COMPACT-LIKE OPERATORS IN LATTICE-NORMED SPACES
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Abstract. A linear operator $T$ between two lattice-normed spaces is said to be $p$-compact if, for any $p$-bounded net $x_\alpha$, the net $T x_\alpha$ has a $p$-convergent subnet. $p$-Compact operators generalize several known classes of operators such as compact, weakly compact, order weakly compact, $AM$-compact operators, etc. Similar to $M$-weakly and $L$-weakly compact operators, we define $p$-$M$-weakly and $p$-$L$-weakly compact operators and study some of their properties. We also study up-continuous and up-$p$-compact operators between lattice-normed vector lattices.

1. Introduction

It is known that order convergence in vector lattices is not topological in general. Nevertheless, via order convergence, continuous-like operators (namely, order continuous operators) can be defined in vector lattices without using any topological structure. On the other hand, compact operators play an important role in functional analysis. Our aim in this paper is to introduce and study compact-like operators in lattice-normed spaces and in lattice-normed vector lattices by developing topology-free techniques.

Recall that a net $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ in a vector lattice $X$ is order convergent (or o-convergent, for short) to $x \in X$, if there exists another net $(y_\beta)_{\beta \in B}$ satisfying $y_\beta \downarrow 0$, and for any $\beta \in B$, there exists $\alpha_\beta \in A$ such that $|x_\alpha - x| \leq y_\beta$ for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_\beta$. In this case we write $x_\alpha \overset{o}{\rightarrow} x$. In a vector lattice $X$, a net $x_\alpha$ is unbounded order convergent (or uo-convergent, for short) to $x \in X$ if $|x_\alpha - x| \wedge u \overset{uo}{\rightarrow} 0$ for every $u \in X_+$; see \cite{10}. In this case we write $x_\alpha \overset{uo}{\rightarrow} x$. In a normed lattice $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, a net $x_\alpha$ is unbounded norm convergent to $x \in X$, written as $x_\alpha \overset{un}{\rightarrow} x$, if $\|x_\alpha - x\| \wedge u \overset{un}{\rightarrow} 0$ for every $u \in X_+$; see \cite{7}. Clearly, if the norm is order continuous then uo-convergence implies un-convergence. Throughout the paper, all vector lattices are assumed to be real and Archimedean.

Let $X$ be a vector space, $E$ be a vector lattice, and $p : X \to E_+$ be a vector norm (i.e. $p(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$, $p(\lambda x) = |\lambda| p(x)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in X$, Date: 20.01.2017.
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and $p(x + y) \leq p(x) + p(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ then the triple $(X, p, E)$ is called a lattice-normed space, abbreviated as LNS. The lattice norm $p$ in an LNS $(X, p, E)$ is said to be decomposable if for all $x \in X$ and $e_1, e_2 \in E_+$, it follows from $p(x) = e_1 + e_2$, that there exist $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $x = x_1 + x_2$ and $p(x_k) = e_k$ for $k = 1, 2$. If $X$ is a vector lattice, and the vector norm $p$ is monotone (i.e. $|x| \leq |y| \Rightarrow p(x) \leq p(y)$) then the triple $(X, p, E)$ is called a lattice-normed vector lattice, abbreviated as LNVL. In this article we usually use the pair $(X, E)$ or just $X$ to refer to an LNS $(X, p, E)$ if there is no confusion.

We abbreviate the convergence $p(x_\alpha - x) \xrightarrow{\alpha} 0$ as $x_\alpha \overset{p}{\rightarrow} x$ and say in this case that $x_\alpha$ $p$-converges to $x$. A net $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ in an LNS $(X, p, E)$ is said to be $p$-Cauchy if the net $(x_\alpha - x_{\alpha'})_{(\alpha, \alpha') \in A \times A}$ $p$-converges to 0. An LNS $(X, p, E)$ is called (sequentially) $p$-complete if every $p$-Cauchy (sequence) net in $X$ is $p$-convergent. In an LNS $(X, p, E)$ a subset $A$ of $X$ is called $p$-bounded if there exists $e \in E$ such that $p(a) \leq e$ for all $a \in A$. An LNVL $(X, p, E)$ is called $o$-continuous if $x_\alpha \overset{\alpha}{\rightarrow} 0$ implies that $p(x_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\alpha} 0$.

A net $x_\alpha$ in an LNVL $(X, p, E)$ is said to be unbounded $p$-convergent to $x \in X$ (shortly, $x_\alpha$ $p$-converges to $x$ or $x_\alpha \overset{\text{up}}{\rightarrow} x$), if $p(|x_\alpha - x| \wedge u) \xrightarrow{\alpha} 0$ for all $u \in X_+$; see [4 Def.6].

Let $(X, p, E)$ be an LNS and $(E, \| \cdot \|_E)$ be a normed lattice. The mixed norm on $X$ is defined by $p \| x \|_E = \| p(x) \|_E$ for all $x \in X$. In this case the normed space $(X, p \| \cdot \|_E)$ is called a mixed-normed space (see, for example [13, 7.1.1, p.292]).

A net $x_\alpha$ in an LNS $(X, p, E)$ is said to relatively uniformly $p$-converge to $x \in X$ (written as, $x_\alpha \overset{\text{rp}}{\rightarrow} x$) if there is $e \in E_+$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\alpha_\varepsilon$ satisfying $p(x_\alpha - x) \leq \varepsilon e$ for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_\varepsilon$. In this case we say that $x_\alpha$ $rp$-converges to $x$. A net $x_\alpha$ in an LNS $(X, p, E)$ is called $rp$-Cauchy if the net $(x_\alpha - x_{\alpha'})_{(\alpha, \alpha') \in A \times A}$ $rp$-converges to 0. It is easy to see that for a sequence $x_n$ in an LNS $(X, p, E)$, $x_n \overset{\text{rp}}{\rightarrow} x$ iff there exist $e \in E_+$ and a numerical sequence $\varepsilon_k \downarrow 0$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and there is $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $p(x_n - x) \leq \varepsilon_k e$ for all $n \geq n_k$. An LNS $(X, p, E)$ is said to be $rp$-complete if every $rp$-Cauchy sequence in $X$ is $rp$-convergent. It should be noticed that in a $rp$-complete LNS every $rp$-Cauchy net is $rp$-convergent. Indeed, assume $x_\alpha$ is a $rp$-Cauchy net in a $rp$-complete LNS $(X, p, E)$. Then an element $e \in E_+$ exists such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an $n_0$ such that $p(x_{\alpha'} - x_{\alpha}) \leq \frac{\varepsilon_k}{n_0} e$ for all $\alpha, \alpha' \geq n_0$. We select a strictly increasing sequence $\alpha_n$. Then it is clear that $x_{\alpha_n}$ is $rp$-Cauchy sequence, and so there is $x \in X$ such that $x_{\alpha_n} \overset{\text{rp}}{\rightarrow} x$. Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, there is $\alpha_{n_0}$ such that for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_{n_0}$ we have $p(x_{\alpha} - x_{\alpha_{n_0}}) \leq \frac{\varepsilon_k}{n_0} e$ and, for all $n \geq n_0$, $p(x - x_{\alpha_{n_0}}) \leq \frac{\varepsilon_k}{n_0} e$, from which it follows that $x_{\alpha} \overset{\text{rp}}{\rightarrow} x$.

We recall the following result (see for example [13 7.1.2,p.293]). If $(X, p, E)$ is an LNS such that $(E, \| \cdot \|_E)$ is a Banach space then $(X, p \| \cdot \|_E)$ is norm complete iff the LNS $(X, p, E)$ is $rp$-complete. On the other hand, it is
not difficult to see that if an LNS is sequentially \( p \)-complete then it is \( r p \)-complete. Thus, the following result follows readily.

**Lemma 1.** Let \((X, p, E)\) be an LNS such that \((E, \|\cdot\|_E)\) is a Banach space. If \((X, p, E)\) is sequentially \( p \)-complete then \((X, p\|\cdot\|_E)\) is a Banach space.

Consider LNSs \((X, p, E)\) and \((Y, m, F)\). A linear operator \(T : X \rightarrow Y\) is said to be dominated if there is a positive operator \(S : E \rightarrow F\) satisfying 
\[m(Tx) \leq S(p(x))\]
for all \(x \in X\). In this case, \(S\) is called a dominant for \(T\). The set of all dominated operators from \(X\) to \(Y\) is denoted by \(M(X, Y)\).

The set of all dominated operators from \(X\) to \(Y\) is denoted by \(M(X, Y)\).

In the ordered vector space \(L^\sim(E, F)\) of all order bounded operators from \(E\) into \(F\), if there is a least element of all dominants of an operator \(T\) then such element is called the exact dominant of \(T\) and denoted by \(|||T|||\); see [13, 4.1.1, p.142].

By considering [13, 4.1.3(2), p.143] and Kaplan’s example [2, Ex.1.17], we see that not every dominated operator possesses an exact dominant. On the other hand if \(X\) is decomposable and \(F\) is order complete then every dominated operator \(T : X \rightarrow Y\) has an exact dominant \(|||T|||\); see [13, 4.1.2, p.142].

We refer the reader for more information on LNSs to [5, 8, 12, 13] and [4]. It should be noticed that the theory of lattice-normed spaces is well-developed in the case of decomposable lattice norms (cf. [12, 13]). In [6] and [17] the authors studied some classes of operators in LNSs under the assumption that the lattice norms are decomposable. In this article, we usually do not assume lattice norms to be decomposable.

Throughout this article, \(L(X, Y)\) denotes the space of all linear operators between vector spaces \(X\) and \(Y\). For normed spaces \(X\) and \(Y\) we use \(B(X, Y)\) for the space of all norm bounded linear operators from \(X\) into \(Y\). We write \(L(X)\) for \(L(X, X)\) and for \(B(X)\) for \(B(X, X)\). If \(X\) is a normed space then \(X^*\) denotes the topological dual of \(X\) and \(B_X\) denotes the closed unit ball of \(X\). For any set \(A\) of a vector lattice \(X\), we denote by \(sol(A)\) the solid hull of \(A\), i.e. \(sol(A) = \{x \in X : |x| \leq |a| \text{ for some } a \in A\}\).

The following standard fact will be used throughout this article.

**Lemma 2.** Let \((X, \|\cdot\|)\) be a normed space. Then \(x_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} x\) iff for any subsequence \(x_{n_k}\) there is a further subsequence \(x_{n_{kj}}\) such that \(x_{n_{kj}} \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} x\).

The structure of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we recall definitions of \(p\)-continuous and \(p\)-bounded operators between LNSs. We study the relation between \(p\)-continuous operators and norm continuous operators acting in mixed-normed spaces; see Proposition 3 and Theorem 1. We show that every \(p\)-continuous operator is \(p\)-bounded. We end this section by giving a generalization of the fact that any positive operator from a Banach lattice into a normed lattice is norm bounded in Theorem 2.

In section 3, we introduce the notions of \(p\)-compact and sequentially \(p\)-compact operator between LNSs. These operators generalize several known
classes of operators such as compact, weakly compact, order weakly compact, and \(AM\)-compact operators; see Example 5. Also the relation between sequentially \(p\)-compact operators and compact operators acting in mixed-normed spaces are investigated; see Propositions 7 and 8. Finally we introduce the notion of a \(p\)-semicompact operator and study some of its properties.

In section 4, we define \(p\)-\(M\)-weakly and \(p\)-\(L\)-weakly compact operators which correspond respectively to \(M\)-weakly and \(L\)-weakly compact operators. Several properties of these operators are investigated.

In section 5, the notions of (sequentially) \(up\)-continuous and (sequentially) \(up\)-compact operators acting between LNVLs, are introduced. Composition of a sequentially \(up\)-compact operator with a dominated lattice homomorphism is considered in Theorem 8 Corollary 4 and Corollary 5.

2. \(p\)-Continuous and \(p\)-Bounded Operators

In this section we recall the notion of a \(p\)-continuous operator in an LNS which generalizes the notion of order continuous operator in a vector lattice.

**Definition 1.** Let \(X, Y\) be two LNSs and \(T \in L(X, Y)\). Then

1. \(T\) is called \(p\)-continuous if \(x_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} 0\) in \(X\) implies \(Tx_\alpha \xrightarrow{p} 0\) in \(Y\). If the condition holds only for sequences then \(T\) is called sequentially \(p\)-continuous.
2. \(T\) is called \(p\)-bounded if it maps \(p\)-bounded sets in \(X\) to \(p\)-bounded sets in \(Y\).

**Remark 1.**

(i) The collection of all \(p\)-continuous operators between LNSs is a vector space.

