Kelyphite Textures Experimentally Reproduced through Garnet Breakdown in the Presence of a Melt Phase
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Abstract

Complex multiphase reaction rims that form during garnet breakdown are known as kelyphite coronae and are common amongst exhumed mantle xenoliths. It has long been established that a reaction of garnet and olivine produces kelyphite corona consisting of spinel and pyroxenes, and that preservation of high-pressure garnet cores requires sufficiently rapid uplift of material through the spinel lherzolite stability field from depths of at least 60 km. We present new high-pressure, high-temperature experiments of garnet breakdown in the spinel-lherzolite stability field demonstrating that a series of cascading reactions can reproduce the multilayer, multiphase kelyphites seen in nature. In all experiments where breakdown occurred, a melt appears to have moderated the reactions towards equilibrium; we believe this to be the first experimental confirmation of the importance of such melts in garnet breakdown reactions. In our experiments at least three distinct zones of concentric kelyphite growth can occur at a single pressure, temperature condition; we suggest, therefore, that such kelyphites seen in natural samples do not have to be caused by a multistage uplift path as is often assumed. Kelyphitic coronae surrounding garnet have previously been used to estimate uplift rates; however, the lack of kinetic data for relevant exhumation reactions has limited their use for P-T pathway estimations and the understanding of emplacement mechanisms. In order to constrain accurate P-T pathways we use reaction rim thickness as a proxy for reaction progress and present preliminary results for the kinetics of garnet breakdown.
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INTRODUCTION

Garnet bearing mantle xenoliths are relatively rare and as a consequence their mineralogy, phase relations, and geothermobarometry have been subject to extensive research (e.g. Kushiro & Yoder, 1966; Carswell & Dawson, 1970; Macgregor, 1970; Lock & Dawson, 1980; Irifune, 1987; Clarke & Powell, 1991; Chakraborty & Ganguly, 1992; Ai, 1994; Karato et al., 1995; Atzori et al., 1999; Godard & Martin, 2000; Keaneke et al., 2000; Medaris et al., 2005; Dégi et al., 2010; Su et al., 2011). Their rarity is readily demonstrated by an assessment of their geological provenance: nearly all garnet lherzolite xenoliths are recovered from kimberlites of Archean and Proterozoic age, whereas spinel lherzolite assemblages occur throughout geological time in orogenic massifs and alkali basalt volcanic eruptions (Lock & Dawson, 1980; Bjerg et al., 2009; Su et al., 2011). Infrequent occurrences of garnet lherzolite have been documented in alkali basalts from the Mesozoic and Cenozoic (Medaris et al., 2005; Obata et al., 2013; Špaček et al., 2013). Garnet bearing mantle xenoliths are exhumed to the surface by violent processes at high temperatures (1000-1200°C) from minimum depths coinciding with the garnet to spinel lherzolite transition (50 to 80 km) (Peslier et al., 2008; Kavanagh & Sparks, 2009; Špaček et al., 2013). These high temperatures likely enhance the retrogression of garnet, so ‘rapid’ exhumation is inferred to be essential for successful recovery of unreacted garnet to Earth’s surface.

Indeed, nearly all examples of garnet lherzolite exhibit textural evidence of partial or complete retrogression of garnet (Carswell & Dawson, 1970; Reid & Dawson, 1972; Basu & MacGregor, 1975; Lock & Dawson, 1980; Clarke & Powell, 1991; Atzori et al., 1999; Grégoire et al., 2005; Dégi et al., 2010). These coronae are commonly referred to as kelyphite and are indicative of retrograde reactions taking place within the garnet during exhumation (Carswell & Dawson, 1970; Reid & Dawson, 1972).

The breakdown reactions can be generalised into two forms, the first involving excess olivine:

\[ \text{Garnet} + \text{Olivine} \Rightarrow \text{Spinel} + \text{Orthopyroxene} \pm \text{Clinopyroxene} \quad (1) \]

and the second, in the absence of olivine:

\[ \text{Garnet} \Rightarrow \text{Spinel} + \text{Orthopyroxene} + \text{Anorthite} \pm \text{Clinopyroxene} \quad (2) \]

where the growth of clinopyroxene and anorthite is dependent on the grossular content of the parent garnet. Kelyphite produced by Reaction 2 can be further subdivided into those that form in an isochemical (chemically closed) or non-isochemical (chemically open) type (Obata et al., 2014, 2013; Obata, 2016). The intricacies of these subdivisions are discussed in detail by Obata et al. (2013). Some of the most common textures following Reactions 1 and 2 are discussed by Obata (2011) and Obata & Ozawa (2011).

Reid & Dawson (1972) were the first to ascribe the development of kelyphitic coronae in peridotite xenoliths to be the result of
retrogressed garnet in the presence of olivine. They found three primary minerals in the interior of fresh unaltered kelyphite: spinel, orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene, which were surrounded by olivine. Reid & Dawson (1972) observed many coronae were subdivided into concentric zones surrounding relic garnet, each with a distinctive grain size and modal phase proportion. Subsequent work has shown that concentric zones surrounding relic garnet are common, with the number and type of zones depending on alteration, presence of melt, bulk composition, exhumation history, phases present and textural characteristics of those phases (Hunter & Taylor, 1982; Brearley & Scarfe, 1986; Godard & Martin, 2000; Špaček et al., 2013).

Kelyphite coronae are observed most frequently in mantle xenoliths derived from unusual volcanic settings examples of which include carbonatitic fields, for example, Lashaine and Tanzania (Reid & Dawson, 1972); kimberlite eruptions, for example, Lesotho (Lock & Dawson, 1980; Richardson et al., 1985); and nephelinitic breccia pipes, for example, New South Wales (Keankeo et al., 2000). Aside from these special geologic settings there have been occasional documented occurrences of reaction coronae surrounding garnet in orogenic belts and alkali basalts (Medaris et al., 2005; Špaček et al., 2013). The relationship of post-garnet retrograde reaction textures to exhumation processes has been widely discussed (Medaris et al., 2005; Obata & Ozawa, 2011; Obata et al., 2013; Špaček et al., 2013), and their application as indicators of pressure–temperature–time (PTt) pathways remains challenging. Amongst the interpretations of post-garnet textures, a significant decompression upon uplift is favoured in inducing garnet breakdown, leading to the array of textures seen in natural garnet peridotite (Reid & Dawson, 1972; Lock & Dawson, 1980; Godard & Martin, 2000).

The previous experimental study of Obata et al. (2014) investigated the generation of textures associated with garnet breakdown, successfully reproducing kelyphite textures associated with Reaction 2. However, this study did not constrain the associated reaction kinetics. Kinetics of the spinel to garnet reaction (backward reaction of Reaction 1) were studied by Nagayoshi et al. (2016), but these failed to consistently produce olivine as a reaction product; only one experiment with the full three-phase assemblage expected during the spinel to garnet reaction in peridotites was reported such that kinetic constraints remain highly limited. Our study extends the experimental data, including kinetic information, for retrograde garnet textures formed during equilibration in the spinel lherzolite field. In addition to reproducing kelyphitic textures observed in nature, this study introduces a new series of reaction pathways for garnet breakdown including the presence of a melt phase that mediates garnet retrogression through incongruent melting (Hunter & Taylor, 1982).

The presence of transient/metastable melts partaking in other breakdown reactions is well documented. Rubie & Brearley (1987) reported metastable melting during breakdown of quartz and muscovite, while Johannes (1980) described metastable melting of granitic compositions as a rock forming process in nature.

Our study is not the first proposal of such a melt or liquid phase contributing to garnet breakdown and kelyphite formation (Hunter & Taylor, 1982; Brearley & Scarfe, 1986), but we believe these experiments are the first reproduction of kelyphite textures co-existing with in situ melts, akin to those observed in nature (Hunter & Taylor, 1982; Brearley & Scarfe, 1986; Špaček et al., 2013). This study discusses the possible importance of this melt during garnet breakdown, which until now has been almost impossible to identify as there are no chemical fingerprints of the involvement of a melt phase as noted by Špaček et al. (2013).

Throughout this paper we describe kelyphite textures produced during high-pressure, high-temperature experiments, demonstrating that garnet breakdown is not a simple, single stage, olivine + garnet reaction and provide evidence for the necessity of melt during garnet breakdown in nature.

**METHODS**

**Experimental techniques**

Natural gem pyrope garnets from the Bohemian massif were cut into 200-μm thick slices, using a diamond annular saw, and subsequently double polished to a 0.3-μm diamond finish. Polycrystalline olivine aggregate was formed from optically clean San Carlos olivine, ground to a powder of <1-μm grain size under isopropanol using a McCrone mill. Prior to loading experiments, all starting materials and furnace components were dried overnight at 110°C. The polished garnet slices were embedded into the olivine powder, such that their polished surfaces were oriented perpendicular to the long axis of the cylindrical capsule, which was constructed of double wrapped 25-μm thick rhenium foil (Fig. 1). The composition of starting materials, as determined by electron probe micro-analysis, are presented in Table 1.

---

**Table 1:** Chemical composition of experimental starting materials, Bohemia garnet and San Carlos olivine.

|                | Bohemia Pyrope Garnet | San Carlos Olivine |
|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| SiO₂           | 42.68                 | 41.17              |
| TiO₂           | 0.57                  | 0.00               |
| Al₂O₃          | 21.61                 | 0.08               |
| Cr₂O₃          | 1.52                  | 0.14               |
| FeO            | 9.15                  | 10.26              |
| MgO            | 20.82                 | 48.70              |
| Na₂O           | 0.06                  | 0.00               |
| K₂O            | 0.00                  | 0.02               |
| CaO            | 4.37                  | 0.11               |
| Total          | 100.78                | 100.47             |

Chemical compositions (in wt.%) determined by wavelength dispersive spectroscopy (WDS), at University of Bristol.

