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ABSTRACT

Background: Fabry disease is an inherited disorder of glycolipid metabolism with progressive involvement of multiple organs, including the gastrointestinal tract, in classically affected male patients. Clinical presentations in males with later-onset Fabry phenotypes are more heterogeneous and largely dependent on the level of residual α-galactosidase A activity.

Methods: We assessed agalsidase beta treatment outcomes of gastrointestinal symptoms in adult males with classic or later-onset Fabry disease. Self-reports of abdominal pain and diarrhea (‘present’/‘not present’ since previous assessment) at last clinical visit (≥0.5 year of follow-up) were compared with treatment-baseline.

Results: Classic male patients were considerably younger at first treatment than the fewer males with later-onset phenotypes (36 vs. ~47 years) and reported gastrointestinal symptoms more frequently at baseline (abdominal pain: 56% vs. 13%; diarrhea: 57% vs. 23%). As compared with baseline, significantly fewer classic patients reported abdominal pain after a median of 4.7 years of treatment (N = 171, 56% vs. 41%, P < 0.001). Moreover, significantly fewer patients reported diarrhea after 5.5 years of follow-up (N = 169, 57% vs. 47%, P < 0.05).

Among the males with later-onset phenotypes, albeit statistically non-significant, abdominal pain reports reduced after a median of 4.2 years (N = 169, 13% vs. 4%) and diarrhea reports reduced after a median of 4.4 years of treatment (N = 47, 23% vs. 13%).

Conclusions: Sustained treatment with agalsidase beta was associated with improvement in abdominal pain and diarrhea in a significant proportion of classic male Fabry patients. Males with later-onset phenotypes reported gastrointestinal symptoms much less frequently at baseline as compared with classic patients, and non-significant reductions were observed.

Abbreviations: α-Gal A, α-galactosidase A; EOW, every other week; ERT, enzyme replacement therapy; GI, gastrointestinal; GL-3, globotriaosylceramide; lyso-GL-3, globotriaosylphosphoglycerine.
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1. Introduction

Fabry disease (OMIM #301500) is a progressive, X-linked, lysosomal storage disorder caused by pathogenic variants in the GLA gene encoding a-galactosidase A (a-Gal A) [1,2]. Males with the severe, classic phenotype have absent or markedly deficient a-Gal A activity and extensive lysosomal accumulation of glycosphingolipids (in particular globotriaosylsphingosine (GL-3)) in many cell types [1,2]. Plasma levels of globotriaosylsphingosine (lyso-GL-3), the deacylated form of GL-3, are usually strikingly high in adult male patients [3]. Symptom onset typically occurs in childhood or adolescence [4,5] and manifestations of gastrointestinal (GI) tract dysfunction may include abdominal pain, diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, constipation, early satiety, and bloating [4,6-8]. As patients age, they are at high risk of developing serious organ complications (e.g. renal failure, cardiomyopathy, arrhythmia, stroke) [1,2,9].

Males with GLA variants associated with later-onset Fabry phenotypes have variable levels of residual a-Gal A activity and heterogeneity in clinical presentations [5,10]. Males who have very low levels of residual activity may develop pathology similar to pathology observed in classically affected males. If a-Gal A activity levels are significant, some tissues (e.g. vascular endothelium, most kidney cell types) may be protected from progressive GL-3 accumulation, but levels may be inadequate to prevent accumulation in myocardial cells and podocytes [11]. Plasma lyso-GL-3 levels are generally elevated but lower compared with those in classical patients [9]. Patients who have the relatively common p.Asn215Ser later-onset GLA variant often present later in life with complications primarily, although not exclusively, confined to the heart (e.g. left ventricular hypertrophy, arrhythmia) [12-14]. The prevalence of symptoms associated with Fabry disease, including GI symptoms, has not been determined in this patient population.

Enzyme replacement therapy (ERT) with either agalsidase beta (1 mg/kg every other week [EOW]) or agalsidase alfa (0.2 mg/kg EOW) is available for treatment of Fabry patients [215]. Pharmacological chaperone therapy is restricted to patients with amenable GLA variants according to results from an in vitro assay [216].

