Molecular determinants of Smac mimetic induced degradation of cIAP1 and cIAP2
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The inhibitors of apoptosis (IAP) proteins cIAP1 and cIAP2 have recently emerged as key ubiquitin-E3 ligases regulating innate immunity and cell survival. Much of our knowledge of these IAPs stems from studies using pharmacological inhibitors of IAPs, dubbed Smac mimetics (SMs). Although SMs stimulate auto-ubiquitylation and degradation of cIAPs, little is known about the molecular determinants through which SMs activate the E3 activities of cIAPs. In this study, we find that SM-induced rapid degradation of cIAPs requires binding to tumour necrosis factor (TNF) receptor-associated factor 2 (TRAF2). Moreover, our data reveal an unexpected difference between cIAP1 and cIAP2. Although SM-induced degradation of cIAP1 does not require cIAP2, degradation of cIAP2 critically depends on the presence of cIAP1. In addition, degradation of cIAP2 also requires the ability of the cIAP2 RING finger to dimerise and to bind to E2s. This has important implications because SM-mediated degradation of cIAP1 causes non-canonical activation of NF-κB, which results in the induction of cIAP2 gene expression. In the absence of cIAP1, de novo synthesised cIAP2 is resistant to the SM and suppresses TNFα killing. Furthermore, the cIAP2-MALT1 oncogene, which lacks cIAP2’s RING, is resistant to SM treatment. The identification of mechanisms through which cancer cells resist SM treatment will help to improve combination therapies aimed at enhancing treatment response.
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Advances in our understanding of the molecular mechanisms through which cancer cells bypass the apoptotic programme has aided the design of novel, potentially more selective and effective strategies for cancer treatment. The development of Smac mimetic (SM) compounds, designed to inhibit members of the inhibitor of apoptosis protein (IAP) family and to sensitize cancer cells to death, represents such an approach.

SM compounds are a class of small pharmacological molecules that mimic the amino-terminal IAP-binding motif (AVPI) of mature Smac (also known as DIABLO), a member of the loosely defined family of IAP antagonists. These compounds selectively bind to the Baculoviral IAP Repeat (BIR)2 and BIR3 domains of numerous IAPs. The mammalian IAPs, XIAP, cIAP1 and cIAP2 contain three such domains in their amino-terminal portion. These IAPs also harbour additional domains such as the RING-finger domain that provides them with Ubiquitin (Ub) ligase (E3) activity, a Ub-associated domain through which they interact with ubiquitylated proteins, and in the situation of cIAP1 and cIAP2, a caspase-recruitment domain of unknown function.

Although IAPs are best known for their ability to inhibit caspases, they also fulfill survival-signalling functions independent of controlling caspases. In particular, cIAP1 and cIAP2 modulate Ub-dependent signalling events that regulate NF-κB. cIAPs are required for stimulus-dependent activation of the canonical pathway and for constitutive suppression of the non-canonical NF-κB pathway.

Under unstimulated conditions, non-canonical NF-κB signalling is suppressed by cIAP-mediated degradation of NF-κB-inducing kinase (NIK). cIAP-mediated degradation of NIK also requires tumour necrosis factor (TNF) receptor-associated factor (TRAF)2 and TRAF3, which function as adaptor proteins recruiting NIK to cIAPs. Loss of TRAF2, TRAF3 or cIAPs prevents NIK turnover and results in the accumulation of NIK protein levels and in the stimulation of non-canonical NF-κB signalling.

In the situation of the canonical NF-κB pathway, stimulation with TNFα leads to recruitment of cIAPs through TRAF2 to the plasma membrane-bound TNF receptor 1 (TNF-R1) signalling complex, dubbed complex-I. After its recruitment, cIAPs promote ubiquitylation of components of this complex, such as receptor-interacting protein 1 (RIP1). This in turn stimulates the recruitment of the kinase complexes TAK1–TAB2–TAB3 and NEMO–IKKα–IKKβ and ultimately results in the nuclear translocation of NF-κB dimers. Recent studies have indicated that cIAPs are not only required for proper regulation of NF-κB but also for suppression of cell death during TNFα signalling.