---
High-pressure experiments were conducted in the 1000-tonne-force Walker-type multi-anvil press in the Department of Earth Sciences at University College London (Kawai & Endo, 1970; Walker et al., 1990). An 18/11 cell assembly, with stepped graphite furnace, was used to reach pressures of 12–20 kbar and temperatures between 1000°C and 1400°C. Type-D W-Re (3–25%) thermocouples were placed axially along the furnace with the hot junction in contact with the garnet bearing capsule to monitor temperature (Fig. 1). Pressure was calibrated using room temperature transitions of Bi and the high-T phase transitions in SiO2 (quartz-coesite) and CaGeO3 (garnet-perovskite), and the spinel to garnet reaction for our composition of starting materials. The estimated uncertainties in reported pressures are 1 kbar.

Additional piston cylinder experiments were conducted at University College London, and Macquarie University using piston cylinder apparatus. Standard 1/16” talc–pyrex assemblies were used at University College London with, graphite furnaces and Type-D W-Re (3–25%) thermocouples to monitor temperature. Experiments performed at Macquarie University used a rapid quench end-loaded piston cylinder apparatus with a 1/8” assembly of natural CaF2. Temperature was monitored with Type-B Pt10Rh–PtRh thermocouples and pressure calibrations were conducted using the quartz-coesite transition (Perrillat et al., 2003) and the albite = jadeite + quartz reaction (Holland, 1980).

All experiments were performed by first compressing the assembly to the desired load, normally over 1–2 hours, prior to increasing the temperature over 30 minutes. Run durations at high-temperature ranged between 1 hour and 10 days, and span the PT conditions of the spinel lherzolite stability field (Table 2). Experiments were completed by turning off the furnace power to rapidly quench to room-temperature (taking 10 s to reach temperatures below 100°C) followed by slow decompression, over at least 12 hours, to minimise decompression cracks within reaction rims.

### Analytical techniques

Upon recovery samples were mounted in epoxy resin, sectioned parallel to the furnace axis until the garnet became exposed and further polished to a 0.3-μm diamond finish. The sectioning geometry ensured that garnets were exposed perpendicular to

---

Table 2: Experimental conditions and run products

| Experimental run | T (°C) | Pressure (kbar) | Time (h) | Phases present | Reaction products | Reaction rim (μm) |
|------------------|-------|----------------|---------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|
| E17–012          | 1000  | 10             | 12      | Grt, Olv       |                   |                  |
| E16–073          | 1000  | 13             | 6       | Grt, Olv       |                   |                  |
| E16–035          | 1000  | 14             | 12      | Grt, Olv       |                   |                  |
| G18–004          | 1000  | 10             | 168     | Grt, Olv, Opx, Sp, An | Opx, Sp, An | 14.6 (0.7) |
| E17–050          | 1050  | 10             | 6       | Grt, Olv, Opx, Sp, Melt | Opx, Sp, An | 17.3 (1.6) |
| E17–053          | 1050  | 10             | 25      | Grt, Olv, Opx, Sp, Melt | Opx, Sp, Melt | 11.2 (0.92) |
| E17–059          | 1050  | 10             | 48      | Grt, Olv, Opx, Sp, An | Opx, Sp, An | 18.6 (1.35) |
| E17–064          | 1100  | 10             | 2       | Grt, Olv, Opx, Sp, Melt | Opx, Sp, Melt | 8.6 (1.56) |
| E17–016          | 1100  | 10             | 6       | Grt, Olv, Opx, Sp, An | Opx, Sp, An | 24.0 (2.48) |
| E16–085          | 1100  | 12             | 6       | Grt, Olv, Opx, Cpx, Sp, Melt | Opx, Cpx, Sp, Melt | 6.7 (0.67) |
| E16–088          | 1100  | 12             | 6       | Grt, Olv, Opx, Cpx, Sp, Melt | Opx, Cpx, Sp, Melt | 23.8 (1.94) |
| E18–035          | 1100  | 12             | 6       | Grt, Olv, Opx, Cpx, Sp, Melt | Opx, Cpx, Sp, Melt | 0.5 (0.5) |
| E18–042          | 1100  | 12             | 24      | Grt, Olv, Opx, Sp, Melt | Opx, Sp, Melt |                  |
| E18–003          | 1100  | 12             | 70      | Opx, Cpx, Sp, Melt | Opx, Cpx, Sp, Melt |                  |
| E16–032          | 1100  | 13.5           | 1       | Grt, Olv       |                   |                  |
| E19–008          | 1100  | 13.5           | 6       | Grt, Olv, Opx, Sp, Melt | Opx, Sp, Melt |                  |
| A21–056          | 1150  | 10             | 240     | Grt, Olv, Opx, Sp, An, Melt | Opx, Sp, An, Melt |                  |
| E16–031          | 1200  | 13.5           | 0.15    | Grt, Olv       |                   |                  |
| E18–041          | 1200  | 13.5           | 3       | Grt, Olv, Opx, Cpx, Sp, Melt | Opx, Cpx, Sp, Melt | 9.8 (0.95) |
| E18–040          | 1200  | 13.5           | 6       | Grt, Olv, Opx, Cpx, Sp, Melt | Opx, Cpx, Sp, Melt | 6.6 (1.94) |
| E17–013          | 1200  | 13.5           | 9       | Grt, Olv, Opx, Sp, Melt | Opx, Sp, Melt |                  |
| E16–090          | 1200  | 13.5           | 18      | Grt, Olv, Opx, Cpx, Sp, Melt | Opx, Cpx, Sp, Melt | 23.8 (1.94) |
| E19–003          | 1200  | 14             | 6       | Opx, Cpx, Sp, Melt | Opx, Cpx, Sp, Melt |                  |
| E19–004          | 1200  | 14             | 6       | Grt, Olv, Opx, Sp, Melt | Opx, Sp, Melt |                  |
| E19–005          | 1200  | 15             | 6       | Grt, Olv, Opx, Sp, Melt | Opx, Sp, Melt |                  |
| E19–006          | 1200  | 17             | 6       | Grt, Olv       |                   |                  |
| E19–054          | 1260  | 12             | 3       | Grt, Olv, Opx, Sp, Melt | Opx, Sp, Melt |                  |
| E17–045          | 1260  | 12             | 6       | Grt, Olv, Opx, Sp, Melt | Opx, Sp, Melt |                  |
| E16–052          | 1300  | 13             | 6       | Grt, Olv, Opx, Sp, Melt | Opx, Sp, Melt |                  |
| E16–060          | 1300  | 13.5           | 1       | Grt, Olv, Melt | Melt |                  |
| E16–061          | 1300  | 13.5           | 4       | Grt, Olv, Opx, Sp, Melt | Opx, Sp, Melt |                  |
| E17–023          | 1300  | 13.5           | 1.5     | Opx, Cpx, Sp, Melt | Opx, Sp, Melt |                  |
| E16–028          | 1400  | 13.5           | 3       | Grt, Olv, Opx, Sp, Melt | Opx, Sp, Melt |                  |
| E16–029          | 1400  | 13.5           | 7       | Opx, Cpx, Sp, Melt | Opx, Sp, Melt |                  |

Experimental run conditions and phases present at the end of experimental runs. Experiments are ordered by temperature then experimental pressure. Phases present are (Grt) Garnet, (Olv) Olivine, (Opx) Orthopyroxene, (Cpx) Clinopyroxene (Sp) Spinel, (An) Anorthite and (Melt) transient melt. Melt* refers to equilibrium melting of garnet, this melt extends beyond the original garnet-olivine interface and fails to produce a kelyphitic reaction rim, at temperatures expected to coincide with the garnet lherzolite solidus. Reaction rim thickness is reported for experiments which displayed Zone 1 and Zone 2 reactions, in some cases the sample was accidentally polished through and an accurate measurement of rim thickness could not be made. Values in parenthesis are two standard error on the mean reaction rim width. *Piston cylinder experiment, with tcalc–pyrex assembly; see text for details. **Unstable thermocouple within this experiment, temperature error +/- 50°C. ♦ Piston cylinder experiment, with CaF2 assembly. Experiments with ♦ are used within phase diagrams.
their originally polished surfaces. Carbon-coated samples were imaged using a JEOL JSM-6480LV scanning electron microscope (SEM) in back scattered electron imaging (BSE) mode, operated at an accelerating voltage of 15 kV and 10-nA current. Mineral phases of spinel, orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene were identified using SEM-BSE imaging in combination with energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS). Once all phases had been assigned melt was noticeable as a quenched glass with edges which noticeably embayed contacts with the garnet, EDS qualitatively confirmed the presence of a melt phase.

