cAMP acts in synergy with E1A protein to activate transcription of the adenovirus early genes E4 and E1A
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The transcriptional control regions of several E1A-inducible adenovirus early genes contain sequences similar to the cAMP response element of several cellular cAMP-inducible genes. The cAMP-responsive cell line S49 was infected with wild-type adenovirus and found to contain elevated levels of mRNAs encoded by all early genes tested [E4, E1A, and E1B], following treatment with dibutyryl cAMP. This effect was at the level of transcriptional activation. The effect of cAMP on E4 and E1A transcription was greater in cells infected with wild-type virus than in cells infected with virus that lacked functional E1A proteins. cAMP in combination with E1A generated a greater induction than the product of the increases achieved by each inducer alone. Therefore, cAMP acted in synergy with E1A to induce maximally transcription of the E4 and E1A genes. These data suggest that E1A or E1A-stimulated events can interact functionally with targets of cAMP signaling in the cell to induce transcription of the adenovirus early genes.
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The E1A proteins of adenovirus are able to regulate transcription of a number of viral and cellular genes [for review, see Berk 1986]. This regulation takes the form of activation ([Berk et al. 1979; Jones and Shenk 1979a; Nevins 1981] or repression ([Borrelli et al. 1984; Hen et al. 1985; Velcich and Ziff 1985, Stein and Ziff 1987]), depending on the target gene. During adenovirus infection, the E1A gene is the first viral gene to be transcribed [Nevins et al. 1979]. At early times postinfection, E1A protein acts to stimulate transcription of the other adenovirus early genes, termed E1B, E2, E3, and E4, as well as the E1A gene itself [for review, see Berk 1986].

The early viral genes have been the most extensively studied targets of E1A transcriptional activation. The mechanism by which E1A stimulates transcription of these genes is not known. Their coordinate regulation implies that some common molecular events are involved, but analysis of the promoters of these genes has not led to a simple hypothesis pertaining to all of them. Evidence is growing that transcriptional control of the early genes is complex [for review, see Jones et al. 1988], and there may be several mechanisms by which E1A can activate transcription.

Conceivably, E1A could influence transcription by binding directly to DNA. E1A has been shown to associate nonspecifically with DNA in some assays [Ko et al. 1986; Chatterjee et al. 1988] but not in others [Ferguson et al. 1985]. Alternatively, E1A may serve to activate or modify cellular transcription factors that recognize specific sequences within the early gene promoters, possibly by interacting with these factors directly. E1A could also act by increasing the level of such factors in the cell [for review, see Berk 1986]. In the case of the adenovirus VAI gene, there is evidence for an increase in the activity and/or level of transcription of TFIIC, which stimulates RNA polymerase III transcription of VAI RNA in response to E1A protein [Hoeffler and Roeder 1985; Yoshinaga et al. 1986; Hoeffler et al. 1988]. Also, the binding of a factor termed E2F to DNA upstream of the E2 and E1A mRNA start sites is enhanced dramatically during adenovirus infection [Kovesdi et al. 1986a,b; S. Hardy and T. Shenk, in prep.].

cAMP response element binding protein (CREB) binds to a sequence termed the cAMP response element, or CRE [Montminy and Bilezikjian 1987]. Sequences that are very similar or identical to the core CRE sequence TGACGTCA are found in the promoters of the cAMP-inducible genes somatostatin [Montminy et al. 1986], tyrosine hydroxylase [Lewis et al. 1987], c-fos [Greenberg et al. 1985], phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase [PEPCK] [Short et al. 1986], and the α-subunit of human chorionic gonadotropin (α-hCG) [Silver et al. 1987]. Deletion mutagenesis of the somatostatin, PEPCK, and α-hCG genes has shown that domains necessary for cAMP-mediated induction of transcription contain a CRE, or CRE-like sequence [Montminy et al. 1986; Short et al. 1986; Silver et al. 1987]. CRE-like sequences
are also located in the promoters of the E1A, E2A, E3, and E4 genes, and these sites have been shown to bind CREB in vitro (Hardy and Shenk 1988). CREB is similar or identical to the factor ATF (activating transcription factor), which binds to the identical early gene promoter sites and can activate transcription of early gene templates in vitro (Hurst and Jones 1987; K.A.W. Lee et al. 1987; Lin and Green 1988).

The early genes have been studied extensively by mutational analysis of transfected plasmid DNAs containing early gene promoters. In general, mutations that give rise to a decrease in E1A-induced transcription also lead to a similar reduction in basal transcription (Berk 1986). This approach has revealed that CRE-like sequences are located within domains required for optimal expression of the E2, E3, and E4 transcriptional control regions (Imperiale et al. 1985; Leff et al. 1985; Murthy et al. 1985; Zajchowski et al. 1985; Gilardi and Perricaudet 1986; Garcia et al. 1987; Hanaka et al. 1987; Lee and Green 1987). However, individual deletions that affect transcription do not render the target gene completely uninducible by E1A, suggesting that there may be several sites in the promoter at which E1A can act, either directly or indirectly, or that E1A somehow acts on a total transcription complex composed of a number of factors. Interestingly, when DNA fragments of 170 bp (Gilardi and Perricaudet 1986) or 100 bp (Lee and Green 1987) containing E4-specific CRE-like sequences were appended to a non-EIA-responsive target gene, the hybrid constructs were stimulated by E1A proteins in a short-term transfection assay.

