Myc and Ras oncogenes engage different energy metabolism programs and evoke distinct patterns of oxidative and DNA replication stress

Apolinar Maya-Mendozaa,*, Jitka Ostrakovaa, Martin Kosara,b, Arnaldur Halla, Pavlina Duskovac, Martin Mistrikc, Joanna Maria Merchut-Mayaa, Zdenek Hodnyb, Jirina Bartkova, Claus Christensenk, Jiri Bartekk,a,b,c,**

a Danish Cancer Society Research Center, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark
b Department of Genome Integrity, Institute of Molecular Genetics, v.v.i., Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, CZ-142 20 Prague, Czech Republic
c Institute of Molecular and Translational Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, Palacky University, CZ-775 15 Olomouc, Czech Republic

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 10 October 2014
Received in revised form
3 November 2014
Accepted 5 November 2014
Available online 15 November 2014

Keywords:
Myc
Ras
Replication stress
DNA fork progression
Energy metabolism
DNA damage response

ABSTRACT

Both Myc and Ras oncogenes impact cellular metabolism, deregulate redox homeostasis and trigger DNA replication stress (RS) that compromises genomic integrity. However, how are such oncogene-induced effects evoked and temporally related, to what extent are these kinetic parameters shared by Myc and Ras, and how are these cellular changes linked with oncogene-induced cellular senescence in different cell context(s) remain poorly understood. Here, we addressed the above-mentioned open questions by multifaceted comparative analyses of human cellular models with inducible expression of c-Myc and H-RasV12 (Ras), two commonly deregulated oncoproteins operating in a functionally connected signaling network. Our study of DNA replication parameters using the DNA fiber approach and time-course assessment of perturbations in glycolytic flux, oxygen consumption and production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) revealed the following results. First, overabundance of nuclear Myc triggered RS promptly, already after one day of Myc induction, causing slow replication fork progression and fork asymmetry, even before any metabolic changes occurred. In contrast, Ras overexpression initially induced a burst of cell proliferation and increased the speed of replication fork progression. However, after several days of induction Ras caused bioenergetic metabolic changes that correlated with slower DNA replication fork progression and the ensuing cell cycle arrest, gradually leading to senescence. Second, the observed oncogene-induced RS and metabolic alterations were cell-type/context dependent, as shown by comparative analyses of normal human BJ fibroblasts versus U2-OS sarcoma cells. Third, the energy metabolic reprogramming triggered...
1. Introduction

Genome replication and its coordination with cell metabolism including bioenergetics are among fundamental processes that require careful orchestration to avoid errors that might contribute to severe pathologies at the organism level, such as cancer or premature aging. Thus, DNA synthesis must be performed with precision as any defects compromise genetic integrity (Machida et al., 2005). DNA synthesis in mammalian cells occurs in discrete nuclear foci. Foci contain synthetic units named replicons; each replicon ranges in size from 30 to 500 kbp, with an average of ~100 kbp in most cell types. Majority of replication foci engage 1–6 origins that fire together at specific time during the S phase. If DNA damage takes place during the synthetic process, the speed of replication is compromised and commonly compensated by extra-origin activation (Maya-Mendoza et al., 2007). Impaired replication fork progression induces DNA replication stress (RS) and chromosome instability (CIN) in human cells (Henry-Mowatt et al., 2003; Burrell et al., 2013a, 2013b). Moreover, overexpression or activation of oncoproteins stimulates cell proliferation and causes DNA replication fork stress (Ohtsubo and Roberts, 1993; Bartkova et al., 2006; Di Micco et al., 2006; Halazonetis et al., 2008). For example, overexpression of cyclin E accelerates S phase entry and induces DNA replication stress and ensuing DNA damage by enhancing replication initiation and impairing fork progression (Bartkova et al., 2005, 2006; Jones et al., 2013). Prolonged expression of oncogenic Ras (H-RasV12) also generates DNA replication stress in fibroblasts presumably through higher production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) levels leading to cell cycle arrest and senescence (Di Micco et al., 2006; Bartkova et al., 2006; Kosar et al., 2011; Rai et al., 2011; Weyemi et al., 2012; Hubacova et al., 2012). Analogously, Myc increases ROS and induces DNA damage response (DDR) (Vafa et al., 2002).

