Microbial Community Structure in a Serpentine-Hosted Abiotic Gas Seepage at the Chimaera Ophiolite, Turkey
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ABSTRACT The surface waters at the ultramafic ophiolitic outcrop in Chimaera, Turkey, are characterized by high pH values and high metal levels due to the percolation of fluids through areas of active serpentinization. We describe the influence of the liquid chemistry, mineralogy, and H2 and CH4 levels on the bacterial community structure in a semidry, exposed, ultramafic environment. The bacterial and archaeal community structures were monitored using Illumina sequencing targeting the 16S rRNA gene. At all sampling points, four phyla, Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Chloroflexi, and Acidobacteria, accounted for the majority of taxa. Members of the Chloroflexi phylum dominated low-diversity sites, whereas Proteobacteria dominated high-diversity sites. Methane, nitrogen, iron, and hydrogen oxidizers were detected as well as archaea and metal-resistant bacteria.

IMPORTANCE Our study is a comprehensive microbial investigation of the Chimaera ophiolite. DNA has been extracted from 16 sites in the area and has been studied from microbial and geochemical points of view. We describe a microbial community structure that is dependent on terrestrial, serpentinization-driven abiotic H2, which is poorly studied due to the rarity of these environments on Earth.
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Serpentinization is a mineral hydration process that produces H2, CH4, alkaline fluids, and low-molecular-weight organic compounds and has been suggested to constitute a source of carbon and energy for chemosynthetic life (1–9). Ultramafic, iron-bearing rocks generate H2 by splitting water concomitant with iron oxidation. Subsequently, CH4 is synthesized via a Fischer-Tropsch-type reaction in which H2 reduces CO2 to CH4 (1–15). Low-temperature abiotic gas seepages on land, such as the Chimaera seep in Çıralı, Antalya Gulf, Turkey, have been identified at only a few sites on Earth (1, 16). The gases issuing from the ophiolitic outcrop are suggestive of formation via low-temperature serpentinization mixed with thermogenic and/or microbial gases. The exhaled gas typically comprises 87% CH4, 7.5 to 11% H2, 2 to 4.9% N2, 0.57% light alkanes, 0.01 to 0.07% CO2, and 80 ppm helium (6, 17, 18), and the fluids have pH values between 7 and 12 (19, 20). This gas composition permits both abiotic and biotic CH4 production as well as chemosynthetic consumption (21–23). Previous studies of terrestrial serpentinization-driven environments have shown microbial community structures composed of members of the Chloroflexi, Firmicutes, and Proteobacteria phyla, in descending order (1, 3–15). Representatives of the phylum Betaproteobacteria, specifically the Hydrogenophaga genus (which comprises hydrogen-oxidizing, neutrophilic
bacteria), are abundant at sites similar to Chimaera, such as the Tablelands ophiolite (1, 10–16), the Cabeço de Vide Aquifer (CVA) (1, 6, 16–18), and The Cedars (6, 17–20).

Chimaera is located in a zone known as the Tekirova ophiolites, which is part of the Antalya Complex; it consists of several north-south trending zones (19–23). This complex and its stratigraphic features, which have been previously described in detail (21–23), show that early Mesozoic rifting, basin formation, passive margin development, and collapse and closure occurred during the Tertiary (Eocene) epoch. In this region, the Beydağları platform is one part of the Mesozoic-Cenozoic carbonate platform and comprises a Paleozoic basement and overlying carbonate sequences. Another carbonate platform, the Kemer Zone, is exposed further east. The basement rock sequence and overlying carbonates of the Kemer Zone are separated by Late Mesozoic ophiolites and mélangé units. The western mélangé zone is called the Godene Zone and is exposed as tectonic blocks. On the Mediterranean coast, further east, an ophiolite sequence is exposed in the Tekirova Zone (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material).

The Tekirova ophiolite presents well-preserved ultramafic to mafic cumulate rocks that crop out at Çıralı, where the gas seep is located (24). These rock units are composed of peridotites and gabbro and include serpentinitized harzburgites with minor lherzolite, podiform dunites, and chromitites (25). There are three primary source rocks with hydrocarbon generation potential as a part of the sedimentary sequence beneath the Tekirova ophiolite. These areas include Sapandere bituminous shale (Ordovician-Silurian, type I and II organic matter), Beydağları limestone (lower Mesozoic, type II, maturity of 0.4 to 1% reflectance in oil [Ro]), and Pamucakyayla siltstones and coal (Carboniferous, type III, 0.9 to 1% Ro) (21, 26).

Given the difficulties of sampling subsurface crustal environments, an exposed section of an ancient oceanic crust may provide insights into the sources and sinks of energy for microbial communities.

The aim of this study was to investigate the microbial community structures present at the Chimaera ophiolite and to determine the extent to which serpentinization can provide sufficient energy for microbial growth. The microbiology at this site has been somewhat studied before but only with DNA extracted from laboratory enrichment cultures and not with environmental samples (20). This study provides a higher-resolution, detailed study of the community structure at the Chimaera ophiolite using samples directly frozen to −60°C at the site. The study further investigated the influence of the fluid geochemistry of the site on community structure, which has not been done before.

RESULTS

Optical microscopy, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and Raman spectroscopy. The sampled sites were similar in mineralogy and consisted of calcite, aragonite, brucite, serpentine, graphitic carbon grains, rutile, iron oxides, and chromite (Fig. 1). Calcite/aragonite and serpentine dominated the mineralogy, but grains of rutile, chromite, iron oxides, and graphitic carbon were scattered within the calcite.