(ii) Using \(rp\)-convergence one can introduce the following notion:
A linear operator \(T\) from an LNS \((X, E)\) into another LNS \((Y, F)\) is called \(rp\)-continuous if \(x_\alpha \xrightarrow{rp} 0\) in \(X\) implies \(Tx_\alpha \xrightarrow{rp} 0\) in \(Y\). But this notion is not that interesting because it coincides with \(p\)-boundedness of an operator (see \([5\) Thm. 5.3.3 (a) \]).

(iii) A \(p\)-continuous (respectively, sequentially \(p\)-continuous) operator between two LNSs is also known as bo-continuous (respectively, sequentially bo-continuous) see e.g. \([13\) 4.3.1,p.156].

(iv) Let \((X, E)\) be a decomposable LNS and let \(F\) be an order complete vector lattice. Then \(T \in M_n(X, Y)\) iff its exact dominant \(|T|\) is order continuous \([13\) Thm.4.3.2], where \(M_n(X, Y)\) denotes the set of all dominated bo-continuous operators from \(X\) to \(Y\).

(v) Every dominated operator is \(p\)-bounded. The converse not need be true, for example consider the identity operator \(I : (\ell_\infty, |·|, \ell_\infty) \rightarrow (\ell_\infty, ||·||, \mathbb{R})\). It is \(p\)-bounded but not dominated (see \([5\) Rem.,p.388]).
Next we illustrate $p$-continuity and $p$-boundedness of operators in particular LNSs.

**Example 1.**

(i) Let $X$ and $Y$ be vector lattices then $T \in L(X,Y)$ is $(\sigma)$-order continuous iff $T : (X, |\cdot|, X) \to (Y, |\cdot|, Y)$ is (sequentially) $p$-continuous.

(ii) Let $X$ and $Y$ be vector lattices then $T \in L^\sim(X,Y)$ iff $T : (X, |\cdot|, X) \to (Y, |\cdot|, Y)$ is $p$-continuous.

(iii) Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ be normed spaces then $T \in B(X,Y)$ iff $T : (X, \|\cdot\|_X, \mathbb{R}) \to (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y, \mathbb{R})$ is $p$-continuous iff $T : (X, \|\cdot\|_X, \mathbb{R}) \to (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y, \mathbb{R})$ is $p$-bounded.

(iv) Let $X$ be a vector lattice and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ be a normed space. Then $T \in L(X,Y)$ is called order-to-norm continuous if $x_\alpha \overset{p}{\rightharpoonup} 0$ in $X$ implies $Tx_\alpha \overset{\|\cdot\|_Y}{\rightharpoonup} 0$, see [15, Sect.4,p.468]. Therefore, $T : X \to Y$ is order-to-norm continuous iff $T : (X, |\cdot|, X) \to (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y, \mathbb{R})$ is $p$-continuous.

**Lemma 3.** Given an $op$-continuous LNVL $(Y, m, F)$ and a vector lattice $X$. If $T : X \to Y$ is $(\sigma)$-order continuous then $T : (X, |\cdot|, X) \to (Y,m,F)$ is (sequentially) $p$-continuous.

**Proof.** Assume that $x_\alpha \in X$ converges to $0$ in $(X, |\cdot|, X)$ then $x_\alpha \overset{p}{\rightharpoonup} 0$ in $X$. Thus, $Tx_\alpha \overset{0}{\rightharpoonup} 0$ in $Y$ as $T$ is order continuous. Since $(Y,m,F)$ is $op$-continuous then $m(Tx_\alpha) \overset{0}{\rightharpoonup} 0$ in $F$. Therefore, $Tx_\alpha \overset{p}{\rightharpoonup} 0$ in $Y$ and so $T$ is $p$-continuous.

The sequential case is similar. $\square$

**Proposition 1.** Let $(X, p, E)$ be an $op$-continuous LNVL, $(Y, m, F)$ be an LNVL and $T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, m, F)$ be a (sequentially) $p$-continuous positive operator. Then $T : X \to Y$ is $(\sigma)$- order continuous.

**Proof.** We show only the order continuity of $T$, the sequential case is analogous. Assume $x_\alpha \downarrow 0$ in $X$. Since $X$ is $op$-continuous then $(x_\alpha) \downarrow 0$. Hence, $x_\alpha \rightharpoonup 0$ in $X$. By the $p$-continuity of $T$, we have $m(Tx_\alpha) \overset{0}{\rightharpoonup} 0$ in $F$. Since $0 \leq T$ then $Tx_\alpha \downarrow 0$. Also we have $m(Tx_\alpha) \overset{0}{\rightharpoonup} 0$, so it follows from [4, Prop.1] that $Tx_\alpha \downarrow 0$. Thus, $T$ is order continuous. $\square$

**Corollary 1.** Let $(X, p, E)$ be an $op$-continuous LNVL, $(Y, m, F)$ be an LNVL such that $Y$ is order complete. If $T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, m, F)$ is $p$-continuous and $T \in L^\sim(X,Y)$ then $T : X \to Y$ is order continuous.

**Proof.** Since $Y$ is order complete and $T$ is order bounded then $T = T^+ - T^-$ by Riesz-Kantorovich formula. Now, Proposition implies that $T^+$ and $T^-$ are both order continuous. Hence, $T$ is also order continuous. $\square$

**Proposition 2.** Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be a $\sigma$-order continuous Banach lattice. Then $T \in B(X)$ iff $T : (X, |\cdot|, X) \to (X, \|\cdot\|_X, \mathbb{R})$ is sequentially $p$-continuous.

**Proof.** ($\Rightarrow$) Assume that $T \in B(X)$, and let $x_n \overset{p}{\rightharpoonup} 0$ in $(X, |\cdot|, X)$. Then $x_n \overset{0}{\rightharpoonup} 0$ in $X$. Since $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ is $\sigma$-order continuous Banach lattice then
Proposition 3. Let \( T : (X, \| \cdot \|_X) \to (X, \| \cdot \|_X, \mathbb{R}) \) be sequentially \( p \)-continuous.

(\( \Leftarrow \)) Assume \( T : (X, \| \cdot \|_X) \to (X, \| \cdot \|_X, \mathbb{R}) \) to be sequentially \( p \)-continuous.

Suppose \( x_n \overset{\| \cdot \|_X}{\longrightarrow} 0 \) and let \( x_{n_k} \) be a subsequence. Then clearly \( x_{n_k} \overset{\| \cdot \|_X}{\longrightarrow} 0 \).

Since \( (X, \| \cdot \|_X) \) is a Banach lattice, there is a subsequence \( x_{n_{kj}} \) such that \( x_{n_{kj}} \overset{\sigma}{\rightharpoonup} 0 \) in \( X \) (cf. [18, Thm.VII.2.1]), and so \( x_{n_{kj}} \overset{p}{\rightharpoonup} 0 \) in \( (X, \| \cdot \|_X) \). Since \( T \) is sequentially \( p \)-continuous then \( T x_{n_{kj}} \overset{\| \cdot \|_X}{\longrightarrow} 0 \). Thus, it follows from Lemma 2 that \( T x_n \overset{\| \cdot \|_X}{\longrightarrow} 0 \). \( \square \)

Proposition 3. Let \( (X, p, E) \) be an LNVL with a Banach lattice \( (E, \| \cdot \|_E) \)

and \( (Y, m, F) \) be an LNS with a \( \sigma \)-order continuous normed lattice \( (F, \| \cdot \|_F) \).

If \( T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, m, F) \) is sequentially \( p \)-continuous then \( T : (X, \| \cdot \|_E) \to (Y, \| \cdot \|_F) \) is norm continuous.

Proof. Let \( x_n \) be a sequence in \( X \) such that \( x_n \overset{p}{\rightharpoonup} 0 \) (i.e. \( \| p(x_n) \|_E \to 0 \)). Given a subsequence \( x_{n_k} \) then \( \| p(x_{n_k}) \|_E \to 0 \). Since \( (E, \| \cdot \|_E) \) is a Banach lattice, there is a further subsequence \( x_{n_{kj}} \) such that \( p(x_{n_{kj}}) \overset{\sigma}{\rightharpoonup} 0 \) in \( E \) (cf. [18, Thm.VII.2.1]). Hence, \( x_{n_{kj}} \overset{p}{\rightharpoonup} 0 \) in \( (X, p, E) \). Now, the \( p \)-continuity of \( T \) implies \( m(T x_{n_{kj}}) \overset{\sigma}{\rightharpoonup} 0 \) in \( F \). But \( F \) is \( \sigma \)-order continuous and so \( \| m(T x_{n_{kj}}) \|_F \to 0 \) or \( m \)-\( \| T x_{n_{kj}} \|_F \to 0 \). Hence, Lemma 2 implies \( m \)-\( \| T x_n \|_F \to 0 \). So \( T \) is norm continuous. \( \square \)

The next theorem is a partial converse of Proposition 3.

Theorem 1. Suppose \( (X, p, E) \) to be an LNS with an order continuous (respectively, \( \sigma \)-order continuous) normed lattice \( (E, \| \cdot \|_E) \) and \( (Y, m, F) \) to be an LNS with an atomic Banach lattice \( (F, \| \cdot \|_F) \). Assume further that:

(i) \( T : (X, \| \cdot \|_E) \to (Y, m \| \cdot \|_F) \) is norm continuous, and

(ii) \( T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, m, F) \) is \( p \)-bounded.

Then \( T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, m, F) \) is \( p \)-continuous (respectively, sequentially \( p \)-continuous).

Proof. We assume that \( (E, \| \cdot \|_E) \) is an order continuous normed lattice and show the \( p \)-continuity of \( T \), the other case is similar. Suppose \( x_\alpha \overset{p}{\rightharpoonup} 0 \) in \( (X, p, E) \) then \( p(x_\alpha) \overset{\sigma}{\rightharpoonup} 0 \) in \( E \) and so there is \( \alpha_0 \) such that \( p(x_\alpha) \leq \varepsilon \) for all \( \alpha \geq \alpha_0 \). Thus, \( (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \geq \alpha_0} \) is \( p \)-bounded and, since \( T \) is \( p \)-bounded then \( (T x_\alpha)_{\alpha \geq \alpha_0} \) is \( p \)-bounded in \( (Y, m, F) \).

Since \( (E, \| \cdot \|_E) \) is order continuous and \( p(x_\alpha) \overset{\sigma}{\rightharpoonup} 0 \) in \( E \) then \( \| p(x_\alpha) \|_E \to 0 \) or \( p \)-\( \| x_\alpha \|_E \to 0 \). The norm continuity of \( T : (X, p \| \cdot \|_E) \to (Y, m \| \cdot \|_F) \) ensures that \( \| m(T x_\alpha) \|_F \to 0 \) or \( m \)-\( \| T x_\alpha \|_F \to 0 \). In particular, \( \| m(T x_\alpha) \|_F \to 0 \) for \( \alpha \geq \alpha_0 \).

Let \( a \in F \) be an atom, and \( f_a \) be the biorthogonal functional corresponding to \( a \) then \( f_a(m(T x_\alpha)) \to 0 \). Since \( m(T x_\alpha) \) is order bounded for all
Proposition 5. Let $f \in \alpha$ and $f_a (m(Tx_a)) \to 0$ for any atom $a \in F$, the atomicity of $F$ implies that $m(Tx_a) \to 0$ in $F$ as $\alpha_0 \leq \alpha \to \infty$. Thus, $T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, m, F)$ is $p$-continuous. \hfill $\square$

The next result extends the well-known fact that every order continuous operator between vector lattices is order bounded, and its proof is similar to \cite{1} Thm.2.1.

**Proposition 4.** Let $T$ be a $p$-continuous operator between LNSs $(X, p, E)$ and $(Y, m, F)$ then $T$ is $p$-bounded.

**Proof.** Assume that $T : X \to Y$ is $p$-continuous. Let $A \subset X$ be $p$-bounded (i.e. there is $e \in E$ such that $p(a) \leq e$ for all $a \in A$). Let $I = \mathbb{N} \times A$ be an index set with the lexicographic order. That is: $(m, a') \leq (n, a)$ iff $m < n$ or else $m = n$ and $p(a') \leq p(a)$. Clearly, $I$ is directed upward. Define the following net as $x_{(n,a)} = \frac{1}{n} a$. Then $p(x_{(n,a)}) = \frac{1}{n} p(a) \leq \frac{1}{n} e$. So $p(x_{(n,a)}) \to 0$ in $E$ or $x_{(n,a)} \to 0$. By $p$-continuity of $T$, we get $m(Tx_{(n,a)}) \to 0$. So there is a net $(z_\beta)_{\beta \in B}$ such that $z_\beta \downarrow 0$ in $F$ and for any $\beta \in B$, there exists $(n', a') \in I$ satisfying $m(Tx_{(n,a)}) \leq z_\beta$ for all $(n, a) \geq (n', a')$. Fix $\beta_0 \in B$. Then there is $(n_0, a_0) \in I$ satisfying $m(Tx_{(n,a)}) \leq z_{\beta_0}$ for all $(n, a) \geq (n_0, a_0)$. In particular, $(n_0 + 1, a) \geq (n_0, a_0)$ for all $a \in A$. Thus, $m(Tx_{(n_0+1,a)}) = m(\frac{1}{n_0+1}Ta) \leq z_{\beta_0}$ or $m(Ta) \leq (n_0 + 1) z_{\beta_0}$ for all $a \in A$. Therefore, $T$ is $p$-bounded. \hfill $\square$

**Remark 2.**

(i) It is known that the converse of Proposition 4 is not true. For example, let $X = C[0,1]$ then $X^* = X_\sim^*$ and $X_\sim = X_\sim^* = \{0\}$. Here $X_\sim^*$ denotes the $\sigma$-order continuous dual of $X$ and $X_\sim$ denotes the order continuous dual of $X$. So, for any $0 \neq f \in X^*$ we have $f : (X, \|\cdot\|, X) \to (\mathbb{R}, \|\cdot\|, \mathbb{R})$ is $p$-bounded, which is not $p$-continuous.

