A lithium-ion sulfur battery using a polymer, polysulfide-added membrane

Marco Agostini & Jusef Hassoun

Department of Chemistry, University of Rome Sapienza, Piazzale Aldo Moro, 5, 00185, Rome, Italy.

In this paper we report the performances of a lithium-ion sulfur battery characterized by a polymer configuration. The cell, based on a sulfur-carbon cathode, a Li-Sn-C nanostructured anode and a PEO-based, polysulfide-added electrolyte, shows very good electrochemical performances in terms of stability and delivered capacity. The remarkable cell performances are ascribed to the mitigation of the cathode dissolution process due to the buffer action ensured by the polysulfide added to the polymer electrolyte. This electrolyte configuration allows the achievement of a stable capacity ranging from 500 to 1500 mAh g⁻¹, depending on the cycling rate. The use of a polymer electrolyte and the replacement of the lithium metal with a Li-Sn-C nanostructured alloy are expected to guarantee high safety content, thus suggesting the battery here studied as advanced energy storage system.

Elemental sulfur is a very attractive cathode material for lithium batteries due to its non-toxicity, low cost, eco-sustainability and high theoretical energy density, i.e. 2600 Wh kg⁻¹, that largely exceeds the value associated to conventional cathode materials¹⁻⁴. However, the use of the sulfur electrode in liquid electrolyte is still limited by the formation of soluble polysulfide Li₂Sₓ (1 ≤ x ≤ 8) at the cathode and its contemporary migration in the solution, thus leading to shuttle reaction and precipitation of Li₂S₂ and Li₂S at the anode, with consequent loss of active material and capacity fading⁵⁻⁷. These issues have been recently mitigated by moving from bulk-electrodes to sulfur-carbon composites, in which the elemental sulfur is efficiently trapped within protecting carbon matrices of various configurations⁸⁻¹⁴. The change of the electrolyte configuration by the addition of Li-film forming salts, e.g. LiNO₃, and lithium polysulfides, have been recently revealed as efficient solutions to promote the formation of a stable SEI film layer at the lithium surface, thus reducing the polysulfide shuttle effect and the cathode dissolution¹⁵⁻¹⁶. Moreover, the addition of a dissolved polysulfide (i.e. Li₂Sₓ) to liquid electrolytes, such as DOL-DME-LiTFSI and TEGDME-LiCF₃SO₃, has shown the most promising results in increasing the lithium-sulfur cell stability and efficiency¹⁷⁻²⁰. However, the use of lithium metal in liquid electrolytes may lead to safety hazard associated with possible dendrite formation, cell short-circuit, heat evolution and, in presence of flammable electrolyte, to firing²¹. Hence, alternative, not flammable electrolytes, such as inorganic glass-type lithium conducting materials²²⁻²⁴ or polymer membranes²⁵⁻²⁶, characterized by wide electrochemical stability window and favorable SEI film formation, are required in order to match the safety targets in batteries using lithium-metal as high capacity anode. Furthermore, the replacement of lithium metal with alternative, high performance anodes, such as lithium alloy materials, e.g. Li-Sn and Li-Si, is considered the most suitable solution to increase the safety content of the cell²⁷⁻²⁸. Polymer electrolytes, such as those based on PEO, still suffer from low ionic conductivity and high interphase resistance at temperature lower than 70 °C²⁹. Recent work demonstrated that PEO-based electrolytes operating at lower temperature level may be achieved by the use of various plasticizers, such as organic carbonates or glymes³¹⁻³². This class of “gel-type” polymer electrolytes requires, however, a proper optimization, in particular in terms of cycling stability, in order to be efficiently used in lithium sulfur cell.

