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A B S T R A C T

In present study, phosphorus fractions in representative agricultural soils belonging to four soil orders Vertisols, Inceptisols, Alfisols and Aridisols. The experiment was conducted at the carry out the investigation a laboratory in the lab of Japanese International Cooperation Agency (JICA), College of Agriculture, Indore. Result revealed that the highest soil pH analysed in Vertisols with the range 7.9 followed by Aridisols range 7.8, Alfisols range 6.6, and low pH found in Inceptisols, which 6.4 soil orders, respectively. The EC was existed as normal in all the orders < 1 dS m⁻¹ at 25°C high range Alfisols. The organic carbon content was recorded in different soil orders ranged from 4.6, 1.2, 0.76 to 0.43 g kg⁻¹. The clay ranged from 56, 24.3, 16.97, 7.8, percentage. The available N content in soils varied from 334, 280, 358 to 180 kg ha⁻¹ in different soil orders was significant positively correlated. The available phosphorus content in four soils orders varied from 16.9, 27.45, 14.9 to 6.25 kg ha⁻¹. The available K ranged from 425, 224.4, 546 to 697.2 kg ha⁻¹. The available N, P and K were low to medium in different soil orders. Vertisols and Inceptisols. The correlation studies of different fractions of phosphorus under different rates of phosphorus application showed positive correlation with Ca - P in Vertisols and Aridisols, while Fe-P, Al - P Showed highly significant correlation with Inceptisols and Alfisols, this can be infused that the applied phosphorus fixed as Ca - P in Vertisols while in the case of Alfisols and Inceptisols, the applied phosphorus is fixed as Al-P and Fe-P and Saloid - P did not show much response to different fraction of phosphorus except in Vertisols.
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Introduction

Phosphorus in soil present in organic and inorganic forms. Only 10 to 30 per cent of the freshly applied phosphate is utilized by crop plants and rest goes into the formation of different P compounds of varying solubility which later serve as potential source of P for plants (Kanwar, 1976). Phosphorus (P) is essential element for plant growth as well as
an important component in the developmental processes of agricultural crops (Withers et al., 2008). Approximately two-thirds of inorganic P and one third of organic P are not available in soil, especially in soils of variable charges. The rate of P use during crop growth is very low. Phosphates fixed by Fe, Al, and Ca in soils is a major cause of low phyto-availability (McBeath et al., 2005), because at least 70 to 90% of P that enters the soil is fixed, making it difficult for plants to absorb and use (Lei et al., 2004).

The P is a critical element in agricultural ecosystem given its complex transformation in soil thus making its availability to plant difficult especially in tropics. Its deficiency is one of the major nutritional constraints to crop production in Indian vertisols (Bansal and Sekhon, 1994). Muralidharudu et al., (2011) reported only 8 and 11% districts as high P in India and Madhya Pradesh, respectively. Soil phosphorus exists in inorganic P and organic P forms.

These P forms differ in their behavior and fate in soils (Turner et al., 2007). The organic P can be released through mineralization processes mediated by soil organisms and plant roots in association with phosphates secretion. These processes are highly influenced by soil moisture, temperature, surface physical chemical properties, and soil pH and Eh. Organic P transformation has a great influence on the overall bioavailability of P in soil (Turner et al., 2007).

Materials and Methods

Description of study area and sites

The experiment was conducted at the carry out the investigation a laboratory during year 2016 in the lab of Japanese International Cooperation Agency (JICA), College of Agriculture, Indore. A composite sample of four different soils which belong to different soil orders are randomly collected from four different cities (Nasik, Bangalore, Indore, Gwalior). All the possible technical precautions as prescribed for standard soil sampling have been followed. Samples were, air-dried in the shade and ground by wooden roller, thereafter sieved through 2 mm mesh and stored in polyethylene bags. The soil samples thus obtained were subjected to various chemical analyses to assess the single value of chemical properties of soil. The soil of the experimental site is (1). Soil of Nasik - Inceptisols. (2). Soil of Bengaluru - Alfisols, (3). Black soil of Indore - Vertisols, (4). Alluvial soil of Gwalior - Aridisols. The experiment was laid out in permanent plot with: 7 treatments comprised of different dosages of P:(1) Control P No phosphorus, (2). - 40 kg P, (3). - 80 kg P, (4). - 120 kg P, (5). - 160 kg P, (6). - 200 kg P, (7). - 400 kg P, Statistical design: completely randomized design, with three replications for each treatment was selected for the study.

