Two Nimrod receptors, NimC1 and Eater, synergistically contribute to bacterial phagocytosis in Drosophila melanogaster
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Introduction

Phagocytosis is an ancient and evolutionarily conserved process, generally defined as the cellular uptake of particles bigger than 0.5 μm. Phagocytosis is an important feeding mechanism in primitive and unicellular organisms, such as amoeba [1]. In higher organisms, phagocytosis is performed by dedicated cells (phagocytes) and is used as a powerful process to internalize and eliminate pathogens, as well as to trigger host inflammation [2]. Moreover, phagocytosis contributes to tissue homeostasis and embryonic development, mainly via the removal of apoptotic corpses [3]. Phagocytosis is a complex membrane-driven process guided by the actin cytoskeleton of the host phagocytic cell. It involves the recognition and subsequent binding of the microbe by surface receptors. These interactions are essential to activate intracellular signalling pathways that finally culminate in the formation of the phagosome [4]. Several studies have highlighted similarities between the phagocytic machinery of Drosophila and mammals, such as the
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involvement of actin and actin-related proteins [5–7]. *Drosophila melanogaster* harbours highly efficient phagocytes, called plasmatocytes, which originate from multipotent progenitors (prohaemocytes). In healthy larvae, prohaemocytes can differentiate into two mature haemocyte types: plasmatocytes and crystal cells. While the later are involved in the melanization response [8], plasmatocytes are professional phagocytes sharing functional features with mammalian macrophages, and represent the most abundant haemocyte class at all developmental stages. They play a key role in bacterial clearance during infection, as well as in the removal of apoptotic corpses [9,10]. The ability of *Drosophila* haemocytes to perform efficient phagocytosis relies on the expression of specific cell surface receptors that can bind particles and induce their engulfment. While many receptors have been implicated in bacterial phagocytosis, their specific involvement or individual contribution is less clear [11,12]. In this paper, we have characterized the phagocytic role of NimC1 and Eater, two EGF-like repeat Nimrod surface receptors specifically expressed in haemocytes [13,14]. The Nimrod family of proteins is characterized by the presence of epidermal growth factor (EGF)-like domains, also called ‘NIM repeats’. This family comprises a cluster of 10 genes (NimA, NimB1-5 and NimC1-4) encoded by genes clustered on the chromosome II, and two related haemocyte surface receptors, Eater and Draper, encoded by genes on chromosome 3 [14,15]. Early studies have shown the implication of some Nimrod C-type proteins in bacterial phagocytosis (Eater and NimC1) [13,14,16] or engulfment of apoptotic bodies (Draper and NimC4/SIMU) [17,18]. More recently, the Eater transmembrane receptor has also been involved in haemocyte adhesion and sessility [16]. Nimrod C1 (NimC1) is a 90-kDa transmembrane protein characterized by 10 NIM repeats in its extracellular region, a single transmembrane domain and a short cytosolic tail with unknown function [14]. NimC1 has been initially identified as the antigen of a haemocyte-specific antibody (P1), being involved in phagocytosis of bacteria [14]. Kurucz et al. [14] showed that NimC1 silencing by RNAi decreases *Staphylococcus aureus* uptake by plasmatocytes, whereas its overexpression in S2 cells enhances phagocytosis of both *S. aureus* and *Escherichia coli* bacteria and makes the cells highly adherent. Here, we generated a null mutation in NimC1 by homologous recombination (called NimC1<sup>−/−</sup>) and revisited its function in haemocyte-mediated immunity. Moreover, we recombined the NimC1 mutation with the previously described eater<sup>−/−</sup> mutant [16], generating a NimC1<sup>−/−</sup>;eater<sup>−/−</sup> double mutant. Using these genetic tools, we first show the involvement of NimC1 in *ex vivo* cell adhesion and in the regulation of haemocyte proliferation. Contrasting with previous RNAi studies [14], our *ex vivo* phagocytosis assays demonstrate that NimC1 is not required for phagocytosis of Gram-positive or Gram-negative bacteria. Nevertheless, we show that this Nimrod receptor contributes to the uptake of latex beads and zymosan yeast particles. The use of the NimC1<sup>−/−</sup>;eater<sup>−/−</sup> double mutant not only reconfirmed Eater as the main Gram-positive engulfing receptor, but, more importantly, revealed a synergistic action of NimC1 and Eater in microbe phagocytosis. NimC1<sup>−/−</sup>;eater<sup>−/−</sup> haemocytes from third instar larvae, failed indeed to phagocytose any type of bacteria. Collectively, our study points to a major role of NimC1 and Eater in the phagocytosis of bacteria, and suggests that those proteins likely play distinct roles in microbial uptake, as tethering and docking receptors.

**Results**

**Generation of a NimC1 null mutant by homologous recombination**

In order to characterize NimC1 functions, we generated a null mutant by deleting the corresponding NimC1 gene region. The deletion removes the ATG translation start site and the following 852-bp sequence. The knockout was performed in the *w<sup>1118</sup>* background, using homologous recombination [19], which also leads to the insertion of a 7.9-kb cassette carrying the *white*<sup>+</sup> gene (Fig. 1A,B). Functional deletion of NimC1 was confirmed by RT-PCR performed on total RNA and by P1 (anti-NimC1 antibody [14]) immunostaining (Fig. 1C,D). As NimC1 is specifically expressed in haemocytes and has been implicated in phagocytosis, we combined the NimC1 mutation with the previously described eater<sup>−/−</sup> null mutant [16], generating a double mutant NimC1<sup>−/−</sup>;eater<sup>−/−</sup> (Fig. 1). Both NimC1<sup>−/−</sup> and NimC1<sup>−/−</sup>;eater<sup>−/−</sup> flies were viable and did not show any developmental defect. For overexpression studies, we also generated flies containing the NimC1 gene downstream of the UAS promoter. Using these tools, we characterized the function of NimC1 focussing on haemocytes of third instar larvae.

