Primary Merkel cell carcinoma of the earlobe in a young healthy man
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INTRODUCTION

Merkel cell carcinoma (MCC) is a rare and highly aggressive neuroendocrine cutaneous malignancy [1]. It occurs mostly on sun-exposed areas of the skin in elderly and immunosuppressed individuals [1,2]. The average age of diagnosis is 69 years (range, 7 to 104 years) [3-6]. Less than 5% of cases occur in patients aged < 50 years; these cases are mostly associated with immunosuppression due to organ transplantation or human immunodeficiency virus infection [1,7]. The most common clinical features of MCC follow the AEIOU (asymptomatic, expanding rapidly, immune suppression, older than 50 years of age, ultraviolet-exposed skin) criteria [8]. More than 90% of affected patients meet three or more of the AEIOU criteria [8]. However, this diagnostic tool is not specific to MCC; it has been applied to many other cutaneous lesions. Here, we report an uncommon case of MCC arising in the right earlobe of an otherwise healthy young man who fulfilled only two of the AEIOU criteria. Despite only two non-specific symptoms, the patient was diagnosed early and successfully treated.

CASE REPORT

A 35-year-old man presented to the department of plastic and reconstructive surgery of Ajou University Hospital with a slightly erythematous 1-cm-sized mass on his right earlobe; the mass had slowly enlarged during the past 3 months (Fig. 1). The initial clinical impression suggested that the mass was an infected epidermal cyst, and the lesion was therefore completely excised. Histopathological examination revealed uniform and atypical small blue cells arranged in trabecular patterns and sheets (Fig. 2A). The tumor cells contained scanty cytoplasm and irregular nuclei, with several nuclei showing central clearing (Fig. 2B). The tumor cells were positive for cytokeratin 20 (Fig. 3). The mass was diagnosed as MCC.

At this point in time, another 1-cm mass was found in the patient’s right infra-auricular area. Computed tomography (CT) and positron emission tomography (PET) of the neck revealed that this lesion was reactive lymphoid hyperplasia rather than metastasis (Fig. 4). A fine-needle aspiration biopsy also demon-
strated a lack of malignant cells.

According to the National Cancer Care Network (NCCN), wide excision of the primary earlobe lesion was performed with a 1.5-cm safety margin with full thickness, in an attempt to minimize the aesthetic impact and preserve the external acoustic pore. And the defect was reconstructed with local flap advancement. Despite the CT and PET findings, excisional biopsy of the infra-auricular mass was also performed. Histological examination revealed no residual carcinoma in the excised primary lesion but showed metastatic MCC in the infra-auricular lymph node.

The patient was referred to the ear-nose-throat department and underwent total parotidectomy and supraomohyoid neck dissection. Dissection was done with preserving facial nerve branches. Superficial lobe of parotid gland was partially removed including the mass. Deep lobe of parotid gland was also

Fig. 1. Gross photograph of the earlobe mass. The mass is 1 cm in size and displays mild erythema and swelling.

Fig. 2. Histopathological examination of excised mass. (A) Uniform and atypical small blue cells form trabecular pattern and sheets. The tumor cells contain irregular nuclei and scanty cytoplasm (H&E, ×200). (B) Several nuclei show central clearing (arrows) (H&E, ×400).

Fig. 3. Immunohistochemistry staining for cytokeratin 20. Tumor cells are positive for cytokeratin 20 (×200).
removed. Subplatysmal flap was elevated, and neck level above supraomohyoid lymph nodes were excised. Histological examination revealed no residual carcinoma in the parotid gland or lymph nodes. The patient received no adjuvant radiation or chemotherapy. We followed up the patient every month for the first year after diagnosis and followed up every 2 months for the second year after diagnosis. After 33 months of follow-up, there was no evidence of tumor recurrence or any other complications (Fig. 5). In present, the patient visits outpatient clinic every 3 or 4 months.

