POINT AND POTENTIAL SYMMETRIES OF THE FOKKER PLANCK EQUATION
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Abstract
We determine the Lie point symmetries of the Fokker-Planck equation and provide examples of solutions of this equation. The Fokker-Planck equation admits a conserved form, hence there is an auxiliary system associated to this equation and whose point symmetries give rise to potential symmetries of the Fokker-Planck equation.
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1 Introduction

The Fokker-Planck equation (FPE, for short) is a linear PDE that describes the transition probability density of a Markov process. It is also known as the Kolmogorov diffusion equation and is used to model many situations such as evolution of the distribution function of a particle, finance, turbulence, population dynamics, protein kinetics (see [3, 4, 6, 11, 19]). The FPE interests many researchers as shown by the number of publications on the subject; see e.g. [2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 14, 15, 18, 19] and references therein.

We state the Fokker-Planck equation (FPE) in the following form:

\[ u_t(x,t) = -a_2u(x,t) - (a_2x + a_1)u_x(x,t) + \frac{1}{2}u_{xx}(x,t), \quad (1.1) \]
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where \( a_1 \) and \( a_2 \) are real numbers; \( u(x,t) \) is a function that depends on the variables \( x \) and \( t \), to be determined; and \( u_\alpha \) denotes differentiation of \( u \) with respect to the variable \( \alpha \). Like most PDEs, it gives explicit solutions only in very specific cases related both to the form of the equation and the shape of the area where it is studied. Many techniques are used to solve particular cases of the FPE: quantum mechanics technique ([2]), Fourier transform method ([18]), differential transform method ([7]), numerical method (e.g. [3, 4], [8], [20]).

Powerful means used in the study of DEs and PDEs are the Lie symmetries. Since their introduction by Sophus Lie ([10]), Lie symmetries are experiencing a rapid development as a wonderful tool for the classification of invariant solutions of DEs and PDEs. Point symmetries are local symmetries as their infinitesimals depend on independent variables \( x \)'s, dependent variables \( u(x)'s \), and derivatives of dependent variables; and are determined if \( u(x) \) is sufficiently smooth in some neighbourhood of \( x \). Potential symmetries when with them are non-local symmetries whose infinitesimals, at any point \( x \), depend on the global behavior of \( u(x) \). Potential symmetries are very useful as they lead to the construction of solutions of a given system of PDEs which cannot be obtained as invariant solutions of its local symmetries. See Section 3 for wider discussion on potential symmetries. See also Chap 7 of [1] for more about potential symmetries.

The FPE is considered in [14] and in [17] in the form \( u_t = u + xu_x + u_{xxx} \) which is different from (1.1). The authors of the papers quoted above have determined the Lie point symmetries of the FPE, as well as the potential symmetries. They also have provided families of solutions of the FPE.

In this paper we consider the FPE (1.1) with the condition \( a_2 \neq 0 \). We adopt the same approach as in [14] and determine the Lie point symmetries of the FPE in Section 2. Some of its solutions will also be determined. In Section 3 we show that the FPE can be written in a conserved form. A conserved form leads to auxiliary dependent variables (which are potentials) and then to an auxiliary system of PDEs whose local symmetries are the potential symmetries of the FPE. We determine such symmetries in Section 3.

### 2 The point symmetries

#### 2.1 Some basics about Lie point symmetries

Consider a general system of \( n^{th} \) order DEs admitting \( p \) independent variables \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_p) \) in \( X \cong \mathbb{R}^p \) and \( q \) dependent variables \( u = (u_1, \ldots, u_q) \) in \( U \cong \mathbb{R}^q \),

\[
\Delta_v(x,u^{(n)}) = 0, \quad v = 1, \ldots, m,
\]

with \( u^{(n)} \) denoting the derivatives of the \( u \)'s with respect to the \( x \)'s up to order \( n \). The system (2.1) is thus defined by the vanishing of a collection of differentiable functions \( \Delta_v : J^n \to \mathbb{R} \) defined on the \( n^{th} \) jet space \( J^n = J^n E = X \times U^{(n)} \), where \( E \) is the total space \( E = X \times U \) (see [13]). The points in the vertical space \( U^{(n)} \) are denoted by \( u^{(n)} \) and consist of all the dependent variables and their derivatives up to order \( n \). The system (2.1) can therefore be viewed as defining (or defined by) a variety \( S_\Delta = \{(x,u^{(n)})/\Delta_v(x,u^{(n)}) = 0, \quad v = 1, \ldots, m\} \), contained in the \( n^{th} \) order jet space, and consisting of all points \( (x,u^{(n)}) \in J^n \) satisfying the system. The defining functions \( \Delta_v \) are assumed to be regular in a neighbourhood of \( S_\Delta \); in particular, this is the case if the Jacobian matrix of the functions \( \Delta_v \) with respect
to the jet variables \((x, u^{(n)})\) has maximal rank \(m\) everywhere on \(S_\Delta\). In the case of point transformations, the infinitesimal generators form a Lie algebra \(\mathcal{G}\) consisting of vector fields

\[ V = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \xi^i(x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \sum_{a=1}^{q} \eta^a(x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^a} \]
on the space of independent and dependent variables.

