Value of transabdominal bowel ultrasonography and splanchnic vascular parameters in the evaluation of inflammatory bowel disease
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ABSTRACT

Background: Although non-specific, transabdominal bowel ultrasonography, in real time or in color Doppler; has been beneficial in evaluating the location, extent and activity of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). Aim: To assess the potential role of bowel transabdominal bowel ultrasonography in the diagnosis and follow-up of IBD. Patients and Methods: A cohort of 30 adults histologically-proven active IBD patients; ulcerative colitis (UC) (n = 20), Crohn’s disease (CD) (n = 10) were prospectively assessed in addition to 20 non-IBD participants representing the control group. All participants underwent the full colonoscopy in addition to real time and color Doppler ultrasonography. Bowel wall thickness, perienteric changes, and hemodynamic changes in the portal vein and mesenteric arteries were recorded at initial enrollment and after complete remission in 10 IBD patients. Results: Bowel wall was significantly thickened in all active IBD patients with a significant decrease after disease quiescence in CD patients; \( p \) value < 0.01. At initial evaluation, hypervascularization was evident in both mesenteric arteries among patients with active pancolitis and CD with significant differences; \( p \) value was <0.001 after disease quiescence. The diagnostic accuracy of UC and CD lesions ranged from 70% - 85%, 86% - 100% respectively. Conclusion: Transabdominal bowel ultrasonography supplemented with color Doppler study may represent a potential tool in the diagnosis, assessment of disease activity as well as follow-up of IBD.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The term inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) denotes a genetically, immunologically and histopathologically heterogeneous group of bowel disorders classified as ulcerative colitis (UC), Crohn’s disease (CD) and indeterminate colitis (IC) [1]. The diagnosis of IBD is based on a non-strictly defined combination of clinical presentation with confirmatory evidence from radiographic, endoscopic and pathological findings [2]. Characteristically, CD runs a relapsing course and UC has frequent exacerbations and remissions [3] which explain why these patients are repeatedly investigated by invasive endoscopic and radiologic procedures. However, ileo-colonoscopy is not always possible due to technical difficulties, poor preparation, or patient intolerance [4]. In contrast, computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging and ultrasonography [1] represent useful alternative tools for the assessment of bowel wall abnormalities and extraluminal alterations [5].

Trans-abdominal ultrasonography of the bowel is a fast, efficient, low-cost, well accepted and repeatable procedure. Being virtually hazard-free, it is considered an important noninvasive procedure of examining the intestines that need to be performed very accurately and requires adequate trained operators [6]. Moreover, Doppler ultrasonography is a well documented technique to assess the splanchnic blood flow and thus can be a valuable method for the assessment of IBD activity [7]. Despite several attempts to correlate US findings with clinical
and biochemical activity, to our knowledge, there is no standardized scale or convincing data that can determine the degree of disease activity on US, neither for CD nor for UC. Moreover, the possible incorporation of bowel US in the diagnostic panel for IBD has been of major concern due to the presence of controversy regarding this issue.

The aim of this study is to assess the potential role of transabdominal bowel ultrasonography (real time and color Doppler) in the diagnosis, location, and follow up in patients with known IBD and validate these findings in relation to the colonoscopic findings.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

This prospective study was conducted on a cohort of patients recruited from the Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Unit, Cairo University, Egypt, from January 2004 to January 2007 when colonoscopy was clinically indicated for diagnosis of suspected IBD (n = 30). In addition, 20 symptomatic patients with no evidence of gross pathology on colonoscopy were recruited as the control group. Approval was obtained from the ethical research committee of our institution and informed consent was obtained from all participants. Patients with history of colonic resection, clinical suspicion of toxic megacolon or documented splanchnic vessel occlusion were excluded.

The diagnosis of IBD; pan ulcerative colitis (n = 10), left sided colitis (n = 10) or CD (n = 10); was established through an assessment of the clinical presentation with confirmatory evidence from radiography, ileo-colonoscopy, in addition to pathological findings. The hallmarks for the endoscopic diagnosis of UC were the presence of diffuse involvement in the form of erythema, erosions, shallow ulcerations, friable mucosa and spontaneous bleeding. Whereas the presence of skip lesions comprising focal, patchy erosions or ulcers, vertical fissures, fistulas and the evidence of terminal ileal affection with rectal sparing were suggestive of CD. The severity of both entities was done on subjective evaluation of the endoscopic picture. Concerning histopathological diagnosis, patients with CD had transmural inflammation with multiple lymphoid aggregates, granulomas and submucosal fibrosis had an evidence of non-caesting granuloma while patients with UC expressed increased chronic inflammatory cells in the lamina propria, neutrophilic infiltration of the surface and crypt epithelium and crypt abscesses.

