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ABSTRACT
We present a dynamical study of the narrow-line regions in two nearby type 2 quasars (QSO2s). We construct dynamical models based on detailed photoionization models of the emission-line gas, including the effects of internal dust, to apply to observations of large-scale outflows from these active galactic nuclei (AGNs). We use Mrk 477 and Mrk 34 in order to test our models against recent Hubble Space Telescope (HST) Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph (STIS) observations of [OIII] emission-line kinematics, since these AGNs possess more energetic outflows than found in Seyfert galaxies. We find that the outflows within 500 pc are consistent with radiative acceleration of dusty gas, however the outflows in Mrk 34 are significantly more extended and may not be directly accelerated by radiation. We characterize the properties of X-ray winds found from the expansion of [OIII]-emitting gas close to the black hole. We show that such winds possess the kinetic energy density to disturb [OIII] gas at ~1.8 kpc, and have sufficient energy to entrain the [OIII] clouds at ~1.2 kpc. Assuming that the X-ray wind possesses the same radial mass distribution as the [OIII] gas, we find that the peak kinetic luminosity for this wind is 2 per cent of Mrk 34’s bolometric luminosity, which is in the 0.5–5 per cent range required by some models for efficient feedback. Our work shows that, although the kinetic luminosity as measured from [OIII]-emitting gas is frequently low, X-ray winds may provide more than one order of magnitude higher kinetic power.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Supermassive black holes (SMBHs) can be found within the centre of all massive galaxies (Murgorrian et al. 1998; Kormendy & Ho 2013). A small percentage of these are actively accreting material from the surrounding accretion disc, which we define as active galactic nuclei (AGNs). This process of fuelling the AGN and the subsequent feedback are widely acknowledged to play a critical role in the evolution of galaxies by expelling gas from the central regions of galaxies, shutting down their global star formation, and regulating their stellar mass and size growth (e.g. Davf, Finlator & Oppenheim 2012; Fabian 2012; Vogelsberger et al. 2013; Heckman & Best 2014; King & Pounds 2015; Naab & Ostriker 2017). Evidence for AGN outflows, which can produce feedback, can be observed in emission in optical and infrared spectroscopy as
high-velocity gas (up to ~7000 km s⁻¹ in the most extreme cases; Perrotta et al. 2019), with full width at half-maximum (FWHM) > 250 km s⁻¹, inside the narrow-line region (NLR), a region with typical electron density in the order of 10⁷–10⁸ cm⁻³, which often has a biconical morphology (Pogge 1988a,b), where the ionized gas extends from the torus to distances between tens and thousands of pc from their nucleus. AGN outflows can also be observed in absorption in the ultraviolet (UV) and X-ray spectra of type 1 AGN (e.g. Laha et al. 2021). More energetic types of outflows can be absorption in the UV and X-ray spectra of type 1 AGN of pc from their nucleus. AGN outflows can also be observed in gas extends from the torus to distances between tens and thousands of pc from their nucleus. AGN outflows can also be observed in absorption in the ultraviolet (UV) and X-ray spectra of type 1 AGN (e.g. Laha et al. 2021). More energetic types of outflows can be found in broad absorption line (BAL) quasars (QSOs; e.g. Hazard et al. 1984; Knigge et al. 2008), which exhibit broad blueshifted absorption lines, with low-velocity edges at ~0.007c–0.03c and high-velocity edges at ~0.01c–0.25c (Gibson et al. 2009). The minimum velocity for BALs reaches 0 km s⁻¹ and, in some cases, >0 km s⁻¹ (e.g. McGraw et al. 2017). The maximum centroid velocity in the rest wavelength interval between 1549 and 1400 Å (e.g. Gibson et al. 2009) can reach blueshifted velocities with magnitude greater than 0.06c. However, there are also documented cases of UV BALs reaching blueshifted velocities with magnitude of almost 0.2c (e.g. Rodríguez Hidalgo, Hamann & Hall 2011; Hamann et al. 2013). In addition, the fastest X-ray outflows, or ultra-fast outflows (UFOs), are seen in a large fraction of local AGNs (Tombesi et al. 2010a,b, 2015), and are detected via blueshifted X-ray absorption lines with velocities at ~0.02c–0.25c.

High-velocity clouds in the NLR have been attributed to outflows with mass outflow rates in the order of 1–10 M☉ yr⁻¹ (e.g. Dibai & Pronik 1965; Crenshaw et al. 2009, 2015; Storchi-Bergmann et al. 2010; Müller-Sánchez et al. 2011). The outflow rates exceed the mass accretion rates required for the observed AGN bolometric luminosities, which suggests that most of the fuelling flow is blown out by radiation pressure and/or highly ionized winds (Everett & Murray 2007).

Different mechanisms have been proposed to drive the outflowing gas (e.g. Mathews 1974; Veilleux, Cecil & Bland-Hawthorn 2005; King & Pounds 2015). One possibility, given the strong radiation field in AGNs, is the radiative acceleration of outflows (e.g. McKee & Tarter 1975; Icke 1977; Shields 1977; Murray et al. 1995; Chiang & Murray 1996; Proga, Stone & Kallman 2000; Chelouche & Netzer 2001, 2003a,b; Proga & Kallman 2004). Magnetic fields are also considered a candidate for launching winds near the accretion disc in AGNs (e.g. Blandford & Payne 1982; Contopoulos & Lovelace 1994; Bottorff et al. 1997; Bottorff & Ferland 2000; Bottorff, Korista & Shlosman 2000). In addition, there are studies that have combined these two mechanisms, focusing on the importance of radiative acceleration within magnetic winds (e.g. de Kool & Begelman 1995; Everett, Königl & Arav 2002; Everett 2005; Mizumoto et al. 2019). A third proposed mechanism is thermal outflows. Chelouche & Netzer (2005) and Everett & Murray (2007) studied the possibility of thermally driven (Parker) winds and their ‘ability’ to explain some observed low-velocity (ν ~ 500 km s⁻¹) X-ray absorption features.

When it comes to radiative acceleration, the gas will be dust free at distances close to the source, i.e. within the sublimation radius (Barvains 1987), but may still be radiatively accelerated via bound–bound and bound–free transitions within the irradiated gas. For example, Arav & Li (1994) and Arav, Li & Begelman (1994) modelled radiative acceleration of BAL clouds based on models of winds from hot stars (Castor, Abbott & Klein 1975). Arav et al. (1994) assumed that the confinement is non-thermal, and they found that they could achieve high radial velocities and realistic absorption line profiles, including the drop in opacity toward higher velocities, if the BAL gas originated within ~0.1 pc of the central source and was dynamically coupled to a hot confining medium.

Further from the source, e.g. in the NLR, along with bound–bound and bound–free transitions (see Section 3.1), internal dust can provide a major source of opacity (Dopita, Groves & Sutherland 2002). In regions where the dust is optically thin and dynamically well coupled to the gas, the radiation pressure force on the dust plus gas can easily exceed the gravitational force, even for highly sub-Eddington luminosities (e.g. Phinney 1989; Pier & Krolik 1992; Laor & Draine 1993). Studies have been done on the effects of dust within the NLR (Netzer & Laor 1993) and various studies (e.g. Baldwin et al. 1991; Pier & Voit 1995; Dopita et al. 2002) have used photoionization models to study how the radiation pressure exerted by the AGN acts upon dust within the ionized gas. Dust plays an important role in this process, as well as being responsible for producing photoelectric heating in the photoionized plasma and absorbing the ionizing photons.

Connecting the inner wind with large-scale molecular outflows is crucial for obtaining a global view of outflows (Tombesi et al. 2015). One of the ways of exploring this connection is via studies of the NLR. The first high spatially resolved spectra of the NLRs were obtained as a product of the high-resolution observations obtained with the Hubble Space Telescope (HST; e.g. Crenshaw & Kraemer 2000; Crenshaw et al. 2000; Kaiser et al. 2000; Kraemer & Crenshaw 2000; Kraemer et al. 2000). More recently, there have been studies using ground-based integral field units (IFUs) observations (e.g. Barbosa et al. 2006; Storchi-Bergmann et al. 2010; Fischer et al. 2017; Gniška et al. 2020). There have been several analyses of the outflow kinematics (e.g. Das et al. 2005, 2006; Crenshaw et al. 2010; Fischer et al. 2010, 2011, 2013; Revalski et al. 2021) in nearby AGN. These authors have found that the observed velocity pattern often possessed a signature of radial acceleration followed by deceleration.

