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Abstract. It is proved that, for \( T^\varepsilon \leq G = G(T) \leq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{T} \),
\[
\int_T^{2T} \left( I_1(t + G, G) - I_1(t, G) \right)^2 \, dt = TG \sum_{j=0}^{3} a_j \log^j \left( \frac{\sqrt{T}}{G} \right) + O(\varepsilon T^{1+\varepsilon G/2} + T^{1/2+\varepsilon G^2})
\]
with some explicitly computable constants \( a_j \) (\( a_3 > 0 \)) where, for fixed \( k \in \mathbb{N} \),
\[
I_k(t, G) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\zeta(1/2 + it + iu)|^{2k} e^{-(u/G)^2} \, du.
\]
The generalizations to the mean square of \( I_1(t + U, G) - I_1(t, G) \) over \([T, T+H]\)
and the estimation of the mean square of \( I_2(t + U, G) - I_2(t, G) \) are also discussed.

1. Introduction

The mean values of the Riemann zeta-function \( \zeta(s) \), defined as
\[
\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s} \quad (\sigma = \Re s > 1),
\]
(and otherwise by analytic continuation) occupy a central place in the theory of \( \zeta(s) \). Of particular significance is the mean square on the “critical line” \( \sigma = 1/2 \), and
a vast literature exists on this subject (see e.g., the monographs [3], [4], and [18]).

One usually defines the error term in the mean square formula for \( |\zeta(1/2 + it)| \) as
\[
E(T) := \int_0^T |\zeta(1/2 + it)|^2 \, dt - T \left( \log \frac{T}{2\pi} + 2\gamma - 1 \right),
\]
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where \( \gamma = -\Gamma'(1) = 0.5772156649\ldots \) is Euler's constant. More generally, one hopes that for a fixed \( k \) the function \( E(T) \equiv E_1(T) \) in this notation

\[
E_k(T) := \int_0^T |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^{2k} \, dt - TP_k(\log T) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N})
\]

represents the error term in the asymptotic formula for the \( 2k \)-th moment of \( |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)| \), where \( P_\ell(z) \) is a suitable polynomial in \( z \) of degree \( \ell \). This is known, besides the case \( k = 1 \), only in the case \( k = 2 \) (see e.g., [4],[17]), and any further improvement would be of great significance, in view of numerous applications of power moments of \( |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)| \). By means of random matrix theory plausible values of the coefficients of the polynomial \( P_\ell(z) \) that ought to be standing in (1.2) are given by J.B. Conrey et al. [2]. However, these values are still conjectural.

As for explicit formulas for \( E_k(T) \) and related functions, we begin by mentioning the famous formula of F.V. Atkinson [1] for \( E(T) \). Let \( 0 < A < A' \) be any two fixed constants such that \( AT < N < A'T \), let \( d(n) = \sum_{\delta \mid n} 1 \) be the number of divisors of \( n \), and finally let \( N' = N'(T) = T/(2\pi) + N/2 - (N^2/4 + NT/(2\pi))^{1/2} \). Then

\[
E(T) = \sum_1(T) + \sum_2(T) + O(\log^2 T),
\]

where

\[
\sum_1(T) = 2^{1/2}(T/(2\pi))^{1/4} \sum_{n \leq N} (-1)^n d(n)n^{-3/4} e(T, n) \cos(f(T, n)),
\]

\[
\sum_2(T) = -2 \sum_{n \leq N'} d(n)n^{-1/2} (\log(T/(2\pi n)))^{-1} \cos(T \log(T/(2\pi n)) - T + \pi/4),
\]

with

\[
f(T, n) = 2T \ar sinh \left( \frac{\sqrt{\pi n/(2T)}}{2\pi nT + \pi^2 n^2} - \frac{1}{4} \pi \right)
\]

\[= -\frac{1}{4} \pi + 2\sqrt{2\pi nT} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2\pi nT^{3/2}} + \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{2\pi nT^{3/2}} + \frac{1}{5} \sqrt{2\pi nT^{7/2}} + \ldots,
\]

where the \( \beta_j \)'s are constants,

\[
e(T, n) = (1 + \pi n/(2T))^{-1/4} \left( (2T/\pi n)^{1/2} \ar sinh \left( \sqrt{\pi n/(2T)} \right) \right)^{-1}
\]

\[= 1 + O(n/T) \quad (1 \leq n < T),
\]

and \( \ar sinh x = \log(x + \sqrt{1 + x^2}) \). Atkinson’s formula came into prominence several decades after its appearance, and incited much research (see e.g., [3],[4] for some of them). The presence of the function \( d(n) \) in (1.4) and the structure of the sum
\( \Sigma_1(T) \) point out the analogy between \( E(T) \) and \( \Delta(x) \), the error term in the classical divisor problem, defined as
\[
\Delta(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} d(n) - x(\log x + 2\gamma - 1).
\]
This analogy was investigated by several authors, most notably by M. Jutila [13], [14], and then later by the author [6]–[8] and [9]–[10]. Jutila [13] proved that
\[
(1.8) \quad \int_T^{T+H} (\Delta(x + U) - \Delta(x))^2 \, dx = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_{n \leq \frac{T}{2}} \frac{d^2(n)}{n^{3/2}} \int_T^{T+H} x^{1/2} \left| \exp \left( 2\pi i U \sqrt{\frac{n}{x}} \right) - 1 \right|^2 \, dx + O_\varepsilon(T^{1+\varepsilon} + HU^{1/2}T^\varepsilon),
\]
for \( 1 \leq U \ll T^{1/2} \ll H \ll T \), and an analogous result holds also for the integral of \( E(x + U) - E(x) \) (the constants in front of the sum and in the exponential will be \( 1/\sqrt{2\pi} \) and \( \sqrt{2\pi} \), respectively). Here and later \( \varepsilon (> 0) \) denotes arbitrarily small constants, not necessarily the same ones at each occurrence, while \( a \ll \varepsilon b \) means that the implied \( \ll \varepsilon \) constant depends on \( \varepsilon \). From (1.8) one deduces (\( a \asymp b \) means that \( a \ll \varepsilon b \ll a \))
\[
(1.9) \quad \int_T^{T+H} (\Delta(x + U) - \Delta(x))^2 \, dx \asymp HU \log^3 \left( \frac{\sqrt{T}}{U} \right)
\]
for \( HU \gg T^{1+\varepsilon} \) and \( T^\varepsilon \ll U \ll \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{T} \). In [14] Jutila proved that the integral in (1.9) is
\[
\ll_\varepsilon T^\varepsilon (HU + T^{2/3}U^{4/3}) \quad (1 \ll H, U \ll T).
\]
This bound and (1.9) hold also for the integral of \( E(x + U) - E(x) \). Furthermore Jutila op. cit. conjectured that
\[
(1.10) \quad \int_T^{2T} \left( E(t + U) - E(t - U) \right)^4 \, dt \ll_\varepsilon T^{1+\varepsilon}U^2
\]
holds for \( 1 \ll U \ll T^{1/2} \), and the analogous formula should hold for \( \Delta(t) \) as well. In fact, using the ideas of K.-M. Tsang [19] who investigated the fourth moment of \( \Delta(x) \), it can be shown that one expects the integral in (1.10) to be of the order \( TU^2 \log^5(\sqrt{T}/U) \). As shown in [11], the truth of Jutila’s conjecture (1.10) implies the hitherto unknown eighth moment bound
\[
(1.11) \quad \int_0^T |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^8 \, dt \ll_\varepsilon T^{1+\varepsilon},
\]
which would have important consequences in many problems from multiplicative number theory, such as bounds involving differences between consecutive primes.
Despite several results on $E_2(T)$ (see e.g., [17]), no explicit formula is known for this function, which would be analogous to Atkinson’s formula (1.3)-(1.7). This is probably due to the complexity of the function in question, and it is even not certain that such a formula exists. However, when one works not directly with the moments of $|ζ(\frac{1}{2} + it)|$, but with smoothed versions thereof, the situation changes. Let, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed,