The Ras proteins (K-Ras, H-Ras and N-Ras) are low molecular weight G proteins whose activity is dictated by the binding of guanine nucleotides. Following activation by upstream receptor tyrosine kinases, Ras proteins replace their load of GDP with GTP and engage in signaling (Barbacid, 1987). In the GTP-bound state, Ras proteins bind to and activate several downstream effectors including RAF, PI3K and RAL guanine nucleotide exchange factors (RAL-GEFs). Cancer-associated mutations in Ras genes generally act by locking the Ras proteins in the GTP-bound and constitutively active state, and such mutations are frequently found in human cancers (Pratilas and Solit, 2010).

by Ras was more robust compared to impact of Myc. Fourth, the detected oncogene-induced oxidative stress was due to ROS (superoxide) of non-mitochondrial origin and mitochondrial OXPHOS was reduced (Crabtree effect). Overall, our study provides novel insights into oncogene-evoked metabolic reprogramming, replication and oxidative stress, with implications for mechanisms of tumorigenesis and potential targeting of oncogene addiction.

© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Federation of European Biochemical Societies. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).
Three main possibilities have been proposed: i) Deregulated cell proliferation induces augmented DNA synthesis inducing collisions between replication and transcription machineries (Jones et al., 2013). ii) Deregulated cell proliferation driven by oncogenes induces reactive oxygen species that could induce DNA damage (Weyemi et al., 2012). iii) Deregulated cell proliferation could alter the balance of intracellular nucleotide pools impacting DNA replication (Bester et al., 2011). If there were common mechanisms for oncogene-induced DNA replication stress, we would expect that different oncogenes induce the same temporary and qualitative changes in the replication fork speed or origin firing, ROS production or metabolism. Given a high degree of interconnection between the Ras and Myc signaling hubs, we have investigated whether Ras and Myc induce DNA replication stress with similar kinetics and ensuing consequences for the cells. Furthermore, considering the emerging rich cross-talk between oncogenic signaling and cell metabolism pathways, we have assessed the impact of Ras and Myc oncogenes on bioenergetics and redox homeostasis, in both normal diploid and cancerous human cells. The results of above multifaceted analyses and the conceptual conclusions based on our dataset are presented in the following sections of this article.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cell culture and oncogenes induction

To prepare BJ fibroblasts stably expressing C-Myc fused to the modified estrogen receptor ligand-binding domain (MycER, (Littlewood et al., 1995)), pBabe puro-MycER (provided by T. Littlewood) or pBabe puro plasmids were transfected into Phoenix packaging cells (from G. Nolan, Stanford). Obtained retroviral supernatants were filtered and used with 10 μg/ml of polybrene for 3 rounds of 24-h infections. Transduced cells were selected using 1 μg/ml of puromycin for 3 days and then grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium without phenol red (DMEM/F-12) (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. BJ human fibroblasts with doxycycline (Dox) inducible expression of H-RasV12 (Ras) (Lenti-X™ Tet-On Advanced Inducible Expression System, Clontech) were prepared by double lentivirus infection and subsequent selection as described previously (Evangelou et al., 2013). Cells (estimated population doubling time between 35 and 45 h) were cultured in DMEM with 10% FBS, penicillin, streptomycin, 0.5 μg/ml of puromycin and 100 μg/ml of G418. Ras overexpression was induced using 2 μg/ml of Dox.

2.2. Deoxynucleoside supplementation and immunofluorescence

For deoxynucleosides experiments, overexpression of Ras was induced by incubation with Dox for 8 days. Where indicated, cells were treated with the mix of deoxynucleosides (50 nM of dA, dU, dC and dG) for the whole course of the experiment (Bester et al., 2011) or with 50 μM of cordycepin for the last 2 h of the experiment (Jones et al., 2013). Cells were then fixed with 4% formaldehyde (15 min RT) and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton-X-100 (15 min RT). Samples were co-immunostained with antibodies against 53BP1 (rabbit, sc-22760, Santa Cruz, 1:1000) and cyclin A (mouse, NCL-CYCLIN A, Leica-Novocastra, 1:50), followed by secondary antibodies AlexaFluor568 goat anti-rabbit and AlexaFluor488 goat anti-mouse, respectively. To detect γH2AX we used the mouse anti-γH2AX antibody (613402, Biolegend, 1:500). 250 non-overlapping images were acquired for each cells-containing coverslip using the Olympus Scan-R microscope. At least 4000 cells were scored and images were processed using Scan-R Analysis software. We scored the total intensity of the pan-nuclear γH2AX signal in individual nuclei to avoid the variation within an asynchronous cell population (Mistrik et al., 2009; Toledo et al., 2013). For the 53BP1 foci analysis, only cyclin A negative cells were scored. Differences were analyzed using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

