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Abstract

Background: Comprehensive neuropsychological testing for adolescents living with HIV is rare and often impractical for overstretched healthcare systems in low-resourced settings. This study aimed to 1) test the potential of a simple algorithm to screen for cognitive and functional difficulties among at-risk adolescents, and 2) using this algorithm, investigate the correlates of cognitive and functional difficulties in a large community-traced sample of South African adolescents.

Methods: The study interviewed 10-19-year-old adolescents living with HIV (n = 1059) and uninfected community controls (n = 467), attending 53 public health facilities in the Eastern Cape in 2014-15. Cognitive and functional difficulties were assessed using an algorithm (either adolescent reports of memory, attention or concentration problems, or < 60% total recall on a memory task, or caregiver reports of an adolescent being a ‘slow-learner’, or attending a special needs school) and adolescent reports of functional difficulties. Data on healthcare and psychosocial variables were also collected. Multivariable logistic regressions tested correlates of cognitive and functional difficulties, controlling for sociodemographic and household covariates.

Results: Across the sample, the prevalence of cognitive and functional difficulties was 19.3% (95% CI: 17.4-21.4). Adolescents living with HIV had significantly higher rates of cognitive and functional difficulties than community controls (21.9% vs. 13.5%, p<.001). Validating the algorithm with self-reported school grade repetition (lifetime) and number of grades repeated, cognitive and functional difficulties were associated with higher odds of lifetime grade repetition (aOR1.62 95%Cl 1.24-2.11) and a higher likelihood of repeating multiple grades (β=0.27, p<.001). Independent of covariates, potential correlates of cognitive and functional difficulties among adolescents were higher depressive symptoms (aOR1.18 95%Cl1.11-1.26), concurrent infections (aOR1.92 95%Cl1.44-2.58), and more often missing school for clinic appointments (aOR1.66 95%Cl1.24-2.23).

Conclusions: Cognitive and functional difficulties were more prevalent among adolescents living with HIV than community controls and were associated with psychosocial, physical health, and healthcare factors. Simple algorithms – like the one tested in this study – may be feasible for use in low-resourced settings, following validation against neuropsychological assessment batteries in specialised facilities. Routine screening for cognitive and functional difficulties may be integrated into HIV care to identify adolescents for additional support.

Introduction

Cognitive deficits may directly affect adolescents’ ability to reach their full potential, especially in resource-limited settings. Global rates of cognitive difficulties among adolescents living with HIV (ALHIV) range from 17% in high-income countries (1) to 45% in low-income settings (2). Children in Sub-Saharan Africa are at a greater risk of neurocognitive impairment potentially associated with widespread poverty, malnutrition, and endemic malarial infections including cerebral malaria whose effects on brain functioning tend to persist (3). ALHIV may be at an even greater risk of cognitive challenges potentially resulting from exposure of the developing brain to maternal HIV or antiretroviral therapy (ART) in the womb (4, 5), the direct effects of own vertically-acquired HIV infection (6, 7), ongoing HIV-associated inflammatory responses (8, 9), and HIV-associated opportunistic infections (10).

Depending on the timing of infection, adolescents who are infected during adolescence (horizontally-infected) may have different trajectories of cognitive effects compared with those infected in utero or postnatally during childbirth and breast feeding (vertically-infected). Vertically-infected adolescents have lived longer with HIV including infection during critical stages of neurodevelopment and are likely to have more cognitive challenges than horizontally-infected adolescents. From a neuroprotective perspective of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART), they have also had the
longest exposure to effective treatment and are expected to have fewer cognitive challenges than horizontally-infected adolescents. Conversely, from the theory of cART neurotoxicity and assumption of viral suppression, vertically-infected adolescents may have worse challenges than horizontally-infected adolescents due to longer cART exposure periods but this is not always true. Systematic reviews show that children in resource-constrained settings do not start treatment as fast as they should and do not always achieve persistent viral suppression due to several contextual factors including suboptimal access and retention in care (11). Our understanding of either theory is limited by failure of current ALHIV studies to disaggregate findings by mode of infection (10, 12) or the narrow focus on vertically-infected adolescents (13).

Cognitive deficits have been linked with difficulties in activities of daily living (14), sub-optimal adherence to cART (14, 15), and poorer educational outcomes among youth living with HIV (16). Cognitive difficulties may also play a role in the complex skills needed to avoid risky behaviour, such as negotiating safety, self-confidence to avoid drug and alcohol misuse, and strategic planning and coping to navigate social challenges. However, cognitive functioning of ALHIV in Sub-Saharan Africa remains a neglected area of research and service provision (17, 18). This is compounded by the limited availability of resources (both technical and financial) required to administer comprehensive neuropsychological assessment batteries to identify functioning levels and refer to services where available. Consequently, data in this age group remain sparse several decades into the global HIV response, limiting our understanding of the rates and correlates of cognitive deficits among ALHIV in the region.

