Short-term change in fish assemblages after the passage of a typhoon in a temperate, coastal bay
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Abstract

To study the effects of a typhoon on a temperate, coastal bay community, the species composition, catch amount, and diversity of epipelagic fish assemblages were investigated. Fish samples were taken from catches of a purse seine fishery in Tachibana Bay, Japan between May and July 2011, covering before and after the passage of a typhoon in the area. Although major changes in total catch amount were not observed before and after the passage of the typhoon, the abundance of the Japanese anchovy, Engraulis japonicus Temminck et Schlegel, 1846, markedly decreased and bycatch of species increased, accompanied by increasing levels of diversity of the fish assemblage. Multivariate analysis showed that community differences before and after the passage were quantitative rather than qualitative. Comparisons in total length frequencies between the two periods indicated that specimens of the species compared were bigger in size for Trachurus japonicus (Temminck et Schlegel, 1844) and smaller for E. japonicus in the “after” period. These results suggest that the passage of the typhoon triggered not only interspecific faunal change but also intraspecific recruitment shifts in and around the bay.
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Introduction

The effects of hurricanes, typhoons (also termed tropical cyclones), and tropical storms, are major sources of physical disturbance to shallow water communities, affecting geological features (Kahn 1984), hermatypic corals (Woodley et al. 1981; Harmelin-Vivien and Laboute 1986), and other reef organisms (Yoshioka and Yoshioka 1987; Moran and Reaka-Kudla 1991). Although much information on the impact of hurricanes and tropical cyclones on fish assemblages is available in tropical, coral reef areas, the results of these studies are inconsistent (Kaufman 1983; Lassig 1983; Fenner 1991; Le tourneur et al. 1993; Cheal et al. 2002; Guillemot et al. 2010; Kawabata et al. 2010; Foster et al. 2011). Breder (1962) noted the loss of the most abundant fish species, the pinfish, Lagodon rhomboides (Linnaeus, 1766), and proposed that a faunal shift had occurred. High post-hurricane mortality among metamorphosed damselfishes (Beecher 1973) and juveniles of other fishes (Lassig 1983; Bouchon et al. 1994) suggests that susceptibility to hurricane-induced stress may be related to the life-stage. In some cases, post-hurricane increases in fish abundance have been observed (Woodley et al. 1981; Turpin and Bortone 2002) while in other cases minimal or no effects on fish assemblages were found when compared with pre- and after-passage of the hurricane (Springer and McElean 1962; Fenner 1991).

It has been suggested that global warming may increase the frequency of strong typhoons (Emanuel 2005; Webster et al. 2005), and consequently temperate, coastal communities would increasingly be exposed to such severe disturbances.
However, only a few studies refer to the effects of typhoons on fishery activities in subtropical and temperate, coastal bays (Kawabata et al. 2010; Yu et al. 2013, 2014; Chang et al. 2014). Additionally, as far as I knew, there is no information about the impact of a typhoon on changes in species composition obtained from purse seine fishing. This type of information is important in order to consider if the change in catch amount and/or composition originates from either human or natural factors for fishery management and to estimate how communities and coastal marine ecosystems are impacted by typhoons. For these objectives, I considered that monitoring the species composition of purse seine catch, including bycatch (i.e., the fraction of the catch that consists of nontarget species that are encircled by the fishing gear and are unable to escape (Romanov 2002) is an effective method to determine the structure and spatial organization of multispecies aggregations of coastal communities (Hall 1996; Erzini et al. 2002).

On 26 June 2011, the typhoon MEARI (international number: 1105) crossed approximately 500 km offshore of the west coast of Kyushu, Japan (Fig. 1). Maximum wind velocities near the storm center were 30 m•s$^{-1}$ (Hall 1996; Erzini et al. 2002) and the radius of area of winds was approximately 90 km (Table 1). The present study examined the effect of this typhoon by comparing catch amount, species composition, and diversity obtained from purse seine catches before and after the passage of the typhoon, to test the hypothesis that such meteorological phenomena change the fish assemblage community structure and diversity in a temperate, coastal bay.

