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Abstract
We report on the state of the corona over the minimum and ascending phases of Solar Cycle (SC) 25 on the basis of the temporal evolutions of its radiance and of the properties of coronal mass ejections (CMEs), as determined from white-light observations performed by the SOHO/LASCO-C2 coronagraph. These evolutions are further compared with those determined during the past two Solar Cycles using the same methods. The integrated radiance of the K-corona and the occurrence rate of CMEs closely track the indices/proxies of solar activity, prominently the total magnetic field for the radiance and the 10.7 cm radio flux for the CMEs, all undergoing a steep increase during the ascending phase of SC 25. This increase is much steeper than anticipated on the basis of the predicted quasi-similarity between SC 25 and SC 24, and is confirmed by the recent evolution of the sunspot number. The radiance reached the same base level during the minima of SC 24 and SC 25, but the latitudinal extent of the streamer belt differed, being flatter during the latter minimum and in fact more similar to that of the minimum of SC 23. Synchronizing the descending branches of SC 23 and SC 24 led to a duration of SC 24 of 11.0 years, similar to that given by the sunspot number. In contrast, the base level of the occurrence rate of CMEs during the minimum of SC 25 was significantly larger than during the two previous minima. The southern hemisphere is conspicuously more active than the northern, in agreement with several predictions and the current evolution of the hemispheric sunspot numbers. In particular, the occurrence rate of the subset of CMEs with known mass, their mass rate, and the number of CMEs with speeds larger than 350 km s$^{-1}$ in the southern hemisphere exceeds by far the respective values in the northern hemisphere. The mean apparent width of CMEs and the number of halo CMEs remains at relatively large, constant levels throughout the early phase of SC 25, implying the persistence of weak total pressure in the heliosphere. These results, and particularly the perspective of a corona being more active than anticipated, are extremely promising for the forthcoming observations by both Solar Orbiter and Parker Solar Probe.
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1. Introduction

The white-light images obtained with the “LASCO-C2” Large-Angle Spectrometric Coronagraph (Brueckner et al., 1995) of the Solar and Heliospheric Observatory (SOHO: Domingo, Fleck, and Poland, 1995) have allowed an unprecedented continuous coverage of the activity of the solar corona, starting in 1996 and still ongoing. A first analysis of the temporal evolution of the white-light corona over the first 18.5 years (1996.0 – 2014.5) based on these images was performed by Barlyaeva, Lamy, and Llebaria (2015). They showed that the K-corona tracks solar activity at all time scales up to the solar cycle, including midterm quasi-periodicities (also known as quasi-biennial oscillations or QBOs). Among the various indices and proxies that they considered, the strongest correlation of the integrated coronal radiance was found with the total magnetic field. Lamy et al. (2014) compared in detail the solar minima of Solar Cycles (SC) 23 and 24 and found a 24% decrease of the integrated radiance of the latter minima, but noted a very different behavior of the northern and southern hemispheres with decreases of 17% and 29%, respectively. Phasing the ascending branches of the radiance of SC 23 and SC 24, they estimated the duration of SC 23 at 12 years and 3 months. The eruptive activity of the corona over 23 years (1996.0 – 2019.0) was investigated by Lamy et al. (2019) in their review of coronal mass ejections (CMEs) that compared their properties reported by five catalogs, one manual (CDAW) and four automated (ARTEMIS, CACTus, SEEDS, and CORIMP). They found that the occurrence and mass rates track the indices/proxies of solar activity, likewise the radiance of the corona, but that the strongest correlation was with the radio flux F10.7. However, the correlation coefficients were different during the two solar cycles, implying that the CME rates were relatively larger during SC 24 than during SC 23. Another striking feature of SC 24 was the significant deficit in both the occurrence and mass rates of CMEs in the southern hemisphere in comparison with the northern one.

With new LASCO-C2 data now extending to the beginning of 2022, thus covering the complete minimum phase of SC 25 and its ascending phase, we are in a position to extend our past analysis. We are particularly interested in characterizing these phases and comparing them with those of the past solar cycles on the basis of the evolution of the radiance of the K-corona and the CME activity. Another valuable aspect of describing and quantifying the present state of the corona consists in presenting the context for the ongoing solar space missions and particularly, the instruments imaging the corona: the Wide Field Imager for Solar Probe (WISPR; Vourlidas et al., 2016) on Parker Solar Probe (PSP; Fox et al., 2016), the Metis coronagraph (Antonucci et al., 2020) and the Solar Orbiter Heliospheric Imager (SoloHI; Howard et al., 2020) on Solar Orbiter (SOLO; Müller et al., 2020).

