XPS Study of Sol–gel Synthesized Vanadium-Titanium-Hydroquinone Oxide Bronze Films
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ABSTRACT. Vanadium-titanium-hydroquinone oxide bronze, V₁₁.₆₇T₁₀.₃₃O₅±δ/HQ (HQ- hydroquinone), was synthesized by using the sol–gel method. Vanadium pentoxide powder, titanium dihydride, hydrogen peroxide and hydroquinone were used as the starting materials to produce bronze. The composition of the synthesized compound was compared with V₁₁.₆₇T₁₀.₃₃O₅±δnH₂O/HQ xerogel data. The xerogel and bronze were investigated by means of the XPS method. Analysis of the V–O region of XPS spectra showed that vanadium ions in xerogel occur in V⁵⁺ and V⁴⁺ states. In bronze, these ions are in a stable V⁵⁺ state. The oxygen in xerogel can be associated with O²⁻ ions, hydroxide grouping and water. Oxygen only exists in bronze in the form of O²⁻ ions and hydroxyl groups. Titanium ions in both cases are in a stable Ti⁴⁺ state.

1. INTRODUCTION

The mobile structure of vanadium pentoxide xerogels is composed of V₂O₅ layers linked by strongly bonded water molecules [1, 2]. With the simple sol–gel method, it is possible to produce many nanocomposites by embedding various ions and radicals between the layers [3-7]. Certain physical properties of the layered nanocomposites of V₁₁.₆₇M₀.₃₃O₅±δ/nH₂O (Me = Mo or Ti) xerogels intercalated with hydroquinone (chemical formula: C₆H₄(OH)₂, hereinafter referred to as HQ) and poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA) were first described in Ref. [8]. The study demonstrated that the electrical conductivity of the xerogel produced depended on the amount of HQ used and increased along with the HQ content of the starting mixture. Proper positively charged radicals act as protons in the xerogel. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy shows that the composites contain vanadium (V) and vanadium (IV), the V 2p binding energies of which are lower than in the precursor xerogels.

Recently, interest in charge ordering investigations of vanadium oxide bronzes MₓV₂O₅ has increased. These materials are mixed-valence oxides and their properties depend on the nature of the intercalated element M [9, 10]. Bronzes are usually prepared by means of solid-state chemical reactions that demand high temperatures and precise temperature regimes for producing thermodynamically stable phases [9]. A wide range of vanadium pentoxide-based bronzes can be synthesized using hydrothermal methods, which require special equipment [11, 12]. The thin films of the bronzes can be produced by using thermal evaporation, which also demands special equipment [13, 14]. All the methods described above demand the application of high-temperature and special equipment. However, there is a simpler method for the production of thin films: sol–gel synthesis. During this process, vanadium oxide-based compounds are produced from aqueous precursors [15]. The sol–gel process for the production of vanadium oxide bronzes can be described as follows: V₂O₅ gel is produced, the required element is intercalated to the structure of the gel, and
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the resulting hydrate is heated up to a temperature that ensures the removal of all water (absorbed, zeolite type and chemically bonded) from the compound. Layered nanocomposites with conductive polymerized layers were prepared as a result of the intercalation of various molecular compounds into interlayer spaces of vanadium pentoxide gels [16-19]. In those compounds, the conductive layers were separated by water molecules. If the water molecules are somehow removed from the gel, the process will result in a molecular bronze. Sol–gel synthesis and the XPS study of vanadium-hydroquinone oxide bronze \( V_2O_{5+\delta} \cdot nH_2O/HQ \) are described in [20].

The present study aims to produce vanadium-titanium-hydroquinone oxide bronze by using sol–gel technology with hydroquinone maintenance twice smaller than described in [20], and to define the valence states of vanadium and titanium ions by using the XPS method.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

Vanadium pentoxide powder (\( V_2O_5 \), 99.9% purity, Sigma-Aldrich), titanium dihydride (TiH₂, 99.7% purity, Atlantic Equipment Engineers), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂, 99% purity, Standard) and hydroquinone (C₈H₄(OH)₂, 99% purity, Sigma-Aldrich) were used as the starting materials for producing bronze. \( V_2O_5 \) and titanium dihydride were prepared in molar ratio 1.67:0.33 and dissolved in hydrogen peroxide at a temperature of 273 K. The solution was then heated to 333-334 K and exposed at this temperature until the peroxide complexes of vanadium and titanium fully decomposed and resulted in a \( V_1.67Ti_{0.33}O_{5+\delta} \cdot nH_2O \) gel. An aqueous solution of hydroquinone was mixed with the resulting gel in molar ratio 0.17:1.00. It must be noted that in [20] this ratio equaled 0.33:1.00. The synthesized \( V_1.67Ti_{0.33}O_{5+\delta} \cdot nH_2O/HQ \) gels were applied to a Ni pad and air-dried. The xerogels formed were about 0.01 mm thick. The xerogels were then heated up to 580 K in air for 1 h in order to remove water and produce the bronze.

