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Abstract

This study focuses on the role of institutional factors as well as financial development in renewable energy transition in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region over the period 1990-2018 using the ARDL PMG method. The investigation of long-run and short-run analysis confirms that institutional and political factors play a key role in promoting the transition to renewable energy, and shows that improving these factors can lead to decarbonization of the energy sector in the long run. Another important finding is that global financial development does not have a significant effect on the transition process in the long run, implying that the whole financial system needs a fundamental structural change to accelerate the substitution between polluting and clean energies. However, in the short term, the impact appears to be negative and significant, highlighting the inadequacy of financial institutions and financial markets in promoting the region's sustainable path. Moreover, income drives the transition to renewable energy in both short and long term.

The causality results show that both financial development and institutional quality lead to renewable energy transition, while there is a bidirectional link between income and renewable energy.

This study can provide a very useful recommendation to promote a clean transition in the MENA region.

1. Introduction

In recent centuries, climate change and the massive exploitation of resources have become the focus of public attention worldwide. These phenomena are exerting increasing pressure on ecosystems and human beings. In fact, high consumption of hydrocarbons drives greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, which are the main cause of global warming [Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 2014]. According to the latest report of the Renewable Energy Policy Network for the 21st Century (REN 21, 2021), energy demand is characterized by the dominance of fossil fuels as the main source of GHGs.

It is therefore clear that the transition to a low-carbon mode of production is necessary. More specifically, decarbonizing the economy through the transition to clean energy is an attractive option. This strategy can help mitigate the negative effects of climate change by reducing carbon emissions (CO₂) into the atmosphere. It also enables to strengthen economic development as it helps to protect the economy from energy poverty and improve access to electricity (Sardorsky, 2021).

The growing global interest in the energy transition has been exacerbated by international negotiations on climate change. The 2015 Paris Agreement was a notable point in these international consensuses. Article 2 of the universal legal climate agreement (adopted by the 21st Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change COP 21) emphasizes the urgency of reducing global warming to "well below 2°C", a 4°C decrease from the current situation. Achieving this global goal will require significant international efforts.
In general, the energy transition is considered as a gradual substitution between two types of energy, where the cumulative effect of some measures led to important results after a certain time. Therefore, the energy system to be introduced cannot be radically and suddenly transformed. Energy transitions take time, sometimes centuries, as shown by the experience of switching from wood to coal or from coal to oil. The slow pace of the transition process is also justified by the scale and complexity involved in major conversions, and by the tendency for new systems to face "lock-in" or "path dependency" from existing systems (Sovacool, 2016).

The report of International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA, 2018) also states that even if an energy transition is technically feasible and economically beneficial, it will not automatically be realized. Therefore, there is an urgent need for policymakers to take action to move from the current energy system to a more sustainable path.

Although developed countries are leading the way in converting their energy systems to a sustainable development path, this strategy has spread around the world. Developing countries have also joined this initiative. In practice, the speed of the energy transition for these countries is leisurely and time-consuming.

This is not surprising as this time delay mainly due to the lack of financial resources to promote innovation, persistent corruption, political instability in some countries and also easy access to some types of fossil fuels.

This situation, characteristic of developing countries, can be illustrated by the example of the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region. This group of countries is considered a promising region for the energy transition, as it has significant renewable energy potential (Aghahosseini et al., 2020).

This is an advantage in addressing the ongoing challenges and is also a means of protecting against GHG emissions (Bhutto et al., 2014). However, according to IRENA (2019), renewable energy accounts for only 6% of the installed electricity capacity in the region.

More specifically, the MENA region is rich in fossil fuels and is considered the world's largest supplier of oil and gas (Saidi et al.2020). For oil importing-countries in this region, reducing oil imports is an important issue to cope with threats associated with price volatility, as the instability of oil prices negatively affects their economic performance. Therefore, the transition to clean energy will reduce the oil dependence of non-exporting countries (Atalay et al., 2016). It can also provide an alternative source of electricity, especially as MENA countries have experienced rapid population growth, increasing demand for electricity and limited investment in new generation capacity in recent years (Saidi et al., 2020).

