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Abstract:
Extending the work by Bukhvostov, Frolov, Lipatov and Kuraev (BFLK) on the renormalization of quasipartonic operators we derive a complete set of two-particle renormalization group kernels that enter QCD evolution equations to twist-four accuracy. It is shown that the $2 \rightarrow 2$ evolution kernels which involve “non-partonic” components of field operators, and, most remarkably, also $2 \rightarrow 3$ kernels do not require independent calculation and can be restored from the known results for quasipartonic operators using conformal symmetry and Lorentz transformations. The kernels are presented for the renormalization of light-ray operators built of chiral fields in a particular basis such that the conformal symmetry is manifest. The results can easily be recast in momentum space, in the form of evolution equations for generalized parton distributions.
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1 Introduction

Higher-twist effects generically correspond to corrections to hadronic observables that are suppressed by a power of the (large) momentum transfer or the heavy quark mass. One application where such effects are phenomenologically relevant are high precision studies of the total cross section of deep-inelastic lepton-hadron scattering (DIS). In this case the leading-twist calculations within the standard DGLAP formalism are advanced to the next-to-next-to-leading order. Also lattice calculations of the moments of parton distributions with an accuracy at a percent level are becoming feasible. In this situation taking into account twist-four corrections that are suppressed by a power of the photon virtuality $Q^2$ proves to be increasingly important for the analysis of modern data, see e.g. [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. Twist-three effects are actively discussed in the context of exclusive and semi-inclusive reactions, e.g. deeply-virtual Compton scattering [7, 8] and diffractive electroproduction of vector mesons [9], single spin asymmetry in various reactions [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16], etc. One can expect that with the increasing accuracy of the experimental data the twist-four effects will start playing a role here as well. Another large field of applications are the studies of higher-twist hadron distribution amplitudes (e.g. [17, 18, 19, 20]) that provide one with the important input to the so-called light-cone sum rules [21, 22].

The theoretical description of higher-twist corrections is based on the Wilson Operator Product Expansion (OPE) and involves contributions of a large number of local operators. The corresponding leading-order coefficient functions are usually easy to calculate (e.g. twist-four contributions to DIS are known since many years [23, 24]) but the operator renormalization for the operators of twist-four and higher has not been studied systematically. Up to now, twist-four anomalous dimensions are only known for a subset of four-quark operators [25] and for a few quark-gluon operators of lowest dimension (e.g. [26, 27, 28, 29]). In addition, the structure of the most singular parts of the mixing kernels for small values of the Bjorken variable that are relevant for the contribution of two-pomeron cuts in high-energy scattering processes was considered in [30, 31].

A general formalism was developed by Bukhvostov, Frolov, Lipatov and Kuraev (BFLK) [32] for the special class of so-called quasipartonic operators that are built of “plus” components of quark and gluon fields. For each twist, the set of quasipartonic operators is closed under renormalization and the renormalization group (RG) equation can be written in a Hamiltonian form that involves two-particle “interaction” kernels, cf. Fig. 1a, that can be expressed in terms of two-particle Casimir operators of the collinear subgroup $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ of the conformal group. In this formulation symmetries of the RG equations become explicit. Moreover, the corresponding three-particle quantum-mechanical problem turns out to be completely integrable for a few important cases, and in fact reduces to a Heisenberg spin chain [33]. An almost complete understanding achieved at present of the renormalization of twist-three operators is due to all these formal developments, see [34, 35] for a review and further references.

The goal of our study is to generalize the BFLK approach to the situation where not
all contributing operators are quasipartonic, as it proves to be the case starting with twist four. On this way, there are two complications.

First, the number of fields (“particles”) is not conserved. To one-loop accuracy, the mixing matrix of operators with a given twist has a block-triangular structure as the operators with less fields can mix with ones containing more fields but not vice versa. Operators with the maximum possible number of fields are quasipartonic.

Second, operators involving “minus” and “transverse” derivatives and/or field components must be included. The problem is that transverse derivatives generally do not have good transformation properties with respect to the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ group. In concrete applications it may be possible to get rid of such operators using equations of motion (EOM) and exploiting specific structure of the matrix elements of interest, e.g. if there is no transverse momentum transfer between the initial and the final state. The main problem as far as the operator renormalization is concerned is that after this reduction the conformal symmetry becomes obscured. In the work [36] we have suggested a different, general approach based on the construction of a complete conformal operator basis for all twists. In this basis, the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ symmetry of the RG equations is manifest.

Another important observation was made in [37] where it was shown that the diagonal part of one-loop QCD RG equations (for arbitrary twist) can be written in a Hamiltonian form in terms of quadratic Casimir operators of the full conformal group $SO(4, 2)$. This implies that the $2 \to 2$ kernels of the type shown in Fig. 1a can be obtained from the BFLK kernels in Fig. 1a by the corresponding replacement. Our first goal will be to work out the corresponding relations using the conformal basis of Ref. [36] for generic twist-four operators.

The main new contribution of this work is the calculation of 16 independent $2 \to 3$ kernels corresponding to operator mixing of one “partonic” and one “non-partonic” field in three-particle quasipartonic operators, shown schematically in Fig. 1c. To this end we suggest a new technique based on application of Lorentz transformations (translations and rotations in the transverse plane) to the “diagonal” $2 \to 2$ kernels, which bypasses calculation of Feynman diagrams.

Combining the kernels in Fig. 1a,b,c one obtains a complete set of building blocks for the renormalization of twist-4 operators that involve at most one “non-partonic” field. Strictly speaking, (two-particle) twist-4 operators containing two “non-partonic” fields also exist and have to be included. They can mix into three-particle and also four-
particle operators as in Fig. 1d,e. However, contributions of such operators can always be dispensed off using equations of motion. Therefore, the results presented in our work are in fact sufficient for writing down arbitrary QCD evolution equations to the twist-four accuracy and e.g. calculation of the spectrum of anomalous dimensions of arbitrary twist-four operators. The kernels are written for the renormalization of coordinate-space light-ray operators [38] built of chiral fields and are manifestly $SL(2)$ invariant. We believe that this form is most suitable in practical applications. The results can easily be recast in momentum space, in the form of evolution equations for generalized parton distributions [39]. The application of our formalism to DIS will be presented elsewhere.

The presentation is organized as follows. Sect. 2 is introductory; we explain some basic ideas and the coordinate-space formalism. In Sect. 3 formal definitions are given, and we specify the conformal operator basis that is used throughout this work. Sect. 4 contains a summary of the evolution kernels for quasipartonic operators from Ref. [32] which we rewrite in our language. The generalization of these results to the $2 \rightarrow 2$ and $2 \rightarrow 3$ mixing kernels for non-quasipartonic operators is considered in Sect. 4 and Sect. 5, respectively, where we explain our method on simple examples. The complete results for the $2 \rightarrow 3$ mixing kernels are collected in Sect. 7. The final Sect. 8 is reserved for conclusions.

2 Background

In this work we will use the light-ray operator formalism in the spinor representation. The basic elements and the notation are explained in what follows.

2.1 Light-ray operators

We refer to non-local gauge-invariant operators with all the fields lying on a light-like line $n^2 = 0$ as light-ray operators [40]. The simplest example is

$$O(z_1, z_2) = \bar{q}(z_1n)\gamma^+[z_1, z_2]q(z_2n), \quad (2.1)$$

where $q(x)$ is the quark field and $[z_1, z_2]$ is the (light-like) Wilson line

$$[z_1, z_2] = P \exp \left\{ ig \int_{z_2}^{z_1} du A_+(z_{12}n) \right\}. \quad (2.2)$$

Here and below we use “plus” for the projection on the light-cone direction

$$a_+ \equiv n_\mu a^\mu \quad (2.3)$$

and also a shorthand notation

$$z_{12} = z_1 - z_2, \quad z_{12}^u = \bar{u}z_1 + uz_2, \quad \bar{u} = 1 - u. \quad (2.4)$$
Because of the light-like separation, the operator in (2.1) contains additional (ultraviolet) divergences apart from usual field renormalization, which have to be renormalized. For practical purposes it is sufficient to define the renormalized light-ray operator \([\mathcal{O}]_R\) as the generating function for the renormalized local operators

\[
[\mathcal{O}]_R(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{z_1^k z_2^{N-k}}{k!(N-k)!} [q \leftarrow \gamma_+ D_+^k q]_R.
\]

Note that all local operators appearing on the r.h.s. of (2.5) have the same (geometric) twist \(t = 2\), defined as \(t = \text{dimension-spin} \). The scale-dependence of the renormalized light-ray operator is governed by the renormalization-group (RG) equation

\[
\left( \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + \beta(g) \frac{\partial}{\partial g} + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \mathbb{H} \right) [\mathcal{O}(z_1, z_2)]_R = 0,
\]

where \(\mathbb{H}\) is the integral operator [38]

\[
[\mathbb{H} \cdot \mathcal{O}] (z_1, z_2) = 2C_F \left\{ \int_0^1 \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} \left[ 2\mathcal{O}(z_1, z_2) - \bar{\alpha} \mathcal{O}(z_{12}^\alpha, z_2) - \bar{\alpha} \mathcal{O}(z_1, z_{21}^\alpha) \right] \\
- \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \mathcal{O}(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta) - \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{O}(z_1, z_2) \right\}.
\]

Expansion of Eq. (2.7) in powers of \(z_1, z_2\) generates the mixing matrix for local operators (2.5). Staying with the generating function (light-ray operator) offers, however, several technical advantages. One of them is that \(\mathbb{H}\) and hence the RG equation in this form are manifestly covariant under the \(SL(2, \mathbb{R})\) transformations of the light-cone coordinates which correspond to the collinear subgroup of the conformal group [34], see Sec. 2.3. Another advantage is that the RG equation (2.4), (2.7) is completely general. It can be rewritten as the evolution equation for the generalized (quark) parton distribution [39] and reduces to DGLAP and ERBL evolution equations in the appropriate kinematic limits. For example, in the case of the total cross section of deep-inelastic scattering there is no momentum transfer between the initial and the final state, so that light-ray operators that differ by a total translation can be identified: \(\langle P | \mathcal{O}(z_1 + y, z_2 + y) | P \rangle = \langle P | \mathcal{O}(z_1, z_2) | P \rangle\). In this case Eq. (2.7) simplifies to [38]

\[
[\mathbb{H} \cdot \mathcal{O}] (0, z) = -2C_F \int_0^1 du K(u) \mathcal{O}(0, uz)
\]

with the kernel

\[
K(u) = \frac{1 + u^2}{[1 - u]^+} + \frac{3}{2} \delta(1 - u).
\]

Writing the DIS matrix element as a Fourier transform of the parton distribution [42]

\[
\langle P | \mathcal{O}(0, z) | P \rangle = 2P_+ \int_{-1}^1 dx e^{-iP_+ z x} F(x)
\]
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and picking up, e.g. the quark contribution, \( F_q(x) \equiv F(x), x > 0 \), Eq. (2.6) becomes

\[
\mu^2 \frac{d}{d\mu^2} F_q(x, \mu) = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} C_F \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} K(y) F_q(x/y, \mu)
\]  

(2.11)

so that \( C_F K(x) \) is nothing but the familiar DGLAP quark splitting function \( P_{q-q} \).

On the other hand, moments of \( K(x) \) correspond to anomalous dimensions of (flavor-nonsinglet) local operators:

\[
\gamma_n = -2C_F \int_0^1 dx x^{n-1} K(x) = C_F \left[ 4(\psi(n + 1) + \gamma_E) - \frac{2}{n(n + 1)} - 3 \right].
\]

(2.12)

The expressions in Eqs. (2.6), (2.7) give an example of what we are aiming at for the description of higher-twist operators. The advantage of this, coordinate space formulation is that conformal symmetry is manifest and also there is an immediate relation both to renormalization of local operators and DGLAP-type equations for the corresponding (multi) parton distributions.

### 2.2 Spinor Representation

We use spinor formalism and follow the conventions adopted in Ref. [36]. To this end, each covariant four-vector \( x_\mu \) is mapped to a hermitian matrix \( x \):

\[
x_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = x_\mu (\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \bar{x}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} = x_\mu (\bar{\sigma}^\mu)^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha},
\]

where \( \sigma^\mu = (\mathbb{1}, \sigma), \) \( \bar{\sigma}^\mu = (\mathbb{1}, -\sigma) \) and \( \sigma \) are the usual Pauli matrices. The Dirac (quark) spinor \( q \) is written as

\[
q = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_\alpha \\ \bar{\chi}^\beta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{q} = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_\beta \\ \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix},
\]

(2.13)

where \( \psi_\alpha, \chi^\beta \) are two-component Weyl spinors, \( \bar{\psi}_\dot{\alpha} = (\psi_\alpha)^\dagger, \) \( \chi^\alpha = (\chi^{\dot{\alpha}})^\dagger \). The gluon strength tensor \( F_{\mu\nu} \) can be decomposed as

\[
F_{\alpha\beta,\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = \sigma^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \sigma^{\nu}_{\beta\dot{\beta}} F_{\mu\nu} = 2 \left( \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \bar{f}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - \epsilon_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \bar{f}_{\beta\dot{\beta}} \right).
\]

(2.14)

Here \( f_{\alpha\beta} \) and \( \bar{f}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \) are chiral and antichiral symmetric tensors, \( f^* = \bar{f}, \) which belong to \( (1, 0) \) and \( (0, 1) \) representations of the Lorenz group, respectively. For the dual strength tensor \( \bar{F}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma} \) one obtains

\[
i\bar{F}_{\alpha\beta,\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = 2(\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \bar{f}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \epsilon_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \bar{f}_{\beta\dot{\beta}}),
\]

(2.15)

so that \( f_{\alpha\beta} (\bar{f}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}) \) can also be identified as the selfdual (anti-selfdual) component of the field strength. The corresponding explicit expressions are

\[
f_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{4} \left( D_\alpha \dot{\alpha} \bar{A}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} + D_\beta \dot{\beta} \bar{A}_{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \right), \quad \bar{f}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = \frac{1}{4} \left( \bar{D}_\dot{\alpha} \alpha A_{\alpha\beta} + \bar{D}_\dot{\beta} \alpha A_{\alphadot\dot{\alpha}} \right).
\]

(2.16)
where the covariant derivative is defined as \( D_\mu = \partial_\mu - igA_\mu \). For convenience, we present the expressions for Dirac matrices in the spinor basis:

\[
\gamma^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & [\sigma^\mu]_{\alpha\beta} \\ [\bar{\sigma}^\mu]_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} [\sigma^{\mu\nu}]_{\alpha\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & [\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}]_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_5 = \begin{pmatrix} -\delta^\alpha_\beta & 0 \\ 0 & \delta^\alpha_\beta \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.17}
\]

Here \( \sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu] \), \( \gamma_5 = i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3 \) and

\[
(\sigma^{\mu\nu})^\alpha_\beta = \frac{i}{2}[\sigma^\mu\sigma^\nu - \sigma^\nu\sigma^\mu]^\alpha_\beta, \quad (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\beta}} = \frac{i}{2}[\bar{\sigma}^\mu\bar{\sigma}^\nu - \bar{\sigma}^\nu\bar{\sigma}^\mu]^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\beta}}. \tag{2.18}
\]

Any light-like vector can be represented as a product of two spinors. We introduce two independent light-like vectors as

\[
n_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \lambda_{\alpha}\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad n^2 = 0,
\]

\[
\tilde{n}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \mu_{\alpha}\bar{\mu}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \tilde{n}^2 = 0, \tag{2.19}
\]

where \( \bar{\lambda} = \lambda^1, \bar{\mu} = \mu^1 \). The basis vectors in the plane transverse to \( n, \tilde{n} \) can be chosen as \( \mu_{\alpha}\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}} \) and \( \lambda_{\alpha}\bar{\mu}_{\dot{\alpha}} \). An arbitrary four-vector \( x_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \) can be represented as

\[
x_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = z\lambda_{\alpha}\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}} + \bar{z}\mu_{\alpha}\bar{\mu}_{\dot{\alpha}} + w\lambda_{\alpha}\bar{\mu}_{\dot{\alpha}} + \bar{w}\mu_{\alpha}\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad x^2 = (\mu\lambda)(\bar{\lambda}\bar{\mu})[zz - \bar{w}\bar{w}], \tag{2.20}
\]

where \( z \) and \( \bar{z} \) are real and \( w, \bar{w} = w^* \) complex coordinates in the two light-like directions and the transverse plane, respectively.

The “+” and “−” fields are defined as the projections onto \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \) spinors, respectively:

\[
\psi_+ = \lambda^\alpha \psi_\alpha, \quad \chi_+ = \lambda^\alpha \chi_\alpha, \quad f_+ = \lambda^\alpha \chi_\beta f_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \bar{\psi}_+ = \bar{\lambda}^\dot{\alpha} \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \bar{\chi}_+ = \bar{\lambda}^\dot{\alpha} \bar{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \bar{f}_+ = \bar{\lambda}^\dot{\alpha} \bar{\chi}_\beta \bar{f}_{\dot{\alpha}\beta}.
\]

\[
\psi_- = \mu^\alpha \psi_\alpha, \quad \bar{\chi}_- = \bar{\mu}^\dot{\alpha} \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad f_- = \lambda^\alpha \bar{\lambda}_\beta f_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{2.21}
\]

etc. Fields with free spinor indices can be written in terms of the “+” and “−” components, e.g.

\[
(\mu\lambda) \psi_\alpha(z) = \lambda_{\alpha} \psi_-(z) - \mu_{\alpha} \psi_+(z), \quad (\mu\lambda)^2 f_{\alpha\beta}(z) = \lambda_{\alpha}\lambda_{\beta} f_-(z) - (\lambda_{\alpha}\mu_{\beta} + \lambda_{\beta}\mu_{\alpha}) f_+(z) + \mu_{\alpha}\mu_{\beta} f_+(z). \tag{2.22}
\]

Note that in difference to Ref. [36] we do not impose any particular normalization condition on the auxiliary spinors \( \lambda, \mu \). Without loss of generality one can put e.g. \( (\mu\lambda) = 1 \). However, keeping this factor proves to be convenient as it allows one to keep track of the balance of \( \lambda, \mu, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu} \) in the equations.

The light-ray operator \((2.17)\) is decomposed as

\[
\bar{q}(z_1)\gamma_+ q(z_2) = \bar{\psi}_+(z_1)\psi_+(z_2) + \chi_+(z_1)\bar{\chi}_+(z_2), \quad \bar{q}(z_1)\gamma_5\gamma_+ q(z_2) = \bar{\psi}_+(z_1)\psi_+(z_2) - \chi_+(z_1)\bar{\chi}_+(z_2), \tag{2.23}
\]
where \( q(z_2) = q(z_2n) \) etc., and the Wilson lines are implied.

As a less trivial example, consider the three-particle light-ray operators \( S^\pm_\mu \) 

\[
S^\pm_\mu(z_1, z_2, z_3) = g \bar{q}(z_1) \left[ F_{\mu+}(z_2) \pm \tilde{F}_{\mu+}(z_2) i\gamma_5 \right] \gamma_+ q(z_3)
\]  

(2.24)

which contribute to polarized deep-inelastic scattering to twist-three accuracy (to the structure function \( g_2(x, Q^2) \)). Going over to \( S^\pm_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \sigma^\mu_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} S^\pm_\mu \) one finds easily

\[
S^+_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = 2g \left[ \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}^+(z_1) f_{+\alpha}(z_2) \psi^+(z_3) + \lambda_\alpha \chi^+(z_1) f_{+\dot{\alpha}}(z_2) \bar{\chi}^+(z_3) \right],
\]  

(2.25)

\[
S^-_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = 2g \left[ \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}} \chi^+(z_1) f_{+\alpha}(z_2) \bar{\chi}^+(z_3) + \lambda_\alpha \bar{\psi}^+(z_1) f_{+\dot{\alpha}}(z_2) \psi^+(z_3) \right].
\]  

(2.26)

The operators \( S^\pm_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \) contain both twist-three and twist-four contributions. Indeed, expansion of \( \bar{\psi}^+(z_1) f_{+\alpha}(z_2) \psi^+(z_3) \) (and similarly for the other terms) at short distances goes over local operators \( O_{\alpha,\alpha_1,...,\alpha_{k+1};\dot{\alpha}_1,...,\dot{\alpha}_k} \) (where \( k \) is the total number of covariant derivatives) which are symmetric in all dotted indices \( \dot{\alpha}_1, ... , \dot{\alpha}_k \) and also in the subset of the undotted ones, \( \alpha_1, ... , \alpha_{k+1} \). In order to separate the (leading) twist-three contribution one has to symmetrize in the remaining undotted index \( \alpha \). The answer can be written as follows (cf. [38]):

\[
[\bar{\psi}^+(z_1) f_{+\alpha}(z_2) \psi^+(z_3)]^{tw-3} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda^\alpha} \int_0^1 d\tau \tau^2 \bar{\psi}^+(\tau z_1) f_{++}(\tau z_2) \psi^+(\tau z_3).
\]  

(2.27)

As it should be, the twist-three part of this operator involves only “+” fields. For higher-twist operators twist separation becomes rather cumbersome in the “vector” formalism and going over to the spinor basis yields considerable advantages. We will encounter further examples in what follows.

### 2.3 \( SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \) invariance

It is well known that conformal symmetry of the QCD Lagrangian imposes nontrivial constraints on the structure of RG equations to the one-loop accuracy, see e.g. Ref. [34]. For fields “living” on the light-cone, \( \Phi(zn) \), it is sufficient to consider the so-called collinear subgroup \( SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \) of the conformal group \( SO(4, 2) \), corresponding to projective (Möbius) transformations of the line \( x = zn \):

\[
\begin{align*}
z & \rightarrow \frac{az + b}{cz + d}, \quad ab - cd = 1, \\
a, b, c, d & \text{ are real numbers.}
\end{align*}
\]

A field with definite spin projection \( s \) on the light-cone transforms according to the irreducible representation of the \( SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \) group with the conformal spin

\[
j = \frac{1}{2} (\ell^{\text{can}} + s) = \ell^{\text{can}} - E/2,
\]  

(2.28)
where $\ell^{\text{can}}$ is the (canonical) dimension and $E = \ell^{\text{can}} - s$ is the collinear twist. Action of the $SL(2)$ generators on quantum fields can be traded for the differential operators acting on field coordinates. In this representation the generators become

$$S_+ = z^2 \partial_z + 2jz, \quad S_0 = z\partial_z + j, \quad S_- = -\partial_z. \quad (2.29)$$

They obey the standard commutation relations

$$[S_+, S_-] = 2S_0, \quad [S_0, S_\pm] = \pm S_\pm. \quad (2.30)$$

A finite form of the group transformations is

$$[T^j(g^{-1})\Phi](z) = \left(\frac{1}{cz + d}\right)^{2j} \Phi \left(\frac{az + b}{cz + d}\right), \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.31)$$

Note that the field decomposition in “+” and “−” components as in Eq. (2.22) is equivalent to the separation of different spin projections, $s = +1/2$ and $s = -1/2$, resulting in different values of the conformal spin $j = 1$ and $j = 1/2$ for $\psi_+(z)$ and $\psi_-(z)$, respectively. Similarly for gluon fields: $f_{++}$ corresponds to $j = 3/2$, $f_{+-}$ to $j = 1$, etc.

The functional form of the “Hamiltonians” $\mathcal{H}$ that appear in the RG equations for light-ray operators (2.6), cf. Eq. (2.7), is constrained by the $SL(2)$ invariance. For illustration, consider the simplest case: A light-ray operator built of fields with conformal spins $j_1$ and $j_2$ mixing into a light-ray operator built of the fields with the same spins.

