Relationship among DNA double-strand break (DSB), DSB repair, and transcription prevents genome instability and cancer
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Abstract

DNA double-strand break (DSB) is a serious type of DNA damage and is known to trigger multiple responses within cells. In these responses, novel relationships among DSB, DSB repair, and transcription machineries are created. First, transcription is repressed if DSB occurs near or at the transcription site, termed DSB-induced transcriptional repression, which contributes to DSB repair with the aid of DNA damage-signaling pathways, ATM- or DNA-PKcs-signaling pathways. DSB-induced transcriptional repression is also regulated by transcriptional factors TLP1, NELF, and ENL, as well as chromatin remodeling and organizing factors ZMYND8, CDYL1, PBAF, and cohesin. Second, transcription and RNA promote DSB repair for genome integrity. Transcription factors such as LEDGF, SETD2, and transcriptionally active histone modification, H3K36, facilitate homologous recombination to overcome DSB. At transcriptional active sites, DNA:RNA hybrids, termed R-loops, which are formed by DSB, are processed by RAD52 and XPG leading to an activation of the homologous recombination pathway. Even in a transcriptionally inactive non-genic sites, noncoding RNAs that are produced by RNA polymerase II, DICER, and DROSHA, help to recruit DSB repair proteins at the DSB sites. Third, transcriptional activation itself, however, can induce DSB. Transcriptional activation often generates specific DNA structures such as R-loops and topoisomerase-induced DSBs, which cause genotoxic stress and may lead to genome instability and consequently to cancer. Thus, transcription and DSB repair machineries interact and cooperate to prevent genome instability and cancer.

Abbreviations: AR, androgen receptor; ATM, ataxia telangiectasia mutated; BRCAl/2, breast cancer type 1/2; BRD, Bromodomain; CDYL1, chromodomain protein, Y chromosome-like; CSB, Cockayne syndrome B; CHP, C-terminal binding protein interacting protein; DNA-PKcs, DNA-dependent protein kinase catalytic subunit; DSB, DNA double-strand break; DSIF, DRB sensitivity-inducing factor; ENL, eleven nineteen leukemia; ER, estrogen receptor; EZH2, enhancer of zeste homolog 2; HDAC, histone deacetylase; HR, homologous recombination; H3K36, histone H3 lysine 36 methylation; I-PpoI, an intron-encoded endonuclease; I-SceI, an intron-encoded endonuclease; LEDGF, lens epithelium-derived growth factor; IncRNA, long noncoding RNA; MLLT1, myeloid/lymphoid or mixed-lineage leukemia translocated to 1; NHEJ, nonhomologous end-joining; NuRD, nucleosome remodeling and deacetylase; PARP1, poly[ADP-ribose] polymerase 1; PBAF, polybromo-associated BRG1-associated factor; PHD domain, plant homeodomain; PRC1, polycomb repressive complex 1; PRC2, polycomb repressive complex 2; PPWW domain, Pro-Trp-Trp-Pro domain; REST, repressor element 1-silencing transcription factor; RING1, ring finger protein 2; RNAPI, RNA polymerase I; RNAPII, RNA polymerase II; RPA, replication protein A; SEC, super elongation complex; SETD2, SET domain containing 2; SFN, small nuclear ribonucleic acid; SWI/SNF, SWItch/Sucrose Non-Fermentable; TA-HRR, transcription-associated homologous recombination repair; TCR, transcription-coupled DNA repair; TOP2, tyrosyl-DNA phosphodiesterase 2; TFIIA, transcription factor IIA; TLP, TBP-like protein; TOP2, topoisomerase (DNA) II; tRNA, transfer ribonucleic acid; TSS, transcription start site; USP, ubiquitin specific protease; UV, ultraviolet; UVSAA, UV-sensitive syndrome-A; WT1, Wilms tumor protein 1; XPA, xeroderma pigmentosum, complementation group A; XPG, xeroderma pigmentosum, complementation group F; ZMYND8, zinc finger MYND-type containing B.
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INFLUENCE OF DSB ON TRANSCRIPTION

