Double Schubert polynomials do have saturated Newton polytopes
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Abstract

We prove that double Schubert polynomials have the saturated Newton polytope property. This settles a conjecture by Monical, Tokcan and Yong. Our ideas are motivated by the theory of multidegrees. We introduce a notion of standardization of ideals that enables us to study nonstandard multigradings. This allows us to show that the support of the multidegree polynomial of each Cohen–Macaulay prime ideal in a nonstandard multigrading, and in particular, that of each Schubert determinantal ideal is a discrete polymatroid.
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1. Introduction

Schubert polynomials are classical and important objects in algebraic combinatorics. They were introduced by Lascoux and Schützenberger [17] to study the cohomology classes of Schubert varieties. Since then, Schubert polynomials have played a fundamental role in algebraic combinatorics (see, e.g., [8, 19, 1, 2, 13, 14, 16] and the references therein).

We first recall the definition of Schubert polynomials. Let $\mathcal{S}_p$ be the symmetric group on the set $[p] = \{1, \ldots, p\}$. For every $i \in [p-1] = \{1, \ldots, p-1\}$, we have the transposition $\sigma_i = (i, i+1) \in \mathcal{S}_p$. Recall that the set $\mathcal{X} = \{\sigma_i \mid 1 \leq i < p\}$ generates $\mathcal{S}_p$. The length $\ell(\pi)$ of a permutation $\pi$ is the least amount of elements in $\mathcal{X}$ counting repetitions needed to obtain $\pi$ from the identity permutation. The permutation $\pi_0 = (p, p-1, \ldots, 2, 1)$ (in one-line notation) is the longest permutation and has length $\frac{p(p-1)}{2}$. We follow the notation of [14] and [18, Chapter 15] to present permutations and Schubert polynomials.
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Definition 1.1. The double Schubert polynomial $\mathcal{S}_\pi(t, s) \in \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \ldots, t_p, s_1, \ldots, s_p]$ of a permutation $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_p$ is defined recursively in the following way. First, we define $\mathcal{S}_{\pi_0} = \prod_{i+j \leq p} (t_i - s_j)$, and for any permutation $\pi$ and transposition $\sigma_i$ with $\ell(\sigma_i \pi) < \ell(\pi)$ we set

$$\mathcal{S}_{\sigma_i \pi} = \mathcal{S}_\pi - \sigma_i \mathcal{S}_\pi/t_{i} - t_{i+1},$$

where $\mathcal{S}_\pi$ acts only on $\mathbb{Z}[t_1, \ldots, t_p]$ by permutation of variables. The (ordinary) Schubert polynomial $\mathcal{S}_\pi(t, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \ldots, t_p]$ is obtained from $\mathcal{S}_\pi$ by setting each variable $s_j$ equal to 0.

The monomial expansion of ordinary Schubert polynomials has been combinatorially analyzed using different objects such as compatible sequences [2], reduced pipe dreams [9, 1] and Kohnert diagrams [15]. The description using pipe dreams also works for the double Schubert polynomials [18, Corollary 16.30]. We also have a formula for double Schubert polynomials using bumpless pipe dreams [16].

Following [19], we say that a polynomial $f = \sum_n c_n x^n \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ has the saturated Newton polytope property (SNP property for short) if the support $\text{supp}(f) = \{ n \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid c_n \neq 0 \}$ of $f$ is equal to $\text{Newton}(f) \cap \mathbb{N}^n$, where $\text{Newton}(f) = \text{ConvexHull} \{ n \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid c_n \neq 0 \}$ denotes the Newton polytope of $f$; in other words, if the support of $f$ consists of the integer points of a polytope.

The main goal of this paper is to confirm the following challenging conjecture by Monical, Tokcan and Yong that appeared in [19, Conjecture 5.2].

Conjecture 1.2 [19]. Double Schubert polynomials have the SNP property.

We confirm the conjecture by proving a stronger result that the support of each double Schubert polynomial is a discrete polymatroid. A discrete polymatroid $\mathcal{P}$ on $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ is a collection of points in $\mathbb{N}^n$ of the following form

$$\mathcal{P} = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} x_j \leq r(\mathcal{J}), \forall \mathcal{J} \subseteq [n], \sum_{i \in [n]} x_i = r([n])\}$$

with $r$ being a rank function on $[n]$. A rank function on $[n]$ is a function $r : 2^{[n]} \to \mathbb{N}$ satisfying the following three properties: (i) $r(\emptyset) = 0$, (ii) $r(\mathcal{J}_1) \leq r(\mathcal{J}_2)$ if $\mathcal{J}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{J}_2 \subseteq [n]$ and (iii) $r(\mathcal{J}_1 \cup \mathcal{J}_2) \leq r(\mathcal{J}_1) + r(\mathcal{J}_2)$ if $\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2 \subseteq [n]$.

