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ABSTRACT: Perfluorooalkyl acids (PFAAs) are persistent organic substances that have been widely detected in the global oceans. Previous laboratory experiments have demonstrated effective enrichment of PFAAs in nascent sea spray aerosols (SSA), suggesting that SSA are an important source of PFAAs to the atmosphere. In the present study, the effects of the water concentration of PFAAs on their size-resolved enrichment in SSA were examined using a sea spray simulation chamber. Aerosolization of the target compounds in almost all sizes of SSA revealed a strong linear relationship with their water concentrations (p < 0.05, r^2 > 0.9). The enrichment factors (EF) of the target compounds showed no correlation with their concentrations in the chamber water, despite the concentrations varying by a factor of 500 (~0.3 to ~150 ng L\(^{-1}\)). The particle surface-area-to-volume ratio appeared to be a key predictor of the enrichment of perfluorooalkyl carboxylic acids (PFCAs) with ≥7 perfluorinated carbons and perfluoroalkanesulfonic acids (PFSAs) with ≥6 perfluorinated carbons in supermicron particles (p < 0.05, r^2 > 0.8), but not in submicron particles. The different enrichment behaviors of PFAAs in submicron and supermicron particles might be a result of the different production mechanisms of film droplets and jet droplets. The results suggest that the variability in seawater concentrations of PFAAs has little influence on EFs and that modeling studies designed to quantify the source of PFAAs via SSA emissions do not need to consider this factor.

INTRODUCTION

Perfluorooalkyl acids (PFAAs) are a subgroup of per- and polyfluorooalkyl substances (PFAS) that have been widely used in numerous industrial and commercial applications since the 1950s.\(^1\) Their productions and emissions has been reviewed by Wang et al.\(^2,4\) PFAAs are also the terminal degradation products of a wide range of polyfluorooalkyl substances (so-called precursor substances).\(^3\) They have been detected in environmental media, biota, and humans worldwide,\(^5\) even in remote areas such as the Arctic\(^11,12\) and Antarctic.\(^13\) Long-range atmospheric transport is considered to substantially contribute to the ubiquitous presence of PFAAs,\(^7,14\) yet their sources to the atmosphere are still not well-understood.

Direct emission to air from manufacturing sites and formation through the degradation of gaseous precursors are proposed as two possible sources of PFAAs to the atmosphere.\(^15\) Besides these two sources, several laboratory studies have demonstrated water-to-air transfer of PFAAs in sea spray aerosol (SSA) simulation experiments, suggesting the possibility of SSA as an important source of PFAAs to the atmosphere.\(^17\) A recent field study suggested that SSA might be an additional source of PFOA to atmosphere at the two Norwegian High Arctic stations of Zeppelin and Andøya, Norway.\(^23\) Johansson et al.\(^17\) observed that PFAA concentrations in laboratory-generated SSA < 1.6 μm can be up to ~62000 times higher than that in the bulk water. On the basis of these laboratory-derived size-resolved enrichment factors (EFs) and reported median concentrations in seawater, the estimated fluxes of perfluoroctanoic acid (PFOA) and perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS) from SSA to the atmosphere were comparable with the two sources of atmospheric PFAAs mentioned above.\(^17\)

SSA consists of particles ranging from about 10 nm to a few millimeters in diameter, with atmospheric residence times varying from days to seconds.\(^23\) The composition of SSA is complex and depends on the particle size. At sizes >1 μm, sea salt usually comprises the majority of the mass while at smaller sizes, the contribution of dissolved and particulate organic matter to the mass increases as the particle size decreases.\(^23\) SSA is emitted into the atmosphere by bubble bursting at the sea surface. Breaking waves entrain air into seawater, and while...
these air bubbles rise toward the sea surface, surface active substances can be scavenged by the air–water interface.\textsuperscript{24} When bubbles burst, the rupture of the bubble film cap releases numerous fine film droplets, and when the cavity left by the bubble is filled with surrounding seawater, a water jet can rise from the cavity and disintegrate into a few jet droplets.\textsuperscript{23,25} Film droplets are thought to comprise the majority of submicron SSA, while jet droplets comprise the majority of supermicron SSA.\textsuperscript{23}

SSA is known to be highly enriched with surface active organic substances, especially for the submicron SSA,\textsuperscript{26,27} indicating the importance of particle size in the enrichment process of surface active substances.\textsuperscript{28,30,31} Such distinct differences in chemical composition are likely due to the generation mechanisms of film droplets and jet droplets.\textsuperscript{31} PFAA homologues vary greatly in physical and chemical properties such as water solubility and critical micelle concentrations (CMC) etc.;\textsuperscript{28,52} therefore, the different formation mechanisms of film and jet droplets may also affect the enrichment behavior of different PFAAs.

