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Abstract

In the systems of spin $\frac{1}{2}$ fermions with resonant $S$-wave interactions supporting only weakly bound dimers the antisymmetry forbids recombination of three (or more) fermions at zero energy. However, the fermion-fermion-dimer recombination is only partially suppressed. It is studied in the framework of momentum-space integral equations for the four-particle transition operators. In the vicinity of the unitary limit the fermion-fermion-dimer recombination rate, rescaled to build dimensionless quantity, is found to be linear in the effective range parameter, enabling a simple and accurate parametrization as well as evaluation of finite-range effects for any potential model. This feature makes the present results very useful in benchmarking different methods for three-cluster breakup and recombination calculations in four-particle systems. The interplay of the three-fermion and fermion-fermion-dimer recombination processes and their consequences for ultracold mixtures of fermions and dimers is discussed.
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1. Introduction

Universality in few-body systems with resonant $S$-wave interactions, characterized by a large scattering length, has been investigated in numerous works, where the considered systems range from cold atoms and molecules to nuclear and particle physics [1–5]. The qualitative behavior of those physical systems depends critically on the permutation symmetry they obey, i.e., the bosonic/fermionic nature. While bosons (or distinguishable particles) exhibit a rich spectrum of few-body bound states, the most famous example being the three-body Efimov effect [6], identical spin $\frac{1}{2}$ fermions (unpolarized, i.e., in both spin states) can form only one shallow bound state, a $^1S_0$ dimer with the binding energy $b_d \approx \hbar^2/ma^2$, where $m$ is the fermion mass and $a$ is the two-fermion scattering length. Although there are no three- and four-fermion bound states\footnote{This work assumes the absence of non universal deeply bound two-body states, implying also the absence of the associated many-body states}, the three- and four-body physics is important for the properties of cold dilute atomic and molecular gases that are determined by the parameters of low-energy collisions [7–10]. For example, the fermionic dimer-dimer scattering at low energy, well below the breakup threshold, has been investigated in a number of works [7–9,11–13,15], achieving a good agreement between the different methods for the dimer-dimer scattering length and for the effective range, except for the lattice effective field theory approach [13] for the latter observable. The present work focuses on a different aspect of the four-fermion system near unitarity, namely, the production of fermionic dimers in few-body collisions. In terms of initial state complexity, the most straightforward path is the collision of two fermions in different spin states; however, the formation of a dimer necessitates an additional interaction with an external field, e.g., with the electromagnetic field where a photon is radiated thereby ensuring the energy and momentum conservation. If this interaction is suppressed for some reason and the only forces retained are those acting between the particles themselves, the creation of a dimer may proceed via recombination process involving at least three colliding particles or clusters. However, the antisymmetry requirement suppresses the recombination of three spin $\frac{1}{2}$ fermions in the ultracold limit since the zero kinetic energy state of three (or more) fermions cannot be fully antisymmetric; the dominant recombination channel has total orbital momentum $L = 1$ where the recombination rate vanishes at the threshold since it scales with the energy [14]. The above antisymmetry restrictions do not apply and zero-energy recombination is possible in the collision of two fermions with a third distinct particle. However, such a system loses its fermionic character becoming effectively a system of three distinguishable particles; it is therefore out of the interest for the present study,
except for a very special choice of the third particle, namely a
dimer consisting of the same kind of fermions as the first
two. This choice preserves the purely fermionic character
of the system but implies right away a four-body prob-
lem. The zero kinetic energy state in the two-fermion plus
dimer system is not precluded such that the recombination
rate does not vanish in the ultracold limit. However, one
may expect partial suppression due to the antisymmetry
of the system, since the wave function must be antisym-
metric also under the exchange of the free fermions with
those building the dimer, a condition that puts restrictions
on the three-cluster system as well. It is therefore inter-
esting to study the fermion-fermion-dimer recombination
as a four-fermion problem, to evaluate its importance rela-
tive to other possible channels and consequences to ultra-
cold mixtures of fermionic atoms and diatomic molecules.
Furthermore, the results in the unitary limit are expected
to be universal, i.e., independent of the short-range inter-
action details, and therefore perfectly suitable for the fu-
bere the benchmark calculations of the three-cluster recombina-
tion or its time-reverse dimer-dimer breakup reaction in
the four-fermion system.

