Predictors of rheumatic fever in sore throat patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis
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Background: Concerns about rheumatic fever (RF) drive antibiotic prescriptions for sore throat (ST) in endemic areas. Better guidance is needed on which patients are likely to develop RF in order to avoid misuse and overuse of antibiotics. Our aim was to identify predictive factors for RF in ST patients.

Methods: Multiple databases were searched to identify cohort, case–control, cross-sectional or randomised controlled trials that measured RF incidence in ST patients. An inverse variance random effects model was used to pool the data and calculate odds ratios (ORs).

Results: Seven studies with a total of 6890 participants were included: three RCTs and four observational studies. Factors significantly associated with RF development following ST were positive group A streptococcal (GAS) swab (OR 1.74 [95% confidence interval {CI} 1.13 to 2.69]), previous RF history (OR 13.22 [95% CI 4.86 to 35.93]) and a cardiac murmur (OR 3.55 [95% CI 1.81 to 6.94]). Many potential risk factors were not reported in any of the included studies, highlighting important evidence gaps.

Conclusions: ST patients in endemic areas with a positive GAS swab, previous RF history and a cardiac murmur are at increased risk of developing RF. This review identifies vital gaps in our knowledge of factors predicting RF development in ST patients. Further research is needed to develop better clinical prediction tools and rationalise antibiotic use for ST.
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Introduction

Rheumatic fever (RF) is an autoimmune disease triggered by group A streptococcal (GAS) pharyngitis that can affect multiple systems including the joints and cardiac tissue. It is thought that both genetic and environmental factors play a role in RF susceptibility, although these factors remain virtually unknown.

The immune response against the M protein component of GAS leads to the production of autoreactive antibodies and T cells that cross-react with host tissues such as human cardiac myosin, tropomyosin and laminin, leading to rheumatic heart disease (RHD).

Anti-endothelial cell autoantibodies, which infiltrate the valve surface endothelium, are thought to play a prominent role in cardiac tissue damage in RHD.

RHD is the leading cause of heart failure in children and young adults living in low-income countries. Much like GAS pharyngitis and RF, RHD onset peaks between the ages of 5 and 15 y. Around 60% of those with RF in endemic communities will subsequently develop RHD.

Both RF and RHD are now less common in developed countries but continue to be seen in indigenous communities and during outbreaks, where RF incidence rates of 38 per 10 000 population have been reported. In 2015, RHD was estimated to affect 33.4 million people and resulted in 319 400 deaths through mechanisms such as heart failure, fatal arrhythmias, embolic events (such as stroke) and infective endocarditis, with the majority of these deaths occurring in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs).

No treatment has been shown to alter the progression of RF to RHD and therefore appropriate antibiotic treatment within 9 d of onset of GAS pharyngitis is needed to prevent RF. For this to be successful, GAS must be completely eradicated from the pharynx. A Cochrane review on antibiotics for sore throat (ST) found that antibiotics reduced RF by more than two-thirds of cases.
within 1 month (risk ratio 0.27 [95% confidence interval (CI) 0.12 to 0.60]).\textsuperscript{22}

However, the risk of RF is not linked to the severity of ST\textsuperscript{23} and currently there is little guidance to predict which children with sore throat are at greatest risk of RF. In some countries with high incidence of RF all children presenting with ST may be treated with an antibiotic,\textsuperscript{24} contributing to the ever-increasing antibiotic-resistance crisis.\textsuperscript{25,26} In the UK, the FeverPAIN and Centor scores are recommended to guide antibiotic prescribing.\textsuperscript{27} However, these scores cannot predict all complications or be relied upon for a precise diagnosis.\textsuperscript{28} The risk–benefit of using such a score could therefore be different in LMICs.

This systematic review aims to identify predictive factors for RF development in patients presenting with a ST, which could form the basis of a clinical prediction tool to inform, and subsequently decrease, antibiotic prescribing.

Methods
A review protocol was registered on PROSPERO (registration CRD42019157174). Electronic searches were conducted in MEDLINE, Embase, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature and Open Grey from inception to September 2019 (see the Supplementary Materials). No language or date restrictions were applied. Experts in the field were contacted for suggestions of any relevant studies. Our search results were checked to ensure these studies were captured by our search terms. Forward and backward citation searching was carried out.

Inclusion criteria
We included studies that followed up patients presenting with ST (including those with tonsillitis and GAS pharyngitis) and documented which of them developed RF or RHD.

We searched for predictors or risk factors for RF/RHD development (signs, symptoms and sociodemographic factors) after ST, excluding those that cannot easily be detected in a low-income setting (e.g. specific genes or molecular markers).

