Probing the Ecology and Climate of the Eocene Southern Ocean With Sand Tiger Sharks *Striatolamia macrota*
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**Abstract**

Many explanations for Eocene climate change focus on the Southern Ocean—where tectonics influenced oceanic gateways, ocean circulation reduced heat transport, and greenhouse gas declines prompted glaciation. To date, few studies focus on marine vertebrates at high latitudes to discern paleoecological and paleoenvironmental impacts of this climate transition. The Tertiary Eocene La Meseta (TELM) Formation has a rich fossil assemblage to characterize these impacts; *Striatolamia macrota*, an extinct (†) sand tiger shark, is abundant throughout the La Meseta Formation. Body size is often tracked to characterize and integrate across multiple ecological dimensions. †S. macrota body size distributions indicate limited changes during TELMs 2–5 based on anterior tooth crown height (n = 450, mean = 19.6 ± 6.4 mm). Similarly, environmental conditions remained stable through this period based on δ¹⁸O values reported from tooth enamloid (n = 42; 21.5 ± 1.6‰), which corresponds to a mean temperature of 22.0 ± 4.0°C. Our preliminary εNd (n = 4) results indicate an early Drake Passage opening with Pacific inputs during TELM 2–3 (45–43 Ma) based on single unit variation with an overall radiogenic trend. Two possible hypotheses to explain these observations are (1) †S. macrota modified its migration behavior to ameliorate environmental changes related to the Drake Passage opening, or (2) the local climate change was small and gateway opening had little impact. While we cannot rule out an ecological explanation, a comparison with climate model results suggests that increased CO₂ produces warm conditions that also parsimoniously explain the observations.

**Plain Language Summary**

The body temperature of sharks is regulated by their surrounding waters and so there are limited species in cold, polar regions. In the past, there were periods of time (e.g., a geologic time period called the Eocene, 56–33.9 million years ago) where the Earth was much warmer and sharks were abundant in ocean waters all over the globe. For instance, fossil shark teeth have been found in Eocene sediments in Antarctica, which provides an example of this distribution. We analyzed the chemistry of these fossil shark teeth, which provides environmental clues to understand how the climate changed during the Eocene. We also measured shark tooth size, which is related to the length and age of shark, to understand the life stage of sharks living in the area. Our study found that sand tiger sharks of all ages lived in this location and water temperatures recorded by their teeth stayed constant over time, despite the clear continental movement and changes in ocean circulation. Future studies of ancient climate should consider the potential of shark teeth to contribute to their analyses and geologic reconstructions.

1. Introduction

The Eocene marked a period of climate vastly different from today with temperate ecosystems at high latitudes (i.e., Douglas et al., 2014; Eberle & Greenwood, 2012). During this time, climate transitioned from greenhouse to icehouse conditions (Zachos et al., 2008) and impacted the evolutionary history of flora and fauna (Gingerich, 2006; Jocque et al., 2010; Krug et al., 2010; Millar, 1993; Scheibner et al., 2005). Antarctica is central to hypotheses related to this climate shift due to its contiguity to tectonic gateways (i.e., Drake Passage and Tasman Gateway) and amplified temperature effects at high latitudes.
Bijl et al., 2013; Borrelli et al., 2014; Kennett, 1977). The interplay between climate and ecology during the Eocene Antarctic is recorded in the remains of temperate marine and terrestrial taxa that indicate different environmental conditions than those today (i.e., Mörs et al., 2020).

The Tertiary Eocene La Meseta (TELM) Fm. on Seymour Island is located east of the Antarctic Peninsula and regarded as the "Rosetta Stone" for Southern Hemisphere evolution because of its excellent preservation of diverse, high latitude flora and fauna that captures the Cenozoic shift from greenhouse to icehouse conditions (Cantrill & Poole, 2012; Figure 1). In addition, the La Meseta Fm. includes a fossil record rich with shark teeth; to date, there are 35 species from 22 families of Chondrichthyes, the class including chimeroids, batoids, skates, rays, and sharks identified and described from studies over the past 40 years (see, e.g., Engelbrecht et al., 2017a, 2017b, 2017c, 2017d, 2019; Grande & Eastman, 1991; Kriwet, 2005; Kriwet et al., 2016; Long, 1992; Long & Stilwell, 2000; Marramà et al., 2018; Welton & Zinsmeister, 1980). Here, we delve into the paleoecology of the ancient sand tiger shark, †Striatolamia macrota (Agassiz), an extinct (denoted with †), cosmopolitan species found in Eocene nearshore deposits that span from the Arctic (Padilla et al., 2014) to Antarctica (Welton & Zinsmeister, 1980), including midlatitude sites in both hemispheres (Cappetta, 2012). Remains of sand tiger sharks are extremely abundant throughout the La Meseta Fm. (Kriwet et al., 2016). Paleoecological insight to sand tiger sharks from the La Meseta Fm. compliment the extensive systematic work to date on chondrichthyan fossils (i.e., Engelbrecht et al., 2017a, 2017b, 2017c, 2017d, 2019; Kriwet et al., 2016; Long, 1992; Long & Stilwell, 2000) and geochemical analyses elucidate paleoceanographic conditions.

The extinct sand tiger shark, †S. macrota, belongs to the family Odontaspidae in the order Lamniformes and is largely found in Paleocene to Miocene strata (Cappetta, 2012; Kriwet, 2005; Reguero et al., 2012; Figure 2). The modern-day analog for †S. macrota is considered to be Carcharias taurus due to similar tooth morphologies and similar inferred habitat preferences based on community assemblage and sedimentology (Case, 1992; Cunningham, 2000; Kim et al., 2014; Kriwet et al., 2016). Carcharias taurus is one of three extant sand tiger shark species, and its ecology is well studied off the coasts of Australia (Otway & Ellis, 2011) and eastern United States (Kneebone et al., 2012; Teter et al., 2015). Modern C. taurus is known to live in temperate, nearshore waters on continental shelves and migrate annually with a high degree of site fidelity (Kneebone et al., 2012). Additionally, satellite and telemetry tagging studies indicate a preference for

Figure 1. (a) Location of the La Meseta Formation on Seymour Island in relation to South America, Antarctica, and the Drake Passage and (b) in relation to other islands of the Antarctic Peninsula. (c) The relative positioning of TELMs on Seymour Island (from Gaździcki & Majewski, 2012) and (d) proposed TELM chronologies with an indication of absolute age.
surface waters (<90 m) with a limited temperature range (17–24°C), and marine waters, although there is regular movement to low salinity waters (e.g., 25 in Delaware Bay; Kneebone et al., 2012; Teter et al., 2015). In this study, we refer to the extinct Eocene †S. macrota and extant C. taurus collectively as "sand tiger" sharks.

