A cold component and the complex velocity structure of DLA1331 + 170
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ABSTRACT

We examine the velocity structure in the gas associated with HI in the damped Lyα absorption system at redshift \( z = 1.7764 \) towards the QSO 1331 + 170 using Arecibo HI 21cm data, optical spectra from Keck HIRES and ESO VLT-UVES, and a previously published HST STIS ultraviolet spectrum. From the optical data we find at least two, and possibly three, components showing C I lines. One of these has very narrow lines with Doppler parameter \( b = 0.55 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), corresponding to a kinetic temperature of 220K if the broadening is thermal and with a 2-\( \sigma \) upper limit of 480K. We re-examine the H\(_2\) analysis undertaken by Cui et al. (2005) using the neutral carbon velocity structure, and find a model which is, unlike theirs, consistent with a mixture of collisional and background radiation excitation of the observed H\(_2\) rotational levels.

Using Voigt profile fits to absorption lines from a range of singly ionized heavy elements we find eight components covering a velocity range of \( \sim 110 \) km s\(^{-1}\), with a further outlier over 120 km s\(^{-1}\) away from the nearest in the main group. The HI structure is expected to follow some combination of the singly ionized and neutral gas, but the 21cm absorption profile is considerably different. We suggest, as have others, that this may be because the different extent and brightness distributions of the radio and optical background sources mean that the sightlines are not the same, and so the spin temperature derived by comparing the Lyα and 21cm line strengths has little physical meaning. The neutral and singly ionized heavy element line profiles also show significant differences, and so the dominant components in each appear to be physically distinct. Attempts to use the range of atomic masses to separate thermal and turbulent components of their Doppler widths were not generally successful, since there are several blended
components and the useful mass range (about a factor of two) is not very large.

The velocity structure in all ionization stages up to +3, apart from the neutral heavy elements, is sufficiently complex that it is difficult to separate out the corresponding velocity components for different ionization levels and determine their column densities.

Subject headings: Galaxies: ISM — quasars: absorption lines — quasars: individual (1331+170)
1. Introduction

It has long been known that there is significant temperature structure in the interstellar medium in the Galaxy. Theoretical models, as originally proposed by e.g. Field et al. (1969) and McKee & Ostriker (1977) and refined by several authors subsequently, predict a number of phases in pressure equilibrium. These are a cold neutral medium (CNM), characterized by temperatures $T$ of up to a few 100 K; warm neutral and warm ionized media (WNM and WIM) with $1000 \lesssim T \lesssim 10000$ K, and a hot ionized medium (HIM) with $T \gtrsim 10^6$K.

Within the Galaxy, observations of the 21 cm line have led to the detection of hyperfine spin temperatures $\sim 3000 - 8000$ K, characteristic of the warm neutral medium (Carilli, Dwarakanath & Goss, 1998, Kanekar et al., 2003). Observations of both the CNM and WNM are described by Heiles & Troland (2005), who give a range of spin temperatures for the cold medium up to $\sim 200$ K, and upper limit kinetic temperatures for the WNM of up to $\sim 20000$ K. Contrary to the predictions of McKee & Ostriker (1977) a significant fraction of the WNM is at temperatures where the gas is thermally unstable; i.e. $T \approx 500$ to $1500$ K. On the other hand, direct observational confirmation of the temperatures predicted for a thermally stable WNM have been provided by Redfield & Linsky (2004). They used high resolution spectra from the Hubble Space Telescope and compared line profiles from D I with those from heavy elements including Mg II and Fe II to obtain local interstellar medium temperatures $\sim 6500 \pm 1500$ K. They also noted that the line broadening is not always purely thermal, and that there is a mean bulk flow component within regions of a little over $\sim 2$ km s$^{-1}$.

For most heavy element quasar absorption systems the gas is ionized and the kinetic temperature estimates range from $\sim 10^4$K and up to $\sim 10^6$K in some cases where O VI is found. For those with high HI column densities, the damped Ly$\alpha$ systems (DLA), the
gas is clearly neutral, with the heavy elements neutral or singly ionized. However, the ubiquitous presence of CIV and SiIV (Wolfe & Prochaska 2000) and the recent detection of OVI and NV (Fox et al. 2007) absorption indicates that these high redshift gas layers are also multi-phase media. The HI 21 cm spin temperatures provide a means of estimating the thermal conditions in the gas, and generally spin temperatures are measured to be $T_s \gtrsim 10^3$ K (see e.g. the compilation by Curran et al., 2005). On the other hand these estimates assume that the HI column density, $N$(HI), inferred from the Lyα absorption can be used to compute $T_s$ from the 21 cm optical depth. While this is a straightforward procedure which has been used for the past 30 years (see Wolfe & Davis, 1979), it is complicated by the disparity between the physical sizes of the optical and radio beams subtended by the background quasar at the absorption redshift. Whereas the optical beams are typically less than one light year across, VLBI experiments reveal that the radio beams are typically a few hundred pc at the low frequencies of the radio absorption lines (e.g. Briggs et al., 1989; Polatidis et al.,1995; Thakkar et al., 1995). Moreover, the appearance of shifts in interferometer phase between the 21 cm line and continuum in VLBI experiments demonstrates a shift in the brightness centroid of the background radio source, which can only be reproduced by a non-uniform distribution of 21 cm opacity toward radio sources with scale-lengths of over 200 pc (e.g. Wolfe et al., 1976). For these reasons, the values of $T_s$ inferred by these techniques should be regarded as conservative upper limits.

Temperatures may also be inferred from excitation conditions in other ions and from molecular hydrogen. For example, using measurements of the fine structure levels of SiII and CII, Howk, Wolfe & Prochaska (2005) found that the excitation conditions constrain the temperature to $T < 954$ K in one high redshift DLA. In those DLAs with H$_2$ absorption, analyses of the relative populations of the lower rotational states give kinetic temperatures, which are generally less than $\sim 200$ K (e.g Cui et al., 2005; Ledoux, Petitjean & Srianand, 2006).
The relationship between excitation temperatures $T_{\text{ex}}$ and kinetic temperatures, $T$, depends on the balance between collisional and radiative processes. In DLAs exhibiting 21 cm absorption the hyperfine excitation temperature, i.e. the spin temperature $T_s$, likely equals the gas kinetic $T$ because the hyperfine levels are populated mainly by collisions owing to the moderately high densities for the gas, and the long radiative lifetime of the upper ($F=1$) hyperfine state. Furthermore, even in regions with low density, ambient Ly$\alpha$ radiation can couple $T_s$ to $T$ via the Field-Wouthuysen effect (e.g. Field, 1959). Within the Galaxy, there is good agreement between $T$ and $T_{\text{ex}}$ determined from the relative populations of the $J=0$ and $J=1$ rotational states of H$_2$, an agreement that holds for column densities $\log N$(H$_2) \gtrsim 16$ (cm$^{-2}$). However, excitation temperatures deduced from the relative populations of levels with $J \geq 2$ depart from $T$ since spontaneous photon emission rates exceed collisional de-excitation rates due to the short radiative lifetimes. Rather the values of $T_{\text{ex}}$ inferred for these states is determined by the ambient radiation fields and other processes responsible such as H$_2$ formation on grain surfaces.

In this paper we obtain upper limits to the gas kinetic temperature in neutral regions using the C I absorption lines arising in a DLA at redshift $z = 1.77642$ towards the quasar Q1331 + 170. Because this DLA has been detected in 21 cm absorption (Wolfe & Davis 1979), we can compare this temperature with the spin temperature of $T_s \gtrsim 1000$ K. Also the H$_2$ excitation temperature $T_{\text{ex}} \equiv T_{\text{H}_2} = 150$ K (Cui et al. 2005) has been obtained for this DLA. We shall argue that the true kinetic temperature of some of the gas is at most a few 100 K, and that the value of the spin temperature is likely to be misleading as a result of non-uniform coverage of the background radio source. We also re-examine the physical processes responsible for thermal equilibrium in this DLA and remark on a dilemma not previously discussed. Namely, for a plausible range of volume densities, background radiation alone (Haardt & Madau 2001) will drive $T_{\text{ex}} \gg T$. For this reason, the reported H$_2$ level populations in this DLA are difficult to understand. However, adopting the
velocity structure inferred from the CI and applying it to the H$_2$ does allow us to produce a physically consistent picture.

We also examine the singly ionized heavy elements, and two more highly ionized species, to look for corresponding structure. This has been done for several objects as part of large DLA surveys e.g. by Prochaska & Wolfe (1999) and Wolfe & Prochaska (2000), with the general conclusion that the singly ionized species structure is similar to that of AlIII, while the component structure of e.g. CIV and singly ionized species bear little relation to each other. We find generally similar results, and show that, for DLA1331 + 170, the fitting of complex velocity structures and associating components across ionization levels is not always straightforward. Consequently there will be uncertainties in the ionization equilibrium models of DLAs (Howk & Sembach 1999). Also, the AlII/AlIII indicator has been used for the analysis of sub-DLA systems (e.g. Dessauges-Zavadsky et al., 2003).

