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1. Introduction

In this paper, our aim is to use the probabilistic method to solve the Neumann boundary value problem for semilinear second order elliptic PDE of the following form:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\Delta u + \langle b, \nabla u \rangle + qu - \text{div}(g(\cdot, u, \nabla u)) + f(\cdot, u, \nabla u) &= 0, & \text{on } D, \\
\langle \nabla u - 2g(\cdot, u, \nabla u), \vec{n} \rangle + h(\cdot, u) &= 0, & \text{on } \partial D,
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( D \) is a bounded domain in \( \mathbb{R}^N \) with smooth boundary. \( \vec{n} \) is the unit inward normal vector field of \( D \) on the boundary \( \partial D \). \( f, g \) and \( h \) are nonlinear measurable functions. \( b = (b_1, \cdots, b_N) \) is a measurable \( \mathbb{R}^N \)-valued function on \( D \) and \( q \) is a measurable \( \mathbb{R} \)-valued function on \( D \). Since \( g \) is not differentiable, the singular divergence term "divg" involved in the equation will be understood as a distribution, and a classical Sobolev weak solution will be considered.

The probabilistic approaches to the boundary value problems for second order differential operators have been adopted by many authors and the earliest work went back to 1944 (see [9]). There have been extensive studies on the Dirichlet boundary problems (see [1], [5], [2], [4], [8] and [15]). However, to our knowledge, there are few articles on the Neumann boundary problems.
When $b = 0$, $g = 0$ and $f = 0$, the following linear Neumann boundary problem

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta u(x) + qu(x) = 0 & \text{on } D \\
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}}(x) = \phi(x) & \text{on } \partial D
\end{cases}$$

was solved both in [1] and [8]. The solution was given in the following representation:

$$u(x) = E^x [ \int_0^\infty e^{I_0 t} q(B_t) dL_0 t ],$$

where $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the reflecting Brownian motion in the domain $D$ associated with the infinitesimal generator $\frac{1}{2} \Delta$, the process $\{L_t^0\}_{t \geq 0}$ is the boundary local time expressed as $L_t^0_t = \int_0^t I_{BD}(B_s) dL_s^0$.

In the case that a divergence term $\text{div}(g)$ is involved, even if $g$ is simply independent of $\nabla u$ and in a linear form, i.e. $g(x, u, \nabla u) = \hat{B}(x) u(x)$, where $\hat{B}$ is an integrable vector value function, it was found that the generator $L = \frac{1}{2} \Delta + \hat{B} \cdot \nabla + \text{div}(\hat{B}) + q$ would not associate with any Markov processes in general. And the term $\text{div}(\hat{B})$ can not be handled by Girsanov or Feynman-Kac transformation directly either. The analysis of the boundary value problems for this general operator $L$ in the PDEs’ literature (see e.g. [6], [13]) was always established under an extra condition:

$$-\text{div}(\hat{B}) + q \leq 0$$

in the sense of distribution. So that the maximum principle could be used.

The probabilistic approach for studying problem (1) in the case of $f = 0$ and the linear divergence term $\text{div}(\hat{B})$ was applied in [3] (see also [2] for the corresponding Dirichlet boundary problem). The term $\text{div}(\hat{B})$ was tackled by using the time-reversal Girsanov transform of the symmetric reflecting diffusion associated with the operator $\frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot (A \nabla)$.

In [14], problem (1) with nonlinear $f(x, u, \nabla u)$ was studied, which generalized the result in [3]. But the divergence term still had to be linear, since the strategy of time-reversal and h-transform was used to transfer the operator $L$ with divergence term to $L_2 = \frac{1}{2} \Delta + B \cdot \nabla + Q$, so that the Girsanov and Feyman-Kac transform can be applied in the further calculations.

The direct motivation of this article is to generalize the result in [14]. When nonlinear divergence term $\text{div}(g(\cdot, u, \nabla u))$ is considered , it can not be treated as a part of the generator operator because of the nonlinearity. In order to deal with this singular term, inspired by the method introduced in [12], we consider firstly the case of $g$ independent of $u$ and $\nabla u$, then substitute the divergence term by $\text{div}(\nabla G)$ in the weak sense, where $G$ is a function in Dirichlet space so that the decomposition and calculus can be carried out in the framework of Dirichlet forms. This method also allows us to give the solution a probabilistic interpretation in the form of a BSDE with forward-backward stochastic integration, which produces a candidate for the solution. The PDE with nonlinear divergence can be solved by Picard iteration with both analytic and probabilistic methods independently.

Due to the probabilistic method that is applied in this paper to solve problem (1), it turns out that we need to prove the existence and uniqueness of solution for the BSDE
which connect to the PDE. The study on this kind of BSDEs, with infinite time horizon, forward-backward stochastic integration and local time integration, is actually of independent interest.

The article is organized as follows. In the second section we set notations and recall the decomposition of reflecting diffusions. Then the probabilistic interpretation of the divergence term is given in Section 3. In Section 4 we prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution for the PDEs with linear divergence terms. The last section is devoted to using both analytic and probabilistic methods to solve the PDEs with nonlinear divergence terms by Picard iteration.

2. Preliminaries

The domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is bounded with smooth boundary and we assume there is a smooth function $\psi$ such that

$$D = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N | \psi(x) > 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial D = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N | \psi(x) = 0\}.$$ 

On $\partial D$, $\vec{n} := \nabla \psi$ coincides with the unit vector pointing inward the interior of $D$. Set function $d(x) := d(x, D)^2$ in a neighborhood of $D$, then $d(x) = 0$ in $\bar{D}$ and $d(x) > 0$ otherwise. The penalization term $\bar{d}(x) := \nabla d(x)$ satisfies $\langle \nabla \psi(x), \bar{d}(x) \rangle \leq 0$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Let $dx$ denote the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^N$ and $d\bar{\sigma}$ the $(N-1)$-dimensional Lebesgue measure on $\partial D$.

Let $L^2(D)$ be the space of square integrable functions on $D$ with the inner product and norm as follows

$$(f, g) := \int_D f(x)g(x)dx \quad \text{and} \quad ||f||^2 := (f, f).$$

The first order Sobolev space on $D$ is denoted by $H^1(D)$:

$$H^1(D) = \{f \in L^2(D) | \nabla f \in L^2(D)\}.$$

Suppose the measurable functions

$$f : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; \quad h : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; \quad g = (g_1, \cdots, g_N) : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$$

satisfy the following conditions: there are positive constants $\alpha, \beta, K, M, k, \beta'$ satisfying $K^2 < 2\alpha$, such that, for any $y, y' \in \mathbb{R}, z, z' \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

(i) $(y - y')(f(x, y, z) - f(x, y', z)) \leq -\alpha|y - y'|^2$;
(ii) $(y - y')(h(x, y) - h(x, y')) \leq -\beta|y - y'|^2$;
(iii) $|f(x, y, z) - f(x, y, z')| \leq K|z - z'|$;
(iv) $f(x, y, z)$ and $h(x, y)$ is continuous with respect to $y$ for all $x \in D, z \in \mathbb{R}^N$, a.e.;
(v) $|f(x, y, z)| \leq M(1 + |y| + |z|), |h(x, y)| \leq M(1 + |y|)$ and $|g(x, y, z)| \leq M$;
(vi) $|g(x, y, z) - g(x, y', z')| \leq k(|y - y'| + |z - z'|)$;
(vii) $|h(x, y) - h(x, y')| \leq \beta'|y - y'|$. 

Consider the operator

$$
\mathcal{L}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}
$$

on the domain \( D \) equipped with the Neumann boundary condition:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{n}} := \langle \vec{n}, \nabla \cdot \rangle = 0, \quad \text{on} \ \partial D.
$$

Let \( b : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N \) be uniformly bounded and satisfy Lipschitz condition, i.e. there exists a constant \( C_0 > 0 \), such that for any \( x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^N \),

$$
|b(x) - b(x')| \leq C_0 |x - x'|.
$$

It is well known that there is a unique reflecting diffusion process \( (\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t, X^x(t), P^x, x \in D) \) associated with the generator \( \mathcal{L}_1 \) (see [10]).

Let \( E^x \) denote the expectation under the probability measure \( P^x \).

Then the process \( X_t^x \) has the following decomposition:

$$
X^x(t) = X^x(0) + M^x(t) + \int_0^t b(X^x(s))ds + \int_0^t \vec{n}(X^x(s))dL_s, \quad P^x - a.s.. \tag{2}
$$

Here, \( M^x(t) \) is a \( \mathcal{F}_t \)-measurable square integrable continuous martingale additive functional. \( L_t \) is a positive increasing continuous additive functional which is expressed as \( L_t = \int_0^t I\{X^x(s) \in \partial D\}dL_s \). \( \{L_t, t \geq 0\} \) is called the boundary local time of \( X^x \).