Mineral chemistry was quantified using wavelength-dispersive spectroscopy (WDS) at Birkebeck, University of London using a 3-spectrometer JEOL JXA8100 superprobe, operating at 15-kV accelerating voltage with a beam current of 10 to 25 nA, using three crystals (TAP, LIF and PET) and a φ (μz) correction. The electron microprobe was calibrated using typical silicate and oxide standards before use for silicate minerals with an interest in major elements only. WDS measurements were taken by pre-programming positions of interest and running overnight analyses to collect spectra. Two setups were used with varying beam current and spot size: the first setup was used for collecting spectra of garnet, olivine, pyroxenites and spinel, with a 25 nA current, focused beam (0 μm nominal spot size), and acquisition to background time of 40/20 s, respectively. The second setup was required for delicate silicate glasses (melt) and feldspar, which are prone to beam damage. To minimise damage the beam was defocused to a 5-μm spot size and current reduced to 10 nA; collection times were also reduced to 20/10-s acquisition to background time, respectively. A third setup was used to nominally estimate the chemical composition of fine-grained intergrowths represented by more than one phase. This setup analysed the ‘bulk’ composition of kelyphite by the use of a defocused 20-μm beam over a 50-μm² area for comparison to the parent pyrope garnet.

Some mineral chemistry data were acquired at the University of Bristol using a Field Emission Electron Probe Analyser (FE-EMPA) JEOL JXA8530F, with five spectrometers. The same operating conditions, as described above, were used for WDS measurements collected at the University of Bristol. Mineral chemistries are reported in Tables 3–8.

Electron backscattered diffraction (EBSD) was undertaken at Liverpool University using a CamScan X500 CrystalProbe field emission gun scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM), operating at 20-kV accelerating voltage, 35-nA beam current, working distance of 25 mm and step size of 0.1 μm. EBSP’s were automatically indexed using the Oxford Instrument’s HKL CHANNEL 5 software package. In some samples, mineral size permitting, water contents were estimated using the Nicolet iN10 infrared (FTIR) microscope at University College London. Samples were double polished to 75- to 100-μm thickness, olivine and garnet were targeted for water content estimation. Unpolarized infrared absorption spectra were obtained from an aperture area of 25 × 25 μm.

### RESULTS

#### Reaction products and textures

The terminology of coronae surrounding garnet can be confusing; classifications are variously based on textures, mineralogy and/or

---

**Table 3: Representative major element chemical compositions of garnet**

| Garnet   | E17–012 | E16–073 | E17–016 | E18–042 | E17–013 | E16–090 | E16–085 |
|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| n        | 6       | 8       | 5       | 10      | 4       | 4       | 3       |
| SiO₂     | 43.11   | 42.90   | 42.53   | 42.74   | 42.89   | 43.02   | 41.93   |
| TiO₂     | 0.55    | 0.55    | 0.54    | 0.45    | 0.29    | 0.51    | 0.57    |
| Al₂O₃    | 21.91   | 21.50   | 21.38   | 22.21   | 23.24   | 21.69   | 21.20   |
| Cr₂O₃    | 1.52    | 1.58    | 1.57    | 1.57    | 1.14    | 1.74    | 1.52    |
| FeO      | 8.55    | 8.81    | 8.47    | 8.08    | 6.91    | 8.84    | 6.68    |
| MnO      |         |         |         |         | 0.31    |         |         |
| MgO      | 21.12   | 20.80   | 20.94   | 21.31   | 21.72   | 20.99   | 20.64   |
| CaO      | 4.42    | 4.39    | 4.43    | 4.41    | 3.80    | 4.41    | 4.39    |
| Na₂O     | 0.07    | 0.07    | 0.06    | 0.07    | 0.01    | 0.08    | 0.05    |
| K₂O      | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.01    | 0.00    | 0.01    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
| TOTAL    | 101.26  | 100.60  | 99.93   | 101.15  | 100.00  | 101.29  | 98.98   |

Oxides in wt.%, cations per 12 oxygen atoms.
Many of the experimentally reproduced textures in this study fit the terminology introduced by Obata & Ozawa (2011), including ‘coarse opx rim’ (COR), ‘nodular spinel’, and ‘unconformity structures’. For a complete description of terminology in relation to breakdown reactions, the reader is referred to Obata & Ozawa (2011). These terms will be used to describe similar textures identified in this study to limit the confusion caused by new terminology.

Before proceeding with a complete description of the various observed kelyphite textures, we highlight the ubiquitous presence of a melt phase, which was observed in most ‘high-temperature’ (above 1000°C) reaction products. This melt phase is interpreted to be a disequilibrium melt formed by the incongruent melting of garnet during breakdown as has been described in natural samples by Hunter & Taylor (1982). The melts origin and possible metastability are discussed in detail after the description of experimental results.

Representative images of recovered samples are shown in Figs 2–12, experimental results are presented in Table 2, and reaction product as well as relic olivine and garnet compositions are presented in Tables 3–8. The experimental results are plotted with respect to thermodynamically calculated garnet lherzolite pseudo-sections in Figs 13–15. Experimental kelyphites, produced between the spinel lherzolite solidus and experimental results, are plotted with respect to thermodynamically calculated garnet lherzolite pseudo-sections in Figs 2–12.

Zone 1 is a coarse-grained granular orthopyroxene with grain sizes larger than 5 μm. Small, ~2-μm, euhedral spinel grains delineate the original garnet-olivine boundary (Figs 2, 3 and 4). The thickness of this outermost shell varies with temperature, and it is most developed at temperatures of 1200°C (Fig. 4a and b).

### Table 4: Representative major element compositions of olivine

| Spinel | E17–012 | E16–073 | E17–016 | E18–042 | E16–090 | E16–085 |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| n | 4 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 4 |
| SiO₂ | 43.31 | 40.69 | 41.05 | 40.66 | 41.67 | 40.18 |
| TiO₂ | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.04 |
| Al₂O₃ | 0.86 | 0.25 | 0.52 | 3.06 | 0.07 | 0.30 |
| Cr₂O₃ | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.31 | 0.22 | 0.07 |
| FeO | 9.56 | 10.21 | 10.24 | 11.12 | 9.45 | 10.84 |
| MnO | - | - | - | 0.30 | - | - |
| MgO | 46.01 | 47.25 | 47.36 | 43.94 | 50.28 | 47.80 |
| CaO | 0.36 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.75 | 0.15 | 0.31 |
| Na₂O | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| K₂O | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| TOTAL | 100.21 | 98.73 | 99.26 | 100.31 | 101.87 | 99.57 |

**Oxides in wt.%, cations per 4 oxygen atoms.**

The thickness of this outermost shell varies with temperature, and it is most developed at temperatures of 1200°C (Fig. 4a and b).

### Table 5: Representative major element compositions of spinel

| Spinel | E17–016 | E18–042 | E17–013 | E16–090 | E16–085 |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| n | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| SiO₂ | 0.19 | 0.51 | 2.20 | 0.49 | 0.50 |
| TiO₂ | 0.13 | 0.26 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.25 |
| Al₂O₃ | 61.67 | 60.12 | 58.49 | 57.31 | 60.11 |
| Cr₂O₃ | 6.37 | 8.73 | 8.44 | 11.94 | 8.73 |
| FeO | 8.82 | 9.52 | 7.96 | 7.45 | 9.52 |
| MnO | - | - | - | - | 0.20 |
| MgO | 21.28 | 21.07 | 21.82 | 22.39 | 21.06 |
| CaO | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.05 |
| Na₂O | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| K₂O | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 98.53 | 100.27 | 99.12 | 99.81 | 100.47 |

**Oxides in wt.%, cations per 4 oxygen atoms.**

The thickness of this outermost shell varies with temperature, and it is most developed at temperatures of 1200°C (Fig. 4a and b).
Table 6: Representative major element compositions of pyroxenes

| Orthopyroxene | Clinopyroxene |
|---------------|---------------|
| E17–016       | E17–013       | E16–090       | E16–085       | E16–085       |
| n             | 4             | 3             | 2             | 1             |
| SiO₂          | 52.43         | 51.77         | 52.86         | 51.00         | 50.00         |
| TiO₂          | 0.21          | 0.25          | 0.22          | 0.33          | 0.48          |
| Al₂O₃         | 9.10          | 9.68          | 8.50          | 9.62          | 8.39          |
| Cr₂O₃         | 0.65          | 0.54          | 0.98          | 0.60          | 0.62          |
| FeO           | 6.73          | 6.52          | 6.63          | 7.78          | 5.78          |
| MgO           | 30.39         | 29.40         | 30.98         | 28.13         | 19.00         |
| CaO           | 1.19          | 1.50          | 1.04          | 2.23          | 14.79         |
| Na₂O          | 0.06          | 0.06          | 0.08          | 0.06          | 0.20          |
| K₂O           | 0.00          | 0.00          | 0.00          | 0.01          | 0.02          |
| TOTAL         | 100.78        | 99.73         | 101.27        | 99.78         | 99.28         |

Table 7: Representative major element compositions of feldspar

| Feldspar | E18–042 | E18–042 |
|----------|---------|---------|
| SiO₂     | 58.61   | 53.98   |
| TiO₂     | 0.00    | 0.12    |
| Al₂O₃    | 26.13   | 22.94   |
| Cr₂O₃    | 0.04    | 0.00    |
| FeO      | 0.28    | 2.77    |
| MnO      | 0.04    | 0.05    |
| MgO      | 0.06    | 8.87    |
| CaO      | 7.78    | 6.24    |
| Na₂O     | 7.44    | 5.84    |
| K₂O      | 0.14    | 0.00    |
| TOTAL    | 100.52  | 100.81  |

Table 8: Representative major element compositions of transient melt

| Transient melt | E17–016 | E16–085 |
|----------------|---------|---------|
| n              | 8       | 6       |
| SiO₂           | 36.36   | 44.21   |
| TiO₂           | 0.96    | 1.14    |
| Al₂O₃          | 17.57   | 19.73   |
| Cr₂O₃          | 0.13    | 0.13    |
| FeO            | 6.70    | 6.80    |
| MgO            | 9.49    | 7.14    |
| CaO            | 11.00   | 11.96   |
| Na₂O           | 2.03    | 1.07    |
| K₂O            | 0.72    | 0.38    |
| TOTAL          | 84.96   | 92.56   |

Oxides wt.%, cations per 8 oxygen atoms.