Notably, not all ALHIV perform poorly on neuropsychological assessment tests. This then begs the question, what are the risk and protective correlates for neurocognitive impairment among ALHIV? Some cross-sectional studies report HIV-related measures (higher plasma viral load and lower CD4 count) (19–21) as risk factors for neurocognitive impairment among ALHIV while others report non HIV-related risk factors such as caregiver unemployment, poor nutrition, and orphanhood (22), and higher depression scores may be important to consider (13). Moreover, high rates of psychological (23–26) and psychiatric disorders (27, 28) have been reported among adolescents in Sub-Saharan Africa, and depression has been shown to be reciprocally associated with cognitive functioning in the general population (29). Cognitive abilities are known to vary with age, with better performance seen among younger than older individuals. Gender disparity in cognitive ability is a controversial topic. Findings from studies that have examined this relationship show sex differences in adolescent cognitive abilities in the general population, with girls tending to outperform boys on memory tasks whereas boys exhibited stronger visuo-spatial cognitive performance than girls (30). These findings were supported by a brainmap metanalysis using activation likelihood estimation (31). Although sex differences in cognitive functioning are consistently reported, systematic reviews show that these differences are not large enough for establishment of sexual dimorphism due to a large degree of sexual overlap in the cognitively normal category (11). In contrast, some studies report no sex differences in performance on most cognitive tasks among adults living with HIV (32) except for tasks assessing motor and psychomotor difficulties, where young women were shown to have more problems than young men (33). Whether these HIV by sex interaction patterns are true for ALHIV is unclear and warrant further investigation.

Understanding the burden, risk and protective factors for cognitive dysfunction among ALHIV in low-resourced settings is crucial for the development of targeted context-appropriate interventions to help young people living with HIV reach their full potential, and has implications for prevention of onwards HIV transmission in this unique age group. This cross-sectional study explored the use of an algorithm of easily obtainable measures to assess the extent of and factors associated with cognitive and functional difficulties in a large community-traced sample of ALHIV and uninfected controls in South Africa. It also explored age and sex patterns in cognitive and functional difficulties and tested for moderation. The study objectives were:
1. Explore the utility of an algorithm of easily obtained measures to code for cognitive and functional difficulties including adolescent self-report, caregiver report, and objective observer administered memory tests as an indicator of difficulties.

2. Estimate the prevalence of cognitive and functional difficulties assessed using the algorithm among South African adolescents differentiated by age, sex, HIV status and mode of HIV infection.

3. Describe the correlates of cognitive and functional difficulties among ALHIV and community controls in South Africa, and test whether sex moderates the effect of HIV on cognitive and functional difficulties.

**Methods**

All public health facilities providing HIV services in Amanthole District, Eastern Cape Province were invited to participate in the study in 2014–2015 (n = 53 facilities at baseline). Ethical clearance was provided by the University of Oxford (SSD/CUREC2/12–21), the University of Cape Town (CSSR 2013/4; 2019/01), South African Departments of Health (29/08/2014) and Basic Education (04/04/2014), and all participating health facilities. All 10-19-year-old ART-initiated adolescents in participating public health facilities were identified (n = 1175 eligible) and followed up in their homes (community tracing) to enable participant inclusion regardless of clinic attendance status (active or lost to follow-up). Reasons for non-participation were caregiver or adolescent refusal (4.1%), severe cognitive delay (0.9%), untraceable (3.7%) and not interviewed for safety reasons (1.2%). Tools were adapted in consultation with our youth advisory group and local stakeholders, were translated and back-translated from English to Xhosa and piloted with ALHIV (n = 25). Quantitative interviews were conducted with n = 1059 ART-initiated adolescents (90.1% of the eligible sample) by a local team of interviewers who were trained in the ethics of research involving children and adolescents. Adolescents aged ≥ 18 years provided full consent to participate in the study whereas younger adolescents assented with their caregivers providing full consent. To prevent the potential stigmatising effects of HIV/AIDS research, the study was generally presented as assessing adolescent healthcare needs. Consequently, 10-19-year-old apparently HIV-negative adolescents who were either living in the same home or a neighbouring home were also interviewed. HIV status was ascertained using a sequential elimination process (34). Firstly, we searched patient files and Tier.net records in all participating health facilities. Adolescents were identified as living with HIV if their file or record indicated that they had tested positive for HIV. Secondly, adolescents with no clinical HIV record were screened using an adapted symptoms- and healthcare-seeking checklist that has been widely used in Sub-Saharan Africa to track undiagnosed HIV/AIDS-related cases (35, 36). Lastly, adolescents were classed as ‘community controls’ if they did not report or have an HIV-positive clinical record at any facility, had never been on ART/long-term medication, or did not meet the HIV symptoms criteria. Details of this research have been previously described (37–39).