Therapeutic outcomes of GI symptoms among male patients stratified by Fabry phenotype have not yet been reported. The present Fabry Registry study evaluated the outcomes of abdominal pain and diarrhea among males with classic or later-onset Fabry phenotypes after sustained treatment with agalsidase beta.

2. Material and methods

The Fabry Registry (NCT00196742, sponsor: Sanofi Genzyme) is a multicenter, international, longitudinal, observational program designed to monitor the natural history and treatment outcomes of patients with Fabry disease. Patient and investigator involvement is voluntary. Recommended schedules of clinical assessments are available, but treating physicians determine assessment frequency according to each patient’s individual need for medical care. Regarding GI symptoms, the Fabry Registry collects patient data on abdominal pain and diarrhea.

The present analysis included male Fabry patients aged ≥18 years at initiation of agalsidase beta as their initial Fabry disease-specific treatment. They received an average dose at or near the licensed dose of 1 mg/kg EOW (range 0.9-1.1 mg/kg EOW). Eligible males were required to have had a baseline (~12 to +1 months of first treatment) and a last on-treatment assessment of abdominal pain and/or diarrhea after a minimum of 0.5 year of follow-up. The analysis was restricted to patients with GLA variants categorized as being associated with either the classic or a later-onset phenotype of Fabry disease (https://dbfpg.org/dbFpg/fabry/); herein patients are referred to as ‘classic patients’ and ‘later-onset patients’. Registry data entered up to January 8th, 2019, were analyzed. Measured outcomes were patient self-reports of abdominal pain and diarrhea, using binary responses of ‘yes’ (present) or ‘no’ (not present) to the question ‘did you experience [symptom] since the last clinical visit’. Patients were differentiated according to Fabry phenotypes.

Usable GI symptom responses were defined as ‘yes’ or ‘no’ responses excluding ‘unknown’ or missing answers. P values were calculated using McNemar’s test to compare the responses at last clinical visit with responses at treatment initiation. A P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS statistical software v.9.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

3. Results

The demographics of the male patients who started agalsidase beta treatment aged ≥18 years are summarized by phenotype in Table 1. Classic male patients were considerably younger at onset of the first Fabry symptom (any) (10 vs. 36 years), diagnosis (~32 vs. 45 years) and first agalsidase beta infusion (36 vs. ~47 years) than the few later-onset male patients. The most prevalent GLA variants associated with later-onset phenotypes included p.Asn215Ser (occurring in approximately one-third of the later-onset patients), p.Arg121His, p.Arg301Gln, p.Arg356Trp, c.639+919G>A, and p.Arg363His.

Among 171 classic male patients, 96 (56%) reported abdominal pain at start of treatment (Fig. 1A). The response had shifted from ‘yes’ to ‘no’ in 42 of these 96 (44%) patients after 4.7 years (median) of treatment, and from ‘no’ to ‘yes’ in 16 of 75 (21%) patients who initially had a ‘no’ response. Overall, there was a significant reduction in the proportion of patients reporting abdominal pain from 56% to 41% at last follow-up (P < 0.001).

Diarrhea was reported by 97 of 169 (57%) classic patients (Fig. 1B). The response had shifted from ‘yes’ to ‘no’ in 35 (36%) of these patients after 5.5 years of follow-up, and from ‘no’ to ‘yes’ in 18 of 72 (25%) patients. The reduction in the proportion of patients reporting diarrhea from 57% to 47% was statistically significant (P < 0.001).

Among 48 later-onset patients, only 6 (13%) reported abdominal pain at treatment initiation (Fig. 2A). Five (83%) of these patients had a change in response from ‘yes’ to ‘no’ after 4.2 years (median) of treatment. All but one (98%) of the 42 patients who initially had a ‘no’ response did not report abdominal pain at last follow-up. Albeit not

| Table 1 | Demographics of the classic and later-onset male patients who had initiated agalsidase beta aged ≥18 years and were included in the analysis of gastrointestinal symptoms. |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Abdominal pain |                                                                                                           |
| ‘Yes / No’ data, n | Classic male patients | Later-onset male patients |
| Age at first Fabry symptom (any), n | 171 | 48 |
| Median (25th-75th) | 144 | 35 |
| Age at Fabry diagnosis, n | 10.0 (7.1-14.6) | 36.2 (25.9-47.5) |
| Median (25th-75th) | 170 | 48 |
| Age at first agalsidase beta, n | 31.7 (22.3-39.9) | 45.4 (35.7-53.0) |
| Median (25th-75th) | 171 | 48 |
| Age at agalsidase beta follow-up time, n | 36.2 (28.9-43.7) | 47.6 (38.9-54.0) |
| Median (25th-75th) | 171 | 48 |

