Transcriptomic responses to environmental temperature by turtles with temperature-dependent and genotypic sex determination assessed by RNAseq inform the genetic architecture of embryonic gonadal development
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Abstract

Vertebrate sexual fate is decided primarily by the individual's genotype (GSD), by the environmental temperature during development (TSD), or both. Turtles exhibit TSD and GSD, making them ideal to study the evolution of sex determination. Here we analyze temperature-specific gonadal transcriptomes (RNA-sequencing validated by qPCR) of painted turtles (Chrysemys picta—TSD) before and during the thermosensitive period, and at equivalent stages in soft-shell turtles (Apalone spinifera—GSD), to test whether TSD's and GSD's transcriptional circuitry is identical but deployed differently between mechanisms. Our data show that most elements of the mammalian urogenital network are active during turtle gonadogenesis, but their transcription is generally more thermoresponsive in TSD than GSD, and concordant with their sex-specific function in mammals [e.g., upregulation of Amh, Ar, Esr1, Fog2, Gata4, Igf1r, Insr, and Lhx9 at male-producing temperature, and of β-catenin, Foxl2, Aromatase (Cyp19a1), Fst, Ni-kb, Crabp2 at female-producing temperature in Chrysemys]. Notably, antagonistic elements in gonadogenesis (e.g., β-catenin and Insr) were thermosensitive only in TSD early-embryos. Cirbp showed warm-temperature upregulation in both turtles disputing its purported key TSD role. Genes that may convert thermal inputs into sex-specific development (e.g., signaling and hormonal pathways, RNA-binding and heat-shock) were differentially regulated. Jak-Stat, Nf-κB, retinoic-acid, Wnt, and Mapk-signaling (not Akt and Ras-signaling) potentially mediate TSD thermosensitivity. Numerous species-specific ncRNAs (including Xist) were differentially-expressed, mostly upregulated at colder temperatures, as were unannotated loci that constitute novel TSD candidates. Cirbp showed warm-temperature upregulation in both turtles. Consistent transcription between turtles and alligator revealed putatively-critical reptilian TSD elements for male
(Sf1, Amh, Amhr2) and female (Crabp2 and Hspb1) gonadogenesis. In conclusion, while preliminary, our data helps illuminate the regulation and evolution of vertebrate sex determination, and contribute genomic resources to guide further research into this fundamental biological process.

**Introduction**

Organisms vary wildly in how they determine sex [1]. Vertebrate sex-determining mechanisms range between genotypic sex determination (GSD) and environmental sex determination (ESD) [2, 3]. The most common ESD mechanism in vertebrates is temperature-dependent sex determination (TSD). The commitment of the bipotential gonad to differentiate into testes or ovaries is triggered by the genotype in GSD, and by temperatures experienced during the thermosensitive period of embryonic or larval development in TSD [4–6]. All studied mammals, birds and amphibians exhibit GSD, while TSD is found in some species of fish and lizards, tuatara, all crocodilians, and most turtles [2, 7]. GSD represents systems of extreme developmental canalization while TSD is a textbook example of phenotypic plasticity. Intermediate mechanisms also exist where the genotype is overridden by temperature [2, 8], even in the presence of sex chromosomes [9, 10]. TSD is ancestral to turtles, reptiles, and perhaps even amniotes [11–14] such that the TSD of turtles and crocodilians may be considered homologous traits [11, 14]. This diversity has defied scientific explanation [1], impeding a full understanding of sex ratio evolution and consequently of population dynamics and diversification both in the past and in the face of contemporary environmental change [15–18]. This gap is due partly to our incomplete understanding of the molecular basis of GSD and TSD. For instance, the key genetic elements that mediate the effect of environmental temperature in TSD systems remain elusive. Unlike GSD models such as mammals [19–22] and chicken [23] whose gonadal developmental pathways are well understood (albeit not fully), our knowledge for GSD reptiles and TSD species is incipient, and prevents deciphering the genetic architecture of vertebrate sex determination and its evolution.

The first reptilian gonadal transcriptomes were recently characterized in alligator (TSD) [24], and slider turtles (TSD) [25], whereas previous studies on GSD and TSD turtles had used exclusively quantitative PCR and in situ hybridization targeting a number of genes underlying sexual development (full gene names are found in Table 1), including Wt1 [26, 27], Sf1 [28, 29], Dax1 [30, 31], Sox9 [30, 32–34], Aromatase [35, 36], Dmrt1 [30, 34, 37], Estrogen receptor [38, 39], Rspo1 [33, 40], among others (S1 Table). While these earlier turtle studies provided only fragmentary information [30, 33, 41, 42], their use of sensitive qPCR permitted the detection of differential gene expression that can pass undetected in transcriptomic analyses [43, 44], and these efforts uncovered substantial evolution of transcriptional patterns for some elements across vertebrates. However, the extent of evolution of the composition and expression of this gene regulatory network remains unclear. Thus, deciphering the composition, environmental sensitivity, and evolution of the gonadal gene network in additional TSD turtles and how they compare to GSD turtles is overdue.

Here, we use a comparative approach to test for transcriptional responses to environmental temperature (or lack thereof) at several stages of embryonic development in two turtle species, the painted turtle *Chrysemys picta* (TSD) and the soft-shell turtle *Apalone spinifera* (GSD), hereafter denoted as *Chrysemys* and *Apalone* respectively. *Chrysemys* is a TSD turtle lacking sex chromosomes [45] whose thermal ecology has been studied extensively [46–49], and constitutes an emerging model for ecology, evolution, and human health [50] with increasing
Table 1. Full names of the genes discussed in the text.

| Gene symbol | Gene name                                                                 |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Amh         | Anti-mullerian hormone                                                    |
| Apc         | Adenomatous Polyposis Coli                                               |
| AR          | Androgen receptor                                                         |
| Bcr         | breakpoint cluster region protein                                         |
| Calr        | Calreticulin                                                              |
| Casp1       | Caspase 1                                                                 |
| Cbx2        | Chromobox protein homolog 2                                               |
| Ck1         | Casein kinase 1                                                           |
| Cyp19a      | Aromatase                                                                 |
| Crabp2      | Cellular Retinoic Acid Binding Protein 2                                  |
| Ctnnb1      | Beta catenin1                                                             |
| Dax1        | dosage-sensitive sex reversal, adrenal hypoplasia critical region, on chromosome X, gene 1 |
| Dcn         | Decorin                                                                   |
| Dmrt1       | Doublesex and mab-3 related transcription factor 1                        |
| Dmrt2       | Doublesex and mab-3 related transcription factor 2                        |
| Ep300       | E1A Binding Protein P300                                                  |
| Emx2        | Empty Spiracles Homeobox 2                                                |
| Esr2        | Estrogen receptor 2                                                       |
| Fgf9        | Fibroblast growth factor 9                                                |
| Fgfr2       | Fibroblast growth factor receptor 2                                       |
| Fhl2        | Four And A Half LIM Domains 2                                             |
| Fog2        | Friend of Gata Protein 2                                                  |
| Foxl2       | Forkhead box L2                                                           |
| Fost        | Follistatin                                                               |
| Gata2       | Gata binding protein 2                                                    |
| Gli2        | G Protein-Coupled Receptor Kinase Interacting ArfGAP 2                    |
| Gsk3        | Glycogen Synthase Kinase 3                                                |
| Hspx        | Heat-shock protein x                                                      |
| Igf1r       | Insulin like growth factor 1 receptor                                     |
| Insr        | Insulin receptor                                                          |
| Kdm3a       | (Lysine (K)-Specific Demethylase 3A                                        |
| Lhx1        | Lim homeobox 1                                                            |
| Mapk        | Mitogen activated protein kinase                                          |
| Nfk-B       | nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells            |
| Ptc1        | Patched1                                                                  |
| Rps6        | Ribosomal protein s6                                                      |
| Rspo1       | R-spondin1                                                                |
| Serpinh1    | serpin peptidase inhibitor, clade H (heat shock protein 47), member 1     |
| Six1        | SIX Homeobox 1                                                            |
| Six4        | SIX Homeobox 4                                                            |
| Sf1         | Steroidogenic factor 1                                                    |
| Sox9        | SRY (sex determining region Y)-box 9                                      |
| Srd5a2      | Steroid-5-Alpha-Reductase, Alpha Polypeptide 2                            |
| Tcf21       | transcription factor 22                                                   |
| Vegf        | Vascular endothelial growth factor                                        |
| Wnt4        | Wingless-Type MMTV Integration Site Family, Member 4                      |
| Wt1         | Wilms tumor protein 1                                                     |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172044.t001
genomic resources available [51–53]. *Apalone* is a GSD turtle with ZZ/ZW sex chromosomes [54] whose sex ratios are unaffected by the incubation temperature [55], and thus, it serves as a negative control for TSD responses.