**Measures**

Where available, measures used items from validated scales that have been widely used in Sub-Saharan Africa. Internal validity (alpha) values for the whole sample in the current study are presented throughout where relevant.

**Cognitive and functional difficulties** assessment used a combination of measures: First, adolescent reports of difficulty remembering things or following a story or conversation in the past 6 months, were assessed using one item from the UNICEF child functioning module, (40) scored on a 4-point Likert scale (no difficulty, some difficulty, a lot of difficulty, cannot do it at all). The scale was dichotomised into no cognitive problems/cognitive problems. Second, attention and concentration problems were assessed using 2 items from the attention deficit hyperactivity disorder subscale of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) (41) e.g. I am easily distracted – I find it hard to concentrate (not true for me, somewhat true for me, certainly true for me). The SDQ has been widely used in studies of orphans and
vulnerable children in Sub-Saharan Africa (42) including among those affected by HIV (34, 43) (current study full scale \( \alpha = 0.52 \)). Third, a memory task testing recall of 5 context-appropriate words (apple, red, car, ball, and hope) was adapted from the WISC-IV cognitive assessment tool (44). The WISC-IV tool has previously been used to assess cognitive performance among South African children (45). Adolescents were given a trial to ensure comprehension prior to task completion. The words were spoken aloud one at a time by trained interviewers, in the same sequence. Adolescents were asked to repeat verbally the 5 words in the order they had heard them during the test, immediately after presentation of all the 5 words (immediate recall) and later after completing another unrelated task (delayed recall). Adolescent responses were entered in the tablet by the interviewer. During data cleaning, each word correctly remembered was awarded a score of 1. A scale of correctly recalled words at each assessment timepoint was generated by summing up individual scores for each word (range: 0–5). Total (global) recall was a summation of the immediate and delayed recall scores (range: 0–10). Owing to the lack of published age and context-relevant cut-offs for the WISC-IV word recall subset, sub-optimal performance on the memory task was operationalised as scoring < 6 out of 10 points on the global recall scale (< 60%). This captured the bottom 63% in terms of performance score distribution.

Fourth, additional cognitive information such as the adolescent being a “slow-learner” (one caregiver-reported item) or attending a special needs school was obtained from caregiver and school reflection forms (caregiver-reported items). Fifth, adolescent reports of functional difficulties (difficulties in activities of daily living) used one item from the UNICEF module of child functioning, (40) asking if adolescents had experienced difficulty seeing, hearing, walking or climbing steps, washing themselves or getting dressed (past 6 months), scored on a 4-point Likert scale (no difficulty to cannot do at all). The scale was dichotomised such that 0 = no functional difficulties and 1 = any difficulties (scores \( \geq 1 \)).

A brief screening tool for assessing cognitive and functional difficulties comprised of 7 items and was assembled using the following algorithm to create a binary outcome: (Either adolescent reports of past 6-month difficulty remembering things, following a story or conversation ‘OR’ SDQ attention problems (any) ‘OR’ SDQ concentration problems (any) ‘OR’ < 60% total recall on a memory task ‘OR’ caregiver reports of an adolescent being a ‘slow-learner’ ‘OR’ attending a special needs school) ‘AND’ adolescent reports of past 6-month functional difficulties. In summary, cognitive difficulties = any cognitive problems + functional problems. The algorithm was validated using 2 measures: adolescent reports of lifetime grade repetition and lifetime number of repeated grades.

Potential correlates for cognitive and functional difficulties among ALHIV in the current literature were identified and grouped into 2 categories shown below.

Psychosocial factors included measurement of six psychosocial variables. Adolescent depressive symptoms, assessed using a condensed version of the Child Depression Inventory (10 items, \( \alpha = 0.63 \)) previously used in South Africa (46). Scores ranged from 0–18, higher scores reflected worse depressive symptoms. Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms were assessed using 19 items of the Child PTSD Checklist (47) previously validated using a sample of AIDS-affected children (48) (\( \alpha = 0.90 \)). Suicidity assessment used the suicidality and self-harm subscale of the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview for Children and Adolescents – MINI-Kid (49) (5 items, \( \alpha = 0.87 \)). Substance abuse assessment used one item from the WHO-AUDIT scale (50), asking adolescents about past 3-month hazardous alcohol and drug use. HIV-associated internalised stigma used 6 items from the ALHIV-SS scale (\( \alpha = 0.72 \)) previously culturally-adapted using the current sample (51).

