Epithelial to mesenchymal plasticity and differential response to therapies in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma
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A series of genomic and transcriptomic studies have demonstrated that human pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is not a homogeneous cancer, but is composed of subtypes with different functional behaviors in preclinical models and survival differences in clinical studies (1–3), suggesting that the efficacy of drugs may not be uniform across patients. The 2 major molecular subtypes consistently found are the classical epithelial (E) and the quasi-mesenchymal (QM) subtypes, the latter being also known as the basal-like or squamous subtype. Although there has been consistent analysis across datasets indicating poor prognosis of QM compared to E tumors, the predictive value of these subtypes for treatment response remains to be determined. Combination chemotherapy (FOLFIRINOX and Gemcitabine + nab-paclitaxel) remains the primary first line treatment for PDAC, and initial work in patient-derived organoids (4), retrospective correlative analysis (5), and a prospective clinical trial (6) suggest that these subtypes are linked with response to cytotoxic chemotherapy. In parallel work, Vitamin D (Vit D) has been shown in preclinical mouse models to enhance the delivery of chemotherapy by reprogramming cancer-associated fibroblasts into a more quiescent state that leads to increased survival of mice (7). This has led to the initiation of a number of PDAC clinical trials evaluating Vit D in combination with chemotherapy and immunotherapy. However, the impact of Vit D in the setting of E and QM PDAC subtypes has not been fully characterized.

Although a model of E and QM subtypes to dichotomize PDAC tumor behavior affords the simplicity of use in biomarker and functional studies, there has been increasing evidence of epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) transcriptional plasticity of PDAC cells in model systems (8–10) as well as evidence from single-cell RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) studies (11, 12). This suggests that the E and QM states are on a continuum that can result in interconverting cell types. Therefore, we aim to determine the effects of the cytotoxic combination chemotherapy:

**Significance**

PDAC epithelial (E) and quasi-mesenchymal (QM) subtypes can be modulated by different therapies demonstrating the plasticity of PDAC cells that contributes to the heterogeneity of PDAC tumors and their intrinsic resistance to a broad spectrum of therapies. Understanding and monitoring the fluidity of PDAC E and QM states are critical to the development of improved clinical trial design to target these different subpopulations.
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and Vit D on PDAC cell E and QM states using patient-derived cell lines and translate these results to clinical specimens to better understand the dynamics and clinical implications for therapy for these subtypes in pancreatic cancer.

**Results**

**PDAC Cell Lines Are on a Continuum of E and QM States.** The established “PDAssigner” gene signature (2) was used to calculate an E versus QM metascore for a panel of PDAC cell lines, including both commonly utilized, commercially available and patient-derived cell lines, and demonstrated a diverse spectrum of molecular phenotypes (Fig. 1A). In addition, we assessed the Vitamin D receptor (VDR) expression levels across several of these cell lines for subsequent analyses, given that prior work has found lack of VDR expression in some PDAC lines (13). Our findings are consistent with prior data, revealing VDR expression in the commercially available E cell lines (Capan2, HPAFII) but not in the QM cell lines (MiaPaCa2, Panc1) (SI Appendix, Fig. S1A). In contrast, we found VDR expression in all 15 patient-derived cell lines that was higher or comparable to that in a patient-derived cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAF) cell line (CAFI), and VDR protein was detectable in the 6 cell lines generated in our laboratory (14) (SI Appendix, Fig. S1B). Using this data, we selected 6 patient-derived and previously characterized (14) VDR-expressing cell lines, 3 with an E phenotype (PDAC5, PDAC6, PDAC8) and 3 with a QM phenotype (PDAC2, PDAC3, PDAC9) for molecular and functional studies. Unsupervised clustering of most variant genes across these 6 representative cell lines (Fig. 1F) again showed separation of the E and QM cell lines independent of the PDAssigner, indicating that these cell lines are transcriptionally distinct. We then wanted to determine if these subtype differences can be attributed to chromatin accessibility of genes using Assay for Transposable-Accessible Chromatin Sequencing (ATAC-seq) (Fig. 1C and D). Although the QM (PDAC2, PDAC3) and E (PDAC5, PDAC8) lines clustered with each other with respect to relative openness of chromatin across the genome (Fig. 1C), there was a high level of similarity of global ATAC-seq profiles between cell lines (>0.9 correlation scores). However, when looking specifically at chromatin openness at gene promoters (Fig. 1D), QM and E cell lines again tended to cluster based on subtype, but greater differences were observed between these cell lines. This suggested that global chromatin accessibility is not the major driver of pheno-
typic heterogeneity in PDAC cell subtypes, but there may be some differences in specific gene promoters that can lead to distinct transcriptional response between QM and E subtypes.

