Alexithymia in adolescents in Poland: an important issue in the holistic approach to patients’ care
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Abstract

Introduction: Alexithymia is defined as a disturbed ability to identify and verbally express emotions with a limited imaginative capacity as well as externally oriented thinking. The literature on alexithymia is limited and scarce research concentrated on alexithymia in different gender groups.

Aim: As alexithymia may influence the therapeutic outcome, the objective of the study was to assess the prevalence of alexithymia in adolescents in Poland, paying special attention to gender differences.

Material and methods: This cross-sectional study was run on a group of 730 high school students in Poland. The mean age of this group was 17.05 ±1.18 years (age range: 15–19 years). Alexithymia was assessed with Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20).

Results: Alexithymia was found in 31.0% of adolescents. 56.0% of subjects presented with signs of at least intermediate (borderline) alexithymia. Significantly more females than males suffered from alexithymia (37.0% and 20.8%, respectively; p < 0.001). TAS-20 scores were also significantly higher in females than males (55.59 ±12.32 points and 49.40 ±12.00, respectively; p < 0.001). The same phenomenon was observed for the following domains of alexithymia: difficulty in identifying feelings (DIF) and difficulty in describing feelings (DDF). However, there was no difference in gender distribution in the externally oriented thinking (EOT) domain.

Conclusions: Alexithymia was shown to be common among adolescents in our country. More females than males suffer from this condition. This seems to be of importance in the holistic approach to patients’ care.
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Introduction

The decreased ability to identify and verbally express emotions with limited imaginative capacity as well as externally oriented thinking used to be called alexithymia [1–3]. The term was first introduced by Sifneos [4] in the early 1970s and means “no words for emotions”. It is a personality trait occurring in about 10–13% of the general population [5–7]. The literature concerning the gender differences in alexithymia is rather limited. Scarce data from selected geographical regions suggested that alexithymia occurs more commonly among the male population or with the same frequency both in females and males [8]. Alexithymia was shown to be much more common in people suffering from various disorders [9–15]. Perceived psychological stress can influence the prevalence and severity of alexithymia [16, 17]. It especially influences interpersonal communication, has a negative impact on therapeutic outcomes [18].

In March 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared COVID-19 pandemic [19]. Many countries, including Poland, introduced a lockdown to prevent the virus SARS-CoV-2 from spreading [20, 21]. Restrictions lasting for several months were enforced in order to strictly limit social contacts; hold down daily activities. During this period many people experienced psychological stress, some developed symptoms of depression and anxiety. The level of anger and frustration raised markedly [22–24]. It was even suggested that COVID-19 pandemic increased the risk of suicidal thoughts and attempts [25].

As alexithymia may have a negative influence on the therapeutic process in many patients, including dermatological one, this study was undertaken to assess the prevalence of alexithymia in Poland, paying special at-
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Material and methods

Study design and participants

The study was designed as a cross-sectional one and was performed in randomly selected two high schools in Wrocław – the city located in south-west Poland with a population of almost 650,000 inhabitants and in one school in Sieradz – a smaller town of central Poland with a population of nearly 43,000 inhabitants. The representative study population size for high school students in Poland was calculated with 95% confidence level as 384 (margin of error of 5%). The study was run in accordance with all requirements of the Ethics Committee of the Wrocław Medical University (KB-750/2021). The acceptance of the project was granted by directors of all high schools selected. The study was fully voluntary. All adult students gave their consent to be part of this study. Moreover, the study also considered students under the age of 18 years, but only if their parents gave the written agreement to include them in the study.

The study was based on a self-filled questionnaires. Demographic data were collected from all the students. Alexithymia was assessed with Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20). All data were collected during the classes, after detailed explanation of the study purpose by one of investigators, within a period of 2 months from September to November 2021. In September 2021 all high school students in Poland returned to normal face-to-face learning after the lockdown due to COVID-19 pandemic. It is worth mentioning that during the previous months of pandemic, classes were mainly virtual.

Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20)

Alexithymia was assessed with the use of three-domain scale – Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20) [26]. The whole questionnaire contains 20 questions, each rated on the 5-point Likert scale (1 point – strongly disagree, 2 points – disagree, 3 points – neither agree nor disagree, 4 points – agree and 5 points – strongly agree). Five out of 20 questions are negatively keyed. The instrument assesses the following domains of alexithymia: difficulty in identifying feelings (DIF), difficulty in describing feelings (DDF) and externally oriented thinking (EOT). The maximum score of TAS-20 is 100 points (range: 20–100 points). Subjects classified as non-alexithymic ones score less than 52 points, with intermediate alexithymia (borderline alexithymia) score between 52 and 60 points and with alexithymia, score at least 61 points. The Polish validated language version of TAS-20 by Ścigała et al. [27] was employed for this study.

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed by using IBM SPSS Statistics version 26 (SPSS INC., Chicago, IL, USA) software. Parametric and nonparametric distribution of the data were considered. The minimum, maximum, mean, and standard deviation were calculated. The Mann-Whitney U test and the χ² test were used where appropriate. All analyses were performed as two-sided tests; p-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

A total number of 738 students were invited to participate in the project. 730 agreed and completed the study questionnaires (98.9% response rate). Among them there were 446 (61.1%) girls and 284 (38.9%) boys. Their mean age of the study group was 17.05 ±1.18 years, ranging from 15 to 19 years. No significant difference between females and males was documented (Table 1).

Alexithymia was found in 226 (31.0%) respondents. Intermediate (borderline) alexithymia was reported by additional 183 (25.0%) subjects, so 409 (56.0%) students presented with symptoms of at least intermediate alexithymia (Table 2). Alexithymia appeared to be significantly more common (p < 0.01) among females (37.0%) than in males (20.8%). The same (p < 0.001) was demonstrated for those classified with at least symptoms of interme-

Table 1. Group characteristics

| Parameter       | Whole group (n = 730) | Females (n = 446) | Males (n = 284) | P-value |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------|
| Age [years] mean ± SD | 17.1 ±1.2             | 17.1 ±1.1          | 17.1 ±1.2       | NS      |

SD – standard deviation, n – number, NS – not significant.

Table 2. Prevalence of alexithymia in adolescents

| Number of subject (%) | Whole group (n = 730) | Females (n = 446) | Males (n = 284) | P-value |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------|
| Alexithymia           | 226 (31.0)            | 165 (37.0)        | 59 (20.8)       | < 0.001 |
| Alexithymia and Intermediate alexithymia | 409 (56.0) | 292 (65.5) | 115 (40.5) | < 0.001 |

SD – standard deviation, n – number, NS – not significant.
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Discussion
It is generally accepted that alexithymia is a cluster of symptoms including the decreased ability of labelling and communicating emotions, confusion of affective and somatic symptoms and externally oriented thinking. Alexithymic individuals were suggested to present a lower capacity to think constructively about their problems [1–3]. Alexithymia used to be divided into so-called “Primary Alexithymia”, i.e. a subject’s profile that changes a little over time and “Secondary Alexithymia” which is a response to psychological disturbances. In the “Secondary Alexithymia” the stressor may induce alexithymia, and the level of alexithymia may be markedly reduced with the elimination of the particular stimulus [28]. It is clear that the alexithymic traits may affect the individual response to psychological stress. On the other hand, several studies linked alexithymia with numerous psychological conditions, including stress [16, 17, 29, 30].