However, the MENA region has been witnessed a political movement referred to as "Arab Spring". These riots refer to a series of anti-government protests, pro-democracy uprisings and armed rebellions against the existing political regimes in several MENA countries. It is worth noting that these movements began in Tunisia in early 2011 and quickly spread to other Arab countries such as Egypt, Libya, Syria, etc. The results of these revolutions have led to political changes. In Tunisia, for example, there was a change of
government in 2014, the creation of a new legal framework and the introduction of new policies and new regulations.

It is important to note that these movements still have an impact on the overall economic situation and affecting institutional quality in MENA countries.

As a result, efforts to achieve renewable energy development goals may come derived in this context. For instance, Mrabet et al. (2021) point out that maintaining political stability is crucial to avoid delays in achieving environmental goals in this region.

The main objective of this article is to analyze the capacity of MENA countries to achieve a low-carbon energy transition and to examine the drivers of this transition. In particular, we focus on the importance and role of good governance, institutional quality and the role of the global financial system in this transition process. To this end, we use the ARDL panel PMG and the Pairwise Dumitrescu–Hurlin panel causality tests for the period 1990 to 2018 for 9 countries in the MENA region.

We strongly believe that the MENA region is an interesting case study to investigate the determinants of the transition to renewable energy. First, this region is intensifying its efforts towards sustainable development. Indeed, the application of sustainable development is becoming increasingly urgent (Ben Cheikh, and Ben Zaied, 2021). The need to apply a sustainable economic model based on environmental protection and clean energy is a strategic objective for this region.

Second, this region has made significant efforts to advance renewable energy. For instance, the IRENA (2019) classifies countries such as Morocco and others as making great efforts to transition to renewable energy despite growing institutional instability.

Third, understanding the relationship between corruption, law and order, bureaucratic quality, democratic accountability, stability of government and green energy is crucial in MENA countries characterized by a high degradations in political and institutional factors.

Fourth, we can consider this study as the first to examine the global impact of the financial development system on the transition to renewable energy in MENA. Compared to the work of Belaid et al. (2021), analyzing the impact of domestic credit to the private sector, this study points to a general index of financial development. This index includes nine indicators that summarize the level of development of financial institutions and markets in terms of depth, access and efficiency. This provides a more reliable assessment of the relationship between financial development and the renewable energy transition.

The rest of the article is organized as follows: Section 2 contains the literature review. Section 3 presents the model specification and data description. Results and discussion are highlighted in Section 4; finally, conclusions and policy implications are drawn in Section 5.

2. Literature Review
The determinants of renewable energy consumption have fueled the debate in the empirical literature as they provide relevant policy recommendations that offer viable solutions to environmental problems and achieve the goals set out in the Paris Climate Agreement (Bourcet, 2020). It should be noted that the causal links between renewable energy and economic growth have been well explained in the economic literature. In fact, there are four relevant hypotheses that design the possible links. The first hypothesis is the growth hypothesis, which assumes a unidirectional cause-effect relationship between renewable energy and economic growth. This explains that the integration of renewable energies into the production process as a complementary factor to labor and capital can directly and indirectly influences economic growth. This hypothesis is supported by several works such as Dogan (2015), and Gozgor (2018).

The second hypothesis is the conservation hypothesis, which proves the existence of unidirectional causality between economic growth and renewable energy consumption. This means that economic growth has a direct effect on renewable energy consumption. This link may even be positive, i.e. economic growth may promote the renewable energy development. On the other hand, it is possible that the effect is negative due to a lack of developed infrastructure or good resource management, a growing economy encounters inefficiencies, and a decrease in demand for goods and services, including energy consumption. This hypothesis is tested in the following paper: Sardosky (2009), and Tugcu and Tiwari (2016).

As for the third hypothesis, it supports the bidirectional direction between the two variables. This relationship is confirmed in the following work: Kahia et al. (2017), Eren et al (2019), and Acheampong et al. (2021).

The last assumption supports neutrality between economic growth and renewable energy. This implies that the consumption of renewable energy has no effect on economic growth, and moves towards it. In other words, energy policy (expansionary or conservative) has no impact on economic growth, and this is equivalent for economic policy.

This hypothesis is supported by the work of, Menegaki (2011), Ben Jebli and Ben Youssef (2015), and Bhattacharya et al. (2016).

On the other hand, Sadorsky (2009) shows that economic growth and environmental factors are the main drivers of renewable energy demand in G7 countries over the period 1980-2005. Also, Apergis and Payne (2010), Salim and Rafiq (2012) and Silva et al. (2018) investigated this relationship in different samples, with different time periods and econometric methods to focus on the role of economic growth in the renewable energy diffusion.

