Fabrication of functionalized halloysite nanotube blended ultrafiltration membranes for high flux and fouling resistance
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ABSTRACT

Halloysite nanotubes (HNTs) were functionalized using 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTES) and incorporated into polyethersulfone (PES) membranes to improve the hydrophilicity of the membranes as well as the interfacial interaction between HNTs and the polymer matrix. The intrinsic properties, permeability, and selectivity of the prepared membranes were analyzed to evaluate the membrane performance. In addition, humic acid (HA) fouling experiments were conducted to measure the antifouling properties of the fabricated membranes. As HNTs and functionalized HNTs (f-HNTs) contents are increased, hydrophilicity and mechanical strength were enhanced, and membranes with f-HNTs showed further improved performance. The pure water flux of membranes with 2% HNTs and f-HNT was 7.5 times higher than that of a pristine PES membrane without a trade-off relation between the water flux and HA rejection. The membranes with f-HNTs showed the highest antifouling properties compared to membranes with HNTs because enhanced hydrophilicity played a key role in preventing accumulation of HA.
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1. Introduction

The global population has steadily increased in tandem with industrialization and urbanization. According to the world population clock, the population is projected rise from the current level of 7.6 billion to reach 10 billion by 2050 [1, 2]. The demand for potable water is rising, due to population growth, contamination of water resources, and climate change. Thus, there is a need for an approach to purify water at lower cost, using less energy and minimizing the impact on the environment [3].

Membrane technologies have been demonstrated by many researchers as a cost effective method with high water quality and excellent separation efficiency [3]. However, some disadvantages still remain such as membrane fouling, low water production, and short life time. Methods to overcome these problems such as grafting, surface coating and blending additives have been developed. One way to minimize surface fouling and increase the water production is to incorporate nanomaterials into the membranes. Modifying the membranes by blending organic and inorganic nanomaterials may offer advantages such as increased filtration performance, improved mechanical properties, and better antifouling capability [3, 4]. In particular, inorganic nanomaterials have received considerable attention owing to their physicochemical properties such as hydrophilicity, large surface area, and thermal and mechanical stability. Different nanomaterials have been used for membrane fabrication: carbon nanotubes (CNTs), graphene oxide (GO), aluminum oxide (Al2O3), titanium oxide (TiO2), silver (Ag), etc. [5-11]. Among these, halloysite nanotubes (HNTs) have been reported in the literature as promising nanofiller candidates due to their low production cost, less environmental risks, and unique structure [12].

HNTs, first named by Berthier in 1826, are chemically similar to Kaolinite since they are derived by the delamination and rolling of Kaolinite. HNTs possess a hollow tubular structure with multilayer aluminosilicates, and the gaps between the aluminosilicate layers are 7-10 Å. The length of the HNTs is in a range of 0.5-10 μm, and the inner and outer diameters are 30-50 nm and 50-100 nm, respectively. The chemical formula of HNTs is Al2Si2O5(OH)4∙nH2O, and the surface is composed of Si-OH, Al-OH and Si-O-Si groups, which are hydrophilic and enable functionalization and modification [13, 14]. HNTs have excellent mechanical and physical properties, and halloysite nanotubes are thus promising nano-
filler for membrane modification [15].

However, there are drawbacks that constrain the application of inorganic materials including HNTs with polymers, such as poor dispersibility and weak interfacial interaction between polymers and HNTs [16]. In an attempt to address those problems, silane treatment has been applied on the surface of inorganic materials using a silane coupling agent, because it was reported that the functionalization of inorganic materials with hydrophilic groups such as amine, carboxylic acid, and sulfonic acid leads to membranes with higher surface hydrophilicity and increased mechanical strength [17]. Among various types of silane coupling agents, 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTES), which is composed of one ethoxy- group and three amino- groups with Si, is the most extensively reported coupling agent in the literature based on its ability to provide hydrophilicity [14, 17].

In this study, APTES was used for the functionalization of HNTs and the functionalized HNTs were incorporated into a polyethersulfone (PES) matrix. APTES was used to improve the hydrophilicity and mechanical properties of the membrane. The membranes were prepared via the phase inversion method using solutions with different concentrations of HNTs and functionalized HNTs. The performance of the fabricated membranes including permeability, selectivity, and antifouling properties was evaluated and compared.