Crenshaw et al. (2015) showed that the outflow rate in the NLR of the Seyfert galaxy NGC 4151 was much higher than expected from a nuclear outflow. This indicates that most of the outflowing ionized gas they observed must have originated at large distances from the SMBH, i.e. accelerated in situ. One possibility is that the outflowing gas was accelerated off a large reservoir of gas that was accreted before the AGN ‘turned on’. Support for this theory comes from the Gemini Near-Infrared Field Spectrograph (NIFS) observations of another Seyfert galaxy, Mrk 573, by Fischer et al. (2017). They observed evidence for outflows accelerated off circumnuclear dust spirals that cross into the NLR bicone.

The Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph (STIS) allows high spatial and spectral resolution studies of the NLR, offering data sufficient to constrain photoionization and dynamical models of the inner region of AGNs. In particular, Fischer et al. (2018) measured the [O iii] 5007 Å velocities and line profile widths as a function of radial distance of 12 of the 15 brightest targets at z ~ 0.12 from the Reyes et al. (2008) sample of type 2 quasars (QSO2s). The study characterized AGN-driven outflows in each QSO2 of the sample by analysing the [O iii] morphology and emission-line kinematics of each target. QSOs were chosen for this study because of the luminosity effect, since they are more luminous than nearby AGN, i.e. Seyfert galaxies, that had been studied so far. More specifically, QSO2s were chosen over type 1 quasars (QSO1s), since the observed NLR morphologies in QSO1s can be strongly affected by projection effects.

Based on their kinematics, Fischer et al. (2018) categorized the influence of the central AGNs in three different regions, as a function

In this study, our kinematic models are more complex than the biconical model presented by Fischer et al. (2013).
of distance from the nucleus. In the inner region, the emission lines have multiple components with high central velocities and high FWHM, which are consistent with outflows. At greater distances, gas is still being ionized by the AGN radiation but emission lines exhibit low central velocities with low FWHM, consistent with rotation of the host galaxy. In addition, Fischer et al. (2018) identified a third kinematic component, at intermediate distances, which presents low central velocities but high FWHM. This kinematics is referred to as ‘disturbed’ kinematics and they suggest that AGN activity may be disrupting this gas, causing large turbulent motion within the [O III]-emitting gas2 without resulting in radial acceleration.

We have recently continued the analysis of these AGNs (Trindade Falcão et al. 2021, hereafter Paper I). Using the same data as Fischer et al. (2018), we computed masses, mass outflow rates, kinetic energies, kinetic energy rates, momenta, and momentum flow rates of these outflows. We concluded that the outflows in our sample contain a maximum total ionized gas mass of $3.4 \times 10^7 \, M_\odot$, and a maximum outflow rate of $10.3 \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$, both for Mrk 34. The ratios between the maximum kinetic luminosity and the bolometric luminosity for the entire sample, i.e. $3.4 \times 10^{-8} - 5 \times 10^{-4}$, indicate that the [O III] winds are not an efficient feedback mechanism, based on the criteria of Di Matteo, Springel & Hernquist (2005) and Hopkins & Elvis (2010).

In this study, we use the same data as Paper I and investigate the dynamics of the [O III]-emitting gas in the NLR of two of the nearest QSO2s in our sample, Mrk 477 and Mrk 34, focusing on whether the gas can be radiatively accelerated. We also explore the idea of outflowing gas being accelerated $in situ$, which is consistent with the NGC 4151 and Mrk 573 analyses (Crenshaw et al. 2015; Fischer et al. 2017). Specifically for Mrk 34, we study the possibility that X-ray winds, which were radiatively accelerated while in a lower ionization state, are responsible for the entrainment of the [O III] gas at $\sim 1.2 \, kpc$ and the disturbed kinematics detected at $\sim 1.8 \, kpc$, which we discuss in Sections 5.2 and 5.1, respectively.

2 ANALYSIS OF THE OBSERVATIONS

In this section, we summarize the observations and subsequent analysis performed in this paper. The complete and detailed analysis of the HST Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS) and STIS data are presented in the studies by Fischer et al. (2018) and in Paper I.

For this study, we select Mrk 477 and Mrk 34, two of the targets from our sample of QSO2s from Paper I, to extend our analysis of the dynamics of the [O III] gas. These AGNs have a redshift of $z = 0.038$ and $z = 0.051$, and a bolometric luminosity of $L_{bol} = 1.8 \times 10^{45} \, \text{erg s}^{-1}$ and $L_{bol} = 2.6 \times 10^{45} \, \text{erg s}^{-1}$, respectively (see Paper I, section 2.4). Among the targets in the Reyes et al. (2008) sample, Mrk 34 and Mrk 477 are the two nearest QSO2s with the best-resolved NLRs and, hence, the targets for which the radial mass profile can most readily be constructed.

2.1 The radial mass profiles of Mrk 477 and Mrk 34

We calculate the radial mass profiles of Mrk 477 and Mrk 34, which we use as inputs to our dynamical analysis described in Section 4.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{GALFIT model results.}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Component & $I_{F814W}$ (mag) & $r_e$ (kpc) & $n$ & $b/a$ ($^\circ$) & PA \\
\hline
Pseudo-bulge & 15.94 & 0.78 & 1.27 & 0.71 & 177 \\
Disc & 14.07 & 4.13 & 1.04 & 0.74 & 116 \\
\hline
Pseudo-bulge & 16.63 & 0.09 & 1.13 & 0.89 & 6 \\
Bar & 16.83 & 0.42 & 0.62 & 0.69 & 25 \\
Disc & 14.30 & 2.81 & 0.70 & 0.89 & 110 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

The radial mass distributions of Mrk 477 and Mrk 34 are determined using HST/Wide-Field Planetary Camera 3 (WFPC3) F814W images, employing the method described by Fischer et al. (2019); specifically, we use Galfit version 3.0.5 (Peng et al. 2002, 2010) to perform image decomposition to separate the galaxy/stellar light from the nuclear emission. We find that the best-fitting model is composed of two Sérsic components for Mrk 34 and three components for Mrk 477. The best-fitting parameters are presented in Table 1, and the original images, Galfit models, and resulting residual maps are presented in Figs 1 and 2 for Mrk 477 and Mrk 34, respectively. In the case of Mrk 477 we find two inner components that can be identified with a pseudo-bulge and a bar, with the addition of a third component that corresponds to the disc. In the case of Mrk 34 the two components correspond to a pseudo-bulge and a disc.

The radial mass distributions of the individual Sérsic components and the total radial mass distributions are presented in Fig. 3, for Mrk 477 and Mrk 34. They were calculated using the Galfit results and the expressions from Terzic & Graham (2005), assuming a distance of 173 Mpc for Mrk 477 and 218 Mpc for Mrk 34. Given that the stellar population of Mrk 34 is dominated by old stars (Raimann et al. 2003), we assume a mass-to-light ratio of 2 for this galaxy, which is consistent with the F814W filter used for the observations. We also assume $I_{F814W} = 4.12$ mag for the absolute magnitude of the Sun in the Vega system.

In the case of Mrk 477, the mass-to-light ratio is smaller, given that this galaxy is known to harbour a circumnuclear starburst (Heckman et al. 1997). In order to determine a more appropriate mass-to-light ratio, we scale the value used for Mrk 34 based on the spatially resolved stellar population synthesis results from Raimann et al. (2003). An inspection of their results (see their figs 8 and 16 and table 4) indicates that in the cases of Mrk 477 and Mrk 34 there is not a large variation in the stellar population as a function of radial distance up to $\sim 5 \, kpc$ from the nucleus. Given that Raimann et al. (2003) present the fractional contribution of the different stellar population age components to the light at 4020 Å, we convert these values to 8040 Å using information about the continuum properties of these components from Bica & Alloin (1986, 1987). We find that the stellar population of Mrk 34 resembles that of an S6 template (Bica 1988), composed mostly of old stars, with a small contribution (on the order of $\sim 10$ per cent) from stars with ages of 100 Myr and younger to the light at 5870 Å. In the case of Mrk 477, the stellar population resembles that of an S7 template, with similar contributions from old stars and stars with ages of 100 Myr and younger, to the light at 5870 Å.

Combining these results with those for the mass-to-light ratio of the different age components at 8040 Å (Bica, Arimoto & Alloin 1986), we determine the mass fraction from each age component to the spectrum of Mrk 34 and Mrk 477, as well as the summed value at different distances from the nucleus. We find that there is
HST observations of \([O\text{ III]}\) emission in nearby QSO2

Figure 1. Left-hand panel: HST WFPC3/PC F814W continuum image of Mrk 477. Middle panel: best-fitting galaxy decomposition model (three components) for Mrk 477. Right-hand panel: residuals between image and model.