We were intrigued by the fact that, with the exception of the E1B gene, there are CRE-like sequences within all of the early gene 5'-flanking domains, including the E1A gene (Hardy and Shenk 1988). We wondered whether the CRE-like sequences, or other potential cAMP-responsive sequences in the adenovirus early gene promoters, might confer cAMP responsiveness in vivo. We were also interested in determining whether there is any functional relationship between cAMP responsiveness, and EIA-regulated transcription. To pursue these questions, we have examined the effects of cAMP on transcription of the E4, E1A, and EIB genes during infection with adenovirus. We have found that cAMP can act in synergy with E1A to induce transcription of the E4 and E1A genes during infection. We have also observed induction of the E1B gene by cAMP, but cAMP did not act in synergy with E1A in this case. Our data establish a functional connection between E1A and events triggered by cAMP and suggest that E1A may interact with targets of the cAMP-signaling pathway to activate transcription of at least some of the early genes.

Results

Induction of adenovirus early gene mRNAs by cAMP

The effects of cAMP on early gene expression were examined in the context of viral infection. Introduction of viral DNA by infection allowed control of relative genome copy number and ensured the proper physical state of the DNA. In addition, this approach permitted analysis of each of the early genes at the same time after treatment of cells with cAMP analogs, so that their coordinate regulation could be followed and valid comparisons made. The use of mutant viruses made it possible to identify a viral function [E1A] whose action influenced responsiveness to cAMP (see below).

In preliminary experiments, the cell line in which viral genes responded most strongly to analogs of cAMP was the mouse lymphoma line S49 (Horibata and Harris 1970). In the absence of cAMP analogs, E1A mRNAs appeared in the cytoplasm of S49 cells by 5 hr postinfection (the earliest time point tested). Viral DNA replication was first detected at 30–36 hr postinfection (data not shown). The effects of cAMP analogs on expression of the early genes were examined during the period 12–24 hr postinfection, before the onset of viral DNA replication. E1A protein was active during this time; mRNAs encoded by the early genes E1A, E1B, E2A, and E4 were expressed in an E1A-dependent manner in the absence of cAMP analogs (Figs. 2, 5, and 7, and data not shown).

E4 mRNA accumulation is induced by cAMP

First, we examined the effects of dibutyryl cAMP (Bt2cAMP) on expression of mRNAs encoded by the E4 gene. There are four CRE-like sequences in the E4 promoter region. They are located at –46, –163, –229, and –260, relative to the E4 cap site (Hardy and Shenk 1988). The two most distal sites are located in the inverted terminal repeat of the viral genome.

Exponentially growing S49 cells were infected with phenotypically wild-type adenovirus [d/309] at a multiplicity of infection [m.o.i] of 20 pfu/cell and plated at a density of 5 x 105 cells/ml. Control and Bt2cAMP-treated cells were harvested at 12 and 24 hr postinfection. For the cells harvested at 12 hr postinfection, treatment with Bt2cAMP (1 mM in all cases) was for the final 4 or 2 hr prior to harvesting. For the cells harvested at 24 hr postinfection, treatment with Bt2cAMP was for the final 5, 3, or 1 hr prior to harvesting. For the cells harvested at 24 hr postinfection, treatment with Bt2cAMP was for the final 5, 3, or 1 hr prior to harvesting. Cytoplasmic RNAs were isolated and analyzed for E4 mRNA by RNase protection (Melton et al. 1984). The results are shown in Figure 1. Addition of Bt2cAMP to infected cells produced a rapid 15-fold increase in the level of cytoplasmic mRNAs encoded by the E4 gene. The control and induced levels of E4 mRNAs were higher at 24 hr postinfection than at 12 hr postinfection. This was probably due to the fact that transcription of the E4 gene was increasing during this time under the control of E1A. Identical results were obtained with the analog 8-bromo cAMP. The level of induction by 8-bromo cAMP was as great as that observed with Bt2cAMP (not shown), confirming the specificity of cAMP for the effect. Induction of E4 mRNAs was also observed in wild-type virus-infected K-562 cells, a human lymphoid cell line, and wild-type virus-infected HepG2 cells, a human hepatoma cell line (not shown).
The known effects of cAMP in mammalian cells are mediated by activation of the cAMP-dependent protein kinase. Several cell lines deficient in cAMP-dependent protein kinase have been shown to be unresponsive to intracellular cAMP (for review, see Gottesman 1980). The cell line B1R is a line derived from S49 cells that contains little detectable cAMP-dependent protein kinase and is unresponsive to cAMP analogs (Bourne et al. 1975; Coffino et al. 1975). B1R cells were infected in parallel with the parental S49 cells and treated with Bt2cAMP. Figure 1 shows that expression of E4 mRNAs in B1R cells infected with wild-type d309 is unaffected by Bt2cAMP addition. This is in good agreement with previously characterized effects of cAMP, which require functional cAMP-dependent protein kinase.