In fact, the relationship between economic growth and renewable energy is critical, however there is a growing body of empirical work that focuses on the respective roles of institutional quality, good governance, and financial development in accelerating the clean energy transition.

2.1 The influence of financial development on the transition to renewable energies
Financial development has garnered attention in the current economic literature as a key factor on environment quality. In this regard, several articles have explored the impact of financial development on the level of CO₂ emissions. See for example, Shahbaz et al. (2013) for the case of Malaysia; Abbasi and Riaz (2016) for the case of Pakistan; Gokmenoglu et al. (2021) for the case of Turkey; Khan et al. (2021) for the case of 184 countries, etc.

Furthermore, the role of financial development in the diffusion of the renewable energies has triggered a current debate, which stresses the importance of reformulating this system in order to finance sustainable development.

In general, investments in renewable energy projects are associated with high costs related to high start-up costs, long-term debt repayment costs, and ongoing investment costs for research and development (Eren et al., 2019). The role of the financial system in the renewable energy sector is to facilitate lending. Therefore, the growth of renewable energy projects can be associated with the financial development of the country.

Kim and Park (2018) show that the use of the Clean Development Mechanism can play an important role in improving access to financing for renewable energy projects.

They empirically investigated the link between the clean development mechanisms on renewable energy projects based on panel data for 64 countries with different levels of financial development.

They noted the positive effect of these mechanisms on renewable energy deployment in countries with a less developed domestic financial market. They explained this by the difficulties in financing renewable energy projects through debt or equities, especially in less developed financial markets.

According to Best (2017), financial capital stimulates the transition to more capital-intensive types of energy, in the case of renewable energy. Best (2017) draws on a sample of 137 countries over the period 1998-2013, and the results reveal that the transition to more capital-intensive power generation systems is more accessible to countries with large financial capital.

Empirical studies on the relationships between financial development and renewable energy offers an inconclusive results.

For instance, Kim and Park (2016) explored the relationship between financial market development and renewable energy growth in a sample of 30 countries between 2000 and 2013.

These authors found that these effects are generally larger in countries with well-developed financial markets.

Lin et al. (2016) investigated the factors affecting renewable electricity consumption in China from 1980 to 2011 by using the Johansen cointegration technique and the vector error correction model. They found that financial development favors renewable electricity consumption. Ji and Zhang (2019) confirmed this
result for the same case study. They even pointed out that financial development is crucial factor for the
growth of renewable energy in China. It contributes 42.42% to the growth fluctuation of renewable energy,
knowing that the capital market is the most important factor in this context.

Le et al. (2020) also studied this relationship using panel data from 55 countries for the period between
2005 and 2014. The conclusion of this study is similar to that of Kim and Park (2016). In particular, they
showed that financial development is substantial for the development of the renewable energy sector in
high-income countries. In contrast, this impact seems to be insignificant for low and middle income
countries.

On the other hand, Raza et al. (2020) investigated the non-linear relationship between financial
development and renewable energy consumption in major renewable energy consuming countries using
the Panel Smooth Transition Regression (PSTR) model with two regimes over the period 1997-2017. The
results suggest that all financial development indicators improve renewable energy penetration, but this
influence is heterogeneous.

Qamruzzaman, Jianguo (2020) analyze the effect of financial development on renewable energy
consumption by applying a nonlinear distributed autoregressive panel estimation method from 1990 to
2017. The positive shock effect of renewable energy has a positive long-run impact, so the effect of a
negative shock has a positive impact, except in the case of the upper-middle income panel. The results
long-run causality with the System-GMM specification in the error correction model revealed a
bidirectional causality between renewable energy and financial development.

Ankrah and Lin (2020) examined the link between financial development and renewable energy electricity
consumption in Ghana for the period 1980-2015 using Vector Error Correction Model. They found that
financial development has a negative and significant effect on renewable electricity consumption.

The other hand, Alsagr and Hemmen (2021) examined this link in the case of emerging economies for the
period between 1996 and 2015 via the two-step system GMM. The result shows that that financial
development drives renewable energy consumption.

