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Abstract

Progress in technology and industrial developments demands the efficient and successful energy utilization and its management in a greater extent. Conventional heat-transfer fluids (HTFs) such as water, ethylene glycol, oils and other fluids are typically low-efficiency heat dissipation fluids. Thermal management is a key factor in diverse applications where these fluids can be used, such as in automotive, microelectronics, energy storage, medical, and nuclear cooling among others. Furthermore, the miniaturization and high efficiency of devices in these fields demand successful heat management and energy-efficient materials. The advent of nanofluids could successfully address the low thermal efficiency of HTFs since nanofluids have shown many interesting properties, and the distinctive features offering extraordinary potential for many applications. Nanofluids are engineered by homogeneously suspending nanostructures with average sizes below 100 nm within conventional fluids. This chapter aims to focus on a detail description of the thermal transport behavior, challenges and implications that involve the development and use of HTFs under the influence of atomistic-scale structures and industrial applications. Multifunctional characteristics of these nanofluids, nanostructures variables and features are discussed in this chapter; the mechanisms that promote these effects on the improvement of nanofluids thermal transport performance and the broad range of current and future applications will be included.
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1. General overview

With global population rapid growth and industrialization surge, resources have reached unprecedented levels. Energy has been pointed out as the most important issue facing Humanity in the next years [1]. Energy management becomes crucial for meeting the rising
needs of mankind [2]. Nowadays, with increasing pressure of globalized markets and companies’ profit race, a dramatic search to obtain proper materials performance, optimizing components and devices designs, improving efficiencies, reducing tools wear, materials consumption and pollution, and obtaining the most possible revenue. In addition to issues regarding materials scrap, maintenance and components wear among others, a hot topic in industry is the heat dissipation. Among diverse forms of energy used, over 70% is produced in or through the form of heat [2]. Heat transfer is a crucial area of research and study in thermal engineering. Heat is transferred either to input energy into a system or to remove the energy produced in a system. Hence, reducing energy loss and intensifying heat transfer processes are becoming paramount tasks to be addressed. Therefore, thermal management plays a vital factor concerning devices, machinery or apparatuses performance; thermal transport role has been subjected to countless investigations and is under the scope of the operational useful life of these components and devices. Being this an opportunity area for successful heat management and energy efficient fluid-based heat transfer systems, with aid of reinforced materials.

Nanotechnology is a science that deals with diverse characteristics and properties of materials at a nanometric level (1 nm = 10^{-9} m). Recently, diverse techniques, equipment, and instrumentations have been devised, as well as various relevant and interesting characteristics and properties of these materials were sorted out. Hence, with aid of nanotechnology, with novel developments linking electronic, optical, mechanical, and magnetic properties, industrial devices have emerged, and this trend is certainly continuing in this century. Cooling of electric, electronic and mechanical devices has been a hot topic in today’s fast-growing technologies. The heat required to be dissipated from systems is continually increasing due to industrial and economic trends to miniaturize designs, make better use of resources, obtain more power output, develop and use more environmentally friendly materials, and obtain more profits.

2. Introduction to nanofluids

Nanofluids are a new generation of HTFs with anomalous behavior, engineered by homogeneously suspending nanometer-sized materials or structures within conventional fluids. In other words, nanofluids are nanoscale colloidal suspensions containing condensed nanomaterials. Nanofluids have been found to possess enhanced thermo-physical properties such as thermal conductivity, thermal diffusivity, viscosity, and convective heat transfer coefficients compared to those of base fluids such as water (DiW), ethylene glycol (EG) or oils. They have demonstrated great potential applications in many fields such as microelectronics, transportation, industrial cooling, magnetic sealing, reducing pollution, space and defense, energy storage, air conditioning, power transmission systems, medical therapy and diagnosis, antibacterial activity nanodrug delivery, fuel cells, components and tools wear, friction reduction and nuclear systems cooling, etc. [3–8]. Among diverse techniques to cool down or maintain certain temperature in these systems, the use of fins, vanes or radiators as well as forced air/fluids through cooling channels are being used, even though these are costly. Diverse machinery and devices use inexpensive conventional HTFs to intensify heat dissipation. However, the inherent limitation of these fluids is the relatively low thermal conductivity; water for instance, is roughly three orders of magnitude less conductive than copper or aluminum (Table 1). What these conventional fluids lack in thermal conductivity however, is compensated by their ability to flow.
The main mechanism for heat transfer in fluids is convection; its efficacy mostly depends on the thermo-physical properties of the conventional fluids. Furthermore, if the thermal conductivity of conventional fluids were enhanced, it would be much more effective. Hence, since the solid materials possess several orders of higher thermal conductivities, compared to conventional fluids, they can be beneficial alternatives. The table below illustrates the typical thermal conductivities for diverse conventional fluids and solid materials.

### Table 1. Typical thermal conductivities for diverse conventional fluids and solid materials.

| Material               | Thermal conductivity (W/m K) | Reference |
|------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| Conventional fluids    |                              |           |
| Water (DiW)            | ~0.598–0.609                 | [9–11]    |
| Ethylene glycol (EG)   | ~0.251                       | [12–14]   |
| Engine oil             | ~0.145                       | [12, 14]  |
| Mineral oil            | ~0.115                       | [3, 15]   |
| Kerosene               | ~0.139                       | [16]      |
| R141b refrigerant      | ~0.089                       | [17]      |
| Carbon structures      |                              |           |
| Single wall nanotubes (SWCNTs) | 3000–6000                | [18–21]   |
| Multi wall nanotubes (MWCNTs) | ~3000                   | [22, 23]  |
| Carbon (diamond)       | 900–2320                     | [24, 25]  |
| Carbon (graphite)      | 119–165                      | [24]      |
| Graphene               | ~3000                        | [4]       |
| Graphite               | 130–2000                     | [26]      |
| Metallic solids        |                              |           |
| Aluminum               | 237                          | [27]      |
| Copper                 | 398                          | [27]      |
| Gold                   | 315                          | [27]      |
| Silver                 | 424                          | [27]      |
| Nonmetallic solids     |                              |           |
| Alumina (Al₂O₃)        | 31–41                        | [26, 28]  |
| Aluminum nitride (AlN) | 319–550                      | [29]      |
| Boron nitride (h-BN)   | ~300                         | [30, 31]  |
| Boron nitride nanotubes (BNNTs) | ~600–960             | [32–34]   |
| Cobalt oxide (Co₃O₄)   | 12.8                         | [35]      |
| Copper oxide (CuO)     | 76.5                         | [36]      |
| Molybdenum disulfide (MoS₂) | 34.5 ± 4                 | [37]      |
| Silicon carbide (SiC)  | 148–270                      | [27, 38]  |
| Silicon oxide (SiO₂)   | 1.4–12                       | [39–42]   |
| Titania (TiO₂)         | 8.4–11.2                     | [13, 39, 42] |
| Tungsten disulfide (WS₂) | 32–124                    | [43, 44]  |
| Zinc oxide (ZnO)       | 13–29                        | [13, 39]  |
| Zirconia (ZrO₂)        | 2.2                          | [45]      |

The main mechanism for heat transfer in fluids is convection; its efficacy mostly depends on the thermo-physical properties of the conventional fluids. Furthermore, if the thermal conductivity of conventional fluids were enhanced, it would be much more effective. Hence, since the solid materials possess several orders of higher thermal conductivities, compared...
with that of conventional fluids, an idea to introduce conducting particles to fluids was considered. Among diverse particles geometry, different particle shapes occur naturally or are engineered for specific applications, as shown in Figure 1.

Heat transfer using fluids is a very complicated phenomenon, and various factors such as fluid stability, composition, viscosity, surface charge, interface, and morphology of the dispersed nanostructures influence the observed results [3, 6, 46–59]. Optimization and high efficiency of components and devices have gained great importance since these factors play a crucial role in diverse fields. Solid materials such as metals, CNTs, oxide/nitride/carbide ceramics, semiconductors, and composite materials having higher thermal conductivity can be homogeneously suspended and stabilized within conventional fluids, resulting in better thermal transport performance composite fluids. Nevertheless, improvement in thermal conductivity cannot be achieved by just increasing the solid filler concentration because each system presents a threshold, in which beyond a certain limit, increasing the filler fraction will also increase the viscosity, which will adversely affect the fluid properties and performance.

Most early studies used suspensions of millimeter or micrometer-sized particles, which led to countless problems, such as a tendency to rapidly sediment, unless flow rate is increased; not only losing the improvements in thermal conductivity, but also forming sludge sediments, increasing the thermal resistance and impairing the heat transfer capacity of the conventional fluids. Furthermore, fluids of this scale size could have considerably larger pressure drops [60–64], thus making flow through small channels much more difficult since diverse parameters are critical for device performance, such as morphology and stability of nanostructures, fluids composition, viscosity, fast sedimentation, channels clogging, erosion or wear, among others, which are often very serious for systems consisting of small channels [3, 65–69].

A revolution in the field of HTFs arose with the advent of nanofluids (NFs), a term introduced by Prof. Choi’s research group in the late 1990s at Argonne National Lab [68]. The first investigations were performed by Masuda et al. [69] for Al₂O₃ nanoparticles within water, and by
It has been demonstrated that nanofluids for heat transfer applications have provided better thermal performance than conventional fluids [3, 12, 73, 74]. Hence, the advent of nanofluid-based heat transfer systems can make compact designs with high efficient thermal, physical and electrical performance for instruments and devices. Experiments on convection heat transfer of nanofluids were conducted by several research groups [75–77], showing significant improvements in heat transfer rates of nanofluids. Meanwhile, the thermal conductivity enhancement of nanofluids show a temperature-dependent characteristic and increase of enhancement with rising temperature, which makes the nanofluids more suitable for applications at elevated temperatures [3, 6, 78–81]. Additionally, previous research has shown that nanofluids display better performance in their thermo-physical properties, such as thermal conductivity, thermal diffusivity, viscosity, friction, etc., compared to conventional fluids [3, 4, 82–87]. Hence, nanofluids could be used for aforesaid engineering applications.

3. Synthesis and preparation of nanofluids

The manipulation of matter on the nanometer scale has become a central focus from both fundamental and technological perspectives. Unique, unpredictable, and highly intriguing physical, electrical, mechanical, optical and magnetic phenomena result from the confinement of matter into nanoscale features. Morphology control in nanostructures has become a key issue in the preparation of electronic or mechanical nanodevices and functional materials [88]. A wide variety of combinations of nanostructures and conventional fluids can be used to synthesize and prepare stable nanofluids for diverse applications. Nanofluids could be manufactured by two methods. The first step method is a process in which, simultaneously,
nanostructures are made and dispersed within the base fluid [89–91]. This method avoids diverse processes such as particles drying, storage, handling, and dispersion; so, the agglomeration of nanoparticles is minimized; therefore, stability of nanofluids is improved [89, 90]. In most experimental studies, nanofluids are synthesized in a two-step process [3, 6, 56, 92–96], which is a classic synthesis method of nanofluids. Various nanostructures such as nanofibers, nanotubes, nanosheets, among other nanomaterials used in this technique are initially produced by mechanical comminuting, chemical reaction, inner gas condensation or decomposition of organic complex [97–99] and finally obtained as dry powder. Then, it is followed by the second step in which the as-produced nanostructures are homogeneously dispersed into base conventional fluids through mechanical agitation (stirring) or ultrasonication [6, 97–102]. Furthermore, this process is an economic method to produce nanofluids at large scale, since nanostructures synthesis techniques are readily scaled up to mass production levels. To obtain a good stability and homogeneous dispersion of nanostructures within a fluid, sonication process is used to speed dissolution by breaking intermolecular interactions. The main disadvantage of this method is that due to the high surface area and surface attractively, the nanostructures tend to agglomerate. The nanostructures agglomeration in the fluid results in decreasing the thermal conductivity performance and increasing the settlement and clogging of microchannels. Therefore, to reduce these effects, surfactants or additives are widely used to stabilize nanostructures within the fluids.

3.1. Nanofluids: Variables and features

The concept and strategies of this work have a significant departure from diverse investigations in thermal management applications. Even though there have been several investigations on HTFs with nanoparticles reinforcement, there is still a room for great opportunities to continue developments and understand the implications and effects of this technology. Diverse challenges regarding nanoparticles effect on thermal transport and energetic performance as well as nanofluids industrialization have been studied (Figure 2). The heat transfer enhancement in nanofluids has been attributed to many variables, which are presented in the following section.

3.1.1. Brownian motion

Researchers have found that Brownian motion, which is the random movement of particles (Figure 3), is a key mechanism for the anomalous increase in the heat transport of nanofluids [55, 78, 103–108]. Brownian motion tends to move the particles from higher concentration areas to the lower concentration areas. Research on fillers motion caused by temperature gradient was studied by Koo and Kleinstreuer [103]; it was shown that the Brownian motion has more impact on the thermal properties of nanofluids than to the effects of a temperature gradient. Aminfar and Motallebzadeh [108] investigated the concentration distribution and velocity field of nanoparticles on water/Al₂O₃ nanofluid in a pipe. It was observed that the Brownian forces have most impact on the nanoparticles filler fraction distribution and the velocity field when compared to other forces such as thermophoretic and gravitational forces. Brownian motion only exists when the particles within the fluid are extremely small and, as the size of the particles gets larger, Brownian motion effects are reduced.
3.1.2. Nanofiller size

Diverse studies have found that as nanostructures are reduced in size, the effective thermal conductivity of the nanofluid increases [13, 109–114]. As the nanoparticle size is reduced, Brownian motion is induced. Also, lighter and smaller nanoparticles are better at resisting sedimentation, one of the biggest technical challenges in experimenting with nanofluids [77]. Li and Peterson [109] investigated the thermal properties of Al₂O₃/DiW nanofluids with particle sizes of 36 and 47 nm at various filler fractions. The nanofluid with 36 nm particles improved the effective thermal conductivity enhancement at ~35°C, as varying the filler fraction from 0.5 vol.% up to 6.0 vol.% (~7% to ~28%, respectively). Agarwal et al. [115] presented the impact of Al₂O₃ size of nanoparticles on thermal conductivity and the dynamic viscosity of kerosene-based nanofluid. Thermal conductivity increases from 1.3% to 9.3% over a particle filler fraction of 0.01 vol.% to 0.10 vol.%.

Figure 2. Common challenges of nanofluid developments.

![Figure 3. Schematic of Brownian motion of nanoparticles.](image-url)
were found to be higher for smaller size nanoparticles compared to larger size. At 0.50 vol.%, nanofluid with 13 nm particle size displays 22% improvement in the effective thermal conductivity, compared to only 17% for 50 nm. Beck et al. [116] investigated Al₂O₃/water nanofluids with diverse particle sizes ranging from 8 nm to ~300 nm in diameter; the thermal conductivity enhancement decreases as the particle size decreases below ~50 nm. This behavior was attributed to nanoparticles thermal conductivity, as the particle size becomes small enough to be affected by increased phonon scattering [116].