Our RNAseq approach provides the first glimpse of the full transcriptional network in closely related reptiles with contrasting sex-determining mechanisms (GSD and TSD), and complements recent contrasts between turtles and model mammalian developing gonads [25], as well as enabling a comparison with alligator (another TSD reptile) [24]. With these data we carry out an initial test of several hypotheses to help elucidate whether the transcriptional building blocks in TSD and GSD systems are identical, and whether differential deployment of common elements distinguishes these systems. First, we examine whether the vertebrate sex determination/differentiation gene regulatory network known from model mammals and birds [3, 42, 56] is present and active in painted turtles. Second, we test whether the conversion of thermal inputs into TSD sex-specific development in painted turtles might involve the epigenetic machinery [57], hormonal pathways [58], or general sensing responses [59], by examining the transcriptional response to incubation temperature of heat-shock genes, transient receptor potential genes, germ-line and histone-related genes, as well as androgen- and estrogen related genes. Third, we test for differences in the transcriptional response to temperature between TSD and GSD turtles to uncover changes that may set these systems apart. Additionally, we identify novel candidate genes in painted turtles undescribed in mice and compare this information to that of other TSD reptiles [24, 25] to test whether they represent potentially unique reptilian regulators. Importantly, our transcriptomic time series of developing gonads at high and low temperatures in TSD and GSD turtles across developmental stages before, during and after the activation of the thermosensitive period (TSP) constitutes a critically needed resource to facilitate more extensive research to illuminate the proximate ecological regulation and evolution of vertebrate sex determination under various thermal regimes [16]. We note that for each species a single transcriptome was obtained from pooled embryos at each developmental stage at each temperature, such that our results are preliminary, and our conclusions represent critical working hypotheses to foster further research in this fascinating field.

**Materials and methods**

**Sample collection**

Total RNA was extracted using RNeasy Kits (Qiagen) [31] from *Chrysemys* (TSD) and *Apalone* (GSD). These species were chosen because their sex-determining mechanisms are well characterized [45, 46, 54, 60], and their abundance permits extensive sampling [50]. Embryos were collected at stages 9, 12, 15, 19 and 22 from eggs obtained from a turtle farm and incubated at 26˚C and 31˚C which are male- (MPT) and female-producing (FPT), respectively, in *Chrysemys*. Identical incubation conditions were used for *Apalone*, and these temperatures fall within the optimal thermal range for this species [55]. All procedures were approved by the IACUC committee of Iowa State University. Egg incubation followed previous description [42, 61], using boxes containing moistened sand. *Apalone* embryos were collected at the same stages as *Chrysemys* embryos. Embryos reached stages 9, 12, 15, 19, and 22 after approximately 8, 12, 19, 24, and 26 days of incubation at 26˚C, and after approximately 5, 9, 15, 19, and 23 days at 31˚C, respectively. Total RNA was extracted from trunks (stage 9), adrenal-kidney-gonadal (AKG) complex (stages 12, 15) and gonads alone (stages 19, 22). Stage 15 was targeted as it demarcates the onset of the thermosensitive period before sex determination and differentiation takes place when important differential transcription occurs in the bipotential gonad of mice [62] and of turtle embryos [42]. Stages 9–12 were targeted as important events occur during this time that shape the development of the genital ridge and which are intimately linked.
to the developing kidneys [63], and because previous studies by us and others show that temperatures experienced prior to the canonical thermosensitive period influence sex ratios and the transcription of genes underlying sexual differentiation in TSD turtles [25, 28, 31, 34, 35, 42, 64, 65]. RNA was quantified using a NanoDrop® ND-1000 Spectrophotometer, and RNA quality was assessed by the presence of ribosomal bands in agarose gels. RNA-seq libraries were generated using pooled samples from ten embryos per temperature per stage for Chrysemys and five for Apalone (a single pool per temperature-by-stage). The resulting 20 libraries (1 library/stage/temperature/species x 5 stages x 2 temperatures x 2 species) were sequenced using Illumina’s HiSeq 2000, and ~35 million 100-bp paired-end reads were obtained per library.

**Transcriptome assembly**

Reads were splice-mapped across exon boundaries to the Chrysemys genome version 3.0.1 [51] using GSNAP (version 2012-03-23), with the novel-splicing feature turned on [66]. Independently, reads were quality filtered and adapter sequences were removed with Trimmomatic [67] and assembled into species-specific de novo transcriptomes using the Trinity package (release 2013-02-25) [68] and their quality compared with the genome-guided assemblies. De novo transcriptomes were annotated using the Trinotate pipeline [69], mapping the longest open reading frame from each transcript/isoform to the SwissProt protein database [70]. De novo transcripts were mapped to 22,380 genes from the annotated Chrysemys genome [51] using GMAP (version 2012-03-23) [71]. Unannotated transcripts were mapped to Trachemys scripta embryonic transcriptome [72] for comparison using GMAP with default settings. To quantify gene expression levels, the reads from each of the libraries were mapped back to these genes using GSNAP[66]. Then, read-counts for each gene were calculated using HTSeq with the –s (strand-specificity) parameter set to no (which denotes that RNAseq libraries were not strand-specific) [73].

**Gene expression normalization**

We implemented a novel normalization procedure for read-counts using R version 2.15.2 [74] independently for each turtle species. We employed a mixed approach that combined normalization by the upper-quartile expression levels [75] with normalization to the housekeeping genes Transferrin receptor (Tfr) and hypoxanthine phosphoribosyl transferase 1 (Hprt1) (which were constitutively expressed across all stages in both species). This approach permitted validation of the transcriptomic expression levels by comparison to extensive expression data from Chrysemys obtained by qRT-PCR of candidate genes from individual embryos, and which were normalized to housekeeping genes during a separate study [42] using independent RNA samples from the present study. To test the effect of the normalization procedure on the number of differentially-expressed genes, we conducted multiple Fisher exact tests between transcript expression levels that were normalized by (1) upper-quartile only (procedure 1, named UQ100), (2) upper-quartile after eliminating the top 1 percentile of transcripts with the highest expression (procedure 2—UQ99), (3) upper-quartile and house-keeping gene normalization (procedure 3—UQHK100), and (4) upper-quartile and house-keeping gene normalization after eliminating the top 1 percentile of transcripts with highest expression (procedure 4—UKHK99).

**Differential expression tests**

Differential expression tests were performed per developmental stage between the MPT and FPT for Chrysemys (TSD), which correspond to high/low temperature for Apalone (GSD).
Fisher’s exact tests were used to evaluate differential gene expression between high and low temperature treatments at each developmental stage in each species, as this statistical method permits the analysis of un-replicated samples [75–77]. Briefly, Fisher’s exact test is based on a 2x2 contingency table (Table 2)

where $n_{ki}$ is the observed read count for focal gene X ($k = 1$) or for all other genes in the transcriptome ($k = 2$) for treatment $i$ ($i = 1$ for 26˚C and $i = 2$ for 31˚C); $n_{11} + n_{12}$ is the marginal total for focal gene X; $n_{21} + n_{22}$ is the marginal total for the remaining genes in the transcriptome; $n_{11} + n_{21}$ is the marginal total for the 26˚C treatment; $n_{21} + n_{22}$ is the marginal total for the 31˚C treatment; $N$ is the grand total (reviewed in [76]). This approach tests the null hypothesis that $H_0$: $\theta = 1$, where $\theta = \frac{\pi_{11}\pi_{22}}{\pi_{12}\pi_{21}}$ and where $\pi_{ki}$ is the true proportion of counts in cell $k,i$ ($k = 1$ for focal gene X or 2 for all other genes; $i = 1$ for 26˚C and 2 for 31˚C). In other words, this approach tests the null hypothesis that the proportion of counts (gene expression) of focal gene X between 26˚C and 31˚C is the same as for all other genes. It is noted that the lack of replication implies that differences between treatments detected here are not generalizable in the way that inferences from replicated datasets would be, and thus, they should be treated as suggestive hypotheses that warrant corroboration by further analyses. The resulting p-values of Fisher’s exact tests were corrected for false discovery (FDR) [78]. Then, we concentrated on the highly differentially expressed genes meeting a stringent FDR-corrected cutoff of 1e-10 chosen arbitrarily. Differentially-expressed genes were annotated using the KEGG database [79]. Enrichment analyses of Gene Ontology (GO) categories against their respective species-specific transcriptomes were conducted using the DAVID Bioinformatics knowledgebase [80]. Additionally, turtle transcriptomes were tested for enrichment against the mouse gonadal transcriptomes [21] using DAVID [79] to test for transcriptional divergence between the turtle and mammalian lineages. In a complementary approach, we randomly subdivided each read library into 2 and 3 subsets (or “subsamples”) [81], identified the GSNAP alignments corresponding to these subsamples, and regenerated read counts per gene. We then used DESeq [82] and EdgeR [83] to independently determine the differentially-expressed genes by leveraging the multiple subsamples while controlling false discoveries at 1%. Finally, the R package WGCNA [84] was used to identify modules of genes co-expressed across turtle embryonic stages in the original set of libraries as well as the subsamples.

