Effect of Different Concentrations of Indole-3-butyric Acid and Different Cutting Size (Length and Diameter) on Shoot Growth of Pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) Cuttings
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ABSTRACT

Result revealed that, significantly minimum days to sprout, highest sprouting percentage, maximum number of branches, maximum length and diameter of shoot, highest number of leaves per cutting, highest leaf area and finally shoot to root ratio of pomegranate cutting was recorded with treatment D₂ i.e. (1.5 cm diameter) and maximum days to sprout and minimum growth parameters were observed in D₁ i.e. (1 cm diameter) minimum days to sprout, highest sprouting percentage, maximum number of branches, maximum length and diameter of shoot, highest number of leaves per cutting, highest leaf area and finally shoot to root ratio of pomegranate cutting was recorded with treatment L₃ i.e. (20 cm length) and lowest values were observed in L₁ i.e. (10 cm length) and minimum days to sprout, highest sprouting percentage, maximum number of branches, maximum length and diameter of shoot, highest number of leaves per cutting, highest leaf area and finally shoot to root ratio of pomegranate cutting were recorded with treatment T₁ i.e. (IBA 3000 ppm) and lowest growth parameters were found in treatment T₂ i.e. (IBA 4000 ppm).
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Introduction

Pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) belongs to family Punicaceae is native to Asia especially to Iran, Afghanistan and Himalayan region. It is one of the oldest known edible fruit of tropical and sub-tropical region, known for its gustative, medicinal and ornamental. The tree is quite resistant to cold when dormant, withstanding temperatures down to 10° F. However, it is very sensitive to frost before it reaches full dormancy in late fall and after buds have begun to swell in early spring.

The best quality pomegranate fruits are produced in regions with cool winters and hot, dry summers. Pomegranate is a shrub that naturally tends to develop multiple trunks and
has a bushy appearance. When domesticated, it is grown as a small tree that grows up to 5m, leaves have an oblanceolate shape with an apex and acuminate base. Mature leaves are green, entire, smooth and hairless; the flowers can appear solitary, pairs or cluster. In the most cases, the solitary flowers will appear as spurs along the branches while the clusters are terminal (Levin, 2006). Fruit is globular, possessing a smooth outer rind with juicy arils (Mars, 2000).

Pomegranate could be propagated either sexually by seeds or vegetatively using stem cuttings and sometimes as layers or suckers or by grafting (Hartmann et al., 1997). However, in commercial propagation stem cuttings are widely used (Antakya Hatay, 2009).

The length and diameter of stem cuttings have an impact on rooting rate and subsequent survival in the field after transplanting, determining optimal cutting length is essential as (1) a very long cutting larger than 12 cm will be waste of valuable coppice material, with limited or no benefit in rooting percentage, whereas, (2) a short cutting may not result in the development of sufficient roots (possibly due to lack of sufficient storage reserves) (Leakey, 2004).

Sprouting and rooting ability of cuttings is mainly depends upon the physiological maturity of the shoot and conditions where cuttings have been planted for sprouting and subsequent rooting (Purohit and Shekrapa, 1985) and various internal and external factors like seasons and concentration of endogenous and exogenous phytohormones (Arya et al., 1994).

Plant growth regulators improve the rooting of cutting by stimulating the production of adventitious roots. Went (1934) first postulated that auxins initiate adventitious root formation in stem cuttings. IBA is the most promising growth regulator inducing rooting quickly. Exogenous application of IBA accelerates the rate of rooting, increases final rooting percentage and number of roots. However, relatively high concentrations of IBA have been reported to be inhibitory to rooting (Leakey, 1990). It promotes root initiation, number of roots and shoots growth in number of ornamental and fruits plants. The rooting medium can have a major influence on the rooting capacity of cuttings (Hartmann et al., 2002).

Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out during the year 2017-18 at Department of Horticulture, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani on pomegranate (Punica granatum L.) cv. Bhagwa. The cuttings were taken from hardwood cuttings arising on trees of pomegranate cv. Bhagwa during the month of July. Experiment was laid out in Factorial Randomized Block Designed (FRBD) with 18 treatments and 3 factors which are replicated trice and no. of cuttings per treatment are 40.

Treatment Details

Observation recorded

Shoot growth observation

Days to sprouting

The planted cuttings were observed daily under each treatment and the number of days required for sprouting was recorded and mean was calculated as days taken for first sprout to appear.

Sprouting percentage

The per cent sprouting was counted at 30 days after planting by taking the ratio of number of cuttings sprouted to the number of cuttings planted and multiplied by 100.
It can be calculated by using following formula.

\[
\text{Percentage of sprouted cuttings} = \frac{\text{No. of cuttings sprouted}}{\text{Total no. of cuttings}} \times 100
\]

**Number of shoot per cutting**

On the 30th, 60th and 90th day after planting, the number of sprouts per cutting was counted and their mean was used to record this parameter.

**Length of shoots per cutting**

The length of longest shoot per cutting was measured after 60 and 90 days after planting and its mean was expressed in centimeters.

Five cuttings in each replication were used for recording the length of longest shoot per cutting.

**Diameter of shoots per cutting**

The diameter of shoot per cutting was measured after 60 and 90 days after planting and its mean was expressed in millimeters. Five cuttings in each replication were used for recording the diameter of shoot per cutting.

**Shoot fresh and dry weight (g)**

All the shoot of each selected fifteen cuttings from three replications were removed with the help of secator.

The separate fresh shoots were placed in brown paper bags, properly labeled, and subsequent weighted.

After that for determination of dry shoot weight, shoots placed in oven at 60°C and after stabilization of weight, this stabilized weight (g) was recorded. From the fresh weight of shoot, we can find out the dry weight of shoot by using the following formula.

\[
\text{Fresh weight} - \text{dry weight}
\]

**Shoot to root ratio**

Shoot to root ratio dry and fresh basis are calculated using the following formula.

\[
\text{Fresh basis} = \frac{\text{Fresh weight of root}}{\text{Fresh weight of shoot}} \\
\text{Dry basis} = \frac{\text{Dry weight of root}}{\text{Dry weight of shoot}}
\]

**Number of leaves per cutting**

On the 30, 60 and 90 days after planting, the number of leaves per cutting was counted and their mean was used.

**Leaf area (cm²)**

Leaf area calculated by collecting 4 representative leaves for each treatment from each replication were selected. The area of leaves measured on automatic leaf area meter. The average leaf area was calculated and is presented in cm².

**Results and Discussion**

The results obtained during the experiment were recorded and analysed statistically, presented under appropriate headings, subheadings and discussed with available literature.

**Growth parameters**

**Number of days for sprouting**

An experiment was conducted to optimize the diameter of cutting (D), length of cutting (L)
and to evaluate the efficacy of IBA concentrations (T) as well as their interactions on number of days for sprouting are presented in Table 1.

**Effect of cutting diameter (D)**

The perusal of data in Table 1 reveals that, significantly minimum days for sprouting (8.91) were recorded in D$_2$ i.e. (1.5 cm) and maximum days (9.25) were observed in D$_1$ i.e. (1 cm diameter) This might be due to better physiological maturity of the stem and might be due to better translocation of photosynthates (Pooja *et al.*, 2013)

**Effect of cutting length (L)**

The data do not show significant effect of cutting length on days required for sprouting.

**IBA concentration (T)**

Significantly minimum days for sprouting of pomegranate cuttings (8.68) were observed in treatment T$_1$ i.e. IBA 3000 ppm and maximum days (9.40) were observed in treatment T$_2$ i.e. 4000 ppm.

This might be due to better role of IBA for hydrolysis and translocation of carbohydrates and nitrogenous substances at the base of cuttings and resulted in accelerated cell division and cell elongation. These results are in accordance with the findings of Shukla *et al.*, (2010), Sinha *et al.*, (2014) and Singh *et al.*, (2014).

**Interaction effect of (L×D)**

The interaction of length and diameter of cutting shows significant effect on days for sprouting. Significantly lowest days (8.64) for sprouting of cutting were observed in interaction of L$_3$D$_2$ i.e. (length 20 cm and diameter 1.5 cm) which was statistically at par with L$_1$D$_2$ (8.97) and L$_2$D$_2$ (9.11). However, highest days (9.28) were observed in interaction of L$_1$D$_1$.

**Interaction effect of (T×D)**

Significantly lowest days (8.31) for sprouting of pomegranate cuttings was observed in interaction of T$_1$D$_2$ i.e. (IBA 3000ppm and 1.5 cm diameter) and highest days (9.44) were observed in interaction of T$_2$D$_1$ i.e. (IBA 4000ppm and 1 cm diameter).

**Interaction effect of (T×L)**

The interaction effect of length of cutting and IBA concentration on days for sprouting shows significant variation. The interaction T$_1$L$_3$ recorded significantly lowest days (8.36) for sprouting of cuttings which was statistically at par with interactions of T$_1$L$_1$ (8.83 days), T$_1$L$_3$ (8.86 days) and highest days (9.53) for sprouting of cuttings were observed in T$_2$L$_2$. However, the interaction of diameter, length of cuttings and IBA concentrations (T×D×L) do not show significant variation on days for sprouting of pomegranate cuttings.

**Sprouting percentage**

The effect of diameter of cutting (D), length of cutting (L), IBA concentrations (T) and their interactions on sprouting percentage of pomegranate cuttings are presented in Table 1.

**Effect of cutting diameter (D)**

The perusal of data in Table 1 reveals that, significantly highest sprouting percentage (73.35 %) was recorded in D$_2$ i.e. (1.5 cm) whereas lowest sprouting percentage (68.03 %) was observed in D$_1$ i.e. (1 cm diameter). This might be due to better physiological maturity of the stem and might be due to better translocation of photosynthates (Pooja *et al.*, 2013).
Effect of cutting length (L)

The results clearly indicated that significantly highest sprouting percentage (73.75 %) was recorded in L3 i.e. (20 cm length) however lowest (71.19 %) was observed in L2 i.e. (15 cm length).

This might be due to highest carbohydrates present in cuttings which are utilized for sprouting. Similarly, another reason might be length of cutting, on which maximum buds are present and later on they sprout (Kaur and Kaur, 2018). These results are in accordance with the findings of Pooja et al., (2013).

IBA concentration (T)

It was evident from the data that, the IBA concentrations significantly affected on sprouting percentage.

The highest sprouting percentage (73.02 %) was observed in T1 with the application of IBA 3000 ppm.

While the lowest sprouting percentage (68.88 %) was recorded in T3 i.e. IBA 4000 ppm. Significantly highest sprouting percentage was due to better utilization of carbohydrates with the help of IBA (Singh et al., 2015).

