Non-invasive Ventilation and CPAP Failure in Children and Indications for Invasive Ventilation
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Non-invasive ventilation (NIV) and continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) are effective treatments for children with severe sleep disordered breathing (SDB). However, some patients may present too severe SDB that do not respond to NIV/CPAP or insufficient compliance to treatment. A careful reevaluation of the interface and ventilator settings should be performed before considering alternative treatments. In patients with obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), alternatives to CPAP/NIV rely on the underlying disease. Ear-nose-throat (ENT) surgery such as adeno-tonsillectomy (AT), turbinectomy or supraglottoplasty represent an effective treatment in selected patients before starting CPAP/NIV and should be reconsidered in case of CPAP failure. Rapid maxillary expansion (RME) is restricted to children with OSA and a narrow palate who have little adenotonsillar tissue, or for those with residual OSA after AT. Weight loss is the first line therapy for obese children with OSA before starting CPAP and should remain a priority in the long-term. Selected patients may benefit from maxillo-facial surgery such as mandibular distraction osteogenesis (MDO) or from neurosurgery procedures like fronto-facial monobloc advancement. Nasopharyngeal airway (NPA) or high flow nasal cannula (HFNC) may constitute efficient alternatives to CPAP in selected patients. Hypoglossal nerve stimulation has been proposed in children with Down syndrome not tolerant to CPAP. Ultimately, tracheostomy represents the unique alternative in case of failure of all the above-mentioned treatments. All these treatments require a multidisciplinary approach with a personalized treatment tailored on the different diseases and sites of obstruction. In patients with neuromuscular, neurological or lung disorders, non-invasive management in case of NIV failure is more challenging. Diaphragmatic pacing has been proposed for some patients with central congenital hypoventilation syndrome (CCHS) or neurological disorders, however its experience in children is limited. Finally, invasive ventilation via tracheotomy represents again the ultimate alternative for children with severe disease and little or no ventilatory autonomy. However, ethical considerations weighting the efficacy against the burden of this treatment should be discussed before choosing this last option.
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INTRODUCTION

Long term non-invasive ventilation (NIV) and continuous positive pressure (CPAP) are increasingly used in children with sleep disordered breathing (SDB) (1–4). The choice of the respiratory support (NIV or CPAP), relies on the underlying physiopathological mechanisms. CPAP is the treatment of choice in severe upper airway obstruction as in obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) (3, 5, 6) or in some cases of lower upper airway involvement as in patients with tracheo or bronchomalacia or bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD) (7). NIV represents the first line treatment for chronic respiratory failure associated with neuromuscular disorders (3, 8, 9), central nervous system abnormalities (10, 11), lung diseases (12, 13), chest wall deformities or obesity and hypoventilation (3, 5, 14). Independently from the underlying disease, the aim of the treatment is to normalize overnight and daytime gas exchange and sleep efficiency, improve neurocognitive outcomes, and decrease morbidity and mortality. These benefits may be achieved if NIV or CPAP are able to efficiently counteract the pathological abnormalities responsible of SDB and if treatment adherence is sufficient. Therefore, NIV or CPAP may fail because of a too severe disease or because the child refuses or do not tolerate the NIV/CPAP for a sufficient amount of time.

Alternatives to a non-invasive ventilatory support vary according to the underlying disease severity, the patient's behavioral and cognitive status, the family support and the expected benefit of NIV/CPAP treatment. Treatment options change with chid's age and the need for NIV/CPAP requires a constant evaluation. From a practical point of view, treatment failure and therapeutic options must be evaluated in the light of the underlying pathological mechanism and the expected benefit. This review analyzes the current alternative therapeutic options to CPAP and NIV in children with OSA and in children with nocturnal hypoventilation due to neuromuscular, neurological, thoracic, and lung disorders.