Physico-chemical properties of soils

The soil pH was measured in a soil: water ratio of 1: 2.5 using the pH meter and supernatant of same was used for electrical conductivity determination with the help of conductivity-meter (Jackson, 1973). Organic carbon in soil was determined using method as described by Walkley and Black (1934). Available nitrogen by alkaline - KMnO₄ method (Subbiah and Asija, 1956). Available phosphorus in soil was determined by 0.5 M NaHCO₃ (pH 8.5) extraction method (Olsen et al., 1954 ) for Inceptisol and Vertisol and Bray - Kurtz no 1 method (Bray and Kurtz, 1945) for Alfisol, followed by colour development by ascorbic acid method. Available potassium (K) was extracted by 1N neutral NH₄OAc and determined by flame photometer (Jackson, 1973). The sand, silt and clay contents (%) were determined by hydrometer method (Piper, 1950).
Determinations of phosphorus fractions in soil order

The different P fractions by adopting by Chang and Jhakson (1957) are used to determine fractions of P as Saloid – P, Al- P, Fe - P, Ca - P. Simple correlation coefficient analyses between soil properties and fractions of P were computed by standard statistical methods. The soil extractant for various fractions in sequence were as follow, Saloid - P extracted by 1 N NH₄Cl, Al - P extracted by 0.5 N NH₄F buffered at pH 8.2, Fe - P extracted by 0.1 M NaOH, P extracted by 0.5 N H₂SO₄.

Flow chart of phosphorus fractions

1 g of soil samples taken in a 50 ml centrifuge tube
↓
Add 25 ml 1 N NH₄Cl, solution
↓
Shake it for ½ hour and centrifuge it for 5 min
↓
Extract → Saloid-P
↓
25 ml of 0.5 N NH₄F was added in the same tube after filtering
↓
Shake it for ½ hour and centrifuge it for 5 min
↓
Extract → Al-P
↓
The residue of tube is washed by adding 25 ml of NaCl
↓
25 ml of 0.1 M NaOH, was added in the same tube after filtering
↓
Shake it for 17 hours and then centrifuging of 5 min
↓
Extract → Fe-P
↓
The residue of tube is washed by adding 25 ml of NaCl
↓
25 ml of 0.1 M NaOH, was added in the same tube after filtering
↓
Shake it for 1 hours and then centrifuging of 5 min
↓
The residue of tube is washed by adding 25 ml of NaCl
↓
25 ml of 0.5 N H₂SO₄ was added in the same tube after filtering
↓
Shake it for 1 hours and then centrifuging of 5 min
↓
Extract → Ca-P
Results and Discussion

Status of physico-chemical properties of soil

The soil pH of different soil order of different place, (Table 1) found to be, Vertisols - 7.9, Aridisols - 7.8, Alfisols - 6.6, Inceptisols - 6.4, soil orders, respectively. The EC ranged from 0.14, 0.45 and 1.95, 0.2 dS m\(^{-1}\). It was no safe in limit the Alfisols four soil order < 1 dSm\(^{-1}\) at 25°C.

The organic carbon content in soil ranged from vertisols - 4.60, aridisols - 1.2, Alfisols - 0.76, Inceptisols - 0.43 g kg\(^{-1}\) in different orders of soil, respectively. However, organic carbon content in Inceptisols was observed to be low which is having value of 0.2 g kg\(^{-1}\) respectively. The Clay content was found to be, Vertisols 56, Aridisols 24.3, Alfisols 16.97, Inceptisols 7.8, percentage soil orders, respectively. Clay content was found to be low in Inceptisols and the high in Vertisols Similar results were also reported by Matike et al., (2011) and Singh (2014).

Available major nutrients status in soils

In Vertisols 334 kg ha\(^{-1}\), Aridisols 280 kg ha\(^{-1}\), Alfisols 358 kg ha\(^{-1}\), Inceptisols 180 kg ha\(^{-1}\), soil orders, respectively. In Inceptisols low value in Alfisols, it was recorded to be 358 kg ha\(^{-1}\), respectively higher value. The high status of K in these soils may be due to predominance of K rich minerals in parent material. Similar results reported by Ravikumar and Somashekar (2014).