The enrichment factor (EF) of a substance in SSA is defined as the ratio of the concentration of substance X in SSA to its concentration in bulk water, normalized to the Na\textsuperscript{+} concentration in the corresponding medium.\textsuperscript{53}

\[ EF_{X,\text{SSA}} = \frac{[X]_{\text{SSA}}/[Na^+]_{\text{SSA}}}{[X]_{\text{water}}/[Na^+]_{\text{water}}} \]  

where \( EF_{X,\text{SSA}} \) is the unitless enrichment factor of substance X in SSA relative to the bulk water; \([X]_{\text{SSA}}, [Na^+]_{\text{SSA}} \) and \([X]_{\text{water}}, [Na^+]_{\text{water}} \) are the concentrations of X and Na\textsuperscript{+} in SSA and the bulk water, respectively. In the laboratory study by Johansson et al.,\textsuperscript{17} the EFs revealed a general trend of increasing PFAA EFs with decreasing particle size. However, this previous study was limited by the fact that only one size bin (0.029–0.99 \( \mu \text{m} \)) was included in the submicron range. As such, how PFAA EFs behave as a function of particle size below sizes of 1 \( \mu \text{m} \) is still unclear.

The concentrations of PFAAs in seawater can span over several orders of magnitude from the highly polluted coastal areas to the open ocean.\textsuperscript{34–40} For example, the concentrations of \( \sum \)PFAAs can be as high as 1.6–118 ng L\textsuperscript{−1} in the Chinese Bohai Sea\textsuperscript{39} while only being <5–343 pg L\textsuperscript{−1} in the Arctic Ocean.\textsuperscript{40} PFAA concentrations in the open ocean were reviewed in the previous study by Johansson et al.\textsuperscript{17} Despite the large variation in water concentrations, the influence of PFAA water concentration on the EFs is unknown. According to Brusseau,\textsuperscript{41} the ratio of surface excess of a PFAA (i.e., the amount adsorbed at the interface, mol cm\textsuperscript{−2}) to its aqueous concentration (mol cm\textsuperscript{−3}) is constant at environmentally relevant levels. Thus, theoretically, when air is entrained in seawater, the amount of PFAAs adsorbed on the bubbles’ air–water interface and aerosolized should be proportional to the concentrations of PFAAs in seawater. In other words, PFAA EFs should be independent of their concentrations in seawater if the properties of the interface (e.g., surface tension) remain largely unchanged by these surface active substances.

In addition to taking into account the work of Johansson et al.,\textsuperscript{17} the aim of this study was to conduct further experiments using a sea spray simulation chamber connected to a 14-stage cascade low pressure impactor to investigate the enrichment behavior of PFAAs in SSA, using different PFAA concentrations in water and with improved size-resolution (i.e., below 1 \( \mu \text{m} \)). A series of experiments were conducted with concentrations of individual PFAAs in the bulk water ranging from ~0.3 to 150 ng L\textsuperscript{−1}. In addition, relatively high size-resolution aerosol samples with 5 bin sizes in the submicron range and 5 bin sizes in the supermicron range were collected to investigate PFAA EFs across the full particle size-range relevant for SSA. It is envisioned that the results of this study will help to reduce uncertainties when evaluating the importance of SSA as a source of PFAAs to the atmosphere.

2. METHOD

2.1. Target Compounds. In total, 17 PFAS were investigated in this study, including 8 perfluoroalkyl carboxylic acids (PFCAs, including perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA), perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA), perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHpA), PFOA, perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA), perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA), perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnDA), and perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoDA)), 3 perfluorooctanesulfonic acids (PFSAAs, including perfluorobutanesulfonic acid (PFBS), perfluoroheptanesulfonic acid (PFHxS), and PFOS), 3 x-perfluoroctane sulfonamidooctacetic acids (xFOSAAs, including perfluorooctane sulfonamoctadecic acid (MeFOSAA), and N-ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidooctadecic acid (EtFOSAA)), and 3 fluoroethers acids (4,8-dioxo-3H-perfluorononanoic acid (DONA), 9-chlorohexadecafluoro-3-oxano-1-sulfonic acid (9Cl-PF3ONS), and 11-chloroheptafluoro-3-oxaundecane-1-sulfonic acid (11Cl-PF3OUDS)). The technical standards of PFOA (T-PFOA) and PFOS (T-PFOS) were used to study the enrichment behavior of both linear and branched isomers. Details of the target compounds, analytical standards, and reagents used can be found in Tables S1 and S2 in the Supporting Information (SI).