2.3. Immunoblotting and apoptosis

Conditions for denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (using a 10% separation gel) and Western blotting procedure were essentially as described before (Bartkova et al., 2008). Protein concentration was measured using the Bradford method and 15 μg of total proteins were resolved for each sample. The following antibodies were used for immunoblots, mouse anti-beta-Actin (A1978, Sigma, 1:1000), anti-Ras (mouse anti-HRas-01, a gift from V. Horejsi, 1:100) and rabbit anti-cMyc (5605, Cell Signaling, 1:1000). Obtained retroviral supernatants were transduced into Phoenix packaging cells (from G. Nolan, Stanford). BJ human fibroblasts with doxycycline (Dox) inducible expression of C-Myc fused to the modified estrogen receptor ligand-binding domain (MycER, (Littlewood et al., 1995)), pBabe puro-MycER (provided by T. Littlewood) or pBabe puro plasmids were transfected into Phoenix packaging cells (from G. Nolan, Stanford). Obtained retroviral supernatants were filtered and used with 10 μg/ml of polybrene for 3 rounds of 24-h infections. Transduced cells were selected using 1 μg/ml of puromycin for 3 days and then grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium without phenol red (DMEM/F-12) (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin, streptomycin and 0.5 μg/ml of puromycin. MycER activation was induced by 100 nM of 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT) dissolved in ethanol. U2-OS MycER cells were treated with 4-OHT for 1–4 days. At each time point, cells were fixed with 70% ethanol at –20 °C and incubated for 30 min in ice. Cells were then fixed with 5% formaldehyde (15 min RT) with 10 μg/ml of propidium iodide and 5 μg/ml of cordycepin for the last 2 h of the experiment (Jones et al., 2013). Cells were then fixed with 5% formaldehyde (15 min RT) with 10 μg/ml of propidium iodide and 5 μg/ml of cordycepin for the last 2 h of the experiment (Jones et al., 2013). Examination of fixed cells was performed with a confocal laser scanning microscope (Olympus FV1000, 60x oil). Apoptotic cells were identified by propidium iodide exclusion from live cells stained with Hoechst 33342. Cells grown in 24-well plates were stained for 10 min (RT) with each dye. Images were taken immediately for the red and blue channels respectively and processed using ImageJ software.

2.4. Cell cycle analysis

U2-OS MycER cells were treated with 4-OHT for 1–4 days. At each time point, cells were fixed with 70% ethanol at –20 °C and incubated for 30 min in ice. Cells were then fixed with 5% formaldehyde (15 min RT) with 10 μg/ml of propidium iodide and 5 μg/ml of ribonuclease A. Cells were immediately analyzed on the FACSCalibur (Becton Dickinson). Acquired data was analyzed using the Cell Cycle platform of FlowJo software and the Watson (pragmatic) model.

2.5. DNA fibers

Cell cultures expressing specific oncogenic proteins were pulse-labeled with 25 μM of CldU for 20 min, followed by the change of media and a second pulse of 250 μM of IdU for 20 min. Labeled cells were harvested and DNA fiber spreads were prepared as described in Maya-Mendoza et al., 2012. To detect CldU a rat anti-Brdu antibody (OBT0030, Serotec, 1:1000) was used and for IdU detection a mouse anti-Brdu antibody (347580, Becton Dickinson, 1:1000). Antibodies for secondary
Figure 1 – Ras overexpression induces cell hyperproliferation and DNA damage response in BJ cells (BJ Ras). (A) BJ cells expressing the active version of the Ras protein (H-RasV12) under the control of the Tet-on system were incubated with doxycycline (Dox) for different time intervals and cell viability was estimated by trypan blue exclusion. Ras overexpression stimulated cell proliferation from day 1 to day 10 in comparison with non-treated cells. At later time points oncogene-induced senescence was gradually established (data not shown and (Kosar et al., 2011)). (B) The fraction of cells in the S phase was augmented in Ras overexpressing cells. A 30 min pulse of BrdU was added at specific time points in BJ Ras cells. The highest number of cells in the S phase was observed at day 4 post-induction and gradually decreased afterwards. (C) Quantification of total γH2AX after Ras overexpression. BJ Ras cells were treated with Dox at different time points and γH2AX was detected by immunofluorescence. The total intensity of γH2AX was measured in individual nuclei using high-throughput microscopy and the average intensity in each cell was analyzed by ScanR software. The plot shows the average of >4000 cells for each time point. (D) Quantification of the average number of 53BP1 foci.
First, we focused on the role of Ras in DNA synthesis 'deregluation', hypothesizing that OIS triggered by Ras overexpression might be related to DNA replication stress. Bj Ras cells were pulse-labeled using CldU and IdU for 30 min each pulse and examined for potential changes in the replication fork speed and stability. After 3–4 days of Ras induction, there was a small but reproducible and significant increase of the replication fork speed (Figure 1E, F and I). After 8 days of Ras induction, replication fork progression began to be compromised and significant reduction of the speed was observed (Figure 1G and I). Finally, after 14 days of continuous Ras overexpression, replication fork progression was strongly impaired (Figure 1H and I). Of note, after 14 days in culture non-induced cells showed also somewhat slower fork progression for the first pulse followed by fast

