Impact of Notch Geometry on the Pressure Bearing Capacity of AISI 316L Austenitic Stainless Steel under Fatigue Loading
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Abstract In the current research, pressure bearing capacity of AISI 316L austenitic stainless steel is evaluated under fatigue loading through finite element method (ANSYS 18.1) for a specimen with no notch on its surface. Thereafter, the pressure bearing capacity of the same specimen is evaluated with rectangular and V-notches at the center. The notch geometry is changed in terms of its width, depth and the notch central angle (perimeter length) for each of the rectangular and V-notched cases. Fifteen types of rectangular notch and V-notch geometries are undertaken for the research as required by Box-Behnken model of Response Surface Methodology (RSM) analysis. The primary objective of the research is to evaluate the impact of notch geometry on the pressure bearing capacity under fatigue loading conditions. It is observed that fatigue life degraded more with V-notched specimens when compared to rectangular notched ones. Regression equations are developed and surface plots are generated for both rectangular and V-notch cases for the pressure bearing capacity which is dependent on width, depth and notch central angle.
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1. Introduction

When a material is subjected to repeated cycles of stress or strain, failure occurs by leading to fracture at some weak points and this type of a failure is termed as Fatigue. Fatigue deformation is caused by the synchronous action of cyclic stress, tensile stress, and plastic strain. The plastic strain occurring from cyclic stress initiates the crack, the tensile stress promotes the crack growth (propagation). Cautious computation of strain depict that microscopic plastic strains could exist at low levels of stress where the strain might otherwise appear to be totally elastic. Though compressive stresses do not cause fatigue failure, compressive loads may induce local tensile stresses [1]. It is necessary to take some measures to increase fatigue life of components either by changing the geometry of the components or reducing the resistance opposed by the material. Geometry change is often not a solution, especially due to design requirements. Thereby fatigue life of component is increased by decreasing the strains in the material. Various ways such as heat treatment, coating, etc can increase fatigue life of material. M.Makkonen et. al. investigated the fatigue behaviour of grooved tempered steel specimens and concluded that one single method cannot predict the fatigue limit of blunt notches and sharp notches [2]. G.H.Majzoobi et. al. investigated the impact of notch geometry on the fatigue life of high strength and low strength steels. The results showed that the notch geometry has profound effect on fatigue life of a shaft. Three types of notch geometries, V-shape, U-shape and rectangular-shape notches of various sizes are considered for their investigation and maximum and minimum fatigue life reduction occurred for the V-shape and U-shape notches. It was further concluded that, the smaller the tip radius and open angle of notch, lower the fatigue life of shaft with a circumferential notch. Higher the depth of the notch, lower is the fatigue life due to the short propagation of the crack [3, 4]. M.T.Yu et. al. investigated the fatigue behavior of SAE 1045 steel and concluded that the fatigue notch factor increases with notch diameter for sharp notches, but it decreases with notch diameter for blunt notches [5]. G.Pluvainage depicted that fatigue and fracture are not governed by the local maximum stress (or strain or strain energy density). Hot spot approach always gives an
overestimation of the fracture or fatigue stress. The stress distribution exhibits a strong gradient which plays an important role [6]. Xuteng Hu et. al. studied the Effect of notch geometry on the fatigue strength of TC4 titanium alloy and concluded that notch with small radius can lead to high stress concentration and seriously reduce the HCF strength. Further it was concluded that the notch angle and notch depth can affect the HCF strength to a certain extent [7]. Yoshiaki Akiniwa et. al. studied the effect of notch on fatigue strength reduction of bearing steel in very high cycle regime and proved that for circumferentially notched specimens, fatigue fracture originated from the surface or very close to the surface. The slip deformation was root cause for crack initiation in high cycle and very high cycle regimes. The fatigue strength of specimens with notch was less than that of smooth specimens. The extent of reduction was observed to be smaller at longer lives [8].

In the current research, pressure bearing capacity of AISI 316L austenitic stainless steel is evaluated under fatigue loading through finite element method (ANSYS 18.1) for a specimen with no notch on its surface. Thereafter, the pressure bearing capacity of the same specimen is evaluated with different types of notches on the surface. The notch geometry is changed in terms of its width, depth and the notch central angle (perimeter length). Two variants of notches, viz. rectangular and V-notch are created at the center of the specimen. Fifteen types of notch geometries are undertaken for each of the notch variants as required by Box-Behnken model of Response Surface Methodology (RSM) analysis. The primary objective of the research is to evaluate the impact of notch geometry on the pressure bearing capacity under fatigue loading conditions.

2. Experimental Methodology

2.1. Material

AISI 316L austenitic stainless steel is primarily used in naval and marine applications owing to its excellent corrosion resistance and high strength to weight ratio. The chemical and mechanical properties of the material are given in Table 1 and Table 2 respectively.

