Harnessing the Secretome of Mesenchymal Stromal Cells for Traumatic Spinal Cord Injury: Multicell Comparison and Assessment of In Vivo Efficacy
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Cell therapy offers significant promise for traumatic spinal cord injury (SCI), which despite many medical advances, has limited treatment strategies. Able to address the multifactorial and dynamic pathophysiology of SCI, cells present various advantages over standard pharmacological approaches. However, the use of live cells is also severely hampered by logistical and practical considerations. These include specialized equipment and expertise, standardization of cell stocks, sustained cell viability post-thawing, and cryopreservation-induced delayed-onset cell death. For this reason, we suggest a novel and clinically translatable alternative to live-cell systemic infusion, which retains the efficacy of the latter while overcoming many of its limitations. This strategy involves the administration of concentrated cell secretome and exploits the trophic mechanism by which stromal cells function. In this study, we compare the efficacy of intravenously delivered concentrated conditioned media (CM) from human umbilical cord matrix cells (HUCMCs), bone marrow mesenchymal stromal cells, as well as newborn and adult fibroblasts in a rat model of moderately severe cervical clip compression/contusion injury (C7–T1, 35 g). This is further paired with a thorough profile of the CM cytokines, chemokines, and angiogenic factors. The HUCMC-derived CM was most effective at limiting acute (48 h post-SCI) vascular pathology, specifically lesion volume, and functional vascularity. Principle component analysis (PCA), hierarchical clustering, and interaction analysis of proteins highly expressed in the HUCMC secretome suggest involvement of the MAPK/ERK, JAK/STAT, and immune cell migratory pathways. This “secretotherapeutic” strategy represents a novel and minimally invasive method to target multiple organ systems and several pathologies shortly after traumatic SCI.
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Introduction

Spinal cord injury (SCI) affects 1.275 million individuals in the United States alone, with an annual incidence of 12,000 (www.christopherreeve.org). SCI-induced vascular disruption (VD) is an immediate consequence of SCI and is a trigger for secondary pathology [1]. For this reason, targeting early damage may reduce tissue loss as well as functional impairment, improving overall recovery. Cell therapy, especially mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs), is a promising modality to address the multifactorial and dynamic secondary pathophysiology [2,3].

MSCs are currently being tested in clinical trials for treating various central nervous system (CNS) degenerative as well as traumatic conditions, including SCI [4]. Importantly, MSCs also have pericytic attributes [5–11] that may effectively target VD. However, the acute treatment of SCI with live cells is severely hampered by several considerations. These include the need for skilled expertise and specialized equipment for cell manipulation, sustained cell viability post-thawing, cryopreservation-induced delayed-onset cell death (CIDOCD) [12,13], standardization of cell stocks and time to cell administration after harvest. There is also the risk of pulmonary embolism post-cell infusion [14,15] even...
though the safety of such an approach has been verified through meta-analysis [16], immune rejection [14], increased patient vulnerability, and severely compromised autonomic function. Despite improvements in cryopreservation techniques and reagents [17–26], current cell cryopreservation technologies are likely the bottleneck of cell therapy and CIDOCD is likely a factor in the challenges to translate cellular therapies to the clinic. Freeze-thawed MSCs have also shown to have impaired immunomodulatory, blood regulatory, and therapeutic properties compared to freshly harvested cells from continuous cultures [27,28]. Finally, a limited understanding of the specific biology, molecular targets, and functional capacity of MSCs hinders any further exploitation of their therapeutic capabilities and impedes full-scale clinical deployment.

With these limitations in mind, we have developed a novel and clinically translatable alternative to live cell systemic infusion, which retains the efficacy of the latter while overcoming many of its limitations. Our strategy involves the administration of concentrated cell secretome and aims to exploit the trophic mechanism by which MSCs are reported to function after CNS transplantation or systemic infusion [29–32]. Further, cell secretome comprises growth factors, cytokines, chemokines, immunomodulatory molecules, and, more recently identified, extracellular vesicles [33,34]. Yet, as cell paracrine action is significantly influenced by microenvironment, cell source, and passage number [35], characterization as well as comparison studies will be instrumental for successful application of secretome for therapeutic purposes. Therefore, as we have demonstrated success with human umbilical cord matrix cells (HUCMCs) [36–38], we aimed to compare their concentrated conditioned media (CM) with their adult counterparts from bone marrow mesenchymal stromal cells (BMSCs). Notably, HUCMCs are also more attractive candidates than other adult or fetal MSCs for a variety of reasons, including their greater proliferative potential, noninvasive and ethical derivation, and sourcing from term births [39,40].