Available P

The available P content in In Vertisols 16.9 kg ha\(^{-1}\), Aridisols 27.45 kg ha\(^{-1}\), Alfisols 14.9 kg ha\(^{-1}\), Inceptisols 6.25 kg ha\(^{-1}\), soil orders, respectively. In Inceptisols low value, In Aridisols, it was recorded to be respectively higher value. The high accumulation of P in soils is attributed to the regular application of phosphatic fertilizers and the immobile nature of phosphate ions in soils. Results were supported by Ravikumar and Somashekar (2014) similar results were reported by Dubliya, 2011 and Singh et al., (2014).

Available K

The available K content in In Vertisols 425 kg ha\(^{-1}\), Aridisols 224.4 kg ha\(^{-1}\), Alfisols 546 kg ha\(^{-1}\), Inceptisols 180 kg ha\(^{-1}\), soil orders, respectively. In Inceptisols low value, In Alfisols, it was recorded to be 546 kg ha\(^{-1}\), respectively higher value. The high status of K in these soils may be due to predominance of K rich minerals in parent material. Similar results reported by Ravikumar and Somashekar (2014).

Distribution of the different forms of P (saloid-P, Al-P, Fe-P, Ca-P) in the studied soils

The data pertaining to distribution of different forms of phosphorus and their percentage contribution to the total phosphorus in the different soils order are given in Table 1 and Figure 1, 2, 3, 4. Among the various forms Ca - P was present in a high quantity (142 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) followed by Fe – P (29 Kgha\(^{-1}\)), Al – P (12 Kgha\(^{-1}\)) Saloid – P (9.0 Kgha) in soil order Vertisols, sequentially in Inceptisols Fe - P was dominant P fraction (51.1 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) followed by Ca - P (36.4 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), Saloid - P (24.02 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), Al - P (4.0 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), Alfisols has Fe - P (57.0 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) followed by Ca - P(31.9 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), Al – P (29.0 Kg ha\(^{-1}\)), at last Saloid - P(5.0 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) and in order Aridisols.
contains Ca – P (112.0 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), Fe - P (50.2 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), Saloid-P (28.5 Kg ha\(^{-1}\)), Al - P (2.4 Kg ha\(^{-1}\)) (Table 2).

**Phosphorus fractions in different soil orders**

**Vertisols**

In vertisols the P fractions were analysed in soil. The data pertaining to distribution of different forms of phosphorus and their percentage contribution to the total phosphorus are given in Table 3. Among the various forms, Ca - P fraction was dominant fraction in this soil which ranged from 142 to 432 kg ha\(^{-1}\). Compared to other P fractions Saloid - P was present in a small quantity which ranged from 9 to 20 kg ha\(^{-1}\), the contribution of Fe - P in phosphorus fractions is about 29 to 112 kg ha\(^{-1}\) followed by Al - P which ranged from 12 to 38 kg ha\(^{-1}\). The dominance of different inorganic P fractions in these soils followed the order: Ca - P > Fe - P > Saloid - P. Among the different P fractions, Ca-bound P was the dominant fraction in the Alfisols and Vertisols. The next-dominant fraction was non occluded Al and Fe-bound P, which was highest in the Alfisols and Vertisols. P occluded with in Fe-oxides and hydrous oxides fractions was highest in the Vertisol. In Alfisols the amount and type of clay mineral especially 1:1 type clay minerals may contribute to more P sorption especially in tropical soil, particularly with low pH and high activity of Al and Fe (Dolui and Dasgupta, 1998).

**Inceptisols**

The result after analysis the P fractions were in soil. The data pertaining to distribution of different forms of phosphorus and their percentage contribution to the total phosphorus are given in Table 4. Among the various forms, Fe - P fraction was dominant fraction in this soil which ranged from 69.1 to 338.0 kg ha\(^{-1}\). Compared to other P fractions Al - P was present in a small quantity which ranged from 4.0 to 17.8 kg ha\(^{-1}\), the contribution of Ca - P in phosphorus fractions is about 51.5 to 119.0 kg ha\(^{-1}\) followed by Saloid-P which ranged from 24.0 to 74.0 kg ha\(^{-1}\). The dominance of different inorganic P fractions in these soils followed the order: Fe - P > Ca - P > Saloid - P > Al - P. Among the different P fractions, Ca-bound P was the dominant fraction in the Vertisols and Alfisols. The next-dominant fraction was non occluded Al and Fe-bound P, which was highest in the Alfisols and Vertisols. P occluded with in Fe-oxides and hydrous oxides fractions was highest in the Vertisol. In Alfisols the amount and type of clay mineral especially 1:1 type clay minerals may contribute to more P sorption especially in tropical soil, particularly with low pH and high activity of Al and Fe (Dolui and Dasgupta, 1998).