Although originally designed to inactivate XIAP, SMs are most effective with cIAP1 and cIAP2. Within minutes of

1Chester Beatty Laboratories, The Breakthrough Toby Robins Breast Cancer Research Centre, Institute of Cancer Research, Mary-Jean Mitchell Green Building, Fulham Road, London SW3 6JB, UK; 2Department of Biochemistry, Level 4 RL Reid Building, La Trobe University, Victoria 3086, Australia and 3TetraLogic Pharmaceuticals, 343 Phoenixville Pike, Malvern, PA 19355, USA; 4These authors contributed equally to this work.

Keywords: cIAP1; cIAP2; Smac mimetic; TNFα; TRAF2; ubiquitin

Abbreviations: SM, Smac mimetic; IAP, inhibitor of apoptosis protein; BIR, Baculoviral IAP repeat; RING, Really Interesting New Gene; TNFα, tumour necrosis factor-α; NIK, NF-κB inducing kinase; TRAF, TNF receptor-associated factor; RIP1, receptor-interacting protein 1; MALT, mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue; MEF, mouse embryonic fibroblast; DKO, double knockout; Ub, ubiquitin

Received 01.10.10; revised 05.1.11; accepted 07.1.11; Edited by C Duckett; published online 18.2.11
exposure, SMs trigger auto-ubiquitylation and proteasomal degradation of cIAP1 and cIAP2—although cIAP2 is depleted with slower kinetics in most cases.\textsuperscript{9,11,12,14,17,19} This results in stabilisation of NIK and spontaneous activation of non-canonical NF-\(\kappa\)B. In SM-sensitive cells, this leads to NF-\(\kappa\)B-mediated production of autocrine TNF\(_a\), overstimulation of TNF-R1, followed by RIP1-dependent cell death.

Over the last few years, several small pharmacological inhibitors of these IAPs have been developed that are currently undergoing clinical trials. Although it is clear that these compounds stimulate auto-ubiquitylation and degradation of cIAPs, it remains enigmatic how such SMs promote activation of the E3 ligase activity of these cIAPs. In this study, we show that TRAF2 is required for SM-induced degradation of cIAP1 and cIAP2. Moreover, our data reveal an unexpected difference between cIAP1 and cIAP2 in their response to SM treatment.

**Results**

**TRAF2 is required for SM-induced degradation of cIAP1.** Despite the importance of TRAF2 for cIAP function,\textsuperscript{20} little is currently known regarding the contribution of TRAF2 to SM-induced rapid degradation of cIAPs. Using biotinylated SMs that bind cIAP1 and cIAP2,\textsuperscript{17} we found that TRAF2 readily co-purified with cIAP1 and cIAP2, indicating that SM-bound cIAPs are associated with TRAF2 (Figure 1a).

To examine the requirement of TRAF2 for SM-induced degradation of cIAP1, we tested three different SMs: the bivalent compound A (Comp. A)\textsuperscript{11} and the monovalent SMs Comp. C (RF and JS, unpublished observation) and LBW242.\textsuperscript{14} Although all three SMs triggered depletion of endogenous cIAP1 in WT mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) (Figure 1b), TRAF2\textsuperscript{2/−/−} MEFs were refractory to rapid SM-induced degradation of cIAP1. To exclude the possibility that this effect was due to a genetic drift among different cell lines, rather than due to the specific loss of TRAF2, we used MEFs with loxP sites on either side of the gene rather than due to the specific loss of TRAF2 (Supplementary Figure S1). This indicates that the inability of the SM to trigger cIAP1 degradation in TRAF2\textsuperscript{2/−/−} MEFs was due to a failure of cIAP1 to bind to the SM in the absence of TRAF2 (Figure 1e). Taken together, these results indicate that TRAF2, but not TRAF1 or TRAF3, is required for SM-induced cIAP1 degradation.