OBSTRUCTIVE SLEEP APNEA (OSA)

OSA is defined as the “recurrent partial or complete upper airway obstruction (hypopneas, obstructive or mixed apneas) with disruption of normal oxygenation, ventilation and sleep pattern (15).” The prevalence of OSA in children varies widely from 0.1 to 13% (16). OSA is classically associated with tonsillar hypertrophy in otherwise healthy children, and most of the literature data concerns this population. These children normally have mild to moderate OSA that resolves after adeno-tonsillectomy (AT), and do not require long term CPAP (6, 17, 18). OSA is more common and more severe in children with associated conditions such as congenital craniofacial malformations [e.g., Pierre Robin syndrome (19), complex craniofacial abnormalities (20–22), syndromic craniostenosis (23–25)], metabolic or endocrinology disorders [Prader Willi syndrome (20, 26, 27), storage diseases (21, 28)] or genetic conditions [Down syndrome (22, 29, 30)]. The age of these children with “complex OSA” ranges from newborns to young adults. In children with complex OSA the airway obstruction is most often multifactorial and multilevel requiring an objective assessment and treatment of the different abnormalities that contribute to OSA (31). Moreover, they are also more likely to have persistent OSA after upper airway surgery and represent the large majority of children treated with CPAP (1–3, 5). No data are available in the pediatric population, however the expected benefits of CPAP on nocturnal gas exchange, sleep disruption and cardiovascular outcomes are related to CPAP compliance, with a probable positive dose–effect relationship (32). CPAP should ideally be used during the total physiological sleep time, which may exceed 12 h in infants (e.g., Pierre Robin, Treacher-Collins syndrome). No minimal CPAP/NIV utilization has been validated in children. Some authors propose a minimal use of more than 4 h per night for at least 70% of the nights over a 30 days period (33) while others propose more of 50% of the total sleep time (34). In our center, we recommend an utilization of at least 6 h per night for more than 80% of nights (35).

Checking of Equipment and Educational Support

Objective assessment of CPAP/NIV utilization is mandatory. First of all, in case low adherence, the first step is to check the equipment. Figure 1 shows a proposed algorithm for alternatives to CPAP/NIV in cases of OSA. As almost all CPAP and NIV devices have built-in software, the first step is to check this data in order to identify possible pitfalls. Device settings must correspond to the prescribed settings. As most of devices have been designed for adult patients, manufactures indicate a minimal weight for correct detection of patients flow. In case of infants, this may underestimate the real use of the device (33, 36). The detailed analysis of the overnight pattern may identify troubleshoot issues like frequent nighttime awakenings or feeding routine in infants (34). Careful evaluation of unintentional leaks should be performed since unintentional leaks may cause conjunctival irritation or excessive mouth dryness. The proper mask fitting should be checked in order to rapidly correct skin injury which is common in infants and children with craniofacial malformations.

The combined analysis of in-built software data and nocturnal pulse oximetry or a sleep study with CPAP/NIV may identify residual respiratory events that require settings changes (35, 37, 38). Autotitrating CPAP may be used as a tool to titrate CPAP level in older children (39). Switch from CPAP to NIV must be considered when high pressures are needed to correct residual obstructive events or if nocturnal hypoventilation persists despite optimal CPAP.

The patient’s and caregiver’s motivation and support should be assessed if the compliance remains low after checking of all technical issues. Most studies reported a suboptimal compliance with CPAP uses ranging from 4.7 to 5.3 h/night despite a behavioral program and a close follow-up (40, 41). Supportive interventions, educational interventions and behavioral therapy have proven their efficacy to improve CPAP compliance in adult patients (42). To our knowledge, only one study evaluated the effect of educational interventions on CPAP compliance in children, and showed that the benefit of education intervention
was maintained over time in 3 out of 4 preschool children (43). Importantly, especially for children, it is crucial to choose age- and developmental-adjusted interventions that best match individual patient needs in order to reach the most successful and cost-effective therapy (35). Finally, in those children who have a disease that may improve with age and whose adherence decreases, a sleep study is recommended in order to assess the possibility of CPAP or NIV weaning.