To understand the single-cell heterogeneity of PDAC cells, we utilized dual-color RNA in situ hybridization (RNA-ISH) for markers of EMT that has been previously used in human PDAC, colon cancer, and breast cancers (15, 16). Probes to these EMT markers were applied to representative E (PDAC6, PDAC8) and QM (PDAC3, PDAC9) cell lines revealing the presence of both E and QM PDAC cells in all cell lines, but with a higher proportion of QM cells in the PDAC3 and PDAC9 lines (Fig. 1E). This single-cell analysis indicates that the E and QM states exist as a heterogeneous mix of cells spanning a continuum of E to QM molecular phenotypes within cell lines rather than as a homogeneous and static state.

**PDAC Cell Lines Enriched to QM State Following FOLFIRINOX Treatment Irrespective of Subtype.** Given the known survival differences between patients with E and QM tumors (1–3), we tested the effect of systemic therapies on the E/QM status of PDAC cell lines. E and QM PDAC cell lines were grown as tumorspheres in 3-dimensional (3D) culture and treated with a single dose of FOLFIRINOX or dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) vehicle control and then harvested at various time points for viability assays and RNA-seq (Fig. 1F). While all tested PDAC lines were sensitive to FOLFIRINOX, most E cell lines showed the highest sensitivity (SI Appendix, Fig. S1 C and D). RNA-seq analysis of surviving PDAC tumorspheres on day 14 following FOLFIRINOX treatment revealed up-regulation of many genes associated with a more QM state and the Hallmark Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition Pathway was in the top 5 enriched pathways by gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) (Fig. 1G and SI Appendix, Fig. S1E). To determine how the E vs. QM phenotype changes in each individual cell line over time after FOLFIRINOX exposure, we calculated an E/QM metascore at days 1, 4, and 14 following treatment. In all cell lines, the surviving cells had a more QM phenotype by day 14 compared with the starting population in each line (Fig. 1H), confirming the shift toward the QM end of the spectrum with FOLFIRINOX exposure.

In order to determine if tumors are selected for QM cells following treatment with systemic chemotherapy in patients, we performed dual-color RNA-ISH with probes to E and QM markers on resected PDAC tumors from a cohort of patients who underwent neoadjuvant FOLFIRINOX chemotherapy prior to surgical resection. This method was validated on PDAC cell lines with known E/QM molecular phenotypes determined by the Collisson PDAssigner, revealing a high correlation between E/QM status as determined by RNA-seq and RNA-ISH (Fig. 1E). In patient samples, tumors spanning the E to QM continuum were observed, with tumors displaying only E staining, both E and QM staining, or only QM staining (Fig. 1I). Scoring revealed that 73%) of treated tumors were E (42/58), 16% (9/58) were 66% (49/74) QM and 34% (25/74) E compared to 38% (46/121) QM vs. 62% (75/121) E in an untreated cohort of PDAC (Fisher’s exact P value 0.0001). Comparison with QM subtype frequency in resected PDAC tumors as determined by RNA expression analysis by others [Collisson 20/66, 30% (17); Moffitt QM, 36/125, 29% (3); Bailey 25/96, 26% 9 (1); see also ref. 16] also supports an enrichment of the QM subtype in post-FOLFIRINOX human PDAC primary tumors. Taken together, these data establish that systemic chemotherapy can alter the proportion of E and QM tumor cells, shifting a patient’s tumor toward an overall QM state.

**Vitamin D Modulates Discrete Transcriptional Targets in PDAC Subtypes.** Vit D analogs are currently being evaluated in combination with chemotherapy and immunotherapy in patients with resectable and metastatic PDAC given its beneficial effect on CAFs in PDAC stroma in preclinical models (7). Therefore, we next sought to determine if Vit D also alters the E/QM phenotype of PDAC cell lines and the overall effects of VDR activation in each subtype. With the presence of VDR established, the effects of VDR activation in PDAC tumor cells were determined in patient-derived PDAC cell lines grown as tumorspheres in serum-free media—thereby avoiding undefined levels of Vit D species potentially present in serum—and exposed to 10 nM calcitriol (CalT) for 5 d (Fig. 2A). Each PDAC cell line displayed robust induction of CYP24A1, a Vit D 24-hydroxylase enzyme containing a Vit D response element (VDRE) and known to be a direct transcriptional target of VDR (18), in response to CalT (Fig. 2B), confirming VDR activation. Notably, induction of CYP24A1 was correlated with basal VDR expression, as commercially available cell lines without VDR (MiaPaCa2, Panc1) did not demonstrate significant induction of CYP24A1 in response to CalT (SI Appendix, Fig. S2A). Total RNA-seq was then performed on PDAC tumorspheres treated with CalT to determine transcriptional changes induced by VDR activation. An E/QM metascore calculated before and after exposure to Vit D for each cell line revealed general preservation of the initial overall E or QM molecular phenotype of each line (Fig. 2C). CalT exposure resulted in an enhancement in the epithelial nature of E lines, but did not appreciably shift QM lines more toward an E or QM phenotype.