Results

Transcriptome assembly

RNAseq data was obtained from *Chrysemys* embryonic tissues before the thermosensitive period when the gonads are not yet discernible (stages 9 trunks, stage 12 adrenal-kidney-gonad complexes—AKGs), at the onset of the TSP when gonads are hard to separate from surrounding tissue (stage 15 AKGs), and during the mid and late TSP (stage 19 and 22 gonads), from male-producing temperature (MPT = 26˚C), and female-producing temperature (FPT = 31˚C) [42]. Identical incubation conditions and sampling scheme were followed for *Apalone*. Such mix-tissue sampling has been used in recent transcriptomic studies of other TSD turtles [25]. *De novo* transcriptome assemblies constructed using Trinity [68] resulted in a high percentage of mapped reads (>92%), and high representation of Core Eukaryotic

| Gene X | 26˚C | 31˚C | Total |
|--------|------|------|-------|
| $n_{11}$ | $n_{12}$ | $n_{11} + n_{12}$ |
| Remaining genes | $n_{21}$ | $n_{22}$ | $n_{21} + n_{22}$ |
| Totality of genes | $n_{11} + n_{21}$ | $n_{21} + n_{22}$ | $N$ |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172044.t002
Genes (CEGs) (>77%) and mammalian urogenital development pathway genes in both species (>96%) (Table 3). All subsequent analyses reported here are based on the de novo transcriptome assemblies. We also tested the alternative approach using reference genome-guided assemblies. However, this approach was discarded because while the mapping rate of the *Chrysemys* libraries to the *Chrysemys* reference genome [51] was high (97%), that of *Apalone* was only 44% (Table 3) and resulted in significantly fewer gene models for *Apalone* (5,596 unique to *Apalone*, 14,661 unique to *Chrysemys*, and 23,465 overlapping). The problem persisted when using as a reference the genome of *Pelodiscus sinensis* [85], a close relative of *Apalone*, because the *P. sinensis* assembled genome is more fragmentary than that of *Chrysemys*, as evidenced by the lack of complete exonic sequences for several genes (such as some homologs of mammalian urogenital genes: *Ctnbb1*—missing part of exon 4; *Wnt4*—missing exon 1 and part of exon 4; *Dmrt1*—exon 1 is mis-assembled/mis-annotated; *Sox9*—exon 1 is mis-assembled).

### Normalization schemes to identify differentially-expressed genes per species

Gene read abundance was normalized multiple ways, first to the housekeeping genes *Tfr* and *Hprt1* which were constitutively expressed across all developmental stages in both *Chrysemys* and *Apalone*, and then by the standard upper-quartile normalization [75]. The order of these steps did not affect the overall assessment of gene expression. When compared to other normalization procedures described in the methods (UQ100 = upper-quartile only, UQ99 = upper-quartile after eliminating the top 1 percentile of transcripts with the highest expression, UQHK99 = upper-quartile and house-keeping gene normalization after eliminating the top 1 percentile of transcripts with highest expression), the chosen UQHK100 approach resulted in fewer differentially-expressed genes than using the upper-quartile alone (Fig 1A), and therefore, it is a more conservative approach. Furthermore, UQHK100 normalization revealed differential expression patterns which were most consistent with extensive qPCR data of several candidate genes previously obtained for *Chrysemys* using a completely independent set of RNA samples [42], as determined qualitatively by visual inspection of the expression profiles over developmental time for individual genes to identify broadly concordant expression patterns (examining whether differential expression was present and in the same direction per stage and gene between the two studies) (Fig 2). Therefore, we used UQHK100 to identify differentially-expressed genes for further enrichment analyses to ensure unbiased comparisons between species.

### Gene annotation and genes of interest

The longest open-reading frame was used to predict protein sequences, and between 26% and 28% of the transcripts from the *de novo* transcriptome assembly per species were represented.

---

**Table 3. Genome-guided and de novo transcriptome assembly results for *Chrysemys picta* (CPI) and *Apalone spinifera* (ASP).** CEGs = Core Eukaryotic Genes.

| Species | Genome-guided |  | De novo (genome-independent) |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------|---------------|  | Total reads (million) | Million reads mapped (%) | Annotated transcripts (total) | Longest transcript (bp) | Percent mapped reads | CEGs mapped out of 456 and (%) | Mammalian sex-differentiation genes mapped out of 27 and (%) |
| CPI     | 305.9         | 297.5 (97%) | 72,615 (279,903) | 18,723 | 92% | 377 (83%) | 27 (100%) |
| ASP     | 373.4         | 163.6 (44%) | 76,761 (279,753) | 28,965 | 93% | 352 (77%) | 26 (96%) |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172044.t003
Fig 1. Overlap of differentially-expressed genes by temperature (controlling FDR at 1e-10) for various categories: (a) overlap between male- and female-producing temperatures at Stage 22 of Chrysemys based on expression levels normalized by (1) upper-quartile (UQ100); (2) upper-quartile excluding the top 1 percentile of transcripts with the highest expression (UQ99); (3) upper-quartile and house-keeping genes (UQHK100); and (4) upper-quartile and house-keeping genes excluding the top 1 percentile of transcripts with highest expression (UQHK99). (b) and (c): across stages in Chrysemys picta and Apalone spinifera. (d): Overlap of genes of interest present in turtles with the mouse gonadal genes described in Jameson et al., (2012). DE = differentially-expressed, GoI = genes of interest described in Table 5. Differential expression was assessed between temperatures at each developmental stages for each species separately.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172044.g001
in the SwissProt protein database. The *Chrysemys* transcriptome showed an overall higher representation of annotated genes in the *Chrysemys* genome [86] than the *Apalone* transcriptome (Table 4). Of the transcripts not annotated in SwissProt, 252 from *Chrysemys* and 169 from *Apalone* correspond to non-coding RNA sequences (ncRNAs) [87] as identified by BLAST [88]. Among these, 115 out of the 252 transcripts in *Chrysemys* and 70 out of the 169 in *Apalone* were differentially-expressed (S2 Table). A majority of these ncRNA transcripts were upregulated at 26˚C in both species of turtles (*Chrysemys*’ MPT), 36 were upregulated

![image](image-url)

**Fig 2.** Average qPCR expression at 26˚C (blue) and 31˚C (red) across developmental stages from qPCR experiments (top panels; modified from Valenzuela et al., 2013) and RNAseq (bottom panels; this study). Mean and one standard deviation are presented. Asterisks denote significant differential expression by temperature. Boxed stages fall within the thermosensitive period.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172044.g002

| Specie | CPI | ASP |
|--------|-----|-----|
| Stage 9 (Trunks) | | |
| 26˚C | 13,362 | 13,687 |
| 31˚C | 13,474 | 11,205 |
| Stage 12 (AKG) | | |
| 26˚C | 13,308 | 13,714 |
| 31˚C | 13,557 | 11,517 |
| Stage 15 (AKG) | | |
| 26˚C | 13,215 | 13590 |
| 31˚C | 13,313 | 11,199 |
| Stage 19 (Gonad) | | |
| 26˚C | 13,598 | 13,742 |
| 31˚C | 13,328 | 11,507 |
| Stage 22 (Gonad) | | |
| 26˚C | 13,182 | 13,629 |
| 31˚C | 13,398 | 11,618 |
| TOTAL across stages | 13,929 | 12,667 |

AKG = adrenal-kidney-gonadal.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172044.t004

Table 4. Number of annotated genes identified in the transcriptomes of *Chrysemys picta* (CPI) and *Apalone spinifera* (ASP) by treatment (26˚C and 31˚C) and developmental stage (9, 12, 15, 19, 22) out of the 22,380 annotated genes present the *Chrysemys picta* reference genome.
during developmental stages 9, 19 and 22 in *Chrysemys* and another 38 were upregulated only during stages 19 and 22 (*Chrysemys* TSP). Of the 25 ncRNA transcripts that overlap, all but 3 show similar differential expression pattern in both species (S2 Table).

To search for candidates which may be involved in the conversion of temperature signals into sex-specific development and thus may have a significant role in TSD based on their differential expression pattern by temperature, we focused on known genes involved in (a) vertebrate sex determination/differentiation in model mammals and birds [18, 42, 56, 89], (b) epigenetic modification [57], (c) hormonal pathways [58] and (d) general sensing responses [59], out of the variety of annotated genes. These target categories included heat-shock genes, transient receptor potential genes, germ-line and histone-related genes, androgen- and estrogen related genes and genes linked to human/chicken sex chromosomes (http://www.ensembl.org/info/data/ftp/index.html). While the overall composition of the transcriptomes of the two turtle species was similar with regard to these categories, a few genes present in *Chrysemys*’ transcriptome were notably absent in *Apalone* across all stages, including some genes X-linked in human and Z-linked in chicken (Table 5). Further, some genes were differentially expressed by temperature in both turtles (S2–S11 Tables). Interestingly, a number of genes that are involved in histone modification show low temperature bias (MPT) just before the onset of the thermosensitive period in *Chrysemys* but high-temperature bias in *Apalone*: histone H1-x like protein (H1x-like), histone chaperone protein (*Asf1B*-like), H3-Histone family 3B (*H3f3b*) and Nuclear autoantigenic sperm protein (*Nasp*). Details about the transcriptional response of these genes of interest are presented in the discussion.

### Differential expression in painted turtles (TSD)

The differential expression patterns of five candidate genes previously detected by qPCR [42] and used here for validation of our transcriptomes, were recapitulated by the RNA-seq data when qPCR differences in expression were large. However, smaller expression differences identified by qPCR at certain embryonic stages passed undetected in our RNA-seq transcriptomes (Fig 2). We then chose a highly conservative p-value cutoff value of $1 \times 10^{-10}$ to further correct for false positives in our differential expression tests. This approach revealed significant overlap of highly differentially-expressed genes across developmental stages for both species (Fig 1B and 1C). The same differentially-expressed genes were also recovered with a second approach where reads from each RNAseq library were randomly subdivided into multiple representative subsamples [81] which were then used in the differential expression analysis using both DESeq and EdgeR toolkit [82, 90]. Among these, we identified 1065 genes that were differentially-expressed only in *Chrysemys* across development (S3 Table). Some results of particular interest are highlighted below.