3. Results

3.1. Ras overexpression causes DNA replication stress

Oncogenic mutations in Ras can impair GTP hydrolysis and thus promote constitutively active GTP-Ras. To investigate to which extent and with what kinetics does the activated H-Ras affect cell cycle via DNA replication stress, we expressed a constitutively active version of H-Ras, H-RasV12 (referred to as Ras), using a Tet-dependent promoter model system (see Materials and Methods section). We assessed the level of Ras protein induction in our assays and compared with previously described experiments (Supplemental Figure 1A and Evangelou et al., 2013). Ras overexpression accelerated the growth rate in Bj normal human fibroblasts (Figure 1A). From the onset of Ras induction, an increase of cells in the S phase was observed. The fraction of cells in the S phase started to decline 6–8 days post-induction (Figure 1B), presumably reflecting initial phases of the Ras oncogene-induced senescence (OIS) program (Bartkova et al., 2006). It is known that cellular senescence induced by Ras is accompanied by increased DNA damage response (Bartkova et al., 2006; Di Micco et al., 2006; Rai et al., 2011) and that such DNA damaging impact is preserved even in secondary/bystander senescence caused by Ras (Hubackova et al., 2012). Using a high-throughput microscopy analysis, we found gradual accumulation of the DNA damage signaling marker γH2AX from the day 6 of Ras overexpression (Figure 1C). Noteworthy, from this time point the proportion of cells in the S phase started to decline. Another marker of DNA damage response, 53BP1, was also tested. We compared the number of 53BP1 foci in each nucleus in control and induced cells (Figure 1D). Strongly reminiscent of the γH2AX pattern, a significant increase in the 53BP1 foci number was seen from the day 6 of Ras induction.

The cellular level of deoxyribonucleoside triphosphates (dNTPs) is critical during DNA replication and cell proliferation (Anglana et al., 2003). Altered nucleotide biosynthesis pathways in OIS have been described (Aird et al., 2013). We therefore reasoned that DDR triggered by Ras overexpression might be mitigated by exogenous deoxynucleosides. The presence of exogenous deoxynucleosides reduced significantly the average number of 53BP1 foci in Ras overexpressing cells (Supplemental Figure 1D). These results show that Ras overexpression in diploid human Bj fibroblasts induces an initial wave of enhanced cell proliferation, triggers DDR and finally leads to OIS (Supplemental Figure 1C and Evangelou et al., 2013).

Next, we focused on the role of Ras in DNA synthesis 'dereglulation', hypothesizing that OIS triggered by Ras overexpression might be related to DNA replication stress. Bj Ras cells were pulse-labeled using CldU and IdU for 30 min each pulse and examined for potential changes in the replication fork speed and stability. After 3–4 days of Ras induction, there was a small but reproducible and significant increase of the replication fork speed (Figure 1E, F and I). After 8 days of Ras induction, replication fork progression began to be compromised and significant reduction of the speed was observed (Figure 1G and I). Finally, after 14 days of continuous Ras overexpression, replication fork progression was strongly impaired (Figure 1H and I). Of note, after 14 days in culture non-induced cells showed also somewhat slower fork progression for the first pulse followed by fast.

foci after Ras overexpression. Bj Ras cells were treated with Dox at different time points and 53BP1 was detected by immunofluorescence. The total number of 53BP1 foci in G1 cells was quantified in individual nuclei. The average number of foci was analyzed by ScanR software. (E–I) Ras overexpression modifies the speed of replication fork progression. Cells were pulse-labeled for 20 min with CldU, washed and labeled with IdU for another 20 min. The length of each pulse in individual well spread forks was measured and converted into kb/min. (E–H) Distribution of the fork extension rates (kb/min) in non-treated cells and cells treated with Dox for 3 (E), 4 (F), 8 (G) and 14 days (H), respectively. Empty bars represent data from control non-treated cells and black bars from Dox-treated cells. (I) Quantification of the mean extension rates (kb/min, 1 μm = 2.59 kb) during the first (CldU, 20 min) and the second (IdU, 20 min) pulses. The number of analyzed forks (n) is shown and the probabilities associated to the t-test (p value) are presented in the last column of the table (t-test: -Dox vs + Dox).
fork progression for the second pulse. This phenomenon could be related to a minor ‘leakiness’ of the Tet-regulated Ras system, leading to a modest yet detectable Ras impact on cell proliferation, possibly complemented by altered cytokine secretion known to contribute to cellular senescence in human cell cultures (Hubackova et al., 2012). Overall, these results indicate that Ras overexpression induces DNA replication stress in BJ cells and notably, that observed replication stress becomes manifested later than the first signs of increased cell proliferation.