In the following discussion, we write \( X^x_t \) as \( X_t \) or \( X(t) \) for simplicity.

We assume the measurable function \( q : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R} \), \( q \in L^p(D), \) for \( p > \frac{N}{2} \), satisfying the following conditions:

**C.1** there exists \( x_0 \in D \) such that

$$
E^{x_0}[\int_0^\infty e^{\int_0^t q(X_s)ds}dL_t] < +\infty;
$$

**C.2** there exists \( x_1 \in D \) such that

$$
E^{x_1}[\int_0^\infty e^{2\int_0^t q(X_s)ds}dL_t] < +\infty;
$$

**C.3**

$$
\sup_{x \in D} E^x[\int_0^\infty e^{2\int_0^t q(X_s)ds}|q(X_t)|^2dt] < \infty.
$$

Finally, we give the definition of the solution in which we are interested in this article.

**Definition 1.** A function \( u \in H^1(D) \) is said to be a weak solution of PDE (1) if for any test function \( \phi \in C^\infty(D) \),

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_D \langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle (x) dx - \int_D \langle b, \nabla u \rangle (x) \phi (x) dx + \int_D q(x) u(x) \phi (x) dx \\
= \int_D f(x, u, \nabla u) \phi (x) dx + \int_D \langle g(\cdot, u, \nabla u), \nabla \phi \rangle (x) dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial D} h(x) \phi (x) d\sigma(x).
\end{align*}
$$

\tag{3}
3. Interpretation of the Divergence Term

In this section, we will give a stochastic representation for the divergence term in (1) which can be expressed as a measurable field. The second order operator in (1) is nonsymmetric and associated with a reflecting diffusion.

The bilinear form

\[ \mathcal{E}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_D \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \, dx, \quad \forall u, v \in H^1(D) \]

is associated with the generator \( L_0 = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \) satisfying the Neumann boundary condition \( \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}} = 0 \) on \( \partial D \). Set the operator \( Lu := L_0 u + \langle b, \nabla u \rangle \). Then \( L \) generates a semigroup \( (P_t)_{t \geq 0} \) which possesses continuous densities \( \{p(t,x,y), t \geq 0, x,y \in \bar{D}\} \). It is well known that the reflecting diffusion (2) is associated with operator \( L \), and for any \( u \in H^1(D) \), the Fukushima decomposition is as follows

\[ u(X_t) - u(X_s) = M u|_s^t + N u|_s^t, \]

where \( M u|_s^t := \int_s^t \langle \nabla u(X_r), dB_r \rangle \) is the martingale additive functional and \( N u|_s^t \) is the zero-energy additive functional. For \( u \in C(\bar{D}) \),

\[ N u|_s^t := \int_s^t Lu(X_r) \, dr + \int_s^t \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}}(X_r) \, dL_r, \]

where \( L_t \) is the additive functional corresponding to the Lebesgue measure \( \sigma(x) \) on \( \partial D \). It follows that

\[ E^x \left[ \int_0^t f(X_r) \, dL_r \right] = \int_0^t \int_{\partial D} p(r,x,y) f(y) \sigma(dy) \, dr. \]

Consider the reverse process \( (X_{T-t})_{t \in [0,T]} \) under the probability \( P^o \), for \( o \in \bar{D} \), with the non-homogenous transition function

\[ Q_{0,t} u(x) = \int_D p(T-t, o, y) u(y) p(t, y, x) \, dy \frac{p(T, o, x)}{p(T, o, x)}. \]

We denote the density of \( Q_{0,t} \) by \( p_Q(t, x, y) = \frac{p(T-t, o, y)p(t, y, x)}{p(T, o, x)} \).

**Lemma 1.** Fix \( o \in \bar{D} \) and set \( p_r(x) = p(t, o, x) \),

\[ Q_{0,t} u - u = \int_0^t Q_{0,r} \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta u - \langle b, \nabla u \rangle \right) + \langle \nabla p_{T-r}, \nabla u \rangle_{p_{T-r}} \, dr \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\partial D} p_Q(r, x, y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}}(y) \sigma(dy) \, dr. \]
Proof.

\[
p_T(x) \int_0^t Q_{0,r}(\frac{1}{2} \Delta u - \langle b, \nabla u \rangle) \, dr
\]
\[
= \int_0^t \int_D p(T - r, o, y)(\frac{1}{2} \Delta u - \langle b, \nabla u \rangle)(y) \, p(r, y, x) \, dy
\]
\[
= - \int_0^t \int_D L^* p_{T-r}(y) u(y) p(r, y, x) \, dy \, dr + \int_0^t \int_D L^* p(r, y, x) p(T - r, o, y) u(y) \, dy \, dr
\]
\[
- \int_0^t \int_D \langle \nabla p_{T-r}, \nabla u \rangle p(r, y, x) \, dy \, dr - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\partial D} p(T, o, y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}}(y) p(r, y, x) \sigma(dy) \, dr
\]
\[
= \int_D p(T - t, o, y) u(y) p(t, y, x) \, dy - p_T(x) u(x) - \int_0^t \int_D \langle \nabla p_{T-r}, \nabla u \rangle p(r, y, x) \, dy \, dr
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\partial D} p(T, o, y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}}(y) p(r, y, x) \sigma(dy) \, dr,
\]
where the last equality is obtained by \( L^* p(t, o, y) = \partial_t p(t, o, y). \)

\[\square\]

**Proposition 1.** Fix \( o \in \bar{D} \) and set the following process

\[
\bar{M} u_t^{T-t} := u(X_{T-t}) - u(X_T) - \int_0^t \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta u - \langle b, \nabla u \rangle \right)(X_{T-r}) \, dr
\]
\[
- \int_0^t \frac{\langle \nabla p_{T-r}, \nabla u \rangle(X_{T-r})}{p_{T-r}(X_{T-r})} \, dr - \int_{T-t}^T \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}}(X_r) \, dL_r.
\]

(1). \( \{ \bar{M} u_t^{T-t} \}_{t \in [0,T]} \) is a martingale with respect of the filtration \( \mathcal{F}_t = \sigma \{ X_{T-s}, s \in [0,t] \} \) and

\[
\bar{M} u_t^{T-t} - \bar{M} u_s^{T-t} = \bar{M} u_t^{s-t}.
\]

(2). The following relation holds:

\[
u(X_t) - u(X_0) = \frac{1}{2} M u_0^t - \frac{1}{2} (\bar{M} u_0^{T-t} - \bar{M} u_t^T) + \int_0^t \langle b, \nabla u \rangle(X_r) \, dr - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{\langle \nabla p_{T-r}, \nabla u \rangle(X_r)}{p_{T-r}(X_r)} \, dr.
\]

**Proof.** Since

\[
\bar{M} u_t^T := u(X_t) - u(X_T) - \int_0^{T-t} \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta u - \langle b, \nabla u \rangle \right)(X_{T-r}) \, dr
\]
\[
- \int_0^{T-t} \frac{\langle \nabla p_{T-r}, \nabla u \rangle(X_{T-r})}{p_{T-r}(X_{T-r})} \, dr - \int_t^{T} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}}(X_r) \, dL_r,
\]

it follows that

\[
\bar{M} u_t^T - \bar{M} u_s^T = u(X_t) - u(X_s) - \int_0^{s-t} \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta u - \langle b, \nabla u \rangle \right)(X_{s-r}) \, dr
\]
\[
- \int_0^{s-t} \frac{\langle \nabla p_{s-r}, \nabla u \rangle(X_{s-r})}{p_{s-r}(X_{s-r})} \, dr - \int_t^{s} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}}(X_r) \, dL_r = \bar{M} u_t^{s-t}.
\]
and
\[ u(X_t) - u(X_0) = \overline{M}u_t^T - \overline{M}u_0^T - \int_t^T \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta u - \langle b, \nabla u \rangle \right)(X_{T-r}) dr \\
- \int_0^t \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}(X_r) dL_r - \int_t^T \frac{\langle \nabla p_{T-r}, \nabla u \rangle(X_{T-r})}{p_{T-r}(X_{T-r})} dr \\
= - \overline{M}u_0^T - \int_0^t \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta u - \langle b, \nabla u \rangle \right)(X_r) dr \\
- \int_0^t \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}(X_r) dL_r - \int_0^t \frac{\langle \nabla p_r, \nabla u \rangle(X_r)}{p_r(X_r)} dr. \]

Then
\[ 2(u(X_t) - u(X_0)) = M u_0^T - \overline{M}u_0^T + 2 \int_0^t \langle b, \nabla u \rangle(X_r) dr - \int_0^t \frac{\langle \nabla p_r, \nabla u \rangle(X_r)}{p_r(X_r)} dr. \]

