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Molecular and immuno-advances
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Immunologic and metabolic consequences of PI3K/AKT/mTOR activation in melanoma
Vashish G Y Nanda, Weyi Peng, Patrick Hwu, Michael A Davies
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Background: The PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway has been implicated in multiple cancers, and as a regulator of many key oncogenic processes. Our studies implicate a role for this pathway in resistance to both targeted and immune therapies for melanoma.

Materials and methods: Melanoma cell lines and clinical specimens were utilized to study the significance and functional consequences of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway. Analyses of clinical specimens were performed under institutional review board-approved protocols.

Results: Pilot whole genome expression profiling and synthetic lethality screens implicated oxidative phosphorylation (OxPhos) in resistance to BRAF and MEK inhibitors in BRAF-mutant human melanoma cell lines. Characterization of panels of human cell lines with de novo or acquired resistance to MAPK pathway inhibitors demonstrated that ~50 % of the cell lines exhibited a high OxPhos phenotype. The presence of high OxPhos correlated with increased expression of PGC1-alpha and with sensitivity to combined inhibition of the MAPK pathway and mTORC1/2. mTORC1/2 inhibition caused cytoplasmic sequestration of MITF and subsequent decreased expression of MITF-regulated genes, including PGC1-alpha. In vitro testing demonstrated that a direct OxPhos inhibitor similarly achieved growth inhibition and apoptosis in some human melanoma cell lines with high OxPhos. Further, the OxPhos inhibitor abrogated the growth of inhibitor-resistant BRAF mutant human melanoma cell lines in vivo. Activation of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway by loss of PTEN was also shown to promote resistance to T cell mediated cell killing in vitro and in vivo. Loss of PTEN correlated with decreased CD8 cell infiltrates in clinical specimens and increased expression of immunosuppressive cytokines. While pan-PI3K inhibitors inhibited immune cell viability and function, isoform-selective inhibitors did not significantly affect immune function and they produced synergy with immunotherapy.

Conclusions: The PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway is an important regulator of key cellular processes in melanoma, and should be considered as a candidate combinatorial partner for both targeted and immune therapies.
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Background: Approximately 50 % of melanoma patients present activating mutations in the BRAF oncogene. For these patients new therapeutic options have been made available in recent years represented by BRAF and MEK kinase inhibitors (KIs). However, the main issue remains the development of drug resistance, which is responsible for disease relapse within months after treatment. Established drug resistance is caused in most cases by several gene mutations which either reactivate the MAPK/ERK pathway or involve activation of receptor tyrosine kinase driven survival pathways. However, besides mutational events it is emerging that a wealth of adaptive changes take place in melanoma cells after drug exposure which heavily contribute to the development of resistance.

Our group has focused its attention over the past years to some of these adaptive changes in the search of new therapeutic targets.

Materials and methods: Up to ten melanoma cell lines bearing different mutations in the BRAF gene were exposed to BRAFi and/or MEKi from 2 to 72 h and harvested for protein and RNA extraction. Drug resistant melanoma cell populations were selected using increasing drug concentrations over a 2 months period. Activation of RTKs and intracellular signaling was assessed by RTK arrays and western blotting. ErbB3 receptor was inhibited by monoclonal antibodies A3 and A4. Changes in miRNA levels were detected by Nanostring technology or by RT-PCR. Tumor biopsies were obtained from patients before Ki therapy or after relapse and used for RNA extraction.

Results: We first showed that ErbB3 is the main receptor to be phosphorylated after short term exposure to KIs in different melanoma lines. ErbB3 activation and in turn AKT phosphorylation depends on an autocrine loop due to increased neuregulin-1 production. Anti-ErbB3 mAbs cause receptor internalization and degradation and potentiate the growth inhibitory effect of BRAFi and MEKi in vitro and in vivo. Most importantly mAbs-induced ErbB3 inhibition impairs the development of resistance in vitro and tumor relapse in vivo after therapy discontinuation. More recently we focused our attention on microRNA deregulations after drug exposure of melanoma cells. Our preliminary data show the involvement of a network of miRNAs on the modulation of the response to KIs.
Conclusions: Melanoma cells bearing activating BRAF mutations undergo a series of adaptive changes after exposure to drugs that inhibit the MAPK pathway, which help them to survive and help the development resistance. Identification of these adaptive changes is leading to identification of new targets for intervention.
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Tumor-intrinsic beta-catenin signaling mediates tumor-immune avoidance
Stefani Spranger1, Thomas F. Gajewski1,2
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Background: Growing evidence has emerged that a subset of melanoma patients shows signs for spontaneous anti-tumor immune responses and T cell infiltration into tumor sites, which represents an important prognostic value and which is associated with clinical responses to immunotherapies. However, the underlying molecular mechanisms that can explain the absence of a T cell response in the majority of patients are not defined.

Results: Exome sequencing and gene expression profiling of melanoma biopsies revealed activation of β-catenin in a major subset of non-T cell-infiltrated tumors. Using an inducible autochthonous mouse melanoma model (BrafV600E/PTEN−/−; CAT-STA1; BP and BPC) we herein demonstrate a causal effect between tumor-intrinsic active β-catenin signaling and T cell exclusion. Mechanistic studies revealed a lack of T cell priming against tumor-associated antigens in the context of β-catenin-expressing tumors. In-depth analysis indicated that absence of T cells was caused by defective recruitment of CD103+ dermal dendritic cells, due to repressed expression of the chemokine CCL4. Tumors expressing active β-catenin were resistant to therapy with anti-CTLA-4/anti-PD-1 antibodies, mimicking the phenotype observed in humans. The absence of CD103+ dendritic cells led to defective early T cell priming and absence of systemic immunity. However, whether tumor-intrinsic β-catenin signaling is responsible for mediating tumor resistance even after an anti-tumor T cell response is established remains elusive. To test this notion, we used the spontaneously rejected tumor cell line MC57.Siy, which is known to induce an immunologic memory mediating immune surveillance. Following rejection of MC57.Siy in BP-Siy and BPC-Siy autochthonous tumors were induced. Although the primary SIY-specific CD8+ T cell response and the induced memory response were comparable between both tumor models, tumor protection was observed against BP-Siy tumors, whereas it was absent in BPC-Siy tumors. This increased tumor control in BP-Siy mice was accompanied by strong T cell infiltration and a boosted memory response. These results suggest that tumor-intrinsic β-catenin signaling might also be responsible for the observed exclusion of migrating effector T cells into the tumor site.

Conclusion: Taken together, these data provide strong evidence that up-regulation of β-catenin in tumor cells is a very potent mechanism of immune evasion against not only a primary immune response, but also against an immunologic memory. Moreover, tumor-intrinsic β-catenin activation likely mediates resistance not only to checkpoint blockade therapy but also to T cell adoptive transfer. Future studies will focus on therapeutic solutions targeting the activated β-catenin pathway with the intention to allow inflammation into this subset of tumors.
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It is generally assumed that in order to be used as targets of antibody-based immunotherapy of malignant diseases, tumor antigens have to be expressed on the plasma membrane of tumor cells. This assumption has markedly reduced the number of tumor antigens which can be targeted with antibody-based immunotherapy, since only a few of the molecules expressed on the plasma membrane of tumor cells meet the criteria required to be used as targets of antibody-based immunotherapy. Intracellular TAs which analyses with T cells have shown to be much more diverse than those expressed on plasma membrane, have been excluded from studies to identify targets of antibody-based immunotherapy for the treatment of malignant diseases. We have hypothesized that, under certain experimental conditions and especially in malignant cells, intracellular molecules may migrate to cell surface and become accessible to interact with the corresponding antibodies. Our hypothesis is supported by the isolation of the human monoclonal antibody (mAb) W9, which recognizes an extracellular epitope of the intracellular chaperone molecule glucose regulated protein of 94,000 Da (Grp94). The mAb W9 defined Grp94 epitope is expressed on various types of malignant cells including melanoma. In several types of cancer, the mAb W9 defined Grp94 epitope is expressed not only on differentiated cancer cells, but also on cancer initiating cells (CICs). The mAb W9 defined Grp94 epitope is upregulated on BRAFV600E melanoma cells by incubation with BRAF inhibitor, and with chemotherapeutic agents. In contrast, the mAb W9 defined Grp94 epitope is not detectable on normal cells. Therefore it represents an attractive target to implement antibody-based immunotherapy of various types of solid tumors.

In this study we have investigated the in vitro and in vivo anti-tumor activity of mAb W9 utilizing malignant melanoma as a model. The results obtained indicate that mAb W9 inhibits the growth of melanoma cells. This effect reflects the induction of apoptosis as well as the inhibition of several signaling pathways including Erk, Akt and FAK pathways. The anti-tumor activity of mAb W9 is enhanced by the small molecule LDE225, an inhibitor of SHH pathway. Furthermore, mAb W9 delays the development of BRAF inhibitor resistance in melanoma cells with mutant BRAF. Lastly, mAb W9 induces the regression of experimental lung metastasis established in immunodeficient mice by intravenous administration of melanoma M21 cells.

If representative of a general phenomenon, these results suggest that intracellular tumor antigens may be a useful source of targets for antibody-based immunotherapy of melanoma and other types of solid tumors.

Combination therapies
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Recent successes of cancer immunotherapy have demonstrated the power of anti-tumor T cells to change outcome of metastatic disease and are transforming cancer treatment. Two key observations have emerged from clinical studies. The first is that a fraction of patients with metastatic disease possesses a sufficient number and repertoire of tumor-reactive T cells that can be unleashed by immune checkpoint blockade to cause tumor regression [1]. The second is that unique mutated proteins expressed by an individual tumor are a source of powerful tumor-specific T cell antigens [2]. An outstanding challenge is to devise novel strategies to vaccine the vast majority of cancer patients who do not respond to immune checkpoint blockade against their own individual tumor. Local tumor radiotherapy is increasingly considered an appealing strategy to achieve personalized vaccination, and we have shown that it can convert tumors refractory to immune checkpoint inhibitors into...
responsive ones by breaking down the barriers to immune cell infiltration and promoting development of anti-tumor T cells [3–5]. These pro-immunogenic effects of radiation are modulated by the pre-existing tumor microenvironment, and are dependent on the dose and fractionation of radiation employed [6, 7]. Immuneogenic cell death is induced by radiation in a dose-dependent way, with higher ablative single doses being more effective in vitro [8]. However, in vivo the pro-immunogenic effects of radiation are countered by generation of immunosuppressive mediators. We have recently identified a critical role of transforming growth factor beta (TGFβ) in regulating development of anti-tumor T cells and tumor rejection following radiotherapy [9]. We are currently investigating the role of other TGFβ family members, as well as adenosine pathway regulators in modulating the immune response to radiation. Our study indicates that targeting tumor with LIGHT may be an effective strategy for non-T cell-inflamed tumors which are resistant to checkpoint blockades.
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**K6 Creating a T cell-inflamed tumor microenvironment overcomes resistance to checkpoint blockade**
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Immune checkpoint blockade results in impressive clinical responses. However, only a minority of patients benefit from such therapies. It is urgently desired to understand the mechanisms, and more importantly, to find approaches that can overcome tumor resistance to checkpoint blockade. Several clinical studies have shown strong correlations between a spontaneous T cell-inflamed tumor microenvironment and responses to checkpoint blockade. However, majority of established tumors are non-T cell-inflamed and resist to such immunotherapies. It raises possibility that preexisting T cells inside tumor tissues is essential for checkpoint blockade. Here we demonstrate that sufficient T cell infiltration in tumor tissues is a prerequisite for the response to PD-L1 blockade. Targeting tumors with tumor necrosis factor superfamily member LIGHT activates lymphotixin beta receptor signaling, leading to the production of chemokines that recruit massive numbers of T cells. Furthermore, targeting non-T cell-inflamed tumor tissues by antibody-guided LIGHT creates a T cell-inflamed microenvironment and overcomes tumor resistance to checkpoint blockade. Our study indicates that targeting tumor with LIGHT may be an effective strategy for non-T cell-inflamed tumors which are resistant to checkpoint blockades.

**K7 Biomarkers for treatment decisions?**
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Melanoma includes a group of genetically and clinically distinct malignancies derived from the neural crest. UV-light contributes significantly. It is the major cause for genetic alterations. The mutation load is also relevant for immune responses. In addition, there are a number of driver mutations that can be targeted by small molecules. In this context melanoma is a paradigm for precision medicine. Biomarkers are essential in this context and will get more and more important for clinical treatment decisions.

**K8 Combining oncolytic therapies in the era of checkpoint inhibitors**
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**Background:** T-VEC is an HSV-derived oncolytic immunotherapy designed to induce systemic antitumor immunity. In a phase 3 melanoma study, T-VEC monotherapy demonstrated a significantly higher durable response rate (DRR, ≥6 months response) vs GM-CSF (Andftbacka et al. ASCO 2013). Combining T-VEC to promote release of tumor-derived antigens with an immune checkpoint inhibitor such as ipi to improve T cell responses may enhance efficacy compared to either alone. The phase 1b portion of this phase 1b/2 combination study (NCT01740297) completed enrollment and met its primary objective with no dose limiting toxicities and objective response rate (ORR) of 56% (Puzanov et al. ASCO 2014).

**Materials and methods:** Key criteria: Stage IIIIB-IV melanoma, no prior systemic tx, measurable disease, and ≥1 injectable cutaneous, subcutaneous, or nodal lesion. T-VEC was given intralesionally at ≤4 mL of 10^6 PFU/mL at week 1, then 10^6 PFU/mL at week 4 and then q2w. Ipi 3 mg/kg q3w was given as four infusions starting week 6. T-VEC continued until DLT, intolerance, all injectable tumors disappeared, or disease progression (PD) per immune-related response criteria (irRC). Blood was collected at weeks 1, 4, 6, 9, 15, and after tx completion and tumor assessments Q12W.

**Results:** Data cutoff was 22 Dec 2014 with all pts ≥17 months from start of tx. Of 18 pts receiving T-VEC + ipi, treatment-emergent gr 3/4 AEs occurred in 32 % and gr 3/4 immune-related AEs in two pts with no treatment-related deaths. Per irRC, ORR: 56 % (33 % CR), DRR: 44 %. Median time to response: 5.3 months (range 2.6–5.7). Median progression free survival (PFS): 10.6 months (2.6–19.3+). Median overall survival (OS) was not reached with 12 and 18 months survival at 72.2 and 67 %. On a lesion level, 24 and 11 of 35 injected index lesions and 8 and 5 of 16 un.injected index lesions regressed ≥50 and 100 %, respectively. Higher antibody (ab) titers to MAGEA3 occurred more frequently during tx in responders, but ab to 27 other melanoma-associated antigens showed less distinct changes.

**Conclusions:** At >17 months, TVEC + ipi continued to demonstrate durable responses with 2/3 of pts alive at 18 months and no new safety signals. Phase 2 (ipi vs T-VEC + ipi) is ongoing and Phase Ib/III study of T-VEC + pembrolizumab has been started.

