A comparative study of far-field tsunami amplitudes and ocean-wide propagation properties: insight from major trans-Pacific tsunamis of 2010–2015
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SUMMARY
We studied ocean-wide propagation properties of four recent trans-Pacific tsunamis based on deep-ocean measurements across the Pacific Ocean. First, we analysed and simulated the 2015 September 16 tsunami generated by the Illapel (Chile) earthquake ($M_w$ 8.4) and compared its ocean-wide propagation with those of three other events: the 2014 Iquique ($M_w$ 8.2), 2010 Maule ($M_w$ 8.8) and 2011 Tohoku ($M_w$ 9.0). The Illapel and Maule tsunami sources are located close to each other and we reconstructed the source spectrum of the larger (i.e. Maule) tsunami by applying spectral deconvolution using the smaller (i.e. Illapel) tsunami as the empirical Green’s function. The initial negative phase was found for all four events with durations of 8–29 (Iquique), 20–35 (Illapel), 22–70 (Maule) and 40–79 (Tohoku) min, with the maximum amplitudes of 0.11–0.26, 0.4–0.7, 0.5–2.9 and 1.9–2.5 cm, and the amplitude ratios to the first elevation phases of 20–40 per cent, 22–41 per cent, 29–61 per cent and 12–67 per cent, respectively. Unlike other studies, our results revealed that the duration ($D_{ini}$) and amplitude ($A_{ini}$) of the initial negative phase are directly proportional to the earthquake magnitude ($M_w$) with equations: $M_w = 6.129 + 1.629 \log(D_{ini})$ and $M_w = 8.676 + 0.706 \log(A_{ini})$. No relationships were observed between these parameters (i.e. $D_{ini}$ and $A_{ini}$) and distance from the source. The amplitudes of far-field DART waves do not vary with distance or strike angle, and depend only on the $M_w$. The average far-field deep-ocean amplitudes ($A_{tsu}$) for the Iquique, Illapel, Maule and Tohoku tsunamis were 0.9, 1.7, 6.0 and 15.0 cm, respectively, yielding the equation: $M_w = 8.245 + 0.665 \log(A_{tsu})$.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Although coastal observations of tsunamis by tide gauge stations started around 165 yr ago (Rabinovich & Eble 2015), deep-ocean observations of tsunamis are relatively new. Before the 2000s, only a few deep-ocean tsunami records were obtained in various parts of the Pacific Ocean (e.g. Filloux 1982; González et al. 1991; Okada 1995). These measurements were mostly based on short-term instrument campaigns which covered a limited area. The DART (Deep-ocean Assessment and Reporting of Tsunamis) project, started in the early 2000s, provided permanent real-time deep-ocean tsunami measurements through bottom pressure gauges from across the Pacific Ocean (Synolakis & Bernard 2006; Mofjeld 2009). The number of DARTs was increased from seven in 2004 installed offshore of Alaska, the USA West Coast and Chile to ∼60 deployed in major seismic regions of the world oceans (Rabinovich & Eble 2015).

Trans-Pacific tsunamis recorded on the DART network provide valuable information on tsunami behaviour in the open ocean. The most recent event of this type was the 2015 September 16 Illapel tsunami produced by an $M_w$ 8.4 earthquake (Fig. 1). Other recent major tsunami events in the Chilean subduction zone are the 2010 Maule ($M_w$ 8.8) and the 2014 Iquique ($M_w$ 8.2) earthquakes. The strongest event in the Pacific Ocean since 1964 was the 2011 Tohoku (Japan) earthquake ($M_w$ 9.0) that generated a devas-
tating trans-oceanic tsunami that was recorded by a great number of DART stations and by Japanese and Canadian open-ocean cable observatories (Rabinovich & Eblé 2015). The open-ocean records from such a worldwide swarm of great tsunamis are very valuable for studying ocean-wide propagation and deep-ocean properties of tsunamis. Some of the benefits of DART and other open-ocean data are: applications in early tsunami warnings, revealing first negative phases of the tsunami waveforms, and estimation of spectral properties and energy decay of tsunami waves and earthquake source processes (Baba et al. 2004, 2014; Saito et al. 2010; Lovholt et al. 2012; Rabinovich et al. 2013; Allgeyer & Cummins 2014; Heidarzadeh & Satake 2014a; Okal et al. 2014; Watada et al. 2014; Eblé et al. 2015; Heidarzadeh et al. 2015; Zaytsev et al. 2016, 2017). The main purpose of this study is to examine the ocean-wide propagation of the 2015 Illapel tsunami through investigating the DART records and comparing them with other recent trans-oceanic tsunamis. We formulate certain empirical relationships for the durations and amplitudes of initial negative phases of trans-oceanic tsunamis. Furthermore, the concept of empirical Green’s functions is applied to reconstruct the source spectrum of the 2010 Maule tsunami by applying spectral deconvolution using the Illapel tsunami as the empirical Green’s function.