The following is the main theorem of this article.

Theorem A. Let $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_p$ be a permutation and $\mathcal{S}_\pi(t, s) \in \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \ldots, t_p, s_1, \ldots, s_p]$ be the corresponding double Schubert polynomial. Then, the support $\text{supp}(\mathcal{S}_\pi) \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{2p}$ of $\mathcal{S}_\pi$ is a discrete polymatroid on $[2p] = \{1, \ldots, 2p\}$. In particular, the statement of Conjecture 1.2 holds.

Our approach to prove Theorem A can be summarized in the following quote by Miller and Sturmfels [18, Introduction to Chapter 15]: ‘We consider the finest possible multigrading, which demands the refined toolkit of a new generation of combinatorialists’. More precisely, we utilize the result that double Schubert polynomials equal the multidegree polynomial of Schubert determinantal ideals with the aforementioned ‘finest possible multigrading’ (see [18, Theorem 15.40]), and then we develop a method of standardization of ideals. This process of standardization allows us to study multidegrees in certain nonstandard multigradings by reducing the problem to a standard multigraded setting. Our main tool is Theorem 2.2 from [3] which shows that the support of the multidegree polynomial of any multihomogeneous prime ideal (with usual standard multigrading) is a discrete polymatroid. Here, we extend this theorem to the family of nonstandard multigradings that we study.

Much interest has been paid to the important conjectures proposed in [19] and a number of them have already been confirmed (see [8]). Therefore, Theorem A settles a hitherto remaining conjecture from [19] and gives further evidence to the ubiquity of the SNP property in many ‘combinatorially defined polynomials’. Theorem A also gives more evidence for the presence of the Lorentzian property in double Schubert polynomials as conjectured in [12].
The structure of the paper is as follows. We review the notion of multidegrees in Section 2 and recall the connection between double Schubert polynomials and Schubert determinantal ideals in Section 3. Section 4 contains our main results, in particular the proof of Theorem A.

2. A short recap on multidegrees

In this short section, we briefly recall the notion of multidegrees and some of its basic properties; for more details the reader is referred to [18, 5].

Let \( \kappa \) be a field and \( R = \kappa[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \) be a \( \mathbb{Z}^P \)-graded polynomial ring (for now, we do not assume the grading to be positive). Let \( M \) be a finitely generated \( \mathbb{Z}^P \)-graded module and \( F_* \) be a \( \mathbb{Z}^P \)-graded free \( R \)-resolution \( F_* : \cdots \to F_i \to F_{i-1} \to \cdots \to F_1 \to F_0 \) of \( M \). Let \( t_1, \ldots, t_p \) be variables over \( \mathbb{Z} \) and consider the polynomial ring \( \mathbb{Z}[t] = \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \ldots, t_p] \), where the variable \( t_i \) corresponds with the \( i \)-th elementary vector \( e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^P \). If we write \( F_i = \bigoplus_j R(-b_{i,j}) \) with \( b_{i,j} = (b_{i,j,1}, \ldots, b_{i,j,p}) \in \mathbb{Z}^p \), then we define the Laurent polynomial \( [F_i]_t := \sum_j t^{b_{i,j}} = \sum_j t_1^{b_{i,j,1}} \cdots t_p^{b_{i,j,p}} \). Then, the \( K \)-polynomial of \( M \) is defined by

\[
K(M; t) := \sum_i (-1)^i [F_i]_t.
\]

It turns out that, even if the grading of \( R \) is nonpositive and we do not have a well-defined notion of Hilbert series, the above definition of \( K \)-polynomial is an invariant of the module \( M \) and it does not depend on the chosen free \( R \)-resolution \( F_* \) (see [18, Theorem 8.34]).

**Definition 2.1.** The **multidegree polynomial** of a finitely generated \( \mathbb{Z}^P \)-graded \( R \)-module \( M \) is the homogeneous polynomial \( C(M; t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t] \) given as the sum of all terms in

\[
K(M; 1 - t) = K(M; 1 - t_1, \ldots, 1 - t_p)
\]

having total degree \( \text{codim}(M) = n - \dim(M) \).

