1. Introduction

Among hospitalized patients, both hyperglycemia and hypoglycemia are associated with adverse outcomes, including death [1, 2]. Hospital hyperglycemia (HH), defined as blood glucose values higher than 140 mg/dl (7.8 mmol/l) according to current guidelines, is an important in-hospital problem [3]. Blood glucose levels persistently above this level should prompt conservative interventions, such as alterations in diet or changes in the medication that causes hyperglycemia. The prevalence of HH is variable according to published articles, but is approximately 40% [4-6]. Patients with HH may have a diagnosis of diabetes or their HH could be an isolated case of stress-induced hyperglycemia (SH) [7].

HH is not usually the main problem during hospitalization. Therefore, it is common for glycemic control in patients diagnosed with diabetes or SH to be lost within the problem list [8]. Individualized glycemic control goals in hospitalized patients with HH are based on studies conducted in critical care areas, and do not take into account previous diagnosis of diabetes. There is no adequate evidence on how to follow up patients with HH in non-critical care areas [9-11].

Several articles have concluded that SH is a predictor of increased morbidity and mortality during hospitalization [12, 13]. In this regard, other articles propose that a diabetes diagnosis is also associated with morbidity and mortality during hospital stay [14-16].
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The aim of this article is to describe the association between SH and hospital mortality in patients with HH. Also, it aims to describe potential differences in glycemic control between patients with SH and those with HH who have been previously diagnosed with diabetes (HH-DBT).

2. Methods

2.1 Study design

A retrospective cohort study of hospitalized patients with hospital hyperglycemia was conducted in 2014-2015 in a highly complex university hospital, in Buenos Aires, Argentina. Hospital Italiano de Buenos Aires deals with 2,900,000 outpatient visits every year, 46,000 inpatients, and 43,500 surgical procedures in its 41 operating rooms. Its hospital capacity reaches 750 beds, with 200 beds in critical care units.

All adult patients referred to the hospital between the period 06/01/2014 and 05/31/2015 for any clinical or surgical cause, who presented hospital hyperglycemia (HH), defined as at least one value over 140 mg/dl, were included in this study. Pregnant women were excluded as they have different blood glucose values for the current definition of glucose disorders. Likewise, patients classified as undetermined hyperglycemia (without a previous diagnosis of diabetes or in-hospital HbA1c values) were excluded.

If patients had more than one hospitalization during the study period, then only the first one was included. For unscheduled hospitalizations, the hospitalization start date was defined as the time of admission to the emergency department; otherwise, it was the date of admission for scheduled hospitalizations. All patients were followed until discharge or in-hospital death.

All patient healthcare information at the hospital is stored in a clinical data repository (CDR), which has been operating for more than 10 years. The CDR has mirrored databases with non-identifying information to ensure privacy and confidentiality. To enable secondary analysis, the CDR was used as an information source where are all clinical documents are deposited from different sources such as test results, images, clinical notes, drug prescriptions, pharmacy dispensations, outpatient visits, emergency department visits, in-hospital care, and others. Therefore, the information in the patient cohort for the present study was collected from one of these high-quality secondary healthcare databases in the hospital network and integrated into the electronic health records (EHR) using a relational database model, in which data are stored in tables that allow one-to-one, one-to-many, and many-to-many relationships. Data from the secondary databases were obtained from the EHR; all glycemic and HbA1c values during hospitalization and from the 3 months before and/or after hospital discharge were retrieved.

2.2 Data processing

The data was stored in an encrypted Access database, exclusively available to researchers involved in the study. The data were processed using Microsoft Access 2007.

2.3 Definitions and subgroup classification

Hospital hyperglycemia (HH) was defined as at least one blood glucose value >140 mg/dl during hospitalization. Altered HbA1c was defined as at least one value ≥7% or two values ≥6.5% prior to admission. Altered hospital HbA1c was defined as at least one value ≥6.5% recorded in the EHR during hospitalization or up to 3 months after discharge.

These definitions were used to classify patients into 2 subgroups of hyperglycemia:

1. Diabetic patient (HH-DBT): when a diabetes-related problem was registered upon admission to the hospital or when the patient had HH determined by high previous, in-hospital, or post-discharge HbA1c values.
2. Patients with stress hyperglycemia (SH), but without diabetes: HH without a diabetes diagnosis and normal HbA1c values (pre-, post-, or in-hospital).

