Centesimal and mineral composition of the fruit in Brazilian genotypes of feijoa (Acca sellowiana)

Cassandro Vidal Talamini do Amarante¹, Alexandra Goede de Souza², Cristiano André Steffens¹, Thalita Dal Toé Benincá³

Abstract - This research was carried out to evaluate centesimal [dry matter (DM), ash, and crude contents of proteins (CP), fats (CFt) and fiber (CFb)] and mineral composition (Ca, Mg, N, P, K, Fe, Zn, Cu and Mn) of peel and flesh tissues of feijoa fruit (cultivars Alcântara, Mattos, Helena and Nonante, and accession 2316). The genotypes had, in the flesh, DM of 12.10-15.40%, ash of 0.33-0.40%, CP of 0.95-1.45%, CFt of 0.56-1.72%, and CFb of 1.39-2.43%, and in the peel DM of 12.8-17.27%, ash of 0.30-1.49%, CP of 0.56-0.88%, CFt of 0.57-0.90%, and CFb of 2.54-3.98%. The contents of Ca, Mg, K, N and P in the peel were 96-116, 20-30, 1588-3635, 1096-1643 and 68-83 mg kg⁻¹ FW, respectively, and in the flesh were 56-92, 17-29, 1358-2917, 2017-3384 and 255-374 mg kg⁻¹ FW, respectively. Among the micronutrients, Fe had the highest content in peel and flesh tissues (~3.5 mg kg⁻¹ FW). The results show that feijoa fruit represent a dietary source of CP, CFt and CFb, as well as of minerals, especially of P and N in the flesh, and of K and Fe in the peel and flesh tissues.

Index terms: Feijoa sellowiana, ash, fiber, fat, nutrient, protein.

Composição centesimal e mineral dos frutos em genótipos brasileiros de goiabeira-serrana (Acca sellowiana)

Resumo – O objetivo deste trabalho foi avaliar a composição centesimal [matéria seca (MS), matéria mineral (MM), proteína bruta (PB), gordura bruta (GB) e fibra bruta (FB)] e mineral (Ca, Mg, N, P, K, Fe, Zn, Cu e Mn) nos tecidos da casca e da polpa dos frutos de goiabeira-serrana (cultivares Alcântara, Mattos, Helena e Nonante, e acesso 2316). Os genótipos apresentaram, na polpa, MS de 12,10-15,40%, MM de 0,33-0,40%, PB de 0,95-1,45%, GB de 0,56-1,72% e FB de 1,39-2,43%, e na casca MS de 12,80-17,27%, MM de 0,30-1,49%, PB de 0,56-0,88%, GB de 0,57-0,97% e FB de 2,54-3,98%. Os teores de Ca, Mg, K, N e P, na casca, foram de 96-116, 20-30, 1,588-3,635, 1,096-1,643 e 68-83 mg kg⁻¹ de matéria fresca (MF), respectivamente, e na polpa, de 56-92, 17-29, 1,358-2,917, 2,017-3,384 e 255-374 mg kg⁻¹ MF, respectivamente. Dentre os micronutrientes, o Fe apresentou os maiores teores na casca e na polpa (~3,5 mg kg⁻¹ MF). Os resultados mostram que a goiaba-serrana representa importante fonte de PB, GB e FB na dieta humana, bem como de minerais, em especial de N e P na polpa, e de K e Fe na casca e na polpa.

Termos para indexação: Feijoa sellowiana, matéria mineral, fibra, gordura, nutriente, proteína.
Introduction

The daily consumption of fruits helps in the prevention of many diseases due to their nutritional and therapeutic value (SOERJOMATARAM et al., 2010). In addition to traditional fruits, there are many fruit of native species with great potential for human consumption, being required the characterization of their nutritional and functional properties, especially of traditionally unconsumed parts, such as skin and seeds. The content of nutrients and functional compounds may be higher in the skin or other non-consumed parts of the fruit than in the flesh (edible) tissue (IGNAT et al., 2011; AMARANTE et al., 2017a; AMARANTE et al., 2017b).