One case of particular interest is when \( R \) is a standard multigraded polynomial ring. We say that \( R \) is **standard \( \mathbb{Z}^P \)-graded** if the total degree of each variable \( x_i \) is equal to one (i.e., for each \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), we have \( \deg(x_i) = e_{ki} \in \mathbb{Z}^P \) with \( 1 \leq k_i \leq p \)). The study of standard multigraded algebras is of utmost importance as they correspond with closed subschemes of a product of projective spaces (see, e.g., [3] and the references therein). Since the coefficients of the multidegree polynomial are nonnegative in the standard multigraded case, it becomes natural to address the positivity of these coefficients. For each subset \( \mathcal{I} = \{j_1, \ldots, j_k\} \subseteq \{p\} = \{1, \ldots, p\} \), denote by \( R(\mathcal{I}) \) the \( \mathbb{Z}^k \)-graded \( \kappa \)-algebra given by

\[
R(\mathcal{I}) := \bigoplus_{i_1 \geq 0, \ldots, i_p \geq 0} [R]_{(i_1, \ldots, i_p)},
\]

and for any \( R \)-homogeneous ideal \( I \subset R \) we define \( I(\mathcal{I}) \) as the contraction \( I(\mathcal{I}) := I \cap R(\mathcal{I}) \). The following theorem completely characterizes the positivity of multidegrees and is our main tool to prove Theorem A.

**Theorem 2.2 [3].** Let \( R = \kappa[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \) be a standard \( \mathbb{Z}^P \)-graded polynomial ring. Let \( I \subset R \) be an \( R \)-homogeneous prime ideal. Write the multidegree polynomial of \( C(R/I; t) \) as

\[
C(R/I; t) = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^P} c_\mathbf{n} t^\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}[t_1, \ldots, t_p].
\]
Then, for all \( \mathbf{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_p) \in \mathbb{N}^p \) with \( |\mathbf{n}| = \text{codim}(I) = n - \dim(R/I) \), we have that \( c_\mathbf{n} > 0 \) if and only if for each \( \mathcal{Z} = \{j_1, \ldots, j_k\} \subseteq [p] \) the inequality \( n_{j_1} + \cdots + n_{j_k} \geq \text{codim}(I(\mathcal{Z})) \) holds. Furthermore, the support of \( C(R/I; \mathbf{t}) \) is a discrete polymatroid.

**Proof.** Consider the standard \( \mathbb{Z}^p \)-graded polynomial ring \( R' = R[x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+p}] \) with \( \deg(x_{n+i}) = e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^p \), and notice that \( C(R/I; \mathbf{t}) = C(R'/IR'; \mathbf{t}) \). Thus, we assume that \( I \) is a relevant prime (i.e., \( I \not\supseteq I(i_1, \ldots, i_p) \)), and so \( \text{MultiProj}(R/I) \neq 0 \). We embed \( X = \text{MultiProj}(R/I) \) as a closed subscheme of a multiprojective space \( \mathbb{P} := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{\epsilon_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{\epsilon_p} \). From [3, Remark 2.9], we have that \( \mathbf{n} \in \text{supp}(C(R/I; \mathbf{t})) \) if and only if \( \text{deg}_{\mathbb{Z}^p}^{m-n}(X) > 0 \) where \( \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{n} = (m_1 - n_1, \ldots, m_p - n_p) \). Then, [3, Theorem A] implies that \( \mathbf{n} \in \text{supp}(C(R/I; \mathbf{t})) \) if and only if \( |\mathbf{n}| = \text{codim}(I) \) and \( \sum_{j \in \mathcal{Z}} n_j \geq \text{codim}(I(\mathcal{Z})) \) for each \( \mathcal{Z} \subseteq [p] \). Equivalently, we obtain that \( \mathbf{n} \in \text{supp}(C(R/I; \mathbf{t})) \) if and only if \( |\mathbf{n}| = \text{codim}(I) \) and

\[
\sum_{j \in \mathcal{Z}} n_j \leq \text{codim}(I) - \text{codim}(I(\mathcal{Z})) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{Z}} m_j - r([p]) - r([\mathcal{Z}])
\]

for each \( \mathcal{Z} \subseteq [p] \), where \( r : 2^{|[p]} \to \mathbb{N} \) is the rank function \( r(\mathcal{Z}) := \dim(\text{MultiProj}(R/(\mathcal{Z})) \) (see [3, Proposition 5.1]). Finally, we can check that \( s : 2^{|[p]} \to \mathbb{N} \) with \( s(\mathcal{Z}) := \sum_{j \in \mathcal{Z}} m_j - r([p]) - r([\mathcal{Z}]) \) is a rank function (see, e.g., [20, §44.6f]), and so it follows that \( \text{supp}(C(R/I; \mathbf{t})) \) is a polymatroid. \( \square \)

