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By first-principles calculations we investigate the electronic structure of tetragonal BiCoO$_3$/BiFeO$_3$ bilayers with different terminations. The multiferroic insulator BiCoO$_3$ and BiFeO$_3$ transform into metal in all of three models. Particularly, energetically favored model CoO$_2$-BiO exhibits ferroelectric metallic properties, and external electric field enhances the ferroelectric displacements significantly. The metallic character is mainly associated to $e_g$ electrons, while $t_{2g}$ electrons are responsible for ferroelectric properties. Moreover, the strong hybridization between $e_g$ and $p$ electrons around Fermi level provides conditions to the coexistence of ferroelectric and metallic properties. These special behaviors of electrons are influenced by the interfacial electronic reconstruction with formed Bi-O electrovalent bond, which breaks O$_A$-Fe/Co-O$_B$ coupling partially. Besides, the external electric field reverses spin polarization of Fe/Co ions efficiently, even reaching 100%.

Multiferroics with ferroelectricity, ferromagnetism or ferroelasticity simultaneously have great potential applications in information storage, electronic devices and sensors$^{1-3}$. Particularly, magneto-electric multiferroics, where the spontaneous ferroelectric polarization can be controlled by an external magnetic field or vice versa, are found in perovskite-type transition metal oxides providing bright prospect for novel spintronic devices$^{4-8}$. Oxide heterostructures exhibit unique properties absent in the corresponding isolated parent compounds, therefore it is an effective means to study emergent physics of correlated electrons, such as, metal-insulator transition$^9$, two-dimensional electron gas and sharp interfaces at LaAlO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ interfaces$^{10-13}$, orientation-dependent magnetism and so on$^{14}$. Besides, recent technology advances in oxide synthesis at the atomic level make artificially designing heterostructures feasible$^{15}$. We attempt to combine two perovskite-like multiferroics into bilayers aimed at inducing novel electronic and magnetic states, providing theoretical support for new multifunction devices as well. We pay attention upon Bi-based perovskite materials, whose ferroelectric properties originates from a lone pair of (6s)$^2$ electrons$^{16-18}$, and select tetragonal BiFeO$_3$ (BFO) and BiCoO$_3$ (BCO) as multiferroics candidates.

The perovskite BFO is the only known room-temperature single-phase magneto-electric multiferroic material, which is intensively studied in the last decade, with a high ferroelectric Curie temperature of 1103 K and antiferromagnetic Neel temperature of 643 K$^{19-23}$, exhibiting weak magnetism at room temperature due to a residual moment from a canted spin structure$^{24}$. Notably, tetragonal BFO has much higher spontaneous polarization of 150 $\mu$C/cm$^2$ and charge transfer excitations than rhombohedral phase, and gets considerable high resistance changes in ferroelectric tunnel junctions$^{25-29}$. The resistance changes in ferroelectric tunnel junctions based on tetragonal BFO are considerably high (OFF/ON ratio $>$10000) among known ferroelectric tunnel junctions$^{30}$. BCO has been suggested to be a promising multiferroic material, which is predicted to exhibit a giant polarization and extremely high transition temperature$^{31,32}$. The ferroelectricity of BCO is found to be primarily driven by the lone-pair activity of Bi$^{3+}$, and magnetism being driven by the high-spin state of Co$^{3+}$ in a C-type antiferromagnetic structure below a Neel temperature of 420 K$^{33,34}$. And, BFO and BCO with large spontaneous ferroelectric polarization have great potential application in electrically controllable devices$^{35-39}$. Besides, compounding BFO with BCO is accessible experimentally in the form of epitaxial thin film$^{40}$ and the BFO/BCO multiferroic solid solutions are studied theoretically$^{41}$. Previous studies show that the antiferromagnetic insulator BiFeO$_3$ can exhibit ferromagnetism in BiFeO$_3$/La$_{0.7}$/Sr$_{0.3}$/MnO$_3$ interface$^{42,43}$ and two-dimensional electron gas in BiFeO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ interface$^{44}$, demonstrating that heterointerface is significant in BiFeO$_3$-based bilayers. However,
the heterostructures by constructing BiFeO₃ with another multiferroic BiCoO₃ may present some fantastic properties based on its multiferroic characteristics.

In this paper, we study the electronic structure of BCO/BFO bilayers with different terminations and investigate the external electric field effect on the bilayers by first-principles calculations. We find that energetically favored model CoO₂-BiO exhibits ferroelectric metallic properties due to the division of ε⁺ and t₂g electrons as well as ε₋p hybridization. Additional, external electric field enhances the ferroelectric displacements markedly. These special behaviors of electrons are influenced by the interfacial electronic reconstruction with formed Bi-O electrovalent bond, which breaks O₃-Fe/Co-O₈ coupling partially. Our results indicate that interfacial coupling and electric field play key roles on the novel ferroelectric metallic properties of model CoO₂-BiO, which provides opportunities for developing functional nanoelectronic devices.