3.2. Excess of Myc induces DNA replication stress in human cells

To determine the function of the oncopogene Myc in cell proliferation, we took advantage of the well-established protein expression system where the coding sequence of c-Myc is fused to the ligand-binding domain of the modified estrogen receptor (MycER) (Eilers et al., 1991). Using this system MycER can be expressed at levels 5- to 10-fold higher than endogenous Myc (an overexpression level commonly observed in tumors) but kept inactive until the addition of 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT), upon which MycER is activated and rapidly translocates to the nucleus. As for Ras, we chose normal BJ human fibroblasts to test whether excess of Myc in the nucleus impacts DNA replication. BJ cells were infected with virus containing either an empty vector pBabe or pBabe fused to the ligand-binding domain of the modified estrogen receptor (MycER) sequence. After 2–3 weeks of drug selection, the bulk cultures of BJ cells were treated with 4-OHT (Supplemental Figure 2). By the sixth day post-induction the number of BJ cells started to decline, in comparison with untreated control cells (Figure 2A). At this time point we also noticed a reduction in the speed of replication forks.

Cells that overexpress Myc may have to complete the full cell cycle before experiencing DNA replication problems, thus the slow cell cycle might explain the delayed effect of Myc overexpression on fork progression. To evaluate whether multiple cell cycles are necessary for Myc to induce DNA damage and RS and to compare the impact of the same MycER expression system in a tumor cell background, we transduced the osteosarcoma cell line U2-OS as described for BJ cells. U2-OS cells proliferate faster than BJ fibroblasts. Upon activation with 4-OHT we found that high levels of Myc in the nucleus led to defects in cell proliferation and enhanced cell death (Figure 3A and B and Supplemental Figure 3A and B). A detailed flow cytometry analysis showed accumulation of cells in the S phase and a reduction of the G1 population (Supplemental Figure 3C). Furthermore, activation of Myc resulted in progressive γH2AX accumulation (Figure 3C and D), yet no changes in the average number of 53BP1 bodies were observed (Supplemental Figure 3D).

In order to investigate whether excess of nuclear Myc also impacts global rates of replication fork progression in U2-OS MycER cells, we pulse-labeled cells with CldU for 20 min, followed by a second 20 min pulse of IdU (Figure 4A). After 24 h of incubation in the presence of 4-OHT, the replication fork speed was reduced by 15% (Figure 4B and H); longer induction of Myc decreased the fork speed by 40% (Figure 4C, D and H). These experiments suggested that excess of nuclear Myc affected almost every replication fork after 3 days of induction and required actively cycling cells (Supplemental Figure 4). It is also noteworthy that Myc activation generated CldU/IdU ratios of >2 from the first day of induction (Figure 4E–G), suggesting a high degree of fork asymmetry and fork collapse, features consistent with enhanced replication stress. Normally, replication origins fire at the equivalent speed in both directions and such perfect symmetry yields a CldU/IdU value of 1 (Burrell et al., 2013a), which is different from our observations in Myc-expressing cells. Together, above data show that excess of Myc affects both the fast-cycling U2-OS cells and, with a slight delay, the slow-cycling diploid BJ fibroblasts.

3.3. Changes in cell metabolism induced by Myc and Ras

Cell cycle regulation and proliferation can be affected under conditions of compromised metabolism. It is well-established that cancer cells rewire their metabolic programs and oncogenes, such as Ras and Myc are important regulators of cell metabolism (Barger and Plas, 2010). In particular, Myc upregulates expression of the lactate dehydrogenase A (LDHA), which diverges pyruvate to lactate, thus increases extracellular acidification (Lewis et al., 2000; Fan et al., 2010). Myc also increases glutaminolysis, which is a biochemical pathway that allows generation of citrate from glutamine under conditions of hypoxia and/or high LDHA activity. Notably, such conditions cause the citric acid cycle to run in reverse and bypass the succinate dehydrogenase complex, leading to the lower rate of the electron transport chain (ETC) and potentially a lower oxygen demand (Dang et al., 2006; Le et al., 2012). To relate the observed impact on DNA replication and RS with potential alterations in bioenergetic parameters, we examined whether Myc activation causes changes in the extracellular acidification rate (ECAR) and the oxygen consumption rate (OCR), using the Seahorse technology platform. In U2-OS cells, Myc overexpression increased the level of ECAR and lowered the oxygen consumption rate (Figure 5A and B), which is consistent with the ability of cancer cells to adapt to Myc-driven metabolism and efficiently run the TCA cycle in reverse. In contrast, in BJ MycER cells we did not observe any changes in either ECAR or OCR levels, regardless of the oncogene induction time (Figure 5C and D).