2. Observations

2.1. Radio

The hitherto unpublished radio spectrum was acquired with the Arecibo 300 m telescope of the National Astronomy and Ionosphere Center near Arecibo, Puerto Rico by one of us (AMW) during April, 1991. Because of improvements in receiver technology, the signal-to-noise ratios (S/N) of the data were significantly improved over the Arecibo discovery spectrum of Wolfe & Davis (1979). The S/N for the data varies between 200 and 400 per 0.7 km s$^{-1}$ pixel, and the resolution after Hanning smoothing is about 1.4 km s$^{-1}$. 
2.2. Optical

The Keck HIRES (Vogt et al. 1994) spectrum of Q1331 + 170 is the one described by Prochaska & Wolfe (1997). It covers the spectral range from 4220 - 6640 Å at a resolution (full width half maximum) of 6.25 km s$^{-1}$, with a number of gaps at wavelengths > 5515 Å. The S/N $\sim$ 100 per 2 km s$^{-1}$ pixel at 5400 Å, decreasing to $\sim$ 60 in the 4300 - 4600 Å region.

The VLT UVES (Dekker et al. 2000) spectrum is based on data available in the ESO archive in July, 2006. This was obtained with two of the standard echelle settings: on 2002-10-04, for ESO program 67.A-0022(A), 3×4500s exposures with blue arm central wavelength 346nm and red arm central wavelength 860nm, and on 2003-03-12, for ESO program 68.A-0170(A), 2×3600s exposures with the blue 390/red 564 setting. 2x2 on-chip binning was used in both cases. See the VLT UVES handbook\(^1\) for more details. The data were extracted and combined using the UVES_popler package\(^2\). The combined spectrum covers the range from 3050 Å to 10080 Å, with gaps at 4517 - 4623, 5597 - 5679, and some more at wavelengths > 6650 Å. The resolution is 7 km s$^{-1}$, and the S/N $\sim$ 40 per 2.5 km s$^{-1}$ pixel at 5400 Å. At 4300 Å S/N $\sim$ 30, but it is better at shorter wavelengths, $\sim$ 35 at 3600 Å.

Voigt profiles convolved with the instrument profile were fitted to the data using the VPFIT package\(^3\), version 9.5. In versions 9 and later the model profiles are generated on a finer grid than the data, and in the application here at least 9 sample points were required across the intrinsic FWHM of the narrowest line so that the intrinsic line profile was adequately modelled. The convolution with the instrumental profile is done before re-sampling back to the original pixel scale for direct comparison with the original data,

\(^1\)http://www.eso.org/sci/facilities/paranal/instruments/uves/doc/index.html

\(^2\)http://astronomy.swin.edu.au/~mmurphy/UVES_popler.html

\(^3\)http://www.ast.cam.ac.uk/~rfc/vpfit.html
so there should be no numerical problems associated with possible undersampling of the absorption profile which might have arisen with previous versions of the program.

3. HI spin temperature

While there appears to be some structure in the 21 cm line profile (see Figs 1 and 8), it is at a marginal level. The overall profile is well fitted by a single Gaussian with $z = 1.7764610 \pm 0.0000039$, $b = 15.7 \pm 0.7$ km s$^{-1}$. Integrating over the optical depth of the entire 21 cm feature gives $\int \tau \, dV = 0.93 \pm 0.03$ km s$^{-1}$.

The HI column density inferred from a Voigt profile fit to the damped Ly$\alpha$ line for the whole complex fitted as a single component (with an additional component in the long wavelength base to allow for the known sub-DLA system at $z = 1.78636$) is $\log N$(HI)$= 21.17$ (cm$^{-2}$), in agreement with Prochaska & Wolfe (1999) who report $\log N$(HI)$= 21.176 \pm 0.041$. The Ly$\alpha$ and 21 cm profiles are shown on the same velocity scale in Fig 1. The formal error in our column density value is $< 0.01$, but systematic errors are likely to predominate. Estimates using different continua and blending from other systems gave a range $21.10 < \log N$(HI)$< 21.24$, so we adopt 0.07 as an error estimate. The redshift is $z = 1.77674 \pm 0.00009$, which is consistent with the 21 cm redshift. If we constrain the Ly$\alpha$ redshift and Doppler parameter to be the same as those for the 21 cm line, then $\log N$(HI)$= 21.17$.

With this value for the HI column density, the spin temperature $T_s = 870 \pm 160$ K, assuming complete coverage of the absorber. This is consistent with the results of Wolfe & Davis (1979), who give $T_s = 980$ K, with a lower limit of 770 K. If we take the covering factor estimate $f = 0.72$ provided by Kanekar et al. (2009), then the corresponding temperature is $T_s = 1360$ K.
4. Neutral Heavy Elements

We first investigate transitions arising from the neutral state of various elements, since these are expected to arise in the CNM phase of the gas. The main lines available in the spectral range covered are from C I and Mg I.

C I in this system has been studied by Songaila et al. (1994), using Keck HIRES spectra of the ground state and fine structure lines in the multiplets at 1656 and 1560 Å to determine excitation temperatures of $T_{\text{ex}} = 10.4 \pm 0.5$ for the component at $z = 1.77638$, and $T_{\text{ex}} = 7.4 \pm 0.8$ at $z = 1.77654$. The UVES spectra extend the coverage so that lines at shorter wavelengths, specifically 1328, 1280 and 1277 Å, may also be used to constrain the component parameters. Some of these are blended with lines of other elements at different redshifts, and all lie within the Ly$\alpha$ forest absorption region. We have carefully fit the C I lines and included possible blends in the fitting procedure, taking advantage of other transitions from these blended lines to help constrain their parameters. Details for each wavelength region used in the fitting procedure are as follows:

- C I 1656 falls in a gap in the VLT UVES coverage, and so the fit relies on Keck HIRES data alone. There is blending with weak C IV 1550 at $z = 1.96616$ in the blue wing. C IV 1548 at this redshift shows a single component only, so the 1550 line has no significant effect on C I 1656 at $z = 1.77637$.

- C I 1560 is covered by both the Keck and VLT spectra. It has Al III 1862 nearby, at $z = 1.32535-1.32884$, and the corresponding Al III 1854 is blended with C IV 1548 at $z = 1.78586-1.78719$. The corresponding C IV 1550 shows that Al III blending of C I 1560 is not significant. C I* 1560 is just shortward of Fe I 2484 at $z = 0.74461$, from a well-known system which shows multi-component Mg II. Fe I 2523 & 2719 were fitted simultaneously at this redshift, showing a single velocity component which does
not affect the CI* lines.

- CI 1328 and lines at shorter wavelength have coverage only from UVES at the VLT. The fit to CI 1328 includes a broad weak Lyα at $z = 2.03594$, but this changes the effective continuum for the CI* lines mainly. It is much broader than the CI* lines measured. CI** 1329 is in the wing of Lyα at $z = 2.03696$, again with small effect on the line parameters.

- CI and CI* 1280 are blended with strong Lyα lines at $z = 1.92398\text{ -1.92471}$. Only the ground state CI 1280 at $z = 1.77637$ is well constrained.

- CI 1277 is blended with weak Lyα at $z = 1.91745, 1.91782$ and 1.91837, affecting mainly the CI* and CI** lines with redshifts $z > 1.7765$ and rest wavelengths $> 1277.5$ Å.

A further complication is that the isotope shifts for $^{13}$CI relative to $^{12}$CI lines at 1656, 1560 and 1328 Å are, respectively, 0.65, -3.30 and -1.65 km s$^{-1}$. These will be particularly important where the lines are intrinsically narrow. For this reason $^{13}$CI was included as a separate species, with wavelengths and oscillator strengths, for those three lines from Morton (2003). For the other two transitions used to fit the CI, at 1280 and 1277 Å, the spectral S/N is lower so the absence of a $^{13}$CI component makes little difference to the final result. We verified this by noting that the removal of $^{13}$CI 1328 (where the S/N is higher than for the 1277 or 1280 lines) from the line list had an insignificant effect on the results.

The results of the profile fitting are shown in Fig 2. A satisfactory fit to the data required the presence of at least three CI velocity components, at velocities of $-5.4, 11.3$ and $23.8$ km s$^{-1}$ relative to an arbitrarily chosen reference redshift of $z = 1.77642$. We henceforth designate these as components N1, N2, and N3 respectively. Details of the fitted parameters for the CI lines, and any others required to fit the data in the regions of those
lines, are given in Table 1. $^{13}$CI was marginally detected in only the narrow component, N2.

The CI Doppler parameter, $b_{CI} = 5.08 \pm 0.24$ km s$^{-1}$, for component N1 corresponds, if the broadening is purely thermal, to a temperature of over 16 000 K, so it is likely that bulk motions are the major contributor. We cannot determine if it is a single component with some bulk motion across or within it, or if the apparent width arises because more than one component is present with separations less than the instrument resolution. The populations of the three CI levels are not consistent with a single excitation temperature - the best fit is $T_{ex} = 11.24 \pm 0.34$ K, but the observed CI** column density is then too high relative to the fit by $\Delta \log N = 0.65$, i.e. over 4.5σ.

The unresolved CI in component N2 with $b_{CI} = 0.55 \pm 0.13$ km s$^{-1}$ has, for thermal line broadening, a kinetic temperature $T = 220$ K, with a 2σ upper limit $T < 480$ K. This is much lower than the 21 cm spin temperature for the whole complex, and much higher than the CI*/CI excitation temperature (which, for this component, is $6.90^{+0.76}_{-0.62}$ K, in agreement with Songaila et al. (1994) to within the errors).

