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Perovskite manganite R_{1-x}A_xMnO_3 (R = rare earth element; A = Sr, Ca) thin films have been continuously explored due to their multi-functional properties. In particular, the optimally hole-doped La_{0.67}Ca_{0.33}MnO_3 thin film demonstrates a colossal magneto-resistance that is beneficial to the performance of spintronic devices. To understand the effect of R and A ions on the material properties, we systematically measure the resistivity, magnetization, and electronic energy states for three optimally hole-doped R_{0.67}A_{0.33}MnO_3 thin films with R = La, Sm and A = Sr, Ca. Various energy parameters are derived based on the X-ray absorption and X-ray photoelectron spectra, including the band gap, the charge frustration energy and the magnetic exchange energy. It is interesting to find that the replacement of La with Sm is more effective than that of Sr with Ca in terms of tuning the electrical property, the Curie temperature, and the band gap. The strain-induced reduction of the O 2p-Mn 3d hybridization and the interplay of R/A site disorder and strain effect are discussed. The results of this study provide useful information for the band design of perovskite oxide films.
Although many papers report the magnetic and electronic properties of $R_1-xA_xMnO_3$\(^{18-20}\), they mostly report on bulks and much less often on films\(^{21-23}\). For future applications of manganite films, a detailed characterization of the film properties is required. Particularly for the narrow bandwidth manganites with $R=\text{Pr, Sm, and Nd}$, the competing magnetic and electronic states often reside in close energetic proximity, and therefore their physical properties are sensitive to atomic replacement\(^{24}\). In thin film form, the interfacial strain could play an important role in addition to the atomic replacement. In this work, $x$ is fixed as 0.33 to study the effects of $R/A$ replacement on the properties of $R_1-xA_xMnO_3$ thin films. We report a systematic analysis on the electronic structures of $R_0.67A_{0.33}MnO_3$ ($R=\text{La, Sm; A=Sr, Ca}$) thin films with X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). Using $\text{La}_0.67\text{Sr}_{0.33}\text{MnO}_3$ (LSMO) as a reference compound, we find that replacing a La-ion with a Sm-ion is more effective than replacing Sr with Ca to modify the band structure, regardless of the similar effective ionic sizes in $\text{La}_{0.67}\text{Ga}_{0.33}\text{MnO}_3$ (LCMO) and $\text{Sm}_{0.67}\text{Sr}_{0.33}\text{MnO}_3$ (SSMO). This phenomenon has been observed in bulk samples and explained by the quenched disorder\(^{18}\), but it could be more (or less) profound in thin film form due to the additional interfacial strain. To our knowledge, this article is the first report discussing the strain effect on the electronic structure of SSMO thin film. The mixed phase of ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic states makes the properties of SSMO more sensitive to changes in crystal structure\(^{20}\). The significant difference of physical properties between bulk and thin film has important implications for device design.

Results

$0-20$ XRD patterns of LSMO, LCMO, and SSMO thin films of $10$ nm thickness are plotted in Fig. 1. There is no trace of any impurity peaks in XRD patterns. Only the $(00l)$ peaks of STO and the films are observed, indicating that all films are $c$-oriented along the surface-normal direction. Lattice parameters of films are calculated based on the $(00l)$ diffraction peaks. The obtained c-lattice parameter of orthorhombic structure is $7.69$ Å for LSMO, $7.63$ Å for LCMO and $7.56$ Å for SSMO films (all shorter than their bulk values), suggesting a tensile interfacial strain on the planes of all three films. However, the reciprocal space mapping data of XRD is desirable, which could provide information on in-plane lattice constants.