2 DATA AND METHODOLOGY

The data used in this study are DART records from the Pacific Ocean provided by the US National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). The DART stations are located at water depths of 1.5–5 km (Fig. 1) and the records had sampling intervals of 1
Table 1. Source parameters of the earthquake source models used in this study.

| Event name      | $M_w$ | Fault dimensions ($L \times W$) (km) | Average slip (m) | Maximum slip (m) | Reference                        |
|-----------------|-------|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| 2014 Iquique    | 8.2   | $160 \times 140$                    | 1.7              | 7.0              | Gusman et al. (2015)             |
| 2015 Illapel     | 8.4   | $200 \times 160$                    | 2.2              | 7.1              | Heidarzadeh et al. (2016a)       |
| 2010 Maule      | 8.8   | $500 \times 150$                    | 3.8              | 18.8             | Fujii & Satake (2013)            |
| 2011 Tohoku     | 9.0   | $350 \times 200$                    | 9.5              | 69.1             | Satake et al. (2013)             |

Length and width.

Figure 2. The observed (black lines) and simulated (red lines) tsunami waveforms for the 2015 September 16 Illapel tsunami. The blue lines show the corrected waveforms based on the method by Watada et al. (2014) that includes the effects of the elastic loading, seawater compressibility and geopotential variations.
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Figure 3. The arrival time delays as functions of the distance from the source (a), the angle from the fault strike (b), the tsunami amplitude (c), and the moment magnitude (e) for four trans-Pacific tsunamis. The relationship between the distance from the source and the angles from the fault strike is shown in (d). The small grey circles in (e) are the available observed arrival time delays for various tsunamis.

from the 30 arcsec GEBCO-2014 bathymetric data (IOC et al. 2014; Weatherall et al. 2015), was employed for the entire Pacific Ocean. The tsunami source of the 2015 Chile (Illapel) earthquake ($M_w$ 8.4) was the same as that proposed by Heidarzadeh et al. (2016a) which includes 96 subfaults each having dimensions of 20 km × 20 km and fault parameters of strike: 5°, dip: 7–23.1°, top depths of subfaults: 9.5–48.2 km, average slip of large-slip area: 5.0 m, and average rake: ~88°. The vertical crustal deformation for this source model, shown in Fig. 1, was calculated by the analytical formulas of Okada (1985). The source model for the 2010 Maule tsunami was based on that of Fujii & Satake (2013) which includes average and maximum slip values of 3.8 and 18.8 m, respectively, over a fault plane with 36 subfaults (each 50 km × 50 km). The 2014 Iquique source has a maximum slip of 7 m over a fault plane having 63 subfaults (each 20 km × 20 km) (Gusman et al. 2015). According to Satake et al. (2013), the source size of the 2011 Tohoku tsunami was 550 km (length) × 200 km (width) (over a fault with 55 subfaults; each 50 km × 50 km or 50 km × 25 km) with average and maximum slip values of 9.5 and 69.1 m, respectively. Table 1 presents a summary of the characteristics of various source models used in this study.

