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Abstract: A series of N-acetyl-O-(ω-alkenyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl esters were synthesized by the reaction of vinyl bromides (4-bromo-1-butene, 6-bromo-1-hexene, 8-bromo-1-octene and 10-bromo-1-decene) with N-acetyl-L-tyrosine ethyl ester. 1H NMR, elemental analysis, FT-IR, and mass spectra were performed for these N-acetyl-O-(ω-alkenyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl esters. The novel titanium complex can catalyze the copolymerization of ethylene and N-acetyl-O-(ω-alkenyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl esters efficiently and the highest catalytic activity was up to 6.86 × 10^4 gP/(molTi)·1·h. The structures and properties of the obtained copolymers were characterized by FT-IR, (1H)\(^{13}\)C NMR, GPC, DSC, and water contact angle. The results indicated that the obtained copolymers had a uniformly high average molecular weight of 2.85 × 10^5 g mol\(^{-1}\) and a high incorporation ratio of N-acetyl-O-(but-3-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester of 2.65 mol % within the copolymer chain. The units of the comonomer were isolated within the copolymer chains. The insertion of the polar comonomer into a copolymer chain can effectively improve the hydrophilicity of a copolymer.
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1. Introduction

Ethylene, which has large production, is mostly used to produce non-polar polyethylene in the industrial field. Because polyethylene has excellent performance, uniting chemical and physical properties along with good process ability and low cost, it can be used as an ideal biological material for heart valves, artificial joints, etc. after treatment of its surface. However, polyethylene has no polar groups in its polymer chains, resulting in poor compatibility with other materials and also limiting its applications. Recently, the synthesis of new polyolefins with specified functionality or high performance has attracted much attention.

Marks [1] adopted CGCTiMe\(_2\)/Ph\(_3\)C+B(C\(_6\)F\(_5\))\(_4\)\(^-\) to catalyze the copolymerization of ethylene and alkenylsilane and obtained functional polyolefin. Sun [2] obtained copolymers of ethylene and 11 acid...
vinyl ester via imino-indolate half-titanocene chlorides after activation by MAO. The comonomer incorporation ratio was 1.02 mol % and the catalytic activity was $3.5 \times 10^3$ gP/(molTi-h). These investigations showed that metalloocene catalysts can catalyze the copolymerization of ethylene and polar monomers. However, the heteroatom from the polar comonomer tends to form a complex with the center metal, resulting in the deactivation of the transition metal catalyst and a decrease in the catalytic activity for polymerization. Non-metallocene catalysts have “tolerance” to heteroatoms, so the synthesis of non-metallocene catalysts has been given much attention. Hu [3] obtained a high molecular weight of functionalized polyolefins containing high contents of polar groups through performing copolymerizations of ethylene and polar comonomers via a bis(phenoxyketimine) group IV early transition metal complexes. Marks [4] investigated whether the bimetallic FF$_2$–Ni$_2$ catalysts can enhance polar comonomer enchainment selectivity in copolymerization of ethylene and polar-functionalized norbornenes, methacrylate, or methyl methacrylate, etc. The results showed that the comonomer incorporation ratio was more than 10%; however, the catalytic activity was as low as $10^3$ gPE (molNi)$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ and the number-averaged molecular weight of the obtained polymers was only in the thousands. Copolymerization of ethylene with methyl acrylate and other polar monomers was catalyzed by a new palladium complex bearing phosphate phosphonic amide ligands [5]. Guan [6] and Ye [7] synthesized copolymers of ethylene and acrylic monomers with comonomer incorporations of 20 and 3.6 mol %, respectively. Li [8] performed the copolymerization of ethylene and methyl methacrylate with neutral nickel(II) complexes, producing high molecular weight functionalized polyethylene with up to 16.7 mol % of methacrylate units built into the moderately branched polyethylene backbone. Pugh [9] adopted [P, O] palladium complexes to catalyze the copolymerization of ethylene and methyl acrylate with comonomer incorporation of 10 mol %. Nozaki [10,11] prepared copolymers of ethylene and acrylonitrile, allyl halides, allylalcohol, allylamines, allyl acetate, etc. using [P-SO$_3$] palladium complexes. Jordan [12] studied the copolymerization of ethylene and vinyl fluoride by [P, O]PdMe(py)catalysts. Copolymerizations of ethylene with N-vinyl-2-pyrrolidinone and N-isopropylacrylamide were performed by [P, O] palladium complexes [13]. In our group’s previous work, copolymers of ethylene and $\alpha$-olefins or polar monomer were prepared via non-metallocene catalysts with [N, N, O] [14], [N, N, N] [15], and [N, N, O, O] [16,17] ligands. In these investigations, the comonomers used were vinyl organics; biomass based monomers were not involved.

