Epigenetic Activation of Silent Biosynthetic Gene Clusters in Endophytic Fungi Using Small Molecular Modifiers
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The discovery of silent biosynthetic gene clusters (BGCs) in fungi provides unlimited prospects to harness the secondary metabolites encoded by gene clusters for various applications, including pharmaceuticals. Amplifying these prospects is the new interest in exploring fungi living in the extremes, such as those associated with plants (fungal endophytes). Fungal species in endosymbiosis relationship with plants are recognized as the future factories of clinically relevant agents since discovering that they can produce similar metabolites as their plant host. The endophytes produce these compounds in natural environments as a defense mechanism against pathogens that infect the plant host or as a strategy for mitigating competitors. The signaling cascades leading to the expression of silent biosynthetic gene clusters in the natural environment remain unknown. Lack of knowledge on regulatory circuits of biosynthetic gene clusters limits the ability to exploit them in the laboratory. They are often silent and require tailor-designed strategies for activation. Epigenetic modification using small molecular compounds that alter the chromatin network, leading to the changes in secondary metabolites profile, has achieved considerable success. This review aims to comprehensively analyze the secondary metabolite profiles expressed after treatment with various epigenetic modifiers. We first describe the regulatory circuits governing the expression of secondary metabolites in fungi. Following this, we provide a detailed review of the small molecular modifiers, their mechanism(s) of action, and the diverse chemistries resulting from epigenetic modification. We further show that genetic deletion or epigenetic inhibition of histone deacetylases does not always lead to the overexpression or induction of silent secondary metabolites. Instead, the response is more complex and often leads to differential expression of secondary metabolites. Finally, we propose using this strategy as an initial screening tool to dereplicate promising fungal species.
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INTRODUCTION

Endophytic fungi have recently attracted attention in screening programs after discovering that they can produce similar metabolites to their plant hosts (Deeepika et al., 2016). Endophytic microorganisms colonize the intracellular and intercellular regions of healthy plant tissues without damaging the host plant (Rodriguez et al., 2009; Nair and Padmavathy, 2014). In this relationship, they produce secondary metabolites that play an essential role in defending the plant host from pathogens, thus protecting its habitat (Hardoin et al., 2015; Deeepika et al., 2016). Secondary metabolism is not directly involved in the producing organism’s growth, development, or reproduction. Still, it may act as chemical signals during cell-to-cell communications in natural environments (Netzker et al., 2015). Interestingly, the produced secondary metabolites exhibit sought-after properties in the pharmaceutical industries such as antibacterial, antifungal, anticancer, and other bioactivities (Tyrin et al., 2018).

Secondary metabolites (SMs) are low molecular weight substances encoded by contiguous gene assemblies termed biosynthetic gene clusters (BGCs), which encode enzymes responsible for a stepwise assembly of complex bioactive molecules (Pfannenstiel and Keller, 2019). Many of the fungal-derived secondary metabolites, such as cyclosporines, statins, and penicillins were discovered in the early drug screening programs (Harvey et al., 2018; Ding et al., 2020). However, the search for active molecules from microorganisms declined due to a continuous rediscovery of known metabolites (Jackson et al., 2018). As a result, pharmaceutical companies shifted their investment interests to synthetic drug development routes (Jackson et al., 2018).

Recent advances in genome sequencing technologies have revealed that fungi are host to many novel BGCs other than those previously identified in natural product screening programs (Macheleidt et al., 2016). Fungi, more especially those from unexplored environments such as fungal endophytes, are promising alternative sources of novel chemistries that will provide potent drugs that have the potential to combat the growing challenge of antibiotic resistance and the emergence of new multidrug-resistant pathogens (Phukan et al., 2018). However, the problem is that most of these BGCs do not produce appreciable concentrations of metabolites during cultivation in standard laboratory conditions and are regarded as “silent” or “cryptic” (Xu et al., 2017; Pfannenstiel and Keller, 2019). Therefore, there is a discrepancy between fungi’ secondary metabolite production potential and the currently known fungal metabolites in the clinical pipeline. For this reason, there is a fast-growing research interest aimed at identifying new approaches to activating the silent secondary metabolites encoding genes (Macheleidt et al., 2016).

Successful activation of silent BGCs requires a proper understanding of the regulatory circuits governing the secondary metabolites gene clusters. The signaling cues that trigger the expression of the silent or transiently expressed BGCs in natural environments remain unknown (Reen et al., 2015). Several strategies developed to awaken the silent genes have shown to significantly influence the profile of secondary metabolites produced (VanderMolen et al., 2013; Hewage et al., 2014; Nielsen and Larsen, 2015; Begani et al., 2018; Scherlach and Hertweck, 2021). The activation of these genes can be achieved at the genome, transcriptome, proteome, or metabolome levels (Scherlach and Hertweck, 2009; Cichewicz, 2010; Ochi and Hosaka, 2013; Begani et al., 2018; Scherlach and Hertweck, 2021). There are several exciting reviews on these strategies, such as those noted by Rutledge and Challis (2015), which categorizes these approaches into pleiotropic and pathway-specific.

