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Abstract

Butyrate in the gut of animals has potential properties including regulating the innate immune, modulating the lipid metabolism, and protecting gut healthy. So far, only limited information on the impact of butyrate on the neonatal is available. This study aimed to investigate effects of oral administration of sodium butyrate (SB) on gut microbiota and the expression of inflammatory cytokine in neonatal piglets. Ten litters of crossbred newborn piglets were randomly allocated to the SB and control (CO) groups, each group consisted of five litters (replicates). Piglets in the SB group were orally administrated with 7 to 13 ml sodium butyrate solution (150 mmol/l) per day from the age of 1 to 7 days, respectively; piglets in the CO group were treated with the same dose of physiological saline. On days 8 and 21 (of age), gut digesta and tissues were collected for the analysis of microbiota, butyrate concentration and gene expression of inflammatory cytokine. Results showed that there was no difference in the butyrate concentration in the gut of piglets on days 8 and 21 between two groups. Real-time PCR assay showed that SB had no effect on the numbers of total bacteria in the stomach, ileum, and colon. MiSeq sequencing of the V3-V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene revealed that SB increased the richness in the stomach and colon, and the diversity of colonic microbiota on day 8 (P < 0.05). Genera Acinetobacter, Actinobacillus, Facklamia, Globoctella, Kocuria, Rothia, unclassified Leptotrichiaceae, unclassified Neisseriaceae, and unclassified Prevotellaceae in the stomach were increased in relative abundance by SB treatment, whereas the abundances of Lactobacillus decreased on day 8 (P < 0.05). At the genus and operational taxonomic unit (OTU) levels, SB had low impact on bacterial community in the ileum and colon on days 8 and 21. SB treatment decreased the expression of IL-6, IL-8, IFN-γ, IL-10, TGF-β, and histone deacetylase 1 (HDAC1) in the ileum of piglets on day 8 (P < 0.05). SB treatment down-regulated the expression of IL-8, IFN-γ, and IL-1β on day 21 (P < 0.05). Correlation analysis on the combined datasets revealed some potential relationships between gut microbiota and the expression of inflammatory cytokines. The results show that early intervention with sodium butyrate can modulate the ileum inflammatory cytokine in neonatal piglets with low impact on intestinal...
Introduction
The survival rate of piglets directly affects the development of pig industry. Newborn piglets mainly rely on maternal antibody to against a great number of enteric and bronchopulmonary pathogenic organisms at first week after birth [1, 2]. However, with fewer antibodies in the breast milk, the intestinal mucosal immune seems to play a role in maintaining intestinal health of piglets. Increasing studies based on the germ-free gut have provided clear evidence that the gut microbiota is instrumental in promoting the development of both the gut and systemic immune systems [3]. Early microbial exposure of the gut is thought to dramatically reduce the incidence of inflammatory, autoimmune, and atopic diseases [4–7]. The early colonization of gut microbiota plays a fundamentally important role in the development of intestinal function and the innate immune system [5, 8, 9].

Butyrate, a short-chain fatty acid, is an end-product of intestinal microbial fermentation of mainly dietary fiber. Butyrate is an important energy source for intestinal epithelial cells and can increase the proliferation index in the intestinal crypts [10]. Particularly, butyrate has potential properties of anticarcinogenic and anti-inflammatory [11], affecting the intestinal barrier and playing a role in satiety and oxidative stress. Butyrate was widely used on animal production for its anti-bacteria and anti-inflammatory properties. Galfi and Bokori showed that the inclusion of sodium butyrate (SB) in the diet significantly increased the body weight gain, feed utilization and composition of intestinal microbiota in growing pigs [12]. However, a previous study showed that intestinal counts of clostridia, enterobacteriaceae, and lactic acid bacteria as well as intestinal mucosal morphology were not affected by feeding SB on weaning piglets [13]. While intensive studies focused on the period around weaning period, so far information on the role of SB in the health of neonatal piglets is limited.

In contrast to the established and stable microbiota of adult animals, the gut microbiota of neonates vary more among individuals and are less stable. The fragile ecological system of the neonatal gut is not only a disease risk to the newborn animal but also may have short- and long-term influence on the health later in life [5, 14, 15]. Thus, early intervention of the development of gut microbiota and mucosal immune system may have practical significance to improve the health of piglets.

During the early life of piglets, only a small quantity of butyrate is produced in the gut because of the immature microbiota and few fermentation substrates. Given the benefit role of butyrate in the gut health of weaning and growing piglets, we hypothesized that early intervention with additional butyrate can also impact the gut microbiota and immune system of the newborn piglets. In addition, the effects may last for the whole suckling period. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the effects of early intervention with SB through oral administration on gut microbiota and the expression of inflammatory cytokines in piglets during the suckling period.

Materials and Methods
Ethics statement
The experiment was approved and conducted under the supervision of the Animal Care and Use Committee of Nanjing Agricultural University (Nanjing, Jiangsu province, China). All
animal care procedures throughout the study followed Experimental Animal Care and Use Guidelines of China [16].

Piglet experimental design

Ten litters of healthy neonatal piglets (10–11 piglets in each litter) derived from ten sows with the similar parity (3–4 parities) in a commercial maternal line herd (Duroc × Landrace × Yorkshire) were randomly allocated to either the SB group or the control (CO) group. Each group consisted of five replicates (litters). From the age of 1 to 7 days, piglets in the SB group were orally administered with 7 to 13 ml sodium butyrate solution (pH = 7.4, 150 mmol/l) per day, respectively (each half of dose was given at 9:00 am and 3:00 pm). Piglets in the CO group were orally administered with the same dose of physiological saline. The solution was infused into the piglet mouth by an injector without the needle, piglets were gently put on the nursing pen immediately after the swallowing to avoid stress caused by the operation. All piglets were weaned on day 21. During the suckling period, piglets had free access to water, while no creep feed was provided. All piglets kept healthy during the experimental period, and there was no difference in the growth performance between CO and SB groups by recording the weight of piglets on days 1, 7, 14 and 21.

Sampling

On days 8 and 21, one piglet from each litter was randomly selected and euthanized. The digesta in the stomach, distal ileum, and proximal colon were collected, and stored at -28°C for the analysis of microbial structure and butyrate concentration. To determine the expression of inflammatory-related genes of the ileum, the luminal fluid was drained, then distal segments of ileum (3–4 cm) were excised, washed with sterile phosphate buffer solution (PBS, pH 7.0), and immediately snap frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Butyrate acid concentration analysis

The butyrate concentration in the stomach, ileum and colon was analyzed by using a capillary column gas chromatograph (GC-14B, Shimadzu, Japan; Capillary Column: 30 m × 0.32 mm × 0.25 μm film thickness) according to the description of a previous study [17].