We included primary cohort, case–control, clinical trials and cross-sectional studies, but excluded animal studies, case series, case studies, case reports, practice guidelines, cost-effectiveness analyses and systematic reviews.

Study selection
Title, abstract and full-text screening were carried out by two independent reviewers in Rayyan,\textsuperscript{29} with a third reviewer resolving any disagreements.

Data collection
A data extraction spreadsheet was developed in Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA) and piloted with three randomly selected studies. One reviewer extracted data from all the studies, with another reviewer checking the data extraction prior to analyses to ensure accuracy.

Study quality appraisal and risk of bias
The quality of the case–control studies was assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale.\textsuperscript{30} The quality of cross-sectional studies was assessed using the AXIS tool.\textsuperscript{31} The quality of controlled trials was assessed using the Cochrane Risk of Bias 2 tool.\textsuperscript{32} The quality of each study was assessed independently by two reviewers.

Data synthesis
Meta-analysis was performed using RevMan.\textsuperscript{33} Odds ratios (ORs) were used for the analysis, calculated from the observed number of events reported and totals. Substantial heterogeneity ($I^2$ statistic $>50\%$) between studies was anticipated, so meta-analysis was performed using inverse variance and a random effects model.

In some studies, data for certain factors in one or more patients was missing. These patients were excluded from meta-analysis of the corresponding factor. Studies were only included in forest plots if the corresponding risk factor was not an inclusion/exclusion criterion in that study and the presence of the risk factor was reported in both ST and RF patients.

Where meta-analysis was not possible, due to differences in reporting and/or different factors measured across the studies, the results were described narratively.

Results
Database searching identified 4040 articles (Figure 1) and 1820 duplicates were removed, leaving 2220 articles for title and abstract screening, of which 63 were selected for full-text screening. A further 20 articles were identified through forward and backward citation searching and contacting experts in the field, so a total of 83 articles underwent full-text screening. A total of 75 articles were excluded during full-text screening, most commonly because they included asymptomatic GAS carriers and/or RF patients rather than ST patients (38 articles) or because risk factors of interest, such as sociodemographic information and clinical signs and symptoms, were not reported (18 articles).

Seven studies (reported in eight articles) were included in this review with a total of 6890 participants (Table 1): three cross-sectional studies,\textsuperscript{35–37} one case–control study (published in two separate papers),\textsuperscript{38,39} and three randomised controlled trials (RCTs).\textsuperscript{40–42} All seven studies included populations of ST and RF patients, but only one\textsuperscript{35} also included RHD patients.

The RCTs, comparing different treatment schedules of antibiotics with no treatment for exudative tonsillitis or pharyngitis, were conducted on airmen from a US Air Force base in the 1950s.\textsuperscript{40–42} These three studies had much larger sample sizes, ranging from 986 participants to 2340, than the other studies included in this review, with sample sizes ranging from 88 to 945. The cross-sectional studies were based in hospitals in Ethiopia, Fiji and Kyrgyzstan.\textsuperscript{35–37} The case–control study recruited patients from a national RF referral centre in Bangladesh.\textsuperscript{38,39}

All but one\textsuperscript{36} of the studies diagnosed RF using the Jones or Revised Jones Criteria—the gold standard for RF diagnosis.\textsuperscript{53}
Quality assessment results

Overall, one study (two articles) was judged to be of high quality, five of moderate quality and one of low quality.

All three RCTs were classified as having ‘some concerns’ (Table 2) because the randomisation process (via Air Force serial number) was unlikely to allow for allocation concealment, producing a risk of selection bias. Follow-up was achieved for 80–92% of participants, but this falls below the threshold of 95% and there was no information given about participants lost to follow-up. There was no statistical analysis plan. Incidental data were reported for patients who presented with RF after the study period ended, making the standard/quality of evidence questionable.

Two cross-sectional studies were of moderate quality and one was of low quality (Table 3); these were conducted in national referral hospitals, so the population is not representative of patients seen in primary care. The study in Kyrgyzstan did not clearly explain how patients were selected and did not explain how RF was defined. In the Ethiopian study, the RF and RHD patients were not all derived from a population of patients presenting with ST. In the Fijian paper, the aims and study design were not described clearly and the population was not ideal, with ST patients being children (ages 3–16 y) and RF patients were an entirely separate population with ages to 35 y. None presented a sample size calculation.

The case–control study was of high overall quality (Table 4).

