Spectrum of epilepsy – prevalence, impact, and treatment gap: an epidemiological study from Al-Quseir, Egypt
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Background: Epidemiology continues to be an important research tool in the study of epilepsy and related disorders, providing a better understanding of the frequency, causes, and natural history of the disorder.

Objective: To estimate the prevalence of epilepsy in Al-Quseir, Red Sea Governorate, Egypt, and its magnitude of treatment gap.

Methods: The study was part of a door-to-door study, including every door, to screen all inhabitants in Al-Quseir (33,818 inhabitants) by three specialists of neurology and 15 female social workers (for demographic data collection) using a standardized screening questionnaire. All suspected cases were subjected to detailed history, clinical examination, and electroencephalogram. Neuroimaging studies and estimation of serum drug level were done in select cases if needed.

Results: The study revealed that the lifetime prevalence rate of epilepsy in Al-Quseir is 5.5/1,000, with the highest peak during early childhood, while that of active epilepsy is 3.3/1,000 population. The annual incidence rate is 48/100,000, and the age-specific incidence rate has a U-shaped pattern with two peaks of incidence in early infancy and elderly life. Localization-related epilepsy is the most frequently encountered type (58.8%). The treatment gap of epilepsy in Al-Quseir is 83.8%.

Conclusion: The lifetime prevalence of epilepsy in Al-Quseir city, Red Sea Governorate, Egypt, was 5.5/1,000.
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Introduction

Epilepsy is the most common serious neurological disorder worldwide.¹ It affects all age-groups and crosses all geographic boundaries; although this distressing condition remits in some people, many will have epilepsy throughout their lives.²

Epidemiology continues to be an important research tool in the study of epilepsy and related disorders, providing a better understanding of the frequency, causes, and natural history of the disorder.³

The lifetime prevalence of epilepsy in Al Kharga district was 6.76/1,000, and the highest peak was during early childhood. Active epilepsy was 4.99/1,000 population. The annual incidence rate was 43.14/100,000, and age-specific incidence rate has a U-shaped pattern with two peaks of incidence at early infancy and elderly life. The treatment gap of epilepsy in New Valley was similar to that in developing countries (61.5%).⁴

So, in this research, we aimed to study the epidemiology of epilepsy, evaluate its impact on the patient’s life, and estimate the magnitude of treatment gap of epilepsy in Al-Quseir, Red Sea Governorate, Egypt.
Patients and methods

Population
All inhabitants (33,818) who lived in Al-Quseir for at least 6 months at the time of interview were included in this study. The number of inhabitants who refused to participate in this study was 533 (1.6%).

Ethical considerations
Written informed consent was obtained from each patient prior to participation in the study. Consent of children and elderly personnel was obtained from their caregivers or the family members responsible. Furthermore, the study was approved by the ethical committee of Assiut University and the Ministry of health.

In this study, we aimed to assess the prevalence rate of epilepsy per 1,000 among all inhabitants in Al-Quseir.

Statistical analysis
Data analysis was carried out by using SPSS version 16 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), Excel (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA), and EpiCalc 2000 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA).

Methodology
This study was part of a door-to-door survey (including every door) that was carried out to assess the epidemiology of major neurological disorders in Al-Quseir. It was conducted by 15 female social workers for collection of socio-demographic data as well as a key person who is well known by the population in this area to facilitate acceptance and participation in this study.

Information source was via a direct personal interview with all inhabitants. Data about children and elderly people were obtained from their caregivers or the family members responsible. Case finding passed through the following stages.

First stage: screening
All inhabitants of Al-Quseir were screened by using a standardized Arabic questionnaire. All households (n=33,285; 7,497 families) and both sexes, with 49.4% males (n=16,428) and 50.6% females (n=16,857), were screened. A standardized questionnaire, the sensitivity and specificity of which are 96% and 93.2%, respectively, was applied by three neurologists to every member of each family (children and elderly were questioned through their caregivers) to pick up any suspected case of epilepsy.

Sensitivity and specificity of the questionnaire were 96% and 93.2%, respectively.

Second stage: establishing diagnosis
Any subject who responded positively to any question of the questionnaire was considered a suspect to have epilepsy and was invited to attend Al-Quseir General Hospital to be evaluated by detailed history taking, detailed description of seizure semiology, as well as pre- and postictal symptoms from near witness. Then, they were subjected to full neurological and physical evaluation by another four staff members of neurology with specific training in recognition and treatment of epilepsy to confirm the diagnosis.