**Calculation Details**

Our first-principle calculations are performed using density functional theory (DFT) within the local spin-density approximation (LSDA), based on the projector augment wave (PAW) pseudo-potentials. The energy cutoff for plane wave basis set is 500 eV and the Brillouin zone is sampled with Γ-centered 5 × 5 × 5 and 5 × 5 × 1 k point meshes for bulk compounds and bilayers respectively, providing numerical convergence of 10⁻⁶ eV. All the structures are fully relaxed until the maximum Hellmann–Feynman forces on each atom are less than 0.02 eV Å⁻¹. Aimed at getting reasonable results, we include an on-site Coulomb repulsion of U = 6 eV for Co 3d states⁴⁵, and U = 4.5 eV for Fe 3d states⁴⁶,⁴⁷, which are sufficient to describe the related bulk properties.

Tetragonal phase of the multiferroic BFO used in this work has a perovskite-type structure with a lattice constant of a = 3.770 Å and c/a = 1.233 in space group P4mm. The primitive cell of orthorhombic BFO contains one molecule with one Bi atom located at (0.0, 0.0, 0.0), one Fe atom at (0.5, 0.5, 0.439), one axial OA atom at (0.5, 0.5, −0.170) in BiO layer and two equatorial O₂ atoms at (0.0, 0.5, 0.294) and (0.5, 0.0, 0.294) in FeO₂ layer. The magnetic character of BFO is G-type where the Fe atoms are aligned ferromagnetically within the (111) planes and antiferromagnetically between adjacent planes. Bulk BCO is an antiferromagnetic insulator of G-type which is the most stable magnetic order in BCO⁴⁸, where Co ions are aligned antiferromagnetically within the (xy) planes and antiferromagnetically between adjacent planes. Bulk BCO is an antiferromagnetic insulator of G-type which is the most stable magnetic order in BCO⁴⁸, where Co ions are aligned antiferromagnetically within the (xy) planes and antiferromagnetically between adjacent planes.

Results and Discussion

First, we analyze the total and projected densities of states (DOS) of fully relaxed bulk BFO and BCO shown in Fig. 2. For BFO, the charge transfer gap is determined by the filled oxygen 2p band and the unoccupied 3d band of Fe, and the calculated band gap of 1.93 eV is in good agreement with previous calculations⁴⁶, but inconsistent with the experimental value of 3.10 eV⁴⁹, as a result of using the LSDA approximation. The Fe spins are antiparallel and the corresponding DOS is symmetrical, so we only show one. The calculated Fe magnetic moments are ±4.107 μₜ per atom. For BCO, the calculated total DOS is similar with previous calculations⁴⁶, and the Co ions are in high-spin state which is consistent with the experimental result⁴⁹, as shown in Fig. 2(a,d). The spin-up and spin-down band structures are completely compatible, so we only show spin-up structure in Fig. 2(e). We find that the strong correlated effect of Co 3d is well described with a band gap of 1.52 eV and the Co magnetic moments are ±3.035 μₜ per atom, which are in good agreement with the experimental values of 1.7 eV and 3.24 μₜ⁴⁴,⁴⁵. These bulk results reveal that the used parameters in the present work are reasonable.

We carry out the electronic band structures of three models and separate out the BCO’s contribution to demonstrate the changes of the electronic states in BCO by comparing with bulk BCO states in same path, as shown in Fig. 3. Obviously, both BCO and BFO transforms into metal in all of three interfacial models and BCO undergoes a dramatic change, revealing that interfacial compound probably is an efficient method to explore emergent physics as well. The strong interfacial effect is also reflected by the remarkable accumulation and depletion of electrons at interfaces, as shown in Fig. 1(d–l). The electronic structures of isolated BFO and BCO are calculated by freezing the atoms of the respective component at the supercell positions.
and BiO-FeO₂, see Fig. 2(d,j). For model CoO₂-FeO₂, apparent accumulation of electrons between Co and Fe occurs at the interfacial regions revealing that Co and Fe ions combine via metallic bond we propose, as shown in Fig. 2(g). The calculated cohesive energies demonstrate that model CoO₂-BiO is the most stable structure with a considerably large value of 11.474 eV and model CoO₂-FeO₂ is very unstable with a negative value, as listed in Table 1, which is reasonable since the interfaces in model CoO₂-BiO and BiO-FeO₂ are similar with the structures of bulk BCO and BFO, while model CoO₂-FeO₂ totally not.

