SPATIALLY RESOLVED CHEMISTRY IN NEARBY GALAXIES. II. THE NUCLEAR BAR IN MAFFEI 2
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Abstract

We present 2\(^\prime\prime\)–10\(^\prime\prime\) imaging of 11 transitions from 9 molecular species across the nuclear bar in Maffei 2. The data were obtained with the BIMA and OVRO interferometers. The 10 detected transitions are compared with existing CO isotopologues, HCN, CS, and millimeter continuum data. Dramatic spatial variations among the mapped species are observed across the nuclear bar. A principal component analysis is performed to characterize correlations between the transitions, star formation, and molecular column density. The analysis reveals that HCN, HNC, HCO\(^+\), and 3 mm continuum are tightly correlated, indicating a direct connection to massive star formation. We find two main morphologically distinct chemical groups, CH\(_3\)OH, SiO, and HNCO comprising the grain chemistry molecules, versus HCN, HNC, HCO\(^+\), and C\(_2\)H, molecules strong in the presence of star formation. The grain chemistry molecules, HNCO, CH\(_3\)OH, and SiO, trace hydrodynamical bar shocks. The near constancy of the HNCO/CH\(_3\)OH, SiO/CH\(_3\)OH, and SiO/HNCO ratios argues that shock properties are uniform across the nucleus. HCN/HCO\(^+\), HCN/HNC, HCN/CS, and HCN/CO ratios are explained primarily by variations in density. High HCO\(^+\)/N\(_2\)H\(^+\) ratios are correlated with the C\(_2\)H line, suggesting that this ratio may be a powerful new dense photon-dominated region probe in external galaxies. C\(_2\)H reveals a molecular outflow along the minor axis. The morphology and kinematics of the outflow are consistent with an outflow age of 6–7 Myr.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Molecular abundances and excitation are sensitive probes of physical processes taking place in molecular clouds (e.g., van Dishoeck & Blake 1998). Chemistry is pivotal both in controlling gas cooling and ionization balance as well as in revealing changing cloud physical conditions resulting from shocks and feedback from massive star formation and galactic structure. Millimeter telescopes are now capable of surveying many molecular transitions in other galaxies, opening up chemical studies to the rest of the universe.

Single-dish millimeter line surveys show that spectra of external galaxies are extremely rich in molecular lines (e.g., Usero et al. 2004; Martin et al. 2006; Costagliola et al. 2011; Snell et al. 2011; Aladro et al. 2011a). For nearby galaxies, giant molecular cloud (GMC) scale chemistry is within reach of current millimeter interferometers. In the first paper of this series (Meier & Turner 2005, hereafter MT05), we exploited this richness to carry out the first chemical imaging survey of the nucleus of an external galaxy with spatial resolution high enough to separate individual GMCs. Images of eight astrochemically important species were obtained in the nearby spiral IC 342, revealing strong chemical differentiation among the GMCs. A principal component analysis (PCA) demonstrated that the chemical variation is strongly correlated with galactic structure such as bar and arm features, as well as the presence and evolutionary state of star-forming regions (MT05; Meier et al. 2011).

In this paper, we extend the analysis to the nucleus of a second nearby spiral galaxy, Maffei 2. We have two main goals for this survey. The first is to test the generalizability of the results discovered in MT05. Maffei 2 and IC 342 are at the same distance and their nuclear morphologies are similar. Both have two molecular spiral arms terminating on a central ring, with the central ring being the site of intense, young, massive star formation. It is reasonable to expect that the two nuclei should exhibit similar chemistries if the results of MT05 for IC 342 are applicable to barred spiral nuclei in general.

The second goal is to investigate the chemistry of Maffei 2 with particular attention focused on its unique features. MT05 find that in IC 342, shocks appear to be an important influence on the nuclear chemistry and speculate that the shocks are associated with orbital resonances in a bar potential. The bar in IC 342 is weak (Crosthwaite et al. 2001); however, and there remain other explanations for the nuclear morphology (Schinnerer et al. 2008). It is important to follow up with an object that has a well-established, strong bar. Kinematic modeling leaves little doubt that the nucleus of Maffei 2 hosts a strong, highly defined nuclear bar of 320 pc radius (Meier et al. 2008, hereafter MTH08; Kuno et al. 2008). Because of the strength of the bar, we expect that shock chemistry should be more important than in IC 342. Maffei 2 also has a somewhat more intense and extended starburst than IC 342 (Turner & Ho 1983, 1994), so photon-dominated region (PDR) effects may be more prominent than they are in IC 342.

2. OBSERVATIONS

To pursue these goals, we obtain aperture synthesis observations of 11 important molecular transitions over the central \(R \sim 0.5\) kpc of the nuclear bar in Maffei 2, for comparison with CO isotopologues Hurt & Turner (1991; MTH08), HCN(1–0) (MTH08), and CS (Kuno et al. 2008). Table 1 lists molecular parameters for each transition. With the Owens Valley Radio Observatory (OVRO) millimeter interferometer, we observed three lines, HCO\(^+\)(1–0), HNCO(S\(_{05}–4\)\(_{04}\)), and SiO(1–0; \(v = 1\)). HCO\(^+\)(1–0) and SiO(1–0; \(v = 1\)) were observed between 1999
Table 1
Molecule and Column Density Parameters

| Molecular Transition | μ | A/B/C | \(S_{\text{d}gK}\) | \(E_a\) | \(N_{\text{mol}}/I_{\text{mol}}\) | \(N^{50}\) | \(N^{70}\) |
|----------------------|---|-------|-----------------|------|-------------------|-------|-------|
| SiO(2–1; \(v = 0\)) | 3.398 | 0.21712 | 2 | 1787 | \(N^{50}\) | \(N^{70}\) |
| SiO(2–1; \(v = 1\)) | 3.398 | 0.21712 | 2 | 625 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| C2H(1–0; (3/2)-(1/2)) | 0.8 | 0.21712 | 1 | 4.19 | 15.36 | 2.32 | 3.0 |
| C2H(1–0; (1/2)-(1/2)) | 0.8 | 0.21712 | 1 | 4.19 | 15.36 | 2.32 | 3.0 |
| HCO+(1–0) | 3.888 | 0.21712 | 1 | 4.19 | 15.36 | 2.32 | 3.0 |
| HNC(1–0) | 3.72 | 0.21712 | 1 | 4.19 | 15.36 | 2.32 | 3.0 |
| CH3OH(2–1) | 3.40 | 0.21712 | 1 | 4.19 | 15.36 | 2.32 | 3.0 |
| C34S(2–1) | 1.96 | 0.21712 | 1 | 4.19 | 15.36 | 2.32 | 3.0 |
| CH3OH(2–1) | 0.89 | 127.4842 | 10 | 24.02 | 58.67 | 6.73 | 0.7 |
| HNCO(505-404) | 1.60 | 912.7111 | 5 | 15.83 | 6.29 | 5.99 | 9.27 |

Notes. Data from Sputalagore, the JPL Molecular Spectroscopy Catalog (Pickett et al., 1998), and references within. Collisional coefficients are C15S, HC3N (Green & Chapman 1978), N2H+ (Green 1975), CH3OH (Pottage et al. 2004), HNCO (Green 1986), HCO+ (Flower 1999), and SiO (Deyou & Balança 2006). For HNC and C2H, we adopt the collision coefficients of HCN (Green & Thaddeus 1974).

\(a\) The critical density of the transitions neglecting opacity effects \(N_{\text{crit}} < (A_{\text{vd}})/(C_{\text{vd}})\) and \(N_{\text{crit}}\) for the formalism of Dickinson et al. (1977), with \(X_{\text{ef}} = 10^{-5}\).

\(b\) The ratio by which the derived column densities change when the \(T_{\text{ex}}\) is changed from 10 K to 50 K.

\(c\) \(S_{\text{d}gK}\) for the three blended 2–1E, 3–1E, and 2–1A transitions (e.g., Turner 1991).

Table 2
Observational Data

| Transition | Dates (MMYY) | Array | Frequency (GHz) | \(T_{\text{sys}}\) (K) | \(\Delta V_{\text{chirp}}\) (km/s) | Beam \((\alpha \times \beta)\) | (K Jy\(^{-1}\)) | Noise (mJy \(\text{beam}^{-1}\)) |
|------------|--------------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| BIMA: SiO(2–1; \(v = 0\)) | 0902–0303 | B,C,D | 86.847 | 140–1000 | 21.57 | 10.0 \times 8.3 | 1.68 | 10 |
| C2H(1–0; (3/2)-(1/2)) | 0902–0303 | B,C,D | 87.317 | 140–1000 | 21.56 | 8.4 \times 7.0 | 2.75 | 11 |
| HNC(1–0) | 0902–0303 | B,C,D | 90.664 | 140–1000 | 20.63 | 8.3 \times 7.0 | 2.59 | 11 |
| C34S(2–1) | 0902–0303 | B,C,D | 90.797 | 140–1000 | 20.60 | 9.9 \times 8.5 | 1.77 | 7.2 |
| CH3OH(2–1) | 0902–0303 | B,C,D | 93.174 | 130–1100 | 20.12 | 8.3 \times 6.7 | 2.12 | 7.5 |
| HNCO(505-404) | 1098–0199 | L,H,UH | 89.189 | 500–410 | 13.44 | 2.5 \times 2.1 | 3.10 | 6.7 |

Notes.
\(^a\) Phase center 1: \(\delta = 0^{\circ}2^{\prime}8^{\prime}\) (2000); \(v_{\text{lsr}} = -15 \text{ km s}^{-1}\).

\(^b\) Phase center 1: \(\delta = 0^{\circ}3^{\prime}8^{\prime}\) (2000); \(v_{\text{lsr}} = -30 \text{ km s}^{-1}\).

January 28 and 1999 March 29 along with the HC1N(1–0) data set (MTH08). HNCO(505-404) was observed along with \(^{13}\)CO(1–0) and \(^{18}\)O(1–0) between 1998 October 19 and 1999 January 5 (Table 2). The OVRO interferometer consisted of six 10.4 m antennas equipped with SIS receivers (Padin et al. 1991; Scoville et al. 1994). Transitions were observed in L, H, and UH array configurations except for the HNCO, which was observed in L and H, providing spatial resolutions of \(\sim 2.5\) (Table 2). Data were calibrated using the in-house OVRO calibration package, MMA. Phase calibration was done by observing the point source 0224+671 every 20 minutes. Absolute flux calibration was based on observations of Neptune or Uranus with 3C 273, 3C 84, and 3C 454.3 as supplementary flux calibrators. Based on the derived fluxes and flux histories of these secondary flux calibrators, we estimate that the absolute fluxes are good to 10%–15%. Two pointings were mosaicked to cover the nuclear bar and therefore maps are corrected from the primary beam response.

The 8 remaining transitions were observed with the 10 element Berkeley–Illinois–Maryland Association (BIMA) array (Welch et al. 1996) between 2002 September 8 and 2003 March 18 (Table 2). The eight spectral lines were observed in two sets of spectrometer configurations. C2H(N = 1–0, J = 3(2)–(1/2)), C2H(N = 1–0, J = 1(1)–(1/2)), SiO(2–1; \(v = 0\)), HNC(1–0), and HC3N(10–9) were observed together, as were CH3OH(2–1), C34S(2–1), and N2H+(1–0). Data were obtained in B, C, and D configurations for all transitions giving beam sizes of \(\sim 7.5\) depending on frequency and sensitivity. Calibration and data reduction was done in MIRIAD. Phase calibration was also done by observing the same point source 0224+671 (0228+673) intergalactic with the source every 24 minutes. Absolute flux calibration was done by observing W 3(0H). Based on the derived fluxes and flux histories of 0224+671, we estimate that the uncertainties in absolute flux are 10%–15%, similar to the OVRO data. The \(\sim 130^{\circ}\) FWHM primary beam of the BIMA antenna is large enough such that only one pointing.