Component N3 is required only for the strongest two CI lines (at 1560 and 1656 Å). It is very broad, and all we can say from its presence is that there are likely to be a number of weak CI components present over a velocity range $\sim 50$ km s$^{-1}$ centered on the redshift given. They do not strongly affect the derived parameters for the two narrower systems.

5. The narrow CI component

5.1. Doppler width determination and its reliability

The CI lines in component N2 are unresolved, and their profiles in the spectral data are close to the instrument profile, so we should consider further whether or not these Doppler
parameters and their error estimates are reliable. If all the lines are unsaturated then the Doppler parameters are not well constrained, as demonstrated by Narayanan et al. (2006). However, it is possible to infer the Doppler parameters for the unblended CI lines to quite good precision provided that not all of the lines are on the linear part of the absorption line curve of growth, as was demonstrated in a similar context by Jorgenson et al. (2009). Strömgren (1948) describes the basic technique as applied to line doublets, and this generalizes to multiple lines, and multiple species. There are many other examples of its use in this way, e.g. Morton (1975), McCandliss (2003) and Cui et al. (2005). For unresolved lines the minimum $\chi^2$ profile fitting technique matches the equivalent widths of the lines, and so if there are a number of saturated as well as unsaturated lines present the Doppler parameter $b$ may be determined even where there are possible blends with other components or ions from different redshift systems.

To illustrate how it applies for the narrow CI component discussed here, and to highlight the circumstances under which we may derive reliable Doppler parameters and column densities from unresolved absorption lines, we have generated a new continuum which contains all absorption lines fitted apart from those of component N2 ground state CI. We then determined equivalent widths, with approximate error estimates, for the component N2 CI 1656, 1560, 1328, 1280 and 1277 Å transitions, and show these in Fig. 3 as error ranges on a curve of growth where the CI column density is the best fit value from Table 1.

It is clear from Fig. 3 that the measured transitions with highest and the lowest oscillator strengths, i.e. 1656 and 1280, are vital for constraining the Doppler parameter. The free parameter along the $x$-axis is the CI column density, and had the strongest line, CI 1656 not been measurable (e.g. through blending) then the remaining points adequately
fit the curves for any larger Doppler parameter - the C I column density could then be a factor of two lower, and the points on the linear part of the curve of growth, and so the Doppler parameter constrained only by the much larger instrument resolution value.

If the weakest line used, C I 1280, had not been measured, then there are much greater uncertainties in the column density, which could then be well over a factor of ten higher with the consequence that the Doppler parameter would be somewhat lower. This would reduce the temperature upper limit, and make any relative abundance analysis very uncertain.

These may be obvious points to make, but when using automated profile fitting procedures one can forget about their inadequacies, or ignore the large error estimates. It is important to check that the constraints one obtains are based on the data, and not e.g. some approximation made in estimating the errors in the parameters.

The VPFIT program provides error estimates from the diagonal terms in the covariance matrix, and these are generally reliable for systems in which the lines are resolved. For systems where the line widths are considerably less than that of the instrument profile the reliability of the estimates for the parameters and their errors has been little investigated. We have done so here by generating 84 simulated spectra with the same S/N as the original data using the line parameters given in Table I. For each of these Voigt profiles were fitted using the same fitting regions as in the original data, and the resultant parameters compared with those used to generate the spectra.

From these trials the distribution of both the log column density and Doppler parameters alone shows that there is no significant difference between the input and mean output values. For the column density the mean and standard deviation are \( \log N(\text{C I}) = 13.077 \) and \( s = 0.104 \), compared with an input value of \( \log N(\text{C I}) = 13.064 \) and VPFIT error estimate of 0.134. For the Doppler parameter input \( b = 0.553 \), with VPFIT error 0.125, the mean estimate from the 84 trials is \( \bar{b} = 0.561 \), with a standard
deviation of 0.082. Therefore the difference between mean values from the simulated spectra differ from the input values by about the estimated error for those means (for the log column densities the difference is 0.013 and error estimate 0.011; for the Doppler parameters the corresponding quantities are 0.009 and 0.008).

From the same trials we find that the carbon isotope ratio and its error estimate for this component $\log (N(^{12}\text{C}I)/N(^{13}\text{C}I)) = 1.28 \pm 0.31$ are reliable. A $2\sigma$ lower limit for the $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ ratio is 5.

Further checks were undertaken to verify that there are no additional uncertainties in the analysis of the data:

- The stopping criterion for the iterations involved in the fitting process was chosen to be when a change in $\chi^2 < 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$. For any search where convergence to the solution is slow this could result in e.g. the Doppler parameter being biased towards the initial guess relative to the true value. Tests showed this concern to be unfounded for the stopping criterion adopted.

- Tests were run to verify that the adopted instrument resolution did not significantly affect the results. It is difficult to see how the resolution in each case could be worse than the slit-limited values which were adopted for the fits described above, but in conditions of good seeing the values of the FWHM appropriate for the spectra could be lower. We attempted to estimate the instrumental resolution by minimizing $\chi^2$ for profile fits to the Fe II 2344, 2374, 2382, 2586 and 2600 A lines at $z = 1.328$, and found somewhat broad minima with FWHM~ 5.8 km s$^{-1}$ for both the HIRES and UVES data. Adopting this value yields log $N(\text{CI}) = 13.07 \pm 0.18$ with $b = 0.47 \pm 0.18$ for system N2.

- Jenkins & Tripp (2001) have estimated CI oscillator strengths from interstellar
medium absorption in early-type stars using HST STIS data. Jenkins (private communication) has also suggested that the CI 1560 oscillator strength, which is given by Morton (2003) as $f_{ik} = 0.0774$, could be as high as $f_{ik} = 0.1316$. Voigt profile fits with the Jenkins & Tripp (2001) $f$-values give results which differ by only a little from those obtained using the Morton (2003) values, with $b = 0.53 \pm 0.13$ km s$^{-1}$ and $\log N$(CI) = 12.96 ± 0.17, though the $\chi^2$ statistic for the fit is now somewhat too high.

From all these trials we conclude that the parameter estimates for system N2 from VPFIT, for this mixture of saturated and unsaturated lines, are reliable, though the VPFIT error estimates are too high by a factor of $\sim 1.3$ - 1.5. In particular, the best estimate for the CI Doppler parameter from the trials is $b_{\text{CI}} = 0.553 \pm 0.082$. This corresponds to a temperature of $T = 220$ K if the broadening is thermal, and in any case the $2\sigma$ upper limit to the kinetic temperature for this component is 480 K. Consequently the gas in component N2 is very likely to be a CNM.

5.2. Physical conditions derived from CI

The closeness of the CI excitation temperature $6.90^{+0.76}_{-0.62}$ K to the microwave background temperature at the system redshift, $T_{\text{CMB}} = 2.725(1 + z_{\text{abs}}) = 7.57$ K, allows us to place an approximate upper limit to the density in the region, assuming that its temperature is significantly higher, since the density must be low enough that collisional processes are unimportant. We find a hydrogen number density $n_{\text{H}} \lesssim 3$ cm$^{-3}$. Under these circumstances, if most of the elements are neutral, we can put a lower limit on the size of the cloud by estimating the amount of neutral hydrogen associated with the cloud as follows: Assuming solar relative abundances, $[\text{C/H}]/\odot = -3.61$, and therefore, $\log n(\text{H})_{\text{cloud}} = \log n(\text{CI})_{\text{cloud}} + 3.61$. Summing over the ground and excited states gives the total $\log n(\text{CI})_{\text{cloud}} = 13.10$ cm$^{-2}$, therefore $N(\text{HI})_{\text{cloud}} = 10^{16.71}$ cm$^{-2}$. The cloud must
therefore be greater than \( \ell = N(\text{HI})/n(\text{HI}) \gtrsim 1.7 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm} = 0.006 \text{ pc} \). This lower limit is sufficiently small that we would, without evidence from \( \text{H}_2 \), be concerned that the CI absorber only partially covers the background source. We believe this is not the case, for the reason given at the end of the next section (6).

### 6. Molecular hydrogen

#### 6.1. Results from a single component fit

Further evidence for CNM gas in DLA1331+170 stems from the detection of \( \text{H}_2 \) absorption lines at redshift \( z = 1.776553 \) in the Lyman and Werner bands with rest wavelengths from the Lyman limit to \( \sim 1120 \text{ Å} \) (Cui et al. 2005). These authors found the rotational level populations between \( J = 0 \) and \( J = 5 \) to be consistent with a Boltzmann distribution characterized by a single excitation temperature, \( T_{ex} = 152 \pm 10 \text{ K} \). This differs from the Galaxy ISM where low values of \( T_{ex} \) apply for \( J = 0 \) to \( J = 2 \), or \( J = 1 \) to \( J = 3 \), while higher values of \( T_{ex} \) apply if higher values of \( J \) are considered. The standard interpretation of the ISM results is that for ortho- (odd \( J \)) and para- (even \( J \)) \( \text{H}_2 \) separately the rotational states with the lower values of \( J \) are collisionally populated which drives \( T_{ex} \) toward the kinetic temperature, \( T \), while rotational states with higher values of \( J \) are populated both by UV pumping and by the formation processes on grain surfaces which leave \( \text{H}_2 \) in the \( J = 4 \) state (Spitzer & Zweibel 1974). The radiative processes are dominant at high \( J \) values because radiative self-shielding is less important. As a result, the constant value of \( T_{ex} \) in the case of DLA1331+170 implies that \( T_{ex} = T \) and that collisional processes dominate radiative processes for all of the rotational \( J \) levels \( J = 0 \) to \( J = 5 \).