Several BGC awakening strategies (based on culturing techniques and genetic manipulation) proposed to date have varying advantages and disadvantages. The culture-based strategies include the variation of growth conditions, also known as one strain many compounds (OSMAC) and co-culturing (Pan et al., 2019). In the OSMAC strategy, growth conditions such as temperature, media, cultivation time, etc., can be systematically varied to simulate the changing signaling cues in the environment (Bode et al., 2002; Guzmán-Chávez et al., 2018). Different studies have shown that small changes in cultivation conditions and media composition (OSMAC approach) completely shift the metabolic profile of various filamentous fungi (Bode et al., 2002; Romano et al., 2018; González-Menéndez et al., 2019; Toghueo et al., 2020). The second culture-based approach involves co-culturing with microorganisms such as bacteria, where crosstalk between the co-cultivated organisms induces the production of metabolites encoded by the silent BGCs (Bertrand et al., 2014; Rutledge and Challis, 2015; Pfannenstiel and Keller, 2019). The challenge with the culture-based approaches is that they are laborious, requiring scientists to set up several experiments encompassing different conditions (Romano et al., 2018).

Several genetic manipulation strategies have been developed to awaken the silent biosynthetic gene clusters in fungi (Jiang et al., 2021). One of these strategies involves engineering the transcription and translation machinery, which is achieved by introducing mutational changes in RNA polymerase and proteins, thus increasing BGC expression (Rutledge and Challis, 2015; Pfannenstiel and Keller, 2019). Another genetic approach includes manipulating global regulators to activate more than one pathway to discover multiple metabolites (Rutledge and Challis, 2015; Baral et al., 2018). In a review by Rutledge and Challis (2015), they discussed four pathway-specific approaches, including (1) Manipulating pathway-specific regulators to increase the expression of BGCs. This approach requires prior knowledge of genes that encode putative pathway-specific transcription factors (Rutledge and Challis, 2015). (2) Reporter-guided mutant selection method allows for the visualization of mutant expressing a target BGC (Rutledge and Challis, 2015). (3) Refactoring by using constitutive or readily inducible promoters, replacing natural promoters (Rutledge and Challis, 2015; Baral et al., 2018). (4) After the BGC of interest has been identified, the genes are activated and expressed in a heterologous host. The heterologous expression approach simplifies metabolite identification workflows (Rutledge and Challis, 2015; Fan et al., 2017; Harvey et al., 2018). Refactoring is seen as the best approach since fungi are typically resistant to genetic manipulation, and heterologous expression might provide a better alternative for expressing fungal biosynthetic
Endosymbiotic Relationship Between Fungal Endosymbionts and Their Plant Hosts

Endophytic fungi belong to mitosporic and meioiosporic ascomycetes that asymptotically colonize the internal tissues of the plant host (Jia et al., 2016). Endophytic fungi have a significant biological diversity, as most plants host one or more endophytic fungus species (Jia et al., 2016; Rana et al., 2019). The host plant secretes compounds as a defense system to protect itself from colonization (Jia et al., 2016). In response, the endophytic fungi secrete the matching detoxifying enzymes such as cellulases, lactase, xylanase, and protease, to decompose these plant protective compounds, thus allowing these endophytes to penetrate through the plant defense systems (Jia et al., 2016; Khare et al., 2018). Once the endophytic fungi colonize the inner tissues of the plant, fungi assume a latent state either for the whole lifetime of the plant host (neutralism) or for an extended period (mutualism or antagonism) (Jia et al., 2016).

There are several benefits for the plant host resulting from this symbiotic relationship with fungal endophytes. The benefits include induced plant growth, increased resistance to disease, and the stimulation of secondary metabolites production (Alvin et al., 2014; Fadiji and Babalola, 2020). Fungal endophytes produce secondary metabolites in response to external stimuli such as changes in nutritional needs or pathogenic threats (Alvin et al., 2014; Macheleidt et al., 2016). These secondary metabolites may serve as defense molecules mediating nutrient acquisition and species-species communication (Strobel, 2003; Berg and Hallmann, 2006; Macheleidt et al., 2016). Secondary metabolites produced by fungal endophytes can trigger a defense mechanism by the plant host against specific plant pathogens (Kloepper and Ryu, 2006; Alvin et al., 2014). Also, fungal endophytes can encourage increased cell apoptosis when pathogens infect the plant host. This response can occur in several ways, such as producing phytohormones, siderophores, etc. (Berg and Hallmann, 2006; Alvin et al., 2014). Other benefits include a natural resistance to soil contaminants, the ability to degrade xenobiotics, and their action as vectors to present degradative traits to plants (Ryan et al., 2008). The host plant also provides many benefits to the development and survival of the endophytic fungi (Fadiji and Babalola, 2020).

The host plant’s genetic background determines its endophyte population structure as substrates produced by the host plant impact the colonization and distribution of the endophytic fungi (Card et al., 2016; Jia et al., 2016). These factors indicate that the host plant’s fitness affects the fitness of endophytic fungi (Jia et al., 2016). The symbiotic relationship between the plant and its endophytes proves that endophytic bioactive compounds are likely to possess reduced cell toxicity since the secondary metabolites produced during this interaction do not harm the eukaryotic host system (Jia et al., 2016; Fadiji and Babalola, 2020). This suggests that active secondary metabolites produced by endophytic fungi in this symbiotic relationship are potentially selectively toxic to invading agents but not their plant host. Selective toxicity is an important criterion when developing pharmaceutical drugs, as potential drugs may not adversely affect recipient cells (Strobel, 2003; Alvin et al., 2014).
and post-translationally modified peptides (RiPPs), terpenoids, saccharides, and hybrid compounds being the most widely characterized (Alvin et al., 2014; Reen et al., 2015; Macheleidt et al., 2016). The NRPs are characterized by three main domains, (1) the adenylation (A) domain responsible for amino acid recognition, (2) the peptidyl carrier protein (PCP) responsible for activation as well as (3) a condensation (C) domain responsible for bond formation. Typical PKs also consists of three domains which include (1) an acyltransferase (AT) which acts as the gatekeeper for substrate specificity, selecting and activating the monomers and the intermediate acyl chain, (2) a ketosynthase (KS) which catalyzes C-C bond formation via the Claisen condensation in the elongation of the polyketide chain, and (3) the thioesterase (TE) domain which is responsible for the termination of the growing chain The structural details of the fungal biosynthetic gene clusters will not be covered in details in this review, but the reader is referred to an excellent review by Guzmán-Chávez et al. (2018). The fungal BGCs represent a potential source for new scaffolds to discover novel antimicrobial compounds (Tyurin et al., 2018).

