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1.1 Scope

Photoredox catalysis has emerged as an important tool for synthetic, medicinal, process, and materials chemists alike. Most state-of-the-art photoredox catalysts today are metal complexes or organic dyes which absorb high energy visible light to generate an excited state capable of acting as a potent single-electron donor, acceptor, or triplet sensitizer. Recently, our group and others have explored a different modality of photoredox catalysis: one which utilizes lower energy near-infrared (NIR) light to generate these reactive excited states.

NIR photoredox catalysis is a complementary method to traditional photoredox catalysis in that it offers access to products which may be inaccessible with high energy visible-light photoredox catalysis. Substrates with extended p-systems or photolabile functionalities may competitively absorb the incident light, which could lead to photocatalyzed substrate decomposition. Additionally, the depth to which NIR light can penetrate various barriers such as biological tissue is greater, allowing for catalysis in vivo. Finally, photoredox catalysis is generally energetically inefficient, due to the thermal relaxation via intersystem crossing that the excited singlet must undergo in order to reach the catalytically active triplet state. However, due to strong spin-orbit coupling, osmium-based photocatalysts undergo spin-forbidden excitation to directly reach the triplet state upon irradiation with NIR light. Due to the relatively low extinction coefficient of this transition relative to that of the ground state to singlet transition, the incident NIR light can penetrate further into the reaction flask, allowing for photoredox catalysis in batch at mole-scale. Thus, osmium-complex-catalyzed NIR photoredox catalysis offers energetically efficient catalysis which may be compatible with photo-labile functionalities and could be used in applications on scale and in biological systems.

Poly(pyridyl) complexes of osmium were first synthesized and characterized in the 1940’s and 50’s, and have since been studied in various applications including dyesensitized solar cells, as biological probes, and binuclear complexes. Previously, our group developed several osmium-based photocatalysts and demonstrated their competency at carrying out various transformations with NIR irradiation. As the ability of these chromophores to achieve reactivity previously only accomplished with near-UV or visible light has now been established, our next goal is to develop a library of catalysts with a wide range of electrochemical properties in order to facilitate the adoption of NIR photoredox catalysis to a broader scope of reactions. Additionally, by identifying the ways in which the electronic structure of the ligands in our library affects the electrochemical and photophysical properties of these complexes, we hope that this manuscript may be used as a guide for future ligand design.
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1 Introduction

1.1 Scope

Photocatalysis driven by visible and ultraviolet irradiation is a fundamental tool for synthetic chemists. Recently, expansion of this tool to near-infrared (NIR) light has gained in popularity. Herein, we report the detailed photophysical characterization of a library of Os poly(pyridyl) photosensitizers that absorb NIR irradiation. By tuning ligand scaffold and electron density, we access a range of synthetically useful excited state energies and redox potentials.
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1.2 Measuring Ground-State Redox Potentials

Ground-state redox potentials are measured using cyclic voltammetry (CV). For a reversible redox event, an anodic and cathodic wave are observed, where the standard potential of the reaction is reported as \( E_{1/2} \) or halfway between the anodic and cathodic peak currents. At \( E_{1/2} \) \( [\text{ox}] = [\text{red}] \) at the electrode surface (Figure 1A). For an irreversible redox event, no return wave is observed. Thus, an \( E_{1/2} \) value cannot be calculated. In these cases, we take \( E_{\text{pc}} \) as the value for the formal potential (Figure 1B).

Redox potentials measured in CV must be reported relative to a known reference. While many common aqueous reference electrodes are frequently used to characterize photocatalysts, these are not ideal for measuring accurate redox potentials in organic solvents. This is due to the junction potential which may arise when the aqueous electrolyte diffuses into the organic solution and vice versa. The electrochemical data for these experiments were referenced (in acetonitrile with TBAPF\(_6\)) as supporting electrolyte. Thus, all redox potentials were referenced to the ferrocene/ferrocenium (Fc/Fc\(^+\)) couple using a pseudo reference electrode and ferrocene as an internal standard.