As mentioned above, our *Chrysemys* RNA-seq data corroborated qPCR results for five known gene homologs involved in gonadogenesis in *Chrysemys*, namely *Sf1*, *Wt1*, *Sox9*, *Aromatase* and *Dax1* [42] which serve to validate our transcriptomes (Fig 2). This approach is similar to the validation level of a recent turtle transcriptome study [91]. RNA-seq was also used to profile a large number of candidate sex-determining genes in *Chrysemys* and *Apalone* (Figs 3 and 52), which were previously uncharacterized in turtles, contributing extensively to our understanding of the full composition of the turtle sex-determining regulatory network. A significant number of these genes in *Chrysemys* show MPT-bias late in the TSP (Stage 22), two (*Igf1r* and *Insr*) before the formation of the bipotential gonad (Stage 9), and only one (*β-catenin—Ctnnb1*) shows FPT-bias before the thermosensitive period (Fig 4). Overall, the known vertebrate sex-determination/differentiation network exhibited greater responsiveness to temperature in *Chrysemys* with expression profiles during the thermosensitive period being more...
concordant with those predicted by the function of these genes in mammals (i.e., genes linked to testicular formation show higher transcription at MPT and those linked to ovarian formation in mammals show higher transcription at FPT in *Chrysemys*, except for *Esr1* and *Hsp90ab1* [Figs 3 and 5]). Contrastingly, expression patterns in *Apalone* were less thermosensitive and more variable, shifting between MPT-bias and FPT-bias throughout development [Figs 3 and 5]. We note that gonads alone were not available from the earlier-stage embryos (we used stage 9 trunks and stage 12/15 AKGs), either because they have not discernibly developed yet (stages 9, 12), or because they cannot be confidently separated without contamination from the surrounding tissue (stage 15). Nonetheless, tissues from these early embryos (which do contain the developing gonad) represent a critical sampling time to detect early responses of key candidate genes to temperature, and their transcriptional profiles revealed that the machinery underlying sexual development is active well before the “canonical” temperature-sensitive period.

Table 5. Number of genes per category of interest present in the transcriptomes of *Chrysemys picta* (CPI) and *Apalone spinifera* (ASP).

| Gene category | CPI | ASP | Differences: ID of genes absent in *A. spinifera* transcriptome |
|---------------|-----|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Heat shock    | 27  | 27  | None                                                         |
| Transient receptor potential | 16  | 16  | None                                                         |
| Germ cell-related | 85  | 83  | • ANXA9<br>• INCA1<br>• E3-ubiquitin protein ligase TRIM41-like HERC6<br>• E3 ubiquitin/ISG15 ligase TRIM25-like<br>• E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase TRIM39-like<br>• Ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase 8-like<br>• ubiquitin-60S ribosomal protein L40-like<br>• E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase TRIM39-like<br>• ubiquitin-like protein ISG15-like |
| Ubiquitin-related | 200 | 192 | • HIPK4<br>• serine/threonine-protein kinase SBK2-like<br>• myosin light chain kinase smooth muscle-like<br>• pseudopodium-enriched atypical kinase 1-like<br>• ANKK1<br>• adenylate kinase 8-like<br>• cyclin-dependent kinase 4 inhibitor B-like<br>• ITK<br>• proline-rich receptor-like protein kinase PERK9-like<br>• c-Jun-amino-terminal kinase-interacting protein 3-like<br>• putative uncharacterized serine/threonine-protein kinase SgK110-like |
| Kinases       | 524 | 513 | • histone H2A type 1-F-like<br>• histone H2A x-like<br>• histone H2B 8-like-1<br>• histone H2B 8-like-2<br>• histone H2A.J-like<br>• histone H2B 7-like<br>• FRMD7<br>• SPRY3<br>• TMEM174<br>• CER1<br>• GZMA<br>• SIGLEC15<br>• HIPK4<br>• serine/threonine-protein kinase SBK2-like<br>• myosin light chain kinase smooth muscle-like<br>• pseudopodium-enriched atypical kinase 1-like<br>• ANKK1<br>• adenylate kinase 8-like<br>• cyclin-dependent kinase 4 inhibitor B-like<br>• ITK<br>• proline-rich receptor-like protein kinase PERK9-like<br>• c-Jun-amino-terminal kinase-interacting protein 3-like<br>• putative uncharacterized serine/threonine-protein kinase SgK110-like |
| Histone-related | 43  | 37  | • histone H2A type 1-F-like<br>• histone H2A x-like<br>• histone H2B 8-like-1<br>• histone H2B 8-like-2<br>• histone H2A.J-like<br>• histone H2B 7-like<br>• TMEM174<br>• CER1<br>• GZMA<br>• SIGLEC15<br>• HIPK4<br>• serine/threonine-protein kinase SBK2-like<br>• myosin light chain kinase smooth muscle-like<br>• pseudopodium-enriched atypical kinase 1-like<br>• ANKK1<br>• adenylate kinase 8-like<br>• cyclin-dependent kinase 4 inhibitor B-like<br>• ITK<br>• proline-rich receptor-like protein kinase PERK9-like<br>• c-Jun-amino-terminal kinase-interacting protein 3-like<br>• putative uncharacterized serine/threonine-protein kinase SgK110-like |
| Linked to human sex chromosomes | 146(1) | 144(1) | • FRMD7<br>• SPRY3<br>• TMEM174<br>• CER1<br>• GZMA<br>• SIGLEC15 |
| Linked to chicken sex chromosomes | 349(1) | 345(1) | • HIPK4<br>• serine/threonine-protein kinase SBK2-like<br>• myosin light chain kinase smooth muscle-like<br>• pseudopodium-enriched atypical kinase 1-like<br>• ANKK1<br>• adenylate kinase 8-like<br>• cyclin-dependent kinase 4 inhibitor B-like<br>• ITK<br>• proline-rich receptor-like protein kinase PERK9-like<br>• c-Jun-amino-terminal kinase-interacting protein 3-like<br>• putative uncharacterized serine/threonine-protein kinase SgK110-like |
| Cell proliferation | 10  | 10  | None                                                         |
| Androgen/Estrogen-related | 19  | 19  | None                                                         |
| Homologs of epigenetic genes | 56  | 56  | None                                                         |

Many of these genes are also differentially-expressed by temperature in both species (see S2–S11 Tables). Gray cells denote categories with some genes absent in the *Apalone spinifera* transcriptome.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172044.t005
Fig 3. Differential expression in *Chrysemys picta* and *Apalone spinifera* turtles based on RNAseq of a subset of genes involved in the mammalian urogenital pathway and other genes of interest for turtle gonadogenesis. The list of genes and activation or repression information derives from Valenzuela 2008b, Liu et al, 2009, Chassot et al., 2012, Eggers et al., 2014, Lai et al., 2014 plus other sources cited in the text, while information about the
Gene Ontology (GO) Enrichment

At each embryonic stage the differentially-expressed genes were enriched for a number of GO pathways. While no GO pathways were consistently deployed in both turtle species throughout development, GO pathways relating to translation and translational elongation were present across three out of the five stages in both taxa. Overall, we found more shared pathways by stage (including general cell functions such as mitotic cycles, mRNA processing and RNA-splicing) between *Chrysemys* and *Apalone* before stage 15 (onset of TSP in *Chrysemys*) than later in development, suggesting that temperature triggers different network modules after the onset of thermosensitive period in *Chrysemys* than in *Apalone*. Indeed, enriched pathways during stages 9 and 12 in both turtles, including intracellular transport, protein localization and protein catabolic processes remained enriched only in *Chrysemys* after stage 15 (S4 Table). In contrast, genes upregulating protein ubiquitination and ubiquitin protein ligase were enriched only in stage 12 of *Apalone* and not in *Chrysemys*.

Novel transcripts

Around half of *Chrysemys* (53%, or 150,195/279,903) and *Apalone* transcripts (54% or 152,579/279,753) were absent in SwissProt or ncRNA databases. However, 87% (131,131) of *Chrysemys* transcripts were mapped to the *Chrysemys* genome, of which only 7% (10,660) were unannotated, indicating a gap between the SwissProt/ncRNA databases and the annotated *Chrysemys* genome. Among these, most differentially-expressed transcripts are MPT-upregulated at stages 9, 19 and 22 (S1 Fig). Only 50% of *Apalone* novel transcripts could be mapped to the *Chrysemys* genome and 26% to the *Trachemys scripta* whole-body transcriptome [72], while 68% of *Chrysemys* novel transcripts mapped to *T. scripta*. Since this mapping was carried out under low stringency conditions, this difference is likely due to the absence of many novel transcripts in *Apalone*, the GSD turtle, as extensive divergence is not expected in coding sequences between *Chrysemys* and *Apalone* given that transcripts from *Chrysemys* and *Apalone* are more often identical than not (data not shown).

Gene clustering and co-expression

The genes of interest (Table 5) clustered into modules of co-expression patterns across embryonic stages that differ between turtles. Interestingly, *Chrysemys* showed stronger clustering differences between temperatures, with more gene modules at 26˚C (45) than at 31˚C (10) (Figs 5A, 5B, S3A and S3B). In contrast, *Apalone* did not differ significantly between temperatures in the number of gene modules (Figs 5C, 5D, S3C and S3D). Similar species-specific clustering differences were also detected for 157 core eukaryotic genes (data not shown). The composition of the largest clusters also varied by temperature in terms of GO pathways (Table 6).

