IS THE POLAR REGION DIFFERENT FROM THE QUIET REGION OF THE SUN?
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ABSTRACT

Observations of the polar region of the Sun are critically important for understanding the solar dynamo and the acceleration of solar wind. We carried out precise magnetic observations on both the north polar region and the quiet Sun at the east limb with the spectropolarimeter of the Solar Optical Telescope aboard Hinode to characterize the polar region with respect to the quiet Sun. The average area and the total magnetic flux of the kilo-Gauss magnetic concentrations in the polar region appear to be larger than those of the quiet Sun. The magnetic field vectors classified as vertical in the quiet Sun have symmetric histograms around zero in the strengths, showing balanced positive and negative fluxes, while the histogram in the north polar region is clearly asymmetric, showing a predominance of the negative polarity. The total magnetic flux of the polar region is larger than that of the quiet Sun. In contrast, the histogram of the horizontal magnetic fields is exactly the same for both the polar region and the quiet Sun. This is consistent with the idea that a local dynamo process is responsible for the horizontal magnetic fields. A high-resolution potential field extrapolation shows that the majority of magnetic field lines from the kG-patches in the polar region are open with a fanning-out structure very low in the atmosphere, while in the quiet Sun, almost all the field lines are closed.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The polar regions of the Sun have some distinct properties compared with those of the quiet Sun: (1) the coronal holes in the polar regions are extended and stationary, (2) the fast solar wind emanates from the polar region, (3) the meridional flow may reach the polar regions, which must have a sink for the flow coming to the polar regions, and (4) the global poloidal magnetic field of the Sun manifests itself in the polar regions. Therefore, information on the magnetic properties of the polar regions is critically important for understanding the solar dynamo problem. The acceleration mechanism of the fast solar wind vectors classified as vertical in the quiet Sun have symmetric histograms around zero in the strengths, showing balanced positive and negative fluxes, while the histogram in the north polar region is clearly asymmetric, showing a predominance of the negative polarity. The total magnetic flux of the polar region is larger than that of the quiet Sun. In contrast, the histogram of the horizontal magnetic fields is exactly the same for both the polar region and the quiet Sun. This is consistent with the idea that a local dynamo process is responsible for the horizontal magnetic fields. A high-resolution potential field extrapolation shows that the majority of magnetic field lines from the kG-patches in the polar region are open with a fanning-out structure very low in the atmosphere, while in the quiet Sun, almost all the field lines are closed.
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2. OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

Hinode observed the north polar region of the Sun on 2007 September 25, when the north pole was located 7° inside the visible disk. The SP observed a field of view (FOV) of 320° × 163’/4 in a very deep map mode (exposure time: 12.8 s). The SP records the Stokes spectral profiles (I, Q, U, and V) of Fe i 630.15 nm and 630.25 nm with a wavelength sampling of 2.15 pm pixel⁻¹ and with a scan step of 0’/16.

A least-squares fitting was applied to the Stokes spectra with the Milne–Eddington inversion of the Polarized Spectra
(MILOS) code (Orozco Suárez & del Toro Iniesta 2007). The ten free parameters are the three components describing the vector magnetic field (strength $B$, inclination angle $\gamma$, and azimuth angle $\chi$ defined in Figure 2), the LOS velocity, two parameters describing the source function, the ratio of line-to-continuum absorption coefficient, the Doppler width, the damping parameter, and the stray-light factor $\alpha$. A nonzero stray-light factor $\alpha$ may be interpreted as a parameter that represents both the filling factor of a nonmagnetized atmosphere along the LOS and the stray-light contamination. The stray-light profile is evaluated individually for each pixel as the average of the Stokes $I$ profiles observed in a 1” wide box centered on the pixel (Orozco Suárez et al. 2007b). This arrangement also allows us to accurately estimate the stray-light profiles of rapidly changing continuum intensity toward the limb.

To minimize the influence of noise leading to unreliable inversions, we analyze only pixels whose linear or circular polarization signal amplitudes exceed a given threshold above the noise level $\sigma$. The noise level $\sigma$ is determined in the continuum wavelength range of the Stokes $Q$, $U$, and $V$ profiles. The noise level $\sigma$ is given by $\sigma = \sqrt{\sum (V_i - \bar{V})^2/n}$, where $V_i$ is the intensity of the Stokes $V$ profile at continuum wavelength pixel $i$, $\bar{V}$ is the average Stokes $V$ signal for the same wavelength range, and $n$ is the number of wavelength data points. $\sigma/I_c$ depends on the exposure time, where $I_c$ is the continuum intensity and is 0.0008 for the observation of the north polar region.