1.3 Measuring Photophysical Properties

Once the ground state redox potentials are determined, the photophysical properties of the chromophore must be measured. The energy of the transitions from the ground state (\( S_0 \)) to first excited singlet (\( S_1 \)) and ground state to first excited triplet (\( T_1 \)) determines the wavelengths of incident light which can be used to excite an electron to these states. Additionally, in order to effectively absorb the incident light and be appreciably promoted to its excited state, the chromophore must have a sufficiently high extinction coefficient at the wavelength of irradiation. In order to probe these properties, UV-visible spectroscopy is employed. As described by the Beer-Lambert Law, the absorbance (\( A \)) of a given solution is directly dependent upon the path-length (\( \ell \)), the extinction coefficient (\( \varepsilon \)), and the concentration (\( c \)) of the analyte (Equation 1).

\[
A = \varepsilon c \ell
\]

Equation 1 The Beer-Lambert Law

Thus, by measuring the UV-visible spectrum of each chromophore and using the Beer-Lambert Law to determine their extinction coefficients at various wavelengths, we can determine which wavelengths of light can be used to excite each chromophore and how effectively each chromophore will absorb that light (Figure 2).

The photophysical properties are also vital for determining the excited-state redox potentials of a photocatalyst. Excited-state redox potentials (\( E_{1/2} \)) are commonly estimated using the Rehm-Weller equation, in which the ground state redox potentials (\( E_{1/2} \)) are adjusted using the vibrational zero-point energy (\( E_{0,0} \)) (Equations 2 and 3).

\[
(2) \quad E_{1/2}^{\text{red}} = E_{1/2}^{\text{red}} + E_{0,0} + \omega_r
\]

\[
(3) \quad E_{1/2}^{\text{ox}} = E_{1/2}^{\text{ox}} - E_{0,0} + \omega_r
\]

Equations 2 and 3 The Rehm-Weller Equation for estimating excited-state reduction (2) and oxidation (3) potentials.

The electrostatic work term (\( \omega_r \)) describes charge separation within the electron-transfer complex and is considered negligible. Determining an accurate value for \( E_{0,0} \) is key in estimating these excited-state potentials. Ideally, \( E_{0,0} \) can be determined empirically by measuring the emission spectrum at 77 K. If access to this type of instrumentation is not possible, \( E_{0,0} \) is commonly approximated. Many methods to estimate \( E_{0,0} \) have been previously reported, including using A) the wavelength of the emission maximum, B) the intersection of the normalized absorption and emission spectra, C) the \( x \)-intercept at 10% of the maximum height on the blue-shifted side of the emission spectrum, and D) the \( x \)-intercept of the tangent line drawn at the inflection point of the blue-shifted side of the emission spectrum. We compared these estimation methods with reported 77K \( E_{0,0} \) values of known osmium complexes in the literature. Based on this analysis, we have decided to take the lambda max of emission as the best estimate of \( E_{0,0} \) for Os\(^{L_x}\)-type complexes, while the tangent method is the best fit for Os\(^{L_2}\)-type complexes.
and photophysical data of 2bipyridyl or terpyridyl complexes in most cases. In the case of residual salt (except for be more effective for synthesis of diaza and high temperature (160 °C). OsCl₂ derivatives, Procedure A, Procedure B using (NH₄)₂OsCl₄ and milder reaction conditions (130 °C) proved to be more effective for synthesis of complexes Os₂₀, Os₂₁, Os₂₈, and Os₂₉. All complexes were precipitated as the PF₆ salt (except for Os₂₉ which was isolated as the chloride salt). Recrystallization from acetone with diethyl ether provided pure complexes in most cases. In the case of residual contamination by free ligand, column chromatography could be conducted on neutral alumina to afford the pure complexes.