Merrifield set up a method to produce protein by solid synthesis. He fixed amino acids on insoluble resins and then condensed amino acids to the resins in order. Insoluble resin carriers are mainly polystyrene-divinyl benzene crosslinked resin, polyacrylamide, poly-ethylene glycol resin, and their derivatives. However, amino acids cannot be attached on a carrier without introducing enough reactive groups on the carrier. Synthesis of polymers with pendant bioactive molecules without a carrier had attracted much attention in previous years [18]. So far, metathesis (ROMP and ADMET) [19–22] and atom transfer radical (ATRP) [23] polymerizations, for instance, are extensively used to produce biofunctionalized macromolecules. A unique control of molecular weight, polydispersity, and stereoregularity is offered, however, by metal-catalyzed insertion polymerization. Rieger [24] performed coordination polymerization of carbon monoxide and $\alpha$-olefins substituted with protected tyrosine or with dipeptide sequences such as tyrosine-glycine, tyrosine-alanine, and tyrosinevaline by palladium. Recently, Jewett and colleagues reported a simple temperature-responsive protein–polymer bioconjugate [25]. They use damber suppression to site-specifically incorporate the noncanonicalazide-functional amino acid $p$-azidophenylalanine($p$AzF) into sfGFP at different positions by “click” chemistry.

Herein, we hope to synthesize polymers with pendant bioactive molecules through coordination polymerization. A series of non-metallocene catalysts were synthesized and discussed in terms of their catalytic behavior for the copolymerization of ethylene and $N$-acetyl-O-(ω-alkenyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester in detail in our previous study [26,27]. Titanium complex exhibited higher catalytic activity for the copolymerization of ethylene and $N$-acetyl-O-(ω-alkenyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester. In this study, we synthesized polyolefins containing some amino acid groups via catalyzing
the copolymerization of ethylene and N-acetyl-O-(ω-alkenyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl esters by titanium complex/MAO catalyst system.

2. Experimental

2.1. General Remarks

Aniline (99.8%), N-acetyl-L-tyrosine ethyl ester (99%), 4-bromo-1-butene (97%), 6-bromo-1-hexene (97%), 8-bromo-1-octene (97%), 10-bromo-1-decene (97%), 2,4,6-trimethylaniline (99%), dichlorodimethylsilane (99.8%), 2,4,6-trifluoroaniline (99%), chlorodiisopropylphosphine (99%), methylmagnesium chloride (CH₂MgCl) with 22 wt % in THF, and MAO with 10 wt % in toluene were purchased from J&K (Beijing, China). Acetone and diethyl ether were dried by activated Davison 5 Å molecular sieves before use. Toluene and n-hexane were purified by refluxing over sodium benzenophenone for 48 h before use.

2.2. Characterization

1H (13C) NMR spectra of the ligands and the complexes were recorded on a Varian INOVA 600 MHz spectrometer in deuterated chloroform (CDCl₃) or deuterated dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) solution at 25 °C and tetramethylsilane (TMS) was used as reference. FT-IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet 5DXC FT-IR spectrophotograph. The spectra were obtained at 4 cm⁻¹ resolution, and average data were obtained from at least 32 scans in the standard wavenumber range from 500 to 4000 cm⁻¹. (Nicolet, Madison, WI, America). Mass spectra were recorded by Esquire-LC mass spectroscopy (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA, America). Mass spectra were recorded by Esquire-LC mass spectroscopy (Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany), acetone as solvent. Elemental analysis was performed on a PerkinElmer 2400 microanalyzer (Toolso, Taunton, MA, USA), using the combustion method with quantitative oxygen and a thermal conductivity detector. 1H (13C) NMR spectra of copolymers were performed on a Varian Unity 400 MHz spectrometer (Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany) at 125 °C, with ortho-dichlorobenzene (d₄) as the solvent and tetramethylsilane as reference. The molecular weight (Mn, Mw) and molecular weight distribution (MWD) were measured with a PL-GPC 200 instrument (Varian, Palo Alto, CA, USA), using standard polyethylene (PE) as reference and 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene as solvent at 150 °C. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) thermograms were recorded with a PA5000-DSC instrument (Perkin-Elmer, Munich, Germany) at a rate of 10 °C·min⁻¹ and determined in the second scan.