\(^4\) Operated by the University of California, Berkeley, the University of Illinois, and the University of Maryland with support from the National Science Foundation.
was required. No corrections for primary beam attenuation have been applied.

Image analysis was done with the NRAO AIPS package. In making the integrated intensity maps, emission greater than 1.2σ was included. Continuum emission has not been subtracted from the maps since the 3 mm continuum peak is below 1σ.

3. RESULTS

3.1. A Sketch of the Nuclear Region of Maffei 2

The Sb galaxy Maffei 2 is one of the nearest and brightest extragalactic molecular line sources, at a distance of only 3.3 Mpc (1′′ ∼ 15 pc; Fingerhut et al. 2007; see discussion in MTH08). A strongly barred and somewhat asymmetric galaxy, Maffei 2 has undergone a recent interaction with a small companion that has driven a large quantity of gas into the nucleus, building up a compact central bulge seen in the NIR (Hurt et al. 1993, 1996). This bar-like compact bulge has further driven the molecular gas into a central molecular zone of radius $R ∼ 300$ pc that is barred and highly inclined (Ishiguro et al. 1989; Hurt & Turner 1991). This nuclear bar, containing $M(\text{H}_2) ∼ 2 \times 10^7 M_\odot$ of gas (MTH08), is structurally reminiscent of a miniature version of large-scale gaseous bars (Athanassoula 1992; Figure 1). Using 2 cm and 3 mm radio/millimeter continuum to trace ionizing radiation, it is seen that the intersections of bar arms and central ring are sites of intense localized star formation (SFR $∼ 0.04 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ per GMC or a total of $L_{\text{OB}} ∼ 10^8 L_\odot$; Turner & Ho 1994; Tsai et al. 2006; MTH08). Averaged over the inner ∼20″ radius, gas consumption timescales decrease below 100 Myr, making Maffei 2’s nucleus a starburst.

A central molecular ring of radius $∼ 7″$ (110 pc) is clearly resolved in gas kinematics, although the ring is less apparent in integrated intensity images (MTH08). GMCs D, E, and F (cloud designations following MTH08 are shown in Figure 1) are located along the eastern side of the nuclear ring. Bar arms (including GMCs B and C in the north and G and H in the south) extend off the leading edges of the ring. The bright GMCs of the central ring, typically $∼ 60–80$ pc in extent, and with masses of a few $10^6 M_\odot$, delineate the sites where gas flowing in along the bar arms piles up at the inner ring. Dense gas traced by HCN preferentially picks out the arm–ring intersections. Gas not incorporated into the dense component at the starburst locations is tidally sheared into a moderate density, smoothly distributed ring (MTH08). Massive star formation is largely absent in the western side of the ring (MTH08). Based on HCN, the fraction of dense gas is significantly lower toward the bar ends. Densities derived from CO tend to be fairly constant ($n(\text{H}_2) ∼ 10^4$) over the inner bar, with the clouds associated with young star formation slightly warmer ($T_K ∼ 30–40$ K) than the others ($T_K ∼ 20$ K). Gas clouds on the quiescent western side of the nuclear ring are slightly cooler yet (MTH08).

3.2. Molecular Abundances in Maffei 2

Column densities are determined assuming optically thin emission, and LTE:

$$N_{\text{mol}} = \frac{3kQe^{E_u/kT_e}}{8\pi^3vS_{ul}g_u h \nu g_I} I_{\text{mol}},$$

where $S_{ul}$, $g$, and $E_u$ are the line strength, degeneracy, and upper energy of each state, respectively, and $T_e$ is the excitation temperature.

Figure 1. Basic structure of Maffei 2’s nucleus. Left: the high-resolution $^{12}\text{CO}(1-0)$ integrated intensity map from MTH08 with the location of the bar arms shown (blue in the online version). Contours are 5.0 Jy beam$^{-1}$ km s$^{-1}$ × 1, 2, 4, 8, 16. A green cross marks the dynamical center. Right: the same CO contours overlaid on a gray scale of the 89 GHz continuum (MTH08). The gray scale ranges from 0.5 to 5.0 mJy beam$^{-1}$. The red crosses represent the location of the labeled GMCs. The location of the central ring and active star formation complexxes are also noted. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
temperature associated with the transition. Given that we have mapped only one transition of each species, corrections for background radiation and opacity have been ignored. Column densities are sensitive to $T_{ex}$ through the partition function, $Q$, and the energy of the upper state. The asymmetric tops (HNCO and CH$_2$OH) are more sensitive to temperature changes than are the linear rotors. Changes in gas density also affect excitation, particularly for molecules with high critical densities (HNC, N$_2$H$^+$, and HC$_3$N). Densities have been at least partially constrained by observation in Maffei 2 (MTH08). We adopt a $T_{ex} = 10$ K for the molecular transitions here. This is similar to what is derived from the $^{13}$CO data (MTH08) and is the same as assumed for IC 342 (MT05), permitting easy comparison. However, $T_{ex} = 10$ K may slightly underestimate excitation at the massive star-forming regions. Measured line intensities, peak temperatures, line centroids, and line widths for the GMCs are reported in Table 3. Fractional abundances $(X(h_2) = N_{mol}/N_{H_2})$ calculated from the intensities given the above assumptions are listed in Table 4, based on molecular parameters in Table 1.

Fractional abundances also require an $H_2$ column density, $N(H_2)$. $N(H_2)$ is easily obtained from the CO(1–0) brightness and an empirical Galactic conversion factor, $X_{CO}$. However, $X_{CO}$ overpredicts $N(H_2)$ in nearby galaxy centers, including Maffei 2, by factors of a few (MTH08). An alternative measure of $N(H_2)$ can be obtained from the CO isotopologues, which tend to be optically thin. We use the $^{13}$CO(1–0) integrated intensity and $[H_2/^{13}$CO] = $7.0 \times 10^5$ ([12CO/^{12}$CO] = 60 and [CO/H$_2$] = 8.5 $\times 10^{-5}$) when calculating the $H_2$ column densities (see MTH08 for a detailed discussion of $N(H_2)$ and its uncertainties in Maffei 2).

Fractional abundances for the species below are estimated to be uncertain to at least a factor of three, although the relative column densities—that is, the relative spatial distributions within the nucleus of the different molecules—should be more reliable. MT05 have a detailed discussion of the uncertainties associated with the abundance estimates. For reference, Table 1 records the change in derived column densities if $T_{ex}$ is changed from 10 K to 50 K, more typical of the large beam gas kinetic temperatures.

3.3. The Molecules of Maffei 2

We now discuss the morphologies of each species. Spectra taken at selected locations across the nucleus with 8″ apertures are shown for each transition in Figure 2. Figure 3 displays maps of the transitions detected with OVRO and Figure 4 displays those detected with BIMA. In the subsequent analysis, we also include 3 mm continuum emission and CO isotopologues from MTH08. The millimeter continuum in Maffei 2 is dominated by free–free emission rather than dust emission (MTH08).

SiO—silicon monoxide. The $J = 2$–1 rotational line of both the $v = 0$ and 1 vibrational states were observed. The $v = 1, J = 2$–1 transition is a maser in the Galaxy (Snyder & Buli 1975), but we do not detect it in Maffei 2. The thermal $v = 0$ transition of SiO is tentatively detected toward GMCs B, F, and G with peak temperatures over the 8″ aperture of 35 mK (Figure 4). The integrated intensity map is noisy but consistent in morphology to HNCO and CH$_2$OH, extended along the southern arm. Implied SiO abundances reach $\sim 1 \times 10^{-10}$. This abundance is lower than estimated for IC 342 and the nearby starburst nuclei, NGC 253 and M82 (García-Burillo et al. 2000, 2001; Usero et al. 2006), but typical of diffuse Galactic clouds (Greaves et al. 1996; Turner 1999b) away from molecular outflows (Martín-Pintado et al. 1992); it is much larger than what is found for Galactic dark clouds (e.g., Ziurys et al. 1989).

C$_2$H—ethynyl. We detect both the $J = (3/2)$–(1/2) and (1/2)–(1/2) fine structure components of the $N = 1$–0 line. C$_2$H is brightest toward the northern star-forming ring (GMC D+E), peaking at 0.11 K, and weakens significantly along the bar arms (Figure 4). Toward GMCs F and G, C$_2$H avoids the column density peaks traced in $^{13}$CO(1–0). Line widths are significantly broader than those seen in the other weaker lines, so the hyperfine structure of each fine structure component is being marginally resolved here. Evidence for the most blueshifted $N = 1$–0, $J = (1/2)$–(1/2), and $F = 1$–0 hyperfine component is seen toward the brightest GMCs (see Figure 2). There is a plume of emission along the minor axis in the (3/2)–(1/2) line. From the relative intensities of the fine structure lines, we can determine the C$_2$H opacity. The ratio of the brightness temperature of the two fine structure transitions, $I((3/2)$–(1/2))/$I((1/2)$–(1/2)) ranges from 1.2 to $\sim$2.8, with lowest values toward the regions with the brighter emission. For optically thin, LTE excitation this ratio should be 2.0. Therefore, C$_2$H opacities are significant along the central ring, with implied values for the (3/2)–(1/2) transition of $\tau_{C_2H} \sim 1.5$. Along the arms $\tau_{C_2H} < 1$ except at regions around GMC G away from the $^{13}$CO(1–0) column density peaks where $\tau_{C_2H} \sim 3$. Hence, despite its lower signal to noise, the (1/2)–(1/2) transition probably represents a closer picture of the true C$_2$H abundance distribution. Fractional abundances, assuming C$_2$H is optically thin, peak at $\sim 2.5 \times 10^{-8}$. If we account for opacity, the abundance rises to $\sim 4.8 \times 10^{-8}$. These are similar to those seen toward the PDR site in IC 342 (MT05) and at the high end of the range seen in Galactic cores (Wooten et al. 1980; Huggins et al. 1984; Watt 1983; Turner et al. 1999; Lucas & Liszt 2000).

HCO+—formyl ion. HCO$^+$ (1–0) is the brightest line of this sample, and is imaged at high resolution ($\sim 2$″). The emission is dominated by GMCs D+E and F, with line peaks of 2.0 K (0.58 K over the 8″ aperture; Figure 3). Like HCN(1–0) (MTH08), HCO$^+$ (1–0) is primarily confined to the central ring region. There is a second blueshifted velocity component at $\sim -135$ km s$^{-1}$ (LSR) along the northern portion of the bar. HCO$^+$ (1–0) abundances in Maffei 2 are between 2 and $8 \times 10^{-9}$, but these (along with those of HCN and HNC) should be considered lower limits since these very bright transitions may have significant optical depths (see Section 4.1.1). These agree well with the HCO$^+$ abundances in most Galactic dense clouds (e.g., Pirogov et al. 1995; Lucas & Liszt 1996; Turner 1995a) and single-dish extragalactic measurements (Nguyen-Q-Rieu et al. 1992).

