Efficacy of second-look endoscopy in preventing delayed bleeding after endoscopic submucosal dissection of early gastric cancer
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Abstract. The present study aimed to evaluate whether second-look endoscopy (SLE) is able to prevent delayed bleeding after endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) of gastric carcinoma and to identify which types of lesion require SLE. ESD of gastric cancer at the early stage was performed on 210 patients between October 2014 and September 2016. Mucosal damage-associated bleeding within 24 h after ESD was considered as delayed bleeding. The association of the characteristics of patients and lesions, as well as surgical factors, with the incidence of bleeding as a measure of outcome was analyzed. A total of 110 patients with melena and/or hematemesis underwent SLE on the second day following gastric ESD. Within the entire cohort (n=210), late delayed bleeding (LDB) was defined as hematemesis or melena occurring following second-look endoscopy. Early delayed bleeding (EDB) was defined as hematemesis or melena occurring from the end of ESD to second-look endoscopy, or as active or possible bleeding at the time of the second-look endoscopy was reported in 17 (8.1%) and 20 patients (9.5%), respectively. The median interval between late delayed bleeding and ESD was one day (range, 1-10 days). The incidence of late delayed bleeding was significantly decreased in the SLE group compared with that in the non-SLE group (4.5 vs. 12%, P=0.028). Multivariate analyses revealed that ulcer, flat gross type, lesion diameter (>2 cm), the resected tumor size of >40 mm and Helicobacter pylori infection were independently associated with late delayed bleeding after ESD, while flat gross type, ulcer, the resected tumor size of >40 mm and artificial ulcer diameter >3 cm were independently associated with early delayed bleeding. Thus, the data of the present study indicates that second-look endoscopy following gastric ESD may be useful in preventing post-ESD delayed bleeding and should be performed on the second day.

Introduction

Gastric carcinoma usually arises from the gastric mucosa (1). In the US, the incidence of gastric carcinoma is 8.7-17.2 per 100,000 men and 9.7-43.1 per 100,000 women (2). In China, the incidence of gastric carcinoma is high, with the age-standardized incidence being 37.1 per 100,000 men and 17.4 per 100,000 women (3). This high incidence is probably due to the high prevalence of Helicobacter pylori infection in China, which is a definite gastric carcinogen according to the World Health Organization (3,4).

Endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) and endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) are widely used to treat early gastric cancer (EGC) and gastric adenocarcinoma (5). In patients with EGC, the outcome of endoscopic procedures with regard to survival is the same as that of gastrectomy, but endoscopic procedures are associated with a shorter hospital stay and decreased risk of post-operative morbidity (6). Furthermore, ESD is significantly better than EMR for removal of large lesions (7-9).

One concern regarding ESD is the generation of artificial ulcers, and studies describe delayed bleeding after ESD as life-threatening with an incidence of ~5% (10,11). Endoscopic hemostasis is effective during emergency endoscopy. Therefore, it is necessary to determine the nature of delayed bleeding and administer appropriate treatments. Previous studies have suggested that the tumor site (middle and lower third of the stomach), tumor size and ulcer formation are independent risk factors for delayed bleeding (12-14), but there is no consensus.

To further reduce the bleeding rate after ESD, numerous hospitals in Europe and the US routinely perform second-look endoscopy (SLE) to prevent delayed bleeding (15). The major purpose of SLE after ESD is to inspect the non-bleeding visible...
Materials and methods

Study design and patients. The present study was a retrospective analysis of a prospective database of patients who were histologically diagnosed with EGC and treated with ESD at the Center for Digestive Medicine (key clinical entity of Jiangsu Province) of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University (Nanjing, China) and at the Department of Gastroenterology (key clinical entity of the Ministry of Health, China) of the Qilu Hospital of Shandong University (Jinan, China) between October 2014 and September 2016.

