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We discuss the existence and uniqueness of solutions for initial value problems of nonlinear singular multiterm impulsive Caputo type fractional differential equations on the half line. Our study includes the cases for a single base point fractional differential equation as well as multiple base points fractional differential equation. The asymptotic behavior of solutions for the problems is also investigated. We demonstrate the utility of our work by applying the main results to fractional-order logistic models.

1. Introduction

The theory of impulsive differential equations describes processes which experience a sudden change of their state at certain moments. Processes with such a characteristic arise naturally and are often, for example, studied in physics, chemical technology, population dynamics, biotechnology, and economics. These processes are modeled by impulsive differential equations. In 1960, Milman and Myshkis introduced the concept of impulsive differential equations [1]. Afterwards, this subject was extensively investigated and several monographs have been published by many authors like Samoilenko and Perestyuk [2], Lakshmikantham et al. [3], Baino and Simeonov [4], Baino and Covachev [5], and Benchohra et al. [6].

Fractional differential equations (FDEs for short), regarded as the generalizations of ordinary differential equations to an arbitrary noninteger order, find their genesis in the work of Newton and Leibniz in the seventeenth century. Recent investigations indicate that many physical systems can be modeled more accurately with the help of fractional derivatives [7]. Fractional differential equations, therefore, find numerous applications in the field of viscoelasticity, feedback amplifiers, electrical circuits, electroanalytical chemistry, fractional multipoles, and neuron modelling encompassing different branches of physics, chemistry, and biological sciences [8–10].

Some recent work on the existence of solutions for initial value problems of Caputo type impulsive fractional differential equations can be found in a series of papers [11–16], whereas the solvability of boundary value problems of impulsive differential equations involving Caputo fractional derivatives was investigated in [17–26].

In the left and right fractional derivatives $D^a_{\alpha} x$ and $D^b_{\beta} x$, $a$ is called a left base point and $b$ right base point. Both $a$ and $b$ are called base points of fractional derivatives. A fractional differential equation (FDE) containing more than one base points is called a multiple base points FDE while an FDE containing only one base point is called a single base point FDE.

Henderson and Ouahab [12] studied the solvability of the following initial value problems for impulsive fractional differential equations:

\[ D^\alpha_{\alpha} u(t) = f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in (0, b) \setminus \{t_1, \ldots, t_m\}, \quad \alpha \in (1, 2] \]

\[ u(t_k^+) = I_k(u(t_k^-)), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \]

\[ u'(t_k^+) = J_k(u(t_k^-)), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \]

\[ u(0) = a, \quad u'(0) = c, \]
\[ D^\alpha_{0^+} u(t) = f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in (0, b) \setminus \{t_1, \ldots, t_m\}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1], \]
\[ \Delta u(t_k) = u(t_k^+) - u(t_k^-) = I_k(y(t_k^-)), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \quad u(0) = a, \]
\[ (1) \]

where \(0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_m < b, b > 0\) is a fixed real number, \(f : [0, b] \times R \to R\) is continuous, \(I_k, I_k^* : R \to R (k = 1, 2, \ldots, m)\) are continuous functions, \(u(t_k^+) = \lim_{t \to t_k^+} u(t)\) and \(u(t_k^-) = \lim_{t \to t_k^-} u(t)\). One can see that both fractional differential equations in (1) are multiple base points FDEs with base points \(0, t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_m\), which are in fact the impulse points.

In [27], the authors used the concept of upper and lower solutions together with Schauder’s fixed point theorem to study the impulsive fractional-order differential equation:
\[ ^cD^\alpha u(t) = f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in (0, b) \setminus \{t_1, \ldots, t_m\}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1], \]
\[ \Delta u(t_k) = u(t_k^+) - u(t_k^-) = I_k(y(t_k^-)), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \quad u(0) = a. \]
\[ (2) \]

One can notice that the problem (2) contains a multiple base points FDE with base points \(0, t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_m\) (impulse points).

In [28], the authors studied the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the following initial value problem of fractional order differential equations:
\[ ^cD^\alpha u(t) = f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in (0, b) \setminus \{t_1, \ldots, t_m\}, \quad \alpha \in (1, 2], \]
\[ \Delta u(t_k) = u(t_k^+) - u(t_k^-) = I_k(y(t_k^-)), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \]
\[ \Delta u(t_k) = u(t_k^+) - u(t_k^-) = I_k(y(t_k^-)), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \quad u(0) = u_0, \quad u'(0) = u_1. \]
\[ (3) \]

where the fractional differential equations are a multiple base points FDE with the base points \(0, t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_m\) (impulse points).

Fečkan et al. [29] studied the existence of solutions of the following initial value problem of impulsive fractional differential equations:
\[ D^\alpha_0 u(t) = f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in (0, b) \setminus \{t_1, \ldots, t_m\}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1], \]
\[ u(t_k^+) = I_k(y(t_k^-)), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \quad u(0) = a, \]
\[ (4) \]

where \(0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_m < b, b > 0\) is a fixed real number, \(f : [0, b] \times R \to R\) is jointly continuous, \(I_k : R \to R (k = 1, 2, \ldots, m)\) are continuous functions, \(u(t_k^+) = \lim_{t \to t_k^+} u(t)\) and \(u(t_k^-) = \lim_{t \to t_k^-} u(t)\). Observe that the fractional differential equation in (4) is a single base point FDE with the base point \(t = 0\). So the impulse points are different from the base point.

Liu and Ahmad [30] studied a problem of multi-term and multiorder quasi-Laplacian singular fractional differential equations:
\[ D^\alpha_0 \left[ \Phi \left( \rho(t) D^\beta_0 x(t) \right) \right] + q(t) f(t, x(t), D^\alpha_0 x(t)) = 0, \quad t \in (0, +\infty), \]
\[ \lim_{t \to 0^+} x(t) = \int_0^\infty m(t) g(t, x(t), D^\alpha_0 x(t)) dt, \]
\[ \lim_{t \to +\infty} \Phi \left( \rho(t) D^\beta_0 x(t) \right) = \int_0^\infty n(t) h(t, x(t), D^\alpha_0 x(t)) dt, \]
\[ \Delta x(t_k) = I_k(x(t_k), D^\alpha_0 x(t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, \]
\[ \Delta \Phi \left( \rho(t) D^\beta_0 x(t_k) \right) = J_k(x(t_k), D^\alpha_0 x(t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, \]
\[ (5) \]

where \(1 < \alpha, \beta \leq 1, 0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots \) are fixed points, \(D^\gamma_0\) is the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative, \(\Phi : R \to R\) is a sup-multiplicative function, \(f, g, h\) are impulsive Carathéodory functions, \(m, q, n, \rho : (0, 1) \to (0, +\infty)\) are continuous functions, and \(I_k, J_k\) are impulse functions. In (5), the fractional differential equation is a single base point FDE with the base point \(t = 0\). Clearly the impulse points are different from the base point.

Remark. It is clear from the abovementioned work that IVPs of impulsive fractional differential equations can be categorized into two classes: (a) IVPs of one base point FDEs [20, 29, 30] and (b) IVPs of multiple base points FDEs [12, 27, 28].

In this paper, we study the following two initial value problems (IVPs for short) of nonlinear multi-term FDEs with impulses on half lines:
\[ ^cD^\alpha_0 x(t) = q(t) f(t, x(t), D^\alpha_0 x(t)), \quad t \in (0, \infty), \]
\[ x(0) = x_0, \]
\[ \Delta x(t_k) = I_k(x(t_k), D^\alpha_0 x(t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, \]
\[ (6) \]
\[ ^cD^\alpha_0 x(t) = q(t) f(t, x(t), D^\alpha_0 x(t)), \quad t \in (0, \infty), \]
\[ x(0) = x_0, \]
\[ \Delta x(t_k) = I_k(x(t_k), D^\alpha_0 x(t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, \]
\[ (7) \]

where \(x_0 \in R, \alpha \in (0, 1], 0 < p < \alpha, 0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < \cdots \) with \(\lim_{k \to \infty} t_k = \infty\), \(^cD^\alpha_0\) is the standard Caputo
fractional derivative at the base point \( t = 0 \), \( q : (0, \infty) \to R \) satisfies that there exists \( l > -\alpha \) such that \(|q(t)| \leq t^l\) for all \( t \in (0, \infty) \), \( q \) may be singular at \( t = 0 \), \( ^cD_{t_k}^{\alpha} \) is the standard Caputo fractional derivative at the base points \( t = t_k \) \( (k = 1, 2, \ldots) \); that is, \( ^cD_{t_k}^{\alpha}|_{[t_k, t_{k+1}]} u(t) = ^cD_{t_k}^{\alpha} u(t) \) for all \( t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}] \), and \( f : [0, \infty) \times R^2 \to R \) is a Carathéodory function, \( t_k : (0, \infty) \times R \to R \) \( (k = 1, 2, \ldots) \) and \( \{t_k\} \) is a Carathéodory function sequence, and \( \Delta x(t_k) = \lim_{t \to t_k^-} x(t) - \lim_{t \to t_k^+} x(t), k = 1, 2, \ldots \).

The salient features of the present work include the following: (i) to establish sufficient conditions for the existence of solutions for the IVP (6) with a single base point and IVP (7) with multiple base points (same as the impulse points). We emphasize that the conditions for the existence of solutions for the IVPs (6) and (7) are different; (ii) the asymptotic behavior of solutions for the problems is studied and the sufficient criterion for every solution to tend to zero as \( t \to \infty \) is established; (iii) the method of proof relies on the Schauder fixed point theorem; (iv) our approach for dealing with impulsive problems at hand is different from the ones employed in earlier work on the topic and thus opens a new avenue for studying impulsive fractional differential equations; (v) as an application, we apply our results to fractional-order logistic models and present sufficient conditions for the existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions of these logistic models.

The paper is organized as follows: the auxiliary material is given in Section 2, the main results are presented in Sections 3 and 4, while the application of the main results is demonstrated in Section 5.

2. Preliminaries

We recall some basic concepts of fractional calculus [9, 10] and show auxiliary results.

Define the Gamma function and Beta function, respectively, as

\[
\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^{\infty} s^{\alpha-1} e^{-s} ds, \\
B(\alpha_1, \beta_2) = \int_0^1 (1 - x)^{\alpha_1-1} x^{\beta_2-1} dx, \tag{8}
\]

\( \alpha_1 > 0, \ \alpha_2, \beta_2 > 0. \)

Definition 1 (see [9]). Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order \( \alpha > 0 \) of a continuous function \( f : (0, \infty) \to R \) is given by

\[
I_0^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds, \tag{9}
\]

provided that the right-hand side exists.

Definition 2 (see [9]). Caputo's derivative of fractional-order \( \alpha \) for a function \( f \in AC^{(n-1)}((0, \infty), R) \) is defined by

\[
D_0^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} f^{(n)}(s) ds, \tag{10}
\]

for \( n-1 < \alpha \leq n, n \in N \). If \( 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \), then

\[
D_0^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} f^{(1)}(s) ds. \tag{11}
\]

Obviously, Caputo's derivative of a constant is zero.