Factors that predict RF

Risk factors that predict the development of RF after an ST are a positive swab for GAS (Figure 2.1; OR 1.74 [95% CI 1.13 to 2.69], p=0.01); a previous history of RF (Figure 2.2; OR 13.22 [95% CI 4.86 to 35.93], p<0.000 01); and the presence of a cardiac murmur upon presentation.

---

Figure 1. PRISMA flowchart.
Table 1. Characteristics of studies included in this review

| Study          | Country       | Study design                      | Total number | Age (years), mean (range) | Setting                        | Clinical condition               | Study aims                                                                 | Length of follow-up |
|----------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Wannamaker et al. | USA           | RCT of three dosages of procaine penicillin vs no treatment | 2340         | 20 (17–27)                | US Air Force base hospital    | Exudative tonsillitis or pharyngitis | Determine effect of treatment on the incidence of RF, the streptococcal carrier state and the immunologic response of the host | Planned: 3–5 weeks Selective: up to 24 weeks |
| Houser et al.    | USA           | Randomised controlled trial of three treatment schedules of aureomycin vs no treatment | 2044         | 20 (17–32)                | US Air Force base hospital    | Exudative tonsillitis or pharyngitis | Determine effect of treatment on the incidence of RF                           | Planned: 3–5 weeks Selective: up to 15 weeks |
| Catanzaro et al. | USA           | RCT of three treatment schedules of oxytetracycline vs no treatment | 986          | 20 (17–27)                | US Air Force base hospital    | Exudative tonsillitis or pharyngitis | Determine effect of treatment on the incidence of RF                           | Planned: 3–5 weeks Selective: up to 29 weeks |
| Negus           | Western Fiji  | Cross-sectional                    | 945 (180 RF, 765 acute tonsillitis) | 3–16 for acute tonsillitis; any age for RF | Hospital and Indian primary schools | Diagnosed RF patients and children with acute tonsillitis                  | To investigate the sex inequality of RF in Indians living in Fiji with particular reference to environmental factors | N/A                  |
| Tewodros et al. | Ethiopia       | Cross-sectional                    | 211 (143 tonsillitis, 24 RF, 44 RHD) | 8 (3–16); tonsillitis: 6.9 (3–8); RF: 9.5 (4–14); RHD: 10.4 (5–14) | Children’s Hospital | Diagnosed tonsillitis, RF or RHD                                      | Determine the prevalence of pharyngeal beta-haemolytic streptococci     | N/A                  |
| Zaman et al.    | Bangladesh     | Case-control study                 | 164 (60 cases, 104 controls)       | 11.4 (5–20)                | Patients from a national RF referral centre | Patients with or without RF, most of which had antecedent pharyngitis | Assess the association between nutritional factors and RF                    | N/A                  |
|                |               |                                   | 88 (44 cases and 44 age- and sex-matched controls) | 12.5 (5–20) | Subset of patients from part A cohort in whom fasting convalescent blood samples were taken | Patients with or without RF, most of which had antecedent pharyngitis | Assess the association between serum albumin concentration and body iron stores and RF | N/A                  |
| Study                  | Country      | Study design | Total number | Age (years), mean (range) | Setting               | Clinical condition          | Study aims                                                                 | Length of follow-up |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Omurzakova et al.     | Kyrgyzstan   | Cross-sectional | 200          | 11 (3–17)                 | Children's Hospital    | Tonsillitis or pharyngitis patients, 51 of whom had RF | Determine streptococcal carriage rate while comparing two methods of GAS detection, and the susceptibility of discovered GAS to different groups of antibiotics | N/A                 |

Supplementary information:

- In the three studies in hospitalised airmen it was only possible to calculate the age range and the mean for the patients with RF, not the overall population. 
- In the three studies in hospitalised airmen, the authors had planned to follow up patients after 3–5 weeks, but they also reported RF occurrences up to 29 weeks after the start of the study (presumably patients who had been included in the study that happened to re-present to the clinic with RF).
- This study included 3369 children with upper respiratory tract infections, but not all of these patients fit the inclusion criteria for the review (e.g. those with bronchitis). Therefore we only included the 765 patients with acute tonsillitis that we were certain fit our inclusion criteria.
- This study included a total of 816 participants, but only 211 of them fit the inclusion criteria for this review.
Table 2. Quality assessment of RCTs using the Cochrane RoB 2 tool.

| Study ID          | Deviations from intended interventions | Measurement of the outcomes | Selection of the reported results | Overall risk of bias |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Houser et al 1953 | ⬤                                      | ⬤                          | ⬤                                | ⬤                   |
| Catanzaro et al 1955 | ⬤                                      | ⬤                          | ⬤                                | ⬤                   |
| Wannamaker et al 1951 | ⬤                                      | ⬤                          | ⬤                                | ⬤                   |

= high quality/low risk of bias
= moderate quality/risk of bias
= low quality/high risk of bias

Table 2. Quality assessment of RCTs using the Cochrane RoB 2 tool.40–42

One study also included 44 RHD patients.35 The comparison between ST and RHD populations showed that sex was not significant for predicting RHD after ST (OR 1.01 [95% CI 0.52 to 1.99]).