2.3. Synthesis of N-Acetyl-O-(ω-Alkenyl)-L-Tyrosine Ethyl Ester

N-acetyl-O-(ω-alkenyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester was synthesized according to the literature [24]. A white solid powder (N-acetyl-O-(but-3-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester) was obtained with a yield of 38.2%. 1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.00 (d, 2H, benzene), δ 6.82 (d, 2H, benzene), δ 5.88 (m, 1H, CH=CH₂), δ 5.82 (d, 1H, NH–CH), δ 5.15–5.25 (m, 2H, –CH₂–CH=CH₂), δ 4.80 (d, 1H, CH–NH), δ 4.25 (m, 2H, CH₂–CH₃), δ 4.00 (s, 2H, CH₂–O), δ 3.06 (t, 2H, CH₂–CH₂), δ 2.51 (m, 2H, CH₂–CH₂), δ 1.99 (s, 3H, CH₃–C=O), δ 1.25 (t, 3H, CH₃–CH₂); FT-IR (cm⁻¹, KBr): 3323 (N–H), 1730 (C=O), 1570 (N=O), 1550 (N–H), 1256 (C–N). Anal. Calc. (%) for C₇H₆NO₄ (305.4 g/mol): C, 69.97; H, 9.05; N, 3.71; found: C, 70.01; H, 9.04; N, 3.68. ESI-MS m/z calculated for [M+H]+. C₁₇H₂₃NO₄: 306.40 found 306.46.

N-acetyl-O-(hex-5-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester was obtained with a yield of 45.0%. 1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.98 (d, 2H, benzene), δ 6.81 (d, 2H, benzene), δ 5.91 (m, 1H, CH=CH₂), δ 5.82 (d, 1H, NH–CH), δ 4.96–5.00 (m, 2H, –CH₂–CH=CH₂), δ 4.82 (d, 1H, CH–NH), δ 4.18 (m, 2H, CH₂–CH₂), δ 3.93 (s, 2H, CH₂–O), δ 3.06 (t, 2H, CH₂–CH₂), δ 2.13 (m, 2H, CH₂–CH₂), δ 1.99 (s, 3H, CH₃–C), δ 1.79 (m, 2H, CH₂–CH₂), δ 1.56 (m, 2H, CH₂–CH₂), δ 1.26 (t, 3H, CH₃–CH₂); FT-IR (cm⁻¹, KBr): 3365 (N–H), 1722 (C=O), 1513 (N–H), 1268 (C–N). Anal. Calc. (%) for C₁₉H₂₇NO₄ (333.20 g/mol): C, 68.47; H, 7.51; N, 4.20; found: C, 68.48; H, 7.59; N, 4.25. ESI-MS m/z calculated for [M+H]+. C₁₉H₂₇NO₄: 334.20 found 334.31.

N-acetyl-O-(oct-7-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester was obtained with a yield of 52.9%. 1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.99 (d, 2H, benzene), δ 6.82 (d, 2H, benzene), δ 5.91 (m, 1H, CH=CH₂), δ 5.82 (d, 1H, NH–CH), δ 4.96–5.00 (m, 2H, –CH₂–CH=CH₂), δ 4.82 (d, 1H, CH–NH), δ 4.18 (m, 2H, CH₂–CH₂), δ 3.93 (s, 2H, CH₂–O), δ 3.06 (t, 2H, CH₂–CH₂), δ 2.13 (m, 2H, CH₂–CH₂), δ 1.99 (s, 3H, CH₃–C), δ 1.79 (m, 2H, CH₂–CH₂), δ 1.56 (m, 2H, CH₂–CH₂), δ 1.26 (t, 3H, CH₃–CH₂); FT-IR (cm⁻¹, KBr): 3365 (N–H), 1722 (C=O), 1513 (N–H), 1268 (C–N). Anal. Calc. (%) for C₂₁H₃₁NO₄ (361.30 g/mol): C, 68.47; H, 7.51; N, 4.20; found: C, 68.48; H, 7.59; N, 4.25. ESI-MS m/z calculated for [M+H]+. C₂₁H₃₁NO₄: 362.20 found 362.31.
After 15 min for preactivation, performed using a titanium complex/MAO catalyst system (Scheme 1). Prior to use, the polymerization carried out for 10 minutes. The reaction was terminated with 10 wt % HCl in alcohol. The obtained product was filtered, washed with distilled water, and then dried at 80 °C in vacuo to constant weight. The obtained product was found 390.36.

N-acetyl-O-(dec-9-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester was obtained with a yield of 45.2%. 1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3): δ 6.99 (d, 2H, benzene), δ 6.82 (d, 2H, benzene), δ 5.89 (m, 1H, CH=CH2), δ 5.81 (d, 1H, NH–CH), δ 4.94–4.99 (m, 2H, –CH2–CH=CH2), δ 4.82 (d, 1H, CH–NH), δ 4.19 (m, 2H, CH2=C), δ 3.94 (s, 3H, CH2=O), δ 3.07 (t, 2H, CH2=CH), δ 2.05 (m, 2H, CH2–CH2), δ 2.00 (s, 3H, CH3–C), δ 1.42–1.34 (m, 10H, O–C–H), δ 1.26 (t, 3H, CH3–CH2); FT-IR (cm−1, KBr): 3311 (N–H), 1751 (C=O), 1545 (N–H), 1266 (C–N). Anal. Calc. (%) for C21H31NO4 (361.32 g/mol): C, 69.77; H, 8.60; N, 3.88; found: C, 69.78; H, 8.57; N, 3.85. ESI-MS m/z calculated for [M+H]+. C21H32NO4: 362.32 found 362.41.

