Expression dynamics and genome distribution of osmoprotectants in soybean: identifying important components to face abiotic stress
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Abstract

Background: Despite the importance of osmoprotectants, no previous in silico evaluation of high throughput data is available for higher plants. The present approach aimed at the identification and annotation of osmoprotectant-related sequences applied to short transcripts from a soybean HT-SuperSAGE (High Throughput Super Serial Analysis of Gene Expression; 26-bp tags) database, and also its comparison with other transcriptomic and genomic data available from different sources.

Methods: A curated set of osmoprotectants related sequences was generated using text mining and selected seed sequences for identification of the respective transcripts and proteins in higher plants. To test the efficiency of the seed sequences, these were aligned against four HT-SuperSAGE contrasting libraries generated by our group using soybean tolerant and sensible plants against water deficit, considering only differentially expressed transcripts (p ≤ 0.05). Identified transcripts from soybean and their respective tags were aligned and anchored against the soybean virtual genome.

Results: The workflow applied resulted in a set including 1,996 seed sequences that allowed the identification of 36 differentially expressed genes related to the biosynthesis of osmoprotectants [Proline (P5CS: 4, PSCR: 2), Trehalose (TPS1: 9, TPPB: 1), Glycine betaine (BADH: 4) and Myo-inositol (MIPS: 7, INPS1: 8)], also mapped in silico in the soybean genome (25 loci). Another approach considered matches using Arabidopsis full length sequences as seed sequences, and allowed the identification of 124 osmoprotectant-related sequences, matching ~10,500 tags anchored in the soybean virtual chromosomes. Osmoprotectant-related genes appeared clustered in all soybean chromosomes, with higher density in some subterminal regions and synteny among some chromosome pairs.

Conclusions: Soybean presents all searched osmoprotectant categories with some important members differentially expressed among the comparisons considered (drought tolerant or sensible vs. control; tolerant vs. sensible), allowing the identification of interesting candidates for biotechnological inferences. The identified tags aligned to corresponding genes that matched 19 soybean chromosomes. Osmoprotectant-related genes are not regularly distributed in the soybean genome, but clustered in some regions near the chromosome terminals, with some redundant clusters in different chromosomes indicating their involvement in previous duplication and rearrangements events. The seed sequences, transcripts and map represent the first transversal evaluation for osmoprotectant-related genes and may be easily applied to other plants of interest.
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Background

Osmoprotectants figure among the most fundamental solutes in living organisms, being present from bacteria and fungi to higher plants and animals [1]. Main plant osmoprotectants are chemically composed by amino acids or carbohydrates, but share common features as low molecular weight and nontoxic character even at high concentrations, playing vital roles during abiotic stresses in plants as salinity, drought and chilling [2].

To face such constraints many plants accumulate organic osmolytes, or compatible solutes, in response to the resulting osmotic stress, maintaining cell turgor and therefore the driving gradient for water uptake. They include sugars, mainly fructose and sucrose, sugar alcohols (like Myo-inositol), complex sugars (like trehalose and fructans) and charged metabolites (like glycinebetaine, proline and ectoine) [1,3].

Osmolytes can also act as free-radical scavengers or chemical chaperones by directly stabilizing membranes and/or proteins [4]. Moreover, the accumulation of compatible solutes may also protect plants against damage by scavenging of reactive oxygen species, and by their chaperone-like activities in maintaining protein structures and functions [5]. Plant cells defend against stresses by modulating their expression during abiotic stresses in plants as salinity, drought and chilling [2].

Most previous works focused on expression assays regarding a single osmoprotectant as in Chen et al. [7] or searches in EST databases as in Barros et al. [8] or even their expression evaluation in transgenic plants [9,10]. No previous appreciation regarding in deep evaluation of transcriptomics databases generated under stress with Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) was carried out up to date. In the present work an ‘in silico’ annotation workflow was carried out integrating high throughput transcriptomics in soybean (Glycine max) plants under water deficit and biotic stress using HT-SuperSAGE, as compared with traditional transcriptomics and genome distribution of plant osmoprotectants.

The present approach focused on seven genes related to the biosynthesis of four classes of the most important plant osmoprotectants: Proline (genes P5CS and P5CR), Trehalose (TPSI and TPPB), Glycine betaine (BADH and CMO) and Myo-inositol (INPSI).

Proline - Comprises a proteinogenic amino acid, essential for primary metabolism in plants during drought and salt stresses, presenting a molecular chaperone role due to its stabilizing action either as a buffer to maintain the pH of the cytosolic redox status of the cell [11] or as antioxidant through its involvement in the scavenging of free highly reactive radicals [12] or still acting as a singlet oxygen quencher [13]. In higher plants, proline biosynthesis may proceed either via glutamate, by successive reductions catalyzed by Delta(1)-pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthase (P5CS) and Delta(1)-pyrroline-5-carboxylate reductase (P5CR) or by ornithine pathway, by ornithine d-aminotransferase (OAT), representing generally the first activated osmoprotectant after stress perception [14,15].

