Dilatometric Cycles in the Study of Precipitation of Intermetallic Phases in Duplex Stainless Steels
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Duplex stainless steels (DSS) are used in off-shore platforms due to their good properties resulting from the combination of two phases, namely austenite and ferrite. Secondary intermetallic phases, such as alpha prime (α’) and sigma (σ), which are harmful, can be formed at temperatures above 400 °C, or by a casting process. This study investigates the formation of sigma phase by thermal cycles running in a dilatometer (800 °C, 850 °C, 900 °C and 1,000 °C) for 1h, and at 850 °C for 3h and 7h. The optical microscopy of the DSS microstructure subjected to 800, 850 and 900 °C for one hour revealed a small fraction of σ phase with nucleation in the contour of ferrite/austenite phases, and tests by ferritoscope indicated a decrease (~4%) in a magnetic fraction (ferrite). However, samples subjected to dilatometric cycles at 850 °C for 3 hours showed an 18% decrease in the magnetic phase and quantitative metallography revealed a 33% fraction of σ phase precipitated in the ferrite phase. Tests conducted at 850 °C for 7 hours indicated a high fraction of σ phase precipitated (approximately 50%), starting in the grain boundary, austenite/ferrite, and advancing in ferrite grain.
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1. Introduction

Duplex stainless steels (DSS) are based on Fe-Cr-Ni alloys that show a favorable combination of two phases in equal fractions, namely austenite (γ) and ferrite (δ), with chemical elements called austenitizing (Ni, N, C, Mn, Cu) and ferritizing (Cr, Mo, Si) stabilizers, which, respectively, confer ductility and stress corrosion resistance. In general, carbide precipitation does not occur, due to the very low contents of carbon in DSS. The good properties of this steel class can be attributed to the low C content and a two-phase microstructure composed of elements of stabilizing ferrite and austenite alloys. Consequently, duplex stainless steels can be used under most severe temperature conditions and in chloride environments, where austenitic steels are usually susceptible to pitting, cracking and stress corrosion.

Alloying elements, such as Cr, Mo and N in DSSs provide good corrosion properties in caustic, acid and marine environments. Consequently, duplex stainless steels and can consume the entire ferrite phase, represented by reaction α = σ + γ* (eutectoid), which give rise to austenite containing less Mo and Cr than untransformed austenite.

In duplex stainless steels, solidification begins at approximately 1,450 °C with the formation of ferrite (α), which gives rise to austenite (γ) close to 1,300 °C. Carbides M₂₃C₆ (M=metal) precipitate between 950 °C and 1,050 °C in grain boundaries, and M₆C, formed below 950 °C. Sigma is an intermetallic, non-magnetic, and very hard phase (σ), which causes embrittlement when precipitated in solid state between 600 and 950 °C with loss of toughness. In DSS, it preferably nucleates at the α/γ interface, which is incoherent with the matrix duplex; precipitation occurs in raw double-cast stainless steels and can consume the entire ferrite phase, represented by reaction α = σ + γ* (eutectoid), where γ* is a secondary austenite containing less Mo and Cr than untransformed austenite.

Sigma precipitates can appear in various series of stainless steels, thus leading to undesirable effects, such as decreased corrosion resistance, and loss of both weldability and mechanical properties. The σ phase can precipitate in elevated-temperature processes, such as casting, aging, welding and forging. The prediction of its precipitation is difficult when the chromium content is above 20 wt.% in stainless steels, as in DSS grade. The presence of ferrite stabilizers in stainless steels (Cr, Mo, Si) can lead to a quick σ phase formation, therefore, the understanding of the δ → σ phase transformation mechanism is fundamental for both prediction and control of stainless steels properties.

