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ABSTRACT

Purpose
Vascular stiffness may potentially be used as a screening tool to identify asymptomatic patients with diabetes with abnormal myocardial perfusion. The purpose of this study was therefore to determine the association between vascular stiffness measured with pulse wave velocity (PWV) and augmentation index (AIx), and abnormal myocardial perfusion imaging (MPI) in asymptomatic patients with diabetes.

Methods
Prospectively, 160 asymptomatic patients with diabetes (mean age 51 yrs, male 87) underwent MPI with adenosine stress. Summed stress score (SSS) was determined per patient according to a 17 segment and 5 point score. Abnormal MPI (SSS ≥3) was sub-classified as moderate (SSS 3-7) or severe (SSS ≥8) MPI defects. Using applanation tonometry, the carotid-femoral PWV and the radial AIx corrected to 75 beats per minute were determined non-invasively.

Results
MPI was abnormal in 61 patients (38%), with severe MPI defects in 22 patients (14%). Mean PWV increased with deteriorating MPI from 8.4 ± 2.2 m/s in normal MPI to 9.0 ± 2.2 m/s in moderate MPI defects ($P = 0.11$), and to 11.1 ± 2.5 m/s in severe MPI defects ($P < 0.01$). Likewise, mean AIx increased from 18.4 ± 13.4% to 19.4 ± 10.7% ($P = 0.66$) and to 25.4 ± 9.0% ($P = 0.03$). After adjustment for age and other risk factors, PWV remained a significant predictor of severe MPI defects ($P = 0.01$, OR 1.50, 95% CI 1.11-2.00), whereas AIx was no longer significant ($P = 0.20$).

Conclusions
Vascular stiffness measured by PWV is associated with severe MPI defects in asymptomatic patients with diabetes.
INTRODUCTION
It is considered that the global prevalence of diabetes will approximately double in the next two decades [1]. Diabetes is associated with a marked increase in the incidence of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality, mainly attributable to coronary artery disease (CAD). Moreover, the presence and progression of CAD in diabetic patients is often asymptomatic, leading to more extensive disease at the time of diagnosis [2]. Since a delayed diagnosis of CAD considerably worsens the prognosis, early recognition of CAD could lead to more effectively targeted intervention and reduce morbidity and mortality in this population. Myocardial perfusion imaging (MPI) with SPECT is most commonly applied to identify patients with CAD and can accurately identify patients at increased cardiovascular risk [2-4]. However, based on recent data, a wide ranged routine MPI screening strategy of all asymptomatic patients with diabetes appears to be ineffective [5]. Accordingly, a selective “prescreening” strategy using an initial test for the identification of patients with a higher likelihood of abnormal MPI followed by referral of only these patients to MPI may be preferred. Non-invasive assessment of vascular stiffness could represent a promising tool for this purpose. In several studies, a relation between vascular stiffness and cardiovascular disease has been observed [6-8]. Assessment of the vascular stiffness, by means of pulse wave velocity (PWV) or pulse wave analysis (PWA) for augmentation index (AIx), may therefore have the potential to serve as a marker of abnormal MPI. Although PWV and AIx have been extensively studied in the general population [9-13], less data are available concerning their relation with CAD in asymptomatic patients with diabetes.
The aim of the current study was to prospectively assess the relation between the non-invasive measures of vascular stiffness (PWV and AIx) with the presence and extent of myocardial perfusion defects as assessed by SPECT MPI, in asymptomatic patients with diabetes.

METHODS

Study population
Prospectively, 160 consecutive asymptomatic patients with diabetes were recruited from a routine outpatient clinic. Patients were referred to the cardiology outpatient clinic for risk assessment and cardiovascular screening. Anginal symptoms were ruled out using a self-completed questionnaire for encountered chest pain [14]. The American Diabetes association (ADA) criteria were used to identify diabetes and for further stratification in type 1 or 2 diabetes [15]. Patients were considered as having type 1 diabetes if laboratory analysis demonstrated auto-antibodies to islet cells, insulin and glutamic acid decarboxylase or low levels of plasma c-peptide. Otherwise, patients were
considered to have type 2 diabetes. Medical history and demographics were obtained. All patients underwent physical examination, and blood and urine laboratory testing. MPI was performed as part of clinical work-up to determine presence and extent of myocardial perfusion defects. Additional measurements of PWV and AIx were used to assess vascular stiffness.

