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In this paper, the entire solutions of finite order of the Fermat-type differential-difference equation have been intensively studied in recent years. If the transcendental meromorphic solutions of (4) must satisfy $f(z) = (1/2)e^{\lambda z} + (1/2)e^{-\lambda z}$, where $P$ and $\lambda$ are nonzero constants.

In the following, $c$ is a nonzero constant, unless otherwise specified.

Liu [8] investigated the following special Fermat-type functional equation:

$$f(z)^2 + f(z + c)^2 = 1. \quad (5)$$

He obtained that each transcendental entire solution of (5) with the finite order must satisfy $f(z) = (h_1(z) + h_2(z))/2$, where $h_1(z + c)/h_1(z) = i, h_2(z + c)/h_2(z) = -i$. 

1. Introduction

In this work, we assume that the readers are familiar with general definitions and fundamental theories of Nevanlinna theory [1–3]. $f$ is a meromorphic function which means $f$ is meromorphic in the finite complex plane C. If $f$ has no poles, we call $f$ is an entire function. We denote by $S(r, f)$, any function satisfying $S(r, f) = o(T(r, f))$, $r \to \infty$, outside of a possible exceptional set of finite logarithmic measure. For a meromorphic function $f(z)$, we define its shift by $f_c(z) = f(z + c)$ and its difference operators by

$$\Delta_c f(z) = f(z + c) - f(z),$$

$$\Delta^n_c f(z) = \Delta^{n-1}_c(\Delta_c f(z)). \quad (1)$$

The classic Fermat-type functional equation

$$f(z)^n + g(z)^m = 1, \quad (2)$$

has been intensively studied in recent years. If $n \geq 4$, equation (2) has no transcendental meromorphic solutions [4]. If $n \geq 3$, equation (2) has no transcendental entire solutions [4]. If $n = 2$, all entire solutions are the forms of $f(z) = \sin(h(z))$ and $g(z) = \cos(h(z))$, where $h(z)$ is any entire function [5].

Yang [6] studied the following generalized Fermat-type functional equation:

$$f(z)^n + g(z)^m = 1, \quad (3)$$

where $n$ and $m$ are positive integers and obtained the following theorem.

Theorem 1. If $(1/n) + (1/m) < 1$, then equation (3) has no nonconstant entire solutions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$.

For further research solutions of the Fermat-type functional equation, Yang and Li [7] considered the following special Fermat-type functional equation:

$$f(z)^2 + f'(z)^2 = 1, \quad (4)$$

and they obtained the following theorem.

Theorem 2. The transcendental meromorphic solutions of (4) must satisfy $f(z) = (1/2)e^{\lambda z} + (1/2)e^{-\lambda z}$, where $P$ and $\lambda$ are nonzero constants.
and $h_1(z)h_2(z) = 1$. Liu et al. [9] proved that the non-constant finite-order entire solutions of (5) must have order one. Then, Liu et al. [10] considered the entire solutions of the following difference equations:

$$f(z)^m + f(z + c)^m = 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$f'(z)^m + f(z + c)^m = 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

and obtained the following results.

**Theorem 3.** Equation (6) has no nonconstant entire solution if $n > m > 1$ or $n = m > 2$; has no transcendental entire solution with finite order if $n > m$; and has the general solutions $f(z) = (1/2) + e^{\pi i/c}h(z)$, where $h(z)$ is any entire function periodic with period $c$.

**Theorem 4.** Equation (7) has no transcendental entire solution with finite order, provided that $m \neq n$, where $n$ and $m$ are positive integers.

Liu et al. [10] obtained that $f(z) = e^z + 1$ satisfies the equation $f'(z) + f(z + c) = 1$ when $m = n = 1$; $f(z) = e^z + 1$ satisfies the equation $f'(z)^2 + f(z + c)^2 = 1$ when $m = n = 1$, where $e^z = -1$; and $f(z) = \sin(z + Bi)$ satisfies the equation $f'(z)^2 + f(z + c)^2 = 1$ when $m = n = 2$, where $c = 2\pi \sigma$ or $c = 2\pi \sigma + \pi$ and $k$ is an integer.

