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Active matter exhibits a wealth of emerging nonequilibrium behaviours. A paradigmatic example is the interior of cells, where active components, such as the cytoskeleton, are responsible for its structural organization and the dynamics of the various components. Of particular interest are the properties of polymers and filaments. The intimate coupling of thermal and active noise, hydrodynamic interactions, and polymer conformations implies the emergence of novel structural and dynamical features. In this article, we review recent theoretical and simulation developments and results for the structural and dynamical properties of polymers exposed to activity. Two- and three-dimensional filaments are considered propelled by different mechanisms such as active Brownian particles or hydrodynamically-coupled force dipoles.

I. INTRODUCTION

Active matter, whose agents convert either internal chemical energy into directed motion, or utilize energy from the environment, exhibit fascinating emergent dynamical and collective phenomena. Prototypes are omnipresent in nature and range from the macroscopic scale of flocks of birds, school of fish, and mammalian herds, to the sub-cellular scale of the cytoskeleton in living cells. The motion and collective behavior of active matter in the microworld is distinctively different from that of self-propelled bodies in the macroworld. This is due to at least four reasons: (i) Propulsion and motility in the microworld is typically governed by low-Reynolds number hydrodynamics, (ii) thermal and active noise plays an important role on length scales in the nano- and micrometer range, (iii) active agents are often highly deformable, and (iv) interactions in the microworld are of simple molecular origin. As a consequence, size, shape, and deformability of active particles is essential for their individual and collective behavior. The motion of active agents on the nanoscale is typically persistent and nearly deterministic, while that on the nanoscale is highly chaotic and nearly indistinguishable from random diffusive motion. Moreover, shape matters, spherical active Brownian particles (ABPs) lack any shape-induced alignment interaction when they collide, while rodlike Brownian particles align in parallel due to volume exclusion.

In this mini-review, we focus on the nonequilibrium behavior of active polymers and filaments. From a physical point of view, the most interesting aspect is the interplay of propulsion, deformability, and noise.

There are many realizations of active polymers. Enzymes which catalyze chemical reactions are active particles and show enhanced translational diffusion and chemotaxis. In motility assays, biological semiflexible polar filaments, such as actin and microtubules, are propelled on carpets of motor proteins anchored on a substrate, which results in a directed motion. Propulsion of such biological filaments in the cell cytoskeleton due to tread-milling and dimeric or tetrameric motor proteins is ubiquitous. Mixtures of active and passive components are a characteristics of eukaryotic cells with the active cytoskeleton on the one hand and an embedded large variety of passive colloidal and polymer objects on the other hand. Here, an enhanced random motion of tracer particles has been observed. Moreover, an influence of the active microtubule or actin-filament dynamics on the motion of chromosomal loci or that of chromatin has been found. Active chains of metal-dielectric Janus colloids can be realized by a simultaneous control of colloid motility and interactions via a perpendicular a.c. electric field. And, finally, chains of passive colloidal particles show nonequilibrium properties in an active environment.

Current studies of active filaments/polymers can crudely be classified into four categories. (i) Closest to individual active Brownian particles are polymers comprised of ABP monomers (cf. Fig. 1). Here, every monomer is independently changing its propulsion direction in a diffusive manner. A realization might be a passive polymer in a fluid of ABPs (cf. Fig. 1). In terms of a colored noise description of activity, their statistical properties are rather similar. Explicitly, passive polymers in a bath of ABPs have been considered. (ii) Motivated by the active dynamics of filaments in motility assays, semiflexible polymers are considered which are propelled tangential to their contour. Since the driving force has a preferred direction, such systems are denoted polar active polymers. (iii) Fluid mediated interactions are captured to a certain extent in models of either ABPs or tangential propulsion with hydrodynamic interactions between the monomers due an induced fluid motion by monomer-monomer interactions. (iv) Most generally, the monomers produce spontaneous flow, which propel the whole polymer. Such a approach corresponds to a force- and torque-free swimmer which, in principle, includes hydrodynamics. In this article, we discuss the various aspects of these ap-
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A. Active Brownian Particles

An active Brownian particles is a well established rep-

representation of a self-propelled object. It is typically

repulsive spherical colloidal particle propelled by a constant (external) force in the direction of

its instantaneous orientation, which is changing in a dif-

fusive manner. No hydrodynamic interactions are taken

into account. The equations of motion for the center-of-

mass position \(\mathbf{r}\) and the orientation \(\mathbf{e}\) are given by

\[
\dot{\mathbf{r}}(t) = \mathbf{v}(t) + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \mathbf{F}(t) + \Gamma(t) \right),
\]

(1)

\[
\dot{\mathbf{e}}(t) = \hat{\mathbf{\eta}}(t) \times \mathbf{e}(t),
\]

(2)

where \(\mathbf{v} = v_0 e\) is the propulsion velocity, \(\mathbf{F}\) a force exerted on the particle, \(\Gamma\) and \(\hat{\mathbf{\eta}}\) are Gaussian and Markovian processes (white noise) with zero odd moments and the second moments

\[
\langle \Gamma_\alpha(t) \Gamma_\beta(t') \rangle = 2\gamma k_B T \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta(t - t'),
\]

(3)

\[
\langle \hat{\eta}_\alpha(t) \hat{\eta}_\beta(t') \rangle = \left( d - 1 \right) D_R \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta(t - t').
\]

(4)

Here, \(k_B\) is the Boltzmann constant, \(T\) the temperature, \(\gamma\) the translational friction coefficient, which is related to the translational diffusion coefficient \(D_T\) via \(D_T = k_B T / \gamma\), \(D_R\) the rotational diffusion coefficient, \(d\) is the spatial dimension, and \(\alpha, \beta \in \{x, y, z\}\). For a particle in a viscous fluid in three dimensions (3d), \(\gamma = 6\pi \eta R\), with \(\eta\) the viscosity and \(R\) the particle radius, hence, \(D_R\) and \(D_T\) are related according to \(D_T / D_R R^2 = 4/3\). The rotational motion (Eq. (2)) is independent of the colloid translation. As a particular result, the correlation

function

\[
\langle \mathbf{v}(t) \cdot \mathbf{v}(t') \rangle = v_0^2 e^{-(d - 1) D_R |t - t'|}
\]

(5)

is obtained.