We then asked what the overall transcriptional changes are in response to Vit D treatment. While only 4 genes were commonly up-regulated in all 6 cell lines after exposure to CalT (Fig. 2D),
Fig. 1. PDAC exists on a continuum of epithelial to quasi-mesenchymal gene expression, and cytotoxic chemotherapy shifts toward a mesenchymal phenotype. (A) Expression heatmap to determine an E to QM metascore for each PDAC cell line using a validated gene signature (2). (B) Unsupervised clustering of RNA-seq data from 6 patient-derived PDAC cell lines. [Scale bar, log10(RPM+1).] (C and D) Correlation heatmap of ATAC-seq samples using chromatin accessibility peaks across the genome (C) and at promoter regions (D). (E) Representative images of PDAC cell lines stained with hematoxylin and by RNA-ISH for epithelial (brown) and mesenchymal (red) markers. Quantification of relative E vs. QM expression using the Halo image analysis platform shown below. (F) Schematic of experimental system in which tumor spheroids are grown in 3D culture conditions and treated with a single dose of FOLFIRINOX (FFX). RNA is extracted on day 14 for RNA-seq. (G) Top 5 enriched gene sets procured by computing overlaps between genes induced by FFX treatment across all 6 PDAC cell lines and Hallmark gene sets. (H) Heatmap depicting the relative change in E/QM metascore over time following FFX exposure in each PDAC cell line. Expressed as metascore fold change over untreated. (I) Representative images of PDAC tumors resected following neoadjuvant FFX chemotherapy and stained by RNA-ISH for E (brown) and QM (red) markers. (Scale bars, 100 μm.) Table depicts quantification of E and QM cases in the untreated cohort and the neoadjuvant FFX-treated cohort. P value calculated using Fisher’s exact 2-tailed test.
Fig. 2. E/QM status of PDAC dictates transcriptional response to VDR activation. (A) Schematic of experimental system in which tumor spheroids are grown in 3D culture conditions and dosed with 10 nM CalT or DMSO control on day 0 and day 2. Spheroids are harvested on day 5, and RNA is extracted for total RNA-seq. (B) CYP24A1 expression in a selection of PDAC cell-line spheroids following 5 d of CalT treatment compared with vehicle control as determined by RNA-seq, expressed as log10 reads per million (RPM). Error bars indicate SD. (C) Relative change in E/QM status of each PDAC cell line following 5 d of exposure to CalT expressed as fold change in metascore over vehicle-treated. (D) Expression heatmap of genes differentially expressed upon CalT treatment in all 6 PDAC cell lines. Data represents 2 to 3 independent experiments for each cell line. (E) Unsupervised clustering of RNA-seq data from 6 patient-derived PDAC cell lines at baseline (−) and after treatment with CalT (+). (F and G) Expression heatmaps of differentially expressed genes following treatment of QM (F) and E (G) PDAC spheroids with CalT as determined by RNA-seq. Columns represent individual biological replicates. (H) Western blot demonstrating protein levels of FN1 and VDR in PDAC spheroids following treatment of PDAC spheroids with CalT for 5 d. (I) Enriched gene sets of interest from GSEA computing overlaps between CalT-induced genes in QM cell lines and hallmark, curated, and oncogenic signatures gene sets.
including CYP24A1. As expected, each cell line independently exhibited significant global alterations in gene expression (SI Appendix, Fig. S2B). Unsupervised clustering of the 6 PDAC cell lines demonstrated variation in baseline gene expression by subtype that was not altered by CalT (Fig. 2E), supporting heterogeneous and cell context-dependent CalT-induced changes in PDAC cells. In order to determine if this was due to alterations in the chromatin state of VDRE-containing promoters, we performed ATAC-seq on PDAC cell lines at baseline and after exposure to 5 d of CalT. While PDAC cell lines tended to cluster by molecular subtype, the overall openness of all promoters was not altered by Vit D therapy (SI Appendix, Fig. S2C). Interestingly, when this analysis was confined to VDRE-containing promoters, we found that the baseline chromatin state at these promoters was more similar between PDAC cell lines of the same subtype (SI Appendix, Fig. S2D), consistent with the clustering by subtype seen in promoter regions (Fig. 1D) and suggesting a possibility of a common transcriptional response to VDR activation by subtype.

As expected, accounting for subtype-associated differences by performing differential expression analysis in cell lines within each subtype revealed many more differentially expressed genes from CalT treatment (Fig. 2A and G) compared with analyzing all cell lines as similar samples (Fig. 2D). CYP24A1 remained the most differentially expressed gene regardless of molecular subtype. Notably, CalT increased the canonical epithelial gene E-cadherin (CDH1) in E cell lines and the established mesenchymal gene fibronectin-1 (FN1) in QM cell lines. Western blot analysis confirmed a specific increase in FN1 protein levels exclusively in QM type CalT-treated PDAC cells (Fig. 2H). Given that FN1 expression in tumor cells is linked to tumor invasiveness, EMT (19), metastasis, and poor clinical outcomes (5, 20–22), GSEA was performed to determine if CalT treatment induces transcriptional programs related to EMT or metastasis in QM tumor cells. Indeed, GSEA revealed enrichment of gene sets related to the mesenchymal phenotype in glioblastoma multiforme, which is reminiscent of EMT and is linked to shorter survival, disease progression, and chemoresistance (23, 24), as well as up-regulated genes in highly metastatic PDAC tumors (25) and cell-surface interactions with blood vessels (Fig. 2F). Taken together, these results reveal a divergent transcriptional response to CalT in PDAC with further induction of key subtype-associated genes in cell lines of each molecular subtype. Moreover, they suggest the potential for a more aggressive or metastatic phenotype in QM PDAC cells after CalT treatment.

