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ARTICLE DETAILS

ABSTRACT
Transformational leadership is credited to inspire, motivate and support their employees in order to achieve organizational objectives. These leaders maintain the quality of workforce by inculcating visionary skills and abilities in their subordinates. This paper aims to examine the nexus of transformational leadership with employees’ job performance in telecom sector of Pakistan. The data is collected from the employees of PTCL (Pakistan Telecommunications Company Limited) head quarter, Islamabad through questionnaire. Sampling was made using purposive sampling technique. 150 questionnaires were distributed among the employees, out of which 120 were received back recording a response rate of 80 percent. It was hypothesized that transformational leadership is positively associated with employees’ job performance. Data is analyzed using correlation and regression analysis through SPSS. Results of the study indicate that majority of the PTCL employees agree that transformational leadership style has significant positive impact on employees job performance. In the light of the findings of this research it is recommended for PTCL management to adopt transformational leadership style to tackle today’s dynamic environment.
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1. Introduction
Sustainable competitive advantage is the need of globalization (Majeed, 2011) which requires both product and system management to be innovative. Various scholars have documented human resource’s importance for an organization. They further declared it as a precious asset due to its inimitability and
creation of sustainable competitive advantage for organization. Sustainable competitive advantage is created as a result of innovative ideas of human resources (Ward, Grudnoff, Brooker, & Simpson, 2013). New innovations become possible when employees get motivated. The credit of motivation of employees goes to leaders who shoulder the responsibility of leading and management of human resource with efficiency and effectiveness (Liaw, Chi, & Chuang, 2010; Shanker, 2012). The role of leaders is important in such scenario (Chou, Lin, Chang, & Chuang, 2013). Leaders help maintain and retain quality workforce using their skills and abilities engrained in them (Kwan, 2009). Organizations practice various leadership styles but mostly widely used one in organizations is transformational leadership style adopted for management of change (Kejriwal & Krishnan, 2004; Sarros & Santora, 2001). Such leadership style is credited and characterized as a role model as it brings positive and precious changes among its followers by motivating and showing grave concern for each follower (Shibru & Darshan, 2011; Stewart, 2006). Less authority is exercised by the leader in this style and leader act as a mentor, guide, coach, and stimulator in order to enhance the vision of the followers (Jyoti & Bhau, 2015). Therefore, Social exchange theory is the premise to establish relationship between the leader and the follower (Carter, Jones-farmer, Armenakis, field, & Svyantek, 2009). According to the notion of social exchange theory, when one individual gets something valuable from another individual, it becomes obligation for the receiver to respond in the same manner (Blau, 1964) and such reciprocity results in beneficial consequences for both the leader and organizations. It is more likely that followers exchange such benefits with the leaders and their organizations by performing efficiently and effectively for the organizations (Liden, Sparrowe, & Wayne, 1997).

Numbers of studies have attempted to determine relationship between leadership and employees’ job performance but mostly such studies have been conducted in western settings (Anwar & Ahmad, 2012; El-Zayaty, 2016; Miao, Newman, & Lamb, 2012). The fact that transformational leadership is successful globally has to explore yet (El-Zayaty, 2016). Hence, there was felt a need to examine relationship between these two variables in developing countries specially Pakistan where studies are scant on such relationship (Anwar & Ahmad, 2012). Fewer studies have been witnessed on the relationship of these two variables in telecom sector observed as emerging sector of Pakistan in the last decade (Anwar & Ahmad, 2012). Hence, this study is purposed to find out nexus of transformational leadership with employees’ job performance of telecom sector.

2. Literature Review
2.1 Transformational Leadership
The management literature has acknowledged leadership as a popular notion due to its vital role in the smooth running and success of an organization (El-Zayaty, 2016; Kamisan & King, 2013). Research scholars view leadership as the extent to which a leader triggers off, inspires and motivates the subordinate under his or her control towards the attainment of some common goals. The pivotal role of leaders has been acknowledged in shaping and protecting the organizational values and demonstrating these values (Dola, 2015; Kolzow, 2014).