$$I_k(t, G) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |ζ(\frac{1}{2} + it + iu)|^{2k} e^{-(u/G)^2} du \quad (1 \ll G \ll t).$$

Y. Motohashi’s monograph [17] contains explicit formulas for $I_1(t, G)$ and $I_2(t, G)$ in suitable ranges for $G = G(t)$. The formula for $I_2(t, G)$ involves quantities from the spectral theory of the non-Euclidean Laplacian (see op. cit.). Let, as usual, \{λ_j = κ_j^2 + \frac{1}{4}\} \cup \{0\} be the discrete spectrum of the non-Euclidean Laplacian acting on $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$–automorphic forms, and $α_j = |ρ_j(1)|^2 (\cosh πκ_j)^{-1}$, where $ρ_j(1)$ is the first Fourier coefficient of the Maass wave form corresponding to the eigenvalue $λ_j$ to which the Hecke series $H_j(s)$ is attached. Then, for $T/\log T \leq G \leq T^{1−ε}$, it follows that

$$Y_0 = Y_0(T; κ_j) := \frac{κ_j}{T} \left(1 + \left(\frac{κ_j}{4T}\right)^2 + \frac{κ_j^2}{2T}\right),$$

then, for $T^ε \ll G = G(T) \ll T^{1−ε}$, it follows that

$$I_2(T, G) \sim F_0(T, G) + O(1)$$

$$+ \frac{πG}{\sqrt{2T}} \sum_{κ_j \leq TG^{-1} \log T} α_j H_j^3(\frac{1}{2})κ_j^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{1}{4}G^2 \log^2(1+Y_0)}$$

$$× \sin \left(κ_j \log \frac{κ_j}{4eT} + c_3κ_j^3T^{−2} + \cdots + c_Nκ_j^N T^{1−N}\right).$$

Here $N(\geq 3)$ is a sufficiently large integer, and all the constants $c_j$ in (1.15) may be effectively evaluated. The meaning of the symbol $\sim$ is that besides the spectral sums in (1.15) a finite number of other sums appear, each of which is similar in
nature to the corresponding sum above, but of a lower order of magnitude. The function $F_0(t, G)$ is given explicitly e.g., by eq. (5.112) of [4]. We have

$$F_0(t, G) = \Re \left\{ \frac{G}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ B_1 \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} + \cdots + B_{11} \frac{(\Gamma')^2 \Gamma''}{\Gamma^2} \right] \left( \frac{1}{t} + i t \right) e^{-(u/G)^2} du \right\},$$

where $B_1, \ldots, B_{11}$ are suitable constants. The main contribution to $F_0(t, G)$ is of the form $GP_3(\log t)$, where $P_3(z)$ is a polynomial of degree four in $z$, whose coefficients can be explicitly evaluated. This is easily obtained by using the asymptotic formula

$$\frac{\Gamma^{(k)}(s)}{\Gamma(s)} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} b_{j,k}(s) \log^j s + c_{-1,k}s^{-1} + \cdots + c_{-r,k}s^{-r} + O_r(|s|^{-r-1})$$

for any fixed integers $k \geq 1, r \geq 0$, where each $b_{j,k}(s)$ ($\sim b_{j,k}$ for a suitable constant $b_{j,k}$) has an asymptotic expansion in non-positive powers of $s$. One obtains (1.17) from Stirling’s classical formula for $\Gamma(s)$.

2. Statement of results

In [11] the author improved (1.9) and its analogue for $E(T)$ to a true asymptotic formula. Namely it was shown that, for $1 \leq U = U(T) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{T}$, we have $(c_3 = 8\pi^{-2})$

$$\int_{T}^{2T} \left( \Delta(x + U) - \Delta(x) \right)^2 dx = \frac{T}{U} \sum_{j=0}^{3} c_j \log^j \left( \frac{\sqrt{T}}{U} \right) + \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \log^{3/2} \left( \frac{\sqrt{x}}{U} \right)}{U} + O(U^{1/2+\varepsilon}T^{1/2+\varepsilon}) \cup O(U^{1/2+\varepsilon}T^{1/2}) \cup O(U^{1/2+\varepsilon}T^{1/2+\varepsilon}),$$

a similar result being true if $\Delta(x + U) - \Delta(x)$ is replaced by $E(x + U) - E(x)$, with different constants $c_j$. It follows that, for $T^\varepsilon \leq U = U(T) \leq T^{1/2-\varepsilon}$, (2.1) is a true asymptotic formula. Moreover, for $T^{\varepsilon} \leq x \leq 2T$ and $T^{\varepsilon} \leq U = U(T) \leq T^{1/2-\varepsilon}$, from (2.1) it follows that

$$\Delta(x + U) - \Delta(x) = \Omega \left\{ \sqrt{U} \log^{3/2} \left( \frac{\sqrt{x}}{U} \right) \right\}, \quad E(x + h) - E(x) = \Omega \left\{ \sqrt{U} \log^{3/2} \left( \frac{\sqrt{x}}{U} \right) \right\}.$$ 

These omega results $(f(x) = \Omega(g(x)))$ means that $\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x)/g(x) \neq 0$) show that Jutila’s conjectures made in [13], namely that

$$\Delta(x + U) - \Delta(x) \ll x^{2/\varepsilon} \sqrt{U}, \quad E(x + U) - E(x) \ll x^{2/\varepsilon} \sqrt{U}$$

for $x^{\varepsilon} \leq U \leq x^{1/2-\varepsilon}$ are (if true), close to being best possible. It should be also mentioned that the formula (2.1) can be further generalized, and the representative cases are the classical circle problem and the summatary function of coefficients of holomorphic cusp forms, which were also treated in [11].