The present article makes use of images of the radiance of the K-corona and of the ARTEMIS-II catalog, part of the LASCO-C2 Legacy Archive hosted at the Integrated Data and Operation Center (formerly MEDOC) of Institut d’Astrophysique Spatiale. It is organized as follows: in Section 2, we briefly summarize the operations of SOHO and of LASCO and their performance. Section 3 is devoted to the analysis of the state of the K-corona and Section 4 to the properties of CMEs. In Section 5, we discuss our results in the broader context of solar activity and the predictions for Solar Cycle 25, and we conclude in Section 6.

2. SOHO and LASCO Operations

As far as LASCO is concerned, the operation of SOHO during the past years was nominal. SOHO continues to be periodically (every three months) rolled by 180° so that solar north
periodically alternates between up and down in the LASCO images in the instrument reference frame. The SOHO attitude is such that its reference orientation is perpendicular to the ecliptic plane, causing the projected direction of the solar rotational axis to oscillate between $\pm 7^\circ 15'$ around the “vertical” direction in the LASCO images.

The inflight performance of C2 slowly evolved as a consequence of the aging of the instrument. As an example, Figure 1 illustrates the evolutions of the offset bias of the CCD detector and of the calibration factor updated up to the end of 2021. The rapid evolution of the offset bias that prevailed during the first years of operation continues to level off, so that the change during the last few years is small. The calibration factor for the orange filter derived from thousands of measurements of stars present in the C2 field of view (Llebaria, Lamy, and Danjard, 2006; Gardès, Lamy, and Llebaria, 2013) exhibits a general increasing trend translating the continuous decline of the sensitivity of the C2 detector at a rate of typically 0.3% per year. Apart from the sudden jump in 1999 linked to the “hibernation” interval when SOHO lost its pointing, the two decreases that took place in (2011 – 2013) and (2019 – 2021) probably have their origin in the electronics of the instrument. This led us to introduce six linear functions to represent the temporal evolution of the factor. Inside each of the six regimes, the deviations of the measurements from the linear fits do not exceed 1%.
Figure 2  Monthly averaged daily rates of the routine radiance images (upper panel) and of the polarization sequences (lower panel) obtained with the LASCO-C2 coronagraph with the orange filter. The vertical hatched band in late 1998 corresponds to the loss of SOHO. The out-of-scale values of the latter rate correspond to high-cadence polarization campaigns. Those that took place during the last two years were performed during successive perihelion passages of the Parker Solar Probe.

The cadences of the routine full-frame images of $1024 \times 1024$ pixels used to detect and characterize the CMEs and of the polarization sequences generating the images of the radiance of the K-corona at the format of $512 \times 512$ pixels, all taken with the orange filter, have remained at about the same as past levels. As illustrated in Figure 2, the monthly averaged daily rates amounted to $\approx 120$ and $\approx 4$ for the full-frame images and the polarization sequences, respectively. The major increase of the daily rate of the full-frame images from $\approx 70$ to $\approx 120$ that took place in mid-2010 resulted from the increased telemetry share as other instruments on SOHO were decommissioned.

3. Characterization of the K-Corona

The polarization sequences were processed following the method developed by Lamy et al. (2020) to produce calibrated images of the polarized radiance $pB$, of the radiance of the
3.1. Structure of the K-Corona

An overview of the temporal evolution of the global structure of the corona is given by the multiannual synoptic map of the radiance of the K-corona over 26.2 years (1996–2022.2) at an elongation of 3.5 $R_\odot$ (Figure 3). The difference between the maxima of SC 23 and of SC 24 is overwhelming and has already been addressed in many past publications, e.g., Barlyaeva, Lamy, and Llebaria (2015); Battams et al. (2020). Equally striking are the differences between the three minima in both strength and latitude extent of the streamer belt; this will be further explored below. A final noteworthy feature is the fast development of the activity in the rising phase of SC 25 in comparison with the two past cycles, but this will become more obvious when considering the temporal evolution of the global radiance, which is the topic of the next section.

3.2. Temporal Evolution of the Radiance of the K-Corona

Following our past works (e.g., Barlyaeva, Lamy, and Llebaria, 2015), the radiance of the K-corona was globally integrated in an annular region extending from 2.7 to 5.5 $R_\odot$ and the individual values were averaged over the Carrington rotations. These authors compared the temporal variation with six indices and proxies of solar activity, but we limit the present comparison to the three most relevant: Sunspot Number (SSN),$^2$ Total Photospheric Magnetic Flux (TMF),$^3$ and Decimetric Radio Flux at 10.7 cm (F10.7).$^4$ The first two are photospheric indices, whereas F10.7 combines chromospheric and coronal activity. Figure 4 confirms the conclusion of Barlyaeva, Lamy, and Llebaria (2015) that the integrated radiance tracks the indices of solar activity, the highest correlation being with the TMF followed by the F10.7 and SSN. Unlike these last two indices, TMF and $B_K$ agree in many small-scale variations and further, in the remarkable increase that took place at the end of 2014 and persisted until early 2015. This resulted from an unusual configuration of the magnetic field following the

