Optogenetic modulation in stroke recovery
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Stroke is one of the leading contributors to morbidity, mortality, and health care costs in the United States. Although several preclinical strategies have shown promise in the laboratory, few have succeeded in the clinical setting. Optogenetics represents a promising molecular tool, which enables highly specific circuit-level neuromodulation. Here, we review the conceptual background and preclinical body of evidence for optogenetics and discuss translational considerations in stroke recovery.
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**Optogenetics**

Optogenetics uses light-responsive cellular elements to produce stimulation, inhibition, and silencing of neurons. One major advantage of this tool is the rapid kinetics that lead to precise temporal and geographic targeting. When a photon hits naturally occurring opsins, the molecules undergo a conformational change. Channelrhodopsin, the first photo-responsive channel to be widely implemented, is a light-responsive, cation-selective ion channel found in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. In the case of channelrhodopsin-2, a blue photon induces a conformational change from all-trans to the 13-cis-retinal conformer, which opens a cation pore in the ionotropic ion channel directly leading to depolarization and an ensuing action potential.

Several additional optogenetic channels have been developed to allow further modulation (Table 1). Halorhodopsin, which is found in the bacterium *Natronobacterium*...
pharaonis, is a chloride channel that responds to a green/yellow light and enables the inhibition of neurons.\textsuperscript{48,70,105} Archaeorhodopsin (ArchT) is another inhibitory bacteriorhodopsin and functions as an outward proton pump.\textsuperscript{21} The differences in kinetics between halorhodopsin and ArchT provide an example of the complexity of the optogenetics platform: the former recovers slowly, while ArchT recovers spontaneously after light-induced inactivation. Additional light-responsive glutamate receptors have been engineered using photosensitive ligands, enabling investigation of synaptic transmission mechanisms as well as an emerging treatment strategy for retinitis pigmentosa.\textsuperscript{32,58,80}

From an experimental perspective, our group has recently reviewed the technical considerations of using optogenetic technology in the laboratory. Briefly, imaging and stimulation can be achieved using 2-photon microscopy via cranial windows. Rodents can be awake or anesthetized, and several groups have used integrated microscopy and fiberoptics to measure and record circuit responses in experimental models. Thermal tissue stimulation must be considered when stimulating with light and may confound results.\textsuperscript{17} The strength of the optogenetic platform lies in the temporal and geographic precision of the system. Millisecond-scale responses have been demonstrated using photon induction,\textsuperscript{9,39,104} and the effect begins and ends immediately. This is in stark comparison with traditional electrical stimulation, which produces artifactual or unwanted effects in surrounding tissues. Delivery platforms include viral injection that utilizes a host of vectors and constructs, as well as transgenic animals.\textsuperscript{31,102} Viral injections have the benefit of limiting optogenetic expression to a specific geographic region, depending on the amount of virus injected and promoter specificity (Table 2).

Optogenetics in combination with targeted genetic strategies creates the possibility of the highly specialized targeting of the cells that are linked functionally, structurally, by identity, or even by seemingly idiosyncratic synchronized activity. A proposed therapeutic modality would benefit from geographic and temporal specificity.