A brief review of the literature on sigma phase revealed it was first found in 1907, in the Fe-Cr binary system as
an intermetallic compound of 30 wt.% to 50 wt.% Cr, and twenty years later, it was detected in the Fe-Cr-Ni ternary system\(^7\). Its crystal structure in the Fe-Cr binary system was examined and exhibited slower precipitation kinetics in the Fe-Cr alloy system than in Fe-Cr-Mo and Fe-Cr-Si ternary systems. In 1966, the σ phase was observed in austenitic stainless steels, in over fifty transition alloys, Fe-Cr-X alloy ternary systems (where X is a transition metallic element, such as Ni, Mo, Mn, or a non-metallic element, e.g., Si: Fe-Cr-Si), and binary systems, like Fe-V, Re-Cr, Mo-Re, Ta-Al, W-Te, Ta-V, Zr-Ir, Nb-Pd, Ti-Mn, Nb-Fe\(^7\).

This study investigates the existence and fraction of transformation of the intermetallic sigma phase by heating in a dilatometer at 20 °C per minute towards obtaining information about the influence of both temperature and isothermal time on the volumetric fraction of σ phase in stainless steel of duplex structure. The materials produced were characterized by optical microscopy and magnetic phase detection via ferritoscope for the estimate of fraction of the phases present in DSS. The results of thermal cycling in dilatometer and quantitative tests were used for the plotting of time-temperature-transformation (TTT) curves. The morphology, microstructure and mechanical properties of the microhardness of the phases in DSS were also investigated.

2. Materials and Methods

Duplex stainless steel DIN W N° 14517 (Cr\(_{26}\)Ni\(_{6}\)Mo\(_{3}\)Cu\(_{3}\)) was prepared in an electrical induction furnace and thermally treated at 1,050 °C for 1 h followed by water quenching. Table 1 shows its chemical composition and the pitting resistance equivalent number (PREn).

Cylindrical stainless steel austenitic-ferrite (Cr\(_{6}\)Ni\(_{3}\)Mo\(_{3}\)Cu\(_{3}\)) specimens of Ø6mm by 25mm length were subjected to dilatometric thermal cycles in a model DIL402C NETZSCH dilatometer at 20 °C.min\(^{-1}\) heating rate at 800, 850, 900 and 1,000 °C, remaining at that temperature level for 1 hour and at 850 °C for 3 and 7 hours in helium gas environment. The samples were then cooled to 20 °C min\(^{-1}\) to room temperature. The fraction of sigma phase transformed as a function of isothermal temperature and time was checked by optical microscopy and testing via ferritoscope.

Dilatometer cycles were also performed on an Adamel Lhormargy dilatometer (DT1000) that can reproduce ultra-high heating and cooling rates. Cylindrical samples of Ø2mm by 12mm length were subjected to dilatometric cycles from 3 °C.s\(^{-1}\) to 1100 °C heating, remaining at this level for 10 min and then being cooled to 100 °C.s\(^{-1}\) for the respective 700 °C, 750 °C, 800 °C and 850 °C isotherms for 0.67 and 1.33h, then cooled to 10 °C.s\(^{-1}\) until room temperature, under helium gas environment for the full cycle. Transformation-time-temperature (TTT) curves were drawn for the evaluation of the sigma phase precipitation kinetics and DSS analysis of σ time and dissolution kinetics. Such analyses enabled an experimental determination of initial thermal treatment, σ dissolution temperature and time, and data required for the evaluation of the material’s solubilization temperature, thus obtaining 1,050 °C dissolution temperature and 15 min time. 1,100 °C was the temperature chosen for solubilization, with no occurrence of abnormal grain growth (secondary recrystallization).

Measurements of magnetic phase percentage for the determination of the percentage of ferrite (magnetic phase) by a Fischer Ferritscope (non-destructive magnetic method) were performed for 25 tests in different parts for each sample. Beraha’s chemical reagent revealed the DSS microstructure, austenite and ferrite, and sigma phases\(^3,7,8\), and then quantitative metallography was performed in eight fields for each sample with LEICA light microscope, equipped with a Motic Advanced 3.2 image analyzer system.