Therefore, we get the forward-backward martingale decomposition
\[ u(X_t) - u(X_0) = \frac{1}{2} M u_0^T - \frac{1}{2} \overline{M}u_0^T + \int_0^t \langle b, \nabla u \rangle(X_r) dr - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{\langle \nabla p_r, \nabla u \rangle(X_r)}{p_r(X_r)} dr. \]

\[ \square \]

**Corollary 1.** (1) For \( u, v \in H^1(D) \),
\[ \langle Mu, Mv \rangle_t = \int_0^t \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle(X_r) dr \]

and
\[ \langle M u |^T, M v |^T \rangle_t = \int_t^T \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle(X_r) dr. \]

(2) For \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N) \in D \), set \( u_i(x) = x_i \), \( M^i(t) = M u_i |_0^T \), and \( \bar{M}^i(t, T) = \bar{M} u_i |_0^T \), then
\[ X^i_t - X^i_0 = \frac{1}{2} M^i(t) - \frac{1}{2} \bar{M}^i(0, t) + \int_0^t b_i(X_r) dr - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{\partial p_i(X_r)}{p_i(X_r)} dr. \]

For \( g = (g_1, \cdots, g_N) : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N \), we define the backward stochastic integral
\[ \int_s^t g_i(X_r) d\bar{M}^i_t := (L^2^-) \lim_{\delta \to 0} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g(X_{t_{j+1}}) \bar{M}^i(t_j, t_{j+1}), \]

where the limit is over the partition \( s = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = t \) and \( \delta = \max_j (t_{j+1} - t_j) \). Define
\[ \int_s^t g dX_r = \int_s^t g(X_r) dM_r + \int_s^t g(X_r) d\bar{M}_r + \int_s^t \frac{\langle g, \nabla p_r \rangle}{p_r}(X_r) dr + 2 \int_s^t \langle g, \bar{n} \rangle(X_r) dL_r. \]
Proposition 2. For $G \in H^1(D)$, then we have the decomposition
\[
G(X_t) - G(X_s) = \int_s^t \langle \nabla G(X_r), dM_r \rangle + \int_s^t \langle b, \nabla G \rangle(X_r)dr + \int_s^t \frac{\partial G}{\partial n}(X_r)dL_r - \frac{1}{2} \int_s^t \nabla G \ast dX_r.
\] (5)

The following lemma is very important in the interpretation of the divergence term $\text{div} g$ in PDE (1).

Lemma 2. For $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{R}^N)$, if there is a function $G \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, such that $\text{div} g = G$ in weak sense, then
\[
\int_s^t G(X_r)dr = -\int_s^t g \ast dX_r.
\]

4. PDEs with Linear Divergence Terms

In this section, we will prove the existence and uniqueness of solution for the following Neumann boundary problem with linear divergence term, i.e. $g(x, u, \nabla u) = g(x)$,
\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta u + \langle b, \nabla u \rangle + qu - \text{div}(g) + f(\cdot, u, \nabla u) = 0, & \text{on } D \\
\langle \nabla u - 2g, \bar{n} \rangle + h(\cdot, u) = 0, & \text{on } \partial D.
\end{cases}
\] (6)

Furthermore, the probability interpretation of the solution will also be established.

The following analytic result will be used in the later discussion (see Chapter 8 in [6]).

Proposition 3. For $g \in L^q(\mathcal{O})$, where $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is bounded and $q > N$, there exists a unique weak solution $G \in H^1_0(\mathcal{O})$ for the following equation
\[
\Delta G - G = \text{div}(g).
\]

Furthermore, $G$ is uniformly bounded, i.e. $\sup_{\mathcal{O}} |G| \leq C\|g\|_{L^q}$, where $C = C(N, q, |\mathcal{O}|)$.

If we suppose $g \in L^\infty(\mathcal{O})$ and $\mathcal{O}$ is a $C^{1,1}$ domain, then $G \in C^{1,1}(\bar{\mathcal{O}})$, i.e. there exists a constant $C > 0$, for any $x, x' \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}, |G(x) - G(x')| \leq C|x - x'|$.

Remark 1. Since $g \in L^2(D)$, for any $\psi \in C^\infty(D)$,
\[
|\mathcal{S}(\psi)| := \left| \int_D \langle g, \nabla \psi \rangle dx \right| \leq \|g\| \cdot \|\psi\|_{H^1}
\]

implies that $\mathcal{S}$ is a bounded linear operator on $H^1(D)$. By Riesz representation theorem, there is function $G \in H^1(D)$ such that
\[
\int_D \langle g, \nabla \psi \rangle dx = \int_D \langle \nabla G, \nabla \psi \rangle(x) + G(x)\psi(x)ds.
\]

Suppose $g \in L^\infty(D)$, we can find a bounded domain $\mathcal{O}$ with smooth boundary, such that $D \subset \subset \mathcal{O}$ and extend $g$ on $\mathcal{O}$ such that $g \in L^\infty(\mathcal{O})$. Therefore, by Proposition 3, there exists a H"older continuous function $\bar{G} \in H^1_0(\mathcal{O})$, for any test function $\phi \in C^\infty_0(\mathcal{O})$,
\[
\int_D \langle g, \nabla \phi \rangle dx = \int_D \langle \nabla \bar{G}, \nabla \phi \rangle(x) + \bar{G}(x)\phi(x)dx.
\]
By the uniqueness of Reisz representation theorem, we find $\bar{G}$ restricted on $D$ denoted by $\bar{G}|_D$ in $H^1(D)$, therefore $\bar{G}|_D = G$ implies that $G \in C^{1,1}(D)$.

**Remark 2.** By Remark 1, PDE (6) is equivalent to the following equation

\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta (u - 2G) + \langle b, \nabla u \rangle + qu + G + f(\cdot, u, \nabla u) = 0, & \text{on } D, \\
\langle \nabla (u - 2G), \bar{n} \rangle + h(\cdot, u) = 0, & \text{on } \partial D.
\end{cases}
\tag{7}
\]

If we set $\tilde{u}(x) = u(x) - 2G(x)$, then equation (7) can be rewritten as

\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta \tilde{u} + \langle b, \nabla \tilde{u} \rangle + q \tilde{u} + \tilde{f}(\cdot, \tilde{u}, \nabla \tilde{u}) = 0, & \text{on } D, \\
\langle \nabla \tilde{u}, \bar{n} \rangle + \tilde{h}(\cdot, \tilde{u}) = 0, & \text{on } \partial D,
\end{cases}
\tag{8}
\]

with

\[
\tilde{f}(x, y, z) = 2\langle b(x), \nabla G(x) \rangle + 2q(x)G(x) + G(x) + f(x, y + 2G(x), z + 2\nabla G(x))
\]

and

\[
\tilde{h}(x, y) = h(x, y + 2G(x)).
\]

**Proposition 4.** Under conditions (i)-(v) and (C.2), (C.3), assume there exist two negative constants $\lambda$ and $\mu$ such that $-2\alpha + K^2 < \lambda < 0$ and $-2\beta < \mu < 0$, then the following BSDE admits a unique solution $(Y^x, Z^x)$,

\[
\begin{cases}
Y_t^x = Y_T^x + \int_t^T q(X_s)Y_s^x ds + \int_t^T F(s, Y_s^x, Z_s^x) ds + \int_t^T H(s, Y_s^x) dL_s - \int_t^T \langle Z_s^x, dM_s \rangle, \\
\lim_{t \to \infty} e^{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda t + \mu L_s)} + \int_0^t q(X_s) ds Y_t^x = 0, & \text{in } L^2(P^x),
\end{cases}
\tag{9}
\]

where $F(t, y, z) = \tilde{f}(X_t, y, z)$ and $H(t, y) = \tilde{h}(X_t, y)$.

**Proof.** Firstly, we prove the existence of solution. Set

\[
\tilde{F}(t, y, z) = e^{\int_0^t q(X_s) ds} F(t, e^{-\int_0^t q(X_s) ds} y, e^{-\int_0^t q(X_s) ds} z)
\]

and

\[
\tilde{H}(t, y) = e^{\int_0^t q(X_s) ds} H(t, e^{-\int_0^t q(X_s) ds} y).
\]

Then, it is easy to check that

(i) $(y - y')(\tilde{F}(t, y, z) - \tilde{F}(t, y', z)) \leq -\alpha |y - y'|^2$;

(ii) $(y - y')(\tilde{H}(t, y) - \tilde{H}(t, y')) \leq -\beta |y - y'|^2$;

(iii) $|\tilde{F}(t, y, z) - \tilde{F}(t, y, z')| \leq K |z - z'|$.

