Fabrication of transparent self-supporting alumina films by homogeneous precipitation process
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This paper reports fabrication of transparent self-supporting alumina films by using homogeneous precipitation and peptization processes. White precipitate of aluminum hydroxide was prepared with a homogeneous precipitation method using aluminum nitrate and urea in aqueous solution. The obtained aluminum hydroxide precipitate was peptized by using acetic acid at room temperature, which resulted in production of a transparent alumina sol. The alumina sol was transformed to an alumina gel film by drying the sol at room temperature. The alumina film was amorphous or fine crystallites even after annealed at a temperature as high as 500°C, and was crystallized to γ-Al2O3 at 900°C.
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1. Introduction

Transparent films are available for fabricating materials in optoelectronics such as an optical filter and an antireflection film. Representative materials for the transparent thin films are silica, titania, indium tin oxide, and alumina. The films can be more functioned with incorporation of functional materials such as laser dyes, rare earth, and semiconductor into the films. Since the alumina has high thermal conductivity among them, the functional materials will be thermally stabilized with their incorporation into the alumina films.

Several methods for fabricating films have been proposed. They are roughly classified into two groups. One is methods performed in gas phase, in which chemical vapor deposition and physical vapor deposition have been extensively studied. This method is limited to production the films on substrate. Another is methods performed in liquid phase, in which sol–gel methods and spray-coating are representative. Among them, the sol–gel method is a good candidate as a future process, because it is a low-temperature synthesis method that does not require large scale equipments and it is easy to attain homogeneous compositions. In addition, the sol–gel method can be used for more general needs for fabrication of films, since self-supporting films can be also fabricated by the method.

The alumina films can be also fabricated with the sol–gel method. Most sol–gel methods for alumina fabrication use alkoxide as a starting material. Though they can fabricate alumina films, the main difficulty for the use of the alkoxide arises from its high cost. It can be noted as another difficulty that alcohol produced with hydrolysis of the alkoxide is remained in the film, which deteriorates function of the film. Apart from the alkoxide, aluminum salt may be also considered as a source of aluminum, and is available at low cost compared with the alkoxide. From this view point, Kurokawa et al. has proposed a method for producing alumina films by using aluminum salts. In the method, aluminum hydroxide is precipitated with the addition of base to an aluminum salt aqueous solution, then it is peptized with acid to produce alumina sol, and finally the alumina sol is converted to an alumina film with gelation that takes place with drying. Though this method works well on the film fabrication, the peptization is performed by refluxing the aluminum hydroxide precipitate at ca. 80°C for a period as long as several hours, which provides a complication of procedures. A homogeneous precipitation method is alternative for preparing aluminum hydroxide precipitate. In the homogeneous precipitation method, precipitators such as urea are decomposed at high temperature, which increases pH of solution slowly and homogeneously. As a result, fine particles are produced. In a preliminary experiment, aluminum hydroxide precipitate prepared by a homogeneous precipitation method could be peptized at room temperature. It was speculated the precipitate particles were so fine that the peptization took place with no heating. Accordingly, to simplify procedures for peptization, the homogeneous precipitation method is promising for preparing aluminum hydroxide precipitate. In addition, alumina sol prepared from fine aluminum hydroxide precipitate particles is expected to be converted to high quality alumina films.

In the present work, a method using the aluminum hydroxide precipitate prepared with the homogeneous precipitation method using aluminum salt as starting material is proposed for preparing alumina sol. Transparent self-supporting alumina films were fabricated by using the alumina sol, and their characteristics of transparency and crystallinity were investigated.

