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In Brief
Woehle, Roy, et al. report a novel eukaryotic denitrification pathway in foraminiferal genomes. The enzymes nitrite reductase (NirK) and nitric oxide reductase (Nor) are encoded in *Globobulimina*. A phylogenetic analysis provides insights into the genetic capacity for denitrification in foraminifera and its evolutionary origin in prokaryotes.
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SUMMARY

Benthic foraminifera are unincellular eukaryotes inhabiting sediments of aquatic environments. Several species were shown to store and use nitrate for complete denitrification, a unique energy metabolism among eukaryotes. The population of benthic foraminifera reaches high densities in oxygen-depleted marine habitats, where they play a key role in the marine nitrogen cycle. However, the mechanisms of denitrification in foraminifera are still unknown, and the possibility of a contribution of associated bacteria is debated. Here, we present evidence for a novel eukaryotic denitrification pathway that is encoded in foraminiferal genomes. Large-scale genome and transcriptomes analyses reveal the presence of a denitrification pathway in foraminifera species of the genus Globobulimina. This includes the enzymes nitrite reductase (NirK) and nitric oxide reductase (Nor) as well as a wide range of nitrate transporters (Nrt). A phylogenetic reconstruction of the enzymes' evolutionary history uncovers evidence for an ancient acquisition of the foraminiferal denitrification pathway from prokaryotes. We propose a model for denitrification in foraminifera, where a common electron transport chain is used for anaerobic and aerobic respiration. The evolution of hybrid respiration in foraminifera likely contributed to their ecological success, which is well documented in palaeontological records since the Cambrian period.

INTRODUCTION

Production of biologically inaccessible dinitrogen (N2) is attributed to anaerobic oxidation of ammonium (NH4+) and to the anaerobic respiration of nitrate (NO3−) to N2, named denitrification [1]. These processes in the oceans are considered of major importance in the global nitrogen cycle [2]. Indeed, oxygen-depleted environments that are densely populated by denitrifying organisms constitute major sinks for bioavailable nitrogen species [1]. Although the production of N2 by denitrification is widespread among prokaryotes [3, 4], in eukaryotes, it has been reported only in foraminifera [5].

Foraminifera are known to colonize a wide range of marine habitats where abiotic factors, especially fluctuation or depletion of oxygen availability, are key to species diversity and success [6]. Several benthic species of the order Rotaliida show denitrification activity [5, 7]. Recent studies predicted that denitrifying foraminifera contribute up to 100% of total benthic denitrification in the Peruvian oxygen minimum zone [8], where foraminifera reach abundances of >500 individuals per cm2 [8, 9]. Furthermore, foraminifera were shown to have a NO3− storage that is suggested to accumulate in intracellular vacuoles [10] and sustains denitrification activity for months [11].

Several foraminifera species (e.g., Bulimina tenuata and Virgulinella fragilis) were shown to harbor intracellular bacteria [12–15], and it has been suggested that these bacteria perform the denitrification reported in these species [14, 16]. In contrast, the denitrifying foraminifera Globobulimina turgida [17] (previously determined as G. pseudospinescens) [18] harbors intracellular bacteria in a low abundance such that the denitrification rates measured for this species cannot be accounted for a substantial bacterial contribution [5]. A eukaryotic denitrification pathway has previously been described in fungi [19, 20]. However, the fungal denitrification is incomplete where the end product is nitrous oxide (N2O) rather than N2. So far, only prokaryotes are known to encode the genetic repertoire to perform complete denitrification.

The commonly known denitrification pathway includes four enzymatic steps that catalyze the reactions NO3− → NO2− → NO → NO2 → N2. Dissimilatory reduction of NO3− to N2O is facilitated by periplasmic or membrane-bound nitrate reductase (NapA or NarG, respectively). The second denitrification step is catalyzed by C4H-containing (NirS) or copper-containing (NirK) nitrite reductase. Although NirS is exclusively found in prokaryotes, NirK homologs are found in a few protists and fungi [21]. Further reduction of NO to the greenhouse gas N2O is catalyzed in prokaryotes by nitric oxide reductase (Nor), and an alternative enzyme (P450Nor) is documented in fungi. The last step of denitrification in prokaryotes is catalyzed by nitrous oxide reductase (NosZ). Therefore, all denitrifying organisms share a similar gene
repertoire of the denitrification pathway. Nonetheless, the denitrification gene set in foraminifera remains unknown. Here, we investigate the genetic repertoire of the denitrification pathway in foraminifera. We analyzed the whole genomes and transcriptomes of two *Globobulimina* species from the Gullmar Fjord (Sweden). We find eukaryotic genes encoding for the denitrification enzymes in foraminifera and investigate their evolutionary origin.