To test this possibility, the functional consequences of VDR activation in E and QM PDAC cells were investigated. Following treatment with CalT, dissociated tumorspheres from QM cell lines demonstrated increased migratory capacity in vitro (Fig. 3A), whereas no effect was detected in tumorspheres from E lines (Fig. 3D). Further, tumorsphere invasion capacity was increased in tumorspheres from E lines demonstrating high versus low CYP24A1 expression in vitro and increased metastatic potential in vivo specifically in QM tumor cells, indicating that VDR activation may drive more aggressive behavior in this subset of PDAC.

We next looked for evidence linking Vit D signaling in PDAC patients with QM tumors to clinical outcomes within The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database of surgically resected PDAC tumors. RNA-seq data from these tumors were used to determine CYP24A1 expression as a measure of Vit D signaling in tumor cells. On a population level, there was slightly higher, although nonsignificant, expression of CYP24A1 in pancreatic tumors (SI Appendix, Fig. S4A). We then compared overall survival of patients with pancreas tumors demonstrating high versus low CYP24A1 expression. Overall, there was no significant difference in survival when all tumors were analyzed irrespective of subtype (SI Appendix, Fig. S4B). Given the subtype-dependent effects of VDR activation in PDAC cells, we applied the PDAssigner gene signature (2) to the TCGA samples to separate them into E, QM, and exocrine-like tumors (Fig. 4A). Within the E and exocrine subtypes, expression of CYP24A1 did not correlate with changes in survival (Fig. 4B and SI Appendix, Fig. S4C). However, there was a striking association between high CYP24A1 expression and shorter overall survival in tumors of the QM subtype (Fig. 4B). Extension of this analysis to the International Cancer Genome Consortium (ICGC) microarray dataset of unselected PDAC tumors (26) (Fig. 4C) again demonstrated an association between high CYP24A1 expression and shorter overall survival in patients with QM, but not E, tumors (Fig. 4D). We utilized RNA-ISH to determine the localization of CYP24A1 expression in human PDAC tumors, and indeed we found clear CYP24A1 expression in PDAC tumor cells but not in stromal cells (Fig. 4E, Left). Furthermore, while FN1 expression was seen in both tumor and stromal cells, a subpopulation of tumor cells coexpressed CYP24A1 and FN1 (Fig. 4E, Right). Therefore, the CYP24A1 expression detected in the TCGA and ICGC analyses is likely to reflect expression in tumor cells rather than in stroma. These results imply that patients harboring QM tumors with evidence of active VDR signaling have worse clinical outcomes, which is consistent with our data demonstrating the VDR-dependent functional gains in metastatic propensity in QM PDAC cells.