Feijoa [Acca sellowiana (Berg.) Burret, synonym Feijoa sellowiana Berg.] is a small evergreen tree belonging to the Myrtaceae family, native from southern of South America (south of Brasil, north of Argentina and western of Paraguai and Uruguai) (ARIOLI et al., 2018). Feijoa is cultivated in several regions of the world, and the fruit is appreciated for the unique flavor and aroma. In addition, feijoa fruit has antimicrobial, antitumoral, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, gastroprotective and hepatoprotective action (WESTON, 2010; MONFORTE et al., 2014; AMARANTE et al., 2017a; AMARANTE et al., 2017b). Feijoa has great prospects for commercial cultivation, for fruit consumption in natura, as well as in processed forms of fleshs, ice creams, sweets and teas. The consumption of fruit skin, a traditionally non-edible part of feijoa fruit, can be an important source of nutrients, especially when it is included in differentiated recipes, justifying the characterization of its composition.

In Brasil, especially in the State of Santa Catarina, feijoa is cultivated in small areas and with seed-propagated trees. However, the quality of fruit from seed-propagated trees varies considerably from one seedling tree to the next. Vegetative propagation (from cutting, by grafting or by micropropagation) of superior genotypes of feijoa is essential to achieve uniform, high-quality fruit for future use (ARIOLI et al., 2018).

This study characterizes the centesimal and mineral composition of the skin and flesh tissues of the fruit in superior Brazilian genotypes of feijoa.

Materials and methods

Feijoa fruit were harvested from an orchard of Empresa de Pesquisa Agropecuária e Extensão Rural de Santa Catarina (EPAGRI), Experimental Station of São Joaquim, State of Santa Catarina (28° 16’ 40.02” S, 49° 56’ 09.10” W and altitude of 1,400 m) planted in 2007. Fruit of uniform size and free of damage of four cultivars (Alcântara, Helena, Mattos and Nonante) and one accession (2316, with potential to be launched as a cultivar) were harvested in the middle portion of the tree canopy (from all quadrants), in 2012, 2013 and 2014. Ninety fruit of each cultivar were harvested at the commercial maturity, at the touch picking stage (‘Alcântara’ in the second fortnight of March, ‘Mattos’ in the first fortnight of April, ‘Helena’ and the accession 2316 in the second fortnight of April, and ‘Nonante’ in the first fortnight of May), and then immediately transported to the laboratory for analyses.

Fruit of all genotypes were harvested from trees cultivated in the same orchard and, therefore, subjected to the same nutrition and management conditions. The soil in the experimental area is a Humic Cambissol (Inceptisol), with the following physicochemical properties: clay = 250 g dm$^{-3}$; organic matter = 69 g dm$^{-3}$; pH in H$_2$O = 5.8; P = 4.2 mg dm$^{-3}$; K = 2.51 mmol$_{eq}$ dm$^{-3}$; Ca = 17 mmol$_{eq}$ dm$^{-3}$; and Mg = 8 mmol$_{eq}$ dm$^{-3}$. Nitrogen fertilization along the experimental period (top-dressing at 30 kg ha$^{-1}$ N) was carried out in November and January. Cultural and phytosanitary management followed the recommendations of Epagri for commercial production of feijoa (ARIOLI et al., 2018).

Fruit were separated into skin (external epidermis + hypodermic layers + external parenchyma, originated from the hypanphium) and flesh (internal epidermis + internal parenchyma + ovarian locus + fleshy tegument + placental bundle + seeds, originated from ovary development) tissues, and samples were then frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -30 °C until analysis.

The analysis of the centesimal composition consisted in quantifying dry matter (DM), ash, crude protein (CP), crude fat (CFt) and crude fiber (CFb). DM was assessed after drying the sample at 65 °C until constant weight, and ash content by incineration of the sample in a muffle at 550 °C. CP was evaluated by the Kjeldahl method (ASSOCIATION OF OFFICIAL ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY - AOAC, 1995), after multiplying nitrogen content by 6.25. CFt was assessed gravimetrically after extraction with chloroform and methanol (BLIGH; DYER, 1959), and CFb content was evaluated by the method proposed by Van Soest et al. (1981). Values of CP, CFt and CFb were expressed as percentage of fresh weight (FW).