### RESULTS

**Homologs of Denitrification Enzymes in *Globobulimina* spp.**

Foraminifera were obtained from sediment sampled in the Gullmar Fjord, Sweden. A total of 3,360 viable individuals of *Globobulimina turgida* and *Globobulimina auriculata* [17] were manually picked based on their morphological characteristics (Figure 1). Denitrification rates for both species were calculated from N$_2$O production measurements following protocols previously established for foraminifera [5, 7, 22]. The denitrification rate measured for *G. turgida* ranges between 28 and 1,712 pmol individual$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$ (Table S1) and are within the range of previously measured rates for this species [5, 11]. The rate calculated for *G. auriculata* ranges between 29 and 124 pmol individual$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$ (Table S1).

The genome and the eukaryotic transcriptome were sequenced from batches of pooled individuals of both species. Genomic sequences were sorted into genomic bins based on coverage and tetra-nucleotide frequencies. Six bins containing substantial genomic information of *Globobulimina*, as validated by the transcriptome information, were classified as *Globobulimina* draft genome. The draft genome includes 48,370 contigs covering a total length of 2470 Mb. The number of duplicates per gene shows that the *Globobulimina* draft genome is enriched for a single species; hence, the species heterogeneity is low (see STAR Methods). Additional 26 bins were classified as bacterial taxa, and their coverage was sufficient to assemble draft genomes. The remaining contigs (~2,260,000 contigs) were classified as unassigned bins. The presence of genes involved in the denitrification pathway in *Globobulimina* was tested by sequence similarity search using prokaryotic and eukaryotic query sequences. This revealed *Globobulimina* homologs of...
Evidence for Functional Conservation of *Globobulimina* spp. Nrt, NirK, and Nor Protein Sequences

The utilization of $\text{NO}_3^-$ in denitrification suggests that foraminifera harbor mechanisms to transport $\text{NO}_3^-$. The search for Nrt homologs in the *Globobulimina* draft genome revealed multiple protein coding sequences related to the NarK protein superfamily (Data S1A). A phylogenetic analysis of Nrt protein sequences and identified homologs show two distinct *Globobulimina* clades that likely correspond to two diverged protein families (clades I and II; Figure 2). Within each clade, we observe a multitude of diversified homologs (Data S2A). Clade I clusters with bacterial encoded Nrt, which would suggest an origin of the *Globobulimina* Nrt that is independent from other eukaryotes. To validate the bacterial neighborhood, we tested an alternative topology where we constrained a monophyletic clade, including the eukaryotic homologs and *Globobulimina* clade I. This revealed that the likelihood of eukaryotic monophyly is not significantly different from the bacterial neighborhood position ($p$ value 0.352; using approximate unbiased test). The second *Globobulimina* clade (clade II) has a highly supported eukaryotic nearest neighbor (*Micromonas commoda*); from this, we conclude that the Nrt is of eukaryotic ancestry. This and a massive diversification of homologs in clade I and II indicate that $\text{NO}_3^-$ transport is an important property of *Globobulimina*.

Our analysis identified homologs of NirK in *Globobulimina*, which contain cupredoxin domains and conserved copper binding sites that are typical for that enzyme, supporting their functional annotation as a nitrite reductase (Figure 3A; Data S1B). Notably, the *Globobulimina* homologs contain introns that are flanked by the canonical eukaryotic splice sites [23] (Figure 3B; Data S1B). A phylogenetic reconstruction of NirK homologs reveals two highly supported sister clades (Figure 3C), including homologs having two (clade I) or three (clade II) introns (Figure 3B). Exon sequences of the NirK-encoding gene from both clades were validated experimentally (Figures S1A and S1B). The phylogenetic tree further shows that the *Globobulimina* NirK homologs are neighbors to a prokaryotic clade (Figure 3C). To further validate the prokaryotic neighborhood of the *Globobulimina* NirK, we tested the likelihood of alternative tree topologies. A eukaryotic neighborhood of the *Globobulimina* clade could be rejected, albeit at a marginal confidence level ($p$ value 0.043; using approximate unbiased test). This shows that the *Globobulimina* clade is deeply branching in the tree rather than grouping with a specific NirK subclade. Consequently, we conclude that the NirK origin in *Globobulimina* is ancient. Indeed, multiple topologies of deeper branching positions could not be statistically rejected (Figure 3C). Further search for the alternative nitrite reductase NirS reveals the presence of homologs, which are found in genomes of associated bacteria in our dataset, but not in the *Globobulimina* transcriptome or draft genome (Data S2C).