2.2. Sea Spray Simulation Chamber. All experiments were conducted using a sea spray simulation chamber developed by Salter et al.\textsuperscript{42} as depicted in Figure S1 in the SI. The chamber is made of stainless steel and is 47 cm in diameter and 100 cm in height. All surfaces below the water level on the inside are coated with polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE). A discussion on the effect of sorption to chamber walls is provided in the SI (section S5). When in use, the chamber is filled with ~100 L water, leaving ~40 cm headspace above the water surface. A peristaltic pump (Watson-Marlow, 620S) continuously circulates water from the bottom of the chamber through silicon tubing to a stainless steel nozzle (inner diameter 4.3 mm) at the center of the lid at 3.2 L min\textsuperscript{−1} to create a plunging jet. The jet hits the water surface and entrains air into the bulk water. When the air bubbles rise to the air–water interface and burst, aerosols are released to the headspace. The size distribution of bubbles created by this plunging jet type of SSA chamber is similar to the size distribution of oceanic bubble plumes.\textsuperscript{42}

Nascent sea spray aerosols (SSA) generated in the chamber are directed to a 14-stage cascade impactor (DLPi+, Dekati). Due to their different inertia, SSA with different sizes are separated on polycarbonate membranes (Nuclepore Track-Etch Membrane, Whatman) on the impactor stages. The cutoff sizes (d\textsubscript{50}) of the stages, which were calibrated by the provider (Dekati) of the impactor based on Järvinen et al.,\textsuperscript{43} ranged from 0.015 to 9.91 \( \mu \text{m} \) (section S5 and Table S3 in the SI). The sampling line is heated to keep the relative humidity at the
imperator inlet below 40% so that SSA are completely dried before entering the imperator. Particle-free sweep air is introduced to the chamber at a slightly higher flow rate than the flow directed to the imperator in order to prevent possible contamination from indoor air.

2.3. Experiments. The experiments were conducted using the sea spray chamber filled with low-organic-carbon standard deionized water (Milli-Q, >18.2 MΩ cm). The salinity of the water in the chamber was adjusted to ~35 g kg⁻¹ using sodium chloride. Five sets of experiments (10 individual experiments in total) were carried out, with concentrations of the target compounds ranging from 0.3 to 150 ng L⁻¹ (Table 1). The interior of the chamber was cleaned and rinsed with ethanol before use. Target compounds were spiked as a mixture at the beginning of each set of experiments. Considering that the quantity of target compounds added into the chamber water were at least 6–10 times higher than the preceding concentration, the residual from the previous experiment should have only a minor influence. Therefore, to avoid producing large quantities of PFAS contaminated water, the chamber water was not changed between experiments and the concentrations required for the next experiment were achieved by adding further quantities of PFAS mixture. After being spiked, the plunging jet was run overnight to allow the compounds to fully mix with the chamber water. During each experiment, the water temperature was maintained at 15 °C and the air in the headspace was sampled for ~30 h at a flow rate of 9.6 L min⁻¹ with the plunging jet switched on. The air volume sampled was calculated from the duration of the experiments multiplied by the flow rate. An aliquot of 1 L of chamber water was collected both at the beginning and at the end of each experiment through a tap on the side of the chamber, located approximately halfway between the water surface and the bottom of the chamber. Between experiments, the water level and salinity (~35 g kg⁻¹) were carefully adjusted using Milli-Q water and sodium chloride so that all experiments were started at the same conditions.

For the medium-concentration (Exp-M) and high-concentration (Exp-H), the surface microlayer (SML) of the chamber water was sampled in triplicate using a glass plate as per the method of Harvey44 (section S1 in the SI). The SSA samples, chamber water samples, and SML samples from Exp-H were analyzed for PFOA and PFOS structural isomer patterns.