DNA damage influences various types of DNA metabolism, most prominently replication and transcription. The relationship between transcription and DNA repair has been most intensively analyzed in TCR, which removes lesions from the template DNA strands of actively transcribed genes repair by NER.1-4 UV damage in the transcribed template strand induces stalled RNAPII. The stalled RNAPII is recognized by UVSSA, USP7, and Cockayne syndrome proteins, which results in translocating (including back-tracking) stalled RNAPII and activating NER repair. In contrast, DSB represses transcription, termed as DSB-induced transcriptional repression, under ATM (see Section 1.1 for more detail) or DNA-PKcs (see Section 1.2 for more detail) signaling pathways. These signaling pathways control transcription factors (details in 1.3) and chromatin remodeling and factors (details in 1.4) for the repression. Herein, we first discuss the mechanism of DSB-induced transcriptional repression by referring to the recent findings.

1.1 | ATM signaling

ATM is reported to be one of the first factors to repress transcription in the proximity of the DSB sites, and this repression is termed DSB-induced transcriptional repression (Figure 2). ATM represses both RNA polymerase I (RNAPI) and RNAPII-mediated transcription. Following IR, RNAPI-mediated ribosomal RNA synthesis in nucleoli was found to be repressed by ATM.5 Additionally, the I-Ppol sites in 28S ribosomal RNA in the nucleolus were used to produce DSBs and induce transcriptional repression by ATM (Figure 2).6 Furthermore, DSBs outside the nucleolus silenced RNAPI-mediated rRNA transcription in the nucleolus, suggesting the presence of an RNAPI-mediated transcriptional silencing in the different (in trans) chromosome in response to DSBs.7 Conversely, RNAPII-mediated transcriptional silencing by ATM occurs in the proximity of the same (in cis) chromosome for DSBs.8 DSBs near the promoter region but not within the gene body trigger ATM-induced ubiquitination of H2A at transcriptional activation sites, leading to transcriptional silencing in cis. This ATM-mediated transcriptional silencing reduces phosphorylated RNAPII at Ser2, whereas the total RNAPII levels at transcriptional sites remain unchanged. This suggests that ATM-dependent transcriptional silencing stalls and maintains RNAPII at transcriptional sites near DSBs. When DSBs are induced within the gene body, DNA-PKcs, but not ATM, represses the transcription by eliminating RNAPII from the template DNA (see Section 1.2 for more detail). Therefore, DNA-PKcs is considered to repress transcription within the gene body to avoid collision between RNAPII and DSB repair machinery, whereas ATM only stalls and maintains RNAPII levels by changing the chromatin structure outside the gene body where no collision occurs between them.

Additionally, ATM phosphorylates PBAF, which is a complex belonging to the SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complexes, ENL/MLLT1 in SEC, and NELF-E; these phosphorylations promote changes in the repressive chromatin structure and negative transcriptional regulation (see Sections 1.3 and 1.4 for more detail; Figure 2).

1.2 | DNA-PKcs signaling

DNA-PKcs is also reported to control DSB-induced transcriptional repression within gene bodies by evicting RNAPII (Figure 2). DSBs induced by I-Ppol within the gene body of active transcription sites represses RNAPII-mediated transcription by the enzymatic function of DNA-PKcs but not ATM at the DSB site.9 The arrested RNAPII complex was degraded by DNA-PKcs signaling and the DSB was repaired by NHEJ. Notably, in the absence of DNA-PKcs, transcription was not hindered by the presence of a DSB. DNA-PKcs associates with the HECT E3 ubiquitin ligase, WWP2, and recruits it at DSB sites in a transcription-dependent manner to ubiquitinate RNAPII subunit RPB1 for degradation via the proteasome. Therefore, DNA-PKcs represses transcription by promoting the eviction of RNAPII from the transcribed template DNA10 to promote the NHEJ pathway.