2.4. Synthesis of Catalyst Precursors

Catalyst precursors (Figure 1) were prepared according to the literature [24].

![Figure 1: Structure of titanium complex.](image)

2.5. Polymerization Procedure

Polymerization were carried out in a 300 mL Schlenk-type glassware with a magnetic stirrer. Freshly distilled toluene (80 mL), desired amount of catalyst and MAO were added into the reactor. After 15 min for preactivation, N-acetyl-O-(ω-alkenyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl esters was injected into the polymerization system. The comonomers were treated by an equivalent of AlEt3 as a protect reagent prior to use. The polymerization carried out for 10 minutes. The reaction was terminated with 10 wt % HCl in alcohol. The obtained product was filtered, washed with distilled water, and then dried at 80 °C in vacuo to constant weight.

3. Results and Discussion

Copolymerizations of ethylene and different N-acetyl-O-(ω-alkenyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl esters were performed using a titanium complex/MAO catalyst system (Scheme 1). As can be seen in Figure 2, copolymerization of ethylene and four kinds of N-acetyl-O-(ω-alkenyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl esters with different carbon chain lengths were performed with a titanium complex/MAO catalyst system. The catalytic activity was similar for the copolymerization of ethylene and different comonomer, and the comonomer incorporation ratio was similar, too. With an increase in the comonomer concentration, the catalytic activity decreased. It is possible that an excess of comonomer deactivated the active center. It is not obvious, but the catalytic activity for copolymerization of ethylene and N-acetyl-O-(ω-alkenyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester was the highest among
those copolymerizations of ethylene and different N-acetyl-O-(ω-alkenyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl esters catalyzed by the titanium complex/MAO catalyst system (Run 2, 3, 4 in Table 1). It is possible that the “poison” of amino acid ester to the active centers is hindered because of an aromatic ring preventing the polar group from approaching the active centers.

Scheme 1. Copolymerization of ethylene and N-acetyl-O-(ω-alkenyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl esters. \( n = 1, 3, 5, 7 \).

Figure 2. Activity diagrams for the copolymerization of ethylene and different comonomers with titanium complex.
Table 1. Copolymerization of ethylene and N-acetyl-O-(ω-alkenyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl esters with titanium complex.

| Run | Comonomer                                    | Comon a (g/L) | A b (×10^4) | T m c (°C) | N-Cont d (mol%) | M_w e (×10^5) | M_w/M_n e |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|----------------|---------------|-----------|
| 1   | None                                          | 0             | 170         | 134.3     | 0              | 8.27         | 1.77      |
| 2   | N-acetyl-O-(but-3-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester | 5             | 6.86        | 137.9     | 1.16           | 2.75         | 2.88      |
| 3   | N-acetyl-O-(but-3-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester | 10            | 6.57        | 138.4     | 2.24           | 2.76         | 2.87      |
| 4   | N-acetyl-O-(but-3-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester | 15            | 5.73        | 139.0     | 2.65           | 2.85         | 2.91      |
| 5   | N-acetyl-O-(hex-5-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester | 5             | 6.71        | 138.0     | 1.13           | 2.72         | 2.85      |
| 6   | N-acetyl-O-(hex-5-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester | 10            | 6.49        | 138.6     | 2.19           | 2.74         | 2.86      |
| 7   | N-acetyl-O-(hex-5-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester | 15            | 5.61        | 139.3     | 2.60           | 2.87         | 2.89      |
| 8   | N-acetyl-O-(oct-7-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester | 5             | 6.67        | 137.8     | 1.12           | 2.76         | 2.87      |
| 9   | N-acetyl-O-(oct-7-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester | 10            | 6.43        | 138.5     | 2.21           | 2.79         | 2.85      |
| 10  | N-acetyl-O-(oct-7-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester | 15            | 5.53        | 139.2     | 2.59           | 2.89         | 2.90      |
| 11  | N-acetyl-O-(dec-9-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester | 5             | 6.63        | 137.7     | 1.11           | 2.73         | 2.86      |
| 12  | N-acetyl-O-(dec-9-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester | 10            | 6.34        | 138.4     | 2.28           | 2.70         | 2.79      |
| 13  | N-acetyl-O-(dec-9-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester | 15            | 5.50        | 139.1     | 2.57           | 2.82         | 2.88      |

a comonomer; b activity of catalysts, g PE(molTi)^-1 h^-1; c results of DSC; d incorporation content of comonomer, results of 1H NMR; e results of GPC. Reaction conditions: pressure of ethylene, 0.2 × 10^5 Pa; catalyst concentration, 2.0 × 10^-4 mol L^-1; Al/M in mol, 600; reaction temperature, 60 °C; dissolvent, toluene, 100 mL; polymerization time, 10 min.