Healthcare-related factors were missing school for clinic appointments (adolescent-reported), assessed using a single item adapted from the PREPARE trial (52), scored on a 4-point Likert scale (0 = never, 1 = once or twice, 2 = several times, 3 = most of the time). The scale was dichotomised into less often missing school (never/once or twice = 0) and more often missing school for clinic appointments (several times/most of the time = 1). Concurrent infections were
assessed using a verbal symptoms scale (35, 36) and dichotomised as past 6-month reports of skin rash/shingles, or sores on the body or face, or mouth ulcers, or symptomatic tuberculosis (TB). Probable TB assessment used an algorithm of suggestive clinical symptoms (any chronic cough ‘AND’ (any yellow/green ‘OR’ any bloody sputum)) ‘AND’ scoring 2 or more on the weight loss scale ‘AND’ any night sweats ‘AND’ any fever ‘AND’ any chest pains (53, 54). cART duration was calculated as the difference between adolescent age and age at ART initiation. Vertical HIV infection was defined as having initiated ART before 12 years of age or cART duration > 5 years (55). Non-adherence was defined as < 95% medication doses taken as prescribed in the past week (56), assessed by adolescent self-report based on items from the standardised Patient Medication Adherence Questionnaire (57), combined with measures developed in Botswana for adolescent past-week, past-3 days and past-weekend adherence (58). Adolescent reports of past-week ART non-adherence in this study were validated using viral load data and self-reported opportunistic infections in prior analyses (37).

Potential confounders included: 1) sociodemographic factors of adolescent age, sex, rural residence, informal housing (e.g. living in a shack or hut made of traditional material), school grade, and orphanhood (losing at least one parent), all assessed by self-report. 2) Household factors were poverty, assessed as lacking access to all the 8 socially-perceived necessities i.e. books, fees, uniform, shoes, enough clothing and a blanket to keep warm, 2 meals a day, and visiting the doctor when sick (59), and food insecurity (at least 2 days in the past week without enough food in the home) (39).

Analysis Strategy

Data analysis was conducted in stages in Stata 14/IC. First, adolescents living with HIV were compared with community controls on a range of characteristics. Second, to validate the proposed algorithm, logistic and negative binomial regressions tested associations of cognitive and functional difficulties with reports of lifetime grade repetition (binary) and lifetime number of grades repeated (skewed count data) respectively, simultaneously controlling for sociodemographic and household factors, HIV status and missing school for clinic appointments. Third, rates of cognitive and functional difficulties disaggregated by age, sex, HIV status and mode of HIV infection were computed using crosstabulations, compared using a series of Chi-squared tests and graphically presented. Fourth, psychosocial and healthcare-related correlates of cognitive and functional difficulties were simultaneously tested in multivariable logistic regression models controlling for sociodemographic and household covariates. Mode of HIV infection and cART duration were dropped from multivariable analyses owing to significant collinearity with age and HIV status, indicated by variance inflation factors > 10. To test whether sex moderated the relationship between HIV and cognitive and functional difficulties, and interpret the findings, we fitted an HIV by sex interaction term in the regression model and computed the adjusted predicted probability of reporting cognitive and functional difficulties using marginal effects modelling.

Results

Characteristics of adolescents in the study sample

In the full sample, median age was 14 (interquartile range (IQR): 11-17), 57% girls, 22% rural residence, 18% informal dwellings, 66% lacked all the basic necessities, 14% were food insecure in the past week, and over half (52%) were orphans (Table 1). School enrolment was 94%. Of these, 55% were in primary school, 85% of whom were in age-appropriate grade. Compared with community controls, ALHIV were significantly younger (median age 13 years vs. 15 years, p<.001), fewer girls (55% vs. 61%, p=.036), less likely to be in an age-appropriate grade (46% vs. 57%, p<.001), more likely to have repeated a grade (50.0% vs. 42.2%, p=.005), with more ALHIV reporting having lost at least one
parent (59% vs. 37%, \(p<.001\)). Substance abuse was lower among ALHIV than community controls (3.8% vs. 6.4%, \(p=.023\)). Significantly more ALHIV reported concurrent infections (63.6% vs. 43.9%, \(p<.001\)) and more often missed school for clinic appointments (35.2% vs. 7.3%, \(p<.001\)). However, depressive symptoms, PTSD, and suicidality did not differ by HIV status. Among ALHIV (n= 1059), the mean HIV-related internalised stigma was 0.59 (SD: 1.29), median treatment duration was 5 years (IQR: 2-10), and past-week non-adherence was 36.4% (Table 1).

**Cognitive and functional difficulties among adolescents, by sex, HIV status and mode of HIV infection**

For adolescent-reported cognitive items, past 6-month reports of cognitive difficulties did not differ by sex. ALHIV were more likely to report past 6-month cognitive difficulties than community controls, 30.4% vs. 19.1%, \(p<.001\) (Table 1). Past 6-month reports of cognitive difficulties did not differ by mode of HIV infection (horizontal/vertical). SDQ attention and concentration measures did not differ by sex or HIV status or mode of infection. Caregiver/school reports of “slow-learner” and special school attendance did not differ by HIV status. For the objective memory task (total recall), a higher proportion of boys than girls scored <60%, although this was not significant (64.2% vs. 59.6%, \(p=.070\)). A similar non-significant trend was observed among ALHIV (64.1% vs. 59.7%, \(p=.144\)). Performance on the memory task did not differ by HIV status or mode of HIV infection. Past 6-month adolescent reports of functional difficulties did not differ by sex. ALHIV were more likely to report past 6-month functional difficulties than community controls (24.4% vs. 15.9%, \(p<.001\)). However, past 6-month reports of functional difficulties did not significantly differ by mode of HIV infection (horizontal/vertical). Using our screening algorithm for total cognitive and functional difficulties, ALHIV had higher rates of combined cognitive and functional difficulties compared with community controls, 21.9% vs. 13.5%, \(p<.001\), respectively (Table 1).

