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Turbulence

Vortex filaments and quantum turbulence

Marc Brachet

Abstract. After giving my personal recollections of my collaboration in the early 90's with Yves Couder on the subject of vortex filaments in classical turbulence I argue that current insights in quantum turbulence can be used to shed some light on the problem of classical filaments blowup.

Résumé. Après avoir donné mes souvenirs personnels de ma collaboration au début des années 90 avec Yves Couder sur le sujet des filaments vortex dans la turbulence classique, je soutiens que les connaissances actuelles sur la turbulence quantique peuvent être utilisées pour éclairer le problème de l'explosion des filaments classiques.
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1. Introduction

In my contribution to this special issue of Comptes Rendus de Mécanique in the honor of Yves Couder, I would like to do two things. First I will give my personal recollections of the situation and events surrounding our collaboration in the early 90's on the subject of vortex filaments in classical turbulence. Second, coming to the present day after the remembrances of things past, I will argue that current insights in quantum turbulence can be used to shed some light on the problem of filaments blowup.

Our collaboration took place at the start of the 90's. Both Yves Couder and myself were then members of the Laboratoire de Physique Statistique (LPS) that had been established in 1988 at the ENS by Pierre Lallemand. Yves Couder had his own experimental team at the LPS and I was a member of Y. Pomeau’s theoretical team.

This note is organized as follows. I will first recall in Section 2.1 the numerical results that were obtained previously and then turn to the subject of our collaboration in Section 2.2. The new approach to filament blowup and a short introduction to the subject of quantum turbulence is covered in Section 3. Finally, Section 4 is my conclusion.
2. Vortex filaments in classical turbulence

Following the seminal 1941 work of Kolmogorov [1–3], the main part of the theoretical and experimental activity consisted in the comparison of the statistical properties of velocimetry time series obtained experimentally with various theoretical models without direct link with the 3D viscous incompressible Navier–Stokes equations that control the evolution of the velocity field $v$:

$$
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + v \cdot \nabla v = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p + \nu \nabla^2 v \quad (1)
$$

$$
\nabla \cdot v = 0, \quad (2)
$$

where $p$ is the pressure, $\rho$ the (constant) mass density and $\nu$ the kinematic viscosity.

Nevertheless, this period of research made it possible to establish various scaling laws (in particular the famous $k^{-5/3}$, see e.g. [4] as well as phenomenological characterizations of the intermittency of velocimetry signals. However, the physical structures producing this intermittence, and their link with the Navier–Stokes equations, remained fairly obscure.

2.1. Depressions in the Taylor–Green vortex

The situation began to change in the early 1980s with the rise of computing power. At this time, during my postdoc with S. Orszag at M.I.T., I was able to obtain direct numerical simulations (DNS), carried out in the geometry of the so-called Taylor–Green (TG) vortex [7], which is a numerical solution of (1), (2) corresponding to the initial data

$$v_{TG} = \begin{pmatrix}
+ \sin(x) \cos(y) \cos(z) \\
- \cos(x) \sin(y) \cos(z) \\
0
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

The TG vortex obeys a number of special symmetries that allow to optimize the use of computational resources. Making use of the symmetries, our DNS reached a resolution of $256^3$ on a Cray-1 machine and were the first simulations of Navier–Stokes equations to clearly show $k^{-5/3}$ scaling of the energy spectrum [8, 9].

During the 1980s, DNS made it possible to characterize the small-scale structures of turbulence fairly finely. In particular, many authors noted the tendency of vorticity (the curl of velocity: $\omega = \nabla \times v$) to concentrate in quasi-2D layers and quasi-1D filaments [10].

Towards the end of the 1980s, my own simulations (by now performed at resolutions up to $864^3$ on a Cray-2) led me to draw the attention of theorists and experimentalists to the consequences on the spatial structure of the pressure field of these concentrations of vortices. An elementary calculation show that the pressure field $p$ obeys the equation

$$
2\Delta p / \rho + \sigma^2 - \omega^2 = 0. \quad (4)
$$

This equation shows that the vorticity concentrations $\omega^2 = 1/2 \sum_{ij} (\partial_i v_j - \partial_j v_i)^2$ are sources of low pressure and the concentrations of energy dissipation $\rho \nu \sigma^2$, with $\sigma^2 = 1/2 \sum_{ij} (\partial_i v_j + \partial_j v_i)^2$, are sources of high pressure (by analogy with electrostatics). One consequence, that was well verified in my Taylor–Green simulations, is the existence of very strong depressions on the vortex filaments: see Figure 1, that is extracted from Ref. [5]. These concentrations cause a strong asymmetry in the histogram of the pressure field, with a large tail for negative excursions [6].