1.4 Synthesis of Osmium Complexes

Two general methods were employed for the synthesis of the osmium complexes reported here (Scheme 1). Complexes Os₁⁻Os₁₉, Os₂₃, Os₂₄, and Os₂₅-Os₂₇ were synthesized by Procedure A, using OsCl₂ as an osmium source and high temperature (160-230 °C).⁴ Serendipitously, the diazafluorenone carbonyl of Os₂₃ was derivatized by the ethylene glycol solvent to form the acetal. Procedure B using (NH₄)₂OsCl₄ and milder reaction conditions (130 °C) proved to be more effective for synthesis of complexes Os₂₀, Os₂₁, Os₂₈, and Os₂₉. All complexes were precipitated as the PF₆ salt (except for Os₂₉ which was isolated as the chloride salt). Recrystallization from acetone with diethyl ether provided pure complexes in most cases. In the case of residual contamination by free ligand, column chromatography could be conducted on neutral alumina to afford the pure complexes.

2 Properties of Osmium Complexes

Herein, we report standardized electrochemical and photophysical properties of 29 osmium complexes, including six which have not been previously reported. Electrochemical and photophysical data for OsL₂ and OsL₂-type complexes are summarized in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Their structures are shown in Figures 4 and 5. We observed reversible oxidations and quasi- or irreversible reductions for all complexes.

2.1 Redox Potentials of OsL₂-type Complexes

This library contains 15 complexes which are derivatives of [Os(tpy)₂]²⁺PF₆ (Os₄). When the terpyridine ligand was substituted in the 4'-position with electron donating substituents (both alkyl groups and electron rich arenes), the metal-centered oxidation from Os³⁺ to Os⁴⁺ became more facile. This is evident in the negative shift in ground-state potentials of the Os³⁺/⁴⁺ couple. Complexes Os₉ (thiophene, 0.49 V, Table 1, Entry 6), Os₁₄ (tert-butyl, 0.46 V, Entry 2), Os₁₅ (4-methoxyphenyl, 0.47 V, Entry 7), and Os₁₇ (2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl, 0.44 V, Entry 8) are all negatively shifted relative to Os₄ (0.57 V, Entry 1). Complex Os₈ (furan, 0.52 V, Entry 5) is also negatively shifted, but to a lesser degree. Substituents at the 4'-position which increased the conjugation of the terpyridine ligand, Os₆ (biphenyl, 0.51 V, Entry 3) and Os₇ (naphthyl, 0.52 V, Entry 4), were negatively shifted to about the same degree as Os₈. Complex Os₁₀, which has both a phenyl group in the 4'-position and methyl groups in the 6- and 6''-positions, was also negatively shifted (0.50 V, Entry 9) relative to Os₄.

Several complexes of osmium and tridentate ligands consisting of electron rich heterocycles were synthesized. The ground state oxidation potential of complex Os₂₂ (bis-pyrazole, 0.38 V, Entry 16) was significantly negatively shifted from Os₄, and complex Os₁₁ (bis-benzimidazole, 0.11 V, Entry 17) had the most negatively shifted Os³⁺/⁴⁺ oxidation potential.

When the terpyridine ligand was substituted in the 4'-position with sigma withdrawing or electron deficientaryl substituents, its oxidation became more difficult. This led to a positive shift in ground state redox potentials. Both functionalizing the terpyridine with an electron withdrawing halogen distally, as in complex Os₃ (4-bromophenyl, 0.59 V, Entry 11), and directly on the 4'-position, as in complex Os₁₉ (chloride, 0.59 V, Entry 10), positively shifted the oxidation potential to the same extent. Complex Os₁₈ (pyridine, 0.61 V, Entry 12) showed that electron poor heterocycles in the 4'-position have a similar effect.

Surprisingly, among all the more electron rich derivatives, only Os₂₂ shows a decrease of ground state reduction potential (-2.10 V, Entry 16) compared to Os₄ (-1.73 V, Entry 1). The reduction potential of complex Os₁₉ (-1.55 V, Entry 10) as well as Os₃ (-1.62 V, Entry 11) are significantly positively shifted from Os₄. The reduction potential of Os₃ is in the same range as complexes whose ligands are substituted with electron neutral arenes, Os₆ (-1.62 V, Entry 3) and Os₇ (-1.63 V, Entry 4), which confirmed the observed phenomenon that distal substitution has only marginal effect on redox potential. Following the same trend, complex Os₁₈ shows a ground state reduction at -1.53 V (Entry 12).