(ii) If $T : (X, E) \to (Y, F)$ between two LNVLs is $p$-continuous then $T : X \to Y$ as an operator between two vector lattices need not be order bounded. Let’s consider Lozanovskij’s example (cf. \cite{2} Exer.10,p.289). If $T : L_1[0,1] \to c_0$ is defined by

$$T(f) = \left( \int_0^1 f(x) \sin x \, dx, \int_0^1 f(x) \sin 2x \, dx, \ldots \right).$$

Then it can be shown that $T$ is norm bounded which is not order bounded. So $T : (L_1[0,1], \|\cdot\|_{L_1}, \mathbb{R}) \to (c_0, \|\cdot\|_\infty, \mathbb{R})$ is $p$-continuous and $T : L_1[0,1] \rightarrow c_0$ is not order bounded.

Recall that $T \in L(X,Y)$; where $X$ and $Y$ are normed spaces, is called Dunford-Pettis if $x_n \to 0$ in $X$ implies $Tx_n \to 0$ in $Y$.

**Proposition 5.** Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be a normed lattice and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ be a normed space. Put $E := \mathbb{R}^{X^*}$ and define $p : X \to E_+$ by $p(x)[f] = |f(|x|)$ for $f \in X^*$. It is easy to see that $(X, p, E)$ is an LNVL (cf. \cite{3} Ex.4).
(i) If \( T \in L(X,Y) \) is a Dunford-Pettis operator then \( T: (X,p,E) \to (Y,\|\cdot\|_Y,\mathbb{R}) \) is sequentially \( p \)-continuous.

(ii) The converse holds true if the lattice operations of \( X \) are weakly sequentially continuous.

**Proof.** (i) Assume that \( x_n \xrightarrow{p} 0 \) in \( X \). Then \( p(x_n) \xrightarrow{o} 0 \) in \( E \), and hence \( p(x_n)[f] \to 0 \) or \( |f|(|x_n|) \to 0 \) for all \( f \in X^* \). From which, it follows that \( |x_n| \xrightarrow{w} 0 \) and so \( x_n \xrightarrow{w} 0 \) in \( X \). Since \( T \) is a Dunford-Pettis operator then \( Tx_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_Y} 0 \).

(ii) Assume that \( x_n \xrightarrow{w} 0 \). Since the lattice operations of \( X \) are weakly sequentially continuous then we get \( |x_n| \xrightarrow{w} 0 \). So, for all \( f \in X^* \), we have \( |f|(|x_n|) \to 0 \) or \( p(x_n)[f] \to 0 \). Thus, \( x_n \xrightarrow{p} 0 \) and, since \( T \) is sequentially \( p \)-continuous, we get \( Tx_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_Y} 0 \). Therefore, \( T \) is Dunford-Pettis. \( \square \)

**Remark 3.** It should be noticed that there are many classes of Banach lattices that satisfy condition (ii) of Proposition 5. For example the lattice operations of atomic order continuous Banach lattices, AM-spaces and Banach lattices with atomic topological dual are all weakly sequentially continuous (see respectively, \([16\text{, Prop. 2.5.23}], [2\text{, Thm. 4.31}]\) and \([3\text{, Cor. 2.2}]\))

It is known that any positive operator from a Banach lattice into a normed lattice is norm continuous or, equivalently, is norm bounded (see e.g., \([2\text{, Thm.4.3}]\)). Similarly we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.** Let \( (X,p,E) \) be a sequentially \( p \)-complete LNVL such that \( (E,\|\cdot\|_E) \) is a Banach lattice, and let \( (Y,\|\cdot\|_Y) \) be a normed lattice. If \( T: X \to Y \) is a positive operator then \( T \) is \( p \)-bounded as an operator from \( (X,p,E) \) into \( (Y,\|\cdot\|_Y,\mathbb{R}) \).

**Proof.** Assume that \( T: (X,p,E) \to (Y,\|\cdot\|_Y,\mathbb{R}) \) is not \( p \)-bounded. Then there is a \( p \)-bounded subset \( A \) of \( X \) such that \( T(A) \) is not norm bounded in \( Y \). Thus, there is \( e \in E_+ \) such that \( p(a) \leq e \) for all \( a \in A \), but \( T(A) \) is not norm bounded in \( Y \). Hence, for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), there is an \( x_n \in A \) such that \( \|Tx_n\|_Y \geq n^3 \). Since \( |Tx_n| \leq T|x_n| \), we may assume without loss of generality that \( x_n \geq 0 \). Consider the series \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} x_n \) in the mixed-norm space \( (X,p^\|\cdot\|_E) \), which is a Banach lattice due to Lemma 1. Then

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p^\|\frac{1}{n^2} x_n\|_E = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \|p(x_n)\|_E \leq \|e\|_E \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} < \infty.
\]

Since the series \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} x_n \) is absolutely convergent, it converges to some element, say \( x \), i.e. \( x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} x_n \in X \). Clearly, \( x \geq \frac{1}{n^2} x_n \) for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and,
since $T \geq 0$ then $T(x) \geq \frac{1}{n} T x_n$, which implies $\|Tx\|_Y \geq \frac{1}{n} \|Tx_n\|_Y \geq n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$; a contradiction. \hfill \Box

**Example 2.** (Sequential $p$-completeness in Theorem 2 can not be removed)
Let $T : (c_{00}, \cdot, \ell_\infty) \to (\mathbb{R}, \cdot, \mathbb{R})$ be defined by $T(x_n) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty n x_n$. Then $T \geq 0$ and clearly the LNVL $(c_{00}, \cdot, \ell_\infty)$ is not sequentially $p$-complete.
Consider the $p$-bounded sequence $e_n$ in $(c_{00}, \cdot, \ell_\infty)$. Since $Te_n = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence $Te_n$ is not norm bounded in $\mathbb{R}$. Hence, $T$ is not $p$-bounded.

**Example 3.** (Norm completeness of $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ can not be removed in Theorem 2) Consider the LNVL $(c_{00}, p, c_{00})$, where $p(x_n) = (\sum_{n=1}^\infty |x_n|) e_1$. It can be seen easily that $(c_{00}, p, c_{00})$ is sequentially $p$-complete. Note that $(c_{00}, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is not norm complete. Define $S : (c_{00}, p, c_{00}) \to (\mathbb{R}, \cdot, \mathbb{R})$ by $S(x_n) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty n x_n$. Then $S \geq 0$, $p(e_n) \leq e_1$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. But $Se_n = n$ is not bounded in $\mathbb{R}$.

It is well-known that the adjoint of an order bounded operator between two vector lattices is always order bounded and order continuous (see, for example [2, Thm.1.73]). The following two results deal with a similar situation.

**Theorem 3.** Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be a normed lattice and $Y$ be a vector lattice. Let $Y_\sim^\sim$ denote the $\sigma$-order continuous dual of $Y$. If $0 \leq T : (X, \|\cdot\|_X, \mathbb{R}) \to (Y, \cdot, Y)$ is sequentially $p$-continuous and $p$-bounded then the operator $T^\sim : (Y_\sim^\sim, \cdot, Y_\sim^\sim) \to (X^\ast, \|\cdot\|_{X^\ast}, \mathbb{R})$ defined by $T^\sim(f) := f \circ T$ is $p$-continuous.

**Proof.** First, we prove that $T^\sim(f) \in X^\ast$ for each $f \in Y_\sim^\sim$. Assume $x_n \to 0$. Since $T$ is sequentially $p$-continuous then $Tx_n \to 0$ in $Y$. Since $f$ is $\sigma$-order continuous then $f(Tx_n) \to 0$ or $(f \circ T)(x_n) \to 0$. Hence, we have $f \circ T \in X^\ast$.

Next, we show that $T^\sim$ is $p$-continuous. Assume $0 \leq f \circ \to 0$ in $Y_\sim^\sim$, we show $\|T^\sim f\|_{X^\ast} \to 0$ or $\|f \circ T\|_{X^\ast} \to 0$. Now, $\|f \circ T\|_{X^\ast} = \sup_{x \in B_X} |(f \circ T)x|$. Since $B_X$ is $p$-bounded in $(X, \|\cdot\|_X, \mathbb{R})$ and $T$ is $p$-bounded operator then $T(B_X)$ is order bounded in $Y$. So there exists $y \in Y_\sim^\sim$ such that $-y \leq T x \leq y$ for all $x \in B_X$. Hence $-f \circ y \leq (f \circ T)x \leq f \circ y$ for all $x \in B_X$ and for all $\alpha$. So $\|f \circ T\|_{X^\ast} \leq \|f \circ y, f \circ y\|$ for all $\alpha$. It follows from [18, Thm.VIII.2.3] that $\lim_{\alpha} f \circ y = 0$. Thus, $\lim_{\alpha} \|f \circ T\|_{X^\ast} = 0$. Therefore, $T^\sim$ is $p$-continuous. \hfill \Box

**Theorem 4.** Let $X$ be a vector lattice and $Y$ be an AL-space. Assume $0 \leq T : (X, \cdot, X) \to (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y, \mathbb{R})$ is sequentially $p$-continuous. Define $T^\sim : (Y^\ast, \|\cdot\|_{Y^\ast}, \mathbb{R}) \to (X^\sim, \cdot, X^\sim)$ by $T^\sim(f) = f \circ T$. Then $T^\sim$ is sequentially $p$-continuous and $p$-bounded.

**Proof.** Clearly, if $f \in Y^\ast$ then $f \circ T$ is order bounded, and so $T^\sim(f) \in X^\sim$. 

We prove that $T^*$ is p-bounded. Let $A \subseteq Y^*$ be a p-bounded set in $(Y^*, \| \cdot \|_{Y^*}, \mathbb{R})$ then there is $0 < c < \infty$ such that $\|f\|_{Y^*} \leq c$ for all $f \in A$. Since $Y^*$ is an AM-space with a strong unit then $A$ is order bounded in $Y^*$; i.e., there is a $g \in Y_+^*$ such that $-g \leq f \leq g$ for all $f \in A$. That is, $-g(y) \leq f(y) \leq g(y)$ for any $y \in Y_+$, which implies $-g(Tx) \leq f(Tx) \leq g(Tx)$ for all $x \in X_+$. Thus, $-g \circ T \leq f \circ T \leq g \circ T$ or $-g \circ T \leq T^*f \leq g \circ T$ for every $f \in A$. Therefore, $T^*(A)$ is p-bounded in $(X^*, \| \cdot \|, X^*)$.

Next, we show that $T^*$ is sequentially p-continuous. Assume $0 \leq f_n \| \|_{Y^*} \to 0$ in $(Y^*, \| \cdot \|_{Y^*})$. Since $Y^*$ is an AM-space with a strong unit, say $e$, then $f_n \xrightarrow{\| \cdot \|_e} 0$. It follows from [14, Thm.62.4] that $f_n$ e-converges to zero in $Y^*$. Thus, there is a sequence $\varepsilon_k \downarrow 0$ in $\mathbb{R}$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $f_n \leq \varepsilon_k e$ for all $n \geq n_k$. In particular, $f_n(Tx) \leq \varepsilon_k e(Tx)$ for all $x \in X_+$ and for all $n \geq n_k$. From which it follows that $f_n \circ T$ e-converges to zero in $X^*$ and so $f_n \circ T \xrightarrow{T^*} 0$ in $X^*$. Hence, $T^*(f_n) \xrightarrow{T^*} 0$ in $X^*$ and $T^*$ is sequentially p-continuous. \[\square\]

3. p-Compact Operators

Given normed spaces $X$ and $Y$. Recall that $T \in L(X,Y)$ is said to be compact if $T(B_X)$ is relatively compact in $Y$. Equivalently, $T$ is compact iff for any norm bounded sequence $x_n$ in $X$ there is a subsequence $x_{n_k}$ such that the sequence $T x_{n_k}$ is convergent in $Y$. Motivated by this, we introduce the following notions.

