DNA Damage Response and Immune Defense: Links and Mechanisms
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DNA damage plays a causal role in numerous human pathologies including cancer, premature aging, and chronic inflammatory conditions. In response to genotoxic insults, the DNA damage response (DDR) orchestrates DNA damage checkpoint activation and facilitates the removal of DNA lesions. The DDR can also arouse the immune system by for example inducing the expression of antimicrobial peptides as well as ligands for receptors found on immune cells. The activation of immune signaling is triggered by different components of the DDR including DNA damage sensors, transducer kinases, and effectors. In this review, we describe recent advances on the understanding of the role of DDR in activating immune signaling. We highlight evidence gained into (i) which molecular and cellular pathways of DDR activate immune signaling, (ii) how DNA damage drives chronic inflammation, and (iii) how chronic inflammation causes DNA damage and pathology in humans.
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THE DNA DAMAGE RESPONSE (DDR)

The DNA damage response (DDR) is a complex signal transduction pathway that is required for preserving the genetic information encoded by DNA and for ensuring its accurate transmission through generations. Erroneously repaired DNA lesions can lead to mutations while unrepaired damage can result in cellular senescence or apoptosis (Welsh et al., 2004; Mendoza et al., 2013; Ciccia and Elledge, 2010). Dysregulation of DDR and repair systems can cause several human disorders that are associated with cancer susceptibility, accelerated aging, and developmental abnormalities (Pan et al., 2016).

The DDR is triggered by a wide variety of physico-chemical aberrations in the genome. Some DNA aberrations are caused by physiological processes such as base mismatches introduced during DNA replication and DNA strand breaks caused by malfunctioning activity of topoisomerase I and II (Jackson and Bartek, 2009). Lesions in the DNA can also arise from the release of reactive oxygen species (ROS) upon oxidative respiration or through redox-cycling events mediated by heavy metals (Valko et al., 2006). Other DNA damaging agents are ultraviolet light, ionizing radiation and a large variety of chemical agents (Hoeijmakers, 2009). Also replication stress resulting from oncogenic signaling can result in genome instability (Halazonetis et al., 2008). These endogenous and exogenous factors induce diverse lesions in the DNA such as nucleotide alterations (substitution, deletion, and insertion), bulky adducts, single-strand breaks (SSBs) and double-strand breaks (DSBs) (Rodriguez, 2011).

DNA damage recognition is the initial step of DNA damage repair mechanisms and involves a set of lesion-specific sensing molecules. Damage detection is followed by the recruitment of a set of
transducers, which are composed of a number of protein kinases. Finally, different checkpoints and repair systems (effectors) including cell cycle regulators, nucleases, helicases, polymerases, ligases are involved in removing the damage, thus maintaining genome integrity (Pan et al., 2016).

In recent years, several lesion-specific repair mechanisms have been identified. Non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) and homologous recombination (HR) repair both remove DSBs through distinct mechanisms (West, 2003), single-strand break repair (SSBR) ligates nicked DNA strands (Caldecott, 2008), mismatch repair (MMR) restores errors that occurred during replication (Jiricny, 2006), base excision repair (BER) reverses oxidative base modifications (Lindahl and Barnes, 2000; Bauer et al., 2015), and nucleotide excision repair (NER) removes helix-distorting lesions (Hoeijmakers, 2009; Edifizi and Schumacher, 2015).

THE IMMUNE SYSTEM RESPONDS TO DNA DAMAGE

Immune signaling in response to DNA was reported as early as 1963 when Isaacs et al. (1963) showed that mouse cells that had been infected with chick nucleic acid produced cytokines and interferons (IFNs). Ever since, significant progress has been made toward understanding the role of DNA in activating the immune system. As endogenous DNA in eukaryotes is stored in the nucleus, infectious foreign DNA enters the cytoplasm, where it is detected and responded upon by the host’s immune system. Bacterial DNA has been shown to activate the innate immune system and stimulate inflammatory responses [reviewed in Krieg (2002)]. Not only DNA, but also RNA injected by viruses into human cells induces the expression of IFN encoding genes (Ank et al., 2006). Intriguingly, not only viral and bacterial pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) such as foreign DNA but also damaged endogenous DNA can trigger inflammatory gene expression. For instance, treatment of human cells with etoposide, an anticancer drug promoting dsDNA breaks by inhibiting the ability of topoisomeras II to re-ligate cleaved DNA (Meresse et al., 2004; Baldwin and Osheroff, 2005), leads to the induction of IFN-stimulated genes, primarily IFN-α and IFN-λ genes (Brzostek, 2011). Innate immune responses to damaged endogenous DNA have been evidenced in various species including nematodes and fruit flies. Recent observations in the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans established that DNA damage in germ cells including meiotic DSBs triggers the worm’s innate immune response through ERK1/2 MAPK signaling (Ermolaeva et al., 2013). C. elegans lacks specialized immune cells or adaptive immunity but has an ancestral innate immune system that is activated in response to various pathogens through several immune cascades including the p38 and ERK MAPK pathway (Ermolaeva and Schumacher, 2014a). Exogenous and endogenous DNA damage in germ cells mediated a germline DNA damage-induced systemic stress resistance (GDISR) throughout the somatic tissues of the animal resulting in elevated resistance to heat and oxidative stress (Ermolaeva et al., 2013; Ermolaeva and Schumacher, 2014b).

