Cystatin C (Cys-C) has been recently paid great attention as a better endogenous marker of the glomerular filtration rate than creatinine (Cr). In this study, the usefulness of Cys-C was compared with Cr in terms of the estimation of the steady-state serum trough concentrations of digoxin in Japanese patients. Forty patients treated with digoxin and 56 healthy elderly subjects were participated in this study. The serum levels of Cys-C and Cr in the patients were higher than those in the healthy elderly subjects, but the increase of Cys-C was more predominant in the patients. Their levels were well-correlated for both of the healthy elderly subjects (r=0.691) and patients (r=0.774), but the serum concentrations of digoxin were better correlated with those of the reciprocal values of Cr (r=0.667) than those of Cys-C (r=0.383), presumably due to the fact that digoxin and Cr were excreted via both glomerular filtration and tubular secretion. Cys-C is useful for the stratification of the patients diagnosed to have normal renal function with Cr of <1.3 mg/dL into those with normal and pseudo-normal renal function, resulting in the corresponding serum concentrations of digoxin.
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1. Introduction

Cystatin C (Cys-C) is a non-glycosylated cationic protein of 13.3 kDa, belonging to the cystatin superfamily of cysteine protease inhibitors [1, 2]. Cys-C is produced by all nucleated cells and is secreted into the blood at a constant rate [1, 2]. Cys-C is freely filtered through the normal glomerular membrane and completely reabsorbed, followed by catabolization by the proximal tubular cells [1, 2]. The biological fates of Cys-C are favorable as an endogenous marker of the glomerular filtration rate (GFR), similar to creatinine (Cr). The normal range of Cys-C in the serum is from 0.55 (mean-1.96SD) to 0.99 (mean+1.96SD) mg/L in Japanese [3], with no inter-ethnic difference [4], and a higher level has been thought to be an index of renal dysfunction. The superiority of Cys-C over Cr has been debated for the past decade, but in 2002, a meta-analysis of 46 reports concluded that Cys-C is a more useful marker for GFR than Cr [5]. A multinational expert meeting was held in Germany to summarize the latest findings also in 2002, and it was finally concluded that Cys-C is at least equal if not superior to Cr as a marker of GFR, and its independence from height, gender, age and muscle mass was highlighted to be advantageous for Cys-C when compared with Cr [1].

A recently published analysis with an extremely large number of subjects detected the effects of height, gender, age, weight, current cigarette smoking and C-reactive protein on the serum level of Cys-C [6], but it is still thought to be less susceptible to these effects than Cr. For example, the serum level of Cys-C gives almost constant values for the subjects aged of more than 4 months, whereas that of Cr depends more on age [2]. In addition, recently, it has been demonstrated that Cys-C is more sensitive for moderate renal dysfunction than Cr [7-9], that is, the patients with a 24 h-Cr clearance of 51-70 mL/min show a significant increase in the serum level of Cys-C, but no alteration of Cr was found for such patients [7]. The lower sensitivity of Cr for moderate renal dysfunction might be due to its tubular secretion [10-12]. Moreover, the possibility of using the serum level of Cys-C to diagnose a certain class of heart diseases, including heart failure, has recently been suggested based on the fact that the serum level of Cys-C, not of Cr, was higher in such patients [13-15]. However, the PRIME study indicated that Cys-C is not a more predictive risk marker of coronary heart disease than CRP or interleukin-6, but could be useful in detecting moderate chronic renal disease [13].

In the present study, the usefulness of Cys-C was compared with Cr in terms of the estimation of pharmacokinetics of drugs. Here, the analysis was...
performed for the patients treated with a cardiac glycoside, digoxin, since digoxin is mainly eliminated via the kidneys and its individual dose is adequately adjusted based on renal function [16-19]. Due to congestive heart failure and/or supraventricular tachyarrhythmias, the serum level of Cys-C, not of Cr, was expected to be higher, and their association with the serum concentration of digoxin in the steady-state was examined.

2. Materials and Methods

Serum samples
This study was conducted in conformity with Ethical Guidelines for Clinical Studies by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Serum samples were collected from 40 patients (25 males and 15 females) visiting Kobe University Hospital from January to July in 2002. These patients were maintained in a stable condition by the once daily oral dosing of digoxin at 0.17 ± 0.06 (0.06-0.25) mg/day, and the serum samples were subjected to the analysis of the serum concentration of digoxin, as well as the serum levels of Cys-C and Cr. Eight of 40 patients had coadministered with spironolactone (N=4), quinidine (N=2) and/or verapamil (N=3), which may influence the serum concentration of digoxin [20, 21]. Fifty-six unrelated healthy Japanese elderly subjects (37 males and 19 females) were also enrolled to determine the serum levels of Cys-C and Cr. Demographic data for these subjects is represented in Table 1.

