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ABSTRACT

The purpose of the present study was to investigate the relationship among EFL teacher’s identity style, religious identity, and identity commitment. The data was collected from 88 EFL teachers teaching at different English language learning institutes in Ilam province-Iran. Two questionnaires were used, including Dollinger’s (2001) Brief Religiosity Scale (BRS-6) and Berzonsky’s (1992) Identity Style Inventory, the revised version (ISI3). Results suggested that EFL teacher’s identity style and their commitment were positively correlated (r = 0.350). There was also a significant positive correlation between EFL teacher’s religious identity and their commitment (r = 0.312). A significant positive correlation was also found between EFL teacher’s identity style and religious identity (r = 0.367). The results of one-way ANOVA indicated that there was a statistically significant difference in identity style scores, identity commitment scores, and religiosity scores for four groups. The results of independent t-test analysis indicated that there was no significant difference in identity style, identity commitment, and the religiosity scores of the two groups of participants. Finally, the implications and limitations of the study were also discussed.

Keywords: EFL teacher, Identity style, Religious identity, Identity commitment.

INTRODUCTION

Identity development during adolescence has been the subject of interest for many individuals, especially for psychologists. People experience many challenges during this period of their life. Marcia (1966) and Berzonsky (1989) have proposed models for identity style development in order to help individuals to gain a better understanding of the process of identity formation in adolescents. Marcia’s (1966) model of identity development includes four statuses as Achievement, Foreclosure, Moratorium, and Diffusion. Employing a social-cognitive perspective to Marcia’s statuses, Berzonsky (1989) suggests that individuals in Marcia’s achievement and moratorium statuses are inclined to utilize an informational processing style; foreclosed individuals utilize a Normative processing style and diffused individuals utilize a Diffused/Avoidant processing style. According to Dollinger (2001), many psychologists and sociologists have pointed out that because of the identity-conferring nature of religiosity, many people claim that they have a religious identity. Several factors, including gender, ethnicity, culture, and religion play an important role in developing an individual’s identity. Among these factors, religion has been considered as an ideological propagation rather than as a set of beliefs. According to Cheng and Beig (2012), educational institutes such as schools or universities play an important role in helping students to better understand the concept of religion. Furthermore, researchers (Johnston, 2003; Varghese & Johnston, 2007; Wicking, 2012) have emphasized the significant role of teacher’s moral and religious beliefs and their impact on their teaching and interactions with students. However, studies have been conducted on identity styles in western countries such as Belgium, Netherland, Australia, Canada, Greece, Italy, Korea and Slovakia; little if any has been conducted in non-western countries like Iran and, especially, among EFL teachers. Therefore, the purpose of the present study is to investigate the relationship of these three variables, namely, identity style, religious identity, and identity commitment among Iranian EFL teachers.

REVIEW OF THE RELATED LITERATURE

Identity style

In order to provide a clear picture of the concept of identity, researchers (Berzonsky, 1989; Marcia, 1966) have offered various models and definitions. The identity status theory has been presented by Marcia (1966), which is concerned with the degree of an individual’s identity exploration and commitment. Marcia (1966) developed an identity status model in order to assess the ego identity of eighty-six college male students. Two criteria, including crisis and commitment, have been used in order to construct an identity status model. In this model, the crisis has been referred to as “adolescent’s period of engagement in choosing among meaningful alternative” (p. 551). Commitment has been referred to as “the degree of personal investment the individual exhibits” (p. 551). Four statuses have been distinguished. An identity achievement, which refers to as a committed individual who experienced a crisis period; the identity-diffusion, which refers to as an uncommitted individual who may or may not experience a crisis period; moratorium, which referred to as an uncommitted individual who was in the crisis period; and finally, foreclosure, which refers to a committed individual who has not experienced a crisis. Berzonsky (1989) has focused on various social-cognitive strategies that people utilize in different situations in order to construct and maintain their sense of identity. He developed an identity processing style model including two dimensions: Exploration and Commitment. An exploration dimension included informational, normative, and diffuse-avoidant scales; the commitment dimension included the identity commitment scale. The first dimension of this model, namely, informational identity style, included exploring and searching relevant information before making decisions. The second dimension, normative identity style, included adopting others, not their values and goals, as appropriate sources. The third dimension of this model, diffuse-avoidant identity style, included relying on situational consequences and rewards in order to direct an individual’s decisions and behaviors. Based on Marcia’s (1966) identity-status model, individuals who are identity achieved or in moratorium tend to use an information identity style. They tend to search, elaborate, and utilize relevant information actively in order to make the right choice. Based on this model, individuals who are foreclosed are supposed to apply a normative identity style. Conforming to the normative standards of important individuals such as parents are their major concerns. Finally, individuals who are in identity diffusion status avoid dealing directly with personal problems and basic identity questions. This diffuse, avoidant
orientation involves not taking a course of action until situational results are recognized.