The understanding of how DDR induces immune responses has remained a challenging question (Pateras et al., 2015; Ribezzo et al., 2016). How can cytoplasmic immune sensors detect nuclear DNA? How are nuclear DNA sensors linked to immune signaling in the cytoplasm? It seems that the activation of immunity can be triggered by different components of the DDR including DNA damage sensors, transducer kinases, and effectors.

DNA damage responses are initiated upon recognition of DNA lesions by specialized set of DNA sensors. These sensors specifically bind to diverse types of DNA lesions. Single-stranded DNA are recognized by a protein complex of replication protein A (RPA; Zou and Elledge, 2003), DSBs are detected by the Mre11-Rad50-Nbs1 (MRN) complex (Grenon et al., 2001), mismatched bases are recognized by MutS proteins (Pluciennik and Modrich, 2007), whereas damaged bases are sensed by DNA glycosylases (Lu et al., 1997; Hazra et al., 2003; Figure 1). The cGMP-AMP synthase (cGAS) and its activator IFI16, the DExD/H-box helicase 41 (DDX41) and the cGMP-AMP synthase (cGAS), all activating IFN response through TBK1/STING signaling.

The role of DNA damage sensors in detecting aberrant DNA structures is not only restricted to the nucleus. Several studies showed that some DNA damage sensors play a role in the detection of foreign DNA in the cytoplasm leading to the activation of immune signaling. For instance, the DNA repair proteins, Ku70 and the DNA dependent protein kinase (DNA-PK), known to be involved in the detection of dsDNA breaks and...
the initiation of NHEJ (Ciccia and Elledge, 2010), act as pathogen recognition receptors (PRRs) for DNA in the cytoplasm. In response to cytosolic DNA, Ku70 induces the production of IFN-λ1 via the activation of IFN regulatory factor (IRF)-1 and IRF-7 (Zhang et al., 2011) (Figure 1A), while DNA-PK induces the expression of IFN-β, cytokine, and chemokine genes through the activation of IRF-3, TBK1, and STING (Ferguson et al., 2012) (Figure 1B). Additional evidence supporting a link between DNA damage and immunity revealed that the DNA damage sensor MRE11 recognizes cytosolic dsDNA but not viral DNA and initiates STING-dependent signaling leading to the induction of type I IFN (Kondo et al., 2013; Figure 1C). Moreover, the DNA-damage sensor Rad50 was shown to form a signaling complex with the innate immune adapter CARD9 in dendritic cells (DCs) upon their transfection with dsDNA or infection with viral DNA. The formation of dsDNA-Rad50-CARD9 signaling complexes induces NF-κB activation and pro-IL-1β generation (Roth et al., 2014; Figure 1D).

The Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 (PARP-1) has been implicated in multiple cellular processes such as DNA replication, transcription, DNA repair, apoptosis, and genome stability (reviewed in Bouchard et al. (2003)). In addition, PARP-1 impacts the expression of NF-κB-dependent proinflammatory mediators such as TNFα, IL-6, and iNOS by inducing the translocation of NF-κB into the nucleus upon genotoxic stress (reviewed in Mangerich and Bürkle (2012)). The enzyme called MutY Homolog (MUTYH) not only repairs oxidative DNA damage but has also been associated with circulating levels of IL-1β and IL-6 in patients undergoing chronic hemodialysis (Cai et al., 2012). The 8-Oxoguanine DNA glycosylase-1 (OGG1) is a DNA glycosylase functioning in BER (Ba et al., 2014). OGG1-KO mice show a decrease in cytokine and chemokine production (Mabley et al., 2005), and a decrease in expression and translocation of STAT6 and NF-κB (Li et al., 2012). Another glycosylase involved in the BER-mediated removal of DNA lesions, the Apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease 1 (APE1), has been associated with the activation of immune signaling (Fung and Dempl, 2005). APE-1 regulates transcription factors involved in inflammatory responses including NF-κB (Nishi et al., 2002), AP-1 (Xanthoudakis and Curran, 1992), HIF-1α (Huang et al., 1996, and p53 (Gaiddon et al., 1999). The transcriptional regulation of NF-κB and HIF-1α through APE-1 is thought to be necessary for the expression of TLR2-mediated inflammatory mediators, including TNF-α, CXCL8/IL-8, and LL-37, in human keratinocytes (Lee et al., 2009).

The DDR involves the PI3 kinase-like protein kinases ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) and ataxia telangiectasia Rad-3 related (ATR). These kinases coordinate a DDR network when they are recruited to sites of DSBs and RPA (Shiloh, 2003). ATM and ATR signaling mediates DNA repair by inducing transcription of repair proteins and by recruiting repair factors to the site of DNA damage (Abraham, 2001). Within the context of DDR-mediated immune signaling, and after induction of DSBs, NF-κB essential modulator (NEMO), the regulatory subunit of IkB kinase (IKK) associates with ATM. This association activates IkB kinases and triggers NF-κB-dependent gene expression. Irradiated ATM knockout mice failed to induce canonical IKK activation compared to WT mice (Li et al., 2001).

The DDR does not only produce the induction of proinflammatory signals such as IFNs, but also ligands that have the ability to bind to immune receptors. Examples of these receptors are the NKG2D, a member of the C-type lectin-like superfamily, and DNA Accessory Molecule-1 (DNAM-1). NKG2D binds to the ligand MICA, MICB, ULBP1-6, a MHC class I-like protein. Whereas DNAM1 binds PVR/CD155 and Nectin-2/CD112 belonging to the Ig-like superfamily. These ligands are known to be induced by stress conditions such as cell divisions, viral infections and cancer reviewed in (Cerboni et al., 2015). NKG2D can activate NK cells, CD8+ T cells and γδ T cells (Champsaur and Lanier, 2010). Genotoxic stress and stalled DNA replication forks induced the expression of ligands for the NKG2D receptor in mouse and human cell lines (Gasser et al., 2005). Ligands' upregulation required the activation of ATM or ATR protein kinases and DNA damage checkpoint pathways such as the Chk1 (a downstream transducer kinase in the pathway). Whereas exposure of cells to pharmacological or genetic inhibition of ATR, ATM or Chk1 prevented ligand upregulation. Moreover, siRNA knock-down of ATM in tumor cell lines abrogated NKG2D ligand expression (Gasser et al., 2005). Ligand expression of DNAM-1 was as well enhanced upon DDR. The treatment of multiple myeloma (MM) cells with low doses of chemotherapeutic drugs triggered the expression not only of NKG2D, but also of DNAM-1 ligands in an ATM/ATR-dependent manner promoting cellular adhesion to cells expressing DNAM-1 ligands including CD155 and CD112 (Bottino et al., 2003; Soriani et al., 2009). Taken all together, these findings demonstrate the ability of DNA damage sensors and DDR to activate immune signaling.

** IMMUNE STIMULATORY EFFECTS OF NUCLEIC ACID**

Viral infections impose a challenging threat on human health. Unlike other microorganisms such as bacteria and fungi, viruses do not display microbe-specific patterns. Therefore, the repertoire of PRRs responsible for virus detection has evolved the ability to detect nucleic acids, a common pattern for all viruses. In vertebrates, foreign DNA can be recognized by two complementary nucleic acid detection systems: membrane bound PRRs (endosomal sensors) and cytoplasmic PRRs, both activating antiviral defense accounting essentially for type I interferon (IFNs) production (Stetson and Medzhitov, 2006).