### 3. Schubert determinantal ideals

Here, we recall the connection between double Schubert polynomials and Schubert determinantal ideals (for more details, the reader is referred to [18, Chapters 15, 16]).

First, we define matrix Schubert varieties and Schubert determinantal ideals by following [18, Chapter 15]. Let \( \mathbb{K} \) be an algebraically closed field and \( M_p(\mathbb{K}) \) be the \( \mathbb{K} \)-vector space of \( p \times p \) matrices with entries in \( \mathbb{K} \). As an affine variety we define its coordinate ring as \( \mathbb{K}[M_p] = \mathbb{K}[x_{ij}] \) and so \( \text{rank}(\mathbb{K}[M_p]) = \binom{p^2}{p} \). Furthermore, we consider a \((\mathbb{Z}^P \oplus \mathbb{Z}^P)\)-grading on \( \mathbb{K}[M_p] \) by setting \( \deg(x_{ij}) = e_i \oplus -e_j \in \mathbb{Z}^p \oplus \mathbb{Z}^p \), where \( e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^p \) denotes the \( i \)-th elementary vector.

**Definition 3.1** (see [18, Chapter 15]). Let \( \pi \) be a permutation matrix. The **matrix Schubert variety** \( \overline{X}_{\pi} \subset M_p(\mathbb{K}) \) is the subvariety given by \( \overline{X}_{\pi} = \{ Z \in M_p(\mathbb{K}) | \text{rank}(Z_{m \times n}) \leq \text{rank}(\pi_{m \times n}) \text{ for all } m, n \} \), where \( Z_{m \times n} \) is the restriction to the first \( m \) rows and \( n \) columns. The **Schubert determinantal ideal** \( I_{\pi} \subset R \) is the \( R \)-homogeneous ideal generated by all minors in \( X_{m \times n} \) of size \( 1 + \text{rank}(\pi_{m \times n}) \) for all \( m, n \), where \( X = (x_{ij}) \) is the \( p \times p \) matrix with the variables of \( R \).

The following theorem collects several results of fundamental importance to our approach. In particular, it shows that double Schubert polynomials equal the multidegree polynomial of matrix Schubert varieties. To define multidegrees over \( \mathbb{R} \) with its \((\mathbb{Z}^P \oplus \mathbb{Z}^P)\)-grading, we consider the polynomial ring \( \mathbb{Z}[t, s] = \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \ldots, t_p, s_1, \ldots, s_p] \), where \( t_i \) has degree \( e_i \oplus 0 \in \mathbb{Z}^p \oplus \mathbb{Z}^p \) and \( s_i \) has degree \( 0 \oplus e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^p \oplus \mathbb{Z}^p \).

**Theorem 3.2.** Let \( \pi \in \mathcal{S}_p \) be a permutation, and denote also by \( \pi \) the corresponding permutation matrix. Then, the following statements hold:

1. \( I_{\pi} \) is a prime ideal, and so it coincides with the ideal \( I(\overline{X}_{\pi}) \) of polynomials vanishing on the matrix Schubert variety \( \overline{X}_{\pi} \). (([10], [18, Corollary 16.29])

2. \( \mathbb{R}/I_{\pi} \) is a Cohen–Macaulay ring. ([11], [18, Corollary 16.44])

3. \( \mathcal{S}_{\pi}(t, s) = C(\mathbb{R}/I_{\pi}; t, s) \). ([7],[14], [18, Theorem 15.40])

The next technical lemma will allow us to substitute the grading of \( \mathbb{R} \) which has negative components for the degrees of the variables. Let \( R = \mathbb{K}[x_{ij}] \) with \( (\mathbb{Z}^P \oplus \mathbb{Z}^P) \)-grading by setting \( \deg(x_{ij}) = e_i \oplus e_j \in \mathbb{Z}^p \oplus \mathbb{Z}^p \). As for \( \mathbb{R} \), define multidegrees over \( R \) in the polynomial ring \( \mathbb{Z}[t, s] \).
Lemma 3.3. Let $I \subset \tilde{R}$ be an $\tilde{R}$-homogeneous ideal, and denote also by $I$ the corresponding $R$-homogeneous ideal in $R$. Then we have $C(\tilde{R}/I; t_1, \ldots, t_p, s_1, \ldots, s_p) = C(R/I; t_1, \ldots, t_p, -s_1, \ldots, -s_p)$.