According to the guidelines of the Japan Gastroenterological Endoscopy Society and the Japanese Gastric Cancer Association (7), the indications for ESD were lymph node-negative EGC, including the following: i) Differentiated intramucosal carcinoma with a diameter of ≥2 cm without ulcer; ii) differentiated intramucosal carcinoma with a diameter of <3 cm and ulcer; and iii) undifferentiated intramucosal carcinoma with a diameter of <2 cm without ulcer. The diagnosis was made based on lesions identified on endoscopy, chromoendoscopic biopsy or endoscopic ultrasonography. The exclusion criteria were as follows: i) Digestive tract perforation or ii) surgical specimens exhibiting submucosal invasion of ≥500 µm. The 3 treating gastroenterologists were all senior resident physicians or deputy chief physicians, and all had a working experience in ESD of >3 years and had performed >100 ESDs. All operators had received training in narrow-band imaging for detection of abnormal tumor vessels.

Of the 217 gastric neoplasms patients, 3 were excluded due to perforation during ESD, and 4 were excluded as an additional surgery was required for submucosal invasion. Finally, 210 patients were randomly divided into 2 groups: The non-SLE group (n=100) and the SLE group (n=110). Of the 210 patients, 172 were diagnosed with gastric high-grade intraepithelial neoplasia and 38 were diagnosed with gastric low-grade intraepithelial neoplasia. The present study was approved by the ethics committee of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University and Qilu Hospital of Shandong University. All patients provided written informed consent for inclusion in the database.

ESD strategy. All patients were required to provide written informed consent prior to treatment. ESD was performed as previously described (21). The patients fasted from the morning on the operation day and underwent surgery under conscious sedation. Argon plasma coagulation (PSD-60; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) was used for marking, and the marking points were 5 mm away from the tumor edge. A submucosal injection of 1:10,000 epinephrine (0.01 mg/ml) + saline solution equal to a total of 10.0-15.0 ml was performed around the lesion. The mucosa was cut 5 mm away from the outer edge of the marking. After mucosal incision, the lesion was dissected using an IT knife (KD-612L or Dual knife (KD-650Q; both Olympus)). Electrocoagulation of all visible vessels on the ulcer surface was performed using hot biopsy forceps (FD-410L; Olympus). Sodium hyaluronate was used when saline: Epinephrine (1:100,000) was not able to completely lift the tumor. After the lesion was dissected from the stomach, conventional electrocoagulation of non-bleeding visible vessels and infiltration were performed using hot biopsy forceps.

SLE or emergency endoscopy. SLE was performed on the second day after ESD. Delayed bleeding was characterized by the presence of melena, hematochezia or hematemesis within 24 h after ESD, and mucosal defects and bleeding were observed during emergency endoscopy (22). Delayed bleeding was classified as early (hematemesis or melena occurring in the time interval between ESD and SLE or active or possible bleeding at the time of the SLE) and late (hematemesis, hematochezia or melena occurring after SLE) delayed bleeding. If there was significant bleeding, hemostasis of the bleeding points or non-bleeding visible vessels was performed under emergency endoscopy, mainly including hemostatic clamps or thermo coagulation. Patients who had hematochezia, hematemesis or hypotension and met the criteria were given component blood transfusion. After ESD, continuous intravenous esomeprazole administration (40 mg/day) was performed for 2 days. On the third day, administration was changed to oral esomeprazole (20 mg twice per day). Most patients started eating food after SLE. The patients were discharged from the hospital at 6 days after surgery unless bleeding complications were noted. If hematochezia or hematemesis occurred after discharge, the patients were required to contact their physicians. When perforation or delayed bleeding occurred, food intake and discharge plans were changed depending on the patient's condition. The patients were routinely followed up for 60 days in the first, second, fourth and eighth week after discharge. The results of routine blood tests and fecal occult blood test were recorded. The resection was considered curative when the lesion was resected en bloc, was <2 cm in diameter, was predominantly of the differentiated type, demonstrated macroscopically intramucosal differentiated carcinomas (pT1a), was absent of ulcers (UL-), lymphatic invasion (ly-) and venous invasion (v-) (7). Expanded criteria for curative resection were en bloc resection of the lesion and a diameter of ≥2 cm, a predominantly differentiated type, pT1a and UL-; a diameter of <3 cm, a predominantly differentiated type, pT1a and UL(+) or a diameter of <2 cm, a predominantly undifferentiated type, pT1a and UL-; or a diameter of <3 cm, a predominantly differentiated type, pT1b (SM1), ly(-) and v(-); and negative surgical margins applied to all of the above (7).
Data collection. The following information was recorded: Age, sex, comorbidities (hypertension, heart disease, type 2 diabetes and acute cerebrovascular disease), use of anti-coagulants or anti-platelet drugs (patient-associated factors), H. pylori infection, longitudinal axis position (upper, middle or lower third of the stomach), cross-sectional position (anterior gastric wall, posterior gastric wall, lesser curvature or greater curvature), gross type of EGC, lesion diameter (cm), diameter of the resected specimen (cm), histological type (differentiation degree), ESD time, bleeding condition under emergency endoscopy (pulsatile bleeding, active permeating bleeding, vessel exposure, bloodstain or blood clot) and post-operative blood transfusion. The rates of delayed bleeding with and without SLE were used as the endpoints to determine the effectiveness of SLE.

