Hazardous Alcohol Consumption and the Risk of Hearing Impairment in Adults Based on the Korean National Health and Nutrition Survey: A Retrospective Study
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Background and Objectives: To investigate the relationship between hearing impairment and alcohol drinking patterns in South Korean adults. Subjects and Methods: Data collection was performed by Korean National Health and Nutrition Survey from January 1 to December 31, 2012. Data analyses were performed from February 20 to March 3, 2018. Data from 3,860 adults 20 years of age or older without a history of malignancy or chronic otitis media in the Korean National Health and Nutrition Survey 2012 database who participated in the health questionnaires, and who had available results from otologic examinations that included pure tone audiogram, were included. Pure-tone average hearing thresholds were calculated at 500, 1,000, 2,000, and 4,000 Hz. Hearing loss was defined as a pure-tone average >40 dB in one or both ears. The Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test was used to evaluate drinking statuses of subjects. Data were analyzed using the complex-sample χ²-test of independence and a complex-sample logistic regression analysis. Results: Of the 29,954,319 individuals in the weighted cross-sectional study population, 15,106,040 (50.4%) were men and 14,848,098 (49.6%) were women. A total of 8.1% of men and 7% of women had hearing impairment. The degrees of drinking with appropriate, risky, and hazardous drinking habits were 58.2, 32.1, and 9.7% among men; and 76.4, 12.5, and 11.1% among women, respectively. Among men, the odds ratio of hearing loss increased by 2.506 times when comparing hazardous and appropriate drinking (confidence interval, 1.083 to 5.800, p=0.002). Moderate alcohol consumption (≤2 drinks per day) was not protective for hearing in either group. Conclusions: As hazardous drinking tends to coexist with hearing impairment in men, appropriate prevention and intervention strategies should be emphasized. A longitudinal study to investigate harmful drinking and the mechanism of hearing loss should be performed.
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Introduction

Hearing loss is one of the most common health problems worldwide. Hearing loss may result from genetic causes, complications at birth, certain infectious diseases, chronic ear in-
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The hearing threshold was evaluated by trained otolaryngologists using an automatic audiometer (GSI SA-203, Entomed Diagnostics AB, Lena Nodin, Sweden) in a soundproof booth. As the WHO defines disabling hearing loss as a hearing threshold >40 decibels (dB) in the better-hearing ear in adults, hearing loss was defined on the basis of which mean values of pure-tone sounds at the minimum thresholds of 500, 1,000, 2,000, and 4,000 Hz could be heard at >40 dB in the ear with better hearing [1].

Data sources/measurement: AUDIT (Korean version) questionnaire

Among the health questionnaires, the drinking questionnaire was the self-reported type and the other items were obtained through interviews. From those, the AUDIT score was calculated, which was developed and published by the WHO to quickly and easily search for drinkers with dangerous drinking patterns [7]. The questionnaire consists of questions regarding drinking quantity and patterns, including frequency of high-risk drinking, feelings of guilt after drinking, inability to remember, or injury because of drinking, with a maximum score of 40. All items except the age at which the subject started drinking are based on drinking during the last year. The scores were categorized as follows: the lowest score, 0–9 (0–5 for women), was defined as normal drinking habits (AUDIT grade I); 10–19 (6–9 for women) was defined as dangerous drinking habits (AUDIT grade II); and 20–40 (10–40 in women) was defined as hazardous drinking habits (AUDIT grade III).