Lemma 3 (see [9]). For \( \alpha > 0 \), the general solution of fractional differential equation \( ^cD_{0^+}^\alpha x(t) = 0 \) is given by \( x(t) = c_0 + c_1 t + c_2 t^2 + \cdots + c_{n-1} t^{n-1} \), where \( c_i \in R, i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1, n-1 < \alpha \leq n. \)

Definition 4. A function \( x : [0, \infty) \to R \) is said to be a solution of the IVP (6) if both \( x|_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]} \) \( (k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots) \) and \( ^cD_{0^+}^\alpha x|_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]} \) \( (k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots) \) are continuous, \( x \) satisfies the differential equation \( ^cD_{0^+}^\alpha x(t) = q(t)f(t,x(t)), \) (6) a.e. on \( (0, \infty) \setminus \{t_1, t_2, t_3, \ldots\} \), and the limits \( \lim_{t \to t_i^-} x(t) \) and \( \lim_{t \to t_i^+} x(t) \) \( (k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots) \) exist and the following conditions are satisfied:

\[
\Delta x(t_k) = I_{t_k} \left( x(t_k) - x(t_k) \right), \tag{12}
\]

Choose \( \sigma > \max\{0, \alpha + l\} \) and \( \mu > \max\{\sigma, \alpha - \mu\} \). Let

\[
X = \left\{ x : [0, \infty) \to R : \begin{array}{l}
\begin{array}{l}
x|_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]} \in C^0(t_k, t_{k+1}], \\
^cD_{0^+}^\alpha x|_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]} \in C^0(t_k, t_{k+1}],
\end{array}
\begin{array}{l}
k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,
\end{array}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{l}
\frac{t^\sigma-\alpha-l}{(1+t)(1+tu)} x(t) \text{ is bounded on } (0, \infty),
\end{array}
\begin{array}{l}
\frac{t^p-\alpha-l}{1+tu} ^cD_{0^+}^\alpha x(t) \text{ is bounded on } (0, \infty).\end{array}\right\}. \tag{14}
\]
For \( x \in X \), define the norm on \( X \) as

\[
\|x\| = \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \frac{t^{\alpha-\lambda}}{(1+t)(1+t^\mu)} |x(t)|, \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \frac{t^{\mu+\sigma-\alpha}}{1+t^\mu} |D_0^\nu x(t)| \right\}. \tag{15}
\]

It is easy to show that \( X \) is a real Banach space.

**Definition 6.** \( f : [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is called a Carathéodory function if it satisfies the following assumptions:

(i) \( \forall (r, x, y) \rightarrow f(t, (1+\frac{t}{r})x, (1+\frac{t}{r})y) \) is continuous on \([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2\);

(ii) for each \( r > 0 \), there exists a constant \( M_r > 0 \) such that \( |x|, |y| \leq r \) implies that

\[
\left| f \left( t, \frac{(1+\frac{t}{r})x}{t^{\sigma-\alpha}}, \frac{(1+\frac{t}{r})y}{t^{\sigma-\alpha}} \right) \right| \leq M_r, \quad t \in [0, \infty). \tag{16}
\]

**Definition 7.** \( \{I_k\} \) is called a Carathéodory function sequence if it satisfies the following assumptions:

(i) \( x \rightarrow I_k(t_k, ((1+t_k)(1+\frac{t_k}{r_k})t_k^{\sigma-\alpha}))x \) is continuous on \( R \) for each \( k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \);

(ii) for each \( r > 0 \), there exist constants \( M_{rk} > 0 \) such that \( |x| \leq r \) implies that

\[
\left| I_k \left( t_k, \frac{(1+t_k)(1+\frac{t_k}{r_k})}{t_k^{\sigma-\alpha}} x \right) \right| \leq M_{rk}, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_{rk} < \infty. \tag{17}
\]

If \( b > a > 0 \), then we have

\[
\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \frac{t^a}{1+t^b} = \frac{1}{b} \frac{a^b}{b-a} =: M_{a,b}. \tag{18}
\]

**Lemma 8.** Suppose that \( f \) is a Carathéodory function and \( \{I_k\} \) is a Carathéodory function sequence on \( X \). Then \( x \in X \) is a solution of

\[
D_0^\nu x(t) = q(t) f \left( t, x(t), D_0^\nu x(t) \right), \quad t \in (0, \infty),
\]

\[
x(0) = x_0,
\]

if and only if \( x \in X \) is a solution of the fractional integral equation

\[
x(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t \frac{t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f \left( s, x(s), D_0^\nu x(s) \right) ds
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} I_j \left( t_j, x(t_j) \right), \quad t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}], \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots. \tag{20}
\]

**Proof.** For \( x \in X \) and \( r > 0 \), we have

\[
\max \left\{ \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \frac{t^{\alpha-\lambda}}{(1+t)(1+t^\mu)} |x(t)|, \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \frac{t^{\mu+\sigma-\alpha}}{1+t^\mu} |D_0^\nu x(t)| \right\} = r. \tag{21}
\]

Since \( f \) is a Carathéodory function and \( \{I_k\} \) is a Carathéodory function sequence, therefore, there exist \( M_r > 0 \) and \( M_{rk} > 0 \) such that

\[
\left| f \left( t, x(t), D_0^\nu x(t) \right) \right| \leq \frac{M_r}{1+t^\mu} \left( 1+t^\mu x(t) \right), \quad t \in [0, \infty), \tag{22}
\]

\[
\left| I_k \left( t_k, x(t_k) \right) \right| \leq \frac{M_{rk}}{1+t^\mu} \left( 1+t^\mu x(t_k) \right), \quad t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}], \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots. \tag{23}
\]

Let us assume that \( x \) satisfies (48). Then, by Lemma 3, the solution of (48) can be written as

\[
x(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f \left( s, x(s), D_0^\nu x(s) \right) ds + c_k, \quad t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}], \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots. \tag{25}
\]

Observe that

\[
\left| \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f \left( s, x(s), D_0^\nu x(s) \right) ds \right| \leq M_r \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha) s^d} ds \tag{24}
\]

\[
= M_r \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\omega)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha) \omega} d\omega \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \ t \rightarrow 0.
\]
From $x(0) = x_0$ and $\Delta y(t_k) = I_k(t_k, x(t_k))$, we get $c_0 = x_0$ and
\[
\int_0^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f\left(s, x(s), D^\alpha_0, x(s)\right) ds + c_k
\]
\[
- \left[ \int_0^{t_k} \frac{(t_k - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f\left(s, x(s), D^\alpha_0, x(s)\right) ds + c_{k-1} \right]
\]
\[
= I_k(t_k, x(t_k)).
\]
(25)
This implies that
\[
c_k = c_{k-1} + I_k(t_k, x(t_k))
\]
\[
= x_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} I_j(t_j, x(t_j)), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]
(26)
Thus, we have
\[
x(t) = \int_0^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f\left(s, x(s), D^{\alpha}_0, x(s)\right) ds
\]
\[+ x_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} I_j(t_j, x(t_j)).
\]
(27)
Hence, $x$ satisfies (49). Next, we show that $x \in X$. Indeed
\[
D^{\alpha}_0, x(t) = \int_0^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f\left(s, x(s), D^{\alpha}_0, x(s)\right) ds.
\]
(28)
It is easy to see that
\[
x|_{t_k \leq t \leq t_{k+1}} \in C^0(t_k, t_{k+1}),
\]
\[
D^{\alpha}_0, x|_{t_k \leq t \leq t_{k+1}} \in C^0(t_k, t_{k+1}),
\]
\[
k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]
(29)
Furthermore, for $t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]$, we have
\[
\frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha-1}}{(1 + t)(1 + t^\mu)} |x(t)|
\]
\[\leq \frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha-1}}{1 + t^\mu} \left[ \int_0^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f\left(s, x(s), D^{\alpha}_0, x(s)\right) ds
\]
\[+ x_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} I_j(t_j, x(t_j)) \right]
\]
This implies that $x \in X$. Conversely, suppose that $x$ satisfies (49). By a direct computation, it follows that the solution given by (49) satisfies the problem (48). This completes the proof.

Choose $\sigma > \max\{0, \alpha + l\}$ and $\mu > \max\{\sigma, \sigma - \alpha - l\}$ and define
Lemma 9. Suppose that \( w \) is bounded on \((0, \infty)\) and \( \Delta y(t) = \frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha-1}}{(1+t)(1+\mu)} x(t) \) is bounded on \((0, \infty)\) for \( 0 \leq k \leq n \). Then \( x \in Y \) is a solution of the fractional integral equation

\[
Y = \left\{ x : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R} : \begin{array}{c}
x(t) = \int_{t_k}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f\left(s, x(s), \Delta y(s)\right) ds \\
+ x_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \frac{(t_j-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f\left(s, x(s), \Delta y(s)\right) ds,
\end{array}
\right\}
\]

for \( x \in Y \), we define the norm on \( Y \) as

\[
\|x\| = \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha-1}}{(1+t)(1+\mu)} |x(t)|, \sup_{k=0,1,2,\ldots} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}]} \frac{t^{p+\sigma-\alpha-1}}{1+t^\mu} |D^p_{t_k} x(t)| \right\} \right\}.
\]

It is easy to show that \( Y \) is a real Banach space.

**Lemma 9.** Suppose that \( f \) is a Carathéodory function and \( \{I_k\} \) is a Carathéodory function sequence, \( x \in Y \) and \( \lambda_0 = \inf_{k=0,1,2,\ldots} |t_k - t_{k-1}| > 0 \). Then \( x \in Y \) is a solution of the problem

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}_{\Gamma}^p y(t) &= q(t) f\left(t, x(t), \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma}^p x(t)\right), \quad t \in (0, \infty), \\
y(0) &= x_0, \\
\Delta y(t_k) &= I_k(t_k, x(t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots,
\end{align*}
\]

if and only if \( x \in Y \) is a solution of the fractional integral equation

\[
x(t) = \int_{t_k}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f\left(s, x(s), \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma}^p x(s)\right) ds \\
+ x_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \frac{(t_j-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f\left(s, x(s), \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma}^p x(s)\right) ds,
\]

for \( t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}] \), \( k = 0, 1, \ldots \).

**Proof.** For \( x \in Y \), we have that there exists \( r > 0 \) such that

\[
\max \left\{ \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha-1}}{(1+t)(1+\mu)} |x(t)|, \sup_{n=0,1,2,\ldots} \sup_{t \in (t_k, t_{k+1})} \frac{t^{p+\sigma-\alpha-1}}{(1+t^\mu)} |D^p_{t_k} x(t)| \right\} = r.
\]

Since \( f \) is a Carathéodory function and \( \{I_k\} \) is a Carathéodory function sequence, then there exist \( M_r > 0 \) and \( M_{rk} > 0 \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
|f\left(t, x(t), \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma}^p x(t)\right)| &\leq M_r, \quad t \in [0, \infty), \\
|I_k(t_k, x(t_k))| &\leq M_{rk}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_{rk} < \infty.
\end{align*}
\]

Assume that \( x \) satisfies the problem (50). Then, in view of Lemma 3, we can write the solution of (50) as

\[
x(t) = \int_{t_k}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f\left(s, x(s), \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma}^p x(s)\right) ds + c_k, \\
\]

for \( t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}] \), \( k = 0, 1, \ldots \).
From \( x(0) = x_0 \), we get \( c_0 = x_0 \). Since

\[
\left| \int_{t_k}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f \left( s, x(s), D_t^\alpha x(s) \right) ds \right| \\
\leq M_r \int_{t_k}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} s^\mu ds \\
= M_r t^{\alpha+\mu} \int_{t_k}^{t} \frac{(1-w)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} w^\mu dw \to 0 \\
as t \to t_k^+, \ k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots
\]

(38)

and \( \Delta y(t_k) = I_k(t_k, x(t_k)) \), we get

\[
\begin{align*}
\left| c_k - \left( \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f \left( s, x(s), D_t^\alpha x(s) \right) ds \right) \right| \\
+ c_{k-1} \right) = I_k(t_k, x(t_k)),
\end{align*}
\]

which gives

\[
c_k = c_{k-1} + I_k(t_k, x(t_k)) \\
+ \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \frac{(t_k-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f \left( s, x(s), D_t^\alpha x(s) \right) ds \\
= x_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} I_j(t_j, x(t_j)) \\
+ \sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \frac{(t_j-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f \left( s, x(s), D_t^\alpha x(s) \right) ds,
\]

\[
k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]

(39)

Hence the solution of the problem (50) is

\[
x(t) = \int_{t_k}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f \left( s, x(s), D_t^\alpha x(s) \right) ds \\
+ x_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} I_j(t_j, x(t_j)) \\
+ \sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \frac{(t_j-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f \left( s, x(s), D_t^\alpha x(s) \right) ds,
\]

\[
t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}], \ k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]