FIGURE 1 Transcription and DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) cooperate to prevent genome instability and cancer. 1. Influence of DSBs on transcription. 2. Influence of transcription or its factor on DSB repair. 3. Transcriptional activation-induced DSBs are associated with cancer development.
Transcription factors

DSB-induced transcriptional repression is also regulated by transcription factors working at the transcriptional initiation and elongation stages. During transcription: (1) TLP, which has a function in the initiation stage; and (2) ENL and (3) NELF-F, which have a function in the elongation stage, have been reported to be involved in DSB-induced transcriptional repression (Figure 2).

TLP was shown to repress transcription during the transcriptional initiation stage by negatively regulating the transcription factor TFIIA at its processing. TLP is also required for stabilization of protein p53, which leads to p53-induced apoptosis and senescence following genotoxic stress. Several TLP mutations have been mapped in the p53-binding region of TLP in human cancer. TLP knockdown was shown to reduce apoptosis and sensitivity following etoposide treatment compared with control cells. These results suggested that after DSBs TLP represses transcription by inhibiting initiation, and this repression reduces HR repair, preferential repair at transcriptional active sites.

Eleven nineteen leukemia (ENL) in the super elongation complex recruits polycomb repressive complex 1 (PRC1) of the polycomb complex at transcriptional elongation sites in an AT-dependent manner and promotes histone H2A K119/120 ubiquitination and repression. ENL and PRC1 have opposite transcriptional functions of activation and repression, respectively. During transcriptional activation, ENL and PRC1 do not co-localize and have different functions. However, after DSB induction, ENL is phosphorylated by ATM, leading to increased interaction between PRC1 and ENL. ENL in the SEC binds to RNAPII to promote transcriptional repression and prevent mis-rejoining of broken DNA ends to maintain genome stability.
elongation, therefore phosphorylated ENL recruits PRC1 at transcriptional elongation sites to facilitate DSB-induced transcriptional repression by H2A K119/120 ubiquitination.

Negative elongation factor (NELF) cooperates with DSIF to repress transcriptional elongation by promoting RNAPII to pause at the TSS. NELF-E, a subunit of NELF, was shown to enhance MYC-signaling and MYC-induced hepatocellular carcinoma, indicating its role as an oncogenic protein.\(^{27}\) NELF-E was shown to be phosphorylated by ATM\(^{28-30}\) and was rapidly recruited to DSB sites following laser microirradiation. Combined inhibition of ATM and NELF-E knockdown did not cause synergistic or additive effects on DSB-induced transcriptional repression, indicating that ATM and NELF-E may function in the same silencing pathway. However, PARP1, but not ATM, is required for the recruitment of NELF-E at DSB-induced transcriptional repression sites and for the interaction between NELF-E and RNAPII. NELF-E knockdown led to a decrease in both HR and NHEJ activity. These results suggest that NELF-E is possibly recruited to ADP-ribosylated RNAPII to repress transcription cancelling in response to DSBs for its repair.\(^{31}\)

### 1.4 | Chromatin organizing factors

A previous study has shown that chromatin decondensation is prevented at transcription sites following DSB, suggesting that chromatin condensation plays an important role in DSB-induced transcriptional repression.\(^{9}\) Recent findings have suggested that when DSB is produced near the promoter region, DSB-induced transcriptional repression requires: (1) repressive factors, such as CDYL1, PRC1, and PRC2; (2) chromatin remodeling complexes, such as PBAF, one of the SWI/SNF nucleosome remodeling complexes, and ZMYND8 with NuRD complex, histone deacetylation complex;\(^{25,32,33}\) and (3) cohesin, which functions to maintain higher order chromatin structures, including condensation (Figure 2).\(^{34}\)

Loss of function of CDYL1 increases oncogene expression, suggesting that it has tumor suppressor activity.\(^{35}\) CDYL1 protein belongs to the CDY family that contains a chromodomain and enoyl-CoA hydratase-like domain. CDYL1 interacts with REST, histone methyltransferase G9a, H3 K9 methylation, PRC2, HDAC1, and HDAC2\(^{35-38}\) and functions in transcriptional regulation. Following DSB, CDYL1 is recruited to the DNA damage site in a PARP1-dependent but not in an ATM-dependent manner.\(^{32}\) CDYL1 is required for DSB-induced transcriptional repression by promoting accumulation of EZH2 in the PRC2 complex and H3 K27 methylation at the DSB site. These results suggested that PRC2 as well as PRC1 (see Sections 1.3 for more detail) has a role in DSB-induced transcriptional repression via CDYL1.

