Ergodic dynamics and thermalization in an isolated quantum system
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Statistical mechanics is founded on the assumption that all accessible configurations of a system are equally likely. This requires dynamics that explore all states over time, known as ergodic dynamics. In isolated quantum systems, however, the occurrence of ergodic behaviour has remained an outstanding question. Here, we demonstrate ergodic dynamics in a small quantum system consisting of only three superconducting qubits. The qubits undergo a sequence of rotations and interactions and we measure the evolution of the density matrix. Maps of the entanglement entropy show that in regions of high entropy the full multi-qubit system can act like a reservoir for individual qubits, increasing their entropy through entanglement. Surprisingly, these maps bear a strong resemblance to the phase space trajectories is not required and systems can be accurately described using only a few parameters. What is the essential property of these systems that allows for such a simple description?

Ergodic dynamics provide an explanation for this simplicity. If the dynamics are ergodic, then the system will uniformly explore all microscopic states over time, constrained only by conservation laws. Ergodicity ensures that

\[ \langle O \rangle_{\text{time}} = \langle O \rangle_{\text{states}} \]

where \( O \) is any macroscopic observable and brackets denote averaging. In the limit of many particles, macroscopic observables such as pressure or density approach equilibrium and are stationary—therefore, at any time, \( O(t) = \langle O \rangle_{\text{time}} \). These two equations imply that macroscopic observables can be predicted by uniformly averaging over all states, and this forms the foundation for all thermodynamic calculations.

In classical systems, it is chaotic motion which drives the system to ergodically explore the state space. Quantum systems, however, are governed by Schrodinger’s equation, which is linear and consequently forbids chaotic motion. This poses fundamental questions regarding the applicability of statistical mechanics in isolated quantum systems. Do quantum systems exhibit ergodic behaviour in the sense of equation (1)? Do quantum systems act as their own bath to approach thermal equilibrium? Extensive experimental efforts have been made to address these fundamental questions.

Here, we investigate ergodic dynamics by considering a simple quantum model whose classical limit is chaotic. This model describes a collection of spin-1/2 particles whose collective motion is equivalent to that of a single larger spin with total angular momentum \( j \) governed by the Hamiltonian

\[ H(t) = \frac{\pi}{2\tau} J_z + \frac{\kappa}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta(t - n\tau) \]

where \( J_z \) and \( J_\tau \) are angular momentum operators. The sum over delta functions implies \( N \) applications of \( J_z \) at each integer time step. The angular momentum operators can be expressed in terms of the constituent spin-1/2 Pauli operators, for example, \( J_z = (\hbar/2) \sum_i \sigma_z \). Setting \( \tau = 1 \), the first term in \( H \) causes each spin to rotate around the \( y \)-axis by an angle \( \pi/2 \). The second term couples every spin to every other spin with strength \( \kappa/2 \). This can be seen by expanding \( J_z \) in terms of \( z \) operators, where terms such as \( \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \) and all other combinations appear.

The classical dynamics, being simple to visualize and interpret, can provide valuable intuition for studying the quantum limit. The classical limit of this model occurs when \( j \) is very large and quantization effects become negligible. In this limit, the system behaves like a classical spinning top, with dynamics which are known to be chaotic. The parameter \( \kappa \) sets the chaoticity and takes the dynamics from regular to chaotic as \( \kappa \) increases; at intermediate values, the system exhibits a rich mixture of both regular and chaotic motion.

Experimentally realizing this model requires a high degree of control over both local terms and interactions in a multi-qubit Hamiltonian. This led to the design of a three-qubit ring of planar transmons with tunable inter-qubit coupling (see Supplementary Information).† The rotations around the \( y \)-axis (\( J_\tau \)) are performed using shaped microwave pulses that are resonant with the qubit transition. The simultaneous and symmetric three-qubit interaction \( J_z \) is turned on and off using a tunable coupling circuit controlled by three separate square pulses. The qubit-qubit interaction energy \( g \) and the duration of the interaction pulses \( T \) set \( \kappa \) through the relation \( \kappa = 3gT/\hbar \). We measure the strength of the interaction energy \( \kappa \) by determining the time it takes for an excitation to swap between the qubits (see Supplementary Information).

1Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106-9530, USA. 2Google Inc., Santa Barbara, California 93117, USA. 3Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts 02215, USA. *These authors contributed equally to this work.
†E-mail: cneill@physics.ucsb.edu
The periodic nature of $H$ allows us to write down the unitary evolution over one cycle as

$$U = e^{-i\pi/2|0\rangle\langle0|} e^{-i\pi/2|\theta\rangle\langle\theta|}$$

shown schematically in Fig. 1a. We begin by initializing each qubit in the state

$$|\theta_0, \psi_0\rangle = \cos(\theta_0/2) + |\sigma_\theta\rangle + e^{i\psi_0} \sin(\theta_0/2) - |\sigma_\psi\rangle$$

where $\theta_0$ and $\psi_0$ are angles describing the orientation of the single-qubit states. This state is known as a spin coherent state and is the most classical spin state in the sense of minimum uncertainty and zero entanglement. After preparing the initial state, we rotate each qubit around the $y$-axis by an angle $\pi/2$. Next, we allow all of the qubits to interact with one another for a duration which sets the value of $\kappa$. We repeat these two steps $N$ times and then tomographically reconstruct the resulting density matrix. For details regarding the pulse sequence, see Supplementary Information.