A search for Nor homologs revealed that the *Globobulimina* genome encodes the prokaryotic Nor whose functional annotation is supported by the presence of a conserved cytochrome oxidase I domain and a conserved catalytic site (Data S1C). One gene encoding a Nor homolog is located adjacent to a eukaryote-specific gene, and the Nor-encoding gene sequence could be validated experimentally (Figure S1C). These findings provide further
support for the conclusion that Nor is indeed encoded in the \textit{Globobulimina} genome. A phylogenetic reconstruction shows that the \textit{Globobulimina} Nor homologs are monophyletic and nested within the bacterial NorZ clade (Figure 4A). Notably, the \textit{Globobulimina} clade is neighbor to a clade containing two “\textit{Candidatus Methylomirabilis oxyfera}” Nor homologs. Denitrification in “Ca. M. oxyfera” was reported to lack the intermediary N$_2$O, where N$_2$ and O$_2$ are released [24]. Consequently, it has been proposed that the Ca. M. oxyfera Nor homolog is, in fact, a nitric oxide dismutase (Nod) that catalyzes the enzymatic reaction 2NO $\rightarrow$ N$_2$ + O$_2$ [25]. The amino acid residues of the \textit{Globobulimina} Nor enzyme catalytic site are similar to Nod, where two of the eight sites are identical (Figure 4B). However, because we measured N$_2$O production in both foraminifera species in our study, we can conclude that the \textit{Globobulimina} Nor function is not Nod-like.

### Missing Enzymatic Reactions and Bacterial Contribution

Our search for known denitrification genes did not detect \textit{Globobulimina} homologs to enzymes catalyzing the first and last steps of denitrification. Homologs of the dissipatory nitrate reductases (NapA and NarG) as well as NosZ were found in the associated bacteria draft genomes (Figure S2; Data S2E–S2G). However, the possibility of bacterial contribution to the \textit{Globobulimina} denitrification has been previously discarded due to their low abundance [5]. Considering the presence of nitrate storage in \textit{Globobulimina} [5], it is likely that nitrate reduction occurs within the cell. Therefore, if \textit{Globobulimina} encodes a dissipatory nitrate reductase, it has to be a yet uncharacterized gene. Notably, we found homologs of the eukaryotic assimilatory nitrate reductase (Nr) in the \textit{Globobulimina} draft genome. This enzyme has been shown to catalyze denitrification in the fungus \textit{Cylindrocarpon tonkinense} under specific conditions [26]. The phylogenetic reconstruction and assessment of functional domains reveal that the \textit{Globobulimina} Nr homologs are more closely related to sulfite oxidases than to Nr; therefore, they are better described as sulfite oxidases (Data S2H; Figure S3). Previous studies of sulfite oxidases in animals showed that these enzymes can acquire nitrate-reducing activity following a replacement of only two amino acid residues (e.g., in human and chicken) [27]. Thus, it is possible that the \textit{Globobulimina} Nr homolog has an assimilatory nitrate reductase function.

To further validate the presence of denitrification enzymes in foraminifera, we searched the public databases for homologs to the \textit{Globobulimina} enzymes. Foraminifera sequencing data are scarce in public databases, yet we could find several representatives (Table S2). Only one highly fragmented draft genome of the distant species \textit{Reticulomyxa filosa} [28] was found in

---

**Figure 3. Sequence and Phylogeny of the Globobulimina Nitrite Reductase**

(A) Sequence conservation of copper ligand binding sites in \textit{Globobulimina} nitrite reductase (NirK). Copper ligand binding sites are highlighted in green. Type 1 or 2 copper binding sites are indicated. Column numbers refer to the corresponding sequence positions in the \textit{Geobacillus thurmonitri} sequence. “Seq id%” refers to the local alignment sequence identity compared to \textit{Geobacillus thurmonitri} (100%). For details and the complete alignment, see Data S1B.

(B) Gene structure in \textit{Globobulimina} nirK clades based on genomic contigs. Dashed lines indicate regions that are supported by transcriptome assembly but were not covered by genomic contigs. Although the automatic annotation of both genomic representatives of \textit{Globobulimina} NirK was predicted to encode complete N termini, homology to predicted transcriptome contigs indicates an incomplete gene start.

(C) Collapsed phylogenetic tree of \textit{Globobulimina} NirK. Color coding indicates taxonomic classification, where two \textit{Globobulimina} clades (clade I and II) are highlighted and form a monophyletic clade. The number of sequences represented by collapsed branches is shown next to the corresponding triangles. (The number of sequences derived from the current study is indicated in brackets.) Alternative tested topologies of the \textit{Globobulimina} clades are indicated by circled numbers (➁ Grouping of the \textit{Globobulimina} clade with other eukaryotes in contrast to all remaining sequences in the tree is shown). ➂ The \textit{Globobulimina} clade groups independently from NirK class II clade. ➃ Grouping of the \textit{Globobulimina} clade with a eukaryote-containing subclade of NirK class II is shown). For more details and the complete phylogeny, see Data S2B. The tree was rooted with the minimal ancestor deviation (MAD) method. Bootstrap support values using 100 replicates (>50) are denoted at the branches. The scale bar refers to substitutions per site.