Fungal biosynthetic genes tend to position proximal to the telomeres in the genome in heterochromatin regions (Brosch et al., 2008). Biosynthetic genes are often transcriptionally controlled by epigenetic regulation through histone deacetylation and DNA methylation (Pettit, 2011). The changes in biotic and abiotic conditions in the natural environments trigger the fungal chromatin-based induction of silent secondary metabolites biosynthetic gene clusters. Essentially, these triggers are environmental factors and nutrients which strongly influence the production of secondary metabolites by fungi (Zutz et al., 2013). However, fungal fermentations on artificially defined media in the laboratory tend to be poor surrogates to mimic the endophytic environmental conditions. This often results in the induction of only a subset of biosynthetic pathways encoding secondary metabolites thus limiting the full biosynthetic prospects of fungi (Williams et al., 2008). Understanding the regulatory processes will assist in realizing the full potential of endophytic fungi.

**GENETIC REGULATION OF FUNGAL BIOSYNTHETIC GENE CLUSTERS ENCODING SECONDARY METABOLITE AT A CHROMATIN LEVEL**

Secondary metabolism is not immediately essential for the organism but produced secondary metabolites may influence the organism's competitiveness in natural environments, hence the tight regulation of these biosynthetic genes (Gacek and Strauss, 2012). Biosynthetic gene clusters encoding secondary metabolites are typically activated in response to environmental stimuli, and this expression depends on the developmental stage of the fungus (Keller, 2019). In fungi, the chromatin structure has emerged as a fundamental regulatory circuit of cellular metabolism, with the chromatin-modifying enzymes playing an essential role in fungal secondary metabolism (Brosch et al., 2008; Nützmann et al., 2013).

**The Structure of the Chromatin and Its Role in the Control of Gene Expression**

The chromatin is composed of DNA (∼147 base pairs of double-stranded DNA) cross-linked to an octamer of histone proteins, H2A, H2B, H3, and H4 (Aghcheh and Kubicek, 2015; Sun et al., 2021). The tightly packed DNA and structural nuclear proteins like histones and non-histone chromatin-associated proteins together form a nucleosome (Nützmann et al., 2013). Linker histones, mainly H1, bind to the nucleosome at the entry and exit site. It does not make up the histone “bead” but sits on top of the structure, which assists the chromatin in folding into higher-order structures (Fyodorov et al., 2018).

The chromatin acts as a natural substrate for the transcriptional machinery and regulatory proteins that replicate and repair DNA and chromosome segregation (Gacek and Strauss, 2012; Nützmann et al., 2013). Histones H2A, H2B, H3, and H4 exist as dimers and are the four core proteins (Fyodorov et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2021). They have a basic N-terminal domain and a histone-fold C-terminal domain (Caterino and Hayes, 2011). Histones H3 and H4 are almost identical in plants and animals, while H2A and H2B histones differ from species to species (Fyodorov et al., 2018). Histone H2A is well characterized and is found at sites with specific transcriptional control, chromatin remodeling, and when DNA repair is required (Giaimo et al., 2019). The H3 and H4 histones have long tails extending from the nucleosome, representing sites where pathways for signal transduction affect the chromatin structure. Moreover, numerous other minor histone variants play a specialized role in regulating the chromatin (Martire and Banaszynski, 2020).

Histones are substrates for several post-translational modifications (PTMs), including methylation, acetylation, phosphorylation, ubiquitination, SUMOylation, citrullination, and ADP-ribosylation that can modify their interaction with nuclear proteins and DNA (Bannister and Kouzarides, 2011; Aghcheh and Kubicek, 2015). Post-translational modifications are central in defining the state of the chromatin, which can either be loosely ( euchromatin) or tightly (heterochromatin) packaged (Figure 1; Studt et al., 2013). These modifications are likely to control the interaction of histones with transcriptional activators and repressors (Shwab et al., 2007). Histone acetylation and DNA methylation are the most commonly occurring of all post-translational modifications (Studt et al., 2013). When the histones are highly acetylated, they are loosely bound to DNA and renders the chromatin transcriptionally accessible (Okada and Seyedsayamdost, 2017). However, it has been shown that the post-translational modification of histones through either methylation, acetylation and/or phosphorylation can lead to chromatin rearrangements that can improve selective accessibility of DNA by some transcription factors (Bannister and Kouzarides, 2011; Fischer et al., 2016).