We further analyze the geometric structure of three models and the Bi-O, Fe-O and Co-O polar displacements of three models in one layer along [001] direction are calculated by subtracting the position of O ions. The black lines in Fig. 4 indicate that the displacements of model CoO₂-BiO is larger than the other two models and we list the average values in Table 1. It is obvious that the displacements in model CoO₂-BiO is almost 50% larger than model CoO₂-FeO₂ and model BiO-FeO₂ and nearly three quarters of correspond bulks. Therefore, model CoO₂-BiO exhibit metallic properties with remarkable ferroelectric structures since tetragonal BCO and BFO, while model CoO₂-FeO₂ totally not.

To further investigate its ferroelectric properties, we add an electric field to all of three bilayers considering the strong electric field effect on ferroelectrics owing to the spontaneous polarization. Based on the experimental study on bulk, we add the electric field of 6 and 10 mV/Å (i.e., 600 and 1000 kV/cm) respectively and calculated the relative displacements of positive and negative ions in same layer along z axis, as shown in Fig. 4. We find that...
the polarization displacements in model CoO$_2$-FeO$_2$ and model BiO-FeO$_2$ with electric field (see red and blue lines) are close to the situation without electric field (see black lines) shown in Fig. 4(b,c), but the polarization shifts in model CoO$_2$-BiO are enhanced greatly on the condition of applied electric field, particularly at the interfacial regions as shown in Fig. 4(a). This result further confirms the ferroelectric metallic properties of model CoO$_2$-BiO and demonstrates that external electric field can modulate the ferroelectric polarization. Figure 1(d) reveals the strong interfacial coupling by Bi-O electrovalent bonds in the interfacial regions of model CoO$_2$-BiO, and we further notice that the interfacial Bi-O bonds exist even in applied electric field, as shown in Fig. 1(e,f). This short-range pair interaction makes the ferroelectric polarization properties of bulks preserved in bilayers and lowers the electrostatic energy further stabilize the bilayers structure.

---

Figure 2. (a) Total DOS for bulk BFO and BCO. (b) Partial DOS for bulk BFO. (c) Partial DOS for bulk BCO. (d) DOS for Co $d$ electrons in bulk BCO. The Fermi level is indicated by vertical lines and set to zero. (e) Spin-up band structure of bulk BCO.

Figure 3. Spin-up band structure of bulk BCO, model (a) CoO$_2$-BiO, (b) CoO$_2$-FeO$_2$ and (c) BiO-FeO$_2$ bilayers. The red color indicates BCO and gray BFO. $E_F = 0$ eV.
On the other hand, the structure of models CoO$_2$-BiO and BiO-FeO$_2$ contains two asymmetry surfaces and might be as polar as LaAlO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ interface within a large “internal” electric field$^{9,55}$, which automatically gives rise to the metallicity of the system. We check the same asymmetry geometry in pure BFO and BCO, which possesses the form (BiO-MO$_2$)$^n$ within 15 Å vacuum space in z direction ($M$=Fe/Co, $n$=2, 3, 4). The calculated band structures indicate that such pure BFO is insulating when $n$=2/3 but exhibits metallic in $n$=4, while the pure BCO is metallic and not affected by $n$. Hence, the asymmetry structure is important for the metallic characters in CoO$_2$-BiO model. Furthermore, such pure metallic properties in BFO and BCO are distinguished from the metallic characters in model CoO$_2$-BiO. Firstly, both uppermost valence band (UVB) and lowest conduction band (LCB) approach the Fermi level in (BiO-FeO$_2$)$_4$, while the LCB of isolated BFO in model CoO$_2$-BiO is far away from the Fermi level (see Fig. 3a). Secondly, the UVB in pure BCO overlaps with the Fermi level heavily, while the UVB of isolated BiCoO$_3$ in CoO$_2$-BiO model only approach the Fermi level (see Fig. 3a). It is obvious that the strong interfacial couplings have a great effect on the metallic characters in CoO$_2$-BiO model.

Next, we analyze the electronic DOS distribution of ions in the interfacial regions of model CoO$_2$-BiO in detail shown in Fig. 5. We find that, for BCO, I-Co $d$ electrons hybridize with II-O $p$ electrons distinctly but interact weakly with I-O $p$ electrons in the energy range from $-3$ eV to Fermi level ($E_F$) as indicated in Fig. 5(a). Similarly, for BFO, II-Fe $d$ electrons hybridize obviously with II-O $p$ electrons while lightly with I-O $p$ electrons in the energy window from $-1.2$ to $-0.3$ eV as shown in Fig. 5(b). The label “I-Co” represents the Co ions in layer I as shown in Fig. 1(a), and this kind of definition is used in the whole letter. For bulk BCO and BFO, Fe/Co ions hybridize with O$_x$ and O$_n$ along with clear O alignment as shown in Fig. 2(b,c). For model CoO$_2$-BiO, the interfacial Bi-O bonds make I-O $p$ electrons in BFO and BCO change dramatically and break the balance of O$_x$-Fe/Co-O$_n$ partially, which further influences the metallic properties of bilayers with retained ferroelectric properties.