See also Figure S1; Tables S2–S5; and Data S1 and S2.
Figure 4. Phylogeny and Conserved Amino Acid Residues of the Globobulimina Nitric Oxide Reductase

(A) Color coding indicates for taxonomic classification. Two Globobulimina clades (clade I and II) are monophyletic and highlighted in purple color. The number of sequences represented by collapsed branches is shown next to the corresponding triangles (the number of sequences derived from the current study is indicated in brackets). The tree was rooted with two cbb3 oxidases as outgroup. Nod candidates as in Ettwig et al. [24, 25] are highlighted by black circles. Uncollapsed sequences represent protein predictions from the genome (GloG15) and transcriptome assembly (GloT15). Bootstrap support values using 100 replicates are denoted at the branches (>50). The scale bar refers to substitutions per site. For more details and the complete phylogeny, see Data S2D.

(B) Sequence conservation of catalytic sites. Amino acid residues of the catalytic site are highlighted in green and red. Two different sequences corresponding to the sequences found in “Candidatus Methylophilobuliminopsis oxyfera” are indicated by “(I)” and “(II).” “Seq id%” refers to the local alignment sequence identity compared to Geobacillus thermodenitrificans (100%), and column numbers refer to the corresponding sequence positions in G. thermodenitrificans. Details and the complete alignment are shown in Data S1C.

See also Figure S1; Tables S2–S5; and Data S1 and S2.

databases, and no genomes or transcriptomes of species reported to denitrify have been published. Homologs to NirK were found in the rotaliids Brizalina sp. and Rosalina sp., and Nor homologs were found in Brizalina only. Homologs of Nrt were found in the intertidal rotaliids Ephippium marginatum and Ammonia spp., the milliolid foraminifera Sortedes sp., as well as Rosalina sp., Nonionellina sp., and Buliminina marginata. Most of those homologous sequences are short gene fragments; nonetheless, their phylogenetic reconstruction shows a robust clustering with Globobulimina (Data S2I–S2K).

DISCUSSION

Our results demonstrate that the main denitrification enzymes are encoded in the genome of Globobulimina, revealing a so far undescribed eukaryotic denitrification pathway. The pathway origin is independent of known eukaryotic enzymes and has a prokaryotic ancestry. Most of the eukaryotic genes of prokaryotic ancestry were shown to have been acquired by endosymbiotic gene transfer (EGT) from the mitochondrion ancestor at the origins of eukaryotes [29, 30]. This process is still ongoing [31], and the mechanisms involved are beginning to be unraveled [32]. Eukaryotic gene acquisition from prokaryotic donors by lateral gene transfer (LGT) (as opposed to EGT) is frequently reported; however, these are often hampered by signatures of bacterial contamination, and therefore, their interpretation is often complicated [33, 34]. We note that, in our study, we controlled for possible prokaryotic contamination by analyzing the genome and transcriptome of Globobulimina in parallel. Furthermore, gene origin in our pipeline was determined by genomic binning approach, genomic context, and phylogenetics.

The phylogeny of Nrt supports an ancient origin of the nitrate metabolism in foraminifera. The foraminifers' NirK phylogeny and the absence of eukaryotic homologs to the Nor found in foraminifera further indicate that the origin of the foraminiferal denitrification pathway is independent from the known fungal pathway. The fungal NirK gene origin is likely an EGT [21], and indeed, it is clustering with other eukaryotic taxa in our phylogenetic analysis as expected for genes of endosymbiotic origin (Figure 3C; Data S2B). The Globobulimina NirK and Nor are deep branching in all phylogenies, and the enzymes’ monophyly in foraminifera is supported by the presence of homologs in other rotaliids (Data S2I–S2K). These indicate an ancient origin of denitrification enzymes in foraminifera by LGT from a prokaryotic donor.

Our findings demonstrate that denitrification is performed by foraminifera rather than associated bacteria. Based on our results, we propose a denitrification model for foraminifera that draws upon the newly evolved denitrification properties of fungi (Figure 5). Nitrate transport is a necessary step preceding denitrification that can be facilitated by the Nrt. The Nrt function must not be limited to transport into the cell; it can also be integrated into NO3− storage vacuoles and NO3− transport into the mitochondrion. In certain foraminifera species (family Bolivinidae), mitochondria were observed to cluster near the tests' pores in oxygen-depleted environments [35, 36]. Consequently, denitrification can be performed inside the mitochondrion, as previously reported for fungi [37] and suggested for the foraminifera Bolivina spissa [38]. We hypothesize that enzymatic components of foraminifera localize similarly to their fungal and bacterial
homologs. Therefore, NirK can be localized in the mitochondrial intermembrane space and Nor in the mitochondrial inner mem- 
brane [37, 39]. Our study is lacking evidence for foraminiferal genes performing the first and last denitrification reactions. The Globobulimina sulfite oxidases homologous to Nr (Figure S3) could, hypothetically, catalyze the nitrate reduction step. However, it is also possible that so far uncharacterized or unrecog- 
nized proteins are catalyzing the missing denitrification reac- 
tions. Considering a tight association of denitrifying enzymes 
with the respiratory chain, the putative dissimilatory nitrate reductase (dNr) and nitrous oxide reductase (Nos) enzymes are 
likely localized inside the mitochondrion.