(40)

Next, we need to show that \( x \in Y \). Clearly,

\[
\begin{align*}
\left| D_t^\alpha x(t) \right| \\
= \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f \left( s, x(s), D_t^\alpha x(s) \right) ds \\
\leq M_r \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left| w^\mu \right| dw \\
\leq M_r t^{\alpha} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(1-w)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left| w^\mu \right| dw \\
\leq M_r M_{\alpha,\mu} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(1-w)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left| w^\mu \right| dw
\end{align*}
\]

(41)

Furthermore, for \( t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}] \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\left| x(t) \right| \\
= \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f \left( s, x(s), D_t^\alpha x(s) \right) ds \\
+ x_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} I_j(t_j, x(t_j)) \\
+ \sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \frac{(t_j-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f \left( s, x(s), D_t^\alpha x(s) \right) ds,
\end{align*}
\]

(42)
\[ + M_{\alpha - \gamma - \mu} |x_0| + M_{\alpha - \lambda - \mu} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} M_{r_k} \]
\[ + M_t \sum_{j=1}^{k} t^{\alpha - 1} r_j \]
\[ \times \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_{j+1}} (1 - \omega)^{\alpha - 1} \Gamma(\alpha) |x(t)| \]
\[ \leq M_t M_{\alpha - \lambda} B(\alpha, l + 1) \Gamma(\alpha) + M_{\alpha - \gamma - \mu} |x_0| \]
\[ + M_{\alpha - \gamma - \mu} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} M_{r_k} \]
\[ + M_t \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{t^{\alpha - 1} \Gamma(\alpha)} B(\alpha, l + 1) \Gamma(\alpha) \]
\[ \leq M_t M_{\alpha - \lambda} B(\alpha, l + 1) \Gamma(\alpha) + M_{\alpha - \gamma - \mu} |x_0| \]
\[ + M_{\alpha - \gamma - \mu} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} M_{r_k} \]
\[ + M_t \frac{1}{\lambda^{\mu_1 - \sigma} \Gamma(\alpha)} B(\alpha, l + 1) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^{j+1 - \sigma}} \]
\[ t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}], \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]

So
\[ \frac{t^{\alpha - 1}}{1 + t^\mu} |x(t)| \] is bounded on \((0, \infty)\). (45)

Moreover, for \(t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]\), we get
\[ \frac{t^{p+\alpha - 1}}{1 + t^\mu} \left| D^p_{t_k} x(t) \right| \]
\[ = \frac{t^{p+\alpha - 1}}{1 + t^\mu} \int_{t_k}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha - p-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha - p)} M_r s^\alpha ds \]
\[ \leq \frac{t^{p+\alpha - 1}}{1 + t^\mu} \int_{t_k}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha - p-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha - p)} M_r s^\alpha ds \]
\[ = M_t t^\alpha \int_{t_k}^{t} \frac{(1 - \omega)^{\alpha - p-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha - p)} M_r \omega d\omega \]
\[ \leq M_t M_{\alpha - \lambda} B(\alpha - p, l + 1) \Gamma(\alpha - p) < \infty, \]
\[ t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}], \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]

So
\[ \frac{t^{p+\alpha - 1}}{1 + t^\mu} \left| D^p_{t_k} y(t) \right| \] is bounded on \((0, \infty)\). (47)

Thus, \(x \in Y\). Conversely, assume that \(x\) satisfies (51). Then, by direct computation, it follows that the solution given by (51) satisfies the problem (50). This completes the proof. \(\square\)

3. Existence Results for an IVP with a Single Base Point

In this section, we discuss the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the single base point IVP (6). The asymptotic behaviour of solutions of IVP (6) is also investigated.

In relation to the IVP (6), we define an operator \(T : X \to X\) by
\[ (Tx)(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) \times f(s, x(s), D^p_{t_k} x(s)) ds + x_0 \]
\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{k} I_j (t_j, x(t_j)), \quad t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}], \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]

\textbf{Lemma 10.} Let \(f\) be a Carathéodory function and let \(\{I_k\}\) be a Carathéodory function sequence. Then (i) \(T : X \to X\) is well defined; (ii) the fixed point of the operator \(T\) coincides with the solution of IVP (6); (iii) \(T : X \to X\) is completely continuous.
Proof. (i) For \( x \in X \), let
\[
 r = \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha-1}}{(1 + t)(1 + t^\mu)} |x(t)|, \quad \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \frac{t^{p+\sigma-\alpha-1}}{1 + t^\mu} \left| \mathcal{D}_0^\mu x(t) \right| \right\},
\]
(49)
Since \( f \) is a Carathéodory function, \( \{I_k\} \) is Carathéodory function sequence; there exist positive numbers \( M_r > 0 \) and \( M_{r_k} > 0 \) \((k = 1, 2, \ldots)\) such that
\[
|f \left( t, x(t), \mathcal{D}_0^\mu x(t) \right)| \leq M_r, \quad t \in [0, \infty),
\]
(50)
\[
|I_k \left( t_k, x(t_k) \right)| \leq M_{r_k}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_{r_k} < \infty.
\]
(51)
It is easy to show that
\[
Tx \in C^0 \left( t_k, t_{k+1} \right), \quad \mathcal{D}_0^\mu Tx \in C^0 \left( t_k, t_{k+1} \right),
\]
(52)
As in the proof of Lemma 8, it can be shown that both \( \left( t^{\sigma-\alpha-1}/(1 + t)(1 + t^\mu) \right)(Tx)(t) \) and \( \left( t^{p+\sigma-\alpha-1}/(1 + t^\mu) \right) \mathcal{D}_0^\mu (Tx)(t) \) are bounded on \((0, \infty)\).

Hence, \( Tx \in X \) and consequently \( T : X \to X \) is well defined.

(ii) It follows from Lemma 8 that the fixed point of the operator \( T \) coincides with the solution of IVP (6).

(iii) To establish that \( T \) is completely continuous, we show that (a) \( T \) is continuous, (b) \( T \) maps bounded sets of \( X \) to compact sets, and (c) \( T \) maps bounded sets of \( X \) to relatively compact sets.

(a) In order to show that the operator \( T \) is continuous, let \( x_n \in X \) with \( x_n \to x_0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). We will prove that \( Tx_n \to Tx_0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). It is easy to see that there exists \( r > 0 \) such that
\[
\max \left\{ \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha-1}}{(1 + t)(1 + t^\mu)} |x_n(t)|, \quad \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \frac{t^{p+\sigma-\alpha-1}}{1 + t^\mu} \left| \mathcal{D}_0^\mu x_n(t) \right| \right\} \leq r < \infty,
\]
(53)
\[
|I_k \left( t_k, x(t_k) \right) - I_k \left( t_k, x_0(t_k) \right)| \leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} M_{r_k} < \infty,
\]
(54)
Since \( f : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \) is a Carathéodory function and \( \{I_k\} \) is a Carathéodory function sequence, then there exist \( M_r > 0 \) and \( M_{r_k} > 0 \) such that
\[
|f \left( t, x_n(t), \mathcal{D}_0^\mu x_n(t) \right)| \leq M_r, \quad t \in [0, \infty),
\]
\[
|I_k \left( t_k, x_n(t_k) \right)| \leq M_{r_k}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_{r_k} < \infty.
\]
(55)
Notice that
\[
(Tx_n)(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(s-t)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) \times f \left( s, x_n(s), \mathcal{D}_0^\mu x_n(s) \right) ds
\]
\[
\quad + x_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} I_j \left( t_j, x_n(t_j) \right),
\]
(56)
From the inequality
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |I_j \left( t_j, x_n(t_j) \right) - I_j \left( t_j, x_0(t_j) \right)| \leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} M_{r_k} < \infty,
\]
(57)
it follows that there exists \( N > 0 \) for \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |I_j \left( t_j, x_n(t_j) \right) - I_j \left( t_j, x_0(t_j) \right)| < \varepsilon.
\]
(58)
Since \( x \to I_k(t_k, ((1+t_k)(1+t_k^{\alpha-1}))/t_k^{(\alpha-\alpha-1)}x) \) \((k = 1, 2, \ldots, N-1)\) is uniformly continuous on \([-r, r] \), there exists \( \delta > 0 \) such that
\[
\left| I_k \left( t_k, x_1(t_k) \right) - I_k \left( t_k, x_2(t_k) \right) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{N-1},
\]
(59)
holds for all \( x_1, x_2 \in [-r, r] \) with \( |x_1(t) - x_2(t)| < \delta \). From (54), there exists \( N_1 > N \) such that
\[
\left| I_k \left( t_k, x_0(t_k) \right) \right| < \delta, \quad t \in (0, \infty), \quad n > N_1,
\]
(60)
Hence,
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \left| I_j(t_j, x_n(t_j)) - I_j(t_j, x_0(t_j)) \right| = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \left| I_j \left( t_j, \frac{(1 + t_j)(1 + t_j')}{t_j^{\sigma-\alpha-l}} \right) \times \frac{t_j^{\sigma-\alpha-l}}{(1 + t_j)(1 + t_j')} x_n(t_j) \right| - I_j \left( t_j, \frac{(1 + t_j)(1 + t_j')}{t_j^{\sigma-\alpha-l}} \right) \times \frac{t_j^{\sigma-\alpha-l}}{(1 + t_j)(1 + t_j')} x_0(t_j) \right| < (N - 1) \frac{\epsilon}{N - 1} = \epsilon, \quad n > N_1.
\]

Since
\[
\frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha-l}}{(1 + t)(1 + t^\nu)} \times \int_0^t \frac{(t - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f \left( s, x_n(s), D^\nu_0 x_n(s) \right) ds - q(s) f \left( s, x_0(s), D^\nu_0 x_0(s) \right) | ds 
\]
\[
\leq 2M_{\nu} \frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha-l}}{(1 + t)(1 + t^\nu)} \int_0^t \frac{(t - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} s^\nu ds 
\]
\[
\leq 2M_{\nu} \frac{t^{\sigma}}{(1 + t)(1 + t^\nu)} \int_0^1 (1 - w)^{\alpha-1} w^\nu dw \to 0 
\]
as \( t \to \infty, \)

therefore, we can find \( L > 0 \) such that
\[
\frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha-l}}{(1 + t)(1 + t^\nu)} \times \int_0^t \frac{(t - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f \left( s, x_n(s), D^\nu_0 x_n(s) \right) ds - q(s) f \left( s, x_0(s), D^\nu_0 x_0(s) \right) | ds < \epsilon
\]

holds for all \( t > L, n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

As \( f \) is a Caratheodory function, there exists \( \delta_1 > 0 \) such that
\[
\left| f \left( t, \frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha-l}}{(1 + t)(1 + t^\nu)} u_1, \frac{t^{\nu+\sigma-\alpha-l}}{1 + t^\nu} v_1 \right) - f \left( t, \frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha-l}}{(1 + t)(1 + t^\nu)} u_2, \frac{t^{\nu+\sigma-\alpha-l}}{1 + t^\nu} v_2 \right) \right| < \epsilon
\]

holds for all \( t \in [0, L] \) and \( u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in [-r, r] \) with \( |u_1 - u_2| < \delta_1, |v_1 - v_2| < \delta_1 \). From (54), there exists \( N_2 > N > N_1 \) such that
\[
\frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha-l}}{(1 + t)(1 + t^\nu)} | x_n(t) - x_0(t) | < \delta_1, 
\]
\[
t \in (0, \infty), \quad n > N_2,
\]
\[
\frac{t^{\nu+\sigma-\alpha-l}}{1 + t^\nu} \left| D^\nu_0 x_n(t) - D^\nu_0 x_0(t) \right| < \delta_1, 
\]
\[
t \in (0, \infty), \quad n > N_2.
\]