Third stage: investigations
All cases with epilepsy were subjected to an electroencephalogram and psychometric assessment of intelligence quotient (IQ) using a standardized and validated Arabic version, the Stanford–Binet test (version IV). The standardized, valid Arabic version of the Stanford–Binet scale (4th edition) was used to assess IQ. Total IQ was classified according to Melika into mentally retarded, including those with IQ ≤67, slow learner (IQ =68–78), below-average intelligence (IQ =79–88), average intelligence (IQ =89–110), above-average intelligence (IQ =111–120), excellent intelligence (IQ =121–131), and genius (IQ =132).

Neuroimaging (computed tomography and/or magnetic resonance imaging) for suspected structural/metabolic cases, video-electroencephalogram (for suspected multiple types of seizure semiology or pseudo-seizures), and estimation of serum level of antiepileptic drugs were done when indicated.

Inclusion criteria
- Any age of both sexes.
- A patient is considered to have epilepsy if he or she fulfilled the operational definition proposed by International League Against Epilepsy (ILAE) as “two or more unprovoked seizures occurring at least 24 hours apart.”
- Patients with epilepsy were considered to have active epilepsy if they have had their most recent seizure within the previous 5 years or who are currently being treated for epilepsy.
- Classification of seizures types was done according to the commission of classification and terminology of the ILAE into presumed genetic, structural/metabolic, and epilepsy of unknown cause. This classification was based on data regarding age at onset, cognitive and developmental antecedents and consequences, motor and sensory...
examination and electroencephalogram features, and pattern of seizure semiology and relation to sleep.

Exclusion criteria
- Refusal to participate in our project (533 subjects =1.6%).
- Patients proved to have acute symptomatic seizures (seizures in close temporal association with acute systemic, metabolic, substance abuse, and with acute CNS insults).
- Febrile seizures.
- Any individual who had only a solitary unprovoked seizure.

Adequate epilepsy treatment
Any patient with active epilepsy regularly using appropriate antiepileptic drugs as monotherapy or polytherapy at standard dosage was considered having adequate epilepsy treatment.11–13

Treatment gap
Treatment gap is the number of patients with active epilepsy, not on treatment, or inadequately treated, expressed as a percentage of the total number of people with active epilepsy.?14

Results
In all, 182 cases were reported to have two or more unprovoked seizures at some point during their lives, with a lifetime prevalence rate of epilepsy of 5.5/1,000 population. Sixteen new cases of epilepsy were recorded during the year of the study with a total incidence rate of 48/100,000 population/year (Table 1). Sex distribution among patients with epilepsy (PWE) is described in Table 2. The most common type of epilepsy was localization-related epilepsy with (58.8%); other percentages are described in Table 3. Description of education preschool was 47 out of 4,217 (25.8%), illiterate 66/5,178 (36.3%), primary school 40/9,485 (22%), secondary 27/9,183 (27%), and university 2/5,210 (1.1%). The occupation of PWE was 16/6,473 (8.8%) housewives, students 45/7,424 (24.7%), do not work 86/7,874 (47.3%), mental work 6/3,804 (3.3%), physical work 12/6,201 (6.6%), work-related to heavy metals 9/391 (4.9%), and retired 8/1,118 (4.4%).

Different types of epilepsy were described in Table 3, as the first was idiopathic then structural and lastly metabolic type. Different etiologies and rates are described in Table 4. Perinatal complications were the most encountered cause of structural, metabolic cases. It was higher during early infancy

Table 1 Age-specific lifetime prevalence and incidence rates of epilepsy in Al-Quseir

| Age-group (years) | Total population | Lifetime prevalence | Incidence |
|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------|
|                   | Total cases      | Prevalence/1,000 (95% CI) | Cases/year | Incidence/100,000 (95% CI) |
| Birth to <2       | 1,242            | 15                  | 12.1 (7.0–20.3) | 4 | 322 (296.2–349.0) |
| 2 to <6           | 2,975            | 32                  | 10.8 (7.5–15.3) | 3 | 100.8 (99.8–101.0) |
| 6 to <12          | 3,862            | 29                  | 7.5 (5.1–10.9) | 2 | 51.7 (50.1–53.3) |
| 12 to <18         | 4,014            | 33                  | 8.2 (5.8–11.7) | 1 | 24.9 (23.6–26.3) |
| 18 to <40         | 13,009           | 40                  | 3.1 (2.2–4.2) | 1 | 7.6 (7.1–8.0) |
| 40 to <60         | 6,077            | 20                  | 3.3 (2.86–3.78) | 2 | 32.9 (31.72–34.10) |
| 60+               | 2,106            | 13                  | 6.2 (5.20–7.31) | 3 | 142.4 (127.9–158.2) |
| Total             | 33,285           | 182                 | 5.5 (5.23–5.72) | 16 | 48 (47.46–48.54) |

Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval.