If collisional processes dominate for \( J = 4 \) and 5, then we can place a lower limit on the gas density. It must then exceed the critical density \( n_{\text{crit}} = A_{J,J-2}/q_{J,J-2} \), where \( A_{J,J-2} \) and
$q_{J, J-2}$ are the rates of de-excitation due to spontaneous photon decay and collisions through transitions between the $J$ and $J-2$ states. For the $J=4\rightarrow2$ transition, $n_{\text{crit}}$ must exceed $\sim 5 \times 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ when $T=150 \text{ K}$. The gas giving rise to H$_2$ absorption has some fraction of the total $N$(H I) column density associated with it, so for this region $N$(H I) $\leq 1.5 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. The corresponding length-scale of a cloud with this volume density is $d \leq 0.1 \text{ pc}$, so much less than the size of the optical continuum source of the background quasar, which probably exceeds 0.5 pc. Therefore, unless the gas is in a thin sheet perpendicular to the sightline, the smaller H$_2$ absorbing cloud cannot cover the continuum source, which it must do in order to produce the saturated and damped absorption lines that arise in DLA1331+170.

For these reasons Cui et al. (2005) assume that radiative rather than collisional processes govern the population of the rotational states up to $J \geq 4$. But in that case the similarity between the $T_{\text{ex}}$ computed for states with $J \geq 4$ and $J < 4$ (where $T_{\text{ex}}=T$) would be a coincidence. To obtain the required level populations, Cui et al. (2005) find that at $\lambda \approx 1000 \text{ Å}$, $J_{\nu} = 6.7 \times 10^{-23} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}$, which they claim is a reasonable value for FUV background radiation at $z=1.77$, since if one assumes a frequency dependence of $\nu^{-0.5}$, $J_{\nu}$ is in accord with the value of $7.6 \times 10^{-23} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ at the Lyman limit inferred from the proximity effect in the Ly$\alpha$ forest. However, the problem with this argument is that a power-law extrapolation across the Lyman limit frequency, while valid for the quasar contribution to the FUV background, ignores the dominant contribution of Lyman Break galaxies at FUV frequencies. According to Haardt & Madau (2001), $J_{\nu} \approx 3 \times 10^{-20} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ at $\lambda \approx 1000 \text{ Å}$, which is a factor of 500 higher than the Cui et al. (2005) estimate. The effect of the Haardt & Madau (2001) background on the level populations is shown in Fig. 4, which plots the quantity $N_J/g_J$ versus $(E_J - E_0)/k$, where $N_J$ and $g_J$ are the column densities and degeneracies of the $J^{th}$ rotational state, and $E_J$ is the corresponding energy eigenvalue. We show the odd and even $J$ lower level
populations at the same excitation temperature since that is what the data indicates, but have not considered processes linking the two.

As a result our analysis of the $H_2$ absorption lines arising in DLA1331+170 has raised the following dilemma: If the $J=0 \rightarrow 5$ rotational states are populated by collisions, then the scale length of the $H_2$ absorbing gas would be too small. On the other hand if the rotational states with $J \geq 4$ are radiatively populated by plausible FUV radiation fields, the predicted values of $N_J/g_J$ would considerably exceed the values inferred from a single $H_2$ cloud model fit.

6.2. Interpreting the $H_2$ data: Multiple component molecular hydrogen

The presence of velocity components N1, N2 and N3 hints at a possible solution to this dilemma; namely, the bulk of the $H_2$ gas resides in the narrow-lined component N2, with the broader components N1 and N3 containing a smaller amount. Consider the evidence. First, our best estimate for the kinetic temperature of component N2, $T \sim 200$ K (from CI if the line broadening is thermal), is consistent with the $H_2$ excitation temperature, $T_{\text{ex}} = 152 \pm 10$ K obtained by Cui et al. (2005). Second, the redshift difference between component N2 and $H_2$ absorption, 3.0 km s$^{-1}$, is less than the difference between $H_2$ and either of the other two CI components. Although the Doppler parameter for the $H_2$ absorption complex, $b = 13.9 \pm 0.5$ km s$^{-1}$ is considerably greater than that of component N2, we suggest that while component N2 is embedded in the more turbulent medium that dominates the velocity structures of the $H_2$ absorption lines with higher $J$ values, it none-the-less contains the bulk of the $H_2$ gas. Consequently, while component N2 is responsible for most of the damping-wing absorption produced for the $J=0$ and 1 lines, its low Doppler velocities imply that the absorption from states with higher $J$ values will be dominated by absorption arising from the more turbulent velocity structures N1 and N3.
Stated differently, the values of $N_J$ for $J \geq 3$ may have been under-estimated by the failure to identify the low equivalent widths generated by component N2. As a result, the $N_J/g_J$ versus $(E_J - E_0)/k$ curve may in fact be consistent with FUV radiation intensities due to the Haardt & Madau (2001) backgrounds and possibly low rates of local star formation.

Finally, it is well-known that because CI and H$_2$ are photoionized and photo-dissociated by photons of similar energy, they are likely to be co-spatial and hence show similar velocity structure.

To investigate this possibility further we have performed our own analysis of the Cui et al. (2005) STIS data, assuming a component structure suggested by the CI results. We have taken three components for H$_2$, two at the redshifts corresponding to the CI lines in components N1 and N2. Since the redshift of the third CI component, N3, is not well constrained the third H$_2$ component redshift (denoted N3', $z = 1.7767176 \pm 0.0000067$) was determined by the profile fit to the molecular hydrogen lines. The Doppler parameters were constrained so they are physically consistent with those of the corresponding CI i.e. $b_{CI} \leq b_{H_2} \leq \sqrt{6} b_{CI}$, with the limits corresponding to purely turbulent and purely thermal broadening. For the narrow component the adopted Doppler parameter was $b_{H_2} = 1.0$ km s$^{-1}$. The parameters for the profile fits obtained with these constraints are given in Table 2, which also lists the transitions used in the fits. In this case the low $J$ absorption is dominated by component N1, while the higher $J$ is dominated more by the other two velocity components. This is illustrated in Fig. 5 using selected transitions for each $J$ level.

Our three-component fit to the H$_2$ lines is by no means unique, but it does serve to provide a more physically consistent picture of the complex, at least within the rather large errors. The single-component fit giving an apparent single excitation temperature for all excitation levels arises simply because different components dominate different $J$-values,
and is unlikely to reflect the true physical conditions in the individual components.

The molecular hydrogen results also suggest that here, as in the case of Jorgenson et al. (2009), the narrow components inferred for CI are unlikely to be an artefact caused by a small covering factor for the absorbing gas, since the corresponding saturated H$_2$ have zero residual intensities.

7. Ionized heavy elements

7.1. Singly ionized species

While DLA1331 + 170 presents clear evidence for the presence of cold gas, it is difficult to determine how much of the neutral hydrogen is associated with it, and so what the overall cold gas fraction of the DLA may be. In an attempt to clarify the overall structure of the DLA we analyze the non-neutral heavy elements.

Some of the HI will be associated with singly ionized heavy elements e.g. CII, MgII, SiII, FeII etc., so that at least part of the component structure of HI will be traced by these ions. For the $z = 1.77642$ complex discussed here, the dominant components of all the available lines of CII and MgII are either strongly saturated or too weak to be measurable, so they provide little useful information. For this complex SiII 1808, SII 1250, 1259, MnII 2576, FeII 1608, 2249, 2374 and NiII 1370, 1709, 1741, 1751 have the right combination of oscillator strength and column density to be useful, and the saturated lines SiII 1260, 1304, 1526 were also used to provide some additional constraints. Some other potentially useful lines were found to be blended with strong lines from other redshift systems, so were omitted from the analysis. All the elements used, with the exception of sulphur, have ionization potentials for I-II in the range 7.4 - 8.2 eV, and II - III from
15.6 - 18.2 eV, so they should arise predominantly in the same regions. For sulphur the corresponding potentials are 10.4 and 23.3 eV, so there could be some differences but we failed to find any. For AlII there is only a single transition available, at 1670A, and it was so saturated that the column densities of the dominant components could not be determined.

Since we are interested in physical entities, we have fitted the multiple ions to common redshifts, and constrained the Doppler parameters assuming that bulk motions have a Gaussian distribution, and so the bulk and thermal motions for each ion add in quadrature. Then, for each component, \( b = \sqrt{b_{\text{turb}}^2 + 2kT/m} \), where \( b_{\text{turb}} \) is the turbulent (bulk) component, \( k \) Boltzmann’s constant, \( m \) the ion mass and \( T \) the temperature. In terms of the VPFIT program used, this involves fitting \( b_{\text{turb}} \) and \( T \) for at least two ions of different mass at the same redshift simultaneously, with the constraints that both variables are non-negative. The error estimates from the program then apply to \( b_{\text{turb}} \) and \( T \), not to the Doppler parameters for the individual ions.