**Cardiovascular risk factors**
Cardiovascular risk factors were defined according to the following criteria: positive family history for CAD (presence of CAD in first degree family members male <55 years and / or female <65 years), smoking (current smoking or smoking in the last 2 years), hypertension (blood pressure >140/90 mmHg or treatment with antihypertensive medication), body mass index (kg/m²), hypercholesterolemia (total cholesterol level >5.0 mmol/L or use of cholesterol lowering medication), and micro-albuminuria (urine albumin/creatinine ratio ≥3.5 mg/mmol) [2]. Plasma hemoglobin A1c was determined as a measure of glycemic control.

**SPECT myocardial perfusion imaging**

*SPECT data acquisition*
ECG-gated adenosine technetium-99m sestamibi (Tc99m MIBI) SPECT MPI was performed using a 2-day protocol, comprising of stress imaging on the first day and a rest scan on the second day [16]. Anti-hypertensive treatment with beta-adrenergic blocking agents or calcium antagonists was stopped and patients were instructed to abstain from caffeine containing products 24 hours prior to the stress test. Vasodilator stress was induced by intravenous infusion of adenosine 140 µg/kg/min for 6 min, with simultaneous handgrip exercise. Tc99m MIBI (500MBq) was injected intravenously after the third minute. Blood pressure and a 12-lead ECG were recorded throughout the adenosine infusion.
Images were acquired 2 hours after radiopharmaceutical injection using a triple head SPECT gamma camera (GCA 9300/HG; Toshiba Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) with low-energy, high-resolution collimators. Image acquisition was performed, using a circular 360° orbit, 60 projections, and 40 seconds per projection, in compliance with the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology (ASNC) imaging guidelines. Images were processed to obtain the short-axis, vertical long-axis, and horizontal long-axis sections, as well as polar map formats, normalized to maximal myocardial activity [16]. Patient motion was reviewed by examining the raw cine images. No attenuation or scatter correction was used.
**SPECT data analysis**

For semi-quantitative visual interpretation the myocardium was divided into 17 segments according to ASNC guidelines [16]. Tracer uptake in each segment was evaluated in consensus by two expert observers, blinded to patient’s clinical characteristics and test results, using a 5-point scoring system ranging from 0 (normal uptake) to 4 (absent uptake). The summed stress score (SSS) was determined by the total sum of the 17 segmental scores of the stress images. MPI was considered normal if SSS <3. In case of abnormal MPI, a SSS 3-7 was considered to represent moderate MPI defects, and a SSS ≥8 to represent severe MPI defects [17]. Finally, regional wall motion on gated SPECT images was evaluated to allow differentiation between true MPI abnormalities and diaphragmatic or breast attenuation artifacts.

**Assessment of Vascular Stiffness**

Measurements were derived and analyzed non-invasively by applanation tonometry using a SphygmoCor system (SphygmoCor, Atcor Medical, Sydney, Australia). All measurements were performed in the morning in a quiet, temperature controlled clinical research laboratory by a specially trained technologist, blinded to patient’s clinical characteristics and test results. Patients were instructed to abstain from their morning medication and remain fasting until after the test. Assessment of PWV and PWA commenced following a 10 minute rest in supine position, after a state of constant heart rate and blood pressure was reached.

**Pulse wave velocity**

The pulse waves were recorded at the common carotid artery and the femoral artery by sequential tonometry with simultaneous electrocardiographic gating. Pulse transit time was determined as the average of 10 consecutive beats. The distance between the two sites was measured. Aortic PWV (m/s) was defined as the distance between the 2 recording sites traveled by the pulse wave, divided by transit time. Using system software, aortic PWV was determined semi-automatically. The validation and reproducibility of this semi-automatic method have been previously published [18]. Measurements were performed three times in each patient and averaged to obtain the mean aortic PWV.