### Materials and methods

#### Study area

Tachibana Bay is located in the southern part of Nagasaki Prefecture, Kyushu, Japan. The northern and southern sides of this bay are enclosed by the Nagasaki and the Shimabara peninsulas, and the bay is connected to the Ariake Sound in the east, and exposed to the East China Sea in the west (Fig. 1). In Tachibana Bay, purse seine fishing has been operated since the early 1950s and has become the main fishery for epipelagic fish species. Although the purse seine fishery in Tachibana Bay mainly targets Japanese anchovy Engraulis japonicus Temminck et Schlegel, 1846, bycatch occurs. Our sampling of the purse seine fishing catch takes place within an area at a mean depth of 36 m (hatched area, Fig. 1).

#### Data analysis

The total catch amount and abundance of the samples were expressed as the weight of fish per haul. To analyze...

### Table 1. Best track data of MEARI (1105) in 2011 from the Regional Specialized Meteorological Center (RSMC), Tokyo, Japan.

| Date  | Time | Center position (DDM) Latitude and Longitude | Central pressure [hPa] | Maximum wind speed near the center [m•s$^{-1}$] | Radius of area of winds [km] |
|-------|------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 09    |      | 13°12’N, 126°16’E                           | 998                    | 18                                            |                             |
| 15    | 14°00’N, 128°54’E | 998               | 18                                    | NE: 440 SW: 280                  |                             |
| 21    | 14°48’N, 128°42’E | 994               | 20                                    | E: 700 W: 370                    |                             |
| 03    | 15°36’N, 126°24’E | 990               | 23                                    | SE: 750 NW: 370                  |                             |
| 09    | 16°36’N, 127°54’E | 985               | 25                                    | SE: 750 NW: 370                  |                             |
| 15    | 17°24’N, 127°54’E | 985               | 25                                    | SE: 750 NW: 370                  |                             |
| 21    | 18°12’N, 126°56’E | 985               | 25                                    | SE: 750 NW: 370                  |                             |
| 03    | 19°18’N, 126°30’E | 985               | 25                                    | SE: 750 NW: 370                  |                             |
| 09    | 20°48’N, 126°00’E | 980               | 30                                    | SE: 750 NW: 440                  |                             |
| 15    | 22°45’N, 125°18’E | 980               | 30                                    | E: 220 W: 190                    |                             |
| 21    | 23°36’N, 125°00’E | 975               | 30                                    | E: 220 W: 190                    |                             |
| 03    | 24°24’N, 124°30’E | 975               | 30                                    | E: 220 W: 190                    |                             |
| 09    | 24°54’N, 124°06’E | 975               | 30                                    | E: 220 W: 190                    |                             |
| 03    | 25°30’N, 123°48’E | 975               | 30                                    | E: 220 W: 190                    |                             |
| 09    | 26°00’N, 123°30’E | 975               | 30                                    | E: 220 W: 190                    |                             |
| 09    | 26°36’N, 123°18’E | 975               | 30                                    | E: 220 W: 190                    |                             |
| 15    | 27°42’N, 123°18’E | 975               | 30                                    | E: 220 W: 190                    |                             |
| 21    | 29°12’N, 124°06’E | 975               | 30                                    | E: 220 W: 190                    |                             |
| 03    | 32°06’N, 124°24’E | 980               | 30                                    | E: 220 W: 190                    |                             |
| 09    | 35°06’N, 124°24’E | 980               | 30                                    | SE: 700 NW: 370                  |                             |
| 15    | 36°48’N, 123°00’E | 980               | 30                                    | SE: 700 NW: 370                  |                             |
| 21    | 37°06’N, 122°48’E | 980               | 30                                    | SE: 650 NW: 370                  |                             |
| 03    | 37°30’N, 123°00’E | 985               | 25                                    | E: 600 W: 370                    |                             |
| 09    | 38°30’N, 124°18’E | 990               | 23                                    | E: 330                          |                             |
The mean values of the pooled data from the 3 purse seine fishing samples carried out ‘before’ and in the 3 samples ‘after’ the passage of the typhoon of total catch amount, abundance, and diversity for the community were compared by student t-test. Mann–Whitney U test was used to compare the total lengths of three species, *Engraulis japonicus*, *Trachurus japonicus* (Temminck et Schlegel, 1844), and *Sarda orientalis* (Temminck et Schlegel, 1844) (for which the data greater than two individuals were recorded in both ‘before’ and ‘after’ the typhoon) between the two sampling periods (*P* < 0.05).