Interaction effect of (L×D)

The interaction of length and diameter of cutting shows significant effect on sprouting percentage. Significantly highest sprouting percentage (78.88 %) was observed in interaction of L3D2 i.e. (length 20 cm and diameter 1.5 cm) and lowest (68.27 %) was observed in interaction of L3D1 i.e. (length 20 cm and diameter 1 cm).

Interaction effect of (T×D)

Significantly highest sprouting percentage (75.77 %) of pomegranate cuttings was observed in interaction of T1D2 i.e. (IBA 3000 ppm and 1.5 cm diameter) followed by interactions of T3D2 (74.72 %) and T2D2 (70.73 %). However lowest sprouting percentage (63.44 %) was observed in interaction of T3D1.

Interaction effect of (T×L)

The interaction effect of length of cutting and IBA concentration on sprouting percentage shows significant variation. The interaction of T1L3 i.e. (IBA 3000 ppm and 20 cm length) was recorded significantly highest sprouting percentage (78.33 %) which was statistically at par with interactions of T2L3 (75.78 %) and T1L2 (72.00 %). However, lowest sprouting percentage (65.00 %) was observed in T2L1 i.e. (4000 ppm IBA and 10 cm length). The interaction of IBA concentrations, diameter and length of cuttings (T×D×L) on sprouting percentage was found to be non significant.

Number of branches per cutting at 30DAP

The number of branches per cutting was influenced by diameter of cutting (D), length of cutting (L), IBA concentrations (T) and their interactions presented in Table 2.

Effect of cutting diameter (D)

The result obtained from data shows non significant effect of cutting diameter on number of branches per cutting.

Effect of cutting length (L)

The perusal of data in Table 2 reveals that, significantly maximum number of branches per cutting (3.75) was recorded in L3 i.e. (20 cm length) and minimum (2.34) was observed in interaction of L1, i.e. (10 cm length). This might be due to highest nodes present on cutting and maximum available food material in thick cuttings which is used for initiation and
growth of sprouts. Similar results were also reported by Jadhav et al., (2003).

**IBA concentration (T)**

Significantly maximum number of branches (3.54) for pomegranate cutting was observed in T₁ i.e. (IBA 3000 ppm) while minimum number of branches (2.70) were observed in T₃ i.e. (IBA 5000 ppm). This might be due to the fact that, IBA affect on cell division in the cambium and cell expansion resulting in increasing number of shoots or branches. Similar results were also reported by Thimann (1969), Devi et al., (2016) and Kamboj (2017).

**Interaction effect of (L×D)**

The interaction of length and diameter of cutting shows significant effect on number of branches. Significantly maximum number of branches per cutting (4.08) was observed in interaction of L₃D₂ i.e. (length 20 cm and diameter 1.5 cm), while minimum number of branches (2.11) was observed in interaction of L₁D₂ i.e. (length 10 cm and 1.5 cm diameter).

**Interaction effect of (T×D)**

Significantly maximum number of branches of pomegranate cuttings (3.71) was observed in interaction of T₁D₂ i.e. (IBA 3000 ppm and 1.5 cm diameter) which was followed by T₁D₁ (3.37), while minimum number of branches (2.66) was observed in interaction of T₂D₁ i.e. (IBA 4000 ppm and 1 cm diameter).

**Interaction effect of (T×L)**

The interaction effect of length of cutting and IBA concentration on number of branches was found to be significant. The interaction of T₁L₃ i.e. (IBA 3000 ppm and 20 cm length) was recorded significantly maximum number of branches (4.33) and minimum number of branches per cutting (1.93) was observed in T₂L₁. However, the interaction of IBA concentrations, diameter and length of cuttings (TxDxL) was found to be non significant on number of branches per cutting.

**Number of branches per cutting at 60 DAP**

Number of branches per cutting at 60 DAP was influenced by diameter of cutting (D), length of cutting (L), IBA concentrations (T) and their interactions are presented in Table 2.

**Effect of cutting diameter (D)**

The data shows non significant effect of cutting diameter on number of branches per cutting.

**Effect of cutting length (L)**

Significantly maximum number of branches per cutting (4.22) was recorded in L₃ i.e. (20 cm length), and minimum (2.73) was observed in L₁ i.e. (10 cm length). This might be due to highest nodes present on cutting and maximum available food material in thick cuttings which is used for initiation and growth of sprouts. Similar results were also reported by Jadhav et al., (2003).

**IBA concentration (T)**

Significantly highest number of branches of pomegranate cuttings (3.78) was observed in treatment T₁ i.e. (IBA 3000 ppm) and minimum number of branches (3.08) was observed in T₃ i.e. (IBA 5000 ppm). This might be due to the fact that, IBA affect on cell division in the cambium and cell expansion resulting in increasing number of shoots or branches. Similar results were also reported by Thimann (1969), Devi et al., (2016) and Kamboj (2017).
Table 1

| Factors: | Factor A: Length of cutting | Factor B: Diameter of cutting | Factor C: IBA concentration |
|----------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|          | L₁: 10 cm                   | D₁: 1 cm                      | T₁: 3000 ppm               |
|          | L₂: 15 cm                   | D₂: 1.5 cm                    | T₂: 4000 ppm               |
|          | L₃: 20 cm                   |                               | T₃: 5000 ppm               |

Table 2 Effect of cutting size and IBA concentration on sprouting of pomegranate cuttings

| Treatments | Days for sprouting | Sprouting percentage |
|------------|-------------------|----------------------|
|            | Days              | Percentage           |
| Diameter of cutting (D) |                  |                      |
| D₁         | 9.25              | 68.03 (43.25)        |
| D₂         | 8.91              | 73.35 (47.74)        |
| S.E N±     | 0.10              | 1.03                 |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.28              | 3.09                 |
| Length of cutting (L) |                  |                      |
| L₁         | 9.13              | 67.47 (42.84)        |
| L₂         | 9.17              | 71.19 (45.50)        |
| L₃         | 8.93              | 73.75 (48.14)        |
| S.E N±     | 0.12              | 1.15                 |
| C.D. at 5% | NS                | 3.45                 |
| IBA concentration (T) |                |                      |
| T₁         | 8.68              | 73.02 (47.41)        |
| T₂         | 9.40              | 70.50 (45.17)        |
| T₃         | 9.15              | 68.88 (43.90)        |
| S.E N±     | 0.12              | 1.15                 |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.34              | 3.45                 |
| Interaction (L x D) |             |                      |
| L₁D₁       | 9.28              | 64.38 (40.42)        |
| L₂D₁       | 9.24              | 71.11 (45.45)        |
| L₃D₁       | 9.22              | 68.27 (43.87)        |
| L₁D₂       | 8.97              | 70.55 (45.25)        |
| L₂D₂       | 9.11              | 71.27 (45.55)        |
| L₃D₂       | 8.64              | 78.88 (52.41)        |
| S.E N±     | 0.17              | 1.18                 |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.48              | 3.54                 |
| Interaction (T x D) |            |                      |
| T₁D₁       | 9.07              | 70.27 (44.96)        |
| T₁D₂       | 8.31              | 75.77 (49.86)        |
| T₂D₁       | 9.44              | 70.27 (45.10)        |
| T₂D₂       | 9.35              | 70.73 (45.24)        |
| T₃D₁       | 9.24              | 63.44 (39.69)        |
| T₃D₂       | 9.06              | 74.72 (48.11)        |
| S.E N±     | 0.17              | 1.18                 |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.48              | 3.55                 |

* figures in parenthesis indicate the arc sine values.
| Interaction (L x T) | Days | Percentage |
|-------------------|------|------------|
| $T_1L_1$          | 8.83 | 68.75 (43.92) |
| $T_1L_2$          | 8.86 | 72.00 (46.19) |
| $T_1L_3$          | 8.36 | 78.33 (52.12) |
| $T_2L_1$          | 9.33 | 65.00 (41.08) |
| $T_2L_2$          | 9.53 | 71.08 (45.39) |
| $T_2L_3$          | 9.33 | 75.58 (49.04) |
| $T_3L_1$          | 9.23 | 68.66 (43.51) |
| $T_3L_2$          | 9.13 | 70.50 (44.92) |
| $T_3L_3$          | 9.10 | 67.50 (43.27) |
| S.E N±            | 0.21 | 1.04       |
| C.D. at 5%        | 0.59 | 3.12       |

Interaction (T x D x L)

| Interaction (T x D x L) | Days | Percentage |
|-------------------------|------|------------|
| $T_1D_1L_1$             | 9.06 | 64.16 (40.12) |
| $T_1D_1L_2$             | 9.00 | 73.33 (47.34) |
| $T_1D_1L_3$             | 9.13 | 62.50 (38.88) |
| $T_1D_2L_1$             | 8.60 | 73.85 (47.72) |
| $T_1D_2L_2$             | 8.73 | 70.66 (45.03) |
| $T_1D_2L_3$             | 7.60 | 83.16 (56.84) |
| $T_2D_1L_1$             | 9.53 | 64.16 (40.59) |
| $T_2D_1L_2$             | 9.40 | 70.00 (44.51) |
| $T_2D_1L_3$             | 9.40 | 76.83 (50.20) |
| $T_2D_2L_1$             | 9.13 | 65.83 (41.56) |
| $T_2D_2L_2$             | 9.66 | 72.16 (46.28) |
| $T_2D_2L_3$             | 9.26 | 74.33 (47.87) |
| $T_3D_1L_1$             | 9.26 | 64.83 (40.54) |
| $T_3D_1L_2$             | 9.33 | 70.00 (44.51) |
| $T_3D_1L_3$             | 9.13 | 55.50 (34.01) |
| $T_3D_2L_1$             | 9.20 | 72.50 (46.48) |
| $T_3D_2L_2$             | 8.93 | 71.00 (45.33) |
| $T_3D_2L_3$             | 9.06 | 79.66 (52.52) |
| S.E N±                  | 0.30 | 4.30       |
| C.D. at 5%              | 0.59 | 3.12       |

**Treatment details**

- **D1**: Diameter 1 cm
  - L1: Length 10 cm
  - T1: 3000 ppm IBA
- **D2**: Diameter 1.5 cm
  - L2: Length 15 cm
  - T2: 4000 ppm IBA
- **D3**: Diameter 2 cm
  - L3: Length 20 cm
  - T3: 5000 ppm IBA

