Biochemical and Structural Studies of a HECT-like Ubiquitin Ligase from *Escherichia coli* O157:H7*
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Many microbial pathogens deliver effector proteins via the type III secretion system into infected host cells. Elucidating the function of these effectors is essential for our understanding of pathogenesis. Here, we describe biochemical and structural characterization of an effector protein (NleL) from *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, a widespread pathogen causing severe foodborne diseases. We show that NleL functionally and structurally mimics eukaryotic HECT E3 ligases and catalyzes formation of unanchored polyubiquitin chains using Lys⁶ and Lys⁴⁸ linkage. The catalytic cysteine residue forms a thioester intermediate with ubiquitin. The structure of NleL contains two domains, a β-helix domain formed by pentapeptide repeats and a bilobed catalytic domain reminiscent of the N- and C-lobe architecture of HECT E3s. Six structures of NleL observed in two crystal forms revealed a large range of different positions of the C-lobe relative to the N-lobe, indicating that the helix linking the two lobes is extremely flexible. Comparing the structure of NleL with that of the *Salmonella* homolog SopA showed that the orientation of the C-lobes differ by as much as 108°, suggesting that large movements of the C-lobe may be required to facilitate the transfer of ubiquitin from E2 to the substrate. These results provide critical knowledge toward understanding the molecular mechanism by which pathogens utilize the host ubiquitination system during infection.

In eukaryotes, ubiquitination plays important roles in many cellular functions, including protein degradation, DNA repair, cell-cycle progression, trafficking, and endocytosis (1). The event of ubiquitination involves a multienzyme cascade consisting of classes of enzymes known as ubiquitin-activating enzymes (E1), conjugating enzymes (E2), and ligases (E3). The E1 enzyme activates a free ubiquitin (Ub)² by forming a thioester bond between its active site cysteine and the carboxyl terminus of Ub using the energy from ATP hydrolysis; the activated Ub is then passed to the active cysteine on the E2 conjugating enzyme; finally, E3 mediates the transfer of the Ub from E2 to the substrate (2, 3). The E3 ligases are particularly important in conferring substrate specificity by interacting directly with the target proteins. There are two major E3 families: the RING (really interesting new gene) finger (2) and the HECT (homologous to E6AP carboxyl terminus) (3, 4). RING and HECT E3s function through very different mechanisms. RING E3s catalyze ubiquitination by serving as a molecular scaffold to bring the Ub-charged E2 and the substrate into close proximity, whereas HECT E3s directly participate in the chemistry by forming a thioester bond with the Ub molecule brought by E2 and then direct it to the substrate protein. Crystal structures of the catalytic domain of HECT E3s (5–8) show a common fold of two lobes (the N- and C-lobes) linked by a flexible loop. Structural and mutagenesis studies (5) suggest that the conformational flexibility of the HECT domain is important for transferring Ub from E2 to the substrate.

Although the ubiquitination pathway is absent in bacteria, some pathogenic bacteria deliver effector proteins into eukaryotic host cells to function as E3 ligases (9–13). For example, AvrPtoB from *Pseudomonas syringae* contains a RING finger/U-box-like domain and ubiquitinates a tomato kinase, Fen, to disrupt the plant immunity (14, 15). *Shigella* effector IpaH9.8 catalyzes Ub transfer reactions through an active site cysteine (16–19). A homologous protein of IpaH in *Salmonella*, SspH1, targets host cell protein PKN1 kinase for degradation (20, 21). Recently, we also reported that SopA from *Salmonella* contains an E3 ligase structurally similar to eukaryotic HECT E3s (22, 23).