Table 2 Sex-specific lifetime prevalence rate of epilepsy in Al-Quseir

| Age-groups (years) | Total population (n) | Cases | Male | Female | Male | Female |
|-------------------|----------------------|-------|------|--------|------|--------|
|                   |                      |       | No   | Prevalence | No | Prevalence |
| Birth to <2       | 637                  | 605   | 9    | 14.1    | 6*  | 9.9    |
| 2 to <6           | 1,546                | 1,429 | 18   | 11.6    | 14* | 9.8    |
| 6 to <12          | 2,025                | 1,837 | 21   | 10.4    | 8*  | 4.4    |
| 12 to <18         | 2,065                | 1,949 | 18   | 8.7     | 15* | 7.7    |
| 18 to <40         | 6,300                | 6,709 | 29   | 4.6     | 11* | 1.6    |
| 40 to <60         | 3,021                | 3,056 | 10   | 3.3     | 10* | 3.3    |
| 60+               | 1,263                | 843   | 10   | 7.9     | 3*  | 3.6    |
| Total             | 16,857               | 16,428| 115  | 6.8     | 67  | 4.1    |

Note: The * indicates not significant.
(12.1/1,000), gradually decreased during late childhood and early adult life, and increased again to reach its second peak of (6.2/1,000) during elderly life; different presentation of seizures are described in Table 5. Approximately a fourth (28%) of PWE had comorbidity with mental retardation (Table 6). The rate of active epilepsy was 111/182 (64% patients) with a prevalence of 3.3/1,000 and treatment gap (83.8%) (Tables 7 and 8).

Discussion
In the absence of existing code data and health care systems and when services are under-resourced, direct population surveys stand as the gold standard source of data collection for epidemiological studies. This is specifically true particularly when data are collected from all members of the population (both health and nonhealth seeking individuals), and when relevant data are obtained by specialists through direct personal interviews.

Epilepsy accounts for 27% of all neurological diagnoses and is associated with a substantial burden on physical and mental health.15

Epidemiology continues to be an important research tool in the study of epilepsy and related disorders, providing a better understanding of the frequency, causes, and natural history of the disorder. Moreover, beyond risk factors and seizure prognosis, epidemiological studies advance knowledge of many other aspects of epilepsy.3,16 However, accurate estimates of incidence and prevalence are difficult to achieve because identifying people who may have epilepsy is difficult.17

It was frequently reported that the prevalence of epilepsy tends to be lower in developed countries (4.3–7.5/1,000) and remarkably higher in developing countries (17–57/1,000).18,19

However, the recorded lifetime prevalence of epilepsy in Al-Quseir was 5.5/1,000, lying within the range reported in developed countries and similar to that recorded in New York (5.2/1,000).20

However, it is less than that recorded by Khedr et al21 in Assiut who screened 6,498 inhabitants and revealed a prevalence rate of 12.67/1,000.

This low lifetime prevalence rate, similar to that recorded in developed industrialized countries, could be attributed to the lower rate of new incident cases plus loss of unresolved cases of elderly people with epilepsy. Furthermore, it may be due to the low incidence of symptomatic epilepsy due to CNS infections. The wide variability in the rates is partially caused by geographical and medico-social differences.9 However, a considerable part of the variation may be explained by different case-finding techniques and dissimilar inclusion criteria.22

Another explanation may be due to the structural nature of population in Al-Quseir which is formed of migrants of different Nile valley governorates. So, genetic causes and inherited types of epilepsy are lower than that in Nile valley and this could explain the low incidence of the presumed genetic epilepsy.