In this study, we present evidence that early (7 min post-SCI) intravenous delivery of HUCMC secretome has a greater effect on SCI secondary pathology than that of BMSCs, as well as adult and newborn (NB) human foreskin fibroblasts. Furthermore, using principle component analysis (PCA) and hierarchical clustering and interaction analysis of the cell secretome, we also demonstrate that HUCMC-CM contains proteins that are involved in the MAPK/ERK, JAK/STAT, and immune cell migratory pathways, highlighting a novel target for neuroprotection. Therefore, taken together, this study not only provides a thorough comparison of various human cell-derived secretomes, but also shows the clinical feasibility of human cell-derived secretomes for various traumatic and neurodegenerative conditions [41–46]. As this approach has significant advantages over live cell-based therapeutic approaches, it has important translational implications.

Materials and Methods

All experiments involving animal use were approved by the University Health Network Animal Care Committee (Animal Use Protocol No. 979) and experiments were carried out in strict accordance with approved protocols.

HUCMC isolation

A 3–5 cm segment of umbilical cord was collected from consenting mothers’ postpartum in Chelsea and Westminster Hospital (London, United Kingdom; ethics code RREC 2758; R&D reference 02TE002), and dissected in sterile Hank’s Buffered Saline Solution (HBSS, Gibco) containing 1% gentamycin and 1% Fungizone. The vein and arteries were carefully removed. Fragments of Wharton’s jelly (umbilical cord matrix) were digested in collagenase I and II (1 mg/mL, Gibco) for 2 h at 37°C before centrifuging at 2,000 rpm for 10 min. Cells were then seeded into uncoated culture flasks (Greiner) in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium/F12 (Gibco) or Alpha-MEM containing 10% batch-tested nonheat inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS; Wisent), 1% sodium pyruvate, 1% GlutaMAX, 0.1% gentamycin, and 0.1% Fungizone (Sigma-Aldrich; complete medium). After 2–5 days, nonadherent cells were removed, and the remaining cells were grown to 80%–90% confluence before passaging.

Other cell types used in the study include passage-matched commercially obtained adult human BMSCs (PT-2501; Lonza), as well as NB foreskin fibroblasts (ATCC, PCS-201-010) and adult fibroblasts (ATCC, PCS-201-012). Importantly, all cell cultures were regularly checked for mycoplasma (MycoAlert™ Mycoplasma Detection Kit, LT07–218; Lonza).

Generation of concentrated CM

For in vivo studies, all cells (passage-matched; passage 6) were cultured in T75 tissue culture flasks with Alpha-MEM +10% FBS before serum withdrawal to 80% confluence. Before the addition of serum-free Alpha-MEM, the cells were washed three times with sterile HBSS (Gibco). CM was generated by collection of 1-week serum-free Alpha-MEM (from T75 tissue culture flasks with cells at 80% confluence). CM from different flasks were harvested and pooled. Subsequently, CM was concentrated using Pierce ultracentrifuge concentrators (>9 kDa) by centrifugation at 4,000 g for 15 min. The mean protein concentration of concentrated CM was 1.2–1.7 mg/mL and samples were stored at −80°C.

SCI model and CM infusion

Careful consideration was given to approved standards of reporting for all procedures and data described (Animals in Research: Reporting in Vivo Experiments, ARRIVE and Minimum Information about a Spinal Cord Injury Experiment, MIASC1) [47–50]. Female Wistar rats (250–300 g, 12 weeks old) received a C7-T1 35 g clip compression SCI for 1 min under isoflurane anaesthesia (1:1 mixture of O2/NO2). For all acute studies (48 h post-SCI), a volume of 1 mL of concentrated CM (pooled from several dishes) was systemically infused via the tail vein 7 min post-SCI. For all long-term studies (10 weeks post-SCI), in addition to the initial treatment at 7 min post-SCI, the animals also received repeated 1 mL infusions at 1, 2, 3, 7, 14, 21, and 28 days. The animals were randomly assigned to a treatment group and given a coded designation until data acquisition and processing was complete. Specialized postoperative husbandry protocols were followed by qualified and experienced personnel (also blinded to treatment conditions) to maximize animal welfare. These included corn cob (1/4")
and Isopad bedding, Clavamox-supplemented drinking water, and Bacon Softies diet (starting immediately post-op). Animals were caged singly postoperatively with Nylabone and kept on a 12-h light/dark cycle at all times. Manual bladder squeezing (three times a day), cyclosporine (Sandimmune) injections (10 mg/kg s.c. once daily), and Buprenorphine injections (0.05 mg/kg s.c. twice daily) were performed at regular times.

