Possible ways of technogenic formations processing with zinc extraction from sulphide compounds
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Abstract. Extraction of zinc from sulphide forms by pyrometallurgical methods is difficult due to the high energy of the “zinc-sulfur” bond. Theoretically justified and experimentally confirmed the possible methods of extracting zinc from such compounds by changing of raw material phase composition. The zinc oxide obtained by this way has high purity and does not require additional purification by hydrochemical methods.

Zinc oxide (ZnO) can be found in the dust of arc steel-smelting furnaces and in the top dust of blast furnaces. To extract oxide forms of zinc Waelz process is used. It carried out in rotary and ring furnaces [1-6]. Under the conditions of the Waelz process, a coal-containing material is added to the raw material mixture, which ensures the reduction of zinc oxide and its sublimation at a temperature of about 1100° C.

In some technogenic formations, such as sludges from the synthetic fibers production, zinc is present in sulphide form (ZnS). Extraction of zinc from such formations using the Waelz process is difficult.

The Waelz process is based on the reduction of zinc oxide to zinc using carbon-containing material by the reaction 1. Table 1 shows the Gibbs energy change calculation for reaction 1 as a function of temperature.

2ZnO + C=2Zn↑ + CO₂↑.

Table 1. Gibbs energy change for the reaction 1

| T, °C | 0    | 800  | 900  | 1000 | 1100 |
|-------|------|------|------|------|------|
| ΔG, kJ/mol | 447  | 104  | 63   | 21   | -18  |

Thermodynamic calculation results indicate that reaction 1 is possibly above the temperature 1100 ° C, when the Gibbs energy change becomes negative. This rule is implemented in the Waelz process. For sublimation of zinc from the oxide form in the presence of carbon, the raw material mixture should be heated above 1100 ° C.
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The zinc reduction from sulfide in the presence of carbon will proceed by reaction 2. Table 1 shows the Gibbs energy change for reaction 1 as a function of temperature.

\[ 2\text{ZnS} + \text{C} = 2\text{Zn} + \text{CS}_2. \]  

(2)

Table 2. Gibbs energy change for the reaction 2

| T, °C | 0   | 800 | 900 | 1000 | 1100 |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
| ΔG, kJ/mol | 660 | 376 | 341 | 306  | 271  |

The thermodynamic calculations show that the reaction 2 is impossible at the temperature of 1100 °C, since the Gibbs energy change does not become negative at this temperature. That reaction could proceed only above the temperature of 1900 °C. To obtain such temperature in practice, it will be necessary to use special high-temperature units (for example, arc furnaces), which are not economically feasible.

Sulfur can be removed by oxygen blowing. Sulfur is removed in the form of SO₂ gas, and zinc is converted to the oxide form by the reaction 3.

\[ 2\text{ZnS} + 2\text{O}_2 = 2\text{ZnO} + \text{SO}_2. \]  

(3)

When implementing a similar scheme for sulfur removing, zinc remains in the raw material mixture in the oxide form. The sublimation required another step, where zinc oxide would be reduced. For example, after the sulfur removal, carbon-containing material must be introduced into the raw mix to sublimate zinc. This means that this technology is only realizable in two stages, since the carbon-containing material can’t be introduced into the raw mix before blowing oxygen, due to it will burned out without restoring zinc oxide.

The exchange reactions realization, with the zinc sulfide form transformation into oxide, is possible with metals that are located in the metal reactivity series higher, than zinc: Li, K, Ba, Sr, Ca, Na, Mg, Al, Mn.

Limestone, magnesite and dolomite are the most suitable for converting zinc sulfide forms to oxide. The limestone is used for the zinc sulfide decomposition and the zinc sublimation is possible by the reaction 4. This reaction goes through several stages during heat. At the temperature of 720 °C, the limestone decomposition begins by the reaction 5. The limestone decomposition is completed at a temperature of 920 °C. The exchange reaction 6 proceeds above this temperature.

\[ 2\text{ZnS} + 2\text{CaCO}_3 = 2\text{ZnO} + \text{CO}_2. \]  

(4)

\[ \text{CaCO}_3 = \text{CaO} + \text{CO}_2. \]  

(5)

\[ \text{ZnS} + \text{CaO} = \text{CaS} + \text{ZnO}. \]  

(6)

With zinc oxide appearing carbon begins to interact with it and completes the zinc oxide decomposition by reaction 1. Table 3 shows the Gibbs energy change calculation of the reaction 4 depending on the temperature.

Table 3. Gibbs energy change for the reaction 4

| T, °C | 0   | 800 | 900 | 1000 | 1100 |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
| ΔG, kJ/mol | 742 | 152 | 82  | 12   | -56  |

The reaction 4 is thermodynamically possible above 1100 °C, at which Gibbs energy change becomes negative. Also, calculations result show that the thermodynamic preference for the 4 reaction at 1100 °C is 3 times higher than for reaction 1.

Assessment of the zinc sulfide decomposition possibility was carried out during laboratory experiments. Original material, the sludge from the production of synthetic
fibers (Balakovo, Saratov region, Russia), was heated up to 1300 °C in laboratory furnace with additional components. In this sludge, the concentration of zinc sulfide depends on the occurrence depth, therefore the sludge average chemical composition is shown in Table 4. The sludge phase composition is shown in Table 5.

