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ABSTRACT

Objective: Assessing the level of competence of nurses in anaesthesia care is important not only in ensuring the quality of anaesthesia care, but also in developing a competence-based nursing education programme. This study aimed to assess Finnish nurses’ competence in anaesthesia nursing and to describe factors associated with it. This study will provide knowledge to support a competence-based education approach to anaesthesia nursing.

Methods: A cross-sectional research design was used. A self-assessment (Anaesthesia Nursing Competence Scale) was developed for this study. The scale (39 items, 7 domains) used a Visual Analogue Scale (0 = not competent at all, 100 = excellent). Data were collected from registered nurses (n = 222) in anaesthesia departments at university hospitals in Finland (May-October 2017).

Results: The overall level of anaesthesia nursing competence was self-assessed as good (Mean 88, SD 9.0). Of the seven competence domains, collaboration within patient care was assessed as being the highest and knowledge of anaesthesia patient care the lowest. Longer work experience and completion of specialised anaesthesia nursing education were factors positively associated with anaesthesia nursing competence.

Conclusions: This study suggests that the general nursing education of nurses should provide more opportunities to improve nurses’ competence in the theoretical knowledge of anaesthesia. A specialised programme of anaesthesia nursing education at a master’s level might be one suggestion to meet the challenges in anaesthesia nursing in Finland. Further studies with different data collection methods such as observation, a knowledge test, or patient interviews would provide a more extensive picture of anaesthesia nursing competence.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Over the past two decades, it has been the aim of European nursing education to develop competence-based education and to harmonize the structures of nursing education through several reforms.1–4 However, despite such efforts, there are still differences in the field of anaesthesia nursing education as well as general nursing education in Europe.5,6

In order to practice as nurses in anaesthesia care, some European countries (e.g. France, Iceland, Norway, Sweden, and Switzerland) require nurses to have a post-registration qualification subsequent to their initial general nursing education; after which they are called nurse anaesthetists.2,5,6
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some countries including Finland, general registered nurses have entered into anaesthesia nursing practice without additional education. In Finland, the qualification to be a nurse (3.5 years of full-time study and 210 European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System) is provided at polytechnics (called universities of applied sciences) and is focused on a general registered nurses qualification (Bachelor of Health Care).[2,7] Anaesthesia departments in hospitals have then trained registered nurses through their own orientation programmes for anaesthesia nursing; after which they are called anaesthesia nurses.[2,8,9]

The goal of nurse education is to provide an appropriate theoretical and clinical experience to prepare nurses to meet the challenges of clinical practice.[3] It has been discussed that newly graduate registered nurses have very little experience and knowledge of anaesthesia nursing in Finland.[2,8,9] Therefore, a competence assessment of anaesthesia nurses is important to ensure the levels of nurse competence and to identify the fields of educational needs.[10–13] However, competence assessment is very challenging due to the variety of issues that must be considered such as the conceptualisation of competence and psychometric properties (reliabilities and validities) of the measurement.[14–16] One of the difficulties in competence assessment research is the definition of competence because it is defined differently by different occupations.[10,15,16] Based on the competence defined by the International Council of Nurses,[17] anaesthesia nursing competence can be defined as the combination of knowledge, skill, and judgement associated with anaesthesia nursing to perform effectively in the domain of possible encounters: ethics, risk care, technology, collaboration, medication, and anaesthesia nursing intervention.[14,18,19]

Previous research assessing competence in anaesthesia nursing has been limited to measuring 1) technical skills being representative of nurse performance in specific scenarios with patient simulators (e.g. bronchospasm, acute haemorrhage, hyperkalaemia),[20–23] non-technical skills (e.g. leadership, teamwork, and problem-solving) by evaluators’ observation,[24] 2) perception of the professional self (professionalism, trait, knowledge, empathy) by self-assessment,[25] or 3) knowledge demonstrated in written examinations (e.g. airway management, pharmacology, physiology).[23] The technical/non-technical skill-related studies have criticised the relationship between competence and performance, the evaluators’ reliability, and the limitations of rating scales when measuring multi-dimensional nurse competence. In the studies of the perception of professional self, it is unclear whether the professional self demonstrates competence or not. In the studies regarding written examination, the validity issue of the knowledge test was questioned because a negative correlation between the written examination scores and performance scores were found. Recent research in anaesthesia nursing has become more focused on scale development and validation related to separate non-technical skills.[26,27] However, competence in anaesthesia nursing can be characterised as a holistic conceptualisation including technical/non-technical skills, judgement, as well as theoretical knowledge in anaesthesia care.[17–19,28,29] Thus, there is a preference for using such an integrated concept of competence when assessing nurse competence in anaesthesia nursing.