Changes in the chromatin structure are essential in the functioning of the cell and may lead to short and long alterations...
in the transcriptional activities of the cell. These changes are governed by intrinsic cellular and extrinsic environmental factors (Brosch et al., 2008). They play a central role in maintaining cellular integrity, differentiation, development, and metabolism (Brosch et al., 2008). The intrinsic cellular factors are mediated by enzymes such as histone deacetylases (HDACs) and histone acetyltransferases (HATs) which are responsible for eliminating, establishing, and preserving local chromatin modification that either expresses or represses gene transcription (Albright et al., 2015). Histone binding proteins, histone acetyltransferase, and methyltransferases can regulate the expression of secondary metabolite BGCs in filamentous fungi (Gacek and Strauss, 2012). Two main groups of these small-molecule epigenetic modifiers: HDAC inhibitors and DNMT inhibitors, play an influential role as elicitors in producing secondary metabolites (Okada and Seyedsayamdost, 2017). The HATs and HDACs have antagonistic action on histone acetylation. HATs are responsible for deacetylation, which is linked to gene transcription, and HDACs involved in deacetylation is associated with gene silencing (Bannister and Kouzarides, 2011; Studt et al., 2013).

Histone deacetylases (HDACs) are categorized into three families (1) zinc-dependent (classical) HDACs (e.g., class 1, class 2, HOS3-like HDACs in fungi, and class 4 found in other Eukaryotes), (2) nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD⁺)-dependent SIR-like HDACs (Sirtuins), and (3) HD2-like enzymes (found exclusively in plants) (Brosch et al., 2008; Lamoth et al., 2015). Classical HDACs are particularly interesting as they play a pivotal role in the regulation of secondary metabolism. Classical HDACs can be further classified into class1 or RPD3-type HDACs (RPD3; RPDA in A. nidulans, Hos1, Hos2; HosA in A. nidulans) and class 2 or HDAC1-type (HDA1; HdaA in A. nidulans) (Tribus et al., 2010; Lamoth et al., 2015). Deleting deacetylases or chemical inhibition of deacetylase using small molecular elicitors that inactivate deacetylases' activity often leads to increased production of known or novel secondary metabolites in several fungi (Gacek and Strauss, 2012). The HDACs and HATs are instrumental in transitioning between heterochromatin and euchromatin (Fischer et al., 2016).

**Global Regulation of Biosynthetic Gene Clusters in Fungal Species**

The discovery of LaeA (loss of aflR expression) in *Aspergillus* species, a nuclear methyltransferase protein, has provided insights into regulating secondary metabolite production in filamentous fungi (Bok and Keller, 2004). Sequence analysis of a gene encoding LaeA protein showed that this protein is similar to histone and arginine methyltransferases (Chiang et al., 2009). Furthermore, in a study by Perrin et al. (2007), they compared the transcriptional profile of the wild type, ΔlaeA mutant, and complemented strains of *A. fumigatus*. They showed that laeA was involved in the transcriptional control of 13 of the 22 secondary metabolite gene clusters of this species. Seven of these gene clusters controlled by laeA were located in subtelomeric regions. The location of laeA regulated genes and its sequence similarity to methyltransferases provided strong evidence that laeA might be involved in chromatin remodeling (Fox and Howlett, 2008). Apart from regulating secondary metabolite gene clusters in fungi, LaeA is also a critical factor in fungal morphology and development (Calvo et al., 2002; Bok and Keller, 2004). Global manipulation of transcription factors allows for simultaneous targeting of several secondary metabolite gene clusters (Bok and Keller, 2004). This approach has been demonstrated in *Aspergillus* spp. through the overexpression of laeA, which activated or enhanced the production of several known bioactive compounds (Bok and Keller, 2004). Furthermore, LaeA, alongside the highly conserved velvet complex proteins, is believed to play a role in fungal pathogenicity (Fischer et al., 2016).
The LaeA/LAE1 protein is directly involved in the transcription of various secondary metabolite gene clusters through direct interaction with the velvet domain family (Aghcheh and Kubicek, 2015). It forms a heterotrimERIC with the VelB and VelA of the velvet family proteins (VelB-VelA-LaeA complex), that coordinate the light signal-dependent fungal development linked to secondary metabolism (Fischer et al., 2016; Chang et al., 2019). The presence of a methyltransferase domain in LaeA is associated with the regulation of secondary metabolite gene cluster expression through the epigenetic modification of the chromatin structure (Perrin et al., 2007). The LaeA protein is thought to facilitate the repression of the heterochromatin via its association with the heterochromatin-associated methylases or deacetylases (Shwab and Keller, 2008; Kosalková et al., 2009).

LaeA in chromatin remodeling is a global regulator whose role is mainly facilitated by histone methylation (Wu and Yu, 2015). Deletion of laeA blocked the expression of sterigmatocystin, while its overexpression triggered an increased gene transcription of certain products such as penicillin and lovastatin in various Aspergillus spp. (Bok and Keller, 2004; Wu and Yu, 2015). Deletion of hdaA, a methyltransferase from Aspergillus that is a homologous of LaeA histone HDAC, increased secondary metabolite production (Okada and Seyedsayamdost, 2017). Further evidence indicated that the deletion of hdaA, in the fungal endophyte, Calcarisporium arbuscular led to the activation of ten compounds. Four of these were novel structures, including three tricyclic diterpenes and a novel meroterpenoid (Mao et al., 2015; Ding et al., 2020). LaeA protein is thus considered a global regulator of secondary metabolism whose levels modify the profile of secondary metabolites production (Lim et al., 2012).