Identity commitment
Berzonsky (2003) referred to identity commitment as "the strength or clarity of the self-relevant standards, goals, convictions, beliefs, and the like that the individual uses in providing people with a sense of purpose and direction," Brickman, Sorrentino and Wortman (1987) emphasized that commitment "stabilizes individual behavior under circumstances where the individual would be otherwise tempted to change" (p. 133). Identity commitment is shown to be associated with identity processing styles. Berzonsky (2003) conducted a study in order to investigate the role of identity processing styles and identity commitment in personal well-being. The researcher argued for the importance of identity commitment research in illuminating the relationship between identity processing styles and the outcome variable. He proposed three models, including a direct-effects model, a mediated-effects model, and a moderated-effects model that are applied in commitment and processing styles, which impact the outcome variables. Regarding the relationship between identity commitment and identity style, Bosch and Card (2012) and Berzonsky (1992) have indicated that there is a positive association between commitment and both informational and normative identity style and a negative association between commitment and diffuse-avoidant style.

Religious identity
Researchers (Allport & Ross, 1967; Argyle & Beit-Hallahmi, 1975; Batson, Floyd, Meyer, & Winner, 1999; Clark, 1958; Dollinger, 2001; Doyle, 1992; McCane, 1999; Rahner & Vorgrimler, 1981; Roccas, Sagiv, Schwartz, & Knafo, 2002; Zinnbauer, Pargament, & Scott, 1999) have offered different definitions for religiosity. Some considered religiosity as an ideology or a set of acquired beliefs and practices (McCrae, 1999). Dollinger (1992) defined religiosity as “practices carried out by those who profess a faith” (p. 303). These practices included praying, reading Bible, receiving sacraments, devoting time to doing these practices. The author assumed that religions, cultures, and religious practices are related to each other. Rahner and Vorgrimler (1981) defined religiosity as “concern with the ground and purpose of the world we call God” (p. 437). The term religious identity has been used and conceptualized in many different ways ranging from focusing on the inner (i.e., personality) vs. the outer (i.e., social or collective group) (Dollinger, 2001). Dollinger (2001) referred to religious identity as “offering any of the potentially infinite arrays of ‘religions’ answers to the classic question’” (p. 72). Roccas et al. (2002) compared religion with evaluation and justification of choices and actions because both evaluation and justification were based on goals. Allport and Ross (1967) argued that religion was not only a value; it also served an individual's needs in that a person with a specific religious orientation utilized his/her religious views in order to provide security, comfort, status, or social support for himself/herself. The researchers also argued that crucial distinctions should be made between religious attitudes such as intrinsic, extrinsic, and indiscriminately pro-religious and, at the same time, highly prejudiced. Moreover, Knowing the role of religion in everyone's life was more important than knowing whether a person was religious or not. Argyle and Beit-Hallahmi (1975) viewed religion as “a system of beliefs in a divine or superhuman power, and practices of worship or other rituals directed towards such a power” (p. 1). Batson et al. (1992) defined religion as “whatever we as individuals do to come to grips personally with the questions that confront us because we are aware that we and others like us are alive and that we will die” (p. 8). Clark (1958) proposed another definition for religion stating that “the individual who senses a Beyond, especially as evidenced by the effect of this experience on his behavior when he actively attempts to harmonize his life with the Beyond” (p. 22). Zinnbauer et al. (1999) referred to three major aspects of traditional psychological research on religion. The first one regarded religion as “broad-band” construct and it was not different from spirituality. The second aspect of traditional psychological research focused on personal aspects of religiousness. And finally, the third aspect focused on the positive and negative forms of faith. By integrating the two constructs, namely, religion and spirituality, Zinnbauer et al. (1999) suggested the positive and negative meanings of religion and spirituality in order to acknowledge the ways in which people expressed their religiousness and spirituality.