3 OBSERVED AND SIMULATED TSUNAMI WAVEFORMS FOR THE 2015 ILLAPEL TSUNAMI

Fig. 2 presents 24 observed DART waveforms (black lines) of the 2015 Illapel tsunami along with the numerically simulated waveforms (red lines). The waveforms for each DART look similar, however, there is a 1–16 min difference in arrival time. A typical feature of many stations (e.g. DARTs 32411, 43413 and 46411 in Fig. 2) is a small negative initial phase, which was not reproduced by the numerical model. These arrival time differences and initial tsunami negative phases were reported for far-field records of other trans-oceanic tsunamis, such as the 2010 Maule, 2011 Tohoku and 2014 Iquique tsunamis (Rabinovich et al. 2013; Takagawa 2013; Allgeyer & Cummins 2014; Watada et al. 2014; Eble et al. 2015; Heidarzadeh et al. 2015). Watada et al. (2014) explained these arrival time differences and the reversal of initial phases by the influences of three factors: (1) the elastic loading of the Earth due to tsunami propagation, (2) the compressibility of the seawater, and (3) the geopotential variations associated with the motion of mass.
These three effects had not been considered in our numerical model which was based on shallow-water equations.

To include the effects of the indicated factors in the tsunami simulations, we applied the waveform phase-correction method developed by Watada et al. (2014). This method takes into account the fact that tsunami phase velocity is not constant as assumed by the shallow-water theory, but is a function of wave frequency. The method by Watada et al. (2014) transforms the simulated waveforms from the time domain to the frequency domain using the Fourier transform and then replaces the phase part of the tsunami spectrum by the corrected phase spectrum. The inverse Fourier transform enables us to restore the phase-corrected time-series (blue lines in Fig. 2). This method takes into account the ocean-water compressibility and the Earth’s elasticity and geopotential perturbations to accurately modify the tsunami arrival time delay, as well as its initial phase reversal. Allgeyer & Cummins (2014) applied a different method by including the effects of ocean-water compressibility and seafloor elastic loading in the tsunami simulations and obtained similar results. In most cases, the corrected waveforms are in good agreement with the observations. The exceptions are a few DARTs in the western part of the Pacific Ocean, in particular, DARTs 52401 and 52402; the reason of this disagreement is not quite clear.

4 FAR-FIELD PROPAGATION AND TRAVEL TIMES OF TRANS-PACIFIC TSUNAMIS

To better understand the mechanism of the arrival time differences between observations and shallow-water simulations in the far-field, we plotted these delays against the distances from the source (Fig. 3a), angles from the fault strike (Fig. 3b), and wave amplitudes (Fig. 3c). A linear relationship is observed between the distance from the source and the arrival time delay in good agreement with the results previously reported by Takagawa (2013); Watada et al. (2014) and Heidarzadeh et al. (2015) for several other trans-Pacific tsunamis. Our data resulted in the following linear relationship between distance from the source in km (L) and the arrival time delay in minutes (T_{del}) with \( R^2 = 0.79 \) for the quality of the fit (Fig. 3a):

\[
T_{del} = 0.0009 L \tag{1}
\]

Theoretically, the arrival time delay should be zero for a distance of zero; therefore, the linear relationship in eq. (1) passes through the origin of the coordinate system. In fact, the 1–2 min delays in the near-field (Fig. 3a) are due to the uncertainties in the travel time measurements. It appears that stations located normal to the fault strike receive longer arrival delays (Fig. 3b). However, the plot of the distance against the angle from fault strike reveals that stations located normal to the fault strike are distal (Fig. 3d). In other words, the observed relationship between the arrival time delay and the angle from the fault in Fig. 3(b) could be due to the distance. According to Figs 3(c)–(e), there is no evident correlation between the arrival time delay and the amplitude or moment magnitude.