The fitting was performed for pixels whose $Q$, $U$, or $V$ peak is larger than $5\sigma/I_c$. The derived maps still contain pixels with physically unacceptable values. If there is a strong spike on top of the noisy Stokes profiles (due to Poisson fluctuation and cosmic-ray hits) exceeding the threshold, that pixel will pass the $5\sigma$ criteria. This is the reason why we cannot completely remove the bad pixels. Thus, we employ an additional filter to further remove the influence of the noise. We improved the statistics of the Stokes profiles by summing across 2 pixels in wavelength and then removed the pixels whose $Q$, $U$, or $V$ peak in the summed profiles is lower than $5\sigma/I_c$. All the good profiles that have passed the previous threshold should pass this criterion as well; only the noisy profiles with high spikes which have cheated the prior filter can potentially be removed in this stage. Note that we use the original Stokes profiles (not summed) for inversion.

Furthermore, we remove pixels with the absolute value of the Doppler velocity higher than 10 km s$^{-1}$ or the filling factor less than 0.01. Analysis of several samples indicates that the Stokes profiles of such pixels are not well fitted. The velocity of the horizontal flow due to granular motion observed with SOT/SP is smaller than 9 km s$^{-1}$ (Bellot Rubio 2009), and the threshold of 10 km s$^{-1}$ employed here is above this value. If the stray-light contamination is negligible, the filling factor is located far away from the typical range. Even if we erroneously remove valid pixels with this filter, the effect of the erroneous removal would be negligible, since the total number of pixels removed with this particular process is only 1.7% of the total number of pixels that passed the previous two criteria. A total of 21.0% of the pixels pass all the thresholds.

3. NORTH POLAR REGION

Figure 1 shows a map of the magnetic field strength as seen from just above the north pole. Such a polar projection is needed to correctly see the spatial extent and size distribution of magnetic patches in the polar region. Note that at a higher latitude the spatial resolution in one direction is compromised due to the projection effect. Large patches correspond to the kilo-Gauss magnetic fields with the fanning-out structure (Tsuneta et al. 2008a).

3.1. Vertical and Horizontal Magnetic Fields

The inclination (zenith) angle of the magnetic field vector with respect to the local normal has a wide distribution with two peaks around the local vertical and the local horizontal directions (Orozco Suárez et al. 2007a; Ishikawa & Tsuneta 2009). Since we are interested in any difference between the polar region and the quiet Sun, and the resulting consequence in the coronal magnetic fields, we obtain the zenith angle $i$ of the magnetic field vector. Due to the 180$^\circ$ ambiguity in the direction of the magnetic field vector projected onto the sky plane, there are two solutions $i_1$ and $i_2$ ($0 \leq i_1 \leq \pi, 0 \leq i_2 \leq \pi$; Figure 2) given by

\[
 i_1 = \arccos(\cos \gamma \cos \theta + \sin \gamma \sin \theta \cos (\beta - \chi)),
\]

\[
 i_2 = \arccos(\cos \gamma \cos \theta + \sin \gamma \sin \theta \cos (\pi - (\beta - \chi))),
\]

where $\theta$ is the angle between the local normal and the LOS and is given by $\theta = \cos^{-1}(\cos \delta \cos \varphi)$, where $\delta$ and $\varphi$ are the heliographic latitude and longitude for a pixel, respectively, $\gamma$ is the inclination of the magnetic field vector with respect to the LOS ($0 \leq \gamma \leq \pi$), and $\chi$ is the azimuth angle ($0 \leq \chi \leq \pi$). The $\beta$ angle ($0 \leq \beta \leq \pi$) is defined in Figure 2 and is given by $\beta = \cos^{-1}(\cos \delta \sin \varphi / \sin \theta)$.