Routine laboratory investigations such as complete blood picture, stool analysis, C-reactive protein (CRP), and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) were performed to assess disease activity as determined by Mayo scoring system [8], as well as Crohn’s disease activity index score (CDAI) [9,10] for UC and CD respectively.

In accordance with the study protocol, transabdominal bowel ultrasonography; real time and color Doppler; was carried out using a real time US apparatus (Siemens, versal-plus®, Germany) and a 3.5 MHz curved array at baseline enrollment and was re-evaluated after disease remission. Follow-up; mean 180 ± 60 days; was done in 19 IBD patients. Disease remission, as determined by CDAI score < 150 and Mayo scoring system, was achieved in 10 patients.

Bowel US was performed within 3 days of the endoscopic procedure by a single experienced operator who was blind of any previous diagnoses or diagnostic procedures. All patients were examined in the supine position with no additional dietary or cleansing measures during preparation except fasting for at least 4 hours prior to examination. The bowel loops were examined for wall thickness, presence of skip lesions, inter-bowel or free peritoneal fluid, abscesses, stenotic lesions and fistula. Bowel wall thickness (BWT) was measured from the serosa to the intestinal mucosa at the thickest bowel loop of each bowel segment; ≥4 mm for at least 4 cm length; was classified as diseased provided it could be measured in both longitudinal and transverse sections [11]. Sigmoid and ileocecal regions were identified by the left and right iliac vessels [12]. Color Doppler scanning of the thickened bowel loops reported the number of signals in the affected bowel loop and evaluated hemodynamic vascular changes in the portal vein (PV) and both the superior and inferior mesenteric arteries (SMA, IMA). All vessels were assessed for their diameter, velocity and blood flow volume which were recorded at an angle of insonation between 30° and 60°. The scanned arteries were dynamically explored with a 3.5 - 4 MHz probe, followed longitudinally for a distance of 3 - 5 cm and further evaluated for their peak systolic velocity (PSV), end diastolic velocity (EDV), resistivity index (RI) and pulsatility index (PI). All measurements were made during three consecutive flowmetry spectra waveforms.

Blood flow volume was assessed according to the following equation:

\[ \text{Flow volume} = \text{mean velocity (cm/second)} \times \text{cross sectional area (cm}^2\text{)} \]

Statistical methods: All patients’ data were tabulated, analyzed by using SPSS 10.0 for window XP quantitative variables were expressed by mean, standard deviation (STD) and compared by ANOVA test, paired or unpaired, t-student test when appropriate, and assuming normal distribution of the data. Receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curves were done for the Doppler parameters to detect the most reliable index for diagnosis and its best cut off value. In all tests p value was considered significant when \( p \leq 0.05 \).

3. RESULTS

IBD patients were significantly younger than non-IBD
Table 1. Laboratory parameters in all studied groups.

| Laboratory parameters | UC (n = 20) | CD (n = 10) | non IBD (n = 10) | Control (n = 10) |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Pus cells in stool (/cm³) | 38 ± 6<sup>a</sup> | 33 ± 5<sup>a</sup> | 5 ± 3<sup>a</sup> | 0<sup>a</sup> |
| RBCs in stool (/cm³) | 35 ± 13<sup>a</sup> | 15 ± 6<sup>a</sup> | 10 ± 6<sup>a</sup> | 0<sup>a</sup> |
| Hemoglobin (g/dl) | 11 ± 1<sup>a</sup> | 12 ± 1<sup>a</sup> | 13 ± 1<sup>a</sup> | 15 ± 1<sup>a</sup> |
| Albumin (g/dl) | 3.2 ± 0.1<sup>a</sup> | 3.2 ± 0.1<sup>a</sup> | 3.5 ± 0.1<sup>b</sup> | 4.2 ± 0.1<sup>c</sup> |
| ESR (mm/hr) | 39.2 ± 7<sup>a</sup> | 41 ± 4.4<sup>a</sup> | 19 ± 1.4<sup>b</sup> | 5.2 ± 3<sup>c</sup> |