Proof. Notice that, if $F_*$ is a $(\mathbb{Z}^p \oplus \mathbb{Z}^p)$-graded free $\tilde{R}$-resolution of $\tilde{R}/I$ with $F_i = \bigoplus_j \tilde{R}(-a_{i,j}, -b_{i,j})$, then there is a corresponding $(\mathbb{Z}^p \oplus \mathbb{Z}^p)$-graded free $R$-resolution $F_*$ of $R/I$ with $F_i = \bigoplus_j R(-a_{i,j}, b_{i,j})$. By definition, this yields the equality of $K$-polynomials

$$K(\tilde{R}/I; t, s) = K(\tilde{R}/I; t_1, \ldots, t_p, s_1, \ldots, s_p) = K(R/I; t_1, \ldots, t_p, s_1^{-1}, \ldots, s_p^{-1}) = K(R/I; t, s^{-1}).$$

From [18, Claim 8.54], we have $K(R/I; 1 - t, 1 - s) = C(R/I; t, s) + Q(t, s)$, where $Q(t, s)$ is a polynomial with terms of degree at least $\text{codim}(I) + 1$. Equivalently, we get $K(R/I; t, s) = C(R/I; 1 - t, 1 - s) + Q(1 - t, 1 - s)$. It then follows that

$$K(\tilde{R}/I; 1 - t, 1 - s) = C(R/I; t_1, \ldots, t_p, 1 - \frac{1}{1-s_1}, \ldots, 1 - \frac{1}{1-s_p}) + Q(t_1, \ldots, t_p, 1 - \frac{1}{1-s_1}, \ldots, 1 - \frac{1}{1-s_p}).$$

By expanding the right-hand side of the above equality, the result of the lemma is obtained. \hfill \Box

4. Standardization of ideals

In this section, we develop a process of standardization of ideals in a certain nonstandard multigrading. This process will allow us to show that the support of the multidegree polynomial of any Cohen–Macaulay prime ideal is a discrete polymatroid in the nonstandard multigradings that we consider. We will consider the following setup throughout this section.

Setup 4.1. Let $p \geq 1$ be a positive integer and $k$ be a field. Let $R$ and $S$ be the polynomial rings $R = k[x]$ and $S = k[w, z]$ over the set of variables $x = \{x_i, j\}_1 \leq i \leq j \leq p$, $w = \{w_i, j\}_1 \leq i \leq j \leq p$ and $z = \{z_i, j\}_1 \leq i \leq j \leq p$. We consider $R$ and $S$ as $(\mathbb{Z}^p \oplus \mathbb{Z}^p)$-graded rings by setting that

$$\text{deg}(x_i, j) = e_i \oplus e_j, \quad \text{deg}(w_i, j) = e_i \oplus 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{deg}(z_i, j) = 0 \oplus e_j,$$

where $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^p$ denotes the $i$-th elementary vector and $0 \in \mathbb{Z}^p$ denotes the zero vector. We define the $k$-algebra homomorphism

$$\phi : R = k[x] \longrightarrow S = k[w, z], \quad \phi(x_i, j) = w_i, j z_i, j.$$

For an $R$-homogeneous ideal $I \subset R$, we say that the extension $\phi(I)S$ is the standardization of $I$, as $\phi(I)S$ is an $S$-homogeneous ideal in the standard multigraded polynomial ring $S$. Let $t = \{t_1, \ldots, t_p\}$ and $s = \{s_1, \ldots, s_p\}$ be variables indexing the $(\mathbb{Z}^p \oplus \mathbb{Z}^p)$-grading, where $t_i$ corresponds with $e_i \oplus 0 \in \mathbb{Z}^p \oplus \mathbb{Z}^p$ and $s_i$ corresponds with $0 \oplus e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^p \oplus \mathbb{Z}^p$. Given a finitely generated graded $R$-module $M$ and a finitely generated graded $S$-module $N$, by a slight abuse of notation, we consider both multidegrees $C(M; t, s)$ and $C(N; t, s)$ as elements of the same polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[t, s] = \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \ldots, t_p, s_1, \ldots, s_p]$.