| Diarrhea |                                                                                                           |
| ‘Yes / No’ data, n | Classic male patients | Later-onset male patients |
| Age at first Fabry symptom (any), n | 169 | 47 |
| Median (25th-75th) | 142 | 34 |
| Age at Fabry diagnosis, n | 9.9 (6.9-14.5) | 36.0 (25.9-45.8) |
| Median (25th-75th) | 167 | 47 |
| Age at first agalsidase beta, n | 31.3 (22.3-39.3) | 45.2 (35.5-52.9) |
| Median (25th-75th) | 169 | 47 |
| Age at agalsidase beta follow-up time, n | 35.8 (27.9-43.3) | 47.1 (37.8-53.7) |
| Median (25th-75th) | 169 | 47 |

Ages and follow-up time shown in years; 25th–75th, 25th–75th percentile.
statistically significant, there was a reduction in the percentage of patients reporting abdominal pain from 13% to 4% at last follow-up.

Diarrhea was reported by 11 of 47 (23%) later-onset patients (Fig. 2B). The response changed from ‘yes’ to ‘no’ in 8 (73%) of these patients after 4.4 years of treatment. The vast majority (92%) of the 36 patients who had a ‘no’ response at treatment initiation did not report diarrhea at last follow-up. The overall reduction in the percentage of patients reporting diarrhea from 23% to 13% was statistically non-significant.

4. Discussion

To date, outcomes of GI symptoms among adult male Fabry patients receiving long-term disease-specific treatment stratified by Fabry disease phenotypes have not been assessed. This Fabry Registry analysis compared the proportion of adult males with classic or later-onset phenotypes reporting abdominal pain and/or diarrhea at baseline and after sustained treatment with agalsidase beta (0.9–1.1 mg/kg EOW).

We found a statistically significant reduction in the proportion of classic patients reporting abdominal pain (by 15%) and/or diarrhea (by 10%) after median treatment durations of 4.7 and 5.5 years, respectively. Most later-onset patients had reported absence of GI symptoms at treatment initiation, and almost all of these patients reported their absence at last follow-up. The reductions in percentages of the later-onset patients who did report presence of symptoms did not attain statistical significance.

The primary pathology in classic Fabry disease, cellular GL-3 accumulation, is believed to induce enteric small fiber neuropathy and ganglionopathy (disrupting autonomic functions), vasculopathy (causing ischemic injury) and inflammatory processes, which may collectively lead to manifestations of GI tract dysfunction (e.g. regional rapid bowel transit time, reduced peristalsis, intestinal stasis, bacterial overgrowth, malabsorption, pancreatic insufficiency, gastroparesis) [6,8,17]. In addition, high levels of plasma lyso-GL-3 may contribute to the pathology by inhibiting α-Gal A activity (promoting GL-3 storage), inducing proliferation of vascular smooth muscle cells, sensitization of peripheral nociceptive neurons, or even modifying the gut microbiota [3,18–20]. The complexity of the underlying pathology is probably responsible for the variability in descriptions of abdominal pain by Fabry patients (e.g. burning pain in the mid/lower abdomen, cramping, bloating, abdominal skin tenderness, and mid-abdominal discomfort increasing with [changes in] food intake or stress). Diarrhea may be related to meals or food intake, is often associated with significant urgency, and can be very frequent [6,8].

The exact mechanisms that may yield improvement in GI symptoms with Fabry disease-specific therapy have yet to be fully elucidated. It has been hypothesized that GL-3 in cells in the GI tract may be (partially) cleared with Fabry-specific treatment, which could lead to preservation of function or amelioration of dysfunction of these cells [21]. Agalsidase beta has been shown to clear microvascular endothelial accumulation of GL-3 from the kidneys, heart and skin [22], and ERT has been shown to improve nervous system outcomes [15]. Moreover, ERT decreased plasma lyso-GL-3 levels in an agalsidase dose-dependent manner [23,24], which paralleled changes in GI symptoms [24]. Limited data suggested that treatment with chaperone therapy was associated with improvements in diarrhea in male patients with amenable GLA variants, as measured using the Gastrointestinal Symptom Rating Scale [25].