* figures in parenthesis indicate the arc sine values.
Table 3: Effect of cutting size and IBA concentration on number of branches per cutting in pomegranate

| Treatments | Number of branches per cutting | 30DAP | 60DAP | 90DAP |
|------------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Diameter of cutting (D) |                                |       |       |       |
| D1         | 2.93                           | 3.33  | 3.47  |       |
| D2         | 3.14                           | 3.57  | 3.70  |       |
| S.E N±     | 0.11                           | 0.11  | 0.13  |       |
| C.D. at 5% | NS                             | NS    | NS    |       |
| Length of cutting (L) |                                |       |       |       |
| L1         | 2.34                           | 2.73  | 3.17  |       |
| L2         | 3.01                           | 3.51  | 3.36  |       |
| L3         | 3.75                           | 4.22  | 4.22  |       |
| S.E N±     | 0.13                           | 0.13  | 0.16  |       |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.37                           | 0.37  | 0.44  |       |
| IBA concentration (T) |                                |       |       |       |
| T1         | 3.54                           | 3.78  | 4.12  |       |
| T2         | 2.78                           | 3.48  | 3.48  |       |
| T3         | 2.70                           | 3.08  | 3.15  |       |
| S.E N±     | 0.13                           | 0.13  | 0.16  |       |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.38                           | NS    | 0.44  |       |
| Interaction (L x D) |                                |       |       |       |
| L1D1       | 2.57                           | 2.91  | 3.26  |       |
| L2D1       | 2.80                           | 3.26  | 3.24  |       |
| L3D1       | 3.42                           | 3.82  | 3.91  |       |
| L1D2       | 2.11                           | 2.55  | 3.08  |       |
| L2D2       | 3.22                           | 3.75  | 3.48  |       |
| L3D2       | 4.08                           | 4.42  | 4.53  |       |
| S.E N±     | 0.19                           | 0.19  | 0.22  |       |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.53                           | 0.52  | 0.62  |       |
| Interaction (T x D) |                                |       |       |       |
| T1D1       | 3.37                           | 3.51  | 3.75  |       |
| T1D2       | 3.71                           | 4.06  | 4.48  |       |
| T2D1       | 2.66                           | 3.48  | 3.55  |       |
| T2D2       | 2.91                           | 3.48  | 3.42  |       |
| T3D1       | 2.75                           | 3.00  | 3.11  |       |
| T3D2       | 2.80                           | 3.17  | 3.20  |       |
| S.E N±     | 0.19                           | 0.19  | 0.22  |       |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.53                           | 0.52  | 0.62  |       |
### Interaction (L x T)

|   | 30DAP | 60DAP | 90DAP |
|---|-------|-------|-------|
| $T_1L_1$ | 2.93  | 3.26  | 3.63  |
| $T_1L_2$ | 3.36  | 3.43  | 3.56  |
| $T_1L_3$ | 4.33  | 4.66  | 5.16  |
| $T_2L_1$ | 1.93  | 2.63  | 2.83  |
| $T_2L_2$ | 2.96  | 3.66  | 3.60  |
| $T_2L_3$ | 3.46  | 4.16  | 4.03  |
| $T_3L_1$ | 2.16  | 2.30  | 3.06  |
| $T_3L_2$ | 2.70  | 3.43  | 2.93  |
| $T_3L_3$ | 3.46  | 3.53  | 3.46  |
| S.E N±  | 0.23  | 0.23  | 0.27  |

**C.D. at 5%**

|   | 0.65  | 0.64  | 0.81  |

### Interaction (T x D x L)

|   | 30DAP | 60DAP | 90DAP |
|---|-------|-------|-------|
| $T_1D_1L_1$ | 3.33  | 3.20  | 3.26  |
| $T_1D_1L_2$ | 3.13  | 3.13  | 3.40  |
| $T_1D_1L_3$ | 3.66  | 4.20  | 4.60  |
| $T_1D_2L_1$ | 2.53  | 3.33  | 4.00  |
| $T_1D_2L_2$ | 3.60  | 3.73  | 3.73  |
| $T_1D_2L_3$ | 5.00  | 5.13  | 5.73  |
| $T_2D_1L_1$ | 2.20  | 3.06  | 3.33  |
| $T_2D_1L_2$ | 2.46  | 3.40  | 3.20  |
| $T_2D_1L_3$ | 3.33  | 4.00  | 4.13  |
| $T_2D_2L_1$ | 1.66  | 2.20  | 2.33  |
| $T_2D_2L_2$ | 3.46  | 3.93  | 4.00  |
| $T_2D_2L_3$ | 3.60  | 4.33  | 3.93  |
| $T_3D_1L_1$ | 2.20  | 2.46  | 3.20  |
| $T_3D_1L_2$ | 2.80  | 3.26  | 3.13  |
| $T_3D_1L_3$ | 3.26  | 3.26  | 3.00  |
| $T_3D_2L_1$ | 2.13  | 2.13  | 2.93  |
| $T_3D_2L_2$ | 2.60  | 3.60  | 2.73  |
| $T_3D_2L_3$ | 3.66  | 3.80  | 3.93  |
| S.E N±  | 0.33  | 0.33  | 0.39  |

**C.D. at 5%**

|   | 0.91  | NS    |

### Treatment details

- **D$_1$- Diameter 1 cm**
  - L$_1$- Length 10 cm
  - T$_1$- 3000 ppm IBA
- **D$_2$- Diameter 1.5 cm**
  - L$_2$- Length 15 cm
  - T$_2$- 4000 ppm IBA
  - L$_3$- Length 20 cm
  - T$_3$- 5000 ppm IBA
Table 4 Effect of cutting size and IBA concentration on shoot length of pomegranate cuttings

| Treatments                     | Shoot length (cm) |          |          |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------|----------|
|                               | 60DAP             | 90DAP    |          |
| Diameter of cutting (D)       |                   |          |          |
| D1                            | 13.34             | 17.14    |          |
| D2                            | 14.09             | 17.83    |          |
| S.E N±                        | 0.32              | 0.49     |          |
| C.D. at 5%                    | NS                | NS       |          |
| Length of cutting (L)         |                   |          |          |
| L1                            | 13.30             | 16.54    |          |
| L2                            | 12.91             | 17.64    |          |
| L3                            | 15.08             | 18.26    |          |
| S.E N±                        | 0.40              | 0.60     |          |
| C.D. at 5%                    | 1.10              | 1.68     |          |
| IBA concentration (T)         |                   |          |          |
| T1                            | 15.38             | 18.25    |          |
| T2                            | 13.04             | 17.71    |          |
| T3                            | 12.86             | 16.48    |          |
| S.E N±                        | 0.40              | 0.60     |          |
| C.D. at 5%                    | 1.10              | 1.68     |          |
| Interaction (L x D)           |                   |          |          |
| L1D1                          | 13.55             | 16.28    |          |
| L2D1                          | 12.35             | 17.77    |          |
| L3D1                          | 14.40             | 17.35    |          |
| L1D2                          | 13.04             | 16.80    |          |
| L2D2                          | 13.46             | 17.51    |          |
| L3D2                          | 15.77             | 19.17    |          |
| S.E N±                        | 0.56              | 0.85     |          |
| C.D. at 5%                    | 1.56              | 2.37     |          |
| Interaction (T x D)           |                   |          |          |
| T1D1                          | 13.91             | 17.11    |          |
| T1D2                          | 16.86             | 19.40    |          |
| T2D1                          | 13.51             | 18.33    |          |
| T2D2                          | 12.57             | 17.08    |          |
| T3D1                          | 12.88             | 15.97    |          |
| T3D2                          | 12.84             | 17.00    |          |
| S.E N±                        | 0.56              | 0.85     |          |
| C.D. at 5%                    | 1.56              | 2.37     |          |
| Interaction (L x T) | 60DAP | 90DAP |
|-------------------|-------|-------|
| T₁L₁              | 14.40 | 15.86 |
| T₁L₂              | 15.53 | 18.70 |
| T₁L₃              | 16.23 | 20.20 |
| T₂L₁              | 13.03 | 17.46 |
| T₂L₂              | 11.96 | 17.90 |
| T₂L₃              | 14.13 | 17.76 |
| T₃L₁              | 12.46 | 16.30 |
| T₃L₂              | 11.23 | 16.33 |
| T₃L₃              | 14.90 | 16.83 |
| S.E N±            | 0.69  | 1.05  |
| C.D. at 5%        | 1.92  | 2.91  |

| Interaction (T x D x L) |       |       |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|
| T₁D₁L₁                  | 13.06 | 13.80 |
| T₁D₁L₂                  | 15.00 | 19.06 |
| T₁D₁L₃                  | 13.66 | 18.46 |
| T₁D₂L₁                  | 15.73 | 17.93 |
| T₁D₂L₂                  | 16.06 | 18.33 |
| T₁D₂L₃                  | 18.80 | 21.93 |
| T₂D₁L₁                  | 14.66 | 18.60 |
| T₂D₁L₂                  | 10.86 | 18.00 |
| T₂D₁L₃                  | 15.00 | 18.40 |
| T₂D₂L₁                  | 11.40 | 16.33 |
| T₂D₂L₂                  | 13.06 | 17.80 |
| T₂D₂L₃                  | 13.26 | 17.13 |
| T₃D₁L₁                  | 12.93 | 16.46 |
| T₃D₁L₂                  | 11.20 | 16.26 |
| T₃D₁L₃                  | 14.53 | 15.20 |
| T₃D₂L₁                  | 12.00 | 16.13 |
| T₃D₂L₂                  | 11.26 | 16.40 |
| T₃D₂L₃                  | 15.26 | 18.46 |
| S.E N±                  | 0.98  | 1.48  |
| CD at 5%                | 2.71  | 4.11  |

**Treatment details**

- **D₁**- Diameter 1 cm
  - L₁- Length 10 cm
  - T₁- 3000 ppm IBA
- **D₂**- Diameter 1.5 cm
  - L₂- Length 15 cm
  - T₂- 4000 ppm IBA
  - L₃- Length 20 cm
  - T₃- 5000 ppm IBA