2. Experimental

2.1. Functionalization of HNTs

For the functionalization of HNTs, APTES (99%; Sigma-Aldrich, USA) solution (0.6%) was mixed with ethanol/water in a ratio of 6:4. For the hydrolysis of silane, the pH of the solution was adjusted between 3.5 and 4.5 by adding acetic acid (Glacial, 99.7%; Daejung, Korea). The HNTs (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) were added to the solution and mixed using a stirrer for 2 h to obtain a homogeneous dispersion. The treated HNTs were dried in an oven at 100 °C until ethanol was completely removed, and finally functionalized HNTs (f-HNTs) were obtained. The interaction mechanism is shown in Fig. 1.

2.2. Membrane Fabrication

For membrane synthesis, HNTs and f-HNTs were added in n-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP) (anhydrous 99.5%; Sigma-Aldrich, USA). And then, 1 wt.% of polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) (Mw 10,000 g/mol; Sigma-Aldrich, USA), a hydrophilic pore-former, was added into NMP with HNTs and f-HNTs. Finally, 20 wt.% of PES (Gafone 3000P; Solvay co., Korea), which was dried in an oven at 40 °C to remove all the moisture, was dissolved in the solution. The whole preparation step for the casting solution took 7 d. The ratio of HNTs and f-HNTs was varied from 0.5 wt.% to 2.0 wt.% of PES by weight, as summarized in Table 1. The prepared solution was sonicated for 3 h and degassed for 30 min to remove visible and invisible air bubbles.

The casting was carried out using a film applicator (Multi Applicator; TQC Sheen, Korea) at 200 μm thickness on a glass plate under room ambient conditions. The casted membrane was immersed into a water bath after exposure to air for 30 s. The synthesized membrane was separated from the glass plate, and then stored in deionized (DI) water till further use. DI water was obtained from a water purification system (Dream Plus II; MDM

| Type of membrane | Polymer | Solvent | Additives | HNTs | f-HNTs |
|------------------|---------|---------|-----------|------|--------|
| PES              |         |         | 1%        | -    | -      |
| HNT0.5           | 20%     | 79%     | 0.5%      | -    | -      |
| HNT1.0           |         |         | 1.0%      | -    | -      |
| HNT2.0           |         |         | 2.0%      | -    | -      |
| f-HNT0.5         |         |         | 0.5%      | -    | -      |
| f-HNT1.0         |         |         | 1.0%      | -    | 2.0%   |
| f-HNT2.0         |         |         | 2.0%      | -    | 2.0%   |
co., Korea) with a resistivity of 18.2 mΩ·cm. The schematic membrane fabrication process is shown in Fig. 1.

2.3. Characterizations

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy (Spectrum 400; PerkinElmer, Korea) was used to obtain the surface chemistry of HNTs and f-HNTs. The spectra were recorded at wavelengths from 4,000 cm\(^{-1}\) to 450 cm\(^{-1}\). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) (K-Alpha\(^{+}\); Thermo Fisher, USA) was used to analyze the elemental composition of the surface of HNTs and f-HNTs. The spectra were recorded with binding energies from 600 eV to 0 eV.

The morphology of the HNTs, f-HNTs, and the fabricated membranes were observed with the use of field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) (ISM-7500F; JEOL, Korea) at different magnifications. The surface roughness of the membranes was measured by atomic force microscopy (AFM) (XE-100; Park Systems, Korea). The analyzed area was 45 μm × 45 μm, and the roughness of the membrane surface was shown as mean roughness, \(R_m\).

The overall porosity (ε, %) of the membranes was calculated through gravimetry with DI water as the wetting solvent using the following equation:

\[
ε = \frac{w_1 - w_2}{dAxρ} \times 100\%
\]

where \(w_1\) is the weight of wet membrane (kg), \(w_2\) is the weight of dried membrane (kg), \(d\) is the thickness of the membranes (m), \(A\) is the area of the sample (m\(^2\)), and \(ρ\) is the density of DI water at 40°C (992.2 kg/m\(^3\)).