A cross sectional FETEM image for STO/LSMO single layer is shown in Fig. 2(a). A smooth interface between the substrate and the film is demonstrated with a clear image of long-range ordered atoms in the LSMO film as well as in those of LCMO and SSMO. The arrow points to the direction of surface-normal films. Figures 2(b–d) are the patterns of nano-beam electron diffraction (NBD) for LSMO, LCMO, and SSMO films, respectively. $d_{002}$ and $d_{110}$ mark the distance between the incident beam $T$ and diffraction spots of $(002)$ and $(110)$, respectively. The ratio of $d_{002}$ and $d_{110}$ is estimated to be about 1, consistent with the database of orthorhombic structures with the space group of Pnma\(^{25-27}\). Curves of resistivity ($\rho$) vs. temperature ($T$) from $300$ to $30$ K for three films are plotted in Fig. 3(a). An insulator-to-metal transition temperature ($T_{\text{IM}}$) is defined at the position of the peak ($135$ K) for LCMO and SSMO films, while the metal-like behavior of LSMO film indicates its $T_{\text{IM}}$ is higher than $300$ K. The value of $\rho$ at $300$ K for SSMO film is $355$ m$\Omega$-cm, which is $150$ times larger than that of LSMO ($2.3$ m$\Omega$-cm) and $6$ times larger than LCMO ($56$ m$\Omega$-cm). The $T$-dependent normalized magnetization $M/M(10 \text{ K})$ from $300$ to $10$ K is plotted in Fig. 3(b). The paramagnetic-ferromagnetic transition temperature $T_c$ is defined as the crossing point of an extracted line of the paramagnetic state and the $x$-axis in the curves of $M(T)$ as indicated with dash lines; it is determined as $331$ K for LSMO (see the inset), $126$ K for LCMO, and $79$ K for SSMO. The values of $T_{\text{IM}}$ and $T_c$ are very close for LCMO but different by $56$ K for SSMO, indicating the double-exchange model may not be applicable in SSMO. In addition, the magnetic moments obtained at $10$ K for these three films are very different. It is $2.7$ $\mu_B$/Mn for LSMO, $1.2$ $\mu_B$/Mn for LCMO, and $0.32$ $\mu_B$/Mn for SSMO.

Figure 4 shows the absorption spectra of Mn $2p$ for all three films, along with those for bulk MnO, SmMnO$_3$, and MnO$_2$ as the reference spectra of Mn$^{2+}$, Mn$^{3+}$, and Mn$^{4+}$. Accordingly, the energies of the $L_1$-edge are $639.9$ eV for Mn$^{2+}$, $641.8$ eV for Mn$^{3+}$, and $643.5$ eV for Mn$^{4+}$. The peak positions of all three films are located at...
around 642 eV, which is between the energies of Mn$^{3+}$ and Mn$^{4+}$. Thus, the results of absorption spectra confirm a constant mixed-valence of the Mn ion for all three films.

The XPS and XAS data are combined to display the whole range of electronic structure from $-11$ to 11 eV, as shown in Fig. 5. We set the fermi energy to zero; therefore, negative energies fall in the valence band and positive energies in the conduction band. The zero energy is calibrated with the carbon element and the MnO on the film's surface for XPS and XAS, respectively. Both the XPS and XAS spectra are fitted with multiple Gaussian functions to determine the energy level of each band. The spectrum within the range of $-3$ eV and 6 eV is attributed to the

---

**Figure 2.** (a) Cross-sectional HRTEM image of (001) STO/LSMO. The nano-beam diffraction patterns for (b) LSMO, (c) LCMO and (d) SSMO.

**Figure 3.** $\rho(T)$ curves (a) and the normalized $M(T)$ curves (b) for LSMO ($\blacksquare$), LCMO ($\odot$), and SSMO ($\blacktriangle$) film. The inset of (b) is the $M(T)$ curve of LSMO film in the range of 250 K–350 K.
hybridization of O 2p and Mn 3d-electrons, in which the energy structures can be decomposed into five orbital states, $t_{2g}^2(\uparrow\uparrow), t_{2g}^2(\uparrow\downarrow), e_g^2(\uparrow), t_{2g}^2(\downarrow)$, and $e_g(\downarrow)$, plotted as green curves in Fig. 5. The energy levels of (Pr,Nd)$_{0.7}$(Sr,Ca)$_{0.3}$MnO$_3$ films are used as references for the band assignment and marked as vertical lines at the bottom of Fig. 5.

Apart from the orbital energy levels, three energies are extracted as the band gap ($E_g$), charge transfer energy ($E_{ct}$), and the magnetic exchange energy ($E_{ex}$). $E_g$ mimics the band gap in semiconductors and is determined by the energy separation between the two midpoints of the pre-edge feature near $E_p$ marked with two dashed lines as

Figure 4. Mn L$_{2,3}$-edge absorption spectra for the polycrystalline samples of MnO (black line), SmMnO$_3$ (red line) and MnO$_2$ (blue line), and for the film samples of LCMO (green line), LSMO (brown line), and SSMO (cyan line).

