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Abstract

Aims Renal dysfunction (RD) is associated with increased morbidity and mortality in heart failure (HF). At present, no specific treatment for patients with RD, to prevent progression of HF, has been developed. How different hormone axes—and thereby potential treatment options—are affected by RD in HF warrants further investigations.

Methods and results Patients with left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) <0.45% were enrolled prospectively from an outpatient HF clinic. Glomerular filtration rate was estimated by the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation (eGFR), and patients were grouped by eGFR: eGFR group I, ≥ 90 mL/min/1.73 m²; eGFR group II, 60–89 mL/min/1.73 m²; and eGFR group III, ≤ 59 mL/min/1.73 m². Multivariate linear regression models were developed to evaluate the associations between eGFR groups and hormones. A total of 149 patients participated in the study. Median age was 69 [interquartile range (IQR): 64–73] and 26% were female; LVEF was 33% (IQR: 27–39), 78% were in functional class II–III, median eGFR was 74 (54–89) mL/min/1.73 m², and median N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide was 1303 pg/mL (IQR: 441–2740). RD was associated with increased aldosterone, parathyroid hormone (PTH), and copeptin concentrations (P < 0.05 for all) after adjustment for traditional confounders and medical treatment.

Conclusions RD is associated with increased concentrations of aldosterone, PTH, and copeptin in systolic HF outpatients. Our results underscore the importance of treatment with mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist in systolic HF in particular in patients with RD and suggest that vasopressin and parathyroid receptor antagonism are potential treatment options in HF patients with known RD.
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Introduction

Treatment with angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors/angiotensin II receptor blockers (ACE-I/ARB), beta-blockers, mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists (MRAs), and devices have improved outcome in systolic heart failure (HF).1 But treatment of the high-risk subgroup of patients with renal dysfunction (RD) remains a challenge in daily clinical practice.2 RD is a frequent comorbidity in HF and associated with an increased risk of re-admission, sudden cardiac death, and all-cause mortality, but so far, no specific treatment for patients with RD has been developed.3,4 Whether RD in outpatients with systolic HF is associated with differential or increased activation of different hormonal axes, which are traditionally evaluated in patients with primary renal disease, has not been described previously. This could be of interest, as these hormone axes represent treatment targets in other populations of patients with RD and might be targets in HF patients as well.5,6
This prospective study tested the hypothesis that RD in outpatients with systolic HF is associated with an increased activity in hormones and prohormones reflecting aldosterone status, calcium metabolism, and vasopressin activity.

Methods

Patient population

Patients for the study were enrolled prospectively at their first visit to the HF clinic at North Zealand Hospital, Denmark. Data was collected from January 2011 to November 2012. All patients were known to have systolic HF and left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) <45% and were referred to the clinic for up titration of guideline-recommended therapy. For enrolment in the study, it was required that plasma creatinine had been stable (±10 μmol/L) for a period of 60 days and the patient is in stable condition, defined as out of hospital for a minimum of 60 days. The only exclusion criterion was inability to provide informed consent.

At an additional visit to the HF clinic, the following were obtained: New York Heart Association (NYHA) classification, medical history, current medication, symptoms, weight, height, and electrocardiogram. Description of coronary angiography was retrieved when available, for categorizing the patients as having non-ischemic or ischemic heart disease (IHD). Patients collected a 24 h urine sample, starting on the day before the exam. Fasting venous blood samples were drawn, and patients underwent echocardiography. Additional samples for aldosterone and renin were drawn after 30 min of supine rest. The study complies with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the local ethics committee (H-1-2010-074); all patients provided informed, written, and oral consent.

Renal function

Renal function was estimated by the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) equation incorporating age, race if Black, gender, and plasma creatinine concentration, which has been validated in HF and found to be more accurate than other creatinine-based equations. Patients were divided into groups according to estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR): eGFR group I, ≥90 mL/min/1.73 m²; eGFR group II, 60–89 mL/min/1.73 m²; and eGFR group III, ≤59 mL/min/1.73 m². Intervals for eGFR groups were chosen, so they matched CKD stages as specified by the National Kidney Foundation Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative Guidelines.