B. Theoretical Description of the Conformational and Dynamical Properties of Active Semiflexible Polymers

Analytically, the conformational and dynamical properties of active polymers have been studied by the Rouse model for flexible polymers, or by extensions thereof for semiflexible polymers. Activity is taken into account as a random force with an exponential temporal correlation, i.e., as colored noise (Eq. (5)). Here, activity can be interpreted in two ways. On the one hand, the polymer may be considered as comprised of active monomers, e.g., active Brownian particles (ABPs). On the other hand, the active force can originate from interactions with uncorrelated surrounding ABPs, hence, the polymer corresponds to a passive polymer dissolved in an active bath (cf. Fig. 1). Respective computer simulations are provided in Secs. II C and II D.

We briefly describe the main conformational and dynamical properties of polymers in presence of active noise utilizing a Gaussian semiflexible polymer model. The polymer of length \(L\) experiences an active random force \(\mathbf{F}_a(s, t) = \gamma \mathbf{v}(s, t)\) at the position \(\mathbf{r}(s, t)\) along its contour at \(s\) and time \(t\), where \(\gamma\) is the translational friction coefficient per length and \(\mathbf{v}\) is a non-Markovian, but Gaussian stochastic process with zero odd moments and the second moment

\[
\langle \mathbf{v}(s, t) \cdot \mathbf{v}(s', t') \rangle = v_0^2 e^{-(d - 1) D_R |t - t'|} \delta(s - s').
\]

(6)

In a touching-bead model, the length \(l\) corresponds to the diameter of a bead. The decay of the correlation is determined by \(\gamma_R = (d - 1) D_R\), where \(D_R\) is the rotational diffusion coefficient of an ABP (cf. Sec. II A). In this section, we restrict ourselves to three dimensions. More details on the derivation of Eq. (6) are presented in Ref. In addition, thermal fluctuations are captured by the Brownian white noise \(\mathbf{\Gamma}(s, t)\) (3). The overdamped linear equation of motion for the polymer can be solved analytically by a normal-mode analysis. Explicitly, the position \(\mathbf{r}(s, t)\) is given by

\[
\mathbf{r}(s, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_n(t) \varphi_n(s)
\]

(7)

in terms of the eigenfunctions \(\varphi_n(s)\) and the normal mode amplitudes \(\chi_n(t)\). The latter become

\[
\chi_n(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-(t-t')/\tau_n} \left( \mathbf{v}_n(t') + \frac{1}{\gamma} \mathbf{\Gamma}_n(t') \right) dt'
\]

(8)

in the stationary state. Here, \(\mathbf{v}_n(t)\) and \(\mathbf{\Gamma}_n(t)\) are the normal mode amplitudes of the random active velocity and
the thermal noise, respectively. The eigenvalue equation yields the relaxation times \(n > 0\)

\[
\tau_n = \frac{\gamma}{k_B T (\zeta_n^2 + 2\lambda^2)}
\]  

in terms of the activity-dependent wave numbers \(\zeta_n\), where the bending coefficient \(\epsilon = 3l_p/2\) accounts for bending restrictions, \(l_p\) is the persistence length, and \(\lambda\) is the activity-dependent bond-stretching coefficient. The latter is determined in a mean-field manner by the constraint of a finite polymer contour length \(L\).  

1. Relaxation Times

The dependence of the longest relaxation time \(\tau_1\) on the Péclet number, defined as

\[
P_e = \frac{\tau_0}{D_R L},
\]

is illustrated in Fig. 2(a). Evidently, the relaxation times exhibit a strong dependence on \(P_e\). In particular, they decrease with increasing \(P_e\) and approach a stiffness-independent asymptotic value for \(P_e \rightarrow \infty\). Hence, activity accelerates the polymer relaxation behavior. For flexible polymers \((L/2l_p \gg 1)\), the relaxation times follow as

\[
\tau_n = \frac{\tau_R}{\mu n^2},
\]

with \(\mu = 4l_p \lambda / 3\), the bond-stretching coefficient scaled with respect to the value of a passive flexible polymer, and \(\tau_R = 2\gamma l_p L^3 / 3\pi k_B T\) the Rouse relaxation time. The coefficient \(\mu\) captures the activity dependence of the relaxation times.

For \(P_e \gg 1\) and a large number of active sites \(L/l\), the calculations yield \(\mu \sim P_e^{4/3}\) and for a small number \(L/l\), \(\mu \sim P_e\), which implies the dependencies \(\tau_n \sim P_e^{-4/3}\) and \(\tau_n \sim P_e^{-1}\) \((P_e \gg 1)\), respectively. Activity effects force on the bonds, attempting to stretch them. The increase in the bond-stretching coefficient counteracts activity and maintains a \(P_e\)-independent value. Hence, the characteristics of a polymer, namely a fixed contour length, implies a specific response to active noise. Neglecting the factor \(\mu\), as in typical Rouse model description, leads to significantly different relaxation times and neglects a substantial Péclet-number dependence of active system.

At \(P_e \lesssim 1\), the relaxation times of stiff polymers are determined by the bending modes. With decreasing \(L/2l_p\), \(\tau_1\) approaches the persistence-length and 

\[
P_e \rightarrow \infty. \quad \text{(Fig. 2(a))}
\]

With increasing \(P_e\), the bond-stretching coefficient increases fast and, as a consequence, \(\tau_1\) decreases rapidly approaching asymptotically the relaxation time of a flexible polymer. The "softening" of semiflexible polymers is even more evident from the relaxation times \(\tau_n\) of a stiff polymer displayed in Fig. 2(b). The passive polymers exhibit the well-known \(n^{-2}\) mode-number dependence. An increasing activity implies a gradual change toward \(n^{-2}\), and ultimately, at \(P_e \gg 1\), the mode-number dependence of a flexible polymer is obtained over a considerable range of mode numbers.