Religiosity and identity
Duriez, Soensens and Beyers, (2004) proposed an important question regarding the relationship between the two concepts of identity and religiosity. They asked whether or not identity development was related to the acquisition of religious beliefs. They further pointed out that the lack of a common theoretical framework regarding their relationship made it difficult to interpret the results of such research. However, Parker (1985) found a positive relationship between identity development and religiosity; whereas, Markstrom-Adams (1999) found no relationship between these concepts.

Related studies on identity styles, commitment, and religiosity
Several studies have been conducted on identity styles, commitment, and religiosity or the possible relationship between these variables. Some have investigated personality, identity styles, and religiosity (Duriez, Soenens, & Beyers, 2004). Identity development and religiosity during adolescence (Markstrom, 1999; Markstrom, Hostra, & Dougler, 1994; Tzuriel, 1994; Verhoeven & Hutsebaut, 1995) empirical research on identity (Berzonsky & Adams, 1999; Marcia, 1980; Waterman, 1982) and identity styles, commitment and religiosity (Grajales & Sommers, 2016). Tzuriel (1984) investigated the relation between (a) sex-role typing and ego identity, (b) the distribution of sex-role typing within different cultural groups and (c) the relative contribution of masculinity, femininity, religiousness, sex, and ethnic origin to the prediction of ego identity variables among Oriental and Western Israeli students from religious and secular high schools (AT = 1.207). The data were collected using completing the Bar-Han Sex Role Inventory (B-SRI) and the Adolescent Ego Identity Scale (AEIS). The results of the study showed that more androgynous, less sex-typed, and less undifferentiated adolescents were among Orientals than among Westerners. Sex role type was significantly related to each of the ego identity variables. Boys scored higher than girls on Solidity and Continuity but lower on Social Recognition. Westerners scored higher than Orientals on Commitment and Pursuitfulness and Total Ego Identity. Religious adolescents scored higher than secular adolescents on Commitment and Purposefulness. An interaction of ethnic origin and school type on Solidity and Continuity indicated that Oriental religious subgroups scored higher than any other subgroups. Berzonsky and Adams (1999) observed change rather than stability in status among university students. Moreover, the results indicated that status changes were more likely to be progressive rather than regressive in terms of the hypothesized identity status developmental sequence. However, considerable status regression and fluctuation were observed. The researchers concluded that focusing on the social-cognitive processes and conceptualizing these identity orientations was a useful strategy for university students. Duriez et al. (2004) investigated the relationship between the two main dimensions of the religiosity domain and the Five-Factor Model of personality and Berzonsky's (1990) identity style in a Flemish sample of late adolescents. The results of the study showed that, whereas Exclusion vs. Inclusion was unrelated to any of the personality dimensions, Literal vs. Symbolic was strongly related to Openness to Experience and moderately to Agreeableness. Further, it was shown that Exclusion vs. Inclusion was positively related to the subjective identity and that Literal vs. Symbolic correlated positively with the informational identity style and negatively with the diffuse/avoidant identity style. As expected, the relation
between Openness to Experience and Literal vs. Symbolic was fully mediated by the informational identity style. Once Openness to Experience was taken into account, Agreeableness was no longer an important determinant of Literal vs. Symbolic.