**K9 Immune checkpoint blockade for melanoma: should we combine or sequence ipilimumab and PD-1 antibody therapy?**
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...
Immune checkpoint blockade with agents such as ipilimumab, pembrolizumab, and nivolumab has dramatically changed the outlook for patients with metastatic melanoma. Several studies have investigated the combination of various checkpoint blocking antibodies such as the combination of ipilimumab and nivolumab and the combination of ipilimumab and pembrolizumab. We await overall survival data from phase III studies to determine the true values of combining immune checkpoint inhibitors. Nonetheless, combinations have demonstrated impressive objective response rates and progression free survival. In this talk, we will discuss the available data for combining immune checkpoint inhibitors, side effects, and future directions.

**News in immunotherapy**
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**An update on adjuvant and neoadjuvant therapy for melanoma**
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Patients with Stages IIB-C/III/IV melanoma that surgically resectable carry a high risk for melanoma recurrence and death from melanoma with surgical management alone. Systemic adjuvant therapy of targets melanoma micrometastases and is indicated postoperatively where it may provide the greatest opportunity for cure before relapse into advanced inoperable stages. Multiple systemic therapeutic agents have been tested as adjuvant therapy for melanoma with durable benefits seen with interferon-a (IFN). In randomized clinical trials, IFNa has been tested as part of various regimens that vary by dose, duration, route of administration and formulation. Several randomized trials and three major meta-analyses have demonstrated a reproducible and significant impact on relapse free survival (RFS). Overall survival benefit was seen only in two of the three ECOG and U.S. Intergroup trials that tested the 1 year high dose regimen as compared to observation (E1684) and the GMK vaccine (E1694). Regulated IFN was shown to improve RFS as tested in the EORTC 18,991 trial. Recently reported adjuvant trials include the phase III EORTC 18,071 trial that tested ipilimumab at 10 mg/kg versus placebo and has met its primary endpoint of RFS. The U.S. Intergroup E1609 trial is expected to inform the field on the clinical efficacy of ipilimumab versus interferon alfa-2b in the high-risk adjuvant setting, as well as the risk-to-benefit ratio of the two ipilimumab dosages (3 and 10 mg/kg) tested. Trials testing adjuvant therapy with the anti-PD1 antibodies pembrolizumab and nivolumab are ongoing. Neoadjuvant studies are also ongoing and carry significant promise.
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**Background:** Multiple mechanisms of melanoma-induced immune escape contribute to the failure of the spontaneous or vaccine-induced immune T cell responses to promote tumor regression in patients. In particular, a number of inhibitory pathways play a critical role in impeding T cell responses to tumor antigens, including PD-1, Tim-3 and TIGIT.

**Results:** Our findings show that PD-1, Tim-3 and TIGIT are upregulated by CD8+ and CD4+ T cells at the periphery and at tumor sites in patients with metastatic melanoma. We observed that melanoma cells and antigen presenting cells in metastatic melanoma express the PD-1, Tim-3 and TIGIT inhibitory ligands. Dual PD-1/Tim-3 and dual PD-1/TIGIT blockades enhanced the expansion and function of TA-specific CD8+ T cells isolated from patients with advanced melanoma. Interestingly, CD8+ TILs downregulated CD226, the costimulatory counterpart to TIGIT, supporting an imbalance of TIGIT/CD226 expression in metastatic melanoma, which may contribute to melanoma-induced T cell dysfunction.

**Conclusion:** Collectively, our findings present the rationale for targeting multiple inhibitory pathways including PD-1, Tim-3 and TIGIT to better counteract melanoma-induced cell dysfunction and improve melanoma patients’ clinical outcome.
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T cells engineered to express high affinity T cell receptors or chimeric antigen receptors (CAR) have been successful for treating cancer and hematological malignancies. We are using anti-CD19 and anti-CD22 CAR T cells to treat patients with acute lymphocytic leukemia and B cell lymphomas. While early phase clinical studies with these therapies have been promising, occasionally, the CAR T cells fail to expand in culture resulting in inadequate doses of transduced cells for effective therapy. The poor expansion of the T cells appears to be related contamination of the autologous leukocyte apheresis products used as starting material with large quantities of monocytes or granulocytes. We have been evaluating manufacturing methods that make use of lymphocyte enrichment prior to initiating the T cell culture. The methods include plastic adherence, elutriation and CD4/CD8 cell selection. Another limitation of CAR T cell therapy is their limited in vivo survival. In order to improve the in vivo survival and expansion of CART cells a GMP method has been developed to isolate, transduce and expand stem memory T cells (TsCM). CD19 CAR TScm will soon be tested in a clinical trial which will treat adults with B cell malignancies. Limited survival of genetically engineered T cells may also be due to immune reactions to the single chain variable portion of the mouse monoclonal antibody used in the vector. Investigators at our institution have developed a lentiviral vector with humanized anti-CD19 for CAR T cell therapy.

**Tumor microenvironment and biomarkers**
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**Myeloid cells and tumor exosomes: a crosstalk for assessing immunosuppression?**
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**Background:** Exosomes are endosome-derived nanovesicles involved in intercellular cross-talk through the transfer of proteins and genetic material. Accumulating data suggests that exosomes released from tumor cells may play an essential role in modulating host microenvironment, conditioning tumor immunity both at local and systemic level. We have been collecting evidence that these nanovesicles exert a broad array of detrimental effects on the immune system, such as impairment of monocyte differentiation into dendritic cells and induction of suppressive effectors.

**Materials and methods:** An in vitro model on MDSC conversion from normal monocytes by tumor exosomes (Exo-MDSC) was developed and used to study the molecular pathways involved in MDSC generation. Gene-expression and miRNA profiling of exo-MDSC, blood myeloid cells...
and plasma exosomes isolated from melanoma patients, and in vitro studies with antagonists and mimics, were applied to identify the miRNAs responsible for exosome-MDSC cross-talk in vitro and in vivo. 

**Results:** Compared to untreated monocytes, Exo-MDSC release higher levels of protumorigenic and immunosuppressive cytokines, down-modulate the expression of HLA-DR and acquire the ability to inhibit T cell proliferation. A gene-expression signature, involving CCL2, IL6 and PD1L, and a defined miRNA profiling have been identified in Exo-MDSC. Using miRNA mimics and inhibitors we demonstrated a strong impact on the regulation of HLA-DR, IL6 and CCL2 for four candidate miRNA, suggesting their involvement in MDSC differentiation. Furthermore, the silencing of these miRNA in melanoma cell lines abrogates exosome conversion potential, sustaining the hypothesis of miRNA transfer via exosomes. Importantly, peripheral blood monocytes from melanoma patients share defined traits of Exo-MDSC gene-expression and miRNA signature, in addition to phenotypic and functional features. In addition, extracellular vesicles isolated from plasma of melanoma patients, but not from healthy donors, induce MDSC conversion ex vivo, and show a higher concentration of myelo-conditioning miRNA.

**Conclusions:** Tumor exosomes are involved in triggering MDSC conversion by directly transferring myelo-active miRNAs to myeloid cells. Since MDSC accumulate in peripheral blood of tumor patients in association with disease progression, the identification of exosomes and myelo-conditioning miRNA paves the way for the development of novel immune-based therapeutic strategies and prognostic biomarkers in cancer.

Supported by Italian Association For Cancer Research—AIRC Grant code 12162
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Advances in cancer immunotherapy, including positive results from clinical trials testing new agents and combinations become applicable and effective treatment for many common and deadly cancers. However, a critical limitation in cancer therapy remains the identification of patients likely to respond to a given immunotherapy who could be stratified for treatment. The Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer (SITC) organized workshops and working groups in the past that focused on immune prognostic and predictive biomarkers and immunonanotechnology (1–2). SITC Taskforce on Immunotherapy Biomarkers developed a series of recommendations and resources for the field (3–4). Although, the field still lacks standardized and validated biomarkers to identify the patients who are responding to a treatment specific immunotherapies and who will have minimal or acceptable toxicities there are, many promising candidate assays, novel technologies and molecules. Importantly, companion diagnostic assay for response in non-small cell lung cancer have been recently approved by the FDA for patients selection for immunotherapy (PD-L1 IHC 22C3 pharmDx).

In light of these developments SITC Immune Biomarkers Taskforce has reconvened to review the state of the art, identify current hurdles to further success and to make recommendations to the field. Topics being addressed by individual working groups include: (1) validation of candidate biomarkers, (2) identification of the most promising technologies, (3) testing of high throughput immune signatures and (4) investigation of the pre-treatment tumor microenvironment. Resultant recommendations will be published in JITC and discussed during the workshop in April 2016.

Specific focus of the talk will be an update from the activity of working group 1 (WG1), led by Drs. Magdalena Thurin and Giuseppe Masucci, focusing on immunologic assays’ standardization and validation. This group includes experts from the US National Cancer Institute (NCI), academia, the US FDA, biotech and pharma. They are developing roadmaps for standardization and validation of specific assays that are currently seen as the most promising, particularly with companion diagnostics as the goal. They are also examining proficiency panels of assays, and “integral” and “integrated” (versus exploratory) biomarkers in clinical trials, and statistical designs for biomarker validation.
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**World-wide immunoscore task force: an update**
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**Background:** The TNM classification from the UICC/AJCC and the guidelines of the WHO 2010 are the basis for the classification of colorectal cancer (CRC). Three clinical scenarios still require novel, reproducible and standardized biomarkers: malignant polyps, stage II CRC with adverse prognosis and the pre-operative management of colon and rectal cancer patients. The immunoscore using immune markers CD3 and CD8 is a promising prognostic approach based on immunohistochemistry and a digital scoring system. Nevertheless, there is still the challenge to implement the immunoscore in daily diagnostic practice in surgical pathology. The aim of this study is to present the results experienced at our Institute of Pathology as one of the participating centers.

**Materials and methods:** The immunoscore (CD3 and CD8) was performed on 331 well characterized CRC patients including the TNM classification, L and V stage, perineural infiltration, histological subtype, tumor grade, peritumoral inflammation, mismatch repair status, overall survival and information on adjuvant radio- and chemotherapy using the Roche Benchmark II Ultra and the Definiens Software for the digital pathology analysis. All the tests were performed at the Translational Research Unit (TRU) of the Institute of Pathology, University of Bern.

**Results:** The total turn-around time (TAT) for one case is approximately 15 h including 12 h for immunostaining, 10–20 min for scanning, 30 min for definition of the tumor area and 2 h for calculation. These results demand a well defined working process. Therefore, we elaborated a possible work flow approach based on the LEAN management system: phase 1: CRC diagnosis, block selection and digital order of CD3 and CD8 by the pathologist; phase 2: immunohistochemical staining and scanning by the immunohistochemistry laboratory; phase 3: definition of the tumor area, calculation and reporting of the results by the pathologist; phase 4: discussion of the results at the interdisciplinary CRC board.

**Conclusions:** The implementation of the immunoscore in surgical pathology on the operative level needs a well defined strategy which is simple, applicable and user-friendly. Therefore, based on our experience well defined working processes based on SOPs and TAs in the surgical pathology division and digital pathology development units which test novel and promising digital scoring systems before the implementation in the daily diagnostic practice should be considered.
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We provided evidence that the type, the density and the location of immune cells within colonic tumor strongly influence the prognosis, independently of the TNM classification [1–4]. Thus, the adaptive immune reaction composed of T lymphocytes (CD3) with cytotoxic (CD8) and memory (CD45RO) phenotype within the core of the tumor (CT) and the invasive margin (IM) is a highly significant parameter to predict recurrence and survival. Multivariate analyses have revealed that T cell–immune parameters not only remain meaningful when combined with the TNM staging system but also were shown to be more accurate in determining prognosis than are conventional clinicopathological criteria. These data strongly suggest that tumor behavior should now be considered as the result of a balance between the invasive tumor process and the response of the host of which the local immune reaction is a major component. We established a methodology named “Immunoscore” to assess in clinical practice the immune infiltrate. Each tumor is categorized into Hi or Lo density for each marker in each tumor region, according to a predetermined cut-off value using the minimum P value approach. Patients are stratified according to a score ranging from 10 to 14 depending on the total number of high densities observed (two markers assessed in CT, two markers assessed in IM). For example, I4 refers to a tumor with high densities of CD3 and CD8 cells in CT and IM regions. We recently applied this classification in patients with clinically localized rectal cancer treated by primary surgery [5] and showed that the Immunoscore could be a useful prognostic marker. Cox multivariate analysis supports the advantage of the Immunoscore compared with the tumor–node–metastasis (TNM) staging in predicting recurrence and survival and the lymph node ratio (LNR) added information in the prognostic model. The information carried by the LNR could reflect complementary aspects of the antitumor immunity not depicted by the Immunoscore. In an effort to promote use of the Immunoscore in routine clinical settings, an international task force was initiated, supported by the Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer (SITC) [6]. An independent international panel of expert laboratories has started to work on large retrospective cohorts of colon cancers (TNM stage I–III) to test the Immunoscore in routine clinical settings. The availability of the Immunoscore could significantly improve the prognostic assessment of patients and better guide the therapeutic decision, and possibly choosing patients likely to benefit from immunotherapy.
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Background: Each year in Europe, 62,000 new cases of melanoma are diagnosed. DTIC was considered the Standard of Care until the appearance of two new classes of compounds in the treatment of metastatic melanoma. These drugs were a CTLA-4 blocking antibody and the therapies targeting the BRAF kinase axis. Recently, Nivolumab a highly specific programmed death-1 (PD-1) checkpoint inhibitor has been authorised [1]. In this oral communication, the regulatory experience with nivolumab is reviewed.

Materials and methods: European public assessment report (a set of documents describing the evaluation of a medicine authorised via the centralised procedure) and the publications of the clinical trials of nivolumab, will be the basis for the review.

Results: The background for the authorisation of nivolumab in the treatment of subjects with advanced melanoma were two different studies: study CA209037 [2, 3]: a phase 3 trial of nivolumab vs investigator’s choice in advanced Melanoma patients progressing post anti-CTLA-4 therapy; and Study CA209069 [2, 4]: a phase 3, study of nivolumab vs dacarbazine in subjects with BRAF wild type, previously untreated. Nivolumab shown convincing statistically significant and clinically relevant results in the first-line treatment of metastatic melanoma. Unfortunately, mature data on OS are not available, since only 34 % of the events have occurred. However, it seems reasonable to assume that the higher life expectancy associated to nivolumab in first line will be maintained.

Regarding the use of nivolumab in last line, after failure of ipilimumab and/or BRAF inhibitors, positive results have been observed for ORR and PFS although limited to a subset of patients. However, the OS curves show an unexpected profile with the lines crossing between 6 and 9 months. It seems plausible that the delay in the onset of the effect of nivolumab (3 months) contributes to the higher rate of deaths in the nivolumab arm in the first 3 months of treatment. This effect has been also observed in the treatment of non-squamous non–small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) that had progressed during or after platinum-based doublet chemotherapy [5]. On the contrary, the same molecule has shown a clear benefit in survival in squamous NSCLC [6, 7].