There are E1A-independent and E1A-dependent components to the induction of E4 mRNA accumulation by cAMP

E1A is known to regulate transcription positively from the E4 promoter (Berk 1986). Because we were investigating the potential role of cAMP in transcriptional control of the E4 gene, we performed the same type of experiment with a virus unable to produce functional E1A protein. This provided a way to separate the transcriptional effects of E1A from those of cAMP. Mutant d343 contains an out-of-frame deletion in the 12S and 13S 5' exons of the E1A gene. E1A-dependent transcriptional activation of the early genes is not observed in d343-infected cells, and the virus is defective for growth (Hearing and Shenk 1985). S49 cells were infected with wild-type virus (d309) or d343 and harvested at 24 hr postinfection. d309 was introduced at a moi of 20 pfu/cell, and d343 was introduced at moi of 20 and 250 pfu/cell. Treatment with Bt2cAMP was for the final 5, 3, or 1 hr prior to harvesting. The results are shown in the left two panels of Figure 2. In cells infected with d343 at moi of either 20 or 250 pfu/cell, E4 mRNAs were induced approximately fivefold after a 3-hr treatment with Bt2cAMP. Clearly, E4 mRNA induction was independent of E1A in this case. Identical results were obtained with mutant d312 (Jones and Shenk 1979b), which lacks most of the E1A-coding region (not shown). The overall level of both uninduced and induced expression of E4 mRNA in d343 was about threefold greater at a moi of 250 than at a moi of 20 pfu/cell. However, the moi had no effect on the magnitude of the induction by Bt2cAMP (approximately fivefold after a 3-hr treatment, for moi of 20 and 250 pfu/cell). This indicates that the magnitude of induction was not a function of genome copy number.

Figure 2 (rightmost panel) shows the results of a parallel infection with wild-type virus d309. Because E4...
gene expression is controlled transcriptionally by E1A, the level of cytoplasmic E4 mRNAs in untreated cells was expected to be much higher in dl309- than in dl343-infected cells. To facilitate the comparison between dl309 and dl343, we analyzed 10 µg per RNA sample from dl309-infected cells and 50 µg per RNA sample from dl343-infected cells. This is indicated in Figure 2 as 1 x and 5 x. As expected, the level of E4 mRNAs in untreated cells was higher in wild-type virus-than in dl343-infected cells, due to the action of E1A (cf. untreated lanes from dl343 and dl309, noting the difference in the amount of RNA added to the assays). Interestingly, however, the magnitude of induction in wild-type virus-infected cells (>20-fold) was much greater than in dl343-infected cells (fivefold). This difference must result from the action of E1A, or an E1A-activated viral or cellular product. Table 1 summarizes the data from Figure 2, showing the relative contributions of E1A and cAMP to the induction of E4 mRNAs. The effect of E1A and cAMP in combination was greater by a factor of 4 than the simple multiplication of effects of these two components to the induction of E4 mRNAs. From these data, it is clear that there are two components to the induction of E4 mRNAs by cAMP. One of these components is E1A independent, as was demonstrated in mutant dl343, which lacks functional E1A protein. The other component is dependent on the presence of E1A.

Involvement of E1A protein in the induction of E4 mRNA accumulation by cAMP

We have shown that cAMP is a more potent inducer of E4 mRNAs in the presence of E1A protein than in its absence. What is the role of E1A in this process? The E1A promoter itself contains several CRE-like sequences. They are located at -43, -329, -403, and -435, relative to the EIA cap site (Hardy and Shenk 1988; and data not shown). In a wild-type virus-infected cell, treatment with Bt2cAMP might result in an increase in the level of E1A mRNA, which could stimulate E4 gene transcription further through increased synthesis of E1A protein. Another possibility is that cAMP might trigger events in the cell that interact directly with the targets of E1A action. For instance, cAMP might raise the level and/or activity of transcription factors that E1A could then act upon to increase transcription. This effect could occur without a change in the level of E1A protein.

Accordingly, we looked for changes in E1A mRNA levels in response to Bt2cAMP. For this series of experiments, we wanted to examine the events shortly following addition of Bt2cAMP to determine whether an induction of E1A mRNA by Bt2cAMP might precede induction of the E4 mRNAs. S49 cells were infected with wild-type virus and harvested 24 hr later. Treatments with Bt2cAMP were for the final 15, 30, 60, and 120 min prior to harvesting. Figure 3 shows the results of an RNase protection assay in which E4 and E1A mRNAs were analyzed simultaneously. There was a clear induction of the 12S and 13S E1A mRNAs (approximately fivefold after 60 and 120 min of treatment) that temporally paralleled the induction of the E4 mRNAs. Identical RNA samples that were analyzed for either E4 or E1A mRNAs separately gave the same results, demonstrating that the two probes for these mRNAs did not interfere with each other in the assay (not shown). From these data, it is apparent that E1A mRNAs do not appear in the cytoplasm fast enough to allow for an increase in E1A protein that could account for the extremely rapid induction of the E4 mRNAs (there is a detectable increase in E4 mRNAs as early as 15 min after treatment with Bt2cAMP).

