The Wnt signaling pathways play fundamental roles during both development and adult homeostasis. Aberrant activation of the canonical Wnt signal transduction pathway is involved in many diseases including cancer, and is especially implicated in the development and progression of colorectal cancer. Although extensively studied, new genes, mechanisms and regulatory modulators involved in Wnt signaling activation or silencing are still being discovered. Here we applied a genome-scale CRISPR-Cas9 knockout (KO) screen based on Wnt signaling induced cell survival to reveal new inhibitors of the oncogenic, canonical Wnt pathway. We have identified several potential Wnt signaling inhibitors and have characterized the effects of the initiation factor DExH-box protein 29 (DHX29) on the Wnt cascade. We show that KO of DHX29 activates the Wnt pathway leading to upregulation of the Wnt target gene cyclin-D1, while overexpression of DHX29 inhibits the pathway. Together, our data indicate that DHX29 may function as a new canonical Wnt signaling tumor suppressor and demonstrates that this screening approach can be used as a strategy for rapid identification of novel Wnt signaling modulators.
RESULTS
Establishment of a GeCKO screening system based on Wnt-induced cell survival under antibiotic selection

The aim of the study was to use a CRISPR library in order to identify novel regulators of the canonical Wnt signaling pathway. Knocking out potential pathway repressors leads to pathway activation and subsequent hygromycin resistance of the cells mediated by a reporter plasmid TCF/HSV-TK, which we have previously used as a screening tool [13] (Fig. 1A). The reporter was stably transfected into HEK293 cells, in which the β-catenin destruction complex is active and the level of Wnt signaling activity is therefore minimal. We speculated that cells in which a Wnt inhibitor is silenced would become resistant to the hygromycin B antibiotic since the hygromycin resistance gene is regulated by the TCF-binding sites. Preliminary assays were conducted to determine hygromycin concentration and screening...
duration by comparing a library transduced sample with a nontransduced control sample. Following 10 days of selection with 150µg/ml hygromycin no live cells were found in the control sample (Fig. S4). Two independent screens were conducted, followed by genomic DNA and deep sequencing analysis. Examining the sgRNA frequency distribution following hygromycin selection revealed that a subset of guide-RNAs was enriched in two independent screen repeats (Fig. 1B). Although a greater enrichment of gRNAs occurring in the cell population treated with hygromycin was expected, similar results were obtained in other screens [14, 15]. As shown in the publication of Shalem et al. longer screening periods further enrich the number of positive guides selected. Our results are comparable to the early timepoints described in these studies. Furthermore, while longer timepoints would increase the magnitude of the fold enrichment, it would probably not change the identity of the selected guides. The pooled library used contains multiple sgRNAs for each gene, and for most enriched genes, more than one sgRNA targeting the same transcript was enriched in the selected cells (Fig. 1C). Ranking the enriched genes using the MAGeCK algorithm [16] revealed a panel of novel putative Wnt repressors (Fig. 1D and Table 1). \( p < 2.28 \times 10^{-7} \) for all listed genes. The observation that one of these genes is Ubiquitin Specific Peptidase 7 (USP7), which was recently identified in a different CRISPR KO screen as a potent negative regulator of Wnt signaling, further confirms our results [12]. In addition, the known Wnt signaling repressor Casein Kinase 1 Alpha 1 (CSNK1A1) was also identified in our screen [17]. The ten top-ranking genes according to the MAGeCK algorithm (from both screen repeats) are shown in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Comparison of the top hits in the two screen repeats ranked by the MAGeCK algorithm.

| Gene          | Screen 1 | Screen 2 |
|---------------|----------|----------|
| SETDB1        | 1        | 1        |
| NAA25         | 5        | 2        |
| EDF1          | 6        | 3        |
| L3MBTL2       | 2        | 5        |
| MGA           | 18       | 8        |
| USP7          | 8        | 9        |
| DHX29         | 14       | 6        |
| SYCE2         | 9        | 10       |
| hsa-mir-1199  | 3        | 13       |
| hsa-mir-1181  | 4        | 19       |