**Alfisols**

The data on pertaining to distribution of different forms of phosphorus and their percentage contribution to the total phosphorus are given in Table 5. Among the various forms, Fe - P fraction was dominant fraction in this soil which ranged from 57.0 to 273.8 kg ha\(^{-1}\). Compared to other P fractions Saloid - P was present in a small quantity which ranged from 5.0 to 27.0 kg ha\(^{-1}\), the contribution of Al - P in phosphorus fractions is about 29.0 to 132.0 kg ha\(^{-1}\) followed by Ca - P which ranged from 31.9 to 89.0 kg ha\(^{-1}\). The dominance of different inorganic P fractions in these soils followed the order: Fe - P > A l - P > Ca - P > Saloid - P. The results are in agreement with the findings of Patgundi et al., (1996). High P was reported in inceptisols which had little or no weathering or with very
low decomposition (Yang and Post, 2011). Organic amendments are known to increase P availability in P fixing soils by governing the P fractions in soils (Reddy et al., 1999).

**Aridisols**

The data pertaining to distribution of different forms of phosphorus and their percentage contribution to the total phosphorus are given in Table 6. Among the various forms, Ca - P fraction was dominant fraction in this soil which ranged from 112.0 to 365.0 kg ha\(^{-1}\), compared to other P fractions Al - P was present in a small quantity which ranged from 2.4 to 26.0 kg ha\(^{-1}\), the contribution of Fe - P in phosphorus fractions is about 50.2 to 134.0 kg ha\(^{-1}\) followed by Saloid - P which ranged from 25.8 to 65.0 kg ha\(^{-1}\). The dominance of different inorganic P fractions in these soils followed the order: Ca - P > Fe - P > Saloid - P > Al - P. To show the difference in the magnitude of fixation of Phosphorus in different soil order the graph has been plotted between average value of % fixation and fractions of P in different soil order.

The fixation capacity of Aridisols increased significantly up to 93.7%. Gupta (1965) in a study found that applied Soluble-P was fixed in 24 hr but gradually increased up to 30-45 days depending upon the type of soil and thereafter it remain constant.

**Table.1 Chemical property of soils**

| S.No. | Properties            | Vertisols | Aridisols | Alfisols | Inceptisols | Reference                                      |
|-------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------|------------------------------------------------|
| 1     | Mechanical composition| Sand %    | 13.15     | 57.9     | 59.86       | 40.6                                               |
|       |                       | Silt %    | 30.85     | 17.8     | 23.17       | 51.9                                               |
|       |                       | Clay %    | 56        | 24.3     | 16.97       | 7.8                                                |
| 2     | Soil pH               | 7.9       | 7.8       | 6.6      | 6.4         | Piper (1950)                                       |
| 3     | EC (dSm\(^{-1}\))     | 0.14      | 0.45      | 1.95     | 0.2         | Piper (1950)                                       |
| 4     | Organic carbon (%)    | 4.6       | 1.2       | 0.76     | 0.43        | Walkley - Black method (1934)                      |
| 5     | Available N (Kg ha\(^{-1}\)) | 334     | 280      | 358      | 180         | Kjeldahl method (Black (1965))                     |
| 6     | Available K (Kg ha\(^{-1}\)) | 425     | 224.4    | 546      | 697.2       | Flame Photometer Method by Jackson (1973)          |
| 7     | Available P (Kg ha\(^{-1}\)) | 16.9    | 27.45    | 14.9     | 6.25        | Olsen (1954),                                     |

**Table.2 Distribution of different forms of phosphorus**

| ORDER   | SOILS    | SALOID-P | Al-P | Fe-P | Ca-P | AVAILABLE P |
|---------|----------|----------|------|------|------|-------------|
| Vertisols | Indore  | 9.0      | 12   | 29   | 142  | 23.4        |
| Inceptisols | Nasik  | 24.02    | 4.0  | 51.1 | 36.4 | 6.8         |
| Alfisols  | Bengaluru | 5.0     | 29.0 | 57.0 | 31.9 | 14.9        |
| Aridisols | Gwalior | 28.5     | 2.4  | 50.2 | 112  | 27.5        |
Table 3 Distribution of phosphorus fractions and its percent under different levels of phosphorus in vertisols

