Large-Scale Co-aggregation of Fluorescent Lipid Probes with Cell Surface Proteins
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Abstract. Large scale aggregation of fluorescein-labeled immunoglobulin E (IgE) receptor complexes on the surface of RBL cells results in the co-aggregation of a large fraction of the lipophilic fluorescent probe 3,3'-dihexadecylindocarbocyanine (diI) that labels the plasma membranes much more uniformly in the absence of receptor aggregation. Most of the diI molecules that are localized in patches of aggregated receptors have lost their lateral mobility as determined by fluorescence photobleaching recovery. The diI outside of patches is mobile, and its mobility is similar to that in control cells without receptor aggregates. It is unlikely that the co-aggregation of diI with IgE receptors is due to specific interactions between these components, as two other lipophilic probes of different structures are also observed to redistribute with aggregated IgE receptors, and aggregation of two other cell surface antigens also results in the coredistribution of diI at the RBL cell surface. Quantitative analysis of CCD images of labeled cells reveals some differences in the spatial distributions of co-aggregated diI and IgE receptors. The results indicate that cross-linking of specific cell surface antigens causes a substantial change in the organization of the plasma membrane by redistributing pre-existing membrane domains or causing their formation.

Since the “fluid mosaic” model of cell membranes was presented by Singer and Nicholson in 1972 (27), much experimental evidence has accumulated to support the notion that the membrane is a fluid; i.e., that many molecules in the membrane are free to undergo lateral diffusion. Nonetheless, in many instances modifications to this model are necessary. For example, many cell types exhibit polarity, with different regions of the plasma membrane specialized for different functions. Epithelial cells (17), neuroblastoma cells (16), hepatocytes (18), osteoblasts (33), sperm (5), and egg (6) all show regional variations in membrane composition. In epithelia, these variations are thought to be maintained by tight junctions with adjacent cells in the confluent monolayer. In the other cell types, regional variation is maintained without tight junctions, through mechanisms that are not yet understood.

Just as some cells maintain large, functionally distinct regions of plasma membrane, they may also maintain smaller, perhaps submicroscopic domains with distinct physical and chemical properties. The concept of lipid microdomains was discussed as early as 1977, in the review article by Jain and White (14). They pointed out that evidence for microdomains of lipid was then available from freeze-fracture electron microscopy, differential scanning calorimetry, reflectivity measurements, and x-ray diffraction measurements. Scher and Bloch (26) have recently described the enhanced labeling of acetylcholine receptor-enriched regions of myotube plasma membranes with diI. Their results suggest that these receptors are located in specialized membrane domains that are controlled by other cellular proteins.

Other evidence for plasma membrane heterogeneity has been obtained from fluorescence photobleaching measurements of lipophilic probes. Wolf et al. have observed that only 36–77% of diI is free to diffuse laterally when incorporated into sea urchin egg plasma membrane (36), and only 65–82% is free to diffuse when incorporated into mouse egg plasma membrane (35). Dictus et al. (6) found that only 50% of the lipophilic probes 5-(N-hexadecanoyl)-aminofluorescein and 5-(N-tetradecanoyl)-aminofluorescein is free to diffuse laterally in Xenopus eggs. In neuronal membranes, Treistman et al. (32) found that the probes 1-acetyl-2-(6-[N-(7-nitrobenz-2-oxa-1,3-diazo-4-yl)]aminohexanoyl)phosphatidylycerine (NBD-C6-PC) and rhodamine-phosphatidylethanolamine had diffusing fractions of roughly 60%, which decreased to 30% as the temperature was lowered from 25 to 4°C. Yechiel et al. (38) found that a headgroup-labeled NBD-phosphatidylethanolamine had a diffusing fraction less

1. Abbreviations used in this paper: BSS, buffered salt solution; diI, 3,3'-dihexadecylindocarbocyanine; FPR, fluorescence photobleaching recovery; NBD-C6-PC, nitrobenzoxadiazole phosphatidylethanolamine; ORB, octadecyl rhodamine B; IgE, immunoglobulin E.
than 60% in young rat myocyte explants. More recently, experi-
ments by Yechiel and Eidin (37) and Eidin and Stroyn-
owski (7), in which the size of the bleaching spot was vari-
ed, showed monotonically decreasing diffusing fractions of
NBD-C₂-PC and cell surface protein as the spot size was
increased. In their experiments on human fibroblasts, the
diffusing fraction of this lipid probe decreased from 90% at
a spot diameter of 0.7 μm to 30% at a spot diameter of 3.0
μm. They argue that these results are indicative of micrometer-scale domains in the plasma membrane.