2. Conformations: Mean Square End-to-End Distance

The conformational properties of the polymers can be characterized by their mean square end-to-end distance \((\langle r^2 \rangle = \langle (r(L/2) - r(-L/2))^2\rangle\)), which, in terms of the eigenfunction expansion, is given by

\[
\langle r^2 \rangle = \frac{3k_B T}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{3k_B T}{\gamma} \tau_{2n-1} + \frac{\nu_0^2 l_p}{1 + \gamma R \tau_{2n-1} \tau_{2n-1}} \right) \varphi_{2n-1}^2 (L/2).
\]

Results are shown in Fig. 3 for various persistence lengths. The dependence of the relaxation times on the
dependence on the Péclet number. Within a certain persistence-length-dependent range, a polymer shrinks with increasing $Pe$ (cf. Fig. 4). The shrinkage can be substantially for $pL_\xi \lesssim 10$. Above a certain $Pe$ value, the polymers swell again and approach the asymptotic dependence of a flexible polymer as a consequence of the dominating flexible modes in the relaxation times. It is important to note that the detailed quantitative activity-dependent behavior is a function of the number $L/l$ of active sites along the polymer. In a typical simulation, with a polymer comprised of ABP monomers$^{34}$, this corresponds to the number of monomers. We have performed computer simulations of a discrete polymer in three dimensions comprised of ABPs, which yield results in close agreement with the theoretical prediction. In particular, the shrinkage of semiflexible polymers and the general swelling are confirmed (Fig. 1).

### 3. Dynamics: End-to-End Vector Relaxation

The correlation function of the polymer end-to-end vector is given by$^{38}$

$$\langle r_e(t) \cdot r_e(0) \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{2n-1} (L/2)^2 \left[ 4L^3 2 e^{-4\xi_n} - \frac{2L^3}{3\xi_n} e^{-\xi_n} - 1 \right]$$

using the eigenfunction expansion (7), where $\xi_n = L\mu(\zeta_n L)^2/2l_p + l_p(\zeta_n L)^4/2L)$. Two relaxation mechanisms govern the decay of the correlation function, the rotational Brownian motion of an individual ABP ($\gamma_R \tau$) and the dynamics of the polymer itself ($t/\tau_n$). In the limit $\gamma_R \tau_1 \gg 1$, which is equivalent to $Pe \ll (L/l)_3/2$, the decay of the correlation function is determined by the relaxation times of the polymer. For $t/\tau_1 > 1$, the decay is exponential

$$\langle r_e(t) \cdot r_e(0) \rangle = \frac{L^3}{\xi_1} e^{-t/\tau_1} (4 + 2Pe^2) \varphi_1(L/2)^2.$$  

Since activity leads to shorter relaxation times, the decay is enhanced by the active noise. In the opposite limit $\gamma_R \tau_1 \ll 1$, the correlation function decays as

$$\langle r_e(t) \cdot r_e(0) \rangle = 4Pe^2L^3 \left( \frac{L}{T} \right)^3 e^{-\frac{Pe^2}{3}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_{2n-1}(L/2)^2}{\xi_{2n-1}} ,$$

due to the rotational diffusion coefficient of an ABP. The crossover between the two regimes depends on the number of active sites ($L/l$) along the polymer contour. The relaxation behavior of long polymers, where typically $L/2l_p = L/l \gg 1$, is dominated by the internal polymer dynamics. Note that the relaxation time strongly depends on the activity. Short polymers or polymers with
only a few active sites relax by the rotational diffusion of the active process. The limiting case is a dumbbell of APBs. As noticed before, the decay is slower when the rotational diffusion $D_R$ dominates the decay of the correlation function.

4. Dynamics: Mean Square Displacement

The mean square displacement (MSD) $\langle \Delta r(s,t)^2 \rangle = \langle (r(s,t) - r(s,0))^2 \rangle$ of a point $r(s,t)$ along the polymer contour includes contributions from the polymer center-of-mass motion and displacements with respect to the center of mass. Averaging of the polymer contour yields

$$\langle \Delta r(t)^2 \rangle = \langle \Delta r_{cm}(t)^2 \rangle + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{6k_B T \tau_n}{\gamma} \left( 1 - e^{-t/\tau_n} \right) \right] + \frac{2v_0^2 t^2}{1 + \gamma_R \tau_n} \left( 1 - e^{-\gamma_R t} - \frac{\gamma_R \tau_n e^{-t/\tau_n}}{1 - \gamma_R \tau_n} \right).$$

(15)

The center-of-mass contribution is similar to that of an single ABP

$$\langle \Delta r_{cm}(t)^2 \rangle = \frac{6k_B T}{\gamma L} t + \frac{2v_0^2 t}{\gamma_R L} (\gamma_R t - 1 + e^{-\gamma_R t}).$$

(16)

As a generalization, the total polymer friction $\gamma L$ appears in the contribution of the Brownian motion, and the active term contains $L/l$ the number of active sites along the polymer contour.