**Results**

The distribution of sea surface temperature data in Tachibana Bay, Kyush, Japan is presented in Fig. 2. Changes in surface water temperature and total catch amount per haul during the experimental period are presented in Fig. 3. The sea surface temperature of the purse seine fishing grounds in Tachibana Bay ranged between 18.3 and 25.7°C (Fig. 3A). After the passage of the typhoon, ranges between the maximum and minimum sea surface temperature in the fishing ground became narrower. There is no significant difference in the mean total catch amount per haul between ‘before’ and ‘after’ (*t* = 1.14, *P* = 0.16) (Fig. 3B, C).

Ratios of the number of individuals and of the abundance of bycatch species such as *T. japonicus* and *S. orientalis* abruptly increased just after the passage of the typhoon, and *E. japonicus* decreased (Fig. 4). *Engraulis japonicus* accounted for more than 90% of abundance in the period ‘before’ passage while this ratio decreased to 57% in the ‘after’ period (Fig. 4). A total of 16 species were caught in total, in ‘before’ and ‘after’ the passage of the typhoon. Seven species were found in the samples of ‘before’ and 16 species in the ‘after’ typhoon. All species caught ‘before’ were also found in ‘after’ with the exception of one species *Stephanolepis cirrhifer* (Temminck et Schlegel, 1850). In the ‘after’ samples an additional nine species, *Sardinops sagax* (Jenyns, 1842), *Decapterus maruadsi* (Temminck et Schlegel, 1843), *Seriola quinquerradiata* Temminck et Schlegel, 1845, *Nichequula nuchalis* (Temminck et Schlegel, 1845), *Spratelloides gracilis* (Temminck et Schlegel, 1846), *Trichurus lepturus* Linnaeus, 1758, *Auxis rochei* (Risso, 1810), *Scomber australasicus* Cuvier, 1832, and *Lagocephalus wheelieri* Abe, Tabeta et Kitahama, 1984, were found that were not caught in the ‘before’ samples (Fig. 4).

Several descriptors of the fish community are shown in Fig. 5. The mean number of species increased from 1.0 to 4.4, but this is not a significant change (*t* = 0.99, *p* = 0.19) (Fig. 5A). The mean abundance of *E. japonicus* significantly decreased (*t* = 3.98, *p* = 0.0082) (Fig. 5B), thus the abundance of bycatch species increased in the period after the passage of the typhoon. Conventional diversity indices such as those of Shannon and Simpson showed significant increases indicating that the distribution of individuals among species was more even in the period.

![Map showing the trajectory of the tropical cyclone MEARI (dashed line) and the fishing ground (inset, shaded area) of the purse seine fishing in Tachibana Bay in 2011. Radii of circles show the areas affected by winds of 15 m/s. Best track data of MEARI is available in Table 1.](image-url)
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Figure 2. The distribution of sea surface temperature data in the sea around Tachibana Bay, Kyushu, Japan collected by the Japan Fisheries Information Service Center (JAFIC), Tokyo, Japan. The contour intervals are 1.0°C (solid lines) and 0.5°C (dashed lines).