Trehalose - In plants this sugar participates mainly in the response to dehydration being first described in the so called resurrection plants Myrothamnus flabellifolius [16] and Selaginella tamariscina [17] both able to recover after almost complete dehydration. Such ability to act in the stabilization of proteins and membranes [18], as well as its role in ROS scavenging process [19] are the possible features of its cellular function during non-ideal conditions encountered by plants, where it’s synthesis normally occurs by the formation of the trehalose-6-phosphate (T6P) from the UDP-glucose and glucose-6-phosphate, a reaction catalyzed by the trehalose 6-phosphate synthase (TPS). Afterwards the T6P is dephosphorylated by the trehalose-6-phosphate phosphatase (TPP) resulting in the formation of free trehalose [20]. A transgenic assay using Agrobacterium-mediated gene transfer allowed the insertion of the gene TPS1 from yeast to tomato plants and resulted in higher content of chlorophyll and starch, besides pronounced tolerance to drought, salinity and oxidative stress, despite some pleiotropic changes [21].

Glycine betaine (GB) - Regards a quaternary ammonium compound (QAC) occurring in plants, animals and microorganisms. According to Chen and Murata [22] GB accumulates in chloroplasts and plastids especially in halotolerant plants, but also in other plants under high salinity, drought and cold stresses [23], with a recognized role associated to antioxidative responses [24]. In most organisms GB is synthesized either by the oxidation (or dehydrogenation) of choline or by the N-methylation of glycine. However, the pathway from choline to GB has been the main GB-accumulation pathway in plant species [25]. In this pathway choline is converted to betaine aldehyde by choline monoxygenase (CMO) [26], which is then converted to GB by betaine aldehyde dehydrogenase (BADH) [27].

Myo-inositol - This osmoprotectant is an important cellular component forming the basis of a significant number of lipid signaling molecules involved in diverse pathways, including stress responses. Myo-inositol is the most abundant stereoisomer among the nine existing in nature, composed by a cyclohexanehexol, which is a cyclic carbohydrate with six hydroxyl groups, one on each carbon ring [28], acting as substrate in the biosynthesis of many compounds, especially the raffinose family oligosaccharides (RFOs) [29] that accumulate in plants under stress conditions [30]. In multicellular eukaryotes,
Myo-inositol becomes incorporated into phosphatidylmyo-inositol phosphate (PtdInsP), Myo-inositol phosphate (InsP), and certain sphingolipid signalling molecules that act in diverse processes, including regulation of gene expression [31]. It is synthesized by a two-step pathway, including: (1) conversion of D-glucose-6-P to D-Myo-inositol (1)-Monophosphate, 1D-MI-1-P, which is catalyzed by a L-Myo-inositol 1-phosphate synthase (MIPS) [32], and (2) specific dephosphorylation to free Myo-inositol by the Mg\(^{2+}\) dependent L-Myo-inositol 1-phosphate phosphatase (IMP) [33].

Considering the potential of these molecules for plant biotechnological approaches, the present work generated a curated list of osmoprotectants, osmoprotectant-related sequences and important regulatory elements, indicating most adequate tools for their identification and annotation. To evaluate the sensitivity of the proposed approach, the generated seed sequences and the proposed workflow were used to search of osmoprotectant-related sequences in short sequences (26 bp) generated from HT-SuperSAGE [34] deposited in the GENOSOJA (Brazilian Soybean Genome Consortium) data Bank [35]. A significant number of tags matched to known osmoprotectant-related sequences showing the effectiveness of the present approach useful for searches in other (actually very abundant) databanks comprising second generation sequences associated to the high performance sequencing approaches [e.g. Pyrosequencer (454 Roche\textsuperscript{®}), Solexa (Illumina\textsuperscript{®}) and SOLiD (Applied Biosystems\textsuperscript{®})] regarding genomic and transcriptomic libraries.

The present work also represents the first overall evaluation of the osmoprotectants in a higher plant comparing the prevalence of genes encoding enzymes of osmoprotectants biosynthetic pathways in sequence databanks with different backgrounds considering tissues, stages, stress conditions and also molecular approaches used to generate transcripts (ESTs, subtractive, cDNA full length, HT-SuperSAGE, BACs, etc.). In this aspect soybean offers one of the most abundant data sources for such an evaluation in legumes (see Benko-Iseppon et al. [36]), due to its importance as a source of food and oil in our planet.