Section II outlines essential details of the four-fermion
collision calculations, and Sec. III presents the obtained re-
sults for the recombination process. The summary is given in
Sec. IV.

2. Theory

Elastic scattering of two fermionic dimers was considered in
Ref. [15] providing most accurate results for the dimer-
dimer effective range and phase shifts at finite energy be-
low the breakup threshold. That work employed rigorous
Faddeev-Yakubovsky theory [16] for the four-particle scat-
ing in the integral form proposed by Alt, Grassberger, and
Sandhias (AGS) [17]. The AGS framework describes the
four-particle scattering problem in terms of subsystem
transition operators, namely, the two-particle, i.e., 2+1+1,
transition matrix
\[ t = v + v G_0 t, \]
the three-particle, i.e., 3+1 with \( \alpha = 1 \), and two-pair, i.e.,
2+2 with \( \alpha = 2 \), transition operators
\[ U_\alpha = P_\alpha G_0^{-1} + P_\alpha t G_0 U_\alpha. \]
The resulting four-particle transition operators obey the symmetrized AGS equations; the subset relevant for the present consideration of reactions involving two dimers reads
\[
U_{12} = (G_0 t G_0)^{-1} - P_{34} U_1 G_0 t G_0 U_{12} + U_2 G_0 t G_0 U_{22}, \quad (3a)
\]
\[
U_{22} = (1 - P_{34}) U_1 G_0 t G_0 U_{12}. \quad (3b)
\]
In the above equations the subscripts \( \alpha = 1 \) (2) label the
clustering of the 3+1 (2+2) type, \( G_0 = (E + i0 - H_0)^{-1} \)
is the free four-particle resolvent with the energy \( E \) and
the kinetic energy operator \( H_0 \), both in the center-of-mass
(c.m.) frame, \( v \) is the two-particle potential, \( P_{ab} \) is the per-
nutation operator of particles \( a \) and \( b \), while \( P_\alpha \) are combina-
tions of permutation operators \( \alpha \) that, together with a
proper choice of basis states, ensure the desired antisym-
metry of the four-fermion system as explained in Ref. [15]
and references therein.

The most efficient way to solve the AGS equations
(3) with short-range forces is by employing the partial-
wave decomposition in the momentum-space, leading to
a system of integral equations with three continuous
variables. Those are the magnitudes of the Jacobi mo-
pementa \( l_x, l_y, \) and \( l_z \) and fermion spins \( s_i = \frac{1}{2} \) are coupled to build eigenstates of the total
angular momentum \( J \) and its projection \( M \). Different
coupling schemes are used for the 3+1 and 2+2 clustering,
\[ |k_x k_y k_z 1\rangle \otimes |l_x (l_y (s_1 s_2 s_3) J_x s_3) s_3) s_3) s_3) J_M \rangle_1 \]
and \[ |k_x k_y k_z 2\rangle \otimes |l_x (l_y (s_1 s_2 s_3) J_x s_3) s_3) s_3) s_3) J_M \rangle_2, \]
respectively, where the remaining quantum numbers such
as \( J_x \) etc. are angular momenta of the intermediate subsys-
tems as explained in Ref. [15]. The antisymmetry condition
restricts \( l_x + s_x \) (and \( l_y + s_y \) for the 2+2 configuration)
to even values; \( l_x = s_x = l_z = 0 \) for the S-wave dimer
considered in the present work.

An important aspect of the solution is the treatment of
integrable kernel singularities in Eqs. (3) arising in \( t \) and
\( U_2 \) due to the one- and two-dimer poles, respectively. Their
treatment using the complex-energy method with special
integration weights is taken over from Ref. [19].

