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Abstract: Cystic fibrosis (CF) is an autosomal recessive multi-organ disease caused by mutations in the CF Transmembrane Conductance Regulator (CFTR) gene, with morbidity and mortality primacy related to the lung disease. The CFTR protein, a chloride/bicarbonate channel, is expressed at the apical side of airway epithelial cells and is mainly involved in appropriate ion and fluid transport across the epithelium. Although many animal and cellular models have been developed to study the pathophysiological consequences of the lack/dysfunction of CFTR, only the three-dimensional (3D) structures termed “spheroids” and “organoids” can enable the reconstruction of airway mucosa to model organ development, disease pathophysiology, and drug screening. Airway spheroids and organoids can be derived from different sources, including adult lungs and induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs), each with its advantages and limits. Here, we review the major features of airway spheroids and organoids, anticipating that their potential in the CF field has not been fully shown. Further work is mandatory to understand whether they can accomplish better outcomes than other culture conditions of airway epithelial cells for CF personalized therapies and tissue engineering aims.
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1. Introduction

Cystic fibrosis (CF) is an autosomal recessive disease caused by mutations in the CF Transmembrane Conductance Regulator (CFTR) gene, mapped on the long arm of chromosome 7. Despite CF is a multi-organ syndrome, the chief cause of morbidity and mortality of CF patients is the lung disease occurring at variable ages, but whose onset is typical of infancy [1].

Over 2000 CFTR variants have been discovered in the CFTR gene and listed in the CFTR1 (http://www.genet.sickkids.on.ca, accessed on 31 August 2021) and the CFTR2 (https://cftr2.org/, accessed on 31 August 2021) databases, including about 322 of the most common variants with pathogenic consequences. CFTR2 uses information from the 88,000 patients with specific CF variants from the United States, Canada, and Europe. The classification of CFTR variants has been purported to comprise phenotypic severity, variant type, and the effect on the CFTR protein. CFTR mutations can be classified into six classes based on their phenotypic consequences. Class I mutations may lead to premature stop codons, causing a lack of protein synthesis (i.e., G542X, W1282X). Class II mutations cause defective protein processing, which causes improper folding of CFTR during protein synthesis and leading to ER-mediated degradation through the proteasome (i.e., F508del, N1303K). Other mutations allow CFTR to be expressed at the apical membrane but remain non-functional due to problems in anion channel gating or apical stability. In particular, Class III mutations cause defective channel regulation (i.e., G551D, S549N); Class IV mutations determine defective channel conduction (i.e., R117H, R334W); Class V mutations are responsible for reduced protein synthesis (i.e., A455E, 3849 + 10kbC → T);
Class VI mutations cause reduced protein stability, which includes rescued-F508del-CFTR or Q1411X [2,3]. To take into account all splicing mutations already present in class V and their rescuability by CFTR modulators, De Boeck and Amaral proposed identifying a novel Class VII, which includes unrescuable mutations [4]. More recently, the molecular phenotypic complexity of CF mutants has led to the proposal to expand the six mutant categories to better reflect the complicated mutant phenotypes [5].

The airway epithelium is constituted of multiple cell types, including basal cells (BCs), club/secretory cells (SCs), goblet cells (GCs), and multi-ciliated cells (MCCs), as well as of less frequent cell types, including ionocytes, neuroendocrine, tuft, and intermediate progenitor cells, such as deuterosomal cells [6]. The CFTR protein is expressed by airway BCs, MCCs, SCs, and ionocytes at variable levels [7–9]. Lung disease derives from the dehydration of mucus secretions and the annihilation of mucociliary transport, thereby favoring infection and subsequent chronic inflammatory response [10]. The diminished chloride and bicarbonate secretion into the airway lumen, exerted by the CFTR protein, is responsible for sticky mucus accumulating [11]. Moreover, the hyperactivation of the epithelial sodium channel (ENaC) leads to heightened sodium and fluid absorption [12], contributing to the loss of the mucociliary escalator. Adding to this, with the lack of CFTR-dependent bicarbonate secretion, airway surface liquid pH falls and impairs antibacterial activity [11]. The specific role of the different cell types in ion and fluid homeostasis and pathology of the CF airway disease has not been exactly defined yet.

1.1. Novel Therapies for CF

Therapies for the CF lung disease encompass symptomatic treatments, such as antibiotics for infections, physiotherapy for removing sputum from the airways, and mucolytics to make sputum less viscous and sticky and allow it to be expectorated [13]. Nowadays, etiologic therapies have been included in the clinical treatment armamentarium, i.e., those directly modulating the various defects presented by the mutated CFTR protein called CFTR correctors and potentiators [14]. CFTR correctors are pharmacological compounds that rescue the mutated CFTR to the cell surface. Lumacaftor (or VX-809) has been shown to rescue F508del-CFTR function to approximately 15% of normal channel activity in human bronchial epithelial cells treated in combination with the potentiator Ivacaftor (VX-770) [15]. The Lumacaftor–Ivacaftor combination has been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as Orkambi for patients bearing the F508del mutation in homozygosity. More recently, the related Tezacaftor (or VX-661)–Ivacaftor combination has been approved as Symdeko/Symveki (US/Europe) [16,17]. However, Orkambi and Symdeko/Symveki therapies are associated with modest clinical responsiveness for patients homozygous for F508del [16]. Therefore, recently, the FDA approved as Trikafta/Kaftrio (US/Europe), the triple combination of two correctors, Elecaxaftor (VX-445) and Tezacaftor, together with the potentiator Ivacaftor for patients bearing the F508del mutation at least on one allele [18]. Moreover, it has been demonstrated that Trikafta/Kaftrio was also effective on rarer class II mutations [19–21]. Based on in vitro cell-based studies, the FDA extended the list of CFTR mutations for which Trikafta/Kaftrio treatment could be clinically beneficial [22].