Liu et al. [10] also investigated the following special Fermat-type functional equation:

$$f'(z)^m + [f(z + c) - f(z)]^m = 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

and obtained the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.** Equation (8) has no transcendental entire solution with finite order, provided that $m \neq n > 1$, where $n$ and $m$ are positive integers.

Liu et al. built exact solutions for equation (8); for $m = n = 1$, the equation $f'(z) + f(z + c) - f(z) = 1$ has a transcendental entire solution $f(z) = (e^z + Az)/\ln 2$, where $A = \ln(1/c + e^z - 2)$; for $m = 2, n = 1$, the equation $f'(z)^2 + [f(z + c) - f(z)]^2 = 1$ admits a transcendental entire solution $f(z) = B(e^{Ez} + e^{(E/2)z} + Az)$, where $B = -E/4$, $A = -E/4k\pi + c = 2k\pi/E$, and $E^2 = 64k\pi/k\pi + 4$, where $k$ is odd; and for $m = n = 2$, the equation $f'(z)^2 + [f(z + c) - f(z)]^2 = 1$ admits a transcendental entire solution $f(z) = (1/2)\sin(2z + Bi)$, where $c = kn + (\pi/2)$, $k$ is an integer, and $B$ is a constant.

In 2019, Liu et al. [11] researched the entire solutions with finite order of the Fermat-type differential-difference equation

$$[f^{(k)}(z)]^2 + [\Delta_c f(z)]^2 = 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

and the following system of differential-difference equations:

$$[f^{(k)}_1(z)]^2 + [\Delta_c f_1(z)]^2 = 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

$$[f^{(k)}_2(z)]^2 + [\Delta_c f_2(z)]^2 = 1.$$

In 2019, Dang and Chen [12] obtained the meromorphic solutions of the following special Fermat-type functional equation:

$$a f'' + b (f')^m \equiv 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

In the following, we will consider the entire solutions with finite order of the Fermat-type differential-difference equation

$$[f''(z)]^2 + [\Delta^k f(z)]^2 = 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

and obtain the following result.

**Theorem 6.** $f(z)$ be entire solutions of finite order of differential-difference equation (12) if and only if $f(z)$ be the following forms:

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{i^k(e^c - 1)k} \cos \left[ \left( 1/(k/2) \right) (e^c - 1)^{k/2} z + ib \right] + ez + d,$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where $a, b, d,$ and $e$ are constants, $e^c \neq 1$, $(e^c)^k + (-1)^k = 0$, and $a^2 = i(e^c - 1)^2$; $f(z) = az^2 + bz + d$, where $a = 0, b = \pm (1/c)$ for $k = 1$, $4a^2e^c + 4a^2 = 1$ for $k = 2$, and $a = \pm (1/2)$ for $k > 2$.

Obviously, from Theorem 6, we immediately get the following example.

**Example 1.** The transcendental entire function solutions with finite order of the differential-difference equation

$$[f''(z)]^2 + [\Delta^2 f(z)]^2 = 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

must satisfy

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{-i(e^c - 1)^2} \cos \left[ (e^c - 1)z - ib \right] + ez + d,$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where $a, b, d,$ and $e$ are constants, $e^c \neq 1$, $e^{2ac} + 1 = 0$, and $a^2 = i(e^c - 1)^2$; $f(z) = az^2 + bz + d$, where $4a^2e^c + 4a^2 = 1$.

Then, we will study the following system of differential-difference equations:

$$[f''_1(z)]^2 + [\Delta^k f_1(z)]^2 = 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

$$[f''_2(z)]^2 + [\Delta^k f_2(z)]^2 = 1,$$

and obtain the next result.

**Theorem 7.** $(f_1(z), f_2(z))$ be the transcendental entire solutions of finite order of the system of differential-difference equation (16) if and only if $(f_1(z), f_2(z))$ be the following forms:

$$f_1(z) = \frac{\cos \left[ i(e^c - 1)^{k/2} z + ib_1 \right]}{i(e^c - 1)^k} + e_1 z + d_1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

$$f_2(z) = f_1(z) + ez + d,$$

where $e^{abc} = (-1)^k$, $e^c \neq 1$, and $e^{h-b_1} = 1$;

$$f_1(z) = \frac{\cos \left[ -i(e^c - 1)^{k/2} z + ib_1 \right]}{-i(e^c - 1)^k} + e_1 z + d_1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