Conclusions: The use of nivolumab in some patients might mean a worse option than chemotherapy, it remains a challenge to identify those patients who could have a chance to benefit from nivolumab.
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Background: Interest in onco immunotherapies has been growing in last years, due to market launch of ipilimumab for advanced melanoma. Ipilimumab provides important benefits, but it is also very costly (1, 2). Its incremental cost-effectiveness is over thresholds and closer to the 50 k Euro per life-year saved threshold if US list prices (3) and European prices (4) are respectively used. NICE recommended ipilimumab with a hidden discount on list price. Drugs pipelines include many new onco immunotherapy. An assessment framework of their value for money is needed for managing their future market access (reimbursement/price/recommendation). The present research aims at providing some pillars of this framework.

Materials and methods: The study follows a Delphi methodology, a technique used to facilitate information-gathering on complex issues (5). More specifically, we relied on a e-Delphi (6) organized in three rounds providing some pillars of this framework.

Results: This paragraph quotes six of the ten statements. The other four specifically concern the Italian context. (i) Medium-long term survival is the most appropriate endpoint to consider for market access of onco immunotherapies. Progression Free Survival and Best Overall Response Rate do not fully express benefits and immuno-related surrogates endpoints still need surrogacy validation. (ii) More specifically, the increase in 2–5 years probability of survival is the most important indicator. Quality of life and adverse events should be also considered. (iii) Market access would be easier managed if methods requirements (endpoints, indicators, follow-up, statistical analysis) are strengthened. (iv) Cost-effectiveness used for market access purposes should rely on robust extrapolation of future survival; results provided by the industry should be validated by independent research groups; incremental cost-effectiveness ratio thresholds should be carefully applied; cost-effectiveness should be integrated with a budget impact analysis. (v) Coverage with evidence development agreements should be implemented, due to benefits long-term and uncertainty: this requires post-approval evidence on survival, quality of life and costs. (vi) Long-term survival predictive factors would be extremely useful to enhance sustainability, provided that they actually reduce uncertainty. Manufacturer funded treatment initiation may be applied for expected non-responders. However clinical research has not found reliable markers for onco immunotherapies yet.
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O1 Ipilimumab treatment results in CD4 T cell activation that is concomitant with a reduction in Tregs and MDSCs
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Background: Blocking the immune checkpoint molecule Cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen-4 (CTLA-4) with ipilimumab has proven to induce long-lasting clinical responses in patients with metastatic melanoma. Although the theoretic base for the success of this therapy has been described, the detailed mechanisms involved are not yet fully understood. In addition to this, there is a great need of predictive, prognostic and pharmacodynamic biomarkers that may allow clinicians to screen for immune related adverse events and potentially provide information for patient preselection. In this study we show the results derived from the immune monitoring of advanced melanoma patients undergoing treatment with ipilimumab.

Materials and methods: Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated from 42 melanoma patients at three time points before and during treatment. PBMCs were stained with 12 multi-color flow cytometric panels within 2 h of sample collection.

Results: The results show that ipilimumab treatment contributes to activate CD 4 T cells as is shown by a significant increase in the frequency of iNOS+ CD4 cells. This increase is correlated with a decrease in the frequency of granulocytic MDSCs (grMDSCs) and their suppressive potential, determined by their intracellular Arg1 levels. In contrast to these results, monocyteic MDSC (moMDSC) frequency was not affected by CTLA-4 blockade, but the frequency of iNOS+ cells within this MDSC subset was diminished. This suggests that that at least one of the suppressive mechanisms used by moMDSCs is impaired. Regulatory T cells (Tregs) were also reduced, although their pattern did not correlate with MDSC or activated T cell frequency.

In the 42 patients included, median OS was 55 weeks from the start of treatment. Adverse events were observed in 16 patients (38 %), including eight grade III–IV (19 %). Patients were classified according to their response as PD (progressive disease, 57 %), SD (stable disease, 23 %) and PR (partial response, 20 %). Possible correlations between treatment outcome and MDSC frequencies are currently being evaluated as the patient cohort increases.

Conclusions: These results suggest that there may be more than one mechanism of action by which ipilimumab releases the brake on the immune system. On one hand, blocking CTLA-4 results in an in cis effect on the activation status of CD4+ T cells. On the other hand the observed reduction in Tregs and MDSCs (in frequency and suppressive potential) may contribute to significantly alleviate the suppression exerted on the immune system. These effects and their possible clinical implications should be further explored in order to fully understand the mechanisms of action of CTLA-4 blockade with ipilimumab.
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Background: Melanoma is a malignant tumor highly immunomodulated, therefore different immunotherapy approaches in recent years have been developed. In addition, the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) Annual Meeting 2015 has emphasized the use of combined treatment strategies involving immunotherapies and/or biological agents target [1].

PD-L1 (programmed cell death ligand 1) is a membrane receptor that plays a key role in the suppression of immune responses, mainly by binding to PD-1 on T lymphocytes. PD-L1 can be expressed in metastatic melanoma and may be an independent prognostic marker in melanoma [2,3]. Currently, target therapies against both PD-L1 and PD-1 are adopted in clinical trial. COX-2 is an enzyme that catalyzes the conversion of arachidonic acid to prostaglandins and active thomboxanes. Their activity occurs in the sites in which is present an inflammatory state. FANS can cause blocking of their biosynthesis. Several studies in the literature have shown aberrant expression of COX-2 in melanoma in particular COX2 and PDL1 co-expression in primary melanomas was especially in advanced stages [4]. The purpose of this study was to analyze the expression of COX-2 and PD-L1 in a series of primary melanomas and lymph node metastases in order to establish their prognostic value and provide information on the possibility of adopting combined therapeutic strategies.

Materials and methods: We have prepared a Tissue Micro Array containing 90 samples, consisting of 45 primary and 45 lymph node metastases from melanoma. On the sections of TMA the expression of COX-2 and PD-L1 (clone SH1) was evaluated by immunohistochemical staining.

Results: Immunohistochemical analysis performed on samples of primary melanomas revealed that 20/45 (44.4%) cases were positive for PDL1 and 16/45 (35.5%) for COX2; for lymph node metastasis 23/45 (51.1%) cases were positive for PDL1 and 19/45 (42.2%) cases for COX2. Our results demonstrated that the expression of COX-2 and PD-L1 were directly related both with primary tumors (p value = 0.003) and with the lymph node metastasis (p value = 0.002) of melanoma. In particular COX2 and PDL1 co-expression in primary melanomas was present in 12/45 cases (26.6%) while it was absent in 21/45 (46.7%) cases.

Instead the expression of COX2 and PDL1 in the lymph node metastases were present in 18/45 cases (40%) while it is absent in 15/45 (33.3%) cases.

Conclusions: The combined expression of both markers either in primary tumors than in lymph node metastases from melanoma could suggest new therapeutic strategies in the modulation of PD-L1 and COX-2 inhibitors.
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Vemurafenib in patients with BRAFV600 mutation–positive metastatic melanoma: final overall survival results of the BRIM-3 study
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Background: The BRIM-3 trial showed improved progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) for vemurafenib compared with dacarbazine in drug-naive BRAFV600 mutation–positive metastatic melanoma patients. Vemurafenib has shown a 74% reduction in the risk for death or disease progression and a 63% reduction in the risk for death compared with dacarbazine (P < 0.001 for both comparisons).

Materials and methods: Patients were randomly assigned to receive vemurafenib (960 mg BID) or dacarbazine (1000 mg/m2 every 3 weeks). Patients were randomly assigned to receive vemurafenib (960 mg BID) or dacarbazine (1000 mg/m2 every 3 week).

Results: A total of 675 patients were randomly assigned to receive vemurafenib (n = 337) or dacarbazine (n = 338). At the time of database lock (Aug 14, 2015), median follow-up was 13.37 months (range 0.4–59.6) for vemurafenib and 9.15 months (range 0–36.2) for dacarbazine. Of 338 patients initially randomly assigned to receive dacarbazine, 84 crossed over to vemurafenib. Subgroup analyses and final OS data will be presented.

Conclusions: Extended follow-up of patients enrolled in BRIM-3 provides insight regarding long-term efficacy and safety of vemurafenib in patients with metastatic melanoma and BRAFV600 mutations.

Clinical trial registration number: NCT01006980.
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Updated survival, response and safety data in a phase 1 dose-finding study (CA209-004) of concurrent nivolumab (NIVO) and ipilimumab (IPI) in advanced melanoma
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Background: The phase 3 study CheckMate 067 (NCT01844505) showed improved progression-free survival (PFS) and objective response rate (ORR) by RECIST v1.1 for NIVO (anti-PD-1) + IPI (anti-CTLA-4) (median 11.5 months [mo], 58 %) or NIVO (6.9 months, 44 %) compared with IPI (2.9 months, 19 %) in patients (pts) with previously untreated advanced melanoma [1]; however, long-term follow-up data including overall survival (OS) are not yet available. Updated data from CA209-004 (NCT01024231) in pts with unresectable or metastatic melanoma are reported here.

Materials and methods: Cohorts [C] 1, 2, 2a, and 3 received NIVO + IPI Q3W × 4 followed by NIVO Q3W × 4; pts without progressive disease or dose-limiting toxicity received NIVO + IPI Q12W × 8. An expansion cohort (C8) received NIVO 1 mg/kg + IPI 3 mg/kg Q3W × 4 followed by NIVO 3 mg/kg Q2W (regimen used in phase 2/3 trials). Data are reported for C1-3 (n = 53) and C8 (n = 41).

Results: 40 % of pts in C1-3 and 51 % of pts in C8 were previously treated. At a median follow-up of 32.7 months (range: 2.5–61.4) for C1-3 and 19.9 months (range: 0.9–24.0) for C8, ORR by mWHO criteria was 42 and 44 %, respectively, and the median duration of response was 22.3 and 13.8 months. Complete response was seen in 21 % of pts in C1-3 and 17 % of pts in C8, and the median tumor burden reduction was 70 and 58 %, respectively. OS rates were 79 % at 24 months in C1-3 and 68 % at 18 months in C8. PFS rates were 30 % at 24 months in C1-3 and 27 % at 18 months in C8. Incidence of drug-related adverse events (AEs) was 56 % for grade 3/4 AEs and 39 % for grade 3/4 select AEs in C1-3 and C8 combined (n = 94).

Conclusions: OS for concurrent NIVO + IPI was higher than expected for each agent alone in this population, with a manageable safety profile. Reused with permission from the Society for Melanoma Research.
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O5 Efficacy and correlative biomarker analysis of the coBRIM study comparing cobimetinib (COBI) + vemurafenib (VEM) vs placebo (PBO) + VEM in advanced BRAF-mutated melanoma patients (pts)
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Background: The primary analysis of coBRIM showed superior progression-free survival (PFS) for COBI + VEM in advanced BRAF-mutated melanoma pts [1]. We present the protocol-defined, updated PFS analysis and final OS analysis and correlate these efficacy results to key biomarkers.

Materials and methods: coBRIM has been described previously [1]. Pts were randomly assigned to receive COBI + VEM or PBO + VEM. Primary endpoint was investigator-assessed PFS; overall survival (OS) was a secondary endpoint. Following the primary PFS analysis, coBRIM remained blinded, and pts were followed up for OS. Final OS analysis occurred after 250 events. For biomarker analysis, pretreatment tumor samples were evaluated for BRAFV600E allele frequency (BRAFV600E copy number) by targetted deep sequencing and pathway activation by pERK, pS6, and PTEN, and Ki67 by immunohistochemistry. Cox proportional hazards models were used to assess the association between OS and biomarkers.

Results: From Jan 2014 to Jan 2015, 495 pts were randomly assigned. Baseline characteristics in COBI + VEM and PBO + VEM pts were East- ern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) 0: 76 and 67 %; stage IV M1c: 56 % and 52 %; LDH high: 40 % and 43 %. Updated PFS analysis was conducted after a median follow-up of 14.3 months and showed a median PFS of 12.3 months for COBI + VEM vs 7.2 months for PBO + VEM (HR, 0.58; 95 % CI; 0.46–0.72). PFS treatment benefit was seen across all prespecified patient subgroups, including patients with LDH high (COBI + VEM 8.2 months vs PBO + VEM 5.4 months; HR, 0.57; 95 % CI, 0.42–0.78) and normal (COBI + VEM 13.4 months vs PBO + VEM 7.8 months; HR, 0.59; 95 % CI, 0.43–0.81).

Final OS analysis was conducted after a median follow-up of 18.5 months and confirmed a statistically significant and clinically meaningful benefit for pts receiving COBI + VEM. OS data and correlation of key biomarkers with OS and PFS will be presented.

Conclusions: Updated PFS and OS data confirm the clinical benefit of COBI + VEM across all clinical and molecular subgroups vs PBO + VEM in patients with advanced BRAF-mutated melanoma.
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Background: BRAF-targeted therapy with vemurafenib (V) is associated with increased immune activation in BRAFV600E-mutant melanoma,
resulting in a rapid clinical response. The durability of response can potentially be further extended by combining V and atezolizumab (A; MPDL3280A), which may enhance and perpetuate antitumor immune activity.

Materials and methods: Patients with untreated BRAFV600E-mutant unresectable or metastatic melanoma received A + V combination concurrently (cohort 1 [C1], n = 3) or after a run-in period with V alone (56 days for cohort 2 [C2], n = 8); 28 days for cohort 3 [C3], n = 6). Administration of A was IV q3w at 20 mg/kg (C1) and 15 mg/kg or 1200 mg fixed (C2 and C3). V was administered orally 960 mg during run-in and 720 mg during the A + V combination period. PD-L1 expression on tumor cells and tumor-infiltrating immune cells was centrally examined by immunohistochemistry (SP142 assay).

Results: Of 19 BRAF inhibitor—and immunotherapy-naive patients enrolled, 17 who received ≥1 dose of A were safety and efficacy evaluable (data cutoff, Mar 16, 2015). Across cohorts, 65 % of patients were male and median age was 53 y (range, 30–71 y). Patients received A + V combination for a median of 8.5 months (range, 1.2–27.8 months). Median safety follow-up (mo) was 6.5 (C1), 10.6 (C2) and 10.4 (C3). A + V did not result in any DLTs or unexpected AEs. Incidence of A- or V-related G3 AEs was 41 and 59 %, respectively, and was higher in C1 (67 % A; 100 % V) than in C2 (38 % A; 50 % V) or C3 (33 % A; 50 % V). AEs were manageable and generally reversible. Related G4 AEs were absent. No G5 AEs occurred. There were no A-related AEs leading to treatment discontinuation; 1 patient in C1 had V-related AEs leading to discontinuation. Clinical activity results are presented in Table 1. Higher ORR was seen with V run-in (C2, 75 %; C3, 100 %) than with concurrent A + V start (C1, 33 %). Preliminary data indicate an increase in PD-L1 expression in repeat biopsies after V run-in or A + V combination, suggesting induction of an enhanced antitumor immune response.