Figure 5. XPS (left-hand side from zero) and XAS spectra (right-hand side from zero) of (a) LSMO, (b) LCMO and (c) SSMO with respect to the patterns from top to bottom. The simulated spectra are drawn by black lines and the observed data are represented by open circle “○.” The simulated spectra are fitted by Gauss functions and displayed as several features drawn by green lines. The five $t_{2g}$ and $e_g$ states of Mn 3d electrons are indicated at the bottom. The vertical lines at the bottom are the reference energy states obtained from ref. 25.
and $\gamma$-bands, which is 2.96 eV, 3.01 eV, and 3.91 eV for LSMO, LCMO, and SSMO, respectively.

The energy gaps $E_g$, exchange energy $E_{ex}$, and charge fluctuation energy $E_{cf}$ for the band gap in SSMO is larger than that of LSMO by 65% with a change of $r_{eff}$ by 0.05 Å. $E_{ex}$, as well as the orbital energy levels, are listed in Table 1 for LSMO, LCMO, and SSMO films. The energy levels is different from those of La$_{0.67}$Ba$_{0.33}$MnO$_3$ and Eu$_{0.7}$Sr$_{0.3}$MnO$_3$. The tensile strain reduces the effective magnetic value of $r_{eff}$ may not be the prime factor influencing the degree of hybridization between O 2$p$ states. The obtained three energies $E_g$, $E_{cf}$, and $E_{ex}$, are determined by the energy separation between $e_g$($\uparrow$) and $t_{2g}$($\uparrow$) states and estimated at 2.15 eV, 2.25 eV, and 3.09 eV for LSMO, LCMO, and SSMO, respectively. $E_{cf}$ is determined by the energy difference between $t_{2g}$($\uparrow$) and $t_{2g}$($\uparrow$), which is 2.96 eV, 3.01 eV, and 3.91 eV for LSMO, LCMO, and SSMO, respectively.

**Discussion**

From the XPS and XAS data, we conclude that the band structures of LSMO, LCMO, and SSMO are different due to the modification of the hybridization between Mn 3$d$ and O 2$p$ states. The obtained three energies $E_g$, $E_{cf}$, and $E_{ex}$, as well as the orbital energy levels, are listed in Table 1 for LSMO, LCMO, and SSMO films. The energy levels of un-doped bulk samples of LaMnO$_3$ and CaMnO$_3$ are also listed in Table 1 for reference. Compared with LSMO, significant increases in $E_g$, $E_{cf}$, and $E_{ex}$ is observed in SSMO, in contrast with a minor change in LCMO. It is interesting to note that the band gaps of LSMO and LCMO differ by 15% with a change of $r_{eff}$ by 0.04 Å, while the band gap in SSMO is larger than that of LSMO by 65% with a change of $r_{eff}$ by 0.05 Å. This implies that the value of $r_{eff}$ may not be the prime factor influencing the degree of hybridization between O 2$p$ and Mn 3$d$ band in these films. The distinction between SSMO and the other two samples is reflected from the $\rho(T)$ behaviors of three films. As seen from Fig. 3(a), the $\rho$-value of SSMO below the transition temperature increases with decreasing temperature, suggesting the ground state of SSMO is an insulating state. Conversely, the $\rho$-value of LSMO and LCMO below the transition temperature reduces with decreasing temperature, consistent with the nature of metallic state. In addition, the values of $T_{Ins}$ (insulator-metal transition) and $T_{Mag}$ (magnetic transition) deviate by 50 K in SSMO film, while these two values are very close in LSMO and LCMO films.

U$_{a-1}$ and U$_{b-1}$ in Fig. 5(a–c). The value of $E_g$ is estimated at 2.64 eV for LSMO, 3.03 eV for LCMO, and 4.36 eV for SSMO. $E_g$ is determined by the energy separation between $e_g$($\uparrow$) and $t_{2g}$($\uparrow$) states and estimated at 2.15 eV, 2.25 eV, and 3.09 eV for LSMO, LCMO, and SSMO, respectively. $E_g$ is determined by the energy difference between $t_{2g}$($\uparrow$) and $t_{2g}$($\uparrow$), which is 2.96 eV, 3.01 eV, and 3.91 eV for LSMO, LCMO, and SSMO, respectively.