Empirical studies investigating factors associated with nurse competence in anaesthesia care are scarce. Only two studies were found.[23,25] The first assessing nurse performance in simulation reported that age, length of work experience, practice setting, and prior exposure to simulation had no significant correlations with nurse performance;[23] however, the generalisation of the results of the study was limited due to the small number of the participants (n = 18). The second study based on self-assessment (n = 87)[25] described that the professional self was positively correlated with age and length of work experience, but not on gender. In the broader nursing profession such as perioperative nursing care, length of work experience and specialty education as post-registration education were related to self-assessed perioperative competence (n = 132).[30–32] However, there is a lack of anaesthesia nursing-specific evidence for identifying factors associated with anaesthesia nursing competence. Since the majority of the competence studies in anaesthesia nursing have been simulation-based studies with a small sample size, it was difficult to use an inferential statistical approach; there seems to be a lack of research on factors associated with competence. Among the assessment methods, self-assessment is considered to be a common approach in terms of time-saving and cost-effectiveness when measuring nurse competence in clinical settings,[6,7,10,15,29,31,33] and also enables a larger sample size to be used than simulation-based studies.

Therefore, the purpose of the study was to assess the anaesthesia nursing competence of anaesthesia nurses based on their self-assessment and to describe the factors associated with anaesthesia nursing competence. Competence assessment of anaesthesia nurses is a critical issue not only for the quality of anaesthesia care and patient safety, but also as a means of observing the outcomes of anaesthesia nursing education. The results will produce new knowledge to support a competence-based educational approach both in general nursing education and post-registration education for anaesthesia nursing.
The research questions were:
1) What is the level of anaesthesia nursing competence of nurses based on self-assessment?
2) What factors are associated with the anaesthesia nursing competence?

2. METHODS

2.1 Instrument-anaesthesia nursing competence scale
An Anaesthesia Nursing Competence Scale (AnestComp) was developed for this study because there was a lack of self-assessment instruments specifically measuring anaesthesia nursing competence. The theoretical structure and content of the scale were based on literature reviews and a Delphi study seeking the competence domains of anaesthesia nursing. Experts confirmed the face validity and content validity of the scale. The AnestComp was comprised of seven domains: ethics of anaesthesia care (5 items), patient risk care (5 items), patient engagement with technology (5 items), collaboration within patient care (5 items), anaesthesia patient care with medication (5 items), peri-anaesthesia nursing intervention (9 items), and knowledge of anaesthesia patient care (5 items). The scale was designed for participants to self-assess their level of competence in these 39 items by using a Visual Analogue Scale (VAS 0-100 mm); the VAS ranged from 0 (not competent at all) to 100 (excellent competence). To examine the feasibility of the scale, the electronic form of AnestComp was pre-tested with 32 anaesthesia nurses practicing in anaesthesia departments in a University hospital in Finland; item mean values on the VAS ranged from 73.0-97.8 and Cronbach’s α for sum variables indicated acceptable internal consistency: ethics of anaesthesia care (0.89), patient risk care (0.90), patient engagement with technology (0.70), collaboration within patient care (0.62), anaesthesia patient care with medication (0.83), peri-anaesthesia nursing intervention (0.91), and knowledge of anaesthesia patient care (0.84).