Discussion

The evolution of sex determination remains an evolutionary enigma, yet this developmental process is critical for the production of sex ratios and consequently for the dynamics and evolutionary potential of populations [92]. Turtles are an ideal study system since TSD and GSD co-occur in this group, yet relatively little is known about the gene network controlling turtle urogenital development. Here we present an initial characterization of the full composition of this network using RNAseq and its transcriptional response to incubation temperature in timing and pattern of expression correspond to those observed in turtles during the present study. Approximate equivalency is provided between mice and turtle developmental stages of gonadal development. [not sig. diff. exp. = Not significant differential expression].
| Stage 9 | Stage 12 | Stage 15 | Stage 19 | Stage 22 | GENE   | Stage 9 | Stage 12 | Stage 15 | Stage 19 | Stage 22 |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1.00E+00 | 1.00E+00 | 1.00E+00 | 1.02E-147 | 2.45E-197 |
| 1.17E-19 | 7.22E-01 | 5.25E-21 | 2.08E-06 | 1.06E-04 |
| 1.00E+00 | 9.19E-01 | 8.13E-03 | 1.41E-04 | 1.58E-11 |
| 1.00E+00 | 1.00E+00 | 1.00E+00 | 7.16E-01 | 2.34E-05 |
| 8.08E-01 | 1.00E+00 | 4.37E-01 | 6.75E-01 | 9.34E-02 |
| 1.98E-05 | 7.73E-01 | 4.13E-01 | 9.61E-01 | 8.99E-02 |
| 1.75E-12 | 2.23E-01 | 1.57E-04 | 3.88E-01 | 7.62E-03 |
| 1.96E-45 | 2.56E-15 | 7.38E-03 | 2.98E-04 | 5.55E-05 |
| 4.64E-01 | 1.00E+00 | 5.30E-01 | 4.13E-01 | 6.08E-01 |
| 6.83E-01 | 6.03E-01 | 8.75E-03 | 5.27E-03 | 4.14E-12 |
| 5.63E-01 | 1.00E+00 | 9.76E-01 | 9.26E-04 | 4.05E-01 |
| 1.00E+00 | 1.00E+00 | 1.00E00 | 1.52E-03 | 3.87E-24 |
| 9.20E-01 | 5.39E-01 | 8.41E-05 | 3.49E-02 | 3.90E-02 |
| 6.88E-01 | 8.62E-01 | 9.04E-01 | 2.23E-01 | 2.99E-01 |
| 2.22E-02 | 7.92E-01 | 1.46E-01 | 1.00E+00 | 1.67E-02 |
| 1.00E+00 | 1.00E+00 | 6.32E-02 | 2.46E-07 | 1.53E-41 |
| 2.84E-01 | 1.00E+00 | 2.97E-01 | 2.24E-01 | 1.30E-01 |
| 4.51E-01 | 3.14E-01 | 1.46E-04 | 4.71E-01 | 4.64E-01 |
| 1.00E+00 | 4.39E-02 | 9.87E-01 | 5.71E-01 | 5.73E-05 |
| 1.22E-02 | 6.87E-01 | 1.43E-01 | 3.70E-04 | 5.26E-07 |
| 1.00E+00 | 9.97E-01 | 1.00E+00 | 4.42E-01 | 2.40E-11 |
| 1.00E+00 | 1.00E+00 | 1.00E+00 | 3.54E-03 | 2.45E-02 |
| 7.06E-01 | 3.89E-01 | 4.71E-01 | 4.85E-02 | 2.51E-05 |
| 4.15E-02 | 3.74E-01 | 1.00E+00 | 1.02E-01 | 5.54E-17 |
| 3.48E-11 | 3.12E-04 | 5.82E-02 | 5.56E-01 | 8.20E-10 |
| 1.70E-20 | 6.49E-05 | 1.70E-06 | 1.00E+00 | 1.68E-02 |
| 1.86E-12 | 2.50E-01 | 5.67E-10 | 5.16E-01 | 2.28E-01 |
| 8.08E-01 | 1.00E+00 | 2.66E-01 | 5.24E-01 | 1.00E+00 |
| 7.37E-01 | 4.88E-02 | 1.22E-02 | 1.44E-01 | 8.45E-02 |
| 1.00E+00 | 3.63E-01 | 8.42E-01 | 3.58E-02 | 7.24E-02 |
| 1.04E-03 | 1.00E+00 | 5.61E-01 | 6.51E-03 | 5.48E-17 |
| 1.20E-01 | 1.00E+00 | 6.97E-01 | 8.25E-01 | 9.52E-05 |
| 5.79E-05 | 3.49E-01 | 1.19E-06 | 5.22E-01 | 1.00E+00 |
| 4.13E-01 | 6.29E-01 | 4.23E-01 | 5.15E-02 | 7.55E-01 |
| 2.39E-01 | 6.51E-01 | 1.32E-01 | 8.85E-20 | 1.64E-119 |
| 1.00E+00 | 1.00E+00 | 1.60E-01 | 2.08E-03 | 3.05E-03 |
| 1.31E-04 | 2.76E-01 | 8.77E-04 | 5.95E-01 | 8.58E-01 |
| 4.85E-01 | 1.57E-03 | 1.40E-06 | 6.52E-01 | 1.36E-52 |
| 9.13E-01 | 1.00E+00 | 1.00E+00 | 1.00E+00 | 1.00E+00 |
| 8.50E-01 | 1.00E+00 | 2.09E-02 | 6.01E-04 | 4.36E-04 |
| 1.63E-03 | 9.55E-02 | 4.68E-03 | 8.62E-01 | 6.04E-03 |
| 4.65E-01 | 8.08E-01 | 8.73E-01 | 8.84E-02 | 1.00E+00 |
| 9.52E-01 | 8.72E-01 | 2.47E-01 | 2.96E-06 | 4.75E-64 |

**Chrysemys picta**

**Apalone spinifera**
developing gonads of TSD and GSD turtles. This effort sheds light on the genome-wide architecture of vertebrate gonadogenesis and the evolution of its environmental sensitivity in TSD and GSD systems [45–54]. While the expression of some candidate genes has been profiled in a few TSD reptiles (S1 Table) and two transcriptome analyses were recently reported in TSD turtle and alligator [24, 25], *Apalone* remains to our knowledge the only turtle GSD genus [55] whose embryonic urogenital transcription has been studied (this study and [27, 28, 31, 34, 35]). We note that because molecular sexing was unavailable for *Apalone* when data were collected for this study, only temperature effects (and no sex effects) could be analyzed here. Future studies will be able to leverage novel molecular sexing techniques [93] for a more comprehensive test of temperature, sex, and their interaction on transcription in *Apalone*. Overall, our data provides circumstantial evidence that the transcriptional circuitry underlying gonadogenesis in TSD and GSD turtles is broadly the same, and that differences between these mechanisms are largely due to the differential deployment of these common elements as detailed below. We identified novel candidate genes whose early differential expression suggest that they may contribute to transmitting the temperature signal to the developmental pathway, potentially helping determine the sexual fate in TSD turtles, as well as candidate genes undescribed in the gonadal regulatory network of mice and chicken. We first discuss general characteristics of the transcriptomes generated here and then address a series of questions related to our central hypotheses.

**Transcriptome assembly**

The genome-guided transcriptome assembly using *Chrysemys* as reference [51] worked well for *Chrysemys* but produced poor results for *Apalone* (44% mapped reads and fewer gene models; Table 3), underscoring the extensive divergence accrued in these turtle genomes since their lineages split >180mya [12]. Using the *Pelodiscus sinensis* genome as reference [85] did not solve this problem, and comparative approaches require common analyses for all species. However, *de novo* transcriptome assemblies had similar high quality and permitted the discovery of novel transcripts previously unidentified in public databases. Contrastingly, the *Chrysemys* genome [51] was useful to map the transcripts from the *de novo* assemblies (since transcripts are longer and align better than reads) to quantify the representation of annotated genes per library (Table 4). The *Chrysemys* transcriptome had an unsurprising slightly higher representation of annotated genes overall (62%) than *Apalone* (57%). The *P. sinensis* genome was excluded here because its annotation is less extensive than *Chrysemys*. These observations highlight the need to improve current turtle genome assemblies [52], whose re-annotation is aided by RNAseq data, and to sequence additional genomes from representative phylogenetic lineages to illuminate turtle and vertebrate genome evolution and aid future ecological and evolutionary genomic studies.

Using these assembled transcriptomes we address several hypotheses about (1) the composition of the vertebrate gene network governing sexual development in turtles, (2) how it might perceive and transmit environmental temperature inputs, (3) its similarities or differences between TSD and GSD, and (4) whether it may contain reptile-specific elements, as described in the sections below.

1. **The vertebrate gene regulatory network underlying sex determination/differentiation is present and active in TSD and GSD turtles.**

![Fig 4. P-values of differentially-expressed genes (after applying Benjamini-Hochberg correction) linked to mammalian urogenital pathways, showing higher expression at 26˚C (blue) and 31˚C (red). Highly differentially-expressed genes (while controlling false discoveries at 1e-10) identified in dark blue and dark red. Light colored cells denote significance at a standard α = 0.05. GA = gene absent in transcriptome.](doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172044.g004)
Fig 5. Eigengene networks and dendrograms illustrating the co-expression patterns in developing gonad of (a) *Chrysemys* at 26˚C (b) *Chrysemys* at 31˚C, (c) *Apalone* at 26˚C and (d) *Apalone* at 31˚C, of 981 genes of interest described in Table 5. Colors along the x and y-axes represent clusters of genes showing similar expression. Gene order varies by plot along the X and Y-axes.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172044.g005
The following paragraphs highlight the transcriptional patterns of known vertebrate determination/differentiation genes found in our transcriptomes (full gene names are presented in Table 1).