We now introduce one assumption: that the magnetic field vector is either vertical or horizontal to the local surface (or undetermined). The zenith angle is defined to be from 0$^\circ$ to 40$^\circ$ and from 140$^\circ$ to 180$^\circ$ for the vertical magnetic field. The zenith angle of the horizontal field is defined to be between 70$^\circ$ and 110$^\circ$, following Ishikawa & Tsuneta (2009). Magnetic field vectors with an inclination angle between 40$^\circ$ and 70$^\circ$ are not used in the subsequent analysis. According to this definition, every pixel has a magnetic field vector classified as either vertical or horizontal (or undetermined). Figure 3 shows the scatter plots of the two solutions $i_1$ and $i_2$ for the zenith angle. If both the solutions are vertical, the one closer to the local normal is taken. In case one solution is vertical and the other horizontal, it is not possible to distinguish one from each other. Pixels with those solutions are not used. If one of the solutions is either vertical or horizontal and the other solution is neither vertical nor horizontal, we will choose the solution of either vertical or horizontal. In addition, the kG-patches with quasi-symmetric fanning-out structure allows us to manually correct the zenith angle by visually inspecting the thus-determined zenith angle map.

There is a concentration of pixels (about 3% of the pixels) along the white line of $i_1 \sim i_2$ in Figure 3. These pixels along the line have only a valid Stokes $V$ signal without Stokes $Q$ and $U$ signals. The inversion code correctly produces either $\gamma \sim 0$ or $\gamma \sim \pi$ for these pixels, so that $i_1 \sim i_2$ from Equations (1) and (2). There is a gap in population around the line $i_1 + i_2 \sim \pi$. If the inclination angle with respect to the LOS is $\gamma \sim \pi/2$, i.e., the magnetic field vector is on the sky plane, it turns out that $i_1 + i_2 \sim \pi$ from Equations (1) and (2). There may be two reasons...
for the gap. (1) The noise in Stokes $V$ makes it impossible for the derived magnetic vector to reach an inclination of 90° (i.e., the sky plane). (2) Stokes $V$ is much more sensitive than Stokes $Q$ and $V$ for weak magnetic field strength, so that the magnetic field vectors tend to deviate the sky plane.

It is possible to check the consistency of the 180° ambiguity resolution done here with the information on the sign of the Stokes $V$ signal (Tsuneta et al. 2008a). We compare the polarity of the magnetic field vectors classified as vertical shown in Figure 4 with a Stokes $V$ map such as the one shown in Figure 2 of Tsuneta et al. (2008a) and confirm that 96% of the pixels shown in Figure 4 have the correct sign indicated by the polarity of the Stokes $V$ signal. In particular, we confirm that all the apparent kG-patches have the correct sign. This indicates that the assumptions and the rules employed to classify the vertical and horizontal magnetic field vectors are valid.

### 3.2. North Polar Region

Two maps of the strengths of the magnetic field vectors classified as vertical and horizontal for the north polar region are shown in Figure 4. Comparison of Figure 1 with the two panels of Figure 4 shows that the vertical and horizontal magnetic fields are well decoupled. For instance, we do not see any inclined fanning-out structure around the magnetic concentrations of the vertical magnetic fields in the map of the horizontal magnetic...
fields due to the separation band prepared in between the vertical and horizontal zones in the inclination of the magnetic field vectors.

As for the vertical magnetic fields, almost all the large patches have the same polarity, while both polarities exist for the smaller patches. There appear to be more mixed polarities at lower latitude, while the region at higher latitude is dominated by negative kG-patches. The horizontal magnetic fields appear to be much more uniform in size and in spatial distribution as compared with the vertical magnetic fields.

4. QUIET SUN AT THE EAST LIMB

The quiet Sun at the east limb was observed on 2007 November 28 using the SOT/SP in the very deep map mode. The exposure time is 12.8 s, which is the same as that of the polar observation. We confirm with that there is no coronal activity (enhanced brightness) in the region with the data from the X-ray telescope (XRT) aboard Hinode. The $\sigma/I_c$ for the quiet Sun at the east limb is 0.0008. The signal-to-noise ratio is the same as that for the north polar region, simply because the exposure time and the observing mode for the two observations are the same. The size of the FOV was 100′′(east–west) $\times$ 162.8′′(north–south). As we did for the north polar region, a least-squares fitting is applied to the Stokes spectra with the MILOS code. Figure 5 shows the map of the magnetic field strength as seen from just above the east limb to allow us to compare the spatial extent and size distribution of magnetic patches in the quiet Sun and the polar region. In the region closer to the limb, the spatial resolution in one direction is reduced due to the projection effect just like in the north pole region. Similarly, there are two solutions of the zenith angle for the magnetic field vectors. We have followed the same assumptions that were employed for the north pole. Two maps of the strengths of the magnetic field vectors classified as vertical and horizontal are shown in Figure 6.

