Use of pulsed electric fields to induce breakage of glandular trichome cells in leaves of fresh patchouli (Pogostemon cablin Benth.): Specific energy input consumption
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Abstract
Research has been performed using a pulsed electric field (PEF) to damage plant cells to obtain bioactive compounds before extraction. However, research into the use of PEF to break down the glandular trichome (GT) cells of patchouli for essential oil extraction is still limited. The purpose of this study was to determine the specific energy input needed to break patchouli leaf GT cells by PEF treatment. Patchouli leaves were harvested at 7 months of age, then treated with PEF. GT cell changes were analyzed using scanning electron microscopy. The results show that treatment with variable frequencies caused GT cell wrinkling and treatments with a variable electric field caused GT cell rupture. Electric field treatment at E=133.33 V/cm and a PEF exposure time of 2 seconds or E=116.66 V/cm and 3 seconds of PEF exposure resulted in consistent rupture of GT cells. Energy consumption of 0.049 kJ/cm³ promoted GT cell wall shrinkage and consumption of 0.59 kJ/cm³ broke GT cell walls.

Introduction
The extraction of plant intracellular compounds and bioactive materials has been reported using solid-liquid extraction methods. One factor that can positively affect the extraction process is the level of cell membrane damage. Physical, chemical, and biological treatments are used to induce cell membrane damage. Pulsed electric fields (PEFs) are considered a promising method for cellular network disruption without influencing cell components.1 The specific energy consumption (W) of plant tissue breakage using PEF treatment has been assessed in several studies, usually in the range of 1-15 kJ/kg.2 The extraction of the isoflavonoids daidzein and genistein from soybean requires energy consumption of 1.857 kJ/kg and 0.743 kJ/kg, respectively.3 Some researchers have reported that 6.4-16.2 kJ/kg is the required energy consumption for effective PEFs in potato,4 0.4-6.7 kJ/kg for grape skins,5 2.5 kJ/kg for red beetroot,6 3.9 kJ/kg for sugar beet,7 and 10 kJ/kg for chicory root.8

As noted above, research has been done into cell membrane electroporation methods used for extraction of various useful plant cell components, but studies on patchouli oil have not been performed.9,10 The effect of low-energy PEFs (3-10 kJ/kg) on polyphenol extraction from grape seeds has been assessed.11 The specific energy input (W) has been identified as a major parameter in the process of extraction.

The magnitude of the specific energy input needed for cell membrane breakage depends on the cathode-anode distance and the chamber dimensions. Treatments using 32 kJ/kg of specific energy for apple juice extraction has been found to increase the yield from 71.1% to 76.3%.12 The required specific energy input for algae suspension extraction (100 g dry weight per kg) is 1 MJ/kg.13 Increasing the electric field strength (E) results in a decrease in the required energy to induce maximum damage.

This study is useful in the essential oil industry for the improvement of product quality and quantity. Information about the optimal electric field intensity and the number of pulses to induce glandular trichome (GT) cell wall partial before initiating the essential oil distillation process could be very useful, as these results can be used to find the minimal energy needed to disrupt membranes for a given sample unit weight.12 PEF pretreatment is expected to reduce overall energy consumption in distillation. Thus research into the energy consumption required to induce breakage in GT cells is related to the overall production cost of essential oils, which makes this a very interesting area of study.

Materials and Methods

Materials
The patchouli plants used in this study were the Tapaktuan (Aceh) variant and were grown in Kesamben District, Bli Itar, East Java. Plants were harvested at 7 months of age. Leaf cell morphology before and after PEF treatment was observed, with scanning electron microscopy (SEM), especially the GT cells.

Sample preparation for glandular trichome cell observation
Patchouli leaves were cut into about 1 cm² pieces and coated with gold using a sputter coater (SC-7620). Leaves in the treatment group were treated with PEFs before being cut and observed using SEM.

Electric field treatments
The electric field treatment of patchouli leaves with the PEF generator is illustrated in Figure 1. Patchouli leaves were placed in the chamber, in between the cathode and anode, then the generator was turned on. The leaves were treated with variations in electric field strength (E=33.33 to 133.33 kV/cm). The patchouli leaves were treated with PEFs for 2 seconds with the frequency in the range of 1-10 kHz. SEM analysis of the samples was performed using an sputter coater (SC-7620) and a SEM machine (FEI Quanta 200).
V/cm) in Protocol I, with 2 and 3 seconds of PEF exposure at 1000 Hz. Variations in frequency (500 to 2000 Hz) were used on leaves in Protocol II with 2 and 3 seconds of PEF exposure and E held constant at 66.67 V/cm. Treatments were performed three times.

