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Abstract

Objectives We studied the feasibility of high-resolution T$_2$-weighted cervical cancer imaging on an ultra-high-field 7.0-T magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) system using an endorectal antenna of 4.7-mm thickness.

Methods A feasibility study on 20 stage IB$_1$–IIB cervical cancer patients was conducted. All underwent pre-treatment 1.5-T MRI. At 7.0-T MRI, an external transmit/receive array with seven dipole antennae and a single endorectal monopole receive antenna were used. Discomfort levels were assessed. Following individualised phase-based B$_1^+$ shimming, T$_2$-weighted turbo spin echo sequences were completed.

Results Patients had stage IB$_1$ ($n$=9), IB$_2$ ($n$=4), IIA$_1$ ($n$=1) or IIB ($n$=6) cervical cancer. Discomfort (ten-point scale) was minimal at placement and removal of the endorectal antenna with a median score of 1 (range, 0–5) and 0 (range, 0–2) respectively. Its use did not result in adverse events or pre-term session discontinuation. To demonstrate feasibility, T$_2$-weighted acquisitions from 7.0-T MRI are presented in comparison to 1.5-T MRI. Artefacts on 7.0-T MRI were due to motion, locally destructive B$_1$ interference, excessive B$_1$ under the external antennae and SENSE reconstruction.

Conclusions High-resolution T$_2$-weighted 7.0-T MRI of stage IB$_1$–IIB cervical cancer is feasible. The addition of an endorectal antenna is well tolerated by patients.

Key Points

• High resolution T$_2$-weighted 7.0-T MRI of the inner female pelvis is challenging
• We demonstrate a feasible approach for T$_2$-weighted 7.0-T MRI of cervical cancer
• An endorectal monopole receive antenna is well tolerated by participants
• The endorectal antenna did not lead to adverse events or session discontinuation
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Introduction

Accurate staging of cervical cancer is crucial for treatment planning and determines prognosis. Historically, to allow efficient and comparable staging in high incidence underdeveloped areas, the International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) requires clinical (i.e. non-surgical) staging by physical examination [1]. This inherently introduces understaging and overstaging, particularly for intermediate stages wherein estimation of (subtle) parametrial invasion by rectovaginal examination remains difficult, yet determines operability [2]. Studies comparing clinical and post-surgical histological stages in IB$_1$, IB$_2$, IIA$_1$–2 and IIB have reported concordance in 82–85 %, 61–77 %, 35–60 % and 20–59 % of cases, respectively [2–4].

Following the 2009 FIGO update, and supported by (inter)national guidelines, magnetic resonance imaging
(MRI) may be added to the work-up to assist clinical staging [5–7]. A meta-analysis (n = 3,254, 40 studies) showed a pooled sensitivity of 84% for detection of parametrial invasion by MRI, substantially superior to the 40% achieved by clinical examination [8]. This study also identified higher B₀ field strengths and the use of fast spin echo sequences as statistically significant factors to improve the accuracy in detecting parametrial invasion [8].

Increasing the B₀ field strength to 7.0 T, increases the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and consequently allows for higher spatial or temporal resolution acquisitions [9]. While more expensive, this is potentially advantageous for the assessment of loco-regional invasion which is a predominantly anatomic, spatial resolution-dependent assessment made on T₂-weighted MR images. Moreover, at 7.0 T, the MRI signals are obtained at much shorter wavelengths than at lower fields, facilitating the use of ultra-thin antennae [10]. While using such an antenna in close proximity to the cervix is more laborious, SNR and thereby resolution is expected to increase even further.

We built an endorectal monopole antenna and aimed to develop dedicated T₂-weighted TSE sequences for 7.0-T imaging with that antenna combined with an external coil array, to image the (para)cervical anatomy in early stage cervical cancer patients. To date, no published research exists which has attempted this. We assessed patient tolerance of using an endorectal antenna. In addition, we will present the T₂-weighted images acquired at 7.0 T, and clinical 1.5-T MRI as a visual reference.