Conclusions: Overall, a manageable safety profile and promising anti-tumor activity were demonstrated with A + V combination therapy. In particular, staggered dosing of A with V run-in was better tolerated and yielded high rates of durable response. Triple-combination therapy with A + V + cobimetinib (MEK inhibitor) in this patient population is under investigation. (NCT01656642).

References
1. Larkin J, Chiarion-Sileni V, Gonzalez R, Grob JJ, Cowey CL, Lao CD, et al. Combined nivolumab and ipilimumab or monotherapy in untreated melanoma. N Engl J Med. 2015;373:23–34.

Table 1 Clinical activity results

|                | Cohort 1 (n = 3) | Cohort 2 (n = 8) | Cohort 3 (n = 6) | All (n = 17) |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Objective response (confirmed, RECIST v1.1) |
| ORR, % (n)       | 33 % (1)        | 75 % (6)        | 100 % (6)       | 76 % (13)   |
| CR, % (n)        | 33 % (1)        | 12 % (1)        | 17 % (1)        | 18 % (3)    |
| PR, % (n)        | 0               | 62 % (5)        | 83 % (5)        | 59 % (10)   |
| PFS, mo (95 % CI) | 2.7 (1.7, 22.0) | 12.2 (4.6, NE)  | NE (NE)         | 12.2 (5.7–22.0) |
| DOR, mo (95 % CI)| 20.9 (NE)       | 10.6 (4.9, NE)  | NE (NE)         | 20.9 (10.6, 20.9) |
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Background: Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1 (IDO1) is a tryptophan-catabolizing enzyme that induces immune tolerance by suppressing T-cell responses. Epacadostat is a potent, selective oral IDO1 inhibitor. In a dose-escalation study in patients with metastatic melanoma, the combination of epacadostat with ipilimumab was generally tolerated and favorable response rates were observed in immunotherapy-naive patients.

Materials and methods: NCT02178722 is an ongoing study of epacadost with pembrolizumab in patients with advanced melanoma and other cancers with a 3 + 3i phase 1 design. Patients previously treated with checkpoint inhibitors were excluded. During dose-escalation, patients received epacadostat 25, 50, or 100 mg BID with pembrolizumab 2 mg/kg IV every 3 weeks or epacadostat 300 mg BID with pembrolizumab 200 mg IV every 3 weeks. During dose-expansion, patients received epacadostat 50, 100, or 300 mg BID with pembrolizumab 200 mg IV every 3 weeks. Safety, tolerability, and investigator-assessed tumor response (RECIST 1.1) were evaluated.

Results: As of 29 Oct 2015, 62 patients were enrolled. Safety data on 56 patients with either melanoma (n = 20), RCC (n = 11), NSCLC (n = 10), transitional cell carcinoma (n = 5), endometrial adenocarcinoma (n = 5), TNBC (n = 3), or SCCHN (n = 2) as of October 1, 2015 are presented. The most common all grade treatment-related AEs were rash (25 %), fatigue (23 %), arthralgia (13 %), pruritus (13 %), nausea (11 %), and pyrexia (11 %). Grade 3 treatment-related AEs occurred in 11 % of patients; the most common grade 3 treatment-related AE was rash (5 %). There were no grade 4 treatment-related AEs and no treatment-related deaths. One patient discontinued for a treatment-related AE (grade 3 AST elevation). In 19 efficacy evaluable melanoma patients, ORR was 53 % and disease control rate was 74 % (3 CRs, 7 PRs, 4 SDs). Responses occurred in patients who were PD-L1-positive and PD-L1-negative (PD-L1 IHC 22C3 pharmDx Kit; ≥ 1 % cutoff for positivity). All responses are ongoing as of the data cutoff.

Conclusion: Preliminary safety data support continued evaluation of epacadostat with pembrolizumab. Although preliminary, the efficacy data suggest promising clinical activity of the combination in patients with advanced/metastatic melanoma. Updated safety data will be presented.
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Background: T-VEC is a herpes simplex virus-1-based oncolytic immunotherapy designed to selectively replicate in tumors, produce GM-CSF, and stimulate antitumor immune responses. T-VEC significantly improved durable response rate (DRR; primary endpoint) in the T-VEC arm vs GM-CSF in OPTIM (Andtbacka et al. JCO 2015) and is approved in the US for local treatment of unresectable cutaneous, subcutaneous, and nodal lesions in patients with melanoma recurrence after initial surgery. Pembrolizumab is a PD-1 blocking antibody approved for the treatment of metastatic melanoma and has demonstrated superior efficacy over the CTLA-4-blocking antibody ipilimumab in patients with stage III or IV melanoma (Robert et al. NEJM 2015). Both drugs have tolerable and non-overlapping adverse event (AE) profiles, and combining them may enhance antitumor efficacy. Safety and preliminary efficacy data from the phase 1b primary analysis of a phase 1b/3 study of T-VEC + pembrolizumab in unresectable stage IIIB-IV melanoma (NCT02263508) are reported.

Materials and methods: The primary endpoint is incidence of dose-limiting toxicities (DLT). Key secondary endpoints are objective response, survival, and AEs. Patients had stage IIIB-IV melanoma with injectable lesions, no prior systemic therapy, and ECOG PS 0-1. T-VEC was given ≤4 mL injected into (sub)cutaneous/nodal lesions 10^6 PFU/mL d1, 10^8 PFU/mL d2 then Q2W. Pembrolizumab was given IV 200 mg d3 then Q2W. Treatment (tx) continues until CR, all injectable tumors have disappeared (for T-VEC), confirmed PD per modified immune-related response criteria, intolerance of study treatment, 24 months from the date of the first dose of pembro or end of study, whichever occurs first.

Results: 21 patients were enrolled Dec 2014-Mar 2015 with data cutoff of Jun 2015. Patient characteristics: median age 58 year; 48 % stage IIIB-IVM1a, 52 % stage IIIB-IVM1c melanoma; 81 % PD-L1+; 76 % HSV+. Median follow-up time was 18.7 w. No DLTs were reported. All 21 patients had an AE: 29 % G3, no G4, and one G5 (not attributed to tx). Most common AEs were fatigue 52 %, pyrexia 48 %, chills 43 %, and rash 38 %. Of 16 patients ≥12 w after first pembro tx and with evaluable response assessment, unconfirmed response rate per investigator was 56 %; disease control rate was 69 % (12.5 % CR, 44 % PR, 12.5 % SD, 31 % PD).

Conclusions: T-VEC+pembro can be given at full doses with no unexpected safety signals. Responses were seen in over half of evaluable patients in this early efficacy analysis. A randomized phase 3 trial comparing T-VEC+pembro vs T-VEC placebo + pembro is planned.
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Background: In a phase 3, randomized study (CheckMate 066, NCT01721772), NIVO demonstrated increased overall survival (OS) vs DTIC in treatment-naive BRAF wild-type pts with ME, and a safety profile consistent with prior reports [1]. Here, we report two-year OS as well as updated efficacy and safety data.

Materials and methods: 418 treatment-naïve BRAF wild-type pts with ME were randomly assigned to receive NIVO (3 mg/kg Q2W and DTIC-matched placebo Q3W) or DTIC (1000 mg/m² Q3W and NIVO-matched placebo Q2W).

Results: At a minimum survival follow-up of 15.1 months, NIVO continued to demonstrate significantly improved OS with median OS not reached (NR) (95 % CI: 23.1, NR) vs 11.2 months (95 % CI: 9.6, 13.0) with DTIC (hazard ratio [HR]: 0.43 [95 % CI: 0.32, 0.53; P < 0.0001]). OS rates were 70.7 and 57.7 % for NIVO and 46.3 and 26.7 % for DTIC at 12 and 24 months, respectively. Subsequent treatment was used in 48.1 and 72.1 % of pts on NIVO and DTIC, respectively, and 27 DTIC pts crossed over to receive NIVO. Median progression-free survival continued to be significantly greater with NIVO vs DTIC (5.4 vs 2.2 months [HR: 0.42 [95 % CI: 0.32, 0.53; P < 0.0001]])). Objective response rate (ORR) remained higher for NIVO (42.9 %) vs DTIC (14.4 %; P < 0.0001). A higher rate of complete responses was reported with NIVO (10.5 %) vs DTIC (1.0 %); median duration of response was NR vs 7.2 months, respectively. Of 90 responders with NIVO, 64 (71 %) had ongoing responses vs 4/30 (13 %) with DTIC. Drug-related adverse events (AE) were reported in 77 and 78 % of pts on NIVO vs DTIC (drug-related grade 3–4 AEs in 13 and 17 %, respectively). Drug-related AEs (any grade) led to discontinuation in 6 % of NIVO and 3 % of DTIC pts. Among 57 pts who started ipilimumab (IPI) after NIVO, mOS was 9.0 months (95 % CI: 7.1, 14.7) and ORR was 8.8 % (n = 5, all partial responses) after start of IPI. Drug-related AEs were reported in 77 % of pts who switched to IPI.
Conclusions: In summary, NIVO provided durable responses and mOS NR with continued acceptable safety after 2 years in pts with MEL.

Reused with permission from the Society for Melanoma Research (SMR) and all authors.
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Background: Responses to immune checkpoint inhibitors may be delayed or may develop after RECIST-defined disease progression [1, 2].

Materials and methods: We conducted a retrospective analysis to measure efficacy and safety outcomes in pts treated with NIVO (anti-PD-1 therapy) beyond RECIST-defined disease progression. Data were pooled from treatment-naive MEL pts who received NIVO monotherapy in phase 3 studies CheckMate 066 (NCT01721772) and CheckMate 067 (NCT01844505). Progression was assessed by RECIST v1.1 and investigators determined which pts might benefit from treatment beyond progression.

Results: Of all randomized pts (N = 526), 298 (57 %) experienced RECIST-defined disease progression with NIVO monotherapy. Of these 298 pts, 158 (53 %) did not continue NIVO treatment (Non-TBP group) and 140 (47 %) were treated with at least 1 dose of NIVO beyond progression (TBP group). Median time between progression and last dose of study treatment was 6.7 and 36.1 weeks for TBP and TBP groups, respectively. Maximum change in median tumor burden between randomization and first progression was an increase of 5.4 % in the TBP group, an increase of 20.2 % in the Non-TBP group and a reduction of 45.2 % in the TBP30 group. Treatment-related grade 3/4 adverse events (AEs) occurred in 7.0 and 11.4 % of pts in Non-TBP and TBP groups, respectively. Treatment-related select grade 3/4 AEs occurred in 3.8 and 4.3 % of pts in Non-TBP and TBP groups, respectively. Additional descriptive data in non-TBP and TBP groups at baseline, during treatment and at progression will be presented.

Conclusions: These results suggest that pts with RECIST-defined disease progression can safely continue NIVO treatment and that a proportion of these pts may experience prolonged clinical benefit.

Reused with permission from the Society for Melanoma Research (SMR) and all authors.

Tumor microenvironment and biomarkers
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Background: About 50 % of metastatic melanomas (MM) harbour mutations in the BRAF gene, mainly at codon 600, resulting in constitutive activation of the MAPK pathway [1]. The selective inhibitors of BRAF V600, vemurafenib and dabrafenib have shown major tumor responses in about 50 % of patients. However, the majority of patients progress after 6–8 months due to resistance mechanisms which are only partially understood. As both targeting agents appear to benefit only certain subsets of patients, the identification of predictors of response is mandatory. One of the available strategies that facilitates the simultaneous analysis of a large number of factors in biological material is surface enhanced laser desorption ionization time of flight mass spectrometry (SELDI ToF MS). The purpose of the present study is to verify if this high-throughput technique is able to individuate novel candidate peptides useful as biomarkers to predict the response or resistance to BRAF inhibitors. Moreover, we integrated results of our recent study [2] regarding the relationship between microRNA expression and response to Vemurafenib in order to better understand biological mechanism influencing disease progression.

Materials and methods: In order to discover novel candidate biomarkers predictive to treatment, serum of 39 MM vemurafenib treated patients at baseline, at disease control and at progression, were analyzed using SELDI-TOF technology. The Mascot Peptide Mass Fingerprint online tool (http://www.matrixscience.com/cgi/search_form.pl?FORMVER52&SEARCH5PMF) was used to identify more significant peaks and miRWalk (http://zmf.umm.uni-heidelberg.de/apps/zmf/mirwalk2/index.html) to verify interaction between microRNA and genes coding for the predicted proteins.

Results: At baseline as predictive biomarkers we identified four peaks—m/z 5900, 12544, 49124 and 11724—significantly up-regulated in longer versus shorter responders to vemurafenib. After response, three peptides m/z 9292, 7765 and 9176 appeared up-regulated in responder patients. In silico predictions indicated that the metastatic suppressor gene KLF17 and two cellular proteins, RBM10 and TOX3, which are involved in proliferation and apoptosis of cancer cells, could be the actors influencing the outcome of BRAF inhibitor therapy. TOX3, RBM10 and KLF17 resulted target of miR-132-3P, the miRNA whose lower expression is associated to shorter progression free survival.

Conclusions: In conclusion, our results highlighted the possibility to better stratify patients that could be addressed to BRAF inhibitor therapy. Moreover, the combined analysis of epigenetic, microRNAs, and proteomic data could help to uncover the biological mechanism of resistance in MM.
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Background: The prognosis of a variety of human malignancies including melanoma is dictated by the abundance, spatial trafficking and quality of intratumoral T cell infiltrates [1]. Salerno and al. recently studied chemokine receptors (CC/CXCR) expression on Tumor-Infiltrating T cells (TILs) from several metastasis localizations and suggest a limited tissue-specific homing to human melanoma [2]. We studied the expression of these CC/CXCR on peripheral T cells from metastatic melanoma (MM) patients, during Ipilimumab therapy and healthy volunteers (HV).

Materials and methods: We assessed this chemokine receptors profiling on 57 cryopreserved blood samples from stage III/IV melanoma patients and on 26 HV. Moreover, 47 patients treated with ipilimumab and enrolled in four clinical centers (France, Germany, Italy and USA) were studied. We performed flow cytometric analysis of CD4 and CD8 T cell subsets based on their expression of CCR7 and CD45RA and then assessed, for each subset, the expression of CLA, CXCR5, CRTH2, CCR10, CD103, CCR9, CXCR4, CXCR3 and CCR6.

Results: Firstly, correlation matrices indicated similar chemokine receptor expression on CD4 and CD8 T cells, except for CXCR4 and CD103, and concordant patterns in naive, effector and memory T cells. After classification of patients based on their metastasis localizations with skin and lymph node, lung or other distant organs with or without lung involvement, 62 parameters were found to differ significantly (FDR < 0.1) according to distinct localizations metastasis. Expression level normalized with respect to HV indicated that specific signatures could identify preferential metastasis localizations. Loss of CCR6 or CXCR3 (but not CLA) on circulating T cell subsets was associated with skin or lymph node metastases, loss of CXCR4, CXCR5 and CCR9 with lung involvement, and a raise in CCR10 or CD103 with widespread dissemination. High frequencies of CD8+CCR9+ TN correlated with prolonged OS (p < 10⁻⁶), while neutralizing the CCR9/CLL25 axis in mice stimulated tumor progression. Effector memory CD4+ and CD8+ expressing CLA increased upon CTLA4 blockade, both predicting disease control at 3 months of therapy in 47 MM.