Let \(V^{(n)}\) denote the \(n^{th}\) prolongation of \(V\) to the jet space \(J^n\) ([13, p.117]):

\[ V^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \xi^i(x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \sum_{a=1}^{q} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \eta^a_j(x, u^{(j)}) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^a_j}, \quad (2.2) \]

for any unordered multi-index \(J = (j_1, \ldots, j_k), 1 \leq j_k \leq p\) of order \(k = \#J = j_1 + \cdots + j_k \leq n\);

where, for any \(\alpha = 1, \ldots, q\),

\[ \eta^a_j = D_J Q^a + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \xi^i u^a_{j,i} \text{ and } Q^a = \eta^a(x, u) - \sum_{i=1}^{p} \xi^i(x, u) \frac{\partial u^a}{\partial x^i}, \quad (2.3) \]

The fundamental infinitesimal symmetry criterion for the system (2.1) is stated in the

**Theorem 2.1** ([13]). A connected group of transformations \(G\) is a symmetry group of the fully regular system of DEs (2.7) if and only if the infinitesimal symmetry conditions

\[ V^{(n)}(\Delta_\nu) = 0, \quad \nu = 1, \ldots, m, \text{ whenever } \Delta = 0, \quad (2.4) \]

hold for every infinitesimal generator \(V\) of the Lie algebra \(\mathcal{G}\) of \(G\).

Let \(u = f(x) = f(x_1, \ldots, x_p)\) be a function of \(\mathbb{R}^p\) with values in \(\mathbb{R}\). It is known that there exists \(p_r = \binom{p+q-1}{p}\) derivatives of \(f\) of order \(r\). The equation \(\Delta(x, u^{(n)}) = 0\) is defined on the space \(\mathbb{R}^p \times U^m\) of dimension \(p + qp^{(n)}\), with \(p^{(n)} = 1 + p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_n = \binom{p+q}{n}\). A system \(\Delta(x, u^{(n)}) = (\Delta_1(x, u^{(n)}), \ldots, \Delta_m(x, u^{(n)})\) will have as Jacobian matrix, a matrix of rank \(m \times (p + qp^{(n)})\). See more details in [12, p. 95].

**Definition 2.2** ([12]). The system (2.1) is said to be of maximum rank if the \(m \times (p + qp^{(n)})\) Jacobian matrix \(J_\Delta(x, u^{(n)}) := \left( \frac{\partial \Delta_1}{\partial x^1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial \Delta_m}{\partial x^m} \right)\) of \(\Delta\) with respect to all the variables \((x, u^{(n)})\) is of rank \(m\) whenever \(\Delta(x, u^{(n)}) = 0\).

### 2.2 Lie point symmetries of the FPE

To investigate the Lie point symmetries of the FPE, we have to check the maximal rank condition for the map whose kernel equation is (1.1):

\[ \Delta : (x, t; u^{(2)}) \mapsto u_t(x, t) + a_2 u(x, t) + (a_2 x + a_1) u_x(x, t) - \frac{1}{2} u_{xx}(x, t) \quad (2.5) \]
on a subset \(M^{(2)}\) of the 2nd jet-space \(X \times U^{(2)}\) of the manifold \(X \times U\). The independent variables \((x, t)\) and the dependent variable \(u\) leave on \(X \simeq \mathbb{R}^2\) and \(U \simeq \mathbb{R}\), respectively. The expression \(u^{(2)} = (u, u_x, u_t, u_{xx}, u_{xt}, u_{tt})\) represents the various partial derivatives up to the second order of \(u\), and leaves on the second prolongation \(U^{(2)}\) of the set \(U\). The set \(M^{(2)}\) is the corresponding 2nd prolongation of the subspace \(M \subset X \times U\). The Jacobian matrix of \(\Delta\),
Proposition 2.3. Point symmetries of the FPE are generated by the operators

\[ V_1 = e^{a_2 t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad V_2 = u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad V_4 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \]
\[ V_3 = \frac{1}{2a_2} e^{-a_2 t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (a_2 x + a_1) u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \]
\[ V_5 = e^{-2a_2 t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - (a_2 x + a_1) e^{-2a_2 t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2(a_2 x + a_1)^2 e^{-2a_2 t} u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \]
\[ V_6 = e^{2a_2 t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (a_2 x + a_1) e^{2a_2 t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2a_2 u e^{2a_2 t} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \]

and an infinite number of generators \( V_\alpha = \alpha(x,t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \) where \( \alpha \) is any solution of the FPE.

