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ABSTRACT. We study the equivariant real structures on complex horospherical varieties, generalizing classical results known for toric varieties and flag varieties. In particular, we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of such real structures and determine the number of equivalence classes. We then apply our results to classify the equivariant real structures on smooth projective horospherical varieties of Picard rank 1.
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INTRODUCTION

A real structure on a complex algebraic variety $X$ is an antiregular involution $\mu$ on $X$, where the word antiregular means that the following diagram commutes:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{\mu} & X \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Spec}(\mathbb{R})} & \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})
\end{array}
$$

Two real structures $\mu$ and $\mu'$ are called equivalent if there exists $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(X)$ such that $\mu' = \varphi \circ \mu \circ \varphi^{-1}$. To any real structure $\mu$ on $X$ one can associate the quotient $X' = X/\mu$, which is a real algebraic space satisfying $X' \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathbb{R})} \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) \simeq X$ as complex varieties. Moreover, if $X$ is quasi-projective, then $X'$ is actually a real variety. The quotient $X'$ is called a real form of $X$. Two real forms $X'$ and $X''$ are $\mathbb{R}$-isomorphic if and only if the corresponding real structures are equivalent.

Describing all the equivalence classes of real structures on a given complex variety is a classical problem in algebraic geometry. We refer to [Man17, Chp. 2] and [Ben16, Chp. 3] for an exposition (in French) of the foundations of this theory.

When $X$ carries some extra structure, it is natural to ask for $X'$ to also carry this extra structure. For instance, if $X = G$ is a complex algebraic group, then it...
is particularly interesting to describe the real structures $\sigma$ on $G$ which are group involutions, so that the real form $G^r = G/\sigma$ is a real algebraic group (and not just a real variety). Such real structures are called real group structures. Two real group structures $\sigma$ and $\sigma'$ are called equivalent if there exists $\varphi \in \Aut_{gr}(G)$ such that $\sigma' = \varphi \circ \sigma \circ \varphi^{-1}$. Then two real forms $G^r$ and $G'^r$ are $\R$-isomorphic as real algebraic groups if and only if the corresponding real group structures are equivalent. Let us note that the real group structures on complex reductive algebraic groups are well-known (see §§1.1-1.2 for a recap); their classification reduces to the cases of complex tori and complex simply-connected simple algebraic groups.

Another class of complex varieties carrying extra structure are the complex varieties endowed with an algebraic group action, which yields the key notion of equivariant real structure. Let $G$ be a complex algebraic group, let $\sigma$ be a real group structure on $G$, and let $X$ be a complex $G$-variety. A real structure $\mu$ on a $X$ is called a $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structure if $\mu(g \cdot x) = \sigma(g) \cdot \mu(x)$ for all $g \in G$ and all $x \in X$. Two $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structures $\mu$ and $\mu'$ are called equivalent if there exists $\varphi \in \Aut^G(X)$ such that $\mu' = \varphi \circ \mu \circ \varphi^{-1}$. Then the real form $\mathcal{X} = X/\mu$ is a real $G$-variety, and two real forms $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}'$ are isomorphic as $G$-varieties if and only if the corresponding equivariant real structures are equivalent.

Let us illustrate the notion of equivariant real structure with a few classical examples. Let $X = G/P$ be a flag variety. Then $X$ has a $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structure if and only if $\sigma(P) = cPC^{-1}$ for some $c \in G$, in which case any such real structure is equivalent to $\mu : gP \mapsto \sigma(g)cP$. Indeed, if $\sigma(P) = cPC^{-1}$, then $\sigma(c)c \in P$, and therefore $\mu$ is an involution. Let now $G = T$ be a complex torus, and let $X$ be a toric variety with open orbit $X_0 \simeq T$. Any real group structure $\sigma$ on $T \simeq \Gm^n$ is equivalent to a product $\sigma_0^{x_0} \times \sigma_1^{x_1} \times \sigma_2^{x_2}$, where $\sigma_0(t) = t$, $\sigma_1(t) = t^{-1}$, and $\sigma_2(t_1, t_2) = (t_2, t_1)$. Then $X_0$ has $2^n$ equivalence classes of $(T, \sigma)$-equivariant real structures, and a given $(T, \sigma)$-equivariant real structure extends to $X$ if and only if the $\mu_2$-action on $X'(T) = \Hom_{gr}((\Gm, T))$ induced by $\sigma$ stabilizes the fan of $X$. (Here, $\mu_2$ is the cyclic group of order 2.) This case will be treated in detail in this article (see Examples 2.6 and 3.25).

Flag varieties and toric varieties belong to the larger class of horospherical varieties. From now on we suppose that $G$ is reductive. A horospherical $G$-variety is a normal $G$-variety with a finite number of $G$-orbits and whose general stabilizer contains a maximal unipotent subgroup of $G$. Horospherical varieties form a subclass of spherical varieties (see [Pau81, Kno91, Tim11, Per14]) whose combinatorial description is easier. A presentation of the theory of horospherical varieties, and their relation to Fano varieties, can be found in [Pas06, Pas08]. They form a fertile ground to tackle many problems in algebraic geometry: the Mukai conjecture [Pas10], the (log) minimal model program [Pas15a, Pas18], the stringy invariants [BM13, LPR], the quantum cohomology [GPPS]... However, as far as we know, the theory of equivariant real structures on horospherical varieties has never been systematically studied. The present article aims at filling this gap.

Before stating our main results we briefly recall the combinatorial description of horospherical subgroups from [Pas08] (more details will be given in §3.1). A horospherical subgroup $H \subset G$ is a subgroup containing a maximal unipotent subgroup of $G$, or equivalently, a subgroup such that $G/H$ is a horospherical $G$-variety. Fix a Borel subgroup $B \subset G$ and a maximal torus $T \subset B$. Let us note that if $\sigma$ is a real group structure on $G$, then it induces a $\mu_2$-action on the character group
Let $X = \mathbb{X}(T)$; see §1.2 for details. Let $S$ be the set of simple roots associated with the triple $(G, B, T)$, let $I \subset S$, and let $P_I$ be the standard parabolic subgroup containing $B$. Let $M$ be a sublattice of $X$ such that $\langle \chi, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 0$ for all $\alpha \in I$ and all $\chi \in M$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the duality bracket and $\alpha^\vee \in \mathbb{X}^\vee$ is the coroot associated to the root $\alpha$. Then $H_{(I,M)} = \bigcap_{\chi \in M} \ker(\chi)$ is a horospherical subgroup of $G$ whose normalizer is $P_I$. For any horospherical subgroup $H \subset G$, there exists a unique pair $(I, M)$ as above such that $H$ is conjugate to $H_{(I,M)}$; we say that $(I, M)$ is the datum of $H$. For instance the datum of a parabolic subgroup conjugate to $P_I$ is $(I, \{0\})$ and the datum of a maximal unipotent subgroup of $G$ is $(\emptyset, X)$.

**Theorem 0.1.** Let $G$ be a complex reductive algebraic group with a real group structure $\sigma$. Let $H$ be a horospherical subgroup of $G$ with datum $(I, M)$ as above. Then a $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structure exists on $G/H$ if and only if $(I, M)$ is stable for the $\mu_2$-action on $X$ induced by $\sigma$ and $\Delta_H(\sigma) = 1$, where $\Delta_H$ is the map defined in §1.3. Moreover, if such a structure exists, then there are exactly $2^n$ equivalence classes of $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structures on $G/H$, where $n$ is a non-negative integer than can be calculated explicitly (see the beginning of §3.4 for details).

We refer to [Kno91, Tim11, Gan18] and references therein for the classification of equivariant embeddings of spherical homogeneous spaces in terms of combinatorial gadgets called colored fans. As explained in [Hur11b], if $\sigma$ is a real group structure on $G$, and if $G/H$ is a spherical homogeneous space endowed with a $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structure, then $\sigma$ induces a $\mu_2$-action on the set of colored fans defining a $G$-equivariant embedding $G/H \rightarrow X$.

The next result is an immediate consequence of [Wed, Theorem 9.1] (see also [Hur11b, Theorem 2.23]) and the characterization of quasi-projective spherical varieties via the existence of a strictly convex $\mathbb{Q}$-valued piecewise linear function.

**Theorem 0.2.** Let $\mu$ be a $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structure on a horospherical homogeneous space $G/H$, and let $X$ be a horospherical $G$-variety with open orbit $G/H$. Then the real structure $\mu$ extends on $X$ if and only if the colored fan of the embedding $G/H \rightarrow X$ is invariant for the $\mu_2$-action induced by $\sigma$, in which case the corresponding real form $X/\mu$ is a real variety.

To illustrate the effectiveness of our results, we then consider the equivariant real structures on smooth projective horospherical $G$-variety of Picard rank 1 (the odd symplectic grassmannians are examples of such varieties). They were classified by Pasquier in [Pas09] and since then their geometry has been extensively studied (see e.g. [PP10, Hon16, GPPS]).

**Theorem 0.3.** Let $G$ be a complex simply-connected semisimple algebraic group with a real group structure $\sigma$. Let $X$ be a smooth projective horospherical $G$-variety of Picard rank 1. If a $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structure exists on $X$, then it is unique up to equivalence. The cases where such a real structure exists are classified in Example 3.20 (when $X = G/P$ with $P$ a maximal parabolic subgroup) and in Theorem 3.33 (when $X$ is non-homogeneous).

As mentioned before, horospherical varieties are a subclass of spherical varieties. Equivariant real structures on spherical varieties already appeared in the literature, but the scope was not the same as in this article. More precisely:

- In [Hur11a, Hur11b, Wed] the authors consider the situation where a real structure on the open orbit is given and they want to determine in which cases this
real structure extends to the whole spherical variety. They do not treat the case
of equivariant real structures on homogeneous spaces. Our results give a new
approach which allows us to classify the equivariant real structures on horospherical
homogeneous spaces and then, for the quasi-homogeneous case, we apply their
results. (Note also that they work over an arbitrary field and not just over \( \mathbb{R} \).)

- In [ACF14, Akh15, CF15] the authors consider equivariant real structures on
spherical homogeneous spaces \( G/H \) and their equivariant embeddings, assuming
that \( N_G(H)/H \) is finite. Such varieties are never horospherical, except the flag
varieties.
- In [BG] the author extends part of the results in [ACF14, Akh15, CF15] and
works over an arbitrary base field of characteristic zero.

Besides their easy combinatorial description and their ubiquity in the world of
algebraic group actions, horospherical varieties lend themselves very nicely to the
study of equivariant real structures for several reasons: First, the group of \( G \)-
equivariant automorphism of \( G/H \) is a torus (see §3.1). Second, if \( \sigma \) is a quasi-split
real group structure on \( G \) such that \( \sigma(H) \) is conjugate to \( H \), then there exists a
conjugate \( H' \) of \( H \) such that \( \sigma(H') = H' \) (Proposition 3.9). These two facts are
essential in the proof of Theorem 0.1. Third, if we assume that the homogeneous
space \( G/H \) is spherical instead of horospherical in Theorem 0.2, then in general the
real form \( X/\mu \) is a real algebraic space but not a real variety.

In §§1.1-1.2 we recall definitions and well-known facts about real group structures
on complex algebraic groups. In §1.3 we define the cohomological invariant that
appears in Theorem 0.1, namely the \( \Delta_H(\sigma) \).

Then in §2 we introduce the notion of equivariant real structures on complex
varieties endowed with a complex algebraic group action. In particular, we show
how to determine if such a structure exists on homogeneous spaces, and if so, then
how to use Galois cohomology to determine the set of equivalence classes of these
equivariant real structures.

The main part of this article is §3 in which we prove the results above. In §3.1 we
recall the basic notions regarding the horospherical homogeneous spaces and their
combinatorial classification. In §§3.2-3.3 we prove the part existence in Theorem
0.1 (this is Theorem 3.18), and in §3.4 we prove the quantitative part in Theorem
0.1 (this is Proposition 3.23). In §3.5 we recall the main result of [Hur11b, Wed]
regarding the extension of equivariant real structures from a spherical homoge-
neneous space to the whole spherical variety and we apply it to prove Theorem 0.2
(which is Corollary 3.31). Then, we apply our results to classify the equivariant
real structures on smooth projective horospherical varieties of Picard rank 1 and
prove Theorem 0.3 (see §3.6).

Finally the list of real group structures on complex simply-connected simple
algebraic groups together with the list of the corresponding Tits classes is recalled
in Appendix A. These cohomology classes are useful to compute the cohomological
invariant \( \Delta_H(\sigma) \) in examples.

Acknowledgments. The authors are very grateful to Mikhail Borovoi for stimu-
lating discussions and e-mail exchanges about this project; in particular, the coho-
mological interpretation of our existence criterion for an equivariant real structure
on a homogeneous space in §2 was inspired by [Bor].
Notation. In this article we work over the field of real numbers \( \mathbb{R} \) and the field of complex numbers \( \mathbb{C} \). We will denote by \( \mu_n \) the group of \( n \)-th roots of unity and by \( \Gamma \) the Galois group \( \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}) = \{1, \gamma\} \cong \mu_2 \). A variety over a field \( k \) is a geometrically reduced separated scheme of finite type over \( k \); in particular, varieties can be reducible.

An algebraic group over \( k \) is a group scheme over \( k \). By an algebraic subgroup we mean a closed subgroup scheme. Reductive algebraic groups are always assumed to be connected for the Zariski topology. When we talk about a group involution \( \sigma \) we mean that \( \sigma \) is an automorphism of algebraic groups (not necessarily over \( k \)) such that \( \sigma \circ \sigma = \text{Id} \). We refer the reader to [Hum75] for the standard background on algebraic groups.

We always denote by \( G \) a complex algebraic group, by \( B \) a Borel subgroup of \( G \), by \( T \) a maximal torus of \( B \), by \( X = X(T) = \text{Hom}_{\text{gr}}(T, G_m) \) the character group of \( T \), by \( \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}(T) = \text{Hom}_{\text{gr}}(G_m, T) \) the cocharacter group of \( T \), and by \( \text{Dyn}(G) \) the Dynkin diagram of \( G \) (when \( G \) is semisimple).