Gender differences in response to depressive mood and other psychiatric disturbances have been reported in the literature [31]. However, the evidence regarding gender differences in alexithymia is equivocal and only a limited number of studies has dealt with this issue [1, 8, 32, 33]. The paper by Levant et al. [34] documented that in the majority of studies conducted in the general population, males appeared to be more alexithymic or there was no significant difference between females and males. The authors were able only to locate one study where females were more alexithymic than males. The same group of investigators, in a population suffering from various health problems, found that the majority of stud-
ies did not demonstrate significant gender differences in alexithymia, however there were two studies suggesting male predominance and once again in one paper female patients appeared to be more alexithymic [8]. The above-mentioned observations were confirmed in a later analysis showing that usually men scored higher than women on alexithymia scales. The difference was especially visible among non-clinical samples [8]. The higher trend for common alexithymia in men was tried to be explained by the hypothesis of “Normative Male Alexithymia”. According to this traditional masculinity ideology, traditionally reared males might present the pattern of restrictive emotionality. 30 years ago Levant [35] in general suggested that men experienced more difficulties in finding the words to describe their emotional status. This was argued by the fact that in the past even young boys were discouraged from expressing their emotions. This was done not only by the parents building a traditional model of the family, but also by the peers and schoolteachers. This created a problem with the development of proper awareness of emotions. Additionally, the vocabulary to express the emotions was rather limited [35]. The Gender Role Stain Paradigm with ideology of less emotional and more logic males than females was in accordance with the above-discussed issues [36]. Additionally, the relationship between alexithymia and neurotransmitter activities in males, and not in females, was found [32].

Table 3. TAS-20 scores in adolescents

| TAS-20 [points] mean ± SD | Whole group (n = 730) | Females (n = 446) | Males (n = 284) | P-value |
|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------|---------|
| Total score              | 53.19 ±12.55         | 55.59 ±12.32     | 49.40 ±12.00   | < 0.001 |
| DIF                      | 19.73 ±6.99          | 21.52 ±6.56      | 16.92 ±6.73    | < 0.001 |
| DDF                      | 15.27 ±4.99          | 16.03 ±4.95      | 14.10 ±4.85    | < 0.001 |
| EOT                      | 18.19 ±4.41          | 18.04 ±4.29      | 18.39 ±4.59    | NS      |

SD – standard deviation, n – number, NS – not significant, TAS – Toronto Alexithymia Scale, DIF – difficulty in identifying feelings, DDF – difficulty in describing feelings, EOT – externally oriented thinking.
argraphicalexithymia. This study was performed in 2019 before the COVID-19 pandemic and included a bit older group than we analysed in the current study [1]. This could explain at least partly the observed differences in reported results. Several investigators documented that COVID-19 pandemic increased the stress and anxiety in many people [22–24]. Moreover, it was found that COVID-19 pandemic also influenced alexithymia [29, 30, 37, 38]. One could hypothesize that this unique stressful situation related to the pandemic might also change the observed pattern of gender distribution in alexithymia. However, more studies are required to clarify this situation.

The COVID-19 pandemic with its subsequent consequences might increase the prevalence of alexithymia [29]. In our study, alexithymia was demonstrated in 31% of adolescents. Previous studies, conducted in the whole general population, indicated a much lower percentage of alexithymic people ranging from 10% to 13% [5–7]. However, the study among Polish students found moderate to severe alexithymia in 56% [1].

Several studies demonstrated an increased prevalence of alexithymia in common dermatological disorders, including psoriasis, acne, hidradenitis suppurativa, pruritus and others [6, 13–15, 39]. Some of them, as acne for example, affect mainly adolescents [40]. Therefore, taking into consideration that alexithymia may have a negative impact on the final result of the therapeutic process of many conditions [18], the knowledge of prevalence and gender differences of alexithymia in selected populations might be of value.

We are aware of study limitations. Firstly, the study was run in three randomly selected high schools located only in two geographical regions of Poland. Secondly, we performed the analysis during COVID-19 pandemic, which may create the bias in the obtained results. We also hypothesize that the stressful situation of pandemic might influence the prevalence of alexithymia, however no data from the period before pandemic are available for the same population.

Conclusions

We showed that during COVID-19 pandemic, 31% of adolescents were alexithymic. Intermediate (borderline) alexithymia was found in additional 25% of subjects. Surprisingly, females appeared to be more alexithymic than males. This was also observed for the DIF and DDF domains, but not for EOT. The observed phenomenon seems to be of importance in the holistic approach to patients’ care, including subjects suffering from dermatological diseases.
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