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Abstract
As a robust, fast growing and genetically tractable organism, the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae is one of the most widely used hosts in biotechnology. Its applications range from the manufacturing of vaccines and hormones to bulk chemicals and biofuels. In recent years, major efforts have been undertaken to expand this portfolio to include structurally complex natural products, such as polyketides and nonribosomally synthesized peptides. These compounds often have useful pharmacological properties, which make them valuable drugs for the treatment of infectious diseases, cancer, or autoimmune disorders. In nature, polyketides and nonribosomal peptides are generated by consecutive condensation reactions of short chain acyl-CoAs or amino acids, respectively, with the substrates and reaction intermediates being bound to large, multidomain enzymes. For the reconstitution of these multistep catalytic processes, the enzymatic assembly lines need to be functionally expressed and the required substrates must be supplied in reasonable quantities. Furthermore, the production hosts need to be protected from the toxicity of the biosynthetic products. In this review, we will summarize and evaluate the status quo regarding the heterologous production of polyketides and nonribosomal peptides in S. cerevisiae. Based on a comprehensive literature analysis, prerequisites for a successful pathway reconstitution could be deduced, as well as recurring bottlenecks in this microbial host.

Keywords: Heterologous expression, Metabolic engineering, Natural products, Nonribosomal peptide synthetase, Polyketide synthase, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Yeast

Background
Polyketides and nonribosomal peptides encompass diverse groups of specialized molecules that are produced by bacteria, fungi, plants, and marine organisms [1, 2]. Their natural functions comprise the adaptation to environmental changes, the defense of resources or habitats, self-protection against predators and herbivores, as well as inter- and intraspecific communication [3]. Because many of these compounds possess potent antibiotic, immunosuppressive or anti-inflammatory properties, they represent important resources for therapeutic drugs [4].

The development of economically feasible manufacturing processes remains an ambitious challenge for many of these compounds. Due to their complex molecular scaffolds, chemical syntheses of polyketides and nonribosomal peptides can be tortuous. The need to introduce and later remove protecting groups, the use of precious metal catalysts or toxic reagents affects production costs as well as sustainability [5, 6]. On the other hand, biotechnological approaches can be hampered by low yields and purification difficulties [7]. In many cases, the natural producers of polyketides and nonribosomal peptides show unfavorable process properties, which impede their...
industrial application. Examples are instable production due to long cultivation times [8] or high sensitivity against shear stress in stirred tank reactors, as observed for many filamentous and mycelia-forming microorganisms [9]. One way to overcome such issues is to reconstitute the biosynthesis of the target molecule in an industrially proven host organism. Microorganisms like Escherichia coli, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, or Aspergillus nidulans are frequently exploited as heterologous hosts to establish production processes for different chemical compounds [10–13].

The single-celled ascomycete S. cerevisiae is one of the most prominent microbial workhorses in academia and industry. As a robust, fast growing and safe organism, encoding no toxic or viral genes, budding yeast is of particular interest for biotechnological applications. The ease of transformation with exogenous DNA in conjunction with extremely efficient homologous recombination capabilities make S. cerevisiae a primary choice for the recombinant production of pharmaceutical drugs and other high value chemicals. Over the years, a number of techniques have been developed for the genetic engineering of S. cerevisiae, which exploit homologous recombination and are used for genome editing and pathway reassembly. Examples include transformation-associated recombination cloning [14–18], long terminal repeat-guided cloning [19, 20] and CRISPR/Cas9 [14, 21–23]. This arsenal has been expanded by many plug-and-play tools, which facilitate the assembly and expression of large DNA fragments [18, 20, 22, 24–32]. Other scientific breakthroughs include the development of bidirectional expression plasmids [33, 34] and synthetic minimal expression systems for S. cerevisiae [35–37], which make pathway refactoring in this model organism feasible.

The use of yeast as a heterologous host was pioneered in the 1980s when strains were constructed for the manufacturing of pharmaceutical proteins, like interferon-α [38, 39] and insulin [39, 40]. At this time, the exploration and comprehension of yeast’s fundamental secretory expression pathways paved the way to a successful maturation and secretion of recombinant proteins. Of particular relevance in this context is the leader sequence of the mating type peptide pheromone α-factor in S. cerevisiae, which was found to convey secretory competence to a multitude of heterologously expressed fusion proteins, including interferon-α and insulin [38, 40, 41]. These fusion proteins are processed by proteolytic enzymes of the secretory pathway, which remove the leader sequence prior to secretion of the mature heterologous protein [39, 42].

Not all pharmaceutically relevant polypeptides that are produced with yeast originate from humans. The expression of viral proteins, such as the Hepatitis B surface antigen [43], led to the development of the first recombinant vaccines. Nowadays, S. cerevisiae and the methylotrophic yeast Pichia pastoris are preferred hosts in vaccine development and are also used for the expression of protozoal proteins and tumor-associated antigens [44, 45].

In contrast to pharmaceutical proteins, it was not until the beginning of the twenty-first century that yeast was explored as a production platform for small molecules, including chemical feedstocks, biofuels, food additives, flavors, and cosmetics [42]. Due to its innate metabolism, yeast produces several intermediates of commercial value, such as ethanol and glycerol. The same applies, however, for many microorganisms. What makes S. cerevisiae particularly appealing is its long established industrial use as well as the available omics data for this organism, which facilitates rational metabolic engineering on the basis of mathematical models [42, 46–52]. The implementation of artificial pathways is also feasible and allows the production of molecules that do not naturally occur in yeast. An illustrative example is that of enantiopure lactic acid, which serves as raw material for the production of polylactide polymers [53]. Significant efforts were invested to establish competitive production rates in recombinant S. cerevisiae strains and to develop a manufacturing process at a commercial scale [54, 55].

Due to their molecular weight, polyketides and nonribosomal peptides can also be ascribed to the small molecules. However, these compounds are distinguished by highly complex chemical structures, which permit selective binding to biological targets and receptors. Interestingly, the two natural product classes feature a unifying assembly mechanism which, together with their production in yeast, will be covered in this review. Our contribution complements previous publications in the field [28, 47, 56, 57], which focus mainly on heterologous expression as a means for natural product discovery and enzyme characterization. For this reason, special emphasis will be placed on metabolic engineering aspects that are specific for polyketide and nonribosomal peptide biosynthesis. Furthermore, we provide a comprehensive and up-to-date overview of the recombinantly made polyketides and nonribosomal peptides, including the achieved titers.

Main text

**Enzymology of polyketide and nonribosomal peptide biosynthesis**

**Polyketide synthases**

In nature, polyketides are enzymatically formed by consecutive Claisen condensation reactions of short chain acyl derivatives. On the biochemical level, the assembly of polyketides is very much reminiscent of fatty
acid biosynthesis, although it involves a larger variety of starter and extender units. Moreover, it shows an increased flexibility in the reductive processing of these building blocks [58]. Due to these peculiarities, polyketides exhibit a tremendous structural diversity, which ranges from polyenes, polyethers, and enediyynes to macrolides, phenolic as well as polycyclic aromatic compounds.

The enzymes, which are responsible for the biosynthesis of these molecules, are called polyketide synthases (PKSs). Based upon their architecture, they can be divided into three classes [3]. Type I PKSs are large, modularly organized proteins of microbial origin. They possess multiple catalytic domains with specific functions. While most bacterial type I PKSs follow a sequential assembly logic, their fungal counterparts typically operate in a repetitive fashion. The latter is also true for type II PKSs, which form complexes of monofunctional proteins. Up to now, type II PKSs have only been found in few prokaryotic groups, e.g., in actinomycete bacteria. In contrast, the type III PKSs represent the most widely distributed class of all PKSs with members known from bacteria, fungi, (micro-)algae and plants. Structurally, they are much smaller and less complex than the other two PKS classes. They consist of a homodimeric ketosynthase, which governs the entire assembly process from substrate discrimination to chain elongation and product release. In the following, we will focus exclusively on the assembly mechanisms of type I PKSs. Readers who want to learn more about type II and type III PKSs are referred to the reviews by Wang et al. [59] and Shimizu et al. [60].

Type I PKSs can be easily distinguished by their modular architecture. Each module represents an operational unit that catalyzes the incorporation of a specific acyl building block into the growing polyketide chain and, if applicable, also the reduction of this moiety. For the elongation of a polyketide chain, every module requires three catalytic domains. The acyltransferase (AT) domain selects the correct substrate from a pool of cellular acyl-CoAAs and transfers it onto the acyl carrier protein (ACP) domain (Fig. 1A). For this, the ACP domain must have undergone prior phosphopantetheinylation to provide a thiol group for the binding of the substrate (see “Enzymes for the posttranslational activation of PKSs and NRPSs” section). Afterwards, the β-ketoacylsynthase (KS) domain mediates the intrinsic Claisen condensation between the ACP-tethered substrate and the previously formed, KS-bound polyketide intermediate. This reaction is accompanied by a decarboxylation and results in the formation of a β-ketoacyl thioester (Fig. 1B).