HNC—hydrogen isocyancide. The $J = 1$–0 line of the linear molecule HNC is bright over the whole nuclear bar, with peak temperatures $\sim 0.25$ K (Figure 4). At this resolution, the morphology closely matches both $^{13}$CO(1–0) and HCN(1–0), being dominated by the bright clouds, D+E and F, but HNC emission is seen from every cloud including the non-nuclear bar GMC. A. Toward the northern side of the bar HNC emission is especially bright at higher blueshifted velocities, similar to the component seen in HCO$^+$ (1–0). HNC abundances are $(1–2) \times 10^{-9}$, in agreement with IC 342 (MT05). These abundances are intermediate between typical massive star-forming cores and Galactic dark clouds (Wooten et al. 1978; Blake et al. 1987; Hirotta et al. 1998; Nummelin et al. 2000).
### Table 3
Measured Intensities, Line Widths, and Centroids

| GMC   | Transition | $I_{\text{int}}$ (K km s\(^{-1}\)) | $T_r$ (mK) | $v_c$ (km s\(^{-1}\)) | $\Delta v$ (km s\(^{-1}\)) |
|-------|------------|------------------------------------|------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| A     | SiO(1–0; $v = 1$) | <19 | <88 | ... | ... |
| (02:41:57.17) SiO(1–0; $v = 0$) | <1.0 | <23 | ... | ... |
| (59:36:42.4) C\(_2\)H(1–2) | <3.3 | <34 | ... | ... |
| HCO\(^+\)(1–0) | 16 ± 7 | 270 ± 38 | −34 ± 5 | 21 ± 10 |
| HNC(1–0) | <2.9 | 45 ± 16 | −100 ± 11 | 120 ± 25 |
| HC\(_3\)N(10–9) | <1.0 | <26 | ... | ... |
| N\(_2\)H\(^+\)(1–0) | <1.9 | <30 | ... | ... |
| C\(^{13}\)S(2–1) | <2.2 | <28 | ... | ... |
| CH\(_3\)OH(2–1L) | <3.2 | 46 ± 19 | −100 ± 6 | 45 ± 15 |
| HNCO(505–404) | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| B     | SiO(1–0; $v = 1$) | <19 | <88 | ... | ... |
| (02:41:55.75) SiO(1–0; $v = 0$) | <1.0 | 35 ± 12 | −67 ± 13 | 55 ± 30 |
| (59:36:29.6) C\(_2\)H(1–2) | <3.3 | <34 | ... | ... |
| HCO\(^+\)(1–0) | 61 ± 7 | 470 ± 38 | −84 ± 3 | 68 ± 7 |
| HNC(1–0) | 9.1 ± 1 | 150 ± 16 | −134 ± 3 | 65 ± 7 |
| HC\(_3\)N(10–9) | <1.0 | <26 | ... | ... |
| N\(_2\)H\(^+\)(1–0) | 5.1 ± 1 | 60 ± 15 | −98 ± 6 | 50 ± 10 |
| CH\(_3\)OH(2–1L) | 10 ± 2 | 53 ± 19 | −87 ± 8 | 48 ± 20 |
| C\(^{13}\)S(2–1) | <2.2 | <28 | ... | ... |
| HNCO(505–404) | ≲4.8 | 50 ± 29 | −112 ± 20 | 110 ± 50 |
| C     | SiO(1–0; $v = 1$) | <19 | <88 | ... | ... |
| (02:41:55.48) SiO(1–0; $v = 0$) | <1.0 | 24 ± 12 | −80 ± 20 | 83 ± 47 |
| (59:36:24.8) C\(_2\)H(1–2) | 11 ± 2 | 100 ± 17 | −86 ± 6 | 93 ± 15 |
| HCO\(^+\)(1–0) | 3.9 ± 2 | 48 ± 17 | −76 ± 17 | 90 ± 40 |
| HNC(1–0) | 8.2 ± 7 | 520 ± 38 | −78 ± 3 | 83 ± 6 |
| HC\(_3\)N(10–9) | 24 ± 1 | 200 ± 16 | −120 ± 4 | 120 ± 8 |
| N\(_2\)H\(^+\)(1–0) | 2.8 ± 0.5 | 42 ± 13 | −88 ± 7 | 65 ± 16 |
| CH\(_3\)OH(2–1L) | 9.6 ± 1 | 110 ± 15 | −88 ± 5 | 77 ± 10 |
| C\(^{13}\)S(2–1) | <2.2 | <28 | ... | ... |
| HNCO(505–404) | ≲4.8 | 130 ± 29 | −89 ± 5 | 42 ± 10 |
| D     | SiO(1–0; $v = 1$) | <19 | <88 | ... | ... |
| (02:41:55.14) SiO(1–0; $v = 0$) | 2.5 ± 0.5 | <23 | ... | ... |
| (59:36:20.7) C\(_2\)H(1–2) | 17 ± 2 | 110 ± 17 | −82 ± 9 | 130 ± 22 |
| HCO\(^+\)(1–0) | 11 ± 2 | 80 ± 17 | −77 ± 17 | 120 ± 35 |
| HNC(1–0) | 180 ± 7 | 580 ± 38 | −74 ± 3 | 110 ± 7 |
| HC\(_3\)N(10–9) | 41 ± 1 | 250 ± 16 | −109 ± 4 | 140 ± 8 |
| N\(_2\)H\(^+\)(1–0) | 3.2 ± 0.5 | 50 ± 13 | −80 ± 6 | 67 ± 15 |
| CH\(_3\)OH(2–1L) | 9.4 ± 1 | 110 ± 15 | −87 ± 4 | 82 ± 8 |
| C\(^{13}\)S(2–1) | <2.2 | <28 | ... | ... |
| HNCO(505–404) | ≲4.8 | 86 ± 29 | −90 ± 11 | 82 ± 15 |
| E     | SiO(1–0; $v = 1$) | <19 | <88 | ... | ... |
| (02:41:55.08) SiO(1–0; $v = 0$) | 0.77 | 22 ± 12 | −2.0 ± 26 | 220 ± 60 |
| (59:36:18.4) C\(_2\)H(1–2) | 19 ± 2 | 97 ± 17 | −73 ± 12 | 160 ± 28 |
| HCO\(^+\)(1–0) | 13 ± 2 | 77 ± 17 | −72 ± 16 | 130 ± 38 |
| HNC(1–0) | 130 ± 7 | 520 ± 38 | −73 ± 4 | 130 ± 84 |
| HC\(_3\)N(10–9) | 44 ± 1 | 220 ± 16 | −104 ± 4 | 150 ± 10 |
| N\(_2\)H\(^+\)(1–0) | 2.1 ± 0.5 | 39 ± 13 | −88 ± 8 | 67 ± 18 |
| CH\(_3\)OH(2–1L) | 6.6 ± 1 | 85 ± 15 | −83 ± 5 | 92 ± 12 |
| C\(^{13}\)S(2–1) | <2.2 | <28 | ... | ... |
| HNCO(505–404) | <4.8 | <58 | ... | ... |
| F     | SiO(1–0; $v = 1$) | <19 | <88 | ... | ... |
| (02:41:54.86) SiO(1–0; $v = 0$) | <1.0 | 35 ± 12 | 17 ± 8 | 50 ± 18 |
| (59:36:09.6) C\(_2\)H(1–2) | 9.4 ± 2 | 63 ± 17 | 10 ± 12 | 130 ± 50 |
| HCO\(^+\)(1–0) | <3.3 | <46 | ... | ... |
| HNC(1–0) | 85 ± 7 | 450 ± 38 | −6.9 ± 4 | 83 ± 8 |
| HC\(_3\)N(10–9) | 36 ± 1 | 250 ± 16 | −0.9 ± 3 | 67 ± 17 |
| N\(_2\)H\(^+\)(1–0) | 4.1 ± 0.5 | 31 ± 13 | 0.1 ± 13 | 130 ± 30 |
| CH\(_3\)OH(2–1L) | 15 ± 1 | 150 ± 15 | 5.4 ± 6 | 70 ± 10 |
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Table 4 (Continued)

| GMC | Transition | $I_{\text{peak}}$ (K km s$^{-1}$) | $T_B$ (mK) | $v_B$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $\Delta v$ (km s$^{-1}$) |
|-----|------------|---------------------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| C$^{34}$S(2–1) | 5.0 ± 1 | −30 | −46 ± 26 | 180 ± 62 |
| HNCO(5$_{0\alpha}$−5$_{0\beta}$) | 22 ± 2 | 190 ± 29 | −1.6 ± 3 | 40 ± 7 |

Notes. $T_B$ is the main-beam brightness temperature based on a 8$''$ aperture. $I_{\text{peak}}$ is the peak integrated intensity in units of K km s$^{-1}$ for the resolution given in Table 2. Uncertainties are based on the rms noise for the temperatures and intensities, and 1σ from the least-squared Gaussian fits for the velocity information. Upper limits represent 2σ values.

Table 4 Molecular Abundances in Maffei 2

| $N$(H$_2$) | SiO | C$_2$H | C$_2$H$_{mn}$ | HCO$^+$ | HNC | HC$_3$N | N$_2$H$^+$ | C$^{34}$S | CH$_3$OH | HNCO |
|---------|-----|------|-------------|--------|-----|--------|---------|--------|--------|-------|
| A      | 2.8(21) | <6.8(−10) | <5.4(−8) | ··· | 5.4(−9) | <1.6(−9) | <2.4(−9) | <7.9(−10) | 3.2(−9) | 1.8(−8) | ··· |
| B      | 9.7(21) | <2.0(−10) | <1.5(−8) | ··· | 6.1(−9) | 1.4(−9) | <6.9(−10) | 6.1(−10) | <9.2(−10) | 1.6(−8) | <1.6(−8) |
| C      | 1.9(22) | <1.0(−10) | 1.8(−8) | 1.8(−8) | 4.2(−9) | 1.9(−9) | 1.0(−9) | 5.8(−10) | <4.9(−10) | 4.2(−9) | <2.4(−8) |
| D      | 2.9(22) | 1.6(−10) | 2.2(−8) | 4.2(−8) | 6.0(−9) | 2.1(−9) | 7.6(−10) | 3.8(−10) | <3.0(−11) | 3.0(−9) | <1.1(−9) |
| E      | 3.0(22) | <4.7(−11) | 2.5(−8) | 4.8(−8) | 4.1(−9) | 2.2(−9) | 4.7(−10) | 2.6(−10) | <3.0(−10) | 3.7(−9) | <1.5(−9) |
| F      | 2.3(22) | <8.3(−11) | 9.6(−9) | 9.6(−9) | 3.5(−9) | 2.3(−9) | 1.2(−9) | 7.4(−10) | 8.7(−10) | 1.0(−8) | 8.7(−9) |
| G      | 2.0(22) | <1.1(−10) | 1.2(−8) | 2.3(−8) | 7.2(−10) | 1.3(−9) | <5.0(−10) | 6.0(−10) | <6.5(−10) | 2.0(−8) | 1.6(−8) |
| H      | 8.9(21) | <2.1(−10) | <1.7(−8) | <2.0(−9) | <1.6(−9) | 1.3(−9) | <7.5(−10) | <2.5(−10) | <1.0(−9) | 1.5(−8) | <5.1(−9) |

Notes. Format for entries are $a(b) = a \times 10^b$. Each molecule is based on the assumptions of optically thin line emission with $T_{\text{mb}}$ also 10 K. Upper limits are 2σ. Uncertainties are dominated by systematics and are at least a factor of three (see the text for discussion of uncertainties). $H_2$ column densities are based on $^{13}$CO(1−0) emission sampled at the resolution of the HNC data with an abundance of [H$_2$/^{13}CO] = 7.06 × 10$^5$ adopted (MTH08).

$^{a}$ Corrected for finite optical depth based on the fine structure line ratios (Table 8).

HC$_3$N—cyanoacetylene. We mapped the J = 10−9 rotational line of HC$_3$N. This molecule has the largest electric dipole moment and the highest upper energy state of the (thermal) sample (Table 1). It is thus not surprising that we observe HC$_3$N to be faint and spatially confined (Figure 4). To improve signal-to-noise ratio ($S/N$), the HC$_3$N map was smoothed to a slightly larger beam size, but even then peaks at only ~50 mK toward two unresolved locations at the intersection of the ring and bar arms (C and F). Abundances are ~10$^{-9}$, similar to those in IC 342 (MT05; Meier et al. 2011), somewhat higher than in cold Galactic clouds but much lower than the localized Galactic hot core values (>10$^{-7}$; e.g., Morris et al. 1976; Vanden Bout et al. 1983; Chung et al. 1991; de Vicente et al. 2000).