1. Real group structures on complex algebraic groups

In this section, we start by recalling definitions and well-known facts about real group structures on complex algebraic groups. We are mostly interested in the case of reductive groups. In particular, we will show how to obtain all real group structures on complex reductive algebraic groups, by piecing together the structures on complex tori and on complex simply-connected simple algebraic groups.

The main references we use are [Con14] for results concerning the structure of reductive algebraic groups, [Ser02] for general results concerning Galois cohomology and [Man17, Ben16] for generalities on real structures. In loc.cit. the author treats the case of real structures on complex quasi-projective varieties; the corresponding results referred to here concern complex algebraic groups and can be treated in exactly the same way.

1.1. Generalities and first classification results.

Definition 1.1. (Real group structures on complex algebraic groups.)

(i) Let \( G \) be a complex algebraic group. A real group structure on \( G \) is an antiregular group involution \( \sigma : G \to G \), i.e., a group involution over \( \text{Spec}(\mathbb{R}) \) which makes the following diagram commute:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
G & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & G \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Spec}(z \mapsto \overline{z})} & \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})
\end{array}
\]

(ii) Two real group structures \( \sigma \) and \( \sigma' \) on \( G \) are equivalent if there exists a (regular) group automorphism \( \varphi \in \text{Aut}_{\text{gr}}(G) \) such that \( \sigma' = \varphi \circ \sigma \circ \varphi^{-1} \).

Remark 1.2. If \( \sigma \) is an antiregular group involution on \( G \), then any antiregular group automorphism is of the form \( \varphi \circ \sigma \) for some group automorphism \( \varphi \). If \( \varphi \) and \( \sigma \) commute, then \( \varphi \circ \sigma \) is an involution if and only if \( \varphi \) is an involution.

If \( (G, \sigma) \) is a complex algebraic group with a real group structure, then the quotient scheme \( \mathcal{G} = G/\sigma \) is a real algebraic group which satisfies \( \mathcal{G} \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathbb{R})} \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathcal{G} \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathbb{R})} \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) \).
G as complex algebraic groups. The real group \( G \) is called a real form of \( G \). Two real forms are \( \mathbb{R} \)-isomorphic if and only if the corresponding real group structures are equivalent (see [Ben16, Corollary 3.13]).

**Definition 1.3.** If \((G, \sigma)\) is a complex algebraic group with a real group structure, then \( G_0 = G(\mathbb{C})^\sigma \) is called the real part (or real locus) of \((G, \sigma)\); it coincides with the set of \( \mathbb{R} \)-points of the real algebraic group \( G/\sigma \) (see [Ben16, Proposition 3.14] for details).

With the notation of Definition 1.3 the group of \( \mathbb{C} \)-points \( G(\mathbb{C}) \) is a complex Lie group and \( G_0 = G(\mathbb{C})^\sigma \) is a real Lie group. In fact, the real part \( G_0 \) determines the real group structure for a connected complex algebraic group:

**Theorem 1.4.** ([Ben16, Theorem 3.41]) Let \( G \) be a connected complex algebraic group with two real group structures \( \sigma \) and \( \sigma' \). Then \( \sigma \) and \( \sigma' \) are equivalent if and only if there is a (scheme) automorphism \( \varphi \in \text{Aut}(G) \) such that \( \varphi(G(\mathbb{C})^\sigma) = G(\mathbb{C})^{\sigma'} \).

Because of Theorem 1.4 we will sometimes consider the real part \( G_0 = G(\mathbb{C})^\sigma \) instead of the real group structure \( \sigma \) when describing all the possible equivalence classes of real group structures on connected complex algebraic groups.

Let \( G \) be a complex reductive algebraic group, let \( T = Z(G)^0 \) be the neutral component of the center of \( G \), and let \( G' \) be the derived subgroup of \( G \). Then the homomorphism \( T \times G' \to G \), \((t, g') \mapsto t^{-1}g'\) is a central isogeny with kernel \( T \cap G' \). Also, there is a 1-to-1 correspondence

\[
\{ \text{real group structures } \sigma \text{ on } G \} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\text{real group structures } (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \text{ on } T \times G' \\
\text{such that } \sigma_1|_{T \cap G'} = \sigma_2|_{T \cap G'}
\end{array} \right\}
\]

given by \( \sigma \mapsto (\sigma|_T, \sigma|_{G'}) \). Therefore, to determine real group structures on complex reductive algebraic groups, it suffices to determine real group structures on complex tori and on complex semisimple algebraic groups.

**Proposition 1.5.** (Classification of real group structures on complex tori.) Let \( T \simeq \mathbb{C}_m^n \) be an \( n \)-dimensional complex torus.

(i) If \( n = 1 \), then \( T \) has exactly two inequivalent real group structures, defined by \( \sigma_0 : t \mapsto t \) and \( \sigma_1 : t \mapsto t^{-1} \).

(ii) If \( n = 2 \), then \( \sigma_2 : (t_1, t_2) \mapsto (t_2, t_1) \) defines a real group structure on \( T \).

(iii) If \( n \geq 2 \), then every real group structure on \( T \) is equivalent to exactly one real group structure of the form \( \sigma_0^{\times n_0} \times \sigma_1^{\times n_1} \times \sigma_2^{\times n_2} \), where \( n = n_0 + n_2 + 2n_2 \).

**Proof.** This result is well-known to specialists (see for instance [Vos98, Chp.4, §10.1]) but we give a sketch of the proof for the sake of completeness.

Clearly, each \( \sigma_i \) for \( i = 1, 2 \) or 3 defines a real group structure on \( T \). For \( n = 1 \), the structures \( \sigma_0 \) and \( \sigma_1 \) are not equivalent, since the real parts are not diffeomorphic.

Also since \( \text{Aut}_{gr}(\mathbb{C}_m^n) \simeq \mu_2 \), these are the only two real group structures on a one-dimensional torus. For dimension two, \( \sigma_2 \) defines a new real group structure since it is an antiregular group involution. Also, for \( n \geq 2 \) all the real group structures on \( T \) given in (iii) exist and are inequivalent since the corresponding real parts are \((\mathbb{R}^+)^{n_0} \times (S^1)^{n_1} \times (\mathbb{C}^0)^{n_2} \) which are pairwise non-diffeomorphic.

It remains to show that all real group structures are equivalent to one of the structures given in (iii). This is done by determining all the conjugacy classes of
\[ \text{Aut}_{\text{gr}}(G_m^n) \simeq \text{GL}_n(Z) \] More precisely, any real group structure in dimension \( n \) is equivalent to \( \sigma = \varphi \circ (\sigma_0^{n_0}) \) for some \( \varphi \in \text{Aut}_{\text{gr}}(G_m^n) \) (see Remark 1.2). Since all group automorphisms commute with \( \sigma_0^{n_0} \), we see that \( \sigma \) is an involution if and only if \( \varphi \) is an involution. Also, two involutions define equivalent real group structures if and only if they are in the same conjugacy class.

Finally, note that the conjugacy classes of elements of order 2 in \( \text{GL}_n(Z) \) are represented exactly by block diagonal matrices of the form

\[ \text{diag}\left( 1, \ldots, 1, -1, \ldots, -1, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \]

Each block corresponds to \( \sigma_0, \sigma_1 \) and \( \sigma_2 \) respectively, which proves the result. \( \square \)

**Remark 1.6.** If one forgets the group structure and considers \( T \simeq G_m^n \) as a complex variety, then there are other real structures on \( T \). For instance \( \tau_1 : t \mapsto -\tau_1^{-1} \) is a real structure on \( G_m \) but not a real group structure. In fact, one can show that any real structure on \( T \) is equivalent to a product \( \sigma_0^{n_0} \times \sigma_1^{n_1} \times \sigma_2^{n_2} \times \tau_1^{m_1} \) for some \( n_0, n_1, n_2, m_1 \in N \).

It remains to determine the real group structures on complex semisimple algebraic groups. For any complex semisimple algebraic group \( G' \), there exists a central isogeny \( \varphi : G' \to G' \), where \( G' \) is a simply-connected semisimple algebraic group (uniquely defined by \( G' \) up to isomorphism); see [Con14, Exercise 1.6.13]. Then \( G' \) is isomorphic to a product of simply-connected simple algebraic groups [Con14, §6.4]; the later is uniquely defined up to permutation of the factors.

The next lemma reduces the classification of real group structures on simply-connected semisimple groups to the classification of real group structures on simply-connected simple groups.

**Lemma 1.7.** Let \( \sigma \) be a real group structure on a complex simply-connected semisimple algebraic group \( G' \simeq \prod_{i \in I} G_i \), where the \( G_i \) are the simple factors of \( G' \). Then, for a given \( i \in I \), we have the following possibilities:

(i) \( \sigma(G_i) = G_i \) and \( \sigma(G_i) \) is a real group structure on \( G_i \); or
(ii) there exists \( j \neq i \) such that \( \sigma(G_i) = G_j \), then \( G_i \simeq G_j \) and \( \sigma_{|G_i \times G_j} \) is equivalent to \((g_1,g_2) \mapsto (\sigma_0(g_2),\sigma_0(g_1))\), where \( \sigma_0 \) is an arbitrary real group structure on \( G_i \simeq G_j \).

**Proof.** We use the fact that the factors \( G_i \) are the unique simple normal subgroups of \( G \) (see [Con14, Theorem 5.1.19]). In particular any group automorphism of \( G \) permutes the factors. Since \( \sigma \) is a group involution, either \( \sigma(G_i) = G_i \) and we get (i), or \( \sigma(G_i) = G_j \), for some \( j \neq i \). In the second case, \( G_i \) and \( G_j \) are \( \mathbb{R} \)-isomorphic, and since they are both simply-connected simple groups they must be \( \mathbb{C} \)-isomorphic (this follows for instance from the classification of simply-connected simple algebraic groups in terms of Dynkin diagrams). Therefore \( G_i \times G_j \simeq H \times H \), for some simply-connected simple group \( H \), and \( \sigma_{|G_i \times G_j} \) identifies with \( \sigma_{H \times H} : (h_1,h_2) \mapsto (\sigma_1(h_2),\sigma_1^{-1}(h_1)) \) for some antiregular automorphism \( \sigma_1 \) on \( H \). But then it suffices to conjugate \( \sigma_{H \times H} \) with the group automorphism \( \varphi \) defined by \((h_1,h_2) \mapsto (\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_0(h_2),h_1) \) to get the real group structure \((g_1,g_2) \mapsto (\sigma_0(g_2),\sigma_0(g_1))\), where \( \sigma_0 \) is an arbitrary real group structure on \( H \). \( \square \)

The real group structures on complex simply-connected simple algebraic groups are well-known (a recap can be found in Appendix A); they correspond to real
Lie algebra structures on complex simple Lie algebras (see [Kna02, §VI.10] for the classification of those). Therefore we can determine all the real group structures on complex simply-connected semisimple algebraic groups from Lemma 1.7. In the next subsection, we will give a brief outline of a way to classify them, using quasi-split structures and inner twists.

Example 1.8. Up to equivalence, there are two real group structures on $SL_2$ given by $\sigma_0(g) = \overline{g}$ and $\sigma_1(g) = g^{-1}$. Up to equivalence, there are four real group structures on $SL_2 \times SL_2$ given by $\sigma_i \times \sigma_j$ with $(i, j) \in \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1)\}$ and $\sigma_2 : (g_1, g_2) \mapsto (\sigma_0(g_2), \sigma_0(g_1))$. Similarly, we let the reader check that, up to equivalence, there are six real group structures on $SL_2 \times SL_2 \times SL_2$ and nine real group structures on $SL_2 \times SL_2 \times SL_2 \times SL_2$.

1.2. Quasi-split real group structures and inner twists. Let $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}) = \{1, \gamma\} \cong \mu_2$. Let $(G, \sigma)$ be a complex reductive algebraic group with a real group structure. Note that the sets of Borel subgroups of $G$ and, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the sets of $n$-dimensional tori of $G$ are each preserved by $\sigma$ (this is a direct consequence of the definition of Borel subgroups and tori).

Definition 1.9.

(i) If there exists a Borel subgroup $B \subset G$ such that $\sigma(B) = B$, then $\sigma$ is called quasi-split. We will see in Theorem 1.20 (iii) that a quasi-split real group structure preserves some torus $T \subset B$. Moreover, with the notation of Proposition 1.5, if the restriction $\sigma|_T$ is equivalent to a product $\sigma_0 \times \cdots \times \sigma_{n}$, then $\sigma$ is called split.

(ii) For $c \in G$ we denote by $\text{inn}_c$ the inner automorphism of $G$ defined by $\text{inn}_c : G \to G, g \mapsto cgc^{-1}$.

If $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_2$ are two real group structures on $G$ such that $\sigma_2 = \text{inn}_c \circ \sigma_1$, for some $c \in G$, then $\sigma_2$ is called an inner twist of $\sigma_1$.

Remark 1.10. If $\sigma$ is a quasi-split real group structure on $G$, then for any pair $(B', T')$ with $B' \subset G$ a Borel subgroup and $T' \subset B'$ a maximal torus, there exists an equivalent real group structure $\sigma' = \text{inn}_c \circ \sigma \circ \text{inn}_c^{-1}$, for some $c \in G$, stabilizing both $B'$ and $T'$. Indeed all Borel subgroups of $G$ are $G$-conjugate and all maximal tori contained in a Borel subgroup $B$ are $B$-conjugate (see [Hum75, §21.3]).

There exists a unique split real group structure on $G$ up to equivalence (see [Con14, Theorem 6.1.17] or [OV90, Chp.5, §4.4] when $G$ is semisimple) that we will always denote by $\sigma_0$. There is also a unique compact real group structure $\sigma_c$ on $G$ up to equivalence (see [OV90, Chp. 5, §3.1-3.4] when $G$ is semisimple and Proposition 1.5 when $G$ is a torus, the general case follows from these two cases), i.e. a real group structure such that $G(\mathbb{C})^{\sigma_c}$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathbb{C}(G)$, but we will not use it in a crucial way.

In general, $G$ may have several inequivalent quasi-split real group structures. If $G$ is a simple algebraic group, then $G$ has at most two inequivalent quasi-split real group structures (this will follow from Theorem 1.20 (iv)). On the other hand, for tori all real group structures are quasi-split.