Statistical analysis. SPSS 18.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analysis. The Student's t-test or Fisher's exact test was used to analyze differences in patient age, tumor size, specimen size and ESD operative time between the two groups. The chi-square test was used to analyze differences in sex, complications, use of anti-coagulation or anti-platelet drugs, longitudinal axis position, cross-sectional position, gross type and degree of differentiation. If more than one predictive index was significantly different on the Cox proportional hazards model was used to determine the independent risk factors. Optimum cut-off values for risk factors were determined using receiver operating characteristic analysis. A two-sided P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Characteristics of the surgeries. Fig. 1 presents the patient flow chart. Table I presents the characteristics of the 2 groups at baseline. The en bloc resection rate was 100%. All resection margins were negative. No gastrointestinal perforation, death or severe complication occurred. The median time interval between ESD and SLE was 2 days after surgery (range, 1-3 days). For patients in the SLE group (n=110) and the non-SLE group (n=100), the mean operative time was 69±38 and 89±35 min, the mean lesion diameter was 2.8±0.9 and 2.5±1.2 cm and the number of specimens sized >40 mm was 8 and 10, respectively. There were no significant differences between the 2 groups with regard to the abovementioned parameters (P>0.05). Late delayed bleeding was observed 2 days following ESD in the non-SLE group. The incidence of late delayed bleeding occurring after SLE was significantly different (4.5 vs. 12.0%, respectively; P<0.05; Table I). Table I also provides information on the occurrence of late delayed bleeding.

Early delayed bleeding. Among the 210 patients, 20 (9.5%) demonstrated early delayed bleeding following ESD. However, no statistically significant differences were identified between patients with SLE and non-SLE groups (P>0.05). The flat gross type (P<0.01), ulcer (P<0.01) and specimen size >40 mm (4.6 vs. 30%; P<0.001) was associated with an increased risk of early delayed bleeding. Furthermore, artificial ulcer diameter (2.61±1.20 vs. 4.06±1.73 cm; P<0.001) was associated with significantly higher early delayed bleeding rates (Table II). Univariate analysis (Table III) revealed that early delayed bleeding was associated with ulcer, flat gross type, artificial ulcer diameter (>3 cm) and the resected tumor size (>40 mm). Multivariate analysis (Table IV) revealed that the flat gross type (OR=16.315; 95% CI: 2.874-92.625; P<0.01), ulcer (OR=1.052; 95% CI: 1.011-1.094; P<0.05), the resected tumor size >40 mm (OR=1.189; 95% CI: 1.111-1.272; P<0.01) and artificial ulcer diameter (>3 cm; OR=1.226; 95% CI: 1.118-1.345; P<0.001) were independently associated with early delayed bleeding.