**NimC1-deficient haemocytes show adhesion defects *in vitro***

Eater has been involved in haemocyte adhesion and sessility [16]. Given the structural similarities between NimC1 and Eater [14], we first investigated the role of NimC1 in cell adhesion. We observed that the cell area...
of NimC11- and eater1-adherent haemocytes was decreased compared to that of w1118 wild-type control (Fig. 2A) [16]. Notably, the cell area of NimC11;eater1-adherent haemocytes was significantly smaller than that of single mutants. Quantification analysis revealed that wild-type haemocytes have a mean cell area of 237 \( \mu m^2 \), while NimC11, eater1 and NimC11;eater1 mutants have 120, 114 and 99.7 \( \mu m^2 \) respectively (Fig. 2B). Image-based cytometry analysis of free-floating haemocytes revealed that the spreading defects of NimC11- and eater1-adherent haemocytes was decreased compared to that of w1118 wild-type control (Fig. 2A) [16]. Notably, the cell area of NimC11;eater1-adherent haemocytes was significantly smaller than that of single mutants. Quantification analysis revealed that wild-type haemocytes have a mean cell area of 237 \( \mu m^2 \), while NimC11, eater1 and NimC11;eater1 mutants have 120, 114 and 99.7 \( \mu m^2 \) respectively (Fig. 2B). Image-based cytometry analysis of free-floating haemocytes revealed that the spreading defects
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observed in our mutants were not due to an inherently smaller cell size (Fig. 2C). In order to get a deeper insight into these adhesion defects, we investigated haemocyte morphology by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Lamellipodia are a key feature of highly motile cells, playing a central role in cell movement and migration [20]. They represent flat cellular protrusion, characterized by an enriched network of branched actin filaments. Filopodia, instead, are rather used by the cell to sense the surrounding microenvironment, and consist of parallel actin filaments that emerge from the lamellipodium. Spread plasmatocytes from wild-type larvae appeared as round adherent cells with a central bulge within the cell body, from which lamellipodia and filopodia extended (Fig. 2D). NimC1 and eater null haemocytes were still able to form narrow filopodia projections. However, both single and double mutants showed an obvious lamellipodium decreased region compared to wild-type control (Fig. 2D). Collectively, our results point to a role of NimC1 in haemocyte spreading and lamellipodia extension.

In the *Drosophila* larva, circulating haemocytes can attach to the inner layer of the cuticle, forming striped patterns along the dorsal vessel, and lateral patches in association with the endings of peripheral neurons [8,21–23]. These subepidermal sessile compartments are known as haematopoietic pockets [21,23–26]. Previous work has shown that *eater* larvae lack the sessile haemocyte compartment and have all peripheral haemocytes in circulation [16]. To further investigate whether the NimC1 deletion affects sessility, we explored haemocyte localization using the haemocyte marker *Hml*ΔGal4->UAS-GFP by whole larva imaging and cross-section visualization. In NimC1Δ, *Hml*ΔGal4, UAS-GFP third instar (L3) wandering larvae, haemocytes were still able to enter the sessile state, forming dorsal and lateral patches (Fig. 2E,F). In contrast, both *eater* and NimC1Δ;*eater* larvae lacked sessile haemocytes, all plasmatocytes being in circulation (Fig. 2E,F). *In vivo* RNAi targeting NimC1 confirmed the haemocyte adhesion defect observed with the null mutant (Fig. 2G,H). This indicates that the observed phenotypes were indeed caused by the deletion of NimC1 and not the genetic background. Altogether, our data indicate that NimC1 contributes to haemocyte adhesion *ex vivo*, but in contrast to Eater, it is not directly required for haemocyte sessility *in vivo*.

**NimC1 null larvae have an increased number of haemocytes**

*Drosophila* haematopoiesis occurs in two successive waves. A first set of haemocytes is produced during embryogenesis, giving rise to a defined number of plasmatocytes and crystal cells. This embryonic haemocyte population expands in number during the following larval stages. The second haemocyte lineage derives from the lymph gland, a specialized organ that develops along all larval stages. The lymph gland acts as a reservoir of both prohaemocytes and mature haemocytes, which are released at the onset of metamorphosis or upon parasitization [8,27–30]. Finally, accumulating evidence suggests that the sessile haematopoietic pockets also function as an active peripheral haematopoietic niche [21,23,26]. In order to further investigate the role of NimC1, and its potential interaction with Eater in peripheral haematopoiesis, we counted by flow cytometry the number of all the peripheral haemocyte populations (i.e. both sessile and circulating). Larvae containing the haemocyte marker *Hml*Δdsred.nls, combined with the NimC1 and *eater* null mutants, were used (Fig. 3A–C). Our study confirmed that *eater* L3 wandering mutant larvae have more haemocytes than the wild-type [16] (Fig. 3A). Similarly, *NimC1Δ* third instar larvae have 3.2 times more circulating haemocytes compared to the wild-type (Fig. 3A). As *NimC1Δ* L2 larvae have a wild-type like number of haemocytes, the increase in haemocyte counts in this mutant takes place at the end of larval development (Fig. 3C). Surprisingly,
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haemocyte number was six times higher in NimC1\(^1\); eater\(^1\) double mutant L3 larvae (Fig. 3A), suggesting that eater and NimC1 additively regulate haemocyte counts. A higher haemocyte number was already observed in second instar larvae in the double mutant (Fig. 3C). We next investigated whether lymph glands from third instar mutant larvae had an increased number of mature haemocytes compared to wild-type. Visual count of Hml\(\Delta\)dsred.nls-positive cells from fixed primary lymph gland lobes revealed no major differences between mutants and wild-type, although a decreased trend in haemocyte number in single and double mutants could be observed (Fig. 3D,E). In agreement with this observation, primary lymph gland lobes of eater and NimC1 mutants showed a modest reduced area compared to control, which was not statistically significant (Fig. 3F). Nevertheless, the ratio of Hml\(\Delta\)dsred.nls-positive cells to the all primary lymph gland cell population (i.e. DAPI positive), was not significantly altered between wild-type and mutants (Fig. 3G).

We then decided to explore whether the increase in peripheral haemocytes count observed in our mutants (Fig. 3A–C) was caused by a higher