Samples were assessed for total contents (mg kg$^{-1}$ FW) of the macronutrients calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), potassium (K), nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P), and of the micronutrients iron (Fe), copper (Cu), zinc (Zn), and stored at -30 oC until analysis.
and manganese (Mn). Nitrogen content was assessed by the Kjeldahl method, P content by spectrophotometry, K content by flame photometry, and the contents of Ca, Mg, Fe, Cu, Zn and Mn by atomic absorption spectrophotometry (TEDESCO et al., 1995).

The experiment followed a completely randomized design, with eight replications for centesimal analyses and ten replications for mineral analyses, each repetition consisting of five fruits. As data of all variables were consistent throughout the years, only mean data from the three years were subjected to analysis of variance. The genotypes were compared for the variables analyzed in each fruit tissue (skin and flesh) by Tukey’s test (P < 0.05), with the program SAS (SAS UNIVERSITY EDITION, 2017).

**Results and discussion**

The data of the centesimal composition showed, in all genotypes, higher CFb values in the skin and higher CP values in the flesh, without substantial difference between these tissues for the DM (Table 1).

### Table 1 – Centesimal composition of the fruit (skin and flesh tissues) in superior Brazilian genotypes of feijoa (*Acca sellowiana*). Average values for fruit harvested in 2012, 2013 and 2014.

| Genotype       | Dry matter (%) | Ash (%)* | Crude protein (%)* | Crude fat (%)* | Crude fibre (%)* |
|----------------|----------------|----------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|
|                |                |          | Skin               |                |                 |
| Alcântara      | 12.8 d         | 0.81 b   | 0.88 a             | 0.57 c         | 2.5 d           |
| Helena         | 15.8 b         | 1.49 a   | 0.74 a             | 0.70 bc        | 4.0 a           |
| Mattos         | 16.1 b         | 0.85 b   | 0.77 a             | 0.69 bc        | 2.8 c           |
| Nonante        | 17.3 a         | 0.30 d   | 0.79 a             | 0.97 a         | 3.9 a           |
| Accession 2316 | 13.7 c         | 0.49 c   | 0.56 b             | 0.73 a         | 3.1 b           |
| Mean           | 15.1           | 0.79     | 0.75               | 0.73           | 3.3             |
| CV (%)         | 11.2           | 53.1     | 17.0               | 19.5           | 18.3            |
|                |                |          |                    |                |                 |
|                |                |          | Frost               |                |                 |
| Alcântara      | 12.1 d         | 0.39 a   | 1.43 a             | 0.79 c         | 1.6 b           |
| Helena         | 13.5 c         | 0.33 a   | 1.05 b             | 0.74 c         | 1.4 c           |
| Mattos         | 15.4 a         | 0.37 a   | 0.95 b             | 0.56 d         | 1.7 b           |
| Nonante        | 15.0 a         | 0.39 a   | 1.07 b             | 1.06 b         | 2.4 a           |
| Accession 2316 | 14.3 b         | 0.40 a   | 1.45 a             | 1.72 a         | 1.7 b           |
| Mean           | 14.0           | 0.38     | 1.19               | 0.98           | 1.7             |
| CV (%)         | 8.8            | 11.3     | 19.1               | 43.3           | 24.5            |

*Values expressed in % of FW. Values followed by the same letter within the columns are not different by Tukey’s test (p<0.05).

With the exception of ‘Nonante’, the skin had higher ash content than the flesh (especially ‘Helena’, with ash value ~4.5 higher in the skin than in the flesh tissue). With the exception of ‘Mattos’, CFt values were higher in flesh than in the skin.

The DM ranged from 12.80 to 17.27% in the skin, and from 12.10 to 15.40% in the flesh, depending on genotype (Table 1). These DM values are similar to those reported in the flesh of feijoa in Colombia (16.2%) (LETERME et al., 2006), and in whole fruit in Spain (16.6%) and New Zealand (11-16%) (ROMERO-RODRIGUEZ et al., 1994). Monforte et al. (2014) reported lower DM in the flesh (19.4%) than in the skin (24.3%) of feijoa in Italy.