While approximately 90% of CF patients, who retain at least partial expression of full-length CFTR protein, should benefit from these CFTR modulators [18,23–27], CF patients bearing large deletions, splicing, and nonsense mutations, as well as those who are non-responders, do not have approved therapies at their disposal. As mentioned above, Class I mutations generate a premature termination codon (PTC) in the CFTR mRNA; therefore, they are degraded by nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD) [28]. One possible approach for PTC mutations is to use read-through compounds (such as gentamycin, G418 and ELX-02 [29–31]), which promote the insertion of an amino acid at the position of the nonsense codon and allow full-length protein synthesis. Moreover, it has been demonstrated that the inhibition of NMD by small molecules (SMG1i or NMDI-14) or antisense oligonucleotides (ASOs), in combination with G418 and/or CFTR modulators, rescued W1282X-CFTR function in the 16HBE cell line and primary nasal
epithelial cells [30,32–34]. Moreover, gene and stem cell-based therapy or genome editing by CRISPR-Cas9 are being explored in in vitro studies as therapeutic approaches for CF patients bearing nonsense mutations [35–40].

1.2. In Vivo and In Vitro Models for CF

The use of appropriate models is particularly important to advance novel drug and gene therapies. Early modeling of CF in mice hindered investigations of airway disease pathogenesis, as well as the development and testing of potential therapeutics, while lung disease modelled by CF rats, ferrets, and pigs share several similarities to that observed in humans. Since one single animal model will not be sufficient to investigate all CF-related questions [41], relevance is increasingly recognized for ex vivo and in vitro cellular models obtained from human sources, including induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs), resident stem cells from the intestine and lung, and primary airway epithelial cells (AECs) [42].

A number of cell lines are being used to test the efficacy of CFTR modulator cocktails or gene therapies in rescuing the functional expression of F508del-CFTR. These models include Human Embryonic Kidney (HEK293), Fischer Rat Thyroid (FRT), and human bronchial epithelial cell lines (e.g., CFBE41o-) [21,43,44]. However, heterologous expression systems do not always predict CFTR modulators’ efficacy in vitro [45,46]. These limitations might be overcome by using patient-derived tissues, including primary airway epithelial cells (AECs) and induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs).

Nowadays, these patient-derived cellular models include culture on permeable inserts that allow cell polarization and differentiation and three-dimensional (3D) organoid cultures [47]. Organoids can better mimic the complex environment of the respiratory mucosa, define the relationship among different cell types, allow assessment of CFTR function and permit high-throughput screening in drug- and gene therapy platforms. Although a steady advancement in CFTR modulator therapy, the efficacy and safety of the approved drug for CF patients bearing rare mutations have not been evaluated. CFTR theratyping, i.e., the use of CFTR modulators to define defects in CFTR in vitro with patient-derived and tissue-based models, has the potential to identify novel CFTR modulators that could restore rare CFTR variants [48,49].

Culturing AECs at the air–liquid interface (ALI) allows obtaining a pseudostratified mucociliary differentiated epithelium, which was utilized to advance our knowledge about the cellular biology and physiology of cystic fibrosis, for instance, by the measurement of channel conductance using an Ussing chamber or patch clamping [50,51]. AECs ALI cultures from bronchi are currently the “gold standard” for preclinical testing of CFTR modulators [49]. However, primary AEC cultures do have inherent drawbacks: there is limited availability of specimens; they are likely damaged by the infection, need ill-defined, expensive media; and in the case of bronchial epithelial cells, they are highly invasive to obtain because lung transplant is required [42,52].

1.3. Spheroids and Organoids for CF

The term “organoid” has been used for describing 3D self-organized aggregates of multiple cell types derived from stem/progenitor cells. To form organoids, cells are grown within gels made of a complex mixture of different extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins, including laminin, fibronectin, collagen, and heparin sulfate proteoglycans [53,54]. Although not all organoids made up of epithelial cells have been formed within an ECM, such as matrigel, we refer herein to organoids as well [55]. However, the 3D cultures should be named organoids if they have demonstrated self-renewal capacity, whereas the term spheroid should be strictly for those structures for which self-renewal has not been achieved [56].

Spheroids and organoids have been generated to study human disease and test drug efficacy in the CF field since intestinal organoids were first used when obtained from adult stem cells present in rectal biopsies [57,58]. The forskolin-induced swelling (FIS) assay was instrumental in comprehending the development of mutation-specific correctors,
deciding whether the therapeutic interventions can have an impact on individual clinical phenotype, and characterizing CFTR function of rare CFTR variants [59]. By studying functional CFTR repair by C1–C18 correctors (Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Therapeutics, Bedford, MA, USA) in primary intestinal CF organoids with different trafficking mutants, Dekkers and colleagues [60] observed the correction of CFTR-F508del and -A445E in 13 out of 19 compounds, while none of these compounds restored function of CFTR-N1303K, showing for the first time that the CFTR corrector efficacy selectively depends on the type of folding and trafficking defect. More recently, it has been reported that, in subjects with 28 different genotypes, residual CFTR function in rectal organoids strictly correlated with sweat chloride values, as demonstrated by the FIS assay. On the other hand, when studying the same genotypes, CFTR function rescue by CFTR modulators tightly correlated with the mean improvement in lung function and sweat chloride from published clinical trials. Importantly, based on the organoid results, two subjects with rare mutations (Q359K_T360K and E60K) started modulator treatment, resulting in a major clinical benefit [61]. Overall, these results position intestinal organoids as in vitro potential models to guide precision medicine in patients with CF, even those with rare mutations. Moreover, recently, no correlation was observed between the Lumacaftor–Ivacaftor-induced FIS in organoids versus the in vivo improvement of CFTR function determined by sweat chloride concentration, nasal potential difference, or intestinal current measurement in 21 patients homozygous for F508del [62], suggesting that future studies in a larger group of patients with a spectrum of responsive CFTR mutations and more effective CFTR modulators are needed. Intestinal organoids can expand over long time periods and be biobanked [58,63]; however, ion and fluid transport in the gut differs from that in the airways, challenging the usefulness and predictivity of intestinal organoids in drug discovery and optimization for the CF airway disease. Indeed, ENaC currents are not detectable in intestinal organoids [63]; thereby, a crucial pathophysiological interaction between CFTR and ENaC that occurs in the epithelium of conducting airways is missing. It has been recently shown that the measurement of CFTR and ENaC activity was possible in opened iPSC-derived intestinal organoids—a configuration that is adaptable to medium-high throughput, high-content phenotypic analyses [64].