Proof. We make the assumption \( V^{(2)} \Delta(x,t,u^{(2)}) = 0 \) whenever \( \Delta(x,t,u^{(2)}) = 0 \), and check the corresponding conditions on \( \xi, \tau \) and \( \eta \). Those conditions lead to

\[ \left( \eta = -a_2 \eta - a_1 \eta^x - a_2 \xi u_x - a_2 x \eta^x + \frac{1}{2} \eta^{xx} \right)_{\Delta = 0}. \]

Now replace \( \eta^x, \eta^t \) and \( \eta^{xx} \) in (2.12) by their expressions given in (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9) respectively, and eliminate \( u_t \) by substituting it by the right hand side of (2.11) any time when it occurs. Then the derivatives of \( u \) with right to \( t \) disappear. So, the resolution of the corresponding system of PDEs is equivalent to solving the following system:

\[ 4\eta_{tu} + \tau_{tt} - \left(-2a_2^2 x^2 + (-4a_1 x - 2a_2 x - 2a_1^2) \tau_t + 4(a_2 x + a_1) \xi_t + 4a_2 (a_2 x + a_1) \xi \right) = 0, \]
\[ \eta_{xx} - 2(a_2 x + a_1) \eta_x - 2\eta_t + 2a_2 u \eta_u - 2a_2 a_1 \tau_t - 2a_2 \eta = 0, \]
\[ \tau_{tt} - 4a_2^2 \tau_x = 0, \]
\[ 2\xi_{tt} - 3a_2 \left((a_2 x + a_1) \tau_t + \frac{2}{3} a_2 \xi_t \right) = 0, \]
\[ 2\eta_{xx} - (a_2 x + a_1) \tau_t + 2\xi_{t} - 2a_2 \xi = 0, \]
\[ 2\xi_t - \tau_t = 0, \quad \tau_x = 0, \quad \tau_u = 0, \quad \xi_t = 0, \quad \xi_u = 0, \quad \eta_{uu} = 0. \]
Equation (2.18) implies that \( \eta \) is linear in \( u \). So, it writes
\[
\eta(x,t,u) = A(x,t)u + B(x,t),
\]
(2.19)
where \( A \) and \( B \) being functions depending only on \( x \) and \( t \). From (2.17), we get
\[
\xi = \frac{1}{2} \tau x + k(t),
\]
(2.20)
where \( k \) is a function of \( t \). Substituting \( \xi \) and \( \eta \) by their expressions in (2.16) and differentiating the resulting expression with respect to \( x \), we get \( 2A_{xx} - 2a_2 \tau + \tau_n = 0 \). Thus,
\[
A(x,t) = \left( \frac{1}{2} a_2 \tau - \frac{1}{4} \tau_n \right) x^2 + A_1(t) x + A_2(t),
\]
(2.21)
\[
k'(t) - a_2 k(t) + A_1(t) - \frac{1}{2} a_1 \tau = 0,
\]
(2.22)
where \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) are functions of the variable \( t \). Using Equation (2.14), we find that
\[
A_{xx} - 2a_2 x A_x - 2a_1 A_x - 2a_2 \tau_t = 0,
\]
(2.23)
\[-\frac{1}{2} B_{xx} + a_2 x B_x + a_1 B_x + a_2 B + B_t = 0.
\]
(2.24)
Note that (2.24) is nothing but the FPE (1.1). Now (2.21), (2.22) and (2.23) entail
\[
\tau(t) = C_1 e^{2a_2 t} + C_2 e^{-2a_2 t} + C_3,
\]
\[
A_1(t) = \left[ -4a_1 a_2 C_2 e^{-2a_2 t} + C_4 \right] e^{-a_2 t},
\]
\[
A_2(t) = -2a_1^2 C_2 e^{-2a_2 t} + \frac{1}{a_2} C_4 a_1 e^{-a_2 t} - C_1 a_2 e^{2a_2 t} + C_5,
\]
\[
k(t) = a_1 C_4 e^{a_2 t} - a_1 C_2 e^{-2a_2 t} + \frac{C_4}{2a_2} e^{-a_2 t} + C_6 e^{a_2 t},
\]
where \( C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_6 \) are real numbers. Hence, the solution of the system (2.13)-(2.18) is
\[
\xi(x,t,u) = \left[ C_1 a_2 e^{2a_2 t} - C_2 a_2 e^{-2a_2 t} \right] x + a_1 C_1 e^{2a_2 t} - a_1 C_2 e^{-2a_2 t} + \frac{C_4}{2a_2} e^{-a_2 t} + C_6 e^{a_2 t},
\]
\[
\tau(x,t,u) = C_1 e^{2a_2 t} + C_2 e^{-2a_2 t} + C_3,
\]
\[
\eta(x,t,u) = \left( -2C_2 (a_2 x + a_1)^2 e^{-2a_2 t} + \frac{C_4}{a_2} (a_2 x + a_1) e^{-a_2 t} \right) u - C_1 a_2 e^{2a_2 t} u + C_5 u + a(x,t),
\]
where \( a(x,t) = B(x,t) \) is any solution of the FPE. The rest of the proof is straightforward. \( \square \)