DNA Extraction, PCR amplification and Illumina MiSeq sequencing

The total genomic DNA was isolated from the digesta of stomach, ileum, and colon using a commercially available stool DNA extraction kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The concentration of extracted DNA was determined by using a Nano-Drop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific Inc., Wilmington, DE, USA). The V4-V5 region of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using bacterial universal primers 515F and 907R according to the description of previous studies [18, 19]. Purified amplicons were pooled in equimolar and paired-end sequenced (2 × 250) on an Illumina MiSeq platform according to the standard protocols at the Majorbio Bio-Pharm Technology (Shanghai, China).

Bioinformatics analysis

Raw fastq files were demultiplexed and quality-filtered using QIIME (version 1.17) with the following criteria: the 250 bp reads were truncated at any site receiving an average quality score < 20 over a 10 bp sliding window, discarding the truncated reads that were shorter than 50 bp; exact barcode matching. 2 nucleotide mismatch in primer matching, reads containing
ambiguous characters were removed; and only sequences that overlap longer than 10 bp were assembled according to their overlap sequence.

Operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were clustered with 97% similarity cutoff using UPARSE (version 7.1 http://drive5.com/uparse/), and chimeric sequences were identified and removed using UCHIME. To assess bacterial diversity among samples in a comparable manner, a randomly selected, 16274-sequence (the lowest number of sequences in the 60 samples) subset from each sample was compared for the phylogenetic affiliation by RDP Classifier (http://rdp.cme.msu.edu/) against the Silva (SSU115) 16S rRNA database using a confidence threshold of 70% [20]. We also calculated the coverage percentage using Good's method [21], the abundance-based coverage estimator (ACE), the bias-corrected Chao richness estimator, and the Shannon and Simpson diversity indices using the MOTHUR program (http://www.mothur.org) [22]. Genera (OTUs) with relative abundances higher than 0.05% within total bacteria were defined as predominant genera (OTUs), and sorted for comparing the difference among different groups. As described by previous study [23], non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) was employed to visualize relationships between samples by two-dimensional ordination plotting. The raw pyrosequencing reads were submitted to Sequencing Read Archive (SRA) database under the accession id: SRP074353.

Real-time PCR quantification of total bacteria
Primer set Bact1369/Prok1492 was used for the quantification of total bacteria on an Applied Biosystems 7300 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, USA) using SybrGreen as the fluorescent dye [24]. The PCR was performed according to the description of a previous study [25].

RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis and real-time RT-PCR for gene expression of inflammatory cytokines
Total RNA of the ileum was isolated using TRIzol (Invitrogen, China), and quantified using a NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific Inc., Wilmington, DE, USA). The absorption ratio (260:280 nm) of all the samples was between 1.8 and 2.0, which indicate a high purity of the RNA. One microgram RNA was reverse transcribed with standard reagents (Biocolors, China). The primers for inflammatory cytokines (IL-6, IL-8, IL-1β, IL-18, TNF-α, and IFN-γ), anti-inflammatory (IL-10 and TGF-β), histone deacetylase 1 (HDAC1), and housekeeping (β-actin, GAPDH, and 18S rRNA) genes were listed in S1 Table [26–33]. The target genes and housekeeping genes were measured by quantitative real-time PCR with SybrGreen (Roche, Switzerland) and fluorescence was detected on an ABI 7300 sequence detector. The reaction system was 10 μl including 5 μl SYBR, 1 μl DNA (100 ng/μl), 0.5 μl forward and reserve primers (10 mmol/μl) and 3 μl double distilled water. Samples were incubated in the ABI 7300 sequence detector for an initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 35 PCR cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 1 min and 72°C for 1 min. Of the three candidate housekeeping genes, β-actin was finally used for the accurate normalization by NormFinder software as described by Andersen et al. [34]. The expression of the genes was calculated relative to the expression of β-actin with formula $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$. Amplification of specific transcripts was confirmed by melting curve profiles at the end of each PCR.

Statistical analysis
Data were analyzed by SPSS 17.0 as a randomized block design, considering the SB treatment as the main effect and the replicate as a block. Litter was used as the experimental unit (n = 5) of all analysis, the individual pig represented the litter mean because one pig per litter was
sampled on each day. The microbial data were analyzed by using the non-parametric Mann–Whitney test for independent samples. The data of inflammatory cytokines and butyrate concentration were evaluated by Student’s \( t \) test. Data were presented as group mean ± SD, significant differences were declared when \( P < 0.05 \). The correlations between the expression of inflammatory cytokines and bacterial community compositions were assessed by Pearson’s correlation test using Graphpad Prism version 5.00 (Graphpad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

**Results**

**Gut butyrate concentration**

As shown in S2 Table, SB had no significantly effect on the butyrate concentration in the stomach, ileum, and colon of piglets on days 8 and 21.

**Gut microbial community**

Across all 60 samples, 1,873,852 quality sequences were classified as bacteria with a read length higher than 250 bp. The average length of the quality sequences was 445.12 bp. The rarefaction curves generated by MOTHUR plotting the number of reads by the number of OTUs tended to approach the saturation plateau (S1 Fig). The statistical estimates of species richness for 16274-sequence subsets from each sample at a genetic distance of 3% are presented in Table 1.

In the stomach, the richness estimators (ACE and Chao) in the SB group were significantly higher than in the CO group on day 8 (\( P < 0.05 \)), while the diversity indices (Shannon and Simpson) were not affected by the SB treatment. SB significantly decreased the ACE value of ileal microbiota (\( P < 0.05 \)), while there was no difference in diversity indices between SB and CO groups. As compared to the CO group, the richness estimators Chao and diversity of colonic microbiota in the SB group significantly increased at the age of 8 days (\( P < 0.05 \)); the