2.2 Data collection
A convenience sample of anaesthesia nurses (N = 431) were contacted between May and October in 2017. In this study, anaesthesia nurses refer to registered nurses who were trained for anaesthesia nursing through orientation programmes organised by anaesthesia departments in local hospitals in Finland. Anaesthesia nurses practicing in ORs and recovery rooms of all specialities in two of the five Finnish university hospitals were invited. Emails with the internet link for the survey (Webropol 2.0) were sent to nurse managers of the 14 operation units in the two University hospitals. After two of reminders had been sent, 31% (n = 132) of the target group replied. In order to increase the response rate, a paper and pencil version of the survey was prepared and those who did not respond via the electronic survey were allowed to participate in the paper and pencil survey. The response rate then increased to 52% (n = 222).

2.3 Ethical considerations
Ethical principles were followed as stated by the World Medical Association and ethical approval was granted by the ethics committee of the University (Statement 25/2017, 3rd of May 2017) in Finland. Research permissions to collect nurses’ data for the electronic survey was obtained from the two University hospitals in April 2017 (235/2017 26th of April 2017 and 005/17 27th of April 2017 respectively). Additional research permissions for a paper and pencil survey were confirmed by each University hospital in September 2017. In this study, returning the electronic/paper and pencil questionnaire was regarded as consent to participate in the survey.

2.4 Data analysis
IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows version 22.0 was used (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Sample characteristics and sum variables were summarised by descriptive statistics. In the study, correlations between anaesthesia nursing competence and background factors were calculated by Pearson’s correlation coefficients: age and length of work experience. The mean differences between two groups were calculated by two independent sample T-tests: gender and completion of specialised anaesthesia nursing education. In order to compare more than two groups, one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) with post hoc Tukey HSD test were used: groups categorised by length of work experience, educational degree level, continuing education level, and roles of perioperative nurses. Because the distribution of the data was slightly skewed, the results from the parametric tests were confirmed by non-parametric methods (Mann-Whitney U-test and Kruskall-Wallis test).

Multiple regression helps to understand how strongly factors contribute to explaining the anaesthesia nursing competence. The final regression model included only the variable significantly associated with anaesthesia nursing competence. Age was excluded from the final model due to the high correlation with work experience to avoid multicollinearity problems. A statistically significant level was considered to be \( p \leq .05 \).

In this study, the high values of Cronbach’s \( \alpha \) across the seven subscales is considered to indicate good reliability: ethics of anaesthesia care (0.84), patient risk care (0.94), patient engagement with technology (0.85), collaboration within patient care (0.83), anaesthesia patient care with medication (0.93), peri-anaesthesia nursing intervention (0.95), and knowledge of anaesthesia patient care (0.84).
and knowledge of anaesthesia patient care (0.88). The validity was confirmed by several construct validity tests (confirmatory factor analysis, exploratory factor analysis, and known-group technique); the psychometric properties of the AnestComp have been reported in a separate article.\[19\]

3. RESULTS

3.1 Sample and description of data
The sample consisted of 222 anaesthesia nurses. The mean age was 42 years (SD = 10.01) and the length of work experience in anaesthesia nursing was 13 years (SD = 9.63) (see Table 1). The mean duration of orientation for anaesthesia nursing was 3 months (SD=3.83). Most participants (94%, n = 209) had a bachelor’s degree and 6% (n = 13) had a master’s degree. As supplementary post-registration education, approximately 40% percent of participants (43%, n = 96) had completed a specialised anaesthesia/perioperative nursing programme. The majority of anaesthesia nurses (75%, n = 167) participated in occasional continuing education such as seminars or conference at least once a year.

3.2 Self-assessed level of anaesthesia nursing competence
The overall mean of anaesthesia nursing competence was 87.5 (SD = 9.0) (see Table 2). About 2% of anaesthesia nurses assessed their overall competence as between 50-59.9, 3% as 60-69.9, 13% as 70-79.9, 35% as 80-89.9, and 47% as over 90. The anaesthesia nurses reported that they were most competent in the domain of collaboration within patient care (M = 92.1, SD = 7.7). The domain with the least competence was reported as knowledge of anaesthesia patient care (M = 79.0, SD = 14.7).

3.3 Factors associated with the level of anaesthesia nursing competence
The overall competence of anaesthesia nurses was associated positively with three background factors: age, length of work experience in anaesthesia nursing, and completion of specialised anaesthesia nursing education. In this study, gender, educational degree level, continuing education (e.g. seminar/conference), and the role of perioperative nurses did not affect the level of anaesthesia nursing competence.