---

$^2$http://sidc.oma.be/silso/datafiles.
$^3$Courtesy Y.-M. Wang.
$^4$http://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/stp/space-weather/solar-data/solar-features/solar-radio/.
Figure 4  Comparison of the temporal variation of the global radiance of the K-corona integrated from 2.7 to 5.5 $R_{\odot}$ with those of the total magnetic field (TMF expressed in units of Gauss), the sunspot number (SSN), and the radio flux at 10.7 cm (F10.7) expressed in Solar Flux Units ($10^{-22}$ W m$^{-2}$ Hz$^{-1}$). All quantities are averaged over the Carrington rotations.

emergence of the large sunspot complex AR 12192 in October 2014, as analyzed by Sheeley and Wang (2015). It caused the coronal plasma to be trapped at low latitudes and prevented CMEs from erupting. This process inflated a bulge in the corona, creating an anomalous surge of brightness extensively analyzed by Lamy et al. (2017).

The last few years of the descending phases of SC 23 and 24 are remarkably similar, reaching the same base level during the following minima, as will be discussed in the next section. Whereas we correlated the ascending branches of SC 23 and SC 24 to set the duration of SC 23 at 12 years and three months, we correlated their descending branches to set
Figure 5  Comparison of the temporal variation of the global radiance of the K-corona integrated from 2.7 to 5.5 $R_\odot$ and averaged over the Carrington rotations in the northern and southern hemispheres.

Figure 6  Three images of the K-corona obtained with the LASCO-C2 coronagraph at three consecutive minima of solar activity: SC 23 (16 June 1997, left panel), SC 24 (21 December 2008, middle panel), and SC 25 (15 January 2020, right panel). The radiance is expressed in units of $10^{-10} B_\odot$ and its logarithm is coded according to the color bar.

the duration of SC 24 at 11.0 years (i.e., the canonical duration of a solar cycle), in agreement with the value determined by the WDC-SILSO data center.

Figure 5 displays the temporal variation of the integrated radiance separately in the northern and southern hemispheres. Whereas marked differences were present during SC 24, particularly during its rising and maximum phases, they tend to disappear thereafter and the two variations are remarkably similar during the minimum and ascending phases of SC 25.

3.3. Comparison of the Minima of Solar Cycles 23, 24, and 25

Our detailed analysis of the three minima starts with the comparison of three typical images of the K-corona, as illustrated in Figure 6. The most striking difference already alluded to in Section 3.1 concerns its structure during the prolonged anomalous minimum of SC 24. As analyzed by Lamy et al. (2014), the observed latitudinal extent of the streamer belt remained large, consistent with a large tilt angle of the heliocentric current sheet (Manoharan, 2012) and a low polar field (Petrie, 2013). This widening is also linked to a splitting of the streamer...
belt into northern and southern branches, both of which are quite narrow. The steamer belt appears to meander in latitude, thus appearing wider in white-light images. In contrast, the minima of SC 23 and 25 are characterized by the quasi-similar structure of a flat streamer belt corresponding to the simple “dipole” geometry of the large-scale magnetic field of solar minima. Conversely, the coronal holes reached their usual low latitudes of approximately $15^\circ$ to $20^\circ$, suggesting that the polar field was restored to its “nominal” level during the SC 25 minimum.

The comparison is pursued by considering the detailed temporal evolution of the integrated radiance of the K-corona between 2.7 and 5.5 $R_\odot$ over eight years centered on the three minima conveniently named first (SC 23), second (SC 24), and third (SC 25). In addition, different sectors were considered as defined by Barlyaeva, Lamy, and Llebaria (2015): the northern and southern sectors are centered on the polar direction and the equatorial sector combines the east and west sectors centered on the equatorial direction; all of them have a full angular width of $30^\circ$. Following their procedure, we shifted the time intervals in order to phase the minima according to the duration of the solar cycles as already determined: 12 years and 3 months for SC 23 and 11.0 years for SC 24 (Figure 7). The behaviors and the base levels of the radiance temporal profiles of the second and third minima are remarkably similar in the case of the global corona and of the southern and northern sectors with a very slight increase in the latter case, the third minima exceeding the second one by $\approx10\%$. In contrast, the base level of the first minimum was significantly larger, exceeding that of the second one by $\approx32\%$, as determined by Lamy et al. (2014). The situation is completely different in the equatorial sector with much larger differences. Averaging the local fluctuations, we estimated an increase of the base levels of $\approx30\%$ between the first and third minima and up to $\approx50\%$ between the first and second minima. The onset of the ascending branch of SC 25 took place in early May 2020 on the basis of the temporal profiles of the global coronal and of the south sector, but clearly the north sector lags by at least 1.5 year with a hint of a take-off in October 2021.