**Table 5** Effect of cutting size and IBA concentration on shoot diameter of pomegranate cuttings

| Treatments                      | Shoot diameter (mm) |          |          |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|----------|----------|
|                                 | 60DAP               | 90DAP    |          |
| Diameter of cutting (D)         |                     |          |          |
| D1                              | 1.41                | 1.48     |          |
| D2                              | 1.46                | 1.51     |          |
| S.E N±                          | 0.016               | 0.028    |          |
| C.D. at 5%                      | NS                  | NS       |          |
| Length of cutting (L)           |                     |          |          |
| L1                              | 1.37                | 1.46     |          |
| L2                              | 1.42                | 1.49     |          |
| L3                              | 1.52                | 1.53     |          |
| S.E N±                          | 0.019               | 0.034    |          |
| C.D. at 5%                      | NS                  | NS       |          |
| IBA concentration (T)           |                     |          |          |
| T1                              | 1.50                | 1.58     |          |
| T2                              | 1.39                | 1.45     |          |
| T3                              | 1.42                | 1.46     |          |
| S.E N±                          | 0.019               | 0.034    |          |
| C.D. at 5%                      | NS                  | NS       |          |
| Interaction (L x D)             |                     |          |          |
| L1D1                            | 1.36                | 1.45     |          |
| L2D1                            | 1.39                | 1.51     |          |
| L3D1                            | 1.48                | 1.47     |          |
| L1D2                            | 1.38                | 1.47     |          |
| L2D2                            | 1.44                | 1.47     |          |
| L3D2                            | 1.56                | 1.60     |          |
| S.E N±                          | 0.028               | 0.049    |          |
| C.D. at 5%                      | 0.077               | NS       |          |
| Interaction (T x D)             |                     |          |          |
| T1D1                            | 1.42                | 1.51     |          |
| T1D2                            | 1.59                | 1.65     |          |
| T2D1                            | 1.43                | 1.50     |          |
| T2D2                            | 1.35                | 1.41     |          |
| T3D1                            | 1.39                | 1.43     |          |
| T3D2                            | 1.45                | 1.49     |          |
| S.E N±                          | 0.028               | 0.049    |          |
| C.D. at 5%                      | 0.077               | 0.13     |          |
| Interaction (L x T) | 60DAP | 90DAP |
|--------------------|-------|-------|
| T₁L₁               | 1.42  | 1.45  |
| T₁ L₂              | 1.46  | 1.55  |
| T₁ L₃              | 1.62  | 1.74  |
| T₂ L₁              | 1.32  | 1.52  |
| T₂ L₂              | 1.43  | 1.44  |
| T₂ L₃              | 1.41  | 1.40  |
| T₃ L₁              | 1.37  | 1.42  |
| T₃ L₂              | 1.35  | 1.48  |
| T₃ L₃              | 1.53  | 1.47  |
| S.E N±             | 0.034 | 0.060 |
| C.D. at 5%         | NS    | 0.16  |

| Interaction (T x D x L) |       |       |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|
| T₁D₁L₁                  | 1.34  | 1.41  |
| T₁D₁L₂                  | 1.44  | 1.60  |
| T₁D₁L₃                  | 1.47  | 1.53  |
| T₁D₂L₁                  | 1.51  | 1.49  |
| T₁D₂L₂                  | 1.49  | 1.50  |
| T₁D₂L₃                  | 1.77  | 1.95  |
| T₂D₁L₁                  | 1.44  | 1.60  |
| T₂D₁L₂                  | 1.40  | 1.42  |
| T₂D₁L₃                  | 1.44  | 1.47  |
| T₂D₂L₁                  | 1.20  | 1.43  |
| T₂D₂L₂                  | 1.46  | 1.45  |
| T₂D₂L₃                  | 1.38  | 1.33  |
| T₃D₁L₁                  | 1.31  | 1.34  |
| T₃D₁L₂                  | 1.32  | 1.51  |
| T₃D₁L₃                  | 1.53  | 1.43  |
| T₃D₂L₁                  | 1.44  | 1.50  |
| T₃D₂L₂                  | 1.38  | 1.46  |
| T₃D₂L₃                  | 1.52  | 1.51  |
| S.E N±                 | 0.048 | 0.085 |
| C.D. at 5%             | NS    | 0.23  |

**Treatment details**

- D₁-Diameter 1 cm
- L₁- Length 10 cm
- T₁- 3000 ppm IBA
- L₂- Length 15 cm
- T₂- 4000 ppm IBA
- D₂-Diameter 1.5 cm
- L₃- Length 20 cm
- T₃- 5000 ppm IBA
Table 6: Effect of cutting size and IBA concentration on shoot weight of pomegranate cuttings

| Treatments                      | Fresh weight of shoot (g) | Dry weight of shoot (g) |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
|                                 | 60DAP                     | 90DAP                   |
| Diameter of cutting (D)         |                           |                         |
| D1                              | 4.54                      | 1.22                    |
| D2                              | 5.84                      | 1.74                    |
| S.E N±                          | 0.029                     | 0.058                   |
| C.D. at 5%                      | 0.082                     | 0.16                    |
| Length of cutting (L)           |                           |                         |
| L1                              | 4.02                      | 1.01                    |
| L2                              | 5.05                      | 1.52                    |
| L3                              | 6.10                      | 1.99                    |
| S.E N±                          | 0.036                     | 0.072                   |
| C.D. at 5%                      | 0.10                      | 0.19                    |
| IBA concentration (T)           |                           |                         |
| T1                              | 5.91                      | 1.76                    |
| T2                              | 5.51                      | 1.46                    |
| T3                              | 5.65                      | 1.51                    |
| S.E N±                          | 0.036                     | 0.072                   |
| C.D. at 5%                      | 0.10                      | 0.19                    |
| Interaction (L x D)             |                           |                         |
| L1D1                            | 4.02                      | 0.96                    |
| L2D1                            | 5.82                      | 1.30                    |
| L3D1                            | 6.79                      | 1.52                    |
| L1D2                            | 4.18                      | 1.06                    |
| L2D2                            | 5.08                      | 1.64                    |
| L3D2                            | 7.02                      | 1.96                    |
| S.E N±                          | 0.051                     | 0.10                    |
| C.D. at 5%                      | 0.14                      | 0.28                    |
| Interaction (T x D)             |                           |                         |
| T1D1                            | 5.74                      | 1.36                    |
| T1D2                            | 6.88                      | 1.86                    |
| T2D1                            | 5.77                      | 1.23                    |
| T2D2                            | 5.26                      | 1.39                    |
| T3D1                            | 5.12                      | 1.19                    |
| T3D2                            | 6.17                      | 1.41                    |
| S.E N±                          | 0.051                     | 0.10                    |
| C.D. at 5%                      | 0.14                      | 0.28                    |
| Interaction (L x T) | 60DAP | 90DAP |
|-------------------|-------|-------|
| T<sub>1</sub>L<sub>1</sub> | 4.11  | 1.04  |
| T<sub>1</sub> L<sub>2</sub> | 5.82  | 1.81  |
| T<sub>1</sub> L<sub>3</sub> | 6.80  | 2.42  |
| T<sub>2</sub> L<sub>1</sub> | 4.72  | 0.65  |
| T<sub>2</sub> L<sub>2</sub> | 5.53  | 2.12  |
| T<sub>2</sub> L<sub>3</sub> | 6.29  | 1.51  |
| T<sub>3</sub> L<sub>1</sub> | 5.24  | 1.34  |
| T<sub>3</sub> L<sub>2</sub> | 5.50  | 1.13  |
| T<sub>3</sub> L<sub>3</sub> | 6.13  | 1.44  |
| S.E N±           | 0.063 | 0.12  |
| C.D. at 5%       | 0.17  | 0.34  |

| Interaction (T x D x L) |         |       |
|-------------------------|---------|-------|
| T<sub>1</sub>D<sub>1</sub>L<sub>1</sub> | 5.59    | 1.52  |
| T<sub>1</sub>D<sub>1</sub>L<sub>2</sub> | 6.06    | 1.99  |
| T<sub>1</sub>D<sub>1</sub>L<sub>3</sub> | 5.57    | 1.76  |
| T<sub>1</sub>D<sub>2</sub>L<sub>1</sub> | 4.63    | 0.56  |
| T<sub>1</sub>D<sub>2</sub>L<sub>2</sub> | 5.58    | 1.64  |
| T<sub>1</sub>D<sub>2</sub>L<sub>3</sub> | 8.07    | 3.07  |
| T<sub>2</sub>D<sub>1</sub>L<sub>1</sub> | 4.37    | 2.06  |
| T<sub>2</sub>D<sub>1</sub>L<sub>2</sub> | 6.67    | 2.13  |
| T<sub>2</sub>D<sub>1</sub>L<sub>3</sub> | 6.28    | 2.15  |
| T<sub>2</sub>D<sub>2</sub>L<sub>1</sub> | 4.07    | 1.00  |
| T<sub>2</sub>D<sub>2</sub>L<sub>2</sub> | 5.40    | 2.31  |
| T<sub>2</sub>D<sub>2</sub>L<sub>3</sub> | 6.31    | 2.07  |
| T<sub>3</sub>D<sub>1</sub>L<sub>1</sub> | 5.12    | 1.06  |
| T<sub>3</sub>D<sub>1</sub>L<sub>2</sub> | 4.74    | 0.67  |
| T<sub>3</sub>D<sub>1</sub>L<sub>3</sub> | 5.52    | 1.84  |
| T<sub>3</sub>D<sub>2</sub>L<sub>1</sub> | 5.37    | 1.61  |
| T<sub>3</sub>D<sub>2</sub>L<sub>2</sub> | 6.27    | 1.59  |
| T<sub>3</sub>D<sub>2</sub>L<sub>3</sub> | 6.89    | 2.04  |
| S.E N±           | 0.089   | 0.17  |
| CD at 5%         | 0.24    | 0.48  |