Materials and methods

Design

We conducted a monocentre, prospective cohort study to develop, optimise and assess the feasibility of high-resolution pelvic T₂-weighted in vivo imaging on a 7.0-T MRI system using a purpose-designed endorectal antenna. Inclusion criteria were: (1) a histologically proven primary malignancy of the cervix uteri, (2) FIGO stage IB1, IB2, IIA1-2 or IIB disease, and (3) a minimum age of 18 years. Patients were excluded when (1) general contra-indications for MRI existed, (2) radical surgery had already been performed or chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy had been initiated, or (3) uterine prolapse existed (C ≥ –6 cm, POP-Q classification [11]). When eligible, subjects were consecutively counselled between March 2014 and November 2015.

The institutional review board approved this study (clinicaltrials.gov: NCT02083848). Participants provided written informed consent. Data quality, protocol adherence and safety were independently monitored by qualified staff. At our tertiary oncologic referral centre, clinical staging adheres to FIGO and national cervical cancer guidelines [1, 6]. ESM 1 provides details on the clinical 1.5-T MRI and treatment [12].

7.0-T MRI

Participants completed a safety checklist and underwent metal detector testing prior to imaging on a whole-body 7.0-T MRI system (Achieva; Philips Medical Systems, Cleveland, USA) equipped with eight-channel multi-transmit functionality. Intravenous contrast agents were not administered, nor was spasmolytic medication. Adverse events were monitored in adherence to the common terminology criteria for adverse events criteria [13].

The shortened B₁ wavelength at ultra-high-field MRI, which limits signal penetration and increases the risk of destructive interference, challenges cervical cancer imaging given its anatomical position deep in the female inner pelvis. To alleviate these issues, a local transmit/receive array consisting of seven 30-cm fractionated dipole antennae (MR Coils, Drunen, Netherlands) was used. This setup allows for per patient optimisation of the B₁ field distribution. The technical specifications of this array, including the corresponding specific absorption rate (SAR) implications, were recently published [14].

The internal monopole B₁ receive antenna was created in-house and specifically designed for endorectal use in 7.0-T MRI, and subsequently commercialised by Machnet (Maarn, Netherlands). It was positioned in a 14-Fr Foley urinary catheter with a desufflated balloon for an optimal balance between rigidity and flexibility, yielding a 4.7-mm outer diameter (Fig. 1). In addition to its sterilisation in-between sessions, a single-use, sterile cover (Ultracover 200 mm; Microtek Medical, Zutphen, Netherlands) was used. Water-based lubricating gel (K-Y; Johnson & Johnson, Sézanne, France) facilitated easy endorectal positioning. The region with optimal signal strength was located 6–10 cm beyond the anal verge. Patient-reported levels of discomfort related to the antenna—on a Likert scale from 0 (i.e. none whatsoever) to 10 (i.e. worst imaginable)—were assessed directly after introduction and removal.

Sequence parameters were optimised for each patient in the first half of the study. From inclusion 10 and on, a standardised protocol with only minor individual adaptations was used. After a multidirectional survey was obtained for anatomical localisation, phase-based B₁⁺ shimming was performed per patient to maximise and homogenise the B₁⁺ on the (para)cervical anatomy [15]. Herein, a single-slice gradient echo sequence was repeated 7 times, each time transmitting with a different transmit antenna, while receiving with all eight antennae. Next, following a shimmed survey, T₂-weighted TSE sequences in the transverse (repetition time (TR)/echo time (TE) = 7,000/100 ms, radiofrequency (RF) echo train
length = 16, flip angle = 90 degrees, matrix = 640 × 640, field of view (FoV) = 250 × 400 × 59 mm, slice thickness/gap = 3/1 mm, duration = 294 s) and sagittal plane (TR/TE = 7,000/100 ms, RF echo train length = 16, flip angle = 90 degrees, matrix = 640 × 640, FoV = 250 × 400 × 73 mm, slice thickness/gap = 3/1 mm, duration = 294 s) were created. Also, a T2-weighted TSE axial oblique sequence (TR/TE = 7,000/100 ms, RF echo train length = 16, flip angle = 90 degrees, matrix = 512 × 512, FoV = 350 × 250 × 39 mm, slice thickness/gap = 3/1 mm, duration = 322 s) angled perpendicular to the cervical canal was performed. All T2-weighted acquisitions had a voxel size of 0.7 × 0.8 × 3.0 mm and used a SENSE parallel acquisition technique (parallel reduction factor, 3). All sequences remained within the maximum local SAR limit of 10 W/kg [16].