Genes in the vertebrate gonadal network but unknown in turtles

RNAseq provided novel embryonic transcriptional profiles in *Chrysemys* and *Apalone* of several vertebrate genes unstudied in painted and GSD turtles (Fig 4), including genes implicated in testicular differentiation in mammals [*Amh*, *AR*, *Cbln4*, *Dhh*, *Dmrt2*, *Fgf9*, *Fgfr2*, *Fhl2*, *Fog2*, *Pgds*, *Ptc1*, *Srd5a2* and *Vnn1*], genes involved in ovarian formation [*Ctnnb1*, *Esr2*, *Foxl2*, *Gata2*, *Rspo1* and *Wnt4*], and genes important for both testicular and ovarian function or general gonadogenesis prior to their sexual commitment [*Cbx2*, *Ck1*, *Gsk3b*, *Apc*, *Insr*, *Igf1r*, *Kdm3a*, *Six1*, *Six4*, *Dmrt3*, *Emx2*, *Esr1*, *Gata4*, *Lhx1*, *Lhx9*] [31, 89].

Known genes in the turtle gonadal network

Multiple genes involved in turtle sex determination have been studied with candidate gene approaches, including *Vasa*, *Dazl*, *Amh*, *Foxl2*, *Dmrt1*, *Aromatase*, *Androgen receptor* and *Estrogen receptors α* and *β*, among others [38, 94–97]. Our transcriptomes recapitulated expression patterns from qPCR for five such genes previously reported in *Chrysemys* [27, 28, 31, 34, 35, 98], although subtle differences passed undetected in the transcriptomes (Fig 3), perhaps because transcriptomic inferences have lower power overall than qPCR approaches [43, 44]. We note that to avoid false positive results from the absence of biologically replicated transcriptomes, and from the potential bias introduced by the lower number of embryos per RNA library for *Apalone* compared to *Chrysemys* in our study, we applied a stringent cutoff of 1e-10 to control for false discoveries, and discarded any genes with lower significant differential expression (Fig 5). Taken together, our results highlighted below reveal that the vertebrate gene network regulating primary sexual development is highly conserved in its composition and is active in turtles, but regulated differently between TSD and GSD turtles, and between turtles, mammals and birds (Fig 2, S1 Table).

Importantly, we found that overall, *Chrysemys* exhibits more extensive thermosensitive transcription of the genes in the vertebrate regulatory network than *Apalone*. Also, the expression profiles during the thermosensitive period are fairly concordant with those predicted by the function of these genes in mammals, such that numerous elements involved in mammalian testiculogenesis show higher transcription at MPT and elements involved in mammalian ovario genesis show higher transcription at FPT in *Chrysemys* (Fig 5). The notable exceptions are *Esr1* and *Hsp90ab1*, which display a transcription pattern opposite of that in mammals, perhaps revealing a new function of these genes in turtles. Such turnovers are not unprecedented as extensive transcriptional evolution has taken place in elements of this network across vertebrates [42]. In contrast, expression patterns in *Apalone* were more variable, shifting between
MPT-bias and FPT-bias throughout development, as might be expected under relaxed selection after the evolution of GSD from TSD.

2. How are thermal signals converted to TSD sex-specific development? Does thermal signal transmission involve the epigenetic machinery, hormonal pathways, and general sensing responses?

Differentially-expressed genes by temperature

We searched for genes showing thermosensitive expression in *Chrysemys* (TSD) to uncover candidate temperature sensors or transducers that may activate TSD male and female gonadogenesis. We detected many such genes, including numerous homologs of mammalian sex determination/differentiation genes, plus previously undescribed candidates (Fig 5) as mentioned above. A comparison of differential expression by temperature across select vertebrates is summarized in S1 Table. It is important to note that trunks were examined at stage 9, AKGs at stages 12 and 15, and gonads alone at stages 19, 22, such that gene expression from the developing AK or other organs may contribute to the differences or lack thereof between temperatures at these earlier stages of development. Of the genes in this regulatory network, *Amh*, *Ar*, *Esr1*, *Fog2*, *Gata4* and *Lhx9* show significant MPT (26°C) bias at stage 22 in *Chrysemys* (i.e., during the thermosensitive period when temperature has a strong effect to bias the resulting sex ratios) and are thus important candidate genes for turtle thermosensitive testicular differentiation in TSD vertebrates that deserve further functional research. Noteworthy, our analyses show a consistent FPT (31°C) bias in the expression of the Cold-inducible RNA-binding protein (*Cirbp*) in both *Chrysemys* and *Apalone*. This pattern of temperature-dependent expression in the *Cirbp* gene was shown to help govern ovarian development in the snapping turtle *Chelydra serpentina* (a TSD turtle) via allelic dimorphism, and represents an important novel TSD candidate gene [40]. Combined, our results and those in *Chelydra* indicate that *Cirbp* upregulation at warm temperature might be ancestral to turtles and thus perhaps relict in *Apalone* (as proposed for *Wt1* and *Dax1* [27, 31]). Alternatively, the differential expression of *Cirbp* in *Apalone*, identical to that of *Chrysemys* and *Chelydra*, would call into question its purported key role as a TSD element [40]. We note that in the absence of sex information from the *Apalone* embryos in our study, it remains plausible that some of the thermal effects detected here might be due to sampling effects (e.g., to the predominance of one or the other sex in some of the pools of RNA from *Apalone* used in this study). Further research is warranted using recently developed sexing techniques for *Apalone* [93] to test these alternative hypotheses directly.

Genes in other functional categories

We explored additional functional gene categories of plausible transducers of the temperature signal to gonadal developmental, some previously known as temperature-sensitive or linked to gonadal formation in other animals [57–59]. These include vertebrate genes involved in gonadal and germ-line differentiation, androgen- and estrogen related genes, and genes linked to sex chromosomes, heat-shock and transient receptor potential genes and histone-related genes. Many of these genes exhibited thermosensitive expression in both turtles (S2–S11 Tables), including genes involved in histone modification, several kinases, genes involved in androgen- and estrogen signaling pathways, sex-linked genes and heat shock proteins. Overall, transcriptome composition was similar between species with some noticeable differences. Namely, *Apalone*’s transcriptome exhibited slightly lower representation of kinases, ubiquitin- and histone-related genes (Table 5), although we confirmed that they are present in the genome of *Apalone* using BLAST. Kinases are indispensable for cell functioning and orchestrate many cellular
processes. One of these, the protein kinase Map3k4, directly affects Sry and Sox9 expression in bipotential mice gonads, inducing testicular development [99, 100]. Several heat shock proteins show sexually-dimorphic expression in American alligator (TSD), potentially influencing sex determination [59], but only one showed the same pattern in alligator and Chrysemys (Hspb1—FPT-biased) whereas the heat shock gene Hsph1 was upregulated at MPT in the alligator and at FPT in Chrysemys (stage 12) (S6 Table). Also surprisingly, comparisons of our turtle and the recent alligator transcriptomes [24] revealed only a handful of genes (S6 and S7 Tables) with shared MPT- and FPT-specific expression pattern between alligator and Chrysemys (only 31 out of 207 genes reported to be upregulated at FPT, and 43 out of 250 reported to be upregulated at MPT in the alligator were shared with the Chrysemys gonadal transcriptome (S6 and S7 Tables)). The expression pattern of a few genes was similar between the alligator and Chrysemys either by sex or by relative temperature. For instance, Sf1, Amh and Amhr2 were upregulated during the TSP in both species [stages 19 (Sf1, Amh) and 22 (Sf1, Amh and Amhr2) in Chrysemys], while Crabp2 and Hsph1 were upregulated at FPT in both taxa. Finally, differences in expression were observed in the transient receptor potential gene Trpc4ap and Tex15, which were both upregulated at MPT in the alligator but not in Chrysemys. No other genes in our categories of interest discussed above overlapped between the Chrysemys and alligator. These observations support the notion that significant transcriptional evolution has accrued even among TSD regulatory networks [42] and leads to the hypothesis that perhaps numerous temperature-specific responses may be species-specific.

Sex-linked genes such as Nf2 and Prdx4 [101, 102] are differentially-expressed by temperature in Chrysemys at stage 9. Similarly, we found thermosensitive expression for Serpinh1, Hsp90ab1 and Hspa8 across stages in both turtles (S8 Table) (and potentially relic in Apalone) while expression is monomorphic in mouse [21], suggesting their potential turtle-specific role in gonadogenesis. Additional genes differentially-expressed in turtles but at relatively later stages in the mouse gonad [21] include Ctnnb1, a known ovarian inducer [89] (which was early acting at 31˚C in both Chrysemys and Apalone) and Git2 (a sex-linked gene in Pelodiscus sinensis [101]), among others (Fig 1D, S3 and S16 Tables). This indicates that genes already important for gonadal formation at downstream stages in mammals, such as Ctnnb1, have been recruited for an earlier than anticipated temperature-specific response in turtles, underscoring the extensive ontogenetic evolution that has accrued in the transcriptional patterns of this regulatory network in vertebrates in general and in turtles in particular [25, 42].

We also identified numerous differentially-expressed ncRNAs (S2 Table) by temperature, which interestingly, exhibited upregulation at the colder temperature (26˚C) in the majority of cases and in both turtles, although few ncRNAs transcripts overlapped between species. The biological function of these ncRNAs remains an open question worthy of future study.

