Spectral properties and detectability of supermassive stars in protoglobal clusters at high redshift*
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ABSTRACT

Context. Globular clusters (GCs) contain multiple stellar populations with peculiar chemical compositions. Pollution of the intracluster gas by an early population of fast-evolving stars is the most common scenario for explaining the observations. Stars with masses in excess of 1000 $M_{\odot}$ have recently been suggested as potential polluters.

Aims. We investigate the spectral properties of proto-GCs that would host a supermassive star (SMS). Our main goal is to quantify how such a star would affect the integrated light of the cluster, and to study the detectability of such objects.

Methods. We computed nonlocal thermal equilibrium atmosphere models for SMS with various combinations of stellar parameters (luminosity, effective temperature, and mass) and metallicities appropriate for GCs, and we predict their emergent spectra. Using these spectra, we calculated the total emission of young proto-GCs with SMS as predicted in a previously reported scenario, and we computed synthetic photometry in UV, optical, and near-IR bands, in particular for the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST).

Results. At an effective temperature of 10 000 K, the spectrum of SMSs shows a Balmer break in emission. This feature is due to strong nonlocal thermal equilibrium effects (implied by the high luminosity) and is not observed in “normal” stars. The hydrogen lines also show a peculiar behavior, with Balmer lines in emission while higher series lines are in absorption. At 7000 K, the Balmer break shows a strong absorption. At high effective temperatures, the Lyman break is found in emission. Cool and luminous SMSs are found to dominate the integrated spectrum of the cluster, except for the UV range. The predicted magnitudes of these proto-GCs are $m_{AB} \sim 28–30$ between 0.7 and 8 $\mu$m and for redshifts $z \sim 4–10$, which is detectable with the JWST. The peculiar observational features of cool SMSs imply that they might in principle be detected in color-color diagrams that probe the spectral energy distribution below and above the Balmer break.

Conclusions. Our results show that SMSs should be detectable in proto-GCs if they are luminous and relatively cool. They may be found through deep imaging with the JWST.
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1. Introduction

Globular clusters (GCs) are the oldest stellar systems known. Their ages reach up to the age of the Universe (e.g., VandenBerg et al. 2013). Initially thought to be simple compact clusters hosting an ensemble of stars with a uniform chemical composition formed in a single event, that is, a so-called single stellar population, GCs are now known to host multiple stellar populations (MSPs) that show very peculiar variations in chemical composition (for reviews, see, e.g., Kraft 1979; Gratton et al. 2012; Charbonnel et al. 2016; Bastian & Lardo 2018).

Triggered by these discoveries in Appendix D are only available at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr (136.79.128.5) or via http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/cat/J/A+A/633/A9
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been reached on the formation of GCs and their MSPs (e.g., Renzini et al. 2015; Bastian et al. 2015; Charbonnel et al. 2016; Bastian & Lardo 2018).

Significant progress has also been made in numerical simulations aimed at examining cluster formation and GCs in a cosmological context. For example, Pfefler et al. (2019) and Reina-Campos et al. (2019) predicted the UV luminosities of young GCs, and examined the formation epoch of the GC populations as a function of their metallicity using the cosmological zoomed-in E-MOSAICS simulations. Similarly, Li & Gnedin (2019) recovered systematic age differences of GCs with metallicity, as found by local observations. They also predicted that the most massive GCs form during major merger events. Despite these important advances and new insight that can be obtained from such simulations, none of them currently has the mass and space resolution to properly describe the GCs, their complex structure, and the MSPs (Forbes et al. 2018; Lahén et al. 2019; Kruisjes et al. 2019).

Finally, observations have pushed the limits to very high redshift (z ≥ 6), finding significant numbers of apparently very compact sources, some of which might be GCs in formation, which were observed in situ for the first time. For example, using some of the deepest imaging data taken with Hubble in the Frontier Fields, Kawamata et al. (2015), Bouwens et al. (2017), Vanzella et al. (2017, 2019), and Kikuchihara et al. (2019) reported several such sources. The sources are strongly magnified by gravitational lensing and remain basically unresolved in the HST images. Based on the very small sizes (≤ 13–40 pc) and absolute UV luminosities (down to M_UV ∼ −15), the authors estimated stellar masses of ~10^6 M⊙ or lower (Bouwens et al. 2017; Vanzella et al. 2019), which is in the range that is expected for GCs. Although this is plausible, these compact rest-UV sources could also be the peaks of more extended objects whose full size remains undetectable with current instrumentation. Additional data and further evidence seem needed to definitely claim the observational detection of GCs in formation in the early Universe. Furthermore, clear criteria for identifying proto-GCs and distinguishing them from other “normal” young clusters are required.

Renzini (2017) and Pozzetti et al. (2019) have investigated the detectability of proto-GCs in the early Universe using evolutionary synthesis models. Pozzetti et al. (2019) accounted for “normal” stars in their models, assumed a stellar mass of 2 × 10^6 M⊙ for a typical GC at formation, a metallicity of [Z/H] = −1.35 close to the mean of the GCs of the Milky Way, and predicted the detailed photometric properties of these objects. The authors showed in particular that these objects should be detectable at magnitudes m_{AB} ~ 30 with the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST). Although these calculations, based on simple stellar populations (SSPs), may be good guides for predicting the observable properties of young GCs, we know that globulars are more complex systems that host MSPs. It is therefore important to examine the implications this may have on the integrated observational properties, in particular, in the context of searches for GCs in the early Universe, which now seem feasible.

We here explore one specific scenario for the formation of GCs and their MSPs: the SMS + proto-GC model of Gieles et al. (2018a). This scenario envisages the concurrent formation of a massive cluster and an SMS. This central object grows through runaway collisions and rapidly produces and ejects H-burning ashes that are incorporated into accreting protostars, producing second-population (2P) stars with peculiar abundance ratios, as observed in GCs. The scenario overcomes the mass-budget problem that is raised by the high ratio of second- versus first-population (1P) stars in all GCs (D’Antona & Caloi 2004; Prantzos & Charbonnel 2006; Schaerer & Charbonnel 2011; Conroy 2012; Khalaj & Baumgardt 2015). It also explains the observed increase in the fraction of 2P stars and of the He enrichment with the present-day mass of GCs (Carretta et al. 2010; Pancino et al. 2017; Milone et al. 2017, 2018). Observationally, an SMS, that is, a central stellar source of very high mass (M = 10^4–10^5 M⊙) and very high luminosity (L ∼ 10^5–10^6 L⊙), may significantly alter the integrated properties of these GCs, if they exist. To examine this question and explore the observational implications of the SMS scenario for the formation of GCs, we first predict the spectrophotometric properties of single SMSs using a dedicated nonlocal thermal equilibrium (non-LTE) stellar atmosphere model. Then we investigate (1) whether proto-GCs hosting a SMS would be detectable at high redshift, and (2) whether and how proto-GCs hosting SMS can be distinguished from “normal” clusters (that lack SMS).

In other contexts, the spectral properties and detectability of metal-free (primordial, so-called Pop III) SMS have been studied by several groups. For example, Johnson et al. (2012) have examined H and He recombination line emission from hot supermassive Pop III stars using some semianalytical models. Alternatively, Surace et al. (2019, 2018) have investigated the spectrophotometric properties of primordial single SMS. They conclude that such objects, which are potential seeds of supermassive black holes (e.g., Rees 1978; Volonteri & Begelman 2010; Woods et al. 2019), would be best detected if they were relatively cool. Gieles et al. (2018a) have argued that the spectra of SMS in proto-GCs could resemble those of luminous blue variable (LBV) stars.

We present the first detailed non-LTE atmosphere model calculations for SMS stars with non-zero metallicity, appropriate for GCs, which allows addressing the questions raised above. Armed with spectral predictions for SMS, we can then quantitatively predict the total (integrated) spectra expected for proto-GCs that host SMS. The predictions made in this paper are expected to provide new criteria for searching and identifying young GCs with SMS in formation in the early Universe. If sources that show identifiable features of SMS can be found, this would also represent an important test or confirmation of the SMS model for GC formation (Gieles et al. 2018a).

The paper is structured as follows. In Sect. 2 we summarize the expected properties of the SMS and describe the choice of parameters we explored for the non-LTE atmosphere models. The predicted spectra of SMS are presented and discussed in Sect. 3. In Sect. 4 we derive the integrated spectra of young proto-GCs that contain both an SMS and a surrounding stellar population, we predict their photometric properties, and show how their spectral energy distributions (SEDs) can be distinguished from those of normal clusters in certain cases. Our results are discussed and compared to those from other studies in Sect. 5.1. We finally summarize our main conclusions in Sect. 6.