**Results and discussion**

**Seed sequences and annotation routine**

The strategy regarding the use of seed sequences to find relevant literature and posterior mining and curation (Figure 1) was very effective, allowing the identification of 1,996 seed-sequences (Additional file 1) related to the procured osmoprotectants (proline, trehalose, Myo-inositol and glycine betaine). The sequences were aligned (BLASTx, cutoff e\(^{-10}\)) against the soybean peptide database at Phytozome v8.0 [37], also permitting the identification of the respective transcripts from soybean transcriptome used to associate with the transcripts from HT-SuperSAGE libraries.

**Selection of HT-SuperSAGE tags for expression evaluation**

After exclusion of the singlets, 2,551,286 tags from four libraries were selected for further evaluation, concerning 120,770 unitags. Considering the contrasts between any pair of libraries compared the number of unitags per library ranged from 73,807 to 89,205. The numbers of differentially expressed tags [upregulated (UR) and downregulated (DR) at the level of p ≤ 0.05] for each compared pair of libraries are presented in the Table 1. In all analyzed contrasts, the number of tags differentially expressed overruled the observed amount in the comparison among the controls. The same situation was observed in relation to the UR and DR tags, highlighting the effect of the stress application in the gene activation in both accessions, indicating a richness of analyzable transcripts in the present approach.

**Identification of osmoprotectant-related genes and differential expression in soybean**

The carried approach was very successful, allowing the identification of 36 differentially expressed HT-SuperSAGE tags associated to 65 osmoprotectant-related sequences anchored in 25 loci (Glyma sequences; Additional file 2) based on the generated seed sequence bank (Additional file 1). Many of them regard interesting candidates for a posterior in deep evaluation, as further discussed.

**Betaine aldehyde dehydrogenase (BADH, EC 1.2.1.8)**

A total of 77 osmoprotectants OSMTL sequences presented significant similarity (BLASTx, e-value cut-off e\(^{-10}\)) to G. max sequences from four loci coding BADHs and annotated as Aldehyde Dehydrogenase Family 10A (Additional file 1). Out of four loci, one (Glyma06g19820) was associated with four HT-SuperSAGE tags in BLASTn alignments, tolerating at most a single mismatch (Additional file 2). From these, two (GmDr_44 and 3640) were induced after stress in both tolerant and sensible accesses (Embrapa 48 and BR-16), being mapped in the 3'UTRs of all three alternative transcripts of the locus Glyma06g19820 (Additional file 2). Other two tags (GmDr_2643 and 55655) were induced only in the drought sensible accession BR-16 after stress regarding the same three transcripts, whereas one of them (GmDr_2443) was mapped in the 3'UTR and another in the CDS (GmDr_55655) (Additional file 2). The tag GmDr_55655 also mapped in the transcript Glyma11g27100.1 with a mismatch in the CDS region, but no 3'UTR was identified for this transcript (Additional file 2). Despite its induction in the sensible accession in relation to the control, the
The normalized frequency was only six tmp (tags per million; Additional file 2). Thus, the locus Glyma06g19820 emerged as a likely BADH candidate gene induced in response to the water deficit stress in the studied libraries. The members of the ALDH (aldehyde dehydrogenase) gene superfamily here identified in soybean genome were also categorized by Kotchoni et al. [38] that provided a unified nomenclature for the soybean ALDH.

**Table 1** Tags from soybean drought tolerant and sensible accessions, considering contrasting libraries and their expression profile.

| Contrast | TS vs. TC | SS vs. SC | TS vs. SS | TC vs. SC | Total |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| UR       | 13,532    | 10,751    | 12,347    | 6,468     | 43,098|
| DR       | 7,423     | 5,587     | 7,634     | 3,135     | 23,779|
| n.s.     | 53,878    | 72,867    | 53,826    | 73,067    | 253,638|
| Unitags  | 74,833    | 89,205    | 73,807    | 82,670    | 320,515|

**UR:** upregulated HT-SuperSAGE tags ($p \leq 0.05$, DiscoverySpace 4.01); **DR:** downregulated tags; **n.s.:** not significant; **TS:** drought-tolerant Embrapa 48 roots under water deficit; **TC:** drought-tolerant Embrapa 48 root without stress; **SS:** drought-sensitive BR-16 roots under water deficit; **SC:** drought-sensitive BR-16 roots without stress.  

**Figure 1** Schematic representation of the *in silico* steps for the generation of the local bank of seed sequences, their annotation, SuperSAGE tag-gene association, expression evaluation and anchoring of osmoprotectant candidates and their matched tags in the soybean virtual chromosomes.
members, including the ALDH family 10, also described as putative BADH. A previous work [39] also observed the induction of BADH (almost 8-fold and 2-fold increase) under salinity and its accumulation in response to water stress or drought, indicating a common response of the plant to osmotic changes that affect its water status. The importance of identifying different candidates of this enzyme was highlighted by Nakamura et al. [40] that isolated two BADH transcripts (BBD1 and BBD2) from barley, one of them (BBD2) more similar to previously reported BADH genes from dicots. Both barley BADH genes showed different expression patterns. While BBD1 transcript was more abundant in roots and was induced to higher levels under salinity, drought and abscisic acid (ABA) treatment, the BBD2 transcript was more abundant in leaves after induction by salt, drought, PEG and ABA treatments, showing the potential of both genes for breeding purposes.