| Treatments | Saloid-P Kg ha⁻¹ | Al-P Kg ha⁻¹ | Fe-P Kg ha⁻¹ | Ca-P Kg ha⁻¹ |
|------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|            | %                | %            | %            | %            |
| Control P  | 9.0              | 12.0         | 29.0         | 142.0        |
|            | 4.7              | 6.3          | 15.1         | 73.9         |
| 40 Kg P    | 12.3             | 12.5         | 38.0         | 171.0        |
|            | 5.1              | 5.2          | 15.7         | 70.6         |
| 80 Kg P    | 15.2             | 13.4         | 53.0         | 196.0        |
|            | 5.2              | 4.6          | 18.0         | 66.6         |
| 120 Kg P   | 16.2             | 22.0         | 61.0         | 218.0        |
|            | 4.8              | 6.6          | 18.2         | 65.3         |
| 160 Kg P   | 17.7             | 25.0         | 75.0         | 242.0        |
|            | 4.7              | 6.6          | 19.8         | 63.7         |
| 200 Kg P   | 18.3             | 32.0         | 88.0         | 263.0        |
|            | 4.3              | 7.6          | 20.9         | 62.4         |
| 400 Kg P   | 20.0             | 38.0         | 112.0        | 432.0        |
|            | 3.1              | 5.9          | 17.5         | 67.4         |
| Average    | 15.5             | 22.1         | 65.1         | 237.7        |
|            | 4.6              | 6.1          | 17.9         | 67.1         |

Table 4 Distribution of phosphorus fractions and its percent under different levels of phosphorus in inceptisols

| Treatments | Saloid Kg ha⁻¹ | Al-P Kg ha⁻¹ | Fe-P Kg ha⁻¹ | Ca-P Kg ha⁻¹ |
|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|            | %              | %            | %            | %            |
| Control P  | 24             | 4            | 69.7         | 51.5         |
|            | 12.5           | 2.1          | 26.9         | 36.4         |
| 40 Kg P    | 26             | 4.4          | 98           | 60           |
|            | 10.7           | 1.8          | 24.8         | 40.5         |
| 80 Kg P    | 34.4           | 6.6          | 119          | 69           |
|            | 11.7           | 2.2          | 23.5         | 40.5         |
| 120 Kg P   | 38.6           | 7.3          | 148          | 75           |
|            | 11.5           | 2.2          | 22.4         | 44.2         |
| 160 Kg P   | 42.8           | 12.9         | 177          | 76           |
|            | 11.3           | 3.4          | 20           | 46.6         |
| 200 Kg P   | 45.5           | 14.5         | 200          | 89           |
|            | 10.8           | 3.4          | 21.1         | 47.5         |
| 400 Kg P   | 74             | 17.8         | 338          | 119          |
|            | 11.5           | 2.8          | 18.6         | 52.7         |
| Average    | 40.8           | 9.6          | 164.2        | 77.1         |
|            | 11.4           | 2.6          | 22.5         | 44.05        |

Table 5 Distribution of phosphorus fractions and its percent under different levels of phosphorus in Alfisols

| Treatments | Saloid-P Kg ha⁻¹ | Al-P Kg ha⁻¹ | Fe-P Kg ha⁻¹ | Ca-P Kg ha⁻¹ |
|------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|            | %                | %            | %            | %            |
| Control P  | 5                | 29           | 57           | 31.9         |
|            | 4.1              | 23.6         | 46.4         | 25.9         |
| 40 Kg P    | 7.1              | 38           | 82           | 35           |
|            | 4.4              | 23.4         | 50.6         | 21.6         |
| 80 Kg P    | 11               | 48           | 100          | 42           |
|            | 5.5              | 23.9         | 49.8         | 20.9         |
| 120 Kg P   | 12.3             | 61           | 120          | 49           |
|            | 5.1              | 25.2         | 49.5         | 20.2         |
| 160 Kg P   | 14               | 80           | 133          | 55           |
|            | 5                | 28.4         | 47.2         | 19.5         |
| 200 Kg P   | 21               | 98           | 141          | 62           |
|            | 6.5              | 30.4         | 43.8         | 19.3         |
| 400 Kg P   | 27               | 132          | 273.8        | 89           |
|            | 5.2              | 25.3         | 52.5         | 17.1         |
| Average    | 13.9             | 69.4         | 129.5        | 52           |
|            | 5.1              | 25.7         | 48.5         | 20.64        |
Table 6 Distribution of phosphorus fractions and its percent under different levels of phosphorus in Aridisols