The FT-IR spectra of the obtained polymers showed a band at 1739 cm^-1 (Figure 3B), which is attributed to C=O vibration absorption of the saturated ester from the branched group. Bands at 2918, 2847, 1470, and 719 cm^-1 were characters of linear polyethylene (Figure 3). Fan reported that C=O vibration absorption of N-acetyl-O-(ω-alkenyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester was at 1760 cm^-1 [28]; however, herein, the bands of C=O of the copolymer B moved toward the red area and became 1739 cm^-1 [29]. In addition, bands at 3390 and 3112 cm^-1 were attributed to N–H vibration absorption, indicating that molecular association took place. Bands at 1655, 1544, 1512, and 1298 cm^-1 were attributed to stretching vibration absorption and bending vibration absorption of C=O, N–H, and C–N. Bending vibration absorptions of C–O on the ester group were shown at 1245 cm^-1. The results indicated that the comonomer N-acetyl-O-(but-3-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester had been incorporated into the copolymer chains.

![Figure 3](image_url)  
Figure 3. FT-IR spectra of ethylene homopolymer (A, run 1 in Table 1) and ethylene/N-acetyl-O-(but-3-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester copolymer (B, run 3 in Table 1).
As shown in Figure 4, the melting point of polyethylene (Figure 4A) and the copolymer (Figure 4B) were 134.3 and 138.6 °C, respectively. The molecular weight of copolymer was lower than that of polyethylene, but the melting point of the copolymer was higher than that of polyethylene, which can be attributed to the hydrogen bonding interaction between the side groups confirmed by the FT-IR spectrum (Figure 3). The melting enthalpy and crystallinity of polyethylene were 197.7 J/g and 68.4%, respectively. The melting enthalpy of the copolymer was 147.5 J/g; its crystallinity was 51.2%, obviously lower than that of polyethylene. These results implied that the insertion of \( \text{N-acetyl-O-(but-3-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester} \) destroyed the regularity of the polymer chain, resulting in the reduction of crystallinity.

![DSC spectra (the second heating)](image)

**Figure 4.** DSC spectra (the second heating) of ethylene homopolymer (A, run 1 in Table 1) and ethylene/N-acetyl-O-(but-3-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester copolymers (B, run 3 in Table 1).

A high temperature solution \( ^{13} \text{C} \) NMR spectrum of the copolymer of ethylene and \( \text{N-acetyl-O-(but-3-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester} \) (run 3 in Table 1) is shown in Figure 5A. Signals at \( \delta = 153.67, 132.26, 127.39, \) and 126.13 ppm represent the carbon atoms on the benzene ring in tyrosine ethyl ester. The signal at \( \delta = 174.07 \) ppm is attributed to the carbon atom from the amido group and the signal at \( \delta = 168.62 \) ppm is attributed to the carbon from the ester group. Methylene carbon attached to the phenoxy group showed at \( \delta = 73.03 \) ppm, methylene carbon of ethyl ester is observed at \( \delta = 63.07 \) ppm, and the \( \alpha \)-carbon of tyrosine ethyl ester is observed at \( \delta = 62.98 \) ppm. Carbons from benzyl methylene showed at \( \delta = 37.20 \) ppm, carbons from methine on the main chain showed at \( \delta = 33.01 \) ppm, and methylene groups that connect the main chain and Tyr showed at \( \delta = 30.30 \) ppm. Carbons from acetyl methyl and ester methyl showed at \( \delta = 13.85 \) or 12.63 ppm, respectively. These results indicated that the comonomer was inserted into the polyethylene chain.

An expanded view \( (\delta = 10-40 \text{ ppm}) \) of \( ^{13} \text{C} \) NMR spectrum is shown in Figure 5B. Carbon atoms between 10 and 40 ppm were assigned in Table 2. The Randall method \([30]\) was used to calculate the content of different sequence structures, and then the comonomer insertion ratio as well as the reactivity ratio and the average sequence length of the two monomers was calculated. The calculation results showed that the reactivity ratios of ethylene and \( \text{N-acetyl-O-(but-3-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester} \) were 149.31 and 0.083, respectively. Moreover, no continuous segment of \( \text{N-acetyl-O-(but-3-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester} \) was found within the copolymer chain \((\text{BBB} = 0)\) (Table 3). So we can infer that the units of comonomer were isolated within the copolymer chains, which can give the copolymers good potential applications, such as drug vector, support for synthetic protein, and so on.
Figure 5. High-temperature solution $^{13}$C NMR spectra (A); and expanded view ($\delta = 10$–$40$ ppm) (B) of the copolymer of ethylene/$N$-acetyl-O-(but-3-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester with comonomer insertion ratio 2.24 mol % from run 3 in Table 1.

