia | X | X | X | Features of AIP/ILD | - | X | X |
| Myalgia | X | -* | - | Multifocal manifestations: | X | X | X |
| Fatigue | X | - | - | ● > Peripheral | - | X | X |
| Headache | X | - | - | ● > Lower lobe | X | X | X |
| Anosmia | X | - | - | ● > Subpleural | X | - | - |
| Dysgeusia | X | - | - | Bilateral manifestations | X | X | X |
| Abdominal pain | X | -* | - | Vascular thickening | X | - | - |
| Diarrhea | X | -* | -* | Small centrilobular nodules | - | - | X |
| Runny nose | X | - | - | Honeycombing | - | - | X |

*" Springer
of thickened intralobular septa in the areas of GG occurs approximately after 8–10 days of COVID-19 and results in a crazy paving pattern. Pulmonary vascular abnormalities such as vascular dilation are also often found in COVID-19 pneumonia [53]. When infection progresses, areas of consolidation can develop both in pre-existent GG areas but also in lung areas that were previously not involved [7••]. In the healing stage of disease, features of organizing pneumonia can be also seen [7••]. Atypical CT patterns of COVID-19 pneumonitis mostly consist in pseudo-nodular consolidations that suggest an organizing pneumonia, or in focal area of patchy opacities surrounded by a peripheral ring with consolidation (so-called reversed halo sign) that are often absent at the time of symptom onset [7••]. Other atypical presentations include unilateral presentation and apical predominance or peribronchovascular disease [7••]. To standardize assessment of un-enhanced CT in patient with suspected COVID-19 pneumonia, CO-RADS (COVID-19 reporting and data system) have been developed [54]. Furthermore, it has been reported a high incidence of pulmonary emboli in subjects with COVID-19, thus suggesting the inclusion of a CT-angiography in the diagnostics for this disease [55–57]. Noteworthy, in patients with coexisting lung diseases, such as emphysema or fibrosis, radiological features are less specific, and comparison with previous CT imaging is mandatory.

Altogether, the clinical and radiological characteristics observed in drug-related toxicities appear very similar to those induced by SARS-CoV-2 infection, thus generating a great challenge for oncologists and radiologist in distinguishing these entities; moreover, these specific diseases require very different therapeutic approaches. Naso-pharyngeal swabs and serology tests, as well bronchoscopy with bronchoalveolar lavage, are often crucial to discriminate infection from drug-toxicity; however, cytological examination can require time, and virological tests, despite the high specificity, do not have reliable sensitivity and are often unavailable for testing suspect patients in the world [9]. Unfortunately, standard chemotherapy does not seem to represent a suitable or potentially safer alternative to ICI or targeted therapy [5]. In fact, it must first be considered that targeting ICI alone or in combination to platinum-based chemotherapy has shown the best efficacy and now represents the standard of care for lung cancer patients not eligible to targeted therapy [58–62]. Second, the onset of chemotherapy-related pneumonitis has been reported in up to 16% of treated patients; moreover, cytotoxic agents have immunosuppressive activity that can make patients more susceptible to infection [63, 64]. Consistently, treatment with chemotherapy within the month preceding COVID-19 diagnosis has been reported to be associated with a higher risk of severe infection-related complications [65]. Based on the above considerations, it is crucial to inform the COVID-team (such as, pulmonologists, infectious disease specialists, anesthesiologists, radiologists) about of the type of anti-tumor treatment that patients received to aid in the differential diagnosis.

The tsunami of the pandemic has involved also the oncological research. Indeed, in the past year, most basic scientific researches have been curtailed/reshaped and have been prioritized those directed to SARS-CoV-2. Furthermore, it has been reported a 60% decrease in the new clinical trials.

**Fig. 1** CT scans of pneumonia due to **a** immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy or **b** COVID-19: **a** Axial lung image (without intravenous contrast) of an immune checkpoint inhibitor-treated 63-year-old man with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer, showing ground glass opacities, with nonrounded morphology and no specific distribution, associated to a right small area of consolidation. **b** Axial lung image (without intravenous contrast) of a 64-year-old man with COVID-19 showing bilateral ground glass opacities some of which with rounded morphology (yellow arrow) and interlobular septal thickening, predominantly located in the peripheral, near the fissures and posterior part of the lungs; vascular dilation is also seen (red arrows).
for cancer drugs, and most ongoing studies have been temporarily suspended, as well as patient recruitment within studies, due to partial or total lockdowns imposed in various countries [66, 67]. To overcome these obstacles, the scientific community has rapidly gone virtual and has made a detour to remote platforms, thus facilitating the sharing of information in the most efficient way and bringing clinical research back to full operation [67].