2.3 About solutions of the FPE

In the sequel, we provide a family of solutions of the Fokker-Planck equation (1.1).

**Theorem 2.4.** Let \( a(x,t) \) be any solution of the FPE. Then the functions
\[
f_1(x,t) = e^{-2a_2 t} \left[ a_t - (a_2 x + a_1) a_x + 2(a_2 x + a_1)^2 a \right],
\]
(2.25)
\[
f_2(x,t) = \frac{e^{-a_2 t}}{a_2} \left( \frac{1}{2} a_x - (a_2 x + a_1) a \right),
\]
(2.26)
\[
f_3(x,t) = e^{2a_2 t} \left[ a_t + (a_2 x + a_1) a_x + a_2 a \right],
\]
(2.27)
\[
f_4(x,t) = a_x e^{a_2 t}, \quad f_5(x,t) = a_t
\]
(2.28)
are also solutions of the FPE.
Proof. Since \( \{V_\alpha, V_i\, i = 1, \ldots, 6\} \) generates a Lie algebra, the stability of the brackets in the table below completes the proof.

| \([V_1, V_2] = 0\) | \([V_2, V_4] = 0\) | \([V_3, V_\alpha] = V_\frac{e^{-2\alpha t}}{2}\) |
| \([V_1, V_3] = V_2\) | \([V_2, V_5] = 0\) | \([V_4, V_5] = -2a_2 V_5\) |
| \([V_1, V_4] = -a_2 V_1\) | \([V_2, V_6] = 0\) | \([V_4, V_6] = 2a_2 V_6\) |
| \([V_1, V_5] = -4a_2^2 V_3\) | \([V_2, V_\alpha] = -V_\alpha\) | \([V_5, V_\alpha] = V_\alpha\) |
| \([V_1, V_6] = 0\) | \([V_3, V_4] = a_2 V_3\) | \([V_5, V_6] = 4a_2 V_4 - 2a_2^2 V_2\) |
| \([V_1, V_\alpha] = V_\alpha e^{2t}\) | \([V_3, V_5] = 0\) | \([V_5, V_\alpha] = V_\alpha e^{-2t(1 - 2a_2 x + a_1) x + 2(2a_2 x + a_1)^2}\) |
| \([V_2, V_3] = 0\) | \([V_3, V_6] = V_1\) | \([V_6, V_\alpha] = V_\alpha e^{-2t(1 + (2a_2 x + a_1) x + 2a_2 x + a_1)}\) |

Table 1. Commutations table of the Lie algebra of symmetries of the FPE

As mentioned in [14], using the Lie brackets in Table 1, one can construct a family of solutions from a trivial solution. Consider e.g. \( u(x, t) = e^{-3a_2 t} \), then the functions

\[
\begin{align*}
g_1(x, t) &= -a_2 + 2(a_2 x + a_1)^2 e^{-3a_2 t}, \\
g_2(x, t) &= -\left(x + \frac{a_1}{a_2}\right) e^{-2a_2 t}, \\
g_3(x, t) &= -a_2 e^{-a_2 t} 
\end{align*}
\]

are also solutions of (1.1). From these solutions we can again construct other solutions. For instance, applying the symmetry generators (2.26) to \( g_1 \) yields to the solution

\[
g_4(x, t) = \left[3a_2^2 - 12a_2(a_2 x + a_1)^2 + 4(a_2 x + a_1)^4\right] e^{-5a_2 t}.
\]

### 3 Potential symmetries

#### 3.1 Preliminaries on potential symmetries

A partial differential equation of order \( n \) in the unknown function \( u(x, t) \)

\[
\Delta(x, t, u^{(n)}) = 0,
\]

is written in a conservative form if it has the following form:

\[
D_t T(x, t, u^{(n-1)}) + D_x X(x, t, u^{(n-1)}) = 0.
\]