From the fitting analysis 9 separate velocity components are needed, labelled S1 - S9 in increasing redshift order. Details of these are given in Table 3 along with parameters for other systems with lines in the fitting regions used. All the lines fitted were available in the UVES spectrum, and for the HIRES data FeII 2249, SiII 1808, NiII 1751, NiII 1709, FeI 1608 and part of SiII 1526 were included as well. The fitted line profiles and component structure are shown against the UVES data in Figs 6 and 7. An additional component at \( z = 1.7748722 \) was included, since SiII 1260 from that system is blended with SII 1259 from the main complex. Its structure was determined using CII 1334, MgII 2796, 2803, SiII 1193, 1304, 1526. FeII is not seen in this component, with an upper limit \( \log N(\text{FeII}) < 11.5 \) \( \text{(cm}^{-2}, 2\sigma) \).

The component structure given in Table 3 is somewhat different from that given by Prochaska & Wolfe (1997), who illustrate a fitted profile to the SiII 1808 line. A large
part of the difference probably comes about because we have used the additional UVES spectrum and fitted many transitions where they used just one.

For determining which ions provide the strongest constraints it can be useful to have Doppler parameter error estimates for individual ions rather than those for the variables $b_{\text{turb}}$ and $T$. Indicative values are given Table 3. Here the error estimates given for the individual Doppler parameters for each ion were computed by assuming that the best-fit $b$-value is the tied one, but computing the errors as if the tied constraint were not applied. In some cases the error exceeded the Doppler parameter, so there is no useful constraint. These are indicated by a dash in the table.

As can be seen from Table 3 for the component structure inferred here, bulk motions dominate in several cases. These are S3, S4, S5, S6, S8 and S9, where the Doppler parameters have similar values for all ions. For S1 and S7, however, thermal broadening is more important, though the temperature estimate for S7, at 95 000 K, is somewhat higher than we might expect. There are several components across the velocity range, and so, partly because most of the systems are now blended and partly because the mass range over which reliable Doppler parameters can be obtained is at most from $^{28}\text{Si}$ to $^{56}\text{Fe}$ i.e. a factor of less than 2, the error estimates for both $b_{\text{turb}}$ and $T$ are rather large. For component S7, the $1\sigma$ error estimate is almost 70 000 K, so within the errors the temperature could be only a few $\times 10^4$ K.

Most temperature errors are smaller, and in two cases (S4 & S5) the $2\sigma$ upper limit indicates temperatures of $\sim 2 \times 10^4$ K or less. In general the temperatures are at least consistent with the notion that the material is in the WNM with temperatures of $\sim 1 - 3 \times 10^4$ K. However, the errors are large, and so CNM gas is an equally viable interpretation.
7.2. More highly ionized heavy elements

We may explore the structure of more highly ionized species, though because they have a range of ionization potentials, we cannot necessarily treat them as coming predominantly from the same physical regions. The motivation for doing this is to check whether or not comparison of the column densities of close ions, such as Al\textsubscript{II} and Al\textsubscript{III}, provides a reliable indicator of the ionization state of the gas.

The doubly ionized species detected in our observed range are Si\textsubscript{III} 1206 and Al\textsubscript{III} 1854, 1862. Si\textsubscript{III} has only a single line which is saturated, in the Ly\textsubscript{α} forest and close to the strong damped Ly\textsubscript{α} associated with the system, so it provides little useful information. Fe\textsubscript{III} 1122 is potentially observable, but in a region in the Ly\textsubscript{α} forest (< 3120\text{Å}) where the S/N in the data is poor. Consequently we have used only the Al\textsubscript{III} doublet to investigate the component structure here. The results are given in Table 4 and the component structure shown against other ions in Fig. 8.

Similarly we can investigate the structure of triply ionized species, such as Si\textsubscript{IV} and C\textsubscript{IV} through their doublet absorption lines. In this case we choose Si\textsubscript{IV} only, since its ionization potential (45.1eV) is less than that of He\textsubscript{II} (54.4eV), whereas that of C\textsubscript{IV} (64.5eV) is above the He\textsubscript{II} value, and therefore the two species may not be predominantly in the same regions. The Prochaska & Wolfe (1999) and Wolfe & Prochaska (2000) studies also show that while there is a general similarity in the Si\textsubscript{IV} and C\textsubscript{IV} velocity structure, the correspondence is not perfect. The results for the Voigt profile fits to the Si\textsubscript{IV} 1393, 1402 doublet are given in Table 4.
8. Comparing the various components

8.1. Comparing profiles

Figure 8 shows the HI 21cm profile with representative lines from neutral, singly and more highly ionized heavy elements. This is quite instructive, since there are some features which may be common to more than one ionization level and others which seem to correspond less well.

The HI 21cm profile stands out as having little in common with any of the others. While we have no direct measure of what the velocity distribution of the damped Lyα absorption is from the UVES spectrum, if the heavy element abundances are not too disparate between velocity components it would be surprising if it did not roughly follow that of the the higher column density heavy element neutral and singly ionized species. Therefore we expect it to follow S4 - S8 reasonably well, with some contribution from N1 and N2.

The HI 21cm line is broad and, at the S/N available, smooth, and is consistent with the absorption arising in a single cloud at a temperature of $T = 15000\,\text{K}$. The difference in shape from that expected from the heavy elements, and the redshift of the maximum absorption from any of the components, make it difficult to escape the conclusion that it and the Lyα absorption have little to do with each other. This reinforces the notion, raised in the context of other objects by Curran et al. (2007) and Kanekar et al. (2009), that the 21cm and Lyα absorption lines do not arise in the same region(s), possibly because the radio source is extended and so it and the optical source are not coincident. Consequently the derivation of a spin temperature by using the radio 21 cm and the optical Lyα lines may well be inappropriate.
The various ionization stages of the heavy element lines show considerable overlap, especially for the lower ionization states. However, from Voigt profile fitting each ionization stage independently, it is not always clear for adjacent ionization levels what velocity structure they have in common. This is most readily seen from Fig. 8 with details of redshift and Doppler parameters in Tables 1, 3 and 4. Comparative details extracted from these tables are given, in redshift order, in Table 5. In this table we have chosen Si II as the representative of the singly ionized components, since the silicon and aluminium atomic masses are close in value and so the Doppler parameters of any cospatial components should be nearly the same.

In Table 5 possible groupings of systems are indicated as those between horizontal lines, and for those where the redshifts and Doppler parameters are compatible right hand brackets. Others within groupings may have significant components in common, especially where the Doppler parameters are significantly different. And, of course, the component structure inferred by assuming the minimum number of components consistent with the data may not reflect reality, and in any case does not necessarily lead to unique results (see Kirkman & Tytler, 1997, for a discussion applied to the Lyα forest). However it is not immediately clear what assumptions we should make to attempt to disentangle individual physical components.

One possibility is to assume the absorption for each component comes from a single region or cloud. If material with different ionization levels is cospatial, then the redshifts should be the same and the Doppler parameters should reflect the same bulk flows and temperatures. A variant of this was adopted by Milutinovic et al. (2010), who assumed that the same redshifts and Doppler parameters applied for neutral to doubly-ionized species in a $z_{\text{abs}} \sim 2$ sub-DLA. While this approach might be appropriate for high $b$-values, we doubt its validity for narrow lines where thermal broadening may be important since a $\sqrt{T/m}$
term which depends on the atomic mass $m$ will make a significant contribution. Even allowing for this, there may well be temperature structure linked with ionization structure within a cloud which receives ionizing radiation from an external source. This may provide an explanation for the significant difference in Doppler parameters between components N2 and S5, which share a common redshift so could well be parts of the same structure. If there is any AlIII associated with this component, it can not be separated from the much broader D4.

Similarly, it is also tempting to suggest that N1 and S4 are parts of the same cloud, though the Doppler parameters are rather large and difficult to reconcile with a turbulent+thermal model. Also, here the component velocities relative to the reference redshift, $\Delta v$, are significantly different. However, the velocity difference ($1.1 \pm 0.2$ km s$^{-1}$) is small, and consistent with that which might be expected from a photodissociation region (Hollenbach & Tielens 1999), implying that the physical association picture may still be correct. So in this case a thermal and bulk flow separation within the entity could provide an explanation. Once again, any associated AlIII is not discernible, but could be hidden in components D2 and D3.

Despite the above considerations, we further explored possible constraints by forcing different ionization levels to have the same redshifts, but allowing the Doppler parameters to differ between them. In the end this is not useful because the blending of components leads to ill-constrained solutions, and the column densities in for the components forced into the blends have large errors. For example, because of the small but significant difference in the redshift centroids between N1 and S4, the singly ionized component S4 had to be divided into two, one corresponding to the CI component N1 and another, S4’, still close to the initial S4. The column density errors in the new part of S4 corresponding to N1 were high (generally $> 0.2$ dex from VPFIT, so likely to be underestimates), and provided little
new information. Similarly the errors in the AlI\textsc{iii} column density in the component forced to the redshift of S4' were high, formally a factor of 1000, and so not useful. Some attempts on subsets where the Doppler parameters were also constrained yielded similar results - the column density errors were again too large for the values to be useful.