In this work the problem of the mean square of the function $I_k(t, G)$ (see (1.12)) in short intervals is considered when $k = 1$ or $k = 2$. The former case is much less difficult, and in fact an asymptotic formula in the most important case of the mean
square of \( I_1(t + G, G) - I_1(t, G) \) can be obtained. The result, which is similar to (2.1), is

**Theorem 1.** For \( T^\varepsilon \leq G = G(T) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{T} \) we have

\[
\left( \int_T^{2T} \left( I_1(t + G, G) - I_1(t, G) \right)^2 dt \right) \leq TG \sum_{j=0}^{3} a_j \log^{j} \left( \frac{\sqrt{T}}{G} \right) + O_{\varepsilon}(T^{1+\varepsilon}G^{3/2} + T^{1/2+\varepsilon}G^{2})
\]

with some explicitly computable constants \( a_j \) (\( a_3 > 0 \)).

**Corollary 1.** For \( T^\varepsilon \leq G = G(T) \leq T^{1/2-\varepsilon} \), (2.4) is a true asymptotic formula.

**Corollary 2.** For \( T^\varepsilon \leq G = G(T) \leq T^{1/2-\varepsilon} \) we have

\[
I_1(T + G, G) - I_1(T, G) = \Omega(\sqrt{G} \log^{3/2} T).
\]

Namely if (2.5) did not hold, then replacing \( T \) by \( t \), squaring and integrating we would obtain that the left-hand side of (2.4) is \( o(TG \log^{3} T) \) as \( T \to \infty \), which contradicts the right-hand side of (2.4). The formula given by Theorem 1 makes it then plausible to state the following conjecture, analogous to (2.3).

**Conjecture.** For \( T^\varepsilon \leq G = G(T) \leq T^{1/2-\varepsilon} \) we have

\[
I_1(T + G, G) - I_1(T, G) = O_{\varepsilon}(T^{\varepsilon} \sqrt{G}).
\]

The generalization of Theorem 1 to the mean square of \( I_1(t + U, G) - I_1(t, G) \) over \([T, T + H]\) is will be discussed in Section 4, subject to the condition (4.1). This is technically more involved than (2.5), so we have chosen not to formulate our discussion as a theorem.

The mean square of \( I_2(t + U, G) - I_2(t, G) \) is naturally more involved than the mean square of \( I_1(t + U, G) - I_1(t, G) \). At the possible state of knowledge involving estimates with \( \kappa_j \) and related exponential integrals, it does not seem possible to obtain an asymptotic formula, but only an upper bound. This is

**Theorem 2.** For \( T^\varepsilon \leq U \leq GT^{-\varepsilon} \ll T^{1/2-\varepsilon}, U = U(T), G = G(T) \) we have

\[
\int_T^{2T} \left( I_2(t + U, G) - I_2(t, G) \right)^2 dt \ll_{\varepsilon} T^{2+\varepsilon} \left( \frac{U}{G} \right)^2.
\]
3. The proof of Theorem 1

We shall prove first the bound

\[
(3.1) \quad \int_T^{2T} \left( I_1(t+G) - I_1(t,G) \right)^2 \, dt \ll TGL^3 \quad (T^\varepsilon \leq G \leq \tfrac{1}{2} \sqrt{T}),
\]

where henceforth \( L = \log T \) for brevity. This shows that the sum on the left-hand side of (2.5) is indeed of the order given by the right-hand side, a fact which will be needed a little later. We truncate (1.12) at \( u = \pm GL \), then differentiate (1.1) and integrate by parts to obtain

\[
(3.2) 
I_1(t,G) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-GL}^{GL} \left( \frac{t+u}{2\pi} + 2\gamma + E'(t+u) \right) e^{-(u/G)^2} \, du + O(e^{-L^2/2})
\]

This gives, for \( T \leq t \leq 2T, T^\varepsilon \ll G \leq \tfrac{1}{2} \sqrt{T} \),

\[
(3.3) 
I_1(t+G,G) - I_1(t,G) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}G^2} \int_{-GL}^{GL} \left( E(t+u+G) - E(t+u) \right) du + O \left( \frac{G^2}{T} \right).
\]

We square (3.3), noting that \( G^2/T \ll 1 \), and then integrate over \([T, 2T]\). We use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for integrals together with Jutila’s bound (1.9) (or (2.1)) for \( E(x+U) - E(x) \), and then (3.1) will follow.

To prove (2.4) we need a precise expression for \( I_1(t+G,G) - I_1(t,G) \). One way to proceed is to start from (3.2) and use Atkinson’s formula (1.3)–(1.7). In the course of the proof, various expressions will be simplified by Taylor’s formula, and one has to use the well known integral (see e.g., the Appendix of [3])

\[
(3.4) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left( Ax - Bx^2 \right) \, dx = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{B}} \exp \left( \frac{A^2}{4B} \right) \quad (\Re B > 0).
\]