According to Burns (1978) leader is one who is capable to infuse and inculcate the purpose to subordinates. Moreover, Burns opined that the leaders and subordinates attempt to support one another that result in rise in morality which keep them motivated. Leaders should possess the attributes of vision and innovativeness. They should believe in provision of opportunities to subordinates so that they learn how to cope with the odd situation in the organization. In addition, they should encourage the subordinates and extend maximum possible support to them so that they may own themselves as part of the organization.

Transformational leadership has been documented as a dominant and popular style of leadership and has gained the attention of the research scholar and policy makers for the last few decades (El-Zayaty, 2016; Judge, Fluegge Woolf, Hurst, & Livingston, 2006; Odumeru & Ogbonna, 2013). Burns (1978) was the first who coined the concept of transformational leadership, during his study of political leadership, in
his book on leadership (Bolden, Gosling, Marturano, & Dennison, 2003), but the term has been using widely as the popular notion of organizational psychology. Prior research has highlighted as a process that makes the individual competent, capable and vigilant to achieve more than what is expected of him or her and, is linked to values, ethics, emotions and long term goals set by an organization (Dola, 2015). Burns (1978) describes that transformational leadership reflects the relationship between the subordinates and leaders and defined it as a link that motivate subordinates to accomplish some common objectives, aims and goals. Dubrine in his book leadership (1997) contend that transformational leadership is all about the achievements of the leadership and not on the personal characteristics. Dubrine (1997) opined that the such leaders must possess some salient attribute, These include charisma, excising the inspirational leadership, intellectual stimulation and demonstration of the individuals’ concerns (Cossin & Caballero, 2013). Chemjong (2004) is of the opinion that transformational leaders always concentrate the changing patterns of the organization and make the objectives and goals of the organization clear and achievable.

2.2 Employees’ job performance

The management literature has highlighted the performance of an employee working in the organization of great concern and valuable for the smooth running and long term success of the organization. Research scholars noted that employees determine the fate of the organization, in that, they decide whether to move forward or step back (Anwar & Ahmad, 2012). In the same line, prior research demonstrated that employees performance is the foundation to organization success and long term growth (Bartlett & Ghoshal, 1995). If employees deliver well, it bring prosperity, quality and positive change in the organization that in turn leads to improved organizational performance (Anwar & Ahmad, 2012). Prior studies have researched the relationship between transformational leadership and employees job performance and found the positive link between these two constructs (Anwar & Ahmad, 2012; Cavazotte, Moreno, & Bernardo, 2013). In light of the aforementioned extant literature review, this study aims to further validate the link between the study constructs in a developing country’s context like Pakistan.

2.3 Relationship between Transformational Leadership and Employees’ Job Performance

Prior studies have highlighted certain ways for enhancement of job performance level of employees. Among them leadership style is one that if supportive and dynamic can results in improved employees job performance (Keskes, 2014). Influencing, motivating and molding the behavior of the subordinates facilitate the achievement of organizational objectives and goals (Batista-Taran, Shuck, Gutierrez, & Baralt, 2013). Review of the extant literature demonstrate the positive linkage between the transformational leadership and employees job performance (Anwar & Ahmad, 2012). The review of the prior meta analyses also support the positive link between these constructs (Judge & Piccolo, 2004; Lowe, Kroeck, & Sivasubramaniam, 1996; Patterson, Fuller, Kester, & Stringer, 1995). In the same line, a study conducted in the banking sector postulated that transformational leadership is significantly positively associated with employees’ job performance (Geyer & Steyrer, 1998). Research scholars have noted that the transformational style of leadership in an organization enhances the innovative capabilities of the employees that in turn results enhanced employees performance and improved productivity (Howell & Avolio, 1993). A study undertaken on Brazilian employees’ also reported that transformational leadership is positively linked with employees’ job performance (Cavazotte et al., 2013). On the basis of the aforementioned review of the literature, positive relationship of transformational leadership with employees’ job performance is hypothesized.
3. Materials and methods
For data collection management and lower level employees of PTCL headquarter, Islamabad were selected. Sampling was made using purposive sampling technique. 150 questionnaires were handed over to employees, out of these 120 filled questionnaires were received back recording response rate of 80 percent. Five point Likert scale was used to record responses of the respondents. SPSS-22 was used to run the regression and correlation analysis.

