Oligomeric Properties of Survival Motor Neuron-Gemin2 Complexes*
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Background: The survival motor neuron (SMN) protein forms oligomeric complexes involved in ribonucleoprotein (RNP) biogenesis.

Results: SMN forms stable dimers, which in turn self-associate to form tetramers and octamers.

Conclusion: SMN complexes form discrete oligomers with unusually large hydrodynamic sizes.

Significance: Understanding the oligomeric nature of SMN provides an important foundation for exploring the biochemical bases of RNP assembly and spinal muscular atrophy.

The survival motor neuron (SMN)2 protein forms the oligomeric core of a multiprotein complex required for the assembly of spliceosomal small nuclear ribonucleoproteins. Deletions and mutations in the SMN1 gene are associated with spinal muscular atrophy (SMA), a devastating neurodegenerative disease that is the leading heritable cause of infant mortality. Oligomerization of SMN is required for its function, and some SMA patient mutations disrupt the ability of SMN to self-associate. Here, we investigate the oligomeric nature of the SMN-Gemin2 complex from humans and fission yeast (hSMN-Gemin2 and ySMN-Gemin2). We find that hSMN-Gemin2 forms oligomers spanning the dimer to octamer range. The YG box oligomerization domain of SMN is both necessary and sufficient to form these oligomers. ySMN-Gemin2 exists as a dimer-tetramer equilibrium with $K_d = 1.0 \pm 0.9$ μM. A 1.9 Å crystal structure of the ySMN YG box confirms a high level of structural conservation with the human ortholog in this important region of SMN. Disulfide cross-linking experiments indicate that SMN tetramers are formed by self-association of stable, non-dissociating dimers. Thus, SMN tetramers do not form symmetric helical bundles such as those found in glycine zipper transmembrane oligomers. The dimer-tetramer nature of SMN complexes and the dimer of dimers organization of the SMN tetramer provide an important foundation for ongoing studies to understand the mechanism of SMN-assisted small nuclear ribonucleoprotein assembly and the underlying causes of SMA.

The survival motor neuron (SMN)2 protein forms the oligomeric core of a multiprotein complex that functions in the biogenesis of spliceosomal snRNPs and other RNP complexes (1, 2). Additional potential functions of SMN include modulating apoptosis (3), mRNA localization (4), and translational regulation (5). SMN binds tightly to the Gemin2 protein in vivo and in vitro and interacts with additional “Gemin” proteins in the cells of most higher eukaryotes (6–8). Both SMN and Gemin2 are conserved from fission yeast to humans and have been shown to be essential in each organism that has been examined (9).

Deletions and mutations in the SMN1 gene are associated with spinal muscular atrophy (SMA), an autosomal recessive neurodegenerative disorder that affects one in 6,000 births and is a leading genetic cause of infant mortality (10, 11). A second gene, SMN2, is found only in humans and is the sole source of wild-type SMN in most SMA patients. However, the majority of SMN2 pre-mRNA transcripts undergo alternative splicing that removes the seventh exon, resulting in a truncated protein (12, 13). In the most severe form of SMA, patients typically do not live past 2 years of age; in the mildest form, they reach adulthood with only minor motor function defects. The clinical severity of SMA is strongly correlated with a reduction of functional SMN (for reviews, see Refs. 9 and 14–16).

Nearly half of the missense mutations found in SMA patients map to the C-terminal region of SMN (9), within a highly conserved oligomerization domain termed the YG box (Fig. 1A). SMN complexes purified from cells are large particles that are composed of SMN oligomers and other SMN-interacting proteins (6, 7, 17). Some SMA patient YG box mutations have been shown to result in a decrease in the ability of SMN to self-interact and to interact with other proteins (18, 19). However, the size and nature of the oligomers formed by SMN are not known. Furthermore, a specific mechanistic role for the YG box or for SMN oligomerization in RNP biogenesis that could explain why this region is so sensitive to mutation has not yet been established.

Here, we describe experiments aimed toward understanding the oligomeric nature of the human and fission yeast
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SMN-Gemin2 Oligomerization

SMN-Gemin2 complexes (hSMN-Gemin2 and ySMN-Gemin2). We find that the hSMN-Gemin2 complex forms an equilibrium mixture of oligomers in the dimer to octamer range. In this paper, an oligomer of SMN-Gemin2 refers to a species with stoichiometry (SMN-Gemin2)_n, where n = 2 for a dimer, n = 4 for a tetramer, etc. hGemin2 is monomeric at micromolar concentration, and neither hGemin2 nor regions upstream of the YG box in hSMN strongly influences the oligomeric state of the hSMN-Gemin2 complex.

The ySMN-Gemin2 complex forms an equilibrium mixture of dimers and tetramers but does not form higher order oligomers even at elevated concentrations. A crystal structure of the ySMN YG box reveals remarkable conservation of structure with the previously reported hSMN YG box (20) and extends that structural model in both directions from the highly conserved core sequence. We have exploited the simplicity and favorable biochemistry of the yeast system to further show that the SMN tetramer is formed by self-association of stable SMN-Gemin2 dimers and is not a symmetrical bundle of glycine zipper helices similar to membrane ion channels with related oligomerization motifs (21).

These findings provide answers to key questions about the core SMN-Gemin2 complex that have gone unanswered for nearly 20 years. The results also provide an important foundation for investigating the biochemical defects in SMA patients and for dissecting the functions and mechanisms of action of SMN.

**Experimental Procedures**

**Plasmid Construction**—Plasmids were constructed using standard restriction enzyme cloning methods (22). Mutants were generated using inverse PCR and overlap extension PCR methods (22). Maltose-binding protein (MBP) fusions were constructed using a pETDuet (Novagen) construct containing *Escherichia coli* MalE residues Lys27–Thr395 and included no more than two plasmid-derived linker residues. Internal laboratory database numbers for expression plasmids are given in Table 1 or are noted below.