SEM and Raman analyses showed that a white precipitate from site FG6 primarily consisted of calcium carbonates, primarily as aragonite. Site FG7 had a similar aragonite composition but a higher concentration of ferrihydrites, giving the samples a reddish color. Ferrihydrites were also the dominating mineralogy at site IR, whereas carbonates in the form of laminated travertines covered with a thin biofilm dominated site BF. Staining with green fluorescence, used to distinguish between the calcitic and organic material, showed distinct differences between the organic and abiotic layers. Microspheres of 20 to 25 μm in diameter composed of Si, Al, O, and sometimes K were also found. Carbonates could be detected in the surrounding host material but not in the spheres themselves.

Fluid chemistry. The major and minor dissolved elements that were measured using inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) are presented in Fig. 2 and Table S1 in the supplemental material. The lowest total of dissolved elements was observed in site BF, which had a total elemental concentration (of the
measured elements) of 48.1 ± 1.92 ppm. In contrast, the LB1 site had the highest concentration, with a total concentration of 302 ± 12.1 ppm. The fluid chemistry at site FG changed downstream from the individual streams coincident with the change in pH value. The total elemental concentration decreased downstream from 227 ± 9.08 ppm at the top FG3 sample to 143 ± 5.72 ppm at FG6, and there was a slight increase to 190 ± 7.60 ppm at FG7. All FG samples were dominated by Mg (32.4 ± 1.38 to 116 ± 4.64 ppm), except FG6, which was dominated by Ca (73.7 ± 2.95 ppm).

The pH values at site LB increased slowly downstream from the initial value of 7.57 at the source pond to 8.42 at the end of the LB stream. The total elemental concentrations varied from 204 ± 8.16 to 302 ± 12.1 ppm. Mg was the dominant element and varied between 81.9 ± 3.28 and 117 ± 4.68 ppm, followed by Ca (52.2 ± 2.09 to 70.5 ± 2.82 ppm), Si (34.8 ± 1.39 to 58.4 ± 2.34 ppm), S (8.87 ± 0.35 to 17.9 ± 0.72 ppm), and Na (11.7 ± 0.47 to 21.1 ± 0.84 ppm). Among the samples from the area, the highest measured concentrations of Al, B, Co, Cr, Na, Ni, Ti, and V as well as the total elemental concentration were observed at LB1. The IR site had a pH of 7.88, was dominated by Mg (92.5 ± 3.70 ppm), and exhibited high concentrations of Fe (55.8 ± 2.23 ppm), Si (31.9 ± 1.28 ppm), Ca (29.7 ± 1.19 ppm), S (8.09 ± 0.32 ppm), and Na (7.89 ± 0.32 ppm). The BF site had the highest measured pH of 11.35 and the lowest total elemental concentrations. The dominant element at BF was Ca (17.8 ± 0.71 to
23.7 ± 0.95 ppm), followed by Mg (8.85 ± 0.35 to 9.48 ± 0.38 ppm), S (8.00 ± 0.32 to 8.34 ± 0.33 ppm), Na (7.16 ± 0.29 to 7.32 ± 0.29 ppm), Si (4.84 ± 0.19 to 4.97 ± 0.20 ppm), and Fe (~0.03 to 0.16 ± 0.01 ppm). The river passing northeast of the ophiolite (site JSB) had a stable pH of 8.02 and low concentrations of all measured elements, including Mg (77.1 ± 3.08 ppm), Si (23.2 ± 0.93 ppm), Ca (22.3 ± 0.89 ppm), Na (8.37 ± 0.33 ppm), S (8.32 ± 0.33 ppm), and Fe (~0.04 ppm). The amount of S was below the detection limit at all sites.

Microbial communities. The analysis of the bacterial communities generated a total of 1,020,019 sequences after quality trim and chimera check, with a range of 37,341 to 114,792 sequences per sample. The observed number of species (operational taxonomic units [OTUs]) varied between 2,212 and 6,767, with the highest numbers at the BF, JSB, and LB sites (Table 1). Based on the number of species and the Chao1 index, the sequencing covered 47.6 to 65.9% of the total bacterial community.

The Shannon and Simpson diversity indices yielded high values, with some variations among the different samples (Table 1). FG (except FG1) and IR sites had relatively low diversity, as illustrated by the lower values of both the Shannon and Simpson indices. The rarefaction curve confirmed the trends, with a higher number of observed OTUs in the BF, JSB, and LB samples (Fig. S3). No correlations were observed between pH and diversity or between the total amounts of trace elements and diversity (correlations of <0.1 for all diversity indices compared to the pH and elemental concentration).

Phylogenetic analysis. The phylogenetic composition determined by principal-coordinate analysis (PCoA) of the weighted UniFrac matrices revealed separation among the samples, suggesting phylogenetic distance within the communities at these different sites (Fig. 3a). The plot obtained by unweighted PCoA revealed a similar pattern but with an even clearer separation for some samples (Fig. 3b). Samples obtained from proximal sites, such as LB/LB1, LB2/LB2.2, BF1/BF2, and FG7.2/FG7.3, grouped together, suggesting that the separation between the other samples was due.
to variations in environmental parameters and not to the sampling approach. Only FG7.1, which was obtained at the same location as FG7.2 and FG.3, deviated from this pattern. Samples FG2 and IR exhibited similar community structures, and JSB grouped with BF in both analyses, whereas SB was separated from the rest of the samples. FG4 and LB grouped together in the weighted analysis but were separated in the unweighted plot, suggesting similar community structures but differences in their abundances.