Microscopic analysis

The shape changes of GT cells in patchouli leaves was observed before and after PEF exposure. Microscopic observations were performed on fresh leaves using a scanning electron microscope (SEM; FEI-Inspect S25-EDAX).

Energy calculation

The calculation of the required energy for GT cell breakage was used to estimate the specific energy input required during the electric field strength treatment\(^\text{14}\). The equation for this calculation is:

\[
W = U^2 \cdot t \cdot R_c^{-1} \cdot V_c^{-1},
\]

where \(W\) = energy specific input (kJ/cm\(^3\)), \(U\) = electric potential (kV), \(t\) = total time of PEF exposure (s), \(R_c\) = resistance of the electrode (\(\Omega\)) and \(V_c\) = volume of the chamber (cm\(^3\)).

Statistical analysis

The experiments were performed three times. Means and standard deviations were calculated. The data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA using SPSS version 17. A 5% significance level was used for each analysis.

Results

The number of glandular trichrome cells

Patchouli oil is produced in peltate glandular trichome cells on both of leaf sides. The density of GT cells is very important for the patchouli plant. The number of GT cells on both of leaf surfaces was approximately 1550/cm\(^2\) (Figure 2).

Influence of voltage on GT cell breakage

The ANOVA model had an \(R^2\) was 0.982, which indicates that 98.2% of GT cell breakage was affected by the electric field strength (E); the percentage of GT cell damage is shown in Figure 3. At an electric field strength of 33.33 V/cm, 85% of GT cells were broken at a PEF exposure time of 2 seconds; this increased to 92% with 3 seconds of PEF exposure. Increased voltage and exposure times to the PEF had a real effect on GT cell damage. PEF-induced damage in the plant tissue is dependent on the electrophysical properties of the cell membrane, such as impedance and capacitance.\(^\text{15}\) Irreversible rupture of onion tissue occurs at 333 V/cm, and the number of ruptured cells increases with increasing frequency and duration of PEF exposure.\(^\text{16}\) In the present study, it was found that 3 sec-

![Figure 1. The electric field treatment of patchouli leaves with the PEF generator.](image1)

![Figure 2. The number of glandular trichrome cells.](image2)

![Figure 3. Influence of voltage on GT cell breakage.](image3)
onds of PEF exposure was needed to break all GT cells with an electric field strength of 116.67 V/cm (1750 volts), whereas 2 seconds of PEF exposure with an electric field strength of 133.33 V/cm (2000 volts) caused 99% of the GT cells to be damaged (Figure 3).

**Influence of frequency on GT cell breakage**

The results show that treatment using frequencies above 1000 Hz has a significant effect on GT cell damage induction; PEF exposure for 2 or 3 seconds did not have a significant difference regarding the effect. Treatment at 2000 Hz for 2 seconds of PEF exposure with an electric field strength of 33.33 V/cm resulted in 99% GT cell damage, whereas 3 seconds of PEF exposure resulted in 100% GT cell damage (Figure 4).

**Influence of specific energy input on GT cell breakage**

The energy supplied to patchouli leaves during treatment led the tissues to become fragile, which facilitated the extraction of essential oils contained in GT cells. The calculation of the number of broken GT cells is reflected in the specific energy input (W) required for GT cell damage in the chamber. Based on Figure 4, the GT cell shape changed visibly after PEF treatment. Shrinkage and rupture of GT cells were used to calculate the W that passed through the chamber where the material was exposed to PEF treatment.

The percentage of GT cells damaged due to PEF treatment in patchouli leaves with W = 0.03 kJ/cm³ was 85.6%. GT cell damage with W = 0.29 kJ/cm³ was 98.5%, and 100% in material treated with W= 0.53 kJ/cm³. GT cell damage was 93% after treatment with 0.03 kJ/cm³ of specific energy input for 3 seconds of PEF exposure and reached 100% with a specific energy input ≥0.26 kJ/cm³ (Figure 4). Treatments using less than 1 second of PEF exposure at the same specific energy input damaged GT cells in patchouli leaves. Thus, cell damage by PEF treatment required only nanoseconds.

**Discussion**

**The number of glandular trichrome cells**

The number of GT cells on the lower leaf surface (abaxial) was two-fold higher compared to the upper leaf surface (adaxial), indicating that patchouli leaves have a greater economic value than other parts of the plant. The density of the GT cells reflects the amount of oil contained, and post-harvest handling of leaves should be done so that the oil is not decomposed and lost. The adaxial side is more frequently exposed to physical influences such as heat, rain and other factors, so the possibility of GT cell damage is greater; damage could lead to evaporation of the essential oil prior to harvest. On the abaxial side, GT cells are more protected so that the amount of oil can be maintained.