This study

The review of literature has indicated a small positive association between gender and diffuse-avoidant identity style. Thus, the three factors of age, country of origin, and sex differences are considered possible moderators of the formation of identity (Bosch & Card, 2012). However, many studies have been conducted on identity styles in western countries such as Belgium, The Netherlands, Australia, Canada, Greece, Italy, Korea and Slovakia; little if any has been conducted in non-western countries like Iran and especially among EFL teachers.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

The purpose of the present study was to investigate the relationship among these three variables: Identity style, Religious identity, and Identity commitment among Iranian EFL teachers. The present study was guided by the following research questions:

1. Is there any significant relationship between identity style and identity commitment?
2. Is there any significant relationship between religious identity and identity commitment?
3. Is there any significant relationship between identity style and religious identity?
4. Is there any significant difference among participants of different ages and gender regarding their identity style?
5. Is there any significant difference among participants of different ages and gender regarding their identity commitment?
6. Is there any significant difference among participants of different ages and gender regarding their religiosity?

METHODOLOGY

Participants

Eighty-eight EFL teachers (30 males and 58 females) participated in this study. The sample was drawn from teachers who were teaching English at different English learning institutes in Ilam province. The level of teacher’s education was B.A (Bachelor of Art) and M.A (Master of Arts). The teacher’s age ranged from 22 to 42 (M = 31.5). They were native speakers of Persian.

Instrumentation

In the present study, two questionnaires were used to collect data: Dollinger’s (2001), The Brief Religiosity Scale (BRS-6) and Berzonsky’s (1992) The Identity Style Inventory, revised version (ISI3).

The Brief Religiosity Scale (BRS-6)

The BRS-6 is an eight-item self-report measure, of which five questions address behavioral, cognitive, and affective aspects of religiosity, and one question addresses spirituality. Two questions that address religious viewpoints were excluded from analysis (Question 1 and 2, because of redundancy). Responses were rated on a Likert-type scale with anchors 1 (Never/Not at all) to 5 (Very frequently/Extremely so). The coefficient alpha for the scale has been reported to be 0.85 (Dollinger, 2001).

The Identity Style Inventory, revised version (ISI3)

The ISI3 is a 40-item self-report instrument that measures Berzonsky’s informational, normative, and diffuse-avoidant identity styles as well as identity commitment. Responses were rated on a Likert-type scale with anchors 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 5 (Strongly Agree).

Data collection procedure

The two questionnaires were administered to the English teachers in the English language learning institutes by the researcher. The researcher asked teachers to complete the questionnaires and bring them back the next day. Thus they were given enough time and instruction to provide the answer to these questionnaires.

Data analysis

After collecting the data, the researcher utilized Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) 21 for quantitative analysis of the data. The correlation test was used to determine whether there was a relationship between identity style and identity commitment, between religious identity and identity commitment, and between identity style and religious identity. Because the data were ordinal, the researcher used the Spearman correlation to determine whether there were significant differences among EFL teachers with respect to their gender, an independent t-test was run. Finally, to investigate whether there were significant differences among EFL teachers with respect to their age, a one-way ANOVA was conducted.

RESULTS

In order to answer Research Question (RQ1, RQ2, and RQ3 regarding the relationship between identity style and identity commitment, between religious identity and identity commitment, and between identity style and religious identity, Spearman-rho Correlation Coefficient was run. To answer RQ1, analysis of the data indicated that EFL teacher’s identity style and their commitment were positively correlated (r=0.350). This positive correlation is indicated in table 1 and suggests that there is a relationship between identity style and commitment of the EFL teachers.

Table 1: Teacher’s IS and IC

| IStot | Spearman’s rho correlation | Sig (two-tailed) |
|-------|---------------------------|-----------------|
|       |                           | N               |
| 0.350** |                           | 0.000           |
| 88

Note: IStot: identity style total; ICtot: identity commitment. **Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level.