Enrichment factors (EF) were calculated for each experiment using 1 to evaluate the enrichment of PFAAs on different sizes of SSA and in the SML relative to bulk seawater.

2.4. Instrumental Analysis. The polycarbonate membranes containing SSA were carefully unloaded from the imperator and sonicated individually in 10 mL Milli-Q water for 30 min. After a 0.5 mL subsample was taken for sodium analysis, the chamber water samples, SML samples, and remaining aliquots of the SSA samples were spiked with a mixture of mass-labeled internal standards (IS) and concentrated on Oasis weak-anion exchange (WAX) solid-phase extraction (SPE) cartridges (6 cm⁻³, 150 mg, 30 mm) using a previously published method (described in the SI).45

The target compounds were analyzed on an Acquity ultraperformance liquid chromatography system coupled to a Xevo TQ-S tandem mass spectrometer (UPLC/MS/MS; Waters Corp.) based on a previously published method (described in the SI).46 Sodium concentrations in the samples were determined using ion chromatography. Details regarding the extraction and analysis of PFAAs and sodium can be found in the SI.

2.5. QA/QC. Prior to the experiments, tests were carried out to determine an appropriate duration for sampling of SSA. In the current study, 30 h was considered the optimal duration to achieve quantifiable amount of PFAAs on the submicron imperator stages while avoiding blockage inside the imperator caused by excess SSA loading (Section S4 and Figure S2 in SI).

Handling of the SSA samples was carried out in a glovebox in order to avoid any contamination from indoor particles. Handling blanks for the SSA samples were prepared before each set of experiments. To assess the accuracy and precision of the analysis, native target compounds were spiked into 1 L of salted Milli-Q water (500 pg/L individual PFAS, NaCl ~ 35 g/L, n = 3) as well as on unused membranes (75 pg/membrane, n = 3) and analyzed with the samples. All statistical analyses were performed using R (v3.5.3). Details regarding the blanks, MQLs, IS recoveries, the results of spike-recovery tests, and statistical analyses are presented in the SI.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Na⁺ and the Target Compounds Mass-Size Distribution in SSA Samples. The sodium concentrations on stages 02–05 (d₅₀ = 0.0150 to 0.0944 μm) were below the MQL and were therefore excluded from the following analysis. SSA with diameters ≥0.951 μm accounted for approximately 98% of the total sodium mass. Mass mode (d₅₀) was defined as the stage with the highest Mₙₐ/d logdₙ, where Mₙₐ was the sodium mass on the stages. d₅₀ was found on the stage with d₅₀ = 3.67 μm for all experiments. The mass-size distribution showed no significant difference between the experiments.
indicating the addition of PFAAs in the water had little impact on SSA production (Figure S4 in the SI).

The mass of the target compounds on the impactor stages correlated strongly with sodium (Pearson’s $r > 0.7$, $p < 0.001$). Similar to sodium, the major portion ($\sim 96\%$) was found on stages with $d_{50} > 0.951$ μm. However, unlike the sodium mass-size distribution, $d_{50}$ of the target compounds either appeared at $d_{50} = 2.48$ or 3.67 μm (Figure S5 shows Exp-H1 as an example).

3.2. Concentrations of the Target Compounds in the Chamber Water. Concentrations of the target compounds in the chamber water and SML samples were shown in Figure S3 and Tables S5 and S6 in the SI. The mass balance of the target compounds in the chamber was calculated based on the concentrations measured in the SSA samples and the water samples from the beginning (after equilibration) and the end of the experiments. After each experiment, concentrations of PFPeA, PFHxA, and PFBS in chamber water only decreased by $<5\%$ while the other compounds decreased by $25$–$45\%$ on average. The decrease was chain length dependent, with a larger decrease observed with increasing length of the perfluorinated carbon chain (Figure S6 in the SI). Aerosolization was estimated to be the main cause of the concentration decrease for PFAAs with number of perfluorinated carbons (NPC) $\geq 7$, with $10$–$30\%$ of the initial mass accounted for in the SSA samples (e.g., $21.8 \pm 3.1\%$ for PFDA, average of all spiked experiments, Figure S7 in the SI). The decrease in concentrations of PFAAs with NPC $\geq 7$ ($25$–$45\%$ after each experiment) was larger than that observed by Johansson et al.,$^{17}$ which was $10$–$40\%$ after three experiments using the same equipment. The difference might be explained by the increased SSA production due to longer sampling duration and higher plunging jet flow rate used in this study.