In this review, we shall describe the different spheroid and organoid types which have been constructed from various sources of airway epithelial cells, i.e., iPSCs and AECs, with particular reference to works that have investigated the CFTR activity and its modulation by etiological therapies. Since the CF lung disease stems from bronchi/bronchioli, airway organoids recreating distal airways, i.e., so-called alveolospheres [65,66], will not be discussed here.

2. Airway Spheroids from Primary Airway Epithelial Cells

Airway spheroids can be obtained as self-organizing aggregates of terminally differentiated cells [67], and they can last for up to 12 weeks [68] (Figure 1a). Alternatively, they can be derived from primary basal cells embedded in matrigel [69] or primary AECs cultured in media containing morphogenic cues for self-renewal [70] (Figure 1b). In this section, we will give an overview of the 3D spheroid methods depicted in Figure 1a (spheroids formed in ECM-free conditions) and Figure 1b (spheroids formed in an ECM matrix).
1a (spheroids formed in ECM-free conditions) and Figure 1b (spheroids formed in an ECM matrix).

Figure 1. Overview of available strategies to generate airway spheroids/organoids. (a) Spheroids can be obtained from nasal polyps upon enzymatic digestion or cells derived from nasal curettage/brushing and cultured in liquid media, not allowing their adherence to the plate (e.g., by gentle shaking). (b) Differentiated or stem/progenitor cells obtained from nasal or bronchial brushing as well as from bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) can be induced to form spheroids in matrigel. Three methods have refined the generation of such spheroids: (1) the conditioned reprogramming culture (CRC); (2) by adding self-renewal cues; (3) first forming 2D ALI cultures and then spheroids from them. (c) iPSC-derived lung progenitor cells or basal stem cells are directed to form organoids in matrigel. Organoids can eventually be “split-open” on 96-well plates. Spheroids/organoids can be studied by forskolin-mediated CFTR activation and subsequent fluid transport, with shrinking (a) or swelling (b,c) depending on epithelial lumen formation.

Transplant-derived patient bronchial epithelial cultures (commonly referred to as HBE) have been used to study CFTR channel activity on the apical surface and the in vitro responses to CFTR modulators. Outcomes from these assays can be then translated to patient drug responses in the clinic [18,24]. The availability of these transplant-derived tissues is limited, and more accessible CF patient-specific tissue models for preclinical drug testing are being developed, such as the use of using nasal epithelial brushings to harvest nasal epithelial cells (NECs) for culturing [34,44,71–74]. Patient-derived nasal epithelial cultures show promise as a surrogate for bronchial or tracheal cultures with respect to CFTR function and functional rescue with modulators [75]. Moreover, despite primary cultures of nasal cells share many characteristics with bronchial cells (including limitations in passage numbers), NECs are more accessible, i.e., easier to collect by nasal brushing [76]. Pranke et al. showed that CFTR chloride channel responses observed in patient-derived nasal epithelial cultures correlated with individual’s improvement in Forced Expiratory Volume in the 1st second (FEV1), i.e., the gold standard outcome to measure drug efficacy, measured after the treatment with a combination of Ivacaftor and the CFTR corrector Lumacaftor (Orkambi) [71,77]. Moreover, Amaral’s group demonstrated a correlation...
between CFTR rescue by CFTR modulators in primary nasal epithelial cells and rectal organoids from the same individual [78].

In general, primary HBE and NECs are able to efficiently divide only 3–4 population doublings [75,79]. First developed for obtaining long-term cultures of keratinocytes [80], the conditionally reprogrammed culture (CRC) technique was hereafter applied to HBE and NEC cultures. Basically, cells are cultured in the presence of an inhibitor of Rho-associated kinase (ROCK) onto a lethally irradiated mouse fibroblast feeder layer. This co-culture with animal-origin feeder cells will limit the application for human transplantation purposes. Therefore, CRC HBE monocultures are being developed (i.e., without a murine fibroblast feeder layer), which make use of more chemically-defined growth media (for a review, see [81]). Either as co-cultures or monocultures, CRC HBE and NEC culturing methods have been used in the realization of spheroid and organoids to take advantage of this increased proliferative efficiency. There are drawbacks concerning CRC cultures. One is represented by the potential contamination of CRC-expanded AECs with animal-origin feeder cells, which makes the co-culture CRC HAE method not suitable for human therapy [82]. On the other hand, CRC monoculture-expanded AECs display reduced beating frequency of airway cilia and lower ion currents than the ALI-differentiated AECs, which were expanded using the co-culture CRC method [83]. Other factors affecting primary CRC AEC culture success include the sampling method (induced sputum, tracheal aspirate, bronchoalveolar lavage, nasal brushing), age group, donor lifestyle (e.g., smoking), used medications, initial cell number, and subculturing methods. All these aspects should be considered before choosing the most appropriate CRC AEC cellular model for particular applications in airway research.