As well, Shahbaz et al. (2021) analyzed the impact of financial development on renewable energy
transition for a sample of 34 developing countries during the period 1994 to 2015 using a Fully-Modified
OLS (FMOLS) approach. The empirical results show that financial development increases the demand for
renewable energy. For their part Belaid et al. (2021) confirmed the importance of financial development in
enhancing the green energy production in the context of MENA countries.

Likewise, Lahiani et al. (2021) examined the influence of financial development on renewable energy
consumption in the United States from 1975Q1 to 2019Q4 using the nonlinear ARDL model. The
specificity of this work is the use of three measures of financial development, namely the global financial
development index, the banking financial development index, and the stock market financial development
index. The global index and stock market index have a significant short-term impact on renewable energy consumption. On the contrary, the banking index has no impact on renewable energy consumption.

2.2. The influence of political and institutional factors on renewable energy transition

Indeed, recent studies highlight the important role of institutional and political factors on economic growth (see Saidi et al., 2019 for MENA countries), and on environment quality (see Abid, 2017; Ali et al., 2019; Mahmoud et al., 2021), although, there are few studies that examine the impact of institutional and political variables on renewable energy consumption (Uzar, 2020).

Some studies focused on the MENA countries. For instance Bellakhal et al. (2019) studied the link between governance, trade openness, and renewable energy investment in the 15 MENA countries using panel data between 1996-2013. Their results show that high institutional quality is associated with renewable energy investment. Moreover, this relationship seems to depend on trade governance. Indeed, poor governance in relatively open economies is less detrimental to renewable energy investment. Similarly, trade has a stronger positive effect on renewable energy investment between countries with poor institutions than between countries with good institutions. More recently, Belaid et al. (2021) examined the determinants of renewable energy production for 9 MENA countries over the period 1984-2014, using a panel quantile regression model with a non-additive fixed effect. The results show that the effect of political stability is heterogeneous and confirm that political stability favors investment in the renewable energy sector.

On the other hand, some other studies adopted different data sample. For instance, Cardoret and Padovano (2016) analyzed the relationship between political factors and renewable energy deployment in a sample of European countries with a target of 20% renewable energy in the energy mix by 2020. The results show that industry lobbying hinders the diffusion of renewable energy. Another important finding from this work is that the quality of government positively influences the transition to renewable energy. Mehara et al. (2015) investigated the key determinants of renewable energy transition in Economic Cooperation Organization (ECO) countries over the period 1992-2011 using Bayesian Model Averaging (BMA) and Weighted-Average Least Square (WALS). The results show that improvement in institutional quality can lead to improvement in renewable energy penetration in this context.

Moreover, Wu and Broadstock (2015) explored the possible relationships between financial development, institutional quality and renewable energy consumption. They selected a sample of 22 emerging economies for the period 1990-2010 using dynamic panel model estimation techniques. The results show that financial development and institutional quality have a positive influence on renewable energy consumption. On the other hand, Chang and Wang (2017) suggest the establishment of an institutional framework in China that can facilitate relevant laws and legislative proposals to define a legal perspective for better development of marine renewable energy. The authors conclude that the Chinese government should optimize the administrative system, strengthen financial regulations such as taxation, and focus on sustainable development.

In addition, Uzar (2020) analyzed the relationship between renewable energy and institutional quality
institutional quality in 38 countries from 1990 to 2015 using the method ARDL-PMG. Economic growth and CO₂ emissions are included as control variables in the model. The results of this study suggest that institutional quality positively affects renewable energy consumption in the long run. Moreover, CO₂ emissions are a positive and significant determinant of renewable energy consumption. However, economic growth has a negative impact on renewable energy. In this context, institutional quality is an important strategic choice to promote renewable energy use and solve environmental problems.

3. The Study Method

3.1. Data description

This empirical analysis is based on annual series covering the period from 1990 to 2018 for 9 MENA countries (Tunisia, Morocco, Algeria, Iran, Turkey, Lebanon, Sudan, Egypt and Jordan). The selection is limited due to the unavailability of some data. The dataset includes the variables of renewable energy consumption, institutional quality, economic growth, and financial development.