**Lung Cancer Management in the COVID Era**

The dramatic impact that COVID-19 infection can have on lung cancer patients has highlighted the need to implement infection control measures and reorganize cancer management care [68]. As discussed above, data regarding the potential interference between cancer patients and COVID-19 infection are still not conclusive. Consequently, the dilemma for oncologists is how to balance the risk of COVID-19 exposure with delivering an active therapy and assistance to patients [38, 69–71]. This complex task inevitably involves an emotional struggle for oncologists who on the one hand would like to treat patients, and on the other hand they are afraid of losing them due to COVID infection [38, 72, 73]. Along this line, oncologists have to preserve patients from COVID-19 infection, by limiting their access to the hospital unless strictly necessary, but they have also to guarantee a successful anticancer treatment, as the delay of cancer treatment could limit the efficacy of anti-tumor therapy and lead to cancer-related morbidity or even death of patients [74, 75]. Similarly, lung cancer patients are living a stressful situation too, because they have an increased perception of their fragility, mainly due to the cancellation and rescheduling visits caused by the imposed self-isolation during the lockdown or telemedicine that substitutes the in-presence visits [10, 73]. Given this very intricated clinical situation, since the outbreak, the main International Oncology Societies, including American Society of Clinical Oncology, European Society for Medical Oncology, and Italian Association of Medical Oncology, have proposed a variety of recommendations to help oncologists to safely guarantee the continuation of cancer treatments and to ensure the best standard of care (https://www.asco.org/asco-coronavirus-information, https://www.aiom.it/rischio-infettivoda-coronavirus-covid-19-indicazioni-aiom-comu-cipomperl oncologia-2/) [76•]. These recommendations are mostly based on epidemic area, local healthcare structure capacity, individual risk of infection, stage of neoplastic disease, patient comorbidities, age, and treatment characteristics, and include relationship with the physician, indications of hygiene and protective measures anti-COVID-19, restrictions operated by cancer centers to prevent the spread of the virus [77]. Along this line, since the outbreak, a profound reorganization of (cancer) hospital has been done, and several precautions have been taken from the oncology community to protect cancer patients and ensure their safety while maintaining treatments. These measures include the following: (i) telemedicine service for the follow-up visits or other evaluations to reduce/limit the number of visits to the hospital and the consequent risk of infection and (ii) a triage system for all patients and their caregivers before entering hospital, including screening temperature checks and questionnaires. Along this line, at our center, all patients have to perform a rhino-oro-pharyngeal swabs before admitting to the hospital for cancer therapy, and this thanks to the availability of real-time PCR assays that can provide COVID-19 status results within an hour; thereby, a SARS-CoV-2 free-zones has been created, ruling out infection in all patients and limiting access to their caregivers [78].

**Conclusions**

COVID-19 pandemic has strained and tested the resilience of worldwide healthcare systems in an unprecedented manner, thus generating profound changes, particularly in the cancer community. Along this line, pending antiviral therapeutic advances, including effective vaccines capable of halting the spread of SARS-CoV-2, every effort has been made by oncology community to rethink treatment pathways and strategies to protect cancer patients from COVID-19 infection and to avoid interruption of treatment.

Despite the reshaping of clinical and scientific activity in the pandemic era, some questions still remain open and will require quick clarification: (i) Asymptomatic, COVID-19 infected lung cancer patients may continue or start anti-cancer therapy, including ICI? (ii) Lung cancer patients with post-COVID-19 pulmonary fibrosis may start/restart ICI therapy or targeted therapy? and what risks could we expect? (iii) Could COVID-19-induced pulmonary fibrosis represent a potential risk factor for secondary lung cancer? If so, should post-COVID-19 patients, especially those with pulmonary fibrosis, be monitored more closely? This is an important aspect to consider in light of the high percentage of COVID-19-infected patients worldwide. Dedicated clinical trials in lung cancer patients and COVID-19 co-infection are urgently needed to provide useful clarification on these topics.

Finally, building on lessons learned from the current pandemic, large-scale collaborations in the cancer community, which have proven effective in addressing the crisis quickly, should become an integral part of healthcare to improve and ensure the best quality of care for our patients. This can also be achieved through the use of information technology and other innovative approaches that can allow a faster exchange of scientific information and collaborations across borders.
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