Teng et al. [112] studied the effect of particle size, temperature, and weight fraction on the thermal conductivity ratio of Al₂O₃/water nanofluid with filler fraction up to 2.0 wt.% and different Al₂O₃ particle nominal diameters. The results showed a dependence relationship between high thermal conductivity ratios and enhanced sensitivity, and small nanoparticle size and higher temperature. Nguyen et al. [117] studied the heat transfer enhancement and behavior of Al₂O₃/water nanofluid for microprocessors/electronic purposes. It is found that with smaller nanoparticles (36 nm in diameter), nanofluids showed higher convective heat transfer coefficients than with larger ones (47 nm in diameter). From Nguyen’s research, thermal transport increased 40% at 6.8 vol.% filler fraction, as compared to water. He et al. [118] studied the heat transfer behavior of TiO₂ water nanofluids with diameters of 95 nm, 145 nm and 210 nm at various filler fractions. For the 95 nm particle size nanofluid, the thermal conductivity showed an increase from 1% to ~5% at 1.0 wt.% and 4.9 wt.% respectively, compared to water; as filler fraction increased, the thermal conductivity increased as well. It was shown that the effective thermal conductivity decreases as particle size increases. On the other research, Żyła et al. [119] studied the effects of TiN nanoparticles within EG at two different particles size, 20 and 50 nm. It was concluded that the increase in nanoparticle filler fraction led to similar trends for nanofluids properties. At same content, thermal conductivity and surface tension of nanofluids are higher with smaller nanoparticles. Esfahani et al. [120] observed that thermal conductivity of graphene oxide (GO) NFs depends on both particle-size distribution of GO and viscosity of GO NFs. In their work, GO NFs showed enhanced thermal conductivity performance as compared to base water.

There have been a few reports on SiC, CeO₂ and SiO₂ nanoparticles reinforcing conventional fluids that stated a decrease of the effective thermal conductivity with increase in particle size [47, 121–132]. Beck et al. performed studies on water-based ceria (CeO₂) nanofluids [123], which showed an increase in the effective thermal conductivity with an increase of nanoparticle size, although only two particle sizes were studied (12 nm and 74 nm). Silica-ethanol nanofluid was investigated by Hossein Karimi Darvanjooghi and Nasr Esfahany [132] over various particle sizes, temperatures and filler fractions. It was observed that with the increase in particle size and temperature, the thermal conductivity performance of silica-ethanol nanofluid increases for all the investigated filler fractions. 2D nanostructures have also been recently studied; Taha-Tijerina et al. developed a novel nanofluid by adding only 0.10 wt.% of exfoliated hexagonal boron nitride (h-BN) 2D-nanosheets within mineral oil (MO) [30]. Enhancement in thermal conductivity for 0.10 wt.% h-BN/MO nanofluid was more than 75% in comparison with MO. Similarly, Mehrali et al. [133] investigated the effects on thermal transport of graphene-based nanofluids. It was found that at 4.0 vol.%, a significant thermal conductivity enhancement of ~45% was obtained.
The nanostructure size effect is apparently due to interlaying mechanism, van der Waals forces and the micromotions of nanoparticles are stronger when containing lower-sized nanostructures; and the interactions between nanoparticles and fluids are more severe, which results in a stronger energy transmission and a higher thermal transport process in nanofluids. The smaller the particle size, the higher will be the improvement due to the high surface area observed. This trend is observed by most researchers and is supported by two mechanisms: Brownian motion and liquid layering around nanostructures. Hence, nanofillers size is a determinant variable for heat transfer nanofluids, since, as previously stated, its smaller size reduces or avoids critical issues of larger fillers.

3.1.3. Particle shape/surface area

Particle geometry is an important parameter to be considered since it has critical effects on nanofluids performance. There have been several efforts to understand the effects of nanoparticles shape regarding the thermal transport phenomena. It has been observed by several studies that rod-shaped nanoparticles, such as CNTs, remove more heat than spherical nanoparticles [134–139]; this is most probably due to rod-shaped particles’ larger aspect ratio (ratio between a particle’s surface area to volume) than spherical nanoparticles. For instance, Elias et al. [134] studied various boehmite alumina (γ-AlOOH) nanoparticle shapes (spherical, cylindrical, blades, bricks and platelets) dispersed within EG/water mixtures. The best performance was achieved by the cylindrical-shaped nanoreinforced fluid, followed by bricks, blades, platelets and spherical-shaped nanoparticles, respectively. Thermal conductivity enhancement of cylindrical shape nanoparticles is observed to be ~3% higher than the spherical shape at 1.0 vol.% concentration. On similar study, Kim et al. [140] investigated the effects of particle shape on suspension stability and thermal transport performance of water-based boehmite alumina nanofluids. The thermal conductivities of nanofluids with blade, brick and platelet shaped particles are maximally enhanced up to 16, 28, and 23% at 7.0 vol.%, respectively.

From various studies, it can be concluded that the rod-shaped nanostructures possess higher thermal conductivity performance compared to spherical shape nanoparticles due to larger surface area and rapid heat transport along relatively long distances due to the greater length, usually of the order of micrometers. However, there is some contradiction observed based on studies performed by Xie et al. [141], where thermal conductivity enhancement using spherical (26 nm average) and cylindrical (600 nm average)-shaped SiC nanoparticles suspended within water were evaluated. An enhancement of ~23% at 4.0 vol.% for cylindrical particles was observed, but only 16% increase at 4.2 vol.% for spherical particles was reported. Results showed higher enhancement at larger particle size. These contradictions with other literature could be explained by the severe clustering of nanoparticles having smaller particle size. Although at a certain level clustering may enhance the thermal conductivity, excessive clustering may create an opposite effect, resulting in the sedimentation of nanoparticles [142]. Sudarsana Reddy and Chamkha[139] studied the effects of shape on nanoparticles on natural convection magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) fluids. Results reveal that significant heat transfer enhancement is noticed as the size of nanoparticles decreases. Moreover, the type of the nanoparticles and the type of the base fluid (water/kerosene) also influenced the natural
convective heat transfer. In another study, Aaiza et al. [143] investigated energy transport in MHD nanofluids with different nanoparticles shapes such as cylinders, platelets, blades, and bricks. It was observed that elongated structures such as cylinders and platelets result in higher viscosity at the same filler fraction due to structural limitation of rotational and transitional Brownian motion, which resulted in effects on thermal conductivity.

3.1.4. Filler fraction

One of the most extensively considered factor on thermal transport performance, the key variable for nanofluids’ improvement, is the nanostructures concentration dispersed within conventional fluids. Filler concentration has been stated by weight and volume percentages in research, reports, papers and patents. Effective thermal conductivity ($k_{\text{eff}}$) among other properties of nanofluids improve with increasing nanoparticles filler fraction [144, 145]. Nevertheless, as the nanoparticle concentration increases, it may no longer be valid to assume well-suspended nanostructures, due to particles agglomeration, sedimentation, stability and increase in viscosity, which could cause other problems such as possible abrasion and clogging of microchannels. It has also been observed that pressure drop increases in diverse conventional fluids as filler concentration is increased [10]. This is why it is more effective to use a very small filler fraction in nanofluids [3, 146–151]. At low filler fractions, nanostructures have more intense Brownian motion at higher temperatures, which can significantly enhance the effective thermal conductivity. But at high volume fractions, nanoparticles have high potential to be agglomerated at high temperatures. Higher concentration of particle shows less stability which leads to the agglomeration process due to increase in number of molecules within the fluid. This causes an increase in weight which cannot be maintained in the suspension by Brownian agitation, and settle out of the suspension [152].

Pang et al. [153] studied the effect of Al$_2$O$_3$ and SiO$_2$ nanoparticles dispersed in methanol at various concentrations, such as 0.01, 0.10 and 0.50 vol.% . Effective thermal conductivity increases with an increase of the nanoparticles volume fraction; for Al$_2$O$_3$, the increments were 1, 5 and 11%, respectively, compared to pure fluid and, for SiO$_2$, the increments were 6, 11 and 16%, respectively, as compared to pure fluid, as well. Arulprakasajothi et al. [151] investigated TiO$_2$ concentrations of 0.1, 0.25, 0.5 and 0.75% using two step method. It was observed that as concentration increases, the surface area of particle also increases and exchange more heat. The effective thermal conductivity for nanofluid concentrations was increased from 1 to 6%, respectively. On research conducted by Wang et al. [147], 2D-graphene structures with average particle size were 0.5–2.0 μm and thickness of 0.8–1.2 nm. Graphene was dispersed uniformly into base oil without any surfactant by ultrasonic oscillation. Graphene/oil nanofluids’ concentration was 0.02, 0.05, 0.1, and 0.2 mg/ml. Thermal conductivity for all concentrations was raised 4, 8, 17 and 25%, as compared to pure oil, respectively. On this same path, according to Taha-Tijerina et al., the superb thermal transport performance of 2D-based nanofluid was observed, in which nanosheets of h-BN within MO showed improvements of ~10% and ~80% at 0.01 wt.% and 0.1 wt.%, respectively, without significant increase of kinematic viscosity [3, 145, 154]. Tiwari et al. [47] investigated CeO$_2$/water nanofluids and its effects of filler fraction, ranging from 0.5 to 3.0 vol.%, and temperature. The experimental results indicate that the convective heat transfer coefficient increases with increase in nanoparticle
filler fraction (up to a threshold-optimum value). It was observed that the increase in particle concentration also increases the fluid viscosity, which should result in an increase in the boundary layer thickness, which overcomes the convective heat transfer coefficient as well. However, significant improvements in thermal conductivity are shown. For instance, at 40°C, the thermal conductivity improvements were significant: 7, 11, 13, 16 and 21% at 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0 and 3.0 vol.%, respectively. Research by Paul et al. [155] demonstrated that the thermal conductivity of water-based SiC nanofluids could be improved by 12% at only 0.1 vol.%. Studies on mixtures of water and EG-based SiC nanofluids were performed by Timofeeva et al. [121, 156], where nanofluids displayed 1.5–20% thermal conductivity enhancement at different filler fraction and nanoparticle sizes. Ferrouillat et al. [157] estimated that heat transfer of SiO$_2$/water nanofluid in the particle ranges from 5 wt.% to 34 wt.%, and found an improvement of 10–60% compared to pure water. Lee et al. [158] investigated the thermal conductivity of DI-water-based SiC nanofluids; a ~7% improvement was observed, compared to pure DI-water. Li and Zou [159] prepared homogeneous and stable nanofluids by dispersing SiC nanoparticles within mixtures of ethylene glycol and water. It was observed that thermal conductivity of water/EG-based SiC nanofluids increased with SiC concentrations. Improvements of ~34% at 1.0 vol.% of SiC were achieved. Pang et al. [153] studied the effect of SiO$_2$ nanoparticles within methanol at various concentrations (0.01, 0.10 and 0.50 vol.%). Thermal conductivity enhancements were 6, 11 and ~16%, respectively, as compared to pure fluid.

3.1.5. Stability/particles agglomeration

A key challenge with nanofluids is that nanoparticles tend to agglomerate due to molecular interactions, such as van der Waals forces [122, 160]. The agglomeration of nanoparticles results not only in the settlement and clogging of microchannels, but also causes the effective surface area to volume ratio to decrease, which impacts the thermal conductivity performance of nanofluids. Nanoparticles agglomeration increases as filler fraction increases, due to closer particles and higher Van der Waals attraction. Similarly, this issue generates other problems such as viscosity increments (Figure 4).
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The stability of nanofluids is considered one of the critical issues, which should be addressed before any application [48, 145]. Synthesis of nanoparticles and preparation methods of nanofluids play an important role on stability, which effects are observed on nanofluids’ thermal transport characteristics. In addition to filler fraction and working temperature, pH has an important role in stability of nanofluids. For instance, Nikkhah et al. observed that by controlling the pH value, the stability of CuO/water nanofluids (50 nm in diameter) can be increased and thus the thermal conductivity performance [161]. Due to nanostructures interlayer adhesion forces, nanoparticles become agglomerated and their settlement can be observed due to gravity forces. Nanostructures sedimentation overcomes one of the major drawbacks of suspensions; nanoparticle aggregates promote settling of particles; hence, the dispersion stability may decay with time. To increase the stability of nanofluids, diverse techniques have been employed, such as extended ultrasonication [162–164]. Ultrasonic vibration is a possible way to break-up cluster formation of nanoparticles and help to scatter the nanostructures within base fluids, so that ultrasonication processes were widely used for nanofluid preparation. Furthermore, to enhance the stability of nanofluids, surfactants or additives are used; nevertheless, these have impacts on thermal characteristics and there could be certain drawbacks by using them.

Timofeeva et al. [122, 165] studied the thermal conductivity and viscosity of Al₂O₃ nanoparticles dispersed in water and EG. It was observed that the main parameters for controlling nanofluids’ thermal conductivity enhancement are the geometry, agglomeration state and surface resistance of nanoparticles. Karthikeyan et al. [144] identified that CuO nanoparticles and clusters size have a significant influence on thermal conductivity of water and EG. It was also found that nanoparticles agglomeration is time-dependent; as time elapsed, agglomeration increased, which decreased the thermal conductivity performance. However, some reports show aggregation in water-based Al₂O₃ nanofluids significantly increases the thermal conductivity of the fluid [166], such as research by Shima et al. [167], where an increase in thermal conductivity with particle sizes of average diameters of 2.8–9.5 nm was observed. For 5.5 vol.%, the improvement was 5% and 25%, for 2.8 nm and 9.5 nm, respectively. According to their studies, interfacial resistance, nature, and aspect ratio of agglomerates dictate heat conduction enhancement in nanofluids. Yu et al. observed that stable nanofluids could be able to withstand or maintain no significant variation in thermal conductivity with time. This was observed for EG-based ZnO nanofluids [168] and kerosene-based Fe₃O₄ nanofluids [169].