5 SPECTRAL ANALYSIS OF THE 2015 ILLAPEL TSUNAMI

Figs 4 and 5 show the results of spectral and wavelet (frequency–time) analyses of the observed and simulated (uncorrected for phase) DART records of the 2015 Illapel tsunami. The spectral analysis reveals four main peaks at periods of approximately 6,
Figure 5. The frequency-time (wavelet) analyses for observed (a) and simulated (b) DART records of the 2015 Illapel tsunami.
9, 13 and 28 min (Fig. 4). The two latter peaks (13 and 28 min) are stronger and appear at most stations. Four stations of 52406, 52402, 51425 and 51407 show a single dominating period at \( \sim 19 \) min (Fig. 4). Distribution of tsunami energy over frequency and time domains reveals that the aforesaid four peak periods show non-stationary behaviour over time. A combination of Fourier and wavelet results may favour the two periods of \( \sim 13 \) and \( \sim 28 \) min as prevailing tsunami periods. Wavelet analysis reveals certain energy at periods \( > 30 \) min before the arrival times of the first elevation wave (e.g. DARTs 32412, 43412, 46403 and 46408) (Fig. 5). In most wavelet plot, an inverse relationship between the time and wave period is observed (in both observations, Fig. 5a, and simulations, Fig. 5b) which shows shorter-period waves appear in DART stations later than longer-period waves (the dashed-line in Fig. 5a in 43412). This behaviour is also evident from the time-series of the tsunami waveforms in Fig. 2, where in most records the first few waves are longer than the later waves. As compared to the other two large tsunamis off Chile, the dominating period band of the Illapel tsunami (13–28 min) was longer than that from the 2014 Iquique tsunami (14–21 min, after Heidarzadeh et al. 2015), and shorter than the 2010 Maule tsunami (12–50 min, after Rabinovich et al. 2013). The 2011 Tohoku tsunami showed two dominating periods at 37 and 67 min, according to Heidarzadeh & Satake (2013a). These results and periods are in good agreement with those found by Zaytsev et al. (2016, 2017) based on the analysis of DART records of the 2010, 2011, 2014 and 2015 tsunami offshore of Mexico.

6 COMPARISON OF THE TSUNAMI WAVEFORMS FROM CHILEAN TRANS-PACIFIC TSUNAMIS

Tsunami waveforms from three recent major Chilean tsunamis are shown in Fig. 6 for seven DART stations. The time axis is shifted to align the waveforms at their first peak amplitude. It can be seen that the waveforms from the Illapel tsunami look similar to those from the Maule tsunami, whereas those from the Iquique event are clearly different from the aforesaid two tsunamis (Fig. 6). This is possibly because the epicentres of the Illapel and Maule earthquakes are close to each other (Fig. 6); hence, the resulting tsunamis propagated similar tracks to reach the DART stations. The amplitudes of the Maule tsunami are 2–5 times larger than those of the Illapel (Fig. 6). Since the Illapel earthquake \( (M_w 8.4) \) was smaller than the Maule \( (M_w 8.8) \), the former event may serve as an empirical Green’s function for the latter earthquake (e.g. Heidarzadeh et al. 2016b).
7 Empirical Green’s Functions for the Chilean Tsunamis

The concept of empirical tsunami Green’s function was formulated and successfully applied to the 2013 Santa Cruz tsunami by Heidarzadeh et al. (2016b). This concept, which is akin to a similar approach in seismology, postulates that for two tsunamis occurring close to each other, the smaller event can be considered as an empirical Green’s function to be used to remove the non-source effects from the spectrum of the larger tsunami. According to Heidarzadeh et al. (2016b), spectral deconvolution, conducted by dividing the spectrum of the larger event by that of the smaller event, gives the source spectrum of the larger tsunami. This approach is close to the idea of Rabinovich (1997) where the background spectrum is
Figure 9. Spectral ratios for DART records of the 2015 Illapel and 2010 Maule tsunamis. The dashed blue lines for DART 32412 and the dashed black lines for 51425 are examples of the 95 per cent confidence levels for the 2010 Maule and 2015 Illapel tsunamis, respectively.

used to suppress the influence of topography and to restore the original tsunami spectrum. Heidarzadeh et al. (2016b), by applying this concept on the 2013 (\(M_w\) 8.0) and 2015 (\(M_w\) 8.0) Solomon Island tsunamigenic earthquakes, obtained a source period band of 10–22 min for the 2013 Solomon Island tsunami.