Modules can feature up to three additional domains for the consecutive reduction of the β-keto functionality. A ketoreductase (KR) generates a β-hydroxyacyl and in conjunction with a dehydratase (DH) an α,β-unsaturated acyl intermediate. The action of an enoyl reductase (ER) eventually leads to a fully saturated product (Fig. 1C). Other than the KS, AT and ACP domains that are indispensable for the chain elongation, the reductive domains are optional. The fact that PKSs do not necessarily carry out a full reductive cycle after the addition of an extender unit like fatty acid synthases is a strong driver for the product diversity associated with these enzymes. Once all domains have performed their respective function, the ACP-bound intermediate is forwarded to the KS domain of the next module, where another chain elongation takes place (Fig. 1D). This process is concluded when a so-called termination module with a C-terminal thioesterase (TE) domain is reached. Following a final elongation step, the TE domain detaches the ACP-bound product by hydrolysis or lactonization. Afterwards the polyketide can be subject to further PKS-independent enzymatic modifications, such as glycosylations, halogenations, and alkylations.

A noteworthy deviation from the described assembly procedure is observed in fungal type I PKSs. Although these enzymes share the multidomain architecture of bacterial type I PKSs, they comprise only a single module. This module catalysts a defined number of chain elongations. This means that its domains are used repetitively. In addition to the previously introduced domains, fungal PKSs possess characteristic domains for starter unit loading (SAT, starter unit AT domain), chain length control (PT, product template domain), and C-methylation (C-MeT domain). Based upon their reductive behavior, the iteratively acting fungal enzymes are grouped into non-reducing (NR), partially reducing (PR) and highly reducing (HR) PKSs. Further information on these sophisticated catalysts and their programming can be found in the reviews by Herbst et al. [61] and Cox [1].

**Nonribosomal peptide synthetases**

Many bioactive peptides of microbial origin, such as the antibiotic penicillin [62] or the mycotoxin rhizonin [63], are not assembled by ribosomes. Instead the biosynthesis of these molecules is conducted by large enzymes, which are known as nonribosomal peptide synthetases (NRPSs) [64]. The mechanisms underlying NRPS biosynthesis have very much in common with the previously introduced PKSs. NRPSs are organized in modules, each of which harbors a defined set of catalytic domains [64]. Adenylation (A) domains correspond functionally to the AT domains from PKSs. They are responsible for substrate recognition and delivery. Peptidyl carrier protein (PCP) domains hold the substrate monomers during the assembly process, which is mediated by condensation (C) domains. Eventually, a TE domain terminates the
Fig. 1 Substrate selection (A), chain elongation (B), reductive processing (C), and intermediate transfer (D) by type I PKSs. Domain notation: KS β-ketoacylsynthase, AT acyltransferase, ACP acyl carrier protein, KR ketoreductase, DH dehydratase, ER enoyl reductase

Fig. 2 Exemplary assembly of a dipeptide by a NRPS. Domain notation: A adenylation, C condensation, PCP peptidyl carrier protein, TE thioesterase
biosynthesis and the product is released either as a linear peptide (Fig. 2) or as a macrolactam. A reductive release as aldehyde or alcohol is also possible, but requires a reduction (R) domain instead of a TE domain.

The main differences between PKSs and NRPSs are due to the building blocks that are utilized in the respective biosyntheses. The primary substrates of NRPSs are l-amino acids and not acyl-CoAs. Moreover, some A domains are known to be specific for aryl carboxylic acids or α-keto acids [65–67]. In any case, NRPS precursors need to be activated in an ATP-driven reaction by the A domain before they can be attached to phosphopantetheinylated PCP domains. The actual condensation leads to the formation of an amide or ester bond and not to a Claisen product. Similar to the reductive domains in PKSs, there exist also non-essential domains in NRPSs, which take part in the modification of the product structure. For example, methyltransferase (MT) domains are used for site-specific methylations, while KR domains accomplish the reduction of incorporated α-keto acids. Epimerization (E) domains, which switch the configuration of amino acid monomers from D to L, are frequently found in NRPS modules.

Of note, nature also uses NRPSs and type I PKSs in a combinatorial fashion. The unifying concept of carrier protein-based chain elongation likely contributed to the evolution of hybrid systems, which switch from PKS to NRPS interfaces and vice versa. Depending on the organization of the PKS and NRPS modules in discrete (stand-alone) or tethered polypeptides, these hybrid interfaces can be further classified [68]. The diversity of PKS-NRPS assembly lines is indeed remarkable and the same is true for the structures of the associated natural products, which encompass a number of medically relevant drugs [69–71].

Prerequisites for the production of polyketides and nonribosomal peptides in S. cerevisiae

Enzymes for the posttranslational activation of PKSs and NRPSs

The functional reconstitution of PKS and NRPS pathways requires the posttranslational activation of ACP and PCP domains into their respective functional holo-form. This posttranslational modification step is carried out by dedicated phosphopantetheinyl transferases (PPTases). The phosphopantetheinylation reaction is Mg²⁺-dependent and involves the transfer of the phosphopantetheine moiety from coenzyme A (CoA) onto a conserved serine residue in the carrier protein. For this, the PPTases catalyze the nucleophilic side chain attack of the carrier protein serine on the 5’-pyrophosphate bond of CoA. The covalently tethered phosphopantetheine arm is now capable of binding biosynthetic intermediates through a reactive thioester bond. Furthermore, the innate flexibility of the phosphopantetheine arm facilitates the transport of bound substrates onto distal catalytic centers of the megasynth(etyl)ases [72, 73].

PPTases are ubiquitous to all domains of life. Although PPTases from different organisms share only low levels of sequence homology, they can be classified into two major groups. The AcpS-type PPTases are mainly involved in fatty acid biosynthesis, whereas the Sfp-type PPTases are found in secondary metabolism [65, 73, 74]. S. cerevisiae is no natural producer of polyketides or nonribosomal peptides and, accordingly, lacks an inherent Sfp-type PPTase for the posttranslational activation of PKSs and NRPSs. The reconstitution of a broad-spectrum PPTase is hence obligatory for the heterologous production of polyketides and nonribosomal peptides in yeast [24, 75, 76]. Sfp-type enzymes that are commonly applied for this purpose include Sfp from Bacillus subtilis [77], Svp from Streptomyces verticillus [78], as well as NpgA from Aspergillus nidulans [79]. Still, NpgA is preferentially used for the heterologous biosynthesis of fungal natural products in yeast [80], which is likely due to its fungal origin. Although Sfp allows the reconstitution of fungal polykettleide pathways [75, 81], its use is mostly reported for the activation of bacterial enzymes, as exemplified in indigotin [82] and 1-octanol production [83].

Precursors for polyketide biosynthesis

Another critical factor for successful pathway reconstruction is precursor supply. Acyl-CoA units are the building blocks of PKS biosynthesis. A sufficient supply of these molecules is hence needed for the heterologous production of polyketides in yeast. Various studies reported on the engineering of acetyl-CoA metabolism to improve the availability of this metabolite in the cytosol. Proven approaches include the overexpression of the genes in the endogenous cytosolic acetyl-CoA biosynthesis pathway, which increases the metabolic flux from ethanol via acetaldehyde to cytosolic acetyl-CoA [84], or the introduction of heterologous routes for acetyl-CoA generation [85]. Other PKS substrates with a limited cellular pool include propionyl-CoA and the important PKS extender units malonyl-CoA and methylmalonyl-CoA [86, 87]. While propionyl-CoA is at least known to occur in yeast’s mitochondrial threonine catabolism [86, 88, 89], methylmalonyl-CoA is no natural metabolite of S. cerevisiae [86, 88–90]. Therefore, the expansion of the acyl-CoA precursor pool is vital. To address this bottleneck and achieve enhanced acyl-CoA precursor levels, three fundamental strategies can be pursued. These strategies include (i) the manipulation of endogenous acyl-CoA pathways by repressor deregulation, silencing of degradation pathways, or overexpression approaches,
(ii) the feeding of acyl-CoA pathway precursors such as propionate, malonate or activated N-acetylcycteamine thioesters (SNAC-esters), and (iii) the refactoring of non-native pathways for methylmalonyl-CoA, propionyl-CoA and butyryl-CoA biosynthesis [75, 86, 90].