Figure 2. Left-hand panel: HST WFPC3/PC F814W continuum image of Mrk 34. Middle panel: best-fitting galaxy decomposition model (two components) for Mrk 34. Right-hand panel: residuals between image and model.

Figure 3. Radial mass distribution profiles for each component in our model for Mrk 477 (left-hand panel) and Mrk 34 (right-hand panel). Green dashed–dotted, red dashed, purple dotted, and black lines represent the bar component, the pseudo-bulge component, the disc component, and the sum of all the components, respectively. Our radial mass distribution is calculated using the expressions from Terzic & Graham (2005) assuming a mass-to-light ratio of 1.2 for Mrk 477, and a ratio of 2 for Mrk 34, as described in Section 2.1.

A variation as function of distance from the nucleus that is smaller than 10 per cent. The mass-to-light ratio of Mrk 477 corresponds to \(\sim 0.6\) of that of Mrk 34. Based on these results we use a mass-to-light ratio of 1.2 for Mrk 477 in the F814W band. Note that Mrk 477 has a small bulge but a large disc, which dominates its radial mass profile starting at \(\sim 700\) pc (see the purple dotted line in the left-hand panel of Fig. 3). Meanwhile, Mrk 34 possesses a bigger bulge that dominates the radial mass profile as far as \(\sim 2.5\) kpc (see the red dashed line in the right-hand panel of Fig. 3).

It should be noted that a similar light profile decomposition done by Zhao et al. (2019), on these same data, obtained structures with different parameters. Some of the differences between their results and ours are due to the fact that they adopt an exponential disc profile (Sérsic \(n = 1\)) and the use of a point spread function (PSF) model. However, we find that their integrated mass distributions and radial mass profiles do not differ significantly from ours. In the case of Mrk 34, both galaxy models have the same mass, although Zhao et al. (2019) find a bulge mass 2.5 times larger than ours. A more detailed inspection shows that their model results in a more centrally peaked radial mass profile, with 2.5 times more mass in the inner 100 pc, reducing to a difference of \(\sim 10\) per cent at 500 pc and less than 1 per cent at distance larger than 1 kpc. In the case of Mrk 477, Zhao et al. (2019) find a bulge mass 50 per cent larger than that of our two inner components, while our integrated mass is 2.5 times larger than theirs. The higher integrated mass can be attributed to our choice of mass-to-light ratio for the disc. Since the velocity of the
gas is proportional to $\sqrt{M(r)}$ (see equation 3), this difference in the integrated mass of these galaxies does not alter the overall results of the paper.

### 2.2 Chandra imaging analysis of Mrk 34

The Chandra/Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (ACIS) observation of Mrk 34, with an exposure time of 100 ks and a band-pass of 0.3–10 keV, was obtained on 2017 January 30 (Obsid 18211, PI: Elvis). Unless otherwise specified, calculations are obtained using ds9, standard CIAO software tools (Fruscione et al. 2006) and (Gehrels 1986) uncertainties. Pile-up was negligible; the brightest pixel in the native-binned event file has 134 counts in 100 ks. We use subpixel imaging at 1/8th scale and convert the count rates to flux using the webPIMMS3 software tool and Galactic $N_H$ column density determined from COLDEN4 using National Radio Astronomy Observatory (NRAO) maps (Dickey & Lockman 1990). The relevant energy bands are sufficiently narrow that our flux values are insensitive to choice of model, and the maximum off-axis separation in the region of interest is small enough that it does not introduce effects that are significant relative to the Poisson noise. We assume that the nucleus is located at the spatial centroid of Fe Kα (6–7 keV). We extract flux from a 1.62 arcsec wide strip in order to take advantage of the Chandra angular resolution. The primary source of spatial uncertainty in the X-ray radial flux distribution is the ACIS PSF and source counting statistics. Using WAVDETECT5 to estimate the uncertainty of the Fe Kα (6–7 keV) position, we obtain $\sim 0.04$ arcsec. We use CIAO and MARX to simulate a monoenergy PSF at the band mid-point to estimate resolution effects. On this grid, the one-dimensional width for a point source is 0.310 (2 $\times$ 0.155) arcsec for 50 per cent encircled energy, and 0.496 (2 $\times$ 0.248) arcsec for 68.27 per cent encircled energy (1$\sigma$). Each bin would enclose 42 per cent of the counts for a point source in its centre, and each adjoining bin contains 21 per cent. Hence, it is likely that $\sim 50$ per cent of the counts in any given bin come from adjoining bins, and that $\sim 50$ per cent of the true counts in the low central bin could also have been redistributed to adjoining bins. As we are in the Poisson uncertainty regime, we limit positive detections to $3\sigma$ features that span at least two bins.

### 3 RADIATIVELY DRIVEN DYNAMICS

In order to determine whether the gas is radiatively accelerated, we solve the equation of motion for gas under the influence of gravity and radiation pressure force (e.g. Das, Crenshaw & Kraemer 2007). Assuming the acceleration is a function of radial distance, it can be written as

$$a(r) = \frac{L\sigma T M}{4\pi r^2 c \mu m_p} - \frac{G M(r)}{r^2},$$

where $L$ is the effective bolometric luminosity of the AGN (see Section 3.1), $\sigma_T$ is the Thomson scattering cross-section for the electron, $M$ is the force multiplier (see Section 3.1), $r$ is the distance from the SMBH, $c$ is the speed of light, $\mu$ is the mean mass per proton, which for our study we consider to be 1, $m_p$ is the mass of the proton, $G$ is the universal gravitational constant, and $M(r)$ is the enclosed mass at the distance $r$ determined from the radial mass distribution (see Section 2.1).

Equation (1) can be rewritten in terms of the velocity as a function of the distance from the SMBH, as follows:

$$v dr = \frac{L\sigma T M}{4\pi r^2 c \mu m_p} - \frac{G M(r)}{r^2} dr.$$

Converting equation (2) to units of km s$^{-1}$ and pc, then integrating and setting the initial velocity to zero, we can rewrite the velocity of the gas as

$$v(r) = \sqrt{\int_0^r \left[ 4886 L_{44} \frac{M(r)}{r^2} - 8.6 \times 10^{-3} \frac{M(r)}{r^2} \right] dr} \, \text{km s}^{-1},$$

where $L_{44}$ is the effective bolometric luminosity in units of $10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$, $r_1$ is the launch radius of the gas, and $M(r)$ is expressed in solar masses.

We do not consider the deceleration of the clouds by drag forces, e.g. ram pressure, due to interaction with the interstellar medium (ISM) of the host galaxy, which means that we may be overestimating our computed velocities. According to Das et al. (2007), for an ambient density of 0.1 cm$^{-3}$, there is a factor of 2 decrease in velocity. For higher densities, e.g. 1 cm$^{-3}$, it is unlikely that these outflows could be launched at all (see Das et al. 2007, their fig. 4).

We use photoionization models generated with CLOUDY (Ferland et al. 1997), which calculates conditions of the gas in zones starting at the side of the cloud closest to the source of ionization radiation, to determine inputs for the dynamical calculation, such as $M$. Therefore it is useful to briefly summarize the model inputs we used in Paper I. The model spectral energy distribution (SED) is of the form $L_\nu \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$, where $\alpha$, the spectral or energy index, is a positive number (e.g. Laor et al. 1997; Meléndez et al. 2011). We assume that the UV to low energy, ‘soft’ X-ray SED, is characterized by one value of $\alpha$, while the high energy, ‘hard’ X-ray SED, has a lower value of $\alpha$. For our study we adopt a cut-off at 100 keV and we set the breakpoint at 500 eV, using the following values (Revalski et al. 2018).

$$\alpha = 1.0 \text{ for } 1 \leq h\nu < 13.6 \text{ eV}; \alpha = 1.4 \text{ for } 13.6 \leq h\nu \leq 500 \text{ eV};$$

$$\alpha = 1.0 \text{ for } 500 \text{ eV} \leq h\nu \leq 10 \text{ keV}; \alpha = 0.5 \text{ for } 10 \leq h\nu \leq 100 \text{ keV}.$$

We also assumed elemental abundances of 1.4 $Z_{\odot}$ (see Paper I).

### 3.1 Force multiplier

The force multiplier ($M$) is defined as the ratio of the total absorption and scattering cross-section of the accelerated dust and gas to the Thomson cross-sections (Castor et al. 1975; Abbott 1982; Crenshaw, Kraemer & George 2003). In addition to Compton scattering, the momentum transferred to the gas is a result of the absorption of continuum radiation by bound–bound, bound–free, Compton scattering, and free–free processes. The importance of these various processes depends on the atomic cross-section, the ionization state of the gas, which can be quantified in terms of the ionization parameter,$^6$ $U$, and the SED.