The next lemma yields a description of the set of real group structures obtained as inner twists of a given real group structure.
Lemma 1.11. For a given \( c \in G \), the antiregular group automorphism \( \text{inn}_c \circ \sigma \) is a real group structure on \( G \) if and only if \( c\sigma(c) \in Z(G) \) (and then \( c\sigma(c) = \sigma(c)c \)). Also, \( \text{inn}_c \circ \sigma = \text{inn}_c \circ \sigma \) if and only if \( c^{-1}c' \in Z(G) \).

**Proof.** Since \( \text{inn}_c \circ \sigma \) is an antiregular group automorphism, it is a real group structure if and only if it is an involution, i.e.

\[
(\text{inn}_c \circ \sigma) \circ (\text{inn}_c \circ \sigma) = \text{Id} \iff \forall g \in G, c\sigma(cg)c^{-1}g^{-1} = g \\
\iff \forall g \in G, c\sigma(c)g = gc\sigma(c) \\
\iff c\sigma(c) \in Z(G).
\]

A similar computation yields the second equivalence stated in the lemma. \( \square \)

Example 1.12. Let \( c = c^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{SL}_3 \). Up to equivalence, the group \( \text{SL}_3 \) has three real group structures given by \( \sigma_0(g) = \overline{g} \), which is split and whose real part is \( \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{R}) \), \( \sigma_1(g) = c(\overline{g}^{-1})c^{-1} \), which is quasi-split and whose real part is \( \text{SU}(1, 2) \), and \( \sigma_2(g) = g^{-1} = \text{inn}_c \circ \sigma_1 \), which is an inner twist of \( \sigma_1 \) and whose real part is \( \text{SU}(3) \).

We now introduce a \( \Gamma \)-action that will play an important role in §3 when studying the equivariant real structures on horospherical varieties.

**Definition 1.13.** Let \( (G, \sigma_{qs}) \) be a complex reductive algebraic group with a quasi-split real group structure that preserves a Borel subgroup \( B \subset G \) and a maximal torus \( T \subset B \). Then \( \sigma_{qs} \) induces a \( \Gamma \)-action on the character group \( \chi = \text{Hom}_{\text{gr}}(T, \mathbb{G}_m) \) and on the cocharacter group \( \chi^\vee = \text{Hom}_{\text{gr}}(\mathbb{G}_m, T) \) as follows:

\[
\forall \chi \in \chi, \ \gamma\chi = \tau \circ \chi \circ \sigma_{qs} \quad \text{ and } \quad \forall \lambda \in \chi^\vee, \ \gamma\lambda = \sigma_{qs} \circ \lambda \circ \tau,
\]

where \( \tau(t) = t \) is the complex conjugation. Also, the sets of roots, coroots, simple roots, and simple coroots associated with the triple \( (G, B, T) \) are preserved by this \( \Gamma \)-action [Con14, Remark 7.1.2], and \( \Gamma \) acts on the based root datum of \( G \).

**Remark 1.14.** If \( \sigma_{qs} = \sigma_0 \) is a split real group structure, then the \( \Gamma \)-action on \( \chi \) and \( \chi^\vee \) is trivial.

We now recall the definition of the first Galois cohomology pointed set as it will appear several times in the rest of this article. Since we are concerned with real structures, we will restrict the presentation to Galois cohomology for \( \Gamma = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}) = \{1, \gamma\} \cong \mu_2 \) and \( \Gamma \)-groups. More details on Galois cohomology in a more general setting can be found in [Ser02].

**Definition 1.15.** If \( A \) is a \( \Gamma \)-group, then the first Galois cohomology pointed set is \( H^1(\Gamma, A) = Z^1(\Gamma, A)/\sim \), where \( Z^1(\Gamma, A) = \{a \in A \mid a^{-1} \sim \gamma a\} \) and two elements \( a_1, a_2 \in Z^1(\Gamma, A) \) satisfy \( a_1 \sim a_2 \) if \( a_2 = b^{-1}a_1b \) for some \( b \in A \).

**Remark 1.16.** If \( A \) is an abelian group, then \( H^1(\Gamma, A) \) is an abelian group. In this case, we can also define \( H^2(\Gamma, A) \) which identifies with the group \( A^\Gamma/\{a\gamma a \mid a \in A\} \); see [Ser02, §1.2] for details.

**Remark 1.17.** We have \( a^2 = a(a^{-1})^{-1} = a(\gamma a)^{-1} \sim 1 \) for all \( a \in Z^1(\Gamma, A) \). In the case where \( H^1(\Gamma, A) \) is finite, this implies that its cardinal is a power of 2.
Notation 1.18. Of course, the Galois cohomology depends on the $\Gamma$-action on the group $A$. In this article, we will sometimes consider different $\Gamma$-actions for the same group $A$. If the action is not clear from the context, then we will say $H^i(\Gamma, A)$ for the $\Gamma$-action on $A$ induced by $\sigma$; this means that $\sigma$ is an involution on $A$, and that the non-trivial element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ acts on $A$ by applying $\sigma$.

For example, if $A$ an automorphism group of a variety $X$, and $\mu$ is an involution on $X$, then when we say $H^i(\Gamma, A)$ for the $\Gamma$-action on $A$ induced by $\mu$-conjugation, we mean that the non-trivial element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ acts on $A$ by conjugating automorphisms by $\mu$.

We now calculate the first and second cohomology groups for the case where $A = T$ is a torus, and the $\Gamma$-action on $T$ is induced by a real group structure. The result for the first cohomology group will be used later in the article, in Lemma 1.24 and in Proposition 3.23. We will use the result for the second cohomology group in Remark 1.23.

Proposition 1.19. Let $T$ be a torus endowed with a real group structure equivalent to a product $\sigma_0^{n_0} \times \sigma_1^{n_1} \times \sigma_2^{n_2}$ (with the notation of Proposition 1.5), then

(i) $H^1(\Gamma, T) \simeq (\mu_2)^{n_1}$; and

(ii) $H^2(\Gamma, T) \simeq (\mu_2)^{n_0}$.

Proof. This result comes from a direct computation. More precisely, if $T \simeq G_m$ with the real structure $\sigma_0$, given $a \in T^\Gamma$, there exists $b \in T$ such that $a = \pm b^\gamma b$. If $T \simeq G_n^\times$ with the real structure $\sigma_1$, any $a \in T^\Gamma$ is of the form $b^\gamma b$ for a choice of $b \in T$, and the same holds for $T \simeq G_{nm}^\times$ endowed with the real structure $\sigma_2$.

Now by using the definitions of the two cohomology groups, one finds that each $\sigma_0$-component of the real structure induces a non-trivial component of $H^2(\Gamma, T)$, isomorphic to $\mu_2$, and each $\sigma_1$-component of the real structure induces a non-trivial component of $H^1(\Gamma, T)$, isomorphic to $\mu_2$. The $\sigma_0$- and $\sigma_2$-components have no effect on the first cohomology group, and the $\sigma_1$- and $\sigma_2$-components have no effect on the second cohomology group. \qed

Let $\sigma$ be a real group structure on the complex reductive algebraic group $G$. As $\sigma$ is a group involution, it preserves $Z(G)$. Let $\operatorname{Inn}(G) \simeq G/Z(G)$ be the group of inner automorphisms of $G$ and let $\operatorname{Out}(G)$ be the quotient group $\operatorname{Aut}_{gr}(G)/\operatorname{Inn}(G)$. The Galois group $\Gamma$ acts on $\operatorname{Aut}_{gr}(G)$ by $\sigma$-conjugation, i.e. $^\gamma \varphi = \sigma \circ \varphi \circ \sigma$ for all $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}_{gr}(G)$; this $\Gamma$-action stabilizes $\operatorname{Inn}(G)$ on which it coincides with the $\Gamma$-action induced by $\sigma$ on $G/Z(G)$. The short exact sequence

(A) \hspace{1cm} 1 \to \operatorname{Inn}(G) \to \operatorname{Aut}_{gr}(G) \to \operatorname{Out}(G) \to 1

induces a long exact sequence in Galois cohomology (see [Ser02, §5.5]):

$1 \to \operatorname{Inn}(G)^\Gamma \to \operatorname{Aut}_{gr}(G)^\Gamma \to \operatorname{Out}(G)^\Gamma \to H^1(\Gamma, \operatorname{Inn}(G)) \to H^1(\Gamma, \operatorname{Aut}_{gr}(G)) \to H^1(\Gamma, \operatorname{Out}(G))$.

The next theorem gathers the main results we will need regarding the classification of the real group structures on $G$ via Galois cohomology.

Theorem 1.20. We keep the previous notation, and we fix a Borel subgroup $B \subset G$ and a maximal torus $T \subset B$. The following statements hold:

(i) Let $\Gamma$ act on $\operatorname{Aut}_{gr}(G)$ by $\sigma$-conjugation as above. Then the map

$H^1(\Gamma, \operatorname{Aut}_{gr}(G)) \to \{ \text{real group structures on } G \}/\text{equiv}$ induced by $\varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ \sigma$

is a bijection that sends the identity element to the equivalence class of $\sigma$. 

(i) We have \(\theta(\sigma_1) = \theta(\sigma_2)\) if and only \(\sigma_2\) is equivalent to an inner twist of \(\sigma_1\).

(ii) There is exactly one equivalence class of quasi-split real group structures in each non-empty fiber of the map \(\theta\). Moreover, in each of them there is a quasi-split real group structure that stabilizes \(B\) and \(T\).

(iii) If moreover \(G\) is simply-connected semisimple, then the map

\[
\{\text{q.-s. real gr. structures on } G \text{ preserving } B \text{ and } T\} \to \text{Aut}(\text{Dyn}(G))
\]

of Definition 1.13 induces a bijection between the set of equivalence classes of quasi-split real group structures and the set of conjugacy classes of elements of order \(\leq 2\) in \(\text{Aut}(\text{Dyn}(G))\).

Proof. All the proofs and details of the statements can be found in [Con14, §7]. More precisely:

(i): [Con14, Lemma 7.1.1 and the beginning of §7.2].

(ii): [Con14, Remark 7.1.2, Propositions 7.2.2 and 7.2.12, and comments on p. 323].

(iii): [Con14, Proposition 7.2.12].

(iv): This follows from [Con14, Remarks 7.1.2 and 7.1.7].

\[\Box\]

Example 1.21.

1. We keep the notation of Example 1.12 and write \(\sigma_{ij} = \sigma_i \times \sigma_j\). The group \(G = \text{SL}_3 \times \text{SL}_3\) has seven inequivalent real group structures: \(\sigma_{00}\) (split), \(\sigma_{01}\) (quasi-split) and its inner twist \(\sigma_{02} = \text{inn}_{(1,c)} \circ \sigma_{01}\), \(\sigma_{11}\) (quasi-split) and its two inner twists \(\sigma_{12} = \text{inn}_{(1,c)} \circ \sigma_{11}\) and \(\sigma_{22} = \text{inn}_{(c,0)} \circ \sigma_{11}\), and the quasi-split real group structure \(\sigma : (g_1,g_2) \mapsto (\sigma_0(g_2),\sigma_0(g_1))\). Also, \(\text{Aut}(\text{Dyn}(G))\) is the dihedral group \(\langle r,s \mid r^4 = s^2 = (sr)^2 = 1 \rangle\) which is the union of five conjugacy classes \(\{1\}, \{r^2\}, \{s,rsr^{-1}\}, \{sr,rs\}, \text{ and } \{r,r^{-1}\}\), four of which consist of elements of order \(\leq 2\). We may check that the bijection in Theorem 1.20 (iv) is the following one: \(\sigma_{00} \leftrightarrow \{1\}, \sigma_{01} \leftrightarrow \{sr,rs\}, \sigma_{11} \leftrightarrow \{r^2\}, \text{ and } \sigma \leftrightarrow \{s,rsr^{-1}\}\).

2. Let \(G = \text{Spin}_8\). Then \(G\) has a unique (up to equivalence) split real group structure denoted, as usual, by \(\sigma_0\). Note that \(\text{Dyn}(G) = D_4\) and that \(\text{Aut}(\text{Dyn}(G)) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_3\). This group has exactly one conjugacy class of non-trivial elements of order 2, which corresponds via the bijection in Theorem 1.20 (iv) to the unique (up to equivalence) quasi-split not split real group structure \(\sigma_1\). Moreover, there are two other inequivalent real group structures on \(G\), which are both inner twists of \(\sigma_0\), and one other inequivalent real group structure on \(G\) which is an inner twist of \(\sigma_1\). The real parts of these real groups structures are the real Lie groups \(\text{Spin}(8 - m,m)\) with \(0 \leq m \leq 4\). The case \(m = 4\) corresponds to \(\sigma_0\), and its inner twists correspond to \(m = 2\) and \(m = 0\) (the later is the real part of the compact real group structure on \(G\)). The case \(m = 3\) corresponds to \(\sigma_1\), and the case \(m = 1\) corresponds to the inner twist of \(\sigma_0\).

1.3. A cohomological invariant. Let \((G,\sigma_{qs})\) be a complex reductive algebraic groups with a quasi-split real group structure. We consider the short exact sequence of \(\Gamma\)-groups

\[
1 \to Z(G) \to G \to G/Z(G) \to 1.
\]

where the \(\Gamma\)-action is induced by \(\sigma_{qs}\). In other words, the element \(\gamma \in \Gamma\) acts on \(G\) and \(Z(G)\) by \(\sigma_{qs}\), and on \(G/Z(G)\) by the induced real group structure \(\sigma_{qs}\). Since \(Z(G)\) is an abelian group, there is a connecting map (see [Ser02, §I.5.7])

\[
\delta : H^1(\Gamma,G/Z(G)) \to H^2(\Gamma,Z(G)).
\]
It follows from Lemma 1.11 and Definition 1.15 that $Z^1(\Gamma, G/Z(G))$ identifies with the set of inner twists of $\sigma_{qs}$ and

$$H^1(\Gamma, G/Z(G)) \simeq \{ c \in G \mid c\sigma_{qs}(c) \in Z(G) \} / \sim$$

where $c \sim c'$ if $c^{-1}b^{-1}c'\sigma_{qs}(b) \in Z(G)$ for some $b \in G$. Also, there is an isomorphism of abelian groups (see Remark 1.16):

$$H^2(\Gamma, Z(G)) \simeq Z(G)^\Gamma / \{ a\sigma_{qs}(a) \mid a \in Z(G) \}.$$

With these identifications, the connecting map $\delta$ is the map induced by

$$\{ c \in G \mid c\sigma_{qs}(c) \in Z(G) \} \to Z(G)^\Gamma, \ c \mapsto c\sigma_{qs}(c).$$

If $\sigma$ is a real group structure on $G$ equivalent to $\text{inn}_c \circ \sigma_{qs}$, then we will also write $\delta(\sigma)$ instead of $\delta(\sigma)$.