The amplitude for the elastic dimer-dimer scattering, its
relation to the phase shift, and effective-range expansion
parameters can be found in Ref. [15]. The amplitude for
the fermion-fermion-dimer recombination into two dimers
equals to the three-cluster breakup amplitude
\[
\langle \Phi_3 | T_{32} | \Phi_2 \rangle = 2 \langle \Phi_3 | (1 - P_{34}) U_1 G_0 t G_0 U_{12} + U_2 G_0 t G_0 U_{22} | \Phi_2 \rangle, \quad (4)
\]
where \( |\Phi_3\rangle \) abbreviates the three-cluster channel state and
\( |\Phi_2\rangle \) the two-dimer channel state whose Faddeev com-
potent is \( |\phi_2\rangle = G_0 v |\Phi_2\rangle \) obeying also the Faddeev equation
\( |\phi_2\rangle = G_0 t P_2 |\phi_2\rangle \).

The amplitude (4) determines the three-cluster breakup
and recombination observables. The definition of the re-
combination rate \( K_4 \) follows from the number of recombina-
tion events \( 3 \rho_+ \rho_+ \rho_- \) per volume and time, where
\( \rho_+, \rho_+ \), and \( \rho_- \) are densities of dimers and of fermions in
spin-up and spin-down states, respectively. Of special interest
is the fermion-fermion-dimer recombination rate
\( K_4^\alpha \) at the threshold, i.e., at vanishing three-cluster kinet-
ic energy \( E_3 = E + \hbar \omega \to 0 \). This implies \( k_y = k_z = 0 \)
and only \( l_y = l_z = 0 \) states contribute to this par-
ticular channel state \( |\Phi_4^\alpha\rangle \).
Furthermore, all the discrete quantum numbers take their minimum possible values of
0 or \( \frac{1}{2} \), i.e., the angular momentum part of this state in
the 2+2 basis reduces to a single component \( |\Phi_4^\alpha\rangle = 0(0)(\frac{1}{2})00 0(0)(\frac{1}{2})00\rangle_2 \). Since \( J \) is conserved and

the dimer has zero spin, the final two-dimer state \( |\Phi_2^0\rangle \) is restricted to have exactly the same angular momentum part \( |\Phi_2^0\rangle_{J=0}\), while the relative momentum between the dimers is \( k_z = p_{dd}^0 = \sqrt{2mbA} \). Under these conditions the zero-energy fermion-fermion-dimer recombination rate is given by a single amplitude of the breakup operator in the partial-wave representation, i.e.,

\[
K_3^0 = 4\pi^5 m p_{dd}^0 |\langle \Phi_3^0 | T_{32} | \Phi_0^0 \rangle|^2.
\]

(5)

It is important to note that, despite angular momentum limitations in the initial and final channel states, the solution of the AGS equations (3) and the calculation of the amplitude (4) necessitates the inclusion of higher partial waves to achieve the convergence. In fact, \( l_y, l_z > 0 \) waves contribute about 25% to \( K_3^0 \) results in the next section.

A good convergence is achieved by including states with \( l_y, l_z < 4 \); it was found that those with \( l_y, l_z = 3 \) contribute about 0.2%, implying that higher waves are negligible.

At finite \( E_3 \) a continuum of states contributes to the recombination, they have to be integrated over either explicitly or implicitly, via the optical theorem. Below the four-particle threshold the same integral determines also the dimer-dimer breakup cross section \( \sigma_b \), one of the standard observables in the scattering processes. It is thus convenient to express the fermion-fermion-dimer recombination rate as

\[
K_4 = \frac{8\sqrt{2}\pi p_{dd}^2}{m^3 E_3^2} \sigma_b.
\]

(6)

The expressions (5) and (6) take into account the identity of two fermions (dimers) in the initial (final) states as well as the weight factors related to the spin averaging.