**Definition 2.** Let $X$, $Y$ be two LNSs and $T \in L(X,Y)$. Then

1. $T$ is called p-compact if, for any p-bounded net $x_{\alpha}$ in $X$, there is a subnet $x_{\alpha_{\beta}}$ such that $T x_{\alpha_{\beta}} \xrightarrow{p} y$ in $Y$ for some $y \in Y$.
2. $T$ is called sequentially p-compact if, for any p-bounded sequence $x_n$ in $X$, there is a subsequence $x_{n_k}$ such that $T x_{n_k} \xrightarrow{p} y$ in $Y$ for some $y \in Y$.

**Example 4.** (A sequentially p-compact operator need not be p-compact)

Let’s take the vector lattice

$c_{N_1}(\mathbb{R}) := \{ f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} : \exists a \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \text{ card} (\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : |f(x) - a| \geq \varepsilon \}) < N_1 \}$. 

Consider the identity operator $I$ on $(c_{N_1}(\mathbb{R}), \| \cdot \|, c_{N_1}(\mathbb{R}))$. Let $f_n$ be a p-bounded sequence in $(c_{N_1}(\mathbb{R}), \| \cdot \|, c_{N_1}(\mathbb{R}))$. So there is $g \in c_{N_1}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $0 \leq f_n \leq g$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $a_n \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $\text{ card} (\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : |f(x) - a_n| \geq \varepsilon \}) < N_1$. Clearly the sequence $a_n$ is bounded in $\mathbb{R}$, so there is a subsequence $a_{n_k}$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a_{n_k} \to a$ as $k \to \infty$. For each $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A_{m,n_k} := \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : |f_m(x) - a_{n_k}| \geq \frac{1}{m} \}$. Put $A = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{m,n_k}$ and let $h = a_{X^* \setminus A}$ then $f_{n_k} \xrightarrow{T^*} h$, since order convergence in $c_{N_1}(\mathbb{R})$ is pointwise convergence. Thus, $I$ is sequentially p-compact.
For each \( \alpha \) \( \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) \) let \( f_\alpha := \chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \alpha} \). Then \( f_\alpha \leq 1 \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( a_\alpha = 1 \). But, for every subnet \( f_{\alpha_\beta} \), we have \( f_{\alpha_\beta}(x) \not\to 1 \) for any \( x \in \mathbb{R} \), so \( f_{\alpha_\beta} \) does not converge in order to 1. Therefore, \( I \) is not \( p \)-compact.

In connection with Example 4 the following question arises naturally.

**Question 1.** Is it true that every \( p \)-compact operator is sequentially \( p \)-compact?

**Definition 3.** Let \( X, Y \) be two LNSs and \( T \in L(X,Y) \). Then

1. \( T \) is called \( rp \)-compact, if for any \( p \)-bounded net \( x_\alpha \) in \( X \), there is a subnet \( x_{\alpha_\beta} \) such that \( Tx_{\alpha_\beta} \xrightarrow{rp} y \) in \( Y \) for some \( y \in Y \).
2. \( T \) is called sequentially \( rp \)-compact, if for any \( p \)-bounded sequence \( x_n \) in \( X \), there is a subsequence \( x_{n_k} \) such that \( Tx_{n_k} \xrightarrow{rp} y \) in \( Y \) for some \( y \in Y \).

**Remark 4.**

(i) Every (sequentially) \( rp \)-compact is (sequentially) \( p \)-compact.
(ii) The converse of (i) in the sequential case need not to be true. Consider the identity operator \( I \) on \((\ell_\infty, |\cdot|, \ell_\infty)\). It can be easily seen that \( I \) is sequentially \( p \)-compact but is not sequentially \( rp \)-compact.
(iii) We do not know whether or not every \( rp \)-compact operator is sequentially \( rp \)-compact and whether or not the vice versa is true.

In the following example we show that \( p \)-compact operators generalize many well-known classes of operators.

**Example 5.**

(i) Let \( (X, \|\cdot\|_X) \) and \( (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y) \) be normed spaces. Then \( T : (X, \|\cdot\|_X, \mathbb{R}) \to (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y, \mathbb{R}) \) is (sequentially) \( p \)-compact if \( T : X \to Y \) is compact.

(ii) Let \( X \) be a vector lattice and \( Y \) be a normed space. An operator \( T \in L(X,Y) \) is said to be AM-compact if \( T[-x,x] \) is relatively compact for every \( x \in X_+ \) (cf. [16] Def.3.7.1). Therefore, \( T \in L(X,Y) \) is AM-compact operator iff \( T : (X, |\cdot|, X) \to (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y, \mathbb{R}) \) is \( p \)-compact.

(iii) Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be normed spaces. An operator \( T \in L(X,Y) \) is said to be weakly compact if \( T(B_X) \) is relatively weakly compact.

Let \( X \) be a normed space and \( (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y) \) be a normed lattice. Let \( E := \mathbb{R}^{Y^*} \) and consider the LNVL \((Y, p, E)\), where \( p(y)[f] = |f|(|y|) \) for all \( f \in Y^* \). Then \( T \in L(X,Y) \) is weakly compact iff \( T : (X, \|\cdot\|_X, \mathbb{R}) \to (Y, p, E) \) is sequentially \( p \)-compact.

(iv) Let \( X \) be a vector lattice and \( Y \) be a normed space. An operator \( T \in L(X,Y) \) is said to be order weakly compact if \( T[-x,x] \) is relatively weakly compact for every \( x \in X_+ \) (cf. [16] Def.3.4.1.ii)).

Let \( X \) be a vector lattice and \( (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y) \) be a normed lattice. Let \( E := \mathbb{R}^{Y^*} \) and consider the LNVL \((Y, p, E)\), where \( p(y)[f] = |f|(|y|) \)
for all \( f \in Y^* \). Then \( T \in L(X,Y) \) is order weakly compact iff \( T : (X, |.|, X) \to (Y, p, E) \) is sequentially \( p \)-compact.

**Remark 5.** It is known that any compact operator is norm continuous, but in general we may have a \( p \)-compact operator which is not \( p \)-continuous. Indeed, consider the following example taken from [15]. Denote by \( B \) the Boolean algebra of the Borel subsets of \([0, 1]\) equals up to measure null sets. Let \( U \) be any ultrafilter on \( B \). Then it can be shown that the linear operator \( \varphi_U : L_\infty[0, 1] \to \mathbb{R} \) defined by

\[
\varphi_U(f) := \lim_{A \in U} \frac{1}{\mu(A)} \int_A f d\mu
\]

is \( AM \)-compact which is not order-to-norm continuous; see [15] Ex.4.2]. That is, the operator \( \varphi_U : (L_\infty[0, 1], |.|, L_\infty[0, 1]) \to (\mathbb{R}, |.|, \mathbb{R}) \) is \( p \)-compact, which is not \( p \)-continuous.

**Example 6.** (A sequentially \( p \)-compact operator need not be \( p \)-bounded)

Let’s consider again Lozanovsky’s example (cf. [2] Exer.10,p.289]). If \( T : L_1[0, 1] \to c_0 \) is defined by

\[
T(f) = \left( \int_0^1 f(x) \sin x \, dx, \int_0^1 f(x) \sin 2x \, dx, \ldots \right).
\]

Then it can be shown that \( T \) is not order bounded. So \( T \) is not \( p \)-bounded as an operator from the LNS \((L_1[0, 1], |.|, L_1[0, 1])\) into the LNS \((c_0, |.|, c_0)\).

On the other hand, let \( f_n \) be a \( p \)-bounded sequence in \((L_1[0, 1], |.|, L_1[0, 1])\) then \( f_n \) is order bounded in \( L_1[0, 1] \). By a standard diagonal argument there are a subsequence \( f_{n_k} \) and a sequence \( a = (a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_0 \) such that \( T f_{n_k} \overset{p}{\to} a \) in \( c_0 \). Therefore, \( T : (L_1[0, 1], |.|, L_1[0, 1]) \to (c_0, |.|, c_0) \) is sequentially \( p \)-compact.

Since any compact operator is norm bounded, the following question arises naturally.

**Question 2.** Is it true that every \( p \)-compact operator is \( p \)-bounded?

Regarding (sequentially) \( rp \)-compact operators, we have the following.

**Question 3.**

1. Is it true that every \( rp \)-compact operator is \( p \)-bounded or equivalently \( rp \)-continuous?

2. Is it true that every sequentially \( rp \)-compact operator is \( p \)-bounded?

Let \((X, E)\) be a decomposable LNS and \((Y, F)\) be an LNS such that \( F \) is order complete then, by [13] 4.1.2.p.142], each dominated operator \( T : X \to Y \) has the exact dominant \([T]\). Therefore, the triple \((M(Y), p, L^\infty(E, F))\) is an LNS, where \( p : M(Y) \to L^\infty(E, F) \) is defined by \( p(T) = [T] \) (see, for example [13] 4.2.1.p.150]). Thus, if \( T_\alpha \) is a net in \( M(Y) \) then \( T_\alpha \overset{p}{\to} T \) in \( M(Y) \), whenever \( |T_\alpha - T| \overset{p}{\to} 0 \) in \( L^\infty(E, F) \).
Theorem 5. Let \((X, p, E)\) be a decomposable LNS and \((Y, q, F)\) be a sequentially \(p\)-complete LNS such that \(F\) is order complete. If \(T_m\) is a sequence in \(M(X, Y)\) and each \(T_m\) is sequentially \(p\)-compact with \(T_m \overset{p}{\rightarrow} T\) in \(M(X, Y)\) then \(T\) is sequentially \(p\)-compact.

Proof. Let \(x_n\) be a \(p\)-bounded sequence in \(X\) then there is \(e \in E_+\) such that \(p(x_n) \leq e\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). By a standard diagonal argument, there exists a subsequence \(x_{nk}\) such that for any \(m \in \mathbb{N}\), \(T_m x_{nk} \overset{p}{\rightarrow} y_m\) for some \(y_m \in Y\).

We show that \(y_m\) is a \(p\)-Cauchy sequence in \(Y\).

\[
q(y_m - y_j) = q(y_m - T_m x_{nk} + T_m x_{nk} - T_j x_{nk} + T_j x_{nk} - y_j) 
\leq q(y_m - T_m x_{nk}) + q(T_m x_{nk} - T_j x_{nk}) + q(T_j x_{nk} - y_j).
\]

The first and the third terms in the last inequality both order converge to zero as \(m \rightarrow \infty\) and \(j \rightarrow \infty\), respectively. Since \(T_m \in M(X, Y)\) for all \(m \in \mathbb{N}\) then

\[
q(T_m x_{nk} - T_j x_{nk}) \leq |T_m - T_j|(p(x_{nk})) \leq |T_m - T_j|(e).
\]

Since \(T_m \overset{p}{\rightarrow} T\) in \(M(X, Y)\) then, by [18] Thm.VIII.2.3, it follows that \(|T_m - T_j|(e) \overset{\alpha}{\rightarrow} 0\) in \(F\), as \(m, j \rightarrow \infty\). Therefore, \(y_m\) is \(p\)-Cauchy. Since \(Y\) is sequentially \(p\)-complete then there is \(y \in Y\) such that \(q(y_m - y) \overset{\alpha}{\rightarrow} 0\) in \(F\) as \(m \rightarrow \infty\). Hence,

\[
q(T x_{nk} - y) \leq q(T x_{nk} - T_m x_{nk}) + q(T_m x_{nk} - y_m) + q(y_m - y) 
\leq |T_m - T|(p(x_{nk})) + q(T_m x_{nk} - y_m) + q(y_m - y) 
\leq |T_m - T|(e) + q(T_m x_{nk} - y_m) + q(y_m - y).
\]

Fix \(m \in \mathbb{N}\) and let \(k \rightarrow \infty\) then

\[
\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} q(T x_{nk} - y) \leq |T_m - T|(e) + q(y_m - y).
\]

But \(m \in \mathbb{N}\) is arbitrary, so \(\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} q(T x_{nk} - y) = 0\). Hence, \(q(T x_{nk} - y) \overset{\alpha}{\rightarrow} 0\).

Therefore, \(T\) is sequentially \(p\)-compact. 

Proposition 6. Let \((X, p, E)\) be an LNS and \(R, T, S \in L(X)\).

(i) If \(T\) is (sequentially) \(p\)-compact and \(S\) is (sequentially) \(p\)-continuous then \(S \circ T\) is (sequentially) \(p\)-compact.

(ii) If \(T\) is (sequentially) \(p\)-compact and \(R\) is \(p\)-bounded then \(T \circ R\) is (sequentially) \(p\)-compact.