So far TLR9 is the only known endosomal DNA sensor (Figure 1). This PRR is expressed in plasmacytoid DCs (Kadowaki et al., 2001) and responds to microbial oligodeoxynucleotides containing unmethylated CpG motifs (CpG-ODNs) derived from bacteria and viruses (Hemmi et al., 2000). The activation of TLR9 by its microbial ligand requires the internalization and endosomal maturation of CpG-DNA (Ahmad-Nejad et al., 2002). TLR9 activation is initiated by its transport and localization from the endoplasmic reticulum to
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the endolysosomes in dendritic cells where its ectodomains are cleaved (Ewald et al., 2008). Truncated TLR9 recruits the TLR adaptor myeloid differentiation marker 88 (MyD88) thus leading to the induction of inflammatory genes through the transcription of nuclear factor kappa B (NF-kB) and IFN-regulatory factor 7 (IRF-7). Details on TLR9 dependent induction of IFN regulatory factors is reviewed in (Takeuchi and Akira, 2010). The presence of DNA sensors and the restriction of their activity in recognizing nucleic acid and initiating signal transduction in intracellular compartments must have a protective effect against autoimmunity caused by recognition of self nucleic acids. TLR9 is one of the best examples in this respect as only the cleaved form of TLR9 recruits MyD88 (Ewald et al., 2008).

In contrast to endosomal sensing of DNA, cytosolic DNA sensing involves a diverse set of proteins acting as PRRs for nucleic acids. The recognition of cytosolic DNA by such sensors triggers signaling through TANK binding kinase 1 (TBK1) and its downstream transcription factor 3 (IRF3), leading to the production of type I IFN. Activation of TBK-1/IRF-3 signaling axis upon cytosolic DNA sensing is mediated by the stimulator of IFN genes (STING), a transmembrane protein acting as a signaling adaptor (Ishikawa et al., 2009).

The first discovered cytoplasmic sensor was the DNA-dependent activator of IFN regulatory factors (DAI), a Z-DNA binding protein named previously DLM-1. DAI’s expression was shown to be greatly up-regulated in the peritoneal lining tissue of tumor-bearing mice. The up-regulation of DAI was stimulated in macrophages by INF-γ or LPS suggesting that this protein plays a role in host defense (Fu et al., 1999). Later, it was shown that DAI binds to dsDNA enhancing its association with the IRF3 transcription factor and the TBK1 serine/threonine kinase and regulating the type I IFN response (Takaoka et al., 2007; Figure 1F). Another DNA sensor involved in innate immune responses is the RNA polymerase III. This polymerase recognizes AT rich dsRNA. By doing so, it activates RIG-1 and induces the production of type I interferon and the activation of the transcription factor NF-kB (Ablasser et al., 2009; Figure 1F). Inhibition of RNA pol III led to the abrogation of IFN-β induction upon infection with Legionella pneumophila and enhancement of bacterial growth (Chiu et al., 2009).

Cytosolic DNA can also be sensed by the interferon gamma-inducible protein 16 (IFI16). IR-induced DNA damage leads to nuclear localization of IFI16 and the formation of BRAC-1-IFI16 complex at genomic sites of DNA damage. This complex engages the p53-mediated transmission of DNA damage signals and apoptosis (Aglipay et al., 2003). IFI16 functions as a DNA sensor in both the nucleus and the cytoplasm (Li et al., 2012) and as a nuclear pathogen sensor upon infection with Kaposi Sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (Kerur et al., 2011; Figure 1F). DExD/H-box helicase 41 (DDX41) also recognizes cytosolic DNA and DNA virus. DDX41 was found in the cytosol of myeloid dendritic cells (mDCs) together with STING. Upon its knockdown by shRNA mDCs failed to mount type I interferon and cytokine responses to DNA. Moreover, its KD blocked the activation of TBK1 and the transcription factors NF-kB and IRF3 (Zhang et al., 2011; Figure 1F). Another cytosolic DNA sensor is the cGMP-AMP synthase (cGAS). It was shown that cGAS has a second messenger function allowing its binding to STING, thus the induction of type I IFN response (Burdette et al., 2011; Figure 1F).

Taken together, the various cytosolic sensors play an integral role in the DNA-mediated activation of immune responses.

The detection of nucleic acids can be harmful for the host when it results in an excessive activation of immune cascades that can be costly in terms of energy, cause tissue damage and promote autoimmune diseases. Thus, cells have evolved in parallel fast and effective mechanisms for degrading DNA coming from pathogens, apoptotic cells or DNA replication byproducts. To rapidly degrade nucleic acids, cells utilize a set of DNases. Among these cellular DNases are DNases II that are the three prime repair exonuclease 1 (TREX1). These enzymes are found in the cytoplasm where they degrade DNA coming from endogenous retroviruses and DNA replication byproducts. Cells deficient in TREX1 accumulate endogeneous single-stranded DNA (Yang et al., 2007; Stetson et al., 2008). Moreover, a loss of function mutation in the human Trex1 gene cause Aicardi–Goutieres syndrome (AGS), an autoimmune disorder (Crow et al., 2006).