However, it seems more expedient to proceed in the following way. We start from Y. Motohashi’s formula [17, eq. (4.1.16)], namely

\[
(3.5) 
Z_1(g) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \Re \left\{ \frac{\Gamma'(1/2 + it)}{\Gamma(1/2 + it)} \right\} + 2\gamma - \log(2\pi) \right] g(t) \, dt + 2\pi \Re \{ g(1/2) \} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(n) \int_{0}^{\infty} (g(y+1))^{-1/2} g_c((1+1/y)) \cos(2\pi ny) \, dy,
\]

where, for \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) fixed,

\[
(3.6) \quad Z_k(g) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\zeta(1/2 + it)|^{2k} g(t) \, dt, \quad g_c(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t) \cos(\pi x t) \, dt.
\]
Here the function \( g(r) \) is real for \( r \) real, and there exist a large positive constant \( A \) such that \( g(r) \) is regular and \( g(r) \ll (|r| + 1)^{-A} \) for \( |3m r| \leq A \). The choice in our case is

\[
g(t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp \left(-\left( (T - t)/G \right)^2 \right), \quad g_c(x) = G \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4}(Gx)^2 \right) \cos(Tx),
\]

and one verifies without difficulty that the above assumptions on \( g \) are satisfied. In this case \( Z_1(g) \) becomes our \( I_1(T,G) \) (see (2.4)). To evaluate the integral on the right-hand side of (3.5) we use (1.17) with \( k = 1 \). Thus when we form the difference \( I_1(t + G, G) - I_1(t, G) \) in this way, the integral on the right-hand side of (3.5) produces the terms \( O(G^2/T) + O(1) = O(1) \), since \( G^2/T \ll 1 \). The second integral on the right-hand side of (3.5) is evaluated by the saddle-point method (see e.g., [3, Chapter 2]). A similar analysis was made in [8] by the author, and an explicit formula for \( I_1(T,G) \) is also to be found in Y. Motohashi [17, eq. (5.5.1)]. As these results are either less accurate, or hold in a more restrictive range of \( G \) than what we require for the proof of Theorem 1, a more detailed analysis is in order. A convenient result to use is [3, Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 15.1] (due originally to Atkinson [1]) for the evaluation of exponential integrals

\[
\int_a^b \phi(x) \exp(2\pi i F(x)) \, dx,
\]

where \( \phi \) and \( F \) are suitable smooth, real-valued functions. In the latter only the exponential factor \( \exp(-\frac{1}{4}G^2 \log(1 + 1/y)) \) is missing. In the notation of [1] and [3] we have that the saddle point \( x_0 \) (root of \( F'(x) = 0 \)) satisfies

\[
x_0 = U - \frac{1}{2} = \left( \frac{T}{2\pi n} + \frac{1}{4} \right)^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2},
\]

and the presence of the above exponential factor makes it possible to truncate the series in (3.5) at \( n = TG^{-2} \log T \) with a negligible error. Furthermore, in the remaining range for \( n \) we have (in the notation of [3])

\[
\Phi(\mu_0) F_{0}^{-3/2} \ll (nT)^{-3/4},
\]

which makes a total contribution of \( O(1) \), as does error term integral in Theorem 2.2 of [3]. The error terms with \( \Phi(a), \Phi(b) \) vanish for \( a \to 0^+, b \to +\infty \). In this way we obtain a formula, which naturally has a resemblance to Atkinson’s formula (compare it also to [8, eq. (19)]) . This is

\[
(3.7)
\]

\[
I_1(t + G, G) - I_1(t, G) = O(1) + \sqrt{2G} \sum_{n \leq TG^{-2}L} (-1)^n d(n)n^{-1/2} \left\{ u(t + G, n)H(t + G, n) - u(t, n)H(t, n) \right\},
\]

where

\[
u(t, n) := \left( \left( \frac{t}{2\pi n} + \frac{1}{4} \right)^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{-1/2} \quad (t \gg T, 1 \leq n \leq TG^{-2}L).
\]
and (in the notation of (1.6))
\[ H(T, n) := \exp \left( -G^2 \left( \arcsinh \sqrt{\frac{\pi n}{2T}} \right)^2 \right) \sin f(T, n) \quad (t \approx T, 1 \leq n \leq TG^{-2}L). \]

Now we square (3.7) and integrate over \( T \leq t \leq 2T \), using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (3.1) to obtain
\[ \int_T^{2T} \left( I_1(t + G, G) - I_1(t, G) \right)^2 dt = S + O\left( TG^{1/2}L^{3/2} \right), \]
where we set
\[ S := 2G^2 \int_T^{2T} \left\{ \sum_{n \leq TG^{-2}L} (-1)^n d(n) \left[ u(t + G, n)H(t + G, n) - u(t, n)H(t, n) \right] \right\}^2 dt. \]

Squaring out the sum over \( n \) in (3.9) it follows that
\[ S = 2G^2 \int_T^{2T} \sum_{n \leq TG^{-2}L} \frac{d^2(n)}{n} \left[ u(t + G, n)H(t + G, n) - u(t, n)H(t, n) \right]^2 dt + \]
\[ + 2G^2 \int_T^{2T} \sum_{m \neq n \leq TG^{-2}L} (-1)^{m+n} \frac{d(m)d(n)}{\sqrt{mn}} \left[ u(t + G, m)H(t + G, m) - u(t, m)H(t, m) \right] \left[ u(t + G, n)H(t + G, n) - u(t, n)H(t, n) \right] dt. \]

The main term in Theorem 1 comes from the first sum in (3.10) (the diagonal terms), while the sum over the non-diagonal terms \( m \neq n \) will contribute to the error term. To see this note first that the functions \( u(t, n) \) (\( \asymp (n/t)^{1/4} \) in our range) and
\[ \exp \left( -G^2 \left( \arcsinh \sqrt{\frac{\pi n}{2T}} \right)^2 \right) \]
are monotonic functions of \( t \) when \( t \in [T, 2T] \), and moreover, since
\[ \frac{\partial f(t, n)}{\partial t} = 2 \arcsinh \sqrt{\frac{\pi n}{2T}}, \]
it follows that, for \( U, V = 0 \) or \( G \),
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ f(t + U, m) \pm f(t + V, n) \right] \asymp \frac{|\sqrt{m} \pm \sqrt{n}|}{\sqrt{T}} \quad (m \neq n). \]
In the sum over \( m \neq n \) we can assume, by symmetry, that \( n < m \leq 2n \) or \( m > 2n \).