**Community structure.** The abundance of *Archaea* representatives ranged between 0.10 and 12.1%, with comparably high levels in five (SB, LB, LB1, JSB, and BF2) of the 16 samples, with *Crenarchaeota* as the dominant phylum (Fig. 4). Phylum comparisons among all samples revealed that approximately 95 to 96% of the bacterial abundance was affiliated with 11 phylotypes (Fig. 3). Members of the *Acidobacteria*, *Proteobacteria*, *Chloroflexi*, and *Actinobacteria* phyla were predominant in all samples, but the proportions varied among the different samples (Fig. 4). In addition, *Bacteriodetes*, *Firmicutes*, *Planctomycetes*, *Cyanobacteria*, *Deinococcus-Thermus* (with the major genus *Truepera*),

| Sample name | Chao1 No. of OTUs | Shannon index | Simpson index | Total no. of elements | Fe concn (ppm) | pH |
|-------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|----|
| BF1         | 10,698            | 6,254         | 11            | 1                    | 55             | 711 | 11 |
| BF2         | 11,109            | 6,634         | 11            | 1                    | 48             | 672 | 11 |
| FG1         | 9,524             | 6,030         | 11            | 1                    |                |     |    |
| FG2         | 4,187             | 2,212         | 6             | 1                    | 1,540          |     | 8  |
| FG4         | 4,648             | 2,712         | 8             | 1                    | 220            | 1,630| 9  |
| FG6         | 8,705             | 4,973         | 9             | 1                    | 132            | 64  | 11 |
| FG7.1       | 6,902             | 3,697         | 8             | 1                    | 188            | 16  | 9  |
| FG7.2       | 9,335             | 5,169         | 10            | 1                    |                |     |    |
| FG7.3       | 9,479             | 5,107         | 9             | 1                    |                |     |    |
| IR          | 4,842             | 2,888         | 7             | 1                    | 171            | 56  | 8  |
| JSB         | 10,521            | 6,357         | 11            | 1                    | 140            | 39  | 8  |
| LB          | 10,901            | 6,767         | 11            | 1                    | 213            | 446 | 8  |
| LB1         | 10,233            | 6,577         | 11            | 1                    | 302            | 9,490| 8  |
| LB2         | 11,692            | 6,722         | 11            | 1                    | 11             | 11  | 8  |
| LB2.2       | 11,913            | 6,767         | 11            | 1                    |                |     |    |
| SB          | 7,358             | 4,851         | 10            | 1                    |                |     |    |

**FIG 3** The relative abundance between samples as determined by weighted UniFrac principal-coordinate analysis (PCoA) (a) and the phylogenetic distance determined by unweighted UniFrac PCoA (b). The solid and dashed lines indicate the suggested groupings of the samples.
and *Nitrospirae* were present at lower abundances. *Proteobacteria* was the dominant phylum represented in the majority of the samples, with levels of 21.1 to 66.0% of the total community and with relatively high abundance at the FG6/FG7.2/FG7.3 sites (51.9 to 66.0%). At a few sites, the abundance of *Proteobacteria* was lower than that of other phyla, including at FG2 (12.0%) and IR (25.3%). At these sites, * Chloroflexi* was instead the dominant phylum, with a relative abundance of 46.0 to 57.8%. The relative abundance of * Chloroflexi* members in the remaining samples varied from 5.8 to 28.4%, with the lowest and highest values at sites SB and FG4, respectively. Members of the *Actinobacteria* phylum were present at levels of 13.0 to 24.2% in all samples except FG1, FG7.2, FG7.3, and IR, which had relative abundances of 6.1 to 10.5%. The relative abundance of *Acidobacteria* was comparably high at the LB, BF, SB, and FG1 sites (7.6 to 14.8%), whereas this phylum was represented at small percentages (0.9 to 2.1%) at the remaining sites. In addition, an unidentified phylum was identified at levels of 0.9 to 13.6%, with the highest abundance in FG1 and FG2.

*Proteobacteria* were generally dominated by the *Alphaproteobacteria* class (6.4 to 25.2%, where SB had the lowest relative abundance and FG4 had the highest) and *Betaproteobacteria* (0.7 to 26.7%, where FG2 had the lowest relative abundance and FG7.3 had the highest), but members of a few orders of the sulfate-reducing bacteria *Desulfovibrionales* were found at FG4 and FG1. *Deltaproteobacteria* were found in relative amounts of 0.8 to 4.9% (where FG6 had the lowest relative abundance and SB had the highest), followed by *Gammaproteobacteria* at 1.2 to 19.1% (where FG2 had the lowest relative abundance and FG6 had the highest) (Fig. S4). The primary orders (Table

---

**FIG 4** Surface water microbial taxonomic composition at the different sampling sites by phylum.
S3) represented within *Deltaproteobacteria* and *Gammaproteobacteria* were *Rhizobiales* (2.8 to 11.9%), *Burkholderiales* (0.5 to 23.5%), *Myxococcales* (0.5 to 5.3%), and *Methylocoques* (0.1 to 7.6%). In addition, members of the orders *Rhodobacterales* (0.6 to 7.4%), *Rhodospirillales* (0.8 to 4.4%), and *Sphingomonadales* (0.6 to 10.2%) within *Alphaproteobacteria* were present at all sites. The *Deltaproteobacteria* consisted primarily of members from the *Myxococcales* order (0.5 to 5.3%), and the *Xanthomonadales* order (0.1 to 14.6%) was present at high abundance within the *Gammaproteobacteria* class and was particularly abundant in FG6 (14.6%). The members of the genus *Hydrogenophaga* within the phylum *Betaproteobacteria* were found at all sites except FG1, FG2, FG4, and SB, with the highest level at FG7 (0.1% to 14.1%).

The *Actinobacteria* phylum was typically dominated by the *Actinomycetales* order (2.2 to 12.3%) but was also represented by *Gaiellales* (0.1 to 7.9%), *Rubrobacterales* (0.1 to 4.5%); *Rubrobacteriales* was absent at FG2, FG4, and IR), and *Soribacterales* (0.5 to 6.9%). *Acidobacteria* were represented by members from the orders *RB41* (0.2 to 6.3%) and iii1-55 (0.1 to 5.3%).