**Treatment details**

| D<sub>1</sub>-Diameter 1 cm | L<sub>1</sub>-Length 10 cm | T<sub>1</sub>-3000 ppm IBA |
| D<sub>2</sub>-Diameter 1.5 cm | L<sub>2</sub>-Length 15 cm | T<sub>2</sub>-4000 ppm IBA |
| D<sub>3</sub>-Diameter 2 cm  | L<sub>3</sub>-Length 20 cm  | T<sub>3</sub>-5000 ppm IBA |
Table 7 Effect of cutting size and IBA concentration on shoot to root ratio of pomegranate cuttings

| Treatments                                | Shoot to root ratio |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------------|
|                                           | 90DAP               |
| Diameter of cutting (D)                   |                     |
| D1                                        | 1.14                |
| D2                                        | 1.45                |
| S.E N±                                     | 0.045               |
| C.D. at 5%                                 | 0.12                |
| Length of cutting (L)                     |                     |
| L1                                        | 1.01                |
| L2                                        | 1.09                |
| L3                                        | 1.36                |
| S.E N±                                     | 0.055               |
| C.D. at 5%                                 | 0.15                |
| IBA concentration (T)                     |                     |
| T1                                        | 1.23                |
| T2                                        | 1.11                |
| T3                                        | 1.02                |
| S.E N±                                     | 0.055               |
| C.D. at 5%                                 | 0.15                |
| Interaction (L x D)                        |                     |
| L1D1                                      | 0.98                |
| L2D1                                      | 1.11                |
| L3D1                                      | 1.23                |
| L1D2                                      | 1.05                |
| L2D2                                      | 1.19                |
| L3D2                                      | 1.49                |
| S.E N±                                     | 0.078               |
| C.D. at 5%                                 | 0.21                |
| Interaction (T x D)                        |                     |
| T1D1                                      | 1.17                |
| T1D2                                      | 1.29                |
| T2D1                                      | 1.10                |
| T2D2                                      | 1.15                |
| T3D1                                      | 0.95                |
| T3D2                                      | 1.10                |
| S.E N±                                     | 0.078               |
| C.D. at 5%                                 | 0.21                |
| Interaction (L x T) | 90DAP |
|---------------------|-------|
| T1L1                | 1.08  |
| T1 L2               | 1.20  |
| T1 L3               | 1.42  |
| T2 L1               | 1.11  |
| T2 L2               | 1.01  |
| T3 L3               | 1.20  |
| T3 L1               | 0.85  |
| T3 L2               | 1.06  |
| T3 L3               | 1.17  |
| S.E N±              | 0.096 |
| C.D. at 5%          | 0.26  |

| Interaction (T x D x L) |   |
|-------------------------|---|
| T1D1L1                  | 0.86 |
| T1D1L2                  | 1.12 |
| T1D1L3                  | 1.24 |
| T1D2L1                  | 1.31 |
| T1D2L2                  | 1.27 |
| T1D2L3                  | 1.45 |
| T2D1L1                  | 1.27 |
| T2D1L2                  | 1.20 |
| T2D1L3                  | 1.13 |
| T2D2L1                  | 0.95 |
| T2D2L2                  | 1.01 |
| T2D2L3                  | 1.15 |
| T3D1L1                  | 0.82 |
| T3D1L2                  | 1.02 |
| T3D1L3                  | 1.05 |
| T3D2L1                  | 0.89 |
| T3D2L2                  | 1.10 |
| T3D2L3                  | 1.33 |
| S.E N±                  | 0.13 |
| C.D. at 5%              | 0.37 |

### Treatment details

| D1-Diameter 1 cm | L1- Length 10 cm | T1- 3000 ppm IBA |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| D2-Diameter 1.5 cm | L2- Length 15 cm | T2- 4000 ppm IBA |
| D3-Diameter 2 cm | L3- Length 20 cm | T3- 5000 ppm IBA |
Table 8. Effect of cutting size and IBA concentration on number of leaves per cutting in pomegranate

| Treatments             | Number of leaves per cutting |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                        | 30DAP | 60DAP | 90DAP |
| Diameter of cutting (D)|       |       |       |
| D1                     | 21.34 | 36.41 | 48.49 |
| D2                     | 23.85 | 37.87 | 50.38 |
| S.E N±                 | 0.74  | 1.11  | 1.64  |
| C.D. at 5%             | 2.06  | NS    | NS    |
| Length of cutting (L)  |       |       |       |
| L1                     | 21.42 | 34.12 | 49.08 |
| L2                     | 20.35 | 36.53 | 48.65 |
| L3                     | 26.02 | 40.77 | 50.57 |
| S.E N±                 | 0.91  | 1.36  | 2.01  |
| C.D. at 5%             | 2.53  | 3.77  | NS    |
| IBA concentration (T)  |       |       |       |
| T1                     | 24.64 | 42.50 | 59.25 |
| T2                     | 19.31 | 34.25 | 45.16 |
| T3                     | 21.84 | 37.67 | 50.78 |
| S.E N±                 | 0.91  | 1.36  | 2.01  |
| C.D. at 5%             | 2.53  | 3.77  | 5.57  |
| Interaction (L x D)    |       |       |       |
| L1D1                   | 20.51 | 35.17 | 50.51 |
| L2D1                   | 19.46 | 36.04 | 41.66 |
| L3D1                   | 23.04 | 38.02 | 49.31 |
| L1D2                   | 22.33 | 33.06 | 47.66 |
| L2D2                   | 20.24 | 37.02 | 44.64 |
| L3D2                   | 29.00 | 43.53 | 58.84 |
| S.E N±                 | 1.29  | 1.93  | 1.25  |
| C.D. at 5%             | 3.58  | 5.34  | 3.75  |
| Interaction (T x D)    |       |       |       |
| T1D1                   | 25.11 | 38.64 | 46.95 |
| T1D2                   | 29.17 | 43.35 | 57.77 |
| T2D1                   | 22.33 | 34.93 | 43.91 |
| T2D2                   | 23.28 | 35.57 | 48.42 |
| T3D1                   | 19.57 | 32.66 | 41.62 |
| T3D2                   | 24.11 | 37.68 | 45.95 |
| S.E N±                 | 1.29  | 1.93  | 1.84  |
| C.D. at 5%             | 3.58  | 5.34  | 5.45  |
### Interaction (L x T)

|        | 30DAP    | 60DAP    | 90DAP    |
|--------|----------|----------|----------|
| T₁L₁   | 25.60    | 36.93    | 49.26    |
| T₁L₂   | 27.60    | 38.36    | 47.70    |
| T₁L₃   | 30.73    | 49.20    | 60.13    |
| T₂L₁   | 22.06    | 33.16    | 51.56    |
| T₂L₂   | 19.23    | 34.20    | 46.96    |
| T₂L₃   | 25.63    | 38.40    | 44.96    |
| T₃L₁   | 23.60    | 36.26    | 49.43    |
| T₃L₂   | 24.23    | 37.03    | 50.30    |
| T₃L₃   | 26.70    | 40.73    | 44.63    |
| S.E N± | 1.58     | 2.36     | 3.48     |
| C.D. at 5% | 4.38 | 6.54     | 9.65     |

### Interaction (T x D x L)

|        | 30DAP    | 60DAP    | 90DAP    |
|--------|----------|----------|----------|
| T₁D₁L₁ | 23.20    | 35.46    | 44.60    |
| T₁D₁L₂ | 24.30    | 40.40    | 46.33    |
| T₁D₁L₃ | 26.51    | 40.06    | 55.93    |
| T₁D₂L₁ | 23.00    | 38.40    | 49.93    |
| T₁D₂L₂ | 20.20    | 36.33    | 50.06    |
| T₁D₂L₃ | 36.33    | 48.33    | 68.33    |
| T₂D₁L₁ | 22.46    | 35.00    | 52.46    |
| T₂D₁L₂ | 18.26    | 33.40    | 41.93    |
| T₂D₁L₃ | 23.26    | 37.40    | 46.33    |
| T₂D₂L₁ | 21.66    | 31.33    | 47.66    |
| T₂D₂L₂ | 24.20    | 36.00    | 54.00    |
| T₂D₂L₃ | 27.00    | 39.40    | 43.60    |
| T₃D₁L₁ | 19.86    | 38.06    | 51.46    |
| T₃D₁L₂ | 20.13    | 35.33    | 48.73    |
| T₃D₁L₃ | 23.73    | 36.60    | 46.66    |
| T₃D₂L₁ | 23.33    | 37.46    | 45.40    |
| T₃D₂L₂ | 25.33    | 38.73    | 51.86    |
| T₃D₂L₃ | 28.66    | 42.86    | 43.60    |
| S.E N± | 2.24     | 2.34     | 4.93     |
| C.D. at 5% | 6.20 | 6.25     | 13.65    |

### Treatment details

| D₁-Diameter 1 cm | L₁- Length 10 cm | T₁- 3000 ppm IBA |
|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| D₂-Diameter 1.5 cm | L₂- Length 15 cm | T₂- 4000 ppm IBA |
| L₃- Length 20 cm | T₃- 5000 ppm IBA |
Table 9 Effect of cutting size and IBA concentration on leaf area of pomegranate cuttings

| Treatments               | Leaf area (cm²) |
|--------------------------|-----------------|
|                          | 90DAP           |
| Diameter of cutting (D)  |                 |
| D₁                       | 31.57           |
| D₂                       | 36.86           |
| S.E N±                   | 0.19            |
| C.D. at 5%               | 0.53            |
| Length of cutting (L)    |                 |
| L₁                       | 34.04           |
| L₂                       | 36.09           |
| L₃                       | 38.36           |
| S.E N±                   | 0.23            |
| C.D. at 5%               | 0.65            |
| IBA concentration (T)    |                 |
| T₁                       | 36.06           |
| T₂                       | 35.64           |
| T₃                       | 34.15           |
| S.E N±                   | 0.23            |
| C.D. at 5%               | 0.65            |
| Interaction (L x D)      |                 |
| L₁D₁                     | 34.06           |
| L₂D₁                     | 35.43           |
| L₃D₁                     | 36.93           |
| L₁D₂                     | 34.02           |
| L₂D₂                     | 36.75           |
| L₃D₂                     | 39.80           |
| S.E N±                   | 0.33            |
| C.D. at 5%               | 0.92            |
| Interaction (T x D)      |                 |
| T₁D₁                     | 35.36           |
| T₁D₂                     | 36.76           |
| T₂D₁                     | 35.66           |
| T₂D₂                     | 38.69           |
| T₃D₁                     | 33.40           |
| T₃D₂                     | 35.12           |
| S.E N±                   | 0.33            |
| C.D. at 5%               | 0.92            |
Interaction effect of (L×D)

Significantly maximum number of branches (4.22) was observed in interaction of L3D2 i.e. (length 20 cm and 1.5 cm diameter), and minimum number of branches (2.55) was observed in L1D2 i.e. (length 10 cm and 1.5 cm diameter).

Interaction effect of (T×L)

The interaction effect of length of cutting and IBA concentration on number of branches was significant.

The interaction of T1L3 i.e. (IBA 3000 ppm and 20 cm length) was recorded significantly maximum number of branches (4.66) which was statistically at par with interactions of T2L3 (4.16). However, minimum number of branches (3.00) was observed in interaction of T3D1.
branches per cutting (2.30) was observed in T3L1, \textit{i.e.} (IBA 5000 ppm and 10 cm length).

**Interaction effect of (T×D×L)**

The interaction of T1D2L3 recorded significantly maximum number of branches (5.13) which was statistically at par with interactions of T2D2L3 (4.33) whereas minimum number of branches per cuttings (2.13) was observed in T3D2L1.

**Number of branches per cutting at 90DAP**

Data regarding number of branches per cuttings at survival stage (90 DAP) as influenced by diameter of cutting (D), length of cutting (L), IBA concentrations (T) and their interactions are presented in Table 3.

**Effect of cutting diameter (D)**

The data do not show significant effect of cutting diameter on number of branches per cutting.