Clean energy is measured by total renewable energy consumption in kilotons equivalent (Ktoe). Institutional quality is composed of five indicators measured using principal component analysis (PCA) in log. According to Uzar (2020), this variable includes corruption, law and order, bureaucratic quality, democratic accountability and stability of government. This new measure provides more information on institutional quality and political factors. Indeed, the aggregate use of the different measures gives a more accurate effect than an isolated variable. On the other hand, financial development in our study is measured by the overall financial development index published by the International Monetary Fund (IMF) (Svirydzenka, 2017). This index includes nine indices that reflect the depth, access and efficiency of development of both financial institutions and markets. This measure is better able to capture the full development of the financial sector. Data on GDP per capita (constant 2010 US$) come from the World Bank's World Development Indicators. This variable measures the economic level of the country.

Table 1 illustrates the descriptive statistics for the natural logarithm form of institutional quality, financial development income and renewable energy in MENA countries from 1990 to 2018. All variables used in our model are negatively skewed except for income, meaning that they have longer right tails than a normal distribution. Moreover, all variables have a Platykurtich distribution, as their kurtosis is lower than that of a normal distribution. Finally, the Jarque-Bera test for normality shows that only income and institutional quality are normally distributed.
### Table 1
Descriptive statistics

|        | LnRE  | lnIQ   | lnGDP  | lnFD   |
|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| Mean   | 6.345 | 0.128  | 24.815 | -1.401 |
| Maximum| 8.948 | 2.547  | 27.587 | -0.528 |
| Minimum| 1.791 | -2.821 | 21.766 | -2.986 |
| Std. dev.| 1.77  | 0.896  | 1.265  | 0.545  |
| Skewness| -0.209| -0.177 | 0.150  | -0.733 |
| Kurtosis| 2.120 | 2.663  | 2.524  | 2.974  |
| Jarque-Bera| 10.323| 2.601  | 3.451  | 23.427 |
|         | [0.005732] | [0.272278] | [0.178074] | [0.000008] |

Note: p-values are in [ ]

### 3.2. Model Specification

In line with the recent literature on the determinants of renewable energy, we develop an empirical model (equation 1) that takes into account the impact of financial development (FD), institutional quality (IQ), and economic growth (GDP) on renewable energy consumption (RE).

\[
RE = f (IQ, FD, GDP)
\]  

(1)

By applying the logarithmic form, the equation (1) can be designated as follows:

\[
\ln RE_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln IQ_{it} + \beta_2 \ln FD_{it} + \beta_3 \ln GDP_{it} + \mu_t
\]

(2)

where \(i\) is the country-specific dimension (i.e. 9 countries), and \(t\) is the estimated time periods (\(t = 1990, 1991, \ldots, 2018\)). \(\mu_t\) represents the error term that is identically and independently distributed (iid \(\sim N(\mu, \sigma)\)).

In this study, we use the ARDL-PMG proposed by Pesaran et al. (1999) to examine the long and short term in the model. For small \(t\) and finite sample sizes, the ARDL model is considered to be the relatively most efficient model (Mongo et al, 2021).

ARDL (\(p, q, \ldots, q\)) model proposed by Pesaran et al. (1999) can be expressed as follows:
Where, $X$ represents the vector independent variables.

Then equation 3 can be written as follow:

$$
\Delta \ln RE_{it} = \delta_i (\ln RE_{i,t-1} - \beta_i X_{it}) \sum_{j=1}^{p} w_{ij} \ln RE_{i,t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \theta_{ij} \Delta X_{i,t-j} + \gamma_i + \varepsilon_{it}
$$

4. Empirical Results And Analysis

4.1. Preliminary tests

The first step in our analysis is to examine cross-country dependence. Indeed, many studies have ignored this crucial step, which may lead to biased results. For this reason, we test for cross-country dependence in our study using the Breusch-Pagan LM (1980) and the Pesaran CD (2004). The use of these two tests is preferred in panel data models for cross-sectional dependence.

Table 2 presents the main results concerning cross-sectional dependence. The null hypothesis for the Breusch-Pagan LM (1980) and Pesaran CD (2004) tests is the absence of cross-sectional dependence. The null hypothesis is rejected for all series at the 1% significance level. This result implies that cross-sectional dependence exists for all series in the model.

|                      | InRE     | InIQ     | InGDP    | InFD     |
|----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Breusch-Pagan LM     | 498.5410 | 391.0708 | 912.2782 | 364.7283 |
|                      | (0.0000) | (0.0000) | (0.0000) | (0.0000) |
| Pesaran CD           | 8.484599 | 18.47772 | 30.17518 | 9.930230 |
|                      | (0.0000) | (0.0000) | (0.0000) | (0.0000) |