Figure 3. Changes in sea surface temperature and total catch amount during the experimental period in Tachibana Bay, Kyushu, Japan in 2011. A: Observed maximum and minimum sea surface temperature in the fishing ground, B: Total catch amount per haul, C: Mean total catch amount between ‘before’ and ‘after’ the passage of the typhoon. Data are means ± S.D. Arrows indicate the passage of the typhoon, A1~B3 indicate sample numbers and numbers in parentheses indicate dates.

Figure 4. Changes in species composition of the fish community during the period before (A1, A2, and A3) and after (B1, B2, and B3) the passage of the typhoon in Tachibana Bay, Kyushu, Japan. Percentages of the Japanese anchovy *Engraulis japonicus* (grey) and bycatch species, based on A: The number of individuals and B: Total wet weight of the species sampled (abundance) data.
than before \((t = 2.98, P = 0.020\) for \(1/D\) and \(t = 2.38, P = 0.038\) for \(H'\): Fig. 5C, D). The same is also true of the \(k\)-dominance curves (Fig. 6).

The classification dendrogram showed two defined clusters corresponding to the ‘before’ and ‘after’ periods, except for one sample (B3), at similarity levels of 52\% and 74\%, respectively (Fig. 7A). By contrast, the classification based on presence–absence data did not reveal any difference between ‘before’ and ‘after’ (Fig. 7B), indicating that the overall changes in community structure between the two periods are quantitative rather than qualitative.

Comparisons in total length frequencies between the two periods indicated that specimens of the species compared were not significantly different in size for \(S.\) \(orientalis\) \((P = 0.68;\) Fig. 8A) but were bigger for \(T.\) \(japonicus\) \((P = 2.4 \times 10^{-7};\) Fig. 8B) and smaller for \(E.\) \(japonicus\) \((P = 2.5 \times 10^{-75};\) Fig. 8C) in the ‘after’ period.

**Discussion**

In the presently reported study, although major changes in the total catch amount per haul obtained from purse seine catches were not observed before and after the passage of the typhoon, the abundance of \(E.\) \(japonicus\) markedly decreased and that of bycatch species such as \(T.\) \(japonicus\) and \(S.\) \(orientalis\) increased in the ‘after’ period, accompanied by increasing levels of diversity in the fish assemblage. Furthermore, changes in total length frequencies of two fish species \((T.\) \(japonicus\) and \(E.\) \(japonicus\)) occurred, respectively. These results support the hypothesis that the typhoon was likely to have changed the structure and
spatial organization of multispecies aggregations of the fish community in the temperate, coastal bay.

An explanation for changes in fish assemblages could be that typhoon-induced forces affected the fish distribution. Typhoons have both direct and indirect effects on the distribution of fish species (Kaufman 1983; Halford et al. 2004; Wantiez et al. 2006; Kawabata et al. 2010; Yu et al. 2013, 2014; Chang et al. 2014). Direct effects are considered as the result of severe oceanic conditions, such as wind-associated strong currents that sweep fishes from their habitats (Lassig 1983). However, in this study, changes in fish distribution might be caused by indirect effects of the typhoon, because changes in oceanic conditions in Tachibana Bay did not notably occur.

