**MetAP1 and MetAP2 drive cell selectivity for a potent anti-cancer agent in synergy, by controlling glutathione redox state**

**SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell cultures**

MDA-MB-231, U87-MG, A549, HaCaT and H1299 cells were grown in Dulbecco’s minimal essential medium (DMEM) containing 4.5 g/L glucose supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) and 1% glutamine. Human K562, THP-1, Jurkat, U937 and HCT-116 cells were grown in RPMI 1640 containing 10% FCS and 1% glutamine. HUVECs were subcultured after three to six passages and grown to confluence in tissue culture flasks, in endothelial cell growth medium (EGM2) containing growth factors and 2% FCS. All cell lines were maintained at 37°C, under a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂.

**N-terminal proteomic analysis**

Cell lysates were treated as previously described [1], with protein N-terminal d3-acetylation, cysteine reduction/alkylation, trypsin digestion and SCX fractionation. Fractions eluted from SCX columns with retention times of 3 to 22 min were analyzed as previously described [1] with an Easy Nano-LC II (Thermo Scientific) coupled to a LTQ-Orbitrap™ Velos (Thermo Scientific). SCX fractions were loaded onto an NS-MP-10 pre-column (Nanoseparation, Nieuwkoop, The Netherlands) at a maximum pressure of 220 bars and separated on a Nikkyo Technos capillary column (NTCC-360/100-5-153, Nikkyo Technos Co., Tokyo, Japan). The MS survey scan was acquired by Fourier-Transform scanning 400-2000 Da at 60,000 resolution, with internal calibration. The 20 ions giving the most intense signals were subjected to high CID-MS with an exclusion time of 20 seconds for the selected precursor. MS/MS spectra with parent ion signals of more than 1 count and with a signal-to-noise ratio greater than 1.5 were extracted with Proteome Discoverer (Thermo Scientific, Ver. 1.4) and transferred to Mascot software (Matrix Science, London, UK, Ver. 2.4) for protein identification and the characterization of the co/post-translational modifications by comparison with the Homo sapiens reference containing splicing isoforms proteome available from the UniProtKB web site (Release 2014_11, 41988 sequences). The trypsin/P rule, with up to eight missed cleavages was used, with parent and fragment mass tolerances of 10 ppm and 0.6 ppm, respectively. Carbamidomethylcysteine and d3-acetyl on Lys were considered as fixed modifications, whereas Met-oxidation, protein N-terminal myristoylation, acetylation and d3-acetylation, phosphorylation (S/T) and K⁺-peptide cationization (D/E) [2] were considered as variable modifications. All data were filtered at a protein false discovery rate of 1% using internal decoy approach.

**Accession codes**

The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium (http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org) via the PRIDE partner repository [3], with the dataset identifier PXD002690.

**Assessment of MetAP1 and MetAP2 protein accumulation by LC-SRM**

**Sample preparation**

Cells were cultured as previously described in the main text, counted using Vi-cell XR (Beckman Coulter), centrifuged and stored at -80°C. Cell pellets were resuspended in 800 μL of extraction buffer (8 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 1% DTT, protease inhibitors), sonicated and the resulting suspension was centrifuged (5 min, 8000 x g, 4°C). The supernatant was collected and split into four 200 μl aliquots for the preparation of quadruplicate samples. The aliquots were stripped of non-protein contaminants by protein precipitation in acetone (1 to 9 volumes of acetone, -20°C and overnight). After centrifugation (15 minutes, 15000 x g, 4°C), the protein pellet was dried and resuspended in 200 μL of solubilization buffer (8 M urea, 0.1 M ammonium bicarbonate, pH 8). Protein concentration was determined in the RC-DC protein assay (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Equal amounts of protein were used for all three cell lines. Proteins were reduced (12 mM DTT, 37°C, 30 minutes) and alkylated (40 mM iodoacetamide, IAA, 25°C, 1 hour, in the dark). Samples were diluted in freshly prepared 0.1 M ammonium bicarbonate, to obtain a urea concentration of 1 M, and proteins were digested with trypsin (1:120 enzyme:substrate ratio, 37°C, overnight). Stable isotope-labeled synthetic peptides were used to spike samples. The samples were then acidified with formic acid (pH 3), desalted and concentrated by solid-phase extraction (Sep-Pak C18, 1 cc, 50 mg, Waters). The eluate volume was decreased in a vacuum centrifuge and adjusted with 0.1%
formic acid in water to obtain a final concentration of 4 μg/μL.

**Relative quantification**

Samples were prepared as described above. Equal volumes of a concentration-balanced mixture of crude stable isotope-labeled peptides were used to spike all samples before the solid phase extraction (SPE) step. This approach was used to correct signal fluctuations and biases introduced during the sample preparation steps. For each peptide, the ratios of the light to heavy peak areas were compared to obtain a relative quantification for the three cell lines. Four sample-preparation replicates were generated and each was analyzed in three injection replicates.