We report here observations of the membrane structure of
RBL cells, as elucidated through the behavior of several
lipophilic membrane probes. These probes generally distrib-
ute uniformly over the membrane, at optical resolu-
tion, in untreated RBL cells, and have nearly complete
lateral mobility. When cell surface proteins (IgE receptor,
3D12 antigen) or a ganglioside (AA4 antigen) are aggregated
by cross-linking with antibodies, a large fraction of the lipid
probes colocalize with the aggregates and lose their lateral
mobility, as determined by fluorescence photobleaching
recovery measurements (FPR). These results suggest that
certain lipids may preferentially segregate in specialized
membrane domains in the plasma membrane that are either
gathered together or induced to form upon aggregation of
specific membrane components.

Materials and Methods

Labeling of Cells

RBL cells (subtype 2H3) were grown in stationary culture, and in some ex-
periments their high affinity IgE receptors FceRI, were bound to fluorescein
labeled mouse IgE (FITC-IgE), as described previously (24). FITC-IgE prepa-
ridations had 7 to 10 fluoresceins per IgE, determined
by UV-vis absorption spectroscopy. After harvesting with EDTA, cells were
centrifuged for 10 min at 1,000 rpm in a Beckman "IS centrifuge, and then
resuspended in a buffered salt solution: 135 mM NaCl, 5 mM KC1, 20 mM
Hepes, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1.8 mM CaCl₂, 50 mM glucose, pH 7.4 (BSS) with
0.5 mg/ml BSA. The cells were typically divided into 0.5-1.0 ml aliquots
(24).

To cross-link IgE receptors, affinity purified polyclonal rabbit anti-
mouse IgE (FITC-IgE) was added to buffer in the absence of cells as assessed by this method. With a cell surface area of \( \sim 1,000 \) μm²
(21), and 20 A²/per alkyl chain in diI, the area fraction of the dye is
roughly 0.4-8%. This represents an upper limit on the amount of diI incor-
porated into the plasma membrane, since some dye may be present as ag-
gregates and a small amount may stain internal organelles. A direct estimate
of the amount of dye incorporated into the plasma membrane has been made as follows: (a) the efficiency of the microscope was measured by using con-
denser illumination of known intensity (13 nW) and wavelength (530 nm),
and noting the resultant CCD signal level (46 U). (b) The signal level from the
cells (ca. 300 U in patched regions for the 4 μg/ml diI staining concen-
tration) was compared to this signal from a known source. A correction for
the optical acceptance solid angle was applied to the cell signal: for N.A.
1.25, 22% of the emitted fluorescent photons are captured by the micro-
scope. The result is the total power radiated by the dye, ~19 nW. (c) The
Hg lamp illumination intensity was measured as 12 nW. From the known
absorption coefficient (135,000 L/mol-cm) and approximate quantum
efficiency (0.5) of diI, the approximate number of fluoresors was found to be
\( \sim 2.3 \times 10^4 \) per μm², or an area fraction of \( \sim 1% \), for cells stained with
4 μg/ml diI. This represents a lower limit on the amount of dye incorporated
under these conditions, since some self quenching may occur.

In several experiments, the lipid probe was added to the cells after
the formation of aggregates. Cells were warmed briefly (10 min) in a 37°C water
bath, and then diI in methanol was added as above and the cells were placed
on ice for 10 min, followed by centrifugation and resuspension at 4°C.

