Effects of Local Vibration on Shoulder Horizontal Adduction and Internal Rotation Range of Motion in Subject with Posterior Shoulder Tightness
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Background In recent years, the use of local vibration (LV) devices is increasing to increase the elongation and reduce stiffness of muscle tissue in the field of rehabilitation and sports. However, to date, no research has been conducted on the therapeutic effect of local vibration therapy devices.

Purpose We investigated the effects of local vibration on the posterior shoulder tightness, specifically, range of motion (ROM) of the shoulder horizontal adduction (HA) and internal rotation (IR).

Study design One group pre-post study

Methods Thirteen subjects with limitation in passive shoulder HA and IR with less than 50% of normal ROM were recruited from university.

Results All continuous variables were found to approximate a normal distribution (Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z-test, \(p>0.05\)). The shoulder HA and IR ROM were significantly different between pre- and post-LV \(p<0.05\).

Conclusions Based on the results of this study, it was found that it is effective to apply LV to areas with shortening and stiffness of muscle or fascia tissue.

Key words Local vibration; Posterior shoulder tightness; Shoulder horizontal adduction; Shoulder internal rotation.

INTRODUCTION

Passive static stretch has been widely used for decreasing posterior shoulder muscles (infraspinatus, and posterior deltoid) tightness, which is lead to restricted joint mobility, shoulder impingement, labral lesion, and rotator cuff tear.1-3 To restore the shortened or stiff muscle to its normal length or tension, a stretching method is generally used, but there is a limitation in actual movement recovery.4 Increased muscle flexibility after stretching is due to sensory modification, rather than increased length of muscle, an idea referred to as theory of sensory.5-6 Therefore, alteration in the sensitivity (by sensory receptor) for stretching must be made in the end range of stretching.7-8

When a general stretching method is performed, the extensibility of the muscles in the end range is increased due to the reflex action of the sensory receptors for pain and stretching.9 Various therapeutic tools have been widely used to solve this problem and improve the therapeutic effect of stretching.10 Local cryotherapy reduces the uncomfortable sensation that patients feel when stretching and increases the therapeutic effect.11 Instrument assisted soft tissue mobilization (IASTM) using Graston technique is effective for treating soft tissue dysfunction, increased mobility of soft tissue, fibroblast production, and morphological changes in muscle.12 In recent years, the use of vibration devices is increasing to increase the elongation and reduce stiffness of muscle tissue, in the field of rehabilitation and sports. Compared to cryotherapy or IASTM, it can be easily applied and relaxes soft tissues in a short time.
However, to date, no research has been conducted on the therapeutic effect of local vibration therapy devices. Thus, we investigated the effects of local vibration on the posterior shoulder tightness, specifically range of motion (ROM) of the shoulder horizontal adduction (HA) and internal rotation (IR). We hypothesized local vibration would decrease posterior shoulder tightness (ROM of the HA and IR).

**METHOD**

**Participants**

Thirteen subjects (13 male) with limitation in passive shoulder HA and IR were recruited from university (Those with less than 50% of normal ROM) (Table 1). Exclusion criteria were (1) history of shoulder surgery, (2) history of shoulder fracture, (3) congenital shoulder abnormalities, and (4) ongoing shoulder pain. Prior to the experiment, all experiment procedure were explained to the subjects. They participated voluntarily and provided written informed consent. Each provided and signed informed consent on a form approved by the Yonsei University, Mirae Campus, Institutional Review Board (approval number: 1041849-201701-BM-008-02).

**INSTRUMENTATION**

**Local vibration device**

The local vibration massage device (Hypervolt, Hyperice USA), which was applied to increase the mobility of the area with posterior shoulder tightness (Figure 1), was used as the most comfortable circumferential attachment for the patient before application. Step 1, the lowest of the three speeds, was applied while moving to the posterior shoulder muscle (posterior deltoid, infraspinatus, and teres minor muscles).

**Camera**

A smartphone camera (Galaxy note 9, Samsung, Republic of Korea) was installed on a tripod at a 3-meter distance from each subject and aligned to the shoulder joint, so it was only possible to record the picture when the smartphone camera was perpendicular to the ground. The images for photographic measurement were captured at the end-range of shoulder HA and IR. The captured image was processed using the Image J program (version 1.43, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland) which can measure the joint angle, in degrees, between two lines. The HA ROM (angle) was formed by joining the acromion process, lateral epicondyle of humerus, and a horizontal line. And, the IR ROM (angle) was formed by joining the ulnar styloid process, the olecranon process of the ulna, and a vertical line that passed through the olecranon process of the ulna.

**Procedure**

Subject lie down on the table with the top undressed and the top naked. The examiner measured initial ROM of the subject's shoulder HA and IR. Measurement was made with the humerus head fixed to prevent compensation movement that may occur during measurement. After measuring the initial ROM, a local vibration device was used to treat the posterior shoulder muscle (posterior deltoid, infraspinatus, and teres minor muscles) with local vibration for 5 minutes (Figure 1). After local vibration therapy, the subject’s shoulder horizontal adduction and internal rotation ROM were measured to confirm the therapeutic effect.

**Statistical analysis**

The statistical analysis were performed using Statistical Software package for the Social Science (SPSS) version 23.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL), and the level of statistical
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RESEARCH QUESTION

What is the effect of local vibration on posterior shoulder tightness?

METHODS

All continuous variables were found to approximate a normal distribution (Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z-test, p>0.05). The shoulder HA and IR ROM were significantly different between pre- and post-LV (p<0.05).

DISCUSSION

The use of LV therapy devices has been recently used to improve musculoskeletal rehabilitation and athlete performance. Although LC is widely used in the clinical field, it is difficult to find studies dealing with its therapeutic effect. The purpose of this study was to compare shoulder HA and IR between pre- and post-LC. The results of this study showed that the shoulder HA and IR ROM were significantly different between pre- and post-LV (p<0.05).

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of this study, it was found that it is effective to apply local vibrations to areas with shortening and stiffness of muscle or fascia tissue. In particular, when treating a region with limited mobility of a joint accompanied by shortening of soft tissue, it is believed that the effect will be high when applying a joint mobilization technique or muscle stretching after applying a local vibration first. This study has several limitations. First, the subjects who participated in this study consisted of only young ages, there are limitations to generalizing the results of the study. Second, this study only measured the immediate effect on LV, it is considered to be somewhat insufficient to say that LV increases joint mobility. It is thought that research on long-term effects is also needed in the future. Third, only the effect of LV on joint mobility was dealt with, but future studies will need to study how it affects pain and movement.

Table 2. The difference in shoulder horizontal adduction and internal rotation ROM between pre- and post-local vibration (N=13)

| ROM            | Mean±SD(*) | Post-LV | p   |
|----------------|------------|---------|-----|
| HA             | 17.7±6.8   | 40.8±4.8| <0.05* |
| IR             | 32.4±6.7   | 59.3±5.3| <0.05* |

ROM, range of motion; SD, standard deviation; HA, horizontal adduction; IR, internal rotation; LV, local vibration.
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