The mean pore radius (\(r_m\), nm) was determined using the Guerout-Elford-Ferry equation based on pure water flux and porosity based on the following equation:

\[
r_m = \sqrt{\frac{(2.9 - 1.75ε) \times 8nQ}{A_m \times ΔP \times ε}}
\]

where \(n\) refers to the water viscosity (0.0010016 Pa·s), \(A_m\) is the effective membrane area (m\(^2\)), \(Q\) is the volume of water flux (m\(^3\)/s), and \(ΔP\) is the operating pressure (4 bar).

For evaluation of the surface hydrophilicity of the membranes, a contact angle goniometer (Phoenix 300; Surface Electro Optics Co., Korea) was employed using sessile drop methods. For the static sessile drop method, 3 μL of DI water was dropped onto the surface of dried membranes using a micro-syringe, and the contact angle was measured.

The mechanical properties of the membranes were measured by using a universal testing machine (UTM) (TO-100-IC; Testone Co., Ltd., Korea). The tensile test was carried out by following the ASTM-D412 method. The sample was cut to dumbbell-shaped tensile specimens of 6mm width using a die cutter. The sample was then extended at a constant speed of 20 mm/min with a load of 3 kgf.

2.4. Membrane Permeability and Selectivity

A lab-scale ultrafiltration (UF) experiment (Fig. S1) was carried out to measure the pure water flux and rejection of the membranes. An acryl cell (Millipore Inc., USA) with 18.56 m\(^2\) effective membrane area was used for cross-flow filtration. The temperature was kept at 25°C, and the flow rate was maintained at 400 cm. In order to reach the stable water flux, all the membranes were stabilized at 4 bars for 30 min. DI water was used as feed to measure the pure water flux and a 100 ppm humic acid (HA) (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) solution was used as feed for the rejection test.

The water flux was described via the following equation:

\[
J_w = \frac{V}{A_m Δt}
\]

where \(J_w\) is the water flux (L/m\(^2\)-h, LMH), \(V\) is the volume of permeated water (L), and \(Δt\) is the permeation time (h). The rejection of the membranes was determined using the following equation:

\[
R(\%) = \left(1 - \frac{C_f}{C_i}\right) \times 100\%
\]

where \(R\) (%) represents the HA rejection, and \(C_i\) and \(C_f\) are the concentration of HA in the permeate and feed, respectively. The concentration was measured using a UV/vis spectrophotometer (Optizen POP; Mecasys, Korea) at the wavelength of 290 nm.

2.5. Fouling Experiment

To evaluate the antifouling properties of the membranes, three consecutive membranes fouling experiments were conducted at 4 bar using 100 ppm HA solution as feed and the water flux was recorded for 15 min. The fouled membrane was washed with DI water by flushing onto the membrane. The water flux of the membranes was then measured again. Note that the membranes were initially stabilized for 30 min with DI water at 4 bars before the fouling test. The flux recovery ratio (FRR), total flux loss (\(R_t\)), reversible fouling ratio (\(R_r\)), and irreversible fouling ratio (\(R_{ir}\)) were calculated using the following equations:

\[
FRR(%) = \frac{J_{w,i}}{J_{w,f}} \times 100\%
\]

\[
R_t(%) = \frac{I_{w,c} - I_{w,f}}{I_{w,i}} \times 100\%
\]

\[
R_r(%) = \frac{I_{w,c} - I_{w,f}}{I_{w,i}} \times 100\%
\]

\[
R_{ir}(%) = \frac{I_{w,c} - I_{w,f}}{I_{w,i}} \times 100\%
\]

where \(J_{w,i}\), \(J_{w,f}\), and \(J_{w,c}\) represent the water flux of the initial, fouled, and cleaned membrane after HA filtration, respectively, as shown in Fig. S2. Normalized water flux was used to evaluate the anti-fouling properties of the membranes. The measured water flux was divided by the initial water flux to calculate the normalized water flux.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization of HNTs & f-HNTs

3.1.1. Morphology

The morphologies of the HNTs and f-HNTs were characterized by FE-SEM, as shown in Fig. S3. The HNTs show straight tubular structure open ends with multilayer aluminosilicate sheets which are a typical shape of halloysite nanotube. The HNTs possessed a length of up to 1 μm and an outer diameter of 50-100 nm. There might be some transformation of the shape during the functionalization process. However, the f-HNTs were neither folded nor bent after functionalization with APTES. The structure of HNTs and f-HNTs could create some space which acts as channels that can transport [18].