In this work we report a rechargeable lithium-ion polymer battery based on the combination of high capacity sulfur-carbon cathode, nanostructured Li₅Sn-C anode and polysulfide-added PEO-based gel membrane. The polymer membranes have been added by polysulfide of various composition in order to prevent the electrode dissolution, and plasticized by an EC:DMC carbonate-based additive to make them suitable for application at room temperature. We demonstrated that the lithium-ion cell can deliver, at room temperature, a stable capacity of 1500 mAh g⁻¹ at C/20 and of 500 mAh g⁻¹ at C/5, with an average voltage of 1.7 V and a theoretical energy density in respect to the sulfur weight calculated to range from 2500 Wh kg⁻¹ at the lower C-rate to 800 Wh kg⁻¹ at the higher one, that is expected to reflect in high practical energy density and remarkable safety content, i.e. promising characteristics of a system proposed for high energy storage application.
The polymer membranes studied in this work are characterized by the following composition: PEO_{20}LiCF_{3}SO_{3} + 10% w:w ZrO_{2}. A polysulfide, Li_{x}S_{n} (1 ≤ x ≤ 8), was added to the membranes during the synthesis with the aim to reduce the cathode dissolution during lithium-sulfur cell operation, see methods section for membranes preparation. Figure 1, reporting the Arrhenius conductivity plots of the membranes and, in inset, the photographic images, evidence that the polysulfide-free membrane (indicate as PEO) is characterized by a white color; while the membranes containing Li_{2}S (indicate as PEO-Li_{2}S) and Li_{2}S_{8} (indicate as PEO-Li_{2}S_{8}) appear yellow and red, respectively. The Arrhenius plots reveal the typical behavior of a PEO-based solid electrolyte, characterized by a high ionic conductivity, i.e. of the order of 10^{−3} S cm^{−1}, at temperature higher than 70 °C and a rapid decay to a value of about 10^{−5} S cm^{−1} below 70 °C, due to the amorphous-crystalline phase change of the PEO. Furthermore, the plots of Fig. 1 show only minor difference between the various membranes, including polysulfide-free one, thus excluding relevant role of the selected polysulfide in the ion conduction. Instead, recent works demonstrated the effective role of the polysulfide addition, in particular of Li_{2}S_{8}, in improving the lithium-sulfur cell behavior.

With the aim to improve the room-temperature ionic conductivity of the polymer electrolyte we plasticized the Li_{2}S_{8}-added membrane, that is expected to efficiently buffer the cathode dissolution, by using EC:DMC-based solution. The impedance spectra, and corresponding time evolution of the ionic conductivity at 25°C reported in Figure 2a and b, respectively, indicate a cell resistance of about 10Ω, slightly decreasing during the storage time most likely due to a solvent-polymer cross-linking process, and a conductivity value as high as 10^{−3} S cm^{−1}. This membrane, reported in inset of Fig. 2b and following indicated with the acronym GPS-Li_{2}S_{8}, has been selected as suitable electrolyte for an efficient application in RT-lithium sulfur polymer battery. Further tests on membranes obtained by plasticizing the polysulfide-free and the Li_{2}S-added polymer electrolytes, reported in the Supplementary Information section, Figure S1, show very similar conductivity trend, with a value of about 10^{−3} S cm^{−1}.

MCMB-S composite cathode and a nanostructured Sn-C anode have been selected as high performance materials for application in the polymer lithium ion battery. Prior to use in full cell, the Sn-C anode has been pre-lithiated (following indicated by Li_{x}Sn-C, x = 4.4) as already reported in previous paper using lithium-alloying anodes, this with the aim to make it a suitable lithium-source for battery using discharged cathodes, such as sulfur, see also methods section. Fig. 3a, reporting the cycling behavior of the Li/GPS-Li_{2}S_{8}/S-C half-cell performed at 84 mA g^{−1} (C/20) and 25°C, evidences a very stable capacity of the order of 1600 mAh g^{−1} that is of about 96% of sulfur theoretical capacity, with no signs of decay upon cycling, thus confirming the suitability of the selected polymer electrolyte and its effective role in buffering the sulfur electrode dissolution. The voltage profile of the Li-S cell reported in Fig 3b shows an average discharge voltage of 2 V, and a charge characterized by a multiple plateau extending up to 4 V. The sloping trend and the charge over-voltage, not expected for the typical lithium-sulfur cell, are most likely due to the gelled nature of the electrolyte, including carbonate species that may increase the cell polarization at the room temperature, thus affecting Li-S reaction kinetics. This issue may be most likely addressed by optimizing the cathode/electrolyte interphase properties.

The relatively low Coulombic efficiency, i.e. of about 95%, indicates some electrolyte decomposition at the higher voltage levels (about 4 V) rather than to eventual shuttle effect that, however, cannot be completely excluded. In order to avoid excessive electrolyte decomposition and SEI film formation, that may decrease the cell performances, the charge has been limited to the theoretical value of the sulfur electrode (1675 mAh g^{−1}S, corresponding to 20 h of charge). Furthermore, the sharp voltage profile observed during the 1st charge of the Li/ GPS-Li_{2}S_{8}/S-C cell may be most likely attributed to a partial activation of the Li_{2}S formed during cell discharge, as already demon-
The specific capacity is calculated considering only the sulfur mass loaded in the electrode material, this in view of the minor contribution of the polysulfide dissolved into the electrolyte. This consideration is supported by figure S2 in Supplementary Information section, reporting the galvanostatic cycling test performed using a sulfur-free, carbon working electrode, the GPS-Li2S8 electrolyte and lithium foil anode. Indeed, the figure S2 shows negligible performances, thus excluding the carbon and polysulfide contribution to the overall lithium-sulfur cell capacity. The carbon and the dissolved polysulfide act as buffer matrix limiting eventual cathode dissolution and assuring remarkable cell performance and high specific capacity approaching the theoretical value.