**Validation of the screening results**

To validate the screen results, we individually cloned sgRNAs from the top-ranking genes into the library backbone vector and established HEK293-TCF-HygroKO stable cell lines carrying these ten specific guides. A control cell line expressing a nontargeting sgRNA (NT1) was also prepared. The HEK293-TCF-HygroKO cell lines were selected with hygromycin for four days, and the surviving cells were stained with methylene blue (Fig. 2A). Quantification of the methylene blue staining compared to a nontargeting control showed variable levels of survival in all cell lines. The highest levels of survival (Wnt activation) were observed with MGA, L3MBTL2, USP7, and SETDB1 (−48 fold the control value), followed by NAA25, EDF1, DHX29, and mir1181 (−35 fold the control value), SYCE2 (24 fold the control value), and mir-1199 (4 fold the control value). In order to assess the connection between the top-ranking genes and canonical Wnt signaling functionally, we measured the transcript levels of Cyclin-D1, a known Wnt target gene, by real-time qPCR analysis (Fig. 2B). Cells incubated in media containing the Wnt3a ligand were used as positive control. Elevated Cyclin-D1 mRNA levels were detected in the DHX29 and USP7 knockout (KO) cell lines (Fig. 2B). To further confirm the validity of our screening system, we mutated the TCF-binding sites in the HEK293-TCF-Hygro construct to generate the HEK293-mTCF-Hygro plasmid that was used to create stable cell lines. In these cells, as opposed to cells expressing the wild-type TCF sequences, expression of β-catenin did not result in hygromycin resistance (Supplementary Fig. 1B). We then transduced the two types of cells (wild-type TCF or mutated TCF) with DHX29 and SETDB1 (which also scored highly in our screen) gRNAs and demonstrated that hygromycin resistance is only achieved in the TCF-Hygro cells (Fig. 2C), confirming the involvement of specific TCF-mediated Wnt signaling. The absence of known Wnt regulators among our top candidates was surprising and thus we tested specific KO of APC and Axin2, two tumor suppressors which are core regulators of Wnt signaling. As our library was divided into two parts (A and B—each containing three sgRNAs for each gene), we obtained the individual APC and Axin2 gRNAs from each part and tested their ability to induce hygromycin resistance compared to the DHX29 KO. The gRNA's effect differed between the two library parts (Fig. 2D and F), and moreover, as shown in Fig. 2E, both APC and Axin2 KO rendered a mild hygro-resistance compared to DHX29 in three different antibiotic dosages. Western blot analysis revealed that neither APC nor Axin2 KO resulted in complete elimination of their protein product. DHX29 KO, on the other hand, completely abolished protein expression and we thus continued studying its role in the Wnt signaling pathway (Fig. 2F).

**DHX29 KO does not affect cell proliferation**

In addition to its role as a canonical Wnt target gene, Cyclin-D1 is an important cell cycle regulatory protein that controls the transition from G1 to S phase [18]. To rule out a nonspecific effect on cell proliferation and confirm that the increased Cyclin-D1 levels observed upon DHX29 KO can be specifically attributed to Wnt signaling regulation, we tested the levels of proliferation in this cell line. Proliferation was tested using Ki-67, a proliferative marker strongly linked to cell cycle control [19]. NT1 and DHX29 KO cell lines were fixed and stained with a Ki-67 specific antibody (Fig. 3A). Immunofluorescence intensity measurements confirmed that Ki-67 staining was similar in both cell lines, indicating that there was no significant effect on cell proliferation in the DHX29 KO cells. These results were further corroborated by a proliferation assay performed with PrestoBlue, a resazurin based reagent that assesses cell viability (Fig. 3B). In addition, cell confluence was measured every 12 h for 2 days using IncuCyte S3 Live-Cell analysis system (Fig. 3C). Both methods revealed no significant differences between DHX29 KO and the NT1 control, indicating that cell survival was indeed due to Wnt-mediated hygromycin resistance.