Late delayed bleeding. Among the 210 patients, 17 (8.1%) had late delayed bleeding after ESD and statistically significant differences were identified between the patients with and without SLE (P<0.05). The median interval between bleeding and ESD among these 17 patients was 1 day (range, 1-10 days) and operation time (61.57±18.58 vs. 78.82±24.40 min P=0.001) increased the risk of late delayed bleeding (Table V). Bleeding was successfully stopped in all patients during SLE and none of the patients required

Figure 1. Flow chart of patients in the present study. SLE, second-look endoscopy.
re-operation. No re-bleeding occurred during the follow-up in the 173 patients without delayed bleeding. Among the 17 patients with delayed bleeding, 2 (11.8%) required blood transfusion.

Seventeen cases of late delayed bleeding were divided into 3 types: pulsatile bleeding (n=8; Forrest grade I), active permeating bleeding (n=6; Forrest grade IIa) and vessel exposure (n=3; Forrest grade IIb). One patient underwent SLE on the second day after ESD, but delayed bleeding occurred on the tenth day after ESD. The patient had a remnant stomach with the lesion located on the anterior wall of the gastric antrum, and the size of the excised lesion was 1.5x1.5 cm.

Univariate analysis (Table VI) revealed that late delayed bleeding was associated with male sex, ulcer, flat gross type, lesion diameter (>2 cm), specimen size of >40 mm, longer operative time (>60 min; 61.57±10.6 vs. 78.82±24.40 min; P<0.01) and Helicobacter pylori infection (86.1 vs. 58.8%; P<0.01). Multivariate analysis (Table VII) revealed that ulcer [odds ratio (OR)=3.752, 95% confidence interval (CI): 3.202-7.052, P<0.01], flat gross type (OR=4.229, 95% CI: 1.355-14.258, P<0.05), lesion diameter (OR=1.470, 95% CI: 1.047-2.064, P<0.05), specimen size of >40 mm (OR=1.139, 95% CI: 0.988-1.314, P<0.01) and Helicobacter pylori infection (OR=1.112, 95% CI: 0.309-1.225, P<0.01) were independently associated with the occurrence of late delayed bleeding.

### Discussion

It is controversial whether SLE is able to prevent delayed bleeding after ESD for gastric cancer. Therefore, the present study aimed to evaluate whether SLE is able to prevent delayed bleeding after ESD and clarified the types of lesions that require SLE. The results suggest that SLE was effective in preventing delayed bleeding after ESD, particularly within 48 h after ESD. Lesion diameter (>2 cm), ulcer, flat gross type, the resected tumor >40 mm, Helicobacter pylori infection and operative time (>60 min) were independently associated with late delayed bleeding after ESD, while flat gross type, ulcer, the resected tumor >40 mm and artificial ulcer diameter (>3 cm) were independently associated with early delayed bleeding.

Certain studies have indicated that procedure-associated bleeding is not associated with age, sex, tumor size and tumor location (21). In addition, the preventive coagulation of non-bleeding visible vessels in SLE following gastric ESD may do little to prevent late delayed bleeding (20), SLE for preventing delayed bleeding after ESD may be
excessively performed at present and unnecessary in certain patients (16,18,19). However, the present study suggested that SLE has an important role after gastric ESD, as it is able to identify and treat potential bleeding foci. Takizawa et al (23) suggested that coagulation of visible vessels during ESD prevented delayed bleeding. Therefore, different approaches may be used to prevent bleeding and avoid a second endoscopy.

In the present study, the lesions were completely excised during ESD in all 210 patients who met the criteria for SLE after ESD. The results indicated that late delayed bleeding was markedly more common in the non-SLE group, and numerous patients had H. pylori infection, which may cause a greater local inflammatory response and further influence the gastric mucosal blood flow during healing of

Table II. Analysis of risk factors related to early delayed bleeding.