**Effect of cutting length (L)**

The perusal of data in Table 4 reveals that, significantly maximum number of branches per cutting (4.22) was recorded in L3 \textit{i.e.} (20 cm length) and minimum (3.17) were observed in L1 \textit{i.e.} (10 cm length) at 90 DAP. This might be due to highest branches at 30 DAP and 60 DAP. Significantly highest leaf area was available for photosynthesis and food material which helps to increase number of branches at survival. Similar results were also reported by Jadhav \textit{et al.}, (2003) and Devi \textit{et al.}, (2016).

**IBA concentration (T)**

Significantly maximum number of branches for pomegranate cuttings (4.12) at 90 DAP was observed in T1 \textit{i.e.} (IBA 3000 ppm) and minimum number of branches (3.15) were observed in of T3 \textit{i.e.} (IBA 5000 ppm). This might be due to vigorous root system which increased nutrient uptake under the combined influence of IBA and PHB application.

It affected the cell division in the vascular cambium, cell expansion and control of differentiation into different types of cambial resulting in increase in number of shoots. Devi \textit{et al.}, (2016)

**Interaction effect of (L×D)**

Significantly maximum number of branches per cutting (4.53) was observed in interaction of L3D2 \textit{i.e.} (length 20 cm and 1.5 cm diameter), however minimum number of branches (3.08) was observed in interaction of L1D2 \textit{i.e.} (length 10 cm and 1.5 cm diameter) at 90 DAP.

**Interaction effect of (T×D)**

Significantly maximum number of branches per cutting (4.48) was observed in interaction of T1D2 \textit{i.e.} (IBA 3000 ppm and 1.5 cm diameter) and minimum number of branches (3.11) was observed in interaction of T3D1 \textit{i.e.} (IBA 5000 ppm and 1 cm diameter) at 90 DAP.

**Interaction effect of (T×L)**

The interaction effect of length of cutting and IBA concentration on number of branches shows significant variation.

The interaction of T1L3 \textit{i.e.} (IBA 3000 ppm and 20 cm length) was recorded significantly highest number of branches (5.16) per cutting which was statistically at par with interaction of T2L3 (4.03), while lowest number of branches (2.83) was observed in interaction of T3L1 \textit{i.e.} (IBA 4000 ppm and 1 cm diameter).
However, interaction of IBA, diameter and length of cuttings (TxDxL) on number of branches per cutting was found to be non significant.

**Shoot length (cm) at 60 DAP**

It is evident from the data that shoot length at 60 DAP of pomegranate cuttings as influenced by diameter of cutting (D), length of cutting (L), IBA concentrations (T) and their interaction are presented in Table 4, effect of cutting diameter on shoot length was found to be non significant.

**Effect of cutting length (L)**

The data presented in Table 4 reveals that, significantly highest shoot length (15.08 cm) was recorded in L3 i.e. (20 cm length) and lowest (12.91 cm) was observed in L2 i.e. (15 cm length).

This might be due to better physiological maturity and higher amount of reserve food materials in the cuttings. Pooja *et al.*, (2013).

**IBA concentration (T)**

Significantly maximum shoot length (15.38 cm) was observed in treatment T1 i.e. (IBA 3000 ppm) and minimum shoot length (12.86 cm) was recorded in treatment T3 i.e. (IBA 5000 ppm). This might be due to higher cell activity, more synthesized food material and photosynthates (Kamboj, 2017).

**Interaction effect of (LxD)**

According to data regarding shoot length significant variation was observed, maximum shoot length (15.77 cm) was recorded in interaction of L3D2 i.e. (length 15 cm and 1.5 cm diameter) while minimum shoot length (12.35 cm) was observed in interaction of L2D1 i.e. (length 15 cm and 1 cm diameter).

**Interaction effect of (TxD)**

Significantly highest shoot length (16.86 cm) was recorded with interaction of T1D2 i.e. (IBA 3000 ppm and 1.5 cm diameter) and lowest shoot length (12.57 cm) was measured in treatment combination of T3D1 i.e. (IBA 5000 ppm and 1 cm diameter).

**Interaction effect of (TxL)**

The interaction effect of length of cutting and IBA concentration on shoot length shows significant variation. The interaction of T1L3 i.e. (IBA 3000 ppm and 20 cm length) was recorded significantly maximum shoot length (16.23 cm) and minimum shoot length (11.23 cm) was observed in interaction of T3L2.

**Interaction effect of (TxDxL)**

The interaction of IBA concentrations, diameter and length of cuttings on shoot length was found significant. The interaction of T1D2L3 recorded significantly maximum shoot length (18.80 cm), which was followed by interactions of T1D2L2 (16.06 cm) and T1D2L1 (15.73 cm). Contrary to this, minimum shoot length (11.20 cm) was measured under interaction of T3D1L2.

**Shoot length (cm) at 90DAP**

The effect of diameter of cutting (D), length of cutting (L), IBA concentrations (T) and their interaction on shoot length at survival (90DAP) of pomegranate cuttings are presented in Table 4, effect of cutting diameter on shoot length was statistically non significant.

**Effect of cutting length (L)**

Significantly maximum shoot length (18.26 cm) was recorded in treatment L3 i.e. (20 cm length) and minimum shoot length
(16.54 cm) was observed in L₁ i.e. (10 cm length). This might be due to better physiological maturity and higher amount of reserve food materials in the cuttings (Pooja et al., 2013).

**IBA concentration (T)**

Maximum shoot length (18.25 cm) per cutting was observed in treatment T₁ i.e. application of IBA 3000 ppm which was followed by T₂ (17.71 cm), and minimum shoot length (16.48 cm) was recorded in T₃ i.e. IBA 3000 ppm. This might be due to higher cell activity, more synthesized food material and photosynthates (Kamboj, 2017).

**Interaction effect of (L×D)**

According to analysed of data regarding shoot length, significant variation was observed in interaction between the length and diameter of cutting. Significantly maximum shoot length (19.17 cm) was recorded in interaction of L₃D₂ i.e. (length 20 cm and 1.5 cm diameter) and minimum shoot length (16.28 cm) was observed in interaction of L₁D₁ i.e. (length 10 cm and 1 cm diameter).

**Interaction effect of (T×D)**

Significantly maximum shoot length (19.40 cm) was recorded with interaction of T₁D₂ i.e. (IBA 3000 ppm and 1.5 cm diameter) which was followed by T₂D₁ (18.33 cm) and T₁D₁ (17.11 cm), and minimum shoot length (15.97 cm) was observed in treatment combination of T₃D₁ i.e. (IBA 5000 ppm and 1.5 cm diameter).

**Interaction effect of (T×L)**

The interaction effect of length of cutting and IBA concentration on shoot length shows significant variation. The interaction T₁L₃ i.e. (IBA 3000 ppm and 20 cm length) was recorded significantly maximum shoot length (20.20 cm) which was statistically at par with interactions of T₁L₂ (18.70 cm) and T₂L₂ (17.90 cm) however, minimum shoot length (15.86 cm) was observed in interaction of T₁L₁.

**Interaction effect of (T×D×L)**

The interaction of IBA concentrations, diameter and length of cuttings show significant variation on shoot length of cutting. The interaction of T₁D₂L₃ was recorded maximum shoot length (21.93 cm) which was followed by the interactions of T₁D₁L₂ (19.06 cm) and T₂D₁L₁ (18.60 cm) whereas minimum shoot length (13.80 cm) was measured under interaction of T₁D₁L₁.

**Shoot diameter (mm) at 60 DAP**

Effect of cutting diameter (D), length of cutting (L), IBA concentrations (T) and their interaction on shoot diameter at 60 DAP of pomegranate cuttings are presented in Table 5, effect of cutting diameter on shoot diameter was found to be non significant.

**Effect of cutting length (L)**

Significantly maximum shoot diameter (1.52 mm) was recorded in treatment L₃ i.e. (20 cm length) and minimum shoot diameter (1.37 mm) was observed in L₁ i.e. (10 cm length). This might be due to higher cell activity, highest number of branches, leaf area, more synthesized food material and photosynthesis.

Similarly, more shoot diameter attributed to more roots which helps to absorb more nutrients from media and helped in better root and shoot development, there by resulting in better shoots with more shoot diameter. Similar results were also reported by Shukla et al., (2010), Devi et al., (2016) and Kamboj et al., (2017).
IBA concentration (T)

Maximum shoot diameter (1.50 mm) was observed in treatment T$_1$ i.e. (IBA 3000 ppm) and minimum shoot diameter (1.39 mm) was recorded in treatment T$_3$ i.e. (IBA 5000 ppm). This might be attributed to more number of roots because auxin favoured cell division and their elongation and helped in better root development thereby resulting in better shoots with more shoot diameter (Devi et al., 2016).

Interaction effect of (L×D)

According to data regarding shoot diameter, significant variation between the length and diameter was observed. Maximum shoot diameter (1.56 mm) was recorded in interaction of L$_3$D$_2$ i.e. (length 20 cm and 1.5 cm diameter) and minimum shoot diameter (1.36 mm) was observed in interaction of L$_1$D$_1$ i.e. (length 10 cm and 1 cm diameter).

Interaction effect of (T×L)

The interaction effect of length of cutting and IBA concentration on shoot diameter shows significant variations. The interaction T$_1$L$_3$ i.e. (IBA 3000 ppm and 20 cm length) was recorded significantly maximum shoot diameter (1.74 mm) which was statistically at par with the interactions of T$_1$L$_2$ (1.55 mm), T$_2$L$_1$ (1.52 mm). However, minimum shoot diameter (1.40 mm) was observed in T$_2$L$_3$.

Interaction effect of (T×D×L)

The interaction of IBA concentrations, diameter and length of cuttings on shoot diameter was found to be significant. The interaction T$_1$D$_2$L$_3$ recorded significantly maximum shoot diameter (1.95 mm) which was followed by T$_1$D$_1$L$_2$ (1.60 mm), T$_1$D$_1$L$_3$ (1.53 mm) and minimum shoot diameter (1.33 mm) was measured under interaction of T$_2$D$_2$L$_3$.

Shoot diameter (mm) at 90DAP

The shoot diameter at survival (90DAP) of pomegranate cuttings was influenced by diameter of cutting (D), length of cutting (L), IBA concentrations (T) and their interactions are presented in Table 5. Effect of cutting diameter (D), length (L), IBA concentration (T) and interaction of (L×D) on shoot diameter at 90 DAP was found to be non significant.

Interaction effect of (T×D)

Significantly maximum shoot diameter (1.65 mm) was recorded with interaction of T$_1$D$_2$ i.e. (IBA 3000 ppm and 1.5 cm diameter) which was followed by T$_1$D$_1$ (1.51 mm), T$_2$D$_1$ (1.50 mm), and minimum shoot diameter (1.41 mm) was observed in interaction of T$_2$D$_2$ at 90 DAP.