**Expression and Purification**—hSMN-Gemin2, hSMNΔ5-Gemin2, hSMNΔ7-Gemin2, and hSMN(14–156)-Gemin2 were produced by co-expression of hSMN with Gemin2(12–280) fused to a C-terminal Mxe intein (New England Biolabs) containing a chitin-binding domain and hexahistidine tags in pETDuet. Soluble hSMN-Gemin2 complex was obtained following induction in BL21(DE3) cells for 5–16 h at 20 °C. The complex was purified by nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA; Qiagen) and chitin (New England Biolabs) chromatography at 4 °C followed by intein cleavage and release from the chitin resin with 50 mM 2-mercaptoethanol. The complex was further purified on a Superdex 200 10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare) at 20 °C using 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 400 mM NaCl, and 1 mM diithiothreitol (DTT). Analyses of hSMN-Gemin2 were carried out in buffer composed of 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 400 mM NaCl, and 1–10 mM DTT. Analyses of hSMN-Gemin2 were carried out in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, and 5 mM DTT. Analyses of SMN-Gemin2 were carried out in 10 mM Na2HPO4, 2 mM KH2PO4, 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, pH 7.4, and 2 mM DTT. All other analyses were carried out in 10 mM sodium-potassium phosphate, pH 7.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1–10 mM DTT. All buffers were 0.1 μm-filtered. Protein concentrations were determined using absorbance at 280 nm (A280) and extinction coefficients calculated from amino acid composition.

**Size Exclusion Chromatography and Multidisciplinary Light Scattering (SEC-MALS)**—Absolute molecular masses were determined by multidisciplinary light scattering coupled with refractive interferometric detection (Wyatt Technology Corp.) and a Superdex 200 10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare) at 20 °C, as described previously (24).

**Sedimentation Equilibrium (SE) and Sedimentation Velocity (SV)**—Analytical ultracentrifugation experiments were performed with an XL-A analytical ultracentrifuge (Beckman-Coulter) and a TiAn60 rotor with six-channel (for SE) or two-channel (for SV) charcoal-filled epon centerpieces and quartz windows. SE data were collected at 4 °C with detection at 280 nm for 1–3 sample concentrations. SE analyses were carried out using global fits to data acquired at multiple speeds for each concentration with strict mass conservation using the program SEDPHAT (25). Error estimates for equilibrium constants were determined from a 1,000-iteration Monte Carlo simulation. Complete SV profiles were recorded every 30 s for 200 boundaries at 45,000 rpm. Data were fit using the c(S) distribution model of the Lamm equation as implemented in SEDFIT (26). After optimizing meniscus position and fitting limits, sedimentation coefficients (S) and fractional ratios (f/f₀) were determined by interactive least squares fitting of the Lamm equation, with all fit root mean square deviations less than 0.01. The partial specific volume (\(\bar{\rho}\)), solvent density (\(\rho\)), and viscosity (\(\eta\)) were derived from chemical composition by SEDNTERP (27).
at the Cornell University High Energy Synchrotron Source (Ithaca, NY) (28), beam line X9 at the National Synchrotron Light Source (Upton, NY) (29), and the SIBYLS beam line at the Advanced Light Source (Berkeley, CA) (30). Data were also recorded using a rotating anode SAXS instrument as described previously (31). In all cases, the forward scattering from the samples studied was recorded on a CCD or multiwire detector and circularly averaged to yield one-dimensional intensity profiles as a function of \( q \) (\( q = 4 \pi \sin \theta / \lambda \), where \( \theta \) is the scattering angle). Samples were centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C before 0.5–20-s exposures were taken at 4 °C. Scattering from a matching buffer solution was subtracted from the data and corrected for the incident intensity of x-rays. Replicate exposures were examined carefully for evidence of radiation damage by Guinier analysis and Kratky plot analysis. Silver behenate powder was used to locate the beam center and to calibrate the sample-to-detector distance. All of the preparations analyzed were monodisperse, as evidenced by linearity in the Guinier region of the scattering data and agreement of the \( R(0) \) and \( R_g \) values determined with inverse Fourier transform analyses using the program GNOM (32). Molecular masses were derived from \( R(0) \) measurements using the forward scatter of a protein standard of known mass and concentration as a control.

**Structure Determination**—To obtain crystals of the ySMN YG box, we used the same strategy of screening MBP-YG box fusions that proved successful in earlier work (20). Only one fusion led to diffraction quality crystals, resulting in a structural model for residues 119–149 (residues 150–152 are poorly ordered). Crystals of MBP-YG(119–152) were grown at 21 °C by hanging drop vapor diffusion in 0.1M sodium malonate, pH 5.6, 3.0M ammonium sulfate, 20% sucrose. Rodlike crystals in ordered). Crystals of MBP-YG(119–152) were grown at 21 °C by hanging drop vapor diffusion in 0.1M sodium malonate, pH 5.6, 3.0M ammonium sulfate, 20% sucrose. Rodlike crystals in

**Results**

**Human Gemin2 Is Monomeric**—In our experience, native hGemin2 and most N-terminal tagged hGemin2 constructs are poorly expressed in E. coli. A C-terminal Mxe intein fusion modified to include a hexahistidine tag performed best in our hands and was used both to express hGemin2 alone and to co-express hGemin2 with hSMN variants (Fig. 1B).
We determined the oligomeric state of purified hGemin2 using size exclusion chromatography with in-line multianal light scattering detection (SEC-MALS). At micromolar concentration, hGemin2 has a gel filtration retention time that suggests a size roughly twice that expected for a 29-kDa monomer when compared with globular standards. However, light scattering analysis of the eluted species indicates that the protein is monomeric, with a weight average molecular mass ($\bar{M}_w$) of 28 kDa (Fig. 2A and Table 1).

We previously showed using NMR and small angle x-ray scattering (SAXS) methods that the hGemin2 core domain (resides 95–280) adopts a partially unfolded structure in the absence of hSMN but becomes more globular when bound to the hSMN Gemin2-binding domain (23). In addition, crystal structures of hGemin2 bound to a pentamer of Sm proteins indicate that the N-terminal 86 residues of hGemin2 wrap around the partial Sm ring and therefore may not form a globular structure in the absence of Sm proteins (37, 38). These observations could explain the large apparent mass obtained for hGemin2 using gel filtration alone (39).