**SM-induced degradation of cIAP1 requires TRAF2 binding but not TRAF2’s E3 ligase activity.** Given that TRAF2 binds to cIAP1, we addressed whether TRAF2 contributes to SM-induced cIAP1 degradation. Although cIAP1 binds to TRAF2 through BIR1,\textsuperscript{21,22} we examined the role of the BIR1 domain for SM-induced degradation of cIAP1. To this end, we transiently transfected HEK293T cells with cIAP1\textsuperscript{WT} and cIAP1\textsuperscript{ABIR1} that lacks the BIR1 domain and therefore fails to bind to TRAF2 (Figure 2a and Supplementary Figure S2). SM-induced degradation of cIAP1 was dependent on TRAF2 binding because treatment with LBW242 failed to efficiently deplete cIAP1\textsuperscript{ABIR1} while cIAP1\textsuperscript{WT} was rapidly degraded (Figure 2b). It is noteworthy that cIAP1\textsuperscript{ABIR1} is partially degraded by the SM compound under these conditions, although to a lesser extent than wild-type cIAP1. Most likely, this is due to the overexpression conditions using HEK293T cells. SM-induced cIAP1 degradation was not rescued in TRAF2\textsuperscript{2/−/−} MEFs reconstituted with TRAF2\textsuperscript{CIM}, a TRAF2 mutant that lacks the cIAP1-interacting motif (CIM, \(\Delta283–293\)) \textsuperscript{20} (Figure 2c). In contrast, reconstitution of TRAF2\textsuperscript{2/−/−} MEFs with TRAF2\textsuperscript{WT} or TRAF2\textsuperscript{RING}, which lacks its amino-terminal RING-finger domain, rescued SM-induced degradation of cIAP1. Together with previous data, these results indicate that apart from a functional RING of cIAP1 and binding of the SM to the BIR2/3 domains, binding of TRAF2 to cIAP1 is necessary for SM-induced cIAP1 degradation. Although TRAF2 carries a RING finger, this domain is dispensable for SM-induced degradation of cIAP1, ruling out the possibility that TRAF2 acts as the E3 for cIAP1. Next, we addressed whether TRAF2 contributes to SM-induced degradation by enhancing the E3 ligase activity of cIAP1. Recombinant cIAP1 possesses intrinsic E3 ligase activity even in the absence of TRAF2 under in vitro conditions.\textsuperscript{9,12} Accordingly, cIAP1 promotes auto-ubiquitylation in an in vitro assay in a concentration-dependent manner (Figure 2d). When increasing amounts of TRAF2 were added to the reaction, TRAF2 did not change cIAP1-mediated auto-ubiquitylation (Figure 2e), indicating that TRAF2 does not
enhance the intrinsic E3 ligase activity of cIAP1 under these conditions.

To address the possibility that TRAF2 recruits ubiquitylated cIAP1 to the degradation machinery, we examined whether auto-ubiquitylated cIAP1 accumulates in the absence of TRAF2. In the absence of TRAF2, we failed to detect any discernable levels of ubiquitylated cIAP1 (Figure 2f), rendering it unlikely that TRAF2 recruits ubiquitylated cIAP1 to the proteasome. Taken together, our data are consistent with a model in which TRAF2 functions as an essential cofactor for cIAP1’s E3 activity upon SM treatment because it acts as a scaffold allowing high local concentration of cIAP1. This might facilitate dimerisation of cIAP’s RING, which is required for cIAPs’ E3 activity.26,27 According to this scenario, cIAP1 RING dimerisation may be hampered in the absence of TRAF2.

SM-induced degradation of cIAP2 is dependent on TRAF2 and cIAP1. Next, we tested whether TRAF2 is also required for SM-mediated degradation of cIAP2. As there are currently no good antibodies available that would detect mouse cIAP2 at endogenous levels, we generated WT–cIAP2 and TRAF2<sup>−/−</sup>–cIAP2 MEFs that carry an inducible transgene encoding human cIAP2, for which good antibodies exist. Like for cIAP1, cIAP2 was readily degraded in WT MEFs (Figure 3a). However, MEFs lacking TRAF2 showed impaired degradation of cIAP2. Interestingly, when cIAP2 was introduced into cIAP1/2 double-knockout (DKO) MEFs, LBW242 treatment failed to induce rapid degradation of cIAP2 (Figures 3a and b), indicating that cIAP2 degradation critically depends on the presence of cIAP1. In contrast, degradation of cIAP1 was not dependent on cIAP2, as cIAP1 reconstituted in DKO MEFs was efficiently degraded upon LBW242 treatment (Figure 3c). Importantly, cIAP1 was not required for cIAP2 to bind to the SM compound, nor did it affect cIAP2’s ability to bind to TRAF2 (Figure 3d). Furthermore, SM-mediated degradation of cIAP2 was also impaired in human cells, in which cIAP1 was knocked down by RNA interference (RNAi) (Supplementary Figure S3, see the Materials and Methods section for details). These results indicate that cIAP2 relies on the E3 activity of cIAP1 for its degradation upon SM treatment. This observation is consistent with earlier reports suggesting that cIAP1 targets cIAP2 for proteasomal degradation. A8,29 Although cIAP2 fails to promote its own degradation in the absence of cIAP1 under these conditions, cIAP2 is not generally inactive as E3. In the absence of cIAP1, cIAP2 readily promoted TNF-induced ubiquitylation of RIP1, degradation of NIK and