---

**Fig. 4.** NimC1 overexpression does not alter haemocyte number and adhesive properties. (A) Number of singlet peripheral haemocytes in third instar wandering larvae is not affected upon NimC1 overexpression. Results are represented as a sum of five animals with the indicated genotypes. Data are represented as mean ± SD from five independent experiments. (B) Upper panel: Representative images for fixed haemocytes from Hml\(\Delta\)Gal4\(>\)w\(^{1118}\) and Hml\(\Delta\)Gal4\(>\)UAS-NimC1 L3 wandering larvae stained with rhodamine phalloidin (red). Cell nuclei are shown in DAPI (blue). Bottom panel: scanning electron micrographs on spread haemocytes from Hml\(\Delta\)Gal4\(>\)w\(^{1118}\) and Hml\(\Delta\)Gal4\(>\)UAS-NimC1 of L3 wandering larvae. (C) Mean cell area quantification of fixed haemocytes of the indicated genotypes, spread for 30 min on slides and stained with AlexaFluor488 phalloidin. Cell area of 750 cells was quantified using the CELLPROFILER software. (D) Whole larva imaging of Hml\(\Delta\)Gal4\(>\)UAS-GFP\(>\)w\(^{1118}\) and Hml\(\Delta\)Gal4\(>\)UAS-NimC1 shows no major difference in haemocyte localization pattern and adherence when NimC1 is specifically overexpressed in haemocytes. The dorsal side of the animal is shown. (E) Whole larva imaging and spreading assay showing the absence of rescue when overexpressing NimC1 in an eater\(^1\) mutant background. Data in (A) and (C) were analysed by Mann–Whitney test. ns, not significant.
proliferation rate. EdU incorporation experiments revealed that NimC1\(^{1}\) and eater\(^{-1}\) single mutants have a higher frequency of peripheral proliferating haemocytes compared to wild-type in middle L3 but not L2 larvae (Fig. 3H,I). The higher proliferation rates might, therefore, explain the increased number of haemocyte counts in both L3 wandering (Fig. 3A) and middle L3 (Fig. 3B) larvae. Interestingly, we found that both haemocyte count and mitotic rate were higher in NimC1\(^{1}\); eater\(^{-1}\) in L2 and L3 larvae indicating that both receptors additively regulate haemocyte proliferation levels (Fig. 3A–C,H,I). The higher haemocyte count in NimC1 mutant larvae was phenocopied when using an in vivo RNAi approach to silence NimC1 (Fig. 3J). Of note, overexpression of NimC1, using the Hml\(\Delta\)-Gal4 plasmacytocyte driver, did not increase the peripheral haemocyte count (Fig. 4A), nor their adhesion properties (Fig. 4B–D). Overexpression of NimC1 in haemocytes from eater-deficient larvae did not rescue the lack of sessility phenotype and the ex vivo adhesion defect caused by the absence of eater (Fig. 4E).
**Fig. 6.** NimC1 mutants do not show any major encapsulation defects after wasp infestation. (A) Quantification of emerging *Drosophila melanogaster* adult, *Leptopilina boulardi* wasp, and dead animals following parasitization with the parasitoid wasp *L. boulardi*. Data are shown as a sum of three experiments, with a total of 90 animals for each genotype. Data were analysed using Chi-square statistical test (*P*-value > 0.05). ns, not significant. (B) Shown are representative images of melanized wasp eggs in *w1118* control and mutants, 70 h after *L. boulardi* infestation. (C) Lamellocyte differentiation is observed in *eater* and NimC1 mutants upon wasp infestation. Representative images showing circulating haemocytes 70 h after *L. boulardi* infestation. Arrows and arrowheads indicate haemocytes with lamellocyte (La) and plasmatocyte (P) morphology respectively. Cell nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar: 20 μm. (D) Representative images showing early wasp egg recognition by peripheral plasmatocytes (haemocytes stained with anti-Hemese antibody [61], green) 20 h after infestation. Haemocytes of both wild-type and *eater* and NimC1 mutants attached to the eggs. However, single and double-mutant plasmatocytes adhere with slightly decreased spreading ability compared to the wild-type.
We also investigated a possible role of NimC1 in crystal cell and lamellocyte differentiation.

Crystal cells are the second haemocyte type present in noninfected larvae, specifically involved in the melanization response and wound healing [31]. Crystal cells can be found in both the sessile and circulating state. Recent studies have shown that a fraction of those cells derive from sessile plasmatocyte by transdifferentiation [26]. Consequently, crystal cells need sessile plasmatocytes to be, themselves, sessile [16]. Lamellocytes are barely present in healthy larvae, but can differentiate from plasmatocytes [25,32] or prohaemocytes [33] in response to specific stress signals, such as parasitization. They are thought to play an essential role in encapsulation of parasitoid wasp eggs. Our study indicates that NimC1 mutants retain the ability to differentiate fully mature crystal cells (Fig. 5). Moreover, our data also show that the NimC1 deletion does not affect the ability to encapsulate parasitoid wasp eggs (Fig. 6). Finally, we did not uncover any role of NimC1 in the systemic antimicrobial response of larvae against Gram-positive (Micrococcus luteus) or Gram-negative bacteria (Erwinia carotovora carotovora), as revealed by the wild-type–like induction of Dipterican and Drosomycin gene expression, two target genes of the Imd and Toll pathways respectively [34] (Fig. 7).

**NimC1 contributes with Eater to phagocytosis of bacteria**

A previous in vivo RNAi approach had revealed a role of NimC1 in the phagocytosis of Gram-positive bacteria [14]. We used the NimC1 deletion to further elucidate the requirement of this receptor in bacterial uptake by performing ex vivo phagocytosis assays at two different time points (early-30 min and late-60 min). As previously reported [16], eater null mutant haemocytes were impaired in their capacity to phagocytose the Gram-positive bacterium *S. aureus* (Fig. 8A,B), but not the Gram-negative bacterium *E. coli* (Fig. 8C,D). In contrast to the previous RNAi experiments [14], loss of NimC1 affected neither the phagocytosis of *S. aureus* nor that of *E. coli* (Fig. 8A–D). However, haemocytes derived from NimC1⁺;eater⁻ mutant larvae were not only severely impaired in the phagocytosis of *S. aureus* (Fig. 8A,B), as expected, but also of *E. coli* (Fig. 8C,D). This indicates that Eater and NimC1 contribute redundantly to the phagocytosis of Gram-negative bacteria, as the presence of Eater or NimC1 is able to compensate for the absence of the other.

The use of a double mutant also revealed a contribution of NimC1 to the phagocytosis of *S. aureus*, although Eater plays the predominant role. To further confirm these phagocytosis defects, we extended the analysis to two additional Gram-positive (*Staphylococcus epidermidis, M. luteus*) (Fig. 8E,F) and one Gram-negative (*Serratia marcescens*) (Fig. 8G) bacteria. Phagocytosis of all those microbes was not impaired in NimC1⁻ null haemocytes. However, NimC1⁺;eater⁻ double mutant haemocytes showed a strongly reduced phagocytosis for both the Gram-positive bacteria *S. epidermidis* and *M. luteus* (Fig. 8E,F), and the Gram-negative bacterium *S. marcescens* (although statistically nonsignificant due to the high variability of the wild-type) (Fig. 8G). Those data further confirmed our initial findings (Fig. 8A–D). Interestingly, NimC1 null haemocytes showed a higher phagocytic index, when compared to wild-type, for *S. epidermidis* and *M. luteus* bacteria. We hypothesized that the absence of
NimC1 could trigger a compensatory pathway in plasmatocytes, specific for certain bacteria, in order to fulfil NimC1 phagocytic functions. The signalling of this putative compensatory pathway, that would eventually finally lead to a higher bacteria uptake by plasmatocytes, might be dependent on Eater, given the dramatically reduced phagocytic ability of the double mutant.