Note: p-values are in ()

The next step is to analyze the order of integration. For this we use the first and second generation stationarity tests. Table 3 illustrates the results of the stationarity tests for the first and second generation. We use the first generation tests: the unit root tests of Levin et al. (2002) and Im et al. (2003). The null hypothesis of these two tests is that the series have a unit root. The results of the previous two tests yielded the same results. More specifically, the results of the LLC unit root test and IPS indicate that
the null hypothesis for LnIQ and LnGDP is rejected at the level. However, when these tests are applied at the first difference level, the null hypothesis of non-stationarity is rejected for all models. Therefore, the first generation tests show that lnRE and lnFD are I(1) and lnGDP and LnIQ are I(0). In our case, the presence of cross-sectional dependence in the panel data recommends the use of second generation panel unit root tests. Accordingly, the results obtained with the first generation tests can be considered unreliable. Therefore, we use the second generation CADF unit root test developed by Pesaran (2007). The results of the CADF test prove the same order of integration.

The stationarity results show that all variables are integrated in order or first difference, and none of them is I(2), which allows us to use the ARDL model.

Table 3: Unit root results

| Variables | LLC         | IPS         | CADF        |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|           | Level       | First       | Level       | First       | Level       | First       |
| LnRE      | -0.844      | -7.210*     | 1.553       | -7.159*     | -0.493      | -3.602*     |
| lnIQ      | -3.138*     | -8.860*     | -3.304*     | -9.080*     | -3.112*     | -4.886*     |
| lnGDP     | -1.692**    | -2.474*     | 1.820       | -2.728*     | -3.145*     | -3.850*     |
| lnFD      | -0.77865    | -6.33033*   | -1.00028    | -7.26717*   | -2.027      | -3.698*     |

Note: *, ** show the significance level of 1%, and 5%, respectively.

We then examine the presence of cointegration between variables using the Westerlund panel cointegration test proposed by Westerlund (2007). The advantage of this test is its ability to deal with dependent cross-sectional data. Westerlund's panel cointegration test developed 4 different statistical tests based on the ECM to overcome the weaknesses of the other tests. The group mean tests (Gt and Ga) examine the hypothesis that at least one unit is cointegrated, while the panel tests (Pt and Pa) focus on the alternative hypothesis that the panel is cointegrated.

The results of the cointegration tests are reported in Table 4. The Pt and Pa tests reject the null hypothesis of no cointegration at the 1% significance level. Thus, our result confirms that there is cointegration in at least one unit.

Table 4: Westerlund cointegration test.
### 4.2. ARDL results

We use the Pooled Mean Group (PMG) to estimate the long-run and short-run equilibrium between financial development, institutional quality, income, and renewable energy consumption. Table 5 illustrates the results for the PMG model.

**Table 5: the ARDL analysis**

| Long term equation | Variables | coefficients | Std. Err. | P-value |
|--------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|---------|
|                    | lnIQ      | 0.098*       | 0.030     | 0.001   |
|                    | lnGDP     | 0.343*       | 0.075     | 0.000   |
|                    | lnFD      | -0.227       | 0.260     | 0.381   |

| Short-term equation | Variables | Coefficient | Std. Err. | P-value |
|---------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|---------|
| ECT                 | -0.092*** | 0.0527      | 0.078     |
| LnIQ                | 0.041     | 0.042       | 0.328     |
| lnGDP               | 0.122 **  | 0.122       | 0.044     |
| lnFD                | -0.171*   | 0.070       | 0.015     |
| constant            | -0.285*** | 0.1626      | 0.079     |

Note: *, **, *** show significance level of respectively 1%, 5%, and 10%.

### 4.2.1. Long-run result

In the long run, the results show that institutional quality has a positive and significant impact on the transition to renewable energy. In other words, a 1% increase in institutional quality leads to a 0.098% increase in renewable energy diffusion in MENA countries at a 1% significance level.

Our findings support the argument that the improving in institutional and political factors can console the transition to renewable energy. For example, the development of democracy, control of corruption, political stability, the increase of bureaucratic quality and the establishment of sound laws lead to the consideration of the social demand for an environmental quality and prevent projects with negative environmental impacts. These concerns increase the consumption of renewable energy by motivating the spread of environmentally friendly energy consumption.