**Thermosensitive response of signaling pathways**

Distinct cell types may derive from a handful of cell signaling pathways [103]. We found evidence that numerous signaling pathways are differentially regulated by temperature. For instance, Jak-Stat signaling, involved in cell proliferation and hematopoiesis [104] exhibits male-bias of Egfr expression at stage 22 in Chrysemys. NF-xB signaling plays a role in immune and stress response [105], and involves members of the hypoxia-induced Tumour necrosis family (Tnf) [106] and Breakpoint cluster region (Bcr) [107] which showed female-bias in Chrysemys at stage 22 and male-bias at stage 9, respectively. The receptor gene Vegf, which regulates sex-specific gonadal vasculogenesis [108] was also female-biased at stage 15 in Chrysemys and high-temperature biased during stages 19–22 in Apalone. Further, retinoic acid has been identified to induce meiosis in mice germ cells regulating ovarian formation [109]. Two retinoic
acid binding proteins were differentially transcribed: Crabp1 (male-biased during Chrysemys TSP) and Crabp2 (female-biased pre-TSP and during the TSP in Chrysemys, and upregulated at high-temperature from stage 15 onwards in Apalone). Among the signaling pathways implicated in vertebrate sex determination, Foxl2 and members of the Wnt signaling pathway regulate ovarian formation [110, 111]. Wnt activates Ctnnb1, which inhibits Sfi from activating Sox9 and inducing testicularogenesis [112]. The canonical Wnt machinery including Ck1, Apc, and Gsk3 show male-bias during stage 9 in Chrysemys, and monomorphic expression in Apalone, indicating that Wnt signaling is active in TSD and GSD turtles, but deployed differentially by temperature. Members of the Mapk signaling family, required for Sry activation testicularogenesis in mice [100] were also low-temperature biased in turtle bipotential gonads despite the absence of Sry (Map3k3 at stage 9 in Chrysemys; Map3k7 at stage 12 in Apalone) (S9 Table), rendering them additional candidates for functional tests. Akt signaling is directly activated by Fgf9 in mice, promoting steroidogenesis (Lai et al. 2014), but neither gene showed thermosensitive expression. Finally, Ras-mediated signaling is implicated in sex myoblast migration in nematodes [113], and a subtle thermosensitive expression was detected in Chrysemys (S9 Table). Thus, Jak-Stat, Nf-κB, retinoic acid, Wnt, and Mapk signaling are potentially involved in TSD gonadogenesis, while this process appears independent of Akt and Ras-mediated signaling.

3. What makes gonadal networks sensitive to environmental temperature in TSD and not in GSD?

Gene enrichment analysis

Enrichment analyses of Gene Ontology (GO) categories represented in the transcriptomes using DAVID [80] revealed that the two turtle species examined here shared more pathways before stage 15 overall, except for chromatin organization and chromatin modification pathways which were enriched only at stage 9 in Chrysemys, and pathways linked to protein ubiquitination and ubiquitin protein ligases which were enriched only at stage 12 in Apalone. Ubiquitination is a post-translational modification that results in protein degradation [114]. This suggests that temperature triggers a different set of downstream pathways in Chrysemys than in Apalone potentially leading to sexual fate determination by temperature in the former. Pathways including intracellular transport, protein localization and protein catabolic processes, were enriched in both species at stages 9 and 12, but remain enriched only in Chrysemys after stage 15 (S4 Table). Thus, important evolutionary changes may have occurred in GSD turtles in the machinery underlying gonadogenesis preceding the thermosensitive period of TSD turtles (before stage 15), perhaps inactivating genes regulating the male- and female-specific TSD pathways and consequently, determining sex independent of temperature. These and earlier findings (reviewed in [42]) underscore that key thermosensitive events for sexual development occur in early embryogenesis and deserve further research.

Finding differential expression prior to the onset of the canonical thermosensitive period in Chrysemys is of particular importance as any such gene may be the key TSD master element that senses the environmental temperature signal or a key activator of the thermosensitive period. Additionally, the disruption of these potential TSD master elements could lead to GSD evolution. Notably, Ctnnb1 (β-catenin, a member of the Wnt signaling pathway) showed female-bias at stages 9 and 12 in Chrysemys consistent with its involvement in early ovarian formation in mammals [115, 116]. Similarly, Ctnnb1 shows high-temperature bias in Apalone during stages 12 and 15 but not at stage 9. Follistatin (Fst) a gene activated by Ctnnb1 in mice bipotential gonads (Eggers et al. 2014) showed slight female-bias ($\alpha = 0.05$) in Chrysemys at
stage 9, and slight high-temperature bias in *Apalone* at stage 15 ($\alpha = 0.05$), suggesting that *Ctnnb1* could also activate *Fst* in turtles. Further, this results indicates that *Ctnnb1* and *Fst* thermosensitive expression may be ancestral to cryptodiran turtles (the suborder to which *Chrysemys* and *Apalone* belong), which if true, would indicate relic thermosensitivity in *Apalone*, and underscores that downstream elements may be key to rendering GSD immune to temperature as is the case of *Wt1* and *Dax1* whose thermoresponsive activity is rendered ineffective via the loss of thermosensitivity of *Sf1* [27, 31]. Indeed, *Ctnnb1* is a repressor of *Sf1* [112], a gene with thermosensitive expression in *Chrysemys* but not *Apalone* [28]. Also noteworthy, *Chrysemys* (and not *Apalone*) shows upregulation at MPT of *Insr* and *Igf1r* during stage 9, two genes indispensable for testiculogenesis in mice [117] which antagonize the *Ctnnb1*-Wnt signaling pathway essential for ovarian formation. This indicates that the same molecular antagonism exists in TSD turtles, is active before the canonical thermosensitive period, and could influence growth trajectories via the insulin receptor family, inducing male determination [118] and other sexual dimorphisms with potential temperature-specific fitness consequences [119]. These early differences between TSD and GSD systems may have functional significance for the evolution of sex determination.

**Comparison with the slider turtle *Trachemys scripta***

Both *Trachemys* and *Chrysemys* have TSD and diverged near 30 million years ago [120]. qPCR evidence exist that transcriptional patterns of some of genes involved in sexual development have diverged between these two species during this time [42], but the extent of differences and similarities in other elements of this network remains unclear. Here we compared the expression stage-by-stage of key common elements between our transcriptomes and the recent *Trachemys* transcriptome [25] (Fig 6). We observed similarities in the expression of certain genes between species, in terms of upregulation at MPT or FPT in both the embryo and gonad simultaneously, or in the gonad alone (Fig 6). It should be noted that the *Trachemys* study did not sample stage 9 as in our study, whereas stages 17 and 18 were examined in *Trachemys* and not in our study. Additionally, the thermosensitive period differs slightly between *Trachemys* (stages 15–21) [121] and *Chrysemys* (stages 16–22) [46], such that while numerically different, both studies contain data from stages at the onset and at the end of the thermosensitive period that can be compared (Fig 6). Differential transcription of numerous genes in this subnetwork was observed in *Trachemys* at stages 12 and 15 that were not observed in *Chrysemys* either because the timing of their expression is divergent, or because they passed undetected by our unreplicated transcriptomic approach, yet when expression was detected the pattern was concordant between species except for *Avil* (Fig 6). Greater similarities were observed later in development in both the embryo and gonad during stage 19 at FPT (*Anpep*, *Cutc*, *Des*, *Dgka* and *Eif4a2*). Among genes that were not differentially expressed during stage 12 but showed MPT or FPT upregulation at stage 15 or later (and thus are candidates for a role as temperature-triggered genes), similar profiles were observed late in the TSP for *Aromatase*, *Avil*, *Dpt*, *Merik*, and *Twist1*, all of which were upregulated at FPT during stage 21 in *Trachemys* and stage 22 in *Chrysemys*. Genes upregulated at MPT in both turtles at those same stages include *Amh*, *Csrnp1*, *Dmr1*, *Fdxr*, *Kdm6b*, *Pcsk6* and *Sox9*. Elements with common expression patterns in *Chrysemys* and *Trachemys* are candidate key TSD elements for the Emydidae family of turtles to which they belong. A few genes were also differentially transcribed in *Apalone* late in development, and represent either genes that are thermosensitive in turtles but not involved in TSD, or TSD elements that retained relic thermosensitive expression during GSD evolution. Such genes include *Des*, *Eif4a2* (both upregulated at 31°C during stage 22 in *Apalone*), *Dpt* and *Twist1* (upregulated at 31°C during stage 19).
Fig 6. Comparative transcriptional patterns in *Chrysemys*, *Apalone* and *Trachemys* for a subset of common elements in the regulatory network of sexual development across embryonic stages at male- (low) and female- (high) producing temperatures for the TSD taxa.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172044.g006
Clues to TSD from the comparison of turtle versus crocodilian transcriptomes

Surprisingly few differentially-expressed genes were found in common in the categories of interest between *Chrysemys* (this study) and alligator transcriptomes [24], but the ones detected provide an important insight. Namely, during the TSP of both species *Sf1*, *Amh* and *Amhr2* were upregulated at MPT, whereas *Crabp2* and *Hspb1* were upregulated at FPT. Thus, these genes display a sex-specific expression pattern, i.e., upregulation at MPT or FPT across taxonomic groups regardless of relative temperature given that in *Chrysemys* colder temperatures induce males and warmer values induce females, whereas the opposite is true in alligator [the colder values used in [24] produce females and the warmer temperature produces males]. On the other hand, the heat shock gene *Hsp1* was upregulated at MPT in alligator and at FPT in *Chrysemys*. *Hsp1* therefore, displays a temperature-specific pattern of expression (upregulation at warmer temperature in both species) irrespective of the sex-produced. These observations point to *Sf1*, *Amh* and *Amhr2*, *Crabp2* and *Hspb1* as key common elements in reptilian-TSD for sex-specific development. This finding is consistent with previous research that identified them as critical members of the vertebrate regulatory network for gonadogenesis, and critical during TSD and GSD evolution [e.g., *Sf1* [28, 42], *Amh* and *Amhr2* [122–124], *Crabp2* [125, 126].