So, for \( t \in [0, L] \), we have
\[
\frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha-l}}{(1 + t)(1 + t^\nu)} \times \int_0^t \frac{(t - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f \left( s, x_n(s), D^\nu_0 x_n(s) \right) ds
\]
\[
- q(s) f \left( s, x_0(s), D^\nu_0 x_0(s) \right) | ds 
\]
\[
= \frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha-l}}{(1 + t)(1 + t^\nu)} \times \int_0^t \frac{(t - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f \left( s, (1 + s)^{1 + s^\nu} s^{\sigma-\alpha-l}, \frac{s^{\sigma-\alpha-l}}{(1 + s)(1 + s^\nu)} x_n(s), \right)
\]
\[
\times \frac{1 + s^\nu}{s^\nu} \frac{s^{\nu+\sigma-\alpha-l}}{1 + s^\nu} \frac{1}{1 + s^\nu} \times D^\nu_0 x_n(s) \right) 
\]
\[
- q(s) f \left( s, (1 + s)^{1 + s^\nu} s^{\sigma-\alpha-l}, \frac{s^{\sigma-\alpha-l}}{(1 + s)(1 + s^\nu)} x_0(s), \right) \]
Thus, it follows that
\[ n > N_2, \ t \in [0, L]. \]
Consequently, for all \( n > N_2, \ t \in [0, \infty), \) we get
\[ \frac{\alpha - 1}{(1 + t) (1 + t^\mu)} \times \int_0^1 (1 - s)^{\alpha - 1} (t - s)^{\alpha - 1} \frac{\Gamma (\alpha)}{\Gamma (\alpha)} ds \]
\[ \leq M_{\sigma, \mu} \frac{B (\alpha, l + 1)}{\Gamma (\alpha)} \epsilon, \quad n > N_2, \ t \in [0, L]. \]
In particular, for \( t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}], \) we find that
\[ \frac{\alpha - 1}{(1 + t) (1 + t^\mu)} \times \int_0^1 (1 - s)^{\alpha - 1} (t - s)^{\alpha - 1} \frac{\Gamma (\alpha)}{\Gamma (\alpha)} ds \]
\[ \leq \frac{\alpha - 1}{(1 + t) (1 + t^\mu)} \times \int_0^1 (1 - s)^{\alpha - 1} (t - s)^{\alpha - 1} \frac{\Gamma (\alpha)}{\Gamma (\alpha)} ds \]
\[ \times \left( f \left( s, x_n (s), D_{0^+}^\alpha x_n (s) \right) - f \left( s, x_0 (s), D_{0^+}^\alpha x_0 (s) \right) \right) ds \]
\[ + \sum_{j=1}^k \left| I_j (t_j, x_n (t_j)) - I_j (t_j, x_0 (t_j)) \right| \]
\[ \leq \epsilon + M_{\sigma, \mu} \frac{B (\alpha, l + 1)}{\Gamma (\alpha)} \epsilon, \quad n > N_2. \]
Thus, it follows that
\[ \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \frac{\alpha - 1}{(1 + t) (1 + t^\mu)} \times \left( (T x_n) (t) - (T x_0) (t) \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \]
Similarly, it can be shown that
\[ \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \frac{\alpha - 1}{(1 + t) (1 + t^\mu)} \times \left( (T x_n) (t) - (T x_0) (t) \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \]
\[ \text{From (69) and (70), we conclude that} \]
\[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_n = T x_0. \]
This implies that \( T \) is continuous.
\[ (b) \text{Let us recall that} \quad \Omega \subset X \quad \text{is relatively compact if it is} \]
\[ \text{bounded, both} \quad (t^\alpha (1 + t) (1 + t^\mu)) \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \text{equiconvergent at} \quad t = t_k (k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots), \]
\[ \text{and} \quad t = \infty. \]
Let \( W \subset X \) be a nonempty bounded set. To prove that \( T \) is completely continuous, we need to prove that \( T W \) is bounded. Therefore, (49), (50), and (51) hold for \( x \in W. \)
Following the method of proof for Lemma 8, it can easily be shown that \( T W \) is bounded.
Next we show that \( T W \) is equiconvergent on finite closed sub-interval on \( (t_k, t_{k+1}] \) \( (k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots), \) and \( T \) is equiconvergent at \( t = t_k \) \( (k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots), \) and \( T \) is equiconvergent at \( t = \infty. \)
Since \( W \) is bounded, therefore, (49), (50), and (51) hold for \( x \in W. \) Following the method of proof for Lemma 8, it can easily be shown that \( T W \) is bounded.
\begin{align*}
&\times \int_{0}^{s_{1}} \left[ (s_{1} - s)^{α - 1} - (s_{2} - s)^{α - 1} \right] \frac{1}{Γ(α)} ds \\
&\times \left| q(s) f(s, x(s), D_{0}^{\mu} x(s)) \right| ds \\
&\leq \frac{s_{1}^{α - l}}{(1 + s_{1})(1 + s_{1}^{l})} \frac{s_{2}^{α - l}}{(1 + s_{2})(1 + s_{2}^{l})} \\
&\times M_{r} \int_{0}^{s_{1}} \left[ (s_{1} - s)^{α - 1} - (s_{2} - s)^{α - 1} \right] \frac{1}{Γ(α)} s^{\prime} ds \\
&\quad \times \left| f(s, x(s), D_{0}^{\mu} x(s)) \right| ds \\
&\leq \frac{s_{1}^{α - l}}{(1 + s_{1})(1 + s_{1}^{l})} \frac{s_{2}^{α - l}}{(1 + s_{2})(1 + s_{2}^{l})} \\
&\times M_{r} \int_{0}^{s_{1}} \left[ (s_{1} - s)^{α - 1} - (s_{2} - s)^{α - 1} \right] \frac{1}{Γ(α)} w^{l} dw \\
&\quad + \frac{s_{1}^{α - l}}{(1 + s_{1})(1 + s_{1}^{l})} \frac{s_{2}^{α - l}}{(1 + s_{2})(1 + s_{2}^{l})} \\
&\times \int_{0}^{s_{1}} \left[ (s_{1} - s)^{α - 1} - (s_{2} - s)^{α - 1} \right] \frac{1}{Γ(α)} s^{\prime} ds \\
&\quad \quad \quad \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left| J_{j}(t_{j}, x(t_{j})) \right| \\
&\leq \frac{s_{1}^{α - l}}{(1 + s_{1})(1 + s_{1}^{l})} \frac{s_{2}^{α - l}}{(1 + s_{2})(1 + s_{2}^{l})} \\
&\times M_{r} \int_{0}^{s_{1}} \left[ (s_{1} - s)^{α - 1} - (s_{2} - s)^{α - 1} \right] \frac{1}{Γ(α)} w^{l} dw \\
&\quad + M_{r} \max \left\{ a_{\alpha l}^{a}, b_{\alpha l}^{a} \right\} \int_{s_{1}/s_{2}}^{1} \left( 1 - w \right)^{α - 1} w^{l} dw \\
&\quad + M_{r} \left[ \int_{0}^{s_{1}} \left( 1 - w \right)^{α - 1} w^{l} dw \right. \\
&\quad \quad \quad + \int_{0}^{s_{2}} \left( 1 - w \right)^{α - 1} w^{l} dw \right] \\
&\quad \quad \quad \left. \int_{s_{1}/s_{2}}^{1} \left( 1 - w \right)^{α - 1} w^{l} dw \right] \\
&\leq \frac{s_{1}^{α - l}}{(1 + s_{1})(1 + s_{1}^{l})} \frac{s_{2}^{α - l}}{(1 + s_{2})(1 + s_{2}^{l})} \\
&\times \max \left\{ a_{\alpha l}^{a}, b_{\alpha l}^{a} \right\} M_{r} \int_{0}^{s_{1}} \left( 1 - w \right)^{α - 1} w^{l} dw \\
&\quad + M_{r} \max \left\{ a_{\alpha l}^{a}, b_{\alpha l}^{a} \right\} \int_{s_{1}/s_{2}}^{1} \left( 1 - w \right)^{α - 1} w^{l} dw \\
&\quad + M_{r} \left[ \int_{0}^{s_{1}} \left( 1 - w \right)^{α - 1} w^{l} dw \right. \\
&\quad \quad \quad + \int_{0}^{s_{2}} \left( 1 - w \right)^{α - 1} w^{l} dw \right] \\
&\quad \quad \quad \left. \int_{s_{1}/s_{2}}^{1} \left( 1 - w \right)^{α - 1} w^{l} dw \right] \\
&\to 0 \\
\end{align*}

uniformly as \( s_{1} \to s_{2} \) with \( s_{1}, s_{2} \in [a, b] \subset (t_{k}, t_{k+1}] \).

(71)

So

\begin{align*}
&\frac{s_{1}^{α - l}}{(1 + s_{1})(1 + s_{1}^{l})} (Tx) (s_{1}) - \frac{s_{2}^{α - l}}{(1 + s_{2})(1 + s_{2}^{l})} (Tx) (s_{2}) \\
&\leq \frac{s_{1}^{α - l}}{(1 + s_{1})(1 + s_{1}^{l})} \frac{s_{2}^{α - l}}{(1 + s_{2})(1 + s_{2}^{l})} \\
&\quad \times f(s, x(s), D_{0}^{\mu} x(s)) ds \\
&\quad \quad \quad \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left| J_{j}(t_{j}, x(t_{j})) \right| \\
&\leq \frac{s_{1}^{α - l}}{(1 + s_{1})(1 + s_{1}^{l})} \frac{s_{2}^{α - l}}{(1 + s_{2})(1 + s_{2}^{l})} \\
&\times \max \left\{ a_{\alpha l}^{a}, b_{\alpha l}^{a} \right\} M_{r} \int_{0}^{s_{1}} \left( 1 - w \right)^{α - 1} w^{l} dw \\
&\quad + M_{r} \max \left\{ a_{\alpha l}^{a}, b_{\alpha l}^{a} \right\} \int_{s_{1}/s_{2}}^{1} \left( 1 - w \right)^{α - 1} w^{l} dw \\
&\quad + M_{r} \left[ \int_{0}^{s_{1}} \left( 1 - w \right)^{α - 1} w^{l} dw \right. \\
&\quad \quad \quad + \int_{0}^{s_{2}} \left( 1 - w \right)^{α - 1} w^{l} dw \right] \\
&\quad \quad \quad \left. \int_{s_{1}/s_{2}}^{1} \left( 1 - w \right)^{α - 1} w^{l} dw \right] \\
&\to 0 \\
\end{align*}

uniformly as \( s_{1} \to s_{2} \) with \( s_{1}, s_{2} \in [a, b] \subset (t_{k}, t_{k+1}] \).

(72)
Thus,

\[
\begin{align*}
&\left| \frac{s_{1}^{\sigma-\alpha-1}}{1 + s_{1}} (Tx)(s_{1}) - \frac{s_{2}^{\sigma-\alpha-1}}{1 + s_{2}} (Tx)(s_{2}) \right| \\
&\quad \to 0
\end{align*}
\]  

(73)

uniformly as \(s_{1} \to s_{2}\) with \(s_{1}, s_{2} \in [a, b] \subset (t_{k}, t_{k+1}]\). Similarly, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
&\left| \frac{s_{1}^{p+\sigma-\alpha-1}}{1 + s_{1}} D_{0}^{p} (Tx)(s_{1}) - \frac{s_{2}^{p+\sigma-\alpha-1}}{1 + s_{2}} D_{0}^{p} (Tx)(s_{2}) \right| \\
&\quad \to 0
\end{align*}
\]  

(74)

uniformly as \(s_{1} \to s_{2}\) with \(s_{1}, s_{2} \in [a, b] \subset (t_{k}, t_{k+1}]\).

From (73) and (74), we conclude that \(TW\) is equicontinuous on finite closed interval on \((t_{k}, t_{k+1}](k = 1, 2, \ldots)\).