Next, we analyzed directly the level of E1A protein in wild-type virus-infected cells that were treated for 0, 30, or 60 min with Bt2cAMP prior to harvesting at 24 hr postinfection. The purpose of this experiment was to determine whether there was an increase in total E1A protein during the first hour following treatment with Bt2cAMP. It was during this period that the maximal synergistic effect on E4 mRNA levels occurred (Fig. 3). Figure 4 shows the results of Western blot analysis using an E1A-specific monoclonal antibody [Harlow et al.

Table 1. Induction of E4 mRNA by E1A and cAMP

| Experiment | Virus | − Bt2cAMP | + Bt2cAMP | (fold induction) | Synergy |
|------------|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|---------|
| 1b         | E1A−  | 1         | 5         | (5)             | 4-fold  |
|            | E1A+  | 10        | 200       | (20)            |         |
|            |       |           |           |                 |         |
| 2          | E1A−  | 1         | 4         | (4)             | 3-fold  |
|            | E1A+  | 7         | 84        | (12)            |         |
| 3          | E1A−  | 1         | 3         | (3)             |         |
|            | E1A+  | 10        | 90        | (9)             |         |

Relative densitometry units.

* Greatest induction, observed after 3 hr of Bt2cAMP treatment.

b Several exposures of the gel presented in Fig. 2 were analyzed with a Bio-Rad model 620 video densitometer. The lowest signal was arbitrarily set to 1.

c Greatest induction, observed after 1–3 hr of Bt2cAMP treatment.
There was no detectable change in the total level of E1A protein at 30 or 60 min after Bt2cAMP treatment. A titration experiment confirmed that the assay was sensitive enough to detect as little as a twofold change in E1A levels in this range of protein (not shown). This result confirmed that the E1A-dependent induction of E4 mRNAs, which was near or at its maximal level after 60 min of treatment with Bt2cAMP, did not require an increase in total E1A protein. The fact that there was no increase in total E1A protein despite the increase in E1A mRNA could be due to several reasons. First, there may not have been enough time for newly synthesized protein to accumulate (the majority of the E1A mRNA induction was between 30 and 60 min after treatment). Second, the newly induced E1A protein may account for only a small percentage of the total and, thus, not affect total protein levels significantly. Regardless of these possibilities, the fact that total E1A protein did not increase after 60 min of Bt2cAMP treatment argues strongly that such an increase is not responsible for the synergistic effect on E4 transcription. Taken together, the data in Figures 3 and 4 strongly suggest that preexisting E1A acted in synergy with events triggered by the addition of Bt2cAMP to induce transcription of the E4 gene.

Finally, isolated nuclei were saved from the experiment presented in Figure 3 and used to generate 32P-labeled in vitro runoff products in order to examine the relative transcription rates of the E1A and E4 genes following stimulation with Bt2cAMP. These data are presented in Table 2. Consistent with the analysis of cytoplasmic RNA, there was a rapid increase in E4 transcription (6-fold after a 15-min treatment and 20-fold after a 60-min treatment). The E1A gene was induced fivefold after a 60-min treatment, and there may have been a slight induction after 15 min of treatment. We also observed a modest increase in actin gene transcription; however, the magnitude of this effect was small compared with E1A and E4. In control experiments, we observed no general increase in transcriptional activity in nuclei isolated from infected cells treated with Bt2cAMP, compared to infected cells not treated with Bt2cAMP (not shown). These data demonstrate that in wild-type virus-infected cells, induction of the E4 and E1A mRNAs by cAMP is due predominantly to an increase in the transcription rate of the E4 and E1A genes. Furthermore, they show that induction of the E4 gene is

Table 2. Transcription rate analysis

| Gene | Min after Bt2cAMP | Relative densitometry units |
|------|------------------|---------------------------|
|      | 15               | 30     | 60 | 120 | Isolated nuclei from wild-type virus-infected S49 cells were used for transcriptional rate analysis, as described in Materials and methods. Following autoradiography, the data were analyzed with a Bio-Rad model 620 video densitometer. |
| E1A  | 1.5              | 3      | 5  | 4   |
| E4   | 1                | 6      | 10 | 20  | 15  |
| Actin| 1                | 1.5    | 2  | 1.5 |

---

**Figure 3.** Analysis of E1A and E4 mRNAs in dl309-infected S49 cells soon after treatment with Bt2cAMP. S49 cells were infected with dl309 and harvested 24 hr later. Treatments with 1 mM Bt2cAMP were for the times indicated prior to harvesting. Cytoplasmic RNA isolation and analysis were performed as described in Materials and methods. The E1A-specific probe was synthesized from a plasmid containing a PstI fragment spanning 0–5.1 map units of the Ad5 genome cloned into pGEM2. The plasmid was linearized with EcoRI and transcribed with T7 RNA polymerase in the presence of [32P]UTP. The resulting 32P-labeled RNA hybridized specifically with the alternatively spliced 12S and 13S E1A mRNAs. The RNase-resistant products produced were the 12S and 13S 5' exons and the common 3' exon shared by the 12S and 13S transcripts. This probe also hybridized with the 5' terminus of mRNAs encoded by the E1B gene, but these data are not presented here for reasons of clarity. The E1B data are presented in another form in Fig. 7. The E4 probe was exactly as described in the legend to Fig. 1. RNase-resistant products were analyzed by electrophoresis through a 5% polyacrylamide gel containing 7 M urea and visualized by autoradiography. The data were quantified using a Bio-Rad model 620 video densitometer. [cAMP [min]] dibutyryl Bt2cAMP [min].
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extremely rapid, again suggesting that an increase in the total level of E1A protein is not required for the effect. The sensitivity of the in vitro runoff assay did not permit reliable analysis of early gene transcripts produced in dl343-infected cells, because transcription of the early genes is very low in the absence of E1A. We note, however, that the magnitude of the transcripational induction in wild-type virus-infected cells was identical to the induction observed at the level of cytoplasmic RNA (~20-fold for the E4 gene and E4 mRNA and 5-fold for the E1A gene and E1A mRNA). This fact argues that, because E1A is known to act at the level of transcription, the synergistic effect that we observed at the level of cytoplasmic RNA is indeed a reflection of an effect at the level of transcription.