The spatial distribution of the vertical magnetic fields at the east limb appears to be different from those in the north pole. The map of the quiet Sun shows the mixture of magnetic patches with both polarities. The magnetic concentrations at the east limb are apparently smaller in size than those at the north pole. The number of the patches is also smaller in this particular quiet Sun region. In contrast, the horizontal magnetic fields of the two regions appear to be strikingly similar.

5. COMPARISON BETWEEN THE POLAR REGION AND THE QUIET REGION OF THE SUN

5.1. Kilo-Gauss Magnetic Patches

Figures 7 and 8 show arbitrarily chosen kG-patches in the north polar region and in the quiet Sun at the east limb. These patches are located between 0° and 23° from the pole and the east limb. We notice complex internal structures of the kG-patches (Okunev & Kneer 2004, 2005). The histograms of the magnetic field strengths for the two regions are apparently different. The magnetic concentrations in the polar region have an enhancement at around 800 G, while in the quiet Sun such deviations are not apparent. The statistics are poor in the quiet Sun, and this could be the reason why no deviation is seen. The intrinsic magnetic field strengths are at their maximum value around the center of the kG-patches and
Figure 4. (a) Map of signed strength of the magnetic field vectors classified as vertical in the polar region. The original data are the same as that of Figure 1. The pixel size is $0'.16$. The magnetic field strength was obtained only for pixels whose polarization signal exceeds a given threshold (see the text). These panels can be directly compared with the quiet Sun maps (Figure 6). The scale size and the color table for these maps are the same. Panel (b) is the same as panel (a) but the map of field strength of magnetic field vector classified as horizontal.
Figure 5. Magnetic landscape of the quiet Sun near the east limb; the map of the magnetic field strength on the sky plane is converted to the map seen from above the east limb. The observations are done at 21:00–23:16 UT on 2007 November 28. North is up. The pixel size is 0′′.16. The FOV is 100′′ (east–west) by 162′′.84 (north–south). The size of the FOV for east–west direction (100′′) is expanded to 397′′.6 as a result of correction for foreshortening. The circular arc is the latitudinal line per 5′ from the east limb. The magnetic field strengths were obtained only for pixels whose polarization signal exceeds a given threshold (see the text). This figure can be directly compared with the polar map (Figure 1). The scale size and the color table for these maps are the same.

Figure 6. (a) Map of signed strength of the magnetic field vectors classified as vertical near the east limb. (b) Map of strength of the magnetic field vectors classified as horizontal near the east limb. The original data are the same as that of Figure 5. The pixel size is 0′′.16. The magnetic field strengths were obtained only for pixels whose polarization signal exceeds a given threshold (see the text). These panels can be directly compared with the polar maps (Figure 4). The scale size and the color table for these maps are the same.
5.2. Histogram of Magnetic Field Strength

We observed the quiet Sun located at the extreme east limb to provide a reference data set for the polar region. Figure 9 shows the areal fraction (histogram) of the intrinsic magnetic field strength. The histograms are normalized to the total number of pixels in each SOT/SP map including those pixels for which Milne–Eddington inversion is not carried out, so that we can directly compare the two distributions. There is a large difference in the distribution of the magnetic fields classified as vertical: the distribution for the quiet Sun at the east limb is symmetric around zero, clearly showing balanced polarity in the magnetic flux, while the distribution for the north polar region is highly asymmetric, showing a predominance of a single polarity. The distributions for the positive polarity are similar between the quiet Sun and the polar region, while in the negative-polarity regime, the amount of the flux is higher in the polar region than in the quiet Sun. For instance, the fractional number of pixels with intrinsic field strength of $-1$ kG in the polar region is about five times larger than that in the quiet Sun.

We also point out that the distributions of the magnetic fields classified as horizontal (Ishikawa & Tsuneta 2009, and references therein) in both the regions are strikingly similar in spite of the considerable difference in the amount of the vertical magnetic fields between the quiet Sun and the polar region.

Figure 10 shows a fraction of the negative vertical magnetic fields with the intrinsic field strength stronger than the value in the horizontal axis. The fraction at 0 G indicates that the area covered by the negative polarity is 63.7% (polar region) and 53.1% (quiet Sun). The polar region is more dominated by negative-polarity magnetic field with increasing field strength, while in the quiet Sun, magnetic fields of both polarities are equally distributed independent of field strength. In the polar region, the fraction of the negative magnetic flux reaches about 90% at 1 kG. Clearly, bipolar fields are dominant in the quiet Sun, while the unipolar fields are predominant above 500 G in the polar region.