RQ2 examined the relation between EFL teacher’s religious identity and their commitment. As shown in table 2, the correlation between EFL teacher’s religious identity and their commitment is significant (r=0.312).

Table 2: Teacher’s RI and IC

| RItot | Spearman’s rho correlation | Sig (two-tailed) |
|-------|---------------------------|-----------------|
|       |                           | N               |
| 0.312** |                           | 0.000           |
| 88

Note: RItot: religious identity total; ICtot: identity commitment. **Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level.

RQ3 examined the relation between EFL teacher’s identity style and religious identity. As shown in table 3, the correlation between EFL teacher’s identity style and religious identity is significant (r=0.367).

Table 3: Teacher’s IS and RI

| IStot | Spearman’s rho correlation | Sig (two-tailed) |
|-------|---------------------------|-----------------|
|       |                           | N               |
| 0.367** |                           | 0.000           |
| 88

Note: IStot: identity style total; RItot: religious identity. **Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level.

In order to answer RQ 4, RQ5, and RQ6 regarding EFL teacher’s identity style, identity commitment, and religiosity, with respect to their age, an analysis of variance (one-way ANOVA) was run. The results of this statistical analysis are presented in table 4. Participants were divided into four groups according to their age (22-27; 28-32; 33-37; 38-42). There was a statistically significant difference at the p < 0.000 level in identity style scores (F = 0.788, p < 0.001) for the four age groups, identity commitment scores for four age groups (F = 0.677, P < 0.000), and religiosity scores for four groups (F = 0.681, P < 0.000).
In order to answer RQ 4, RQ 5, and R6 regarding EFL teacher’s identity style, identity commitment, and religiosity with reference to their gender, independent t-test analysis was conducted. As can be seen in Table 5, there was no significant difference in scores of the two groups of participants [t (86) = 0.605, p = 0.547], with identity style female group scoring higher (M = 101.1, SD = 8.17) than male group (M = 100.18, SD = 8.83).

| Variables | Groups | Sum of squares | df | Mean square | F-value | Sig. |
|-----------|--------|----------------|----|-------------|---------|------|
| Identity style | Between groups | 1302.763 | 22 | 59.216 | 0.788 | 0.728 |
| | Within groups | 4881.555 | 65 | 75.101 | | |
| | Total | 6184.318 | 87 | | | |
| Commitment | Between groups | 92.902 | 22 | 4.218 | 0.677 | 0.846 |
| | Within groups | 405.198 | 65 | 6.234 | | |
| | Total | 498.000 | 87 | | | |
| Religiosity | Between groups | 1702.24 | 22 | 7.737 | 0.681 | 0.841 |
| | Within groups | 738.276 | 65 | 11.358 | | |
| | Total | 908.500 | 87 | | | |

There was also no significant difference in scores of the two groups of participants [t (86) = 0.448, p = 0.656] identity commitment female group scoring higher (M = 20.40, SD = 2.49) than male group (M = 20.63, SD = 2.27); and there was no significant difference in scores of the two groups of participants [t (86) = 0.564, p = 0.474] religiosity female group scoring higher (M = 31.42, SD = 3.23) than male group (M = 31.03, SD = 3.2).

Table 5: Independent sample t-test result for teacher’s identity style, identity commitment, and religiosity with respect to gender

| Variables | Group | N | Mean | SD | df | t-value | Sig. | Sig. (2-tailed) |
|-----------|-------|---|------|----|----|---------|------|----------------|
| Identity style | Female | 50 | 101.18 | 8.17 | 86 | 0.605 | 0.604 | 0.547 |
| | Male | 38 | 100.07 | 8.83 | | | | |
| Identity commitment | Female | 50 | 20.40 | 2.49 | 86 | -0.448 | 0.668 | 0.656 |
| | Male | 38 | 20.63 | 2.27 | | | | |
| Religiosity | Female | 50 | 31.42 | 3.23 | 86 | 0.564 | 0.081 | 0.574 |
| | Male | 38 | 31.03 | 3.25 | | | | |