While it is clear that some AlI\textsc{iii} is associated with the singly ionized components, some is also predominantly associated with Si\textsc{iv}. A similar association of some of the AlI\textsc{iii} with higher ionization components was noted in GB1759 \textsc{+} 7539 by Prochaska et al. (2002). A consequence of this is that associating the total AlI\textsc{iii} column density with the Al\textsc{ii} (or its proxy, Si\textsc{ii}) will lead to an over-estimate of the amount of ionized material associated directly with the HI regions. Unfortunately for DLA1331 \textsc{+} 170 we were unable to associate velocity components across ionization states to obtain good relative column densities. Similar ambiguities arise in a detailed study of a nearby multi-phase Galactic interstellar cloud (Nehmé et al. 2008) for similar reasons - there are too many indistinct components in velocity space.

8.2. Cold gas

Wolfe, Gawiser \textsc{&} Prochaska (2003) have shown that the bulk of the medium showing C\textsc{ii}$^\ast$ absorption must be cold in general. Since we have another indicator of cold gas in C\textsc{i} which is largely distinct from that traced by the singly ionized heavy elements, we could, at least in principle, check this directly. In DLA1331 \textsc{+} 170 the C\textsc{ii}$^\ast$ 1335 transition is blended with a Ly\textsc{\alpha} forest line at $z = 2.0506465$, so the weaker C\textsc{ii}$^\ast$ 1335 lines are partly masked out. There are sharper C\textsc{ii}$^\ast$ 1335 components within the Ly\textsc{\alpha} profile, and they are compatible with both the N1-N3 and S2-S9 structure. The C\textsc{ii}$^\ast$ 1335/Ly\textsc{\alpha} profile is shown
Fitting models based upon the velocity structure of the neutral and singly ionized lines, along with the Lyα forest line, results in each case in summed column densities for CII∗ which are smaller than that quoted in Table 1 in \textit{Wolfe, Prochaska & Gawiser} (2003). They quote $\log N(\text{CII}^*) = 14.05 \pm 0.05$, while we find that, for the sum $\log N(\text{CII}^*) = 13.59 \pm 0.09$ (neutral CI model) or $13.57 \pm 0.55$ (singly ionized model).

The relationship between the CI and H$_2$ is also not completely clear. The H$_2$ excitation temperature of $152 \pm 10$ K given by (\textit{Cui et al.} 2005) is consistent with the kinetic 2σ temperature limit of $< 480$ K we find for the CI associated with component B. However their estimate is based on a single-component model, while the CI which we expect should be co-spatial, shows at least three velocity components. We have shown in section \textsection6 that a three-component fit for the H$_2$ is compatible with the CI and does, within the rather large errors, allow the H$_2$ upper level populations to be consistent with the FUV background radiation. The temperature results are summarized in Table 6. The para- and ortho- H$_2$ excitation temperatures agree at about the combined 1σ level, though there may be a small radiative term enhancing the $J = 3$ level.

Because the isotope shift for $^{13}$C relative to $^{12}$C is potentially measurable, $^{13}$C was included in in the analysis, though it made little difference to the final results. Our lower limit of $^{12}$C/$^{13}$C$> 5$ (2σ) for component N2 is considerably smaller than the lower limit $^{12}$C/$^{13}$C$> 80$ given by \textit{Levshakov et al.} (2006) from their analysis of the CI 1560 and 1656 ground state and fine structure transitions at redshift $z = 1.150789$ towards HE0515 – 4414. We suspect that the errors they give may be optimistic, since our reanalysis of the archive data for that object indicates that $^{12}$C/$^{13}$C$> 22$, with the main constraint coming from the CI∗ fine structure lines. However, we are still some way from being able to use carbon isotope abundance limits to investigate chemical enrichment mechanisms at high redshifts.
Prantzos, Aubert & Audouze (1996) have shown that for timescales $< 5 \times 10^9$ years this ratio is $> 100$. For very low metallicity stars, which may be more appropriate for the DLA1331 + 170 case, that ratio can be even higher, $\gtrsim 1000$ (Lau, Stancliffe & Tout 2007).

Given the upper limit on the temperature, and the upper limit on the density of $n_H \lesssim 3$ cm$^{-3}$ we could consider, as in Jorgenson et al. (2009), whether or not the narrow component cloud could be gravitationally confined. However, it is not clear how much of the HI is associated with the cold component here, so we are not able to draw any firm conclusions. If we guess that, say, 10% of the HI seen is associated with the CI, corresponding to $\log N$(HI) = 20.17 (cm$^{-2}$) then we find that the cloud is unlikely to be gravitationally bound.

9. Conclusions

We have presented here a second DLA with a hidden, cold component revealed through narrow CI lines. The first, described by Jorgenson et al. (2009), used curve-of-growth techniques on an isolated CI component. Here we have shown that, even if there is a small amount of blending, it is possible to determine line widths, and temperature limits (in this case $< 480$ K), well below spectral resolution if the spectra contain a number of lines of the same ion with differing oscillator strengths, provided that they span different parts of the classical curve of growth. This is neither new nor surprising, but it is comforting to know that what are usually thought of as ‘profile fitting’ packages don’t rely exclusively on the line profile information, and that the Doppler parameter error estimates are reliable.

We note that while the spin, excitation, and kinetic temperatures within the absorbing system differ considerably those temperatures that should be physically consistent indeed are, as in the narrow component kinetic temperature and the lower $J$ molecular hydrogen
excitation. Even given this agreement, the single velocity component analysis of the higher $J$ H$_2$ excitation yielded level populations which are too low for the expected background radiation levels. This conflict is removed by decomposing the H$_2$ into three velocity components, corresponding to those found for C I. This example shows the potential importance of considering multi-component models to help interpret observed molecular hydrogen level populations.

Attempts to determine temperatures for singly ionized components using transitions from ions with a range of atomic masses were not generally successful. For the strong components the transitions of the lightest ions, such as C II, are saturated, and so weaker lines from Si II and Fe II have to be used, and even for these blending adds significantly to the uncertainties. The weak limits we have obtained are consistent with temperatures of up to a few $\times 10^4$ K.

While common components across various close ionization levels are clearly evident, the detailed overall correspondence in velocity and Doppler parameter between the various ionization levels I - IV is not very good. In particular, there are differences between the close ions Si II and Al III, with some of the Al III associated instead with higher ionization material. Consequently, attempts to derive ionization corrections using ratios using these could well give misleading results unless there is a detailed study of corresponding velocity structures. Associating all the Al III with the lower ionization species is likely to overestimate the amount of ionized gas directly associated with the HI gas. While the results here apply to a DLA system, they suggest that the interpretation of abundances relative to hydrogen in sub-DLAs, where ionization corrections are more crucial, may need to be re-examined. This will not be very important for DLAs, where the average heavy element abundances in each system are reasonably well estimated by comparing
singly ionized heavy elements with HI, since the total column densities are usually well constrained (see e.g. the VPFIT version 9.5 program documentation, section 11.4) and the ionization corrections small.