The composition of the *Chloroflexi* phylum varied greatly among the different sites. Among members of the *Chloroflexi* phylum, the order *SBR1031* (0.6 to 16.1%) in the class *Anaerolineae* often dominated. However, the members of the order *Chloroflexales* (0.2 to 1.5%; absent in IR, FG2, and SB) within the class *Chloroflexi* and JG30-KF-CM45 (0.2 to 1.5%; absent in IR, FG2, and SB) were also observed. In addition, the orders *Thermogemmatisporales* (0.1 to 24.1%; absent at LB, BF1, BF2, LB1 and SB) and the class *Ellin6529* (0.1 to 2.8%) were observed. At sites FG2 and IR, which had very high relative abundances of *Chloroflexi*, the orders *Thermogemmatisporales* (13.3 to 24.1%) and unclassified *Ktedonobacteria* (22.1 to 26.4%) were dominant. The primary *Archaea* phylum identified was *Crenarchaeota*, which represented less than 1% in all samples except for SB, wherein this phylum represented as much as 12.1%. In all cases, independent of abundance, *Nitrososphaera* was the only represented genus. At FG7, the *Archaea* phylum *Euryarchaeota* was detected, in addition to *Crenarchaeota*, representing 0.2% of the total community, and *Methanobacterium* was the only represented genus at this site. At sites FG2, FG4, and IR, no representatives of the *Archaea* were detected.

The phylum *Acidobacteria* was dominated by members of the order *Actinomycetales* (2.2 to 12.3%), followed by *RB41* (0.2 to 6.3%), *Solirubrobacterales* (0.5 to 6.9%), iii1-15 (0.1 to 5.3%), and *Solibacterales* (0.2 to 1.4%). Bacteria capable of aerobic methane oxidation (MO), such as representatives of the taxa *Beijerinckia* and *Balneimonas* (*Alphaproteobacteria*), *Methylibium*, *Methyloversatilis* (*Betaproteobacteria*), and *Methylococcales* (Gammaproteobacteria), were detected in all samples (0.9 to 5.5%), and their abundance was highest at FG4, where MO bacteria were found at a total level of >8%. Sulfate reducers from the family *Thermodesulfovibrioaceae* were found at FG6, and the order *Desulfovibrionales* was found at LB, JSB, FG1 and FG4.

**CCA.** Canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) was performed to identify correlations between the microbial community and different chemical parameters. The OTUs corresponding to >0.1% relative abundance and 26 metals were included in the CCA. Three major clusters were obtained, and correlations were observed between the FG6/FG7 cluster and As and between the LB/JSB/BF clusters and Ba, Cd, Al, B, and Cr (Fig. 5).

The systematic removal of variables revealed new correlations such as the correlation of FG6/FG7 with Cu (Table 2 and Fig. S5 and S6). When only seven variables were used in the CCA plot, a weak correlation between pH and FG6/FG7 was observed (Table 2 and Fig. S5 and S6). The metals that significantly correlated with the bacterial community composition (Fig. 5, longer arrows) when all 26 measured elements were included were As, Ba, Cd, Al, B, Cr, and Co.

**DISCUSSION**

This study presents 16S rRNA sequence data from as many as 16 different sites at the Chimaera ophiolite and represents an expansion from an earlier study investigating six
sampling sites (20). In contrast to the previous work, this study, in addition to a description of the community structures at the surface of the ophiolite, also presents data from surrounding rivers. Moreover, DNA was extracted directly from samples taken from the site and not, as in the previous study, from selective enrichment cultures. Thus, this study will show a more representative map of the community structure at the Chimaera ophiolite. The difference in sampling handling may explain differences in the results between the two studies. In contrast to the study of Meyer-Dombard et al., we identified, for example, representatives from the *Archaea* and many potential anaerobic bacteria.

Comparison with similar studies of other serpentinization-driven, alkaline environments, such as The Cedars (in coastal northern California) (6), the Cabeço de Vide Aquifer (CVA) (Portugal) (1), and the Tablelands (western Newfoundland, Canada) (10), also shows differences in community compositions. At CVA, Tablelands, and The Cedars, the main sequences were attributed to $\text{H}_2$-producing *Clostridia* (phylum *Firmicutes*) and $\text{H}_2$-consuming *Hydrogenophaga* (phylum *Betaproteobacteria*), whereas in

---

**TABLE 2** Correlations between the bacterial community structure and environmental variables

| No. of variables | Element(s) present at: | FG6/FG7 | IR/FG4 | LB/JSB/BF |
|------------------|------------------------|---------|--------|-----------|
| 26               | As                     | Ba, Cd, Al, B, Cr, Co |
| 25               | Cu                     | Fe      |
| 24               | K                      | Mg, Mo, Mn |
| 22               | Li                     |         |
| 19               | Na                     |         |
| 18               | S                      | P, Pb, Ni |
| 14               |                        | V, Ti, Sr |
| 10               |                        |         |
| 7                |                        | Zn      |

$^a$A correlation between pH and FG6/FG7 was observed when only seven variables were used.
Chimaera, the major sequences were attributed to *Alphaproteobacteria*, *Chloroflexi*, and *Actinobacteria*. Both *Hydrogenophaga* and members of the class *Clostridia* were found at Chimaera.