This analysis was applied to other TCGA datasets to determine if the differential effect of VDR activation by tumor subtype exists within other cancers. There was no difference in survival associated with the level of CYP24A1 expression in any subtypes of breast cancer (luminal A, luminal B, basal, and triple negative), esophageal cancer (epithelial and squamous cell), and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (SI Appendix, Fig. S4D–F). However, similar to the findings seen among PDAC tumors, nonsmall cell lung cancer (NSCLC) tumors of the squamous subtype demonstrated a marked survival disadvantage with high CYP24A1 expression while there was no difference in overall survival with CYP24A1 expression levels in adenocarcinomas (Fig.
Fig. 3. Vitamin D-induced VDR activation in QM PDAC cells increases anchorage-independent cell growth, in vitro migration, and metastasis formation in vivo. (A) Representative images (magnification, 4×) showing migration of pretreated QM PDAC tumor cells across 8-μm filters after 16 h following fixation and staining with crystal violet. Quantification of area covered by stained cells in 3 independent experiments (n = 3 to 5 per experiment) is shown for each cell line. **P < 0.01; ****P < 0.001. (B) Quantification of migration of E PDAC spheres using the same experimental design. (C) Representative images (magnification, 4×) of colonies grown in soft agar from single-cell suspension of QM PDAC cell-line tumorspheres pretreated with CalT for 5 d. Quantification of total colony area in 5 independent experiments (n = 3 per experiment) is shown. *P < 0.05. (D) Representative bioluminescent images of day 21 lung metastases in mice generated by injecting PDAC9 cells dissociated from spheroids pretreated with CalT or vehicle control into the tail vein of untreated mice. (E) Quantification of metastatic tumor burden in mice following tail-vein injection of PDAC9-dissociated tumorspheres pretreated with CalT or vehicle control. (F) Quantification of metastatic tumor burden in mice following tail-vein injection of E PDAC3 dissociated tumorspheres pretreated with CalT or vehicle control. (G) Quantification of metastatic tumor burden in mice following tail-vein injection of E PDAC6 dissociated tumorspheres pretreated with CalT or vehicle control. (H) Error bars represent SD. (I) Quantification of metastatic tumor burden in mice following tail-vein injection of QM PDAC3 dissociated tumorspheres pretreated with CalT or vehicle control. (J) Quantification of metastatic tumor burden in mice following tail-vein injection of E PDAC9 VDR-Knockdown (PDAC9-VDRKD) or control PDAC9 (PDAC9-NT) dissociated tumorspheres pretreated with CalT on day of sacrifice. *P < 0.05. For E, G, and I, metastatic signal was determined by total photon flux in bioluminescent imaging performed weekly (P values determined by 2-way ANOVA).
Fig. 4. High CYP24A1 expression in specific subtypes of human cancers is associated with shorter overall survival. (A) Expression heatmap depicting classification of human PDAC tumor samples from the TCGA database into 3 clinical subtypes based on validated gene signatures (2). (B) Kaplan–Meier survival curves for high (red) vs. low (blue) CYP24A1 expression in tumors within the E and QM subtypes. (C) Expression heatmap depicting classification of human PDAC tumor samples from the ICGC database into 3 clinical subtypes based on validated gene signatures (2). (D) Kaplan–Meier survival curves for high (red) vs. low (blue) CYP24A1 expression in tumors within the E and QM subtypes from the ICGC dataset. (E) Representative images of human PDAC tumors with dual-color RNA-ISH stained for CYP24A1 (red) and FN1 (blue) showing expression of CYP24A1 in tumor cells (black arrowheads, Bottom Left). Higher power image showing coexpression of CYP24A1 and FN1 in a subset of tumor cells (black arrows, Bottom Right). (F) Kaplan–Meier curves for high (red) vs. low (blue) CYP24A1 expression in adenocarcinoma (Left) and squamous cell (Right) NSCLC tumors. (G) Model depicting the heterogeneous and plastic molecular phenotype of PDAC tumors in terms of E vs. QM subtypes and the effects of FOLFIRINOX (FFX) chemotherapy and Vit D therapy on the position of a tumor on the continuum.
4F). These unanticipated results support the concept of cellular context-dependent effects of VDR activation in tumor cells, which may have important clinical consequences.

Discussion

Overall, these studies provide definitive evidence that E and QM states of PDAC cells are fluid and can be modulated by therapies that are currently standard of care or under clinical investigation in PDAC patients (Fig. 4G). Genomic DNA-based clinical assays have provided opportunities for targeted therapies in a minority of PDAC patients, but we have shown the importance of RNA-based molecular subtyping in clinical practice. Recent work with single-marker GATA6 (6) or an EMT dual-color RNA-ISH (16) established the ability to identify E and QM PDAC subtypes with a histological stain. Although an RNA-seq–based approach can give an overall E/QM metascore for a tumor sample, an RNA-ISH–based approach affords the ability to detect E and QM marker expression in situ, allowing quantification of the proportion of each phenotype, and takes into account the heterogeneity in a particular sample. In addition, RNA-ISH is already used as a clinical biomarker platform and can be automated on the same machines that perform immunohistochemistry in core pathology laboratories. The assay is performed on standard archived formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissues and is completed within 24 h of assay initiation, which is critical for a diagnostic to be deployed in the clinical realm. Therefore, we have developed a dual-color RNA-ISH assay comprising a mixture of E markers and QM markers which was initially tested on PDAC cell lines (Fig. 1E) and validated against a cohort of resected PDAC tumors from untreated and neoadjuvant FOLFIRINOX-treated patients from our institution (Fig. 1F). These findings, combined with previous work by others demonstrating the inherent molecular and functional differences in E and QM PDAC subtypes, may account for differences in response to combination chemotherapy as well as explain the many failed investigational drug clinical trials, due to the lack of evaluating response in the context of PDAC subtypes.

Here, we have shown that the combination chemotherapy FOLFIRINOX results in a consistent shift toward the QM state for all PDAC cell lines and a general intrinsic chemoresistance profile seen in QM compared to E cell lines. This is consistent with previous demonstrated or observed progression-free survival in patients with E classical subtype tumors treated with FOLFIRINOX (6). Our findings build upon this work and suggest that the shift of E and QM PDAC cells toward a QM state following FOLFIRINOX is likely transcriptionally mediated and linked to response rather than simple selection of discrete QM and E populations, given that both QM and E cell lines increase QM transcriptional profiles. However, a component of selection for preexisting QM cells within a cell line or tumor cannot be excluded.

