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Inhibiting Acetylcholinesterase to Activate Pleiotropic Prodrugs with Therapeutic Interest in Alzheimer’s Disease

François-Xavier Toublet, Cédric Lecoutey, Julien Lalut, Bérénice Hatat, Audrey Davis, Marc Since, Sophie Corvaisier, Thomas Freret, Jana Sopkova de Oliveira Santos, Sylvie Claesyn, Michel Boulouard, Patrick Dallemagne and Christophe Rochais

Abstract: Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a multifactorial neurodegenerative disease which is still poorly understood. The drugs currently used against AD, mainly acetylcholinesterase inhibitors (AChEI), are considered clinically insufficient and are responsible for deleterious side effects. AChE is, however, currently receiving renewed interest through the discovery of a chaperone role played in the pathogenesis of AD. But AChE could also serve as an activating protein for pleiotropic prodrugs. Indeed, inhibiting central AChE with brain-penetrating designed carbamates which are able to covalently bind to the enzyme and to concomitantly liberate active metabolites in the brain could constitute a clinically more efficient approach which, additionally, is less likely to cause peripheral side effects. We aim in this article to pave the road of this new avenue with an in vitro and in vivo study of pleiotropic prodrugs targeting both the 5-HT4 receptor and AChE, in order to display a neuroprotective activity associated with a sustained restoration of the cholinergic neurotransmission and without the usual peripheral side effects associated with classic AChEI. This plural activity could bring to AD patients effective, relatively safe, symptomatic and disease-modifying therapeutic benefits.
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1. Introduction

Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) is the main target of the currently marketed drugs against Alzheimer’s Disease (AD). Inhibiting AChE and the physiological degradation of acetylcholine (ACh), these drugs aim at restoring the cholinergic neurotransmission impaired by the neurodegeneration which induces the cognitive troubles associated with AD. These medicines are controversial today, mainly due to their loss of efficacy over time. AChE, however, could benefit from renewed interest as a target for novel anti-AD drugs for two reasons:

The first concerns the discovery of a new chaperone role played by AChE which would be able to interact, thanks to its peripheral anionic site (PAS), with the β-amyloid (Aβ) peptide and to form neurotoxic aggregates with the latter [1]. Inhibiting this interaction, if possible in a concomitant manner with the catalytic inhibition of AChE, would yield a dual therapeutic benefit against AD.

The second reason is inherent to the explanation which can be given for the loss of efficiency of its inhibitors. The latter can be imputed to the evolution of the disease and to the consequent neurodegeneration which leads to a decrease in the production of AChE, whose inhibition becomes less
and less useful over time. However, preserving the functional status of the cholinergic neurons could make AChE an efficient target in AD treatment once again. This explains why a lot of clinical trials assessing potential of novel neuroprotective agents are often done in association with donepezil, the most used AChE inhibitors (AChEI), in order to display a synergistic effect [2]. Alternatively, another approach was recently proposed. It consists of the design of Multi-Target Directed Ligands (MTDL) which are able to both inhibit AChE and to express a neuroprotective effect through an interaction with another target involved in the pathogenesis of AD [3]. Our group recently described, within this framework, the in vitro and in vivo properties of donecopride, the first MTDL able to both inhibit AChE and to activate 5-HT4 receptors (5-HT4R) with potent antiamnesic effects in animal models of AD [4,5].

These considerations have led to a revival of interest in AChE as an efficient therapeutic target. Furthermore, this enzyme can be used to reduce the peripheral side effects displayed by novel anti-AD drugs. Indeed, the latter can be specifically liberated at the central level through hydrolysis by AChE of brain-penetrating prodrugs. AChE, being inhibited during this hydrolysis, can be considered in this case both as a therapeutic target and as an activating enzyme (Figure 1).

A unique example of such a pleiotropic anti-AD prodrug is currently reported in literature. Ladostigil (1) is a carbamate compound which is able to covalently bind to AChE and to liberate a hydroxy derivative of rasagiline, displaying Monoamine Oxidase (MAO)-B inhibitory activity (Figure 2) [6–9].

Concerning the present work, we wanted to develop an innovative approach through the design of novel pleiotropic prodrugs which were likely to covalently inhibit AChE and then to liberate active metabolites related to donecopride (2) while keeping its potent 5-HT4R agonist and Dual Binding Site (DBS) AChE inhibitory activities. These compounds will be designed based on the model of rivastigmine (3) with which they are structurally related. Rivastigmine is a carbamate compound which covalently binds and temporarily inhibits AChE. Rivastigmine, which is the only marketed pseudo-irreversible AChEI, consequently restores cholinergic neurotransmission, then alleviating the cognitive symptoms in AD patients. However, the phenolic derivative, released after the carbamoylation of AChE by rivastigmine, is devoid of any therapeutic activity.

The novel phenolic derivatives (4–6) of donecopride which we propose herein were synthesized and evaluated as potential 5-HT4R ligands and DBS AChE inhibitors. They were carbamoylated into carbamates (7–9). The capacity of the latter to inhibit AChE in a covalent manner was investigated. In order to avoid possible peripheral side effects, their lack of other activities was verified. The ability of a selected carbamate to liberate the corresponding phenolic derivative upon AChE-dependent hydrolysis has been studied, as well as its capacity to easily cross the blood brain barrier (BBB). Finally, in vivo tests have been performed in mice in order to potentially highlight, for this pleiotropic prodrug, a procognitive effect.
2. Results

2.1. Chemistry

The targeted phenolic derivatives of donecopride (4-6) and their carbamates (7-9) were obtained starting from 4-amino-5-chloro-2-methoxybenzoic acid (10) in three series: ketone, ester and amide. In the ketone series, the β-ketoester (11) was synthesized through the carbonyldiimidazole (CDI) activation of the carboxylic acid group of 10 followed by treatment with the potassium salt of ethylmalonate (Scheme 1). The methylpiperidine moiety was then installed through nucleophilic substitution using N-Boc 4-(iodomethyl)piperidine carboxylate at room temperature to avoid the risk of a double substitution, immediately followed by a saponification–decarboxylation sequence with hydroalcoholic potassium hydroxide, which gave 12 [5]. In the ester series, the analog compound 13 was obtained by esterification of 10, with N-Boc 4-hydroxymethylpiperidine, while in the amide series, the analog compound 14 was obtained through a peptidic coupling involving 10 and N-Boc 4-aminomethylpiperidine.

In parallel, the bromobenzyl carbamate (15) was synthesized through the following sequence (Scheme 2). 3-Hydroxybenzaldehyde (16) was carbamoylated using ethylmethylcarbamic chloride to
yield the carbamate 17 \[^{[10]}\]. The aldehyde group of the latter was reduced by NaBH\(_4\), and the alcohol derivative 18 was brominated by PBr\(_3\) to give 15.

![Scheme 2](image)

**Scheme 2.** Synthetic pathways for access to compounds 17–19. Reagents and conditions: (a) Et(Me)NCOCl, K\(_2\)CO\(_3\), DMF, 70 °C, 2 h, 87%; (b) NaBH\(_4\), MeOH, 0 °C, 30 min, 84%; (c) PBr\(_3\), Et\(_2\)O, 0 °C, 30 min, 44%.

The targeted compounds were finally obtained through the TFA-N-deprotection of 12–14, immediately followed by another nucleophilic substitution with 3-iodomethylphenol \[^{[11]}\] to yield phenols 4–6 or with compound 15 to form carbamates 7–9 (Scheme 3).