**In vivo very high-resolution ultrasound imaging for three-dimensional lesion/cavity volume assessment**

The injured spinal cord was imaged by in vivo very high resolution ultrasound imaging (VHRUS) as previously described [51,52] using a 44 MHz probe (Vevo 770; VisualSonics). Three-dimensional (3D) VHRUS acquisitions were made in B-mode and Power Doppler mode. The 3D files were analyzed with ImageJ® software as previously described [51,52] with minor modifications. The bright pixels (defined as lesional tissue) were delineated by two independent blinded observers within a 15 sagittal image serial stack (102 μm distance between sagittal images). Volumetric renderings of 3D lesional volume and cavity representations of the raw ultrasound images were generated with the TrakEM2 ImageJ plugin at 48 h and 10 weeks post-SCI, respectively.

**VHRUS 3D power Doppler analysis for spinal cord vascularity**

With in vivo Power Doppler ultrasound imaging, it is possible to detect the active large vessel blood flow and therefore spinal cord vascularity [51]. Although contrast-enhanced ultrasonography is most commonly applied to quantify in vivo spinal cord perfusion and flow, power Doppler imaging has been shown to correlate with immunofluorescence measurements of blood vessel density [51]. We have adapted this approach to quantify the 3D spinal cord vascularization following injury and secretome treatments as previously reported [36,53]. In short, the percent area of Doppler signal for each sagittal image slice was summed, generating a robust measure of functional vascularity at 48 h and 10 weeks post-SCI.

**Evans blue assay for vascular permeability (48 h post-SCI)**

Wistar rats were infused with 1 mL 2% Evans blue (EB) dye in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; without Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺) 30 min before sacrificial perfusion with 250 mL chilled PBS. Snap-frozen 5 mm-segment homogenates of lesional spinal cord tissue were each divided into three equal portions for spectrophotometric quantification of EB dye, the myeloperoxidase (MPO) activity assay, and Drabkin’s assay. Therefore, a one-third portion of homogenized 5 mm lesion spinal cord tissue was dissolved in 500 μL dimethylformamide (DMF), incubated at 50°C for 24 h, and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 15 min. The supernatant was collected and 150 μL was aliquoted into a 96-well flat bottom glass plate (Zeiss). Colorimetric measurements were performed using the Perkin Elmer Victor2TM 1420 spectrophotometer (Wallac 1420 Victor2TM; Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA) at 620 nm. Samples were normalized to tissue weight (g) and EB concentration was calculated based on a standard curve in DMF, as previously described [54].

**Drabkin’s assay for parenchymal hemorrhage (48 h post-SCI)**

Parenchymal hemorrhage was assessed via a modified version of the Drabkin’s assay manufacturer protocol (D95941; Sigma). The sample was sonicated in 100 μL deionized distilled H₂O and subsequently centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 15 min. The supernatant was collected and added to complete Drabkin’s Reagent (Drabkin’s Reagent powder in 1,000 mL of distilled H₂O and 0.5 mL of 30% Brij 35 Solution) and allowed to stand for 15 min for the reaction to take place (hemoglobin to cyanomethemoglobin). Colorimetric measurements were performed using the Perkin Elmer Victor2TM spectrophotometer (Wallac 1420 Victor2TM; Perkin Elmer) at 540 nm, normalized to tissue weight (in grams), and calculated based on a linear bovine blood hemoglobin (H2500; Sigma) standard curve.

**MPO activity assay for neutrophil infiltration (48 h post-SCI)**

MPO activity, a measure of neutrophil infiltration and inflammation post-SCI, was quantified using a kit from Enzo Life Sciences as per the manufacturer’s instructions (ADI-907-029) with minor modifications. In short, the samples were sonicated for 30 s in 1× MPO buffer with 0.5% detergent hexadecyltrimethylammonium (HTA-Br; w/v, H9151; Sigma-Aldrich) and 10 mM N-Ethylmaleimide (E3876; Sigma-Aldrich). Two freeze/thaws were then performed and the samples were subsequently centrifuged at 12,000g for 20 min at 4°C. Fifty microliters of sample supernatant and 50 μL of the reaction cocktail was added and incubated for 60 min. Fluorescence was measured on the Perkin Elmer Victor2TM 1420 spectrophotometer (Wallac 1420 Victor2TM; Perkin Elmer) at an excitation wavelength of 530 nm and emission wavelength of 590 nm. MPO activity was determined from the measured relative fluorescence intensity of an MPO enzyme standard curve. Results were expressed relative to tissue mass (in grams).