Here, we seek to explore the interplay between ecology and environment in †S. macrota via body size distribution during the Eocene greenhouse—icehouse transition. Shark tooth height directly relates to body size (Shimada, 2004), which is an important ecological characteristic explored in modern and paleontological studies. Body size reflects energy balance, for which there are different demands related to ontogeny, predator pressure, resource availability, and temperature regulation (e.g., Savage et al., 2004; Smith et al., 2010). Studies find that body size plays a role in food web structure (Brown et al., 2004; Cohen et al., 2003; Woodward et al., 2005). While there are no studies that explore the interactive effects of ecology and environment on shark body size, we assume that as ectotherms, their thermal regulation and therefore metabolic rate would be tied to water temperature (Riemer et al., 2018). Further, the response of body size to temperature can alter population dynamics in fish (Lindmark et al., 2018), which has implications related to modern and ancient climate change. Previous studies demonstrated that tooth crown height scales with total body length in sharks as individuals continuously generate new teeth throughout their lifetime (i.e., Shimada, 2002, 2004). Sharks mostly have heterodont dentitions, similar to mammals, and tooth positions can be identified based on morphology then related to body size (Kriwet et al., 2015; Shimada, 1997, 2003, 2004, 2006b, 2019). The abundance of shark teeth in some fossil localities provides an opportunity to determine a body size distribution (i.e., Pimiento & Balk, 2015) that can be associated with environmental conditions (i.e., temperature and salinity) via geochemical analyses (i.e., Kim et al., 2014) or habitat use with morphological context (i.e., Pimiento et al., 2010; Villafaña et al., 2020). Although the Eocene Antarctic was substantially warmer than today and could support temperate taxa, its geographic position resulted in prolonged periods with limited sunlight, reduced temperatures, and seasonal productivity cycles.
Geochemical analyses of fossil shark teeth also yield valuable paleoclimate and paleogeographic data, as teeth record ancient ocean chemistry and temperature within their enameloid. The biological apatite (i.e., bioapatite) of shark enameloid is a fluorapatite (Ca₅(PO₄)ₓF). The oxygen isotope composition of bioapatite is dependent on temperature and body water, which is in steady state with environmental water δ¹⁸O values (Lécuyer et al., 2013; Longinelli & Nuti, 1973; Pucéat et al., 2010). Further, the biologically derived oxygen isotope composition in bioapatite is highly resistant to diagenetic alteration (Vennemann et al., 2001). Previous studies use δ¹⁸O values of shark enameloid to aid in paleoceanographic reconstructions (Lécuyer et al., 2003; Kocsis et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2014; Pucéat et al., 2003), as the relatively fast mineralization and maturation rate during tooth formation (14–25 days; unpublished data based on captive feeding study by Kim; details of study in Kim, Casper et al., 2012; Kim, Martinez del Río et al., 2012; Zeichner et al., 2017) preserves environmental conditions. However, shark teeth have a “conveyor belt” replacement system (reviewed in Smith et al., 2013) where tooth formation occurs below the epithelium and teeth progressively move to the front of the jaw over a substantial period of time (~240 days elapsed for leopard shark; Zeichner et al., 2017). Although this rate differs among taxa, the elapsed time between tooth formation and loss means that discarded teeth may not reflect the local environmental conditions for migratory taxa. The oxygen isotope composition of †S. macrota teeth from the La Meseta Fm. will elucidate a range of preferred temperatures for this taxon, but it may not reflect the conditions of Seymour Island given the migratory patterns and tooth formation of sand tiger sharks.

As teeth are shed from living sharks and incorporated into the sedimentary record, they incorporate Nd from seawater and early diagenetic fluids (Martin & Scher, 2004). The isotopic composition of Nd in seawater is a function of (1) the crustal age of adjacent lithogenous sediment sources and (2) water mass/ocean current mixing. Further, seawater Nd has a short residence time (500–1,000 years; Tachikawa et al., 2003) relative to ocean mixing scales (~1,500 years). Seymour Island lies along a volcanic margin, so lithogenous inputs are radiogenic (i.e., positive εNd values, up to +10). Water masses influencing Seymour Island over the time period under investigation include waters carried by the Weddell Gyre, and after the Middle Eocene, increasing amounts of Pacific water transported through the embryonic Drake Passage (Eagles et al., 2006; Scher & Martin, 2006). The Weddell Gyre carries seawater from the East Antarctic margins, which are influenced by terrains with significantly older crustal ages and thus have less radiogenic compositions (εNd = −6 to −8) compared to the Antarctic Peninsula volcanics (i.e., Martin & Scher, 2004; Wright et al., 2018). Drake Passage throughflow injects seawater with relatively radiogenic εNd values (~4 to −6) into the South Atlantic, which is recorded in fossil fish teeth from deep-sea cores (ODP sites 689 and 1090) and date to the Bartonian (Diester-Haass & Zahn, 1996; Scher & Martin, 2006; Tripati et al., 2005). Previous εNd studies focus on fossil fish teeth, but given the similar mineralogical composition of shark teeth (Miake et al., 1991), we expect similarities in Nd uptake. Finally, there is possibility that the εNd signal of fossil shark teeth in the La Meseta Fm. may indicate an earlier opening of the Drake Passage due to the proximal, shallow depositional environment where Pacific inputs are less diluted.

In this study, we determined body size distribution of †S. macrota based on anterior tooth crown height (ATCH) and compared it to modern C. taurus. Then, we used oxygen isotope composition of the phosphate from shark tooth enameloid to estimate water temperatures experienced by †S. macrota, establish ontogenetic habitat preferences, and compare to previous results of co-occurring fossil bivalves in the La Meseta Fm. We compared these shark enameloid δ¹⁸O values and inferred temperatures with results from isotope-enabled climate model simulations of the Eocene. Finally, we present preliminary Nd isotope results to demonstrate paleoceanographic changes related to the Drake Passage Opening.

2. Geologic Setting

The La Meseta Fm. and overlying Submeseta Fm. are located on Seymour Island, 100 km east of the Antarctic Peninsula at 64°17′S, 56°45′W, within the James Ross Basin (Figures 1a and 1b; Dutton et al., 2002; Gądzicki & Majewski, 2012; Ivany et al., 2008; Montes et al., 2013; Sadler, 1988). These two formations consist of a shallow succession of sedimentary marine beds of sandstone, siltstone, and shell, which are stratified into seven numbered lithofacies units referred to as TELM stratigraphic units. The TELM is fault bounded by an angular unconformity at the bottom of the formation and biostratigraphically categorized (Table 1; Long, 1992; Reguero et al., 2012; Sadler, 1988; Stilwell & Zinsmeister, 1992). The Eocene TELM unit and
underlying Middle–Upper Paleocene Cross Valley Fm. together form the Seymour Island Group, which rests on top of the less-felsic Upper Cretaceous-lower Paleocene Marambio Group (Gaździcki & Majewski, 2012; Ivany et al., 2008; Kriwet et al., 2016; Marramá et al., 2018; McLoughlin et al., 2016; Montes et al., 2013; Sadler, 1988; Schwarzjans et al., 2017; Stilwell & Zinsmeister, 1992).

A previous petrographic study of the La Meseta and Submeseta Formations demonstrated that both have undergone minimal burial and diagenetic alteration (Marenssi et al., 2002).

The relative stratigraphic position of TELMs has been agreed upon, but absolute age models of TELMs have changed over time. We adopt the age model from Douglas et al. (2014) and Amenábar et al. (2020) based on their biostratigraphic analysis of the endemic group of dinocyst taxa referred to as “transantarctic fauna.”

The lower TELMs (2–3) are determined to be no older than Middle Eocene based on the presence of Enneadocysta diktyostila (first occurrence calibrated to Chron C20r at ~45 Ma) and Arachnodinium antarcticum and Hystricosphaerodoim truswelliae (last occurrences during Chron C18n at ~38 Ma) (Amenábar et al., 2020; Douglas et al., 2014). The Upper La Meseta Fm. (TELM 5) is less well constrained but includes diagnostic dinocyst occurrences of E. diktyostila, Alterbidinium distinctum, Brigantedinium spp., Lejeunecysta spp., and Selenopemphix nephroides and indicate an age ranging from 41–37 Ma (Amenábar et al., 2020; Douglas et al., 2014). Shark occurrences, including †S. macrota, are rare in the overlying Submeseta Fm. (TELMs 6–7; Engelbrecht et al., 2017c, 2019; Kriwet et al., 2016), but ages based on 87Sr/86Sr chemostratigraphy from bivalve carbonates for the Submeseta Fm. units are thought to be consistent with a TELM 6 age of ~41 Ma or younger and the top of TELM 7 lying at the Eocene-Oligocene boundary (Douglas et al., 2014).