Oxides in wt.%, cations per 6 oxygen atoms.

This zone extends into the olivine beyond the original garnet–olivine boundary. Similar outer shells have previously been termed ‘coarse orthopyroxene rims’ (COR) by Obata & Ozawa (2011) (Figs 2 and 3, b and d). Nodular spinel nucleation appears to occur along the original garnet–olivine interface, as observed in natural kelyphites (Obata & Ozawa, 2011; Špaček et al., 2013). Nodular spinel appears isolated, surrounded by the COR. In some cases, additional spinel grains are elongated perpendicular to the original garnet–olivine interface, growing towards the melt interior (Fig. 2). As spinel grows towards the interior of the garnet, its crystal habit becomes subhedral and elongated (Fig. 3b).

Zone 2 is a coarse-grained, ~10–20-μm, intergrowth of spinel in an orthopyroxene matrix, with occasional clinopyroxene. Also present is a small amount of melt contained between the crystallising spinel/orthopyroxene and the retreating garnet (Figs 3 and 4). The melt occurs within, and never beyond, the boundaries of the original garnet (Fig. 3a–c). Small pockets of melt are occasionally observed on the grain boundaries of the solid reaction products (Fig. 4d). The spinel and pyroxene appear to grow towards the retreating garnet interface and into the melt, as also observed by Hunter & Taylor (1982).

The reaction products of spinel and pyroxene appear to have crystallised from the melt. Both spinel and pyroxenes have straight faceted interfaces with the melt, whereas garnet has irregular, embayed, interfaces with the melt, consistent with dissolution of garnet and growth of pyroxene and spinel (Fig. 4d). As garnet breakdown progresses, following the melt development, garnet continues to melt at its edge and the solid products grow as an irregular faceted rim into the melt. Eventually all the garnet and melt are consumed, leaving a coarse-grained intergrowth of spinel and pyroxenes, surrounded by a rim of COR and nodular spinel as the final pseudomorph replacing the original garnet (Fig. 2c and d).

Zone 3: a fine-grained lamellar intergrowth of olivine, spinel and plagioclase feldspar (Fig. 5) (characterised by EBSD analysis, Fig. 5b) is observed at 1100°C and 12 kbar. This kelyphite is similar to the ‘metasomatic kelyphite’ described by Obata et al. (2014).
Fig. 2. SEM micrographs of complete garnet breakdown, there is no visible garnet left but small pockets of melt are present between the solid reaction products of spinel and orthopyroxene. (a) E18-023, 1100°C, 12 kbar, 70 hrs. The position of garnet is marked by nodular spinel and the COR. (b) A magnified image of the region highlighted by the red box. A coarse grained intergrowth is replacing the initially fine grained texture of spinel and orthopyroxene. Minor melt is visible at grain boundaries between minerals, which is being consumed by their growth. (c) A21-056, 10 kbar, 240 hours. There is no garnet left in this experiment with complete reaction to spinel, orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene. An outer coarse orthopyroxene rim is clearly visible. (d) A magnified image of the region highlighted by the red box in (a), a fine grain intergrowth texture is present throughout the former garnet. Infrequent tiny patches of melt are present near the garnet edge; the interior is completely reacted with no present.

Fig. 3. SEM micrograph of experiment E16-085, 1100°C, 10 kbar, 6 hours. (b) is a magnified image of the red box in (a). Sp, spinel, Grt, garnet, Opx, orthopyroxene, Melt. Garnet breakdown appears to follow a characteristic breakdown sequence, beginning with the formation of a coarse orthopyroxene rim (COR), which extends beyond the garnet-olivine interface. Followed by nodular spinel which delineate the original garnet-olivine boundary, then clinopyroxene nucleates (dependent on the initial garnet chemistry), and finally the melt phase forms following an established COR and nodular spinel growth. Zones 1 and 2 are shown in (b), nodular spinel and the coarse orthopyroxene rim (COR) constitute Zone 1. Whereas Zone 2, includes matrix opx, elongated spinel and melt.

Textures in this zone of ‘metasomatic type’ kelyphite can be subdivided into two distinct sub-regions; an outer coarse-grained rim (Zone 3a) (~10 micrometre) of alternating olivine and spinel with occasional orthopyroxene and an inner region (Zone 3b) consisting of spinel, olivine and plagioclase feldspar. The inner rim is characterised by a network of fibrous interconnected lamellae, similar to textures seen following breakdown of a single phase. Olivine and anorthite appear as adjacent vermicular interconnected strings, with isolated spinel along edges (Fig. 5c). Large anorthite crystals separate the outer region (Zone 3a) from the lamellar internal structure (Zone 3b).

Zone 3b kelyphite grow inward towards the retrogressing garnet and ‘unconformity’ structures can be observed most easily at junctions between spinel grains, where their growth direction abruptly changes (Obata, 2011).

The fine-grained nature of the internal kelyphite prevents detailed chemical compositions being measured. Previous studies concluded this type of kelyphite resulted from interactions with a fluid phase (Obata et al., 2014). However, experiments in this study did not possess free fluids and no melts were observed in these experiments (E18-003 and E18-042). Faceted spinel and olivine grains surrounding the lamellar internal kelyphite might, however, provide evidence of melt involvement in the reaction. So, while a melt is not found in this lamellar layer, given the observations in Zones 1 and 2 it is likely involved in the crystallisation of this kelyphite (Fig. 5).

Zone 4: the final kelyphite texture observed within these experiments occurred only at temperatures lower than 1150°C, and at pressures equal to 10 kbar. Recovered samples show fine-grained lamellae, consisting of orthopyroxene, spinel and plagio-
Fig. 4. Example SEM images of the textures produced by garnet breakdown. (a and b) E18–041, 1200°C, 13.5 kbar, 3 hours. Images (a) and (b) show the full length of the reaction rim on the experimental garnet. Nodular spinel clearly delineate the original garnet–olivine boundary, enclosed with a COR, with melt occupying the region between garnet regression and COR growth. (c) E16–085, 1100°C, 10 kbar, 6 hours. As in nature, the reaction rim grows inward towards the retrogressing garnet and on average rim thickness is constant. (d) A magnified image of E16–085, ortho- and clinopyroxene grow into pockets of melt formed along fractures within the interior of garnet. Overall the replacement of garnet is through solid phases, with minor melt in isolated pockets. (e) E17–016, 1100°C, 10 kbar, 2 hours. The earliest stages of garnet breakdown, with a poorly formed COR, bright chromium rich nodular spinel and small melt pockets.

clace feldspar. Some Zone 4 kelyphite is surrounded by a poorly developed rim of Zone 1. (Figs 6, 7 and 8).

In some recovered samples (E17–059) a transition from the concentrically zoned kelyphite intergrowth, Zones 1–2, to a melt free fine-grained kelyphite (Zone 4) observed at constant PT conditions. This contains spinel, orthopyroxene and anorthite, consistent with direct breakdown of the garnet without the olivine component, Equation 2 (Fig. 6).

The bulk composition of the Zone 4 kelyphite was determined (Table 9) and its constituent phases identified by chemical mapping and electron backscattered diffraction (EBSD) (Figs 6, 7 and 8, and Table 9). The Zone 4 kelyphite typically show a poorly developed COR at their outer edges, and those produced in the experiments at durations less than 48 hours at 1050°C also contain frozen melt pockets, but no internal isochemical kelyphite. The COR rims from these short duration experiments were indistinguishable from those developed at higher temperatures. At durations greater than 48 hours, fine-grained (<1 μm) fibrous intergrowths develop between the garnet and an already existing COR, appearing to replace garnet without the involvement of a melt. As with other eutectoid breakdown reactions, the kelyphite grew in domains, similar to descriptions from Obata & Ozawa (2011), where the growth direction is indicated by the orientation of sub-parallel lamellae of alternating spinel, orthopyroxene and feldspar (Fig. 8a), and verified by EBSD mapping (Fig. 9). Growth domains demonstrate nucleation of the kelyphite occurred at the outer edges of, or fractures within, garnet, contrasting with Zone 3 intergrowths that nucleate within garnet interiors (Fig. 5c).

At temperatures of 1000°C (or lower) and durations less than 168 hours no reaction was observed in any experiments at pressures of 10–14 kbar. Recovered samples show decompression fractures along a very straight garnet–olivine interface (Fig. 10) and in
Fig. 5. A metasomatic kelyphite (Zone 3) was observed at temperatures of 1100°C and 12 kbar. This kelyphite is composed of olivine, spinel and anorthite with minor orthopyroxene outside of the COR. There is no evidence of the melt phase being present within this type of kelyphite. (a) E18–035, 1100°C, 12 kbar, 6 hours. The lamellae texture is common with kelyphite and is a fine grained intergrowth of olivine and spinel (brightest features in the micrographs), with anorthite which appears as black in the above SEM micrograph. Phases were identified by electron back scattered diffraction (EBSD) at the University of Liverpool. (b) An EBSD map of the red highlighted region in (a). (c) A magnified image of the kelyphite structure, with an internally nucleated kelyphite. This small internal kelyphite may have nucleated on a defect present within garnet.

