Quantitative Evaluation of the Amount of Delayed Myocardial Enhancement as a Predictor of Systolic Dysfunction
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Abstract: 30 patients with delayed contrast enhancement in patterns suggestive of myocardial infarctions were reviewed. Infarct mass was quantitatively measured using short axis images obtained in the delayed phase of gadopentetate administration. Left ventricular mass and ejection fraction were measured using short axis, steady state free precession images. A relationship is drawn between increased mass of infarction and decreased left ventricular ejection fraction. For each gram of infarct, there is a 0.5 % reduction in ejection fraction (EF = 50 - (0.48 x gm infarcted myocardium); r² = 0.49). For each % increase of infarcted myocardium, there is a 0.67 % reduction in ejection fraction (EF = 50 - (0.67 x percent of infarcted myocardium); r² = 0.39). Left ventricular ejection fraction correlates inversely with the mass of myocardium with delayed enhancement on cardiac MRI.

INTRODUCTION

Delayed contrast enhancement MRI (DCE-MRI) has gained widespread acceptance as an accurate means to delineate myocardial fibrosis. Myocardial fibrosis patterns consistent with myocardial infarction are derived from patterns with subendocardial to progressive transmural involvement in an anatomic distribution consistent with coronary anatomy. As cardiac MRI has become more commonly utilized, further applications of this technique have developed including evaluation of other cardiomyopathies. Although several studies have showed the validity of DCE for measuring infarct size and predicting nonviability, further work is needed to establish the significance of quantitative assessment of infarct size using DCE-MRI.

The ability to predict the left ventricular ejection fraction based on infarct volume size adds a new diagnostic dimension to delayed contrast enhanced MRI. This retrospective study is performed to assess the quantitative relationship between infarct size as defined by DCE and the degree of decrease in ejection fraction in order to define whether a reliable prediction in the degree of decreased systolic function can be made based on quantification of the size of the infarct as shown by DCE-MRI.

METHODS

Patient Population

Thirty consecutive patients from a large, county hospital were included in the analysis. Inclusion criteria consisted of presence of an infarction in the left ventricle, visible on DCE-MRI and completion of the MRI study. Patients were included in the study only if the morphology of areas of delayed contrast enhancement conformed to the expected shape and distribution of an infarction (e.g. subendocardial progressive to transmural delayed enhancement in the expected vascular distributions of the coronary arteries [1]. Twenty four of the patients were male, the remaining 6 were female. The mean age of the population was 54 years, with a range from 44 to 68.

MRI Technique

Studies were performed between June 21st, 2006 and April 3rd, 2007. All studies were performed on a GE Signa 1.5T field strength MRI unit. Computation of systolic function was based on short axis, balanced steady state free precession sequences (Fast Imaging Employing Steady State Acquisition (FIESTA)). Contiguous 8 mm short axis images were obtained during breath-holding and using segmental k-space acquisition gated to the cardiac cycle. Ten minutes after the intravenous administration of Magnevist (Bayer, Wayne, NJ), 0.2 mmol/kg, and delayed contrast enhanced contiguous 8 mm short axis images were obtained during breath-holding using a gradient-echo inversion recovery sequence with inversion time optimized to null normal myocardial signal. Processing was performed on an ADW workstation (General Electric, Milwaukee, WI) using Mass Analysis Plus (Medis, Leiden, The Netherlands). Regions of interest were manually drawn around the left ventricle at end systole and end diastole on the functional images (Fig. 1) and around the areas of delayed myocardial enhancement (Fig. 2). These regions were used to generate quantitative functional data including assessment of ejection fraction (LVEF), end systolic and end diastolic volumes, stroke volumes, assessment of left ventricular (LV) mass and myocardial infarct volume. Comparisons were made between the size of infarction and the left ventricular ejection fraction.

RESULTS

Our analysis shows that there is a predictable, linear relationship between infarct size and degree of systolic
dysfunction (Table 1). Two parameters specifically were correlated to assess the relationship between infarct size and depressed systolic function.

![Fig. (1). Regions drawn at end-systole and end-diastole. DCE-MRI sequences were obtained at a time delay of ten to fifteen minutes and using an inversion time (TI) of 200 ms. Quantification of infarct size was processed on an ADW workstation as well, based on short axis images.](image1)

![Fig. (2). Region drawn around area of infarction.](image2)

First, we drew a relationship between infarct mass and ejection fraction. Infarct mass was shown to correlate with ejection fraction according to the following relationship:

Ejection Fraction (%) = -0.48 x (grams of infarcted myocardium) + 50, r² = 0.4941.