Various tridentate ligands with electron poor pyrimidine scaffolds were synthesized. Three bis-pyrimidinyl analogs of Os₄ were developed with functionalization by an electron rich
Table 1: Electrochemical and Photophysical Properties of OsL<sub>2</sub>-type Complexes<sup>a</sup>

| Entry | Complex | E<sub>c</sub>(Os<sup>H</sup>) (V) | E<sub>c</sub>(Os<sup>L</sup>) (V) | T<sub>c</sub> (eV)<sup>b</sup> | E<sub>c</sub>(Os<sup>L</sup>)/V(V) | E<sub>c</sub>(Os<sup>H</sup>)/V(V) | E<sub>c</sub>G(V) | λ<sub>max</sub> (eV) | λ<sub>λmax</sub>/nm (eV<sup>1/2</sup>/M<sup>1/2</sup> cm<sup>1</sup>) |
|-------|---------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|
| 1     | Os4     | 0.57           | -1.73          | 1.81           | -1.24          | 0.08           | 2.3            | 1.74         | 279 (44.0), 311 (52.3), 476 (10.6), 539 (4.5), 601 (2.6), 628 (2.8), 660 (3.0) |
| 2     | Os14    | 0.46           | -1.22          | 1.80           | -1.24          | 0.08           | 2.18           | 1.73         | 311 (67.6), 481 (17.1), 600 (4.5), 626 (4.6), 656 (4.6) |
| 3     | Os6     | 0.51           | -1.62          | 1.76           | -1.25          | 0.14           | 2.13           | 1.68         | 316 (87.8), 492 (36.7), 614 (6.6), 642 (7.7), 669 (9.1) |
| 4     | Os7     | 0.52           | -1.63          | 1.78           | -1.26          | 0.15           | 2.15           | 1.70         | 316 (32.8), 493 (14.5), 609 (2.7), 640 (3.2), 665 (3.7) |
| 5     | Os8     | 0.52           | -1.61          | 1.72           | -1.20          | 0.11           | 2.13           | 1.64         | 314 (25.2), 498 (11.5), 617 (2.3), 647 (2.7), 675 (3.1) |
| 6     | Os9     | 0.49           | -1.64          | 1.75           | -1.26          | 0.11           | 2.13           | 1.65         | 314 (70.5), 492 (27.8), 614 (5.4), 643 (6.3), 669 (7.2) |
| 7     | Os15    | 0.47           | -1.66          | 1.76           | -1.29          | 0.10           | 2.13           | 1.68         | 314 (74.2), 493 (28.3), 608 (5.3), 641 (6.3), 669 (7.3) |
| 8     | Os17    | 0.44           | -1.70          | 1.77           | -1.33          | 0.07           | 2.14           | 1.68         | 313 (32.5), 492 (13.6), 609 (2.7), 641 (3.2), 670 (3.7) |
| 9     | Os10    | 0.50           | -1.62          | 1.73           | -1.23          | 0.11           | 2.12           | 1.65         | 303 (18.3), 392 (1.5), 499 (2.1), 653 (0.7), 683 (0.8), 725 (0.6) |
| 10    | Os19    | 0.59           | -1.55          | 1.79           | -1.20          | 0.24           | 2.14           | 1.71         | 325 (21.9), 482 (11.2), 606 (2.9), 638 (3.0), 662 (3.1) |
| 11    | Os3     | 0.59           | -1.62          | 1.77           | -1.18          | 0.15           | 2.21           | 1.69         | 283 (71.5), 313 (52.0), 489 (18.3), 610 (3.1), 639 (3.9), 670 (4.6) |
| 12    | Os18    | 0.61           | -1.53          | 1.76           | -1.15          | 0.23           | 2.14           | 1.67         | 492 (17.2), 567 (5.9), 610 (4.1), 646 (4.1), 671 (4.5) |
| 13    | Os2     | 0.77           | -1.45          | 1.79           | -1.02          | 0.34           | 2.22           | 1.70         | 301 (48.7), 348 (16.6), 484 (25.9), 602 (5.6), 631 (6.0), 658 (6.5) |
| 14    | Os1     | 0.65           | -1.44          | 1.78           | -1.13          | 0.34           | 2.09           | 1.69         | 306 (42.3), 332 (28.9), 490 (19.3), 603 (3.1), 634 (5.1), 660 (5.3) |
| 15    | Os16    | 0.78           | -1.40          | 1.80           | -1.02          | 0.40           | 2.18           | 1.71         | 309 (25.6), 349 (11.4), 482 (15.0), 602 (3.6), 632 (3.6), 658 (3.8) |
| 16    | Os22    | 0.38           | -2.10          | 2.14           | -1.76          | 0.04           | 2.48           | 2.02         | 302 (33.7), 387 (15.6), 431 (6.6), 519 (3.2) |
| 17    | Os11    | 0.11           | -1.70          | -              | -              | -              | 1.81           | -           | 290 (37.4), 302 (35.9), 314 (36.3), 346 (47.0), 359 (58.2), 487 (16.3), 562 (6.0), 619 (2.4), 778 (1.6) |
| 18    | Os13    | 0.78           | -1.40          | -              | -              | -              | 2.18           | -           | 304 (41.6), 339 (64.6), 394 (15.9), 450 (9.2), 498 (6.3) |