In this study, we biochemically and structurally characterized NleL (gon–Lee-encoded effector ligase), a homologue of SopA from *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. Previously, it was shown that NleL (named EspX7) was an effector protein translocated into host cell via the type III secretion system (24, 25). But little has been known about the function of NleL in host cells. Here, we show that NleL catalyzes formation of unanchored polyubiquitin chain using Lys⁶ and Lys⁴⁸ linkage. Similar to SopA, the structure of NleL contains a β-helix domain and a catalytic domain with a bilobed fold. The relative orientations between the two lobes revealed in the structures of NleL and SopA are markedly different, suggesting that like eukaryotic HECT E3s, the flexibility of the C-lobe is essential in Ub transfer.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

*Protein Expression and Purification*—The gene encoding NleL was amplified from the genomic DNA of *E. coli* O157:H7
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str. Sakai and subcloned into a pMCSG20 plasmid containing an N-terminal GST tag. Point mutations were generated using the QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene). The plasmid containing NleL\textsuperscript{170–782} was transformed into \textit{E. coli} strain BL21-CodonPlus (DE3)-RIL (Stratagene). Bacteria were grown to log phase in LB medium at 37 °C, and protein expression was induced with 0.1 mM isopropyl thio-

\textit{β}-

1-galactopyranoside at 16 °C for 24 h. GST-NleL\textsuperscript{170–782} was purified by glutathione Sepharose affinity chromatography followed by tobacco etch virus protease digestion to remove the GST tag. NleL\textsuperscript{170–782} was further purified by gel filtration (Superdex 200, GE Healthcare) and ion exchange (SOURCE 15Q, GE Healthcare) chromatography. Selenomethionine-substituted protein was prepared as the native protein except that bacterial cells were grown in M9 minimal media supplemented with glucose, vitamins, and amino acids with L-methionine replaced by L-selenomethionine (Molecular Dimensions).

**Ubiquitination Assays**—Ubiquitination reactions of NleL were performed in 50-μl reaction mixtures containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 2 mM ATP, 5 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}, 0.1–0.2 mM DTT, 20 μg of Ub, 0.1 μg of E1, 0.25 μg of E2, and 5 μg of NleL\textsuperscript{170–782}. Reactions were incubated at 25 °C for 30 min unless indicated otherwise and terminated by the addition of SDS-PAGE sample buffer. Ubiquitination reactions for the Ub linkage preference were performed with 0.25 μg of E1 instead for 1 h. Thioester formation experiments were performed on ice with 1 μg of E1, 10 μg of E2, and 10 μg of NleL\textsuperscript{170–782} in the presence of 1 mM DTT. Oxyester formation experiments of NleL\textsuperscript{170–782} were done at 25 °C with the same amount of proteins as the thioester formation experiments. Recombinant E1, UbcH5a, UbcH7, and wild-type Ub proteins were prepared following published protocols (22, 26). Other E2 enzymes and mutant Ubs were purchased from Boston Biochem. Anti-Ub and anti-GST antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology; anti-UbcH7 antibody was purchased from BD Biosciences. Rabbit polyclonal anti-NleL antibody was produced by ProSci against purified NleL\textsuperscript{170–782}.

**Crystallography**—The protein sample was concentrated to 25 mg/ml in a buffer containing 10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, and 5 mM DTT and crystallized by the sitting drop vapor diffusion method at 20 °C by mixing 0.2 μl of protein with 0.1 μl of reservoir solution containing 0.1 M MES, pH 6.0, 1.0 M Li\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}, and 16% glycerol. Crystals were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen with the reservoir solution before mounting. Diffraction data were collected at 100 K on beam line 23-ID at the Advanced Photon Source (The General Medicine and Cancer Institutes Collaborative Access Team, Argonne National Laboratory) and processed with HKL2000 (27). Using diffraction data between 50–2.5 Å, 46 of 48 sites of selenium atoms from two NleL molecules were located by SOLVE (28). The experimental phase was improved by density modification using the program RESOLVE (29). The model was built by automated model building in RESOLVE (30) and followed by manually building using Coot (31). Refinement was performed in CNS (32) and Phenix (33). The partially refined selenomethionine-substituted structure was used as a molecular replacement model to phase the structure of the native protein, consisting of four NleL molecules per asymmetric unit, using PHASER (34). The final native NleL structure was refined to 2.1 Å with R and R\textsubscript{free} factors of 18.3 and 23.1%. The stereochemistry of the structure was checked by PROCHECK in the CCP4 program suite (35) with 99.9% of the residues in the most favored and additional allowed regions, 0.1% in the generously allowed region, and none in the disallowed region on the Ramachandran plot (Table 1). All structural figures were prepared using PyMOL (36).