4. Coronal Mass Ejections over the Minimum and Ascending Phases of Solar Cycle 25

This analysis makes use of the ARTEMIS-II catalog that relies on the detection of CMEs on synoptic maps based on their morphological appearance (Boursier et al., 2009; Floyd et al., 2013). It lists CMEs detected since June 1996 with the following parameters: time of detection at 3 $R_\odot$, central apparent latitude, angular width, and intensity. The intensity of a CME is calculated by integrating its radiance on the synoptic maps at 3 $R_\odot$ and subtracting the local coronal background. It does not strictly correspond to the total radiance as recorded on the images, but it offers a valuable estimate of the CME strength (Lamy et al., 2019). For a large fraction of the CMEs ($\approx60\%$), the catalog further lists three different velocities (“propagation”, “global”, and “median”), mass, and kinetic energy. We consider below the last two velocities obtained by cross-correlating the detected CMEs on the original synoptic maps at 3 and 5.5 $R_\odot$. A global cross-correlation yields the global velocity, whereas a line-by-line cross-correlation produces a distribution of velocities whose median value is taken as the median velocity. The global velocity gives more weight to the front and central parts, whereas the median velocity gives an equal weight to every angular section of the CME. As a consequence, the former is systematically larger than the latter. For the time interval considered in this study, from 6 June 1996 to 7 February 2022, the ARTEMIS-II (hereafter abbreviated to ARTEMIS for simplicity) catalog lists a total of 42 165 CMEs, of which 23 885 have their velocities, mass, and kinetic energy determined.
Figure 7  Results of phasing the temporal variations of the radiance of the K-corona during the minima of Solar Cycles 23, 24, and 25. The radiance is globally integrated from 2.7 to 5.5 $R_\odot$ and then in different sectors: southern, northern, and equatorial. The gaps in the blue curves (SC 23) correspond to the loss of SOHO.

4.1. Occurrence and Mass

We first consider the temporal variation of the occurrence rate of the whole set of CMEs corrected for the LASCO duty cycle, as described by Lamy et al. (2019) and calculated per Carrington rotation. As for the case of the radiance of the corona, a comparison was performed with the selected indices and proxies of solar activity: SSN, TMF, and F10.7 whose variations were adequately scaled and shifted so as to best fit that of the CMEs during SC 24. Indeed, and as shown in Figure 8, it is not possible to perform the fits over both SC 23 and
Temporal variation of the CME occurrence rate per Carrington rotation compared with those of the total magnetic field (TMF), the sunspot number (SSN), and the radio flux at 10.7 cm (F10.7) expressed in Solar Flux Units ($10^{-22}$ W m$^{-2}$ Hz$^{-1}$).

SC 24 as the relationships were different during the two cycles. In their review, Lamy et al. (2019) used SC 23 as a reference, but we now favor SC 24 because of its immediate proximity to SC 25. Their conclusion obviously still holds: the CME occurrence rate was relatively larger during SC 24, than during SC 23, as also found by Gopalswamy, Tsurutani, and Yan (2015) using the sunspot number. The new data reveals that the occurrence rate started to diverge from the common trend of the indices and proxies of solar activity in the last years of the declining phase of SC 24, implying an excess of CMEs with respect to the evolution of solar activity. This divergence persisted during the minimum and ascending phases of SC 25, and was particularly pronounced when compared with the radio flux. Section 4.2
below analyzes in detail the situation of this minimum compared with the two past ones. Regarding the situation of the ascending phase of SC 25, two years after its minimum the CME occurrence rate has reached a high of 5.2 CME per day, whereas it was only 3.7 CME per day two years after the minimum of SC 24, a significant increase.

We next consider the temporal variation of the occurrence rate of the whole set of CMEs separately in the northern and southern hemispheres (upper panel of Figure 9). There was a slight excess of southern CMEs by a mere 2.3% during SC 23 followed by a vigorous reversal during SC 24 with a large excess of northern CMEs of 28% (see also Table 1). The same trend persisted during the early years of SC 25, but at a much reduced level of 11% until early February 2022 and this resulted from the combined effect of a larger base level during the minimum ($\approx 0.9$ versus $\approx 0.7$ CME per day) and a steeper rate of occurrence in the northern versus the southern hemispheres. However, it appears that the southern rate is catching up during the latest CRs.