My results on the structure of the pressure field were quite unorthodox: indeed, in the standard phenomenological version of Kolmogorov’s theory, pressure is a quantity ’dominated by the large scales’ while the filaments being structures ’on a small scale’, the general prejudice was that they could have no significant importance on the statistical properties of the pressure field.

Yves Couder, fortunately, did not share this prejudice.
Figure 1. Raster visualization in the plane $y = \pi/4$ inside the impermeable box $0 < x < \pi$, $0 < z < \pi$ at $t = 9$ of the $864^3$ Taylor–Green DNS of Ref. [5]: (a): square of vorticity $\omega^2 = 1/2 \sum_{ij} (\partial_i v_j - \partial_j v_i)^2$; (b) energy dissipation $\sigma^2 = 1/2 \sum_{ij} (\partial_i v_j + \partial_j v_i)^2$; (c) pressure and (d) magnitude of velocity $|v|$. Note that the complete colormap is given in Ref. [6] that also contains pressure histograms from the same DNS.

2.2. Filaments in the French washing machine

After many discussions at the LPS about the best way to proceed, it was decided to try to visualize the regions of low pressure and therefore the vortex filaments in an experimental setting. The experiment was carried out at the end of 1990 in Y. Couder’s team by S. Douady. It used a cell comprised of a vertical cylindrical container filled with water with a free surface near the top. Immersed at each end of the cylinder, disks with protruding rims were rotated at exactly the same velocity in opposite direction.

It should be noted that this experimental flow and the numerical TG vortex share the same basic geometry: both consist of a shear layer between two counter-rotating circulation cells.
TG vortex, however, is periodic with impermeable free-slip boundaries (present as mirror symmetries) while the experimental flow takes place between two counter-rotating coaxial impellers and is confined inside a cylindrical container.\footnote{Colleagues have been known to affectionately call this generic device: “the French washing machine.”}

Following a method introduced before by Hopfinger \textit{et al.} \cite{Hopfinger1979}, the visualization were performed by using the migration of micro-bubbles under the effect of pressure gradients and their concentration on the filaments \cite{Brachet1986}, see Figure 2. The identification of these structures in an experimental device without the limitations (in particular in Reynolds number) of numerical simulations made it possible to discover new properties such as the very brutal nature of the appearance of the filaments, and their disappearance through explosive instabilities.

The success of this experiment, and the interest of its results, motivated the realization of several other experiments. S. Fauve, at the time in Lyon at the ENSL, used the so-called von Kàrmàn (VK) swirling flow: a device similar to the Douady/Couder device (but the cylinder was horizontal and the disks were flat, without protruding rims). Experiments were performed in water and mercury at very large Reynolds numbers and pressure field histograms were obtained \cite{Fauve1990, Fauve1992}. Concerning the strong asymmetry in the histogram of the pressure field obtained in my DNS and confirmed experimentally, a careful numerical study by A. Pumir, later demonstrated the preponderant role played by the filaments in the pressure field, contrary to standard prejudices \cite{Pumir1996}.

P. Tabeling at the ENS used cryogenic helium, obtaining velocimetry data at Reynolds numbers comparable to those of the largest wind tunnels \cite{Tabeling1993}. The team of Y. Couder latter produced improved versions of the basic experiment and obtained very high quality visualizations and quantitative measurements \cite{Brachet1988}.

Since the 90’s there has been so many experiments involving similar devices that it is impossible for me to review them. I will just mention the VKS Dynamo (in liquid sodium) \cite{Li1993, Li1994} and the SHREK device (in cryogenic helium) \cite{Couder1994}.

### 3. Quantum turbulence and filaments blowup

Classical turbulence is a challenging problem. It is usually stated mathematically as a problem related to the statistical behavior of the solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations: Equations (1), (2) at high Reynolds number \cite{Kraichnan1971}. However, there is a physically related problem: quantum turbulence (in the co-flow regime) that is known to behave in a similar (if not identical) manner \cite{Shraiman1992, Shraiman1993}.
The interesting mathematical point is that low-temperature superfluid turbulence is described by the Gross–Pitaevskii equation (GPE) [24–26] and thus the turbulence problem (and fluid mechanics in general [27]) can be exposed to a different mathematical light.

### 3.1. Gross–Pitaevskii equation

As stated in the introduction, I will now try to see if current insights in quantum turbulence can be used to shed some light on the problem of filaments blowup that is perhaps related to vortex breakdown [28]. To wit I will play with DNS of the GPE using recent methods that are detailed in [29]. The GPE reads

\[
\frac{i\hbar}{m} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi + g|\psi|^2 \psi,
\]

where \(\psi\) is a complex field and \(m\) denotes the mass of the condensed particles. The particle density is given by \(n = \overline{\psi} \psi\), and the velocity field by

\[
\mathbf{v} = \frac{\mathcal{P}}{n},
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{P}_j = \frac{\hbar}{m} \overline{\psi} \frac{\partial}{\partial j} \psi - \psi \frac{\partial}{\partial j} \overline{\psi},
\]

is the unit mass momentum density. This flow matches the behavior of a classical, ideal, and compressible potential fluid, except along the \(\psi = 0\) lines that are topological defects: the so-called quantum vortices with circulation given by \(\Gamma = \oint_{C} \mathbf{v}(s) ds = h/m\).