The profile and component disparity between the heavy elements which should trace the neutral hydrogen reasonably well, the neutral and singly ionized components, and the 21cm absorption profile, reinforces the suggestion that the 21cm and Lyα lines are only weakly related. This may be due to the fact that the background radio emission is more spatially extended than the optical source, and so the sightlines sampled are not the same. This leads to the conclusion that, if this is a typical example, the individual 21cm spin temperatures determined by comparing the 21cm and Lyα lines are physically irrelevant.
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Fig. 1.— The HI damped Ly$\alpha$ and 21 cm absorption line profiles shown against unit continuum on the same velocity scale relative to a reference redshift $z = 1.77642$. The data are shown in black with Voigt profile fit to the damped Ly$\alpha$ at $z = 1.77674$ shown in green. The fitted curve departs from the data at longer wavelengths in the base of the damped Ly$\alpha$ line because of the presence of another (sub-DLA) absorption system at $z = 1.78636$. The 21 cm line is also shown offset to the right and expanded by a factor of 10 in both x- and y- directions, with a grey tick mark indicating the reference redshift and a green one the fitted line centroid.
Fig. 2. — The C I lines on a velocity scale relative to a reference redshift $z = 1.77642$. The data are shown in black with Voigt profile fits to C I in dark blue, C I* in green, C I** as dark blue dot-dash (discernible only for the N1 component in the C I 1656 profile at $\sim 5$ km s$^{-1}$), and unrelated lines at different redshifts as grey dots. The possible $^{13}$C I component is shown in orange. The total fitted profiles are shown in red. The continuum is normalized to unity, and different zero offsets (of an integer $\times 0.5$) have been applied to separate the various transitions. The tick marks show the centroids of the fitted features for the C I components N1, N2 and N3 (see text). The green tick marks indicate the positions for the C I* transitions in each wavelength region.
Fig. 3.— Curves of growth for Doppler parameters $b = 0.25, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0$ and $4.0$ km s$^{-1}$ (solid lines) and for the component B best-fit value of $b = 0.55$ km s$^{-1}$ (green). Estimated ranges for the equivalent widths $w$ of the CI transitions at rest wavelengths $\lambda = 1656, 1560, 1277, 1328$ and $1280$ give the $w/\lambda$ values ordered from right to left. For CI 1560 both the HIRES (red filled circles) and UVES (blue squares) equivalent width ranges are shown. The best estimate column density $\log N = 13.06$ was used to set the $x$-position, and the error range corresponding to the uncertainty in $\log N$ is shown against the 1656A line.
Fig. 4.— The distribution of H$_2$ over $J$-levels 0 - 5. The abscissa is the energy of the level relative to $J = 0$, and the ordinate is the column density divided by the level degeneracy. The black points with error bars show the Cui et al. (2005) values with error bars, and the green points are the expected values for an excitation temperature of $T_{\text{ex}} = 150$ K. The red points show the expected distribution for a mixture of collisional excitation at this temperature and the Haardt-Madau background radiation at redshift $z = 1.7765$ for a range of densities as indicated, with the highest points corresponding to the lowest densities.
Fig. 5.— Representative H$_2$ absorption lines from the $J = 0$ to 5 levels for the three-component model described in the text. The data are shown in black, the overall fit in green, and the individual contributions from the $z = 1.7763702, 1.7765246$ and 1.7767176 components in red, blue and turquoise respectively. The zero-velocity reference is $z = 1.776420$, the continuum is set to 0.8 everywhere, and successive lines are offset in $y$ by 1.0. The narrow component (blue) dominates the $J = 0$ and 1 lines, but is only a minor contributor for $J = 4$ and 5.
Fig. 6.— The singly ionized complex centered on redshift $z = 1.776420$ showing the total fits in red. These include unrelated blends and the component structure for the lines in the complex. Component velocities and labels (see Table 3) are marked in the corresponding colours. The continuum level is set at 0.9 and each line biased up by an integer amount to separate them. Fe II 2586 was not included in the fitted regions because of the possibility that the weak structure seen in the blue wing may extend into the line itself, but is shown here as an example of the consistency of the fit obtained using other lines. The dark grey component shown in the S II 1259 profile is Si II 1260 at $z = 1.7748722$. 
Fig. 7.— The singly ionized complex centered on redshift $z = 1.776420$ showing the total fits in red, and the component structure for the lines in the complex for the weaker lines. Component velocities and labels (see Table 3) are marked in the corresponding colours. The continuum and zero level for the Fe II 1608 are unity and zero, as shown, but for the other lines the vertical scale has been stretched by a factor of four, so the zero levels are four units below the continuum which has been biased upward in each case by an integer amount to separate the lines.
Fig. 8.— The velocity structure of the HI 21cm, CI 1656, SiII 1808 AlIII 1854 and SiIV 1402 absorption lines on a common velocity scale. The total Voigt profile fits are shown in red, and individual components for the various ions shown in colours corresponding to the labels given in Tables 1, 3 and 4.
Table 1: CI and blends

| Ion   | z          | log N  | ±     | Notes                             |
|-------|------------|--------|-------|-----------------------------------|
| Fe I  | 0.7446128  | 12.04  | 0.02  | Fe I 2484 near C I* 1560          |
| Al III| 1.3253472  | 12.30  | 0.02  | Al III 1862 near C I 1560        |
| Al III| 1.3281613  | 12.12  | 0.06  |                                   |
| Al III| 1.3282625  | 12.21  | 0.05  |                                   |
| Al III| 1.3285315  | 12.55  | 0.01  |                                   |
| Al III| 1.3288447  | 11.76  | 0.04  |                                   |
| C I   | 1.7763702  | 13.08  | 0.02  | N1 (−5.4 ± 0.1 km s\(^{-1}\))    |
| C I*  | 1.7763702  | 12.59  | 0.13  |                                   |
| C I** | 1.7763702  | 11.90  | 0.14  |                                   |
| C I   | 1.7765246  | 13.06  | 0.13  | N2 (11.3 ± 0.2 km s\(^{-1}\))    |
| C I*  | 1.7765246  | 12.05  | 0.07  |                                   |
| C I** | 1.7765246  | <11.70 |       |                                   |
| \(^{13}\)C I| 1.7765246 | 11.78  | 0.28  |                                   |
| C I   | 1.7766400  | 12.51  | 0.11  | N3 (23.8 ± 5.1 km s\(^{-1}\))    |
| C I*  | 1.7766400  | <12.20 |       |                                   |
| C I** | 1.7766400  | <12.25 |       |                                   |
| C IV  | 1.7858576  | 12.93  | 0.03  | C IV 1550 near Al III 1854       |
| C IV  | 1.7861859  | 12.43  | 0.13  |                                   |
| C IV  | 1.7864237  | 12.80  | 0.04  |                                   |
| C IV  | 1.7869053  | 12.62  | 0.05  |                                   |
| C IV  | 1.7871942  | 12.47  | 0.07  |                                   |
| H I   | 1.9174518  | 11.93  | 0.23  | Ly\(\alpha\) in C I 1277 region  |
| H I   | 1.9178188  | 11.86  | 0.16  |                                   |
| H I   | 1.9183670  | 11.86  | 0.43  |                                   |
| ??    | 1.9238783  | 12.89  | 0.25  | Line in C I 1280 region           |
| ??    | 1.9239821  | 13.23  | 0.11  |                                   |
| H I   | 1.9242860  | 13.32  | 0.03  |                                   |
| ??    | 1.9243053  | 12.86  | 2.02  |                                   |
| ??    | 1.9244645  | 12.25  | 0.09  |                                   |
| H I   | 1.9247054  | 12.18  | 0.08  |                                   |
| C IV  | 1.9661609  | 12.47  | 0.04  | C IV 1550 near C I 1656           |
| H I   | 2.0359392  | 12.14  | 0.17  | Ly\(\alpha\) in C I 1328 region  |
| H I   | 2.0369588  | 12.03  | 0.24  |                                   |
| H I   | 2.0376443  | 12.91  | 0.09  |                                   |

Note. — Doppler parameters \(b\) are in km s\(^{-1}\), and column densities are log \(N\) cm\(^{-2}\). Error estimates are 1\(\sigma\), and upper limits are 2\(\sigma\). The CI wavelengths used were those for \(^{12}\)C except where the isotope is given explicitly. Ly\(\alpha\) lines with \(b < 10\) km s\(^{-1}\) are probably unidentified heavy elements, and are marked ’??’. Their parameters were determined assuming that the rest wavelength and oscillator strength are the same as for Ly\(\alpha\). For the C IV and Al III doublets both lines were included in the fits, and for Fe I at redshift \(z = 0.774613\) the lines at 2167, 2484 and 2719 were used. Component labels are shown in bold, and the velocities given for the three identified components are relative to a reference redshift \(z = 1.77642\).
Table 2: Multicomponent molecular hydrogen column densities

| Component | N1          | N2          | N3'         | Lines used in fit |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|
| $z$       | 1.7763702   | 1.7765246   | 1.7767176   | Lines used in fit |
| $b$(H$_2$) (km s$^{-1}$) | 8.7 ±0.5    | 1.0         | -           | 10.0 ±0.7         |
| log $N(J=0)$ | 18.56 ±0.28 | 18.99 ±0.16 | 15.69 ±0.63 | 1049 1077 1092    |
| log $N(J=1)$ | 18.90 ±0.22 | 19.46 ±0.08 | 17.39 ±0.40 | 932 1049 1051 1064 1077 |
| log $N(J=2)$ | 16.68 ±0.24 | 18.44 ±0.11 | 16.10 ±0.13 | 927 987 1005 1016 1051 |
| log $N(J=3)$ | 15.94 ±0.14 | 18.20 ±0.14 | 16.07 ±0.14 | 934 935 942 960 987 995 |
| log $N(J=4)$ | 14.95 ±0.06 | 14.42 ±0.35 | 14.81 ±0.06 | 1017 1035 1047 1060 1074 |
| log $N(J=5)$ | 14.46 ±0.07 | 13.61 ±0.33 | 14.42 ±0.07 | 996 997 1006 1017 1048 |
| $T_{ex}(J=0 \& 2)$ (K) | 86 ±14$^{+14}_{-10}$ | 177 ±30$^{+30}_{-22}$ | $\gtrsim$ 200 |