3.1.6. Surfactants/additives

Surfactants have been widely used to stabilize the nanofillers within conventional fluids, even though these surfactants may affect the nanofluids performance; since surfactants thermal conductivities are generally lower than the base fluids per se, the addition ratios of surfactants are generally extremely low to prevent from reducing the thermal conductivity or increasing the viscosity of nanofluids. Surfactants could also introduce defects at the molecular interfaces [170]. The use of surfactants and dispersion agents has shown to be effective providing repulsion between nanoparticles and reducing agglomeration [6, 95, 171]. Additives are also incorporated to materials to enhance their mechanical properties. Nevertheless, the functionality of the surfactants under high temperature is also a big concern, especially for high-temperature applications [39].
Utomo et al. [172] concluded that surfactants in high-loading ratios could reduce the effective thermal conductivity performance of water-based Al$_2$O$_3$ and TiO$_2$ nanofluids. Khairul et al. [173] studied the effects of Al$_2$O$_3$ and CuO nanoparticles, as well as sodium dodecyl benzene sulfonate (SDBS) surfactant on viscosity and thermal conductivity of water-based nanofluids. It is observed that increasing the SDBS concentration, thermal conductivity for both systems tend to rapidly decrease, which is attributed to the increased nanostructures aggregation. Murshed et al. [135] observed that low concentration (≤0.02 vol.%) of oleic acid (OA) or a cationic surfactant, cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB), as dispersants could greatly improve the dispersion stability of TiO$_2$ nanofluids without reducing the thermal conductivity of TiO$_2$ nanofluids. From other studies, non-ionic surfactants were found to strongly interact with graphite surfaces in case of CNTs stabilization within aqueous suspensions [174]. Quite a few results indicated that surfactants played positive roles in the thermal conductivity. Saleh et al. [175] found that each of the three kinds of surfactants: CTAB, anionic surfactant, sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and nonionic surfactant sorbitan monooleate (Span80) could greatly improve the dispersion behavior and thermal conduction performance of TiO$_2$ nanofluids. Chen et al. [176] investigated the effects of SiC on saline water, for solar distillation systems. Nanofluids with 0.4 vol.% of SiC were dispersed within saline water, and additionally, polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP) dispersant was used (0.02 wt.%) to keep nanoparticles homogeneously dispersed. It was observed that thermal conductivity of seawater/SiC nanofluids improved ~5% compared to pure seawater, which confirms the feasibility of nanofluids application in solar desalination system. Therefore, it can be concluded that the right amount of surfactant can play positive roles in both dispersion and heat conduction performance nanofluids.

3.1.7. Viscosity

Among diverse nanofluid properties, viscosity is a paramount parameter. Viscosity describes a fluid’s internal resistance to flow. Many parameters affect the nanofluid’s viscosity, including the preparation method, base fluid type, operating temperature, nanostructure size and geometry, filler fraction, acidity (pH value), shear rate, usage of additives or surfactants, and particle aggregation and sedimentation [3, 93, 177–181]. It has been demonstrated that the viscosity of nanofluids increases with the nanoparticle volume fraction. This property is troublesome due to lack of understanding of viscosity mechanisms and lack of general mathematical models to predict the viscosity behavior in nanofluids. Nguyen et al. [177] investigated the nanostructures size effect for Al$_2$O$_3$ aqueous-based nanofluids and observed that particle size effects on viscosity are more significant for high filler concentrations. Yiamsawas et al. [178] measured the viscosity of Al$_2$O$_3$/water nanofluids at high filler concentrations and high temperatures. The filler fraction ranges varied from 1.0 vol.% to 8.0 vol.%, while the temperature evaluation varied between 15°C and 60°C. It was observed that the viscosity decreases with a temperature increase. Nanofluids prepared in higher viscosity base fluids exhibit more enhancement compared to low viscosity base fluids.

Li et al. [179] investigated EG-based nanofluids containing ZnO nanostructures at different concentrations ranging from 1.75 wt.% and 10.5 wt.%. Results showed that viscosity increases with increasing the concentration of ZnO nanoparticles and decreases with temperature. Attari et al. [180] explored the effects of adding TiO$_2$, Fe$_2$O$_3$ and ZnO nanoparticles to crude
oil at different filler fractions, ranging from 0.5 to 2.0 wt.% at different temperatures. It was observed that with the increase in nanoparticle loading, the relative viscosity of ZnO-crude oil nanofluid increases. At the mass fraction lower than 1.0 wt.%, the relative viscosity of nanofluid decreases slightly with the increase in temperature and the main factor which can influence the relative viscosity is nanoparticle type. On research by Jeong et al. [181], the viscosity behavior of water-based ZnO nanofluids with two nanoparticle shapes and semi-rectangular and spherical at various filler fractions ranging from 0.05 to 5.0 vol.% was investigated. Their results indicated that the viscosity increased from 5.3 to ~70% with increase in the filler concentrations. Moreover, the enhancement of the viscosity of the nearly rectangular shape nanoparticles was found to be more than 7%, rather than the spherical nanoparticles. Williams et al. [182] studied ZrO$_2$-water nanofluid for 60 nm particle size, at small filler fractions (0.2–0.9 vol.%) and found a 54% increase in viscosity, when compared to pure water.

3.1.8. Temperature dependence

Thermophoresis or thermodiffusion is an interesting consequence of the Brownian motion of the nanostructures. High energy molecules in a warmer region of a liquid migrate in the direction opposite the temperature gradient to cooler regions; small particles tend to disperse faster in hotter regions and slower in colder regions. Thermophoresis and Brownian motion effects are the mass transfer mechanisms which also influence the convective heat transport performance of nanofluids [78–81, 183–189]. As Michaelides [189] explained, interparticle collisions in the colder regions where the nanostructure concentrations are higher, partly hinder this accumulation and a dynamic equilibrium for nanoparticle concentration is established, with lower concentrations in the hotter regions and higher concentrations in the colder regions. Figure 5 schematically depicts the differential dispersion and the resulting thermophoresis which shows the effects of the magnitude of the molecular collisions on small particles.

Diverse theoretical and experimental investigations have been developed. For instance, Wang et al. [187] observed the effects of temperature-dependent properties on natural convection

![Figure 5. Thermophoretic motion of particles. The Brownian motion brings more particles to the colder region of the system.](image-url)
of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ nanofluids; lattice Boltzmann (LB) method was considered for their study. It was concluded that for a given nanoparticle filler fraction, the value of the heat transfer enhancement is increased as the temperature increases, and the nanoparticle diameter decreases. On the other hand, Das et al. [78], similarly to Lee et al. [190], observed that thermal conductivity performance of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ and CuO nanofluids has a temperature-dependent influence; they posed motion of reinforced fillers as an important factor for that. Jyothirmayee Aravind and Ramaprabhu [184] observed a temperature dependence on graphene nanosheets reinforcing EG and DiW. It was observed that thermal conductivity performance increases with increasing filler fraction and operating temperature. The thermal conductivity of the base fluids did not show significant improvements as the temperature increases, similar tendency as reported by Jha and Ramaprabhu [191]. An enhancement in thermal conductivity of ~2.4% is observed at 25°C with a very low filler fraction of 0.008 vol.% of the graphene/EG nanofluid; meanwhile, at 50°C, the increment was ~17%. At 0.14 vol.%, the thermal conductivity improvement was 6.5 and 36%, at 25 and 50°C, respectively. On the research conducted by Wen and Ding [192], it was observed that thermal conductivity increases with increasing temperature on the system, showing a nonlinear dependence after temperatures above 30°C.

The influence of temperature on thermal conductivity on 0.5 vol.% and 1.0 vol.% $\text{CeO}_2$—EG nanofluids was studied by Rajan et al. [164]; it was observed that an enhancement in thermal conductivity increases as filler fraction increases. Thermal conductivity enhancements were 5 and 10% for the filler fraction studied. Also, by increasing temperature, the thermal conductivity ratio decreases for both nanofluid concentrations. Li et al. [179] investigated EG-based nanofluids containing ZnO nanoparticles at different filler fractions (1.75–10.5 wt.%). The thermal conductivity increases with increasing the temperature ranging from 15 to 55°C. The thermal conductivity gradually increases with mass fraction and temperature, and it was observed that the growth rate decreases at 15°C in the range of 8.75–10.5 wt.% It was concluded that thermal conductivity performance depends on filler fraction, and it increases nonlinearly with the mass fraction of nanoparticles. Kandasamy et al. [107] observed that the combined effect of thermophoresis and Brownian motion play a very dominant role on heat transfer in the presence of thermal stratification, mainly due to the nanoparticles geometry and size. Although thermophoresis effect is important in heat transport, there are other characteristics and parameters that may have effects on nanofluids and should be addressed. These effects include the increase in nanofluids viscosity due to the presence of nanoparticles and fluid density variation due to variable volume fraction.

3.1.9. Interfacial layering on the liquid-nanostructure interface

Interfaces are ideal templates for assembling nanoparticles into structures by the nature of the interfaces. Interfacial layering or nanolayer refers to a phenomenon at the liquid-particle interface where liquid molecules are more ordered than those in the conventional fluids; therefore, the interface effect could enhance the thermal conductivity by the layering of the liquid at the solid interface (given that crystalline solids possess much better thermal transport that liquids) [193–195], by which the atomic structure of the liquid layer is significantly more ordered than that of the conventional liquid. At the interfaces, the nanostructures are mobile, and defects could be eliminated [196]. Nanoparticles suspended in base fluids form clusters that
create a low thermal resistance path which can enhance the thermal conductivity, according to Saterlie et al. [197]. The ordered structure could have higher thermal conductivity than that of the conventional, therefore an enhancement of the effective thermal conductivity. Various researchers have suggested that there is liquid layering on the nanoparticles, which helps to enhance the heat transfer properties of nanofluids [197–201]. Yu et al. [200] proved the formation of layers by the liquid molecules close to a solid surface, even though the thickness and thermal conductivity of the nanolayers are not well known yet. According to Elis Josna Mary et al. [164], a temperature-dependent, linear variation in thermal conductivity increase with filler fraction was observed, which could be attributed to liquid layering.

4. Nanofluids application fields

Diverse studies on nanofluids have been carried out by many researchers. This section deals with literature review on nanofluids; nanofluids preparation and characterization, thermophysical properties, as well as nanofluids applications, which lays foundation and basis for further investigations.

4.1. Thermal performance of nanofluids

Heat transfer is classified into various mechanisms, such as thermal conduction, thermal convection and thermal radiation. In diverse fields, thermal transport is a critical parameter to obtain efficient performance of machinery and devices. Heat convection occurs when bulk flow of a fluid (liquid or gas) carries heat along with the flow of matter in the fluid, this process could be “forced,” where fluid motion is generated by an external source such as a pump, fan or other mechanical means, or “natural,” by density differences in the fluid occurring due to temperature gradients. Radiation heat transfer is the transfer of energy by means of photons in electromagnetic waves in much the same way as electromagnetic light waves transfer light. On the other hand, heat conduction is the direct microscopic exchange of kinetic energy of particles through the boundary between two systems. When an object is at a different temperature from another body or its surroundings, heat flows so that the body and the surroundings reach the same temperature, at which point they are in thermal equilibrium. The thermal conductivity \(k\) of liquids can be successfully measured if the time taken to measure \(k\) is very small so that the convection current does not develop [202].

Diverse techniques have been proposed to measure nanofluids thermal conductivity over the past years. The most common techniques to measure the effective thermal conductivity of nanofluids are the transient hot-wire method [3, 147, 202–207], steady-state method [109, 208–210], cylindrical cell method [211], temperature oscillation method [183, 212, 213], and 3-\(\omega\) method [40, 214–216] to name some. Eastman et al. reported a 40% enhancement with only 0.40 vol.% of copper oxide (CuO) particles [217], while Choi et al. reported a remarkable 160% increase in thermal conductivity of MWCNTs/engine oil nanofluid at 1.0 vol.% filler fraction of nanotubes [218]. Ilyas et al. [58] study a commercial brand oil (THO) with incorporation of MWCNTs where significant thermal conductivity improvement of ~22 and ~30% was achieved at 35 and 60°C, respectively, at 1.0 wt.%. Hwang et al. [41] investigated the thermal conductivity of
DiW- and EG-based nanofluids reinforced with MWCNTs, CuO and SiO$_2$. It was observed that thermal conductivity was improved almost linearly as filler fraction increased. For DiW-based systems, the addition of SiO$_2$, CuO and MWCNTs at 1.0 vol.% filler fraction showed an increase of 3, 5 and ~12%, respectively. Also, CuO/EG nanofluid at 1.0 vol.% showed an increase of ~9%. Wen and Ding et al. [192] also investigated the effects of MWCNTs within DiW, with addition of 0.25 wt.% gum Arabic (GA) dispersant with respect to DiW. For MWCNTs at 0.50 wt.% and 1.0 wt.%, an increase in thermal conductivity enhancement was achieved up to ~30% and ~38% at 25°C, and ~35% and ~80% at 30°C, respectively. It was found that these improvements were slightly higher than the results reported by Liu et al. [14], Assael et al. [219], Wen et al. [220], and Xie et al. [221], but lower than the results showed by Choi et al. [218]. There are diverse factors that cause these discrepancies among the different groups; as mentioned by Sing [55], these discrepancies should rely on the dependency of thermal conductivity on diverse important factors such as the structure and properties of the CNTs, their aspect ratio, clustering, addition of dispersants, temperature and the experimental errors involved as well.

Nanodiamonds (NDs) dispersed in EG and mineral oil (with addition of OA) were studied by Branson et al. [222]. It was observed that addition of 0.88 vol.% of NDs enhanced the thermal conductivity by ~12%. In MO, for instance, an enhancement of ~6% and ~11% is achieved at NDs loading of 1.0 vol.% and 1.9 vol.%, respectively. According to Branson et al., the differences on enhancement efficiencies are attributable to divergence in thermal boundary resistance at nanoparticle/surfactant interfaces [222]. Research by Khairul et al. [173] on the effects of Al$_2$O$_3$ and CuO nanoparticles filler fraction and use of SDS surfactant on viscosity and thermal conductivity of water-based nanofluids was performed. It was observed that thermal conductivity of the nanofluids increased nonlinearly with increasing nanoparticles filler fraction, with a maximum increase of 10 and 14% for Al$_2$O$_3$ and CuO, respectively, at 0.15 wt.%, similar to what Kong et al. found for the maximal enhancement for Al$_2$O$_3$ nanofluids [223]. On the field of oxide nanostuctures, Yiamsawasd et al. [224] reported a maximum thermal conductivity enhancement of 20% for TiO$_2$/water nanofluid. Elis Josna Mary et al. [164] investigated CeO$_2$/EG nanofluid and observed a temperature effect on thermal conductivity rise of 17% and ~11% at 10 and 30°C, respectively. Serebryakova et al. [225] investigated the effects of dispersing Al$_2$O$_3$ within EG/water mixtures. It was observed that thermal conductivity performance was improved by 5% at 1.5 vol.%. Mariano et al. [226] estimated thermal conductivity behavior and rheological properties of Co$_3$O$_4$/EG nanofluids and obtained thermal conductivity enhancement of 27% at 5.0 wt.%. Aluminum nitrides (AlN) can also find many applications in the heat exchange process. Thermal conductivity performance of AlN/ethanol nanofluid was investigated by Hu et al. [227]. Results showed a 20% increase in the thermal conductivity of ethanol with 4.0 vol.% at room temperature. Furthermore, a strong temperature dependence of the thermal conductivity was observed in this research. Yu et al. [228] thermal conductivity of AlN dispersed in two different conventional fluids, such as EG and propylene glycol (PG), was investigated. It was found a 39 and 40% thermal conductivity improvement for EG and PG, respectively, having the same particle size and nanoparticles filler fraction.