We summarize the biostratigraphy and sedimentology in Table 1 for TELMs 1–5, which contain †S. macrota teeth. The Submeseta Fm. also includes TELMs 6 and 7, but these contain little to no sand tiger shark teeth from †S. macrota (Kriwet et al., 2016; Long, 1992). In addition to the TELM stratigraphy convention, Marenssi et al. (1998) introduced five erosionally based allomembers for the La Meseta and Submeseta Fms., which roughly correspond to TELMs as reported in Table 1. Further, Montes et al. (2013) divided the Acantilados Allomember into Acantilados I (referred to as Level 32 in some instances) with minor

| TELM | Biostratigraphy                     | Faunal content                      | Sedimentology/facies                                                                 | Marenssi et al. (1998) allomembers | Montes et al. (2013) allomembers | Presence of †Striatolamia macrota |
|------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 5    | Struthiolarella steinmanni zone    | Some Cucullaea shell lenses and one layer dominated by the naticid gastropod Polycharis, which contains marine invertebrates, chondrichthyans and teleost fishes as well as a broad range of terrestrial organisms | Purpled and gray-green sands and silts. Estuary                                  | Cucullaea I/ Cucullaea II         | Cucullaea I/ Cucullaea II         | Abundant                         |
| 4    | Antarctodarwinella nordenskjoldi zone | Plethora of Cucullaea shells, darwinellid gastropods, and phosphatic shark, ray and bony fish teeth as well as penguin and whale remains | Shell beds with poor stratification. Estuary                                      | Cucullaea I                       | Cucullaea I                       | Abundant                         |
| 3    | Antarctodarwinella ellioti zone    | Dominant in veneroid pelecypods      | Buff-weathering, cross-bedded sands and silts. Delta plain estuary                  | Campamento                        | Acantilados II/ Campamento       | Abundant                         |
| 2    | Antarctodarwinella ellioti zone    | Paucity of Cucullaea shells          | High mud content, well-preserved stratification that coarsens upwards. Delta front | Acantilados                        | Acantilados I                     | Rare                             |
| 1    | Ostrea and Pecten shells and shell fragments |                                           | Red-brown matrix with two occurrences dominated by either silt or sand. Prodelta?/inner estuarine? | Valle de Las Focas                | Valle de Las Focas                | Rare                             |
occurrences of _Cucullaea_ and Acantilados II (referred to as Level 33 in some instances) with conglomeratic shell lenses cutting into the underlying Acantilados I Allomember. According to Montes et al. (2013), the Acantilados II Allomember represents TELM 3, which results in some uncertainty regarding older samples collected in the Acantilados Allomember sensu (Marenssi et al., 1998) that have been assigned to TELM 2. In the discussion, we give implications to this age uncertainty as it pertains to our geochemical results.

3. Methods

3.1. Provenance of Material

We focused on †_S. macrota_ because these teeth are abundant within the La Meseta Fm. and well studied with a global distribution during the Eocene. Teeth were sampled from collections at the University of California Museum of Paleontology (UCMP; Berkeley, CA, USA), which were described in Long (1992), and the Zinsmeister collection at the Paleontological Research Institute (PRI; Ithaca, NY, USA), which were described in Stillwell and Zinsmeister (1992). The UCMP and PRI specimens were collected during Seymour Island Antarctic expeditions in 1986–1987 and 1989 sponsored by the National Science Foundation. Anterior tooth crown measurements for †_S. macrota_ were supplemented by additional teeth from the Paleozoological Collections at the Swedish Museum of Natural History (NRM; Stockholm, Sweden), which are partially described in Kriwet et al. (2016), Engelbrecht et al. (2017a, 2017b, 2017c, 2017d, 2019), and Marramá et al. (2018). NRM specimens were collected by an Argentinian-Swedish field party as a joint project of the Instituto Antártico Argentino (DNA-IAA) and the Swedish Polar Research Secretary (SPFS) during the summer campaigns from 2011–2013 on Seymour Island. The three expeditions sampled from different localities within each TELM and do not reference one another.

3.2. Body Size Estimates †_S. macrota_

It has been well established that total tooth and crown size of macrophagous lamniforms (taxa with large and differentiated teeth) can be used to infer their total body length, because tooth “growth” is proportional to body growth through tooth replacement (e.g., Shimada, 2006a, 2019; Shimada et al., 2020). The upper first anterior tooth (A1) and lower second anterior tooth (a2) are the tallest teeth in 11 out of 13 macrophagous extant lamniforms (Shimada, 2002), which are generally used for body size inference to reduce the risk of overestimating the total body size (Shimada, 2019). Meanwhile, distinct approaches to calculate the total body length using the tooth crown height are available for most extant (e.g., Shimada, 2003, 2004, 2006b, 2019) and various extinct macrophagous lamniform sharks (e.g., Kriwet et al., 2015; Shimada, 1997, 2007; Shimada et al., 2020) exemplifying the reliability of such body size estimations.

Identification of the exact position of anterior teeth in fossil sharks is hampered by the fact that most elasmobranchs (sharks, rays, and skates) are only represented by their teeth only in the fossil record, due to their poorly mineralized skeletons and the challenge of identifying the exact position of anterior teeth is not always possible in exclusively extinct taxa. Although no articulated dentition of †_S. macrota_ has been recovered to date, previous studies have reconstructed its dentition in great detail due to its close dental morphological resemblances to the dentition of the extant sand tiger shark, _C. taurus_ (e.g., Cappetta, 2012; Cunningham, 2000; Fieman, 2016). Accordingly, it is easy to identify unambiguously anterior upper and lower teeth in assemblages of †_S. macrota_ that can be used to deduce its body size using the crown-height/body size relationship proposed by Shimada (2004) for the extant sand tiger shark and demonstrated by Fieman (2016).

For this collection, we identified the tooth positions of all teeth of †_S. macrota_ from TELMs 2–5 and selected anterior-most teeth from each collection (TELM). In the following, we used digital calipers to measure the maximum crown width and height (both labial and lingual but report labial measurements only). We analyzed †_S. macrota_ teeth from TELMs 2–4 from UCMP; TELMs 2, 3, and 5 from PRI; and TELMs 2–5 from NRM. Previous studies reported that TELM 1 has some bivalve shells present but is sparsely fossiliferous. In addition, there are a few †_S. macrota_ teeth known from TELMs 6 and 7 (Kriwet et al., 2016), but their scarcity prevented meaningful data for size distribution analysis. We remeasured every seventh tooth from the UCMP and PRI collections to confirm measurement accuracy and evaluate precision (difference for all for paired samples ±0.3 mm), photographed all teeth, and cataloged individual specimens.
Statistical comparisons of body size distributions within the La Mesta Fm. by TELMs were based on labial ATCH measurements in the statistical software R (R Development Core Team, 2014). We reported ATCH mean, median, maximum (max), and minimum (min) values to represent body size distributions for the entire population by TELM. The equations for A1 (upper) and a1 (lower) presented by Shimada (2004) are slightly different, but the TL estimates we reported are based on an average equation for A1 and a1 position as follows:

\[ TL = -25.7 + 114 \times ATCH \]  

(1)

where TL and ATCH are both in cm. Body size distributions were compared for TELMs 2–5, as TELM 6 had only four individual teeth from †S. macrota. We described body size distributions with skew and kurtosis and determined statistical significance based on the D'Agostino skewness test and Bonett test from the Moments R package. Pairwise comparisons of body size distributions between TELMs 2–5 are based on Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) tests. In the discussion, we referred to TL estimates based on ATCH to provide some context of actual body size. We did not make inferences to specific life stages or statistically compare TL between TELMs and therefore expected this approximate TL equation adequate for our discussion purposes.

### 3.3. Isotope Analyses

We selected †S. macrota teeth for isotope analysis from several localities within a stratigraphic unit but were limited by the number of available specimens, their preservation state, and the need to maintain collections. The number of teeth measured for isotope analyses is comparable to previous sample sizes for studies that differentiate among species and estimate paleotemperatures based on δ18OPO4 values of shark enameloid (Amiot et al., 2008; Zacke et al., 2009). The †S. macrota teeth analyzed within a TELM for δ18O values came from the UCMP and PRI collection. Given the difficulty of stratigraphic control, δ18OPO4 values from the two collections were grouped together and treated by TELM in this study.