**Definition 1.22.** When $G$ is a simply-connected semisimple algebraic group, the element $\delta(\sigma)$ is called the *Tits class* of the real group structure $(G, \sigma)$.

Tables where the Tits classes are determined for any $(G, \sigma)$, with $G$ a simply-connected simple algebraic group and $\sigma$ a real group structure on $G$, can be found in Appendix A.

Consider now the case where $H$ is a subgroup of $G$ such that:

- the algebraic group $N_G(H)/H$ is abelian (this is the case, for example, if $H$ is a spherical subgroup of $G$ by [Per14, Proposition 3.4.1]); and
- $H$ is conjugate to a subgroup $H'$ stable by $\sigma_{qs}$.

Replacing $H$ by $H'$, we may assume that $\sigma_{qs}(H) = H$ to simplify the situation. Then $\sigma_{qs}$ induces a real group structure on $N_G(H)/H$, namely $\sigma_{qs}(nH) = \sigma_{qs}(n)H$, and we can consider the second cohomology group $H^2(\Gamma, N_G(H)/H)$. The natural homomorphism $\chi_H : Z(G) \to N_G(H)/H$, induced by the inclusion $Z(G) \to N_G(H)$, yields an homomorphism between the second cohomology groups

$$\chi_H : H^2(\Gamma, Z(G)) \to H^2(\Gamma, N_G(H)/H).$$

In the rest of this article we will denote the composed map $\chi_H^* \circ \delta$ by

$$\Delta_H : H^1(\Gamma, G/Z(G)) \to H^2(\Gamma, N_G(H)/H).$$

The element $\Delta_H(\sigma) \in H^2(\Gamma, N_G(H)/H)$ is the **cohomological invariant** that the title of this subsection is referring to.

**Remark 1.23.** A consequence of Proposition 1.19 is that if $N_G(H)/H$ is a torus, and if the $\Gamma$-action is induced by a real group structure on this torus with $n_0 = 0$, then $H^2(\Gamma, N_G(H)/H) = \{0\}$ and so $\Delta_H$ is the trivial map.

**Lemma 1.24.** With the previous notation, let $H$ be a maximal unipotent subgroup of $G$. Then the two conditions above are satisfied and $\Delta_H(\sigma) = 1$ if and only if $\delta(\sigma) = 1$.

**Proof.** First, note that $\sigma_{qs}$ stabilizes a Borel subgroup $B$, and therefore also its maximal unipotent subgroup $U$. Also, $N_G(H) = B$, and therefore $N_G(H)/H = T$ is a torus (isomorphic to a maximal torus of $G$). Thus the two conditions above hold. By applying an appropriate conjugation, we can assume that $H = U$ and then $\sigma_{qs}(H) = H$. 


Now we will show that $\chi_{U}^{*}$ is injective, which will imply the result. Consider the short exact sequence
\[ 0 \to Z(G) \to T \to \overline{T} = T/Z(G) \to 0. \]
This exact sequence induces an exact sequence of cohomology groups:
\[ H^1(\Gamma, \overline{T}) \to H^2(\Gamma, Z(G)) \to H^2(\Gamma, T), \]
where the second map is simply $\chi_{U}^{*}$. The torus $\overline{T}$ is isomorphic to a maximal torus of the adjoint semi-simple group $G/Z(G)$ and the quasi-split real group structure $\sigma_{qs}$ on $G/Z(G)$, induced by $\sigma_{qs}$ on $G$, acts on the character group of $\overline{T}$ by permutations. Indeed, since $\sigma_{qs}$ stabilizes the Borel subgroup $B$ of $G/Z(G)$, it preserves the positive roots of $(G/Z(G), B, \overline{T})$. This means in particular that the restriction of $\sigma_{qs}$ on $\overline{T}$ is equivalent to $\sigma_{1}^{\times n_{0}} \times \sigma_{2}^{\times n_{2}}$ (that is, there are no factors of type $\sigma_{1}$).

In the cohomology group $H^1(\Gamma, \overline{T})$, the $\Gamma$-action on $T$ is induced by $\sigma_{qs}$. Thus, by Proposition 1.19, the group $H^1(\Gamma, \overline{T})$ is trivial. This implies that $\chi_{U}^{*}$ is injective. \qed

The map $\Delta_{H}$ will be a key ingredient in §3.3 to determine the existence of a $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structure on a horospherical homogeneous space $G/H$ when $\sigma$ is a non quasi-split real group structure on $G$.

2. **Equivariant real structures on quasi-homogeneous spaces**

In this section, we introduce the notion of equivariant real structures on $G$-varieties which are real structures compatible with a given real group structure on the complex algebraic group $G$. We show first how to determine if such a structure exists on homogeneous spaces, and, if so, then how to use Galois cohomology to determine the set of equivalence classes of these equivariant real structures. We will also make some observations on the existence of extensions of these real structures on quasi-homogeneous spaces. These results will be used in the next section.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $(G, \sigma)$ be a complex algebraic group with a real group structure, and let $X$ be a $G$-variety.

(i) A $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structure on $X$ is an antiregular involution $\mu$ on $X$ such that
\[ \forall g \in G, \forall x \in X, \mu(g \cdot x) = \sigma(g) \cdot \mu(x). \]

(ii) Two equivariant real structures $\mu$ and $\mu'$ on a $(G, \sigma)$-variety $X$ are equivalent if there exists a $G$-automorphism $\varphi \in \text{Aut}_{G}(X)$ such that $\mu' = \varphi \circ \mu \circ \varphi^{-1}$.

**Remark 2.2.** Let $(X, \mu)$ be a $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structure. Whenever the real part $X_{0} = X(\mathbb{C})^{\mu}$ of $X$ is non-empty, it defines a real manifold endowed with an action of the real Lie group $G_{0} = G(\mathbb{C})^{\mu}$. In particular, if $X$ is a spherical $G$-variety, then this construction provides examples of real spherical spaces.

**Remark 2.3.** If $X$ is a quasi-homogeneous $G$-variety, i.e., a $G$-variety with an open orbit $G/H$, then a given equivariant real structure $\mu$ on $G/H$ does not always extend to $X$. If $\mu$ extends to $X$, then this extension is unique.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let $(G, \sigma)$ be a complex algebraic group with a real group structure, and let $X = G/H$ be a homogeneous space. Then $X$ has $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structure if and only if there exists $g \in G$ such that these two conditions hold:

1. $(G, \sigma)$-compatibility condition: $\sigma(H) = gHg^{-1}$
(2) involution condition: \[ \sigma(g)g \in H \]
in which case \( \mu \) is defined by \( \mu(kH) = \sigma(k)gH \) for all \( k \in G \).

**Proof.** If \( \mu \) defines a \((G, \sigma)\)-equivariant real structure on \( X \), then \( \mu \) is determined by \( \mu(eH) = gH \) for some \( g \in G \). Then \( \mu \) must be compatible with \( \sigma \), i.e. \( \mu(eH) = \mu(hH) = \sigma(h)\mu(eH) \) for all \( h \in H \), this yields condition (1). Also, \( \mu \) must be an involution, this yields condition (2). For the converse, if \( g \in G \) satisfies the conditions (1) and (2), then the map \( \mu : G \to G \) defined by \( \mu(kH) = \sigma(k)gH \) is clearly a \((G, \sigma)\)-equivariant real structure on \( X \). \( \square \)

**Remark 2.5.** If \( H' \) is conjugate to \( H \), then \( G/H \) has a \((G, \sigma)\)-equivariant real structure \( \mu \) if and only if \( G/H' \) has a \((G, \sigma)\)-equivariant real structure \( \mu' \). Indeed, if \( H' = kHk^{-1} \) and \( \mu(eH) = gH \), then we can define \( \mu' \) by \( \mu'(eH') = g'H \) with \( g' = \sigma(k)gk^{-1} \). We check that \( \sigma(H') = g'Hg'^{-1} \) if and only if \( \sigma(H) = gHg^{-1} \), and that \( \sigma(g')g' \in H' \) if and only if \( \sigma(g)g \in H \).

**Example 2.6.** (Equivariant real structures on toric varieties.)

Let \((T, \sigma)\) be a complex torus with a real group structure (those were described in Proposition 1.5). Let \( X \) be a complex toric variety with open orbit \( X_0 \simeq T \).

We start with the case of a homogeneous toric variety, that is, we consider the case \( X = X_0 \). It is easy to check, using Lemma 2.4 that the homogeneous space \( X_0 \) always has a \((T, \sigma)\)-equivariant real structure; we can for instance choose \( t_0 = 1 \) and consider \( \mu = \sigma \). Now we can find all the equivalence classes of \((T, \sigma)\)-equivariant structures on \( X_0 \). We use the notation of Remark 1.6. Suppose that \( T \) is endowed with the real group structure \( \sigma = \sigma_0^{x_{n_0}} \times \sigma_1^{x_{n_1}} \times \sigma_2^{x_{n_2}} \). Then each anti-regular involution of the form \( \sigma_0^{x_{n_0}} \times \mu_1^{x_{n_1}} \times \cdots \times \mu_i^{x_{n_i}} \times \sigma_2^{x_{n_2}} \), where \( \mu_i = \sigma_1 \) or \( \tau_1 \) for each \( i = 1, \ldots, n_1 \), defines a \((T, \sigma)\)-equivariant real structure on \( T \), and no two of these involutions are (equivariantly) equivalent. Moreover, any \((T, \sigma)\)-equivariant real structure on \( T \) is of this form.

As for the quasi-homogeneous case, by [Hurl11b, Theorem 1.25] (see also [Hurl11a, Chp. 1]) the equivariant real structure \( \mu \) on \( X_0 \) extends on \( X \) if and only if the \( \Gamma \)-action on \( X^\vee \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q} \), introduced in Definition 1.13, stabilizes the fan of \( X \). (For a complete account on toric varieties, we refer the interested reader to [Ful93].)

**Lemma 2.7.** Let \((G, \sigma_1)\) be a complex algebraic group with a real group structure, and let \( X = G/H \) be a homogeneous space. Let \( \sigma_2 = \text{inn}(c) \circ \sigma_1 \) be an inner twist of \( \sigma_1 \), and suppose that \( \sigma_1(H) = H \). Then

(i) \( X \) has \((G, \sigma_1)\)-equivariant real structure; and

(ii) \( X \) has a \((G, \sigma_2)\)-equivariant real structure if and only if there exists \( n \in N_G(H) \) such that \( \sigma_1(c) \sigma_1(n) \in H \).

**Proof.** The first statement follows from Lemma 2.4 (take \( g = 1 \)). For the second statement, note that \( \sigma_2(H) = cHc^{-1} \). According to Lemma 2.4, the variety \( X \) has a \((G, \sigma_2)\)-equivariant real structure if and only if there exists \( g \in G \) such that \( gHg^{-1} = \sigma_2(H) = cHc^{-1} \), and \( g \sigma_2(g) \in H \). But \( gHg^{-1} = cHc^{-1} \) if and only if there exists \( n \in N_G(H) \) such that \( g = cn \). Then the second condition in Lemma 2.4 yields \( \sigma_2(g)g = \sigma_1(c)g^{-1}g = \sigma_1(c) \sigma_1(n) \in H \). The converse is straightforward, it suffices to take \( g = cn \). \( \square \)

In the next proposition, we use Lemma 2.7 to give a cohomological condition to determine the existence of an equivariant real structure on \( G/H \). Because of the
well-known tables of structures on semisimple algebraic groups, this cohomological interpretation is particularly well-adapted to calculate examples.

**Proposition 2.8.** Let \((G, \sigma)\) be a complex reductive algebraic group with a quasi-split real group structure, and let \(\sigma_{qs} = \text{inn}_c \circ \sigma_{qs}\) be an inner twist of \(\sigma_{qs}\). Let \(X = G/H\) be a homogeneous space, and assume that \(N_G(H)/H\) is abelian and \(\sigma_{qs}(H) = H\). Then

(i) \(X\) has a \((G, \sigma_{qs})\)-equivariant real structure; and

(ii) \(X\) has a \((G, \sigma)\)-equivariant real structure if and only if \(\Delta_H(\sigma) = 1\).

**Proof.** Unraveling the definition of \(\Delta_H\) in §1.3, we verify that the cohomological condition \(\Delta_H(\sigma) = 1\) is equivalent to the second condition in Lemma 2.7. Then the result then follows directly from Lemma 2.7. \(\square\)

**Remark 2.9.** In [Bor], Borovoi considers the case where \(X\) is a quasi-projective \(G\)-variety over an arbitrary field of characteristic zero which admits a \((G, \sigma_{qs})\)-equivariant real structure. He obtains a similar cohomological criterion for the existence of a \((G, \sigma)\)-equivariant real structure on \(X\), but his point of view is quite different from ours as he uses exclusively Galois cohomology while we go through group-theoretical considerations (Lemmas 2.4 and 2.7).

**Remark 2.10.** If \((G, \sigma)\) is a simply-connected simple algebraic group with a real group structure, then the Tits class of \((G, \sigma)\) is often trivial (see tables in Appendix A) in which case by Proposition 2.8 the existence of a \((G, \sigma)\)-equivariant real structure on \(G/H\) reduces to the study of the conjugacy class of \(H\).

The previous results provide conditions for the existence of an equivariant real structure on a homogeneous space, but they tell nothing about the number of equivalence classes of such equivariant real structures. The classical way to determine this number is via Galois cohomology.