| Table 1. Diversity estimation of the 16S rRNA gene libraries from microbiota in the stomach, ileum, and colon of piglets in the sodium butyrate (SB) and control (CO) groups (n = 5). |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| **Item**          | **8 d** CO        | **SB**            | **21 d** CO       | **SB**            |
| **Stomach**       |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| Ace               | 209.46±16.79      | 298.88±32.11*     | 322.83±37.52      | 317.08±38.73      |
| Chao              | 200.99±14.33      | 284.39±21.91*     | 297.11±40.32      | 287.91±14.19      |
| Shannon           | 1.75± 0.16        | 2.19± 0.25        | 2.00± 0.40        | 1.95± 0.20        |
| Simpson           | 0.30± 0.05        | 0.24± 0.05        | 0.31± 0.07        | 0.30± 0.05        |
| **Ileum**         |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| Ace               | 326.86±22.53      | 251.78±12.80*     | 239.96±32.38      | 281.18±11.16      |
| Chao              | 293.92±22.45      | 239.22±13.03      | 222.67±42.23      | 248.36±9.23       |
| Shannon           | 2.08± 0.17        | 2.19± 0.28        | 2.17± 0.44        | 2.21± 0.15        |
| Simpson           | 0.26± 0.05        | 0.23± 0.05        | 0.26± 0.06        | 0.24± 0.05        |
| **Colon**         |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| Ace               | 199.82±21.35      | 253.93±19.30      | 271.73±14.88      | 267.88±16.83      |
| Chao              | 194.78±15.15      | 267.79±13.67**    | 279.57±16.44      | 270.74±17.08      |
| Shannon           | 2.78± 0.17        | 3.47± 0.12**      | 2.79± 0.33        | 2.93± 0.34        |
| Simpson           | 0.16± 0.03        | 0.06± 0.01*       | 0.20± 0.09        | 0.15± 0.05        |

* means the significantly difference (\( P < 0.05 \)) between SB and CO groups

** means the significantly difference (\( P < 0.01 \)) between SB and CO groups, the same as follows.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0162461.t001
addition of SB did not affect the richness estimators and diversity index in comparison with the control on day 21. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) of Bray-Curtis similarity matrices for OTU-based clustering indicated that the composition of bacterial communities in the samples was separated by the three gut segments (Fig 1). However, samples from the stomach, ileum, and colon of piglets on days 8 and 21 could not be separated by the SB treatment.

At the phylum level, Firmicutes was the most predominant phylum in the stomach and ileum (Table 2) of piglets on days 8 and 21. In the stomach, the relative abundance of Firmicutes in the SB group was significantly lower than the CO group, whereas the abundance of Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria in the SB group was significantly higher than the CO group on day 8 ($P<0.05$). In the colon, SB significantly decreased the abundance of Bacteroidetes, and increased the abundance of Firmicutes and Actinobacteria ($P<0.05$) on day 8 (Table 2).

At the class level, SB significantly decreased the abundance of Bacilli, and increased Actinobacteria, Flavobacteriia and Betaproteobacteria ($P<0.05$) in the stomach on day 8 (S3 Table). SB significantly decreased the abundance of Flavobacteriia ($P<0.05$) in the ileum on day 8

Fig 1. Nonmetric multidimensional scaling analysis (NMDS). NMDS representation of OTU-based clustering (0.03 genetic distance) of data from the V4-V5 region of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene. Counts of each OTU within each piglet were standardized to percentage, square-root transformed and a Bray-Curtis similarity matrix was calculated.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0162461.g001
Table 2. Relative abundance of microbial phylum (percentage) in the stomach, ileum, and colon of piglets in the sodium butyrate (SB) and control (CO) groups (n = 5).

| phylum                | 8d          | SB          | 21d          | CO          | SB          |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| **Stomach**           |             |             |              |             |             |
| Firmicutes            | 98.11±0.351 | 92.40±3.84**| 93.61±3.84   | 97.60±0.104 |
| Proteobacteria        | 0.67±0.127  | 3.10±2.17** | 3.28±2.17    | 1.00±0.227  |
| Bacteroidetes         | 0.60±0.107  | 1.99±0.96   | 1.89±0.96    | 0.68±0.122  |
| Actinobacteria        | 0.27±0.107  | 1.31±0.34** | 0.57±0.34    | 0.52±0.222  |
| Fusobacteria          | 0.22±0.090  | 0.84±0.29   | 0.46±0.29    | 0.12±0.018  |
| Candidate_division_TM7| 0.09±0.059  | 0.29±0.03   | 0.08±0.03    | 0.04±0.026  |
| **Ileum**             |             |             |              |             |             |
| Firmicutes            | 88.65±6.82  | 80.17±9.03  | 93.39±3.12   | 95.44±1.71  |
| Proteobacteria        | 5.76±4.59   | 15.20±7.93  | 1.14±0.40    | 0.86±0.504  |
| Fusobacteria          | 3.32±2.45   | 2.29±1.43   | 0.61±0.31    | 0.13±0.026  |
| Actinobacteria        | 0.92±0.23   | 1.14±0.44   | 3.94±2.21    | 2.88±0.791  |
| Bacteroidetes         | 0.78±0.21   | 0.64±0.24   | 0.06±0.02    | 0.07±0.021  |
| Candidate_division_TM7| 0.39±0.186  | 0.40±0.25   | 0.79±0.45    | 0.61±0.453  |
| **Colon**             |             |             |              |             |             |
| Bacteroidetes         | 64.97±3.53  | 41.26±4.70**| 19.04±6.06   | 29.48±6.26  |
| Firmicutes            | 30.01±4.11  | 46.88±2.36**| 75.09±7.60   | 67.74±6.86  |
| Fusobacteria          | 2.27±1.23   | 7.62±5.48   | 0.22±0.13    | 0.46±0.252  |
| Proteobacteria        | 1.04±0.589  | 3.09±1.70   | 0.91±0.44    | 1.24±0.892  |
| Spirochaetaceae       | 0.98±0.98   | 0.01±0.01   | 0.03±0.03    | 0.02±0.013  |
| Verrucomicrobia       | 0.40±0.24   | 0.85±0.80   | 0.00±0.00    | 0.00±0.002  |
| Cyanobacteria         | 0.14±0.14   | 0.00±0.00   | 0.07±0.07    | 0.02±0.013  |
| Actinobacteria        | 0.07±0.015  | 0.28±0.08   | 2.38±1.43    | 0.63±0.163  |
| Synergistetes         | 0.02±0.018  | 0.00±0.001  | 2.07±1.83    | 0.29±0.216  |
| Tenericutes           | 0.00±0.001  | 0.02±0.023  | 0.15±0.102   | 0.09±0.086  |

1Phylum with relative abundances higher than 0.05% within total bacteria were sorted and showed in the table.

* means the significantly difference (P < 0.05) between SB group and CO group.

** means the significantly difference (P < 0.01) between SB group and CO group.

(S4 Table). In the colon, a lower abundance of Bacteroidia and a higher abundance of Negativicutes and Actinobacteria (P < 0.05) were found in the SB group on day 8 as compared with the CO group (S5 Table).