Microscopy

Cells in suspension were plated on coverslips for 10 min at 37°C, which
permits cell adhesion and immobilization without significant changes in the
spherical suspension morphology. The cells were then examined with a Zeiss universal microscope equipped for epi-fluorescence. An HBO 100W
mercury arc lamp was used. Excitation filters were 488 nm narrow pass (10
nm) for FITC and 546 nm narrow pass (10 nm) for diI and ORB (Omega Optical,
Brattleboro, VT); emission filters were 520 nm bandpass (20 nm)
for FITC (Omega Optical) and OG580 (long pass) for diI and ORB (Schott
Glass). The contribution of the fluorescein-labeled IgE to the diI signal was
evaluated with cells labeled with only FITC-IgE. These cells had a fluores-
cence intensity of less than 1% of diI-labeled cells, when examined in diI
optics. Similarly, cells labeled with only diI produced no significant fluores-
cence when illuminated with fluorescein optics. The positions of images of
a graticule were noted with each emission filter set and used to correct for
a slight lateral shift.

Images were taken with a Photometrics (Phoenix, AZ) CC200 CCD
camera. Exposure times for images of diI were 0.2 s; for ORB, 0.8 s; and
for FITC-IgE, 2.0 s. Cells were at room temperature (25°C) for all images.
Images of lipid analog and IgE-receptor distributions were taken within 30
s of each other. There is no perceptible movement of patches over the course
of at least several minutes at room temperature. Images were processed with a
Recognition Concepts (Incline Village, NV) Trapex 52526 image processor
accompanied by a Micro VAX II (Digital Equipment Corp.) computer.

FPR Measurements

Spot photobleaching measurements were made on cells at room temperature
(25°C), as described elsewhere (4) (31). Photon counting and data acquisi-
tion were performed with a Stanford Research SR400 preamplifier and
SR440 discriminator/photon counter, interfaced to the Micro VAX via
GPIB (National Instruments). The fluorescence recovery curves were fit by
non-linear least squares to

\[
F(t) = F(0) + \frac{F(\infty) - F(0)}{1 + t/t_d} \]

where \( F(0) \) is the fluorescence at time \( t \) after bleaching, \( F(\infty) \) is the intensity
immediately after bleaching, \( F(\infty) \) is the asymptotic fluorescence level fol-
lowing recovery after photobleaching, and \( t_d \) is the recovery half-time.

The diffusion coefficient, \( D \), is determined from \( t_d \) and the spot size, with
a correction for bleach depth as described in reference (39). The \( 1/e^2 \) inten-
sity spot diameter of the Gaussian beam was determined to be 2.0 ± 0.1
μm by measuring the size of a spot bleached in a thin formvar/diI film. Mobile
fractions are defined by

\[
F(\infty) = F(0) + \frac{F(\infty) - F(0)}{1 + t/t_d} \]

\[
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where \( F_{\text{PB}} \) is the fluorescence intensity before bleaching. The position of best focus for photobleaching experiments was determined by first focusing visually on the cell surface not attached to the glass coverslip, and then maximizing the photocounts under monitor laser beam illumination. This procedure was necessary, since changing filters (to acquire both FITC and diI images) on the Zeiss Universal microscope always produced some small vibration and defocusing.

**Results**

*Colocalization of diI and Patched FITC-IgE*

In the absence of cross-linking ligands, both IgE-receptor complexes and the lipid probe diI appear to be unaggregated on the cell surface. Fig. 1 shows the distribution of uncross-linked FITC-IgE at the coverslip plane (A) and at the cell equator (C). Also shown are the distributions of diI at the coverslip plane (B) and at the cell equator (D) of the same RBL cell. Under these conditions, both FITC-IgE and diI show relatively uniform ring staining at the cell equator (Fig. 1, C and D), similar to results obtained previously for fluorescently labeled IgE-receptor complexes (19). For both diI and FITC-IgE, relatively small variations in fluorescence are seen at the coverslip plane (Fig. 1, A and B). These variations are likely to be due primarily to morphological irregularities, particularly inhomogeneous distributions of unresolved microvilli at the cell surface. Electron microscopy has indicated that these receptors are abundant on microvilli before cross-linking (13) (29). (It is possible that some diI is preferentially associated with IgE receptors before their aggregation, but this issue cannot be resolved by fluorescence microscopic analysis.)