<sup>a</sup> Counterions are PF<sub>6</sub> unless otherwise noted. All measurements were taken in MeCN. For electrochemical measurements, all redox potentials are vs. Fc/Fc<sub>e</sub>, electrolyte in all cases is 100 mM TBAPF<sub>6</sub>, the scan rate is 100 mV/s, and all samples were sparged with N<sub>2</sub>.<sup>b</sup> T<sub>c</sub> is calculated based on the <i>k</i>-intercept of the tangent line drawn from the inflection point of the emission spectrum.<sup>c</sup> No emission detected upon excitation above 400 nm.

[Os<sub>1</sub>, 4-methoxyphenyl, 0.65 V, Entry 14], neutral [Os<sub>2</sub>, phenyl, 0.77 V, Entry 13], and poor [Os<sub>16</sub>, 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl, 0.78 V, Entry 15] arene and at the 4-position of the central pyridine ring. While all bis-pyrimidinyl derivatives show a significant increase in ground state oxidation potential compared to Os<sub>4</sub>, which can be attributed to the lower energy of the HOMO, the distal functionalization of the arene at the 4-position does not have a significant impact. When turning to the ease of the ground state reduction, complex Os<sub>1</sub> (-1.44 V, Entry 14) and complex Os<sub>2</sub> (-1.45 V, Entry 13) are about the same, whereas complex Os<sub>16</sub> (-1.40 V, Entry 15) is the easiest to reduce. Additionally, a bis-indazole complex, Os<sub>13</sub> (0.78 V, -1.40 V, Entry 18), was synthesized and showed the same ground state redox potentials as Os<sub>16</sub>.
2.2 Redox Potentials of OsL$_3$-type Complexes

The remaining 11 complexes in this library are derivatives of [Os(bpy)$_2$](PF$_6$)$_2$ (Os12, Table 2, Entry 1) and [Os(phen)$_2$](PF$_6$)$_2$ (Os5, Entry 9), whose ground state oxidations are the same at 0.44 V. A significant decrease of the oxidation potentials by functionalization of the bipyridine scaffold with electron rich groups was shown on complexes Os25, Os26, Os27, and Os28, modified with methyl groups in the 5- and 5'-positions (0.38 V, Entry 2), methyl groups in the 4- and 4'-positions (0.35 V, Entry 3), tert-butyl groups in the 4- and 4'-positions (0.32 V, Entry 4), and methoxy groups in the 4- and 4'-positions (0.07 V, Entry 5) respectively. For the ground state reduction, adding electron donating groups in the 5- and 5'-positions, as in complex Os25 (-1.87 V, Entry 2), leads to a slightly greater negative shift than adding electron donating groups in the 4- and 4'-positions, as in complexes Os26 (-1.84 V, Entry 3), Os27 (-1.84 V, Entry 4), and Os28 (-1.83 V, Entry 5) relative to Os12 (-1.68 V, Entry 1).

