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Background. Physical exercise has positive effects on health. However, its associations with self-rated health and body image, which are important predictors for adolescents’ wellbeing and later morbidity, are complex. Methods. Cross-sectional survey among 2527 Norwegian adolescents. We examined the relations between self-reported gender, body size, amount and type of exercise and measures of self-rated health, drive for thinness, and desire to change body, with binary logistic regression analyses. Results. Girls and overweight students reported to a greater extent than their peers impaired self-rated health, weight concerns, and desire to change their body. Increasing amount of time spent on sports was related to improved self-rated health in a dose-response manner. Both girls and boys who engaged in individual sports with an advantage of leanness, but only girls engaged in teams sports, reported an increased desire to change the body. However, weight concern was not related to amount or type of sports. Conclusions. Physical exercise is positively related to self-reported health but has negative associations with body image for many adolescents. Health promotion efforts should consider this paradox and stimulate physical activity and sports along with body acceptance.

1. Introduction

Physical exercise and sports have positive effects on physical and psychological health. Physical activity among adolescents is correlated with increased cardiovascular fitness and bone strength [1, 2] and inversely associated with insulin resistance, metabolic syndrome, and premenopausal breast cancer [3–6].

The psychological effects of physical activity are associated with reduced depression and reduced risk of hopelessness and suicidality amongst adolescents [7, 8]. Also, when exercise is based on motivational factors such as health, social participation, or stress management, it seems to decrease body dissatisfaction [9].

However, negative effects have also been associated with physical exercise. Extensive exercise is related to body dissatisfaction, especially amongst women with eating pathology [10, 11], and physical activity often results in increased focus and awareness concerning weight and shape [12]. Perceived sociocultural pressure and body dissatisfaction are especially associated with a compelling need to exercise [13].

Self-rated health (SRH) is an individual’s subjective perception of his or her own health status and constitutes an essential predictive indicator for later morbidity, mortality, school-dropout, use of health care services, and social welfare [14, 15]. SRH is, however, dependent upon personal and value-dependent interpretations [16]. SRH is constructed early in life when parental influence is strong and remains relatively stable and insensitive of transient diseases during adolescence [17]. Poor self-rated health is positively associated with body dissatisfaction and negatively associated with exercise and school achievements [18, 19].

Body dissatisfaction represents the discrepancy between an individual’s current and ideal body size and shape, and its prevalence increases throughout adolescence [20–22]. Some studies claim that body and weight dissatisfaction represent worse health threats than obesity in itself, as they may trap adolescents in a vicious cycle of futile dieting, health compromising weight shifts, dysfunctional motivation for exercise, and thereby increased vulnerability for modern ideals of body size and shape [23, 24].
However, most of the previously referred studies have focused on females and on how sports might contribute to the development of eating pathology. Although sports engagement is positively related to SRH, our hypothesis is that exercise might also be associated with negative health effects among adolescents in the general population. Thus, in a nonselected adolescent population, we aimed to study the distribution of self-rated health and body dissatisfaction as expressed by both drive for thinness and desire to change the body and to explore the association between these factors and sports’ type and amount in both genders. We also aimed at exploring how these factors were related to body size.

2. Method

2.1. Participants. The study was based on data from three cross-sectional surveys carried out in 2001, 2005, and 2009 amongst tertiary school students in Førde in the county of Sogn and Fjordane, in Norway. All four schools in the city of Førde were chosen. Three of them offered vocational training, while the remaining school offered an academic curriculum. Students completed the questionnaires without other information than a brief instruction from the teacher followed by 45 minutes to complete the questionnaire.

The 2001, 2005, and 2009 surveys included 837 (50% females), 776 (49% females), and 914 (55% females) students, with response rates of 93, 87, and 90 percent, respectively. Missing responses were almost exclusively due to absence from school on the days of surveys. Students that were nonresponders on single variables were included in the analysis of remaining responded variables. The variables of interest were equivalent in each survey and the samples included the same schools at the three different years of study. As no other differences could be detected between the surveys and in order to increase statistical power of the study, the three study samples were combined.