**DHX29 represses Wnt signaling**

Next, we measured the protein levels of unphosphorylated active β-catenin and Cyclin-D1 in the HEK293 KO cell lines, focusing on DHX29 and USP7, which was recently identified in a similar screen as a Wnt repressor [12]. Increased levels of both active β-catenin and Cyclin-D1 were observed in the two KO cell lines (Fig. 4A and B), further confirming their involvement in suppressing Wnt signaling. Interestingly, the effect of the DHX29 KO was more robust when Wnt activity was induced by the addition of the Wnt3A ligand (Fig. 4A). When the levels of DHX29 and Cyclin-D1 protein were tested in NT1 and DHX29 KO cells, the results confirmed the expected depletion of DHX29 protein in the DHX29 KO cells, accompanied by a significant increase in Cyclin-D1 protein expression by ~3 fold (Fig. 4B), similar to the increased
levels of Cyclin-D1 seen when HEK293 cells were incubated with Wnt3A (Supplementary Fig. 3).

As the next step, we examined β-catenin/TCF-mediated transcription in the DHX29 and USP7 KO cell lines using the pTOPFLASH/ pFOPFLASH reporter assay. Canonical Wnt signaling was induced by β-catenin overexpression, and the signaling levels were compared to NT1 controls. As depicted in Fig. 4C, canonical Wnt signaling was significantly increased in the two cell lines confirming that DHX29 and USP7 are both Wnt signaling repressors.

In addition, we assayed β-catenin/TCF-mediated transcription using flow-cytometry analysis. NT1, DHX29, USP7, and APC (gRNAs from library B) KO cell lines were transduced with the 7TGC lentivirus, which expresses constitutive mCherry and Wnt-activation-dependent GFP (under the control of 7XTCF binding sites). Wnt signaling levels were recorded by flow-cytometry.
measuring the percentage of GFP expressing cells within the mCherry stained population. Canonical Wnt signaling was significantly increased in the two KO cell lines DHX29 and USP7, and in the APC positive control (Fig. 4D). This strengthens the conclusion that DHX29 and USP7 are both Wnt signaling repressors. In order to identify other proteins that may connect DHX29 to the Wnt cascade, mass spectrometry analysis of DHX29 KO compared to control cells was conducted. The analysis revealed changes in the expression of several proteins involved in Wnt signaling regulation (Table 2). On one hand, DHX29 depletion resulted in increased expression of Wnt regulated proteins, such as DHX33 and SLC7A5, or factors that transduce the Wnt signal such as ARF6, MAPK8, and UBOQLN4 [20–24]. On the other hand, DHX29 silencing led to the downregulation of desmoglein-2 (DSG2), an adhesion molecule that is associated with the sequestration of β-catenin to the cell membrane and the suppression of TCF-mediated transcription [25]. Integrator complex subunit 6 (INT56), a putative tumor suppressor shown to downregulate Wnt-β-catenin signaling was also depleted upon the elimination of DHX29 expression [26]. These results further support our hypothesis that DHX29 is involved in Wnt signaling regulation. We then examined the effects of DHX29 overexpression on canonical Wnt signaling, using CRISPR activation. One of the three sgRNAs used for CRISPR activation was the most efficient and was therefore chosen for further experiments (Supplementary Fig. 2). As expected, overexpression of DHX29 decreased canonical Wnt signaling as determined by the TOPFLASH assay (Fig. 4E), and reduced the levels of Cyclin-D1 protein (Fig. 4F). As Wnt signaling is frequently over-activated in different cancers especially colorectal cancer [5], we examined the levels of DHX29 mRNA in early adenomas (polyps) obtained from Familial Adenomatous Polyposis (FAP) patients. FAP is an autosomal-dominant colorectal cancer syndrome, caused by a germline mutation in the APC gene, characterized by hundreds of adenomatous colorectal polyps, with an almost inevitable progression to colorectal cancer at an average age of 35–40 [27]. Interestingly, DHX29 transcript levels were decreased in the polyp samples compared to the healthy tissue. The decrease was accompanied by an increase in cyclin-D1 expression levels (Fig. 4G). Taken together these results indicate that DHX29 may be involved in repressing Wnt signaling, and its absence may facilitate Wnt-mediated tumorigenesis.