![Scheme 3](image)

**Scheme 3.** Synthesis pathways for access to compounds 4–6 and 7–9. Reagents and conditions: (a) TFA, DCM, rt, 1 h; (b) 15, K\(_2\)CO\(_3\), DMF, 110 °C, 1 h, 49–69%; (c) 3-hydroxybenzyl iodide, K\(_2\)CO\(_3\), DMF, 110 °C, 2 h 30 min, 51–67%.

Having been selected for in vivo studies, the fumaric acid salts of phenol 4 and its carbamate 7, (19, 20) were synthesized using fumaric acid in iPrOH (Scheme 4).

![Scheme 4](image)

**Scheme 4.** Synthesis pathways for access to 19, 20. Conditions and reagents: (a) fumaric acid, iPrOH, reflux, 1 h 15 min, 66–68%.

2.2. *In Silico Results*

During in silico studies, we evaluated whether carbamate derivative 7 could access the \((l)\)AChE catalytic triad, specially the Ser203 residue playing a crucial role during carbamoylation. According to the proposed mechanism of rivastagmine carbamoylation \[^{[12]}\], the carbamate-to-serine grouping approach starts via a hydrogen bond between the oxygen atom of the carbamate carbonyl group and the hydroxy group of Ser203. Therefore, during the docking study of compound 7 into the
(h)AChE active site (PDB code: 4EY7 [13]), performed using the Gold software (v5.7.2, Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center, CCDC), a hydrogen bond constraint between carbamate carbonyl and hydroxy group of Ser203 was applied. In parallel, the Ser203 side chain was kept flexible during the docking. The generated poses of compound 7 with scores between 85.44 and 100.64 could be divided in two major clusters which differ principally in the position of the methoxy phenyl moiety and phenyl one. In cluster 1 (see Figure 3B), the carbonyl group established a hydrogen bond with Ser293, and in cluster 2, a π-stacking between compound 7 phenol ring and the Tyr 337 one was observed, as well as a possible interaction through a hydrogen bond between the NH$_2$ group of compound 7 and C=O of the Trp286 backbone (see Figure 3C).

Compound 7 docks in the AChE active site with the carbamate group in proximity of Ser203, as imposed by the constraint, but it is positioned much higher in the AChE binding site in two clusters compared to the donepezil and/or the donecopride (Figure 3) [5–13]. Consequently, according to our molecular modeling results, compound 7 loses the characteristic interactions of the donecopride ligands family, i.e.; i) interaction between NH$^+$ of piperidine ring through the water molecule lying between the hydroxy groups of two tyrosines (Tyr341 and Tyr337); ii) interaction via C=O group with the backbone NH of Phe295; and iii) the methoxyphenyl moiety is placed a little high but stacking with Trp286 remains possible. On the other hand, the C=O group of compound 7 establishes a new interaction through a hydrogen bond with the hydroxy group of Ser293 in cluster 1. So, the ‘donecopride’ part attached to carbamate of compound 7 brings few interactions in addition to carbamate group ones, and the interaction with the AChE binding site is realized mainly thanks to the carbamate group.

![Figure 3. Donepezil position from the X-ray structure (A) compared to the compound 7 positioned in (h)AChE binding sites using the docking studies, cluster 1 (B) and cluster 2 (C). The compound and the selected side chains of the binding site residues are in stick and the protein in ribbon representation. This figure was made with PyMOL (DeLano Scientific, 2002, San Carlo, USA).](image)

2.3. In Vitro Results

2.3.1. AChE Inhibition and 5-HT$_4$R Binding

All the synthesized phenolic derivatives and their carbamates were evaluated as potential inhibitors of human AChE, using the Ellman assay [14], as well as potential ligands for human 5-HT$_4$R using a radioligand displacement assay [15]. In these tests, Donepezil and Rivastigmine (3) were used as reference AChEI, and RS67333 as a reference 5-HT$_4$R agonist [5]. The results are depicted in Table 1.

All the carbamates appeared able to inhibit AChE with IC$_{50}$ values in the same range as rivastigmine. The keto derivative 7 exhibited the best activity with an IC$_{50}$ value of 4.15 µM which was recovered with its fumaric salt, 20. The phenolic derivatives in the ester (5) and amide (6) series appeared to be devoid of any AChE inhibitory activities, unlike their analog 4 in keto series displaying a noticeable AChEI activity which turned to be even better for its fumaric salt 19 (respectively, IC$_{50}$ value of 148 and 72 nM).
Concerning 5-HT4R affinity, carbamates 7–9 and 20 appeared to be devoid of such activity, while their phenolic analogs 4–6 and 19 appeared to be potent ligands with Ki values in the same range as RS67333 (5 nM), or even decreased for the ester derivative 5 (0.6 nM).

### Table 1. (h)AChE inhibitory Activity (% inhibition or IC50) and (h)5-HT4R affinity (% inhibition or Ki).

| Compound   | X       | R             | (h)AChE IC50 (nM) or % Inhibition at 10⁻⁶ M | (h)5-HT4R Ki (nM) or % Inhibition at 10⁻⁸ M |
|------------|---------|---------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Donepezil  | -       | -             | 7.0 ± 1.5                                 | -                                       |
| Rivastigmine| -       | -             | 4.150 ± 160 1                             | -                                       |
| RS67333    | -       | -             | -                                        | 5.1 ± 0.5 2                             |
| 4          | CH₂     | OH            | 148.6 ± 34.9                              | 5.1 ± 1.1                               |
| 7          | CH₂     | OCON(Me)Et    | 4151 ± 794                                | 3%                                      |
| 5          | O       | OH            | 11%                                      | 0.6 ± 0.4                               |
| 8          | O       | OCON(Me)Et    | 16,290 ± 240                              | 23%                                     |
| 6          | NH      | OH            | 4%                                       | 5.8 ± 1.2                               |
| 9          | NH      | OCON(Me)Et    | 10,077 ± 2,988                            | 13%                                     |
| 19         | CH₂     | OH, fumaric salt | 72.0 ± 1.4                                 | 6.9 ± 1.2                               |
| 20         | CH₂     | OCON(Me)Et, fumaric salt | 6070 ± 404                               | 16%                                     |

IC50 values are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) of at least two experiments; Ki values are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) of at least three experiments; 1 Data from ref. [16]; 2 Data from ref. [17].

#### 2.3.2. Pharmacological Profile Results

The pharmacological profile of the selected phenolic derivative 19 was first established towards (h)5-HT4R. It acts as a partial agonist in a similar manner as RS67333 (Figure 4 and Table 2).

On the other hand, the mechanism of AChE inhibition for compounds 19 and 20 was evaluated by means of a kinetic study, the results of which are reported in typical Lineweaver-Burk plots. Phenol 19 acts as a non-competitive inhibitor, accounting for a possible interaction of 19 with the PAS of the enzyme (Figure 5A). At the same time, the carbamate 20 showed a mixed-type inhibition, illustrated by a typical Lineweaver-Burk plot similar to those obtained with the covalent AChE, rivastigmine (Figure 5B) [18].

![Figure 4](image-url) **Figure 4.** Pharmacological profile of RS67333 and compound 19. Representative experiment illustrating agonist activities towards (h)5-HT4(α) receptors.
The first result showed a decarbamoylation of 20 permeability assay experiment. The compound was classified among the compounds having good human AChE and its commercial availability, then analyzed by UPLC and LCMS. Owing to its high homology with the active-site sequence of the analytical method developed by Alix et al. [19]. A large excess of 2.3.4. AChE-Dependent Decarbamoylation

2.3.3. Brain Penetration

The ability of carbamate 20 to cross the BBB was assessed using a parallel artificial membrane permeability assay experiment. The compound was classified among the compounds having good brain penetration with logPe = -4.39 (Pe represents the PAMPA effective permeability coefficient). The test was performed using a good (corticosterone) and weak brain-penetrating reference (theophylline), respectively (Table 3).