Family-level analysis revealed that Lactobacillaceae in the stomach was significantly decreased in the relative abundance by the SB treatment, whereas Pasteurellaceae, Flavobacteriaceae, Micrococcaceae and Aerococcaceae were increased (P < 0.05) on day 8 (S6 Table), Flavobacteriaceae in the ileum (P < 0.05) was increased by the SB treatment (S7 Table).

Genus-level analysis revealed that the genera Lactobacillus, Streptococcus, and Veillonella were the three predominant genera in the stomach and ileum of piglets on days 8 and 21. Bacteroides and Lactobacillus were the predominant genera in the colon. As shown in Table 3, genera Acinetobacter, Actinobacillus, Facklamia, Globicatella, Kocuria, Rothia, unclassified Leptotrichiaceae, unclassified Neisseriaceae, and unclassified Prevotellaceae in the stomach were significantly increased in relative abundance by the SB treatment, whereas the abundances of Lactobacillus decreased on day 8 (P < 0.05). In the ileum (S9 Table), SB only decreased the
Table 3. Relative abundance of microbial genera (percentage) in the stomach of piglets in the sodium butyrate (SB) and control (CO) groups (n = 5).

| Genus                        | 8 d SB | 8 d CO | 21 d SB | 21 d CO |
|------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Lactobacillus                | 95.15±0.922 | 83.759±0.204* | 79.413±0.895 | 83.773±9.112 |
| Streptococcus                | 0.95±0.307 | 4.125±0.229 | 1.081±0.414 | 5.403±4.266 |
| Clostridium_sensu_stricto_1  | 0.464±0.335 | 0.527±0.065 | 0.878±0.453 | 0.626±0.345 |
| Moraxella                    | 0.27±0.068 | 1.060±0.390 | 0.869±0.593 | 0.395±0.181 |
| Veillonella                  | 0.217±0.131 | 1.339±0.826 | 0.326±0.126 | 2.593±2.377 |
| Actinobacterium              | 0.181±0.047 | 0.866±0.323* | 1.649±1.144 | 0.815±0.355 |
| Porphyromonas                | 0.168±0.080 | 0.632±0.230 | 0.356±0.231 | 0.119±0.026 |
| Sarcina                      | 0.143±0.135 | 0.119±0.109 | 7.949±7.926 | 0.002±0.001 |
| unclassified Lactobacillales | 0.137±0.045 | 0.240±0.137 | 0.134±0.049 | 0.52±0.297 |
| Bacteroides                  | 0.132±0.034 | 0.053±0.027 | 0.179±0.094 | 0.074±0.039 |
| Fusobacterium                | 0.126±0.060 | 0.227±0.071 | 0.174±0.090 | 0.082±0.020 |
| Turicibacter                 | 0.098±0.048 | 0.169±0.043 | 0.161±0.096 | 0.165±0.066 |
| norank Candidate_division_TM7| 0.096±0.059 | 0.295±0.134 | 0.089±0.033 | 0.051±0.021 |
| Rothia                       | 0.079±0.029 | 0.515±0.214* | 0.124±0.046 | 0.426±0.185 |
| Corynebacterium              | 0.078±0.039 | 0.426±0.200 | 0.206±0.163 | 0.794±0.658 |
| Acinetobacter                | 0.004±0.002 | 0.154±0.129* | 0.003±0.001 | 0.012±0.007 |
| Actinomycyes                 | 0.057±0.050 | 0.037±0.016 | 0.159±0.121 | 0.034±0.012 |
| Aerococcus                   | 0.011±0.005 | 0.161±0.094 | 0.125±0.109 | 0.249±0.214 |
| Alloprevotella               | 0.049±0.008 | 0.194±0.060 | 0.312±0.134 | 0.164±0.074 |
| Arcanobacterium              | 0.015±0.006 | 0.054±0.025 | 0.009±0.004 | 0.017±0.006 |
| Arthrobacter                 | 0.001±0.001 | 0.052±0.028 | 0.018±0.017 | 0.005±0.004 |
| Atoptipes                    | 0.002±0.001 | 0.011±0.009 | 0.072±0.071 | 0.001±0.001 |
| Berygeyella                  | 0.066±0.016 | 0.512±0.190 | 0.402±0.267 | 0.089±0.041 |
| Chryseobacterium             | 0.011±0.008 | 0.244±0.204 | 0.011±0.007 | 0.117±0.085 |
| Enterococcus                 | 0.016±0.016 | 0.063±0.046 | 0.006±0.003 | 0.046±0.043 |
| Facillamia                   | 0.000±0.000 | 0.055±0.031** | 0.023±0.015 | 0.073±0.041 |
| Fastidiosipila               | 0.000±0.000 | 0.005±0.003 | 0.068±0.054 | 0.001±0.001 |
| Gemella                      | 0.020±0.008 | 0.079±0.024 | 0.016±0.005 | 0.030±0.021 |
| Globicatella                 | 0.022±0.006 | 0.080±0.023* | 0.064±0.044 | 0.129±0.056 |
| Haemophilus                  | 0.055±0.019 | 0.406±0.188 | 0.431±0.276 | 0.144±0.022 |
| Helcococcus                  | 0.030±0.014 | 0.027±0.019 | 0.076±0.061 | 0.040±0.019 |
| Howardella                   | 0.009±0.004 | 0.013±0.010 | 0.020±0.007 | 0.074±0.063 |
| Ignavuranum                  | 0.004±0.004 | 0.006±0.002 | 0.138±0.138 | 0.007±0.004 |
| Jeotgalicoccus               | 0.014±0.011 | 0.017±0.007 | 0.080±0.077 | 0.025±0.009 |
| Kocuria                      | 0.000±0.000 | 0.085±0.055*** | 0.011±0.004 | 0.074±0.047 |
| Leptotrichia                 | 0.052±0.016 | 0.453±0.394 | 0.194±0.136 | 0.086±0.042 |
| Neisseria                    | 0.006±0.006 | 0.009±0.004 | 0.086±0.071 | 0.042±0.014 |
| norank Bacteroidales S24-7   | 0.024±0.014 | 0.026±0.009 | 0.114±0.057 | 0.026±0.013 |
| Nosocomicoccus               | 0.004±0.004 | 0.004±0.003 | 0.223±0.218 | 0.009±0.005 |
| Pasteurella                  | 0.020±0.007 | 0.144±0.003 | 0.001±0.001 | 0.001±0.001 |
| Peptostreptococcus           | 0.034±0.009 | 0.080±0.043 | 0.066±0.036 | 0.054±0.023 |
| Prevotella                   | 0.030±0.012 | 0.010±0.003 | 0.056±0.036 | 0.022±0.010 |
| Proteocatella                | 0.014±0.008 | 0.086±0.047 | 0.056±0.028 | 0.030±0.010 |
| Psychrobacter                | 0.003±0.001 | 0.061±0.040 | 0.006±0.005 | 0.008±0.004 |
| uncultured Prevotellaceae    | 0.022±0.007 | 0.014±0.006 | 0.078±0.066 | 0.014±0.005 |