Control of E1A mRNAs by cAMP is similar to control of E4 mRNAs

Like the E4 gene, the E1A gene itself is under transcriptional control of E1A protein during infection. Because the E1A mRNAs were observed to be induced by treatment with Bt2cAMP, we wondered whether the magnitude of this induction was subject to the same dependence on E1A protein as was shown for the E4 mRNAs. Again, we analyzed cytoplasmic RNA from S49 cells infected with wild-type virus or the mutant dl343. In this case, we used the phenotypically wild-type virus dl310. dl310 contains an in-frame deletion in the 3′ exon of the E1A gene that allowed it to be distinguished from the 3′ exon of dl343 in an RNase protection assay. This virus was chosen for convenient analysis of subsequent coinfection experiments (see below). The deletion in the 3′ exon of dl310 does not affect its ability to activate transcription of the early genes, and the virus grows to wild-type levels (Jones and Shenk 1979b). The E1A promoter in dl343 is intact and functional, and the transcript produced is appropriately processed into the expected 12S and 13S mRNAs. The out-of-frame deletion in the 5′ exon that gives rise to the mutant phenotype produces an altered pattern of RNase-resistant products for the 12S and 13S 5′ exons in the RNase protection assay. The 3′ exon is unaffected, however, and can be analyzed quantitatively as a measure of cytoplasmic E1A mRNA levels. Figure 5 [left two panels] shows the results of a representative RNase protection assay using a probe specific for the E1A mRNAs, as well as the 5′ terminus of the E1B mRNAs. Like wild-type dl309, E1A mRNAs were induced in wild-type dl310-infected cells treated for various times with Bt2cAMP (a maximum of ~10-fold in this experiment). However, only a two- to threefold induction of the dl343 E1A transcripts was observed. Therefore, the magnitude of induction by Bt2cAMP was greater in the presence of E1A than in its absence. This result was strikingly similar to our results with the E4 mRNA presented above. Table 3 shows the quantified results of the experiment shown in Figure 5, as well as two additional independent experiments.

E1A mRNA from dl343 is induced maximally by cAMP in the presence of functional E1A proteins provided in trans

The observation that functional E1A protein is required for maximal induction of E1A mRNAs by Bt2cAMP allowed us to predict that we could increase the magnitude of the induction in dl343 by providing functional E1A in trans. To test this prediction, we coinfected dl343 with wild-type dl310. S49 cells were infected with either dl310 or dl343 alone at a moi of 20 pfu/cell, or with a mixture of the two viruses at moi of either 10 or 20 pfu/cell (total moi of 20 and 40 pfu/cell, respectively). Figure 5 shows the results of this experiment. Clearly, the E1A mRNAs encoded by dl343 were induced maximally when in the presence of wild-type virus producing functional E1A protein. The effect is evidenced by the behavior of the E1A 3′ exon encoded by dl343. When dl343 was infected alone, there was a two- to threefold induction by Bt2cAMP after 3 hr of treatment. However, when in the presence of wild-type dl310 producing functional E1A protein, the 3′ exon encoded by dl343 was induced ~10-fold. These data demonstrate that the lack of induction of E1A mRNA when dl343 was infected alone was not due to an inherent instability of the mRNAs carrying the deletion because in the presence of E1A protein, these mRNAs were equally inducible as wild-type E1A mRNAs. The induction was also not due to an effect of genome copy number, because the effect was seen for infections whose total moi was either 20 (dl310 alone, dl343 alone, coinfection of 10 + 10 moi) or 40 pfu/cell (coinfection of 20 + 20 moi). Furthermore, E1A mRNAs from cells infected with dl343 alone at a moi of 250 pfu/cell were not induced to a greater extent than observed at lower mois [data not shown]. This argues against the possibility that induction by Bt2cAMP depended on titrating out a negatively acting transcription factor. We conclude that E1A protein acting in trans is required for maximal induction of E1A mRNAs by Bt2cAMP.