Results

Endorectal antenna tolerance

Of the 25 women who waived participation, only one chose not to partake because of objections against the use of the endorectal antenna. In addition to the predetermined sample of 20 patients, three women provided informed consent but could not be imaged due to system unavailability. See ESM 2 for the corresponding flowchart. The baseline characteristics of the scanned population are outlined in Table 1.

Tolerance of the endorectal antenna was excellent, discomfort on the ten-point scale was ‘minimal’ at placement with a median score of 1 (range, 0–5) and reported as ‘none whatsoever’ for removal with a median score of 0 (range, 0–2). The single outlier of 5 at placement occurred in a patient who had undergone ligation of multiple haemorrhoids 1 month earlier. In contrast, a subject with a history of excisional haemorrhoidectomy 4 years earlier had uneventful placement (score, 0) and removal (score, 1). Comparable results were found in cases with irritable bowel syndrome, chronic obstipation and deep infiltrating endometriosis.

None of the participants reported pain or a heating sensation at any time, nor did any subject request pre-term termination of the MRI session. The duration in the MRI with the antenna in situ was 48.0 ± 7.3 min. One adverse event—unrelated to the antenna—was reported, namely <30 s of mild vertigo upon entering the 7.0-T MRI bore.

Cervical cancer imaging

Key to our focus on T2-weighted imaging was the visualisation of parametrial invasion, which is particularly challenging when subtle and in large tumours. Here, we present three exemplary cases which represent the range of physical examination and imaging results encountered. First, Fig. 2 presents a woman in whom the physical examination led to a stage IB2, in agreement with 1.5-T and 7.0-T MRI which indicated bilaterally absent parametrial invasion. The second example was clinically staged as IB2, though right-sided parametrial invasion was suspected on both MRIs (Fig. 3). This was motivated by unclear tumour demarcation against the parametral fat on the right—more distinct on 7.0-T MRI—and a locally interrupted T2-hypointense fibrostromal ring. The third example was a bulky IIB based on left sided parametrial invasion at rectovaginal examination. However, the 7.0-T MRI was considered suggestive of bilateral parametrial invasion (Fig. 4). All three cases received chemoradiation,
Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the 20 women who underwent 7.0-T MRI

| Characteristic                   | Median age (range) | Median BMI (range) |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|                                 | 39.3 (25.3–66.5)   years | 22.3 (18.4–36.7) kg/m² |
| Parity                          |                    |                    |
| 0                               | 9 (45 %)           |
| 1                               | 3 (15 %)           |
| 2                               | 8 (40 %)           |
| WHO performance status          |                    |                    |
| 0                               | 17 (85 %)          |
| 1                               | 3 (15 %)           |
| ASA classification              |                    |                    |
| 1                               | 13 (65 %)          |
| 2                               | 7 (35 %)           |
| Stage                           |                    |                    |
| IB1                             | 9 (45 %)           |
| IB2                             | 4 (20 %)           |
| IIA1                            | 1 (5 %)            |
| IIB                             | 6 (30 %)           |
| Tumour histology                |                    |                    |
| Squamous cell carcinoma         | 10 (50 %)          |
| Adenocarcinoma                  | 8 (40 %)           |
| Other                           | 2 (10 %)           |
| Tumour differentiation          |                    |                    |
| Grade 1                         | 3 (15 %)           |
| Grade 2                         | 8 (40 %)           |
| Grade 3                         | 7 (35 %)           |
| Not applicable                  | 2 (10 %)           |
| LVSI present                    | 5 (25 %)           |
| Lymph node metastasesa          | 4 (20 %)           |
| Treatment                       |                    |                    |
| Robot ass. laparoscopic SLN + PLND + RVT or RH | 7 (35 %) |
| Robot ass. laparoscopic SLN + PLND + RH + adjuvant Rthb | 1 (5 %) |
| Robot ass. laparoscopic SLN + PLND + chemoradiationc | 1 (5 %) |
| PLND + RH via laparotomyd       | 1 (5 %)            |
| Chemoradiation                  | 10 (50 %)          |