Here, the epicentres of the Maule and Illapel events are close to each other (Fig. 7), while the energy released by the former earthquake is \(\sim\)4 times larger than that of the latter. Therefore, the Illapel tsunami can be used as the empirical Green’s function for the Maule event. The ocean-wide DART records of the two events are compared in Fig. 7, while their basin-wide distribution of tsunami amplitudes is shown in Fig. 8. The DART waveforms of the two events look similar, although the amplitudes of the Maule tsunami are 2–5 times larger than those of the Illapel event. The spectral ratios in Fig. 9 (shaded spectra) are the results of the spectral deconvolution and reveal the source spectra of the Maule tsunami, which is in the period range of 13–50 min (Fig. 9). The bottom limit of this period range, that is 13 min, is the period that gives a spectral ratio of \(\sim\)1 and the upper limit, that is 62 min, is the period that most of the ratios become either flat or \(\sim\)1.0. This tsunami source period band is close to that of 12–50 min previously reported by Rabinovich et al. (2013) and Zaytsev et al. (2016) which was calculated by the direct Fourier analysis of the Maule tsunami waveforms. For comparison, the source periods of other tsunami events worldwide are: 20–90 min for the 2011 Tohoku tsunami (Heidarzadeh & Satake 2014a; Zaytsev et al. 2017) and 2–30 min for the 2017 Bodrum–Kos (Turkey–Greece) tsunami (Heidarzadeh et al. 2017).
Figure 10. Characteristics of the initial negative phases recorded at DART stations for (a): the Iquique tsunami; (b): the Illapel tsunami; (c): the Maule tsunami; and (d): the Tohoku tsunami. Parameters “a”, “b” and “c” are: the maximum amplitude of the initial negative phase, the maximum noise amplitude before the tsunami arrival, and the maximum amplitude of the first frontal crest wave, respectively.
Figure 10. continued.
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8 CHARACTERISTICS OF THE INITIAL NEGATIVE PHASES FOR TRANS-PACIFIC TSUNAMIS

Fig. 10 shows the maximum amplitude and duration of the observed initial negative phases at DART stations for the four trans-Pacific tsunamis. Here, the duration of the initial phase is defined as the time interval between the beginning of the sea level recession relative to the mean sea level (the initiation of the negative phase) and the time that it reaches the mean sea level again. For the present analysis, the DART data with relatively high signal-to-noise ratios were selected to achieve reliable results (Fig. 10). The durations of the initial phase ($D_{ini}$) were 8–29, 20–35, 22–70 and 40–79 min for the Iquique, Illapel, Maule and Tohoku tsunamis, respectively (blue values in Fig. 10). The maximum negative amplitudes of the initial phases ($A_{ini}$) were 0.11–0.26, 0.4–0.7, 0.5–2.9 and 1.9–2.5 cm for these tsunami, respectively (red values in Fig. 10), while the amplitude ratios of the negative phases relative to the amplitude of the first elevation wave were 20–40 per cent, 22–41 per cent, 29–61 per cent and 12–67 per cent, respectively (green values in Fig. 10). The amplitudes of the initial phase were significant as compared to the frontal elevation tsunami amplitudes.