**Validating cognitive and functional difficulties assessed using the algorithm**

Findings from regression analyses showed that cognitive and functional difficulties assessed using the proposed algorithm were associated with higher odds of repeating a school grade (aOR = 1.62, CI: 1.24-2.11, \(p<.001\)) and a higher likelihood of repeating many grades (\(\beta = 0.27, CI: 0.11-0.43, p<.001\)), independent of age, sex, rural residence, informal housing and orphanhood, poverty, food insecurity, HIV status and missing school for clinic appointments (Table 2).

**Cognitive and functional difficulties among adolescents by age and HIV status**

In age-stratified analyses, our findings show that cognitive and functional difficulties were significantly more prevalent among ALHIV than community controls, within both the younger and older age groups (Fig 1).

**Cognitive and functional difficulties among adolescents, by sex and HIV status**

Sex appeared to moderate the relationship between HIV status and cognitive and functional difficulties among adolescents, with the risk difference between ALHIV and community controls being large and significant for boys (ALHIV vs. community controls: 22.1% vs. 8.7%, \(\chi^2 = 15.64, p<.001\)) but not significant for girls (ALHIV vs. community controls: 21.8% vs. 16.6%, \(\chi^2 = 3.26, p=.071\), Fig 2). These findings were tested by fitting the HIV status by sex interaction term in multivariate logistic regression models (Table 3).

**Cognitive and functional difficulties among adolescents by age, HIV status, and mode of infection**
Our findings show that rates of cognitive and functional difficulties were not significantly different for younger and older adolescents among horizontally-infected and vertically-infected ALHIV, and community controls (Fig 3).

### Cognitive and functional difficulties among adolescents by sex, HIV status and mode of infection

Cognitive and functional difficulties did not differ by sex among ALHIV (boy vs. girl: 22.1% vs. 21.8%). Additionally, no significant sex differences in cognitive and functional difficulties were observed among both horizontally-infected and vertically-infected adolescents (Fig 4). However, for community controls, rates of cognitive and functional difficulties were significantly higher among girls than boys (16.6% vs. 8.7%, \( p = .016 \), Fig 4).

### Multivariable regression analysis of psychosocial and healthcare-related correlates of cognitive and functional difficulties, HIV by sex interaction and potential confounders

Independent of covariates, psychosocial and healthcare-related correlates of cognitive and functional difficulties were higher depressive symptoms (aOR per unit increase = 1.18, CI: 1.11-1.27, \( p < .001 \)), more often missing school for clinic appointments (aOR = 1.66, CI: 1.24-2.23, \( p < .001 \)), and concurrent infections (aOR = 1.92, CI: 1.44-2.58, \( p < .001 \)). The HIV x sex interaction term was significant (aOR = 2.04, CI: 1.02-4.07, \( p < .05 \)) (Table 3). Findings from modelling the adjusted predicted probabilities showed that ALHIV boys were significantly more likely to report cognitive and functional difficulties than HIV-negative boys: 20.8% and 11.1% respectively; whereas the probability of reporting cognitive and functional difficulties did not differ significantly by HIV-status among adolescent girls: 20.4% and 19.2% among ALHIV girls and HIV-negative girls respectively (Fig 5).

### Discussion

The study aimed to explore the potential of a brief screening algorithm for cognitive and functional difficulties, describe patterns by age, sex, HIV status and mode of HIV infection, and correlates of difficulties among adolescents. Overall, more than a quarter (26.9%) of adolescents in our study reported past 6-month cognitive difficulties. About 1 in 5 (21.8%) reported past 6-month functional difficulties (difficulties in activities of daily living). More than 3 in 5 (61.6%) scored < 60% on the memory test. Based on the proposed screening algorithm (which captured subjective attention, concentration, subjective and objective memory, and subjective functioning measures), about 1 in 5 (19.3%) of the adolescents in our community-traced sample were classed as having cognitive and functional difficulties. Rates of cognitive and functional difficulties assessed using the algorithm were high among both ALHIV and community controls but significantly higher for ALHIV i.e. more than 1 in 5 (21.9%) and 1 in 8 (13.5%) respectively. These findings are consistent with those from past studies investigating the potential impact of HIV on cognitive functioning among children living with HIV in low-resourced settings (2, 20, 60), and indicate a considerable burden especially in areas where there is scant provision for such difficulties. However, objective memory – assessed using an adapted item of the WISC-IV cognitive assessment tool – did not significantly vary by HIV status. This could be partly due to participant's exposure to low quality education (61), similar levels of poverty and adverse living conditions (62–66), and similar psychopathology in both groups, all of which are known to shape child development and cognitive functioning in a range of settings.