DISCUSSION

The CRISPR/Cas9 technology combined with genome-scale guide RNA libraries for unbiased genetic screening have been used in recent years (reviewed in refs. [28, 29]). Pooled CRISPR/Cas9 KO libraries are extremely powerful and are based on the concurrent targeting of a large number of genes in a pooled, single batch library, under conditions of one gRNA perturbation per cell (reviewed in ref. [30]). In this study, a CRISPR/Cas9 KO screen was used to identify novel canonical Wnt signaling negative regulators. Our top candidates include genes previously shown to be associated with Wnt signaling, such as USP7 [12] and SETDB1 [31]. In addition, proteins not previously known to affect Wnt signaling, such as DHX29, were also identified. It should be noted that different types of genome-wide screens (gene-trap, siRNA and CRISPR) aimed at the identification of new Wnt signaling components yield different hits [32, 33]. Importantly, even the most known and robust Wnt signaling regulators were not highly scored in most cases and the top hits widely differ between individual screens [12, 32–40]. This observation was previously discussed [32] and it was suggested that distinct screening systems i.e., different libraries or cell lines used, differences in phenotypic scoring, the length of the screen and other factors affecting knockout efficiency influence the screen results. The different screens may complement each other and lead to the identification of a larger variety of direct and indirect Wnt signaling modulators. It was thus proposed that the canonical Wnt/β-catenin pathway consists of several conserved core components and has additional context-dependent modifiers [32]. APC, for example, may be challenging for CRISPR targeting as it is a very large protein, which might be difficult to target by a single sgRNA (as designed by the MOI of our screen). In addition, APC is a stable protein which might remain in cells for long periods of time. Moreover, complete loss of APC function is rarely found even in cancer cells which harbor APC mutations. This is usually explained by the “Just right” model stating that the impairment of APC function in cancer allows sufficient accumulation of β-catenin that facilitates tumor formation [13], as opposed to complete loss of APC function that leads to excessive β-catenin accumulation triggering apoptosis [14]. We thus speculate that knockdown of genes such as APC, if not lethal, most likely renders the cells more fragile and thus less susceptible to acquire antibiotic resistance that would allow them to sustain the prolonged hygromycin selection (unlike the GFP reporter screen used by Ji et al.). The fact that CSNKIA1 was the only known core Wnt signaling component that scored highly in our screen raises the possibility that this type of screen is predisposed towards isolating moderate Wnt effectors.

The DEAD/H box RNA helicase 29—DHX29, which has not been previously connected with the Wnt pathway, is a ubiquitously expressed protein associated with the 40S ribosomal subunit [41]. DHX29 is an initiation factor contributing to start codon selection [42], and is required for efficient 48S complex formation on mRNAs with highly structured 5’-UTRs [43]. DHX29 silencing was shown to inhibit cancer cell proliferation [41] and it is significantly overexpressed in non-small cell lung carcinoma.
However, as DHX29 may harbor different mechanisms of action, and has an important role in initiation codon selection [42], it may also possess anticancer properties. It was suggested that DHX29 carries additional roles beyond translation, such as recognizing double-stranded RNA and specific interaction with melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5 (MDA5) to enhance innate antiviral immunity [45]. Interestingly, other DEAD/H box RNA helicases have also been shown to affect Wnt signaling; DDX3 was shown to be a regulatory subunit of CK1 that regulates canonical Wnt signaling [46, 47], and DDX3 knockdown was recently shown to inhibit AKT activity and Wnt signaling [48]. DHX15 has been shown to mediate Wnt-induced antimicrobial protein expression in specific colonic cells [49]. DDX5 has also been implicated in the regulation of Wnt signaling [50], and our current study suggests that another RNA helicase, DHX29, affects Wnt/β-catenin signaling. Translational control plays an important role in cell growth and tumorigenesis and is tightly regulated during development. Here we demonstrate that DHX29 mRNA levels are reduced in adenomas obtained from FAP patients. However, a previous study has shown that DHX29 is overexpressed in various types of cancers, including glioblastoma multiforme, metastatic melanoma, ovarian endometrioid carcinoma and ovarian serous adenocarcinoma [41]. This discrepancy may be explained by the stage of malignancy of the different samples. It is possible that DHX29 is involved in the translational initiation of different sets of genes in different stages of cancer development. It is further demonstrated that DHX29 promotes tumorigenesis in some experimental setups. However, targeting DHX29 in order to suppress cancer cell growth in a clinical setup may prove difficult due to the complexity of its function during cancer development and progression.