### Table 3 Rate of different types of epilepsy in Al-Quseir

| Type                | Etiology                          | Presumed genetic | Structural/metabolic | Unknown | Total |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------|-------|
|                     | n=114 (%)                         | n=58 (%)         | n=10 (%)            | n=182 (%)|
| Generalized         |                                   |                  |                     |         |
| Localization related|                                   |                  |                     |         |
| Total               |                                   |                  |                     |         |
|                     | 114 (62.7)                        | 58 (31.9)        | 10 (5.4)            | 182 (100.0) |

### Table 4 Rate and prevalence of probable etiologies of epilepsy in Al-Quseir

| Etiology                          | No of cases | % of PWE (n=182) | Prevalence/1,000 N=33,385 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| Presumed genetic                  | 114         | 62.6             | 3.4                      |
| Structural/metabolic              | 58          | 31.8             | 1.75                     |
| Perinatal complications           | 31          | 17               | 0.9                      |
| Vascular                          | 12          | 6.6              | 0.4                      |
| Central nervous system infection  | 8           | 4.4              | 0.24                     |
| Tumors                            | 4           | 2.2              | 0.12                     |
| Head trauma                       | 2           | 1.1              | 0.06                     |
| Congenital malformations          | 1           | 0.5              | 0.03                     |
| Unknown                           | 10          | 5.5              | 0.3                      |
| Total                             | 182         | 100              | 5.45                     |

**Abbreviation:** PWE, patients with epilepsy.
Table 5 Rate of different seizure types among various age-groups

| Seizure type, n (%) | Birth to <18 years | ≥18 to <40 years | ≥4 to <60 years | ≥60 years | Total N=182 |
|---------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|
|                     | n=109              | n=40             | n=20           | n=13      |             |
| Generalized         | 41 (37.6)          | 22 (55.0)        | 6 (30.0)       | 2 (15.4)  | 71 (38.8)   |
| Generalized tonic-clonic | 32 (29.4) | 21 (52.5) | 6 (30.0) | 2 (15.4) | 61 (33.5) |
| Tonic               | 2 (1.8)            | 1 (2.5)          | 0 (0.0)        | 0 (0.0)   | 3 (1.6)     |
| Clonic              | 1 (0.9)            | 0 (0.0)          | 0 (0.0)        | 0 (0.0)   | 1 (0.5)     |
| Atonic              | 1 (0.9)            | 0 (0.0)          | 0 (0.0)        | 0 (0.0)   | 1 (0.5)     |
| Myoclonic           | 2 (1.8)            | 0 (0.0)          | 0 (0.0)        | 0 (0.0)   | 2 (1.1)     |
| Absence             | 3 (2.8)            | 0 (0.0)          | 0 (0.0)        | 0 (0.0)   | 3 (1.6)     |
| Focal seizures      | 64 (58.8)          | 17 (42.5)        | 14 (70.0)      | 11 (48.7) | 106 (58.3)  |
| Focal seizures      | 4 (3.7)            | 3 (7.5)          | 3 (15.0)       | 2 (15.4)  | 12 (6.6)    |
| Focal seizures with impaired consciousness | 28 (25.7) | 10 (25.0) | 6 (30.0) | 4 (30.8) | 48 (26.4) |
| Focal with secondary generalization | 32 (29.4) | 4 (10.0) | 5 (25.0) | 5 (38.5) | 46 (25.3) |
| Mixed               | 4 (3.7)            | 1 (2.5)          | 0 (0.0)        | 0 (0.0)   | 5 (2.7)     |

Age-specific prevalence rate is at its highest value during early infancy (12.1/1,000), gradually decreases during late childhood, and reaches its least value during early adult life (3.1/1,000), then increases again during elderly life to reach a second peak (6.2/1,000). This U-shaped pattern of age-specific prevalence rate is nearly similar to that reported in developing countries and is consistent with that reported by Banerjee et al,\(^{23}\) a finding which may reflect multiple etiologies among persons at the two extremes of life.

Approximately 61% of PWE reported to have active epilepsy, with a prevalence rate of 3.3/1,000 population. It is lower than the range reported in epidemiological studies in most countries worldwide where it was found to range from 4 to 10/1,000 people.\(^ {1}\)

Incidence varies greatly with age, with highest rates in early infancy, least levels in late childhood, and with a second peak in people aged over 60 years old.

Incidence rate in Al-Quseir (48/100,000) lie within the range recorded in developed industrialized countries (40–70/100,000) and was lower than that recorded in sub-Saharan Africa (63–158/100,000).\(^ {24}\) This may be due to high incidence of symptomatic epilepsy due to CNS infections, mostly neurocysticercosis, in sub-Saharan Africa, which is not encountered in Egypt.

