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Abstract

Introduction: Autopsy findings have shown the entorhinal cortex and transentorhinal cortex are among the earliest sites of accumulation of pathology in patients developing Alzheimer’s disease.

Methods: Here, we study this region in subjects with mild cognitive impairment (n = 36) and in control subjects (n = 16). The cortical areas are manually segmented, and local volume and shape changes are quantified using diffeomorphometry, including a novel mapping procedure that reduces variability in anatomic definitions over time.

Results: We find significant thickness and volume changes localized to the transentorhinal cortex through high field strength atlasing.

Discussion: This demonstrates that in vivo neuroimaging biomarkers can detect these early changes among subjects with mild cognitive impairment. © 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the Alzheimer’s Association. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
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1. Background

The anatomic localization of the early pathologic changes associated with Alzheimer’s disease (AD) aligns with the clinical presentation of most patients in the early symptomatic phase of AD. By clinical, cognitive, and functional criteria, mild cognitive impairment (MCI) is considered an intermediate state between individuals who are cognitively normal and those with a clinical diagnosis of dementia [1,2]. Impairment of episodic memory, that is, the ability to acquire and retain new information about life events, that is greater than expected for a person’s age is most commonly observed in subjects with MCI who progress to AD and has been used to define a subtype of MCI called amnestic MCI [3]. Quantitative studies of autopsied brains from well-characterized patients at this phase of AD have demonstrated a substantial loss of neurons in the entorhinal cortex (ERC) [4–6]. A broad consensus now exists on this locus for early neurodegeneration and the subsequent spread of pathology along connectional pathways as disease progresses causing further symptomatic worsening through the stages of dementia.

Against this background, localized anatomic change in the medial temporal lobe observed using structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has provided an indirect measure of neuronal injury [7,8]. In particular, entorhinal cortical atrophy has been identified as one of the MRI measures that predicts longitudinal progression among symptomatic cases, with greater atrophy associated with greater clinical disease severity [9–12] (also see recent review [13]). ERC atrophy
detected by MRI has also been predictive of progression from normal cognition to MCI [14]. Such atrophy has been associated with impairment on multiple memory tests confirming that this atrophy has clinical relevance for patients [15].

The field of computational anatomy [16] has been working toward addressing the need for quantitative, well-researched, standardized structural imaging biomarkers for neurodegenerative disease. Patterns of atrophy at the population and individual level are described quantitatively by constructing smooth mappings (diffeomorphisms) that identify correspondences between a well-characterized template image and a given patient image. Properties of these mappings, such as how much they expand or contract, are used to give a quantitative description of biological change. These tools have been previously applied to a study of the medial temporal lobe [17], where significant atrophy was found present in the most lateral portion of the ERC. In this study, we use structural MRI to investigate the cortical region further lateral, the transentorhinal cortex (TEC), which is one of the sites of the earliest detected pathology as reported by Braak and Braak [18].

Because of its proximity to meninges and the oculomotor nerve, as well as the fact that its definition is specified by distant landmarks rather than local contrast changes [19], this area is difficult for automatic segmentation techniques. Although some techniques [20] are addressing these concerns, we choose to use manual segmentations in this study. In this context, one important source of variability is the consistency of segmentations over time.

Our approach to overcoming this limitation is to develop a longitudinal filtering procedure, passing a template segmentation through each time point along a continuous trajectory. This allows data to be shared between various time points, removing noise associated with variable structure definition over time. The trajectory we choose is modeled by two geodesics through the space of diffeomorphisms, one from template to baseline and other from baseline through each follow up. This leads to a procedure that is essentially linear regression through the high-dimensional space of images.

We study a sample of subjects from the Alzheimer’s disease neuroimaging initiative (ADNI) who are cognitively normal or have MCI by clinical and cognitive criteria at baseline. We measure volume changes over time to compute volumetric atrophy rate due to MCI, and we measure local volume and thickness over time to compute the spatial distribution of these changes. Regions where atrophy is significantly different between groups are identified using permutation testing, controlling for multiple comparisons using the maximum statistic.

### 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Subjects

Data used in the preparation of this article were obtained from the ADNI database (adni.loni.usc.edu). MRI scans from subjects who met ADNI criteria for MCI at baseline were selected for analysis. Inclusion criteria for subjects with MCI included evidence of impaired performance on Logical Memory Subtest of the Wechsler Memory Scale (based on age and education adjusted norms), a score of clinical dementia rating (CDR) ≥0.5, and a clinical diagnosis of MCI. The MCI subjects were all selected to be amyloid β positive based on cerebrospinal fluid cutoff values established by the ADNI Biospecimen Core (i.e., less than a cutoff score of 192 pg/mL) [21] and age between 55 and 85 years.