$^6$The ionization parameter is given here by $U = \frac{Q}{4\pi r^2 n_p}$, where $Q$ is the number of ionizing photons per second emitted by the AGN, $r$ is the radial
The largest cross-sections occur for bound–bound transitions, which are observed as UV resonance lines. The most important ones for [O III]-emitting gas (which we will refer to as [O III]-gas) occur at energies lower than the ionization potential of hydrogen, i.e., $hv < 13.6$ eV. For our assumed SED, the value of $L_o$ is larger than the ionization potential of hydrogen value at the ionization threshold. However, at large optical depth, large cross-sections imply that the gas becomes 'self-shielded', which means that bound–bound absorption contributes the most at the illuminated surface, but decays quickly into the cloud (see Chelouche & Netzer 2001, their fig. 1). The bound–free transitions are the next largest contributing process to the total cross-section. The cross-section for Compton scattering is equal to the Thomson cross-section, $\sigma_T = 6.65 \times 10^{-25}$ cm$^{-2}$, since we are in the non-relativistic regime, therefore Compton scattering is negligible unless the column density is $\gtrsim 10^{28}$ cm$^{-2}$. However, Compton scattering is the main source of opacity in highly ionized gas, e.g., with only H-like states remaining for the most abundant heavy elements, since the other sources of opacity, e.g., bound–bound and bound–free transition, are negligible in this regime. For most cases, free–free absorption contributes very little to the radiation pressure ($\approx 0.01$ Compton).

The value of $M$ at a given point in a gas cloud generally decreases as the column density and hence the optical depth increases. As the UV resonance lines saturate and the bound–free edges become optically thick, there is no contribution to the gas opacity at those particular wavelengths, which means that the effective cross-section per particle decreases, and, therefore, so does the $M$ (e.g. Blumenthal & Mathews 1979; Mathews 1982; Chelouche & Netzer 2001). $M$ also depends on the ionization state of the gas. As the ionization parameter increases, the value for $M$ decreases (see Fig. 4), since there are fewer bound electrons available and the number of bound–bound and bound–free transitions decreases.

One can do a number of different things to estimate a more accurate $M$ for the entire cloud. One possibility is to compute a column density ($N_H$) weighted $M$, as follows:

$$M = \sum M_i (N_{hi} - N_{hi-1}) / N_{hi}, \quad (4)$$

where $M$ is the column density weighted force multiplier, $M_i$ is the force multiplier from the $i$th zone of cloudy, $N_{hi}$ is the column density for the $i$th zone inside the cloud, and $N_{hi-1}$ is the column density where the integration stops for the last of the set of models. In most cases, the results are slightly larger than the final zone original values (except when it reaches the edge of a Strömgren sphere, since, as the hydrogen goes neutral, the total bound–free cross-section for hydrogen increases). This is because, by 'weighting' $M$ by the column density, the contributions of gas characterized by higher $M$ are included in the calculation (see Fig. 4).

As noted in the Introduction, another source of opacity is internal dust (Dopita et al. 2002). The value of $M$ increases, particularly at higher column densities, when dust is included in the models (see Fig. 4). For all our models in this study, we assume grain abundances of 50 per cent of those determined for the ISM (e.g. Mathis, Rumpl & Nordsieck 1977), with the depletions from gas phase scaled accordingly (e.g. Snow & Witt 1996). We also use elemental abundances of $Z/Z_0 = 1.4$ (see Paper I). Assuming a dust-to-gas ratio equal to that of the ISM results in a computed value of $M \sim 1.3$ times larger than the 50 per cent ISM models, at $N_H = 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$.

Unlike bound–free absorption, the dust cross-section is large at energies $\leq 13.6$ eV, which provides a source of opacity after the bound–bound transitions saturate and the ionizing radiation is absorbed. At very high ionization, Compton scattering is the dominant source of opacity unless there is dust present, since the dust absorption cross-section is much larger than $\sigma_T$ (King & Pounds 2015). The difference can be seen when the $M$ values for the dusty and dust-free models with $\log U > -0.5$ are compared (see Fig. 4).

A cloud$^8$ of gas needs to be partially confined in order to beradiatively accelerated efficiently, because it has to stay long enough in a given state for this to happen (Blumenthal & Mathews 1979). A cloud can be confined by different means, such as ambient gas pressure, radiation pressure, and magnetic pressure. If gas is in the [O III]-emitting state over its full trajectory, for example, the density of the gas must drop as $r^{-2}$ in order to maintain a constant $U$, which means that some sort of confinement is required (see Section 4.3). On the other hand, if the cloud is not confined, it will expand freely, dropping its density and increasing its ionization state with distance and, therefore, dropping its $M$ (which is a strong function of the ionization state, see Fig. 4). Clouds will be confined in the radial direction by radiation pressure at the illuminated face or by ram pressure by the ambient medium, which will slow the rate of expansion (Blumenthal & Mathews 1979; Mathews 1982).

In equation (1), the acceleration of the gas depends on both the luminosity and the force multiplier. However, as noted by Netzer & Marziani (2010), incident radiation is absorbed as the optical depth increases, which further reduces the amount of acceleration. In order to account for this, we compute an effective $L_{bol}$, based on the column density of the gas, as follows:

$$L_{bol} = \sum L_{bol}(N_{hi} - N_{hi-1}) / N_{hi}, \quad (5)$$

where $L_{bol}$ is the effective bolometric luminosity, in erg s$^{-1}$, $L_{bol}$ is the calculated total luminosity after the $i$th zone inside the cloud, $N_{hi}$ is the column density for the $i$th zone inside the cloud, and $N_{hi-1}$ is the column density where the integration stops for the last of the set of models, i.e., the column density at which the gas temperature falls below 4000 K. The effective bolometric luminosity profile is shown in Fig. 5.

For comparison, Netzer & Marziani (2010) use an approximation for $M$ that depends on the column density ($N_H$) and the fraction of radiation absorbed, i.e., $M \simeq \alpha(r)/(\sigma_T N_H)$, where $\alpha(r)$ is the fraction of the total bolometric luminosity absorbed by the cloud. Their method gives comparable values to our combination of weighted $M$ and effective $L_{bol}$.

4 MKR 477 AND MRK 34: DYNAMICS OF THE IONIZED OUTFLOWS

4.1 Mrk 477: radial velocity profiles

Using a Bayesian fitting routine (discussed in detail by Fischer et al. 2017) for the analysis of the STIS spectra, and the orientation of the host galaxy from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) images, we obtain the deprojected [O III] velocities relative to systemic as...
and there is internal dust. In this scenario, the gas would have to
reach the observed velocities within 200 pc from its launch point.

In Fig. 6, we see that it is possible that the [O III]-emitting gas could reach the observed velocities within 200 pc from its launch point. We do not observe high velocity, i.e. centroid velocity from systemic >200 km s$^{-1}$, gas far from the nucleus in Mrk 477, i.e. at distances >350 pc. However, our calculations show that this AGN is capable of creating radiatively driven outflows, characterized by a single $M$, provided that dust is present in the [O III] gas (see Fig. 6).

### 4.2 Mrk 34: radial velocity profiles

Using the same method applied to Mrk 477, we obtain the deprojected [O III] velocities for Mrk 34 and use this information to construct a radial velocity profile for this target. As described in Paper I, Mrk 34 possesses the most energetic and extended outflows in our sample of study, and it is apparent that there is high velocity, i.e. >500 km s$^{-1}$, [O III] gas at distances >250 pc. We generate a grid of radial velocity profiles for Mrk 34, using the method described in Section 4.1 and compare the results to its deprojected velocities.

In Fig. 6 (right-hand panel), we present a radial velocity profile for the [O III] dusty gas, characterized by $M = 1040$ (see Section 3.1) and an effective $L_{\text{bol}}$ of $5.35 \times 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (see Section 3.1). If the gas remains in the [O III] state, i.e. if it possesses a constant $U$, $N_{\text{H}}$ has to decrease with distance, as follows:

$$
\frac{N_{\text{H}}_{\text{r}}}{N_{\text{H}}_{\text{r}}} = \left( \frac{r}{r_2} \right)^{-2}.
$$

where $N_{\text{H}}_{\text{r}}$ is the column density of the $i$th cloud of gas and $r_i$ is its radius. However, as shown in Figs 4 and 5, $M$ is fairly constant and the effective $L_{\text{bol}}$ actually increases at lower $N_{\text{H}}$, therefore, we can approximate what the trajectories are by assuming a constant $N_{\text{H}}$.