Table 2. (h)5-HT4(a) pharmacological profile of RS67333 and compound 19. 5-HT was used as a control 5-HT4R agonist.

| Compound | Log(EC50) 1 | % Control Agonist Response 2 | Profile        |
|----------|-------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| RS67333  | -8.8 ± 0.2  | 48.7 ± 5.2                  | Partial agonist|
| 19       | -9.90 ± 0.0 | 59.0 ± 3.9                  | Partial agonist|

1 Log(EC50) values are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) of at least two (RS67333) or three experiments (19). 2 % Control agonist response values are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) of at least two experiments.

![Figure 5](image)

Figure 5. Lineweaver-Burk plots of inhibition kinetics show that 19 acts as a non-competitive AChE inhibitor (A) and 20 as a mixed-type AChE inhibitor (B).

2.3.4. AChE-Dependent Decarbamoylation

The in vitro decarbamoylation of 20 in the presence of AChE was established according to a new analytical method developed by Alix et al. [19]. A large excess of Electrophorus electricus (eel)AChE was added to a solution of 20 in a phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) buffer (pH 7.4) at 25 °C. After 24 h of incubation, the solution was extracted by ethyl acetate, evaporated and solubilized in acetonitrile, and then analyzed by UPLC and LCMS. Owing to its high homology with the active-site sequence of the human AChE and its commercial availability, (ee)AChE is commonly used for in vitro assays and was chosen for this study.

According to UPLC analysis and on the basis of the retention times of 4 and 20 without (ee)AChE (Figure 6A), the first result showed a decarbamoylation of 20 and release of 4 (Figure 6B). The control experiment in a PBS buffer without (ee)AChE, under the same reaction conditions, was also performed to
ensure that in the absence of (ee)AChE, 20 was not chemically converted into 4 (Figure 6C). Rivastigmine was used as a positive control. These results were confirmed by mass analysis.

2.4. In Vivo Results

Some in vivo investigations have been performed on the selected carbamate 20 as a potential pleiotropic prodrug.

2.4.1. Pharmacological Screening

None of the dose tested (1, 10 and 100 mg/kg) for compound 20 showed serious side effects (Table 4). Indeed, even though only for the highest tested dose, tremors and following hypoactivity phase were noticed, all symptoms were absent after 24 h, suggesting a LD$_{50}$ that is significantly higher than 100 mg/kg.
Table 3. Pharmacological and toxicological properties of 20.

| Compound | Dose (mg/kg) | LD$_{50}$ (mg/kg) | Symptoms (Subtoxic Doses) |
|----------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| 20       | 1–10–100     | >100            | 1–10, no symptoms         |
|          |              |                 | 100, tremors, hypoactivity |
|          |              |                 | full recovery after 24h   |
| Amphetamine $^1$ | 2 | -          | Hyperactivity, exophthalmic, irritability |
| Chlorpromazine $^1$ | 10 | -            | Hypoactivity, ataxia, sleep |

$^1$ Amphetamine (2 mg/kg), chlorpromazine (10 mg/kg) were used as stimulant and depressive references, respectively.

2.4.2. Spontaneous Locomotor Activity

Except for chlorpromazine-treated animals (used as reference drug, $p < 0.0001$), none of the tested doses of compound 20 (1, 3 and 10 mg/kg) statically modified spontaneous locomotor activity in comparison to NaCl-treated control animals (Figure 7). ANOVA revealed a group effect ($F_{(4,37)} = 6.495, p = 0.0005$).

![Figure 7. Effect of compound 20 on spontaneous locomotor activity. Data are expressed as the mean ± standard error of mean (SEM, n = 8 per group). Drugs were administered intraperitoneally (IP) 30 min before the behavioral test. Compound 20: 1–3–10 mg/kg; CPZ: chlorpromazine 3 mg/kg (**p < 0.05 versus NaCl, SNK test).](image)

2.4.3. Spontaneous Alternation Deficit

We then decided to evaluate the in vivo efficacy of compound 20 in cognition models. Whatever the pharmacological agent used to induce a cognitive deficit (scopolamine – SCOP or dizocilpine, MK801), ANOVA of percentage of spontaneous alternation revealed a group effect (respectively, $F_{(2,23)} = 7.281; p = 0.0036$ and $F_{(2,25)} = 4.778; p = 0.0175$) (Figures 8 and 9). In both cases, the control group displayed an alternation percentage significantly higher than animals receiving either SCOP or MK801 plus NaCl, demonstrating a pharmacologically-induced cognitive deficit (SNK, $p < 0.001$). Further, while the control group showed higher spontaneous alternation than animals receiving SCOP + compound 20 (SNK, $p < 0.05$), no statistical difference was observed compared to animals receiving MK801 + compound 20 (SNK, $p = 0.0621$). Additionally, a univariate $t$-test revealed that in the two conditions (SCOP and MK801), only the control group and animals having received compound 20 displayed an alternation percentage which was significantly different from the value of the chance level (i.e., 50%). Hence, those results point to the ability of compound 20 to offset—at least partially—working memory deficits, whether they result from cholinergic or glutamatergic neurotransmission alteration. Interestingly, these anti-amnestic effects are effective at a relatively low dose with respect to the estimated LD$_{50}$. 

![Figure 8. Effect of compound 20 on spontaneous alternation. Data are expressed as the mean ± standard error of mean (SEM, n = 8 per group). Drugs were administered intraperitoneally (IP) 30 min before the behavioral test.](image)
The carbamate profile and a non-competitive-type AChE inhibitory profile. Consequently, it would act as a DBS its ester analogue (K_i = 149 nM); such activity appeared to be absent in 5 and 6. The 5-HT_4R affinity of 4 (K_i = 0.6 nM) appeared to be slightly less potent than its ester analogue (K_i = 0.6 nM), but was preferred due to its dual activity. The fumaric acid salt of 4, 19, which maintains the dual activity, further expresses a partial 5-HT_4R agonist pharmacological profile and a non-competitive-type AChE inhibitory profile. Consequently, it would act as a DBS AChE. The carbamate 7, as well as its fumaric acid salt 20, derived from 4, are devoid of any activity towards 5-HT_4R in a similar manner as 8 and 9. This lack of activity was sought in order to reduce the occurrence of possible peripheral side effects. Compounds derived from 4, 5, and 6, are devoid of any activity 5-HT_4R in a similar manner as 8 and 9. This lack of activity was sought in order to reduce the occurrence of possible peripheral side effects. Compounds 5 and 6, which maintain the dual activity, further express a partial 5-HT_4R agonist pharmacological profile and a non-competitive-type AChE inhibitory profile. Consequently, it would act as a DBS AChE. The carbamate 7, as well as its fumaric acid salt 20, derived from 4, are devoid of any activity towards 5-HT_4R in a similar manner as 8 and 9. This lack of activity was sought in order to reduce
the occurrence of possible peripheral side effects. Compounds 7 and 20 further inhibited AChE with a similar intensity as rivastigmine (IC\textsubscript{50} = 4.1 µM). The kinetic study of 20, furthermore, established its covalent binding to the enzyme, and an analytic study demonstrated its concomitant AChE-dependent hydrolysis and the release of the corresponding phenolic derivative 4. Finally, the capacity of 19 to easily cross the BBB was attested using the PAMPA model.