N$_2$H$^+$—diazacyclium. In the Galaxy, N$_2$H$^+$ is regarded as a tracer of quiescent gas. We observed the J = 1−0 rotational state of this linear ion, which has hyperfine splitting much smaller than the observed line widths. As is the case in IC 342, N$_2$H$^+$ is bright and extended across the nucleus (Figure 4). Emission peaks toward GMC G with a peak brightness temperature reaching ~0.15 K. GMCs D and E, the closest clouds to the northern star formation region, show weak emission in N$_2$H$^+$. X(N$_2$H$^+$) reaches ~7 × 10$^{-10}$ at GMC F and drops by nearly a factor of three toward the 3 mm continuum peak. The highest abundances are similar to what is seen in IC 342 and dense, quiescent cores in the Galactic disk (Womack et al. 1992; Benson et al. 1998).

C$^{34}$S—carbon monosulfide. This is an isotopologue of the dense gas tracer CS. The J = 2−1 rotational transition of C$^{34}$S is tentatively detected only toward GMCs A, C, and F, at <30 mK (Figure 4). The tentative detections imply X(C$^{34}$S) abundances of at most a few ×10$^{-10}$, typical of Galactic dense cores and...
Figure 2. Spectra of the molecular transitions toward Maffei 2’s GMCs. Spectra are summed over an 8′ aperture centered on the GMC positions identified by MTH08. The vertical axis is the flux in the aperture and the x-axis is LSR velocity.
Figure 2. (Continued)
diffuse/translucent clouds (for a C$^{32}$S/C$^{34}$S isotopic abundance of 23; e.g., Nyman 1984; Drdla et al. 1989; Wang et al. 1993; Chin et al. 1996; Morata et al. 1997; Lapinov et al. 1998; Lucas & Liszt 2002). In the GMCs near the northern star-forming region, abundances are an order of magnitude lower. Evidently, C$^{34}$S(2–1) is not strongly enhanced anywhere across the nucleus, and especially not at the massive star formation sites. Limits on the CS/C$^{34}$S isotopologue ratio assuming optically thin CS ranges from 4 to >14 based on the CS(2–1) line of Kuno et al. (2008). The tentative detection of CS/C$^{34}$S $\sim$ 4 suggest opacities significantly greater than unity in CS(2–1).

CH$_3$OH—methanol. We observed the low energy, blended set of 2$_1$–1$_1$E, 2$_0$–1$_0$E, 2$_0$–1$_0$A+, and 2$_{-1}$–1$_{-1}$E thermal transitions of CH$_3$OH (hereafter labeled the 2$_{-1}$–1$_{-1}$E transition). We cannot resolve these transitions in this group, given the source line widths. The 2$_{-1}$–1$_{-1}$E transition of CH$_3$OH is very bright across the nucleus, with peak temperatures reaching 0.28 K (Figure 4). Of the species observed in this paper, only HCO$^+$(1–0) is brighter. Remarkably, though, the morphology of CH$_3$OH is completely different from what is seen in most of the other lines, including $^{13}$CO(1–0) and HCN(1–0). CH$_3$OH peaks strongly toward the southern nuclear bar end (G and H) and the southern nuclear bar arm/ring intersection region (F). By contrast, emission is barely detected near GMC D+E. The emission favors the right side of the southern arm, which is the trailing, upstream side.

At the bar ends, methanol abundances peak at $\sim$1.5 $\times$ 10$^{-8}$. Toward GMC D abundances drop by a factor of five. The peak arm abundances are a factor of two higher than those of IC 342 (MT05). Galactic methanol abundances can reach these levels in small localized hot core regions (e.g., Kalenskii & Sobolev 1994; Kalenskii et al. 1997; Bachiller & Perez Gutierrez 1997; Turner 1998a; Nummeln et al. 2000; Minier & Booth 2002). CH$_3$OH velocities appear to be consistently blueshifted with respect to most of the other transitions. In the north, the velocity shift is $\sim$−10 km s$^{-1}$, growing to $\sim$−40 km s$^{-1}$ along the southern bar end. The shift toward the northern cloud is not significant; however, the shift seen toward GMCs F and G appears to be real. This may result from an increased population in the higher excitation blueshifted lines that make up the quadruplet or somewhat different kinematics due to the presence of shocks (see Section 4.4.1).

HNCO—isocynic acid. We observed the K$_{-1} = 0$ transition of the J = 5–4 rotational state (505–404) of this prolate, slightly asymmetric top. In the higher resolution OVRO maps, HNCO peaks at GMCs F and G, with faint emission along the bar connecting the two clouds (Figure 3). When smoothed to match the resolution of the BIMA data, HNCO has a very similar morphology to CH$_3$OH. Over the 8″ aperture used for the spectra in Figure 2, HNCO has a peak brightness of 0.25 K, whereas over the 40 pc resolution achieved in the OVRO data peak brightnesses reach 1.0 K. No emission is detected toward GMC D in the high-resolution map. HNCO abundances reach $X$(HNCO) $\approx$ 9 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$ along the southern nuclear bar. These abundances are typical of those observed on one to two orders of magnitude smaller scales in Galactic massive dense cores (Zinchenko et al. 2000) and are even a factor of three larger than the HNCO enhanced shock regions in IC 342 (MT05). CH$_3$OH and HNCO in Maffei 2 have some of the most pronounced morphological differences from CO yet seen in extragalactic sources. These two species illustrate the importance of high spatial resolution interferometric observations of chemical species.

Other transitions. Table 5 presents the limits (2$\sigma$) for other potentially detectable transitions within the observed bandwidth. These include the $^{13}$C substituted isotopologues of HNC(1–0) and HC$_3$N(10–9) and two transitions of the radical HCO. None of these transitions are detected. In the case of the $^{13}$C isotopologues, only the HN$^{13}$C(1–0) limits are at all constraining (Table 8). Toward the central ring and southern bar end 1σ HNC(1–0)/HN$^{13}$C(1–0) line ratio lower limits are $>10–16$, which, in these locations, are consistent with or slightly above the corresponding CO isotopic line ratios (MTH08). Therefore, the HNC(1–0) opacities are lower than $^{12}$CO(1–0) opacities.

To facilitate the exposition, we omit the transitions for each species where possible in the remainder of the text. We discuss transition-dependent effects specifically where important.

3.4. Understanding the Molecular Maps: Principal Component Analysis

The maps give a picture of the molecular cloud astrochemistry in the nuclear region of Maffei 2. To interpret these maps, we need to establish similarities and differences between the molecules. The correlations reveal trends in the chemistry, which, in turn, can suggest the physical driving forces responsible for these characteristics and how they change across the nucleus.

As in MT05, we quantify morphological correlations amongst the images via a PCA. PCA is a common technique (e.g.,
Figure 4. Integrated intensity maps of the molecular transitions in Maffei 2 observed with BIMA. (a) The HNC(1–0) in steps of 1.0 Jy beam$^{-1}$ km s$^{-1}$, (b) The C$_2$H(1–0; 3/2–1/2) in steps of 1.1 Jy beam$^{-1}$ km s$^{-1}$, (c) The C$_2$H(1–0; 1/2–1/2) in steps of 1.1 Jy beam$^{-1}$ km s$^{-1}$, (d) The C$^{12}$S(2–1) in steps of 1.2 Jy beam$^{-1}$ km s$^{-1}$, (e) The HC$_3$N(10–9) integrated intensity in steps of levels 0.60 Jy beam$^{-1}$ km s$^{-1}$, (f) The N$_2$H$^+$(1–0) in steps of 0.75 Jy beam$^{-1}$ km s$^{-1}$, (g) The SiO(2–1; $v = 0$) in steps of 0.40 Jy beam$^{-1}$ km s$^{-1}$, (h) The CH$_3$OH(2–1) in steps of 1.5 Jy beam$^{-1}$ km s$^{-1}$. In all planes, the gray scale is the $^{13}$CO(1–0) integrated intensity.

Murtage & Heck 1987; Krzanowski 1988; Everitt & Dunn 2001; Wall & Jenkins 2003) used to reduce the dimensionality of a data set. The PCA simplifies the picture of molecular distribution, reducing a large amount of information to a few images, providing an excellent framework within which to study the complex variations in molecular properties in Maffei 2. For a general description of PCA applied to multi-transition molecular maps, see Heyer & Schloerb (1997) or for specific applications to extragalactic molecular maps, see MT05. The PCA is dependent on the particular set of data given to it; here, we are analyzing the correlations of 3 mm lines of heavy molecules, so the results we obtain pertain to the cool and relatively dense cloud population in Maffei 2.

To calculate the principal components (PCs) for Maffei 2, the line maps were remade to the same geometry and beam size (that of HNC; Table 2), normalized and mean-centered. Thirteen maps, including $^{13}$CO(1–0), HCN(1–0), and 3 mm continuum from MTH08, plus the ten transitions of the nine species discussed in Section 3.3, were sampled at 1$''$ intervals over the central 38$''$ × 56$''$, making up a 27,664 element data space. The algorithm used to calculate the PCs is that of Murtage & Heck (1987).

The results of the PCA are tabulated in Tables 6 and 7. Table 6 shows the matrix of pairwise correlation coefficients among all of the 13 maps. Table 7 records the projection of each map onto the seven modeled PCs. Figure 5 displays the maps of the first
Figure 4. (Continued)

Table 5
Other Observed Transitions

| Molecule/Transition | $\nu$ (GHz) | $T_{\text{mb}}$ (mJy beam$^{-1}$) | GMCs |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|------|
| HCO $1_{0,1} - 0_{0,0}$ | 86.777 | <20 | ... |
| HCO $1_{0,1} - 0_{0,0}$ | 86.806 | <20 | ... |
| HN$^{13}$C | 87.091 | <22 | ... |
| HCC$^{13}$CN/HC$^{13}$C$_2$N | 90.593/90.602 | <22 | ... |
| ... | ... | 89.222(5) | 70 ± 15 | C |
| ... | ... | 90.912(5) | 10 ± 6 | F |

Note. Upper limits are $2\sigma$. 
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Figure 5. Maps of the first three principal components of the molecular distribution.

### Table 6
PCA Correlation Matrix

| Maps          | $^{13}$CO | 3MM  | C$_2$H$_2$(3$^2$) | HCN  | HCO$^+$ | HNC  | CH$_3$OH | HNCO  | N$_2$H$^+$ | HCN$_3$ | C$_{34}$S | SiO  | C$_2$H$_2$(1$^2$) |
|---------------|-----------|------|-------------------|------|---------|------|----------|--------|------------|---------|-----------|------|------------------|
| $^{13}$CO     | 1.00      |      |                   |      |         |      |          |        |            |         |           |      |                  |
| 3MM           | 0.88      | 1.00 |                   |      |         |      |          |        |            |         |           |      |                  |
| C$_2$H$_2$(3$^2$) | 0.85    | 0.77 | 1.00              |      |         |      |          |        |            |         |           |      |                  |
| HCN$^+$       | 0.93      | 0.92 | 0.84              | 1.00 |         |      |          |        |            |         |           |      |                  |
| HCO$^+$       | 0.89      | 0.88 | 0.81              | 0.98 | 1.00    |      |          |        |            |         |           |      |                  |
| HNC           | 0.95      | 0.87 | 0.84              | 0.97 | 0.94    | 1.00 |          |        |            |         |           |      |                  |
| CH$_3$OH      | 0.68      | 0.47 | 0.49              | 0.43 | 0.34    | 0.51 | 1.00     |        |            |         |           |      |                  |
| HNCO          | 0.52      | 0.27 | 0.32              | 0.21 | 0.12    | 0.33 | 0.86     | 1.00   |            |         |           |      |                  |
| N$_2$H$^+$    | 0.86      | 0.71 | 0.67              | 0.77 | 0.72    | 0.82 | 0.71     | 0.58   | 1.00       |         |           |      |                  |
| HCN$_3$       | 0.54      | 0.53 | 0.41              | 0.62 | 0.60    | 0.62 | 0.32     | 0.16   | 0.63       | 1.00   |           |      |                  |
| C$_{34}$S     | 0.20      | 0.24 | 0.17              | 0.18 | 0.20    | 0.19 | 0.14     | 0.095  | 0.20       | 0.15   | 1.00     |      |                  |
| SiO           | 0.38      | 0.23 | 0.34              | 0.34 | 0.30    | 0.38 | 0.35     | 0.30   | 0.35       | 0.31   | $-0.023$ | 1.00 |                  |
| C$_2$H$_2$(1$^2$) | 0.64   | 0.60 | 0.70              | 0.66 | 0.63    | 0.63 | 0.27     | 0.18   | 0.45       | 0.24   | 0.008    | 0.32 | 1.00            |

Note. * Data from MTH08.