The lithiated Sn-C anode has been cycled in lithium half cell using the GPS-Li2S8 electrolyte at a current of 100 mA g\(^{-1}\) (about C/4), see cycling response and voltage profile in Fig. 3c and d. The figure reveals a first cycle with a capacity limited to about 200 mAh g\(^{-1}\) that following increases to about 380 mAh g\(^{-1}\), i.e. 95% of the theoretical value of the Sn-C electrode. This trend is most likely associated to a progressive wetting process of the Sn-C electrode by the GPS-Li2S8 electrolyte. After the first few cycles, Fig. 3d shows a stable capacity of about 380 mAh g\(^{-1}\), with an average working voltage (Fig. 3c) of about 0.5 V and a Coulombic efficiency around 98%.

Figure 3 shows the performances of the full cell combining the Li\(x\)Sn-C anode, the Sulfur-Carbon cathode and the GPS-Li2S8 electrolyte membrane at 25 \(^\circ\)C. Fig 4a, reporting the impedance spectroscopy response of the full-cell, shows an overall resistance limited to about 55 \(\Omega\), thus suggesting the suitability of the electrodes/electrolyte configuration for battery application. Figures 4b and c show the voltage profiles of the cycling tests performed at C/20 and C/5 rates, respectively, using the Li\(x\)Sn-C/GPS-Li2S8/S-C cell. The voltage profile of Fig. 4b evidences a first cycle characterized by a low capacity, of about 500 mAh gs\(^{-1}\) (black curve highlighted by dots), and a progressive increase to about 1500 mAh gs\(^{-1}\) by the ongoing of the test, as most likely associated with the anode/electrolyte wetting process already mentioned in figure 3c,d discussion. At the steady state condition, the cell voltage profile reflects the combination of the Li-Sn alloying and the Li-S electrochemical process, with the overall reaction:

\[
\text{Li}_{x}\text{Sn} - C + S - C = \text{Sn} - C + \text{Li}_x\text{S} - C
\]

Furthermore, Fig. 4b reveals a relatively low Coulombic efficiency (about 90%) due to partial electrolyte decomposition at the higher voltage levels (see also figure 3b). This process may be mitigated both by limiting the charge capacity to the theoretical value of the sulfur electrode or by increasing the cycling rate, as demonstrated by the plot in Fig. 4c, reporting the cycling behavior at C/5. The figure shows, in fact, increased cell efficiency (to about 99%) by increasing the c-rate; however the higher c-rate leads to a contemporary increase of the cell polarization with consequent reduction of the delivered capacity to about 600 mAh gs\(^{-1}\) and, progressively, to 500 mAh gs\(^{-1}\) during cycling.
Conclusion

The Li$_x$Sn-C/GPS-Li$_2$S$_8$/S-C lithium ion sulfur cell here proposed is characterized by high safety level, due to the polymer configuration and the absence of lithium metal anode, and expected low cost. At the lower c-rate the cell may stably deliver a capacity of about 1500 mAh g$^{-1}$ at an average voltage of 1.8 V, while at the higher c-rate the cell delivers a still relevant capacity of about 500 mAh g$^{-1}$ at an average voltage of 1.5 V, hence with a theoretical energy density ranging from 2700 to 750 Wh kg$^{-1}$, respectively, that is expected to reflect in a relatively high practical energy density. The polysulfide addition to the polymer electrolyte allowed an enhancement of the cell stability and a reduction of the polysulfide dissolution from the cathode side, as suggested by the cycling tests. The cell here characterized may be suggested as suitable energy storage system for application requiring high energy and safety levels, such as electric vehicles motion. However, longer cycle life and further characterizations are certainly required to match the severe targets of the lithium battery community.