Factors with insufficient data

The meta-analysis suggests that an elevated white cell count (WCC; ≥ 12 000/mm³)44 upon presentation possibly increases the risk of RF development after ST, but the CI does not exclude no effect (Figure 2.5; OR 1.27 [95% CI 0.85 to 1.91], p=0.25). This may represent a lack of power in the studies included in this meta-analysis and a larger, high-quality study may be able to define the risk of an elevated WCC in the development of RF.

One study42 reported a family history of RF and suggests that it may predispose to RF development, but this was not statistically significant (OR 2.16 [95% CI 0.80 to 5.79], p=0.13).

Differences in the reporting of age across articles meant that meta-analysis was not possible.

Social factors

Data on race or ethnicity was only reported in two studies. One categorised participants as ‘Russian’ or ‘Kyrgyz’, but too few Russian participants were identified to make any meaningful comparisons between the two groups.36 The other reported whether RF patients were Fijian or Indian, but did not report this in the ST population, meaning the two groups could not be compared.37

One study35 reported that most of the participants with RF (70.8%) lived in crowded conditions and found crowding to be significant in univariate analysis (p=0.005), but data on crowding in the patients without RF were not reported.

Clinical factors

We searched for data on other clinical signs and symptoms, including tachycardia, tachypnoea, FeverPAIN score, Centor score, C-reactive protein level, pre-existing heart disease and any symptoms suggesting viral infection (e.g. cough or coryza), but these were not reported in any of the included studies (Table 5).
Table 3. Quality assessment results of cross-sectional studies using the AXIS tool

| Study ID       | Clear Aims and Objectives | Study Design appropriate? | Target population clearly defined? | Appropriate risk factors and outcomes? | Measurement of Outcomes | Statistical Analysis | Methods described in detail? | Basic data adequately described? | Non-response bias? | Internally consistent results? | Results of analyses presented? | Conclusions justified by results? | Limitations discussed? | Conflicts of interest? | Ethical approval and consent? | Overall Quality |
|---------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Negus et al   | NA                       | NA                       | NA                                | NA                                    | NA                     | NA                  | NA                     | NA                              | No             | Yes                         | Yes                    | Yes                      | Yes                      | No                      | No                      | Medium/Low Risk of bias |
| 1971          |                           |                           |                                   |                                       |                        |                     |                        |                                 |                 |                             |                        |                         |                          |                         |                         |                       |
| Tewodros et al| NA                       | NA                       | NA                                | NA                                    | NA                     | NA                  | NA                     | NA                              | No             | Yes                         | Yes                    | Yes                      | Yes                      | No                      | No                      | High/Low Risk of bias   |
| 1992          |                           |                           |                                   |                                       |                        |                     |                        |                                 |                 |                             |                        |                         |                          |                         |                         |                       |
| Omurzakov et al 2010 | NA               | NA                       | NA                                | NA                                    | NA                     | NA                  | NA                     | NA                              | No             | Yes                         | Yes                    | Yes                      | Yes                      | No                      | No                      | High/Low Risk of bias   |

- = high quality/low risk of bias
- = moderate quality/risk of bias
- = low quality/high risk of bias

Discussion

Summary of findings and comparison with existing literature

This study has demonstrated that there have been no recent good-quality studies on risk factors predicting the risk of RF or RHD in patients with ST. There have been no studies to determine whether scores such as FeverPAIN, developed in high-income countries, are applicable in LMICs and can safely detect which patients with ST do not need antibiotics.

The limited evidence we found is mainly based on trials in adult men in the 1950s. These suggest that a positive GAS swab increased the risk of RF about 2-fold. A previous history of RF increased the risk of subsequent episodes of RF 10-fold. The presence of a cardiac murmur at presentation increased the risk of subsequent RF about 3.5-fold.