The set of CMEs with known mass presently amounts to 57% of the global set, the missing 43% corresponding to faint CMEs for which complete characterization could not be achieved (e.g., lack of detection on three synoptic maps constructed at three heliocentric distances). The temporal evolution of the occurrence rate of these CMEs is displayed in the upper panel of Figure 10 together with that of the radio flux F10.7. Their correlation during SC 24 is better than in the case of the whole set of CMEs except during two restricted time intervals, from late 2012 to early 2013 and from mid-2014 to mid-2015. Unlike the case of the whole set of CMEs, the occurrence rate of CMEs with known mass closely tracks the radio flux during the minimum and ascending phases of SC 24. This implies that the relative excess found for the whole set prominently results from the relative overabundance of faint CMEs.

We next consider the temporal variation of the occurrence rate of the set of CMEs with known mass separately in the northern and southern hemispheres (lower panel of Figure 9...
Figure 10  Temporal variations of the occurrence rate of CMEs with known mass (upper panel) and of the CME mass rate (lower panel) per Carrington rotation compared with that of the F10.7 radio flux expressed in Solar Flux Units ($10^{-22}$ W m$^{-2}$ Hz$^{-1}$).

and Table 1). There was a slight excess of southern CMEs of 4% during SC 23 followed by a vigorous reversal during SC 24 with an excess of northern CMEs of 30%. This is very much consistent with the whole set of CMEs, so that the two sets of CMEs followed a similar trend during SC 23 and SC 24, namely a quasiequilibrium of northern and southern CMEs during SC 23 and a large excess of northern CMEs of approximately 30% during SC 24. The situation is entirely different during the early years of SC 25 with an excess of the whole set of northern CMEs of 11% and an excess of southern CMEs with known mass of 15%. As pointed out above, this results from the relative overabundance of faint CMEs in the northern hemisphere, but this is probably a temporary effect as the southern rate is catching up during the latest CRs. Ultimately, we expect a situation opposite to that of SC 24, i.e., a large excess of southern CMEs during SC 25.

Turning to the mass of CMEs accumulated per Carrington rotation, the lower panel of Figure 10 shows that it better tracks the radio flux than the occurrence rate. This is especially true over SC 24 (note the similar local drop centered on 2013.0) and during the minimum and ascending phases of SC 24.

4.2. Comparison of the Minima of Solar Cycles 23, 24, and 25

Likewise, in the case of the radiance of the K-corona, we consider the detailed temporal evolution of the occurrence rates of the CMEs over eight years centered on the three minima. The time intervals were shifted in order to synchronize the minima according to the determined duration of the solar cycles. Figure 11 illustrates the case of the whole set of CMEs. A first striking feature is the systematically enhanced rates of CMEs during the last minimum
in comparison with the previous two, with only a few local exceptions. As a consequence, the base level of the global (N+S) population during the minimum of SC 25 amounts to \( \approx 1.5 \) CME per day, significantly higher than during the two previous minima, \( \approx 0.85 \) and \( \approx 0.67 \) CME per day during the minima of SC 23 and SC 24, respectively. A second striking feature is the steeper increase of the rate during the ascending phase of SC 25 in comparison with the two past similar phases, this effect being entirely produced by the southern CMEs. Figure 12 illustrates the case of the set of CMEs with known mass. Unlike the whole set of CMEs, the variations of the occurrence rates over the eight years are approximately similar, implying a common base level of \( \approx 0.37 \) (N+S) CME per day. A steeper increase of southern CMEs during the ascending phase of SC 25 is suggested, but less pronounced than in the case of the whole set of CMEs. The combination of these results confirms that the CMEs in excess number during the minimum of SC 25 are mostly faint events, but that the overall population of CMEs contributes to the steep increase of the occurrence rate in the southern hemisphere.
Figure 12  Results of synchronizing the temporal variations of the occurrence rate of the set of CMEs with known mass during the minima of Solar Cycles 23, 24, and 25. The rates were calculated globally and separately in the southern and northern hemispheres. The gaps in the blue curves (SC 23) correspond to the loss of SOHO.

4.3. Angular Width

Figure 13 displays the temporal variation of the annualized mean and root-mean-squared values of the apparent angular width $W$ of CMEs narrower than 180°, thus extending a similar figure produced by Lamy et al. (2019). It now appears that the mean width was significantly larger during the minimum of SC 25 than during the minimum of SC 24, approximately 43° versus 25°. In addition, the usual trend of increasing widths as solar activity develops has not yet materialized during the rising phase of SC 25.

Regarding the distribution of angular widths, the number of CMEs during the last three years was relatively small, so that these CMEs do not affect our past result based on the previous 23 years, prominently dominated by the two maxima. As a reminder, the distribution of angular width follows an exponential law characterized by a mean value of 42° and a constant slope of $-0.0107$ in the range 40° to 300°. The restriction to CMEs of known mass marginally changes these values to 44° and $-0.0106$, respectively. There is a clear turnover
Figure 13 Temporal variation of the annualized mean and root-mean-squared values of the apparent angular width of CMEs narrower than $180^\circ$.

in the distributions at $\approx 300^\circ$ used to define the regime of halo CMEs. We introduce two intervals of width, $>180^\circ$ and $>300^\circ$, to account for partial halos (Gopalswamy et al., 2003), and Figure 14 displays the monthly occurrence rate of ARTEMIS CMEs in these two intervals. The much larger rates during SC 24 compared with SC 23 were already highlighted by Lamy et al. (2019), but we now see that this trend persists during the ascending phase of SC 25. Even more striking is the presence of full and partial halo CMEs throughout the minimum of SC 25, whereas they were merely absent during the past two minima.