In the focus of malonyl-CoA engineering is the enzyme acetyl coenzyme A carboxylase ACC1, which is responsible for the biosynthesis of malonyl-CoA from acetyl-CoA in a biotin and ATP-dependent reaction. Overexpression of acc1 by promoter exchange was reported to increase the malonyl-CoA pool in S. cerevisiae [87]. Furthermore, site-directed mutagenesis and subsequent deregulation of ACC1 enabled an improvement of polyketide biosynthesis [87]. A different approach involves the heterologous expression of the codon optimized malonyl-CoA synthetase MatB from Rhizobium trifolii [28, 93]. Due to its substrate promiscuity MatB was also successfully used for the biosynthesis of methylmalonyl-CoA upon methylmalonate feeding [75]. The same precursor is also accessible through the reconstitution of a propionyl-CoA dependent methylmalonyl-CoA biosynthesis pathway. For this, the propionyl-CoA carboxylase (PCC) pathway was assembled in yeast by the introduction of three genes encoding a propionyl-CoA synthetase (PrpE), a transcarboxylase subunit (PccB) and a biotin carrier protein/biotin carboxylase subunit (AccA). This resulted in an efficient biosynthesis of methylmalonyl-CoA upon propionate feeding [75].

Following the successful reconstruction of the PCC pathway in S. cerevisiae the intracellular propionyl-CoA level could be further raised through a combination of promoter exchange of PrpE, propionate feeding and deletion of the (methyl)citrate synthase genes cit2/3 to impede degradation [86]. The implementation of an artificial reverse β-oxidation pathway via yeast’s native acetoacetyl-CoA pathway and deletion of the degradative fatty acyl-CoA oxidase Pox1 gave access to butyryl-CoA, thereby expanding the diversity of precursor molecules for polyketide biosynthesis in yeast [86, 94, 95].

One of the most intensive efforts to optimize yeast for secondary metabolite biosynthesis was performed by Keasling and coworkers, who generated a recombinant yeast producing multiple short-chain acyl-CoA esters [90]. For this purpose, they introduced pathways for the biosynthesis of isovaleryl-CoA, propionyl-CoA, butyryl-CoA and hexanoyl-CoA into S. cerevisiae. Moreover, they optimized the production of the extender unit methylmalonate. Isovaleryl-CoA biosynthesis was implemented by reconstitution of a bacterial 3-methylglutaconyl-CoA hydratase (LiuC), a glutaconate-CoA transferase (AibA/B) and its corresponding dehydrogenase (AibC) harnessing yeast’s native acetoacetyl-CoA pool. Butyryl- and hexanoyl-CoA biosynthesis were established from acetyl-CoA by integration of the bacterial enzymes β-ketothiolase (BktB), 3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase (PaaH1), crotonase (Crt), and trans-enoyl-CoA reductase (Ter). For propionyl- and methylmalonyl-CoA biosynthesis, two pathways were engineered starting from malonyl-CoA or propionate, respectively, without the necessity of an external propionate or methylmalonate feed. For this, the genes for malonyl-CoA reductase (McrCa), 3-hydroxypropionyl-CoA synthase (3Hpcs), acryloyl-CoA reductase (Acr), 3-hydroxypropionyl-CoA dehydratase (3Hpcd), as well as the PrpE and PCC complex (PccB/AccA) had to be expressed. A propionyl-CoA independent methylmalonyl-CoA biosynthesis was established with a crotonyl-CoA carboxylase/reductase (CcrrCa) from Caulobacter crescentus replacing the Acr-PrpE-PCC pathway [90].

The presented examples show that acyl-CoA engineering involves extensive interventions in yeast’s primary metabolism. Since this rewiring affects cofactor and redox equivalents availability as well as ATP supply, additional rebalancing must be considered for an optimal pathway reconstruction [96]. The Crabtree effect, i.e. the redirection of the glycolytic flux towards ethanol under aerobic conditions [97, 98], deserves particular attention in this context. The Crabtree effect withdraws carbon from cytosolic acetyl-CoA biosynthesis and, thus, its elimination would be desirable for heterologous polyketide production in S. cerevisiae. Although ethanol formation can be impaired by targeted inactivation of corresponding alcohol dehydrogenases, this intervention also affects growth and glucose utilization due to an accumulation of acetaldehyde and acetate [85, 99]. It is important to mention that such trade-offs between growth and precursor supply are not uncommon. In Crabtree-negative yeasts, the detrimental effects on growth can be at least partially relieved by improving the acetate to acetyl-CoA conversion and the consumption of acetyl-CoA [84, 85].

**Precursors for nonribosomal peptide biosynthesis**

In nonribosomal peptide synthesis, amino acids represent the main building blocks. Accordingly, an adequate supply of amino acid units for the heterologous production of nonribosomal peptides is required. Of particular interest are shikimate-derived amino acids and aryl carboxylic acids such as 2,3-dihydroxybenzoate (DHBA), anthranilic acid or salicylic acid, since they are often limiting constituents in NRPS biosynthesis [100–102].

The shikimate pathway in S. cerevisiae is subject to feedback inhibition, which occurs on multiple levels and is linked to core metabolic pathways as glycolysis and the pentose phosphate pathway [100, 103]. Significant engineering efforts have been directed...
towards 3-deoxy-D-arabino-heptulosonate-7-phosphate (DAHP) synthase (Aro3 and Aro4) and chorismate mutase (Aro7), which are key enzymes initiating aromatic amino acid biosynthesis from phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) and erythrose-4-phosphate (E4P). The construction of feedback-insensitive DAHP synthase (Aro4) variants in conjunction with Aro4 and Aro7 overexpression increased the flux through the aromatic amino acid pathway [104]. The pentose phosphate pathway was found to have a lower carbon flux availability compared to the PEP pathway. Nonetheless, a sufficient supply of the rate limiting substrate E4P could be achieved by rewiring the pentose phosphate pathway [105]. Some studies identified the reduced substrate affinity of DAHP synthase towards E4P in comparison to PEP as a potential bottleneck. To address this issue, the conversion of pentose to E4P was enhanced by overexpression of transketolase (Tkl), transaldolase (Tal1) and ribose-5-phosphate ketol-isomerase (Rki1) [100, 105, 106]. In addition, the deletion of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (Zwf1) blocked the oxidative branch of the pentose phosphate pathway [107]. However, diversion of the carbon flux from glycolysis towards E4P continues to be a challenging endeavor for the biosynthesis of shikimate-derived secondary metabolites. As an example, Liu and colleagues demonstrated the necessity of extensive adaptations in glycolysis, pentose phosphate pathway, and shikimate metabolism in order to assemble an artificial para-coumaric acid pathway from tyrosine and phenylalanine [105].

**Reconstitution of type I PKSs and NRPSs in yeast**

**Reconstitution of type I PKSs**

An analysis of literature databases revealed that a number of polyketides were already successfully produced in *S. cerevisiae* (Table 1). Among them are compounds of pharmacological value, such as the cholesterol lowering agent simvastatin or the anthraquinone emodin. In addition, the biosyntheses of the chemical feedstocks 6-methylsalicylic acid (6-MSA) and orsellinic acid (OSA) were reconstituted and extensively engineered in yeast. A closer inspection of the data in Table 1 shows that with one exception the heterologously synthesized compounds derive from iteratively acting PKSs of fungal or plant origin. On the one hand, this observation might be attributed to the smaller size of the respective enzymes in comparison to multimodular bacterial PKSs. On the other hand, it might be due to the closer relatedness between the native producer and the host. In the following, we will illustrate important developments in the heterologous production of polyketides in yeast using selected compounds as examples. We will start with OSA and 6-MSA ("Orsellinic acid and 6-methylsalicylic acid" section), which are assembled by prototypical NRPKS and PRPKS, respectively [1]. Furthermore, they turned out to be useful model compounds in heterologous expression studies due to their low structural complexity and easy detection [81, 108]. Afterwards we will highlight the studies towards the reconstitution of lovastatin ("Lovastatin and simvastatin" section). Lovastatin serves as example for a HRPKS-derived compound and represents a molecule of commercial interest. In "Polyketides of bacterial origin" section, the heterologous expression of bacterial PKSs will be addressed.