The proposed foraminiferal model suggests sharing of a com- 
mon electron transport chain between aerobic respiration and 
denitrification, permitting the use of both electron acceptors in 
parallel without the need of assembling new protein complexes 
as reported in the fungus Fusarium oxysporum [37]. We specu- 
late that this ability lends foraminifera a substantial ecological 
advantage when exposed to hypoxia or in response to fluctu- 
ating oxygen levels and explains their success in populating a 
wide range of marine habitats.

**STAR METHODS**

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- **METHOD DETAILS**
  - Nucleic acid isolation and sequencing
  - Validation of gene sequences
  - Taxonomy
  - Foraminiferal denitrification rate
  - Microscopic visualizations of tests and living individu- 
als of Globobulimina spp.
  - Processing of sequencing data
  - Gene identification and phylogenies

- **QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**
- **DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY**
  - Data availability

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Supplemental information includes four figures, five tables, and two supple- 
mental data files and can be found with this article online at https://doi.org/ 
10.1016/j.cub.2018.06.027.
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## STAR★METHODS

### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE               | SOURCE                        | IDENTIFIER                               |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Biological Samples                |                               |                                          |
| Foraminifera                      | This paper                    | Not applicable                            |
| Deposited Data                    |                               |                                          |
| Sequencing data generated in the project | This paper                    | Bioproject (RRID:SCR_004801) accession: PRJNA415343 |
| Raw sequencing reads              | This paper                    | NCBI (RRID:SCR_006472): SRR6202052 – SRR6202078 |
| **Globobulimina draft genome assembly** (GloG15) | This paper                    | NCBI: PIVH000000000                       |
| **Globobulimina** transcriptome assemblies (GloT14 & GloT15) | This paper                    | NCBI: GGCE000000000 & GGCD000000000        |
| **Globobulimina**-associated bacterial genome assemblies & unbinned contigs | This paper                    | NCBI: PIV000000000–PIWH00000000, PJELO000000 |
| 18S rRNA sequences                | This paper                    | NCBI: MG8006664–MG800667                  |
| Non-redundant protein database (NR), January 2017 | ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/db/ | Not found                                 |
| RefSeq 79                         | ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/refseq/release/complete/ | RRID:SCR_003496                           |
| Foraminifera NCBI genomic data    | https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/  | NCBI: GCA_000512085.1, GCA_000211355.2     |
| Foraminifera NCBI transcriptome reads | https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/  | NCBI: SRR2003403, SRR2003397, SRR2003388, SRR2003283, |
| Foraminifera MMETSP (Marine Microbial Eukaryote Transcriptome Sequencing Project) transcriptome data | http://datacommons.cyverse.org/browse/iplant/home/shared/imicrobe/projects/104 | MMETSP: MMETSP0190, MMETSP0191, MMETSP1384, MMETSP1385 |
| Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains |                               |                                          |
| **Globobulimina turgida**         | This paper                    | Not applicable                            |
| **Globobulimina auriculata**      | This paper                    | Not applicable                            |
| Oligonucleotides                  |                               |                                          |
| 18S (see Table S3)               | This paper                    | Not applicable                            |
| nirK clade I (see Table S3)      | This paper                    | Not applicable                            |
| nirK clade II (see Table S3)     | This paper                    | Not applicable                            |
| Nor clade I (see Table S3)       | This paper                    | Not applicable                            |
| Software and Algorithms          |                               |                                          |
| FastQC ver 0.11.5                 | http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc | RRID:SCR_014583                           |
| NCBI                              | http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov   | RRID:SCR_006472                           |
| BLASTP                            | http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi?PROGRAM=blastp&PAGE_TYPE=BlastSearch&LINK_LOC=blasthome | RRID:SCR_001010                           |
| MAFFT                             | http://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/ | RRID:SCR_011811                           |
| PhyML ver. 20131022               | http://www.atgc-montpellier.fr/phyml/ | RRID:SCR_014629                           |
| Trimmomatic                       | http://www.usadellab.org/cms/index.php?page=trimmomatic | RRID:SCR_011848                           |
| SPAdes ver. 3.9.1                 | http://bioinf.spbau.ru/spades/ | RRID:SCR_000131                           |
| TransDecoder ver. 3.0.1           | https://github.com/TransDecoder/TransDecoder/releases/tag/v3.0.1 | Not found                                  |
| RSEM ver. 1.2.30                  | http://deweylab.biostat.wisc.edu/rsem/ | RRID:SCR_013027                           |

(Continued on next page)
CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Christian Woehle (cwoehle@ifam.uni-kiel.de).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Sites description and sampling