|          | Bulk | CoO$_2$-BiO (mV/Å) | CoO$_2$-FeO$_2$ (mV/Å) | BiO-FeO$_2$ (mV/Å) |
|----------|------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
|          | $d_{bi-O}$ | $E$=0 $E$=6 $E$=10 | $E$=0 $E$=6 $E$=10 | $E$=0 $E$=6 $E$=10 |
|          | 0.803 | 0.582 0.654 0.646 | 0.268 0.248 0.273 | 0.364 0.403 0.365 |
|          | 0.643 | 0.402 0.485 0.455 | 0.167 0.147 0.188 | 0.172 0.227 0.157 |
| $W_{sep}$ | –    | 11.474 12.378 11.754 | $-1.998$ $-3.150$ $-2.886$ | 6.442 8.900 7.626 |

Table 1. The average values of Bi-O and B-O polar displacements in one layer along [001] direction in three models within different values of external electric field, B = Co/Fe. Corresponding cohesive energy $W_{sep}$ is listed.

Figure 4. The change of Bi-O and B-O polar displacements in each layer along [001] direction in model (a) CoO$_2$-BiO, (b) CoO$_2$-FeO$_2$ and (c) BiO-FeO$_2$ due to different values of external electric field, B = Co/Fe. Open square symbols represent Bi-O displacements, and solid square symbols represent B-O displacements.
Then, we analyze the Fe/Co DOS in each layer in different condition of electric field or not, as shown in Fig. 6. The Fe electronic distribution varies gently as indicated by Fig. 6(a–c), while Co ions change heavily shown in Fig. 6(d–f). We define spin polarization \( P = \frac{N_{\uparrow}(E_F) - N_{\downarrow}(E_F)}{N_{\uparrow}(E_F) + N_{\downarrow}(E_F)} \) in terms of the total DOS in the spin-up \( N_{\uparrow} \) and spin-down \( N_{\downarrow} \) channels respectively, and find that the spin polarization of I-Co is reversed from 70% to \(-89\%\) on the condition of \( E = 6 \text{ mV/Å} \) by comparing Fig. 6(d) with Fig. 6(e). Besides, the spin polarization of III-Co and V-Co are reversed from 49% to \(-82\%\) and 54% to \(-62\%\) respectively on the condition of

![Figure 5. DOS for Fe, Co and O ions in interfacial regions of model CoO\(_2\)-BiO. \( E_F = 0 \text{ eV} \).](image)

![Figure 6. Partial DOS for (a–c) Fe and (d–f) Co in each layer of model CoO\(_2\)-BiO on different values of electric field. \( E_F = 0 \text{ eV} \).](image)
The apparent positive-negative spin polarization reverse in Co ions demonstrates that electric field not only can be used to induce magnetic moments via magneto-electric effect as previous report\(^2\), but also can reverse spin polarization. The Fe/Co magnetic moments are listed in Table 2, which are influenced heavily by interfacial effect and electric field. The numbers of Fe/Co magnetic moments in same layer are equal but with different signs, so we only list the positive numbers in Table 2. In addition, the Co magnetic moments are changed easily, which is reasonable since the Co ions possess flexible possibilities of high, intermediate and low spin states. The electronic rearrangements of Co caused by interfacial coupling are also reflected by

\[ E = 10 \text{ mV/Å} \]

according to Fig. 6(d,f). The apparent positive-negative spin polarization reverse in Co ions demonstrates that electric field not only can be used to induce magnetic moments via magneto-electric effect as previous report\(^2\), but also can reverse spin polarization. The Fe/Co magnetic moments are listed in Table 2, which are influenced heavily by interfacial effect and electric field. The numbers of Fe/Co magnetic moments in same layer are equal but with different signs, so we only list the positive numbers in Table 2. In addition, the Co magnetic moments are changed easily, which is reasonable since the Co ions possess flexible possibilities of high, intermediate and low spin states. The electronic rearrangements of Co caused by interfacial coupling are also reflected by

---

**Table 2.** The calculated magnetic moments (\(\mu_B\)) of Fe and Co in each layer of three models as compared to the bulk.