$$f_2(z) = -f_1(z) + ez + d,$$
where $\omega_{jk}^{+} + (-1)^{k} = 0$, $e^{\omega_{jk}^{+}} \neq 1$, and $e^{h_{1} - b_{2}} = 1$; $f_{j}(z) = \pm (1/2)z^{2} + b_{j}z + d_{j}$ for $k \geq 3$; $f_{1}(z) = a_{1}z^{2} + b_{z} + d_{1}$, $f_{2}(z) = \pm a_{1}z^{2} + b_{2}z + d_{2}$, where $a_{1}^{2} = a_{1}^{2}$ for $k = 2$; $f_{1}(z) = a_{1}z^{2} + b_{1}z + d_{1}$, $f_{2}(z) = a_{2}z^{2} + b_{2}z + d_{2}$, where $a_{1}$ for $k = 2$; and $f_{j}(z) = \pm (1/\zeta)z + d$ for $k = 1$, where $a_{j}, b_{j}, d_{j}$, and $d$ are constants, $j = 1, 2$.

Obviously, from Theorem 7, we immediately get the following example.

**Example 2.** Let $k = 2$. Then, the transcendental entire function solutions with finite order of the system of differential-difference equations

\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
[f_{i}^{(n)}(z)]^{2} + [\Delta_{j}^{2} f_{i}(z)]^{2} = 1, \\
[f_{j}^{(n)}(z)]^{2} + [\Delta_{j}^{2} f_{j}(z)]^{2} = 1,
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
\]

must be in the following forms:

\[
f_{1}(z) = \frac{\cos \left(i [e^{\omega_{1}^{+}} - 1]^{1/2} z + ib_{1} \right)}{i (e^{\omega_{1}^{+}} - 1)^{2}} + e_{1}z + d_{1},
\]

\[
f_{2}(z) = f_{1}(z) + ez + d,
\]

where $\omega_{1}^{+} = (-1)^{k}, e^{\omega_{1}^{+}} \neq 1$, and $e^{h_{1} - b_{2}} = 1$;

\[
f_{1}(z) = \frac{\cos \left(i [e^{\omega_{1}^{+}} - 1]^{1/2} z + ib_{1} \right)}{i (e^{\omega_{1}^{+}} - 1)^{2}} + e_{1}z + d_{1},
\]

\[
f_{2}(z) = -f_{1}(z) + ez + d,
\]

where $\omega_{1}^{+} = (-1)^{k}, e^{\omega_{1}^{+}} \neq 1$, and $e^{h_{1} - b_{2}} = -1$.

**2. Lemmas**

**Lemma 1** (see [1]). Let $f_{j}(z)$ be a meromorphic function, $f_{j}(z)$: $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1$, being nonconstant, satisfying

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{j}(z) = 1 \text{ and } n \geq 3. \text{ If } f_{n}(z) \equiv 0 \text{ and }
\]

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} N \left( r, \frac{1}{f_{j}} \right) + (n - 1) \sum_{j=1}^{n} N \left( r, f_{j} \right) < (\lambda + o(1))T(r, f_{k}),
\]

where $\lambda < 1$ and $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1$, then $f_{n} \equiv 1$.

**Lemma 2** (see [1]). Suppose that $f_{1}(z), f_{2}(z), \ldots, f_{n}(z)(n \geq 2)$ are meromorphic functions and $g_{1}(z)$, $g_{2}(z), \ldots, g_{n}(z)$ are entire functions satisfying the following conditions:

1. $\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{j}(z)g_{j}(z) \equiv 0$
2. The orders of $f_{j}$ are less than those of $e^{\omega_{j} - g_{i}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq k < l \leq n$. Then, $f_{j}(z) \equiv 0 (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$.

**Lemma 3** (Hadamard’s factorization theorem; see [1]). Let $f$ be an entire function of finite order $\rho(f)$ with zeros $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots \in \C \setminus \{0\}$ and $k$-fold zero at the origin. Then,

\[
f(z) = z^{k}P(z)e^{\varphi(z)}
\]

where $P(z)$ is the canonical product of $f$ formed with nonnull of $f$ and $Q(z)$ is a polynomial of degree less than $\rho(f)$.