Furthermore, by the boundedness of functions $b, G, \nabla G$ and the assumption (v), there is a constant $C$, such that

\[
|\tilde{F}(t, y, z)| \leq Ce^{\int_0^t q(X_s) ds} (1 + q(X_t)) + K(|y| + |z|)
\]

and

\[
|\tilde{H}(t, y)| \leq Ce^{\int_0^t q(X_s) ds} + K|y|.
\]
Under (C.2), from [2] and [14], we know that there are two positive constants \( C, \theta \) such that \( \sup_{x \in D} E^x [e^{2 \int_0^t q(X_s)ds}] < C e^{-\theta t} \) and \( \sup_{x \in D} E^x [\int_0^\infty e^{2 \int_0^t q(X_s)ds} dL_t] < +\infty \), then

\[
\sup_{x \in D} E^x [\int_0^\infty e^{2 \int_0^t q(X_s)ds} (dt + dL_t)] < +\infty.
\]

So by the negativity of \( \lambda, \mu \) and condition (C.3) we have

\[
E^x [\int_0^\infty e^{\lambda t + \mu L_t + 2 \int_0^t q(X_s)ds} ((1 + |q(X_t)|^2)dt + dL_t)] < +\infty.
\]

Therefore, by Theorem 2.1 in [11], there exists a unique pair of solution \((\hat{Y}^x, \hat{Z}^x)\) for the following BSDE

\[
\begin{cases}
\hat{Y}^x_t = \hat{Y}^x_T + \int_t^T \hat{F}(s, \hat{Y}^x_s, \hat{Z}^x_s)ds + \int_t^T \hat{H}(s, \hat{Y}^x_s)dL_s - \int_t^T \langle \hat{Z}^x_s, dM_s \rangle, & t < T, \\
\lim_{t \to \infty} e^{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda t + \mu L_t)}\hat{Y}^x_t = 0, & \text{in } L^2(P^x).
\end{cases}
\]

Furthermore, we have the following estimate

\[
E^x \left[ \sup_t e^{\lambda t + \mu L_t} |\hat{Y}^x_t|^2 + \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda t + \mu L_t} |\hat{Z}^x_t|^2 dt \right] 
\leq C E^x \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda t + \mu L_t} (|\hat{F}(t, 0, 0)|^2 dt + |\hat{H}(t, 0)|^2 dL_t) \right] 
\leq C' E^x \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda t + \mu L_t + 2 \int_0^t q(X_s)ds} ((1 + |q(X_t)|^2)dt + dL_t) \right].
\]

Set \( Y^x_t = e^{-\int_0^t q(X_s)ds} \hat{Y}^x_t \) and \( Z^x_t = e^{-\int_0^t q(X_s)ds} \hat{Z}^x_t \). Then Itô’s formula yields

\[
dY^x_t = -q(X_t)Y^x_t - \int_0^t q(X_s)ds \hat{Y}^x_t - \int_0^t q(X_s)ds \hat{F}(t, Y^x_t, Z^x_t)dt - \int_0^t q(X_s)ds \hat{H}(t, Y^x_t)dt \\
+ e^{-\int_0^t q(X_s)ds} \langle \hat{Z}^x_t, dM_t \rangle \\
= -q(X_t)Y^x_t - F(t, Y^x_t, Z^x_t)dt - H(t, Y^x_t)dL_t + \langle Z^x_t, dM_t \rangle,
\]

and \( \lim_{t \to \infty} e^{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda t + \mu L_t)}Y^x_t = \lim_{t \to \infty} e^{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda t + \mu L_t)}\hat{Y}^x_t = 0 \). Moreover,

\[
E^x \left[ \sup_t e^{\lambda t + \mu L_t + 2 \int_0^t q(X_s)ds} |Y^x_t|^2 + \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda t + \mu L_t + 2 \int_0^t q(X_s)ds} |Z^x_t|^2 dt \right] 
\leq C' E^x \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda t + \mu L_t + 2 \int_0^t q(X_s)ds} ((1 + |q(X_t)|^2)dt + dL_t) \right].
\]

Now we turn to prove the uniqueness of solution. We assume there exists another pair of solution \((\tilde{Y}^x, \tilde{Z}^x)\) for BSDE \((9)\). Set \( \Delta Y_t = \tilde{Y}^x_t - Y^x_t, \Delta Z_t = \tilde{Z}^x_t - Z^x_t, \Delta F_t = F(t, \tilde{Y}^x_t, \tilde{Z}^x_t) - F(t, Y^x_t, Z^x_t) \) and \( \Delta H_t = H(t, \tilde{Y}^x_t) - H(t, Y^x_t) \), then it follows that

\[
d^e^{\lambda t + \mu L_t + 2 \int_0^t q(X_s)ds} |\Delta Y_t|^2 \\
= e^{\lambda t + \mu L_t + 2 \int_0^t q(X_s)ds} (-2q(X_t))|\Delta Y_t|^2 dt - 2\Delta Y_t \Delta F_t dt - 2\Delta Y_t \Delta H_t dL_t + 2\Delta Y_t \langle \Delta Z_t, dM_t \rangle \\
+ |\Delta Z_t|^2 dt + (\lambda + 2q(X_t))|\Delta Y_t|^2 dt + \mu |\Delta Y_t|^2 dL_t.
\]
For $t < T$,
\[
e^{\lambda t + \mu L_t + 2 \int_0^t q(X_s) ds} |\Delta Y_t|^2 + \int_t^T e^{\lambda s + \mu L_s + 2 \int_0^s q(X_r) dr} |\Delta Z_s|^2 ds
\]
\[
= e^{\lambda T + \mu L_T + 2 \int_0^T q(X_s) ds} |\Delta Y_T|^2 + \int_t^T e^{\lambda s + \mu L_s + 2 \int_0^s q(X_r) dr} (2\Delta Y_s \Delta F_s - \lambda |\Delta Y_s|^2) ds
\]
\[+ \int_t^T e^{\lambda s + \mu L_s + 2 \int_0^s q(X_r) dr} (2\Delta Y_s \Delta H_s - \mu |\Delta Y_s|^2) dL_s - 2 \int_t^T e^{\lambda s + \mu L_s + 2 \int_0^s q(X_r) dr} \Delta Y_s \Delta Z_s(dM_s)
\]
\[\leq e^{\lambda T + \mu L_T + 2 \int_0^T q(X_s) ds} |\Delta Y_T|^2 + \int_t^T e^{\lambda s + \mu L_s + 2 \int_0^s q(X_r) dr} (-2\alpha + K^2 - \lambda) |\Delta Y_s|^2 + |\Delta Z_s|^2 ds
\]
\[+ \int_t^T e^{\lambda s + \mu L_s + 2 \int_0^s q(X_r) dr} (-2\beta - \mu) |\Delta Y_s|^2 dL_s - 2 \int_t^T e^{\lambda s + \mu L_s + 2 \int_0^s q(X_r) dr} \Delta Y_s \Delta Z_s(dM_s).
\]
Therefore, it follows that
\[
e^{\lambda t + \mu L_t + 2 \int_0^t q(X_u) du} |\Delta Y_t|^2 \leq e^{\lambda T + \mu L_T + 2 \int_0^T q(X_s) ds} |\Delta Y_T|^2
\]
\[\quad - 2 \int_t^T e^{\lambda s + \mu L_s + 2 \int_0^s q(X_r) du} \Delta Y_s \Delta Z_s(dM_s).
\]
This implies
\[
E^x \left[ e^{\lambda t + \mu L_t + 2 \int_0^t q(X_u) du} |\Delta Y_t|^2 \right] \leq E^x \left[ e^{\lambda T + \mu L_T + 2 \int_0^T q(X_s) ds} |\Delta Y_T|^2 \right].
\]

Since $\lim_{t \to \infty} E^x \left[ e^{\lambda t + \mu L_t + 2 \int_0^t q(X_u) du} |\Delta Y_t|^2 \right] = 0$ and the arbitrariness of $T$, we find that $\Delta Y_t = 0, P^x-a.e.. The uniqueness is proved. \[\square\]

**Corollary 2.**

\[
\sup_{x \in D} |Y_0^x| < +\infty. \quad (11)
\]

**Proof.** Estimate (4) yields
\[
|Y_0^x|^2 = E^x [|Y_0^x|^2] \leq C E^x \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda t + \mu L_t + 2 \int_0^t q(X_s) ds} ((1 + |q(X_t)|^2)dt + dL_t) \right].
\]

By [14] and the assumptions in the last proposition,
\[
\sup_{x \in D} E^x \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda t + \mu L_t + 2 \int_0^t q(X_s) ds} ((1 + |q(X_t)|^2)dt + dL_t) \right] < +\infty,
\]
then (11) is obtained. \[\square\]

**Theorem 1.** Under assumptions (i)-(v) and (C.1)-(C.3), Neumann problem (6) admits a unique bounded weak solution.

**Proof.** Existence: Let $(Y^x, Z^x)$ denote the solution of BSDE (9). Set $u_0(x) = Y_0^x$ and $v_0(x) = Z_0^x$. Then
\[
u_0(X_t^x) = Y_t^x, \quad v_0(X_t^x) = Z_t^x.
\]
We consider the following PDE:
\[
\begin{aligned}
&\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta \tilde{u} + \langle b, \nabla \tilde{u} \rangle + q \tilde{u} + \tilde{f}(\cdot, \tilde{u}, v_0) = 0, & \text{on } D; \\
\langle \nabla \tilde{u}, \tilde{n} \rangle + \tilde{h}(\cdot, \tilde{u}) = 0, & \text{on } \partial D,
\end{array} \right.
\end{aligned}
\]
with \( \tilde{f}(x, y, z) = 2q(x)G(x) + 2(b, \nabla G)(x) + G(x) + f(x, y + 2G, z + 2\nabla G) \) and \( \tilde{h}(x, y) = h(x, y + 2G(x)) \). It is known that linear PDE (12) has a unique bounded weak solution \( \tilde{u} \in H^1(D) \) (see Theorem 3.1 in [14]). Next we will prove that \( \tilde{u} = u_0 \) and \( \nabla \tilde{u} = v_0 \).