2.1. Spheroids from Differentiated Nasal Epithelial Cells

Spheroids formed from human NEC (Figure 1a,b) have been used for multiple purposes, including the study of ciliation, mucus production, and formation of cell-to-cell junctions [84–88]. By using these nasospheroids, others have been able to discriminate CF cultures from non-CF and have also demonstrated pharmacologic rescue of mutated CFTR using small molecules [68,89,90]. When NEC are cultured in liquid media not allowing their adherence to the plate, derived spheroids present a lumen-out configuration, while embedding NEC into basal membrane matrix such as matrigel allowed them to adopt a lumen-in configuration [91] (Figure 1a,b).

In the first of these works, Pedersen and colleagues studied free-floating spheroids from epithelial sheets that were obtained from nasal polyps upon enzymatic digestion [92–94]. Nasospheroids presented cilia on the outer apical aspect and a basolateral membrane pointing toward a fluid-filled lumen. These spheroids were used to characterize the ion transport and fluid absorption [92,93], highlighting that the basolateral side (inside) positive transepithelial potential difference (PD) during the influence of various agonists and ion channel blockers mimicked that of native tissue and conventional cultured airway epithelial cells. Amiloride, an ENaC inhibitor, reduced fluid absorption more in non-CF than in CF spheroids, a result explained by the lack of Cl− secretion in CF spheroids. A cAMP-induced increase in PD was seen in non-CF spheroids only. Fluid absorption rates of both CF and non-CF spheroids were not influenced by hyperosmotic stress, which, on the other hand, induced an increase in aquaporin-5 expression at the apical spheroid membranes [94].

Others have subsequently reported similar nasospheroids derived from nasal brushing or curettage. They formed in 2–5 days from sheets of nonadherent nasal epithelial cells, and they could be maintained for at least 12 weeks in a serum-free medium [68]. These nasospheroids were highly differentiated, hollow spheres composed of a single layer of differentiated pseudostratified epithelial cells containing ciliated and nonciliated cell types, with cilia and CFTR expression facing the outside bath (Figure 1a). Upon CFTR activation by forskolin, non-CF spheroids shrank, an effect compatible with the efflux of chloride and water outside the spheroid, and this effect was counteracted by CFTRinh-172. The
relevance of the CFTR activity in this assay was further demonstrated by the observation that CF nasospheroids showed no reduction in the cross-sectional area over time when CFTR was stimulated unless treated with modulators, i.e., the combination Lumacafor–Ivacaftor. Interestingly, they noted response differences between F508del homozygote individuals and a substantial, albeit nonsignificant, reduction in size with Lumacaftor monotherapy in a rare mutation (I618T/F508del), indicating the usefulness of this assay in “n of 1” studies.

In following studies, the CRC method was used to expand NEC (Figure 1b), so Brewington and colleagues formed nasospheroids from NEC, which were cultured and passaged onto an embryonic fibroblast feeder layer in the presence of the ROCK inhibitor Y27632 until NEC were transferred to matrigel for 7–10 days [89]. Microscopic analysis revealed the presence of a lumen and a slightly thickened spheroid wall, suggesting a pseudostratified epithelium. Nasospheroids were positive for markers of mature respiratory epithelia, including E-cadherin (adherens junctions), luminal F actin and alpha tubulin (indicating cilia), and the mucin MUC5AC (for mucus secretory cells). NEC spheroids from wild-type (wt) CFTR subjects swelled when stimulated with forskolin/IBMX with an average of +16.0% from baseline. On the other hand, nasospheroids from F508del homozygotes generally shrank following acute stimulation with forskolin/IBMX and ivacaftor (mean −7.0%) but swelled following stimulation with Lumacaftor, with a mean increase of +8.7% from baseline. Nasospheroids from heterozygotes for F508del and other mutations or homozygotes for other mutations swelled variably between control wtCFTR and F508del homozygous CFTR spheroids when stimulated with forskolin/IBMX (+2.4%). Moreover, the response to CFTR modulators was variable and mutation-dependent. Interestingly, the nasosphere swelling response shows a tight correlation with same-subject ALI cultures with good epithelial electrophysiology, supporting the use of nasal spheroids as a model of basal and stimulated CFTR activity. Furthermore, NEC ALI culture was unsuccessful in several donors, highlighting the challenge of studying NECs under ALI and a potential benefit of NEC spheroid analysis. Interestingly, three of six subjects treated with CFTR modulators in vivo had clinical improvement in both FEV1 and body mass index (BMI), and all three had a corresponding ≥10% improvement in spheroid swelling, implying a relationship between the spheroid response to the drug and the in vivo clinical response. McCarthy et al. [95] further showed that nasal epithelial spheroids, which were obtained from a CF subject heterozygous for the rare mutation Ser1159Pro and F508del, were sensitive to Lumacaftor–Ivacaftor treatment, i.e., they swelled, and this behavior correlated with the pharmacological response of ALI monolayers. Importantly, a positive clinical response in terms of FEV1 and symptoms was recorded when this patient was treated with Lumacaftor–Ivacaftor.

To further make these nasospheroids amenable to medium-to-high throughput applications, Liu and colleagues implemented a CRC method culture to obtain millions of cells from small amounts of biopsy material. NEC organoids had the lumen on the interior, with evidence of cilia forming cells. Different differentiation markers were expressed, including MUC5AC and MUC5B, ZO-1, CFTR and FOXJ1 (for ionocytes), and CFTR co-localized with ZO-1. The basal lumen ratio (BLR), i.e., the ratio of the mean luminal area to the total surface area, representing the luminal fluid present within organoids, allowed distinguishing organoids of different CFTR genotypes, i.e., non-CF, G551D/residual function (RF) mutation, F508del/G551D and F508del/F508del, with non-CF and F508del/F508del organoids showing higher and lower BLR, respectively. This parameter positively correlated with the baseline forskolin-stimulated short-circuit current in NEC cultures [90].