**Orsellinic acid and 6-methylsalicylic acid**

Orsellinic acid (OSA) possesses potent antioxidative, neuroprotective and free radical scavenging properties [131–133] and represents a common building block in many fungal and lichen-derived secondary metabolites, such as depsides. For example, OSA is the precursor of the monoamine oxidase B inhibitor confluentic acid [134], the cathepsin K inhibitor F-9775A/B [135] and of melleolide antibiotics [136]. Its biosynthesis from one acetyl-CoA and three malonyl-CoA units is performed by the NRPKS orsellinic acid synthase (OSAS). OSAS was first isolated from *Penicillium madriti* in 1968 [137]. Since then homologs of this enzyme were identified in diverse fungal and lichen-associated ascomycetes (e.g., *Aspergillus nidulans*) as well as in some basidiomycete species, among them *Coprinopsis cinerea* and *Armillaria mellea* [93, 135–138]. Structurally, OSA closely resembles 6-methylsalicylic acid (6-MSA), which is the product of a PRPKS named 6-MSA synthase (6-MSAS). However, the domain architecture of fungal OSAS is only in parts similar to 6-MSAS (Fig. 3). OSAS lacks the KR domain, but possesses two subsequent ACP-domains, which is a typical feature of fungal NRPKSs. Furthermore, the fungal OSAS exhibits an additional off-loading TE domain, which controls the chain length and the cyclization of the final product [1, 135]. The DH domain of 6-MSAS is replaced by a related PT domain, which catalyzes the regioselective aldol cyclization and aromatization of the template [135, 139–141].

Interestingly, the occurrence of OSAS and 6-MSAS is not restricted to fungi. Instead, these enzymes were also reported for several bacteria in the order Actinomycetales, where they are involved in enediyne and oligosaccharide antibiotic biosynthesis [142, 146, 147]. The domain organization in the bacterial OSAS deviates from its fungal counterpart and is actually more reminiscent of the 6-MSAS (Fig. 3) [1, 135, 144]. In fact, the bacterial OSAS only lacks the KR domain of 6-MSAS. Inactivation of the KR domain in a bacterial 6-MSAS can result in the biosynthesis of OSA instead of 6-MSA [144].

Ishiuchi et al. demonstrated for the first time the heterologous production of OSA and 6-methylorsellinic acid (6-MOSA) in *S. cerevisiae* with titers of 1.8 mg/l and
| Structural Class | Compound | PKS type, protein size, domain architecture | Origin | Product titer [mg/l] | Literature |
|-----------------|----------|-------------------------------------------|--------|---------------------|------------|
| Anthracenone    | TAN-1612 and derivatives | NRPKS, 1794 aa, 194.9 kDa, SAT-KS-AT-PT-ACP | Fungal | 8–10 | [109] |
| Anthraquinone   | Emodin   | NRPKS, 1760 aa, 191.8 kDa, SAT-KS-AT-PT-ACP | Fungal | 592.5 | [110] |
| Anthraquinone   | Endocrocin | NRPKS, 1760 aa, 191.8 kDa, SAT-KS-AT-PT-ACP | Fungal | 134.5 | [110] |
| Anthraquinone   | DMAC     | Type III, 404 aa, 44.6 kDa, KS | Plant | Not reported | [111] |
| Benzoisochromane-quinone | Dihydrokalafungin | Type III, 404 aa, 44.6 kDa, KS | Plant | Not reported | [111] |
| Benzoisochromanequinone | (nor)-rubrofusarin | NRPKS, 2067 aa, 225.1 kDa, SAT-KS-AT-PT-ACP | Fungal | 0.2–1.1 | [112] |
| Benzenoide lactone | Brefeldin A precursors | HRPKS, 2374 aa, 257.3 kDa, KS-AT-DH-ER-KR-ACP | Fungal | 0.5–4 | [113] |
| Benzenoide lactone | Monocillin II and pochonin D | NRPKS, 2090 aa, 228.6 kDa, SAT-KS-AT-PT-ACP-TE | Fungal | 1.3–15 | [114] |
| Benzenoide lactone | 7β'-dehydro-zearalenol | HRPKS, 2349 aa, 253.6 kDa, KS-AT-DH-core-ER-KR-ACP | Fungal | 20 | [115, 116] |
| Benzenoide lactone | 10,11-dehydro-curvularin | HRPKS, 2389 aa, 260.6 kDa, KS-AT-DH-core-ER-KR-ACP | Fungal | 11 | [117] |
| Benzenoide lactone | trans-resorcylide, zearalane, lasicicol, 10,11-dehydro-curvulin, … | HRPKS, varied, KS-AT-DH-ER-KR-ACP | Fungal | 8–9 | [114, 115, 118–120] |
| Benzenoide lactone | Monocillin II, 10,11-dehydrocurvulin, lasicicol, lasilarin, radilasin, radilsolin | NRPKS, varied, SAT-KS-AT-PT-ACP-TE | Fungal (combinatorial) | 0.1–10 | [118] |
| Benzo[b]xanthene | Bikaverin | NRPKS, 2036 aa, 221.5 kDa, SAT-KS-AT-PT-ACP-TE/CLC* | Fungal | 0.7–41 | [121] |
| Coumarin        | Mellein  | PRPKS, 1786 aa, 193.5 kDa, KS-AT-DH-KR-ACP | Fungal | Not reported | [122] |
| Isocoumarin     | de-O-methylindaporthin | NRPKS, 2181 aa, 239.3 kDa, SAT-KS-AT-ACP-ACP-TE/CLC* | Fungal | 0.8–1.7 | [93] |
| Furo[2,3-h]-isochromene | Chaetoviridin A and cazaldehyde precursor | HRPKS, 2383 aa, 257.2 kDa, KS-AT-DH-MT-ER-KR-ACP | Fungal | 0.5–1 | [123–125] |
| Lactone         | Triketide lactone | Type I, ~ 180 kDa, KS-AT-KR-ACP-TE | Bacterial (combinatorial) | 0.5–1 | [75] |
| Phenol          | 3-ethyl- and 3-propylphenol | PRPKS, 1775 aa, 190.7 kDa, KS-AT-DH-KR-ACP | Fungal | 2.6–12.5 | [86] |
| Phenolic acid   | m-cresol | PRPKS, 1775 aa, 190.7 kDa, KS-AT-DH-KR-ACP | Fungal | 589 | [126] |
| Phenolic acid   | 5-methylorsellinic acid | NRPKS, 2590 aa, 283.7 kDa, SAT-KS-AT-PT-ACP-ACP-MT-TE | Fungal | Not reported | [127] |
| Phenolic acid   | 6-methylorsellinic acid | NRPKS, varied SAT-KS-AT-DH-ACP-MT-RED or SAT-KS-AT-DH-ACP-ACP-MT-EST | Fungal | 0.5–1.7 | [93] |
| Phenolic acid   | 6-methylsalicylic acid | PRPKS, 1775 aa, 190.7 kDa, KS-AT-DH-KR-ACP | Fungal | 200–2009 | [80, 81, 87, 91, 126] |
0.5–1.7 mg/l, respectively [93]. The achieved OSA titer with *S. cerevisiae* is lower than in the native producer *A. nidulans* (3.7 mg/l) [135], which supplies a vast pool of acyl-CoA precursor units for the biosynthesis of polyketides [148]. Therefore, a possible explanation for the lower production of OSA in yeast could be the limited availability of malonyl-CoA, which is a known bottleneck in its metabolism. Although MatB had been expressed in the heterologous host to increase its cellular malonyl-CoA level, it is evident that this approach relies on an exogenous supply of malonate [75, 129, 149]. Since the uptake of malonate is assumed to depend on passive diffusion [149–151], the concentration of available malonate in the culture medium could be a limiting factor for OSA biosynthesis in yeast. Further, the pH of the medium is of note, as it directly influences the equilibrium of the dissociated and undissociated form of malonic acid, and hence the ability of this precursor to cross the plasma membrane. A possible solution to promote malonate uptake, is the reconstitution of a functional malate permease, e.g., Mae1 of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, which was previously demonstrated to compensate for the lack of malonate import [149, 150].

An alternative option for increasing the malonyl-CoA pool was pursued in the reconstitution of 6-MSA biosynthesis. Here, the acetyl coenzyme A carboxylase ACC1 was targeted (see “Precursors for polyketide biosynthesis” section). For instance, the Nielsen group reported a 6-MSA titer of 554 mg/l following the overexpression of ACC1 [87]. Another promising approach was described by the Da Silva group [91]. In this study, the negative regulation of ACC1 activity by the serine/threonine protein kinase Snf1 was abolished. As Snf1 is a globally acting regulator affecting several metabolic pathways, such as gluconeogenesis, β-oxidation and the general stress response, deletion of Snf1 was ineligible. Instead, the phosphorylation site of Snf1 in ACC1 was mutated, following its identification in a sequence alignment between rat and *S. cerevisiae* ACC1. In this way, ACC1 was successfully deregulated, which led to a threefold increase in 6-MSA production [91]. Noteworthy, the benefit of ACC1 deregulation with regard to malonyl-CoA supply was also independently demonstrated by the Nielsen group, who analyzed its impact on the production of fatty acid ethyl esters and 3-hydroxypropionic acid [92].