We begin with the following decomposition:

\[
\begin{aligned}
d\tilde{u}(X_t) &= \langle \nabla \tilde{u}(X_t), dM_t \rangle \tilde{u}(X_t) - \tilde{h}(X_t, u_0(X_t))dL_t - q(X_t)\tilde{u}(X_t)dt - \tilde{f}(X_t, u_0(X_t), v_0(X_t))dt \\
&= \langle \nabla \tilde{u}(X_t), dM_t \rangle - q(X_t)\tilde{u}(X_t)dt - H(t, Y^x_t)dt + F(t, Y^x_t, Z^x_t).
\end{aligned}
\]

Set \( \bar{u}_t = \tilde{u}(X_t) - u_0(X_t) \) and \( \bar{z}_t = \nabla \tilde{u}(X_t) - v_0(X_t) \), then
\[
d\bar{u}_t = -q(X_t)\bar{u}_t dt + \langle \bar{z}_t, dM_t \rangle.
\]

A simple calculation yields
\[
d\int_0^t q(X_s)ds \bar{u}_t = e^{\int_0^t q(X_s)ds} (\bar{z}_t, dM_t),
\]
and for any \( t < T \), by (C.1), we have
\[
0 \leq e^{\int_0^t q(x)ds} |\bar{u}_t| = |E^x[e^{\int_0^T q(x)ds} \bar{u}_T]| \\
\leq (\|\bar{u}\|_{\infty} + \|u_0\|_{\infty}) E^x[e^{\int_0^T q(x)ds}|\mathcal{F}_t] \rightarrow 0, \quad T \rightarrow \infty.
\]
Therefore, we found \( \bar{u}_t = 0, \bar{z}_t = 0 \). This yields
\[
\tilde{u}(X_t) = u_0(X_t), \quad \nabla \tilde{u}(X_t) = v_0(X_t).
\]
\[
\tilde{u}(x) = E^x[\tilde{u}(X_0)] = E^x[u_0(X_0)] = u(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \tilde{u}(x) = E^x[\nabla \tilde{u}(X_0)] = E[v_0(X_0)] = v(x).
\]

It follows that \( \tilde{u} \) is a weak solution of the following PDE
\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta \tilde{u} + \langle b, \nabla \tilde{u} \rangle + q \tilde{u} + \tilde{f}(\cdot, \tilde{u}, \nabla \tilde{u}) = 0, & \text{on } D; \\
\langle \nabla \tilde{u}, \tilde{n} \rangle + \tilde{h}(\cdot, \tilde{u}) = 0, & \text{on } \partial D.
\end{array} \right.
\]

Therefore, \( u = \tilde{u} + 2G \) is a solution of PDE (6).

**Uniqueness:** If \( u' \) is another weak solution of PDE (6), it is easy to check that \((u' + 2G)(X_t), \nabla (u' + 2G)(X_t)) \) is another solution of BSDE (9). By the uniqueness of the solution for this BSDE, \( u = u' \) is obtained.

Now we come to the probabilistic interpretation of the solution.

**Theorem 2.** If \( u \) is the weak solution of Neumann boundary problem (6), the process \( u(X_t) \) satisfies the following differential equation, for \( 0 \leq s \leq t \),
\[
\begin{aligned}
u(X_t) - u(X_s) &= - \int_s^t q(X_r)u(X_r) + f(X_r, u(X_r), \nabla u(X_r))dr - \int_s^t \langle \nabla u(X_r), \tilde{n} \rangle dL_r \\
&\quad- \int_s^t g(X_r) + dX_r + \int_s^t \langle \nabla u(X_r), dM_r \rangle.
\end{aligned}
\]
\[(13)\]
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Proof. If \( u \) is the weak solution of PDE (6), then \( \bar{u} = u - 2G \) is the weak solution of (8). It is obtained that

\[
\bar{u}(X_t) - \bar{u}(X_s) = - \int_s^t \bar{f}(X_r, \bar{u}(X_r), \nabla \bar{u}(X_r))dr - \int_s^t q(X_r)\bar{u}(X_r)dr - \int_s^t \bar{h}(X_r, \bar{u}(X_r))dL_r
+ \int_s^t \langle \nabla \bar{u}(X_r), dM_r \rangle.
\]

By Proposition 2,

\[
u(X_t) - u(X_s) = \bar{u}(X_t) - \bar{u}(X_s) + 2G(X_t) - 2G(X_s)
\]

\[
= - \int_s^t \bar{f}(X_r, \bar{u}(X_r), \nabla \bar{u}(X_r))dr - \int_s^t q(X_r)\bar{u}(X_r)dr - \int_s^t \bar{h}(X_r, \bar{u}(X_r))dL_r
+ 2 \int_s^t \langle \nabla \bar{u}(X_r), dM_r \rangle + 2 \int_s^t \langle b, \nabla G \rangle(X_r)dr
+ 2 \int_s^t \frac{\partial G}{\partial \vec{n}}(X_r)dL_r - \int_s^t \nabla G * dX_r.
\]

Noting that \( \text{div}(g - \nabla G) = -G \) and by Lemma 2, we have

\[
\int_s^t G(X_r)dr = \int_s^t (g - \nabla G) * dX_r.
\]

Therefore, (13) is proved. \( \square \)

5. PDEs with Nonlinear Divergence Terms

In this section, we assume the divergence term \( g \) satisfies Lipschitz condition (vi). Let us consider the Picard sequence \( (u^n)_{n \geq 1} \) defined by \( u^0 = 0 \) and for all \( n \in \mathbb{N}^* \) we denote by \( u^n \) the solution of the linear PDE:

\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta u^n + qu^n + \langle b, \nabla u^n \rangle - \text{div}(\cdot, u^{n-1}, \nabla u^{n-1}) + f(\cdot, u^n, \nabla u^n) = 0, & \text{on } D; \\
\langle \nabla u^n - 2g(\cdot, u^{n-1}, \nabla u^{n-1}, \vec{n}) + h(\cdot, u^n) = 0, & \text{on } \partial D.
\end{cases}
\]

From Section 4, we know that \( u^n \) exists uniquely.

5.1. Analytic method

Theorem 3. Under conditions (C.1)-(C.3) and (i)-(vii) with \( k < \frac{1}{2} \) and \( \alpha \) large enough, assume also \( q \) is bounded, then Neumann problem (1) admits a unique weak solution.
Proof. For simplicity, we set \( f_n(x) = f(x, u^n(x), \nabla u^n(x)), h_n(x) = h(x, u^n(x)) \) and \( g_n(x) = g(x, u^n(x), \nabla u^n(x)) \). By further calculation, we get

\[
\| \nabla (u^{n+1} - u^n) \|^2 = 2(b, \nabla (u^{n+1} - u^n), u^{n+1} - u^n) + 2(g_n - g_{n-1}, \nabla (u^{n+1} - u^n)) \\
+ 2(q(u^{n+1} - u^n), (u^{n+1} - u^n)) + 2(f_{n+1} - f_n, u^{n+1} - u^n) \\
- \int_{\partial D} (h_{n+1} - h_n)(u^{n+1} - u^n)d\sigma
\]

\[
\leq M^2 \epsilon_1 \| \nabla (u^{n+1} - u^n) \|^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon_1} \| u^{n+1} - u^n \|^2 + \frac{2k^2}{\epsilon_2} \| u^n - u^{n-1} \|_{H^1}^2 \\
+ \epsilon_2 \| \nabla (u^{n+1} - u^n) \|^2 + 2(-\alpha + M_1) \| u^{n+1} - u^n \|^2 + \epsilon_3 \| \nabla (u^{n+1} - u^n) \|^2 \\
+ \frac{K^2}{\epsilon_3} \| u^{n+1} - u^n \|^2 + 2\beta' \int_{\partial D} |u^{n+1} - u^n|^2 d\sigma,
\]

where \( M = \sup_x |b(x)|, M_1 = \sup_{x \in D} q(x) \). Then

\[
(1 - M^2 \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3) \| \nabla (u^{n+1} - u^n) \|^2 \\
\leq \frac{2k^2}{\epsilon_2} \| u^n - u^{n-1} \|_{H^1}^2 + (2(-\alpha + M_1 + \beta' \| Tr \|) + \frac{1}{\epsilon_1} + \frac{K^2}{\epsilon_3}) \| u^{n+1} - u^n \|^2.
\]