2.2. Specimen

Fatigue testing is carried out for the chosen material employing the ASTM E606 standard specimen (Figure 1). At the center of the specimen, a notch is made varying the width, depth and length in terms of notch central angle for conducting the experimentation to evaluate the fatigue life. The cross-sectional view of the notches created on the specimens is illustrated in Figure 2.

| Table 1. Chemical Composition (wt%) |
|-----------------------------------|
| Element  | C  | Mn | Si | Cr | Ni | Mo | P  | S  | N  | Fe |
| %        | 0.03 | 2 | 0.75 | 17.00 | 12.00 | 2.5 | 0.045 | 0.03 | 0.1 | Balance |

| Table 2. Mechanical Properties |
|--------------------------------|
| Hardness (BHN) | UTS (MPa) | Yield Strength (MPa) | Poisson’s Ratio | Elastic Modulus (GPa) |
| 142            | 485       | 170               | 0.25           | 193              |

![Figure 1. Standard Fatigue specimen](image1)

![Figure 2. Notch geometry – Cross sectional view](image2)
2.3. Solid Model

The three-dimensional model of the specimen is prepared in CREO 3.0 and exported to Initial Graphics Exchange Specification (IGES) format. The 3-D solid model of the specimen is shown in the Figure 3.

![Figure 3. 3-D solid model of the specimen](image)

2.4. Finite Element Fatigue Analysis

The finite element fatigue analysis is carried out using ANSYS 18.1 workbench. It is employed to evaluate the applied pressure on the job in order to get the estimated fatigue life in cycles.

2.4.1. Finite Element Model

The specimen’s 3-D model in IGES format is imported into ANSYS 18.1 and then the finite element model of the specimen is prepared by using the ANSYS mesh tool with a refinement level of 3. The finite element (FE) model is shown in Figure 4.

![Figure 4. Finite Element model of the specimen](image)

2.4.2. Boundary Conditions

The left end of the specimen is fixed by applying a support at that end. A pressure of required magnitude is applied on the right end of the specimen. The maximum Von-Mises (Equivalent) stress induced is maintained at approximately 400MPa so that the fatigue life is always around 25800 cycles. This is achieved by the iterative approach of altering pressure so that the maximum Von-Mises stress is around 400MPa. The boundary conditions of a typical specimen without notch are shown in Figure 5.

![Figure 5. Typical specimen with boundary conditions](image)
2.4.3. ANSYS Fatigue Tool

The strain life approach available in ANSYS 18.1 Fatigue tool out-of-box is considered for the analysis which is undertaken for the current research due to the presence of notch on the geometry of the specimen. Strain-Life approach takes into account, the local plastic strains induced at localized spots. Smith-Watson-Topper method of the strain life approach is used for the research as it gives conservative fatigue life value when compared to Morrow’s approach.

2.5. Design of Experiments

Several statistical methods exist for the analysis of any research results, however response surface methodology (RSM) technique is chosen in the current research due to its implicit strengths like ease in measuring the effects of parameters and their interactions [9, 10, 11]. Experimentation has been carried out by considering the three parameters each at the three levels as given in Table 3. The Box-Behnken model of the response surface methodology is employed with the chosen parameters and the experimental runs conducted are illustrated in Table 4. A response polynomial is fitted for pressure to be applied for the estimated fatigue life under different parameter combinations and it is in the form;

\[ y = b_0 + b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + b_3 x_3 + b_4 x_1^2 + b_5 x_2^2 + b_6 x_3^2 + b_7 x_1 x_2 + b_8 x_1 x_3. \]

Table 3. Notch details

| S.No | Notch Parameter | Notation | Units | Level |
|------|----------------|----------|-------|-------|
| 1    | Width          | w        | mm    | 0.5   | 0.75  | 1     |
| 2    | Depth          | d        | mm    | 0.5   | 0.75  | 1     |
| 3    | Central angle  | a        | degrees | 120  | 240  | 360 |

Table 4. Experimental runs undertaken

| Run | Notch Width | Notch Depth | Notch central angle |
|-----|-------------|-------------|--------------------|
| 1   | 0.75        | 0.75        | 240°               |
| 2   | 0.75        | 0.5         | 360°               |
| 3   | 0.75        | 0.5         | 120°               |
| 4   | 1           | 0.75        | 120°               |
| 5   | 0.75        | 0.75        | 240°               |
| 6   | 0.5         | 0.75        | 360°               |
| 7   | 1           | 0.75        | 360°               |
| 8   | 0.5         | 0.75        | 120°               |
| 9   | 1           | 0.5         | 240°               |
| 10  | 0.75        | 1           | 360°               |
| 11  | 0.5         | 0.5         | 240°               |
| 12  | 0.75        | 1           | 120°               |
| 13  | 0.5         | 1           | 240°               |
| 14  | 0.75        | 0.75        | 240°               |
| 15  | 1           | 1           | 240°               |

The coefficients \(b_0\) to \(b_9\) are computed by least square method and analysis of variance (ANOVA) is performed by Yate’s algorithm. The analysis is conducted at 95% confidence level and 5% level of significance.

