Copy number variation in sulfotransferase isoform 1A1 (SULT1A1) is significantly associated with enzymatic activity in Japanese subjects
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Abstract: Sulfotransferase isoform 1A1 (SULT1A1) plays a key role in the metabolism of a variety of endo- and xenobiotics and its activity could influence response to drugs. Our previous studies have focused on the impact of genetic variants of SULT1A1 on enzymatic activity in Caucasians and African-Americans. However, the contribution of genetic variants to SULT1A1 activity in Asians has not been explored. In this study, we investigated the collective effects of both SULT1A1 copy number variants (CNVs) and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the promoter region, coding region, and 3' untranslated region on SULT1A1 activity in Japanese subjects. SNPs in the SULT1A1 promoter and 3' untranslated region were not associated with SULT1A1 activity (P > 0.05). SULT1A1*1/2 (Arg213His) was marginally associated with SULT1A1 activity (P = 0.037). However, SULT1A1 CNVs were strongly associated with SULT1A1 activity (trend test P = 0.008) and accounted for 10% of the observed variability in activity for Japanese subjects. In conclusion, SULT1A1 CNVs play a pivotal role in determination of SULT1A1 activity in Japanese subjects, highlighting the influence of ethnic differences in SULT1A1 genetic variants on drug metabolism and therapeutic efficacy.
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Introduction

Sulfotransferase isoform 1A1 (SULT1A1) belongs to a family of phase II detoxification enzymes that catalyze the transfer of the sulfonyl group from 3'-phosphoadenosine 5'-phosphosulfate to a variety of endogenous molecules (hormones, neurotransmitters, etc) and xenobiotics.1 Sulfation generally enhances the solubility and subsequent excretion of substrates, but it can also catalyze the bio-activation of various carcinogens and mutagens.2,3 The growing field of pharmacogenomics seeks to predict both efficacy and toxicity of therapeutic agents, many of which are substrates for SULT1A1. SULT1A1 metabolizes many drugs, including tamoxifen,4 fulvestrant,5 and toremefine,6 which are used for adjuvant hormonal therapy in breast cancer. Given the central role that SULT1A1 plays in drug metabolism and carcinogenesis, elucidation of the genetic determinants of SULT1A1 activity is essential in assessing the therapeutic efficacy of drugs and estimating cancer risk.

Abnormal expression and/or enzymatic function of SULT1A1 resulting from naturally occurring genetic changes, such as single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and copy number variants (CNVs), may influence drug metabolism. A common SNP in the coding region of SULT1A1 (638 G > A, SULT1A1*1/SULT1A1*2) is associated with decreased platelet enzymatic activity and thermostability.7,8 This SNP has been investigated in relation to risk of cancer in various organs and tissues in different ethnic
groups, with conflicting results in some instances.9 The 638 G > A SNP has been associated with risk of lung cancer, colorectal cancer,11,12 urothelial cancer,13 prostate cancer,14 and breast cancer15,16 in relation to smoking status and intake of meat that is cooked well done. SULT1A1 638 G > A has also been reported to influence overall survival in tamoxifen-treated women.17,18 Ning et al19 described four common (−624 G > C, −396 A > G, −341 C > G, and −294 T > C) and one rare SNPs (−358 A > C) in the proximal promoter region and found that allele frequencies varied between Caucasians, African-Americans, and Chinese subjects. These SNPs were associated with platelet SULT1A1 enzymatic activity in Caucasians and African-Americans, but platelets for activity analyses were not available for the Chinese population. Thus, the association of the promoter SNPs with SULT1A1 activity in individuals of Asian descent was not explored. We have recently reported that 902 A > G and 973 C > T in the 3′ untranslated region (3′-UTR) and 1307 G > A in the 3′ flanking region play an important role in determination of SULT1A1 activity in Caucasians and African-Americans. These SNPs, in combination with SULT1A1 CNVs, account for 21% of variability of activity observed in Caucasians.20 In this study, DNA from 97 Japanese subjects was screened for SULT1A1 638 G > A, promoter SNPs, 3′-UTR, and CNVs. Platelets were also obtained and enzymatic activity determined, and genotype–phenotype relationships were examined. There was a significant ethnic difference in the influence of genetic variants on SULT1A1 activity, with copy number variation exhibiting the strongest influence. Hence, pharmacogenomic studies of SULT1A1 substrates should include SULT1A1 CNVs, particularly in Japanese populations.

Materials, subjects, and methods

Materials
Histopaque®-1119 and -1077, 4-nitrophenyl sulfate, and 2-naphthol were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). The 5′-phosphoadenosine 5′-phosphosulfate was obtained from the University of Dayton Chemistry Department (Dayton, OH, USA). Sequencing and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) primers were purchased from Invitrogen (Grant Island, NY, USA). All other chemicals used were of reagent grade and obtained from Fisher Scientific (Houston, TX, USA).