Conclusion: We discovered an immune chemokine receptor profile which mirrors the metastatic pattern of the disease and highlighted new pharmacodynamic parameters during immune checkpoint blockade with ipilimumab.
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Background: Although Ipilimumab (IPI) definitely improves the PFS and extends the OS in metastatic melanoma [1], the immunologic criteria for both evaluation and prediction of the therapeutic response are presently uncertain in clinical management of patients. Recently, both melanoma [2] and immune cells [3] have been described to release exosomes (Exo), namely endomysial microvesicles with antigenic and molecular features resembling the original phenotype pattern of the producing cell [4]. Thus, serum levels of Exo may indirectly reflect the response to IPI immunotherapy and detection of both CD28 and PD1 expressed by T cell-released Exo in sera of IPI-treated patients may identify the responders, suggesting Exo measurement as a potential tool to evaluate the immune response against melanoma.

Materials and methods: Sixty patients with advanced melanoma in treatment with IPI were enrolled. Sera were collected both before and after the four-month treatment, and Exo were purified by the “Total Exosome Isolation kit” (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California). Thus, they were investigated by flow-cytometry in their expression of PD1, CD28, ICOS by gating the CD3+ cells and CD86 with the purpose to identify Exo released by dendritic cells (DEX). Therefore, median levels of PD1-, CD28- and ICOS-positive Exo were calculated both at baseline and longitudinally and compared with the therapeutic response by waterfall chart. Finally, median PFS and OS were calculated by Kaplan–Meier regression analyses.

Results: The therapeutic response to IPI as disease stability, partial or complete response, associated with PFS improvement and favourable trend of OS, was detected in 12 patients. Data from Exo investigation revealed higher expression of both PD1 and CD28 before IPI
(p = 0.03; and 0.008 respectively), whereas the ICOS level expression remained mostly unchanged. By contrast, the major group of patients with disease progression showed minimal levels of either PD1+, or CD28-positive Exo. Interestingly, we found in IPI-responsive patients a quantitative increase of DEX after completion of the immunotherapy treatment (p < 0.01). Thus, we interpreted this finding as effect of the anti-melanoma immune activation.

Conclusions: Our data suggest that higher levels of PD1- and CD28-positive Exo in sera of melanoma patients before undergoing IPI treatment may predict the anti-melanoma immune activation induced by IPI and that the measurement of these molecular biomarkers could early identify the melanoma patients responsive to immunotherapy.
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Background: Stage III melanomas (MM) require adequate adjuvant immunotherapy to prevent relapses. The magnitude of metastatic lymph node (mLN) invasion and a BRAFV600E activating mutation have a clinical significance; however, prognostic factors are awaited to optimize the clinical management of these patients.

Materials and methods: We performed a comprehensive immunophenotyping of 252 parameters/patient by flow cytometry and ELISA techniques, in paired blood and mLN performed in 39 metastatic melanomas. Wilcoxon signed-rank test was applied to assess differences in concentration between matching pairs of blood leukocytes and tumor infiltrated cells samples. Overall Survival (OS) and Relapse Free Survival (RFS) determined from the date of sampling were used as the primary end-points. Survival curves were estimated by the Kaplan–Meier product-limit method.

Results: We found immunotypes corresponding to clinical presentations. mLN extensive invasion was associated with lower levels of circulating CD4+ T cells and lower expression of CD137L on CD3+CD56+ cells and PD1+ in blood, and TILs, respectively. BRAF mutation was associated with higher levels of CD45RA+ CD4+ TILs and lower expression of CD137L on circulating CD8+ and NK cells. High frequencies of tumoral CD45RA+CD4+ and CD3+CD56+ TILs were independent prognostic factors of short RFS, and remained significant after adjusting for mLN invasion, therefore adding prognostic value to local aggressiveness. The best predictive markers of OS after adjusting for significant clinical variables included NKG2D expression levels on intratumoral CTLs, intratumoral Tregs infiltration, and PD-L1 intensity on blood T cells. When combined with BRAF mutation, very high percentages of NKG2D+CD8+ TILs or low percentages of Tregs protected against the BRAF mutation-associated dismal prognosis. In blood, high expression levels of PD-L1 on CD4+ and CD8+ T lymphocytes were associated with a bad prognosis, also adding value to the BRAF mutational status.

Conclusions: Overall, when classifying our 252 immunological markers determined in blood and tumor beds according to their biological and clinical significance in stage III MM, we concluded that (i) both blood and tumor markers equally contributed to defining the prognosis, (ii) exhaustion markers markedly affected the clinical outcome and (iii) activation markers appeared less relevant for the functional study of tumor beds. Only six markers were found to be significant prognostic factors influencing RFS or OS in blood or tumor beds independently of clinicopathological parameters in multivariate Cox regression analyses. These immunotypes deserve to be followed in the course of the development of monoclonal antibody therapies.
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Background: Combined B-RAF and MEK inhibition elicits rapid anti-tumor responses in the most patients with B-RAF (V600E) mutant metastatic melanoma. However, the vast majority of patients develop resistance to these agents [1]. Defining the phenotypic properties of
Pirozzi and Paolo Antonio Ascierto  
1IRCCS Istituto Nazionale Tumori “Fondazione G. Pascale” Naples, Italy; 2Federica Fratangelo, Rosa Camerlingo 1, Emilia Caputo 2, Maria Letizia

resistant to B-RAF inhibitors 

Materials and methods: Melanoma cell lines, resistant to B-RAF inhibitors (B-RAFI) as single agent or combined with MEK inhibitors (MEKI), were selected by growing them in medium containing increased concentrations of B-RAF inhibitors (B-RAFI: GSX218436) alone or combined MEK inhibitors (MEKI: GSX1120212). Morphological and phenotypic investigation was performed by light microscopy, immunofluorescence and flow cytometer analysis. 

Results: Here, we detected a cell subset inside of three different melanoma cell lines, deriving from patients with B-RAF (V600E) mutant metastatic melanoma. This cell-subset was able to proliferate and form colonies at low density in presence of single B-RAF inhibitors (B-RAFI) or combined with MEK inhibitors (MEKI), suggesting that drug treatment was unable to kill all the cells, but few of them continued to grow forming sizeable colonies as the ones observed from untreated cells. We enriched this cell-subset, by growing them in drugs and we characterized them by measuring drug IC50 and examining the effect of the drug on the cell cycle, by flow cytometer. 

Successively, we investigated their morphological and phenotypic properties. We found that melanoma cells, resistant to B-RAFI alone or combined with MEKI, exhibited a bigger cellular body, an increased inter-cellular space and the pseudopodia formation compared to their sensitive counterparts. FACs analysis of CD90 and CD324 markers revealed an increased expression of CD90 with a reduction of CD324 in resistant cells. In addition, the drug resistant cells were able to attach and spread on the plate, in a 3D culture system, while the sensitive ones were unable to do it. We also found a reorganization of the network of vimentin mainly localized at perinuclear sites in the drug resistant cells. All together these data supported a mesenchymal-like phenotype of the melanoma cells, resistant to B-RAFI alone or combined with MEKI. 

Conclusions: These data suggest that melanoma cells contained a cell-subset with mesenchymal-like features that were resistant to B-RAF and MEKI drugs [2]. Further characterization of these cells will help to define novel targets opening up the possibility to develop efficacious therapeutic strategies and contributing to overcome the big issue of drug resistance in melanoma.
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Background: Despite novel therapeutic treatments developed in recent years, advanced melanoma patients continue to die. Therefore, the development of efficacious therapeutic strategies to prevent or delay the onset of resistance mechanism in melanoma, is fundamental. ABT888 is a potential anti-cancer drug being evaluated in multiple tumor types; it acts as a poly-(ADP–Ribose) polymerases (PARP) inhibitor, nuclear enzymes that repairs damage to DNA. Through inhibition of PARP, ABT888 helps to prevent DNA repair in cancer cells, leading to cell death [1]. In the current study, we tested the anti-proliferative and pro-apoptotic action of ABT888 on the melanoma cell line A375 resistant to B-Raf inhibitor (Dabrafenib) and on other melanoma cell lines.

Materials and methods: Cell viability of melanoma cell lines (A375, B-RAF R, SK-MEL-5, SK-MEL-2), 14, COPA-159, A375, LOXI-IMVI, LCP, SK-MEL-28, 397-MEL and WM-115) after 72 h treatment with increasing concentrations of ABT888 (5, 10, 25 and 50 μM), was evaluated by MTT assay; analysis of the apoptotic process was performed by the Annexin V-FITC assay, using flow cytometry. Cell extracts from SK-MEL-28 cell line were also analyzed by western blotting to evaluate the effect of ABT888 on the main proliferative signaling pathways.

Results: Treatment of melanoma cell lines with ABT888 caused inhibition of cell growth, which was statistically significant starting from 10 μM ABT888 (mean of 65 % of cell survival). In SK-MEL-28 melanoma cell lysates, ABT888 drug exhibited an anti-proliferative action by the inhibition of AKT as demonstrated by decreased levels of pAKT, while the levels of Cyclin D1 and c-Myc were not affected. In addition, 25 μM ABT888 treatment was able to induce apoptosis in the cells (average cell death equal to 34 %, by Annexin V-FITC assay), as confirmed by the presence of PARP-1 cleavage in SK-MEL-28 cell lysates. Furthermore, ABT888 showed its anti-proliferative and pro-apoptotic activity on A375 B-RAF R, by inhibition of cell growth (71 % of cell survival, following 10 μM ABT888 treatment) and induction of apoptosis (75 % of cell death, following 25 μM treatment).

Conclusions: In this study we observed that ABT888 exhibited its anti-proliferative and pro-apoptotic activity on melanoma cell lines. Interestingly, we found that ABT888 was able to kill melanoma cells resistant to B-Raf inhibitor (Dabrafenib). This results suggest that ABT888 may represent a promising therapeutic approach for melanomas that have developed resistance against some molecular targeted inhibitors. Further studies will be performed to assess whether the combination of B-Raf and Parp inhibitors can prevent or delay the onset of resistance mechanism in melanoma.
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Background: Cutaneous malignant melanoma is the most aggressive form of skin cancer, with a high mortality rate. Various treatments for malignant melanoma are available, but due to the development of multi-drug resistance, current or emerging therapies have a relatively low success rates. This emphasizes the importance of discovering new compounds that are both safe and effective against melanoma [1]. In the last few years, numerous physiological and pathophysiological roles H2S have been proposed for the gasotransmitter hydrogen sulfide (H2S), along with a plethora of cellular and molecular targets. Endogenously, H2S is produced as a metabolite of homocysteine (Hcy) by cystathionine β-synthase (CBS), cystathionine γ-lyase (CSE), and 3-mercaptopyruvate sulfurtransferase (3-MST) [2]. A number of studies have
investigated the role of H₂S in triggering cell death and evidence has been presented that this gas can exert both pro- and anti-apoptotic activity in cultured cells [3, 4]. Recently, CBS has emerged as a potential therapeutic target in both colon cancer and ovarian cancer [5, 6]. The aim of our study was to evaluate the role of the metabolite H₂S pathway in human melanoma.

**Methods:** We utilized cell culture, immunohistochemistry, molecular biology techniques and mice melanoma model.

**Results:** Using immunohistochemistry we demonstrated the presence of the i-cysteine/CSE/H₂S pathway in human melanoma specimens and provided evidence that CSE expression was highest in primary tumors while decreased in the metastatic lesions and it was almost silent in non lymph node metastases. Conversely, CBS did not appear to play an important role in melanoma. The role of CSE and the downstream signal transduction were investigated by using several human melanoma cell lines. The primary role played by CSE, already revealed by the human study, was confirmed by the finding that the over-expression of CSE induced spontaneous apoptosis of human melanoma cells (30 %; P < 0.001 vs mock transfected cells). The same effect was achieved by using different H₂S donors and, among them, the most active resulted to be diallyl trisulfide (DATS) (IC₅₀ = 89 μM).

The main pro-apoptotic mechanisms involved were suppression of nuclear factor-κB activity and inhibition of AKT and ERK1/2 pathways.

**Conclusion:** In conclusion, this work establishes that the CSE/H₂S pathway is involved in human melanoma and provide the fundamentals to exploit a possible therapeutic/diagnostic use in this aggressive disease.

**References**

1. Chimerminini TN, du Plessis L, Gerber M, Hamman JH, du Plessis J. Review of natural compounds for potential cancer treatment. Molecules. 2014;19:4279-4306.

2. Sen U, Sathnur PB, Kundu S, Givvimani S, Coley DM, Mishra PK, et al. Increased endogenous H₂S generation by CBS, CSE, and JMST gene therapy improves ex vivo renovascular relaxation in hyperhomocysteinemia. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 2012;303(1):C41–51.

3. Hu LF, Wong PT, Moore PK, Bian JS. Hydrogen sulfide attenuates lipoxygenase-cyclooxygenase-induced inhibition by p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase in microglia. J Neurochem. 2007;100:1121–8.

4. Taniguchi S, Kang L, Kimura T, Niki I. Hydrogen sulphide protects mouse pancreatic β-cells from cell death induced by oxidative stress, but not by endoplasmic reticulum stress. Br J Pharmacol. 2011;162:1171–8.

5. Bhattacharyya S, Saha S, Giri K. Cystathionine beta-synthase (CBS) contributes to advanced ovarian cancer progression and drug resistance. PLoS One. 2013;8:e79167.

6. Sasbo C, Coletta C, Chao C, Modis K, Szczesny B, Papapetropoulos A, Hellmich MR. Tumor-derived hydrogen sulfide, produced by cystathionine-beta-synthase, stimulates bioenergetics, cell proliferation, and angiogenesis in colon cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013;110:12474–9.

**P4**

**Cancer stem cell antigen revealing pattern of antibody variable region genes were defined by immunoglobulin repertoire analysis in patients with malignant melanoma**

Beatrix Kotlan1,2, Gabriella Liszkay3, Mira Blank4, Timea Balatoni3, Judit Olasz5, Emil Farkas6, Andras Szollar6, Akos Savolt6, Maria Godeny7, Orsolya Csuka5, Judit Olasz5, Debra Malpicci1, Luigi Fattore2, Susan Costantini2, Francesca Capone2, Paolo Antonio Ascierto2, Rita Mancini3,4, Gennaro Ciliberto3, Paolo Antonio Ascierto2, Rita Mancini3,4, Gennaro Ciliberto3

**Background:** Revealing cancer stem cells (CSC) is a major issue for effective cancer therapeutics. We postulated that an immunoglobulin (Ig) repertoire analysis and investigating freshly removed cancerous tissues would serve this aim. Our focus was kept on the natural humoral immune response, having the capacity to recognize modified oligosaccharide expression patterns, characteristic for cancer.