| Variable                                      | No bleeding (N=173) | Early delayed bleeding (N=20) | P-value |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Age (years, mean ± SD)                        | 57.9±9.5            | 61.7±10.1                     | 0.290   |
| Male sex                                      | 120 (69.4)          | 18 (90.0)                     | 0.066   |
| Location                                      | 0.571               |                               |         |
| Upper 1/3                                     | 35 (20.3)           | 6 (30.0)                      |         |
| Middle 1/3                                    | 62 (35.8)           | 2 (10.0)                      |         |
| Lower 1/3                                     | 76 (43.9)           | 12 (60.0)                     |         |
| Ulcer                                         | 18 (10.4)           | 7 (35.0)                      | 0.007   |
| Gross type                                    | 98 (56.6)           | 5 (25.0)                      | 0.030   |
| Elevated                                      | 12 (6.9)            | 6 (30.0)                      |         |
| Depressed                                     | 63 (36.4)           | 9 (45.0)                      |         |
| Lesion diameter (cm, mean ± SD)               | 2.29±1.24           | 3.61±1.43                     | 0.221   |
| Artificial ulcer diameter (cm, mean ± SD)     | 2.61±1.20           | 4.06±1.73                     | 0.000   |
| The resected tumor of >40 mm                  | 8 (4.6)             | 6 (30.0)                      | 0.000   |
| Operation time (min, mean ± SD)               | 61.57±18.58         | 78.82±24.40                   | 0.240   |
| Pathology                                     | 0.537               |                               |         |
| Well-differentiated carcinoma                 | 141(81.5)           | 18 (90.0)                     |         |
| Poorly differentiated carcinoma               | 32 (18.5)           | 2 (10.0)                      |         |
| Depth of invasion                             | 0.787               |                               |         |
| Mucous layer                                  | 64 (37.0)           | 4 (20.0)                      |         |
| Mucosal muscular layer                        | 99 (57.2)           | 11 (55.0)                     |         |
| Submucosa layer                               | 10 (5.8)            | 5 (25.0)                      |         |
| Helicobacter pylori infection                 | 149 (86.1)          | 18 (90.0)                     | 0.631   |

Table III. Univariate analysis of factors associated with early delayed bleeding after endoscopic submucosal dissection.

| Variable                                      | OR (95% CI)         | P-value |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Age (>60 vs. ≤60 years)                       | 0.993 (0.957-1.030) | 0.698   |
| Sex (male vs. female)                         | 4.038 (4.093-18.065)| 0.068   |
| Location of lesion (upper third vs. other locations) | 0.394 (0.050-3.134) | 0.379   |
| Ulcer (yes vs. no)                            | 4.631 (1.592-13.476)| 0.005   |
| Gross type (flat gross type vs. other types)  | 8.500 (1.856-38.938)| 0.006   |
| Lesion diameter (>2 vs. ≤2 cm)                | 0.973 (0.878-1.079) | 0.607   |
| Artificial ulcer diameter (>3 vs. ≤3 cm)      | 1.123 (1.020-1.237) | 0.019   |
| The resected tumor size (>40 vs. ≤40 mm)      | 8.455 (2.553-27.998)| <0.001  |
| Operation time (>60 vs. ≤60 min)              | 1.018 (0.991-1.045) | 0.190   |
| Degree of differentiation (poorly. vs. well differentiated carcinoma) | 0.272 (0.056-1.328) | 0.107   |
| Depth of infiltration (mucous layer vs. all others) | 0.321 (0.089-1.163) | 0.084   |
| Helicobacter pylori infection (yes vs. no)     | 1.008 (0.210-4.835) | 0.992   |

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.
ESD-induced ulcer, resulting in injury to the vessel walls. Flat gross type is another risk factor; as such lesions are frequently rich in vascularity and are mostly reddish due to the existence of more vessels in the submucosal layer compared with that in the elevated or depressed type. The presence of more vessels may increase the risk of post-ESD bleeding (11).

There was no significant association between age and ESD-associated hemorrhage, which was inconsistent with the results reported by Takahashi et al (18). Regarding the post-operative complications of ESD, the rates of perforation, bleeding, and lymphatic vessel invasion were lower than those reported in previous studies (11,14,16-20,24-26), which may be due to the improvements in therapeutic instruments and techniques, as well as the absence of positive margins in the 210 patients.