BMI body mass index, WHO World Health Organisation, ASA American Society of Anaesthesiologists, LVSI lymphvascular space invasion, SLN sentinel lymph node procedure, PLND pelvic lymph node dissection, RVT radical vaginal trachelectomy, RH radical hysterectomy, Rth radiotherapy

a Determined by a composite of the SLN procedure, PLND or PET-CT as available
b Adjuvant radiotherapy was indicated due to a ≤5-mm resection margin
c Chemoradiation substituted radical hysterectomy because of intraoperatively detected tumour-positive sentinel lymph nodes
d After diagnosis and staging at our centre, this patient preferred treatment at a different hospital where no laparoscopic radical surgery was performed

hence no definitive histological proof of invasion was provided. The mean interval between the clinical 1.5-T and experimental 7.0-T MRI was 13.7 ± 11.8 days. None of the nine included women with a clinical stage IB1 tumour had an unexpected histological finding of parametrial invasion following their radical surgery.

A prior loop excision, sharp conisation or both were performed in three, one and two women, respectively. The interval of this surgery to the clinical 1.5-T and 7.0-T MRI was a median 42 days (range, 32–44 days) and 47 days (range, 41–57 days) respectively. After radical surgery, final histology did not show residual invasive tumour in any of these cases.
Artefacts

On sagittal acquisitions, motion artefacts in the phase encoding direction, caused by breathing, occurred relatively frequently (Fig. 5a). Secondly, non-essential anatomical regions were variably obscured by signal voids caused by destructive interference of B$_1$—due to the short RF wavelength at 7.0 T—from the multiple independent external transmit antennae (Fig. 5b). Thirdly, superficial black semicircular inversion bands were present due to the inherently much higher B$_1$ levels directly under the elements of the external transmit/receive antenna array (Fig. 5c). While encountered in all participants, it posed no clinical problem as only the subcutaneous fat was obscured. Fourthly, small SENSE reconstruction artefacts were incidentally seen, and are likely caused by destructive interference in the receive signals of the SENSE reference scan (Fig. 5d).

Discussion

This feasibility study showed that T$_2$-weighted cervical cancer imaging at 7.0 T is achievable and that the incorporation of an endorectal antenna is well tolerated by
patients. We have presented the acquired images, referenced against 1.5-T MRI, relevant for local tumour assessment. To our knowledge, no literature currently exists on 7.0-T MRI in cervical cancer, which in the past has been termed ‘a considerable challenge’ [17]. The presented study demonstrates a feasible approach to body imaging for pathology in the female pelvis.

Earlier research on 7.0-T MRI in the female pelvis was obtained with an external coil array only, limited to healthy volunteers and reported moderate image quality of T2-weighted sequences [18]. Our approach incorporated an endorectal monopole antenna for optimal signal capture, improving the SNR, deep in the inner pelvis [19]. Its use was not judged as uncomfortable, nor did it prohibit study accrual. Furthermore,

**Fig. 4** a Transverse T2-weighted acquisition from the 7.0-T MRI of a 65-year-old woman diagnosed with a 50-mm moderately differentiated squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix. b Slice from the same acquisition as a, though positioned 8 mm cranially. Only left-sided parametrial invasion was judged present at rectovaginal palpation, leading to a clinical stage IIB. However, the bilaterally unclear tumour demarcation and absent T2 hypointense fibrostromal ring are suggestive of bilaterally sided parametrial invasion (arrows). c, d The matched transverse T2-weighted slices from the clinical 1.5-T MRI, created 16 days earlier, are provided for comparison. Note the free fluid in the rectouterine pouch (Douglas).