Our genome-wide screen has identified a number of putative canonical Wnt signaling inhibitors. Future studies are needed to reveal the exact mechanisms and roles of these candidates in regulating the Wnt pathway and the way that they could be harnessed, in the future, to combat Wnt signaling-related disorders.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell culture and transfections**

HEK293, L (ATCC CRL-2648), L Wnt-3A (ATCC CRL-2647), and L-WRN cells (ATCC CRL-3276) were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM, Biological Industries) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (GIBCO) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Biological Industries). L Wnt-3A cells were grown in presence of G418 (0.4 mg/mL, EMD Millipore). L-WRN cells were grown in the presence of 0.5 mg/mL G418 and 0.5 mg/mL Hygromycin B (Invivogen). Conditioned medium was prepared from L, L-Wnt-3A, and L-WRN cell lines according to product specifications. HEK293FT cells were grown in D10 medium; DMEM with 10% fetal calf serum, 1% penicillin-streptomycin, 1% L-Glutamine, 1% Sodium Pyruvate,
1% Sodium Bicarbonate (Biological Industries, Beit-Haemek, Israel), with 0.5 mg/mL G418 [51]. Transfections were performed with jetPEI (Polyplus Transfection) following the manufacturer’s protocols.

Plasmids
The Wnt signaling reporter plasmid TCF/HSV-TK has been described previously [52]. In this work, Puromycin was replaced with Hygromycin B coding region downstream to the three consensus TCF-binding sites, using HindIII and Hpal restriction enzymes. Mutated TCF/HSV-TK was prepared by introducing mutated binding sites to the TCF/HSV-TK reporter plasmid using PciI and BamHI restriction enzymes. The Wnt-responsive TCF-dependent luciferase constructs pTOPFLASH (containing multi TCF-binding sites linked to a luciferase reporter) and its mutated version pFOPFLASH were kindly provided by Prof. H. Clevers (Center for Biomedical Genetics, Hubrecht, The Netherlands) [53]. The pCMV-Renilla expression plasmid, used to evaluate transfection efficiency, was purchased from Promega.
The colony with the highest level of hygromycin resistance (Fig. S1) was selected for the CRISPR screen. Untransduced cells were used as a control. The cells were spinoculated at 20 °C. The next day, the cells were transfected with the lentivirus packaging plasmids HEK293FT cells were plated at ~50% confluence at the SICF, Tel Aviv University. following transfection, hygromycin (0.8 mg/mL) was added for 72 h, and the cells were then fixed with methanol and stained with 0.1% methylene blue (Sigma). The colony with the highest level of hygromycin resistance (Fig. S1A, designated HEK293-TCF-Hygro) was used for the CRISPR screen.

sgRNA library amplification and lentivirus production

The Human CRISPR knockout pooled library (GeCKO v2) was a gift from Roel Nusse (Addgene plasmid #24304). GFP-β-catenin was constructed by inserting the β-catenin cDNA into pEGFP-C1 (Clontech) using BanHI and Xbal restriction sites. This expression vector was used as a template to replace serine 33 with alanine to generate GFP-β-catenin-β-catenin by using the QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene).