### Table 1

|        | LSMO   | LCMO   | SSMO   | LaMnO$_3$ (refs 29–34) | CaMnO$_3$ (refs 29–34) |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------------|------------------------|
| $r_A$ (Å) | 1.24   | 1.20   | 1.19   | 1.21                   | 1.18                   |
| $t_{eg}^1$ | 0.92(9) | 0.91(6) | 0.91(2) | 0.90(4)                | 0.94(5)                |
| $t_{eg}^2$ | 0.22   | 0.270  | 0.296  | 0.125                  | 0.30                   |
| $t_{eg}^3$ | 0.48   | -0.41  | -0.37  | -                      | -                      |
| $\Delta$ | 1.67   | 1.84   | 2.72   | 0.2                    |                        |
| $\Delta$ | 2.48   | 2.6    | 3.54   | 1.0                    |                        |
| $\Delta$ | 4.59   | 4.46   | 5.58   | -                      | -                      |
| $E_g$ (eV) | 2.6(4) | 3.0(3) | 4.3(6) | 1.7                    | 1.55                   |
| $E_{ex}$ (eV) | 2.9(6) | 3.0(1) | 3.9(1) | 3.5                    | 3.0~3.5                |
| $E_{cf}$ (eV) | 2.1(5) | 2.2(5) | 3.0(9) | 3.4±0.4                | 3.2±0.4                |
| $\Delta E$ (eV) | $\Delta < 2.9 eV^\dagger$ | $\Delta = 4.5 ±0.5$ | $\Delta = 3.0 ±0.5$ |                        |
| $U$ (eV) | $U < 2.9 eV^\dagger$ | $U = 3.5 ±0.3$ | $U = 5.2 ±0.3$ |                        |

Notes: $U_{a-1}$ and $U_{b-1}$ in Fig. 5(a–c). The value of $E_g$ is estimated at 2.64 eV for LSMO, 3.03 eV for LCMO, and 4.36 eV for SSMO. $E_g$ is determined by the energy separation between $e_g$($\uparrow$) and $t_{2g}$($\uparrow$) states and estimated at 2.15 eV, 2.25 eV, and 3.09 eV for LSMO, LCMO, and SSMO, respectively. $E_g$ is determined by the energy difference between $t_{2g}$($\uparrow$) and $t_{2g}$($\uparrow$), which is 2.96 eV, 3.01 eV, and 3.91 eV for LSMO, LCMO, and SSMO, respectively.

Does the unusual behavior of SSMO film relate to its interfacial strain effect as reflected by the change of c-lattice parameter? It is noted that the c-lattice parameter is also sensitive to the chemical composition, e.g. oxygen or cation deficiency. To avoid an oxygen deficiency, the film was post-annealed in an oxygen atmosphere after growth (as described in the following section). To understand the chemical composition of oxide film, we previously performed the atom-scale interfacial studies on our Nd$_{0.35}$Sr$_{0.65}$MnO$_3$ films and the results proved a well-defined interface with a correct cation composition. Therefore, we attribute the changes of c-lattice parameter to the interfacial strain. The interfacial strain $\varepsilon$ of SSMO film is compared to those of LSMO and LCMO films. Theoretically, the $\varepsilon$ value [defined as $(\sqrt{2} * a_{200} - a_{002})/a_{002}$] between STO substrate ($a = b = c = 3.906$ Å) and LSMO bulk (pseudocubic; $a = b = c = 5.472$ Å) is 0.95% with respect to both the $a$- and $b$-axes. For LCMO ($a = 5.460$ Å and $b = 5.476$ Å) on STO, $\varepsilon$ is around 1.17% along the $a$-axis and 0.88% along the $b$-axis. For SSMO ($a = 5.418$ Å and $b = 5.430$ Å) on STO, $\varepsilon$ is 1.95% along the $a$-axis and 1.73% along the $b$-axes. To separate the strain effect from the size effect, we have measured the values of $T_C$ and $T_{Mag}$ for SSMO bulk, (the data are not shown here). Compared with the properties of SSMO bulk, the difference between $T_C$ and $T_{Mag}$ of SSMO films (79 K and 136 K, respectively) indeed are much higher than those of the bulk (85 K and 67 K, respectively). It is evident that the tensile strain has a strong influence on the electrical transition but less on the magnetic transition of SSMO films.