2.2. Measures. In addition to self-reported information on gender, height, and weight, five other questions from the survey regarding sports activity, SRH, and body dissatisfaction were included in this study. The questions were based on the World Health Organization cross-national survey, health behaviour in school-aged children, which aims to increase the knowledge about lifestyle and health in adolescents [25]. These self-reported variables have proved reliable and valid among slightly younger age groups [19, 25], and also among adolescents at similar age [26]. SRH was assessed using the question “How healthy do you think you are?” with the response alternatives “very healthy,” “quite healthy,” and “not very healthy.” The number of categories was reduced, by combining “very healthy” and “quite healthy.”

Body dissatisfaction was measured using two variables. The first one was “Are you on a diet to lose weight?” with corresponding responses “Yes,” “No, but I need to lose weight,” and “No, because my weight is fine.” The categories “Yes” and “No, but I need to lose weight” were combined, thus respecting the underlying drive for thinness. The second question was “Is there anything about your body you would like to change?” with the response options “Yes” and “No” [25].

The sample was differentiated according to amount of time spent on physical activity during a week and type of sports performed. The latter was classified by whether the main activity was team sports, individual sports without advantage of leanness (e.g., horseback riding, snowboarding, tennis, and boxing), or individual sports with advantage of leanness (e.g., ballet, taekwondo, swimming, fitness, and running). The classification of leanness and nonleanness sports was based on a study about dieting habits in adolescent elite athletes [27]. Leanness and weight were not considered as important for performance of any of the team sports, which were consequently not further subclassified. The group that was not performing any kind of physical activity or sports during the week was the reference for both amount and type of sports.

2.3. Statistical Analysis. In the analyses, self-rated health and the two measures of body image (drive for thinness and desire to change the body) were the dependent variables. The groups classified by amount of exercise and qualities of sports, gender, age, and BMI were the independent variables, and these were treated as categorical. Stratification was used to explore the sample and its nature. The relationships between the independent variables and SRH and the two body image variables were tested with binary logistic regression and were presented as odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). In the adjusted, full model analyses, gender, age, and categorical BMI were included together with the exercise amount and the sports type variables. We checked if gender specific interactions were evident by performing gender stratified analyses. The results were reported in gender separate tables only if CIs were not vastly overlapping. Only the relation between sports type and the desire to change body was gender specific. We also examined if the prevalence of the outcomes differed or if the gender associations with the outcomes differed across study years. The prevalence of outcomes was almost identical during the period 2001–2009. Small differences with overlapping CIs were observed across study years on the gender associations with the outcomes. We did not further explore interaction effects of time, as this was not the prime focus of the study. All the analyses were performed in SPSS version 18.0.

2.4. Ethics. The survey was anonymous. Students were also informed that participation was voluntary. The data were collected in sealed envelopes and registered and stored anonymously. The 2009 study was presented for the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics in Health Region 3. However, owing to its anonymous nature, it was consented and omitted from any further ethical evaluation. The Norwegian Data Inspectorate accepted all three surveys.

3. Results

The population and the frequencies of the reported variables are presented in Table 1. Most of the students perceived
Table 1: Age, BMI, sports habits, self-rated health, and body image variables according to gender.

