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An Onsager-like relation is proposed as a new criterion for constructing and analysing the lattice Boltzmann (LB) method. For LB models obeying the relation, we analyse their linearized stability, establish their diffusive limit, and find new constraints for those with free parameters. The new relation seems of fundamental importance for the LB method.
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The lattice Boltzmann (LB) method is an effective and viable tool for simulating complex fluid flow problems. Historically, the method was evolved from the lattice gas automata [3, 17]. It is now well understood as a special discretization of the Boltzmann equation [7]. The kinetic origin enables the method to naturally accomodate a variety of boundary conditions for flows with complex geometry. Besides its effectiveness and simplicity (see Eq. (1) below), the method has a clear and solid physical interpretation [3, 16]. These advantages make it have vast applications. Indeed, the method has been successfully used in a wide spectrum of areas including turbulent flows, microflows, multi-phase and multi-component flows, and particulate suspensions. It is becoming a serious alternative to traditional computational methods in fluid dynamics. We refer to [3, 16, 22] for reviews of the method and its applications.

In spite of the vast and successful applications, the numerical stability of the method has not been well understood but has attracted much attention [2, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 18, 20, 21]. In this work, we intend to understand the LB method from the viewpoint of nonequilibrium thermodynamics [6, 11]. This understanding will provide a new insight into the method and a novel approach to study the stability.

The general form of the LB method is

\[ f_i(x_\mu + c_i, t + 1) = f_i(x_\mu, t) + J_i(f(x_\mu, t)) \]  

(1)

for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, N \) and \( \mu \) in a finite or countable set. Here \( f_i = f_i(x_\mu, t) \) is the probability of finding a fluid particle, at site \( x_\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and time \( t \geq 0 \), that travels with velocity \( c_i \in \mathbb{R}^d \), \( J_i(f) \) is the \( i \)-th collision term, and \( f = (f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_N)^T \) with the superscript \( T \) denoting the transpose operation. We will often write

\[ J(f) = (J_1(f), J_2(f), \ldots, J_N(f))^T. \]

Eq. (1) is fixed by specifying (or constructing) the discrete velocities \( c_i \) and collision terms \( J_i(f) \). This is usually done by requiring (1) to possess the following properties: consistency with certain conservation laws, Galilean invariance, isotropy, small or no compressible effects, velocity-independent pressure, and so on. See [3, 12, 16] for details.

In this letter, we will propose a new requirement for the construction. This requirement has its origin in nonequilibrium thermodynamics. According to de Groot and Mazur [4], nonequilibrium thermodynamics classifies irreversible phenomena into three types: scalar, vectorial and tensorial processes. Typical examples are chemical reaction, heat conduction and viscous flows, respectively.

Our starting point is to understand that the \( N \) equations in (1) describe \( N \) scalar irreversible processes and the collision terms \( J_i(f) \) are the corresponding irreversible fluxes. Moreover, the thermodynamic forces are identified as the gradient of a possibly-existing entropy-density function \( H(f) \) [10]. With such identifications, the Onsager reciprocal relation reads as

\[ J(f) = S(f)H(f), \quad S(f) = S(f)^T. \]

(2)

The null space of matrix \( S(f) \) is independent of \( f \).

Here \( H(f) \) stands for the gradient of \( H = H(f) \) with respect to \( f \), that is,

\[ H(f) := (\partial f_1, \partial f_2, \ldots, \partial f_N)^T H(f). \]

Let us mention that the gradient of the entropy-density function \( H = H(f) \) has been used in [1, 10] to construct entropic LB methods.

Remark that, in the classical Onsager relation [5], the symmetric matrix \( S = S(f) \) is constant. Recently in [10], the author losses the constancy requirement and proposes (2) for general scalar processes. The new independence in (2) expresses the fact that physical laws of conservation hold true, no matter what state the underlying thermodynamical system is in (equilibrium, non-equilibrium, and so on). In addition, the second law of thermodynamics requires that the symmetric matrix \( S(f) \) have a sign (non-positive).