3. Results and Discussion

The Von-Mises stress and fatigue life results of the specimen with no notch on the surface are shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7 respectively. A pressure of 141.1 MPa was required to be applied on the right face of the specimen so that the maximum Von-Mises stress induced was around 400 MPa. The fatigue life obtained for this boundary conditions was 25861 cycles.
The specimens were created with different notches as specified in Table 4 and applied with the boundary conditions at the specific ends by maintaining Von-Mises stress of 400 MPa and fatigue life of 25800 cycles. It is done iteratively for each of the specimens and the applied pressure is evaluated. The applied pressure so obtained for all the specimens are given in Table 5. A typical notched specimen (Run 15) and corresponding results are shown in Figure 8 – 10.
(a) Rectangular notched specimen

(b) V-notched specimen

Figure 8. 3-D model of the specimen as specified by Run 15 in CREO 3.0
Figure 9. Von-Mises stresses for specimen with notch as specified in Run 15
In the case of rectangular notch, the pressure applied on the specimen is lowest when the depth of the notch is at highest level and the notch central angle is 240°, while the pressure is highest when the notch central angle and depth of notch are at their low levels. It is also found that, when the notch is created throughout its peripheral circumference, the applied pressure was in the range of 28.24 to 46.3 MPa, while the pressure is in the range of 34.93 to 52.7 MPa when the notch is restricted to a central angle of 120°. When the notch width is at lowest level, the pressure is in the range of 16.79 to 43.07 MPa and when the width is at highest level, the pressure is in the range of 19.48 to 8.59 MPa. The depth of notch caused a pressure range of 43.07 to 52.7 MPa and 16.79 to 34.93 MPa during its lowest and highest levels respectively.

The V-notched specimens, in general show lower...
pressure bearing capacity compared to the rectangular notched specimens. The pressure to be applied is in the range of 26.1 to 37.85 MPa for the V-notched specimens while that of rectangular notched specimens exhibited a higher range of 16.79 to 52.7 MPa. It is an indication that fatigue life of rectangular notched specimens is higher than the V-notched specimens. It is also evaluated that the un-notched specimen has a pressure bearing capacity of 141.1 MPa to serve the desired fatigue life. It shows that the fatigue notch factor plays a vital role in the range of 2.5 to 3.5 in the specimens undertaken and higher the notch factor, lower is the fatigue life. The V-notched specimens are more susceptible to form micro-cracks at the root and a steep stresses gradient is formed compared to rectangular notched specimens and hence resulted in lower life or lower pressure bearing range for the given fatigue life. However, a lower pressure bearing capacity is observed in rectangular notched specimens when the width is varying while the other two parameters are at their higher levels compared to V-notched specimens. This can be attributed to the local plastic deformation and notch blunting effect due to yielding at the notch root in case of V-notched specimens, which consequently reduce the notch root stress amplitude compared to rectangular notched specimens.

The results of the fifteen runs (Table 5) are subjected to Response Surface Methodology analysis using the Box-Behnken model. The ANOVA results are computed using Yate’s algorithm and presented in Table 6 and Table 7 for rectangular and V-notched specimens respectively;

| Run | Width (mm) | Depth (mm) | Notch central angle (degrees) | Fatigue Life (cycles) | Rectangular Notch | V-Notch |
|-----|------------|------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------|
| 1   | 0.75       | 0.75       | 240°                          | 25826                | 25.80             | 26.45  |
| 2   | 0.75       | 0.5        | 360°                          | 25849                | 46.30             | 40.40  |
| 3   | 0.75       | 0.5        | 120°                          | 25855                | 52.70             | 36.15  |
| 4   | 1          | 0.75       | 120°                          | 25801                | 46.38             | 34.35  |
| 5   | 0.75       | 0.75       | 240°                          | 25826                | 25.80             | 26.45  |
| 6   | 0.5        | 0.75       | 360°                          | 25803                | 37.58             | 35.83  |
| 7   | 1          | 0.75       | 360°                          | 25835                | 34.59             | 28.53  |
| 8   | 0.5        | 0.75       | 120°                          | 25808                | 35.78             | 36.19  |
| 9   | 1          | 0.5        | 240°                          | 25844                | 48.59             | 34.25  |
| 10  | 0.75       | 1          | 360°                          | 25810                | 28.24             | 29.39  |
| 11  | 0.5        | 0.5        | 240°                          | 25818                | 43.07             | 35.50  |
| 12  | 0.75       | 1          | 120°                          | 25866                | 34.93             | 23.96  |
| 13  | 0.5        | 1          | 240°                          | 25832                | 16.79             | 37.85  |
| 14  | 0.75       | 0.75       | 240°                          | 25826                | 25.80             | 26.45  |
| 15  | 1          | 1          | 240°                          | 25809                | 19.48             | 26.10  |