Since the PDE (3.2) is in a conserved form, a potential \( v \) considered as a new variable is introduced. A system denoted by \( S(x, t, u^{(n-1)}, v_x, v_t) \) is then obtained. If \( (u(x, t), v(x, t)) \) is a solution of the system of PDEs \( S(x, t, u^{(n-1)}, v_x, v_t) \), then \( u(x, t) \) solves the PDE given by (3.1).
Definition 3.1. Assume that the auxiliary system $S(x,t,u^{(n-1)},v_x,v_t)$ admits a generator $W$ of point symmetries given by $W = \xi(x,t,u,v) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \tau(x,t,u,v) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \eta(x,t,u,v) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \phi(x,t,u,v) \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$. One says that $S(x,t,u^{(n-1)},v_x,v_t)$ defines a potential symmetry admitted by (3.1) if and only if one, at least, of the infinitesimals $\xi$, $\tau$ and $\eta$ depends explicitly on the potential $v$; that is if and only if the condition

$$
\left(\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial v}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial v}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial v}\right)^2 \neq 0
$$

holds. In this case, the symmetry $\xi(x,t,u,v) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \tau(x,t,u,v) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \eta(x,t,u,v) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \phi(x,t,u,v) \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$ will be called a potential symmetry of Eq. (3.1).

Potential symmetries can also be used in the study of a boundary value problem posed for a given system of PDEs and for the study of ODEs. For a scalar ODE, a potential symmetry reduces the order (see [11]).

We are now going to explain how, from potential symmetries, one obtains solutions of the PDE (3.1) which admits a conservative form (3.2). See [17] for wider discussion. Given a point symmetry $\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$ of (3.2), the invariant surface conditions are

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi(x,t,u,v) u_t + \tau(x,t,u,v) u_t - \eta(x,t,u,v) u_t & = 0 \\
\xi(x,t,u,v) v_x + \tau(x,t,u,v) v_t - \phi(x,t,u,v) & = 0
\end{align*}
$$

The associated characteristic system yields to the following independent integrals

$$
\begin{align*}
s_1(x,t,u,v) & = c_1, \\
s_2(x,t,u,v) & = c_2, \\
s_3(x,t,u,v) & = c_3
\end{align*}
$$

(3.6)

with $\frac{\partial (s_1, s_2, s_3)}{\partial (u, v)}$ of rank 2. If we set $z = c_1$, $c_2 = h_1(z)$ and $c_3 = h_2(z)$, we obtain from (3.6):

$$
\begin{align*}
u & = U(x,t,z, h_1(z), h_2(z)) \\
v & = V(x,t,z, h_1(z), h_2(z)) \\
G(x,t,z, h_1(z), h_2(z)) & = 0
\end{align*}
$$

(3.7) (3.8) (3.9)

The invariant solutions of (3.2) are given by (3.7) and (3.8), where $h_i(z)$ are the solutions of the ordinary system obtained by substitution in (3.2). Since (3.1) is a differential consequence of (3.2), the solution of (3.2) give those solutions of (3.1), which verify the differential relation obtained by eliminating $v$ between (3.4) and $\xi T + \tau X - \phi = 0$.

3.2 Potential symmetries of the FPE

The conserved form of the FPE can be written as $D_t u + D_x \left(- (a_2 x + a_1) u + \frac{1}{2} u_x \right) = 0$. Then, the corresponding system writes as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
v_t & = -(a_2 x + a_1) u + \frac{1}{2} u_x , \\
v_x & = u,
\end{align*}
$$

(3.10)

where the potential variable $v$ has been introduced as a new dependent variable.
Proposition 3.2. The system (3.10), with \( a_1 \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( a_2 \neq 0 \), admits a non trivial symmetry group with the following infinitesimal generators:

\[
W_1 = e^{a_2 t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x},
\]

\[
W_2 = \frac{u}{\partial u} + \frac{v}{\partial v},
\]

\[
W_3 = \frac{1}{2a_2} e^{-a_2 t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \left[ \left( x + \frac{a_1}{a_2} \right) u + v \right] e^{a_2 t} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \left( \frac{a_1}{a_2} v + xy \right) e^{a_2 t} \frac{\partial}{\partial v},
\]

\[
W_4 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t},
\]

\[
W_5 = -(a_2 x + a_1) e^{-2a_2 t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{a_2 x + a_1}{2} e^{-2a_2 t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - 2 \left[ \left( (a_2 x + a_1)^2 - a_2 - 2a_2 (a_2 x + a_1) \right) u + 2a_2 (a_2 x + a_1) v \right] e^{-2a_2 t} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}
\]

\[-2 \left[ (a_2 x + a_1)^2 - a_2 \right] ve^{-2a_2 t} \frac{\partial}{\partial v},
\]

\[
W_6 = e^{2a_2 t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (a_2 x + a_1) e^{2a_2 t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - a_2 u e^{2a_2 t} \frac{\partial}{\partial u},
\]

and an infinite number of generators of the form \( W_\beta = -a_1 \xi + a_2 x \xi_x + \frac{1}{2} \xi_x^2 \), where \( \beta(x,t) \)

satisfies the equation \( \xi = -a_1 \beta_x - a_2 x \beta_{xx} + \frac{1}{2} \beta_{xx} \).