There was a positive, moderate correlation between the overall competence in anaesthesia nursing and age (r = .31, n = 220, p < .001). There was a significant effect of the length of work experience on the overall competence in anaesthesia nursing (F(3, 218) = 8.09, p < .001). The level of anaesthesia nursing competence was compared to the length of work experience (under 2 years, 2-4.9 years, 5-9.9 years, and over 10 years) by using the Tukey HSD test. Anaesthesia nurses with under two years’ work experience (M = 75.9, SD = 11.8) self-assessed their anaesthesia nursing competence as being significantly lower than those of nurses who had over two years’ work experience. The mean score for the 2-4.9 years (M = 83.8, SD = 8.6) was significantly lower than that over 10 years (M = 89.8, SD = 7.5) but did not significantly differ from the 5-9.9 years (M = 87.6, SD = 7.4). Additionally, the mean score for the 5-9.9 years did not significantly differ from that over 10 years (see Table 3). In addition, the nurses with specialised anaesthesia nursing education self-assessed their anaesthesia nursing competence as being significantly higher than those without specialised education (t(220) = 3.78, p < .001) (see Table 4).

| Table 1. Sample characteristics |
|--------------------------------|
| Anaesthesia nurse (n = 222)    |
| Mean (range)                  |
| Age                           |
| 41.6 (23-62)                  |
| 10.01                         |
| Length of work experience, years |
| Anaesthesia nursing care      |
| 13.1 (0.1-38.0)               |
| 9.63                          |
| Other experience in health care |
| 4.6 (0-26.0)                 |
| 5.71                          |
| Duration of orientation, months |
| 3.2 (0-36)                    |
| 3.83                          |
| Work experience in anaesthesia care (years) |
| Under 2.0                     |
| 18                           |
| 8.1                          |
| 2.0-4.9                      |
| 32                           |
| 14.4                         |
| 5.0-9.9                      |
| 44                           |
| 19.8                         |
| Over 10.0                    |
| 128                          |
| 57.7                         |
| Gender                        |
| Female                       |
| 191                          |
| 86.0                         |
| Male                         |
| 31                           |
| 14.0                         |
| Educational degree level      |
| Bachelor degree (or equivalent) |
| 209                          |
| 94.1                         |
| Master degree                |
| 13                           |
| 5.9                          |
| Doctoral degree              |
| -                            |
| Specialised anaesthesia nursing education programme* |
| Yes                          |
| 96                           |
| 43.2                         |
| No                           |
| 126                          |
| 56.8                         |
| Continuing education (In a year)† |
| None                         |
| 55                           |
| 24.8                         |
| 1-2days                      |
| 103                          |
| 46.4                         |
| 3-4days                      |
| 46                           |
| 20.7                         |
| Over 5days                   |
| 18                           |
| 8.1                          |
| Role of perioperative nurse  |
| Anaesthesia only             |
| 54                           |
| 24.4                         |
| Anaesthesia & Recovery room  |
| 114                          |
| 51.6                         |
| Anaesthesia & Recovery room & scrub/circulation nurse |
| 53                           |
| 24.0                         |

* Post-registration nurse education for anaesthesia care. Duration 1-2 years. 30-60 ECTS (European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System) †: Occasional education such as seminars or conferences

The length of work experience and completion of specialised anaesthesia nursing education were independently associated with overall competence in anaesthesia nursing (see Table 5). In total, these two determinants explained 12.2% of the variance in anaesthesia nursing competence ($R^2 = 0.12$, Model F (2, 217) = 15.14, p < .001).
Table 2. Anaesthesia nursing competence (VAS) of anaesthesia nurses (n = 222)