Statistical methods, bias, and quantitative variables

PASW Statistics 18 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for the statistical analysis. The statistical analysis was carried out using weighting according to the guidelines for the use of primitive data by the Centers for Disease Control (CDC). Categorical variables are expressed as the percentage. Factors related to hearing loss were analyzed by dividing the study population into two groups: men and women. The chi-squared test was used to compare the prevalence of hearing loss among categorical groups according to sex. A complex-sample plan file was designed to apply k strata, primary sample units, and the proper use of sampling weight values. The relationship between drinking characteristics and hearing loss was investigated after correcting for confounding factors such as age, education level, household income, tinnitus, history of exposure to noise, smoking status, and presence of hypertension (HTN) or diabetes mellitus (DM); the logistic regression analyses were performed separately for the men and women. A p-value ≤0.05
was considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

Of the 3,860 (29,954,319, weighted) selected subjects, 1,525 (50.4%, 15,106,040, weighted) were men and 2,335 (49.6%, 14,848,098) were women. As shown in Table 1, hearing-related health characteristics, and hearing loss of the men and women were analyzed as follows. Overall, the weighted prevalence of hearing loss was 8.1% in men and 7% in women. The mean age was significantly older in the hearing-impaired group. Among adults, the weighted prevalence of hearing loss was most frequent at the lowest educational level (36.8% in men, 64.3% in women). The distribution of household income

| Variable classification          | Men | Women | p-value | Men | Women | p-value |
|----------------------------------|-----|-------|---------|-----|-------|---------|
| **Socioeconomic status**         |     |       |         |     |       |         |
| Age, y                           | 42.10 ± 0.474 | 63.7 ± 1.136 | <0.001 | 44.07 ± 0.444 | 64.5 ± 1.549 | <0.001 |
| 20–29                            | 153 (22.0) | - | <0.001 | 253 (18.8) | 1 (2.0) | <0.001 |
| 30–39                            | 252 (23.4) | 1 (1.6) | 449 (22.7) | 8 (6.6) |    |         |
| 40–49                            | 257 (25.3) | 5 (6.5) | 427 (24.3) | 8 (5.5) |    |         |
| 50–59                            | 275 (18.2) | 41 (28.9) | 437 (18.8) | 58 (26.9) |    |         |
| 60–69                            | 231 (7.6) | 84 (35.8) | 320 (8.9) | 60 (25.0) |    |         |
| 70–79                            | 134 (3.5) | 85 (25.7) | 219 (6.4) | 84 (31.0) |    |         |
| ≥ 80                             | 2 (0.1) | 5 (1.3) | 6 (0.1) | 5 (3.0) |    |         |
| Hypertension                     | <0.001 |       |         | <0.001 |       |         |
| Yes                              | 280 (13.6) | 102 (40.8) | 420 (14.8) | 100 (42.0) |    |         |
| No                               | 1,020 (86.4) | 115 (59.2) | 1,676 (85.2) | 119 (58.0) |    |         |
| Diabetes mellitus                | <0.001 |       |         | <0.001 |       |         |
| Yes                              | 116 (5.4) | 45 (14.9) | 120 (4.4) | 26 (12.4) |    |         |
| No                               | 1,184 (94.6) | 172 (85.1) | 1,976 (95.6) | 193 (87.6) |    |         |
| Education level                  | <0.001 |       |         | <0.001 |       |         |
| ≤ Elementary                     | 183 (8.6) | 86 (36.8) | 509 (17.6) | 151 (64.3) |    |         |
| Middle school                    | 128 (7.5) | 44 (17.4) | 203 (8.7) | 22 (8.6) |    |         |
| High school                      | 497 (45.5) | 59 (36.0) | 713 (40.7) | 30 (20.4) |    |         |
| ≥ College                        | 491 (38.4) | 27 (9.8) | 665 (32.9) | 15 (6.7) |    |         |
| Household income                 | <0.001 |       |         | <0.001 |       |         |
| Low                              | 162 (9.3) | 71 (23.4) | 317 (12.7) | 112 (47.6) |    |         |
| Low-middle                       | 336 (26.6) | 67 (32.8) | 549 (26.8) | 46 (20.8) |    |         |
| High-middle                      | 363 (29.8) | 48 (29.9) | 579 (29.3) | 29 (15.6) |    |         |
| High                             | 427 (34.4) | 30 (13.9) | 650 (31.1) | 33 (16.0) |    |         |
| Hearing-related factors          |     |       |         |     |       |         |
| Smoking                          | 0.001 |       |         | 0.36 |       |         |
| Smoker                           | 507 (43.1) | 54 (33.4) | 109 (7.3) | 6 (4.0) |    |         |
| Past smoker                      | 520 (33.3) | 126 (51.8) | 104 (6.3) | 11 (4.6) |    |         |
| Non-smoker                       | 272 (23.6) | 34 (14.8) | 1,880 (86.4) | 201 (91.4) |    |         |
| Tinnitus                         | <0.001 |       |         | <0.001 |       |         |
| Yes                              | 252 (16.9) | 92 (43.5) | 501 (24.1) | 93 (38.3) |    |         |
| No                               | 1,051 (83.1) | 129 (56.5) | 1,604 (75.9) | 131 (61.7) |    |         |
| Occupational noise exposure      | 0.22 |       |         | 0.62 |       |         |
| Yes                              | 257 (20.7) | 49 (25.8) | 186 (9.1) | 14 (7.7) |    |         |
| No                               | 1,042 (79.3) | 172 (74.2) | 1,916 (90.9) | 210 (92.3) |    |         |
| Non-occupational noise exposure  | 0.05 |       |         | 0.87 |       |         |
| Yes                              | 27 (2.8) | 11 (6.6) | 35 (1.8) | 4 (1.6) |    |         |
| No                               | 1,275 (97.2) | 210 (93.4) | 2,073 (98.2) | 219 (98.4) |    |         |