The ash content was different between genotypes only in the skin, with values varying from 0.30% (‘Nonante’) to 1.49% (‘Helena’), while the flesh had average ash content of 0.38% (Table 1). In feijoa, ash contents (on a FW basis) of 0.20-0.40% were reported in whole fruits (ROMERO-RODRIGUEZ et al., 1994; MONFORTE et al., 2014), and of 0.80% in the flesh (LETTERME et al., 2006).

The average CP content of all genotypes was 1.19% in the flesh and 0.75% in the skin (Table 1). The accession 2316 and ‘Alcântara’ had the highest CP contents in the flesh (respectively, 1.45% and 1.43%), without difference for this attribute between the other genotypes (with CP contents varying from 0.95% to 1.07%). Kinupp and Barros (2008) reported in the flesh of feijoa of wild occurrence in southern Brazil, much lower values of CP (0.12%). This difference may be due to the genetic improvement of Brazilian genotypes studied, aiming superior quality attributes and higher flesh yield, differently from fruit of feijoa of wild occurrence in southern Brazil. In the skin,
accession 2316 had the lowest CP content (0.56%), while the other genotypes had similar CP content (varying from 0.74% to 0.88%). There is no report in literature regarding CP content in the skin of feijoa fruit. CP contents in whole fruits of 0.5-1.0% and 1.1% (on a DW basis) have been reported in New Zealand and Spain, respectively (ROMERO-RODRIGUEZ et al., 1994).

As observed for CP, on average of all genotypes, CFt contents were higher in the flesh (0.98%) than in the skin (0.73%) (Table 1). In the flesh, CFt content ranged from 0.56% (‘Mattos’) to 1.72% (accession 2316). In the skin, CFt contents ranged from 0.69% (‘Mattos’) to 0.97% (‘Nonante’). Romero-Rodriguez et al. (1994) reported CFt contents (on a DW basis) in whole fruits of 0.08% in Spain, and of 0.30-0.40% in New Zealand. The CFt contents in the flesh of feijoa are similar to those reported in other fruits, such as ‘guabiroba’ (Campomanesia adamantium) (0.55%) (ALVES et al., 2013) and mango (Mangifera indica) (0.61%) (MARQUES et al., 2010), and higher than those reported in blackberry (Rubus sp.) (0.15-0.30%, depending on genotype) (HIRSCH et al., 2012).

Feijoa fruit are rich source of fiber, with CFb values varying from 2.54% (‘Alcântara’) to 3.98% (‘Helena’) in the skin, and from 1.39% (‘Helena’) to 2.43% (‘Nonante’) in the flesh (Table 1). The variation in CFb content between feijoa genotypes reflects genetic variability, as reported in cultivars of blackberry (HIRSCH et al., 2012). Romero-Rodriguez et al. (1994) reported in whole feijoa fruit, CFb contents (on a DW basis) of 3.8-4.3% and 5.0% in New Zealand and Spain, respectively. The CFb values in the flesh of feijoa genotypes (Table 1) are similar or superior to those of other fruits, such as orange (0.8%), papaya (1.0%), mango (1.6%), and banana (2.0%) (STORCK et al., 2013). Fruits of “umbu-cajá” (Spondias tuberosa) and “guabiroba” (Compomanesia xantocarpa), both native in Brazil, also have high contents of CFb (respectively, 1.36% and 6.3%) (VALLILO et al., 2008; SANTOS et al., 2010).

The contents of macronutrients in the peel and flesh tissues of the fruit were different between genotypes (Table 2). On average of all genotypes, the contents of Mg in both, skin and flesh tissues, were ~ 22 mg kg\(^{-1}\) FW, while Ca and K contents were, respectively, 108 and 2868 mg kg\(^{-1}\) FW in the skin, and 81 and 2187 mg kg\(^{-1}\) FW in the flesh (Table 2). The contents of N were ~1.9 times higher in the flesh (2686 mg kg\(^{-1}\) FW) than in the skin (1427 mg kg\(^{-1}\) FW). Similarly, the contents of P were ~4.1 times higher in the flesh (308 mg kg\(^{-1}\) DW) than in the skin (75 mg kg\(^{-1}\) DW).