A patient was considered as a critical care patient if during hospitalization a stay in an intensive care unit (ICU) or coronary care unit (CCU) was required. A patient’s hospitalization was defined as a surgical intervention if a major surgery was required. It was classified as an unscheduled hospitalization when the patient entered through the emergency department. The main hospital service used for the patient was defined based on which specialist provided the main attention required. This was classified into four groups:

1. Cardiology: cardiology and cardiovascular surgery
2. Internal medicine: internal medicine, hepatology, neurology, psychiatry, oncology, hematology, and dermatology
3. Critical care: ICU and CCU
4. Surgery: general surgery, urology, traumatology, otorhinolaryngology, and ophthalmology

Cardiovascular comorbidities were defined as a background of acute myocardial infarction, stroke,
transient ischemic attack, heart failure, peripheral vascular disease, or chronic renal failure. Oncological diseases were defined as a background of solid malignant tumor, leukemia, or lymphoma.

As per glycemic records, we analyzed electronic glycemic assessments made during the in-hospital period, i.e. venous glycemic analysis and capillary monitoring. The following were defined:

- Glycemic goal (GG): median glucose value \( \leq 180 \) mg/dl (venous and capillary monitoring).
- Hypoglycemia: at least one glycemic value <70 mg/dl.
- Severe hypoglycemia: at least one glycemic value <40 mg/dl.

Regarding insulin use, patients were divided into three different groups based on the type of insulins used:

1. Short-acting insulin: aspart, lispro, glulisine or current.
2. Long-acting insulin: NPH insulin, detemir or glargin.
3. Long-/short-acting insulin: if both types of insulins were used.

Glycemic variability is emerging as a quality and safety indicator of glycemic control in the literature [17, 18]. We assessed glycemic variability in those patients with at least two in-hospital days and two glycemic values.

The following numerical variables were defined to assess glycemic variability:

- Interquartile range (subtraction between first and third percentile) [19]
- Delta blood glucose (the difference between the minimum and maximum value) [20]
- Average glucose value [21]
- Standard deviation [22-26]
- Coefficient of variability (standard deviation / mean glycemic value) (CV) [27]

The coefficient of variation (CoV) was classified as excellent (<33.5%), good (33.5-36.8%), regular (36.8-40.6%), and bad (>40.6%) [27]. When the CoV was excellent, patients were considered as not presenting glycemic variability. All other values (good, regular, and bad) were considered as having glycemic variability.

3. Results

During the study period, 25,331 adult patients were hospitalized. Of those, 3,934 pregnant women, 6,459 patients without a glucose analysis, and 8,903 patients with normoglycemia during hospitalization were excluded. The number of patients with hospital hyperglycemia was 6,035. Of these, 3,080 patients classified as undetermined hyperglycemia were excluded due to the lack of hospital HbA1 records, leaving 2,955 patients that were included in the study (Figure 1).

Among the total of 2,955 patients with hospital hyperglycemia, the average age was 69.9 years (SD 15.10); 46.80% (1,383) were female, 56.82% (1,679) were admitted on an unscheduled basis, 47.21% (1,395) needed a critical care unit at some point during hospitalization, and 50.36% (1,488) required surgery. The median hospital stay was 5.9 days (IQR 7.9), and in-hospital mortality was 7.61% (225). Regarding the

![Figure 1. Flowchart of the patient selection process.](image-url)
primary hospital service required, 37.53% (1,109) were assigned to internal medicine, 34.21% (1,011) to surgery (excluding non-cardiac surgery), 23.86% (705) to cardiology, and 4.40% (130) to critical care.

Of the 2,955 patients with HH, 46.56% (1,376) were diagnosed with diabetes and 53.44% (1,579) had stress-induced hyperglycemia without diabetes diagnosis. The demographic baseline and hospital characteristics of both groups are shown in Table 1.

Glycemic values in both groups are described in Table 2. Patients with SH had more glycemic value entries. Both groups reached the overall glycemic goal in a similar way, with 35.53% in SH (95% CI: 33.16-37.94%) and 25.80% in HH-DBT (95% CI: 23.50-28.19%), both p < 0.001.