Overall, living with HIV was associated with a higher likelihood of cognitive and functional difficulties and a lower likelihood of being in an age-appropriate grade. Cognitive and functional difficulties were associated with a higher likelihood of lifetime grade repetition, and repeating multiple grades, independent of sociodemographic and household factors, and HIV status. In this setting, children are often held back a year if their performance does not meet the learning requirements. Such measures may be in the adolescent’s interest and may enhance school performance in the long term, but they may also have long-term impact on school completion rates and future employability.
When examined, the relationship between HIV status and cognitive and functional difficulties appeared to be moderated by sex in our study. Adolescent boys living with HIV were more likely to screen positive for cognitive difficulties than uninfected boys whereas the adjusted predicted probability of difficulties did not significantly differ between adolescent girls living with HIV and uninfected girls, suggesting the potential for synergistic interaction. We do not fully understand the mechanisms by which biological sex interacts with HIV to confer risk or protection against cognitive and functional difficulties. However, it is well established that younger boys have more psychopathology than younger girls and tend to perform poorer at school in younger years. In our study, girls were more likely to be in an age-appropriate grade than boys, both in the whole sample and among ALHIV respectively. They also had a lower likelihood of lifetime grade repetition, and were less likely to repeat many grades.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to test associations of psychosocial factors i.e. mental health issues (depression, PTSD, suicidality and substance abuse) and HIV-related internalised stigma with cognitive and functional difficulties among ALHIV in Sub-Saharan Africa. Most African studies have focused on the effect of HIV on the mental health outcomes of youth living with HIV. Of the psychosocial factors tested here, only higher depressive symptoms remained independently associated with cognitive and functional difficulties among both ALHIV and community controls. These findings are concordant with those among perinatally HIV-infected youth in high-income-countries (13, 67). The biological mechanisms underpinning the link between depression and cognitive functioning are not clearly understood. It has been suggested that depression and cognitive functioning may share common biological pathways and therefore may be reciprocally associated but findings in the current literature are equivocal. Some studies have found a bidirectional relationship whereas others did not (68, 69). Additionally, higher depressive symptoms predict suicidal thoughts and subsequent suicide in the general population (70, 71). In our study, depression and suicidality were significantly correlated (results not presented), and when depression was added to the regression model, the relationship between suicidality and cognitive difficulties was substantially attenuated and non-significant, suggesting that interventions to reduce depression may improve cognitive and physical functioning of ALHIV and their overall quality of life.

Adolescent-reported concurrent infections (past 6-months) persisted as correlates of cognitive and functional difficulties in multivariate analyses suggesting that treating chronic coinfections may resolve some of the cognitive problems experienced by adolescents in the study setting. HIV-related opportunistic infections are an indicator of disease severity. Measures of disease severity have been associated with worse cognitive impairment in past studies of HIV-positive youth (67). More often missing school for clinic appointments was associated with higher odds of cognitive and functional difficulties. Innovative approaches aimed at reducing clinic waiting times such as fast-tracked clinic queues for young people (34) and long-acting medicines that reduce the need to make frequent visits to the clinic for refills may be particularly helpful.

**Limitations**

Our findings should be interpreted with caution. Firstly, cross-sectional associations do not imply causation. Secondly, our proposed screening algorithm heavily relied on subjective measures and may not capture HIV-related neuronal damage. Although this may be a problem, the algorithm discriminated between potentially cognitively-affected adolescents and those who were not. Thirdly, we did not test the impact of combination ART regimens, viral load and CD4 count on cognitive and functional difficulties owing to high levels of missing ART data in patient files – common to resource-constrained healthcare systems. Fourthly, our findings may have suffered from residual confounding from unknown or known unmeasured markers of cognitive impairment such as markers of ongoing inflammation e.g. tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) and interferon-alpha (IFN-α), nadir CD4 count (lowest CD4 count before ART initiation), and cardiometabolic consequences of HIV and/or its treatment. These factors have been associated with
cognitive impairment but were not assessed in our study because they are not routinely measured in the study setting. Nonetheless, we included a large number of theory-driven variables to control for the effects of a non-randomised design. Lastly, community controls did not have a biological HIV test and although highly unlikely, we may have included some adolescents with HIV in this group.