Modifying the phenanthroline ligand in a similar manner led to an even larger negative shift in potential of the metal-centered oxidation in the case of complex Os20 (4,4',5,5'-tetramethyl, 0.19 V, Entry 10) and complex Os21 (5,5'-dimethoxy, 0.01 V, Entry 11). The methyl groups of complex Os20 (-2.02 V, Entry 10) and methoxy groups of complex Os21 (-2.06 V, Entry 11) both caused a significant negative shift in the potential of the ground state reductions relative to Os5 (-1.72 V, Entry 9). A bibenzimidazole complex, Os29 (-0.07 V, -1.82 V, Entry 6), was also synthesized as a more electron rich analog to bipyridine, and its ground state redox potentials were significantly negatively shifted from Os12.
Finally, for electron poor derivatives, a slight positive shift in the ground state redox potentials of Os23 (diazafluorenone, 0.55 V, -1.66 V, Entry 7) was observed, while a significant shift was observed for Os24 (bipyrazine, 1.16 V, -1.05 V, Entry 8).

The difference between the formal potential of the oxidation (E_{1/2}) and first reduction (E_{pc}) waves, or the electrochemical HOMO-LUMO gap, remained relatively constant across the whole library with an average value 2.14 V and a standard deviation of 0.14 V.

### 2.3 UV-visible Absorption and Emission Spectroscopy

Both the terpyridyl and bipyridyl osmium complexes studied show sharp, strong absorbance at lower wavelengths, and broad absorbance at higher wavelengths. All complexes except Os13 and Os22 can undergo a spin-forbidden direct triplet excitation in the deep-red to NIR 600–700 nm range. The triplet bands of both Os13 and Os22 are significantly blue-shifted. Thus, they cannot be excited to T1 via spin-forbidden excitation with NIR light. Orange or green light, however, may be used to achieve this transition. Extinction coefficients of complexes in the range of deep-red and NIR light (600–700 nm) are generally in the range of 1.6–7.3 × 10^4 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for OsL2⁻ type complexes and 1.8–4.3 × 10^5 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for OsL3⁻ type complexes. Our data suggests that as the substituents on the ligand scaffold are changed, the absorption and emission spectra stay relatively constant. Thus, the electronics of the photocatalysts can be freely tuned without negating the ability of the chromophores to undergo spin-forbidden excitation with NIR irradiation. However, complexes Os11, Os12, and Os29, bearing benzimidazole (Os11 and Os29) or indazole (Os13) heterocycles did not show any emission upon irradiation above 400 nm. Thus, neither their triplet energies, nor their excited state redox potentials could be determined. The non-emissive property of Os11 was previously described. Literature DFT calculations for complex Os12 attribute the absorbance feature at 586 nm to the S0 to T1 transition. The same authors, as well as others, also assigned the major contribution on the HOMO energy to the osmium metal-center, while ligands are the only contributors on the energy of the LUMO in homoleptic complexes. So to T1 transition can be thus characterized as metal-to-ligand charge transfer.