Proof. (i) Assume \(x_{\alpha}\) to be a \(p\)-bounded net in \(X\). Since \(T\) is \(p\)-compact, there are a subnet \(x_{\alpha_\beta}\) and \(x \in X\) such that \(p(T x_{\alpha_\beta} - x) \overset{\alpha}{\rightarrow} 0\). It follows from the \(p\)-continuity of \(S\) that \(p(S(T x_{\alpha_\beta}) - Sx) \overset{\alpha}{\rightarrow} 0\). Therefore, \(S \circ T\) is \(p\)-compact.

(ii) Assume \(x_{\alpha}\) to be a \(p\)-bounded net in \(X\). Since \(R\) is \(p\)-bounded then \(Rx_{\alpha}\) is \(p\)-bounded. Now, the \(p\)-compactness of \(T\) implies that there are a
subnet $x_{αβ}$ and $z \in X$ such that $p(T(Rx_{αβ}) - z) \overset{ω}{\rightarrow} 0$. Therefore, $T \circ R$ is $p$-compact.

The sequential case is analogous. $\square$

**Proposition 7.** Let $(X, p, E)$ be an LNS, where $(E, \| \cdot \|_E)$ is a normed lattice and $(Y, m, F)$ be an LNS, where $(F, \| \cdot \|_F)$ is a Banach lattice. If $T : (X, p, E) \rightarrow (Y, m, F)$ is compact then $T : (X, p, E) \rightarrow (Y, m, F)$ is sequentially $p$-compact.

*Proof.* Let $x_n$ be a $p$-bounded sequence in $(X, p, E)$. Then there is $e \in E$ such that $p(x_n) \leq e$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So $\| p(x_n) \|_E \leq \| e \|_E < \infty$. Hence, $x_n$ is norm bounded in $(X, p, \| \cdot \|_E)$. Since $T$ is compact then there are a subsequence $x_{n_k}$ and $y \in Y$ such that $m-\| Tx_{n_k} - y \|_F \rightarrow 0$ or $m-\| Tx_{n_k} - y \|_F \rightarrow 0$. Since $(F, \| \cdot \|_F)$ is a Banach lattice then, by [13, Thm.VII.2.1] there is a further subsequence $x_{n_{kj}}$ such that $m(Tx_{n_{kj}} - y) \overset{ω}{\rightarrow} 0$. Therefore, $T : (X, p, E) \rightarrow (Y, m, F)$ is sequentially $p$-compact. $\square$

**Proposition 8.** Let $(X, p, E)$ be an LNS, where $(E, \| \cdot \|_E)$ is an AM-space with a strong unit. Let $(Y, m, F)$ be an LNS, where $(F, \| \cdot \|_F)$ is an order continuous normed lattice. If $T : (X, p, E) \rightarrow (Y, m, F)$ is sequentially $p$-compact then $T : (X, p, \| \cdot \|_E) \rightarrow (Y, m, \| \cdot \|_F)$ is compact.

*Proof.* Let $x_n$ be a normed bounded sequence in $(X, p, E)$. That is: $p \| x_n \|_E = \| p(x_n) \|_E \leq k < \infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $(E, \| \cdot \|_E)$ is an AM-space with a strong unit then $p(x_n)$ is order bounded in $E$. Thus, $x_n$ is a $p$-bounded sequence in $(X, p, E)$. Since $T$ is sequentially $p$-compact, there are a subsequence $x_{n_k}$ and $y \in Y$ such that $m(Tx_{n_k} - y) \overset{ω}{\rightarrow} 0$ in $F$. Since $(F, \| \cdot \|_F)$ is order continuous then $m(Tx_{n_k} - y) \|_F \rightarrow 0$ or $m-\| Tx_{n_k} - y \|_F \rightarrow 0$. Thus, the operator $T : (X, p, \| \cdot \|_E) \rightarrow (Y, m, \| \cdot \|_F)$ is compact. $\square$

The following result could be known but since we do not have a reference for it we include a proof for the sake of completeness.

**Lemma 4.** Let $X$ be an atomic vector lattice. Then a net $x_α$ is uo-null if it is pointwise null, (that is, $\| x_α \| \wedge u \overset{ω}{\rightarrow} 0$ for all atoms in $X$).

*Proof.* The forward implication is trivial. For the converse, let $x_α$ be a pointwise null net in $X$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x_α \equiv 0$. Take $u \in X_+$. Then we need to show that $x_α \wedge u \overset{ω}{\rightarrow} 0$. Consider the following directed set $Δ = \mathcal{P}_fin(Ω) \times \mathbb{N}$, where $Ω$ is the collection of all atoms in $X$. For each $δ ∈ (F, n) ∈ Δ$, put $y_δ = \frac{1}{a} \sum_{a ∈ F} a + \sum_{a ∈ Ω \setminus F} P_α u$, where $P_α$ denotes the band projection onto $\text{span}\{a\}$. It is easy to see that $y_δ \downarrow 0$ and for any $δ ∈ Δ$ there is an $α_δ$ such that for any $α \geq α_δ$ we have that $0 ≤ x_α \wedge u ≤ y_δ$. Therefore, $x_α \wedge u \overset{ω}{\rightarrow} 0$. $\square$

**Remark 6.** If $X$ is an atomic $KB$-space then every order bounded net has an order convergent subnet. Indeed, let $x_α$ be an order bounded net in $X$. Then clearly $x_α$ is norm bounded and so, by [13, Thm.7.5] there is a subnet
Proposition 9. Let $X$ be a vector lattice and $(Y, m, F)$ be an op-continuous LNVL such that $Y$ is atomic KB-space. If $T \in L^\sim(X, Y)$ then $T : (X, |\cdot|, X) \to (Y, m, F)$ is $p$-compact.

Proof. Let $x_\alpha$ be a $p$-bounded net in $(X, |\cdot|, X)$ then $x_\alpha$ is order bounded in $X$. Since $T$ is order bounded then $Tx_\alpha$ is order bounded in $Y$, which is an atomic KB-space. So, by Remark 6 there are a subnet $x_{\alpha_\beta}$ and $y \in Y$ such that $Tx_{\alpha_\beta} \o y$. Since $(Y, m, F)$ is op-continuous then $m(Tx_{\alpha_\beta} - y) \o 0$. Thus, $T$ is $p$-compact.

Proposition 10. Let $(X, p, E)$ and $(Y, |\cdot|, Y)$ be two LNVLs such that $Y$ is an atomic KB-space. If $T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, |\cdot|, Y)$ is $p$-bounded then $T$ is $p$-compact.

Proof. Let $x_\alpha$ be a $p$-bounded net in $X$. Since $T$ is $p$-bounded then $Tx_\alpha$ is order bounded in $Y$. Since $Y$ is an atomic KB-space then, by Remark 6 there is a subnet $x_{\alpha_\beta}$ such that $Tx_{\alpha_\beta} \o y$ for some $y \in Y$. Therefore, $T$ is $p$-compact.

Remark 7.

(i) We can not omit the atomicity in Propositions 6 and 10 consider the identity operator $I$ on $(L_1[0,1], |\cdot|, L_1[0,1])$ then the sequence of Rademacher functions is order bounded and has no order convergent subsequence, so $I$ is not $p$-compact.

(ii) The identity operator $I$ on $(\ell_1, |\cdot|, \ell_1)$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 6 so $I$ is $p$-compact. This shows that the identity operator on an infinite dimensional space can be $p$-compact.

(iii) We do not know whether or not the identity operator $I$ on the LNS $(L_\infty[0,1], |\cdot|, L_\infty[0,1])$ could be $p$-compact or sequentially $p$-compact.

Proposition 11. Let $(X, p, E)$ and $(Y, m, F)$ be LNSs. Let $T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, m, F)$ be a $p$-bounded finite rank operator. Then $T$ is $p$-compact.

Proof. Without lost of generality, we may suppose that $T$ is given by $Tx = f(x)y_0$ for some $p$-bounded functional $f : (X, p, E) \to (\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, \mathbb{R})$ and $y_0 \in Y$.

Let $x_\alpha$ be a $p$-bounded net in $X$ then $f(x_\alpha)$ is bounded in $\mathbb{R}$, so there is a subnet $x_{\alpha_\beta}$ such that $f(x_{\alpha_\beta}) \to \lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Now, $m(Tx_{\alpha_\beta} - \lambda y_0) = m((fx_{\alpha_\beta} - \lambda)y_0) = |f(x_{\alpha_\beta}) - \lambda|m(y_0) \o 0$ in $F$. Thus, $T$ is $p$-compact.

Example 7. (The p-boundedness of $T$ in Proposition 11 can not be removed) Let $(X, p, E)$ be an LNS and $f : (X, p, E) \to (\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, \mathbb{R})$ be a linear functional which is not $p$-bounded. Then there is a $p$-bounded sequence $x_n$ such that $|f(x_n)| \geq n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, any rank one operator $T : (X, p, E) \to$
Definition 4. Let $(X, E)$ be an LNS and $(Y, F)$ be an LNVL. A linear operator $T : X \to Y$ is called $p$-semicompact if, for any $p$-bounded set $A$ in $X$, we have that $T(A)$ is $p$-almost order bounded in $Y$.

Remark 8.

(i) Any $p$-semicompact operator is $p$-bounded operator.

(ii) Let $T, S \in L(X)$, where $X$ is an LNS. If $T$ is $p$-semicompact and $S$ is $p$-compact then it follows easily from Proposition 6 (ii), that $S \circ T$ is $p$-compact.

(iii) Given $T \in L(X, Y)$; where $X$ is a normed space and $Y$ is a normed lattice. Then $T$ is semicom pact iff $T : (X, \| \cdot \|_X, \mathbb{R}) \to (Y, \| \cdot \|_Y, \mathbb{R})$ is $p$-semicompact.

(iv) For vector lattices $X$ and $Y$, we have $T \in L^\sim(X, Y)$ iff $T : (X, \| \cdot \|_X) \to (Y, \| \cdot \|_Y, \mathbb{R})$ is $p$-semicompact.

Proposition 12. Let $(X, p, E)$ be an LNS with an AM-space $(E, \| \cdot \|_E)$ possessing a strong unit and $(Y, m, F)$ be an LNVL with a normed lattice $(F, \| \cdot \|_F)$. If $T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, m, F)$ is $p$-semicompact then $T : (X, p\cdot\| \cdot \|_E) \to (Y, m\cdot\| \cdot \|_F)$ is semicom pact.

Proof. Consider the closed unit ball $B_X$ of $(X, p\cdot\| \cdot \|_E)$. Then $p\cdot\| x \|_E \leq 1$ or $\| p(x) \|_F \leq 1$ for all $x \in B_X$. We show that $T(B_X)$ is almost order bounded in $(Y, m\cdot\| \cdot \|_F)$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $w \in F_+$ such that

$$\|w\|_F = \varepsilon.$$  

Since $\| p(x) \|_E \leq 1$ for all $x \in B_X$ and $(E, \| \cdot \|_E)$ is an AM-space with a strong unit, there exists $e \in E_+$ such that $p(x) \leq e$ for all $x \in B_X$. Thus, $B_X$ is $p$-bounded in $(X, p, E)$ and, since $T$ is $p$-semicompact, we get that
Proposition 13. Let \((X, p, E)\) and \((Y, m, F)\) be two LNVLs. Suppose a positive linear operator \(T : X \to Y\) to be \(p\)-semicompact. If \(0 \leq S \leq T\) then \(S\) is \(p\)-semicompact.

Proof. Let \(A\) be a \(p\)-bounded set in \(X\). Put \(|A| := \{|a| : a \in A\}\). Clearly \(|A|\) is \(p\)-bounded. Since \(T\) is \(p\)-semicompact then \(T(|A|)\) is \(p\)-almost order bounded. Given \(w \in F_+\), there is \(y_w \in Y_+\) such that
\[
m((T|a| - y_w)^+) \leq w \quad (a \in A).
\]
Thus, for any \(a \in A\),
\[
S|a| \leq T|a| \Rightarrow (S|a| - y_w)^+ \leq (T|a| - y_w)^+ \Rightarrow m((S|a| - y_w)^+) \leq w.
\]
Since \((S|a| - y_w)^+ \leq (S|a| - y_w)^+\), we have
\[
m((S|a| - y_w)^+) \leq m((S|a| - y_w)^+) \leq w \quad (\forall a \in A).
\]
Therefore, \(S(A)\) is \(p\)-almost order bounded, and \(S\) is \(p\)-semicompact. \(\square\)

A linear operator \(T\) from an LNS \((X, E)\) to a Banach space \((Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)\) is called generalized AM-compact or GAM-compact if, for any \(p\)-bounded set \(A\) in \(X\), \(T(A)\) is relatively compact in \((Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)\); see [17] p.1281]. Clearly, \(T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y, \mathbb{R})\) is GAM-compact iff it is (sequentially) \(p\)-compact.