To examine whether hGemin2 becomes more compact and/or gains the ability to self-associate when bound to hSMN, we purified the complex formed between hGemin2 and the Gemin2-binding domain of hSMN (hG2BD; Fig. 1A) and compared its properties with those of unbound hGemin2. SEC-MALS analysis indicates that at eluted concentrations of 1–2 $\mu$m, this complex is also monomeric (Fig. 2B and Table 1). This result is recapitulated in the molecular masses determined using SAXS I(0) measurements at concentrations up to 500 $\mu$m (Table 2).

The SEC elution time of hG2BD-Gemin2 indicates that the complex has become slightly more globular compared with hGemin2 alone but still has an apparent molecular weight that is larger than that indicated by light scattering (Table 1). Thus, hGemin2 adopts an extended conformation in solution but does not have a strong self-association affinity either alone or when bound to hSMN.

**hSMN-Gemin2 Complexes Require the YG Box for Oligomerization**—To determine whether regions of hSMN outside of the YG box are capable of mediating self-interaction, we purified and tested hGemin2 bound to hSMN(28–51) and hSMN(14–209). The hSMN(14–56) construct includes the Tudor domain (encoded by exon 3), and the hSMN(14–209) construct includes the region coded by SMN exon 4. Each complex was monomeric based on SEC-MALS and SAXS analyses (Fig. 2C and Tables 1 and 2). However, the $\bar{M}_w$ determined for hSMN(14–209)-Gemin2 was slightly higher than the calculated

![FIGURE 2. Gemin2 is not oligomeric. SEC-MALS analyses at 20°C are shown for hGemin2 (A), hSMN(28–51)-Gemin2 (B), and hSMN(14–209)-Gemin2 (C). Although SEC elution times suggest dimeric species, $\bar{M}_w$ values from light scattering indicate a lack of oligomerization in each case. See also Table 1.](image-url)
molecular mass, supporting the idea that a weak self-interaction motif may be present in the segment coded by exon 4 (40).

We further examined the hSMN(14–156)-Gemin2 complex using SV and SE. The SMN(14–156) construct includes exon 2B and the Tudor domain, both of which have been suggested as possible protein-protein interaction motifs (40, 41). The SV c(S) distribution for this complex at 21 μM gave a single, sharp peak near 2 S, consistent with a 1:1 hSMN-Gemin2 complex (Table 1). SE analysis at 10–20 μM confirmed these conclusions, because global fitting of these data were best described as a single species with a mass of 42 kDa (Table 3). Although weak interactions involving regions upstream of the YG box may exist in an oligomeric complex, we conclude that self-association of hSMN-Gemin2 is driven primarily by the YG box.

**Oligomeric Properties of Human SMN-Gemin2 Complexes**—hSMN forms highly oligomeric structures both when purified from eukaryotic cells (6, 7) and when purified in recombinant forms (19). hSMN is poorly soluble in isolation, but 1:1 hSMN-Gemin2 complexes are sufficiently soluble in high ionic strength buffers to study using biophysical techniques. We therefore co-expressed hSMN and hGemin2 in E. coli and purified the complex using Ni-NTA, chitin, and Superdex-200 chromatography. A consistently higher expression level of hGemin2 using our C-terminal Mxe construct ensured saturation of the Gemin2-binding domains of SMN, leading to a stoichiometric 1:1 complex upon purification based on SDS-PAGE analyses (Fig. 1B).

The hSMN-Gemin2 complex elutes near the void volume of Superdex 200 columns, with an apparent molecular mass of >670 kDa based on calibration with globular standards (Fig. 3A). When SEC analysis of this complex is coupled with multi-angle light scattering detection, the M₆₀ distribution calculated across the peak indicates that multiple species are present with masses that range from ~200–550 kDa. Due to limited solubility of the complex, the concentrations of hSMN-Gemin2 eluting from the SEC column in this experiment are ~140 nM (Table 1). Thus, at submicromolar concentrations, the majority of hSMN-Gemin2 oligomers present in solution are much smaller than what is implied by SEC alone.

**TABLE 2**

SAXS analyses of SMN-Gemin2 complexes

| Complex                        | Mcalc | Concentration | Rg  | Dmax | Mass by I(0) |
|--------------------------------|-------|---------------|-----|------|---------------|
|                                | kDa   | μM            | Å   | Å    | kDa           |
| hSMN(26–51)-Gemin2             | 33.7  | 504           | 28.1| 97   | 39.1          |
| hSMN(14–156)-Gemin2            | 46.6  | 252           | 27.5| 94   | 38.4          |
| hSMN(14–209)-Gemin2            | 52.3  | 202           | 27.2| 91   | 37.9          |
| hSMNΔ55-Gemin2                 | 58.4  | 160           | 28.1| 94   | 38.5          |
| ySMN-Gemin2                    | 44.7  | 138           | 36.8| 126  | 48.6          |
| MBP-hSMN(229–294)              | 48.3  | 69            | 35.5| 121  | 58.8          |
|                                |       |               | 46.6| 23   | 44.9          |

**TABLE 3**

Sedimentation equilibrium analyses of SMN-Gemin2 complexes

All experiments were performed at 4 °C. Calculated complex molecular masses are for a 1:1 stoichiometry. Mfixed, fixed molecular mass of the smallest species in equilibrium models; Mfit, best fit molecular mass for single species models. S, single species; D, dimer; T, tetramer; O, octamer. NA, not applicable.

| Complex                        | Mcalc | Concentration | Rg  | Dmax | Mass by I(0) |
|--------------------------------|-------|---------------|-----|------|---------------|
|                                | kDa   | μM            | Å   | Å    | kDa           |
| hSMN(14–156)-Gemin2            | 46.6  | 10.5, 14.8, 19.6| 18, 22, 25 | NA | 42.4 ± 0.3 | S |
| hSMN-Gemin2                    | 62.3  | 2.6, 3.8, 5.2 | 8, 10, 12 | 299.2 NA | T-O |
| hSMNΔ55-Gemin2 (20)            | 58.4  | 3.0, 4.3 | 8, 10, 12, 16 | 116.8 NA | D-T-O |
| ySMN-Gemin2                    | 44.7  | 3.5, 8.8, 14.0| 8, 10, 12 | 89.4 NA | T-O |
| MBP-hSMN(229–294)              | 48.3  | 4.9, 7.3, 8.3| 12, 14, 16 | 193.2 NA | T-O |
|                                |       |               | 23   | 32   | 491           |
|                                |       |               | 58   | 65   | 480           |
|                                |       |               | 47   | 64.2 | 218 ± 275     |
lar hSMN-Gemin2 octamer (500 kDa) would have a sedimentation coefficient of 14 S under these conditions, assuming $f/f_0 = 1.2$. An octamer with $f/f_0 = 1.6$ would have a sedimentation coefficient of 11 S, close to that observed experimentally.