Figure 5 displays MSDs of flexible and semiflexible polymers. For passive polymers, we find the well-known Rouse dependence $t$ for flexible polymers and the dependence $t^{3/4}$ for semiflexible polymers at $t/\tau_1 \ll 1$. In the presence of activity, the diffusivity is significantly enhanced, as already noted before, the more the higher the Péclet number. We can identify three characteristic time regimes. At short times, an activity-specific ballistic regime appears, which is already well pronounced for $Pe \approx 20$. For times $t/\tau_1 \approx 1$, the MSD crosses over to a Rouse-type regime ($t^{3/4}$) determined by the internal polymer dynamics. Finally, the center-of-mass motion dominates the MSD with a linear increase in time for $t/\tau_1 \gg 1$. It is worth emphasizing that this regime is dominated by activity rather than thermal noise, since $\langle \Delta r_{cm}(t)^2 \rangle = 2v_0^2 t/\gamma_R L$. Thereby, the crossover time $t/\tau_1 \approx 1$ from the ballistic to the Rouse-type regime shifts to smaller values with increasing Péclet number, a consequence of the decreasing relaxation times $\tau_n$ with increasing $Pe$ (Fig. 2). Simultaneously, the polymer characteristic regime $\sqrt{t}$ becomes shorter and vanishes for large Péclet numbers. This shortening is a consequence of the finite extensibility of a polymer and is completely missing in a bare Rouse-model-type approach. However, there is a remarkable feature in the intermediate time regime $1 < \gamma_R t < \gamma_R \tau_1$ for semiflexible polymers. Here, at sufficiently large Péclet numbers, the segmental MSD of semiflexible polymers exhibits the $\sqrt{t}$-dependence characteristic for flexible polymers. This is a consequence of the crossover of the relaxation times from being bending-mode dominated to being Rouse-mode dominated with increasing activity. Such a change does not appear when the stretching coefficient of a passive polymer ($\mu = 1$) is used only. In this case, the $t^{3/4}$ dependence persist for all $Pe$ in the intermediate time regime.

C. Simulations of Passive Polymer in Active Fluid

The properties of a passive polymer embedded in a fluid of active Brownian particles in two dimensions (2d) have been studied by various computer simulations. All studies find significant conformational changes of the polymer due to the active environment in agreement with the above theoretical considerations. In particular, flexible polymers monotonically swell with increasing activity and the probability distribution of the end-to-end vector shifts to large values with increasing activity ($v_0$). The scaling behavior of the end-to-end distance with increasing polymer length has been investigated for various activities. Predictions similar to those of the scaling exponent of the Flory value ($3/4$ in 2d) for short polymers. However, for long polymers a crossover to the scaling prediction for self-avoiding passive polymers is obtained. Moreover, the effect of stiffness on the polymer conformations has been considered and a shrinkage with increasing activity been found above a certain stiffness. Moreover, at large
FIG. 6. (Color online) Simulation snapshots of semiflexible polymers embedded in an active fluid at various stages of a folding and unfolding process (a)→(f). The propelling force points from the blue to the red hemisphere. Active particles off the filament are displayed semitransparent for clarity. (Reprinted figure with permission from 31. Copyright 2014 by the American Physical Society.)

activities, flexible and semiflexible polymers exhibit the same conformations. 31,33 These findings are in agreement with theoretical predictions (Fig. 3). In the 2d studies, the polymers exhibit particular conformations as illustrated in Fig. 6. 31,39 An activity-induced bending of the polymer implies an asymmetric exposure to active particles, with more active particles on its outer region (cf. Fig. 6). Simultaneously, the inner ABPs accumulate in regions of highest curvature, as has been observed for ABPs in confinement. 70 The combination of these two effects lead to particular polymer conformations such as hairpins. These structures are only temporarily stable and dissolve and rebuild in the course of time. Hence, the polymer will fluctuate between the two states (hairpin – stretched) in time for certain activities. 31 Such a behavior cannot be found in the theoretical treatment of Sec. II B, because there excluded volume interactions are neglected. Certain conformational aspects are a consequence of the 2d character of the system, because for polymers in 3d no such features have been found. 31 Without doubt, we hardly expect to see conformations as in Fig. 6(c) in 3d with rather parallel strands. However, as show above, semiflexible polymers in 3d also shrink due to active noise.

Considering dynamical aspects, polymer looping, i.e., the formation of rings where the two polymer ends meet, in 2d has been addressed. 33 For flexible chains, the looping probability decreases with increasing activity, which can be attributed to the swelling of the polymer with increasing activity. For semiflexible polymers, the looping probability shows a non-monotonic dependence on activity—it increases with increasing activity at small activity and decreases at large activity in the same manner as for flexible polymers and independent of stiffness. This is interpreted in terms of two competing effects of the active particles. 33 On the one hand, active noise increase the effective chain flexibility and leads to a polymer shrinkage. On the other hand, activity implies unbinding of end monomers, i.e., breakup of rings. Simultaneously, the looping time, i.e., the time interval between conformations where a polymer end-to-end distance assumes the equilibrium value and subsequently the ends approach their closest allowed distance, decreases substantially with increasing activity. 33 Moreover, the polymer-length dependence of the looping time changes from the power-law ∼ N 2.7 for a passive polymer to ∼ N 2.3 for an active one. This points to a drastically increased relaxation time of the polymers in presence of the active noise in agreement with the theoretical prediction of Sec. II B.

D. Simulations of a Polymer of Active Brownian Particles

Simulations of polymers composed of active Brownian particles have also been performed, both, for partially active polymers 29 as well as fully active ones. 34 For a fully active polymer, every monomer is an ABP and independently changes its orientation according to Eq. (2). Additionally, the individual monomers experience bond force and excluded-volume interactions, as passive polymers, as well as the active force ˆγv 0 e. The results indicate that the Flory scaling exponents are also valid in this case, when the chain length increases at a given activity. For an ideal (phantom) chain, activity only affects the prefactor. However, the presence of excluded-volume interactions leads to a non-monotonic chain extension with increasing self-propulsion. First the polymer shrinks and then swells with further increasing activity. The initial shrinkage is attribute to caging of monomers by neighbors. With increasing activity, the monomers are able to escape from the local cage, which leads to a swelling of the polymer. The caging seems to be specific for polymers of ABPs in 2d, because flexible polymers in an active fluid swell with increasing activity rather than shrink (Fig. 3). Moreover, the caging should be far less relevant for active polymers in 3d.