Now we prove that \(TW\) is equiconvergent as \(t \to 0^{+}\). For \(t \to t_{k}^{+}\) \((t \in (t_{k}, t_{k+1}]], k = 1, 2, \ldots)\), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
&\frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha-1}}{(1 + t)(1 + t^{\mu})} \left| (Tx)(t) - x_{0} \right| \\
&\quad \leq \frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha-1}}{(1 + t)(1 + t^{\mu})} \int_{0}^{t} (t - s)^{\alpha-1} q(s) f(s, x(s), D_{0}^{\alpha} x(s)) ds \\
&\quad \leq \frac{M_{\alpha} t^{\sigma}}{(1 + t)(1 + t^{\mu})} \int_{0}^{t_{k}} (1 - w)^{\alpha-1} w dw \\
&\quad \to 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } W \text{ as } t \to 0,
\end{align*}
\]

(75)

It follows that

\[
\frac{t^{p+\sigma-\alpha-1}}{1 + t^{\mu}} \left| D_{0}^{p} (Tx)(t) \right| \to 0
\]

(76)

uniformly in \(W\) as \(t \to 0^{+}\).

From (75), it follows that \(TW\) is equiconvergent as \(t \to 0^{+}\).
\[
\leq M_r \frac{t^\sigma}{(1 + t)(1 + t^\mu)} \\
\times \int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_k} \frac{(1 - w)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} w^j dw \\
+ M_r \frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha-l}}{(1 + t)(1 + t^\mu)} \\
\times \left[ \int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_k} \frac{(1 - w)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} w^j dw \\
- t_{k+1} \frac{(t_k - s)^{\alpha-1} - (t - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) \\
\times f(s, x(s), D^\alpha_0, x(s)) ds \right] \\
\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } W \text{ as } t \rightarrow t_k^+, \\
\frac{t^{p+\sigma-\alpha-l}}{1 + t^\mu} \\
\times \left| \int_{t_k}^{t_k} \left( (t_k - s)^{\alpha-p-1} - (t - s)^{\alpha-p-1} \right) \right| ds \\
\leq t^{\sigma-\alpha-l} \\
\leq M_r \frac{t^{p+\sigma-\alpha-l}}{1 + t^\mu} \\
\times \left| \int_{t_k}^{t_k} \left( (t_k - s)^{\alpha-p-1} - (t - s)^{\alpha-p-1} \right) \right| ds \\
\leq M_r \frac{t^{p+\sigma-\alpha-l}}{1 + t^\mu} \\
\times \left| \int_{t_k}^{t_k} \left( (t_k - s)^{\alpha-p-1} - (t - s)^{\alpha-p-1} \right) \right| ds \\
\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } \Omega_1 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.
\]

(77)

which imply that \( TW \) is equiconvergent as \( t \rightarrow t_k^+ \) \((k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots)\).

Our next task is to show that \( TW \) is equiconvergent as \( t \rightarrow \infty \). Observe that

\[
\frac{t^\sigma}{(1 + t)(1 + t^\mu)} \\
\times \left| (Tx)(t) - \left( x_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} I_j (t, x(t_j)) \right) \right| \\
\leq M_r \frac{t^{p+\sigma-\alpha-l}}{1 + t^\mu} \\
\times \left| \int_{t_k}^{t_k} \left( (t_k - s)^{\alpha-1} - (t - s)^{\alpha-1} \right) \right| ds \\
\leq M_r \frac{t^{p+\sigma-\alpha-l}}{1 + t^\mu} \\
\times \left| \int_{t_k}^{t_k} \left( (t_k - s)^{\alpha-1} - (t - s)^{\alpha-1} \right) \right| ds \\
\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } \Omega_1 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.
\]

(78)

Hence, \( TW \) is equiconvergent as \( t \rightarrow \infty \).

From the above steps, it follows that \( T \) is completely continuous. This completes the proof.
In the sequel, we need the following assumption:

\((H_1)\) \(f\) is a Carathéodory function such that

\[
|f(t, (1+t)(1+t^\mu/\alpha-\alpha-1)u_1, 1+t^\mu/\alpha-\alpha-1u_2) - C| \\
\leq m \sum_{i=1}^m A_i |u_1|^{\delta_1} + m \sum_{i=1}^m B_i |u_2|^{\delta_2},
\]  

\((79)\)

where \(0 < \delta_1 < \delta_2 < \ldots < \delta_m\) and \(A_i, B_i (i = 1, 2, \ldots, m), C \geq 0\) are real numbers;

\(((H_2))\) \(\{f\}_k (k = 1, 2, \ldots)\) is a Carathéodory sequence and there exist numbers \(A_{ki} \geq 0 (i = 1, 2, \ldots, m), D_k \geq 0 (k = 1, 2, \ldots), \delta_i \geq 0 (i = 1, 2, \ldots, m)\) such that

\[
I_k \left( t_k u \left( \frac{(1+t_k)(1+t_k^\mu)}{t_k^{\alpha-\alpha-1}} u \right) - D_k \right) \\
\leq \sum_{i=1}^m A_{ki} |u|^{\delta_i},
\]

\((80)\)

\(k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\) holds \(\forall t \in (0, \infty), u \in R\).

Furthermore, we set

\[
M_0 = \max \{M_1, M_2\},
\]

\((81)\)

where

\[
M_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m M_{\alpha, \mu} \left. \left. \left. B(\alpha, l + 1) \right/ \Gamma(\alpha) \right| \right| \left. A_i + B_i \right|
\]

\((82)\)

\[
+ M_{\alpha-\alpha-\mu} \sum_{j=1}^\infty \sum_{i=1}^m A_{ji} \|\Psi\|^{\delta_j},
\]

\(M_2 = M_{\alpha, \mu} \left. \left. \left. B(\alpha - \mu, l + 1) \right/ \Gamma(\alpha - \mu) \right| \right| \left. \sum_{i=1}^m A_i + B_i \right|
\]

\((83)\)

**Theorem 11.** Suppose that \((H_1)\) and \((H_2)\) hold. Then IVP \((6)\) has at least one solution \(x \in X\) if

\[
\delta_m < 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \delta_m = 1 \quad \text{with} \quad M_0 < 1 \quad \text{or}
\]

\[
\delta_m > 1 \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{\|\Psi\|^{\delta_m - \delta_m}}{\delta_m} \geq M_0.
\]

\((84)\)

**Proof.** Let \(X\) be the Banach space as defined in Section 2 and let \(T : X \rightarrow X\) be an operator given by \((98)\). In view of Lemma 8, it follows from the assumptions \((H_1)\) and \((H_2)\) that \(T\) is well defined and is completely continuous. Thus, we seek solutions of IVP \((6)\) by finding fixed points of \(T\) in \(X\).

Let us introduce

\[
\Psi(t) = C \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) ds + x_0 + \sum_{j=1}^k D_j t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}], \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,
\]

\((85)\)

It is easy to show that \(\Psi \in X\). For \(r > 0\), we define \(\bar{X}_r = \{x \in X : \|x - \Psi\| \leq r\}\). Then, for \(x \in \bar{X}_r\), we have

\[
\|x\| = \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \frac{t^{\alpha-\alpha-\mu}}{(1+t)(1+t^\mu)} |x(t)|, \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \frac{t^{\alpha-\alpha-\mu}}{1+t^\mu} |D^\mu_0(t) x(t)| \right\}
\]

\((86)\)

Using the assumptions \((H_1)\) and \((H_2)\), we find that

\[
\frac{t^{\alpha-\alpha-\mu}}{(1+t)(1+t^\mu)} |T(t)| \leq \frac{t^{\alpha-\alpha-\mu}}{(1+t)(1+t^\mu)} \left( \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} |q(s)| ds + \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} |f(s, x(s), D^\mu_0 x(s)) - C| ds \right)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{t^{\alpha-\alpha-\mu}}{(1+t)(1+t^\mu)} |D^\mu_0(t_j) x(t_j) - D_j|
\]

\[
\leq \frac{t^{\alpha-\alpha-\mu}}{(1+t)(1+t^\mu)} \left( \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \left| \frac{t^{\alpha-\alpha-\mu}}{(1+t)(1+t^\mu)} x(s) \right|^{\delta_j} + \sum_{i=1}^m B_i \left| t^{\alpha-\alpha-\mu} D^\mu_0 x(s) \right|^{\delta_j} \right] ds \right)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{t^{\alpha-\alpha-\mu}}{(1+t)(1+t^\mu)} \left| D^\mu_0(t_j) x(t_j) - D_j \right|
\]

\[
+ \frac{t^{\alpha-\alpha-\mu}}{(1+t)(1+t^\mu)} \left( \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \left( \frac{t^{\alpha-\alpha-\mu}}{(1+t)(1+t^\mu)} x(s) \right)^{\delta_j} + \sum_{i=1}^m B_i \left| t^{\alpha-\alpha-\mu} D^\mu_0 x(s) \right|^{\delta_j} \right] ds \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{t^{\alpha-\alpha-\mu}}{(1+t)(1+t^\mu)} \left( \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \left( \frac{t^{\alpha-\alpha-\mu}}{(1+t)(1+t^\mu)} x(s) \right)^{\delta_j} + \sum_{i=1}^m B_i \left| t^{\alpha-\alpha-\mu} D^\mu_0 x(s) \right|^{\delta_j} \right] ds \right)
\]

\[
\times \left( \frac{t^{\alpha-\alpha-\mu}}{(1+t)(1+t^\mu)} |D^\mu_0 x(s)|^{\delta_j} \right)\]
The operator $T$ has a fixed point $x \in \Omega_{r_0}$, which is a bounded solution of IVP (6).

(ii) In case $\delta_m = 1$, we choose

$$r_0 = \frac{\|\Psi\| M_0}{1 - M_0}.$$  \hfill (89)

Let $\Omega_{r_0} = \{x \in X : \|x\| < r_0\}$. Then it can easily be shown that $T\Omega_{r_0} \subset \Omega_{r_0}$. Thus, Schauder's fixed point theorem applies and the operator $T$ has a fixed point $x \in \Omega_{r_0}$, which is a bounded solution of IVP (6).

(iii) For $\delta_m > 1$, we choose $r = r_0 = \|\Psi\|/(\delta_m - 1)$ such that

$$\frac{r_0}{r_0 + \|\Psi\|} = \frac{\|\Psi\| M_0}{\delta_m - 1} \geq M_0.$$  \hfill (90)

Let $\Omega_{r_0} = \{x \in X : \|x\| < r_0\}$. As before, it is easy to show that $T\Omega_{r_0} \subset \Omega_{r_0}$. Then, it follows from Schauder's fixed point theorem that $T$ has a fixed point $x \in \Omega_{r_0}$, which corresponds to a solution of IVP (6). This completes the proof.
Theorem 12. Suppose that \((H_1)\) and \((H_2)\) hold with \(\sigma_m = 1\). Then IVP (6) has a unique solution \(x \in X\) if \(M_q < 1\).

Proof. By Theorem II, IVP (6) has at least one solution. Let \(x_1\) and \(x_2\) be two different solutions of IVP (6). Then \(\|x_1 - x_2\| > 0\), \(Tx_1 = x_1\), and \(Tx_2 = x_2\). Employing the method used in the proof of Theorem II, we find that

\[
\frac{t^{\sigma - \alpha - l}}{1 + t} \left( (Tx_1)(t) - (Tx_2)(t) \right) \leq M_1 \|x_1 - x_2\|,
\]

\[
\frac{t^{p+\sigma - \alpha - l}}{1 + t^p} \left[ D_0^p (Tx_1)(t) - D_0^p (Tx_2)(t) \right] \leq M_2 \|x_1 - x_2\|.
\]

Thus, \(\|Tx_1 - Tx_2\| \leq M_0 \|x_1 - x_2\|\). On the other hand, by (51), we get

\[
0 < \|x_1 - x_2\| = \|Tx_1 - Tx_2\| \leq M_0 \|x_1 - x_2\| < \|x_1 - x_2\|,
\]

which is a contradiction. Hence, IVP (6) has a unique solution \(x \in X\) if \(M_q < 1\). This completes the proof. \(\square\)

Next, consider the following IVP:

\[
c D_0^\alpha x(t) = q(t) f\left(t, x(t), D_0^\alpha x(t)\right), \quad t \in (0, \infty),
\]

\[
x(0) = x_0,
\]

\[
\Delta x (t_k) = I_k (t_k, x (t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots ,
\]

where \(x_0, a_k (k = 1, 2, \ldots)\) are constants, \(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|\) is convergent, and \(f\) is a Caratheodory function; there exists \(l \in (-1, -\alpha)\) such that \(|q(t)| \leq t^l\) for all \(t \in (0, \infty)\).