3.1.2. FTIR

As can be seen in Fig. 2(a), the peaks at 3,960 cm⁻¹ and 3,622 cm⁻¹ were observed and referred to the stretching absorption of Al₂OH, and the peak at 1,033 cm⁻¹ corresponded to Si-O-Si groups [19, 20]. The above peaks were shown at the same band position for both the HNTs and f-HNTs, indicating that the basic structure of f-HNTs was not changed after functionalization with APTES. However, in the f-HNT spectrum, a new peak at 1,537 cm⁻¹ and at a range of 1,470-1,350 cm⁻¹ were detected for the N-H functional group and C-H bending in APTES and this reveals that the f-HNTs were functionalized successfully [21].

3.1.3. XPS

To further affirm successful functionalization, the XPS spectra of the HNTs and f-HNTs were obtained and are shown in Fig. 2(b). The peaks were clear at 540 eV, 103 eV, and 74 eV, which represent O 1s, Si 2p, and Al 2p, respectively. These peaks were attributed to aluminol (Al-OH) and silanol (Si-OH) on both the HNT and f-HNT surfaces. However, a peak of N1s (407 eV) was detected in the spectra of f-HNTs, and the peaks of Si, Al, and O of f-HNTs was more obvious than those in HNTs, indicating that the covalent bonds were formed between HNTs and APTES [18]. The peaks of the XPS spectra of Al 2p, Si 2p, and O 1s are drawn in Fig. S4. As shown in Fig. S4, the binding energy peak value of Al atom is moved from 74.98 eV to 74.78 eV, and that of Si atom is also changed from 103.38 eV to 103.08 eV after functionalization of HNTs with APTES. Also, the binding energy of O atom at peak value moves from 532.38 eV to 532.18 eV. These moved binding energy values for Al, Si and O atoms may be related to the covalent bonding by coupling reaction between APTES and HNTs [22].

3.2. Membrane Characterization

3.2.1. Morphology

Surface and cross-sectional images of the membranes were taken by FE-SEM. The surface of the fabricated membranes is shown in Fig. 3. The HNTs and f-HNTs were observed on the surface of the membranes, as indicated by the circle. As can be seen, the number of HNTs and f-HNTs on the surface increased with the addition of HNTs and f-HNTs. Even though a number of HNTs and f-HNTs were embedded in the membrane, which might cause some defects such as a pinhole or a small tear due to agglomeration of an excessive amount of HNTs and f-HNTs, there were no observable defects on the surface. As shown in Fig. S5, all the membranes possessed an asymmetric porous structure with a thin dense upper skin layer and a finger-like porous sub-layer, which are the typical morphologies of a membrane fabricated via immersion precipitation phase inversion [23]. The overall thickness of the fabricated membranes was controlled in a range of 90-110 μm.

Fig. S6 shows the roughness of the surface of the fabricated membranes as average roughness (Rₜ). With the addition of HNTs and f-HNTs into the membranes, the average roughness decreased from 16.21 nm (PES) to 12.14 nm (HNT2.0) and 11.55 nm (f-HNT2.0). The introduction of HNTs and f-HNTs contributes to smoothing of the surface structure of the membranes due to the spontaneous migration of HNTs and f-HNTs to the membrane/water.
interface during the phase inversion process, and this migration helps to fill the valleys that are present on the membrane surface [18]. It is well established that impurities were likely to be absorbed in the valleys of membrane, leading to clogging of the valleys [24]. In addition, APTES also played a significant role in strengthening the interfacial interaction between the membrane and f-HNTs. Many studies have proven that membranes with a lower roughness exhibit a better antifouling property because the foulant in wastewater may not easily accumulate on the surface of the membranes [25, 26].

3.2.2. Intrinsic properties
The intrinsic properties (i.e., pore properties, hydrophilicity, and mechanical properties) of the synthesized membranes were evaluated and the results are shown in Fig. 4 and Table 2.