First, we show some basic properties of the process of standardization.

Proposition 4.2. Assume Setup 4.1. Let $I \subset R$ be an $R$-homogeneous ideal and $J = \phi(I)S$ be its standardization. Then, the following statements hold:

(i) $\text{codim}(I) = \text{codim}(J)$.
(ii) $C(R/I; t, s) = C(S/J; t, s)$.
(iii) If $R/I$ is a Cohen–Macaulay ring, then $S/J$ also is.
(iv) Let $>$ be a monomial order on $R$ and $\succ'$ be a monomial order on $S$ which is compatible with $\phi$ (i.e., if $f, g \in R$ with $f > g$, then $\phi(f) >' \phi(g)$). Then $\text{in}_{\succ'}(J) = \phi(\text{in}_{\succ}(I))S$. 
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Proof. Let $T$ be the polynomial ring $T = k[x, w, z] \cong R \otimes_k S$ with its natural $(\mathbb{Z}^p \oplus \mathbb{Z}^p)$-grading induced from the ones of $R$ and $S$. We now think of $R$ and $S$ as subrings of $T$. Consider the quotient ring $T/IT$, and notice that $(x_{i,j} - w_{i,j}z_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq p}$ is a regular sequence of homogeneous elements over $T/IT$. We also have the following natural isomorphism
\[
\frac{T}{IT + \{(x_{i,j} - w_{i,j}z_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq p}\}} \cong S/J.
\]
As the natural inclusion $R \rightarrow T$ is a polynomial extension, we have that $\dim(T/IT) = \dim(R/I) + \dim(S) = \dim(R) + \dim(S) - \text{codim}(I)$ and that $T/IT$ is Cohen–Macaulay when $R/I$ is. So, by cutting out with the regular sequence described above, we obtain that $\dim(S/J) = \dim(T/IT) - \dim(R) = \dim(S) - \text{codim}(I)$ and that $S/J$ is Cohen–Macaulay when $T/IT$ is. This completes the proofs of parts (i) and (iii).

Let $F_* : \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0$ be a graded free $R$-resolution of $R/I$. Since $(x_{i,j} - w_{i,j}z_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq p}$ is a regular sequence on both $T$ and $T/IT$, it follows that $\text{Tor}^T_k(T/IT, T/(x_{i,j} - w_{i,j}z_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq p}) = 0$ for all $k > 0$, and so $G_* = F_* \otimes_R T/(x_{i,j} - w_{i,j}z_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq p}$ provides (up to isomorphism) a graded free $S$-resolution of $S/J$. The identification of $G_*$ as a resolution of $S$-modules is the same as $\phi(F_*)$ (more precisely, $G_*$ has the same shiftings as $F_*$ in the $(\mathbb{Z}^p \oplus \mathbb{Z}^p)$-grading and the $i$-th differential matrix of $G_*$ is given by the substitution $\phi(f_i)$ of $f_i$). Therefore, by definition, we obtain the equality $C(R/I; t, s) = C(S/J; t, s)$ that shows part (ii).

To show part (iv) we can use Buchberger’s algorithm (see, e.g., [6, Chapter 15]). Indeed, we can perform essentially the same steps of the algorithm in a set of generators of $I$ and the corresponding set of generators for $J$.

The following theorem provides the main result of this section. It shows that the support of the multidegree polynomial is a discrete polymatroid for Cohen–Macaulay prime ideals in $R$. The proof is carried out by performing a standardization process that allows us to invoke Theorem 2.2.

**Theorem 4.3.** Assume Setup 4.1. Let $I \subset R$ be an $R$-homogeneous Cohen–Macaulay prime ideal. Then, the support of the multidegree polynomial $C(R/I; t, s)$ is a discrete polymatroid.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L} = \{(i, j) \mid x_{i,j} \in I\}$ be the set of indices such that the corresponding variable belongs to $I$. We consider the polynomial rings $R' = k[x_{i,j} \mid (i, j) \notin \mathcal{L}] \subset R$ and $S' = k[w_{i,j}, z_{i,j} \mid (i, j) \notin \mathcal{L}] \subset S$. Let $I' \subset R'$ be the (unique) ideal that satisfies the condition $I = I'R + (x_{i,j} \mid (i, j) \notin \mathcal{L})$. By construction, we have that $x_{i,j} \notin I'$ for all $x_{i,j} \in R'$. Since $R/I \cong R'/I'$, it follows that $I'$ is also a Cohen–Macaulay prime ideal.