We can see that if the [O III] gas is launched from distances close to the SMBH, for example, <70 pc, it can reach the high deprojected velocities we observe, at distances <~800 pc. However, as in Mrk
477, the gas would have to remain in the [O III]-emitting state over most of its trajectory in order to achieve these high velocities. In addition, according to Crenshaw et al. (2015) and Fischer et al. (2017), the amount of gas that we detect in outflow could not be explained if the gas was launched from such small radial distances. Specifically for Mrk 34, Revalski et al. (2018) showed that the spatially resolved mass outflow rate exceeds the nuclear rate. Therefore, even though our calculated trajectories show that the [O III] gas could reach the observed velocities, the scenario presented in Fig. 6 (right-hand panel) may not be physically probable.

Another possibility is that the gas does not start its trajectory as [O III]-emitting gas. Fischer et al. (2017) suggested that cold gas could rotate into the solid angle illuminated by the AGN, ionizing quickly while being accelerated, which is consistent with in situ acceleration. In this case, the gas may start off in a lower ionization, higher density state than the [O III]-emitting gas and, then, evolve into [O III] gas while the acceleration rate drops (e.g. Hagino et al. 2015; Nomura et al. 2016, which discuss radiative acceleration of UFOs). For example, if the gas in this initial stage is characterized by a log $U = -3$, it would have a higher opacity, corresponding to $M \approx 4700$ (see Fig. 4). If the gas begins to be accelerated while in this lower ionization/denser state, it might be at a high enough velocity when it expands to the point where it is [O III] gas, which is what we detect in outflows.

However, as shown in Fig. 4, a cloud of gas characterized by an ionization parameter of log $U = -3$ would have a lower column density than $10^{21.5}$. If these are simply dense knots clouds of gas, mass conservation would suggest that they would not evolve into the high column density [O III] gas we detect. Therefore, the scenario of a low-ionization/high-density cloud expanding into a [O III] cloud with log$N_{HI} = 21.5$ is not physically consistent.

On the other hand, if neutral gas is continuously flowing into the NLR (see Fischer et al. 2017), outer parts could be ionized, with the gas initially in a low-ionization state. As this gas expands it forms the [O III] wind. Thus, the inflow provides a reservoir of material that evolves into [O III] emitting gas. To illustrate this scenario, we calculate the trajectory of gas that is initially characterized by log $U = -3$ and expands until it reaches a log $U = -2$ state (see Fig. 7). We can see that the gas can achieve the observed high velocities we detect in Mrk 34 if it is launched from very small distances from the SMBH, i.e. <20 pc. It is possible that the gas could have started in a lower ionization state in Mrk 477 as well, however we do not observe any obvious effect on the radial velocity profiles to support this hypothesis.

On the other hand, looking at Figs 6 (right-hand panel) and 7, we notice that there can be high-velocity gas at distances $>200$ pc from the point of origin for several launch radii. For example, we detect emission at $\sim 750$ pc with velocities of $\sim 500$ km s$^{-1}$, which have a launch radius of $\sim 200$ pc. This means that either the [O III] gas would have to remain in the [O III] state for much further than in Mrk 573 or there is another mechanism that is responsible for high-velocity [O III] gas we detect at large distances. We explore this possible mechanism in Section 5.2.

4.3 Expansion rates and confinement

Based on the radial velocity profile for Mrk 34 presented in the right-hand panel of Figs 6 and 7, we know that if the gas has a $M$ of 1040, it will not reach the observed velocities we see at $\sim 1.2$ kpc, unless it...
is launched from close to the AGN (as shown in Fig. 6). However, as the distance to the SMBH increases, the density drops and the size of the cloud expands. If the [O III] gas is not confined, it would expand rapidly into X-ray gas.

We can calculate the time it takes for the [O III]-emitting gas to expand, i.e. the free-expansion times. To do so, we assume that the motion of the outer edge of the cloud is given as follows (e.g. Osterbrock & Ferland 2006):

\[ r_e = r_0 + u_e t, \]  

(7)

where \( r_0 \) is the initial radius of the cloud, and \( u_e \) is the velocity of the edge of the gas cloud. In this case, \( u_e \) is equal to the sound speed,

\[ u_e = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma k T_0}{\mu_m m_H}}, \]  

(8)

where \( \gamma = 1 \), for isothermal expansion, \( k \) is the Boltzmann constant, \( T_0 \) is the temperature calculated by the CLOUDY model for the lower ionization state, in this case \( \sim 10^5 \) K, \( \mu_m \sim 0.6 \) is the mean mass per particle, and \( m_H \) is the mass of hydrogen.

When the gas expands and becomes more ionized, its density decreases as \( 1/U \). If we assume that the cloud expands equally in all directions, for a factor of 10 increase in volume, corresponding to a change from \( \log U = -2 \) to \( \log U = -1 \), we have

\[ r_e = 2.15 \times r_0. \]  

(9)

The value of \( r_0 \) can be calculated for each position, which gives us \( r_e \). Using equation (7), we calculate the time it takes for the lower ionization gas, i.e. \( \log U = -2 \), to expand to become a higher ionized gas, i.e. \( \log U = -1 \).

For a cloud of gas that is launched from \( r = 50 \) pc, the free-expansion time from a log \( U = -2 \) state to a log \( U = -1 \) state is \( 2.5 \times 10^6 \) s. For example, for a \( v = 1000 \) km s\(^{-1} \), this would mean that the cloud would be able to move less than 1 pc during this time. As noted before, Fischer et al. (2017) found that the [O III] gas can travel \( \sim 200 \) pc from its origin point, which suggests that the gas is partially confined, due to radiation pressure and/or ram pressure, but it is plausible that it will eventually expand into X-ray gas.

5 THE ORIGIN OF X-RAY WINDS

As mentioned above for our sample of QSO2s, Fischer et al. (2018) found that the influence of the AGN changes with increasing distance from the SMBH. In the most distant regions the gas presents low central velocities, which is consistent with rotation, but high FWHM, which they identified as ‘disturbed’ or turbulent gas. We suggest that this phenomenon may be due to the impact of X-ray winds.

5.1 Kinetic energy density analysis

If the disturbance of the [O III] gas (Fischer et al. 2019) in Mrk 34 is due to interaction with an X-ray wind, we can assume that the wind is depositing kinetic energy into the [O III] gas, which suggests that X-ray wind and the [O III] gas may possess similar kinetic energy densities. Here we are assuming an ‘ideal case’ scenario, in which we ignore any sort of energy dissipation (e.g. Bottorff & Ferland 2002 and references therein). If this is the case, we can estimate the properties of an X-ray wind that might create this disturbance.

We calculate kinetic energy density of the disturbed gas, \( u_{[\text{O} \text{III}]} \), as follows:

\[ u_{[\text{O} \text{III}]} \sim \frac{1}{2} n_{[\text{O} \text{III}]} m_p \sigma^2, \]  

(10)

where \( n_{[\text{O} \text{III}]} \) is the density of the [O III] gas from the photoionization models and \( \sigma \) is the velocity dispersion of the gas (see Paper I), which ranges from \( 3.4 \times 10^5 \) to \( 6.6 \times 10^2 \) km s\(^{-1} \).

For the X-ray wind, we assume \( U = 0.0 \) (Turner et al. 2003; Armentrout, Kraemer & Turner 2007; Kraemer et al. 2015, 2020), which is consistent with models of the NLR X-ray emission-line gas. Using the fact that

\[ n_X = \frac{U_{[\text{O} \text{III}]} n_{[\text{O} \text{III}]} X}{U_X}, \]  

(11)

where \( U_{[\text{O} \text{III}]} \) is the ionization parameter of the [O III]-emitting gas and \( U_X \) is the ionization parameter of the X-ray wind, we are able to estimate the densities for the X-ray gas, \( n_X \). Assuming that the kinetic energy density of the [O III] gas is equal to the kinetic energy density of the X-ray gas, then

\[ v_X = \sqrt{\frac{2m_{[\text{O} \text{III}]}}{n_X m_p}} \]  

(12)

gives us the velocity of the X-ray wind. We present our results for the radial velocity profile of the X-ray winds and the [O III] disturbed gas in Mrk 34 in Fig. 8.

Using equation (12), we compute the velocities for the X-ray gas in Mrk 34. These predicted velocities are very high, ranging from \( 3.7 \times 10^2 \) to \( 3.3 \times 10^3 \) km s\(^{-1} \) (see Fig. 8). Considering the low force multiplier values for highly ionized gas (see Fig. 4), the X-ray gas could not be radiatively accelerated to these velocities, even if it originated closer to the AGN (see Fig. 9 and discussion in Section 5.2). A more plausible scenario is that the wind begins as [O III]-emitting gas launched close to the SMBH, e.g. \(<100 \) pc, and subsequently expands over its trajectory, eventually evolving into an X-ray wind. For Mrk 34, considering that the size of the outflow region is \( \sim 1.6 \) kpc, and assuming that the X-ray winds were launched from 10 pc and possess a velocity of \( \sim 960 \) km s\(^{-1} \) at this position (see Fig. 7), our calculations show that this target would have entered a high state of activity in which it is capable of launching outflows \( \sim 1 \) Myr ago.