The criteria for control status in ADNI included evidence of performance within the normal range on the Logical Memory Subtest of the Wechsler Memory Scale (based on age and education adjusted norms), a score of CDR = 0, and the absence of a clinical diagnosis of MCI or dementia. Control subjects were included only if they were amyloid β negative (greater than a cutoff of 192 pg/mL). In total, 36 subjects with amnestic MCI and 16 control subjects were selected. Their baseline demographics and cognitive scores are summarized in Table 1.

#### 2.2. Manual segmentation protocol

Analysis included subjects who had no discontinuity in their collateral sulcus (type I variant described in [22] and further discussed in [23]) and was restricted to the left side of the MRI scan. Most subjects examined were found to have this anatomic variant on the left side, but many had alternative variants on the right. Only subjects scanned for at least three time points for more than 2 years were included. Most were scanned at 6, 12, and 24 months after baseline. Data for one subject is shown in Fig. 1 left panel. We denote the ith subject’s jth scan time as \( t_{ij} \).

ERC and TEC were segmented manually, using anatomic boundaries described in [19]. As seen in the coronal plane, the boundaries can be described by

- **Rostral**: 4 mm rostral to hippocampal head
- **Caudal**: 2 mm caudal to gyrus intralimbicus
- **Medial**: As far as visible gray/white boundary
- **Lateral**: Deepest part of collateral sulcus

Segmentations were performed in Seg3D version 1 [24]. One example is shown in the coronal plane in Fig. 1 right.

#### Table 1

| Parameter                          | Control | MCI  |
|-----------------------------------|---------|------|
| Number                            | 16      | 36   |
| Age                               | 71.7 ± 6.01 | 72.0 ± 7.61 |
| Female gender                     | 43.8%   | 50.0%|
| CDR                               | 0 ± 0   | 1.57 ± 0.719 |
| Mini-Mental State Examination     | 29.4 ± 1.03 | 27.4 ± 1.77 |
| Wechsler Memory Scale (WMS) Logical Memory (Immediate) | 14.3 ± 2.27 | 3.42 ± 2.55 |
| WMS Logical Memory (Delayed)      | 15.2 ± 3.22 | 7.06 ± 3.22 |
panel, together with nearby medial temporal lobe structures. Note that the region we consider extends laterally into the collateral sulcus, beyond the ERC region that has been studied previously.

Within subject images were aligned rigidly to baseline by minimizing sum of square difference between T1 voxel intensities. All segmentations were aligned to a template using four landmarks placed automatically at the corners of the ERC and TEC. The resulting manual segmentation images for patient $i$ at time $t_{ij}$ are denoted by $J^i$.

2.3. Diffeomorphic image matching

We compute diffeomorphic mappings used to match a template binary segmentation image $I$ to target binary segmentation images $J^i$ (corresponding to subject $i$ at time $t_i$) by integrating a time varying velocity field

$$\frac{d}{dt} \varphi_t = \nu_i(\varphi_t)$$

with initial condition $\varphi_0 = \text{Id}$ (identity). The vector fields $\nu$ are chosen to belong to a reproducing kernel Hilbert space $V$, with kernel

$$K(x, x') = \frac{1}{(2\pi \sigma_t^2)^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma_t^2} |x-x'|^2\right)$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes the norm of a vector in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Smoothness criteria for vector fields in the space $V$ to generate diffeomorphic transformations are discussed in [25].

We choose to parametrize $\nu_i$ by a function $p_0$, supported on the boundary of an atlas surface as in [26]. Describing this surface parametrically through a function $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, our velocity can be written as

$$\nu(x) = \int_{\hat{V}} K(x, f(u)) p_0(u) \, du$$

This representation is optimal when images to be matched are piecewise constant functions [27] and is a parsimonious model otherwise. The surface $f$ is represented as a discrete triangulated surface by specifying a list of vertices and faces. For a further reduction in complexity, we restrict ourselves to modeling $p_0$, the initial condition to a geodesic flow given by

$$\frac{d}{dt} f_t(u) = \nu_i(f_t(u)), \quad \frac{d}{dt} p_t(u) = -Dv^T(f_t(u))$$

as derived in [27]. Here $Dv$ denotes the matrix of partial derivatives whose $i$th row is the gradient vector of the $i$th component of $v$, and $T$ denotes the transpose.