Patients with SH had a lower incidence of hypoglycemia, independent of the three insulin schemes used (basal, bolus, or basal-bolus). Severe

### Table 1. Patient characteristics

| Characteristic                     | SH (n = 1,579) | HH-DBT (n = 1,376) | p-value |
|------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|---------|
| Age (yr)*                          | 72 (IQR 22)    | 71 (IQR 19)        | 0.236   |
| Female                             | 50.28% (794)   | 42.81% (589)       | 0.001   |
| Critical care                      | 52.18% (824)   | 41.50% (571)       | 0.001   |
| Surgical intervention              | 54.84% (866)   | 45.20% (622)       | 0.001   |
| Unscheduled hospitalization        | 54.91% (867)   | 59.01% (812)       | 0.025   |
| Hospital service                   |                |                    |         |
| Cardiology                         | 26.22% (414)   | 21.15% (291)       | 0.009   |
| Internal medicine                  | 35.66% (563)   | 39.68% (546)       |         |
| Critical care unit                 | 4.50% (71)     | 4.29% (59)         |         |
| Surgery (non-cardiological)        | 33.63% (531)   | 34.88% (480)       |         |
| Oncological disease                | 15.83% (250)   | 20.57% (283)       | 0.001   |
| Cardiovascular comorbidities       | 36.61% (578)   | 47.17% (649)       | 0.001   |
| Hospital stay (days)*              | 6.7 (IQR 8.9)  | 5.1 (IQR 6.94)     | 0.001   |

**Legend:** * median and interquartile range. All other variables are absolute or percentage values.

### Table 2. Glycemic values and behavior in both patient groups

| Glycemic variable                  | SH (n = 1,579) | HH-DBT (n = 1,376) | p-value |
|------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|---------|
| Number of glycemic values*         | 8 (14)         | 6 (10)             | 0.001   |
| Median glycemic values (mg/dl)*    | 124 (32)       | 155 (55)           | 0.001   |
| Global glycemic goal               | 35.53% (361/1579) | 25.80% (355/1376) | 0.001   |
| Hypoglycemia                       | 8.23% (130)    | 10.33% (145)       | 0.031   |
| Severe hypoglycemia                | 1.32% (21)     | 1.74% (24)         | 0.359   |

**Legend:** All glycemic values are venous and capillary monitoring reports. * mean (SD), * median (interquartile range, IQR).

### Table 3. In-hospital insulin treatment in both patient groups

| Insulin treatment                 | SH (n = 1,579) | HH-DBT (n = 1,376) | p-value |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|---------|
| Insulin use (total)               | 26.66% (421)   | 46.58% (641)       | 0.001   |
| Short-acting insulin              | 83.37% (351)   | 62.71% (402)       | 0.001   |
| Long-acting insulin               | 2.85% (12)     | 9.36% (60)         | 0.001   |
| Short and long-acting insulin     | 13.78% (58)    | 27.92% (179)       | 0.001   |

### Table 4. Glycemic variability in both patient groups

| Glycemic parameter                | EH (n = 1,136) | HH-DBT (n = 972) | p-value |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------|
| Maximum glycemic value            | 178 (IQR 67.5) | 212 (IQR 106.5) | 0.001   |
| Minimum glycemic value            | 92 (IQR 22)    | 108 (IQR 42)    | 0.001   |
| Delta blood glucose               | 85 (IQR 67)    | 98 (IQR 99.5)   | 0.001   |
| Glycemic interquartile range      | 40 (IQR 33)    | 50 (IQR 50)     | 0.001   |
| Glycemic SD                       | 31.92 (IQR 19.87) | 37.51 (IQR 33.01) | 0.001   |
| Coefficient of variability (SD/mean) | 0.27 (SD 0.13) | 0.27 (SD 0.14) | 0.920   |
hypoglycemia was a rare event, and there was no significant difference between both groups in this regard. When hypoglycemic values reported from venous samples only (i.e. non-capillarity measures) were considered, hypoglycemia in the HH-DBT group was more severe. Table 3 describes the insulin treatment during hospitalization.

An analysis of glycemic variability was carried out in 2,108 patients. There were differences in several glycemic variability parameters between the SH group (1,136) and the HH-DBT group (972). However, no difference was found regarding the glycemic variability coefficient, for which both groups show a low value. Table 4 shows variables related to glycemic variability.