**Proteome profiler arrays**

Vascular, trophic, and inflammatory factors in cell secretomes were probed using R&D enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) Proteome Profiler arrays (ARY007, ARY017, and ARY005). They were used as per the manufacturer’s instructions with minor modifications, specifically IRDye 800CW Streptavidin (LI-COR, 926–32230; www.RnDSystems.com/go/LICORProtocol) secondary was used at a 1:2,000 dilution for 30 min at room temperature to improve the sensitivity of detection. ELISA membranes were scanned on an Odyssey Imager (LI-COR) using standardized acquisition parameters. Fluorescence intensity values for each array membrane are normalized to its own negative control spots, and ultimately represented as fold-differences relative to the HUCMC secretome. Each value is the mean of two spots on the ELISA membrane. The protein array values were validated through three replicates. However, as the concentrated CM was pooled from multiple flasks to obtain enough for characterization as
well as in vivo animal studies, these were not biological replicates. Therefore, although HUCMCs secreted a greater variety and quantity of concentrations of cytokines, chemokines, and angiogenic proteins, there is no statistical comparison.

**Heat map and cluster analysis of arrays**

Mean gray values obtained from proteome profiling were imported into gConvert, a part of the gProfiler [55] suite of tools for conversion into standardized gene symbols. Datasets from each array analysis were imported into ClustVis [56] and subjected to unit variance scaling to normalize the expression of each protein. PCA was then completed using the singular value decomposition method and unsupervised hierarchical clustering was performed using correlation as distance and average linkage method for both the clustering of the rows (proteins) and columns (cell types). Cluster numbers were manually annotated by setting dendrogram cutoffs that best describe the type of clusters.

**Protein–protein interaction analysis**

Gene symbols were converted to protein IDs in STRING [57], and proteins that were highly expressed in HUCMC CM were imported (clusters #3, #2, and #4 from the angiogenesis, chemokine, and cytokine arrays, respectively). Network edges were set to show the number of independent types of evidence for the interaction, active interaction sources were set to exclude text mining, but include experimental, database, coexpression, and homology-derived interactions. The minimum required interaction score was set to that of the highest confidence (>0.9). Functional enrichment of these proteins and their proven interaction partners were done using the gene ontology and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes databases, significant enrichments (P-adjusted <0.05) were highlighted.

**Long-term (10 weeks post-SCI) neurobehavioral assessment**

Considering HUCMC CM had the most promising effects in acute studies (48 h post-SCI), long-term (10 weeks post-SCI) assessment involved comparison between a vehicle (media only) control and HUCMC CM. All neurobehavioral assessments were performed weekly for 10 weeks after SCI by examiners blinded to the experimental group. Forelimb function was assessed with a grip strength meter (SDI Grip Strength System, model DFM-10; San Diego Instruments, San Diego, CA; www.sandiegoinstruments.com), as previously described [58]. Whole-body limb function and trunk stability was evaluated with the inclined plane test, where the incline angle was incrementally raised until they were no longer able to maintain their position. Hindlimb locomotion was assessed using the 22-point (0–21) Basso, Beattie, and Bresnahan (BBB) Locomotor Rating Scale, as previously described [59]. The animals were also weighed weekly.

**Long-term (10 weeks post-SCI) spinal cord immunohistochemistry**

Five mm spinal cord segments (2.5 mm rostral and 2.5 mm caudal from the epicenter) were isolated from rats intracardially perfused with 250 mL chilled PBS (without Calcium and Magnesium) followed by 50 mL of chilled 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) and then postfixed in 4% PFA overnight at 4°C before transfer to 30% sucrose (at 4°C) and stored in optimal cutting temperature compound (OCT) (at 4°C for 1–2 days then −20°C). The 5 mm tissue segment was subsequently embedded in fresh OCT and dorso-ventral longitudinal cryosectioning (30 μm thick cross sections) took place. The delay between spinal cord isolation and cryosectioning was kept to a minimum. Before staining, slides were baked for 15 min at 55°C to improve cryosection adherence to the slides. After blocking in PBS (without Calcium and Magnesium) +2% FBS +0.3% Triton X for 1 h at room temperature, primary antibodies diluted in the same diluent solution were applied overnight at 4°C. Myelination was quantified using FluoroMyelin (F34651; 1:100; Molecular Probes), gliosis using anti-GFAP (AB5541, 1:200; Millipore), and vascularity with DyLight 594-conjugated tomato lectin from *Lycopersicon esculentum* agglutinin (LEA, 1:300; Vector Labs). After three washes in PBS, secondary antibodies were applied as necessary. All appropriate goat secondary antibodies (Alexa Fluor) were used at 1:200 dilution. Hoechst 33242 was used as nuclear counterstain. Slides were mounted in Mowiol after five PBS washes. Negative staining controls (primary or secondary antibodies alone) were used to obtain baseline image acquisition parameters. The latter were kept consistent for each fluorescent channel between slides and treatment conditions. The staining was done in one batch and the delay between mounting slides and image acquisition was kept to a minimum. Slides were randomly imaged by a single user to reduce any imaging bias, with five images per spinal cord, at x20 magnification on a on a Nikon Eclipse E800 microscope.