We are looking for an invariant kernel $\mathcal{H}_{12}$ acting on functions of two variables $\varphi(z_1, z_2)$ which transform according to the representation $T^{j_1} \otimes T^{j_2}$:

$$\varphi(z_1, z_2) \rightarrow [T^{j_1}(g) \otimes T^{j_2}(g)\varphi](z_1, z_2) = (cz_1 + d)^{-2j_1}(cz_2 + d)^{-2j_2} \varphi \left(\frac{az_1 + b}{cz_1 + d}, \frac{az_2 + b}{cz_2 + d}\right). \quad (2.32)$$

The $SL(2)$ invariance means that $\mathcal{H}$ commutes with group transformations:

$$\mathcal{H}_{12} T^{j_1}(g) \otimes T^{j_2}(g) = T^{j_1}(g) \otimes T^{j_2}(g) \mathcal{H}_{12}. \quad (2.33)$$

There are different ways to define an operator. The first one is to specify the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{H}_{12}$ on each irreducible component in the tensor product decomposition

$$T^{j_1} \otimes T^{j_2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T^{j_1 + j_2 + n}, \quad \varphi(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi_n(z_1, z_2). \quad (2.34)$$

Let

$$\mathcal{H}_{12} \varphi_n(z_1, z_2) = h_n \varphi_n(z_1, z_2). \quad (2.35)$$

On the other hand

$$S_{12}^2 \varphi_n(z_1, z_2) = j_n(j_n - 1)\varphi_n(z_1, z_2), \quad j_n = n + j_1 + j_2. \quad (2.36)$$
where

\[ S_{12}^2 = -\partial_1 \partial_2 z_{12}^2 + 2(j_1 - 1)\partial_2 z_{21} + 2(j_2 - 1)\partial_1 z_{12} + (j_1 + j_2 - 1)(j_1 + j_2 - 2) \]  

(2.37)
is the two-particle Casimir operator, \( S_{12}^2 = (S_1^2 + S_2^2)^2 \). Here \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) are the generators acting on \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \) coordinates, respectively, \( \partial_k \equiv \partial/\partial z_k \) and \( z_{12} = z_1 - z_2 \), cf. (2.3). It proves to be convenient to define the operator \( J_{12} \) as a formal solution of the operator equation

\[ S_{12}^2 = J_{12}(J_{12} - 1), \quad J_{12} \varphi_n(z_1, z_2) = j_n \varphi_n(z_1, z_2). \]  

(2.38)

Since \( H_{12} \) and \( J_{12} \) have the same eigenfunctions, they can be diagonalized simultaneously which means that \( H_{12} = h(J_{12}) \) where \( h(j) \) is an ordinary function which can be found by expressing the eigenvalues \( h_n \) in terms of \( j_n \). For example, the operator in Eq. (2.7) can be written as

\[ H = 2C_F \left[ \psi(J_{12} + 1) + \psi(J_{12} - 1) - 2\psi(1) - \frac{3}{2} \right], \]  

(2.39)

where \( \psi(x) = d\ln \Gamma(x)/dx \) is the Euler \( \psi \)-function. This is the most concise form. Moreover, as noticed in Ref. [37], the renormalization group kernels involving fields with other spin projections can be obtained from this expression replacing the \( SL(2) \) Casimir operators by the ones of the full four-dimensional conformal group \( O(4, 2) \). We will use this technique in Sect. 5.

Often it is preferable to have a more functional definition of an operator. It can be shown that action of any \( SL(2, R) \) invariant operator \( H_{12} \) on a function \( \varphi(z_1, z_2) \) can be written in the form

\[ [H_{12}\varphi](z_1, z_2) = \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \alpha^{2j_1-2} \beta^{2j_2-2} \omega \left( \frac{\alpha\beta}{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} \right) \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta), \]  

(2.40)

where \( \omega(x) \) is an arbitrary function of one variable. For the same example in Eq. (2.7)

\[ [H \cdot O](z_1, z_2) = 2C_F \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \left\{ \delta \left( \frac{\alpha\beta}{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} \right) \left[ O(z_1, z_2) - O(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta) \right] - O(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta) - 3 \right\}. \]  

(2.41)

We stress that the conformal symmetry only becomes manifest in the properly chosen operator basis. Problem is that light-ray operators involving “−” components of the fields can mix in operators containing “−” or transverse derivatives that do not have, in general, good properties under conformal transformations. A general approach how to deal with such contributions in a conformally covariant way was developed in Ref. [36]. The idea is to expand the light-ray operator basis by adding primary fields with a particular transverse derivative, e.g. \( D_{+,+} \psi \), and at the same time eliminating the other derivative, \( D_{++} \psi \), using equations of motion. We will elaborate on this proposal in the following Section.
3 Conformal Operator Basis

3.1 Light-ray fields

We define one-particle quark, antiquark and gluon light-ray operators (alias light-ray fields) including the Wilson line

$$[0, z] \Phi(z) \equiv \text{Pexp} \left\{ -ig z \int_0^1 du (n \cdot A)(uzn) \right\} \Phi(zn). \quad (3.1)$$

The Wilson lines are always assumed although in many cases they will not be written explicitly. Here and below we use a shorthand notation $\Phi(zn)$ etc.

Light-ray fields can be viewed as generating functions for local operators with covariant derivatives that arise through the (formal) Taylor expansion

$$[0, z] \Phi(z) = \sum_k z^k (n \cdot D)^k \Phi(0) = \sum_k \frac{z^k}{2^k k!} (\bar{\lambda} \tilde{D} \lambda)^k \Phi(0). \quad (3.2)$$

Note that all local operators on the r.h.s. of (3.2) have the same collinear twist as the field $\Phi$ itself since each $(n \cdot D)$ derivative adds one unit of dimension and spin projection, simultaneously.

It is implied that the Wilson line in Eq. (3.1) is written in the appropriate representation of the color group. In order to unify the notation we introduce the $SU(N)$ generators acting on quark, antiquark and gluon fields as follows:

$$t^a \Phi = \begin{cases} (t^a \psi)^i = T^a_{ii'} \psi^{i'} & \text{for the quark fields } \psi, \bar{\chi} \\ (t^a \bar{\psi})^i = -T^a_{ii'} \bar{\psi}^{i'} & \text{for the antiquark fields } \bar{\psi}, \chi \\ (t^a f)^b = i f^{abc} f^{b'} & \text{for the (anti)self-dual gluon fields } f, \bar{f} \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

where $T^a$ are the usual generators in the fundamental representation and $f^{abc}$ are the structure constants. Then, in particular

$$D\psi \equiv (\partial - ig A^a T^a)\psi,$$

$$D\bar{\psi} \equiv \bar{\psi}(\bar{\partial} + ig A^a T^a). \quad (3.4)$$

We tacitly assume existence of $n_F$ quark flavors; flavor indices will not be shown explicitly in most cases.

We will use the notation $\Phi_+$ for the chiral “+” fields and $\Phi_+$ for the antichiral ones:

$$\Phi_+ = \{ \psi_+, \chi_+, f_{++} \}, \quad \bar{\Phi}_+ = \{ \bar{\psi}_+, \bar{\chi}_+, \bar{f}_{++} \},$$

$$\Phi_- = \{ \psi_-, \chi_-, f_{+-} \}, \quad \bar{\Phi}_- = \{ \bar{\psi}_-, \bar{\chi}_-, \bar{f}_{+-} \}. \quad (3.5)$$

The fields $\Phi_+, \bar{\Phi}_+$ have collinear twist $E = 1$, while $\Phi_-, \bar{\Phi}_-$ have $E = 2$. In addition, one-particle $E = 2$ operators can be constructed by adding transverse derivatives to
\begin{table}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
 & $\psi_+$ & $f_{++}$ & $\psi_-$ & $f_{--}$ & $\bar{D}^{-+}\psi_+$ & $\bar{D}^{-+}f_{++}$ \\
\hline
$j$ & 1 & 3/2 & 1/2 & 1 & 3/2 & 2 \\
$E$ & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
$H$ & 1/2 & 1 & -1/2 & 0 & 3/2 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

Table 1: The conformal spin $j$, collinear twist $E$ and helicity $H$ of the light-ray primary fields. The quantum numbers of the $\chi_+$ and $\chi_-$ fields coincide with those for $\psi_+$ and $\psi_-$, respectively. The anti-chiral fields $\bar{\psi}_+$, ... have the same conformal spin as their chiral counterparts and the opposite chirality.

$E = 1$ “plus” fields, e.g. $\bar{D}^{-+}\psi_+, \bar{D}^{-+}\psi_+$, where $\bar{D}^{-+} = (\bar{\mu}\bar{D}\lambda)$ etc. Some of them (but not all, in a general situation) can be excluded from consideration with the help of equations of motion (EOM). A systematic procedure to treat such contributions is presented in Ref. [36] where we have shown that EOM can be used to eliminate a “half” of the transverse derivatives in such a way that the remaining fields (with a derivative) transform as primary fields under the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ transformations. In the above example one has to eliminate $\bar{D}^{-+}\psi_+$ in favor of $\bar{D}^{-+}\psi_+$.

The complete basis of light-ray one-particle primary fields that is sufficient for the studies of twist-4 operators includes the following operators:

$$X = \{\Phi_+, \bar{\Phi}_+, \Phi_-, \bar{\Phi}_-, \bar{D}^{-+}\Phi_+, D^{-+}\bar{\Phi}_+\}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.6)

Each primary field $X$ carries two more quantum numbers in addition to the conformal spin — collinear twist $E$ and chirality $H$ — which are eigenvalues of the two remaining generators of the full conformal group that commute with the light-cone $SL(2, R)$ collinear subgroup, see Table 1. The fields $\psi_\pm$ and $\chi_\pm$ have the same quantum numbers, so that we display them for the $\psi$–field only. The anti-chiral fields $\bar{\Phi}$ have the same conformal spin as the chiral ones $\Phi$ but opposite chirality $H \rightarrow -H$.

### 3.2 Composite light-ray operators

Gauge-invariant $N$-particle light-ray operators can be defined as a product of primary fields

$$\mathcal{O}(z_1, \ldots, z_N) = SX(z_1) \otimes X(z_2) \otimes \ldots \otimes X(z_N)$$

$$\equiv S_{i_1 \ldots i_N}([0, z_1]X(z_1))^{i_1}([0, z_2]X(z_2))^{i_2} \ldots ([0, z_N]X(z_N))^{i_N},$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.7)

where $X(z_k)$ are the fields from the set in Eq. (3.6), $i_1, \ldots, i_N$ are color indices and $S_{i_1 \ldots i_N}$ an invariant color tensor such that

$$[(t_1)^a_{k_1 i_1} + (t_2)^a_{k_2 i_2} + \ldots + (t_N)^a_{k_N i_N}]S_{i_1 \ldots i_N} = 0.$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.8)

Here it is implied that the generators $t^a$ are taken in the appropriate representation, cf. Eq. (3.3). The condition in Eq. (3.8) ensures that $\mathcal{O}(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$ is a color singlet.
Composite light-ray operators (3.7) transform as a product of primary fields under collinear conformal transformations

\[ \mathcal{O}(z_1, \ldots, z_k) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{k} (cz_i + d)^{-2j_i} \mathcal{O}(z'_1, \ldots, z'_k), \]  

(3.9)

where \( z' = (az + b)/(cz + d) \) and \( j_i \) is the conformal spin of the \( i \)-th “constituent” field.

The collinear twist of a multiparticle light-ray operator is equal, obviously, to the sum of twists of the fields, \( E = E_1 + \ldots + E_N \). For a given \( N \), the lowest possible twist is \( E = N \) and it corresponds to operators built of “plus” components of the fields only, \( X = \{ \Phi_+, \bar{\Phi}_+ \} \). Such operators are known as quasipartonic [32]. The structure of logarithmic ultraviolet singularities in the relevant one-loop Feynman diagrams is such that the operators with less fields can mix into the operators containing more fields, but not the other way around. As a consequence, the set of quasipartonic operators is closed under renormalization. The corresponding RG equations were derived in Ref. [32]. They are sufficient for a calculation of the scale-dependence of arbitrary twist-three observables in QCD, e.g. in polarized DIS and also leading-twist baryon distribution amplitudes.

Multiparticle operators with \( E = N + 1 \) built of one “minus” field with \( E = 2 \) and \( N - 1 \) “plus” fields with \( E = 1 \) are the next in complexity. They are subject of this paper. These operators can mix among themselves and also with \( N + 1 \)-particle quasipartonic operators. The RG equations for such operators derived below are sufficient for a calculation of the scale dependence of arbitrary twist-four observables.

As an illustration, consider a typical twist-4 operator \( i\bar{q}F_{\mu\nu}n^\mu\gamma^\nu\gamma_5q \) which contributes to the DIS structure functions at the level of power-suppressed \( 1/Q^2 \) corrections in the “longitudinal” operator basis of Ref. [23]. Going over to spinor notation one obtains

\[ i\bar{q}F_{\mu\nu}n^\mu\gamma^\nu\gamma_5q = \bar{\psi}^+ (f\psi)_+ - (\bar{\psi}f)\psi^+_+ - \chi^+ (\bar{f}\chi)_+ + (\chi f)_+ \bar{\chi}^+. \]  

(3.10)

Each of the four terms can now be rewritten as a combination of two “plus” fields and one “minus” field, e.g.

\[ (\mu\lambda)\bar{\psi}^+ (f\psi)_+ = \bar{\psi}^+_+ f^+ _+ \psi^+_+ - \bar{\psi}^+ f^+ _+ \psi^+_-. \]  

(3.11)

Twist-four operators built of two “minus” fields also exist, e.g. \( \bar{\psi}_- \psi_- \), but they can (and should) be eliminated using EOM so that one does not need to consider them explicitly. In this particular case (DIS) twist-four operators involving \( \bar{D}^- \Phi_+^+, D^-\Phi_+^+ \) can be eliminated using EOM as well, however, only at the cost of loosing manifest \( SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \) covariance of the evolution equations, see Ref. [30]. To avoid confusion, we stress that the operators with transverse derivatives \( \bar{D}^- \Phi_+^+, D^-\Phi_+^+ \) are introduced here in order to maintain conformal covariance as an extension of the “longitudinal” operator basis of Ref. [23]. They are not the same as the “transverse” operators of Ellis–Furmanski-Petronzio (EFP) [24] that are advantageous in another aspect: they yield simpler coefficient functions.
3.3 Renormalization-group equations for light-ray operators

Operators with the same quantum numbers mix under renormalization. Let $O_i(X)$ $i = 1, \ldots, L$ be the complete set of such operators. A renormalized operator is written as

$$ [O_i(X)]_R = Z_{ik} O_k(X_0), \quad (3.12) $$

where $X_0 = Z_X X$ is the bare field. Renormalized operators satisfy the RG equation

$$ \left( \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + \beta(g) \frac{\partial}{\partial g} + \gamma_{ik} \right) [O_k(X)]_R = 0. \quad (3.13) $$

Here $\beta(g)$ is the (QCD) beta function and

$$ \gamma = -\mu \frac{d}{d\mu} Z Z^{-1} $$

is the matrix of anomalous dimensions. To the one-loop accuracy in dimensional regularization $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$ one obtains

$$ Z = I + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi\epsilon} H \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} H. \quad (3.14) $$

The operator $H$ (Hamiltonian) has a block-triangular form (at one loop). It follows from the fact that the $N-$particle operators can only mix with $M \geq N-$particle operators, thus

$$ H \begin{pmatrix} O^{(2)} \\ O^{(3)} \\ \vdots \\ O^{(N)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} H^{(2\rightarrow 2)} & H^{(2\rightarrow 3)} & \ldots & \ldots \\ 0 & H^{(3\rightarrow 3)} & H^{(3\rightarrow 4)} & \ldots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & H^{(N\rightarrow N)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} O^{(2)} \\ O^{(3)} \\ \vdots \\ O^{(N)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.15) $$

Further, it follows from the inspection of Feynman diagrams that the diagonal blocks are given by the sum of two-particles kernels, $H^{(2\rightarrow 2)}_{ik}$

$$ H^{(n\rightarrow n)} = \sum_{i,k} H^{(2\rightarrow 2)}_{ik}. \quad (3.16) $$

The general structure of the kernels is

$$ H^{(2\rightarrow 2)}_{ij} [X^{i_1}(z_1) \otimes X^{j_2}(z_2)] = \sum_q \sum_{i_1'i_2'} [C_{ij}]^{i_1'i_2'}_q [H^{(q)}_{ij} X^{i_1'}(z_1) \otimes X^{j_2'}(z_2)]. \quad (3.17) $$

Here $[C_{ij}]^{i_1'i_2'}_q$ is a color tensor, $H^{(q)}_{ij}$ is an $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ invariant operator which acts on coordinates of the fields, and $q$ enumerates different structures. Except for the cases when $X \otimes X = \psi \otimes \psi, \chi \otimes \bar{\chi}, f \otimes \bar{f}$, the operator $H$ does not change the components of the primary fields, i.e. $H : \psi \otimes \psi \to \psi \otimes \psi, \psi \otimes f \to \psi \otimes f$ and so on.
Similarly, for $\mathbb{H}^{(n\to n+1)}$ one gets

$$\mathbb{H}^{(n\to n+1)} = \sum_{i,k}^{n} \mathbb{H}_{ik}^{(2\to 3)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.18)

with

$$\mathbb{H}_{12}^{(2\to 3)}[X^{i_1}(z_1) \otimes X^{i_2}(z_2)] = \sum_{q} \sum_{i_1',i_2'} [C_q^{i_1'i_1''i_2'i_2''} [\mathcal{H}_{q}^{(q)} X^{i_1'} \otimes X^{i_2'} \otimes X^{i_3'}](z_1, z_2), \hspace{1cm} (3.19)$$

where the $(2 \to 3)$ kernels $\mathcal{H}_{q}^{(q)}$ are of course different from the $(2 \to 2)$ ones in Eq. (3.17), and so on.

The two-particle kernels $\mathbb{H}_{ik}^{(2\to 2)}$, $\mathbb{H}_{ik}^{(2\to 3)}$ correspond to the counterterms to the product of light-ray fields (3.1) with open color indices $M = X(z_1) \otimes X(z_2)$, where $X(z)$ belongs to the set (3.6). Such objects are, obviously, not gauge invariant and discussing their properties one has to specify the gauge fixing scheme. We will use the light-cone gauge and the background field formalism (see [43] for a review).

We recall that in this formalism one splits the fields in the quantum and classical components, $q \to q^c + q$, $A_{\mu} \to A_{\mu}^c + a_{\mu}$. Taking into account quantum corrections to the composite operator $M = M(q, A)$ corresponds to the calculation of the path integral over the quantum fields

$$\langle\langle M(q^c, A^c) \rangle\rangle = \int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}a \, M(q^c + q, A^c + a) \exp \left\{ iS_R(q^c + q, A^c + a) + \frac{i}{2\xi} a_+^2 \right\}. \hspace{1cm} (3.20)$$

Here $S_R(q, A)$ is the renormalized QCD action, $a_+ = (a \cdot n)$, where $n^2 = 0$ and $\xi$ is the gauge fixing parameter which, in the light-cone gauge, has to be sent to zero, $\xi \to 0$. One has a certain freedom to choose the transformation properties of quantum and classical gauge fields under gauge transformations [43]. In particular one can assume that

$$a' = UaU^\dagger, \quad A' = UAU^\dagger - \frac{i}{g} U\partial U^\dagger. \hspace{1cm} (3.21)$$

The light-ray fields (3.1) transform homogeneously, $X' = U(z = 0)X$, so that $M(q, A)$ transforms as the product of gauge matrices, $U(0) \otimes U(0)$, taken at space-time point zero and in the appropriate representation of the color group. As it is easy to see, Eq. (3.21) guarantees that $\langle\langle M(q^c, A^c) \rangle\rangle$ transforms in exactly the same way. This means that the counterterms to the product $X(z_1) \otimes X(z_2)$ are given in terms of products of the fields $X$ themselves. For example, the gluon field $A_{\mu}^c$ can only appear as a part of the field strength tensor, $F_{\mu\nu}^c$, or inside a covariant derivative.

The advantage of using the light-cone gauge is that it makes explicit the two-particle structure of the RG equations. The price one pays for this property is, however, breaking
of the Lorentz invariance. In particular, “+” and “−” components of the fields are renormalized in a different way

\[ [q_\pm]_0 = Z_\pm q_\pm, \quad [A_\mu]_0 = R_\mu^\nu A_\nu. \]

At one loop \[44\]

\[ Z_+ = 1 + \frac{3\alpha_s}{8\pi\epsilon} C_F, \quad Z_- = 1 - \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi\epsilon} C_F, \tag{3.22} \]

and

\[ R_{\mu\nu} = Z_3^{1/2} \left[ g_{\mu\nu} - \left( 1 - \tilde{Z}_3^{-1} \right) \frac{n_\mu \tilde{n}_\nu}{(n\tilde{n})} \right], \tag{3.23} \]

with

\[ Z_3 = 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi\epsilon} \left( \frac{11}{3} N_c - \frac{2}{3} n_f \right), \quad \tilde{Z}_3 = 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi\epsilon} N_c. \tag{3.24} \]

The renormalized coupling constant is related to the bare one as \( g_0 = Z_3^{-1/2} g \). In physical quantities such as the \( S \)-matrix or correlation functions of gauge-invariant operators, the Lorentz invariance is, of course, restored.

For more details on the renormalization of QCD in the light-cone gauge see Ref. \[44, 45\] and references therein.

### 4 Quasipartonic Operators

The primary field \( X \) (3.6) has six different “plus” components so that one has to know 21 \( (2 \rightarrow 2) \) kernels to describe the renormalization of an arbitrary quasipartonic operator. Parity and charge conjugation symmetry leave only seven independent kernels which were all calculated in Ref. [32]. These results are summarized below.

Conformal symmetry dictates that the two-particle kernels acting on the coordinates of the fields must have the general form in Eq. (2.40) \[4\]. In one-loop calculations in QCD...
only a limited amount of “standard” functions appear:

\[
\begin{align*}
[\hat{H} \varphi](z_1, z_2) &= \int_0^1 \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} \left[ 2\varphi(z_1, z_2) - \bar{\alpha}^{2j_1-1}\varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_2) - \bar{\alpha}^{2j_2-1}\varphi(z_1, z_{21}^\alpha) \right], \quad (4.1) \\
[\mathcal{H}^d \varphi](z_1, z_2) &= \int_0^1 d\alpha \bar{\alpha}^{2j_1-1}\alpha^{2j_2-1}\varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{12}^\alpha), \quad (4.2) \\
[\mathcal{H}^+ \varphi](z_1, z_2) &= \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \bar{\alpha}^{2j_1-2}\beta^{2j_2-2}\varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta), \quad (4.3) \\
[\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^+ \varphi](z_1, z_2) &= \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \bar{\alpha}^{2j_1-2}\beta^{2j_2-2}\left(\frac{\alpha\beta}{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}\right)\varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta), \quad (4.4) \\
[\mathcal{H}^- \varphi](z_1, z_2) &= \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \bar{\alpha}^{2j_1-2}\beta^{2j_2-2}\varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta) \quad (4.5)
\end{align*}
\]

all of which correspond to a “diagonal” mapping of conformal spins \(T^{j_1} \otimes T^{j_2} \rightarrow T^{j_1} \otimes T^{j_2}\). In particular the operator \(B(2j_1, 2j_2)^{-1}\mathcal{H}^d\), where \(B(x, y)\) is Euler beta function, defines the projector \(P_0\) onto the invariant subspace \(T^{j_1+j_2}\) with the lowest spin \(n = 0\) in the tensor product decomposition in Eq. (2.34). We will also use the notation \(\Pi_0\) for the projector

\[\Pi_0 = I - P_0 = I - B(2j_1, 2j_2)^{-1}\mathcal{H}^d. \quad (4.6)\]

More functions, \(\mathcal{H}^{e,(k)}\) with \(0 < k < 2j_1\), are needed for the case that the conformal spins are reshuffled as \(T^{j_1} \otimes T^{j_2} \rightarrow T^{j_1-k/2} \otimes T^{j_2+k/2}\)

\[\mathcal{H}^{e,(k)}_{12} \varphi](z_1, z_2) = \int_0^1 d\alpha \bar{\alpha}^{2j_1-k-1}\alpha^{k-1}\varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_2), \quad 0 < k < 2j_1. \quad (4.7)\]

Last but not least, we need two constants

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_q &= \frac{3}{4}, \\
\sigma_g &= b_0/4N_c, \quad b_0 = \frac{11}{3}N_c - \frac{2}{3}n_f, \quad (4.8)
\end{align*}
\]

which correspond to the ”plus” quark field (3.22) and transverse gluon field renormalization (3.23) in the axial gauge

\[Z_q = 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi\epsilon}\sigma_q C_F, \quad Z_g = 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi\epsilon}\sigma_g C_A. \quad (4.9)\]

Thanks to the constraint in Eq. (3.8) the contribution due to field renormalization for the color-singlet operators (3.7) can be rewritten as [32]

\[
\begin{align*}
\left(1 - \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi\epsilon} \sum_i \sigma_X i C_X i \right) S(X_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes X_N) = \\
S \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi\epsilon} \sum_{i<j} (\sigma_X i + \sigma_X j) t^a_i \otimes t^a_j\right)(X_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes X_N), \quad (4.10)
\end{align*}
\]
where $\sigma_{X_i} = \{\sigma_q, \sigma_g\}$ and $C_{X_i} = \{C_F, C_A\}$ for quarks and gluons, respectively. This property allows one to include the field renormalization factors in the definition of the two-particle kernels [32].