*p*-values were calculated using an analysis of variance. Variables are expressed as numbers (percentage). HI: hearing impairment
in hearing-impaired cases showed the highest rate in the middle-to-low income class among men and the low-income class among women. In men, more than half of those with hearing impairment were past smokers. No statistical significance was found in either men or women with regard to a history of noise exposure at or outside the workplace and hearing loss (Table 1).

According to the AUDIT score, the hearing-impaired men consisted of 62.7% with appropriate drinking habits (grade I), 18.6% with risky drinking habits (grade II), and 18.7% with hazardous drinking habits (grade III). The rate of hazardous drinking (18.7%) in men was higher in the hearing-impaired group than in the other group (9.0%, *p* = 0.002). Most female subjects were in AUDIT group I. With respect to the quantity of drinks consumed, most male subjects consumed more than 3 cups at one sitting, regardless of hearing status; this trend was not observed among women (Table 2).

Table 3A shows the results of the logistic regression analysis with the dependent variables in which the AUDIT grade was the independent variable for men aged 20 years and older. According to the AUDIT grade, grade II subjects had a 0.516 times lower risk of hearing loss than grade I subjects [confidence interval (CI): 0.320 to 0.831]. Moreover, after adjusting for age, the risky drinking group (grade II) showed a decrease of 0.576 times compared with grade I subjects (CI: 0.338 to 0.981) but this was not significant after adjusting for all other variables. When adjusting for age, household income, education level, tinnitus, hearing loss, HTN, and DM, the odds ratio

### Table 2. AUDIT grade according to hearing impairment status

| Variable classification | Variable classification | Crude | Model I | Model II |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------|---------|---------|
|                         |                         | HI (-) | HI (+)  | HI (-)  | HI (+)  |
| AUDIT                   |                         | 0.002  | 0.18    |         |         |
| Appropriate (grade I)   |                         | 722 (57.8) | 139 (21.7) | 1,385 (75.8) | 128 (86.1) |
| Risky (grade II)        |                         | 410 (33.2) | 35 (18.6)  | 196 (12.8)  | 5 (6.5)  |
| Hazardous (grade III)   |                         | 105 (9.0)  | 20 (18.7)  | 153 (11.3)  | 9 (7.4)  |
| Drinks per day          |                         | <0.001 | <0.001  |         |         |
| 1–2                     |                         | 221 (14.5) | 59 (25.5)  | 749 (34.5) | 76 (35.9) |
| ≥3                      |                         | 865 (72.2) | 96 (52.6)  | 590 (34.0) | 21 (10.6) |
| 0                       |                         | 213 (13.3) | 59 (22.0)  | 754 (31.5) | 121 (53.6) |