Figure 1  TRAF2 is required for Smac mimic (SM)-induced cIAP1 degradation. (a) and (e) Biotinylated SM was used to purify SM-binding proteins from lysates of MDA-MB-231 (a) and MEFs (e). The presence of co-purified proteins was established by immunoblotting the eluate with the indicated antibodies. Asterisks indicate nonspecific bands. (f) Secreted luciferase reporter assays using TRAF2<sup>−/−</sup>, i-IκB–SR MEFs. Cells were left untreated or treated with 100 ng/ml doxycycline (Dox) to induce i-IκB–SR. The medium was analysed for luciferase activity 24 h later.

SM-induced degradation of cIAP2 is dependent on TRAF2 and cIAP1. Next, we tested whether TRAF2 is also required for SM-mediated degradation of cIAP2. As there are currently no good antibodies available that would detect mouse cIAP2 at endogenous levels, we generated WT–cIAP2 and TRAF2<sup>−/−</sup>–cIAP2 MEFs that carry an inducible transgene encoding human cIAP2, for which good antibodies exist. Like for cIAP1, cIAP2 was readily degraded in WT MEFs (Figure 3a). However, MEFs lacking TRAF2 showed impaired degradation of cIAP2. Interestingly, when cIAP2 was introduced into cIAP1/2 double-knockout (DKO) MEFs, LBW242 treatment failed to induce rapid degradation of cIAP2 (Figures 3a and b), indicating that cIAP2 degradation critically depends on the presence of cIAP1. In contrast, degradation of cIAP1 was not dependent on cIAP2, as cIAP1 reconstituted in DKO MEFs was efficiently degraded upon LBW242 treatment (Figure 3c). Importantly, cIAP1 was not required for cIAP2 to bind to the SM compound, nor did it affect cIAP2’s ability to bind to TRAF2 (Figure 3d). Furthermore, SM-mediated degradation of cIAP2 was also impaired in human cells, in which cIAP1 was knocked down by RNA interference (RNAi) (Supplementary Figure S3, see the Materials and Methods section for details). These results indicate that cIAP2 relies on the E3 activity of cIAP1 for its degradation upon SM treatment. This observation is consistent with earlier reports suggesting that cIAP1 targets cIAP2 for proteasomal degradation. A8,29 Although cIAP2 fails to promote its own degradation in the absence of cIAP1 under these conditions, cIAP2 is not generally inactive as E3. In the absence of cIAP1, cIAP2 readily promoted TNF-induced ubiquitylation of RIP1, degradation of NIK and
suppression of p100 processing (Figures 3e–g). Therefore, cIAP2 is fully competent in promoting ubiquitylation of bona fide substrates. However, in the absence of cIAP1, cIAP2’s E3 ligase activity does not allow auto-ubiquitylation in response to SM treatment.

RING dimerisation and E2 binding is required for cIAP2 degradation. Next, we addressed the role of cIAP2’s own RING finger for SM-induced, cIAP1-directed degradation of cIAP2. To this end, we focussed on cIAP2RING lacking the RING finger, the RING-dimerisation mutant cIAP2V568E and the E2-binding mutant cIAP2L585A/S590A (RF and JS, unpublished observation). Although LBW242 readily degraded cIAP2WT, it was ineffective in degrading cIAP2RING, cIAP2V568E or cIAP2L585A/S590A (Figure 4a). This indicates that RING dimerisation and E2 binding of cIAP2 is required for SM-induced degradation.