The total magnetic flux for the magnetic field vectors classified as vertical in the entire FOV is defined to be $\sum B_j \cos i_j f_j sj$, where $B_j$, $i_j$, and $f_j$ are the intrinsic magnetic field strength, the inclination angle obtained with Equations (1) and (2), and the filling factor of the $j$-th SOT pixel inside the kG-patch, respectively, and $sj$ is the pixel size. The area of the magnetic flux of the ten large patches in the polar region is $2.0 \times 10^{15}$ Mx per patch. These fluxes estimated here and below are the minimum values in the sense that the sizes of the patches are determined with the 5σ threshold.

We also include five additional large patches located in the belt between 67° and 90° (Figure 6) in the quiet Sun at the east limb (Table 1, from 6 to 10). In total, we have ten data sets. The average maximum intrinsic magnetic field strength is 1600 G, and the average intrinsic magnetic field strength is 500 G. The average area is $2.3 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^2$. The total magnetic flux of a kG-patch is estimated with $\sigma = \sum B_j \cos i_j f_j sj$, where $B_j$, $i_j$, and $f_j$ are the intrinsic magnetic field strength, the inclination angle obtained with Equations (1) and (2), and the filling factor of the $j$-th SOT pixel inside the kG-patch, respectively, and $sj$ is the pixel size. The average magnetic flux of the ten large patches in the polar region is $2.0 \times 10^{15}$ Mx per patch. These fluxes estimated here and below are the minimum values in the sense that the sizes of the patches are determined with the 5σ threshold.

The total magnetic flux for the magnetic field vectors classified as horizontal is more difficult to estimate. To make a crude estimate, we define it to be $\sum B_j \sin i_j L_j H_j$ (Ishikawa et al. 2010), where $B_j$ and $i_j$ are the intrinsic magnetic field strength and the inclination angle obtained with Equations (1) and (2), respectively (e.g., Figure 9 of Ishikawa et al. 2010). $L_j$ is the linear size of the pixel size and is commonly 0.16. $H_j$ is the diameter of the horizontal flux tubes and is commonly assumed to be 190 km, following Ishikawa et al. (2010). Here, the filling factor $f_j$ is replaced with the representative diameter $H_j$ of the horizontal flux tubes. The diameter is smaller than the thickness of the line forming layer, contributing to the apparent filling factor $f_j < 1$ for horizontal magnetic fields. The total magnetic flux thus estimated is $9.9 \times 10^{21}$ Mx for the polar region and $4.0 \times 10^{20}$ Mx for the quiet Sun. The ratio in magnetic flux...
Figure 7. Data from the kG magnetic concentrations arbitrarily chosen in the north polar region (Figure 1); the histograms of intrinsic magnetic fields strength (left), maps of intrinsic magnetic field strength (center), and the filling factor (right) seen from above the pole. The pixel size of the maps is 0'.16.

(horizontal/vertical) is 10 (quiet Sun) and 5.8 (polar coronal hole), and the magnetic flux classified as horizontal is larger than that of the magnetic flux classified as vertical by a factor 5.8–10 (e.g., Lites et al. 2008). The present result also shows that the estimation of the radial magnetic flux in the polar regions, if measured only with longitudinal magnetographs, may be contaminated by the massive presence of the horizontal magnetic fields.

6. CORONAL MAGNETIC FIELD STRUCTURE

A three-dimensional coronal magnetic field is reconstructed using a high-resolution potential field source surface (PFSS) model recently developed by D. Shiota (2009, private communication). The Hinode vertical magnetic field data are embedded in the high-resolution MDI synoptic maps3 of Carrington rotation 2061 (for the polar region) and 2063.35 (for the quiet Sun at the east limb). The size of the MDI maps is (3600, 1080) pixels. The synoptic map at Carrington rotation 2063.35 is the combined map of Carrington rotations 2063 and 2064 such that the embedded Hinode region is located in the center of the synoptic map. We assume that the filling factor of the Hinode data is equal to 1, and that the magnetic field vectors classified as vertical are vertical relative to the local photospheric normal. The synoptic maps are expanded with spherical harmonics of extremely high degree ($L_{\text{max}} = 2048$) whose equatorial resolution is $\sim 1072$ km.