**Pulse wave analysis**

The peripheral pressure waveforms were recorded from the radial artery at the wrist, with a hand-held high fidelity tonometer (Millar Instruments, Houston, TX, USA) and calibrated by peripheral blood pressures of the brachial artery [7,19]. The corresponding central aortic pressure waveform was generated by a validated generalized transfer function. The central aortic pressure waveform was analyzed to identify the first shoulder...
of the pressure wave representing the incident wave attributable to left ventricular ejection. The merging point of the incident and the reflected wave (the inflection point) was then identified on the generated aortic pressure waveform. The absolute augmented pressure was the maximum systolic pressure minus pressure at the inflection point. Subsequently, the AIx was defined as the absolute augmented pressure divided by the pulse pressure and expressed as a percentage [7,8]. Finally, the AIx was normalized for the heart rate of 75 bpm (AIx@75). For each patient, 3 consecutive waveform recordings were averaged to obtain the mean AIx@75, which was used for statistical analysis.

**Statistical analysis**

Continuous variables were expressed as means ± standard deviation and categorical variables as numbers (percentages). Firstly, associations of PWV and AIx@75 with baseline clinical risk factors were assessed using the Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r) or the Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient (r) in case of dichotomous variables. Secondly, differences in the mean PWV and AIx@75 for each group of MPI results were evaluated with the independent T-test. Thereafter, with univariate logistic regression analysis potential predictors of severe MPI defects were identified. Subsequently, all potential predictors were analyzed in a multivariate logistic regression model to identify the independent predictors of severe MPI defects. Additionally, patients were categorized according to PWV quartiles and for each quartile the prevalence of severe MPI defects was obtained. Subsequently, global chi square analysis was used to determine the incremental predictive value of PWV over baseline characteristics. Thereafter, using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis two cut-off values were chosen for PWV; one cut-off value for the detection of severe MPI defects with optimal sensitivity and specificity and another cut-off value for the exclusion of severe MPI defects with optimal sensitivity and negative predictive value. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 16.0, Inc., Chicago, Illinois). P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Patient characteristics**

The study population comprised of 160 asymptomatic diabetic patients. Baseline characteristics are provided in Table 1.
Vascular Stiffness versus SPECT Myocardial Perfusion Defects in Diabetes

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the study population, n =160

| Clinical factors                          | Mean ± st dev. or number (%) |
|------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Age                                      | 51 ± 12                      |
| Men                                      | 87 (54%)                     |
| Type 2 diabetes                          | 91 (57%)                     |
| Diabetes duration (years)                | 15 ± 13                      |
| Insulin use                              | 125 (78%)                    |
| Family history of CAD<sup>a</sup>        | 75 (47%)                     |
| Smoking                                  | 42 (26%)                     |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)                  | 28 ± 6                       |
| HbA1c (mmol/l)<sup>b</sup>               | 8.2 ± 1.7                    |
| Hypertension                             | 92 (58%)                     |
| Use of antihypertensive medication       | 76 (48%)                     |
| ACE-inhibitor use<sup>c</sup>            | 43 (27%)                     |
| Beta-blocker use                         | 19 (12%)                     |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)           | 133 ± 16                     |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)          | 80 ± 9                       |
| Hypercholesterolemia                     | 107 (67%)                    |
| Cholesterol lowering medication          | 73 (46%)                     |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/l)               | 4.8 ± 1.1                    |
| Micro-albuminuria                        | 39 (24%)                     |
| Aspirin use                              | 31 (19%)                     |

<sup>a</sup>CAD = coronary artery disease
<sup>b</sup>HbA1c = plasma hemoglobin A1c
<sup>c</sup>ACE = angiotensin converting enzyme

SPECT myocardial perfusion imaging
The overall mean SSS was 3.1 ± 4.1 (range 0-21). Abnormal MPI (SSS ≥3) was observed in 60 patients (38%), including moderate MPI defects (SSS 3-7) in 38 patients (24%) and severe MPI defects (SSS ≥8) in 22 patients (14%).