2. Choice of parameters for SMS and clusters

2.1. Formation scenarios and properties of SMS

To compute the spectral properties of SMS, we need some estimates of their luminosity, effective temperature, and surface gravity. Gieles et al. (2018a) used extrapolations from observed properties of massive stars to depict the mass-radius relation and the mass-loss rate of SMS and to predict their mass growth and their global properties as a function of the cluster mass. No
stellar evolution models exist for SMS that formed through run-
away collisions (in the metallicity range of GCs, the only exist-
sing SMS models start on the zero-age main sequence (ZAMS)
and evolve at constant mass; Denissenkov & Hartwick 2014),
and most studies are devoted to the case of Pop III SMS as seeds
for supermassive black holes in galactic centers.

Two categories of Pop III SMS models exist in the liter-
ature: monolithic models, where all the mass is assumed to
have assembled at once (Hoyle & Fowler 1963a,b; Fowler 1966;
Fuller et al. 1986; Baumgarte & Shapiro 1999a,b; Butler et al.
2018; Denissenkov et al. 2019), and accreting models, where
the star grows supermassive through continuous accretion of
gas at the surface (Begelman 2010; Hosokawa et al. 2013;
Sakurai et al. 2015, 2016; Umeda et al. 2016; Woods et al. 2017;
Haemmerlé et al. 2018a,b; Haemmerlé & Meynet 2019). With-
out external perturbative effects, monolithic models are assumed
to be thermally relaxed, which implies surface properties cor-
responding to ZAMS models, that is, \( T_{\text{eff}} \sim 10^3 \text{ K} \) (Schaerer
2002). Stars that are near the Eddington limit and thermally
relaxed must be mostly convective (Begelman 2010), which can
be anticipated by extrapolation from the size of the convective
core of conventional massive stars (\(< 100 M_\odot \)) and is confirmed
by numerical models (Woods et al., in prep.). On the other hand,
models accounting for continuous accretion indicate that above
a threshold in the accretion rate (\( \sim 0.01 M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1} \)), the star
cannot relax thermally because the thermal processes become ineffi-
cient on the short accretion timescale (Hosokawa et al. 2013;
Haemmerlé et al. 2018a). As a consequence, its surface proper-
ies do not converge to ZAMS properties, but to those of red
supergiants on the Hayashi limit, with \( T_{\text{eff}} \) \sim 5000–6000 K
(Fig. 1). Moreover, due to the inefficiency of entropy losses,
accretion builds up an entropy profile that increases outward.
Thus, the gas is stable with respect to convection, and the star
remains radiative for 90% of its mass.

These two categories of models are obvious simplifica-
tions, in particular in the context of GCs, where stellar col-
lisions are expected to be frequent and runaway collisions
can be triggered (Gieles et al. 2018a). In dense environments,
stellar mergers can contribute significantly to the mass-
growth of SMSs (Portegies Zwart et al. 1999, 2004; Freitag et al.
2006; Lupu et al. 2014; Katz et al. 2015; Boekholt et al. 2018;
Tagawa et al. 2019). The impact of stellar mergers on the struc-
ture of SMSs is not known. Such highly dynamical events might
critically modify all the properties of SMSs, in particular by
redistributing entropy in the stellar interior. If high-entropy gas
can penetrate into deep regions of SMSs during mergers, the
resulting structure might differ significantly from that of accre-
ting models and might enhance convection and/or allow the sur-
face to relax thermally. Thus, while accretion and collisions must
both play a significant role in the formation of SMSs at the center
of GCs, it is not clear if the properties of these objects correspond
to the pure accretion models described above. In the absence of
suitable and reliable theoretical models, observations could pro-
vide useful constraints on the respective roles of accretion and
mergers.

2.2. Choice of stellar parameters

A key constraint for our models is the mass of the SMS. For
nucleosynthesis to be able to produce the correct yields of the
various elements observed in GCs, Prantzos et al. (2017) have
shown that the most relevant mass range of the SMS is \( 10^4 \) to
\( 2 \times 10^4 M_\odot \) (see their Fig. 4). From this mass interval, we can
estimate the luminosity range of the SMS using mass-luminosity
relations found in the literature. This is shown in Fig. 1, where
we see that log \( L/L_\odot \) ranges between 7.4 and 9.4, depending on the
models.

To compute synthetic spectra, we need to set the effective
temperature, luminosity, and surface gravity (or equivalently, the
mass). We used two categories of stellar parameters (models A
versus B and C in Table 1).

In the first category of models (A1 to A4), we assumed two
values of \( T_{\text{eff}} \): 7000 and 10 000 K. They correspond to relatively
cool stars that seem to be favored by (1) formation by accretion,
at rates high enough to ensure a rapid build-up of the star, and
(2) runaway collisions in dense clusters (“cool” stars have larger
eradii that favor collisions). The temperatures we adopted are
somewhat higher than advocated by Haemmerlé et al. (2018a),
for instance (they predict values of 5000–6000 K, see Fig. 1).
However, at these temperatures, molecules appear in a stellar
atmosphere, and these species are not handled by CMFGEN.
Alternatively, no atmosphere code has been designed so far for
cool stars that includes both sphericity and a full non-LTE treat-
ment. These two ingredients are mandatory for calculating SMS
spectra given their large extensions and high luminosities. For
these models, we also adopted two luminosity values \( (10^9 \) and
\( 10^9 L_\odot ) \). These luminosities are representative of the luminosity
range described above, see Fig. 1. We adopted a surface gravity
\( \log g = 0.8 \) (10 000 K models) and 0.5 (7000 K models), corre-
sponding to masses of \( \sim 2500 \) to \( \sim 54 000 M_\odot \). For the A2 and A4
models (those with log \( g = 9.0 \)), a mass lower by a factor 2 to 3
would be required to match the constraint imposed by nucleo-
synthesis arguments. However, for lower masses the surface
gravity is also lower, and radiation pressure overcomes gravity in
the atmosphere. Consequently, no solution of the hydrodynamic
structure is achievable. In other words, the Eddington limit is
exceeded. Our models therefore work with the lowest possible
gravity. In spite of this “mass discrepancy”, the shape of the SED
of models A2 and A4 is expected to be representative of the theo-
retically less massive models (see Sect. 3.1). In particular, as we
show below, the peculiar Balmer break morphology is accounted
for.

In the second category of models (B1–B3 and C1–C3 in
Table 1), we adopted the stellar parameters according to the
prescriptions of Gieles et al. (2018a): \( R = 30 R_\odot \left( \frac{M}{M_\odot } \right)^{8/3} \)
and \( L = 2.8 \times 10^7 L_\odot \left( \frac{M}{M_\odot } \right)^{1/3} \), with \( M \), R, and L the SMS
mass, radius, and luminosity. \( T_{\text{eff}} \) follows directly from R and L.
We recall that these prescriptions are based on extrapolations of the
properties of massive stars (\( M \sim 100 M_\odot \)) in our Galaxy and the Magellanic
Clouds. The parameter \( \delta \) is arbitrary and was assumed to extend
between 0 and 1 by Gieles et al. (2018a). The mass of the SMS
was set to either \( 10^4 \) or \( 10^4 M_\odot \) based on the nucleosynthesis
arguments given above. For our computations, we chose \( \delta = 0.5 \),
and 1 for \( M = 10^4 \) and \( 10^4 M_\odot \).

The stellar parameters of our models are summarized in
Table 1 and the resulting SEDs are shown in Fig. 2. For models of
the A serie, we calculated an effective \( \delta \) parameter by inverting
Eq. (5) of Gieles et al. (2018a) in Table 1, that is, \( \delta = 10 \)
\( \left( \frac{\log(R/\text{Sun})}{\log(M/\text{Sun})} \right)^{1/3} \)
where \( R \) and \( M \) are the radius and mass of the SMS in solar
units.

The predictions of SMS formation by runaway collisions
performed by Gieles et al. (2018a) indicate that \( \delta = 1.0 \) leads to the
highest ratio of SMS and cluster mass. In their Fig. 3, a
\( 10^4 M_\odot \) SMS is formed within a few million years in a cluster
with \( 10^5 \) stars and a mass of about \( 5 \times 10^3 M_\odot \) after 3 Myr).
This is understood because of the larger radius of stars with high \( \delta \).
The cross section of collisions with normal stars is thus higher.
Fig. 1. Left: mass-luminosity relation (in solar units) adopted by Gieles et al. (2018a) compared to the predictions of the Pop III models of Schaerer (2002) and of Haemmerlé et al. (2018a, -H +18) for three different accretion rates and of the Pop II ZAMS models of Denissenkov et al. (priv. comm. see Prantzos et al. 2017). The vertical dashed lines show the mass limits that are allowed for nucleosynthesis; see text. The horizontal dotted lines show the minimum and maximum luminosities in this mass interval, according to all M-L relations.