Hence,

\[
(1 - M^2 \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3) \| u^{n+1} - u^n \|^2_{H^1} \leq \frac{2k^2}{\epsilon_2} \| u^n - u^{n-1} \|_{H^1}^2 \\
+ (1 - M^2 \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3 + 2(-\alpha + M_1 + \beta' \| Tr \|) + \frac{1}{\epsilon_1} + \frac{K^2}{\epsilon_3}) \| u^{n+1} - u^n \|^2.
\]

As \( k < \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \) and \( \alpha \) large enough (i.e. \(-\alpha + M_1 + \beta' \| Tr \| + \frac{3}{4} + \sqrt{(-\alpha + M_1 + \beta' \| Tr \| + \frac{3}{4})^2 + 6M^2} < 3(\frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{2k})) \), then we can choose \( \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3 > 0 \) such that

\[
1 - M^2 \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3 > 0,
\]

\[
1 - M^2 \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3 - 2\alpha + 2M_1 + 2\beta' \| Tr \| + \frac{1}{\epsilon_1} + \frac{K^2}{\epsilon_3} < 0,
\]

\[
2k^2 < \epsilon_2(1 - M^2 \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3),
\]

(For example, one can take \( M^2 \epsilon_1 = \epsilon_3 = \frac{1}{3}(-\alpha + M_1 + \beta' \| Tr \| + \frac{3}{4} + \sqrt{(-\alpha + M_1 + \frac{3}{4})^2 + 6M^2}), \epsilon_2 = \frac{\epsilon_3}{\epsilon_2(1 - M^2 \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3)} \))

Set \( \gamma = \frac{k^2}{\epsilon_2(1 - M^2 \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3)} < 1 \). It follows that

\[
\| u^{n+1} - u^n \|^2_{H^1} \leq \gamma \| u^n - u^{n-1} \|^2_{H^1} \leq \cdots \leq \gamma^n \| u^1 \|^2_{H^1}.
\]

Therefore, \( \{ u^n \} \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( H^1(D) \), and we denote the limit of \( \{ u^n \} \) by \( u \). For
any test function $\phi \in C^\infty(D)$, we have
\[
\frac{1}{2} \int_D \langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle(x)dx - \int_D \langle b, \nabla u \rangle(x)\phi(x)dx + \int_D q(x)u(x)dx
= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_D \langle \nabla u^n, \nabla \phi \rangle(x)dx - \int_D \langle b, \nabla u^n \rangle(x)\phi(x)dx + \int_D q(x)u^n(x)dx \right)
= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \int_D f_n(x)\phi(x)dx + \int_D \langle g_n-1(\cdot), \nabla \phi \rangle(x)dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial D} h_n(x)\phi(x)d\sigma(x) \right)
= \int_D f(x, u, \nabla u)\phi(x)dx + \int_D \langle g(\cdot, u, \nabla u), \nabla \phi \rangle(x)dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial D} h(x, u)\phi(x)d\sigma(x),
\]
where the last equality is due to
\[
|f(x, u^n, \nabla u^n) - f(x, u, \nabla u)| \leq |\alpha||u - u^n| + K|\nabla u - \nabla u^n|,
|g(x, u^{n-1}, \nabla u^{n-1}) - g(x, u, \nabla u)| \leq k(|u - u^{n-1}| + |\nabla u - \nabla u^{n-1}|),
\]
and
\[
\int_{\partial D} |h(x, u^n) - h(x, u^{n-1})||\phi(x)||d\sigma(x) \leq (\int_{\partial D} |\phi(x)|^2d\sigma)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot ||h(\cdot, u^n) - h(\cdot, u^{n-1})||_{L^2(\partial D)}
\leq (\int_{\partial D} |\phi(x)|^2d\sigma)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot ||Tr|| \cdot ||h(\cdot, u^n) - h(\cdot, u^{n-1})||
\leq \beta(\int_{\partial D} |\phi(x)|^2d\sigma)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot ||Tr|| \cdot ||u^n - u^{n-1}||
\rightarrow 0, \ n \to \infty.
\]

Therefore, $u \in H^1(D)$ is a weak solution for (1).

Suppose $u, \bar{u}$ are two weak solutions, we obtain that
\[
||\nabla(u - \bar{u})||^2 = 2\langle b, \nabla(u - \bar{u}) \rangle, u - \bar{u} + 2(g(\cdot, u, \nabla u) - g(\cdot, \bar{u}, \nabla \bar{u}), \nabla(u - \bar{u}))
+ 2(q(u - \bar{u}), (u - \bar{u})) + 2(f(\cdot, u, \nabla u) - f(\cdot, \bar{u}, \nabla \bar{u}), u - \bar{u})
- \int_{\partial D} (h(x, u) - h(x, \bar{u}))(u - \bar{u})d\sigma(x).
\]
By the same method in the proof of existence, there is a constant $\gamma < 1$ such that
\[
||u - \bar{u}||_{H^1}^2 \leq \gamma ||u - \bar{u}||_{H^1}^2,
\]
which implies that $u = \bar{u}$. \qed

5.2. Probabilistic Method

In this section, we simply assume $b = 0$ in PDE (1), and consider the symmetric reflecting diffusions correspondingly. Actually, we can combine the drift term $\langle b, \nabla u \rangle$ and nonlinear term $f(x, u, \nabla u)$ into a new nonlinear term $F(x, u, \nabla u) := \langle b, \nabla u \rangle + f(x, u, \nabla u)$ so that this assumption is realized, without weakening our result. The solution for the nonlinear PDE will be given by probabilistic method independent of the analytic one.
Setting \( u^0 = 0 \), we consider the following PDE:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta u^n + qu^n - \text{div}(\langle \cdot, u^{n-1}, \nabla u^{n-1} \rangle) + f(\cdot, u^n, \nabla u^n) &= 0, \quad \text{on } D, \\
(\nabla u^n - 2g(\cdot, u^{n-1}, \nabla u^{n-1}), \vec{n}) + h(\cdot, u^n) &= 0, \quad \text{on } \partial D.
\end{aligned}
\]

(15)

By Theorem 1, (15) admits a unique solution \( u^n \) for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

Let \( m \) denote the Lebesgue measure on \( D \) and set the probability space \( \Omega' = D \otimes \Omega \) and probability \( P^m = m \otimes P \). \( \{X_t\}_{t \geq 0} \) is the reflecting Brownian motion in domain \( D \) of the following form:

\[ X_t - X_s = B_t - B_s + \int_s^t \vec{n}(X_r)dL_r, \quad \forall \ 0 \leq s \leq t. \]

It is known that, \( \{X_t\}_{t \geq 0} \) is a symmetric diffusion with initial distribution \( m \).

By the symmetricalness, we know that

\[ \bar{B}(s, t) = 2X_s - 2X_t + B_t - B_s = B_s - B_t - 2 \int_s^t \vec{n}(X_r)dL_r, \]

which is a backward martingale under \( P^m \) with respect to the backward filtration \( F^t_s = \sigma\{X_r | r \in [s, \infty)\} \).

For \( g = (g_1, \cdots, g_N) : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N \), as in Section 3 we define the backward stochastic integral as follows

\[ \int_s^t g_r(X_r)d\bar{B}_r^i = (L^2-) \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g(X_{t_j+1})\bar{B}^i(t_j, t_{j+1}), \]

(16)

where the limit is over the partition \( s = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = t \) and \( \delta = \max_{j}(t_{j+1} - t_j) \).

In this case, for \( 0 \leq s \leq t \), one has

\[ \int_s^t g \cdot dX_r = \int_s^t \langle g(X_r), dB_r \rangle + \int_s^t \langle g(X_r), d\bar{B}_r \rangle + 2 \int_s^t \langle g, \vec{n}(X_r) \rangle dL_r. \]

(17)

The following lemma is from [12].