Chromatin remodeling complexes also function in DSB-induced transcriptional repression. Chromatin remodeling activity and ATM-mediated phosphorylation of PBAF chromatin remodeling complex were reported to be required for transcriptional silencing and H2A K119/120 ubiquitination in response to DSBs.\(^{39}\) Furthermore, ZMYND8 interacts with and recruits CHD4, a core component of the NuRD chromatin remodeling and deacetylase complex, to damaged chromatin to promote DSB-induced transcriptional repression and HR but not NHEJ. ZMYND8 contains PHD, BRD, and PWWP chromatin-binding domains as well as an MYND domain for protein-protein interaction; and it represses metastasis-linked genes, which suppresses invasiveness in prostate cancer cells.\(^{40}\) ZMYND8 was recruited at DSB sites via the interaction between its BRD domain and histone H4 acetylated by TIP60. Therefore, the interaction between ZMYND8 and histone H4 following DSBs recruits the NuRD chromatin remodeling complex to transcription sites to promote DSB-induced transcriptional repression.

Cohesin, and cohesin loading factors are required for DSB-induced transcriptional repression in both G1 and G2 phases, and cancer-associated mutations of SA2 play an important role in this repression. Furthermore, DSB-induced transcriptional repression prevents mis-rejoining of broken DNA ends and genome rearrangements. These results suggest that DSB-induced transcriptional repression plays a role in preventing tumorigenesis.\(^{34}\)

It should be noted that the transcriptional repressive histone modifications such as H2A K119/120 ubiquitination, methylation of H3 K27 methylation, and negative transcriptional factors, are applied in DSB-induced transcriptional repression. However, it is interesting that transcriptionally active histone modifications such as the acetylation of H4K16 and transcriptional activation factor ENL, are required for DSB-induced repression to switch off the transcription in response to DSBs. The link between these transcriptional active and repressive regulators remains unclear. Furthermore, among the factors required for DSB-induced transcriptional repression, ZMYND8 and CDYL1 promote HR,\(^{22,33}\) TLP reduces HR,\(^{33}\) and NELF promotes both NHEJ and HR.\(^{31}\) However, the pathways involved in DSB repair at the sites of DSB-induced transcriptional repression still remain unclear.

### 2 | INFLUENCE OF TRANSCRIPTION AND RNA ON DSB REPAIR

While histone modifications and the chromatin structure influence DSB repair,\(^{41}\) transcriptional machinery and transcriptional active sites also influence the mechanisms underlying DSB repair (Figure 3). Recent findings have shown that DSB repair utilizes transcriptional histone modifications and transcription factors (see Section 2.1 for more detail). Furthermore, RNA including noncoding RNA functions as a scaffold or DSB repair proteins or as a template during DSB repair (see Section 2.2 for more detail).

#### 2.1 | DSB repair at transcription sites

Several reports have suggested that transcriptionally active regions are preferentially repaired by HR (Figure 3). After DSBs, RAD51 is an HR factor that binds transcriptionally active genes that are associated
with active transcriptional histone modifications, such as H3 K36 methylation and H3 K9 acetylation. During transcription, the H3 K36 methylation recruits LEDGF, which is a transcriptional coactivator, and binds methylated histone at the PWWP domain in its N-terminal region. After DSBs, LEDGF recruits CtIP to damaged chromatin via the H3 K36 methylation to promote end resection.

While H3 K36 is reported to be methylated by eight enzymes in human, including SETD2, SETD2 was also shown to be necessary for HR for promoting the recruitment of RPA and RAD51. These findings suggested that LEDGF binds to H3 K36 methylation via SETD2 at transcriptionally active regions, recruits CtIP, and promotes end resection to facilitate HR after DSBs.