**Image analysis**

Image processing and analysis were performed using Adobe Photoshop CS6 and ImageJ64 software. The 32-bit fluorescent images acquired were downscaled in ImageJ to 25% of their original dimensions for feasibility of analysis, thresholded (based on negative control slides, using the Color Threshold function) and binarized. Using Photoshop CS6 and the image of a ruler acquired using the same protocol, images were cropped to include only a 5 mm rostrocaudal region surrounding the epicenter of the lesion site. Glial scar tissue interferes with fluorescent microscopy due to its high autofluorescence. Reliably removing this signal manually is challenging. Using the OR function in the Image Calculator, the overlapping area (corresponding to the auto-fluorescent signal) between images of different channels was determined. Using the SUBTRACT function in the Image Calculator, the computed autofluorescence was then subtracted from the thresholded images, respectively. The area of fluorescent staining was determined as a proportion of the total area (kept fixed) of the lesional and perilesional spinal cord corresponding to 5 mm of tissue. Any cavity was excluded during this measurement (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/docs/guide/146–30.html).

**Statistical analyses**

Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism software (La Jolla, CA). Each test is described in the
corresponding figure legend. In short, differences among CM groups were assessed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Dunnett’s (for comparisons to HUCMC CM) post hoc, where the alpha threshold was set to 0.05. Long-term (10 weeks post-SCI) neurobehavioral results were assessed by two-way ANOVA with Sidak’s multiple comparisons post hoc test. The Brown-Forsythe test was used to compare standard deviations, confirming that parametric statistics are appropriate.

Results

Antigenic profile of cells

Passage-matched HUCMCs and BMSCs were morphologically similar (spindle-shaped) and proliferative (Supplementary Data). The majority of HUCMCs expressed the classical mesenchymal markers, including CD90, CD44, CD166, CD105, CD73, prolyl-4-hydroxylase, vimentin, collagen I, and alkaline phosphatase, but no hematopoietic

![Image of figure 1 showing early intravenous infusion of HUCMC-derived CM significantly reduced acute (48 h post-SCI) vascular pathology compared to the CM of other cell sources. HUCMC CM reduced VHRUS quantified acute lesion volume (A) as well as Power Doppler determined functional vascularity (B) compared to that of a vehicle (media only) control (n=4–5 per group). Representative VHRUS B-Mode sagittal slice images and three-dimensional lesion reconstructions are shown (C). Infusion of HUCMC CM did not affect vascular permeability as assessed by Evan’s blue dye extravasation (D), parenchymal hemorrhage as assessed by the Drabkin’s assay (E) and neutrophil infiltration (F) as assessed by the MPO activity assay (n=4–5 per group). Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. One-way analysis of variance (Dunnett’s multiple comparison). *P ≤ 0.05; **P ≤ 0.01; ***P ≤ 0.001; ****P ≤ 0.0001. CM, conditioned media; HUCMC, human umbilical cord matrix cell; MPO, myeloperoxidase; SCI, spinal cord injury; SEM, standard error of the mean; VHRUS, very high-resolution ultrasound imaging. Color images are available online.

FIG. 1
markers (CD45 and CD34, flow cytometry data in Supplementary Fig. S1). Most fibroblasts expressed prolyl-4-hydroxylase and collagen I, while a proportion expressed the proliferative marker Ki67, but not the other antigenic markers tested (data not shown).