More recently, Beekman’s group set up a novel approach in which evenly differentiated spheroids were established from ALI-differentiated NEC monolayers [96] (Figure 1b). Basically, fragments obtained from 2D cultures were embedded in matrigel leading to the formation of spheroids, scaling up to 48 wells of a 96-well plate from a single 12 cm² transwell insert. The FIS assay showed that the CF spheroid did not swell and that a CFTR-independent fluid secretion in mediating spheroid swelling was present. This assumption
was based on studies using E_{act}, which increased Ca^{2+} uptake via the transient receptor potential cation channel subfamily V member 4 (TRPV4) and determined swelling in only CF nasal spheroids, and TRPV4 inhibition, which suppressed FIS. Interestingly, E_{act}-induced swelling was significantly higher in CF spheroids compared to healthy controls, suggesting enhanced Ca^{2+}-dependent CFTR-independent fluid secretion upon CFTR dysfunction. The optimization of culture conditions aimed to lessen CFTR-independent FIS and low CFTR expression by including neuregulin/IL-1β, which improved the sensitivity to CFTR modulators (Ivacaftor/Lumacaftor) and allowed observing a consistent response to the triple combination of Ivacaftor–Tezacaftor–Elexacaftor.

2.2. Spheroids from Airway Stem/Progenitor Cells

Airway-resident stem cells with self-renewing and multi-differentiation capacities can be committed to generating spheroids which can be studied in the CF field [55]. Bronchial lung organoids may be generated from basal stem cells either obtained from lung explants, bronchial brushes, or commercially available normal or diseased primary human bronchial epithelial cell preparations, including of CF origin. Basal cell samples can be also obtained from the nasal passages by either brushing or curettage [97]. They are usually cultured in matrigel or basement membrane extracts to obtain a lumen-in configuration [69,98–101]. They could be useful to understand not only CFTR function and modulation but also the relevance of inflammatory and infection-related signals in the airway pathology associated with CF, for example, mucus metaplasia. Danahay and colleagues [99] derived so-called “bronospheres” from the multipotent p63 + NGFR + ITGA6+ airway basal cell, which they used to demonstrate the relevance of both IL-13 and IL-17 in goblet cell metaplasia via a Notch2-dependent mechanism. Sprott et al. [101] identified flagellin, a Toll-like receptor (TLR) ligand, as a key driver of loss of cilia formation and mucus hyperproduction in bronchospheres.

Spheroids that were maintained for more than one year in culture were established from lower airway epithelial cells procured with lung biopsies or bronchoalveolar lavage fluid specimens [70]. Lung cells were embedded in basement membrane extracts containing signaling cues for self-renewal, such as R-spondin, Noggin, FGF-7, and FGF-10, as well as BMP and TGF-β inhibitory factors (Figure 1b). These organoids presented a lumen-in configuration and were composed of a pseudostratified epithelium containing basal (cytokeratin KRT5+), goblet (mucin MUC5AC+), club (secretoglobin SCGB1A1+), and multi-ciliated cells (acetylated α-tubulin-positive) cells. In the FIS assay, these spheroids presented a CFTR-dependent swelling that was not completely abolished by the CFTR-inh172. Interestingly, the swelling occurred upon incubation with E_{act}, an activator of TMEM16A, a calcium-activated chloride channel. CF spheroids obtained from subjects with different mutations showed reduced swelling compared to wild-type spheroids recovered by Lumacaftor and Ivacaftor pre-incubation.

In general, airway spheroids need to be better characterized for their dynamic range, i.e., whether they show residual function upon forskolin stimulation and how they respond to CFTR modulators across genotypes, as it has been conducted with intestinal organoids [60,61]. Moreover, they should be evaluated for their predicted clinical response [61]. It would also be essential to implement biobank storage and high-throughput analysis to assay all the new CFTR modulators and gene therapy approaches that are being explored in the CF field. Moreover, there is a need for a “standardization protocol” to make the use of these models comparable and reproducible among different labs. This issue has been recently faced up with intestinal organoids. Three academic laboratories evaluated CFTR function by FIS the same six CF patients with distinct CFTR genotypes, ranging from severe Class I to Class V mutations [102]. In the case of airway organoids, variability is much higher since, for instance, there are different methods for CRC or even for growing spheroids in matrigel [91]. A face-to-face analysis of different methods should be carried out to have a unique protocol valid for all researchers and thereby optimize and consolidate results.
Table 1 reports the main morphological and functional characteristics of airway spheroids derived from either differentiated or progenitor cells and their use in CF research.