Vickers microhardness (HV) indenter (with 100 g load from the surface to the interior on the cross-sections) used LEICA VMHT-MOT microhardness tester to characterize the microhardness of the phases present in DSS.

The fraction of ferrite phase (magnetic phase) in the absence of intermetallic precipitation was given by Equation 1:

\[
%\alpha = 100 - %\gamma
\]

where \(\alpha\) and \(\gamma\) are the ferrite and austenite phases, respectively.

Knowledge of the fraction of the ferrite phase (before the precipitation of intermetallic phases) enables the estimation of fraction of intermetallic phase (which is non-magnetic) by

\[
%\alpha_1 = 100 - %\gamma - %\sigma \quad \text{or} \quad %\alpha_1 = %\alpha - %\sigma
\]

Therefore,

\[
%\sigma = %\alpha - %\alpha_1
\]

where \(\sigma\) is an intermetallic phase and \(\alpha_1\) is the ferrite phase after part has been consumed by sigma phase.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Sigma phase precipitation by dilatometer tests in duplex stainless steels

Figure 1 shows the curves obtained by dilatometry for duplex stainless steel in four different isotherms at 20 °C per minute heating rate. The dilatograms showed the reactions of precipitation of intermetallic phases, since they cause dimensional alterations in the samples. The sigma phase precipitation leads to a contraction in the material\(^6\), therefore, a shrinkage was observed from a change in the dilatometric curve decay, readily detected at 850 °C for 3h (see Figure 1). Figure 2 displays the dilatogram curves of three isotherms at 800 °C, 850 °C and 900 °C.

Dilatometry enabled measurements of the variation length of the specimen (expansion or contraction) subjected to a controlled temperature program for thermal cycles.

\[\text{PREn} = \%\text{Cr} + 3.3\%\text{Mo} + 16\%\text{N} = 40.1.\]

\[\text{Ni} = 12.80 \text{ and Cr} = 30.35.\]

| Elements | C | Si | Mn | P | S | Mo | Cr | Ni | N | Cu |
|----------|---|----|----|---|---|----|----|----|---|----|
| (% wt.)  | 0.026 | 0.84 | 1.47 | 0.026 | 0.014 | 3.22 | 25.98 | 6.44 | 0.219 | 2.29 |

Table 1. Chemical composition and pitting resistance equivalent number (PREn) of superduplex stainless steel.
A generic equation (Equation 4) can calculate the variation in the sample length as a function of temperature variation:

$$\Delta L = \alpha L_0 \Delta T$$

(4)

where $\Delta L$ is the length variation (in mm), $\alpha$ is the linear expansion coefficient (in °C$^{-1}$), $L_0$ is the initial length (in mm), and $\Delta T$ is the temperature variation (in °C). $\alpha$ is the average linear expansion coefficient between temperatures $T_1$ and $T_2$, measured in °C$^{-1}$.

The sigma phase precipitates between 600 °C and 1,000 °C in stainless steels, and its structure is body-centered tetragonal crystalline. In duplex stainless steels, the ferrite phase exhibits a cubic body-centered structure, whereas the austenite phase displays a face-centered cubic one. When $\sigma$ phase precipitates on $\delta$-ferrite to $\sigma$ phase ($\delta \rightarrow \sigma$), it leads to a precipitate with high Cr in the region of $\delta$-ferrite. The $\sigma$ precipitation is not generally observable if austenitic stainless steels contain Cr below 20 wt.%. However, when the Cr content is between 25 wt.% and 30 wt.%, $\sigma$ is quickly formed - it is the case of DSS in this study.

Figure 3a shows the dilatometric curve decay in an expanded region (ΔL/L$^o$) of the plateau at 850 °C for 3 hours, and Figure 3b displays the complete dilatometric curve: 20 °C.min$^{-1}$ heating rate, isotherm at 800 for 3 hours, and 20 °C.min$^{-1}$ cooling rate.