Living foraminifera (*Globobulimina turgida* and *Globobulimina auriculata*) were sampled during two consecutive expeditions (2014 & 2015) to the Gullmar Fjord, Sweden. Sediment samples were obtained from the Alsbäck Deep (58°19.38’N, 11°32.74’E) at a depth of ca. 117 m using a 4-tube sediment interface corer (Mini Muc K/MT410). The top 3 cm of the sediments were sampled and wet sieved directly upon return to the station. Foraminifera were individually picked from the 125 to 2000 μm size fraction and cleaned in sterile artificial seawater (ASW) with 30 psu and a nitrate concentration of 20 μmol l⁻¹ (see below, modified from Kester et al. [40]). Batches of foraminifera were frozen in liquid nitrogen (ambient condition) or incubated in culturing vessels filled with sterile ASW (see next paragraph) and flash frozen after ca. 45 h (Figure S4). The culturing vessels were incorporated to one of two culturing systems assembled to reproduce different Alsbäck Deep environmental conditions. One system was dedicated to natural oxygen concentration of the Alsbäck Deep (z 

The sterile ASW used for rinsing and incubations was based on the natural salinity and nitrate concentration based on CTD and nutrients measurements. The water was obtained by dissolving the following salts in 3/5 of the end volume. Here we present weights and molecular weight (MW) for a 1 l end volume ASW. A total of 23.38 g of NaCl (MW = 58.44 g/mol), 4.93 g MgSO₄·7H₂O (MW = 246.48 g/mol), 1.11 g CaCl₂·2H₂O (MW = 147.02 g/mol), 0.2 g KBr (MW = 119.01 g/mol), 0.75 g KCl (MW = 74.56 g/mol), 4.06 g MgCl₂·6H₂O (MW = 203.3 g/mol), and 1 mL H₃BO₃ (of 61.83 g/mol) were added to double-distilled-water. After the addition of the previous salts 0.023 mL of NaNO₃ was added, the pH adjusted to 8 and the media autoclaved. It was important to dissolve each salt individually before the addition of a new one to decrease chance of salt precipitation. The nutrients necessary to produce the adequate ASW were autoclave separately in 10 mL glass vials. In our case 1 mL of NaH₂PO₄·H₂O, 1 mL Na₂SiO₃·9H₂O, and 1 mL of trace metal mix (see below) containing 100 μL selenium (H₂SeO₃, MW = 128.97) were added to the medium after sterilization. The carbonate system was also added after sterilization of the medium by sterile filtering (0.22 μm) 0.17 g of NaHCO₃ dissolved in 30 mL double-distilled-water and 500 μL of the vitamins directly to the medium.

The trace metal mix used for the ASW consists of 11.65 μM FeCl₃·6H₂O (MW = 270.3 g/mol), 11.71 μM of Na₂EDTA·2H₂O (MW = 372.24 g/mol), 0.039 μM of CuSO₄·5H₂O (MW = 249.7 g/mol), 0.026 μM of Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O (MW = 241.9 g/mol), 0.077 μM of ZnSO₄·7H₂O (MW = 287.54 g/mol), 0.042 μM of CoCl₂·6H₂O (MW = 237.93 g/mol), and 0.91 μM of MnCl₂·4H₂O
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The foraminiferal denitrification rates were calculated from linear steady state gradients of N$_2$O in microtubes with 3–5 individuals. Foraminiferal denitrification rates were reconstructed using PhyML [44] ver. 20131022 (’-b 100 -m HKY85’; Figure 1E).

Validation of gene sequences
The gene sequence of the genus encoding NirK and Nor was confirmed by amplifying exon fragments using RT-PCR with cDNA synthesized with Oligo (dT) primers (NEB) as template. The reactions were performed with iTaq Universal SYBR® on the Bio-Rad CFX connect Real-Time System (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The reaction was composed of 10 mM iTaq Universal SYBR® master mix (Bio-Rad), 0.5 mM of 10 μM forward and reverse primers each (Table S3), 8 μL PCR-H$_2$O and 1 μL of poly-A cDNA template. RT-PCR cycling conditions were 3 min at 95°C (once), 39 cycles of 0:10 min at 95°C, 0:30 min at 60°C, followed by a melt curve from 58°C to 98°C (increment 0.2°C: 0:50). Duplicates of one biological sample per gene and no template control (NTC) were run for each primer pair.

Taxonomy
Six foraminifera-specific hypervariability 18S rRNA gene loci were amplified as described by Pawlowski and Lecroq 2010 [41] and used to separate closely related species. The foraminiferal 18S rRNA gene sequence was amplified from 25–44 individuals using Phusion polymerase (NEB) and the primers 14F1 and B (Table S3). This yielded a fragment of about 1200 bp for the Globobulimina species. The PCR products were evaluated by electrophoresis, and positive samples were purified (GeneJet Gel extraction and DNA Cleanup Micro Kit; Thermo Scientific) for Sanger sequencing. Duplicates are shown in the 18S phylogenetic tree (Figure 1E). Additional 18S rRNA gene homologs were detected in NCBI public databases and the genomes and transcriptomes presented in the current study by BLAST [42]. All 18S rRNA gene sequences were aligned using MAFFT [43] 7.123b (’liensi’ option), and a phylogeny was reconstructed using PhyML [44] ver. 20131022 (’-b 100 -m HKY85’; Figure 1E).