In addition, we have identified a robust transcriptional response to VDR activation in patient-derived PDAC cell lines and discovered that the cancer cell-specific transcriptional program initiated by VDR activation is discordant between the 2 major subtypes of PDAC. In contrast to the universal shift toward QM state with chemotherapy, CaT exposure resulted in transcriptional changes that augmented the E phenotype of cell lines with a baseline E subtype, but did not appreciably shift QM lines to a more E state. Although the E/QM phenotype was not dramatically altered, we noted strong up-regulation of FNI, which is well known to be associated with the QM phenotype, and increased invasion and migration by CaT specifically in the QM cell lines. Functionally, this translated to increased anchorage-independent cell growth, migration, and development of lung metastasis in animal models when QM cells were treated with CaT, but decreased lung metastasis in the same animal models with exposure of E cells to CaT. Altogether, this raises the possibility that, while Vit D analogs could have favorable effects in E tumors, the same agents may not be effective or have unfavorable consequences in patients with QM tumors, although this requires further investigation. The poor survival outcomes of patients with QM tumors demonstrating high tumor CYP24A1 expression, which is not seen in E tumors, support this possibility and suggest that Vit D supplementation could be ineffective or may even result in disease progression and metastasis in these patients. Although CYP24A1 expression in human tumors may not be solely dependent on VDR activation, the requirement of VDR for CaT-induced metastasis in xenograft models argues that activation of VDR may indeed account for the poor prognosis in CYP24A1-high tumors. Our findings also highlight the need for further investigation into the mechanisms of, and the role of, the tumor microenvironment in PDAC subtypes and VDR activation given previous work showing a beneficial effect of Vit D analogs on stromal remodeling (7). The heterogeneous abundance of stromal CAFs and their distinct interactions with PDAC tumor cells (11) likely influence the effects of Vit D in human tumors, thus meriting further study with better coculture models that have been recently described (27). Taken together, these data provide an additional example of the need to stratify patients based on PDAC subtypes and stromal CAF composition to best evaluate the effects of drug therapy, in this case Vit D supplementation, in PDAC patients. In addition, our discovery of the ability of chemotherapy and CaT to shift the position of a PDAC tumor on the E to QM spectrum argues for the need to evaluate subtype changes in response to specific lines of therapy before considering next steps in treatment.

This collective work has demonstrated the importance of PDAC cell plasticity in response to drugs being used in the clinic and mirrors similar findings in lung, prostate, and breast cancers. The etiology of plasticity of QM and E cells is likely multifactorial, including changes in GATA6 transcription factor activity (28) and alterations in response to TGF-β (11, 28, 29). Further investigation into the mechanism of E and QM fluidity will be necessary to understand these changes in the context of therapy and reveal new therapeutic targets that can specifically modulate this plasticity. We have demonstrated the ability to spatially assess the proportion of E and QM cells in human tumors with an RNA-ISH assay, which is automated and can be deployed in clinical practice, representing a major advance in molecular subtyping of PDAC. Looking forward, a blood-based assay to determine the transcriptional profile of PDAC tumors at different time points in disease evolution and therapy is needed. Although this is unlikely to be achieved by circulating tumor DNA methods, it can potentially be assessed using other “liquid biopsy” technologies including circulating tumor cells or extracellular vesicles. Overall, this work supports the critical need to develop clinical genotyping assays for distinguishing E and QM PDAC that can finally bring RNA-based molecular subtyping to the field and change the landscape for current and future trials.

Experimental Methods

Cell Lines and Drug Treatments. PDAC2, PDAC3, PDAC5, PDAC6, PDAC8, and PDAC9 were generated from metastatic ascites fluid of pancreatic adenocarcinoma patients at the Massachusetts General Hospital (MGH) under a discarded tissue protocol in accordance with the MGH IRB protocol 2011P001236 as previously described (14). CA1 was derived from PDAC tumor tissue collected in accordance with the MGH IRB Protocol 2003P01289 as previously described (14). All samples were de-identified prior to use in the current study. Cell lines MGH92–1611 were generous gifts from the laboratory of A. Liss, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston. Capan2 (HTB-80), HPAFII (CRL-1997), MiaPaCa2 (CRL-1420), and Panc1 (CRL-1469) were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection. For all experiments, cell lines were grown as monolayer cultures in 10% fetal bovine serum–supplemented DMEM and 0.2% B27 (Invitrogen/Life Technologies), 20 ng/mL epidermal growth factor (Invitrogen/Life Technologies), 20 ng/mL basic fibroblast growth factor (Invitrogen/Life Technologies), and 1% Pen/Strep (Gibco/Life Technologies). For shRNA
experiments, PDAC9 cells were infected with plKO-based lentiviral particles expressing either scrambled shRNA or shRNA targeting human FN1 (5′-GGGCTCGATCCAGCTCGTGCAGATGATCATCAAGCTGAGCGACAGAGAGTTT3′). Cells were selected 48 h after infection with puromycin (1 μg/mL) for 7 d. Knockdown was confirmed by performing qRT-PCR for VDR RNA expression on RNA isolated from nontarget control and VDR shRNA-transduced cells. Components of FOLFIRINOX including 5-fluorouracil (14146), oxaliplatin (13106), and irinotecan (14180) were purchased from Cayman Chemicals. Drugs were combined in a ratio similar to that given to patients, with 13106, 500 μM 5-fluorouracil, 0.4 μM irinotecan, and 0.32 μM oxaliplatin. CalT (1x,25-dihydroxyvitaminD3) was purchased through Sigma-Aldrich (17396).