Table 1. Demographic data for patients in this study

| Variable                   | Healthy elderly subjects | Patients | P value |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------|---------|
| Number (Male:Female)       | 56 (37:19)               | 40 (25:15)|         |
| Age (years)                | 57.3 ± 2.0 (55-62)       | 72.0 ± 9.0 (50-90) | < 0.001 |
| Weight (kg)                | 61.6 ± 9.1 (45.2-79.2)  | 59.0 ± 11.4 (38.0-85.0) | 0.234   |
| Height (cm)                | 162.3 ± 7.8 (146.5-176.0) | 157.3 ± 8.6 (139.4-173.0) | < 0.01  |
| Serum cystatin C (mg/L)    | 0.86 ± 0.13 (0.62-1.21) | 1.42 ± 0.58 (0.76-3.07) | < 0.001 |
| Serum creatinine (mg/dL)   | 0.78 ± 0.17 (0.48-1.18) | 1.08 ± 0.41 (0.40-2.06) | < 0.001 |

* The values are the mean ± SD with the range in parentheses.

Determination of serum levels of Cys-C, Cr and digoxin
Serum levels of Cys-C and Cr were determined by Latex Nephrometry with a Behring Nephometer II (Dade Behring LIMITED, Liederbach, Germany) and Creatininase F-DAOs assay with a Dimension RxL (Dade Behring LIMITED), respectively. The serum concentrations of digoxin were by Particle Enhanced Turbidimetric Inhibition ImmunoAssay (PETINIA) with a Dimension Xpand-HM (Dade Behring LIMITED). The results were routinely validated to confirm acceptable precision and accuracy.

Statistical analysis
Values are given as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS ver. 8.0. The difference of the mean values between healthy elderly subjects and patients was calculated using Welch’s non-paired t-test for age, height, weight and serum levels of Cys-C and Cr. Correlations between continuous variables were calculated using Pearson’s correlation coefficients in the patients. Multiple comparisons were performed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Sheffé’s test for multiple comparisons provided that the variances of the groups were similar. P values less than 0.05 (two-tailed) were considered to be significant.

3. Results
As shown in Table 1 and Fig. 1, serum levels of Cys-C and Cr were 1.7- and 1.5-fold higher in the patients than those in the healthy elderly subjects, respectively, and the increase was more predominant for Cys-C than Cr. Figure 2 showed the relationships between the serum levels of Cys-C and Cr in the healthy elderly subjects and patients. The serum levels of Cys-C were well-correlated with those of Cr both in the healthy elderly subjects (Fig. 2a, r = 0.691) and patients (Fig. 2b, r = 0.774). In the patients with normal renal function (serum level of Cr of < 1.3 mg/dL), a weak correlation was found with r = 0.298 (open square in Fig. 2b).

Figure 1. Histogram of serum levels of Cys-C and Cr. Fifty-six healthy elderly subjects (open column) and 40 patients treated with digoxin (closed column) were included in this analysis.
Figure 2. Relationships between serum levels of Cys-C and Cr in 56 healthy elderly subjects (a) and 40 patients (b). The open circles represent the healthy elderly subjects. The closed and open squares represent the patients with renal function being abnormal (serum level Cr, ≥ 1.3 mg/dL) and normal (serum level of Cr, < 1.3 mg/dL). The regression lines were indicated for the healthy elderly subjects (solid in a, r = 0.691), the patients with abnormal renal function (dotted in b, r = 0.665) and the patients with normal function (solid in b, r = 0.298). The correlation coefficient for the total patients was 0.774.

Figure 3. Relationships between the serum trough concentrations of digoxin and the reciprocal values of serum levels of Cys-C (Fig. 3a) and Cr (Fig. 3b). The correlation was better for Cr (r = 0.667) than Cys-C (r = 0.383). Here, the serum level of Cys-C was used for substratification of the patients diagnosed as having normal renal function; i.e., Group I (normal), serum Cr of < 1.3 mg/dL and Cys-C of < 1.0 mg/L; Group II (pseudo-normal), serum Cr of < 1.3 mg/dL, but Cys-C of ≥ 1.0 mg/L; Group III (abnormal), serum Cr of ≥ 1.3 mg/dL. All patients belonging to Group III showed serum levels of Cys-C of 1.0 mg/L or more. The serum concentrations of digoxin were 1.00 ± 0.32, 1.29 ± 0.36 and 1.46 ± 0.41 ng/mL, respectively, with a significant difference between Groups I and III (Fig. 4, P < 0.05). There was no significant difference among the oral dosing amounts of digoxin in Groups I, II and III (0.18 ± 0.07, 0.17 ± 0.08 and 0.19 ± 0.07 mg/day, respectively), and the dose-normalized serum concentration gave the difference between Groups I and III. No significant differences in gender and age were also observed among Groups I, II and III (data not shown).
Figure 4. Serum concentration of digoxin for three patient groups substratified based on the serum levels of Cys-C and Cr. Group I (normal), serum Cr of <1.3mg/dL and Cys-C of <1.0mg/L; Group II (pseudo-normal), serum Cr of <1.3mg/dL, but Cys-C of ≥1.0mg/L; Group III (abnormal), serum Cr of ≥1.3mg/dL. All patients belonging to Group III showed serum levels of Cys-C of 1.0mg/mL or more. Each bar represents the mean ± SD. *P<0.05, significantly different from Group I.