The vorticity \(\omega\) of the flow corresponding to a single quantum vortex line reads

\[
\omega(r) = \Gamma \int ds \left( \frac{dr_0}{ds} \delta^{(3)}(r - r_0(s)) \right),
\]

where \(r_0(s)\) denotes the position of the \(\psi = 0\) centerline, and \(s\) is the arclength.

The total energy can be decomposed into different components among which the classical kinetic energy density defined as \(E_k = 1/2 \rho \mathbf{v}^2\), that can itself be decomposed into a compressible and incompressible part. A spectrum can then be assigned to the incompressible part, that is called the incompressible kinetic energy spectrum, see Refs. [30, 31] for details.

Also, a special preparation method for GPE initial data corresponding to any given vortex line shape \(r(s)\) (see (8)) is detailed in Ref. [29]. This methods allows for the minimization of sound emission and the study of quantum vortex dynamics.

We will now use this method to study the stability of a given vortex filament. The idea is to define a vortex line that will locally look as a classical vortex filament. To wit we thus use the knot defined by \(r(s) = (\pi + x(s), \pi + y(s), \pi + z(s))\) where

\[
\begin{align*}
x(s) &= \cos\left(\frac{s}{n}\right) \left(2r_{\min} + (r_{\max} - r_{\min}) \sqrt{\delta \theta^2 + \sin^2(s)} \left(\tanh\left(\frac{\sin(s)}{\delta \theta}\right) + 1\right)\right) \\
y(s) &= \sin\left(\frac{s}{n}\right) \left(2r_{\min} + (r_{\max} - r_{\min}) \sqrt{\delta \theta^2 + \sin^2(s)} \left(\tanh\left(\frac{\sin(s)}{\delta \theta}\right) + 1\right)\right) \\
z(s) &= 2 \cos(s)
\end{align*}
\]

with \(r_{\min} = 0.1, r_{\max} = 1, \delta \theta = 0.1\) and \(n = 8\). The knot is shown on Figure 3(a), using VAPOR [32]. It is apparent by inspection of the figure that, along a line in the middle, several (actually 8) quantum vortex lines are in close proximity. The idea is that these several lines will emulate the behavior of a classical vortex filament.

The time-evolution of the incompressible kinetic energy spectrum of a GPE run starting from the initial data shown in Figure 3(a) is displayed in Figure 3(b). It is apparent that, between \(t = 3\)
and $t = 6$, there are 2 regions present in the spectra that could be considered compatible with a scaling close to the Kolmogorov one: $k^{-5/3}$. The large scales scaling zone is compatible with a classical cascade and the second range, at scales smaller than the intervortex scale, is compatible with a Kelvin wave cascade.

Note that a similar behavior with two scaling zones is known to be present in quantum turbulence at much higher resolutions [33].

The time evolution of the quantum vortices corresponding to the incompressible kinetic energy spectra displayed in Figure 3(b) is shown on Figure 4 for $t = 1.5, t = 3, t = 4.5$ and $t = 6$. It is apparent that a complex evolution, first involving a growth in the diameter of the filament: see Figure 4(a) that leads to the creation of a kink-like structure near the center of the filament in Figure 4(b). Subsequently, vortex reconnections take place: see Figure 4(c) and turbulence develops: Figure 4(d).

4. Conclusion

In conclusion I would like to say that, in my opinion, the discovery of the brutal appearance and subsequent blowup of vortex filament in turbulence is an important part of Yves Couder scientific legacy. Several of the related problems that were discussed by Uriel Frisch in his turbulence book [21] on pages 184–192 are still actual and, in my opinion, unsolved.

The current trend towards very high resolution in Navier–Stokes DNS: $8192^3$ [34] and, the last time I checked, $16384^3$ [35] is not helping this problem: at these resolutions the datasets are so huge that it is practically impossible to follow any time-evolution.

I hope that the preliminary results I have obtained using the GPE will be useful to study the fascinating problem of vortex blowup in classical turbulence.
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Figure 4. Visualization time-evolution of the GPE quantum vortices, same conditions as in Figure 3 but at (a) $t = 1.5$; (b) $t = 3$; (c) $t = 4.5$ and (d) $t = 6$.
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