On the basis of these predictive pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic profiles, 20 was selected for two in vivo studies aiming at demonstrating its potential anti-amyloid effect in two different models of spontaneous alternation deficit in mice using either scopolamine or MK801 to induce cognitive impairments.

These results support our expected mechanism of action and afford preliminary proof of concept of an in vivo release of a phenolic derivative (from carbamate precursor). Hence, having irreversibly blocked acetylcholinesterase during its release from carbamate, the phenolic derivative would then be capable of modulating our serotoninergic receptors of interest (5-HT\textsubscript{4} receptors). Further studies will be needed to validate this, but some data from the literature reinforce this hypothesis. In particular, some studies in rodents have shown the ability of rivastigmine (which has a pharmacodynamic profile close to that of our compound 20) to counterbalance scopolamine-induced memory performance deficit in various tests [20,21]. Interestingly, this same rivastigmine, used under similar conditions, proved to be ineffective in improving memory deficits induced by MK 801 [22]. Thus, the superiority in the MK801 model of our compound relative to rivastigmine may be related to the release of the phenolic derivative having affinity and significant activity towards 5-HT\textsubscript{4} receptors.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Chemistry

4.1.1. General Methods

All chemical reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification. Melting points were determined on a STUART SMP50 melting point apparatus. \textsuperscript{1}H, \textsuperscript{13}C and \textsuperscript{19}F NMR spectra were recorded on a BRUKER AVANCE III 400MHz with chemical shifts expressed in parts per million (in chloroform-\text{d}, methanol-\text{d}\textsubscript{4} or DMSO-\text{d}\textsubscript{6}) downfield from TMS as an internal standard and coupling in Hertz. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer BX FT-IR apparatus using KBr pellets. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained by electrospray on a BrukermaXis. The purities of all tested compounds were analyzed by LC-MS, with the purity all being higher than 95%. Analyses were performed using a Waters Alliance 2695 as separating module (column XBridge C18 2.5 µM/4.6 × 50 mM) using the following gradients: A (95%)/B (5%) to A (5%)/B (95%) in 4.00 min. This ratio was hold during 1.50 min before returning to initial conditions in 0.50 min. Initial conditions were then maintained for 2.00 min (A = H\textsubscript{2}O, B = CH\textsubscript{3}CN, each containing HCOOH: 0.1%). MS were obtained on a SQ detector by positive ESI.

4.1.2. Synthesis of Compounds (11–18)

\textit{Ethyl 3-(4-amino-5-chloro-2-methoxyphenyl)-3-oxopropanoate (11) [5].} To a mixture of 4-amino-5-chloro-2-methoxybenzoic acid (10) (2.0 g, 9.92 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and carbonyldiimidazole (1.77 g, 10.91 mmol, 1.1 equiv), dry THF (100 mL) (caution: gas evolution) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 8 h, and then potassium 3-ethoxy-3-oxopropanoate (2.03 g, 11.90 mmol, 1.2 equiv) and MgCl\textsubscript{2} (1.13 g, 11.90 mmol, 1.2 equiv) were added portion-wise. The reaction mixture was stirred at 40 °C for 2 h. Evaporation of the solvent provided a residue that was diluted in water. The mixture was extracted with DCM. The organic layer was washed with water, aqueous NaHCO\textsubscript{3} and brine; then, it was dried over MgSO\textsubscript{4}. Evaporation of the solvent provided a crude product that was purified by column on silica, eluting with a gradient of 20–30% EtOAc/cyclohexane to give (1.14 mg, 42% isolated yield) as a white solid 6. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (MeOD, 400 MHz, 298K) δ 7.91 (s, 1H), 6.23 (s, 1H), 4.23 (s, 1H), 4.59 (s, 2H), 4.17
(q, 2H, J = 7.2 Hz), 3.87 (s, 2H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 1.24 (t, 2H, J = 7.2 Hz); 13C NMR (MeOD, 100 MHz, 298 K) δ 189.8, 168.8, 160.0, 148.9, 132.6, 117.4, 111.8, 97.2, 61.0, 55.6, 50.6, 14.3.

**Tert-butyl 4-[(4-amino-5-chloro-2-methoxybenzoyl)oxyethyl]piperidine-1-carboxylate** (12) [4]. To a DMF (30 mL) solution of compound 11 (860 mg, 3.17 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and N-Boc 4-iodomethylpiperidine (1.24 g, 3.81 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added K2CO3 (877 mg, 6.35 mmol, 2.0 equiv), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 night. The reaction mixture was diluted with water, and the product was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layer was washed with water and brine and then dried over MgSO4. Evaporation of the solvent gave a crude mixture (2.04 g, 4.34 mmol), which was directly dissolved in EtOH (87 mL). To the solution, H2O (17 mL) and KOH (1.1 g, 19.6 mmol, 4.5 equiv) were added. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 3 h. EtOH was evaporated. The mixture was diluted with H2O and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with H2O and brine. Evaporation of the solvent provided a crude product, which was purified by column on silica, eluting with a gradient of 0–20% of EtOAc/DCM to give the compound 6 as a colorless solid (710 mg, 56% isolated yield). 1H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz, 298 K) δ 7.79 (s, 1H), 6.26 (s, 1H), 4.46 (s, 2H), 4.16–3.98 (m, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 2.94–2.87 (m, 2H), 2.73–2.60 (m, 2H), 1.71–1.63 (m, 2H), 1.62–1.56 (m, 2H), 1.45 (s, 9H), 1.40 (m, 1H), 1.16–1.04 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz, 298 K) δ 198.9, 159.6, 155.0, 147.8, 132.4, 119.0, 111.4, 97.7, 79.3, 55.8, 44.0, 40.8, 35.9, 32.2, 31.3, 28.6 (3C).

**Tert-butyl 4-[(4-amino-5-chloro-2-methoxybenzoyl)oxymethyl]piperidine-1-carboxylate** (13). To a suspension of 10 (487 mg, 2.42 mmol) in dry THF (55 mL) was added CDI (431 mg, 2.66 mmol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h, and then a solution of N-Boc 4-hydroxymethylpiperidine (520 mg, 2.42 mmol) in dry THF (10 mL) and NaH 95% (244 mg, 9.66 mmol) were added. This mixture was stirred 3 h at room temperature and then concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in AcOEt, washed with water, dried over MgSO4 and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was then purified by chromatography on an alumina gel column (gradient of elution: DCM (100%) to AcOEt (100%)). Compound 7 was obtained as a colorless oil (100 mg, 10% isolated yield).

1H NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz, 298 K) δ 7.86 (s, 1H), 6.28 (s, 1H), 4.53 (s, 1H), 4.12 (m, 2H), 4.08 (s, 2H, J = 6.4 Hz), 3.81 (s, 3H), 2.78–2.64 (m, 2H), 1.89 (m, 1H), 1.79–1.69 (m, 2H), 1.44 (s, 9H), 1.31–1.18 (m, 2H, H); 13C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz, 298 K) δ 164.7, 160.4, 157.5, 154.9, 146.8, 145.1, 133.3, 110.0, 109.6, 98.3, 79.5, 68.4, 56.2, 43.6 (2C), 35.8, 28.9 (2C), 28.6 (3C); MS (m/z) [M + H]+ 299.53/301.59; HRMS (m/z) calcd. for C19H23ClN2O3 [M + H]+ 421.1506, found 421.1508; IR (neat, cm−1) νmax 3445, 3327, 3213, 2975, 2925, 2852, 1695, 1624, 1602, 1421, 1237, 1173.