Figs 11(a)–(c) shows the durations, the amplitudes, and the amplitude ratios of the initial phases as a function of distances of the DART stations from the source for the four trans-Pacific tsunamis. Neither of them show evident trend with distance. Figs 11(d)–(f) shows their variations with the earthquake moment magnitudes. The durations and amplitudes of the initial negative phases indicate obvious dependence on the earthquake magnitudes, while the amplitude ratios do not. Our results yield the following two equations for the relationship between the properties of the initial phases (i.e. $D_{ini}$ and $A_{ini}$) and $M_w$ (Figs 11d and e):

$$M_w = 6.129 + 1.629 \log(D_{ini}) \quad (2)$$

in which, $\log$ is the logarithm to the base 10, $D_{ini}$ is in min, $A_{ini}$ is in cm and the qualities of fit are $R^2 = 0.99$ and $R^2 = 0.95$ for eqs (2) and (3), respectively (Fig. 11 and Table 2). A linear relationship between $M_w$ and $D_{ini}$ yields a slightly higher $R^2$ value (i.e. 0.986), whereas between $M_w$ and $D_{ini}$ it is slightly smaller ($R^2 = 0.98$). Here, we chose the logarithmic equations for two reasons: first, traditionally the relationship between earthquake magnitude ($M_w$) and seismic/tsunami wave amplitudes is expressed in logarithmic forms (e.g. Gutenberg & Richter 1954; Abe 1979) and, second, the quality of fit between the linear and logarithmic equations was very similar in our analysis. It should be noted that the $M_w$ values are usually reported with one decimal place; therefore, the $M_w$ values obtained from eqs (2) and (3) need to be rounded to one decimal place.

9 DEEP-OCEAN TSUNAMI AMPLITUDES FOR TRANS-PACIFIC TSUNAMIS

Distribution of deep-ocean tsunami zero-to-crest amplitudes from DART stations throughout the Pacific Ocean are shown in Figs 12–13 for four tsunamis. By excluding stations located in the distances <20 arcdeg (2200 km) from the epicentre, the mean amplitudes of 0.9, 1.7, 6.0 and 15.0 cm were obtained for the Iquique, Illapel, Maule and Tohoku tsunamis, respectively (Figs 12a–d). By taking into account the moment magnitudes of these earthquakes ($M_w$) and seismic/tsunami wave amplitudes is expressed in logarithmic forms (e.g. Gutenberg & Richter 1954; Abe 1979) and, second, the quality of fit between the linear and logarithmic equations was very similar in our analysis. It should be noted that the $M_w$ values are usually reported with one decimal place; therefore, the $M_w$ values obtained from eqs (2) and (3) need to be rounded to one decimal place.
Figure 12. (a–d): Deep-ocean tsunami zero-to-crest amplitudes for four recent trans-Pacific tsunamis as a function of distance from the source. The average values are calculated by excluding stations located at distances < 20 arcdeg (2200 km). Distances are based on the great-circle system. (e–h): Deep-ocean tsunami zero-to-crest amplitudes as functions of the angle from the fault strike as shown in the inset in panel f. (i–l): The 3-D-plots showing deep-ocean tsunami zero-to-crest amplitudes as functions of the distances from the source epicentre and angles from the fault strike.

Figure 13. Deep-ocean tsunami amplitudes ($A_{\text{tsu}}$) as a function of the moment magnitude ($M_w$). The small grey circles indicate the data available from DART observations for various tsunamis.
Table 2. The equations relating duration ($D_{ini}$) and amplitude ($A_{ini}$) of the initial negative phase, as well as the mean far-field deep-ocean amplitudes ($A_{tsu}$) to the earthquake magnitude ($M_w$).

| Equation* | $a$  | $b$  | $a$  | $b$  | $R^2$ |
|-----------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| $M_w = a + b \log(D_{ini})$ | 6.129 | 1.629 | 5.034–6.955 | 1.089–2.169 | 0.99 |
| $M_w = a + b \log(A_{ini})$ | 8.676 | 0.706 | 8.449–8.902 | 0.202–1.210 | 0.95 |
| $M_w = a + b \log(A_{tsu})$ | 8.245 | 0.665 | 8.133–8.356 | 0.509–0.820 | 0.99 |

*In these equations, $D_{ini}$ is in min and $A_{ini}$ and $A_{tsu}$ are in cm.

in which, log is the logarithm to the base 10 and $A_{ini}$ is the deep-ocean tsunami amplitude in cm recorded at distances <20 arcdeg (2200 km) from the epicentre. The quality of fit is $R^2 = 0.99$ (Table 2), indicating that the equation fits very well to the measured data.