Table 2. $^{13}$C NMR data of carbon atoms on the copolymer of ethylene and $N$-acetyl-O-(but-3-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester.

| Peak NO. | Carbon Assignment | Sequence Assignment | Chemical Shift |
|----------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------|
|          |                   |                     | Calculated     |
|          |                   |                     | Found          |
| 1        | $\alpha\alpha$    | EBBE                | 38.03          |
| 2        | Methine           | EBB                 | 37.69          |
| 3        | Methine           | EBB+EBB             | 37.01          |
| 4        | $\alpha\delta^+$  | BBEE+EEBB           | 34.01          |
| 5        | $\alpha\delta^+$  | EBEE+EEBE           | 32.91          |
| 6        | $\gamma\gamma$   | BBEB                | 30.92          |
| 7        | $\gamma\delta^+$ | BEEE+EEEB           | 30.47          |
| 8        | $\delta^+\delta^+$| (EEE)$_n$          | 29.98          |
| 9        | $\beta\delta^+$  | ETEE+EETE           | 27.27          |
| 10       | $2\beta_2^+$      | EBB+EBB             | 26.80          |
| 11       | $\beta\beta$      | EBEBE               | 24.54          |
| 12       | $\beta\beta$      | BBEBE+BEBEB         | 24.39          |
| 13       | Methyl            | EBE                 | 13.80          |
| 14       | Methyl            | BBE+EBB             | 12.41          |

$E$: unit of ethylene; $B$: unit of $N$-acetyl-O-(but-3-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester.
With the comonomer insertion ratio increasing, the water contact angle on the sample surface was 85.9°, as shown in Figure 7A; the water contact angle of the films of the copolymers of ethylene/\(\text{N-acetyl-O-(but-3-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester}\) was 70.4°, 59.2°, and 48.5° when the comonomer insertion ratio were 1.16, 2.24 and 2.65 mol %, respectively (Figure 7B–D). Generally speaking, the water contact angle will be smaller when the polarity of the sample surface becomes stronger. The water contact angle of the polyethylene film was 85.9°, as shown in Figure 7A; the water contact angle of the films of the copolymers of ethylene/\(\text{N-acetyl-O-(but-3-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester}\) were 70.4°, 59.2°, and 48.5° when the comonomer insertion ratio were 1.16, 2.24 and 2.65 mol %, respectively (Figure 7B–D). With the comonomer insertion ratio increasing, the water contact angle on the sample surface became smaller—that is to say, the hydrophilicity of the obtained copolymers became stronger as the comonomer insertion ratio increased. From the above results, we can infer that insertion of the polar comonomer into a copolymer chain can effectively improve the hydrophilicity of the copolymer.

Table 3. The content of different sequences for the copolymer and reactivity ratios for the monomers.

| Content of Sequences | Mole Fractions | Reactivity Ratio |
|----------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| [EBE]                | 2.163          | 0.008           |
| [EBB]                | 0.072          | 93.431          |
| [BEB]                | 0.9778         | 0.0222          |
| [EEB]                | 1.4931         | 0.083           |
| [EEE]                | 0.9778         | 0.0222          |
| [E]                  | 1.4931         | 0.083           |
| [B]                  | 0.9778         | 0.0222          |

E: unit of ethylene; B: unit of N-acetyl-O-(but-3-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester.

The chain transfer in the copolymerization process catalyzed by the titanium complex has two main patterns: \(\beta\)-H transfers to active center and monomer, and growing chain transfer to MAO. A polymer obtained from the former has an unsaturated end group, while a polymer obtained from the latter has a saturated end group. Chain termination reaction of olefin polymerization catalyzed by the titanium complex can also obtain a polymer with a saturated end group. From Figure 5B, there is no signal of the unsaturated carbon–carbon double bond, but a signal at \(\delta = 13–14\) ppm assigned to methyl was observed, implying that the obtained copolymer of ethylene and \(\text{N-acetyl-O-(but-3-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester}\) was featured with methyl at the chain end, which confirmed that the chain transfer to MAO was the dominant fashion.

The incorporations of \(\text{N-acetyl-O-(but-3-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester}\) into the copolymers have been characterized by \(^1\text{H NMR}\) (Figure 6). The existence of a comonomer can be determined by the signal of methine protons of CH–N at 4.8 ppm. The incorporation ratio of \(\text{N-acetyl-O-(but-3-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester}\) into the copolymer chains determined by the integrated intensity ratio of signal at 4.8 ppm to the signals at 1.2–1.4 ppm.