Table 9: Composition of starting garnet and kelyphite

|          | Garnet Zone 4 kelyphite | σ |
|----------|------------------------|---|
| SiO₂     | 41.64                  | 41.08 | 0.24 |
| TiO₂     | 0.39                   | 0.45  | 0.02 |
| Al₂O₃    | 21.99                  | 22.98 | 0.13 |
| Cr₂O₃    | 1.56                   | 0.01  | 0.01 |
| FeO      | 8.07                   | 12.07 | 0.15 |
| MnO      | 0.35                   | 0.36  | 0.14 |
| MgO      | 21.07                  | 17.42 | 0.11 |
| CaO      | 4.42                   | 5.10  | 0.01 |
| NiO      | 0.01                   | 0.01  | 0.02 |
| Na₂O     | 0.08                   | 0.07  | 0.01 |
| Total    | 99.57                  | 99.54 |     |

Average bulk WDS (wt.%) composition of kelyphite, analysed by a defocused 20 μm beam over a 50 μm² area for comparison to pyrope garnet. Standard deviation calculated from 22 spot analyses. Close correspondence between kelyphite bulk composition and original Bohemia garnet suggests breakdown of garnet via Reaction 2. FeO and Cr₂O₃ show the greatest variation between the kelyphite and parent garnet can be accounted for by unsampled spinel which too small to be analysed by the defocused beam.

some cases the garnet–olivine interface became rough compared to the initial surface polish, and decompression fractures did not follow this interface (Fig. 11a), suggesting the very beginnings of a reaction. Experiment G18-004 produced a melt-free kelyphite with a large proportion of the original garnet still present even after 168 hours at high temperature (Fig. 12).

Quenched glasses

Evidence of the presence of melt (i.e. quenched glass) is observed in almost all high temperature (above 1000°C) experiments, conducted as part of this study. The melt is confined to the region between the retrogressing garnet and growing spinel and pyroxenes, as observed by Hunter & Taylor (1982). The reaction products (spinel + pyroxene) grow as euhedral faceted grains (Fig. 4), consistent with unimpeded growth into a melt. In experiments where garnet is entirely consumed and replaced by spinel + pyroxenes, residual melt pockets are found at the grain boundaries and triple junctions of the reaction products (Fig. 2a and b).

Based on the location, volumetric extent and textures of the observed melt, along with the fact that it is consumed as the reaction progresses, we believe it is a transient phase during garnet breakdown, appearing to only catalyse breakdown but not modify the final equilibrium assemblage (Hunter & Taylor, 1982). While the melt is consumed during complete garnet breakdown (Fig. 2c and d), its presence enhances chemical mobilities, assisting in diffusive transport of nutrients to the newly growing reaction products. The presence of melt appears to be an essential catalyst for the retrogression process of garnet in the presence of olivine, following Reaction 1, at least within the parameters of experiments in this study.

FTIR reveals the presence of small quantities of OH associated with grain boundaries (identified by diffuse broad signal) and within the remnant garnet portions likely hosted in the melt (Supplementary Fig. 2). While it is not feasible to quantify the experimental water content, the observed switch in garnet breakdown mechanism and onset of melting cannot be attributed to experiments being totally anhydrous.

In an attempt to define the pressure–temperature space where a change from melt assisted breakdown to solid-state breakdown occurs, and demonstrate the transient nature of the melt, multiple additional long duration (168 and 240 hours) experiments were conducted at 10 kbar and ~1000°C (G18-004) and 10 kbar at 1150°C.
garnet breakdown. At higher temperatures and the same pressure (A21-056, 1150°C, Fig. 2c and d) garnet breakdown proceeded with olivine as a reactant phase resulting in the development of a large COR, nodular spinel, clinopyroxene and anorthite as reaction products. EDS mapping confirmed the presence of a single isolated melt pocket within the COR; no further melt was present within the internal kelyphite and the garnet had been completely consumed (Fig. 2c and d). Experiment A21-056 confirmed that over long durations at high temperatures (above 1000°C) any melts present would be consumed during the growth of reaction products. This experiment also differs from those that produced a Zone 3 ‘metasomatic-type kelyphite’ as olivine was not identified as a reaction product within the kelyphite structure. Moreover, the internal structure closely resembled Zone 4 textures of complex intergrowths of spinel, anorthite and orthopyroxene, but these are coarser than experiments run at lower temperatures and shorter durations (Fig. 6).

### Mass balance and thermodynamic phase equilibria

To constrain the reactions taking place and the role of melting during garnet breakdown, mass balance calculations were performed. As the small melt volume in most experiments precluded accurate chemical analysis, the chemistries recovered from Experiment E17-016 were assumed to be representative of those throughout this study (Table 8). The composition of the quenched melt is similar to the parent pyrope garnet, with small enrichments in incompatible elements, Ti, Ca, Na and K. The compatible major cations (Mg, Fe, Al, Cr and Si) are slightly depleted in the melt with respect to the parent garnet, consistent with the growth of spinel and pyroxene at the olivine-rich side of the reaction front. Mass balance calculations with nine components were performed for fictive bulk compositions with olivine/garnet ratios varying between 0 and 1 reacting to form the observed assemblage of spinel, orthopyroxene and melt in E17-016. For each fictive composition the predicted phase proportions (percentage weight) were calculated over 10,000 Monte Carlo iterations, where a randomly varying uncertainty was added to each oxide component during each iteration. Overall the varying random errors for each oxide form a Gaussian distribution with standard deviation equal to the reported 1σ analytical uncertainty; reported phase proportions are the mean, and the SSE values report the average squared sum of residuals of all calculation cycles. Bulk compositions containing less than 68.4% garnet do not mass balance if the olivine + garnet = sp + opx + melt reaction is assumed. A bulk composition formed from a mathematical combination of 90% garnet and 10% olivine produces the smallest mass balance SSE misfit statistics. Products of the reaction for this composition are calculated to consist of 14% spinel, 59% orthopyroxene and 31% melt. Table 10 displays the results for mass balance calculations with bulk compositions of garnet varying from 70–100%.

Phase equilibria were investigated using Perple_X (Connolly, 2009) and THERMOCALC (Powell et al., 1998). Thermodynamic data from the database of Holland & Powell (2011) were used alongside solution models for garnet lherzolite from Jennings & Holland (2015), Holland et al. (2018) and Tomlinson & Holland (2021). Petrogenetic P–T grids were calculated in Perple_X for bulk assemblages consisting 100:0, 90:10 and 10:90 garnet:olivine, as well as a grid for natural-composition KLB-1 peridotite (Figs 13–15). Solution models from Jennings & Holland (2015) were used for bulk assemblages of 10:90 garnet:olivine as the solution model from Holland et al. (2018) resulted in an unrealistically wide garnet + spinel peridotite field at low temperatures, which may result
Fig. 7. (a) High magnification image from red box in Fig. 6. Here the COR is clearly visible as the outermost shell in the kelyphite structure. As with the metasomatic kelyphite the international kelyphite is separated by large anorthite grains. The internal structure is clearly follows the expected phase assemblages of spinel + orthopyroxene + anorthite, confirmed by EBSD mapping (b). (b) EBSD map of the region highlighted by the red box. Orthopyroxene grows inward towards the retreating garnet, with spinel nucleating on the edges of orthopyroxene fingers. Anorthite is poorly indexed but visible as the blue lamellae adjacent to the orthopyroxene.

Fig. 8. (a) E17–059 High magnification compositional map of an experimental Zone 4 kelyphite following Reaction 2, there are no detectable melts in experiments. This fine-grained kelyphite results from garnet almost instantaneously nucleating three or four phases, depending on initial chemistry. These form complex vermicular intergrowths with pyroxenes as massive strings and spinel isolated pulled threads inside of these growths, confirmed by EDS chemical maps (b and c) of kelyphite in (a).

Table 10: Results from mass balance calculations, for the reaction of olivine and garnet, to spinel, orthopyroxene and transient melt

| Reactants | Grt | 100 | 98 | 96 | 94 | 92 | 90 | 88 | 86 | 84 | 82 | 80 | 70 |
|-----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Olv       |     | 0   | 2   | 4   | 6   | 8   | 10  | 12  | 14  | 14  | 14  | 14  | 14  |
| Products  |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Sp        |     | 14  | 14  | 14  | 14  | 14  | 14  | 14  | 14  | 14  | 14  | 14  | 14  |
| Opx       |     | 48  | 50  | 52  | 54  | 57  | 59  | 61  | 63  | 65  | 67  | 69  | 80  |
| Transient Melt | 45 | 42  | 40  | 37  | 34  | 32  | 29  | 26  | 24  | 21  | 18  | 15  |
| $r^2$     |     | 5.78| 4.62| 3.72| 3.07| 2.66| 2.52| 2.63| 2.99| 3.62| 4.47| 5.61| 15.06|

Calculations were performed by varying fictive bulk composition of reactant phases (garnet and olivine), to find percentage volume of products (spinel, orthopyroxene and transient melt). Bulk compositions with less than 68.4% garnet did not yield products in expected proportions. As garnet content is increased in the bulk composition, transient melt volume increases, at the expense of orthopyroxene. All phases are reported as vol (%). $r^2$ Values are the average squared sum of residuals of all calculation cycles.
Fig. 9. Orientation maps of experiment E17-059, region highlighted in Fig. 7(a–d) orientation maps of olivine, anorthite, orthopyroxene and spinel. Orthopyroxene shows at least three domains, which may have orientation relationships with spinel, although there is an insufficient number of indexed orientation within spinel to confirm. Anorthite also grows in domains with two visible from the orientation maps, these do not coincide with orthopyroxene domains.