According to a previous report on the strain effects of La$_{1-x}$Ba$_x$MnO$_3$ thin films, the strain effect on the Curie temperature ($T_C$) was depending on $x$. The interfacial compressive strain reduced $T_C$ for $x = 0.3$ and 0.33. This phenomenon was attributed to the competition of strain-induced modification of Mn-O bond length and $e_g$ orbital stability. In Eu$_{0.7}$Sr$_{0.3}$MnO$_3$ thin films, both the tensile and the compressive strain reduced $T_C$, which was correlated to the decrease of saturation magnetization. In contrary, our result of strain effect on $T_C$ for SSMO film is different from those of La$_{0.67}$Ba$_{0.33}$MnO$_3$ and Eu$_{0.7}$Sr$_{0.3}$MnO$_3$. The tensile strain reduces the effective magnetic moment by one order of magnitude but the $T_C$ only by 6 K. And $T_{Mag}$ is greatly enhanced by near 70 K in SSMO film.
in comparison with bulk. Considering that a strong A-site disorder in Sm₀.₇Ba₀.₃MnO₃ bulk could induce a huge separation of Tₘᵢ and Tᵢ, it is possible that the anisotropic tensile strain enhances the A-site disorder in SSMO and thus raises Tₘᵢ. Since the magnetic transition is dominated by the major phase of ferromagnetic domains, it is not affected by strain as much as the electrical and electronic properties are.

In summary, the electrical, magnetic, and electronic properties of three optimally hole-doped Perovskite manganite films are systematically investigated. The effects of interfacial strain are compared with that of atomic replacement. The temperature-dependent resistivity data show that the ground state of SSMO film is insulating while it is metallic for LSMO and LCMO films, suggesting the transport mechanism in SSMO film is different from other two samples. In addition, the strain effect on the insulator-metal temperature of SSMO film is much more significant compared with that of LSMO and LCMO films. The energy levels of εₓ(1), εᵧ(1), εₓ(2), εᵧ(2), and εₓ(3) are identified within the range of the hybridization band. Accordingly, the values of the band gap, charge fluctuation energy, and magnetic exchange energy (Eₓ, Eᵧ, Eₓx) are obtained as (2.6 eV, 2.1 eV, 2.9 eV) for LSMO film, (3.0 eV, 2.2 eV, 3.0 eV) for LCMO film, and (4.3 eV, 3.0 eV, 3.9 eV) for SSMO film. A large band gap of 4.3 eV in SSMO film is obtained, which may be beneficial to the wide band gap applications.

Materials and Methods

La₀.₇Sr₀.₃MnO₃ (LSMO), La₀.₇Ca₀.₃MnO₃ (LCMO), and Sm₀.₇Sr₀.₃MnO₃ (SSMO) thin films with a fixed thickness of 10 nm were synthesized and denoted as LSMO, LCMO, and SSMO, respectively. Three films were deposited on a (001) SrTiO₃ (STO) single crystal using a 248 nm KrF excimer pulsed laser system, at 800 °C with an oxygen pressure of 100 mtorr. After as-grown, the samples were cooled down to 400 °C and in-situ annealed in 760 Torr oxygen atmosphere for 1 hour, then again cooled to room temperature. The growth rate is 0.04 Å/sec, allowing one to control the thickness of each film at the nanometer level. The crystalline structure was identified at room temperature with a Cu Kα (λ = 1.5406 Å) monochromatic x-ray diffraction (XRD) system. The microstructure and interface property were examined using the Field Emission Transmission Electron Microscope (FETEM, FEI Tecnai G2 F20). The temperature (T) dependence of resistivity (ρ) was measured using a standard four-probe method in a closed-cycle refrigerator with an input current ~ 10 μA. Keithley 220 and 182 were used as the current source and voltage meter, respectively. Magnetization (M) as a function of T was obtained with the current source (hv) using a spectrometer equipped with an Al Kα (1486.6 eV) and a standard energy analyzer.
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