### Table 7
PCA Eigenvectors

| PCA Comp. | 1     | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5     | 6     | 7     |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| $^{13}$CO | 0.35  | $-0.045$ | $-0.0043$ | 0.11 | $-0.042$ | 0.15 | $-0.064$ |
| 3MM       | 0.32  | 0.15  | $-0.10$ | 0.12 | $-0.086$ | 0.19 | $-0.50$  |
| C$_2$H$_2$(3$^2$) | 0.31  | 0.097 | 0.086 | 0.24 | 0.12   | 0.020 | 0.81   |
| HCN       | 0.34  | 0.21  | 0.00   | $-0.012$ | $-0.057$ | 0.16 | $-0.086$ |
| HCO$^+$   | 0.33  | 0.28  | $-0.029$ | $-0.024$ | $-0.055$ | 0.21 | $-0.038$ |
| HNC       | 0.35  | 0.11  | 0.0015 | $-0.031$ | $-0.054$ | 0.16 | 0.038   |
| CH$_3$OH  | 0.23  | $-0.55$ | $-0.03$ | 0.10 | $-0.091$ | 0.0061 | $-0.031$ |
| HNCO      | 0.17  | $-0.65$ | 0.01   | 0.17 | $-0.050$ | 0.10 | $-0.061$ |
| N$_2$H$^+$ | 0.32  | $-0.19$ | $-0.089$ | $-0.12$ | $-0.20$ | $-0.061$ | 0.070 |
| HCN$_3$   | 0.23  | 0.067 | $-0.13$ | $-0.68$ | $-0.29$ | $-0.52$ | 0.086 |
| C$_{34}$S | 0.080 | $-0.0027$ | $-0.81$ | 0.0092 | 0.56 | $-0.11$ | $-0.0031$ |
| SiO       | 0.16  | $-0.19$ | 0.43   | $-0.51$ | 0.66   | 0.23  | $-0.074$ |
| C$_2$H$_2$(1$^2$) | 0.24  | 0.19  | 0.33   | 0.37 | 0.29   | $-0.70$ | $-0.23$ |

Egnv. % 59 13 8.3 6.6 5.6 2.7 1.5

This was also found in IC 342; however, here $^{13}$CO projects along PC 1 more closely than HCN, hence PC 1 is nearer to a pure column density map than its analogue in IC 342. All maps except the low S/N ratio C$_{34}$S show significant correlation with PC 1.

PC 2 characterizes the dominant variation seen once the distribution of column density has been accounted for. PC 2 distinguishes two major distinct groups of molecules, those peaking toward the northern star-forming region and those peaking toward the southern nuclear bar end. Species with large positive projections on PC 2 (star formation peakers) include HCO$^+$, HCN, HNC, C$_2$H$_2$, and of course 3 mm continuum, which traces star formation directly. Species with large negative projections (southern bar peakers) include HNCO, CH$_3$OH and, to a lesser extent, N$_2$H$^+$ and SiO. PC 3 has a quadrupolar morphology dominated by SiO and C$_{34}$S. PC 4 and beyond are at best marginally significant so we do not discuss them in detail.

The correlation matrix resulting from the PCA (Table 6) shows in more detail the correlations among various species. The following trends are noted.

1. HCN, HNC, HCO$^+$, and 3 mm continuum are all extremely tightly correlated, indicating a close association with massive star formation. At the resolution of the PCA HCO$^+$ and HCN are effectively perfectly correlated. At three times higher spatial resolution, subtle differences become apparent (Figure 7). HCN is the map most tightly correlated with three (most important) PCs. These three PCs account for 80% of the variation present in the data set. The projections of each map onto the first three PCs are shown vectorially in Figure 6.

Inspection of Figure 6 reveals that PC 1 is most strongly correlated with all maps. It represents a mix of the $^{13}$CO and HCN maps, implying that PC 1 is a good density-weighted column density map of the low excitation molecules in Maffei 2.
the 3 mm continuum. Therefore, the (massive) star formation rate (SFR) versus HCN line luminosity relation seen in single-dish surveys (e.g., Gao & Solomon 2004) persists to at least 100 pc scales in Maffei 2, as noted in (MTH08). However, the correlation between HC$_3$N(10–9) and 3 mm continuum is weaker than found for IC 342.

2. CH$_3$OH and HNCO are very well correlated with each other and are strongly anticorrelated with the massive star formation. This leaves little doubt that on these GMC spatial scales the chemistries of these two species are either directly coupled or are mutually coupled to a specific chemical mechanism. Moreover, these species show little correlation with 3 mm continuum and hence star formation is not relevant to establishing the morphologies of these species. Nor are these species correlated with the standard dense gas tracers, HCN, HCO$^+$, and HC$_3$N. Figure 8 displays the position–velocity diagrams of $^{13}$CO, C$_2$H, and HNCO. The strong differences between the starburst tracers and CH$_3$OH or HNCO carry over to the kinematics. The “parallellogram” originating from the central ring is nearly absent in CH$_3$OH and HNCO but remains pronounced in C$_2$H.

3. SiO and especially C$_{34}$S are less tightly correlated with the other species. This may in part be due to their low S/N ratio and that some of the structure that appears in these maps are noise. However, C$_{34}$S was also anomalous in IC 342 (MT05).

4. DISCUSSION

The pronounced variations in spatial morphology observed for the different molecules across the central half kiloparsec of Maffei 2 are caused by either differences in emissivity (excitation) or abundances (chemistry). In this section, we discuss the possible influences on the molecular emission that could lead to the observed variations.

4.1. The Dense Gas Component: Diagnostic Ratios

The CO/HNC ratio is widely used to study the relative fraction of dense gas in galaxies. MTH08 used the CO/HNC ratio to identify the sites of high dense gas fraction and concluded that the $x_1$–$x_2$ orbital intersections and the eastern (star forming) portion of the central ring are the locations of the high dense gas fraction. The fraction of dense gas drops toward the western ring and moving out along the bar arms.

To study the nature of the dense component directly, line ratios among dense gas tracers are required, since CO does not trace dense gas. Line ratios among bright, high critical density species, such as HCN, HCO$^+$, HNC, HC$_3$N, and CS are useful diagnostics of the physical and chemical properties of the dense component of the interstellar medium. Much observational and theoretical effort has been dedicated to understanding these diagnostic species in nearby starbursts (e.g., Henkel et al. 1991; Nguyen-Q-Rieu et al. 1992; Solomon et al. 1992; Helfer & Blitz 1993; Kohno et al. 2001; Aalto et al. 2002; Gao & Solomon 2004; Imanishi et al. 2007; Baan et al. 2008; Graciá-Carpio et al. 2008; Juneau et al. 2009; Bayet et al. 2009; Lindberg et al. 2011; Aladro et al. 2011b). Unfortunately, interpreting these results can be difficult since most of these studies have been done at low spatial resolution, either with single-dish telescopes or in distant sources. These ratios mix many interstellar environments into one beam.

Now that we have access to an inventory of dense gas tracers at 30–100 pc resolution, we investigate changes in the HCN/HCO$^+$, HCN/HNC, HCO$^+$/N$_2$H$^+$, HNC/HCO$^+$, and HCN/CS line ratios on GMC scales across the nucleus of Maffei 2. Figure 7 displays four of these line ratios. Line ratios are tabulated in Table 8. Organized variations in all ratios are observed on these scales and are discussed in terms of the CO/HCN ratio.

4.1.1. HCN/HCO$^+$: Tracer of Intermediate Gas Densities

The HCN/HCO$^+$ ratio relates two of the brightest lines that trace dense gas. It has become a popular diagnostic of gas with densities intermediate between CO and HCN since HCO$^+$ has a critical density nearly an order of magnitude lower than HCN (e.g., Kohno et al. 2001; Graciá-Carpio et al. 2006; Imanishi et al. 2007; Krips et al. 2008). HCO$^+$ also has a formation route that maintains significant abundances in relatively diffuse gas (see below), and it is an ion making it more sensitive to collisions with electrons (e.g., Papadopoulos 2007; see Table 1).
As a result, HCO$^+$ can remain abundant and excited in diffuse gas (Lucas & Liszt 1996). Theoretical modeling finds that HCN/HCO$^+$ is a strong function of density for a given column density in the presence of ionizing radiation, with ratios of unity for densities of $\sim 10^5$ cm$^{-3}$ (e.g., Meijerink et al. 2007; Yamada et al. 2007).

There is, however, the complication of opacity. Despite its lower critical density, HCO$^+$ has a higher Einstein $A_{ij}$, implying higher radiative efficiencies than HCN (and HNC). For a given abundance per line width, HCO$^+$ exhibits a line opacity nearly twice that of HCN and HNC. For extragalactic studies, it is usually assumed that HCN abundances are significantly higher than HCO$^+$. However, for the LTE abundances calculated in Section 3.2 and MTH08, HCO$^+$ would have approximately twice the observed abundance of HCN, contrary to what is commonly assumed. These abundances have large uncertainties, but the two lines have similar energy levels. The similarity in excitation requirements of the two lines makes it difficult to reverse this situation purely by changing gas temperatures. If the LTE abundances are correct then HCO$^+$ line opacities must be at least as large as the presumably large HCN line opacities. If opacities in both lines are greater than unity the diagnostic power of HCN/HCO$^+$ is limited.

Toward the nuclear bar in Maffei 2, the overall morphologies of HCO$^+$ and HCN are similar. However, detailed differences in the two transitions are revealed in their ratio. HCN/HCO$^+$ ranges from 0.6 to 2.2 over 30 pc scales in Maffei 2. These values cover much of the range sampled in the single-dish surveys of many galaxies (Kohno et al. 2001; Graciá-Carpio et al. 2006; Krips et al. 2008). Both HCN (MTH08) and HCO$^+$

---

**Figure 7.** (a) HCN(1–0)/HCO$^+$(1–0) line ratio. The gray scale ranges from 0 to 1.5 with dark being large ratios and contours are in steps of 0.333 for the resolution of the HNC(1–0) data set. HCN(1–0) is from MTH08. (b) The HCN(1–0)/HNC(1–0) line ratio with the gray scale ranging from 0.5 to 2.0 and contours are in steps of 0.45 for the resolution of the HNC(1–0) data set. (c) The HCO$^+$(1–0)/N$_2$H$^+$(1–0) line ratio with the gray scale ranging from 1.0 to 6.0 and contours are in steps of 1.0. (d) The HCO$^+$(1–0)/HNC(1–0) line ratio with the gray scale ranging from 0.5 to 2.0 and contours are in steps of 0.25.
fall off more rapidly with galactocentric distance than does \(^{13}\)CO(1–0). HCO\(^+\) decreases more slowly than HCN in the north (GMC B) but more rapidly along the southern bar arm. HCN is elevated relative to HCO\(^+\) on the active eastern side of the central ring, GMC G and a localized cloud just south of GMC F, while HCO\(^+\) is enhanced, relatively speaking, in the quiescent, western ring region. We detect clouds with CO/HCN versus HCN/HCO\(^+\) values that reside in the “active galactic nucleus (AGN) dominated” portion of parameter space set by global single-dish studies where clearly there is no AGN (Kohno et al. 2008) map. If this assumption is incorrect, flux calibration except for the C\(_2\)H ratios which are based on signal to noise. Ratios that sample only dense gas, such as HCN/HCO\(^+\) ratio and the brightness of high density tracers like HNCO and \(N_2\)H\(^+\) at the southern bar end is that while the dense gas fraction decreases along the southern bar arm, the density of the dense component does not. In this context, the correlation between the dense gas tracers and CO/HCN toward the star-forming ring would imply that the dense gas fraction and dense gas density are coupled there. The difference between the two environments could be a result of the different dynamical environments at each location. Toward the southern bar end, one can envision a situation where the shocks (Section 4.4.1) produce a low filling factor, high density component that explains the behavior of both ratios. The fact that the HNCO/\(CH_3OH\) ratio remains high gives additional direct evidence that densities of the shocked gas are quite high toward GMC G (Section 4.4.2).