Vascular Stiffness

Pulse wave velocity
The overall mean PWV was 8.9 ± 2.4 m/s. PWV was associated with age (r = 0.62, P <0.01), type 2 diabetes (r<sub>s</sub> = 0.23, P <0.01), diabetes duration (r = 0.30, P <0.01), body mass index (r = 0.22, P <0.01), hypertension (r<sub>s</sub> = 0.43, P <0.01), and micro-albuminuria (r = 0.29, P <0.01).
As shown in Figure 1a, mean PWV increased only slightly from 8.4 ± 2.2 m/s in patients with normal MPI to 9.0 ± 2.2 m/s in patients with moderate MPI defects ($P = 0.11$). However, the mean PWV was significantly higher in patients with severe MPI defects (11.1 ± 2.5 m/s, $P < 0.01$).

### Association between pulse wave analysis with myocardial perfusion

The mean AIx was 21.1 ± 12.3% in the total population. Normalization for a heart rate of 75 beats per minute resulted in an overall mean AIx@75 of 19.6 ± 12.4%. A significant association was observed between AIx@75 and the following risk factors: age ($r = 0.47$, $P < 0.01$), male gender ($r_s = -0.43$, $P < 0.01$), type 2 diabetes ($r_s = 0.30$, $P < 0.01$), hypercholesterolemia ($r_s = 0.17$, $P < 0.03$), and micro-albuminuria ($r = 0.26$, $P < 0.01$).

After stratification of mean AIx@75 according to SPECT MPI results, a trend similar to that of PWV was observed. Likewise, mean AIx@75 was slightly higher in patients with moderate MPI defects than in those with normal MPI (19.4 ± 10.7% and 18.4 ± 13.4% respectively, $P = 0.66$), and was significantly higher in patients with severe MPI (25.4 ± 9.0%, $P = 0.03$; Figure 1b).

---

**Figure 1** Relation between parameters of vascular stiffness and the extent of MPI defects as assessed by SPECT MPI. **a** Mean aortic PWV was increased in patients with abnormal MPI. The most substantial increase in PWV was observed in patients with severe MPI defects. **b** The relationship between mean AIx@75 and MPI shows a similar trend. Abbreviations: MPI = myocardial perfusion imaging and @ 75 = corrected for heart rate to 75 bpm.
Table 2 Predictors of severe MPI defects (SSS ≥8) on SPECT

| Clinical characteristic       | Exp β (95% CI)      | P-value | Exp β (95% CI)      | P-value |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|
| Age                           | 1.09 (1.04-1.14)    | <0.01   | 1.06 (0.98-1.14)    | 0.16    |
| Male gender                   | 3.30 (1.15-9.45)    | 0.03    | 6.35 (1.47-27.41)   | 0.01    |
| Type 2 diabetes               | 1.47 (0.55-3.90)    | 0.44    |                     |         |
| Diabetes duration (years)     | 1.02 (0.99-1.06)    | 0.15    |                     |         |
| Family history of CADa        | 1.16 (0.47-2.85)    | 0.75    |                     |         |
| Smoking                       | 3.80 (1.48-9.77)    | 0.01    | 5.74 (1.35-24.46)   | 0.02    |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)       | 0.99 (0.91-1.07)    | 0.77    |                     |         |
| HbA1c (mmol/l)b               | 1.28 (1.00-1.65)    | 0.05    | 1.52 (1.03-2.25)    | 0.03    |
| Hypertension                  | 1.96 (0.73-5.41)    | 0.18    |                     |         |
| Hypercholesterolemia          | 1.65 (0.57-4.79)    | 0.36    |                     |         |
| Micro-albuminuria             | 3.86 (1.52-9.81)    | 0.01    | 1.05 (0.26-4.27)    | 0.95    |
| PWVc                          | 1.49 (1.22-1.81)    | <0.01   | 1.49 (1.11-2.00)    | 0.01    |
| Alx@75d                       | 1.06 (1.01-1.11)    | 0.02    | 1.05 (0.97-1.14)    | 0.20    |

MPI = myocardial perfusion imaging  
CAD = coronary artery disease  
HbA1c = plasma hemoglobin A1c  
PWV = Pulse wave velocity (in m/s)  
Alx@75 = Augmentation index (%) normalized for the heart rate of 75 bpm.