Indirect effects could be caused by changes in abiotic factors, such as temperature, salinity, and turbidity of seawater. The main parameter known to affect the spatial organization of coastal communities is the temperature (Peterson and Ross 1991; Jaureguizar et al. 2004). Satellite observations and observational data obtained from moored instruments have shown cooling at the sea surface as well as in the mixed layer after a typhoon has passed (Price 1981; Cornillon et al. 1987; You et al. 2011). I also observed that ranges between the maximum and minimum sea surface temperature in the fishing ground became narrow just after the passage of the typhoon, suggesting that mixing in the bay had occurred (Fig. 3). This mixing of the water column in the fishing ground was thought to distribute heat deeper, where epipelagic fish species are present. Each species has an optimal temperature range within which it can be active, grow, reproduce, and metabolize. For example, the optimal temperature range is 15–17°C for *Engraulis japonica* (see Mitani 1981), but 20–23°C for *Trachurus japonicus* (Ochiai et al. 1983) and 14–23°C for *Sarda orientalis* (Collette and Nauen 1983). Considering these differences in optimal temperature, *T. japonicus* and *S. orientalis* rather than *E. japonicus* prefer warmer temperatures. This indeed would comply with (i) the respective temperature ranges of each species given above and (ii) the trend for heat being distributed deeper after the passage of the typhoon as mentioned above. These fish species regulate body temperature by moving between areas or depths of different water temperatures (Pough et al. 2009). Thus, the water temperature could explain the change in their habitat use and consequently would affect species composition and abundance of purse seine catches. In fact, it was reported that temperature has an influence on species composition and abundance determined by trawl sampling (Jaureguizar et al. 2004). Similar observations were also reported using some other fishing methods, such as set net (Yoshida and Akimoto 2000), two-boat seine (Tomiyama and Yanagibashi 2004), beach seine (Abookire et al. 2002), and purse seine (Lehodey et al. 1997). To fully clarify our speculation, detailed studies on the multiple measurements of water monitoring before and after the passage of typhoons and behavioral responses of fish species to such typhoon-induced environmental change are necessary.

Although multivariate analysis showed that the overall changes in community structure between the two periods are quantitative rather than qualitative, changes in total length frequencies between the two periods of two fish species may be thought of as qualitative. In this study, the mean total length for *E. japonicus* decreased from 98 mm (sub-adults) to 64 mm (juveniles) in the period after the passage of the typhoon. *E. japonicus* generally spawn from spring to autumn, resulting in the occurrence of several seasonal cohorts (Funamoto et al. 2004). In Tachibana Bay, *E. japonicus* can be caught almost all seasons except the summer season. From late spring to early summer in Tachibana Bay, *E. japonicus* targeted by purse seine fishery were mainly the juveniles (total length < 70 mm) (spring population), which are considered to have been spawned in open waters of the Amakusa Sea and/or the Goto Sea, in early spring (Shimomura et al. 1970; Tanaka et al. 2010). On the other hand, *E. japonicus* fished from autumn to winter mainly consisted of sub-adults. This autumn population is considered to migrate from the inner bay of Ariake Sound and then moves to Tachibana Bay (Shimomura et al. 1970; Tanaka et al. 2010). Although the mechanism(s) for a delay in spring population’s move in 2010 are currently unknown, I considered that replacement from autumn population to spring population occurred after the passage of the typhoon. In addition, the mean total length for *T. japonicus* increased from 100 mm to 200 mm in the ‘after’ period. In Tachibana Bay, frequencies of total

---

**Figure 8.** Comparisons of total length of the fish species between the two sampling periods ‘before’ and ‘after’ the passage of the tropical cyclone (***$P<0.001$, Mann–Whitney $U$ test).
length for *T. japonicus* gradually increased from spring to summer with growth. The observed abrupt increase in total length may be considered as an effect of the migration from open waters into the bay after the passage of the typhoon. These results suggest that passage of the typhoon may trigger intraspecific recruitment shifts in and around the bay area. On average, approximately 11 typhoons per year approach Japan within 300 km. Because typhoons have occurred with such frequency over the evolutionary history of the region’s ecosystems, these disturbances may play an important role in shaping the seasonal migration patterns of the indigenous species (Pimm et al. 1994; Turpin and Bortone 2002).