Right: mass-effective temperature relations of Haemmerlé et al. (2018a) for various accretion rates and two metallicities. In both panels the filled circles show the positions of our models.

Table 1. Stellar parameters adopted for our models.

| ID  | T [K]  | log \( \frac{L}{L_\odot} \) | log g | M \([ M_\odot] \) | R \([ R_\odot] \) | \( \delta \) |
|-----|--------|-----------------|-------|----------------|----------------|-------|
| A1  | 7000   | 8.0             | 0.5   | 5395           | 6723           | 1.35^2 |
| A2  | 7000   | 9.0             | 0.5   | 5395           | 21 506         | 1.05^2 |
| A3  | 10 000 | 8.0             | 0.8   | 2585           | 3340           | 1.45^2 |
| A4  | 10 000 | 9.0             | 0.8   | 25 848         | 10 580         | 1.06^2 |
| B1  | 137 000| 8.4             | 5.5   | 10 000         | 30             | 0      |
| B2  | 43 000 | 8.4             | 3.5   | 10 000         | 301            | 0.5    |
| B3  | 13 600 | 8.4             | 1.5   | 10 000         | 3006           | 1      |
| C1  | 77 000 | 7.4             | 4.5   | 1000           | 30             | 0      |
| C2  | 43 000 | 7.4             | 3.5   | 1000           | 95             | 0.5    |
| C3  | 24 000 | 7.4             | 2.5   | 1000           | 305            | 1      |

Notes. For series A models, \( T_{\text{eff}} \) is adopted. \( T_{\text{eff}} \) of models for the B and C series is derived from the prescriptions of Gieles et al. (2018a). See text for details. \(^1\)R is the stellar radius at an optical depth equal to 2/3. \(^2\)\( \delta \) is calculated from mass and radius (see text).

\( \delta = 1.0 \) is thus the most favorable case for the detection of an SMS in a proto-GC (see Sect. 4). We also note that our models A1–A4 correspond to high \( \delta \) values and are therefore included in \( 10^5 \) stars cluster simulations.

2.3. Method for spectral synthesis

We used the code CMFGEN to compute atmosphere models and synthetic spectra. A full description of the code is presented in Hillier & Miller (1998). Briefly, CMFGEN solves the radiative transfer and statistical equilibrium equations in non-LTE conditions. Spherical geometry is adopted to take the extension due to stellar winds into account. The density structure is given as an input from a velocity structure and the mass conservation equation. In practice, the velocity structure is the combination of a pseudo-photospheric structure and the so-called \( \beta \)-velocity law. The former is computed under the assumptions of hydrostatic equilibrium and LTE. Tabulated Rosseland opacities are used as input. This inner structure is connected to the velocity law \( v = \frac{v_\infty (1 - r/R)}{\beta} \), where we assumed \( \beta = 1.0 \), \( v_\infty \) is the velocity at the top of the atmosphere, and \( R \) is the stellar radius. CMFGEN takes line-blanketing into account with a super-level approach. In our computations, we included H, He, C, N, O, Si, S, and Fe. A 1/100 solar metallicity was adopted. The mass-loss rate was set to \( 10^{-5} M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \) and the terminal velocity (i.e., velocity of the wind at the top of the atmosphere) to 500 km s\(^{-1}\). These values are typical of winds of very massive stars (Vink 2018). After the atmosphere model converged, a formal solution of the radiative transfer equation was performed to yield the synthetic spectrum between 10 Å and 20 μm.

3. Spectra of supermassive stars

An overview of all the SMS predictions is shown in Fig. 2, where the spectra are compared to blackbody spectra with corresponding \( T_{\text{eff}} \). In the high-temperature range, a blackbody overestimates the stellar emission in most of the wavelength range, except near the emission peak in the far-UV. Qualitatively, the same trend is observed at 10 000 K, where the blackbody emission is lower than the model emission only below the Balmer break. For the 7000 K models, the CMFGEN model dominates shortward of the Balmer jump, while the blackbody flux is higher in the near-infrared and at longer wavelengths. As expected, blackbodies are clearly not a good approximation for the predicted spectra of SMS. As we show below, some spectral peculiarities due to the high luminosity prevent a simple scaling of SEDs from normal stars. We now discuss the different SMS spectra, deviations from non-LTE, and the main physical effects affecting them.
Fig. 2. Spectral energy distribution (in units of erg$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ Å$^{-1}$) for the models whose stellar parameters are summarized in Table 1. **Right panels:** zoom on the UV-optical part of the spectrum. The stellar parameters of each model are given in the **left panel**. The black and gray lines are blackbody spectra.
3.1. Cool SMS

The coldest models we considered for the cool SMS with $T_{\text{eff}} \leq 10\,000$ K (A1 and A2) are the only models that show a very strong Balmer break (see upper right of Fig. 2), which is the classical behavior of an A-type spectrum. As shown in Fig. 3, the predictions from our non-LTE models A1 and A2 are rather similar to the spectrum predicted from LTE models that were computed with the atmosphere code ATLAS (Castelli & Kurucz 2003). In the optical part between the Balmer and Paschen breaks, both types of models predict almost the same flux level. Below the Balmer break (3646 Å), flux in the CMFGEN model is at least 1.25 times higher and more than three times higher below 2000 Å. Above the Paschen break (8204 Å), the flux in the ATLAS model is higher by about 10% than that of the CMFGEN model.

Figure 4 shows the UV-optical part of the A3 model together with a comparison model at $\log g = 0.8$. In the former the Balmer jump is in emission, and the latter shows a more classical absorption shortward of 3646 Å, but less pronounced than in models A1 and A2 discussed above. Figure 4 also shows an LTE model computed with the ATLAS code. Compared to the corresponding CMFGEN model, the strength of the Balmer break is severely modified; it is much stronger in the LTE model. In the case of the SMS spectra (models A3 and A4), we even predict a Balmer break in emission, which cannot be reproduced by LTE models (see below). Adopting this LTE model for SMS is thus a very crude approximation that would underestimate the flux shortward of the Balmer break.

In Appendix A we show the effect of $\log g$ on the shape of the SED for additional models with $T_{\text{eff}} = 10\,000$ K. The Balmer break is seen in emission only for the lowest surface gravities. As stated in Sect. 2.2, we adopted $\log g = 0.8$ in models A3 and A4 as an upper limit on the surface gravity. Given the trend shown in Fig. A.1, we anticipate that models with lower $\log g$ would show an even stronger emission across the Balmer break. This peculiar morphology is a key for identifying SMS in proto-GCs (see Sect. 4.3). This feature is very important, we describe the details of its physics in the next section.

3.1.1. Origin of the Balmer jump in emission in SMS

Figures 5 and 6 show the temperature structure and the departure coefficients (see definition below), respectively, of the first Balmer levels in the two models with $T_{\text{eff}} = 10\,000$ K and $\log g = 0.8$ (blue) and 1.0 (red). The green spectrum is an ATLAS model with $T_{\text{eff}} = 10\,000$ K and $\log g = 1.0$.

To first order, the specific intensity at the surface of the star in the radial direction is approximated by the Eddington-Barbier relation,

$$I(0) = S(\tau_\lambda = 1),$$

where $S$ is the source function and $\tau_\lambda$ is the optical depth at wavelength $\lambda$. Considering that the main source of opacity above and below the Balmer jump is continuum absorption from levels 3 and 2 of hydrogen, respectively, and following Hubeny & Mihalas (2014), we can approximate the source function by

$$S_\lambda = \frac{1}{b_i} B_\lambda(T) = B_\lambda(T_{3500} = 1) b_i,$$

where $b_i$ is the departure coefficient of level $i$, defined as the ratio of the level population to the population in LTE conditions, $T$ is the temperature at an optical depth of 1.