When we analyzed the sedimentation behavior of the hSMN-Gemin2 complex at 4 °C, the results were quite different (Fig. 3B and Table 1). The complex sediments over a broad distribution from 4 S to 8 S, with a peak at 5.8 S. This temperature effect is reversible, as demonstrated by sedimentation experiments that cycled between 4 and 25 °C. Our interpretation of this result is that the lower temperature and the large hydrostatic pressure (70–140 bars) present in the SV experiment destabilize the larger hSMN-Gemin2 oligomers (44), leading to a shifted distribution that favors the smaller species. A tetramer of hSMN-Gemin2 (250 kDa) with $f/f_0 = 1.6$ has a calculated sedimentation coefficient of ~6 S and could explain the smaller species observed at 4 °C. The temperature dependence of hSMN oligomerization implies that the larger hSMN-Gemin2 oligomers are stabilized primarily by hydrophobic interactions.

A simple model for hSMN oligomerization that emerges from SEC-MALS and SV analyses is that of a tetramer-octamer equilibrium, where the octamer is favored at 25 °C but readily dissociates to form tetramers at 4 °C. To further test this model, we performed SE experiments on 3–5 μM hSMN-Gemin2 at 4 °C. Linearized plots and global fits of the radial distributions show $M_w$ values close to that expected for a hSMN-Gemin2 tetramer (Fig. 4A and Table 3).

**SMNΔ5-Gemin2 and hSMN-Gemin2 Complexes Have Similar Oligomeric Properties**—To gain further insight into the nature of the oligomers formed by human SMN, we studied a hSMN-Gemin2 complex that lacks the segment coded by exon 5 of SMN. The hSMN Δexon5 (SMNΔ5) variant is a naturally occurring isoform that resembles SMN from zebrafish, fly, and worm, which lack much of this 32-residue proline-rich region (45). As we previously reported, the 58-kDa SMNΔ5-Gemin2 complex has improved solubility at physiological ionic strength and shows a reduced tendency to aggregate, making it more amenable to solution analyses than the full-length hSMN-Gemin2 complex (20).

SEC-MALS and SV analyses (Fig. 3, C and D) indicate that the oligomeric behavior of SMNΔ5-Gemin2 is similar to that observed for hSMN-Gemin2. The primary difference we observe is a shift toward lower mass averages, which would be consistent with a weakened stability of the octamer and could
also be related to the reduced tendency of the SMNΔ5 complex to aggregate. To examine the concentration dependence of these masses, we measured $M_w$ distributions at several SMNΔ5-Gemin2 complex concentrations (Table 1). The masses observed ranged from ~200–450 kDa, indicating that the SMNΔ5-Gemin2 complex also forms oligomers spanning the dimer-octamer molecular weight range.

The SMNΔ5-Gemin2 complex shows the same temperature-dependent oligomerization that we observed for hSMN-Gemin2. The larger 11 S species observed at 25 °C dissociates to form smaller species at 4 °C (Fig. 3D). For SMNΔ5-Gemin2, two distinct species can be observed with sedimentation coefficients of 4.5 S and 5.9 S. As noted above for hSMN-Gemin2, a sedimentation coefficient of 5.9 S would be consistent with a tetramer, with $f/f_0 \sim 1.8$. The smaller 4.5 S species observed for SMNΔ5-Gemin2 could represent a dimer, with $f/f_0 = 1.5$.

We previously reported SE results for the SMNΔ5-Gemin2 complex at 4 °C, where the centrifugation data could be fit by a dimer-tetramer-octamer equilibrium model, where $K_d$ for dimer-tetramer is 0.5 μM and $K_d$ for tetramer-octamer is 3 μM (Table 3). At the concentrations examined, dimers, tetramers, and octamers are all present according to this model, yielding an overall $M_w$ close to that of a tetramer, as indicated by linearized plots of radial distributions (Fig. 4B).

To obtain additional evidence for a tetramer-octamer equilibrium, we carried out SAXS experiments for SMNΔ5-Gemin2, where the scattering intensities were extrapolated to zero scattering angle for a series of concentrations that were well above the tetramer-octamer $K_d$ of 3 μM estimated from SE. The $M_w$ values obtained were close to that of an octamer of SMNΔ5-Gemin2 heterodimers, in agreement with the highest masses observed in SEC-MALS experiments (Table 2). The SAXS experiments also provide an indication of the spatial size of the SMNΔ5-Gemin2 complexes in solution. Over the range of concentrations examined, the radius of gyration ($R_g$) is 90–100 Å, and the maximum dimension ($D_{max}$) is ~300 Å, explaining the large frictional coefficients inferred from SV experiments.

The SMN Δ7 Isoform Is Defective in Oligomerization—The hSMN Δexon 7 isoform (SMNΔ7) is deficient in self-interaction assays (18, 19), and the isolated YG box of this truncated variant is monomeric (20). To confirm that the SMNΔ7-Gemin2 complex is oligomerization-deficient, we co-expressed SMNΔ7 and hGemin2, purified the complex, and examined its behavior using SEC-MALS (Fig. 5). As anticipated, a heterodimer of SMNΔ7 bound to Gemin2 (~60 kDa) is the largest species observed.