**CHRONIC INFLAMMATION AS AN OUTCOME OF THE DDR – IMMUNE SIGNALING CROSS TALK**

An inflammation is a protective response mediating the elimination of injurious agents, the removal of necrotic cells and the initiation of tissue repair. Despite its beneficial effects, a prolonged inflammatory response can cause harm such as injuries in bystander normal tissues and promote inflammatory diseases. As a paradigm of the interplay between DDR and immunity, a prolonged or elevated inflammation can be an outcome of a persisting DDR or an accumulation of DNA damage due to deficiency in repair mechanisms. Mice carrying a Werner Syndrome (WS) mutation and a simultaneous knockdown of the RecQ-type DNA helicases exhibited an increased inflammatory status characterized by expression changes in HIF-1, IL-6, and components of the NFκB pathway (Turaga et al., 2009). Other studies showed that DDR can associate with autoimmune diseases. For instance, Schild-Poulter et al. (2008) identified in the serum of patients having systemic autoimmune rheumatic disease (SARD) autoantibodies against Ku, DNA-PKcs, poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase, and against DNA repair proteins such as Werner and Mre11. Additional studies reflecting the role of DNA damage in promoting autoimmune diseases showed that cell lines from patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) have a defective DSB repair (Davies et al., 2012). Moreover, low-density granulocytes (LDGs), an abnormal population of neutrophils found in SLE patients, have elevated levels of somatic alterations such as genetic damage compared to normal-density neutrophils (Singh et al., 2014). In the same
context, Bawadekar et al. (2015) has demonstrated the presence of IFI16 in sera of systemic-autoimmune patients associated to an upregulation of cytokine encoding genes in endotoxin-free recombinant IFI16 (rIFI16) endothelial cells. IFI16 seemed to propagate inflammation in endothelial cells through the activation of p38 MAPK and NF-κB p65 (Bawadekar et al., 2015). Another example of DNA damage-related autoimmunity is provided by Karakasilioti et al. (2013) where they showed that persistent DNA damage signaling in mice, carrying a defective NER in adipose tissues only, triggers a chronic autoinflammatory response leading to fat depletion and metabolic abnormalities. DNA damage driven inflammation can also promote tumourigenesis. For example, diethylnitosamine (DEN)-induced hepatocellular carcinoma depends on IKKβ-mediated inflammation. The carcinogen DEN causes DNA damage and leads to necrotic hepatocyte death resulting in the activation of inflammatory responses promoting tumor development (Maeda et al., 2005). In the same vein a defective DNA repair caused by a deficiency of the Fen1 exonuclease resulted in cancer initiation and a chronic inflammation promoting cancer progression (Zheng et al., 2007).

Persistent DDR triggers senescent cells to secrete growth factors, proteases, and inflammatory cytokines, termed the senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP; Freund et al., 2011). Cellular senescence is a tumor-suppressive mechanism arresting cells at risk for malignant transformation mediated by the tumor suppressor p53 upon DDR (Reinhardt and Schumacher, 2012). Despite the tumor-suppressing role associated with senescence, senescent cells can also induce deleterious changes in the tissue microenvironment promoting tumourigenesis (Coppe et al., 2010). Human cells bearing DSBs had an increase in secretion of inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6 and IL-8. Elevated cytokine secretion occurred upon persistent DDR and not upon transient DDR, suggesting that this increase in secretion is associated with senescence. Initiation and maintenance of cytokine signaling required the DDR proteins ATM, NBS1, and CHK2 but was independent of p53 (Rodier et al., 2009). In addition, the interleukin IL-1 signaling pathway was shown to be upregulated by senescent cells (Garfinkel and Brown, 1994). IL-1 can be secreted by senescent endothelial cells (Maier et al., 1990), fibroblasts (Palmieri et al., 1999), normal epithelial cells (Coppe et al., 2008) and epithelial cells in which senescence was induced by chemotherapy (Mantovani et al., 2001). SASP seems to promote cancer by cytokine-dependent growth of precancerous cells (Rodier et al., 2009).