Furthermore, at DSBs within transcriptional active sites in G2/S cells, RNA-DNA hybrid-containing R-loops, which are generated by the pausing of RNAPII at the active transcription sites, were shown to accumulate at DSB sites. R-loops recruited human RAD52 at the DSB sites and were processed by XPG, resulting in promotion of the HR pathway. Therefore, this pathway is described as transcription-associated homologous recombination repair (TA-HRR). The defect in the TA-HRR process increased aberrant NHEJ, and the low expression of RAD52 increased the number of insertions and/or deletions in cancer cells, which suggests that TR-HRR prevents genome instability.

### 2.2 | RNA-mediated DSB repair

In the DSB repair process, RNA has been reported to promote DSB repair (Figure 3). DICER and DROSHA (a complex of double-stranded RNA-specific endoribonuclease), which produce small double-stranded RNAs involved in snRNA (small noncoding RNA), recruit DSB repair proteins at the DSB sites, and these recruitments are inhibited by RNase. At the DSB sites, DICER and DROSHA are involved in the process of RNA production for DSB repair; and RNAPII is also required for this process.

In addition to the above snRNAs, long noncoding RNA (lncRNA) have been reported to be involved in DSB repair. In S/G2-phase cells, DNA damage induces transcription of lncRNA at the DNA end of DSBs both within and outside gene bodies, and lncRNAs pair with the resected DNA ends to form DNA:RNA hybrids. These lncRNA-mediated DNA:RNA hybrids are recognized by BRCA1 and lead to the promotion of HR by recruiting BRCA2 and RNaseH2 at DSB sites. Both lncRNA and TA-HRR (described in Section 2.1) mediated DNA:RNA hybrids (R-loop) mediated by recruit HR factors and promote HR, but the difference between them is transcriptional dependency. The recruitment of DSB repair factors by lncRNA-mediated DNA:RNA hybrids could occur at non-genic sites, namely transcriptionally inactive sites by the induction of I-PpoI. However, TA-HRR can occur at only transcriptionally active sites. Therefore, TA-HRR and lncRNA-mediated DNA:RNA hybrids can cover through transcriptionally active sites and inactive sites for genome stability. It was recently reported that DSBs within the gene body at transcriptionally active sites could recover transcription and produce RNAs, whereas DSBs at a promoter proximal region could not. It is interesting to know whether RNAs that are produced by recovery of transcription in gene bodies are involved in DSB repair.

Furthermore, RNA has been shown to serve as a template for DNA synthesis in bacteria and humans (Figure 3). In the chromosomes in human cells, I-SceI-induced DSBs in GFP can be repaired using RNA-containing oligos. In yeast, synthetic RNA oligonucleotides could act as templates for DSB repair. Moreover, RNAs...
that were transcribed in a different chromosome (in trans) or in the proximity of the same chromosome (in cis) were shown to become a template for HR and that RAD52 facilitated HR using the transcribed RNA in cis. In vitro, yeast and human RAD52 also efficiently catalyzes the annealing of RNA to DNA, suggesting that in human cells, RAD52 protein can promote transcribed RNA-mediated HR.

The abovementioned mechanism could enable cells to repair DSB by HR even in the G1 phase when sister chromatids do not exist. In the G0/G1 phase, NER factor, CSB, and recruited HR factors, such as RPA1, RAD51C, RAD51, and RAD52, promote HR at site-specific DNA strand breaks produced by oxidative damage (Figure 3). Furthermore, the inhibitor of transcription sensitized WT cells but not CSB-deficient cells to IR. These results suggest that CSB contributes to cell survival to promote HR at the active transcription sites. Although it remains unclear how homologous pairing during HR occurs in the G1 phase, there is the possibility that transcribed RNA at transcription sites are used as a template for HR.