A great improvement on 2D-nanostructure-based nanofluids was obtained by Taha-Tijerina et al. [3], where exfoliated h-BN and graphene were homogeneously dispersed within mineral oil with superb thermal conductivity increase up to ~80% at very low filler fractions (<0.10 wt.%)
with no significant increase in viscosity. Continuing with 2D structures, several research studies have developed graphene-based nanofluids with high nanoparticle stability and significant enhancements [229–235]. Shaikh et al. studied the effect of exfoliated graphite dispersed within PAO oil at various concentrations, ranging from 0.10 vol.% up to 1.0 vol.%. It was observed that addition of 2D-structures improved the thermal conductivity from 18% up to ~130%, respectively [230]. Hadadian et al. [236] prepared highly stable graphene oxide (GO)-based nanofluid. Thermal transport of EG increased by 30% with 0.07 GO mass fraction. Other EG-based nanofluids synthesized by Yu et al. [237, 238] have shown better enhancements of 61 and 86% with GO [237] and graphene nanosheets [238], respectively, at 5.0 vol.% loading.

Diverse theories explain the mechanisms that could affect the behavior of nanofluids; the most accepted being Brownian motion [40, 104, 239, 240], percolation theory [55, 104, 198, 241, 242], micro convection cell model [104, 198, 239–242], and liquid layering theory [55, 104, 193, 198, 242, 243]. Table 2 shows the influence of oil-based nanofluids on thermal conductivity.

| Filler | Type of oil | Nanoparticles morphology | Filler fraction | TC enhancement | Ref. |
|--------|-------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|------|
| Al₂O₃  | Engine oil  | Spherical ~80 nm diam.   | 0.5 vol.%      | ~9%            | [194]|
| Al₂O₃  | Engine oil  | Spherical ~28 nm diam.   | 5.0 vol.%      | ~26%           | [209]|
| Al     | Engine oil  | Spherical ~80 nm diam.   | 1.0 vol.%      | ~20%           | [194]|
| AlN    | Mineral oil | Spherical ~50 nm diam.   | 0.05 vol.%     | ~8%            | [244]|
| CeO₂   | Transformer oil @ 50°C | Spherical ~3–7 nm diam. | 0.7 vol.%     | ~15%           | [203]|
| CuO    | Mineral oil | Spherical ~100 nm diam. | 2.5 vol.%      | ~12%           | [245]|
| Diamond| Mineral oil | Spherical ~<10 nm diam. | 1.0 vol.%      | ~5%            | [222]|
| Graphene| Mineral oil (50°C) | 2D-sheets ~500 by 500 nm | 0.01 wt.%      | ~10%           | [3]  |
|         |             | ~8–10 atomic layer thick | 0.10 wt.%      | ~80%           |      |
| Graphene| Heat-transfer oil | 2D sheets ~0.5–2.0 μm | 0.05 wt.%      | 8%             | [147]|
|         |             | ~0.8–1.2 nm thick | 0.10 wt.%      | 17%            |      |
|         |             |                       | 0.20 wt.%      | 25%            |      |
| h-BN   | Mineral oil (50°C) | 2D sheets ~500 by 500 nm | 0.01 wt.%      | ~9%            | [3]  |
|         |             | ~5 atomic layers thick | 0.05 wt.%      | ~10%           |      |
|         |             |                       | 0.10 wt.%      | ~80%           |      |
| h-BN   | Synthetic fluid | 2D sheets ~500 by 500 nm | 0.10 wt.%      | 8%             | [6]  |
Similarly, Table 3 shows the results from diverse investigations on other water-based nano-fluids; and various materials and sizes used as reinforced nanoparticles. Table 4 shows the influence of various nanofluids in thermal management properties as well.

| Filler | Type of oil            | Nanoparticles morphology       | Filler fraction | TC enhancement | Ref. |
|--------|------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|------|
| MWCNT  | Engine oil (15 W-40)   | Rods ~length: 0.3–10 μm Diameter: 10–50 nm | 0.25 vol.%    | ~10%           | [18] |
|        |                        |                                | 0.5 vol.%      | ~17%           |      |
|        |                        |                                | 1.0 vol.%      | ~45%           |      |
| MWCNT  | Mineral oil            | Rods ~length: 10–50 μm Diameter: 10–30 nm | 0.5 vol.%      | ~8.5%          | [92] |
| MWCNT  | Synthetic PAO oil      | Rods ~length: 50 μm Diameter: 25 nm | 1.0 vol.%      | 160%           | [218]|
| MWCNT  | Poly-α-olefin (PAO)    | Rods ~length: 1–100 μm Diameter: 20–300 nm | 1.0 vol.%      | ~175%          | [19] |

Notes: if not specified, measurements were conducted at room temperature.

Table 2. Influence of oil-based nanofluids in thermal management.

Similarly, Table 3 shows the results from diverse investigations on other water-based nanofluids; and various materials and sizes used as reinforced nanoparticles. Table 4 shows the influence of various nanofluids in thermal management properties as well.
| Filler       | Nanoparticles morphology          | Filler fraction | TC enhancement | Ref. |
|-------------|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|------|
| CuO         | Spherical ~24 nm diam.           | 1.0 vol.%      | ~14%           |      |
|             |                                  | 1.0 vol.%<sup>1</sup> | ~29%           | [78] |
|             |                                  | 4.0 vol.%      | ~15%           |      |
|             |                                  | 4.0 vol.%<sup>1</sup> | ~36%           |      |
| CuO         | Spherical ~100 nm diam.          | 2.5 vol.%      | ~24%           | [245]|
|             |                                  | 5.0 vol.%      | ~55%           |      |
|             |                                  | 7.5 vol.%      | ~78%           |      |
| CuO         | Spherical ~25 nm diam.           | 0.10 vol.%     | ~7%            | [248]|
|             |                                  | 0.30 vol.%     | ~12%           |      |
| Graphene    | Sheets, 1 μm lateral             | 0.40 vol.%     | ~9%            | [232]|
| GO          | Sheets, range of 200 nm to 1000 nm| 0.01 wt.%      | ~9%            | [120]|
|             |                                  | 0.10 wt.%      | ~19%           |      |
| Graphene +  | G sheets, 1 μm lateral;          | 0.40 vol.%     | ~11%           | [232]|
| MWCNTs      | MWCNTs ~19 nm diam.              |                |                |      |
| SiC         | Spherical ~26 nm                 | 4.2 vol.%      | 16%            | [141]|
| SiC         | Cylindrical ~600 nm              | 4.0 vol.%      | 23%            | [141]|
| SiC         | Spherical ~37–110 nm diam.       | 0.1 vol.%      | ~12%           | [155]|
| SiO<sub>2</sub> | Spherical ~12 nm diam.            | 1.0 vol.%      | ~3%            | [92]  |
| TiO<sub>2</sub> | Spherical ~15 nm diam.           | 1.0 vol.%      | ~18%           | [135]|
|             |                                  | 5.0 vol.%      | ~30%           |      |
| TiO<sub>2</sub> | Spherical ~95 nm diam.           | 1.0 wt.%       | ~1%            | [118]|
|             |                                  | 4.9 wt.%       | ~5%            |      |
| CNTs        | Rods -length: 35 μm              | 0.01 wt.%      | ~38%           | [249]|
|             | Diam.: 20 nm                     | 0.10 wt.%<sup>2</sup> | ~126%          |      |
|             |                                  | 0.10 wt.%<sup>2</sup> | ~288%          |      |
| MWCNTs      | Rods -length: 30 μm              | 1.0 vol.%      | ~7%            | [221]|
|             | Diam.: 15 nm                     |                |                |      |
| ZnO         | Semi-rectangular (90–210 nm)     | 1.0 vol.%      | 10%            | [181]|
|             |                                  | 3.0 vol.%      | 21%            |      |
| ZnO         | Spherical, 20–40 nm diam.        | 1.0 vol.%      | 9%             | [181]|
|             |                                  | 3.0 vol.%      | 18%            |      |

Notes: if not specified, measurements were conducted at room temperature.
<sup>1</sup>At 50°C.
<sup>2</sup>At 60°C.
<sup>3</sup>With addition of CTAB.

Table 3. Influence of water-based nanofluids in thermal management.
## 5. Summary

The heat required to be dissipated from systems is continually increasing due to industrial and economic trends to miniaturize designs, make better use of resources, obtain more power for less, and increase the compactness of systems. In this chapter, we have discussed the thermal transport and challenges on nanofluids performance. The results suggest that nanofluids can improve the thermal conductivity of conventional fluids, which is beneficial for enhancing the heat dissipation from electronic devices. However, the optimization of nanofluid performance requires further research on the nanofluid's morphology, filler fraction, and the selection of suitable conventional fluids.

### Table 4. Influence of diverse nanofluids in thermal management.

| Filler   | Conventional fluid       | Nanoparticles morphology | Filler fraction | TC enhancement | Ref. |
|----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|------|
| Al₂O₃    | Ethylene glycol          | Spherical ~10 nm diam.   | 5.0 vol.%      | ~18%           | [217]|
| AlN      | Ethylene glycol          | Spherical ~50 nm diam.   | 5.0 vol.%      | ~20%           | [228]|
|          |                          |                          | 10.0 vol.%     | ~40%           |      |
| Au       | Toluene                  | Spherical ~10–20 nm diam. | 0.011 vol.%    | ~9%            | [11] |
| CuO      | Ethylene glycol          | Spherical ~35 nm diam.   | 4.0 vol.%      | ~22%           | [190]|
| CuO      | Ethylene glycol          | Spherical ~23 nm diam.   | ~15.0 vol.%    | ~55%           | [209]|
| Fe₃O₄    | Kerosene                 | Spherical ~15 nm diam.   | 0.50 vol.%     | ~15%           | [169]|
|          |                          |                          | 1.0 vol.%      | ~34%           |      |
| Graphene | Ethylene glycol @ 20°C   | 2D sheets ~500 × 600 nm  | 0.14 vol.%     | 6.5%           | [184]|
|          | Ethylene glycol @ 50°C   |                          | 0.14 vol.%     | 36%            |      |
| h-BN     | Stamping lubricant @ 50°C| 2D sheets ~500 × 500 nm  | 0.01 wt.%      | ~25%           | [6]  |
|          |                          |                          | 0.10 wt.%      | ~30%           |      |
| h-BN     | Metal cutting fluid @ 50°C| 2D sheets ~500 × 500 nm  | 0.01 wt.%      | ~14%           | [6]  |
|          |                          |                          | 0.10 wt.%      | ~18%           |      |
| MWCNT    | Ethylene glycol          | Rods length: 30 μm       | 0.05 vol.%     | ~7%            | [67] |
|          |                          | Diam.: 15 nm             | 1.0 vol.%      | ~13%           |      |
| MWCNT    | Ethylene glycol          | Rods length: ~20–30 nm   | 0.50 vol.%     | ~8%            | [14] |
|          |                          | range                    | 1.0 vol.%      | ~13%           |      |
| SiO₂     | Ethanol                  | Spherical ~23 nm diam.   | 1.0 vol.%      | ~5%            | [40] |
| SiO₂     | Ethylene glycol          | Spherical ~23 nm diam.   | 1.0 vol.%      | ~4%            | [40] |
| TiO₂     | Ethylene glycol/water (20/80%) | Spherical ~21 nm diam. | 4.0 vol.%     | ~15%           | [224]|

*Notes*: if not specified, measurements were conducted at room temperature.
output, environmentally friendly materials, and obtain more profits. The present work offers a general overview of the recent research and development on preparation and characterization of nanofluids for thermal management applications, with emphasis on experimental data, variables and features. Nowadays, many technologies search for the highest efficiency mainly for energy savings, particularly on cooling or heat dissipation challenges within devices and machinery components. Many interesting properties of nanofluids have been reported in the past decades. Several efforts have been made trying to homogeneously disperse nanostructures within conventional HTFs to improve their properties. Nanofillers size has positive effects on conventional HTFs performance, i.e., compared to larger dispersed solid particles making flow through microchannels much easier, also since diverse parameters are critical for devices performance, such as morphology and stability of dispersed nanostructures, fluids composition, viscosity, fast sedimentation, channels clogging, erosion, wear, among others, which are often very serious for systems consisting of small channels. It is noted that nature of enhancement in thermal transport with nanoparticles concentration and temperature increment differs from fluid to fluid, which is comprehensible due to many factors such as fluids composition, viscosity, nature of fluids (morphology as well as interaction between fluid and nanofillers), etc.

It is found that factors such as temperature and filler fraction are more sensitive in determining the effective thermal conductivity in low viscosity fluids. The lower the filler concentration, the higher the stability (but lower thermal conductivity improvement), which means that a medium must be found between the two to prevent nanoparticles sedimentation/agglomeration, the free phonon/electron movement is affected by these defects, and hence a surfactant-free stable suspension can provide much better thermal conductivity. Nanofluids stability is a key factor to evaluate the quality of the nanofluids, and is considerably valued in the industrial applications. Additives or surfactants could be used to promote nanoparticles stabilization, but with some main drawbacks such as decrease of thermal conductivity, since surfactants could introduce defects at the solution/particle interfaces. Some nanofluids are currently expensive, partly due to the difficulty in manufacturing either the nanostructures to be afterward dispersed within conventional fluids or the nanofluids by themselves. Optimum layer thickness and filler fraction are important parameters in research of thermal transport, electrical and physical behavior and general aspects of both fundamental and applied characteristics. Mass production of nanostructures could further reduce the costs, and also using low filler fractions is another way to make nanofluids more affordable. Although nanofluids have displayed paramount and exciting potential applications, some vital hinders also exist before regular commercialization and industrialization of nanofluids.