Alkaline springs such as those at Chimaera, Oman, and Voltri Massif are commonly characterized by high pH, Ca, H₂, and CH₄ and a depletion in transition metal and Si contents (20, 27, 28). Based on the dissolved-element chemistry, our results are consistent with previous alkaline spring data (6, 29, 30) indicating that the cooccurrence of high pH values and Ca ions indicates an active serpentinization area, whereas the cooccurrence of Fe, Cr, Mn, Co, and Ni with Mg suggests chemical weathering. In addition, cooccurrence of Mg with Ba, Al, and Ti was observed in this study, reflecting ongoing weathering of the ophiolite. The pH values of the FG and LB streams differ because the FG stream has an oscillating pH downstream, whereas the LB stream exhibits a gradual increase in pH. Our data indicate a general increase in downstream pH, in contrast to the downstream decrease in pH observed by Meyer-Dombard et al. (20) and others (27, 28). This discrepancy may be attributable to differences between the measured streams but also a mixing of meteoric, groundwater, and serpentinization fluids. The streams on the ophiolite are only occasionally present during the rainy season and dry out completely in the interim. Although the processes should be similar, many factors may have influenced the changes in pH, such as more vigorous serpentinization of higher water flow. The higher concentrations of metals downstream of the FG stream coincide with the lower pH due to higher weathering rates and the generally higher solubility of metals at lower pH. At the FG stream, high concentrations of Ca ions coincide with high pH, which is considered indicative of active serpentinization (20, 31–33). Active serpentinization in low-temperature environments usually generates substantially reducing conditions due to low Si activity and, in this case, a high Ca²⁺ concentration. The area is supersaturated with Ca²⁺ with respect to calcite, which was found in the majority of the rock samples. The source of the LB stream was situated at the top of the ophiolite and was likely mostly meteoric water, which explains the low pH of this site. The stream meanders downhill, reacts with the ultramafic rocks, and becomes increasingly alkaline. The upper parts of the FG stream have similar features, but downstream at the FG5 site, a second source of water emanates from the channel of a doused flame, explaining the dramatic increase in the pH to 11.03. Downstream of FG5, there is a decrease in pH similar to that observed in a study by Meyer-Dombard et al. (20) and is likely caused by carbonate and ferrihydrite precipitation and a concomitant pH decrease. CCA suggested correlations between the phylogenetic distributions at FG6 and FG7 (FG7.1, FG7.2, and FG7.3) and alkaline pH (see Fig. S6 in the supplemental material).

Members of the *Hydrogenophaga* genus were observed in FG6/FG7, in contrast to results with the other samples. This genus has been described as a Gram-negative, aerobic, chemoorganotrophic or chemolithoautotrophic H₂-consuming bacterium that can partly perform heterotrophic denitrification. *Hydrogenophaga* grows at temperatures of approximately 30 to 40°C and at pH values of 6.5 to 9.0 (20, 34). The FG samples were all situated in the middle of the ophiolite, far from any vegetation and close to H₂ and ever-burning flames. The increased presence of *Hydrogenophaga* at the FG6/FG7 sites and the apparent correlation with pH may have many explanations. The high relative abundance of *Hydrogenophaga* in FG6/FG7 compared with that of the other sites and the slight correlation between pH and FG6/FG7 (Fig. S5) revealed by CCA do not necessarily indicate that *Hydrogenophaga* is more strongly correlated with pH but, instead, that the community structure as a whole is somewhat correlated with pH. *Hydrogenophaga* can grow at pH values of up to 9.0 (35), but one study of alkaline shallow groundwaters in Lake Calumet, Chicago, IL (36), did show that *Hydrogenophaga* was active at pH 11.8, consistent with the presence of this genus in FG6, which has a pH of 10.7.

The Si composition of the area fluctuated between ~5 and ~42 mg/liter, compared with the global average composition of stream water and seawater of 13.9 and 5.99 mg/liter, respectively (37). The Si at the Chimaera site is undersaturated with respect to
amorphous Si, and no opaline phases were detected by Raman spectroscopy or environmental SEM (ESEM). This finding is consistent with the presence of the secondary mineral brucite, which indicates low Si activity in the serpentinization fluids and, consequently, brucite precipitation (7). There is a negative correlation between the diversity index and concentration of Si, Fe, and other metals among the FG samples. It can also be noted that at FG6, where there is a general drop in elemental concentration, the pH rises to almost 11, and the abundance of Methylocaldum (Gammaproteobacteria) increases dramatically. This increase in Methylocaldum, which is a thermophilic, methanotrophic bacterium, is likely due to the proximity to the doused flame, where there is a continuous seepage of CH₄. The negative correlation between diversity and Si concentration is thus likely an indirect correlation that is coupled to water mixing and gas seepage rather than an actual correlation between elemental concentration and diversity.

At site BF, where microstromatolites with layers of calcite alternated with organic material were found, the diversity index is high. Diversity is high at the BF microbial mat, with an increased abundance of representatives of the Acidobacteria, Cyanobacteria, and Crenarchaeota, common phyla in terrestrial, hot spring microbial mats with a low-salinity and low-sulfate composition (2).

The highest diversity index was observed at the calcite-dominated BF1 and BF2 sites, whereas the lowest diversity index was observed at FG2 (serpentine) and IR (ferrhydrites) (Table 1). Within the low-diversity sites, the members of the Chloroflexi phylum were present at a relatively high abundance, whereas Proteobacteria dominated at the high-diversity sites. Chloroflexi have been suggested to be able to adapt to changing redox conditions due to a versatile metabolism that enables growth under anaerobic as well as aerobic conditions (38) and competition for labile carbon species. Coupling between Chloroflexi and low diversity was also documented at The Cedars, an ultramafic serpentinized peridotite (6). In a microbial diversity study of a Venezuelan orthoquartzite cave, the presence of Chloroflexi members was associated with nutrient limitation (39), as confirmed in other studies (38, 40) in which representatives from this phylum (particularly Ktedonobacterales) were shown to be well adapted to growth under nutrient limitation. Adaptation to changing redox conditions among members of the Chloroflexi phylum may also affect their advantages in specific systems such as the Chimaera ophiolite (38). All BF samples had very high P concentrations (24.2 to 123.3 ppm), which may explain the higher microbial diversity at this sample site. Similar P levels were measured at other sites, but since the amount of Fe was extremely high at the IR site, the amount of available P was likely limited due to the strong P adsorption onto Fe oxides (41). The Fe/P ratio (in parts per million) was 1,110 at the IR site, whereas all the other sites had Fe/P ratios of 1.14 to 53.3. This finding suggests strong limitation in P at site IR, which might confer a competitive advantage to Chloroflexi members (39). No other particular chemical properties were identified at the BF sites that could explain the high diversity. There were, however, small terracettes filled with standing water, which may have facilitated the buildup of biofilm and thus protected against desiccation and chemical gradients, which in turn possibly could promote a higher diversity.