5.2 Entrainment

In addition to the disturbed kinematics, other possible evidence for an X-ray wind in Mrk 34 is the presence of high-velocity [O III] gas at \( \sim 1.2 \) kpc, as shown in Figs 6 (right-hand panel), 7, and 9. Although it is possible that this gas originates close to the AGN and remains in the [O III]-emitting state over its whole trajectory, this scenario is inconsistent with \textit{in situ} acceleration and with the conclusions of Fischer et al. (2017) for Mrk 573 (see discussion in Section 4.1). A more plausible scenario is that it was launched relatively close to where it is detected. This leaves us with the question: how is this [O III] gas accelerated to high velocities at these large distances?

One possibility is that the [O III] gas is accelerated \textit{in situ} via entrainment by X-ray winds that originate closer to the AGN (e.g. Shimizu et al. 2019). Multiwavelength observations of nearby galaxies show that galactic winds are multiphase, with cold (\( \sim 10^4 \) K) gas coexisting with hot (\( \sim 10^7 \) K) gas, and moving outward at very high speeds. For example, in the Milky Way a large population of fast-moving, cold gas clouds have been detected (Wakker & van Woerden 1997); these seem to be disturbed throughout the Galactic halo (e.g. Di Teodoro et al. 2018, and references therein). Absorption line studies at higher redshifts show similar results (e.g. Rudie et al. 2019). One important question is whether a hot wind can accelerate dense clouds of gas to the observed velocities via hydrodynamic ram
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Figure 8. Predicted radial velocity profile for the X-ray winds (in purple). The grey points represent the velocity centroid of the disturbed \([O\text{ III}]\) gas detected in Mrk 34 (Fischer et al. 2018), and the red points represent the FWHM of the \([O\text{ III}]\) disturbed gas. The uncertainties for the predicted X-ray velocities are calculated following the method described in Paper I, i.e. a factor of 2 in our assumed ionization parameter. The uncertainties for the velocity of the disturbed \([O\text{ III}]\) gas and its FWHM are calculated using the results of the Bayesian fitting routine, as discussed by Fischer et al. (2017). The left-hand panel represents the points to the south-west and the right-hand panel represents the points to the north-west.

Figure 9. Deprojected radial velocity profiles for different launch radii. For these models we considered that the gas possesses five different force multipliers over its entire trajectory, i.e. \(M = 4650, M = 1040, M = 192, M = 112,\) and \(M = 83.\) We assume that the gas is in a lower ionization state \((\log U = -3)\) for the first 20 pc of its journey (in boldface), and that it remains in the other ionization states \((\log U = -2, \log U = -1,\) and \(\log U = -0.5)\) each for 100 pc, and in the highest ionization state \((\log U = 0.0)\) for the rest of its trajectory. The changes in \(M\) result from the decrease in density of the expanding clouds (see Section 3.1). The points are the deprojected velocities for Mrk 34 and the curves are the velocities from our models.

Figure 10. Radial density profile for the X-ray wind (in red). The densities were calculated using equation (10), with an ionization parameter of \(\log U = 0.0.\) The grey points represent the \([O\text{ III}]\)-emitting gas density profile computed in Paper I, and are shown for comparison.

\[F_{\text{drag}}[O\text{ III}] = \frac{\rho_X (v_X - v_{[O\text{ III}]})^2 A_{[O\text{ III}]}}{\sqrt{M}},\]  

\[F_{\text{drag}}[O\text{ III}] = \frac{\rho_X}{\rho_{[O\text{ III}]}} \left( \frac{R_{[O\text{ III}]}}{R_{[O\text{ III}]}} \right)^2 \frac{v_X - v_{[O\text{ III}]}}{A_{[O\text{ III}]}} \]  

where \(\rho_X\) is the mass density of the wind, \(v_{[O\text{ III}]i}\) is the initial velocity of the \([O\text{ III}]\) clouds of gas, and \(A_{[O\text{ III}]i}\) represents the cross-sectional area of the cloud.

The acceleration for a cloud of \([O\text{ III}]\) gas is

\[a_{\text{drag}[O\text{ III}]i} = \frac{\rho_X}{\rho_{[O\text{ III}]i}} \frac{v_X - v_{[O\text{ III}]i}}{A_{[O\text{ III}]i}} \frac{R_{[O\text{ III}]i}}{4} \left( 1 - \frac{v_{[O\text{ III}]i}}{v_X} \right)^2,\]  

where \(R_{[O\text{ III}]i}\) is the radius of an individual cloud. We assume a column density of \(N_{H,[O\text{ III}]i} = 10^{21.5} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) for our models (see Paper I).
The clouds ‘crushing time’, i.e. the destruction time-scale of the \([\text{O } III]\) clouds of gas that are impinged by an X-ray wind (Gronke & Oh 2020), is
\[
t_{cc} \sim \frac{R_{[\text{OIII}]}}{v_X} \left( \frac{n_{[\text{OIII}]}^2}{n_X} \right)^{1/2}.
\] (18)

For clouds at \(\sim 1.2\) kpc, \(t_{cc} = 7.3 \times 10^{11}\) s, i.e. \(t_{cc} < t_{acc}\), which means that the clouds of \([\text{O} III]\) emitting gas would be destroyed before being accelerated. However, several solutions for this impasse have been suggested (Gronke & Oh 2020).

It has been proposed that the cooling plays an important role in stabilizing the clouds of gas, extending their lifetime (Klein, McKee & Colella 1994; Scannapieco & Brüggen 2015; Schneider & Robertson 2017). Begelman, Kool & Sikora (1991) and Gronke & Oh (2018) suggest that if the cooling time of the mixed gas, i.e. gas composed of the \([\text{O} III]\) clouds and the X-ray wind, is less than the crushing time then the mixing layer will cool sufficiently fast and the lifetime of the clouds of gas will be extended. Another possibility is that the energy associated with the instabilities can be radiated away when the mixture zone reaches very high temperatures.

6 X-RAY WIND ANALYSIS

6.1 X-ray radial flux distribution

In Sections 5.1 and 5.2, we present the idea that X-ray winds have a significant effect on the AGN outflows we detect in Mrk 34. In Section 5.1, we suggest that the disturbed kinematics that Fischer et al. (2018) observe at large distances from the SMBH for this target is due to the effect of the X-ray wind on the \([\text{O} III]\) gas. This is supported by the fact that the \(\text{Chandra/ACIS}\) image shows extended soft X-ray emission, which suggests the presence of highly ionized gas. In addition, in Section 5.2, we suggest that X-ray winds could be entraining gas, resulting in \([\text{O} III]\) outflows far from where acceleration by radiation pressure could be effective.

In the entrainment scenario the X-ray winds hit small clouds or filaments of \([\text{O} III]\) gas, effectively ‘sweeping’ them up. On the other hand, in the disturbance scenario the X-ray winds may be hitting a dense spiral of gas resulting in compression rather than acceleration, as discussed by Fischer et al. (2019).

If the X-ray wind has a significant effect on the NLR dynamics, it suggests that there is large mass of X-ray emitting gas. In order to study this scenario, we use \textsc{cloudy} models to predict the X-ray emission lines and the spatial distribution of the gas, and compare to the observed spatial distribution derived from \textsc{Chandra} image.

To estimate the amount of X-ray gas in Mrk 34, we base our analysis on Kraemer et al. (2020), who found that the radial mass profile for the X-ray gas is roughly the same as that of the \([\text{O III}]\) gas for the Seyfert galaxy NGC 4151.

If we assume that Mrk 34 has the same relation between \([\text{O} III]\) and X-ray gas as in NGC 4151, it is possible to predict the flux of X-ray emission lines, such as those of O VII and Ne IX. We use the \textsc{cloudy} models to get the line fluxes. In doing so, we constrain the column density, \(N_{HI}\), by requiring a fixed extraction bin of 50 pc in the radial direction, which means that \(N_{HI}\) decreases with the distance from the SMBH, as the density decreases (Kraemer et al. 2020). Based on our assumed radial mass distribution, we used the relationship between the mass and line luminosities described in section 3 of \textsc{Paper I} to derive the radial flux distributions. Specifically,
\[
L_{NeX} = \frac{M(r)F_{\nu}}{n_X \delta r \mu m_p},
\] (19)
where $L_{\text{Ne IX}}$ is the Ne IX luminosity calculated using CLOUDY, $M(r)$ is the mass of gas in an annulus at radius $r$ derived from the [O III] analysis, $F_C$ is the Ne IX luminosity per $cm^2$ calculated by CLOUDY, $\delta r$ is the extraction size of the bin, $\mu$ is the mean mass per proton, and $n_p$ is the mass of a proton. Our results are shown in the left-hand panel of Fig. 12.