**Table 4.** The average chemical composition of the sludge.

| Component | CaO | SiO₂ | Al₂O₃ | Fe₂O₃ | ZnS | SO₃ | Oct. |
|-----------|-----|------|-------|-------|-----|-----|------|
| mass. %   | 20,4| 9,1  | 3,6   | 2,5   | 22,7| 32,6| 9,1  |

**Table 5.** The sludge phase composition.

| Phase name              | Chemical formula | Content, mass. % |
|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Calcite (limestone)     | CaCO₃            | 55,41            |
| Gypsum dihydrate        | CaSO₄·2H₂O       | 18,24            |
| Zinc sulfide            | ZnS              | 12,77            |
| Quartz                  | SiO₂             | 13,58            |
| Total                   |                  | 100,00           |

The phase analysis data show that the sludge, in addition to zinc sulfide, contains a significant amount of limestone, which will contribute to the decomposition of zinc sulfide by reaction 4. The calculation of reaction 4 stoichiometry showed that in order to fully realize it, only a small amount of limestone should be added. The mixture composition for the firing implementation is shown in table 6

**Table 6.** The mixture composition.

| Material | Sludge | CaCO₃ | C (above 100 mass.%) |
|----------|--------|-------|----------------------|
| mass. %  | 98,0   | 2,0   | 3,0                  |

Raw mix components were homogenized by co-grinding and briquetted with water added at a pressure of 50 MPa. Briquettes were fired at temperatures of 1100, 1200 and 1300 °C without isothermal exposure. The firing was carried out in the laboratory high-temperature electric chamber furnace brand SKV 12/14-B. Fired samples were subjected to quantitative phase analysis. Table 7-9 shows the sample phase compositions, fired at 1100, 1200 and 1300 °C accordingly. Table 10 shows summary results on the zinc phases content in the samples at the realized firing temperatures.

**Table 7.** The sample phase composition, fired at 1100°C

| Phase name                             | Chemical formula      | Content, mass. % |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| Helenite                               | 2CaO·SiO₂·Al₂O₃       | 23,50            |
| Zinc sulfide                           | ZnS                   | 15,3             |
| Mayenite                               | 12CaO·7Al₂O₃          | 6,75             |
| Zinc Oxide (Zincite)                   | ZnO                   | 9,27             |
| Larnit                                 | 2CaO·SiO₂             | 22,07            |
| Gypsum anhydrite                       | CaSO₄                 | 9,11             |
| Zinc Sulfide Oxide (Wurtzite)          | Zn(S₀.₉₈O₀.₁₂)         | 14,0             |
| Total                                  |                       | 100              |
Table 8. The sample phase composition, fired at 1200°C.

| Phase name                  | Chemical formula       | Content, mass. % |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| Larnite                     | 2CaO·SiO₂              | 31,94           |
| Zinc sulfide                | ZnS                    | 10,06           |
| Helenite                    | 2CaO·SiO₂·Al₂O₃        | 16,52           |
| Gypsum anhydrite            | CaSO₄                  | 10,51           |
| Zinc Oxide (Zincite)        | ZnO                    | 14,76           |
| Mayenite                    | 12CaO·7Al₂O₃           | 6,71            |
| Zinc Sulfide Oxide (Wurtzite)| Zn(S₀.₉₈₈ O₀.₁₂)      | 9,5             |
| **Total**                   |                        | **100**         |

Table 9. The sample phase composition, fired at 1300°C.

| Phase name                  | Chemical formula       | Content, mass. % |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| Larnite                     | 2CaO·SiO₂              | 32,38           |
| Zinc sulfide                | ZnS                    | 6,87            |
| Helenite                    | 2CaO·SiO₂·Al₂O₃        | 9,26            |
| Calcium Olivine             | 2CaO·SiO₂              | 11,32           |
| Zinc Oxide (Zincite)        | ZnO                    | 17,56           |
| Highly basic calcium ferrite| 2CaO·Fe₂O₃             | 7,9             |
| Mayenite                    | 12CaO·7Al₂O₃           | 6,22            |
| Zinc Sulfide Oxide (Wurtzite)| Zn(S₀.₉₈₈ O₀.₁₂)      | 4,28            |
| Zinc Sulfide Hydroxide      | ZnSO₄·3Zn(OH)₂·3H₂O    | 1,42            |
| Gypsum anhydrite            | CaSO₄                  | 2,77            |
| **Total**                   |                        | **99,98**       |

Table 10. The zinc phase content changes with firing temperature.

| T, ºC | ZnS | ZnO | ZnS+ZnO | Zinc sublimate, mass. % |
|-------|-----|-----|---------|------------------------|
| 0     | 34,4| 0   | 34,4    | 0                      |
| 1100  | 15,3| 9,3 | 24,6    | 9,8                    |
| 1200  | 10,0| 14,8| 24,8    | 9,6                    |
| 1300  | 6,87| 17,6| 24,5    | 9,93                   |

The firing products phase analysis results listed in Table 10 indicate that with an increase in the firing temperature, the amount of zinc sulfide in samples decreases and zinc oxide increases. That indicates the theoretical conclusions correctness and the feasibility of the proposed technology.
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