| Subscale & Abbreviated item                                      | Mean  | SD   |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------|
| **Ethics of anaesthesia care**                                   | 84.4  | 11.2 |
| 1. Supporting a patient’s decision-making                       | 79.3  | 18.0 |
| 2. Providing information to a patient                           | 84.2  | 13.7 |
| 3. Advocating for the patient’s safety                          | 85.2  | 14.6 |
| 4. Protecting the patient’s privacy                             | 87.7  | 12.2 |
| 5. Empowering the patient                                       | 85.6  | 14.1 |
| **Patient risk care**                                           | 82.8  | 12.5 |
| 6. Anticipating the patient’s risk potentiality                  | 84.1  | 13.8 |
| 7. Identifying patient’s acute adverse event                    | 82.8  | 13.4 |
| 8. Assessing how severe an acute adverse event is in a patient  | 79.4  | 14.8 |
| 9. Prioritizing actions immediately                             | 83.1  | 14.2 |
| 10. Following up the patient’s condition                        | 84.7  | 13.9 |
| **Patient engagement with technology**                          | 90.3  | 9.0  |
| 11. Checking anaesthesia-machines/technologies required for a patient | 91.7  | 10.4 |
| 12. Identifying the patient’s needs through monitoring          | 90.9  | 9.1  |
| 13. Seeing the patient as a human being, not just as a part of technology | 91.0  | 11.7 |
| 14. Using a technical equipment to meet the patient’s needs     | 89.3  | 10.9 |
| 15. Checking the accuracy of documented patient data            | 88.1  | 14.6 |
| **Collaboration within patient care**                           | 92.1  | 7.7  |
| 16. Doing check-list with a patient                             | 89.9  | 12.6 |
| 17. Seeking assistance from your anaesthesia colleagues         | 94.2  | 8.0  |
| 18. Communicating professionally with an anaesthesiologist      | 93.6  | 7.4  |
| 19. Sharing patient’s information with OR team                  | 91.9  | 9.4  |
| 20. Coordinating patient’s care with PACU team                  | 90.5  | 12.6 |
| **Anaesthesia patient care with medication**                    | 90.6  | 8.8  |
| 21. Planning patient’s anaesthesiological medication needs      | 88.6  | 11.8 |
| 22. Assessing patient’s needs for medication                    | 89.2  | 9.9  |
| 23. Administering anaesthesiological medication safely for patient | 92.5  | 8.6  |
| 24. Evaluating anaesthesiological medication’s effectiveness   | 90.0  | 10.1 |
| 25. Documentation of medication in the correct manner           | 92.7  | 9.1  |
| **Peri-anaesthesia nursing intervention**                       | 90.9  | 8.8  |
| 26. Relieving patient’s anxiety related to anaesthesia          | 88.8  | 12.0 |
| 27. Maintaining patient’s breathing/ventilating                 | 91.7  | 9.5  |
| 28. Maintaining patient’s blood circulation                    | 91.8  | 9.5  |
| 29. Maintaining patient’s body temperature                     | 90.0  | 11.7 |
| 30. Maintaining patient’s position                             | 88.3  | 12.6 |
| 31. Maintaining patient’s depth of anaesthesia                  | 91.3  | 10.5 |
| 32. Maintaining patient’s neuromuscular relaxation             | 91.8  | 9.6  |
| 33. Relieving patient’s pain                                   | 92.4  | 8.3  |
| 34. Relieving patient’s nausea and vomiting                    | 91.9  | 9.2  |
| **Knowledge of anaesthesia patient care**                       | 79.0  | 14.7 |
| 35. Knowledge of different types of anaesthesia techniques       | 87.9  | 11.4 |
| 36. Knowledge of anatomy relevant to anaesthesia techniques     | 81.8  | 15.4 |
| 37. Knowledge of difficult airway management                    | 83.7  | 15.7 |
| 38. Knowledge of legislation relevant to anaesthesia            | 68.1  | 23.3 |
| 39. Knowledge of economic efficiency in anaesthesia care        | 73.1  | 21.4 |
| **Overall competence**                                         | 87.5  | 9.0  |
Table 3. Anaesthesia nursing competence (VAS) by years of work experience (n = 222)