**Tert-butyl 4-[(4-amino-5-chloro-2-methoxybenzoyl)amino]methylpiperidine-1-carboxylate** (14) [5]. To a solution of 10 (1.19 g, 5.92 mmol) in DMF (38 mL) were added Et3N (825 µL, 5.92 mmol) and tert-butyl 4-(aminomethyl)piperidine-1-carboxylate (1.27 g, 5.92 mmol). The mixture was cooled to −5 °C, and HOBT (798 mg, 5.92 mmol) and EDCI·HCl (1.14 g, 5.92 mmol) were then added. The mixture was then stirred for 1 night. After dilution with water, the solution was extracted 3 times with AcOEt. The organic layers were combined, washed 3 times with NaHCO3, and once with brine, dried over MgSO4, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified on silica gel (gradient of elution: DCM to DCM/AcOEt 9/1) to provide 350 mg of desired product 8, a beige powder (15% isolated yield). 1H NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz, 298 K) δ 8.10 (s, 1H), 7.75 (t, 1H, J = 5.3 Hz), 6.26 (s, 1H), 4.39 (br s, 2H), 4.20–4.03 (m, 2H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.32 (br s, 2H, 2.74–2.62 (m, 2H), 1.70–1.61 (m, 3H), 1.44 (s, 9H), 1.25–1.10 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz, 298 K) δ 164.8, 157.5, 154.9, 146.8, 133.2, 112.5, 111.7, 97.9, 79.5, 56.3, 45.1, 44.1 (2C), 36.6, 30.0 (2C), 28.6 (3C);

(3-Formylphenyl) N-ethyl-N-methylcarbamate (17). K2CO3 (6.79 g, 49.13 mmol, 3.0 equiv) was added to a solution of 3-hydroxybenzaldehyde (16) (2.0 g, 16.38 mmol, 1.0 eq) in DMF (70 mL) with ice bath cooling. After 5 min, the ethylmethylcarbamic chloride (2.7 mL, 24.57 mmol, 1.5 equiv) was added to the mixture. The solution was then stirred for 2 h at 70 °C. After concentrating the mixture in vacuo, the crude product was dissolved with EtOAc, then the organic layer was washed with brine, dried
over MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by chromatography on silica gel column (cyclohexane/EtOAc, gradient 100:0 to 70:30) and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the compound 10 as a yellowish oil (2.96 g, 87% isolated yield). ¹H NMR (DMSO, 400 MHz, 363 K) δ 10.02 (s, 1H), 7.76 (td, 1H, J = 7.8, 1.2 Hz), 7.65 (ddd, 1H, J = 2.4, 1.2, 0.4 Hz), 7.61 (dd, 1H, J = 7.8, 0.4 Hz), 7.46 (ddd, 1H, J = 7.8, 2.4, 1.2 Hz), 3.41 (q, 2H, J = 7.1 Hz), 3.00 (s, 3H), 1.19 (t, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz); ¹³C NMR (DMSO, 100 MHz, 363 K) δ 191.6, 152.8, 151.6, 137.2, 129.6, 127.2, 125.6, 121.4, 43.2, 33.3, 12.0; MS (m/z) [M + H]* 208.40; HRMS (m/z) calcd. for C₁₁H₁₄NO₃ [M + H]* 208.0974, found 208.0976; IR (neat, cm⁻¹) νmax 2974, 1721, 1234.

[3-(Hydroxymethyl)phenyl] N-ethyl-N-methylcarbamate (18). To a solution of 17 (1.02 g, 4.94 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in MeOH (50 mL) was added reduced with NaBH₄ (561 mg, 14.82 mmol, 3.0 equiv) at 0 °C for 30 min. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the crude product was dissolved with EtOAc; then, the organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The compound 11 was obtained as a yellow oil (865 mg, 84% yield). ¹H NMR (DMSO, 400 MHz, 363 K) δ 7.30 (t, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.14 (d, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.07 (s, 1H), 6.9 (ddd, 1H, J = 7.8, 1.6, 0.6 Hz), 4.94 (t, 1H, J = 5.7 Hz), 4.52 (d, 2H, J = 5.7 Hz), 3.39 (q, 2H, J = 7.1 Hz), 2.97 (s, 3H), 1.17 (t, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz), ¹³C NMR (DMSO, 100 MHz, 363 K) δ 153.2, 151.1, 143.7, 128.2, 122.3, 119.2, 119.01, 62.2, 43.0, 33.2, 12.1; MS (m/z) [M + H]* 210.47; HRMS (m/z) calcd. for C₁₂H₁₅NNO₃ [M + Na]* 232.0950, found 232.0948; IR (neat, cm⁻¹) νmax 3419, 2975, 2937, 2877, 1704, 1436, 1402, 1238, 1170, 1088, 1030, 758, 691.

[3-(Bromomethyl)phenyl] N-ethyl-N-methylcarbamate (15). To a solution of 18 (1.25 g, 5.97 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in Et₂O (30 mL) was added phosphorus tribromide (0.57 mL, 5.97 mmol, 1 equiv) at 0 °C. After a stirring of 30 min, the supernatant ether solution was decanted, washed with brine and dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. After purification by chromatography on silica gel column (cyclohexane/EtOAc, gradient 100:0 to 70:30) and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the compound 14 as a colorless oil (243 mg, 33% isolated yield). ¹H NMR (MeOD, 400 MHz, 298 K) δ 7.64 (s, 1H), 7.12 (t, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.28 (dd, 1H, J = 7.8, 1.3 Hz), 7.21 (t, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.16 (ddd, 1H, J = 7.8, 2.3, 1.3 Hz), 4.67 (s, 2H), 3.39 (q, 2H, J = 7.1 Hz), 2.98 (s, 3H), 1.17 (t, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz), ¹³C NMR (MeOD, 100 MHz, 298 K) δ 153.0, 151.1, 138.8, 128.9, 125.2, 121.8, 121.1, 43.1, 33.3, 33.0, 12.1; MS (m/z) [M + H]* 274.44/274.47; HRMS (m/z) calcd. for C₁₁H₁₃BrNO₂ [M + H]* 272.0286, found 272.0287/274.0267; IR (neat, cm⁻¹) νmax 3438.5, 2972, 2931, 1719, 1395, 1248, 1161, 691.

4.1.3. General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds (4–6)

Procedure A. To a stirred solution of tert-butyl piperidine-1-carboxylate derivative (1.0 equiv.) in DCM (20 mL/mmol) was added TFA (2 mL/mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1h. Removal of the solvent under vacuum afforded the crude product, which was directly engaged in the next step. The residue obtained (1.0 equiv) was dissolved in DMF (10 mL/mmol) and 3-(iodomethyl)phenol (1.3 equiv) and K₂CO₃ (10.0 equiv) were added. The resulting mixture was stirred at 110 °C for 2 h 30 min, then concentrated in vacuo. Ethyl acetate was added, and the organic layer was washed several times with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel column (DCM/MeOH, gradient 100:0 to 90:10) and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford alkylated compound.