Delta(1)-pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthase (P5CS, EC 1.5.1.12)

Polypeptides regarding seven transcripts of delta(1)-pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthase 2 were similar to 19 OSMTL sequences (Additional file 1). Considering the transcripts, only Glyma18g40770.1 was not linked to a SuperSAGE tag. Seven tags matched with transcripts of the remaining six loci. From these, four were differentially expressed in the stressed library as compared with the negative control: one DR (downregulated GmDr_18680 mapped in the 3'UTR of Glyma01g24530.1) in both tolerant and sensible accessions; two DR tags in the sensible accession (tag GmDr_4918 mapped in the last CATG of the CDS of Glyma02g41850.1 and in the CDS of Glyma14g07120.1, and also tag GmDr_20800 at the 3'UTR of Glyma03g28480.1) besides a UR tag (FC = 9.6) only in the tolerant accession (tag GmDr_57499 at the CDSs of Glyma02g41850.1 and Glyma14g07120.1) (Additional file 2). The fact that both tags were associated to the CDS of Glyma02g41850.1 (Additional file 2) may be justified by the absence of the CATG sequence in the 3'UTR region. Even in the absence of expressive induction, the most prevalent tag (19-40 tpm; GmDr_4918) was observed in all libraries (Additional file 2).

Significant upregulation (RTqPCR) in leaves of PvP5CS (from common bean Phaseolus vulgaris) was demonstrated with transcript increase after 4d drought stress (2.5 times the control level), 2 h post-treatment (200 mM NaCl) of salt stress (about 16.3 times the control) and 2 h after of cold stress (11.7-fold). Another P5CS (PvP5CS2) also from common bean [41] presented predicted amino acid sequence showing 83.7% identity with PvP5CS and an overall 93.2% identity with GmP5CS [G. max P5CS], suggesting PvP5CS2 represented a soybean P5CS homolog gene. Indels (insertion and deletion events) and SNPs (single nucleotide polymorphisms) were found in the cloned PvP5CS2 genome sequence when the authors compared different accessions, helping in the development of a molecular marker in the chromosome b01. The association of molecular markers and phenotypes, in this case Pro accumulation is highly applicable for genetic improvement of plants and germplasm screening.

Delta(1)-pyrroline-5-carboxylate reductase (P5CR, EC 1.5.1.12)

The seed sequences OSMTL431, 432 and 434 were similar to P5CR polypeptides from two soybean loci (Glyma19g31230 and Glyma03g28480; Additional file 1), which transcripts were associated to SuperSAGE tags (Additional file 2). Of these one was repressed in the sensible accession (GmDr_4445, mapped at the 3'UTR region of the transcript Glyma19g31230.1) after stress (Additional file 2). A second one (GmDr_42728, mapped in the 3'UTR of Glyma03g28480.1 and CDS of Glyma03g28480.1) was not significantly modulated in the tolerant accession under stress as compared with the respective control, but presented a significant difference when compared to the sensible accession under stress (fold change of 12.0) (Additional file 2).

Previous genomic analysis indicated that there are only two to three copies of the P5CR gene in the soybean genome [42], similar to the proposed for pea [43]. Besides, the primary structure of pea P5CR is 85% identical with that of soybean isolated by Delauney and Verma [42]. The mentioned pea P5CR exhibited significant homology to human, yeast, and E. coli P5CR [43], a conservation that favours the here used approach in the search of orthologs using seeds sequences.

The suggestion that P5CR gene is osmoregulated was confirmed after subjecting soybean seedlings to osmotic stress (400 mM NaCl solution), resulting in an almost six-fold increase in the level of root P5CR mRNA [42]. An interesting aspect in association with proline overexpression and accumulation regards its influence on the concentration of other amino acids, suggesting a coordinated regulation of distinct metabolic pathways [44]. Free amino acid levels were compared in wild type and transgenic soybean (G. max cv. Ibis) transformed with P5CR in sense and antisense directions. The most rapid increase in Pro content was found in the sense transformants that exhibited the least water loss, while the slowest elevation of Pro levels was detected in the antisense transformants that exhibited the greatest water loss during stress. Correspondingly, the level of the Pro precursors Glu and Arg was higher in sense transformants and lower in antisense ones compared to the wild type plants during the initial exposure to stress (drought and heat) [44].
**Myo-inositol 1-phosphate synthase (MIPS, EC 5.5.1.4)**