Since Ras affects both activation and stability of Myc, Ras would be expected to be at least as potent as Myc, while simultaneously activating several other pathways of importance for cellular transformation. In this regard, it is interesting that Ras provoked a series of metabolic changes in BJ cells that were more similar to changes triggered by Myc, when superimposed on the already transformed background of U2-OS cells, rather than those caused by Myc in BJ cells. Specifically, Ras efficiently increased the rate of glycolysis in BJ cells (Figure 5E), just as much as Myc did in U2-OS cells (Figure 5). Furthermore, and similar to the effect of Myc in U2-OS cells, the Ras-induced increase in ECAR after 4 days and was accompanied by a significant reduction in OCR (Figure 5F). These data indicate that Ras, probably due to upstream activation of several pathways, achieves an analogous level of metabolic reprogramming in normal fibroblasts as Myc overexpression in cancer cells.
Figure 2 — Myc overexpression slows down DNA replication fork progression in BJ cells. (A) BJ cells were infected to express the fusion protein MycER under the regulation of 4-OHT. After 2 weeks of selection cells were incubated in the presence of 4-OHT for different time points and cell viability was estimated by trypan blue exclusion. (B) BJ MycER cells were pulse-labeled for 20 min with CldU, washed and pulse-labeled with IdU for subsequent 20 min. The red color in DNA fibers is the signal from the first pulse and the green color from the second pulse. The length of each pulse in individual, well spread fibers was measured and converted into kb/min. Examples of DNA fibers from BJ MycER cells non-treated (6d control) and treated with 4-OHT (6d 4-OHT) for 6 days are shown. (C) BJ cells infected with retrovirus containing an empty pBabe vector were treated or not (control) with 4-OHT for 3 days and analyzed for the fork speed. (D–F) BJ MycER cells were induced for 1 (D), 3 (E) and 6 (F) days, respectively and the total fork speed was analyzed. Plots show the distribution of fork extension rates (kb/min) of the first and the second pulse in non-treated (empty bars) and 4-OHT-treated (black bars) cells. (G) Quantification of the mean extension rates (kb/min) during the first (CldU, 20 min) and the second (IdU, 20 min) pulses. The number of analyzed forks (n) is shown and the probabilities estimated by the t-test are presented in the last column of the table (t-test: control vs 4-OHT). Scale bars are 10 μm (25.9 kb).
3.4. Induction of ‘non-mitochondrial’ oxidative stress by Myc and Ras

Oncogene-induced senescence triggered by Ras has been related to increased production of ROS (Irani et al., 1997), which induces DDR (Di Micco et al., 2006). It has been also reported that short induction of Myc triggers ROS and induces DNA damage in human fibroblasts (Vafa et al., 2002). Therefore, as a marker of oxidative damage of RNA/DNA we tested the level of 8-oxoguanine (8-oxoG) in Ras- and Myc-expressing cells. We found an increase in the level of 8-oxoG after 3 days of Myc induction in both U2-OS and BJ cell types but no significant changes at early time points (Figure 6A and data not shown). Similarly, we observed the highest level of 8-oxoG staining in both U2-OS and BJ cell types but no significant changes at early time points (Figure 6A and data not shown). However, the above analysis of metabolism showed that mitochondrial respiration was diminished at early time points after Ras or Myc induction, suggesting that mitochondria are not the source of ROS detected by either the 8-oxoG staining or by detection of oxyethidium. This notion was subsequently confirmed using the fluorescent probe, MitoSOX Red, which, unlike DHE, detects superoxide produced only by mitochondria. We consistently saw changes in mitochondrial superoxide production that closely mimicked changes observed in OCR (Figure 6D–G). This included an initial reduction of superoxide production in Myc-expressing U2-OS cells and Ras-expressing BJ cells. On the other hand, increased superoxide production was apparent in Ras-induced BJ cells around two weeks post-induction, accompanied by an OCR increase, co-incident with the developing state of oncogene-induced cellular senescence.

