Effect of Plant Growth Regulators on Leaf Number, Leaf Area and Leaf Dry Matter in Grape
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Abstract

Influence of phenylureas (CPPU) and brassinosteroid (BR) along with GA (gibberellic acid) were studied on seedless grape vegetative characteristics like leaf number, leaf area and leaf dry matter. Growth regulators were sprayed on the vines either once (7 days after fruit set or 15 days after fruit set) or twice (7+15 days after fruit set). CPPU 2 ppm+BR 0.4 ppm+GA 25 ppm produced maximum number of leaves (18.78) while untreated vines produced least leaf number (16.22) per shoot. Maximum leaf area (129.70 cm²) and dry matter content (26.51%) was obtained with higher CPPU (3 ppm) and BR (0.4 ppm) combination along with GA 25 ppm. Plant growth regulators whether naturally derived or synthetic are used to improve the productivity and quality of grapes. The relatively high value of grapes justifies more expensive inputs. A relatively small improvement in yield or fruit quality can justify the field application of a very costly product. Application of new generation growth regulators like brassinosteroids and phenylureas like CPPU have been reported to increase the leaf number as well as leaf area and dry matter thereby indirectly influencing the fruit yield and quality in grapes.
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Introduction

In India diversity in climate, soil and manipulation of cultural practices helps to produce grapes throughout the year. Large quantities of fresh fruits in the farm of raisins are produced and exported to other countries. Besides soil and climate, the plant factors also play an important role in grape production. Among plant factors the photosynthetic area (leaf area) is very important. Purohit et al. (1979) reported that leaf area of 3064 cm² was optimum for bunch and berry development in ‘Anab-e-Shahi’. The best quality fruits were produced by maintaining 12-16 leaves per bunch in ‘Anab-e-Shahi’ grape (Patil and Nagpal, 1981). A specific number of leaves and leaf area are required for optimum growth and development of fruits in different crops. Hence the present investigation was carried out to evaluate the effect of new generation growth regulators on leaf parameters which ultimately influence the berry and bunch growth and development. Brassinosteroids (BRs) are a class of polyhydroxysteroids that have been recognized as a sixth class of plant hormones. These were first explored nearly forty years ago when Mitchell et al. (1972) reported promotion in stem elongation and cell division by the treatment of organic extracts of rapeseed (Brassica napus) pollen. Brassinolide was the first isolated brassinosteroid in 1979 when it was shown that pollen from Brassica napus could promote stem elongation and cell divisions, and the biologically active molecule was isolated. BRs have been shown to be involved in numerous plant processes like promotion of cell expansion and cell elongation synergism with auxin, play role in cell division and cell wall regeneration, promotion of vascular differentiation etc. CPPU are cytokinin like substances but have 100 times more potential than BA in promoting cell division. Both CPPU and BRs act synergistically with GA in promotion of many cellular processes including cell division and enlargement.

Materials and methods

The study was conducted on grape cv. ‘Tas-A-Ganesh’ in a commercial vineyard located in Hyderabad, when vines were seven year old. The vines were trained on overhead bower, planted at 3 m x 1.5 m distance. There were three main treatments involving three different time intervals of growth regulator application and ten subplot treatments of different growth regulator combination with different concentration as follows:

- T₁ - Control
- T₂ - CPPU 2 ppm
- T₃ - CPPU 2 ppm + BR 0.2 ppm + GA 25 ppm
- T₄ - CPPU 2 ppm + GA 25 ppm
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Number of leaves per shoot were counted at veraison on five randomly selected shoots and average number per shoot was calculated. Leaf area meter was used to measure the leaf area of the leaves opposite to the cluster. Dry matter content was determined from the leaves used for area under each treatment. Fresh weight was calculated and were dried in oven at 70°C until a constant weight was recorded separately for each treatment at veraison. Dry matter content of leaves was then calculated as the percentage over fresh weight by following formula:

\[
\text{Dry matter content of leaves} = \frac{\text{Dry weight of leaves}}{\text{Fresh weight of leaves}} \times 100
\]

Data was subjected to ANOVA analysis using Latin Square Design (LSD).

**Results and discussion**

Number of leaves per shoot was significantly influenced by growth regulator treatments and their interactions. However, different times of growth regulator applications had no significant effect (Tab. 1). Combination of CPPU 2 ppm + BR 0.4 ppm + GA 25 ppm (T<sub>4</sub>) recorded maximum number of leaves (18.78) per shoot which was at par with T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>6</sub> and T<sub>10</sub>. Whereas minimum leaf number per shoot was observed in control (16.22). Interaction effects also showed that T<sub>4</sub> at 7 DAFS had maximum number of leaves (19.67) while T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> at 7+15 DAFS recorded minimum leaf number.