| Atom | Bulk | \(\text{Co}_2\text{O}_3\)-\(\text{BiO}\) (mV/Å) | \(\text{Co}_2\text{O}_2\)-\(\text{FeO}_2\) (mV/Å) | \(\text{BiO}\)-\(\text{FeO}_2\) (mV/Å) |
|------|------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|      |      | \(E = 0\) | \(E = 6\) | \(E = 10\) | \(E = 0\) | \(E = 6\) | \(E = 10\) | \(E = 0\) | \(E = 6\) | \(E = 10\) |
| Co   | I    | 3.009  | 2.902  | 3.046  | 2.898  | 2.516  | 0.889  | –       | –       | –       |
|      | II   | –      | –      | –      | –      | –      | –      | 1.829   | 3.067   | 1.809   |
|      | III  | 3.032  | 3.040  | 2.808  | 2.633  | 2.575  | 2.696  | –       | –       | –       |
|      | IV   | –      | –      | –      | –      | –      | –      | 1.698   | 2.972   | 2.988   |
|      | V    | 2.624  | 2.642  | 1.052  | 2.017  | 3.016  | 2.228  | –       | –       | –       |
| Fe   | I    | 4.108  | –      | –      | –      | –      | –      | 3.936   | 3.910   | 3.969   |
|      | II   | –      | 4.076  | 4.093  | 4.090  | –      | –      | 4.120   | 4.099   | 4.125   |
|      | III  | –      | –      | –      | –      | 3.624  | 3.644  | 3.741   | 4.084   | 4.092   |
|      | IV   | 3.765  | 3.605  | 3.573  | –      | –      | –      | 4.010   | 3.968   | 2.861   |
|      | V    | –      | –      | –      | 3.841  | 2.797  | 1.695  | –       | –       | –       |
| Total|      | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.000   |

---

**Figure 7.** DOS for Co/Fe \(d\) electrons in each layer of model \(\text{Co}_2\text{O}_2\)-\(\text{BiO}\). \(E_F = 0\) eV.
charge density difference since electrons with different orbital contours increase or decrease, shown in Fig. 1(d–l).

Although it is widely believed that metals cannot exhibit ferroelectricity since the static internal electric fields are screened by conduction electrons \(^56\), the ferroelectric metal is theoretically proposed by Anderson and Blount in 1965\(^57\). Recently LiOsO\(_3\) is identified as the first typical example \(^58\), and the microscopic mechanism for the ferroelectric-like structural transition in a metal are investigate widely\(^59,60\). The Mott multiferroic based on LiOsO\(_3\) is predicted by compounding with LiNbO\(_3\) as well\(^61\). However, in our model CoO\(_2\)-BiO, the ferroelectrics are transformed into metal from insulator via interfacial coupling, which is opposite the LiOsO\(_3\)-type metal into ferroelectric transition. The itinerant \(d\) electrons can screen the electric fields and inhibit the electrostatic forces, so we analyze the \(d\) electron states of Fe/Co ions in each layer of model CoO\(_2\)-BiO on purpose as shown in Fig. 7. We find that the metallic property is associated to the electrons in \(e\(_g\)\) orbitals (i.e., \(d\(_{z^2}\) and \(d\(_{x^2-y^2}\)\)), and these electrons hybridize with O \(p\) electrons around \(E_F\) according to Fig. 5. However, the electrons in \(t\(_{2g}\)\) orbitals (i.e., \(d\(_{xy}\), \(d\(_{xz}\), and \(d\(_{yz}\)\)) have no contribution to the metallic character, which are responsible for the ferroelectric properties as shown in Figs 2(d) and 7. Therefore, although the specific \(e\(_g\)\) electrons exhibit metallic property, they simultaneously hybridize with O \(p\) electrons, which makes ferroelectric and metallic features coexist. And we argue that this special electron occupation is tightly associated with the interfacial coupling as mentioned above.

On the other hand, the ferroelectric displacements are not sensitive to external electric field in model BiO-FeO\(_2\) as shown in Fig. 4(c), and we believe that the different behavior of models CoO\(_2\)-BiO and BiO-FeO\(_2\) is a result of termination effect. It is also found in model BiO-FeO\(_2\) that the electric field reverses spin polarization of Fe/Co ions. Figure 8(d–f) indicate that the spin polarization of IV-Co are reversed from \(-73\%\) to \(100\%\) upon \(E = 6\) and \(10\) mV/Å, While V-Fe are reversed from \(-100\%\) to \(53\%\) upon \(E = 10\) mV/Å according to Fig. 8(a,c). These results show that electric field can not only reverse the positive and negative of spin polarization, but also reach a considerable value even \(100\%\). In addition, the synthesis technology of oxides has beem improved significantly, such as MBE MOCVD, etc., which can fabricate high-quality epitaxial films and heterostructures. We take the La\(_{0.3}\)Sr\(_{0.7}\)MnO\(_3\)/BiFeO\(_3\) structures as an example. For the growth of La\(_{0.3}\)Sr\(_{0.7}\)O terminated La\(_{0.3}\)Sr\(_{0.7}\)MnO\(_3\) film, Yu et al. modify the SrTiO\(_3\) substrate from TiO\(_2\) termination to SrO termination\(^62\). To achieve this, a very thin layer (2.5 unit cells) of SrRuO\(_3\) was grown on SrTiO\(_3\), or growing one monolayer of SrO on the TiO\(_2\) terminated SrTiO\(_3\) substrate. And Kim et al. also fabricated the BiFeO\(_3\)-MnO\(_2\)-terminated and BiFeO\(_3\)-(La,Sr)O-terminated La\(_{0.3}\)Sr\(_{0.7}\)MnO\(_3\) structures in similar method\(^63\). Therefore, the energetically unfavored termination can be achieved by inserting specific monolayer in the stable termination. The prediction of ferroelectric metallic characteristics in BiFeO\(_3\)/BiCoO\(_3\) bilayers is meaningful for the experimental research, which can provide opportunities for developing novel functional electronic devices.