III. SELF-PROPELLED POLAR SEMIFLEXIBLE POLYMERS

The activity of polymer segments (or monomers) is not always randomly oriented, as discussed in Sec. II, but can be correlated along the chain. We focus here on polar active polymers, where the activity is always aligned with
the local polarity, \textit{i.e.} which are propelled along their contour by an (external) tangential force.\textsuperscript{31–45} A possible realization are polar active filaments on carpets of molecular motors as in motility assays.\textsuperscript{13,40} In simulations, semiflexible filaments are modeled by a series of beads connected by stiff springs (touching bead model) and a bending potential.\textsuperscript{14} For self-propulsion, a driving force is added to each bond-vector to uniformly drive the filament along its contour. The overdamped equation of motion of a monomer is then given by

\[ \dot{\gamma}r = F_t(t) + F(t) + \Gamma(t), \]  

(17)

where \( F_t \) denotes the tangential propulsion force, while \( F \) are the bond-stretching and bending forces and \( \Gamma \) random forces due to thermal noise, as defined in Sec. II.

Again, propulsion is characterized by a Péclet number. In contrast to Sec. II, however, the Péclet number \( Pe \) is here defined on the polymer length scale as

\[ Pe = \frac{v_0 L}{D_t} = \frac{f_p L^2}{k_B T}, \]  

(18)

\textit{i.e.}, by the ratio of the self-advection time \( L/v_0 \) and self-diffusion time \( L^2/D_t \). Together with the ratio of persistence length and filament length, the flexure number

\[ \mathcal{S} = \frac{Pe L}{l_p} = \frac{f_p L^3}{\kappa} \]  

(19)

is introduced, which measures the strength of propulsion versus bending.

A. Conformations and Dynamics of Polar Active Polymers

Depending on the ratio of bending rigidity and propulsion strength, three different regimes have been observed (cf. Fig. 7). For weak propulsion, the self-propelled polymer behaves like a passive polymer, except that the relaxation times are shorter (“polymer regime”). As propulsion increases, spiral structures appear transiently (“weak spiral regime”), until, at large propulsion, stable spirals form (“strong spiral regime”).

As long as the aspect ratio of the filaments and the interactions between distant segments (excluded volume) do not matter, the (dimensionless) Péclet and flexure numbers completely define the system. However, in spiral states, self-interactions are strong, and details of the polymer discretization can become important. This can be seen by considering the initial state of spiral formation, when the front bead bumps into the middle or rear part of the chain. Then, a strong corrugation of the polymer structure due to the sequence of repulsive spherical beads implies an effective friction, which promotes spiraling. These results suggest that for denser systems and high propulsion forces, models with smoother interactions are more appropriate, \textit{e.g.}, by building the polymer with overlapping beads.\textsuperscript{34,71}

To distinguish the polymer regimes from the spiral regimes, the kurtosis of the spiral number

\[ s = (\phi(L) - \phi(0))/2\pi, \]  

(20)

is a convenient order parameter. The spiral number \( s \) counts how many times the filament is wrapped around itself, where \( \phi(s) \) is the bond orientation at position \( s \) along the contour of the filament (cf. Fig. 8). In the polymer regime, a Gaussian distribution of \( s \) is observed, resulting in a kurtosis of 3. When spirals occasionally form, a secondary peak appears in the distribution, resulting in an increased kurtosis (cf. Fig. 8). However, when spirals are stable, the distribution is very narrow, resulting in a very small kurtosis. In general, spirals form for large Péclet numbers and small persistence lengths, as displayed quantitatively in Fig. 8.

In the polymer regime, the observed conformations match those at equilibrium, except that the characteristic time scales strongly decrease due to propulsion (Fig. 9). As for the active polymers discussed in Sec. II B, the relaxation time is found to be inversely proportional to the driving force. This can be understood qualitatively by the “railway motion” of the polymer (Fig. 10). A polymer trajectory resembles again the conformation of an (infinitely long) semiflexible polymer, with the same conformational characteristics as the (passive) polymer itself. The self-propelled polymer is just riding this railway, sampling the conformations as it moves along. Thus, it attains a statistically uncorrelated conformation after a time \( t_p/v_0 = t_p \gamma / f_p \). This is consistent with the \( 1/Pe \)-dependence of \( \tau_s(q) \) displayed in Fig. 9. The railway motion also explains the observed translational and rotational diffusion coefficients. The polymer (both the propelled filament and the railway trajectory) loose orientational correlation over a length scale determined by the persistence length. Thus, the self-propelled polymer decorrelates, when it travels along the railway, as determined by the tangent-vector correlation function

\[ \langle \mathbf{t}(s, t) \cdot \mathbf{t}(s', 0) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{t}(s + v_0 t, 0) \cdot \mathbf{t}(s', 0) \rangle = \exp(- (s - s' + v_0 t)/t_p) \]  

(21)

With this correlation function, the diffusion of the end-to-end vector orientation can easily be obtained by integration. This gives the active contribution to the rotational diffusion coefficient\textsuperscript{34}

\[ D_{r,a} = v_0/t_p. \]  

(22)

Figure 11 shows that simulation results for a wide range of persistence lengths nicely collapse onto a single line as predicted by Eq. (22). Note that for flexure numbers \( \mathcal{S} \) larger than about \( 10^2 \), the active contribution clearly dominates the passive diffusion. We want to emphasize that the same behavior of the rotational diffusion coefficient can be expected for sufficiently long tread-milling filaments with high polymerization and depolymerisation rates.
FIG. 7. (Color online) Trajectories of the center of mass of filaments and filament configurations from selected snapshots (insets: grayscale, leading tip black) for \( l_p/L = 0.2 \) (with \( N = 100 \) beads). Arrows point in the direction of movement. (a) At \( Pe = 200 \), there is no sign of spiral formation. (b) At \( Pe = 1000 \) spirals form occasionally, but the overall behavior is dominated by an elongated chain. (c) At \( Pe = 5000 \), the spiral state is predominant. The chain has a directed motion in the elongated state. In the spiral state, the translational motion is almost purely diffusive. This leads to separated clusters in the trajectories for simulations in the strong spiral regime, visible for example in the upper left inset in (c). The length of the depicted trajectories corresponds to approximately \( 0.13 \tau \) (a), \( 0.13 \tau \) (b), and \( 0.6 \tau \) (c), where \( \tau = L^3 \gamma / 4k_B T \). (From Ref. 44 - Published by The Royal Society of Chemistry)