**RESULTS**

\textit{NleL} Assembles Unanchored Polyubiquitin Chain—\textit{NleL} from \textit{E. coli} O157:H7 shares an overall 26% sequence identity to SopA with a conserved cysteine residue (Cys\textsuperscript{750}) at the active site (Fig. 1A). Similar to SopA, limited proteolysis of the full-length protein suggested that the first 169 residues are not structured. Residues 170–370 of NleL contain tandemly repeated amino acid sequences that belong to the pentapeptide repeat protein family (37, 38). The catalytic HECT-like domain is located at the C terminus, which shows the highest sequence similarity to SopA in comparison with the other regions.

A stable construct consisting of residues 170 to 782 (NleL\textsuperscript{170–782}) was purified to characterize its ubiquitin ligase activity of NleL. NleL\textsuperscript{170–782} was incubated with ATP, Ub, E1, and E2 (UbcH7) at room temperature for indicated times. The reaction mixture was loaded onto SDS-PAGE in the absence or presence of DTT, visualized by immunoblotting using antibodies against NleL (upper panel) and ubiquitin (Ub) (lower panel). IB, immunoblot; MW, molecular mass marker. The positions of the molecular mass markers are indicated in kDa.

**Figure 1. NleL is an E3 ligase that assembles free polyubiquitin chain.** A, schematic representation of NleL in comparison to SopA. B, ubiquitin ligase activity of NleL. NleL\textsuperscript{170–782} was incubated with ATP, Ub, E1, and E2 at room temperature for indicated times. The reaction mixture was loaded onto SDS-PAGE in the absence or presence of DTT, visualized by immunoblotting using antibodies against NleL (upper panel) and ubiquitin (Ub) (lower panel). IB, immunoblot; MW, molecular mass marker. The positions of the molecular mass markers are indicated in kDa.
activity. When incubated with purified E1, E2 (UbcH7), Ub, and ATP, NleL catalyzed formation of polyubiquitin (polyUb) chains (Fig. 1B). Addition of a reducing reagent DTT did not change the mobility of the polyUb bands on SDS-PAGE, indicating that the polyUb chains were not anchored to another protein through a thioester bond (Fig. 1B). Multiple bands with slightly different mobility were observed at positions corresponding to three or more covalently linked Ub molecules (Fig. 1B). As the Ub chain can be linked via one of the seven lysine residues, and the chains can be either linear or branched, the heterogeneity observed on SDS-PAGE suggested that NleL might synthesize polyUb chains containing multiple linkage types. Immunoblotting with antibodies against NleL (Fig. 1B) or UbcH7 (data not shown) indicated that with wild-type Ub, little autoubiquitination of NleL or ubiquitination of UbcH7 was observed. In contrast, SopA preferentially catalyzes autoubiquitination in the absence of native substrates (22, 23). Therefore, the in vitro ligase activities of the two homologous ligases appear to be different.

**Catalytic Cysteine Residue Forms a Thioester Intermediate**—Both SopA and NleL contain a cysteine residue near the C terminus (Cys753 in both proteins), at a position similar to that of the catalytic cysteine in eukaryotic HECT E3s. Mutation of Cys753 to serine was shown to abolish the autoubiquitination activity of SopA (22, 23). Similarly, the C753S mutation in NleL lowers its activity compared with wild-type protein (Fig. 2A). Specifically, under conditions in which the polyUb chain was readily formed by wild-type NleL, only a small amount of Ub(2), Ub(3), and Ub(4) were observed with the C753S mutant. When Cys753 was replaced with alanine, little Ub chain formation was observed.

To test whether Cys753 of NleL forms a transient thioester bond with Ub, two noncatalytic cysteine residues, 17 and 137, of E2 were mutated to serine to avoid nonspecific cross-linking in the absence of reducing reagents. UbcH7 (C17S, C137S) was incubated with Ub, E1, and ATP until almost all E2 molecules were charged with Ub (Fig. 2B, lane 1). NleL was then added to the reaction mixture, and the products were analyzed in the absence and presence of 100 mM DTT (Fig. 2B). Several DTT-sensitive bands, corresponding to the molecular weights of NleL linked with single and multiple Ubs, were detected when the catalytic cysteine is intact. Mutating the active site Cys753 to serine or alanine abolished the formation of thioester intermediates (Fig. 2B, lanes 6 and 7). Instead, with the C753S mutant, DTT-resistant NleL ~Ub(n) slowly built up after 10 min of incubation (Fig. 2C). Precharged E2 ~Ub was hydrolyzed much slower by the C753S mutant in comparison with the wild-type NleL (Fig. 2, B and C, lower panels). These data suggest that the serine residue at the active site forms an o xoester bond with Ub, a linkage more stable than a thioester bond.