**Conclusion**

In summary, we investigate the electronic structure of BCO/BFO(001) bilayers with different terminations based on first-principles calculations. The multiferroic insulator BCO and BFO transform into metal in all of three

---

**Figure 8.** Partial DOS for (a–c) Fe and (d–f) Co in each layer of model BiO-FeO\(_2\) on different values of electric field, \(E_F = 0\) eV.
models. Particularly, energetically favored model CoO₂-BiO exhibits ferroelectric metallic properties and external electric field enhances the ferroelectric displacements markedly. The metallic character is mainly associated to the metallic properties of model CoO₂-BiO, which provides opportunities for developing functional nanoelectronic moments and external electric field reverses spin polarization of Fe/Co ions efficiently, reaching a maximum of 100%. Our results demonstrate that interfacial coupling and electric field play key roles on the novel ferroelectric metallic properties of model CoO₂-BiO, which provides opportunities for developing functional nanoelectronic devices. We hope that our theoretical prediction on the ferroelectric metallic properties and corresponding electric field effect can stimulate further experimental study.

References
1. Ramesh, R. & Spaldin, N. A. Multiferroics: progress and prospects in thin films. Nat. Mater. 6, 21–29 (2007).
2. Tokura, Y. Multiferroics as quantum electromagnets. Science 312, 1481–1482 (2006).
3. Fiebig, M. Revival of the magnetoelectric effect. J. Phys. D 38, R123–R148 (2005).
4. Gilbert, M., Zubko, P., Scherwitzl, R., Iniguez, J. & Triscone, J. M. Exchange bias in LaNiO₃-LaMnO₃ superlattices. Nat. Mater. 11, 195–198 (2012).
5. Hur, N. et al. Electric polarization reversal and memory in a multiferroic material induced by magnetic fields. Nature 429, 389–392 (2004).
6. Eerenstein, W., Mathur, N. D. & Scott, J. F. Multiferroic and magnetoelectric materials. Nature (London) 442, 759–65 (2006).
7. Spaldin, N. A. & Fiebig, M. The renaissance of magnetoelectric multiferroics. Science 309, 391–392 (2005).
8. Chéong, S. W. & Mostovoy, M. Multiferroics: a magnetic twist for ferroelectricity. Nat. Mater. 6, 13–20 (2007).
9. Ohtomo, A. & Hwang, H. Y. A high-mobility electron gas at the LaAlO₃/SrTiO₃ heterointerface. Nature 427, 423–426 (2004).
10. Cossu, F., Jilili, J. & Schwingenschlögl, U. 2D electron gas with 100% spin-polarization in the (LaMnO₃)₂/(SrTiO₃)₂ superlattice under uniaxial strain. Adv. Mater. 1, 140057 (2014).
11.  Jeong, H. Y. & Lee, J. H. Critical thickness for the two-dimensional electron gas in LaTiO₃/SrTiO₃ superlattices. Phys. Rev. B 88, 155111 (2013).
12. Zhang, Z., Wu, P., Chen, L. & Wang, J. L. First-principles study of the two dimensional electron gas at the BiFeO₃/SrTiO₃ interface. Appl. Phys. Lett. 99, 062902 (2011).
13. Nakagawa, N., Hwang, H. Y. & Müller, D. A. Why some interfaces cannot be sharp. Nat. Mater. 5, 204–209 (2006).
14. Dong, S. & Dagotto, E. Quantum confinement induced magnetism in LaNiO₃-LaMnO₃ superlattice. Phys. Rev. B 87, 195116 (2013).
15. Hwang, H. Y. et al. Emergent phenomena at oxide interfaces. Nat. Mater. 11, 103–113 (2012).
16. Cohen, R. E. Origin of ferroelectricity in perovskite oxides. Nature 358, 136–138 (1992).
17. Baettig, P., Schelle, C. F., LeSar, R., Waghmare, U. V. & Spaldin, N. A. Theoretical prediction of new high-performance lead-free piezoelectrics. Chem. Mater. 17, 1376–1380 (2005).
18. Iniguez, J., Vanderbilt, D. & Belläche, L. First-principles study of (BiO)₂₋ₓ(PTO)ₓ piezoelectric alloys. Phys. Rev. B 67, 224107 (2003).
19. Catalan, G. & Scott, J. F. Physics and applications of bismuth ferrite. Adv. Mater. 21, 2463–2485 (2009).
20. Kiselev, S. V., Ozerov, R. P. & Zhdanov, G. S. Detection of magnetic order in ferroelectric BiFeO₃ by neutron diffraction. Sov. Phys. Dokl. 7, 742–744 (1963).