Detection of temporally co-expressed gene clusters

Genes of interest (described in Table 5) clustered during embryogenesis by their co-expression patterns in both turtles. *Chrysemys* differ more in the number of coexpressed modules (45 modules at 26˚C; 10 at 31˚C) than *Apalone* (16 modules at 26˚C, 21 at 31˚C) (Fig 5), a pattern similar to core eukaryotic genes used as negative control. This suggests that temperature differentially orchestrates gene co-expression in TSD versus GSD, such that *Chrysemys*’ response is more compartmentalized, and *Apalone*’s is broader. However, tests with sexed *Apalone* embryos are needed to rule out any sampling effects that might have contributed to these differences. Some vertebrate sex determination/differentiation genes were clustered in turtles (such as *Cbx2* and *Dmrt2* in *Chrysemys* at both temperatures, and *Ar/Lhx9* and *Insr/Srd5a1* in *Apalone*), whereas no associations among these genes are known in mammals or birds. Future functional assays on these candidates are warranted. Cluster composition differed by temperature and species, as clusters were enriched in different biological pathways, reflecting temperature effects on gene co-expression and the existence of modules in the urogenital network. *Chrysemys* male transcriptomes were enriched for pathways regulating transcription, cell proliferation, reproductive development and amino acid phosphorylation (a kinase activity that has been linked to *Sry* regulation in mice [99, 100]). Immune response functions like lymphocyte and leukocyte activation were female-bias concordant with humans [127]. Cell proliferation, which showed thermosensitive responses here (Table 6, S10 Table), is linked to mammalian sexual development as it is affected by *Sry* and MAPK signaling [128].

Novel transcripts

A high percentage of novel transcripts in *Chrysemys* (53%) and *Apalone* (54%) are currently uncharacterized in SwissProt (which contains manually curated, non-redundant eukaryotic protein sequences) and the ncRNA databases, corroborating genes that were annotated as “predicted” *in silico* in the *Chrysemys* genome [51]. Many novel transcripts are male-biased at stages 9, 19 and 22 of *Chrysemys* (S1 Fig). In conjunction with the greater number of co-expressed clusters discovered at 26˚C, this discovery of higher number of novel *Chrysemys* transcripts at 26˚C is indeed curious, and the function of these transcripts merits further investigation.
New insights on known turtle regulators previously studied in GSD turtles

Our RNAseq data shed new light on some important candidate genes previously studied in turtles as follows.

**Wt1** regulates the formation of the bipotential gonad, and the maintenance of Sertoli cells and seminiferous tubules in developing testis [129]. *Wt1* is upregulated at low temperature before and during the thermosensitive period (TSP) in *Chrysemys* [42], and in *Apalone mutica* (GSD) (relic thermal sensitivity in GSD turtles) ([27] and this study) at stage 22 (Fig 5). In contrast, *Wt1* expression in mice and chicken gonads is sexually monomorphic through embryogenesis [21, 130]. Finding differential expression from stage 19–22 *Apalone* gonads is important because previous studies in *A. mutica* used adrenal-kidney-gonad complexes (AKGs) [27, 28, 31, 34, 35], and expression from the adrenal-kidney can mask gonadal expression, as occurs in *Chrysemys* and other turtles [42, 131–133].

**Sf1** is required for gonadal and adrenal gland formation and steroidogenic activity [134]. *Sf1* is directly activated by *Wt1*, and its expression is thermo-insensitive in GSD turtles ([28] and this study) as in in *Chelydra* (TSD) [41], but male-biased in *Chrysemys* ([42] and this study) as in the slider turtle *Trachemys scripta* (TSD) [135]. This underscores that *Sf1* expression is evolutionarily labile, as observed across major vertebrate lineages (reviewed in [42]).

**Dax1** is involved in mammalian ovarian and testicular formation [136, 137]. *Dax1* is upregulated at low temperature in *Chrysemys* ([42] and this study), and in *Apalone* (this study), as observed in *A. mutica* [31] perhaps driven by the relic thermosensitive expression of its activator (*Wt1*). In contrast, *Dax1* expression is female-biased in birds and monomorphic in several TSD taxa including the green sea turtle *Lepidochelys olivacea*, *Chelydra* and *Trachemys* (reviewed in [42]).

**Sox9** is activated by *Sry* in eutherian mammals, tipping the bipotential gonad towards the male fate. *Sox9* is upregulated in *Chrysemys* ([42] and this study). In contrast, *Sox9* in *Apalone* shifts from upregulation at high-temperature (stage 15) to upregulation at low-temperature (stage 19), perhaps reflecting the evolutionary drift in GSD turtles from its ancestral thermal response. Consistently, *Sox9* expression in *A. mutica* is monomorphic [34].

**Aromatase** is key in ovarian formation and steroidogenic activity [138, 139]. *Aromatase* is upregulated at FPT during *Chrysemys*’ late-TSP ([42] and this study). *Aromatase* expression is evolutionarily labile across vertebrates [140, 141]. The monomorphic *aromatase* transcription in the bipotential gonad (stages 9 and 12) in turtles observed here is consistent with its expression in chicken [23].

**Dmrt1** regulates sexual development in vertebrates [56] and its molecular evolution is associated with transitions in sex determination in reptiles [126]. *Dmrt1* is sex-linked in fish [142] and in birds [143]. *Dmrt1* is upregulated in gonads at MPT in *Chrysemys* (this study; and counter to AKG expression [34]), underscoring *Dmrt1*’s critical role in testicular development as in *Trachemys* (TSD) [37]. *Dmrt1* in *Apalone* shifts from low-temperature upregulation (stage 19) to high-temperature upregulation (stage 22) in gonads (this study), whereas its expression is monomorphic in AKG of *A. mutica* [34], perhaps also reflecting drift of gene expression during GSD evolution as suggested for *Sox9* [34]. *Dmrt1* expression is male-biased in fish, birds and mammals [23, 42].

4. Are there unique reptilian regulators not present in the gonadal network of other vertebrates?

**Discovery of new elements in the vertebrate gonadal network**

Our dataset also revealed genes expressed in turtle gonads but unreported in mice, thus possibly unique to reptilian (or turtle) gonadogenesis. Among these are *Calr* (female-biased at stage
9) and Dcn, a component of the extracellular matrix uncharacterized in the mouse gonad [144], and which showed female-bias in Chrysemys stage 15 onwards. These, as well as the differentially-expressed ncRNAs and unannotated novel transcripts identified here (S2 Table, S1 Fig) in both turtles, represent novel candidates for further study. Some of the differentially-expressed ncRNAs identified here are particularly intriguing, such as 7SK RNA and those classified as putative conserved noncoding region given that these ncRNAs can play key roles in transcriptional regulation [87, 145]. The observed higher expression at FPT of Xist RNA during the TSP of Chrysemys (stage 19), and its absence at other stages and in Apalone is also intriguing. Namely, this is the first report of Xist expression during gonadal development in turtles lacking sex chromosomes [45], a ncRNA region that is critical for dosage compensation via epigenetic inactivation of the X chromosome in human or its upregulation in Drosophila [87, 146].

Finally, genes enriched in hypoxia tolerance and mitochondrial functions that mediate the adaptation to sub-zero temperatures were differentially-expressed in Chrysemys across all stages [147], including translocases that function as chaperones across the mitochondrial membranes, the SLC25 family of mitochondrial transporters [148], Ep300, Casp1 and Thioredoxin family involved in hypoxia signaling [149, 150]. Our data indicate that these genes, which underline thermal adaptation, are also active from early development.

Conclusion

Ours is the first comparative transcriptomic analysis of TSD vertebrates and GSD turtles. Our analyses uncovered numerous homologs of mammalian urogonadal genes that were unidentified in turtles, alongside many turtle-specific novel transcripts. The strengths of the transcriptomic time series through embryogenesis in two species permitted an initial and simultaneous characterization of the genome-wide network underlying gonadogenesis and its response to environmental temperature in TSD and GSD systems. Because a single pool of RNA was available per temperature-by-stage, our inferences should be taken as a strongly suggestive initial glimpse of the genome-wide composition and regulation of the gene network underlying sexual development in TSD and GSD turtles. These results, accompanied by the discovery of previously unknown gonadal regulators in vertebrates that are active well before the onset of the thermosensitive period highlight the value of targeted investigations of early orchestrators of embryogenesis. Further, the thermosensitive response of key elements of multiple signaling pathways potentially governing sex determination observed here, underscore that differences between TSD and GSD in turtles are less likely due to unique elements in this network (although some candidates were identified) but instead, perhaps largely due to the differential deployment of common elements and modules. Our work thus contributes to deciphering the evolutionary puzzle of vertebrate sex determination, and provides significant genomic resources and working hypotheses to guide further investigations in this active field of research.
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