The population compositions of Chloroflexi differed among the samples: FG samples had a higher relative abundances of representatives of thermophilic orders such as the Anaerolineales, Caldilineales, and SHA-3, whereas the lower-temperature sites were dominated by N₂-fixing members of the Chloroflexi phylum, such as Ellin6529 and filamentous anoxygenic phototrophic Chloroflexales. This Chloroflexi distribution is consistent with the compositional allocations of the different sampling sites because FG is situated at or near burning flames. Suzuki et al. (6) reported that the low species richness at The Cedars was associated not only with Chloroflexi but also with Firmicutes. In contrast, at Chimaera, the abundance of Firmicutes was highest at SB, FG4, and FG1, where the diversity was high.
The abundance of representatives of the phylum Actinobacteria was considerably lower at FG2, FG6, FG7.2, FG7.3, and IR, where the layer of soil was very thin or nonexistent. Because members of the order Actinomycetales (the dominant order of Actinobacteria at Chimaera) are usually found in soil and degrade cellulose and other plant polysaccharides (42), Actinobacteria levels are expected to be lower at sites with little influence from plant and soil material. Similarly, Acidobacteria members are well represented in soil (43) and have lower abundance at sites with little or no soil, such as FG2, FG4, FG6, FG7.1, FG7.2, FG7.3, and IR.

Hydrogen-oxidizing Hydrogenophaga bacteria were found at all sites except FG1, FG2, FG4, and SB, and these organisms have been previously found in similar environments, such as the Tablelands serpentine-hosted alkaline seep (10), at The Cedars serpenizing environment (6), and at the serpentinization-driven subterrestrial alkaline aquifer CabeçodeVideAquifer (CVA) (1). It is not clear if these H2-oxidizing bacteria use abiotically produced H2 or if H2 is produced through fermentative or photosynthesizing activity. However, all sampled sites had extremely low relative abundances of typical H2-producing bacteria, such as Cyanobacteria, members of the Clostridia class or the Enterobacteriales, Bacillales, Rhodobacterales, or Thermotogales order, suggesting an abiotic source of H2. Previous measurements at Chimaera have indicated that at least CH4 has a primarily abiotic origin (16, 18), and because of the low abundance of potential H2-producing bacteria as well as the active serpentinization at the site, it is likely that H3 has an abiotic source as well. Alkaliphilic bacteria such as those of the genus Silanimonas or Alkaliphilus from the class Gammaproteobacteria or Clostridia, respectively, were found in both CVA and The Cedars (6) and were not found at Chimaera. No known extreme alkaliphiles were found at Chimaera, but bacteria such as those of the genus Rhizobium genus (class Alphaproteobacteria), which can grow under moderately alkaline conditions, were found at some of the sampled sites.

Nitrogen-fixing bacteria, such as members of the Rhizobiales and Rhodobacterales orders (class Alphaproteobacteria) and the Cyanobacteria phylum, were found in large amounts in many of the samples. Meyer-Dombard et al. (20) did detect Thermobacillus and Anoxybacillus in their enrichment cultures from Chimaera, but these groups were not observed in our study. Nitrogen-fixing and denitrifying bacteria were not measured or detected at The Cedars (6) but were found at CVA, with members of primarily the Nitrospira group (phylum Nitrospirae) (1) represented. The isotopic composition of N2 at Chimaera was measured by Meyer-Dombard et al. (20) and was approximately 3‰, suggesting low activity from nitrogen-fixing metabolism in the area. The authors proposed that the nitrogenase genes (narG and nirS) detected by PCR at the site might have been inactive at the time of sampling, resulting in a high positive isotopic value of N2. However, positive nitrogen isotope values were detected in all measured solids, as expected in an active nitrogen cycle ecosystem. In our study, a high abundance of nitrogen-fixing bacteria was detected, which may explain the positive nitrogen isotopic values measured by Meyer-Dombard et al. (20), and this result is consistent with their detection of nitrogenase genes. The abundance of aerobic methane oxidizers was highest at FG4, which is reasonable because FG4 is situated near a source of gases and fluids.