| Source | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F-value |
|--------|----------------|----|-------------|---------|
| w      | 31.28          | 1  | 31.28       | 3.19    |
| d      | 1040.14        | 1  | 1040.14     | 105.98  |
| a      | 66.59          | 1  | 66.59       | 6.78    |
| wd     | 2.00           | 1  | 2.00        | 0.2040  |
| wa     | 46.17          | 1  | 46.17       | 4.70    |
| da     | 0.0210         | 1  | 0.0210      | 0.0021  |
| w²     | 16.46          | 1  | 16.46       | 1.68    |
| d²     | 61.20          | 1  | 61.20       | 6.24    |
| a²     | 420.46         | 1  | 420.46      | 42.84   |
| SSE    | 49.07          | 5  | 9.81        |         |
| SST    | 1699.16        | 14 |             |         |
Table 7. ANOVA of results from specimens with V-notch

| Source | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F-value |
|--------|----------------|----|-------------|---------|
| w      | 61.272         | 1  | 61.272      | 4.31    |
| d      | 105.125        | 1  | 105.125     | 7.39    |
| a      | 1.531          | 1  | 1.531       | 0.11    |
| wd     | 27.563         | 1  | 27.563      | 1.94    |
| wa     | 7.453          | 1  | 7.453       | 0.52    |
| da     | 0.348          | 1  | 0.348       | 0.02    |
| w^2    | 62.447         | 1  | 62.447      | 4.39    |
| d^2    | 30.254         | 1  | 30.254      | 2.13    |
| a^2    | 36.928         | 1  | 36.928      | 2.60    |
| SSE    | 71.150         | 5  | 14.230      |         |
| SST    | 387.469        | 14 |             |         |

Table 8. Regression Equations

| Notch Type | Regression Equation                              | Coefficient of Correlation |
|------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Rectangular notch | P = 25.80 + 1.98w - 11.40d +2.89a + 2.11w^2 +4.07d^2 +10.67a^2 -0.71wd - 3.40wa -0.07da | 0.985                     |
| V-notch    | P = 26.45 - 2.77w - 3.62d +0.44a +4.11w^2 +2.86d^2 +3.16a^2 -2.63wd -1.36wa+0.29da       | 0.9                       |

From the ANOVA and regression equations it can be concluded that depth and notch central angle are dominantly influencing the pressure bearing capacity under fatigue loading in rectangular notched specimens, while in the V-notched specimens, the depth and width are playing crucial role on the response undertaken. Higher coefficient of correlation obtained indicates a closer degree of relationship between the parameters and the response. The objective of the study is to estimate the pressure bearing capacity under fatigue loading. Higher the pressure required to be applied indicates higher fatigue strength of the specimen under notched conditions. The surface plots of the rectangular and V-notched specimens are illustrated in Figure 11 and Figure 12. In the rectangular notched cases, when width and angle are varying keeping the depth constant, the pressure is about 105 MPa accruing to 75% of the pressure under un-notched condition. It is also found that the pressures are at the lowest ebbs when the depth and angle are governing the notch geometry at constant width. To the contrary, V-notched specimens have shown relatively higher pressure bearing capacities when the depth and angle are varying at a constant width. However, the maximum pressures they can withstand is inferior compared to the rectangular notched specimens and it accrues to around 50% of the pressure under un-notched condition.

Figure 11. Surface plots for rectangular notch

(i) Variation of Pressure with width and depth of notch

(ii) Variation of Pressure with width and angle of notch

(iii) Variation of Pressure with depth and angle of notch
4. Conclusions

1. There is profound impact of notch geometry on the degradation of fatigue strength of any engineering structure.
2. Fatigue life is low with V-notched specimens compared to rectangular notched ones. This is concluded from the lower pressure bearing capacity of V-notched specimens when compared to their rectangular counterparts.
3. The V-notched specimens have 50% fatigue life while rectangular notched ones have 75% of fatigue life compared to un-notched specimen.
4. Higher coefficient of correlation yields a close relationship between parameters and the response.
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AISI: American Iron and Steel Institute  
RSM: Response Surface Methodology  
ASTM: American Society for Testing and Materials  
FE: Finite Element  
HCF: High Cycle Fatigue  
ANOVA: Analysis of Variance
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