**Materials and methods:** In the course of a tumorimmunological study primary and metastatic tumors as well as peripheral blood samples of patients with malignant melanoma (n = 123) were investigated in terms of antibody repertoire. Heavy (HVH) and light chain (VL) Ig variable region gene fragments were amplified by polymerase chain reaction and VH and VL were adjoined by a (Gly-H4Ser) linker for the single chain Fv (scFv) construct. Two technical approaches for scFv phage display library (1) generation were standardised. A column purification process was used to get enriched immunoglobulins for binding assays (2). Comparative DNA sequence analyses and antibody phage enzyme-linked immunosorbence assay (ELISA) with native membrane preparations were done.

**Results:** The expressed immunoglobulin variable region gene usage in the VH3 family represented the VH3-23 and VH3-21 overexpression, and revealed that sequences were frequently in a germline configuration with a low replacement to silent ratio of mutations. Among the representative Ig clusters a cancer stem cell-associated CD34 and GD3 disialylated glycosphingolipid-targetting pattern could be defined. Using native membrane preparations and primary cultures from the tumor was found to be ideal to reveal novel cancer cell characteristics and antibody fragments with CSC binding potential.

**Conclusions:** Our technology helps to understand and harness the miscellaneous field of human antibody repertoire composition in malignant diseases, compared to autoimmune and normal conditions. The present data show unique potentials of tumor infiltrating B cell-derived antibodies to reveal cancer stem cell characteristics and would serve for novel diagnostic and therapeutic developments.
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**Background:** Understanding the mechanisms which contribute to the development of resistance to BRAF and MEK inhibitors in melanoma may lead to new target discovery and to the development of more effective combination therapies. Recently the ErbB3 receptor has been shown by us and by others to act as a central node in the promotion of adaptive survival of BRAF mutated melanoma cells early upon drug
exposure. Two alternative modes of ErbB3 activation have been proposed: the first involves FOXD3-dependent transcriptional activation of ErbB3 and increased cell sensitization to exogenous ErbB3 ligand neuregulin (NRG1); the second increased NRG1 production and autocrine activation of ErbB3. The present study was undertaken to provide better insight into these two alternative mechanisms.

**Materials and methods:** BRAF-mutated melanoma cell lines M14, A375 and LOX-IMVI (BRAF V600E), WM115 and WM266 (BRAF V600D) were exposed to BRAFi and/or MEKi treatments at different times. mRNA expression levels were assessed by Real Time PCR. Western blot analysis was performed on total protein extracts from untreated and treated cells. NRG-1 in melanoma cell media was assessed using Bioplex Pro NRG-1 Assays (Bio-Rad).

**Results:** Melanoma cells were treated with BRAFi and/or MEKi for different times (2–72 h) and changes in gene expression levels of NRG-1, ErbB3 and FOXD3 were determined. We observed two different patterns. In the first case exemplified by M14 and A375 cells we detected simultaneous increases in mRNA levels of NRG-1, FOXD3 and (to a lower extent) ErbB3 only at late times after drug exposure. Surprisingly, the late increase of NRG-1 mRNA expression was not accompanied by increase in the secretion of the cytokine in the cell medium. In contrast in WM266 cells a sharp increase of NRG1 and to a lower extent FOXD3 without changes in ErbB3 was observed early after drug exposure. In line with this last finding we were able to detect up to thousand -fold increase in secreted neuregulin-1 in cell media of WM266 shortly after drug exposure.

**Conclusions:** Our preliminary results show that a constant feature of melanoma cells exposed to drugs that inhibit the BRAF/MEK axis is the increased expression of NRG-1, albeit with different kinetics in different cells. Interestingly increased expression is not always accompanied by increased cytokine secretion. We are currently performing transfections with NRG-1 promoter luciferase fusion in order to provide new insights on the transcriptional regulation of this gene upon drug exposure.
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**Background:** HuR is a ubiquitously expressed member of the Hu family that contains three RNA-recognition motifs with the ability to bind adenyate- and uridylate-rich elements (AREs) in target mRNA [1]. These AREs are usually located in the 3′UTR of target transcripts, and the binding of HuR largely results in mRNA stabilization and/or increased translation [2, 3]. Furthermore, aberrant HuR expression has been reported in malignancies such as colon, breast and prostate cancer [4, 5, 6]. Among the many established HuR targets are the transcripts of genes involved in cancer and inflammation, including COX-2 and MMP-9 [7]. Using cutting-edge PAR-CLIP technique, Lebedeva et al. demonstrated RBP HuR binding sites in WNT5A mRNA, in the transcriptome of HeLa cells [8]. Overexpression of WNT5A plays a significant role in melanoma cancer progression; however, the mechanism(s) involved remains unknown.

In the present study, we investigated if RNA-binding protein HuR interacts with WNT5A mRNA thereby regulating its expression. We also delineated the functional consequences of such interaction by studying its impact on melanoma cell migration.

**Materials and methods:** To identify HuR–WNT5A mRNA interaction, HuR-RNA binding protein immunoprecipitation (RIP) assay and WNT5A 3′UTR luciferase assay were carried out. HuR-WNT5A association studies were investigated via siRNA knockdown of HuR and short-term treatment with the low molecular weight HuR inhibitor, MS-444. To investigate functional consequences of HuR-WNT5A interactions on melanoma cell migration, we performed trans-well cell migration assays.

**Results:** We selected HTB63 as a model cell line for our study for two reasons. Firstly, it expresses WNT5A protein endogenously and secondly, according to HOPP algorithm it is characterized as invasive cell phenotype. We found that endogenous expression of WNT5A correlates with levels of active HuR in these cells. We further demonstrated that HuR protein binds to 3′ UTR of WNT5A mRNA in HTB63 cells. HuR inhibition either via siRNA knockdown or by MS-444 treatment resulted in significant inhibition of WNT5A mRNA and protein levels in these cells. Finally, we demonstrated that MS-444 treatment significantly inhibited migration of HTB63 cells in WNT5A-dependent fashion, as MS-444-induced inhibition was restored by the addition of recombinant WNT5A.

**Conclusions:** HuR protein binds to 3′UTR of WNT5A mRNA and governs HTB63 melanoma cell migration via up-regulating WNT5A protein expression.
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**Prolyl 4- (C-P4H) hydroxylases have opposing effects in malignant melanoma: implication in prognosis and therapy**
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**Background:** Alterations in the properties of the basement membrane are a recognized feature of cancer cells. Collagen, the principal structural component, must undergo appropriate post-translational processing for efficient function and this requires prolyl hydroxylation, catalyzed by the prolyl 3- (C-P3H) and prolyl 4- (C-P4H) hydroxylases, which have multiple family members in the human genome.

**Materials and methods:** Using qPCR, western blotting and pyrosequencing, we have investigated the expression and transcriptional regulation, by means of CpG Island methylation, in the C-P4H family in melanoma cell lines and in clinical cases of melanoma including primary and metastatic lesions, both in tissues and in sera.

To seek mechanistic correlates of the proposed differences between P4HA1/P4HA2 and P4HA3, we modulated expression of P4HA2 and P4HA3 in melanoma cell lines using ectopic expression and knock-down.
Results: We show that the C-P4Hs P4HA1 and P4HA2 are invariably unmethylated and often over-expressed in melanoma, whereas P4HA3 is subject to methylation-dependent transcriptional silencing in primary and particularly metastatic melanoma. Ectopic expression of P4HA2 and P4HA3 has opposing effects on melanoma growth, P4HA2 promoting and P4HA3 inhibiting proliferation of melanoma cell lines. Pharmacological inhibition of C-P4H has anti-proliferative effects on melanoma cells irrespective of P4HA3 status and results in dose-dependent G2-M cell cycle block. Detection of methylated P4HA3 in genomic DNA from the peripheral blood of melanoma patients is a sensitive and specific biomarker of metastatic melanoma.

Conclusions: Our data thus identify the C-P4H family as potentially important modulators of melanoma growth and imply that pharmacological inhibitors of collagen prolyl 4-hydroxylation merit further investigation as novel anti-melanoma therapeutics. Further, the frequent methylation of P4HA3 in metastatic melanoma suggests that P4HA3 may have utility as a tissue and serum biomarker of metastatic disease.

P8 Urokinase receptor antagonists: novel agents for the treatment of melanoma
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Background: Melanoma has a high metastatic potential due to its tendency to metastasize through blood and lymphatic vessels. However, to date, effective treatments for metastatic melanoma are lacking and the prognosis of these patients remains very poor. One major goal of melanoma research is to identify new molecular targets for the development of novel treatments aimed to counteract intra and/or extravasation of melanoma cells. Several studies support the role of the urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor (uPAR) in melanoma as increased expression of uPAR has been found to be associated with poor prognosis and increased risk of metastasis [1, 2]. uPAR forms complex signaling units with trans-membrane receptors, including G protein coupled Formyl Peptide Receptors (FPRs) [3]. These complexes lead to the activation of signaling cascades controlling cell migration as well as growth and survival. The uPARa5–95 Chemotactic sequence is required to induce cell motility by interacting with FPRs that, in turn, signal through the integrins [4, 5]. By a drug design approach based on the conformational analysis of the uPAR chemotactic sequence, we have developed a family of peptides which inhibit cell migration by preventing uPAR/FPR-1 interaction [6, 7, 8]. This study was aimed to investigate the contribution of uPAR on the capability of melanoma cells to cross endothelial barriers during metastasis with the aim to block this process.

Materials and methods: Human melanoma A375 and M14 cell lines expressing different levels of uPAR and comparable levels of FPR-1 on cell surface, were analyzed for their ability to move toward chemotactic gradients, to invade extra-cellular matrix and to disrupt endothelial monolayers using the Real Time Cell Analysis System and the xCELLigence technology [9].

Results: We found that uPAR levels positively correlate with motility, invasiveness and capability of human melanoma cells to cross endothelial monolayers. The important role of the uPARa5–95 sequence in determining invasive ability of melanoma cells was confirmed by the finding that specific antibodies recognizing uPARa5–95 as well as peptide inhibitors of the uPARa5–95/FPR1 interaction abrogate melanoma cell ability to move toward chemotactic gradients, to invade basal membranes and to cross endothelial monolayers.

Conclusions: These findings identify uPAR as a novel prognostic marker, the FPR as novel therapeutic target in melanoma and suggest that inhibitors of the uPARa5–95/FPR1 interaction may be useful for the treatment of metastatic melanoma.
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dependent on Exo concentration (p < 0.05). Furthermore, the stimulation by Exo derived from the metastatic LCM cell line provoked an increased number of migrated LCP cells with respect to both untreated cells (4.47, 5.52 and 7.96-fold increase at 10, 20 and 30 μg/ml, respectively) and LCP-Exo stimulated cells (1.96, 2.19 and 2.28-fold increase, respectively) (p < 0.0002).

**Conclusion:** Although preliminary, our data appear to support the hypothesis that Exo released by melanoma cells enhance the chemo-tactic activity of primary tumor cells and that this effect is mainly evident when using line-related or homologous Exo. Therefore, it is suggestive that they may have a role in tumor progression in melanoma in particular in favoring a metastatic phenotype.
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**Table 2: Energetic metabolism of melanoma cell lines with different BRAF mutational status**

| Cell line | Origin | NRAS | BRAF exon 15 |
|-----------|--------|------|--------------|
| HBL       | Metastasis | WT/WT | WT/WT        |
| LND1      | Metastasis | WT/WT | WT/WT        |
| hmel1     | Metastasis | V600K/WT | V600K/WT   |
| hmel9     | Primary melanoma | V600R/V600R | V600R/V600R |
| hmel11    | Primary melanoma | V600R/V600R | V600R/V600R |
| M3        | Metastasis | WT/WT | V600E/V600E  |

**References**
1. Kotla V, Goel S, Nischal S, Heuck C, Vivek K, Das B, Verma A. Mechanism of action of lenalidomide in hematological malignancies. J Hematol Oncol. 2009;2:36.
2. Eisen T, Tesfaye U, Hamilton A, Hersey P, Millward M, Knight RD, Jungnelius JU, Glasy J. Results of a multicenter, randomized, double-blind phase 2/3 study of lenalidomide in the treatment of pretreated relapsed or refractory metastatic malignant melanoma. Cancer. 2010;116(1):46–54.
3. Mangiameli DP, Blansfield JA, Kakhal S, Loring D, Schafer PH, Muller GW, Stirling DJ, Libutti SK. Combination therapy targeting the tumor

**P11**

**Lenalidomide restrains the proliferation in melanoma cells through a negative regulation of their cell cycle**

**Background:** Immunotherapy is presently providing favourable clinical responses in metastatic melanoma (MM) and appears as innovative approach to be applied to other solid tumors. However, the therapeutic response is temporary and additional therapeutic approaches may extend its effectiveness and duration. Lenalidomide (Len) is an immunomodulating drug (IMiD) showing anti-inflammatory and anti-tumor properties in several hematologic disorders [1], as well as anti-melanoma activity in phase 2/3 clinical trials in MM [2]. Furthermore, in association with Sorafenib Len exerts a defined anti-angiogenic effect in a human ocular melanoma xenograft model [3]. As IMiD, Len activates the CD28 checkpoint expressed by T cells and drives the NF-κB increasing the T-cell cytotoxicity. This activity occurs by overcoming the inhibition of CTLA4 [4]. Therefore, this study explores the molecular activity of Len against melanoma cells for future translation to clinical treatment of melanoma.

**Materials and methods:** Three melanoma cell lines (A375, SKMEL28, WM266) were first cultured in serum-free medium to synchronize the cell cycle and then for 24 h in complete medium, supplemented with Len at different concentrations from 0.01 to 100 μM. A standard curve of cytotoxicity was assessed to measure the IC50 whereas both viability and proliferation of melanoma cells were evaluated by MTT assay. The effect of Len on the cycle phases was investigated by measuring the DNA content by flow-cytometry after cell staining with propidium iodide. Finally, real-time PCR explored the RNA expression levels of p21.

**Results:** All three melanoma cell lines showed a threefold decrease of their proliferation extent by Len at 10 μM (mean values: 640 ± 30 OD vs 1.915 ± 15 OD, p < 0.05). However, the majority of cells were viable (>91 %) although frozen at the G0–G1 phase of cell-cycle (64 ± 10 %) with respect to untreated cells (38 ± 4.6 %). Percentage number of apoptotic cells was apparently similar (Len-treated: 0.7 ± 0.02 % vs untreated: 1.7 ± 0.04 %) as well as those undergoing to S and G2-M phases (Len-treated: 35 ± 3 vs untreated: 60 ± 2 %). After treatment with Len, melanoma cell DNA content was increased by Reg-1p17R1 (p < 0.01).