The two larger river sites (JSB and SB) have very different community structures: JSB more closely resembles the LB samples (similar compositions of the typical soil community profiles (44)), whereas SB had a much higher relative abundance of the archaea Nitrososphaeraceae, which are found in low-flux geothermal soils (45) and in the serpentine-hosted hyperalkaline springs of Voltri Massif (46) but not in the Tablelands or The Cedars serpentine environments (1, 6). Nitrososphaeraceae are ammonium-oxidizing, thermophilic archaea, and their presence in SB and not in JSB is likely due to the proximity of the SB river to the ophiolite. The SB river flows very close to the ophiolite, whereas the JSB river is more distant, which would likely result in a higher abundance of geothermally influenced community structures.
CCA and UniFrac matrices. Weighted and unweighted UniFrac distance matrixes are commonly used for microbial community structure analyses (47). The unweighted distance analysis considers only information on the presence or absence of species and counts the fraction of phylogenetic branch length for each community, whereas the weighted distance analysis uses species abundance information and weighs the branch length with abundance difference. However, these two measurements may assign too much importance to rare lineages, which may distort the phylogenetic and relative abundance measurements. Canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) was therefore also used for phylogenetic comparisons between the sampling sites. The difference between the weighted and unweighted UniFrac matrices suggests similar OTUs but different abundances within the samples. A comparably clear separation between the samples was obtained with the unweighted analysis. The results of the UniFrac analysis are similar to those shown in Fig. 4, showing only differences in relative abundances of different phyla. One of the major differences between the different analyses is the clustering of IR/FG2 and IR/FG4 in UniFrac and CCA, respectively. Using UniFrac weighted analyses gives a similarity between IR and FG2, a result not obtained with CCA, where a separation is seen. This difference in result is likely due to the geochemical influence in CCA, which is not considered in UniFrac. The differences in correlations between phylogeny and biogeochemistry observed for the different sites in the CCA plot can be divided into three groups. The sites closer to the edges of the ophiolite (JSB, LB, and BF) have a much higher diversity and a stronger coupling to variations in the trace metal geochemistry. The sites in the middle of the ophiolite have a stronger correlation to some rare elements such as As and Cu, elements that might influence the overall community structure. The FG4 and IR cluster is most likely the result of phylogenetic similarities rather than the influence of geochemical parameters on the different groups.

In the FG6/FG7 cluster, the bacterial family that was most strongly coupled with the geochemical metadata was Comamonadaceae (class Betaproteobacteria), which exhibit resistance to As (48). Copper was associated with the presence of Truepera, a genus within the family Trueperaceae from the phylum Deinococcus-Thermus, documented to be resistant to environmental hazards (49). This genus was present in the samples FG6, FG7, LB, BF, JSB, and FG6, all of which had relatively high concentrations of Cu. The IR/FG4 cluster was associated with the order SBR1031 and the family SHA-31 within the Anaerolineae class, members of which have metabolic ability to produce H$_2$ (50). Other bacteria associated with the IR/FG4 cluster were Rhodococcus ruber (an anaerobic, hydrocarbon-degrading bacterium) (51), Phycisphaeraceae (anaerobic, nitrate-reducing marine bacteria) (52), and Methylocaldum (a thermophilic methanotroph) (53, 54). The abundance of known anaerobic bacteria was higher at IR/FG4 than at the other sites, which were dominated by aerobic bacteria, possibly due to the anaerobic microenvironments at the sites or higher release of H$_2$. The LB/JSB/BF cluster was primarily associated with Nitrososphaera, Crenothrix (which have MO and iron-oxidizing capabilities [55, 56]) and some members of the genus Geodermatophilus (44, 57). These sites (LB/JSB/BF) had a higher relative abundance of typical soil bacteria (44) than the other sites. This result is likely a consequence of the vicinity to the borders of the ophiolitic outcrop, which may influence the community structures through geochemical as well as microbial input from outside the outcrop. The sulfate-reducing bacterium in the Thermodesulfovibrio family found at FG6 is a thermophilic, often obligate anaerobe that has been observed in alfalfa-rice soils (58). The presence of Thermodesulfovibrio at FG6 may be explained by the proximity to the ever-burning flames but not by the S content since all ICP analyses indicated that the S content was below the detection limit. The presence of other sulfate-reducing bacteria such as members of the order Desulfovibrionales at LB, JSB, FG1, and FG4 suggests that S is present at the sites although our data did not indicate the presence of S. However, Meyer-Dombard et al. (20) measured major ions and determined that SO$_4^{2-}$ was present at concentrations of...
approximately 29.1 ppm, which supports our results indicating the presence of sulfate reducers.

Conclusions. The microbial community structure at the Chimaera ophiolite is similar to that of other terrestrial, serpentine-driven ecosystems and features H₂ oxidizers, methane oxidizers, and thermophilic bacteria. Nutrient limitation and low diversity were associated with Chloroflexi members, as observed in similar environments. Some metal-resistant and radiation-resistant bacteria were detected at the site, consistent with the geochemical and environmental properties of the samples. Coupling between the special geochemistry of the site (methane and hydrogen seeps and ever-burning flames) and bacterial community structures was observed, such as the presence of methane oxidizers, hydrogen oxidizers, trace metal-resistant bacteria, and thermophilic bacteria as well as the presence of archaea. A clear phylogenetic difference was observed between the different sites, where samples from the middle of the ophiolite, with strong pH gradients and absence of soil, showed a lower diversity as well as differences in community structures. Samples closer to the edges of the ophiolite had a stronger soil-like microbial distribution and higher diversity. Some samples showed a different microbial composition where Chloroflexi members dominated the bacterial community. Archaea were present in low relative abundance in all samples except one from the larger rivers passing by the outcrop on the northern side, where almost 12% of the relative abundance was represented by archaea.

Future studies include functional analyses in order to more strongly couple the geochemical data to the microbial data, especially when it comes to the coupling between CH₄, H₂, and populations, including the use of specific primers to investigate archaea in more detail.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples. A total of 16 samples were obtained at different sites, as follows (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material). The JSB site was a river passing north of the exposed ophiolite (pH 8.02). The SB site was a river passing through the carbonates and close to the southern part of Chimaera (pH 9.47). The LB site was a small stream that meanders over the ophiolite that has an upwelling source uphill. Four samples were collected at the source of this stream: LB, LB1, LB2, and LB2.2. LB and LB1 were collected at approximately the same sites with a pH of 7.57, and LB2 and LB2.2 were collected at approximately the same sites with a pH of 8.00. The FG site was a small stream that meanders over the ophiolite and has an upwelling source uphill and another source at the exposed sampling site (Fig. S2a to c). Eight different samples were collected from this stream: FG1 at the fluid source; downhill at FG2, FG4 (which is fed by another fluid source), and FG6; and three samples from FG7 (FG7_1, FG7_2, and FG7_3) collected at almost the same spot. The pH values were 7.49 at FG1, 7.43 at FG2, 9 at FG4, 10.70 at FG6, and 8.66 at FG7. The BF site was a small site with travertines and biofilms at the end of a small stream (Fig. S2d). Two samples were collected in almost the same spot, BF1 and BF2, with a pH of 11.4. The IR site was a small stream that meanders over the ophiolite and has an upwelling source uphill and sediment with a strong rusty color (pH of 7.9).