At temperatures above \( 15^\circ\text{C} \), aggregation of IgE receptors by cross-linking with anti-IgE leads to internalization with half-times that range from \( >30 \text{ min at } 25^\circ\text{C} \) (24) to \( \sim10 \text{ min at } 37^\circ\text{C} \) (19). However, if the IgE receptors are cross-linked at \( 4^\circ\text{C} \), internalization is prevented, and small patches of IgE-receptor complexes become clearly visible by fluorescence microscopy over the course of several hours. Once formed, these patches do not readily internalize (as judged by microscopy and steady-state fluorescence spectroscopy (20)) even when the temperature is raised to \( 37^\circ\text{C} \). Rather, when the temperature is raised the small patches coalesce to form a large aggregated, open network at the cell surface (Fig. 2, A and C). When cells have been labeled with the lipophilic probe diI before cross-linking, the probe clearly colocalizes to the IgE-receptor patches on virtually every cell. This is illustrated in Fig. 2 for a single cell, where photo A is the distribution of FITC-IgE with the microscope focused at the coverslip where the cell is flattened. Photo B is the distribution of diI at the same focal plane: the pattern of fluorescence coincides with the patched IgE receptor. Photo C is the same cell focused at the equator of the cell, showing the FITC-IgE fluorescence in discrete patches on the cell surface, seen at the circumference. (The hazy fluorescence "inside" the cell actually arises from the out-of-focus top and bottom surfaces.) Photo D shows the diI fluorescence at the same equatorial focus. Again, there is correspondence between the diI fluorescence distribution and the patches of IgE receptor. Typically, about half of the plasma membrane diI is aggregated into the patches (determined by integrating diI fluorescence intensity over areas on and off patches).

The coincidence of diI fluorescence with FITC-IgE receptor aggregates is seen over at least a tenfold range of diI membrane concentrations (<0.8 to 8 area %), indicating that phase separation of the diI is not likely to play a role in the co-aggregation process. Furthermore, when diI is added after patches of IgE receptor are formed as described in Materials and Methods, coincidence of the diI fluorescence with the IgE receptor aggregates is also seen. When labeled with 4 \( \mu\text{g/ml} \) diI, the molar ratio of cell-associated diI to IgE receptors is estimated to be at least 50:1, determined either from the diI fluorescence intensity or from the amount of dye that spins down with the cells. Even at this membrane concentration, diI has no significant effect on the ability of the...
cells to mobilize Ca\(^{2+}\) in response to IgE receptor aggregation (Holowka, D., unpublished results).

Quantitative analysis of the substrate-focused images 2A and 2B is presented in Fig. 3A, which shows a scatterplot of the diI intensity vs the FITC-IgE intensity. Each point on the graph represents one pixel on the cell; the value of that pixel in the FITC-IgE image (Fig. 2A) is the x-coordinate of the point, and the value of that pixel in the diI image (Fig. 2B) is the y-coordinate. If the diI intensity were strictly proportional to the FITC-IgE intensity, the points on this plot would fall on a straight line. This is clearly not the case.

For the uncross-linked control cell of Fig. 1A and B, the same scatterplot analysis is shown in Fig. 3B. In this case, the intensities are confined to a narrow range of values, both for FITC-IgE and for diI. In contrast, Fig. 3A shows that many pixels had higher intensities for both labels in the cross-linked cell image and a much wider distribution of observed intensities.

The formation of the large patches in Fig. 2 required warming the cells to 37°C for 10 min after they had been cross-linked for several hours at 4°C. In the absence of a warm-up period, numerous dispersed small patches of IgE are found over the cell surface. These small patches also show co-aggregation of diI (data not shown), but they are more difficult to analyze.