#### 3.3.1. Sampling

We abraded the enameloid with a slow speed dental drill (Foredom TX, Bethel, CT, USA) fitted with a stainless steel, diamond-coated wheel point (Dremel 7103 5/64-inch Diamond Wheel Point, Mount Prospect, IL, USA). First, we removed superficial dirt or crust and then powdered the enameloid. All sampling took place under a microscope to ensure exclusion of the inner portion of the tooth where dentin was potentially diagenetically altered.

#### 3.3.2. Oxygen Isotope Analysis

For phosphate oxygen isotope analysis, we followed the rapid, small volume preparation method in Mine et al. (2017). First, we weighed ~1 mg of powdered enameloid and dissolved it in 50 μl of 2-M HNO3 overnight. The next day, Ca2+ was removed as precipitated CaF2 from the dissolved bioapatite solution by adding 30 μl of 2.9-M HF and 50 μl 2-M NaOH. Then, we pelleted CaF2, transferred the supernatant, and repeated the process with a second rinse of 50 μl of 0.1-M NaF. We added 2-M HNO3 (ca. 30 μl) to adjust solution pH to ~4.5 and added 180 μl of Ag-ammine solution (1.09-M NH4OH and 0.37-M AgNO3; pH of 5.5–6.5 after addition of Ag-ammine solution). Finally, we centrifuged samples to pellet the silver phosphate crystals and rinsed the samples five times with deionized water (18.2 MΩ, Barnstead Nanopure, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). We dried samples overnight at 60°C and weighed all samples in triplicate to 300 ± 100 μg into silver capsules (Costech, Valencia, CA, USA).

The stable isotope composition of oxygen (δ18O) was analyzed at the University of Chicago Stable Isotope Ratio facility using a TCEA – Confo IV – Delta V Plus continuous flow isotope ratio mass spectrometer system (Thermo, Bremen, Germany). We used commercially available Ag3PO4 (>99% purity) from Strem Chemicals (n = 8 per run; Newburyport, MA, USA) and Elemental Microanalysis (n = 25 per run; Okehampton, UK) with δ18OPO4 values of 8.2 ± 0.2‰ and 21.9 ± 0.2‰, respectively, as in-house reference standards. These isotope compositions represent mean values from multiple calibrations against fluorination values reported for YR-1, YR-2, YR-3, and TU standards (Vennemann et al., 2002). Reference standards were used to monitor for mass linearity and run drift as well as normalization corrections. Benzoic acid was analyzed at the beginning of each run as an oxygen yield standard. Oxygen isotope compositions of phosphate oxygen are reported on the Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (V-SMOW) scale. All reported values are mean ± 1σ from triplicate analysis, unless specified as standard error (s.e.m.). Average and standard
deviation values reported for a TELM or the entire dataset have error based on individual samples analyzed in triplicate.

### 3.3.3. Neodymium Isotope Analysis

For neodymium isotope analysis, we analyzed one tooth each from TELMs 2–5, to evaluate changes in $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ values of the La Meseta Fm. over time. Neodymium isotope ratios were analyzed at University of South Carolina Center for Elemental Spectrometry (CEMS) and processed through the single column method (Scher & Delaney, 2010) with column lengths doubled to improve separation of samarium. All measurements were made on a Neptune multiple collector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (MC-ICP-MS, Thermo Scientific) with an Apex HF or Apex Q as the introduction system. A standard Ni sample cone and Ni X-skimmer cone were used. Nd isotope measurements were made in static mode, and each run consisted of 50 cycles of 8 s. Masses 142–150 were collected in cups L1 through H4, with mass 146 in the center cup. Prior to each analysis, all masses were measured for 10 8-s cycles for blank subtractions. Blank corrections were negligible owing to effective washout of the previous sample. The Nd isotope standard, JNd1-1, was run after every fourth sample within the run to monitor instrumental uncertainty (0.2 $\varepsilon_{Nd}$, Tanaka et al., 2000) and to normalize $^{143}Nd/^{144}Nd$ values to 0.512115 (Tanaka et al., 2000). Nd isotopes were measured while monitoring masses 147 and 149 (Sm) allowing for interference corrections on 144, 148, and 150 (Nd). These corrections are negligible because of very small $^{147}Sm$ and $^{148}Sm$ intensities (0.01% of signal). Instrumental mass discrimination was corrected relative to $^{146}Nd/^{144}Nd = 0.7219$ using an exponential law. We calculate $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ values normalized to the value for the chondritic uniform reservoir (CHUR; 0.512638) and report $\varepsilon_{Nd} \pm 2$ s.e.m.

### 3.4. Paleotemperature Estimates

We applied the Pucéat et al. (2010) phosphate oxygen isotope paleothermometer to the $\delta^{18}O$ values from the †S. macrota enameloid:

$$T = 124.6 \ (\pm 9.5) - 4.52 \ (\pm 0.41) \times (\delta^{18}O_{PO4} - \delta^{18}O_{H2O})$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

where $T$ is the temperature of the water in °C when the enameloid mineralized. We chose to apply the Pucéat et al. (2010) phosphate oxygen paleothermometer because it is (1) based on a tightly controlled experiment with captive fish in aquaria and biomarkers that indicated timing of tooth mineralization; (2) consistent with experimental results that show an offset between dissolved vs. mineral PO4 (Chang & Blake, 2015); and (3) corresponds to patterns recorded in mammalian teeth (Green et al., 2018). For $\delta^{18}O_{H2O}$ values, we relied on the combined approach by Douglas et al. (2014) where bivalves from the La Meseta Fm. were analyzed for both “clumped” composition ($\Delta_{cl}$) to constrain temperature and bulk carbonate composition ($\delta^{18}O$ values) to estimate $\delta^{18}O_{H2O}$ values. We applied $\delta^{18}O_{H2O}$ values of $-1.17^{\circ}$c, $-1.11^{\circ}$c, $-1.06^{\circ}$c, and $-1.00^{\circ}$c for TELMs 2–5, respectively, based on Douglas et al. (2014) (equation from Douglas et al. Fig. S2) but acknowledge that there is uncertainty in $\delta^{18}O_{H2O}$ values. We tested the sensitivity of various paleothermometers (i.e., Chang & Blake, 2015; Kim et al., 2007; Lécuyer et al., 2013; Longinelli & Nuti, 1973; Pucéat et al., 2010) and found that the variation within the †S. macrota teeth sampled is greater than the variation produced across all paleothermometers. Additionally, we compared different $\delta^{18}O_{H2O}$ values (i.e., Douglas et al., 2014; Ivany et al., 2008; Lear et al., 2000; Zachos et al., 1994) estimated for high latitudes in the Eocene Southern Hemisphere and found the magnitude of variation from †S. macrota teeth the most influential. We did not propagate error with the paleothermometer equation, as the variation of $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ values from teeth within each TELM was so large. The mean and standard deviation in temperature we report for each TELM and the La Meseta Fm. are based on the individual specimen estimates (measured in triplicate). We treated all data from each TELM collectively without stratigraphic differentiation.

### 3.5. Model-Data Comparison and Synthesis

We developed an alternative framework to interpret $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ values that is independent of geochemical analyses and based on the results of a global climate model simulation. We incorporated the results of the water isotope-enabled simulations using iCESM1.2 (Brady et al., 2019) carried out by Zhu et al. (2020), which extended the study of Zhu et al. (2019). These simulations, built upon the boundary conditions of Herold et al. (2014), following the Deemip protocol (Lunt et al., 2017), are uniquely capable of matching existing
surface temperature data in the Early Eocene (Hollis et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2019). For this comparison, we used output from Zhu et al.'s (2020) fully equilibrated simulations at 3× and 6× preindustrial CO2 levels to predict surface temperature and $\delta^{18}O_{H_2O}$ values. As described in more detail in Zhu et al. (2020), these simulations were carried out for 2,600 and 2,000 years, with trends in global mean surface temperature of $-0.34^\circ$ and $-0.03^\circ$ and trends in global mean sea-surface $\delta^{18}O_{H_2O}$ trends of 0.04, $-0.06‰$/1,000 years (for the 3x and 6x CO2 cases respectively). These predictions allowed us to establish an independent and self-consistent check on the relationship between temperature and $\delta^{18}O_{PO_4}$ values by inverting Equation 2 and comparing the resulting values with those measured from shark teeth enameloid. We referred to estimates from these model simulations as $\delta^{18}O_{PO_4}$ values.