The dilatometer enabled evaluations of the precipitation period and temperature of intermetallic phases. The precipitation period and temperature of the $\sigma$ phase of duplex stainless steel were approximately 350 seconds (~ 6 min) and 820 °C. At 830 °C, 4% of $\sigma$ precipitated in 990 seconds (~ 17 min).

3.2 Microstructure of duplex stainless steels and sigma phase precipitation

Figure 4 shows the microstructure (after Beraha chemical reagent attack) of duplex stainless steel before and after precipitation of sigma phase in a dilatometric thermal treatment at different temperatures (800 °C, 850 °C, 900 °C and 1000 °C) for 1h and 850 °C for 3h.

Figure 4 displays the sigma phase for “brightly scratches” into $\alpha$ phase enclosure for grain boundaries with $\gamma$ phase that are observed in the specimens treated for 1h at 800, 850 and 900 °C, and practically absent in the samples treated at 1000 °C. Specimens treated at 850 °C showed a higher occurrence of sigma phase (brightly scratches), therefore, this temperature was chosen for a 3-hour step isotherm. According to Figure 4e, after a 3-hour isotherm, the “brightly scratches” increased in the DSS microstructure due to an increase in the precipitated sigma phase content.

3.3 Percentage of magnetic phases via ferritoscope and vickers microhardness tests

Table 2 shows the mean of twenty-five measurements taken by a ferritoscope relative to the volumetric fraction of the magnetic phases present in samples of as received duplex stainless steels, and after dilatometric tests at 800, 850, 900 °C for 1h and, one of them, at 850 °C for 3 hours, whose decrease in the ferrite phase revealed it was consumed for the sigma phase formation. Table 3 shows the ferrite percentage obtained by optical microscopy for DSS subjected to tests.
in dilatometers at 850 °C for 3h and Vickers microhardness values of the phases present in the material.

The decrease in ferrite content and sigma phase formation detected by the ferritoscope for all materials subjected to tests in dilatometers are in accordance with the optical microscopy of the microstructure. At 800, 850 and 900 °C, only a small fraction of sigma phase precipitated in the ferrite phase, where the testing via ferritoscope indicated a small decrease in the fraction of ferrite (magnetic), which was transformed into sigma phase (4%), and tests performed at 850 °C for 3h revealed 18% of sigma phase. The microstructure in Figure 4e indicates 33% of sigma phase by optical microscopy. The sigma phase showed a much higher Vickers microhardness value when compared to austenite and ferrite phases present in solubilized DSS.

Figure 5 displays the DSS microstructure after the sigma phase precipitation in a dilatometric test at 850 °C for 7 hours.

Sigma phase is rich in Cr, Mo and Si (ferrite stabilizing elements) and its formation in DSS occurs basically from the ferrite phase. In addition, the diffusion of these elements sigma formers, particularly Cr, is approximately 100 times

| Test conditions | % average | % maximum | % minimum | Standard deviations |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|
| as received (solubilized) | 35.8 | 37.7 | 34.7 | 0.8 |
| 1000 °C - 1h | 36.1 | 37.4 | 33.7 | 1.0 |
| 900 °C - 1h | 34.7 | 36.3 | 31.8 | 1.0 |
| 850 °C - 1h | 35.1 | 36.5 | 33.1 | 1.0 |
| 800 °C - 1h | 35.1 | 36.6 | 31.0 | 1.3 |
| 850 °C - 3h | 29.6 | 32.9 | 27.2 | 1.6 |

Figure 4. Microstructure of austenite-ferritic steels obtained from a thermal treatment at different temperatures. Microscope magnification: 200X.
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faster in the ferrite phase than in the austenite phase, thus
facilitating its formation. According to Figure 5, σ starts to
precipitate at the grain contours, i.e., γ/σ interphase, and
is intensified by 7-hours exposure at 850 °C. Under such
conditions, the amount of ferrite phase transformed from
the sigma phase was approximately 50%.

Figure 5 displays higher σ phase precipitation kinetics
at 850 °C for 7 hours. Therefore, according to the micrographs,
for longer isothermal times, the sigma phase precipitation,
which started in the contours of the phases, advanced into
ferrite grains.