**DiI in Patches Is Largely Immobilized**

To investigate the lateral mobility of the co-aggregated lipophilic probes, we carried out FPR experiments on patched and unpatched cells. A small spot (2.0 μm diam) was bleached in the diI fluorescence, and the recovery of fluorescence was monitored as described in Materials and Methods. On the cross-linked, patched cells, measurements of diI diffusion were made both on and off patches, as illustrated in Fig. 4. The top photograph in Fig. 4 shows an image of a patched cell in fluorescein optics. The center left photograph shows the same cell in diI optics, and the dotted circle on the patch near the center of the cell indicates where FPR was carried out. The fluorescence record is shown to the right; the entire time record is 50 s. During this time, very little recovery of the bleached fluorescence occurs, indicating that dye surrounding the bleached hole is unable to exchange freely with the bleached dye. The bottom left photograph of Fig. 4 shows the same cell, repositioned to bleach a non-patched region of the cell, following the FPR measure-

![Figure 4. FPR measurements of diI mobility.](image-url)
Figure 5. Summary of FPR results. Diffusion coefficients are shown at left, and mobile fractions at right. At the top, control uncross-linked cells, which have otherwise been treated in the same fashion as the patched cells. (Center) Dil diffusion off of patches is similar to that from control cells. (Bottom) On patches, a smaller fraction of the dil is mobile (~35% compared with ~75% for top and center). The dil that is mobile in the patches has the same average diffusion coefficient as the dil outside of patches (~4 x 10^-9 cm^2/s).

Quantitatively, FPR recovery curves were fit as described in Materials and Methods to obtain a diffusion coefficient and a mobile fraction. The results from all cells examined are presented in Fig. 5. Diffusion coefficients for dil on all cells have an average value of 4 x 10^-9 cm^2/s, with no apparent difference between patched and unpatched regions of a cross-linked cell, or between uncross-linked and cross-linked cells. Mobile fractions, however, are significantly reduced in patches of dil: ca. 35% in patches vs ca. 75% in non-patched regions or on uncross-linked cells. In addition, the bleaching spot on a patch may contain some unpatched regions (some of which will be smaller than the microscope resolution limit), so that the mobile fraction measured on patches is an upper limit.

Co-aggregation Occurs with Some Other But Not All Lipophilic Probes

The co-aggregation of lipophilic probes with patches of IgE receptor does not appear to be specific to dil. Fig. 6 shows two cells that were labeled with ORB before cross-linking of the IgE receptors with anti-IgE for several hours at 4°C, followed by warming to 37°C for ten minutes to enhance aggregation. The right image is the distribution of ORB; the left image shows the patches of the IgE receptor. As with dil, most of the IgE receptor patches have coincident patches of ORB, although the intensity of the IgE receptor is variable. ORB remains mostly at the plasma membrane under these conditions, as demonstrated by the lack of punctate label within the cell interior. On uncross-linked cells, ORB labels the plasma membrane uniformly (data not shown). In addition to ORB and dil, which are both positively charged, 5-(N-hexadecanoyl)aminoeosin, which is negatively charged, also appears to exhibit some colocalization with IgE receptor patches (data not shown).

Not all lipophilic probes co-aggregate, however. The probes tetramethylammonium diphenylhexatriene (23) and laurdan (22) both appear to have relatively uniform plasma membrane distributions when used to label RBL cells either with or without patched IgE receptors (data not shown).

Co-aggregation Is Not Specific to the IgE Receptor

Dil also co-aggregates when cell membrane constituents other than the IgE receptor are aggregated into large patches. Fig. 7 shows the distribution of dil (right) and the distribution of ganglioside aggregated via a specific monoclonal antibody, AA4, and a secondary antibody (left). There is clearly a strong correlation between the dil fluorescence and the ganglioside patches. Patching of a different cell surface antigen, recognized by the monoclonal antibody 3D12, also

Figure 6. ORB colocalizes with IgE receptor patches on the surface of RBL cells. The left image (A) is the distribution of FITC-IgE, and the right image (B) is the distribution of ORB on the same cell. Bar, 10 µm.
lized antigens with their respective antibodies fails to bring the IgE receptor, since immunoprecipitation of these soluble antigens appears to be tightly associated with leads to co-aggregation of diI (data not shown). Neither of these surface antigens does not cause aggregation and internalization of the AA4 antibody bound to its antigen on the RBL cells. Furthermore, cross-linking and internalization of the AA4 antibody bound to its antigen on the RBL cells does not cause aggregation and internalization of the IgE-receptor complexes (8).