A total of 13 OSMTL seed sequences (Additional file 1) presented similarities to polypeptides from three soybean *Myo*-inositol sequences. With exception of the transcript Glyma08g14670.1 that matched with MIPS1 the other two transcripts, matching MIPS2 and MIPS3, were associated to tags (Additional file 2). The tag GmDr_37 (mapped at the 3'UTR in all four alternative transcripts of Glyma18g02210) was the most frequent tag (615-1446 tpm) being DR in both stressed accessions (Additional file 2). The other tag (GmDr_3907) presented a perfect match with the 3'UTR of all three alternative transcripts of Glyma05g31450, with DR expression in the sensible accession under stress (Additional file 2). Another tag, GmDr_5821 (mapped at the 3'UTR in four alternative transcripts of Glyma18g02210) was induced (UR) in the tolerant accession Embrapa 48 under stress when compared with the respective control (Additional file 2). Considering all transcripts identified the locus Glyma18g02210 (MIPS2) seems to be the most interesting candidate for future validation and transgenic expression (in detriment to Glyma05g31450, MIPS3).

The confirmation of such a differential expression regarding MIPS is useful for plant breeding as highlighted by Kaur et al. [45] that observed two divergent genes encoding MIPS1 and MIPS2 (isolated from a drought-tolerant plant) in chickpea with differential expression but discrete overlapping roles, despite their pronounced divergence in respect to their introns composition, at the same time retaining 85% identity to their exons. Expression analysis showed both genes being expressed in all organs except seed, where only MIPS2 transcript was detected. Under environmental stresses (high temperature and salinity), only MIPS2 was induced whereas MIPS1 expression remained the same. Also, in those conditions of high temperature and salinity MIPS2 retained higher activity than MIPS1.

**Myo-inositol monophosphatase (IMP, EC 3.1.3.25)**

A total of 12 seed sequences (OSMTL61-66, OSMTL331-335 and OSMTL94) presented similarities with annotated IMP polypeptides regarding 10 *G. max* loci (Additional file 1), for those 19 SuperSAGE tags were identified. From the differentially expressed tags (Additional file 2), GmDr_3452 mapped at the last CATG of the Glyma08g19430.1, with bases in the CDS and 3'UTR and was induced after stress in both accessions. Similarly other tags were induced in the tolerant accession under stress (GmDr_23844, at the 3'UTR of Glyma16g28310.1 and GmDr_32375 at the 3'UTRs of both Glyma07g30110.1 and Glyma08g07200.1) (Additional file 2). By the other hand, the tag GmDr_5543 was mapped at the 3'UTR of three alternative transcripts of Glyma04g01170, being upregulated in the sensible accession and downregulated in the tolerant accession under stress (Additional file 2). Also the tag GmDr_25343 (mapped at the 3'UTR of Glyma15g07240.1) was downregulated in the tolerant accession after stress (Additional file 2). The abundance and differential expression of various IMP candidates in diverse comparisons indicate an important role in soybean water deficit. Despite of that and of the known role of these osmoprotectant-related genes, it is interesting that few expression essays or transgenic approaches have been carried using these candidates up to date.

In Arabidopsis transformants [46], two IMP candidate genes, IMPL1 and IMPL2 were expressed in a similar manner both in the vegetative and reproductive organs. The expression of IMP genes in a promoter-GUS assay on developing seeds was not coupled with the expression of the genes encoding MIPSs, which supply the substrate for IMPs in a ‘de novo’ synthesis pathway. Instead, IMP expression was correlated with SAL1 expression (encoding *Myo*-inositol polyphosphate 1- phosphatase), which is involved in the *Myo*-inositol salvage pathway.

**Trehalose-6-phosphate synthase (TPS, EC.2.4.1.15)**

After BLASTx 53 TPS OSMTL sequences were associated with 26 soybean transcripts of 21 loci (Additional file 1). From these, tags matched 22 transcripts and 17 loci, including TPS5, TPS7, TPS9 and TPS11 (Additional file 1). Among the differentially expressed tags (Table S2), three (GmDr_1203, GmDr_3893 and GmDr_9994) mapped at Glyma01g03870.1, Glyma06g19590.1 and Glyma17g07530, respectively and were considered induced in both accessions under stress. In turn, tag GmDr_62319 (Glyma04g35190.1, 3'UTR) was induced only in the sensible accession, while tag GmDr_25843 (Glyma01g03870.1, 3'UTR) was repressed under stress in the tolerant accession (Additional file 2).

Another two tags (GmDr_48598 and GmDr_57367, both mapping in Glyma01g03870.1, 3'UTR) were also DR in the tolerant accession under stress (Additional file 2). These two tags with different expression behavior for the same transcript could be considered as a possible annotation mistake, but further analysis showed that they regard sister tags, differing by a SNP, both mapping to Glyma01g03870.1 in an upstream site when compared to the mapped GmDr_25843 tag. Therefore, this last tag could be the result of a partial *Nla*III digestion, with the DR expression being questionable and therefore demanding validation. By the other hand, this possibility is quite unlikely, since a double digestion with *Nla*III was carried out prior to generation of HT-SuperSAGE libraries.