Study subjects
Whole blood specimens (10 mL) were obtained from 101 healthy Japanese subjects recruited at the Chiba Institute of Science. The specimens were drawn using Vacutainer™ tubes (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA and Fisher Scientific) containing ascorbate, citrate, and dextrose to prevent platelet aggregation. Of the 101 specimens obtained, 97 were evaluable for SULT1A1 genotype–phenotype analysis. There were 55 female and 42 male subjects (age range 22–70 years old, mean 36.4 years). The Institutional Review Board at Chiba Institute of Science approved these study protocols (Ethics Committee approval No 22-8).

Preparation of platelet cytosols and sulfotransferase activity assay
Immediately after collection, the whole blood samples were layered on a discontinuous gradient of Histopaque-1077 and Histopaque-1119, using a modification of the manufacturer’s protocol,8,21 then individual components were separated by differential centrifugation. After separation, platelets were suspended in buffer, membranes were disrupted by sonication, and the cell homogenate was subjected to ultracentrifugation at 100,000 g for 1 hour. Sulfotransferase activity was determined using a simple colorimetric procedure as described by Mulder et al22 with the modifications made by Frame et al.21 Activity was reported as nmol/min/mg protein.

DNA extraction and genotyping
DNA was extracted from lymphocytes isolated from the blood sample provided by the study participants using Qiagen extraction kits according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Valencia, CA, USA). Genotyping for SULT1A1*1/2, promoter SNPs, and 3′-UTR SNPs was performed as previously described.8,19

Copy number variation assay
SULT1A1 copy number was determined by real-time PCR in an ABI PRISM Sequence Detection System 7900 Instrument (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) using TaqMan Gene Expression Absolute Quantification Assay (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The method has been described by Yu et al.20

Distal promoter SNP identification and genotyping
The human SULT1A1 genomic sequence (GenBank® accession no U52852) was used to design three pairs of primers (P1, P2, and P3) to produce overlapping amplicons spanning from −165 to −2513 bp for distal promoter mutation screening. After mutation screening, two common SNPs, −1975 G > C and −1135 G > A, were identified and
a new pair of primers (P4) was designed for sequencing of −1975 G > C. The sequencing primer of P1 was used to identify −1135 G > A. Primer sequences are shown in Table 1. PCR was performed using JumpStart RED Taq-Reaction Mix (Sigma-Aldrich) 5 μL in a total volume of 10 μL containing 3 ng genomic DNA and 0.5 μM each of primers. PCR was amplified under the following thermal cycling conditions: after initial denaturation at 95°C for 4 minutes, the samples were subjected to 35 cycles of 94°C for 50 seconds, 64°C for 50 seconds, and 72°C for 1 minute, followed by a final extension step of 10 minutes at 72°C. Genotype was determined by direct sequencing using a CEQ™ DTCS-Quick Start Sequencing Kit and analyzed on a CEQ 8800 Genetic Analysis System (both Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA).

### Statistical analysis

Before data analysis, SNP data were examined for possible genotyping errors by assessing deviation from the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium. Association of SULT1A1 activity with demographic (age and sex) and genetic (SNPs and copy number) variables was examined using analysis of variance with SAS software (v 9.2; SAS institute, Cary, NC, USA). The independent effects of functional SNPs and copy number were further assessed in analysis of variance and regression models adjusting for age and sex. Individual and collective effects of SNPs and copy number on SULT1A1 activity were assessed from partial sum square, R², β-coefficient, and P value. In the regression model, the 638 G > A homozygous variant genotype was combined with heterozygous variant genotype due to small sample size and the combined group was treated as a referent. In addition, copy numbers ≥ 4 were combined in one category in the analysis. Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05 (two-sided) and all the statistical analyses were performed using SAS software.

### Table 1 Primers for genotyping single nucleotide polymorphisms in the distal promoter of sulfotransferase isomform 1A1

| Amplification primer | Sequence (5’-3’) | Orientation | Orientation |
|----------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| P1                   | cagctgcttgcttcggagcgc | Forward*     | Reverse     |
| P2                   | atcgcttgcttcggagcgc | Forward*     | Reverse     |
| P3                   | tgtgggaggtcttctcgaacc | Forward*     | Reverse     |
| P4                   | agatgctgcttgcttcggagcgc | Forward*     | Reverse     |

Note: *Forward primers were used for sequencing.