**Influence of voltage on GT cell breakage**

The critical electric field strength depends on the number of pulses, and PEF treatment may be more efficient if a higher electric field strength is used. The effect of the electric field strength (E) on GT cells was determined by the change in GT cell shape and patchouli oil components. Patchouli alcohol is the most important component of patchouli oil and alkaline solution (pH 6.3-6.8). Cells will burst into a deflated-ball form with negative pole lead tendency after PEF treatment (Figure 5). The relationship between E and the duration of PEF exposure is a very important factor that can affect the energy received by plant tissue cells. The optimal electric field strength (Eopt) of vegetable tissue depends on the cell network and cell-wall type. The duration of PEF exposure in plant cells affects the cell wall integrity of plant tissue.

**Influence of frequency on GT cell breakage**

The effect of increasing the frequency while treating fresh patchouli leaf GT cells did not cause breakage but rather caused the GT cells to be wrinkled while increasing the voltage caused breakage. The percentage of GT cells damaged at a frequency of 500 Hz was 76.5% and 87.3% after 2 and 3 seconds of PEF exposure, respectively. GT cell dam-

---

**Figure 4.** Influence of frequency on GT cell damage.

**Figure 5.** GT cell shape before (A) and after (B) PEF treatment.
nage increased sharply in the frequency range of 500 to 1000 Hz with 2 and 3 seconds of PEF exposure, indicating that damage starts at a low frequency. PEF treatment at a low frequency can increase the rate of tissue permeabilization.20 At low frequencies, the GT cell membrane acts as a capacitor to prevent the flow of electrical current into the intracellular medium. After increasing the frequency, the cell membrane becomes less and less resistant to current flow. GT cell damage reached 100% after treatment at 1750 Hz with 3 seconds of PEF exposure and an electric field strength of 33.33 V/cm. The damage levels were 98% and 99% when leaves were treated at 2000 Hz for 2 seconds of PEF exposure.

At a high frequency, the membrane is completely shorted out and the absolute value of the complex impedance is representative of the contribution of both the extracellular and intracellular media (ohmic behavior). Therefore, further tissue damage, caused by external stress due to PEF treatment, can be detected at low frequencies.1 Statistical analysis showed that the R² value was 99.1%, which indicates that frequency has a considerable influence on GT cell damage.

Influence of specific energy input on GT cell breakage

The treatment of voltage was higher energy yields than the frequency and voltage effect against the GT cell damage also higher. As a consequence of so-called electroporation, this will result in increased permeability of GT cell and increase cell damage. When a higher voltage is used, then the strength of the electric field also increases, as does the amount of energy received by the material.21,22 Changes were observed in the structure of GT cells in patchouli leaves following treatment with different specific energy inputs (Figure 6). Mechanical damage to GT cells was greatly affected by parameters such as cell shape and electrode distance, and GT cell volume decreased, influenced by PEF intensity.23 Electric field strength (E), specific energy input (W), and temperature (T) have been identified as the major parameters in the process of damage induction. The electric field strength initiates the effects, while the specific energy input can be applied as the parameter dose. The required specific energy input totally depends on the application, e.g., 5-10 kJ/kg is needed to increase plant tissue porosity.3 The estimated specific energy consumption for the electroporation of apple, carrot, and potato tissue is 400 V/cm.24

The specific energy input (W) required to break down and rupture GT cells in patchouli leaves was ±0.59 kJ/cm³. The GT cell shape after PEF treatment resembled an exploded ball. The application of PEF to optimize plant tissue rupture is specific for each type of crop and influenced by the plant cell wall structure. The required specific energy input to break down GT cells in patchouli leaves is lower than in apple (7.5 kJ/kg), which correlates with a report by Lebovka et al.25 The specific energy input will have an impact on the effectiveness of cell destruction.26 PEFs application with a low specific energy input (~7 kJ/kg) on betainin extraction from beet tubers provided the highest yield.27 Cells were ruptured when treated with a specific energy input of ~0.5 kJ/cm³. The energy required to induce GT cell wrinkling was ~0.1 KJ/cm³. Cell rupture and damage are determined by the voltage, pulse number, and type of treated material.28

The cells were damaged due to potential differences inside and outside the cell, known as the trans-membrane potential.29 The GT cells of patchouli leaves observed in this study are soft, while the cell walls are made of cellulose. PEF treatment was affected by GT cell damage, the permeability of the electro-membrane, and cell size.22

Conclusions

A specific energy is required to obtain the essential oil so it can be easily extracted from the cells. This study may potentially help in industrial aspects by reducing the time and cost of production and increasing the productivity and income of facilities extracting essential oils or other cell products from *P. cablin*.
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