The presence of NleL ~Ub(n) products in the nonreducing gel indicates that more than one Ub molecule was assembled on Cys753 via a thioester linkage. Because only mono-Ub-charged E2 was detected (Fig. 2, B and C, lane 1), it is unlikely that the polyUb chain was preassembled on E2 as observed for Ube2g2/pgp78 (39). The other possibility is that polyUb chains synthesized and released from E3 were conjugated back to the catalytic site. In that case, one would expect accumulation of NleL ~Ub(n) with time as more polyUb chains are synthesized. However, the kinetics of the reaction was the opposite; the amount of the NleL ~Ub(n) intermediates decreased rapidly with time; after 30 s, only monoubiquitinated NleL was observed (Fig. 2B, lane 5). Thus, it seems more likely that NleL catalyzes chain formation by a sequential model (40, 41). In a normal turnover, the acceptor Ub binds noncovalently to NleL, and a chain is synthesized by the addition of Ub one at a time (Fig. 2D). When pulsed with charged E2, the acceptor Ub could be conjugated to E3 through the thioester bond because E3 ~Ub was abundant, and a chain was built up at the active site (Fig. 2E). As the reaction progressed, and the amount of charged E2 and E3 returned to the steady state, the E3 ~Ub(n) product became undetectable by immunoblotting, as shown in Fig. 2B.

**NleL Interacts with E2s Containing a Conserved Phenylalanine**—To analyze the E2 preference of NleL, assays were conducted using nine different human E2s, among which six supported the formation of polyUb chains (Fig. 3A). The E2 preference of NleL overlapped with that of SopA (22) and is consistent with that of eukaryotic HECT E3s. Eukaryotic HECT E3s work with E2s that contain a conserved phenylalanine residue (Phe64 in UbcH7) (8, 42). Among the 9 E2s tested, an equivalent phenylalanine residue is conserved in all E2s that function with NleL but not in those that do not support ubiquitination (Fig. 3B). Thus, similar to eukaryotic HECT E3s, both NleL and SopA interact with E2 through its conserved phenylalanine residue.

**NleL Catalyzes Formation of Lys6- and Lys48-linked Chains**—Because different linkages of Ub may signal different cellular processes, Ub mutants were used to study the nature of the isopeptide linkage catalyzed by NleL. Using mutants containing no or only one of the seven lysine residues (single Lys Ub), little polyUb chain formation was observed except when lysine was present at position 6 or 48 (mutants K6 and K48) (Fig. 4A). Interestingly, whereas the autoubiquitination activity is very low with wild-type Ub (WT) and the K6 and K48 mutants, mono- and diubiquitinated NleL products were prominent with mutants that do not support free polyUb chain formation (Fig. 4A). Ubiquitination of UbcH7 was also observed with mutants other than K6 and K48 (Fig. 4A). Using mutant Ub where only one of the seven lysine residues was substituted by arginine (single KR mutant), polyUb chain formation but little autoubiquitination was observed (Fig. 4B). These results indicate that NleL preferentially catalyzes free polyUb chain formation through both Lys6- and Lys48-linked chains.