Schizosaccharomyces pombe SMN Forms Dimers and Tetramers—To determine which properties of SMN-Gemin2 are evolutionarily conserved, we studied the complex from fission yeast. ySMN is essential and oligomeric, and reduced expression adversely affects snRNP levels and RNA splicing.
We co-expressed ySMN and yGemin2 in E. coli and purified the complex to homogeneity using a scheme similar to that described above for the human complex. A higher expression level of yGemin2 relative to ySMN also ensured saturation of the Gemin2-binding domains in the ySMN oligomers, resulting in a stoichiometric 1:1 complex upon purification (Fig. 6A).

When the ySMN/yH18528Gemin2 complex was analyzed using SEC-MALS, the $M_w$ values observed across the peak eluting from an analytical Superdex-200 column spanned 110–180 kDa, consistent with the presence of dimers through tetramers of the 45 kDa complex (Fig. 6B). As observed for the human complex, the lack of a flat $M_w$ plateau across the sizing peak indicates that two or more oligomeric forms are present. The SEC-MALS results also indicate a lack of higher order oligomeric species because masses higher than 180 kDa were not observed. These mass profiles are concentration-dependent, with a shift toward 180 kDa when larger amounts of complex were injected and a shift toward 100 kDa when lower amounts were injected.

In order to further investigate the nature of the ySMN-Gemin2 oligomeric distribution, we performed SE experiments (Fig. 6C and Table 3). The best global fit to the radial distributions measured at three concentrations and three rotor speeds was for a dimer-tetramer equilibrium, with $K_d = 1.0 \pm 0.9$ μM. Alternative models, such as a single species tetramer or a monomer-trimer equilibrium of ySMN/yH18528Gemin2, led to poor fits. Thus, at concentrations near 1 μM, fission yeast SMN/yH18528Gemin2 exists as an equimolar mixture of dimers and tetramers.

Shape of ySMN-Gemin2 Oligomers—The SEC elution time of the ySMN-Gemin2 complex corresponds to a unusually large Stokes radius ($R_s$), based on comparison with globular protein standards (Fig. 6B). This indicates that, like the human complex, ySMN-Gemin2 does not adopt a compact, globular structure. To investigate this further, we analyzed ySMN-Gemin2 using sedimentation velocity ultracentrifugation. At a concentration of 8.7 μM, ySMN-Gemin2 sediments as a 6.4 S species at 20 °C, with an apparent molecular mass of 168 kDa and $f/f_0$ value of 1.7 (Fig. 7A). Globular ySMN-Gemin2 tetramers (i.e. with $f/f_0 = 1.2$) would be expected to have a sedimentation coefficient of ~7.9. Similar results were obtained when the SV experiments were carried out at 4 °C.
SAXS measurements for ySMN-Gemin2 at concentrations from 30 to 220 μM indicate $R_g = 80–85$ Å and $D_{max} = 275–300$ Å (Table 2). The shapes of the interatomic distance distribution function and the Kratky plot for ySMN-Gemin2 both indicate a spatially extended complex that lacks overall compactness (Fig. 7B). For comparison, catalase is a tetramer with a similar molecular mass (232 kDa) but adopts a compact structure with $R_g = 37$ Å and $D_{max} = 124$ Å (Fig. 7B). Together, the SEC, SV, and SAXS results indicate that the ySMN-Gemin2 complex adopts a highly extended shape.

The SAXS experiments also provide an independent estimate of $M_w$ for the ySMN-Gemin2 complex at high concentrations, where tetramers are expected to predominate (Table 2). The average $M_w$ derived from $I(0)$ measurements over five independent experiments is 180 kDa, with a range of 140–210 kDa. This result agrees well with the values obtained from SEC-MALS and SE experiments and underscores the finding that fission yeast SMN-Gemin2 does not form stable oligomeric species larger than a tetramer.

Based on structures of hGemin2 bound to the Gemin2-binding domain of hSMN (23, 37, 38) and the structure of the hSMN YG box (20), the conserved N-terminal and C-terminal domains of ySMN are expected to form folded, well defined structures in the ySMN-Gemin2 complex. The central region of ySMN, however, is predicted with high probability to be natively unstructured (Fig. 7C). Thus, the large spatial extent of the ySMN-Gemin2 complex may be primarily due to an unstructured 80-amino acid segment linking the G2BD to the YG box (Fig. 1A).

The ySMN YG Box Dictates Oligomeric State—To determine whether the YG box can independently mediate formation of oligomers similar to those observed for human and fission yeast SMN-Gemin2, we replaced hSMN residues 1–228 and ySMN residues 1–38 with the maltose-binding protein (MBP) and tested the oligomeric properties of the resulting fusion proteins.

SEC-MALS analyses indicate that both MBP-SMN fusions are oligomeric, with molecular mass profiles similar to those observed for the wild-type SMN-Gemin2 complexes. For MBP-hSMN(229–294), the $M_w$ values span ~200–400 kDa, corresponding to tetramers through octamers of the 50-kDa fusion protein (Fig. 8A). For MBP-ySMN(39–152), the fusion protein has a molecular mass close to that expected for a tetramer (Fig. 8B).

Interestingly, the MBP-hSMN fusion shows a temperature dependence of oligomerization that is similar to that observed for hSMN-Gemin2. MBP-hSMN(229–294) sediments at 11 S at 25 °C, but at 7.6 S at 4 °C (Fig. 8C). SE analysis of MBP-hSMN(229–294) at 4 °C resulted in radial distributions that could be adequately fit by a tetramer-octamer model (Table 3). Given the strong similarities between the SMN-Gemin2 complex and the MBP-YG box fusion for both the human and fission yeast systems, we conclude that the YG box alone confers the oligomeric properties of the SMN-Gemin2 complex, and the oligomeric states neither require nor are strongly influenced by Gemin2 or other regions of SMN.

Structure of the ySMN YG Box Dimer—Although the YG box region of SMN is conserved from S. pombe to humans, there are some subtle differences. For example, the third YXXG repeat in ySMN is LXXG. The same variation is found in fly SMN (Fig. 9A). The ySMN YG box sequence is also less hydrophobic on the C-terminal end when compared with metazoan orthologs.