Biochemical analyses

After >8 h overnight fast, venous blood samples were obtained and directly analysed for haemoglobin, creatinine, sodium, and potassium. Additional samples for measurement of plasma aldosterone and renin were taken after 30 min rest in the supine position. Albuminuria was defined by an albumin excretion rate ≥ 30 mg/24 h. For later analysis, plasma was collected in ethylenediamine tetracetic acid vials and centrifuged at 4°C (3000 rpm in 10 min), and the plasma was stored at −80°C in aliquots. N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP; 1–76) concentration was measured on the Dimension Vista® 1500 from Siemens Medical Solutions Diagnostics using the LOCI® technology (luminescent oxygen channelling assay) (Malvern, PA, USA) according to the manufacturers’ procedures. Plasma concentrations of copeptin were measured on the Kryptor Compact platform (BRAHMS, Hennigsdorf, Germany). Assay validation has been reported previously.

Statistical analyses

Baseline clinical and biochemical data are reported as mean for normally distributed variables and medians for skewed distributions and presented with first and third quartiles (Q1–Q3). Basic clinical variables between eGFR groups were compared by χ² tests for discrete variables and one-way ANOVA tests (parametric) and Kruskal–Wallis tests (non-parametric) for continuous variables, as appropriate. Hormones, pro-hormone, and vitamin D were transformed by the log10 algorithm and tested with simple general linear models in Table 1. As some previous studies of aldosterone in HF have used a definition of excess aldosterone, when plasma aldosterone was >400 pmol/L (>144 pg/mL) and urinary aldosterone excretion was >33.3 nmol/24 h (>12 μg/24 h), the occurrence of abnormal aldosterone concentrations was also evaluated according to this definition and is presented in Table 1.

For further analyses, the association between the log-transformed hormones/pro-hormone and concentrations of urinary cations (Mg2+ and K+) and eGFR groups were evaluated in multivariate linear models. The multivariate models were all adjusted for the following explanatory variables: eGFR, age, gender, diabetes, LVEF, atrial fibrillation (AF), hypertension, IHD, ACE-I/ARB, and MRA treatment. To each model, biological relevant explanatory variables were added, as follows: model for parathyroid hormone (PTH) as response variable, P-calcium and 25-OH-vitamin D; model for aldosterone as response variable, renin and potassium; and model for copeptin as response variable, P-sodium and systolic blood pressure.
Table 1 Patient characteristics

| Variable | All   | eGFR group I | eGFR group II | eGFR group III | P-value |
|----------|-------|--------------|---------------|----------------|---------|
| n        | 149   | 46           | 66            | 47             |         |
| Age years| 69    | (64–73)      | (56–66)       | (67–75)        | (70–79) | <0.001 |
| Sex. female | % 26 | 31           | 21            | 28             |         |
| BMI kg/m² | 27   | (24–30)      | (24–31)       | (24–30)        | (23–30) | 0.937  |
| Heart rate | 70   | (60–80)      | (60–81)       | (58–79)        | (60–82) | 0.534  |
| Systolic BP mmHg | 125  | (112–140)    | (117–152)     | (124–143)      | (108–139) | 0.121 |
| Diastolic BP mmHg | 77   | (69–85)      | (72–84)       | (69–84)        | (65–79) | 0.016  |
| LVEF % | 33    | (27–39)      | (28–40)       | (27–40)        | (25–38) | 0.566  |
| NYHA I   | % 20  | 22           | 23            | 15             |         |
| NYHA II  | % 52  | 72           | 49            | 43             |         |
| NYHA III | % 26  | 6            | 27            | 38             |         |
| NYHA IV  | % 2   | 0            | 2             | 4              |         |
| AF %     | 38    | 36           | 39            | 36             | 0.921   |
| Hypertension % | 62  | 64           | 65            | 57             | 0.632   |
| DM %     | 21    | 17           | 20            | 28             | 0.431   |
| IHD %    | 58    | 45           | 55            | 70             | 0.095   |
| Anaemia % | 29   | 14           | 26            | 43             | 0.011   |
| Beta-blockers % | 87  | 90           | 88            | 83             | 0.657   |
| ACE-I/ARB % | 95  | 97           | 96            | 91             | 0.335   |
| MRA %    | 21    | 11           | 21            | 28             | 0.202   |
| Diuretics % | 72  | 64           | 73            | 79             | 0.324   |
| Vitamin D suppl % | 12  | 11           | 6             | 23             | 0.025   |
| Sodium (mmol/L) | 138 | (136–140)    | (135–140)     | (136–140)      | (136–141) | 0.533 |
| Potassium (mmol/L) | 4.3 | (4.1–4.7)    | (3.9–4.5)     | (4.1–4.6)      | (4.1–4.7) | 0.104 |
| Creatinine (µmol/L) | 86  | (71–108)     | (53–74)       | (71–95)        | (110–160) | <0.001 |
| Phosphate (mmol/L) | 1.18| (0.99–1.26)  | (0.98–1.25)   | (0.98–1.16)    | (1.06–1.39) | 0.002 |
| Ion-calcium (mmol/L) | 1.21| (1.18–1.23)  | (1.19–1.24)   | (1.21–1.23)    | (1.20–1.24) | 0.299 |
| Hgb mL/L | 138 | (136–140)    | (135–140)     | (136–140)      | (136–141) | 0.533 |
| P-25-OH-vitamin D (nmol/L) | 66.8 | (47.7–89.5)  | (54.0–91.0)   | (60.1–91.0)    | (50.0–88.0) | 0.448 |
| P-PTH (pmol/L) | 7.6  | (5.0–11.8)   | (4.1–8.9)     | (5.5–11.2)     | (6.4–17.2) | 0.001 |
| P-Parathyroid hormone (pmol/L) | 66.8| (40.1–74.4)  | (60.3–74.3)   | (69.8–89.5)    | 0.334   |
| P-Cortisol (μg/dL) | 130  | (141–2170)   | (252–1201)    | (200–2240)     | (1514–5367) | <0.001 |
| P-ALP (pmol/L) | 9.31 | (5.9–17.7)   | (6.2–8.2)     | (5.9–14.5)     | (9.9–43.2) | <0.001 |