According to Mrabet et al. (2021), political stability is an essential condition for sustainable development in the MENA region. Moreover, the increase of conflicts in this region promotes environmental degradation (Usman et al., 2021). Bardi and Hfaiedh (2020) argue that fighting corruption improves environmental quality in MENA countries in the long run.
Similarly, Bellakhal et al. (2019) show that renewable energy investment is associated with high institutional quality in MENA countries over the period 1996-2013. For the same region, our result is at odds with Belaid et al. (2021) who show that the impact of political stability on renewable energy production is heterogeneous over the period 1984-2014.

For other case studies, our results can be classified similarly to those of Wu and Broadstock (2015), who found a positive effect of institutional quality on the use of renewable energy for a panel of 22 developing countries over the period 1990-2010. Uzar (2020) for 38 countries between 1990 and 2015. The second result of this study states that financial development has a negative but not significant effect on renewable energy consumption. Thus, our results suggest that overall financial sector development has no real impact on the promotion of clean energy in the MENA region.

The second result of this study states that financial development has a negative but not significant impact on renewable energy consumption. Thus, our result suggests that overall financial sector development has no real impact on the promotion of clean energy in the MENA region.

This result is not surprising given that the MENA region is characterized by a limited financial system in some countries. Indeed, the degree of progress of these systems varies from country to country. Charfedine and Kahia (2019) pointed out that the contribution of financial institutions to improving environmental quality through a small decrease in CO2 emissions in MENA countries is very low. They explain this result by the inability of the financial sector to improve the renewable energy sector, which is in line with our present result. Thus, this region must Therefore, make efforts to regulate the financial system to have an impact on green energy and green investments.

Our result is consistent with Lei et al. (2021) who found no significant impact of financial development on renewable energy consumption in China.

Our results are in contrast with those of Belaid et al. (2021) who found that domestic credit to the private sector has a positive impact on renewable energy production in the MENA region.

Alsag and Hemmen (2021) for emerging economies from 1996 to 2015. Shahbaz et al. (2021) for 34 developing countries from 1994 to 2015. And Lahiani et al. (2021) for the United States from 1975Q1 to 2019Q4.

In terms of economic growth, the results show that income has a positive impact on renewable energy consumption. Therefore, an increase in income will stimulate the transition to renewable energy in MENA countries. A 1% increase in income led to 0.343% improvement in renewable energy transition at 1% significance level. The results are inconsistent with those of Cardoret and Padovano (2016), Uzar (2021), and Shahbaz et al. (2021).

4.2.2. Short-term result

The short-term dynamics can provide the necessary information on how adjustments are made between the different variables in the model to restore long-term equilibrium.
It was found that the error correction term (ECT) is significant and negative, indicating the existence of a long-run relationship between the variables. This indicates a rapid adjustment towards equilibrium. The rate at which the model adjusts to the long-run equilibrium is 0.092. Thus, the model corrects its state of disequilibrium at an adjustment rate of 0.092 per year when an exogenous shock is applied to the system.

Table 5 also provides the results of the short run analysis. It is observed that institutional quality in the MENA countries has a non-significant effect on green energy. In contrast, financial development hampers the transition to renewable energy with a significant effect (-0.171).

Moreover, the results show that income has a positive and significant effect on renewable energy (0.122).

4.3. Causality test

Table 6. Pairwise Dumitrescu–Hurlin panel causality tests

|                        | Zbar-Stat. | P-value |
|------------------------|------------|---------|
| RE  > IQ               | 2.6570     | 0.3588  |
| IQ  > RE               | 9.3893*    | 0.0000  |
| RE  > GDP              | 2.5920*    | 0.0095  |
| GDP  > RE              | 3.9603*    | 0.0001  |
| RE  > FD               | 0.5864     | 0.5576  |
| FD  > RE               | 2.6570*    | 0.0079  |
| GDP to IQ              | 10.8857*   | 0.0000  |
| IQ  > GDP              | 8.3139*    | 0.0000  |
| FD  > GDP              | 10.3251*   | 0.0000  |
| GDP  > FD              | 2.8459*    | 0.0044  |
| FD  > IQ               | 5.3768*    | 0.0000  |
| IQ  > FD               | 1.3686     | 0.1711  |

Notes:  no causality * shows significance level of 1%

Finally, we use the Dumitrescu-Hurlin panel causality test to analyze the links that might exist between financial development, economic development, institutional quality and renewable energy diffusion. Indeed, this test proves to be robust to the SDC (Baloch et al. 2020).