6-MSAS also served as a model enzyme to investigate the influence of bacterial (Sfp) and fungal (NpgA) PPTases on polyketide biosynthesis in *S. cerevisiae* [80]. This study revealed that the fungal PPTase NpgA outperforms Sfp in respect of 6-MSA product titers. The achieved titer was even superior to the native producers *Aspergillus terreus* and *Penicillium griseofulvum* [80]. Recently, the Boles group reported further important parameters for achieving high 6-MSA titers [126]. Initially, the group analyzed the production of this polyketide in *S. cerevisiae* using different variants of 6-MSAS, which were constitutively expressed from a 2µ multicycoplasmid. These studies revealed that the adaptation of the 6-MSAS codons to the tRNA pools in yeast has a strong positive effect on 6-MSA productivity. Furthermore, it was demonstrated that the selection of a suitable cultivation medium is crucial and should not be neglected in heterologous expression experiments. Notable product titers were only obtained in a medium supporting high cell density growth. Further experiments suggested that the production of 6-MSA is primarily limited by the availability of the corresponding PKS. This bottleneck could be relieved by combined chromosomal and episomal expression of 6-MSAS in *S. cerevisiae* [126].

The 6-MSA titers that were achieved in the aforementioned studies vary from 200 mg/l to 2 g/l and it can be assumed that this divergence is not only due to different genetic engineering strategies. Different host strains and cultivation conditions were used in these investigations, which makes a direct comparison of the reported titers difficult, if not impossible. Still, one can conclude that *S. cerevisiae* is a very promising host for the production of this polyketide, if the titers of the native producer *P. griseofulvum* and *A. terreus* (up to 0.2 mg/l) [80] or other

### Table 1 (continued)

| Structural Class | Compound             | PKS type, protein size, domain architecture | Origin  | Product titer [mg/l] | Literature |
|------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------|----------------------|------------|
| Phenolic acid    | Orsellinic acid      | NRPKS, 1728 aa, 190 kDa, KS-AT-PT-ACP-TE   | Fungal  | 1.8                  | [93]       |
| Statin           | Monacolin L and J acid | HRPKS, 3038 aa, 335 kDa, KS-AT-DH-MT-ER*-KR-ACP-C | Fungal  | 20–75                | [128–130]  |
| Statin           | Simvastatin          | HRPKS, 3038 aa, 335 kDa, KS-AT-DH-MT-ER*-KR-ACP-C | Fungal  | 55 (in vitro)        | [128]      |

An asterisk indicates an inactive domain. EST indicates an esterase/lipase. Additional information regarding the native producer organism, the properties of the expression strain, and the titer increase compared to the native producer are given in Additional file 1: Table S1.
heterologous hosts, such as *A. nidulans* (455 mg/l) [152] are taken into account. The improvements in 6-MSA biosynthesis clearly underline the great potential for the high-level production of fungal polyketides in yeast. In particular, the overexpression and deregulation of ACC1 should also be applicable to other systems, which rely on the sufficient availability of malonyl-CoA as precursor. ACC1 is the rate-limiting enzyme for the intracellular conversion of acetyl-CoA to malonyl-CoA and represents the major source of this relevant precursor for PKS biosynthesis. Other overarching concepts are codon optimization and overexpression of PKS genes. In addition, fungal PPTases are likely advantageous for the heterologous expression of fungal PKSs.

**Lovastatin and simvastatin**  Lovastatin is known as a potent cholesterol-lowering agent. Industrially, this polyketide is produced by solid state and submerged fermentation of the native producer *A. terreus*, which is a filamentous fungus and opportunistic pathogen [153]. However, alternative non-pathogenic host systems with more favorable process properties, such as *A. oryzae*, *P. pastoris* and *S. cerevisiae*, have been explored for the production of lovastatin [154].

A pair of iteratively acting HRPKSs, namely the lovastatin nonaketide synthase LovB and the lovastatin diketide synthase LovF execute the biosynthesis of the two polyketide building blocks from which lovastatin is assembled. In detail, LovB synthesizes the intermediate dihydromonacolin L acid (DMLA) from malonyl-CoA and acetyl-CoA in cooperation with the enoylreductase LovC and the multifunctional esterase LovG. The DMLA intermediate is further processed by the cytochrome P450 monooxygenase LovA to form the intermediates monacolin L acid (MLA) and monacolin J acid (MJA) by successive dehydration and hydroxylation. This process is assisted by the cytochrome P450 oxidoreductase CPR, which is functioning as an electron donor enzyme to regenerate the hem-containing LovA using the reducing equivalent NADPH. Subsequently, the LovF-derived diketide methylbutyryl-CoA is linked to MJA by the action of the thioesterase-like acyltransferase LovD to yield lovastatin (Fig. 4) [129, 130, 154–156].

In an initial reconstitution attempt, the lovastatin nonaketide synthase LovB and the associated
enoylreductase LovC were expressed from a plasmid in an NpgA-carrying yeast strain [157]. Although phospho-
panetheinylation of LovB took place, the production of a monacolin precursor could not be observed. The
absence of the corresponding metabolite was assumed to be caused by domain inactivity or impeded product
shuttling. Subsequent in vitro and in vivo studies confirmed the incapability of LovB to release correctly pro-
cessed compounds and demonstrated the necessity of an interacting TE domain to direct the off-loading [129,
130]. Although, TE domains from other PKSs, e.g., from the enzymes engaged in hypothemycin [116] and zearale-
one biosynthesis [158] were successfully used to complement the production of DMLA, the achieved product
titers remained extremely low with only 400 µg/l. Further research efforts identified LovG and not LovD as the
native LovB-interacting TE domain. LovG proved also to be crucial for the correct iterative function of the entire
enzyme complex. Not only does this enzyme catalyze the product release, but it is also involved in the clear-
ing of incorrectly processed intermediates from LovB, thus having an important proofreading function during
chain elongation. In the contrary, the second TE-like enzyme LovD was identified to exclusively interact with
the lovastatin diketide synthase LovF. The importance of these findings could be demonstrated in another expres-
sion study. Coexpression of lovG, lovC and lovB yielded a 35 mg/l DMLA in vivo. Episomal coexpression of
lovB, lovC, lovG, lovA and the cpr from A. terreus, which served to bypass redox limitations, eventually led to the
production of the lovastatin precursor MJA with a titer of 20 mg/l [130].

Up to now, the total biosynthesis of lovastatin has not been established in yeast. However, the Tang group
recently described the biotechnological production of simvastatin (Fig. 4) [128], which is a semisynthetic
derivative of lovastatin. For this, the essential pathway genes for MJA biosynthesis were expressed together with
lovD in S. cerevisiae. Methylbutyryl-CoA, which is required for lovastatin biosynthesis, but cannot be gen-
erated in yeast in the absence of LovF was replaced with the artificial acyl donor α-dimethylbutyryl-S-methyl-
mercaptopropionate (DMB-SMMP). The latter was fed to the expression culture and, subsequently, introduced
into the biosynthesis exploiting the natural substrate promiscuity of LovD. Initially, only 0.5 mg/l simvastatin
were produced, but extensive engineering efforts helped to increase the polyketide yield considerably. Specifically,
the authors knocked out the pyruvate carboxylase Pyc2 and the lysophospholipase Nte1, which is involved in
lipid biosynthesis regulation. Furthermore, overexpression of LovA increased the conversion of DMLA to MJA,
resulting in a maximum titer of 75 mg/l MJA. Another engineering target was the LovD-catalyzed reaction,
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**Fig. 4** A Biosynthesis of lovastatin and generation of its semisynthetic analogue simvastatin. LovB lovastatin nonaketide synthase (LNKS), LovC enoylreductase, LovG multifunctional esterase, LovA cytochrome P450 monoxygenase, CPR cytochrome P450 reductase, LovF lovastatin diketide synthase (LDKS), LovD thioesterase-like acyltransferase, DMB-SMMP dimethylbutyryl-S-methyl mercaptopropionate. B Domain architectures of LovB, LovC and LovF. ER² dysfunctional enoylreductase.
which had been identified as a major bottleneck in simvastatin production. Experimental evidence indicated that pH-dependent transport limitations and toxicity issues of DMP-SMMP impeded higher conversion rates. Although adjustment of the culture pH had a positive effect on simvastatin production, the achieved titer (5.9 mg/l) was still low, corresponding to a MJA conversion of less than 15%. When DMP-SMMP was added to a freshly prepared lysate of the MJA-producing and LovD-expressing S. cerevisiae strain, the simvastatin titer increased up to 55 mg/l [128].