1-(4-Amino-5-chloro-2-methoxyphenyl)-3-[1-(3-hydroxymethyl)methyl]-4-piperidylprop-1-one (4). Following procedure A with compound 12 (300 mg, 0.76 mmol). We obtained compound 4, as a white powder (150 mg, 51% isolated yield). ¹H NMR (MeOD, 400 MHz, 298 K) δ 7.64 (s, 1H), 7.12 (t, 1H, J = 8.1 Hz), 6.79–6.75 (m, 1H), 6.76 (s, 1H), 6.69 (m, 1H), 6.44 (s, 1H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.42 (s, 2H), 2.93–2.86 (m, 4H), 2.15 (s, 2H), 2.03–1.94 (m, 2H), 1.73–1.67 (m, 2H), 1.58–1.51 (m, 2H), 1.32–1.22 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (MeOD, 100 MHz, 298 K) δ 201.1, 161.7, 158.5, 151.7, 139.7, 132.9, 130.2, 122.0, 117.9, 117.7, 115.3, 111.6, 98.1, 64.4, 56.1, 54.8 (2C), 41.7, 36.8, 32.8 (2C), 32.7; MS (m/z) [M + H]* 403.60/405.61; HRMS m/z calcd. for C₂₂H₂₈N₂O₃Cl [M + H]* 403.1788, found 403.1801; m.p. 162.0 °C; IR (neat, cm⁻¹) νmax 3469, 3231, 3204, 2920, 2853, 1624, 1580, 1450, 1216.
[1-[(3-Hydroxyphenyl)methyl]-4-piperidyl][methyl] 4-amino-5-chloro-2-methoxybenzoate (5). Following procedure A with compound 13 (95 mg, 0.25 mmol). We obtained compound 5, as a brown oil (65 mg, 67% isolated yield). 1H NMR (MeOD, 400 MHz, 298 K) δ 7.70 (s, 1H), 7.13 (m, 1H), 6.81–0.77 (m, 2H), 6.69 (m, 1H), 6.46 (s, 1H), 4.08 (d, 2H, J = 4.0 Hz), 3.08 (s, 3H), 3.47 (s, 3H), 3.00–2.93 (m, 2H), 2.11–2.02 (m, 2H), 1.82–1.72 (m, 3H), 1.50–1.37 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (MeOD, 100 MHz, 298 K) δ 166.7, 162.0, 158.5, 151.5, 139.7, 133.9, 130.2, 122.0, 117.7, 115.3, 110.3, 108.5, 98.7, 69.5, 64.3, 56.2, 54.3 (2C), 36.7, 29.5 (2C); MS (m/z) [M + H]+ 405.66/407.65; HRMS m/z calcd. for C21H26N2O4Cl [M + H]+ 405.1581, found 405.1582; m.p. 140 °C; IR (neat, cm⁻¹) νmax 3439, 3302, 3213, 2921, 2852, 1695, 1599, 1449, 1240, 1229, 767, 697.

4-Amino-5-chloro-N-[1-[(3-hydroxyphenyl)methyl]-4-piperidyl][methyl]-2-methoxybenzamide (6). Following procedure A with compound 14 (187 mg, 0.47 mmol). We obtained compound 6, as a brown powder (97 mg, 51% isolated yield). 1H NMR (MeOD, 400 MHz) δ 7.79 (s, 1H, Hα), 7.14 (td, 1H, J = 7.5, 1.2 Hz), 6.79 (m, 1H), 6.78 (m, 1H), 6.71 (m, 1H), 6.50 (s, 1H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.53 (s, 2H), 3.28 (d, 2H, J = 6.7 Hz), 3.02–2.96 (m, 2H), 2.16–2.08 (m, 2H), 1.78–1.71 (m, 2H), 1.65 (m, 1H), 1.42–1.28 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (MeOD, 100 MHz) δ 167.3, 159.4, 158.6, 150.2, 138.9, 133.1, 130.3, 122.1, 117.8, 115.6, 111.6, 115.1, 98.6, 64.0, 56.6, 54.3 (2C), 45.9, 37.1, 30.3 (2C); MS (m/z) [M + H]+ 404.64/406.64; HRMS (m/z) calcd. for C21H27N3NaO3 [M + H]+ 404.1741, found 404.1736; m.p. 92–105 °C; IR (neat, cm⁻¹) νmax 3391, 3204, 2928, 1627, 1592, 1544, 1462, 1253, 1211, 1148, 770.

4.1.4. General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds (7–9)

Procedure B. To a stirred solution of tert-butyl piperidine-1-carboxylate derivative (1.0 equiv.) in DCM (20 mL/mmol) was added TFA (2 mL/mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1h. Removal of the solvent under vacuum afforded the crude product, which was directly engaged in the next step. The residue obtained (1.0 equiv.) was dissolved in DMF (10 mL/mmol) and 12 (1.3 equiv) and K2CO3 (10.0 equiv) were added. The resulting mixture was stirred at 110 °C for 1 h, then concentrated in vacuo. Ethyl acetate was added, the organic layer was washed several times with brine, dried over MgSO4 and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel column (DCM/MeOH, gradient 100:0 to 90:10) and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford alkylated compound.
[3-[(4-Amino-5-chloro-2-methoxybenzoyl)amino][methyl]-1-piperidyl][methyl]phenyl] N-ethyl-N-methyl-carnabate (9). Following procedure B with compound 14 (148 mg, 0.37 mmol). We obtained compound 9, as a yellow oil (126 mg, 69% isolated yield). \(^1^H\) NMR (DMSO, 400 MHz, 363 K) \(\delta\) 7.72 (t, 1H, \(J = 6.1\) Hz), 7.68 (s, 1H), 7.29 (t, 1H, \(J = 7.9\) Hz), 7.12 (d, 1H, \(J = 7.9\) Hz), 7.04 (s, 1H), 6.98 (dd, 1H, \(J = 7.9, 2.2\) Hz), 6.53 5s, 1H), 5.61 (br s, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.48 (s, 2H), 3.38 (q, 2H, \(J = 6.1\) Hz), 3.20 (t, 2H, \(J = 6.1\) Hz), 2.97 (s, 3H, 2H), 2.04–1.95 (m, 2H), 1.67–1.60 (m, 2H), 1.50 (m, 1H), 1.31–1.19 (m, 2H), 1.16 (t, 3H, \(J = 7.0\) Hz); \(^1^3^C\) NMR (DMSO, 100 MHz, 363 K) \(\delta\) 163.4, 157.0, 153.2, 151.1, 147.7, 139.9, 131.0, 128.2, 124.7, 121.2, 119.5, 111.3, 109.0, 97.9, 61.4, 55.7, 52.4 (2C), 44.0, 43.1, 35.3, 33.3, 29.2 (2C), 12.1; MS (m/z) [M + H]^+ 489.61/491.70; HRMS (m/z) calcd. for C\(_{28}\)H\(_{31}\)ClN\(_4\)O\(_4\) [M + H]^+ 489.2269, found 489.2268; IR (neat, cm\(^{-1}\)) \(\nu_{\text{max}}\) 3400, 2921, 1709, 1631, 1592, 1243, 1164, 688.

4.1.5. General Procedure for the Preparation of Fumarate Salts (19–20)

Procedure C. To a stirred solution of basic compound (1.0 equiv) in iPrOH was added fumaric acid (0.95 equiv). The solution was refluxed for 1 h 15 min. The mixture was then concentrated in vacuo. The residue is triturated in Et\(_2\)O and then filtrated to yield the fumarate salt.