2. Experimental

2.1 Chemicals

Aluminum nitrate enneahydrate [Al(NO3)3·9H2O] (98.0%) and urea (99.0%) were used as a starting material for aluminum hydroxide and a precipitation-inducer for preparation of alumina sol. The main diffculty for the use of the alkoxide arises from its high cost. It can be noted as another difficulty that alcohol produced with hydrolysis of the alkoxide is remained in the film, which deteriorates function of the film. Apart from the alkoxide, aluminum salt may be also considered as a source of aluminum, and is available at low cost compared with the
num hydroxide, respectively. Acetic acid (99.7%) was used as a peptizer for aluminum hydroxide. All chemicals were purchased from Kanto Chemical Co., Inc., and were used as received. Water that was ion-exchanged and distilled with Yamato WG-250 was used in all the preparations.

2.2 Preparation
Precipitate of aluminum hydroxide was prepared by the homogeneous precipitation method. The preparation was carried out at initial concentrations of 2–7 M urea and 0.2 M Al(NO$_3$)$_3$ in a hermetically sealed flask reactor under stirring at 80°C for 0–10 h. The obtained white precipitate was aged for 12 h after the preparation. The aged precipitate was washed by repeating centrifugation, removal of supernatant, addition of the water and sonication over three times. The washed precipitate was peptized at 25 (room temperature) and 80°C with the addition of acetic acid at [acid]/[Al$^{3+}$] molar ratios of 0–0.25, which produced a sol (alumina sol). The sol was casted onto a petri dish, and dried in air at room temperature. Then, the sol was converted to a gel film (alumina film). The films were pulverized with a mortar into powder, and then annealed in air at various temperatures.

2.3 Characterization
The samples were characterized by ultraviolet (UV)–vis (VIS) spectroscopy, thermal analysis (TG–DTA) and X-ray diffractometry (XRD). UV–VIS extinction spectra were measured with a Hitachi UV-3010 spectrophotometer using a quartz cuvette with a light-path length of 1 cm. TG–DTA was performed in air at a heating rate of 10°C/min with a Seiko SSC5200 thermal analyzer. Powder, which was obtained by pulverizing alumina film with a mortar, was used as samples for TG–DTA measurements. XRD measurements were carried out with a Rigaku RAD-B X-ray diffractometer at 50 kV and 150 mA with Cu K$_\alpha$ radiation. Samples for XRD measurements were obtained in the same manner as that for the TG–DTA samples.

3. Results and discussion
3.1 Alumina sol
3.1.1 Effect of urea concentration
Figure 1 shows dependences of the pH of Al(NO$_3$)$_3$ aqueous solution in reaction time at various urea concentrations. When the concentration was 2 M, the pH was almost constant at 3, and the solution was still transparent, even after 10 h. For 5 and 7 M, the pH values began to increase after 2 h, then achieved around 10 at 10 h, and finally saturated at the pH of 10. A rate for the pH increase was larger at 7 M than that for 5 M due to the large urea concentration. During the pH increases, the solutions became opaque, and then white precipitates were sedimented. The sedimentation was remarkable for 7 M, compared with that for 5 M. The increase in urea concentration leads to an increase in the ionic strength in the solution. Since an increase in the ionic strength compresses double layer on solid materials such as colloidal particles, the double layer repulsion between aluminum hydroxide particles was probably reduced at the high urea concentration. Thus, at the high urea concentration, aluminum hydroxide particles probably aggregated, because of the reduced double layer repulsion. Consequently, the aluminum hydroxide aggregates were remarkably sedimented at the high urea concentration.

3.1.2 Effect of peptization temperature
Peptization of the aluminum hydroxide precipitate was performed by adding acetic acid. According to the previous work using aluminum hydroxide precipitate prepared by adding ammonia aqueous solution as a precipitator to aluminum salt aqueous solution, the precipitate becomes transparent by performing peptization at 80°C. With the respect to the present work, the precipitate became also a transparent sol by peptizing at 80°C. Peptization temperature is desired to be lowered for simplifying preparation processes. In the present work, peptization was performed at a temperature as low as 25°C. As a result, a transparent sol was also produced, though it took longer time to obtain the transparent sol compared to that for the peptization temperature of 80°C. The slow and homogeneous increase in pH of solution through decomposition of urea probably produced fine aluminum hydroxide particles. Since the fine particles were expected to be easily peptized, the precipitate was successfully peptized at the low temperature.