We visualize the evolution of the system by depicting the single-qubit state as a vector inside of a Bloch sphere, shown in Fig. 1b. Each Bloch vector is constructed by measuring the expectation values of the $x$, $y$ and $z$ Pauli operators after evolving the system according to equation (3). As the dynamics are symmetric under qubit exchange, the qubits undergo nominally identical evolution and we plot the average behaviour (see Supplementary Information). The chosen initial state is shown in red, with the Bloch vector after subsequent steps shown in blue. After each step, there are two qualitative changes: a rotation and a change in the length. The orientation is analogous to the orientation of the classical spin. The change in length, however, describes entanglement among the qubits.

Entanglement can be characterized using the entanglement entropy $S$,

$$S = -\text{Tr} \rho_{\text{eq}} \log_2(\rho_{\text{eq}})$$

where $\rho_{\text{eq}}$ is the density matrix of a single qubit. Writing the trace as a sum reproduces the familiar definition of entropy $-\sum \rho_i \log(\rho_i)$, where $\rho_i$ is the probability of being in the $i$th microstate. If the qubit is in a pure state, then the single-qubit state is completely known and the entropy is zero. However, if the qubits are entangled with one another, then $\rho_{\text{eq}}$ is a statistical mixture of states and the entropy is non-zero.

In Fig. 2a, we show the entanglement entropy between a single qubit and the rest of the qubits at several instances in time. The entanglement entropy is measured by performing state tomography on the individual qubits and then directly applying equation (5) to the reconstructed density matrix. In each panel, we prepare various initial states $|\theta_0, \psi_0\rangle$, evolve the system for $N$ steps and plot the entanglement entropy; different panels correspond to different $N$. Initial states prepared close to the $y$-axis have low entropy (red), which remains low as the system evolves. States prepared farther away from the $y$-axis gain higher entropy (blue) given sufficient time. We perform the same set of experiments for stronger interaction, $\kappa = 2.5$, shown in Fig. 2b. At stronger interactions, the entropy increases rapidly and regions of low entropy are no longer isolated near to the $y$-axis.

In Fig. 2a,b, we see that the entropy fluctuates over time. In small quantum systems, there are fluctuations or revivals that vanish when the system size is taken to infinity (known as the thermodynamic limit). For finite systems, averaging the entropy over time is commonly used to estimate the equilibrium value approached by larger systems. In Fig. 2c, we show the entanglement entropy averaged over time ($N$) for both values of interaction strength $\kappa$. The corresponding classical dynamics are shown in Fig. 2d.

We find a striking resemblance between the average entanglement in the quantum system and chaotic dynamics in the classical limit. The regions of classical phase space where the dynamics are chaotic correspond to high entropy (blue) in the quantum system; regions that are classically regular correspond to low entropy (red), including bifurcation at large $\kappa$. The results shown in Fig. 2b have been predicted by recent theoretical works. The connection between chaos and entanglement has been of theoretical interest for a considerable time. However, these studies focused on very large systems near the border of quantum and classical physics. Here, we show that the results hold deep in the quantum limit. It is interesting to note that chaos and entanglement are each exclusive to their respective classical and quantum domains, and any connection is surprising. The correspondence is even more unexpected given that our system is far from the classical limit.

In Fig. 2b, the entanglement entropy in the blue regions approaches 0.8, close to the maximum attainable value of 1.0 for a single qubit. The value of 0.8 is very close to the value one would obtain by uniformly averaging over all states, 0.73 (ref. 31). In
Figure 2 | Entanglement entropy and classical chaos. **a,b**, The entanglement entropy (colour) of a single qubit (see equation (5)) averaged over qubits and mapped over a 31 × 61 grid of the initial state, for various time steps N and two values of interaction strength κ = 0.5 (a) and κ = 2.5 (b). The entanglement entropy of a single qubit can range from 0 to 1. **c**, The entanglement entropy averaged over 20 steps for κ = 0.5 and over 10 steps for κ = 2.5; for both experiments the maximum pulse sequence is ≈500 ns. The left/right asymmetry is the result of experimental imperfections and is not present in numerical simulations (see Supplementary Information). **d**, A stroboscopic map of the classical dynamics is computed numerically and shown for comparison. The map is generated by randomly choosing 5,000 initial states, propagating each state forwards using the classical equations of motion, and plotting the orientation of the state after each step as a point. We observe a clear connection between regions of chaotic behaviour (classical) and high entanglement entropy (quantum).

Figure 3 | Multi-qubit entanglement. We represent the three-qubit density matrix for two initial states shown inset, one where the entropy was low (top) and one where the entropy was high (bottom). In both cases, the initial state was evolved for N = 10 time steps and κ = 0.5. Each bar indicates the expectation value of one possible combination of Pauli operators on the three qubits, the corresponding operator is shown using coloured squares. The increase in multi-qubit correlations in the lower panel signifies that the contrast between high and low entropy is the result of entanglement.
It is interesting to know the generality of our results, as they could provide a generic framework for studying quantum dynamics. Numerical results suggest that ergodic behaviour breaks down only when the evolution is highly constrained by conservation laws; such systems are referred to as integrable and represent models that are fine tuned and consequently rare. Our choice of Hamiltonian was motivated by the lack of conserved quantities, where only the total spin is conserved—not even energy is conserved. We believe that our simple and clear descriptions of thermalization merely lay the foundation upon which many fundamental questions in non-equilibrium thermodynamics can be experimentally investigated.

Data availability. The data that support the plots within this paper and other findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.
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Figure 4 | Ergodic dynamics. The overlap of the time-averaged three-qubit density matrix with a microcanonical ensemble (see equation (6)) versus number of time steps $N$, for $\kappa = 2.5$. We choose three different initial states, shown inset. A value of 1.0 indicates that the dynamics are fully ergodic.
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