If an LB model admits the relation (2), one can easily show that it allows an H theorem with \( H(f) \) as the entropy-density function (see [10, 19]). Therefore, the relation (2) is not realistic for many widely used LB models were shown in [20, 21] not to admit an H theorem. On the other hand, it follows from (2) that \( S(f_\ast)H(f_\ast) = J(f_\ast) = 0 \) for \( f_\ast \) satisfying \( J(f_\ast) = 0 \) (in equilibrium). Thus, \( H(f_\ast) \) is in the null space of \( S(f_\ast) \).
and thereby in that of $S(f)$, since the null space of $S(f)$ is independent of $f$. Therefore, we have

$$S(f)H_f(f_*) = 0$$

for any $f$. Now we differentiate the two sides of Eq. (2) with respect to $f$ and compute at $f_*$ to obtain

$$J_f(f_*) = S(f_*)H_{ff}(f_*) + \frac{\partial}{\partial f}[S(f)H_f(f_*)]|_{f=f_*} = S(f_*)H_{ff}(f_*).$$

(3)

Here $H_{ff}(f)$ is the Hessian of the entropy function $H(f)$. From Lemma 2.1 in [21] we know that, if the discrete-velocity set is such that $c_i \neq c_j$ whenever $i \neq j$, the possibly-existing entropy-density functions $H(f)$ for (1) must be of the following form

$$H(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_i(f_i).$$

That is, $H(f)$ does not contain any cross-terms. Thus, the Hessian $H_{ff}(f)$ must be a diagonal and positive-definite matrix. The positive-definiteness follows from the strict convexity of $H(f)$ as an entropy-density function.

Inspired by these considerations, we propose the following notion. The LB method (1) is said to obey an Onsager-like relation at $f = f_*$ satisfying $J(f_*) = 0$, if the Jacobian matrix of $J(f)$ evaluated at $f = f_*$ can be decomposed as

$$J_f(f_*) = S(f_*)D(f_*),$$

(4)

where $S(f_*)$ is a symmetric non-positive-definite matrix and $D(f_*)$ is a diagonal positive-definite matrix.

In [2], we verified that many LB models admit the following structure: there is an invertible $N \times N$-matrix $P$ such that $P^T P$ is diagonal and $PJ_f(f_*) = -\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_N) P$. Hence the relation (4) also implies (5).

Generally speaking, the invertible matrix $P$ in (5) differs from the transformation matrix $M$ used in constructing the multi-relaxation-time LB method [4, 12].

In the rest of this article, we present some consequences of the Onsager-like relation (1) or its equivalent version (5).

First, the relation (1) can be used as an analytic criterion to fix LB models with free parameters. In [2], we require that a number of existing LB models with free parameters obey the equivalent relation (5) and find new constraints for the free parameters. In this way, either the free parameters are fixed or their freedom is reduced considerably. The results coincide with those obtained through numerical tests and/or guesswork.

An example is the D2Q9 model with two parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ [13]. Here $d = 2, N = 9$, the discrete velocities are $c_0 = 0,$

$$\{c_i : 1 \leq i \leq 4\} = \{(\pm 1, \pm 1)^T\},$$

$$\{c_i : 5 \leq i \leq 8\} = \{(\pm 1, \pm 1)^T\},$$

and the collision terms are

$$J_i(f) = \frac{f_i^{eq}(n, v) - f_i}{\tau}$$

with $\tau$ a relaxation time. Furthermore,

$$f_i^{eq}(n, v) = \frac{\alpha n}{n + \frac{2}{3} |v|^2},$$

$$f_i^{eq}(n, v) = \frac{\beta n}{n + \frac{3}{2} |v|^2}$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, $i = 5, 6, 7, 8,$ and

$$f_i^{eq}(n, v) = \frac{(1 - \alpha - 4\beta)}{4} n + \frac{n}{12} |v|^2,$$

for $i = 5, 6, 7, 8,$ and

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^{9} f_i, \quad n v = \sum_{i=1}^{9} c_i f_i.$$

In [2], we verified that the above parametrized D2Q9 model obeys the equivalent version (5) if

$$\alpha \in (0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha + 5\beta = 1.$$  

(6)

This is satisfied by both $(\alpha, \beta) = (4/9, 1/9)$ used in the well-known D2Q9 model [13] and $(\alpha, \beta) = (2/7, 1/7)$ used in [14]. Moreover, the numerical tests in [2] support the other choices of $(\alpha, \beta)$ satisfying condition (6).