Does E1A act directly in potentiating induction by cAMP, or does it work through the induction of another viral early gene product? To answer this question, S49 cells were infected with adenovirus early gene mutants dl313 [Jones and Shenk 1979b], dl339 [Logan et al. 1984], dl302 [Rice and Klessig 1985], dl327 [Thimmappaya et al. 1982], and dl366 [Halbert et al. 1985] and treated with Bt2cAMP. These viruses contain large deletions in the coding regions of early genes E1B (dl313 and dl339), E2A (dl302), E3 (dl327), and E4 (dl366). Cytoplasmic RNA was analyzed for induction of E1A mRNA [Fig. 6]. A strong induction was observed for each mutant. In parallel, wild-type infected cells showed an identical induction; only the E1A− virus dl343 responded weakly to cAMP [not shown; see also Fig. 5, left two panels]. Therefore, the role of E1A in potentiating induction by Bt2cAMP does not require these other early gene products. We did not test mutants of the E2B gene, which encodes the viral DNA polymerase and terminal protein. These proteins are involved in viral DNA repli-
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Figure 5. Effect of E1A protein on induction of E1A mRNAs by Bt2cAMP. S49 cells were infected with dl310 at a moi of 20 first group of four lanes; dl343, at a moi of 20 second group of four lanes, dl310 plus dl343, each at a moi of 10 third group of four lanes, dl310 plus dl343, each at a moi of 20 fourth group of four lanes. Cells were harvested at 24 hr postinfection for cytoplasmic RNA isolation and analysis. Treatments with 1 mM Bt2cAMP were for the times indicated prior to harvesting. Analysis of cytoplasmic RNA was performed as described in Materials and methods. The E1A/E1B probe was used as described in the legend to Fig. 3. For the E1A mRNAs produced by dl343 (see the second group of four lanes), the small out-of-frame deletion in the 12S and 13S 5' exons was recognized inefficiently by RNase A and T1 in the RNase protection assay. This produced a faint dl343 13S 5' exon signal due to partial cleavage of this exon. For dl343, the band running in the position of the 12S 5' exon is a mixture of the cleaved dl343 13S 5' exon and the uncleaved 12S 5' exon. The band running just below the 3' exon is the result of partial cleavage of the dl343 12S 5' exon. The structure of the 3' exon was not affected by the deletion in dl343 and produced the expected product. The 3' exon was used for quantification of the results. RNase-resistant products were analyzed by electrophoresis through a 5% polyacrylamide gel containing 7 M urea and visualized by autoradiography. The data were quantified using a Bio-Rad model 620 video densitometer. [MOI] Multiplicity of infection. [cAMP [hr]] Bt2cAMP [hr].

Table 3. Induction of E1A mRNA by E1A and cAMP

| Experiment | Virus | - Bt2cAMP | + Bt2cAMP (fold induction) | Synergy |
|------------|-------|-----------|--------------------------|---------|
| 1*         | E1A-  | 1         | 3                        | [3] 3.3-fold |
|            | E1A+  | 3         | 30                       | [10] 10-fold |
| 2          | E1A-  | 1         | 2                        | [2] 2-fold |
|            | E1A+  | 3         | 18                       | [6] 6-fold |
| 3          | E1A-  | 1         | 2                        | [2] 2-fold |
|            | E1A+  | 3         | 24                       | [8] 8-fold |

Relative densitometry units.

* Data from Fig. 5 were quantified using a Bio-Rad model 620 video densitometer. The lowest signal was arbitrarily set to 1.
E1B mRNA induction occurred efficiently even in dl343-infected cells (i.e., in the absence of functional E1A protein). This is illustrated in Figure 7. To facilitate the comparison between dl309 and dl343, two different exposures of the same gel are presented. Figure 7 shows that the time course and extent of induction of the E1B mRNAs were essentially the same in a wild-type or an E1A- background. At 24 hr postinfection, the level of E1B mRNA in untreated cells was higher in wild-type dl309 than in dl343. This was due to the effect of E1A, which regulates the E1B gene transcriptionally. However, unlike the E4 and E1A mRNAs, addition of Bt2CAMP induced the E1B mRNAs from dl309 and dl343 equally (10-fold in the case of dl343 at moi of 20 and 250 pfu/cell and 8-fold in the case of dl309 at a moi of 20 pfu/cell). This demonstrates that the induction of E1B mRNA by Bt2CAMP was independent of the action of E1A. This is in contrast to E4 and E1A mRNA regulation, on which the effects of E1A and cAMP were shown to be synergistic.

We also noted that the kinetics of induction for the E1A and E1B mRNAs were different [Figs. 5 and 7]. Whereas E1A mRNA elevation was maximal between 1 and 3 hr after exposure to Bt2CAMP, E1B mRNA approached a maximal level more slowly. The maximal level of E1B mRNAs was observed repeatedly to occur at 3–5 hr after treatment with Bt2CAMP (we did not examine longer time points). We conclude that the E1B gene is regulated differently than the E1A and E4 genes in terms of its response to cAMP. It is interesting to note that the E1B gene also responds to E1A differently than the other early adenovirus gene [Wu et al. 1987].

Discussion

We have examined the effects of cAMP on transcriptional regulation of the adenovirus early genes E4, E1A, and E1B. We have observed that in cells infected with wild-type adenovirus, cAMP activates transcription of these genes, which are normally under control of the E1A proteins. Furthermore, we have found that cAMP acts in synergy with E1A to induce maximally transcription of the E1A and E4 genes.