**3. Proof of Theorem 6**

Suppose that $f(z)$ is an entire solution with finite order which satisfies (12). We rewrite (12) as follows:

\[
\left[ f^{(n)}(z) + i\Delta_{j}^{2} f(z) \right] \left[ f^{(n)}(z) - i\Delta_{j}^{2} f(z) \right] = 1.
\]

It follows that $f^{(n)}(z) + i\Delta_{j}^{2} f(z)$ and $f^{(n)}(z) - i\Delta_{j}^{2} f(z)$ have no zeros. By Lemma 3, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
& f^{(n)}(z) + i\Delta_{j}^{2} f(z) = e^{p(z)}, \\
& f^{(n)}(z) - i\Delta_{j}^{2} f(z) = e^{-p(z)},
\end{align*}
\]

where $p(z)$ is a polynomial.

From (25), we get

\[
\frac{f^{(n)}(z) + j\Delta_{j}^{2} f(z)}{2i} = \frac{e^{p(z)} + e^{-p(z)}}{2}.
\]

**Case 1.** Suppose that $f$ is a transcendental entire function. Then, it follows from (26) that $p(z)$ is a nonconstant polynomial. Let $\deg p(z) = m$; then, $m \geq 1$. It follows from (26) that

\[
\frac{f^{(n)}(z) + j\Delta_{j}^{2} f(z)}{2i} = \frac{e^{p(z+jk)} + e^{-p(z+jk)}}{2}.
\]

It follows from (27) that

\[
\left[ \Delta_{j}^{2} f(z) \right]^{m} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k}C_{j}^{m} f^{(m)}(z + jk)
\]

\[
= \frac{p^{(m)}(z) [e^{p(z)} + e^{-p(z)}]}{2i}.
\]

Then,

\[
\left[ \Delta_{j}^{2} f(z) \right]^{m} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k}C_{j}^{m} f^{(m)}(z + jk)
\]

\[
= \frac{p^{(m)}(z) + [p^{(m)}(z)]^{2} e^{p(z)} + [p^{(m)}(z)]^{2} e^{-p(z)}}{2i}.
\]

Combining (28) and (30), we get

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k}C_{j}^{m} \frac{p^{(m)}(z+jk)}{2i} e^{p(z)} + \frac{p^{(m)}(z+jk)}{2i} e^{-p(z)}.
\]
This implies
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j [e^{p(z+jc)} + e^{-p(z+jc)}] e^{p(z)} + i[p''(z) + p'(z)^2] e^{p(z)} = 0.
\]
(32)

Therefore,
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j [e^{p(z+jc)} + e^{-p(z+jc)}] \\
+ ([(-1)^k + i p''(z) + i p'(z)^2] e^{p(z)} \\
+ ([(-1)^k + i p''(z) - i p'(z)^2] e^{p(z)} = 0.
\]
(33)

If \( m \geq 2 \), then for \( 0 \leq j < l \leq k \), we have
\[
\rho(e^{p(z+jc) - p(z+kc)}) = m - 1 \geq 1, \\
\rho(e^{p(z+jc) + p(z+kc)}) = m \geq 2.
\]
(34)

and by (33) and Lemma 2, we obtain \((-1)^{k-j} C_k^j = 0\), which is contradicting.

Hence, \( m = 1 \). Let \( p(z) = az + b \), where \( a \neq 0 \); then, \( p(z+kc) = az + b + akc = p(z) + akc \), \( p(z+jc) = az + b + ajc \), \( p(z+kc) - p(z+jc) = (k-j)ac \), and \( p(z+kc) + p(z+jc) = 2p(z) + (k+j)ac \). Then, by (33), we have
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j [e^{p(z+jc) + p(z+kc)} + e^{p(z+kc) - p(z+jc)}] \\
+ ([(-1)^k + i p''(z) + i p'(z)^2] e^{p(z+kc) + p(z)} \\
+ ([(-1)^k + i p''(z) - i p'(z)^2] e^{p(z+kc) - p(z)} = 0.
\]
(35)

This implies
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j [e^{2p(z)+(k+j)ac} + e^{-(k-j)ac}] \\
+ ([(-1)^k + i p''(z) + i p'(z)^2] e^{2p(z)+kac} \\
+ ([(-1)^k + i p''(z) - i p'(z)^2] e^{-(k-j)ac} = 0.
\]
(36)