Proposition 14. Let \((X, p, E)\) be an LNS and \((Y, m, F)\) be an \(op\)-continuous LNVL with a norming Banach lattice \((Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)\). If \(T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)\) is GAM-compact then \(T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, m, F)\) is sequentially \(p\)-compact.

Proof. Let \(x_n\) be a \(p\)-bounded sequence in \(X\). Since \(T\) is GAM-compact then there are a subsequence \(x_{n_k}\) and some \(y \in Y\) such that \(\|Tx_{n_k} - y\|_Y \to 0\). As \((Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)\) is Banach lattice then, by [18] Thm.VII.2.1], there is a subsequence \(x_{n_{k_j}}\) such that \(Tx_{n_{k_j}} \overset{op}{\to} y\) in \(Y\). Then, by \(op\)-continuity of \((Y, m, F)\), we get \(Tx_{n_{k_j}} \overset{p}{\to} y\). Hence, \(T\) is sequentially \(p\)-compact. \(\square\)

In particular, if \((X, p, E)\) is an LNS, \((Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)\) is a Banach lattice and \(T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)\) is GAM-compact operator then, since \((Y, |\cdot|, Y)\) is always \(op\)-continuous LNVL, we get that \(T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, |\cdot|, Y)\) is sequentially \(p\)-compact.

It is known that any compact operator is semicompact. So, the following question arises naturally.

Question 4. Is it true that every \(p\)-compact operator is \(p\)-semicompact?

It should be noticed that, if Question 2 has a negative answer then Question 1 has a negative answer as well, since every \(p\)-semicompact operator is \(p\)-bounded, and if Question 2 has a positive answer then every \(p\)-compact
operator $T : (X, |\cdot|, X) \to (Y, |\cdot|, Y)$ is $p$-semicompact, where $X$ and $Y$ are vector lattices.

The converse of Question 4 is known to be false. For instance, the identity operator $I$ on $(\ell_\infty, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is semicompact which is not compact.

4. \textit{$p$-M-Weakly and $p$-L-Weakly Compact Operators}

Recall that an operator $T \in B(X,Y)$ from a normed lattice $X$ into a normed space $Y$ is called $M$-weakly compact, whenever $\lim \|Tx_n\| = 0$ holds for every norm bounded disjoint sequence $x_n$ in $X$, and $T \in B(X,Y)$ from a normed space $X$ into a normed lattice $Y$ is called $L$-weakly compact, whenever $\lim \|y_n\| = 0$ holds for every disjoint sequence $y_n$ in $\text{sol}(T(B_X))$ (see for example, [16, Def.3.6.9]). Similarly we have:

\textbf{Definition 5.} Let $T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, m, F)$ be a $p$-bounded and sequentially $p$-continuous operator between LNSs.

1. If $X$ is an LNVL and $m(Tx_n) \xrightarrow{n} 0$ for every $p$-bounded disjoint sequence $x_n$ in $X$ then $T$ is said to be $p$-M-weakly compact.

2. If $Y$ is an LNVL and $m(y_n) \xrightarrow{n} 0$ for every disjoint sequence $y_n$ in $\text{sol}(T(A))$, where $A$ is a $p$-bounded subset of $X$, then $T$ is said to be $p$-L-weakly compact.

\textbf{Remark 9.}

1. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be a normed lattice and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ be a normed space. Assume $T \in B(X,Y)$ then $T : (X, \|\cdot\|_X, \mathbb{R}) \to (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y, \mathbb{R})$ is $p$-M-weakly compact iff $T : X \to Y$ is $M$-weakly compact.

2. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be a normed space and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ be a normed lattice. Assume $T \in B(X,Y)$ then $T : (X, \|\cdot\|_X, \mathbb{R}) \to (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y, \mathbb{R})$ is $p$-L-weakly compact iff $T : X \to Y$ is $L$-weakly compact.

In the sequel, the following fact will be used frequently.

\textbf{Remark 10.} If $x_n$ is a disjoint sequence in a vector lattice $X$ then $x_n \xrightarrow{u_0} 0$ (see [10, Cor.3.6]). If, in addition, $x_n$ is order bounded in $X$ then clearly $x_n \xrightarrow{o} 0$.

It is shown below that, in some cases, the collection of $p$-M and $p$-L-weakly compact operators can be very large.

\textbf{Proposition 15.} Assume $X$ to be a vector lattice and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ a normed space. If $T : (X, |\cdot|, X) \to (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y, \mathbb{R})$ is $p$-bounded and sequentially $p$-continuous then $T$ is $p$-M-weakly compact.

\textbf{Proof.} Let $x_n$ be a $p$-bounded disjoint sequence in $(X, |\cdot|, X)$. Then $x_n$ is order bounded in $X$ and, by Remark 10 we get $x_n \xrightarrow{o} 0$. That is, $x_n \xrightarrow{\rho} 0$ in $(X, |\cdot|, X)$. Since $T$ is sequentially $p$-continuous then $Tx_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_Y} 0$. Therefore, $T : (X, |\cdot|, X) \to (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y, \mathbb{R})$ is $p$-M-weakly compact. \qed
Corollary 2. Let \((X, \|\cdot\|_X)\) be a normed lattice and \(Y\) be a vector lattice. Let \(Y_\sim\) denote the \(\sigma\)-order continuous dual of \(Y\). If \(0 \leq T : (X, \|\cdot\|_X, \mathbb{R}) \to (Y, |\cdot|, Y)\) is sequentially \(p\)-continuous and \(p\)-bounded then the operator \(T_\sim : (Y_\sim, |\cdot|, Y_\sim) \to (X^*, \|\cdot\|_{X^*}, \mathbb{R})\) defined by \(T_\sim(f) := f \circ T\) is \(p-M\)-weakly compact.

Proof. Theorem 13 implies that \(T_\sim\) is \(p\)-continuous, and so it is \(p\)-bounded by Proposition 4. Thus, we get from Proposition 15 that \(T_\sim\) is \(p-M\)-weakly compact.

Proposition 16. Assume \((X, \|\cdot\|_X)\) to be a normed lattice and \(Y\) a vector lattice. If \(T : (X, \|\cdot\|_X, \mathbb{R}) \to (Y, |\cdot|, Y)\) is \(p\)-bounded and sequentially \(p\)-continuous operator then \(T\) is \(p-L\)-weakly compact.

Proof. Let \(A\) be a \(p\)-bounded set in \((X, \|\cdot\|_X, \mathbb{R})\). Since \(T\) is a \(p\)-bounded operator then \(T(A)\) is \(p\)-bounded in \((Y, |\cdot|, Y)\), i.e. \(T(A)\) is order bounded and hence \(\text{sol}(T(A))\) is order bounded. Let \(y_n\) be a disjoint sequence in \(\text{sol}(T(A))\). Then, by Remark 10 we have \(y_n \xrightarrow{o} 0\) in \(Y\), i.e. \(y_n \xrightarrow{\leq} 0\) in \((Y, |\cdot|, Y)\). Thus, \(T\) is \(p-L\)-weakly compact.

Corollary 3. Let \(X\) be a vector lattice and \(Y\) be an AL-space. Assume \(0 \leq T : (X, |\cdot|, X) \to (Y, |\cdot|, Y, \mathbb{R})\) to be sequentially \(p\)-continuous. Define \(T_\sim : (Y^*, |\cdot|_{Y^*}, \mathbb{R}) \to (X^*, |\cdot|, X^*)\) by \(T_\sim(f) = f \circ T\). Then \(T_\sim\) is \(p-L\)-weakly compact.

Proof. Theorem 14 implies that \(T_\sim\) is sequentially \(p\)-continuous and \(p\)-bounded, and so we get, by Proposition 16 that \(T_\sim\) is \(p-L\)-weakly compact.

It is known that any order continuous operator is order bounded, but this fails for \(\sigma\)-order continuous operators; see [2, Exer.10, p.289]. Therefore, we need the order boundedness condition in the following proposition.

Proposition 17. If \(T : X \to Y\) is an order bounded \(\sigma\)-order continuous operator between vector lattices then \(T : (X, |\cdot|, X) \to (Y, |\cdot|, Y)\) is both \(p-M\)-weakly and \(p-L\)-weakly compact.

Proof. Clearly, \(T : (X, |\cdot|, X) \to (Y, |\cdot|, Y)\) is both sequentially \(p\)-continuous and \(p\)-bounded.

First, we show that \(T\) is \(p-M\)-weakly compact. Let \(x_n\) be a \(p\)-bounded disjoint sequence of \(X\). Then, by Remark 10 we get \(x_n \xrightarrow{o} 0\) in \(X\) and so \(T x_n \xrightarrow{\sim} 0\) in \(Y\). Therefore, \(T\) is \(p-M\)-weakly compact.

Next, we show that \(T\) is \(p-L\)-weakly compact. Let \(A\) be a \(p\)-bounded set in \((X, |\cdot|, X)\) then \(A\) is order bounded in \(X\). Thus, \(T(A)\) is order bounded and so \(\text{sol}(T(A))\) is order bounded in \(Y\). If \(y_n\) is a disjoint sequence in \(\text{sol}(T(A))\) then again, by Remark 10 \(y_n \xrightarrow{o} 0\) or \(y_n \xrightarrow{\leq} 0\) in \((Y, |\cdot|, Y)\). Therefore, \(T\) is \(p-L\)-weakly compact.

Next, we show that \(p-M\)-weakly and \(p-L\)-weakly compact operators satisfy the domination property.
Proposition 18. Let \((X, p, E)\) and \((Y, m, F)\) be LNVLs and let \(S, T : X \to Y\) be two linear operators such that \(0 \leq S \leq T\).

(i) If \(T\) is \(p-M\)-weakly compact then \(S\) is \(p-M\)-weakly compact.

(ii) If \(T\) is \(p-L\)-weakly compact then \(S\) is \(p-L\)-weakly compact.

Proof. (i) Since \(T\) is sequentially \(p\)-continuous and \(p\)-bounded then it is easily seen that \(S\) is sequentially \(p\)-continuous and \(p\)-bounded. Let \(x_n\) be a \(p\)-bounded disjoint sequence in \(X\). Then \(|x_n|\) is also \(p\)-bounded and disjoint. Since \(T\) is \(p-M\)-weakly compact then \(m(T|x_n|) \xrightarrow{\sigma} 0\) in \(F\). Now, \(0 \leq S|x_n| \leq T|x_n|\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) and since the lattice norm is monotone then we get \(m(S|x_n|) \xrightarrow{\sigma} 0\) in \(F\). Now, \(|Sx_n| \leq S|x_n|\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) and so \(m(Sx_n) = m(|Sx_n|) \leq m(S|x_n|) \xrightarrow{\sigma} 0\) in \(F\). Thus, \(S\) is \(p-M\)-weakly compact.

(ii) It is easy to see that \(S\) is sequentially \(p\)-continuous and \(p\)-bounded. Let \(A\) be a \(p\)-bounded subset of \(X\). Put \(|A| = \{|a| : a \in A\}|. Clearly, \(sol(S(A)) \subseteq sol(S(|A|))\) and since \(0 \leq S \leq T\), we have \(sol(S(|A|)) \subseteq sol(T(|A|))\). Let \(y_n\) be a disjoint sequence in \(sol(S(A))\) then \(y_n\) is in \(sol(T(|A|))\) and, since \(T\) is \(p-L\)-weakly compact then \(m(S|x_n|) \xrightarrow{\sigma} 0\) in \(F\). Therefore, \(S\) is \(p-L\)-weakly compact.

The following result is a variant of [2, Thm.4.36].

Theorem 6. Let \((X, p, E)\) be a sequentially \(p\)-complete LNVL such that \((E, \|\cdot\|_E)\) is a Banach lattice, and let \((Y, m, F)\) be an LNS. Assume \(T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, m, F)\) to be sequentially \(p\)-continuous, and let \(A\) be a \(p\)-bounded solid subset of \(X\).

If \(m(Tx_n) \xrightarrow{\sigma} 0\) holds for each disjoint sequence \(x_n\) in \(A\) then, for each atom \(a\) in \(F\) and each \(\varepsilon > 0\), there exists \(0 \leq u \in I_A\) satisfying

\[ f_a(m(T(|x| - u)^+)) < \varepsilon \]

for all \(x \in A\), where \(I_A\) denotes the ideal generated by \(A\) in \(X\).