Finally, before–after comparisons have an advantage over those involving impact since it is easier to eliminate the factor of spatial variability. However, this type of comparison might be sensitive to seasonal and inter-annual variability (Underwood 1997; Machias et al. 2004). Previous studies on the effects of typhoons on fish assemblages can be categorized according to temporal effects: short-term versus long-term (Turpin and Bortone 2002). Long-term (more than 1 year) effects of typhoons may be difficult to determine because the effects of other factors such as food supply, competition, and/or predator-prey interaction will become more important as the time elapsed after the event increases. Yu et al. (2013) showed short-term effects of typhoons on fishery catches to provide evidence of CPUE increase after the typhoon. The presently reported study has also tried to eliminate the factor of seasonal and inter-annual variability by carrying out the series of samples in a short period (2 months). However, the purse seine fishery is characterized by a moon-dependent cycle, with high catches during the new moon and low catches around the full moon (Dudley and Tampubolon 1986; Pet et al. 1997). Although I did not sample during a number of days around the full moon, lunar variability may have potential effects on the catches. Thus, further evaluations determined by other fishing methods as well as fish tagging and marking techniques are required to better clarify the impacts of a typhoon on fish communities in a temperate, coastal bay.

### Acknowledgments

I thank H. Takayama for providing constructive information prior to publication. I also am grateful to the three anonymous referees involved for providing excellent comments.

This research work was supported by the Human Resource Development Program (Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology, Japan; Marine Cybernetics For Fisheries Innovation).

### References

Abookire AA, Piatt JF, Speckman SG (2002) A nearsurface, daytime occurrence of two mesopelagic fish species (*Stenobrachius leucopsarus* and *Leuroglossus schmidtii*) in a glacial fjord. Fish Bulletin 100: 376–380.

Beecher HA (1973) Effects of a hurricane on a population of damselfish, *Pomacentrus variabilis*. Copeia 613–615. https://doi.org/10.2307/1443138

Bouchon C, Bouchon-Navaro Y, Louis M (1994) Changes in the coastal fish communities following Hurricane Hugo in Guadeloupe island (French West Indies). Atoll Research Bulletin 422: 1–13. https://doi.org/10.5479/si.00775630.422.1

Breder CM (1962) Effects of a hurricane on the small fishes of a shallow bay. Copeia 459–462. https://doi.org/10.2307/1440937

Chang Y, Chan J-W, Huang Y-CA, Lin WQ, Lee M-A, Lee K-T, Liao C-H, Wang K-Y, Kuo Y-C (2014) Typhoon-enhanced upwelling and its influence on fishing activities in the southern East China Sea. International Journal of Remote Sensing 35(17): 6561–6572. https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2014.958248

Cheal AJ, Coleman G, Delean S, Miller I, Osborne K, Sweatman H (2002) Responses of coral and fish assemblages to a severe but short-lived tropical cyclone on the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. Coral Reefs 21(2): 131–142. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-002-0227-8

Clarke KR, Warwick RM (1994) Change in marine communities: An approach to statistical analysis and interpretation. Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Plymouth, 176 pp.

Collette BB, Nauen CE (1983) FAO Species catalogue: Vol. 2 Scombrids of the world, an annotated and illustrated catalogue of tunas, mackerels, bonitos, and related species known to date. FAO Species Synopsis No. 125. Rome: FAO, 137 pp.

Cornillon P, Stramma L, Price JF (1987) Satellite measurements of sea surface cooling during hurricane Gloria. Nature 326(6111): 373–375. https://doi.org/10.1038/326373a0

Dudley RG, Tampubolon G (1986) The artisanal seine- and lift-net fisheries of the north coast of Java. Aquaculture Research 17(3): 167–184. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.1986.tb00099.x

Emanuel K (2005) Increasing destructiveness of tropical cyclones over the past 30 years. Nature 436(7051): 686–688. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03906

Erzini K, Costa ME, Bentes L, Borges TC (2002) A comparative study of the species composition of discards from five fisheries from the Algarve (southern Portugal). Fisheries Management and Ecology 9(1): 31–40. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2400.2002.00284.x

Fenner DP (1991) Effects of Hurricane Gilbert on coral reefs, fishes and sponges at Cozumel, Mexico. Bulletin of Marine Science 48: 719–730.