**Lemma 2.11.** (Equivariant real structures and Galois cohomology.) Let \((G, \sigma)\) be a complex algebraic group with a real group structure, and let \((X, \mu_0)\) be a homogeneous space with a \((G, \sigma)\)-equivariant real structure. The Galois group \(\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})\) acts on \(\text{Aut}^G(X)\) by \(\mu_0\)-conjugacy. Then the map

\[
H^1(\Gamma, \text{Aut}^G(X)) \to \{ (G, \sigma)\text{-eq. real structures on } X \}/\text{equiv.}, \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ \mu_0
\]

is a bijection that sends the identity element to the equivalence class of \(\mu_0\).

**Proof.** Note that any antiregular automorphism of \(X\) is of the form \(\mu = \varphi \circ \mu_0\), where \(\varphi\) is a regular automorphism on \(X\). Now \(\mu\) defines a \((G, \sigma)\)-equivariant real structure if and only if \(\mu \circ \mu = id\) and \(\varphi\) is \(G\)-equivariant. With the notation of Definition 1.15, this is equivalent to requiring that \(\varphi \in Z^1(\Gamma, \text{Aut}^G(X))\). Finally, \(\mu = \varphi \circ \mu_0\) is equivalent to \(\mu' = \varphi' \circ \mu_0\), with \(\varphi, \varphi' \in Z^1(\Gamma, \text{Aut}^G(X))\), if and only if there exists \(\psi \in \text{Aut}^G(X)\) such that \(\psi \circ \mu' \circ \psi^{-1} = \mu\), that is, \(\psi \circ \varphi \circ (\gamma \psi^{-1}) = \varphi'\).

This precisely the equivalence condition defining \(H^1(\Gamma, \text{Aut}^G(X))\). \(\square\)

The interested reader may also consult [Ser02, §III.1] for more general results on the classification of real structures via Galois cohomology.

If \(X = G/H\) is a homogeneous space, then we recall that \(\text{Aut}^G(G/H) \simeq N_G(H)/H\) (see e.g. [Tim11, Proposition 1.8]). In particular, if \(N_G(H) = H\), then \(\text{Aut}^G(X)\) is the trivial group.
Corollary 2.12. Let \((G, \sigma)\) be a complex reductive algebraic group with a real group structure, and let \(X = G/H\) be a homogeneous space such that \(N_G(H) = H\). Then \(X\) has a \((G, \sigma)\)-equivariant real structure \(\mu\) if and only if \(\sigma(H) = cHc^{-1}\) for some \(c \in G\). Moreover, if \(\mu\) exists then it is equivalent to \(\mu : gH \mapsto \sigma(g)cH\).

Proof. If \(N_G(H) = H\), then Condition (1) in Lemma 2.4 implies Condition (2), and so \(G/H\) has a \((G, \sigma)\)-equivariant real structure if and only if \(\sigma(H)\) is conjugate to \(H\). As \(\text{Aut}^G(X) \simeq N_G(H)/H = \{1\}\) the uniqueness part of the statement follows from Lemma 2.11. The last statement is given by Lemma 2.4.

Example 2.13. Let \((G, \sigma)\) be a complex reductive algebraic group with a real group structure, and let \(X = G/P\) be a flag variety. Then \(X\) has an equivariant real structure \(\mu\) if and only if \(\sigma(P)\) is conjugate to \(P\). Moreover, if \(\mu\) exists then it is equivalent to \(\mu : gP \mapsto \sigma(g)cP\), where \(c \in G\) satisfies \(\sigma(P) = cPc^{-1}\).

3. EQUIVARIANT REAL STRUCTURES ON HOROSPHERICAL VARIETIES

Because of the particular features of horospherical varieties, the determination of their equivariant real structures can be achieved by the study of their combinatorial data. The main part of this section deals with the question of existence of equivariant real structures on horospherical homogeneous spaces.

Let \(H\) be a horospherical subgroup of a reductive algebraic group \(G\), and let \(N_G(H)\) be the normalizer of \(H\) in \(G\). Then \(T = N_G(H)/H\) is a torus and we will apply the results of the previous sections (where \(N_G(H)/H\) is assumed to be abelian) to determine whether there exists an equivariant real structure on \(G/H\). We will explain also how to determine the number of equivalence classes of equivariant real structures on \(G/H\). Then we will describe when the equivariant real structures extend to a given horospherical variety. Finally, we will end this section with the study of equivariant real structures on classical examples of horospherical varieties that arise in algebraic geometry.

3.1. Setting and first definitions. We fix once and for all a triple \((G, B, T)\), where \(G\) is a complex reductive algebraic group, \(B \subset G\) is a Borel subgroup and \(T \subset B\) is a maximal torus. Let \(S = S(G, B, T)\) be the corresponding root system, let \(X = X(T)\) be the character group of \(T\), and let \(X^\vee = X^\vee(T)\) be the cocharacter group of \(T\). We denote by \(\sigma_0\) a split real group structure on \(G\) such that \(\sigma_0(B) = B\) and \(\sigma_0(T) = T\).

Definition 3.1. A subgroup \(H\) of \(G\) is horospherical if it contains a maximal unipotent subgroup of \(G\). In this case, the normalizer \(P = N_G(H)\) of \(H\) in \(G\) is a parabolic subgroup of \(G\) and the quotient group \(T = P/H \simeq \text{Aut}^G(G/H)\) is a torus (see [Pas08, Proposition 2.2 and Remarque 2.2]). A homogeneous space \(G/H\) is horospherical if \(H\) is a horospherical subgroup of \(G\).

Remark 3.2. Let \(\sigma\) be a real group structure on \(G\). Then \(\sigma\) maps a maximal unipotent subgroup of \(G\) to a maximal unipotent subgroup of \(G\) and so the set of horospherical subgroups of \(G\) is preserved by \(\sigma\).

Example 3.3. Tori and flag varieties are examples of horospherical homogeneous spaces. Let \(U\) be a maximal unipotent subgroup of \(SL_2\), then \(SL_2/U\) is a horospherical homogeneous space isomorphic to the affine plane minus the origin \(\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\}\).
Definition 3.4. A horospherical $G$-variety is a normal $G$-variety with an open horospherical $G$-orbit.

Example 3.5. Toric varieties and flag varieties are examples of horospherical varieties. It follows from the combinatorial description of spherical embeddings (see e.g. [Tim11, §15.1]) that the horospherical $SL_2$-varieties with open orbit $SL_2$-isomorphic to $SL_2/U$ are the following: $A^2 \setminus \{0\}$, $A^2$, $\overline{P^2}$, $P^2 \setminus \{0\}$, $Bl_0(A^2)$, and $Bl_0(P^2)$.

Other examples of horospherical varieties can be found in [Pas06, Pas15b]. Also, in §3.6 we will consider examples of smooth projective horospherical varieties of Picard rank one.

We now repeat the combinatorial description of the horospherical subgroups stated in the introduction. We recall that there is a 1-to-1 correspondence between the power set of $S$ and the set of conjugacy classes of parabolic subgroups of $G$ (see [Hum75, §29.3]). For $I \subset S$, we denote by $P_I$ the standard parabolic subgroup generated by $B$ and the $U_{-\alpha}$ with $\alpha \in I$, where $U_{-\alpha}$ is the unipotent subgroup of $G$ associated with the simple root $\alpha$. Let $I \subset S$ and let $M$ be a sublattice of $\mathbb{X}$ such that $\langle \chi, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 0$ for all $\alpha \in I$ and all $\chi \in M$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}^\vee \to \mathbb{Z}$ is the duality bracket, and $\alpha^\vee \in \mathbb{X}^\vee$ is the coroot associated to the root $\alpha$; this condition simply means that $\chi$ extends to a character of the parabolic subgroup $P_I$. Then

$$H_{(I,M)} = \bigcap_{\chi \in M} \text{Ker}(\chi)$$

is a horospherical subgroup of $G$ whose normalizer is $P_I$ (see [Pas08, §2] for details).

Definition 3.6. The horospherical subgroup $H_{(I,M)}$ defined by (C) is called standard horospherical subgroup. If $H$ is a horospherical subgroup, then by [Pas08, Proposition 2.4] there exists a unique pair $(I,M)$ as above such that $H$ is conjugate to $H_{(I,M)}$; we say that $(I,M)$ is the (horospherical) datum of $H$.

Example 3.7. The datum of a parabolic subgroup conjugate to $P_I$ is $(I,\{0\})$. The datum of a maximal unipotent subgroup of $G$ is $(\emptyset, \mathbb{X})$.

3.2. Quasi-split case. We denote by $\sigma_{qs}$ a quasi-split real group structure on $G$ preserving $B$ and $T$ as before.

Lemma 3.8. Let $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}) = \{1, \gamma\}$ acting on the set $S$ as in Definition 1.13. If $I \subset S$, then $\sigma_{qs}(P_I) = P_{\gamma I}$, where $\gamma I = \{ \gamma s \mid s \in I \} \subset S$.

Proof. First note that $\sigma_{qs}(B) = B$ implies that $\sigma(P_I)$ is a parabolic subgroup of the form $P_J$ for some $J \subset S$ (see [Hum75, §29.4, Th.]). Since $\Gamma$ acts on $S$ by permutation (see Definition 1.13), we have $\gamma \alpha \in S$ for each $\alpha \in S$. Also, if $\alpha \in S$ and $U_{-\alpha}$ is the corresponding unipotent subgroup of $G$, then $\sigma_{qs}(U_{-\alpha}) = U_{-\gamma \alpha}$ by definition of $U_{-\alpha}$ and of the $\Gamma$-action on $S$. But $P_I = \langle B, U_{-\alpha} \mid \alpha \in I \rangle$, and so the last sentence implies the result.

Proposition 3.9. Let $H$ be a horospherical subgroup of $G$ with datum $(I,M)$. Then the horospherical datum of $\sigma_{qs}(H)$ is $\gamma(I,M) := (\gamma I, \gamma M)$ with the $\Gamma$-action on $\mathbb{X}$ introduced in Definition 1.13. Also, $\sigma_{qs}(H_{(I,M)}) = H_{\gamma(I,M)}$, and so $\sigma_{qs}(H_{(I,M)})$ is conjugate to $H_{(I,M)}$ if and only if $\sigma_{qs}(H_{(I,M)}) = H_{(I,M)}$. 

□
Proof. First of all, by Lemma 3.8, we have \( \sigma_{qs}(P_I) = P_{\gamma I} \). Thus, the normalizer of \( \sigma_{qs}(H) \) is \( \sigma_{qs}(P_I) = P_{\gamma I} \). Also, by definition of the \( \Gamma \)-action on the coroot lattice \( \Xi^\vee \) (see Definition 1.13), we have \( \langle \gamma m, \gamma (\alpha^\vee) \rangle = \langle m, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 0 \) for all \( \alpha \in I \) and \( m \in M \). Thus the sublattice \( M' \) of \( \Xi \) associated with \( \sigma_{qs}(H) \) is \( \gamma M \). This proves the first part of the proposition.

Now we have \( \sigma_{qs}(P_I) = P_{\gamma I} \) by Lemma 3.8, and

\[
\sigma_{qs}(H_{I,M}) = \bigcap_{m \in M} \sigma_{qs}(\text{Ker}(m)) = \bigcap_{m \in M} \text{Ker}(\gamma m) = H_{\gamma(I,M)}.
\]

Therefore, if \( \sigma_{qs}(H_{I,M}) = H_{\gamma(I,M)} \) is conjugate to \( H_{I,M} \), they have the same horospherical data, that is, \( \gamma(I, M) = (I, M) \), which finishes the proof. \( \square \)

Remark 3.10. The previous result can also be obtained as a consequence of [CF15, Theorem 3] which states that for a spherical subgroup \( H \subset G \), the subgroups \( H \) and \( \sigma(H) \) are conjugate in \( G \) if and only if the Luna-Vust invariants of \( G/H \) are \( \Gamma \)-stable.

Remark 3.11. Let \( H \) be a spherical subgroup of \( G \) such that \( \sigma_{qs}(H) \) is conjugate to \( H \). We do not know whether there always exists a spherical subgroup \( H' \) conjugate to \( H \) such that \( \sigma_{qs}(H') = H' \). It follows from the proof of [ACF14, Theorem 4.14] that this is true when \( N_G(N_G(H)) = N_G(H) \), which is the case if \( H \) is horospherical.

Corollary 3.12. Let \( H \) be a horospherical subgroup of \( G \) with datum \((I, M)\). Then \( X = G/H \) has a \((G, \sigma_{qs})\)-equivariant real structure if and only if \( \gamma(I, M) = (I, M) \).

Proof. By remark 2.5, we may assume that \( H = H_{I,M} \).

If \( X = G/H \) has a \((G, \sigma_{qs})\)-equivariant real structure, then \( H \) and \( \sigma_{qs}(H) \) are conjugate by Lemma 2.4, and so \( \gamma(I, M) = (I, M) \) by Proposition 3.9.

Conversely, if \( \gamma(I, M) = (I, M) \), then \( \sigma_{qs}(H) = H \) by Proposition 3.9. Thus we see that the two conditions of Lemma 2.4 are satisfied for \( g = 1 \), which means that \( X \) has a \((G, \sigma_{qs})\)-equivariant real structure. \( \square \)

Remark 3.13. If \( \sigma_{qs} = \sigma_0 \) is a split real group structure on \( G \), then \( X = G/H \) has always a \((G, \sigma_{qs})\)-equivariant real structure since \( \Gamma \) acts trivially on \( \Xi \).

Example 3.14. If \( H = U \) is a maximal unipotent subgroup of \( G \), then its horospherical datum is \((\emptyset, \Xi)\) which is of course \( \Gamma \)-stable, and so there always exists a \((G, \sigma_{qs})\)-equivariant real structure on \( G/U \), namely \( \sigma_{qs}(gU) = \sigma_{qs}(g)U \).

Example 3.15. Let \( T \) be the maximal torus of \( G = \text{SL}_4 \) formed by diagonal matrices, and let \( B \) be the Borel subgroup formed by upper-triangular matrices. For \( i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \), we denote \( L_i : T \to G_m, (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) \mapsto t_i \). Then the simple roots of \((G, B, T)\) are \( \alpha_1 = L_1 - L_2 \), \( \alpha_2 = L_2 - L_3 \), and \( \alpha_3 = L_3 - L_4 \) (see [FH91, Chp. 3, §15] for details).

