INTRODUCTION

The toxicokinetic studies in chicken indicate that the rate of absorption of T-2 toxin (T-2) from the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) is the highest among the trichothecenes (Chi et al., 1978; Prelusky et al., 1986). The T-2 concentration in circulation reaches maximum levels just over one hour after oral dosing (Feinberg and Mc Laughlin, 1989). Furthermore, previous studies indicate that within min after absorption, T-2 is distributed all over the body with the liver and kidney having the largest amounts (Ueno et al., 1971; Chi et al., 1978). In chickens, nearly 80% of T-2 is excreted from the body within 48 hours after dosing (Yoshisawa et al., 1980; Giroir et al., 1991). Aforementioned toxicokinetic findings give an idea about the possible stress chickens may undergo in a short course of time to eliminate the T-2 toxin from body, which may account for the acute toxicity of T-2 toxin in broilers and low LD50 (Chi et al., 1978; Hoerr et al., 1981).

The crucial aspect of absorption of T-2 from the chicken GIT is the absorption of large amount of T-2 in between the crop and jejunum (Lun et al., 1988). This amounts to the fact that maximum absorption of T-2 takes place during the period between 15 to 90 min after consumption of contaminated feed. Therefore, a toxin adsorbent should be able to bind maximum quantity of toxin before feed reaches the duodenum, within 90 min after feeding, to effectively counteract T-2 toxicosis in chicken. The present experiment was conducted to test the T-2 binding ability of MG (Source: Alltech Inc., Kentucky 40536, USA) in gut conditions of chicken, and also to develop a simple and effective in vivo method to test the binding efficacy of a mycotoxin adsorbent.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Production and quantification of T-2 toxin

The T-2 was produced employing solid substrate fermentation as per the procedure of Burmeister (1971). Fusarium sporotrichoides MTCC 1894 (Source: Institute of Microbial Technology, Chandigarh 160 036, India) was utilized to produce T-2. The T-2 was extracted as per the method of Romer et al. (1978) and was quantified by TLC as suggested by Rukmini and Bhat (1978).

Experimental birds

One hundred and twenty five-wk-old broilers weighing around 1,200 g were randomly divided into six groups of 20 birds each. Each treatment group was allotted to one of the six dietary treatments at random. The birds in each group were housed individually in cages (30×45×45 cm) and were fed control diet for the first seven d. Feed was withdrawn for 12 h before feeding the experimental diets on the eighth d.
Experimental design
Six dietary treatments were prepared in a factorial arrangement with three dietary levels of T-2 (0, 500 and 1,000 ppb) and two levels of MG (0 and 0.1%). Required quantities of the culture material and MG were added to the control diet to prepare the six experimental diets.

Experimental procedure and data collection
On the day of experiment, each experimental diet was fed to one of the six groups of chicks. The quantity of feed consumed by each bird was recorded to calculate the total quantity of T-2 consumed. Four birds from each treatment group were sacrificed by cervical dislocation immediately after feeding the test diets and at an interval of 30 min then onwards, i.e. at 30, 60, 90 and 120 min. By making two cuts on either side of keel bone, the abdominal cavity was exposed. The GIT running from esophagus to ceca was removed from the abdominal cavity. The gut contents were collected in a beaker by squeezing, and the GIT was cut open and contents were scraped into the respective beakers. Then, the gut was washed with distilled water and the washings were collected in the same beakers. The samples were dried in hot air oven at 50°C till the samples were dried and weight of the samples was recorded. The T-2 concentration in the dried samples was determined by TLC method and percent T-2 recovered from each sample was calculated.

Percentage of T-2 toxin adsorbed
The percent T-2 adsorbed by the MG was considered almost equal to the difference between the recovered toxin from the GIT of birds fed T-2 alone and the recovered toxin from the GIT of birds fed T-2 and MG.

The distribution of total T-2 consumed in birds fed T-2 alone was calculated as follows: - a fraction of T-2 consumed will be absorbed (ABt) into the gastrointestinal system and the remaining toxin is recovered (Rt) from the gut. Where as in case of birds fed T-2 and MG, a fraction of T-2 consumed will be absorbed (ABt2) into the gastrointestinal system, a part of T-2 is adsorbed (ADt) to MG, and the remaining toxin is recovered (Rt2) from the gut. Therefore,

a) In birds fed T-2 alone,
Total T-2=ABt1+Rt1                          (1)

b) In birds fed T-2 + MG,
Total T-2=ABt2+ADt+Rt2                       (2)

From (1),
ABt1= Total T-2-Rt1                                 (3)

To calculate the ADt, it was presumed that ABt 2 was approximately equal to ABt 1 as the birds used in both the groups were of same age and similar weight. Furthermore, a study in our laboratory has shown that MG and T-2 complex is stable and bound toxin cannot be estimated by TLC (Unpublished data).