**Table 1.** Airway spheroids obtained from airway epithelial cells.

| Study                     | Spheroid Model                                      | Morphology and Antigen Expression                                                                 | Function                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Pharmacological Treatment                                                                 |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Pedersen et al., 1999 [92]| CF (n = 9) and non-CF (n = 17) subjects.            | “Lumen-out” configuration. The apical ciliated membrane facing the bath, and the basolateral cell membrane pointing toward a fluid-filled lumen.             | Transepithelial PD measurements compatible with the presence of an amiloride-sensitive Na\(^+\) absorption and ATP-sensitive Cl\(^-\) channel in the apical membrane. CF spheroids PD was not changed by the increase in cAMP. | None.                                                                                     |
| Pedersen et al., 1999 [93]| CF (n = 7) and non-CF (n = 15) subjects.            | “Lumen-out” configuration. The apical ciliated membrane facing the bath, and the basolateral cell membrane pointing toward a fluid-filled lumen.             | Fluid absorption rates were equal in non-CF and CF spheroids. Amiloride inhibited fluid absorption to a lower residual level in non-CF than in CF spheroids.                                             | None.                                                                                     |
| Pedersen et al., 2007 [94]| CF (n = 4) and non-CF (n = 5) subjects.             | “Lumen-out” configuration. The apical ciliated membrane facing the bath, and the basolateral cell membrane pointing toward a fluid-filled lumen.             | Hyperosmotic treatment caused an increase in epithelial water permeability without changing fluid absorption rates.                                                                                 | None.                                                                                     |
| Guimbellot et al., 2017 [68]| CF (n = 3) and non-CF (n = 9) subjects.             | “Lumen-out” configuration. The apical ciliated membrane facing the bath, and the basolateral cell membrane pointing toward a fluid-filled lumen. CFTR expression at the level of apical region. | Shrinking of non-CF spheroids upon the increase in cAMP levels. CF spheroids showed diminished volume reduction following CFTR activation.                                                          | Lumacaftor-Ivacaftor treatment partially restored cross-sectional area reduction of CF nanospheroids. |
| Brewington et al., 2018 [89]| CF (n = 19) and non-CF (n = 6) subjects.            | “Lumen-in” configuration. Cilia on the luminal surface. Positive for E-cadherin, luminal F actin and alpha tubulin, and the mucin MUC5AC.                | Non-CF spheroids swelled upon CFTR stimulation. NEC spheroids from F508del homozygotes shrank following CFTR stimulation.                                                                          | F508del homozygous spheroids swelled when pre-treated with Ivacaftor and Lumacaftor, or incubated at 27 °C. |
| McCarthy et al., 2018 [95]| Nasal curettage. One CF patient heterozygous for Ser1159Pro and F508del. | “Lumen-in” configuration.                                                                           | Nasospheroids did not swell in the FIS assay.                                                                                                                                                         | Nasospheroids swelled in response to Lumacaftor-Ivacaftor. Following ex vivo studies, the patients commenced in vivo therapy. |
Table 1. Cont.

| Study                        | Spheroid Model                                                                 | Morphology and Antigen Expression                                                                 | Function                                                                 | Pharmacological Treatment                                                                 |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Sachs et al., 2019 [70]      | Lung biopsies and BAL specimens ("bronchospheroids"). Non-CF and CF subjects. CF genotypes: F508del/F508del ($n = 3$), F508del/G542X ($n = 1$), R334W/R334W ($n = 1$). | “Lumen-in” configuration. Cilia on the luminal surface. Positive for basal marker keratin-5 (KRT5), club cell marker secretoglobin family 1A member 1 (SCGB1A1), cilia marker acetylated α-tubulin, or secretory cell marker mucin 5AC (MUC5AC). | In non-CF spheroids, spheroids swelled upon forskolin and $F_{act}$ stimulation. | Forskolin-induced swelling was reduced in CF compared to wild-type spheroids, and correlated with the severity of the tested CFTR genotypes. It could be augmented with Lumacaftor and Ivacaftor. $F_{act}$ induced a swelling similar to that induced by forskolin. |
| Liu et al., 2020 [90]        | Nasal brushing. CF ($n = 36$) and non-CF ($n = 12$) subjects. CF genotypes: F508del/F508del ($n = 5$); others with at least one minimal or residual function mutation | “Lumen-in” configuration. Cilia on the luminal surface. Positive for MUC5AC and MUC5B, ZO-1, CFTR, and FOXI1. | The baseline luminal ratio and the FIS assay distinguish between non-CF and CF spheroids and also between CF with different genotypes. | None. |
| Amatngalim et al., 2021 [96] | Nasal brushing. CF ($n = 22$) and CF ($n = 22$) subjects (F508del/F508del). | 3D spheroids with a “lumen-in configuration” derived from 2D differentiated ALI-NEC cultures. β-tubulin IV$^+$ cilia and MUC5AC$^+$ secretory cells inside of the spheroid and p63$^+$ and KRT15$^+$ basal cells. | FIS measured in non-CF spheroids was significantly higher compared to CF spheroids, while $F_{act}$ induced a more significant swelling in CF spheroids compared to non-CF ones. | No response of CF organoids to Ivacaftor–Lumacaftor while a detectable swelling was obtained when cells were grown at ALI in the presence of neuregulin and IL-1β. Under these conditions, a high increase in FIS was obtained with Ivacaftor–Tezacaftor–Elexacaftor treatment. |

3. Airway Organoids from iPSCs

iPSCs have the potential for infinite expansion prior to differentiation into airway structures resembling those present in vivo [103–105] and are useful to study CFTR expression, function, and modulation [106–110]. Differentiation protocols of iPSCs for generating proximal or distal lungs have been developed, which include the generation of definitive endoderm (induced using Activin-A and WNT3a), anterior foregut endoderm (BMP, TGFβ, and Wnt inhibitors), early lung endoderm (fetal lung) by applying Wnt BMP, FGF, and retinoic acid signaling, and, lastly, airway progenitors (immature lung) and airway stem cells (mature lung) with club, goblet, multi-ciliated, basal, alveolar, and neuroendocrine cells using Wnt, FGF, c-AMP, and glucocorticoid agonism [105,106,108,109,111]. Under appropriate culture conditions, iPSCs differentiated into airway progenitors can
self-renew and self-organize into organoids (Figure 1c), which better represent physiologically relevant cell behaviors and in vivo interactions of the native tissue [65,112,113]. McCauley et al. [111] have generated iPSC-derived airway organoids via the temporal regulation of WNT signaling, thus isolating highly expressing NKx2-1 primordial lung progenitors and generating organoids in matrigel. CF-proximalized lung organoids (from F508del homozygous patients) showed defects in forskolin-induced CFTR-dependent swelling, which was rescued by gene editing to correct the F508del mutation back to wild-type CFTR [114]. Using the same differentiation protocol, Berical and colleagues [115] tested the hypothesis that iPSC-derived organoids could be useful in testing pharmaceutical rescuing of CFTR-dependent function across Class 1–3 CFTR mutations. FIS assays in the absence of any modulator showed that G551D organoids displayed statistically significant swelling, whereas no significant swelling was observed in F508del organoids, consistent with their expected baseline levels of CFTR function. Treatment with Ivacaftor of G551D organoids significantly increased their swelling. In F508del organoids, while the treatment with first-generation correctors (Lumacaftor, Tezacaftor) had a small effect, a robust increase was observed with the triple combination of Ivacaftor–Tezacaftor–Eleaxacaftor. The baseline FIS of W12182X organoids was negligible, and treatment with CFTR modulators alone or in combination with G418 (Gentamicin) did not lead to a significant response. However, treatment with G418, SMG1i, and Ivacaftor–Tezacaftor–Eleaxacaftor led to a significant increase in FIS. To further assess the relevance of the iPSC platform in CF drug testing, the authors demonstrated that iPSC-derived ALI cultures exhibited CFTR-dependent currents and pharmacological rescue at levels comparable to primary HBE cell cultures.