There was no significant difference in mortality between both groups, with 7.73% (95% CI: 6.45-9.15) for SH and 7.49% (95% CI: 6.15-0.90) for HH-DBT. Unadjusted OR mortality for SH was 1.03 (95% CI: 0.83-1.50; p = 0.456). For patients eligible for glycemic variability, the mortality OR for the SH group adjusted by the same variables as above was 1.21 (95% CI: 0.787-1.359, p = 0.805). Univariate analysis showed that the following variables were associated with a higher in-hospital mortality from SH: age, urgent hospitalization, critical care requirement during hospitalization, hypoglycemia, cardiovascular comorbidities, and long hospital stay >7 days (Table 5).

Regarding multivariate analysis, the mortality OR for the SH group adjusted by age, unscheduled hospitalization, major surgical intervention, critical care, hypoglycemia, cancer disease, cardiovascular comorbidities, and hospital stay >7 days was 1.11 (95% CI: 0.83-1.50; p = 0.456). For patients eligible for glycemic variability (i.e. at least two in-hospital days and two blood glucose readings), the mortality OR for the SH group adjusted by the same variables as above combined with glycemic variability was 1.21 (95% CI: 0.89-1.64; p = 0.210).

### Table 5. Univariate analysis of in-hospital mortality

| Glycemic variable                  | SH (n = 1,579) | HH-DBT (n = 1,376) | p-value |
|------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|---------|
| Number of glycemic values*         | 8 (14)         | 6 (10)             | 0.001   |
| Median glycemic values (mg/dl)*    | 124 (32)       | 155 (55)           | 0.001   |
| Global glycemic goal               | 35.53% (561/1579) | 25.80% (355/1376) | 0.001   |
| 95% CI                             | 33.16-37.94    | 23.50-28.19        |         |
| Hypoglycemia                       | 8.23% (130)    | 10.53% (145)       | 0.031   |
| Severe hypoglycemia                | 1.32% (21)     | 1.74% (24)         | 0.359   |

Legend: * In patients eligible for glycemic variability.

Female sex, stay in ICU or CCU during hospitalization, undergoing surgery, and hospital stay >7 days were associated significantly with SH, reflecting all the potential causes of stress that may lead to hyperglycemia. Remarkably, this association was not found after multivariate analysis and not in unscheduled hospitalizations, which could also be considered a stressor.

The frequency of blood glucose recordings obtained from venous and capillary monitoring was higher in the SH group than in the HH-DBT group (8 versus 6 values; p < 0.01), which may have contributed to the lower glycemic values, better glycemic goals, and lower hypoglycemic events observed in the SH group. Similar results were observed in another patient cohort from the internal medicine section, where the number of glycemic records was higher in diabetes patients. Also, a higher percentage of hypoglycemia was found in this group [29]. There could be a relationship between the greater number of records and better glycemic control with a lower subsequent rate of hypoglycemia in the SH group. The rate of hypoglycemia in this cohort (9.38% total and 1.53% severe) was similar to that in a study published in 2015 in relation to Spanish hospitals [30].

When exploring glycemic variability, we found no difference between the patient groups by using the coefficient of variability. We did not find an association between glycemic variability and longer hospital stay, even though this association has been previously described in the literature [31]. In both groups, glycemic variability measured by the percentage of the coefficient of variability was considered low as it was less than 33.5% [27].

When analyzing insulin treatment, we found that there was less use of the three insulin schemes in patients with HH. In both groups, the most frequently used insulin treatment was the bolus and not the basal-bolus scheme, which is recommended by current guidelines [32].
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One of the major limitations of this study lies in the use of retrospective data, which has certain limits. Other covariables and potential confounders related to glycemic control (such as changes in diet, medication, or the clinical status of patients) were not considered because such data could not be reliably retrieved from the records. For the same reason, several comorbidities such as obesity-induced hyperglycemia were not taken into consideration. Also, the study was carried out in our center and may not be representative of the population in other hospitals.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that compares and describes glycemic control and mortality in a cohort of patients with hospital hyperglycemia in South America, classifying patients by whether or not they have diabetes.

5. Conclusions

Hospital hyperglycemia is a common problem, not exclusive to diabetes patients. In our cohort, patients with SH had fewer comorbidities and better glycemic control than patients with HH and diabetes. However, there was no difference in hospital mortality between the groups. This could highlight the importance of SH as a risk factor of morbidity and mortality in hospitalized patients.
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