(Continued)
abundance of *Sarcina* at the age of 21 days (*P* < 0.05) and tended to increase the abundance of *Bergeyella* on day 8. SB significantly decreased the abundance of *Peptostreptococcus* in the colon on day 21 (*P* < 0.05). A higher tendency was observed in the relative abundance of genera *Corynebacterium*, *Faecalibacterium*, *Odoribacter*, *Roseburia*, *Subdoligranulum*, and unclassified Lachnospiraceae in the colon of piglets treated with SB on day 8 (S10 Table).

At the OTU level, SB significantly increased the relative abundance of *Actinobacillus porcinus*-*, *Rothia*-*, *Actinobacillus minor*-*, *Kocuria carniphila*-*, *Corynebacterium*-*, Leptotrichiaceae*-*, and *Actinomyces*-related OTUs (*P* < 0.05) in the stomach on day 8 (Table 4). In the ileum (S11 Table), the relative abundance of Erysipelotrichaceae-related OTU of piglets supplemented with SB was higher than that from the CO group at the age of 8 days (*P* < 0.05). On day 21, SB significantly increased the relative abundance of *Peptostreptococcaceae*- and *Lactobacillales*-related OTUs, and decreased the relative abundance of *Sarcina*-related OTU (*P* < 0.05). In the colon (S12 Table), SB treatment significantly increased the relative abundance of *Prevotella* sp.*, *Bacteroides*-*, and Ruminococcaceae*-related OTUs on day 8, and decreased the relative abundance of *Peptostreptococcus*-related OTU on day 21.

Because MiSeq sequencing analysis can only reflect the relative abundance of bacteria, quantitative real-time PCR was used to determine the completed 16S rRNA gene copies of bacteria in the stomach, ileum, and colon of piglets. As shown in S2 Fig, SB treatment had no effect on the total numbers of bacteria in the stomach, ileum, and colon of piglets on days 8 and 21.

### Gene expression of inflammatory cytokines

On day 8, SB treatment significantly down-regulated the expression of pro-inflammatory genes *IL-6*, *IL-8*, and *IFN-γ*, and anti-inflammatory genes *IL-10* and *TGF-β* in the ileum of piglets (*P* < 0.05). There was no difference in the expression of genes *TNF-α* and *IL-1β* between two groups. The expression of the *HDAC1* gene in the SB group was lower than the control group (Fig 2A). On day 21, SB significantly down-regulated the expression of pro-inflammatory genes *IL-8*, *IFN-γ*, and *IL-1β* in the ileum of piglets (*P* < 0.05). No difference in the expression of the pro-inflammatory genes (*IL-6*, *TNF-α*, and *IL-18*) and anti-inflammatory genes (*IL-10* and *TGF-β*) was observed between two groups. SB had no effect on the expression of gene *HDAC1* at the age of 21 days (Fig 2B).

---

**Table 3. (Continued)**

| Genus                          | 8 d CO | 8 d SB | 21 d CO | 21 d SB |
|--------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| uncultured Ruminococcaceae     | 0.042±0.010 | 0.191±0.093 | 0.178±0.085 | 0.048±0.016 |
| Weissella                      | 0.001±0.001 | 0.086±0.041 | 0.038±0.024 | 0.438±0.410 |
| unclassified Lachnospiraceae    | 0.032±0.009 | 0.065±0.026 | 0.175±0.082 | 0.073±0.022 |
| unclassified Leptotrichiaceae   | 0.044±0.018 | 0.169±0.054* | 0.094±0.072 | 0.027±0.019 |
| unclassified Moraxelliaceae     | 0.028±0.011 | 0.156±0.106 | 0.014±0.003 | 0.023±0.013 |
| unclassified Neisseriaceae      | 0.016±0.007 | 0.054±0.017* | 0.046±0.025 | 0.042±0.031 |
| unclassified Porphyromonadaceae | 0.022±0.007 | 0.097±0.041 | 0.114±0.079 | 0.045±0.016 |
| unclassified Prevotellaceae     | 0.023±0.005 | 0.147±0.050* | 0.204±0.105 | 0.063±0.015 |

*Genera with relative abundances higher than 0.05% within total bacteria were sorted and showed in the table.  
* means the significantly difference (*P* < 0.05) between SB group and CO group.  
** means the significantly difference (*P* < 0.01) between SB group and CO group.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0162461.t003
Table 4. Relative abundance of microbial OTUs (percentage) in the stomach of piglets in the sodium butyrate (SB) and control (CO) groups (n = 5).