Then,
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j e^{2p(z)+(k+j)ac} + (-1)^k e^{2p(z)+kac} \\
+ \sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j e^{-(k-j)ac} \\
+ (-1)^k e^{kac} + [i p''(z) + i p'(z)^2] e^{p(z)+kac} \\
+ [i p''(z) - i p'(z)^2] e^{kac} = 0.
\]
(37)

This implies
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j e^{2p(z)+(k+j)ac} + \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j e^{-(k-j)ac} \\
+ [i p''(z) + i p'(z)^2] e^{p(z)+kac} + [i p''(z) - i p'(z)^2] e^{kac} = 0.
\]
(38)

Then,
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j e^{2p(z)+(k+j)ac} + \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j e^{-(k-j)ac} \\
+ i p'(z)^2 e^{2p(z)+kac} - i p'(z)^2 e^{kac} = 0.
\]

This implies
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j e^{2p(z)+(k+j)ac} + \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j e^{-(k-j)ac} \\
+ i a^2 e^{2p(z)+kac} - i a^2 e^{kac} = 0.
\]
(40)

Then,
\[
\left[ \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j e^{+iac} + i a^2 \right] e^{2p(z)+kac} \\
+ \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j e^{-iac} - i a^2 \right] e^{kac} = 0.
\]
(41)

Obviously, \( \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j e^{iac} = (e^{iac} - 1)^k \), and \( \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j e^{-iac} = (e^{iac} - 1)^k \). From (41) and Lemma 2, we obtain that
\[
\begin{cases} 
(e^{iac} - 1)^k + i a^2 = 0, \\
(e^{iac} - 1)^k - i a^2 = 0. 
\end{cases}
\]
(42)

By (42), it is easy to get \( e^{iac} \neq 1 \) and \( (e^{iac})^k + (-1)^k = 0 \). It follows from (42) that \( a^2 = i(e^{iac} - 1)^k \). Thus, it follows from (26) that
\[
f(z) = \frac{e^{(ic^m - i)(k/2)z + b} + e^{-(ic^m - i)(k/2)z - b}}{2e(iac - i)^k} + ez + d \\
= e^{(-i)\left[(ic^m - i)(k/2)z + b]\right]} + e^{-(i)\left[(ic^m - i)(k/2)z - b]\right]} + ez + d \\
= \frac{1}{(ic^m - i)^k} \cos\left((-i)\left[(ic^m - i)(k/2)z + b]\right]\right) + ez + d \\
= \frac{1}{(ic^m - i)^k} \cos\left((ic^m - i)(k/2)z + ib\right) + ez + d \\
= \frac{1}{(ic^m - i)^k} \cos\left(1 + (k/2)\left(e^{iac} - 1\right)^{k/2}z + ib\right) + ez + d.
\]
(43)

Case 2. Suppose that \( f \) is a polynomial. Then, it follows from (26) that \( p(z) \) is a constant, and \( f(z) = az^2 + bz + d \).
If \( k \geq 3 \), then \( \Delta^k f(z) = 0 \). It follows from (12) that \( a = \pm (1/2) \).

If \( k = 2 \), then \( \Delta^2 f(z) = 2ac^2 \). It follows from (12) that \( 4a^2 c^4 + 4a^2 = 1 \).

If \( k = 1 \), then \( \Delta^1 f(z) = 2acz + ac^2 + bc \). It follows from (12) that \( f(z) = \pm (1/c)z + d \).

Thus, Theorem 6 is proved.

4. Proof of Theorem 7

Suppose that \( (f_1(z), f_2(z)) \) be an entire solution with finite order which satisfies equation (16). We rewrite (16) as follows:

\[
\begin{cases}
[f''_1(z) + i\Delta^k f_2(z)] [f''_1(z) - i\Delta^k f_2(z)] = 1, \\
[f''_2(z) + i\Delta^k f_1(z)] [f''_2(z) - i\Delta^k f_1(z)] = 1.
\end{cases}
\]  

(44)

It follows that

\[
\begin{align*}
&f''_1(z) + i\Delta^k f_2(z) \neq 0, \\
&f''_1(z) - i\Delta^k f_2(z) \neq 0, \\
&f''_2(z) + i\Delta^k f_1(z) \neq 0, \\
&f''_2(z) - i\Delta^k f_1(z) \neq 0.
\end{align*}
\]  

(45)

By Lemma 3, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
f''_1(z) + i\Delta^k f_2(z) &= e^{p(z)}, \\
f''_1(z) - i\Delta^k f_2(z) &= e^{-p(z)}, \\
f''_2(z) + i\Delta^k f_1(z) &= e^{q(z)}, \\
f''_2(z) - i\Delta^k f_1(z) &= e^{-q(z)},
\end{align*}
\]  

(46)

where \( p(z) \) and \( q(z) \) are polynomials.