HEK293-TCF-Hygro® stable cell line

The TCF/HSV-TK reporter plasmid was linearized using PciI (to avoid cation and lentivirus production according to the depositor’s protocol [54]. For lentivirus production, HEK293FT cells were plated at ~50% confluence in six 15 cm plates, at 6 × 10^5 cells per plate and used to transduce HEK293-TCF-Hygro® cells or the parental HEK293 cells. The cells were selected with 0.5 μg/mL puromycin for 7 days to create stable cell lines. HEK293-TCF-Hygro® knockout cell lines were selected with hygromycin for 4 days, and the surviving cells were fixed with methanol and stained with 0.1% methylene blue (Sigma). To quantify the methylene blue staining the dye was extracted with 500 μL elution buffer (50% ethanol, 49% phosphate buffered saline, and 1% acetic acid). The eluted samples were loaded in triplicates to a 96-well plate, 100 μL per well, and absorbance at 570 nm was measured using a plate reader.

CRISPR knockout (KO) screen

Prior to screening initiation: Stable HEK293 cells expressing the reporter plasmid (TCF-Hygro®), were screened for inducible hygromycin resistance following activation of the Wnt pathway by overexpressing a constitutively active β-catenin mutant (Δ33 β-catenin) that cannot be phosphorylated by GSK-3β and is therefore not susceptible to proteasomal degradation [55]. The clone with the highest degree of inducible hygromycin resistance (Fig. S1) was selected for the CRISPR screen.

Screening strategy: for transduction with the lentiviruses carrying the library sgRNAs, HEK293-TCF-Hygro® cells were seeded onto three 12-well plates at a density of 3 × 10^5 cells per well. A total of 1.65 × 10^9 HEK293-TCF-Hygro® cells were transduced at an MOI of 0.2 to yield 3.3 × 10^7 transduced cells. Since the library (part A) contains 65,384 sgRNA constructs, this number is sufficient for the transduction of 500 cells with each unique sgRNA [54]. Untransduced cells were used as a control. The cells were spinocularized at 1000 g for 2 h at 25 °C. After 24 h, the cells were pooled and replated in 15 cm plates at 6 × 10^5 cells per plate. The cells were then selected with 0.5 μg/mL puromycin (Fermentek) for 7 days. Untransduced control cells were selected in a similar manner. After seven days of selection, the untransduced control plates did not contain any viable cells. Surviving cells expressing sgRNAs were pooled, and 4 × 10^7 cells were pelleted and kept as an untreated control at −20 °C. The remaining cells were replated in 15 cm plates, at 6 × 10^5 cells per plate and used to transduce HEK293-TCF-Hygro® with 150 μg/mL hygromycin B for 10 days. During the incubation period, the medium and antibiotics were replaced every 3 days. Following treatment, 4 × 10^7 cells were collected for further analysis. The screen was performed twice. Genomic DNA was extracted from all samples (two untreated controls and two independent screened samples) as described previously [56]. sgRNA sequences were amplified from the genomic DNA for NGS using PCR with primers containing Illumina adaptors, complementary sequences to the sgRNA vector, and a unique barcode sequence for each sample, to enable multiplexed analysis [54]. The pooled samples from both screens were sequenced using the Illumina NextSeq platform (Crown Institute for Genomics, Weizmann Institute of Science). The results were analyzed using MAGeCK [16, 57] with default parameters as described previously [54]. Raw sgRNA sequencing read numbers, the MAGeCK scored and ranked list of genes and the Count summary from both screen repeats are provided in the supplementary materials (Supplementary Files S1–S3).

Validation of screen hits

To validate the screen results, sgRNAs for the top-ranking genes were individually cloned into the library backbone plasmid (Addgene, 52961). sgRNAs with nontargeting sequences (NT1) were used as controls. Lentiviruses were produced from the lentivector backbone plasmid pLenti-V2 (Addgene, 1000000078) were cloned into the lentiSAMv2 library backbone (Addgene, 52961). To validate the screen results, sgRNAs for the top-ranking genes were individually cloned into the library backbone plasmid (Addgene, 52961). sgRNAs with nontargeting sequences (NT1) were used as controls. Lentiviruses were produced from the lentivector backbone plasmid pLenti-V2 (Addgene, 1000000078) were cloned into the lentiSAMv2 library backbone (Addgene, 52961). To validate the screen results, sgRNAs for the top-ranking genes were individually cloned into the library backbone plasmid (Addgene, 52961). sgRNAs with nontargeting sequences (NT1) were used as controls. Lentiviruses were produced from the lentivector backbone plasmid pLenti-V2 (Addgene, 1000000078) were cloned into the lentiSAMv2 library backbone (Addgene, 52961).
Table 2. Mass spectrometry analysis of protein expression in the DHX29 KO cell line compared to control.