In other words, from a baseline ejection fraction of 50%, each gram of myocardium infarcted would be expected to decrease the ejection fraction by roughly 0.5%. (Fig. 3).

Second, we drew a relationship between infarct percentage and ejection fraction. Infarct percentage was calculated by again deriving an infarct mass as described above and dividing the amount of infarcted myocardium by the total left ventricular mass. Infarct percentage was shown to correlate with ejection fraction according to the following relationship:

Ejection Fraction (%) = -0.67 x (% mass of infarcted myocardium) + 49, r² = 0.3919.

In other words, from a baseline ejection fraction of roughly 50%, each incremental increase in percentage of myocardium infarcted would be expected to decrease the ejection fraction by 0.66%. (Fig. 4).

**DISCUSSION**

Ejection fraction is an important factor in assessment of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality [1-5]. There is indeed, an important relationship between infarct size, decreased systolic function, and subsequent cardiovascular morbidity and mortality [6, 7].

![Table 1. LVEF, Infarct Mass, and Infarct Percent](image3)

| LVEF | Infarct Mass (grams) | Infarct % |
|------|----------------------|-----------|
| 26.72| 54.58                | 0.27      |
| 19.33| 72.74                | 0.45      |
| 49.10| 6.64                 | 0.05      |
| 17.12| 54.88                | 0.44      |
| 15.53| 44.22                | 0.19      |
| 56.16| 1.98                 | 0.02      |
| 48.04| 11.67                | 0.06      |
| 14.63| 37.17                | 0.26      |
| 32.45| 21.87                | 0.20      |
| 37.79| 8.81                 | 0.07      |
| 40.22| 17.29                | 0.18      |
| 38.30| 24.79                | 0.17      |
| 14.33| 38.95                | 0.30      |
| 37.86| 23.94                | 0.23      |
| 56.45| 19.14                | 0.16      |
| 17.92| 89.72                | 0.55      |
| 25.89| 35.56                | 0.17      |
| 26.66| 58.61                | 0.42      |
| 35.05| 20.32                | 0.12      |
| 63.57| 12.80                | 0.12      |
| 50.62| 46.27                | 0.28      |
| 16.10| 39.12                | 0.29      |
| 60.60| 3.65                 | 0.04      |
| 49.81| 26.21                | 0.22      |
| 26.63| 38.67                | 0.21      |
| 45.00| 1.62                 | 0.01      |
| 44.43| 12.53                | 0.11      |
| 19.76| 30.82                | 0.15      |
| 30.91| 55.73                | 0.48      |
| 41.01| 16.88                | 0.17      |

![Fig. (3). Relationship of left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) to infarct volume. LVEF = -0.48 x grams infarcted myocardium + 50, r² = 0.4941.](image4)
wall motion abnormalities. Marholdt et al. [23] have shown that functional deterioration of the left ventricle becomes evident when a certain point, enlargement of the left ventricle becomes dysfunction. In patients with an enlarged left ventricle, it is suspected that an infarction might result in an even greater impairment in contractility. This contention is born out in the fact that overall infarct size, rather than infarct percentage, is a more reliable predictor of left ventricular dysfunction.

We acknowledge several limitations in this study. Specifically, there was some heterogeneity in the patient populations. Many of the patients were imaged at least one month after the date of infarction. However, for many patients, the date of infarction was difficult to ascertain, by history. Hombach et al. [23] have shown that functional recovery is maximized at one month after infarction.

An additional limitation of this study is the possible overlap in patients who have ischemic and other, superimposed etiologies for cardiomyopathy. All of the studies included in this analysis were screened by an experienced cardiac MRI reader to ensure that the areas of delayed enhancement had morphology consistent with infarction. On the other hand, however, it is impossible to ensure that the patients included do not have a superimposed non-ischemic cardiomyopathy.

CONCLUSIONS
Percent myocardium with delayed enhancement correlates inversely with ejection fraction in an incremental manner, where from a baseline ejection fraction of 50%,...
each gram of myocardium infarcted is correlated with a decrease in left ventricular ejection fraction of 0.5%.
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