Theorem 13. Assume that the conditions \((H_1)\) and \((H_2)\) hold. Then every solution of (93) tends to \(x_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k t_k\) as \(t \to \infty\) provided that (84) is satisfied.

Proof. By Theorem II, there exist solutions for IVP (93) satisfying the integral equation

\[
x(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f\left(s, x(s), D_0^\alpha x(s)\right) ds
\]

\[
+ x_0 + \sum_{j=1}^k a_j t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}], \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]

Clearly,

\[
\max \left\{ \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \frac{t^{\sigma - \alpha - l}}{1 + t} |x(t)|, \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \frac{t^{p+\sigma - \alpha - l}}{1 + t^p} |D_0^p x(t)| \right\} \leq r < \infty.
\]

Since \(f\) is a Caratheodory function by \((H_1)\), therefore, there exists \(M_r > 0\) such that

\[
|f\left(t, x(t), D_0^\alpha x(t)\right)| \leq M_r, \quad t \in [0, \infty).
\]

Therefore, \(T_m\) is a Carathéodory function and \(\{t_k\}\) is a Carathéodory function sequence and \(\lambda_0 := \inf_{k=1,2,3, \ldots} (t_k - t_{k-1}) > 0\). Then

(i) \(T_m : Y \to Y\) is well defined;

(ii) the fixed point of the operator \(T_m\) coincides with the solution of IVP (7);

(iii) \(T_m : Y \to Y\) is completely continuous.
Proof. (i) For \( x \in Y \), we set

\[
\begin{align*}
 r &= \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \frac{t^{\sigma-a-1}}{(1 + t) (1 + t^\mu)} |x(t)|, \\
 & \quad \sup_{k=0,1,2,\ldots} \sup_{t \in \{t_k, t_{k+1} \}} \left| \frac{t^{\sigma-a-1}}{1 + t^\mu} D^p_t \tilde{I}_k x(t) \right| < +\infty.
\end{align*}
\]  

(99)

Since \( f \) is a Caratheodory function, \( \{I_k\} \) is Caratheodory function sequence; there exist positive numbers \( M_r > 0 \) and \( M_{rk} > 0 \) \((k = 1, 2, \ldots)\) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
& \left| f \left( t, x(t), D^p_t x(t) \right) \right| \leq M_r, \quad t \in [0, \infty), \\
& \left| I_k \left( t_k, x(t_k) \right) \right| \leq M_{rk}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_{rk} < \infty.
\end{align*}
\]  

(100)

It is easy to show that

\[
\begin{align*}
 T_{m} x \big|_{\{t_k, t_{k+1} \}} & \in C^0 (t_k, t_{k+1}), \\
 c D^p_t T_{m} x \big|_{\{t_k, t_{k+1} \}} & \in C^0 (t_k, t_{k+1}), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots.
\end{align*}
\]  

(101)

As in Lemma 9, we can show that

\[
\begin{align*}
 & \sup_{t \in (t_k, t_{k+1})} \frac{t^{\sigma-a-1}}{1 + t^\mu} \left| D^p_t \tilde{I}_k x(t) \right| < \infty, \\
 & \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \frac{t^{\sigma-a-1}}{(1 + t) (1 + t^\mu)} \left| x(t) \right| < \infty.
\end{align*}
\]  

(102)

Hence, \( T_{m} x \in Y \). This implies that \( T_{m} : Y \to Y \) is well defined.

(ii) It follows from Lemma 9 that the fixed point of the operator \( T_{m} \) coincides with the solution of IVP (7).

(iii) To show that \( T_{m} \) is completely continuous, we split the proof into several steps.

Step 1. \( T_{m} \) is continuous.

Let \( x_n \in Y \) with \( x_n \to x_0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). We will prove that \( T_{m} x_n \to T_{m} x_0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). It is easy to see that there exists \( r > 0 \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
 & \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \frac{t^{\sigma-a-1}}{(1 + t) (1 + t^\mu)} |x_n(t)|, \\
 & \quad \sup_{k=0,1,2,\ldots} \sup_{t \in \{t_k, t_{k+1} \}} \left| \frac{t^{\sigma-a-1}}{1 + t^\mu} D^p_t x_n(t) \right| \leq r < \infty,
\end{align*}
\]  

(103)

\[
\begin{align*}
 & \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \frac{t^{\sigma-a-1}}{(1 + t) (1 + t^\mu)} \left| x_n(t) - x_0(t) \right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \ n \to \infty,
\end{align*}
\]  

(104)

As in the proof of Lemma 10,

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \left| I_j \left( t_j, x_n(t_j) \right) - I_j \left( t_j, x_0(t_j) \right) \right| < \varepsilon, \quad n > N_1.
\]  

(105)

From \( \lambda_0 = \inf_{k=1,2,\ldots} (t_k - t_{k-1}) > 0 \), we get \( t_k > k \lambda_0 \) for all \( k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \).

Since \( \sum_{j=K+1}^{\infty} (1/j^{\sigma+1-\alpha}) \) is convergent, there exists \( K > 0 \) such that

\[
\sum_{j=K+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^{\sigma+1-\alpha}} < \varepsilon.
\]  

(106)

Then

\[
\begin{align*}
 & \frac{t^{\sigma-a-1}}{(1 + t) (1 + t^\mu)} \times \int_{t_k}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma (\alpha)} \\
 & \times \left| q(s) f \left( s, x_n(s), D^p_t \tilde{I}_k x_n(s) \right) - q(s) f \left( s, x_0(s), D^p_t \tilde{I}_k x_0(s) \right) \right| ds \\
 & \quad \times \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \left( t_j - s \right)^{\alpha-1} \Gamma (\alpha) \\
 & \quad \times \left| q(s) f \left( s, x_n(s), D^p_t \tilde{I}_k x_n(s) \right) - q(s) f \left( s, x_0(s), D^p_t \tilde{I}_k x_0(s) \right) \right| ds \\
 & \leq 2 M_r \frac{t^{\sigma-a-1}}{(1 + t) (1 + t^\mu)} \int_{t_k}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma (\alpha)} s^\prime ds \\
 & \quad + 2 M_r \frac{t^{\sigma-a-1}}{(1 + t) (1 + t^\mu)} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \left( t_j - s \right)^{\alpha-1} \Gamma (\alpha) s^\prime ds \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{t^{\alpha+1-\sigma}} \int_{t_{j-1}/t}^{1} \frac{(1 - w)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma (\alpha)} w^\prime dw.
\end{align*}
\]  

(107)
\[
\frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha}}{(1+t)(1+t^\mu)} \\
\times \sum_{j=1}^{K} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds \\
\times q(s) f \left( s, x_n(s), D^\mu t^p x_n(s) \right) - q(s) f \left( s, x_0(s), D^\mu t^p x_0(s) \right) ds \\
\leq \frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha}}{(1+t)(1+t^\mu)} \\
\times \sum_{j=1}^{K} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds \\
\times s \frac{\epsilon}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} (1/t_{j+1}^{\mu+\sigma})} ds \\
\leq \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} (1/t_{j+1}^{\mu+\sigma})} \\
\times \sum_{j=1}^{K} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \frac{(1-w)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} w^{\mu} dw \\
\leq B(\alpha, l+1) \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \epsilon, \quad n > N_2.
\]

Thus, for \( t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}] \) (\( k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \)) with \( n > N_2 \), we have
\[
\frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha}}{(1+t)(1+t^\mu)} \left| (T_m x_n)(t) - (T_m x_0)(t) \right| \\
\leq \frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha}}{(1+t)(1+t^\mu)} \left| (T_m x_n)(t) - (T_m x_0)(t) \right| \\
\times \left| f \left( s, x_n(s), D^\mu t^p x_n(s) \right) - f \left( s, x_0(s), D^\mu t^p x_0(s) \right) \right| ds
\]
\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| I_j \left( t_j, x_n(t_j) \right) - I_j \left( t_j, x_0(t_j) \right) \right| \\
+ \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + t) (1 + t^\beta)} \\
\times \left| q(s) \right| \\
\times \left| f \left( s, x_n(s), D_{t_j}^\mu x_n(s) \right) - f \left( s, x_0(s), D_{t_j}^\mu x_0(s) \right) \right| ds \\
\leq 3\epsilon + 4M_\sigma \frac{B(\alpha, l + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{1}{\lambda^{\mu+1-\sigma}\epsilon} \\
+ \frac{B(\alpha, l + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \epsilon, \quad n > N_2.
\]

In consequence,
\[
\sup_{k=0,1,2,\ldots} \sup_{t \in (t_k, t_{k+1})} \left| (T_m x_n)(t) - (T_m x_0)(t) \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\]

Similarly, we can show that
\[
\sup_{k=0,1,2,\ldots} \sup_{t \in (t_k, t_{k+1})} \frac{t^{\rho+\sigma-\alpha-1}}{1 + t^\mu} \\
\times \left| D_{t_k}^\mu (T_m x_n)(t) - D_{t_k}^\mu (T_m x_0)(t) \right| \rightarrow 0
\]

as \( n \rightarrow \infty \).

From (112) and (113), it follows that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} T_m x_n = T_m x_0 \) which implies that \( T_m \) is continuous.

Let \( W \subset X \) be a nonempty bounded set. To prove that \( T_m \) is completely continuous, we need to prove that \( T_m W \) is bounded, \( T_m W \) is equicontinuous on finite closed sub-interval on \( (t_k, t_{k+1}) \) \( (k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots) \), \( T_m W \) is equiconvergent at \( t = t_k \) \( (k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots) \), and \( T_m W \) is equiconvergent at \( t = \infty \).

Step 2. As in the proof of Lemma 10, it is easy to show that \( T_m W \) is bounded.