| Urine | All   | eGFR group I | eGFR group II | eGFR group III | P-value |
|-------|-------|--------------|---------------|----------------|---------|
| U-Magnesium excret. mmol/24 h | 4.0  | (3.0–5.0) | (3.0–5.0) | (3.0–5.0) | (3.0–5.0) | 0.588  |
| U-Potassium excret. mmol/24 h | 74.6 | (57.0–90.5)| (54.0–91.0)| (60.1–91.0)| (50.0–88.0)| 0.448  |
| U-albuminuria mg/24 h | 22   | 26           | 23            | 17             | 0.707   |
| Albuminuria* % | 20   | 20           | 20            | 28             | 0.202   |

| Hormones | All   | eGFR group I | eGFR group II | eGFR group III | P-value |
|----------|-------|--------------|---------------|----------------|---------|
| Aldosterone (pmol/L) | 154  | (91–309)    | (86–266)      | (80–252)       | (102–484) | 0.053  |
| P-PTH (pmol/L) | 7.6  | (5.0–11.8)   | (4.1–8.9)     | (5.5–11.2)     | (6.4–17.2) | 0.001 |
| P-25-OH-vitamin D (nmol/L) | 66.8 | (40.1–74.4) | (60.3–74.3)  | (69.8–89.5)  | 0.334   |
| P-Cortisol (μg/dL) | 130  | (141–2170)  | (252–1201)    | (200–2240)     | (1514–5367) | <0.001 |
| P-ALP (pmol/L) | 9.31 | (5.9–17.7)  | (6.2–8.2)     | (5.9–14.5)     | (9.9–43.2) | <0.001 |

AF, atrial fibrillation; ACE-I/ARB, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors/angiotensin receptor blockers; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; DM, diabetes mellitus; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; excret, excretion; Hgb, haemoglobin; IHD, ischemic heart disease; LVEF, left ventricle ejection fraction; MRA, mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; NYHA, New York Heart Association functional class.

Data are presented as mean or median with interquartile ranges. P-values for the 0-hypothesis: no difference between groups I–III at a 0.05 significance level (please see section Methods, statistical analyses).

*Albuminuria was defined by albumin excretion rate ≥ 30 mg/24 h.

We further tested the association between the HF markers, LVEF, NT-proBNP, NYHA class, and the hormones, in univariate and multivariate analyses with and without eGFR in the models; these results are presented in Table S1 a–c.