Hence by the first derivative test (see e.g., Lemma 2.1 of [3]) and (3.11) we have that the sum in question is

\[
\ll G^2 \sum_{1 \leq n < m \leq TG^{-2}L} \frac{d(m)d(n)}{(mn)^{1/4}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{m-n}}
\]

\[
\ll G^2 \sum_{n \in TG^{-2}L} d(n) \sum_{n < m \leq 2n} \frac{d(m)}{m-n} + \sum_{m \leq TG^{-2}L} \frac{d(m)}{m^{3/4}} \sum_{n < 2m} \frac{d(n)}{n^{1/4}}
\]

\[
\ll G^2 T^\varepsilon \left( \sum_{n \in TG^{-2}L} 1 + \sum_{m \in TG^{-2}L} d(m)L \right) \ll \varepsilon T^{1+\varepsilon}.
\]

Note that, by the mean value theorem,

\[
u(t+G, n) - u(t, G) = O(Gn^{1/4}T^{-5/4}) \quad (t \asymp T, 1 \leq n \leq TG^{-2}L).
\]

Hence we obtain, by trivial estimation,

(3.12)

\[
S = 2G^2 \int_T^{2T} \sum_{n \in TG^{-2}L} \frac{d^2(n)}{n} u^2(t, n) \left( H(t+G, n) - H(t, n) \right)^2 dt
\]

\[
+ O \left( G^2 \int_T^{2T} \sum_{n \in TG^{-2}L} \frac{d^2(n)}{n} \cdot Gn^{1/2}T^{-3/2} dt \right) + O(\varepsilon T^{1+\varepsilon})
\]

\[
= 2G^2 \int_T^{2T} \sum_{n \in TG^{-2}L} \frac{d^2(n)}{n} u^2(t, n) \left( H(t+G, n) - H(t, n) \right)^2 dt + O(\varepsilon T^{1+\varepsilon}),
\]

since \( G^2 \ll T \). Now note that

\[
u^2(t, n) = \left( \frac{t}{2\pi n} \right)^{-1/2} + O \left( \frac{n}{T} \right) \quad (T \leq t \leq 2T),
\]

hence the error term above makes a contribution to \( S \) which is

\[
\ll G^2 \sum_{n \in TG^{-2}L} d^2(n) \ll TL^4.
\]

Similarly, replacing \( t + G \) by \( t \) in the exponential factor in \( H(t+G, n) \), we make a total error which is \( \ll \varepsilon T^{1+\varepsilon} \). Therefore (3.8) and (3.12) give
\[
\int_T^{2T} \left( I_1(t+G, G) - I_1(t, G) \right)^2 dt = O(T G^{1/2} L^{3/2}) \\
+ 2 \sqrt{2\pi G^2} \sum_{n \leq T G^{-2} L} \frac{d^2(n)}{\sqrt{n}} \int_T^{2T} t^{-1/2} \exp\left(-2G^2 \left( \text{ar sinh} \sqrt{\frac{\pi n}{2t}} \right)^2 \right) \times \\
\times \left( \sin f(t+G, n) - \sin f(t, n) \right)^2 dt \quad (T^c \leq G \ll \sqrt{T}).
\]

In a similar vein we simplify (3.13), by using
\[
\text{ar sinh } z = z + O(|z|^3) \quad (|z| < 1).
\]

Thus we may replace the exponential factor in (3.13) by \( \exp(-\pi n G^2 / t) \), making an error which is absorbed by the \( O \)-term in (3.13). Next we use the identity
\[
\left( \sin \alpha - \sin \beta \right)^2 = (2 + 2 \cos(\alpha + \beta)) \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \beta) \quad (\alpha = f(t+G, n), \beta = f(t, n)).
\]

Analogously to the treatment of the sum in (3.10) with \( m \neq n \), we use the first derivative test to show that the contribution of the terms with \( \cos(\alpha + \beta) \) is \( O(T G^{1/2} L^{3/2}) \). Therefore (3.13) reduces to

\[
(3.14)
\]

\[
\int_T^{2T} \left( I_1(t+G, G) - I_1(t, G) \right)^2 dt = O(T G^{1/2} L^{3/2}) \\
+ 4 \sqrt{2\pi G^2} \sum_{n \leq T G^{-2} L} \frac{d^2(n)}{\sqrt{n}} \int_T^{2T} t^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi n G^2}{t} \right) \sin^2 \left( \sqrt{\frac{\pi n}{2t}} G \right) dt,
\]

since
\[
\sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \beta) = \sin^2 \left( \sqrt{\frac{\pi n}{2t}} G \right) + O \left( (G^2 n^{1/2} + G n^{3/2}) T^{-3/2} \right).
\]

In the integral on the right-hand side of (3.14) we make the change of variable
\[
\sqrt{\frac{\pi n}{2t}} G = y, \quad t = \frac{\pi n G^2}{2y^2}, \quad dt = -\frac{\pi n G^2}{y^3} dy.
\]

The main term on the right-hand side of (3.14) becomes then
\[ 8\pi G^3 \sum_{n \leq T^{-2}L} d^2(n) \int_{\frac{T}{2G}}^{2\pi T} \left( \frac{\sin y}{y} \right)^2 e^{-2y^2} dy \]

\[ = 8\pi G^3 \int_{\frac{T}{2G}}^{2\pi T} \sum_{ \max(1, \frac{T^{-2}L}{\pi G^2}) \leq n \leq \min(T^{-2}L, \frac{4\pi T L}{\pi G^2})} d^2(n) \left( \frac{\sin y}{y} \right)^2 e^{-2y^2} dy \]

\[ = 8\pi G^3 \int_{\frac{T}{2G}}^{\sqrt{T} \pi} \sum_{ \max(1, \frac{T^{-2}L}{\pi G^2}) \leq n \leq \frac{2\pi T L}{\pi G^2}} d^2(n) \left( \frac{\sin y}{y} \right)^2 e^{-2y^2} dy + O(T^{1/2}G^2L^4). \]

At this point we invoke (see [8] for a proof, and [16] for a slightly sharper result) the asymptotic formula

\[ \sum_{n \leq x} d^2(n) = x \sum_{j=0}^{3} a_j \log^j x + O_x(x^{1/2+\varepsilon}) \quad (d_3 = 1/(2\pi^2)). \]

By using (3.16) it follows (\(a_j, b_j, b'_j, d_j\) denote constants which may be explicitly evaluated) that the last main term in (3.15) equals

\[ 8\pi G^3 \int_{\frac{T}{2G}}^{\sqrt{T} \pi} \sum_{ \max(1, \frac{T^{-2}L}{\pi G^2}) \leq n \leq \frac{2\pi T L}{\pi G^2}} d^2(n) \left( \frac{\sin y}{y} \right)^2 e^{-2y^2} dy + O(T^{1/2}G^2L^4) \]

\[ = 8\pi TG \int_{0}^{\infty} \sin^2 y \left( \sum_{j=0}^{3} b'_j \log^j \left( \frac{\sqrt{T} y}{G} \right) \right) e^{-2y^2} dy + O_x(T^{1/2+\varepsilon}G^2) \]

\[ = TG \sum_{j=0}^{3} a_j \log^j \left( \frac{\sqrt{T} G}{G} \right) + O_x(T^{1/2+\varepsilon}G^2). \]

Coupled with (3.14)–(3.15) this proves Theorem 1 with

\[ a_3 = 8\pi b'_3 \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2y^2} \sin^2 y dy > 0. \]

Namely by using (3.4) we have

\[ \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2y^2} \sin^2 y dy = \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2y^2} (1 - \cos 2y) dy \]

\[ = \frac{1}{4} \text{Re} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2y^2} (1 - e^{2iy}) dy \right\} \]

\[ = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4\sqrt{2}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}} \right), \]
and the other constants $a_j$ in (2.4) can be also explicitly evaluated. This finishes then the proof of Theorem 1.