### Proposed Targets for Stroke Recovery

Optogenetics may be applied toward recovery after

---

**TABLE 1. Survey of widely used optogenetic tools**

| Tool | Description | Advantages | Limitations | Select References |
|------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------------|
| Channelrhodopsin-2 (Chlamydomonas reinhardtii) | Excitatory; cation channel responsive to blue light | Millisecond & temporal precision; single-gene basis facilitates use w/ other genetic tools | Light scattering in tissue can lead to imprecise activation | Boyd et al., 2005; Cheng et al., 2015 |
| Halorhodopsin (Natrionomonas pharaonis) | Inhibitory; chloride channel responsive to yellow light | Millisecond & temporal precision; single-gene basis facilitates use w/ other genetic tools | Chloride channels enter into inactivation phase & constitutive inhibition requires exposing tissue to light for long periods & can lead to heat damage; unsuited for long-scale or high-quantity silencing | Nagel et al., 2003; Kandori et al., 2015 |
| Archaerhodopsin (Halorubrum sodomense) | Inhibitory; proton pump silences neurons in response to yellow light | Spontaneously recovers from light-driven inactivation; good for large-scale silencing | Light scattering in tissue can lead to imprecise activation | Chow et al., 2010 |
| Mac (Leptosphaeria maculans) | Inhibitory; proton pump silences neurons in response to blue-green light | Responds to a different color light; can be used w/ another channel for the dual control of 2 separate populations | Light scattering in tissue can lead to imprecise activation | Waschuk et al., 2005 |
| LiGluR | Light-activated ionotropic glutamate receptor via photoswitchable ligands; agonized & antagonized variants available | Light control of GPCR enables dissection of neurocircuitry; provides more nuanced control | Requires separate addition of MAG photoswitch solution prior to activation | Gorostiza et al., 2007 |
| LimGluR | Light-activated metabotropic glutamate receptor via photoswitchable ligands | Light control of metabotropic GPCR enables dissection of messenger systems; provides more nuanced control | Requires separate addition of MAG photoswitch solution prior to activation | Levitz et al., 2013 |
| DREADDs | Modified muscarinic receptors respond to biologically inert CNO | Systemic administration of inert CNO agonist depends on metabolism for “off” switch; less temporally precise than optical controls | Wahl et al., 2014 |

GPCR = G protein–coupled receptor; LiGluR = light-activated ionotropic glutamate receptor; LimGluR = light-activated metabotropic glutamate receptor.
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stroke at the hemispheric level, within specific circuits, or targeted to cells promoting plasticity.

**Disinhibition Hypothesis: Excitation and Inhibition Following Stroke**

The disinhibition hypothesis postulates that each cerebral hemisphere inhibits the activity of the other, and mutual inhibition leads to a balanced baseline activity level. In stroke, overactivation of the contralateral hemisphere perpetuates ipsilesional damage, and a positive feedback loop develops that leads to progressive functional deterioration. This concept carries significance for timing rehabilitation, and animal studies show that immediate rehabilitation following stroke can prohibit long-term recovery and lead to permanent damage in the penumbra.

Inhibition following ischemia and reperfusion, our group has recently shown that targeted optogenetic stimulation of the ipsilesional primary motor cortex (iM1) produces functional recovery in rodent stroke models. Transgenic Thy1-Channelrhodopsin-2 mice were implanted with an optical fiber over the iM1. In response to targeted iM1 stimulation, the cells in the perilesional and contralesional hemisphere fired reliably and specifically, as verified by electrophysiology recordings. Mice underwent three 1-minute stimulations per day from poststroke Days 5 to 14. Behavior tests showed that iM1-stimulated mice exhibited functional behavioral recovery at Days 10 and 14 following an experimental stroke model. Biochemically, the increased levels of the plasticity markers, including activity-dependent neurotrophins brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), nerve growth factor (NGF), and neurotrophin-3 (NTF3), were observed, as well as higher GAP-43 plasticity marker expression. These data suggest that functional recovery is likely related to plasticity-associated remodeling and provide a proof of concept that optogenetic neuronal stimulation can enhance recovery after stroke.

**Optogenetic Stimulation and Stroke Recovery**

Given the body of evidence supporting imbalanced inhibition following ischemia and reperfusion, our group has recently shown that targeted optogenetic stimulation of the ipsilesional primary motor cortex (iM1) produces functional recovery in rodent stroke models. Transgenic Thy1-Channelrhodopsin-2 mice were implanted with an optical fiber over the iM1. In response to targeted iM1 stimulation, the cells in the perilesional and contralesional hemisphere fired reliably and specifically, as verified by electrophysiology recordings. Mice underwent three 1-minute stimulations per day from poststroke Days 5 to 14. Behavior tests showed that iM1-stimulated mice exhibited functional behavioral recovery at Days 10 and 14 following an experimental stroke model. Biochemically, the increased levels of the plasticity markers, including activity-dependent neurotrophins brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), nerve growth factor (NGF), and neurotrophin-3 (NTF3), were observed, as well as higher GAP-43 plasticity marker expression. These data suggest that functional recovery is likely related to plasticity-associated remodeling and provide a proof of concept that optogenetic neuronal stimulation can enhance recovery after stroke.