Figure 11 shows snapshots of the inferred three-dimensional coronal magnetic fields reconstructed with the PFSS model where the source surface is assumed to be located at 2.5 $R_\odot$. We can clearly see that the majority of magnetic field lines from the kG-patches in the polar coronal hole are open with canopy structures just above the photosphere. There are some closed magnetic field lines in the polar coronal hole as well. In contrast, in the quiet Sun, almost all the field lines are closed. (We confirm that some field lines going outside the box are connected to other parts of the Sun.) The bipolar nature of the quiet Sun, and the unipolar nature of the polar coronal hole, is one of the significant differences between the two regions.

3 http://soi.stanford.edu/magnetic/index6.html
Alfvén waves are believed to be responsible for the acceleration of the fast solar wind (Suzuki & Inutsuka 2006). Whether the Alfvén waves generated in the photosphere are reflected back (Fujimura & Tsuneta 2009) at the photosphere/corona boundary may depend on the degree of the expansion of the flux tubes as a function of height. Open field lines from the kG-patches in the polar region may expand at very low altitude, so that there will be less discontinuity in the Alfvén velocities. Magnetic flux tubes with fanning-out structures in the very low atmosphere may serve as a chimney for the Alfvén waves to propagate to the corona (Tsuneta et al. 2008a).

Figure 12 shows the average magnetic field strength as a function of height in the north polar region and the quiet Sun at the east limb. \(|Br|\) and \(|Bl|\) represent the averaged radial and lateral components of the coronal magnetic field obtained by the high-resolution PFSS model, respectively, where \(|B| = \sqrt{Br^2 + Bl^2}\). The radial magnetic field strength decreases with altitude significantly slower in the polar region than in the quiet Sun, and magnetic field strength above the polar region is much higher than that in the quiet Sun.

7. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have made a detailed comparison of the photospheric magnetic properties between the polar region and the quiet region. We found that the magnetic properties of the polar region are significantly different from those of the quiet Sun. Positive and negative magnetic fields are well balanced in the quiet Sun, while in the north polar region, negative-polarity magnetic fields dominate the other polarity. The excess negative magnetic field makes both the total unsigned magnetic flux and the net (signed) magnetic flux larger than those of the quiet Sun.

7.1. Kilo-Gauss Magnetic Patches

All the large magnetic concentrations in the polar region essentially have the same magnetic polarity, while the smaller patches have the same and the opposite magnetic polarities. Shimojo & Tsuneta (2009) reported bipolar emergence of magnetic fields in the polar region, and this may contribute to the conspicuous minority polarity patches. The average area and the average magnetic flux of the kG-patches appear to
be considerably different between the two regions: the sample data set tabulated in Table 1 shows that kilo-Gauss magnetic concentrations in the polar region have a factor of 3.3 larger average area and a factor of 4.8 larger total magnetic flux than those in the quiet Sun. The number of the kG-patches in the polar region appears to be larger than that of the quiet Sun.

The origin and/or evolution of the kG-patches would be different between the polar region and the quiet Sun. The different properties of the kG-patches in the polar regions and the quiet Sun could be due to the different environment in which they evolve. There may be a higher chance in the quiet Sun that the positive and negative patches collide, reconnect, and lose magnetic energy or submerge as a result. As such, the environment in the quiet Sun may not allow the elemental magnetic concentrations to grow.
7.2. Horizontal Magnetic Fields

From Figure 9, 98% of the horizontal magnetic fields have intrinsic field strengths smaller than 700 G both in the quiet Sun at the limb and the polar region. Here, the horizontal magnetic fields refer to the magnetic field vectors classified as horizontal. Ishikawa & Tsuneta (2009) reported that 93% of horizontal magnetic fields have intrinsic field strengths smaller than 700 G and 98% smaller than 1 kG in the quiet Sun and a plage region both located near the center of the solar disk. A magnetic field strength of 700 G corresponds to the typical equipartition field strength at the level of granules, where the density is $10^{-6}$ g cm$^{-3}$ at the depth of 500 km and the velocity is 2 km s$^{-1}$. If we take the mean density $2.7 \times 10^{-7}$ g cm$^{-3}$ at the base of the photosphere, the equipartition field strength is 350 G. Even in this case, 92% of the horizontal magnetic fields have intrinsic field strengths smaller than 350 G both in the quiet Sun at the limb and the polar region. Although the vertical magnetic flux in the polar region is larger than that of the quiet Sun, the horizontal magnetic flux in the polar region is remarkably similar to that of the quiet region. The small difference between the two results (98% in the present paper and 93% in Ishikawa & Tsuneta (2009) at 700 G) could be due to the following two factors. (1) A higher atmosphere is observed in the limb observations, so that the measured magnetic field strength may be smaller in the limb observations. (2) The horizontal magnetic fields are observed through Stokes $Q$ and $U$ in the disk center observations, while Stokes $V$ is involved in the limb observations. Thus, the limb observations may have higher sensitivity to the horizontal magnetic fields.