### 4.1 Coordinate-space representation

**A)** \(X \otimes X = \{\psi_+ \otimes \psi_+, \, \psi_+ \otimes \chi_+, \, \bar{\psi}_+ \otimes \psi_+, \, \bar{\psi}_+ \otimes \bar{\chi}_+, \, \chi_+ \otimes \chi_+\}\)

In compact notation that we will also use for the other cases

\[
\mathbb{H} \, X(z_1) \otimes X(z_2) = -2(t^a \otimes t^a) \left[ \tilde{\mathcal{H}} - 2\sigma_g \right] \, X(z_1) \otimes X(z_2) . \tag{4.11}
\]

The operator in square brackets on the r.h.s. acts on the function $\varphi(z_1, z_2) = X(z_1) \otimes X(z_2)$ according to Eq. (4.15) where one has to substitute the values $j_1 = j_2 = 1$ for the conformal spins of the participating fields. Here and below a symbolic expression $X \otimes X$ implies that both fields have open color indices and

\[
(t^b \otimes t^b) \, X(z_1) \otimes X(z_2) \equiv t^b X(z_1) \otimes t^b X(z_2) = t^b_{i_1 i_1'}. \, X^{i_1'}(z_1) \, t^b_{i_2 i_2'} \, X^{i_2'}(z_2) .
\]

**B)** \(X \otimes X = \{\psi_+ \otimes \bar{\psi}_+, \, \psi_+ \otimes \chi_+, \, \bar{\psi}_+ \otimes \bar{\chi}_+, \, \psi_+ \otimes \bar{\psi}_+, \, \bar{\chi}_+ \otimes \chi_+\}\)

For quarks of different flavor:

\[
\mathbb{H} \, X(z_1) \otimes X(z_2) = -2(t^b \otimes t^b) \left[ \tilde{\mathcal{H}} - \mathcal{H}^+ - 2\sigma_q \right] \, X(z_1) \otimes X(z_2) . \tag{4.12}
\]

The generators $t^a$ acting on the quark and the antiquark fields are defined in Eq. (3.3). After the contraction of open color indices $S_{ii'} = \delta_{ii'}$, cf. (3.7), this expression reproduces the result in Eq. (2.7).

For the quark-antiquark pair of the same flavor, $X \otimes X = \psi \otimes \bar{\psi}, \chi \otimes \bar{\chi}$, there are two extra terms:

\[
\mathbb{H} \, X^{i}(z_1) \otimes X^{j}(z_2) = \ldots - 4t^a_{i_1 j_1} \, \mathcal{H}^a \, J^a(z_1, z_2) - 2iz_{12} \left( (t^a t^b)_{ij} \left[ \mathcal{H}^+ + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^+ \right] + 2(t^b t^a)_{ij} \mathcal{H}^- \right) \, J^a_+(z_1) \otimes J^b_+(z_2) . \tag{4.13}
\]

The ellipses stand for the contribution of Eq. (4.12). Here and below

\[
P_{12} \, \varphi(z_1, z_2) = \varphi(z_2, z_1) \tag{4.14}
\]

is the permutation operator acting on the field coordinates and

\[
J^a(z_1, z_2) = \sum_A \left( \bar{\psi}^A_+(z_1) T^a \psi^A_+(z_2) + \chi^A_+(z_1) T^a \bar{\chi}^A_+(z_2) \right) , \tag{4.15}
\]

where the sum runs over all possible flavors. The generators $t^a$ in Eq. (4.13) have to be taken in the quark, $t^a_{i_1 j_1} = T^a_{i_1 j_1}$, and the antiquark, $t^a_{i_1 j_1} = -T^a_{i_1 j_1}$, representations for the pairs $\psi^i \otimes \bar{\psi}^j$ and $\chi^i \otimes \bar{\chi}^j$, respectively.
Here $j_1 = 3/2$, $j_2 = 1$ and the $SU(N)$ generator acting on the first (gluon) field (in the first line) is in the adjoint representation.

\[ J(t^{ab} \otimes t^{b}) \left( \hat{H} - 2 \hat{H}^+ - 2 \sigma_g \right) X^a(z_1) \otimes X^i(z_2) \]

\[ + 4(t^a t^a)_{ii} \hat{H}^- X^a(z_1) \otimes X^i(z_2). \]  

(4.17)
4.2 Invariant representation

As discussed in Sect. 2.3, calculating the eigenvalues of the two-particle kernels on the set of functions that correspond to irreducible representations of the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ group one can write the kernels as functions of the two-particle conformal spin operator, $J(J−1) = (\vec{S}_1 + \vec{S}_2)^2$, cf. Eq. (2.38). This representation will be useful to restore the two-particle kernels for non-quasipartonic operators.

A) $X \otimes X = \{\psi_+ \otimes \psi_+, \psi_+ \otimes \chi_+, \bar{\psi}_+ \otimes \bar{\psi}_+, \bar{\psi}_+ \otimes \bar{\chi}_+, \chi_+ \otimes \chi_+, \bar{\chi}_+ \otimes \bar{\chi}_+\}$

\[ \mathbb{H} X(z_1) \otimes X(z_2) = -4(t^a \otimes t^a) \left[ \psi(J) - \psi(1) - \sigma_q \right] X(z_1) \otimes X(z_2). \quad (4.23) \]

B) $X \otimes X = \{\psi_+ \otimes \bar{\chi}_+, \bar{\psi}_+ \otimes \chi_+, \psi_+ \otimes \bar{\psi}_+, \bar{\psi}_+ \otimes \chi_+\}$

For quarks of different flavor:

\[ \mathbb{H} X(z_1) \otimes X(z_2) = -2(t^a \otimes t^a) \left[ \psi(J + 1) + \psi(J - 1) - 2\psi(1) - 2\sigma_q \right] X(z_1) \otimes X(z_2). \quad (4.24) \]

For quarks of the same flavor ($\psi \otimes \bar{\psi}$ or $\chi \otimes \bar{\chi}$ only) there are extra terms:

\[ \mathbb{H} X(z_1) \otimes X(z_2) = \ldots - \frac{2}{3} t^a_{ij} \delta_{JJ} J^a(z_1, z_2) \]
\[ - 2iz_12(t^a t^b)_{ij} \frac{1}{(J + 1)(J - 2)} \left[ 1 - \frac{2(-1)^J P_{ab}}{J(J - 1)} \right] f^a_{++}(z_1) \otimes \bar{f}^b_{++}(z_2). \quad (4.25) \]

C) $X^a \otimes X = \{f^a_{++} \otimes \psi_+, f^a_{++} \otimes \chi_+, \bar{f}^a_{++} \otimes \bar{\psi}_+, \bar{f}^a_{++} \otimes \bar{\chi}_+\}$

\[ \mathbb{H} X^a(z_1) \otimes X^i(z_2) = -2 \left\{ (t^b_{a \sigma} \otimes t^b_{i \sigma'})(\psi(J + 1/2) + \psi(J - 1/2) - 2\psi(1) - \sigma_q - \sigma_g) \right. \]
\[ + \left. (t^a' t^a)_{ii'} (-1)^{J-1/2} \frac{J}{J - 1/2} \right\} X^a'(z_1) \otimes X^i'(z_2). \quad (4.26) \]

D) $X^a \otimes X = \{f^a_{++} \otimes \bar{\psi}_+, f^a_{++} \otimes \bar{\chi}_+, \bar{f}^a_{++} \otimes \psi_+, \bar{f}^a_{++} \otimes \chi_+\}$

\[ \mathbb{H} X^a(z_1) \otimes X^i(z_2) = -2 \left\{ (t^b_{a \sigma} \otimes t^b_{i \sigma'})(\psi(J + 3/2) + \psi(J - 3/2) - 2\psi(1) - \sigma_q - \sigma_g) \right. \]
\[ + \left. (t^a' t^a)_{ii'} 2(-1)^{J-3/2} \frac{\Gamma(J - 3/2)}{\Gamma(J + 3/2)} \right\} X^a'(z_1) \otimes X^i'(z_2). \quad (4.27) \]
E) \[ X \otimes X = \{ f_{++} \otimes f_{++}, \bar{f}_{++} \otimes \bar{f}_{++} \} \]

\[ H X(z_1) \otimes X(z_2) = -4(t^b \otimes t^b) \left[ \psi(J) - \psi(1) - \sigma_\gamma \right] X(z_1) \otimes X(z_2). \quad (4.28) \]

F) \[ X \otimes X = f_{++} \otimes \bar{f}_{++} \]

\[ H f_{++}^a(z_1) \otimes \bar{f}_{++}^c(z_2) = -2 \left\{ (t^{ab'}_a \otimes t^{bc'}_c) \left[ \psi(J + 2) + \psi(J - 2) - 2\psi(1) - 2\sigma_\gamma \right] \right. \]

\[ + (t'^{ab'}_a \otimes t'^{bc'}_c) 6(-1)^J \frac{\Gamma(J - 2)}{\Gamma(J + 2)} \left. \right\} \]

\[ + \frac{2i}{z_{12}} \left( \frac{2(-1)^J}{J(J - 1)} - P_{ac} \right) \Theta(J > 2) \mathcal{J}_4^{ac}(z_2, z_1). \quad (4.29) \]

Note that with the exception of quark–gluon transitions (that are only possible for \( X_1 \otimes X_2 = f \otimes \bar{f} \) or flavor-singlet \( \psi \otimes \bar{\psi}, \chi \otimes \bar{\chi} \) pairs), the pair-wise Hamiltonians contain two color structures only, \( t^c \otimes t^c \) and \( (t'^a t^a)_{ii'} \). Moreover, apart from the contributions due to field renormalization, the corresponding \( SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \) invariant kernels can be written in a universal form, as a function of one parameter: the difference of field helicities

\[ h = |h_{X_1} - h_{X_2}|. \]

The corresponding expressions are

\[ H_1 = -2[\psi(J + h) + \psi(J - h) - 2\psi(1) - \sigma_\gamma], \]

\[ H_2 = -2(-1)^{J-h} \frac{\Gamma(2h)\Gamma(J-h)}{\Gamma(J+h)} \]

for the first, \( t^c \otimes t^c \), and the second, \( (t'^a t^a)_{ii'} \), color structures, respectively.

It is interesting to note that only these two Hamiltonians appear in supersymmetric extensions of QCD, see Refs. [46, 47].

5 Non-Quasipartonic Operators

As noticed in Ref. [37], knowledge of the two-particle evolution kernels for quasipartonic operators allows one to restore the similar kernels for non-quasipartonic operators by “submerging” the \( SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \) subgroup into the full conformal group \( SO(4,2) \).

To understand the idea, recall that the power of conformal symmetry in the description of renormalization of the quasipartonic operators is due to the fact that the tensor product of any two one-particle representations of the \( SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \) group is decomposed into
irreducible components without multiplicities, cf. Eq. (2.34). Different irreducible components can be labeled by the eigenvalues of the single quadratic two-particle Casimir operator $S^2_{12}$ (2.38). It follows that the evolution kernels $H$ and the Casimir operator $S^2_{12}$ have the same eigenfunctions and can be diagonalized simultaneously; hence $H$ can be written as a function of $S^2_{12}$, as exemplified in Eq. (2.39). This is what we call an invariant representation. It is easy to see that the non-degeneracy is of principle importance: if two operators exist with the same transformation properties, they can mix in an arbitrary way.

The full conformal group $SO(4,2)$ is more complicated and the same property does not hold for the tensor product of arbitrary representations: in general, there are non-trivial multiplicities. The observation of Ref. [37] is that the degeneracy does not occur, however, for the products of representations of the special type that we need for the construction of the QCD operators.

It can be shown that the tensor product of two primary fields (3.6) is decomposed into irreducible components of $SO(4,2)$ without multiplicities. Schematically

$$T_1 \otimes T_2 = \sum_n T^{(n)},$$

and each term (component) in the sum can be characterized uniquely by the value of the quadratic (two-particle) Casimir operator $C^2_{12}$ of the full conformal group (cf. Appendix A). Following the same line of argument one concludes that the evolution kernel (Hamiltonian) $H$ acting on an arbitrary two-particle light-ray operator must be a certain function of $C^2_{12}$ alone, which means that any operator from a particular irreducible component $T^{(n)}$ is an eigenvector of $H$ with the same eigenvalue $E_n$. On the other hand, each $T^{(n)}$ contains a $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ invariant subspace corresponding to quasipartonic operators. Thus the eigenvalues $E_n$ on the subspaces $T^{(n)}$, and therefore the operator $H$ itself, can be restored from its dependence on $S^2_{12}$ in the quasipartonic sector.

Using this strategy, we derive below a complete set of $2 \rightarrow 2$ evolution kernels for arbitrary operators containing one “plus” and one “minus” field in the coordinate-space representation.

### 5.1 Fields of the same chirality

In this section we calculate the two-particle evolution kernels for the tensor product of two light-ray fields of the same chirality.

With the restriction to collinear twist $E = 3$, there are four such operators:

$$\Phi_-(z_1) \otimes \Phi_+(z_2), \quad \Phi_+(z_1) \otimes \Phi_-(z_2),$$
$$\Phi_+(z_1) \otimes D_+ \Phi_+(z_2), \quad D_+ \Phi_+(z_1) \otimes \Phi_+(z_2),$$

where $\Phi_{\pm}$ are defined in Eq. (3.35). The first two, $\Phi_-(z_1) \otimes \Phi_+(z_2)$ and $\Phi_+(z_1) \otimes \Phi_-(z_2)$, have the same quantum numbers so they can mix under renormalization and the same
is true for the the second pair, \( \Phi_+(z_1) \otimes D_+ \Phi_+(z_2) \) and \( D_+ \Phi_+(z_1) \otimes \Phi_+(z_2) \). On the contrary, light-ray operators with and without an extra transverse derivative, e.g. \( \Phi_-(z_1) \otimes \Phi_+(z_2) \) and \( \Phi_+(z_1) \otimes D_+ \Phi_+(z_2) \), cannot mix because they have different helicity, cf. Tab. 1. As a consequence, the evolution kernel has a 2 × 2 matrix form, e.g.

\[
\mathbb{H} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\Phi_- \otimes \Phi_+ \\
\Phi_+ \otimes \Phi_-
\end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
\mathbb{H}_{11} & \mathbb{H}_{12} \\
\mathbb{H}_{21} & \mathbb{H}_{22}
\end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c}
\Phi_- \otimes \Phi_+ \\
\Phi_+ \otimes \Phi_-
\end{array} \right)
\]

(5.3)

and similar for the other pair.

From the group theory point of view, the diagonal kernels \( \mathbb{H}_{11} \) and \( \mathbb{H}_{22} \) are the \( SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \) invariant operators which map \( T^{j_1} \otimes T^{j_2} \rightarrow T^{j_1} \otimes T^{j_2} \). The off-diagonal kernels intertwine the representations \( T^{j_2} \otimes T^{j_1} \) and \( T^{j_1} \otimes T^{j_2} \),

\[
\mathbb{H}_{12} : T^{j_2} \otimes T^{j_1} \rightarrow T^{j_1} \otimes T^{j_2} \quad \mathbb{H}_{21} : T^{j_1} \otimes T^{j_2} \rightarrow T^{j_2} \otimes T^{j_1}.
\]

Our task is to find explicit expressions for these kernels.

In what follows we explain the method in detail on the particular example of the operator \( \psi_- \otimes \psi_+ \). The other cases are similar so we only present the results.

As the first step, one has to compare the action of \( SO(4,2) \) and \( SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \) Casimir operators on the tensor product of two “plus” fields. Using Eqs. (A.10) and (A.11) from Appendix A one finds

\[
\left[ C_{12}^2 + \frac{3}{2} \right] \psi_+(z_1) \otimes \psi_+(z_2) = S_{12}^2 \psi_+(z_1) \otimes \psi_+(z_2) = -\partial_1 \partial_2 z_{12}^2 \psi_+(z_1) \otimes \psi_+(z_2),
\]

(5.4)

where we used the explicit expression for \( S_{12}^2 \) (2.37) (for the case \( j_1 = j_2 = 1 \)) to arrive at the last equality. Note that the action of \( SO(4,2) \) and \( SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \) Casimir operators on the “plus” fields do not coincide, but differ by a constant (A.11).

Thanks to the \( SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \) invariance it is sufficient to consider the action of the Casimir operators on functions of two variables that are annihilated by the “step-down” operator \( (S_1^+ + S_2^+) \varphi(z_1, z_2) = 0 \). This condition is nothing but shift-invariance: \( \varphi(z_1, z_2) = \varphi(z_1 - z_2) \equiv \varphi(z_{12}) \). It is easy to see that \( \varphi_n(z_1, z_2) = z_{12}^n \) are the eigenfunctions corresponding to the eigenvalue \((n + 2)(n + 1)\):

\[
\left[ -\partial_1 \partial_2 z_{12}^2 \right] z_{12}^n = j_n(j_n - 1) z_{12}^n, \quad j_n = n + 2.
\]

(5.5)

The second step is to find the explicit expression for the Casimir operator acting on the \( \psi_- \otimes \psi_+ \) fields. It has a matrix form

\[
\left[ C_{12}^2 + \frac{3}{2} \right] \left( \begin{array}{c}
\psi_- \otimes \psi_+ \\
\psi_+ \otimes \psi_-
\end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c}
\psi_- \otimes \psi_+ \\
\psi_+ \otimes \psi_-
\end{array} \right).
\]

(5.6)

The entries \( a, b, c, d \) can be found using Eq. (A.9) and explicit expressions for the conformal generators (A.11). This is easy for the diagonal entries \( a \) and \( d \), because the terms in the last two lines in Eq. (A.9) do not contribute. One obtains

\[
a = S_{12}^2(j_1=1/2,j_2=1) + \frac{1}{4}, \quad d = S_{12}^2(j_1=1,j_2=1/2) + \frac{1}{4}.
\]

(5.7)
The expression for $S_{12}^2$ is again given by Eq. (2.37), but with different values of the conformal spins as compared to the “plus–plus” case. Hereafter we will not show the values of $j_1, j_2$ explicitly, unless this can lead to a confusion. It is always implied that parameters that enter the definitions of the operators are determined by quantum numbers of the fields they act on.

The direct calculation of the off-diagonal elements $b, c$ is rather cumbersome because in this case all terms in Eq. (A.9) have to be taken into account. These entries can easily be found, however, with the help of the following trick.

Let us apply the operation $\lambda(\partial/\partial \mu)$ to both sides of (5.6). Taking into account that by definition $\psi^- = \mu^{\alpha} \psi_\alpha$ one obtains

$$\left[C_{12}^2 + \frac{3}{2}\right] \begin{pmatrix} \psi_- \otimes \psi_+ \\ \psi_+ \otimes \psi_- \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_- \otimes \psi_+ \\ \psi_+ \otimes \psi_- \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.8)$$

The l.h.s. of this equality is given by Eq. (5.4) so that obviously

$$b = S_{12}^2(j_1 = j_2 = 1) - a = \partial_2 z_{21}, \quad c = S_{12}^2(j_1 = j_2 = 1) - d = \partial_1 z_{12}. \quad (5.9)$$

It is easy to check that the operators $b$ and $c$ intertwine the representations $T^{1/2} \otimes T^1$ and $T^1 \otimes T^{1/2},$

$$b : T^1 \otimes T^{1/2} \xrightarrow{z_{21}} T^{1/2} \otimes T^0 \xrightarrow{\partial_2} T^{1/2} \otimes T^1.$$

Collecting everything, we obtain

$$\left[C_{12}^2 + \frac{3}{2}\right] \begin{pmatrix} \psi_- \otimes \psi_+ \\ \psi_+ \otimes \psi_- \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} S_{12}^2 + 1/4 & \partial_2 z_{21} \\ \partial_1 z_{12} & S_{12}^2 + 1/4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_- \otimes \psi_+ \\ \psi_+ \otimes \psi_- \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.10)$$

Remarkably enough, one can represent this operator in the form

$$\left[C_{12}^2 + \frac{3}{2}\right] = \hat{J}_{12} \left(\hat{J}_{12} - 1\right), \quad (5.11)$$

where

$$\hat{J}_{12} = -\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial_2 z_{21} \\ \partial_1 z_{12} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.12)$$

The eigenfunctions of the operator $\hat{J}_{12}$ have the form

$$\psi_n^\pm(z_1, z_2) = z_{12}^n \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \pm 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{J}_{12}\psi_n^\pm = \mp(n + 1)\psi_n^\pm, \quad (5.13)$$

so that

$$\left[C_{12}^2 + \frac{3}{2}\right] \psi_n^\pm = j_n^\pm(j_n^\pm - 1)\psi_n^\pm, \quad j_n^+ = n + 2, \quad j_n^- = (n + 1). \quad (5.14)$$
As stated above [37], the Hamiltonian \( \mathbb{H} \) must be a function of the full Casimir operator of the conformal group. This means that they share the same set of eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues \( E_n \) of \( \mathbb{H} \) are functions of \( j_n \). Moreover, the expressions for \( E_n = E(j_n) \) must be the same for the both collinear twist \( E = 2 \) and \( E = 3 \) sectors:

\[
\mathbb{H}^{E=2} z_{12}^n = E(j_n) z_{12}^n, \\
\mathbb{H}^{E=3} \psi_n^{\pm} = E(j_n^{\pm}) \psi_n^{\pm},
\]

(5.15)

so that the function \( E(j) \) is already known: It is determined by the Hamiltonian for the quasipartonic operator \( \psi_+ \otimes \psi_+ \), see Eq. (4.23):

\[
E(j) = 2[\psi(j) - \psi(1) - \sigma_q].
\]

(5.16)

(We omitted here the color structure.)

The remaining steps are purely technical. First, using (5.15), we can restore the action of \( \mathbb{H} \) on arbitrary shift-invariant polynomials. It is easy to see that the dimension of the polynomial is conserved, so we only need to consider functions of the form \( \left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \beta \end{array} \right) z_{12}^n \).