**Fig. 5** Image artefacts that were encountered on 7.0-T MRI were a motion artefacts, b locally destructive B1 interference, c inversion bands due to too much B1 under the external transmit/receive antennae and d SENSE reconstruction artefacts. Note the unrelated vaginal tampon (asterisk) in c.
in our small sample, no adverse events related to the antenna were encountered.

The research group led by Nandita deSouza has published extensively on their in-house built 37-mm ring-shaped solenoid receive coil, placed endovaginally around the cervix, for 0.5- to 3.0-T MRI in stage IA, IB1 and IIA cervical cancer [20, 21]. Its application appears limited to relatively small lesions, though accurate in tumour detection and volume calculation [22–24]. Unfortunately, for parametrial invasion detection on T2-weighted imaging no conclusions have thus far been reached on the added value of this solenoid receive coil [25]. In a recent study on radical surgery (n = 25), only one patient had unexpected parametrial extension which was missed on MRI with the solenoid receive coil [25].

In line with the above, a limitation of our study is that none of the women clinically suspected of parametrial invasion had histological confirmation. The risk of partial verification bias is inherent to current practice guidelines, which preclude radical surgery for women with tumour extension outside the cervix [6, 7, 26]. While definitive proof would have strengthened our case presentation, this was prohibited by the inherent design of our study which was not aimed at diagnostic accuracy.

Several technical challenges in our study on pelvic imaging at ultra-high field strength merit further explanation. The SNR advantage of the endorectal antenna is local, which limits the high-resolution field of view in the feet-head direction and does not—for example—permit enhanced visualisation of lymph nodes at the common iliac arteries [19]. While relevant for a clinical MRI protocol, this was not an objective of the current study, which focused on the feasibility of primary tumour imaging. Secondly, at ultra-high field strengths the tissue RF power deposition is substantial and, in RF pulse intensive sequences like TSE used for T2-weighted imaging, leads to SAR constraints. As a consequence, the repetition time has to be increased, which lengthens the scan protocol. Internal antennae may, however, alleviate this by taking advantage of its highly non-uniform spatial field distribution that can be used for zoomed imaging or high imaging accelerations [14]. In addition, the short B1 wavelength at ultra-high field strengths causes B1 inhomogeneity and destructive interference, yielding artefacts which may obscure relevant parts of the inner pelvic anatomy. Using multi-dimensional RF pulses, these artefacts may be removed [27]. Our individualised B1 shimming approach, made possible by using an external body array coil with multiple elements in parallel transmission, ensured that key anatomical regions of interest (i.e. the cervix) remained visible. Finally, the SENSE reconstruction algorithm that was implemented by the manufacturer, uses at the time of the study a reference scan with a constant amplitude and phase weighting during reception. This can cause destructive interferences during reception, causing artefacts (Fig. 5d). These artefacts can be mitigated using interferometry techniques [28].

Future studies should focus on whether our experimental imaging technique improves clinical decision making. This includes quantifying both the diagnostic test accuracy and observer variability (i.e. reproducibility). Furthermore, we focused on T2-weighted imaging as it is relevant for local tumour assessment, though for clinical implementation additional sequences such as T1-weighted MRI are desired [29]. The addition of functional imaging such as 1H or 31P MR spectroscopy—current experience in cervical cancer is limited to 1.5- to 3.0-T MRI—may benefit from the increased spectral and spatial resolution at ultra-high B0 field strengths [30, 31].

In conclusion, the use of an endorectal monopole antenna to improve the SNR at the level of the cervix was well tolerated by participants and not associated with any real discomfort, nor did it lead to adverse events or hinder study accrual. We established the feasibility of T2-weighted cervical cancer imaging with 7.0-T MRI. While further research is needed to reduce artefacts and substantiate its clinical impact, we demonstrated that high-resolution T2-weighted acquisitions deep in the female pelvis can be achieved with ultra-high-field MRI. This combination of ultra-high-field MRI and an internal antenna is promising and merits further research, including pelvic imaging for indications beyond cervical cancer.
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