| Protein symbol | Description | MASCOT score | Relation to Wnt signaling |
|----------------|-------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| βDHX33         | ATP-dependent RNA helicase | Below detection in control | Regulates ARF6 ADP-ribosylation factor 6 | 28 | Required for LRP6 phosphorylation and transduction of Wnt/β-catenin signaling [21] |
| β-catenin signaling | Promoted Wnt/β-catenin signaling via phosphorylation of LRP6 |
| SLC7A5         | Large neutral amino acids transporter | 17 | Wnt signaling regulates amino acid transporter Slc7a5 [22] |
| UBQLN4         | Ubiquilin-4 | 8 | Activates Wnt/β-catenin signaling |
| DSG2           | Desmoglein-2 | Below detection in DHX29 KO sample | Below detection in DHX29 KO KO sample |
| INTS6          | Integrator complex subunit 6 | 8 | Below detection in DHX29 KO KO sample |

To validate the specificity of the screen, HEK293-TCF-Hygro' or HEK293-mTCF-Hygro' were transduced with the KO constructs targeting the top-ranking genes SETD81, DHX29 and NT1 as control (sgRNAs individually cloned into the library backbone plasmid (Addgene, S2961). The cells were selected with 0.5 μg/mL puromycin for seven days to create stable cell lines. The HEK293-TCF/mTCF-Hygro' KO cell lines were selected with 350 μg/mL Hygromycin for 7 days and the surviving cells were fixed with methanol and stained with methylene blue (Fig. S1B).

To quantify the methylene blue staining the dye was extracted as described above, and absorbance at 570 nm was measured as described above using a plate reader.

HEK293-TCF-Hygro' APC and Axin2 KO cell lines

Sequences of sgRNAs targeting APC and Axin2 (library A and B) were taken from the GeCKO library data (Addgene 1000000048). These were individually cloned into the library backbone plasmid (Addgene, 52961). Each library (A or B) contains three sgRNAs per gene, which were pooled together and packaged to lentiviral constructs creating two lentiviral pooled constructs per gene, A and B. HEK293-TCF-Hygro' cells were transduced with the APC or Axin2 Library A and B constructs, and selected with 0.5 μg/mL puromycin for 7 days to create stable cell lines.

Luciferase reporter assay

Cells were seeded at 1 × 10^4 cells per well in a 24-well plate 24 h before transfection. Cells were transfected with pTopflash/pTopflash, pEFP-β-catenin/pEGFP-C1, and SV40-Renilla plasmids, using jetPEI. Following transfection (48 h), the cells were harvested and subjected to luciferase assay according to the manufacturer’s instructions. In all assays, Topflash activity was normalized to Fop luciferase activity. Transfection efficiency was normalized using a Renilla luminescence control.

Flow cytometry

The cells were washed with PBS and collected in 300 μl buffer (1% fetal bovine serum, 5 mmol/L EDTA, and 0.1% sodium azide in PBS). Events were acquired using CytoFLEX flow cytometer and analyzed using Kaluza Flow Cytometry Software. Gating strategy was based on live singlets, percentage of GFP+ cells was determined out of pregated mCherry+ cells, based on matching untransfected (unstained) samples.

Immunofluorescence

HEK293-DHX29 or NT1 knockout cells were grown on coverslips and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min. After three PBS washes, the fixed cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 10 min and blocked with bovine serum albumin for 1 h. Cells were then incubated at room temperature for 1 h with the primary antibodies and then following three PBS washes were incubated for an additional hour with the secondary antibody. Cell nuclei were stained with 4–6″ diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, Sigma). Cells were visualized using a confocal laser microscope (LSM800, Carl Zeiss).