3.3. Enrichment Factors of the Target Compounds in SML and SSA Samples. The EF$_{SML}$ of the target compounds are presented in Figure S8 and Table S6 in the SI. The EF$_{SML}$ of PFBS and PFHxA were not significantly different from $1$ ($p > 0.05$), indicating no enrichment of these two compounds in the SML. Other compounds with NPC $< 8$ only showed slight enrichment ($1.2$–$3.2$). The EF$_{SML}$ increased markedly for compounds with NPC $> 8$ and ranged from $13 \pm 3.8$ for PFNA to $77 \pm 20$ for PFDoDA. The EF$_{SML}$ increased with increasing NPC and revealed an S-shape trend (see PFCAs in Figure S8). In contrast, some other parameters that characterize the surface activity of PFCAs, for example, the CMCs, the surface/bulk water distribution coefficient ($C_{\text{surface}}/C_{\text{water}} - 1$) reported by Reth et al.,$^{10}$ as well as the interfacial adsorption coefficient (the ratio of surface excess (mol/cm$^2$) to $C_{\text{water}}$) reported by Brusseau,,$^{41}$ all demonstrated log-linear relationships with the number of perfluorinated carbons. Bias in the glass plate sampling method used in the present study may be one of the causes for the discrepancy, since highly surface-active substances such as PFDoDA may be adsorbed to the glass plate resulting in an underestimation of the EF$_{SML}$.

All target compounds, including those not enriched (PFBS and PFHxA) or only slightly enriched (e.g., DONA) in the SML, were found to be highly enriched in SSA of all sizes (Figure 1 and Figure S9). The calculated values of EF$_{SSA}$ for the target compounds on different impactor stages spanned over 5 orders of magnitude, ranging from $19$ (PFPeA, Exp-M1, $d_{50} = 2.48$ μm) to $4.6 \times 10^5$ (PFDoDA, Exp-U, $d_{50} = 0.154$ μm).

Samples from the high-concentration experiments (Exp-H) were used to investigate the behaviors of PFOA and PFOS structural isomers, and the result was generally similar to those from Johansson et al.$^{17}$ (details in the SI). The percentages of branched PFOA and PFOS were found to be significantly lower in SSA samples than in the chamber water samples ($t$ test, $p < 0.01$), which might be a consequence of preferential aerosolization of linear PFOA and linear PFOS.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Enrichment Behavior of Different Target Compounds in SSA. The EF$_{SSA}$ of the target compounds was dependent on the NPC and revealed a curvilinear relationship with NPC (Figures 1 and S9). For PFCAs with NPC $\leq 6$, each additional $-\text{CF}_2$-moiety corresponded to approximately a 10-fold increase in the EF$_{SSA}$ on all stages. For PFCAs with NPC $\geq 7$, however, the increase in EF$_{SSA}$ with increasing NPC was greatly reduced and eventually reached a plateau with comparable EF$_{SSA}$ of PFDA, PFUnDA, and PFDoDA (Figure 1). Lunkenheimer et al.$^{47}$ pointed out that at low concentrations ($<10^{-4}$ M), PFCAs with NPC $\geq 7$ may require minutes to hours to establish adsorption equilibrium at the water–air interface. Since the air bubble only traveled a short distance ($\sim 30$ cm) to rise to the surface in the SSA chamber, the time for the long-chain PFAAs to reach equilibrium at the bubble interface might be insufficient, which may be the cause for the leveling off of the log$_{10}$EF$_{SSA}$. However, the behavior of surfactants can be influenced by many factors and could be more complex for surfactant mixtures.$^{48}$ As such, further investigation is needed to understand the possible causes for this curvilinear relationship between EF$_{SSA}$ and perfluorinated carbon chain length.

Distinct differences among the EFs for different classes of PFAS were observed. The headgroup can affect the molecular geometry, hydrophile, etc. and therefore influence the surface...
activity of the surfactants.49,50 For example, the EFSSA of PFSAs on each stage were generally comparable to that of PFCAs with one more −CF2− moiety (PFBS and PFHxA, PFHxS and PFOA, PFOS and PFDA, Figures 1 and S9). However, the surface activity appears to be predominantly determined by the NPC when the head groups are similar. For example, PFOS and xFOSAAs have the same NPC while their EFSSA only varied slightly. The fluoroether acids such as DONA (CF3O(CF2)3OCFHCF2COONa) and 9Cl-PF3ONS (Cl−(CF2)6O(CF2)2SO3K) demonstrated comparable EF SSA as those PFAAs with the same NPC, namely PFHpA and PFOS. Consequently, it might be possible to estimate the EFSSA of anionic PFAS from molecular structure applying simple rules based on the number of perfluorinated carbons and the type of headgroup.