From (46), we get

\[
f''_1(z) = \frac{e^{p(z)} + e^{-p(z)}}{2},
\]  

(47)

\[
\Delta^k f_2(z) = \frac{e^{p(z)} - e^{-p(z)}}{2i},
\]  

(48)

\[
f''_2(z) = \frac{e^{q(z)} + e^{-q(z)}}{2},
\]  

(49)

\[
\Delta^k f_1(z) = \frac{e^{q(z)} - e^{-q(z)}}{2i}.
\]  

(50)

Case 3. Suppose that \( f_1 \) is a transcendental entire function with finite order. Then, it follows from (47)–(50) that \( p(z) \) and \( q(z) \) are two nonconstant polynomials. Let \( \deg p(z) = m_1 \) and \( \deg q(z) = m_2 \); then, \( m_1 \geq 1 \) and \( m_2 \geq 1 \).

It follows from (47)–(50) that

\[
f''_1(z) = \frac{e^{p(z)} + e^{-p(z)}}{2},
\]  

(51)

\[
f''_2(z) = \frac{e^{q(z)} + e^{-q(z)}}{2i},
\]  

(52)

\[
[\Delta^k f_1(z)]'' = \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_j^k f''_1(z + jc)
\]  

(53)

\[
[\Delta^k f_2(z)]'' = \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_j^k f''_2(z + jc)
\]  

(54)

Then,

\[
\frac{[\Delta^k f_1(z)]''}{\Delta^k f_1(z)} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{(-1)^{k-j} C_j^k f''_1(z + jc)}{\Delta^k f_1(z)}
\]  

(55)

From (51) and (55), we get

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_j^k \frac{e^{p(z+jc)} + e^{-p(z+jc)}}{2}
\]  

(57)

\[
= \frac{q''(z) + [q'(z)]^2}{2i} \frac{e^{q(z)} + q''(z) - [q'(z)]^2}{2i} e^{-q(z)}.
\]

From (52) and (56), we get

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_j^k \frac{e^{q(z+jc)} + e^{-q(z+jc)}}{2}
\]  

(58)

\[
= \frac{p''(z) + [p'(z)]^2}{2i} p(z) + \frac{p''(z) - [p'(z)]^2}{2i} e^{-p(z)}.
\]

It follows that
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j \left[ e^{p(z+jc)} + e^{-p(z+jc)} \right] + i \left[ q''(z) - q'(z)^2 \right] e^{-q(z)} = 0,
\]
(59)

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j \left[ e^{p(z+jc)} + e^{-q(z+jc)} \right] + i \left[ q''(z) - q'(z)^2 \right] e^{-q(z)} = 0.
\]
(60)

Then,

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j \left[ e^{p(z+jc)} + e^{-p(z+jc)} + q(z) \right] + i \left[ q''(z) - q'(z)^2 \right] e^{-q(z)} = 0,
\]
(61)

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j \left[ e^{p(z+jc)} + e^{-q(z+jc)} + p(z) \right] + i \left[ q''(z) - q'(z)^2 \right] e^{-p(z)} = 0.
\]
(62)

If \( p(z+jc) + q(z) \) and \( -p(z+jc) + q(z) \) are nonconstant polynomials for any \( j(0 \leq j \leq k) \), then we obtain that \( e^{q(z)+p(z+jc)} \) are nonconstants for any \( j(0 \leq j \leq k) \). Assume \( m_2 \geq 1 \); then, \( (-1)^k + iq''(z) - iq'(z)^2 \) does not vanish; then, we multiply \( -1/(iq'(z) - iq''(z)^2) \) on both sides of (61), and we have

\[
-\frac{1}{iq'(z) - iq''(z)^2} \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j \left[ e^{p(z+jc)} + e^{-p(z+jc)} + q(z) \right] = 1.
\]
(63)

Then, by Lemma 1, we have \(-((ip''(z) + ip'(z)^2)/(iq''(z) - iq'(z)^2))e^{q(z)} \equiv 1 \); this contradicts with \( m_2 \geq 1 \).