Proof. Suppose the claim is false. Then there is an atom \(a_0 \in F\) and \(\varepsilon_0 > 0\) such that, for each \(u \geq 0\) in \(I_A\), we have \(f_{a_0}(m(T(|x| - u)^+)) \geq \varepsilon_0\) for some \(x \in A\). In particular, there exists a sequence \(x_n\) in \(A\) such that

\[ (4.1) \quad f_{a_0}(m(T(|x_{n+1}| - 4^n \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|)^+)) \geq \varepsilon_0 \quad (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}). \]

Now, put \(y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n}|x_n|\). Lemma [1] implies that \(y \in X\). Also let \(w_n = (|x_{n+1}| - 4^n \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|)^+\) and \(v_n = (|x_{n+1}| - 4^n \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i| - 2^{-n}y)^+\). By [2] Lm.4.35, the sequence \(v_n\) is disjoint. Also since \(A\) is solid and \(0 \leq v_n < |x_{n+1}|\) holds, we see that \(v_n\) in \(A\) and so, by the hypothesis, \(m(Tx_n) \xrightarrow{\sigma} 0\).

On the other hand, \(0 \leq w_n - v_n \leq 2^{-n}y\) and so \(p(w_n - v_n) \leq 2^{-n}p(y)\). Thus, \(p(w_n - v_n) \xrightarrow{\sigma} 0\) in \(F\). Since \(T\) is sequentially \(p\)-continuous then \(m(T(w_n - v_n)) \xrightarrow{\sigma} 0\) in \(F\). Now, \(m(Tw_n) \leq m(T(w_n - v_n)) + m(Tv_n)\) implies
that $m(Tw_n) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0$ in $F$. In particular, $f_{a_0}(m(Tw_n)) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, which contradicts (4.1). □

In [2, Thm.5.60], the approximation properties were provided for $M$-weakly and $L$-weakly compact operators. The following two propositions are similar to [2, Thm.5.60] in the case of $p$-$M$-weakly and $p$-$L$-weakly compact operators.

**Proposition 19.** Let $(X, p, E)$ be a sequentially $p$-complete LNVL with a Banach lattice $(E, \| \cdot \|_E)$, $(Y, m, F)$ be an LNS, $T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, m, F)$ be $p$-$M$-weakly compact, and $A$ be a $p$-bounded solid subset of $X$. Then, for each atom $a$ in $F$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some $u \in X_+$ such that

$$f_a(m(T(|x| - u)^+)) < \varepsilon$$

holds for all $x \in A$.

**Proof.** Let $A$ be a $p$-bounded solid subset of $X$. Since $T$ is $p$-$M$-weakly compact then $m(Tx_n) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0$ for every disjoint sequence in $A$. By Theorem [6] for any atom $a \in F$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $u \in X_+$ such that $f_a(m(T(|x| - u)^+)) < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in A$. □

**Proposition 20.** Let $(X, p, E)$ be an LNS and $(Y, m, F)$ be a sequentially $p$-complete LNVL with a Banach lattice $F$. Assume $T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, m, F)$ to be $p$-$L$-weakly compact and $A$ to be $p$-bounded in $X$. Then, for each atom $a$ in $F$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some $u \in Y_+$ in the ideal generated by $T(X)$ satisfying

$$f_a(m(|Tx| - u)^+) < \varepsilon$$

for all $x \in A$.

**Proof.** Let $A$ be a $p$-bounded subset of $X$. Since $T$ is $p$-$L$-weakly compact, $m(y_n) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0$ for any disjoint sequence $y_n$ in $sol(T(A))$. Consider the identity operator $I$ on $(Y, m, F)$. By Theorem [6] for any atom $a \in F$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $u \in Y_+$ in the ideal generated by $sol(T(A))$ (and so in the ideal generated by $T(X)$) such that

$$f_a(m(|y| - u)^+) < \varepsilon$$

for all $y \in sol(T(A))$. In particular,

$$f_a(m(|Tx| - u)^+) < \varepsilon$$

for all $x \in A$. □

The next two results provide relations between $p$-$M$-weakly and $p$-$L$-weakly compact operators, which are known for $M$-weakly and $L$-weakly compact operators; e.g. [2, Thm.5.67 and Exer.4(a), p:337]

**Theorem 7.** Let $(X, p, E)$ be a sequentially $p$-complete LNVL with a norming Banach lattice $(E, \| \cdot \|_E)$, $(Y, m, F)$ be an $\alpha$-continuous LNVL with an atomic norming lattice $F$ and $T \in L^\alpha(X, Y)$. If $T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, m, F)$ is $p$-$M$-weakly compact then $T$ is $p$-$L$-weakly compact.
Proposition 21. Let $A$ be a $p$-bounded subset of $X$ and let $y_n$ be a disjoint sequence in $\text{sol}(T(A))$. Then there is a sequence $x_n$ in $A$ such that $|y_n| \leq |Tx_n|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $a \in F$ be an atom. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ then, by Proposition 19, there is $u \in X_+$ such that
\[ f_a(m(T(|x| - u)^+)) < \varepsilon \]
holds for all $x \in \text{sol}(A)$. In particular, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have
\[ f_a(m(T(x_n^+ - u)^+)) < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad f_a(m(T(x_n^- - u)^+)) < \varepsilon \]
Thus, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
\[
|y_n| \leq |Tx_n| \leq |Tx_n^+| + |Tx_n^-|
= |T(x_n^+ - u)^+ + T(x_n^+ \wedge u)| + |T(x_n^- - u)^+ + T(x_n^- \wedge u)|
\leq |T(x_n^+ - u)^+| + |T(x_n^+ \wedge u)| + |T(x_n^- - u)^+| + |T(x_n^- \wedge u)|
\leq |T(x_n^+ - u)^+| + |T(x_n^- - u)^+| + 2|T|u|.
\]
By Riesz decomposition property, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $u_n, v_n \geq 0$ such that $y_n = u_n + v_n$ and $0 \leq u_n \leq |T(x_n^+ - u)^+| + |T(x_n^- - u)^+|$, $0 \leq v_n \leq 2|T|u|$. Since $y_n$ is disjoint sequence and $v_n \leq |y_n|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then the sequence $v_n$ is disjoint. Moreover, it is order bounded. Hence, $v_n \xrightarrow{o} 0$. Since $(Y, m, F)$ is op-continuous then $m(v_n) \xrightarrow{a} 0$. In particular, $f_a(m(v_n)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So, for given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_a(m(v_n)) < \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$.
Thus, for any $n \geq n_0$, we have
\[
f_a(m(y_n)) \leq f_a(m(u_n)) + f_a(m(v_n)) \leq f_a(m(T(x_n^+ - u)^+)) + f_a(m(T(x_n^- - u)^+)) + \varepsilon \leq 3\varepsilon.
\]
Hence, $f_a(m(y_n)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $T$ is $p$-bounded then $m(y_n)$ is order bounded. The atomicity of $F$ implies $m(y_n) \xrightarrow{a} 0$ in $F$. Therefore, $T$ is $p$-L-weakly compact. \hfill \Box

Proposition 22. Let $(X, p, E)$ and $(Y, m, F)$ be LNVLs. If $T : (X, p, E) \rightarrow (Y, m, F)$ is a $p$-L-weakly compact lattice homomorphism then $T$ is $p$-M-weakly compact.

Proof. Let $x_n$ be a $p$-bounded disjoint sequence in $X$. Since $T$ is lattice homomorphism then we have that $Tx_n$ is disjoint in $Y$. Clearly $Tx_n \in \text{sol} \left( \{Tx_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \} \right)$. Since $T$ is a $p$-L-weakly compact lattice homomorphism then $m(T(x_n))^\omega \rightarrow 0$ in $F$. Therefore, $T$ is $p$-M-weakly compact. \hfill \Box
is a \(\sigma\)-order continuous normed lattice. If \(T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, m, F)\) is \(p\)-M-weakly compact then \(T : (X, p\|\cdot\|_E) \to (Y, m\|\cdot\|_F)\) is \(M\)-weakly compact.

**Proof.** By Proposition \(3\), it follows that \(T : (X, p\|\cdot\|_E) \to (Y, m\|\cdot\|_F)\) is norm continuous. Let \(x_n\) be a norm bounded disjoint sequence in \((X, p\|\cdot\|_E)\). Then \(p\|x_n\|_E \leq M < \infty\) or \(p(x_n)\|_E \leq M < \infty\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). Since \((E, \|\cdot\|_E)\) is an \(AM\)-space with a strong unit then there is \(e \in E_+\) such that \(p(x_n) \leq e\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). Thus, \(x_n\) is a \(p\)-bounded disjoint sequence in \((X, p, E)\). Since \(T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, m, F)\) is \(p\)-M-weakly compact then \(m(Tx_n)^{\alpha} \to 0\) in \(F\). It follows from the \(\sigma\)-order continuity of \((F, \|\cdot\|_F)\), that \(\lim m\|Tx_n\|_F = 0\). Therefore, \(T : (X, p\|\cdot\|_E) \to (Y, m\|\cdot\|_F)\) is \(M\)-weakly compact.

**Proposition 23.** Suppose \((X, p, E)\) to be an LNVL with a \(\sigma\)-order continuous normed lattice \((E, \|\cdot\|_E)\) and \((Y, m, F)\) to be an LNS with an atomic normed lattice \((F, \|\cdot\|_F)\). Assume further that:

(i) \(T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, m, F)\) is \(p\)-bounded;

(ii) \(T : (X, p\|\cdot\|_E) \to (Y, m\|\cdot\|_F)\) is \(M\)-weakly compact.

Then \(T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, m, F)\) is \(p\)-\(M\)-weakly compact.

**Proof.** The assumptions, together with Theorem 11 imply that \(T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, m, F)\) is sequentially \(p\)-continuous.

Assume \(x_n\) to be a \(p\)-bounded disjoint sequence in \((X, p, E)\). Then \(x_n\) is disjoint and norm bounded in \((E, p\|\cdot\|_E)\). Since \(T : (X, p\|\cdot\|_E) \to (Y, m\|\cdot\|_F)\) is \(M\)-weakly compact then \(\lim_{n \to \infty} m\|Tx_n\|_F = 0\) or \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \|m(Tx_n)\|_F = 0\). Since \(x_n\) is \(p\)-bounded and \(T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, m, F)\) is \(p\)-bounded then \(m(Tx_n)\) is order bounded in \(F\). Let \(a \in F\) be an atom then

\[
|fa(m(Tx_n))| \leq \|fa\||m(Tx_n)||_F \to 0 \quad \text{as} \ n \to \infty.
\]

Since \(F\) is atomic then \(m(Tx_n)^{\alpha} \to 0\). Therefore, \(T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, m, F)\) is \(p\)-\(M\)-weakly compact.

**Proposition 24.** Assume \((X, p, E)\) to be an LNS with an \(AM\)-space \((E, \|\cdot\|_E)\) possessing a strong unit, and \((Y, m, F)\) to be an LNVL with a \(\sigma\)-order continuous normed lattice \((F, \|\cdot\|_F)\). If \(T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, m, F)\) is \(p\)-\(L\)-weakly compact then \(T : (X, p\|\cdot\|_E) \to (Y, m\|\cdot\|_F)\) is \(L\)-weakly compact.

**Proof.** Proposition 3 implies that \(T : (X, p\|\cdot\|_E) \to (Y, m\|\cdot\|_F)\) is norm continuous. Let \(B_X\) be the closed unit ball of \((X, p\|\cdot\|_E)\). Then \(p\|x\|_E \leq 1\) or \(p(x)\|_E \leq 1\) for all \(x \in B_X\). Since \((E, \|\cdot\|_E)\) is an \(AM\)-space with a strong unit then there is an element \(e \in E_+\) such that \(p(x) \leq e\) for each \(x \in B_X\). So \(B_X\) is \(p\)-weakly compact. Let \(y_n\) be a disjoint sequence in \(\text{sol}(T(B_X))\). Since \(T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, m, F)\) is \(p\)-bounded. Let \(y_n\) be a disjoint sequence in \(\text{sol}(T(B_X))\). Since \(T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, m, F)\) is \(p\)-\(L\)-weakly compact then \(m(y_n)^{\alpha} \to 0\) in \(F\). Since \((F, \|\cdot\|_F)\) is \(\sigma\)-order continuous normed lattice then \(\lim_{n \to \infty} m\|y_n\|_F = 0\). So \(T : (X, p\|\cdot\|_E) \to (Y, m\|\cdot\|_F)\) is \(L\)-weakly compact.
Proposition 25. Let \((X, p, E)\) be an LNS with a \(\sigma\)-order continuous normed lattice, \((Y, m, F)\) be an LNVL with an atomic normed lattice \((F, \|\cdot\|_F)\). Assume that:

(i) \(T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, m, F)\) is \(p\)-bounded, and

(ii) \(T : (X, p\|\cdot\|_E) \to (Y, m\|\cdot\|_F)\) is \(L\)-weakly compact.

Then \(T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, m, F)\) is \(p\)-\(L\)-weakly compact.