The binary segmentation images to be matched are deformed using functional composition with the inverse $f_t = f_0(\varphi_t^{-1})$. The template image $I_0$ and corresponding triangulated surface $f_0$ are estimated from the population in a Bayesian setting as originally described in [28,29] with details discussed in [30]. Our initial guess (hypertemplate) used as an input to the template estimation algorithm was created by (1) computing the voxel-by-voxel average of each baseline rigidly aligned segmentation, (2) constructing a smooth triangulated surface contouring this average image, and (3) constructing a binary image from this surface by setting inside voxels to 1 and outside voxels to 0. The resulting template used is a deformation of this initial guess that is close to each member of the population (a population average in the Riemannian sense).

To summarize, in this work we use binary images ($I$) for our cost function’s matching term, and we use a surface ($f$) to parameterize their deformation. The two are related because this surface contours the deforming atlas image. Unlike
discrete images, our surface provides smoothness and well-defined normals, allowing it to be used as an interpretable and parsimonious representation.

2.4. Longitudinal mapping

We overcome variability in anatomic definitions over time by mapping our template simultaneously onto each scan in a time series. Our approach is to define two geodesic trajectories parametrized by the functions \( p^0 \) and \( p^1 \). This results in diffeomorphisms \( \varphi_i \) (from template to baseline), indexed by \( t \in [0, 1] \), and \( \varphi_i^1 \) from baseline through the time series, indexed by \( t \in [t_1, t_n] \) with \( \varphi_i^1 = 1d \).

We are given a template segmentation \( I_0 \) and a target family of target segmentations \( J^j \) at times \( t_j \) for \( j \in \{1, \ldots, N_j\} \).

We seek to minimize the data fidelity function

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2\sigma_j^2} \| I_0 \left( \varphi_i^{0,-1} \circ \varphi_i^{1,-1}(\cdot) \right) - J^j \|^2_{L_2}
$$

where \( \| \cdot \|_{L_2} \) is the \( L_2 \) norm of a scalar-valued function, defined by \( \| f \|^2_{L_2} = \int_U |f(x)|^2 \, dx \) and \( \sigma_j^2 \) is a positive real number controlling the relative weight of the data fidelity term in our cost function.

In addition, we include regularization terms of the form

$$
\| p \|^2_{V^j} = \int_U \int_U p^j(u) K(f(u), f(u')) p(u') \, du \, du'
$$

This is the norm of the initial velocity vector field on our reproducing kernel Hilbert space of smooth functions. See [31] for details. Optimal mappings are computed by minimizing the cost function

$$
C(p^0, p^1) = \frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2} \| p^0 \|^2_{V^j} + \frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2} \| p^1 \|^2_{V^j} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2\sigma_j^2} \| I_0 \left( \varphi_i^{0,-1} \circ \varphi_i^{1,-1}(\cdot) \right) - J^j \|^2_{L_2}
$$

2.5. Global volume measurement

At each time point, we measure the volume of the region by summing voxels in the segmentation images and multiplying by the voxel size. When images are not binary due to linear interpolation on rigid alignment, we sum their interpolated value, which we interpret as a voxel that is fractionally filled by the anatomy of interest.

2.6. Local measurements

Local atrophy measurements are calculated from properties of the mappings, \( \varphi_i^1(\varphi_i^0) \). The local change in volume between template and subject \( i \) at time \( j \) is estimated at each vertex \( x_i \) of our triangulated surface as the determinant of the Jacobian of the mapping \( D\varphi_i^1(\varphi_i^0(x_i)) \).

The local change in thickness is estimated as the ratio of the Jacobian determinant to the local change in surface area (“volume equals surface area times thickness”). This approach is valid when the template is a thin laminar structure like the ERC and TEC. Local surface area change is estimated by computing, for each triangular face of our template, the deformed triangle area divided by the template triangle area. This measure is interpolated from faces to vertices by assigning to each vertex the sum of 1/3 of the value at its neighboring faces. This choice of interpolation preserves the total area.