Hence, there exist \( j_0(0 \leq j_0 \leq k) \) such that \( p(z+j_0 c) + q(z) = A \) or \(-p(z+j_0 c) + q(z) = A \), where \( A \) is a constant.

Suppose that \( p(z+j_0 c) + q(z) = A \). Obviously, \( m_1 = m_2 \). We assert that \( m_1 = m_2 \geq 1 \). Otherwise, we assume that \( m_1 = m_2 = 2 \); then, \( \deg(-p(z+j_0 c) + q(z)) = \deg(-p(z+j_0 c) + q(z)) - 1 \geq 1 \) for any \( j(0 \leq j \neq j_0 \leq k) \) and \( \deg(p(z+j_0 c) + q(z)) = m_1 = m_2 \geq 2 \) for any \( j(0 \leq j \neq j_0 \leq k) \).

From (63), we have

\[
-\frac{1}{iq'(z) - iq''(z)^2} \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j \left[ e^{p(z+jc)} + e^{-p(z+jc)} + q(z) \right] = 1.
\]
(64)

Then, it follows from (64) and Lemma 2 that \( -((1)^{k-j} C_k^j e^{A})/(iq'(z) - iq''(z)^2) = 1 \). This implies \( iq'(z) - iq''(z)^2 \) is a constant, and \( m_1 = m_2 = 1 \), which is contradicting; therefore, the assertion is proved.

Accordinly, \( -p(z+j_0 c) + q(z) = A \) and \( m_1 = m_2 \). Thus, we can assume that \( p(z) = az + b_1, q(z) = az + b_2 \); then,

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{p(z+jc)}{q(z+jc)} &= \frac{az + a+jc + b_1}{az + a+jc + b_2}, \\
q(z+jc) &= az + a+jc + b_2,
\end{align*}
\]
(65)

for any \( 0 \leq j \leq k \).

Combining (61) and (62), we get

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j \left[ e^{az+jc+b_1} + e^{-az-jc-b_1} \right] e^{az+b_2} + ia^2 e^{2az+2b_1} - ia^2 = 0,
\]
(66)

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j \left[ e^{az+jc+b_1} + e^{-az-jc-b_1} \right] e^{az+b_1} + ia^2 e^{2az+2b_1} - ia^2 = 0.
\]
(67)

Furthermore,

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j e^{ajc} + ia^2 e^{b_1+b_2} = 0,
\]
(68)

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j e^{-ajc+b_2} - ia^2 = 0.
\]
(69)

It follows from (68) and (69) that

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j e^{ajc} + ia^2 e^{b_1+b_2} = 0,
\]
(70)

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} C_k^j e^{-ajc+b_2} - ia^2 = 0.
\]
(71)
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{j-k} C_{k}^{j} e^{ia x} e^{ia y} = 0,
\]  
(72)
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{j-k} C_{k}^{j} e^{-a x} e^{-a y} = 0.
\]  
(73)

By the binomial formula and (70)–(73), we have
\[
(e^{ax} - 1)^{k} + i a z \cdot e^{a x} b^{2} = 0,
\]  
(74)
\[
e^{a x} b^{2} (e^{ax} - 1)^{k} - i a z = 0,
\]  
(75)
\[
(e^{ax} - 1)^{k} + i a z \cdot e^{a x} b^{2} = 0,
\]  
(76)
\[
e^{a x} b^{2} (e^{ax} - 1)^{k} - i a z = 0.
\]  
(77)

From (75) and (77), we get \(e^{a x} b^{2} = e^{a x} b^{2}\); hence, \(e^{a x} b^{2} = \pm 1\). This implies \(b_{2} = b_{1} + n m\), where \(n\) is an integer. From (74) and (75) and \(e^{a x} b^{2} = \pm 1\), we obtain that \(e^{ax} = (-1)^{k}\) and \(e^{\alpha} \neq 1\), where \(e^{a x} b^{2} = 1\); \(e^{ax} = (-1)^{k}\). From (74) and (79) that \(a = \sqrt{(e^{ax} - 1)^{k}}\) and
\[
f_{1}(z) = \frac{e^{i(z+1)^{k}/2} + e^{i(z+1)^{k}/2}}{2} + e_{1} z + d_{1},
\]  
(79)
\[
f_{2}(z) = \frac{e^{i(z+1)^{k}/2} + e^{i(z+1)^{k}/2}}{2} + e_{2} z + d_{2},
\]

where \(e_{1}\) and \(d_{1}\) are constants.