Studies of Bacterial E3 Ligase NleL
longing to space group C2 (Table 1). One crystal form contains four molecules per asymmetric unit and the other contains two. The structure of the crystal containing two molecules per asymmetric unit was determined by multiwavelength anomalous diffraction using a selenomethionine derivative. The structure of the second crystal was determined by molecular replacement using the first structure. Each NleL molecule consists of two parts (Fig. 5A): the pentapeptide repeat motif (residues 171–371), which forms a right-handed quadrilateral \( \beta \)-helix; and the C-terminal bilobed structure (residues 372–782) that resembles the N- and C-lobes of eukaryotic HECT E3 ligases (18). The structures of the C-termi-
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**FIGURE 2. Cysteine 753 is required for the HECT-like ligase activity.** A. WT and two mutant NleL\(^{170-782}\) (C753S and C753A) were incubated with E1, E2 (UbcH7), Ub, and ATP at room temperature for 30 min. The reaction products were analyzed by immunoblotting (IB) with an antibody against Ub (top) or SDS-PAGE stained with Coomassie Blue (bottom). B. shown is a thioester formation. UbcH7 (C17S, C137S) mutant was precharged by E1 in the presence of Ub and ATP on ice for 10 min before NleL was added to the mixture. Reactions were quenched at indicated time points by SDS-gel loading buffer and analyzed under non-reducing (-DTT) and reducing (+DTT) conditions. C. oxyester formation of NleL (C753S) mutant pulsed with precharged E2 as in B. D, shown is a potential mechanism for polyUb chain formation catalyzed by NleL, figure modified from Wang and Pickart (40). E, the potential mechanism by which NleL\(^{170-782}\) is synthesized in the E2–Ub pulse experiment. In this model, as the acceptor ubiquitin is linked to NleL by a thioester (WT) or oxyester (C753S), the chain is built up on the active site of the substrate E3–Ub.
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nal regions are similar in NleL and SopA, with an root mean square deviation of 1.9 Å over 208 Ca atoms in the N-lobe and 1.5 Å over 160 Ca atoms in the C-lobe. However, the relative orientation of the C-lobes is markedly different; the largest angular displacement is 108° between the structures of NleL and SopA (Fig. 5A). Consequently, the active site cysteine of NleL points away from the β-helix domain, which is opposite to the orientation in SopA. Consistent with the relatively low sequence identity (20%), the β-helix domains of NleL and SopA have more structural differences than the catalytic domains. The NleL β-helix has an additional coil in the cylindrical structure, whereas the corresponding residues in SopA form less ordered loops (Fig. 5B). As the only structured region preceding the catalytic domain, the β-helix domain is likely to be responsible for substrate binding. However, in the absence of knowledge of the targeting substrates, it is difficult to correlate the structural differences with the functional differences between the two ligases.

Conformational Flexibility—Rigid body movement of the C-lobe is a characteristic of HECT E3 ligases. Among the four known structures of eukaryotic HECT domains, the relative orientation of the C-lobe to the N-lobe varies significantly (5–8). The structure of the E6AP-E2 complex provides a clear hint that rotation of the C-lobe is necessary for its function; two active cysteine residues located in E2 and E3 are 41 Å apart. For Ub transfer from E2 to E3, the two active sites must be located within 7–8 Å. Mutations that either shorten the hinge loop or reduce its flexibility diminish the catalytic activity, indicating that conformational flexibility within the HECT domain is essential for substrate ubiquitination (5).

In SopA and NleL, the linker between the N- and C-lobes is an α-helix consisting of 23 residues, instead of a short (three or four residues) unstructured loop seen in eukaryotic HECT E3s. Still, SopA also shows rigid body motion of the C lobe. Between the two structures of SopA, the C-lobe rotates ~25° relative to the N-lobe, resulting a displacement of the active
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**FIGURE 3. E2 preference.** A, in vitro ubiquitination assays. NleL 	extsuperscript{170–782} was incubated with E1, indicated E2s, Ub, and ATP at room temperature for 30 min and then immunoblotted with an antibody against Ub. The same samples were also analyzed by SDS-PAGE Coomassie Blue staining to show that approximately equal amount of NleL was used in all reactions. B, local sequence alignment of all the E2s used in this assay. The box indicates Phe	extsuperscript{63} of UbcH7 that is conserved in all E2s supporting the ligase activities of HECT E3s. IB, immunoblot.
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**FIGURE 4. Ubiquitin linkage specificity.** A, ubiquitination assay of NleL 	extsuperscript{170–782} in the presence of E1, UbcH7, Ub (WT), or the indicated mutants containing a single lysine residue. NoK, no lysine residues. Following an incubation of 1 h at room temperature, the products were resolved by SDS-PAGE and visualized by Coomassie staining or by immunoblotting (IB) using antibodies against NleL, UbcH7, or Ub. B, ubiquitination assays performed with single Lys-to-Arg ubiquitin mutants.
The hinge rotation of the C-lobes range from 2–76° among the structures compared. The largest displacement of Cys753 between two structures of NleL is 15 Å. Therefore, it seems that the flexibility of the C-lobe is a conserved structural feature of HECT E3s that microbial pathogens have evolved to mimic.