The studies that identified cardiac murmurs as a risk factor were all in adults and predated the use of echocardiography as part of the diagnosis of RF. Cardiac murmurs in adults are almost always associated with a disease and suggest underlying damage to cardiac valvular tissue. However, many febrile children have a non-pathological heart murmur, so this may not predict RF development in children. Furthermore, auscultation for a pathological murmur has been the traditional approach for RHD screening, but it is not as effective as the current gold standard of echocardiography. Using echocardiography instead, 10 times more cases of RHD could be detected, which may further increase the significance of this factor.

Long-term prophylactic antibiotics are commonly used in children with RHD to stop worsening of the heart disease with subsequent episodes. Despite this, we could not identify any articles that discussed previous heart disease as a risk factor.

Our results support the suggestion of the current literature that RF is equally common in both males and females. A recent high-quality review states that the ‘risk of RF in an individual with a family history of RHD is nearly fivefold higher than that in an individual with no family history of RHD’. This suggests that in future research the factor that should be assessed in ST patients for its significance in RF development is a family history of RHD rather than RF.

Crowding and low socio-economic status are associated with an increased risk of GAS infection, RF and RHD. Factors such as
crowding, malnutrition, low household income and no access to tap water in the home are used as a proxy for structural poverty and low socio-economic state. Each one of these proxy values may be measured in different ways. For example, one study measured crowding using ‘number of siblings’ and ‘number of rooms’, but another used ‘family size’ and ‘number of persons sharing a bedroom with the subject’. Future research should measure poverty/socio-economic state using standard tools to allow for comparisons between results, such as the global Multi-dimensional Poverty Index.

**Strengths and limitations**

There are currently no other published reviews comparing ST patients who developed RF with ST patients who did not develop RF. Other articles that look at characteristics of RF patients alone, or compared with healthy controls, may be identifying risk factors for GAS pharyngitis rather than RF.

The review adhered to the methods of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines, the literature search was thorough, no language restrictions were applied and translations were acquired for all full-text foreign language articles.

Double screening, data extraction and quality assessment were carried out by two independent reviewers to minimise bias, with a third acting as arbiter to resolve any disagreements. Only one low-quality study was included in this review and a sensitivity analysis was performed on the meta-analysis that included this study, increasing the certainty of the results.

Three of the studies included in the review were conducted in the same location, using similar methods, within the same study period had ended, reducing the overall quality of the studies also reported incidental data on RF development after ST. These studies also reported incidental data on RF development after ST patients.

**Implications for policy and practice**

The Jones Criteria for the diagnosis of RF were revised in 2015 to include separate criteria for low-risk (those that ‘come from a setting or population known to experience low rates of RF or RHD’) and moderate- to high-risk populations (those that are ‘not clearly from a low-risk population’). However, this does not identify the risk at an individual level. Guidelines defining those at high risk could be updated to include specific factors that have been found to be significant for the development of RF after ST, in particular a previous history of RF and the presence of a cardiac murmur in adults.

**Priorities for further research**

There is plenty of research that compares RF patients to a healthy population or compares patients with RHD to those with RF, as evidenced by a good quality systematic review conducted in 2015 that includes 91 individual studies. However, there is insufficient research looking at the risk of RF and RHD in patients presenting with STs in order to guide antibiotic prescribing in settings where RHD is still prevalent. For example, overcrowding may increase the likelihood that an individual gets an ST but may not make them more likely to develop RF once an ST sore throat has occurred.
Table 5. Risk factors sought vs risk factors found in the included studies35–42