**Absolute quantification**

Samples were prepared as described above. A pool of the three cell lines was used to mimic the sample matrix. A calibration curve covering a range extending from 1.6 to 50 fmol internal standard (IS) peptide (IDFGTHISGR) in 10 μg of sample matrix injected into the column was generated with this sample pool. The calibration curve was established by plotting the mean chromatographic peak area (using the sum of peak areas for the three selected transitions) from triplicate injections against the amounts of IS peptide injected.

**Target peptide selection**

Using the UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot protein database containing splicing isoforms, we selected peptides that were unique and specific to MetAP1 and MetAP2. Priority was given to peptides that had already been identified in previous shotgun experiments on equivalent samples, preferentially without fractionation, with high-quality MS/MS spectra. The chosen peptides were 10 to 15 amino acids long, and their sequences contained no missed-cleavage sites or methionine residues. Six peptides were chosen for the first relative quantification experiment (LQCPTCIK, LGIQGSYFCSQECFK and HAQANGFSVVR for MetAP1 and ALDQAASEIWNDFR, IDFGTHISGR and NLNGHSIGQYR for MetAP2) and one peptide (IDFGTHISGR) was used for the absolute quantification of MetAP2.

**Transition selection**

The best transitions for each peptide were selected by analyzing heavy isotope-labeled peptides by Nano-LC ion-trap coupling (Agilent 1100 Series Nanoflow LC system (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, USA) coupled to an amaZon ETD ion trap (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) to generate a spectral library. From the MS/MS spectra stored in the spectral library, we were able to extract and monitor at least six y-ion-type transitions for each peptide, with an unscheduled method, on a micro-LC triple quadrupole system (Dionex Ultimate 3000 system coupled to a TSQ Vantage Triple Quadrupole instrument (Thermo Fischer Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA)). This made it possible to determine the retention times of all the targeted peptides, checking the co-elution of endogenous and isotopically labeled peptides, eliminating transitions with interference and adjusting the isotopically labeled peptide concentrations. A concentration-balanced mixture of the crude peptides was prepared, to obtain comparable signal intensities for light and heavy transitions. For each peptide, at least three transitions were monitored for quantification. The complete list of transitions measured is presented in Supplementary Table 2.

**Micro-LC-SRM peptide characterization**

Peptides were analyzed on a Dionex Ultimate 3000 system coupled to a TSQ Vantage Triple Quadrupole instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA). For each analysis, a volume of 2.5 μL of sample, corresponding to 10 μg of protein, was injected and trapped on a precolumn (Zorbax C18 stable bond, 5 μm, 1.0 × 17 mm, Agilent Technologies) and then separated on a C18 column (Zorbax 300 SB C18, 3.5 μm, 150 × 0.3 mm, Agilent Technologies). The peptides were eluted with a linear gradient of 2% acetonitrile/98% water/0.1% formic acid (solvent A) and 98% acetonitrile/2% water/0.1% formic acid (solvent B). Trapping was performed for 3 minutes, at a flow rate of 50 μL.min⁻¹ with solvent A. Elution was performed at a flow rate of 5 μL.min⁻¹ with the following gradient: 3 minutes of 5% B; from 5% to 35% B in 40 minutes; 5 minutes at 80% B; 16 minutes at 5% B. For optimal micro-LC-SRM, the TSQ vantage mass spectrometer was operated with the following parameters: the system was operated in positive mode, the ion spray voltage was set at 3000 V, the capillary temperature at 300°C, the nitrogen collision gas pressure was set to 1.5 mTorr, Q1 and Q3 resolution was set to 0.7 Da and the collision energy was optimized individually for each transition. Unscheduled SRM was used, with a cycle time of 3 s and a dwell time of 34 ms for each transition. The system was controlled with Chromeleon Xpress software (v. 6.8) for the liquid chromatography system and Xcalibur (v. 2.1.0) software for the mass spectrometry system.