Fig. 10. SEM micrographs of cases where garnet did not react, decompression cracks follow the outline of garnet packed into olivine powder. Decompression cracks did not form along the garnet-olivine boundary once garnet breakdown had begun, mechanically coupling the olivine powder to garnet. (a) E16-073, 1000°C, 13 kbar, 6 hours. (b) E16-032, 1100°C, 13.5 kbar, 1 hour.

from the sensitivity of the solution models to the high chromium content in the bulk composition.

These bulk compositions were chosen to reflect: (i) the calculated mass balance required to form the observed melt (90:10, garnet:olivine – above); (ii) the scenario of a single garnet xenocryst surrounded by olivine (10:90, garnet:olivine; the thermodynamic equilibrium case for the experiments); and (iii) a case relevant to isochemical breakdown of garnet (100:0, garnet:olivine) alongside a natural peridotite bulk composition. In addition, a T–X pseudosection was calculated using THERMOCALC using the peridotite model of Tomlinson & Holland (2021) that is based on the dataset of Holland & Powell (2011), to determine whether melting in our experiments had been induced through a depression in the solidus along a garnet–olivine binary at 12 kbar (Fig. 16).

The position of subsolidus phase boundaries in Figs 13 and 14 are in excellent agreement with experimental results between 1000°C and 1200°C, for garnet breakdown following Reaction 1. However, Fig. 13 a case for 90:10 garnet:olivine better reproduces all the observed kelyphite across the experimental results for Zones 1–2. Isochemical breakdown (Reaction 2) is described well by Fig. 15, the case for garnet breakdown in the absence of olivine; however the calculated solidus is at higher temperatures than expected based on observations of melt in our experiments.
Fig. 11. Low temperature experiments did not produce reaction rims, but there is evidence for the beginnings of garnet breakdown. All garnets were initially polished to ensure a smooth reaction surface in contact with the surrounding olivine. After the experiment the interface between olivine and garnet became wavy and rough. Experiments (a) E17–012, 1000°C, 10 kbar, 12 hours, and (b) E19–006, 1200°C, 17 kbar, 6 hours, show roughening at the garnet-olivine boundary. Given longer to anneal in the spinel stability field these garnets would have reacted following Reactions 1 and 3, respectively.

Fig. 12. SEM micrographs of experiment G18–004, 1000°C, 10 kbar. (a) An overview of the remaining garnet enclosed in a very fine grained Zone 4 kelyphite. (b) A magnified image of the kelyphite and a stranded piece of garnet, surrounded by kelyphite. This small segment has unconformity structures at each of its three corners. Suggesting kelyphite grew in three dimensions towards the garnet until encountering another growing front.

The position of the solidus shifts to higher temperatures across a pressure interval of 7–16 kbar, and with the addition of excess olivine in the system (Fig. 14). The calculations predict the spinel lherzolite assemblage transforms to an anorthite-bearing assemblage at pressures of ~10–8.5 kbar. Our experiments appear to echo the predicted phases at each PT interval, across the stability field for spinel lherzolite within experimental PT uncertainties.

Calculated phase equilibria predict that the solidus of the system occurs at 1275–1400°C (1180–1350°C for the calculations of Holland et al., 2018) across the pressure range of the experiments, which is in reasonable agreement with where we observe melt extending beyond the garnet and percolating between olivine grains. Fig. 16 also demonstrates that the position of the equilibrium solidus is ~1320°C and does not predict any low temperature depressions with varying garnet-olivine ratios. The calculated T-X section presented here suggests that melting should not occur at the experimental temperatures and a thermodynamically stable melt phase would not be expected for a wide range of reacting ratios of garnet-olivine from 0:1 to 1:1. A garnet-olivine ratio of 90:10 was determined by mass balance calculations, which falls within the ratios of the calculated T-X section and melts are not predicted at this ratio or ratios which may differ slightly from the mass balance calculations. However, at lower temperatures and above 1050°C, we ubiquitously observe small fraction melts in recovered samples: This melt is required in mass balance calculations of the experimental system, but it is clearly being consumed by the growth of the equilibrium assemblage (Fig. 2c and d).

In the absence of a predicted thermodynamically stable melt, we suggest garnet breakdown is occurring through a disequilibrium mechanism (e.g. Hunter & Taylor, 1982). In which a melt phase acts as a catalyst speeding the approach to equilibrium during garnet breakdown (Hunter & Taylor, 1982; Brearley & Scarfe, 1986; Rubie, 1986; Rubie & Brearley, 1987; Špaček et al., 2013) and the present experiments demonstrate that this does, indeed play a role in garnet breakdown following Reaction 1.

As described in results, at low temperatures (1050°C), melting appears not to be required during garnet breakdown. This coincided when a switch in breakdown reaction from Reaction 1 to 2 is observed in the same experiment (E17-059). Experiments at lower temperatures of 1000°C produced a kelyphite following Reaction 2, completely absent of a melt phase, over an experimental duration of 5 days (G18–004). We interpret this to be the very first signs of equilibrium breakdown in a regime without melting. Under such conditions, breakdown kinetics are considerably slower, as would be expected in a solid-state regime.

Kinetics of reaction

The thickness of reaction rims, defined here as the region between the retrogressed garnet front and San Carlos olivine, were used as a measure of reaction progress. Reaction rim thickness was measured from BSE micrographs. Reaction kinetics were determined for the growth of Zones 1 and 2 combined, as these produce
the same modal abundance of spinel, pyroxene and melt. Clearly there is a switch in both the type and kinetics of the reaction when Zones 3 and 4 are produced; however, garnet was almost entirely consumed in experiments containing Zone 4 products and so kinetic parameters for these zones were not determined.

The reaction products generally display sharp irregular boundaries with the garnet front, meaning that multiple measurements of distance, perpendicular to the original garnet-olivine interface, need to be made to provide reliable average rim thickness. Approximately 250–300 measurements of Zone 1 + Zone 2 rim thicknesses were taken from multiple (2–5) images per sample, using the NIH-image J software package (Schneider et al., 2012). The mean rim width, where determined (i.e. where a granular reaction rim was recovered), is reported in Table 2.
Fig. 15. Perple_X calculated pseudosection for a bulk composition of 100% garnet. The position of the solidus is almost identical to that for a bulk assemblage of 90:10 garnet:olivine. The position of an ‘isochemical’ assemblage of Opx + Sp + An is expanded and our experiments reproduce this assemblage to within the experimental errors of pressure and temperature for cases where no melt is produced during the experiments. Diamonds show the assemblage recovered from the longest duration experiment at a given PT condition, olivine is present in all recovered assemblages.

Fig. 16. THERMOCALC-calculated T-X section for bulk compositions from 100% garnet to 50:50 garnet:olivine at 12 kbar, based on the analysed compositions of Table 1. The position of the equilibrium solidus is not dramatically affected by varying ratios of garnet:olivine, suggesting an equilibrium melt is not predicted to form for the experimental starting materials presented here. 2Cpx regions are where phase separation of clinopyroxene into a low-Ca Cpx and high-Ca Cpx occurs. Single phase clinopyroxene in the T-X section have low Ca concentrations similar in composition to the clinopyroxene in the experimental charges.

Reaction rim widths were fitted to modified rate Equations 3 and 4, as described by (Pattison et al., 2011):

\[ x^2 = kt \]  

where \( x \) is reaction rim width and \( t \) is time. The reaction rate constant, \( k \), has an Arrhenius dependence in the form:

\[ k = k_0 \left( 1 - \exp\left( \frac{\Delta H}{RT} \right) \right) \left( \exp\left( \frac{\Delta A}{RT} \right) \right). \]  

where \( k_0 \) is a pre-exponential constant, \( H \) is apparent activation enthalpy, \( T \) is temperature, \( R \) is the gas constant and \( \Delta A \) is an affinity term. The affinity term is required to account for the overstep in pressure during nucleation and growth of product phases.

\[ \Delta A = \Delta P \left( -\Delta V \right). \]

where \( \Delta P \) is the overstep in pressure from the equilibrium boundary, determined from the calculated pseudosections for compositions of garnet and olivine used in this study (see next section) and \( \Delta V \) is the volume change of reaction.

A weighted least squares regression to Equation 4, in combination with a Monte Carlo calculation, for error estimation, was used to determine kinetic parameters. The regression had seven free parameters: reaction enthalpy (\( \Delta H \)), \( k_0 \), and a separate variable for the apparent rim thickness at \( t = 0 \) for each temperature series. Errors in the parameters were calculated by Monte Carlo sampling of the experimental data (pressure, temperature, time, rim thickness and their associated uncertainties) assuming an error of 0.1 GPa in the overstep pressure (\( \Delta P \)). The model is fit to resampled data 10⁴ times, providing sufficient convergence of the kinetic parameters. After discarding illicit values (e.g. negative rim thicknesses) the following kinetic parameters were returned; \( \Delta H_a = \)
286 ± 145kJ/mol⁻¹ and log $k^0_T = 11.9 ± 5.5$ (Supplementary Fig. 1, Fig. 17).