The results of the panel causality test are reported in Table 6. The results suggest that there is a unidirectional causality running from institutional quality to renewable energy. This finding implies that
renewable energy consumption is influenced by institutional quality and political factors. This finding is consistent with that of Uzar (2020) who finds unidirectional causality between institutional quality and renewable energy.

However, our result is inconsistent with Saidi et al. (2019) who suggest that there is no causal relationship between renewable energy and five factors of institutional quality in the MENA region.

The results also show that financial development causes the transition to renewable energy at a significance level of 1%. This implies that financial development can affect the transition to renewable energy, but not vice versa. Our results are in line with Lin et al. (2016) in China, who found evidence for the unidirectional hypothesis from financial development to renewable electricity.

As far as the relationship renewable energy and income is concerned, the results suggest that there is a bidirectional causality between the aforementioned variables. The result is consistent with the findings of Apergis and Payne (2010); Apergis and Payne (2012); Lin and Mubarak (2014); Dogan (2016); Amri (2017); Ito (2017); Kahia et al. (2017) and Acheampong et al. (2021).

The results show that both institutional quality and financial development affect income in the MENA region and vice versa. Finally, we can find a unidirectional causality running from financial development to institutional quality.

5. Conclusions And Policy Implications

This paper examines the dynamic impact of three fundamental variables affecting the clean energy transition in the case of MENA countries, namely institutional and political factors, financial development, and income. The ARDL panel framework and Pairwise Dumitrescu–Hurlin panel causality tests were employed to analyze the long and short run cointegration results and causality links, in our model, respectively, using panel data for the period 1990-2018. Our study reveals several interesting results and provides clear implications for policy makers in the transition process to green energy.

First, the study found that institutional quality increases the share of renewable energy in the long run, but is insignificant in the short run. It follows that improving institutional quality and the political factors will enable MENA countries to reap to the benefits of the renewable energy sector in the long run. Moreover, the causality test confirms the previous result, it imply that institutional quality causes the renewable energy transition. This region needs significant institutional reforms that will bring about real and consistent changes in the fight against corruption. Therefore, implementing anti-corruption measures, reducing the power of lobbyists, improving political stability, reducing bureaucracy, improving democratic quality, and protecting property rights are crucial to promoting clean energy investment and facilitating substitution between polluting and clean energy in MENA countries.

Second, regarding financial development, our results have shown that the overall index of financial development has negative impact on the diffusion of renewable energy in the short run. However, in the
long run, financial development demonstrates a non-significant influence on clean energy. This result is in contrast to Belaid et al. (2021) in the context of the MENA region, who suggest that credit to private as an indicator of financial development boosts the transition to renewable energy.

Our result shows that the financial system as a whole does not facilitate the clean transition. This means that the financial system is still not structured well enough to incentivize investors in green projects. It still allows for the development of environmentally damaging projects. This result provides a clear idea for policy makers in this region to introduce new financial mechanisms to facilitate the financing of modern projects, especially environmentally friendly projects. As Kim and Park (2018) have shown, the non-developed financial system needs to introduce clean financing mechanisms to promote substitution between renewable and non-renewable energy. Moreover, the causality results reported evidence suggesting that financial development causes renewable energy consumption.

Policymakers in the MENA region need to implement financial, monetary, and environmental policies through legislation to encourage the development of financial systems to support clean energy investments. In this regard, governments in these countries should provide incentives to support the establishment of financial institutions that meet regional and national sustainability criteria. In particular, it is important that the policymakers encourages the creation of green funds.

Third, income was found to boost renewable energy consumption in MENA countries in both the short and long term. The improvement in economic activities can increase the demand for renewable energy. The causality analysis found that there is a bidirectional causal relationship between renewable energy and economic growth. This hypothesis states that economic growth encourages the use of renewable energy. Indeed, higher economic growth generates funds for renewable energy investment, and similar strong clean energy penetration promotes economic growth. Thus, the MENA region needs to implement effective strategies to promote the transition to renewable energy in order to harness its clean energy potential. These strategies are based on strengthening energy efficiency strategies and increasing R&D spending on renewable energy technologies.

Future research also needs to focus on the role of technological innovation and ICT factors to explore their potential impact on decarbonizing the economy in the MENA region.
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