Foster KA, Foster G, Tourenq C, Shuriki MK (2011) Shifts in coral community structures following cyclone and red tide disturbances within the Gulf of Oman (United Arab Emirates). Marine Biology 158(5): 955–968. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-010-1622-2

Funamoto T, Aoki I, Wada Y (2004) Reproductive characteristics of Japanese anchovy, *Engraulis japonicus*, in two bays of Japan. Fisheries Research 70(1): 71–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2004.06.017

Guillemot N, Chabanet P, Le Pape O (2010) Cyclone effects on coral reef habitats in New Caledonia (South Pacific). Coral Reefs 29(2): 445–453. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-010-0587-4
Halfford A, Cheal AJ, Ryan D, Williams DM (2004) Resilience to large-scale disturbance in coral and fish assemblages on the Great Barrier Reef. Ecology 85(7): 1892–1905. https://doi.org/10.1890/03-4017
Hall MA (1996) On bycatches. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 6(3): 319–352. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00212285
Harmelin-Vivien ML, Laboute P (1986) Catastrophic impact of hurricanes on atoll outer reef slopes in the Tuamotu (French Polynesia). Coral Reefs 5(2): 55–62. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02070353
Jaureguizar AJ, Menni R, Guerrero R, Lasta C (2004) Environmental factors structuring fish communities of the Rio de la Plata estuary. Fisheries Research 66(2–3): 195–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-7863(03)00200-5
Kahn JH (1984) Geomorphic recovery of the Chandelier Islands, Louisiana, after a major hurricane. Littoralia 31: 31–40.
Kaufman LS (1983) Effects of hurricane Allen on reef fish assemblages near Discovery Bay, Jamaica. Coral Reefs 2(1): 43–47. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00304731
Kawabata Y, Okuyama J, Asami K, Okuzawa K, Yoseda K, Arai N (2010) Effects of a tropical cyclone on the distribution of hatchery-reared black-spot taskfish Choerodon schoenleinii determined by acoustic telemetry. Journal of Fish Biology 77: 627–642. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2010.02072.x
Krebs CJ (1989) Ecological Methodology. Harper and Row Publishers, New York, 654 pp.
Lassig BR (1983) The effects of a cyclonic storm on coral reef fish assemblages. Environmental Biology of Fishes 9(1): 55–63. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00001058
Lehodey P, Bertignac M, Hampton J, Lewis A, Picaut J (1997) El Niño Southern Oscillation and tuna in the western Pacific. Nature 389(6652): 715–718. https://doi.org/10.1038/39575
Letourneur Y, Harmelin-Vivien M, Galzin R (1993) Impact of hurricane Firinga on fish community structure on fringing reefs of Reunion Island, S.W. Indian Ocean. Environmental Biology of Fishes 37(2): 109–120. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00000586
Machias A, Karakassis I, Labropoulos M, Somarakis S, Papadopoulos KN, Papaconstantinou C (2004) Changes in wild fish assemblages after the establishment of a fish farming zone in an oligotrophic marine ecosystem. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 60(4): 771–779. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2004.03.014
Mitani I (1981) Some biological aspects of anchovy and sardine that comes over to off Kanagawa prefecture-I The relationship of water mass variations to biological properties of anchovy and sardine that comes over to off Kamakura. Bulletin of the Kanagawa Prefectural Fishery Experimental Station 3: 39–50. [In Japanese]
Moran DP, Reaka-Kudla ML (1991) Effects of disturbance: Disruption and enhancement of coral reef cryptofaunal populations by hurricanes. Coral Reefs 9(4): 215–224. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00290425
Ochiai A, Mutsumi K, Umeda S (1983) On the first year’s growth, maturity and artificial spawning of cultured jack mackerel. Bulletin of the Japanese Society of Scientific Fisheries 49: 541–545. https://doi.org/10.2331/suisan.49.541 [In Japanese with English abstract]