There is no evident correlation between the deep-ocean amplitudes and distances from the source (Figs 12a–d). To examine the possible effect of source directivity on far-field tsunami amplitudes, we plotted these amplitudes against the angles from the fault strike (Figs 12e–h), but have also not found any correlation between these two parameters. Considering the fact that the effect of directivity on the far-field wave amplitude is well-established, the reason why such an effect cannot be seen here can be attributed to the sparsity of the DARTs over the entire Pacific Ocean and to the irregular bathymetry of the Pacific Basin. Tsunami waves pass through complicated bathymetric features which scatter tsunami energy and waves or trap the waves (Hébert et al. 2001; Heidarzadeh et al. 2016b). 3-D plots of the deep-ocean amplitudes versus distance and angle from the fault strike (Figs 12i–l) also does not show any meaningful correlation between these parameters. Assuming a constant value of $M_w$, we can formulate the relationship between $A_{tsu}$ and $A_{ini}$:

$$A_{tsu} = 0.245 \cdot A_{ini}^{0.9418} \tag{5}$$

Eq. (5) indicates that the amplitude of the initial negative phase ($A_{ini}$) is approximately 25 per cent of that of the amplitude of the first (out-of-phase) wave ($A_{tsu}$), which is in agreement with our observations presented in Section 8.

10 CONCLUSIONS

Ocean-wide and deep-ocean characteristics of the tsunami generated by the 2015 September 16 Illapel earthquake ($M_w$ 8.4) were examined based on the DART records from the entire Pacific Ocean and compared with those of three other trans-Pacific tsunamis generated by the 2014 Iquique ($M_w$ 8.4), 2010 Maule ($M_w$ 8.8) and 2011 Tohoku ($M_w$ 9.0) earthquakes. The main findings are:

(1) An arrival time difference of up to 16.0 min was detected between the observed and shallow-water simulated waveforms for the 2015 Illapel tsunami; this difference was resolved using a phase-correction technique. The dominant period band of this tsunami was 13–28 min, which is longer than that of the Iquique tsunami (14–21 min) and shorter than the Maule tsunami (12–50 min).

(2) The waveforms from the 2015 Illapel tsunami looked very similar to those from the 2010 Maule tsunami, although the amplitudes of the 2010 tsunami were approximately 2–5 times larger than that of the 2015 tsunami. The Illapel tsunami was used as an empirical Green’s function to reconstruct the Maule tsunami and yielded quite reasonable results; the reconstructed source period band of 13–62 min for the Maule event is in a good agreement with the observations.

(3) The duration of the initial negative phases ($D_{ini}$) was 8–29, 20–35, 22–70 and 40–79 min for the 2014 Iquique, 2015 Illapel, 2010 Maule and 2011 Tohoku tsunamis, respectively. The maximum negative amplitudes of the initial phases ($A_{ini}$) were 0.11–0.26, 0.4–0.7, 0.5–2.9 and 1.9–2.5 cm for the aforesaid tsunami, respectively, while the amplitude ratios of the negative phases to the first waves were 20–40 per cent, 22–41 per cent, 29–61 per cent and 12–67 per cent for these tsunamis, respectively. Our results yield the relationships between the initial phase parameters ($D_{ini}$ in min and $A_{ini}$ in cm) and earthquake moment magnitudes ($M_w$) as: $M_w = 6.129 + 1.629 \log(D_{ini})$ and $M_w = 8.676 + 0.706 \log(A_{ini})$.

(4) The mean far-field deep-ocean amplitudes ($A_{tsu}$) for the Iquique, Illapel, Maule and Tohoku tsunamis were 0.9, 1.7, 6.0 and 15.0 cm, respectively. No correlation was found between the deep-ocean amplitudes and distance from the source or angle from fault strike. The relationship between $A_{tsu}$ (in cm) and $M_w$ can be described as $A_{tsu} = 8.245 + 0.665 \log(A_{ini})$.
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