A similar situation was observed for two tags (GmDr_169137 and GmDr_198028, mapped both at Glyma06g19590.1) considered UR in the tolerant accession, while other two UR tags (GmDr_53228 and GmDr_61653) aligned to the same transcript with a single mismatch.
(Additional file 2). A careful analysis revealed that the tags GmDr_169137, GmDr_198028 and GmDr_53228 mapped to CDS region, while GmDr_61653 mapped at the 3'UTR, in a CATG near the Poli-A tail, as expected for most SuperSAGE tags (Additional file 2). Thus, the most valid representative of this transcript seems to be GmDr_61653, induced in the tolerant accession under stress (Additional file 2).

Additional differentially expressed tags included GmDr_80395 (Glyma10g41680, 3'UTR) considered UR in the tolerant accession under stress; GmDr_66719 (mapped with two alternative transcripts of Glyma17g07530 at 3'UTR) UR in the sensible accession; GmDr_9508 (Glyma06g42820 and Glyma12g15500, both at CDS region), DR in the tolerant accession under stress (Additional file 2).

Such abundance and induction of TPS were also observed in other species. For example rice (Oryza sativa) contains 11 OsTPS genes, but only OsTPS1 showed TPS activity [47]. To demonstrate the physiological function of OsTPS1 the authors used the respective gene to transform rice plants and found that OsTPS1 overexpression improved the tolerance of seedling to cold, high salinity and drought conditions without other significant phenotypic changes.

**Trehalose-phosphatase family protein (TPP; EC 3.1.3.12)**

Contrasting with the results generated for TPS, a single transcript was observed for TPP (Glyma04g41640.1) in a locus associated to sixteen available OSMTL sequences (Additional file 1). This transcript was associated to only two differentially expressed tags (GmDr_43033 and GmDr_108104), both mapped at the 3'UTR region (Additional file 2), with discrete expression (2-5 tpm) in two out of four libraries. As in our case, few examples in the literature associated TPP expression with water deficit stress in plants, maybe due to their restricted prevalence in previously analyzed libraries. Despite the scarce number of reports the work of Ge et al. [48] revealed the transient upregulation of OsTPP1 (rice) after salt, osmotic and abscisic acid (ABA) treatments, with discrete upregulation under cold stress. Also, the overexpression lines analysis revealed that OsTPP1 triggered abiiotic stress response genes, suggesting a possible transcriptional regulation pathway in stress induced reprogramming initiated by OsTPP1.

**Tag-gene anchoring in the soybean genome**

The search for osmoprotectant-associated homologs in soybean genes that matched with SuperSAGE tags recovered 179 sequences. However, these sequences were anchored in only 124 loci in the soybean genome (Additional file 3), what indicates the occurrence of alternative splicing of primary transcripts, suggesting an important role of osmoprotectants in vital processes during abiotic stresses in plants, probably inducing specific transcripts for particular environmental conditions. The anchoring of these osmoprotectant-related sequences and their respective SuperSAGE tags in the soybean virtual chromosomes revealed that osmoprotectant-related genes are present in 19 out of 20 soybean chromosomes (Figure 2). Most osmoprotectant-related sequences presented syntenic regions among non homologous chromosomes, often forming gene clusters mainly on both arms of chromosomes 2, 6 and 8, followed by chromosomes 5 and 17. On the opposite, some chromosomes presented few copies, as in the case of chromosomes 11-16 and 19-20, whereas chromosome 10 presented no match. The great number of osmoprotectant-related members in the short arm of chromosome 2 is also accompanied by a preferential distribution in the subterminal region, a phenomenon also observed in a lesser extent in the chromosomes 1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 17. Less frequently, gene-rich regions were also in the pericentromeric regions (e.g. in chromosomes 2, 3, 11 and 18) or intercalary regions.

A similar distribution was observed in regard to aquaporin genes, another gene family associated to drought stress in soybean [49]. Besides redundancies among chromosomes, aquaporins were also prevalent in terminal and subterminal gene clusters. As for aquaporins, the observed redundancy of osmoprotectant-related gene clusters corroborates previous suggestions of the soybean octoploid nature [50].

Another previous approach anchoring 59 soybean defense genes (two super-families: R resistance and PR pathogen related genes) in the virtual chromosomes of the legume Medicago truncatula revealed 1,253 sites, most of them clustered in subterminal or terminal positions. The 59 sequences were distributed in all nine medicago chromosomes, whereas 58 genes presented similarities with distinct segments in the same chromosome or appeared twice in distinct chromosomes [51]. Similar clustering was described for arabidopsis [52], indicating that such a distribution may occur in regard to different gene families and plant groups.