Values are expressed in mean ± SD. The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level between each two different letters in each row. Similar letters indicate no significant difference.
Table 2. Distribution of colonic lesions as detected by ultrasound compared to endoscopy in IBD patients (n = 20).

| Site of IBD lesions | Colonoscopy findings | US findings |
|---------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| UC (n = 20)         |                      |             |
| Left colitis        | 10                   | 7           |
| Pan-colitis         | 10                   | 4           |
| CD (n = 10)         |                      |             |
| Terminal ileum      | 10                   | 10          |
| Caecum              | 9                    | 7           |
| Ascending colon     | 7                    | 4           |
| Transverse colon    | 5                    | 3           |

Figure 1. Bowel wall thickness in active and quiescent inflammatory bowel disease.

Figure 2. Color Doppler imaging demonstrating increased SMA diameter in active pan ulcerative colitis.

decrease in RI and PI in the mesenteric arteries; was the hallmark in the assessment of IBD activity. After complete disease remission, CD patients exhibited a significant decrease in BWT due to its trans-mural nature. Meanwhile, significant hemodynamic changes were found in all IBD patients in PV and both mesenteric arteries after disease quiescence, a finding consistent with decrease blood flow.

Disease location and extent could be variable between different IBD subgroups and even between different bowel segments in CD. The relative lower accuracy in UC diagnosis could be due to the common rectal involvement which is relatively inaccessible by US. The variability in disease detection between the different bowel segments in CD was explained by the insufficient bowel distension and subsequent assessment of wall thickening; with best performance in the terminal ileum, lesser sensitivities in the ascending and transverse colon; 92% - 97% with the least sensitivity; 33% - 88%; in the jejunum and proximal small bowel segments [18,19]. Prior studies were able to report an overall 84% - 90% sensitivity [20,21] and 78% - 93% specificity for CD diagnosis, in addition to the strong correlation with the endoscopic and histopathological findings. Other studies revealed a wide range of sensitivity (67% - 96%) and specificity (79% - 100%) [22,23]. These differences were related to the variation in
the study design, population characteristics, reference standards and lack of a control population which makes it difficult to achieve a comprehensive evaluation of sensitivity and specificity of the US.

BWT, a characteristic feature of IBD, is theoretically expected to increase with the transmural inflammation in CD rather than the exclusive superficial mucosal inflammation in UC. However, BWT is considered to be a characteristic feature of UC as well. In CD, US may show BWT ≥ 20 mm with possible loss of stratification [13]; whereas the mural stratification is preserved in most UC patients. This feature can differentiate between CD and UC, although it was regarded to be non-sufficient for differentiation in most of the studies [24]. In accordance with our results, BWT detected by bowel US was correlated with the clinical and endoscopic activity of UC and could yield high sensitivity in detecting macroscopic lesions when compared to endoscopic and histological findings. BWT significantly decreased (7.3 ± 1.9 mm versus 5.1 ± 1.2 mm; p < 0.001) in patients who experienced clinical improvement after treatment, but this decrease was not evident in those showing no significant clinical improvement. In CD, bowel loop wall was significantly thicker than the control group; 4.9 ± 2.7 vs. <2.0 mm; with greater values in active compared to the inactive phases; 5.8 ± 2.9 vs. 4.3 ± 2.2 mm respectively; p < 0.001 [25,26]. Similarly, significant differences in BWT between different phases of IBD were reported by several authors [27-29] and were explained by the presence of edema, muscular spasm, and submucosal cellular inflammation inactive phases in the subsequent development of fibrosis in inactive phases [30]. Moreover, the different cutoff values for BWT revealed a decrease in sensitivity from 88% to 75% with a slight increase in specificity from 93% - 97% when a cutoff value of 4 mm was used instead of 3 mm [31] and both sensitivity and specificity were improved with the use of the 4-mm compared to 5-mm threshold [32].