To examine structural differences that may result from changes in the YG box sequence relative to hSMN, we crystallized the ySMN YG box dimer, determined its structure...
using molecular replacement, and refined the resulting model to 1.7 Å resolution. Because the YG box is poorly soluble in isolation, we used an MBP fusion to improve solubility and facilitate crystallization, as described for the hSMN YG box (20). A summary of crystallographic data and results is given in Table 4.

As anticipated, the *S. pombe* YG box forms a glycine zipper helical dimer, with a right-handed crossing of helices (Fig. 9B). The first two conserved tyrosines in the YXXG repeats (Tyr132 and Tyr136) pack against the conserved glycines (Gly135 and Gly139), as observed for hSMN. However, Leu140 in the third (LXXG) repeat forms only minimal contact with Gly143. Instead, Leu144 packs against the Gly143 main chain in the opposing helical subunit (Fig. 9C). A superposition of the human and *S. pombe* YG box structures indicates that they are very similar, with a root mean square deviation of 0.47 Å for Cα atoms (Met263–Phe280 of hSMN). However, the construct used for crystallization of the *S. pombe* YG box is longer by several residues at the N-terminal end than that present in the human YG box structure, and more of the YG box is ordered at the C-terminal end. Thus, the yeast YG box structure extends the current structural model of this region of SMN to include an additional turn leading into the glycine zipper region (Fig. 9D) and an extended helical region following the conserved YXXG repeats (Fig. 9C).

Although the ySMN YG box structure includes residues starting from Tyr119, the N-terminal end of the helix (Tyr119–Thr123) is distorted (Fig. 9, B and D). This appears to be the result of the connection to the C-terminal helix of MBP and is

### Table 4

| Crystallographic data | Value |
|-----------------------|-------|
| **Data collection**    |       |
| Resolution (Å)         | 1.7   |
| Space group            | P2₁,2₁,2₁ |
| Cell constants (Å)     | a = 96.4, b = 105.0, c = 107.7 |
| Mosaicity (degrees)    | 0.33–0.48 |
| Wavelength (Å)         | 1.0750 |
| Completeness (%)       | 99.6 (99.6) |
| Rmerge                 | 0.067 (0.734) |
| Total reflections       | 119,867 |
| Unique reflections     | 27,872 |
| Average I/σ            | 11.3 (2.89) |
| Redundancy             | 7.0 (6.9) |

| **Refinement**         |       |
| Rwork                  | 0.169 |
| Rfree                  | 0.191 |
| No. of atoms           |       |
| Protein                | 5,578 |
| Solvent                | 1,077 |
| Ligand                 | 48    |
| Average B factors (Å²) |       |
| Protein                | 20.7  |
| Solvent                | 26.1  |
| Ligand                 | 18.4/20.9 |
| Root mean square deviation |       |
| Bond lengths (Å)       | 0.007 |
| Bond angles (degrees)  | 1.322 |
| Ramachandran (%)       |       |
| Most favored           | 96.4  |
| Allowable              | 3.3   |
| Outlier                | 0.4   |

**TABLE 4**

Crystallographic data for the *S. pombe* SMN YG box. A, alignment of diverse SMN sequences, with only the C-terminal regions of the proteins shown. *S. pombe*, *Caenorhabditis elegans*; *D. melanogaster*; *X. laevis*. Helical secondary structure is indicated for *S. pombe*. The three conserved YXXG repeats found in SMN orthologs are given below the sequences. A fourth, weaker repeat is also shown. This motif defines the glycine zipper region of SMN (boxed). B, structure of the ySMN YG box dimer. Conserved glycine residues are represented by red spheres at Cα, and conserved tyrosine/leucine side chains are colored cyan. The glycine zipper region is boxed. C, close-up of the C-terminal end of the YG box, illustrating packing of Leu140, Leu144, and Lys146 side chains around Gly143. The residues used in cross-linking experiments, Ser147 and Ala145, are shown in magenta. D, close-up of the N-terminal end of the YG box. Residues involved in hydrophobic packing in this region are colored magenta.

**FIGURE 9. Structure of the *S. pombe* SMN YG box.** A, alignment of diverse SMN sequences, with only the C-terminal regions of the proteins shown. *S. pombe*, *C. elegans*, *D. melanogaster*; *X. laevis*. Helical secondary structure is indicated for *S. pombe*. The three conserved YXXG repeats found in SMN orthologs are given below the sequences. A fourth, weaker repeat is also shown. This motif defines the glycine zipper region of SMN (boxed). B, structure of the ySMN YG box dimer. Conserved glycine residues are represented by red spheres at Cα, and conserved tyrosine/leucine side chains are colored cyan. The glycine zipper region is boxed. C, close-up of the C-terminal end of the YG box, illustrating packing of Leu140, Leu144, and Lys146 side chains around Gly143. The residues used in cross-linking experiments, Ser147 and Ala145, are shown in magenta. D, close-up of the N-terminal end of the YG box. Residues involved in hydrophobic packing in this region are colored magenta.
therefore unlikely to represent the native SMN structure. Given the pattern of conserved hydrophobic residues present in this region of SMN (Fig. 9A), it seems likely that the YG box helices are extended an additional 1–2 turns in the N-terminal direction before yeast Tyr124 (human Leu160).

**SMN Forms Dimers of Dimers—ySMN-Gemin2 exists as an equilibrium mixture of dimers and tetramers at concentrations near 1 μM.** The structure shown in Fig. 9 represents the oligomeric core of dimeric SMN. To investigate how the YG boxes are organized within tetrameric SMN, we considered two different models.

The first model is that of a 4-fold symmetric helical bundle (Fig. 10A) resembling the oligomers found in glycine zipper-containing transmembrane proteins, such as the KcsA potassium channel (21). In a symmetric bundle, all four subunits are equivalent, and similar residues are involved in forming the intersubunit interfaces in the dimeric and tetrameric forms. The alternative model is a dimer of YG box dimers (Fig. 10B).

This model presumes that a tightly associated dimer is the fundamental structural unit of SMN, and these dimers have the capacity to self-associate to form a tetramer. In this case, the interface used to form the dimer is distinct from that involved in forming the tetramer.