3.1 Transformational leadership scale
For measuring transformational leadership variable, 20 items scale was utilized taken from study of Bass and Avolio (1989). This scale had four dimensions for measurement i.e. idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation and individualized consideration. Reliability of the scale was established having Cronbach’s alpha of 0.90. For wording items five point Likert scale ranging from (1) “strongly disagree” to (5) strongly agree was employed.

3.2 Job Performance scale
For measuring Job performance variable, 5 items scale were employed taken from study of Goodman and Svyantek (1999). The scale was measured utilizing task and contextual performance. Reliability of the scale was established having Cronbach’s alpha of 0.89. for wording items of the scale five point Likert scale ranging from (1) “ strongly disagree” to (5) “ strongly agree” was employed.

4. Results and discussion
The study was aimed to investigate nexus of transformational leadership and employees’ job performance in telecom sector. Correlation and regression analysis were run to analyze data of the study.
Correlation analysis was conducted in order to operationalize the hypothesis. The correlation coefficient of 0.831 indicates strong positive relationship of transformational leadership with employees’ job performance (Refer Table 01).

The value of R² shows that the independent variable (transformational leadership) is explaining the dependent variable (job performance) up to 68%. The value of R square is (.685) which means that 68% change occur in dependent variable (job performance) due to independent variable (transformational leadership). There are also some other factors which contribute to the increase in employees’ job performance but overall the model is significant (Refer Table 02).

The F statistic 202.13 indicates that the two variables of the study are strongly related having optimal significance value 0.000 (Refer Table 03), which led to the acceptance of hypothesis formulated on the positive relationship of transformational leadership with employees’ job performance.
Coefficients of transformational leadership and performance

| Model      | B     | Std. Error | Beta   | T      | Sig. |
|------------|-------|------------|--------|--------|------|
| (Constant) | .844  | .241       |        | 3.620  | .001 |
| Leadership | .825  | .056       | .812   | 13.241 | .000 |

a. Dependent Variable: Performance

The Beta ($\beta$) value = .812 for these variables shows that one unit increase in independent variable (transformational leadership) will increase the dependent variable (job performance) by 0.8 units (Refer Table 04).

So the results of the study are in congruence with the results of previous studies (Anwar & Ahmad, 2012; Cavazotte et al., 2013) showing positive effect of transformational leadership on the employees’ job performance. Characteristics of transformational leadership in the form of idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation and individual considerations help the leaders to create good relationships with the followers which in turn motivate them to perform better in the organizations (Jyoti & Bhau, 2015).

5. Conclusion, recommendations and limitations

Transformational leadership helps employees to enhance their job performance by giving them opportunity of self-development and more learning in the organization. Transformational leaders create an environment where employees achieve organizational goals through mutual trust and harmony. Achievement of organizational goals becomes feasible as these leaders in the form of change agents transform their followers’ behaviors, attitudes, beliefs and motivation. Transformational leaders inculcate vision and emotional relationship in their followers. Findings of the study report that majority of the employees in PTCL head quarter Islamabad is convinced regarding the positive association of transformational leadership with employees’ job performance. In order words, transformational leaders increase employees’ job performance. Previous studies support such notion of enhancement in employees’ job performance through transformational leadership (Anwar & Ahmad, 2012; Cavazotte et al., 2013).

The importance and role of transformational leader is increased and demanded in today’s changing environment. Those organizations who use their human resource efficiently and effectively gain competitive advantage as compared to other organizations. Leadership style has a key role in both individual and organizational performance. Henceforth, in light of the results of the study it is strongly recommended that PTCL management should adopt transformational leadership style to tackle fast changing environment. It is also recommended that leader should invest on the development of their employees’ talent by providing supportive and flexible environment. The transformational leaders should be considerate to their employees’ needs, desires and abilities. Taking of all these recommendations into consideration will help achieve performance standards set by organizations. Personality and gender affect this relationship differently, so future studies can use these variables as moderators in such relationship.

This study has used cross sectional research design collecting data at one point of time. Data is collected only from employees PTCL head quarter, Islamabad. Data collected is based on judgments and perceptions of employees so subjectivity factor can be an element.
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