**Eater and NimC1 receptors play a critical role in adhesion to bacteria**

To better understand the cause of eater\(^l\) and NimC1\(^l\); eater\(^l\) phagocytosis defects, and thereby to elucidate the unique role of these receptors in bacterial uptake, we performed scanning and transmission (TEM) electron microscopy experiments. Both these techniques...
allow following the different membrane-driven events during the phagocytosis process. Haemocytes from the corresponding genotypes were incubated with either *E. coli* or *S. aureus* live bacteria for 30 min to evaluate bacterial adhesion by SEM, and to follow bacterial uptake at 60 min by TEM. In wild-type and NimC11 haemocytes incubated with *S. aureus*, we observed plasma membrane remodelling, with the formation of a phagocytic cup and pseudopod protrusions that progressively surrounded bacteria, finally leading to their engulfment (Fig. 9A,B white arrowheads). Similar observations were made for wild-type, NimC11 and eater mutant haemocytes incubated with *E. coli* (Fig. 9C,D). Surprisingly, upon incubation of eater and NimC11,eater haemocytes with *S. aureus*, no bacteria were present on the cell surface (Fig. 9A). A decreased level of bacteria adherence was also observed in NimC11,eater haemocytes incubated with *E. coli* (Fig. 9C). In accordance with SEM experiments, transmitted electron micrographs showed numerous engulfment events in wild-type and NimC11 haemocytes with *S. aureus* bacteria (Fig. 9B, arrows), as well as for *E. coli* in wild-type, NimC11 and eater haemocytes (Fig. 9D). Altogether, these experiments point to the importance of Eater in binding Gram-positive bacteria, which is consistent with a previous report [35], but also to a redundant role of NimC1 and Eater in binding Gram-negative bacteria. Furthermore, they suggest that these two receptors do not play any critical role in bacteria internalization, as NimC1:eater mutant showed (rare) engulfment events (Fig. 9B,D, arrows).

To further confirm the bacteria adhesion defects, we incubated haemocytes and live fluorescent bacteria either on ice or with Cytochalasin D. Both treatments inhibit the engulfment process, without altering the binding of the bacteria to the phagocytic cell [6]. In both conditions (Fig. 9E,F), we observed less bacteria binding to plasmatocytes in the same genotypes that were defective for phagocytosis in our *ex vivo* assays (eater for *S. aureus*, and NimC11,eater for *S. aureus* and *E. coli*, Fig. 8A–D).

**Phagocytosis of latex beads and zymosan yeast particles is impaired in NimC1 null mutants**

To further understand the role of Eater and NimC1 in the phagocytosis process, we proceeded to analyse the uptake of ‘neutral’ latex beads particles. We also tested their role in the phagocytosis of zymosan, a compound found on the cell wall of yeast. While bacteria present at their surface-specific targets for the engulfing receptors, latex beads can be seen as nonimmunogenic particles, that do not bear any ligands for the phagocyte. We observed that the phagocytic index of latex beads was wild-type like in eater null plasmatocytes. Interestingly, plasmatocytes lacking the NimC1 receptor showed a significantly reduced ability to engulf latex beads, as well as zymosan yeast particles (Fig. 10A,B). Thus, we could uncover a phagocytic defect in the NimC1 single mutant only when using particles that do not display bacterial motifs, suggesting that bacteria can bypass NimC1, probably by recruiting other phagocytic receptors, such as Eater.

**Bacteria adhesion and latex beads engulfment are not impaired in croquemort and draper mutant haemocytes**

The drastic effect observed with the NimC11,eater double mutant on phagocytosis and bacteria adhesion led us to explore the contribution of other previously characterized phagocytic receptors using the same assays. Draper and Croquemort are two transmembrane receptors expressed by plasmatocytes, and belong to the Nimrod and CD36 family respectively [14,36]. With SIMU (NimC4), they both play a key role in the engulfment of apoptotic bodies [18,36–39]. Moreover, a role in *S. aureus* phagocytosis has also been described for Draper and Croquemort, as well as in *E. coli* phagocytosis for Draper [17,40–42]. Although we did observe a modest decrease in *S. aureus* phagocytosis in croquemort and draper mutants (called crq and drpr, respectively), and *E. coli* in drpr mutant (Fig. 11A,B), bacteria adhesion to the haemoceytos was not impaired in these mutants (Fig. 11C). This further supports a specific role of Eater as the main tethering receptor in Gram-positive bacteria phagocytosis. Moreover, drpr and crq haemocytes showed a wild-type like engulfment of latex beads (Fig. 11D), further indicating a specific role of Eater in microbe uptake, likely via the recognition of a key bacterial surface determinant.

**Discussion and Conclusions**

NimC1 was initially identified as an antigen for the plasmatocyte-specific monoclonal antibody P1. It belongs to the Nimrod gene family that has been implicated in the cellular innate immune response in *Drosophila* [43,44]. A previous study pointed to the importance of NimC1 in the phagocytosis of bacteria, since RNAi-mediated silencing of this gene resulted in decreased *S. aureus* uptake by plasmatocytes [14]. In the present work, we further re-evaluated the function
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of the NimC1 protein by using a novel null mutant, revealing its precise role in haemocyte adhesion, proliferation and phagocytic ability.

By performing ex vivo spreading assays, we observed that the cell area of adherent NimC1 null haemocytes was reduced compared to wild-type control, suggesting that NimC1 works as an adhesion molecule. Consistent with this observation, SEM on spread haemocytes of NimC1 mutants revealed a defect in lamellipodia extension. Spreading defects were also observed in eater mutants [16] and NimC1;eater haemocytes. Thus, two structurally related Nimrod receptors, NimC1 and Eater, are involved in lamellipodia extension and haemocyte adhesion. It will be interesting to analyse, in future work, the implications of NimC1 and Eater in haemocyte migration during metamorphosis or wound healing. Our results also indicate that Eater and NimC1 additively regulate haemocyte adhesion. In contrast to eater-deficient larvae, NimC1 is, however, not directly required for plasmatocyte sessility in vivo. Whether NimC1 contributes to haemocyte sessility through additional scaffold proteins has to be further investigated, even though the present evidence might favour a model where Eater is the only essential protein required for haemocyte sessility [16].