The redundancies observed probably reflect past duplication events, increasing the number of osmoprotectant-related genes in soybean genome [53,54]. The observed clustering and prevalence in some chromosomes, especially those combining different gene categories (as in the short arm of chromosomes 2, 6, and 7 or in the long arms of chromosomes 6, 8 and 9) indicate that these regions probably regard QTLs (Quantitative Trait Loci) useful for mapping approaches and marker assisted selection.

**Conclusions**

High throughput sequencing is generating a huge amount of sequences in given tissues and under contrasting conditions. In the present case we evaluated
osmoprotectant-related sequences in 26-bp tags from HT-SuperSAGE libraries from soybean coupled with Solexa/Illumina® sequencing in a digital gene expression profile. The approach permitted tags identification and annotation and their association with sequences from different sources (genomic regions, transcripts and proteins); identifying 36 differentially expressed osmoprotectant-related transcripts relative to 25 loci potentially active comprising four osmoprotectants classes. The 1,996 seed sequences and the workflow are also applicable to evaluate other angiosperms. Their clustering observed in soybean may be prevalent in other plant groups (or at least in legumes) and may be associated to interesting QTLs for breeding purposes or still for metabolic engineering in association with drought and salinity and chilling tolerance.

Figure 2 Distribution and syntenic relationships of 124 osmoprotectants in soybean genome. Chromosomes are marked at centromere (white circle) in their expected position. Each osmoprotectants name is shown outside in described position of SoyBase. Syntenic relationships are shown as color lines between chromosome regions. Red line - Proline (P5CS and P5CR); Green line - Trehalose (TPS1 and TPPB); Purple line - Glycine Betaine (BADH and CMO); Blue line - Cysteine (OASTL and SAT); Orange line - Myo-inositol (INPS1).
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the available SuperSAGE tags.

Biological material, experimental design and stress
application - Soybean HT-SuperSAGE libraries were generated
according to the procedures described by Matsumura et al. [57]
at GenXPro GmbH, with posterior SOLEXA sequencing of the tags. The generated tags are distributed into four libraries (Additional file 2) including root tissues subjected to dehydration: two libraries from the drought tolerant cultivar Embrapa 48 [Tolerant after stress (TS) and negative control (TC)] and two libraries from a drought sensible cultivar BR-16 [Sensible after Stress (SS) and negative control (SC)]. The conditions for the generation of the mentioned libraries, time frame experiments, and laboratory protocols used are described in Soares-Cavalcanti et al. [58]. The generated sequences are available at the GENOSOJA database (Brazilian Soybean Genome Consortium) [35].

Statistical analysis, tag-gene annotation and the tag
fold change estimation - The in silico procedures are illustrated in Figure 1. Initially 26 bp-tags were analyzed with the DiscoverySpace (v.4.01) software [59] aiming to identify unique tags (unitags) and those unitags differentially expressed (p ≤ 0.05) considering a contrast among two libraries. Tags counted only once (singlets) were excluded from the present evaluation. Unitags were annotated by BLASTn [60] against nucleotide sequences from the soybean Phytozome database v8.0 (Glyma1 cDNA dataset) [37,50]. BLASTn alignments (tag-hit) with e-values of 0.0001 or less and tolerating a single mismatch maximum (TSM) were taken into account. Moreover, only plus/plus alignments without mismatches regarding the four first bases CATG were accepted, in order to guar-
antee the integrity of the SuperSAGE tag. Specific keyword
searches on the original glyma annotations were per-
formed looking for the transcripts and tags candidates.
Values reflecting expression data (p-value and up- or
down-regulation regarding each tag) were associated to
the data matrix including the respective tag annotation,
the normalized frequencies in the libraries and the fold
change values (FC). FC estimative were based on the ratio
(R) of the normalized frequencies of the tag in the contrast
of the two libraries, where the ‘zero’ frequency was
replaced by ‘one’. When R > 1 the FC were directly consid-
ered and when R < 1 the FC = - 1/R. Negative FC values
indicated repressed tags.

Tag-gene identification and anchoring in the soybean
genome

A further approach consisted in the identification and
generation of a curated list consisting of seven genes
related to the biosynthesis of four classes of osmoprotectants i.e. Proline (genes P5CS and P5CR), Trehalose
(TPS1 and TPPB), Glycine betaine (BADH and CMO)
and Myo-inositol (INPS1). For this purpose a initial list
was generated based on well known data from Arabidop-
sis thaliana (Additional file 3) used to identify corre-
spanding sequences at SoyBase available on Phytozome
[37,50], allowing the construction of a local database
comprising complete soybean osmoprotectants for the
alignment with the previously identified SuperSAGE tags
and posterior anchoring in the SoyBase web server (con-
sisting of pseudochromosomes from genome sequences
including mainly BACs and molecular markers).

