Upregulated lncRNA-NEF predicts recurrence and poor treatment outcomes of ankylosing spondylitis

Dapeng Han¹ | Guilin Ouyang¹ | Peijun Pan¹ | Yuan Yuan²

¹Department of Orthopaedics, Shanghai Guanghua Hospital of Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine, Shanghai, China
²Department of the Health and Nursing, Shanghai Nanhu Vocational and Technical College, Shanghai, China

Correspondence
Yuan Yuan, Department of the Health and Nursing, Shanghai Nanhu Vocational and Technical College, No. 661, Sanmen Rd, Shanghai 200439, China.
Email: YuanYuanNanhu@163.com

Abstract

Introduction: Osteoporosis is related to lncRNA-neighboring enhancer of FOXA2 (NEF) and inversely correlated to ankylosing spondylitis (AS), implying that lncRNA-NEF might also relate to AS. Thus, the study was carried out to investigate the involvement of lncRNA-NEF in AS.

Methods: The study included 60 AS patients and 60 healthy controls. lncRNA-NEF expression in synovial fluid samples was analyzed by reverse transcription quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction. Disease activity of the 60 AS patients was determined using the Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score (ASDAS) 1–4 and Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI). Western blot was carried out to investigate the effects of lncRNA-NEF on inflammatory factors in human fibroblast-like synovial (HFLS) cells. A 3-year follow-up was performed to analyze the role of lncRNA-NEF in the prediction of the recurrence of AS.

Results: Our study observed that lncRNA-NEF expression was upregulated in synovial fluid of AS patients and significantly correlated with the ASDAS 1–4, BASDAI, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), and C-reactive protein level (p < .05). Treatment with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs significantly downregulated lncRNA-NEF expression (p < .01). A 3-year follow-up showed that patients with high lncRNA-NEF levels had a high recurrence rate (hazard ratio = 2.266). In addition, lncRNA-NEF was found to regulate the expression of inflammatory factors in HFLS cells.

Conclusions: Therefore, lncRNA-NEF upregulation can predict recurrence and poor treatment outcomes of AS and has a great potential to serve as a predictive biomarker factor for the recurrent AS.
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1 | BACKGROUND

As a rare type of arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis (AS) mainly affects the lower back, spine, and sacroiliac joints. Patients with AS usually suffer from severe pain, stiffness, and loss of mobility. AS is a lifelong clinical disorder with no curable treatment available currently. The available treatments are intended only to alleviate its symptoms and slow down the process of spinal stiffness and spinal fusion. Despite the efforts made for AS treatment, AS is still not fully reversible, especially for patients with advanced lesions. Therefore, novel therapeutic targets and approaches to predict recurrence and poor treatment outcomes are urgently needed.

Recent progress in understanding the molecular pathogenesis of AS has revealed that many molecules are involved in AS. Understanding the functions of these molecular factors, such as HLA-B27 gene, provides novel insights into the development of anti-AS therapies. Besides proteins, long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) are also involved in human diseases by regulating disease-related gene expression. In many human diseases, including AS, lncRNAs may directly regulate the expression of protein-coding genes by binding to their promoter region or serve as endogenous competing RNA for miRNAs to indirectly regulate downstream protein-coding genes, thereby participating in disease progression. It has been reported that AS is closely correlated to osteoporosis. AS causes systemic inflammation, which is also common in osteoporosis. In effect, osteoporosis is a common complication in patients with AS. LncRNA-NEF is known to participate in osteoporosis, suggesting its possible involvement in AS. Therefore, this study was carried out to investigate the involvement of IncRNA-NEF in AS.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | AS patients and healthy controls

A total of 60 AS patients (37 males and 23 females, aged 25–47 years, mean ± SD: 30.9 ± 4.9 years) and 60 healthy controls (37 males and 23 females, aged 24–47 years, mean ± SD: 31.0 ± 4.7 years) who admitted to our hospital between March 2015 and March 2016 were enrolled in the study (Table 1). All AS patients were diagnosed by X-ray based on the inflammation of the sacroiliac joint between the sacrum and the ilium (arthritis) for the first time with no prior therapy. In addition, all patients showed inflammatory back pain, and those with other severe clinical disorders were excluded. The 60 healthy controls received systemic physiological exams at the Health Center of our hospital and had normal physiological functions without using nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. The mean C-reactive protein (CRP) value was 1.9 (0.7–2.9) mg/L for the healthy controls and 45.2 (10.7–96.9) mg/L for the AS patients. The mean estrogen receptor (ESR) value (in the first hour) was 11.3 (3.1–19.7) mm for the healthy controls and 79.2 (42.1–119.1) mm for the AS patients. All participants signed written informed consent.