3. Results

A fermionic system with large scattering length is realized by neutrons, but \( a \approx -19 \text{ fm} \) is negative and therefore no bound dimerneutrons exist. Nevertheless, for the present investigation I take a fictitious four-neutron system with slightly enhanced two-neutron force that supports a bound two-particle state. Starting from the realistic CD Bonn potential \([20]\) and fermion mass \( m = 938.9 \text{ MeV} \), enhancement factors from 1.35 to 1.105 lead to scattering length \( a \) from 9.1 to 176.1 fm, while the two-particle effective range \( r_e \) stays between 2.14 and 2.56 fm. Thus, within this relatively narrow variation of the potential strength one can explore rather broad range of the scattering length as well as of the ratio \( r_e/a \) that quantifies the importance of finite-range effects. Since the present study is devoted to the \( S \)-wave interacting four-fermion universality, for the computational efficiency the potential in all higher two-fermion partial waves with \( l_z > 0 \) is assumed to be zero.

The results of the above-described calculations for the fermion-fermion-dimer recombination rate \( K_3^0 \) at the threshold are presented in Fig. 1. Since the three-cluster recombination rate scales as \( a^4 \), a dimensionless quantity \( K_3^0 m/\hbar a^4 \) is build in order to demonstrate the universal behavior of this observable. To a good accuracy, better than 1% below \( r_e/a = 0.1 \), it appears to be linear in the finite-range correction parameter \( r_e/a \), but the data points beyond this limit slightly violate this linear dependence. Nevertheless, all data points are well described including finite-range corrections of a higher order, quadratic in \( r_e/a \), resulting in an accurate analytical representation

\[
K_3^0 \approx \left[ 10.20 - 18.27 \frac{r_e}{a} + 3.47 \left( \frac{r_e}{a} \right)^2 \right] \frac{\hbar a^4}{m}.
\]

(7)

Obviously, in the considered regime the \( (r_e/a)^2 \) term yields only a minor correction. Note that also the dimer-dimer scattering length and the effective range parameter show a linear dependence on \( r_e/a \) near the unitary limit, independently of the short-range details of the employed potential \([15]\). A tentative calculation using the separable interaction model from Ref. \([15]\) indicates this kind of independence also for \( K_3^0 m/\hbar a^4 \). Thus, the relation (7) yields not only an accurate value for the ultracold fermion-fermion-dimer recombination rate in the unitary limit but also reliably evaluates the importance of the finite-range effects.

Another question to be addressed is the energy dependence of the fermion-fermion-dimer recombination rate. It is shown as a solid curve in Fig. 2 for \( r_e/a = 0.0456 \), since the shape of the energy dependence is quite insensitive to the \( r_e/a \) value provided it is below 0.1. The recombination rate depends weakly on the energy, indicating the absence of resonances as well as rather insignificant contribution of \( l_y, l_z > 0 \) waves in the initial three-cluster channel.

It is interesting to compare the three-cluster recombination rates \( K_N \) in three- and four-fermion systems. In the \( N = 3 \) case the recombination rate \( K_3 \) vanishes at threshold as discussed in Sec. I, but the process is dominated by the total orbital momentum \( L = 1 \) state and therefore \( K_3 \) increases with energy as shown by the dashed-dotted curve in Fig. 2. At very low energy the increase of \( K_3 \) is linear as predicted in Ref. \([14]\) by analytical considerations, but
were verified numerically to be negligible in the low-energy regime. Nevertheless, near $E_3 = 0.2 b_d$, the three-fermion recombination rate $K_3$ already exceeds $K_4$. Note that the fermion-fermion-dimer recombination in the $L = 1$ (equivalent to $J = 1$) state is forbidden, since the final two-dimer state must be symmetric under the exchange of dimers, which for zero-spin dimers is only possible for even $L$ and $J$ values.