**Conclusions:** Len exerts a cytostatic effect on melanoma cell lines through p21 up-regulation that restrains the NF-kB activity. This provides the rationale for planning further pre-clinical investigations exploring the Len effect on both CDK4 and CDK6 expression and activity, in order to design combination strategies with negative regulators of the cell cycle for the treatment of melanoma.
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P12 Chemoimmunotherapy elicits polyfunctional anti-tumor CD8+ T cells depending on the activation of an AKT pathway sustained by ICOS
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Background: Harnessing the T-cell response has proven to be a successful strategy in the prevention of tumor relapse. Recently we reported that Melan-A-specific CD8 T cells isolated from long-term surviving patients treated with DTIC before peptide-vaccination plus IFN-α possess higher anti-tumor reactivity and an enlarged T-cell repertoire, compared to cells isolated after vaccination alone, suggesting that chemoimmunotherapy may favor a protective anti-tumor specific immune response.

Materials and methods: To identify the mechanisms enhancing the immune response, we analyzed the endogenous and treatment-induced antigen specific CD8+ T-cell response in a panel of Melan-A- and gp100-specific clones from five patients. We analyzed the differentiation phenotype (CCR7 and CD45RA), the co-stimulatory (CD27, CD28 and ICOS) and inhibitory (TIM-3, LAG-3 and PD-1) profile, in parallel with the polyfunctionality (IFN-γ, TNF-α and Granulome B) and activation of AKT (pSer473-AKT).

Results: Melan-A-specific T cells isolated from the endogenous response of both treatments showed poor polyfunctionality and low ICOS expression and an AKT activation status related to the differentiation profile (in term of CD28 and/or CD27 expression). Conversely, after therapy only patients treated with combined chemoimmunotherapy showed tumor-specific CD8+ T cells displaying the hallmarks of differentiated and highly activated effector T cells. These clones were more efficient in tumor killing, possessed polyfunctional activity, up-regulated inhibitory receptors, retained proliferative capability and activated an AKT pathway not-related to the differentiation phenotype and dependent on ICOS engagement. Strikingly, T-cell polyfunctionality elicited by the combined therapy was strictly dependent on this AKT activation, as demonstrated by the blockade with selective inhibitors, which occurred only in Melan-A-specific CD8+ T cells and not in cells specific for gp100, suggesting that the nature of the peptide is crucial for the activation of this pathway.

Conclusions: We suggest that the phenotypic and functional T-cell signature elicited by chemoimmunotherapy is a fine-tuned balance between quality of the Ag/TCR complex, co-stimulatory signals such as ICOS, inhibitory checkpoints and AKT activation, associated with anti-tumor T cells that can protect patients from tumor recurrence. The study represents a critical contribution for the comprehension of the mechanisms underlying the advantages of combined chemo-immunotherapy and paves the way for the identification of new biomarkers of T-cell activation that may be employed as markers of immune responsiveness.
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Andrea P. Sponghini1, Francesca Platini1, Elena Marra2, David Rondonotti1, Oscar Alabiso1, Maria T. Fiore2, Paola Savoia3, Florian Stratico4, Pietro Quinnin3

1A.O.U. Maggiore della Carità, S.C. di Oncologia, Novara, Italy; 2A.O.U. Città della Salute e della Scienza, S.C. di Dermatologia, Torino, Italy

Correspondence: Andrea P. Sponghini
Journal of Translational Medicine 2016, 14(Suppl 1):P13

Background: Combination therapy with BRAF and MEK inhibitors is a recommended treatment strategy for metastatic melanoma patients with BRAFV600 mutations. Combining a selective BRAF inhibitor, such as dabrafenib, and a MEK inhibitor, such as trametinib, has been shown to improve the response rate and progression-free survival in patients with advanced melanoma. This combination treatment results in a reduction in skin toxicity seen with a BRAF inhibitor alone; however, addition of the MEK inhibitor adds other toxicities, such as pyrexia and gastrointestinal or ocular toxicity. Trametinib was approved as a single agent for the treatment of patients with V600E-mutated metastatic melanoma by FDA in May 2013 and by EMA in September 2013. Later FDA has approved the combination of dabrafenib and trametinib for the treatment of patients with BRAF V600E/K-mutant metastatic melanoma and their use seems to be currently the best approach.

Materials and methods: From November 2013 to September 2015, we have collected data from 54 patients with BRAF V600E/K mutation-positive stage IV melanoma. Patients received a combination of dabrafenib (150 mg orally twice daily) and trametinib (2 mg orally once daily). Metastatic sides were: skin (30 %), liver (28 %), lymph nodes (22 %), lung (15 %), brain (4 %) and spleen (1 %). Adverse events were monitored according to CTCAE v4.03. If dose modification was necessary we used standard dose reductions as recommended by manufacturers. Patients were monitored every 8 weeks and therapy has been continued until progression or unacceptable toxicity.

Results: Among our patients no malignant and hyperproliferative skin lesions, interstitial lung disease, venous thromboembolism, gastrointestinal bleeding and heart (cardiomyopathy, decreased left ventricular ejection fraction) toxicities were appreciated. Evolution of melanocytic nevi was also assessed, none of the monitored nevi increased in pigmentation nor morphological changes in preexisting nevi was seen following combination therapy. The most common adverse events (G1 grade) were fatigue (25 %) and pyrexia (23 %), no treatment discontinuation was necessary. Cutaneous rash (G1) occurred in 11 %, diarrhea (G1) and arthralgia (G1) in 5 %, ocular uveitis occurred in 2 % of 54 patients, but no dose modifications were necessary. Severe papillodema occurred in 1 patient of 54, that led to permanent therapy discontinuation. Clinically meaningful improvements from baseline in favour of dabrafenib/trametinib were observed for pain, nausea and vomiting, distress and appetite at weeks 8 already.

Conclusions: The combination of dabrafenib and trametinib was well tolerated and patients reported a better global health and functional status with less exacerbation of symptoms.
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Background: Radical lymph node dissection (RLND) is the treatment of choice in stage III melanoma patients. Over half of the observed complications were related to post-operative serum collection.
affecting wound healing. The possibility to reduce seroma formation and the drainage permanence may offer benefits to the patients. The aim of the study was to evaluate the impact of this technique to decrease post-operative lymph output and time of drainage removal. This represents the first report of electrothermal bipolar vessel sealing system (SJ) in melanoma patients affected by nodal disease submitted to nodal dissection.

Methods: This was a prospective study carried out at a single center in which consecutive patients were submitted to radical axillary or ilio-inguinal RLND and were included in the study. The mean postoperative lymph output was lower at days 3, 10, 15 and 20 than historical control group. About 66.6 % of patients had less of 55 cc of drainage at 10 days. In patients treated with SJ the mean of drainage removal was lower than historical control group and was 14.5 and 24.0 days respectively.

Conclusion: This is the first report of nodal dissection in patients with cutaneous melanoma performed with electrothermal bipolar vessel sealing system, the technique was feasible, safe, and effective. Compared with historical data regarding the conventional electrocautery, this technique seems to result in reduced drainage volume and duration of days of suction drain. Prospective randomized controlled studies are necessary to evaluate the effect of this technique on perioperative complications.

News in immunotherapy

P15 Clinical and immunological response to ipilimumab in a metastatic melanoma patient with HIV infection
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Background: Immunotherapy with immune-checkpoint molecule inhibitors such as anti-CTLA4, anti-PD-1 and anti-PD-L1 increases the overall survival of melanoma patients with or without BRAF V600 mutations. This effect is associated with an enhanced antitumor T cell response. Patients with HIV infection show a high incidence of primary melanoma because of their immunodeficient status. No effective treatment options are currently available for patients with HIV and metastatic melanoma and few data are available in literature for those treated with immune checkpoint-molecule inhibitors. Evidence suggests that both CTLA4 and PD-1 may play a role in HIV viral persistence as well as in the production of HIV-specific CDM T cells. Here we report our recent experience in a patient with metastatic melanoma and HIV infection treated with ipilimumab in combination with antiretroviral therapy.

Materials and methods: A 49-year-old man was diagnosed with HIV AIDS in 2011. After treatment with multiple antiretroviral therapies, he was treated with the combination of tenofovir, emtricitabine and efavirenz. In 2015 he was diagnosed with melanoma with multiple positive lymph nodes and lung metastases. Furthermore his history showed the diagnosis of a previous basal cell carcinoma of the back and the presence of severe anal dysplasia HPV-related. BRAF status was detected using the “Cobas” test. Ipiilimumab was administered at 3 mg/kg intravenously, every 3 weeks, for four doses, starting from June 7 to August 8, 2015. Restaging TC scan was performed on September 9, 2015. Total number of CD3+/CD4+/CD8+/CD16+/CD19+ cells and levels of HIV RNA copies were monitored during the treatment.

Results: A BRAF V600E mutation was found. No adverse events were reported. No significant changes were found in the number of CD3+/CD8+/CD16+/CD19+ cells. At baseline, the number of CD4+ cells was 296 cells/μl while the HIV RNA copies were 20/ml. An increase in the number of CD4+ cells and a decrease in HIV RNA copies were already detected after the first administration of ipilimumab. Following four courses of ipilimumab the number of CD4+ cells was 580 cells/μl, while the HIV RNA copies were not detectable. Restaging TC scan showed disappearance of previous lesions and no development of new lesions.

Conclusions: Our single-patient experience demonstrates that administration of ipilimumab in HIV infected metastatic melanoma patient is safe and well tolerated. Furthermore it demonstrated to have beneficial effects on both HIV infection and melanoma treatment. Clinical trials are warranted to determine the clinical efficacy of ipilimumab in this setting.
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Background: Recent studies suggest that hypophysitis occurs in about 10–15 % of patients receiving Ipilimumab [1, 2], in contrast with the rarity of idiopathic autoimmune hypophysitis or hypophysitis after treatment with other immunotherapies [1, 3–8]. Here we present the case of a patient with Ipilimumab-induced hypophysitis (IH).

Case report: A 68-year-old man was admitted to our Oncology Unit in June 2015 with the diagnosis of metastatic melanoma. ECOG Performance Status was 0 and no comorbidities were reported. In June 2011 primary melanoma was removed surgically; during follow up, in May 2015, bilateral lung metastases were highlighted by CT-scan. Pathological lymph nodes in left axilla (more than 8 cm in diameter) were also reported. Ipilimumab-based therapy started in June 2015. In August 2015, after the third course out four scheduled doses of Ipilimumab, the patient referred us one-week history of heavy headache. No nausea, vomiting, visual symptoms, polydipsia or polyuria were reported. A brain MRI was performed and a pituitary swelling consistent with hypophysitis was diagnosed. Haematological reports were consistent with hypopituitarism diagnosis: morning cortisol level 0.7 mg/dl (normal range 8-21) and TSH level 0.208 UI/ml (0.250-4.5). Therapy with dexamethasone 4 mg IM every 6 h was started; due to the low decreasing TSH values, levothyroxine 100 mcg once daily was also added. A rapid symptoms improvement was obtained by this therapeutic approach. The dose of dexamethasone was gradually decreased over 4 weeks and hydrocortisone replacement of 20 mg in twice daily doses has been just started. The fourth course of Ipilimumab was not performed because of the contemporary high-dose glucocorticoid therapy; furthermore, it occurred delayed liver toxicity: AST 160 U/L (15–46) and ALT 350 U/L (11–66). Total body CT-scan and brain MRI were scheduled, but a significant shrinkage in axillary lymph node swelling was observed.

Discussion: Development of IH is associated with a significant clinical morbidity, so early recognition and therapeutic intervention are extremely relevant. Furthermore, in the absence of data demonstrating clinical benefit from high-dose glucocorticoid therapy, we wondered if, in absence of symptoms such as visual disturbances, there is the indication to start with hydrocortisone replacement therapy alone from the beginning [1]. We also wondered if it was reasonable to administer Ipilimumab during prolonged high-dose glucocorticoid therapy. Thus, guidelines for surveillance and management of IH are highly required [9, 10].

Consent to publish: Written informed consent for publication of their clinical details was obtained from the patient/parent/guardian of the patient. A copy of the consent form is available for review by the Editor of this journal.
lesions. The expression of cyclin D1, collagen XVII and Topoisomerase 2α was high in borderline lesions and in melanomas with a Breslow thickness >1 mm and high mitotic index, and lower in common and blue nevi. The expression of COX2, survivin is not particularly significant, reflecting the trend described in the literature. Only three atypic lesions showed alteration in the RREB1 locus.

Conclusions: Our preliminary data showed that Cyclin D1, the collagen XVII and Topoisomerase 2α could provide useful diagnostic and prognostic markers for atypical lesions, being significantly overexpressed in invasive lesions.
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Background: For specific subsets of melanocytic proliferations, there are morphologic limitations in the histologic diagnosis, especially for borderline melanocytic tumors. [1]. In particular, Spitzoid proliferations can be difficult to diagnose. For these reasons, in the last years, clinic research has focused attention on discovery of new diagnostic markers.

Published gene expression and proteomic profiling data indicate new candidate molecules involved in melanoma pathogenesis, and useful in differential diagnosis of difficult melanocytic lesions [2,3].

Recently, the diagnostic power of galectin-3 was demonstrated in a series of melanocytic lesions, with a strong increasing of expression in malignant lesions compared with benign lesions. Similarly, the accumulation of Collagen XVII antibody was detected in vertical melanoma fronts and associated with invasive phenotype. Moreover, overexpression of cyclin D1 and p21 was detected in Spitz nevi compared with non-spitzoid melanomas, Ki-67 appears highly expressed in deep areas of non-spitzoid melanomas [4,5].

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the expression of a panel of markers, suggested by literature, on a series of melanocytic lesions selected from our archives, to define the most useful for the differential diagnosis.

Materials and methods: We selected 56 samples from database of Pathology Unit of I.N.T. “G. Pascale” (Naples): 24 atypical Spitz tumor, 10 atypical Spitz nevi, 12 primary melanomas, 5 blue nevi and 5 common nevi. The samples were analyzed by immunohistochemistry for the expression of cyclin D1, Ki67, Galectin 3, COX2, Topoisomerase 2α, Collagen XVII, GLUT1, Survivin. Ten atypical lesions and ten melanomas were also used for a molecular analysis to evaluate specific chromosomal aberrations, typical of melanoma, by FISH.

Results: Almost all of the atypical lesions showed a low proliferative index (<5 %), while the high expression of Ki67 is present in malignant lesions.
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Background: Cutaneous melanoma is a tumor with intense metabolic activity that expresses and releases into blood circulation a large number of enzymes, cytokines and growth factors. In this study we aimed to identify optimal cut-off of serum melanoma inhibitory activity (MIA), a protein synthesized by malignant melanocytes. As there is no maximum MIA serum concentration widely accepted as the upper normal limit, every laboratory calculates its own cut-off value. We have established in a previous study a MIA threshold of 9.4 ng/mL [1]. Various MIA cut-off values were reported in literature, ranging from 6.5 [2] to 17.4 ng/mL [3].