### Table 2 – Contents of macronutrients and micronutrients in the fruit (skin and flesh tissues; mg kg\(^{-1}\) FW) in superior Brazilian genotypes of feijoa (Acca sellowiana). Average values for fruit harvested in 2012, 2013 and 2014.

| Genotype   | Ca    | Mg    | K     | N     | P     | Fe      | Zn      | Cu      | Mn      |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Skin       |       |       |       |       |       |         |         |         |         |
| Alcântara  | 116 a | 20.6 b| 1588 c| 1642 a| 83 a  | 4.35 a  | 0.152 a | 0.181 a | 0.209 a |
| Helena     | 108  b| 21.1 b| 3635 a| 1408 b| 77 b  | 3.34 c  | 0.150 a | 0.129 c | 0.161 b |
| Mattos     | 96   b| 20.6 b| 3411 ab| 1643 a| 70 c  | 3.02 d  | 0.095 b | 0.142 b | 0.214 a |
| Nonante    | 107  b| 20.3 b| 3042 bc| 1349 b| 81 ab | 3.86 b  | 0.118 b | 0.149 b | 0.209 a |
| Accession 2316 | 114 a| 30.1 a| 2667 c| 1096 c| 68 c  | 2.74 e  | 0.111 b | 0.126 c | 0.147 c |
| Mean       | 108  | 22.6 | 2868 | 1427 | 75    | 3.46    | 0.125 | 0.145 | 0.188 |
| CV (%)     | 18.6 | 16.1 | 17.1 | 24.7 | 10.3  | 17.1    | 27.4  | 14.6   | 16.4   |
| Flesh      |       |       |       |       |       |         |         |         |         |
| Alcântara  | 92   a| 20.7 b| 1916 b| 2017 d| 255 d | 4.62 a  | 0.162 c | 0.190 a | 0.236 a |
| Helena     | 93   a| 28.6 a| 2143 b| 2940 b| 301 bc| 2.96 c  | 0.194 b | 0.153 c | 0.183 d |
| Mattos     | 85   b| 20.2 b| 1358 c| 2448 c| 281 cd| 3.10 c  | 0.172 c | 0.167 b | 0.225 ab|
| Nonante    | 56   d| 17.3 c| 2603 a| 3384 a| 374 a | 4.20 b  | 0.129 d | 0.174 b | 0.219 b |
| Accession 2316 | 78 c| 23.3 b| 2917 a| 2642 bc| 330 b| 2.97 c | 0.222 a | 0.171 b | 0.201 c |
| Mean       | 81   | 22.0 | 2187 | 2686 | 308   | 3.57    | 0.176 | 0.171 | 0.213 |
| CV (%)     | 23.6 | 20.3 | 20.1 | 19.9 | 17.0  | 20.13   | 20.4  | 8.4   | 10.5   |

Values followed by the same letter within the columns are not different by Tukey’s test (p<0.05).
The contents of Ca, Mg, K, N and P differed among genotypes, with values in the skin of 96-116, 20.3-30.1, 1588-3635, 1096-1643, and 68-83 mg kg⁻¹ FW, respectively; and in the flesh of 56-93, 17.3-28.6, 1358-2917, 2017-3384, and 255-374 mg kg⁻¹ FW, respectively (Table 2). Fruit of all genotypes were harvested from trees cultivated in the same orchard (subjected to the same conditions of soil, climate and management). Therefore, differences of fruit mineral content between genotypes is due to genetic differences.

Kinupp and Barros (2008) reported similar contents of Ca (68 mg kg⁻¹ FW), higher contents of Mg (39 mg kg⁻¹ FW), and lower contents of K (684 mg kg⁻¹ FW) and P (48 mg kg⁻¹ FW) in the flesh (of fruit collected from wild populations of feijoa in Porto Alegre, State of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil) than those contents shown in Table 2. Leterme et al. (2006) reported in Colombia contents of Ca, Mg, P and K in the flesh of feijoa fruit of 720, 170, 50 and 1390 mg kg⁻¹ DW, respectively. Romero-Rodriguez et al. (1994) reported in whole feijoa fruit (grown in New Zealand and Spain), contents of Ca, K, Mg and P of 40-144, 900-1700, 58-90 and 100-170 mg kg⁻¹ DW, respectively. Beyhan et al. (2011) reported in whole fruit, contents of N, Ca, Mg, K and P of 7200-14700, 3300-7500, 610-1030, 530-940, and 910-1040 mg kg⁻¹ DW, respectively, in different genotypes of feijoa cultivated in Turkey.