The two major categories of seizure type classified by the ILAE\(^ {10}\) are epilepsy characterized by focal seizures and epilepsy characterized by generalized seizures. The exact aetiology is unclear however, and in some cases are still unknown.\(^ {25}\)

Generally, the median rates are higher for focal than for generalized seizures, but this difference usually is not significant.\(^ {26}\)

The median incidence rate of focal seizures is higher in children (de Bittencourt et al) than in adults (ILAE, 1996).\(^ {9}\)

In our study, focal seizures were recorded in higher rates both in childhood (58.7%), late adulthood (70%), and in the elderly (84.6%). This may be attributed to the high incidence of symptomatic epilepsy due to CNS insults, whether perinatal complications in childhood or vascular insults in late adulthood, and elderly personnel.

In this study, generalized seizures were 39% while focal seizures were 58.2%. These results are consistent with studies worldwide where Peichev et al in Chile\(^ {27}\) found that the rate of focal seizures was 55% and in India it was 54% as recorded by Bharucha et al.\(^ {28}\)

Regarding seizure semiology, the commonest types of seizures were primary generalized tonic-clonic seizure (33.5%) followed by focal seizures associated with impaired consciousness (26.4%) and focal seizures with secondary generalization (25.3%).

Structural/metabolic causes of epilepsy were recorded in 31.9% and this was consistent with that recorded in Rochester, MN, USA (35%)\(^ {22}\) and in most Asian countries in which it ranged from 22% to 53%.\(^ {13}\)

It was found that perinatal complications were the most common causes (17% of PWE) with a prevalence of 0.9/1,000 of total inhabitants. This is consistent with the findings of Kapoor et al\(^ {29}\) which found that delivery-related trauma and CNS infections are the most common causes of

Table 6 Comorbid disorders among PWE in Al-Quseir

| Type of comorbidity    | n  | %  |
|-----------------------|----|----|
| Dementia              | 9  | 4.9|
| Mental retardation    | 51 | 28 |
| Psychosis             | 3  | 1.6|
| Substance abuse       | 2  | 1.1|
| Cerebral palsy        | 15 | 6.6|
| Stroke                | 12 | 8.3|
| Total                 | 92 | 50.5|

**Abbreviation:** PWE, patients with epilepsy.
symptomatic epilepsy. This may draw our attention to lack of perinatal care in this area, which is far away from basic medical services.

The lifetime prevalence rate of epilepsy was higher among males (6.8/1,000) than females (4.1/1,000), but the difference was statistically insignificant.

This is consistent with meta-analysis of 16 out of 29 door-to-door studies and eleven out of 16 record-review studies which showed that males are more affected than females.25 The most extreme example of a male excess was reported in a study conducted in India, in which prevalence of males with epilepsy (5.1/1,000) was significantly higher than females (2.2/100).28 In this population, women with epilepsy are considered unmarrigeable and this may have led to active concealment of symptoms or diagnosis among women. However, absolute difference in sex-specific prevalence is minimal.

Positive consanguineous marriage was reported among parents of 8.2% of parents of people with epilepsy. Furthermore, an extra 11% of people with epilepsy patients reported positive grandparent’s consanguinity.

Moreover, 9.8% of our patients had positive family history of epilepsy among first-degree relatives. It is higher than that reported by29 where 5.2% of the patients had a family history of epilepsy among first-degree relatives, and this was a comparable rate in relation to other factors, such as perinatal complications (5.2%) and CNS accidents (5.2%).

A long and a rich history of research has characterized relationships between cognitive status and a variety of clinical factors related to epilepsy, including underlying etiology, age of onset, seizure type and severity, duration, antiepileptic medications, and other factors.30 The nature, timing, and course of cognitive impairment in epilepsy are issues of substantial concern, particularly the degree to which chronic, medication-resistant epilepsy may lead to progressive cognitive impairment.31

Moreover, an essential relationship has been documented between epilepsy and a host of developmental, cognitive, behavioral, and psychiatric disorders. These consequences reflect the fact that epilepsy is a disorder of brain function, not just a disorder that produces seizures.3

To evaluate the impact of epilepsy on cognitive abilities we looked at a cognitive assessment for our patients. An International Bureau for Epilepsy (IBE) conducted survey on cognitive functions of 425 patients with epilepsy, showed that 44% of patients with epilepsy complained of difficulty in learning, 45% thought that they are slow thinkers, and 63% thought that the drugs may affect their abilities to do activities or to achieve goals.32

In this study, 28% of patients with epilepsy were mentally subnormal, and 36.3% of cases were illiterate or just read and write in comparison to 15.6% only in the general population.