Using the standard decomposition \( \mathbb{H} = E_+ |\psi_+^+\rangle \langle \psi_+^+| + E_- |\psi_-^-\rangle \langle \psi_-^-| \) one gets

\[
\mathbb{H} \left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \beta \end{array} \right) z_{12}^n = \left( \begin{array}{cc} h_{11}(n) & h_{12}(n) \\ h_{21}(n) & h_{22}(n) \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \beta \end{array} \right) z_{12}^n,
\]

\[
h_{ik} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ E(j_n^{+}) \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \otimes (1, 1) + E(j_n^{-}) \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ -1 \end{array} \right) \otimes (1, -1) \right],
\]

(5.17)

so that

\[
h_{11}(n) = h_{22}(n) = \frac{1}{2} \left( E(j_n^{+}) + E(j_n^{-}) \right) = \psi(n+2) + \psi(n+1) - 2\psi(1) - 2\sigma_q,
\]

\[
h_{12}(n) = h_{21}(n) = \frac{1}{2} \left( E(j_n^{+}) - E(j_n^{-}) \right) = \frac{1}{n+1}.
\]

(5.18)

Once the action of \( \mathbb{H} \) on shift-invariant polynomials (which correspond to highest weights of the corresponding representations) is established, one can easily restore the explicit form of the \( SL(2, R) \) invariant operators \( \mathbb{H}_{ik} \) in Eq. [5.3] from the requirement that

\[
\left( \begin{array}{cc} \mathbb{H}_{11} & \mathbb{H}_{12} \\ \mathbb{H}_{21} & \mathbb{H}_{22} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \beta \end{array} \right) z_{12}^n = \left( \begin{array}{cc} h_{11}(n) & h_{12}(n) \\ h_{21}(n) & h_{22}(n) \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \beta \end{array} \right) z_{12}^n.
\]

(5.19)

One obtains

\[
2[\psi(J_{12}) - \psi(1)] \left( \begin{array}{c} \psi_- \otimes \psi_+ \\ \psi_+ \otimes \psi_- \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \hat{\mathcal{H}} & \mathcal{H}_{12}^c \\ \mathcal{H}_{21}^c & \hat{\mathcal{H}} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \psi_- \otimes \psi_+ \\ \psi_+ \otimes \psi_- \end{array} \right)
\]

(5.20)
and
\[ \mathbb{H} \left( \psi_- \otimes \psi_+ \right) = -2(t^b \otimes t^b) \left( \hat{\mathcal{H}} - 2\sigma_q \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}^{e,1}_{12} & \mathcal{H}^{e,1}_{21} \end{pmatrix} \right) \left( \psi_- \otimes \psi_+ \right) , \]
(5.21)
which is our final result.

For all other cases the calculation is similar so that we only present the resulting expressions for the \( SO(4,2) \) Casimir operator and the Hamiltonians. Let
\[ [X_+]_{j_1 j_2} (z_1, z_2) = \left( \Phi^j_+ \otimes \Phi^j_+ \right), \quad [X_-]_{j_1 j_2} (z_1, z_2) = \left( \bar{\Phi}^j_+ \otimes [\bar{\Phi}^j_+ ]^{j_1} \otimes \Phi^j_+ \right) , \]
(5.22)
where \( j_1, j_2 \) and \( i_1 = j_1 + 1/2, i_2 = j_2 - 1/2 \) are the conformal spin of the “upper” and the “lower” pair of fields. Below we assume that \( j_1 \leq j_2 \) so that in the case of a quark-gluon pair the first field is always the quark and the second one is the gluon. The Casimir operator takes the form
\[ \mathbb{C}^2_{12} + \kappa_{12} = \tilde{J}_{12} (\tilde{J}_{12} - 1) , \]
(5.23)
where \( \kappa_{12} \) is defined in Appendix \( \mathbf{A} \) Eq. (A.11) and has to be calculated for the corresponding pair of “plus” fields \( \Phi^j_+ \otimes \Phi^j_+ \). The operator \( \tilde{J}_{12} \) is defined as
\[ \tilde{J}_{12} = (i_2 - j_1) \mathbb{I} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z_{21} \partial_2 + 2i_2 \\ z_{12} \partial_1 + 2j_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \]
(5.24)
Here and below the upper sign corresponds to \( X_+ \) and the lower one to \( X_- \).

The Hamiltonians for the “doublets”
\[ X_+ = \left\{ \left( \psi_- \otimes \psi_+ \right), \left( \psi_- \otimes \chi_+ \right), \left( \psi_- \otimes \psi_+ \right), \left( \chi_- \otimes \chi_- \right), \left( \chi_- \otimes \chi_- \right), \left( \chi_- \otimes \chi_- \right), \left( f_- \otimes f_+ \right), \right\} , \]
\[ X_- = \left\{ \left( \psi_- \otimes \bar{D}_{-+} \psi_+ \right), \left( \psi_- \otimes \bar{D}_{-+} \chi_+ \right), \left( \psi_- \otimes \bar{D}_{-+} \psi_+ \right), \left( \psi_- \otimes \bar{D}_{-+} \chi_+ \right), \right\} , \]
(5.25)
(which can be viewed as the higher twist \( E = 3 \) descendants of the quasipartonic \( E = 2 \) operators of type \( \mathbf{A} \) and \( \mathbf{E} \)) take the form
\[ \mathbb{H} X_{\pm} (z_1, z_2) = -2(t^b \otimes t^b) \left( \hat{\mathcal{H}} - \sigma_{X_1 X_2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}^{e,1}_{12} & \pm \mathcal{H}^{e,1}_{21} \end{pmatrix} \right) X_{\pm} (z_1, z_2) . \]
(5.26)
Here \( \sigma_{X_1 X_2} = \sigma_{X_1} + \sigma_{X_2}, \sigma_X = \{ \sigma_q, \sigma_g \} \) and we suppress all color indices (cf. Eq. (4.11)). The Hamiltonian in the antichiral sector is exactly the same.

Next, for the operator pairs
\[ X^a_{\pm} (z_1, z_2) = \left\{ \left( \psi_+ \otimes f^a_{+-} \right), \left( \psi_+ \otimes f^a_{+-} \right), \right\} , \]
(5.27)
and

\[ X^i_-(z_1, z_2) = \left\{ \left( \psi^i_+ \otimes [\bar{D}^- + f_{++}]^a \right), \left( \chi^i_+ \otimes [\bar{D}^- + f_{++}]^a \right) \right\} \],

which are the descendants of the quasipartonic operators of type \( C \) one gets

\[ \mathbb{H} X^i_\pm(z_1, z_2) = -2 \left\{ (t^{a}_{ii'} \otimes t^{b}_{aa'}) \left( \hat{H} - \sigma_{X_1 X_2} \pm \mathcal{H}_{12}^{e,1} \right) \right\} \]

\[ \mp (t' a^t)_{ii'} \mathcal{P}_{12} \left( \left[ \mathcal{H}^{e,2}_{21} \mp \mathcal{H}^{e,1}_{21} \right] \mathcal{H}^{e,1}_{21} \right) X^{i'a'}_\pm(z_1, z_2). \]  

(5.29)

We remind that all kernels depend on the conformal spins of the fields they are acting on.

### 5.2 Fields of opposite chirality

The two-particle evolution kernels for the tensor product of two light-ray fields of opposite chirality can be calculated along the similar lines. A difference is that e.g. \( \bar{\Phi}^\pm(z_1) \otimes \Phi^\mp(z_2) \) cannot mix under renormalization with \( \bar{\Phi}^\pm(z_1) \otimes \Phi^\pm(z_2) \) (since chirality is conserved) and the same is true for the pair \( \bar{\Phi}^\mp(z_1) \otimes D^- \Phi^\mp(z_2) \) and \( D^- \Phi^\mp(z_1) \otimes \Phi^\mp(z_2) \).

At the same time, mixing of the light-ray operators with and without an extra transverse derivative, e.g. \( \Phi^\pm(z_1) \otimes \bar{\Phi}^\pm(z_2) \) and \( \Phi^\mp(z_1) \otimes D^- \bar{\Phi}^\pm(z_2) \), is allowed.

For this reason, instead of (5.22), we have to consider the “doublets”

\[ X^j_{i_1 i_2}(z_1, z_2) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \Phi_{i_1}^{-} \otimes \bar{\Phi}^{j_2}_{i_2} \\ \bar{\Phi}^i_+ \otimes \frac{1}{2}[\bar{D}^- \Phi^i_+] \end{array} \right), \]  

(5.30)

where we take \( \Phi = \{ \psi, \chi, f \} \), \( \bar{\Phi} = \{ \bar{\psi}, \bar{\chi}, \bar{f} \} \); \( j_1, j_2 \) and \( i_1 = j_1 + 1/2 \), \( i_2 = j_2 + 1/2 \) are the corresponding conformal spins.

The \( SO(4,2) \) Casimir operator acting on the the light-ray fields (5.30) can be represented in the form (5.23) with

\[ \hat{J} = -(j_1 + j_2 - 1/2) \mathbb{I} - \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & z_{21} \\ F_{12} & 0 \end{array} \right), \]  

(5.31)

where

\[ F_{12} = -\partial_1 \partial_2 z_{21} + 2(i_1 - 1) \partial_2 - 2(i_2 - 1) \partial_1. \]  

(5.32)

The eigenfunctions of \( \hat{J} \) are

\[ \psi^+_n(z_1, z_2) = z^{n-1}_{21} \begin{pmatrix} z_{21} \\ n \end{pmatrix}, \quad n \geq 0, \]  

(5.33)

\[ \psi^-_n(z_1, z_2) = z^{n-1}_{21} \begin{pmatrix} z_{21} \\ \alpha_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad n > 0, \]  

(5.34)
where \( \alpha_n = -n - 2(j_1 + j_2) + 1 \) and
\[
\widehat{\mathcal{F}} \psi_n^\pm = \mp(n + j_1 + j_2 - 1/2)\psi_n^\pm. \tag{5.35}
\]
Thus, for the Casimir operator \( (5.23) \) one obtains
\[
[C_2^{(12)} + \kappa_{12}] \psi_n^\pm = j_n^\pm (j_n^\pm - 1) \psi_n^\pm, \quad j_n^\pm = n + j_1 + j_2 \pm 1/2, \tag{5.36}
\]
where, as above, the value of \( \kappa_{12} \tag{A.11} \) has to be calculated using the helicities of the corresponding “plus” fields \( \Phi_+ \otimes \bar{\Phi}_+ \), cf. Tab. 1.

Using these expressions and proceeding along the same lines as in Sect. 5.1 we can restore the Hamiltonian in the coordinate space representation.

First, consider the “doublets” that arise as descendants of the quasipartonic operators of type \( \text{B} \)
\[
X = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} \psi^- \otimes \bar{\psi}^+ \\ \psi^+ \otimes \frac{1}{2} D^- \bar{\psi}^+ \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{c} X^- \otimes \bar{\psi}^+ \\ X^+ \otimes \frac{1}{2} D^- \bar{\psi}^+ \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{c} \psi^- \otimes \bar{\chi}^+ \\ \psi^+ \otimes \frac{1}{2} D^- \bar{\chi}^+ \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{c} X^- \otimes \bar{\chi}^+ \\ X^+ \otimes \frac{1}{2} D^- \bar{\chi}^+ \end{array} \right) \right\}. \tag{5.37}
\]
We assume here that the quarks \( \psi^- \otimes \bar{\psi}^+ \) are in the flavor-nonsinglet state, so that there is no mixing with gluons. In this case one finds
\[
\mathbb{H} X(z_1, z_2) = -2(t^b \otimes t^b) \left( \widehat{\mathcal{H}} + \mathcal{H}^d - 2\sigma_q \frac{z_{21}\mathcal{H}_{12}^+}{z_{21}} \right) X(z_1, z_2), \tag{5.38}
\]
where \( \Pi_0 \) is defined by Eq. (4.6).

Descendants of quasipartonic operators of type \( \text{D} \) fall in two classes that have to be considered separately.

First, consider the operators
\[
X^{ia} = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} \psi_i^- \otimes \bar{f}^a_{++} \\ \psi_i^+ \otimes \frac{1}{2} D_- \bar{f}^a_{++} \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{c} \chi_i^- \otimes \bar{f}^a_{++} \\ \chi_i^+ \otimes \frac{1}{2} D_- \bar{f}^a_{++} \end{array} \right) \right\}. \tag{5.39}
\]
The corresponding evolution kernel takes the form
\[
\mathbb{H} X^{ia}(z_1, z_2) = -2 \left\{ (t^b_{ii'} \otimes t^b_{aa'}) \mathbb{H}_1 + (t^{a'}_{ii'} t^a_{a'a}) \mathbb{H}_2 \right\} X^{i'a'}(z_1, z_2). \tag{5.40}
\]
The 2 \( \times \) 2 matrix kernels \( \mathbb{H}_1 \) and \( \mathbb{H}_2 \) are given by the following expressions:
\[
\mathbb{H}_1 = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \widehat{\mathcal{H}} + \mathcal{H}^d - \sigma_{gg} & z_{21}\mathcal{H}_{12}^+ \\ \frac{1}{z_{21}} \Pi_0 & \widehat{\mathcal{H}} - 3\mathcal{H}_{12}^+ - \sigma_{gg} \end{array} \right), \quad \mathbb{H}_2 = \left( \begin{array}{cc} -\mathcal{H}^d & z_{21}\mathcal{H}_{12}^- \\ \frac{2}{z_{21}} \Pi_0 & -3\mathcal{H}_{12}^- \end{array} \right), \tag{5.41}
\]
where \( \sigma_{gg} = \sigma_q + \sigma_g \) and \( \Pi_0 \) is defined by Eq. (4.6).
The second set of operators is

\[ X^{ai} = \left\{ \left( f^a_{++} \otimes \bar{\psi}^i_+ \right), \left( f^a_{++} \otimes \bar{\chi}^i_+ \right) \right\}, \quad (5.42) \]

In this case one obtains

\[ \mathbb{H} X^{ai}(z_1, z_2) = -2 \left\{ \left( t^b_{ab'} \otimes t^b_i \right) \mathbb{H}_1 + \left( t^a_{i'\alpha} t^a_{ii'} \right) \mathbb{H}_2 \right\} X^{a'i}(z_1, z_2) \quad (5.43) \]

with

\[ \mathbb{H}_1 = \left( \hat{\mathcal{H}} - \mathcal{H}^+ + 2\mathcal{H}^d - \sigma_g \right) \frac{z_{21} \left( \mathcal{H}^+_{12} + \mathcal{H}^+ \right)}{2z_{21}} \mathbb{P}_0 , \]

\[ \mathbb{H}_2 = \left( -2\mathcal{H}^d \right) \left( 2z_{21} \mathcal{H}^-_{12} \right), \quad (5.44) \]

Next, we consider the renormalization of \( f \bar{f} \) operators which are the descendants of quasipartonic operators of type \( \mathbb{F} \). We define

\[ G^{ab} = \left( f^a_{++} \otimes f^b_{++} \right), \quad \tilde{f}^{ab} = \left( \frac{1}{2} D_{--} f^a_{++} \otimes f^b_{++} \right) \]

\[ \tilde{J}^{ab}(z_1, z_2) = \left( \frac{1}{2} D_{--} \bar{\psi}^i_+ (z_1) T^a T^b \psi^- (z_2) - \bar{\chi}_+ (z_2) T^b T^a \bar{\chi}^i_+ (z_1) \right), \quad (5.45) \]

where in the second operator doublet the sum over flavors is implied. One obtains

\[ \mathbb{H} G^{ab}(z_1, z_2) = -2 \left\{ \left( t^e_{e'a'} \otimes t^e_{e'b'} \right) \mathbb{H}_1 + \left( t^c_{c'a'} \otimes t^c_{c'b'} \right) \mathbb{H}_2 \right\} G^{e'b'}(z_1, z_2) \]

\[ + \frac{2i}{z_{12}} \left\{ 2\mathbb{H}_3 - P_{ab} \right\} \tilde{\Pi}_0 \tilde{J}^{ab}(z_2, z_1). \quad (5.46) \]

Here \( P_{ab} \) is the operator of permutations in color space. The kernels \( \mathbb{H}_i \) take the form

\[ \mathbb{H}_1 = \left( \hat{\mathcal{H}} - 2\mathcal{H}^+ + 3\mathcal{H}^d - 2\sigma_g \right) \frac{z_{21} \left( \mathcal{H}^+_{12} + 2\mathcal{H}^d_{12} \right)}{2z_{21}} \mathbb{P}_0 , \]

\[ \mathbb{H}_2 = 3 \left( -2 \frac{z_{21} \mathcal{H}^-_{12}}{z_{21}^2 \mathbb{P}_0 - 4\mathcal{H}^-_{12}} \right), \quad \mathbb{H}_3 = \left( \frac{1}{z_{21}} P_{12} \mathcal{H}_{21}^e \frac{1}{2\mathcal{H}_{12}} \right) \quad (5.47) \]

The projection operator \( \tilde{\Pi}_0 \) is defined as

\[ \tilde{\Pi}_0 = I - P_0, \]
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where the operator \( P_0 \) is the projector to the null subspace of the Casimir operator, \( \mathbb{C}_{12}^2 P_0 = 0 \). It has the form

\[
P_0 = \begin{pmatrix}
2 \mathcal{H}^d + \frac{1}{4} P_1 & -3 z_{21} \mathcal{H}^d \\
-\frac{3}{4 z_{21}} P_1 & 9 \mathcal{H}^d
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(5.48)

where \( P_1 \) is the \( SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \) projector to the subspace \( J = 5/2 \) on the tensor product \( T^{1/2} \otimes T^1 \)

\[
[\mathcal{P}_1 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = 4 z_{21} \int_0^1 d\alpha \alpha^2 \bar{\alpha} [(\partial_2 - 2 \partial_1) \varphi](z_1^\alpha, z_2^\alpha).
\]

(5.49)

Finally, we present the result for the quark-antiquark pair in the flavor-singlet state, case B. Let

\[
X^{AB}(z_1, z_2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
\psi^A \otimes \bar{\psi}^B \\
\psi^+ \otimes \frac{1}{2} D^+ \psi^+
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
\chi^A \otimes \bar{\chi}^B \\
\chi^+ \otimes \frac{1}{2} D^+ \chi^+
\end{pmatrix}\right\},
\]

(5.50)

\[
\tilde{\mathcal{J}}^a(z_1, z_2) = \left( \frac{1}{2} D^+ \psi^+(z_1) T^a \psi^-(z_2) + \chi^+(z_2) T^a \chi^+(z_1) \right),
\]

(5.51)

where in the first operator \( A, B \) are flavor indices of the quark fields and in the second operator the sum over flavors is implied. One obtains

\[
\mathbb{H} X^{AB}(z_1, z_2) = -2(t^a \otimes t^a) \mathbb{H}_1 X^{AB}(z_1, z_2) - \delta^{AB} \frac{2}{3} t^a \pi \pi \mathbb{P}_0 \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^a(z_2, z_1)
\]

\[
- \delta^{AB} 2 i z_{12} (t^a b)_{ij} \left[ \mathbb{H}_2 + P^{ab} \mathbb{H}_3 \right] G^{ab}(z_1, z_2),
\]

(5.52)

where \( G^{ab}(z_1, z_2) \) is defined in Eq. (5.45) and

\[
\mathbb{H}_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
\mathcal{H} + \mathcal{H}^d - 2\sigma_q & z_{21} \mathcal{H}^+_1 \\
\frac{1}{z_{21}} \Pi_0 & \mathcal{H} - 2 \mathcal{H}^+_1 - 2\sigma_q
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\mathbb{H}_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
\mathcal{H}^-_1 - \mathcal{H}^d & -z_{21} \mathcal{H}^+_1 \\
\frac{1}{z_{21}} \Pi_0 & \mathcal{H}^+_1 + 3 \mathcal{H}^+_1
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\mathbb{H}_3 = \begin{pmatrix}
\mathcal{H}^d & -z_{21} \mathcal{H}^+_1 \\
\frac{2}{z_{21}} \mathcal{H}^-_1 \Pi_0 & 4 \mathcal{H}^-_1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(5.53)

### 6 Mixing with Three-Particle Operators

As it was already mentioned in Sect. 3.3, light-ray operators with a different number of constituents can mix with each other. To the leading order in strong coupling this mixing has a triangular form (3.13) so that at the level of kernels we need the \( 2 \rightarrow 3 \) contributions corresponding to the mixing of one “plus” and one “minus” primary fields
with the three-particle quasipartonic operators. It seems at first sight that the diagonal and off-diagonal blocks in the matrix (3.15) are independent and a separate calculation is necessary to fix the missing off-diagonal terms. Surprisingly enough this is not the case. We will show below that all $2 \rightarrow 3$ kernels are completely determined by the $2 \rightarrow 2$ kernels in the quasipartonic sector.

In short, the main idea is that the necessary relations are imposed by Lorentz symmetry. For example, applying the generator of translations in transverse direction to the light cone to the "plus" quark field one obtains

$$i [P_{\mu \lambda}, \psi_+] = \partial_{\mu \lambda} \psi_+ = 2 \partial_+ \psi_- + igA_{\mu \lambda} \psi_+ + \text{EOM},$$

(6.1)

so that the similar transformation applied to the renormalized quasipartonic light-ray operator yields

$$\partial_{\mu \lambda}[\psi_+(z_1) \otimes \psi_+(z_2)]_R = [\partial_{\mu \lambda} \psi_+(z_1) \otimes \psi_+(z_2)]_R + [\psi_+(z_1) \otimes \partial_{\mu \lambda} \psi_+(z_2)]_R$$

$$= 2 \partial_{z_1}[\psi_-(z_1) \otimes \psi_+(z_2)]_R + 2 \partial_{z_2}[\psi_+(z_1) \otimes \psi_-(z_2)]_R$$

$$+ ig[A_{\mu \lambda} \psi_+(z_1) \otimes \psi_+(z_2)]_R + ig[\psi_+(z_1) \otimes A_{\mu \lambda} \psi_+(z_2)]_R$$

$$+ \text{EOM}.$$  (6.2)

Eq. (6.2) is an operator identity which must be satisfied by the corresponding operator counterterms order by order in perturbation theory. The operator on the l.h.s. is quasipartonic and its renormalization is governed by the corresponding BFLK kernel. As we will see below, application of the transverse derivative to the two-particle counterterm will generate a sum of contributions of two-particle and three-particle operators. The operators $\psi_-(z_1) \otimes \psi_+(z_2)$ and $\psi_+(z_1) \otimes \psi_-(z_2)$ in the second line in Eq. (6.2) contain both two-particle counterterms that we have calculated in the previous Section and the three-particle counterterms that we do not know so far. Finally, the three-particle quark-quark-gluon operators in the third line in Eq. (6.2) are again quasipartonic and their renormalization is described in terms of the two-particle BFLK kernels. Thus Eq. (6.2) provides one with a relation for the $2 \rightarrow 3$ mixing kernels for the $\psi_+ \otimes \psi_+$ light-ray operators and the BFLK kernels. The question is whether this constraint is sufficient to determine the $2 \rightarrow 3$ kernels in principle, and how to solve it in practice. We have found two possibilities to proceed.

The first one is to derive another constraint, applying Lorentz rotations $M_{\mu \nu}$ instead of $P_{\mu \lambda}$ to the quasipartonic $\psi_+ \otimes \psi_+$ operator. We are able to prove that, taken together, these two constraints determine the $2 \rightarrow 3$ kernels uniquely. An obvious advantage of this approach is that it only relies on exact Lorentz symmetry and so it may also be applicable beyond one loop. The main disadvantage is that derivation of the constraint imposed by the $M_{\mu \nu}$ transformation is more complicated because it also affects the axial gauge fixing term in the QCD Lagrangian. The corresponding contributions must be taken into account but, at least to the one-loop accuracy, are relatively simple because
of cancellations between different Feynman diagrams that have a structure typical for a Ward identity.

The second possibility which we eventually found to be the most effective, is to study properties of the constraint equation corresponding to Eq. (6.2) under the collinear conformal transformations. As it stands, this equation is not $SL(2)$ invariant, but, as we will explain, it can be separated in two $SL(2)$-invariant equations that are already sufficient to determine the $2 \rightarrow 3$ kernels of interest. To the one loop accuracy, both approaches are equivalent and produce identical results. Some of the results presented below have also been checked by the direct calculation of relevant Feynman diagrams.

It is worthwhile to note that the same technique can be used to determine the $2 \rightarrow 2$ kernels as well. In this case, however, the approach based on the construction of the $SO(4, 2)$ Casimir operator [37], Sect. 5, is clearly advantageous.