|                | Total 2510\(^1\) | Girls (n = 1291) | Boys (n = 1219) |
|----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| **Age group, n (%)** |                   |                  |                 |
| 15–17 years     | 865 (67)          | 864 (71)         |                 |
| 18–20 years     | 380 (29)          | 288 (24)         |                 |
| >20 years       | 46 (4)            | 67 (5)           |                 |
| **Body mass index mean (SD)** |          |                  |                 |
|                 | 22 (3.3)          | 23 (3.2)         |                 |
| **Amount of physical activity during a week, n (%)** |          |                  |                 |
| “No”            | 167 (13)          | 158 (13)         |                 |
| “0.5–1 hour” (small amount) | 298 (23) | 197 (16)         |                 |
| “2–4 hours” (moderate amount) | 458 (36) | 384 (32)         |                 |
| “4 or more hours” (great amount) | 362 (28) | 471 (39)         |                 |
| **Type of sports, n (%)** |          |                  |                 |
| Not sports      | 329 (27)          | 261 (23)         |                 |
| Team sports     | 238 (20)          | 377 (34)         |                 |
| Individual sports without advantage of leanness | 77 (6)  | 53 (5)          |                 |
| Individual sports with advantage of leanness | 562 (47) | 417 (38)       |                 |
| **Self-rated health, n (%)** |          |                  |                 |
| “Very healthy” and “Quite healthy” | 1085 (84) | 1095 (90)       |                 |
| “Not very healthy” | 207 (16)  | 119 (10)        |                 |
| **On diet to lose weight, n (%)** |          |                  |                 |
| “No, weight fine” | 663 (52) | 963 (79)        |                 |
| “Yes” and “No, but need lose” | 620 (48) | 251 (21)        |                 |
| **Desire to change body, n (%)** |          |                  |                 |
| “No”            | 166 (13)          | 510 (42)         |                 |
| “Yes”           | 1120 (87)         | 705 (58)         |                 |

\(^1\)17 out of a total of 2527 respondents did not answer the gender question.

themselves as healthy, boys more frequently than girls. Girls reported desire to change the body and weight concerns more frequently than boys. The majority reported some kind of physical activity, but 36% of the girls and 29% of the boys devoted no more than one hour per week to physical activity. A majority of the students spent a moderate to a great amount of time on sports. Participation in individual sports was more common among girls, while boys were more active in team sports.

Tables 2 to 4((a) and (b)) summarize both unadjusted and adjusted results of the logistic regression analyses of, respectively, SRH, drive for thinness, and desire to change the body according to amount of time spent on physical activity and type of sports.

A strong association between SRH and time spent on physical activity was revealed, as illustrated in Table 2. The dose-response association was evident. Girls and adolescents with deviating body size reported impaired self-rated health to a greater extent than their peers. All weight groups reported impaired SRH as compared with the slim weight group (10th percentile to the median group).

Table 3 shows that the risk of dieting or desire to lose weight was strongly gender and BMI dependent. Weight dissatisfaction was not associated with increasing time spent on physical activity. Performing team sports was protective for dieting or desire to lose weight in the unadjusted analyses, but this association disappeared in the adjusted analyses. Surprisingly, adolescents engaged in sports with an advantage of leanness were not significantly more weight concerned than their nonsporting peers. We performed supplementary, stratified analyses (not shown) and were unable to reveal any gender specific interactions on these relations. However, weight dissatisfaction increased conspicuously even with modest overweight (BMI from 22.8 to 26.4) and escalated to the extreme among adolescents with BMI > 26.5.

Tables 4((a) and (b)) illustrate that the desire to change the body was also strongly affected by BMI. The OR that girls reported desire to change body was 5.10 (4.08–6.37) in the full model (not shown). The gender separated tables reveal that this desire increased with age only among girls. Engaging in individual sports with advantage of leanness was associated with the desire to change the body in both genders. Team sports were associated with body dissatisfaction only among girls.

The mediation effects from BMI were negligible despite the fact that BMI impacted the result variables profoundly. However, we found a significant mediation effect between the sports variables. The differences that we found between the sports amount groups concerning weight and body dissatisfaction were accounted for by sports type. Thus, in the
Table 2: Poor self-rated health according to amount and type of sports.