Bok et al. (2009) hypothesized that in addition to LaeA protein, other chromatin-modifying proteins such as the members of the COMPASS (complex associated with Set1) also play a vital role in regulating the biosynthetic gene clusters. They identified CciA from A. nidulans, an ortholog of Bre2 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. This gene was deleted together with a gene encoding a fatty acid synthase (stc1) previously identified in A. nidulans, which is required for sterigmatocystin production (Bok and Keller, 2004; Bok et al., 2006, 2009). The double mutant strain could not produce sterigmatocystin. However, it was able to produce two known compounds (A. nidulans austinol and dehydroaustinol) and six aromatic compounds not previously identified in this fungus, and they were identified as monodictyphenone, emodin and four emodin analogs. All the compounds produced shared a similar aromatic non-reduced polyketide structure (Bok et al., 2009). The authors targeted ten unknown non-reduced polyketide clusters and were able to link polyketides responsible for producing all the identified compounds (Bok et al., 2009).

Chromatin Remodeling Alters the Profile of Fungal Secondary Metabolites

Following this discovery that the disruption of histone deacetylase activity led to the transcriptional activation of gene clusters encoding for sterigmatocystin and penicillin, Shwab et al. (2007) showed that histone-modifying enzymes influence secondary metabolism. They found that HDAC mutants of A. nidulans generated by the deletion of hdaA bypassed the regulation by laeA. The genetic deletion of hdaA resulted in an increased expression of subtelomeric secondary metabolites, sterigmatocystin and penicillin (Shwab et al., 2007; Gacek and Strauss, 2012). These results provided strong evidence that hdaA mediate the expression of subtelomeric secondary metabolite gene clusters in Aspergillus. The role of hdaA as a global suppresser of biosynthetic genes was postulated to be a common occurrence since this HDAC is conserved in filamentous fungi (Shwab et al., 2007; Mao et al., 2015). Indeed, deletion of hdaA in filamentous fungi such as Calcarisporium arbuscular (Mao et al., 2015), Penicillium chrysogenum Fes1701 (Ding et al., 2020) resulted in a pleiotropic activation of secondary metabolites. Although this gene is an obvious target for activating secondary metabolite production, its deletion often results in other development defects such as slower growth, shorter mycelia, and defective sporulation (Mao et al., 2015). For example, in a study by Niu et al. (2015), they observed a decrease in conidia production upon the deletion of a putative histone deacetylase gene, hid1 in Pestalotiopsis microspora NK17. The deletion of this HDAC gene resulted in a twofold increase pestalotiollide B yield. These observations are expected since HDACs are directly or indirectly involved in the development, proliferation, differentiation, and cell death (Kim and Bae, 2011; Niu et al., 2015).

The results observed after genetic depletion of HDAC suggested that pharmacological depletion using chemical HDAC inhibitors (known as an epigenetic modification) could provide means of regulating or increasing secondary metabolite production without genetic deletions (Shwab et al., 2007; Zutz et al., 2014). This strategy has since been popular in inducing a wide variety of novel secondary metabolites that are otherwise transiently expressed by fungi or have never been detected due to silencing of the encoding biosynthetic gene (Shwab et al., 2007). Interestingly, fungal species are primed for chromatin remodeling since their biosynthetic genes group together in locations that tend to be near the telomeres of their chromosomes (Toghueo et al., 2020). This localization of biosynthetic gene clusters near telomeres of the chromosomes might be a setup to facilitate efficiently coordinated regulation through chromatin remodeling within the subtelomeric regions (Brosch et al., 2008).

Epigenetic modification of the chromatin structure has shown to be a powerful tool in activating silent genes (Reyes-Dominguez et al., 2010; Strauss and Reyes-Dominguez, 2011; Chávez et al., 2015). In a vast number of cases, it has also led to the production of novel bioactive molecules. There are three levels involved in epigenetic regulation (i) DNA methylation, essential for normal development and differentiation. Transcription is inhibited by the covalent addition of a methyl group at the 5-C of the cytosine ring resulting in a 5-methylcytosine (5-mC) which extends into the major groove of DNA (Aghcheh and Kubicek, 2015); (ii) chromatin remodeling by histone modification where histones can undergo post-translational modifications (PTMs), which alter the interaction with DNA and nuclear proteins.
the past two decades, vast and diverse compounds have been produced by treating fungi with epigenetic modifiers (Williams et al., 2008; Linnakoski et al., 2018; Togheue et al., 2020). Chromatin remodeling using epigenetic modifiers such as histone deacetylase (HDAC) and DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) inhibitors presents a straightforward and low-cost approach to uncovering the regulatory circuit in fungal biosynthetic genomes. The fungal biosynthetic genes hold a promise as a reservoir for novel chemistries that could be developed into pharmaceuticals (Gacek and Strauss, 2012).

Histone deacetylases inhibitors are structurally classified into four classes: hydroxamates, cyclic peptides, aliphatic acids, and benzamides (reviewed by Kim and Bae, 2011). HDAC inhibitors alter gene expression patterns and endorse changes in non-histone proteins occurring at the post-translational level (Shwab et al., 2007; Williams et al., 2008). Trichostatin A (TSA), its derivative, suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA), and sodium butyrate are frequently used as HDAC inhibitors in filamentous fungi. Both TSA and SAHA present a hydroxamic group that binds to the zinc ion of class 1 and 2 classical HDACs’s active sites, thus preventing their activities. Sodium butyrate inhibits the histone deacetylase activity, leading to differentiation in eukaryotic cells (Zutz et al., 2014; Poças-Fonseca et al., 2020). Class 1 and class 2 classical HDAC inhibitor, TSA, and other HDAC chemical inhibitors such as SAHA, sodium butyrate, and valproic acid have been shown to enhance the chemical diversity of secondary metabolites produced by fungi from the genera Clonostachys, Diatype, and Verticillium (Williams et al., 2008). Valproic acid is also frequently used, and it inhibits class 1 classical HDACs and also induces proteosomal degradation of class2 classical HDACs (Zutz et al., 2014, 2016).

DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) are a conserved family of cytosine methylases that play an essential role in maintaining DNA methylation patterns, transcriptional activation, and silencing (Lyko, 2018). DNMT inhibitors: 5-azacytidine (5-AZA) and decitabine (5-AZA-2′-deoxycytidine) are synthetic analogs of cytidine presenting nitrogen instead of carbon in the “5” of the pyrimidine ring. This ligand is incorporated in the DNA and less into RNA, preventing the proper transferring of the methyl group by the DNMT (Zutz et al., 2014). Inhibition by DNMT inhibitors results in passive demethylation through consecutive DNA replication cycles. In the presence of DNMT, DNMTs remain bound to the DNA and are degraded by the proteasome pathway (Poças-Fonseca et al., 2020).

In Table 2, we present a comprehensive summary of the application of HDAC and DNMT inhibitors in various fungal species. We show that a variety of chemical diversity, instead of silenced in untreated fungal species, can be produced upon treatment with different pan inhibitors. The use of pan inhibitors for inducing BGC expression is a vital tool for screening fungal environmental isolates without any prior knowledge of their genetic information. However, treatment with small molecular compounds often lead to a pleiotropic activation, making it difficult to predict which secondary metabolites will be produced and to link the producing gene to a particular phenotype. Although the approach of using small molecular epigenetic modifiers yields diverse chemistries, many studies do not assess the activity profiles of these compounds. This challenge can be

MECHANISMS OF ACTION OF SMALL MOLECULAR COMPOUNDS, TARGET SITES, ADVANTAGES, AND DISADVANTAGES

Epigenetic modifiers or epidrugs are natural or synthetic small molecular compounds targeting the epigenetic marks or enzymes with epigenetic activity leading to epigenetic alterations (Poças-Fonseca et al., 2020). Many of these compounds act by inhibiting enzyme machinery essential for transferring methyl, acetyl, or alkyl groups to DNA or histones (Table 1). In the past two decades, vast and diverse compounds have
or inhibit transcription of chromatin-regulated gene clusters (Gacek-Matthews et al., 2016).

In addition to the specific modification site, the complex combination of distinct histone modifications creates a pattern that is likely recognized and interpreted as a modification signal by regulatory factors (Brosch et al., 2008; Pisidi et al., 2018). For example, increased SM production has been associated with the global increase of H3K14ac and SM gene cluster-specific H3K9ac (Nützmann et al., 2013; Collemare and Seidl, 2019). However, in a study by Gacek-Matthews et al. (2016), they reported that histone marks associated with activation were observed in some transcribed clusters of A. nidulans, but this was not true for most of the transcribed gene clusters. These observations suggest that chromatin-based regulation is more complex and depends on many interacting factors. Indeed, the histone modifications do not occur independently but are interrelated, thus influencing the biological outcome (Brosch et al., 2008). In a study by Rea et al. (2000), the effect of functional interdependence was clear where the phosphorylation of Serine 10 in H3 was linked to facilitating the acetylation of H3K9 and H3K4. They also observed that H3K9 methylation inhibited phosphorylation, thus suggesting that chromatin networks are rather regulated by dynamic mechanisms challenging to elucidate in vitro (Brosch et al., 2008).

The complex regulatory nature of the chromatin has been observed also in genetic depletion studies probing the role of HDACs in secondary metabolite production. Evidence from these studies suggest that while the deletion of HDACs may lead to the activation of previously silenced biosynthetic gene clusters, a subset of genes may also be repressed by the genetic deletion of HDACs (Brosch et al., 2008; Pfannenstiel and Keller, 2019). Evidence of this differential response was first shown by Albright et al. (2015), where they used untargeted metabolomics to profile >1000 small molecules following genetic or chemical reductions in histone deacetylase activity. They found that A. nidulans could increase its capacity to produce more than 61 compounds, including a lipopeptide aldehyde, fellatamides known as a proteasome inhibitor by ~100-fold upon inhibition of a single HDAC, RpdA. Interestingly, there was a simultaneous decrease in the expression of 47 compounds by > 100-fold. Further evidence of differential expression has been recently reported by Ding et al. (2020), where deletion of HdaA from an endophytic fungus, Penicillium chrysogenum FES1701. These researchers observed that in the HdaA mutant strain, the BGC encoding the meleagrin/roquefortine was upregulated while the chrysogine

**TABLE 1** Small molecular compounds and their mechanism of action.

| Mechanism of action | Epigenetic elicitor   | Target site   | References                  |
|---------------------|----------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| Inhibition of HDAC class 1 and 2 | Sodium butyrate, Valproic acid | Heterochromatin | Vrba et al., 2011           |
|                     | SAHA                 |               | Krämer et al., 2003         |
|                     | Trichostatin A (TSA) |               | Henrikson et al., 2009      |
| Inhibition of DNA methyltransferase | 5-Azacytidine, Hydralazine hydrochloride | DNA | Williams et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2010 |
| Inhibition of proteosome | Bortezomib | Proteasomes | Cichewicz, 2010             |

attributed to limited access to standardized *in vitro* methods for activity testing in different fungal natural products laboratories. This highlights a need for collaborations and the adoption of a standard workflow to discover secondary metabolites from natural resources such as fungi.