| Risk Factors Sought | Wannamaker et al. 195140 | Houser et al. 195341 | Catanzaro et al. 195542 | Negus et al. 197137 | Tewodros et al. 199236 | Zaman et al. 1998 Part A38 | Zaman et al. 1998 Part B39 | Omurzakova et al. 202136 |
|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Age of the patient  | Yes                      | Yes                  | Yes                     | Yes                  | Yes                      | Yes                         | Yes                      | Yes                       |
| (could not be analysed due to differences in reporting) | | | | | | | | |
| Sex of the patient | Yes (all male)           | Yes (all male)       | Yes (all male)          | Yes                  | Yes                      | Yes                         | Yes                      | Yes                       |
| Race or Ethnicity of the patient | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | No | Yes |
| The number of previous episodes of severe sore throat or tonsillitis | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Presence of recurrent tonsillitis | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Presence of fever (>38°C) | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | No |
| Presence of tonsillar exudate | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No | No | No |
| Presence of lymphadenopathy | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Presence of Cough | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Presence of Severely inflamed tonsils | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Attended within 3 days after onset of symptoms | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Presence of tachypnoea | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Presence of tachycardia | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Symptoms suggesting viral infection (e.g. cough or coryza) | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Socio-economic status | No | No | No | No | Yes (measured by access to running water and crowding) | No | No | No |
| Nutritional Status (malnutrition) | No | No | No | No | No | Yes (measured by upper arm circumference and dietary intake of eggs) | No | No |
| Educational status of patient | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Educational status of parent(s) | No | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | No |
| Geographical area (e.g. urban or rural) | No | No | No | No | No | Yes | No | No |
| C-reactive protein level (via a point of care test) | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| White blood cell count | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | No |
| Results from throat swabs | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | Yes |
| Co-infection e.g. with intestinal helminths | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Previous history of rheumatic fever | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | No |
| Family history of rheumatic fever | No | No | Yes | No | No | No | No | No |
| Presence of a cardiac murmur on presentation | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | No |
| Pre-existing heart disease | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
Overall, more research needs to be conducted that follows up ST patients in LMIC primary care settings to identify those at a higher risk of developing RF or RHD. Researchers should aim to include a range of ages, ethnicities and both male and female participants. Factors that may also be explored include patients' previous history of RF or recurrent tonsillitis; family history of RHD; clinical symptoms and signs such as cough, coryza, joint pains, fever, severe inflammation of tonsils, purulent exudate and cardiac murmur; clinical scores (such as FeverPAIN) and point-of-care tests such as C-reactive protein level and rapid diagnostic tests for malaria (in malaria-endemic countries). Existing scores such as FeverPAIN could be evaluated and if necessary adapted to predict the risk of RF and to guide antibiotic prescription for ST in LMICs. This should be as sensitive as possible, so as not to miss any potential cases of RF, but also as specific as possible in order to reduce inappropriate use of antibiotics.
Conclusions

This review highlights an important gap in the evidence. There are no recent data on primary care populations with ST in LMICs to identify which individuals are at risk of developing RF or RHD to inform guidelines on antibiotic prescription.

This review suggests that factors significantly associated with the development of RF following ST are a positive GAS test, a previous history of RF and the presence of a cardiac murmur at presentation (in adults).

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at Transactions online.

Authors’ contributions: EK and MW conceived the study and designed the study protocol. EK carried out the database searches. All authors were involved in double screening, quality assessment and data extraction. EK and BS were responsible for the statistical analysis and interpretation of the data. EK drafted the manuscript. MW and BS critically revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Paula Sands and Tom Wake, librarians at the Health Services Library, Southampton General Hospital, for their help in conducting the literature searches. We would also like to thank Prof. Paul Little and Prof. Nick Francis for their feedback on an earlier draft of this systematic review.

Funding: None. MLW’s salary was funded by the National Institute of Health Research under grant CL-2016-26-005.

Competing interests: None declared.

Ethical approval: Not required.

Data availability: The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article [and/or] its supplementary materials.