Consequently, From (2) and (3),
ADt=Total T-2-(ABt1+Rt1)                          (4)

Statistical analysis
The data collected were subjected to two-way ANOVA using the Graph Pad Prism computer program (1995). In the analysis of recovered toxin, individual bird was taken as an experimental unit and Turkey’s mean comparison was used to test for statistical differences for recovered T-2. All the values expressed as significant were tested at p<0.05 level.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
The results on the percent T-2 recovered from the gut at different time intervals are presented in Table 2. The T-2 toxin was not detected in the group fed either control diet or control diet+MG (not presented in the table), this may be due to the fact that feed ingredients were screened for T-2 prior to compounding the feed and analyzed values did not show T-2 at detectable levels in both the diets. Similarly, T-2 toxin was not detected in gut contents at zero min, which

| Table 1. Composition of control diet |
|-------------------------------------|
| **Ingredients (%):**                |
| Yellow maize                        | 65 |
| Soybean meal                        | 31.8 |
| Mineral mixture<sup>1</sup>         | 3.2 |
| Salt (g)                            | 300 |
| A<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>3</sub>, K<sub>2</sub> (g) | 15 |
| B-complex<sup>3</sup> (g)           | 20 |
| Monocox<sup>4</sup> (g)             | 50 |
| DL-methionine (g)                   | 100 |
| Choline chloride<sup>5</sup> (g)    | 100 |

| Calculated composition              |
|-------------------------------------|
| Protein (%)                         | 20.03 |
| ME (kcal/kg)                        | 2,908 |
| Crude fiber (%)                     | 3.86 |
| Calcium (%)                         | 1.13 |
| Available P (%)                     | 0.40 |
| Lysine (%)                          | 1.08 |
| Methionine (%)                      | 0.42 |

<sup>1</sup> Provides per kg: Ca; 90 g, P; 90 g, Mn; 440 mg, Zn; 330 mg, I; 150 ppm, Fe; 2,000 ppm, Cu; 250 ppm and Sc; 45 ppm.
<sup>2</sup> Provides per g: A; 82.500 IU, D<sub>3</sub>; 12,000 IU, B<sub>2</sub>; 50 mg and K; 10 mg.
<sup>3</sup> Provides per g: B; 4 mg, B<sub>6</sub>; 8 mg, B<sub>12</sub>; 40 µg, E; 20 mg, Niacin; 60 mg and Calcium pantothenate; 12.5 mg.
<sup>4</sup> Provides per g: Maduramycin; 10 mg.
<sup>5</sup> Provides per g: Choline chloride; 600 mg.
is not presented in the table. Thus indicating no residual T-2 toxin in the gut contents due to prior feeding. It has been reported that T-2 and its metabolites are completely excreted without any residues in the body (Chi et al., 1978). In the group fed T-2 (500 and 1,000 ppb) alone, differences were noticed in the recovered toxin at different time intervals, and results indicate progressive absorption of T-2 into the gastrointestinal system. The progressive reduction in recovery of T-2 may be attributed to its absorption and biotransformation. It is evident that T-2 is readily absorbed from the GIT, and absorption continues up to 120 min after consumption. These findings are supported by toxicokinetic studies of Feinberg and Mc Laughlin (1989) and Chi et al. (1978). The highest amount of absorption of T-2 was noticed during 60 to 90 min interval. This is presumed to occur due to the absorption of the toxin by enterocytes in small intestine and its conversion to other metabolites (Lun et al., 1988). Differences were also noticed in the percent T-2 recovered at different time intervals in the birds fed T2 and MG. This progressive reduction could be due to absorption of T-2 into the gastrointestinal system and/or adsorption of T-2 by the MG.

The percent recovery of T-2 in birds fed T-2 and MG was lower than the birds fed T-2 alone at all time intervals. Progressive increase in difference in T-2 recovery between these two groups was evident. This may be due to selective binding of T-2 by the MG, and also indicates that the MG was able to bind T-2 right through the GIT.