**Lemma 3.** (Ito’s Formula) Assume that \( (Y, Z) \) is a solution of the following BSDE

\[ Y_t = Y_T - \int_t^T \langle Z_r, dB_r \rangle + \int_t^T f(X_r, Y_r, Z_r)dr + \int_t^T h(X_r, Y_r)dL_r + \int_t^T g(X_r, Y_r, Z_r)*dX_r. \]

Then, \( \forall t \in [0, T] \), one has \( P^m \)-almost surely,

\[ |Y_t|^2 = |Y_T|^2 - 2 \int_t^T Y_r \langle Z_r, dB_r \rangle + 2 \int_t^T Y_r f(X_r, Y_r, Z_r)dr + 2 \int_t^T Y_r h(X_r, Y_r)dL_r + 2 \int_t^T Y_r g(X_r, Y_r, Z_r) * dX_r - \int_t^T |Z_r|^2 dr + 2 \int_t^T \langle g(X_r, Y_r, Z_r), Z_r \rangle dr. \]

**Theorem 4.** Under assumptions (C.1)-(C.3) and (i)-(vii) with \( k < \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \) and \( \alpha \) large enough, then Neumann problem (1) admits a unique bounded weak solution.
Proof. **Existence:** As \(u^n\) is the solution of (14), by Theorem 2, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
    u^n(X_t) - u^n(X_s) &= \int_s^t \langle \nabla u^n(X_r), dB_r \rangle - \int_s^t q(X_r)u^n(X_r)dr - \int_s^t f(X_r, u^n(X_r), \nabla u^n(X_r))dr \\
    &\quad + \int_s^t \langle \nabla u^n, \bar{n} \rangle(X_r)dB_r - \int_s^t g(X_r, u^{n-1}(X_r), \nabla u^{n-1}(X_r)) + dB_r \\
    &= \int_s^t \langle \nabla u^n(X_r), dB_r \rangle - \int_s^t q(X_r)u^n(X_r)dr - \int_s^t f(X_r, u^n(X_r), \nabla u^n(X_r))dr \\
    &\quad - \int_s^t h(X_r, u^n(X_r))dB_r - \int_s^t \langle g(X_r, u^{n-1}(X_r), \nabla u^{n-1}(X_r)), dB_r + dB_r \rangle.
\end{align*}
\]

Applying Itô’s formula to \(e^{2\int_t^0 q(X_r)dr + \lambda t + \mu L_T}((u^{n+1} - u^n)(X_t))^2\), we get

\[
\begin{align*}
    e^{2\int_0^T q(X_r)dr + \lambda T + \mu L_T}((u^{n+1} - u^n)(X_T))^2 &- e^{2\int_0^T q(X_r)dr + \lambda T + \mu L_T}((u^{n+1} - u^n)(X_t))^2 \\
    &= -2\int_t^T e^{2\int_0^T q(X_s)ds + \lambda \tau + \mu L_T}((u^{n+1} - u^n)(X_T) - (u^{n+1} - u^n)(X_r))\langle \nabla(u^{n+1} - u^n)(X_r), dB_r \rangle \\
    &\quad + 2\int_t^T e^{2\int_0^T q(X_s)ds + \lambda \tau + \mu L_T}((u^{n+1} - u^n)(X_T) - (u^{n+1} - u^n)(X_r))(f_{n+1}(X_r) - f_n(X_r))dr \\
    &\quad + 2\int_t^T e^{2\int_0^T q(X_s)ds + \lambda \tau + \mu L_T}((u^{n+1} - u^n)(X_T) - (u^{n+1} - u^n)(X_r))(h_{n+1}(X_r) - h_n(X_r))dL_r \\
    &\quad + 2\int_t^T e^{2\int_0^T q(X_s)ds + \lambda \tau + \mu L_T}((u^{n+1} - u^n)(X_T) - (u^{n+1} - u^n)(X_r))(g(X_r, u^{n-1}(X_r), \nabla u^{n-1}(X_r)), dB_r + dB_r) \\
    &\quad + 2\int_t^T e^{2\int_0^T q(X_s)ds + \lambda \tau + \mu L_T}((u^{n+1} - u^n)(X_T) - (u^{n+1} - u^n)(X_r))(g(X_r, u^{n-1}(X_r), \nabla u^{n-1}(X_r)), dB_r + dB_r).
\end{align*}
\]

Taking expectation \(E^m\) on both sides of the above equality, and letting \(T\) tends to infinity, since \(u^n\) is bounded, we have

\[
\lim_{T \to \infty} E^m[e^{2\int_0^T q(X_r)dr}((u^{n+1} - u^n)(X_t))^2] = 0
\]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
    E^m \left[e^{2\int_0^T q(X_r)dr + \lambda T + \mu L_T}((u^{n+1} - u^n)(X_T))^2\right] &\leq E^m \int_t^\infty e^{2\int_0^T q(X_s)ds + \lambda \tau + \mu L_T}((u^{n+1} - u^n)(X_T))^2dr \\
    &\quad + \epsilon_2 E^m \int_t^\infty e^{2\int_0^T q(X_s)ds + \lambda \tau + \mu L_T}((u^{n+1} - u^n)(X_T))^2dL_r \\
    &\quad + (2\beta - \mu)E^m \int_t^\infty e^{2\int_0^T q(X_s)ds + \lambda \tau + \mu L_T}((u^{n+1} - u^n)(X_T))^2dL_r \\
    &\quad + \frac{2k^2}{\epsilon_2} E^m \int_t^\infty e^{2\int_0^T q(X_s)ds + \lambda \tau + \mu L_T}((u^{n+1} - u^n)(X_T))^2dL_r.
\end{align*}
\]
By further calculation, we obtain

\[ E^m \left[ \lambda + 2\alpha - \frac{K^2}{\epsilon_1} \right] \int^\infty_t e^2 j^\prime_0 \ q(X_s)ds + \lambda r + \mu Lr \ |(u^{n+1} - u^n)^2(X_r)dr \]
\[ + (1 - \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2) \int^\infty_t e^2 j^\prime_0 \ q(X_s)ds + \lambda r + \mu Lr |\nabla(u^{n+1} - u^n)|^2(X_r)dr \]
\[ \leq \frac{2k^2}{\epsilon_2} E^m \int^\infty_t e^2 j^\prime_0 \ q(X_s)ds + \lambda r + \mu Lr \left( |(u^n - u^{n-1})(X_r)|^2 + |\nabla(u^n - u^{n-1})(X_r)|^2 \right)dr. \]

Suppose \( k < \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \), and choose \( \lambda, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \) such that \( \lambda + 2\alpha - \frac{K^2}{\epsilon_1} = 1 - \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 \) and \( k^2 < \frac{\epsilon_2(1-\epsilon_1-\epsilon_2)}{2} \).

(For example, set \( \epsilon_2 = \frac{1-\epsilon_1}{2} \), we can choose \( \epsilon_1 \in (0, 1) \) such that
\[ \frac{\epsilon_2(1-\epsilon_1-\epsilon_2)}{2} = \frac{(1-\epsilon_1)^2}{8} \in (k^2, \frac{1}{2}) \), i.e. \( 0 < \epsilon_1 < 1 - 2\sqrt{2}k \).

Furthermore, if positive number \( \alpha \) large enough satisfying
\[ \alpha > \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} k + \frac{K^2}{1 - 2\sqrt{2}k}, \]
then we can choose \( \lambda < 0 \) such that
\[ \lambda := -2\alpha + \frac{K^2}{\epsilon_1} + 1 - \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 = -2\alpha + \frac{K^2}{\epsilon_1} + \frac{1 - \epsilon_1}{2} < 0 \]

It also satisfies \( \lambda > -2\alpha + K^2. \)

Set \( \gamma = \frac{2k^2}{\epsilon_2(1-\epsilon_1-\epsilon_2)} \), then it follows

\[ E^m \int^\infty_t e^2 j^\prime_0 \ q(X_s)ds + \lambda r + \mu Lr \left( |(u^n - u^{n-1})(X_r)|^2 + |\nabla(u^n - u^{n-1})(X_r)|^2 \right)dr \]
\[ \leq \gamma E^m \int^\infty_t e^2 j^\prime_0 \ q(X_s)ds + \lambda r + \mu Lr \left( |(u^n - u^{n-1})(X_r)|^2 + |\nabla(u^n - u^{n-1})(X_r)|^2 \right)dr \]
\[ \leq \dots \leq \gamma^n E^m \int^\infty_t e^2 j^\prime_0 \ q(X_s)ds + \lambda r + \mu Lr \left( |u^1|^2(X_r) + |\nabla u^1|^2(X_r) \right)dr. \]