1-(4-Amino-5-chloro-2-methoxyphenyl)-3-[3-(3-hydroxyphenyl)methyl]-4-piperidylpropan-1-one fumaric acid salt (19). Following procedure C with compound 4 (135 mg, 0.34 mmol). We obtained compound 19, as a yellow powder (116 mg, 66% yield). \(^1^H\) NMR (MeOD, 400 MHz) \(\delta\) 7.65 (s, 1H), 7.26 (t, \(J = 8.1\) Hz), 6.94–6.89 (m, 2H), 6.89–6.83 (m, 1H), 6.69 (s, 1H), 6.64 (s, 1H), 4.10 (s, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.43–3.35 (m, 2H), 2.99–2.90 (m, 2H), 2.90–2.78 (m, 2H), 2.00–1.87 (m, 2H), 1.66–1.51 (m, 3H), 1.51–1.38 (m, 2H); \(^1^3^C\) NMR (MeOD, 100 MHz) \(\delta\) 200.3, 172.6 (2C), 161.7, 159.3, 151.8, 136.6 (2C), 132.9, 132.7, 131.2, 122.9, 118.8, 117.7, 111.7, 98.0, 61.7, 56.1, 53.5, 41.3, 34.7, 31.6 (3C), 30.5 (2C); MS (m/z) [M + H]^+ 403.60/405.61; HRMS m/z calcd. for C\(_{22}\)H\(_{26}\)N\(_2\)O\(_2\)Cl [M + H]^+ 403.1788, found 403.1790; m.p. > 250 °C; IR (neat, cm\(^{-1}\)) \(\nu_{\text{max}}\) 333.1, 3207, 1622.2, 1583.6, 1454.4, 1361.8, 1215.2.

4.2. In Silico Study

The initial model of compound 7 was built from donecopride X-ray structure [5], and its protonation state at pH 7.4 was predicted using standard tools of the ChemAxon Package (http://www.chemaxon.com). The majority microspecies protonated on piperidine nitrogen at this pH was used for docking studies.

The crystallographic coordinates of human acetylcholinesterase used in this study were obtained from X-ray structure of the donepezil/ACHe complex (PDB ID 4EY7, a structure refined to 2.35 Å with an R factor of 17.7%). Structures of human acetylcholinesterase in complex with pharmacologically important ligands [13]. The AChE amino acid protonation state was checked before the docking study using the ProPKA software and the proposed protonation for Glu202 was applied.

The docking of the compound into the AChE was carried out with the GOLD program (v5.7.2, Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center, CCDC) using the default parameters [23,24]. This program
applies a genetic algorithm to explore conformational spaces and ligand binding modes. To evaluate the proposed ligand positions, the ChemPLP fitness function was used. The binding site in the AChE model was defined as a 7 Å sphere from the co-crystallized donepezil ligand, and a water molecule interacting with a protonated piperidine ring of donepezil was conserved during the docking (residue number 931). The Ser203 side chain was kept flexible and a hydrogen bond constraint between Ser203 side chain and carbonyl oxygen was applied during the docking.

4.3. Biological Evaluation

4.3.1. In Vitro Tests of AChE Inhibitory Activity

The inhibitory capacity of compounds on AChE biological activity was evaluated through the use of the spectrometric method of Ellman [14]. Acetylthiocholine iodide and 5,5-dithiobis-(2-nitrobenzoic) acid (DTNB) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. AChE from human erythrocytes (buffered aqueous solution, ≥500 units/mg protein (BCA), Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was diluted in 20 mM HEPES buffer pH 8, 0.1% Triton X-100 such as to have enzyme solution with 0.25 unit/mL enzyme activity. In the procedure, 100 µL of 0.3 mM DTNB dissolved in a phosphate buffer with pH 7.4 were added into the 96-well plates followed by 50 µL of the test compound solution and 50 µL of enzyme (0.05 U final). After 5 min of preincubation at 25 °C, the reaction was then initiated by the injection of 50 µL of 10 mM acetylthiocholine iodide solution. The hydrolysis of acetylthiocholine was monitored by the formation of yellow 5-thio-2-nitrobenzoate anion as the result of the reaction of DTNB with thiocholine, released by the enzymatic hydrolysis of acetylthiocholine, at a wavelength of 412 nm using a 96-well microplate plate reader (BioTek, Synergy 2, Winooski, VT, USA). Test compounds were dissolved in analytical grade DMSO. Donepezil (DPZ) and Rivastigmine were used as a reference standard. The rate of absorbance increase at 412 nm was followed every minute for 10 min. Assays were performed with a blank containing all components except acetylthiocholine, in order to account for non-enzymatic reactions. The reaction slopes were compared and the percent inhibition due to the presence of test compounds was calculated by the following expression: \( 100 - \left( \frac{v_i}{v_0} \times 100 \right) \), where \( v_i \) is the rate calculated in the presence of inhibitor and \( v_0 \) is the enzyme activity. The first screening of AChE activity was carried out at a 10\(^{-6}\) concentration of compounds under study. For the compounds with significant inhibition (≥50%), IC\(_{50}\) values were determined graphically by plotting the % inhibition versus the logarithm of six inhibitor concentrations in the assay solution using the GraphPad Prism 6 software.

4.3.2. Kinetic Study for AChE Inhibition

To try to clarify the mechanism of action of 19 and 20, reciprocal plots of 1/velocity vs. 1/[substrate] were constructed at different concentrations of the substrate acetylthiocholine iodide (0.01–1 mM) by using the spectrometric method by Ellman et al. [14]. Four concentrations of 19 were selected for the studies: 10\(^{-6}\), 10\(^{-7}\), 10\(^{-8}\) and 10\(^{-9}\) M for the kinetic analysis of AChE inhibition. Four concentrations of 20 were selected for the studies: 10\(^{-5}\), 10\(^{-6}\), 10\(^{-7}\) and 10\(^{-8}\) M for the kinetic analysis of AChE inhibition. The plots were assessed by a weighted least squares analysis that assumed the variance of velocity (\( \nu \)) to be a constant percentage of \( \nu \) for the entire dataset. Slopes of these reciprocal plots were then plotted against the concentration of 19 and 20 in a weighted analysis.

4.3.3. Pharmacological Characterization of Drugs on Human 5-HT\(_4\)R

For competition studies, 2.5 µg of proteins (5-HT\(_{4b}\)) membrane preparations, HTS110M, Eurofins. Eurofins’ 5-HT\(_{4b}\) membrane preparations are crude membrane preparations made from their proprietary stable recombinant cell lines to ensure high-level of GPCR surface expression.) were incubated in duplicate at 25 °C for 60 min in the absence or the presence of 10\(^{-6}\) or 10\(^{-8}\)M of each drug (9 was used as a reference standard) and 0.2 nM [\(^{3}\)H]-GR113808 (NET 1152, Perkin Elmer) in 25 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.4, 25 °C). At the end of the incubation, homogenates were filtered through Whatman
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GF/C filters (Alpha Biotech) pre-soaked with 0.5% polyethylenimine using a Brandel cell harvester. Filters were subsequently washed three times with 1 mL of ice-cold 25 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.4, 4 °C). Non-specific binding was evaluated in parallel in the presence of 30 µM serotonin. For some of these compounds, affinity constants were calculated from five-point inhibition curves using the GraphPad Prism 6 software and expressed as Ki ± SD.