Acknowledgements

Author acknowledges the support from UdeM, Rice University and CONACYT.
Author details

José Jaime Taha-Tijerina
Address all correspondence to: jose.taha@udem.edu
Universidad de Monterrey, Engineering Department, San Pedro Garza García, N. L., México

References

[1] Smalley RE. Future global energy prosperity: The terawatt challenge. MRS Bulletin. 2005;30(6):412-417. DOI: 10.1557/mrs2005.124

[2] Wen D, Lin G, Vafaei S, Zhang K. Review of nanofluids for heat transfer applications. Particuology. 2009;7(2):141-150. DOI: 10.1016/j.partic.2009.01.007

[3] Taha-Tijerina J, Narayanan TN, Gao G, Rohde M, Tsentalovich DA, Pasquali M, Ajayan PM. Electrically insulating thermal nano-oils using 2D fillers. ACS Nano. 2012;6(2):1214-1220. DOI: 10.1021/nn203862p

[4] Balandin AA. Thermal properties of graphene, carbon nanotubes and nanostructured carbon materials. Nature Materials. 2011;10:569-581. DOI: 10.1038/nmat3064

[5] Aravind SSJ, Baskar P, Baby TT, Sabareesh RK, Das S, Ramaprabhu S. Investigation of structural stability, dispersion, viscosity, and conductive heat transfer properties of functionalized carbon nanotube based nanofluids. Journal of Physical Chemistry C. 2011;115(34):16737-16744. DOI: 10.1021/jp201672p

[6] Taha-Tijerina J, Peña-Paras L, Narayanan TN, Garza L, Lapray C, Gonzalez J, Palacios E, Molina D, García A, Maldonado D, Ajayan PM. Multifunctional nanofluids with 2D nanosheets for thermal and tribological management. Wear. 2013;302(1-2):1241-1248. DOI: 10.1016/j.wear.2012.12.010

[7] Mahian O, Kianifar A, Kalogirou SA, Pop I, Wongwises S. A review of the applications of nanofluids in solar energy. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 2013;57(2):582-594. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2012.10.037

[8] Saha G, Paul MC. Numerical analysis of the heat transfer behaviour of water based Al2O3 and TiO2 nanofluids in a circular pipe under the turbulent flow condition. International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer. 2014;56:96-108. DOI: 10.1016/j.icheatmasstransfer.2015.10.042

[9] Kroto HW, Heath JR, O’Brien SC, Curl RF, Smalley RE. C60: Buckminsterfullerene. Nature. 1985;318(6042):162-163. DOI: 10.1038/318162a0

[10] Lee J, Mudawar I. Assessment of the effectiveness of nanofluids for single-phase and two-phase heat transfer in micro-channels. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 2007;50(3):452-463. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2006.08.001
[11] Patel HE, Das SK, Sundararajan T, Nair AS, George B, Pradeep T. Thermal conductivities of naked and monolayer protected metal nanoparticle based nanofluids: Manifestation of anomalous enhancement and chemical effects. Applied Physics Letters. 2003;83:2931. DOI: 10.1063/1.1602578

[12] Eastman JA, Choi US, Li S, Thompson LJ, Lee S. Enhanced thermal conductivity through the development of nanofluids. MRS Proceedings. 1996;457:3-11. DOI: 10.1557/PROC-457-3

[13] Kim SH, Choi SR, Kim D. Thermal conductivity of metal-oxide nanofluids: Particle size dependence and effect of laser irradiation. Journal of Heat Transfer. 2006;129(3):298-307. DOI: 10.1115/1.2427071

[14] Liu M-S, Ching-Cheng Lin M, Huang I-T, Wang C-C. Enhancement of thermal conductivity with carbon nanotube for nanofluids. International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer. 2005;32(9):1202-1210. DOI: 10.1016/j.icheatmasstransfer.2005.05.005

[15] Godfrey D, Herguth WR. Physical and chemical properties of industrial mineral oils affecting lubrication. Lubrication Engineering. 1995;51(6):493-496

[16] Iijima S. Helical microtubules of graphitic carbon. Nature. 1991;354(6348):56-58. DOI: 10.1038/354056a0

[17] Mahbubul IM, Saidur R, Amalina MA. Influence of particle concentration and temperature on thermal conductivity and viscosity of Al₂O₃/R141b nanorefrigerant. International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer. 2013;43:100-104. DOI: 10.1016/j.icheatmasstransfer.2013.02.004

[18] Marquis FDS, Chibante LPF. Improving the heat transfer of nanofluids and nanolubricants with carbon nanotubes. JOM. 2005;57(12):32-43. DOI: 10.1007/s11837-005-0180-4

[19] Berber S, Kwon Y-K, Tománek D. Unusually high thermal conductivity of carbon nanotubes. Physical Review Letters. 2000;84(20):4613-4616. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.4613

[20] Che J, Çagin T, Goddard WA. Thermal conductivity of carbon nanotubes. Nanotechnology. 2000;11(2):65-69. DOI: 10.1088/0957-4484/11/2/305

[21] Osman MA, Srivastava D. Temperature dependence of the thermal conductivity of single-wall carbon nanotubes. Nanotechnology. 2001;12(1):21. DOI: 10.1088/0957-4484/12/1/305

[22] Kim P, Shi L, Majumdar A, PL ME. Thermal transport measurements of individual multiwalled nanotubes. Physical Review Letters. 2001;87(21):215502. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.215502

[23] Hong H, Zheng Y, Roy W. Nanomaterials for efficiently lowering the freezing point of anti-freeze coolants. Journal of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology. 2007;7(9):3180-3184

[24] Speight JG. Lange’s Handbook of Chemistry. 16th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill Inc; 2004. 1608 p

[25] Kang HU, Kim SH, Oh JM. Estimation of thermal conductivity of Nanofluid using experimental effective particle volume. Experimental Heat Transfer. 2006;19(3):181-191. DOI: 10.1080/0891615060619281
[26] Callister WD, Rethwisch DG. Materials Science and Engineering: An Introduction. 9th ed. United States: Wiley; 2014. 984 p

[27] Perry RH, Green DW. Perry’s Chemical Engineers’ Handbook. 8th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill Education; 2007. 2704 p

[28] Dodd AD, Murfin D. Dictionary of Ceramics. 3rd ed. London, UK: Maney Publishing; 1994. 384 p

[29] Slack GA, Tanzilli RA, Pohl RO, Vandersande JW. The intrinsic thermal conductivity of AlN. Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids. 1987;48(7):641-647. DOI: 10.1016/0022-3697(87)90153-3

[30] Xu Y, Chung DDL. Increasing the thermal conductivity of boron nitride and aluminum nitride particle epoxy-matrix composites by particle surface treatments. Composite Interfaces. 2000;7(4):243-256. DOI: 10.1163/156855400750244969

[31] Wang J, Lee CH, Bando Y, Golberg D, Yap YK. Multiwalled boron nitride nanotubes: Growth, properties, and applications. In: B-C-N Nanotubes and Related Nanostructures. New York: Springer; 2009. pp. 23-44. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4419-0086-9_2

[32] Duclaux L, Nysten B, Issi J-P, Moore AW. Structure and low-temperature thermal conductivity of pyrolytic boron nitride. Physical Review B. 1992;46(6):3362-3367. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.46.3362

[33] Lindsay L, Broido DA. Theory of thermal transport in multilayer hexagonal boron nitride and nanotubes. Physical Review B. 2012;85(3):35436. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.85.035436

[34] Chang CW, Han W-Q, Zettl A. Thermal conductivity of B–C–N and BN nanotubes. Applied Physics Letters. 2005;86(17):173102. DOI: 10.1063/1.1914963

[35] Sahoo P, Djieutedjeu H, Poudeu PFP. Co3O4 nanostructures: The effect of synthesis conditions on particles size, magnetism and transport properties. Journal of Materials Chemistry A. 2013;1(47):15022. DOI: 10.1039/c3ta13442c

[36] Hwang Y, Lee JK, Lee CH, Jung YM, Cheong SI, Lee CG, Ku BC, Jang SP. Stability and thermal conductivity characteristics of nanofluids. Thermochimica Acta. 2007;455(1-2):70-74. DOI: 10.1016/j.tca.2006.11.036

[37] Yan R, Simpson JR, Bertolazzi S, Brivio J, Watson M, Wu X, Kis A, Luo T, Hight Walker AR, Xing HG. Thermal conductivity of monolayer molybdenum disulfide obtained from temperature-dependent Raman spectroscopy. ACS Nano. 2014;8(1):986-993. DOI: 10.1021/nn405826k

[38] Li X, Zou C, Lei X, Li W. Stability and enhanced thermal conductivity of ethylene glycol-based SiC nanofluids. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 2015;89:613-619. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2015.05.096

[39] Yu W, Xie H. A review on Nanofluids: Preparation, stability mechanisms, and applications. Journal of Nanomaterials. 2012;2012:1-17. DOI: 10.1155/2012/435873
[40] Wang ZL, Tang DW, Liu S, Zheng XH, Araki N. Thermal-conductivity and thermal-diffusivity measurements of nanofluids by 3ω method and mechanism. International Journal of Thermophysics. 2007;28(4):1255-1268. DOI: 10.1007/s10765-007-0254-3

[41] Hwang YJ, Ahn YC, Shin HS, Lee CG, Kim GT, Park HS, Lee JK. Investigation on characteristics of thermal conductivity enhancement of nanofluids. Current Applied Physics. 2006;6(6):1068-1071. DOI: 10.1016/j.cap.2005.07.021

[42] Salman SD, Kadhum AAH, Takriff MS, Mohamad AB. Comparative studies on thermal performance of conic cut twist tape inserts with SiO₂ and TiO₂ nanofluids. Journal of Nanomaterials. 2015;2015:1-14. DOI: 10.1155/2015/921394

[43] Peimyoo N, Shang J, Yang W, Wang Y, Cong C, Yu T. Thermal conductivity determination of suspended mono- and bilayer WS₂ by Raman spectroscopy. Nano Research. 2014;8(4):1210-1221. DOI: 10.1007/s12274-014-0602-0

[44] Pisoni A, Jacimovic J, Gaál R, Náfrádi B, Berger H, Révay Z, Forró L. Anisotropic transport properties of tungsten disulfide. Scripta Materialia. 2016;114:48-50. DOI: 10.1016/j.scriptamat.2015.11.028

[45] Iqbal SM, Raj CS, Michael JJ, Irfan AM. A comparative investigation of Al₂O₃/H₂O, SiO₂/H₂O and ZrO₂/H₂O nanofluid for heat transfer applications. Journal of Nanomaterials and Biostructures. 2017;12(2):255-264. DOI: 10.1016/j.proeng.2015.01.107

[46] Shima PD, Philip J, Raj B. Synthesis of aqueous and nonaqueous iron oxide nanofluids and study of temperature dependence on thermal conductivity and viscosity. Journal of Physical Chemistry C. 2010;114(44):18825-18833. DOI: 10.1021/jp107447q

[47] Tiwari AK, Ghosh P, Sarkar J. Heat transfer and pressure drop characteristics of CeO₂/water nanofluid in plate heat exchanger. Applied Thermal Engineering. 2013;57(1-2):24-32. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2013.03.047

[48] Raja M, Vijayan R, Dineshkumar P, Venkatesan M. Review on nanofluids characterization, heat transfer characteristics and applications. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 2016;64:163-173. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2016.05.079

[49] Yazid MNAWM, Sidik NAC, Yahya WJ. Heat and mass transfer characteristics of carbon nanotube nanofluids: A review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 2017;80:914-941. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2017.05.192

[50] Azmi WH, Sharma KV, Mamat R, Najafi G, Mohamad MS. The enhancement of effective thermal conductivity and effective dynamic viscosity of nanofluids—A review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 2016;53:1046-1058. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2015.09.081

[51] Koca HD, Doganay S, Turgut A, Tavman IH, Saidur R, Mahbubul IM. Effect of particle size on the viscosity of nanofluids: A review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 2017;115:630-646. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2017.07.016
[52] Ilyas SU, Pendyala R, Narahari M, Susin L. Stability, rheology and thermal analysis of functionalized alumina-thermal oil-based nanofluids for advanced cooling systems. Energy Conversion and Management. 2017;142:215-229. DOI: 10.1016/j.enconman.2017.01.079

[53] Sundar LS, Irurueta GO, Venkata Ramana E, Singh MK, Sousa ACM. Thermal conductivity and viscosity of hybrid nanofluids prepared with magnetic nanodiamond-cobalt oxide (ND-Co$_3$O$_4$) nanocomposite. Case Studies in Thermal Engineering. 2016;7:66-77. DOI: 10.1016/j.csite.2016.03.001

[54] Agarwal DK, Vaidyanathan A, Sunil Kumar S. Investigation on convective heat transfer behaviour of kerosene-$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ nanofluid. Applied Thermal Engineering. 2015;84:64-73. DOI: 10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2015.03.054

[55] Singh A. Thermal conductivity of nanofluids. Defence Science Journal. 2008;58(5):600-607. DOI: 10.14429/dsj.58.1682

[56] Taha-Tijerina JJ, Narayanan TN, Tiwary CS, Lozano K, Chipara M, Ajayan PM. Nanodiamond-based thermal fluids. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces. 2014;6(7):4778-4785. DOI: 10.1021/am405575t

[57] Bashirnezhad K, Bazri S, Safaei MR, Goodarzi M, Dahari M, Mahian O, Dalkılıç A, Wongwises S. Viscosity of nanofluids: A review of recent experimental studies. International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer. 2016;73:114-123. DOI: 10.1016/j.icheatmasstransfer.2016.02.005

[58] Ilyas SU, Pendyala R, Narahari M. Stability and thermal analysis of MWCNT-thermal oil-based nanofluids. Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects. 2017;527:11-22. DOI: 10.1016/j.colsurfa.2017.05.004

[59] Zhang H, Wang S, Lin Y, Feng M, Wu Q. Stability, thermal conductivity, and rheological properties of controlled reduced graphene oxide dispersed nanofluids. Applied Thermal Engineering. 2017;119:132-139. DOI: 10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2017.03.064

[60] Saraaz MM, Hormozi F. Heat transfer, pressure drop and fouling studies of multi-walled carbon nanotube nano-fluids inside a plate heat exchanger. Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science. 2016;72:1-11. DOI: 10.1016/j.expthermflusci.2015.11.004

[61] Tiwari AK, Ghosh P, Sarkar J. Performance comparison of the plate heat exchanger using different nanofluids. Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science. 2013;49:141-151. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expthermflusci.2013.04.012

[62] Hekmatipour F, Akhavan-Behabadi MA, Sajadi B, Fakoor-Pakdaman M. Mixed convection heat transfer and pressure drop characteristics of the copper oxide-heat transfer oil (CuO-HTO) nanofluid in vertical tube. Case Studies in Thermal Engineering. 2017;110:248-256. DOI: 10.1016/j.csite.2017.09.009

[63] Gupta NK, Tiwari AK, Ghosh SK. Heat transfer mechanisms in heat pipes using nanofluids—A review. Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science. 2018;90:84-100. DOI: 10.1016/j.expthermflusci.2017.08.013
[64] Arshad W, Ali HM. Experimental investigation of heat transfer and pressure drop in a straight minichannel heat sink using TiO₂ nanofluid. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 2017;110:248-256. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2017.03.032

[65] Husain E, Narayanan TN, Taha-Tijerina JJ, Vinod S, Vajtai R, Ajayan PM. Marine corrosion protective coatings of hexagonal boron nitride thin films on stainless steel. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces. 2013;5(10):4129-4135. DOI: 10.1021/am400016y

[66] Baby TT, Sundara R. Synthesis and transport properties of metal oxide decorated Graphene dispersed Nanofluids. Journal of Physical Chemistry. 2011;115(17):8527-8533. DOI: 10.1021/jp200273g

[67] Eswaraiah V, Jyothirmayee Aravind SS, Ramaprabhu S. Top down method for synthesis of highly conducting graphene by exfoliation of graphite oxide using focused solar radiation. Journal of Materials Chemistry. 2011;21(19):6800. DOI: 10.1039/c1jm10808e

[68] SUS C, Eastman JA. Enhancing thermal conductivity of fluids with nanoparticles. In: International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exhibition (IMECE). San Francisco: ASME; 1995. p. 196525

[69] Masuda H, Ebata A, Teramae K, Hishinuma N. Alteration of thermal conductivity and viscosity of liquid by dispersing ultra-fine particles (dispersion of γ-Al₂O₃, SiO₂ and TiO₂ ultra-fine particles). Netsu Bussei. 1993;7(4):227-233

[70] Chen T-C, Chou C-C, Yung T-Y, Tsai K-C, Huang J-Y. Wear behavior of thermally sprayed Zn/15Al, Al and Inconel 625 coatings on carbon steel. Surface and Coatings Technology. 2016;303:78-85. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2016.03.095