4. Results

4.1. La Meseta Fm. †S. macrota Size Distribution

ATCH distributions provided context to evaluate changes in †S. macrota body size through time at the La Meseta Fm. (Dataset DS1; Figure 2). The measured mean ATCH of †S. macrota ($\pm 1\sigma$) was 19.6 ± 6.4 mm ($n = 450$) and median = 18.0 mm with minimum ATCH of 10.0 mm and maximum anterior tooth height of 41.0 mm (Figure 3a). The ATCH of †S. macrota in TELM 2 ranges from 10.5–33.9 mm (mean = 17.0 mm), that in TELM 3 from 12.1 to 36.4 mm (mean = 19.0 mm), that in TELM 4 from 10.0 to 41.0 mm (mean = 18.5 mm), and that in TELM 5 from 11.0 to 38.0 mm (mean = 17.3 mm). Employing the formula provided by Shimada (2004) for the extant sand tiger shark C. taurus, the body size ranges from 94 to 361 cm in TELM 2, from 112 to 389 cm in TELM 3, from 88 to 442 cm in TELM 4 and from 100 to 408 cm in TELM 5. Consequently, the smallest (88 cm) and largest (442 cm) specimen occur in TELM 4, from which also the largest sample was obtained. The ATCH distributions, nevertheless, did not significantly differ among TELMs (Figure 3a; Table 2).

The entire sampled population exhibited statistically significant skew (D’Angostino test skew = 0.93, $z = 7.08, p < < 0.0001$) but not kurtosis (Bonett test $r = 5.08, z = -0.20, p = 0.845$). The ATCH distributions from TELMs 2, 4, and 5 also exhibited statistically significant skew but not kurtosis (see Table 2 and Figure 3a). The ATCH distributions did not significantly differ among TELMs (Figure 3a; Table 2). †S. macrota teeth recovered from TELM 6 were not included in size distribution analysis because of the low total count ($n = 4$).

4.2. $\delta^{18}O$ Values From †S. macrota

†Striatolamia macrota teeth from La Meseta Fm. have a mean $\delta^{18}O_{PO_4}$ value ± 1σ of 21.6‰ ± 1.6‰ ($n = 42$; 1σ includes standard deviation of triplicate sample analysis and across all teeth measured within a TELM).
aTemperature estimates based on $\delta^{18}O_{H_2O}$ values per TELM from Douglas et al. (2014) and Pucéat et al. (2010) paleothermometer. bError is analytical s.e.m.

Table 2
Summary of Anterior Tooth Crown Height and Stable Isotope Composition Data Reported in This Study

| All | TELM 2 | TELM 3 | TELM 4 | TELM 5 |
|-----|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| ATCH, n | 450 | 51 | 13 | 277 | 109 |
| Mean $\pm$ 1σ (mm) | 19.6 ± 6.4 | 18.7 ± 6.2 | 21.8 ± 8.5 | 20.2 ± 6.5 | 18.4 ± 5.5 |
| Median (mm) | 18.0 | 17.0 | 19.0 | 18.5 | 17.3 |
| Range (mm) | 10.0–41.0 | 10.5–33.9 | 12.1–36.4 | 10.0–41.0 | 11.0–38.0 |
| D’Angostino test Kurtosis-Bonett test $\tau = 5.08, z = -0.20, p = 0.845$ $\tau = 4.80, z = 0.52, p = 0.601$ $\tau = 7.03, z = -1.18, p = 0.238$ $\tau = 5.32, z = -1.32, p = 0.186$ $\tau = 4.20, z = 1.54, p = 0.122$ |
| $\delta^{18}O$ | $\delta^{18}O = 21.8 ± 1.2\%$ | $\delta^{18}O = 21.9 ± 0.5\%$ | $\delta^{18}O = 21.2 ± 0.8\%$ | $\delta^{18}O = 21.9 ± 0.6\%$ | $\delta^{18}O = 22.0 ± 0.6\%$ |
| $\delta^{18}O$ range (‰) | 21.6–1.6 | 21.8 ± 1.2 | 21.9 ± 0.5 | 21.2 ± 0.8 | 22.0 ± 0.6 |
| Inferred temperaturea | 13–31 | 13–27 | 14–26 | 18–31 | 16–25 |
| $\varepsilon^{14}Nd$b | — | -6.1 ± 0.2 | -5.5 ± 0.2 | -5.1 ± 0.1 | -5.3 ± 0.1 |

Of the $\tau$S. macrota teeth analyzed for isotopic composition, the mean $\pm$ 1σ ATCH is 19.8 ± 7.7 mm ($n = 42$) and ranged 10.4–36.4 mm, which spans this study’s range measured for the population of $\tau$S. macrota from the La Meseta Fm. (Figure 3b). We also included isotopic results from three teeth initially classified as anterior, but then on further inspection determined to be symphysial teeth in our analysis (open symbols in Figure 3b). We found no correlation between ATCH and $\delta^{18}O$ values, which suggested no substantial changes in environmental conditions (i.e., salinity and/or temperature) with ontogeny. The average $\delta^{18}O_{PO4} ± 1σ$ values by TELM are as follows: TELM 2 = 21.8 ± 1.2°C ($n = 12$), TELM 3 = 21.9 ± 0.5°C ($n = 7$), TELM 4 = 21.2 ± 0.8°C ($n = 15$), and TELM 5 = 21.9 ± 0.6°C ($n = 8$) (Figure 4a; Table 2; symphyseal teeth not included). Sample IDs, collection names, $\delta^{18}O_{PO4} ± 1σ$ values, and temperature estimates are reported in Table S1.

We used $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ values to estimate average paleotemperature per TELM ($±1σ$, uncertainty determined from the mean temperature estimate based on individual teeth $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ values), which are as follows: TELM 2 = 20.6 ± 4.5°C ($n = 12$), TELM 3 = 20.5 ± 4.2°C ($n = 7$), TELM 4 = 24.0 ± 3.4°C ($n = 15$), and TELM 5 = 20.9 ± 3.1°C ($n = 8$). Our temperature estimates were based on estimated $\delta^{18}O$ values for seawater, which varied 0.17‰, as reported in Douglas et al. (2014) and detailed in section 3 (Table 1 and Figure 4a).

4.3. Estimates of $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ Values Based on Climate Model Results

Our estimated $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ values capture the seasonal variability in surface temperature and $\delta^{18}O_{H_2O}$ values from Zhu et al. (2020). In the 3× preindustrial CO2 levels, we predicted the lowest $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ values for the month of February with an average of 21.9% (range of 21.8–22.0%) and the highest $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ values for the month of September with an average of 23.9% (range of 23.8–24.0%) (Figure 4b). The Zhu et al. (2020) simulation for 6× preindustrial CO2 levels resulted in warmer temperatures and lower $\delta^{18}O_{H_2O}$ values, which produced the expected pattern in $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ values; the lowest $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ values were again in February with an average of 19.5% (range of 19.4–19.6%) and highest $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ values in September with an average of 22.3% (range of 22.2–22.3%) (Figures 5c and 5d).