Given the large uncertainties in the reported kinetic parameters here, it is not possible to unequivocally determine the rate limiting mechanism. However it is possible that diffusion of Mg is the rate limiting species, as it is required externally by garnet to ensure continual equilibrium breakdown (Fig. 16b). The activation enthalpies of Mg diffusion in orthopyroxene and garnet are similar to the activation enthalpy determined here, suggesting solid-state diffusion could be rate limiting to garnet breakdown ($\Delta H_{\text{diff}} = 299 ± 65$ kJ/mol⁻¹ and $\Delta H_{\text{diff}} = 228 ± 20$ kJ/mol⁻¹) (Borinski et al., 2012; Dohmen et al., 2016).

However several lines of evidence suggest the melt is the rate determining mechanism, aside from its observation in all high temperature experiments, the activation enthalpy is indicative of Mg diffusion during olivine dissolution in basalt; $\Delta H_{\text{basalt molt}} = 218 ± 21$ (Chen & Zhang, 2008). While the melt was not identified as being in direct contact with olivine, it is likely that thin films (below the resolution of SEM-imaging) of melt exist on grain boundaries in contact with olivine and assist with Mg transport to the reaction front with garnet. Additionally, the temperature dependence of rim growth determined here ($\Delta H = 286 ± 145$ kJ/mol⁻¹) is significantly smaller than rim growth of orthopyroxene (426 ± 34 kJ/mol⁻¹) between quartz and olivine, in the absence of any melt (Fisler et al., 1997; Milke et al., 2007).

Due to the large uncertainty in the kinetic parameters presented here it is unclear which of these mechanisms, is rate limiting. Nevertheless, observations of melting and rapid reaction rates as compared to other upper mantle rim forming reactions (Fisler et al., 1997; Milke et al., 2007) demonstrates the importance melt has on enhancing reaction kinetics.

While we are unable to uniquely identify the rate limiting mechanism, the kinetic reaction rates can be used to determine PTt (pressure–temperature–time) pathways of garnet breakdown and exhumation, as will be presented in the following section.

**DISCUSSION**

### A model for garnet breakdown

It is well documented that natural kelyphites result from Reaction 1 (Grt + Olv = Sp + Opx; (Reid & Dawson, 1972; Lock & Dawson, 1980; Medaris et al., 2005; Obata, 2011), and the presence of concentric kelyphite are interpreted as evidence for multistage uplift and emplacement. The presence of melts is also well documented within kelyphite (Hunter & Taylor, 1982; Medaris et al., 2005; Špaček et al., 2013) but with limited interpretations as to what role, if any, melt plays during garnet breakdown. Hunter & Taylor (1982) noted the ubiquitous presence of quenched glass between the kelyphite rim and the retrogressing garnet front, as has been observed in the experiments presented here (Fig. 3), they proposed incongruent melting of garnet alone resulted in the growth of the kelyphite reaction products (spinel, orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene). The concentric kelyphite presented by Hunter & Taylor (1982) are identical to those reproduced in this study and observed elsewhere in natural samples with COR, nodular spinel and internal fine-grained intergrowths of spinel and pyroxenes (Špaček et al., 2013). Similarly to other interpretations Hunter & Taylor (1982) suggested the concentric zones were formed by quenching garnet breakdown at various stages of emplacement. Here we suggest, using our experiments, that concentric kelyphite can form as a result of a series of reactions that can all occur at constant conditions of pressure and temperature.

Initially, garnet and olivine are in contact with one another, which allows Reaction 1 to take place. However, the growth of the orthopyroxene rim (COR) forms a physical barrier and isolates olivine from garnet resulting in changes in the nutrient supply, under otherwise constant conditions. Orthopyroxene formation is dependent on magnesium diffusion from the surrounding olivine towards the decomposing garnet (Obata, 2011), as described by the half-Reactions 10 and 11.

$$
\text{Mg}_3\text{SiO}_4 \longrightarrow \text{MgSiO}_3 + \text{MgO} \quad (10)
$$

$$
\text{MgO} + \text{Mg}_3\text{Al}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_{12} \longrightarrow \text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4 + 3\text{MgSiO}_3 \quad (11)
$$

Instead of direct reaction, Mg must diffuse through orthopyroxene in order to reach the retreating garnet front. It is has been shown that diffusion of Mg is slower in orthopyroxene than olivine (Dohmen et al., 2016) and that Mg–Fe diffusion rates of orthopyroxene are comparable to those in garnet (Dohmen et al., 2016). Slower diffusion rates, coupled with increased diffusion distance may perturb Reaction 1, inducing incongruent melting of garnet.

Experimental observations suggest that once such a barrier to Reaction 1 exists, the dominant mechanism for continued garnet
breakdown switches and garnet experiences disequilibrium melting (Equation 12) (Fig. 18c).

\[
\text{Grt} = \text{Melt} \quad (12)
\]

It appears the melt acts an essential diffusive agent to ensure the ongoing supply of cations to the reactive front. The requirement for diffusive assistance was also noted by Obata (2011) (Equations 13 and 14 in Obata, 2011) who suggested ‘hypothetical mobile component(s)’ were both produced and consumed at the reaction front. Obata (2011) also suggested local variations in kelyphite, commonly observed in nature, and implied a crucial role of fluids during kelyphitisation (Obata, 2011). We believe our identification of melting provides the first experimental verification of these hypotheses.

We observed the interaction of melt with reaction products via Equations 13a or 13b:

\[
\text{Melt} = \text{Sp} + \text{Opx} \pm \text{Cpx} \quad (13a)
\]

\[
\text{Melt} = \text{Olv} + \text{Fspar} + \text{Sp} \quad (13b)
\]

Most frequently melt reactions appear to proceed via Reaction 13a, producing spinel and orthopyroxene (occasional clinopyroxene) at the melt interface (Fig. 4 and 18d), as also described by Hunter & Taylor (1982). Where complete melt crystallisation is believed to have occurred, evidence for the former presence of melt remains in the form of faceted edges of spinel and pyroxene grains as also observed in natural kelyphite (Hunter & Taylor, 1982, Brearley & Scarfe, 1986, Špaček et al., 2013).

Products corresponding to Reaction 13b (Zone 3) were observed in two experiments at 1100°C and 12 kbar (Fig. 5 & 17e). Longer duration experiments (> 6 hours) at the same conditions followed 13a, consistent with the equilibrium phase diagram. This suggests that Reaction 13a can occur as a local reequilibration process within the volume of garnet, a process that will be rapid compared to reaction with surrounding olivine because diffusive path lengths are short in the case of local reequilibration. Obata et al. (2014) also observed an experimental assemblage of spinel + olivine + feldspar, interpreting it as a metasomatic kelyphite requiring the introduction of external fluids. Given there was no free fluid in our experiments and they do not contain internal isochemical kelyphite an alternative mechanism must explain their presence in this study. Špaček et al. (2013) also observed an assemblage of spinel, feldspar and olivine, contained within kelyphite, interpreting its presence to the interaction of both in situ and external melts with primary reaction products of spinel and pyroxenes. However, without further detailed investigation it is challenging to evaluate the importance of Zone 3 with respect to the reactions governing garnet breakdown, and it is only rarely observed in natural kelyphite (Špaček et al., 2013).

The simple sequential breakdown reactions discussed above adequately describe the multilayer corona observed in the experiments here. We observe that at temperatures above 1000°C garnet is entirely replaced by a spinel lherzolite assemblage in a matter of hours.

The presence of quenched siliceous melt pockets in natural kelyphite coronae are also well documented (Carswell & Dawson, 1970; Reid & Dawson, 1972; Lock & Dawson, 1980; Hunter & Taylor, 1982; Mukhopadhyay, 1991; Ackerman et al., 2013, Špaček et al., 2013), supporting the relevance of the melt-mediated reactions documented above. However, there are important differences between the melt generated in our experiments and those observed in natural garnet peridotite (Hunter & Taylor, 1982). Glasses preserved within garnet kelyphite often have enrichments in alkalis and volatiles, exceeding those in the glasses analysed here, suggesting metasomatic melting may have contributed to garnet breakdown in mantle xenoliths (Hunter & Taylor, 1982, Špaček et al., 2013). The experiments presented here however do not contain any excess alkalis as only well characterised garnet and olivine were used as starting materials (Table 1), in addition the presence of trace quantities of water (Supplementary Fig. 2) did not promote metasomatic melting, and instead we suggest that simply disequilibrium melting of garnet occurred.
Garnet breakdown does not occur solely via Reactions 12 and 13, in some cases, garnet breaks down via Reaction 2. Kelyphite (Zone 4, spinel, orthopyroxene and anorthite) were observed in experiments at temperature and pressure conditions of 1000–1050°C and 10 kbar, where no melt was observed. The breakdown of garnet under these conditions is consistent with the phase boundaries determined in the garnet only pseudosection, when considered alongside experimental uncertainties in pressure and temperature (Fig. 15). Due to the fine-grained texture of Zone 4 kelyphite, chemical analysis was unable to accurately determine whether the reaction products formed through isochemical breakdown alone. The textures and thermodynamic modelling however suggest these kelyphite may be isochemical.

Short duration experiments at 1050°C possess poorly developed COR alongside frozen pockets of melt, but have no internal isochemical-like kelyphite. Conversely, longer duration experiments have both poorly developed COR and the presence of internal kelyphite but are absent of melt pockets. The incongruent melting mechanism does not appear to interfere with the development of Zone 4 kelyphite, in agreement with Obata et al. (2014) who also reported internal isochemical kelyphite bounded by a concentric corona.