Above data demonstrated that oxidative stress is increased following oncogene induction. However,
Myc overexpression slows down DNA replication fork progression and induces fork asymmetry in U2-OS cells. (A) U2-OS MycER cells were pulse-labeled for 20 min with CldU, washed and pulse-labeled with IdU for another 20 min. The red tracks in DNA fibers are signals from the first pulse and the green tracks from the second pulse. The length of each pulse in individual, well spread fibers was measured and converted into kb/min. Examples of DNA fibers from U2-OS MycER cells non-treated (3d control) and treated with 4-OHT (3d 4-OHT) for 3 days shown.

(B–D) U2-OS Myc cells were induced for 1 (B), 3 (C) and 6 (D) days, respectively and the total fork speed was analyzed. Plots show the distribution of fork extension rates (kb/min) of the first and the second pulse in non-treated (empty bars) and 4-OHT-treated (black bars) cells. CldU/IdU values are shown in (E–G). When extension rates are similar during both pulses, perfect symmetry is equal 1. From day 1 (E) of Myc overexpression there was a significant increase of highly asymmetric replication forks (ratios above 1.6; control n = 56, 4-OHT-induced n = 113; p associated to the t-test < 0.0001). Distribution of the ratio of fork rates during the first and the second pulse after 3 days (F) of Myc activation (ratios above 1.6; control n = 45, 4-OHT-induced n = 128; p < 6E-5) and after 6 days (G) of Myc activation (ratios above 1.6; control n = 115, 4-OHT-induced n = 128; p < 2E-7). (H) Quantification of the mean extension rates (kb/min) during the first (CldU, 20 min) and the second (IdU, 20 min) pulses. The number of analyzed forks (n) is shown and the probabilities assessed by the t-test are presented in the last column of the table (t-test: control vs 4-OHT). Scale bars are 10 μm (25.9 kb).
mitochondrial ETC is not the source of the produced ROS, suggesting a different, non-mitochondrial origin of such oncogene-triggered oxidative stress. Our analysis of mitochondrial superoxide furthermore confirmed that the mitochondrial ETC complexes might be bypassed early after oncogene induction in Myc-expressing U2-OS cells and Ras-expressing fibroblasts.

4. Discussion

DNA replication stress as a source of genome instability has been a subject of continuous research in the last few years (Bermejo et al., 2012; Burrell et al., 2013a; Toledo et al., 2013), further extending the concept of DNA damage response as an inducible biological barrier against activated oncogenes and tumor progression (Bartkova et al., 2005; Gorgoulis et al., 2005; Halazonetis et al., 2008; Bartek et al., 2012; Evangelou et al., 2013). Expression of several oncoproteins has been related to DNA replication stress but it is still unclear through which precise mechanism(s) oncoproteins induce RS and whether there are mechanistic and/or kinetic differences among oncogenes (Bartkova et al., 2006; Di Micco et al., 2006; Takacova et al., 2012; Jones et al., 2013). Under normal conditions mitogenic stimulation leads to physiological activation of endogenous wild-type Ras and Myc. Moreover, Myc stability is regulated via Ras effector pathways (Lee et al., 2008). Thus, we analyzed the effect of Ras and Myc overexpression on RS. In contrast to Myc overexpression, Ras showed an initial hyperproliferative effect in BJ cells. Additionally, we found