Interaction effect of (T×L)

The interaction effect of length of cutting and IBA concentration on shoot diameter shows significant variation. The interaction T$_1$L$_3$ i.e. (IBA 3000 ppm and 20 cm length) was recorded significantly maximum shoot diameter (1.74 mm) which was statistically at par with the interactions of T$_1$L$_2$ (1.55 mm), T$_2$L$_1$ (1.52 mm). However, minimum shoot diameter (1.40 mm) was observed in T$_2$L$_3$.

The increase in shoot diameter might be due to higher cell activity, more synthesized food material and photosynthesis. Similarly, more shoot diameter at 60 DAP attributed to more roots and helped in better root development thereby resulting in better shoots with more shoot diameter. Similar results were also reported by Shukla et al., (2010), Devi et al., (2016) and Kamboj et al., (2017).
**Weight of fresh shoot (g)**

Data regarding weight of fresh shoot of pomegranate cuttings as influenced by diameter of cutting (D), length of cutting (L), IBA concentrations (T) and their interaction are presented in Table 6.

**Effect of cutting diameter (D)**

Significantly maximum weight of fresh shoot (5.84g) was recorded in D2 i.e. (1.5cm) and minimum weight of fresh shoot (4.54g) was observed in D1 i.e. (1cm). This might be due to early sprouting, maximum growth of shoot which accumulate maximum fresh matter and ultimately maximum weight of fresh shoot. Zhang et al., (2010)

**Effect of cutting length (L)**

The results clearly indicated that, highest weight of fresh shoot (6.10g) was recorded with L3 i.e. (20cm) and lowest weight of fresh shoot (4.02g) in L1 i.e. (10cm length). This might be due to early sprouting and maximum shoots per cutting which play an important role in photosynthesis and hence maximum weight of fresh shoot was recorded by these treatments. Similar results are in accordance with the findings of Stancato et al., (2003), Shukla et al., (2010) and Singh and Singh (2012).

**IBA concentration (T)**

There was significant variation in the application of IBA concentration. Data regarding weight of fresh shoot per cutting was recorded maximum (5.91g) in treatment T1 i.e. (3000 ppm IBA) and minimum (5.51g) was observed in T2 i.e. (IBA 4000 ppm). Maximum shoot weight might be due to the fact that auxin is for initiation and growth of shoots. This might also be due to the reserved food within the cuttings. Kamboj (2017)

**Interaction effect of (L×D)**

The interaction of length and diameter of cutting shows significant effect on weight of fresh shoot. The result came out from data showed that, highest weight of fresh shoot (7.02g) was recorded in interaction of L3D2 i.e. (length 20 cm and 1.5 cm diameter). However, lowest weight of fresh shoot (4.02) was observed in L1D1 i.e. (length 10 cm and 1 cm diameter).

**Interaction effect of (T×D)**

Significantly highest weight of fresh shoot (6.88g) was recorded in interaction of T1D2 i.e. (IBA 3000ppm and 1.5 cm diameter), which was followed by T3D2 (6.17g), T3D1(5.77g) and lowest weight of fresh shoot (1.19g) was observed in interaction of T3D1. i.e. (IBA 5000ppm and 1 cm diameter).

**Interaction effect of (T×L)**

The interaction effect of length of cutting and IBA concentration on weight of fresh shoot shows significant variation. The interaction of T1L3 i.e. (IBA 3000ppm and 20 cm length) was recorded significantly maximum weight of fresh shoot (6.80g), which was statistically at par with interactions of T3L3 (6.29g) and T3L1 (6.13g), and minimum weight of fresh shoot (5.12g) was observed in T3L1. i.e. (IBA 5000ppm and 10 cm length). However, weight of fresh shoot was found to be non significant as influenced by IBA concentrations, diameter and length of cutting.

**Weight of dry shoot (g)**

Effect of cutting diameter (D), length of cutting (L), IBA concentrations (T) and their interactions on weight of dry shoot presented in Table 6.
Effect of cutting diameter (D)

From data in Table 6 it was observed that, maximum weight of dry shoot (1.74g) was recorded in D₂ i.e. (1.5 cm diameter) and minimum weight of dry shoot (1.22g) was observed in D₁ i.e. (1 cm diameter).

This might be due to early sprouting, maximum growth of shoot which accumulate maximum dry matter and ultimately maximum weight of dry shoot. In general cutting diameter, length affect directly on weight of fresh and dry shoot. These results are similar to the findings of Hoad and Leakey (1996), Kaur and Kaur (2016) and Kamboj et al., (2017).

Effect of cutting length (L)

Significantly maximum weight of dry shoot (1.99g) was recorded with L₃ i.e. (20 cm length) and minimum weight of dry shoot (1.01g) was observed in L₁ i.e. (10 cm length).

IBA concentration (T)

Maximum weight of dry shoot (1.76g) was observed in T₁ i.e. (IBA 3000 ppm) and minimum weight of dry shoot (1.46g) was observed in T₂ i.e. (IBA 4000 ppm). Significantly maximum weight of dry shoots might be due to the fact that auxin is for initiation and growth of shoots. This might also be due to the reserved food within the cuttings (Kamboj, 2017).

Interaction effect of (L×D)

The interaction effect of length and diameter of cutting shows significant variation on weight of dry shoot. Maximum weight of dry shoot (1.96g) was observed in interaction of L₃D₂ i.e. (length 20 cm and 1.5 cm diameter) and minimum weight of dry shoot (0.96g) was observed in interaction of L₁D₁ i.e. (length 10 cm and 1 cm diameter).

Interaction effect of (T×D)

Significantly highest weight of dry shoot (1.86g) was observed in interaction of T₁D₂ i.e. (IBA 3000 ppm and 1.5 cm diameter) which was followed by T₃D₂ (1.41g) and T₂D₂ (1.39g), and lowest weight of dry shoot (1.19g) was observed in interaction of T₃D₁ i.e. (IBA 5000 ppm and 1 cm diameter).

Interaction effect of (T×L)

The interaction effect of length of cutting and IBA concentration on weight of dry shoot shows significant variation. Interaction of T₁L₃ i.e. (IBA 3000 ppm and 20 cm length) was recorded significantly maximum weight of dry shoot (2.42g), which was statistically at par with interactions of T₂L₂ (2.12g), T₁L₂ (1.81g) and minimum weight of dry shoot (0.65g) was observed in T₂L₁ i.e. (IBA 4000 ppm and 10 cm length).

However, the interaction effect of IBA concentrations, diameter and length of cutting (T×D×L) was found to be non significant.

Shoot to root ratio at 90DAP

Data regarding shoot to root ratio of pomegranate cutting as influenced by diameter of cutting (D), length of cutting (L), IBA concentrations (T) and their interaction are presented in Table 7.

Effect of cutting diameter (D)

Significantly highest shoot to root ratio (1.45) was recorded in D₂ i.e. (1.5 cm diameter) and lowest shoot to root ratio (1.14) was observed in D₁ i.e. (1 cm diameter).

Effect of cutting length (L)

Among the length of cutting, highest shoot to
root ratio (1.36) was recorded in treatment L₃ i.e. (20 cm length), and lowest shoot to root ratio (1.01) was found in L₁ i.e. (10 cm length).

**IBA concentration (T)**

With respect to IBA concentrations, significantly highest shoot to root ratio per cutting (1.23) was recorded in treatment T₁ i.e. (IBA 3000 ppm) and lowest (1.02) in T₃ i.e. (IBA 5000 ppm).

**Interaction effect of (L×D)**

The interaction of length and diameter of cutting shows significant variation on shoot to root ratio. Highest shoot to root ratio (1.49) was recorded in interaction of L₃D₂ i.e. (length 20 cm and 1.5 cm diameter) and lowest shoot to root ratio (0.98) was observed in interaction of L₁D₁ i.e. (length 10 cm and 1 cm diameter). However, Interaction of IBA concentration and diameter of cutting was found to be non significant on shoot to root ratio.

**Interaction effect of (T×L)**

The interaction of IBA concentration and length of cutting shows significant variation on shoot to root ratio. Significantly highest shoot to root ratio (1.42) was recorded in interaction of T₁L₃ i.e. (IBA 3000 ppm and 20 cm length) and lowest shoot to root ratio (0.85) was observed in interaction of T₃L₁ i.e. (IBA 5000 ppm and 10 cm length). However, the interaction of IBA concentrations, diameter and length of cuttings (T×D×L) do not show significant variation on shoot to root ratio per cutting. In general maximum shoot to root ratio was observed in D₂, L₃, T₁, L₃D₂ and T₁L₃ due to maximum growth of shoot with available food material in early stage as compared to other treatments.

Significantly highest shoot to root ratio is due to early sprouting, root initiation and more food material is available for better growth and development of shoot and root. Similarly, IBA also induce early rooting and sprouting which helps to maximum growth of shoot and root and ultimately maximum shoot to root ratio. These results are in accordance with the findings of Hartmann *et al.*, (2002) and Kabir (2017).

**Number of leaves at 30DAP**

Effect of cutting diameter (D), length of cutting (L), IBA concentrations (T) and their interactions on number of leaves per cutting are presented in Table 8.

**Effect of cutting diameter (D)**

It is evident from the data that, diameter of cutting significantly affected on number of leaves per cutting. The number of leaves per cutting was highest (23.85) with treatment D₂ i.e. (1.5 cm diameter) and lowest number of leaves (21.34) was recorded in D₁ i.e. (1 cm diameter). This might be due to vigorous rooting system of the hardwood cuttings enabled to absorb more nutrients and produce more leaves. Okunlola and Ibironke (2013).

**Effect of cutting length (L)**

Significantly maximum number of leaves (26.02) was recorded in L₃ i.e. (20 cm length), and minimum number of leaves (20.35) was observed in L₂ i.e. (15 cm length). It might be due to optimum utilization of carbohydrate, sugars and photosynthates for the growth of the plant at early stages. Similar results were also recorded by Pooja *et al.*, (2013).

**Effect of cutting length (L)**

It is evident from the data that, diameter of cutting significantly affected on number of leaves per cutting. The number of leaves per cutting was highest (23.85) with treatment D₂ i.e. (1.5 cm diameter) and lowest number of leaves (21.34) was recorded in D₁ i.e. (1 cm diameter). This might be due to vigorous rooting system of the hardwood cuttings enabled to absorb more nutrients and produce more leaves. Okunlola and Ibironke (2013).