Methods

The Sulfur-carbon cathode material was prepared by melting sulfur (Aldrich, 99.9%) and mixing it with meso porous carbon micro beads powder (MCMB, Osaka gas) in a 1:1 weight ratio at 130°C. The powder was refined at room temperature using a high-energy mechanical milling system (Retsch MM400) for 2 hours (30 min of milling and 15 min of rest) at frequency of 15 Hz. The electrode was then prepared as a thin film by plating on Al support a slurry formed by dispersing the active material, 10% of Super P carbon (conducting agent, Timcal) and 10% PVdF (binder, Solfex, 6020) in N-methyl pirrolidone (NMP, Aldrich). The resulting slurry, approximately 40 μm thick, was dried at 50°C under vacuum to remove the residual solvent, previous to lithium cell assembly; the final sulfur loading was about 1.0 mg cm$^{-2}$. The Sn-C powder was prepared according to previous papers$^{28,35}$, with a final loading of 4.8 mg cm$^{-2}$; prior to use, the Sn-C electrode was fully lithiated by direct contact with a lithium foil wet by a LP30 solution, for 8 hr under 1 kg cm$^{-2}$ of pressure$^{28}$. The pristine PEO$_x$Li$_2$CF$_3$SO$_3$ + 10% wt ZrO$_2$ electrolyte (PEO in Fig. 1 inset) was prepared by a solvent-free procedure involving mixing under argon atmosphere PEO (MW 600000 Da, Aldrich) and lithium triflate (LiCF$_3$SO$_3$, Aldrich), in a 20:1 molar ratio, with 10% w. wt of ZrO$_2$ (Aldrich). The mixture was glass-ball milled using a rotating system under argon for 24 hours and hot-pressed at 90°C for 1 hour at 4 tons that is a procedure assuring the dissolution of the salts in the polymer matrix, including the polysulfide$^{29,30}$.

The Li$_x$S-added membrane (PEO-Li$_x$S in Fig. 1 inset) was prepared following the procedure above described, by loading, during the first stage, 5% w. wt Li$_x$S (Aldrich) into the pristine (PEO$_x$Li$_2$CF$_3$SO$_3$ + 10% wt ZrO$_2$) mixture while the Li$_x$S added membrane (PEO-Li$_x$S in Fig. 1 inset) was prepared by loading Li$_x$S and sulfur powder, in the molar ratio of 1:7, to the pristine sample; after hot-pressing, the PEO-Li$_x$S membrane was continuously heated at 90°C for 8 hours, in order to obtain Li$_x$S polysulfide in the membrane bulk. The diameter of the membrane was of 10 mm, the weight and the thickness were of 8 mg and 100 μm, respectively while the Li$_x$S weight was about 0.4 mg. The final gel polymer electrolyte (indicated by the acronym GPE-Li$_x$S) was formed by swelling the PEO$_x$Li$_2$CF$_3$SO$_3$ + 10% wt ZrO$_2$ + 5% Li$_x$S membrane (PEO-Li$_x$S) for 10 min with an LP30 (EC: DMC, 1:1, LiPF$_6$ 1M) solution and finally removing the excess solution to obtain the gelled membrane reported in Fig. 2b inset. The conductivity of the membranes was determined by impedance spectroscopy using RR2032 coin-type cell. The cell included stainless steel blocking electrodes and the electrolyte was trapped within a 125-μm-thick Teflon O-ring of 10-mm internal diameter (cell constant k = 0.016 cm$^{-1}$). The measurements were performed within 35°C–115°C temperature range, applying AC signal with amplitude of 10 mV and frequency ranging from 100 kHz to 100 Hz, using a VSP Biologic instrument. The galvanostatic cycling tests were carried out with a Maccor series 4000 battery tester using polypropylene Swagelok T-type cells, 1.0 cm diameter, assembled in argon-filled glovebox (H$_2$O and O$_2$ content less than 1 ppm). The half cells were tester using polypropylene Swagelok T-type cells, 1.0 cm diameter, assembled in argon-filled glovebox (H$_2$O and O$_2$ content less than 1 ppm). The half cells were prepared by coupling the electrode under study with a lithium foil (Chemetall, thickness 200 μm, counter and reference electrode) and the GPS-Li$_x$S$_8$ membrane as electrolyte-separator. The S-C and Li$_x$Sn-C lithium-half cells were cycled at a current of 84 mA g$^{-1}$ and 100 mA g$^{-1}$ as referred to S and Sn-C active mass, corresponding to C/20 and C/4 rates, respectively. The tests were conducted using 1 V as discharge limit and 20 hours as charge limit for the S-C semi-cell, and 0.01 V–1.5 V voltage range for the Li$_x$Sn-C semi-cell. The Li$_x$Sn-C/GPS-Li$_x$S$_8$/S-C full cell was prepared by using the same cell configuration above described. The lithium-ion cell was cathode limited and cycled at a current of 84 mA g$^{-1}$, (C/20) using 0.4 V as discharge limit and 20 hr as charge limit, and at 335 mA g$^{-1}$, (C/5) in the voltage range between 0.2 V–3.8 V.
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