### Results

#### Mutation screening and allele frequency

We screened SNPs in the distal promoter of SULT1A1 in 101 Japanese subjects and identified two common SNPs, −1975 G > C and −1135 G > A (the numbering of bases was designated relative to translation start site). We further characterized four common SNPs, −624 G > C, −396 A > G, −341 C > G, and −294 T > C, in the proximal promoter. Allele frequencies for −341 C > G were 0.5%, so we excluded this rare SNP from further analysis. We then genotyped for 902 A > G and 973 C > T in the 3′-UTR, 1307 G > A in the 3′ flanking region, and SULT1A1*1/2 in the coding region. The variant allele frequencies are shown in Table 2.

#### Association of SULT1A1 SNPs in the promoter, 3'-UTR, and SULT1A1*1/2 with platelet SULT1A1 enzymatic activity

To investigate whether SULT1A1*3'-UTR SNPs can influence platelet SULT1A1 enzymatic activity in Japanese subjects, we examined the association of individual SNPs with SULT1A1 activity. In this population, 902 A > G, 973 C > T, and 1307 G > A were not associated with SULT1A1 activity (P > 0.05, Table 2). Similarly, neither the SNPs in the proximal promoter (−624 G > C, −396 A > G, and −294 T > C) nor SNPs in the distal promoter (−1975 G > C and −1135 G > A) were associated with SULT1A1 activity (P > 0.05, Table 2). However, SULT1A1*1/2 was marginally associated with SULT1A1 activity in Japanese subjects (P = 0.037). The SULT1A1 activity in the AA/AG group was lower than that in the GG group (Figure 1). In addition, there was no significant difference in platelet SULT1A1 enzymatic activity by sex or age in this population.

#### Table 2 Univariate analysis of demographic and genetic factors in relation to platelet sulfotransferase isomform 1A1 activity (nmol/min/mg protein) among Japanese subjects

| Variable | rs number | Allele frequency | P   |
|----------|-----------|------------------|-----|
| Age      | NA        | NA               | 0.22|
| Sex      | NA        | NA               | 0.54|
| −1975 G > C | rs9922110 | 0.366            | 0.22|
| −1135 G > A | rs2077412 | 0.381            | 0.87|
| −624 G > C | rs3760091 | 0.376            | 0.20|
| −396 A > G | rs750155  | 0.366            | 0.71|
| −294 T > C | rs4149382 | 0.490            | 0.56|
| 902 A > G | rs6839    | 0.114            | 0.06|
| 973 C > T | rs1042157 | 0.173            | 0.35|
| 1307 G > A | rs4780068 | 0.198            | 0.75|
| 638 G > A | rs9282861 | 0.109            | 0.037|
| Copy number | NA    | NA               | 0.0009|

Note: P values in bold were significantly different compared to Caucasians. Abbreviation: NA, not applicable.
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Discussion

Genetic variation in SULT1A1 is associated with functional effects on enzymatic activity, thermal stability, cellular phenotype, and protein degradation. Associations of genotype with phenotype have only been reported for Caucasian and African-American populations, with the magnitude of effect varying by ethnicity. For this reason, we sought to determine the impact of these genetic variants on enzymatic activity in an Asian population. We genotyped two SNPs in the SULT1A1 distal promoter, four SNPs in the proximal promoter, two SNPs in the 3′-UTR, one SNP in the 3′ flanking region, and SULT1A1*1/2 in the coding region. The correlation of SNPs, as well as copy number variation, with variation of SULT1A1 platelet enzymatic activity in Japanese subjects was investigated.

SULT1A1*1/2 has been reported to have frequencies of 0.332, 0.294, and 0.080 in Caucasian, African-American, and...
Japanese subjects had two copies of SULT1A1, which was similar to the distribution in Caucasians. Of all the genetic variants examined in the study, copy number variation has the greatest impact on SULT1A1 enzymatic activity in Japanese people, accounting for 10% of the observed inter-individual variability. Although the effects of copy number variation are statistically significant, the overall impact is small, leading to the speculation that environmental influences could be the greatest determinant of variability in SULT1A1 activity. Indeed, some dietary chemicals and environmental phenoic contaminants have been shown to be potent inhibitors of SULT1A1.29,30 Thus, studies of gene–environment interactions in determining SULT1A1 activity warrant further study in all ethnicities.

### Conclusion

We found that SULT1A1 CNVs and, to a lesser extent, SULT1A1*1/2, were significantly associated with the SULT1A1 phenotype, while other genetic variants were not. The small magnitude of the contribution of these variants to inter-individual differences in phenotype in Japanese people indicates that results of pharmacogenomic and molecular epidemiological studies involving SULT1A1 genetic variants should be interpreted with caution. Studies of other genetic, epigenetic, and environmental influences on SULT1A1 activity are required to fully understand inter-individual variability in this important enzyme.
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