Proof. Theorem \([1]\) implies that \(T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, m, F)\) is sequentially \(p\)-continuous. Let \(A\) be a \(p\)-bounded set. Then there is \(e \in E_+\) such that \(p(a) \leq e\) for all \(a \in A\). Hence, \(\|p(a)\|_E \leq \|e\|_E\) for all \(a \in A\) or \(p\|a\|_E \leq \|e\|_E\) for each \(a \in A\). Thus, \(A\) is norm bounded in \((X, p\|\cdot\|_E)\). Let \(y_n\) be a disjoint sequence in \(\text{sol}(T(A))\). Since \(T : (X, p\|\cdot\|_E) \to (Y, m\|\cdot\|_F)\) is \(L\)-weakly compact then \(\lim_{n \to \infty} m\|y_n\|_F = 0\) or \(\lim_{n \to \infty} m\|y_n\|_F = 0\).

Since \(T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, m, F)\) is \(p\)-bounded and \(A\) is \(p\)-bounded then \(T(A)\) is \(p\)-bounded in \(Y\) and so \(\text{sol}(T(A))\) is \(p\)-bounded in \(Y\). Hence, \(y_n\) is a \(p\)-bounded sequence in \((Y, m, F)\); i.e. \(m(y_n)\) is order bounded in \(F\). Let \(a \in F\) be an atom and consider its biorthogonal functional \(f_a\). Then

\[
|f_a(m(y_n))| \leq \|f_a\| \|m(y_n)\|_F \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.
\]

So, for any atom \(a \in F\), \(\lim_{n \to \infty} f_a(m(y_n)) = 0\) and, since \(m(y_n)\) is order bounded in an atomic vector lattice \(F\), \(m(y_n) \rightharpoonup 0\) in \(F\). Thus, \(T\) is \(p\)-\(L\)-weakly compact. \(\square\)

5. up-Continuous and up-Compact Operators

Using the up-convergence in LNVLs, we introduce the following notions.

Definition 6. Let \(X, Y\) be two LNVLs and \(T \in L(X, Y)\). Then:

1. \(T\) is called up-continuous if \(x_\alpha \rightharpoonup 0\) in \(X\) implies \(Tx_\alpha \rightharpoonup 0\) in \(Y\), if the condition holds for sequences then \(T\) is called sequentially up-continuous;

2. \(T\) is called up-compact if for any \(p\)-bounded net \(x_\alpha\) in \(X\) there is a subnet \(x_{\alpha_\beta}\) such that \(Tx_{\alpha_\beta} \rightharpoonup y\) in \(Y\) for some \(y \in Y\);

3. \(T\) is called sequentially up-compact if for any \(p\)-bounded sequence \(x_n\) in \(X\) there is a subsequence \(x_{n_k}\) such that \(Tx_{n_k} \rightharpoonup y\) in \(Y\) for some \(y \in Y\).

Remark 11.

i. The notion of up-continuous operators is motivated by two recent notions, namely: \(\sigma\)-unbounded order continuous (\(\sigma\)-uo-continuous) mappings between vector lattices (see \([9]\), p.23), and un-continuous functionals on Banach lattices (see \([11]\), p.17).

ii. If \(T\) is (sequentially) \(p\)-continuous operator then \(T\) is (sequentially) up-continuous.

iii. If \(T\) is (sequentially) \(p\)-compact operator then \(T\) is (sequentially) up-compact.
Proposition 26. Let \((X, \|\cdot\|_X)\) be a normed space and \((Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)\) be a normed lattice. An operator \(T \in B(X,Y)\) is called (sequentially) un-compact if for every norm bounded net \(x_\alpha\) (respectively, every norm bounded sequence \(x_n\)), its image has a subnet (respectively, subsequence), which is un-convergent; see [11 Sec.9,p.28]. Therefore, \(T \in B(X,Y)\) is (sequentially) un-compact iff \(T : (X, \|\cdot\|_X, \mathbb{R}) \to (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y, \mathbb{R})\) is (sequentially) up-compact.

Theorem 8. Let \((X, E), (Y, F)\) be two LNVLs and \(T \in L(X,Y)\). If \(T\) is up-compact and \(p\)-semicompact operator then \(T\) is \(p\)-compact.

Proof. Let \(x_\alpha\) be a \(p\)-bounded net in \(X\). Then \(Tx_\alpha\) is \(p\)-almost order bounded net in \(Y\), as \(T\) is \(p\)-semicompact operator. Moreover, since \(T\) is up-compact then there is a subnet \(x_{\alpha_\beta}\) such that \(Tx_{\alpha_\beta} \uparrow y\) for some \(y \in Y\). It follows by [3 Prop.9], that \(Tx_{\alpha_\beta} \uparrow y\). Therefore, \(T\) is \(p\)-compact. \(\square\)

Similar to Proposition [5] for any \(S, T \in L(X)\), where \(X\) is an LNVL the following holds:

(i) If \(S\) is \(p\)-bounded and \(T\) is up-compact then \(T \circ S\) is up-compact.

(ii) If \(S\) is up-continuous and \(T\) is up-compact then \(S \circ T\) is up-compact.

Now we investigate composition of a sequentially up-compact operator with a dominated lattice homomorphism.

Theorem 8. Let \((X, p, E)\) be an LNVL, \((Y, m, F)\) an LNVL with an order continuous Banach lattice \((F, \|\cdot\|_F)\), and \((Z, q, G)\) an LNVL with a Banach lattice \((G, \|\cdot\|_G)\). If \(T \in L(X,Y)\) is a sequentially up-compact operator and \(S \in L(Y,Z)\) is a dominated surjective lattice homomorphism then \(S \circ T\) is sequentially up-compact.

Proof. Let \(x_n\) be a \(p\)-bounded sequence in \(X\). Since \(T\) is sequentially up-compact then there is a subsequence \(x_{n_k}\) such that \(Tx_{n_k} \uparrow y\) in \(Y\) for some \(y \in Y\). Let \(u \in Z_+\). Since \(S\) is surjective lattice homomorphism, we have some \(v \in Y_+\) such that \(Sv = u\). Since \(Tx_{n_k} \uparrow y\) then \(m(|Tx_{n_k} - y| \wedge v) \leq 0\) in \(F\). Clearly, \(F\) is order complete and so, by [1 Prop.1.5], there are \(f_k \downarrow 0\) and \(k_0 \in \mathbb{N}\) such that
\[
(5.1) \quad m(|Tx_{n_k} - y| \wedge v) \leq f_k \quad (k \geq k_0).
\]
Note also \(f_k \downarrow 0\) in \(F\), as \((F, \|\cdot\|_F)\) is an order continuous Banach lattice.

Since \(S\) is dominated then there is a positive operator \(R : F \to G\) such that
\[
q(S(|Tx_{n_k} - y| \wedge v)) \leq R(m(|Tx_{n_k} - y| \wedge v)).
\]
Taking into account that \(S\) is a lattice homomorphism and \(Sv = u\), we get, by [5,11], that
\[
(5.2) \quad q(|S \circ Tx_{n_k} - Sy| \wedge u) \leq Rf_k \quad (k \geq k_0).
\]
Since \(R\) is positive then by [2 Thm.4.3] it is norm continuous. Hence, \(\|Rf_k\|_G \downarrow 0\). Also, by [18 Thm.VII.2.1], there is a subsequence \(f_{k_j}\) of
\((f_k)_{k \geq k_0}\) such that \(Rf_k \mathop{\rightarrow}^0 \) in \(G\), and so \(Rf_k \downarrow 0\) in \(G\). So \((5.2)\) becomes
\[
q(|S \circ Tx_{n_k_j} - Sy| \land u) \leq Rf_{k_j} \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}).
\]

Since \(u \in Z_+\) is arbitrary, \(S \circ T(x_{n_k}) \xrightarrow{up} Sy\). Therefore, \(S \circ T\) is sequentially \(up\)-compact. \(\square\)

**Remark 12.** In connection with the proof of Theorem \((5.8)\) it should be mentioned that, if the operator \(T\) is \(up\)-compact and \(S\) is a surjective lattice homomorphism with an order continuous dominant then it can be easily seen that \(S \circ T\) is \(up\)-compact.

Recall that, for an LNVL \((X, p, E)\), a sublattice \(Y\) of \(X\) is called \(up\)-regular if, for any net \(y_\alpha\) in \(Y\), the convergence \(y_\alpha \xrightarrow{up} 0\) in \(X\) implies \(y_\alpha \xrightarrow{up} 0\) in \(X\); see [4, Def.10 and Sec.3.4].

**Corollary 4.** Let \((X, p, E)\) be an LNVL, \((Y, m, F)\) an LNVL with an order continuous Banach lattice \((F, \|\cdot\|_F)\), and \((Z, q, G)\) an LNVL with a Banach lattice \((G, \|\cdot\|_G)\). If \(T \in L(X,Y)\) is a sequentially \(up\)-compact operator, \(S \in L(Y,Z)\) is a dominated lattice homomorphism, and \(S(Y)\) is \(up\)-regular in \(Z\) then \(S \circ T\) is sequentially \(up\)-compact.

**Proof.** Since \(S\) is a lattice homomorphism then \(S(Y)\) is a vector sublattice of \(Z\). So \((S(Y), q, G)\) is an LNVL. Thus, by Theorem \((5.8)\) we have \(S \circ T : (X, p, E) \rightarrow (S(Y), q, G)\) is sequentially \(up\)-compact.

Next, we show that \(S \circ T : (X, p, E) \rightarrow (Z, q, G)\) is sequentially \(up\)-compact. Let \(x_n\) be a \(p\)-bounded sequence in \(X\). Then there is a subsequence \(x_{n_k}\) such that \(S \circ T(x_{n_k}) \xrightarrow{up} z\) in \(S(Y)\) for some \(z \in S(Y)\). Since \(S(Y)\) is \(up\)-regular in \(Z\), we have \(S \circ T(x_{n_k}) \xrightarrow{up} z\) in \(Z\). Therefore, \(S \circ T : X \rightarrow Z\) is sequentially \(up\)-compact. \(\square\)

The next result is similar to [11, Prop.9.4].

**Corollary 5.** Let \((X, p, E)\) be an LNVL, \((Y, m, F)\) an LNVL with an order continuous Banach lattice \((F, \|\cdot\|_F)\), and \((Z, q, G)\) an LNVL with a Banach lattice \((G, \|\cdot\|_G)\). If \(T \in L(X,Y)\) is a sequentially \(up\)-compact operator, \(S \in L(Y,Z)\) is a dominated lattice homomorphism, and \(I_{S(Y)}\) (the ideal generated by \(S(Y)\)) is \(up\)-regular in \(Z\) then \(S \circ T\) is sequentially \(up\)-compact.

**Proof.** Let \(x_n\) be a \(p\)-bounded sequence in \(X\). Since \(T\) sequentially \(up\)-compact, there exist a subsequence \(x_{n_k}\) and \(y_0 \in Y\) such that \(Tx_{n_k} \xrightarrow{up} y_0\) in \(Y\). Let \(0 \leq u \in I_{S(Y)}\). Then there is \(y \in Y_+\) such that \(0 \leq u \leq Sy\). Therefore, we have for a dominant \(R:\)
\[
q(S(|Tx_{n_k} - y_0| \land y)) \leq R(m(|Tx_{n_k} - y_0| \land y))
\]
and so
\[
q((|STx_{n_k} - Sy_0| \land Sy)) \leq R(m(|Tx_{n_k} - y_0| \land y)).
\]
It follows from \(0 \leq u \leq Sy\), that
\[
q((|STx_{n_k} - Sy_0| \land u)) \leq R(m(|Tx_{n_k} - y_0| \land u)).
\]
Now, the argument given in the proof of Theorem 8 can be repeated here as well. Thus, we have that $S \circ T : (X, p, E) \to (I_{S(Y)}, q, G)$ is sequentially up-compact. Since $I_{S(Y)}$ is up-regular in $Z$ then it can be easily seen that $S \circ T : X \to Z$ is sequentially up-compact. □

We conclude this section by a result which might be compared with Proposition 9.9 in [11].

**Proposition 27.** Let $(X, p, E)$ be an LNS and let $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ be a σ-order continuous normed lattice. If $T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, |\cdot|, Y)$ is sequentially up-compact and $p$-bounded then $T : (X, p, E) \to (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ is $\text{GAM}$-compact.

**Proof.** Let $x_n$ be a $p$-bounded sequence in $X$. Since $T$ is up-compact, there exist a subsequence $x_{n_k}$ and some $y \in Y$ such that $T x_{n_k} \xrightarrow{up} y$ in $(Y, |\cdot|, Y)$ and, by the σ-order continuity of $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$, we have $T x_{n_k} \xrightarrow{un} y$ in $Y$. Moreover, since $T$ is $p$-bounded then $T x_n$ is $p$-bounded $(Y, |\cdot|, Y)$ or order bounded in $Y$, and so we get $T x_{n_k} \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_Y} y$. Therefore, $T$ is $\text{GAM}$-compact. □
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