Important lessons were learnt from the reconstitution of lovastatin biosynthesis genes in yeast, which can be regarded as a showcase for complex HRPKS systems. First, TE-mediated proofreading and product release were identified as crucial factors in the overall production performance. The example of LovG shows that, contrary to PPTases, TE domains cannot be easily replaced by nonnative enzymes if high yields are to be obtained, especially when the TE has further proofreading functionalities. Secondly, PKS performance might depend on interactions with tailoring enzymes. The balancing of biocatalytic activities can pose a formidable challenge for the genetic engineer. Last, yet importantly, we note that substrate and/or product toxicity as well as transport limitations can have a considerable impact on the productivity of a heterologous host. Although such issues are not always foreseeable, they can be solved by procedural improvement, as nicely illustrated in the production of simvastatin with a yeast cell extract.

Polyketides of bacterial origin  The only example in which a modular type I PKS of bacterial origin was reconstituted in yeast has been reported by the Kealey group and involved the production of triketide lactone (TKL) [75]. For this, the researchers used an artificial enzyme comprising module 2 of the deoxyerythronolide B megasynthase (DEBS) fused directly to the DEBS-TE domain [159, 160]. The corresponding PKS gene was coexpressed with tRNA genes to support the sufficient translation of codons that are rarely used by yeast. In addition, refactoring of the PrpE-PCC pathway (see “Precursors for polyketide biosynthesis” section) and feeding of propionate and N-acetylcysteamine-propyl-diketide thioesters were necessary to achieve TKL production, albeit at very low titers (0.5–1 mg/l) [75].

Recently, Jakočiūnas and colleagues attempted to express the bacterial type II PKS, which is involved in actinorhodin biosynthesis, in S. cerevisiae [111]. Despite using codon optimized genes, no evidence for the production of actinorhodin or any of its biosynthetic intermediates was obtained. Although the actinorhodin PKS is structurally different from type I PKS systems, this finding underlines the difficulty to reconstitute bacterial PKSs in yeast. It is particularly noteworthy that Jakočiūnas and colleagues still achieved the production of a late stage actinorhodin intermediate named dihydrokalafungin in yeast by replacing the actinorhodin PKS with a plant-derived type III PKS. The latter had been described to generate a polyketide of identical chain-length as the bacterial PKS and was now successfully combined with other heterologous enzymes from the actinorhodin pathway [111].

Apart from the two aforementioned studies, we did not find further references on the production of bacterial polyketides with S. cerevisiae. Due to the lack of literature reports, it remains elusive if yeast actually represents a suitable host for multimodular, bacterial PKSs. In fact, the requirements to express bacterial type I PKSs in S. cerevisiae are multifold and still underexplored in many aspects. Dependency on external substrate supply in case of rare acyl-CoAs, expression of G+C rich genes, codon usage, coexpression of bacterial tRNAs to compensate translation bottlenecks and provision of stereoisomers for selective PKS domains are only some of the issues to consider, when switching from a bacterial to a yeast host system. Since the functional expression of bacterial PKSs in yeast seems to require extensive engineering efforts, alternative approaches, such as the use of analogous enzymes [111], might be more worthwhile.

Reconstitution of NRPS
Similar to the situation with PKSs, S. cerevisiae has been preferentially used as a host for NRPSs of fungal origin (Table 2). A closer analysis of the successfully reconstituted assembly lines reveals that they are distinguished by a comparatively modest size. The largest NRPSs, which were transferred to yeast, are the ACV synthetase from penicillin biosynthesis and the fumiquinazoline F-forming synthetase TqaA, with a size of 426 and 438 kDa, respectively. Although the two enzymes could be functionally expressed, the observed product titers were extremely low. Much more promising results were obtained after the reconstitution of smaller NRPS systems, featuring only one or two adenylation domains. Among them, those enzymes that deviate from the linear assembly mechanism of NRPSs were found to support particularly high product titers in yeast. Examples are the beauvericin NRPS and the atromentin synthetase. Overall, this suggests a correlation between the size of the heterologously expressed enzyme and the achievable product titer. The following paragraphs highlight the reconstitution of exemplary NRPSs. The penicillin NRPS (“Penicillin” section) was chosen to represent the enzymes following a sequential or linear assembly strategy, in which every module is recruited only once during
the biosynthesis. This selection was made because of the medicinal importance of penicillin. The biosyntheses of beauvericin and of the related bassianolide are carried out by iteratively acting NRPSs. Unlike the atromentin synthetase, these enzymes feature a canonical domain architecture including C domains, which is why they are discussed in detail here (“Beauvericin and bassianolide” section). In “Indigoidine” and “Peptides derived from multimodular bacterial NRPSs” sections, we will introduce the only bacterial NRPSs that were successfully reconstituted in yeast, namely the indigoidine NRPS and a combinatorial enzyme.

**Penicillin** The β-lactam benzylpenicillin (syn. penicillin G) is naturally produced by the filamentous fungi *Penicillium chrysogenum* and *P. notatum*. It is a potent inhibitor of bacterial cell wall biosynthesis and one of the groundbreaking discoveries of the twentieth century to treat infectious diseases. In *P. chrysogenum* the biosynthesis of penicillin involves four enzymes: PcbAB, PcbC, PclA, and PenDE. The trimodular NRPS PcbAB, which is also known as ACV synthetase, initiates the biosynthesis with the assembly of the intermediate ACV from l-α-aminoadipic acid, l-cysteine and l-valine. Subsequently, the isopenicillin N synthase PcbC catalyzes the characteristic β-lactam ring formation, which converts ACV into isopenicillin N. The final biosynthetic step is performed by the acyl-CoA-isopenicillin N acyltransferase PenDE in conjunction with the phenylacetyl CoA-ligase PclA. These two enzymes, which are located in the peroxisome of *P. chrysogenum*, are responsible for the replacement of the α-aminoadipyl moiety with a phenylacetic

### Table 2: Outline of NRPS-derived secondary metabolites that were heterologously produced in *S. cerevisiae*, including characteristics of the reconstituted NRPS, its origin and product titer

| Structural Class | Compound | NRPS assembly mode, protein size, and domain architecture | Origin | Product titer [mg/l] | References |
|------------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------|--------|---------------------|------------|
| β-Lactam         | Benzylpenicillin | linear, 3791 aa, 426.0 kDa, A-PCP-C-A-PCP-C-A-PCP-TE | Fungal | 14.9 x 10^-6 | [24, 48] |
| Benzodiazepine   | Asperlicin C/D | iterative, 2442 aa, ~ 276 kDa, A-PCP-C-A-PCP-C | Fungal | Not reported | [161] |
| Benzodiazepine   | Benzo-diazepinedione | linear, 2359 aa, 261.4 kDa, C-A-PCP-C-A-PCP-E | Fungal | 2 | [76] |
| Benzoquinone     | Atromentin | iterative, 921 aa, 101.9 kDa, A-PCP-TE | Fungal | Not reported | [162] |
| Cyclic dipeptide | Tryprostatin A/B | linear, 2211 aa, 2428 kDa, A-PCP-C-A-PCP-C | Fungal | 0.1–36 | [163, 164] |
| Cyclodepsipeptide | Bassianolide | iterative, 3147 aa, 3483 kDa, C-A-PCP-C-A-MT-PCP-PCP-C | Fungal | 21.7–26.7 | [165, 166] |
| Cyclodepsipeptide | Beauvericin | iterative, 3190 aa, 351.9 kDa, C-A-PCP-C-A-MT-PCP-PCP-C | Fungal | 33.8–105.9 | [165, 166] |
| Dioxolane        | Phenguignardic acid | iterative, 947 aa, 104.5 kDa, A-PCP-TE | Fungal | 15 | [162] |
| Dipeptide        | D-Phe–L-Leu | linear, 1088 aa, 122.7 kDa, A-PCP-E, linear, 1276 aa, 143.9 kDa, C-A-PCP-TE | Bacterial (combinatorial) | Not reported | [167] |
| Furanone         | Aspulvinone E | iterative, 926 aa, 102.4 kDa, A-PCP-TE | Fungal | 13 | [162] |
| Furanone         | Butyrolactone Ila | iterative, 931 aa, 102.6 kDa, A-PCP-TE | Fungal | 35 | [162] |
| Phenolic aldehyde | 2,4-dihydroxy-5,6-dimethyl-benzaldehyde | iterative, 2590 aa, 283.7 kDa, SAT-KS-AT-PT-ACP-ACP-MT-TE linear, 1069 aa, 118.9 kDa, A-ACP-R | Fungal | Not reported | [127] |
| Pyridone         | Indigoidine | iterative, 1283 aa, 141.2 kDa, A-Ox-PCP-TE | Bacterial | 980 | [82] |
| Pyridone         | Preaspyridone | iterative, 3930 aa, 431.3 kDa, KS-AT-DH-MT-ER*-KR-ACP-C-A-PCP-R | Fungal | 4 | [168] |
| Quinazoline      | (7-hydroxy)-fumiquinazoline F | linear, 3955 aa, 437.9 kDa, C-A-PCP-C-A-PCP-C-A-PCP-C | Fungal | 0.4–2 | [76, 93] |

An asterisk indicates an inactive domain. Additional information regarding the native producer organism, the properties of the expression strain, and the titer increase compared to the native producer are given in Additional file 1: Table S2.
acid unit, converting isopenicillin N to benzylpenicillin (Fig. 5) [169].