4.2. Effects of the Concentrations in the Chamber Water on the Enrichment Process. Theoretically, at environmentally relevant concentrations (generally <0.1 mg L−1) the ratio of surface excess (mol cm−2) to aqueous concentration (mol cm−3) of a fluorinated surfactant should be constant.41 Consequently, the amounts of chemical substance scavenged on the air–water interface of air bubbles as well as the amounts aerosolized after bubbles burst should be proportional to their concentrations in the water. Tseng et al.51 found that the amount of surface active organic compounds transferred to air by bubble bursting was linearly proportional to the amount in the SML. Similarly, in the present study, the masses of the target compounds in the SSA of all sizes demonstrated strong positive linear relationships (p < 0.05, r² > 0.9) with their concentrations in the chamber water (Figure 2). Such strong linearity was observed on each of the impactor stages (Table S7 in the SI). Therefore, for a given particle size, the amount of the target compounds aerosolized with SSA during the experiments was proportional to their concentrations in water (i.e., [PFAAs]SSA/[PFAAs]water = constant). According to 1, the EFSSA for the target compounds would not change with PFAA concentrations as long as the aerosol production process is unaffected ([Na+]SSA/[Na+]water). According to 1, the EFSSA for the target compounds would not change with PFAA concentrations as long as the aerosol production process is unaffected ([Na+]SSA/[Na+]water). As a result, the EFSSA showed no significant correlation with the concentration in water for almost all particle sizes except for DONA and 11Cl-PF3OUDs (Spearman’s rho >0.70, p < 0.05). However, the EFSSA in the ultrahigh-concentration concentration range is generally less than 0.1 mg L−1; therefore, this result cannot be extended to higher concentrations where the assumption of a constant EFSSA no longer holds true.
experiment were found to be higher than those in the other experiments. The total concentrations of all target compounds added up to 1.1 μg L⁻¹ in Exp-U. Possibly the high level of surfactants changed the properties of the bubble film cap (e.g., film pressure), which could in turn have impacted the SSA production process. If Exp-U was excluded, correlations between EFSSA and concentration in water were only found for DONA and 11CI-PF3OUdS on stages with d₅₀ ≥ 1.64 μm but not for all the other target compounds. Since concentrations of PFAAs in seawater is unlikely to reach the detection limit, which could also raise uncertainty in the measured sodium mass.

For most of the target compounds, the EFSSA from Exp-H were significantly higher than those from Exp-M (t test, p < 0.001) as shown in Figure S8 in the SI. However, no correlation was found between the compounds’ concentration in the SML samples and sodium analysis. Particles were dried before they entered the impactor and substrates on the stages were not greased, so particle bouncing inside the impactor was unlikely to affect the SSFSA of the target compounds at environmentally relevant levels. However, variations of EFSSA were indeed observed across the experiments, especially for the fraction of SSA with smaller diameters (<1.64 μm). This uncertainty may be due to the handling of the SSA samples and sodium analysis. Particles were dried before they entered the impactor and substrates on the stages were not greased, so particle bouncing inside the impactor was likely to occur during sampling. Additionally, the amount of sodium collected on the lower stages (<1.64 μm) can be close to the detection limit, which could also raise uncertainty in the measured sodium mass.

4.3. Size-Resolved Enrichment Behavior of the Target Compounds. In general, the EFSSA demonstrated increasing trends with decreasing particle size, but the patterns were found to be different for different target compounds as shown in Figure 3. Significant negative correlations (Spearman’s rho < 0.65, p < 0.05) were observed between EFSSA and particle size for PFCAs with NPC ≥ 8, PFSAs, and derivatives, 9CI-PF3ONS and 11CI-PF3OUdS, in almost all experiments but not for PFCAs with NPC ≤ 7 and DONA. Interestingly, the increasing trends of EFSSA with decreasing particle size were not continuous. The EFSSA on stage 11 (d₅₀ = 1.64 μm) were found to be significantly higher (t test, p < 0.05) than those on stage 10 (d₅₀ = 0.951 μm) for most of the compounds except PFUnDA, PFDoDA, PFBS, PFHxS, 9CI-PF3ONS, and 11CI-PF3OUdS. Accordingly, the EFSSA size profiles in Figure 3 could be divided into two parts: (1) d₅₀ ≥ 1.64 μm and (2) 0.154 μm ≤ d₅₀ < 1.64 μm.