Combining Eqs. (1) and (2) and assuming that the ratio of specific intensities reflects the ratio of emergent fluxes, we have

$$\frac{F_{3500}}{F_{4200}} = \frac{B_{3500}(T_{3500} = 1) b_3}{B_{4200}(T_{4200} = 1) b_2},$$

where we evaluated the flux ($F$) below (above) the Balmer jump at 3500 Å (4200 Å).
3.1.2. Hydrogen line spectra

An interesting feature of the A3 and A4 models is that the lines of the Balmer series are in emission, while those of the other hydrogen series are in absorption, except for the Paα (see Fig. 7 for the log $L_\alpha / L_\beta = 8.0$ model, and Appendix B for the log $L_\alpha / L_\beta = 9.0$ model). A quantitative explanation of this behavior is given in Fig. 8. In the strong non-LTE conditions encountered in the atmosphere of such models, radiative rates dominate widely over collisional rates. The total radiative rates (shown in Fig. 8) are positive when more photons are emitted than are absorbed in a given transition, and negative in the opposite case. In detailed balance, the rates are zero. In the formation region of the hydrogen lines (maximum of the contribution function) of model A3, the total rates are definitely positive in the Balmer lines, which explains that more photons are emitted than are absorbed, which leads to an emission line. Conversely, the radiative rates of the Brackett lines are negative, which explains the absorption lines. The rates are partly positive and partly negative for the Paschen lines. The line morphology is thus intermediate: it is in emission for Paα and in absorption for the other members of the series. The emission in the Balmer lines can also be understood from the right panel in Fig. 6. In the line-formation regions (around $\tau_{\text{Rosseland}} = 1.0$), the n = 2 level (lower level of the Balmer lines) is depopulated (departure coefficient $\sim 0.5$), while the n ≥ 3 levels are all overpopulated. Thus it is a population inversion in all Balmer lines, which leads to emission. Table 2 gives the equivalent widths and luminosities of the Hα and Hβ lines in the models where at least one of the lines is predicted to be in emission. Another interesting feature is the quasi-absence of Lyman lines that is due to the extreme depopulation of the hydrogen ground level (see the right panel of Fig. 6).

In the models with $T_{\text{eff}} = 7000$ K (A1 and A2), the hydrogen lines are all predicted in absorption (see Fig. 2, upper panels).

3.2. Intermediate temperature and hot SMS

Models in which $T_{\text{eff}}$ is higher than $10000$ K are presented in the middle and bottom panels of Fig. 2. The hottest models (B1 and B2) have an emission peak in the far-UV and an almost featureless spectrum. The optical flux is much lower than in models with lower temperature.

The morphology of the spectra in models with the highest effective temperatures (higher than $43000$ K) can be compared to that of the models at $10000$ K. The Lyman break in the hot models is indeed observed in emission (see Figs. 2 and 9). The Lyman lines are also in emission, whereas the lines from higher order series are in absorption. In analogy to the explanation of the Balmer break morphology for models A2 and A4, we argue that the same non-LTE effects are at work for the Lyman break. In the following, we focus on models with $T_{\text{eff}} = 43000$ K and take them as representative of “hot” SMS.

Figure 9 compares models B2 and C2 to other models with similar $T_{\text{eff}}$. The ATLAS model has a Lyman break in absorption and a smaller flux shortward of it than do models B2 and C2. Above the Lyman break, the situation reverses and the ATLAS model emits more flux. This highlights once more the need for non-LTE models for SMSs. When the luminosity increases in the CMFGEN models (from a standard value for normal massive stars – log $L_\odot / L_\odot = 6.0$ – to those of SMSs), the Lyman break shows a stronger emission morphology. The far-UV flux increases, and consequently, the optical flux decreases (relatively). The non-LTE effects are stronger for the extreme conditions.
Fig. 6. Departure coefficients of levels 1, 2 and 3 in models with $T_{\text{eff}} = 10\,000$ K, log $L_{\odot}/L_{\odot} = 8.0$ and log $g = 0.8$ (right) and 1.0 (left) as a function of Rosseland optical depth. The dotted and dashed black lines show the contribution functions of the continuum blueward and redward of the Balmer jump, respectively.

Fig. 7. Left panel: spectrum of model A3. Top (middle and bottom) panel: wavelength range encompassing the Balmer (Paschen and Brackett) lines. In each panel, the main lines of the series are indicated by vertical lines. Right panel: zoom into the first three lines of each series.

luminosity conditions encountered in SMS atmospheres. It can be shown (see Appendix C) that in the most luminous model (B2), the continuum shortward of the Lyman break is formed closer to the photosphere than in model C2, while the continuum longward of it is formed in the same region in both models. The departure coefficients of the ground and first excited levels are similar (0.7–0.8 vs. 1.1–1.4) in the formation regions of the continua. The temperature effect in Eq. (2) dominates and explains that a larger jump across the Lyman break is observed in model B2. The additional CMFGEN model in Fig. 9, corresponding to a normal massive star ($M \sim 100\, M_{\odot}$), does not show any sudden change across the Lyman break (in emission or in absorption). In comparison, the TLUSTY model shows a strong Lyman break in absorption. This highlights the importance of taking not only non-LTE effects into account, but also wind effects (TLUSTY models are plane parallel).

Another interesting feature of models B2 and C2 is the behavior at long wavelengths (above $\sim 1\, \mu m$). The model for a normal massive star (log $L_{\odot}/L_{\odot} = 6.0$) shows a well-known excess of emission due to free-free processes in the extended atmosphere. This excess is almost absent in models B2 and C2 because of their low wind density (see Appendix C for a detailed explana-
The wavelength ranges in which the equivalent widths and luminosities are calculated are 4820–4900 Å for Hα and 6510–6620 Å for Hβ. For model C1, Hβ is an absorption line.

### Table 2. Emission line properties.

| ID  | EW(Hα) | log (L(α)/L⊙) | EW(Hβ) | log (L(β)/L⊙) |
|-----|--------|----------------|--------|----------------|
| A3  | 11.1   | 5.62           | 5.1    | 5.79           |
| A4  | 8.7    | 6.62           | 4.2    | 6.80           |
| C1  | 1.8    | 2.98           | –      | –              |

Notes. The wavelength ranges in which the equivalent widths and luminosities are calculated are 4820–4900 Å for Hβ and 6510–6620 Å for Hα. For model C1, Hβ is an absorption line.

### 4. Predictions for proto-GCs hosting SMS at high redshift

We now proceed to simulate spectra and synthetic photometry of SMS and their host proto-GCs at high redshift. This will allow us to predict their basic observable properties and to examine possible signatures that allow us to distinguish “normal” young massive clusters from those hosting SMSs.

#### 4.1. Method

Emission from proto-GCs hosting SMS contains the following contributions, which must be taken into account: emission from the SMS, the young stellar cluster hosting the SMS, and nebular emission (continuum and lines) from the ionized gas surrounding the young cluster. The SMS spectra have been discussed above (Sect. 3). The physical parameters of the SMS and the surrounding cluster are connected and are described by the model of Gieles et al. (2018a), which in particular relates the cluster mass to the mass and radius of the SMS for a given value of the parameter δ.

We primarily considered the case of very massive (M∗ ≳ 10^4 M⊙) and cool SMS, with T_eff ~ 7000 – 14000 K, which corresponds to the case of δ ≳ 1 of Gieles et al. (2018a). This situation is probably the most likely because SMSs should have a high accretion rate (hence a large radius and low T_eff) most of the time. It should also be the most favorable case where an SMS surrounded by the young cluster can be distinguished observationally, as we show below, in contrast to a young cluster with a compact and hot SMS. In addition, the case where δ ≈ 1 corresponds to a high-mass (and thus to first-order luminosity) ratio between the SMS and the surrounding cluster (see Fig. 3 of Gieles et al. 2018a), which maximizes the detectability of the SMS.

We computed the following three cases that are summarized in Table 3: (1) SMS model A2 + cluster, (2) SMS model A4 + cluster, and (3) SMS model B3 + cluster. These cases all correspond to cases of δ ~ 1. From Fig. 3 of Gieles et al. (2018a) we can then read off the cluster mass, which we adopt as M_d = 5 × 10^5 M⊙ (number of stars N ~ 10^6), with a Kroupa-like IMF from 0.1 to 100 M⊙ (cf. Gieles et al. 2018a).

The cluster spectrum was taken from the synthesis models of Schaerer (2003) for 1/50 solar metallicity and an age of 2 Myr, corresponding to t ~ 4 Myr on the timing of gas accretion in Gieles et al. (2018a). The cluster SED does not respond to the case of δ ~ 1 of Gieles et al. (2018a). This situation is probably the most likely because SMSs should have a high accretion rate (hence a large radius and low T_eff) most of the time. It should also be the most favorable case where an SMS surrounded by the young cluster can be distinguished observationally, as we show below, in contrast to a young cluster with a compact and hot SMS. In addition, the case where δ ≈ 1 corresponds to a high-mass (and thus to first-order luminosity) ratio between the SMS and the surrounding cluster (see Fig. 3 of Gieles et al. 2018a), which maximizes the detectability of the SMS.
obtained from other synthesis models (e.g., Leitherer et al. 1999; Bruzual & Charlot 2003), which we also used for comparison purpose (see Sect. 5.2).