In what follows we explain the details of our approach on the concrete example of the $\psi^− \otimes \psi^+$ operator and then present the results for all kernels in question. For this example, we consider the following set of operators:

$$
O^{ik}(z_1, z_2) = \psi^i_+(z_1) \otimes \psi^k_+(z_2),
$$

$$
O^{ik}_1(z_1, z_2) = \psi^i_-(z_1) \otimes \psi^k_+(z_2),
$$

$$
O^{ik}_2(z_1, z_2) = \psi^i_+(z_1) \otimes \psi^k_-(z_2),
$$

$$
O^{ikb}_f(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \psi^i_+(z_1) \otimes \psi^k_+(z_2) \otimes \bar{f}^b_{+}(z_3),
$$

where $i, k, b$ are the color indices that in most cases will not be displayed explicitly. The first operator in this list is quasipartonic. To one-loop accuracy the renormalized operator $[O_+]_R$ is written as

$$
[O_+]_R = \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi\epsilon} \tilde{\mathbb{H}}\right) O_+.
$$

Here we only include the contributions of one-particle irreducible diagrams and ignore the field renormalization; the corresponding expression for $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}$ is obtained from Eq. (4.11) by throwing away the term $2\sigma_q$, i.e. $\tilde{\mathbb{H}} = -2(t^b \otimes t^b)\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. Below we also use the notation

$$
[O]_R' = [O]_R - O
$$

for the divergent (one-loop) contribution $\sim 1/\epsilon$ so that e.g. $[O_+]_R' = \alpha_s/4\pi\epsilon \tilde{\mathbb{H}}O_+$.

Similar, for the “plus-minus” operators $O_{1,2}$ we define

$$
[O_{i}]_R'(z_1, z_2) = \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi\epsilon} \left\{ [\tilde{\mathbb{H}}^i \rightarrow^f O_f](z_1, z_2) + [\tilde{\mathbb{H}}^i \rightarrow^f O_f](z_1, z_2) \right\}
$$

and our task will be to find explicit expressions for the $2 \rightarrow 3$ kernels $\mathbb{H}^1 \rightarrow^f$ and $\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow^f$. 
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6.1 $P_{\mu\lambda}$ transformation

An equation for the three-particle counterterms $\mathbb{H}^{1-\text{f}}$ and $\mathbb{H}^{2-\text{f}}$ can be obtained by the application of the transverse derivative $\partial_{\mu\lambda} = \mu^a \partial_a \bar{\lambda}^\alpha$ to the renormalized operator in Eq. (6.4). One obtains

$$\partial_{\mu\lambda}[O_+]_R = [\partial_{\mu\lambda}\psi_+ \otimes \psi_+]_R + [\psi_+ \otimes \partial_{\mu\lambda}\psi_+]_R. \quad (6.6)$$

We remind that a light-ray field is defined including the gauge link $\psi_\pm(z) \equiv [0, z]\psi_\pm(z)$, cf. (3.1), so that

$$\partial_{\mu\lambda}\psi_+(z) \equiv \sigma_\mu^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\alpha}[y, zn + y]\psi_+(zn + y)|_{y=0}. \quad (6.7)$$

It is convenient to impose the light-cone gauge condition $A_+ = 0$ on the background field, the same as for the quantum field $a_+ = 0$. In this case $[y, nz + y] = 1$ and $\partial_{\mu\lambda}[y, nz + y] = 0$, so that the gauge links can be ignored throughout this calculation.

Making use of the Fierz identity for Weyl spinors, $(ab)(cd) = (ac)(bd) - (ad)(bc)$, one can rewrite $\partial_{\mu\lambda}\psi_+^\dagger$ as follows

$$\partial_{\mu\lambda}\psi_+^\dagger = [D_{\mu\lambda}\psi_+]^\dagger + i\gamma^\mu_i \gamma^{i}\psi_+^\dagger = 2\partial_+\psi_+ + i\gamma^\mu_i \gamma^{i}\psi_+ - (\mu\lambda)[\bar{\lambda}\hat{D}\psi]^\dagger, \quad (6.8)$$

where we also used that $\bar{\lambda}\hat{D}\lambda = \lambda\lambda\hat{D} = 2\partial_+$ thanks to the gauge condition $A_+ = 0$. The “plus” derivative can further be reduced to the derivative over the light-cone coordinate: $\partial_+\psi_-(z) \equiv n^\alpha(\partial/\partial y^\alpha)\psi_-(zn + y)|_{y=0} = (\partial/\partial z)\psi_-(zn)$. Thus one obtains, e.g. for the first term in Eq. (6.6)

$$[\partial_{\mu\lambda}\psi_+(z_1) \otimes \psi_+(z_2)]_R = 2\partial_1[\psi_-(z_1) \otimes \psi_+(z_2)]_R + ig(t^b \otimes I)[A^b_{\mu\lambda}(z_1)\psi_+(z_1) \otimes \psi_+(z_2)]_R - (\mu\lambda)[\bar{\lambda}\hat{D}\psi(z_1) \otimes \psi_+(z_2)]_R. \quad (6.9)$$

Here and below we do not show color indices for the quarks and adopt a shorthand notation $(t^b \otimes I)(\psi_+ \otimes \psi_+) \equiv (t^b_{\mu\nu} \otimes I_{kk})(\psi_+^{\mu} \otimes \psi_+^{\nu})$, etc.

Combining Eqs. (6.9), (6.6) and (6.4) one derives for the divergent contribution $\sim 1/\epsilon$:

$$2\partial_1[O_1(z_1, z_2)]_R + 2\partial_2[O_2(z_1, z_2)]_R' =$$

$$= \partial_{\mu\lambda}[O_+(z_1, z_2)]_R + (\mu\lambda)\left\{[\bar{\lambda}\hat{D}\psi(z_1) \otimes \psi_+(z_2)]_R + [\psi_+(z_1) \otimes \bar{\lambda}\hat{D}\psi(z_2)]_R\right\}$$

$$- ig(t^b \otimes I)[A^b_{\mu\lambda}(z_1)\psi_+(z_1) \otimes \psi_+(z_2)]_R - ig(I \otimes t^b)[\psi_+(z_1) \otimes \psi_+(z_2)A^b_{\mu\lambda}(z_2)]_R. \quad (6.10)$$

The l.h.s. of the above equation contains the operators we are interested in. The r.h.s. is a bit messy so that we still have to do some rewriting. Though we are interested in contributions of three-particle operators only, for completeness we will keep trace of all (singular) terms.

Let us start with the second term on the r.h.s. of Eq. (6.10) which contains the EOM, $\bar{\lambda}\hat{D}\psi(z_1)$. It can be rewritten as follows

$$(\mu\lambda)[\bar{\lambda}\hat{D}\psi(z_1) \otimes \psi_+(z_2)]_R = (\mu\lambda)[\bar{\lambda}\hat{D}\psi(z_1)]_R \otimes \psi_+(z_2) \quad (6.11)$$
and further

\[ (\mu \lambda)[\bar{\psi} \bar{D} \psi]_R = Z_0(\mu \lambda) \bar{\psi} \bar{D} \psi]_0 = Z_0(2Z_- \partial_+ \psi_+ - Z_+ \bar{D} \mu \lambda \psi_+) \]
\[ = 2Z_-(Z_- - Z_+) \partial_+ \psi_+ + Z_- Z_+ (\mu \lambda) \bar{\psi} \bar{D} \psi, \]

(6.12)

where \([D\psi]_0\) means that the fields and the coupling constant which enter this expression are replaced by the bare ones. The factor \(Z_+\) and \(Z_-\) are the field renormalization constants of “plus” and “minus” quark field, Eq. (3.22), and we also used that \(g A_{\mu \lambda}\) (in the covariant derivative) is not renormalized. We obtain

\[ (\mu \lambda)[\bar{\psi} \bar{D} \psi(z_1) \otimes \psi_+(z_2)]_R = (Z_- Z_+ - 1)(\mu \lambda) \bar{\psi} \bar{D} \psi(z_1) \otimes \psi_+(z_2) \]
\[ + 2Z_- (Z_- - Z_+) \partial_{z_1} \psi_-(z_1) \otimes \psi_+(z_2). \]

(6.13)

The first term on the r.h.s. of (6.13) is again EOM, which can safely be omitted, and the second term gives a contribution to the \(2 \rightarrow 2\) kernels but not to the \(2 \rightarrow 3\) kernels.

Next, consider the contributions in the third line of Eq. (6.10). Since the counterterms all have two-particle structure in one loop, one can split e.g. the first contribution, \([A^b_{\mu \lambda}(z_1) \psi_+(z_1) \otimes \psi_+(z_2)]_R\), in three terms:

\[ [\psi_+(z_1) \otimes \psi_+(z_2)]_R A^b_{\mu \lambda}(z_1) + [\psi_+(z_1) A^b_{\mu \lambda}(z_1)]_R \otimes \psi_+(z_2) + \psi_+(z_1) \otimes [\psi_+(z_2) A^b_{\mu \lambda}(z_1)]_R. \]

The first term it given by Eq. (6.4). The second term involves renormalization of a local operator. A straightforward calculation gives

\[ [A^b_{\mu \lambda} \psi^b_+]'_R = -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} t^{a}_{\mu \lambda} \left\{ -4D_+ \psi_+'' + (\mu \lambda)(\bar{\psi} \bar{D} \psi)'' \right\}. \]

(6.14)

Note that the gluon field only enters the covariant derivative, as expected in the background field formalism. The expression in (6.14) is a one-particle counterterm which multiplies \(\psi_+(z_2)\), so it contributes to the \(2 \rightarrow 2\) kernels but not to the \(2 \rightarrow 3\) ones. To handle the third term we rewrite it as

\[ [\psi_+(z_2) A^b_{\mu \lambda}(z_1)]'_R = [\psi_+(z_2) \left( A^b_{\mu \lambda}(z_1) - A^b_{\mu \lambda}(z_2) \right)]'_R + [\psi_+(z_2) A^b_{\mu \lambda}(z_2)]'_R \]
\[ = -z_{12}(\mu \lambda) \int_0^1 d\tau [\psi_+(z_2) f^b_{++}(z_{12}^\tau)]'_R + [\psi_+(z_2) A^b_{\mu \lambda}(z_2)]'_R, \]

(6.15)

where we used the identity

\[ A^b_{\mu \lambda}(z_1) - A^b_{\mu \lambda}(z_2) = -z_{12}(\mu \lambda) \int_0^1 d\tau f^b_{++}(z_{12}^\tau). \]

(6.16)

The second term in (6.15) is again a one-particle local counterterm, Eq. (6.14), so it will not contribute to the \(2 \rightarrow 3\) kernels, and the first term can be written in terms of the BFLK kernel of type \(\underline{D}\) in Sect. 4 omitting the field renormalization.
To simplify the following expressions, we introduce the “averaging” operator $\mathcal{S}$ acting on a function of three variables such that

$$[\mathcal{S}\varphi](z_1, z_2) = \int_{z_2}^{z_1} ds \varphi(z_1, z_2, s) = z_{12} \int_0^1 d\tau \varphi(z_1, z_2, z_{12}^\tau).$$

(6.17)

In this notation

$$\psi_+(z_1) \otimes [\psi_+(z_2) A_{\mu \lambda}^b(z_1)]_R = - (\mu \lambda) \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi \epsilon} [\mathcal{S} \tilde{H}_{23}\mathcal{O}^b](z_1, z_2) + \ldots$$

(6.18)

where the two-particle operator $\tilde{H}_{23}$ is given in Eq. (6.17) omitting the terms in $\sigma_q, \sigma_g$. The subscripts in $\tilde{H}_{23}$ specify that the operator acts on the coordinates (and color indices) of the second and the third field in the operator $\mathcal{O}_f(z_1, z_2, z_3)$. The ellipses stand for the contributions of local two-particle counterterms, Eq. (6.14).

Adding the second term $\sim [\psi_+(z_1) \otimes \psi_+(z_2) A_{\mu \lambda}^b(z_2)]_R$ one obtains for the full contribution in the last line in Eq. (6.10):

$$(-ig) \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi \epsilon} \left\{ \left[ (t^b \otimes I) A_{\mu \lambda}^b(z_1) + (I \otimes t^b) A_{\mu \lambda}^b(z_2) \right] \tilde{H} \mathcal{O}_+^b(z_1, z_2) \right.$$

$$- (t^b \otimes I) (\mu \lambda) [\mathcal{S} \tilde{H}_{23}\mathcal{O}^b](z_1, z_2) + (I \otimes t^b)(\mu \lambda) [\mathcal{S} \tilde{H}_{13}\mathcal{O}^b](z_1, z_2) \right\} + \ldots$$

(6.19)

Last but not least, we have to deal with the first term on the r.h.s. of Eq. (6.10). The explicit expression for $\tilde{H} \mathcal{O}_+$ is given by an integral over the light-cone positions of the product of fields $\psi_+ \otimes \psi_+$, see Eqs. (4.11) and (4.1). The transverse derivative $\partial_{\mu \lambda}$ of this equation can be worked out with the help of Eq. (6.9):

$$\partial_{\mu \lambda} [\tilde{H} \mathcal{O}_+](z_1, z_2) = 2 \left[ \tilde{H} \left( \partial_1 \mathcal{O}_1 + \partial_2 \mathcal{O}_2 \right) \right](z_1, z_2) +$$

$$+ ig \tilde{H} \left[ (t^b \otimes I) A_{\mu \lambda}^b(z_1) + (I \otimes t^b) A_{\mu \lambda}^b(z_2) \right] \mathcal{O}_+^b(z_1, z_2) + \text{EOM.}(6.20)$$

Finally, collecting everything and using the definitions of the kernels in Eq. (6.5) we obtain (omitting EOM contributions)

$$\sum_{i,k=1}^{2} \partial_i [\tilde{H}^{i-k}\mathcal{O}_k](z_1, z_2) = \sum_{k=1}^2 \left[ [\tilde{H} + 2 t^b \otimes t^b] \partial_k \mathcal{O}_k \right](z_1, z_2),$$

(6.21)

$$\sum_{k=1}^2 \partial_k [\tilde{H}^{k-f}\mathcal{O}_f](z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{2} ig \left\{ \left[ \tilde{H} \Delta - \Delta \tilde{H} \right] \mathcal{O}_+ \right\}(z_1, z_2) +$$

$$+ (\mu \lambda) \left[ \mathcal{S} \left( (t^b \otimes I) \tilde{H}_{23} - (I \otimes t^b) \tilde{H}_{13} \right) \mathcal{O}_f \right](z_1, z_2) \right\} \}$$

(6.22)

for the contributions of two-particle and three-particle operators, respectively. Here we introduced the operator $\Delta$ which acts as

$$[\Delta \varphi]_{ii'}(z_1, z_2) = \left\{ (t_{ii'}^b \otimes I_{jj'}) A_{\mu \lambda}^b(z_1) + (I_{ii'} \otimes t_{jj'}^b) A_{\mu \lambda}^b(z_2) \right\} \varphi_{jj'}(z_1, z_2).$$

(6.23)
This operator depends explicitly on the gluon field A. The commutator $[\tilde{H}, \Delta]$ in Eq. (6.22) can, however, be rewritten in terms of the field strength tensor $f^+$ as a consequence of the identity \[(t^a \otimes I) + (I \otimes t^a), (t^b \otimes t^b)\] = 0 which can easily be verified. Thanks to this identity the commutator \[\tilde{H}, \Delta\] would vanish if the gluon fields in the first and the second term in Eq. (6.23) were taken at the same space-time point. Hence \[\tilde{H}, \Delta\] ∼ $A^b_{\mu\lambda}(z_1) - A^b_{\mu\lambda}(z_2)$ and this difference can be rewritten in terms of $f^+$ using Eq. (6.16).

Taking into account the explicit expressions for the BFK kernels $\tilde{H}, \tilde{H}_{23}, \tilde{H}_{13}$ one can bring Eq. (6.22) to the following (final) form:

$$2 \sum_{k=1}^{2} \partial_k \mathbb{H}^{k-f} O_f(z_1, z_2) = g(\mu\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{3} C_i[(SW_i - T_i) O_f](z_1, z_2),$$ \hspace{1cm} (6.24)

where $C_i$ are the color structures:

$$C_1 = f^{bcd}(t^b \otimes t^c), \quad C_2 = i(t^b \otimes t^d t^b), \quad C_3 = -i(t^d t^b \otimes t^b),$$ \hspace{1cm} (6.25)

the operator $S$ is defined in Eq. (6.17), $W_i$ are given in terms of the invariant kernels as

$$W_1 = \tilde{H}_{23} + \tilde{H}_{23} - \tilde{H}_{12} - 2(\mathcal{H}_{23} + \mathcal{H}_{13}^+), \quad W_2 = 2 \mathcal{H}_{23}^-, \quad W_3 = 2 \mathcal{H}_{13}^-$$ \hspace{1cm} (6.26)

and

$$T_1 = \mathcal{V}_{13} + \mathcal{V}_{23}, \quad T_2 = \mathcal{V}_{23}, \quad T_2 = \mathcal{V}_{13},$$ \hspace{1cm} (6.27)

with

$$[\mathcal{V}_{13}\varphi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_{\tilde{\alpha}}^1 d\beta \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\alpha} \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_2, z_{21}^\beta),$$

$$[\mathcal{V}_{23}\varphi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_{\tilde{\alpha}}^1 d\beta \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\alpha} \varphi(z_1, z_{21}^\alpha, z_{12}^\beta).$$ \hspace{1cm} (6.28)

It remains to solve this equation and find the explicit expressions for the $2 \rightarrow 3$ kernels $\mathbb{H}^{1,2-f}$.

### 6.2 SL(2, R) decomposition

Taking matrix elements of the operator $O_f$ over suitable states one can view Eq. (6.24) as an operator identity

$$2 \sum_{k=1}^{2} \partial_k \mathbb{H}^{k-f} = g(\mu\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{3} C_i(SW_i - T_i)$$ \hspace{1cm} (6.29)

\[\text{†Recall that the kernels depend implicitly on the conformal spins of the fields they act on.}\]
on the space of functions \( \varphi^{ij\alpha}(z_1, z_2, z_3) \leftrightarrow \varphi^{ij}(z_1, z_2) \). We will show that the kernels \( \mathbb{H}^{2-f} \) are completely determined by this equation. To this end we have to examine the properties of Eq. (6.29) under the \( SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \) conformal transformations.

The conformal invariance of one-loop QCD evolution equations implies that the kernels of interest, \( \mathbb{H}^{1-f} \) and \( \mathbb{H}^{2-f} \), are \( SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \)-invariant operators mapping

\[
\mathbb{H}^{1-f}: T^1 \otimes T^1 \otimes T^{3/2} \mapsto T^{1/2} \otimes T^1, \\
\mathbb{H}^{2-f}: T^1 \otimes T^1 \otimes T^{3/2} \mapsto T^1 \otimes T^{1/2}.
\]

The precise statement is that the operators \( \mathbb{H}^{k-f} \) intertwine \( SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \) generators in the corresponding representations: Let

\[
\tilde{S}_{12}^{(j_1,j_2)} = \tilde{S}_1^{j_1} + \tilde{S}_2^{j_2}, \quad \tilde{S}_{123}^{(j_1,j_2,j_3)} = \tilde{S}_1^{j_1} + \tilde{S}_2^{j_2} + \tilde{S}_3^{j_3}
\]

be the generators acting on the two-particle and three-particle states with the given conformal spins, respectively. For example, the first equation in (6.30) means that

\[
\mathbb{H}^{1-f} \tilde{S}_{12}^{(1,1,3/2)} = \tilde{S}_{12}^{(1/2,1)} \mathbb{H}^{1-f}
\]

and similar for the second operator.

In turn, for the operators \( \mathcal{W}_i, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}_i \) appearing on the r.h.s. of Eq. (6.29) one obtains

\[
\mathcal{W}_i : T^1 \otimes T^1 \otimes T^{3/2} \mapsto T^1 \otimes T^1 \otimes T^{3/2}, \\
\mathcal{S} : T^1 \otimes T^1 \otimes T^1 \mapsto T^1 \otimes T^1, \\
\mathcal{T}_i : T^1 \otimes T^1 \otimes T^1 \mapsto T^1 \otimes T^1
\]

where the first equation follows from the definition of \( \mathcal{W}_i \) in terms of invariant kernels introduced in Sect. 4, and the last two can be checked by explicit calculation.

Let us apply Eq. (6.29) to the step-up operator \( S_{123}^{+(1,1,3/2)} \). On the r.h.s., taking into account that \( S_{123}^{+(1,1,3/2)} = S_{123}^{+(1,1)} + z_3 \) one finds

\[
(\mathcal{S}\mathcal{W}_i - \mathcal{T}_i)S_{123}^{+(1,1,3/2)} = S_{12}^{+(1,1)}(\mathcal{S}\mathcal{W}_i - \mathcal{T}_i) + (\mathcal{S}z_3\mathcal{W}_i - \mathcal{T}_iz_3).
\]

Similarly for the l.h.s., using \( S_{12}^{+(1/2,1)} = S_{12}^{+(0,1)} + z_1 \) and \( \partial_z T^0 = T^1 \partial_z \) one derives

\[
\sum_{k=1}^2 \partial_k \mathbb{H}^{k-f} S_{123}^{+(1,1,3/2)} = S_{12}^{+(1,1)} \sum_{k=1}^2 \partial_k \mathbb{H}^{k-f} + \sum_{k=1}^2 \partial_k z_k \mathbb{H}^{k-f}.
\]

Thanks to Eq. (6.29) the terms in (6.34), (6.35) multiplying \( S_{12}^{+(1,1)} \) are equal; hence the remaining terms have to be equal as well:

\[
\sum_{k=1}^2 \partial_k z_k \mathbb{H}^{k-f} = g(\mu \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^3 C_i (\mathcal{S}z_3\mathcal{W}_i - \mathcal{T}_iz_3).
\]
The two equations in (6.29) and (6.36) can be rewritten equivalently as

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_1 z_{12} \mathbb{H}^{1-f} + \mathbb{H}^{2-f} &= g(\mu \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{3} C_i (S(z_3-z_2) W_i - T_i z_3 + z_2 T_i) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{3} C_i A_i , \nonumber \\
\partial_2 z_{21} \mathbb{H}^{2-f} + \mathbb{H}^{1-f} &= g(\mu \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{3} C_i (S(z_3-z_1) W_i - T_i z_3 + z_1 T_i) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{3} C_i B_i . \quad (6.37)
\end{align*}
\]

These equations are already \( SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \) invariant and correspond to the mappings \( T^1 \otimes T^{3/2} \rightarrow T^1 \otimes T^{1/2} \) and \( T^1 \otimes T^{1} \otimes T^{3/2} \rightarrow T^{1/2} \otimes T^1 \), respectively. The expressions on the r.h.s. can be simplified. After a straightforward calculation one derives the following expressions

\[
\begin{align*}
[A_1 \varphi](z_1, z_2) &= z_{12}^2 \left( \int_0^1 d\beta \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\alpha} \varphi(z_1, z_2, z_{12}^\alpha, z_{12}^\beta) - \int_0^1 \frac{d\alpha}{\bar{\alpha}} \int_0^1 d\beta \frac{\bar{\beta}}{\alpha} \varphi(z_1, z_2, z_{12}^\alpha, z_{12}^\beta) \right) , \\
[A_2 \varphi](z_1, z_2) &= z_{12}^2 \left( \int_0^1 \frac{d\alpha}{\bar{\alpha}} \int_0^1 d\beta \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\alpha} \varphi(z_1, z_2, z_{12}^\alpha, z_{12}^\beta) \right) , \\
[A_3 \varphi](z_1, z_2) &= z_{12}^2 \left( \int_0^1 d\beta \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\alpha} \varphi(z_1, z_2, z_{12}^\alpha, z_{12}^\beta) - \int_0^1 \frac{d\alpha}{\bar{\alpha}} \int_0^1 d\beta \frac{\bar{\beta}}{\alpha} \varphi(z_1, z_2, z_{12}^\alpha, z_{12}^\beta) \right) , \\
[B_1 \varphi](z_1, z_2) &= -z_{12}^2 \left( \int_0^1 d\beta \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\alpha} \varphi(z_1, z_2, z_{21}^\beta, z_{12}^\alpha) - \int_0^1 \frac{d\alpha}{\bar{\alpha}} \int_0^1 d\beta \frac{\bar{\beta}}{\alpha} \varphi(z_1, z_2, z_{21}^\beta, z_{12}^\alpha) \right) , \\
[B_2 \varphi](z_1, z_2) &= -z_{12}^2 \left( \int_0^1 \frac{d\alpha}{\bar{\alpha}} \int_0^1 d\beta \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\alpha} \varphi(z_1, z_2, z_{21}^\beta, z_{12}^\alpha) \right) , \\
[B_3 \varphi](z_1, z_2) &= -z_{12}^2 \left( \int_0^1 d\beta \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\alpha} \varphi(z_1, z_2, z_{21}^\beta, z_{12}^\alpha) - \int_0^1 \frac{d\alpha}{\bar{\alpha}} \int_0^1 d\beta \frac{\bar{\beta}}{\alpha} \varphi(z_1, z_2, z_{21}^\beta, z_{12}^\alpha) \right) . \quad (6.38)
\end{align*}
\]

As the last step, we can prove that the two equations in (6.37) or, equivalently, Eqs. (6.29) and (6.36) determine the kernels \( \mathbb{H}^{2-f} \) uniquely. Using the first equation in (6.37) to eliminate \( \mathbb{H}^{2-f} \) one obtains for \( \mathbb{H}^{1-f} \)

\[
(\partial_2 z_{21} \partial_1 z_{12} - 1) \mathbb{H}^{1-f} = g(\mu \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{3} C_i (\partial_2 z_{21} A_i - B_i) . \quad (6.39)
\]

The differential operator on the l.h.s. of this equation is nothing else as the Casimir operator for the conformal spins \((j_1, j_2) = (1/2, 1)\): \( (\partial_2 z_{21} \partial_1 z_{12} - 1) = S_{12}^0 - 3/4 \). This operator has zero modes \((S_{12}^0 - 3/4) \psi_0 = 0\). However, functions of the form \( \psi = \mathbb{H}^{1-f} \varphi \) do not belong to this subspace \( \mathbb{H}^{1-f} \). Therefore, this operator can be inverted and \( \mathbb{H}^{1-f} \)
restored as
\[ H^{1-f} = g(\mu \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{3} C_i \mathbb{R} (\partial_2 z_{21} A_i - B_i), \]  
(6.40)

where the \( \mathbb{R} \) is the inverse operator to \( (S_{12}^2 - 3/4) \) on the subspace that excludes zero modes, \( (S_{12}^2 - 3/4)\psi \neq 0 \):
\[ [\mathbb{R}\psi](z_1, z_2) = \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \frac{\beta}{\alpha - \beta} \psi(z^\alpha_{12}, z^\beta_{21}). \]  
(6.41)

In practice, this last transformation is not necessary as the solution to Eqs. (6.37) can easily be guessed. The final result is presented below in Eq. (7.7) in Sect. 7. It coincides with the corresponding expression in Ref. [36] obtained by a direct calculation.