4.4. $\varepsilon^{14}Nd$ Values

$\varepsilon^{14}Nd$ values varied approximately 1% between TELMs, with an overall increasing trend. TELM 2 had the least radiogenic $\varepsilon^{14}Nd$ value, and all $\tau$S. macrota neodymium isotopic compositions were consistent with neodymium signals between the Eocene Pacific and Atlantic oceans (Scher & Martin, 2006). For TELM 2 $\varepsilon^{14}Nd = -6.1 ± 0.2$, TELM 3 $\varepsilon^{14}Nd = -5.5 ± 0.2$, TELM 4 $\varepsilon^{14}Nd = -5.1 ± 0.1$, and TELM 5 $\varepsilon^{14}Nd = -5.3 ± 0.1$ ($n = 1$ per TELM; 1σ is based on analytical uncertainty) (Figure 4c). Reported uncertainties for $\varepsilon^{14}Nd$ values = 2 s.e.m, which were less than instrumental uncertainty (0.2).
5. Discussion

5.1. Paleoecology: Body Size

Our results indicate no substantial ecological change for †S. macrota through the La Meseta Fm., as represented by body size distributions extrapolated from ATCH measurements. The sample size of †S. macrota anterior teeth within the La Meseta Fm. (n = 450) allows robust body size estimates and distributions. The ATCH for the La Meseta Fm. †S. macrota teeth reflects a TL range of 88–442 cm, which is greater than that known for modern C. taurus. Shimada et al. (2020) (Tab. 3) reconstructed a maximum body size of 495 cm for †Striatolamia (presumably †S. macrota). The documented C. taurus size range includes parturition TL = 91–105 cm (reviewed in Gilmore, 1993) and adult TL = ~300–320 cm based on age growth studies (Branstetter & Musick, 1994; Goldman et al., 2006). The range of estimated body sizes for †S. macrota within each TELM indicates that each assemblage consists of young-of-the-year (YOY), juveniles, subadults, and even mature adults, suggesting an absence of segregation by body size. A similar pattern also was reported for the extant sand tiger shark, C. taurus (Dicken et al., 2006, 2007, 2008).

To evaluate if the population of †S. macrota in the La Meseta Fm. represent a subset of a population, we transformed TL measurements for modern C. taurus to ATCH and compared to those measured in this study. A well-studied C. taurus population in Delaware Bay has TLs ranging 89–266 cm (Goldman et al., 2006; Haulsee et al., 2018). The associated ATCH for this Delaware Bay population is 10–26 mm (based on Equation 1), which is a smaller ATCH range than †S. macrota from the La Meseta Fm. (Figure 3a; gray

Figure 4. (a) The δ^{18}O values from enameloid phosphate of †S. macrota teeth collected from La Meseta Fm. grouped by TELM. (b) Forward modeling with isotope-enabled climate simulation results (Zhu et al., 2020) estimate δ^{18}O_{PO4} values of similar range and variability when CO2 is 3× and 6× preindustrial levels. (c) There is evidence of a Drake Passage Opening as early as TELM 2 based on neodymium isotope results with Indian-Atlantic and Pacific endmembers indicated (n = 1 per TELM).
The discrepancy in extant vs. extinct total body length could be due to differences in the correlation between total length versus ATCH for extant and extinct sand tiger sharks since the specimens featured in Shimada’s regression study span TL 100–300 cm (Shimada, 2004). Another possibility is the misclassification of tooth position when sorting †S. macrota material (i.e., some A2 and a2 teeth were included in the sample set). In comparing †S. macrota and extant C. taurus body size distributions, it may be worth mentioning that these distributions have similar shapes; there is a bimodal distribution with the bulk of density in the lower mode (Figure 3a). Additionally, the median ATCH estimated for the Delaware Bay population (based on Equation 1) is 18.7 mm, which is similar to the median ATCH for each TELM and the combined †S. macrota population measured from the La Meseta Fm. Life stages (i.e., YOY, juvenile, and adult) of modern C. taurus have variable TL estimates at different locations (Kneebone et al., 2014; Lucifora et al., 2009), but the corresponding ATCH for these life stages is within the range measured for †S. macrota from La Meseta Fm. Based on the large range and median values of ATCH in this study, we conclude that the †S. macrota teeth from the La Meseta Fm. represent the body size range and all life stages (YOY, juveniles, subadults, and even mature adults) of the extinct sand tiger shark throughout the fossil-bearing section as well as within each TELM, a pattern that also was reported for the extant sand tiger shark, C. taurus (Dicken et al., 2006, 2007, 2008). We therefore assume that each TELM, as well as the entire La Meseta Fm. assemblage, represents a significant subsample of the entire population. Finally, the La Meseta Fm. †S. macrota teeth suggest no ontogenetic patterns in habitat preference based on ATCH and δ18O values (Figure 3b).

This relatively large range in body size indicates a productive ecosystem in the near shore environment off the Antarctic Peninsula at Seymour Island. Further the stability of †S. macrota body size distribution throughout TELMs 2–5 suggests continued suitable habitat and resources, such as food availability, for these top predators. It has been shown that larger species generally have better niche and optimal diet positions as well as a larger range of prey when the food web is complex (Williams et al., 2010). We can assume that the food web at Seymour Island during the Eocene was complex and stable based on the highly diverse fauna.
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δ18O values of our †S. macrota teeth fluctuates ~0.7‰ among TELMs 2–5, which indicates little secular trend in water conditions through this time. However, the assemblage of teeth analyzed for each TELM reveals a considerable amount of variation with 1σ = 0.5–1.2‰ (i.e., ~3–8.5°C, Figure 3b). This variability is distinct from analytical uncertainty, which is determined by preparation standards and reference materials, but rather represents an environmental signal during the sharks’ lifetime.

5.2. Paleoenvironmental Reconstruction: Temperature

Oxygen isotope measurements are a valuable geochemical technique, often used to reconstruct ocean paleotemperature. For temperature estimates from oxygen isotopes to be accurate, paleothermometers assume environmental water δ18O values, which can vary with salinity and latitude. The measured mean δ18OPO4 values of our †S. macrota teeth fluctuates ~0.7‰ among TELMs 2–5, which indicates little secular trend in water conditions through this time. However, the assemblage of teeth analyzed for each TELM reveals a considerable amount of variation with 1σ = 0.5–1.2‰ (i.e., ~3–8.5°C, Figure 3b). This variability is distinct from analytical uncertainty, which is determined by preparation standards and reference materials, but rather represents an environmental signal during the sharks’ lifetime.

Paleotemperature estimates from oxygen isotope measurements of †S. macrota were warmer than previous estimates based isotopic analyses of co-occurring bivalve shells (i.e., Douglas et al., 2014; Ivany et al., 2008). The δ18OPO4 variation measured from †S. macrota teeth was consistent with seasonal variation captured from serial sampling of co-occurring bivalves from the La Meseta Fm. (Judd et al., 2019) but did not capture the cooling trend over time from previous studies (Douglas et al., 2014; Ivany et al., 2008). For example, the carbonate of co-occurring bivalves record temperatures over time from ~17°C (TELM 2) to ~10°C (TELM 6 and 7; Douglas et al., 2014; Ivany et al., 2008; Judd et al., 2019). We applied a recent calibration of the Δ47 paleothermometer to prior bivalve Δ47 measurements, which yielded cooler temperature estimates from those previously published (Table S2; Tavg. Kelson et al., 2017 = 7.7 ± 2.9°C vs. Tavg. Douglas et al., 2014 = 13.6 ± 2.3°C, disregarding value from LMF cement; Kelson et al., 2017). Further, when this revised temperature from the Δ47 calibration of Kelson et al. (2017) is applied to the Grossman and Ku (1986) paleothermometer, the estimated δ18OH2O value for bivalve shells reported in Douglas et al. (2014) is ~2.8 ± 1.0‰, which is lower than values initially reported (~1.2 ± 0.9‰) and similar to results from the isotope-enabled climate simulations for 3x and 6x CO2 of Zhu et al. (2020). However, we note that these revised temperature and δ18OH2O estimates based on the Δ47 calibration of Kelson et al. (2017) are an approximation as we do not account for internal carbonate standard differences, acid digestion fractionation (Δ25–X), of 17O corrections, which are important factors in clumped isotope paleothermometer applications (Olack & Colman, 2019; Petersen et al., 2019; Schauer et al., 2016). Given this difficulty in revisiting past Δ47 results, the temperatures we report for measured δ18OPO4 values from †S. macrota teeth use the δ18OH2O values previously published in Douglas et al. (2014). To date, all carbonate analyses of bivalve shells estimate substantially cooler temperatures than those captured by phosphate oxygen analysis of †S. macrota teeth. We propose that this offset reflects the relatively shallow, surface waters inhabited by sand tiger sharks, which is predominantly <90 m for modern C. taurus (Kneebone et al., 2014; Teter et al., 2015). The warm temperatures indicated from †S. macrota teeth across TELMs (TELM 2 = 20.6 ± 4.5°C, TELM 3 = 20.5 ± 4.2°C, TELM 4 = 24.0 ± 3.4°C, and TELM 5 = 20.9 ± 3.1°C; Figure 3b) are consistent with the temperatures inhabited by modern C. taurus (17–24°C; Kneebone et al., 2014; Otway & Ellis, 2011; Teter et al., 2015).