Kelyphite formed via Reaction 2 (both isochemical and non-isochronal) have been observed in multilayer corona within garnet pyroxenite and peridotite xenoliths (Keankeo et al., 2000; Dégi et al., 2010; Obata et al., 2013). Obata et al. (2013) suggested the reaction mode switches in response to a combined decrease in chemical potential gradients, increasing corona thickness and an increase in internal stresses due to the associated volume change of Reaction 1. Kelyphite formed via Reaction 2 only require local diffusion, over length scales of less than 1 μm; this seems much more efficient than limited diffusion across a COR rim, present between olivine and garnet. It appears that once Reaction 2 begins kelyphite assemblages nucleate and grow proceeds quickly, with layers of 100 μm or more formed in 2 days at 1050°C, entirely consuming the original garnet (Fig. 6).

Previous studies have generally ascribed the switch (from Reaction 1 to 2) in kelyphite formation to changes in imposed PT conditions during xenolith ascent through the lithospheric mantle (Keankeo et al., 2000). However, our experiments conclusively demonstrate this switch may also occur in response to changes in diffusion rates at static PT conditions, removing the requirement for complex PT pathways to explain multilayer, multiphase corona (Keankeo et al., 2000; Obata et al., 2013).

At temperatures below 1000°C no reactions were observed, over the experimental durations of this study. At these conditions, in the investigated periods, solid-state breakdown via Reaction 1 was too slow to proceed (even in the presence of trace H₂O). Instead, garnet breakdown occurred exclusively via Reaction 2 (Fig. 12).

The lack of any outer reaction rims are indicative of the minimum temperature required for Reaction 1 (grt + olv) to proceed. As we are unaware of any mantle xenoliths that exclusively contain isochemical–kelyphite assemblages without coronae, we suggest that external fluids may act as metasomatic agents at low temperatures (below 1000°C), triggering the observed non-isochronal breakdown of garnet (Carswell & Dawson, 1970; Hunter & Taylor, 1982; Mukhopadhyay, 1991; Ackerman et al., 2013; Špaček et al., 2013). Alternatively mantle xenoliths with both isochemical and non-isochronal kelyphite could be the result of multistage uplift events.

**Emplacement timescales for garnet bearing peridotite**

We have used our experimentally determined rate of coronae growth to estimate emplacement times for a 5-mm garnet, producing a 2.5-mm reaction corona consisting of Zones 1 and 2 (Fig. 19). In order to preserve an internal garnet from an initially 5-mm diameter crystal, emplacement times from the spinel lherzolite stability field to the surface must be less than 10 000 years at a potential temperature of 1000°C (Fig. 19). This is ten times faster than ambient mantle upwelling today (Lundstrom et al., 1998; Anderson et al., 2014), and most likely requires a magmatic mech-
anism to emplace mantle xenoliths while preserving kelyphite textures (Obata, 1980; Ackerman et al., 2013; Špaček et al., 2013).

Garnet bearing xenoliths have been transported to the surface almost exclusively in unusual magmas such as kimberlites, which do not occur today, requiring special geodynamic settings (Reid & Dawson, 1972; Canil & Fedorchouk, 1999; Sparks, 2013). The potential temperature of kimberlitic melts carrying mantle xenoliths is approximated at 1310–1380°C (Kavanagh & Sparks, 2009), at these high temperatures emplacement of garnet with kelyphitic textures would need to occur in substantially less than 1 year, based on the experimental results presented here (Fig. 18). Estimates of kimberlite ascent rates also range from a few hours, 1–10 experimentally determined by dissolution of garnet in kimberlite magma (Canil & Fedorchouk, 1999), to less than 1 hour when assessing hydrogen diffusion in olivine from mantle xenoliths (Peslier et al., 2008). Timescales of less than one year in the order of a few hours to a day are in good agreement with those determined by the reaction kinetics of garnet breakdown presented here. Rapid emplacement times, of ~1 year, are also required for alkali basalts erupting at surface temperatures of 1210–1280°C, consistent with previous studies (Green et al., 1967; Brearley & Scarfe, 1986). Reconstructed FT estimates, of natural kelyphite, also suggest rapid emplacement of mantle xenoliths with last equilibrated conditions from within the garnet lherzolite stability field (1120°C and 14.9 kbar; Dégi et al., 2010).

The predictions, made here, on emplacement time are controlled by the presence of melt and, while there appears to be compelling evidence for breakdown of garnet by reaction with external melts (Carswell & Dawson, 1970; Reid & Dawson, 1972; Lock & Dawson, 1980; Hunter & Taylor, 1982; Mukhopadhyay, 1991; Ackerman et al., 2013; Špaček et al., 2013), we show that garnet breakdown may also occur in the absence of such melts (Zone 4 textures). The presence of external reactive melts might further enhance reaction rates meaning that the present experiments can only place upper bounds on transit and emplacement times.

These rapid emplacement times imply an uninterrupted passage through the mantle is required from the entainment of mantle xenoliths (depths of ~60 km) to the surface. Complex multilayered coronae might be produced by a two-stage process of emplacement of xenolith-bearing mantle melts into mid-lower crust. The low ambient temperatures in the crust would result in a switch of decomposition mechanism from a melt-mitigated process to one of isochemochemical breakdown. This is consistent with natural garnet xenoliths with Zone 4 textures (both isochemochemical and non-isochemochemical), which yield low reconstructed FT conditions at 650–980°C and 9–15 kbar (Mukhopadhyay, 1991; Ionov et al., 1993; Godard & Martin, 2000). A process of melt stagnation, freezing and remelting may be the only mechanism by which to generate complex multilayered coronae as the emplacement temperatures from kimberlites and alkali basalts are too high to otherwise induce multilayered corona growth.

CONCLUSIONS
Garnet bearing mantle xenoliths host kelyphitic textures, resulting from incomplete garnet re-equilibration in the spinel lherzolite stability field. Kelyphitic coronae provide insight into the behaviour of the mantle during uplift and exhumation.

We performed high pressure, high temperature experiments on natural garnet to recreate kelyphitic coronae, in order to determine the reaction kinetics of garnet breakdown in the mantle.

Our experiments reproduce an array of kelyphitic textures, similar to those found in natural mantle xenoliths (Reid & Dawson, 1972; Lock & Dawson, 1980; Dégi et al., 2010; Obata & Ozawa, 2011; Špaček et al., 2013). Importantly our results demonstrate garnet breakdown is not a simple single reaction process as previously assumed, and it is best described as a series of cascading reactions beginning with the well understood olivine + garnet reaction. Once initiated garnet appears to breakdown rapidly via incongruent melting following our newly presented Reactions 12 and 13, at least at high temperatures above 1000°C.

The breakdown of garnet is assisted by the presence of a melt phase. Silicate melt is present in nearly all our experiments at temperatures above 1000°C. This melt is an essential catalyst to garnet breakdown which does not alter the final equilibrium assemblage and is likely completely consumed upon attainment of equilibrium.

The occurrence of several reactions, some of which are assisted by melt, makes determination of reaction kinetics in experiments challenging. Despite difficulties, we present reaction kinetics describing the dissolution of garnet and subsequent growth of opx + sp + cpx coronae; a partial description of garnet breakdown. Kinetic results provide an indication that magnesium diffusion in melt may potentially be the rate limiting mechanism during garnet breakdown beginning with Reaction 1. The empirically derived activation enthalpy of ΔHgarnet = 286 ± 145 kJ/mol−1 for combined growth of Zone 1 and 2 textures is similar to that of magnesium diffusion in melt and orthopyroxene (Zhang et al., 2010; Borinaki et al., 2012; Dohmen et al., 2016) but rates of reaction in the presence of melt are sufficiently fast to make diffusion in the liquid state the most likely mechanism.

Incongruent melting of garnet has also been reported elsewhere as a possible mechanism for kelyphite formation (Hunter & Taylor, 1982; Brearley & Scarfe, 1986; Špaček et al., 2013). Evidence for the involvement of melt/fluids in the retrogression of mantle samples suggests natural kelyphitisation is likely to follow similar kinetics and reactions to those seen in the present experiments. The cascade of reactions, presented here, produces distinctive multizoned, multiphase textures, which are similar to those found in natural mantle xenoliths. Multilayered corona common in nature can be produced at constant conditions of pressure and temperature, removing the need to interpret complex FT pathways of exhumation (Mukhopadhyay, 1991; Atzori et al., 1999; Godard & Martin, 2000; Guo et al., 2002; Dégi et al., 2010; Obata et al., 2013; Špaček et al., 2013). However, some of the primary reaction textures from initial garnet breakdown in natural xenoliths are expected to be overprinted by continued metamorphism and/or retrogression at subsequent (lower pressure) conditions. These later processes, which likely overprint primary textures, may make the identification of melts from garnet breakdown almost impossible. Experiments provide a frozen snapshot of the partially complete kelyphitisation process and therein preserve features which are lost to continued breakdown and re-equilibration in the spinel lherzolite field.

To preserve relict garnets in the core of kelyphite requires emplacement from depths of the spinel lherzolite stability field (30–50 km) to the surface on timescales of 1–10,000 years for mantle potential temperatures of 1000–1280°C.

At temperatures below 1000°C, where there is no melting of garnet, its breakdown produces kelyphite textures with an average composition which is close to the parent garnet. We have not constrained the kinetics of this solid-state breakdown but note that it must be substantially slower than the melt-catalysed high-T reaction since we only reproduced this texture in a single
long duration (168 hour) experiment. The low temperature experiments suggest that the solid state growth of Zone 4 kelyphite is initially kinetically inhibited by a nucleation barrier but once new phases have nucleated rather rapid eutectoid-type breakdown can occur.
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