4. A generalization of the mean square result

In Theorem 1 we considered the mean square of $I_1(t + G, G) - I_1(t, G)$ (see (2.4)), over the "long" interval $[T, 2T]$. However, already M. Jutila [13] (see (1.8) and (1.9)) considered the mean square of $\Delta(x + U) - \Delta(x)$ and $E(t + U) - E(t)$ over the "short" interval $[T, T + H]$. Therefore it seems also natural to consider the mean square of $I_1(t + U, G) - I_1(t, G)$ over the short interval $[T, T + H]$ for suitable $U = U(T)$. It turns out that this problem is more complicated, because of the presence of two parameters $U$ and $G$, and not only $U$ as in (1.8) and (1.9).

Our assumption will be henceforth that

$$T^\varepsilon \leq U = U(T) \leq G = G(T) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{T}, T^\varepsilon \leq H = H(T) \leq T, HU \gg T^{1+\varepsilon}. \tag{4.1}$$

The method of proof will be analogous to the proof of Theorem 1, only it will be technically more involved, and the final result will not have such a nice shape as (2.5). We shall thus only sketch the salient points of the evaluation of

$$J(T) = J(T; G, H, U) := \int_T^{T+H} \left( I_1(t + U, G) - I_1(t, G) \right)^2 dt, \tag{4.2}$$

subject to the condition (4.1), without formulating a theorem.

To obtain an upper bound for $J(T)$ we recall (3.2), which gives now

$$I_1(t + U, G) - I_1(t, G) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi} G^2} \int_{-GL}^{GL} \exp(-u/G)^2 \left( E(t + u + G) - E(t + u) \right) du + O(1), \tag{4.3}$$

since $U \leq G \ll \sqrt{T}$. First we square (4.3), integrate over $[T, T + H]$ and use (1.9) for $E(t)$ to obtain

$$J(T) = \int_T^{T+H} \left( I_1(t + U, G) - I_1(t, G) \right)^2 dt \ll \varepsilon HU \log^3 \frac{\sqrt{T}}{U}. \tag{4.4}$$

Now we use (3.7), square, integrate over $[T, T + H]$ and use (4.4). It follows that

$$J(T) = \int_T^{T+H} \left( I_1(t + U, G) - I_1(t, G) \right)^2 dt = S + O(H \sqrt{U} L^{3/2}), \tag{4.5}$$
where, similarly to (3.9), now we shall have
\[
S := 2G^2 \int_T^{T+H} \left\{ \sum_{n \leq T G^{-2} L} (-1)^n d(n) n^{-1/2} \left[ u(t+U, n) H(t+U, n) - u(t, n) H(t, n) \right] \right\}^2 dt.
\]

Proceeding as in the proof of (3.10)–(3.13) we shall obtain

\begin{equation}
S = 2 \sqrt{2 \pi G^2} \sum_{n \leq T G^{-2} L} \frac{d^2(n)}{\sqrt{n}} \int_T^{T+H} t^{-1/2} \exp \left( -2G^2 \left( \ar sinh \sqrt{\frac{\pi n}{2t}} \right)^2 \right) \times \left( \sin f(t+U, n) - \sin f(t, n) \right)^2 dt + O(H \sqrt{UL^{3/2}}) + O_{\varepsilon}(T^{1+\varepsilon}).
\end{equation}

Using again the identity
\[
\left( \sin \alpha - \sin \beta \right)^2 = (2 + 2 \cos(\alpha + \beta)) \sin^2 \frac{1}{2} (\alpha - \beta) \quad (\alpha = f(t+U, n), \beta = f(t, n))
\]
and simplifying the exponential factor in (4.6) by Taylor’s formula, we have that

\begin{equation}
S = 4 \sqrt{2 \pi G^2} \sum_{n \leq T G^{-2} L} \frac{d^2(n)}{\sqrt{n}} \int_T^{T+H} t^{-1/2} \exp \left( -\frac{\pi n G^2}{t} \right) \sin^2 \frac{1}{2} (\alpha - \beta) dt + O_{\varepsilon}(R),
\end{equation}

where
\[
R := H \sqrt{UL^{3/2}} + T^{1+\varepsilon}.
\]

Note that
\[
\sin^2 \frac{1}{2} (\alpha - \beta) = \sin^2 \left( \sqrt{\frac{\pi n}{2t} U} \right) + O \left( (U^2 n^{1/2} + Un^{3/2})T^{-3/2} \right)
\]
in the relevant range, and the total contribution of the $O$–terms above will be $O_{\varepsilon}(T^{-H})$. In the integral in (4.7) we make the change of variable, similarly as was done on the right-hand side of (3.14),
\[
\sqrt{\frac{\pi n}{t}} G = y, \quad t = \frac{\pi n G^2}{y^2}, \quad dt = -\frac{2\pi n G^2}{y^3} dy.
\]

The main term in (4.7) becomes, after changing the order of integration and summation, $O_{\varepsilon}(T^{1+\varepsilon} R)$ plus
\[
8\pi \sqrt{2 G^3} \int_G^{\sqrt{\pi T/(T+H)}} \sum_{\frac{\pi n^2}{y^2} \leq n \leq \frac{\pi n G^2}{y^2}} d^2(n) \sin^2 \left( \frac{U}{G \sqrt{2}} y \right) y^{-2} e^{-y^2} dy.
\]