To avoid overlooking a moderate effect of eGFR on hormone levels (β: 0.80), at a significance level of 0.05 (α) in a multivariate regression model including eight covariates, n was calculated at 108.18 A total of n = 150 patients were recruited to account for possible missing data. For all analyses, a two-sided P-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Patient characteristics

A total of 230 patients were referred to the clinic: 61 declined to participate, 20 accepted but did not show for the
additional visit, and finally, 149 patients participated in the study. Patient characteristics for the entire cohort and stratified according to eGFR group are listed in Table 1.

Median age was 69, and 26% were female; median LVEF was 33%, and 38% had AF at the time of the exam; 58% had IHD defined by coronary angiography descriptions.⁹ Patients with lower renal function/lower eGFR, that is, eGFR group III, were older and had lower levels of haemoglobin and higher concentrations of aldosterone, PTH, and copeptin in the univariate models; but occurrence of excess aldosterone did not differ significantly (P = 0.053). There were no significant differences in frequencies of AF, hypertension, body mass index, diabetes, IHD, or medication. Notably there was no difference in concentrations of P-ion-calcium, P-25-OH-vitamin D, or P-cortisol.

Owing to discrepancy in occurrence of excess plasma concentration of aldosterone and elevated urinary aldosterone according to eGFR groups, the association between the two variables was evaluated in a univariate linear regression model with log_{10}(urinary aldosterone excretion) as response variable and log_{10}(p-aldosterone) as explanatory variable, and they were found to be significantly associated (P < 0.001). When eGFR was added as explanatory variable to the model, the association between urinary excretion and plasma concentrations of aldosterone remained significant (P < 0.001). There was no association between log_{10}(urinary aldosterone excretion) and eGFR in a univariate linear model (P = 0.903).

### Multivariate linear regression models

In the multivariate linear regression models, aldosterone, copeptin, and PTH concentrations were associated with eGFR group after adjustment for the predefined confounders. There were no significant differences in urinary cations or urinary aldosterone. Beta coefficients for eGFR in the multivariate models for each hormone are presented in Table 2.

P-values for the multivariate models for log-transformed hormones/pro-hormone according to eGFR group are presented as bar plots in Figure 1a–c.

We constructed a multivariate logistic regression model for excess aldosterone as a binary variable adjusted for the same explanatory variables as described for the linear models and found a significant association with eGFR groups (P = 0.026) (data not presented in tables).

More patients in the eGFR groups with a low eGFR reported taking an MRA. Despite that the intake did not differ significantly between the groups (P = 0.202; Table 1), it might have resulted in a secondary increase in aldosterone concentrations contributing to the observed association between eGFR and plasma aldosterone; so we repeated the multivariate linear model with log_{10}(aldosterone) as response variable with and without MRA as a covariate, and the association with eGFR group remained significant (without, P = 0.021; with, P < 0.001).

### Univariate and multivariate analyses of the hormones and LVEF, NYHA class, and NT-proBNP

Aldosterone was not associated with LVEF (P = 0.404) or NT-proBNP (P = 0.126) in univariate analyses, but only when entering eGFR and/or the predefined covariates in multivariate analyses. Aldosterone was associated with NYHA class (P = 0.012) in both univariate and multivariate analyses, with and without eGFR.

PTH was not associated with LVEF (P = 0.586) in univariate analyses but was associated with NYHA class (P = 0.008) and NT-proBNP (P = 0.004) in univariate models. eGFR was associated with the hormone in all the models.

Copeptin was not associated with LVEF (P = 0.127) in univariate analyses but became significant when adjusted for the covariates (P = 0.032) and was associated with eGFR in all the models (P < 0.05). Copeptin was associated with NYHA class and NT-proBNP in all models (all P < 0.05).

Please refer to Table S1 a–c for all results.

### Discussion

In this cross-sectional study investigating systolic HF patients with and without RD, we observed that RD was associated with increased plasma concentrations of aldosterone, PTH,
and copeptin. These hormone axes represent potential treatment targets in the chronic cardiorenal syndrome, and our analyses underscore the importance of treatment with aldosterone antagonism in HF, in particular in patients with RD.