**CHRONIC INFLAMMATION AS A DRIVING FORCE IN THE GENESIS OF DNA DAMAGE AND MALIGNANCY**

The majority of DDR studies have focused on physico-chemically induced aberrations in the genome. Only few studies have explored the role of *in vivo* physiological conditions, such as inflammation, in inducing DNA damage. Chronic inflammation has recently emerged as an important modulator of mutation susceptibility. Chronic inflammatory diseases such as colitis, hepatitis and pancreatitis induce oxidant-generating enzymes including NADPH oxidase and nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), thereby generating excessive production of mutagenic compounds such as ROS and reactive nitrogen species (RNS; Bartsch and Nair, 2006). ROS/RNS produced by neutrophils and macrophages (Coussens and Werb, 2002) can cause damage to nuclear and mitochondrial DNA (Wiseman and Halliwell, 1996). Damage is induced by nitration, oxidation, methylation and deamination reactions causing alterations in the DNA structure that can ultimately lead to mutations, rearrangements, deletions and insertions and indirectly lead to base alkylation via lipid peroxidation (LPO; Wiseman and Halliwell, 1996). For example, an increase in oxidative base damage has been observed in the case of some chronic inflammatory diseases such as hepatitis (Hagen et al., 1994) and rheumatoid arthritis (Bashir et al., 1993). In parallel to causing DNA damage, ROS/RNS can cause oxidative protein damage modifying the activity of DNA polymerases, thereby impairing DNA repair pathways (Wink et al., 1998). ROS/RNS can as well modify the function of proteins involved in cell proliferation and differentiation (Wiseman and Halliwell, 1996). Accumulation of DNA damage caused by either an increase in oxidative damage or a decrease in repair efficiency, leads to malignant diseases. For instance, oxidative DNA damage occurring in tumor-suppressor genes, oncogenes and key regulators of cell proliferation can promote tumourigenesis (Meira et al., 2008). Cells cultured under oxidative stress conditions develop malignant transformation (Zimmerman and Cerutti, 1984; Weitzman and Gordon, 1990) and a defective MMR causing hereditary non-polyposis colon cancer (Marx, 1994). Taken together, chronic inflammation accompanied by the generation of ROS/RNS drives the transformation of normal cells into malignant cells through the production of oxidative DNA damage and the impairment of DNA repair pathways.

Reactive oxygen species/RNS-derived DNA lesions are repaired by BER upon their recognition by specific glycosylases. A link between glycosylases deficient in removing ROS/RNS-derived base lesions and cancer development has been established. For example, mice carrying mutations in the MYH glycosylase that removes 8-Oxoguanine (8oxoG), a DNA lesion resulting from ROSs, were reported more susceptible to oxidative-induced intestinal tumors (Sakamoto et al., 2007). Moreover, mice deficient in 8-Oxoguanine DNA glycosylase 1 (Ogg1) were found to be more susceptible to lung cancer (Sakumi et al., 2003). Wild-type alkyladenine DNA glycosylase (Aag) mice with inflammatory bowel diseases were protected against colonic epithelial damage and colon tumourigenesis through an Aag-mediated DNA repair pathway, whereas Aag-deficient mice with the same colonic inflammation as WT animals had a high accumulation of ROS/RNS-derived DNA base lesions followed by severe gastric lesions and colon carcinogenesis (Meira et al., 2008).