**Conclusion And Future Recommendations**

The absence of an efficient and effective screening strategy has been a major limitation for cognitive screening in low-resourced settings (17). A screening algorithm that combines results from self-reported cognitive and functional measures and a rapid objective cognitive test may be an economically-viable option for clinical practice. It may be feasible for identifying ALHIV at high risk of cognitive impairment and in need of further examination in low-and-middle-income settings where the skill and resources required for administering comprehensive neuropsychological testing batteries are seldom available. The paediatric HIV response may benefit from integrating cognitive and mental health interventions within the HIV cascade of care to improve patient outcomes and wellbeing, and help ALHIV reach their full potential. Further studies should validate the effectiveness of a screening tool – such as the algorithm tested in this study – against neuropsychological testing batteries as the gold standard for diagnosis.
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### Tables

**Table 1: Characteristics of adolescents in the study sample disaggregated by HIV status (n = 1526)**
| Measures                                      | All adolescents (n = 1526) | Adolescents living with HIV (n = 1059) | Community Controls (n = 467) | \( \chi^2 \) or t-statistic |
|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| **Sociodemographic factors**                 |                           |                                        |                               |                           |
| Age (years), median (IQR)                    | 14 (11-17)                | 13 (11-16)                             | 15 (12-17)                    | 32.05***§                 |
| Female sex                                   | 867 (56.8)                | 583 (55.1)                             | 284 (60.8)                    | 4.39*                     |
| Primary school grade (n=1437)                | 836 (54.8)                | 632 (63.5)                             | 204 (46.2)                    | 37.92***                  |
| Age-appropriate grade (n=1437)               | 711 (46.6)                | 460 (46.2)                             | 251 (56.8)                    | 13.64***                  |
| Ever repeated a grade                        | 726 (47.6)                | 529 (50.0)                             | 197 (42.2)                    | 7.84**                    |
| Rural residence                              | 337 (22.2)                | 227 (21.5)                             | 110 (23.8)                    | 0.94                      |
| Informal housing                             | 272 (17.8)                | 199 (18.8)                             | 73 (15.6)                     | 2.21                      |
| Orphanhood (lost at least one parent)        | 791 (51.8)                | 619 (58.5)                             | 172 (36.8)                    | 60.68***                  |
| **Household factors**                        |                           |                                        |                               |                           |
| Poverty (lacking access to basic needs)      | 1012 (66.3)               | 715 (67.5)                             | 297 (63.6)                    | 2.23                      |
| Food insecurity                              | 211 (13.8)                | 153 (14.5)                             | 58 (12.4)                     | 1.13                      |
| **Psychosocial factors**                     |                           |                                        |                               |                           |
| Depressive symptoms scale                    | 1.30 (2.10)               | 1.25 (1.98)                            | 1.40 (2.35)                   | 1.26                      |
| PTSD symptoms scale                          | 5.26 (7.29)               | 5.10 (6.78)                            | 5.60 (8.34)                   | 1.23                      |
| Suicidality scale                            | 0.21 (0.81)               | 0.19 (0.78)                            | 0.25 (0.88)                   | 1.29                      |
| Substance abuse                              | 70 (4.6)                  | 40 (3.8)                               | 30 (6.4)                      | 5.17*                     |
| HIV-related internalised stigma scale        | 0.41 (1.23)               | 0.59 (1.29)                            | –                             | –                         |
| **Healthcare-related factors**               |                           |                                        |                               |                           |
| Missing school for clinics (3 times or more) | 407 (26.7)                | 373 (35.2)                             | 34 (7.3)                      | 129.37***                 |
| †Concurrent infections                       | 879 (57.6)                | 674 (63.6)                             | 205 (43.9)                    | 51.75***                  |
| Vertical infection                            | 708 (46.4)                | 708 (66.9)                             | –                             | –                         |
| cART duration (median)                       | 5 (2-10)                  | 5 (2-10)                               | –                             | –                         |
| Past-week medication non-adherence           | 385 (25.2)                | 385 (36.4)                             | –                             | –                         |
| **Cognitive and functional outcomes**        |                           |                                        |                               |                           |
| Past 6-month cognitive difficulties | 411 (26.9) | 322 (30.4) | 89 (19.1) | 21.21*** |
|------------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|---------|
| SDQ attention and concentration issues | 85 (5.7)  | 56 (5.3)  | 31 (6.6) | 1.10    |
| Slow learner by caregiver/school report | 3 (0.2)  | 2 (0.2)  | 1 (0.2)  | 0.01    |
| Attending a special school | 38 (2.5)  | 26 (2.5)  | 13 (2.8) | 0.14    |
| Past 6-month functional difficulties | 332 (21.8) | 258 (24.4) | 74 (15.9) | 13.81*** |
| < 60% recall on a memory word task | 940 (61.6) | 653 (61.7) | 287 (61.5) | 0.06    |
| ‡Cognitive and functional difficulties | 295 (19.3) | 232 (21.9) | 63 (13.5) | 14.72*** |