Sampling area. The sampling sites are situated at an exposed area located on the Tekirova ophiolite outcrop, which is north of Çıralı, Antalya, Turkey (Fig. 6). Flames of burning gas are scattered around the site, and three small fluid seeps meander their way downward over the outcrop. The site is mostly dry during the year, but during the rainy season (November to February), small streams and ponds of standing water are present not only on the primary sampling site but also close to other sampling sites located at higher elevations. On-site pH measurements were obtained using a hand-held pH meter (pHTestr 30; Thermo Scientific, Eutech Instruments Pte, Ltd., Germany), with an uncertainty of approximately 0.2 pH units.

Sample collection. Fluid samples for trace element analyses were collected from BF1, BF2, FG2, FG4, FG6, FG7_1, IR, LB, LB1, and LB2 by withdrawing fluids using sterile syringes. The samples were then injected into acid-washed 10-ml plastic tubes (pretreated with 5 μl of concentrated HNO₃) and sealed carefully. DNA extraction samples were collected from all sites using sterile spoons, needles, and syringes. Sediment samples (LB, LB2, FG1, FG2, FG4, FG6, FG7_3, BF2, and IR) were scooped into sterile plastic tubes and immediately placed in a container filled with dry ice for freezing at −60 to −80°C. Some samples (JSB, SB, FG7_1, FG7_2, BF1, LB1, and LB2.2) were filtered through a sterile, vacuum-driven (with a hand-held pump) filtration system (Stericup; Merck Millipore, Germany). The filter was removed, placed in a sterile plastic vial, and frozen on dry ice. Solid samples for mineral analyses were collected using a rock hammer and plastic bags. The sediments collected for mineral identification were scooped into sterile plastic tubes with an acid-washed plastic spoon.

Optical microscopy, ESEM, and Raman spectroscopy. Thin sections were prepared (VanPetro Petrographics, Ltd., Vancouver, Canada) from the FG6, FG7, BF, and IR sites. All thin-section samples were optically (under regular and fluorescence light) examined using a Leitz DMRBE fluorescence microscope. The surface composition and topography were analyzed semiquantitatively on an XL30 environmental
scanning electron microscope (ESEM) with a field emission gun (FEG). The ESEM was equipped with an Oxford x-ray energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS), a backscatter electron detector (BSE), and a secondary electron detector (SE). Peak and elemental analyses were performed using INCA (isotopomer network compartmental analysis) Suite, version 4.11, software. None of the samples were coated.

**FIG 6** Location of the Chimaera ophiolite. The upper panel shows the location on the map (map of Yanartaş, Antalya, Turkey [Google Maps], accessed 6 March 2017), and the lower panel shows the sampling sites on the ophiolite. The samples SB and JSB are located outside the picture.
Mineralogical analyses were performed using a Horiba Scientific LabRAM HR 800 laser Raman confocal spectrometer equipped with a multichannel air-cooled charge-coupled-device (CCD) detector. An Ar ion laser (λ = 514 nm) was used as the excitation source. The instrument was integrated with an Olympus microscope, and the laser beam was focused to a spot of 1 μm with a 100× objective and a spectral resolution of approximately 0.3 cm⁻¹. The instrument was calibrated using a neon lamp and the Raman line (520.7 cm⁻¹) of a silicon wafer. Instrument control and data acquisition were performed with LabSpec, version 5, software and known databases such as RRUFF (59, 60).

**Analysis of trace elements in solution.** The dissolved-element content was measured using inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) (Spectro, Varian Vista AX) with Ar as the carrier gas. The analytical error was ~4%. All measurements were obtained using multielement standards for inductively coupled plasma-mass spectroscopy ICP-MS (LGC Promochem).

**DNA extraction.** Triplicate samples of genomic DNA were extracted from each sample site using a FastDNA soil kit from MP Biomedicals (Qbiogene, Illkirch, France) and an MP Biomedicals homogenizer. DNA extraction was performed at SciLifeLab, Stockholm, Sweden, using a MiSeq Illumina sequencing platform. Preparation for Illumina sequencing was performed using triplicate DNA extractions and using a two-step PCR approach (61). The first PCR was conducted with the primers 515F (GTGCACGCMGCCGCGGGTAA) and 805R (GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT) (62) to amplify the V4 region of both bacterial and archaeal 16S rRNA genes. Due to extraction and primer biases, our results are all relative abundances.

**Sequence data analysis.** Sequence analyses were performed as described earlier (61). In brief, the sequences were first quality trimmed and further processed using the Quantitative Insights into Microbial Ecology (QIIME) software package, version 1.8 (63). The sequence data were clustered into OTUs at 97% sequence similarity using an open reference OTU picking strategy. The most abundant sequences in each OTU were selected as representative sequences and further aligned against the Greengenes core set using PyNAST software (63). Taxonomy was assigned to each OTU using the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) classifier with a minimum confidence threshold of 80% (64). The chimeric sequences were removed by ChimeraSlayer (65), and the final OTU table was filtered based on the criterion that OTUs observed in all three replicates were retained. The OTU tables were subsampled (according to the sample containing the smallest set of sequences) to equalize the sampling depths. Bacterial sequences were separated from the total sequence set and retained for further analysis.

**Accession number(s).** The sequences determined in this study have been deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under accession number PRJNA353093.
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