2.2 | Synovial fluid

A total of 2 ml of synovial fluid was extracted from all affected sites (38 cases of the joint between the base of the spine and pelvis and 22 cases of vertebrae in the lower back) of each AS patient and the corresponding sites of each healthy control. All fresh synovial fluid samples were stored in liquid nitrogen before use.

2.3 | Determination of disease activity

Disease activity was determined using Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score (ASDAS) 1–4 and Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI). ESR and CRP levels in synovial fluid samples of AS patients were determined using the ESR Alpha ELISA Kit (ab128499; Abcam) and CRP ELSA Kit (CRP) (ab99995; Abcam), respectively. All steps were completed following the manufacturers’ instructions.

2.4 | Treatment and follow-up

AS patients were treated for 3 months by oral administration of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, including naproxen (oral, 0.25 g, two times a day) and indomethacin (oral, 25–50 mg, three to four times a day). Synovial fluid (2 ml) was extracted from the affected sites of each AS patient after the above treatment. After discharge, patients

| TABLE 1 | Clinical features of AS and control groups |
|----------|------------------------------------------|
| Characteristic | **AS patients** | **Healthy controls** |
| **Gender** | | |
| Male | 37 | 37 |
| Female | 23 | 23 |
| **Age (years)** | 25–47 (30.9 ± 4.9) | 24–47 (31.0 ± 4.7) |
| **CRP (mg/L)** | 45.2 (10.7–96.9) | 1.9 (0.7–2.9) |
| **ESR (mm)** | 79.2 (42.1–119.1) | 11.3 (3.1–19.7) |

Abbreviations: AS, ankylosing spondylitis; CRP, C-reactive protein; ESR, estrogen receptor.
were followed up by telephone and/or outpatient visits every month for 3 years, and the recurrence of AS (significant pain, stiffness, and deterioration of ASDAS and BASDAI) was recorded. ASDAS included four scores based on low back pain, morning stiffness time, peripheral joint swelling, and pain using a 10 cm visual scale (blood vessel), where 0 for no discomfort and 10 for the most severe discomfort. In addition, ASDAS-CRP index was calculated as 0.121 × low back pain + 0.058 × morning stiffness duration + 0.11 × overall evaluation of patients + 0.073 × peripheral pain relief/swelling + 0.579 × Ln (CRP + 1) and ASDAS-ESR index was calculated as 0.079 × low back pain + 0.069 × morning stiffness duration + 0.113 × overall evaluation of patients + 0.086 × peripheral joint pain/swelling + 0.293 × ESR. BASDAI was defined as the average score of fatigue, spinal pain, joint pain, tendinitis, and spondylitis. Each item was scored based on the visual analogue scale (0–10) score of patients' self-evaluation. Spondylitis score was defined as the average morning stiffness score and morning stiffness time score.

2.5 Cell extraction and culture

Human fibroblast-like synovial (HFLS) cells were extracted from AS patients for in vitro experiments. Firstly, the synovial tissues of AS patients were cleaned with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), sliced, and collected into the digestion bottles. The tissues were digested with collagenase (Gibco) for 1 h and centrifuged. The precipitated cells were resuspended in dulbecco’s modified eagle’s medium (DMEM; Gibco) containing 20% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco) and cultured at 37°C for 24 h in an incubator with 5% CO₂. After adherent to the surface, cells were washed with PBS and cultured in DMEM containing 10% FBS for future experiments.

2.6 Cell transfection

LncRNA-NEF overexpression plasmid, small interference sequence (si-NEF), and their negative controls (pcDNA or si-con) were provided by Vigene Biosciences. HFLS cell transfection was performed using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen).

2.7 RNA preparation and RT-qPCR

Synovial fluid samples were subjected to RNA isolation using RNAzol reagent (Sigma-Aldrich). After digestion with DNase I (Invitrogen) to completely remove genomic DNA, RNA samples were reverse-transcribed into complementary DNA (cDNA) samples. With cDNA samples as templates, LncRNA-NEF levels were measured by qPCR using QuantiFast SYBR Green PCR Kits (Qiagen) with 18S ribosomal RNA as the internal control. All PCR reactions were performed in three replicates. Relative gene expression was calculated using 2^(-ΔΔCt). Primer sequences were 5’-CTGCGTGCTTAAACACCC-3’ and 5’-GCCCAA ACAGCTCCTCAATT-3’ for lncRNA-NEF, and 5’-AGGC GCGAAATTACCCGAATCC-3’ and 5’-GCCCTCAATT GTTCCTCGTAAAG-3’ for 18S rRNA.