Management of CPAP Failure in OSA

OSA may change with child's growth, with some children improving spontaneously while other deteriorate, justifying a continuous multidisciplinary assessment (44). Despite a high rate of residual OSA after AT, repeated or alternative upper airway surgery in children with craniofacial abnormalities or neurological disorders may cure or improve OSA (45–49). Surgical procedures other than AT may be indicated in children with "complex OSA." In this selected population drug induced sleep endoscopy (DISE) allows the identification of the actual site(s) of obstruction, allowing an optimal individualized treatment (50). Based on the type and site(s) of obstruction, common alternative approaches are turbinectomy, lingual tonsillectomy, supraglottoplasty, uvulopalatopharyngoplasty (UPPP), mandibular distraction osteogenesis (MDO), tongue base procedures, and tongue-lip adhesion. Inferior turbinate hypertrophy (ITH) is a common cause of nasal obstruction in children, especially in those with craniofacial abnormalities. The aim of turbinectomy is to reduce bony turbinate and erectile submucosal tissue while preserving warming and humidification of inspired air. Turbinectomy can be performed at any age and may be associated with adenoidectomy in selected cases depending on patient's anatomical characteristics (51). UPPP is a technique widely used for the management of OSA in adults but not in children. It has mainly been used in children at high risk for persistent upper airway obstruction after AT alone, including children with Down syndrome and neurological disorders (52–54). Potential complications include nasopharyngeal stenosis, palatal incompetence, and speech difficulties. However, the small sample size of the studies, the absence of control groups, and paucity of validated outcome measures preclude any conclusion on the usefulness of this procedure in a broader pediatric population. In children with obesity and Down syndrome, obstruction at the base of tongue is increasingly recognized as a cause of persistent OSA after AT (55–60). Endoscopic-assisted coblation lingual tonsillectomy is effective to treat tongue base collapse in children with OSA (61). Newer techniques like trans oral robotic surgery (TORS) allow an accurate view of lingual base and a better and more efficient dissection of tissues. This may improve the efficacy of the procedure as shown in a retrospective case series of syndromic
and non-syndromic patients with residual OSA after AT or with (CPAP) failure (62).

Rapid maxillary expansion (RME) is an orthodontic treatment that widens the palate and nasal passage, thereby increasing airway caliber and reducing nocturnal upper airway obstruction. The technique can only be used prior to midline fusion of the maxilla, which generally occurs shortly prior to puberty. RME is proposed after the 4th year of life, when the second deciduous molars of the upper jaw have erupted, and the device is usually removed after a period of 12 months (63). RME is restricted to children with OSA and a narrow palate (crossbite) who have little adenotonsillar tissue, or for those with residual OSA after AT. Orthopedic mandibular advancement (OMA) aims to correct dental and skeletal retrognathia by re-directing the mandible into a more forward and downward position. This aims at increasing the opening of the oropharyngeal airway during wake and sleep. Despite its large use in adults as an alternative to CPAP (64, 65) only few studies analyzed the efficacy of this treatment in children. In young adolescents, OMA has been shown to be an effective technique to correct moderate OSA with a mean apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) reduction of 5 events/h (66).

MDO consists in the application of internal or external distraction devices that are placed on each mandibular branch. MDO has been used with success to treat severe OSA due to mandibular hypoplasia, particularly in infants with congenital mandibular hypoplasia such as Pierre Robin sequence or Treacher Collins (67–69). Successful treatment of airway obstruction (defined as either tracheotomy avoidance or decannulation, no need for CPAP, or significant improvement or absence of OSA symptoms) is reported in almost 90% of children by a recent meta-analysis (70). However, rate of postoperative complications is high, with more than 20% of patients having osteomyelitis, epidural abscess, open bite deformity, nerve injuries, or hypertrophic scarring. Little information is available concerning the long term efficacy of MDO performed early in life (71). These data suggest that MDO may be an option for severe OSA in selected patients with mandibular hypoplasia related to congenital craniofacial defects, but the high rate of complications and the possible reoccurrence of OSA limits it use. Other surgical techniques like frontofacial monobloc advancement, or Lefort type 2 or 3 are reserved to congenital facial bone deformities such as faciocraniodystostosis (72–75).