4.4. Apparent Latitude

The spatial distribution of apparent latitudes of CMEs is best perceived on the heliolatitudinal maps displayed in Figure 15, where CMEs are counted in boxes defined by a Carrington rotation and a latitude interval of $2^\circ$. We superimposed the evolution of the tilt angle of the heliospheric current sheet (HCS) provided by the Wilcox Solar Observatory\(^5\) using the “classic” potential-field model, as recommended. The two maps corresponding to the whole set of CMEs and to the set of CMEs with known mass are highly consistent, implying that the restriction on mass does not induce any bias. Concentrating on SC 25, a noteworthy feature is the fact that the distribution of apparent latitudes remains well bounded by the tilt of the HCS, which was not the case during the minimum of SC 24. A synthetic view is offered by Figure 16 that displays the evolution of the mean value per Carrington rotation of the apparent latitude separately in the northern and southern hemispheres. In the case of the whole set, there appears during the last six months a hint of an asymmetry between the two hemispheres with CMEs present at larger southern latitudes than at north latitudes, in agreement with the tilt angle. If confirmed in the near future, this trend would be consistent with the faster development of CME activity in the southern hemisphere pointed out in the above sections.

4.5. Kinematics

Figure 17 displays the annual variation of the mean and standard deviation values of the global and median speed distributions reported by the ARTEMIS catalog. We used bi-monthly average values in order to smooth the short-scale fluctuations, while preserving

\(^5\)http://wso.stanford.edu/Tilts.html.
the detail of the variation during the solar cycles. The trends of i) the speeds tracking solar activity and ii) higher speeds during SC 23 compared with the weaker SC 24 have already been reported (e.g., Lamy et al., 2019). The new salient feature concerns the last two minima of solar activity: whereas both speeds experienced a drastic reduction during the minimum of SC 24, it was less pronounced during that of SC 25 and furthermore, nearly absent in the case of the median speed. The cumulative distributions of the two speeds calculated until 7 February 2022 are displayed in Figure 18. As expected, the spread of the global speeds is larger than that of the median speeds and the median values reach 280 and 220 km s$^{-1}$ for the global and median speeds, respectively.

Figure 19 displays the temporal evolution of the kinetic energy of CMEs per Carrington rotation. Curiously, the minimum of SC 25 witnessed episodes of rather energetic CMEs and the following rising phase is particularly abrupt when compared with that of the previous cycle.

### 4.6. Summary Statistics of Coronal Mass Ejections

Table 1 presents an updated version of Table 9 of Lamy et al. (2019) summarizing the statistical properties of the whole set of CMEs reported by the ARTEMIS catalog until 7 February 2022. The results for SC 23 remain unchanged, whereas those for SC 24 were completed to...
the end of the cycle rounded to 31 December 2019; those for SC 25 are naturally limited to its minimum and ascending phases. The last column sums up the above results and gives an overview of the properties of CMEs during the past 26 years.

SC 23 featured a relatively well-balanced activity in the two hemispheres, the only exception being a modest 10% difference concerning those CMEs with width larger than 30°. This was no longer the case during SC 24 as the northern hemisphere was much more active than the southern one. For instance, the occurrence rates of northern CMEs exceed the southern ones by 28% and 30% for the whole set of CMEs and for that of CMEs with known mass, respectively. The same situation prevailed for the other properties with imbalances ranging from 22% to 37% and culminating to a factor of 21 in the case of halo CMEs. The first two years of SC 25 offer a different picture. The census of the whole set of CMEs exhibits a 12% surplus of northern CMEs, whereas the opposite situation prevails for the set of CMEs with known mass with a 15% surplus of southern CMEs. This implies that the northern hemisphere ejects a relatively large number of faint CMEs whose mass could not be determined by our procedure. This is confirmed by the statistics on the mass for which the southern hemisphere systematically outperforms the northern one, and it further stands
out by a larger population of fast CMEs with speeds $>350$ km s$^{-1}$, the count of southern ones exceeding the northern ones by a substantial 51%.