[71] Li J, Du B, Wang F, Yao W, Yao S. The effect of nanoparticle surfactant polarization on trapping depth of vegetable insulating oil-based nanofluids. Physics Letters A. 2016;380(4):604-608. DOI: 10.1016/j.physleta.2015.12.008

[72] SUS C. Nanofluids: From vision to reality through research. Journal of Heat Transfer. 2009;131(3):33106. DOI: 10.1115/1.3056479

[73] Lee C-G, Hwang Y-J, Choi Y-M, Lee J-K, Choi C, Oh J-M. A study on the tribological characteristics of graphite nano lubricants. International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing. 2009;10(1):85-90. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12541-009-0013-4

[74] Wu D, Zhu H, Wang L, Liu L. Critical issues in nanofluids preparation, characterization and thermal conductivity. Current Nanoscience. 2009;5(1):103-112. DOI: 10.2174/15734130978314548

[75] Das SK, Choi SU, Yu W, Pradeep T. Nanofluids: Science and Technology. Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2007. 416 p

[76] Peterson GP, Li CH. Heat and mass transfer in fluids with nanoparticle suspensions. Advances in Heat Transfer. 2006;39:257-376. DOI: 10.1016/S0065-2179(06)39003-X

[77] Prasher R, Bhattacharyya P, Phelan PE. Brownian-motion-based convective-conductive model for the effective thermal conductivity of Nanofluids. Journal of Heat Transfer. 2006;128(6):588. DOI: 10.1115/1.2188509
[78] Das SK, Putra N, Thiesen P, Roetzel W. Temperature dependence of thermal conductivity enhancement for nanofluids. Journal of Heat Transfer. 2003;125(4):567. DOI: 10.1115/1.1571080

[79] Yang B, Han ZH. Temperature-dependent thermal conductivity of nanorod-based nanofluids. Applied Physics Letters. 2006;89(8):83111. DOI: 10.1063/1.2338424

[80] Chon CH, Kihm KD, Lee SP, Choi SUS. Empirical correlation finding the role of temperature and particle size for nanofluid (Al2O3) thermal conductivity enhancement. Applied Physics Letters. 2005;87(15):153107. DOI: 10.1063/1.2093936

[81] Pil Jang S, Choi SUS. Effects of various parameters on nanofluid thermal conductivity. Journal of Heat Transfer. 2007;129(5):617. DOI: 10.1115/1.2712475

[82] Zhi C, Xu Y, Bando Y, Golberg D. Highly thermo-conductive fluid with boron nitride nanofillers. ACS Nano. 2011;5(8):6571-6577. DOI: 10.1021/nn201946x

[83] Wong KV, De Leon O. Applications of nanofluids: Current and future. Advances in Mechanical Engineering. 2010;2:519659. DOI: 10.1155/2010/519659

[84] Hernández Battez A, González R, Viesca JL, Fernández JE, Díaz Fernández JM, Machado A, Chou R, Riba J. CuO, ZrO2 and ZnO nanoparticles as antiwear additive in oil lubricants. Wear. 2008;265(3-4):422-428. DOI: 10.1016/j.wear.2007.11.013

[85] Lee P-H, Nam JS, Li C, Lee SW. An experimental study on micro-grinding process with nanofluid minimum quantity lubrication (MQL). International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing. 2012;13(3):331-338. DOI: 10.1007/s12541-012-0042-2

[86] Chang H, Li ZY, Kao MJ, Huang KD, Wu HM. Tribological property of TiO2 nanolubricant on piston and cylinder surfaces. Journal of Alloys and Compound. 2010;495(2):481-484. DOI: 10.1016/j.jallcom.2009.10.017

[87] Hernández Battez A, Viesca JL, González R, Blanco D, Asedegbega E, Osorio A. Friction reduction properties of a CuO nanolubricant used as lubricant for a NiCrBSi coating. Wear. 2010;268(1-2):325-328. DOI: 10.1016/j.wear.2009.08.018

[88] Narayanan TN, Anantharaman MR. Template assisted fabrication of I-D nanostructures of Nickel, Cobalt, Iron Oxide and Carbon nanotubes and a study on their structural, magnetic and nonlinear optical properties for applications [PhD thesis]. Cochin University of Science & Technology; 2009

[89] Li Y, Zhou J, Tung S, Schneider E, Xi S. A review on development of nanofluid preparation and characterization. Powder Technology. 2009 [cited 2015 Nov 3];196(2):89-101. DOI: 10.1016/j.powtec.2009.07.025

[90] Munkhbayar B, Tanshen MR, Jeoun J, Chung H, Jeong H. Surfactant-free dispersion of silver nanoparticles into MWCNT-aqueous nanofluids prepared by one-step technique and their thermal characteristics. Ceramics International. 2013;39(6):6415-6425. DOI: 10.1016/j.ceramint.2013.01.069

[91] Zhu H, Lin Y, Yin Y. A novel one-step chemical method for preparation of copper nanofluids. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science. 2004;277(1):100-103. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcis.2004.04.026
[92] Hwang Y, Park HS, Lee JK, Jung WH. Thermal conductivity and lubrication characteristics of nanofluids. Current Applied Physics. 2006;6(suppl. 1):67-71. DOI: 10.1016/j.cap.2006.01.014

[93] Sohel Murshed SM, Tan S-H, Nguyen N-T. Temperature dependence of interfacial properties and viscosity of nanofluids for droplet-based microfluidics. Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics. 2008;41(8):85502. DOI: 10.1088/0022-3727/41/8/085502

[94] Li D, Hong B, Fang W, Guo Y, Lin R. Preparation of well-dispersed silver nanoparticles for oil-based nanofluids. Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research. 2010;49(4):1697-1702. DOI: 10.1021/ie901173h

[95] Hwang Y, Lee J-K, Jeong Y-M, Cheong S, Ahn Y-C, Kim SH. Production and dispersion stability of nanoparticles in nanofluids. Powder Technology. 2008;186(2):145-153. DOI: 10.1016/j.powtec.2007.11.020

[96] Ghozatloo A, Shariaty-Niasar M, Rashidi AM. Preparation of nanofluids from functionalized graphene by new alkaline method and study on the thermal conductivity and stability. International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer. 2013;42:89-94. DOI: 10.1016/j.icheatmasstransfer.2012.12.007

[97] Lee K, Hwang Y, Cheong S, Kwon L, Kim S, Lee J. Performance evaluation of nanolubricants of fullerene nanoparticles in refrigeration mineral oil. Current Applied Physics. 2009;9(2 suppl):e128-e131. DOI: 10.1016/j.cap.2008.12.054

[98] Chen M-L, Meng Z-D, Zhu L, Choi J-G, Park C-Y, Lee S-C, Hong D-S, Lee J-G, Jang W-K, Oh W-C. Dispersion stability of metal (oxide)-graphene nanofluids with electrical and thermal properties. Science of Advanced Materials. 2011;3(6):887-892. DOI: 10.1166/sam.2011.1213

[99] Murshed SMS, de Castro CAN, Lourenço MJV. Effect of surfactant and nanoparticle clustering on thermal conductivity of aqueous Nanofluids. Journal of Nanofluids. 2012;1(2):175-179. DOI: 10.1166/jon.2012.1020

[100] Narayanan TN, Gupta BK, Vithayathil SA, Aburto RR, Mani SA, Taha-Tijerina J, Xie B, Kaipparettu BA, Torti SV, Ajayan PM. Hybrid 2D nanomaterials as dual-mode contrast agents in cellular imaging. Advanced Materials. 2012;24(22):2992-2998. DOI: 10.1002/adma.201200706

[101] Contreras JE, Rodriguez EA, Taha-Tijerina J. Nanotechnology applications for electrical transformers—A review. Electric Power Systems Research. 2017;143:573-584. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsr.2016.10.058

[102] Sudeep PM, Taha-Tijerina J, Ajayan PM, Narayanan TN, Anantharaman MR. Nanofluids based on fluorinated graphene oxide for efficient thermal management. RSC Advances. 2014;4(47):24887-24892. DOI: 10.1039/c4ra00843

[103] Koo J, Kleinstreuer C. Impact analysis of nanoparticle motion mechanisms on the thermal conductivity of nanofluids. International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer. 2005;32(9):1111-1118. DOI: 10.1016/j.icheatmasstransfer.2005.05.014
[104] Kleinstreuer C, Feng Y. Experimental and theoretical studies of nanofluid thermal conductivity enhancement: A review. Nanoscale Research Letters. 2011;6(1):229. DOI: 10.1186/1556-276X-6-229

[105] Malvandi A, Heysiattalab S, Ganji DD. Thermophoresis and Brownian motion effects on heat transfer enhancement at film boiling of nanofluids over a vertical cylinder. Journal of Molecular Liquids. 2016;216:503-509. DOI: 10.1016/j.molliq.2016.01.030

[106] Shukla KN, Koller TM, Rausch MH, Fröba AP. Effective thermal conductivity of nanofluids — A new model taking into consideration Brownian motion. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 2016;99:532-540. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2016.03.129

[107] Kandasamy R, Muhaimin I, Mohamad R. Thermophoresis and Brownian motion effects on MHD boundary-layer flow of a nanofluid in the presence of thermal stratification due to solar radiation. International Journal of Mechanical Sciences. 2013;70:146-154. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijmecsci.2013.03.007

[108] Aminfar H, Motallebzadeh R. Investigation of the velocity field and nanoparticle concentration distribution of nanofluid using Lagrangian-Eulerian approach. Journal of Dispersion Science and Technology. 2012;33(1):155-163. DOI: 10.1080/01932691.2010.528336

[109] Li CH, Peterson GP. The effect of particle size on the effective thermal conductivity of Al2O3-water nanofluids. Journal of Applied Physics. 2007;101(4):44312. DOI: 10.1063/1.2436472

[110] Mintsa HA, Roy G, Nguyen CT, Doucet D. New temperature dependent thermal conductivity data for water-based nanofluids. International Journal of Thermal. 2009 [cited 2015 Nov 6];48(2):363-371. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2008.03.009

[111] Anoop KB, Sundararaj-T, Das SK. Effect of particle size on the convective heat transfer in nanofluid in the developing region. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 2009;52(9-10):2189-2195. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2007.11.063

[112] Teng T-P, Hung Y-H, Teng T-C, Mo H-E, Hsu H-G. The effect of alumina/water nanofluid particle size on thermal conductivity. Applied Thermal Engineering. 2010;30(14-15):2213-2218. DOI: 10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2010.05.036

[113] Abbasian Arani AA, Amani J. Experimental investigation of diameter effect on heat transfer performance and pressure drop of TiO2–water nanofluid. Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science. 2013;44:520-533. DOI: 10.1016/j.expthermflusci.2012.08.014

[114] Kwek D, Crivoi A, Duan F. Effects of temperature and particle size on the thermal property measurements of Al2O3–water Nanofluids. Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data. 2010;55(12):5690-5695. DOI: 10.1021/je1006407

[115] Agarwal DK, Vaidyanathan A, SunilKumar S. Synthesis and characterization of kerosene–alumina nanofluids. Applied Thermal Engineering. 2013;60(1-2):275-284. DOI: 10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2013.06.049
[116] Beck MP, Yuan Y, Warrier P, Teja AS. The effect of particle size on the thermal conductivity of alumina nanofluids. Journal of Nanoparticle Research. 2009;11(5):1129-1136. DOI: 10.1007/s11051-008-9500-2

[117] Nguyen CT, Roy G, Gauthier C, Galanis N. Heat transfer enhancement using Al₂O₃−water nanofluid for an electronic liquid cooling system. Applied Thermal Engineering. 2007;27(8-9):1501-1506. DOI: 10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2006.09.028

[118] He Y, Jin Y, Chen H, Ding Y, Cang D, Lu H. Heat transfer and flow behaviour of aqueous suspensions of TiO₂ nanoparticles (nanofluids) flowing upward through a vertical pipe. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 2007;50(11-12):2272-2281. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2006.10.024

[119] Żyła G, Fal J, Estellé P. Thermophysical and dielectric profiles of ethylene glycol based titanium nitride (TiN–EG) nanofluids with various size of particles. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 2017;113:1189-1199. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2017.06.032

[120] Esfahani MR, Languri EM, Nunna MR. Effect of particle size and viscosity on thermal conductivity enhancement of graphene oxide nanofluid. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 2016;76:308-315. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2016.06.006

[121] Singh D, Timofeeva E, Yu W, Routbort J, France D, Smith D, Lopez-Cepero JM. An investigation of silicon carbide-water nanofluid for heat transfer applications. Journal of Applied Physics. 2009;105(6):64306. DOI: 10.1063/1.3082094

[122] Timofeeva EV, Gavrilov AN, JM MC, Tolmachev YV, Sprunt S, Lopatina LM, Selinger JV. Thermal conductivity and particle agglomeration in alumina nanofluids: Experiment and theory. Physical Review. E, Statistical, Nonlinear, and Soft Matter Physics. 2007;76(6 Pt 1):61203. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.76.061203

[123] Beck MP, Yuan Y, Warrier P, Teja AS. The thermal conductivity of aqueous nanofluids containing ceria nanoparticles. Journal of Applied Physics. 2010;107(6):66101. DOI: 10.1063/1.3330506

[124] Suresh T, Uthayakumar G, Srinivasan R. Synthesis and characterization of CeO₂/water nanofluids and its thermal properties. 2016 International Conference on Energy Efficient Technologies for Sustainability (ICEETS). IEEE; 2016. p. 202-205. DOI: 10.1109/ICEETS.2016.7582926

[125] Sharafeldin MA, Gróf G. Experimental investigation of flat plate solar collector using CeO₂-water nanofluid. Energy Conversion and Management. 2018;155:32-41. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2017.10.070

[126] Amiri M, Movahedirad S, Manteghi F. Thermal conductivity of water and ethylene glycol nanofluids containing new modified surface SiO₂-Cu nanoparticles: Experimental and modeling. Applied Thermal Engineering. 2016;108:48-53. DOI: 10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2016.07.091

[127] Abdolbaqi MK, Sidik NAC, Rahim MFA, Mamat R, Azmi WH, Yazid MNAWM, Najafi G. Experimental investigation and development of new correlation for thermal conductivity and viscosity of BioGlycol/water based SiO₂ nanofluids. International
Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer. 2016;77:54-63. DOI: 10.1016/j.icheatmasstransfer.2016.07.001

[128] Nabil MF, Azmi WH, Abdul Hamid K, Mamat R, Hagos FY. An experimental study on the thermal conductivity and dynamic viscosity of TiO$_2$-SiO$_2$ nanofluids in water: Ethylene glycol mixture. International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer. 2017;86:181-189. DOI: 10.1016/j.icheatmasstransfer.2017.05.024

[129] Minea AA. Hybrid nanofluids based on Al$_2$O$_3$, TiO$_2$ and SiO$_2$: Numerical evaluation of different approaches. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 2017;104:852-860. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2016.09.012