2.8 Western blot

HFLS cells were lysed with RIPA lystate (Beyotime). Total proteins were separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (Millipore). The membranes were incubated with 5% skimmed milk for 1 h, and incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies against interleukin-1β (IL-1β) (1:1000, ab216995; Abcam), interleukin-6 (IL-6) (1:1000, ab233706; Abcam), tumour necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) (1:1000, ab215188; Abcam), and GAPDH (1:1000, ab8245; Abcam). After washing, the membranes were incubated with a secondary antibody (1:5,000; Biotech) at room temperature for 4 h. The signals were developed using Pierce ECL Western Blot Substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific), imaged, and analyzed with GAPDH as the control using ImageJ software.

2.9 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 19.0 software (SPSS). The data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). The differences between two groups were evaluated using Student’s t-test and among multiple groups were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance. χ² test was used to analyze the correlations of LncRNA-NEF levels with ASDAS 1–4, BASDAI, and the levels of ESR and CRP in synovial fluid samples by taking age and gender as covariates. p < .05 was considered statistically significant.

3 RESULTS

3.1 LncRNA-NEF expression in synovial fluid of AS patients and its predictive value for recurrence

LncRNA-NEF expression in synovial fluid samples from AS patients (n = 60) and healthy controls (n = 60) was measured by RT-qPCR. LncRNA-NEF expression was
significantly higher in the AS group than in the control group (Figure 1A, 2.12-fold, \( p < .05 \)), suggesting that IncRNA-NEF might involve in AS development. IncRNA-NEF expression in synovial fluid samples from the 60 AS patients before and after treatment was measured by RT-qPCR. The results showed that treatment with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory significantly decreased IncRNA-NEF expression (Figures 1B, 1.42-fold, \( p < .05 \)). Therefore, measuring IncRNA-NEF expression in synovial fluid might reflect the recovery of AS. The 60 AS patients were divided into high and low IncRNA-NEF level groups (\( n = 30 \)) with the median pretreatment IncRNA-NEF level as the cutoff. Recurrence was observed in 24 cases and 17 cases in high and low IncRNA-NEF level groups, respectively. AS recurrence-free curves were plotted for both high and low IncRNA-NEF level groups. The recurrence rate of AS was significantly lower in patients in the low IncRNA-NEF level group than in the high IncRNA-NEF level group (Figure 1C, hazard ratio = 2.266), suggesting that IncRNA-NEF might serve as a prognostic biomarker for AS.

### 3.2 | IncRNA-NEF expression was correlated with ASDAS 1–4, BASDAI, and the levels of ESR and CRP

\( \chi^2 \) test was used to analyze the correlations of IncRNA-NEF expression with ASDAS 1–4, BASDAI, and the levels of ESR and CRP in synovial fluid samples. It was observed that IncRNA-NEF expression in synovial fluid samples was significantly correlated with ASDAS 1–4, BASDAI, and the levels of ESR and CRP, showing positive linear correlations (Table 2, all \( p < .01 \)). Moreover, treatment with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs significantly changed ASDAS 1–4, BASDAI, and the levels of ESR and CRP (all \( p < .01 \)).

### 3.3 | IncRNA-NEF regulated the expression of inflammatory factors in HFLS

HFLS cells from AS patients were obtained and transfected with IncRNA-NEF overexpression

---

**FIGURE 1** IncRNA-NEF expression in synovial fluid of ankylosing spondylitis (AS) patients and its predictive value for recurrence

IncRNA-NEF levels in synovial fluid samples from AS patients (\( n = 60 \)) and healthy controls (\( n = 60 \)) were measured by reverse transcription quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) (A). IncRNA-NEF levels in synovial fluid samples from the 60 AS patients before and after treatment with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs were measured by RT-qPCR and compared (B). The 60 AS patients were divided into high and low IncRNA-NEF level groups (\( n = 30 \)) with the median pretreatment IncRNA-NEF level as the cutoff value. Based on the 3 years’ follow-up data, AS recurrence-free curves were plotted for both groups and compared using the log-rank test (C). \(* p < .05.\)
plasmid, si-NEF, or their negative controls (pcDNA or si-con). The protein levels of IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α were detected using Western blot. It was observed that lncRNA-NEF overexpression significantly increased the protein levels of IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α while lncRNA-NEF downregulation significantly decreased the protein levels of IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α (Figure 2, all \( p < .05 \)). These results suggested that lncRNA-NEF might regulate the expression of inflammatory factors in HFLS.