**Micro-LC-SRM data analysis**

The Skyline open-source software package [4] was used to visualize the SRM data, to perform peak selection and transition peak area integration, and to verify SRM peak group identification manually by
checking the co-elution and the correct relative fragmentation intensities between light and heavy isotope-labeled peptides. The coefficient of variation for peptide retention time was calculated from all measurements of the light and heavy peptide over all replicates (Supplementary Table 2). For the relative quantification experiment, the overall reproducibility of the experiment was verified by calculating light/heavy isotope area ratios for the sum of all transitions, and checking that coefficients of variation were below 20% for all sample preparation replicates. Relative protein quantification and testing for differential protein expression were performed with the R package MSstats (http://www.R-project.org). The acceptance criteria for statistically different protein abundance changes between two conditions were set at a p-value below 0.05 and a fold-change of more than 1.2. For the absolute quantification experiment, the linearity criteria required experimental dots in standard curves to display a mean CV of less than 15% between triplicate injections. Experimental dots also had to fall within the mean 80–120% accuracy range when calculating the expected injected amounts from regression equations after base 2 logarithmic transformations (Supplementary Table 2). The limit of quantitation (LOQ) is the lowest point satisfying all the above mentioned criteria. Only the points satisfying all these criteria were used to calculate the linear regression equation and correlation coefficient. Endogenous peptide levels in the three cell lines were measured in sample preparation triplicates (Supplementary Table 2).
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Supplementary Figure S1: Multiple fumagillin treatments do not significantly decrease the cell proliferation rate. HCT116, an insensitive line, was cultured for 72 h with a single fumagillin treatment (white bars) or with the medium replaced with fresh fumagillin-containing medium every 24 h (black bars) before the assessment of proliferation. Fum, fumagillin.
Supplementary Figure S2: Mass spectrometry pipeline for the large-scale identification of N-terminal peptides and modifications. Experimental design and procedure used to identify protein N-termini and to determine their status. The different cell lines were left untreated (CT) or were treated (Fum) before sample processing. The key steps are shown in blue and include the chemical heavy isotope acetylation of N-termini, making it possible to differentiate endogenous acetylation from free N-termini. The strong cation exchange step enriches the preparation in N-terminal peptides. MS and data processing are then used to identify the protein and its N-terminal modifications. Further details are provided in the Materials and Methods section.
Supplementary Figure S3: Distribution of the identified proteins between cell lines. The overall scheme of mass spectrometry data generated in the course of the study is given A. This includes the status of N-terminal peptide and the respective identification of the proteins. In addition, for each branch the main conclusion is given for clarity. All proteins identified by mass spectrometry for each cell line were compared in control samples B, and in fumagillin-treated samples C. The insets in B and C depict pairwise comparisons of cell lines to analyze the overlap in the proteins identified.
Supplementary Figure S4: Distribution of proteins identified in control cells and cells in which MetAP2 was inhibited. Distribution of the identified proteins between control and fumagillin-treated samples for each cell line (x-axis). Proteins identified in both control and treated samples are shown in black. Proteins found only in control samples are shown in green and proteins found only in fumagillin-treated samples are shown in red. 100% corresponds to the total number of unique entries for a given cell line.
Supplementary Figure S5: Identification of proteins affected by MetAP2 inhibition. Proteins for which N-terminal peptides displayed lower levels of iMet cleavage after Fum treatment are shown in the tables, for each of the cell lines tested (inset). N-termini are extracted and isolated from Supplementary Table 1, for the sake of clarity. iMet cleaved (-, green); iMet partially cleaved (+/-, orange) and iMet retained (+, red). In bold, proteins annotated as being related to glutathione redox homeostasis.
Supplementary Figure S6: The second amino acid frequency of the identified proteins is not affected by MetAP2 inhibition. Comparison of the second amino acid frequency (regardless of NME) between control (CT) and fumagillin-treated (Fum) samples, for each cell line. The x and y-axes are in logarithmic scales to facilitate visualization of the distribution. The second amino acid is the amino acid in the coding sequence immediately following the iMet. The one-code letter for amino acids is used.
Supplementary Figure S7: N-terminal acetylation and myristoylation in MetAP2 inhibited cells. A. Overall comparison of protein N-terminal acetylation frequency between control (CT) and fumagillin-treated samples (Fum), for each cell line. B. Comparison of protein N-terminal acetylation frequency for specific NatA substrates (M\[ASTG]) between control (CT) and fumagillin-treated samples (Fum), for each cell line. C. Table of proteins identified as being myristoylated in at least one of the conditions, for each cell line. The status of the N-terminal peptide of the protein is shown for all conditions (CTRL/FUM). The Uniprot accession number for the protein, a description of the protein, the corresponding gene name and the N-terminal peptide identified are shown. MYR, myristoylation; NTA, N-terminal acetylation; N/A, not identified.
Supplementary Figure S8: Volcano plots representing the relative quantification results of MetAP1 and MetAP2 by LC-SRM. Pairwise comparisons of K562 versus HUVEC, K562 versus U87, and U87 versus HUVEC cell lines are shown. The logarithm with base 2 of the fold changes are plotted against negative logarithm adjusted p-Values calculated with the R package MSstats for replicate injections, applied to individual peptides and to the summed peptides of MetAP1 and MetAP2 proteins. Horizontal and vertical dashed lines represent a p-Value of 0.05 and a fold change of 1.2, respectively. Peptide ALDQASEEIWNDFR is not shown here because it is below the LOD in two of the cell lines.
Supplementary Figure S9: Coefficients of variation associated to LC-SRM results. Coefficients of variation (CV%) for sample preparation quadruplicates* for the 6 targeted peptides for MetAP1 and MetAP2 in three different cell types. *except for one U87 sample that got lost during solid phase extraction.
Supplementary Table S1: Overview of protein N-terminus status. Overview of protein N-terminus status by cell line, for control and treated (fumagillin) conditions. For each column, symbols (0, -, +, +/-) indicate the status of the N-terminal peptide. “0”: N-terminal peptide not characterized; “-”: N-terminus peptide with no iMet; “+”: N-terminus peptide with iMet retained; “+/−”: N-terminus peptide characterized both with and without the iMet (partial cleavage).

See Supplementary File 1

Supplementary Table S2: The complete list of transitions measured for MetAP1 and MetAP2

See Supplementary File 2