RNA-seq. Cells (2 × 10^6 per well) were transfected to 6-well ultra-low attachment culture dishes in 3D media to allow tumourosphere formation. Cells were treated with CalT or vehicle-treated PDAC cells were washed twice in radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (Thermo Scientific Product #1862495). Lysates were combined in a ratio similar to that given to patients, with 13106, 500 μM 5-fluorouracil, 0.4 μM irinotecan, and 0.32 μM oxaliplatin. CalT (1x,25-dihydroxyvitaminD3) was purchased through Sigma-Aldrich (17396).

qRT-PCR. RNA was isolated using the mirNEasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions including the optional on-column DNase treatment (Qiagen). qRNA quality was analyzed using the Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA), to generate libraries for total RNA-seq, the Illumina Smarter Stranded Total RNA-seq kit v2 (catalog #634413) was used according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Pooled libraries were sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq 5000 sequencer. Raw Illumina reads were quality-filtered as follows. First, ends of the reads were trimmed to remove N’s and bases with quality less than 20. After that, the quality scores of the remaining bases were sorted, and the quality at the 20th percentile was computed. If the quality at the 20th percentile was less than 15, the whole read was discarded. Also, reads shorter than 40 bases after trimming were discarded. If at least one of the reads in the pair failed the quality check and had to be discarded, we discarded the mate as well.

Quality filtered reads were mapped to the human genome (gencode annotation, build 38) and to repbase elements (release 20) using STAR aligner (24). Aligned reads were assigned to genes using the featureCounts function of the Rsubread (25) package using the external Ensembl annotation. This produced the raw read counts for each gene.

Mapping and counting of the reads was done in 2 stages. First, reads were mapped to the genome, and the counts were determined using the Gencode annotation and the annotation derived from the repeatmasker output. After that, the reads which were not assigned to any feature in either Gencode and repeatmasker annotation were realigned to the repeat consensus sequence (repbase). Counts obtained from repeatmasker and repbase were added together.

The downstream analysis was carried out in R statistical programming language (26). In order to obtain an insight into the data, we selected the 100, 500, 1,000, and 2,000 most variant genes and performed a hierarchical clustering for all samples based on the expression of these genes until the clustering did not change anymore. The PDAC cell lines clustered according to their subtype with and without CalT treatment. Replicates for each cell line were averaged across each treatment. Following the clustering, the data was centered and scaled for each subtype and compared for differential expression in response to CalT. We used the DE2 (27) package in R for the differential expression analysis. The heatmaps were plotted using the heatmap.2 function in the gplots package in R.

Protein Analysis. CalT- or vehicle-treated PDAC cells were washed twice in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and then resuspended in lysis buffer composed of radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (Thermo Scientific Product #89900) with 1% protease inhibitor (Thermo Scientific Product #1862209) and 1% phosphatase inhibitor (Thermo Scientific Product #1862495). Lysates were generated by incubating cells in lysis buffer on ice for 40 min with intermittent vortexing and then centrifuging at 16,100 × g for 20 min. Protein concentrations were determined using Bradford reagent (Bio-Rad).

Proteins were resolved on Bio-Rad 15-well 4 to 15% gradient gel with the inclusion of Bio-Rad precision plus protein dual-color standards and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane. Membranes were blocked and probed with the following primary antibodies: CYP24A1 (Abcam, Reference #ab96691), FN1 (Abcam, Reference #ab2413), VDR (Cell Signaling Technology Reference #44995), and loading control Histone H3 (Cell Signaling Technology Reference #122505). CYP24A1, FN1, and VDR were applied at 1:1,000, and Histone H3 was applied at 1:2,000. Anti-rabbit IgG was used at 1:2,000 as a secondary antibody. Chemiluminescence was detected with Syngene Chemiluminescence and Fluorescence systems using the software Gene tools from Syngene.

GSEA. GSEA was performed to investigate the dataset overlap with annotated gene sets in the Molecular Signature Database (MsigDB). All genes differentially expressed in QM PDAC cell lines after exposure to CalT were used to identify matching gene sets from MsigDB. Enrichment was based on the number of overlapping genes, the P value determining the probability of association with a given gene set, and a false discovery rate q-value.