4.3.4. Determination of cAMP Production

COS-7 cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% dialyzed fetal calf serum (dFCS) and antibiotics. Cells were transiently transfected by electroporation with plasmids encoding HA-tagged 5-HT_4R (100 ng/10^6 cells), then seeded in 96-well plates (16,000 cells/well). Twenty-four hr after transfection, cells were exposed to the indicated concentrations of 5-HT_4R ligands in the presence of 0.1 mM of the phosphodiesterase inhibitor RO-20-1724, at 37 °C in 100 µL of HB5 (20 mM HEPES; 150 mM NaCl; 4.2 mM KCl; 0.9 mM CaCl_2; 0.5 mM MgCl_2; 0.1% glucose; 0.1% BSA). After 10 min, cells were then lysed by the addition of the same volume of Triton-X100 (0.1%). Quantification of cAMP production was performed by HTRF® using the cAMP Dynamic kit (Cisbio Bioassays, Codolet, France) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

4.3.5. Parallel Artificial Membrane Permeability Assay

The parallel artificial membrane permeability assay (PAMPA) blood–brain barrier (BBB) experiments were conducted using the Pampa Explorer Kit (Pion Inc., Billerica, MA, USA) according to the protocol provided by the manufacturer. Briefly, the donecopride fumarate solution (20 mM in DMSO) was diluted in Prisma HT buffer (pH 7.4; pION) to 100 µM; 200 µL this solution (n = 6) was added to the donor plate (P/N 110,243). Five microliters BBB-1 Lipid (P/N 110,672) was used to coat the membrane filter of the acceptor plate (P/N 110,243). Two hundred microliters Brain Sink Buffer (P/N 110,674) was added to each well of the acceptor plate. The PAMPA sandwich was assembled and allowed to incubate at room temperature for 4 h without stirring. The sandwich was then separated, and the UV-visible spectra were measured for both the donor and receiver wells with the microplate reader (Tecan Infinit M200). The −logPe and Pe (PAMPA effective permeability coefficient) were calculated by the PAMPA Explorer software version 3.7 (pION) for studied compounds. Corticosterone (−logPe = 4.76, Pe = 17.2 × 10^{-6} cm/s) and theophylline (−log Pe = 6.44, Pe = 0.40 × 10^{-6} cm/s) were used as positive and negative references, respectively.

4.3.6. AChE-Dependent Decarbamoylation

The HPLC analyses were performed using an Agilent pump 1290, an autosampler 1290 and a diode array UV detector 1260 (Agilent technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). A reversed phase column C18 (Zorbax1 Eclipse Plus RRHD, 1.8 µm, 2.1 × 50 mm, Agilent) has been used for all components. A binary pump was used and the mobile phase was always composed of a mixture of (A) water (0.1% formic acid) and (B) acetonitrile (0.1% formic acid). Solutions of compound 19 and 20 were prepared in a PBS buffer (pH 7.4). AChE from Electrophorus electricus (buffered aqueous solution, ≥ 500units/mg protein (BCA), Sigma Aldrich) was diluted in PBS buffer pH 7.4, such as to have enzyme solution with 250 unit/mL enzyme activity. Solution was prepared by addition of 250 µL of the abovementioned enzyme stock solution to 5 µL of solution of compounds 19 or 20 at 255 µM. The mixture was stirred during 24 h at rt. The mixture was extracted by 300 µL of EtOAc, and 250 µL of the organic layer was reduced by nitrogen flow. The crude product was submerged in 50 µL of ACN, then analyzed by UPLC and LC-MS.

4.3.7. In Vivo Biological Studies

Animals. Adult male NMRI mice (3 months old, weighing 35–40 g) from Janvier labs (Le Genest-Saint-Isle, France) were used to perform experiments. Mice were housed by ten in standard polycarbonate cages in standard controlled conditions (22 ± 2 °C, 55 ± 10% humidity) with a reversed
12 h light/dark cycle (light on at 7 pm). Food and water were available ad libitum in the home cage. All experiments were conducted (between 9 am and 3 pm) during the active–dark phase of the cycle and were in agreement with the European Directives and French law on animal experimentation (personal authorization n° 14–17 for MB and 14–60 for TF).

**CNS-activity and acute toxicity test.** Behavioral and neurological changes induced by graded doses (1, 10, 100 mg/kg) of the tested derivatives were evaluated in mice, in groups of four, by a standardized observation technique at different times (30 min, 3 and 24 h) after intraperitoneal administration [25]. Major changes of behavioral data (for example, hypo- or hyperactivity, ataxia, tremors, convulsion, etc.) were noted in comparison to the control group. The approximate DL$_{50}$ of the compounds were also calculated through the quantification of mortality after 24 h. Amphetamine (2 mg/kg) and chlorpromazine (10 mg/kg) were used as the stimulant and depressive references, respectively.

**Locomotor activity.** The locomotion of mice was measured using an actimeter (Imetronic®, Pessac, France) through infrared detection. Eight individual removable polycarbonate cages (21 cm length, 7 cm wide and 12 cm high), in which each mouse was placed, were used in the actimeter. Locomotor activity was measured by recording the number of interruptions of beams of the red light over a period of 30 min through a recording system attached to the actimeter. Compound 20 was tested at 1, 3 and 10 mg/kg. Chlorpromazine (3 mg/kg) were used as depressive reference [26].

**Spatial working memory.** The antiamnesic activity of the tested compounds was evaluated by a reversal of deficit on spontaneous alternation behavior in the Y maze test [27]. Deficits were pharmacologically induced either by scopolamine (SCOP, 0.5 mg/kg) or dizocilpine (MK801, 0.1 mg/kg). The Y maze made of grey plastic consisted of three equally-spaced arms (21-cm long, 7-cm wide with walls 15-cm high). The mouse was placed at the end of one of the arms and allowed to move freely through the maze during a 5 min session, while the sequence of arm entries was recorded by an observer. An arm entry was scored when all four feet crossed into the arm. An alternation was defined as entries into all three arms on a consecutive occasion. The number of possible alternations is thus the total number of arm entries minus two; the percentage of alternation was calculated as (actual alternation/possible alternation) 100. Compound 20 was tested at 1, 3 and 10 mg/kg.

**Pharmacological treatments.** Amphetamine (+)-α-Methylphenethylamine hemisulfate, chlorpromazine hydrochloride, MK801 hydrogen maleate and scopolamine hydrobromide were purchased from Sigma (France). All pharmacological compounds were dissolved in NaCl 0.9%, used as vehicle. Besides, all were administered IP 30 min before tests, except for scopolamine and MK801, which were subcutaneously administered 20 min before spontaneous alternation test.

**Statistical analysis.** Results were expressed as mean ± SD and were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), with Statview® software (Abacus Concepts, Berkeley, CA, USA). In case of significance, a SNK (Student-Newman-Keuls) post hoc test was realized. Additionally, for the spontaneous alternation test, the percentage of alternation was compared to a theoretical 50% value (random alternation) by a univariate t-test. Differences were considered as statistically significant if the p value was strictly under 0.05.

5. Conclusions

We succeeded in designing and synthesizing a pleiotropic prodrug which benefits from a carbamate structure 20 to easily cross the BBB and covalently bind to central AChE. Upon hydrolysis by the enzyme, 20 releases a phenol compound 4 which, contrarily to 20, acts both as a DBS AChEI and as a 5-HT$_4$R partial agonist. With this unique in vitro profile, 20 displayed, in vivo at a low dose of 1 mg/kg, antiamnesic effects towards cognitive deficits, resulting from either cholinergic or glutamatergic neurotransmission impairments in mice. These results seem to support conjecture that this pleiotropic prodrug is both peripherally safe and shows great efficiency in AD pathogenesis and symptoms.
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