**TABLE 2** Correlations between levels of lncRNA-NEF and ASDAS 1–4, BASDAI, and levels of ESR and CRP in synovial fluid samples

| Markers   | ESR  | p value | CRP  | p value | lncRNA-NEF | p value |
|-----------|------|---------|------|---------|------------|---------|
| ASDAS 1   | 0.66 | < .01   | 0.71 | < .01   | 0.62       | < .01   |
| ASDAS 2   | 0.67 | < .01   | 0.70 | < .01   | 0.61       | < .01   |
| ASDAS 3   | 0.67 | < .01   | 0.72 | < .01   | 0.63       | < .01   |
| ASDAS 4   | 0.68 | < .01   | 0.73 | < .01   | 0.62       | < .01   |
| BASDAI    | 0.72 | < .01   | 0.73 | < .01   | 0.66       | < .01   |
| ESR       | 1.00 | < .01   | 0.89 | < .01   | 0.59       | < .01   |
| CRP       | 0.89 | < .01   | 1.00 | < .01   | 0.60       | < .01   |

Abbreviations: ASDAS, Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score; CRP, C-reactive protein; ESR, estrogen receptor; lncRNA, long noncoding RNAs.

**FIGURE 2** NEF regulated the expression of inflammatory factors in human fibroblast-like synovial (HFLS). HFLS cells were obtained from ankylosing spondylitis patients and transfected with NEF overexpression plasmid, si-NEF, or their negative controls (pcDNA or si-con). The protein levels of interleukin-1β (IL-1β), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) in these cells were detected by Western blot. LncRNA-NEF overexpression promoted the expression of inflammatory factors, while lncRNA-NEF knockdown inhibited the expression of inflammatory factors. *\( p < .05 \).

### DISCUSSION

This study analyzed the involvement of lncRNA-NEF in AS and found that lncRNA-NEF was overexpressed in AS and a high lncRNA-NEF level might predict a high AS recurrence rate.

The development and progression of AS require the involvement of many dysregulated lncRNAs, some of which have been proven to be critical players in AS. For instance, lncRNA TUG1 was downregulated in AS and predicted the disease activity and treatment course. LncRNA MEG3 was downregulated in AS and played an anti-inflammatory role in AS partially by targeting miR-146a. LncRNA-NEF was downregulated in postmenopausal osteoporosis and related to the course of its treatment and recurrence. It has been reported that AS is inversely related to osteoporosis. Therefore, we hypothesized that lncRNA-NEF might also participate in AS. Our results showed that lncRNA-NEF level was increased in AS and significantly decreased after treatment with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, suggesting that lncRNA-NEF might participate in AS and reflect the treatment effect on AS. However, the function of lncRNA-NEF in AS development and its underlying molecular mechanism remains unclear and needs to be further explored.

The recurrence rate of AS patients is high even after active treatment because the currently available
treatments cannot relieve the symptoms. Besides, AS is unlikely curable in the near future. Therefore, accurately predicting AS recurrence may help improve the quality of life of AS patients by guiding the development of treatment programs. Our study showed that a high lncRNA-NEF level before treatment was closely correlated with the high recurrence rate of AS. Therefore, lncRNA-NEF has a great potential to serve as a predictive biomarker for recurrent AS. However, due to the limited clinical sample size, its prediction accuracy needs to be further tested in a multicenter cohort with a large sample size. In this study, lncRNA-NEF positively regulated the expression of IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α in HFLS. Previous studies have shown that lncRNAs may interact with miRNAs to regulate inflammation in AS. For instance, lncRNA MEG3 targets miR-146a to inhibit the inflammatory response in AS. LncRNA H19 interacts with miR675-5p/miR22-5p to affect VDR expression, thereby increasing the secretion of IL-17A/IL-23 in AS. Therefore, lncRNA-NEF may also regulate the expression of IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α through certain miRNAs. However, the mechanism of lncRNA-NEF action remains to be studied.

5 CONCLUSION

LncRNA-NEF is overexpressed in AS, and a high lncRNA-NEF level may predict a high posttreatment recurrence rate of AS.
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