| Treatments | Saloid-P | Al-P | Fe-P | Ca-P |
|------------|---------|------|------|------|
|            | Kg ha⁻¹ | %    | Kg ha⁻¹ | %    | Kg ha⁻¹ | %    |
| Control P  | 25.8    | 13.5 | 2.4  | 1.3  | 50.2    | 26.2 |
| 40 Kg P    | 31.6    | 13   | 4    | 1.7  | 55      | 22.7 |
| 80 Kg P    | 33      | 11.2 | 5    | 1.7  | 62      | 21.1 |
| 120 Kg P   | 35      | 10.5 | 7    | 2.1  | 72      | 21.5 |
| 160 Kg P   | 42      | 11.1 | 9.7  | 2.6  | 85      | 22.4 |
| 200 Kg P   | 51      | 12.1 | 12.1 | 2.9  | 101     | 24   |
| 400 Kg P   | 65      | 10.1 | 26   | 4.1  | 134     | 20.9 |
| Average    | 40.5    | 11.6 | 9.5  | 2.3  | 79.9    | 22.7 |

Table 7 Correlation among the fractions of phosphorus by difference doses of Phosphorus applied in different soils

| Soil       | Saloid | Al-P | Fe-P | Ca-P |
|------------|--------|------|------|------|
| Vertisols  | 0.87   | 0.95 | 0.97 | 0.99 |
| Inceptisols| 0.99   | 0.94 | 0.99 | 0.94 |
| Alfisols   | 0.97   | 0.98 | 0.99 | 0.98 |
| Aridisols  | 0.98   | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.997|

Fig.1 Saloid phosphorus fraction in different soils
As regard to phosphorus fixation capacity of Vertisols, Alfisols, and Aridisols, the results are in conformity with the findings of Motiramani et al., (1964), Gupta (1965), Mustafa dulariraj (1968) and Maddanna Mallaiah (1971), Dou et al., (2009) Ghosal et al., (2009).
The fixation increased with time up to 60th days in Aridisols, as reported by David and Apte (1975). The fraction of phosphorus was significantly affected with time taken for incubation and soil type.

Correlation among the fractions of phosphorus by difference doses of Phosphorus applied in different soils

The data presented in Table 7 indicated that the correlation studies of different fractions of phosphorus under different rates of phosphorus application showed positive correlation with Ca-P in Vertisols and Aridisols, while Fe-P, Al-P showed highly significant correlation with Inceptisols and Alfisols, this can be inferred that the applied phosphorus fixed as Ca-P in Vertisols while in the case of Alfisols and Inceptisols, the applied phosphorus is fixed as Al-P and Fe-P and Saloid-P did not show much response to different fraction of phosphorus except in Vertisols. The results are in agreement with the findings of Fe-P was found higher in surface soil due to higher organic carbon content, higher amount of calcium carbonate was recorded at higher pH where iron activity was less to precipitate P into Fe, Chandra Bhan and Harishankar (1973), Devra et al., (2014). The high organic carbon content increased the amount of Fe-P in studied area Al-P had significant positive correlation. Silt content showed significant and negative relationship with Fe-P. The Organic carbon had significance. Similar findings were also reported by Viswanath and Doddamani (1991) with Ca-P. Similar finding is reported by Lungamuana et al., (2012).

In conclusion, the order of different P fractions at the start of the present study was maintain the level of various P fractions resulted in buildup of Saloid and available P as well as other nutrients in soil. P Saloid-bound P account for 92% variation in available P, whereas high level of Saloid-P in such soil also maintained due to fixed forms of Ca-P, Fe-P, Al-P, Saloid-P. The increases in availability of P upon application of organics might be related partly to the decrease in P sorption due to competition between phosphate ions and organic molecules for P retention sites in the soil which can be available to growing crops further. The dominance of different inorganic P fractions in these soils followed the order Vertisol: Ca-P > Fe-P > Al-P > Saloid-P. followed by order Inceptisols: Fe-P > Ca-P > Saloid-P > Al-P. Order Alfisols: Fe-P > Al-P > Ca-P > Saloid-P. Followed by order Aridisols: Ca-P > Fe-P > Saloid-P > Al-P. It suggests that it is associated with Ca bound P and can be an important component of soil P.
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