DISCUSSION

The purpose of the present study was to investigate the relationship among identity style, identity commitment, and religiosity of EFL Iranian teachers. In order to explore their relationship, six questions were asked. The results of the first question regarding the relationship between identity style and identity commitment showed that there was a significant positive correlation between identity styles and identity commitment. Berzonsky (1989), in his model, proposed that the predictive power of identity style on any outcome variable is mediated by identity commitment. Based on this statement, Grajales and Sommers (2016) considered religiosity as an outcome variable. They found that Berzonsky’s identity styles model fit their sample in that they predicted that identity styles would be predictive of Dollinger’s religiosity, as it is mediated by identity commitment. In this regard, the informational style and normative style had positive effects, whereas the diffuse/avoidance style had a negative effect on commitment.

Regarding the relationship between religious identity and identity commitment, there was a significant positive correlation between these variables. This result is in line with those of Grajales & Sommers (2016) in that Grajales and Sommers (2016) found that commitment was positively related to religiosity. The third question examined the relationship between identity style and religiosity. In the present study, the researcher found a significant positive correlation between these variables. This result is in line with Grajales and Sommers’s (2016) finding. They found that informational identity style was positively related to religiosity. With regard to RQ4 that examined the role of age and gender in identity style, significant differences were found among EFL teachers with different age groups. In previous research studies, age has been tested as a moderator in measuring the status of identity styles (i.e., whether identity styles remain constant or not) (Bass & cord, 2012). Bass and Card (2012) considered three developmental groups, including high school students, college students, and adults, because these groups are believed to experience different events during their life with regard to their identity development. In the current study, there was not a significant difference between the identity style of male and female EFL teachers, with female teachers reporting higher identity style. Regarding the role of age and gender in identity commitment (RQ5), it was found that there was no significant difference between identity commitments of EFL teachers with different age groups. It was also found that there is no significant difference in identity commitment of the male and female groups, with female teachers reporting higher identity commitment. Regarding the role of age and gender in religiosity (RQ6), it was found that there was a significant difference among the participants of different ages. In other words, age has had an important role in the EFL teacher’s religiosity. Duriez et al. (2004) found that individuals who use an informational identity style interpret religious contents in a more personal and symbolic way. This indicates that information-oriented individuals critically evaluate whether there is a connection between religious contents and their personal self-definition (Berzonsky, 1990 as it is cited in Duriez et al., 2004). The findings of the present study also indicated that there were significant gender differences among participants concerning their religiosity.

CONCLUSION

It is important to take into account the relationship between identity style, commitment, and religiosity in order to gain a better understanding of the nature of their interactions. Due to the important role of teachers in the educational system, especially in EFL classrooms, the relationships between these variables were investigated in this study. Regarding the religious identity of teachers, especially where religion is an important aspect of society, it is necessary to ask teachers to express their religious ideas and negotiate them in an ELT context. The results indicated that age and gender played an important role in mediating the relationship between these variables. Participants of different ages and gender held a different point of view with respect to these variables. Teachers need to understand the interaction of their identity styles, commitment, and religiosity because it may affect students’ learning.

Implications

The result of the study has important implications for teacher development. It shed light on teacher’s identity style, commitment and religiosity in the ELT classroom. It is also...
provided useful information about different points of view which teachers of different ages and gender held. The findings of the study may also be useful for English-language teachers in that they should not ignore their religious identity. Religious identity is an important aspect of many people’s, including teacher’s, lives and it cannot be excluded from their lives.

Limitations

It is important to point out that the present study included a small sample of EFL teachers. Thus, it may not be representative of the whole population of EFL teachers in the context of Iran. Larger sample size would help in providing a better and clearer picture of Iranian EFL teacher’s identity style, commitment and religiosity.
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