The spray drop adsorption model (SDAM) proposed by Oppo et al. suggested that the surface-area-to-volume ratio is a key factor to understand the transfer of surfactants from seawater to the atmosphere via SSA of various sizes. However, the target compounds in the current study behaved differently in the above-mentioned two size ranges. Assuming that SSA has a spherical shape, the surface-area-to-volume ratio of SSA (4π/3d₃) can be simply represented by 1/d₅₀. In the size range of d₅₀ ≥ 1.64 μm, 1/d₅₀ and the logarithm of EFSSA (log₁₀EFSSA) revealed a linear relationship (p < 0.001, r² > 0.9) for PFHxS and other PFAAs with NPC ≥ 8 (e.g., r² = 0.94 for PFNA, Table S8). Such a strong linear relationship indicates that the enrichment behavior of PFAAs with NPC ≥ 8 in this particle size range can be well explained by the particle’s surface-area-to-volume ratio. However, the linearity between log₁₀EFSSA and 1/d₅₀ was found to be weaker and less prominent as the NPC decreased (e.g., r² = 0.84, slope = 1.2 and r² = 0.40, slope = 0.59 for PFOA and PFHxA, respectively). The enrichment of 9CI-PF3ONS (r² = 0.84) and 11CI-PF3OUdS (r² = 0.72) also showed relatively good linearity comparable to PFOA in this size range. However, such linearity was not observed for PFPeA and PFHxA (p > 0.05), and although the log₁₀EFSSA of DONA was significantly correlated with 1/d₅₀, the linearity was very weak (r² = 0.01). The decrease in linearity with decreasing NPC in this size range suggests that the (log₁₀EFSSA) revealed a linear relationship (p < 0.001, r² > 0.9) for PFHxS and other PFAAs with NPC ≥ 8 (e.g., r² = 0.94 for PFNA, Table S8). Such a strong linear relationship indicates that the enrichment behavior of PFAAs with NPC ≥ 8 in this particle size range can be well explained by the particle’s surface-area-to-volume ratio. However, the linearity between log₁₀EFSSA and 1/d₅₀ was found to be weaker and less prominent as the NPC decreased (e.g., r² = 0.84, slope = 1.2 and r² = 0.40, slope = 0.59 for PFOA and PFHxA, respectively). The enrichment of 9CI-PF3ONS (r² = 0.84) and 11CI-PF3OUdS (r² = 0.72) also showed relatively good linearity comparable to PFOA in this size range. However, such linearity was not observed for PFPeA and PFHxA (p > 0.05), and although the log₁₀EFSSA of DONA was significantly correlated with 1/d₅₀, the linearity was very weak (r² = 0.01). The decrease in linearity with decreasing NPC in this size range suggests that the surface-area-to-volume ratio was the dominant factor affecting the enrichment process for PFAAs with NPC ≥ 8 but that it was less influential for PFAAs with NPC ≤ 7.

In the size range between 0.154 and 1.64 μm, the surface-area-to-volume ratio appeared to play a minor role in the enrichment process. Only very weak linearity between log₁₀EFSSA and 1/d₅₀ (p < 0.05, slope <0.15 and r² < 0.55) was found for PFCAs with NPC ≤ 9, PFBS, PFHxS, MeFOSAA, and DONA, while no correlation was found for the other compounds. Therefore, there were likely factors other than the surface-area-to-volume ratio that governed or limited the enrichment of the target compounds. Additionally, the EFSSA in this size range were significantly higher (t test, p < 0.05) than those in the size range d₅₀ ≥ 1.64 μm, except for PFPeA, PFHxA, and DONA. Such distinct behaviors of the target compounds in the two size ranges suggest the enrichment process might be affected by two different mechanisms.