HIV: human immunodeficiency virus, ART: antiretroviral therapy, n: number, %: percentage, IQR: interquartile range, SD: standard deviation, § value from median test for age, PTSD: post-traumatic stress disorder, cART: combination antiretroviral therapy, SDQ: strength and difficulties questionnaire, ‡computed using the proposed algorithm (any cognitive problems plus functional problems). † Any skin rash/shingles or sores on the body or face or mouth ulcers or probable tuberculosis infection. ***p<.001, **p<.01, *p<.05

Table 2: Validation of the cognitive and functional difficulties screening algorithm with A) adolescent-reports of lifetime grade repetition
and B) lifetime number of grades repeated
| Measures | aOR (95%CI) | P-value | β‡ (SE) | P value |
|----------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|
| **Sociodemographic factors** | | | | |
| Age (per unit increase) | 1.10 (1.06-1.14) | <.001 | .072 (.012) | <.001 |
| Female sex | 0.62 (0.50-0.77) | <.001 | -.324 (.072) | <.001 |
| Rural residence | 1.50 (1.16-1.94) | .002 | .215 (.081) | .008 |
| Informal housing | 1.04 (0.79-1.37) | .791 | .127 (.089) | .154 |
| Orphanhood (any) | 1.12 (0.90-1.38) | .317 | .110 (.072) | .127 |
| **Household factors** | | | | |
| Poverty (lacking access to basic needs) | 1.19 (0.95-1.50) | .128 | .020 (.077) | .795 |
| Food insecurity | 0.29 (0.06-1.33) | .110 | .086 (.099) | .384 |
| **Healthcare-related factors** | | | | |
| HIV positive status | 1.29 (1.01-1.64) | .042 | .152 (.084) | .070 |
| Missing school for clinics (3 times or more) | 1.28 (1.00-1.64) | .051 | .135 (.080) | .093 |
| **Algorithm** | | | | |
| Cognitive and functional difficulties | 1.62 (1.24-2.11) | <.001 | .267 (.083) | <.001 |

§All variables were simultaneously tested, aOR = adjusted odds ratio, ‡unstandardised beta coefficient, SE: standard error, HIV: human immunodeficiency virus.

**Table 3: Multivariable logistic regression of factors associated with cognitive and functional difficulties in the full sample (n = 1526)**
### Outcome: cognitive and functional difficulties
All factors tested simultaneously (n=1451)

| Measures                                      | aOR (95% CI)    | P value |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| **Sociodemographic factors**                  |                 |         |
| Age (per unit increase)                       | 0.96 (0.91-1.01)| .094    |
| Female sex                                    | **1.99 (1.06-3.71)** | .031    |
| Rural residence                               | 1.02 (0.73-1.41)| .920    |
| Informal housing                              | 0.98 (0.69-1.39)| .893    |
| Orphanhood (any)                              | 1.14 (0.87-1.51)| .345    |
| **Household factors**                         |                 |         |
| Poverty (lacking access to basic needs)       | 1.00 (0.75-1.35)| .986    |
| Food insecurity                               | 1.31 (0.90-1.91)| .154    |
| **Psychosocial factors**                      |                 |         |
| Depressive symptoms scale (per unit increase) | **1.18 (1.11-1.26)** | <.001   |
| PTSD symptoms scale (per unit increase)       | 1.00 (0.99-1.02)| .623    |
| Suicidality scale (per unit increase)         | 0.97 (0.82-1.15)| .715    |
| Substance abuse (past 3-month)                | 1.16 (0.62-2.16)| .647    |
| HIV-related internalised stigma scale (per unit increase) | 1.10 (0.98-1.24)| .099    |
| **Healthcare-related factors**                |                 |         |
| Missing school for clinics (3 times or more)  | **1.66 (1.24-2.23)** | <.001   |
| † Concurrent infections                        | **1.92 (1.44-2.58)** | <.001   |
| HIV status (positive)                         | 2.21 (1.19-4.11) | .012    |
| HIV status (positive) x sex (girl)            | **0.49 (0.25-0.98)** | .044    |
| ¥ HIV status (positive) x sex (boy)           | **2.04 (1.02-4.07)** | .044    |
| Past-week nonadherence                        | 1.13 (0.83-1.54) | .439    |
| **Model statistics**                          |                 |         |
| LR chi² (df)                                  | 115.59 (17)     |         |
| Prob > chi²                                   | <.0001          |         |
| Pseudo R² (%)                                 | 7.75            |         |

aOR: adjusted odds ratio, PTSD: post-traumatic stress disorder, HIV: human immunodeficiency virus, TB: Tuberculosis.
† Any skin rash/shingles or sores on the body or face or mouth ulcers or probable tuberculosis infection. ¥From the
same model but with reverse-coded sex. cART duration and mode of infection were dropped from analysis due strong collinearity with age and HIV status. **Significant factors are in bold face.**