Figure 6. \(^1\text{H NMR spectrum of the copolymer of ethylene/\text{N-acetyl-O-(but-3-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester}\) from run 3 in Table 2.\)

The water contact angle of polyethylene and ethylene/\(\text{N-acetyl-O-(but-3-enyl)-L-tyrosine ethyl ester}\) copolymers was measured (Figure 7). Generally speaking, the water contact angle will be smaller when the polarity of the sample surface becomes stronger. With the comonomer insertion ratio increasing, the water contact angle on the sample surface became smaller—that is to say, the hydrophilicity of the obtained copolymers became stronger as the comonomer insertion ratio increased. From the above results, we can infer that insertion of the polar comonomer into a copolymer chain can effectively improve the hydrophilicity of the copolymer.
Author Contributions: The experimental work was conceived and designed by Qigu Huang, Jing Wang, and Hongming Li; analyzed the data: Jianjun Yi, Jian Wang, and Hongming Li; contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: Jing Wang and Qigu Huang; drafted the paper: Qigu Huang, and Wantai Yang; Jing Wang, Xianghui Shi, and Runcong Zhang performed the experiments; Qigu Huang, Jing Wang, and Qi Gu Huang drafted the paper. The manuscript was amended when the comonomer insertion ratio increased. From the above results, we can infer that insertion of the polar comonomer into the copolymer chains can effectively improve the hydrophilicity of the copolymer.

Acknowledgments: We sincerely thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21174011 and U1462102).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Amin, S.B.; Marks, T.J. Alkenylsilane Effects on organotitania-m catalyzed ethylene polymerization. toward simultaneous polyolefin branch and functional group introduction. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 4506–4507. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. Zuo, W.W.; Zhang, M.; Sun, W.H. Imino-indolate half-titanocene chlorides: Synthesis and their ethylene (co-)polymerization. J. Polym. Sci. Part A 2009, 47, 357–372.
3. Zhang, X.F.; Chen, S.T.; Li, H.Y.; Zhang, Z.C.; Lu, Y.Y.; Wu, C.H.; Hu, Y.L. Copolymerizations of ethylene and polar comonomers with bis(phenoxketimine) group IV complexes: Effects of the central metal properties. J. Polym. Sci. Part A 2007, 45, 59–68.
4. Rodriguez, B.A.; Delferro, M.; Marks, T.J. Bimetallic effects for enhanced polar comonomer enchainment selectivity in catalytic ethylene polymerization. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 5902–5919. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
5. Sui, X.L.; Dai, S.Y.; Chen, C.L. Ethylene polymerization and copolymerization with polar monomers by cationic phosphine phosphonic amide palladium complexes. ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 5932–5937. [CrossRef]
6. Popeney, C.S.; Camacho, D.H.; Guan, Z.B. Efficient incorporation of polar comonomers in copolymerizations with ethylene using a cyclophane-based Pd(II) α-diimine catalyst. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 10062–10063. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
7. Wang, J.L.; Zhang, K.J.; Ye, Z.B. One-pot synthesis of hyperbranched polyethylenes tethered with polymerizable methacryloyl groups via selective ethylene copolymerization with heterofunctional comonomers by chain walking Pd–diimine catalysis. *Macromolecules* 2008, 41, 2290–2293. [CrossRef]
8. Li, X.F.; Li, Y.G.; Li, Y.S. Copolymerization of ethylene with methyl methacrylate with neutral nickel(II) complexes bearing β-ketoiminato chelate ligands. *Organometallics* 2005, 24, 2502–2510. [CrossRef]
9. Drent, E.; Dijk, R.V.; Ginkel, R.V.; Pugh, R.I. Palladium-catalyzed copolymerization of ethene with α,ω-dihaloalkanes: Polar comonomer built into the linear polymer chain. *Chem. Commun.* 2002, 7, 744–745.
10. Koichi, T.; Node, S.; Nozaki, K. Formation of linear copolymers of ethylene and acrylonitrile catalyzed by phosphine sulfonate palladium complexes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, 129, 8948–8949. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
11. Ito, S.; Kanazawa, M.; Munakata, K.; Kuroda, J.; Okumura, Y.; Nozaki, K. Coordination—insertion copolymerization of allyl monomers with ethylene. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, 133, 1232–1235. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