4. Discussion

Digoxin shows a narrow therapeutic range, necessitating the routine monitoring of its serum concentration to maximize the therapeutic effects and minimize toxicities [16-19]. Since digoxin is mainly eliminated via the kidneys, the renal function of the patients and its alteration during therapy are important factors to conduct the monitoring and to understand the data on the serum concentration of digoxin [16-19]. The serum level of Cr has been used to estimate the renal function, and usually the Cockcroft-Gault formula or the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease are usually applied [11, 22-24], however, the effects of gender, age, circadian rhythm and muscle mass on the serum level of Cr, and the lower sensitivity of Cr for moderate renal dysfunction are often raised as being problematic. Due to the advantages in these areas, Cys-C is expected to be more useful when compared with Cr in terms of the estimation of digoxin pharmacokinetics.

To date, two reports are published concerning the utility of the serum level of Cys-C to predict the renal clearance of digoxin [25, 26]. O’Riordan et al. have reported that the serum level of Cys-C is no better than Cr at predicting digoxin clearance in healthy elderly volunteers, whereas Hallberg and co-workers reported that, in the patients, the serum level of Cys-C correlated better to the serum concentration of digoxin [25, 26]. In the present study, it was found that the patients showed higher serum levels of Cys-C and Cr, when compared with the healthy elderly subjects (Table 1, Fig. 1). The increase in the serum level was more predominant for Cys-C (Table 1, Fig. 2), being consistent with the reports showing that the serum levels were higher depending on heart diseases [13-15]. Nevertheless, the serum levels of Cys-C were well-correlated with those of Cr in the healthy elderly subjects (Fig. 2a, r = 0.691) and patients (Fig. 2b, r = 0.774). After the stratification of the patients into normal and abnormal renal function with a Cr cut-off value of 1.3 mg/dL, it was indicated that the correlation was weaker, with r=0.298 for the patients with normal renal function, presumably due to the higher sensitivity of Cys-C for moderate renal dysfunction [7-9]. However, as shown in Fig. 3, the serum trough concentration of digoxin was better correlated with the reciprocal values of the serum levels of Cr (r = 0.667) than Cys-C (r = 0.383). Cr was excreted into the urine via glomerular filtration and tubular secretion [10-12], similarly to digoxin [16-19]. Thus, the serum level of Cr might be useful for the prediction of the serum concentration of drugs excreted via glomerular filtration and tubular secretion, whereas the serum level of Cys-C might be useful for drugs excreted almost exclusively via glomerular filtration. Cys-C might be useful for substratification of the patients diagnosed to have normal renal function with a Cr of < 1.3 mg/dL into the patients with “true” normal renal function and those with “pseudo” normal renal function, since Cys-C is more sensitive for the detection of moderate renal dysfunction [7-9]. As shown in Fig. 4, the serum concentrations of digoxin depended on the patient substratification. It has been demonstrated that the serum concentration of digoxin at steady state was influenced by age, gender, weight, coadministered drugs, and dosage form, as well as renal function [20, 21]. Of these factors, oral dosing amounts of digoxin, age and gender were unlikely to be associated with the serum digoxin concentrations among Groups I, II and III. Meanwhile, four of 18 patients had coadministered with spironolactone (N=2), quinidine (N=1) or verapamil (N=1), which may influence the serum concentration of digoxin [25,26]. This study was conducted in a moderate population, and future large scale studies are needed to investigate the effect of coadministered medications.

In summary, the usefulness of Cys-C was compared with Cr in terms of the estimation of the steady-state serum trough concentrations of digoxin in Japanese patients. The serum levels of Cys-C and Cr in the patients were higher than those in the healthy elderly subjects, but the increase of Cys-C was more predominant in the patients, due to heart diseases. Their levels were well-correlated for both the healthy elderly subjects and patients, but the serum concentrations of digoxin were better correlated with those of the reciprocal values of Cr than those of Cys-C, presumably due to the fact that digoxin and Cr were excreted via both glomerular filtration and tubular secretion. Cys-C is useful for the substratification of the patients diagnosed to have normal renal function with Cr of less than 1.3 mg/dL into those with normal and pseudo-normal renal function, resulting in the corresponding serum concentrations of digoxin.
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