Step 3. We prove that \( T_m W \) is equicontinuous on finite closed sub-interval on \( (t_k, t_{k+1}) \) \( (k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots) \). For \( [a, b] \subset (t_k, t_{k+1}) \) with \( s_1, s_2 \in [a, b] \) with \( s_1 < s_2 \) and \( x \in W \), we have
\[
\left| \frac{s_1^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_1) (1 + s_2^\beta)} - \frac{s_2^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_1) (1 + s_2^\beta)} \right| \\
\times \frac{1}{J_{t_k}} \frac{(s_1 - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) \\
\times f \left( s, x(s), D_{t_k}^\mu x(s) \right) ds
\]

\[
\leq \left| \frac{s_1^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_1) (1 + s_2^\beta)} - \frac{s_2^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_1) (1 + s_2^\beta)} \right| \\
\times f \left( s, x(s), D_{t_k}^\mu x(s) \right) ds
\]

\[
\leq \left| \frac{s_1^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_1) (1 + s_2^\beta)} - \frac{s_2^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_1) (1 + s_2^\beta)} \right| \left| \frac{1}{J_{t_k}} \frac{(s_1 - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right| \\
\times f \left( s, x(s), D_{t_k}^\mu x(s) \right) ds
\]

\[
\leq \left| \frac{s_1^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_1) (1 + s_2^\beta)} - \frac{s_2^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_1) (1 + s_2^\beta)} \right| \left| \frac{1}{J_{t_k}} \frac{(s_1 - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right| \\
\times f \left( s, x(s), D_{t_k}^\mu x(s) \right) ds
\]

\[
\leq \left| \frac{s_1^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_1) (1 + s_2^\beta)} - \frac{s_2^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_1) (1 + s_2^\beta)} \right| \left| \frac{1}{J_{t_k}} \frac{(s_1 - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right| \\
\times f \left( s, x(s), D_{t_k}^\mu x(s) \right) ds
\]

\[
\leq \left| \frac{s_1^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_1) (1 + s_2^\beta)} - \frac{s_2^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_1) (1 + s_2^\beta)} \right| \left| \frac{1}{J_{t_k}} \frac{(s_1 - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right| \\
\times f \left( s, x(s), D_{t_k}^\mu x(s) \right) ds
\]

\[
\leq \left| \frac{s_1^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_1) (1 + s_2^\beta)} - \frac{s_2^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_1) (1 + s_2^\beta)} \right| \left| \frac{1}{J_{t_k}} \frac{(s_1 - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right| \\
\times f \left( s, x(s), D_{t_k}^\mu x(s) \right) ds
\]

\[
\leq \left| \frac{s_1^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_1) (1 + s_2^\beta)} - \frac{s_2^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_1) (1 + s_2^\beta)} \right| \left| \frac{1}{J_{t_k}} \frac{(s_1 - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right| \\
\times f \left( s, x(s), D_{t_k}^\mu x(s) \right) ds
\]

\[
\leq \left| \frac{s_1^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_1) (1 + s_2^\beta)} - \frac{s_2^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_1) (1 + s_2^\beta)} \right| \left| \frac{1}{J_{t_k}} \frac{(s_1 - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right| \\
\times f \left( s, x(s), D_{t_k}^\mu x(s) \right) ds
\]

\[
\leq \left| \frac{s_1^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_1) (1 + s_2^\beta)} - \frac{s_2^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_1) (1 + s_2^\beta)} \right| \left| \frac{1}{J_{t_k}} \frac{(s_1 - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right| \\
\times f \left( s, x(s), D_{t_k}^\mu x(s) \right) ds
\]
\[
+ \frac{s_1^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_1)(1 + s_1^\gamma)} M_r s_2^\alpha \int_{s_1/s_2}^1 (1 - w)^{\alpha-1} w^j \, dw \\
+ \frac{s_2^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_1)(1 + s_1^\gamma)} \int_{s_1/s_2}^1 (1 - w)^{\alpha-1} w^j \, dw \\
\times \int_{s_1}^{s_2} (s_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} (s_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} s^j \, ds \\
\leq \left| \frac{s_1^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_1)(1 + s_1^\gamma)} - \frac{s_2^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_2)(1 + s_2^\gamma)} \right| \\
\times s_2^\alpha M_r \int_0^1 (1 - w)^{\alpha-1} w^j \, dw \\
+ \bar{M}_r \max \{a^{\alpha+1}, b^{\alpha+1}\} \int_{s_1/s_2}^1 (1 - w)^{\alpha-1} w^j \, dw \\
+ \bar{M}_r \left| s_1^{\alpha+1} - s_2^{\alpha+1} \right| \int_0^1 (1 - w)^{\alpha-1} w^j \, dw \\
+ \max \{a^{\alpha+1}, b^{\alpha+1}\} \int_{s_1/s_2}^1 (1 - w)^{\alpha-1} w^j \, dw \\
\rightarrow 0
\]

uniformly as \( s_1 \rightarrow s_2 \) with \( s_1, s_2 \in [a, b] \subset (t_k, t_{k+1}] \).

So

\[
\left| \frac{s_1^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_1)(1 + s_1^\gamma)} (T_m x)(s_1) - \frac{s_2^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_2)(1 + s_2^\gamma)} (T_m x)(s_2) \right| \\
\leq \left| \frac{s_1^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_1)(1 + s_1^\gamma)} - \frac{s_2^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_2)(1 + s_2^\gamma)} \right| \\
\times \int_{s_1}^{s_2} (s_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} (s_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} s^j \, ds \\
- \frac{s_2^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_2)(1 + s_2^\gamma)} \int_0^1 (1 - w)^{\alpha-1} w^j \, dw \\
\rightarrow 0
\]

uniformly as \( s_1 \rightarrow s_2 \) with \( s_1, s_2 \in [a, b] \subset (t_k, t_{k+1}] \).

It follows that

\[
\left| \frac{s_1^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_1)(1 + s_1^\gamma)} (T_m x)(s_1) \\
- \frac{s_2^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + s_2)(1 + s_2^\gamma)} (T_m x)(s_2) \right| \rightarrow 0
\]
uniformly as $s_1 \to s_2$ with $s_1, s_2 \in [a, b] \subset (t_k, t_{k+1})$.

In a similar manner, one can find that

$$
\left| \frac{s^{p+\sigma-\lambda-1}}{1 + s^{p+\sigma-\lambda-1}} \mathcal{D}^p_{t_k} (T_m x) (s) - \frac{s^{p+\sigma-\lambda-1}}{1 + s^{p+\sigma-\lambda-1}} \mathcal{D}^p_{t_k} (T_m x) (s_2) \right|
= \left| \int_{s_2}^{s_1} \left( \frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha-\lambda}}{1 + t^\sigma} \mathcal{D}^p_{t_k} x(s) \right) ds \right|
\to 0
$$

uniformly as $s_1 \to s_2$ with $s_1, s_2 \in [a, b] \subset (t_k, t_{k+1})$.

From (116) and (117), we deduce that $T_m W$ is equiconvergent on finite closed interval on $(t_k, t_{k+1})$.

**Step 4.** We prove that $T_m W$ is equiconvergent as $t \to t_k^+$ ($k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$).

As in Lemma 10, $T_m W$ is equiconvergent as $t \to 0^+$. For $t \to t_k^+$, we have

$$
\left| \frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha-\lambda}}{1 + t^\sigma} \int_{t_k}^{t} \left( \frac{t^{\alpha-\lambda} - s^{\alpha-\lambda}}{1 + t^\alpha} \mathcal{D}^p_{t_k} x(s) \right) ds \right|
\leq \frac{M_r}{1 + t^\sigma} \int_{t_k}^{t} \left( \frac{1 - w^{\alpha-\lambda}}{1 + w^\alpha} w^\lambda dw \right)
$$

Hence, $T_m W$ is equiconvergent at $t \to t_k^+$ ($k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$).

**Step 5.** $T_m W$ is equiconvergent as $t \to \infty$. Notice that

$$
\left| \frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha-\lambda}}{1 + t^\sigma} \int_{t_k}^{t} \left( \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) \right) \mathcal{D}^p_{t_k} x(s) ds \right|
\leq M_r \frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha-\lambda}}{1 + t^\sigma} \int_{t_k}^{t} \left( 1 - w^{\alpha-\lambda} \right) w^\lambda dw
$$

$\to 0$ uniformly in $W$ as $t \to \infty$ ($k \to \infty$).
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\[ \frac{t^{p+\sigma-\alpha-1}}{1+t^\mu} \left| D^\rho_{\alpha^+} \left( T_m x \right)(t) \right| \]
\[ \leq \frac{t^{p+\sigma-\alpha-1}}{1+t^\mu} t^{\alpha+1-p} \times \left( \int_{t_k/t}^1 (1-w)^{\sigma-\rho-1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \overline{M}_w dw \right) \]
\[ \leq \frac{t^\rho}{1+t^\mu} \left( \int_{t_k/t}^1 (1-w)^{\sigma-\rho-1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \overline{M}_w dw \right) \]
\[ \to 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } W \text{ as } t \to \infty. \]

(120)

Hence, \( T_m W \) is equiconvergent as \( t \to \infty \). This completes the proof in which \( T_m \) is completely continuous. \( \square \)

**Theorem 15.** Assume that \((H_1)\) and \((H_2)\) hold. Then IVP (7) has at least one solution \( x \in X \) if

\[
\delta_m < 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \delta_m = 1 \quad \text{with} \quad N_0 < 1 \quad \text{or}
\]
\[ \delta_m > 1 \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{\|\Psi\|^{-\delta_m}(\delta_m - 1)^{\delta_m - 1}}{\Gamma(\delta_m) \epsilon^\delta_m} \geq N_0, \quad (121)
\]

where \( N_0 = \max \{M_2, M_3\} \), \( M_2 \) is given by (83) and

\[
M_3 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( M_{\alpha',\beta} \frac{B(\alpha',l+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha')} \right.
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{\lambda_0^{\mu-\alpha+1}} \frac{B(\alpha,l+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^{\mu-\alpha+1}} \right)
\]
\[ \times \left[ A_i + B_i \right] + M_{\sigma-\alpha-1,\mu} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} A_{ij} \|\Psi\|^{\delta_{ij}}. \]

**Proof.** Let \( Y \) denote the Banach space equipped with the norm \( \| \cdot \| \) (introduced in Section 2). Let \( T_m : Y \to Y \) be an operator defined by (98). In view of Lemma 8, we need to show that the operator \( T_m \) has a fixed point in \( Y \) which will be a solution of IVP (7). By Lemma 14, \( T_m \) is well defined and completely continuous. Let us introduce

\[
\Phi(t) = C \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \frac{q(s)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds
\]
\[ + C \sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (t_j-s)^{\alpha-1} \frac{q(s)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds + x_0 \]
\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{k} D_j, \quad t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}], \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots. \]

It is easy to show that \( \Phi \in Y \). Let \( \overline{r} > 0 \) and define

\[
\overline{\Omega}_r = \{ x \in Y : \| x - \Phi \| \leq \overline{r} \}. \quad (124)
\]

For \( x \in \overline{\Omega}_r \), we have \( \| x - \Phi \| \leq \overline{r} \). Then

\[
\| x \| = \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha-1}}{(1+t)(1+t^\mu)} \left| x(t) \right|, \right.
\]
\[ \sup_{k=0,1,2,...} \sup_{t \in (t_k,t_{k+1})} \frac{t^{p+\sigma-\alpha-1}}{1+t^\mu} \left| D^\rho_{\alpha^+} x(t) \right| \]
\[ \leq \| x - \Phi \| + \| \Phi \| \leq r + \| \Phi \|. \quad (125)
\]

Using the assumptions \((H_1)\) and \((H_2)\), we find that

\[
\frac{t^{p-\alpha-1}}{(1+t)(1+t^\mu)} \left| \left( T_m x \right)(t) - \Phi(t) \right|
\]
\[ \leq \frac{t^{p-\alpha-1}}{(1+t)(1+t^\mu)} \left( \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \frac{q(s)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right.
\]
\[ \times \left[ f(s, x(s), D^\rho_{\alpha^+} x(s)) - C \right] ds
\]
\[ + \frac{t^{p-\alpha-1}}{(1+t)(1+t^\mu)} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left[ f(j, x(t_j)) - D_j \right] \]
\[ \leq \frac{t^{p-\alpha-1}}{(1+t)(1+t^\mu)} \left( \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \frac{q(s)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right.
\]
\[ \times \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i \left| \frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha-1}}{1+t^\mu} x(s) \right|^{\delta_i} \right. \]
\[ + \left. \sum_{j=1}^{k} B_j \left| \frac{t^{p+\sigma-\alpha-1}}{1+t^\mu} D^\rho_{\alpha^+} x(s) \right|^{\delta_j} \right] ds
\]
\[ + \frac{t^{p-\alpha-1}}{(1+t)(1+t^\mu)} \left( \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \frac{q(s)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right. \]
\[ \times \left. \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left[ f(j, x(t_j)) - D_j \right] \right] ds
\]
\[ \tag{126}
\]
\begin{align*}
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left[ A_{i} + B_{i} \right] \| x \|^{\delta}, \\
& \quad + M_{\sigma-\alpha-\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{ji} \| x \|^{\delta}, \\
& \quad \leq M_{\alpha, \mu} \left[ B(\alpha, l + 1) \frac{m}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[ A_{i} + B_{i} \right] \| x \|^{\delta}, \\
& \quad + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{0}^{\mu-\sigma+1}} \frac{B(\alpha, l + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{j}^{\mu-\sigma+1}} \left[ A_{i} + B_{i} \right] + M_{\sigma-\alpha-\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{ji} \| x \|^{\delta}, \\
& \quad \times \left[ r + \| \Phi \| \right]^{\delta}, \\
& \quad \leq N_{1} \left[ r + \| \Phi \| \right]^{\delta_{n}}.
\end{align*}