The recent work of Jiang et al. [116] focused on reducing the steps to produce immature lung cells and test them as a platform for drug development in CF by a high-throughput fluorescence-based assay. Moreover, they demonstrated that the CFTR modulators’ response in immature iPSC-derived lung cells recapitulates those observed in primary NEC from the same donors. This “shorter” differentiation protocol could be used to generate organoids to be used for a high-throughput testing platform for rarer CFTR mutations. In fact, Wong’s lab generated renewable airway organoids from hPSC-derived lung progenitor cells that were reminiscent of early trimester lung development and enriched in basal stem cells [117] (Figure 1c). Furthermore, the FIS assay was also characterized by low sensitivity due to the slow timing, i.e., >4 h, and often minimal swelling, i.e., often <10%. Interestingly, airway organoids were “split-open” by plating them onto 96-well plates until the formation of a monolayer of epithelial cells. Then, they were studied by a FLiPR assay to measure CFTR activity, showing that, on average, up to 10% CFTR function was found. Thus, the open apical chloride conductance (ACC) assay was much more sensitive to detecting CFTR-mediated responses, offering an alternative strategy to measure CFTR modulator responses in CF iPSC-derived airway organoids. However, similar to the FIS of airway spheroids, determining the extent to which electrophysiologic measurements in iPSC-derived airway cultures predict disease severity or clinical efficacy of CFTR modulators will be required.

In general, since iPSC-derived airway basal cells can be efficiently cryopreserved for long-term storage while retaining their capacity to form CFTR-expressing airway epithelium in established protocols, the platform lends itself to creating biobanks of CF iPSC-derived airway basal cells [118], even from individuals with Class I mutations that are presently not amenable to treatment by small molecules-drugs. Like airway spheroids, iPSC-derived airway organoids have to be investigated whether the FIS assay reflects individual disease severity or is predictive of clinical efficacy of CFTR modulators, as others have conducted with the rectal organoid FIS assay [58,119]. Furthermore, it remains unclear how short-term treatment responses individually translate into a long-term clinical response. Finally, the derivation of airway organoids from iPSsC still has to be standardized in regard to differentiation protocols and variability in the generation of differentiated cells [116].

Interestingly, besides drug response, airway organoids would be useful for studying other CF-associated pathophysiological events. For example, Konishi et al. [120] examined
airway-specific ciliary movement in organoids obtained from ventralized anterior foregut endoderm cells. Airway organoids derived from iPSCs have also been shown to be useful in studying lung infection. Chen et al. [121] demonstrated that infection in vitro with Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV), which causes small airway obstruction and bronchiolitis in infants, led to swelling, detachment, and shedding of infected cells into the organoid lumen, similar to what has been observed in the human lung. Therefore, they suggested that iPSC-derived airway organoids may provide a useful tool to model lung disease and infection studies for CF.

Table 2 reports the main morphological and functional characteristics of airway organoids derived from iPSCs and their use in CF research.

| Study                     | Organoid Model                          | Morphology and Antigen Expression | Function                                                                 | Pharmacological Treatment                                                                 |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| McCauley et al., [111]    | Proximalized airway organoids. One non-CF subject and two CF patients homozygous for F508del. | “Lumen-in” configuration.         | Little, if any, swelling was observed in either CF lines after exposure to forskolin as compared to the wild-type line. | The gene-corrected F508del/WT organoids significantly swelled in response to forskolin treatment. |
| Berical et al., [115]     | Proximalized airway organoids. Three non-CF subjects and five CF patients (1 homozygous for W1282X, three homozygous for F508del, one homozygous for G551D). | “Lumen-in” configuration. CFTR expression at similar levels to primary HBE cultures | A small but statistically significant basal swelling in G551D organoids, but no detectable basal FIS in F508del and W1282X organoids. | FIS increased in G551D organoids after treatment with Ivacaftor. Treatment of F508del organoids with the first-generation correctors (Lumacaftor, Tezacaftor) had a small effect on FIS, while a robust increase was obtained with the triple combination Ivacaftor–Tezacaftor–Elexacaftor. In W1282X organoids, combinatorial treatment with G418, SMG1i, and Ivacaftor–Tezacaftor–Elexacaftor led to a significant increase in FIS. |
| Ngan et al., 2021 [117]  | Fetal lung-derived organoids. Non-CF hiPSC lines. | “Lumen-in” configuration. By immunofluorescence: expression of basal cell marker KRT5, ciliated cell marker FOXJ1, luminal epithelial cell marker KRT8, secretory cell marker MUC16. By qPCR: expression of ΔNP63 and KRT14, acetylated α-tubulin, cytokeratin-8/18 (KRT8/18), and secretoglobin 1A1 (SCGB1A1) and mucin 5ac (MUC5AC). CFTR co-expressed at the lumen side with ZO-1. | The FLiPR analysis on spheroids 2–3 days after seeding on collagen-coated plates found an increase in fluorescence activity indicative of CFTR function upon forskolin-induction that was inhibited with CFTR inhibitor-172. Significant swelling was found after 24 h of forskolin stimulation. | None. |
4. Concluding Remarks