There are several possibilities to explain how cAMP might influence transcription of adenovirus genes in a manner that depends on E1A protein. For instance, cAMP could act by binding directly to one or more components of the transcriptional machinery that E1A is acting upon also (i.e., to one or more transcription factors). cAMP could also act by binding directly to E1A, thereby increasing its activity. However, because the induction of E4 mRNA by cAMP was blocked in cells that are deficient in cAMP-dependent protein kinase, it is more likely that protein phosphorylation is an important mediator in this process. We favor a hypothesis in which increasing the intracellular level of cAMP leads to an increase in cAMP-dependent protein kinase activity which, in turn, results in a stimulation of E1A-de-
dependent transcription. The cAMP-dependent protein kinase might act by phosphorylating the E1A protein directly, thereby increasing its activity as a transcriptional regulator. Alternatively, the kinase might trigger activation of one or more specific transcription factors that E1A could then act upon. This could be accomplished through direct phosphorylation of the factors or might require intermediate steps.

What is the role of the CRE-like sequences in the observed transcriptional effects on the E4 and E1A genes? Unpublished results from our laboratory indicate that S49 cells contain the nuclear protein CREB, which has been shown to be involved in the induction of somatostatin gene transcription by cAMP [Montmyni and Bilezikjian 1987]. It is likely that CREB also plays a role in transcription of the adenovirus early genes, considering the correlation between the results reported here and those reported earlier [Hardy and Shenk 1988] that CREB recognizes the CRE-like sequences upstream of the E4 and E1A genes in an in vitro binding assay. However, this may not be the entire story. Piette et al. [1988] have reported that the transcription factor AP-1 is induced in NIH-3T3 cells by treatment with Bt2cAMP. The sequence in the collagenase promoter recognized by AP-1 (TGACTCA; Lee et al. 1987) is very similar to the core binding site for CREB (TGACCTCA; Montmyni and Bilezikjian 1987). Using in vitro competition analysis, we have developed evidence that AP-1 may also bind to CREB-binding sites. Consistent with this is the finding that TPA, a known inducer of AP-1 activity, also induces transcription of the E4 gene [D. Engel and T. Shenk, unpubl.]. The effect of cAMP on the activity of these factors is currently under investigation.

It is likely that transcription factors responding to cAMP are not completely inactive in the absence of an increase in intracellular cAMP concentration. Their level of activity might be determined, in part, by the basal level of cAMP-dependent protein kinase activity in the cell. Presumably, this is also true for cells that do not respond to exogenous cAMP but that have active cAMP-dependent protein kinase. Given this, it is reasonable to consider that EIA would also be able to act on these factors in the absence of stimulation by cAMP. This condition describes a normal wild-type infection by adenovirus. Conceivably, EIA, at least in part, activates transcription through the action of specific transcription factors whose level of activity is influenced by cAMP-dependent protein kinase. EIA could activate transcription by increasing the activity of these as well as other non-cAMP-dependent factors.

Several facts argue against the possibility that the transcriptional effects observed were a secondary effect of an increase in viral genome copy number during the treatment with Bt2cAMP. First, transcriptional activity of the genes was analyzed prior to the onset of viral DNA replication in S49 cells. Second, induction of E1A mRNAs by Bt2cAMP, identical to that seen in wild-type-infected cells, was also observed for mutant d1802 [Rice and Klessig 1985] (Fig. 6). This virus expresses E1A protein but is severely compromised for DNA replication due to a mutation in the gene encoding the 72-kD DNA-binding protein. Finally, the rapid nature of the transcriptional induction of the E4 gene argues against an increase in genome copy number being involved.

In our experiments, the E1B gene was induced by cAMP, but in a manner that differed from the induction observed for the E4 and E1A genes. Unlike the E4 and E1A genes, induction of the E1B gene by cAMP appeared independent of the action of EIA. Conceivably, this could mean that factors responding to cAMP mediate basal transcription of the E1B gene (i.e., not induced by EIA). There are no recognizable CRE-like sequences just upstream of the E1B mRNA cap site, but it is possible that the CRE-like sequences upstream of the E1A gene are affecting E1B transcription.

Parks et al. [1988] have shown recently that the sequence CCCAGGCC located at −169 to −161, relative to the E1B cap site, is within a region protected in a DNase I footprint assay by HeLa cell extracts. This sequence is very similar to the sequence CCCCGAGCT in the SV40 enhancer involved in binding transcription factor AP-2 [Mitchell et al. 1987]. AP-2 has been implicated recently in control of metallothionein II 

Materials and methods

Cells and viruses

S49 cells were obtained from the University of California at San Francisco Cell Culture Facility. B1R cells were a gift from Dr. Vincent Groppi [The Upjohn Company]. S49 and B1R cells were grown in suspension in tissue-culture dishes, in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s media supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated horse serum (GIBCO). To produce virus stocks, wild-type d1809 and wild-type d1309 [Jones and Shenk 1979b] were propagated in HeLa cells. Mutant d1343 [Hearing and Shenk 1985] was propagated in 293 cells [Graham et al. 1977].