**RESPONSE TO EPIGENETIC TREATMENT IS COMPLEX AT A METABOLOMEE LEVEL**

Histone post-translational modifications (PTMs) such as acetylation and methylation play a profound role in chromatin-based regulation of secondary metabolite (SM) gene clusters (Gacek-Matthews et al., 2016). However, the exact molecular mechanism mediated by histone PTMs leading to the activation or repression of SM gene clusters is not yet fully understood. Studies in the model organism, Aspergillus nidulans, revealed that SM gene clusters are under chromatin-based regulatory control (Shwab et al., 2007). Subsequent studies in HDAC inhibition and SAGA-complex mutants showed the importance of acetylation in the expression of these SM gene clusters (Gacek and Strauss, 2012). Consequently, genetic deletion of HDACs in various fungal species such as Aspergillus fumigatus, Aspergillus oryzae, Fusarium fujikuroi, Penicillium oryzae, Fusarium asiaticum, P. chrysogenum, and A. nidulans have resulted in increased expression of known gene clusters and expression of novel clusters that are repressed in the control strain (Albright et al., 2015; Collemare and Seidl, 2019).

Generally, acetylation of histones (e.g., H3K27ac in Neurospora crassa) is associated with transcriptional activation of SM gene clusters (Ferraro et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021). Although the exact mechanism of this activation is not known, there are various proposed mechanisms suggested by observations of histone marks in different genetic analysis studies (Gacek-Matthews et al., 2016; Ferraro et al., 2021). The earlier proposed mechanism is that histone marks such as acetylation of histones neutralizes the positive charge of the ε-amino group of lysine in the nucleosome, thus weakening the interaction between the core histones with negatively charged DNA and subsequent chromatic accessibility (Gacek-Matthews et al., 2016). Another possibility is that the acetylation of lysines alters the interaction of regulatory proteins with histones (Brosch et al., 2008) or recruit chromatin-modifying enzymes that promote
TABLE 2 | Epigenetic induction of fungal biosynthetic gene clusters encoding secondary metabolites using small molecular compounds.

| Fungal species          | Culture conditions                                                                 | Epigenetic modifier |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Cladosporium cladosporioides | Potato dextrose broth, 25°C, shaking at 100 rpm for 24 h, added elicitor and further incubation for 6 days | 5-azacytidine, concentration range (0.1 µM-10 mM), used 10-fold less than MIC SAHA, concentration range (0.1 µM-10 mM), used 10-fold less than MIC | three oxylipins ([9Z,12Z]-11-hydroxyoctadeca-9,12-dienoic acid, its methyl ester, and glycerol conjugate) 
perylenequinones, four known cladochromes (A, B, D and E) and two novel cladochromes (F and G), calphostin B |
| Diatrype disciformis    | Semi-solid vermiculite-based media, 25°C, static condition (12 h light and 12 h dark) for 2 weeks | 5-azacytidine, concentration range (0.1 µM-10 mM), used 10-fold less than MIC | two new polyketides (lunalides A and B) |
| Aspergillus niger ATCC 1015 | Semi-solid vermiculite-based media, 25°C, static condition for 2 weeks | 10 µM SAHA | N/A |
| Penicillium citeronigrum | Semi-solid vermiculite-based media, 20°C, static conditions for 20 days | 50 µM 5-azacytidine | six azaphilones (sclerotinin, sclerotioramine, ocrephiline, dechloroisochromophilone 111, dechloroisochromophilone IV, 6-[[SE,SE]-5,7-dimethyl-2-methleno-3,5-dienyl]-2,4-dihydroxy-3-methylenbenzaldehyde, pencolide) and two new meroterpenes (atlantinones A and B) |
| Leucostoma persoonia    | Sabouraud dextrose agar, 30°C, static conditions for 3 weeks | 100 µM sodium butyrate | enhanced production of cytosporones (B, C, and E) and unknown cytosporone R |
| Aspergillus clavatus    | Fungal minimum media 1 (FM1): tryptic digested casein peptone or Fungal minimum media 2 (FM2); papaine-degested peptide | 5 µM valproic acid | increased production of cytochalasin E (FM2 media), increased production of Pseurotin A (FM1 media) at 48 and 72 h |
| Alternaria sp.          | Potato dextrose broth, 30°C, static conditions for 7 days | 250 µM 5-azacytidine | mycotoxins including alternariol, alternariol-5-O-methyl ether, 3'-hydroxyalternariol-5-O-methyl ether, altenusin, tenuazonic acid, and altertoxin II. |
| Aspergillus clavatus    | Fungal minimum media 1 (FM1): tryptic digested casein peptone or Fungal minimum media 2 (FM2); papaine-degested peptide | 500 µM SBHA | increased production of cytochalasin E (FM2 media), increased production of Pseurotin A (FM1 media) at 48 h |