| Domains                          | Group (mean, SD) by years of work experience | F     | p-value† |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------|----------|
|                                 | Under 2.0 (n = 18)                          |       |          |
| Ethics of anaesthesia care      | 77.3 (13.3)                                 |       |          |
| Patient risk care               | 67.3 (17.3)                                 |       |          |
| Patient engagement with technology | 80.1 (10.4)                              |       |          |
| Collaboration within patient care | 84.2 (11.0)                             |       |          |
| Anaesthesia patient care with medication | 79.6 (12.5)                       |       |          |
| Peri-anaesthesia nursing intervention | 79.0 (13.0)                     |       |          |
| Knowledge of anaesthesia patient care | 65.4 (13.0)                       |       |          |
| Overall competence              | 75.9 (11.8)                                 |       |          |
|                                 | 2.0-4.9 (n = 32)                           |       |          |
| Ethics of anaesthesia care      | 79.86 (12.1)                                |       |          |
| Patient risk care               | 76.98 (11.5)                                |       |          |
| Patient engagement with technology | 87.51 (8.9)                              |       |          |
| Collaboration within patient care | 91.35 (7.1)                             |       |          |
| Anaesthesia patient care with medication | 82.7 (13.1)                       |       |          |
| Peri-anaesthesia nursing intervention | 90.7 (8.9)                             |       |          |
| Knowledge of anaesthesia patient care | 91.0 (6.3)                          |       |          |
| Overall competence              | 83.81 (8.6)                                 |       |          |
|                                 | 5.0-9.9 (n = 44)                           |       |          |
| Ethics of anaesthesia care      | 83.5 (11.4)                                 |       |          |
| Patient risk care               | 82.7 (13.1)                                 |       |          |
| Patient engagement with technology | 90.7 (8.9)                              |       |          |
| Collaboration within patient care | 91.8 (6.9)                             |       |          |
| Anaesthesia patient care with medication | 91.0 (6.3)                       |       |          |
| Peri-anaesthesia nursing intervention | 92.2 (7.8)                             |       |          |
| Knowledge of anaesthesia patient care | 92.4 (8.0)                          |       |          |
| Overall competence              | 87.6 (7.4)                                  |       |          |
|                                 | Over 10 (n = 128)                          |       |          |
| Ethics of anaesthesia care      | 86.9 (10.1)                                 |       |          |
| Patient risk care               | 86.5 (9.2)                                  |       |          |
| Patient engagement with technology | 92.2 (7.8)                              |       |          |
| Collaboration within patient care | 93.4 (6.9)                             |       |          |
| Anaesthesia patient care with medication | 92.4 (8.0)                       |       |          |
| Peri-anaesthesia nursing intervention | 93.2 (6.8)                             |       |          |
| Knowledge of anaesthesia patient care | 82.5 (12.7)                      |       |          |
| Overall competence              | 89.8 (7.5)                                  |       |          |

† One-way ANOVA, *p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001. Post hoc Tukey HSD test: under 2yrs had mean difference with all other (p < .001)

Table 4. Anaesthesia nursing competence (VAS) by specialised anaesthesia nursing education (n = 222)

| Domains                          | Group (mean, SD) by completion of specialised anaesthesia nursing education | t (df) | p-value† |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|----------|
|                                 | Yes (n = 96)                                                              |       |          |
| Ethics of anaesthesia care      | 87.4 (10.0)                                                              |       |          |
| Patient risk care               | 85.9 (12.0)                                                              |       |          |
| Patient engagement with technology | 92.1 (8.4)                                                             |       |          |
| Collaboration within patient care | 93.3 (7.4)                                                             |       |          |
| Anaesthesia patient care with medication | 92.2 (8.2)                |       |          |
| Peri-anaesthesia nursing intervention | 92.8 (8.3)                |       |          |
| Knowledge of anaesthesia patient care | 83.8 (13.3)               |       |          |
| Overall competence              | 89.9 (8.4)                                                               |       |          |
|                                 | No (n = 125)                                                             |       |          |
| Ethics of anaesthesia care      | 82.1 (11.9)                                                              |       |          |
| Patient risk care               | 80.5 (12.3)                                                              |       |          |
| Patient engagement with technology | 88.9 (9.2)                                                             |       |          |
| Collaboration within patient care | 91.1 (7.8)                                                             |       |          |
| Anaesthesia patient care with medication | 89.4 (9.1)                |       |          |
| Peri-anaesthesia nursing intervention | 89.4 (8.9)                |       |          |
| Knowledge of anaesthesia patient care | 75.3 (14.7)               |       |          |
| Overall competence              | 85.5 (8.9)                                                               |       |          |