Viral infection and treatment with Bt2cAMP

Exponentially growing S49 or B1R cells at ~1 x 10⁶ cells/ml were pooled and counted in a hemocytometer. The cells were centrifuged briefly and resuspended at a density of 5 x 10⁶ cells/ml in media containing 2% heat-inactivated horse serum. Virus was added to the appropriate multiplicity (in plaque-forming units per cell) and incubated for 1 hr at 37°C with occasional resuspension of the cells. Infected cells were diluted to 5 x 10⁶ cells/ml in media supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated horse serum and aliquoted into individual tissue culture dishes for subsequent treatment with Bt2cAMP [Boehringer-Mannheim]. At the appropriate times prior to harvesting, cells were treated with 0.01 culture volumes of freshly dissolved 100 mM Bt2cAMP in 50 mM HEPES [pH 7.9] [final Bt2cAMP concentration, 1 mM].
Cytoplasmic RNA isolation and analysis

Cells were harvested by centrifugation and washed twice in an excess of ice-cold PBS. Cytoplasmic RNA isolation was according to Farrell et al. (1979). The RNAs were analyzed by ribonuclease protection (Melton et al. 1984). The E4-specific probe was synthesized from a plasmid containing Ad5 HindIII fragment spanning 89.1–97.1 map units cloned into the vector pGEM2 (Promega). The plasmid was linearized with Smal and transcribed with T7 RNA polymerase (New England Biolabs) in the presence of [α32P]UTP. The resulting 32P-labeled excess of ice-cold PBS. Cytoplasmic RNA isolation was according to Farrell et al. (1979). The RNAs were analyzed by ribonuclease protection and synthesized from a plasmid containing a PstI fragment spanning 0–5.1 map units of Ad5 cloned into pGEM2. The plasmid was linearized with EcoRI and transcribed with T7 RNA polymerase in the presence of [α32P]UTP. The resulting 32P-labeled RNA hybridized specifically with the 5′ terminus of the E4 mRNAs (Halbert et al. 1985). The E1A/E1B-specific probe was synthesized from a plasmid containing a PstI fragment spanning 0–5.1 map units of Ad5 cloned into pGEM2. The plasmid was linearized with Smal and transcribed with T7 RNA polymerase in the presence of [α32P]UTP. The resulting 32P-labeled RNA hybridized specifically with the alternatively spliced 12S and 13S E1A mRNAs and the 5′ terminus of the E1B mRNAs. RNase-resistant products were analyzed by electrophoresis through a 5% polyacrylamide gel containing 7 M urea and visualized with autoradiography. The data were quantified using a Bio-Rad model 620 video densitometer.

Transcriptional rate analysis using isolated nuclei

Cells (0.5 × 10^8 to 1.0 × 10^8) were brought to 0°C by transferring them to chilled bottles in an ice-water bath. They were then centrifuged at 4°C, washed twice in an excess of ice-cold PBS, and lysed in 1 ml of lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 0.075% Triton X-100). Nuclei were pelleted for 5 min at 1000 rpm in a Sorvall RC-3B centrifuge with a H6000A rotor. The supernatant was removed and saved for cytoplasmic RNA isolation and analysis. The pellet was washed in 1 ml of lysis buffer, centrifuged as before, resuspended in 0.4 ml of glycerol storage buffer (Groudine et al. 1981), and divided into 0.1-ml aliquots. Nuclei were frozen in liquid N2 and stored at −70°C until use. Transcription in vitro was according to Greenberg and Ziff (1984). Nuclei (2 × 10^7 in 0.1 ml) were thawed, mixed with 0.1 ml of 2 x reaction buffer [10 mM Tris-Cl (pH 8), 5 mM MgCl2, 300 mM KCl, 5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 1 mM each ATP, CTP, GTP, and 200 μCi [α32P]UTP (400 Ci/mmole)], and incubated at 30°C for 5 min. The reaction was stopped by addition of 10 μg of DNase I (Worthington DPFF) at 30°C for 5 min. Samples were treated with 50 μl of a solution containing 50 mM Tris-Cl (pH 8), 5% SDS, 25 mM EDTA, and 1 mg/ml proteinase K at 37°C for 30 min, and extracted twice with phenol/chloroform. RNA labeled with 32P was separated from unincorporated nucleotides by gel filtration through a 5-ml Sephadex G-50 (med) column and precipitated with ethanol. The 32P-labeled RNA was hybridized to specific DNA segments immobilized on nitrocellulose in the form of slot blots. Conditions of hybridization and washing were according to Engel et al. (1985), except that the final wash step was in 0.2 x SSC, 0.1% SDS at 42°C for 2 hr. Following autoradiography, the data were quantified using a Bio-Rad model 620 video densitometer.

Western blot analysis

Nuclear extracts (Dignam et al. 1983) were prepared, and samples (20 μg protein) were electrophoresed through an SDS–polyacrylamide gel, transferred to nitrocellulose, and probed with the E1A-specific monoclonal antibody M73 (Harlow et al. 1986). Filters were incubated with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated rabbit anti-mouse IgG (Sigma). Bands were visualized with 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolylphosphate and nitro blue tetrazolium (Sigma).
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cAMP acts in synergy with E1A protein to activate transcription of the adenovirus early genes E4 and E1A.
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