Different Imaging Features of Retroperitoneal Unicentric Castleman’s Disease: A Case Report
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Abstract
Castleman’s disease is a rare disease which is difficult to diagnose early due to its lack of specific manifestations, and also is easily confused with lymphoma or other solid tumors. Castleman’s disease can occur in any part of the body containing lymph nodes and is most common in the chest, followed by the neck, abdomen, and axillae. A 37-year-old woman was admitted to our hospital because of a tumor near the adrenal gland found by computed tomography. Positron emission tomography-computed tomography revealed that the retroperitoneal tumor may be a malignant disease. However, the pathological diagnosis after laparoscopic resection was retroperitoneal Castleman’s disease, hyaline vascular type.

Introduction
Castleman’s disease (CD), also known as giant lymph node hyperplasia, is a benign lymphoproliferative disorder affecting both lymph nodes and extranodal loci [1]. Benjamin Castleman first reported a rare benign lymphadenoproliferative disease in 1954 [2]. CD can occur in any part of the body containing lymph nodes and is most common in the chest,
followed by the neck, abdomen, and axillae [3, 4]. However, retroperitoneal CD is relatively rare. We report on a patient with retroperitoneal CD who presented no clinical symptoms; only by computed tomography (CT) was a large abdominal tumor found.

**Case Presentation**

A 37-year-old woman was admitted to our hospital because of a tumor near the adrenal gland, which was found at a periodic health checkup by CT. The CT scan showed that the tumor was about 6 × 5 cm in size, near the adrenal gland, with uniform density and no ischemic necrosis (Fig. 1a).

She had no disease history or family history. She did not have any other clinical symptoms such as hypertension, headache, hot flashes, feebleness, low fever, night sweats, vomiting, etc. Laboratory data showed that almost all findings were normal, including her adrenal hormone level, and she was HIV negative, apart from a slight elevation of CA 19-9 47 U/mL (reference, 0–35.0). Positron emission tomography-CT (PET-CT) revealed that the tumor had a maximum standardized uptake value (SUVmax) of 11.8 (Fig. 2a, b), and a delayed imaging SUVmax of 13.6 (Fig. 2c, d), which suggested malignant disease or CD.

The patient was referred to our hospital for an enhanced CT and computed tomography angiography (CTA) examination. The enhanced CT values for the arterial phase, venous phase, 

---

**Fig. 1.** Computed tomography images of the patient. **a** Nonenhanced computed tomography image. **b** Arterial phase. **c** Venous phase. **d** Delayed phase.
and delayed phase were 164, 97, and 82 HU (Fig. 1b–d). The results of CTA showed that the blood supply to the retroperitoneal mass was abundant, mainly from the left gastric artery, combined with an arteriovenous fistula (Fig. 3).

The possibility of retroperitoneal CD was then considered. Finally, laparoscopic resection of retroperitoneal tumorectomy was performed. The resected specimen was gray-white and soft, with a size of 80 × 60 × 40 mm, and covered with a capsule. HE (hematoxylin and eosin) staining showed nodular hyperplasia and atrophy of the germinal center, whereas the proliferation of the peripheral lymphocytes was arranged in an "onion skin" pattern (Fig. 4a). The immunohistochemistry results were as follows: CD20+, CD79a+, CD10–, Bcl-6–, Bcl-2+, IgD+, and cyclin D1– (Fig. 4b). Based on the lack of any other lymph node enlargement and the above examination and histopathological results, CD was diagnosed, subclassified as the hyaline vascular type (HV).

Discussion

CD is a rare disease which is difficult to diagnose early due to its lack of specific manifestations, and also is easily confused with lymphoma or other solid tumors. There are two types of CD in the clinic: unicentric CD (UCD) and multicentric CD (MCD). According to pathological results, it is divided into three types: HV, plasma cell type (PC), or mixed type [5].

Because of quite different clinical features and prognoses, UCD and MCD are considered to be two distinct diseases. In the UCD group, patients are younger (median age, 33 years; the age of the patient we reported on was 37 years) and most cases have no clinical symptoms (the same as in our case). UCD, in particular, is often an unexpected discovery during routine
examination. Most commonly, it is accompanied only by local lymphadenopathy. In contrast, MCD can manifest with a very serious hypercytokinemia-driven inflammatory syndrome mostly caused by interleukin-6 [6]. The most common histological type of UCD is HV, accounting for 91.7% of UCD cases [5]. It is identified in most of the possible locations found in other case reports, confirming that HV UCD has no limits regarding localization [7, 8]. In contrast, most of MCD patients have significant clinical symptoms, and the histopathological subtype is PC [9].

What are the characteristics of CD on imaging? Most of the lesions encountered are noninvasive masses with well-defined borders. Use of nonenhanced or enhanced CT scanning

Fig. 3. Computed tomography (CT) angiography images. a, c Maximum intensity projection with spiral CT. b, d Volume rendering with spiral CT.

Fig. 4. HE (a) and immunohistochemistry (b) for CD79a.
is related to the location and pathological type of the tumor. On nonenhanced CT, the case of retroperitoneal CD that we reported on was hypodense to isodense relative to skeletal muscle, with uniform density and no ischemic necrosis. The enhancement characteristics of CD are closely related to its pathological type. In our case, enhanced CT showed that there were abundant hyperplastic capillaries and peripheral blood supply vessels in the HV. In addition, on a multiphase contrast-enhanced scan, it was characterized by significant or obvious enhancement in the arterial phase, portal venous phase, and delayed phase, and by being “early in and late out.” Zhao et al. [10] found that more than half of their patients had hypertrophied vessels on CT images; moreover, they all had HV CD. Furthermore, the degree of enhancement was not significantly different between the patients with HV CD and those with PC CD [10–12]. In our case, CTA showed that the blood supply to the retroperitoneal mass was abundant, which might explain the high uptake of the mass on PET-CT. Zhao et al. [10] also found that calcification was observed in all the patients with HV UCD; thus, they thought that calcification and hypertrophied vessels may be valuable diagnostic features of HV UCD.

For UCD, complete resection of the involved lesion is considered the gold standard treatment, and recurrent cases are rare [13]. It has been curative in almost all cases reported so far, with a 5-year overall survival rate approaching 100% [14]. However, unlike for UCD, an optimal treatment for MCD has not been well established, and the outcome is less favorable.

CD is extremely rare, so it is necessary to improve the awareness of its diagnosis. It is helpful to refer to imaging results for the diagnosis of CD and make treatment plans based on pathological results. We report on this case of retroperitoneal UCD in order to improve clinicians’ understanding of CD and provide reference for future diagnosis.
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