In summary, while the CO/HCN ratio suggests a rapidly decreasing dense gas fraction along the arms (MTH08), the HCN/HCO\(^+\) ratio suggests that the actual density does not fall significantly. Clearly not all the properties of the dense component are traced in the CO/HCN ratio. This further emphasizes the fact that the CO/HCN measures the fraction of the gas that is dense, not necessarily the actual density of the gas. Ratios that sample only dense gas, such as HCN/HCO\(^+\), are needed to determine the physical conditions of the dense component.

4.1.2. HCN/HNC: Proposed Tracer of Warm Gas

The HCN/HNC line ratio is another dense gas ratio of increasing popularity, in which high values indicate hot gas and/or PDR conditions. Essentially identical \(A_{ij}\), collision of elevated HCN abundances in shocks. In the Galaxy, bipolar molecular outflows do show HCN much more strongly elevated than HCO\(^+\) (e.g., Bachiller & Perez Gutierrez 1997). This scenario seems to be less favored when the other dense gas tracers are incorporated. Toward GMC G, both the HCO\(^+\)/\(N_2\)H\(^+\) and HCO\(^+\)/HNC ratios are anomalously low, while the HCN/HNC ratio remains roughly constant relative to the rest of the (non-star forming) nuclear disk. This argues for a depletion/destruction of HCO\(^+\) rather than an enhancement of HCN. The decrease in HCO\(^+\) intensity here may stem from the shock in a more indirect way. The passage of a shock alters the chemical state of the molecular gas, including a dramatic increase in gas-phase water, which is effective at destroying HCO\(^+\) (Bergin et al. 1998). The main difficulty with this mechanism is that \(H_2O\) is also expected to destroy \(N_2H^+\), which is not observed.

An alternate and perhaps simpler explanation for the elevated HCN/HCO\(^+\) ratio and the brightness of high density tracers like HNCO and \(N_2\)H\(^+\) at the southern bar end is that while the dense gas fraction decreases along the southern bar arm, the density of the dense component does not. In this context, the correlation between the dense gas tracers and CO/HCN toward the star-forming ring would imply that the dense gas fraction and dense gas density are coupled there. The difference between the two environments could be a result of the different dynamical environments at each location. Toward the southern bar end, one can envision a situation where the shocks (Section 4.4.1) produce a low filling factor, high density component that explains the behavior of both ratios. The fact that the HNCO/\(CH_3OH\) ratio remains high gives additional direct evidence that densities of the shocked gas are quite high toward GMC G (Section 4.4.2).

In summary, while the CO/HCN ratio suggests a rapidly decreasing dense gas fraction along the arms (MTH08), the HCN/HCO\(^+\) ratio suggests that the actual density does not fall significantly. Clearly not all the properties of the dense component are traced in the CO/HCN ratio. This further emphasizes the fact that the CO/HCN measures the fraction of the gas that is dense, not necessarily the actual density of the gas. Ratios that sample only dense gas, such as HCN/HCO\(^+\), are needed to determine the physical conditions of the dense component.

4.1.2. HCN/HNC: Proposed Tracer of Warm Gas

The HCN/HNC line ratio is another dense gas ratio of increasing popularity, in which high values indicate hot gas and/or PDR conditions. Essentially identical \(A_{ij}\), collision

### Table 8

| Location | HCN(1–0) | CCH(3–2) | HCN(1–0) | HCN(1–0) | CS(2–1) | HCN(1–0) |
|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|
| A        | \(\ldots\) | \(\ldots\) | \(\ldots\) | >0.79    | >1.4    | <1.6     |
| B        | 2.4 ± 0.4 | 0.63 ± 0.1 | >4.5    | >4.9 ± 1.5 |
| C        | 1.6 ± 0.2 | 2.8 ± 1.5 | 0.99 ± 0.1 | >6.6 | >6.0 | 2.5 ± 0.8 |
| D        | 1.3 ± 0.2 | 1.5 ± 0.3 | 1.1 ± 0.2 | >10 | >14 | 2.1 ± 0.6 |
| E        | 1.3 ± 0.2 | 1.5 ± 0.3 | 1.3 ± 0.2 | >9.4 | >13 | 2.2 ± 0.7 |
| F        | 1.1 ± 0.2 | 2.8 ± 1.8 | 1.7 ± 0.2 | >16 | >4.1 | 2.4 ± 0.7 |
| G        | 0.9 ± 0.1 | 1.5 ± 0.7 | >2.2 | >11 | >3.8 | 1.1 ± 0.4 |
| H        | 1.0 ± 0.1 | \(\ldots\) | \(\ldots\) | >4.4 | >1.0 | <2.4     |

Notes. The resolution of the measurements is set by the lowest resolution input maps. The HCN(1–0) is from MTH08 and the CS(2–1) from Kuno et al. (2008). In determining the CS/C\(_{34}S\) ratio it is assumed that CS is spatially completely resolved by the Kuno et al. (2008) map. If this assumption is incorrect true ratios will be slightly lower than those quoted. Uncertainties reflect absolute flux calibration except for the C\(_2\)H ratios which are based on signal to noise. Upper limits are 1σ.
coefficients, upper energy states, and frequencies make the ratio particularly insensitive to changing excitation. Dissociative recombination of HCNH\(^+\) dominates the formation of both HCN and HNC in cool, dense clouds, producing both species in equal amounts (e.g., Hirota et al. 1998). So in these environments the abundances of the two species are locked together. Additionally, both transitions likely have significant opacities. It is therefore not surprising that this ratio remains near unity over a wide range of conditions. When gas temperatures become large, HNC can be converted to HCN via HNC + H → HCN + H, while in diffuse gas/PDR-like environments, the reaction N + CH\(_2\) → HCN + N becomes a major additional HCN source (e.g., Schilke et al. 1992; Turner et al. 1997).

Figure 7 (Table 8) displays the HCN/HNC intensity ratio map. Indeed, the ratio remains fairly constant across the nucleus with values of \(\sim 1.1 \pm 0.3\). Variations in the ratio are only at the 30% level over most of the nucleus, with the highest values on the star-forming eastern ring and south of GMC F, which suggests mild enhancement by PDRs. Ratios significantly below unity on these small spatial scales are not seen anywhere across the nucleus. Hence, there is no evidence for anomalous enhancement of HNC relative to HCN that has been found in some ultraluminous infrared galaxies (ULIRGs; Aalto et al. 2002). In IC 342, the HCN/HNC intensity ratio is also fairly constant, with weak enhancement seen toward the PDR GMC (MT05).

In summary, at least in Maffei 2 and its less active cousin, IC 342, the HCN/HNC line ratio has limited diagnostic power. Its diagnostic power becomes stronger for molecular gas with extreme temperatures and density, such as LIRGs and ULIRGs, where the chemistry is driven away from dissociative recombination of HCNH\(^+\).

### 4.1.3. HCO\(^+\)/N\(_2\)H\(^+\): Tracing the Dense Gas

Both HCN and HCO\(^+\) (and to a lesser degree HC\(_3\)N) are observed to maintain large abundances in PDRs, shocks, and other energetic regions. By contrast, in the Galaxy N\(_2\)H\(^+\) is observed to avoid PDR regions, maintaining high abundances only in dense, quiescent, dark cloud-like conditions (Womack et al. 1992). In dense, quiescent gas both N\(_2\)H\(^+\) and HCO\(^+\) form from proton transfer reactions of H\(_3^+\) with N\(_2\) and CO, respectively:

\[
\text{H}_3^+ + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{H}^+ + \text{H}_2. \tag{2}
\]

\[
\text{H}_3^+ + \text{CO} \rightarrow \text{HCO}^+ + \text{H}_2. \tag{3}
\]

Given the reasonable assumption that the rate coefficients for these two reactions, \(k_2\) and \(k_3\), are approximately equal (Woodall et al. 2007), then \(X(\text{N}_2\text{H}^+)/X(\text{HCO}^+) \approx X(\text{N}_2)/X(\text{CO})\). However, only HCO\(^+\) maintains a relatively rapid formation route in diffuse or PDR gas (C\(^+\) + OH → CO\(^+\) + H followed by H\(_2\) + CO\(^+\) → HCO\(^+\) + H\(_2\)). No corresponding route exists for N\(_2\)H\(^+\) in PDRs (Turner 1995b). This explains the observed behavior of the HCO\(^+\)/N\(_2\)H\(^+\) line ratio (Figure 7 and Table 8).

Toward the PDR regions associated with the active star formation sites, HCO\(^+\) can maintain appreciable abundances while N\(_2\)H\(^+\) is readily destroyed. The fact that this ratio, which reflects dense gas properties, is modified by the starburst PDRs implies that toward this region (GMC E and the eastern ring) PDRs penetrate the dense gas. The strong correlation between high HCO\(^+\)/N\(_2\)H\(^+\) ratios and PDR tracers like C\(_2\)H, suggests that this ratio may be a powerful new probe of dense PDRs in external galaxies.

Along the arms, X(N\(_2\)H\(^+\))/X(HCO\(^+\)) abundances are typically \(\sim 0.1–0.15\), with values reaching up to \(\sim 0.33\) toward the southern bar end. If a standard CO abundance of \(X(\text{CO}) \approx 10^{-4}\) is adopted, X(N\(_2\)) abundances of \((1–3) \times 10^{-5}\) are implied. If metallicities are solar (e.g., Anders & Grevesse 1989) and all N is in the form of N\(_2\), then X(N\(_2\)) \(\approx 4 \times 10^{-5}\). Evidently, in the more quiescent dense clouds of the nucleus of Maffei 2, at least a quarter of all nitrogen is in molecular form. Using the above X(N\(_2\)) estimation together with Table 4 gives X(N\(_2\))/X(N\(_2\)H\(^+\)) \(\approx 2 \times 10^4\) for these regions.

Following the analysis of MT05, X(N\(_2\))/X(N\(_2\)H\(^+\)) provides indirect constraints on the cosmic-ray ionization rate, \(\zeta\). Adopting X(N\(_2\))/X(N\(_2\)H\(^+\)) \(\sim 2 \times 10^4\) and the extremely conservative lower limit, \(X(e^-) \approx X(\text{ion}) \geq X(\text{N}_2\text{H}^+) + X(\text{HCO}^+) \geq (6–9) \times 10^{-9}\). Figure 9 of MT05 indicates \(\zeta/n_H > 10^{-21} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^3\). Less conservatively, for X(e\(^-\)) \(\sim 10^{-7}\) typical of Galactic star-forming regions (e.g., Caselli et al. 1998), \(\zeta/n_H > 10^{-20.5} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^3\). Therefore, \(\zeta\) is at least the Galactic value if the density of the gas that the N\(_2\)H\(^+\) and HCO\(^+\) emission originates in is \(n_H > 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}\). These constraints are comparable to though somewhat stronger than observed for IC 342.