Variables are expressed as numbers (percentage). HI: hearing impairment, AUDIT: Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test

### Table 3. Crude and multivariate adjusted ORs and 95% CIs for hearing impairment according to drinking patterns (AUDIT) (A) and moderate drinking (B) from the multinomial logistic regression analysis

|                           | Crude          | Model I          | Model II         |
|---------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|
| A. AUDIT                  |                |                  |                  |
| Men                       |                |                  |                  |
| Appropriate (grade I)     | Reference      | Reference        | Reference        |
| Risky (grade II)          | 0.516 (0.320–0.831)* | 0.576 (0.338–0.981)* | 0.581 (0.335–1.003) |
| Hazardous (grade III)     | 1.908 (0.984–3.700) | 2.353 (1.031–5.370)* | 2.506 (1.083–5.800)* |
| Women                     |                |                  |                  |
| Appropriate (grade I)     | Reference      | Reference        | Reference        |
| Risky (grade II)          | 0.444 (0.150–1.317) | 0.960 (0.334–2.757) | 1.001 (0.359–2.790) |
| Hazardous (grade III)     | 0.578 (0.228–1.464) | 1.588 (0.592–4.261) | 1.517 (0.614–3.749) |
| B. Drinks per day         |                |                  |                  |
| Men                       |                |                  |                  |
| None                      | Reference      | Reference        | Reference        |
| 1–2 drinks/day            | 1.066 (0.630–1.802) | 1.294 (0.713–2.347) | 1.205 (0.657–2.211) |
| ≥3 drinks/day             | 0.442 (0.285–0.686)* | 1.297 (0.776–2.166) | 1.277 (0.752–2.171) |
| Women                     |                |                  |                  |
| None                      | Reference      | Reference        | Reference        |
| 1–2 drinks/day            | 0.610 (0.405–0.919)* | 0.961 (0.618–1.495) | 1.071 (0.678–1.691) |
| ≥3 drinks/day             | 0.182 (0.099–0.335)* | 0.722 (0.371–1.407) | 0.813 (0.424–1.560) |

Model I: adjusted for age, Model II: adjusted for age, household income, education, tinnitus, smoking status, noise exposure, hypertension diagnosis, diabetes mellitus diagnosis. *p* ≤0.05. OR: odds ratio, CI: confidence interval, AUDIT: Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test
(OR) was 2.506-fold higher among the group with hazardous drinking habits compared with the group with appropriate drinking habits (CI: 1.083 to 5.800). When all other variables were controlled for, the hearing-protective effect of risky drinking controlled for age was not significant. In women, no statistical correlation was found between the AUDIT grade and hearing loss. The results of the three hierarchical models for the amount of alcohol drinking at one time affecting hearing loss were not statistically significant. In the crude model analysis, more than three drinks in men and one or more drinks in women seemed to reduce the risk of hearing loss; however, there was no statistical significance in the confounder-adjusted Model I (age-adjusted) and Model II (age, household income, education, tinnitus, smoking status, noise exposure, and hypertension or diabetes mellitus diagnosis-adjusted) analyses (Table 3B).

Discussion

Synopsis of key/new findings and comparisons with other studies

The purpose of this study was to determine the degree to which alcohol drinking is a risk factor for hearing loss in adults 20 years of age and older and to determine how to prevent it.

Previous studies have shown that moderate drinkers (consuming one to two drinks per day) tend to have better health, in terms of lower rates of ischemic heart disease, lower rates of diabetes, and better hearing [16-19]. Moderate alcohol drinking increases high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and reduces vascular coagulation, which results in a cardiovascular protective effect. Hearing protection among moderate drinkers is also explained by the correlation with cardiovascular function and hearing [8,20,21]. In contrast, heavy alcohol consumption was associated with adverse cardiovascular effects and increased risk of hearing impairment [22].