2.7. Mixed-effects modeling

We estimate the atrophy rate using a log-linear mixed-effects model, treating gender as a fixed effect and patient-to-patient variability as a random effect. With \( y_{ij} \) a volumetric measurement (either the volume of the structure or measure of local thickness or volume change at some vertex on our template) for subject \( i \) and scan \( j \), the model can be written as

$$
\log y_{ij} = a + b(\text{elapsed time})_i + (\text{MCI})_i \left( a' + b'(\text{elapsed time})_j \right) + c \ (\text{female})_i + e_i + \epsilon_{ij} \tag{1}
$$

for \( \sigma_0^2 \) and \( \sigma_1^2 \) positive real numbers controlling the relative weight of each regularization term. This implementation is discussed in [30] with computational details including graphics processing unit performance discussed in [32].

2.5. Global volume measurement

At each time point, we measure the volume of the region by summing voxels in the segmentation images and multiplying by the voxel size. When images are not binary due to linear interpolation on rigid alignment, we sum their interpolated value, which we interpret as a voxel that is fractionally filled by the anatomy of interest.
because each volumetric measure analyzed is constrained to be positive.

The value \( b + b' \text{MCI} \) is related to annual atrophy rate percentage by the equation

\[
\text{Atrophy rate} = 100 \left(1 - \exp(b + b' \text{MCI})\right)
\]

For small values this is approximately atrophy rate \( \approx 100(-b - b' \text{MCI}) \), so for example a value of \( b = -0.02 \) corresponds to about 2% tissue loss per year.

We test the null hypothesis that \( a' = b' = 0 \), using a likelihood ratio test statistic and permutation testing with 10,000 permutations. The nuisance variable \( c \) is estimated under the null hypothesis, and permutation testing is performed on the residuals. We address the multiple comparison problem by using the maximum statistic to control familywise error rate at 5% as described in [33].

### 2.8. High field atlasing

We visualize our results with respect to an atlas imaged at high field strength where a partition of the ERC and surrounding area could be determined using the protocol described in [19,34]. An ex vivo specimen of a patient with AD was scanned at 11 T and manually segmented as shown in Fig. 2. Details of this procedure are also described in [35].

Note that the nomenclature in this region is used differently by different authors. Our high field partition contains a region referred to as the sulcal subfield of the ERC in [34], which is referred to as the TEC in [19] and throughout our work.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Manual segmentations and longitudinal filtering

Examples of the anatomic structures used in our analysis are shown in Fig. 3. Our triangulated surface template is shown at left in cyan, and isocontours of our manual segmentations are shown in red. The results of our mapping algorithm are shown in blue.

To demonstrate the range of atrophy in the subjects, Fig. 3 top shows a case with no volume loss on top, and a case with substantial volume loss on the bottom. To demonstrate our mapping method’s ability to filter out variability, Fig. 3 bottom shows a case with low variability on top and high variability on the bottom. Note that in the low variability case, defects in the segmentation, such as holes, are still filtered out. In the high variability case, variations in the rostral-caudal extent of the cortex are filtered out.

#### 3.2. Volume results

Measurements of the total volume of ERC and TEC are shown as a function of elapsed time in Fig. 4. The left side shows raw measurements. The right side shows data corrected for the effect of gender and patient variability (the expected value of \( e_i \)) under the alternate hypothesis that there is a difference between the control and MCI group. The null hypothesis is rejected with \( P = .002 \).

#### 3.3. Local atrophy results

Our estimated local thickness atrophy rate is shown in Fig. 5 left panel. The top row shows the atrophy for subjects with MCI \( (b + b' \text{ from (1)}) \). The second row shows the difference between MCI and control groups \( (b' \text{ only}) \). The third row shows the same, but only in regions where we can reject the null hypothesis \( (a' = b' = 0) \) with an family-wise error rate of 5%. The final row shows \( P \) values corrected for multiple comparisons. The global null hypothesis of no difference between MCI and control groups is rejected with \( P = .0002 \).

The estimated volume atrophy rate is shown in Fig. 5 right panel. The global null hypothesis is rejected with
Group differences are found in roughly the same region for both change in thickness and change in volume, toward the lateral side of the cortex examined. The local volume change measure also shows some significant group differences more medially.

### 3.4. High field atlasing

These results are mapped, using the same techniques described previously but with only one time point, onto our high field atlas partition and are shown in Fig. 6. With this technique, it can be seen that most of the significant changes are localized to the TEC (referred to by some authors as the sulcal region of the ERC). Some changes are also located more medially in the lateral subfield and the intermediate caudal subfield. The local volume change measure also shows some changes in the intermediate superior subfield.