3.4 Time-Temperature-Transformation (TTT)
diagrams

DSS was investigated regarding the existence and
precipitation of the sigma phase at various temperatures,
towards the construction of a time–temperature–transformation
diagram (TTT) by optical microscopy and magnetic phase
detection (ferritoscopy), which describes heat treatment
routes for reductions or even elimination of the volumetric
fraction of sigma phase in stainless steel of a duplex
structure. Figure 6 shows the TTT diagrams of the sigma
phase between 750 °C and 950 °C.

The precipitation of the sigma phase in raw duplex
stainless steels is dramatic, since it can consume the entire
ferrite phase, transforming it into sigma and austenitic
phases through an eutectoid reaction. The latter differs from
untransformed austenite, since it contains less molybdenum
and chromium, thus causing serious deficiencies in the
properties of the steel. The precipitation of 1% of σ phase
can decrease 50% the energy absorption in the impact
test\textsuperscript{19,24}. Since the sigma intermetallic phase is composed of
several types of atoms, e.g., Cr, Mo and Fe, a quantitative
solution of its precipitation-dissolution mechanism becomes
complex. Therefore, qualitative solutions, such as plotting
of time-temperature-transformation curves, can provide
information on the speed of the sigma phase dissolution in
matrices of duplex stainless steels.

Figure 6 shows the nose of the TTT curve is located in
an 800 °C to 870 °C temperature range, which means the
phase sigma precipitates faster.

No variation was observed in the magnetic phase content
for 1h-thermal cycling at 1,000 °C, which confirmed the
absence of this intermetallic phase by optical micrographic
observation and results of time-temperature-transformation
(TTT) dilatometer curves. The precipitation ranges of σ and
α′ intermetallic phases can be determined so that heating and
cooling rates imposed to this type of material in a dilatometry
oven with similar industrial values. Fragility and corrosion
in structural components made with austenitic-ferritic alloys
were the causes of the non-spread of this class of material;
therefore, prospective attempts of heat treatments for those
alloys should be made towards meeting the requirements
of the specific properties of the material, especially in
abrasive and corrosive environments with applications at
high temperature and mechanical stress.

Table 3. Percentage of ferrite obtained by optical microscopy and Vickers microhardness (HV) values of austenitic (γ) and ferritic (α) phases for as received DSS and sigma phase (σ) after thermal cycling at 850 °C for 3h.

| Sample conditions | Optical microscopy (% ferrite) | Microhardness of Phases, Vickers (HV) |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|                   |                               | γ   | α   | σ   |
| solubilized       | 46                            | 230 | 285 | -   |
| 850 °C - 3h       | 31                            | 230 | 265 | 480 |

Figure 5. Microstructure of austenite-ferritic steels obtained from a thermal treatment at different temperatures. Microscope magnifications: (a) 50X; (b) 500X.

Figure 6. TTT (Time-Temperature-Transformation) diagrams of σ phase precipitation. Black and red curves indicate start and termination, respectively.
4. Conclusions

Results from optical microscopy and ferritoscope tests showed the DSS microstructure after 1-hour thermal cycles in a dilatometer at different temperatures exhibited a small fraction of σ phase precipitated in the ferrite phase with a small decrease (approximately 4%) in the fraction of ferrite (magnetic) phase. On the other hand, tests conducted at 850 °C for three and seven hours showed the sigma phase in the grain boundaries of γ/a phases, which significantly decreased the magnetic phases due to the presence of a fraction of σ phase, i.e., ~15% (for 3h) and approximately 50% (for 7h).

In this case, time was the determining factor in the largest fraction of sigma phase.

The highest sigma phase precipitation occurred in the nose of the TTT curves, at ~850 °C. Such results alert to the avoidance of exposure of components made of DSS to this temperature range. However, when unavoidable, the exposure must be as fast as possible in heating or cooling processes.
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