Many uncertainties about the mechanism of DSB repair at transcription sites still exist. While RNAPII has been reported to be inhibited by DSBs inside and outside of transcriptional regions under ATM and DNA-PKcs-signaling, other findings showed that RNAPII with DICER and DROSHA promotes RNA production at DSB sites. Thus, it remains unclear how RNAPII is regulated at DSB sites. Furthermore, if HR occurs preferentially at transcriptional activation sites, it remains unclear how in G1 phase of cells DNA damage at transcriptional activation sites is repaired by HR and what is the template of homologous pairing during HR. Further research is needed to understand the mechanism of DSB repair at transcription sites and the contribution of RNA in the process.

3 | INFLUENCE OF TRANSCRIPTION-INDUCED DNA DAMAGE ON CANCER DEVELOPMENT

3.1 | R-loop at transcription sites

R-loops lead to the generation of genotoxic stress if they cannot be resolved and repaired by HR factors (Figure 4). During transcriptional activation, R-loops are usually formed at transcriptional termination regions, and they promote the recruitment of HR factors at transcription sites, suggesting that active transcription could lead to genome instability without HR factors. Indeed, these genotoxic R-loops accumulate at transcriptionally active regions in BRCA1 and BRCA2-deficient cells, and unresolved R-loops cause nicking in single-strand DNA, DNA breaks, and/or other forms of DNA damage. BRCA1 and BRCA2 are HR factors that are involved in DSB end resection and homologous pairing in the HR pathway, respectively. BRCA1 recruits SETX, which is a RNA/DNA helicase that is involved in TCR and in the processing of RNAs such as tRNAs and snRNAs, at transcription termination pause sites of highly transcribed genes to suppress R-loop-associated DNA damage. In the absence of SETX, R-loops are processed into DSBs by the NER endonucleases XPF and XPG. Therefore, the R-loop is a key factor that leads to the HR pathway during active transcription or after DSB induction at transcriptional active region (described in Section 2.1). In addition to the function in the HR pathway, BRCA1 is involved in transcription and TCR. BRCA1 interacts with and ubiquitinates RNAPII, and is involved in transcriptional regulation.

3.2 | BRCA1 prevents formation of R-loop

R-loops lead to the generation of genotoxic stress if they cannot be resolved and repaired by HR factors (Figure 4). During transcriptional activation, R-loops are usually formed at transcriptional termination regions, and they promote the recruitment of HR factors at transcription sites, suggesting that active transcription could lead to genome instability without HR factors. Indeed, these genotoxic R-loops accumulate at transcriptionally active regions in BRCA1 and BRCA2-deficient cells, and unresolved R-loops cause nicking in single-strand DNA, DNA breaks, and/or other forms of DNA damage. BRCA1 and BRCA2 are HR factors that are involved in DSB end resection and homologous pairing in the HR pathway, respectively. BRCA1 recruits SETX, which is a RNA/DNA helicase that is involved in TCR and in the processing of RNAs such as tRNAs and snRNAs, at transcription termination pause sites of highly transcribed genes to suppress R-loop-associated DNA damage. In the absence of SETX, R-loops are processed into DSBs by the NER endonucleases XPF and XPG. Therefore, the R-loop is a key factor that leads to the HR pathway during active transcription or after DSB induction at transcriptional active region (described in Section 2.1). In addition to the function in the HR pathway, BRCA1 is involved in transcription and TCR. BRCA1 interacts with and ubiquitinates RNAPII, and is involved in transcriptional regulation.

**FIGURE 4** Transcription-induced double-strand break (DSB) and DNA breaks are associated with cancer development. (3.1) During transcriptional activation, R-loops generated by transcriptional terminal sites or RNA polymerase II (RNAPII) pausing sites are resolved and repaired by senataxin (SETX) and breast cancer type 1 (BRCA1). (3.2) Furthermore, androgen receptor (AR)-induced DSB at the promoter region by topoisomerase (DNA) II (TOP2) leads to oncogenic TMPRSS2-ERG translocation. Estrogen receptor (ER) also induces DSBs at promoter regions by TOP2. Tyrosyl-DNA phosphodiesterase 2 (TDP2) removes TOP2 covalently bound to DNA to repair cleavage via BRCA1-mediated nonhomologous end-joining (NHEJ). Loss of BRCA1 increases DSBs in TDP2-knockout breast cancer cells, suggesting that it may suppress tumorigenesis in ER-dependent tissues.
in TCR through the polyubiquitination of CSB. Therefore, BRCA1 may always localize near transcriptional machinery and protect transcription from various types of DNA damage.