Let \( P \subset G \) be the standard parabolic subgroup associated with \( I = \{\alpha_2\} \), and let \( H \) be the kernel of the character \( \chi = L_1 + L_4 \) in \( P \). Then \( H \) is a horospherical subgroup of \( G \) with datum \((I, M) = \{(\alpha_2), \mathbb{Z} \langle \chi \rangle)\).

The group \( G \) has two inequivalent quasi-split real group structures, namely \( \sigma_s(g) = g \) (split) and \( \sigma_{qs}(g) = \text{inn}_h \circ \sigma_c(g) \) (non split quasi-split), where \( \sigma_c(g) = \)
yields that \( \Delta \) structure if and only if

On the other hand, the \( \Gamma \)-action induced by \( \sigma \) split real group structure preserving \( B \) are equivalent: \( \sigma \) and so \( \gamma \) has a \( (G,\sigma) \)-equivariant real structure. By Corollary 3.12, the homogeneous space \( X = G/H \) has a \( (G,\sigma) \)- and a \( (G,\sigma_{qs}) \)-equivariant real structure.

3.3. General case. We now consider the case where \( \sigma \) is any real group structure on the complex reductive algebraic group \( G \).

By Theorem 1.20, there exists a quasi-split real structure \( \sigma_{qs} \) (uniquely defined by \( \sigma \), up to equivalence) and an element \( c \in G \) such that \( \sigma \) is equivalent to \( \inn_c \circ \sigma_{qs} \). We may replace \( \sigma \) and \( \sigma_{qs} \) in their equivalence classes and assume that \( \sigma_{qs}(B) = B \), \( \sigma_{qs}(T) = T \), and \( \sigma = \inn_c \circ \sigma_{qs} \) to avoid technicalities. Then we still have a well-defined \( \Gamma \)-action on \( X \) (preserving \( S \)) induced by \( \sigma_{qs} \) (see Definition 1.13).

**Lemma 3.16.** Let \( H \) be a horospherical subgroup with datum \( (I,M) \). If \( X = G/H \) has a \( (G,\sigma) \)-equivariant real structure, then \( \gamma(I,M) = (I,M) \).

**Proof.** By Remark 2.5, we may assume that \( H = H_{(I,M)} \) is a standard horospherical subgroup. If \( X \) has a \( (G,\sigma) \)-equivariant real structure, then the first condition of Lemma 2.4 yields that \( \sigma(H) \) and \( H \) are conjugate. Thus \( \sigma_{qs}(H) = \inn_{c^{-1}} \circ \sigma(H) = c^{-1} \sigma(H)c \) and \( H \) are also conjugate, and so \( \gamma(I,M) = (I,M) \) by Proposition 3.9. \( \square \)

The previous lemma means that the existence of a \( (G,\sigma) \)-equivariant real structure on \( G/H \) implies the existence of a \( (G,\sigma_{qs}) \)-equivariant real structure on \( G/H \). However, we will see that these two conditions are not equivalent.

**Proposition 3.17.** Let \( \sigma = \inn_c \circ \sigma_{qs} \) be a real group structure on \( G \) as above. Let \( H \) be a horospherical subgroup of \( G \) with datum \( (I,M) \), and assume that \( X = G/H \) has a \( (G,\sigma_{qs}) \)-equivariant real structure. Then \( X \) has a \( (G,\sigma) \)-equivariant real structure if and only if \( \Delta_H(\sigma) = 1 \), where \( \Delta_H \) is the map defined in §1.3.

**Proof.** First, by Remark 2.5, we may assume that \( H = H_{(I,M)} \) is a standard horospherical subgroup. By assumption, \( X \) has a \( (G,\sigma_{qs}) \)-equivariant real structure, and so \( \sigma_{qs}(H) = H \) by Proposition 3.9. Now the result follows from Proposition 2.8. \( \square \)

We now summarize our main results in the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.18.** Let \( H \) be a horospherical subgroup of \( G \) with datum \( (I,M) \). Let \( \sigma = \inn_c \circ \sigma_{qs} \) be a real group structure on \( G \), and let \( \sigma_{qs} \) be the corresponding quasi-split real group structure preserving \( B \) and \( T \). Then the following four conditions are equivalent:

(i) \( G/H \) has a \( (G,\sigma_{qs}) \)-equivariant real structure;
(ii) \( \gamma(I,M) = (I,M) \);
(iii) \( H \) is conjugate to \( \sigma(H) \);
(iv) \( H \) is conjugate to \( \sigma_{qs}(H) \).
Moreover $G/H$ has a $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structure if and only if the (equivalent) conditions (i)-(iv) are satisfied and $\Delta_H(\sigma) = 1$, where $\Delta_H$ is the map defined in §1.3.

**Proof.** The equivalence of (i) and (ii) is Corollary 3.12. The equivalence of (iii) and (iv) is straightforward since $\sigma = \text{inn}_c \circ \sigma_{qs}$. The equivalence of (i) and (iv) follows from Proposition 3.9 and Lemma 2.4.

The second part of the theorem is Proposition 3.17. \qed

**Corollary 3.19.** With the notation of Theorem 3.18, the horospherical homogeneous space $G/H$ has a $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structure if and only if the following conditions hold:

(i) $\Gamma \Gamma (\Gamma, Z(G)) = \{1\}$; or
(ii) $\Gamma \Gamma (\Gamma, N_G(H)/H) = \{1\}$.

**Proof.** Let us note that if (i) or (ii) holds, then the map $\Delta_H$ is trivial. By Theorem 3.18, if $\gamma(I, M) = (I, M)$ and $\Delta_H$ is trivial, then the horospherical homogeneous space $G/H$ has a $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structure. \qed

**Example 3.20.** (Equivariant real structures on flag varieties.) Let $X = G/P$ be a flag variety, and let $I \subset S$ such that the standard parabolic subgroup $P_I$ is conjugate to $P$. By Remark 2.5 we may assume that $P = P_I$. We saw in Example 2.13 that $X$ has a $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structure if and only if $\sigma(P)$ is conjugate to $P$, which we also recover from Theorem 3.18 since $\Delta_P(\sigma)$ is always trivial. From a combinatorial point of view: $X = G/P_I$ has a $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structure if and only if $\gamma(I) = I$, in which case this structure is unique up to equivalence. This is for instance the case when $\sigma$ is an inner twist of a split real group structure on $G$.

**Example 3.21.** Let $(G, \sigma)$ be a simply-connected simple algebraic group with a real group structure, and let $H = U$ be a maximal unipotent subgroup of $G$. By Example 3.14, there always exists a $(G, \sigma_{qs})$-equivariant real structure on $G/U$. Thus, by Theorem 3.18 and Lemma 1.24, there exists a $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structure on $G/U$ if and only if the Tits class $\delta(\sigma)$ is trivial.

**Example 3.22.** We resume Example 3.15. The complex algebraic group $G = \text{SL}_4$ has five inequivalent real group structures (see Appendix A): a split one $\sigma_s$ (with real part $\text{SL}_4(\mathbb{R})$) and an inner twist $\sigma'_s$ (with real part $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{H})$), the non split quasi-split one $\sigma_{qs}$ (with real part $\text{SU}(2, 2)$) and two inner twists $\sigma'_{qs}$ and $\sigma_s$ (with real parts $\text{SU}(3, 1)$ and $\text{SU}(4)$). By Theorem 3.18 and Example 3.15, the homogeneous space $X = G/H$ has a $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structure if and only if $\Delta_H(\sigma)$ is trivial.

Let $T = P/H \simeq \mathbb{G}_m$. If $\sigma = \sigma_s$ resp. $\sigma = \sigma_{qs}$, then the real group structure on $T$ induced by $\sigma$ is equivalent to $\sigma_0$ resp. to $\sigma_1$ (this follows from a direct computation). Therefore, by Proposition 1.19, the group $\Gamma(\Gamma, T)$ is trivial when the $\Gamma$-action on $T$ comes from $\sigma_{qs}$, and so $\Delta_H(\sigma)$ is trivial for the inner twists of $\sigma_{qs}$. It remains to consider the case $\sigma = \sigma'_s$. Note that for this case, there exists $c \in G$ such that $\sigma'_s = \text{inn}_c \circ \sigma_s$, and that $c \sigma_s(c) \in Z(G)$ and is fixed by $\sigma_s$. This means that $c \sigma_s(c) = \pm 1 \in H$. Thus $\Delta_H(\sigma'_s)$ is trivial, and so by Theorem 3.18 there exists a $(G, \sigma'_s)$-equivariant real structure on $X$. 
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3.4. Number of equivalence classes of equivariant real structures. Let \( X = G/H \) be a horospherical homogeneous space, and let \( \sigma \) be a real group structure on \( G \). As before, we may assume choose \( \sigma \) such that that \( \sigma = \text{inn}_c \circ \sigma_{qs} \), for some \( c \in G \), where \( \sigma_{qs} \) is a quasi-split real group structure on \( G \) stabilizing \( \mathcal{B} \) and \( T \).

In this section we suppose that there exists a \((G, \sigma)\)-equivariant real structure \( \mu_0 \) on \( X \). By Remark 2.5, we may replace \( H \) by a standard horosherical subgroup conjugate to \( H \) and assume that \( \sigma_{qs}(H) = H \). Then \( \sigma_{qs} \) induces a real group structure \( \overline{\sigma_{qs}} \) on the torus \( T = N_G(H)/H \cong \text{Aut}^G(X) \). By Proposition 1.5, the real group structure \( \overline{\sigma_{qs}} \) is equivalent to \( \sigma^\times_{n_0} \times \sigma^\times_{n_1} \times \sigma^\times_{n_2} \) for some \( n_0, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( n_0 + n_1 + 2n_2 = \dim(T) \).

Proposition 3.23. With the notation and assumptions above, there are exactly \( 2^{n_1} \) equivalence classes of \((G, \sigma)\)-equivariant real structures on \( X = G/H \).

Proof. We treat first the case when \( \sigma = \sigma_{qs} \). Note that for this case, there exists a natural equivariant real structure on \( X \), namely \( \mu_0 = \overline{\sigma_{qs}} \) the real structure induced by \( \sigma_{qs} \). Then, by Lemma 2.11, there is a bijection between the set of equivalence classes of \((G, \sigma_{qs})\)-equivariant real structures on \( X \) and \( H^1(\Gamma, \text{Aut}^G(X)) \), where \( \Gamma \) acts on \( \text{Aut}^G(X) \) by \( \mu_0 \)-conjugation. Identifying \( \text{Aut}^G(X) \) with \( N_G(H)/H \), the \( \Gamma \)-action on \( \text{Aut}^G(X) \) coincides with the \( \Gamma \)-action on \( T \) induced by \( \sigma_{qs} \). Thus, the number of equivalence classes of \((G, \sigma)\)-equivariant real structures on \( X \) equals the cardinality of \( H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{T}) \), where the action of \( \Gamma \) on \( \mathbb{T} \) is given by \( \gamma(aH) = \sigma_{qs}(a)H \) for any \( a \in N_G(H) \). Since \( \overline{\sigma_{qs}} \) is equivalent to \( \sigma^\times_{n_0} \times \sigma^\times_{n_1} \times \sigma^\times_{n_2} \) by assumption, the cardinality of \( H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{T}) \) is \( 2^{n_1} \) by Proposition 1.19.

The calculation of the number of equivalence classes of equivariant \((G, \sigma)\)-real structures when \( \sigma = \text{inn}_c \circ \sigma_{qs} \) is not a quasi-split real group structure is a bit more complicated. First of all, in general, there is no particular privileged choice of the equivariant real structure \( \mu_0 \) on \( X \) to define the \( \Gamma \)-action on \( \text{Aut}^G(X) \), as there was in the quasi-split case, namely \( \mu_0 = \overline{\sigma_{qs}} \). However we assumed that such a \( \mu_0 \) exists and this will be sufficient for our purposes. By Lemma 2.7, this means there exists \( n \in N_G(H) \) such that \( \sigma_{qs}(c)\sigma_{qs}(n)n \in H \), and then we can take \( \mu_0 \) defined by \( \mu_0(kH) = \sigma(k)cnH \) for all \( k \in N_G(H) \). Now we identify \( \text{Aut}^G(X) \) with \( \mathbb{T} \) and calculate the \( \Gamma \)-action on \( \mathbb{T} \) corresponding to the \( \Gamma \)-action by \( \mu_0 \)-conjugation on \( \text{Aut}^G(X) \). Let \( \varphi \in \text{Aut}^G(X) \) and \( a \in N_G(H) \) such that \( \varphi(kH) = kaH \), for all \( k \in G \). Then

\[
\gamma \varphi(kH) = \mu_0 \circ \varphi \circ \mu_0(kH) = \mu_0 \circ \varphi(\sigma(k)cnH)
\]

\[
= \mu_0(\sigma(k)cnH) = k\sigma(cn)cnH = k\sigma_{qs}(cn)H.
\]

Note that \( c\sigma_{qs}(cn)nH = c\sigma_{qs}(cn)(n^{-1}\sigma_{qs}(a)n)H \). Since \( c\sigma_{qs}(cn)n \in H \), and \((n^{-1}\sigma_{qs}(a)n) \in N_G(H) \), we find

\[
\gamma \varphi(kH) = kn^{-1}\sigma_{qs}(a)nH.
\]

But \( \mathbb{T} \) is abelian, and so \( \gamma \varphi(kH) = k\sigma_{qs}(a)H \), and therefore the \( \Gamma \)-action on \( \mathbb{T} \) corresponding to the \( \mu_0 \)-conjugation is given by \( \gamma(aH) = \sigma_{qs}(a)H \) as in the quasi-split case that we treated first. Thus the number of equivalence classes of \((G, \sigma)\)-equivariant real structures on \( X \) is again equal to the cardinality of \( H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{T}) \), where the \( \Gamma \)-action on \( \mathbb{T} \) is the one induced by \( \sigma_{qs} \). This finishes the proof.