To distinguish between these models, we designed a disulfide cross-linking experiment that exploits our finding that Ser147 is within the dimer interface, and the S147C mutant forms disulfide cross-links that can be identified as dimers on non-reducing SDS-PAGE. Ala145 is on the surface of the YG box dimer, and A145C is not efficiently cross-linked. For each cysteine mutant, we also generated an N-terminal HSF-tagged variant that would allow us to identify when sub-

---

**FIGURE 10. ySMN forms dimers of dimers.** A, symmetric tetramer model of SMN. Dissociation of the tetramer results in formation of all possible pairings of helical dimers. Subunits are shaded to distinguish, for example, tagged from untagged subunits. B, dimer of dimers model of SMN. Subunits within dimers do not exchange when tetramers associate and dissociate. This model assumes that dimers are highly stable and do not exchange subunits on the time scale of minutes to hours. C, disulfide cross-linking of tagged and untagged SMN mutants. Ser147 is within the dimer interface, and the S147C mutant forms disulfide cross-links that can be identified as dimers on non-reducing SDS-PAGE. Ala145 is on the surface of the YG box dimer, and A145C is not efficiently cross-linked. HSF is a 28-residue His6-Strep-FLAG tag. All four cysteine mutants were prone to degradation during expression in E. coli, resulting in a weak background of truncated SMN subunits following purification (indicated by asterisks), which are also cross-linked to form SMN dimers for S147C constructs. D, untagged and tagged S147C ySMN-Gemin2 complex were incubated separately in the presence of oxidizing agent, resulting in formation of cross-linked SMN dimers (lanes 2 and 3) and HSF-SMN dimers (lanes 5 and 6) on non-reducing SDS-PAGE. When the two are co-expressed, a cross-linked HSF-SMN heterodimer is readily formed (lanes 8 and 9). When the two complexes are incubated together, no cross-linked heterodimer is observed (lanes 11 and 12). E, when tagged and untagged S147C SMN-Gemin2 complexes are incubated together and purified on Ni-NTA beads, untagged SMN is co-purified (lane 6), indicating the formation of mixed tetramers. The untagged complex alone does not bind efficiently to the nickel beads (lane 4).

---

This model presumes that a tightly associated dimer is the fundamental structural unit of SMN, and these dimers have the capacity to self-associate to form a tetramer. In this case, the interface used to form the dimer is distinct from that involved in forming the tetramer.

To distinguish between these models, we designed a disulfide cross-linking experiment that exploits our finding that Ser147 lies at the dimer interface (Fig. 9C). We first purified a ySMN S147C mutant as a complex with yGemin2 and found that it can be readily cross-linked by mild oxidation, resulting in ySMN dimers on non-reducing SDS-PAGE (Fig. 10C). As a control, we purified a complex containing the A145C substitution. Ala145 lies on the surface of the YG box dimer, where direct intersubunit cross-linking within a dimer should not be possible (Fig. 9C). As expected, the A145C complex is not efficiently cross-linked (Fig. 10C).

For each cysteine mutant, we also generated an N-terminal HSF-tagged variant that would allow us to identify when sub-
unit exchange has occurred upon mixing of tagged and untagged ySMN-Gemin2 complexes. If symmetric tetramers are formed, the subunits are expected to undergo exchange to form heterodimers of tagged and untagged ySMN (Fig. 10A). If tetramers are instead formed by association of stable dimers, then only homo-dimeric cross-links should be observed within a mixed population (Fig. 10B).

As shown in Fig. 10D, we do not observe disulfide cross-linked heterodimers containing both HSF-ySMN and ySMN subunits upon mixing the respective Gemin2 complexes, even after prolonged incubations. Mixed dimers can, however, be formed by co-expression of HSF-ySMN and ySMN with Gemin2 (Fig. 10D). To confirm that mixed tetramers containing tagged and untagged ySMN homodimers are formed under our experimental conditions, we incubated a mixture of previously purified HSF-ySMN and ySMN with Ni-NTA beads, washed the beads, and eluted bound proteins with imidazole. ySMN is co-purified from the mixture but is not retained on the beads in the absence of HSF-ySMN (Fig. 10E). Together, these data support a dimer of dimers model but are inconsistent with a symmetric tetramer model for the ySMN-Gemin2 complex.

Discussion

Despite the well documented functional importance of SMN oligomerization, the nature of the complexes formed by SMN has been elusive. Here, we have shown that hSMN-Gemin2 exists as an equilibrium mixture of species that spans dimers through octamers. Thus, hSMN-Gemin2 forms discrete oligomers covering a much smaller molecular weight range than is implied by SEC experiments or sedimentation coefficients alone.

The fission yeast SMN complex has provided important insights into the nature of SMN-Gemin2 oligomerization. In this system, dimers and tetramers are the only species observed. The primary difference between the two systems at the level of oligomerization is that hSMN forms larger complexes that appear to involve self-association of tetramers. The strong temperature dependence of these larger species suggests a hydrophobic driving force for their formation. The more hydrophilic nature of the C-terminal end of the yeast YG box (e.g. Ala140 and Glu142; Fig. 9A) could limit the ability of ySMN-Gemin2 to form higher order oligomers and could account for the difference in oligomeric properties between the two systems. We are currently testing this hypothesis.

The simplest model for SMN oligomerization that is consistent with our experimental data is an evolutionarily conserved dimer-tetramer equilibrium, where SMN dimers are the core building blocks used to assemble larger complexes. For human SMN and, presumably, other metazoan SMN orthologs, further self-association to form larger species is favored at elevated concentrations. This type of extended oligomeric structure could be particularly relevant in the nucleus, where SMN has been found to be a component of nuclear bodies (50). The multivalent interaction scaffold resulting from SMN oligomerization could play important structural or organizational roles in these condensed states (51).

Our experiments do not exclude the possibility that hexamers of hSMN-Gemin2 could also be formed as intermediate oligomeric forms (i.e. from three dimers or from one dimer and one tetramer). A detailed understanding of how YG box dimers are arranged in the tetrameric and octameric forms of SMN would provide useful insights into whether hexamers are likely to be stable oligomeric species. Our SE data can readily accommodate such a model, but the fits cannot be clearly distinguished from those of the dimer-tetramer-octamer model.