The fact that the $L = 1$ process, quantified by $K_3$, already at relatively low energy exceeds the $L = 0$ dominated process, quantified by $K_4$, may appear surprising, but has its explanation in the partial suppression of the fermion-fermion-dimer recombination due to the four-fermion antisymmetry. Although no antisymmetry restrictions apply to the dimer directly, the wave function must be antisymmetric under the exchange of free fermions with those inside the dimer, thereby putting the restrictions on the dimer indirectly. This is well reflected in the partial cancellation, up to 90%, of the $3+1$ and $2+2$ configuration contributions to the breakup or recombination amplitude (4). This cancellation constitutes also a challenge in numerical calculations, that require more dense momentum grids and smaller values for the imaginary part of the energy as compared to the elastic scattering. Another consequence of the antisymmetry restriction is that the fermion-fermion-dimer recombination rate significantly smaller than typical values in other three-cluster systems [1].

Finally, there are important implications of the three-cluster recombination for the ultracold mixtures of spin $\frac{1}{2}$ fermions and dimers. Let’s consider an idealized system at zero temperature. If the system consists of unbound fermions, recombination processes are suppressed and the system remains stable. However, even if very few dimers are added to this system, they initiate fermion-fermion-dimer recombination process producing more dimers, thereby increasing density of dimers and further enhancing dimer production. Apart from this, the energy $b_d$ released in each recombination event is initially taken by the two outgoing dimers, but after a series of elastic collisions with fermions is transferred to fermions, that acquire small but finite energy as well. This implies that also the three-fermion recombination becomes possible, contributing to further creation of dimers. Which of these mechanisms is more important, depends on the initial fermion and dimer densities. If they are of a comparable size, the four-particle process will dominate, since $K_4 >> K_3$ for vanishing energy. On the contrary, if the starting point is an ultracold fermionic gas with a very small admixture of dimers $\rho_d^0$, the fermion-fermion-dimer recombination would “ignite” the dimerization process, however, the three-fermion recombination takes over once the fermions absorb the released energy. A simple estimation is as follows: At the time point when $\rho_d$ exceeds $\rho_d^0$ significantly, the released energy is roughly $b_d \rho_d$ per unit volume, thus, once dissipated between all particles through elastic two-cluster collisions, it amounts to the kinetic energy per particle of roughly $b_d \rho_d/(\rho_+ + \rho_-)$. This leads to the number of three-fermion recombination events per volume and time $K_3 \rho_+ \rho_- (\rho_+ + \rho_-) = (\partial K_3/\partial E)|_{E=0} b_d \rho_+ \rho_- \rho_d$. It is evident from Fig. 2 that $(\partial K_3/\partial E)|_{E=0} b_d$ exceeds $K_4$, thereby indicating the superiority of the three-fermion recombination under these conditions.

### 4. Summary

The fermion-fermion-dimer recombination was studied using exact scattering equations for the four-particle transition operators. They were solved numerically in the momentum-space partial-wave representation, employing the complex-energy method with special integration weights for the treatment of kernel singularities.

In the systems of spin $\frac{1}{2}$ fermions with resonant $S$-wave interactions (and no dimers in other waves) the recombination of three (or more) fermions at zero energy is forbidden by the antisymmetry requirement. However, the fermion-fermion-dimer recombination is only partially suppressed, having finite rate at the threshold. In the present work it was calculated for a rather broad range of the two-fermion scattering length and to a good accuracy found to be linear in the effective range parameter $r_c/a$. This allowed for a reliable extrapolation to the unitary limit as well as the evaluation of finite-range effects. The energy-dependence of the fermion-fermion-dimer recombination rate was shown to be weak, in contrast to the three-fermion recombination. Their interplay was demonstrated to be important for the dimer production in ultracold mixtures of fermions and dimers.

One of the achievements of the present work, namely, obtained universal result for the zero energy fermion-fermion-dimer recombination rate, has important impact for the mi-
croscopic scattering description in general. Being independent of the short-range interaction details, it is well suitable for benchmarking three-cluster breakup and recombination calculations in four-particle systems using different methods, that often have their preferred type of the potential.
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