Remark 3.24. Suppose that \( \text{Out}(G) = \{1\} \). By Theorem 1.20 any real group structure on \( G \) is an inner twist of the split one \( \sigma_s \), and so the induced real group
structure on $T$ is equivalent to $\sigma_0^{s_0}$. Thus Proposition 3.23 implies that when a $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structure exists on $G/H$, then it is unique up to equivalence.

**Example 3.25.** Let $G = T$ be a torus with a real group structure $\sigma$ equivalent to $\sigma_0^{s_0} \times \sigma_1^{s_1} \times \sigma_2^{s_2}$ for some $n_0, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the number of equivalence classes of $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structures on $X = T$ is $2^{n_1}$. This could also be seen directly from Example 2.6.

**Corollary 3.26.** With the same notation and assumptions as in Proposition 3.23, if we moreover assume that $H = U$ is a maximal unipotent subgroup of $G$, then there is a unique equivalence class of $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structures on $X = G/U$.

**Proof.** If $H = U$, then the inclusion $T \hookrightarrow B$ yields an isomorphism $T \simeq B/U \simeq \mathbb{T}$, and so the induced real group structure $\sigma_{qs}$ on $\mathbb{T}$ coincides with the restriction $(\sigma_{qs})_T$. Since $\sigma_{qs}(B) = B$, this structure must preserve the positive roots of $(G, B, T)$, which means that $(\sigma_{qs})_T$ is equivalent to a product $\sigma_0^{s_0} \times \sigma_2^{s_2}$. Therefore $n_1 = 0$ and the result follows from Proposition 3.23. □

**Example 3.27.** Let $G = SL_3$, let $H = U$ be the unipotent radical of a Borel subgroup $B$, let $T$ be a maximal torus contained in $B$. In Example 1.12 we saw that $G$ has three inequivalent real group structures, namely $\sigma_s$ (split), $\sigma_{qs}$ (non split quasi-split), and $\sigma_c$ (compact, inner twist of $\sigma_{qs}$). Since $\sigma_s$ and $\sigma_{qs}$ stabilize $B$ (up to conjugate), they stabilize also $U$ and so there exists an equivariant real structure on $X = G/U$ in these two cases. Moreover, as the Tits class of $(G, \sigma_c)$ is trivial (see Table 2 in Appendix A), it follows from Theorem 3.18 and Lemma 1.24 that there exists also a $(G, \sigma_c)$-equivariant real structure on $X$. Finally, by Corollary 3.26, each of these three equivariant real structures is unique up to equivalence.

**Example 3.28.** We resume Example 3.22. We saw that if $\sigma = \sigma_s$ resp. $\sigma = \sigma_{qs}$, then the real group structure on $\mathbb{T}$ induced by $\sigma$ is equivalent to $\sigma_0$ resp. to $\sigma_1$. Thus, by Proposition 3.23, there is one equivalence class of $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structures on $G/H$ when $\sigma$ is equivalent to an inner twist of $\sigma_s$, and there are two equivalence classes of $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structures on $G/H$ when $\sigma$ is equivalent to an inner twist of $\sigma_{qs}$.

### 3.5. Extension of the equivariant real structures

As before we fix a triple $(G, B, T)$, where $G$ is a complex reductive algebraic group, $B \subset G$ is a Borel subgroup, and $T \subset B$ is a maximal torus. Let $\sigma$ be a real group structure on $G$. In this section we determine when a given $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structure on a horospherical homogeneous space $G/H$ extends to a $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structure on a horospherical variety whose open orbit is $G/H$.

For the sake of brevity, we will not recall the theory of spherical embeddings, and how to describe such embeddings in terms of the combinatorial gadgets called colored fan. Therefore the reader is referred to [Kno91, Tim11, Gan18] for more information on this theory.

For the next theorem, we will consider the $\Gamma$-action on the set of colored cones, defined by Huruguen in [Hur11b]. Let $\sigma$ be an inner twist of a quasi-split real group structure $\sigma_{qs}$ on $G$, and consider all the colored fans defining $G$-equivariant embeddings $G/H \hookrightarrow X$. Then the $\Gamma$-action on the character group $X$ defined by $\sigma_{qs}$ (see Definition 1.13) induces a $\Gamma$-action on this set of colored fans. In particular, if $\sigma_{qs} = \sigma_0$ is split, then this $\Gamma$-action is trivial.
Theorem 3.29. ([Hur11b, Theorem 2.23] and [Wed, Theorem 9.1].) Let $\mu$ be a $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structure on a spherical homogeneous space $G/H$, and let $X$ be a spherical $G$-variety with open orbit $G/H$. Then the real structure $\mu$ extends on $X$ if and only if the colored fan of the spherical embedding $G/H \hookrightarrow X$ is $\Gamma$-invariant.

Remark 3.30. If the equivariant real structure $\mu$ on $G/H$ extends to $X$, then the corresponding real form $X/\Gamma$ always exists as a real algebraic space but not necessarily as a real variety. Indeed, $X$ need not be a union of $\Gamma$-stable quasi-projective $G$-varieties; see [Hur11b, §2.4] for such an example.

Corollary 3.31. Let $\mu$ be a $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structure on a horospherical homogeneous space $G/H$, and let $X$ be a horospherical $G$-variety with open orbit $G/H$. Then the real structure $\mu$ extends on $X$ if and only if the colored fan of the embedding $G/H \hookrightarrow X$ is $\Gamma$-invariant in which case the corresponding real form $X/\Gamma$ is a real variety.

Proof. The only thing to check is that $X/\Gamma$ is a real variety (and not just a real algebraic space). Since $\Gamma = \{1, \gamma\} \simeq \mu_2$, for every colored cone $(C, F)$ of the colored fan associated with the embedding $G/H \hookrightarrow X$, the colored fan consisting of the cones $(\gamma C, \gamma F)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ and their faces has only one or two maximal cones. Also, for horospherical embeddings, the relative interiors of two maximal cones do not meet (as the valuation cone is the whole space in this case). Hence, the characterization of quasi-projective spherical varieties via the existence of a strictly convex $\mathbb{Q}$-valued piecewise linear function (see e.g. [Per14, Corollary 3.2.12]) implies that $X$ is the union of $\Gamma$-stable quasi-projective $G$-varieties, and so the quotient $X/\Gamma$ is a real variety.

Example 3.32. Consider, for example, the horospherical embeddings of $SL_2/U$ given in Example 3.5. There are two inequivalent real group structures on $SL_2$; $\sigma_0$ which is split, and $\sigma_1$, whose real part is compact. Note that $\sigma_1$ is an inner twist of $\sigma_0$. We deduce from Example 3.21 and Remark 3.24 (or Corollary 3.26) that there exists a unique equivalence class of $(SL_2, \sigma_0)$-equivariant real structure on $SL_2/U$, but that there is no $(SL_2, \sigma_1)$-equivariant structure on $SL_2/U$ as the Tits class $\delta(\sigma_1)$ is non-trivial. Since $\sigma_0$ is split, it induces a trivial $\Gamma$-action on the set of colored fans defining a $SL_2$-equivariant embedding of $SL_2/U \to X$. Thus, any $(SL_2, \sigma_0)$-equivariant real structure on $SL_2/U$ extends to $X$.

Example 3.33. We resume Example 3.28. Let $\sigma$ be an inner twist of a non split quasi-split real group structure on $G = SL_4$. We saw in Example 3.15 that the $\Gamma$-action on $N = M^\vee = \mathbb{Z}(\chi^\vee) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ induced by $\sigma$ satisfies $\gamma \chi^\vee = -\chi^\vee$. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset N_Q$ be a colored fan corresponding to a $G$-equivariant embedding $G/H \hookrightarrow Y$. Then, by Corollary 3.31, a $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structure on $G/H$ extends to $Y$ if and only if the colored fan $\mathcal{F}$ is symmetric with respect to the origin of $N_Q$. It follows that either $Y = G/H$ (case $\mathcal{F} = \{\{(0), (0)\}\}$) or $Y$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over $G/P$ which is the union of two $G$-orbits of codimension 1, the two $G$-invariant sections of the structure morphism $Y \to G/P$, and the open $G$-orbit (case $\mathcal{F} = \{(\mathbb{Z}_+(\chi^\vee), (0), (\mathbb{Z}_-(\chi^\vee), 0)\}$).

3.6. Smooth projective horospherical varieties of Picard rank 1. Let $G$ be a complex simply-connected semisimple algebraic group, let $B \subset G$ a Borel subgroup, and let $T \subset B$ a maximal torus as before. In this section we will apply
the results obtained in the previous sections to classify the real structures on the smooth projective horospherical $G$-varieties of Picard rank 1.

Examples of such varieties are given by the flag varieties $X = G/P$, with $P$ a maximal parabolic subgroup, and the odd symplectic grassmannians; these correspond to the case (3) in Theorem 3.34 and were studied for example in [Mih07, Pec13].

The smooth projective horospherical $G$-varieties of Picard rank 1 were classified by Pasquier in [Pas09], and since then their geometry has been very much studied (see e.g. [PP10, Hon16, GPPS]). Pasquier proved the following result:

**Theorem 3.34.** ([Pas09, Theorem 0.1]) Let $X$ be a smooth projective horospherical $G$-variety of Picard rank 1. Then either $X = G/P$ is a flag variety (with $P$ a maximal parabolic subgroup) or $X$ has three $G$-orbits and can be constructed in a uniform way from a triple $(\text{Dyn}(G), \varpi_Y, \varpi_Z)$ belonging to the following list:

1. $(B_n, \varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_n)$ with $n \geq 3$;
2. $(B_3, \varpi_1, \varpi_3)$;
3. $(C_n, \varpi_m, \varpi_{m-1})$ with $n \geq 2$ and $m \in [2, n]$;
4. $(F_4, \varpi_2, \varpi_3)$;
5. $(G_2, \varpi_1, \varpi_2)$,

where $\varpi_Y, \varpi_Z$ are fundamental weights such that the two closed orbits of $X$ are $G$-isomorphic to $G/P(\varpi_Y)$ and $G/P(\varpi_Z)$.

We already looked at equivariant real structures on flag varieties in Examples 2.13 and 3.20. Therefore, we will only consider equivariant real structures in the non-homogeneous cases.

**Theorem 3.35.** We keep the notation of Theorem 3.34. Let $\sigma$ be a real group structure on $G$, let $G_0$ be the corresponding real part, and let $X$ be a non-homogeneous smooth projective horospherical $G$-variety of Picard rank 1 associated with a triple $(\text{Dyn}(G), \varpi_Y, \varpi_Z)$. Then $X$ admits a $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structure if and only if $(\text{Dyn}(G), G_0, \varpi_Y, \varpi_Z)$ belongs to the following list:

1. $(B_n, G_0, \varpi_{n-1}, \varpi_n)$ with $G_0 = \text{Spin}_{n+4t,n+4t-4t}(\mathbb{R})$ and $n \geq 3$, $t \in \mathbb{Z}$;
2. $(B_3, G_0, \varpi_1, \varpi_3)$ with $G_0 = \text{Spin}_7(\mathbb{R})$ or $\text{Spin}_{3,4}(\mathbb{R})$;
3. $(C_n, \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}), \varpi_m, \varpi_{m-1})$ with $n \geq 2$ and $m \in [2, n]$;
4. $(F_4, G_0, \varpi_2, \varpi_3)$ with $G_0$ the real part of one of the three inequivalent real group structures on $F_4$; or
5. $(G_2, G_0, \varpi_1, \varpi_2)$ with $G_0$ the real part of one of the two inequivalent real group structure on $G_2$ (the split one and the compact one).

Moreover, when such a structure exists, then it is unique up to equivalence.

**Proof.** In cases (1)-(5) of Theorem 3.34, we observe that $\text{Aut}(\text{Dyn}(G)) = \{1\}$. Thus, by Remark 3.24, if a $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structure exists on $G/H$, then it is unique up to equivalence. Also, by Theorem 1.20 (iv) any real group structure $\sigma$ on $G$ is an inner twist of the split real group structure $\sigma_0$ on $G$. Therefore the induced $\Gamma$-action on $X(T)$ is trivial (Remark 1.10). This has two important consequences: First, by Theorem 3.18, the open orbit $X_0 = G/H$ always admits a $(G, \sigma_0)$-equivariant real structure. Second, by Corollary 3.31, any $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structure on $X_0$ extends to $X$.

Moreover, again by Theorem 3.18, the homogeneous space $X_0$ admits a $(G, \sigma)$-equivariant real structure if and only if $\Delta_H(\sigma)$ is trivial. (We recall that the Tits
class $\delta(\sigma)$ of $(G, \sigma)$ can be found in the tables in Appendix A.) One can check that the cases where $\delta(\sigma)$ is trivial are exactly the cases that appear in the statement of the theorem. Therefore, to finish the proof of this theorem, it suffices to prove that the homomorphism $\chi_H^* \in \sigma_1.19$ defined in §1.3 is injective in the cases where $\delta(\sigma)$ is non-trivial. This will indeed imply that $\Delta_H(\sigma)$ is non-trivial.

The cases left to consider are those where $G$ is of type $B_n$, for $n \geq 3$, or of type $C_n$, for $n \geq 2$. Recall that $\mathbb{T} = N_G(H)/H$ is a quotient of the maximal torus $T$ obtained by composing the following homomorphisms:

$$T \twoheadrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow B/U \twoheadrightarrow N_G(H)/H = \mathbb{T},$$

where the map $B/U \to N_G(H)/H$ is the map induced by the inclusion $B \hookrightarrow N_G(H)$. Note that $N_G(H) = BH = TUH = TH$, and so the homomorphism $T \to \mathbb{T}$ is indeed onto, with kernel $T \cap H$. Thus the induced real structure $\sigma_T$ on $\mathbb{T}$ (which is a 1-dimensional torus in cases (1)-(3)) is obtained from $\sigma_{s|T} \sim \sigma_0 \times \sigma_0$, and so $\sigma_T$ is equivalent to $\sigma_0$. By Proposition 1.19, this means that $H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{T}) \simeq \mu_2$. A generator of this group is given by the class of the $\sigma_0$-invariant element $-1$.