By standard calculation, since

\[ e^2 j^\prime_0 \ q(X_r)dr + \lambda t + \mu Lt |u^1(X_t)|^2 + \int_t^\infty e^2 j^\prime_0 \ q(X_s)ds + \lambda r + \mu Lr |\nabla u^1(X_r)|^2 dr \]
\[ = - \int_t^T e^2 j^\prime_0 \ q(X_s)ds + \lambda r + \mu Lr |u^1(X_r)|^2 (dr + \mu dB_r) - 2 \int_t^T e^2 j^\prime_0 \ q(X_s)ds + \lambda r + \mu Lr u^1(X_r)\langle \nabla u^1(X_r), dB_r \rangle \]
\[ + 2 \int_t^T e^2 j^\prime_0 \ q(X_s)ds + \lambda r + \mu Lr u^1(X_r)f_1(X_r)dr + 2 \int_t^T e^2 j^\prime_0 \ q(X_s)ds + \lambda r + \mu Lr u^1(X_r)h_1(X_r)dl_r \]
\[ + 2 \int_t^T e^2 j^\prime_0 \ q(X_s)ds + \lambda r + \mu Lr (\nabla u^1, g_0)(X_r)dr + 2 \int_t^T e^2 j^\prime_0 \ q(X_s)ds + \lambda r + \mu Lr u^1(X_r)g_0(X_r)(dB_r + d\bar{B}_r), \]
then, by the boundedness of $u^1, f, h, g$, we obtain

$$E^m \left[ (\lambda + 2\alpha - \frac{K^2}{\epsilon_1} ) \int_t^\infty e^{2\int_t^s q(X_r)ds + \lambda r + \mu L_t} |u^1(X_r)|^2 dr \right. $$

$$ \left. + (1 - \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2) \int_t^\infty e^{2\int_t^s q(X_u)du + \lambda r + \mu L_t} |\nabla u^1(X_r)|^2 dr \right] $$

$$ \leq E^m \left[ \int_t^\infty e^{2\int_t^s q(X_r)ds + \lambda r + \mu L_t} \left( |u^1(X_r)| + \frac{1}{\epsilon_2} |g_0(X_r)|^2 \right) dr + |u^1(X_r)| h_0(X_r)|dL_t \right].$$

Therefore, $(e^{\int_0^t q(X_s)ds + \frac{1}{2} \lambda t + \frac{1}{2} \mu L_t} u^n(X_t), e^{\int_0^t q(X_s)ds + \frac{1}{2} \lambda t + \frac{1}{2} \mu L_t} \nabla u^n(X_t))$ is a Cauchy Sequence in $L^2(\Omega \times [0, \infty))$. We denote the limit as $(\tilde{Y}_t, \tilde{Z}_t)$. Set

$$Y_t = e^{-\int_0^t q(X_s)ds - \frac{1}{2} \lambda t - \frac{1}{2} \mu L_t} \tilde{Y}_t, \quad Z_t = e^{-\int_0^t q(X_s)ds - \frac{1}{2} \lambda t - \frac{1}{2} \mu L_t} \tilde{Z}_t.$$

Then, it is easy to check that $(Y, Z)$ is the solution of the following BSDE

$$\begin{cases}
Y_t = Y_T + \int_t^T \langle Z_r, dB_r \rangle - \int_t^T q(X_r)Y_r dr - \int_t^T f(X_r, Y_r, Z_r) dr + \int_t^T \langle Z_r, \bar{n} \rangle dL_r \\
- \int_t^T g(X_r, Y_r, Z_r) \ast dX_r, \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} e^{\int_0^t q(X_s)ds + \frac{1}{2} \lambda t + \frac{1}{2} \mu L_t} Y_t = 0, \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega, P^x). 
\end{cases} \quad (18)$$

Set $u_0 = E^x[Y_0]$ and $v_0 = E^x[Z_0]$. Consider the following linear equation

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta \bar{u} + q \bar{u} - div(g(\cdot, u_0, v_0)) + f(\cdot, u_0, v_0) = 0, \quad \text{on } D, \\
\langle \nabla \bar{u} - 2g(\cdot, u_0, v_0), \bar{n} \rangle + h(\cdot, u_0) = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial D,
\end{cases}$$

and by the same method in Section 4, we find that $u_0 = \bar{u}$ and $v_0 = \nabla \bar{u}$. Therefore, $\bar{u}$ is a weak solution for PDE (1).

**Uniqueness:** Suppose that $\tilde{u}$ is another weak solution of PDE (1). By the same method in Theorem 2 (set $\tilde{g}(x) = g(x, \tilde{u}(x), \nabla \tilde{u}(x))$), we find $\tilde{Y}_t = \tilde{u}(X_t), \tilde{Z}_t = \nabla \tilde{u}(X_t)$ satisfies the following BSDE

$$\begin{cases}
\tilde{Y}_t = \tilde{Y}_T + \int_t^T \langle \tilde{Z}_r, dB_r \rangle - \int_t^T q(X_r)\tilde{Y}_r dr - \int_t^T f(X_r, \tilde{Y}_r, \tilde{Z}_r) dr + \int_t^T \langle \tilde{Z}_r, \bar{n} \rangle dL_r \\
- \int_t^T g(X_r, \tilde{Y}_r, \tilde{Z}_r) \ast dX_r, \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} e^{\int_0^t q(X_s)ds + \frac{1}{2} \lambda t + \frac{1}{2} \mu L_t} \tilde{Y}_t = 0, \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega, P^x). 
\end{cases}$$
By Ito’s formula, we get
\[
e^{2\int_0^t q(X_r)dr + \lambda t + \mu L_t} |Y_t - \bar{Y}_t|^2 + \int_t^T e^{2\int_0^r q(X_s)ds + \lambda r + \mu L_r} |Z_r - \bar{Z}_r|^2 dr
\]
\[
= - \int_t^\infty e^{2\int_0^r q(X_s)ds + \lambda r + \mu L_r} |Y_r - \bar{Y}_r|^2 (\lambda dr + \mu dL_r)
\]
\[-2 \int_t^T e^{2\int_0^r q(X_s)ds + \lambda r + \mu L_r} (Y_r - \bar{Y}_r)(Z_r - \bar{Z}_r, dB_r)
\]
\[+ 2 \int_t^T e^{2\int_0^r q(X_s)ds + \lambda r + \mu L_r} (Y_r - \bar{Y}_r)(f(X_r, Y_r, Z_r) - f(X_r, \bar{Y}_r, \bar{Z}_r)) dr
\]
\[+ 2 \int_t^T e^{2\int_0^r q(X_s)ds + \lambda r + \mu L_r} (Y_r - \bar{Y}_r)(h(X_r, Y_r)) - h(X_r, \bar{Y}_r) dL_r
\]
\[+ 2 \int_t^T e^{2\int_0^r q(X_s)ds + \lambda r + \mu L_r} (Z_r - \bar{Z}_r, g(X_r, Y_r, Z_r) - g(X_r, \bar{Y}_r, \bar{Z}_r)) dr
\]
\[+ 2 \int_t^T e^{2\int_0^r q(X_s)ds + \lambda r + \mu L_r} (Y_r - \bar{Y}_r)(g(X_r, Y_r, Z_r) - g(X_r, \bar{Y}_r, \bar{Z}_r), dB_r + dB_r).
\]
By the properties of \(f, g, h\), it follows that
\[
E^m[(\lambda + 2\alpha - \frac{K^2}{\epsilon_1} - \frac{2k^2}{\epsilon_2}) \int_t^\infty e^{2\int_0^t q(X_s)ds + \lambda r + \mu L_r} |Y_r - \bar{Y}_r|^2
\]
\[+ (1 - \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \frac{2k^2}{\epsilon_2}) \int_t^\infty e^{2\int_0^t q(X_s)ds + \lambda r + \mu L_r} |Z_r - \bar{Z}_r|^2] \leq 0.
\]
As stated before, we choose \(\lambda, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\) such that \(\lambda - 2\alpha - \frac{K^2}{\epsilon_1} = 1 - \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2\) and \(k^2 < \frac{\epsilon_2(1-\epsilon_1-\epsilon_2)}{2}\), then we obtain
\[
E^m[\int_t^\infty e^{2\int_0^t q(X_s)ds + \lambda r + \mu L_r} |Y_r - \bar{Y}_r|^2 + |Z_r - \bar{Z}_r|^2] \leq 0.
\]
This implies that \(|Y_t - \bar{Y}_t|^2 = 0\) and \(|Z_t - \bar{Z}_t|^2 = 0\) for every \(t \geq 0\), which provide that \(u = \bar{u}\) and \(\nabla u = \nabla \bar{u}\).

The following theorem summerizes the relationship between PDEs and BSDEs. The first part can be proved easily as in Theorem 2 and the second part follows the uniqueness in the last theorem.

**Theorem 5.** (1) If \(u\) is the weak solution of PDE (1), then \(Y_t = u(X_t)\) and \(Z_t = \nabla u(X_t)\) solves BSDE (18).

(2) Suppose \(f, g, h\) and \(q\) satisfy conditions (C.1)-(C.3) and (i)-(vi), if \((Y, Z)\) is the solution of BSDE (18), then \(u(x) = E^x[Y_0]\) is the weak solution of PDE (1).
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