**Aldosterone status**

We observed a significant association between RD and aldosterone in the multivariate analyses (Figure 1A). The increased aldosterone concentrations observed in HF patients with RD are a plausible contributing factor to the increased mortality and morbidity associated with RD in HF.1,4 Blocking of the mineralocorticoid receptor with the MRAs spironolactone and eplerenone has been a large progress in reducing mortality and morbidity in HF.19,20 In addition, spironolactone was found to have greater absolute effect on mortality in the subgroup of HF patients with an eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m², which supports our finding in the multivariate analyses.20

Reduced GFR is mainly due to decreased renal blood flow in HF patients, which also activates the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system (RAAS) and the sympathetic nervous systems. Thus, reduced renal blood flow is a possible trigger of the increased aldosterone in the present study.21,22 However, P-renin and P-cortisol were not increased in patients with RD, and the precise mechanism for the observed increased aldosterone concentration cannot be deduced from our data. Urinary excretion of aldosterone did not increase with decreasing eGFR (Table 1). However, as observed in the ALOFT study, we found a significant association between plasma aldosterone concentrations and urinary excretion of aldosterone.17 A possible explanation for the discrepancy between plasma aldosterone and urinary excretion of aldosterone according to eGFR group could be a decrease in renal clearance of aldosterone with declining eGFR.

The finding of increased aldosterone concentrations in HF patients with RD underscores the importance of development for new treatment strategies for blocking of aldosterone-induced effects, as the guideline-recommended MRAs are under-utilized in this group, owing to risk of hyperkalaemia and decrease in renal function.7,8,23 In the ARTS-HF trial, a non-steroidal MRA, finerenone, is being investigated as an alternative to spironolactone and eplerenone in HF patients with reduced eGFR.24,25 The results are promising, as the Phase IIb trial showed a similar safety profile as eplerenone but less frequent occurrence of hyperkalaemia and lower events rates of the secondary endpoint of death, hospitalization of worsening of HF in patients with moderate kidney disease with an eGFR of 30 to <59 mL/min/1.73 m².26

**Calcium metabolism**

We observed that RD was associated with increased PTH concentrations. Increased plasma concentrations of PTH in
HF have been described previously and were in these studies related to HF severity, re-admission rates, and mortality risk; but an association between RD and PTH concentrations in HF has not been reported previously.\(^{27-29}\)

The exact trigger for increased PTH in HF is unknown.\(^{30}\) A suggested explanation is activation of RAAS with aldosterone-stimulated faecal and urinary excretion of cations and intracellular storing of \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\), resulting in low concentrations of extracellular \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) and secondary hyperparathyroidism to restore extracellular \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\).\(^{30,31}\) As described for aldosterone, reduced renal blood flow in RD is a possible trigger for increased activation of this pathway. However, we did not observe an increased urinary excretion of magnesium or potassium in patients with RD supportive of this explanation (Table 1).

Another possible trigger for the increased concentrations of PTH could be initial hypocalcaemia due to vitamin D deficiency, but we did not observe any difference in P-25-OH-vitamin D concentrations in the present study. This may be due to a difference in 25-OH-vitamin D supplementation (Table 1). Furthermore, unmeasured active vitamin D (1,25-OH-vitamin D) produced by the kidneys may be decreased in patients with RD despite normal plasma concentrations of inactive 25-OH-vitamin D, and the normalization of plasma concentrations of \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) may be the net result of deficiency of active 1,25-OH-vitamin D and increased concentrations of PTH. Whether treatment with paricalcitol (active 1,25-OH vitamin D) or cinacalcet (PTH receptor antagonist) can improve outcome in systolic HF patients with RD and secondary hyperparathyroidism remains to be determined, but an effect on secondary cardiovascular endpoints in patients with chronic kidney disease has been observed in two randomized clinical trials.\(^{5,6}\) However, treatment with inactive 25-OH-vitamin D has no effect on quality of life or functional class in systolic HF patients.\(^{32}\)

### Vasopressin activity

We observed that copeptin concentrations were increased in patients with RD, in accordance with previous studies.\(^{33}\) The association with RD may be due to arterial underfilling and baroreflex activation.\(^{34}\) Increased copeptin concentrations have been shown to predict mortality risk and risk of re-admission in HF.\(^{33,35}\)

In the EVEREST trial, treatment with a vaptan failed to improve long-term clinical outcome in HF.\(^{36}\) However, this result may reflect selection of patients in whom the vasopressin system was not particularly activated, because neither elevated copeptin nor vasopressin nor hyponatraemia was an inclusion criterion. In theory, systolic HF patients with RD and increased copeptin concentrations may benefit from treatment with a vaptan, but this requires further investigation.