We then used a modified version of the photometric redshift and SED fitting code Hyperz (Schaerer & de Barros 2009) to synthesize observables for the summed SMS + cluster SEDs, allowing also for the contribution of nebular emission, which is proportional to the amount of Lyman-continuum photons that are emitted (from the normal hot stars in the cluster). We adopted the mean IGM transmission of Madau (1995) and allowed for dust attenuation in some cases, using the Calzetti law (Calzetti et al. 2000). Finally, we adopted standard cosmological parameters: \( H_0 = 70 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}, \Omega_M = 0.7, \) and \( \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.3. \)

We predict a synthetic photometry for a range of broadband filters that are offered with the NIRCam and MIRI instruments on board the JWST that cover the wavelength range from \( \sim 0.7 \) to 8 micron. This includes the following filters: \( F070W, F090W, F115W, F150W, F200W, F277W, F356W, F410W, \) and \( F444W \) from NIRCam, plus \( F560W \) and \( F770W \) from MIRI. The tables in which the results are listed are described in Appendix D and are available at the CDS.

4.2. Predicted SEDs

The resulting SEDs of the three cases are shown in Figs. 10 and 11. The most important result is that the fluxes of SMS with luminosities \( \log(L/L_\odot) \sim 8.4 - 9.0 \) are comparable to or higher than the flux of the surrounding young stellar cluster (which has a total luminosity of \( \log(L/L_\odot) \sim 9.0 \)). For the brightest SMS (\( \log(L/L_\odot) = 9 \)), the SED is entirely dominated by emission from the SMS at wavelengths \( \geq 1200 \AA \) (model A4) and \( \geq 3000 \AA \) for the coldest SMS (model A2). The emission of the young stellar cluster peaks in the UV (and provides all the Lyman-continuum radiation), whereas the SMS dominates at longer wavelengths, even when nebular continuum emission from the H II region is taken into account. These SEDs of the proto-GC in the SMS phase clearly show a fairly unusual shape that is not comparable to that of normal SSPs, but which we would characterize as “composite” SEDs, resembling the superposition of a young and an old population.

Figure 12 illustrates the predicted spectrum of these two cases with a proto-GC hosting the bright SMS described by models A2 and A4, as observed in situ during its formation at high redshift (here \( z = 7 \)). The predicted magnitudes are about \( M_{\text{AB}} \sim 28.5 - 30.5 \) from \( \sim 0.8 \) to 10 \( \mu \text{m} \), depending on the model. In the rest-UV the brighter model (A2) has an absolute UV magnitude of \( M_{\text{UV}} \sim -17.5 \). Between \( \lambda_{\alpha} \) and \( \sim 2500 \AA \) in the rest-frame, the total spectrum shows a UV slope \( \beta \sim -2 \) (i.e., close to constant in AB magnitude) for model A2 and a much redder slope of \( \beta \sim -0.8 \) for A4.

The SEDs again have an unusual shape, with fairly red rest-UV spectra (observed at \( \lambda \lesssim 3 \mu \text{m} \)) and then a bluer slope after the flux peak of the SMS in AB magnitudes (\( \lambda > 3 \mu \text{m} \)). For the coolest SMS (model A2), the flux increase from the rest-UV across the Balmer break to the rest-optical is quite strong (\( \sim 1.5 - 2 \) mag). Clearly, this peculiarity of the SED can be used as a distinction, as shown in more detail below (Sect. 4.3).

The SED of the proto-GC + SMS B3 shown in Fig. 11 illustrates that hot SMS are not distinguishable from the cluster

---

Fig. 10. Spectral energy distributions of proto-GCs containing a cool SMS (blue lines show model A2 on the left, and model A4 on the right) plus a normal young stellar population (orange). The green curve indicates the nebular continuum emission from the young population. The total spectrum, also including nebular emission lines, is shown in red. The black curve shows a blackbody with the same \( T_{\text{eff}} \) and \( L \) as the SMS. The clear dominance of the SMS spectrum at wavelengths \( \lambda \geq 3000 \) (1250) \( \AA \) for model A2 (A4) is obvious.

![Fig. 10](https://jwst-docs.stsci.edu/) for documentation

Fig. 11. Same as Fig. 10, but for the less luminous and slightly hotter SMS B3. Here the emission from SMS is subdominant in the UV and comparable to the nebular emission from the cluster in the optical range.

---

1 See [https://jwst-docs.stsci.edu/](https://jwst-docs.stsci.edu/) for documentation.
population. SMS model B3 barely dominates the light budget in the optical. Hotter models have either lower masses or smaller δ (see Table 1). In both cases, the contribution of the cluster to the total flux should be larger than the case shown in Fig. 11 (see also Sect. 5). Consequently, the SMS would remain undetected. In the remainder of Sect. 4 we thus focus on the three cluster+SMS combinations shown in Figs. 10 and 11.

4.3. Synthetic photometry and distinct observational features of SMS

In Fig. 13 (left) we show the predicted magnitude of the proto-GC+SMS for model A4 in broadband filters at 0.7 and 2 μm up to \( z = 10 \). Over a wide redshift range (\( z > 2 \)), the total magnitude is between \( M_{\text{AB}} = 28 \) and 30. At lower redshift, brighter magnitudes up to \( \sim 24 \)–26 are predicted. This figure also shows the significant gain in brightness (by up to \( \sim 3 \) mags in the 2 μm filter) that is due to the SMS, which strongly dominates the rest-frame optical emission that is probed by this filter. At shorter wavelengths, for example, at the wavelength probed by the 0.7 μm filter, the difference is smaller, as expected.

The right panel of Fig. 13 shows the predicted magnitudes in all the synthesized filters from 0.7 to 7.7 μm of the same model (cluster+A4). With its SED shape, dominated by a cool (10 kK) SMS showing an increased UV flux (due to non-LTE effects), a relatively constant AB magnitude is expected at these wavelengths with \( M_{\text{AB}} \approx 28 \)–30 at \( z \gtrsim 3 \), except at the shortest wavelengths, where the flux drops due to IGM attenuation. The behavior is quite similar for model A2, although it is somewhat fainter at the shortest wavelengths (see, e.g., Fig. 12). In passing we note that the magnitudes predicted for proto-GCs with bright SMS shown here are significantly brighter than those predicted for the typical GC precursors discussed by Pozzetti et al. (2019), although they assumed a cluster mass that was four times higher. This difference is obviously primarily due to the strong emission from the SMS, and after the SMS phase, our predictions will again resemble those of normal SSPs.

The question now is whether the colors of young proto-GCs with SMS can be distinguished by photometry from normal clusters or other objects. Figure 14 shows as an example the (F115W-F200W), that is, the (1.5–2 μm) color, of the three models compared to the color of the hosting young cluster, SSPs with different ages, and populations with exponentially declining star formation histories. At each redshift, the youngest clusters have the bluest (F115W-F200W) color, which then becomes redder with increasing age. The colors of the proto-GCs with model A2 and A4 are both significantly redder than that of the surrounding young cluster, but model B3 is less distinct. In the redshift range \( z \sim 2.5 \)–4.5, the A2 model shows a very red (F115W-F200W) color because its uniquely strong Balmer break passes through these filters. This is the case because as a result of dilution from other stars, any normal stellar population has a smaller Balmer break than the strongest break of a single star. This, or other filter combinations probing the Balmer break of the SMS, might be used to detect sources that are dominated by very cold and luminous SMS. For less extreme SMS spectra, single colors can probably not be used to uniquely determine their presence, and color-color plots or similar methods should be used.

As shown in Fig. 15, proto-GCs with cool and bright SMS show distinctive features in some appropriate color-color diagrams. For example, when we select two filters in the rest-UV range (shortward of the Balmer break) and a third filter shortward of the Balmer break, we can measure the peculiar shapes of the SMS-dominated spectra, as shown in Fig. 12, for instance. At redshifts \( z \sim 5 \)–7.5, this can be done using the F115W, F220W, and F444W NIRCAM filters, which have central wavelengths \( \sim 1.15, 2.2, \) and 4.4 μm, respectively. The cool+bright SMS-dominated SED A4 clearly stands out with a significantly redder (F115W-F200W) color at (F200W-F444W) \( \sim 0.2 \) to 0.4 compared to the colors predicted for normal stellar populations, shown here by the colored background shape. The reason is evident in Fig. 12 and has been discussed there (Sect. 4.2). The coolest SMS (model A2) with the strong Balmer break moves away from the region of normal stellar populations toward much redder (F200W-F444W) for redshifts \( z \gtrsim 6 \) when this color properly measures the Balmer break. The spectra of hotter models (B3 or even hotter SMS) become indistinguishable from normal stellar populations, as is also shown by Fig. 15.

In summary, even with an approximate knowledge of the source redshifts (that can, e.g., be derived from the classic photometric redshift method, which at high-\( z \) relies on the Lyman (or Lyman-\( \alpha \)) break), two color measurements appropriately selected shortward and across the Balmer break can uniquely identify proto-GCs with cool (\( T_{\text{eff}} \lesssim 10\,000 \text{ K} \)) and luminous SMS.