Combining (79) and \(e^{a x} b^{2} = \pm 1\), we get that
\(f_{2}(z) = \pm f_{1}(z) + m + d\), where \(m\) and \(d\) are constants.

If \(b_{2} = b_{1} + 2 n m\), then it follows from (74) and (79) that
\(a = \sqrt{(e^{ax} - 1)^{k}}\) and
\[
f_{1}(z) = \frac{e^{i(z+1)^{k}/2} + e^{i(z+1)^{k}/2}}{2} + e_{1} z + d_{1},
\]
\[
= \frac{e^{i(z+1)^{k}/2} + e^{i(z+1)^{k}/2}}{2} + e_{1} z + d_{1}
\]
\[
= \frac{e^{i(z+1)^{k}/2} + e^{i(z+1)^{k}/2}}{2} + e_{1} z + d_{1}
\]
\[
= \frac{e^{i(z+1)^{k}/2} + e^{i(z+1)^{k}/2}}{2} + e_{1} z + d_{1}
\]
\[
f_{2}(z) = f_{1}(z) + e z + d.
\]

Case 4. Suppose that \(f\) is a polynomial. Then, it follows from (70)–(73) that \(p(z), q(z)\) are two constants. Hence, \(f_{1}(z) = a_{1} z^{2} + b_{1} z + d_{1}\) and \(f_{2}(z) = a_{2} z^{2} + b_{2} z + d_{2}\), where \(a_{1}, b_{1}\), and \(d_{1}\) are constants.

If \(k \geq 3\), then \(\Delta k f_{j}(z) = 0, j = 1, 2\). It follows from (6) that \(a_{1} = \pm (1/2)\), and \(f_{j}(z) = \pm (1/2) z^{2} + b_{j} z + d_{j}\).

If \(k = 2\), then \(\Delta k f_{j}(z) = 2 a_{j}^{2}, j = 1, 2\). It follows from (6) that
\[
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{1}^{2} a_{1}^{2} + a_{2}^{2} = \frac{1}{4}, \\
a_{2}^{2} a_{2}^{2} + a_{1}^{2} = \frac{1}{4}
\end{array}\right.
\]  
(82)

Therefore, \(a_{2}^{2} = a_{1}^{2}\), or \(c^{2} = 1\). Therefore,
\[
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{1}(z) = a_{1} z^{2} + b_{1} z + d_{1}, \\
f_{2}(z) = a_{2} z^{2} + b_{2} z + d_{2},
\end{array}\right.
\]  
(83)

where \(a_{2}^{2} = a_{1}^{2}\), and
\[
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{1}(z) = a_{1} z^{2} + b_{1} z + d_{1}, \\
f_{2}(z) = a_{2} z^{2} + b_{2} z + d_{2},
\end{array}\right.
\]  
(84)

where \(c^{4} = 1\).

If \(k = 1\), we have \(\Delta k f_{j}(z) = 2 a_{j} z^{2} + a_{j} c^{2} + b_{j} c, j = 1, 2\). It follows from (6) that \(f_{j}(z) = \pm (1/c) z + d\), where \(d\) is a constant.

Thus, Theorem 7 is proved.

5. Discussion

Consider the differential-difference equation
\[
[f_{m}(z)]^{n} + [\Delta_{m}^{k} f(z)]^{m} = 1,
\]  
(85)

where \(n\) and \(m\) are positive integers. If \(n > m > 1\) or \(m > n > 1\) or \(n = m > 2\), it follows easily that (85) has no nonconstant entire solution by Theorem 1. For the case \(n = m = 2\), the entire solutions with finite order have been illustrated in Theorem 6.
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