FIG. 8. (Color online) (a) Classification of the filament structure as elongated (red, bottom), weakly wound-up (blue, middle), and strongly wound-up (orange, top) by the spiral number \( s \). (b) Probability distribution function \( p(|s|) \) of the absolute value of the spiral number for three different Péclet numbers. (c) Phase diagram. The kurtosis \( \beta_2 = \langle (s - \langle s \rangle)^4 \rangle / \langle (s - \langle s \rangle)^2 \rangle^2 \) in different regions is indicated by colors. Blue bullets: polymer regime. Cyan triangles: weak spiral regime. Light and dark red squares: strong spiral regime. For the dark red squares, spirals did not break up during the simulations once formed. Black lines are a guide to the eye. Green area (light gray): threshold for spiral stability against break-up by widening. Spirals above this threshold will unfold by widening, spirals below will not. Purple (dark gray): parameter space that can be achieved for actin filaments on a myosin carpet at \( T = 300 \) K using the parameters for \( f_p \) and \( \kappa \) of Ref. 72. (From Ref. 44 - Published by The Royal Society of Chemistry)

FIG. 9. (Color online) Relaxation time \( \tau_S(q) \) of self-propelled filaments for \( q \approx 5\pi / L \) and various persistence lengths, with \( \tau = \gamma L^3 / 4k_B T \). Circles correspond to the polymer regime, triangles to the weak spiral regime, and squares to the strong spiral regime. (From Ref. 44 - Published by The Royal Society of Chemistry)

B. Beating of Active Polar Filaments Pushing Against an Obstacle or Load

The situation becomes more complex, when the polymer cannot move freely. If the polymer is fixed at some point, the motion of the filament is restricted, and compression due to the propulsive force builds up. The part of the filament that pulls away from the fixation point is obviously pulled to a straight configuration under tension. The situation becomes more interesting on the pushing side, where the propulsive forces add up and lead to a large compressive stress. Similar to an elastic rod under compressive load, this (inhomogeneous)
compressive stress can lead to buckling.

To understand the buckling of active filaments, two cases are considered independently. A pinned filament is fixed at one end point, but free to rotate, while a clamped filament is fixed in its orientation at the fixation point as well. Linear stability shows, at low temperature, that a pinned filament will buckle if the flexure number exceeds a critical value $F_{\text{rot}} = 30.672$. The filament will spontaneously break the symmetry, and bend in one direction, leading to rotating motion around the pinning point. A similar instability analysis for a driven clamped filament shows a Hopf-type instability at the critical flexure number $F_{\text{rot}} = 75.5$, leading to beating motion $72$.

Beyond linear stability, one has to resort to computer models and numerical solutions and simulations $43$. These studies confirm the results of linear-stability analysis, and predict a rich variety of dynamic states beyond the stability threshold as illustrated in Fig. 12. Furthermore, the simulations reveal a power-law scaling of beating and rotation frequencies for pinned and clamped filaments, respectively. In both cases, the frequency is predicted to scale with the $4/3$ power of the propulsive force, i.e., $\omega \sim f_p^{4/3}$.

A system intermediate between free-swimming and clamped or tethered filaments is filaments pushing a finite-size load at the front end of the filament. A tiny load is equivalent to a free-moving filament, a huge load corresponds to a clamped filament. Here, it is important to note that the size and shape of the load together determine the translational and rotational friction of the load; high and low rotational frictions imply beating and
rotational dynamics, respectively. Accordingly, different dynamic regimes are obtained depending on the size and shape of the load, as well as elasticity and driving force of the filament (cf. Figs. 13 and 14). For small driving forces and small loads, the filament is elongated and a buckling transition occurs at a well-defined combination of driving force and load size. Again, this threshold can be understood from a linear stability analysis of the overdamped equations of motion of a filament attached to an aligned rod. For sufficiently strong propulsion, the filament turns from a stable node, where all eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix are real and negative (with the exception of the zero eigenvalues that correspond to Goldstone modes), to a stable focus, where the real parts of the eigenvalues are negative but at least one pair of complex conjugated eigenvalues with a non-zero imaginary part exists; in the latter case, these modes are not unstable, but show enhanced fluctuations. Beyond a higher critical flexure number, unstable modes appear, leading to buckling of the filament. The critical flexure number depends on the size of the load, but converges for large loads to the critical flexure number for clamped filaments \[ \psi^c = 75.5 \]. Noise broadens this transition and permits amplification of further modes. For low noise and large load, the results for clamped filaments are recovered.

These buckling transitions to beating and rotating motion have a profound effect on possible applications. A longer filament results in a larger propulsion force on the load, however, if this propulsive force is large enough for the filament to buckle, it enters a less- or non-propulsive state. Thus, optimal propulsion can be expected just below the critical line.

IV. HYDRODYNAMICS AND ACTIVE POLYMERS

Swimming microorganisms or synthetic self-propelled particles are often embedded in a fluid and hydrodynamics is essential for their dynamics and the propulsion itself. Consequently, theoretical and simulation studies have been performed to unravel the influence of hydrodynamic interactions on the dynamics of active polymers. Thereby, different routes have been taken. On the one hand, activity is considered as an external driving force and hydrodynamic interactions are taken into account on the level of a hydrodynamic tensor, either the Oseen tensor, or the Rotne-Prager-Yamakawa tensor. On the other hand, polymers comprised of energy-converting active monomers are considered, where propulsion is achieved by the hydrodynamic flow generated by those monomers. In a theoretical description, with a representation of the active particle by a spherical colloid, propulsion is attained by a prescribed velocity on the colloid surface. A prominent example for such an active colloid is the squirmer model, which is a generic model for a broad class of microswimmers, ranging from diffusiophoretic particles to biological cells.