Proof. Let \( \Delta_1 = v_1 + (a_2 x + a_1) u - \frac{1}{2} u_x \) and \( \Delta_2 = v_2 - u \) be the associated system to the system (3.10) and let \( W = \xi(x,t,u,v) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \tau(x,t,u,v) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \eta(x,t,u,v) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \phi(x,t,u,v) \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \) be a symmetry vector field of this system. The criterion (2.4) writes \( W^{(2)}(\Delta_i)_{|\Delta_i=0,i=1,2} = 0 \), where

\[
W^{(2)} = W + \eta^x \frac{\partial}{\partial u_x} + \phi^x \frac{\partial}{\partial v_x} + \eta^y \frac{\partial}{\partial u_y} + \phi^y \frac{\partial}{\partial v_y} + \eta^{xy} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{xy}} + \phi^{xy} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{xy}} + \eta^{tx} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{tx}} + \phi^{tx} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{tx}} + \eta^{ty} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{ty}} + \phi^{ty} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{ty}}.
\]

The coefficient functions \( (\eta^x, \eta^y, \phi^x, \phi^y, \eta^{xy}, \eta^{tx}, \eta^{ty}, \phi^{tx}, \phi^{ty}) \) in \( W^{(2)} \) are given as follows

\[
\eta^x = D_x \eta - u_x D_x \xi - u_t D_x \tau, \quad \eta^y = D_y \eta - u_y D_y \xi - u_t D_y \tau,
\]

\[
\phi^x = D_x \phi - v_x D_x \xi - v_t D_x \tau, \quad \phi^y = D_y \phi - v_y D_y \xi - v_t D_y \tau,
\]

\[
\eta^{xy} = D_x^2 \eta - u_x D_x^2 \xi - u_t D_x^2 \tau - 2 u_x D_x \xi - 2 u_t D_x \tau, \quad \phi^{xy} = D_x^2 \phi - v_x D_x^2 \xi - v_t D_x^2 \tau - 2 v_x D_x \xi - 2 v_t D_x \tau,
\]

\[
\eta^{tx} = D_x^2 \eta - u_x D_x^2 \xi - u_t D_x^2 \tau - u_x D_x \xi - u_t D_x \tau - u_x u_t D_x \xi - u_x u_t D_x \tau,
\]

\[
\phi^{tx} = D_x^2 \phi - v_x D_x^2 \xi - v_t D_x^2 \tau - v_x D_x \xi - v_t D_x \tau - v_x v_t D_x \xi - v_x v_t D_x \tau,
\]

\[
\eta^{ty} = D_t^2 \eta - u_t D_t^2 \xi - u_x D_t \xi - 2 u_t D_t \tau - 2 u_t D_t \tau, \quad \phi^{ty} = D_t^2 \phi - v_t D_t^2 \xi - v_x D_t \xi - 2 v_t D_t \tau - 2 v_t D_t \tau,
\]

\[
\eta^u = D_t^2 \eta - u_t D_t^2 \xi - u_x D_t \xi - 2 u_t D_t \tau - 2 u_t D_t \tau,
\]
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\[
\phi'' = D_i^2 \phi - v_i D_i^2 \xi - v_i D_i^2 \tau - 2v_i D_i \xi - 2v_i D_i \tau,
\]

Hence, the criterion \( W^{(2)}(\Delta_l)|_{\Delta_l=0,j=1,2} = 0 \) gives the following equalities:

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \phi' + a_2 \xi u + (a_2 x + a_1)\eta - \frac{1}{2} \eta x = 0 \right)|_{\Delta_l=0,j=1,2} \\
\left( \phi' - \eta = 0 \right)|_{\Delta_l=0,j=1,2}
\end{align*}
\]

(3.12)  (3.13)

Replacing \( x, \phi' \) and \( \eta \) by their expressions in (3.12) and equalizing the coefficients of the remaining unconstrained partial derivatives of \( u \) and \( v \) to zero, one obtains:

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi_u &= 0, \quad \xi_v = 0, \quad 2\xi_x = \tau_t, \\
\tau_x &= 0, \quad \tau_u = 0, \quad \tau_v = 0, \\
\phi_u &= 0, \quad \phi_v = 0, \\
\tau_{tt} &= 4a_2^2 \tau_t, \quad 2\xi_t = 3a_2[(a_2 x + a_1)\tau_t + \frac{2}{3}a_2 \xi], \\
2\eta &= 2\phi_x - \tau_t u + 2u \phi_v, \\
2\phi_{vx} &= (a_2 x + a_1)\tau_t - 2\xi_t + 2a_2 \xi, \\
\phi_{xx} &= 2(a_2 x + a_1)\phi_x + 2\phi_t, \\
4\phi_{tv} &= -\tau_{tt} + (2a_2^2 x^2 + (2 - 4a_1 x)a_2 - 2a_1^2)\tau_t + (a_2 x + a_1)\xi_t - a_2(a_2 x + a_1)\xi. 
\end{align*}
\]

Equations (3.15) imply that \( \tau \) depends only on \( t \). Hence, relations in (3.14) yield to

\[
\xi = \frac{1}{2} \tau_t x + L(t),
\]

(3.22)

where \( L \) is a function of \( t \). Relations (3.16) imply that \( \phi \) is independent from \( u \) and is linear with right to \( v \). That is there exists functions \( D \) and \( E \) depending only on \( x \) and \( t \) such that

\[
\phi = D(x,t)v + E(x,t),
\]

(3.23)

Then, substituting \( \xi \) and \( \phi \) by their expressions in (3.19) and differentiating the resulting expression with respect to \( x \), one obtains the equation \( 2D_{xx} - 2a_2 \tau_t + \tau_{tt} = 0 \). Thus

\[
\begin{align*}
D(x,t) &= \left( \frac{1}{2} a_2 x - \frac{1}{4} \tau_t \right) x^2 + B_1(t)x + B_2(t), \\
L'(t) - a_2 L(t) + B_1(t) - \frac{1}{2} a_1 \tau_t &= 0, 
\end{align*}
\]

(3.24)  (3.25)

where \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) are functions of \( t \) only. Coming back to Equation (3.20), we find that

\[
\begin{align*}
D_{xx} - 2a_2 x D_x - 2a_1 D_x - 2D_t &= 0, \\
E_{xx} - 2a_2 x E_x - 2a_1 E_x - 2E_t &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(3.26)  (3.27)

Here again, (3.27) is equivalent to (a)-(3.10). From Equations (3.24) and (3.26), one gets

\[
\begin{align*}
\tau(t) &= C_1 e^{2a_2 t} + C_2 e^{-2a_2 t} + C_3, \\
B_1(t) &= (-4a_1 a_2 C_2 e^{-2a_2 t} + C_4) e^{-2a_2 t},
\end{align*}
\]

(3.28)  (3.29)
\[ B_2(t) = -2a_1^3C_2e^{-2a_1^2t} + \frac{1}{a_2}C_4a_1e^{-a_2^2t} + C_2a_2e^{-2a_1^2t} + C_5, \]

where \( C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 \) and \( C_5 \) are arbitrary constants. Now, Equation (3.25) yields:

\[ L(t) = a_1C_1e^{2a_1^2t} - a_1C_2e^{-2a_1^2t} + \frac{C_4}{2a_2}e^{-a_2^2t} + C_6e^{a_2^2t}, \]

where \( C_6 \) is an arbitrary constant. Hence, expressions (3.18), (3.22) and (3.23) read:

\[ \xi = C_1(a_2x + a_1)e^{2a_1^2t} - C_2(a_2x + a_1)e^{-2a_1^2t} + C_4 \frac{e^{-a_2^2t}}{2a_2} + C_6e^{a_2^2t}, \]  
\[ \phi = -2C_2 \left[ (a_2x + a_1)^2 - a_2^2 \right] e^{-2a_1^2t} + C_4 \left[ x + \frac{a_1}{a_2} \right] e^{-a_2^2t} + C_5v + \beta(x, t), \]
\[ \eta = -C_1a_2we^{2a_1^2t} - 2C_2 \left[ (a_2x + a_1)^2 - a_2^2 \right] u + 2a_2(a_2x + a_1)v \right] e^{-2a_1^2t} + C_4 \left[ v + \left( x + \frac{a_1}{a_2} \right) u \right] e^{-a_2^2t} + C_5u + \beta_5(x, t) \]

where \( C_1, \ldots, C_6 \) are arbitrary constants and \( \beta(x, t) = E(x, t) \) is any solution of (a)-(3.10). It is now a little matter to complete the proof. \( \square \)

It is readily verified that \( W_3 \) and \( W_5 \) in Proposition 3.2 are the only generators of the point symmetries of the system (3.10) that satisfy condition (3.3). Hence, we have the