The effects of the HNT and f-HNT content on the porosity and mean pore size of the membranes are listed in Table 2. All the membranes showed similar porosity percentage of around 79%. The mean pore size of the membrane was increased with the addition of HNTs and f-HNTs due to the hydrophilicity of the membranes because the solution with higher hydrophilicity will lead to a faster exchange rate between the solvent and non-solvent (DI water), resulting in large pore size [27]. The PES membrane showed a mean pore size of 6.67 nm, and the mean pore sizes of the membranes with HNTs were 10.85 nm (HNT0.5), 13.25 nm (HNT1.0), and 15.39 nm (HNT2.0). The membranes with f-HNTs showed the same trend as those with HNTs; however, the mean pore sizes were slightly smaller than those of the HNTs: 10.26 nm (f-HNT0.5), 11.77 nm (f-HNT1.0) and 14.86 nm (f-HNT2.0). This indicates that the interfacial gap between the f-HNT and the polymer matrix decreased because the functionalization of

Table 2. The Overall Porosity and Mean Pore Size of the Fabricated Membranes

| Membrane Type | Overall porosity (%) | Mean pore size (nm) |
|---------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| PES           | 79.09 ± 0.16         | 6.67               |
| HNT0.5        | 79.47 ± 0.37         | 10.85              |
| HNT1.0        | 79.75 ± 0.63         | 13.25              |
| HNT2.0        | 79.76 ± 0.67         | 15.39              |
| f-HNT0.5      | 79.39 ± 0.43         | 10.26              |
| f-HNT1.0      | 79.32 ± 1.01         | 11.77              |
| f-HNT2.0      | 79.38 ± 0.60         | 14.86              |

Fig. 4. (a) Contact angle and (b) tensile strength of fabricated membranes.
HNTs provided more bonding between the polymer and the f-HNTs, leading to improved interfacial interaction. Thus, the mean pore size of the membranes with f-HNTs was smaller than that of the membranes with HNTs [28].

The contact angle between the surface of the fabricated membranes and DI water was measured and the results are shown in Fig. 4(a). The contact angle is an effective indicator of hydrophilicity of the membrane surface. A higher hydrophilic surface shows a smaller contact angle. The PES membrane exhibited the highest contact angle, 57.1°, corresponding to the lowest hydrophilicity. The contact angle of the composite membranes with HNTs gradually decreased to 51.7° (HNT0.5), 49.2° (HNT1.0), and 47.4° (HNT2.0), since the HNTs are intrinsically hydrophilic owing to the functional groups of -OH on their surface. The affinity of the f-HNT loaded polymeric matrix to water molecules was further improved because the f-HNTs have amine functional groups (-NH2) on the surface, which can provide more hydrogen bonding.

Fig. 4(b) shows the influence of the HNTs and f-HNTs on the mechanical properties of the membranes measured by UTM. The results showed that the addition of HNTs and f-HNTs increased the tensile strength because HNTs and f-HNTs played the role of nanofiller. When HNTs were blended at 0.5%, the tensile strength was increased from 4.3 MPa (PES) to 5.2 MPa; however, with an increase of the HNT content, the tensile strength decreased to 5.0 MPa (HNT1.0) and 4.7 MPa (HNT2.0). This phenomenon is attributed to the agglomeration of HNTs in the membranes, which adversely affected the improvement in the mechanical properties caused by the presence of HNTs [29, 30]. On the other hand, the membranes with f-HNTs showed a tendency of increased tensile strength, with values of 5.2 MPa (f-HNT0.5), 5.3 MPa (f-HNT1.0), and 5.5 MPa (f-HNT2.0), even though agglomeration of f-HNTs may have occurred. This is because the interfacial adhesion between the f-HNTs and the polymer matrix were improved due to increased hydrogen bonding by the amine group (-NH2) on the surface of the f-HNTs [28].