In comparing temperature estimates from sharks versus bivalves, time represented and therefore seasonality effects are important to consider. The two bivalve species measured in these studies preferentially grow and integrate temperature within alternate seasons (i.e., Cucullaea grows mainly in winter, while Euriomalae grows most of the seasonal cycle), and thus their combined signal is thought to represent annual temperature variation over the Eocene for shallow nearshore waters near Seymour Island (Douglas et al., 2014; Ivany et al., 2008; Judd et al., 2019). However, the discrepancy in temperature estimates from bivalve shells and shark teeth suggest that bivalve growth and shell accretion is likely minimal or halted during peak warm month temperatures. This explanation is supported by analyzing seasonally clipped results from the isotope-enabled climate simulations for 3x and 6x CO2 of Zhu et al. (2020), during the cool season of May–October, mean temperature estimates are 10–12°C at Seymour Island, which is in contrast to the results of increased zonal heterogeneity from Douglas et al. (2014).
Diagenesis was not explored as an explanation for isotopic results because shark teeth are highly resistant to alteration (Vennemann et al., 2001). Further, a mineralogical study of underlying La Meseta Fm. sediments concluded minimal burial (<1 km) and heating (<90°C) (Pirrie et al., 1998) and biogenic carbonates analyzed to date yield no indication of alteration (Douglas et al., 2014; Ivany et al., 2008). Two potential hypotheses to reconcile our observed ecological stasis of †S. macrota and paleotemperature results with previous studies are as follows: (1) modification of seasonal migration behavior or (2) minimal environmental change in the pelagic waters of Seymour Island.

5.3. Explanations for Ecological and Environmental Stasis in †S. macrota

There is a possibility that the ecological and environmental stasis of †S. macrota was not a product of stable conditions at Seymour Island, but rather from seasonal migrations to track thermal isolines. We evaluate the possibility of seasonal migration and warmer than previously thought temperatures for an Eocene Seymour Island. The seasonal migration of modern C. taurus closely tracks temperature (Kneebody et al., 2014; Otway & Ellis, 2011; Teter et al., 2015), which may be a conserved trait among sand tiger sharks. If temperatures at Seymour Island gradually decreased, as recorded by fossil bivalves (Douglas et al., 2014; Ivany et al., 2008), it is possible that †S. macrota modified its migration behavior to ameliorate impacts of this environmental change. Seasonal migrations would influence δ¹⁸OPO₄ values (Figure 4a) of †S. macrota teeth. There is a delay between tooth mineralization, which occurs at the back of the jaw, to the functional position in the first series. Thus, teeth lost at Seymour Island may reflect a larger spatial signal. While the timing of tooth movement through the conveyor system varies among taxa, it is quantified for Triakis semi-fasciata as 240–265 days (Zeichner et al., 2017). The most likely areas to span †S. macrota migration are waters off the coasts of southern Chile or Argentina where estimated sea surface temperatures were 14–23°C (Figure 2; Douglas et al., 2014; Zhu et al., 2020). The suitability of this region for †S. macrota is also supported by fossil evidence in Eocene-age assemblages of the Río Turbio and Loreto Fm. of southern Chile (Otero & Soto-Acuña, 2015). In this explanation, we hypothesize that as oceanographic conditions changed with the Drake Passage and Tasman Gateway opening, †S. macrota could have initiated or lengthened the duration of seasonal migrations north to access temperate water. Tectonic models suggest that Seymour Island and the nearby Antarctic Peninsula had a shallow continental ocean shelf before and during early stages of the Drake Passage Opening (Figure 2; Livemore et al., 2007; Lagabrielle et al., 2009), which is similar to the environment and distance of the modern C. taurus seasonal migration (Kneebody et al., 2014; Otway & Ellis, 2011; Teter et al., 2015). If migration is a conserved trait among sand tiger sharks, this system could serve as an example of ecological plasticity in sharks to mitigate climate change effects.

The environmental water temperatures from †S. macrota enameloïd are substantially warmer than those proposed from other indicators from high-latitude, Southern Hemisphere waters as well as regional and global climate simulations (Table S3). The ecological and environmental stasis we observe from †S. macrota teeth is unsurprising given that climate model results have not produced large changes for gateway opening of this scale (Goldner et al., 2014). Climate simulations are strongly dependent on input CO₂ concentration, paleogeography, and ocean circulation (Table S4; Kennedy et al., 2015; Ladant et al., 2014; Lunt et al., 2012; Tindall et al., 2010; Zhu et al., 2019), but the temperature estimates based on δ¹⁸O values from †S. macrota in this study are the warmest reported from a high latitude locality, such as Seymour Island. The most similar environmental water temperatures to those presented in this study are from pelagic foraminifera δ¹⁸O values and TEX⁸⁶ from the high-latitude South Pacific Ocean (Bijl et al., 2009; Hollis et al., 2009; see Table S4), which are considered biased toward seasonal high temperatures (Hollis et al., 2012). However, we propose that high-latitude, Southern Hemisphere waters were warmer than previously considered, as indicated by the δ¹⁸OPO₄ values from †S. macrota in this study and recent isotope-enabled climate simulations for 3× and 6× CO₂ of Zhu et al. (2020).

A forward model based on the combined output of temperature and δ¹⁸O_H₂O values from Zhu et al. (2020) provides a proxy independent estimate for comparison to δ¹⁸OPO₄ values from †S. macrota. Based on climate simulations in Zhu et al. (2020), 3× and 6× preindustrial CO₂ levels result in average sea surface temperatures for the mixed layer of 14–16°C and 16–18°C, respectively (Figures 5a and 5d; Zhu et al., 2020) and mean δ¹⁸O_H₂O values for Seymour Island of −1.29‰ and −2.54‰, respectively (Figures 5b and 5e). These results are substantially lower than δ¹⁸O_H₂O estimates reported in Douglas et al. (2014) using Δ⁴⁷ from fossil bivalve
shells collected from the La Meseta Fm.; however, a revised $\Delta_{47}$ calibration from Kelson et al. (2017) applied to the Douglas et al. (2014) results estimate $\delta^{18}O_{H_{2}O}$ values similar to these results from Zhu et al. (2020) from the same fossil bivalve data (see explanation above in section 5.2). Finally, we leverage the isotope-enabled climate simulation results to compare between estimated $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ and empirical $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ values. The $\dagger$S. macrota teeth in this study resulted in an intermediate isotopic composition (mean $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ values = 21.6% ± 1.6‰; Figure 4a) to those predicted for 3x and 6x preindustrial CO$_2$ levels (23.0 ± 0.8‰ and 21.1 ± 1.0‰, respectively; Figures 5c and 5f). This range is within the estimates from an investigation of weathering and the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation (Elsworth et al., 2017) as well as a study relating surface water phosphate concentrations to carbon isotope systematics in haptophyte algae (Bijl et al., 2010).