During larval development, the peripheral haemoctye population undergoes a significant proliferation, expanding by self-renewal [8,21]. Moreover, during these developmental stages, plasmatocytes are characterized by a dynamic behaviour, continuously exchanging between the sessile and circulating state. In 2011, Makhijani et al. [21] provided evidence that plasmatocyte proliferation rate is higher in the haemato poetic pockets, where haemocytes cluster on the lateral side of the larval body. At this location, sessile plasmatocytes are in contact with the endings of peripheral neurons, which are thought to provide a trophic environment to the blood cells. More recently, it has been shown that sensory neurons of the peripheral nervous system produce Activin-β, which turned out to be
an important factor in the regulation of haemocyte proliferation and adhesion [45]. By analysing the total number of haemocytes in third instar NimC11 or eater1 larvae, we observed that both Eater and NimC1 negatively regulate haemocyte counts in an additive manner. EdU incorporation experiments revealed that the higher haemocyte counts in NimC11;eater1 mutants were a consequence of an increased haemocyte proliferation rate. It is tempting to speculate that the higher proliferation rate is a secondary consequence of an adhesion defect. Indeed, adherent cells, notably when establishing contacts with other cells, are less proliferative, a process called 'contact inhibition of proliferation' [46]. Future studies should address how Eater and NimC1 contribute to both adhesion and proliferation, and the direction of causality between these two processes remains to be disentangled. Like plasmatocytes, crystal cells increase in number during larval stages. However, crystal cell proliferation is not due to a self-renewal mechanism because mature crystal cells do not divide. Instead, a recent study has shown that new crystal cells originate from transdifferentiation of sessile plasmatocytes via a Notch–Serrate-dependent process [26]. In the present study, we show that the NimC1 deletion does not strongly impact crystal cell formation as both sessile and circulating crystal

---

**Fig. 11.** Phagocytosis in draper and croquemort mutants. Phagocytosis of *Staphylococcus aureus* (A) and *Escherichia coli* (B) AlexaFluor™488 BioParticles™ (Invitrogen) in crqΔ and drpΔ haemocytes mutants from L3 wandering larvae. NimC11;eater1 haemocytes were used as negative control. (C) crqΔ and drpΔ haemocytes mutants show no binding defect of *S. aureus* (upper panel) and *E. coli* (bottom panel) bacteria. Haemocytes from the corresponding genotypes were incubated with live GFP bacteria (green) on ice for 1 h (see Material and Methods section for further details). After fixation with 4% paraformaldehyde, haemocytes were stained with rhodamine phalloidin (red). Scale bar: 10 μm. (D) Phagocytosis of latex beads (Sigma-Aldrich) in crqΔ and drpΔ haemocytes mutants from L3 wandering larvae. NimC11;eater1 haemocytes were used as the negative control. Data are represented as mean ± SD from four independent experiments *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001, by Student t tests. ns, not significant.
cell populations were only mildly affected in NimC1 null larvae. Moreover, NimC1 does not affect the ability to differentiate lamellocytes and to encapsulate parasitoid wasp eggs.

NimC1 was initially identified as a phagocytic receptor, mediating the uptake of S. aureus bacteria [14]. Contrary to this study, our ex vivo phagocytosis assays using the NimC1 deletion mutant revealed that the uptake of both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria was not altered in NimC1 null haemocytes. We hypothesized that the RNAi approach could have targeted other phagocytic receptors, revealing a stronger phenotype not observed in the single null mutant. Strikingly, phagocytosis of both bacteria types was severely impaired in NimC1<sup>eater</sup> haemocytes, suggesting that both receptors contribute synergistically to phagocytosis of both Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. At this stage, we cannot exclude that these receptors might indirectly regulate phagocytosis by controlling another receptor directly involved in bacterial recognition, although we judge this hypothesis unlikely. Consistent with our hypothesis, an RNA-seq analysis of eater deficient versus wild-type haemocytes did not uncover any role of Eater in the regulation of other phagocytic receptors (data not shown).

Given the marked phagocytosis defect of the eater single mutant against S. aureus, the contribution of NimC1 was especially noticeable in the case of the Gram-negative bacterium E. coli. Our SEM approach revealed that NimC1 and Eater might contribute together to the early step of bacterial recognition, since NimC1<sup>eater</sup> double mutants showed decreased bacterial adhesion. The involvement of NimC1 in E. coli binding is consistent with previous in vitro work showing that native NimC1 binds bacteria [47]. Surprisingly, NimC1<sup>eater</sup> and NimC1<sup>eater</sup> showed a significantly reduced ability to engulf latex beads and yeast zymosan particles. A recent study has also revealed a role of NimC1 in the phagocytosis of latex beads using an in vivo RNAi approach [48]. Thus, Eater and NimC1 have specific properties with regard to phagocytosis. It is interesting to address a parallel with the implication of two Nimrod receptors in bacteria tethering and docking, as shown for apoptotic cells clearance in Drosophila melanogaster [18,49]. Tethering receptors usually lack an intracellular domain and are involved in the binding to the dying cell. Docking receptors, instead, are subsequently required to activate intracellular signalling and mediate the internalization and degradation of the particle. In the fruit fly, a good example for tethering and docking receptors are SIMU/NimC4 and Draper respectively [49]. A similar dichotomy exists in vertebrates, as Stabilin 2 and TIM-4 are classified as tethering receptors, whereas the integrins αVβ3 and αVβ5 are grouped as docking/signalling proteins [50,51]. The involvement and cooperation of two receptors of the Nimrod family in bacterial phagocytosis raised the possibility that they might contribute via different mechanisms: binding and internalization. Our current hypothesis is that Eater might work as the main tethering receptor, required for binding to specific motifs present on the bacterial surface. Moreover, given the wild-type engulfment of latex beads in eater, this receptor might be engaged specifically for phagocytosis of microbes. Indeed, the involvement of Eater in bacterial binding was already assessed in previous studies [35], and is consistent with our assays using live fluorescent bacteria and SEM experiments. On the contrary, NimC1 could function in the activation of the subsequent intracellular signalling, maybe as a subunit of a bigger macromolecular complex. We hypothesize that in the presence of cell wall bacterial determinants, such as peptidoglycan, lipopolysaccharide or teichoic acids, microbe phagocytosis can bypass the requirement of NimC1 by providing enough ‘eat me’ signals to Eater. In contrast, the critical role of NimC1 in phagocytosis becomes visible with less immunogenic particles. This would explain why we do not observe any defects in the phagocytosis of S. aureus and E. coli in NimC1 single mutant, but only with latex beads (i.e. particles without any bacterial motifs).