4.4. Other observables

In addition to the overall spectral shape just discussed, other features may also be somewhat peculiar in the scenario explored here, where a normal stellar population with a supposedly normal maximum mass (here assumed to be \( \sim 100 M_{\odot} \)) co-exists with an SMS for some time. As shown in Sect. 3, the spectra of the SMS generally show H lines in absorption or weakly in emission, with equivalent widths not exceeding \( \sim 11 \) Å for Hα (see Table 2). In contrast, the young (\( \sim 2 \)-4 Myr) stellar population surrounding the SMS will create an H II region that gives rise to strong hydrogen recombination lines plus the usual metal lines, whose strength will primarily depend on the gas metallicity and ionization parameter. The Hα equivalent width of such a population exceeds 500 Å and can reach up to EW(Hα) \( \sim 2000 \)–3000 Å (cf. Leitherer et al. 1999). Because the continuum 2

---

2 The models shown here include not only SSPs, but also populations with exponentially declining star-formation histories with different e-folding timescales. We also allow for reddening with \( A_V \) up to 1 with the Calzetti law (Calzetti et al. 2000).
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drop out are due to the classical photometric drop-out from the shortest wavelengths filters.

micron for the same proto-GC + SMS model. The small fluctuations in the different bands are due to nebular emission features. The three curves that drop out are due to the classical photometric drop-out from the shortest wavelengths filters.

![Fig. 13. Left: predicted F070W and F200W magnitudes for model A4 as a function of redshift showing the contributions of the stellar cluster (without nebular emission), the SMS, and the total spectrum. Right: predicted magnitudes in the selected NIRCAM+MIRI filters from 0.7–7.7 micron for the same proto-GC + SMS model. The small fluctuations in the different bands are due to nebular emission features. The three curves that drop out are due to the classical photometric drop-out from the shortest wavelengths filters.](image1)

Fig. 13. Left: predicted F070W and F200W magnitudes for model A4 as a function of redshift showing the contributions of the stellar cluster (without nebular emission), the SMS, and the total spectrum. Right: predicted magnitudes in the selected NIRCAM+MIRI filters from 0.7–7.7 micron for the same proto-GC + SMS model. The small fluctuations in the different bands are due to nebular emission features. The three curves that drop out are due to the classical photometric drop-out from the shortest wavelengths filters.

The underlying blue-gray points show the synthetic color of SSPs (clusters) of ages from zero to the maximum allowed age at each redshift.

![Fig. 14. Color-redshift plot showing the synthetic F115W-F200W color of the three proto-GC+SMS models and the colors of the different components. The total SEDs are shown with nebular emission, and the cluster model is shown without it. The underlying blue-gray points show the synthetic color of SSPs (clusters) of ages from zero to the maximum allowed age at each redshift.](image2)

Fig. 14. Color-redshift plot showing the synthetic F115W-F200W color of the three proto-GC+SMS models and the colors of the different components. The total SEDs are shown with nebular emission, and the cluster model is shown without it. The underlying blue-gray points show the synthetic color of SSPs (clusters) of ages from zero to the maximum allowed age at each redshift.

at Hα wavelengths is dominated by the SMS, whose flux can be ~8–10 times higher than that of the cluster (including nebular continuum; cf. Fig. 10), the Hα emission with equivalent widths is expected to be not higher than EW(Hα) ~ 200–400 Å from the H II region, plus some broad but faint emission component from the SMS or underlying absorption. However, given the faintness of the line flux from the SMS (see Table 2), this component will probably remain undetectable at high redshift. For the assumed mass and age of the proto-GC (5 × 10^5 M_* and 2 Myr), the Hα luminosity is on the order of L(Hα) = 3.3 × 10^{40} erg s^{-1}, which at z = 7 corresponds to a flux of F(Hα) = 5.7 × 10^{-20} erg s^{-1} cm^{-2}. Such faint fluxes are difficult to measure spectroscopically, even with the NIRSPEC spectrograph on board the JWST.

Emission lines such as Lyα and weaker metal lines (e.g., C IV λ1550, C III] λ1909), known to be present in UV rest-frame spectra of metal-poor H II regions and star-forming galaxies, could also be detected in spectra of proto-GCs. For proto-GCs with cold SMS, the strengths (EWs) of the UV lines (originating from the normal cluster population) will be significantly less altered than those of the optical lines. For model A4, for example, the continuum flux at ~1215 Å is approximately the same as from the cluster + SMS, which implies that the Lyα EW will be reduced by a factor ~2 in presence of this SMS. For SMS models A2 and B3, Lyα will be less strongly modified. In short, because young clusters emit strong Lyα with EWs up to ~200–300 Å (cf. Schaerer 2003), we also expect strong intrinsic Lyα emission from proto-GCs. In case B, the Lyα flux is F(Lyα) = 4.6 × 10^{-19} erg s^{-1} cm^{-2} for the same proto-GC mass as discussed above. This is approximately twice lower than the faintest Lyα line fluxes measured with MUSE up to z ~ 5.5–6.5 (Drake et al. 2017), but well within the range of the upcoming generation of 30 m class telescopes (see, e.g., Evans et al. 2015).

At longer wavelengths, the continuum of the SMS becomes stronger; therefore the equivalent widths of other UV emission lines (e.g., C III] λ1909, O III] λ1666) will be more reduced than Lyα. In any case, these lines are generally fainter than Lyα and

![Fig. 15. Synthetic (F115-F200W) vs. (F200W-F444W) color-color plot for the three proto-GC+SMS models (colored lines, same models as in Fig. 14) at redshift z = 5 – 7.5. The underlying colored cloud shows the location of normal SSPs and integrated populations with exponentially decreasing star formation. The simulations also allow for reddening with A_v up to 1, which introduces an additional dispersion along the diagonal of the diagram.](image3)

Fig. 15. Synthetic (F115-F200W) vs. (F200W-F444W) color-color plot for the three proto-GC+SMS models (colored lines, same models as in Fig. 14) at redshift z = 5 – 7.5. The underlying colored cloud shows the location of normal SSPs and integrated populations with exponentially decreasing star formation. The simulations also allow for reddening with A_v up to 1, which introduces an additional dispersion along the diagonal of the diagram.
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are therefore even more difficult to detect. Finally, for the lack of S/N, it currently seems out of reach to measure the UV absorption features (e.g., at ~1600 and ~2400–2600 Å primarily due to large numbers of iron lines) predicted in the spectra of the cool SMS stars at large cosmological distances.

In short, detecting emission or absorption lines from proto-GCs with cool SMS is probably not feasible with current instruments, except for gravitationally lensed systems. Lyα is the only emission line that may be observed with the new-generation observatories.

5. Discussion

5.1. Variations of observable properties with proto-GC/SMS mass

In the scenario of GC formation examined here, the mass of the stellar cluster and that of the SMS grow in lockstep after the initial gas accretion phase and start of the runaway collision phase. To first order, $M_{\text{SMS}}$ then scales linearly with cluster mass $M_{cl}$ during a phase that approximately lasts for 3 Myr (see Fig. 3 of Gieles et al. 2018a). The exact mass scale of the SMS depends on its growth through collisions, which is more effective when the SMS is bloated, that is, when it has a large radius, which is parameterized with $\delta$ through a mass-radius relation. For values of $\delta \approx 1$, the ratio $M_{\text{SMS}}/M_{cl}$ is highest, hence also $L_{\text{SMS}}/L_{cl}$, which means that this will maximize the contrast between the SMS and the cluster SED. These cases have been presented in detail above (Sect. 4 and Table 3). For smaller radii ($\delta = 0.5$), the same SMS mass is reached only for clusters that are approximately ten times more massive (Fig. 3 of Gieles et al. 2018a), which means that they are also more luminous by the same amount. In these cases the SMS fraction is clearly too low in both stellar mass and luminosity to significantly contribute to the cluster SED and thus be detectable.

We now consider the mass range of SMS that is expected in proto-GCs. Based on nucleosynthesis constraints, SMS with masses of $\sim 10^3$–$2 \times 10^4 M_\odot$ are needed (see Sect. 2.2 and Prantzos et al. 2017). The proto-GC+SMS spectral models presented above therefore correspond to the upper end, and the predicted magnitudes are accordingly probably at the bright end of our expectations. When we assume the same ratio $M_{\text{SMS}}/M_{cl}$ and a linear scaling of $L_{\text{SMS}}$ with $M_{\text{SMS}}$ from Gieles et al. (2018a), see also Fig. 1, this means that the proto-GCs with the minimum SMS mass would be approximately ~20 times (up to 3.25 mag) fainter than the predictions shown above. Presumably, we can expect the entire range of SMS/cluster masses, that is, also variations in the brightness of the proto-GCs in these early phases. The predicted colors are valid for any proto-GC/SMS combination that preserves the same $L_{\text{SMS}}/L_{cl}$ ratio as the cases shown here.