For the sum over $n$ we use again the asymptotic formula (3.16). We obtain that
\( (4.8) \)

\[
S = 8\pi \sqrt{2}G^3 \int_{G\sqrt{\pi/T}}^{\sqrt{\pi L(T+H)/2}} \left\{ xP_3(\log x) \right. \\
\left. \quad \text{where } (\text{cf. (3.16)}) \right. \\
\left. x = (T+H)y^2/(\pi G^2) \quad + O \left( \frac{T^{1/2+\varepsilon}y}{G^2} \right) \right\} \times \\
\times \sin^2 \left( \frac{U}{G\sqrt{2}} \right) y^{-2} e^{-y^2} dy + O_\varepsilon (T^{1+\varepsilon}) + O(H\sqrt{UL^3/2}),
\]

where \( P_3(z) = \sum_{j=0}^3 d_j z^j \). The main term in (4.8) equals

\( (4.9) \)

\[
8\pi \sqrt{2}G \int_{G\sqrt{\pi/T}}^{\sqrt{\pi L(T+H)/2}} xP_3 \left( \log \left( \frac{xy^2}{\pi G^2} \right) \right) \bigg|_{x=T}^x = T+H \\
\sin^2 \left( \frac{U}{G\sqrt{2}} \right) e^{-y^2} dy
\]

\[ = 8\sqrt{2}G \int_0^\infty xP_3 \left( \log \left( \frac{xy^2}{\pi G^2} \right) \right) \bigg|_{x=T}^x = T+H \\
\sin^2 \left( \frac{U}{G\sqrt{2}} \right) e^{-y^2} dy + O_\varepsilon (T^{1+\varepsilon}) + O_\varepsilon (T^{1/2+\varepsilon}U^2) + O(H\sqrt{UL^3}).
\]

In view of (4.1) the last \( O \)-term is \( \ll \varepsilon T^{1/2+\varepsilon}U^2 \), so that (4.9) gives

\[
S = 8\sqrt{2}G \int_0^\infty xP_3 \left( \log \left( \frac{xy^2}{\pi G^2} \right) \right) \bigg|_{x=T}^x = T+H \\
\sin^2 \left( \frac{U}{G\sqrt{2}} \right) e^{-y^2} dy + O_\varepsilon (T^{1+\varepsilon}) + O_\varepsilon (T^{1/2+\varepsilon}U^2) + O(H\sqrt{UL^3}).
\]

This can be rewritten as

\( (4.10) \)

\[
S = G \int_0^\infty \left\{ x \sum_{k=0}^3 A_k(y) \log^k \left( \frac{\sqrt{x}}{G} \right) \right\} \bigg|_{x=T}^x = T+H \\
\sin^2 \left( \frac{U}{G\sqrt{2}} \right) e^{-y^2} dy + O_\varepsilon (T^{1+\varepsilon}) + O_\varepsilon (T^{1/2+\varepsilon}U^2) + O(H\sqrt{UL^3}),
\]

where

\[
A_k(y) = A_k(y; U, G) := \sum_{j=0}^3 b_{j,k} \left( \log \frac{y^2}{\pi} \right)^j
\]

with computable coefficients \( b_{j,k} = b_{j,k}(U, G) \). This shows that the main term in \( S \) has the form

\[
Gx \sum_{k=0}^3 D_k \log^k \left( \frac{\sqrt{x}}{G} \right) \bigg|_{x=T}^x = T+H
\]
with computable coefficients $D_k = D_k(U, G)$. In particular, by using (3.4) we see that $D_3$ is a multiple of
\[
\int_0^\infty \sin^2 \left( \frac{U}{G\sqrt{2}} y \right) e^{-y^2} \, dy = \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \left( 1 - \cos \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}U}{G} y \right) \right) e^{-y^2} \, dy
\]
that is, $D_3$ is a choice of functions of $U, G, H$.

We start from (1.14)–(1.16) and use (1.17) to deduce that, for
\[
\int_T^{T+H} \left( I_1(t + U, G) - I_1(t, G) \right)^2 \, dt \ll \frac{HU^2}{G} \log^3 \left( \frac{\sqrt{T}}{G} \right) \quad (T \to \infty),
\]
which is more precise than (4.4). It is clear that, in that case, (4.11) can be in fact replaced by a true asymptotic formula (for example, $U = T^{1/3}, G = T^{4/9}, H = T^{8/9}$ is such a choice) for $f(T)$. Such a formula can be written down explicitly, although its form will be unwieldy, and because of this it is not formulated as a theorem.

5. The proof of Theorem 2

We assume that the hypotheses of Theorem 2 hold, namely that
\[
T^\varepsilon \leq U \leq GT^{-\varepsilon} \ll T^{1/2-\varepsilon}, \quad U = U(T), \quad G = G(T).
\]
We start from (1.14)–(1.16) and use (1.17) to deduce that, for $T \leq t \leq 2T$, $Y_0 = Y_0(t; \kappa_j)$,
\[
I_2(t + U, G) - I_2(t, G) \sim O(UG^{-1/2}L^C) + O(1) + \frac{G\pi}{\sqrt{2t}} \sum_{\kappa_j \leq TG^{-1}L} \alpha_j H_j^3 \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \kappa_j^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}G^2 \log^2(1 + Y_0)} (f_j(t + U) - f_j(t)),
\]
where
\[
f_j(T) := \sin \left( \kappa_j \log \frac{K_j}{4eT} + c_3\kappa_j^3T^{-2} + \cdots + c_n\kappa_j^N T^{1-N} \right).
\]
Here we used the bounds
\[
(t + U)^{-1/2} - t^{-1/2} \ll UT^{-3/2},
\]
\[
e^{-\frac{1}{2}G^2 \log^2(1 + Y_0(t; \kappa_j))} \ll UT^{-1}L^2,
\]
which follows from Taylor’s formula, $\kappa_j \ll TG^{-1}L$, and (see Y. Motohashi [17])
\[
\sum_{K < \kappa_j \leq 2K} \alpha_j H_j^3 \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \ll K^2 \log^C K.
\]
Taylor’s formula yields, for a fixed integer \( L \geq 1 \) and some \( \theta \) satisfying \(|\theta| \leq 1\),

\[
(5.5) \quad f_j(t + U) - f_j(t) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \frac{U^{\ell}}{\ell!} f_j^{(\ell)}(t) + O\left(\frac{U^{L+1}}{(L+1)!} |f_j^{(L+1)}(t + \theta U)|\right). 
\]