Figure 5 – Myc and Ras overexpression induces changes in cell metabolism. The extracellular acidification rate (ECAR) and the oxygen consumption rate (OCR) were measured simultaneously in the Seahorse XF96e bioanalyzer. (A–D) U2-OS MycER and BJ MycER cells were left untreated (−) or treated with 4-OHT (+) and were investigated after 3 days or after 3 and 6 days, respectively. After 3 days of Myc activation in U2-OS cells there was a significant increase of ECAR (A) and a decrease of OCR (B) levels, with no apparent changes in BJ MycER cells (C, D). (E) Ras overexpression by Dox incubation induced an increase of ECAR after 4 and 14 days in BJ cells. (F) OCR was initially (4d) decreased after Dox induction but was significantly elevated at later time points (14d).
Figure 6 — Oxidative RNA/DNA damage following oncogene activation. The 8-oxoguanine (8-oxoG/8-oxo(d)G) levels were analyzed by immunocytochemistry from day 1–6 after Myc activation and from day 1–18 after Ras overexpression. (A) Representative images of U2-OS MycER and BJ MycER cells after 3 days of 4-OHT incubation (lower row) are shown. BJ wild-type cells and BJ Ras cells Dox-induced for different time points are shown in upper row. (B, C) Levels of total superoxide measured by FACS analysis of dihydroethidium staining of (B) non-induced BJ Ras or BJ Ras cells after 4 and 6 days of Dox treatment, respectively and (C) BJ pBabe and BJ MycER cells after 3 days of 4-OHT induction. Measurements of mitochondrial superoxide using MitoSOX Red™ are shown in (D–G). (D) Representative histograms showing the influence of doxycycline-induced expression of Ras on production of mitochondrial superoxide after 4 and 14 days. (E) Fold increase in superoxide levels in induced compared with non-induced BJ Ras cells. (F) Representative histograms showing the level of superoxide in U2-OS MycER and BJ MycER cells after treatment with 4-OHT for 3 days. (G) Fold increase in superoxide levels in activated MycER cells compared with non-treated cells. In D–G, treatment with the mitochondrial complex III inhibitor Antimycin A (5 μM) served as a control for mitochondrial superoxide production.
that Ras overexpression controlled the speed of fork progression. Augmented cell proliferation may increase the fork progression speed, then hyperproliferation can unbalance nucleotide pools and cell metabolism, causing eventually slower replication fork progression and DNA replication stress. Consistently with such a scenario, we found that the fork progression speed diminished over time in Ras-expressing cells but also that exogenously added deoxynucleosides significantly reduced oncogene-evoked DNA damage.

It has been reported that the excess of Myc initiates premature origin firing, increases origin density and leads to asymmetric fork progression and DNA damage in vitro (Dominguez-Sola et al., 2007; Srinivasan et al., 2013). In our human model system excess of nuclear Myc did not impact initially fork progression and origin firing (Supplemental Figure 4 and data not shown; see values at 6 and 24 h after Myc induction). Nevertheless, we found that excess of Myc triggered DDR and DNA replication stress after cells have progressed through at least one cell cycle, pointing to a different mechanism than the one proposed for Ras.
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signaling of vital importance for oncogene-driven transformation and proliferation (Suh et al., 1999; Alexandrova et al., 2006; Weyemi et al., 2012). Although our OCR and MitoSOX analyses exclude any direct role of mitochondrial ROS production, it is noteworthy, that we observed both increased 8-oxoG staining and increased total superoxide in BJ cells expressing Myc or Ras at time points coinciding with increased proliferation and replication stress. NAPDH oxidase complexes situated at the plasma-membrane are common sources of superoxide radicals within cells (Ueyama et al., 2006; Bedard and Krause, 2007). Several studies have demonstrated that oncogenes increase ROS production by activation of the small GTPase Rac1 that binds NOXA1 within NADPH oxidases (Qiu et al., 1995; Mitsushita et al., 2004; Ferraro et al., 2006; Gianni et al., 2008). Furthermore, induction of CCND1 (cyclin D1) mRNA expression by the AP-1 transcription factor requires Rac1 and NAPDH oxidase activity (Ranjan et al., 2006; Daugaard et al., 2013). These previous reports in combination with our present results favor a model by which oncogenes induce DNA replication stress, which may be associated with ROS-induced signaling/damage but occurs independently of mitochondrial ROS production.

Collectively, our data indicate that oncogenic Ras and Myc operate differently to induce DNA replication stress, although Ras signaling pathways can regulate Myc. Myc overexpression induced DDR from the first cell cycle, reducing promptly the speed of fork progression. Ras-induced RS needed approximately a week of enhanced proliferation before fork progression slowed down and DNA damage response was clearly detectable. Interestingly, overexpression of the two oncoproteins had also differential impact on cell energy metabolism. Moreover, it became apparent from our results that Myc and Ras act differently according to the cell type (cellular context) and the level of oncogene expression. Ras overexpression in normal BJ cells evoked similar changes to those caused by Myc in cancerous U2-OS cells, yet distinct from those of Myc in BJ cells. These inter-oncogene and cell-context-dependent effects add an extra level of complexity to the emerging understanding of oncogene-induced DNA replication stress and its role in cancer progression. In this regard, future work should focus on the study of replication responses in cells from cancers that naturally overexpress either Myc or Ras, whose mode of action in tissues at the molecular level remains obscure. In particular, it would be interesting to know whether different ways of inducing DNA replication stress by Myc and Ras can be correlated with other genetic events needed to achieve full transformation in different cell types. Last but not least, this and analogous other studies provide novel insights into the potential vulnerabilities of cancer cells. Such knowledge is valuable not only to better understand tumorigenesis, but also due to the fact that both replication stress and metabolic alterations are exploitable in cancer treatment.
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