Figure 2 Prevalence of patients with severe MPI defects per PWV quartile. The prevalence of severe MPI defects increased with increasing PWV. Of note, the prevalence of severe MPI defects chiefly increased in the third and fourth PWV quartile. Abbreviations: MPI = myocardial perfusion imaging.
Predictors of severe myocardial perfusion defects

As illustrated in Table 2, age, gender, smoking, HbA1c, micro-albuminuria, and both PWV and AIx@75 were identified as potential predictors of severe MPI defects in a univariate logistic regression model. Of note, after adjustment for age, gender, smoking, HbA1c, and micro-albuminuria, the PWV remained a significant predictor of severe MPI defects ($P = 0.01$), whereas the AIx@75 was no longer significant.

As demonstrated in Figure 2, the prevalence of severe MPI defects gradually increased per PWV quartile. Importantly, in none of the patients in the lowest PWV quartile severe MPI defects were present. Also, only a relatively small proportion of patients (5%) in the second PWV quartile had severe MPI defects. In contrast, the prevalence of severe MPI defects increased to 20% in the third PWV quartile, while reaching 30% in the fourth quartile. Moreover, the addition of PWV to a model with baseline clinical risk factors age, gender, and smoking for the prediction of severe MPI defects showed significant incremental value of PWV (Figure 3).

ROC curve analysis for the detection of severe MPI defects showed highest sensitivity and specificity (77% and 75% respectively) with a PWV cut-off value of 9.8 m/s. An optimal sensitivity of 91% with an associated negative predictive value of 98% for the exclusion of severe MPI defects was found using a cut-off value of 9.2 m/s for PWV (Figure 4).

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study of asymptomatic patients with diabetes, vascular stiffness as assessed by PWV and AIx was increased in the presence of severe MPI defects. PWV was
independently associated with severe MPI defects, whereas Alx lost significance after correction for other cardiovascular risk factors and PWV. Addition of PWV to a model of baseline clinical risk factors showed significant incremental value for the prediction of severe MPI defects. Furthermore, ROC curve analysis revealed a moderate to good sensitivity of 77% and a specificity of 75% for the detection of severe MPI defects, with a PWV cut-off value of 9.8 m/s. Conversely, changing the cut-off value to 9.2 m/s resulted in a high sensitivity of 91% and negative predictive value of 98%. ROC = receiver operating characteristics.

Vascular stiffness and relationship to CAD
In the general population the relation between vascular stiffness and the presence of CAD has been confirmed in a considerable number of studies. Vascular stiffness measured as PWV or Alx is not only directly associated with the presence and severity of CAD on invasive coronary imaging [10], but also has incremental prognostic value for predicting cardiovascular events [9,11-13]. A recent meta-analysis (15877 subjects, 17 studies, average...
follow-up of 7.7 years) showed that the risk of cardiovascular events increased a two-fold in patients with increased PWV [22]. Moreover, the predictive ability of PWV was shown to be even higher in patients with elevated baseline cardiovascular risk, supporting a role for PWV in high-risk populations such as patients with diabetes.

A few studies have specifically evaluated vascular stiffness in patients with diabetes. Cruickshank et al. evaluated the prognostic value of PWV for all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in 397 patients with diabetes with or without CAD. During a mean follow-up of 10.7 years, aortic PWV was an independent predictor for all-cause and cardiovascular mortality [23]. Additionally, Hatsuda et al. found in 595 patients with diabetes that PWV was significantly increased in 70 patients with established CAD [24]. Finally, Fukui et al. investigated 208 consecutive patients with type 2 diabetes and reported that AIx was significantly higher in 47 patients with previously confirmed CAD [21]. These observations indicate that markers of vascular stiffness may indeed be associated with CAD in patients with diabetes.