The finding that ySMN-Gemin2 forms stable dimers that self-associate with a Kd of ~1 μM raises a number of intriguing questions about the oligomeric form of SMN that might be required for snRNP assembly. A transcriptome and proteome study of S. pombe has revealed that ySMN is present at ~2,500 copies/cell during logarithmic growth (52). With an average cell volume of 128 μm3 (52), this implies a concentration of 30 nM ySMN or 15 nM dimeric ySMN. Even if these SMN levels are underestimated by a factor of 10, it still appears that the concentration of ySMN-Gemin2 in fission yeast cells is well below the Kd of tetramer formation. Immunofluorescence experiments have indicated that ySMN is found in both cytoplasmic and nuclear compartments, with no indication of highly enriched localization (46). Thus, ySMN dimers are likely to be abundant species in fission yeast and could represent a functionally active species.

The situation in human cells is more complicated because the distribution of SMN between cytoplasmic and nuclear compartments often involves a high degree of localization in Cajal bodies and related nuclear structures (53). The specifics of this localization appear to vary between cell types and developmental stages of a given cell type (54). One possibility is that dimeric SMN-Gemin2 could function in the early, cytoplasmic steps of core snRNP assembly and that this could be the form that is imported into the nucleus (55). This would be consistent with the more diffuse cytoplasmic localization (and therefore lower local concentration) of SMN and with the presence of these smaller oligomers even at the concentrations used in in vitro experiments (e.g. see Fig. 3). This idea would also help to explain how SMN-snRNP core complexes are escorted through the nuclear pore complex, given that the hydrodynamic sizes of the larger SMN-Gemin2 oligomers alone are near the limits of what has been observed for nuclear import (56).

It is important to note that our investigations of hSMN-Gemin2 oligomerization have been performed in the absence of Gemin8 and Gemin3. Both proteins require the SMN YG box for binding (8, 57), and one or both proteins could interact directly with the conserved YG box dimer surface (8). Indeed, Gemin3 binding is abolished by specific mutations in the fly YG box, leading to the suggestion that Gemin3 interacts with the dimeric form of SMN (58). Thus, Gemin3 or Gemin8 could modulate oligomerization by interacting with the same residues that are used to form higher order SMN complexes. Ongoing studies in our laboratory seek to address this question.

We also note that some of the results described here conflict with the interpretations of data from previous studies. For example, Ogawa et al. (39) reported that hGemin2 is dimeric based on gel filtration and GST pull-down assays. In contrast, we have shown that hGemin2 is monomeric in isolation and
when bound to truncated SMN fragments, even at extremely high concentrations. The apparent dimer inferred from gel filtration can be readily explained by the extended N terminus, and therefore non-globular overall shape, of Gemin2. It is not clear why hGemin2 is retained by GST-hGemin2 (which is expected to be dimeric) on glutathione-agarose beads (39). We have also argued here that regions of SMN outside of the YG box do not strongly influence the oligomeric state of the SMN-Gemin2 complex. However, weak interactions involving Gemin2 and/or exons 2b, 3, and 4 could still play organizational roles within oligomeric SMN complexes, particularly within SMN dimers.

A second difference from previous studies concerns the oligomeric behavior of SMNΔ5-Gemin2 and SMNΔ7-Gemin2 relative to hSMN-Gemin2. Lorson et al. (18) used a GST pull-down assay to measure the ability of these SMN isoforms to self-interact. They found that in vitro translated SMNΔ5 binds less efficiently to GST-SMN than to GST-hSMN, and the SMNΔ7 isoform was slightly impaired relative to SMNΔ5. We have shown that SMNΔ5-Gemin2 has similar oligomeric properties compared with hSMN-Gemin2, but octamer formation appears weaker, and the complex has less of a tendency to aggregate. We have also shown that SMNΔ7-Gemin2 does not form stable oligomers, in agreement with the properties of isolated SMNΔ7 (19).

One explanation for the discrepancies between these results could lie in the different properties of SMN versus SMN-Gemin2 complexes. A second explanation could be that interpreting the pull-down experiments could be difficult, given what we now know about SMN oligomerization and the likelihood that GST-SMN will be dimeric regardless of the isoform or mutant examined. Indeed, we have found that the hSMN G279V SMA mutant is even more oligomeric than wild-type hSMN, yet GST pull-down assays indicated a deficiency in self-association (18). The corresponding G210V mutant in fly SMN has also been shown to be functional at self-association in Drosophila S2 cells yet has a strong (pupal lethal) in vivo phenotype (58).

The ySMN YG box dimer structure shown in Fig. 9 reinforces the view that the YG box is evolutionarily conserved at the structural level. In addition to the residues directly involved in the dimerization interface, several surface residues are also conserved and are obvious candidates for involvement in tetramer formation and/or participation in RNP assembly steps that are shared between eukaryotes that use SMN.

Recent studies of the mechanism of SMN-mediated snRNP assembly have focused on the role of Gemin2 in organizing five Sm proteins into a partial ring in preparation for snRNA binding (37, 38, 59). A key step in this process is the transfer of the Sm pentamer from pICln, where the Sm proteins are prevented from interacting directly with RNA, to the SMN complex. An assembly intermediate mimicking the Sm pentamer bound to Gemin2 (Fig. 1A) can be formed from free Sm proteins, Gemin2, and truncated SMN variants, but transfer of the Sm pentamer from pICln requires full-length, oligomeric SMN (38, 59). It is currently unclear how the SMN YG box might be involved in this process.

Important goals related to SMN oligomerization are therefore to understand how missense mutations found in SMA patients affect the oligomers that can be formed in SMN-containing complexes, to understand what roles the YG box plays in SMN biology beyond simply serving as an oligomerization scaffold, and to understand how those roles are affected by the mutations associated with SMA. The experimental approaches described here are well suited for directly assessing changes in size and shape of the complexes formed by SMN variants. Together with crystallographic and electron microscopy data revealing the role of Gemin2 in organizing Sm proteins (37, 38, 59), structural models of the human (20) and yeast YG box dimers should facilitate structure-function studies directed toward improving our understanding of SMN.
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