As the final remark, we want to note that the case of quark-quark operators with the same chirality considered here proves to be the most complicated in this respect. In most other cases solving the similar pair of equations is straightforward since, as it turns out, one (or both) of the 2 \( \rightarrow \) 3 kernels enters without a derivative.

6.3 \( M_{\mu \bar{\nu}} \) transformation

Equation (6.36) that we derived using conformal \( SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \) transformations can also be obtained in a different way, by applying the generator of Lorentz rotations \( M_{\mu \bar{\nu}} \) to the quasipartonic operator \( O_+ \). This alternative derivation may be interesting as it shows that Lorentz symmetry alone is sufficient to restore the “nondiagonal” 2 \( \rightarrow \) 3 operator mixing, so in what follows we outline the main steps.

The general strategy is similar to the case of the \( P_{\mu \bar{\lambda}} \) transformation considered in Sect. 6.1. Using the definition in (A.1) one obtains
\[ i[M_{\mu \bar{\nu}}, \psi_+(z)] = -(\mu \lambda)\delta_M \psi_+(z), \quad \delta_M = \frac{1}{2} z\partial_{\mu \bar{\lambda}} + \mu \partial_{\bar{\lambda}}. \]  
(6.42)

The first difference to the \( P_{\mu \bar{\lambda}} \) case is that contributions from the rotation of the gauge links in the definitions of light-ray operators are nonzero and have to be taken into account. Explicit calculation yields
\[ \delta_M[0, z]\psi_+(z) = (z\partial_z + 1)\psi_-(z) - i\frac{g}{2} z^2 (\mu \lambda) \int_0^1 du u \bar{f}_{++}(uz)\psi_+(z). \]  
(6.43)

A bigger problem is that \( \delta_M \) is not a symmetry transformation of the QCD Lagrangian because of the gauge fixing term
\[ i \int d^4x L_{\text{gauge}} = -\frac{i}{2\xi} \int d^4x (n_\mu a^\mu(x))^2. \]  
(6.44)

Applying the Lorentz rotation to the path integral, one obtains for a generic composite operator \( O \)
\[ [\delta_M O]_R' = \delta_M[O]_R' + [(\delta_M S)O]_R' \]  
(6.45)
i.e. there is an extra term due to the variation of the QCD action

\[ \delta M S = - \frac{i}{\xi} \int d^4 x \, a_+(x) \delta M a_+(x). \]  

(6.46)

In our case one obtains

\[ \delta M O_+(z_1, z_2) = (z_1 \partial_1 + 1)O_1(z_1, z_2) + (z_2 \partial_2 + 1)O_2(z_1, z_2) - \]

\[ - \frac{ig}{2} (\mu \lambda) \int_0^1 \tau d\tau \left\{ \frac{z_1^2 (t^b \otimes I)}{z_1} \mathcal{O}_f^b(z_1, z_2, \tau z_1) + \frac{z_2^2 (I \otimes t^b)}{z_2} \mathcal{O}_f^b(z_1, z_2, \tau z_2) \right\} + \text{EOM} \]

so that

\[ (z_1 \partial_1 + 1)[O_1]'_R(z_1, z_2) + (z_2 \partial_2 + 1)[O_2]'_R(z_1, z_2) = \]

\[ = - \frac{ig}{2} (\mu \lambda) \int_0^1 \tau d\tau \left\{ \frac{z_1^2 (t^b \otimes I)}{z_1} [\mathcal{O}_f^b]'_R(z_1, z_2, \tau z_1) + \frac{z_2^2 (I \otimes t^b)}{z_2} [\mathcal{O}_f^b]'_R(z_1, z_2, \tau z_2) \right\} \]

\[ + \delta M [O_+]'_R(z_1, z_2) - [O_+(\delta M S)]'_R + \ldots \]

(6.47)

cf. Eq. (6.10). Note the l.h.s. of this equation is the same as in Eq. (6.36). The r.h.s. is similar to the r.h.s of Eq. (6.10) except for the additional term \([O_+(\delta M S)]'_R\). Let us consider this contribution more closely.

The gluon propagator corresponding to (6.44) is in general (in vector notation)

\[ G_{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{i}{k^2} \left[ -g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{k_\mu n_\nu + n_\mu k_\nu}{kn} - \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{(kn)^2}(n^2 + \xi k^2) \right] \]

(6.48)

and the light-cone gauge corresponds to taking limits \(n^2 \to 0\) and \(\xi \to 0\).

The Lorentz rotation of the action (6.46) is formally \(O(1/\xi)\). However, since \(n^\mu G_{\mu\nu} = -\xi k_\nu/(kn)\) (6.48), each insertion of \(a_+(x)\) is effectively \(O(\xi)\), so that terms in \(\delta M S\) containing \(a_+\) more than once can safely be neglected. Hence it is sufficient to keep the first term only in the expression for the transformed field

\[ \delta M a_+(x) = \frac{1}{2} a_{\mu \lambda}(x) + \frac{1}{2(\mu \lambda)} (\mu x \partial_\mu) a_+(x) \]

(6.49)

and consider zero-momentum insertions of the operator

\[ \cdots \, \Gamma \, \cdots = \frac{1}{2\xi} a_+(x) a_{\mu \lambda}(x). \]

(6.50)

A detailed analysis shows that the effect of such insertions reduces to the following transformation of the light-ray fields:

\[ (\delta M S)[0, z] \psi_+(z) = \frac{ig}{2} \left[ z \int_0^1 du \, a_{\mu \lambda}(uz) - \frac{1}{\partial_+} a_{\mu \lambda}(z) \right] + \ldots \psi_+(z). \]

(6.51)
This equation is illustrated in Fig. 2a. The first term on the r.h.s. comes from the gauge link, Fig. 2a. Note that \( a_+ (x) a_+ (y) = -i \xi \delta^{(4)} (x - y) \) so that the insertion of \( \delta_M S \) simply replaces \( a_+ (uz) \) by the physical transverse gluon field \( a_{\mu \lambda} (uz) \) at the same position. The second term on the r.h.s. of (6.51) corresponds to the first contribution in Fig 2b, which originates from the contraction of the quark propagator.

There is also another term that involves the classical background field \( A_{\mu \lambda} \) and arises when one completes the covariant derivative to obtain EOM. Such contributions cancel, however, in the sum of all one-loop Feynman diagrams so we do not show this term in Eq. (6.51) explicitly. We have checked that all other insertions of \( \delta_M S \) (and also the same insertions as in Fig. 2 but with the contraction of the transverse field \( a_{\mu \lambda} (x) \) in the effective vertex instead of \( a_+ (x) \)) cancel as well. The structure of these cancellations strongly suggests that they can be put in a form of a certain Ward identity which we did not work out in the operator form, however.

Using (6.16) the contributions \( \sim a_{\mu \lambda} \) in Eq. (6.51) can be rewritten in terms of the field strength tensor \( \tilde{f}_{++} \) so that the last term in Eq. (6.47), \( \left[ O_+ \left( \delta_M S \right) \right]'_{R} \), reduces to the sum of contributions of the corresponding BFLK kernels. The rest of the calculation is straightforward and follows closely the calculation described in Sect. 6.1 so we skip the details. The result reproduces Eq. (6.36).

7 Results for the 2 → 3 Kernels

In this Section we present a complete list of the 2 → 3 kernels. Altogether, there exist 72 different twist-3 pairs of the primary fields, \{\( \psi_− \otimes \psi_+, \psi_− \otimes \chi_+, \psi_+ \otimes D_{−} \bar{\chi}_+, \ldots \)\}. Many of them are related to each other by parity \( P \) and charge conjugation \( C \) symmetries, however, so that there are only 16 independent pairs. Eight of them can be chosen as the descendants of the quasipartonic \( X_+ \otimes X_+ \) operators with both fields having the same chirality and the other eight as the descendants of quasipartonic operators containing primary fields of opposite chirality. In each case, the renormalized operator \( [X]_{R} (z_1, z_2) \) in the light-cone gauge can be written to the one-loop accuracy as

\[
[X]_{R} (z_1, z_2) = X_{B} (z_1, z_2) + \frac{\alpha_s}{4 \pi \epsilon} \left[ R^{(2→2)} X \right] (z_1, z_2) + \frac{\alpha_s}{4 \pi \epsilon} \left[ R^{(2→3)} Y \right] (z_1, z_2). \quad (7.1)
\]

The results for the 2 → 2 Hamiltonians, \( R^{(2→2)} \), are collected in Sect. 5. The expressions for the 16 independent three-particle counterterms \( R^{(2→3)} Y \) \((z_1, z_2)\), where
Y(z_1, z_2, z_3) = X^{(1)}_+(z_1) \otimes X^{(2)}_+(z_2) \otimes X^{(3)}_+(z_3) is a quasipartonic operator with proper quantum numbers, will be given in what follows.

The representation in (7.1) is somewhat schematic since several three-particle operators Y_1, Y_2, \ldots can contribute to the r.h.s. and all have to be taken into account, which makes the corresponding expressions quite cumbersome. For example, the operator f_{++} \otimes \psi_+ can mix with the operator f_{++} \otimes \bar{f}_{++} and also with a three-quark operator \psi_+ \otimes \bar{\psi}_+ \otimes \bar{\psi}_+, etc, so that, effectively, the gluon pair f_{++} \otimes \bar{f}_{++} is replaced by the quark-antiquark pair \psi_+ \otimes \bar{\psi}_+. Such quark-antiquark pairs always appear in special combinations

\[ J^a(z_1, z_2) = \bar{\psi}_+(z_1) T^a \psi_+(z_2) + \chi_+(z_1) T^a \bar{\chi}_+(z_2) = \bar{q}(z_1) T^a \gamma_+ q(z_2), \quad J^a(z) \equiv J^a(z, z), \]
\[ J^{ab}(z_1, z_2) = \bar{\psi}_+(z_1) T^{ab} \psi_+(z_2) - \chi_+(z_2) T^{ab} \bar{\chi}_+(z_1), \]
\[ J^{abc}(z_1, z_2) = \bar{\psi}_+(z_1) T^{ab} \bar{\chi}_+(z_2) + \chi_+(z_2) T^{ab} \bar{\chi}_+(z_1), \]

where the sum over flavors is implied, \( \bar{\psi}_+ T^a \psi_+ = \sum_A \bar{\psi}_+ A T^a \psi_A \), etc. The operators \( J^a, J^{ab}, J^{abc} \) are of course related to each other. However, imposing such relations complicates the expressions considerably, so we do not attempt this.

As mentioned above, sixteen independent \( 2 \rightarrow 3 \) RG kernels will be presented explicitly. The remaining 56 = 72 – 16 ones can be restored as follows.

First, the left-handed and right-handed quark spinors appearing in (7.1) can be interchanged freely: \( \psi_+ \leftrightarrow \chi_+ \) and \( \psi_\pm \leftrightarrow \bar{\chi}_\pm \) (on both sides of Eq. (7.1) simultaneously, but separately for each flavor). The form of the kernels is not affected by such transformation so that, for example, the four kernels

\[ \psi_- \otimes \psi_+ \rightarrow \psi_- \otimes \psi_+ \otimes \bar{f}_{++}, \quad \chi_- \otimes \psi_+ \rightarrow \chi_- \otimes \psi_+ \otimes \bar{f}_{++}, \]
\[ \psi_- \otimes \chi_+ \rightarrow \psi_- \otimes \chi_+ \otimes \bar{f}_{++}, \quad \chi_- \otimes \chi_+ \rightarrow \chi_- \otimes \chi_+ \otimes \bar{f}_{++} \]

are equal to each other. Making use of such simple substitution rules one ends up with 36 kernels: 16 = 4 × 4 quark–quark, 16 = 2 × 8 quark–gluon and 4 gluon–gluon ones.

The remaining 36 = 72 – 36 kernels can be obtained by applying hermitian conjugation to the corresponding quantum operators. To this end, the color factors like \( i(t^d t^c) \otimes t^c \) should be treated as \( c \)-numbers, i.e.

\[ \left( i(t^d t^c)_{ii'} \otimes t^c_{jj'} \psi_{i'}(z_1) \psi_{j'}(z_2) \right) \text{tr} = \left( i(t^d t^c)_{ii'} \otimes t^c_{jj'} \right)^* (\psi^d_{i'}(z_2) \psi^d_{j'}(z_1)) \text{tr} = i(t^d t^c)_{ii'} \otimes t^c_{jj'} \bar{\psi}^d_{i'}(z_2) \bar{\psi}^d_{j'}(z_1). \]

Here in the first line all SU(\( N \)) generators are taken in the quark representation, \( t^a = T^a \), whereas in the second line \( t^a = (-T^a)^T \) are the generators in the antiquark representation, cf. Eq. (\( \text{[B.3]} \)).

\footnote{The annihilation-type contributions \( \sim \delta_{AB} \) in Eq. (7.49) are only present for \( \bar{\psi}_A^A \psi^B_+, \bar{\chi}_A^+ \chi^A_+ \) operators and have to be discarded for \( \bar{\chi}_A^+ \psi^B_+, \bar{\psi}_A^A \chi^B_+ \).}
We remind that under hermitian conjugation
\[ \psi_{\pm}^d = \bar{\psi}_{\pm}, \quad \chi_\pm = \bar{\chi}_\pm, \quad f_{++}^d = \bar{f}_{++}, \quad f_{+-}^d = \bar{f}_{+-}, \quad (D_{++})^* = D_{+-} \quad (7.3) \]
and in addition one has to replace \((\mu \lambda) \rightarrow (\bar{\lambda} \bar{\mu})\). One also finds easily that
\[ (J^a(z_1, z_2))^\dagger = J^a(z_2, z_1), \quad (J^{ab}(z_1, z_2))^\dagger = J^{ba}(z_2, z_1), \quad (J^{abc}(z_1, z_2))^\dagger = J^{cba}(z_2, z_1). \quad (7.4) \]

7.1 \( \psi_- \psi_+ \) or \( \frac{1}{2} D_{+-} \psi_+ \bar{\psi}_+ \)

The three-particle counterterm in both cases takes the form
\[ [X_{ij}]_R = \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi \epsilon} \sum_{k=1}^{3} (C_k)^{ij}_{\epsilon \epsilon'} d \mathcal{H}_k Y^{ij}_{\epsilon \epsilon' d}, \quad (7.5) \]
where \( C_k \) are the color structures
\[ (C_1)^{ij}_{\epsilon \epsilon'} = f^{d b c} t^a_{\epsilon d j} t^c_{\epsilon' j'}, \quad (C_2)^{ij}_{\epsilon \epsilon'} = i(t^d h^b)_i t^c_{\epsilon j j'}, \quad (C_3)^{ij}_{\epsilon \epsilon'} = i t^b_{i i j} (t^d h^b)_{j j'}. \quad (7.6) \]
The \( SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \) invariant kernels for the first case, \( X_{ij} = \psi_{-}^{d} \psi_{+}^{d} \), are given by:
\[ [H_1 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12}^2 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^{\beta} d\beta \varphi(z^{\alpha}_{12}, z, z^{\beta}_{21}), \]
\[ [H_2 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12}^2 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^{\beta} d\beta \frac{\alpha \beta}{\alpha} \varphi(z^{\alpha}_{12}, z, z^{\beta}_{21}). \quad (7.7) \]
(the third color structure, \( C_3 \), does not contribute).
For the second case, \( X_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} D_{+-} \psi_+ \bar{\psi}_+ \), one obtains
\[ [H_1 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12} \left\{ \int_0^1 d\beta \frac{\alpha \beta}{\alpha} \varphi(z_1, z_2, z_2^{\beta}) + \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^{\beta} d\beta \frac{\alpha \beta}{\alpha} \varphi(z_1, z^{\alpha}_{12}, z_2^{\beta}) \right\}, \]
\[ [H_2 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^{\beta} d\beta \frac{\alpha \beta}{\alpha} \left( 2 - \frac{\alpha \beta}{\alpha \beta} \right) \varphi(z^{\alpha}_{12}, z_2, z_2^{\beta}), \]
\[ [H_3 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^{\beta} d\beta \varphi(z_1, z^{\alpha}_{12}, z_2^{\beta}). \quad (7.8) \]
7.2 \( \frac{1}{2}D_{++} + \bar{f}_{++} \bar{f}_{++} \)

\[
X^{ab}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{2} D_{+-} \bar{f}_{++}^a(z_1) \bar{f}_{++}^b(z_1), \quad Y^{ab}(z_1, z_2, z_3) = g(\mu \lambda) f_{++}^a(z_1) f_{++}^b(z_1) \bar{f}_{++}^d(z_3)
\]

The three-particle counterterm takes the form

\[
[X^{ab}]_R = \frac{\alpha_s}{4 \pi \epsilon} \sum_{k=1}^{3} (C_k)^{ab}_{a'b'd} \mathcal{H}_k Y^{a'b'd}, \quad (7.9)
\]

where the color structures \( C_k \) are the same as in Eq. (7.6) (but with all generators in the adjoint representation, cf. [333]). The SL(2,\( \mathbb{R} \)) invariant kernels are:

\[
[H_1 \psi](z_1, z_2) = z_1 \left\{ \int_0^1 d\beta \bar{\beta} \varphi(z_1, z_2, z_1^2) + \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \frac{\alpha \bar{\beta}^2}{\beta} \left( 2 - \frac{\bar{\alpha} \beta}{\alpha \bar{\beta}} \right) \varphi(z_1, z_2, z_1^2) \right\},
\]

\[
[H_2 \psi](z_1, z_2) = z_1 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \frac{\bar{\alpha} \beta}{\alpha} \varphi(z_1^2, z_2, z_1^2),
\]

\[
[H_3 \psi](z_1, z_2) = -z_1 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \frac{\bar{\alpha} \beta}{\alpha} \varphi(z_1, z_2^2, z_1^2).
\]

7.3 \( \frac{1}{2}D_{+-} \bar{\psi} + \bar{f}_{++} \) or \( \bar{\psi} + \frac{1}{2}D_{+-} \bar{f}_{++} \)

\[
X^{ia}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{2} D_{+-} \bar{\psi}_i^a(z_1) \bar{f}_{++}^a(z_2)
\]

\[
X^{ia}(z_1, z_2) = \bar{\psi}_i^a(z_1) \frac{1}{2} D_{+-} \bar{f}_{++}^a(z_2)
\]

\[
Y^{i\text{ad}}(z_1, z_2, z_3) = g(\mu \lambda) \bar{\psi}_i^a(z_1) \bar{f}_{++}^a(z_2) \bar{f}_{++}^d(z_3)
\]

The three-particle counterterm takes the form

\[
[X^{ia}]_R = \frac{\alpha_s}{4 \pi \epsilon} \sum_{k=1}^{5} (C_k)^{ia}_{i'a'd} \mathcal{H}_k Y^{i'a'd}. \quad (7.11)
\]

The first three color structures \( C_1, C_2, C_3 \) are the same as in Eq. (7.6) (with the generators in the appropriate representation), and there are two new structures:

\[
(C_4)^{ia}_{i'a'd} = i(t^d t^a t^a)_{ii'}, \quad (C_5)^{ia}_{i'a'd} = i(t^a t^d t^a)_{ii'}, \quad (7.12)
\]
The invariant kernels for the first case, $X^{ia} = \frac{1}{2}D_{-+}\bar{\psi}^i_+\bar{f}^a_{++}$, are given by:

$$[\mathcal{H}_1\varphi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12}\left\{ \int_0^1 d\beta \bar{\beta} \varphi(z_1, z_2, z_{12}^\beta) + \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_2, z_{21}^\beta) \right\} + \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \frac{\bar{\alpha}\beta}{\alpha} \left( 2 - \frac{\bar{\alpha}\beta}{\alpha} \right) \varphi(z_1, z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta),$$

$$[\mathcal{H}_2\varphi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \varphi(z_1, z_2, z_{12}^\beta),$$

$$[\mathcal{H}_3\varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \varphi(z_1, z_{21}^\alpha, z_{12}^\beta),$$

$$[\mathcal{H}_4\varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \varphi(z_2, z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta),$$

$$[\mathcal{H}_5\varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \varphi(z_2, z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta).$$

(7.13)

The invariant kernels for the second case, $X^{ia} = \bar{\psi}^i_+(z_1)\frac{1}{2}D_{-+}\bar{f}^a_{++}(z_2)$, are:

$$[\mathcal{H}_1\varphi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12}\left\{ \int_0^1 d\beta \bar{\beta} \varphi(z_1, z_2, z_{12}^\beta) + \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_2, z_{21}^\beta) \right\} + \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \frac{\alpha\bar{\beta}^2}{\beta} \left( 2 - \frac{\alpha\bar{\beta}}{\beta} \right) \varphi(z_1, z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta),$$

$$[\mathcal{H}_2\varphi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \varphi(z_1, z_2, z_{12}^\beta),$$

$$[\mathcal{H}_3\varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \varphi(z_1, z_{21}^\alpha, z_{12}^\beta),$$

$$[\mathcal{H}_4\varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \varphi(z_2, z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta),$$

$$[\mathcal{H}_5\varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \varphi(z_2, z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta).$$