**Early intravenous infusion of HUCMC-derived CM reduced acute (48 h post-SCI) vascular pathology**

The efficacy of early intravenous infusion of MSC-derived CM for traumatic SCI was assessed through an assortment of assays for vascular pathology. This additionally involved a comparison of CM form diverse cell sources, including HUCMCs, BMSCs, as well as NB and adult fibroblasts (Fig. 1). On most readouts, the early intravenous Infusion of HUCMC CM was more efficacious than other cell source CMs. Specifically, HUCMC CM infusion (15.95 ± 0.76 mm³) 7 min following SCI significantly (P < 0.02, One-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s multiple comparisons post hoc test) reduced the in vivo very high-resolution ultrasound imaging (VHRUS) quantified lesion volume compared to that of the vehicle control (22.47 ± 1.16 mm³). There was also a statistically significant (P < 0.05, one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s multiple comparisons post hoc test) rise in Power Doppler detection of lesonal active blood flow (Fig. 1B) between HUCMC CM-treated animals (142.6% ± 6.07% of sham signal) and the vehicle control (86.65% ± 4.44% of sham signal). Interestingly, these changes did not translate into significant changes in vascular permeability (Fig. 1D; P = 0.11), parenchymal hemorrhage (Fig. 1E; P = 0.80) or neutrophil infiltration (Fig. 1F; P = 0.52) between vehicle and HUCMC CM treatment at 48 h post-SCI (one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s multiple comparisons post hoc test).

**PCA and cluster analysis of secretome reveals HUCMC-derived CM is unique**

PCA and hierarchical clustering analysis enabled a more accurate and thorough comparison of the CM from four cell types/sources (Fig. 2). The difference in the secretory capacity of the HUCMC secretome, compared to that of other cells, was less dramatic for angiogenesis factors, whereby HUCMCs had a similar secretory capacity (Fig. 2A; Cluster 3) of a unique repertoire compared to NB fibroblasts (Fig. 2A; Cluster #4). Conversely, HUCMCs secreted a greater repertoire and quantity of most of the chemokines and cytokines relative to the other cells (Fig. 2B; Cluster #2, Fig. 2C; Cluster #4). In all cases, the PCA analysis revealed that HUCMCs stood far apart in the quantity and repertoire of factors secreted compared to the other cell types (Fig. 2A–C), while adult fibroblasts and BMSCs shared similar profiles in the angiogenesis and chemokine arrays (Fig. 2A, B), and NB fibroblasts shared similar profiles with BMSCs in the cytokine array (Fig. 2C).

**HUCMC secretes factors that alter immune cell migration and the MAPK/ERK and JAK/STAT pathways**

Proteins that were highly secreted by HUCMCs in the three arrays were subjected to protein–protein interaction analysis (Clusters #3, #2, and #4, from the angiogenesis, chemokine, and cytokine arrays, respectively). The analysis (Fig. 3) revealed that most of these proteins were strongly involved in leukocyte and lymphocyte trafficking, while also playing a role in the MAPK/ERK pathway. Another independent set of proteins was also found to be involved in the JAK/STAT signaling pathway.

**Early intravenous infusion of HUCMC-derived CM improved long-term (10 weeks post-SCI) tissue sparing without neurobehavioral recovery**

To evaluate the long-term effects of HUCMC CM infusion, the animals underwent weekly standardized neurobehavioral testing and histological analysis for/at 10 weeks following SCI (Fig. 4). Presacrificial VHRUS imaging (Fig. 4A, B) revealed a significant (P < 0.05, unpaired two-tailed t-test) reduction in spinal cord cavity volume between vehicle (5.03 ± 0.69 mm³) and HUCMC CM-treated (2.94 ± 0.55 mm³) animals (n = 7–10 per group). Although there was no statistically significant difference (P = 0.34, unpaired two-tailed t-test) in glial scar (Fig. 4C), the extent of myelin (Fig. 4D) was greater (P < 0.05, unpaired two-tailed t-test) in animals with HUCMC CM infusion (10.61% ± 1.39% area of staining) than the vehicle (6.33% ± 1.22% area of staining).

Weekly neurobehavioral testing included the grip strength (Fig. 4F), inclined plane (Fig. 4G), and BBB Locomotor Rating Scale (Fig. 4H) assessments (n = 10 per group). While there was no statistically significant difference between treated animals and controls for most of the functional tests (two-way ANOVA, Sidak’s multiple comparison post hoc test), HUCMC CM animals had greater grip strength at 2 weeks post-SCI (P < 0.05). However, this effect was not preserved throughout the duration of neurobehavioral assessment.

**The acute vascular effects of early intravenous infusion of HUCMC CM dissipate by 10 weeks post-SCI**

Despite acute (48 h post-SCI) vasoprotective effects with HUCMC CM (Fig. 1A, B), there was no significant difference (P = 0.54) in vascularity (Fig. 5A) between vehicle (27.61% ± 3.33% area of staining) and HUCMC CM-infused
animals (23.44% ± 5.5% area of staining). There was also no significance (P = 0.64) in Power Doppler detection of active blood flow (Fig. 5B, C) between vehicle (40.13 ± 2.22) and HUCMC CM-infused animals (41.83 ± 2.80).