The energy band-pass used to extract the Ne IX flux in the ACIS image is 0.848–0.919 keV. Based on our models, the predicted CLOUDY spatially integrated flux for the Ne IX triplet $^{9}$ (the resonance line, 13.50 Å, the intercombination line, 13.60 Å, and the forbidden line, 13.73 Å) is $2.6 \times 10^{-15}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (see Fig. 12). We compare our result to the observed flux for the Ne IX derived from the Chandra/ACIS image of Mrk 34, $-2.6 \times 10^{-15}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, which agrees very well with the model prediction. In addition, the spatial distribution of the Chandra/ACIS data is in rough agreement with our predicted radial flux distribution, as shown in Fig. 12 (right-hand panel). It should be noted here that our model overpredicts the flux of the central bin compared to the Chandra data. One possibility for the discrepancy is due to the PSF of the ACIS image, which could result in ∼50 per cent of the counts registered in adjoining bins. Another possibility is that there is absorption along the line of sight to the nucleus, which could result in a lower observed count rate (Kraemer et al. 2011).

It is important to note that if there is interaction between the X-ray wind and the [O III] gas, it is likely that shocks are occurring. In fact, based on Chandra/ACIS imaging, Maksym et al. (2019) determined that shocked gas is present in the NLR of the Seyfert 2 galaxy NGC 3393. However, the good agreement between the CLOUDY model predictions for Ne IX and the observed fluxes suggests that most of the X-ray emission is from photoionized gas and any shocks regions were localized, as in the Seyfert 2 NGC 1068 (Kraemer & Crenshaw 2000).

Our predicted integrated flux for O VII-f, i.e. the O VII forbidden line, 22.19 Å, is $8.30^{+24.5}_{-6.2} \times 10^{-15}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. The ratio of O VII-f to Ne IX $r + i + f$ derived from our analysis is 3.2, which can be compared to those observed in other AGN. For example the ratios in NGC 4151, NGC 1068, and NGC 3516 are 2.6 (Armentrout et al. 2007), 1.7 (Kallman et al. 2014) and 3.5 (Turner et al. 2003), respectively. The average of these is 2.6, which is roughly the same as our value. It is important to note that, due to the loss of sensitivity of the ACIS at low energies, we could not obtain an accurate O VII flux.

Taking into account our results for O VII and Ne IX for Mrk 34, and how well the latter agrees with the value derived from the Chandra/ACIS imaging analysis, there appears to be enough X-ray emitting gas to produce the observed dynamic effects. However, there does not appear to be significantly more mass in the X-ray gas than in the [O III] gas. Nevertheless, if the expansion of [O III] gas is the source of the X-ray winds, one might expect that the two components could have similar masses.

### 6.2 Footprints of X-ray wind

A model for X-ray gas characterized by a log $U = 0.0$ predicts a strong emission from optical and infrared (IR) lines, which can be considered as ‘footprints’ of the X-ray wind (e.g. Porquet et al. 1999). One of these is [Si X] 1.43 μm. Since it could be detected using ground-based near-IR telescopes (e.g. Rodríguez-Ardila et al. 2011; Lamperti et al. 2017) or the James Webb Space Telescope, it provides an opportunity to accurately constrain the kinematics of the X-ray wind. The [Si X] fluxes and radial flux distributions were derived from the same models and mass distribution used for Ne IX. Our results are shown in Fig. 13. The spatially integrated flux for [Si X] 1.43 μm is $1.0^{+3.75}_{-0.75} \times 10^{-15}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, which is in general agreement with the values for the [Si X] flux found by Rodríguez-Ardila et al. (2011) for their sample of nearby AGN and, therefore, it should be detectable in near-IR spectra of Mrk 34.

In addition, our models predict a spatially integrated flux for the [Fe X] 6374 Å in Mrk 34 of $3.2^{+9.2}_{-2.4} \times 10^{-15}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. Our predicted value for the [Fe X] can be compared to the measured Apache Point Observatory (APO) data for Mrk 34 (Revalski et al. 2018). The upper limit on the integrated line flux across the entire

---

$^{9}$Our results for the Ne IX are given as a combination of the three lines for a better comparison to the Chandra/ACIS image, since the individual lines cannot be spectrally resolved.
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for disturbing the [O III] gas observed outside of the outflow region
these X-ray winds in Mrk 34, which we believe are responsible
from the AGN bolometric luminosity, we find a $E/L_{\text{bol}}$ ratio of $2.1^{+0.3}_{-0.6} \times 10^{-2}$, which is consistent with the range used
in models of efficient feedback (Di Matteo et al. 2005; Hopkins &
Elvis 2010). For comparison, in Paper I we showed that the
maximum mass outflow rate for the [O III]-emitting gas in Mrk 34 is $10.3^{+3.0}_{-7.7} M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$, and the maximum kinetic luminosity of the
outflows is $1.3^{+0.9}_{-0.9} \times 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (which are in agreement with the values obtained by Revalski et al. 2018). These results suggest that the X-ray wind may be powerful enough to generate efficient feedback and impact the host galaxy in regions where the [O III]-emitting gas cannot.

The fact that there appears to be a dynamically important X-ray wind in Mrk 34 makes us question why we do not see these same effects in lower luminosity AGNs. In NGC 4151, for example, the [O III] observations do not show any evidence of disturbance due to X-ray winds (Kraemer et al. 2020). One possibility is that the [O III]-emitting gas in these AGNs does not have a high enough velocity to make an efficient X-ray wind at large distances. This may occur because the $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{edd}}$ ratio is too low. By looking at Fig. 9, we can see that, in order to extend to large distances, the X-ray wind must be generated close to the AGN. However, if the AGN is not very luminous (in the case of NGC 4151, $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{edd}} \sim 2$ per cent, as shown by Kraemer et al. 2005), it cannot generate X-ray winds with the high velocities we see in Fig. 9. Nevertheless, the fact that we do not see extended outflows or evidence for X-ray winds in Mrk 477 makes us question if there are other factors than $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{edd}}$ ratio that determines whether these outflows occur. One possibility is that this is related to the properties of the circumnuclear gas in each AGN, which may be related to their evolutionary states.

As discussed by Fischer et al. (2018), Mrk 477 possesses a very compact morphology, in which the central [O III] emission is point-
like in the narrow-band image, with fainter line emission surrounding it. One possibility is that there is more gas close to the AGN (see Fischer et al. 2018, their fig. 8) that results in the attenuation of a fraction of ionizing radiation. Even though it is unlikely that the optical emission-line gas is responsible for attenuating much of the ionizing radiation, based on its small covering factor, it is possible that this is occurring in X-ray emission-line gas. The high [O III] FWHM close to the AGN in Mrk 477 may be evidence for interaction with an X-ray wind, as we suggest for Mrk 34.

10) The given result is for the position of maximum kinetic luminosity. However, there are several other points that fulfil the ‘requirement’ for efficient feedback, given the mass uncertainties.

Figure 13. Radial predicted distribution of the [Si X] flux for Mrk 34. The fluxes were obtained using the same analysis described in Paper I, section 3, and we assume that the mass of [O III]-emitting gas and O VII-emitting gas is roughly the same. These models are derived from the same models and mass distribution used for Ne IX.

Figure 14. Comparison between the predicted CLOUDY spatially integrated flux for the [Fe X] 6737 Å and the observed flux derived from the APO data for Mrk 34. The error bars in our models were derived as described in Paper I.

NLR is $\sim 4.4 \times 10^{-15}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ with an uncertainty of $\sim 30$–50 per cent. Although the fit spans ±4 arcsec, non-zero flux was only present inside ±4 arcsec. However, the [Fe X] line is only detected with any sort of real significance inside of $\sim 2.4$ arcsec. Therefore, the measured flux from the APO data, at $r < 2.4$ arcsec, i.e. $\sim 2.3$ kpc, is $3.0 \times 10^{-15}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$, which agrees very well with our predicted values. In addition, the spatial distribution of the APO data is also in good agreement with our predicted radial flux distribution, as shown in Fig. 14.