† Independent sample T-test, *p < .05, **p<.01, ***p < .001

Table 5. The independent determinants of anaesthesia nursing competence (n = 219)

| Determinant of competence- multiple linear model | B     | SE    | β     | T     | p-value† |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------|
| Constant                                        | 83.1  | 0.99  | 83.956|       | < .001***|
| Work experience in anaesthesia care (year)      | 0.26  | 0.06  | 0.27  | 4.035 | < .001***|
| Specialised anaesthesia nursing education (0 = no, 1 = yes) | 2.58  | 1.20  | 0.15  | 2.156 | .032**   |

R² = .122. Adj-R² = .114 Model F (2, 217) = 15.146, p < .0001

† Multiple linear regression, *p < .05, **p<.01, ***p < .001; Abbreviations: B = Regression coefficients, SE = standard error, β = standardised regression coefficient

4. DISCUSSION

In this study, the anaesthesia nursing competence of nurses was assessed with the goal of producing knowledge to support competence-based education in general nursing and post-registration nursing education. The overall competence of anaesthesia nurses was considered to be good. They self-assessed that they were most competent in collaboration within patient care and least competent in knowledge of anaesthesia patient care. Anaesthesia nurses who had longer work experience and specialised anaesthesia nursing education self-assessed themselves to have a higher competence.

In this study, the mean of anaesthesia nurses’ overall competence level using VAS (0 = not competent at all, 100 = excellent) was 88. Finnish polytechnics have used a grading scale 0-5 (0 = fail, 5 = excellent)[37] where 4 is good and comparable to a VAS score of 80. In previous research, a score of more than 70 on a 100 mm VAS (0=unsatisfactory, 100=outstanding) was used as a standard expected for a nurse with independent responsibility for patient care.[21] Based on Finnish polytechnic grading scale and the previous research, scores of 88 as overall levels of anaesthesia nurse competence can be seen as good and assumed to meet the expected level. However, it might be difficult to define an acceptable level for anaesthesia nursing in actual clinical situations. Patients certainly want to be treated with high quality care and
their anaesthesia procedures to be done flawlessly. Experts who have an excellent level of competence can grasp situations as a whole with a deep understanding and their performance is not fragmented but holistic and thus patient satisfaction can be increased. Accordingly, the levels of anaesthesia nurse competence are ultimately expected to be excellent in all the competence domains.

In terms of the levels of individual domains, it is worth mentioning that collaboration within patient care (VAS 92.1) was the domain considered as being the most competent. Several studies have emphasised that collaboration and teamwork should not be underestimated in order to prevent critical errors and to promote quality of care in the operating room environment. Finnish anaesthesia nurses are regarded as providing patient care in close cooperation and co-decision making with their colleagues. The nurses’ collaboration within patient care might be the basis for anaesthesiologists being able to take responsibility for two operating rooms at the same time. Whereas, knowledge of anaesthesia patient care (VAS 79.0) was self-assessed as being the least competent domain. It is considered that anaesthesia nurses’ perception concerning their competence in knowledge did not meet their expectation when compared to other competence domains. In Finland, anaesthesia departments in local hospitals have trained registered nurses to be competent in anaesthesia patient care. The results indicate that the hospital-based anaesthesia nurse training might not sufficient to develop the knowledge-based competence of nurses. It is necessary to note that there are huge differences in the provision of theoretical studies related to anaesthesia nursing among Finnish polytechnics. Therefore, it is recommended for polytechnics to harmonise a minimum coverage of theoretical studies concerning anaesthesia nursing during the general nurse education.

Factors associated with the level of anaesthesia nursing competence were the length of work experience and completion of specialised anaesthesia nursing education. Many studies have previously described the significance of work experience and additional education in relation to higher self-assessed competence; this sample of Finnish anaesthesia nurses confirmed this relationship.