Future studies should address how Eater and NimC1 interact, the implication of other possible phagocytic receptors and characterization of their respective ligands. Collectively, our genetic analysis using compound mutants identifies NimC1 and Eater as two critical receptors involved in the initial step of phagocytosis, and notably adhesion to bacteria. While a plethora of receptors have been identified for their role in microbial phagocytosis in Drosophila, NimC1 and Eater appear to be the best candidates to directly recognize bacterial ‘eat me’ signals initiating phagocytosis. Our study also provides a valuable tool to better assess the role of phagocytosis during the immune response.

**Materials and methods**

**Drosophila stocks and methodology**

All Drosophila stocks were maintained at 25 °C on standard fly medium consisting of 6% cornmeal, 6% yeast, 0.62% agar, 0.1% fruit juice (consisting of 50% grape juice
and 50% multivitamins+multivitamin juice), supplemented with 10.6 g·L⁻¹ moldex and 4.9 mL·L⁻¹ propionic acid. Second instar (L2) larvae were selected 48–52 h after egg laying (AEL), middle L3 larvae 72–90 h AEL and third instar (L3) wandering larvae 110–120 h AEL.

Wild-type w¹¹¹8 (BL5905) flies were used as controls, unless indicated otherwise. The following fly lines were used in this study:

| Details | Source |
|---------|--------|
| w¹¹¹8 | BL5905 Bloomington |
| y[w¹¹¹8] | [16] |
| w¹¹¹8; eater¹ | This study |
| w¹¹¹8; NimC1¹ | This study |
| w¹¹¹8, NimC1¹; eater¹ | This study |
| w¹¹¹8; UAS-GFP | This study |
| w¹¹¹8; UAS-NimC1-IR; eater¹ | This study |
| w¹¹¹8; HmlΔdsred.nls | This study |
| w¹¹¹8; NimC1¹; HmlΔdsred.nls | This study |
| w¹¹¹8; dsred.nls | This study |
| y[w¹¹¹8] | [52] |
| w¹¹¹8; HmlΔGal4-ΔC14-UAS-GFP | This study |
| w¹¹¹8; HmlΔGal4-ΔC14-UAS-GFP; eater¹ | This study |
| w¹¹¹8; NimC1¹; HmlΔGal4-ΔC14-UAS-GFP | This study |
| y[w¹¹¹8] | [37,53] |
| croquetor | [54] |
| PPO1¹, 2, 3¹ | [55] |
| UAS-NimC1-IR | VDRC Vienna Drosophila |
| 105799 | Resource Center |
| UAS-eater-IR | VDRC Vienna Drosophila |
| 30097 | Resource Center |
| Relish⁵²⁰ | Described in [34] |
| w¹¹¹8; UAS-NimC1-IR | This study |

Gene targeting of NimC1

Gene targeting of NimC1 was performed as follows. The 5′ and 3′ homology arms, of 4.8 kb and 3.7 kb, respectively, were PCR amplified from genomic DNA. The 5′ arm was inserted between NotI and NheI restriction sites, whereas the 3′ arm was inserted between SpeI and AscI sites of the gene targeting vector pTV[Cherry]. A donor transgenic stock was generated by transformation of a starting w¹¹¹8 (BL5905) stock, and used for hsFLP and hs-I-SceI-mediated gene targeting [19]. Using this method, we recorded a 1/2000 knockout efficiency of the F₂ progeny, that is, 1/2000 offspring were bonafide NimC1 knockouts.

The following primers were used for PCR genotyping and for testing the functional NimC1 deletion by RT-PCR:

| Name | Target gene | Sequence |
|------|-------------|----------|
| eater_F | eater | TAGGAGGTCATAAAGCGGATA |
| eater_R | eater | CCTCAACACGATTTGACCTTG |
| NimC1_F | NimC1 | AGTGGTGCTGGTTACCTGGAA |
| NimC1_R | NimC1 | GATTTCCCTACCTTCTCTGACC |
| NimC1ΔcDNA_F | NimC1 | TCGCTTCAAGGACAACTCC |
| NimC1ΔcDNA_R | NimC1 | ACACAGTCTCCGAATTGGCA |

Haemocyte counting by flow cytometry

A BD Accuri C6 flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA) was used to analyse haemocytes. For each genotype, 15 L2, 5 middle L3 or 5 L3 wandering third instar larvae containing the HmlΔdsred.nls marker were bled into 150 μL of Schneider's insect medium (Sigma-Aldrich) containing 1 μM phenylthiourea (PTU; Sigma-Aldrich). Before bleeding, larvae were vortexed in PBS 1× for 1 min in order to detach sessile haemocytes [56]. About 100 μL of haemocyte suspension was analysed by flow cytometry. Haemocytes were first selected from debris by plotting FSC-A against SSC-A on a logarithmic scale in a dot plot. Cells were then gated for singlets by plotting FSC-C versus FSC-A. Haemocytes were gated by flow cytometry. Haemocytes were first selected from debris by plotting FSC-A against SSC-A on a logarithmic scale in a dot plot. Cells were then gated for singlets by plotting FSC-C versus FSC-A. Haemocytes were gated by flow cytometry.

Haemocyte size measurement of free-floating cells

Third instar (L3) wandering larvae were bled in 1× PBS without calcium and magnesium, supplemented with EDTA 5 mM. Invitrogen™ Tali™ Image-based Cytometer machine (Carlsbad, CA, USA) was used to measure haemocytes size in suspension of more than 7000 cells per genotype.