Our results illustrated the presence of hypervascularization and the increase in mesenteric blood flow which was related to the extent of colonic involvement, IBD activity and the favorable response to treatment. Thus, Doppler sonography was able to demonstrate splanchnic hemodynamic changes in active IBD patients and can differentiate between active and quiescent cases. In agreement with our results, other authors correlated hemodynamic changes to disease activity, both in UC [16] and CD [17] as demonstrated by Doppler sonography which was useful in IBD diagnosis even on normal colonoscopy findings [33]. Increase PV blood flow and gut vascularity and decrease SMA RI were able to differentiate between active and quiescent UC [19] and were demonstrated in active CD [34]. All hemodynamic changes in SMA were related to pan-colitis rather than to left-sided colitis; SMA blood flow is likely to be fairly normal when the inflammation is limited to the left colon or rectum [16,35]. Similarly, an increase in mesenteric arteries velocity with the subsequent decrease towards the normal values was expressed in IBD patients during remission [36], in UC [37] and in determining CD activity [38]. CD activity was related to the intensity of color Doppler signals [28], decrease RI in mural arteries and increase PV blood flow [39]. Moreover, active CD was predicted by 86% at a cut off value for RI and PI at <0.79, <1.56 respectively [40] and relapse was represented by an absence of PI augmentation even when clinical basis indicates remission phase. The present study was able to elicit the cutoff values for SMA diameter (6 mm), IMA diameter (3.35 mm), EDV, PSV and blood flow volume that were able to diagnose cases with IBD with high sensitivity and specificity.

In the current study, supplementary features in the form of free fluid and the presence of color signals in the affected bowel loops were helpful in the diagnosis of IBD specially among CD patients. This was previously noted by other authors who appreciated few additional features such as mesenteric hypertrophy, free fluid or lymph nodes that could substantially improve the performance of the US in the diagnosis of CD [41].

In variance to the present study, Doppler analysis was not correlated with clinical activity as demonstrated by Byrne et al. who could not demonstrate any correlation between SMA RI with either endoscopic or clinical disease severity [38]. This may be attributed to the different spectra of disease severity between the study cohorts, or to methodological flaw. In routine clinical practice, Doppler measurements in the SMA are not always reliable due to influence of age, presence of atherosclerosis, and

| Vascular parameter | Best cutoff | Sensitivity | Specificity | AUC | p value |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----|---------|
| SMA diameter (mm)  | 6           | 80%         | 80%         | 0.9 | 0.002   |
| IMA diameter (mm)  | 3.35        | 93%         | 100%        | 0.98| <0.01   |
| IMA EDV (m/sec)    | 1.12        | 97%         | 100%        | 0.99| <0.01   |
| IMA PSV (m/sec)    | 1.276       | 85%         | 100%        | 0.93| <0.01   |

SMA: superior mesenteric artery; IMA: inferior superior mesenteric artery; PSV: peak systolic velocity; EDV: end diastolic velocity; RI: resistivity index; PI: pulsatility index; AUC: area under the curve; p value was considered significant when ≤0.05.
the length of the affected segment [38]. Moreover, the determination of blood flow in CD is technically demanding, is not feasible in all patients and is time consuming. This raises more questions to the possibility of the routine application of this test in clinical practice [42] which was further supported when considering the insignificant hemodynamic changes related to the PV diameter, velocity and blood flow volume in all our IBD subgroups. However, a significant decrease in all PV vascular parameters was achieved on disease remission, as previously demonstrated in other studies [3,43].

The current study expresses some limitations, the small number of IBD patients either in activity or remission, the lack of accurate endoscopic grading scoring systems, the lack of information about the disease severity and its relation to the maximal BWT. Furthermore, the use of convex and linear probes of higher frequency US might have added more detailed information about the pathology of bowel loops involved in addition to their content, peristalsis, and compressibility. Moreover, the assessment of the IMA was frequently concealed by bowel gases and necessitated re-evaluation and transabdominal bowel ultrasonography can miss clinically relevant pathological changes in patients with IBD mostly due to difficulty in assessing certain anatomical regions.

In conclusion, though establishing IBD diagnosis should be based on endoscopic and histological findings, this preliminary study had highlighted the possible application of transabdominal bowel ultrasonography as a valuable; well tolerated method to assist in the diagnosis, location, determination of disease activity and follow-up of IBD.
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ABBREVIATIONS

IBD: Inflammatory Bowel Disease
UC: Ulcerative Colitis
CD: Crohn’s Disease
IC: Indeterminate Colitis
US: Ultrasonography
CRP: C-Reactive Protein
ESR: Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate
CDAI: Crohn’s Disease Activity Index

BWT: Bowel Wall Thickness
PV: Portal Vein
SMA: Superior Mesenteric Artery
IMA: Inferior Mesenteric Artery
PSV: Peak Systolic Velocity
EDV: End Diastolic Velocity
RI: Resistivity Index
PI: Pulsatility Index
ROC: Receiver Operator Characteristic