#### 4.1.4. The CS(2–1)/HCN(1–0) and CS(2–1)/C\(^{34}\)S(2–1) Ratios

Kuno et al. (2008) has published a map of CS(2–1) toward the nucleus of Maffei 2 with similar spatial resolution to the data presented here. We make use of this map to compute the CS/C\(^{34}\)S and CS/HCN ratios toward the GMCs. The CS/HCN intensity ratio is fairly constant across the nucleus except toward the southern arm (GMC G), where it is a factor of two lower. If strong chemical evolution has not altered the C\(^{34}\)S/C\(^{33}\)S ratio, the C\(^{34}\)S emission implies that the regions of high CS opacity are along the southern arm and not at the CS peak near the massive star formation. This is unexpected. For a C\(^{34}\)S/C\(^{33}\)S ratio of 23, LTE line ratios imply that CS opacities of \(\sim 6\) along the southern arm. Comparing the observed peak temperature of C\(^{34}\)S gas to optically thin emission with \(T_{\text{ex}} = 10^3\) K yields a filling factor, \(f(\text{C}\(^{34}\)S) \sim 0.018\). Given the differences in beam size this filling factor is intermediate between 13CO and HCN (MTH08). Toward the GMCs D+E, the larger CS/C\(^{34}\)S ratio implies that CS opacities here must be less than 1.4. Since the intensity of the main isotopologue peaks toward this region, gas temperatures or filling factors are much larger here. In order to explain the morphology of both CS and C\(^{34}\)S, it is required that CS be warmer and more diffuse toward GMCs D+E when compared to the GMC G. In summary, CS appears compatible with the interpretation based on HCN/HCO\(^+\) that the southern bar end has high density but low dense gas fraction.

#### 4.1.5. HC\(_3\)N(10–9)

HC\(_3\)N has a critical density that is comparable or slightly higher than HCN, but very likely has low opacity due to its lower abundance. Moreover, its levels are more closely spaced and so population is distributed over a wider range of levels, making any one level more sensitive to changes in excitation. HC\(_3\)N originates primarily from the arm–ring intersection regions similar to HCN and HCO\(^+\), although perhaps peaks slightly farther from the center of the galaxy than does HCN. Using the kinematics to further resolve the emission suggests that HC\(_3\)N is tracing the inner portion of the arm rather than the \(x_1-x_2\) intersection region. Evidently, densities increase along the arms as the central ring is approached. Unlike HCN, HC\(_3\)N weakens just before the strong star formation is reached at GMC D+E.
and just north of GMC F. Potentially, this results from warmer temperatures depopulating the $J = 10$ level toward the starburst.

4.2. PDR Chemistry and the Nuclear Starburst

Ionizing radiation from massive stars impinging on nearby molecular clouds changes their chemical composition. Large abundances of ionized carbon in PDRs drive a rich hydrocarbon chemistry on cloud surfaces. One of the simplest species from such regions is $C_2H$. $C_2H$ is formed from rapid dissociative recombination of $C_2H^+$ coming from reactions between $C^+ + CH_3$ (Wootten et al. 1980; Sternberg & Dalgarno 1995). $C_2H$ chemistry is particularly closely tied to ionized carbon and is an excellent probe of these PDRs. Its usefulness as an extragalactic PDR tracer was demonstrated in MT05.

After accounting for opacity effects (see Section 3.3 for $C_2H(1–0; (1/2)–(1/2))$ for an optically thin $C_2H$ transition) $C_2H$ pervades much of the molecular clouds in the northern star-forming region. Lower level $C_2H$ emission extends over the rest of the nucleus but avoids the cloud cores, instead favoring the side of the dense cloud facing star formation (traced here by 2 cm radio continuum; see Figure 9). This is particularly clearly seen west of GMC F and northeast of GMC G.

As discussed in Section 4.1.3, the dramatic increase in the $HCO^+ / N_2H^+$ line ratio across the central ring shows not only that PDRs are prevalent near the young, star-forming region but that the PDRs penetrate much of the dense gas there. Given the distinct region of altered chemistry, constraints can be placed on the range of influence of the current burst of star formation. For the northern star-forming region, the region of elevated $HCO^+ / N_2H^+$ ratio is 80 pc diameter in extent. We assume that the volume of the region emitting strong PDR emission reflects the volume of molecular gas engulfed within H II regions that have expanded into the ambient medium due to overpressure. This should be reasonable on GMC scales. For an ionized gas sound speed of 10 km s$^{-1}$, $N_{Lyc} = 5 \times 10^{51}$ s$^{-1}$ and a uniform density of $n_{H_2} \simeq 10^{2.75}$ cm$^{-3}$ typical of the central ring (MTH08), a standard expanding H II region would reach a radius of 40 pc in $\sim 7$ Myr. While this analysis is sensitive to the exact density distribution, it is interesting that this timescale for dynamical evolution of GMCs due to pressure is an order of magnitude longer than characteristic chemical timescales and consistent with the timescale of massive star evolution.

4.3. The Molecular Outflow along the Minor Axis in PDR Gas

$C_2H$ emission appears to trace a molecular outflow along the minor axis (Figure 9). The northwest extension of this bipolar flow is redshifted by $\sim 15$ km s$^{-1}$ and the southeast blob blueshifted by $\sim -15$ km s$^{-1}$ with respect to the velocity of the corresponding in-plane material. These velocity shifts have the correct sign for outflow given Maffei 2’s geometry (northern arm being nearer to us, and the arms trailing). There is tentative evidence for the flow in HNC and SiO (and possibly CO(1–0); MTH08) but the molecular outflow is not obvious in any of the other species. This is understandable given the strength of $C_2H$ in the outflow reflects its relatively low critical density and its ability to maintain high abundances in the presence of ionizing radiation.

The molecular outflow in Maffei 2 does not appear to follow the distribution of ionized gas traced by 2 cm. This is different from what is seen in the much more pronounced outflow in M82 (Walter et al. 2002). The 2 cm radio continuum emission appears to trace the walls of Maffei 2’s outflow (Figure 9;
Fernández et al. 2010). But its formation mechanism remains unclear. The C$_2$H emission must trace entrained molecular material being driven out directly. The explanation for the 2 cm emission along the edges remains unclear but may be due to a path length effect of a cone of ionized gas surrounding the molecular flow. Since evidence is seen for a small minor axis outflow, it is possible to obtain a second estimate of the characteristic age by assuming that the gas traced in C$_2$H is free to flow ballistically along the minor axis. The extent of the outflow is ±200 pc. For an inclination angle of 67° and the measured line-of-sight velocity, the time of flight corresponds to 6 Myr. This is a very young outflow. The agreement with the characteristic in-plane timescale is excellent, suggesting that the current starburst may have an age of $\tau \sim 6–7$ Myr.

4.4. Shocks and Gas–Grain Chemistry: CH$_3$OH, HNCO, and SiO

Elevated gas-phase abundances of species whose formation is sensitive to the grain processing, such as CH$_3$OH, HNCO, or SiO, are important probes of hot core gas and shocks. As noted in MT05, and illustrated even more clearly here, these species do not trace hot cores. Typical Galactic hot cores, even significant collections of them cannot produce the required emission necessary to explain the intensities on these large scales. Moreover, we detect no spatial connection between CH$_3$OH and HNCO, and star formation, if anything, we see an anticorrelation. We can, therefore, safely conclude that the above three species are not tracing hot core emission or outflows from young stellar objects but must originate in largescale shocks. Inter-comparisons can be used to identify the responsible shock source (MT05; Requena-Torres et al. 2006; Rodriguez-Fernandez et al. 2006; Martín et al. 2008) and place constraints on shock properties such as shock strength, type of shock, and liberated grain/mantle composition (Usero et al. 2006).

In this data set, three shock tracers, CH$_3$OH, HNCO, and SiO, are mapped. Each is sensitive to somewhat different shock properties. It is expected that elevated gas-phase SiO results from the release of Si from destruction of silicate grain cores (e.g., Martín-Pintado et al. 1992). That the grain core must be disrupted requires “strong” shocks capable of sputtering grain cores. High observed abundances of gas-phase CH$_3$OH likely come from direct liberation of CH$_3$OH that has formed on grain surfaces by complete hydrogenation of CO (e.g., Millar et al. 1991; Bachiller et al. 1995). Liberation of mantles does not require shocks as strong as those that effect the grain core. Thus, the relative enhancement of SiO versus CH$_3$OH will depend on the strength of the shock. Grain core destruction requires shock velocities of $\gtrsim$25 km s$^{-1}$, whereas shock velocities of only $\gtrsim$10–15 km s$^{-1}$ are required for significantly elevated gas-phase CH$_3$OH abundances (e.g., Caselli et al. 1997; Bergin et al. 1998). It is reasonably well established that HNCO is a mantle species along with CH$_3$OH (MT05; Rodríguez-Fernández et al. 2010). But its formation mechanism remains unclear. The most commonly proposed mechanism is partial hydrogenation of OCN on grain surfaces followed by direct liberation (e.g., Hasegawa & Herbst 1993). Other suggested mechanisms include acid–base reactions between CO and NH$_3$ and UV photolysis (Schutte & Greenberg 1997; Keane et al. 2001). Thus, if HNCO forms on grain mantles and is liberated directly then its unsaturated nature implies that it originates in grain mantles with different chemical composition from CH$_3$OH (however, see Tideswell et al. 2010 for a possible formation mechanism in more saturated ices). Unsaturated ices are favored when gas accretes in atomic hydrogen-poor environment, whereas saturated ices favor atomic hydrogen-rich accretion (e.g., Charnley et al. 1997; Watanabe & Kouchi 2002). Hydrogen-poor conditions reflect accretion at high densities when almost all hydrogen is in molecular form. If it is assumed that the shock simply injects molecules into the gas phase, the HNCO/CH$_3$OH abundance ratio is then sensitive to gas physical conditions during ice mantle formation.

Chemistry is not the only effect that influences the relative abundance of these three species. Excitation is also important. The excitation requirements of SiO(2–1), CH$_3$OH(2–1), and HNCO(5$_{05}$–4$_{04}$) transitions differ (see Table 1). The critical density of the HNCO transition is an order of magnitude higher than SiO and CH$_3$OH. Furthermore, the energy of the upper state in HNCO(5$_{05}$–4$_{04}$) is more than twice that of SiO and higher than two of the three main transitions that make up the CH$_3$OH line. Therefore, CH$_3$OH and SiO lines will be favored over HNCO in lower density gas. Also in the presence of ionizing radiation each species dissociates at different rates. HNCO is photodissociated at a rate twice that of CH$_3$OH and ~30 times that of SiO (Roberge et al. 1991; Sternberg & Dalgaro 1995).

Thus, considering the distribution of CH$_3$OH, HNCO, and SiO can give insights into both the chemical and physical conditions present in the shocked gas and potentially tell us about nature of the shocks themselves. Below we discuss the overall structure of the shock tracers in the context of nuclear bar models, and then we analyze the subtle differences between each shock tracer to further constrain shock properties.

4.4.1. Overall Shock Tracer Morphology and Bar Structure

In Maffei 2, the outer bar arms dominate the overall distribution of the shock tracers CH$_3$OH, HNCO, and SiO, whereas emission from the star-forming ring is minimal. This is very different from the morphology of CO, HCN, and the PDR tracers. The bar ends are especially bright in the shock tracers. (The faintness of shock tracers along the northern arm is presumably due to the fact that there is much less molecular gas there, particularly at what would be the location of the northern bar end.) It is perhaps surprising that it is the bar ends and not the inner arms that have the largest fraction of the gas influenced by shocks. This provides constraints on the nature of gas flows in Maffei 2.