Ex vivo larval haemocyte phagocytosis assays

1 Ex vivo phagocytosis assay of E. coli and S. aureus was performed using E. coli and S. aureus AlexaFluo™ 488 BioParticles™ (Invitrogen), following manufacturer’s instructions. L3 wandering larvae carrying the HmlΔdsred.nls haemocytes marker were bled into 150 μL of Schneider’s insect medium (Sigma-Aldrich) containing 1 μM phenylthiourea (PTU; Sigma-Aldrich). The haemocyte suspension was then transferred to 1.5 mL low binding tubes (Eppendorf, Sigma-Aldrich) and 2 × 10⁷ AlexaFluo™ 488 bacteria BioParticles™ were added. The samples were incubated at room temperature for 30 or 60 min to enable phagocytosis, and then
placed on ice in order to stop the reaction. The fluorescence of extracellular particles was quenched by adding 0.4% trypan blue (Sigma-Aldrich) diluted 1/3. Phagocytosis was quantified using a flow cytometer (BD Accuri C6) in order to measure the fraction of cells phagocytosing, and their fluorescent intensity. w^1108 larvae and Hmldsred.nls larvae with or without bacterial particles were used to define the gates for haemocytes and the thresholds for phagocytosed particle emission. The phagocytic index was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Fraction of haemocytes phagocytosing} (f) = \frac{\text{[number of haemocytes in fluorescence positive gate]}}{\text{[total number of haemocytes]}}
\]

\[
\text{Phagocytic index} (PI) = \text{[Mean fluorescence intensity of haemocytes in fluorescence positive gate] } \times f
\]

2 Ex vivo phagocytosis assays of S. marcescens, S. epidermidis and M. luteus were performed as follows. Bacterial strains and labelling bacteria with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) are described in [47]. The stocks of S. marcescens (Szeged Microbial Collection, University of Szeged, Szeged, Hungary; SzMC 0567), S. epidermidis (SzMC 14531) and M. luteus (SzMC 0264) were used. Bacteria were conjugated by FITC as described by Zsamboki et al. Briefly, 10 mL of bacterial culture (OD\text{\textsubscript{600}} = 1.5) was heat inactivated in PBS and the cell pellet was resuspended in 10 mL of 0.25 m carbonate-bicarbonate buffer pH 9.0. Fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) 0.5 mg, dissolved in 100 \mu L DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to the heat-inactivated bacteria, rotated overnight at 4 °C and washed eight times with PBS. The FITC-labelled bacteria were resuspended, centrifuged at 11 200 g, the pellet was resuspended to a final concentration of 10%, sodium azide was added as a preservative (0.1%) and the samples were kept at 4 °C until use. Bacteria were washed 5× with PBS prior to the phagocytosis assay. The phagocytic activity of haemocytes was assayed with a protocol similar to [6]. Haemocytes were isolated from third instar larvae at room temperature into Shields and Sang M3 insect medium (Sigma-Aldrich) and washed 30 min with bacteria for phagocytosis assay, before being fixed for 30 min before being fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde PBS. Click-iT™ EdU Imaging Kit (Invitrogen) was used to stain Hmldsred.nls haemocyte populations. Cells were finally stained with 1/15 000 dilution of 4',6- diamidino-2-phenylindole DAPI (Sigma-Aldrich) and mounted in antifading agent Citifluor AF1 (Citifluor Ltd., Hatfield, PA, USA). The proliferation rate was determined by counting the number of EdU-positive cells over the whole Hmldsred.nls haemocyte population. At least six animals were analysed per genotype.

3 Phagocytosis of green fluorescent 1 \mu m latex beads (Sigma-Aldrich) and AlexaFluor™488 Zymosan BioParticles™ (Invitrogen) was performed following the same procedure described in 1), with the exception that haemocytes without the Hmldsred.nls marker were used. 1 \times 10^5 Zymosan BioParticles™ and 0.2 \mu g of latex beads were added to each sample.

Given the difference in haemocyte numbers per larva between the genotypes, we bled 6 H.1118 (BL5905) larvae, 4 eater¹ and NimC1¹ larvae and 3 NimC1¹;eater¹ larvae.

### Proliferation assays

Cell proliferation was assessed by 5-ethyl-2'-deoxyuridine (EdU) labelling. Second instar, or middle L3 larvae were fed at 29 °C with 1 mM 5-ethyl-2-deoxyuridine (EdU) in fly food for 4 h. Larvae were bled individually in 30 \mu L Schneider medium (Gibco) containing 1 mM phenylthiourea (PTU; Sigma-Aldrich). Haemocytes were allowed to settle for 30 min before being fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde PBS. Click-iT™ EdU Imaging Kit (Invitrogen) was used to stain Hmldsred.nls haemocyte populations. Cells were finally stained with 1/15 000 dilution of 4',6- diamidino-2-phenylindole DAPI (Sigma-Aldrich) and mounted in antifading agent Citifluor AF1 (Citifluor Ltd., Hatfield, PA, USA). The proliferation rate was determined by counting the number of EdU-positive cells over the whole Hmldsred.nls haemocyte population. At least six animals were analysed per genotype.

### Scanning electron microscopy

Samples for SEM were prepared as follows. Six wandering third instar larvae were bled into 50 \mu L of Schneider’s insect medium (Sigma-Aldrich) containing 1 \mu m phenylthiourea (PTU; Sigma-Aldrich). The collected haemolymph was incubated on a glass coverslip for 20 min for spreading assay, or 30 min with bacteria for phagocytosis assay, before being fixed for 1 h with 1.25% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 m phosphate buffer, pH 7.4. Samples were then washed in cacodylate buffer (0.1M, pH 7.4), fixed again in 0.2% osmium tetroxide in buffer, pH 7.4. Samples were then washed in cacodylate buffer (0.1M, pH 7.4) and dehydrated in graded alcohol series. Samples underwent critical point drying and Au/Pd coating (4 nm). Scanning electron micrographs were taken with a field emission scanning electron microscope Merlin, Zeiss NTS, Oerzen, Embsen, Germany.

### Transmission electron microscopy

Third instar wandering larvae were bled in 50 \mu L of Schneider’s insect medium (Sigma-Aldrich) containing 1 \mu m phenylthiourea (PTU; Sigma-Aldrich). The collected haemolymph was incubated with bacteria on a glass coverslip for 1 h before being fixed for 2 h with 2% paraformaldehyde + 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 m phosphate buffer, pH 7.4. Samples were then washed in cacodylate buffer (0.1M, pH 7.4), fixed again in 1% osmium tetroxide and potassium ferrocyanide 1.5% in cacodylate buffer. After washes in
distilled water, samples were stained in 1% uranyl acetate in water, washed again, and then dehydrated in graded alcohol series (2× 50%, 1× 70%, 1× 90%, 1× 95%, 2× 100%). Embedding was performed first in 1 : 1 Hard EPON and ethanol 100%, and afterwards in pure EPON, before being embedded on coated glass slides and placed at 60 °C overnight. Images were acquired with a FEI Tecnai Spirit 120 kV (FEI Company, Eagle, The Netherlands).