The majority of the supermicron particles are comprised of jet droplets, which are formed by the directly ejected water from the base of the bubble following the collapse of the bubble cavity. The strong linearity between log₁₀EFSSA and 1/d₅₀ in the supermicron range might be partly explained by the generation process of jet droplets. As strong surfactants, PFAAs should have higher concentrations at the surface of the water jet (air—water interface) than inside the jet (bulk water). When the ejected water is disintegrated to form jet droplets, small droplets with high surface-area-to-volume ratio might be less diluted by the bulk water from inside the water jet than large droplets. In this case, EFSSA may have strong correlation with 1/d₅₀ and the effect may be more prominent for long-chain PFAAs relative to short-chain PFAAs due to higher surface activity.

Submicron particles are dominated by film droplets which are emitted by the rupture of bubble caps. The comparable EFSSA and weak linear relationship with 1/d₅₀ in the size range 0.154 μm ≤ d₅₀ < 1.64 μm implies the surface-area-to-volume ratio has minor influence and the enrichment process is limited by one or more factors. After an air bubble reaches the water surface, the film cap is continuously thinning out until puncture occurs close to the base of the film cap, so the enrichment of the target compounds in the film droplets might be limited by the total amount on the bubbles’ film cap at the onset of burst. Factors that can influence the size and number of film droplets produced, such as bubble size, film cap thickness, the rupture mechanisms, and bubble groups,
might also affect EF$_{SSA}$. In addition, a recent study by Wang et al.\textsuperscript{31} showed jet droplets emitted by sub 100-µm bubbles could contribute a large portion of the submicron droplets. The variance of EF$_{SSA}$ in the submicron range could be further increased considering the different production mechanism of film droplets and jet droplets. For a mixture of surfactants, different compounds are thought to compete for surface area and the behavior of surfactant mixture is likely to be dominated by the component with the greatest surface activity.\textsuperscript{54,55} Because of the higher surface-area-to-volume ratio of finer film droplets, there would be a relatively larger total surface area for PFAAs on the film cap to partition to during the droplets formation, which may explain the correlations between EF and 1/d$_{50}$ observed for compounds with NPC $\leq$ 9. However, the mechanism by which submicron film droplets are formed upon bubble bursting remains unclear.\textsuperscript{52} Further research is necessary to improve understanding of PFAA enrichment behavior in this size range.

The EF$_{SSA}$ in particles with d$_{50}$ $\geq$ 1.64 µm in the present study were approximately 1 order of magnitude higher than the reported values for a similar size range (≥1.60 µm) by Johansson et al.\textsuperscript{17} The EF$_{SSA}$ in the submicron range were higher than the values of 0.029–0.99 µm in Johansson et al.\textsuperscript{17} as well but within the same order of magnitude. Moreover, the EF$_{SML}$ for PFCAs with NPC $\geq$ 7 and PFOS were about two times higher than the values reported by Johansson et al.\textsuperscript{17} though the experimental setup was the same and concentrations of the compounds were comparable. The differences might be due to the higher plunging jet flow rate (3.0 L min$^{-1}$) and longer experiment duration (30 h) in this study compared to Johansson et al.\textsuperscript{17} which was 1.7 L min$^{-1}$ and 6 h, respectively. A higher plunging jet flow would increase air entrainment\textsuperscript{46} so it might be more efficient in transferring PFAAs from the bulk water to the SML, leading to higher local concentration near the bubble bursting region.\textsuperscript{57} Therefore, if the water samples were collected from the upper part of the chamber water (e.g., 10–20 cm below the surface) instead of from the middle, the influence of jet rate on EF$_{SSA}$ might be reduced. In addition, due to the higher speed of the plunging jet, the air bubbles likely penetrate deeper in this study resulting in a longer path to the water surface. Previous studies using frits to generate air bubbles have demonstrated that higher airflow rate\textsuperscript{51} and longer bubble path\textsuperscript{58} could increase the transport of surface active organic matter to SML and to air. However, the effect of the plunging jet rate needs to be considered when extrapolating laboratory studies to the real conditions.

The results of the present study suggest that the variability in seawater concentrations of PFAAs has little influence on laboratory-derived EFs, and thus the findings of Johansson et al.\textsuperscript{17} remain valid, even for lower environmentally realistic PFAA seawater concentrations. Uncertainties remain, however, and further laboratory experiments and field evidences are required before the importance of this process on the global fate and transport of PFAAs and other substances can be confirmed and the process is well-parametrized for inclusion in global models. For example, the influence of the plunging jet rate and the interaction between PFAAs and organic matters in seawater should be investigated in future studies.
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