In addition, it should be noted that the $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ variation in $\dagger$S. macrota teeth reflects the range in seasonal variation of temperature, $\delta^{18}O_{H_{2}O}$, and $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$* values as estimated from Zhu et al. (2020) (Figure 4), but also exceeds the model variability since variation in these variables is aggregated for millions of years within a TELM. Further, the empirical data are from a relatively large, marine vertebrate that likely moves through the mixed layer of the surface water column both in depth and latitude/longitude, even if $\dagger$S. macrota did not migrate. The agreement of $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ values from climate simulation and empirical geochemical results suggest that sea surface temperatures at Seymour Island were possibly much warmer than previously determined (Table S4 and references therein). During the depositional time of the La Meseta Fm., it is possible that $\dagger$S. macrota could inhabit waters near Seymour Island throughout the year or with limited seasonal migration given the correspondence in seasonal variation (Figure 4b) and expected $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$* values between the isotope-enabled climate simulation (Figures 5c and 5f) and measured $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ values from $\dagger$S. macrota. In this scenario where $\dagger$S. macrota has minimal seasonal migration, the high abundance during TELMs 2–5, then rapid decline in TELM 6 and 7, suggests that the rate of environmental change (i.e., water temperature or Drake Passage Opening) exceeded the ability of $\dagger$S. macrota to adapt or cope.

### 5.4. Environmental Reconstruction: Paleoceanography

Despite stable temperature trends based on $\dagger$S. macrota $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ values, preliminary neodymium isotope analyses reveal a shift from $-6$ to $-4.5$ between TELM 2 (45–43 Ma) and TELM 3 (>38 Ma). Bioapatite $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ is not tied to biology but imprinted from bottom water chemistry during early diagenesis before burial (Martin & Scher, 2004). Fossil fish teeth from deep-sea sediment cores in the South Atlantic show shift from less radiogenic to more radiogenic $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ values, believed to indicate the opening of Drake Passage (e.g., Scher & Martin, 2006). While deep-sea Nd isotope records appear to provide robust reconstructions of past ocean circulation, Nd records from shallow locations are less well constrained. We are aware, for instance, of the importance of margin processes—collectively referred to as boundary exchange—that operate along margins, and which regulate the exchange of Nd between seawater and particles/sediment (e.g., Lacan & Jeandel, 2005), as well as the implications of pore-fluid control on seawater Nd isotope ratios (Haley et al., 2017). However, the influence of boundary exchange and bottom-up pore-fluid Nd flux over tectonic time scales on Nd isotope records is poorly constrained (i.e., Wilson et al., 2013).

It is clear from previous work that changes in terrigenous inputs are important for shallow water Nd isotope records. While the shift to radiogenic $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ values could be explained by an increase in the weathering flux from the Antarctic Peninsula, this explanation is unlikely during a phase of global cooling, which tends to suppress weathering rates. On the other hand, the regional increase in $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ values, as constrained by the deep-sea sediment records (Scher & Martin, 2006), is nearly the same magnitude of the TELM 2 to TELM 3/4 shift observed in this study. Based on the updated ages for the TELMs, we tentatively attribute the shift in $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ values from sand tiger shark teeth to the early opening of Drake Passage, permitting Pacific waters into the south Atlantic where they would increase the $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ value of waters in the Weddell Gyre.

While the number of $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ values measured from sand tiger shark teeth in this study is few ($n = 4$), they indicate increasing radiogenic $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ values from TELMs 2–4 (Figure 4c), which mirror the patterns observed from deep-sea sites (IODP sites 689 and 1090) following the Drake Passage Opening (Scher & Martin, 2006). These preliminary $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ results indicate Pacific inputs into the South Atlantic during TELM 2, which is ~45–43 Ma (Amenábar et al., 2020; Douglas et al., 2014). However, the relationship between TELMs 2 and 3 is complex
due to fossiliferous lenses of TELM 3 being deposited as erosional surface within TELM 2 (see description in Geologic Setting). While there is some ambiguity in absolute age, the \(\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}\) values from fossil shark teeth indicate the possible earlier detection of Pacific inputs at Seymour Island than the Bartonian (~41 Ma), which is the previously hypothesized period based on well-dated deep ocean core sediments (Scher & Martin, 2006). It should be noted that our \(\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}\) results cannot contribute to the potential reconstruction of Drake Passage bathymetry (i.e., extent or depth of opening), which could be probed with a \(\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}\) enabled global climate model. The Nd isotope values of shark teeth from the La Meseta Fm. support changes in oceanographic conditions associated with the Drake Passage opening, although the size distribution and oxygen isotope values of the shark teeth indicate minimal paleoecological and paleoenvironmental shifts during the Middle Eocene.

6. Conclusion

Sharks are top predators in marine food webs, and as ectotherms, they are sensitive to environmental conditions and climate change (Paaijmans et al., 2013). Given the evidence of modern cascading effects in marine ecosystems, understanding how shark species adapt to and cope with past climate change over geologic time is critical. In the La Meseta Fm. on Seymour Island, changes in the community assemblage of sharks are attributed to changing environmental conditions during the Eocene, which have been associated with the Drake Passage opening. In this study, we consider ecological and environmental factors to interpret the paleobiological and geochemical results from †S. macrota teeth, a dominant taxon found throughout La Meseta Fm. TELMs 2–5 (Figure 2). This interdisciplinary approach allows us to frame our results within a larger context of shark paleoecology and climate dynamics. Fossil †S. macrota teeth indicate a large variation in body size but little temporal variation among TELMs (Figure 3a). Additionally, there is minimal environmental variation between TELMs based on \(\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO4}}\) values (Figures 3b and 4a), which suggests relatively stable ecological and environmental conditions. However, \(\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}\) results from shark teeth in this study indicate increasing radiogenic values suggesting inputs of Pacific Ocean waters as early as TELM 2 (Figure 4c). Although the absolute timing for the La Meseta Fm. remains enigmatic, the \(\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}\) value of the †S. macrota tooth from TELM 2 (45–43 Mya; Amenábar et al., 2020; Douglas et al., 2014) is the earliest geochemical evidence of the Drake Passage opening, as previous studies from IODP sites 689 and 1090 indicated a Bartonian age (~41 Mya; Scher & Martin, 2006). The proximity of the La Meseta Fm. to the Drake Passage likely enhances the effect of radiogenic Nd inputs from the Pacific Basin through an early Drake Passage as depicted in tectonic reconstructions (Lagabrielle et al., 2009; Livermore et al., 2007).

While the overall pattern in our study was not surprising for this time and region, we were perplexed with the relatively low \(\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO4}}\) values from †S. macrota teeth, which indicate higher temperatures than reported from other geochemical analyses or climate simulations. Two hypotheses to reconcile this difference are (1) a transition in †S. macrota paleoecology or (2) a shift in our understanding of the La Meseta Fm. paleoclimate. In our first scenario, †S. macrota would have adjusted to the effects of changing environmental conditions through seasonal migration to warmer, temperate waters similar to its modern analog, C. taurus (Cunningham, 2000; Kim et al., 2014). Our second scenario of stable, warm waters at Seymour Island is supported by climate simulation results from an isotope-enabled model with forward modeling of expected \(\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO4}}\) values, given 3x and 6x preindustrial CO2 levels (Zhu et al., 2020; Figures 5c and 5d). The coherence between measured \(\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO4}}\) values from fossil teeth (Figure 4a) and expected \(\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{PO4}}\) values (Figures 5c and 5f) given ocean-atmosphere dynamics in Zhu et al. (2020) suggests that †S. macrota could inhabit the waters near Seymour Island throughout the year with limited to no seasonal migration. This explanation involves acceptance of substantially warmer temperatures at high latitudes than temperatures previously reported by many global climate simulations and geochemical climate indicators. Fossil shark teeth are often featured in either paleontological or geochemical studies; here, we demonstrate how combining these approaches can leverage richer ecological and environmental understanding, especially as marine ecosystems responded to changing climate.

Data Availability Statement

All data and model results can be found online (https://doi.org/10.6071/M34T1Z).
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