If the SMS were quite compact, that is, if they had small radii corresponding to the case $\delta = 0.5$, for example, the integrated spectrum of proto-GCs would be indistinguishable from that of normal clusters of the same mass without SMS (cf. above). For example, for $M_{\text{SMS}} \sim 10^5 M_\odot$ the cluster mass is $M_{cl} \sim 5 \times 10^5 M_\odot$ (Gieles et al. 2018a). In this case, the luminosity, magnitude, and colors of the proto-GC are the same as predicted above for the case of $\delta = 1$ (cf. Table 3), but excluding the SMS, that is, the pure cluster (or cluster+nebular) cases shown in Sect. 4. Typically, proto-GCs like this are expected to have magnitudes of $m_{AB} \sim 31$ at $z = 6$, as illustrated in Fig. 16. Correspondingly, for an SMS that is ten times more massive, $M_{cl}$ is approximately ten times higher, which then also holds for the proto-GC brightness.

5.2. Comparison with other results

Renzini (2017) and Pozzetti et al. (2019) have recently predicted photometry and number counts of GCs and discussed ways to find them in formation at high redshift. The underlying spectral models are from SSP models of Maraston (2005) and Bruzual & Charlot (2003), which are the classical models used for cluster studies. Pozzetti et al. (2019) assumed a typical cluster mass of $2 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ for their spectral predictions, which can be rescaled linearly to other cluster masses. They neglected nebular emission, which significantly affects the SED for young ages ($< 10$ Myr) when the clusters are brightest in the UV (see, e.g., Fig. 12). As shown in Fig. 12, this simple ISM effect can cause proto-GCs, even without SMS, to become brighter by ~1 mag in rest-UV and more so at longer wavelengths. Numerous models that include nebular emission (continuum and also lines) exist in the literature (Leitherer et al. 1999, 2014; Zackrisson et al. 2011, 2017). If a significant fraction of Lyman-continuum radiation were to escape the ISM of proto-GCs for some reason, the emission from the H II region would be reduced correspondingly. Similarly, after the phase hosting massive ($\gtrsim 10^5 M_\odot$) stars, nebular emission will be negligible and the SED predictions comparable to those of Pozzetti et al. (2019).

Surace et al. (2018, 2019) have recently predicted observational properties of supermassive primordial stars, which could form under peculiar conditions and may be related to the formation of the first quasars. The two main cases they examined correspond to accreting stars with total luminosities $\sim (4-5) \times 10^6 L_\odot$ and cool ($\sim 8000$ K) or hot ($\sim 36000$ K) temperatures, comparable to some of the SMS models examined here. Although a detailed comparison is clearly beyond the scope of this paper, we note that the predicted magnitudes of our models A4 are comparable to those of the cool SMS of Surace et al. (2018), which reach $\sim$28–31 mag (AB) at $z = 6$–10 in photometric bands in common with our work. As expected, the more compact bluer primordial SMS are fainter in the same filters, and are accordingly more difficult to detect, according to Surace et al. (2019). Their spectral shape should also be less distinct from that of a normal stellar

![Fig. 16. Predicted magnitudes in the selected NIRCAM-MIRI filters from 0.7–7.7 micron for young (< 4 Myr) proto-GCs with $M_{cl} = 5 \times 10^5 M_\odot$, and negligible SMS contribution. Solid (dotted) lines show the predictions including (excluding) nebular emission. The three curves that drop out are due to the classical photometric drop-out from the shortest wavelength filters.](https://example.com/figure16.png)
cluster, and they are therefore expected to be more difficult to identify if present, even in isolation, that is, without a surrounding young cluster population as considered here.

5.3. Strategies for and feasibility of direct observations

To identify the progenitors of present-day GCs in situ at high redshift, we should trivially identify compact clusters (sizes smaller than present-day sizes of a few tens of parsecs), which would in practice generally be unresolved, except maybe for strongly lensed sources. Beyond this simple criterion, there are no general features that would allow us to select proto-GCs in their initial phase, shortly after formation. The simplest approach assumes that the SED (and spectra) of proto-GCs can be described by that of normal SSPs, that is, ensembles of stars that formed at approximately the same time (instantaneously, or in a short burst), with a given metallicity, and a stellar mass typically above $\gtrsim 10^{4.5} M_\odot$, which is massive enough to form present-day GCs whose mass distribution peaks at $\sim 2 \times 10^5 M_\odot$ (Harris et al. 2014).

This method has been proposed by Renzini (2017) and Pozzetti et al. (2019) to search for GCs in formation at high redshift. One of the caveats of this approach is that it does not take the possibly peculiar nature of proto-GCs in their initial or young phase into account, that is, multiple stellar populations and processes or sources that are responsible for these peculiarities. The main justification for this inconsistent use of normal SSPs to describe the SED of young GCs is that we still do not fully understand their formation scenario. For a given scenario, such as the concurrent formation of an SSMs and GCs examined here, we have shown that the SEDs may show peculiar features that distinguish this scenario from that of normal SSPs.

With simple photometric redshift estimates (which can be obtained with the usual Lyman-break technique at high-$z$), the presence of an SMS in a proto-GC can concretely be recognised with two color measurements if the SMS is sufficiently bright and cool. In this case, the SED will resemble that of a composite stellar population, with normal stars surrounding the SMS that dominates the rest-UV flux, plus significant emission longward of the Balmer break, similar to that of a very bright cool star (see Figs. 10 and 12). Some of the models examined here lead to a relatively blue UV spectrum (approximately constant in AB magnitudes, i.e., a UV slope close to $\beta \sim -2$) plus a very strong Balmer break and strong emission in the rest-optical range (A2 in Fig. 10). Others can have a relatively red UV slope of $\beta \sim -0.8$ and be fainter again in AB magnitudes in the rest-optical range (A4). To distinguish these cases, a wavelength coverage that includes both the rest-UV and part of the optical is clearly needed.

In short, although not all proto-GCs with SMS produce SEDs that significantly differ from SSPs, our results show that appropriate selected color measurements (requiring at least three photometric bands) are expected to allow us to observationally identify these objects. In contrast, although spectroscopic observations could also help to confirm the presence of SMSs (cf. Sect. 4.4), this does not appear feasible.

Imaging with the JWST will provide both a high spatial resolution to search for very compact or unresolved sources and a sufficiently wide spectral coverage to apply these search criteria. Furthermore, the proto-GCs with SMSs are predicted to be sufficiently bright (mag$_{AB} \sim 28-30$ at $z \geq 6$ in the above cases) to be detectable with NIRCAM imaging. Observational searches for SMSs in young progenitors of GCs in the early Universe should therefore be possible, and if found, they would provide an important step toward our understanding of the formation of GCs and their multiple stellar populations.

The final state of SMSs in GCs remains a puzzle. If they explode as supernovae, it may be much easier to detect this explosion than the SMS itself. However, according to Heger & Woosley (2002), it is likely that in the mass range of interest here, zero-metallicity SMSs experience pair instability and directly collapse into a stellar black hole (see also Yungelson et al. 2008, who studied the fate of solar metallicity stars up to $10^2 M_\odot$). We therefore do not expect any supernova in proto-GCs, at least at the metallicities considered here. Alternatively, SMS may disrupt under the effect of various types of instabilities (gravitational, pulsational, or general relativistic; Schwarzschild & Härm 1959; Chandrasekhar 1964; Thompson 2008; Yungelson et al. 2008; Inayoshi et al. 2013). No clear conclusion can currently be drawn either on the presence of intermediate-mass black holes in GCs, which is still a matter of debate (e.g., Noyola et al. 2008; Zocchi et al. 2017, 2019; Tremou et al. 2018a; Antonini et al. 2019). Dynamical and evolutionary models for SMS that form through runaway collisions are clearly required to definitively predict their actual properties and their detectability at high redshift.

6. Summary and conclusion

Motivated by the possible existence of SMSs that may have formed during the earliest phase of GC formation, as suggested by Gieles et al. (2018a), we have examined the observational properties of such stars with masses in the range of $1000-50000 M_\odot$ and luminosities $\sim 10^{7.4} - 10^{9} L_\odot$ and their detectability in the proto-GC phase. To do so we computed computed non-LTE spherical stellar atmosphere models that are appropriate for these extreme conditions with the CMFGEN code (Hillier & Miller 1998), to predict the emergent spectra of supermassive low-metallicity stars. The models also cover a fairly wide range of radii, that is, effective temperatures from 7000 K to $\sim 130000$ K, which represent SMS that are inflated by accretion during their growth phase or more compact very luminous stars.