**Poststroke Plasticity State and Growth Factor Release**

Another therapeutic strategy involves modulating the endogenous regenerative response after stroke. There are several promising targets. The plasticity markers growth-associated protein 43 (GAP-43), cytoskeleton-associated protein 23 (CAP-23), and myristoylated alanine-rich protein kinase C substrate (MARCKS) are upregulated in the posts ischemic milieu. Growth differentiation factor 10 (GDF10), a growth factor, has also been implicated in promoting poststroke plasticity. and upregulation in the perilesional cortex is conserved across species in both in vivo and in vitro studies. Insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1) and Nogo receptor complex-1 are involved in cell adhesion, axonal guidance, and cellular architecture modifications.

A neurite inhibitor, Nogo-A, is implicated in inhibiting poststroke axonal sprouting, and its inhibition mitigates this effect. High levels of various growth factors, including BDNF, NGF, NTF3, basic fibroblast growth factor 2 (FGF-2), IGF-1, epidermal growth factor (EGF), and glial cell line–derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF), further characterize the posts ischemic regenerative environment.

Our group has demonstrated that iM1-stimulated mice exhibited an increase in the expression of neurotrophin factors BDNF, NGF and NTF3 in the contralesional motor cortex at poststroke Day 15. Additionally, these changes were absent in stimulated, nonstroke mice. The axonal...
growth protein GAP-43 marker was also upregulated in stimulated mice.19 We hypothesize that optogenetic stimulation during the acute postischemic period will help strengthen and rebuild potentially beneficial connections that are degraded during ischemia. New connections that are activated by a selective optogenetic paradigm can recapitulate and bolster an “adolescent critical period” that can help rebuild necessary inhibitory interhemispheric connections and reestablish interhemispheric balance.

Stem cell therapies have shown promise in preclinical studies, and optogenetic modulation may provide an additional strategy for improving outcome.5,24,42 Targeted cell migration and the activation of endogenous neurogenesis pathways may be augmented by photomodulation.5,8,24,33,40,42,44,50,74,75,84 Each of these well-characterized pathways and mechanisms may be targeted using optogenetic stimulation to enhance endogenous mechanisms or exogenously introduced cells and their contribution to functional recovery.

In a recent example, neural stem cells were optogenetically modified to express channelrhodopsin-2, then engrafted in vivo. Chronic optogenetic stimulation of the transplanted cells led to the genetic expression of the transcripts involved in neuronal differentiation, axonal sprouting, and synaptic plasticity. The overall inflammatory response was also reduced, and stimulated transplanted rodents demonstrated behavioral improvement compared with controls.23

Combination With Genetic Strategies for Improved Precision and Control

The power of optogenetics lies in the ability to exploit molecular genetic tools to develop cell or circuit specific targets that allow geographic and temporal control. Several well-described cell-specific promoters are outlined in Table 2. For example, driving channelrhodopsin expression under the CaMKII promoter allows for the selective stimulation of excitatory cell circuits. Similarly, expressing halorhodopsin under the somatostatin promoter can induce the specific inhibition of inhibitory circuits. The identification of the promoters associated with elevated activity in neurons, such as c-Fos and p-CREB, are further paving the way for the selective isolation of active circuits.49 One recent example of a cell-specific optogenetic strategy involves selective targeting of the excitatory cells in the subthalamic nucleus through lentiviral CaMKII-driven halorhodopsin expression.56 More recently, 4 cell-specific channelrhodopsin-2 transgenic mouse lines were generated and characterized to employ VGAT, ChAT, Tph2, and Pvalb promoters for GABAergic, cholinergic, serotonergic, and parvalbumin-expressing neuron specificity.106

Perhaps one of the most useful genetic tools for selective circuit-specific control is the 2-component Cre-inducible genetic system.28 The Cre enzyme, which is coded for by the Cre recombinase gene, selectively excises LoxP regions. By flanking a STOP codon with LoxP sites upstream of the gene of interest, the selective expression of a gene of interest occurs after the addition of Cre. Cre is delivered using a separate virus (AAV-Cre), conjugation to a neurotracer (i.e., wheat germ agglutinin [WGA]-Cre), or by crossing with another transgenic animal line that expresses Cre. Cell-specific promoters can drive both viral and transgenic Cre, as well. Circuit-level targeting can be achieved by administering Cre that has been conjugated to a retrograde, anterograde, or transsynaptic neural tracer such as the ubiquitously used WGA tracer.29 Temporal activation is possible using Cre that has been modified with an estrogen receptor that selectively responds to tamoxifen administration.27,38 Finally, Cre-mediated gene expression can be targeted to specific regions using a viral Cre injection.