**Binding assay with live fluorescent bacteria**

**Cytochalasin D treatment**

L3 wandering larvae were bled into 120 μL of Schneider’s insect medium (Sigma-Aldrich) containing 1 μM phenylthiourea (PTU; Sigma-Aldrich). Haemocytes were allowed to adhere on the glass slide for 1 h before being treated for another 60 min with 1 μM of Cytochalasin D. After drug treatment, haemocytes were incubated directly on the slide with live fluorescent *S. aureus* or *E. coli* bacteria always in the presence of Cytochalasin D for 60 min. After fixation in 4% paraformaldehyde PBS, rhodamine phalloidin staining (Molecular Probes™, Eugene, OR, USA) was performed. Finally, cells were stained with 1/15 000 dilution of 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole DAPI (Sigma-Aldrich) and mounted in antifading agent Citifluor AF1 (Citifluor Ltd.).

**Phagocytosis inhibition by cold temperature**

L3 wandering larvae were bled into cold Schneider’s insect medium (Sigma-Aldrich) containing 1 μM phenylthiourea (PTU; Sigma-Aldrich) on a previously chilled glass slide. After larva bleeding, haemocytes and bacteria were incubated directly on the prechilled slide, in cold Schneider’s medium, on ice for 60 min. Fixation and staining procedures were performed as described above.

**Fluorescent bacteria**

The *E. coli* GFP strain was obtained by transforming *E. coli* K12 with a synthetic sfGFP coding sequence cloned in a pBAD backbone (Gibco, ThermoFisher) by Gibson assembly. sfGFP induction was obtained by growing the bacteria in LB + 0.1% arabinose overnight prior to the binding assay. The *S. aureus* GFP strain is described in Ref. [57].

**Haemocyte phalloidin staining and cell area measurement**

Five wandering third instar larvae were bled on a microscope slide into 120 μL of 1× PBS containing 1 μM phenylthiourea (PTU; Sigma-Aldrich). The haemocytes were then allowed to adhere for 30 min, before being fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde PBS. Phalloidin staining was performed with diluted 1/100 AlexaFluor488- or rhodamine phalloidin (Molecular Probes™). Finally, cells were stained with a 1/15 000 dilution of 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole DAPI (Sigma-Aldrich) and mounted in anti-fading agent Citifluor AF1 (Citifluor Ltd.). Samples were imaged with an Axioplot Imager.Z1 Zeiss (Oberkochen, Germany) coupled to an AxioCam MRm camera (Zeiss).

For cell area measurements, haemocytes were captured with a 20× objective on GFP, RFP and DAPI channels. Individual images were then loaded into a CellProfiler pipeline (www.cellprofiler.org). In order to define the cell area, cell nuclei were first detected using data from the DAPI channel. Cell limits were then defined by expanding the nuclei signal to the edges of the GFP channel. Cell areas were computed from this segmentation analysis, and cell area of 750 cells of each genotype was quantified.

**Haemocytes visualization through larva cross sectioning**

Third instar larvae of the indicated genotypes were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde PBS for 48 h at 4 °C. Afterwards, larvae were embedded using OCT medium in a Tissue-Tek cryomolds (Sakura, Alphen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands). Transverse sections of 4-5-μm thickness were cut using Leica CM1959 cryostat. Finally, sections were fixed again for 15 min in 4% paraformaldehyde PBS, prior to rhodamine phalloidin (Molecular Probes™) staining. Samples were imaged with an Axioplot Imager.Z1 Zeiss coupled to an AxioCam MRm camera (Zeiss).

**Crystal cell counting methods**

At least 10 third instar larvae were heated in 1 mL of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at 67 °C for 20 min in Eppendorf tubes. For quantification analysis, black puncta were counted in the posterior-most segments A6, A7 and A8. Pictures were taken with a Leica DFC300F camera (Leica Microsystems AG, Heerbrugg, Switzerland) and Leica Application Suite right after heating.

For quantification of crystal cells by flow cytometry, we crossed wild-type or mutant *izGal4>UAS-GFP* flies with the corresponding *HmlAdred.nls* w118 or mutant flies. Larvae form the resulting offspring were used to determine the number of crystal cells (*izGal4>UAS-GFP*) and the ratio of crystal cells among the total haemocyte population (*izGal4>UAS-GFP / HmlAdred.nls*). Four larvae of each genotype were bled into 150 μL 1× PBS containing 1 μM phenylthiourea (PTU; Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.1% paraformaldehyde to block crystal cell rupture. Seventy-five microlitres of the haemocyte suspension was analysed by flow cytometry. Haemocytes were first selected from debris by plotting FSC-A against SSC-A on a logarithmic scale in a dot plot. Cells were then gated for singlets by plotting FSC-H versus FSC-A. FL1 and FL2
Wounding experiment

Wandering third instar larvae were pricked dorsally near the posterior end of the animal, using a sterile needle (diameter ~5 μm). Pictures of melanised larvae were taken 20 min after pricking, with a Leica DFC300FX camera and Leica Application Suite.

Wasp infestation and quantification of fly survival to Leptopilina boulardi infestation

For wasp infestation experiments, 30 synchronized second instar (L2) larvae were placed on a pea-sized mound of fly food within a custom-built wasp trap in the presence of three female L. boulardi (strain NS1c, described in Ref. [58]) for 2 h. Quantification of fly survival was performed as follows. Parasitized larvae were kept at room temperature and scored daily for flies or wasps emergence. The number of closed flies and wasps was subtracted from the initial number of exposed larvae and set as dead larvae/pupae. Pictures of melanised eggs were taken with a Leica DFC300FX camera and Leica Application Suite.

Infection experiments and qRT-PCR

Systemic infections (septic injuries) were performed by pricking third instar larvae dorsally near the posterior end of the animal using a thin needle previously dipped into a concentrated pellet (OD600 ~ 200) of bacteria. After septic injury, larvae were incubated at 29 °C. After 4 h, the animals were collected, and total RNA extraction was performed using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). RNA quality and quantity were determined using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Inc., Wilmington, DE, USA) and 500 ng of total RNA was used to generate cDNA using SuperScript II (Invitrogen). Quantitative PCR was performed on cDNA samples using the LightCycler 480 SYBR Green Master Mix (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). Expression values were normalized to RpL32.

Statistical analysis

Experiments were repeated at least three times independently and values are represented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). Data were analysed using GRAPHPAD PRISM 7.0 (San Diego, CA, USA). P-values were determined with Mann–Whitney tests, unless indicated otherwise. For phagocytic index measurement experiments, data successfully passed a Shapiro–Wilk normality test (p = 0.05, n = 9), so that we could assume that samples follow Gaussian distribution. Therefore, significance tests were performed using Students t test.
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