As expected for these high radiation field energy densities, we find strong non-LTE effects that significantly imprint the SED of these stars in the Lyman and the Balmer continuum. For example, we find

- a Balmer break in emission for cool SMSs with effective temperatures of about 10000 K. No such spectra are predicted for LTE models, and they are not known for normal stars. The high luminosities combined with the very low densities of the atmospheres imply strong non-LTE effects that also explain peculiar hydrogen line emission (Balmer lines in 2019; Tremou et al. 2018a; Antonini et al. 2019). At lower effective temperatures ($\sim 7000$ K), the Balmer break shows a more classical absorption morphology.
- a Lyman break in emission for hot SMSs ($T_{\text{eff}} > 40000$ K), again due to strong non-LTE effects. For these stars, the classical infrared continuum excess observed in normal OB stars, and due to free-free emission in the extended atmosphere, is suppressed by the very low atmospheric density.

Using the predicted SMS spectra, we then examined the combined spectrum expected from young ($< 3$ Myr) proto-GCs hosting such SMSs in the rest-UV, optical, and near-IR domain. For this we computed the expected SEDs of SMSs with different properties surrounded by a normal SSP that feeds the growth of the SMS, and whose properties are related to the SMS, as
described in the scenario of Gieles et al. (2018a). We predict in particular the observed magnitudes of these sources over a wide redshift range \((z = 1 - 10)\) in the most important broadband filters of the JWST NIRCAM camera. The main results can be summarized as follows:

- Inflated SMSs with \(M \sim 10^4 M_\odot\), \(\log L = 9 L_\odot\), and large radii, hence low effective temperatures, are predicted to outshine the proto-GC and have observed magnitudes \(m_{\text{AGB}} \sim 20 - 30\) from \(-0.7 - 8 \mu\text{mag}\) at \(z \sim 4 - 10\), and brighter at lower redshift (see Fig. 13). Proto-GCs with the same mass \((-5 \times 10^4 M_\odot\) hosting less massive SMSs can be fainter by up to \(-2 - 3\) mag. Proto-GCs hosting SMSs should therefore be bright enough to be detected in very deep images, in particular with the upcoming JWST.

- The peculiar SED of cool \((T_{\text{eff}} \lesssim 10\text{,}000\text{ K})\) and luminous SMSs implies that proto-GCs hosting such sources can be distinguished observationally from normal stellar clusters. This can be achieved with color-color diagrams probing the \(SED\) shortward and longward of the Balmer break (cf. Fig. 15), for example.

In short, our stellar atmosphere and spectral models show that SMSs that have been proposed to form during the short initial (formation) phase of GCs can be bright enough and show peculiar SEDs to be detectable in situ at high redshift and be distinguishable from normal stellar clusters with very deep observations, such as imaging observations foreseen with the upcoming JWST. The formation scenario for GCs proposed by Gieles et al. (2018a) should therefore be testable, and our predictions should provide a guide for searching for SMSs in such systems.
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Appendix A: Effect of surface gravity on the Balmer jump

In Fig. A.1 we show the effect of the surface gravity on the SED of models with $T_{\text{eff}} = 10,000\, \text{K}$ and $\log \frac{L}{L_\odot} = 8.0$. When $\log g$ decreases, the Balmer break size is reduced, and the break switches from absorption to emission only for the lowest $\log g$ model. This is understood by the stronger non-LTE effects in low surface gravity (and thus low-density) models; see Sect. 3.1.1.

![Fig. A.1. SED of models with $T_{\text{eff}} = 10,000\, \text{K}$, $\log \frac{L}{L_\odot} = 8.0$ and different surface gravities.](image)

Appendix B: Hydrogen lines at $\log \frac{L}{L_\odot} = 9.0$

Figure B.1 shows the Balmer continua and the first lines of the Balmer, Paschen, and Brackett series for the model with $T_{\text{eff}} = 10,000\, \text{K}, \log g = 0.8,$ and $\log \frac{L}{L_\odot} = 9.0$ (model A4).

![Fig. B.1. Same as Fig. 7 for the model with $\log \frac{L}{L_\odot} = 9.0$.](image)

Appendix C: Physics of models B2 and C2

Figure C.1 shows the departure coefficients of the models shown in Fig. 9. Over the continuum-formation region, the ground level of the model with $\log \frac{L}{L_\odot} = 6.0$ (representing a normal massive star, and referred to as the reference model below) is both over- and underpopulated, depending on the optical depth. In the more luminous models, the ground level is underpopulated over the formation region. In all models, the first excited level ($n = 2$) is always overpopulated.

Figure C.2 shows the temperature structures of the same models. In the reference model and in model B2, the continua below and above the Lyman break are formed in the same region. Consequently, the difference in the shape of the break is mainly dominated by the effects of departure coefficients: an average lower population of the ground level favors a stronger emission on the blue side of the break. In model C2, the continuum shortward of the break is formed above the continuum shortward of the break (i.e., formed at a higher height in the atmosphere). The temperature effect (Eq. (2)) dominates and the jump is smaller than in model B2.

Infrared excess in the spectra of massive stars is due to free-free emission in the ionized wind (Dyck & Milkey 1972; Wright & Barlow 1975). The total SED can be viewed as the sum of the stellar SED and an emission component formed in the extended atmosphere that resembles an HII region. The explanation of the absence of emission excess at long wavelength in the high-luminosity models is given by Fig. C.3. In the reference model, the stellar continuum (taken at 1050 Å for illustration) is formed over a wide region, but with a significant contribution from the photosphere (i.e., below the sudden drop in density). The free-free emission from the extended wind (evaluated at 8.5 μm) is emitted at heights that are about an order of magnitude higher. Consequently, the volume corresponding to this emission region is large and the free-free emission dominates the stellar emission. In model B2, the free-free emission from the wind and the stellar flux are emitted in the same region. The former is negligible compared to the latter because the size of the wind-emitting region is small. The main reason for this different behavior is the low density in the high-luminosity atmosphere (see the densities in Fig. C.3): most of the wind is optically
Fig. C.1. Departure coefficients for the ground and first excited levels of hydrogen for model B2 (left), C2 (middle), and a model with log \( \frac{L}{L_\odot} = 6.0 \) (right).

Departure coefficients for the ground and first excited levels of hydrogen for model B2 (left), C2 (middle), and a model with log \( \frac{L}{L_\odot} = 6.0 \) (right).

Fig. C.2. Temperature structures of models B2, C2, and a model with the same parameters but log \( \frac{L}{L_\odot} = 6.0 \). The formation regions of the continuum below and above the Lyman break are shown by dashed and dotted lines.

Temperature structures of models B2, C2, and a model with the same parameters but log \( \frac{L}{L_\odot} = 6.0 \). The formation regions of the continuum below and above the Lyman break are shown by dashed and dotted lines.

Fig. C.3. Density structures of models B2, C2, and a model with the same parameters but log \( \frac{L}{L_\odot} = 6.0 \) as a function of height in the atmosphere (R is the stellar radius). The formation regions of the continuum at 1050 Å and 8.5 \( \mu \)m are shown by dashed and dotted lines.

Density structures of models B2, C2, and a model with the same parameters but log \( \frac{L}{L_\odot} = 6.0 \) as a function of height in the atmosphere (R is the stellar radius). The formation regions of the continuum at 1050 Å and 8.5 \( \mu \)m are shown by dashed and dotted lines.

thin. Only close to the photosphere does the density reach a level that is sufficient to produce emission (the infrared continuum is emitted in regions where log \( \rho \sim -13.0 \) in all models). Model C2 is somewhat intermediate, with a small amount of free-free emission.

Appendix D: Synthetic photometry

The synthetic photometry computed for some of the main filters of the NIRCam and MIRI instruments on the JWST for this study and presented in the figures of Sect. 4.3 and 5 is made available in electronic format at the CDS. Table D.1 lists the available combinations of objects (SMS, cluster, and SMS+cluster) and indications on the absence or inclusion of nebular emission for which integrated photometry is published. Each of Tables 4 to 11 contains the following information: Column 1 lists the redshift, Column 2 gives the AB magnitude in the F070W filter, and all subsequent columns list the same for the following filters: F090W, F115W, F150W, F200W, F277W, F356W, F410W, F444W, F560W, and F770W. In total, AB magnitudes are listed for 11 broadband filters.

Table D.1. Synthetic photometry for different SMS models, cluster, and the total flux, presented in this work, computed in the NIRCAM JWST filters.

| Object | Object | Object | Total (SMS+cluster) |
|--------|--------|--------|---------------------|
|        | (no nebular) | (with nebular) | (with nebular) |
| A2     | D.1    | –      | D.2                 |
| A4     | D.3    | –      | D.4                 |
| B3     | D.5    | –      | D.6                 |
| cluster| D.7    | D.8    | –                   |

Notes. The entry gives the table number of the tables, which are available in electronic format at the CDS.