### 4. Discussion

This study demonstrates in vivo changes on MRI at the millimeter scale that colocalizes extremely well with neurofibrillary tangles found at autopsy in Braak stages I and II, the transentorhinal stage [18], and identifies a specific region whose atrophy could be used as an appropriately designed and standardized biomarker of disease. Measuring atrophy in this specific region at the population level may be useful for determining efficacy of disease-modifying interventions before cognitive changes occur. This contributes to a growing body of evidence that imaging biomarkers in the entorhinal region can be sensitive to changes in early AD. The quantitative nature of this work overcomes some criticisms of structural neuroimaging as a biomarker for AD as described in [36].

An important consideration that arises when using this filtering method is whether the variability being removed is because of manual segmentation noise or because of...
true biological variability. Filtering techniques should be considered in the context of this bias variance trade-off. We have attempted to address this by resegmenting and re-filtering eight scans. From repeated segmentations we estimate a median Dice overlap (volume of intersection divided by average volume) of 0.801 for manual segmentations and 0.861 for filtered segmentations, the second being significantly improved ($P = .0078$, signed rank test). These numbers are significant given that this is a laminar structure with thickness of only a few voxels, and the large majority of errors are within one voxel. This improvement in reproducibility, together with good overall mapping accuracy, demonstrates that the procedure is likely removing noise and not the biological signal of interest. This is consistent with earlier work [37], where the cross-sectional version of this filtering procedure was shown to improve reproducibility across repeated scans and visits.

This study used two approaches to be sensitive to early structural changes in AD. First, motivated by histologic evidence, we focus our analysis on the entorhinal and transentorhinal region. Although many authors have studied the ERC, our region includes tissue lateral to most definitions of the ERC. A review of publications based on the ADNI dataset [38] describes the involvement of the ERC volume and thickness in disease progression, whereas the transentorhinal region is not discussed. Second, our method considers a detailed spatial distribution of structural changes, as opposed to analyzing thickness or volume averaged over larger regions. Although more recent work has been honing in on the transentorhinal region, such as [39] that quantifies changes in

Fig. 5. Left panel: Local thickness atrophy computed from Jacobian. Right panel: Local volume atrophy computed from Jacobian. First row, atrophy rate for MCI subjects; second row, difference in atrophy rate between MCI and control groups; third row, results from second row set to zero in regions not statistically significant 5% FWER; bottom row, $P$ values corrected for familywise error rate.
Broadman’s area 35, our analysis can detect more localized patterns of atrophy.

This work follows a trend in shape analysis in medical imaging to account for longitudinal changes explicitly. Similar approaches (known as geodesic regression) have been described in a very general setting in [40] and have been extended to hierarchical models in [41] and to aligning one time series to another [42]. In [43], several different approaches to parametrizing the trajectory in longitudinal mapping are outlined, including the two geodesic techniques used here, the piecewise geodesic technique used in [42] and higher order spline techniques. Longitudinal Freesurfer [44] provides an alternative approach to managing longitudinal data, where each scan in a time series is analyzed using a common subject-specific initialization to an optimization process. Many of these approaches are designed to allow sudden changes in the time course of the trajectory. The technique used here was designed specifically to avoid sudden changes, which in our application were likely a source of noise.

One limitation of this study is the exclusion of anatomic variants of the collateral sulcus, and our future work will expand to a larger number of subjects. However, only 16% of subjects examined did not have the type I variant on the left side.
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**RESEARCH IN CONTEXT**

1. Systematic review: The authors reviewed the literature using traditional (e.g., PubMed and Google Scholar) sources and meeting abstracts and presentations. This included both research and reviews in Alzheimer’s and mild cognitive impairment definitions and pathology, as well as techniques in statistical shape analysis. These works are appropriately cited in this study.

2. Interpretation: Our findings demonstrate in vivo changes in the transentorhinal cortex in patients with amnestic mild cognitive impairment using high-resolution magnetic resonance imaging that colocalizes with accumulation of neurofibrillary tangles observed at autopsy in Braak stages I and II and identifies a specific region whose atrophy could be used as a biomarker of disease progression.

3. Future directions: The work presented in this study will be expanded to include subjects with anatomic variations in the collateral sulcus and to a larger sample size. The potential role of this approach for determining efficacy of disease-modifying interventions before cognitive changes occur will be investigated.
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