References

1 Shivakumarswamy UJ, Sinhasan SP, Purushotham R, et al. “MacCallum plaque of the heart”: a medicolegal case. Heart Views. 2010;11(2):71–3.
2 Bryant PA, Robins-Browne R, Carapetis JR, et al. Some of the people, some of the time: susceptibility to acute rheumatic fever. Circulation. 2009;119(5):742–53.
3 Bryant PA, Smyth GK, Gooding T, et al. Susceptibility to acute rheumatic fever based on differential expression of genes involved in cytotoxicity, chemotaxis, and apoptosis. Infect Immun. 2014;82(2):753–61.
4 Cunningham MW. Pathogenesis of group A streptococcal infections. Clin Microbial Rev. 2000;13(3):470–511.
5 Kirvan CA, Galvin JE, Hilt S, et al. Identification of streptococcal M-protein cardiopathogenic epitopes in experimental autoimmune valvulitis. J Cardiovasc Transl Res. 2014;7(2):172–81.
6 Narula J, Chandrasekhar Y, Rahimtoola S. Diagnosis of active rheumatic carditis. The echoes of change. Circulation. 1999;100(14):1576–81.
7 Tontsch D, Pankuweit S, Maisch B. Autoantibodies in the sera of patients with rheumatic heart disease: characterization of myocardial antigens by two-dimensional immunoblotting and N-terminal sequence analysis. Clin Exp Immunol. 2000;121(2):270–4.
8 Scalzi V, Hadi HA, Alessandri C, et al. Anti-endothelial cell antibodies in rheumatic heart disease. Clin Exp Immunol. 2010;161(3):570–5.
9 Marjon E, Mirabel M, Celermajer DS, et al. Rheumatic heart disease. Lancet. 2012;379(9819):953–64.
10 Zuhlike LJ, Beaton A, Engel ME, et al. Group A streptococcus, acute rheumatic fever and rheumatic heart disease: epidemiology and clinical considerations. Curr Treat Options Cardiovasc Med. 2017;19(2):15.
11 Al-Jazairi A, Al-Jaser R, Al-Halees Z, et al. Guidelines for the secondary prevention of rheumatic heart disease: endorsed by Saudi Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society (SPIDS). Int J Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2017;4(1):47–50.
12 McDonald M, Currie BJ, Carapetis JR. Acute rheumatic fever: a chink in the chain that links the heart to the throat? Lancet Infect Dis. 2004;4(4):240–5.
13 Seckeler MD, Hoke TR. The worldwide epidemiology of acute rheumatic fever and rheumatic heart disease. Clin Epidemiol. 2011;3:67–84.
14 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Sore throat (acute): antimicrobial prescribing. NG84. London: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence; 2018.
15 Steer A, Gibofsky A. Acute rheumatic fever: epidemiology and pathogenesis. Available from: https://www.uptodate.com/contents/acute-rheumatic-fever-epidemiology-and-pathogenesis [accessed 5 October 2021].
16 Watkins DA, Johnson CO, Colquhoun SM, et al. Global, regional, and national burden of rheumatic heart disease, 1990–2015. N Engl J Med. 2017;377(8):713–22.
17 Talwar KK, Gupta A. Predictors of mortality in chronic rheumatic heart disease. Indian J Med Res. 2016;144(3):311–3.
18 Parks T, Kado J, Miller AE, et al. Rheumatic heart disease-attributable mortality at ages 5–69 years in Fiji: a five-year, national, population-based record-linkage cohort study. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015;9(9):e0004033.
19 Webb R. Rheumatic fever. Available from: https://bestpractice.bmj.com/topics/en-us/404 [accessed 5 October 2021].
20 Gerber MA, Baltimore RS, Eaton CB, et al. Prevention of rheumatic fever and diagnosis and treatment of acute streptococcal pharyngitis: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Rheumatic Fever, Endocarditis, and Kawasaki Disease Committee of the Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young, the Interdisciplinary Council on Functional Genomics and Translational Biology, and the Interdisciplinary Council on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research: endorsed by the American Academy of Pediatrics. Circulation. 2009;119(11):1541–51.
21 Binotto M, Guilherme L, Tanaka A. Rheumatic fever. Images Paediatr Cardiol. 2002;4(2):12–31.
22 Spinks A, Glassiou PP, Del Mar CB. Antibiotics for sore throat. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013;11:CD000023.
Al-Hamad AM. Streptococcal throat. Therapeutic options and macrolide resistance. Saudi Med J. 2015;36(9):1128–9.

World Health Organization. WHO model prescribing information: drugs used in the treatment of streptococcal pharyngitis and prevention of rheumatic fever. Available from: http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/pdf/s2252e/s2252e.pdf [accessed 5 October 2021].

O’Neill J. Tackling drug-resistant infections globally: final report and recommendations. The review on antimicrobial resistance. Available from: https://amr-review.org/sites/default/files/160518_Final%20Paper_with%20Cover.pdf [accessed 5 October 2021].

Llor C, Bjerrum L. Antimicrobial resistance: risk associated with antibiotic overuse and initiatives to reduce the problem. Ther Adv Drug Saf. 2014;5(6):229–41.

Little P, Hobbs FD, Moore M, et al. Clinical score and rapid antigen detection test to guide antibiotic use for sore throats: randomised controlled trial of PRISM (primary care streptococcal management). BMJ. 2013;347:f5806.

Little P, Stuart B, Hobbs FD, et al. Predictors of suppurative complications for acute sore throat in primary care: prospective clinical cohort study. BMJ. 2013;347:f6867.

Rayyan – a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. Available from: https://rayyan.qcri.org/welcome.

Wells G, Wells BS, O’Connell D, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. Available from: http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp [accessed 5 October 2021].

Downes MJ, Brennan ML, Williams HC, et al. Development of a critical appraisal tool to assess the quality of cross-sectional studies (AXIS). BMJ Open. 2016;6(12):e011458.

Sterne JAC, Savovic J, Page MJ, et al. RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ. 2019;366:l4898.

Review Manager (RevMan). Version 5.3. Available from: https://community.cochrane.org/help/tools-and-software/revman-5 [accessed 5 October 2021].

Higgins JPT, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, et al. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ. 2003;327(7414):557–60.