Note. — Column densities are log cm$^{-2}$. The error estimates are 1σ, and for the (log) column densities are likely to be underestimates if they exceed $\sim$ 0.3. The excitation temperature for component N3’ is effectively unconstrained - a 1σ lower limit is given
Table 3: Singly ionized species and blends

| Ion   | z          | ±   | b ±    | log N ± | Sys b_{turb} ± | ∆v (km s^{-1}) | T (10^4 K) ± |
|-------|------------|-----|--------|--------|---------------|----------------|--------------|
| C II  | 1.7748722  | 0.0000014 | 5.36 (0.42) | 13.20 (0.04) | S1 -167.1 |                |              |
| MgII  | 1.7748722  | 3.76 (0.28) | 12.11 (0.01) | 0.00 | 2.1 1.1 |                |              |
| SiII  | 1.7760202  | 0.0000039 | 6.46 (0.52) | 12.70 (0.03) |                | S2 -43.2 ± 0.4 |              |
| SiII  | 1.7761772  | 5.33 (0.89) | 13.21 (0.10) |                |                | S3 -26.2 ± 0.9 |              |
| S II  | 1.7763599  | 9.53 (0.24) | 15.07 (0.01) |                |                | S4 -6.5 ± 0.2 |              |
| MnII  | 1.7765303  | 5.67 (0.99) | 14.35 (0.09) |                |                | S5 11.9 ± 0.3 |              |
| FeII  | 1.7766255  | 22.78 (2.34) | 14.38 (0.18) |                |                | S6 22.2 ± 3.2 |              |
| NiII  | 1.7767156  | 6.14 (1.46) | 13.52 (0.11) |                |                | S7 31.9 ± 0.5 |              |
| S II  | 1.7768523  | 7.85 (1.82) | 12.72 (0.05) |                |                | S8 46.7 ± 0.3 |              |
| H I   | 1.8775384  | 23.39 (0.94) | 14.33 (0.09) |                |                | S9 63.5 ± 0.3 |              |
| H I   | 1.877592   | 35.60 (0.48) | 14.03 (0.01) |                |                |              |              |
| H I   | 1.8760396  | 17.46 (6.86) | 13.25 (0.53) |                |                |              |              |
| H I   | 1.9799551  | 21.84 (0.88) | 12.97 (0.01) |                |                |              |              |
| H I   | 1.979652   | 26.69 (2.63) | 12.70 (0.03) |                |                |              |              |
| ??    | 2.6737241  | 49.43 (5.17) | 12.73 (0.06) |                |                |              |              |

Note. — Component labels are given in bold. Error estimates are 1σ, and those in parentheses are indicative Doppler parameter errors if the ion were treated without reference to others in the system (see text for details). Other details as for Table 1.
Table 4: AlIII & SiIV absorption

| Ion  | z          | ±   | b   | ±   | log N | ±   | Sys  | Δv (km s⁻¹) |
|------|------------|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|------|-------------|
| AlIII | 1.7760362  | 0.0000047 | 7.12 | 0.95 | 11.99 | 0.04 | D1   | -41.5 ± 0.5 |
| AlIII | 1.7760361  | 0.0000172 | 8.93 | 1.56 | 12.40 | 0.11 | D2   | -12.4 ± 1.9 |
| AlIII | 1.7760371  | 0.0000098 | 4.74 | 1.69 | 12.08 | 0.21 | D3   | -1.4 ± 1.1  |
| AlIII | 1.7767114  | 0.0000107 | 18.85| 1.41 | 12.57 | 0.04 | D4   | 31.5 ± 1.2  |
| AlIII | 1.7768598  | 0.0000052 | 4.56 | 1.67 | 11.83 | 0.21 | D5   | 47.5 ± 0.6  |
| SiIV  | 1.7748504  | 0.0000012 | 6.62 | 0.16 | 13.36 | 0.01 | T1   | -169.53     |
| SiIV  | 1.7750657  | 0.0000223 | 10.35| 3.50 | 12.81 | 0.36 | T2   | -146.27     |
| SiIV  | 1.7750956  | 0.0000062 | 1.30 | 1.03 | 12.39 | 0.14 | T3   | -143.04     |
| SiIV  | 1.7752779  | 0.0001684 | 23.70| 10.30| 12.77 | 0.48 | T4   | -123.34     |
| SiIV  | 1.7752931  | 0.000043  | 5.12 | 1.13 | 12.71 | 0.12 | T5   | -121.71     |
| SiIV  | 1.7758053  | 0.000093  | 6.25 | 1.92 | 11.89 | 0.08 | T6   | -66.4 ± 1.0 |
| SiIV  | 1.7760357  | 0.000012  | 6.82 | 0.22 | 13.90 | 0.03 | T7   | -41.5 ± 0.1 |
| SiIV  | 1.7762370  | 0.000014  | 6.52 | 0.30 | 13.63 | 0.02 | T8   | -19.8 ± 0.2 |
| SiIV  | 1.7764205  | 0.000098  | 8.94 | 3.32 | 12.47 | 0.14 | T9   | 0.1 ± 1.1    |
| SiIV  | 1.7766329  | 0.000282  | 9.42 | 2.71 | 12.97 | 0.25 | T10  | 23.0 ± 3.0   |
| SiIV  | 1.7767771  | 0.000166  | 10.16| 1.95 | 13.29 | 0.12 | T11  | 38.6 ± 1.8   |
| SiIV  | 1.7769879  | 0.000085  | 8.97 | 2.69 | 12.66 | 0.31 | T12  | 61.3 ± 0.9   |
| SiIV  | 1.7773069  | 0.000041  | 10.41| 1.65 | 13.29 | 0.21 | T13  | 95.8         |
| SiIV  | 1.7773179  | 0.000567  | 29.11| 50.81| 12.96 | 0.18 | T14  | 96.9         |
| SiIV  | 1.7774448  | 0.000038  | 2.17 | 1.05 | 12.59 | 0.08 | T15  | 110.6        |
| SiIV  | 1.7776712  | 0.000063  | 5.84 | 1.77 | 12.52 | 0.20 | T16  | 135.1        |
| SiIV  | 1.7778277  | 0.0000541 | 15.81| 5.97 | 12.40 | 0.33 | T17  | 152.0        |

Note. — Error estimates are 1σ. The velocities Δv are relative to a reference redshift z = 1.77642. See Table I for further details.
Table 5: Velocity components compared

| Ion   | $z$          | $\pm b$ | $\pm \log N$ | $\pm $ | Sys | $\Delta v$ (km s$^{-1}$) |
|-------|--------------|---------|--------------|--------|-----|---------------------|
| SiIV  | 1.7758053    | 0.0000093 | 6.25         | 1.92   | 11.89 | 0.08                |
| SiII  | 1.7760020    | 0.0000039 | 6.46         | 0.52   | 12.70 | 0.03                |
| AlIII | 1.7760362    | 0.0000047 | 7.12         | 0.95   | 11.99 | 0.04                |
| SiIV  | 1.7760357    | 0.000012  | 6.82         | 0.22   | 13.90 | 0.03                |
| SiII  | 1.7761772    | 0.000078  | 5.33         | 0.89   | 13.21 | 0.10                |
| SiIV  | 1.7760357    | 0.000012  | 6.82         | 0.22   | 13.90 | 0.03                |
| AlIII | 1.7763599    | 0.0000013 | 9.53         | 0.24   | 15.07 | 0.01                |
| CI    | 1.7763702    | 0.0000099 | 5.08         | 0.24   | 13.08 | 0.02                |
| AlIII | 1.7764071    | 0.0000098 | 4.74         | 1.69   | 12.08 | 0.21                |
| SiIV  | 1.7764205    | 0.0000098 | 8.94         | 3.32   | 12.47 | 0.14                |
| CI    | 1.7765246    | 0.0000014 | 0.55         | 0.13   | 13.06 | 0.13                |
| SiII  | 1.7765303    | 0.0000028 | 5.67         | 0.99   | 14.35 | 0.09                |
| SiII  | 1.7766255    | 0.0000297 | 22.78        | 2.34   | 14.38 | 0.18                |
| SiIV  | 1.7766329    | 0.0000282 | 9.42         | 2.71   | 12.97 | 0.25                |
| CI    | 1.7766400    | 0.0000467 | 24.45        | 6.18   | 12.51 | 0.11                |
| AlIII | 1.7767114    | 0.0000107 | 18.85        | 1.41   | 12.57 | 0.04                |
| SiII  | 1.7767156    | 0.0000043 | 8.11         | 1.53   | 14.23 | 0.12                |
| SiIV  | 1.7767771    | 0.0000166 | 10.16        | 1.95   | 13.29 | 0.12                |
| AlIII | 1.7768523    | 0.0000029 | 8.00         | 0.55   | 14.27 | 0.03                |
| AlIII | 1.7768598    | 0.0000052 | 4.56         | 1.67   | 11.83 | 0.13                |
| SiIV  | 1.7769879    | 0.0000085 | 8.97         | 2.69   | 12.66 | 0.31                |
| SiII  | 1.7770083    | 0.0000030 | 4.01         | 0.42   | 13.32 | 0.04                |

Note. — Component labels are given in bold. Systems which may be associated are grouped between horizontal lines, and those for which the redshifts and Doppler parameters are compatible are shown linked with a right bracket. See Table 1 for other details.
Table 6: Temperature estimates

| Ion & method | $z$       | ±         | $b$ ± | $T$ ± | Notes          |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------------|
| H$_2$ T$_{ex}$ J=0 & 2 | 1.7765246 | 0.0000014 | 1.00   | -177   | 30 Component N2 |
| H$_2$ T$_{ex}$ J=1 & 3 | 1.7765246 | 0.0000014 | 1.00   | -225   | 28 Component N2 |
| CI T$_{ex}$   | 1.7763702 | 0.0000009 | 5.08   | 0.24   | 10.4 0.5 Component N1 |
| CI T$_{ex}$   | 1.7765246 | 0.0000014 | 0.55   | 0.13   | 7.2 0.8 Component N2 |
| CI Doppler    | 1.7765246 | 0.0000014 | 0.55   | 0.08   | 220 < 480 Component N2 |

Note. — Error estimates are 1σ, and upper limits are 2σ.