12. Weng, W.; Shen, Z.L.; Jordan, R.F. Copolymerization of ethylene and vinyl fluoride by (phosphine-sulfonate)Pd(Me)(py) catalysts. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, 129, 15450–15451. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
13. Skupov, K.M.; Piche, L.; Claverie, J.P. Linear polyethylene with tunable surface properties by catalytic copolymerization of ethylene with N-vinyl-2-pyrrolidinone and N-isopropylacrylamide. *Macromolecules* 2008, 41, 2309–2310. [CrossRef]
14. Ma, L.F.; Sheng, Y.P.; Huang, Q.G.; Zhao, Y.F.; Deng, K.X.; Li, J.L.; Yang, W.T. A kind of novel nonmetallocene catalysts for ethylene polymerization. *J. Polym. Sci. Part A* 2008, 46, 33–37.
15. Ma, L.F.; Wang, H.L.; Yi, J.J.; Huang, Q.G.; Gao, K.J.; Yang, W.T. Copolymerization of ethylene with 1-hexene promoted by novel multi-chelated non-metallocene complexes with imine bridged imidazole ligand. *J. Polym. Sci. Part A* 2010, 48, 417–424.
16. Zhang, X.L.; Liu, Z.; Yi, J.J.; Huang, H.B.; Dou, X.L.; Zhen, H.P.; Huang, Q.G.; Gao, K.J.; Zhang, M.G.; Yang, W.T. The investigation of novel non-metallocene catalysts with phenoxy-imine ligands for ethylene (co)polymerization. *Polym. Int.* 2013, 62, 419–426. [CrossRef]
17. Zhang, X.L.; Liu, Z.; Yi, J.J.; Li, F.J.; Huang, H.B.; Liu, W.; Zhen, H.H.; Huang, Q.G.; Gao, K.J.; Yang, W.T. Copolymerization of ethylene with acrylonitrile promoted by novel nonmetallocene catalysts with phenoxy-imine ligands. *J. Polym. Sci. Part A* 2012, 50, 2068–2074. [CrossRef]

18. Grodzinski, J.J. Biomedical application of functional polymers. *React. Funct. Polym.* 1999, 39, 99–138. [CrossRef]
19. Maynard, H.D.; Okada, S.Y.; Grubbs, R.H. Synthesis of norbornenyl polymers with bioactive oligopeptides by ring-opening metathesis polymerization. *Macromolecules* 2000, 33, 6239–6248. [CrossRef]
20. Maynard, H.D.; Okada, S.Y.; Grubbs, R.H. Inhibition of cell adhesion to fibronectin by oligopeptide-substituted polynorbornenes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2001, 123, 1275–1279. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
21. Gestwicki, J.E.; Cairo, C.W.; Strong, L.E.; Oetjen, K.A.; Kiessling, L.L. Influencing receptor-ligand binding mechanisms with multivalent ligand architecture. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002, 124, 14922–14933. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
22. Hopkins, T.E.; Wagener, K.B. ADMET synthesis of polyolefins targeted for biological applications. *Macromolecules* 2004, 37, 1180–1189. [CrossRef]
23. Ayres, L.; Vos, M.R.J.; Adams, P.J.H.; Shklyarevskiy, O.I.; van Hest, J.C.M. Elastin-based side-chain polymers synthesized by ATRP. *Macromolecules* 2003, 36, 5967–5973. [CrossRef]
24. Malinova, V.; Rieger, B. Synthesis of functional poly(1,4-ketone)s bearing bioactive moieties by Pd-catalyzed insertion polymerization. *Macromolecules* 2006, 7, 2931–2936. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
25. Moatsou, D.; Li, J.; Ranji, A.; Pittor-Barry, A.; Naii, I.; Jewett, M.C.; O’Reilly, R.K. Self-assembly of temperature-responsive protein–polymer bioconjugates. *Bioconjug. Chem.* 2015, 26, 1890–1899. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
26. Wang, J.; Shi, X.H.; Chen, Y.; Li, H.M.; Zhang, R.C.; Yi, J.J.; Wang, J.; Huang, Q.G.; Yang, W.T. Copolymerization of ethylene and vinyl amino acidic ester catalyzed by early transition metal complexes. *Catalysts* 2015, 5, 1831–1845. [CrossRef]
27. Wang, J.; Nan, F.; Guo, J.P.; Wang, J.; Shi, X.H.; Huang, H.B.; Huang, Q.G.; Yang, W.T. Copolymers of ethylene and vinyl amino acidic ester with high molecular weight prepared by non-metallocene catalysts. *Catal. Lett.* 2016. [CrossRef]
28. Fan, X.Y. Application of fourier transform infrared spectroscopy in life science. *Life Sci. Res.* 2003, 7, 83–87.
29. Senak, L.; Ju, Z.M.; NOY, N.; Callender, R.; Manor, D. The Interactions between cellular retinol-binding protein (CRBP-I) and retinal: A vibrational spectroscopic study. *Biospectroscopy* 1997, 3, 131–142. [CrossRef]

30. Randall, J.C. A review of high resolution liquid $^{13}$C nuclear magnetic resonance characterizations of ethylen-based polymers. *J. Macromol. Sci. Part C* 1989, 29, 201–317. [CrossRef]