| Predictor variable | Univariate, unadjusted analysis OR (95% CI) | Adjusted, full model analysis OR (95% CI) |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Reference category: gender boys |                                    |                                          |
| Girls              | 1.76 (1.38–2.23)                          | 1.70 (1.29–2.29)                         |
| Reference category: age group <18 years | | |
| 18–20 years        | 1.43 (1.11–1.84)                          | 1.28 (0.66–2.47)                         |
| >20 years          | 1.07 (0.60–1.90)                          | 1.70 (0.86–3.34)                         |
| Reference category: BMI category 10th percentile-median | | |
| <10th percentile (<18.74) | 2.22 (1.50–3.29)                          | 1.68 (1.07–2.64)                         |
| Median-90th percentile (21.79–26.35) | 1.30 (0.97–1.74)                          | 1.54 (1.11–2.13)                         |
| >90th percentile (>26.46) | 3.60 (2.51–5.16)                          | 3.85 (2.55–5.79)                         |
| Reference category: no physical activity during a week | | |
| Small amount       | 0.62 (0.45–0.87)                          | 0.44 (0.28–0.68)                         |
| Moderate amount    | 0.36 (0.26–0.50)                          | 0.30 (0.19–0.47)                         |
| Great amount       | 0.14 (0.09–0.20)                          | 0.12 (0.07–0.21)                         |
| Reference category: no sports | | |
| Team sports        | 0.35 (0.24–0.51)                          | 1.04 (0.64–1.69)                         |
| Individual sports without advantage of leanness | 0.70 (0.40–1.22) | 1.50 (0.80–2.82) |
| Individual sports with advantage of leanness | 0.63 (0.47–0.84) | 1.28 (0.87–1.88) |

Table 3: Dieting or desire to lose weight according to amount and type of sports.

| Predictor variable | Univariate, unadjusted analysis OR (95% CI) | Adjusted, full model analysis OR (95% CI) |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Reference category: gender boys |                                    |                                          |
| Girls              | 3.59 (3.00–4.28)                          | 6.58 (5.17–8.38)                         |
| Reference category: age group <18 years | | |
| 18–20 years        | 1.24 (1.03–1.50)                          | 1.02 (0.81–1.29)                         |
| >20 years          | 1.05 (0.71–1.57)                          | 0.70 (0.42–1.17)                         |
| Reference category: BMI category 10th percentile-median | | |
| <10th percentile (<18.74) | 0.80 (0.55–1.16)                          | 0.66 (0.44–0.99)                         |
| Median-90th percentile (21.79–26.35) | 2.64 (2.16–3.24)                          | 4.30 (3.37–5.47)                         |
| >90th percentile (>26.46) | 16.03 (11.24–22.86) | 39.3 (25.3–61.0) |
| Reference category: no physical activity during a week | | |
| Small amount       | 1.20 (0.90–1.60)                          | 0.77 (0.50–1.19)                         |
| Moderate amount    | 1.11 (0.85–1.44)                          | 0.83 (0.55–1.27)                         |
| Great amount       | 0.70 (0.53–0.92)                          | 0.67 (0.43–1.04)                         |
| Reference category: no sports | | |
| Team sports        | 0.58 (0.45–0.74)                          | 0.84 (0.59–1.21)                         |
| Individual sports without advantage of leanness | 0.91 (0.62–1.36) | 0.87 (0.52–1.46) |
| Individual sports with advantage of leanness | 1.09 (0.89–1.35) | 1.22 (0.89–1.67) |

4. Discussion

4.1. Main Finding of This Study. We revealed a paradoxical association between adolescent health and sports: increasing amount of sports is correlated with good self-rated health on the one hand, but engagement in individual sports with advantage of leanness and also team sports among girls are related to body dissatisfaction on the other hand.