The observed flexibility of the C-lobe is achieved through conformational changes of the hinge helix (residues 593–615). A comparison of the structures of NleL and SopA shows a large range of conformations sampled by the hinge helix (Fig. 6B). In both structures of SopA, residues in this region fold into an α-helix with two different tilt angles relative to the N-lobe. In NleL crystals, three different secondary structures were observed: a continuous α-helix, two short α-helices connected by a helix turn, or in the third case, residues at the turn (604–606) form a 310 helix (Fig. 6B). It is likely that unique conformations captured in the crystal structures of NleL and SopA are stabilized by crystal lattice forces. Nevertheless, the permitted flexibility undoubtedly reflects a functional requirement that is essential for ligase activity.

Superposition of the six isolated C-lobes reveals four flexible surface regions (Fig. 6C). The largest displacement (~12 Å) is observed for residues in the loop preceding the active site. This loop is the only region with limited sequence similarity between bacterial HECT (SopA and NleL) and eukaryotic HECT E3s (23). Mutation of Thr752 in SopA reduces the autoubiquitination activity. As residues critically involved in

| Crystallographic and Refinement statistics                                      | Native                  | Se-Met                  |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| **Diffraction Data**                                                           |                         |                         |
| Space group                                                                    | C2                      | C2                      |
| Cell dimensions: $a \times b \times c$ (Å)                                      | 177.1×124.2×154.9        | 313.8×77.3×61.5          |
| $\alpha \times \beta \times \gamma$ (°)                                       | 90.0×107.6×90           | 90.0×94.5×90.0           |
| No. of molecules per A.U.                                                      | 4                       | 2                       |
| Wavelength (Å)                                                                | 1.07223                 | 1.0115                  |
| Resolution range (Å)                                                           | 44.7–2.1 (2.2–2.1)       | 50-2.5 (2.6-2.5)         |
| No. of reflections                                                             | 185858                  | 49257                   |
| Completeness (%)                                                               | 99.7 (100.0)            | 97.9 (91.1)             |
| $R_{sym}$                                                                      | 0.072 (0.338)           | 0.060 (0.044)           |
| $I/\sigma(I)$                                                                  | 22.1 (2.6)              | 16.6 (8.5)              |
| Redundancy                                                                     | 3.8 (3.8)               | 11.5 (11.0)             |
| **Refinement**                                                                 |                         |                         |
| $R_{work}$ (%)                                                                 | 18.28                   | 18.27                   |
| $R_{free}$ (%)                                                                 | 23.14                   | 24.81                   |
| No. of atoms                                                                   |                         |                         |
| Protein                                                                       | 19411                   | 9640                    |
| Ligand/ion                                                                    | 330                     | 106                     |
| $H_2O$                                                                       | 1098                    | 295                     |
| R.m.s. deviations:                                                            |                         |                         |
| Bond length (Å)                                                               | 0.007                   | 0.008                   |
| Bond angle (°)                                                                | 1.02                    | 1.08                    |
| $B$-factors                                                                   |                         |                         |
| Protein                                                                       | 45.5                    | 62.4                    |
| Ligand/ion                                                                    | 70.7                    | 90.5                    |
| $H_2O$                                                                       | 49.4                    | 56.0                    |
| Ramachandran plot:                                                            |                         |                         |
| Allowed (%)                                                                   | 99.9                    | 99.9                    |
| Generously allowed (%)                                                         | 0.1                     | 0.1                     |
| Disallowed (%)                                                                | 0                       | 0                       |

1 Numbers in brackets refer to the highest resolution shell.
2 A random 5% of the reflection data was omitted in the refinement and used to calculate $R_{free}$.
protein/protein or protein/ligand interactions are often mobile in the absence of their binding partners, the observed flexibility of the active-site loop in isolated NleL structures may reflect its function in interacting with other molecules such as E2 or Ub in a transthiolation reaction.