Epilepsy also has an impact on the working abilities of patients in this study, where 51.7% of patients with epilepsy were unemployed in comparison to 23.7% of the general population, and 8.8% of them were housewives in comparison to 19.4% of general population. Furthermore, only 3.3% of PWE were working in mental-related work in comparison to 11.4% of the general population.

### Table 7 Rate of active epilepsy and treatment gap in Al-Quseir

| Subject                              | n    | Rate (%) |
|--------------------------------------|------|----------|
| Patients with active epilepsy        | 111/182 | 61.0     |
| Prevalence rate of active epilepsy/1,000 population | - | 3.3/1,000 |
| Patients with active epilepsy on regular AEDs | 18 | 16.2     |
| Patients with active epilepsy never received AEDs | 28 | 25.2     |
| Patients with active epilepsy who are inadequately treated | 65 | 58.6     |
| Treatment gap                       | 93/111 | 83.8     |

**Abbreviation:** AED, anti-epileptic drug.

### Table 8 Magnitude of treatment gap worldwide

| Location          | Studies (n) | Treatment gap (%) | Author                          |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| Al-Quseir/present study | 1           | 83.8              | el-Tallawy et al34               |
| Al-Kharga, Egypt   | 1           | 58.9              | el-Tallawy et al38               |
| Asia and Africa    | 65          | 56                | Ngugi et al37                   |
| Rural Vietnam      | 1           | 84.7              | Tuan et al40                    |
| Brazil             | 1           | 56.6              | Noronha et al41                 |
| Rural China        | 1           | 49.8              | Wang et al42                    |

In this study, 28% of patients with epilepsy were mentally subnormal, and 36.3% of cases were illiterate or just read and write in comparison to 15.6% only in the general population. Only 1.1% of patients with epilepsy joined university in comparison to 15.6% of the general population.

Epilepsy also has an impact on the working abilities of patients in this study, where 51.7% of patients with epilepsy were unemployed in comparison to 23.7% of the general population, and 8.8% of them were housewives in comparison to 19.4% of general population. Furthermore, only 3.3% of PWE were working in mental-related work in comparison to 11.4% of the general population.
Treatment gap
Population-based data about medical treatment of active epilepsy are scarce. On the other hand, significant differences between similar studies probably also reflect general treatment-related concepts among local physicians and patients.33,34

In many developing countries, people with epilepsy do not receive appropriate treatment for their condition, a phenomenon called the treatment gap.35

The determination of epilepsy treatment gap (ie, the number of people with active epilepsy who have not received biomedical services or who are not on treatment or are on inadequate treatment) is vital for health care planning, both on a public health level as well as an individual level. This treatment gap is a major cause of suffering and death and contributes to the socioeconomic burden of the disease.36

Treatment gap in Al-Quseir reaches 83.8%. This is consistent with that reported by ILAE/The International Bureau for Epilepsy/World Health Organization in their introduction to the Global Campaign against Epilepsy, “Epilepsy out of the Shadows”, where they stated that globally 85% of people with epilepsy are either inappropriately treated or not treated at all.37

Meta-analysis of 27 studies, 12 from Africa, nine from Asia, and six from Latin America, revealed that the overall estimate of the treatment gap was 56/100 (95% confidence interval 31.1–100.0).35

It is less than that reported in the current study in Al-Quseir. The variation in estimates could possibly be explained by nonuniform treatment gap estimation methods, different definitions of active epilepsy, and diverse study populations, among other factors. The treatment gap was mainly attributed to inadequately skilled manpower, cost of treatment, cultural beliefs, and unavailability of anti-epileptic drugs.35

Conclusion
The lifetime prevalence of epilepsy in Al-Quseir was 5.5/1,000 population, with peak prevalence during early childhood. The lifetime prevalence of epilepsy in Al-Quseir city, Red Sea Governorate, was 5.5/1,000. The peak prevalence occurred during early childhood. The annual incidence rate of epilepsy was 48/100,000, with highest peaks occurring during early infancy and elderly and the least prevalent was during early childhood. The annual incidence rate of epilepsy was 83.8%.

Epilepsy is not just one disorder. It affects cognitive, physical, and social aspects of the individual who needs more care from society especially in areas away from medical services.
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