The contents of micronutrients in the peel and flesh tissues of the fruit were different between genotypes (Table 2). On average of all genotypes, the contents of Fe, Zn, Cu and Mn in the skin were 3.5, 0.125, 0.145, and 0.188 mg kg⁻¹ FW, respectively, while in the flesh were 3.6, 0.176, 0.171, and 0.213 mg kg⁻¹ FW, respectively (Table 2). Among the micronutrients, the Fe had the highest content in the peel and flesh tissues, confirming previous studies showing that feijoa fruit is a rich source of Fe (LETTERME et al., 2006; SHRUTI BARROS, 2008; BEYHAN et al., 2011). Similar contents of Fe, Zn and Cu (4.0, 0.22, and 0.16 mg kg⁻¹ FW, respectively), and higher content of Mn (0.62 mg kg⁻¹ FW) were reported in the flesh, of fruit collected from wild populations of feijoa in southern Brazil (KINUPP; BARROS, 2008). Leterme et al. (2006) reported contents of Fe, Zn, Cu and Mn in the flesh of feijoa fruit in Colombia of 7.5, 1.5, 0.3, and 1.5 mg kg⁻¹ DW, respectively. Romero-Rodriguez et al. (1994) reported in whole fruit of feijoa in New Zealand and Spain, contents of Fe, Zn, Cu and Mn of 0.0-0.30, 0.05-0.10, 0.02-0.10 and 0.04-0.20 mg kg⁻¹ DW, respectively. In feijoa genotypes cultivated in Turkey, the contents of Fe, Zn, Cu and Mn reported in whole fruit were 38-200, 2.90-7.30, 1.71-6.95 and 2.10-6.30 mg kg⁻¹ DW, respectively (BEYHAN et al., 2011). Therefore, edaphic climatic conditions in different regions of feijoa cultivation affect fruit mineral content. There is no report in literature regarding micronutrient contents in the skin of feijoa.

This research is relevant, considering the limited data concerning the characterization of centesimal and mineral composition of feijoa fruit, especially for genotypes cultivated in southern Brazil. The results show substantial differences in terms of centesimal and mineral composition in the fruit between genotypes of feijoa. The differences for these attributes in the fruit reported by different authors might reflect distinct edaphoclimatic conditions, mineral nutrition and plant management in different cultivation areas, besides the genetic variability (BEYHAN et al., 2011; AMARANTE et al., 2013). In addition, this might reflect differences in terms of tissue sampling method (entire fruit, only flesh, or flesh with removal of a smaller or larger portion of the skin) and method of analysis.

Data on centesimal and mineral composition of the fruit in Brazilian native species are scarce. Such studies might promote the inclusion of such fruits in the human diet. More studies related to this subject will contribute to elucidate the potentials benefits of such fruit consumption to human health. Our results show that the fruit of superior Brazilian genotypes of feijoa represent an important source of essential elements. Since the skin of feijoa fruit is usually discarded, more research is required to assess other minerals and functional compounds in such tissue, to encourage its use to develop new products by the food industry.

**Conclusions**

Regardless of genotype, the skin tissue had higher values of crude fibre, and the flesh tissue had higher values of crude protein and crude fat, without a substantial difference between these tissues for dry matter. With the exception of ‘Nonante’, the ash content was higher in the skin than in the flesh (especially in ‘Helena’, with ash content ~4.5 higher in the skin than in the flesh). ‘Alcantara’ and accession 2316 had the highest crude protein contents in the flesh tissue, accession 2316 had the highest content of crude fat in the flesh, Nonante had the highest content of crude fiber in the flesh and Helena had the highest content of crude fiber in the skin. In general, feijoa fruit represent an important source of minerals, especially of P and N in the flesh, and of K and Fe in the skin and flesh tissues. ‘Alcântara’ had high contents of Ca, Fe, Cu and Mn in the skin and flesh, and high contents of N and P in the skin.
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