We now consider the group $H^2(\Gamma, Z(G))$. In type $B_n$ and $C_n$, note that the center of the simply-connected simple group $G$ is $Z(G) \simeq \mu_2$. Since we are considering the cases where the Tits classes are non-trivial, the group $H^2(\Gamma, Z(G))$ is non-trivial, and, since $Z(G) \simeq \mu_2$, it is isomorphic to $Z(G)$ on which $\Gamma$ acts trivially.

Recall that the homomorphism $\chi_H^*: H^2(\Gamma, Z(G)) \simeq Z(G) \to H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{T})$ is induced by the homomorphism $\psi: Z(G) \to \mathbb{T}$. Since $H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{T})$ is generated by the class of $-1$, either $\psi$ is injective, and then $\chi_H^*$ is an isomorphism, or else $\psi$ is trivial, and then $\chi_H^*$ is trivial. We will show that $\psi$ is injective, i.e., that $Z(G)$ is not contained in $H$ and this will finish the proof of this theorem.

We are left to prove that $Z(G)$ is not contained in $H$. For this, use the following construction of $H$ from [GPPS, §1.3]. For each triple $(\text{Dyn}(G), \varpi_1, \varpi_2)$ in Theorem 3.34, consider the projective space $\mathbb{P}(V_1 \oplus V_2)$, where $V_1$ and $V_2$ are the irreducible $G$-modules with highest weights $\varpi_1$ and $\varpi_2$ respectively. Let $v_i$ be a highest weight vector of $V_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then $H$ is the stabilizer of the line generated by $v_1 \oplus v_2 \in \mathbb{P}(V_1 \oplus V_2)$. With this description, we see that $Z(G)$ is contained in $H$ if and only if $Z(G)$ acts on $V_1 \oplus V_2$ by $\pm 1$. However, in each case one can check (for instance using the description of the fundamental representations in [FH91]) that $Z(G)$ acts trivially on $V_i$ and by $-1$ on $V_j$ with $\{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}$. Hence, $Z(G)$ is not contained in $H$ and $\chi_H^*$ is an isomorphism.

\begin{remark}
Let $\text{Dyn}(G), \varpi_1, \varpi_2$ be a triple from Theorem 3.34. Let $\sigma = \sigma_s$ be a split real group structure on $G$, and let $\mu_s$ be a $(G, \sigma_s)$-equivariant real structure on $X$. Then the geometric construction of $X(\mathbb{C})$ from [GPPS, §1.3] yields a construction of the real part of $(X, \mu_s)$ provided that one takes $\mathbb{R}$ as a base field in the construction instead of $\mathbb{C}$.
\end{remark}
Appendix A. Tits classes for the simply-connected simple algebraic groups (by Mikhail Borovoi)

In this appendix we give the list of all pairs $(G, \sigma)$, where $G$ is a complex simply-connected simple algebraic group with a real group structure $\sigma$ and corresponding real form $G_0$, and we give the Tits class in each case (see §1.3 above, or [KMRT98] before Proposition (31.7), for the definition of the Tits classes). We list all real forms $G_0$ for a given $G$ (instead of all the possible $\sigma$) and write $t(G_0)$ instead of $\delta(\sigma)$ to denote the Tits class.

We now explain how one can compute $t(G_0)$ in Tables 1 and 2 below. Our exposition is based on Skip Garibaldi’s answer [Gar18] to the author’s MathOverflow question.

Let $G$ be a complex simply-connected simple algebraic group, let $T \subset G$ be a maximal torus, and let $B \subset G$ be a Borel subgroup containing $T$. For any dominant weight $\lambda$ of $(G, B, T)$, let $\rho_\lambda$ denote the irreducible representation of $G$ with highest weight $\lambda$.

Let $G_0$ be a real form of $G$. Then the Galois group $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}) = \{1, \gamma\}$ acts via the $\gamma$-action on $\text{Dyn}(G)$ and on the set of dominant weights: $\lambda \mapsto \gamma(\lambda)$ (see [Tit66, Section 2.3] or [Con14, Remark 7.1.2] for the definition of the $\gamma$-action). Moreover, $\Gamma$ naturally acts on the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible complex representations of $G_0$: $\rho \mapsto \gamma(\rho)$. It is known that

$$\gamma(\rho_\lambda) \simeq \rho_{\gamma(\lambda)}.$$ 

We wish to know whether $\rho_\lambda$ can be defined over $\mathbb{R}$. Clearly, if $\gamma(\lambda) \neq \lambda$, then $\gamma(\rho_\lambda) \neq \rho_\lambda$, and hence, $\rho_\lambda$ cannot be defined over $\mathbb{R}$. However, even if $\gamma(\lambda) = \lambda$, it might happen that the representation $\rho_\lambda$ cannot be defined over $\mathbb{R}$. The obstruction is the Tits algebra of $\lambda$.

We assume that $\gamma(\lambda) = \lambda$. Write $Z_0 = Z(G_0)$. The dominant weight $\lambda$ induces a homomorphism $\lambda : Z \to \mathbb{G}_m$, which is defined over $\mathbb{R}$ (because $\gamma(\lambda) = \lambda$). The obtained homomorphism $Z_0 \to \mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{R}$ induces a homomorphism on second cohomology

$$\lambda_* : H^2(\mathbb{R}, Z_0) \to H^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{R}) = \{\pm 1\}.$$ 

By definition, the Tits algebra of $\lambda$ is $\lambda_* (t(G_0)) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{R}) = \{\pm 1\}$. The irreducible complex representation $\rho_\lambda$ of $G_0$ can be defined over $\mathbb{R}$ if and only if the corresponding Tits algebra is $1$; otherwise, $\rho_\lambda \oplus \rho_\lambda$ can be defined over $\mathbb{R}$, but $\rho_\lambda$ cannot; see Tits [Tit71].

Proposition A.1 ([Gar12], Proposition 7). The natural map

$$\prod \lambda_* : H^2(\mathbb{R}, Z_0) \to \prod H^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{R})$$

is injective, where the products run over the minuscule weights $\lambda$ such that $\gamma(\lambda) = \lambda$.

In the following we write $\lambda_k$ for the fundamental weight such that $\langle \lambda_k, \alpha_i \rangle = \delta_{ki}$, where $\alpha_i$ is the $i$-th simple root with numeration of Bourbaki, and $\delta_{ki}$ is Kronecker’s symbol. We write $\rho_k = \rho_{\lambda_k}$.

First we consider the case when $H^2(\mathbb{R}, Z_0) = \{\pm 1\}$. This is the case when $G_0$ is of one of the types $A_{2m-1}$, $B_n$, $C_n$, $D_{2m+1}$, $E_7$. In the tables of Tits [Tit67], for all real forms $G_0$ of $G$ and for all fundamental weights $\lambda$ of $G$, in particular, for all minuscule weights, it is written whether the complex representation $\gamma(\rho_\lambda)$ of $G_0$ is equivalent to $\rho_\lambda$, and if yes, then whether $\rho_\lambda$ can be defined over $\mathbb{R}$. This permits us to determine whether $t(G_0) = 1 \in \{\pm 1\}$. If $t(G_0) \neq 1$, then $t(G_0) = -1$. 


Example A.2. Let $G_0$ be of type $^2A_{2m-1}$, namely, $G_0 = SU(m+s,m-s)$. Then all fundamental weights are minuscule weights. By [Tit67, p. 28] $\gamma(\lambda_k) = \lambda_{2m-k}$, hence, the only minuscule weight $\lambda_k$ with $\gamma(\lambda_k) = \lambda_m$. Tits writes also that corresponding representation $\rho_m$ can be defined over $\mathbb{R}$ if and only if $s$ is even. Thus the Tits class $t(G_0)$ equals $1$ if and only if $s$ is even. Thus $t(SU(m+s,m-s)) = (-1)^s$.

It remains to treat the case $^1D_{2m}$. Consider the fundamental weights $\lambda_{2m-1}$ and $\lambda_{2m}$, they define a homomorphism

$$\lambda_{2m-1} \times \lambda_{2m} : Z \to \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$$

and an isomorphism

$$\lambda_{2m-1} \times \lambda_{2m} : Z \to \mu_2 \times \mu_2.$$  

We identify $Z$ with $\mu_2 \times \mu_2$ using this isomorphism. Note that the minuscule weights are $\lambda_1, \lambda_{2m-1}, \lambda_{2m}$.

We consider the case $G_0 = \text{Spin}(2m+2s,2m-2s)$. Then $\rho_1$ is defined over $\mathbb{R}$ for all $s$, while, according to Tits [Tit67, p. 39], the half-spin representations $\rho_{2m-1}$ and $\rho_{2m}$ can be defined over $\mathbb{R}$ if and only if $s$ is even. Thus $t(\text{Spin}(2m+2s,2m-2s)) = (-1)^s, (-1)^s$.

We consider the case $G_0 = \text{Spin}^*(4m)$. Then, according to Tits [Tit67, p. 40], the representation $\rho_1$ cannot be defined over $\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, exactly one of the two half-spin representations $\rho_{2m-1}$ and $\rho_{2m}$ can be defined over $\mathbb{R}$. Thus, $t(\text{Spin}^*(4m)) = \pm(1, -1)$.

The author of the appendix is grateful to Skip Garibaldi for answering the author’s MathOverflow question; see [Gar18].

**Notation.** In the following tables, the column $\text{Dyn}(G)$ indicates the Dynkin diagram of $G$, $Z = Z(G)$ indicates the center of $G$, the real algebraic group $G_0$ corresponds to $(G, \sigma)$, the real algebraic group $G_{qs}$ is a quasi-split inner form of $G_0$, the abelian group $H^2(\mathbb{R}, Z_{qs}) := H^2(\Gamma, Z_{qs}(\mathbb{C}))$ is the second Galois cohomology group, where $Z_{qs} = Z(G_{qs}) = Z(G_0)$, and $t(G_0)$ is the Tits class of $G_0$. When the group $H^2(\mathbb{R}, Z_{qs})$ is trivial (which is the case, for instance, if $\text{Dyn}(G) = A_{2m}$), the details on all the terms are not written, because the Tits class is clearly trivial in this case.

**Table 1.** Tits classes for the simply-connected exceptional groups

| $\text{Dyn}(G)$ | $Z$ | $G_{qs}$ | $H^2(\mathbb{R}, Z_{qs})$ | $G_0$ | $t(G_0)$ |
|-----------------|-----|---------|--------------------------|-------|----------|
| $E_6$           | $\mu_3$ | 1 | 1 | $E_6$ |
| $E_7$           | $\mu_2 \ E_7(7)$ | $\mu_2$ | $\{E_7(7), E_7(-25)\}$ | 1 | $E_7(-25)$ |
|                 |       |       | $\{E_7(-133), E_7(-5)\}$ | -1 | $E_7(-133)$ |
| $E_8$           | 1 | 1 | 1 | $E_8$ |
| $F_4$           | 1 | 1 | 1 | $F_4$ |
| $G_2$           | 1 | 1 | 1 | $G_2$ |
Table 2. Tits classes for the simply-connected classical groups

| Dyn(\(G\)) | \(G\) | \(Z\) | \(G_{qs}\) | \(H^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z}_{qs})\) | \(G_0\) | \(t(G_0)\) |
|------------|------|------|----------|----------------|------|--------|
| \(A_{2m}\) \(m \geq 1\) | SL(2m + 1) | \(\mu_{2m+1}\) | 1 | \(\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{SL}(2m, \mathbb{R}) \\ \text{SL}(m, \mathbb{H}) \end{array} \right.\) | 1 | 1 |
| \(1A_{2m-1}\) \(m \geq 1\) | SL(2m) | \(\mu_{2m}\) | \(\mu_2\) | \(\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{SL}(2m, \mathbb{R}) \\ \text{SL}(m, \mathbb{H}) \end{array} \right.\) | \(\left\{ \begin{array}{c} (-1)^s \\ (-1)^{s-1} \end{array} \right.\) | (-1)^s |
| \(2A_{2m-1}\) \(m \geq 2\) | SL(2m) | \(\mu_{2m}\) | \(\mu_2\) | \(\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{SU}(m + s, m - s) \\ \text{SU}(m + s, m - s) \end{array} \right.\) | \(\left\{ \begin{array}{c} (-1)^s \\ (-1)^{s-1} \end{array} \right.\) | (-1)^s |
| \(B_n\) \(n \geq 2\) | Spin(2n + 1) | \(\mu_2\) | \(\mu_2\) | \(\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \\ \text{Sp}(s, n - s) \end{array} \right.\) | \(\left\{ \begin{array}{c} (-1)^s \\ (-1)^{s-1} \end{array} \right.\) | (-1)^s |
| \(C_n\) \(n \geq 3\) | Sp(2n, \(\mathbb{C}\)) | \(\mu_2\) | \(\mu_2\) | \(\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \\ \text{Sp}(s, n - s) \end{array} \right.\) | \(\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right.\) | 1 |
| \(1D_{2m+1}\) \(m \geq 2\) | Spin(4m + 2) | \(\mu_4\) | \(\mu_2\) | \(\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Spin}(2m + 1 + 2s, 2m + 1 - 2s) \\ \text{Spin}^*(4m + 2) \end{array} \right.\) | \(\left\{ \begin{array}{c} (-1)^s \\ 1 \end{array} \right.\) | (-1)^s |
| \(2D_{2m+1}\) \(m \geq 2\) | Spin(4m + 2) | \(\mu_4\) | \(\mu_2\) | \(\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Spin}(2m + 2 + 2s, 2m - 2s) \\ \text{Spin}^*(4m + 2) \end{array} \right.\) | \(\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right.\) | 1 |
| \(1D_{2m}\) \(m \geq 2\) | Spin(4m) | \(\mu_2 \times \mu_2\) | \(\mu_2 \times \mu_2\) | \(\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Spin}(2m + 2s, 2m - 2s) \\ \text{Spin}^*(4m) \end{array} \right.\) | \(\left\{ \begin{array}{c} ((-1)^s, (-1)^s) \\ \pm(-1, 1) \end{array} \right.\) | (-1)^s |
| \(2D_{2m}\) \(m \geq 2\) | Spin(4m) | \(\mu_2 \times \mu_2\) | \(\mu_2 \times \mu_2\) | \(\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Spin}(2m + 2s, 2m - 2s) \\ \text{Spin}^*(4m) \end{array} \right.\) | \(\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right.\) | 1 |
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