The results on the percent adsorbed T-2 in the groups fed T-2 and MG at different time intervals are presented in Table 3. Progressive increase in T-2 adsorption may be due to the increased physical contact between the MG and T-2 as the time advanced. The results of the present study suggest that the MG can sequestrate up to 32% of T-2 by 120 min. In vitro binding assay by Dawson et al. (2001) and Raju and Devegowda (2002) corroborate our findings. These workers have demonstrated that the MG can sequestrate up to 33.4% of T-2.

It appears that there is no published information pertaining to in vivo binding ability of MG. However, previously in vivo binding trials have been conducted to study the ability of Lactobacillus and Propionibacterium strains (El-Nezami et al., 2000) and Hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate (Donald et al., 1987) to bind the aflatoxin in chicken GIT. In vivo binding assay employed in present study is similar to the intestinal loop technique followed by El-Nezami et al. (2000). From the results of this study, it is concluded that the MG can adsorb T-2 up to 32% in the GIT of chicken.

**IMPLICATIONS**

The in vivo binding studies on a toxin adsorbent reflects its actual toxin binding ability. Because, unlike in vitro studies where only pH is altered to simulate the gut environment, in case of in vivo studies a toxin adsorbent has to actively bind the toxin in the GIT where food material may hinder the formation of toxin-adsorbent complex. In addition, toxin present in GIT can escape from an adsorbent by moving through epithelial cell lining into the gastrointestinal system. Therefore, this in vivo method of determining the toxin binding has true potential to test the actual toxin adsorbing ability of an adsorbent. However, there is a lot of room to further improve on this method, say by adding an additional parameter like estimation of toxin present in the intestinal tissue that reflects the ability of an adsorbent to prevent toxin uptake by epithelial cells of the GIT.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The authors acknowledge M/S Alltech Inc, USA for providing the financial support and Modified glucomannan required for this study.

**REFERENCES**

Aravind, K. L., V. S. Patil, G. Devegowda, B. Umakantha and S. P. Ganpule. 2003. Efficacy of Esterified glucomannan to counteract Mycotoxicosis in naturally contaminated feed on
performance, serum biochemical and hematological parameters in broilers. Poult. Sci. (In press).

Burmeister, H. R. 1971. T-2 toxin production by Fusarium tricinctum on solid substrate. Appl. Microbiol. 21:739-742.

Chi, M. S., T. S. Robinson, C. J. Mirocha, S. P. Swanson and W. Shimoda. 1978. Excretion and tissue distribution of radioactivity from tritium labelled T-2 toxin in chicks. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 45:391-402.

Davidson, J. N., J. G. Babish and D. R. Delaney. 1987. Hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate decreases the bioavailability of aflatoxin B1 in chicken. Poult. Sci. 66(supp.1) 89(Abstr.).

Dawson, K. A., J. Evans and K. Manoj. 2001. Understanding the adsorption characteristics of yeast cell wall preparations associated with mycotoxin binding. In Biotechnology in the feed industry. (Ed. T. P. Lyons and K. A. Jacques). Nottingham University Press, Nottingham, UK, pp. 169-181.

Devegowda, G., M. V. L. N. Raju, N. Afzali and H. V. L. N. Swamy. 1998. Mycotoxin picture world wide: Novel solutions for their counteraction. In Biotechnology in the feed industry. (Ed. T. P. Lyons and K. A. Jacques). Nottingham University Press, Nottingham, UK, pp. 241-255.

Dvorska, J. E. and P. F. Surai. 2001. Effect of T-2 toxin, zeolite and mycosorb on antioxidiant systems of growing quail. Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci. 14:1752-1757.

El-Nezami, H., H. Mykkanen, P. Kankaanpaa, S. Salminen and J. Ahokas. 2000. Ability of Lactobacillus and Propionibacterium strains to remove aflatoxin B1 from the chicken duodenum. J. Food Prot. 63:549-552.

Feinberg, B. and C. S. Mc Laughlin. 1989. Biochemical mechanism of action of trichotheccene mycotoxins. In Trichotheccene Mycotoxins: Pathophysioologic Effects (I. V. R. Beasley). CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp. 27-35.

Giroir, L. E., G. W. Ivie and W. E. Huff. 1991. Comparative fate of the tritiated trichotheccenes mycotoxin, T-2 toxin, in chickens and ducks. Poult. Sci. 70:1138-1143.

Graph Pad Prism Computer Programme. 1995. Version 2.00. Graph Pad Prism Software Inc., San Diego, USA.