DISCUSSION

Here, we report the biochemical and structural characterization of NleL, a previously uncharacterized T3SS effector protein from enterohemorrhagic E. coli O157:H7. Similar to SopA, NleL contains a protease-sensitive N terminus, a β-helix domain, and a C-terminal catalytic domain that resembles the bilobed architecture of eukaryotic HECT E3s. Whereas the N-lobe of NleL is of similar shape and size to that of E6AP, the C-lobes of NleL and E6AP are different in size and fold (8, 23). Unlike eukaryotic HECT, the N- and C-lobes of NleL are connected by a helix; however, the conformational flexibility of the helix functionally mimics that of the unstructured loop in E6AP. Formation of a thioester intermediate is characteristic of HECT E3s. The ubiquitin ligase activity of NleL is dependent upon a cysteine residue (Cys753) that forms an intermediate with Ub through a thioester bond. In vitro ubiquitination assay shows that NleL preferably forms unanchored polyUb chains using Lys6 and Lys48 linkages.

Ubiquitination is absent in bacteria; therefore, it is likely that NleL is synthesized by pathogenic bacteria to function exclusively in eukaryotic hosts. Very often, prokaryotes incorporate eukaryotic genes directly into their genome by a mechanism called horizontal gene transfer (13). However, because NleL shows no sequence homology to any eukaryotic proteins, it seems likely that NleL is acquired through convergent evolution. A BLAST search against all sequenced genomes found homologs of NleL in Citrobacter rodentium ICC168, E. coli E22, and more than a dozen Salmonella strains. A common feature of these bacteria is that they all cause disease in humans and warm-blooded animals. Comparative genomic analysis suggested that Salmonella and E. coli diverged ~100 million years ago (43) and pathogenic E. coli such as enterohemorrhagic E. coli separated from nonpathogenic strains around 4.5 million years ago (44). Although one cannot exclude the possibility that Salmonella and enterohemorrhagic E. coli obtained the genes of SopA and NleL independently, it is more likely that Salmonella acquired the HECT-like protein (SopA) during co-evolution with the eukaryotic hosts. Then, this gene was introduced into other pathogenic bacteria such as enterohemorrhagic E. coli, enteropathogenic E. coli, and C. rodentium through lateral gene transfer, a common mechanism for the distribution of genes in the microbial community (13).

In addition to RING or HECT-like E3s, a family of bacterial effector proteins has been recently discovered to have ubiquitin ligase activity without any sequence or structural simi-

FIGURE 5. Structure of NleL<sub>170–782</sub> is similar to that of SopA<sub>163–782</sub>. A, both structures consist of three regions: the β-helix domain (cyan), the N-lobe (magenta), and the C-lobe (yellow). The connecting helices between the two lobes are shown in green, and the catalytic cysteines (Cys<sup>753</sup>) are indicated in red. B, stereoview of superposition of the β-helix domains from NleL (yellow) and SopA (blue).
larity to any eukaryotic ligases (10, 16–18, 20). This group of proteins, termed NEL for Novel E3 ligases, contains two structural domains: an N-terminal leucine-rich repeat, which presumably interacts with substrates, and a C-terminal α-helical domain, which accounts for the catalytic activity. A conserved cysteine residue in the catalytic domain also forms a thioester bond with Ub (16, 17); thus, NEL is related to HECT E3s in terms of the chemistry of transthiolation. Structurally, NEL is very different from that of HECT E3 ligases. In contrast to NleL and SopA, where the relative orientation of the β-helical domain and the N-lobe is fixed, two structures of NELs showed marked difference in the relative position of the leucine-rich repeat domains (Fig. 7) (10, 17, 20). Although flexibility is also observed within the catalytic domain of NEL, the extent of its motion is much less than in NleL or SopA (16, 17).

The recent discovery of bacterial effector proteins functioning as ubiquitin ligases opens a new field. Although more than a half dozen of these ligases have been characterized structurally and biochemically, many questions remain unanswered. For example, how did the microbes initially acquire these proteins to function in eukaryotic hosts? Do eukaryotic counterparts of NEL exist? What is the function of these ligases in the life cycle of the bacteria? Investigations into these questions will provide a better understanding of bacterial pathogenesis, evolutionary biology, and the molecular mechanisms of ubiquitination.
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