Tracheotomy is an invasive technique that represents the ultimate rescue therapy for children with severe OSA for whom no other therapeutic options are available. On the other hand, in many cases tracheotomy represents a safe and easy to manage therapy. Moreover, practice often depends on local training habits and on the expertise of the different centers, with some team preferring tracheotomy for failure of conservative methods (76). Although tracheotomy is an effective treatment for severe OSA, it may be associated with complications, such scarring, granulous tissue, bleeding, tracheoesophageal fistula, accidental decannulation, tube occlusion or even death, in around 43–77% of children (77, 78). However, some of these complications may be reduced by choosing between the different surgical techniques, or by having particular care in the choice of cannula quality and positioning. Finally, before performing the tracheotomy a complete airway endoscopy is recommended in patients with complex OSA since many of them may present with associated tracheal bronchial malformations that could reduce the efficacy of tracheostomy. Therefore, even if life-saving in some cases, the decision for a tracheotomy should be carefully discussed with a multidisciplinary team, the patient and the parents. It is also important to note that tracheostomy in this setting may be considered as a bridge therapy to lesser invasive methods since most of these children and infants may have a progressive spontaneous improvement of airway obstruction with age or a decrease of OSA severity after surgical treatment allowing a post-operative decannulation (79–81).

Other Therapies

Hypoglossal nerve stimulation is a technique that consists in an implantable device that delivers electrical impulses to tongue protrusor muscles during inspiration aiming at reducing the collapsibility of the upper airway. Hypoglossal nerve stimulation has been shown to be effective in selected adults with OSA and no obesity or circumferential velopharynx collapse (82, 83). This technique has been proposed in some children and adolescents with Down syndrome who had persistent OSA after ENT surgery and who were not adherent to CPAP treatment with a significant improvement in the AHI and quality of life (84, 85), with no surgical complications. However, information on long term follow up is lacking.

Nasopharyngeal airway (NPA) refers to the placement of a modified endotracheal tube in the hypopharynx. In infants with Pierre Robin syndrome or other congenital abnormalities of the upper airway, NPA has shown to be effective and well-tolerated, even if 10–20% of children still require tracheostomy (86–88). The technique is limited to some specialized centers and complications such as displacement of the tube and nostril stenosis have been reported (89).

High flow nasal cannula (HFNC) is a non-invasive ventilatory device that is increasingly used for the treatment of acute and chronic respiratory failure in adults and children (90, 91). HFNC consists of the delivery of high flowing heated and humidified air through the nose, with a fraction of oxygen (FiO2) that may be set from 21% to nearly 100%. The nasal cannulas used with HFNC are less invasive and more comfortable than nasal masks or nasal prongs used for CPAP therapy. A few case series have reported the use of HFNC in children with OSA (91–93). Our group recently reported the efficacy of HFNC as a rescue therapy for OSA in children and infants non-compliant to CPAP (94). Also, the use of HFNC interface with a modified circuit connected to a standard ventilator has been reported in infants and children not tolerant to CPAP (95).

Some adjuvant therapies may be indicated for selected patients. Weight loss should be the first line therapy for obese children with OSA (6, 15). However, the presumption that weight loss is beneficial in obese children with OSA is based primarily upon evidence from adults. This may be explained by the difficulty to achieve a sufficient weight loss in the large majority of obese children. The few studies that have evaluated the effect of weight loss on OSA in obese children suggested that OSA is likely to improve if a sufficient weight loss is
achieved. Verhulst et al. reported that weight loss was able to improve OSA in 62% of obese teenagers attending a residential treatment center (96). Similar results were reported by Siegfried and colleagues in a similar setting of obese adolescents admitted to a rehabilitation center (97). However, it has to be noted that weight loss achieved in a rehabilitation center may not be extended to home. Moreover, patients with morbid obesity due to some genetical disorders like Prader Willi syndrome or rapid-onset obesity with hypothalamic dysregulation, hypoventilation, and autonomic dysregulation (ROHHAD) weight loss programs are frequently ineffective. For adolescents with morbid obesity and OSA and/or other obesity-associated morbidities, weight loss surgery may be an option (98, 99).