Different models exist for describing the structure of gas bars, each predicting different shock strengths and locations. The models fall into two broad classes, orbit-crowding models and hydrodynamical flows. Orbit-crowding models treat the gas as distinct clouds orbiting on essentially ballistic orbits set up by the potential (e.g., Combes & Gerin 1985; Byrd et al. 1994). The arm morphology of the bar is then determined by where orbits converge. In hydrodynamical models, the gas is treated as a fluid that responds to the stellar potential and is susceptible to hydrodynamical forces such as pressure and viscosity. In such models, gas flows along streamlines with shocks occurring where the streamlines collide (e.g., Athanassoula 1992; Piner et al. 1995). Both models predict similar morphological distributions but rather distinct shock properties (e.g., Regan et al. 1999). In orbit-crowding models, the bar arms result from molecular clouds moving along converging orbits. In such models, only weak shocks are expected because the bulk of the velocities are parallel to both clouds (with the possible exception of arm/nuclear ring intersections). Moreover, such models do not isolate the bar ends as locations of strongly convergent orbits and so it is not
expected that these locations would be sites of vigorous shock activity.

Hydrodynamical models, on the other hand, show gas flow streamlines that change directions dramatically. The places where flows converge are accompanied by strong changes in velocity, and hence strong shocks. In hydro models, gas drifts slowly inward along bar-aligned \( x \) orbitals. Some high density, \( CH_3OH \), and \( SiO \) transitions. That the 5 05–404 transition of \( HNCO \) preferentially favors the dense clouds and \( SiO/HNCO \) peak temperature ratios smoothed to 8” and sampled at the GMCs. Given the significant difference in the native resolution of the three data sets and the fact that they were obtained with two different interferometers, only the clearest trends are discussed.

As demonstrated by the PCA, the morphologies of the three species are strongly correlated, implying that we have a robust measure of the locations of shocked gas. So similar are the maps that only very subtle differences between \( HNCO, CH_3OH, \) and \( SiO \) appear, suggesting that shock conditions are uniform across the nucleus. With the possible exception of the uncertain \( SiO \) ratios toward GMC B, the arm clouds (C, D, F, and G) have effectively constant \( HNCO/CH_3OH (\sim 1.1 \pm 0.2), SiO/CH_3OH (\sim 0.20 \pm 0.02), \) and \( SiO/HNCO (\sim 0.19 \pm 0.03) \) ratios. Only toward GMC E and the off arm spray regions (GMC H and just south of the western ring) are different ratios observed. Toward GMC E (the northern star-forming region), \( HNCO/CH_3OH \) is lower and the \( SiO \) ratios are higher relative to the rest of the arm. In the off arm regions, \( HNCO/CH_3OH \) ratios are depressed while the \( SiO/CH_3OH \) ratio is elevated and \( SiO/HNCO \) are elevated (spray region) or flat (GMC H).

From these ratios, three main points are concluded.

1. While GMC G (the bar end) is the brightest source in the nuclear bar, indicating that the largest fraction of gas along this line of sight is shocked, evidently shock strengths are not enhanced here. \( SiO \) to mantle species have the same basic ratio at the bar end as it has over the rest of the bar.

2. Toward GMC E, the decreased intensity of \( HNCO \) relative to \( CH_3OH \) and \( SiO \) is consistent with what is expected from photodissociation. From Section 4.2, we know that the dense gas here is permeated by UV photons for the young star formation. The shock tracer ratios further confirm this. Because of this, the absence (or faintness) of shock tracers toward the central ring and in particular the northern \( x_1-x_2 \) orbit intersection cannot be taken as evidence of absence of shocks here.

3. The spray regions upstream (counterclockwise) from the main bar favor \( CH_3OH \) and to a lesser degree \( SiO \) relative to \( HNCO \). It is possible that because gas is more diffuse in this region grain mantles are H-richer than in the denser arm. However, we favor a simpler explanation associated with changing excitation. The spray regions have lower density, hence the higher critical density \( HNCO \) transition is expected to be weaker relative to the lower critical density, \( CH_3OH \), and \( SiO \) transitions. That the 5 05–404 transition of \( HNCO \) preferentially favors the dense clouds relative to more extended \( CH_3OH \) explains why larger beam single-dish multi-line observations derive an excitation temperature for \( HNCO \) that is nearly a factor of \( \sim 2 \) larger than derived for the \( CH_3OH \) transitions in Maffei 2 (Hüttemeister et al. 1997; Martín et al. 2009). Note that the excitation temperature of both species is quite low (\( T_{ex} < 15 \) K), implying that the shock regions remain cool or the densities are low enough for these transitions to be sub-thermal.

In summary, we conclude that there is little evidence for strongly varying grain chemistry across the shocked regions on 8” scales. The small level of variation seen is consistent with changing physical conditions (radiation field and gas density).

4.5. The Chemistry of Spiral Nuclei: Maffei 2 versus IC 342

The overall nuclear structures of Maffei 2 and IC 342 are similar. Both have extended bar-like arms that terminate on a
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**Figure 10.** Shock tracer peak antenna temperature ratios. Ratios are measured over an 8” aperture centered on each cloud. Downward (upward) facing arrows are upper (lower) limits.
central ring that is actively forming stars. The molecular gas morphology of IC 342 is consistent with that of a gaseous bar (Turner & Hurt 1992). However, because of the very small central ring and ambiguous kinematics, other conclusions including unbarred spiral arms coupled with massive star feedback remain feasible (Schinnerer et al. 2008). On the other hand, Maffei 2 is clearly a nuclear bar based on both its morphology and kinematics (e.g., MTH08; Kuno et al. 2008). Given the potential dynamical similarities of the two nuclei a comparison of the chemistry of the two can provide important insights on the generalizability of the overall chemical picture developed in MT05.

The statistically robust PCA demonstrates that the chemistry of the two nuclei is remarkably consistent. Maffei 2 can be defined chemically as a highly inclined copy of IC 342 (Figure 11). In both systems, the first PC characterizes the density-weighted column density, and peaks along the inner arms and central ring. The second PC separates the main chemical forces responsible for controlling observed abundances, namely, shocks versus PDRs. PDR species dominate close to the young star-forming region while the shock tracers peak along the arm, with preference for the cuspy bar ends. As a result, the bar-driven star formation/chemical scenario outlined in MT05 must apply also to Maffei 2’s nuclear bar.

However, the chemical picture is not precisely identical, and these differences give added insight into the relative differences in evolutionary phase of the two nuclei. The main difference between the two galaxies is in the distribution of N$_2$H$^+$. In IC 342, the PDR tracers are confined, appearing to be primarily cloud surface features, lining the inner ring. Significant N$_2$H$^+$ (and HNCO) seen near the young star-forming region in IC 342 implies that its main star-forming region influences the dense gas only locally, on scales of 50 pc or less. Whereas in Maffei 2, the N$_2$H$^+$/HCO$^+$ ratio and photodissociation of HNCO clearly demonstrate that the star formation has begun to dramatically alter the chemical state of the dense molecular clouds in its immediate environment, not just the surfaces.

Evidently, the central molecular ring in Maffei 2 is structurally different (lower clump density) and that toward GMC E star formation is somewhat more evolved than the main burst in IC 342. This is consistent with the steeper radio spectrum toward GMC E (Turner & Ho 1994; Tsai et al. 2006). The crude estimate of timescale required to alter the chemistry of the dense component is $\sim$7 Myr. This is a reasonable timescale compared to stellar evolution of the burst in Maffei 2 and the kinematics of the outflow. However, this timescale is still very short; short enough that even this “evolved” part of the burst is in its early stages. The star formation extending to the north and south of GMC E is likely as young as the embedded star formation in IC 342.

In IC 342, the peak temperature ratios between SiO, CH$_3$OH, and HNCO, are similar to those seen in Maffei 2. The PDR GMC in IC 342 has slightly lower HNCO/CH$_3$OH and elevated SiO/HNCO ratios. This is exactly the behavior exhibited by the PDR-modified GMC E in Maffei 2, lending strong support for the influence of photodissociation on shock tracer brightness. The shock chemistry dominated cloud in IC 342 has slightly elevated HNCO relative to the other species, making its set of ratios a match within the uncertainties of GMC G. This agreement suggests that both the grain composition and shock properties are the same for these structurally similar locales. However, since brightnesses are sensitive to radiative transfer effects, one must be careful overinterpreting ratios if gas excitation is changing rapidly. Maffei 2 and IC 342 do have rather similar CO excitation conditions (Meier et al. 2000; Meier & Turner 2001; MTH08).

In summary, we find that when the dynamical environments are similar, as they are in IC 342 and Maffei 2, a consistent chemical picture begins to emerge. Gas chemistry is confirmed as a powerful method for isolating the physical mechanisms
that influence gas conditions in spiral nuclei. In future papers in this series, we will broaden the range of galactic environments studied to assess how far chemistry can be pushed as a diagnostic of sub-beam physics.

5. CONCLUSIONS

This paper presents $2''-10''$ imaging of transitions from nine separate molecules across the nuclear bar in Maffei 2 obtained with the BIMA and OVRO millimeter interferometers. The results are compared with the first paper in the series on IC 342 to test the generalizability of the chemistry discovered there and to constrain differences in structure between the two nuclei. Our main conclusions are as follows:

1. Morphologies of the observed transitions exhibit both dramatic (e.g., C$_2$H versus CH$_3$OH) and subtle (e.g., HCN versus HCO$^+$) spatial differences indicative of the varying dominance of shocks versus radiation fields as drivers of the chemistry in individual clouds.

2. A PCA reveals that HCN, HNC, HCO$^+$, and 3 mm continuum are all extremely tightly correlated, indicating a direct connection to massive star formation.

3. CH$_3$OH and HNCO are tightly correlated with each other and strongly anticorrelated with the tracers of massive star formation; based on their spatial distribution, it appears that these two molecules are formed by liberation of grain mantles in the presence of bar shocks.

4. Variations in the ratios amongst the popular $\lambda \sim 3$ mm dense gas tracers, HCN, HCO$^+$, HNC, CS, and HC$_3$N, are at or less than a factor of three, unlike the order of magnitude variations exhibited by the chemistry-sensitive molecules. This indicates that variations in excitation in the cool, dense gas are much less dramatic than variations in dense gas chemical conditions.

5. Localized variations in the ratios HCN/HCO$^+$, HCN/HNC, HCN/CS, and HCN/CO can be explained by variations in density. The dense gas ratios indicate that the highest density gas is found at the $x_1-x_2$ orbit intersections, the lowest density gas is along the non-star-forming western portion of the ring, and intermediate density gas is found near the star formation. Although high density gas is found throughout the full extent of the nuclear bar arms, the dense gas fraction falls at larger radii from the nucleus.

6. There is no evidence for X-ray dissociation regions or AGN influences on the gas in the center of Maffei 2, specifically, as traced by the HCN/HCO$^+$ ratio.

7. The strong correlation between high HCO$^+/N_2$H$^+$ ratios and the PDR tracer molecule, C$_2$H, suggests that this ratio may be a powerful new probe of dense PDRs in external galaxies. Given the high critical density of both HCO$^+$ and N$_2$H$^+$ in comparison to C$_2$H, the elevated ratio demonstrates that PDR gas toward the strong star-forming regions permeates the dense clumps. When combined with the morphology of C$_2$H this ratio may provide useful insights into the relative density of PDR gas.

8. C$_2$H emission reveals a molecular outflow along the minor axis that has entrained molecular gas. Wrapped around the outside of this outflow is ionized gas traced in 2 cm radio continuum. Assuming ballistic motion, the morphology and kinematics are consistent with a very young age of 6–7 Myr for the outflow.

9. The bar induced orbital shocks in Maffei 2 are traced in three species, HNCO, CH$_3$OH, and SiO. All three species support the conclusion that the nuclear bar end is the site of the largest fraction of shocked gas. That this location exhibits such strong shock properties argues in favor of hydrodynamical bar models.
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