There is growing evidence demonstrating that chronic inflammation induces ROS/RNS-derived DNA damage promoting several human cancers. For instance, liver cancer was related to infection with chronic viral hepatitis B. HBV-infected patients with chronic hepatitis and liver cirrhosis...
had a massive increase in DNA repair markers in their urine compared to asymptomatic HBV-carriers. The induction of repair of damaged DNA could be related to HBV-induced chronic inflammation causing DNA lesions through an excessive production of ROS and RNS (Nair et al., 2002). Gastric cancer was shown as well to be associated with chronic inflammation induced by infection with Helicobacter pylori (Correa, 1994). This could be explained by the observed increase in iNOS expression and oxidative damage in gastric mucosa cells with H. pylori infection (Iacopini et al., 2003). Chronic intestinal inflammation manifested by Crohn’s disease (CD) can promote colorectal cancer. Levels of ROS/RNS were reported to be elevated in colonic mucosa of CD patients and correlated with disease severity (Bartsch and Nair, 2006). Additionally, chronic bladder inflammation upon infection with Schistosoma haematobium has been associated with increased cancer. Patients with bladder cancer showed genetic alterations in chromosome 11 and insertion of a normal chromosome 11 promoted protection of cells against bladder carcinoma (Rosin et al., 1994). Consistently, an increase in ROS-mediated DNA damage has been observed in tissues with ductal carcinoma compared to control tissues (Malins and Haimanot, 1991) and in cases of breast inflammatory diseases (Jaiyesimi and Buzdar, 1992). Another example revealed that cholangiocarcinoma, a cancer arising from cells within the bile ducts was shown to be associated with inflammatory responses. Exposure of human cholangiocarcinoma cell lines to inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1β, IFN-γ, and TNF-α led to the activation of iNOS and the excessive production of nitric oxide (NO) which caused DNA damage and impairment of DNA repair (Jaiswal et al., 2000). Moreover, exposure to asbestos may cause asbestososis, which is an inflammatory condition affecting lungs and causing shortness of breath and coughing. Some asbestos types, such as crocidolite induce release of ROS from neutrophils and macrophages, increasing then level of oxidative DNA damage in human promyelocytic leukemia cell line (HL60; Takeuchi and Morimoto, 1994). Inflammation has been shown as well to act synergistically with DNA damage in order to induce mutations driving cancer development. Induction of DSBs (as assessed by γH2AX foci) and an increase in cell proliferation were observed in mice exposed to cerulein, a potent inducer of pancreatic inflammation. Both, inflammation-induced DNA damage and inflammation-induced cell proliferation significantly induced HR (Kiraly et al., 2015).

Nonetheless, chronic inflammation can cause DNA damage independently from the release of ROS/RNS. Loss of protective mucus occurring upon chronic inflammation increases intestinal permeability for toxins and mutagens inducing mutations and promoting cancer (Sakaguchi and Brand, 2001). The connection between chronic inflammation and tumourigenesis is further supported by findings demonstrating that inflammatory mediators cause genetic instability by leading to accumulation of random genetic alterations in cancer cells. Examples of key players of cancer-related inflammation (CRI) include tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes, tumour-associated macrophages (TAMs), the secretion of cytokines such as TNF, IL-1, IL-6, and chemokines such as CCL2 and CXCL8, in addition to the occurrence of tissue remodeling and angiogenesis (Colotta et al., 2009). The secretion of cytokines activates the oncopgenic transcription factor NF-κB and STAT3, both inducing the expression of target genes crucial for tumourigenesis such as anti-apoptotic genes, stress-response genes and pro-angiogenic molecules reviewed in (Grivennikov and Karin, 2010). A recent study showed that chronic inflammation induced by knockout of the nfkB1 subunit of NF-κB in mice caused premature aging, reduced regeneration in liver and gut, and stabilized DNA damage via ROS-mediated exacerbation of telomere dysfunction and cell senescence (Jurk et al., 2014).

In summary, chronic inflammatory responses caused by infection, autoimmune diseases or exposure to irritants in selected organs promote cancer through an increase in DNA damage and inhibition of DNA repair pathways (Figure 2). Yet deeper insight into the intricate connections between inflammatory responses and tumourigenesis is required for developing efficient therapies aiming at suppressing pro-tumourigenic pathways and enhancing anti-tumor immunity.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The interplay between DDR and immune signaling has important implications for the organisms’ response to genome aberrations.
A tight functional connection and coordination between DDR and immune defense promotes protection from infectious microorganisms and surveillance against tumors. Considerable progress has been made in deepening our knowledge on the role of DDR in the function of the immune system. Recent experimental data demonstrated a role of DNA damage sensors in inducing inflammatory responses, triggering processes linked to host defense against microbial infection, and in promoting autoimmune and chronic inflammatory diseases upon detection of both nuclear and cytosolic DNA products.

Further studies are required to improve our understanding on the interaction or redundancy between the diverse proposed DNA sensors and on how the DNA sensing machinery discriminates foreign from self-damaged DNA. Deeper insight into this machinery might also impact the development of DNA virus-based vaccine vectors. Moreover, the mediators linking the activation of immunity in the cytosol upon DNA damage recognition in the nucleus await their investigation. The characterization of these mediators should aid finding therapeutic strategies that facilitate the recognition of cells with genomic aberrations such as cancer cells. Finally, research in this area is likely to reveal new therapeutic interventions against diseases such as cancer, age-related pathologies, autoimmune and chronic inflammatory diseases.
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