Certain studies have examined the risk factors for delayed bleeding after ESD. Choi et al (24) determined that surface erosion, location of the lesion and high-risk ulcer were independently associated with the risk of delayed bleeding. In a study by Kim et al (16), a large tumor size (>20 mm) was the only independent risk factor for delayed bleeding. Nakamura et al (27) reported that low platelets and positive lateral margins were associated with delayed bleeding. Other risk factors include wide resection (14,18,22), no post-ESD coagulation (23), tumor located in the lower third of the stomach (22,23), tumor located in the L segment (18), large tumor size (18), histological ulcer (14), long ESD procedure (14), age of <65 years (26) and use of anti-thrombotic drugs (26). Ryu et al (19) reported that no specific factor was associated with delayed bleeding after ESD. In the present study, ulcer,

### Table IV. Multivariate analysis of factors associated with early delayed bleeding after endoscopic submucosal dissection.

| Parameter | OR (95% CI) | P-value |
|-----------|-------------|---------|
| Flat gross type (flat gross vs all other gross types) | 16.315 (2.874-92.625) | 0.002 |
| Ulcer (yes vs. no) | 1.052 (1.011-1.094) | 0.012 |
| The resected tumor size (>40 vs. ≤40 mm) | 1.189 (1.111-1.272) | 0.007 |
| Artificial ulcer diameter (>3 vs. ≤3 cm) | 1.226 (1.118-1.345) | <0.001 |

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

### Table V. Analysis of risk factors related to late delayed bleeding.

| Variable | No bleeding (N=173) | Late delayed bleeding (N=17) | P-value |
|----------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Age (years, mean ± SD) | 57.9±9.5 | 57.1±10.6 | 0.740 |
| Male sex | 120 (69.4) | 16 (94.1) | 0.045 |
| Location | | | 0.119 |
| Upper 1/3 | 35 (20.3) | 5 (29.4) | |
| Middle1/3 | 62 (35.8) | 4 (23.5) | |
| Lower 1/3 | 76 (43.9) | 8 (47.1) | |
| Ulcer | 18 (10.4) | 8 (47.1) | 0.000 |
| Gross type | | | 0.011 |
| Elevated | 98 (56.6) | 10 (58.8) | |
| Flat | 12 (6.9) | 3 (17.6) | |
| Depressed | 63 (36.4) | 4 (23.5) | |
| Lesion diameter (cm, mean ± SD) | 2.29±1.24 | 3.61±1.43 | 0.006 |
| Artificial ulcer diameter (cm, mean ± SD) | 2.61±1.20 | 4.06±1.73 | 0.595 |
| The resected tumor of >40 mm | 8 (4.6) | 4 (23.5) | 0.014 |
| Operation time (min, mean ± SD) | 61.57±18.58 | 78.82±24.40 | 0.001 |
| Pathology | | | 0.535 |
| Well-differentiated carcinoma | 141 (81.5) | 13 (76.5) | |
| Poorly differentiated carcinoma | 32 (18.5) | 4 (23.5) | |
| Depth of invasion | | | 0.182 |
| Mucous layer | 64 (37.0) | 3 (17.6) | |
| Mucosal muscular layer | 99 (57.2) | 8 (47.1) | |
| Submucosa layer | 10 (5.8) | 6 (35.3) | |
| *Helicobacter pylori* infection | 149 (86.1) | 10 (58.8) | 0.009 |
flat gross type, lesion diameter (>2 cm), the resected tumor size of >40 mm and *H. pylori* infection were independently associated with late delayed bleeding after ESD, while flat gross type, ulcer, the resected tumor size of >40 mm and artificial ulcer diameter (>3 cm) were independently associated with early delayed bleeding. Of note, the present study is not without limitations. It was a retrospective study, with all of the inherent limitations, and the sample size was small.

In conclusion, based on the present retrospective study, SLE after ESD has a certain value in the prevention of delayed bleeding in patients with gastric cancer after treatment with ESD, particularly within 48 h after the surgery. Ulcer, flat gross type, lesion diameter (>2 cm), the resected tumor size of >40 mm and *H. pylori* infection were used to identify those high-risk patients who should ideally be subjected to SLE to prevent late delayed bleeding.
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