A previous Fabry Registry study reported changes in GI symptoms among 168 females with Fabry disease receiving agalsidase beta [21]. That study did not stratify female patients by GLA variant phenotype classification (56% had a variant classified as “classic”) but reported...
overall results that were comparable to our findings in classic male patients; 45% reporting abdominal pain and 39% reporting diarrhea at treatment initiation, with reductions by 14% and 12%, respectively, after a mean agalsidase beta treatment duration of 5.7 years. Of note, clinical presentations associated with GLA variants are more heterogeneous in female patients than in male patients and depend in part on the X-chromosome inactivation profiles in the various organs [26].

As our study included only agalsidase beta-treated male patients stratified by phenotype, the prevalence of GI symptoms cannot be directly compared with the lower prevalence found in studies on the natural history of Fabry disease [7,27]. Abdominal pain (56%) and diarrhea (57%) were common at treatment initiation (median age 36 years) among classic patients in our study. A Fabry Outcome Survey study among 139 untreated male patients who had documented GI symptom data (mean age 32 years) reported a prevalence of 28% and 26% for abdominal pain and diarrhea, respectively, but the study lacked stratification by phenotype [7]. Among later-onset patients in our study, 13% and 23% of the patients reported abdominal pain or diarrhea, respectively, at treatment initiation (median age 47 years). Published observational studies exploring phenotypic presentations of later-onset Fabry disease patients are limited and have primarily focused on patients with the p.Asn215Ser [12–14] and c.639+919G>A [28–30] GLA variants and have not identified GI symptoms as being a frequent problem in patients having these variants.

Most classic patients who had GI symptoms at treatment baseline did not report complete resolution of these symptoms. A factor that may have contributed to this observation is that epidemiological studies in normal male populations, although scarce, suggest that GI symptoms are common. For example, a national, cross-sectional, telephone survey of US households (2510 subjects) reported that 18% of adult men aged 40–59 years reported abdominal pain or discomfort, and 26% diarrhea or loose stools within the month before the interview [31]. Therefore, some of the GI symptoms reported by patients included in the current analysis are likely not Fabry disease-related and unlikely to respond to Fabry-specific treatment. In these cases, patients should be investigated for other, treatable causes of cramping and diarrhea such as irritable bowel syndrome (aggravated by Fabry anxiety), inflammatory bowel disease, celiac disease, lactose intolerance and bacterial overgrowth. Another factor is that agalsidase beta treatment was initiated relatively late in most classic patients (median age 36 years), long after symptoms began, while earlier initiation has been recommended [2,32,33] and is generally associated with better clinical outcomes [15].

Our observations suggest an overall reduction in the proportion of male patients with classic Fabry disease reporting GI symptoms after sustained treatment with agalsidase beta. However, several limitations in our study must be recognized. First, the use of rigorous binary end-points of ‘yes’ (present) and ‘no’ (not present) to describe self-reported outcomes, rather than validated GI symptom rating scales [6], precluded any symptom improvements from being analyzed, as data on changes in intensity and frequency of symptoms were insufficient. Second, subjective measures may be biased by factors such as patient perception and lack of a standardized definition. Thirdly, although all patients had received an average agalsidase beta dose at or near 1 (range 0.9–1.1) mg/kg every other week, strict adherence to the biweekly infusion regimen during the entire follow-up period cannot be confirmed. Finally, co-medication use that might affect the outcomes and comorbid conditions associated with GI symptoms could not be analyzed due to limited available data.

Fig. 2. Males with a later-onset phenotype: Abdominal pain (A) and diarrhea (B) responses (‘yes’ or ‘no’) at baseline and after long-term agalsidase beta treatment follow-up.
5. Conclusions

In our analysis of binary responses, sustained treatment with agalsidase beta was associated with improvement of abdominal pain and diarrhea in a significant proportion of male patients with classic Fabry disease. GI symptoms were substantially less prevalent at treatment initiation among males with later-onset Fabry phenotypes, and the vast majority of those patients remained free of symptoms.
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