DISCUSSION

MCC is very rare in young patients; only 5% of cases occur in patients aged < 50 years, and in most of these cases, immunosuppression plays a role [2]. Very few cases of MCC arising in young healthy adults have been reported. Three cases of MCC were reported in 15-, 28-, and 33-year-old patients who had undergone chemoradiation or radiotherapy following local wide excision [9-11]. The only other reported cases involve 8- and 17-year-old patients who died despite surgery and chemotherapy [12,13]. Most of the previous patients with ear primary site were old and died during follow-up [14,15]. Compared with previous cases, it is a very rare case of young male, regional lymph node metastasis in which the patient is alive without recurrence for 33 months after surgery.

Because MCC is rare, established treatments are often based on retrospective series; no prospective trials have been performed to investigate the effects of surgery, radiotherapy, or chemotherapy. Wide tumor resection is usually recommended with a 1- to 2-cm tumor-free margin, and complete lymph node dissection is recommended in patients with clinically node-positive MCC [16]. We performed wide excision of the primary lesion with a 1.5-cm safety margin in an attempt to minimize the aesthetic impact and preserve the external acoustic pore. Although lymph node metastasis was pathologically confirmed, all specimens from the lymph node dissection tested negative for cancer cells. MCC has an aggressive course with early lymphatic and haematogenous spread. Therefore, postoperative radiotherapy is generally recommended even in cases of negative margins [17]. In the present case, we achieved local control of both the primary lesion and metastatic disease. The patient was not administered any adjuvant therapy, but was closely observed. The patient was doing well after 33 months of follow-up. Our patient was properly treated because of the early diagnosis; he visited our clinic soon after recognizing the mass, and excisional biopsy was immediately performed. Although no metastatic nodes were found on the CT and PET images or fine-needle aspiration biopsy results, we performed excisional biopsy because of the development of the new palpable lesion and in consideration of the aggressive nature of MCC. We determined that early diagnosis with a high suspicion for metasta-
sis was critical for successful treatment in this case.

No consented aftercare protocols exist for MCC. MCC recurrence presents within 3 years of diagnosis in 90% of cases. The NCCN recommendations call for follow-up visits every 3 to 6 months in the first 2 years after diagnosis and later every 6 to 12 months [18]. During the follow-up period after diagnosis, every visit included a physical examination and thorough palpation of lymph nodes. Magnetic resonance imaging was carried out for confirming the local tumor recurrence and cervical lymph node metastasis every year. Also, PET-CT was carried out for detecting local recurrence and distant metastasis 2 years after surgery (Fig. 6). As a result, there was no evidence of local tumor recurrence, cervical lymph node metastasis or distant metastasis in imaging studies.

MCC most commonly occurs in the head and neck region due to chronic sun exposure. Smith et al. [19] reported the relationship between tumor location and prognosis among patients with MCC of the head and neck. Tumors are most commonly located on the face (61.1%), followed by the scalp/neck (21.2%), ear (7.0%), eyelid (5.4%), and lip (5.3%). Ear tumors had the highest rate of nodal metastasis (63.2%) and lip tumor had highest rate of invasion into bone, cartilage, and muscle (13.7%). Patients with scalp/neck and lip primary sites had significantly worse survival rate relative to patients with other location [19]. Although there was the variability of survival rates depending on data collection, the 5-year overall survival for patients who had regional lymph node involvement without disseminated metastatic disease was almost 40% [1].

Because MCC lacks specific presenting clinical features, it is important to cautiously examine the findings using a wide range of clinical tools to achieve an accurate histopathologic diagnosis. Histopathological findings of MCC resemble those of other small round blue cell tumors such as primitive neuroectodermal tumors, metastatic small cell carcinoma, lymphoma/leukemia, alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma, and melanoma. Differential diagnosis is possible by immunohistochemical staining with the sensitive and specific marker cytokeratin 20. Additionally, most cases of MCC are positive for neurofilaments and the neuroendocrine markers chromogranin A, synaptophysin, and neuron-specific enolase but negative for thyroid transcription factor 1, CD20, CD3, myeloperoxidase, desmin, and S100. Using these markers, other neoplasms can be distinguished from MCC.

In summary, we have herein reported a case of primary MCC in a young healthy man, achieving early diagnosis and successful treatment. Considering that clinical diagnosis is very difficult in MCC, early histopathologic diagnosis with high suspicion is crucial for early diagnosis and proper management.
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