Next, we show that the equivalent version (5) provides a convenient setting to analyse the linearized stability of
the LB method \([1]\). Let \( f_* \) be a uniform equilibrium state and \( f \) the fluctuation. The linearized LB method is

\[
\tilde{f}_\mu(t+1) = \tilde{f}(x_\mu, t) + J_f(f_*) \tilde{f}(x_\mu, t),
\]

\( \text{where} \)

\[
\tilde{f}_\mu(t) = (\tilde{f}_1(x_\mu + c_1, t), \ldots, \tilde{f}_N(x_\mu + c_N, t))^T.
\]

For the sake of simplicity, we consider only the periodic initial data for \([7]\), where \( \mu \) ranges in a finite set. For other cases, see \([9]\).

Assume the Onsager-like relation \([11]\) holds at the equilibrium state \( f_* \). Then we have the equivalent relation \([5]\). Multiplying the linearized equation \([7]\) with \( P \) from the left gives

\[
P \tilde{f}_\mu(t+1) = \text{diag}(1-\lambda_1, 1-\lambda_2, \ldots, 1-\lambda_N)P \tilde{f}(x_\mu, t).
\]

Thus, if

\[
\lambda_i \in [0, 2] \quad \forall \ i,
\]

it is obvious that

\[
|P \tilde{f}_\mu(t+1)|^2 \leq |P \tilde{f}(x_\mu, t)|^2.
\]

Here \( |f| \) is the Euclidean length of the \( N \)-vector \( f \). On the other hand, since \( P^T \) is a diagonal matrix, say \( \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_N) \), it follows that

\[
|P \tilde{f}_\mu(t+1)|^2 = \tilde{f}_\mu(t+1)^T P^T P \tilde{f}_\mu(t+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i \tilde{f}_i^2(x_\mu + c_i, t+1).
\]

Because \( x_\mu + c_i \) is a lattice node, we deduce that

\[
\sum_{\mu} |P \tilde{f}_\mu(t+1)|^2 = \sum_{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i \tilde{f}_i^2(x_\mu + c_i, t+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i \tilde{f}_i^2(x_\mu + c_i, t+1) = \sum_{\mu} |P \tilde{f}(x_\mu, t+1)|^2.
\]

Now, summing up Eq. \([11]\) over all \( \mu \) (in the finite set!) gives the following inequality

\[
\sum_{\mu} |P \tilde{f}(x_\mu, t+1)|^2 \leq \sum_{\mu} |P \tilde{f}(x_\mu, t)|^2.
\]

This simply means the stability of the linearized LB method \([7]\) under the condition \([8]\). Note that for the D2Q9 model above, \([8]\) is nothing but the well-known condition \( \tau \geq 1/2 \).

Our derivation of the inequality \([10]\) does not involve the von Neumann stability analysis, which was used in the previous works \([12, 13, 18]\) on the stability of the LB method. Moreover, the derivation is different from those in \([10, 11]\), does not involve any entropy-density function, and works for LB models violating H theorems. Finally, our approach can be easily extended to other cases, even with boundaries \([3]\).

Finally, we mention that the Onsager-like relation \([11]\) ensures the validity of the formal diffusive limit for the continuous version of the LB model \([1] \) parametrized with \( \epsilon > 0 \):

\[
\partial_t f_i + \frac{1}{\epsilon} c_i \cdot \nabla_x f_i = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} J_i(f).
\]

It is well-known (see, e.g., \([8, 12]\)) that this system of parametrized partial differential equations is related closely to the LB method \([1]\). Suppose the discrete-velocity set is symmetric in the sense that

\[
\{c_i : i = 1, 2, \ldots, N\} = \{-c_i : i = 1, 2, \ldots, N\},
\]

the parametrized model \([11]\) is consistent with the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation and obeys the equivalent version \([5]\) at a quiescent state \( f_* \). We rigorously verified in \([8]\) that the solution \( f^*_i(x, t) \) to \([11]\) with prepared initial data satisfies

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} f^*_i(x, t) c_i = \epsilon v(x, t) + O(\epsilon^3)
\]

as \( \epsilon \) goes to zero. Here \( v(x, t) \) is the velocity of the fluid under consideration. The details are given in \([8]\).

In conclusion, we have proposed an Onsager-like relation \([11]\) and its equivalent version \([5]\) as a new requirement for analysing and constructing LB models. For LB models obeying the Onsager-like relation, we analyse their linearized stability, established their diffusive limit, and found new constraints for those with free parameters. On the basis of these consequences, we believe that the new relation \([11]\) is of fundamental importance for the LB method. Finally, we expect that the Onsager-like relation \([11]\) can be used as a guide to construct stable LB models.
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