4.2. Strengths and Limitations of This Study. Our study has several strengths. The response rate was very high and the survey embraced all vocational and academic students in final models only students of both genders engaged in sports with leanness advantage and also girls engaged in team sports were more likely than others to report body dissatisfaction.
Table 4
(a) Boys desire to change body according to amount and type of sports

| Predictor variable | Univariate, unadjusted analysis OR (95% CI) | Adjusted, full model analysis OR (95% CI) |
|-------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| **Reference category: age group <18 years** |                                           |                                          |
| 18–20 years       | 0.92 (0.56–1.52)                         | 1.14 (0.64–2.00)                        |
| >20 years         | 1.26 (0.74–2.17)                         | 1.53 (0.84–2.81)                        |
| **Reference category: BMI category 10th percentile-median** |                                           |                                          |
| <10th percentile (<18.74) | 1.57 (0.98–2.52)            | 1.64 (0.97–2.77)                        |
| Median-90th percentile (21.79–26.35) | 1.38 (1.07–1.79)            | 1.42 (1.08–1.86)                        |
| >90th percentile (>26.46) | 3.83 (2.41–6.10)            | 3.86 (2.32–6.42)                        |
| **Reference category: no physical activity during a week** |                                           |                                          |
| Small amount      | 1.37 (0.90–2.09)                         | 1.01 (0.60–1.71)                        |
| Moderate amount   | 1.35 (0.93–1.95)                         | 0.96 (0.58–1.58)                        |
| Great amount      | 1.52 (1.06–2.18)                         | 1.23 (0.74–2.06)                        |
| **Reference category: no sports** |                                           |                                          |
| Team sports       | 1.06 (0.78–1.46)                         | 0.98 (0.65–1.47)                        |
| Individual sports without advantage of leanness | 0.93 (0.51–1.67)            | 0.82 (0.43–1.55)                        |
| Individual sports with advantage of leanness | 1.73 (1.26–2.37)            | 1.65 (1.12–2.45)                        |

(b) Girls desire to change body according to amount and type of sports

| Predictor variable | Univariate, unadjusted analysis OR (95% CI) | Adjusted, full model analysis OR (95% CI) |
|-------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| **Reference category: age group <18 years** |                                           |                                          |
| 18–20 years       | 4.64 (2.48–8.69)                         | 3.32 (1.64–6.73)                        |
| >20 years         | 5.01 (2.56–9.81)                         | 3.53 (1.68–7.41)                        |
| **Reference category: BMI category 10th percentile-median** |                                           |                                          |
| <10th percentile (<18.74) | 0.94 (0.58–1.52)            | 0.95 (0.57–1.59)                        |
| Median-90th percentile (21.79–26.35) | 1.87 (1.25–2.79)            | 2.05 (1.34–3.15)                        |
| >90th percentile (>26.46) | 2.01 (0.98–4.13)            | 2.57 (1.17–5.65)                        |
| **Reference category: no physical activity during a week** |                                           |                                          |
| Small amount      | 1.52 (0.91–2.54)                         | 1.00 (0.54–1.86)                        |
| Moderate amount   | 2.12 (1.30–3.47)                         | 1.51 (0.79–2.89)                        |
| Great amount      | 1.62 (0.99–2.66)                         | 1.07 (0.53–2.15)                        |
| **Reference category: no sports** |                                           |                                          |
| Team sports       | 2.29 (1.37–3.85)                         | 1.98 (1.03–3.80)                        |
| Individual sports without advantage of leanness | 0.88 (0.47–1.63)            | 0.68 (0.33–1.37)                        |
| Individual sports with advantage of leanness | 2.14 (1.45–3.16)            | 1.70 (1.03–2.80)                        |

the municipality of Førde. Students in tertiary school in the county of Sogn and Fjordane move to Førde in order to study. The population is thus representative for both rural and urban Norwegian adolescents and probably adolescents in industrial countries worldwide as well. Inclusion of a vast diversity of sports activities and different measures of body image respect the nuances within the adolescent health.

However, cross-sectional studies cannot explain the direction of causality and also imply the possibility of misclassification and self-report bias. Considering that most individual sports tend to have leanness as a potential advantage, only a small proportion of the students engaged in sports where leanness was not regarded as beneficial. Therefore, this group showed broad confidence intervals and weak associations. It should also be noted that the classification of types of sports after the main activity was rather deterministic, as many were active in both team sports and individual sports with and without leanness requirements.