**IBA concentration (T)**

Maximum number of leaves (24.64) was recorded in treatment T₁ with application of IBA 3000 ppm and minimum number of leaves (21.31) was recorded in T₂ i.e. (IBA 3000 ppm).
This might be due to the vigorous rooting system which might be induced by IBA enabling the cuttings to absorb more nutrients and thereby producing more leaves (Stacato et al., 2003). These findings are in close conformity with the findings of Nicoloso et al., (2001) in Pfaffia glomerata and Jadhav et al., (2003) in Patchouli.

**Interaction effect of (L×D)**

Significantly maximum number of leaves (29.00) was recorded in interaction of L3D2 i.e. (length 20 cm and 1.5 cm diameter) and minimum number of leaves (20.24) was observed in L2D2 i.e. (length 15 cm and 1.5 cm diameter).

**Interaction effect of (T×D)**

Significantly highest number of leaves (26.17) was recorded with interaction of T1D2 i.e. (IBA 3000 ppm and 1.5 cm diameter) which was followed by T3D2 (24.11) and T1D1 (23.11) and minimum number of leaves (19.57) was observed in treatment combination of T3D1 i.e. (IBA 5000 ppm and 1 cm diameter).

**Interaction effect of (T×L)**

The interaction effect of length of cutting and IBA concentration on number of leaves shows significant variation. Interaction of T1L3 i.e. (IBA 3000 ppm and 20 cm length) was recorded maximum number of leaves (30.73) which was statistically at par with the interactions of T3L3 (24.70), T2L3 (22.63) and minimum number of leaves (19.23) was observed in T2L2 i.e. (IBA 4000 ppm and 15 cm length)

**Interaction effect of (T×D×L)**

The interaction of IBA concentrations, length and diameter of cuttings show significant variation on number of leaves per cutting. The interaction of T1D2L3 recorded significantly maximum number of leaves (36.33) which was statistically at par with the interactions of T3D2L3 (28.66), T1D1L3 (25.51) and minimum number of leaves (17.86) per cuttings was observed in interaction of T3D1L1. With respect to number of leaves per cutting at 30 DAP give significant variation. Treatment D2, L3, T1 and interactions of L3D2, T1D2, T1L3, and T1D2L3 recorded highest values.

**Number of leaves at 60 DAP**

The data regarding number of leaves per cutting at 60 DAP as influenced by diameter of cutting (D), length of cutting (L), IBA concentrations (T) and their interaction are presented in Table 8. It was evident from the data that, cutting diameter was non significant effect on number of leaves per cutting.

**Effect of cutting length (L)**

With respect to cutting length, significantly maximum number of leaves (40.77) was recorded in treatment L3 i.e. (20 cm length) and minimum (34.12) was found in L1 i.e. (10 cm length).

The highest number of leaves is associated with the number of sprouts as well as length of sprout per cutting at 60 DAP, which depends in hydrolysis of reserve food materials and proper shoot and root balance. (Kaur and Kaur 2016).

**IBA concentration (T)**

Significantly, maximum number of leaves (39.50) was observed in T1 application of IBA 3000 ppm and minimum number of leaves (35.25) was recorded in T2 i.e. (IBA 4000 ppm). This might be due to the vigorous rooting induced by IBA enabling the cuttings to absorb more nutrients and thereby producing more leaves, hence highest number of leaves were observed. These findings are in...
close conformity with the findings of Nicoloso et al., (2001) in Pfaffia and Jadhav et al., (2003) in Patchouli.

**Interaction effect of (L×D)**

With respect to interaction effect, maximum number of leaves (43.53) was recorded in interaction of L\(_3\)D\(_2\) *i.e.* (length 20 cm and 1.5 cm diameter) which was statistically at par with interactions of L\(_3\)D\(_1\) (38.02) and L\(_2\)D\(_2\) (37.02).

However, the minimum number of leaves (33.06) was observed in interaction of L\(_1\)D\(_2\) *i.e.* (length 10 cm and 1.5 cm diameter).

**Interaction effect of (T×D)**

Significantly, maximum number of leaves (40.35) was recorded with interaction of T\(_1\)D\(_2\) *i.e.* (IBA 3000 ppm and 1.5 cm diameter) which was followed by T\(_1\)D\(_1\) (38.64) and T\(_3\)D\(_2\) (37.68) and minimum number of leaves (34.93) was observed in treatment combination of T\(_3\)D\(_1\) *i.e.* (IBA 4000 ppm and 1.5 cm diameter).

**Interaction effect of (T×L)**

The interaction effect of length of cutting and IBA concentration on number of leaves shows significant variation. Significantly highest number of leaves (30.73) was recorded in interaction of T\(_1\)L\(_3\) *i.e.* (IBA 3000 ppm and 20 cm length) which was at par with the interactions of T\(_3\)L\(_3\) (24.70) and T\(_2\)L\(_3\) (22.63). However, minimum number of leaves (19.23) was observed in T\(_2\)L\(_2\) *i.e.* (IBA 4000 ppm and 15 cm length).

**Interaction effect of (T×D×L)**

Significantly maximum number of leaves (48.33) was recorded with the interaction T\(_1\)D\(_2\)L\(_3\) which was statistically at par with the interactions of T\(_1\)D\(_1\)L\(_2\) (40.40), T\(_1\)D\(_1\)L\(_3\) (40.06) and minimum number (31.33) for leaves per cuttings was observed in interaction of T\(_2\)D\(_2\)L\(_1\).

**Number of leaves at 90DAP**

Effect of cutting diameter (D), length of cutting (L), IBA concentrations (T) and their interaction on number of leaves per cutting are presented in Table 8. Effect of cutting diameter on number of leaves per cutting was found to be non significant.

**Effect of cutting length (L)**

Significantly highest number of leaves (26.02) was recorded in treatment L\(_3\) *i.e.* (20 cm length) and lowest number of leaves (20.35) per cutting was found in L\(_2\) *i.e.* (15 cm length). Significantly maximum number of leaves per cutting might be due to wood maturity of cutting which probably reserves high starch and sugar at initial stages (Pooja et al., 2013).

**IBA concentration (T)**

Significantly maximum number of leaves (24.64) was observed in treatment T\(_1\) *i.e.* application of IBA 3000 ppm which was followed by T\(_3\) (21.84) and minimum number of leaves (21.31) was recorded in treatment T\(_2\) *i.e.* (IBA 4000 ppm). This might be due to the vigorous rooting induced by IBA enabling the cuttings to absorb more nutrients and thereby producing more leaves, hence highest number of leaves were observed. These findings are in close conformity with the findings of Nicoloso et al., (2001) in Pfaffia and Jadhav et al., (2003) in Patchouli.

**Interaction effect of (L×D)**

According to data on number of leaves as influenced by interaction of the length and diameter of cutting was found to be...
significant. Significantly maximum number of leaves (29.00) were recorded in interaction of L₃D₂ i.e. (length 20 cm and 1.5 cm diameter), while minimum number of leaves (20.24) were observed in interaction of L₂D₂ i.e. (length 15 cm and 1.5 cm diameter).

Interaction effect of (T×D)

Significantly maximum number of leaves (26.17) were recorded with interaction of T₁D₂ i.e. (IBA 3000 ppm and 1.5 cm diameter), which was closely followed by interaction of T₃D₂ (24.11) and T₁D₁ (23.11), whereas minimum number of leaves (19.57) were observed in treatment combination of T₃D₁ i.e. (IBA 5000 ppm and 1 cm diameter).

Interaction effect of (T×L)

The interaction effect of length of cutting and IBA concentration on number of leaves at 90 DAP shows significant variation. The interaction T₁L₃ i.e. (IBA 3000 ppm and 20 cm length) was recorded significantly maximum number of leaves (30.73) which was at par with interactions of T₃L₃ (24.70) and T₂L₃ (22.63) and minimum number of leaves (19.23) were observed in interaction of T₂L₂ i.e. (IBA 4000 ppm and 15 cm length).

Interaction effect of (T×D×L)

The interaction T₁D₂L₃ recorded significantly maximum number of leaves (36.33) which was statistically at par with interactions of T₃D₃L₃ (28.66), T₁D₁L₃ (25.51) and minimum number (17.86) for leaves per cuttings were observed in interaction of T₂D₁L₁ at 90 DAP.

Leaf area (cm²)

The data regarding leaf area per cutting at survival (90DAP) of pomegranate cuttings was influenced by diameter of cutting (D), length of cutting (L), IBA concentrations (T) and their interaction presented in Table 9.

Effect of cutting diameter (D)

Significantly highest leaf area (36.86 cm²) per cutting was registered with treatment D₂ i.e. (1.5 cm diameter) and lowest leaf area (31.57 cm²) was recorded in treatment D₁ i.e. (1 cm diameter). Increase in leaf area might be due to early sprouting and maximum leaf area. Similar result was also reported by (Taiz and Zeiger 1998) and (Kaur and Kaur 2016).

Effect of cutting length (L)

Significantly highest leaf area (38.36 cm²) was recorded in treatment L₃ i.e. (20 cm length) and lowest leaf area (34.04 cm²) was observed in L₁, i.e. (10 cm).

IBA concentration (T)

Significantly maximum leaf area (36.06 cm²) was observed in treatment T₁ i.e. application of IBA 3000 ppm and minimum leaf area (34.15 cm²) was recorded in treatment T₃ i.e. (IBA 5000 ppm). Significantly maximum leaf area is due to maximum sprouts per cutting, cutting size and IBA concentration. Similarly, the number of green leaves is the most important growth character that has direct impact on total leaf area. Since, number of green leaves was significantly influenced by diameter, length of cutting and IBA concentrations.

Interaction effect of (L×D)

Significantly maximum leaf area (39.80 cm²) was recorded in interaction of L₃D₂ i.e. (length 20 cm and 1.5 cm diameter) which was statistically at par with the interactions of L₃D₁ (36.93 cm²) and L₂D₂ (36.75 cm²) and minimum leaf area (34.02 cm²) was observed in interaction of L₁D₂ i.e. (length 10 cm and 1.5 cm diameter).
Interaction effect of \((T \times D)\)

Significantly maximum leaf area \((38.69 \text{ cm}^2)\) was recorded with interaction of \(T_2D_2\) i.e. (4000 ppm IBA and 1.5 cm diameter) and minimum leaf area \((33.40 \text{ cm}^2)\) was observed in treatment combination of \(T_3D_1\) i.e. (5000 ppm IBA and 1 cm diameter).

Pomegranate cuttings of 1.5 cm diameter and 20 cm length when treated with IBA 3000 ppm concentration solution for 15-20 second gives significantly minimum days to sprout, highest sprouting percentage, maximum number of branches, maximum length and diameter of shoot, highest number of leaves per cutting, highest leaf area and finally shoot to root ratio.
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