There were significant differences among regulator treatments, time of their application as well as their interaction with regard to leaf area (Tab. 2). Among different time intervals, 7+15 days after fruit set (DAFS) had significantly higher leaf area (120.08 cm<sup>2</sup>) compared to 7 DAFS and 15 DAFS (126.7 cm<sup>2</sup>) and 126.81 cm<sup>2</sup> respectively. Growth regulator treatments showed that T<sub>5</sub> had maximum leaf area (129.710 cm<sup>2</sup>) followed by T<sub>2</sub> (128.66 cm<sup>2</sup>). Whereas control (T<sub>0</sub>) recorded minimum leaf area (125.75 cm<sup>2</sup>) and was at par with T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>10</sub>. Interaction effect revealed that T<sub>0</sub> at 7+15 DAFS had maximum leaf area (130.65 cm<sup>2</sup>).

The perusal of data revealed that different times of application had no significant effect on leaf dry matter (Tab. 3) but among growth regulators higher phenylurea (CPPU) and brassinosteroid (BR) combination along with GA 25 ppm had maximum leaf dry matter percentage (26.51%). Interaction was also significant and 7+15 DAFS with T<sub>5</sub> recorded maximum value (27.67) whereas control (T<sub>0</sub>) at 15 DAFS recorded lowest dry matter content (21.87).

Leaf number decreased with higher CPPU concentration (3 ppm) either alone or in combination with GA. However, lower CPPU (2 ppm) and higher BR (0.4 ppm) with GA 25 ppm exhibited maximum leaf number. Similar results were earlier reported by Kumar (1996). This may be due to inhibition of shoot growth by CPPU and diversion of metabolites for bunch development thereby reducing availability of metabolites for shoot elongation. Higher leaf area recorded with higher CPPU and BR concentrations in combination with GA may be due to increased concentration of photosynthates in the shoot (Iwahori et al., 1988; Kumar, 1964; Mitchell and George.

**Tab. 1. Effect of growth regulators at different days after fruit set (DAFS) on number of leaves per shoot in grape Cv.’Tas-A-Ganesh’**

| Treatment | 7 DAFS | 15 DAFS | 7+15 DAFS | Mean |
|-----------|--------|---------|-----------|------|
| T<sub>0</sub>:Control | 16.67 | 15.67 | 16.33 | 16.22 |
| T<sub>1</sub>:CPPU 2 ppm | 17.67 | 17.33 | 16.33 | 17.11 |
| T<sub>2</sub>:CPPU 2 ppm + BR 0.4 ppm + GA 25 ppm | 18.67 | 18.33 | 18.00 | 18.33 |
| T<sub>3</sub>:CPPU 2 ppm + GA 25 ppm | 18.00 | 17.67 | 18.00 | 17.67 |
| T<sub>4</sub>:CPPU 2 ppm + BR 0.4 ppm + GA 25 ppm | 19.67 | 19.00 | 19.00 | 18.78 |
| T<sub>5</sub>:CPPU 3 ppm | 17.00 | 16.33 | 17.67 | 17.00 |
| T<sub>6</sub>:CPPU 3 ppm + BR 0.4 ppm + GA 25 ppm | 18.67 | 17.67 | 17.67 | 17.78 |
| T<sub>7</sub>:CPPU 3 ppm + GA 25 ppm | 17.00 | 17.33 | 17.33 | 17.22 |
| T<sub>8</sub>:CPPU 3 ppm + BR 0.4 ppm + GA 25 ppm | 17.67 | 18.33 | 18.33 | 17.89 |
| T<sub>9</sub>:GA 25 ppm | 17.67 | 17.33 | 17.67 | 17.56 |
| Mean | 17.87 | 17.17 | 17.63 | --- |

**Source of variation**

| S.E. (±) | CD (0.05) |
|----------|-----------|
| Main | 0.22 | NS |
| Sub | 0.77 | 54 |
| Sub at main | 1.33 | 2.67 |
| Residual | 0.79 | 1.60 |
Combination of CPPU and BR either at low or high concentrations along with GA 25 ppm resulted in increased leaf dry matter content. This increase in leaf dry matter may be attributed to increase in leaf area by CPPU and BR as is evident from positive relation between leaf area and leaf dry matter content. In addition to brassinosteroids, phenylureas like CPPU (N-(2-chloro-4-pyridyl)-Nʹ-phenylurea) commonly called as forehlorofenuron have been synthesized (Zullo and Kohout, 2004). Both these compounds when used at submolar concentrations have been shown to stimulate various physiological and biochemical responses in many plants (Sasse, 2003).

**Conclusions**

Application of phenylureas (CPPU) and brassinosteroid (BR) along with GA affected vegetative characteristics like leaf number, leaf area and leaf dry matter in seedless grape cultivar Tas-A-Ganesh. When CPPU 2 ppm+BR 0.4 ppm+GA 25 ppm was sprayed twice i.e once at 7 DAFS and again at 15 DAFS maximum number of leaves (18.78) per shoot were obtained while maximum leaf area (129.70 cm²) and dry matter content (26.51%) was obtained with higher CPPU (3 ppm) and BR (0.4 ppm) concentration in combination with GA 25ppm. This clearly indicates that the new generation growth regulators have
a potential to be used in future for improvement of grape quality, especially in seedless varieties so as to tap the international markets.
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