Foraminiferal denitrification rate
The foraminiferal denitrification rates were calculated from linear steady state gradients of N$_2$O in microtubes with 3–5 individuals (at least quadruplicates measurements per species). Nitrous oxide reductase was inhibited with acetylene, thereby altering the steady state diffusion fluxes in the tubes corresponding to the respiration rates were calculated by Fick’s first law of diffusion: Equation 1: $J = -D \cdot \frac{dC}{dx}$ where $J$ = flux; $dC/dx$ = the measured concentration gradient; $D$ = free diffusion coefficient of N$_2$O. All measurements were performed in a cooling room at a constant temperature of 9°C. However, since the temperature could not be continuously recorded inside the microchamber medium, sporadic temperature measurements were taken within a water bath next to the incubation chamber (average temperature 9.2 ± 0.1°C; N = 19). The production of N$_2$O was measured with a N$_2$O microsensor [46] (Table S1), following methods previously established for foraminifera [5, 7, 11, 22]. N$_2$O production microprofiles of ambient foraminifera were performed with freshly picked foraminifera directly after wet sieving and rinsing twice with nitrate free ASW (red sea salt) prior to the transfer to a microchamber.

Microscopic visualizations of tests and living individuals of Globobulimina spp.
Specimens of the two Globobulimina species were removed from sediment samples, washed with sterile artificial seawater, dehydrated in a graded ethanol series (70%, 80%, 90%, 96% and two times 100%; 15 min each), air-dried for 12 h in a desiccator mounted on aluminum stubs (PLANO GmbH) using conductive and adhesive carbon pads (PLANO GmbH). Subsequently, the preparations were sputter-coated with a 10-nm-thick gold-palladium (80/20) layer using a high vacuum sputter coater Leica EM SCD500 (Leica Microsystems GmbH) and visualized with a Hitachi S-4800 field emission scanning electron microscope (Hitachi High-Technology Corporation) at an acceleration voltage of 3 kV and an emission current of 10 mA applying a combination of the upper detector and the lower detector. Stereo micrographs of living specimens were created with a ZEISS SteREO Discovery.V8 dissecting microscope mounted with a ZEISS colour camera.
Processing of sequencing data

Sequencing resulted in total in 1.3 billion paired-end reads. This includes transcriptome data of two consecutive years (SRA accessions: SRR6202056, SRR6202059–SRR6202079) as well as genome data of Globobulimina spp. (SRA accessions: SRR6202052–SRR6202055, SRR6202057–SRR6202058). Reads were quality-checked by FastQC ver. 0.11.5 ([http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc]; Aug 2016). Filtering and trimming of the reads was performed using Trimmomatic [47] ver. 0.36 (Parameters: ILLUINACLIP:primers.fa:2:30:10 LEADING:5 TRAILING:5 SLIDINGWINDOW:4:5 MINLEN:21; the file ‘primers.fa’ contains adaptor and contaminant sequences provided by Trimmomatic and FastQC). Processed paired-end reads of the transcriptome samples were assembled into contigs using SPAdes [48] ver. 1.9. Similar sequences were clustered with CD-HIT [58] ver. 4.6 (‘-c 0.98’ option) in order to reduce redundancy of the complete protein catalog. The final protein names consist of the contig IDs followed by the sequence positions covered by the CDS and an indicator for the forward (+) or reverse (−) strand. In case of multiple exons, individual regions are separated by comma. For a high resolution taxonomic classification of prokaryotic genomic bins, DIAMOND [59] tool 0.7.11.60 (‘-k 10’ option) similarity searches were performed with BLASTP [42] applying an e-value \( \leq 1 \times 10^{-5} \) threshold. Protein sequences of hits with query coverage \( \geq 40\% \) and sequence identity \( \geq 70\% \) were extracted to obtain a first set of homologs. With this preliminary set, we reiterated the search in NR and RefSeq 79 database [62] using GHOSTZ [63] 1.0.0 applying a threshold of e-value \( \leq 1 \times 10^{-5} \). Hit sequences were obtained and clustered with CD-HIT 4.6 (option: ‘-c 0.98’) to reduce sequence redundancy. Protein sequences were aligned with MAFFT (‘linsi’ option), and phylogenetic trees were reconstructed with FastTree [64] 2.1.7. Putative paralogs were excluded as following: i) the trees were rooted using outgroups (See Table S4), if available, and/or the Minimal Ancestor Deviation (MAD) method [65]; ii) the resulting root separated the tree into two main clades. Only one of these clades, containing orthologs, was retained, which was defined by sequence
annotation and sequence representation of proteins from literature (Table S4). Further sequences that exhibit long branches or increase redundancy of the phylogenetic information were as well excluded. For a complete list of homologous sequences that were excluded from the final phylogenies see Table S5. The refined sequence set was used for the reconstruction of phylogenetic trees using PhyML (‘−m LG −b 100’ options). The resulting trees were rooted using outgroups or MAD. Clades of protein sequences encoded by the Globobulimina spp. were defined by representation in the Globobulimina genome data and transcriptome assemblies. Statistical support of alternative topologies was estimated using CONSEL [66] ver. 1.20. Homologs for comparison of functional domains and conserved amino acid residues of copper binding and catalytic sites were chosen based on available literature [25, 67, 68] (Table S4). For each enzyme, sequences were aligned using MAFFT (‘inlsn’ option). The protein domains (shown in Data S1 and Figure S3) were determined using the CD-Search webserver at NCBI [69] (Aug–Nov 2017), and regions spanned by hits to specific protein domains were extracted. Pairwise local sequence identities were obtained using ‘water’ tool in the EMBOSS [70] package.