The full model analyses adjusted to the interrelationship between sports measures and other possible confounders. We used categorical BMI and thus the J-curve association with body image was respected. However, we cannot exclude other possible confounders that could influence our results. Home situation with respect to parental divorce, death or sickness in...
family, or poor economy has the potential to influence both
the opportunity to participate in sports activities as well as
SRH and body image.

The prevalence of the outcomes that we used varied
from 13% to 75%. We should therefore not confuse ORs
with prevalence ratios, especially concerning desire to change
body, but also weight concern.

4.3. What Is Already Known on This Topic. In line with
previous studies [14, 15], we confirmed that increasing
amount of sports was strongly associated with positive SRH.
A systematic review revealed that physical activity improved
self-esteem and that especially team sport was associated with
improved health outcomes [28]. This association was evident
in the present study only in the unadjusted analysis.

Our study is in accordance with a previous study on
adolescent girls showing that the majority participated in
individual sports with advantage of leanness, and body
dissatisfaction was more strongly associated with BMI than
with the nature of sports [29]. Interestingly, the girls in this
study, who exercised irregularly, attempted to lose weight to
a greater extent than those regularly exercising. This might
be due to motivational factors, as demonstrated by a clinical
study testing body image by means of digital photo distortion
technique in which body image improved right after exercise
[12]. Yet another study revealing a positive relationship
between exercise and improved body image found that
motivational factors partly explained this relationship and
that appearance and weight motivation were associated with
body dissatisfaction to a greater extent than fitness and health
motivation [9]. In the same line, these previous publications
indicate that the immediate alleviating effect of exercise on
poor body image depends on type of motivation and personal
trait. Studies linked to the self-determination theory also find
that the quality of motivation both improves subjective health
and is associated with sustained physical activity [24].

Regarding body dissatisfaction and physical exercise, a
meta-analysis of 57 intervention studies showed that exercise
improves body image [30]. A previous study on Norwegian
adolescent elite athletes found no difference in dieting habits
between the leanness and nonleanness sports athletes [27].
In accordance with this, body dissatisfaction related to sports
in our study was not expressed with weight concern. Two
previous studies amongst boys showed that body dissatisfac-
tion and weight concerns were less prevalent amongst
team sports participants [31] and football players compared
to cross-country runners [32]. The same distinction is seen
in our male population, but not amongst girls.

4.4. Implications. Impaired SRH is an independent predictor
for later health and social problems [26]. In our study good
SRH was associated with increasing amount of time spent on
sports. This is in line with previous research, although the
dose-response relation was not examined in earlier studies.
This may have an important implication for health promotion
in adolescence.

Although the odds for reporting body concern only
modestly increased with leanness sports activities, the health
consequences might be considerable due to the high preva-
ience of body concern. Why this concern depends on sports
type may be explained by motivational differences. Also
the gender difference concerning the association between
team sports and body dissatisfaction might be explained by
motivational differences. Such differences have been demon-
strated in other motivational research among adults [33].

In western societies we emphasize the health comprom-
ising effects of overweight and obesity. Such a focus leads
to prevalent body and weight dissatisfaction and preoccu-
pation [19]. The stigmatization of oversized bodies may be
accompanied by self-reproach and subjective health jeopardy,
which may be more important factors explaining increased
morbidity and mortality in obese populations than obesity in
itself [23]. Therefore, it seems that we need a paradigmatic
shift in the way we approach the obesity epidemic in western
societies. Among adolescents we are also concerned that
a one-dimensional focus on overweight and obesity may
precipitate eating disorders. A unifying perspective for health
promotion that emphasizes building of self-esteem and body
satisfaction has therefore been suggested [34].

5. Conclusion

Physical exercise is positively related to self-reported health
but has negative associations with body image for some
adolescent groups. Health promotion efforts should consider
this paradox and promote physical activity and sports along
with body acceptance.
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