Let $J' = \phi(I'R)S \cap S'$. For any $w_{i,j}z_{i,j} \in S'$, Proposition 4.2(i) and the fact that the corresponding $x_{i,j}$ does not belong to the prime $I'$ imply that
\[
\text{codim}(J'S + w_{i,j}z_{i,j}S) = \text{codim}(I'R + x_{i,j}R) = \text{codim}(I'R) + 1 = \text{codim}(J'S) + 1.
\]

By Proposition 4.2(iii), $S'/J'S$ is Cohen–Macaulay, and so it necessarily follows that $w_{i,j}z_{i,j}$ is a nonzerodivisor over $S'/J'S$ for all $w_{i,j}z_{i,j} \in S'$. Consequently, we obtain that $S'/J'$ is a domain if and only if $(S'/J')_{w_{i,j}z_{i,j}}$ is a domain. Let $B = k[w_{i,j}, z_{i,j}^{-1}, z_{i,j} \mid (i, j) \notin \mathcal{L}]$, and consider the automorphism given by
\[
\psi : B \rightarrow B, \quad w_{i,j} \mapsto \frac{w_{i,j}}{z_{i,j}}, \quad z_{i,j} \mapsto z_{i,j}.
\]
The ideal $\psi(J'B)$ coincides with the extension of $I'$ in $B$ under the ring homomorphism $R' \rightarrow B, x_{i,j} \mapsto w_{i,j}$, and so it follows that $\psi(J'B)$ and, consequently, $J'B$ are prime ideals. We then conclude that $J'$ is a prime ideal.
Since the variables $x_{i,j}$ with indices in $\mathcal{L}$ form a regular sequence over $R/I'R$, we obtain the equation

$$C(R/I; t, s) = \prod_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{L}} (t_i + s_j) \cdot C(R/I'R; t, s)$$

(1)

(see, e.g., [18, Exercise 8.12]). To conclude the proof, it is now sufficient to show that the support of $C(R/I'R; t, s)$ is a discrete polymatroid; indeed, we would obtain that the support of $C(R/I; t, s)$ is a Minkowski sum of a finite number of discrete polymatroids which in turn is also a discrete polymatroid by [20, Corollary 46.2c]. Finally, this condition follows by applying Theorem 2.2 to the prime ideal $J'S$ and exploiting the equality $C(R/I'R; t, s) = C(S/J'S; t, s)$ from Proposition 4.2(ii).

We are now ready to prove the main result of this paper.

**Proof of Theorem A.** As we already have all the necessary ingredients, the proof follows straightforwardly by combining Theorem 3.2, Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 4.3.

Furthermore, we determine the defining inequalities of the discrete polymatroids in Theorem 4.3 and, accordingly, in Theorem A. Similarly to Section 2, for any two subsets $\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2 \subseteq [p]$, we denote by $R_{(\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2)} \subseteq R$ and $S_{(\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2)} \subseteq S$ the $((\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{Z}_1] + \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{Z}_2]))$-graded $R$-algebras obtained by restricting to the positions in $\mathcal{Z}_1$ for the first part $\mathbb{Z}^p \oplus 0$ of the grading, and to the ones in $\mathcal{Z}_2$ for the second part of the grading $0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^p$.

**Theorem 4.4.** Assume Setup 4.1. Let $I \subseteq R$ be an $R$-homogeneous Cohen–Macaulay prime ideal. Then, we have that the coefficient of $t^r s^c$ is nonzero in $C(R/I; t, s)$ if and only if

(i) $\sum_{j \in [p]} r_j + \sum_{j \in [p]} c_j = \text{codim}(I)$

(ii) For every $\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2 \subseteq [p]$, we have that $\sum_{j \in \mathcal{Z}_1} r_j + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{Z}_2} c_j \geq \text{codim}(I_{(\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2)})$, where $I_{(\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2)}$ is the contracted ideal $I_{(\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2)} = I \cap R_{(\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2)}$. 