Anaesthesia nurses with under two-years of work experience self-assessed their competence as significantly lower in all domains compared to the more experienced nurses. In particular, the novice nurse group assessed their least competence domain as patient risk care (VAS 67) and knowledge of anaesthesia patient care (VAS 65). Novice nurses may have few opportunities to be exposed to critical situations because emergencies are prevented by detecting precursors before acute adverse events arise. Therefore, novice nurses need regular opportunities to practice developing their competence in critical situation. Training in a simulated environment can be one option to improve and ensure competence regarding patient risk care.

Additionally, education in specialised anaesthesia nursing was identified as a factor related to higher anaesthesia nursing competence. In this study, 43% of anaesthesia nurses (n = 96) who completed post-registration specialised nurse programmes (30-60ECTS) had higher levels of anaesthesia nursing competence across all competence domains than those without specialised education. The domains of the ethics of anaesthesia care, patient risk care, and knowledge of anaesthesia patient care were especially reported as being significantly higher than those with no specialised education. It should be noted that these three domains were the domains of least competence as assessed by the nurse group with under two-years of work experience. This might indicate that such post-registration education can have a key role in supporting and developing such competence domains; these domains require considerable time and resources from the anaesthesia practice of each hospital.

Higher education enhances competence through both theoretical and clinical knowledge and better educated nurses have advanced skills as regards clinical judgement and problem solving. Globally, nurse anaesthetists who are specially educated in anaesthesia care contribute to anaesthesia nursing practice in a team with the anaesthesiologists. Some specialised anaesthesia nursing education programmes in the Nordic countries and USA have granted a Master degree. Unlike these countries, specialised anaesthesia nurse education is optional in Finland. Most of the head anaesthesiologists and head nurses (87%) in Finland reported that the current general nurse education for anaesthesia nurses is not sufficient to allow them to perform anaesthesia care immediately after graduation. Moreover, each anaesthesia department in the hospital has had to invest a considerable amount of time in the training of newly graduated nurses. In order to solve this difficulty and provide equivalent anaesthesia care nationally and internationally, development of a specialised anaesthesia nursing education granting a master’s degree has been suggested.

**Limitations**

This study has some limitations.

1) This was the first study to self-assess anaesthesia nurses’ competence in anaesthesia nursing. The developed instrument (AnestComp) was used for the first time in the study. However, the fact that the AnestComp was used for the first time can be a limitation in terms of scale validation. Further...
empirical studies need to be made to ensure the soundness of the scale.

2) The convenience sampling and response rate can be limitations. Sampling from two of the five University hospitals and the 52% response rate have limitations as to the representativeness of the sample among Finnish anaesthesia nurses. These limitations should be considered when generalising the results. However, these two University hospitals take responsibility for surgical procedures for one third of the Finnish population and endeavours were made to increase the response rate using additional data collection.

3) The study results were based on a self-assessment method. Self-assessment is frequently criticised due to a lack of objectiveness [6,7,12,28]. For self-assessment, participants need to consider their anaesthesia nursing practice in comparison to their own practicing environment. [7,10] Although it was expected that they were realistic in their assessment, some might have under-estimated or over-estimated their competence.

5. CONCLUSION

This study assessed the level of anaesthesia nursing competence, described factors associated with the competence, and discussed fields for competence development and educational needs. Although the overall competence of the anaesthesia nurses was represented as good, there are still areas that require developing as regards anaesthesia nurse competence. In particular, knowledge of anaesthesia patient care and patient risk care should be improved so as to enhance the quality of anaesthesia care and patient safety. In order to do this, it is recommended that the minimum requirements for theoretical and clinical studies for anaesthesia nursing are harmonised between the polytechnics. Hospital-based job orientation and training for anaesthesia nurses needs to pay more attention to competence development in theoretical knowledge and provide regular simulated practice to cope with acute situations. This study suggests that the introduction of a specialised anaesthesia nursing education granting a master’s degree could be a solution to meeting the current challenges in anaesthesia nursing in Finland.

As regards further studies, it would be worth assessing anaesthesia nursing competence using samples from different educational levels (e.g. nursing students, registered nurses, and nurse anaesthetists) and different countries in order to identify educational outcomes of each education model. In future studies, multiple assessments such as peer-review, observation, and knowledge-testing together with self-assessment would provide a wider picture of anaesthesia nursing competence in order to support a competence-based education approach.
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