3.3. Membrane Permeability and Selectivity

Fig. 5 shows the pure water flux and HA rejection of the membranes. The pure water flux of the fabricated membranes was dramatically improved with increased amounts of HNTs and f-HNTs without a trade-off relation between water flux and rejection. In general, water flux and rejection have a trade-off relation, because increased pore size facilitates water transport, and simultaneously contaminants also can pass through the pores. In this research, although the contents of HNTs and f-HNTs in the membranes were different and the mean pore size increased with the addition of HNTs and f-HNTs, all the membranes showed a high HA rejection percentage of over 98%. The rejection of the PES membrane was 98.3%, and the membranes with HNTs showed rejection of 98.7% (HNT0.5), 99.2% (HNT1.0), and 99.3% (HNT2.0). The membranes with f-HNTs showed either similar or relatively higher rejection than the membranes with HNTs at 99.3% (f-HNT0.5), 99.2% (f-HNT1.0), and 99.3% (f-HNT2.0), and obviously higher than that of PES membrane. This is due to the improved hydrophilicity that consequently enhanced the ability of the membrane surface to repel HA [31].

3.4. Anti-fouling Properties

To compare the effect of the addition of HNTs and f-HNTs on the fouling resistance of the membranes, antifouling experiments were carried out using PES, HNT2.0, and f-HNT2.0 membranes. The flux decline was due to the accumulation of HA on the membrane surface at the initial stage of filtration, as shown in Fig. 6(a). After HA solution filtration for 15 min, the membrane was cleaned using DI water, and the water flux was recovered. The decline in the flux of PES was significant compared to HNT2.0 and f-HNT2.0. It is also expressed as \( R_1 \) in the order f-HNT2.0 < HNT2.0 < PES (13.4% < 17.6% < 29.1%, respectively), as can be seen in Fig. 6(b). This is due to the relatively rough and hydrophobic surface of the membranes. The membrane with f-HNTs showed the highest FRR of 95.0% whereas the FRR was 88.6% and 77.7% for HNT2.0 and PES, respectively. In this case, the enhanced hydrophilicity plays a key role during HA filtration. The hydrophobic interaction between the HA and membrane surface allows the hydrophilic membrane to maintain its water flux during filtration, because the hydrophilic membrane repels HA from the membrane surface [33]. In addition, as the hydrophilicity is increased, a hydrated layer can be easily formed on the surface and this hydrated layer can be easily formed on the surface and this hydrated...
layer can prevent the adsorption and deposition of HA onto the membrane surface and thus reduce membrane fouling [31]. As shown in Fig. 6(b), \( R_e \) was also observed similarly, in the order f-HNT2.0 < HNT2.0 < PES (5.0% < 11.4% < 22.3%, respectively). This is due to the fact that foulants in the feed are easily trapped in the valleys present on the rough membrane surface and hence such membranes were difficult to clean and result in irreversible fouling.

The above results demonstrate that blending HNTs and f-HNTs in polymeric membranes can increase the fouling resistance to HA. In addition, functionalization of HNTs with APTES also can improve the antifouling properties of the membrane. In order to support this result, various fouling experiments should be conducted using inorganic foulants or bio-foulants under different conditions.

### 4. Conclusion

In this study, HNTs were functionalized with APTES in order to improve the hydrophilicity and the interfacial interaction between the HNTs and a polymer matrix. Nanocomposite membranes with HNTs and f-HNTs were fabricated via the phase inversion method, and the characteristics and performance of the membranes were evaluated and compared.

The conclusions are as follows:

1. HNTs were successfully functionalized with APTES and this was confirmed by XPS and FTIR results.
2. The contact angle of membranes with HNTs and f-HNT decreased, which is attributed to higher hydrophilicity relative to PES. Also, the hydrophilicity of the membranes with f-HNTs was further improved due to its hydrophilic functional groups.
3. The mechanical properties of the membranes with HNTs and f-HNTs were improved. With an increase of f-HNT content of the membranes, the tensile strength was enhanced whereas the membranes with HNTs showed decreasing tensile strength. This is because the interfacial adhesion between the f-HNTs and the polymer matrix was improved.
4. The pure water flux of the fabricated membranes was dramatically improved with an increase of HNT and f-HNT content without a trade-off relation between water flux and rejection. This is due to the improved hydrophilicity and increased mean pore size of the membranes.
5. The membranes with f-HNTs showed better antifouling properties than the membranes with HNTs because of increased hydrophilicity, which leads to the formation of thicker hydrated layer that prevents the adsorption and deposition of HA. Moreover, the low roughness of the membrane surface also played a role in the prevention of HA accumulation on the membrane surface.
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