Intranasal corticosteroids or leukotriene modifier therapy have been shown to improve children with mild surgery-naïve OSA or mild residual OSA after AT. This treatment may decrease nasal inflammation and improve nasal obstruction especially in those children with chronic nasal obstruction due to chronic allergic rhinitis or who had difficulties in tolerating CPAP/NIV nasal interfaces.

Positional therapy may be considered when a school-aged child, adolescent, or older teenager presents obstructive respiratory events, occurring exclusively or predominantly in the supine position as compared to the other positions. Several tools such as pillows and belts are commercially available. Positional therapy has not been well-evaluated in children, and a sleep study should be performed to verify its efficacy case per case.

Management of NIV Failure

Hypoventilation, defined by hypercapnia and hypoxemia, is the consequence of an imbalance between respiratory load and the ability of the respiratory muscles to generate an adequate alveolar ventilation (100). Some patients may have clinical unapparent hypoventilation without hypoxemia but others present with associated oxygen desaturations. In these latter the administration of oxygen alone may lead to a worsening of hypoventilation. Since in most of the cases the underlying disorders relies on respiratory muscles weakness or central drive failure, NIV and not CPAP is the treatment of choice to restore normal nocturnal gas exchange. Most of the patients have progressive chronic diseases such as neuromuscular or neurological disorders with little or no improvement over time. This means that, in the majority of cases, NIV represents a long-term treatment and that persistent treatment adherence is of paramount importance. In most of these patients, and in particular in those with neuromuscular disorders, NIV is initially used during the night since nocturnal hypoventilation is the predominant abnormality in the first stages of the disease. In case of low or inadequate adherence to treatment the first step is to check equipment for common pitfalls, as already described for OSA patients. Particular attention should be given to the detection of patient-ventilator asynchronies and unintentional leaks (often mouth leaks), since these represent the most common residual respiratory events in children treated with NIV (101). Then, side effects such as skin injury due to pressure sores, eye irritation due to unintentional air leaks, facial deformity (102) or aerophagia and gastric distension should be looked for and managed promptly. As for patients with OSA, educational interventions and behavioral support should be offered to patients and their families.

Patients with congenital central hypoventilation syndrome (CCHS) and those with spinal cord injury and elective diaphragm palsy may be eligible for diaphragm pacing (DP). DP consists on an implantable device that sends electrical impulses to the diaphragm via the phrenic nerve. Successful decannulation and management of SDB in pediatric CCHS patients have been reported, even though treatment efficacy should be carefully assessed because this approach may not be sufficient or cause obstructive respiratory events (103, 104).

Finally, when respiratory insufficiency progress, especially in children with advanced neuromuscular disease, NIV may fail to guarantee efficient correction of nocturnal and daytime gas exchange. Some groups reported successful experience with NIV in totally ventilator-dependent children with neuromuscular disease (105), while others consider that a minimal time per day of spontaneous breathing is needed in order to balance medical benefit and quality of life of the child and his family (106). In this case invasive ventilation (IV), may represent an alternative to NIV although it represents an option which has to be prepared and discussed thoroughly with the child and his parents (107). In children with a severe underlying disease with a rapidly fatal outcome, developmental delay or severe physical or cognitive disabilities tracheostomy may be even more controversial since the transition from NIV to IV may be associated with a too high burden with regard to the improvement in quality of life of the child and his family (108).

CONCLUSION

CPAP and NIV are widely used to treat SDB in infants and children. However, their efficacy depends on treatment adherence and underlying diseases severity. Checking of equipment and patient’s and caregiver’s education are of paramount importance to achieve an optimal CPAP/NIV use. For patients with OSA and CPAP failure, a multidisciplinary approach is recommended in order to choose the most appropriate therapeutic option. In patients with nocturnal hypoventilation due to neuromuscular or neurological conditions, the range of alternative therapies is limited. Tracheostomy with or without invasive ventilation represents an option that need to be discussed with the patients and families, and ethical considerations weighting the efficacy against the burden of this treatment should be discussed.
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