Furthermore, we have
\begin{align*}
& \frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha-l}}{1 + t^{\mu}} \frac{\int_{t_{k}}^{t} \left( T_{m} \Phi \right)(t) - \int_{t_{k}}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{ji} \| x \|^{\delta}, \\
& \quad \leq \frac{t^{\sigma-\alpha-l}}{1 + t^{\mu}} \int_{t_{k}}^{t} \left( x(s) - \left( T_{m} \Phi \right)(t) \right) \| x \|^{\delta}, \\
& \quad \times \left| f \left( s, x(s), D_{t_{k}}^{\alpha} x(s) \right) - C \right| ds
\end{align*}
\[
\begin{align*}
&\leq \frac{t^{\sigma-a-1}}{1+t^\mu} \\
&\times \int_t^1 \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-p-1}}{\Gamma (\alpha-p)} s^{j} \\
&\times \left[\sum_{i=1}^m A_i \left| \frac{r^{\sigma-a-l}}{1+t^\mu} x(s) \right|^{\delta_i} + \sum_{i=1}^m B_i \| x \|^\delta_i \right] ds \\
&\leq M_{\sigma,\mu} \frac{B (\alpha-p,l+1)}{\Gamma (\alpha-p)} \\
&\times \left[\sum_{i=1}^m A_i \| x \|^\delta_i + \sum_{i=1}^m B_i \| x \|^\delta_i \right] \\
&\leq M_{\sigma,\mu} \frac{B (\alpha-p,l+1)}{\Gamma (\alpha-p)} \sum_{i=1}^m [A_i + B_i] \| x \|^\delta_i \\
&\leq [r + \| \Phi \|^\delta_m N_2].
\end{align*}
\]

Thus, it follows that
\[
\| T_m x - \Phi \| \leq [r + \| \Phi \|^\delta_m N_2]. \tag{128}
\]

Now we discuss the cases for different values of \( \delta_m \).

(i) For \( \delta_m < 1 \), we can choose \( \bar{r}_0 > 0 \) sufficiently large so that \( [\bar{r}_0 + \| \Phi \|^\delta_m N_2] < \bar{r}_0 \). Let \( \Omega_{\bar{r}_0} = \{ x \in Y : \| x \| < \bar{r}_0 \} \). It is easy to show that \( T_m \Omega_{\bar{r}_0} \subset \Omega_{\bar{r}_0} \). Then, the Schauder fixed point theorem implies that the operator \( T_m \) has a fixed point \( x \in \Omega_{\bar{r}_0} \), which is a bounded solution of IVP (7).

(ii) For \( \delta_m = 1 \), we select
\[
\bar{r}_0 \geq \frac{\| \Phi \| N_0}{1-N_0}. \tag{129}
\]

Let \( \Omega_{\bar{r}_0} = \{ x \in Y : \| x \| < \bar{r}_0 \} \). It can easily be shown that \( T_m \Omega_{\bar{r}_0} \subset \Omega_{\bar{r}_0} \). Then, the Schauder fixed point theorem applies and the operator \( T_m \) has a fixed point \( x \in \Omega_{\bar{r}_0} \), which is a bounded solution of IVP (7).

(iii) For \( \delta_m > 1 \), we set \( \bar{r}_0 = \| \Phi \| / (\delta_m - 1) \) so that
\[
\frac{\bar{r}_0}{(\bar{r}_0 + \| \Phi \|)^{\delta_m}} \geq N_0. \tag{130}
\]

Let \( \Omega_{\bar{r}_0} = \{ x \in Y : \| x \| < \bar{r}_0 \} \). Then we can show that \( T_m \Omega_{\bar{r}_0} \subset \Omega_{\bar{r}_0} \). Thus, by the Schauder fixed point theorem, the operator \( T_m \) has a fixed point \( x \in \Omega_{\bar{r}_0} \), which is a solution of IVP (7). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Theorem 16.** Suppose that \( (H_1) \) and \( (H_2) \) hold with \( \delta_m = 1 \). Then IVP (7) has a unique solution \( x \in Y \) if \( N_0 < 1 \).

**Proof.** The proof is similar to that of Theorem 12, so we omit it. \( \square \)

### 5. Applications

Malthusian geometrical law is expressed as \( N'(t) = r N(t) \), where \( N(t) \) is the population at time \( t \) and \( r \) is the proportionality constant. When the growth of the population in any environment is stopped due to the density of the population, this model modifies to a nonlinear logistic model. The generalization of the nonlinear model is represented by
\[
N'(t) = r N(t)(1 - N(t)/\pi). \tag{131}
\]

In [32], Das et al. presented the following fractional-order logistic model (Das Model):
\[
D_t^\alpha N(t) = \frac{r}{\alpha} N(t) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{N(t)}{\pi} \right)^\alpha \right], \quad 0 < \beta \leq 1. \tag{132}
\]

In [33], the authors presented the following logistic model with fractional order:
\[
cD_t^\alpha x(t) = x(t) [a(t) - b(t)(x(t))] , \quad t \in (0, \infty), \quad t \neq t_k, \tag{133}
\]
\[
\Delta x(t_k) = I_k (x(t_k^-)), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, \tag{134}
\]
\[
x(0) = x_0, \tag{135}
\]

where \( T > 0 \) is a constant, \( I_k : R \to R (k = 1, 2, \ldots, m) \) are impulse functions, \( a(t) \in [a_*, a^*] \), and \( b(t) \in [b_*, b^*] \) with \( a_* > 0, b_* > 0 \).
As an application of the main results established in the paper, we discuss the sufficient conditions for the existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions for the logistic models:

\[ \frac{\Delta x(t_k)}{x(t_k)} = I_k(t_k, x(t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, \]

\[ x(0) = x_0, \]

(133)

\[ \frac{\Delta x(t_k)}{x(t_k)} = I_k(t_k, x(t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, \]

\[ x(0) = x_0, \]

(134)

where \( 0 < t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < \cdots, \alpha \in (0, 1], \delta > 0, \) \( a, b : (0, \infty) \rightarrow R \) are continuous functions, and \( a_k \in R \rightarrow R, 1 \leq k \leq \infty \) are constants.

Theorem 17. Suppose that

\[ \left( 1 + t \right) \left( 1 + t^\mu \right) \frac{a(t)}{t^{\sigma - \alpha - l}} \leq a_0, \]

(135)

\[ \left( 1 + t \right) \left( 1 + t^\mu \right) \frac{b(t)}{t^{\sigma - \alpha - l}} \leq b_0, \quad t \in (0, \infty), \]

and there exists \( D_k \in R, A_{k1}, A_{k2} \geq 0 \) such that

\[ \left| I_k \left( t_k, \left( 1 + t^\mu \right) \frac{a(t)}{t^{\sigma - \alpha - l}} u \right) - D_k \right| \leq A_{k1} |u| + A_{k2} |u|^2, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, u \in R. \]

(136)

Then IVP (133) has at least one solution if

\[ 4 \left\| \Phi \right\| M_0 \leq 1, \]

(137)

where

\[ \Phi(t) = x_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} D_j, \quad t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}], \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \]

\[ M_1 = \left[ M_{\sigma, \mu} B(a, l + 1) \frac{a_0 + M_{\sigma, \alpha - l} \sum \alpha_j}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right] \left\| \Psi \right\|^{-1} \]

(138)

\[ + M_{\sigma, \mu} B(a, l + 1) \frac{b_0 + M_{\sigma, \alpha - l} \sum \alpha_j b}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, \]

\[ M_2 = M_{\sigma, \mu} B(a - p, l + 1) \frac{a_0 + b_0}{\Gamma(a - p)}, \]

\[ M_0 = \max \{M_1, M_2\}. \]

Proof. Let \( f(t, u) = u[a(t) - b(t)u^\delta] \). Then

\[ \left| f \left( t, \left( 1 + t \right) \left( 1 + t^\mu \right) \frac{a(t)}{t^{\sigma - \alpha - l}} u \right) \frac{1 + t^\mu}{t^{\sigma - \alpha - l}} \right| \]

\[ = \left( 1 + t \right) \left( 1 + t^\mu \right) a(t) |u| \]

(139)

\[ + b(t) \left( 1 + t \right) \frac{1 + t^\mu}{t^{\sigma - \alpha - l}} |u|^\delta + 1 \]

\[ \leq a_0 |u| + b_0 |u|^\delta + 1. \]

In association with Theorem 11, we choose \( C = 0, A_1 = a_0, \)

\[ A_1 = a_1, \delta_1 = 1, \delta_2 = 2, B_1 = B_2 = 0. \]

Then the conditions \((H_1)\) and \((H_2)\) hold. By Theorem 11, IVP (133) has at least one solution. This completes the proof.

\[ \Box \]

Theorem 18. Suppose that

\[ \left( 1 + t \right) \left( 1 + t^\mu \right) \frac{a(t)}{t^{\sigma - \alpha - l}} \leq a_0, \]

(140)

\[ \left( 1 + t \right) \left( 1 + t^\mu \right) \frac{b(t)}{t^{\sigma - \alpha - l}} \leq b_0, \quad t \in (0, \infty) \]

and there exists \( D_k \in R, A_{k1}, A_{k2} \geq 0 \) such that

\[ \left| I_k \left( t_k, \left( 1 + t^\mu \right) \frac{a(t)}{t^{\sigma - \alpha - l}} u \right) - D_k \right| \leq A_{k1} |u| + A_{k2} |u|^2, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, u \in R. \]

(141)

Then IVP (134) has at least one solution if

\[ 4 N_0 \left\| \Psi \right\| \leq 1, \]

(142)

where

\[ \Psi(t) = x_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} D_j, \quad t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}], \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \]

\[ M_1 = \left[ M_{\sigma, \mu} B(a, l + 1) \frac{a_0 + M_{\sigma, \alpha - l} \sum \alpha_j}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right] \left\| \Psi \right\|^{-1} \]

(138)

\[ + M_{\sigma, \mu} B(a, l + 1) \frac{b_0 + M_{\sigma, \alpha - l} \sum \alpha_j b}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, \]

\[ M_2 = M_{\sigma, \mu} B(a - p, l + 1) \frac{a_0 + b_0}{\Gamma(a - p)}, \]

\[ M_0 = \max \{M_1, M_2\}. \]
\[ M_3 = \left( M_{\sigma,\mu} \frac{B(\alpha, D + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right. \]
\[ + \frac{1}{\lambda_{\sigma}^{\mu-\alpha+1}} \frac{B(\alpha, l + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma_{\mu-\alpha+1}-1} a_j \]
\[ + M_{\sigma-\alpha-l,\mu} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j \parallel \Psi \parallel^{-1} \]
\[ + \left( M_{\sigma,\mu} \frac{B(\alpha, l + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right. \]
\[ + \frac{1}{\lambda_{\sigma}^{\mu-\alpha+1}} \frac{B(\alpha, l + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma_{\mu-\alpha+1}-1} b_j \]
\[ + M_{\sigma-\alpha-l,\mu} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j b_j \]
\[ M_2 = M_{\sigma,\mu} \frac{B(\alpha - p, l + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha - p)} (a_0 + b_0) \]
\[ N_0 = \max\{M_2, M_3\} \]

Proof. The proof immediately follows from Theorem 15.
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