**Proposition 3.3.** The potential symmetries of the FPE are generated by the vector fields

\[ Y_1 = \frac{1}{2a_2}e^{-a_2^2t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \left[ x + \frac{a_1}{a_2} \right] u + v \right] e^{-a_2^2t} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \]
\[ Y_2 = -(a_2x + a_1)e^{-2a_1^2t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + e^{-2a_1^2t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - 2 \left[ (a_2x + a_1)^2 - a_2^2 \right] u + 2a_2(a_2x + a_1)v \right] e^{-2a_1^2t} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}. \]

Consider the Symmetries \( W_3 \) which yields to the potential symmetry \( Y_1 \). The associated invariant surface conditions are

\[ u_x - 2(a_2x + a_1)u - 2a_2v = 0, \]  
\[ v_x - 2(a_2x + a_1)v = 0. \]

The system below admits the following solutions:

\[ u(x, t) = [2a_2xq_1(t) + q_2(t)] \exp(a_2x^2 + 2a_1x), \quad v(x, t) = q_1(t) \exp(a_2x^2 + 2a_1x), \]

where \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \) are smooth functions of the variable \( t \). If we replace the expression of \( u(x, t) \) given by (3.31) in (1.1), we get

\[ 2a_2[a_2q_1(t) + q_1'(t)]x + [q_2'(t) - q_2(t)] = 0. \]

Hence, \( q_1'(t) + a_2q_1(t) = 0 \) and \( q_2'(t) - q_2(t) = 0 \) and this yield to \( q_1(t) = ae^{-a_2^2t} \) and \( q_2(t) = be^t \). Then we have the following solution of the FPE (1.1):

\[ u(x, t) = [2a_2aex^{-a_2^2t} + be^t] \exp(a_2x^2 + 2a_1x), \]

(3.33)
where \( a \) and \( b \) are constants.

Let us now deal with the symmetry generator \( W_5 \) which provides the potential symmetry \( Y_2 \). The invariant surface conditions for this symmetry write

\[
\begin{align*}
- (a_2x + a_1)u_t + u_t + 2[(a_2x + a_1)^2 - a_2]u + 4a_2(a_2x + a_1)v &= 0, \\
- (a_2x + a_1)v_x + v_t + 2[(a_2x + a_1)^2 - a_2]v &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

A solution of Equation (3.35) writes

\[
v(x,t) = \frac{f((a_2x + a_1)e^{\alpha z})}{(a_2x + a_1)^2} \exp \left( \frac{(a_2x + a_1)^2}{a_2} \right),
\]

where \( f \) is a smooth function. Replacing the expression of \( v(x,t) \) given by (3.36) in (3.34) and solving the latter, we get

\[
u(x,t) = \frac{4a_2xf((a_2x + a_1)e^{\alpha z}) + g((a_2x + a_1)e^{\alpha z})}{(a_2x + a_1)^2} \exp \left( \frac{(a_2x + a_1)^2}{a_2} \right),
\]

where \( g \) is another smooth function. Now, setting \( z = (a_2x + a_1)e^{\alpha z} \) and putting expression (3.37) in the Fokker-Planck equation (1.1) yields to an equation that can be regarded as the vanishing of a polynomial of degree 3 in \( e^{\alpha z} \). Then, the vanishing of the coefficients of this polynomial leads to the following equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
(1 - a_2)z f''(z) + a_2 f(z) &= 0, \\
-8a_2a_1f(z) + 2a_2g(z) + 4za_1(a_2 - 1)f'(z) + z(1 - a_2)g'(z) &= 0, \\
2f(z) - 2zf'(z) + z^2 f''(z) &= 0, \\
24a_1f(z) - 6g(z) - 16a_1zf'(z) + 4zg'(z) + 4a_1z^2 f''(z) - z^2 g''(z) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

- If \( a_2 = 1 \), the solution of the system is \( f(z) = g(z) = 0 \), for all \( z \) and we get the trivial solution \( u(x,t) = 0 \) for all \( x \) and \( t \).
- Suppose \( a_2 \neq 1 \). Then (3.38) gives the solution \( f(z) = cz^{\frac{a_2}{a_2 - 1}} \), where \( c \) is an arbitrary constant. Hence, (3.39) reduces to \( (a - 2)c = 0 \).
  - If \( a_2 = 2 \), then the solution of the system (3.38)–(3.41) is
    \[
    f(z) = cz^2 \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = 4a_1cz^2.
    \]
  - If \( a_2 \neq 0 \), then \( c = 0 \) and \( f(z) = g(z) = 0 \), for any \( z \).

It is now clear that the potential symmetry \( W_5 \) yields to the solution

\[
u(x,t) = \lambda(2x + a_1) \exp \left( \frac{(2x + a_1)^2}{2} + 4t \right),
\]

for some real number \( \lambda \) if \( a_2 = 2 \) and to the trivial solution \( u(x,t) = 0 \) otherwise.
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