Ishikawa & Tsuneta (2009) proposed that a local dynamo process could be uniformly operating in the photosphere or below based on the observations that the probability distribution function of the transient horizontal magnetic fields in the quiet Sun is the same as that in a plage region. If we assume that the magnetic properties of the quiet Sun in the disk center analyzed by Ishikawa & Tsuneta (2009) are the same as those of the quiet Sun at the east limb analyzed in this paper, we would conclude that the distribution function of the horizontal magnetic fields and the amount of the magnetic flux are exactly the same in the quiet Sun, a plage region, and in the polar region. This provides firmer evidence that a local dynamo process driven by the granular motion is responsible for the generation of the horizontal magnetic fields.

7.3. Polar Coronal Holes

Kano et al. (2008b) obtained the temperatures and the densities of the polar coronal hole and the quiet Sun with the XRT (Golub et al. 2007; Kano et al. 2008a) aboard Hinode. These are the most accurate measurements carried out using the broadband filters by correcting for the effect of scattered X-rays with the lunar occultation. The temperatures and electron densities then derived are 1.0 MK and 1.0–1.5 $\times 10^8$ cm$^{-3}$ for the polar coronal hole, and 1.5–2.0 MK and 2.0–3.0 $\times 10^8$ cm$^{-3}$ for the quiet Sun. The plasma pressures are 1.4–2.1 $\times 10^2$ dyne cm$^{-2}$ and 4.1–8.3 $\times 10^2$ dyne cm$^{-2}$ for the coronal hole and the quiet Sun, respectively. Thus, plasma pressure in the coronal hole is a factor of 3–6 smaller than that of the quiet Sun.

The coronal hole in the upper corona inside the Alfvénic sphere should have collapsed due to the lateral pressure from the surrounding quiet Sun corona, if the magnetic field strength in the polar coronal hole is much smaller than that of the quiet corona. The polar coronal hole is stable for a long time, and the coronal hole and the surrounding corona of the quiet Sun must be in pressure equilibrium. In this paper, we showed that the magnetic flux of the polar region in the photosphere is larger than that in the quiet Sun (Figure 9). Indeed, magnetic pressure estimated from Figure 12 is 1 dyne cm$^{-2}$ in the polar region and $3 \times 10^{-3}$ dyne cm$^{-2}$ above the quiet Sun at the height of $7 \times 10^4$ km. The boundary of the polar coronal hole and the quiet Sun must be determined by the total pressure balance between the coronal hole and the corona in the quiet Sun, and the polar corona expands to the lower latitude until it reaches the magnetic plus plasma pressure equilibrium with the quiet Sun corona. This may be the reason why the fast solar wind from the polar region reaches the lower latitude (McComas et al. 2000).

7.4. Polar Coronal Activities

DeForest et al. (1997) investigated the correlation between solar plumes and polar magnetic field with SOHO and found that there is opposite magnetic flux close to the footpoint of solar plumes. In the polar coronal hole, X-ray jets are observed with high occurrence rates (60 polar X-ray jets per day on average; Kamio et al. 2007; Curtain et al. 2007; Savcheva et al. 2007). Shimojo & Tsuneta (2009) revealed that the opposite magnetic fields close to the kG-patches are related to the occurrence of the X-ray jets. Distribution of such opposite magnetic fields around a kG-patch is indeed seen in the (signed) magnetic field map in the north polar region (Figure 4) and may be responsible for various coronal activities.