Early attempts to refactor β-lactam biosynthesis in yeast were described by Siewers et al. [170]. The researchers used a plasmid for the combined expression of PcbAB and the PPTase NpgA, applying a galactose-inducible, bi-directional promoter system. This approach yielded low amounts of the ACV intermediate (1 µg/g dry cell weight). While initial efforts to improve this titer, including the use of different PPTases and codon optimization of PcbAB, were met with limited success, the reduction of the cultivation temperature from 30 to 20 °C increased the ACV production titer to 1 mg/g dry cell weight. This result was attributed to an improved solubility of the expressed proteins. Surprisingly, the chromosomal integration of the biosynthetic genes dramatically decreased the product yield, which was referred to a lower dosage of the biosynthesis genes in comparison to a plasmid-based expression [170].

Despite this observation, Awan et al. chose to integrate the pcbAB-npgA expression cassette into the TRP1 locus of S. cerevisiae, when they attempted to reconstruct the entire penicillin pathway [48]. The missing three pathway genes, pcbC, pclA and penDE, were expressed from a plasmid. Subsequent investigations revealed that the production of benzylpenicillin depends on correct protein sorting in the heterologous host. In the native penicillin producer P. chrysogenum, the two enzymes PclA and PenDE are located in the peroxisome, which is essential for their functionality [169, 171, 172]. The organelle is not only providing a microenvironment close to the ideal pH of these enzymes, but also enables an efficient biosynthesis by the accumulation of enzymes and substrates. A similarly high substrate concentration is not possible in the cytoplasm due to toxicity issues [169, 173].

To probe the translocation of PclA and PenDE into the yeast’s peroxisome, Awan et al. used fluorescence tagging and microscopy. This analysis showed that the native peroxisome targeting sequence of these two enzymes is not compatible with the protein sorting system of S. cerevisiae. To solve this problem, the inherent transit peptide sequences of PclA and PenDE were replaced with corresponding signal sequences of S. cerevisiae. This approach successfully directed both enzymes into the host’s peroxisome and led to an initial penicillin G titer of 90 pg/ml. After the expression conditions for each biosynthesis gene had been optimized by screening different promoter combinations, benzylpenicillin production reached 5 ng/ml [48]. In another study, the same research group replaced the originally applied YRp system with a YEp expression vector in an engineered yeast strain. The elevated copy number of the 2μ-derived plasmid promoted the expression of the biosynthesis genes and raised the production titer of benzylpenicillin to 14.9 ng/ml [24].

It is evident that the constructed recombinant S. cerevisiae strains cannot compete with industrial P. chrysogenum strains, which achieve titers of 40–50 g/l [174]. Nonetheless, the heterologous production of benzylpenicillin is a noteworthy achievement. Not only does it illustrate the possibility to functionally express multimodular NRPS systems in yeast, but it also highlights the importance to consider subcellular localization of biosynthesis enzymes in heterologous hosts. Furthermore, it was demonstrated once again that the balanced expression of pathway genes, the expression background and the choice of cultivation conditions each have a significant impact on the productivity of the host.

Beauvericin and bassianolide In nature, the two cyclodepsipeptides beauvericin and bassianolide are produced by the ascomycete Beauveria bassiana ATCC 7159. They are composed of alternating D-hydroxyisovaleric acid (D-Hiv) and N-methyl-amino acid units (L-phenylalanine in beauvericin or L-leucine in bassianolide biosynthesis). The NRPSs, which are involved in the cycloligomerization of these building blocks exhibit an unusual domain architecture featuring two successive PCP domains and a C-terminal C domain (Fig. 6). This organization is likely important for their specific mode of operation. During each iterative cycle, modules 1 and 2 recruit and connect the respective monomers, though it is still unclear whether the actual oligomerization involves an elongation in dipeptidol units (parallel mode) or the successive addition of monomers (linear or looping mode). In any case, the C-terminal C domain stops the biosynthesis after a defined chain length has been reached through macrocyclization [166, 175].

From a medical perspective, beauvericin and bassianolide are interesting due to their potent antiproliferative, antifungal, antibiotic, anthelmintic and insecticidal activities. Of further note are their effects on human cancer cells by activating apoptotic pathways and inhibiting cell motility as well as metastasis [165, 176, 177]. It is therefore not surprising that these cyclodepsipeptides are attractive targets for heterologous production. Both, the beauvericin and the bassianolide NRPS, were individually expressed from a plasmid in a S. cerevisiae strain with a chromosomal copy of the NpgA-PPTase gene [165]. This resulted in production titers equivalent to those observed in B. bassiana, i.e., 33.8 mg/l beauvericins and 21.7 mg/l bassianolide, respectively. Feeding of the natural precursors D-Hiv, L-phenylalanine and L-leucine improved the titer to 42.2 mg/l beauvericins and 26.7 mg/l bassianolide. To avoid expensive precursor feeding and support in situ D-Hiv formation, a pathway-associated ketoisovalerate
reductase (KIVR) from *B. bassiana*, which converts L-valine into d-Hiv, was overexpressed in the beauvericin-producing *S. cerevisiae* strain. This resulted in a further product titer increase up to 105.8 mg/l [165]. Interestingly, the feeding of more than 10 mM L-valine had a detrimental effect on beauvericin biosynthesis. Overall, optimization of precursor supply and reconstitution of the pathway associated enzyme KIVR allowed the heterologous production of beauvericins and bassianolide in better titers than those observed in the native producer.

**Indigoidine**  A bacterial NRPS, which was functionally expressed in *S. cerevisiae*, catalyzes the production of the pigment indigoidine. The domain architecture of the indigoidine synthetase (BpsA) is even more unusual than the aforementioned beauvericin NRPS. Unlike the latter, BpsA completely lacks a C domain and consists only of an A domain with an integrated oxidation (Ox) domain, a PCP and a TE domain. According to the actual biosynthetic model, indigoidine is assembled from two L-glutamine monomers, which are individually cyclized by BpsA. Upon their TE-mediated release, the two amino acid moieties undergo a spontaneous oxidative dimerization (Fig. 7), similar to the biosynthesis of indigo from two indoxyl molecules [178]. The Ox domain in BpsA was proposed to dehydrogenate both L-glutamine monomers at the C2-C3 positions, although it is still elusive, if the oxidation occurs on PCP-bound substrates.

The blue pigment indigoidine has attracted some interest as an environmentally friendly dye, which is why its heterologous production was probed in *S. cerevisiae* [82, 179]. Briefly, a codon-optimized *bpsA* gene was stably integrated into the yeast genome using a CRISPR-Cas9 approach [82]. Furthermore, the Sfp-PPTase was integrated into the yeast’s δ-sites [180], following a multicopy-integration protocol, which increases the copy number and stabilizes the insert [181]. Although not stated in the corresponding publication, this likely improved the phosphopantetheinylation of the introduced NRPS. In sum, these efforts culminated in a yeast strain producing indigoidine.