| OTUName | 8 d | 21 d | Annotation |
|---------|-----|------|------------|
|         | CO  | SB   | CO         | SB         |
| OTU655  | 12.88±0.898 | 25.90±14.85 | 19.91±9.958 | 51.86±4.510 |
| OTU792  | 24.69±2.643 | 24.95±3.902 | 11.82±2.184 | 22.47±1.509 |
| OTU13   | 19.68±4.168 | 3.55±1.070  | 7.67±3.800  | 3.25±0.600  |
| OTU379  | 0.149±0.035 | 0.496±0.169 | 0.345±0.139 | 0.753±0.281 |
| OTU527  | 4.94±0.453  | 0.77±0.176  | 4.02±0.984  | 5.59±0.238  |
| OTU535  | 3.96±0.341  | 0.68±0.176  | 3.21±0.746  | 3.98±0.243  |
| OTU581  | 0.139±0.069 | 1.03±0.591  | 0.367±0.129 | 0.198±0.087 |
| OTU806  | 0.087±0.041 | 0.287±0.194 | 2.17±0.616  | 2.35±1.118  |
| OTU842  | 0.427±0.184 | 0.32±0.251  | 4.44±1.044  | 0.93±0.242  |
| OTU235  | 0.273±0.272 | 0.347±0.206 | 0.413±0.246 | 0.98±0.401  |
| OTU301  | 0.092±0.033 | 0.54±0.254* | 0.24±0.103  | 0.28±0.094  |
| OTU820  | 4.07±1.757  | 7.21±3.334  | 1.86±1.811  | 2.68±0.230  |
| OTU266  | 0.286±0.203 | 0.336±0.082 | 0.183±0.098 | 0.202±0.047 |
| OTU616  | 0.001±0.001 | 0.086±0.041 | 0.047±0.028 | 0.54±0.510  |
| OTU431  | 0.158±0.095 | 0.29±0.070  | 0.15±0.070  | 0.22±0.069  |
| OTU145  | 0.082±0.034 | 0.14±0.098  | 0.09±0.038  | 0.12±0.055  |
| OTU47   | 0.078±0.028 | 0.51±0.212* | 0.146±0.049 | 0.25±0.103  |
| OTU622  | 0.071±0.003 | 0.18±0.089  | 0.04±0.022  | 0.48±0.406  |
| OTU457  | 0.27±0.068  | 1.05±0.384  | 0.239±0.124 | 0.17±0.066  |
| OTU249  | 0.017±0.007 | 0.24±0.020  | 0.165±0.070 | 0.41±0.160  |
| OTU316  | 0.011±0.001 | 0.37±0.094  | 0.076±0.038 | 0.30±0.162  |
| OTU678  | 0.011±0.004 | 0.16±0.094  | 0.156±0.134 | 0.03±0.019  |
| OTU76   | 0.11±0.073  | 0.16±0.064  | 0.04±0.028  | 0.08±0.028  |
| OTU573  | 0.21±0.035  | 0.62±0.388  | 0.259±0.064 | 0.24±0.079  |
| OTU699  | 0.03±0.022  | 0.10±0.051  | 0.03±0.012  | 0.20±0.143  |
| OTU315  | 0.013±0.005 | 0.14±0.098  | 0.08±0.034  | 0.19±0.121  |
| OTU303  | 1.48±0.561  | 0.82±0.445  | 0.36±0.274  | 0.36±0.274  |
| OTU317  | 0.011±0.004 | 0.09±0.055  | 0.07±0.037  | 0.18±0.117  |
| OTU551  | 0.069±0.047 | 0.46±0.039  | 0.21±0.103  | 0.21±0.063  |
| OTU441  | 0.098±0.048 | 0.169±0.043 | 0.16±0.096  | 0.16±0.066  |
| OTU46   | 0.04±0.018  | 0.14±0.082  | 0.11±0.037  | 0.17±0.046  |
| OTU462  | 0.046±0.008 | 0.17±0.064  | 0.27±0.133  | 0.14±0.078  |
| OTU722  | 0.05±0.019  | 0.40±0.189  | 0.15±0.059  | 0.16±0.015  |
| OTU664  | 0.001±0.001 | 0.08±0.072  | 0.02±0.022  | 0.13±0.123  |
| OTU310  | 0.04±0.011  | 0.17±0.039* | 0.35±0.206  | 0.13±0.059  |
| OTU709  | 0.011±0.006 | 0.04±0.019  | 0.02±0.010  | 0.12±0.093  |
| OTU252  | 0.10±0.094  | 0.06±0.023  | 0.01±0.001  | 0.12±0.091  |
| OTU424  | 0.21±0.071  | 1.30±0.775  | 0.06±0.029  | 0.12±0.074  |
| OTU120  | 1.28±0.463  | 0.53±0.222  | 0.21±0.112  | 0.12±0.068  |
| OTU386  | 0.01±0.001  | 0.34±0.026  | 0.01±0.010  | 0.12±0.116  |
| OTU125  | 0.01±0.005  | 0.10±0.075  | 0.03±0.029  | 0.11±0.075  |
| OTU763  | 0.04±0.014  | 0.08±0.043  | 0.03±0.006  | 0.08±0.032  |
| OTU350  | 0.03±0.036  | 0.02±0.017  | 0.01±0.010  | 0.09±0.092  |
| OTU187  | 0.10±0.038  | 0.53±0.224  | 0.14±0.091  | 0.07±0.025  |
| OTU302  | 0.00±0.000  | 0.08±0.055**| 0.01±0.004  | 0.09±0.056  |

(Continued)
Table 4. (Continued)