Materials and methods: During the past year, MIA serum concentration was measured by a high sensitivity ELISA method in 100 melanoma patients stage as follows: stage I-II, stage II-IV, stage II-IV-3. Also, blood was collected from 51 healthy controls. In melanoma patients group, MIA serum concentration ranged between 4.39 and 121.29 ng/mL with a mean of 12.21 ng/mL and the highest 16.35 ng/mL. In melanoma patients group, MIA serum concentration was measured between 4.39 and 121.29 ng/mL with a mean of 12.21 ng/mL and a median of 8.07 ng/mL. We have noticed a significant increase in MIA serum concentration in stage IV compared with all the other stages (p < 0.000061 Likelihood Ratio). Taking into account the entire lot, the optimal ratio between sensitivity and specificity was found for a MIA value of 9.125 ng/mL, same as for localized disease, but for these two categories the ability of MIA to separate melanoma subjects from healthy ones is very low, making it useless (Sn = 35.0 %, respectively 19.4 %). For patients with metastases the optimal cut-off was set at 12.46 ng/mL (AUC = 0.910; p < 0.0001; Sn = 84.60 %; Sp = 86.30 %). To facilitate application in clinical practice, the above values were approximated to 9, 9.5 and 12.5. By raising the threshold from 9 ng/mL to 12.5 ng/mL, MIA diagnostic efficacy for melanoma was increased from 33.77–43.71 %.

Conclusion: In our study a MIA cut-off of 12.5 ng/mL provided maximum diagnostic efficacy for each clinical stage compared to a cut-off of 9 or 9.5 ng/mL. Likelihood of having melanoma for an individual with MIA serum concentration greater than 12.5 ng/mL was 75 %. At this cut-off, MIA ability to differentiate between patients with metastases and healthy people was 84 %.
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Background: The molecular mechanisms responsible for the metastatic progression of melanoma have not been fully defined yet [1, 2]. We have recently shown that an important role in this process is certainly played by HOX genes [2]. Regulation of HOX genes expression is under control of particular non-coding RNAs, some of which are present within the HOX locus [3]. HOTAIR is the most studied among them, whose aberrant expression is associated with the metastatic progression of many malignancies [4].

The main objective of this study was to verify the role played by HOTAIR in metastatic progression of melanoma and to evaluate the circulating levels of HOTAIR in the blood of patients with metastatic melanoma.

Materials and methods: A series of benign melanocytic/borderline lesions, primary melanomas pT1a/b, primary melanomas pT3/pT4, associated with the corresponding metastases and visceral metastases, were selected to evaluate the potential changes in the expression of HOTAIR during the evolution of the disease. HOTAIR gene expression studies were carried out both by ISH and RT-PCR, to quantitate the expression levels of the IncRNA in tissues and in the serum of metastatic patients.

Results: None of the benign melanocytic lesions showed the presence of HOTAIR. The staining of HOTAIR resulted very weak in the primary lesions, while this positivity decreased in lymphoid intratumoral lymphocytes, while it was very strong in all pairs of primary tissues and corresponding metastases, with a cytoplasmic and membrane positivity. Surprisingly, we found the presence of HOTAIR in some intratumoral lymphocytes, while this positivity decreased in lymphocyte component further away from the tumor. HOTAIR gene expression data were similar to those obtained by ISH. Finally, HOTAIR was detected in the serum of all analyzed metastatic patients.
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Conclusions: These data allowed us to speculate on the fundamental role played by HOTAIR in the tumor evolution of melanoma. In addition, its presence in intratumoral lymphocytes could suggest that it may also be involved in the modulation of the tumour microenvironment [5]. Finally, its detection in serum might suggest its use, as circulating serum marker, in the management of melanoma patients.
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Background: Although SLNB is considered an useful instrument for staging, to guide treatment decisions and as entry criteria for clinical trials [1], some controversies remain in terms of its therapeutic benefit [2].

Materials and methods: The aim of the study was to evaluate the effect of early sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB) in patients with primary melanoma (<30 days) versus delayed SLNB (≥31 days), controlling for all known histological prognostic parameters. We conducted a 10-year cohort study among 748 patients who had undergone excision of the primary melanoma plus sentinel-node biopsy between January 1998 and December 2008 with a Breslow thickness of 1.00 mm or more and from the same geographic area (Lazio). Survival probability was estimated by Kaplan–Meier method, and prognostic factors were evaluated by multivariate analysis (Cox proportional hazards model).

Results: Out of the 748 patients with cutaneous melanoma, 141 patients were SLNB positive (18.9 %) and 607 cases (81.1 %) were negative. Patients with positive SLNB had a higher frequency of melanoma with more than 2 mm of Breslow thickness (66.7 versus 31.8 %, p = 0.0001), with the presence of ulceration (26.2 versus 8.7 %, p = 0.002), high mitotic rate (61.2 versus 32.3 %, p < 0.0001) and lower frequency of marked tumor-infiltration (8.7 versus 23.9 %, p = 0.037) than patients with negative SLNB. The frequency of nodal melanomas was also higher among patients with positive SLNB in comparison to negative SLNB (36.4 versus 21.0 %, p < 0.0001).

Conclusion: These data suggest that, among melanoma patients with more than 2 mm of Breslow thickness, SLNB dissection (≥30 days) compared to negative SLNB (≤30 days) increased the risk of melanoma mortality.
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Background: 30 % of melanoma patients present high levels of psychological stress and would require clinical intervention [1–2]. This study evaluates whether patients with melanoma experience: 1) anxiety, depression and distress; 2) depressive SLNB, early dissection of SLNB (≤30 days) decreased melanoma mortality.
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Background: Although SLNB is considered an useful instrument for staging, to guide treatment decisions and as entry criteria for clinical trials [1], some controversies remain in terms of its therapeutic benefit [2].

Materials and methods: The aim of the study was to evaluate the effect of early sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB) in patients with primary melanoma (<30 days) versus delayed SLNB (≥31 days), controlling for all known histological prognostic parameters. We conducted a 10-year cohort study among 748 patients who had undergone excision of the primary melanoma plus sentinel-node biopsy between January 1998 and December 2008 with a Breslow thickness of 1.00 mm or more and from the same geographic area (Lazio). Survival probability was estimated by Kaplan–Meier method, and prognostic factors were evaluated by multivariate analysis (Cox proportional hazards model).

Results: Out of the 748 patients with cutaneous melanoma, 141 patients were SLNB positive (18.9 %) and 607 cases (81.1 %) were negative. Patients with positive SLNB had a higher frequency of melanoma with more than 2 mm of Breslow thickness (66.7 versus 31.8 %, p = 0.0001), with the presence of ulceration (26.2 versus 15.3 %, p = 0.002), high mitotic rate (61.2 versus 32.3 %, p < 0.0001) and lower frequency of marked tumor-infiltration (8.7 versus 23.9 %, p = 0.037) than patients with negative SLNB. The frequency of nodal melanomas was also higher among patients with positive SLNB in comparison to negative SLNB (36.4 versus 21.0 %, p < 0.0001).

Conclusion: These data suggest that, among melanoma patients with more than 2 mm of Breslow thickness, SLNB dissection (≥30 days) compared to negative SLNB (≤30 days) increased the risk of melanoma mortality.
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with depressive symptoms ($r = -0.484$), and transcendent orientation positively correlates with depressive symptoms ($r = 0.337$). **Conclusions:** Melanoma and psychopathological aspects correlate positively with each other, especially in relation to gender, marital status, education, risk factors and coping styles.
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Radiation therapy contributes both immunogenic and immunosuppressive signals to the tumor microenvironment. Preclinical strategies to enhance the forms and/or mitigate the latter have demonstrated the concrete possibility to shift this balancing act toward a therapeutic success (1). Results from preclinical experiments, in immunocompetent syngeneic models mimicking the setting of advanced cancer treated by radiation and immunotherapy have consistently found clinical confirmation. Particularly when combined with immune checkpoint blockade, radiotherapy has demonstrated to be a powerful adjuvant to immunotherapy. Clinical examples of synergy between radiation and immune checkpoint inhibitors have been reported, and interim results in our prospective clinical trial confirm this finding (2–7).

Currently, multiple clinical trials are exploring optimal combinations and scheduling of radiotherapy and immunotherapy. While at least some early evidence from these trials confirms the hypothesis that radiation can enhance responses to immune checkpoint inhibitors, in the majority of patients tumors remain unresponsive, warranting research to identify markers that predict response. A recent study testing radiation with ipilimumab in melanoma (8) suggested that tumor expression of PD-L1 may predict lack of response to radiation and ipilimumab. However, in lung cancer patients treated with radiation and ipilimumab we found high PDL-1 expression among patients achieving durable complete and partial responses, without addition of PD-1 pathway inhibitors (9). In fact, higher expression of immune checkpoint has been hypothesized as a marker of more immunogenic tumors (10). In addition, pre-treatment mutational load has been found to be associated with responses to immune checkpoint inhibitors (11). It will be important to determine if radiation can compensate tumors with a low mutational load, by inducing induc de novo T cell priming to multiple tumor antigens (12) and could, therefore, achieve responses in the absence of pre-existing neoantigens (13)

Importantly, the overall degree of immune impairment of the patients may be a critical predictor of response to radiation + immunotherapy. For instance, we found the pretreatment neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio might enable a priori selection of individuals with a propensity to respond to the combination of radiation and GM-CSF (14).

In another study in metastatic breast cancer patients we found that the impaired ability of T cells to signal in response to TCR stimulation was associated with a shorter progression-free survival after treatment with radiation and fresolimumab (Formenti et al., in preparation).

Overall, while radiation has emerged as a promising partner for immunotherapy, the identification of tumor and patient characteristics that can predict which patients should receive upfront the combination of immunotherapy with radiotherapy instead of immunotherapy alone remains unclear.
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Background: Cancer vaccines, including those targeting cancer/testis antigens (CTA) such as MAGE-A3, are able to induce measurable adaptive immune responses, but have largely failed to demonstrate clinical benefit in phase III studies of solid tumors. Recent successes of adoptive cell therapy suggest that CTA-specific T cells may act better in the context of reinfusion after lympho/myeloablative therapy, due in part to immunologic benefits via lymphopenia-induced proliferation of T cells, elimination of suppressive populations, and possible release of tumor antigens for cross-priming in hematologic diseases. Therefore, we asked whether combining immunization with recombinant MAGE-A3 + AS15 immunostimulant together with autologous stem-cell transplant (ASCT) and vaccine-primed lymphocyte reinfusion is safe and may lead to better immunogenicity in multiple myeloma (MM) patients.

Materials and methods: Thirty-three MM patients who achieved at least very good partial response after induction therapy enrolled in a pilot study (NCT01380145) with one recombinant MAGE-A3 + AS15 intramuscular immunization prior to ASCT followed by 7 booster immunizations shortly after ASCT and vaccine-primed lymphocyte reinfusion. Antibody (Ab) and cellular immune responses were assessed by ELISA, ELISPOT, and intracellular cytokine staining (ICS) assays. Phenotypic changes in peripheral blood were assayed by mass cytometry. MAGE-A expression was assessed in MM cells by immunohistochemistry (IHC) using mAb M3H67.

Results: All patients had MAGE-A + MM cells at baseline. All patients developed MAGE-A3-specific Ab by ELISA and CD4 T cell responses by IFNy ELISPOT, with significant expansion after booster vaccinations that persisted through 1 year post-ASCT. ICS confirmed a polyfunctional, Th1-biased CD4 T cell response (IFNy+, TNFa+, IL5+) in all patients. Thirty of 13 patients developed MAGE-A3-specific CD8 responses. Humoral antigen spreading was also observed. With a median follow-up of 31 mos, 9 patients have relapsed (median PFS is 27 months), one died of progressive MM, and one of subsequent allogeneic SCT complications. MAGE-A expression was assessed by IHC in seven relapse biopsies, and three were MAGE-A negative.

Conclusions: MAGE-A3 immunotherapy and PBL reconstitution induces strong Ab and Th1-biased CD4 T cell immune responses, and less frequently CD8 immune responses, in the setting of ASCT for MM. These Ab and CD4 T cell responses appear greater in magnitude than those seen historically in other cancers (PMID 14978137, 26309191), despite significant immune compromise after ASCT, highlighting the immunogenic potency of this approach. Absence of MAGE-A3 expression in some relapsing patients points toward antigen-specific immune selective pressure and suggests that combination strategies aimed at limiting immune escape should be investigated.
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In cancers with increasing aggressiveness, cancer cells specialize for enhanced proliferation and cell survival. Simultaneously, they specialize on exploiting the host for supporting tumor growth. Consequently, many of the host’s reactions are contributing to the malignant behaviors of cancers. In turn, the immune system has a number of capabilities that have the potential of countering tumor growth and protecting the patient. Specifically, CD8⁺ cytotoxic T cells and CD4⁺ T helper one cells can destroy up to large tumor masses. More importantly, these anti-cancer T cells can provide long-term protection by keeping (minimal) residual disease in check, and patients alive for many years. However, in most patients T cells are dysfunctional and remain in a state of T cell "exhaustion": Novel antibody therapies that block inhibitory receptors can lead to strong activation of anti-tumor T cells, mediating clinically significant anti-cancer immunity for many years. Therapeutic interventions are now being optimized for enforcing anti-cancer T cell functions, and avoiding immune inhibitory mechanisms of malignant diseases. Novel therapy modalities will likely become beneficial, provided that they help tipping the balance towards more activation and less inhibition of anti-cancer T cells. Carefully designed clinical studies with state-of-the-art therapeutic and analytical techniques will provide detailed insight in the tumor microenvironment of patients, and the underlying mechanisms that cause tumor growth or regression. Such information is crucial for the design of promising large-scale (combination therapy) trials.

Immunotherapy in oncology (I-O): data from clinical trial
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Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related deaths in men and the second leading cause in women worldwide¹. Approximately 85% of lung cancers are non-small cell lung cancers²,³ and about 30% of these, have a squamous histology which is associated with poorer outcomes and limited treatment options⁴. The human immune system can be an effective way of fighting cancer. One mechanism the lymphatic checkpoint uses, to negatively regulate the early stages of T-cell activation, is through expression of the cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen-4 (CTLA-4) and antibody blockade of CTLA-4 has been shown to increase antitumor immunity⁵. In peripheral tissues, the effector phase of the adaptive immune response against tumor cells is partly negatively regulated through the binding of the programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) expressed on activated T-cells with the ligands PD-L1 or PD-L2 expressed on tumor cells. Tumor cells can evade the immune response through the upregulation of PD-L1 in tumors or the tumor microenvironment⁶, leading to immune resistance. CTLA-4 inhibitors re-activate T-cells by blocking the deactivating effects of CTLA-4. PD-1 and PD-L1 inhibitors re-activate T-cell activity in peripheral tissues by blocking the binding of PD-1 to its ligand PD-L1. Two CTLA-4 inhibitors, two PD-1 inhibitors, and four PD-L1 inhibitors are under development for NSCLC⁷. Nivolumab recently received FDA approval for use as a single agent in second-line squamous NSCLC based on phase III trial data [8, 9]. The presentation will review available evidence for use of checkpoint inhibitors in advanced NSCLC.
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