Tewodros W, Muhe L, Daniel E, et al. A one-year study of streptococcal infections and their complications among Ethiopian children. Epidemiol Infect. 1992;109(2):211–25.

Omurzakova NA, Yamano Y, Saatova GM, et al. Prevalence of group A b-hemolytic streptococcus among children with tonsillopharyngitis in Kyrgyzstan: the difficulty of diagnostics and therapy. Open Rheumatol J. 2015;9:39–46.

Negus RM. Rheumatic fever in western Fiji: the female preponderance. Med J Aust. 1971;2(5):251–4.

Zaman MM, Yoshiike N, Chowdhury AH, et al. Nutritional factors associated with rheumatic fever. J Trop Pediatr. 1998;44(3):142–7.

Zaman MM, Yoshiike N, Rouf MA, et al. Association of rheumatic fever with serum albumin concentration and body iron stores in Bangladeshi children: case-control study. BMJ. 1998;317(7168):1287–8.

Wannamaker LW, Rammelkamp CH, Denny FW, et al. Prophylaxis of acute rheumatic fever by treatment of the preceding streptococcal infection with various amounts of depot penicil. Am J Med. 1951;10(6):673–95.

Houser HB, Eckhardt GC, Hahn EO, et al. Effect of auroemycin treatment of streptococcal sore throat on the streptococcal carrier state, the immunologic response of the host, and the incidence of acute rheumatic fever. Pediatrics. 1953;12(6):593–606.

Catanzaro FJ, Brock L, Chamovitz R, et al. Effect of oxytetracycline therapy of streptococcal sore throat on the incidence of acute rheumatic fever. Ann Intern Med. 1955;42(2):345–57.

Beaton A, Carapetis J. The 2015 revision of the Jones criteria for the diagnosis of acute rheumatic fever: implications for practice in low-income and middle-income countries. Heart Asia. 2015;7(2):7–11.

Dean L. Blood Groups and Red Cell Antigens. Bethesda, MD: National Center for Biotechnology Information; 2005. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK2263/table/ch1.T1/.

Thomas S, Makaryus A. Physiology, cardiovascular murmurs. Treasure Island, FL: StatPearls; 2019.

American Heart Association. Heart Murmurs and Valve Disease: What causes heart murmurs? Available from: https://www.heart.org/en/health-topics/heart-valve-problems-and-disease/heart-valve-problems-and-causes/heart-murmurs-and-valve-disease [accessed 5 October 2021].

Doshi AR. Innocent heart murmur. Cureus. 2018;10(12):e3689.

Dougherty S, Khorsandi M, Herbst P. Rheumatic heart disease screening: current concepts and challenges. Ann Pediatr Cardiol. 2017;10(1):39–49.

Reményi B, Wilson N, Steer A, et al. World Heart Federation criteria for echocardiographic diagnosis of rheumatic heart disease—an evidence-based guideline. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2012;9(5):297–309.

Marjon E, Ou P, Celemajer DS, et al. Prevalence of rheumatic heart disease detected by echocardiographic screening. N Engl J Med. 2007;357(5):470–6.

Beaton A, Okello E, Lwabi P, et al. Echocardiography screening for rheumatic heart disease in Ugandan schoolchildren. Circulation. 2012;125(25):3127–32.

Sika-Paotonu D, Beaton A, Raghu A, et al. Acute rheumatic fever and rheumatic heart disease. In: Streptococcus pyogenes: basic biology to clinical manifestations. Oklahoma City: University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center; 2016.

Szczylgielska I, Hermik E, Kolodziejczyk B, et al. Rheumatic fever – new diagnostic criteria. Reumatologia. 2018;56(1):37–41.

Muhamed B, Parks T, Sliwa K. Genetics of rheumatic fever and rheumatic heart disease. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2020;17(3):145–54.

Coffey PM, Ralph AP, Krause VL. The role of social determinants of health in the risk and prevention of group A streptococcal infection, acute rheumatic fever and rheumatic heart disease: a systematic review. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2018;12(6):e0006577.

Oxford Poverty and Human Development Initiative. Global Multidimensional Poverty Index. Available from: https://m GPI. oxford.edu/multidimensional-poverty-index/ [accessed 5 October 2021].

Liberati A, Altman D, Tetzlaff J, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. BMJ. 2009;339:b2700.

Gewitz MH, Baltimore RS, Tani LY, et al. Revision of the Jones Criteria for the diagnosis of acute rheumatic fever in the era of Doppler echocardiography: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2015;131(20):1806–18.