In the search for homologs of Globobulimina NirK, Nor and Nrt in further foraminifera species and related taxa, Globobulimina protein sequences were used as queries for BLAST (with a threshold of e-value ≤ 1e-5). Datasets in use include NCBI genome data (Reticulomyxa filosa, GCA_000512085.1; Astrammina rara, GCA_000213155.2), NCBI transcriptomic reads (Nonionellina sp., SRR2003403; Bulimina marginata, SRR2003397; Brizalina sp., SRR2003388; Ammonia sp., SRR2003283) and MMETSP [71] transcriptomes (Rosalina sp., MMETSP0190; Sorites sp., MMETSP0191; Ammonia sp., MMETSP1384; Ephelidium margaritaceum, MMETSP1385). Nrt homologs with high sequence identity (≥50%) were identified in protein sequences of MMETSP datasets and in sequencing reads of NCBI transcriptomes. Protein sequences of hits were used without modification, and the obtained sequencing reads were assembled into contigs using CAP3 [72]. Nrt protein sequences were determined by predicting longest ORF using TransDecoder on contigs and remaining unassembled reads. Hits for Globobulimina NirK and Nor with high sequence identity (≥50%) in the same dataset were only observed for Brizalina sp. sequencing reads. Further 24 reads of Rosalina were covered by hits to NirK using the same cut-off. Read sequences of individual hits to NirK and Nor were obtained and individually assembled using CAP3. Rosalina sp. associated reads were short, and the parameters recommended for assembly of short sequences (‘−i 30 -j 31 -o 18 -s 300’ options) were applied. Resulting contigs were translated into the reading frame that exhibited most sequence similarity to corresponding proteins. The sequence similarity of Brizalina sp. sequences to NirK was limited to a short region on one read (accession: SRR2003388.68811). The homologous region was extracted and translated. If represented, stop codons were replaced by ‘X’s. For protein sequences of gene fragments obtained see Table S2. Predicted protein sequences that did not show sequence similarity to corresponding query protein sequences were discarded. Protein sequences obtained in this way were added to the alignments of the denitrification proteins described before (MAFFT; ‘−addfragments’ option) for subsequent phylogenetic reconstruction via PhyML (Data S2I–S2K).

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

The standard deviation (σ_{sgr}), standard of the mean (σ_{SEM}) and the number of individuals (N) for the denitrification measurements are presented in Table S1. An Approximate Unbiased (AU) test was used to compare optimal phylogenetic trees to alternative topologies without application of further testing procedure. Alternative topologies were inferred by constraining individual clades for tree reconstruction and their tree likelihoods were compared to the unconstrained phylogeny using AU-test via CONSEL (See Figure 2, eukaryotic monophyly of clade I: p-value 0.352; See Figure 3, Grouping of the Globobulimina clade with other eukaryotes: p-value 0.043, The Globobulimina clade groups independently from NirK class II clade: p-value 0.373. Grouping of the Globobulimina clade with a eukaryote-containing subclade of NirK class II: p-value 0.581). An α of 0.05 was applied to determine a significant difference of the alternative topology in contrast to the optimal tree.

**DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY**

**Data availability**

Sequencing reads are available from the single read archive (SRA) accessions SRR6202052 to SRR6202078. Transcriptome assemblies were deposited at the transcriptome sequencing archive (TSA) accessions GGCE00000000 and GGC00000000. The genome sequencing assembly is available at NCBI with the accessions PIWH0000000 to PIWH00000000 representing draft genomes and PJEL00000000 the unassigned contigs. Individually amplified 18S rRNA gene sequences of G. turgida and G. auriculata were submitted to GenBank (accessions: MG800664 to MG800667). All other information on accessing data analyzed in this study is included in the manuscript or in the supplemental information. All additional datasets generated during the current study are available from the corresponding authors upon request.