21. Neaton, J. B., Ederer, C., Waghmare, U. V., Spaldin, N. A. & Rabe, K. M. First-principles study of spontaneous polarization in multiferroic BiFeO₃. Phys. Rev. B 71, 014113 (2005).
22. Ederer, C. & Spaldin, N. A. Weak ferromagnetism and magnetoelectric coupling in bismuth ferrit. Phys. Rev. B 71, 060401R (2005).
23. Lebeugle, D. et al. Electric-field-induced spin flop in BiFeO₃ single crystals at room temperature. Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 227602 (2008).
24. Smolenski, G. A. & Chupis, J. Ferromagnetism. Sov. Phys. Usp. 25, 475–493 (1982).
25. Zeches, R., Jo et al. A strain-driven morphotropic phase boundary in BiFeO₃. Science 326, 777–80 (2009).
26. Damodaran, A. R. et al. Nanoscale structure and mechanism for enhanced electromechanical response of highly strained BiFeO₃ thin films. Adv. Mater. 23, 3170–3175 (2011).
27. Hatt, A. J., Spaldin, N. A. & Ederer, C. Strain-induced isomorphous phase transition in BiFeO₃. Phys. Rev. B 81, 054109 (2010).
28. Martin, L. W. et al. Multiferroics and magnetoelectrics: thin films and nanostructures. J. Phys. Condens. Matter 20, 434220 (2008).
29. Chen, P. et al. Optical properties of quasi-tetragonal BiFeO₃ thin films. Appl. Phys. Lett. 96, 131907 (2010).
30. Tamio, H. et al. Giant electroresistance of super-tetragonal BiFeO₃-based ferroelectric tunnel junctions. ACS Nano 7, 5385–5390 (2013).
31. Yoshitaka, U., Tatsuya, S., Fumiyuki, I. & Tamio, O. First-principles predictions of giant electric polarization. Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 44, 7130–7133 (2005).
32. Oka, K. et al. Magnetic ground-state of perovskite PbVO₃ with large tetragonal distortion. Inorg. Chem. 47, 7355–7359 (2008).
33. Belik, A. A. et al. Neutron powder diffraction study on the crystal and magnetic structures of BiCoO₃. Chem. Mater. 18, 798–803 (2006).
34. Das, T. & Saha-Dasgupta, T. Spin-state transition in unstrained and strained ultra-thin BiCoO₃ films. Dalton Trans. 44, 10882–10887 (2015).
35. Wang, J. et al. Epitaxial BiFeO₃, multiferroic thin film heterostructures. Science 299, 1719–1722 (2003).
36. Wang, J. et al. Response to comment on “epitaxial BiFeO₃ multiferroic thin film heterostructures”. Science 307, 1203b (2005).
37. Bea, H. et al. Investigation on the origin of the magnetic moment of BiFeO₃ thin films by advanced x-ray characterizations. Phys. Rev. B 74, 020101R (2006).
38. Bea, H. et al. Influence of parasitic phases on the properties of BiFeO₃ epitaxial thin films. Appl. Phys. Lett. 87, 072508 (2005).
39. Rana, D. S. et al. Thickness dependence of the structure and magnetization of BiFeO₃ thin films on (LaMnO₃)ₓ/(STO)₁₋ₓ substrates. Phys. Rev. B 75, 060405R (2007).
40. Shintaro, Y. et al. Crystal structure and electrical properties of [100] oriented epitaxial BiFeO₃–BiFeO₃ films grown by metalorganic chemical vapor deposition. Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 47, 7582 (2008).
41. Dieguez, O. & Iniguez, J. First-principles investigation of morphotropic transitions and phase-change functional responses in BiFeO₃–BiCoO₃ multiferroic solid solutions. Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 057601 (2011).
42. Yu, P. et al. Interface ferromagnetism and orbital reconstruction in BiFeO₃–La₃Sr₂MnO₆ heterostructures. Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 027201 (2010).
43. Wu, S. M. et al. Reversible electric control of exchange bias in a multiferroic field-effect device. Nat. Mater. 9, 756–761 (2010).
44. Chen, C. L. et al. Two-dimensional electron exchange at the Ti-diffused BiFeO₃/SrTiO₃ interface. Appl. Phys. Lett. 107, 031601 (2015).
45. Cai, M. Q. et al. First-principles study of structural, electronic, and multiferroic properties in BiCoO$_3$. J. Chem. Phys. 126, 154708 (2007).