As optogenetic strategies come to fruition, one major shortcoming will be the need for direct photon delivery in vivo, which may be difficult to apply in the clinical setting. Another emerging chemogenetic strategy may obviate this translational hurdle.

Chemogenetic Manipulation: DREADDs

Similar to channelrhodopsin, genetically encoded designer receptors exclusively activated by designer drugs (DREADDs) respond selectively to a systemically administered compound (i.e., the role of light in the optogenetic system), resulting in the excitation or silencing of the receptor. The DREADD system involves a genetically expressed G protein–coupled receptor system that is modified to exclusively respond to a biologically inert compound, clozapine N-oxide (CNO), and has no response to endogenous compounds.3,56,92,93,98 CNO is safe and inert to the endogenous system, yet selectively binds to the DREADD receptor to either activate or inhibit the cell. Thus, chemogenetic manipulations are possible with precise selectivity, similar to optogenetics. One recent study used DREADDs to selectively modulate anti-Nogo-A (a growth inhibitory protein implicated in poststroke insult) in the corticospinal tract and elucidate a novel mechanism in postischemic recovery.94

Translational Considerations

The temporal, cell-specific, circuit-specific, and rapid kinetics of optogenetics has brought about significant advances in multiple disease models, including Parkinson’s, epilepsy, retinitis pigmentosa, and rhythm heart disorders.4,10,11,16 There are, however, many challenges that must be addressed first, ranging from gene therapy, light delivery technology, and the optimization of stimulation paradigms.20,100

Channelrhodopsin-2 has been successfully expressed in human embryonic cells and subsequently differentiated into cardiac cells, which successfully responded to light activation, using mechanical, biochemical, and electrical verification.10 The optogenetic toolset can also manipulate nonhuman primate models.25,41,46 Lentiviral and adeno-associated viral optogenetic delivery platforms are safe and effective for nonhuman primates. Viral transfection localizes to the injection site and leads to successful inhibition and stimulation in response to optogenetic activation.28 ArchT, which is expressed under the CAG promoter, directed neuronal silencing in nonhuman primates on behavioral and histological levels.34 Transfection of human
embryonic stem cells with channelrhodopsin-2 under the excitatory human synapsin promoter, followed by differentiation into neurons, enabled optical control in vitro. Additionally, lentiviral expression in humans effectively transduces cells with effects that last 1.5 years. As gene therapy gains more traction and public acceptance, optogenetics and DREADDs will stand at the forefront of the therapeutic methods to be implemented.

Technical innovations are rapidly solving the challenges of regionally precise light delivery for stimulation. Novel bioelectronics employ biocompatible materials, low power requirements, and microscale components. One such cell-scale device measures 50 × 50 μm and integrates micro–light-emitting diodes, wireless powering, and temperature sensors to detect overheating. Such a system enables implantation at a precise location with minimal light scattering, especially compared with the scattering present in optical fibers, which can be millimeters wide. A similarly pliable wireless optogenetic system delivers light to the cortex for optical neural control.

DREADD technology is an additional strategy for obviating the need for a light source. The oral administration of CNO, a biologically inert ligand, can replace light delivery. CNO benefits from its blood-brain barrier–permeable properties. One notable risk in humans is the metabolism of CNO to clozapine, a drug used in schizophrenia that stimulates multiple neural targets, and this effect is not seen in mice. DREADD activation relies on the pharmacokinetics of CNO, which limits the temporal precision of neural manipulations. Activation is sustained on the order of hours and reduced to the order of minutes for the localized injection of CNO. More recently, an alternative to CNO has been engineered that uses the pharmacologically inert Salvinorin B. Salvinorin B in conjunction with the κ-opioid receptor DREADD may provide improved temporal kinetics compared with CNO.

Conclusions

Stroke remains a major contributor to morbidity, mortality, and cost in the United States. Although preclinical experimental strategies have been promising, there is a dearth of translatable targeted therapies. Optogenetic and DREADD technologies provide an opportunity for circuit- and cell-specific modulation. Further studies are needed to further bring these treatments to fruition.
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