3.1.3 Effect of peptization time
Figure 2 shows transmittance of alumina sols as a function of peptization time. The measurements were performed at 500 nm. The transmittance increased with an increase in the peptization time, and it almost leveled off over 6 h. Accordingly, in the present work, all the alumina sols except for the sols produced in this section were prepared with the peptization time of 12 h to complete the peptization.

3.1.4 Effect of acetic acid concentration
Figure 3 shows transmittance of alumina sols produced by peptizing the aluminum hydroxide precipitate at different concentrations of acetic acid. The measurements were also performed at 500 nm. Alumina sol was still opaque at [acid]/[Al$^{3+}$] molar ratios of 0 and 0.05. The sol became transparent at 0.10, and the transmittance was almost constant over 0.10. The transmittance was achieved 96.4% at 0.20. Possibly, a size of particles in the
The temperature and time of peptization were 25°C and 12 h, respectively. The obtained alumina sol appeared very transparent. The transmittances were measured at a wavelength of 500 nm. The transparent alumina sol was so small compared to wavelength in visible range that light scattering did not strongly take place; The transparent alumina sol was produced at the urea concentration of 5 M, was used for preparing the alumina sol. The alumina sol was produced by homogeneous precipitation process using aluminum nitrate and urea in aqueous solution was prepared at the urea concentration of 5 M. The temperature and time of peptization were 25°C and 12 h, respectively.

Figure 3. Transmittance of alumina sol vs. [acid]/[Al^{3+}] molar ratio. The transmittances were measured at a wavelength of 500 nm. The aluminum hydroxide used was prepared at the urea concentration of 5 M.

Figure 4. Photographs of alumina sol (a) and alumina film (b). Aluminum hydroxide, which was used at the urea concentration of 5 M, was used for preparing the alumina sol. The aluminum hydroxide was peptized at 25°C for 12 h for producing the alumina sol that was used for fabricating the alumina film.

The transparent alumina sol was colloidally stable. The measurement was performed in air at a heating rate of 10°C/min.

Figure 5. TG–DTA curves of alumina film. The measurement was performed in air at a heating rate of 10°C/min.

Figure 6 shows XRD patterns of alumina films annealed at temperatures of 25 (as-prepared), 500, and 900°C.

Figure 6 shows XRD patterns of alumina films annealed at various temperatures. In the as-prepared alumina film, a few peaks that were roughly assigned to those of pseudoboehmite were detected around 15, 30, and 40 degree, according to the reference. These peaks were broad and diffuse, which indicated that the films were more or less amorphous or crystallites that were too fine to be detected. No dominant peaks appeared even for annealing at a temperature as high as 500°C, which indicated that the alumina was quite stable in the states such as amorphous or fine crystallite. For 900°C, peaks attributed to γ-Al₂O₃ crystallites took place, which supported the implication obtained in the thermal analysis for the crystallization of alumina at 862°C. There was no large difference in the XRD patterns between the alumina films fabricated by Kurokawa et al. and in the present work; The alumina films similar to those for Kurokawa et al.'s work were successfully fabricated with no heating the aluminum hydroxide precipitate for peptization in the present work.

4. Conclusions

The transparent alumina films were fabricated from aluminum salt as a starting material. A homogeneous precipitation method using aluminum nitrate and urea in aqueous solution was performed for producing the white precipitates of aluminum hydroxide. The transparent alumina sol was successfully produced by the peptization of the aluminum hydroxide with the addition of acetic acid even at the temperature as low as 25°C (room temperature). Drying the sol at room temperature resulted in the production of the transparent alumina gel film. The alumina film was amorphous or fine crystallites even after annealed at a temperature as high as 500°C, and was crystallized to γ-Al₂O₃ by annealing at 900°C.
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