Observe that

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_j'(t) & = \cos\left(\kappa_j \log \frac{\kappa_j}{4t} + \cdots \right) \left(-\frac{\kappa_j}{t} - 2c_3 \kappa_j^3 t^{-3} - \cdots \right), \quad f_j''(t) = -\sin\left(\kappa_j \log \frac{\kappa_j}{4t} + \cdots \right) \left(-\frac{\kappa_j}{t} - 2c_3 \kappa_j^3 t^{-3} - \cdots \right)^2 + \\
    & \quad+ \cos\left(\kappa_j \log \frac{\kappa_j}{4t} + \cdots \right) \left(\frac{\kappa_j}{t^2} + 6c_3 \kappa_j^3 t^{-4} + \cdots \right),
\end{align*}
\]

and so on. Since \( U \ll GT^{-\varepsilon} \), this means that for \( L (= L(\varepsilon)) \) sufficiently large the last term in (5.5) makes, by trivial estimation, a negligible contribution (i.e., \( \ll 1 \)). Each time the derivative is decreased by a factor which is

\[
\ll U \kappa_j T^{-1} \ll UTG^{-1}LT^{-1} \ll UG^{-1}L \ll e^{-T^{\varepsilon/2}}.
\]

This means that in (5.5) the term \( \ell = 1 \), namely \( Uf_j'(t) \) will make the largest contribution. This contribution is, on squaring (5.2) and integrating,

\[
\ll \frac{G^2 L}{T} \max_{K \ll TG^{-1}L} \int_{T/2}^{5T/2} \varphi(t) \left| \sum (K) \right|^2 dt.
\]

Here \( \varphi(t) (\geq 0) \) is a smooth function supported on \([T/2, 5T/2]\) such that \( \varphi(t) = 1 \) when \( T \leq t \leq 2T \) and \( \varphi^{(r)}(t) \ll T^{-r} \) for \( r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), and

\[
\sum (K) := U \sum_{K < \kappa_j \leq K' \leq 2K} \alpha_j H^3_j \left(\frac{\kappa_j}{2}\right) \kappa_j^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{1}{4} t G^2 \log^2 (1 + Y_0(t; \kappa_j))} \left(\frac{\kappa_j}{t} + 2c_3 \kappa_j^3 t^{-3} \right) \cos\left(\kappa_j \log \frac{\kappa_j}{4t} + \cdots \right).
\]

When \( \sum (K) \) is squared, we shall obtain a double sum over \( K < \kappa_j, \kappa_{\ell} \leq K' \), with the exponential factors (both of which are estimated analogously)

\[
\exp\left(i f_j(t) - i f_\ell(t)\right), \quad \exp\left(i f_\ell(t) - i f_j(t)\right),
\]

in view of (5.3). The first one yields the integral

\[
I := \int_{T/2}^{5T/2} e^{-\frac{1}{4} t G^2 \log^2 (1 + Y_0(t; \kappa_j))} e^{-\frac{1}{4} t G^2 \log^2 (1 + Y_0(t; \kappa_\ell))} F(t; \kappa_j, \kappa_\ell) \, dt,
\]

where for brevity we set

\[
F(t; \kappa_j, \kappa_\ell) := \varphi(t) \exp \left\{ i \left( c_3 (\kappa_j^3 - \kappa_\ell^3) t^{-2} + \cdots + c_N (\kappa_j^N - \kappa_\ell^N) t^{1-N} \right) \right\}.
\]

Integration by parts shows that

\[
I = - \int_{T/2}^{5T/2} \left( e^{-\cdots} e^{-\cdots} F(t; \kappa_j, \kappa_\ell) \right)' \frac{i \kappa_\ell - i \kappa_j + 1}{i \kappa_\ell - i \kappa_j + 1} \, dt.
\]
and

\[ \{e^{-\cdot} \cdots e^{-\cdot} F(t; \kappa_j, \kappa_\ell)\}^\prime \ll \frac{1}{T} + \frac{|\kappa_j - \kappa_\ell|K^2}{T^3}. \]

Therefore if integration by parts is performed a sufficiently large number of times (depending on \( \varepsilon \)), then the contribution of \( \kappa_j, \kappa_\ell \) which satisfy \( |\kappa_j - \kappa_\ell| \geq T^\varepsilon \) will be negligibly small, since the integrand is decreased each time by a factor which is, for \( |\kappa_j - \kappa_\ell| \geq T^\varepsilon \),

\[ \ll \frac{T}{|\kappa_j - \kappa_\ell| + 1} \left( \frac{1}{T} + \frac{|\kappa_j - \kappa_\ell|K^2}{T^3} \right) \ll T^{-\varepsilon}, \]

and the exponential factor remains the same. To complete the proof of Theorem 2 we use the bound, proved by the author in [5],

\[ (5.6) \sum_{K \leq \kappa_j \leq K + 1} \alpha_j H_j^3 \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \ll_{\varepsilon} K^{1+\varepsilon}. \]

From (5.6) and the preceding discussion it follows that

\[ \int_{T/2}^{5T/2} \varphi(t) \left| \sum(K) \right|^2 dt \ll \frac{U^2 K^3}{T^2} T \sum_{K < \kappa_j \leq K'} \alpha_j \kappa_j^{-1/2} H_j^3 \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \sum_{|\kappa_j - \kappa_\ell| \leq T^\varepsilon} \alpha_\ell \kappa_\ell^{-1/2} H_\ell^3 \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \ll_{\varepsilon} \frac{U^2 K^3}{T} KT^\varepsilon K^2 K^{-1} \ll_{\varepsilon} U^2 T^{3+\varepsilon} G^{-4}, \]

which gives for the integral in (2.6) the bound

\[ TU^2 G^{-1} L^C + TL^8 + T^{2+\varepsilon} \left( \frac{U}{G} \right)^2. \]

However, it is clear that in our range

\[ TU^2 G^{-1} L^C \ll T^{2+\varepsilon} (U/G)^2 \]

holds. Moreover (5.1) implies that

\[ T^{2+\varepsilon} \left( \frac{U}{G} \right)^2 \gg T^{2+4\varepsilon} G^{-2} \geq T^{1+4\varepsilon}, \]

so that the bound in (2.6) follows. Thus Theorem 2 is proved, but the true order of the integral in (2.6) is elusive. Namely in the course of the proof we estimated, by the use of (5.6), trivially an exponential sum with \( \alpha_j H_j^3 \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \). This certainly led to some loss, and for the discussion of bounds for exponential sums with Hecke series, of the type needed above, the reader is referred to the author’s recent work [9].
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