| OTUName | 8 d CO       | 8 d SB       | 21 d CO      | 21 d SB      | Annotation² |
|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| OTU217  | 0.009±0.004 | 0.013±0.010 | 0.020±0.007 | 0.074±0.063 | g_Howardella |
| OTU158  | 0.001±0.001 | 0.153±0.126 | 0.010±0.006 | 0.086±0.066 | g_Chryseobacterium |
| OTU239  | 0.001±0.001 | 0.040±0.020** | 0.011±0.009 | 0.086±0.073 | g_Corynebacterium |
| OTU474  | 0.019±0.005 | 0.064±0.023 | 0.031±0.018 | 0.067±0.030 | s_Globicatella_sp_canine_oral_taxon_218 |
| OTU202  | 0.094±0.042 | 0.214±0.072 | 0.136±0.070 | 0.066±0.016 | g_Fusobacterium |
| OTU579  | 0.000±0.000 | 0.001±0.001 | 0.000±0.000 | 0.076±0.049 | s_Lactobacillus_agilis |
| OTU632  | 0.064±0.038 | 0.016±0.007 | 0.236±0.220 | 0.058±0.033 | g_Lactobacillus |
| OTU667  | 0.001±0.001 | 0.012±0.010 | 0.035±0.034 | 0.072±0.034 | g_Globicatella |
| OTU92   | 0.001±0.001 | 0.002±0.001 | 0.028±0.012 | 0.057±0.035 | g_Streptococcus |
| OTU818  | 0.806±3.60 | 1.026±0.728 | 0.141±0.102 | 0.054±0.034 | g_Lactobacillus |
| OTU839  | 0.004±0.002 | 0.029±0.015 | 0.015±0.007 | 0.052±0.085 | g_Veillonella |
| OTU22   | 0.066±0.016 | 0.512±0.190 | 0.117±0.075 | 0.050±0.016 | s_Bergeyella_zoaelcum |
| OTU625  | 0.034±0.009 | 0.080±0.043 | 0.066±0.036 | 0.048±0.023 | g_Peptostreptococcus |
| OTU419  | 0.077±0.054 | 0.148±0.099 | 0.081±0.033 | 0.047±0.021 | p_Candidate_division_TM7 |
| OTU756  | 0.006±0.006 | 0.063±0.054 | 0.003±0.003 | 0.058±0.056 | s_Streptococcus_parvaeris |
| OTU10   | 0.004±0.004 | 0.341±0.334 | 0.036±0.008 | 0.045±0.024 | g_Leptotrichia |
| OTU85   | 0.022±0.007 | 0.097±0.041 | 0.113±0.079 | 0.045±0.016 | f_Porphyromonadaceae |
| OTU322  | 0.014±0.013 | 0.056±0.044 | 0.005±0.002 | 0.052±0.052 | s_Entercoccus_italicus |
| OTU427  | 0.000±0.000 | 0.007±0.007 | 0.046±0.020 | 0.051±0.023 | g_Moraxella |
| OTU26   | 0.000±0.000 | 0.000±0.000 | 0.093±0.023 | 0.048±0.031 | s_Bergeyella_zoaelcum |
| OTU598  | 0.025±0.010 | 0.175±0.079 | 0.021±0.005 | 0.037±0.012 | s_Streptococcus_thoraltensis_DSM_12221 |
| OTU611  | 0.048±0.043 | 0.078±0.034 | 0.084±0.033 | 0.032±0.010 | g_Porphyromonas |
| OTU692  | 0.020±0.008 | 0.079±0.024 | 0.016±0.005 | 0.030±0.021 | g_Gemella |
| OTU908  | 0.014±0.008 | 0.086±0.047 | 0.056±0.028 | 0.030±0.021 | s_Frvirgivula_sp_canine_oral_taxon_058 |
| OTU062  | 0.019±0.004 | 0.136±0.050 | 0.039±0.009 | 0.030±0.008 | f_Prevotellaceae |
| OTU700  | 0.048±0.015 | 0.111±0.063 | 0.124±0.101 | 0.030±0.017 | g_Leptotrichia |
| OTU229  | 0.019±0.006 | 0.091±0.048 | 0.015±0.002 | 0.033±0.013 | s_Streptococcus_pluranimal |
| OTU451  | 0.001±0.001 | 0.007±0.007 | 0.137±0.079 | 0.026±0.012 | f_Prevotellaceae |
| OTU184  | 0.000±0.000 | 0.001±0.001 | 0.109±0.073 | 0.025±0.011 | g_Bacteroides |
| OTU101  | 0.024±0.011 | 0.128±0.085 | 0.010±0.003 | 0.020±0.014 | f_Moraxellaceae |
| OTU68   | 0.004±0.002 | 0.296±0.277 | 0.018±0.012 | 0.024±0.015 | s_Lactobacillus_sp_KC45b |
| OTU32   | 0.000±0.000 | 0.079±0.076 | 0.429±0.427 | 0.021±0.021 | s_Clostridium_sp_ND2 |
| OTU524  | 0.018±0.005 | 0.129±0.056** | 0.097±0.078 | 0.020±0.015 | f_Leptotrichiaceae |
| OTU860  | 0.011±0.010 | 0.004±0.004 | 0.077±0.074 | 0.018±0.010 | s_Jelotgallicoccus_sp_M3I9B12 |
| OTU96   | 0.019±0.016 | 0.060±0.033 | 0.008±0.007 | 0.015±0.008 | g_Lactobacillus |
| OTU439  | 0.003±0.001 | 0.029±0.011* | 0.121±0.100 | 0.010±0.002 | g_Actinomyces |
| OTU178  | 0.004±0.004 | 0.004±0.003 | 0.279±0.271 | 0.011±0.006 | g_Nosocomicoccus |

¹OTUs with relative abundances higher than 0.05% within total bacteria were sorted and showed in the table.
²The consensus sequence of each OTU was annotated to the closest lineage using MOTHUR program against the SILVA 16S rRNA reference database.

s = species; g = genus; f = family; o = order.
* means the significantly difference (P < 0.05) between SB group and CO group.
** means the significantly difference (P < 0.01) between SB group and CO group.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0162461.004
Correlation between the microbial composition and inflammatory cytokine expression

A Pearson's correlation analysis was carried out to determine the relationships between microbial composition and inflammatory cytokine expression. Fig 3A showed that the relative mRNA expression of genes IL-10, TGF-β, IL-18, and IFN-γ was negatively correlated with the abundance of Actinobacillus minor-related OTU in the stomach. The abundance of Lactobacillus-related OTU was positively correlated with the expression of TGF-β. The abundance of Veillonella-related OTU was positively correlated with the expression of IL-1β. The abundance of Globicatella-related OTU was negatively correlated with the expression of IL-18. As shown in Fig 3B, the relative mRNA expression of IL-6, IL-8, and TGF-β was positively correlated with the Lactobacillales-related OTU in the ileum. The abundance of Sarcina was positively correlated with the expression of TNF-α, while the expression of IL-8 was negatively correlated with the abundance of Erysipelotrichaceae. Fig 3C shows that the expression of IL-8 was negatively correlated with the abundance of Prevotellaceae-related OTU in the colon. The expression of IL-10 was negatively correlated with the abundance of Ruminococcaceae- and Oscillobacter sp.-related OTUs. The expression of TGF-β was negatively correlated with the abundance of Ruminococcaceae-related OTU. The expression of TNF-α was negatively correlated with the abundance of Intestinimonas-, Subdoligranulum-, and Ruminococcaceae-related OTUs.

Discussion

Increasing evidence has demonstrated that early appropriate microbiota colonization could change the pattern of microbial composition as well as immunological maturation [35, 36]. In the present study, we investigated the effects of early intervention with SB on gut microbial composition and the expression of inflammatory cytokine in neonatal piglets. We found that SB treatment significantly increased the diversity of the stomach microbiota, and affected the gene expression of inflammatory cytokines in the ileum, but had low impact on the intestinal bacterial community.

Most studies today focus on the gut microbiota in the post-weaning pigs or growing pigs, whereas research on the effect of SB on microbial composition in neonatal piglets is limited. This is the first report of effects of sodium butyrate on gut microbiota in neonatal piglets using deep-sequencing methods. Previous study showed that SB supplement in the diet reduced the coliform count and increased the counts of Lactobacillus spp. in the ileum [12]. However, the present study revealed that oral administration of SB had no impact on the number of total bacteria as well as the abundance of most genera, both in the stomach, ileum, and colon. This is also inconsistent with the findings of a previous study where the butyrate perturbation had significant effect on microbial composition of rumen [37]. The inconsistent results may be due to the different methods for SB supplement or different animal models used in different studies.