7 DISCUSSION

In Paper I, we found a range of $E/L_{\text{bol}}$ between $3.4^{+10.2}_{-2.5} \times 10^{-8}$ and $4.9^{+4.7}_{-2.7} \times 10^{-4}$ for these QSO2s, as opposed to the $5 \times 10^{-2}$–$5 \times 10^{-2}$ ratio required for efficient feedback (Di Matteo et al. 2005; Hopkins & Elvis 2010). In this study, we explore the possibility that the [O III] gas we see in the NLR of some of these targets is expanding rapidly until it forms an X-ray wind, which could be powerful enough to produce efficient feedback.

In Sections 5.1 and 5.2, we present evidence of the presence of these X-ray winds in Mrk 34, which we believe are responsible for disturbing the [O III] gas observed outside of the outflow region (Fischer et al. 2018) and for entraining [O III] gas at $\sim 1.2$ kpc. This process of entrainment is consistent with the outflow radial profile for Mrk 34 discussed by Revalski et al. (2018). In Section 6, we determine the mass and mass distribution of the X-ray gas. We find that there appears to be enough X-ray gas to produce the dynamical effects we observe in Mrk 34. In order to investigate the role of X-ray winds in AGN feedback, we estimate the mass outflow rate and kinetic luminosity for the wind.

It is important to note here that, although our X-ray model is in good agreement with the observations, we have no independent means to measure the X-ray kinematics. However, if we assume that the X-ray emission-line gas is producing the effects discussed in Sections 5.1 and 5.2, we can estimate the dynamical quantities of the wind. The maximum mass outflow rate for the predicted X-ray wind is $15.2^{+4.6}_{-1.5} M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$, and the maximum kinetic luminosity is $5.4^{+6.3}_{-4.1} \times 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$, both at $\sim 1.8$ kpc. Comparing the kinetic luminosity to the AGN bolometric luminosity, we find a $E/L_{\text{bol}}$ ratio of $2.1^{+0.3}_{-0.6} \times 10^{-2}$, which is consistent with the range used in models of efficient feedback (Di Matteo et al. 2005; Hopkins & Elvis 2010). For comparison, in Paper I we showed that the maximum mass outflow rate for the [O III]-emitting gas in Mrk 34 is $10.3^{+3.0}_{-7.7} M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$, and the maximum kinetic luminosity of the outflows is $1.3^{+0.9}_{-0.9} \times 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (which are in agreement with the values obtained by Revalski et al. 2018). These results suggest that the X-ray wind may be powerful enough to generate efficient feedback and impact the host galaxy in regions where the [O III]-emitting gas cannot.

The fact that there appears to be a dynamically important X-ray wind in Mrk 34 makes us question why we do not see these same effects in lower luminosity AGNs. In NGC 4151, for example, the [O III] observations do not show any evidence of disturbance due to X-ray winds (Kraemer et al. 2020). One possibility is that the [O III]-emitting gas in these AGNs does not have a high enough velocity to make an efficient X-ray wind at large distances. This may occur because the $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{edd}}$ ratio is too low. By looking at Fig. 9, we can see that, in order to extend to large distances, the X-ray wind must be generated close to the AGN. However, if the AGN is not very luminous (in the case of NGC 4151, $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{edd}} \sim 2$ per cent, as shown by Kraemer et al. 2005), it cannot generate X-ray winds with the high velocities we see in Fig. 9. Nevertheless, the fact that we do not see extended outflows or evidence for X-ray winds in Mrk 477 makes us question if there are other factors than $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{edd}}$ ratio that determines whether these outflows occur. One possibility is that this is related to the properties of the circumnuclear gas in each AGN, which may be related to their evolutionary states.

As discussed by Fischer et al. (2018), Mrk 477 possesses a very compact morphology, in which the central [O III] emission is point-like in the narrow-band image, with fainter line emission surrounding it. One possibility is that there is more gas close to the AGN (see Fischer et al. 2018, their fig. 8) that results in the attenuation of a fraction of ionizing radiation. Even though it is unlikely that the optical emission-line gas is responsible for attenuating much of the ionizing radiation, based on its small covering factor, it is possible that this is occurring in X-ray emission-line gas. The high [O III] FWHM close to the AGN in Mrk 477 may be evidence for interaction with an X-ray wind, as we suggest for Mrk 34.

10) The given result is for the position of maximum kinetic luminosity. However, there are several other points that fulfil the ‘requirement’ for efficient feedback, given the mass uncertainties.
In addition, as suggested by Fischer et al. (2018), it is possible that this gas could eventually be driven away from close to the AGN, which would reduce the amount of emission-line gas at these distances. This could also drive out the high-ionization emission-line gas, i.e. X-ray gas, that would reduce the attenuation of the ionizing radiation, resulting in a more extended [O III] region. This suggests that AGNs with compact emission-line morphologies, such as Mrk 477, will evolve into those with more extended emission-line regions, such as Mrk 34.

8 CONCLUSIONS

Based on the imaging and spectral analysis presented in Paper I, we analyse the dynamics of mass outflows in the NLR of Mrk 477 and Mrk 34. Our main conclusions are as follows.

1. From our models for Mrk 477, the observed [O III]-emitting gas was launched within 200 pc from where it is being detected. We show that there needs to be internal dust for the gas to be efficiently accelerated. Also, the outflows in this target do not extend far into its NLR.

2. Based on our models, in order to achieve the velocities within 500 pc of the SMBH we observe in Mrk 34, it is likely that the dusty [O III]-emitting gas would have to start in a lower ionization, higher density state and, then, evolve into [O III] gas. This scenario is consistent with in situ acceleration (Crenshaw et al. 2015; Fischer et al. 2017).

3. We present evidence for the presence of X-ray winds in the NLR of Mrk 34, as follows.

(a) We study the possibility that these winds may be disturbing the [O III] gas we observe outside of the outflow region in Mrk 34. We perform an analysis based on the kinetic energy density of the [O III]-emitting gas and the X-ray winds. We conclude that, in order to have sufficient kinetic energy density, these X-ray winds would have velocities greater than the velocity dispersion in the disturbed [O III] gas. To achieve these high velocities, the X-ray wind must originate much closer to the SMBH, in the form of lower ionization gas.

(b) We also observe high-velocity [O III]-emitting gas at ~1.2 kpc in the NLR of Mrk 34. We believe this high-velocity gas is being accelerated in situ via entrainment by X-ray winds that originate close to the AGN, similar to the winds that cause the disturbed kinematics at greater distances.

4. We estimate the amount of X-ray-emitting gas by comparing the integrated Ne IX flux to that measured in the Chandra/ACIS data. The excellent agreement indicates that our estimate of the mass of the X-ray emitting gas is generally accurate and that there appears to be enough X-ray gas to produce the dynamical effects we observe.

5. We calculate the integrated fluxes for the X-ray footprint lines, i.e. [Si X] 1.43 μm and [Fe X] 6374 Å. We find that our integrated and radial flux distributions for the [Fe X] emission line agree very well with the measured values. These results not only support our parametrization of the X-ray wind, but also demonstrate that optical and IR emission lines are ‘footprints’ of the X-ray gas, and can be used to constrain the X-ray wind dynamics.

6. Our estimate of the kinetic luminosity of the X-ray wind in Mrk 34 is $2.1^{+3.9}_{-1.6} \times 10^{-2}$ of Mrk 34’s bolometric luminosity, as opposed to $4.9^{+14.7}_{-3.7} \times 10^{-4}$ for the [O III]-emitting gas (see Paper I). These estimates are based on the assumption that the X-ray emitting gas is the same material that produces the observed dynamical effects. This result indicates that the X-ray wind, being more powerful by a factor of 50, may be an efficient feedback mechanism, from the criteria of Di Matteo et al. (2005) and Hopkins & Elvis (2010).

This shows that the outflow X-ray wind has the potential for affecting the host galaxy and to play a greater role in AGN feedback than the optical emission-line gas (Paper I). This would then occur in targets that are radiating near their Eddington limit (e.g. Fischer et al. 2019). Our study shows that the interaction with X-ray winds could be the source of the dynamic phenomena we observe in luminous AGN, such as Mrk 34.

Several issues have not been fully explored in this study, such as the variations in the internal dust/gas ratios (see Section 3.1) and the physical structure of the clouds (e.g. Chelouche & Netzer 2001). However, the main open issues concern cloud confinement and stability. We present possible explanations to the impasse of instability, but a resolution must be left to detailed hydrodynamic models (e.g. Zhang et al. 2017; Gronke & Oh 2020). Nevertheless, the results of our dynamical analysis, such as radial velocity profiles, acceleration time-scales, and the role of the X-ray, can provide valuable new constraints for more sophisticated modelling.
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