The MILOS code was developed by Orozco Suárez. The authors express sincere appreciation for his work and thank him for allowing us to use the software. We acknowledge the useful comments and encouragements by D. Orozco Suárez, L. Harra, E. Hiei. R. Ishikawa, R. Kano, N. Narukage, K. D. Leka, and J. Okamoto. Hinode is a Japanese mission developed and launched by ISAS/JAXA, collaborating with NAOJ as a
domestic partner, and NASA and STFC (UK) as international partners. Scientific operation of the Hinode mission is conducted by the Hinode science team organized at ISAS/JAXA. This team mainly consists of scientists from institutes in the partner countries. Support for the post-launch operation is provided by JAXA and NAOJ (Japan), STFC (UK), NASA, ESA, and NSC (Norway).

REFERENCES

Babcock, H. W., & Babcock, H. D. 1955, ApJ, 121, 349
Bellot Rubio, L. R. 2009, ApJ, 700, 284
Benevolenskaya, E. E. 2004, A&A, 428, L5
Blanco Rodríguez, J., Okunev, O. V., Puschmann, K. G., Kneer, F., & Sánchez Andrade Nuño, B. 2007, A&A, 474, 251
Cirtain, J. W., et al. 2007, Science, 318, 1580
DeForest, C. E., et al. 1997, Sol. Phys., 175, 393
Fox, P., McIntosh, P., & Wilson, P. R. 1998, Sol. Phys., 177, 375
Fujimura, D., & Tsuneta, S. 2009, ApJ, 702, 1443
Golub, L., et al. 2007, Sol. Phys., 243, 63
Homann, T., Kneer, F., & Makarov, V. I. 1997, Sol. Phys., 175, 81
Ichimoto, K., et al. 2008, Sol. Phys., 249, 233
Ishikawa, R., & Tsuneta, S. 2009, A&A, 495, 607
Ishikawa, R., Tsuneta, S., & Jurčák, J. 2010, ApJ, 713, 1310
Kamio, S., Hara, H., & Watanabe, T. 2007, PASJ, 59, S757
Kano, R., et al. 2008a, Sol. Phys., 249, 263
Kano, R., et al. 2008b, PASJ, 60, S827
Kojima, M., Fujiki, K., Ohmi, T., Tokumaru, M., Yokobe, A., & Hakamada, K. 2001, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 15677
Kosugi, T., et al. 2007, Sol. Phys., 243, 3
Lin, H., Voriski, J., & Zirin, H. 1994, Sol. Phys., 155, 243
Lites, B. W. 1990, Sol. Phys., 163, 223
Lites, B. W., Elmore, D. F., & Streander, K. V. 2001, in ASP Conf. Ser. 236, Advanced Solar Polarimetry—Theory, Observation, and Instrumentation, ed. M. Sigwarth (San Francisco, CA: ASP), 33
Lites, B. W., Elmore, D. F., & Streander, K. V. 2008, ApJ, 672, 1273
McComas, D. J., et al. 2000, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 10419
Okunev, O. V., & Kneer, F. 2004, A&A, 425, 321
Okunev, O. V., & Kneer, F. 2005, A&A, 439, 323
Orozco Suárez, D., & del Toro Iniesta, J. C. 2007, A&A, 462, 1137
Orozco Suárez, D., et al. 2007a, ApJ, 670, L61
Orozco Suárez, D., et al. 2007b, PASJ, 59, S837
Savcheva, A., et al. 2007, PASJ, 59, S771
Severny, A. B. 1971, Q. J. R. Astron. Soc., 12, 363
Shimizu, T., et al. 2008, Sol. Phys., 249, 221
Shimojo, M., & Tsuneta, S. 2009, ApJ, 706, L145
Suematsu, Y., et al. 2008, Sol. Phys., 249, 197
Suzuki, T. K., & Inutsuka, S. 2006, J. Geophys. Res., 111, A06101
Svalgaard, L., Duvall, T. L., Jr., & Scherrer, P. H. 1978, Sol. Phys., 58, 225
Tang, F., & Wang, H. 1991, Sol. Phys., 132, 247
Tsuneta, S., et al. 2008a, ApJ, 688, L1374
Tsuneta, S., et al. 2008b, Sol. Phys., 249, 167
Tu, C.-Y., Zhou, C., Marsch, E., Xia, L.-D., Zhao, L., Wang, J.-X., & Wilhelm, K. 2005, Science, 308, 519
Wang, Y.-M., Nash, A. G., & Sheeley, N. R., Jr. 1989, ApJ, 347, 529
Wang, Y.-M., & Sheeley, N. R., Jr. 1990, ApJ, 355, 726