XSAM 800 (Kratos Analytical, UK) was used to record X-ray photoelectron spectra. A non-monochromatized Mg Kα (1,253.6 eV) radiation source excited the photoelectrons at 15 kV, 300 W. During the spectrum analysis, the working pressure fell below 10⁻⁷ Pa in the analysis chamber. The analyzer used an energy resolution of ΔE/E=0.08% in steady retarding mode. Using the KRATOS DS800 data system, the photoemission data was collected and processed. Multiple photoelectron spectra were separated into several peaks by setting peak positions: binding energy (BE), area (A), width (FWHM) and Gaussian/Lorentzian (G/L) ratio, after the Mg Kα source satellites and background deduction. The accuracy of the relative intensities and BE of the measured lines were about 10% and 0.1 eV respectively. The random C 1s line, the BE of which should have been equal to 284.6 eV, was used for the correction of the charging effects. After the removal of satellite peaks and Shirley background subtraction, a non-linear least squares curve fitting routine with a Gaussian/Lorentzian product function was used for the analysis of the XPS spectra.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 shows a typical XPS spectrum of a titanium region for \( V_1.67Ti_{0.33}O_{5+\delta} \cdot nH_2O/HQ \) xerogel. The XPS spectrum for bronze is very similar. Titanium peaks consist of spectral doublet Ti 2p\(_{3/2}\) and Ti 2p\(_{1/2}\) with binding energies of about 458 eV and 464 eV respectively. The values of BE are typical of titanium dioxide [21, 22]. Similar stable states of Ti⁴⁺ ions were observed in vanadium-titanium hydrates [23, 24]. The fitting parameters of titanium spectra are listed in Table 1.

The vanadium-oxygen region in the XPS spectra of the xerogel and bronze investigated are compared in Fig. 2. The fitting components are presented in Table 2. The V 2p\(_{3/2}\) peak of the \( V_1.67Ti_{0.33}O_{5+\delta} \cdot nH_2O/HQ \) xerogel spectrum (Fig. 2a) consists of two components with a BE of ~ 517 eV and ~ 516 eV (see Table 2), which correspond to V⁵⁺ and V⁴⁺ ions respectively [22, 25-27]. The O 1s peak of the same xerogel consists of three components with a BE of ~ 530 eV, ~ 531 eV and ~ 533 eV, which correspond to O²⁻ ions connected with vanadium and titanium ions, hydroxyl groups (OH⁻) and oxygen in water molecules, respectively [22, 28-30]. Similar XPS spectra were
observed in various hydrated compounds based on vanadium pentoxide [4, 20, 31, 32]. After thermal treatment at 580 K, as described in the experimental section of this study, the XPS spectrum of the vanadium-oxygen region changes radically. The V 2p3/2 and V 2p1/2 peaks remain with only one component (Fig. 2b and Table 2). The BE of the V 2p3/2 peak is about 517 eV, which corresponds to V5+ ions [22, 25-27]. The O 1s peak consists of two components with a BE of ~ 530 eV and ~ 531 eV (Fig. 2b and Table 2), which correspond to O2− ions and oxygen in the (OH)− group [22, 28-30]. However, in this case there is no component of the O 1s peak that corresponds to the water molecules. This fact is no surprise as it is known that after the thermal treatment of vanadium hydrates at 580 K, the chemically bonded water completely leaves the samples [3, 20, 31-33]. The presence of an (OH)− component in the O 1s peak for xerogel and bronze (Fig. 2) partially indicates that we have truly introduced hydroquinone in the vanadium-titanium oxide xerogel matrix as, for example, this component cannot be observed in pure temperature-treated V2O5 [33].