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is one of the first reports of high-throughput profiling of secretomes from diverse mesenchymal and fibroblastic lineage cells, and also the first time a concentrated secretome has been intravenously administered to target acute traumatic SCI pathology. Although proteomic analyses of MSC secretome have been previously reported [60,61], a comparative analysis of such a wide range of factors secreted by different age- and passage-matched mesenchymal cells and fibroblasts has not been undertaken. Moreover, there have been only three studies to date examining the effects of MSC secretome in an...
FIG. 4. Intravenous infusion of HUCMC-derived CM had reduced long-term (10 weeks post-SCI) cavitation and increased tissue sparing without significant changes to neurobehavioral recovery. Intravenous infusion of HUCMC CM significantly reduced VHRUS quantified spinal cord cavity volume (A). Data are expressed as mean±SEM (P<0.05, two-tailed unpaired t-test, n=7–10 per group). Representative VHRUS B-Mode sagittal slice images and three-dimensional cavity reconstructions are shown (B). Although there was no effect on glial scar (C), there was a significant increase in myelin preservation (D) as assessed by percent area of immunofluorescence staining with GFAP and FluoroMyelin, respectively. Data are expressed as mean±SEM (two-tailed unpaired t-test, n=7–10 per group). Representative images are shown (E). Weekly standardized behavioral testing was applied for 10 weeks after SCI and HUCMC CM infusion (vehicle, n=10; HUCMC CM, n=10) by examiners blinded to the experimental groups (two-way analysis of variance, Sidak’s multiple comparison post hoc test). Animals were weighed weekly (F); forelimb motor function was assessed with a grip strength meter (G); whole-body limb function as well as trunk stability was evaluated with the inclined plane test (H); and hindlimb locomotion was assessed by using the 22-point (0–21) Basso, Beattie, and Bresnahan Locomotor Rating Scale (I). Data are expressed as mean±SEM; *P≤0.05. Color images are available online.
experimental in vivo model of CNS injury (two studies in traumatic brain injury and one study in SCI using unconcentrated rat secretome) [62–64]. Another study has examined MSC CM in an experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis model, finding an effect on remyelination [65].

Here, we demonstrate that the secretome of HUCMCs is more effective, than that of BMSCs and fibroblasts (adult as well as NB), at reducing post-SCI secondary pathophysiology in a clinically relevant experimental model. At 48 h post-SCI, HUCMC CM significantly reduced lesion volume and improved functional vasculature. Interestingly, there was only modest effect on vascular permeability, parenchymal hemorrhage, and neutrophil infiltration. We also found that HUCMCs secreted greater concentrations of proangiogenic factors, anti-inflammatory cytokines, and neurotrophic factors than other cell types/sources. Unfortunately, even with repeated HUCMC CM infusion, the acute effects did not translate into long-term (10 weeks post-SCI) functional recovery, where we only saw a significant reduction in cavity volume and preservation of myelin. While this suggests that HUCMC CM may be insufficient as a standalone therapy, it could serve as part of a combinatorial strategy with other neuroprotective treatments (like Riluzole) [66]. Proteomic analysis suggests that the therapeutic effects of HUCMC CM may result from the modulation of the JAK/STAT, MAPK/ERK, and immune cell migratory pathways, although further work is required to optimize this approach for lasting effects.

The JAK-STAT, MAPK/ERK, and immune cell trafficking pathways are known to be activated after SCI [67–74]. Importantly, inhibition of the JAK-STAT and MAPK/ERK pathways has been shown to have both detrimental and beneficial effects on SCI [67,69,70]. Our protein interaction analysis predicts that both pathways were possibly modulated exclusively by HUCMC CM infusion. Therefore, this regulation may underlie the in vivo vascular effects observed and has been previously associated with other models of MSC secretome infusion [75], where JAK-STAT pathway has been shown to underlie mouse liver regeneration after the administration of hypoxic CM from human adipose-derived stem cells [76].

While it is clear that the JAK-STAT and toll-like receptor (TLR) pathway effects in our model require further investigation, there are also likely multiple mechanisms at play. For instance, there are also greater quantities of and/or different extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins in HUCMC secretome compared to those of other cells. We have observed that the viscosity of HUCMC CM is higher than that of the other cells used in this study, making it very difficult to filter through a 0.2 µm pore size filter, unlike the culture media of other cells. These HUCMC-derived ECM proteins could underlie a greater and/or more rapid isolation of the lesion epicenter, thereby limiting the spread of secondary damage. This has been reported in the application of adiposeMSCs after SCI, where the neuroprotective effects were attributed to their secretion of laminin [77]. In addition, ECM proteins have been shown to influence the survival of endothelial as well as neural cells [78–80].