(7.14)

7.4 $\bar{f}_{++}\psi_-$ or $\frac{1}{2}D_{-+}\bar{f}_{++}\psi_+$

$$X^{ai}(z_1, z_2) = \bar{f}_{++}^a(z_1)\psi^i_-(z_2),$$

or

$$Y^{aid}(z_1, z_2, z_3) = g(\mu\lambda)\bar{f}_{++}^a(z_1)\psi^i_+(z_2)\bar{f}_{++}^d(z_3)$$

$$X^{ai}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{2}D_{-+}\bar{f}_{++}^a(z_1)\psi^i_+(z_2)$$
The three-particle counterterm takes the form

$$[X^{ai}]_R = \frac{\alpha_s}{4 \pi \epsilon} \sum_{k=1}^{6} (C_k)^{ai}_{\alpha'i'd} \mathcal{H}_k Y^{a'i'd}. \quad (7.15)$$

The color structures $C_1, C_2, C_3$ are specified in Eq. (7.6) (with the generators in the appropriate representation), $C_4, C_5$ are given in Eq. (7.12), and there is one new structure:

$$(C_6)^{ai}_{\alpha'i'd} = i(t^d d^d)_{ii'} \quad (7.16)$$

The invariant kernels for the first case, $X^{ai} = \bar{f}_+^a \psi^i_-$ are given by:

$$[\mathcal{H}_1 \phi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12}^2 \left\{ \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 \bar{\beta} \beta \varphi(z_1, z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta) \right. \right.$$

$$\left. + \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \int_\beta^1 d\gamma \bar{\alpha} \alpha \gamma \left( 2 - \frac{\alpha \gamma}{\bar{\alpha} \gamma} \right) \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma) \right\}, \quad (7.17)$$

$$[\mathcal{H}_2 \phi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12}^2 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 \bar{\beta} \beta \int_\beta^1 d\gamma \bar{\alpha} \alpha \gamma \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma),$$

$$[\mathcal{H}_3 \phi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12}^2 \left\{ \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 \bar{\beta} \beta \varphi(z_1, z_{21}^\alpha, z_{12}^\beta) \right. \right.$$

$$\left. + \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \int_\beta^1 d\gamma \bar{\alpha} \alpha \gamma \left( 2 - \frac{\alpha \gamma}{\bar{\alpha} \gamma} \right) \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma) \right\}, \quad (7.17)$$

$$[\mathcal{H}_4 \phi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12}^2 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 \bar{\beta} \beta \int_\beta^1 d\gamma \bar{\alpha} \alpha \gamma \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma),$$

$$[\mathcal{H}_5 \phi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12}^2 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 \bar{\beta} \beta \int_\beta^1 d\gamma \bar{\alpha} \alpha \gamma \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma),$$

$$[\mathcal{H}_6 \phi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12}^2 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \int_\beta^1 d\gamma \bar{\alpha} \alpha \gamma \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma). \quad (7.18)$$
For the second case, $X^{ai} = \frac{1}{2} D_{-+} \bar{f}^{a+}_+ \psi^i_+$ one obtains

\[
[H_1 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12} \left\{ \int_0^1 d\beta \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \varphi(z_1, z_2, z_{12}^\beta) + \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \frac{\alpha \beta^2}{\alpha \beta} \left( 2 - \frac{\alpha \beta}{\alpha \beta} \right) \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_2, z_{12}^\beta) \right. \\
+ \left. \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \int_0^\beta d\gamma \tilde{\alpha} \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_2, z_{12}^\gamma) \left( 4 - \frac{\alpha \gamma}{\alpha \gamma} \right) \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma) \right\},
\]

\[
[H_2 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12} \left\{ \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \int_0^\beta d\gamma \tilde{\alpha} \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_2, z_{21}^\beta) \right. \\
+ \left. \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \int_0^\beta d\gamma \tilde{\alpha} \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma) \right\},
\]

\[
[H_3 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \int_0^\beta d\gamma \tilde{\alpha} \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma),
\]

\[
[H_4 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \int_0^\beta d\gamma \tilde{\alpha} \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma),
\]

\[
[H_5 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \int_0^\beta d\gamma \tilde{\alpha} \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma),
\]

\[
[H_6 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \int_0^\beta d\gamma \tilde{\alpha} \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma). \tag{7.19}
\]

### 7.5 $f_{++}\psi_+$ or $f_{++}\psi_-$

\[
X^{ai}(z_1, z_2) = f_{++}^a(z_1) \psi^i_+(z_2) \quad Y^{aid}(z_1, z_2, z_3) = g(\mu \lambda) f_{++}^a(z_1) \psi^i_+(z_2) \tilde{f}_{++}^d(z_3)
\]

or

\[
X^{ai}(z_1, z_2) = f_{++}^a(z_1) \psi^i_+(z_2) \quad J^{ai}(z_1, z_2) = g(\mu \lambda) J^{a}(z_1) \psi^i_+(z_2)
\]

The three-particle counterterm for the first case, $X^{ai} = f_{++}^a \psi^i_+$, takes the form

\[
[X^{ai}]_R = \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi \epsilon} \sum_{k=1}^2 (C_k)^{ai}_{a'\gamma} \mathcal{H}_k Y^{a'\gamma d} + (\tilde{t}^b_{a'\gamma} \otimes t^b_{\gamma i}) \tilde{H}_1 J^{a'i'} + \tilde{t}^b_{\gamma i'} \tilde{H}_2 Z^{abi'} \tag{7.20}
\]
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where the color structures $C_1, C_2$ are specified in Eq. (7.3). The invariant kernels are

\[
[H_1\varphi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12}^2 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \tilde{\alpha}\beta \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_2, z_{21}^\beta),
\]

\[
[H_2\varphi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12}^2 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \tilde{\alpha}^2 \tilde{\beta} \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_2, z_{21}^\beta),
\]

\[
[\tilde{H}_1\varphi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \tilde{\alpha}^2 \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_2),
\]

\[
[\tilde{H}_2\varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \tilde{\beta} / \beta \varphi(z_{21}^\alpha, z_{12}^\beta, z_2).
\]

For the second operator, $X^{ai} = f_{++}^a \psi_+^i$, we obtain

\[
[X^{ai}]_R = \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi\epsilon} \left( \sum_{k=1}^5 (C_k)^{ai}_{a'b'd} H_k Y^{a'ib'd} + (t^{a'b'}_{a'\lambda})_{ii'} \tilde{H}_1 J^{a'i'i'} + t^{b'}_{ii'} \tilde{H}_2 Z^{b'ii'} \right),
\]

where the color structures $C_k$ are defined in Eqs. (7.6), (7.12). The invariant kernels are

\[
[H_1\varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12}^2 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{12}^\beta),
\]

\[
[H_3\varphi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12}^2 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta} \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{12}^\beta),
\]

\[
[H_4\varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12}^2 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \alpha\tilde{\beta} \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{12}^\beta),
\]

\[
[H_5\varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12}^2 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \alpha\beta \varphi(z_{21}^\alpha, z_{12}^\beta, z_{12}^\beta).
\]

(1) For the second color structure does not contribute) and

\[
[\tilde{H}_1\varphi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \alpha \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_1),
\]

\[
[\tilde{H}_2\varphi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \alpha \varphi(z_{21}^\alpha, z_1, z_{12}^\beta).
\]

### 7.6 \( \tilde{\psi}_+ f_{+-} \) or \( \frac{1}{2} D_{+-} \tilde{\psi}_+ f_{+-} \)

\[
X^{ia}(z_1, z_2) = \tilde{\psi}_+^i(z_1) f_{+-}^a(z_2)
\]

or

\[
Y^{iad}(z_1, z_2, z_3) = g(\mu\lambda) \tilde{\psi}_+^i(z_1) f_{+-}^a(z_2) f_{++}^d(z_3)
\]

\[
J^{ia}(z_1, z_2) = g(\mu\lambda) \tilde{\psi}_+^i(z_1) \otimes J^a(z_2)
\]

\[
X^{ia}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{2} D_{+-} \tilde{\psi}_+^i(z_1) f_{+-}^a(z_2)
\]

or

\[
Z^{iab}(z_1, z_2, z_3) = g(\mu\lambda) \tilde{\psi}_+^i(z_1) \otimes J^{ab}(z_2)
\]

\[
\text{or}
\]

\[
Z^{iab}(z_1, z_2, z_3) = g(\mu\lambda) \tilde{\psi}_+^i(z_1) \otimes J^{ab}(z_2)
\]
The three-particle counterterm for the first case, $X^{ia} = \tilde{\psi}^a f^a_{i\gamma}$, takes the form

$$[X^{ia}]_R = \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi \epsilon} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{6} (C_k)^{ai}_{a'i'd} \mathcal{H}_k Y^{a'i'd} + (t^b_{i'i'} \otimes t^b_{a'd'}) \mathcal{H}_1 J^{i'a'} + (t^a_{i'i'} \otimes t^b_{a'd'}) \mathcal{H}_2 J^{i'a'} + t^b_{i'i'} \mathcal{H}_3 Z^{i'ab} \right),$$

(7.25)

with the color structures $C_k$ as defined in Eqs. (7.6), (7.12), (7.16). The invariant kernels are

$$[\mathcal{H}_1 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12}^2 \left\{ \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \, \bar{\alpha} \beta \varphi(z_1, z_{21}^\alpha, z_{12}^\beta) \right.$$

$$+ \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \int_0^\alpha d\gamma \, (\bar{\beta} \gamma + \beta \bar{\gamma}) \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma) \} ,$$

$$[\mathcal{H}_2 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12}^2 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \int_0^\alpha d\gamma \, (\bar{\beta} \gamma + \beta \bar{\gamma}) \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma) ,$$

$$[\mathcal{H}_3 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12}^2 \left\{ \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \, \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2 \beta}{\alpha} \varphi(z_1, z_{21}^\alpha, z_{12}^\beta) \right.$$

$$+ 2 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \int_0^\beta d\gamma \, \beta \gamma \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma) \} ,$$

$$[\mathcal{H}_4 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12}^2 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \int_0^\alpha d\gamma \, (\bar{\beta} \gamma + \beta \bar{\gamma}) \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma) ,$$

$$[\mathcal{H}_5 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = -2z_{12}^2 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \int_0^\beta d\gamma \bar{\beta} \gamma \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma) ,$$

$$[\mathcal{H}_6 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = 2z_{12}^2 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \int_0^\beta d\gamma \bar{\beta} \gamma \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma)$$

(7.26)

and

$$[\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_1 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12} \left\{ \int_0^1 d\beta \, \beta^2 \varphi(z_1, z_{21}^\beta) + 2 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \, \beta \bar{\beta} \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta) \right\} ,$$

$$[\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = -2z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \, \beta \bar{\beta} \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta),$$

$$[\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_3 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12} \left\{ \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \, \beta \bar{\beta} \varphi(z_1, z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta) + \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \int_0^\beta d\gamma \, \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma) \right\} .$$

(7.27)
For the second operator, \( X^{ia} = \frac{1}{2} D_{-+} \bar{\psi}^i f^a_{+-} \), we obtain

\[
[X^{ia}]_R = \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi\epsilon} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{6} (C_k)^{ai}_{i'j'} dH_k Y^{d'i'd} + (t^b_{i'j'} \otimes t^b_{a'i'}) \tilde{H}_1 J^{i'a'} + (t^a_{i'j'} \otimes t^a_{i'i'}) \tilde{H}_2 J^{i'a'} + t^b_{ij} \tilde{H}_3 Z^{i'ab} + t^b_{ij} \tilde{H}_4 Z^{i'b} \right),
\]

with the same color structures \( C_k \) as in the first case. The invariant kernels are

\[
[H_1 \phi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12} \left\{ \int_0^1 d\beta \bar{\beta} \phi(z_1, z_2, z_{12}) + \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \bar{\beta} \phi(z_1, z_2, z_{12}) \right\},
\]

\[
[H_2 \phi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12} \left\{ \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha} \phi(z_1, z_2, z_{12}) \right\},
\]

\[
[H_3 \phi](z_1, z_2) = -6 z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \bar{\beta} \gamma \phi(z_1, z_2, z_{12}),
\]

\[
[H_4 \phi](z_1, z_2) = 2 z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \bar{\beta} \gamma \phi(z_1, z_2, z_{12}),
\]

\[
[H_5 \phi](z_1, z_2) = 6 z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \bar{\beta} \gamma \phi(z_1, z_2, z_{12}),
\]

\[
[H_6 \phi](z_1, z_2) = -6 z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \bar{\beta} \gamma \phi(z_1, z_2, z_{12}),
\]

and

\[
[\tilde{H}_1 \phi](z_1, z_2) = 6 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \bar{\beta} \gamma \phi(z_1, z_2, z_{12}),
\]

\[
[\tilde{H}_2 \phi](z_1, z_2) = 6 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \bar{\beta} \gamma \phi(z_1, z_2, z_{12}),
\]

\[
[\tilde{H}_3 \phi](z_1, z_2) = -2 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \bar{\beta} \gamma \phi(z_1, z_2, z_{12}),
\]

\[
[\tilde{H}_4 \phi](z_1, z_2) = - \int_0^1 d\alpha \phi(z_1, z_1, z_2).
\]
### 7.7 $f_+ f_+$

\[
X^{ab}(z_1, z_2) = f^a_{++}(z_1) f^b_{++}(z_2)
\]

The three-particle counterterm takes the form

\[
[X^{ab}]' = \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{2} \frac{C_k}{(2\pi)^d} \mathcal{H}_k \mathcal{Y}^{a'b'd} + \left( t^c_{aa'} \otimes t^d_{bb'} \right) \mathcal{H}_1 \mathcal{G}^{a'c} + \mathcal{H}_2 \mathcal{E}^{ab} + t^c_{bb'} \mathcal{H}_3 Z^{acb} + t^c_{ab} \mathcal{H}_4 Z^{cbb'} \right),
\]

with the color structures $C_1, C_2$ as defined in Eq. (7.6). The invariant kernels are in this case

\[
[\mathcal{H}_1 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = z^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^\alpha d\alpha' d\beta' \varphi(z^2_{12}, z^\beta_{21}),
\]

\[
[\mathcal{H}_2 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = z^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^\beta d\beta' d\alpha' \varphi(z^2_{12}, z^\beta_{21}),
\]

and

\[
[\mathcal{H}_1 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = z_2 \int_0^1 d\alpha' \varphi(z^2_{12}, z_2),
\]

\[
[\mathcal{H}_2 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = z_2 \int_0^1 d\beta' \varphi(z^2_{12}, z_2),
\]

\[
[\mathcal{H}_3 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_2 \int_0^1 d\beta' \alpha' \varphi(z^2_{12}, z_2),
\]

\[
[\mathcal{H}_4 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_2 \int_0^1 d\beta' \alpha' \varphi(z^2_{12}, z_2).
\]

### 7.8 $f_+ f_-$ or $\frac{1}{2} D_{--} f_{++} f_{++}$

\[
X^{ab}(z_1, z_2) = f^a_{++}(z_1) f^b_{--}(z_2)
\]

\[
Y^{abcd}(z_1, z_2, z_3) = g(\mu \lambda) f^a_{++}(z_1) f^b_{--}(z_2) f^d_{++}(z_3)
\]

\[
G^{ab}(z_1, z_2) = g(\mu \lambda) f^a_{++}(z_1) J^b_{--}(z_2)
\]

\[
E^{ab}(z_1, z_2, z_3) = g(\mu \lambda) f^a_{++}(z_1) J^{bac}(z_2, z_3)
\]

\[
Z^{abc}(z_1, z_2, z_3) = g(\mu \lambda) f^a_{++}(z_3) J^{bac}(z_2, z_3)
\]
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The three-particle counterterm for the first case, \(X^{ab}_{\pm} = \tilde{f}_+ + \tilde{f}_-\), takes the form

\[
[X_{\pm}]_R = \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi\epsilon} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{6} (C_k)_{a'b'd} \mathcal{H}_k Y^{a'b'd} + (t^{e}_{a'} \otimes t^{c}_{b'}) \left( \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_1 G^{a'b'} + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2 G^{a'b'} \right) + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_3 E^{ab} + t^{c}_{a'} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_4 Z^{a'bc} + t^{c}_{b'} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_5 Z^{a'ca} \right). \tag{7.34}
\]

The color structures \(C_1, C_2, C_3\) are defined in Eq. (7.6) and \(C_4, C_5, C_6\) are given by

\[
(C_4)_{a'b'd} = f^{dec} t^{e}_{ba'} t^{c}_{ab'}, \quad (C_5)_{a'b'd} = i(t^{d}t^{c})_{ba'} t^{c}_{ab'}, \quad (C_6)_{a'b'd} = i(t^{c})_{ba'} (t^{d}t^{c})_{ab'}. \tag{7.35}
\]

Note that these structures are different from those in Eqs. (7.12) and (7.16). The invariant kernels are

\[
[H_1]_R(z_1, z_2) = -z^{2}_{12} \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} d\alpha \int_{0}^{\alpha} d\beta \, \tilde{\alpha} \beta \varphi(z_1, z^{\alpha}_{21}, z^{\beta}_{21}) 
+ \int_{0}^{1} d\alpha \int_{0}^{\alpha} d\beta \int_{0}^{\beta} d\gamma \, \tilde{\alpha} \beta \gamma \left( 2 - \frac{\alpha \gamma}{\bar{\alpha} \bar{\gamma}} + 2 \frac{\beta \gamma}{\bar{\beta} \bar{\gamma}} \right) \varphi(z^{\alpha}_{12}, z^{\beta}_{21}, z^{\gamma}_{21}) \right\},
\]

\[
[H_2]_R(z_1, z_2) = -z^{2}_{12} \int_{0}^{1} d\alpha \int_{0}^{\alpha} d\beta \int_{0}^{\beta} d\gamma \, \tilde{\alpha} \beta \gamma \left( 2 + \frac{\tilde{\alpha} \bar{\beta}}{\tilde{\alpha} \bar{\beta}} \right) \varphi(z^{\alpha}_{12}, z^{\beta}_{21}, z^{\gamma}_{21}),
\]

\[
[H_3]_R(z_1, z_2) = z^{2}_{12} \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} d\alpha \int_{0}^{\alpha} d\beta \int_{0}^{\beta} d\gamma \, \tilde{\alpha} \beta \gamma \left( 2 - \frac{\alpha \gamma}{\bar{\alpha} \bar{\gamma}} + \alpha \beta \left( 2 - \frac{\tilde{\beta} \gamma}{\tilde{\beta} \bar{\gamma}} \right) \right) \varphi(z^{\alpha}_{12}, z^{\beta}_{21}, z^{\gamma}_{21}) \right\},
\]

\[
[H_4]_R(z_1, z_2) = -3z^{2}_{12} \int_{0}^{1} d\alpha \int_{0}^{\alpha} d\beta \int_{0}^{\beta} d\gamma \, \tilde{\alpha} \beta \gamma \varphi(z^{\alpha}_{12}, z^{\beta}_{21}, z^{\gamma}_{21}),
\]

\[
[H_5]_R(z_1, z_2) = 3z^{2}_{12} \int_{0}^{1} d\alpha \int_{0}^{\alpha} d\beta \int_{0}^{\beta} d\gamma \, \tilde{\alpha} \beta \gamma \left( 2 - \frac{\alpha \gamma}{\bar{\alpha} \bar{\gamma}} \right) \varphi(z^{\alpha}_{12}, z^{\beta}_{21}, z^{\gamma}_{21}),
\]

\[
[H_6]_R(z_1, z_2) = -3z^{2}_{12} \int_{0}^{1} d\alpha \int_{0}^{\alpha} d\beta \int_{0}^{\beta} d\gamma \, \tilde{\alpha} \beta \gamma \varphi(z^{\alpha}_{12}, z^{\beta}_{21}, z^{\gamma}_{21}) \tag{7.36}
\]
and

\[ [\tilde{H}_1 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12} \left\{ \int_0^1 d\alpha \alpha^2 \varphi(z_1, z_{12}) + 2 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \frac{\alpha \beta^2}{\beta} \left( 2 + \frac{\alpha \beta}{\alpha \beta} \right) \varphi(z_{12}, z_{21}) \right\}, \]

\[ [\tilde{H}_2 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = -6z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \alpha \beta \varphi(z_{21}, z_{12}), \]

\[ [\tilde{H}_3 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = 2z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \int_0^1 d\gamma \alpha \varphi(z_{12}, z_{21}), \]

\[ [\tilde{H}_4 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12} \left\{ \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \frac{\beta}{\beta^2} \varphi(z_1, z_{12}, z_{21}) + 2 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \frac{\beta}{\beta} \varphi(z_{12}, z_{21}, z_{21}) \right\}, \]

\[ [\tilde{H}_5 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = -2z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \int_0^1 d\gamma \varphi(z_{12}, z_{21}, z_{21}) \right\}. \]

(7.37)

For the second operator, \( X^{ab} = \frac{1}{2} D_{-+} + f_{+} f_{++} \), we obtain

\[ [X^{ab}]^R = \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi \epsilon} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{6} (C_k)_{a'b'd} H_k X^{a'b'd} + (t_{aa'} \otimes t_{bb'}) \left( H_1 G^{a'b'} + H_2 G^{b'a'} \right) \right) + \tilde{H}_3 E^{ab} + \tilde{H}_4 E^{ba} + t_{aa'} \left( \tilde{H}_5 Z^{a'b'} + \tilde{H}_6 Z^{b'a'} \right) + t_{bb'} \tilde{H}_7 Z^{a'ca}. \]

(7.38)

The color structures in this expression, \( C_1, C_2, C_3 \) and \( C_4, C_5, C_6 \), are defined in Eqs. (7.6) and (7.35), respectively. The invariant kernels are given by

\[ [H_1 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12} \left\{ \int_0^1 d\beta \varphi(z_1, z_2, z_{12}) + \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \frac{\alpha \beta^2}{\beta} \left( 2 + \frac{\alpha \beta}{\alpha \beta} \right) \varphi(z_{21}, z_2, z_{12}) \right\}, \]

\[ [H_2 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = \frac{z_{12}}{2} \left\{ \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \frac{\alpha \beta^2}{\alpha} \varphi(z_{12}, z_2, z_{21}) \right\}, \]

\[ [H_3 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = \frac{z_{12}}{2} \left\{ \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \int_0^1 d\gamma \alpha \beta \gamma \left( 2 + \frac{\alpha \beta}{\alpha \beta} \right) \varphi(z_{12}, z_{21}, z_{21}) \right\}, \]

\[ [H_4 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = -6z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \int_0^1 d\gamma \alpha \beta \gamma \left( 2 + \frac{\alpha \beta}{\alpha \beta} \right) \varphi(z_{12}, z_{21}, z_{21}) \right\}. \]

(7.39)
\[ [\mathcal{H}_4 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = 6z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \int_\beta^\alpha d\gamma \bar{\alpha} \beta \gamma \left( 1 + \frac{\bar{\alpha} \gamma}{\alpha \gamma} \right) \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma), \]
\[ [\mathcal{H}_5 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = -6z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \int_0^\alpha d\gamma \bar{\alpha} \beta \gamma \left( 3 - \frac{\bar{\alpha} \gamma}{\alpha \gamma} \right) \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma), \]
\[ [\mathcal{H}_6 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = 6z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \int_\beta^\alpha d\gamma \bar{\alpha} \beta \gamma \left( 1 + \frac{\bar{\alpha} \gamma}{\alpha \gamma} \right) \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma). \quad (7.40) \]

and

\[ [\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_1 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = 6 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \bar{\alpha} \beta \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta), \]
\[ [\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = 24 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \alpha \beta \varphi(z_{21}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta), \]
\[ [\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_3 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = -6 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \int_\beta^\alpha d\gamma \bar{\alpha} \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma), \]
\[ [\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_4 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = - \int_0^1 d\alpha \bar{\alpha} \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_1, z_2), \]
\[ [\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_5 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = - \int_0^1 d\alpha \varphi(z_1, z_{12}^\alpha, z_2), \quad (7.41) \]
\[ [\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_6 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = -6 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \int_\beta^\alpha d\gamma \bar{\alpha} \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma), \]
\[ [\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_7 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = 6 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\alpha d\beta \int_\beta^\alpha d\gamma \bar{\alpha} \varphi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma). \quad (7.42) \]

### 7.9 \( \bar{\psi}_+ \psi_- \) or \( \frac{1}{2} D_{-+} \bar{\psi}_+ \psi_+ \)

| \( X_{AB}^{ij}(z_1, z_2) \) | \( \bar{\psi}_+^i(z_1) \psi_-^j(z_2) \) | \( Y_{AB}^{ij\bar{d}}(z_1, z_2, z_3) \) | \( g(\mu \lambda) \bar{\psi}_+^i(z_1) \psi_-^j(z_2) f_+^d(z_3) \)
|---|---|---|---|
| \( \bar{\psi}_+^i(z_1) \psi_-^j(z_2) \) | \( \frac{1}{2} D_{-+} \bar{\psi}_+^i(z_1) \psi_-^j(z_2) \) | \( G^{abc}(z_1, z_2) \) | \( g(\mu \lambda) f_+^a(z_1) f_+^b(z_2) f_+^c(z_3) \)

Note that in this case we display the flavor indices \( A, B \) of the quark fields.