[130] Guo Y, Zhang T, Zhang D, Wang Q. Experimental investigation of thermal and electrical conductivity of silicon oxide nanofluids in ethylene glycol/water mixture. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 2018;117:280-286. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2017.09.091

[131] Zhu BJ, Zhao WL, Li DD, Li JK. Effect of volume fraction and temperature on thermal conductivity of SiO$_2$ nanofluids. Advanced Materials Research. 2011;306-307:1178-1181. DOI: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.306-307.1178

[132] Hossein Karimi Darvanjooghi M, Nasr Esfahany M. Experimental investigation of the effect of nanoparticle size on thermal conductivity of in-situ prepared silica-ethanol nanofluid. International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer. 2016;77:148-154. DOI: 10.1016/j.icheatmasstransfer.2016.08.001

[133] Mehrali M, Sadeghinezhad E, Akhiani AR, Tahan Latibari S, Talebian S, Dolatshahi-Pirouz A, Metselaar HSC, Mehrali M. An ecofriendly graphene-based nanofluid for heat transfer applications. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2016;137:555-566. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.07.136

[134] Elias MM, Miqdad M, Mahbubul IM, Saidur R, Kamalisarvestani M, Sohel MR, Hepbasli A, Rahim NA, Amalina MA. Effect of nanoparticle shape on the heat transfer and thermodynamic performance of a shell and tube heat exchanger. International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer. 2013;44:93-99. DOI: 10.1016/j.icheatmasstransfer.2013.03.014

[135] Murshed SMS, Leong KC, Yang C. Enhanced thermal conductivity of TiO$_2$—Water based nanofluids. International Journal of Thermal Sciences. 2005;44(4):367-373. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2004.12.005

[136] Zyla G, Fal J. Experimental studies on viscosity, thermal and electrical conductivity of aluminum nitride-ethylene glycol (AlN-EG) nanofluids. Thermochimica Acta. 2016;637:11-16. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tca.2016.05.006

[137] Khan I. Shape effects of MoS$_2$ nanoparticles on MHD slip flow of molybdenum disulphide nanofluid in a porous medium. Journal of Molecular Liquids. 2017;233:442-451. DOI: 10.1016/J.MOLLIQ.2017.03.009

[138] Arani AAA, Sadripour S, Kermani S. Nanoparticle shape effects on thermal-hydraulic performance of boehmite alumina nanofluids in a sinusoidal–wavy mini-channel with
phase shift and variable wavelength. International Journal of Mechanical Sciences. 2017;128-129:550-563. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijmecsci.2017.05.030

[139] Sudarsana Reddy P, Chamkha AJ. Influence of size, shape, type of nanoparticles, type and temperature of the base fluid on natural convection MHD of nanofluids. Alexandria Engineering Journal. 2016;55:331-341. DOI: 10.1016/j.aej.2016.01.027

[140] Kim HJ, Lee SH, Lee JH, Jang SP. Effect of particle shape on suspension stability and thermal conductivities of water-based bohemite alumina nanofluids. Energy. 2015;90:1290-1297. DOI: 10.1016/j.energy.2015.06.084

[141] Xie H, Wang J, Xi T, Liu Y. Thermal conductivity of suspensions containing nano-sized SiC particles. International Journal of Thermophysics. 2002;23(2):571-580. DOI: 10.1023/A:1015121805842

[142] Prasher R, Phelan PE, Bhattacharya P. Effect of aggregation kinetics on the thermal conductivity of nanoscale colloidal solutions (nanofluid). Nano Letters. 2006;6(7):1529-1534. DOI: 10.1021/nl060992s

[143] Aaiza G, Khan I, Shafie S. Energy transfer in mixed convection MHD flow of nanofluid containing different shapes of nanoparticles in a channel filled with saturated porous medium. Nanoscale Research Letters. 2015;10(1):490. DOI: 10.1186/s11671-015-1144-4

[144] Karthikeyan NR, Philip J, Raj B. Effect of clustering on the thermal conductivity of nanofluids. Materials Chemistry and Physics. 2008;109(1):50-55. DOI: 10.1016/j.matchemphys.2007.10.029

[145] Taha-Tijerina J, Peña-Parás L, Maldonado-Cortés D. 2D-based nanofluids: Materials evaluation and performance. In: Nayak PK, editor. Two-Dimensional Materials—Synthesis, Characterization and Potential Applications. Rijeka, Croatia: InTech; 2016. pp. 153-198. DOI: 10.5772/64760

[146] Peña-Parás L, Taha-Tijerina J, García A, Maldonado D, González JA, Molina D, Palacios E, Cantú P. Antiwear and extreme pressure properties of Nanofluids for industrial applications. Tribology Transactions. 2014;57(6):1072-1076. DOI: 10.1080/10402004.2014.933937

[147] Wang N, Xu G, Li S, Zhang X. Thermal properties and solar collection characteristics of oil-based nanofluids with low graphene concentration. Energy Procedia. 2017;105:194-199. DOI: 10.1016/j.egypro.2017.03.301

[148] Hejazian M, Moraveji MK. A comparative analysis of single and two-phase models of turbulent convective heat transfer in a tube for TiO₂ nanofluid with CFD. Numerical Heat Transfer, Part A: Applications. 2013;63(10):795-806. DOI: 10.1080/10407782.2013.756759

[149] Abbassi Y, Talebi M, Shirani AS, Khorsandi J. Experimental investigation of TiO₂/water nanofluid effects on heat transfer characteristics of a vertical annulus with non-uniform heat flux in non-radiation environment. Annals of Nuclear Energy. 2014;69:7-13. DOI: 10.1016/j.anucene.2014.01.033

[150] Barzegarian R, Moraveji MK, Aloueyan A. Experimental investigation on heat transfer characteristics and pressure drop of BPHE (brazed plate heat exchanger) using TiO₂-water nanofluid. Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science. 2016;74(74):11-18. DOI: 10.1016/j.expthermflusci.2015.11.018
[151] Arulprakasajothi M, Elangovan K, HemaChandra Reddy K, Suresh S. Heat transfer study of water-based nanofluids containing titanium oxide nanoparticles. Materials Today. 2015;2:3648-3655. DOI: 10.1016/j.matpr.2015.07.123

[152] Krishnam M, Bose S, Das C. Boron nitride (BN) nanofluids as cooling agent in thermal management system (TMS). Applied Thermal Engineering. 2016;106:951-958. DOI: 10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2016.06.099

[153] Pang C, Jung J-Y, Lee JW, Kang YT. Thermal conductivity measurement of methanol-based nanofluids with Al2O3 and SiO2 nanoparticles. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 2012;55(21-22):5597-5602. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2012.05.048

[154] Mohan M, Thomas S, Taha-Tijerina J, Narayanan TN, Sobhan CB, Ajayan PM. Heat transfer studies in thermally conducting and electrically insulating nano-oils in a natural circulation loop. In: ASME International Mechanical Engineering Congress & Exposition (IMECE 2013). Volume 6B: Energy ASME; 2013, IMECE 2013-64285. V06BT07A040. DOI: 10.1115/IMECE2013-64285

[155] Manna O, Singh SK, Paul G. Enhanced thermal conductivity of nano-SiC dispersed water based nanofluid. Bulletin of Materials Science. 2012;35(5):707-712. DOI: 10.1007/s12034-012-0366-7

[156] Timofeeva EV, Yu W, France DM, Singh D, Routbort JL. Base fluid and temperature effects on the heat transfer characteristics of SiC in ethylene glycol/H2O and H2O nanofluids. Journal of Applied Physics. 2011;109(1):14914. DOI: 10.1063/1.3524274

[157] Ferrouillat S, Bontemps A, Ribeiro JP, Gruss JA, Soriano O. Hydraulic and heat transfer study of SiO2/water nanofluids in horizontal tubes with imposed wall temperature boundary conditions. International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow. 2011;32(2):424-439. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatfluidflow.2011.01.003

[158] Lee SW, Park SD, Kang S, Bang IC, Kim JH. Investigation of viscosity and thermal conductivity of SiC nanofluids for heat transfer applications. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 2011;54(1-3):433-438. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2010.09.026

[159] Li X, Zou C. Thermo-physical properties of water and ethylene glycol mixture based SiC nanofluids: An experimental investigation. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 2016;101:412-417. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2016.05.089

[160] Nasiri A, Shariyat-Niasar M, Rashidi A, Amrollahi A, Khodafarin R. Effect of dispersion method on thermal conductivity and stability of nanofluid. Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science. 2011;35(4):717-723. DOI: 10.1016/j.expthermflusci.2011.01.006

[161] Nikkhah V, Sarafriz MM, Hormozi F, Peyghambarzadeh SM. Particulate fouling of CuO-water nanofluid at isothermal diffusive condition inside the conventional heat exchanger-experimental and modeling. Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science. 2014;60:83-95. DOI: 10.1016/j.expthermflusci.2014.08.009

[162] Taha-Tijerina J, Venkataramani D, Aichele CP, Tiwary CS, Smay JE, Mathkar A, Chang P, Ajayan PM. Quantification of the particle size and stability of graphene oxide in a
variety of solvents. Particle and Particle Systems Characterization. 2015;32(3):334-339. DOI: 10.1002/ppsc.201400099

[163] Yang L, Du K, Zhang X. A theoretical investigation of thermal conductivity of nanofluids with particles in cylindrical shape by anisotropy analysis. Powder Technology. 2017; 314:328-338. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2016.09.032

[164] Elis Josna Mary E, Suganthi KS, Manikandan S, Anusha N, Rajan KS. Cerium oxide-ethylene glycol nanofluids with improved transport properties: Preparation and elucidation of mechanism. Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers. 2015;49:183-191. DOI: 10.1016/j.jtice.2014.10.027

[165] Timofeeva EV, Routbort JL, Singh D. Particle shape effects on thermophysical properties of alumina nanofluids. Journal of Applied Physics. 2009;106(1):14304. DOI: 10.1063/1.3155999

[166] Gharagozloo PE, Goodson KE. Aggregate fractal dimensions and thermal conduction in nanofluids. Journal of Applied Physics. 2010;108(7):74309. DOI: 10.1063/1.3481423

[167] Shima PD, Philip J, Raj B. Role of microconvection induced by Brownian motion of nanoparticles in the enhanced thermal conductivity of stable nanofluids. Appl Phys Lett. 2009;94(22):223101. DOI: 10.1063/1.3147855

[168] Yu W, Xie H, Chen L, Li Y. Investigation of thermal conductivity and viscosity of ethylene glycol based ZnO nanofluid. Thermochimica Acta. 2009;491(1-2):92-96. DOI: 10.1016/j.tca.2009.03.007

[169] Yu W, Xie H, Chen L, Li Y. Enhancement of thermal conductivity of kerosene-based Fe₃O₄ nanofluids prepared via phase-transfer method. Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects. 2010;355(1-3, 113):109. DOI: 10.1016/j.colsurfa.2009.11.044

[170] Xie H, Chen L. Review on the preparation and thermal performances of carbon nanotube contained nanofluids. Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data. 2011;56(4):1030-1041. DOI: 10.1021/je101026j

[171] Gulzar M, Masjuki H, Varman M, Kalam M, Mufti RA, Zulkifli N, Yunus R, Zahid R. Improving the AW/EP ability of chemically modified palm oil by adding CuO and MoS₂ nanoparticles. Tribology International. 2015;88:271-279. DOI: 10.1016/j.triboint.2015.03.035

[172] Utomo AT, Poth H, Robbins PT, Pacek AW. Experimental and theoretical studies of thermal conductivity, viscosity and heat transfer coefficient of titania and alumina nanofluids. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 2012;55(25-26):7772-7781. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2012.08.003

[173] Khairul MA, Shah K, Dorooodchi E, Azizian R, Moghtaderi B. Effects of surfactant on stability and thermo-physical properties of metal oxide nanofluids. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 2016;98:778-787. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2016.03.079
[174] Findenegg GH, Pasucha B, Strunk H. Adsorption of non-ionic surfactants from aqueous solutions on graphite: Adsorption isotherms and calorimetric enthalpies of displacement for C8E4 and related compounds. Colloids and Surfaces. 1989;37:223-233. DOI: 10.1016/0166-6622(89)80121-0

[175] Saleh R, Putra N, Wirbowo RE, Septiadi WN, Prakoso SP. Titanium dioxide nanofluids for heat transfer applications. Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science. 2014;52:19-29. DOI: 10.1016/j.expthermflusci.2013.08.018

[176] Chen W, Zou C, Li X, Li L. Experimental investigation of SiC nanofluids for solar distillation system: Stability, optical properties and thermal conductivity with saline water-based fluid. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 2017;107:264-270. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2016.11.048

[177] Nguyen CT, Desgranges F, Roy G, Galanis N, Maré T, Boucher S, Angue Mintsa H. Temperature and particle-size dependent viscosity data for water-based nanofluids—Hysteresis phenomenon. International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow. 2007;28(6):1492-1506. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatfluidflow.2007.02.004

[178] Yiamsawas T, Mahian O, Dalkilic AS, Kaewnai S, Wongwises S. Experimental studies on the viscosity of TiO2 and Al2O3 nanoparticles suspended in a mixture of ethylene glycol and water for high temperature applications. Applied Energy. 2013;111:40-45. DOI: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.04.068

[179] Li H, Wang L, He Y, Hu Y, Zhu J, Jiang B. Experimental investigation of thermal conductivity and viscosity of ethylene glycol based ZnO nanofluids. Applied Thermal Engineering. 2014;88:363-368. DOI: 10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2014.10.071

[180] Attari H, Derakhshanfard F, Darvanjooghi MHK. Effect of temperature and mass fraction on viscosity of crude oil-based nanofluids containing oxide nanoparticles. International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer. 2017;82:103-113. DOI: 10.1016/j.icheatmasstransfer.2017.02.007

[181] Jeong J, Li C, Kwon Y, Lee J, Kim SH, Yun R. Particle shape effect on the viscosity and thermal conductivity of ZnO nanofluids. International Journal of Refrigeration. 2013;36(8):2233-2241. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijrefrig.2013.07.024

[182] Williams W, Buongiorno J, Hu L-W. Experimental investigation of turbulent convective heat transfer and pressure loss of alumina/water and zirconia/water nanoparticle colloids (nanofluids) in horizontal tubes. Journal of Heat Transfer. 2008;130(4):42412. DOI: 10.1115/1.2818775

[183] Bhattacharya P, Nara S, Vijayan P, Tang T, Lai W, Phelan PE, Prasher RS, Song DW, Wang J. Characterization of the temperature oscillation technique to measure the thermal conductivity of fluids. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 2006;49(17-18):2950-2956. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2006.02.023

[184] Jyothirmayee Aravind SS, Ramaprabhu S. Surfactant free graphene nanosheets based nanofluids by in-situ reduction of alkaline graphite oxide suspensions. Journal of Applied Physics. 2011;110(12):124326. DOI: 10.1063/1.3671613
[185] Paul G, Philip J, Raj B, Das PK, Manna I. Synthesis, characterization, and thermal property measurement of nano-Al95Zn05 dispersed nanofluid prepared by a two-step process. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 2011;54(15-16):3783-3788. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2011.02.044