SB had no effect on the numbers of total bacteria, while increased the richness estimators (ACE and Chao) of stomach, decreased the richness estimator (ACE) of ileum and significantly increased the richness estimator (Chao) and the diversity of microbiota in the colon on day 8, respectively, which means that the incoherent effects of SB may be primarily associated with the different segment of intestine. The findings are in line with a previous study where dietary SB decreased the ileal microbial diversity whereas increased the diversity in the colon of weaned piglets [38], which suggests that dietary SB may be benefit for the development of hindgut microbiota in piglets. At the age of 21 days, however, oral administration of SB had no effect on the diversity or composition of gut microbiota, which suggests that early intervention with SB may not have a long-term effect on gut microbiota in piglets.
Fig 2. Gene expression of inflammatory cytokines. The relative gene expression of inflammatory cytokines in the ileum of piglets in the sodium butyrate (SB) and control (CO) groups. The values were calculated relative to the expression of \( \beta \)-actin with formula 2-\( \Delta \Delta Ct \).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0162461.g002
The phyla Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes are known for a fermentative metabolism and degradation of polysaccharide, oligosaccharides, protein and amino acid [39, 40]. In the current study, SB decreased the abundance of Firmicutes and tended to increase the abundance of Bacteroidetes in the stomach, whereas the reverse result was observed in the colon, which suggests that the role of SB in modulating microbes is specific to different bacterial groups and gut segments.

Fig 3. Correlation analysis between microbiota composition and inflammatory cytokines. Correlation analysis between the relative abundance of microbiota (at the OTU level) in the stomach (A), ileum (B), and colon (C) affected by the sodium butyrate treatment and the gene expression of inflammatory cytokines in the ileum of piglets. The color is according to the Pearson correlation coefficient distribution; red presents significant positive correlation, blue represents significantly negative correlation.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0162461.g003

The phyla Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes are known for a fermentative metabolism and degradation of polysaccharide, oligosaccharides, protein and amino acid [39, 40]. In the current study, SB decreased the abundance of Firmicutes and tended to increase the abundance of Bacteroidetes in the stomach, whereas the reverse result was observed in the colon, which suggests that the role of SB in modulating microbes is specific to different bacterial groups and gut segments.
At the genus level, our study revealed the most predominant genus in the stomach to be classified as *Lactobacillus* at the age of 8 and 21 days, which is in consistent with a previous study [41]. It is well known that *Lactobacillus* has the properties including anti-inflammatory and anti-bacterial activities. A previous study demonstrated that *Lactobacillus* plays a protective role by producing compounds such as hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) and lactic acid which inhibit the growth of potential pathogens [41]. In this study, SB significantly decreased the abundance of *Lactobacillus* on day 8 in the stomach and ileum, which may not play negative role in the gut health since the microbial diversity was increased by SB treatment, and *Lactobacillus* was still the most predominant genus. Unlike the stomach, SB had very low impact on the microbial composition both in ileum and colon, the possible reason is that SB may be partly absorbed by the stomach [42], in addition, the individual variation in response to SB treatment was found, and the low replicates (five) used in this study may also impair the statistical significance. Of note, SB significantly increased the relative abundance of *Prevotella* sp. in the colon, which is in accordance with the previous study where a positive correlation between *Prevotella* spp. and butyrate was found [43].

In recent years, butyrate has become a promising agent to treat colonic inflammation due to its capacities of antibacterial [44] and anti-inflammatory [11, 45]. It was reported that butyrate decreased the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokine mRNA in Crohn’s disease patients. Chang et al. performed assay in the bone marrow-derived macrophages and found that butyrate decreased the pro-inflammatory cytokines expression through inhibition of histone deacetylases [45]. As showed by Miseq sequencing, SB had no effect on the bacterial composition in the ileum, thus it is supposed that the role of butyrate in regulating immune is mainly because it can act on cells via inhibition of HDAC and controls the acetylation state of histones, and modulates the transcription of several genes. In the present study, we found that SB decreased the expression of most detected pro-inflammatory cytokines and HDAC1, which is in agreement with previous studies.

Zhang et al. found that butyrate at a 2 mmol/l decreased the expression of *IL-6* and *TNF-α* in cultured murine bone marrow-derived mast cells stimulated with TNP-BSA [46]. Also, butyrate decreased the expression level of *IL-6* in the colon organ cultures stimulated with dextran sulphate sodium [47]. Similarly, our in vivo study also found that oral administration of SB decreased the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines *IL-6, IL-8, IL-18, and IFN-γ*, however, no change in *TNF-α* was found on days 8 and 21. Correlation analysis in the study showed that the expression of *IL-6* and *IL-8* was positively related to the Lactobacillales-related OTU in the ileum, however, previous studies found that dietary SB increased the count of *Lactobacillus* and inhibited the pathogens such as *Escherichia coli*, which eventually plays benefit roles in maintaining the normal mucosal immunity [12, 48]. Our results indicate that the role of early intervention with SB in regulating immune is mainly via inhibiting the activity of HDAC and modulating the transcription of downstream genes rather than changing the microbial composition. The pro-inflammatory cytokines *IL-1β* and *IL-18* were produced when the host was infected by the pathogens [47]. There is increasing evidence that *IL-18* plays a key role in Th1-mediated immune responses [49]. Tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) is a pro-inflammatory cytokine that is secreted when the host was infected by pathogens and rapidly released by mast cells after degranulation [50, 51]. It has been reported that TNF-α was produced by activation of NF-κB [52]. Previous study showed that butyrate decreased the expression of TNF-α in intestinal biopsy specimens and isolated lamina propria cells from Crohn’s disease while had no effect on the level for TNF-α in the normal person [11]. Similarly, Vinolo et al. showed that butyrate at a 1.6 mmol/l decreased the expression of *TNF-α* mRNA in lipopolysaccharide-stimulated neutrophils [53]. The inconsistent results between our study and
previous studies may be explained that the piglets we used in this study kept health during the whole experimental period.

IL-10 produced by regulatory T lymphocytes, monocytes, and macrophages mainly inhibits the production of Th1 cytokines [54] and inflammatory cytokines, such as TNF-α and IFN-γ [55, 56]. Previous study showed that butyrate had the ability to facilitate extrathymic generation of regulatory T cells [57]. IFN-γ is produced by T helper-type 1 (Th1) cells, there are increasing evidences that butyrate has anti-inflammatory properties due to inhibitory on lymphocyte proliferation [58, 59], which is inconsistent with our results that butyrate decreased the gene expression of both IFN-γ and IL-10. A possible reason is that the piglets were under the low level of pathogenic stress during the suckling period. To fully understand the mechanism, in vivo pathogenic challenge model is needed in further studies.

In conclusion, this study showed that early intervention with SB significantly increased the diversity of the microbiota in the stomach and colon, and affected the gene expression of inflammatory cytokines, but had low impact on intestinal bacterial community. The results suggest that oral administration of SB may have a potential benefit role in the health of neonatal piglets.
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