(Continued)
| Fungal species                      | Culture conditions                        | Epigenetic modifier | Secondary metabolites produced                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Activity profile                                      | References         |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Chaetomium indicum                 | Potato dextrose broth, 25°C, shaking at 150 rpm for 16 days | 500 µM SAHA        | enhanced the production of six novel prenylated aromatic polyketides, chaetophenols (A–F)                                                                                                                                               | N/A                                                    | Asai et al., 2013  |
| Chaetomium cancroideum             | Potato dextrose broth, 25°C, shaking at 150 rpm for 14 days | 50 µM nicotinamide | Three polyketides (chaetophenol G, cancroides A and B)                                                                                                                                                                              | N/A                                                    | Asai et al., 2016  |
| Pestalotipsis acacia               | Potato dextrose broth, 30°C, shaking conditions for 7 days | 500 µM SBHA and 500 µM 5-azacytidine | three novel aromatic compounds 1. 20-hydroxy-60-hydroxymethyl-40-methylphenyl-2,6-dihydroxy-3(2-isopentenyl)benzoate; 2. 4,6-dihydroxy-7-hydroxymethyl-3-methylicoumarin 3. 4,6-dihydroxy-3,7-dimethylcoumarin five known polyketides (endocrocin, pestalotiolide B, pestalotipyrene G, scirpyrone A and 7-hydroxy-2-(2-hydroxypropyl)-5-methylchromone. | N/A                                                    | Yang et al., 2013  |
| Endophytic Fusarium oxysporum strain R1 | Potato dextrose broth, 28°C, static conditions for 7 days | 500 µM SBHA        | novel fusaric acid derivative; 5-Butyl-6-oxo-1,6-dihydropyridine-2-carboxylic acid and 5-(But-9-enyl)-6-oxo-1,6-dihydropyridine-2-carboxylic acid                                                                                     | both fusaric acid derivatives showed no activity against Bacillus cereus while control (fusaric) shown activity | Chen et al., 2013  |
| Penicillium funiculosum            | Potato dextrose broth, 25°C, shaking conditions at for 2 weeks | 100 µM 5-Azacytidine | induced the biosynthesis of two new prenyludesmane diterpenoids                                                                                                                                                                       | -no toxicity against three human cancer cell lines -not antimicrobial activity against Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus thuringiensis, and B. subtilis | Liu et al., 2014   |
| Chaetomium sp.                     | Milk Rice (Milch-Reis, ORYZA), 23°C, static conditions for 3–4 weeks, 2-days pre-incubation before adding elicitors | 6 mM 5-azacytidine | induction of two new peaks compared to control, one identified as isosulochrin                                                                                                                                                         | N/A                                                    | Akone et al., 2016 |
| Penicillium brevicompactum         | Malt extract broth, 30°C, static conditions for 4 weeks | 100 µM nicotinamide | p-anisic acid, p-anisic acid methyl ester, benzyl anisate, syringic acid, sinapic acid, acetoxyringone, phenyl acetic acid, gentisaldehyde, and p-hydroxy benzaldehyde enhanced the production of anthranilic acid and ergosterol peroxide | N/A                                                    | El-Hawary et al., 2018 |
| Cochliobolus lunatus (TA26–46)    | Czapek Dox medium, Room temperature, 30 days | 10 µM 5-Azacytidine | two new pyrones, (cochliobopyrones A and B), along with three isocoumarins and one chromone.                                                                                                                                           | N/A                                                    | Wu et al., 2019    |
| Penicillium brasilianum            | Potato dextrose broth, 30°C, shaking at 70 rpm for 7 days | 200 µM SAHA        | Reduction of metabolites production such as brasiliamide A                                                                                                                                                                            | N/A                                                    | Akiyama et al., 2020 |
| Aspergillus Caldoustus             | Aspergillus complete medium (2.5% glucose, 0.5% yeast extract), 25°C, shaking at 150 rpm for 7 days | 100 µM Vorinostat (or SAHA) | emericellamide A, emericellamide B, increased titer of phenylahistin                                                                                                                                                                 | N/A                                                    | Alchoimi et al., 2020 |

SAHA, suberanilohydroxamic acid; SBHA, suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid; HDAC, histone deacetylase; DNMT, DNA methyltransferase; N/A, not available.
encoding BGC was downregulated. Interestingly, a similar pattern has been observed upon treatment of fungal species with HDAC inhibitors. Magotra et al. (2017) reported a 10-fold upregulation of fumiquinazoline C when Aspergillus fumigatus (GA-L7), an endophyte of Grewia asiatica L. was treated with valproic acid, a class I HDAC inhibitor that also induces the proteosomal degradation of class II HDACs (Krämer et al., 2003). In the same study, a reduction was observed in the production of seven other metabolites produced under normal conditions. These observations provide evidence that the overall response to HDAC inhibition at the level of secondary metabolome is more complex than the currently assumed global increase in the abundance of secondary metabolites (Albright et al., 2015).

FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

This review highlights the influence of epigenetic modifiers on rearranging the chromatin network, thus ensuring fungal ability to produce secondary metabolites. Epigenetic modification using small molecular modulators offers a convenient tool for the initial screening of novel fungal isolates such as those from the less explored environments. The discovery that endophytic fungi can produce similar metabolites to their host plants places the endophytes as attractive candidates for future drug discoveries. Moreover, bioprospecting plant endophytes instead of plants provide a sustainable alternative as plants are prone to extinction due to overharvesting. Over recent years there has been a remarkably rapid development of new techniques for biosynthetic gene clusters used in drug discovery. Low molecular weight molecules such as 5-AZA, SAHA, and valproic acid can induce secondary metabolite production in fungi by displaying chromatin remodeling. This method provides a considerable potential for inducing a vast number of bioactive compounds. It has been found that each low molecular weight molecule can induce different patterns of secondary metabolite production in the same fungal culture species. These findings can assist in optimizing secondary metabolite production using epigenetic modifiers as an economical, non-invasive approach. However, future studies need to establish the exact mechanism by which these small molecular inhibitors facilitate the changes in the chromatin network. Establishing the mechanism of action will enable a more targeted approach that results in a specific expression of compounds of interest.
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