| Table 2 Electrochemical and Photophysical Properties of OsL2⁻ Complexes |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Entry | Complex | E_{1/2}(OsL²⁻) (V) | E_{pc}(OsL²⁻) (V) | T₁ (eV) | E_{1/2}(OsL²⁺) (V) | E_{pc}(OsL²⁺) (V) | E_{chem} HOMO-LUMO Gap (V) | λ_{em} (eV) | λ_{abs}/nm (ε×10⁴ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) |
| 1 | Os12 | 0.44 | -1.68 | 1.73 | -1.29 | 0.05 | 2.12 | 1.73 | 299 (33.6), 325 (10.6), 367 (10.3), 388 (9.5), 437 (12.2), 447 (12.2), 479 (12.2), 479 (12.8), 585 (3.5), 639 (3.3), 667 (2.7) |
| 2 | Os25 | 0.38 | -1.88 | 1.77 | -1.39 | -0.11 | 2.26 | 1.77 | 296 (83.9), 374 (9.7), 398 (9.6), 431 (12.4), 471 (13.1), 568 (3.5), 629 (3.2) |
| 3 | Os26 | 0.35 | -1.84 | 1.70 | -1.35 | -0.15 | 2.19 | 1.70 | 291 (67.8), 332 (13.3), 375 (13.7), 393 (12.6), 443 (14.4), 460 (14.8), 488 (15.1), 594 (4.4), 657 (4.0), 680 (3.3) |
| 4 | Os27 | 0.32 | -1.85 | 1.71 | -1.39 | -0.14 | 2.17 | 1.71 | 292 (83.5), 335 (14.0), 376 (14.1), 445 (15.5), 490 (16.1), 589 (4.7), 645 (4.3) |
| 5 | Os28 | 0.07 | -1.83 | 1.50 | -1.43 | -0.33 | 1.9 | 1.50 | 292 (25.6), 341 (12.7), 385 (12.6), 475 (11.1), 509 (10.5), 618 (3.2), 688 (3.0) |
| 6 | Os29⁻ | -0.07 | -1.82 | - | - | - | 1.75 | - | 278 (66.8), 343 (53.5), 362 (48.4), 532 (11.0), 688 (2.2), 759 (2.1) |
| 7 | Os30⁻ | 0.55 | -1.66 | 1.77 | -1.22 | 0.11 | 2.21 | 1.77 | 314 (36.4), 380 (10.0), 427 (12.2), 465 (13.1), 547 (4.6), 625 (4.2), 647 (3.6) |
| 8 | Os24 | 1.16 | -1.05 | 1.83 | -0.67 | 0.78 | 2.21 | 1.83 | 302 (14.8), 416 (4.4), 469 (4.6), 553 (2.3), 612 (2.0), 645 (1.8) |
| 9 | Os5 | 0.44 | -1.72 | 1.78 | -1.34 | 0.06 | 2.16 | 1.78 | 290 (21.5), 431 (17.0), 475 (15.2), 571 (4.9), 630 (3.8), 651 (3.2) |
| 10 | Os20 | 0.19 | -2.02 | 1.81 | -1.62 | -0.21 | 2.21 | 1.81 | 300 (10.8), 317 (3.9), 333 (4.6), 434 (14.1), 473 (11.8), 559 (4.4), 618 (2.8), 646 (1.9) |
| 11 | Os21 | 0.01 | -2.06 | 1.74 | -1.73 | -0.32 | 2.07 | 1.64 | 296 (31.0), 307 (23.3), 351 (7.5), 372 (8.2), 416 (13.8), 443 (15.9), 463 (15.9), 569 (5.2), 619 (4.2) |

*Counterions are PF6⁻ unless otherwise noted. All measurements were taken in MeCN. For electrochemical measurements, all redox potentials are vs. Fc/Fc⁺, electrolyte in all cases is 100 mM TBAPF6, the scan rate is 100 mV/s, and all samples were sparged with N₂. † T₁ is calculated based on the lambda max of emission. ‡ Counter ions are Cl⁻. § No emission detected upon excitation above 400 nm.
3 Conclusions

We have reported the synthesis, standardized characterization, and analysis of a library of new and previously reported Os(II) polypyridyl complexes. We generally observed reversible Os(II/III) oxidations and quasi-reversible or irreversible reduction waves. The potential of these waves could be modified by tuning the electronics of the ligand scaffolds used. These chromophores have the potential to expand our photocatalytic toolbox to enable broader substrate scope, larger bulk scale, and in vivo therapeutic applications. We hope that the consolidation of these properties here will be a useful resource which makes NIR photoredox catalysis more accessible to the synthetic community.
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