The three-particle counterterms for the both operators, \( X_{AB}^{ij} = \bar{\psi}_+^i \psi_-^j \) and \( X_{AB}^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} D_{-+} \bar{\psi}_+^i \psi_-^j \), have the same structure:

\[ [X_{AB}^{ij}]_R = \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi\epsilon} \sum_{k=1}^3 (C_k)_i^{ij} \mathcal{H}_k Y_{AB}^{ij\bar{d}} \]
\[ + \delta_{AB} \left( i(t^at^b)_{ji} \bar{\mathcal{H}}_1 W^{ab} + i(t^bt^a)_{ji} \bar{\mathcal{H}}_2 W^{ab} + \sum_{k=1}^6 (L_k)_{abc} \bar{\mathcal{H}}_k g^{abc} \right). \quad (7.43) \]
The color structures $C_k$ are defined in Eq. (7.44) and there are six new structures $(L_k)^{ij}_{abc}$:

\[
\begin{align*}
L_1 &= (t^a t^b t^c)_{ji}, \\
L_2 &= (t^c t^a t^b)_{ji}, \\
L_3 &= (t^a t^c t^b)_{ji}, \\
L_4 &= (t^c t^b t^a)_{ji}, \\
L_5 &= (t^b t^a t^c)_{ji}, \\
L_6 &= (t^b t^c t^a)_{ji}.
\end{align*}
\]  

(7.44)

The invariant kernels for the first operator, $X^{ij}_{AB} = \bar{\psi}^i \, \psi^j \, A \, B$, are given by

\[
[H_1 \phi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12}^2 \left\{ \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \, \bar{\beta} \phi(z_1, z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta) \\
+ \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \int_0^\alpha d\gamma \, \phi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma) \right\},
\]

\[
[H_2 \phi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12}^2 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \int_0^\alpha d\gamma \, \phi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma),
\]

\[
[H_3 \phi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12}^2 \left\{ \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \, \bar{\beta} \phi(z_1, z_{21}^\alpha, z_{12}^\beta) \\
+ \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \int_0^\beta d\gamma \phi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma) \right\},
\]

(7.45)

\[
[H_1 \phi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12}^3 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \int_0^1 d\gamma \, \bar{\beta} \gamma \phi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma),
\]

\[
[H_2 \phi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12}^3 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \int_0^\alpha \bar{\beta} \gamma \left(2 - \frac{\alpha \gamma}{\alpha \bar{\gamma}}\right) \phi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma),
\]

\[
[H_3 \phi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12}^3 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \int_0^\beta \bar{\beta} \gamma \phi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma),
\]

\[
[H_4 \phi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12}^3 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \int_0^\beta \bar{\beta} \gamma \left(1 + \frac{\alpha \beta}{\alpha \bar{\beta}} \left(1 - \frac{\bar{\beta} \gamma}{\bar{\beta} \gamma}\right)\right) \phi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma),
\]

\[
[H_5 \phi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12}^3 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \int_0^\beta \bar{\beta} \gamma \phi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma),
\]

\[
[H_6 \phi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12}^3 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \int_0^\beta \bar{\beta} \gamma \phi(z_{12}^\alpha, z_{21}^\beta, z_{21}^\gamma).
\]

(7.46)
For the second operator, \( X_{AB}^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} D_{-+} \tilde{\psi}^i_A \psi^j_B \), we obtain

\[
[H_1 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12} \left\{ \int_0^1 d\beta \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\gamma \gamma \varphi(z_{12}, z_{21}, z_{21}^\gamma) \right\},
\]

\[
[H_2 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = z_{12} \left\{ \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \int_0^1 d\gamma \gamma \varphi(z_{12}, z_{2}, z_{21}) \right\},
\]

\[
[H_3 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = 2 z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \int_0^1 d\gamma \varphi(z_{12}, z_{21}, z_{21}^\gamma),
\]

\[
[H_4 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \int_0^1 d\gamma \varphi(z_{12}, z_{21}, z_{21}^\gamma),
\]

\[
[H_5 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \int_0^1 d\gamma \varphi(z_{12}, z_{21}, z_{21}^\gamma),
\]

\[
[H_6 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \int_0^1 d\gamma \varphi(z_{12}, z_{21}, z_{21}^\gamma). \tag{7.49}
\]

and

\[
[H_1 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \int_0^1 d\gamma \varphi(z_{12}, z_{21}, z_{21}^\gamma),
\]

\[
[H_2 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \int_0^1 d\gamma \varphi(z_{12}, z_{21}, z_{21}^\gamma),
\]

\[
[H_3 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \int_0^1 d\gamma \varphi(z_{12}, z_{21}, z_{21}^\gamma),
\]

\[
[H_4 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \int_0^1 d\gamma \varphi(z_{12}, z_{21}, z_{21}^\gamma),
\]

\[
[H_5 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \int_0^1 d\gamma \varphi(z_{12}, z_{21}, z_{21}^\gamma),
\]

\[
[H_6 \varphi](z_1, z_2) = -z_{12} \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \int_0^1 d\gamma \varphi(z_{12}, z_{21}, z_{21}^\gamma). \tag{7.50}
\]

8 Conclusions

Extending the work by Bukhvostov, Frolov, Lipatov and Kuraev (BFLK)\(^{32}\), in this paper we derive a complete set of two-particle \( 2 \rightarrow 2 \) RG kernels for collinear twist \( E = 3 \).
operators and also the $2 \rightarrow 3$ mixing kernels into three-particle quasipartonic operators, cf. Fig. 1. The kernels are written for the renormalization of light-ray operators built of chiral fields in a particular basis such that the conformal symmetry is manifest. They serve as building blocks in the RG equations for arbitrary gauge-invariant twist-four operators in Quantum Chromodynamics. The results can easily be recast in momentum fraction space, in the form of evolution equations for higher-twist generalized parton distributions (cf. [7, 48]). Specific applications will be considered elsewhere.

To this end we suggest a new technique which is based on using mostly algebraic methods and bypasses calculation of Feynman diagrams. The main idea is that RG equations for operators containing field components of different collinear twist are related by Poincare symmetry. In this way the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ invariance of BFLK kernels for quasipartonic operators becomes extended to the full conformal group $SO(4, 2)$. As the result, the $2 \rightarrow 2$ RG kernels involving “minus” quark and/or gluon fields can be obtained from the results of Ref. [32] by a simple replacement of the two-particle quadratic $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ Casimir operator by the $SO(4, 2)$ one, cf. Ref. [37]. The way to explicit expressions for $2 \rightarrow 3$ kernels is somewhat longer: They can be obtained by applying translations and Lorentz rotations in the transverse plane to the renormalized leading twist operators. We found this technique to be very efficient. It also offers a new insight in the algebraic structure of operator renormalization in gauge theories and may be useful in a more general context. It would be interesting to investigate whether the same methods can be used beyond the one-loop approximation.
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Appendices

A The Conformal Group

Generators of the conformal group act on the fundamental fields in the following way [49]:

\[ i[P_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \Phi(x)] = \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \Phi(x) \equiv iP_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \Phi(x), \]

\[ i[D, \Phi(x)] = \frac{1}{2} \left( x_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \partial^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + 2t + \xi^\alpha \partial_{\xi^\alpha} + \bar{\xi}^\dot{\alpha} \partial_{\bar{\xi}^\dot{\alpha}} \right) \Phi(x) \equiv iD \Phi(x), \]

\[ i[M_{\alpha\beta}, \Phi(x)] = \frac{1}{4} \left( x_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \partial^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + x_{\beta\dot{\beta}} \partial_{\beta\dot{\beta}} - 2\xi^\alpha \partial_{\bar{\xi}^\dot{\alpha}} - 2\bar{\xi}^\dot{\alpha} \partial_{\xi^\alpha} \right) \Phi(x) \equiv iM_{\alpha\beta} \Phi(x), \quad (A.1) \]

\[ i[\bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \Phi(x)] = \frac{1}{4} \left( x_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}} \partial^{\gamma\dot{\gamma}} + x_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\gamma}} \partial_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\gamma}} - 2\bar{\xi}^\dot{\alpha} \partial_{\bar{\xi}^\dot{\alpha}} - 2\xi^\alpha \partial_{\xi^\alpha} \right) \Phi(x) \equiv i\bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \Phi(x), \]

\[ i[K_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \Phi(x)] = \left( x_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} x_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}} \partial^{\gamma\dot{\gamma}} + 2tx_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + 2\xi^\alpha \bar{x}^\beta_{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\xi}^\dot{\alpha}} + 2\bar{\xi}^\dot{\alpha} \bar{x}^\alpha_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\xi^\alpha} \right) \Phi(x) \equiv iK_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \Phi(x). \]

Here \( \Phi = (\Phi_\xi, \bar{\Phi}_\xi) \) with \( \Phi_\xi = \{\psi_\xi, \chi_\xi, f_\xi \}, \bar{\Phi}_\xi = \{\bar{\psi}_\xi, \bar{\chi}_\xi, \bar{f}_\xi \} \) where \( \xi \) is an auxiliary spinor. The generators \( P_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, D, M_{\alpha\beta} (\bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}) \) and \( K_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \) correspond to translations, dilatation, Lorentz rotations and special conformal transformations, respectively.

The chiral fields \( \Phi_\xi \) transform according to the \((s,0)\) representation of the Lorentz group

\[ \frac{1}{2} M^2 \Phi_\xi^{(s0)} = s(s+1) \Phi_\xi^{(s0)}, \quad \frac{1}{2} M^2 \bar{\Phi}_\xi^{(s0)} = 0, \]

where \( M^2 \equiv M_{\alpha\beta} M^{\alpha\beta}, \bar{M}^2 \equiv \bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{M}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \) and \( s = 1/2 \) or \( s = 1 \) for quarks and gluons. In turn, the antichiral fields \( \bar{\Phi}_\xi \) transform according to the \((0,\bar{s})\) representation

\[ \frac{1}{2} M^2 \bar{\Phi}_\xi^{(0\bar{s})} = 0, \quad \frac{1}{2} \bar{M}^2 \bar{\Phi}_\xi^{(0\bar{s})} = \bar{s}(\bar{s}+1) \bar{\Phi}_\xi^{(0\bar{s})}. \]

Finally \( t = 1 \) is the geometric twist [41] of the field \( \Phi \): It is defined as \( t = \ell^\text{can} - s - \bar{s} \) where \( \ell^\text{can} \) is the canonical dimension.

The commutation relations for the generators read

\[ [P_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, D] = -iP_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \quad [K^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, D] = iK^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \]

\[ [P_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, M_{\beta\dot{\gamma}}] = \frac{i}{2} [\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} P_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha}} + \epsilon_{\alpha\gamma} P_{\beta\dot{\alpha}}], \quad [P_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{M}_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}}] = -\frac{i}{2} [\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} P_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha}} + \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} P_{\alpha\gamma}]; \]

\[ [M_{\alpha\beta}, M_{\gamma\delta}] = -\frac{i}{2} (\epsilon_{\gamma\beta} M_{\alpha\delta} + \epsilon_{\delta\beta} M_{\alpha\gamma} + \epsilon_{\gamma\delta} M_{\alpha\beta} + \epsilon_{\delta\alpha} M_{\beta\gamma}), \]

\[ [\bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \bar{M}_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}}] = -\frac{i}{2} (\epsilon_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}} \bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\delta}} + \epsilon_{\dot{\delta}\dot{\beta}} \bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}} + \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}} \bar{M}_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\delta}} + \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\delta}} \bar{M}_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}}), \]

\[ [P^{\beta\dot{\beta}}, K_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}] = -4i \left( \delta^\beta_\alpha \delta^\dot{\beta}_\dot{\alpha} D + \delta^\beta_\beta \bar{M}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \delta^\alpha_\beta \bar{M}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \right). \quad (A.2) \]
The expression for the quadratic $SO(4, 2)$ Casimir operator $C^2$ reads:

$$
C^2 = \frac{1}{8} \left( K^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} P_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} + P_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} K^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} D^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( M_{\alpha \beta} M^{\alpha \beta} + \bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}} \bar{M}^{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}} \right). \tag{A.3}
$$

One finds

$$
C^2 \phi^{(s_0)} = \frac{3}{2} (s^2 - 1) \phi^{(s_0)}, \quad C^2 \bar{\phi}^{(0\bar{s}_0)} = \frac{3}{2} (\bar{s}^2 - 1) \bar{\phi}^{(0\bar{s}_0)}. \tag{A.4}
$$

The generators of the collinear $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ subgroup are defined as

$$
S_+ = i \frac{1}{2} (\mu K \bar{\mu}), \quad S_- = -i \frac{1}{2} (\lambda P \bar{\lambda}),
$$

$$
S_0 = i \frac{1}{2} \left( (\mu \lambda)(\bar{\lambda} \bar{\mu}) D - (\bar{\lambda} \bar{\mu}) \mu^\alpha \lambda_\beta M_{\alpha \beta} - (\mu \lambda) \bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}} \bar{\mu}^\dot{\alpha} \bar{\lambda}^\dot{\beta} \right), \tag{A.5}
$$

In order to shorten the following expressions, without loss of generality one can assume

$$
\lambda^\alpha = (1, 0) , \quad \lambda_\alpha = (0, 1) ,
$$

$$
\mu^\alpha = (0, 1) , \quad \mu_\alpha = (-1, 0) , \tag{A.6}
$$

so that $(\mu \lambda) = (\bar{\lambda} \bar{\mu}) = 1$. Using this convention the same generators can be written as

$$
S_+ = i \frac{1}{2} K_{22} , \quad S_- = -i \frac{1}{2} P_{11} , \quad S_0 = i \frac{1}{2} \left( D - M_{12} - \bar{M}_{12} \right). \tag{A.7}
$$

The $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ generators commute with the operators of the collinear twist $E$ and chirality $H$ defined as

$$
E = i \left( D + M_{12} + \bar{M}_{12} \right), \quad H = i \left( \bar{M}_{12} - M_{12} \right). \tag{A.8}
$$

For our purposes it is useful to separate the contribution of the light-cone subgroup to the expression for the two-particle Casimir operator $C^2_{12}$:

$$
C^2_{12} - C^2_{1} - C^2_{2} = (\bar{S}_1 + \bar{S}_2)^2 - \bar{S}_1^2 - \bar{S}_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} E_1 E_2 + H_1 H_2
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{4} \left( K_{12}^2 P_{1,12} + K_{12}^{2i} P_{2,2i} + K_{2}^{12} P_{1,12} + K_{2}^{2i} P_{1,2i} + K_{12}^{2} P_{2,22} + K_{2}^{22} P_{1,22} \right)
$$

$$
+ \left( M_{1}^{22} M_{12}^{11} + M_{11}^{12} M_{22}^{21} + \bar{M}_{1}^{22} \bar{M}_{12}^{11} + \bar{M}_{11}^{12} \bar{M}_{22}^{21} \right), \tag{A.9}
$$

where e.g. $P_{2,12}$ stands for the $(12)$ component of the translation operator acting on the second field, etc. The terms in the last two lines all vanish if applied to the tensor product of two “plus” light-ray fields, so that one finds

$$
C^2_{12} \Phi_{1}^{(1)} \otimes \Phi_{1}^{(2)} = \left( S_{12}^2 - \kappa_{12} \right) \Phi_{1}^{(1)} \otimes \Phi_{1}^{(2)}. \tag{A.10}
$$
Here $S_{12}^2 = (\vec{S}_1 + \vec{S}_2)^2$ is the two-particle Casimir operator of the collinear $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ subgroup and $\kappa_{12}$ is a constant:

$$\kappa_{12} = \left[ 2 - \frac{1}{2} (h_1 + h_2)^2 \right], \quad (A.11)$$

where $h_1, h_2$ are the helicities of the fields: $H_k \Phi_{+}^{(k)} = h_k \Phi_{+}^{(k)}$.

**B Invariant kernels**

In this appendix we formulate a simple rule how to construct $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ invariant kernels.

First, note that if a function $\varphi(z_1, z_2)$ transforms according to the representation $T^{j_1} \otimes T^{j_2}$ of the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ group, i.e.

$$\varphi(z_1, z_2) \rightarrow \varphi'(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{(cz_1 + d)^{2j_1}(cz_2 + d)^{2j_2}} \varphi \left( \frac{az_1 + b}{cz_1 + d}, \frac{az_2 + b}{cz_2 + d} \right), \quad (B.1)$$

then the function $f(z_1, z_2) = (z_1 - z_2)^{2n} \varphi(z_1, z_2)$, $n$ being integer or half-integer, transforms according to the representation $T^{j_1-n} \otimes T^{j_2-n}$. An invariant operator $H$ which maps $T^{j_1} \otimes T^{j_2} \rightarrow T^{i_1} \otimes T^{i_2}$ exists if and only if $j_1 + j_2 = i_1 + i_2 + 2m$, where $m$ is, again, integer or half-integer. It is clear that invariant kernels for $m \neq 0$, $H^{(m)}$, can be represented in the form $H^{(m)} = (z_1 - z_2)^{-2m} H$, where $H$ is an invariant kernel for the case $j_1 + j_2 = i_1 + i_2$. It is, therefore, sufficient to consider this case only.

To write down the most general expression for an invariant operator $H : T^{j_1} \otimes T^{j_2} \mapsto T^{i_1} \otimes T^{i_2}$ acting on the space of functions of two variables $\varphi(w_1, w_2) \mapsto \varphi(z_1, z_2)$, consider the following diagram: In this diagram the points $w_1, w_2$ are connected with $z_1, z_2$ by four lines. Each line, e.g. connecting the points $z_i$ and $w_k$, carries a pair of indices, $(\alpha_{ik}, p_{ik})$, $i, k = 1, 2$. The indices on different lines are subject to the constraints:

$$\alpha_{1k} + \alpha_{2k} = 1, \quad p_{1k} + p_{2k} = 2j_k, \quad p_{k1} + p_{k2} = 2i_k, \quad k = 1, 2. \quad (B.2)$$

An invariant kernel has the form [47]

$$[H \varphi](z_1, z_2) = \int_0^1 d\alpha_{21} \int_0^1 d\alpha_{12} \prod_{i,k=1}^2 \alpha_{ik}^{p_{ik}-1} \omega \left( \frac{\alpha_{21} \alpha_{12}}{\alpha_{11} \alpha_{22}} \right) \varphi(w_1(\alpha), w_2(\alpha)), \quad (B.3)$$
where
\[ w_k(\alpha) = \sum_{m=1}^{2} z_m \alpha_{mk} \]
and \( \omega(x) \) is an arbitrary function.

The choice of \( p \)-parameters which satisfy Eq. (B.2) on is not unique, but the ratio of the integration weights corresponding to two different solutions is a power of the invariant (anharmonic) ratio \( (\alpha_{21}\alpha_{12})/(\alpha_{11}\alpha_{22}) \), i.e. a different choice results in the redefinition of the function \( \omega \).

Changing the notation to
\[
\alpha_{11} = 1 - \alpha, \quad \alpha_{21} = \alpha, \quad \alpha_{12} = \beta, \quad \alpha_{22} = 1 - \beta, \quad p_{11} = \hat{a}, \quad p_{21} = a, \quad p_{22} = \hat{b}, \quad p_{12} = b
\]
Eq. (B.3) takes a more familiar form
\[
[H\varphi](z_1, z_2) = \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^1 d\beta \bar{\alpha}^{\hat{a}-1}\alpha^{a-1}\bar{\beta}^{\hat{b}-1}\beta^{b-1} \omega\left(\frac{\alpha\beta}{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}\right) \varphi(z_{12}^{\alpha}, z_{21}^{\beta}). \tag{B.4}
\]

Let us compare this general expression with the kernels given in (4.1). Notice that the integrations in (4.1) do not go over the unit square, \( 0 < \alpha, \beta < 1 \) as in (B.4), but over the simplex either \( 0 < \alpha + \beta < 1 \) or \( 1 < \alpha + \beta \). These integration regions are not arbitrary, since the only way to obtain them is to choose the function \( \omega(x) \) proportional to the theta-function of the anharmonic ratio. In particular, the choice \( \omega(x) = \theta(1 - x)\tilde{\omega}(x) \) results in the integration region \( 0 < \alpha + \beta < 1 \). The kernels in (4.1) that contain one-dimensional integrals arise when \( w(x) \) is proportional to a \( \delta \)-function. For example, \( \mathcal{H}^d \) corresponds to the choice \( \omega(x) = \delta(1 - x) \). The ‘exchange’ kernels \( \mathcal{H}_{12}^{e,(k)} \) correspond to the solutions with
\[
\hat{a} = 2j_1 - k - 1, \quad a = k + 1, \quad \hat{b} = 2j_2 - 1, \quad b = 1
\]
and \( \omega(x) = \delta(x) \).

More complicated, e.g. \( 2 \rightarrow 3 \) kernels \( \mathcal{H} : T^{j_1} \otimes T^{j_2} \otimes T^{j_3} \rightarrow T^{i_1} \otimes T^{i_2} \), can be constructed similarly. It is again sufficient to consider the case \( j_1 + j_2 + j_3 = i_1 + i_2 \). To this end, draw a diagram with \( w_1, w_2, w_3 \) points connected to each of the \( z_1, z_2 \) points:
Similar to the $2 \rightarrow 2$ case we furnish each line with a pair of indices which have to satisfy the following equations:

\[
\alpha_{1k} + \alpha_{2k} = 1, \quad k = 1, 2, 3
\]
\[
p_{1k} + p_{2k} = 2j_k, \quad k = 1, 2, 3
\]
\[
p_{m1} + p_{m2} + p_{m3} = 2i_m, \quad m = 1, 2.
\]

The most general invariant kernel $\mathcal{H}$ has the form

\[
[\mathcal{H}\varphi](z_1, z_2) = \prod_{k=1}^{3} \int_{0}^{1} d\alpha_{1k} \prod_{i=1}^{2} \prod_{m=1}^{3} \alpha_{im}^{p_{im} - 1} \omega\left(\frac{\alpha_{21}\alpha_{12}}{\alpha_{11}\alpha_{22}}, \frac{\alpha_{21}\alpha_{13}}{\alpha_{11}\alpha_{23}}\right) \varphi(w_1(\alpha), w_2(\alpha), w_3(\alpha)),
\]

where $w_k(\alpha) = \sum_{m=1}^{2} z_m \alpha_{mk}$ and $\omega(x, y)$ is an arbitrary function of two variables.
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