However, to our knowledge this is the first study in which PWV and AIx were applied in asymptomatic patients with diabetes to prospectively identify the presence of CAD defined by the presence of (severe) MPI defects. Although both PWV and AIx were increased in patients with severe MPI defects, only PWV was shown to be an independent predictor of severe MPI defects. These observations are in agreement with the previous literature as also in the general population more discrepant results have been reported using AIx as compared to PWV [25,26].

Possibly, the more variable results with AIx may be explained by underlying methodological differences. Carotid-femoral PWV is a direct measure of vascular stiffness as determined by the intrinsic stress/strain relationship of the arterial wall and mean arterial pressure. Therefore PWV is considered as the ‘gold-standard’ [6-8]. In contrast, AIx is an indirect measurement, derived from peripherally recorded pressure waveforms. Using a generalized transfer function the corresponding central arterial waveform is generated from which AIx is determined. Therefore, AIx is influenced by multiple factors such as PWV, heart rate, diastolic blood pressure, peripheral circulation, and endothelial function [7,8]. Furthermore, its discriminatory value may be less in the elderly [25,26], whereas also the use of the generalized transfer function may be inappropriate in certain populations [25-28]. In fact, Hope et al. recently evaluated the validity of this method found in patients with diabetes and revealed that estimation of central pressures was prone to substantially greater error in this population [27]. Similar differences in accuracy have also been reported in relation to gender, indicating that AIx might be a less representative marker of vascular stiffness as compared to PWV [25,26]. Conceivably, the weaker association between AIx and CAD as compared to PWV...
may therefore be explained by the fact that our study was performed in patients with diabetes while also including a high percentage of female patients.

**Clinical implications and perspectives**

At present, screening of asymptomatic patients with diabetes for CAD remains controversial. Thus far, the majority of available data are based on CAD detection using SPECT MPI [3,4]. In the present study, the prevalence of abnormal MPI was 38%. In contrast, the recent DIAD trial demonstrated a much lower rate of abnormal MPI with only few patients having severe MPI defects [5,29]. To a large extent, this discrepancy may be explained by differences in baseline characteristics of the enrolled patients. Importantly, cardiac event rates were low in the DIAD study and not significantly reduced by a MPI based screening strategy. Nevertheless, in the small group of patients with abnormal MPI, a stepwise increase in event rates was observed with increasing MPI abnormality. Of note, hard event rates were 2% in patients with normal scans but increased to 12% in patients with at least moderately abnormal MPI scans. In contrast to the general asymptomatic diabetic population, these high risk patients may benefit from screening, as also suggested by the bypass angioplasty revascularization investigation BARI 2 diabetes trial [30,31]. In this trial no survival benefit was shown in patients undergoing early coronary revascularization as compared to intensive medical treatment. However, among high risk patients selected for coronary artery bypass grafting, prompt revascularization reduced major cardiovascular events as compared with medical therapy. Accordingly, these observations indicate that while routine screening for abnormal MPI may not be effective in asymptomatic patients with diabetes, selective screening strategies are warranted to identify the small but high risk subgroup within this population. In this regard, our current study may provide valuable data for the design of such strategies. Assessment of vascular stiffness by means of PWV was shown to accurately identify patients with a high-risk for severe MPI defects. Accordingly, further screening in patients with elevated PWV may be recommended. On the other hand, when using a slightly lower cut-off value, PWV was also shown to have a high negative predictive value, indicating that PWV can accurately rule out severe MPI defects. Therefore, further evaluation in patients with a negative PWV study may be safely omitted. Due to its low costs and non-invasive nature, PWV may represent a practical first-line tool to differentiate patients with a higher and lower likelihood of having abnormal MPI in this regard.

A number of limitations must be acknowledged in the current study. Some of the observed MPI defects may be attributed to artifact attenuation. However, regional wall motion on gated SPECT images was analyzed for optimal differentiation between true
MPI defects and attenuation artifacts. Evidently, larger prospective studies are needed to demonstrate the effectiveness of this strategy in terms of costs and outcome.

CONCLUSION
Vascular stiffness as non-invasively assessed by PWV is related with severely abnormal myocardial perfusion in asymptomatic patients with diabetes. Accordingly, PWV could be a practical tool to identify patients at higher risk for CAD and who could benefit from further screening.
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