For a spherical squirmer, the surface flow field (slip velocity) is given by

\[ \mathbf{v}_{sq} = B_1 \sin \vartheta (1 + \beta \cos \vartheta) \mathbf{e}_\vartheta \]  

(cf. Fig. 15 for the definition of the angle \( \vartheta \) and the tangential vector \( \mathbf{e}_\vartheta \)). The coefficient \( B_1 = 2U_0/3 \) is related to the swimming velocity \( U_0 \) of the squirmer and \( \beta \) accounts for the strength of the force dipole. Thereby \( \beta > 0 \) for a puller, \( \beta < 0 \) for a pusher, and \( \beta = 0 \) for a neutral swimmer. Figure 15 displays flow fields for pullers, pushers, and neutral squirmers.

The far field of pullers and pushers is dominated by the force dipole contribution and decays as \( 1/r^3 \) with distance from the colloid center. The source dipole field dominates for neutral squirmers and decays as \( 1/r^2 \). Note that an active particle is force and torque free and, hence, no Stokeslet term is present. In an active polymer, such colloids are arranged in a linear fashion. The respective bond forces, or other intramolecular forces, give rise to a
The deviation from a Gaussian behavior of accurately due to numeric difficulties. The line is dotted where the transition from a node to a focus is interpolated because it could not be determined accurately due to numeric difficulties. $P_{\lambda C}$ is the fraction of states in which the circle mode $\psi_c$ dominates, and $\chi^2$ measures the deviation from a Gaussian behavior of $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$. (From Ref. 55 - Published by The Royal Society of Chemistry)

Stokeslet-type hydrodynamic flow field, which decays as $1/r$.

Expression for the translation and rotation of spherical active particles are derived in Refs. 49,85 in terms of motility matrices. Aside from the well-known matrices17,86 for passive particles, extension are provided for active particles that relate the modes of the nonequilibrium surface velocity to their rigid body motion. The rather general approach allows for the study of motile and nonmotile systems taking into account fundamental solutions of the Stokes equation up to the desired order.

A. Polar Semiflexible Filaments and Hydrodynamic Interactions

A model, which is closely related to that described in Sec. IIIA, has been employed for the motion of polar semiflexible filaments in a fluid.46 Three main regimes of motion have been detected, which are denoted as translation, snaking, and rotation. The appearance of the respective type of motion depends on the polymer stiffness, but is independent of the absence or presence of hydrodynamic interactions. Although the behavior is qualitatively similar, hydrodynamic interactions yield a significant quantitative difference. Specifically the parameter range of rotation, where a filament moves in a circular fashion, increases considerably in the presence of hydrodynamic interactions.

The collective motion of actin filaments driven by molecular motors has been studied experimentally in motility assays.13,19,40 The results are controversial, hydrodynamic interactions are considering either to be essential for the observed structure formation,13,40 or hydrodynamic interactions are judged as irrelevant and the phenomenon is attributed to direct contacts between the filaments.19 Simulations46 show that both interactions lead to collective motion of semiflexible polymers. Only the parameter ranges for rotational motion are rather different and hydrodynamic interactions enhance rotational motion at weak active forces. The amplification and initiation of rotational motion by hydrodynamic interactions has also been demonstrated for two filaments.47

B. Hydrodynamically Induced Oscillations of Filaments

A semiflexible polymer, when clamped at one of its ends and whose monomers generate a dipolar flow field (force dipole) tangential to the polymer, exhibits spontaneous oscillations when activity exceeds a threshold. Here, simulations yield two distinct filament motions.88 For intermediate activities, a corkscrew-type rotation is found in three dimensions. For large activities, the filament beats in a plane with waves propagating from the clamp to the filament tip. A detailed investigation shows that this beating originates from hydrodynamic interactions and that there is no beating without it.88

The beating motion itself and the respective beat patterns are different from those described in Sec. IIIB, since the monomers possess a force dipole only and no self-propulsion velocity. In contrast, the polymers of Sec. IIIB are propelled along the tangent of the polymer. Evidently, the latter can stimulate other motion patterns. It remains to analyse how propulsion modifies the motion of the filaments in presence of hydrodynamic interactions and a force dipole.

Similar to the studies of Sec. IIIB the transport of a load by a hydrodynamically-active filament has been
FIG. 16. (Online color) Flow streamlines of nonequilibrium steady-state conformations of free ((a), (c)) and tethered ((g), (h)) filaments at various activities. The activity increases from left to right. The snapshots show the first two excited elastic modes, where the filament in (a) is translating and that in (c) rotating. For the tethered filament, a rotation is obtained first and an oscillating state at higher activity. (Reproduced in part from Ref. 49 with permission of The Royal Society of Chemistry.)

studied. Given Five distinct beating modes have been identified giving rise to transport. Two of them appear due to the load and are not present for clamped filaments.

C. Hydrodynamic Instabilities and Filament Dynamics

Applying the same type of model, the dynamics of free and tethered (fixed, but freely rotating end) active filaments has been analyzed. Considering the flow fields of polymers comprised of extensile (pusher) and contractile (puller) (cf. Fig. 15) monomers, leads to the conclusion that flow compresses contractile and extends extensile filaments. This is evident from the flow profiles of the monomers displayed in Fig. 15. For pushers, subsequent monomers are repelled by their flow fields, whereas for pullers the monomers are attracted. Hence, for a symmetrically curved polymer, active flow preferentially suppresses bending of contractile filaments, but enhances it for extensile filaments. Thus, the interplay between flow and bending leads to a destabilization of straight extensile filaments, whereas contractile filaments are stabilized.