As a result of this study, the rates of hearing loss in subjects without malignancy or chronic otitis media were 8.1% in men and 7% in women. Among drinkers, the AUDIT grade identified appropriate drinking (grade I) in 58.2%, risky drinking (grade II) in 32.1%, and hazardous drinking (grade III) in 9.7% of men; in women, the classifications were 76.4, 12.5, and 11.1%, respectively. The recent changes in women’s social participation and the changed norms prohibiting women’s drinking in Korean culture seem to have led to a higher hazardous drinking rate than that among men. This trend of an increase in problem drinking among women has been confirmed in previous studies [23].

After controlling for all variables, hazardous drinking (grade III) raised the risk of hearing loss significantly by 2.506 times compared to the reference standard. Risky drinking (grade II) according to the AUDIT classification, which was hearing-protective in the crude and Model I analyses, did not show a benefit after controlling for all other variables. Moreover, moderate drinking (1–2 drinks per day), which was known to be protective for hearing, did not result in hearing protection in both groups (Table 3).

The recent results suggest that the auditory benefits of moderate drinking cannot be assured for Koreans. In Koreans, vulnerability and susceptibility to harm from alcohol due to aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH2) gene polymorphisms should also be considered [24]. However, differences exist in the quantity of ethanol in “1 drink” depending on the type of alcohol, such that there may not have been a correlation between the number of drinks per day and hearing loss. Although it does not fully reflect differences in the quantity of ethanol depending on the type of alcohol, AUDIT, an integrated scale including the amount of alcohol consumption and drinking patterns, complements the results of this study and has been proven as a predictive tool for hearing impairment in hazardous drinkers.

Another interesting result from this study was that hearing loss and AUDIT grade were not statistically related in women. The reason for this is that the drinking rate among women was relatively lower than that among men, and the survey does not exclude the possibility that women do not accurately report their drinking because of the social stigma. In fact, the proportion of hazardous drinkers among women drinkers was higher than the proportion among men drinkers. Therefore, it is possible that the reported drinking rates were lower than the actual rates. Furthermore, previous studies have shown gender differences with respect to alcohol metabolism, such that women exhibit reduced alcohol dehydrogenase activity [15,25]. The vulnerability of women to the effects of ethanol suggests that hazardous drinking may be associated with a greater risk of hearing loss in women. Thus, it is thought that studies on alcohol drinking and hearing loss in women alone need to be more accurate and detailed.

Strengths of the study and clinical applicability of the study

This study was a cross-sectional study, which has limitations in revealing direct relationships between drinking and hearing loss. In addition, drinking data as an independent variable was obtained from self-reported questionnaires, which may have resulted in information bias. However, this study used Korean National Health and Nutrition Survey data, which are nationwide data that represent Korean adults, to examine relationships between drinking and hearing loss in Koreans. Moreover, the diagnosis of hearing impairment, a dependent variable, was based on hearing data recorded by experts and...
was therefore very reliable as a measurement tool. Therefore, it is considered that there should be no great difficulty in generalizing the results to all adults in Korea. However, in a follow-up study, prospective cohort studies should be conducted to clarify direct and mutual relationships between these two factors. A longitudinal study to investigate harmful drinking and the mechanism of hearing loss should also be performed. The relationship between alcohol drinking and patterns of hearing loss (frequency-specific hearing loss, differences between ears in terms of symmetricity) requires further investigation. Moreover, further study should be attempted to determine the appropriate amount of alcohol for Koreans for hearing health preservation. The main implications of our results are that men with hazardous drinking habits were associated with hearing loss and that hearing health programs specialized for Korean drinking statuses should be developed.

In conclusion, hazardous drinking was associated with a higher risk of hearing loss in men than an appropriate level of drinking. Therefore, it is necessary to educate Koreans about proper drinking habits to promote hearing health.
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