Western blot analysis

Total protein was harvested from cells with lysis buffer (100 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA) containing protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma). Extracts were clarified by centrifugation at 12,000 × g for 15 min at 4 °C. Following SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, protein bands were visualized using Chemiluminescence (ECL, Sigma) and quantified by densitometry (ImageJ).

75112) and packaged into lentiviral particles. sgRNAs with nontargeting sequences (NT1) were used as controls. HEK293 cells stably expressing the activation domain construct MS2-P65-ΔHSF1 (Addgene, 89308, termed HEK293-active) were transduced with these lentiviral particles. These were selected with 5 µg/mL Blasticidin (Invivogen) for 7 days to generate three distinct DHX29-activated cell lines termed DHX29(#1/2/3) or NTI(#1/2/3) as control.
electrophoresis, proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes and blocked with 5% low-fat milk. Membranes were incubated with primary antibodies overnight, washed with PBS containing 0.001% Tween-20 (PBST), and incubated with the appropriate horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody for 1 h. After washing in PBST, membranes were subjected to enhanced chemiluminescence detection analysis. Band intensity was quantified using the Fusion-Capt program.

**Antibodies**

The following antibodies were used: rabbit anti-non-phospho (Active) β-catenin (Cell signaling, 19807, 1:2000), mouse anti-tubulin (Sigma, T-9026, 1:10,000), mouse anti-Cyclin-D1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-20044, 1:500), mouse anti-DHX29 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-81080, 1:200), rabbit anti-Ki-67 (Abcam, ab15580WB, immunofluorescence 1:250), mouse anti-APC (Calbiochem, OP44), rabbit anti-Axin2 (Cell Signaling, 766G, 1:1000). The secondary antibodies used were HRP anti-mouse and anti-rabbit (Jackson Immuno Research, 1:10,000) or Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit 1:500 (Invitrogen, A10334).

**Real-time qPCR**

Total RNA was isolated from the cultured cells using TRI reagent (Bio-lab) and an RNA extraction kit (ZYMO) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Total RNA (1 μg) was reverse transcribed using the iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Real-time PCR was performed using the CFX Connect Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad) using a SYBR Green Master mix (PCR Biosystems). β-actin was used as a housekeeping control. The primers for the amplification of the specific cDNA sequences were:

- Cyclin D1 Fw: 5′CTGTGCACTCTACCCGACAA3′
- Cyclin D1 Rv: 5′CTTGGCATCTGTTACCAAGGA3′
- DHX29 Fw: 5′GGGAGCTCTTTAGGCTTAC3′
- DHX29 Rv: 5′CTCCAGCACCACACGACTT3′
- β-actin Fw: 5′CCCTGGCACCACGACAAAT3′
- β-actin Rv: 5′GGGGCCGACTGTGATAC3′

**FAP patient Biopsy samples**

Adenoma and healthy surrounding tissue samples were collected in liquid nitrogen. RNA was extracted using the AllPrep DNA/RNA/protein kit (QIAGEN) following manufacture's protocol. The samples were obtained for a previous study [19], which was approved by the local IRB committee and registered at the NIH website (NCT02175914). All patients or their legal guardians signed informed consent forms prior to study enrollment.

**Mass spectrometry analysis**

Equal amounts of HEK293-DHX29 or NT1 knockout cells were pelleted and sent for Mass spectrometry analysis at the Smoler proteomics center, Israel Institute of Technology. The samples were digested by trypsin, analyzed by LC-MS/MS on Q-Exactive (Thermo), and identified by Discoverer software. The samples were subjected to enhanced chemiluminescence detection analysis. Band intensity was quantified using the Fusion-Capt program.

**Statistical methods**

Data were analyzed using Graphpad Prism software (version 9.0, GraphPad, La Jolla, CA) and the results are presented as the mean with standard deviation of 3–5 repeats. An unpaired t-test or analysis of variance (ANOVA) to assess the significance of variations; multiple comparisons were conducted according to software recommendations.
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