The personalized medicine approach in CF needs that patient-derived samples from the airways are cultured in a way that is more attainable to reproduce the respiratory microenvironment. Airway cell-based spheroids and organoids are being implemented in the past decades to establish a study model that would guarantee a solid alternative to the gold standard in CF drug studies, i.e., electrophysiological studies in differentiated cultures at ALI conditions. Now it is possible to utilize small amounts of biopsy material that are expanded to millions of cells, which can provide sufficient replicates for moderate-to-high-throughput applications [90]. The CRC methodology will allow improving the procurement of indefinite supply of organoids even more. Importantly, the FIS assay showed a positive correlation with the short-circuit current measurements in HBE from the same patient, revealing robustness of airway organoids similar to that of intestinal ones [58]. Nevertheless, a large variation in FIS measurements was observed because the swelling was limited to well-differentiated spherical structures [70]. Moreover, nasal-derived spheroids required CFTR function measurements over extensive time periods [68,89,90]. A further advancement allowed obtaining evenly differentiated spheroids, which are established from ALI-differentiated airway epithelial monolayers, demonstrating scalability that was not obtained before [96]. Interestingly, this method demonstrated that spheroid swelling was in part due to CFTR, while an activator (Eact) and inhibitor of TRPV4 showed Ca\(^{2+}\)’s role in mediating CFTR-independent fluid secretion. These results should be confirmed in previously established spheroid cultures as well, thus indicating that fluid transport across these 3D structures should be further analyzed in order to make sense of the CFTR modulator activities. Another interesting finding was that the addition of the pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-1\(\beta\) increased CFTR-dependent swelling, indicating that mimicking the inflammatory environment would be essential to recapitulating the best condition for testing CFTR modulator responses. Indeed, further studies will be necessary to explore whether the CFTR modulator response of nasal spheroids, either formed directly from nasal samples or derived from ALI cultures, are predictive for therapy efficacy in individuals with CF and how this correlates with other in vitro measurements, i.e., intestinal organoids and 2D ALI differentiated nasal/bronchial airway epithelial cultures [71,77,119]. In this perspective, other novel therapies might also be studied in these 3D models, such as CRISPR-gene editing, read-through agents, or compounds targeting NMD. Indeed, theratyping using NEC cultures, either as ALI or organoids, is no longer limited to those subjects carrying rare, missense CFTR variants, as it is expanding to include nonsense mutations [48]. Moreover, although nasal spheroid/organoid cultures have been utilized for detecting viral infectivity [122], no study has investigated either viral or bacterial responses in the CF context. This will be mandatory to further analyze drug and gene therapies even closer to the patient’s situation, i.e., by using the patient’s derived spheroids/organoids and bugs obtained from the same patients.

iPSCs-derived airway organoids derived from a single patient may fulfill the need for an unlimited supply of cells and share the epigenetic background of the original cells. Moreover, their capacity to differentiate into a broad spectrum of cell types makes them well suited to in vitro disease modeling. Their relevance in modeling the various developmental stages of the lung would allow better comprehending the effects of molecular defects that affect a newborn CF individual. However, generating such complex 3D structures is not cost-effective, and this technology is not affordable for every laboratory. The optimization of protocols to obtain high and reproducible amounts of lung progenitor cells is warranted. Another issue to be deepened is the contribution of niche stromal cells to the growth and differentiation of epithelial cells, which is underrepresented in current protocols [55,97]. Recent data show that robust methods of generating renewable sources of basal stem cells from iPSCs with the capacity to differentiate into multi-epithelial cell types are now available [117,118]. Airway organoids derived from these basal stem cells can be used to generate ALI cultures and provide a unique tool to study CFTR function before and after gene correction [118]. On the other hand, these airway organoids possess some drawbacks,
such as long-term swelling and the lack of rare cell types, including neuroendocrine cells and ionocytes [117]. Therefore, further improvement is needed if iPSC-derived airway organoids might be used to model the CF disease in a more detailed manner. However, the possibility that iPSC-derived organoids can be produced from 2D ALI cultures and, in turn, generate these cultures may allow in the future to develop high-throughput platforms to screen and validate different compounds at the same time.

Airway spheroids and iPSC-derived organoids might be useful to study airway diseases other than CF. Bronchospheroids from lung tumors recapitulate histopathological features as well as cancer gene mutations and were amenable to drug screening [70]. They also allowed modeling of viral infections, such as RSV, presenting in vitro evidence for the direct effects of the viral protein NS2 on cell mobility and fusion and demonstrating the possibility to study neutrophil–epithelium interaction [70]. The recent derivation of airway basal cells from iPSCs and organoids derived thereof may allow modeling airway diseases other than CF, such as asthma and primary ciliary dyskinesia [118]. Asthma was investigated by stimulating the iPSC-derived airway epithelium with the Th2 cytokine IL-13 and inducing mucus metaplasia. Moreover, airway basal cell-derived MCCs were shown to model both the functional and ultrastructural defects observed in DNAH5 mutant primary-donor-derived cells.

In summary, patient-derived nasal/bronchial and iPSC-derived airway organoids show promise to study mechanisms of disease, screen for novel therapeutic approaches, and identify patient responders to currently available therapeutics in a complex environment more similar to the in vivo situation. However, further refinement of expansion and differentiation protocols and screening methods is warranted in the near future.
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