[186] Kalidasan K, Velkenneyed R, Rajesh Kanna P. Natural convection heat transfer enhancement using nanofluid and time-variant temperature on the square enclosure with diagonally constructed twin adiabatic blocks. Applied Thermal Engineering. 2016;92(92):219-235. DOI: 10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2015.09.077

[187] Wang L, Shi B, Chai Z. Effects of temperature-dependent properties on natural convection of nanofluids in a partially heated cubic enclosure. Applied Thermal Engineering. 2017;128:204-213. DOI: 10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2017.09.006

[188] Kuznetsov AV, Nield DA. Natural convective boundary-layer flow of a nanofluid past a vertical plate. International Journal of Thermal Sciences. 2010;49(2):243-247. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2009.07.015

[189] Michaelides EE. Transport properties of nanofluids. A critical review. Journal of Non-Equilibrium Thermodynamics. 2013;38(1):1-79. DOI: 10.1515/jnetdy-2012-0023

[190] Lee S, Choi SU-S, Li S, Eastman JA. Measuring thermal conductivity of fluids containing oxide nanoparticles. Journal of Heat Transfer. 1999;121(2):280. DOI: 10.1115/1.2825978

[191] Jha N, Ramaprabhu S. Thermal conductivity studies of metal dispersed multiwalled carbon nanotubes in water and ethylene glycol based nanofluids. Journal of Applied Physics. 2009;106(8):84317. DOI: 10.1063/1.3240307

[192] Wen D, Ding Y. Effective thermal conductivity of aqueous suspensions of carbon nanotubes (carbon nanotube nanofluids). Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer. 2004;18(4):481-485. DOI: 10.2514/1.9934

[193] Henderson JR, van Swol F. On the interface between a fluid and a planar wall. Molecular Physics. 2007;51(4):991-1010. DOI: 10.1080/00268978400100651

[194] Murshed SMS, Leong KC, Yang C. Investigations of thermal conductivity and viscosity of nanofluids. International Journal of Thermal Sciences. 2008;47(5):560-588. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2007.05.004

[195] Rana P, Dhanai R, Kumar L. MHD slip flow and heat transfer of Al2O3-water nanofluid over a horizontal shrinking cylinder using Buongiorno’s model: Effect of nanolayer and nanoparticle diameter. Advanced Powder Technology. 2017;28(7):1727-1738. DOI: 10.1016/j.apt.2017.04.010

[196] Du K. Self-assembly of nanoparticles at liquid-liquid interfaces [PhD thesis]. 2010

[197] Saterlie M, Sahin H, Kavlicoglu B, Liu Y, Graeve O. Particle size effects in the thermal conductivity enhancement of copper-based nanofluids. Nanoscale Research Letters. 2011;6(1):217. DOI: 10.1186/1556-276X-6-217
[198] Ding Y, Chen H, Wang L, Yang C-Y, He Y, Yang W, Lee WP, Zhang L, Huo R. Heat transfer intensification using nanofluids. KONA Powder and Particle Journal. 2007;25:23-38. DOI: 10.14356/kona.2007006

[199] Li L, Zhang Y, Ma H, Yang M. Molecular dynamics simulation of effect of liquid layering around the nanoparticle on the enhanced thermal conductivity of nanofluids. Journal of Nanoparticle Research. 2009;12(3):811-821. DOI: 10.1007/s11051-009-9728-5

[200] Yu C-J, Richter AG, Datta A, Durbin MK, Dutta P. Observation of molecular layering in thin liquid films using X-ray reflectivity. Physical Review Letters. 1999;82(11):2326-2329. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.2326

[201] Ren Y, Xie H, Cai A. Effective thermal conductivity of nanofluids containing spherical nanoparticles. Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics. 2005;38(21):3958-3961. DOI: 10.1088/0022-3727/38/21/019

[202] Duangthongsuk W, Wongwises S. Measurement of temperature-dependent thermal conductivity and viscosity of TiO$_2$-water nanofluids. Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science. 2009;33(4):706-714. DOI: 10.1016/j.expthermflusci.2009.01.005

[203] Wang B, Wang X, Lou W, Hao J. Thermal conductivity and rheological properties of graphite/oil nanofluids. Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects. 2012;414:125-131. DOI: 10.1016/j.colsurfa.2012.08.008

[204] Kole M, Dey TK. Investigation of thermal conductivity, viscosity, and electrical conductivity of graphene based nanofluids. Journal of Applied Physics. 2013;113(8):84307. DOI: 10.1063/1.4793581

[205] Botha SS, Ndungu P, Bladergroen BJ. Physicochemical properties of oil-based nanofluids containing hybrid structures of silver nanoparticles supported on silica. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research. 2011;50(6):3071-3077. DOI: 10.1021/ie101088x

[206] Yan X, Jiang Y, Jiang M, Hu Z, Hong H. ZnO Nanorod based nanofluids. Journal of Nanofluids. 2013;2(1):63-68. DOI: 10.1016/j.cossms.2014.02.002

[207] Hamid KA, Azmi WH, Nabil MF, Mamat R, Sharma KV. Experimental investigation of thermal conductivity and dynamic viscosity on nanoparticle mixture ratios of TiO$_2$-SiO$_2$ nanofluids. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 2018;116:1143-1152. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2017.09.087

[208] Glory J, Bonetti M, Helezen M, Mayne-L’Hermite M, Reynaud C. Thermal and electrical conductivities of water-based nanofluids prepared with long multiwalled carbon nanotubes. Journal of Applied Physics. 2008;103(9):94309. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2908229

[209] Wang X, Xu XS, Choi SU. Thermal conductivity of nanoparticle-fluid mixture. Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer. 1999;13(4):474-480. DOI: 10.2514/2.6486

[210] Challoner AR, Powell RW. Thermal conductivities of liquids: New determinations for seven liquids and appraisal of existing values. Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Science. 1956;238(1212):90-106. DOI: 10.1098/rspa.1956.0205
[211] Kurt H, Kayfeci M. Prediction of thermal conductivity of ethylene glycol–water solutions by using artificial neural networks. Applied Energy. 2009;86(10):2244-2248. DOI: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2008.12.020

[212] Santucci A, Verdini L, Verdini PG. Data-acquisition system for measurement of thermal diffusivity and propagation properties of thermal waves by a non-steady-state method. Review of Scientific Instruments. 1986;57(8):1627. DOI: 10.1063/1.1138541

[213] Czarnetzki W, Roetzel W. Temperature oscillation techniques for simultaneous measurement of thermal diffusivity and conductivity. International Journal of Thermophysics. 1995;16(2):413-422. DOI: 10.1007/BF01441907

[214] Cahill DG. Thermal conductivity measurement from 30 to 750 K: The 3ω method. The Review of Scientific Instruments. 1990;61(2):802. DOI: 10.1063/1.1141498

[215] Oh D-W, Jain A, Eaton JK, Goodson KE, Lee JS. Thermal conductivity measurement and sedimentation detection of aluminum oxide nanofluids by using the 3ω method. International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow. 2008;29(5):1456-1461. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatfluidflow.2008.04.007

[216] Wang H, Sen M. Analysis of the 3-omega method for thermal conductivity measurement. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 2009;52(7-8):2102-2109. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2008.10.020

[217] Eastman JA, Choi SUS, Li S, Yu W, Thompson LJ. Anomalously increased effective thermal conductivities of ethylene glycol-based nanofluids containing copper nanoparticles. Applied Physics Letters. 2001;78(6):718. DOI: 10.1063/1.1341218

[218] Choi SUS, Zhang ZG, Yu W, Lockwood FE, Grulke EA. Anomalous thermal conductivity enhancement in nanotube suspensions. Applied Physics Letters. 2001;79(14):2252. DOI: 10.1063/1.1408272

[219] Assael MJ, Chen C-F, Metaxa I, Wakeham WA. Thermal conductivity of suspensions of carbon nanotubes in water. International Journal of Thermophysics. 2004;25(4):971-985. DOI: 10.1023/B:IJOT.000038494.22494.04

[220] Ding Y, Alias H, Wen D, Williams RA. Heat transfer of aqueous suspensions of carbon nanotubes (CNT nanofluids). International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 2006;49(1-2):240-250. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2005.07.009

[221] Xie H, Lee H, Youn W, Choi M. Nanofluids containing multiwalled carbon nanotubes and their enhanced thermal conductivities. Journal of Applied Physics. 2003;94(8):4967. DOI: 10.1063/1.1613374

[222] Branson BT, Beauchamp PS, Beam JC, Lukehart CM, Davidson JL. Nanodiamond nanofluids for enhanced thermal conductivity. ACS Nano. 2013;7(4):3183-3189. DOI: 10.1021/nm305664x

[223] Kong D, Yang H, Yang Y, Wei S, Wang J, Cheng B. Dispersion behavior and stabilization mechanism of alumina powders in silica sol. Materials Letters. 2004;58(27-28):3503-3508. DOI: 10.1016/j.matlet.2004.06.060
[224] Yiamsawasd T, Dalkilic AS, Wongwises S. Measurement of the thermal conductivity of titania and alumina nanofluids. Thermochimica Acta. 2012;545:48-56. DOI: 10.1016/j.tca.2012.06.026

[225] Serebryakova MA, Dimov SV, Bardakhanov SP, Novopashin SA. Thermal conductivity, viscosity and rheology of a suspension based on Al$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles and mixture of 90% ethylene glycol and 10% water. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 2015;83:187-191. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2014.12.002

[226] Mariano A, Pastoriza-Gallego MJ, Lugo L, Mussari L, Piñeiro MM. Co$_3$O$_4$ ethylene glycol-based nanofluids: Thermal conductivity, viscosity and high pressure density. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 2015;85:54-60. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2015.01.061

[227] Hu P, Shan W-L, Yu F, Chen Z-S. Thermal conductivity of AlN–ethanol nanofluids. International Journal of Thermophysics. 2008;29(6):1968-1973. DOI: 10.1007/s10765-008-0529-3

[228] Yu W, Xie H, Li Y, Chen L. Experimental investigation on thermal conductivity and viscosity of aluminum nitride nanofluid. Particuology. 2011;9(2):187-191. DOI: 10.1016/j.partic.2010.05.014

[229] Yu W, Xie H, Chen W. Experimental investigation on thermal conductivity of nanofluids containing graphene oxide nanosheets. Journal of Applied Physics. 2010;107(9):94317. DOI: 10.1063/1.3372733

[230] Shaikh S, Lafdi K, Ponnappan R. Thermal conductivity improvement in carbon nanoparticle doped PAO oil: An experimental study. Journal of Applied Physics. 2007;101(6):64302. DOI: 10.1063/1.2710337

[231] Yu W, Xie H, Chen L, Zhu Z, Zhao J, Zhang Z. Graphene based silicone thermal greases. Physics Letters A. 2014;378(3):207-211. DOI: 10.1016/j.physleta.2013.10.017

[232] Aravind SSJ, Ramaprabhu S. Graphene–multiwalled carbon nanotube-based nanofluids for improved heat dissipation. RSC Advances. 2013;3(13):4199. DOI: 10.1039/c3ra22653k

[233] Zhang W, Zhou M, Zhu H, Tian Y, Wang K, Wei J, Ji F, Li X, Li Z, Zhang P, Wu D. Tribological properties of oleic acid-modified graphene as lubricant oil additives. Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics. 2011;44(20):205303. DOI: 10.1088/0022-3727/44/20/205303

[234] Baby TT, Ramaprabhu S. Enhanced convective heat transfer using graphene dispersed nanofluids. Nanoscale Research Letters. 2011;6(1):289. DOI: 10.1186/1556-276X-6-289

[235] Sadeghinezhad E, Mehrali M, Saidur R, Mehrali M, Tahan Latibari S, Akhiani AR, Metselaar HSC. A comprehensive review on graphene nanofluids: Recent research, development and applications. Energy Conversion and Management. 2016;111:466-487. DOI: 10.1016/j.enconman.2016.01.004

[236] Hadadian M, Goharshadi EK, Youssefi A. Electrical conductivity, thermal conductivity, and rheological properties of graphene oxide-based nanofluids. Journal of Nanoparticle Research. 2014;16(12):2788. DOI: 10.1007/s11051-014-2788-1
[237] Yu W, Xie H, Bao D. Enhanced thermal conductivities of nanofluids containing graphene oxide nanosheets. Nanotechnology. 2010;21(5):55705. DOI: 10.1088/0957-4484/21/5/055705

[238] Yu W, Xie H, Wang X, Wang X. Significant thermal conductivity enhancement for nanofluids containing graphene nanosheets. Physics Letters A. 2011;375(10):1323-1328. DOI: 10.1016/j.physleta.2011.01.040

[239] Patel HE, Sundararajan T, Pradeep T, Dasgupta A, Dasgupta N, Das SK. A micro-convection model for thermal conductivity of nanofluids. Pramana. 2005;65(5):863-869. DOI: 10.1007/BF02704086

[240] Kumar DH, Patel HE, VRR K, Sundararajan T, Pradeep T, Das SK. Model for heat conduction in nanofluids. Physical Review Letters. 2004;93(14):144301. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.144301

[241] Venkata Sastry NN, Bhunia A, Sundararajan T, Das SK. Predicting the effective thermal conductivity of carbon nanotube based nanofluids. Nanotechnology. 2008;19(5):55704. DOI: 10.1088/0957-4484/19/05/055704

[242] Wang X-Q, Mujumdar AS. Heat transfer characteristics of nanofluids: A review. International Journal of Thermal Sciences. 2007;46(1):1-19. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2006.06.010

[243] Keblinski P, Phillpot S, Choi SU, Eastman J. Mechanisms of heat flow in suspensions of nano-sized particles (nanofluids). International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 2002;45(4):855-863

[244] Choi C, Yoo HS, Oh JM. Preparation and heat transfer properties of nanoparticle-in-transformer oil dispersions as advanced energy-efficient coolants. Current Applied Physics. 2008;8(6):710-712. DOI: 10.1016/j.cap.2007.04.060

[245] Xuan Y, Li Q. Heat transfer enhancement of nanofluids. International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow. 2000;21(1):58-64. DOI: 10.1016/S0142-727X(99)00067-3

[246] Putra N, Roetzel W, Das SK. Natural convection of nano-fluids. Heat and Mass Transfer. 2002;39(8-9):775-784. DOI: 10.1007/s00231-002-0382-z

[247] Wen D, Ding Y. Experimental investigation into convective heat transfer of nanofluids at the entrance region under laminar flow conditions. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. 2004;47(24):5181-5188. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2004.07.012

[248] Lee D, Kim J-W, Kim BG. A new parameter to control heat transport in nanofluids: Surface charge state of the particle in suspension. Journal of Physical Chemistry B. 2006;110(9):4323-4328. DOI: 10.1021/jp057225m

[249] Walvekar R, Faris IA, Khalid M. Thermal conductivity of carbon nanotube nanofluid—experimental and theoretical study. Heat Transfer Research. 2012;41(2):145-163. DOI: 10.1002/htj.20405