**Discussion**

Our observations on the coredistribution of diI and other lipid probes with aggregated IgE receptors and other cell surface antigens provide new information on the structural organization of the plasma membrane of mammalian cells. Previous lateral diffusion studies using fluorescent lipid probes such as diI or NBD-C6-PC, which label the plasma membrane and are slow to equilibrate with intracellular membranes, have generally indicated that these probes are not entirely free to diffuse as they would in homogeneous fluid phase liposomes. Both mobile fractions and diffusion coefficients are often observed to be somewhat smaller than expected for lateral diffusion in a simple fluid bilayer, but the structural basis for these observations is not well understood (15) (34). Our results indicate that the aggregation of a minor component of the plasma membrane, such as the IgE receptor, which makes up only about 1–2 % of the cell surface proteins (25), causes the large-scale co-aggregation of a lipid analog which is present at a much higher density on the cell surface and which may reflect the coredistribution of endogenous lipid components as well. These results cannot be explained by observed morphological features of the plasma membrane, such as microvilli or ruffles in the region of receptor aggregates, since those features could not account for the loss of diI lateral mobility observed within the patches of receptor aggregates (34). Furthermore, Stump et al. (29) have shown that the regions of the cell surface containing large aggregates of IgE receptors are smooth and exclude the large ruffles which often surround them in activated RBL cells.

**Co-aggregation Reflects a Change in Membrane Structure**

Co-aggregation of lipid probes that accompanies the aggregation of membrane proteins must reflect a change in plasma membrane structure, and not simply an association of particular lipid analogs with the individual proteins being cross-linked. Several observations support this assertion:

(a) Co-aggregation of several different lipid analogs occurs with several different types of cross-linked membrane proteins. Both positively charged (diI and ORB) and negatively charged (5-(N-hexadecanoyl) aminoeosin) lipid analogs co-aggregated with the cross-linked IgE receptor. Most importantly, since each of three cell surface antigens separately caused co-aggregation of about half of the plasma membrane diI, much of the aggregated diI must have been either unassociated or reversibly associated with the antigens before cross-linking.

(b) The extent of co-aggregation does not appear to depend on the total amount of lipid probe that is associated with the plasma membrane, as qualitatively similar co-patching results are obtained over a wide range of diI concentrations in the membrane and in the labeling mixture (0.4–20 μM). Furthermore, even within a single field of cells, there is often a substantial cell to cell range of diI labeling intensities, yet all of the cells exhibit copatching of diI with FITC-IgE in which the fraction of diI co-aggregated is similar. For the standard labeling conditions, we estimate that there are between ~104 and 105 diI molecules per cell, and about half of these co-distribute with the IgE receptors, based on our quantitative analysis. Since there are ~2 × 105 IgE receptors per RBL cell, this suggests a molar ratio of diI to IgE receptors in the patches of 50–500. This range is greater than the expected value of ~12 phospholipids that could reasonably pack as nearest neighbors around a protein containing seven transmembrane-spanning segments, such as the IgE receptor (3). (This estimate for boundary lipid assumes a cylindrical protein with an intramembranous circumference that is similar to that for the 7-helix protein bacteriorhodopsin (~11 nm [11]) and the diameter of a phospholipid of ~0.9 nm.) In this regard, it is interesting to note that the average density of IgE receptors in these patches is only about 1 per 350 nm2, which is about five times more dense than uncluttered receptors (Ryan, T. A., A. K. Menon, D. Hollowa, B. Bard, and W. W. Webb. 1986. Inter-receptor spacing in IgE receptor aggregates on the surface of RBL cells. *Biophys. J.* 49:360a). Since each receptor probably has a cross-sectional area of <50 nm2 in the membrane (as described above), the low receptor density in aggregates implies that other proteins and/or lipids must occupy most of the area within a patch of cross-linked receptors. Recent studies have indicated that most of the cellular proteins that are phosphorylated on tyrosine residues as the result of cross-linking receptors such as surface immunoglobulin on B cells are colocalized to patches of these receptors (30).