An interesting observation was made during these investigations. Although the *bpsA* gene was constitutively
expressed, pigment production was clearly delayed in comparison to biomass formation when glucose was used as a carbon source. Investigations on carbon source dependency and production dynamics revealed that indigoidine formation is linked to carbon depletion and respiration of non-fermentable carbon sources. This can be explained by an increased flux of the TCA cycle during respiration and therefore an elevated concentration of α-ketoglutarate, which is the direct precursor of L-glutamine. Subsequently, carbon depletion was implemented into large-scale process development, using a signal-based pulse feeding strategy. After total consumption of glucose and fermentative by-products, the pulse-feed was applied to maintain the metabolic state of respiration without the loss of biomass formation. Because of discrete production timing, the indigoidine titer could be raised up to 980 mg/l. In this regard, the recombinant yeast is outperforming the native producer *Streptomyces lavendulae*, which synthesizes only 5.5 mg/l of pigment [178]. However, it should be mentioned that indigoidine has also been heterologously produced in bacterial hosts, such as *Streptomyces lividans*, *E. coli* and *Pseudomonas putida*. Especially the industrially relevant *P. putida* turned out to achieve very high product titers of up to 25.6 g/l [182].

Nonetheless, *S. cerevisiae* can be considered as a suitable host for industrial indigoidine production, although further optimization is necessary to compete with *P. putida*. The example of indigoidine illustrates that pathway reconstitution requires a profound knowledge of the metabolic state and catabolic process regulations of the host at set fermentation conditions.

**Peptides derived from multimodular bacterial NRPSs**

It is quite obvious that the indigoidine synthetase cannot serve as a paradigm for the expression of bacterial NRPSs in *S. cerevisiae* due to its peculiarities. In fact, information on the reconstitution of bacterial, multimodular NRPSs is scarce. A noteworthy exception is a study by Siewers et al., in which modules from two different bacterial NRPSs, namely the tyrocidine synthetase (TycA) and the surfactin synthetase (SrfAC), were introduced into yeast and individually expressed from 2µ multi-copy plasmids [167]. To enable a functional interaction between the TycA and SrfAC modules, they had been furnished with compatible communication-mediating
(COM) domains. These domains are known to mediate the necessary protein–protein interactions in multimodular megasynthetase complexes to synergistically direct the assembly of a final product [183, 184]. The successful expression of both, TycA and SrfAC, was demonstrated by fluorescence microscopy after fusion of the NRPSs to fluorescent proteins. Furthermore, the in vivo assembly of an artificial dipeptide was shown by LC/MS analyses, which confirmed the functionality of this artificial NRPS assembly line (Fig. 8). This result is noteworthy for two reasons. First, it illustrated a useful option for combinatorial reprogramming of NRPSs. Secondly, the module splitting approach bears considerable potential for the successful reconstitution of large multimodular NRPSs in *S. cerevisiae*.

**Conclusions**

The polyketides and nonribosomal peptides that were heterologously produced in *S. cerevisiae* range from small aromatic molecules like 6-MSA and orsellinic acid to highly complex molecular scaffolds (Tables 1 and 2). The structural complexity of a product has apparently no effect on the success of a reconstitution approach. Strikingly, the majority of these compounds derive from iteratively acting NRPS or PKS systems. In contrast, there are only few compounds, such as fumiquinazoline F and benzodiazepinedione, which are biosynthesized on multimodular assembly lines in a linear fashion. One reasonable explanation for this circumstance could be the size of the reconstituted megaenzymes. The iterative enzymes only comprise a minimal set of catalytic domains that are used repetitively. Due to their small size, the ribosomal synthesis of these proteins imposes a bearable metabolic burden for the cell. In the contrary, the expression of large multimodular assembly lines might interfere with the endogenous protein biosynthesis of the host. Similar assumptions have been made by Süßmuth and Mainz, who speculated that multimodular NRPSs are energetically more costly and more prone to misfolding as well as proteolysis than iterative synthetases [2]. The metabolic burden hitherto has not received much attention in pathway reconstitution approaches. However, our literature survey indicates that successful heterologous production in yeast is likely connected to the size and maybe the assembly mode (linear or iterative) of the expressed enzyme. Therefore, the extent of the metabolic burden caused by heterologous expression should be considered as a limiting factor in both, PKS and NRPS, reconstitution. Adjustments, such as tRNA pool optimization and expression temperature reduction, can promote a successful reconstitution, as exemplified in TKL [75] and ACV [170] biosynthesis. An interesting approach for multimodular pathway reconstruction might further be found in the “split-module” approach, which was tested successfully to assemble an artificial dipeptide [167].

Apart from metabolic burden constraints, our literature analysis exposed four central issues and solution concepts, which are particularly relevant for producing polyketides and nonribosomal peptides in yeast. They can be summarized as (i) sufficient availability of biosynthetic precursors, (ii) adequate phosphopantetheinylase of the PKSs and/or NRPSs, (iii) balanced expression of tailoring enzymes, and (iv) efficient expression of PKSs and NRPSs.

(i) The biosynthesis of polyketides and nonribosomal peptides undoubtedly requires a high and reliable level of precursor molecules. This can be achieved by in situ biosynthesis exploiting the primary metabolism of the host or by exogenous supply. A rate-limiting bottleneck for the biosynthesis of malonyl-CoA is the acetyl coenzyme A carboxylase ACC1. On the one hand, ACC1 represents the only intrinsic source of this relevant PKS precursor in *S. cerevisiae*. On the other hand, it is affected by a
strict catabolite-directed regulation through Snf1. Promising concepts to circumvent the bottleneck of insufficient malonyl-CoA production encompass ACC1 overexpression [87] and Snf1-deregulation [91, 92]. Also, a combination of both principles can be advantageous to increase the overall production performance, as exemplified in 6-MSA biosynthesis. An alternative approach to bypass substrate limitations is the assembly of non-native precursor routes, such as the MatB-catalyzed reaction from malonate to malonyl-CoA [28, 75, 93, 185]. The exogenous supply of biosynthetic precursors presupposes a sufficient cellular uptake by passive diffusion and/or dedicated transport systems. If substrate uptake is an issue, one might consider the reconstitution of appropriate uptake system. An illustrative example is the transporter Mael from Schizosaccharomyces pombe, which can be used to compensate for the lack of malonate uptake in S. cerevisiae [149]. A generally applicable option is to produce the PKSs and NRPSs recombinantly in yeast, but to conduct the biosynthesis under in vitro conditions following cell lysis. In this way, transport limitations can be circumvented, as nicely demonstrated in simvastatin biosynthesis [128].

(ii) Phosphopantetheinylation is essential for the activation of NRPSs and PKSs and can be addressed by overexpression of PPTase genes in S. cerevisiae. While a clear recommendation for a specific type of PPTase cannot be deduced from the analyzed studies, it seems that the PPTase NpgA from A. nidulans is slightly better adapted for the activation of fungal PKSs and NRPSs than its bacterial homolog Spf [80].

(iii) There are many enzymes other than PKSs and NRPSs, which are involved in the processing and proofreading of biosynthetic intermediates. These tailoring enzymes can play a pivotal role in secondary metabolite biosynthesis and it has become clear that their mere co-expression is often insufficient to achieve high product titers in S. cerevisiae. Instead, a balanced expression of tailoring enzymes is necessary, which must also take specific requirements such as subcellular localization, pH optima and cofactor availability into account.

(iv) A universal approach to elevate polyketide and nonribosomal peptide biosynthesis is based on overexpression of PKS and NRPS genes. For this, various strategies were pursued including the usage of strong constitutive promoters, the expression from multicopy (2µ-based) plasmids, or multicopy genomic integration. While overexpression was in general beneficial to increase the product yield, there is no clear evidence, which promoter or multicopy approach is superior. Still, there are only few expressions of single pathway enzymes can be used to minimize enzymatic bottlenecks in the concerted product formation of tailoring enzymes and PKS/NRPS assembly lines. Screening of different promoter combinations for all biosynthesis enzymes is a straightforward approach to identify expression conditions that lead to high product titers [48]. Another very useful strategy is to improve the translational efficiency, which is particularly important for large proteins such as PKSs and NRPSs. This can be implemented by codon adaptation, as demonstrated in 6-MSA production [126], or by expressing tRNA genes with anticyodon sequences, which are scarce in S. cerevisiae [75].

In summary, polyketides and nonribosomal peptides can be heterologously produced in S. cerevisiae. The existing literature indicates that it is even possible to exceed the titers of the native producer, depending on the type of biosynthesis enzyme and additional metabolic engineering efforts. It is conceivable that other yeasts with higher biomass yields might be superior to S. cerevisiae in terms of polyketide and nonribosomal peptide production, yet there are only few studies addressing this topic [186–191]. Recurring issues that are associated with the heterologous production of PKS- and NRPS-derived metabolites in S. cerevisiae have been described in this review together with possible solution strategies. We, the authors, hope that readers will find this review a useful guide for own PKS and NRPS reconstitution experiments in this exciting microbial workhorse.
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