Through further assessment of the secretome profiles, we also identified the factors that were expressed more highly by HUCMCs or the other cells. Specifically, we found that enhanced vascular permeability and lesion volume were associated with the secretion of greater amounts of proinflammatory cytokines and VEGF (which is known to not only promote neovascularization but also increase vascular permeability). Relatedly, reduced vascular permeability and lesions were linked to greater concentrations of secreted FGF2 in HUCMC CM (which promotes vascular stabilization and reduces vascular permeability after experimental stroke [81]) and lower amounts of proinflammatory cytokines. A notable exception to this trend was the higher expression of the proinflammatory cytokines IL1-a and IL-1b by HUCMCs relative to other cells (but also greater secretion of IL-1ra by HUCMCs).

As another alternative potential mechanism, the large concentrations of G-CSF and GM-CSF secreted by HUCMCs may also mobilize endogenous MSCs and HSCs from their niche in bone marrow. These could further contribute to suppressing secondary pathology, considering that GM-CSF has been implicated in the transformation of proinflammatory M1 microglia to anti-inflammatory M2 microglia [82–84]. GM-CSF was analysed in two arrays (cytokine and
angiogenesis factors). The similarly high levels of expression in both arrays support the finding. Therefore, future work should explore differences in microglial populations.

Recently, there has also been growing interest in MSC-derived extracellular vesicles, known as exosomes, and their role in MSC-mediated effects [85]. Several reports have been able to recapitulate the biological activity of MSCs by using exosomes alone [44,86,87], which encapsulate diverse combinations of cytokines/growth factors/RNAs and miRNAs. Furthermore, as previous studies have shown that exosomes may vary depending upon the source of MSCs [88], the differences described in this work may also be a consequence of exosomes. Therefore, characterization of exosomes within the concentrated CM is an essential next step.

From a technical perspective, we chose to condition medium from cultured HUCMCs under serum-free conditions, given a previous report of enhanced MSC angiogenic capacity without serum [89]. The concentrated secretomes in this study were stored at −80°C and HUCMC secretome samples were profiled by cytokine ELISA almost a year apart with the same results, demonstrating their storage stability. This is in contrast to frozen live cells, which require cryogenic storage temperatures below −123°C for the maintenance of post-thaw viability. The application of lyophilization technology and other protein stabilization agents is anticipated to enable the minimum storage temperature to be increased to −20°C or even higher. This would not only reduce the cost and practicalities of this approach over live cells but also enable administration as a first-aid measure after SCI. Moreover, the therapeutic potential of this approach is worth highlighting, as lyophilized secretome could be stored long-term for future use.

Despite our best efforts, this study is not without limitations. Specifically, maintaining batch consistency and dosing paradigms of paracrine factors for production of therapeutic concentrated CM is particularly challenging. Cell secretions are a product of cell source, passage, density, and incubation times [35]. Although we applied passage-matched cells, plated at the same density and in the same growth conditions, this work is also susceptible to these shortcomings. For this reason, future work should include a comparison of culture conditions on secretome among different cell sources and use multiple cell lines. This is especially important in comparing our results with others in field, many of which show success with BMSC-derived CM [90–93]. Ideally, with greater characterization, we will be able to identify the active molecules in CM and generate a consistent therapeutic cocktail. It will also be important for future studies to generate a more detailed histological analysis, particularly with regard to the glial scar, remyelination, and vascularity changes identified through this work.

Conclusions

This high-throughput secretome comparison allows the choice of the cell source to be optimized and tailored for specific pathology. Yet, intravenous infusion of the HUCMC secretome was found to be most effective at limiting vascular damage post-SCI, potentially through the modulation of the JAK-STAT and TLR signaling pathways. This “secretrotherapeutic” strategy represents a novel and minimally invasive method to target multiple organ systems and several pathologies shortly after traumatic SCI [60,94,95]. Our findings have important implications for traumatic CNS injuries given the logistical and practical advantages of secretome over live cells, including rapid administration post-injury, unlike live cells, which need days if not weeks of preparation. Although the acute effects did not translate into long-term improvements in functional recovery, this approach represents an addition to the arsenal of treatment options for CNS injuries that is likely to be complementary through coadministration [2,96–99]. Therefore, the application of secretotherapy and subsequent live-cell therapy or even pharmaceuticals is not mutually exclusive. In fact, the acute administration of concentrated secretome to control VD could conceivably be combined with a later administration of live cells or pharmaceuticals to target secondary injury, including inflammation.
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