Circos mapping

Sequence matches for the nine selected osmoprotectant-related genes were aligned against the SoyBase pseudo-
chromosomes aiming to infer about their distribution in
the virtual chromosomes available at SoyBase. BLAST
algorithm parameters (score, e-value and percentage of
identity) were adjusted to allow the anchoring of soybean
sequences position along the soybean virtual chromo-
some. Afterwards the identified anchoring positions
were submitted to the Circos program [61] and so edited
to generate a picture of higher resolution. This approach
allowed the generation of a graph based on a circular
organization of the soybean chromosomes (n = 20),
allowing the identification of a virtual ideogram with lin-
ear distribution of the osmoprotectants identified, the
associated SuperSAGE tags, as well as redundant
portions.

Additional material

Additional file 1: Table S1. BLASTx (Identity % and e-value) results
regarding nucleotide sequences involved in the biosynthesis pathway
and corresponding best hit (cut-off e-10) in the Uniprot-SwissProt and
Phytozome (v8 Glycine max) databases, represented by the respective
loci/transcripts in soybean (Glyma) as well as their annotation.

Additional file 2: Table S2. BLASTn results (Identity %, e-value) using
HT-SuperSAGE 26-bp tags against Glycine max seed sequences given in
Table S1. Libraries consisted of soybean cultivar Embrapa 48, tolerant (T)
against drought, with roots submitted to water deficit after 1-6 h of
stress submission (TS) as compared with the tolerant non stressed
control (TC). The same treatment was given to the drought sensible cultivar (BR-16) considering stressed (S5) and its respective control (C5). Tag annotation occurred against transcripts on the soybean Phytozome database. For each library normalized frequencies were considered (tpm: tags per million). Regarding comparisons among different treatments, fold change (FC), regulation (reg) (including upregulated (UR, in red) downregulated (DR, in green) and also non significant differential expression (ns), at 5% level) are given, as well as the tag-mapping position in the respective soybean transcript (Glyma).

Additional file 3: Table S3 Main soybean transcripts similar to known osmoprotectants-genes (I.) from Arabidopsis thaliana (used as seed sequences). II. bILASTIn results and sequence evaluation of soybean Osmoprotectants-genes. Information available include number of hits, best match of each gene class and features of hits: size range (maximal and minimal) in nucleotides (n), ORF (Open Reading Frame) size range in amino-acids (aa), e-value range based on SoyBase web resource, as well as number of matching HT-SuperSAGE tags.

List of abbreviations used
ABA: abscistic acid; ALDH: aldehyde dehydrogenase; Arg: arginine; BACs: bacterial artificial chromosome; BADh: betaine aldehyde dehydrogenase; CDS: coding sequence; CMO: choline monooxygenase; DR: down-regulated; FC: fold change value; Glc: glucose; GenoSOJA: Brazilian Soybean Genome Consortium; Glu: Glutamic acid; Glucose-6-P: glucose 6-phosphate; HP-SA: high performance sequencing approaches; HSP70: 70 kilodalton heat shock proteins; HT-SuperSAGE: High Throughput Super Serial Analysis of Gene Expression; IMP: L-Myo-inositol 1-phosphate phosphatase; MIPS: L-Myo-inositol 1-phosphate synthase; NGS: next generation sequencing; OAS: O-acetyl-L-serine, OAT-O: O-acetyl-L-serine thiol lyase; OAT: ornithine d-amino transferase; OATs: O-acetyl-L-serine thiol lyases; OA: Ornithine-Acetyltransferase; OsTPS: Trehalose-6-phosphate synthase; P5CR: delta(1)-pyrroline-5-carboxylate reductase; P5CS: delta(1)-pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthase; PEG: polyethylene glycol; P5CS: delta(1)-pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthase; P5CR: 5-aminomethyl-4-carboxylic acid reductase; P5CS: 5-aminomethyl-4-carboxylic acid synthase; R: reduced; RON: role of nucleosides in osmotic stress tolerance; SAT: serine acetyltransferase; SNP: single nucleotide polymorphism; T6P: trehalose 6-phosphate; T6P: trehalose 6-phosphate synthase; T6Pβ: trehalose 6-phosphate synthase; TMS: tolerating a single mismatch; UDP: UDP-glucose 1-carboxylate synthase; UDP: UDP-glucose 1-carboxylate synthase; UDP: UDP-glucose 1-carboxylate synthase; UDP: UDP-glucose 1-carboxylate synthase; UDP: UDP-glucose 1-carboxylate synthase; UDP: UDP-glucose 1-carboxylate synthase; UPR: unfolded protein response; T3P: trehalose 6-phosphate 3-phosphatase.
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