Peet RK (1974) The measurement of species diversity. Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics 5(1): 285–307. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.05.110174.001441
Pet JS, van Densen WLT, Machiels MAM, Sukkel M, Setyohadi D, Tumuljadi A (1997) Catch, effort and sampling strategies in the highly variable sardine fisheries around East Java, Indonesia. Fisheries Research 31(1–2): 121–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-7836(97)00007-6
Peterson MS, Ross ST (1991) Dynamics of littoral fishes and decapods along a coastal river-estuarine gradient. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 33(5): 467–483. https://doi.org/10.1016/0272-7714(91)90085-P
Pinn SL, Davis GE, Loope L, Roman CT, Smith III TJ, Tilmant JT (1994) Hurricane Andrew. Bioscience 44(4): 224–229. https://doi.org/10.2307/1312226
Pough FH, Janis CM, Heiser JB (2009) Vertebrate Life, 8th edn. Benjamin Cummings, San Francisco, 752 pp.
Price JF (1981) The upper ocean response to a hurricane. Journal of Physical Oceanography 11(2): 153–175. https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1981)011%3C0153:UOORT%3E2.0.CO;2
Romanov EV (2002) Bycatch in the tuna purse-seine fisheries of the western Indian Ocean. Fish Bulletin 100: 90–105.
Shimomura T, Yamashita H, Kozasa E (1970) Anchovy in Tachibana Bay. In: Anonymous (Ed.) Report on the oceanographic condition and distribution of fish school in Tachibana Bay, Sasebo National Fisheries Research Institute, Nagasaki, 1–21. [In Japanese]
Springer VG, McElraine AJ (1962) A study of the behavior of some tagged South Florida coral reef fishes. American Midland Naturalist 67(2): 386–397. https://doi.org/10.2307/2422716
Tanaka H, Oshihimo S, Takagi N, Ichimaru T (2010) Investigation of the geographical origin and migration of anchovy Engraulis japonicus in Tachibana Bay, Japan: A stable isotope approach. Fisheries Research 102(1–2): 217–220. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2009.11.002
Tomiyama M, Yanagibashi S (2004) Effect of temperature, age class, and growth on induction of aestivation in Japanese sandeel Ammodites personatus in Ise Bay, central Japan. Fisheries Oceanography 13(2): 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2419.2003.00272.x
Turpin RK, Bortone SA (2002) Pre- and post-hurricane assessment of artificial reefs: Evidence for potential use as refugia in a fishery management strategy. ICES Journal of Marine Science 59: S74–S82. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmsc.2002.1191
Underwood AJ (1997) Experiments in ecology: Their logical design and interpretation using analysis of variance. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 524 pp. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511806407
Wantiez L, Chateau O, Le Mouellic S (2006) Initial and mid-term impact of a tropical cyclone number, duration, and intensity in a warming environment. Science 313(5783): 749–755. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1116448
Woodley JD, Chornesky EA, Clifford PA, Jackson JBC, Kaufman LS, Knowlton N, Lang JC, Peterson MP, Porter JW, Rooney MC, Rylaarsdam KW, Tunnicliffe VJ, Wahle CM, Wulff JL, Curtis ASG, Dallmeyer MD, Jupp BP, Koehl MAR, Neigel J, Sides EM (2010) Hurricane Allen’s impact on Jamaican coral reefs. Science 329(5764): 1229–1236. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1116448
Yoshioka PM, Yoshioka BB (1987) Variable effects of hurricane David on the shallow water gorgonian of Puerto Rico. Bulletin of Marine Science 40: 132–144.

You SH, Eom H-M, Ryoo SB (2011) Numerical study of typhoon effects on the Changjiang Diluted Water using an operational ocean forecasting system. Journal of Coastal Research SI64: 1927–1930.

Yu J, Tang D, Li Y, Huang Z, Chen G (2013) Increase in fish abundance during two typhoons in the South China Sea. Advances in Space Research 51(9): 1734–1749. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2012.11.019

Yu J, Tang D, Chen G, Li Y, Huang Z, Wang S (2014) The positive effects of typhoons on the fish CPUE in the South China Sea. Continental Shelf Research 84: 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2014.04.025