3.2 | Topoisomerase-induced DSB at transcription sites

Topoisomerases are thought to be required during transcription to relax the supercoiled DNA formed in front of and behind the transcription machinery and during DNA replication (Figure 4). TOP2 forms DSBs via its strand-cleaving activity at the promoter of estrogen-inducible genes with the ER for transcriptional activation.66,67 These DSBs are generated during the S-phase and may be repaired by HR.67

TOP2-β is also recruited at the promoter region of AR target genes and induces DSBs to promote gene expression.68 The TOP2-induced DSBs are transient and are re-ligated immediately but allow an intact DNA duplex to pass through DSB for resolution of topological stress to promote transcriptional activation. During the transiently produced DSB, TOP2 is bound covalently to DNA ends. Thus, if re-ligation fails, DSBs remains at stable TOP2-DNA complex.69 Such DSBs frequently induce chromosome rearrangement including translocation. For example, TOP2-β and AR were shown to co-localize at the TMPRSS2-ERG genomic breakpoint, generating oncogenic TMPRSS2-ERG translocation.68 Therefore, TOP2-induced DSBs at transcription sites could generate genotoxic stress and cause cancer.

The mechanism of DSB repair at stable TOP2-DNA complex has been reported. Tyrosyl-DNA phosphodiesterase 2 (TDP2) removes TOP2 from DNA to repair the DSBs and is required for AR-mediated transcription and expression of neuronal genes.70 Recently, ER-induced DSBs were reported to be increased in TDP2-knockout human breast cancer cells during the G1 phase, and BRCA1 was recruited at the DSB sites. Loss of BRCA1 causes prolonged DSBs after exposure to estrogen, suggesting its need for the repair of the ER-induced DSBs via NHEJ and, therefore, prominent DSBs were formed in NHEJ-deficient mice.71 Thus, BRCA1 may suppress tumorigenesis in ER-dependent tissues by repairing ER-dependent DSBs that are generated by topoisomerase.

The above study explained how BRCA1 functions against female-organ-specific carcinogenesis to repair DSBs produced in ER-dependent proliferating cells. Further studies are needed to understand whether BRCA2, which is also associated with hereditary breast cancer, has the same function as that of BRCA1.

4 | CONCLUSION

Interactive relationships among, DSB, DSB repair, and transcription have recently been proposed for the protection of genetic information from genotoxic stress. When DSBs are induced in the proximity of or within the gene body during active transcription, ATM or DNA-PKcs control the transcription. DSBs occurring outside the gene body during transcription induce transcriptional repression by ATM, which prevents genome rearrangement and tumorigenesis. DSBs within the gene body during transcription induce transcriptional repression and NHEJ pathway by DNA-PKcs to avoid the collision of transcription and repair machinery to promote NHEJ.

In contrast to the above finding, DSB induced at transcriptionally active sites can be repaired via HR using transcriptional histone modifications, transcription factors, R-loop, and transcribed RNA. The finding that transcribed RNA is used as a template for homologous pairing suggests that the HR pathway occurs more frequently, even in the G1 phase, when sister chromatids do not exist. Because HR is a process to preserve genome stability to eliminate DSB, defects of factors involved in the HR may give rise to tumorigenesis.

Conversely, activation of transcription is predisposed to generate genotoxic stress, such as the R-loop formed at gene termination and DNA breaks induced by topoisomerase, which are to be repaired by DSB repair factors. BRCA1, which is associated with hereditary breast cancer and ovarian cancer, is also involved in preventing the formation of R-loops, the repair of topoisomerase-mediated DSB, and HR at transcription active sites, suggesting that BRCA1 is a key factor required to ensure the safety of the transcriptional machinery and genome stability. Thus, it is essential to elucidate the link between transcription and DSB repair as it plays an important role in preventing genome stability and cancer.
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