(c) Quantitative analysis of the CCD images of co-aggregated diI and FITC-IgE receptors indicates that there
is an approximate proportionality between the FITC intensity and the diI intensity as revealed by the scatter plots (Fig. 3). Fig. 3A indicates that pixels with the highest intensities of FITC fluorescence tend to have a high intensity of diI fluorescence, but the dependence is quite variable, with a spread approaching a factor of two or three. The typical size of the coredistributed diI patches is somewhat greater than that of the FITC-IgE receptor patches, as is evident in Fig. 2, implying that the boundaries of the patches of diI do not exactly correspond to the boundaries of the patches of FITC-IgE receptors.

Possible Molecular Models for Coredistribution

In one model that is consistent with our observations, lipid probes that coredistribute with aggregated antigens are pre-enriched in optically undetectable fluid membrane domains which are "dragged along" into large patches by aggregation of the cell surface antigens. To account for the reduction of mobile fraction of diI that is observed in these aggregated domains, it is only required that these larger domains concentrate diffusional obstacles, or bind diI, to slow its escape from the patches. With the escape time $\tau_v \sim r_p^2 D$, the increased patch radius $r_p$ and/or decrease of $D$ leads to slowed escape which is interpreted as increased immobile fraction in an FPR experiment. Increased binding energy would increase the dwell time of diI in the patches. The results of Edidin and Stroynowski (7) also indicate that diI in non-cross-linked cells is not confined to closed domains.

It is possible that the diI in the coredistributed patches becomes associated with some very abundant membrane protein or proteins that are themselves dragged into large patches by the cross-linking of less abundant cell surface proteins such as the IgE receptor. Preliminary results indicate that concanavalin A binding proteins do not coredistribute into patches of IgE receptors (Thomas, J., unpublished results), but it is possible that some other type of abundant protein, such as the agorins described by Apgar and Mescher (1) could be involved. It is possible that such interactions might be the consequence of changes in the membrane structure due to the activation of signal transduction processes (12). In this regard, it is notable that the conditions under which coredistribution of diI occurs also result in the loss of IgE receptor lateral mobility (20) and the loss of receptor solubilization by mild detergents (24). The detergent-insoluble, aggregated receptors appear to be stably associated with the cortical cytoskeleton (24). In the presence of mild detergents, coredistributed diI does not remain associated with the cytoskeleton-attached receptors, indicating that this association depends on the integrity of the membrane (Holowka, D., unpublished results). Preferential redistribution of certain membrane lipids due to this process could lead to the formation of gel-like membrane domains which are known to bind diI preferentially compared to more fluid membranes (36) (28). Alternatively, the formation of boundaries between fluid domains that do not allow the free exchange of diI molecules could also cause the observed loss of lateral mobility of coredistributed diI. The molecular nature of these hypothesized boundaries in this case is unknown, but recent results indicate that such boundaries can be created with certain cholesterol-binding agents such as filipin (9). Future experiments will distinguish between these different models.

In summary, the coaggregation of lipid analogs with corelinked cell surface antigens demonstrates that cross-linking and patch formation do cause macroscopic (>1 μm) changes in the plasma membrane structure of RBL cells. The concentration of specific membrane proteins into patches alters the proximal plasma membrane in a fundamental way, trapping some lipid analogs in immobilized aggregates. These membrane changes might normally occur as a precursor to internalization, or as a facilitator of signal transduction or desensitization. At physiological temperatures, rapid internalization of small receptor aggregates normally prevents the observation of these unusual membrane domains. We have successfully used an extended incubation at 4°C to permit the formation of large protein aggregates, and we have observed significant concomitant changes in the plasma membrane organization.
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