### Relationship between cardiac function and the hormones

The additional analyses on the relationship between LVEF, NYHA, and NT-proBNP and the three hormones did not show a specific association with LVEF but did show a specific association to some degree with NYHA class and NT-proBNP, which again could be argued to reflect the degree of both HF and renal function.

There was only a significant association when eGFR and the clinical covariates were entered in the models, suggesting that the increased levels of the hormones are related to the presence of RD and not to HF alone. As increased levels of these hormones have been shown to be associated with mortality in HF populations,\(^{27,28,33,37}\) our results suggest that targeting the hormone axes in future trials possibly should be limited to HF patients with known moderate RD or increased levels of the hormones.

### Limitations

Some methodological strengths and limitations should be discussed. The generalizability of our data is limited to patients with systolic HF, considered eligible for uptitration in neurohormonal blockade and therefore represent a selected population. The results should not be extrapolated to patients with acute, advanced, or terminal HF. The included patients were examined during their first phase of uptitration of HF medication, with no specific criteria regarding status of medication. One could argue that the patients should have been examined either before any medication or after full uptitration to be strictly comparable. As the medication for treating HF is also used for hypertension and post-myocardial infarction, it is, however, difficult to investigate a HF population not being treated at all. Furthermore, HF is a chronic condition frequently without an index event like in cohorts with a myocardial infarction, which also complicate to determine the optimal experimental day from a strict point of view. Waiting to end uptitration would likely cause some selection bias (results would have been biased towards zero), as some patients especially patients with a low eGFR would have died, but selection bias in the other direction could also be the case in that patients with a low eGFR do not tolerate target doses of medication. Investigation in relation to the baseline visit in the outpatient HF clinic was therefore chosen.

The present three eGFR groups were used owing to the sample size, and it may have resulted in eGFR group I containing both patients with no renal disease, impairment due to age, or mild renal disease. As it has been shown, eGFR is a strong prognostic factor in HF and the three groups are, therefore, considered clinical meaningful. Albuminuria is also a prognostic factor in HF, and more knowledge on this...
variable is needed. We did not differ between microalbuminuria or macroalbuminuria, and the aetiology of proteinuria cannot be deduced from our results.\textsuperscript{38}

When interpreting our results, it has to be remembered that chronic kidney disease is an inhomogeneous disease, and for example, reduced renal blood flow and parenchymal renal disease may affect hormones differently. We may have underestimated the effect of RD on the different hormone axes owing to misclassification (=bias towards zero) of GFR induced by the CKD-EPI formula,\textsuperscript{11} and it may explain why RD and aldosterone excess were only associated significantly in the multivariate analyses. As mentioned in the discussion, increased copeptin levels may represent increased vasopressin production owing to arterial underfilling and renal vasoconstriction and also simply decreased clearance due to RD. Unmeasured confounding due to lack of measurement of 1,25-OH-vitamin D may in theory partly explain the association between RD and increased PTH concentrations as previously discussed, but it does not change the conclusion that calcium metabolism is affected in systolic HF patients with RD. Thus, the observed statistical associations are all biological plausible and are observed in cohorts of patients with chronic kidney disease without systolic HF, making our results likely. No measurements of activation of phosphate homeostasis through activation of FGF23–Klotho axis were performed, and whether some of the patients had secondary hyperparathyroidism due to increased FGF23 cannot be determined.

The strengths of the present data are a precise clinical and biochemical characterization of 149 systolic HF patients recruited from daily clinical practice, and we succeeded in collecting 24 h urine samples in this elderly population. Based on our results, Phase II and III trials evaluating antagonism of vasopressin and PTH are intriguing perspectives to improve outcome in the chronic cardiorenal syndrome. A third possibility could be further evaluation of a treatment strategy with non-steroidal MRA as second option, when withdrawal of the traditional steroidal MRAs is necessary owing to rising potassium or reduced renal function, as was carried out in the CHARM alternative trial.\textsuperscript{39}

**Conclusions**

RD is associated with increased concentrations of aldosterone, PTH, and copeptin in systolic HF outpatients. Our results underscore the importance of treatment with MRA in systolic HF, in particular in patients with RD, and suggest that vasopressin and parathyroid receptor antagonism are potential treatment options in HF patients with known RD.
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