**Proof.** By Theorem 4.3, we know that the Newton polytope of $C(R/I; t, s)$ is a base polymatroid polytope, and so, under the condition $\sum_{j \in [p]} r_j + \sum_{j \in [p]} c_j = \text{codim}(I)$, all its defining inequalities are of the form

$$\sum_{j \in \mathcal{Z}_1} r_j + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{Z}_2} c_j \geq C(\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2),$$

for some constant $C(\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2)$ that depends on the subsets $\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2 \subseteq [p]$. We now determine $C(\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2)$.

We keep the same notation of the proof of Theorem 4.3, in particular, $I' = I'R + (x_{i,j} \mid (i, j) \in \mathcal{L})$. Equation (1) decomposes the Newton polytope of $C(R/I'; t, s)$ as the Minkowski sum of the Newton polytopes of $\prod_{(i, j) \in \mathcal{L}} (t_i + s_j)$ and $C(S/J'S; t, s)$, both of which are also base polymatroid polytopes. So, we analyze the minimum of the sum in Equation (2) with the two contributions.

1. **Newton**($\prod_{(i, j) \in \mathcal{L}} (t_i + s_j)$) is determined by the equality $\sum_{j \in [p]} r_j + \sum_{j \in [p]} c_j = |\mathcal{L}|$ and the inequalities $\sum_{j \in \mathcal{Z}_1} r_j + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{Z}_2} c_j \geq \{(i, j) \in \mathcal{L} \mid i \in \mathcal{Z}_1 \text{ and } j \in \mathcal{Z}_2\}$.

2. Due to Theorem 2.2, **Newton**($C(S/J'S; t, s)$) is determined by the equality $\sum_{j \in [p]} r_j + \sum_{j \in [p]} c_j = \text{codim}(J'S)$ and the inequalities $\sum_{j \in \mathcal{Z}_1} r_j + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{Z}_2} c_j \geq \text{codim}((J'S)_{(\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2)})$, where $(J'S)_{(\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2)}$ is the contracted ideal $(J'S)_{(\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2)} = J'S \cap S_{(\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2)}$.

Notice that Proposition 4.2(i) yields the equality

$$\text{codim}(I_{(\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2)}) = \text{codim}(J_{(\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2)}) = \text{codim}((J'S)_{(\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2)}) + |\{(i, j) \in \mathcal{L} \mid i \in \mathcal{Z}_1 \text{ and } j \in \mathcal{Z}_2\}|.$$

Therefore, since we can split the value of $C(\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2)$ in terms of the sum of the defining inequalities of the Newton polytopes of $\prod_{(i, j) \in \mathcal{L}} (t_i + s_j)$ and $C(S/J'S; t, s)$, it follows that $C(\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2) = \text{codim}(I_{(\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2)})$. This concludes the proof of the theorem. □
Finally, we perform a simple computation out of the six possible permutations in $S_3$ (see [18, Examples 15.4, 15.42]).

**Example 4.5** ($p = 3$ and $\pi = (1,3,2)$). The Schubert determinantantal ideal and the double Schubert polynomials are given by $I_{132} = (x_{1,1}x_{2,2} - x_{1,2}x_{2,1})$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{132} = t_1 + t_2 - s_1 - s_2$. The standardization of $I_{132}$ is the ideal $J = (w_{1,1}w_{2,2}z_{1,2}z_{2,1} - w_{1,2}w_{2,1}z_{1,2}z_{2,1}) \in S$. The ideal $J$ is prime and $S$ has a standard $(\mathbb{Z}^3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^3)$-grading. One can compute that

$$C(S/J; t, s) = t_1 + t_2 + s_1 + s_2$$

(see [18, Exercise 8.12], or just utilize the built-in command `multidegree` on the computer algebra system Macaulay2 [11]). Coinciding with the claim of Theorem 2.2, the support of $C(S/J; t, s)$ is a discrete polymatroid. Notice that $\mathfrak{S}_{132} = t_1 + t_2 - s_1 - s_2 = C(S/J; t, -s)$, as shown by Lemma 3.3.

**Remark 4.6.** From the conjectures stated by Monical, Tokcan and Yong [19], a remaining open one is to show that Grothendieck polynomials also satisfy the SNP property (see [19, Conjecture 5.5]). In [4], we settled a particular case of this conjecture. More precisely, we showed that the support of a Grothendieck polynomial is a generalized polymatroid when the Schubert polynomial is zero-one (see [4, Theorem B]).
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