Looking at extensile polymers only, a wide spectrum of nonequilibrium stationary states appear. A selection is displayed in Fig. 16 for free and tethered filaments. At a critical activity, a linear instability occurs and a straight free filament bends and assumes a U-shaped form. As is illustrated by the flow field (Fig. 16(a)), the polymer moves then autonomously although the self-propulsion velocity $U_0$ is zero. With increasing activity, higher elastic modes appear via a serious of bifurcations (Fig. 16(c)). Thereby, asymmetric conformations with respect to the center exhibit a rotational motion. Note that the force dipoles are tangential to the polymer contour. Filaments tethered by one of their ends (Fig. 16(g), (h)) exhibit a rotational motion above a threshold activity. An increasing activity leads to flagella-like beating (Fig. 16(h)). For even larger activities, filaments with more bend conformations appear, which rotated again. For even higher activity, conformations with higher elastic eigenmodes are expected, which either oscillate or rotate. In general, the filaments move in a plane determined by the initial condition, although the systems is three dimensional.

As mentioned before, a filament is propelled crooked to its tangent even at zero self-propulsion velocity due to the bending of the filament. Correspondingly, the center-of-mass velocity is proportional to the filament curvature and activity. Similarly, this proportionality holds for the rotation frequency about the center-of-mass. Hence, motility can emerge due to the hydrodynamic coupling of various active units combined with symmetry broken structures.

V. ACTIVE POLYMERS IN NETWORKS AND DENSE POLYMER SOLUTIONS

A. Viscoelasticity of Dense Solutions of Polar Semiflexible Polymers

In a theoretical study of polar active filaments, the viscoelastic properties of a solution of such polymers (3d) have been analysed. Thereby, significant activity-induced modifications of the passive polymer dynamics have been found. Specifically, the short-time and terminal relaxation times are changed. Increased fluctuations of the longitudinal modes imply a hardening of the solution at high frequencies. Moreover, new relaxation regimes appear due to a change of the ratio between the transverse and longitudinal fluctuations. Specifically, at short times the shear modulus $G(t)$ of the solutions shows new time regimes with the power-law $t^{-1/8}$ followed by a regime $\sim t^{-1/2}$ instead of the semiflexible-polymer-typical regime $\sim t^{-3/4}$. Similar to passive polymers, a plateau appears at longer times due to entanglements. For active filaments, this plateau is shorter, both, for rodlike and flexible polymers. Hence, activity leads to a softening or fluidization of the solution due to their directed motion. Similarly, softening for whole cells due to motor activity has been observed.
FIG. 17. (Online color) Dependence of the shear relaxation time on filament length for actin and actin-myosin networks. The triangles indicate the relaxation times of an entangled F-actin solution, whereas bullets correspond to actin networks with active myosin under ATP conditions. (Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature 91, copyright 2002.)

B. Relaxation and Transport Properties

Fluidization of actin-myosin gels due to motor activity has been found experimentally.91,92 This is particularly interesting, because passive (no ATP) myosin acts as a crosslinker for actin polymers. Accordingly, the storage modulus increases six-fold if passive myosin is added to the solution. However, with a sufficient concentration of ATP, the myosin proteins act as directional motors, and propel the filaments relative to each other, causing a decrease of the relaxation time and fluidization of the gel. Indeed, experiments show a drastic decrease of the viscous relaxation time91 in qualitative agreement with the theoretical considerations of Sec. II B. Simultaneously, the length dependence of the relaxation time changes from a $L^3$ dependence to a linear dependence, as shown in Fig. 17. The linear dependence on actin-length of the relaxation time is in agreement with predictions for the polar active polymer dynamics of Sec. III A (cf. Fig. 9).

In contrast, the longest relaxation time of the polymer with APB monomers exhibits a different length dependence, namely $L^3 - L^{2.5}$. This suggests that the actin filaments are indeed driven tangential to their contour.

Recently, the dynamics of different genomic regions in the nucleus of live cells has been studied, in particular, the influence of the protein laminA.93 LaminA is considered to be important for the organization of chromatin in the cell nucleus by forming or initiating chromosomal interchain interactions (crosslinks between chromatin strands) over the whole nucleus.93 Measurements of the dynamics of various genomic loci in several cell lines93 yield, in the presence of laminA, a polymer-like subdiffusive time dependence of the MSD, although with an exponent somewhat smaller than the Rouse value $1/2$ (cf. Sec. II B 4).93 The difference in the exponent can be attributed to viscoelastic effects.37,64 Depletion of laminA drastically accelerates the dynamics and the MSD crosses over to normal diffusion (cf. Fig. 18). This increase can be attributed to a release of constraints due to the depletion of laminA and appearing large-scale motions of genomic regions, driven by thermal and active noise.37,93 The transition from a polymer-dynamics-dominated time regime to accelerated diffusion is consistent with our results shown in Fig. 5. Already moderate active noise leads to an accelerated dynamics over several orders in time.

VI. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

Polymers and semiflexible filaments are ubiquitous, both in biology and in technical applications. Similar omnipresent is activity in biological systems. However, the implication of an active environment on the polymer properties have only be realized recently, specifically in the context of biological cells. The action of motor proteins, as well as treadmilling due to polymerization and de-polymerization of polar active filament in the cytoskeleton has long been studied to unravel cell motility and internal cell dynamics. Yet, little is know about the effect of the active cell environment on the properties of other contained polymers such as the chromatin. Even less is known about the material properties of active polymer solutions and melts. We think that the incorporation of activity in polymer materials may be a promising route to the design of novel switchable and smart materials.

We are only at the beginning of a detailed understanding of the interplay of driving forces, passive and active...
noise, polymer flexibility, and constraints due to other polymers or geometrical boundaries. Most studies so far have focused on single filaments, as reviewed in this article. Besides, considerable effort has been devoted to studies of the dynamics of dense systems in two dimensions in the nematic phase. Here, continuum models based on the nematic order parameter proved to be extremely successful.\(^1\) It will be very interesting in the future to bridge the gap between these very different levels of description, and to connect the single polymer properties to the emergent behavior at large length- and time-scales.
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