The carbon region of XPS spectra for V1.67Ti0.33O5±δ·nH2O/HQ xerogel and for bronze is similar (Fig. 3). The C 1s peak consists of three components with a BE of 284.6 eV (C–C bonding, the value of this energy was used for the correction of the charging effects), 284.0 eV (C–H bonding [34]) and 287.6 eV (C–O bonding [35]). These results and the above-mentioned results of the O 1s peak analysis confirm that vanadium-titanium-hydroquinone oxide bronze was synthesized. This is also confirmed by the fact that O–H, C–O and C–H bonding exists in the hydroquinone C6H4(OH)2 molecule. The final formula of the bronze produced can be identified as V1.67Ti0.33O5±δ/HQ.
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Fig. 1. Titanium region XPS spectrum for V1.67Ti0.33O5±δ·nH2O/HQ xerogel.
Fig. 2. V 2p-O 1s spectra for \( \text{V}_{1.67}\text{Ti}_{0.33}\text{O}_{5.85} \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{HQ} \) xerogel (a) and bronze (b) samples.
Fig. 3. Carbon region XPS spectrum for $V_{1.67}Ti_{0.33}O_{5\pm\delta} \cdot nH_2O/HQ$ xerogel.

Table 1. Comparison of titanium region fitting parameters for $V_{1.67}Ti_{0.33}O_{5\pm\delta} \cdot nH_2O/HQ$ xerogel and bronze.

|       | Peak    | Binding energy, eV | FMWH, eV | RA$^1$, % | G/L$^2$, % |
|-------|---------|--------------------|----------|-----------|------------|
| Xerogel | Ti 2p$_{3/2}$ | 458.33             | 1.83     | 68.4      | 31         |
|        | Ti 2p$_{1/2}$ | 464.02             | 2.35     | 31.6      | 31         |
| Bronze | Ti 2p$_{3/2}$ | 458.29             | 1.98     | 65.95     | 30         |
|        | Ti 2p$_{1/2}$ | 463.99             | 2.87     | 34.05     | 30         |

$^1$RA: relative area of peak.
$^2$G/L: Gaussian/Lorentzian ratio.

Table 2. Comparison of vanadium-oxygen region fitting parameters for $V_{1.67}Ti_{0.33}O_{5\pm\delta} \cdot nH_2O/HQ$ xerogel and bronze.

|       | Peak                  | Binding energy, eV | FMWH, eV | RA$^1$, % | G/L$^2$, % |
|-------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------|-----------|------------|
| Xerogel | V 2p$_{3/2}$ ($V^{5+}$) | 517.21             | 1.75     | 21        | 17         |
|        | V 2p$_{1/2}$ ($V^{5+}$) | 515.82             | 1.75     | 11        | 17         |
|        | O 1s (O$^+$)          | 529.81             | 1.76     | 47        | 17         |
|        | O 1s (OH)$^-$        | 531.42             | 1.76     | 13        | 17         |
|        | O 1s (H$_2$O)        | 532.96             | 1.76     | 8         | 17         |
| Bronze | V 2p$_{3/2}$ ($V^{5+}$) | 517.15             | 2.28     | 41        | 21         |
|        | O 1s (O$^+$)         | 530.13             | 1.98     | 48        | 21         |
|        | O 1s (OH)$^-$        | 531.49             | 1.98     | 11        | 21         |

$^1$RA: relative area of peak.
$^2$G/L: Gaussian/Lorentzian ratio.
4. CONCLUSIONS

\( V_{1.67}Ti_{0.33}O_{5.5\pm\delta}nH_2O/HQ \) xerogel and \( V_{1.67}Ti_{0.33}O_{5.5\pm\delta} /HQ \) bronze samples were produced by using sol–gel technology. The X-ray photoelectron spectra measured demonstrated that the valence of vanadium ions for the xerogel studied has two states: V\(^{5+}\) and V\(^{4+}\). In the bronze, vanadium ions exist only in highest degree of oxidation. Titanium ions in both cases are in the stable Ti\(^{4+}\) state. The O 1s peak of the xerogel consists of three components that can be explained by O\(^{2-}\) ions bonded to vanadium and titanium ions, a hydroxyl group (OH\(^-\)) and the oxygen of the water molecules in the xerogel. After the thermal treatment of xerogel at a temperature of 580 K, all water (absorbed, zeolite type and chemically bonded) was removed from the sample, and vanadium-titanium-hydroquinone oxide bronze \( V_{1.67}Ti_{0.33}O_{5.5\pm\delta} /HQ \) was formed. This was confirmed by the following facts: first, we did not obtain any water traces in the bronze; second, the O 1s peak of the xerogel and the bronze consists of components that correspond to O\(^{2-}\) ions and oxygen in the (OH\(^-\)) group; and finally, the C 1s XPS peak analysis makes it clear that C–O and C–H bonding exists in the compounds investigated and these bonds are typical of the hydroquinone \( C_6H_4(OH)\_2 \) molecules.
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