5. Discussion

The prediction of amplitude and timing of SC 25 has been the subject of many articles using a variety of techniques, and compilations may be found in Courtillot, Lopes, and Le Mouël (2021) covering the time interval 2011–2019, extended to 2021 by Burud et al. (2021) and Javaraiah (2022). It is obviously beyond the scope of the present article to discuss this copious literature, but we may attempt to identify a general trend and note that the most recent publications converge to a strength of SC 25 comparable to SC 24 or slightly larger (Kumar et al., 2021). A few of them (e.g., Burud et al., 2021; Chowdhury et al., 2021; Courtillot, Lopes, and Le Mouël, 2021), however, concluded on a slightly weaker SC 25
Figure 17  Temporal variation of the bimonthly average values (black lines) with their standard deviations (red bars) of the global (upper panel) and median (lower panel) speeds of CMEs derived from the ARTEMIS catalog.

Figure 18  Cumulative distribution functions of the apparent global and median speeds of CMEs derived from the ARTEMIS catalog.
and suggested that it will witness the beginning of the upcoming Gleissberg cycle. The forecast consensus of the NOAA/NASA co-chaired, international panel of 9 December 2019 concluded on a peak in July 2025 (± 8 months), with a smoothed sunspot number of 115, hence similar to SC 24. However, the ascending phase of SC 25 appears much steeper than this prediction. In fact, the SNN monthly values of December 2021 (67.6), January (54) and February (59.7) 2022 are well above the forecast values of 26.6, 29.0, and 31.5, respectively.

The question of which solar hemisphere would be dominant was considered by a dozen articles recently reviewed by Javaraiah (2022). The consensus goes towards a marked north–south asymmetry with activity dominant in the southern hemisphere. Particularly interesting are the converging results on the peak amplitudes of the sunspot number in the northern and southern hemispheres using different methods: 66 and 83, respectively, according to Pishkalo (2021), 64.3 and 83.8, respectively, according to Gopalswamy et al. (2022). The present hemispheric sunspot numbers go in that direction with an excess of southern sunspots, the turnover having occurred at the onset of the rising phase of SC 25.

The temporal evolution of the integrated radiance of the K-corona is in excellent agreement with the current steep increase of solar activity as it closely tracks the selected indices and proxies (Figure 4). The correlations with the TMF during the terminal part of the descending branch of SC 24, and with the minimum and rising phases of SC 25 are particularly impressive. Furthermore, Figure 5 reveals that the radiance in the southern hemisphere started to exceed the northern one during the past year.

We are aware of only one study, that of Möstl et al. (2020), attempting to predict the CME ejection rate for SC 25 or more precisely, the ICME rate with direct implication for Parker Solar Probe in situ observations. They did so by linking the SSN to the observed ICME rates in SC 23 and 24 with the list of Richardson and Cane (2010) and their own ICME catalog. They then determined linear relationships that they extended to SC 25 using extreme predictions of its SSN. By construction, their temporal evolution of the ICMEs rate tracks the SSN, but the rates themselves are very low, ranging from 15 per year (1 per CR) to 23 per year (1.7 per CR) at the beginning of 2020, compared with ≈ 140 per CR detected by LASCO. This inherently results from the fact that the number of ICMEs detected in situ are far less than that of CMEs detected on high-cadence coronagraphic images.

6https://www.swpc.noaa.Gov/news/solar-cycle-25-forecast-update.  
7http://sidc.oma.be/silso/datafiles.
Table 1  Statistical properties of the LASCO CMES listed in the ARTEMIS catalog until 7 February 2022.

| ALL CMEs | SC23 | SC24 | SC25 | SC24+SC23+SC25 |
|---------|------|------|------|----------------|
| Total count | 20 194 | 19 732 | 2415 | 42 341 |
| Total count (north) | 9985 | 11 092 | 1273 | 22 350 |
| Total count (south) | 10 209 | 8640 | 1142 | 19 991 |
| Angular width $\leq 30^\circ$ (north) | 11 340 | 10 835 | 1397 | 24 572 |
| Angular width $\leq 30^\circ$ (north) | 5764 | 5944 | 754 | 12 462 |
| Angular width $\leq 30^\circ$ (south) | 5576 | 4891 | 643 | 11 110 |
| Angular width > $30^\circ$ (north) | 8854 | 8897 | 1018 | 18 769 |
| Angular width > $30^\circ$ (north) | 4221 | 5148 | 519 | 9888 |
| Angular width > $30^\circ$ (south) | 4633 | 3749 | 599 | 8881 |
| Angular width > $30^\circ$ (south) | 11 | 231 | 59 | 301 |
| Speed $\leq 350$ km s$^{-1}$ (north) | 7397 | 6594 | 668 | 14 659 |
| Speed $\leq 350$ km s$^{-1}$ (south) | 3659 | 3767 | 328 | 7754 |
| Speed $\leq 350$ km s$^{-1}$ (south) | 3738 | 2827 | 340 | 6905 |
| Speed > $350$ km s$^{-1}$ (north) | 5443 | 3599 | 269 | 9311 |
| Speed > $350$ km s$^{-1}$ (south) | 2646 | 1981 | 107 | 4734 |
| Speed > $350$ km s$^{-1}$ (south) | 2797 | 1618 | 162 | 4577 |