Soft Agar Assays. To evaluate anchorage-independent cell growth following treatment of tumourspheres with CalT in serum-free media, tumourspheres were first dissociated into single cells. Spheres were collected and washed once with PBS and then washed with a solution of 2 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid + 0.5% bovine serum albumin. Tumourspheres were then resuspended in 0.05% warmed trypsin and incubated at room temperature for 10 min. Tumourspheres were then mechanically dissociated by passing through 21-gauge and then 25-gauge needles connected to 3-ml syringes. Dissociation of spheres into single cells was confirmed by light microscopy before proceeding. Following dissociation, 1.5 × 10^5 cells were added to 2× Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Media (DMEM) + 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) containing 0.3% agarose and plated on top of a base containing DMEM and 0.5% agarose in 12-well dishes. After the cell layer was set, DEMEM + 10% FBS was added to cover each well and was replenished weekly. Colony area was quantified using ImageJ after ~5 wk of incubation.

Migration Assays. Tumourspheres were treated with CalT and dissociated to single-cell suspensions as described above. Cells were seeded onto 8-μm pore Transwell membranes (Corning) in serum-free growth media at 80,000 cells/well in a 24-well plate containing complete growth media (10% FBS) in the bottom chamber. After 16 h at 37 °C, cells on top of the chamber were stripped off with cotton swabs and cells on the bottom of the chamber were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and stained with Crystal Violet. Low-power images were acquired and the total crystal violet-stained area was quantitated using ImageJ and averaged across 3 images per well.

Tail-Vein Injection and IVIS Imaging. The animal protocol was approved by the MGH Subcommittee on Research Animal Care (protocol 2014N000321). Eight to 12-wk-old female NSG mice were purchased through Jackson Labs. PDAC cells (1 × 10^5) expressing luciferase were injected in 100 μl of sterile PBS into the lateral tail veins of the mice. Tumor formation in the lungs was monitored weekly by bioluminescence using IVIS Lumina II (Caliper Life Science). Mice were euthanized after 4 to 8 wk and lungs were imaged ex vivo.

Cancer Subtype Classification. Processed RNA-seq expression data for pancreatic cancers were collected from TCGA (PAAD) (29, 28) and ICGC (PAAD-US, PACA-AU, PACA-CA) (30). Using gene sets published by Collison et al. (2), we classified each of the pancreatic samples as epithelial or quasi-mesenchymal. Briefly, we hierarchically clustered the samples based on the correlation between samples across the Collison tumor subtype gene sets on per-gene centered and scaled expression data [using the R (32) scale function]. Clustered samples were associated with increased expression of a specific subtype gene set were classified as the appropriate tumor subtype.

Survival Analysis. The association of CYP24A1 expression with respect to survival data was analyzed for several tumor types. Clinical and RNA-seq expression data were collected for the following tumor types, pancreas (PAAD) (28), breast (BRCA) (33), lung (LUSC (34), LUAD (35)), esophageal (ESCA) (28), and head-neck (HNSC) (36) from TCGA. Data for pancreas were collected from ICGC (PAAD-US, PACA-AU, PACA-CA). Samples were stratified between high and low CYP24A1 expression using a threshold defined by the Ostu method of minimizing the within-class variance. Kaplan-Meier curves were generated using a 5-y survival cutoff for pancreas and esophageal tumor datasets. A 15-y survival cutoff was selected for breast, head-neck,
and lung tumor datasets. All analyses and plots were generated using R (32).

RNA-ISH. For the detection of CYP2A41 and FN1 RNA levels, RNA-ISH was performed using RNAscope 2.5 Duplex technology using the manual platform (catalog no. 322440). Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue sections of cell pellets, human tissue microarrays (TMAs), and human tumor biopsies were cut in 5-μm sections on slides and were then deparaffinized and pre-treated to allow unmasking and RNA probe accessibility. This was done by first baking the slides at 60 °C for 1 h followed by treatment with Histoclear and 100% ethanol. The samples were then exposed to hydrogen peroxide for 10 min at room temperature followed by a target retrieval solution for 15 min at 99 °C, and finally to protease enzyme for 30 min at 40 °C to allow probe accessibility.

The hybridization step was performed at 40 °C for about 2 to 3 h with CYP2A41 and FN1 probes to allow the target-specific probes to hybridize to target messenger RNA (mRNA). This was followed by a series of signal amplification steps: Amp 1 was added to bind to the target-specific probe, followed by Amp 2 to Amp 10, which were subsequently added to bind each other one after the other. Next, type-specific label conjugated to alkaline phosphates were added to bind to the Amps, thus completing the branched DNA tree and providing signal amplification due to this cascade of hybridizations.

The signal was visualized by sequential application of red substrate which binds to Amp 6 and Green substrate which binds to Amp 10, producing Red and Green precipitates (dots, Fig. 4E). The target mRNAs were then visualized using standard brightfield microscopy with CYP2A41 signal as Red and FN1 signal as Green.
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