46. Feng, N., Mi, W. B., Wang, X. C. & Bai, H. L. The magnetism of Fe$_2$N$_3$ oxides (MgO, BaTiO$_3$, BiFeO$_3$) interfaces from first-principles calculations. RSC Adv. 4, 48848 (2014).

47. Ricinschi, D., Yun, K. Y. & Okuyama, M. A mechanism for the $150 \mu$Ccm$^{-2}$ polarization of BiFeO$_3$ films based on first-principles calculations and new structural data. J. Phys. Condens. Matter 18, L97–L105 (2006).

48. Sudayama, T. et al. Co–O–Co superexchange pathways enhanced by small charge-transfer energy in multiferroic BiCoO$_3$. Phys. Rev. B 83, 235105 (2011).

49. Yang, H., Jin, C., Mi, W. B., Bai, H. L. & Chen, G. F. Electronic and magnetic structure of Fe$_3$O$_4$/BiFeO$_3$ multiferroic superlattices: first principles calculations. J. Appl. Phys. 112, 063925 (2012).

50. Himcinschi, C. et al. Optical properties of epitaxial BiFeO$_3$ thin films grown on LaAlO$_3$. Appl. Phys. Lett. 106, 012908 (2015).

51. McLeod, J. A. et al. Electronic structure of BiMnO$_3$ multiferroics and related oxides. Phys. Rev. B 81, 144103 (2010).

52. Zhang, J. X. et al. Microscopic origin of the giant ferroelectric polarization in tetragonal-like BiFeO$_3$. Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 147602 (2011).

53. Jia, T. et al. Ab initio study of the giant ferroelectric distortion and pressure-induced spin-state transition in BiCoO$_3$. Phys. Rev. B 83, 174433 (2011).

54. Yun, K. Y., Ricinschi, D., Kanashima, T., Noda, M. & Okuyama, M. Giant ferroelectric polarization beyond $150 \mu$C/cm$^2$ in BiFeO$_3$ thin film. Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 43, L647 (2004).

55. Weston, L., Cui, X. Y., Ringer, S. P. & Stampfl, C. Density-functional prediction of a surface magnetic phase in SrTiO$_3$/LaAlO$_3$ heterostructures induced by Al vacancies. Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 186401 (2014).

56. Lines, M. E. & Glass, A. M. Principles and applications of ferroelectrics and related materials. Oxford Univ. Press (2001).

57. Anderson, P. W. & Blount, E. I. Symmetry considerations on martensitic transformations: “ferroelectric” metals? Phys. Rev. Lett. 14, 217–219 (1965).

58. Shi, Y. et al. A ferroelectric-like structural transition in a metal. Nature Mater. 12, 1024–7 (2013).

59. Giovannetti, G. & Capone, M. Dual nature of the ferroelectric and metallic state in LiOsO$_3$. Phys. Rev. B 90, 195113 (2014).

60. Xiang, H. J. Origin of polar distortion in LiNbO$_3$-type “ferroelectric” metals: role of A-site instability and short-range interactions. Phys. Rev. B 90, 094108 (2014).

61. Puggioni, D., Giovannetti, G., Capone, M. & Rondinelli, J. M. Design of a Mott multiferroic from a nonmagnetic polar metal. Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 087202 (2015).

62. Yu, P. et al. Interface control of bulk ferroelectric polarization. PNAS 109, 9710–9715 (2012).

63. Kim, Y. M. et al. Interplay of octahedral tilts and polar order in BiFeO$_3$ films. Adv. Mater. 25, 2497–2504 (2013).

64. Kimura, T. et al. Magnetic control of ferroelectric polarization. Nature 426, 55–58 (2003).

Acknowledgements
This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (51171126, 11434006), Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET-13-0409). It is also supported by High Performance Computing Center of Tianjin University, China.

Author Contributions
All authors designed the outline of the manuscript. L.Y. and W.B.M. wrote the main text; X.C.W. contributed detailed discussions and revisions; All the authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information
Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Yin, L. et al. Ferroelectric Metal in Tetragonal BiCoO$_3$/BiFeO$_3$ Bilayers and Its Electric Field Effect. Sci. Rep. 6, 20591; doi: 10.1038/srep20591 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/