| CMEs WITH KNOWN MASS | SC23 | SC24 | SC25 | SC24+SC23+SC25 |
|----------------------|------|------|------|----------------|
| Total count | 12 840 | 10 193 | 937 | 23 970 |
| Total count (north) | 6305 | 5748 | 435 | 12 488 |
| Total count (south) | 6535 | 4445 | 502 | 11 482 |
| Total mass (g) | 1.5E+19 | 1.1E+19 | 7.8E+17 | 2.7E+19 |
| Total mass (g) (north) | 7.6E+18 | 6.9E+18 | 3.4E+17 | 1.5E+19 |
| Total mass (g) (south) | 7.7E+18 | 4.3E+18 | 4.4E+17 | 1.2E+19 |
| Mean mass (g) | 1.2E+15 | 1.1E+15 | 8.3E+14 | 1.1E+15 |
| Mean mass (g) (north) | 1.2E+15 | 1.2E+15 | 7.7E+14 | 1.2E+15 |
| Mean mass (g) (south) | 1.2E+15 | 9.7E+14 | 8.8E+14 | 1.1E+15 |
| Median mass (g) | 3.0E+14 | 2.6E+14 | 2.5E+14 | 3.3E+14 |
| Median mass (g) (north) | 3.0E+14 | 2.6E+14 | 2.4E+14 | 2.8E+14 |
| Median mass (g) (south) | 3.0E+14 | 2.5E+14 | 2.7E+14 | 2.9E+14 |

The occurrence rate of CMEs with known mass and the mass rate per CR closely track the radio flux (Figure 10) and therefore the current steep increase of solar activity, very much like the radiance. Regarding the hemispheric occurrence rate of CMEs, the global set indicates an excess of northern CMEs of 12%. In strong contrast, the occurrence rate of southern CMEs of known mass outperforms the northern one by 15%; this percentage increases to 30% when considering the mass rate per CR and even to 51% for CMEs with speeds $>350$ km s$^{-1}$ (Table 1). Clearly, the southern hemisphere develops an overwhelming activity, as predicted.
Finally, the persistent large number of halo CMEs following the trend already observed during SC 24 in comparison with SC 23 (Figure 14) is best explained by the weak total pressure in the heliosphere that prevailed after the anomalous minimum of SC 24 and that facilitates the widening of CMEs so as to become halos more frequently (Gopalswamy, Akiyama, and Yashiro, 2020; Gopalswamy et al., 2022).

6. Conclusion

In this article, we have presented the state of the white-light corona over the minimum and ascending phases of SC 25 on the basis of the analysis of the temporal variation of its radiance $B_K$ and its CME-production rates and properties. Both closely track the indices/proxies of solar activity, prominently the total magnetic field for $B_K$ and the 10.7 cm radio flux for the CMEs. These evolutions confirm the steep increase of the rising phase of SC 25, much steeper than that predicted on the basis of a quasi-similarity with SC 24. This is obviously of utmost interest for the forthcoming observations of the corona by SOLO and PSP. We highlight below our most significant results.

- The global radiance of the corona integrated between 2.7 and 5.5 $R_\odot$ reached the same base level during the minima of SC 24 and 25, but the latitudinal extent of the streamer belt differed, being flatter during the latter minimum and in fact more similar to that of the minimum of SC 23.
- The correlation of the descending branches of SC 23 and SC 24 led to a duration of SC 24 of 11.0 years, similar to that given by the sunspot number.
- The occurrence rate of the global set of CMEs, when adjusted to the variation of the indices/proxies during SC 24, started to diverge at the onset of the minimum of SC 25, the base level being significantly larger than during the previous minima.
- This is not the case for the occurrence rate of the set of CMEs with known mass that closely follows the variation of the indices/proxies, and so does the rate of CME mass per CR. This implies that the excess CMEs are faint, modestly contributing to the mass budget.
- The southern hemisphere appears significantly more active than the northern one, in agreement with several predictions and the current evolution of the hemispheric sunspot numbers. In particular, the occurrence rate of the set of CMEs with known mass, the total mass of CMEs, and the number of CMEs with speeds larger than 350 km s$^{-1}$ in the southern hemisphere exceeds by far the respective values in the northern hemisphere.
- The mean apparent width of CMEs during the minimum of SC 25 did not drop to the low values reached during the previous minimum and it remains at a nearly constant level throughout the early phase of SC 25. A similar trend is observed in the case of the occurrence rate of halo CMEs.
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