The loss of cIAP2’s RING finger is frequently found in cIAP2-MALT1. Reciprocal chromosomal translocation of cIAP2 and the paracaspase MALT1 gene is the most prevalent chromosomal aberration associated with MALT lymphoma (Figure 4b).30 cIAP2-MALT1 drives B-cell transformation and lymphoma progression through constitutive activation of the canonical NF-κB signalling pathway.31 Induced degradation of the cIAP2-MALT1 protein by SM compounds could potentially provide a therapeutic strategy for MALT lymphoma patients harbouring this translocation. Intriguingly, cIAP2-MALT1 was completely refractory to SM-induced degradation (Figure 4c). In addition, when only the cIAP2 portion of cIAP2-MALT1 was expressed (cIAP213425), SM treatment did not induce degradation, ruling out an inhibitory role of the MALT1 portion of cIAP2-MALT1 (Figure 4c). Under the same conditions, full-length cIAP2 was readily degraded (Figure 4a and data not shown). Consistent with the notion that cIAP2-MALT1 is
refractory to SM-mediated degradation, SM treatment did not diminish the ability of cIAP2-MALT1 to promote NF-κB activation (Figure 4d). Taken together, these data indicate that the RING finger of cIAP2, although unable to promote auto-ubiquitylation, is nevertheless required for SM-induced and cIAP1-mediated degradation of cIAP2.

Depletion of cIAP1 results in NF-κB-dependent induction of cIAP2 gene expression in cancer cells. The observation that SM-induced degradation of cIAP2 is ineffective in the absence of cIAP1 has important ramifications as it predicts that SM treatment will be unsuccessful under conditions in which cIAP1 is absent and cIAP2 is expressed to high levels. To investigate the efficiency of SM compounds in cancer cells, we used HT1080 fibrosarcoma cells (Figure 5a). Treatment with LBW242 led to efficient degradation of both cIAP1 and cIAP2 within the hour. However, at 24 h, cIAP2 levels dramatically increased and were significantly higher compared with controls (Figure 5a), whereas cIAP1 levels remained undetectable. Re-challenging cells with the SM after the initial treatment did not induce cIAP2 degradation at
Figure 4  SMs fail to stimulate degradation of cIAP2-MALT1. (a) Wild-type cIAP2, but not the RING-finger mutants cIAP2\(^{\Delta RING}\), cIAP2\(^{V568E}\) and cIAP2\(^{L585A/I590A}\), is degraded by LBW242. cIAP2\(^{\Delta RING}\) lacks the C-terminal RING-finger domain, whereas cIAP2\(^{V568E}\) and cIAP2\(^{L585A/I590A}\) carry point mutations that abrogate RING dimerisation and E2 binding, respectively. HEK293T cells were transiently transfected with plasmids expressing the indicated constructs. After 24 h, cells were either left untreated or incubated with 1 \(\mu\)M LBW242. (b) Schematic representation of the constructs used. (c) HEK293T cells were transiently transfected with plasmids expressing FLAG-cIAP2-MALT1 or FLAG-cIAP2\(^{1-442}\) (amino acids 1–442). The experiment was conducted as described in a. (d) Expression of cIAP2-MALT1 induces NF-\(\kappa\)B activation that is resistant to SM intervention. cIAP2-MALT1 was co-transfected with a NF-\(\kappa\)B reporter plasmid in HEK293T cells. Luciferase activity was expressed relative to untreated controls. Data represent the mean of three independent experiments, and the error bars indicate S.D. of triplicates.

24 h, ruling out the possibility that the compound became ineffective at later time points (Figure 5a). SM-induced upregulation of cIAP2 seems to be a common phenomena as it is also seen in several other cancer cell lines, such as MDA-MB-231 (breast cancer), A2058 and Me4405 (melanoma) (Figures 5b and c).

Induction of cIAP2 not only occurred after SM treatment but also in response to RNAi-mediated knockdown of cIAP1 (Figures 5d and e). Moreover, it also induced expression of a cIAP2-Luciferase (cIAP2-Luc) reporter gene (Figures 5f) containing NF-\(\kappa\)B-binding sites of the cIAP2 promoter region.\(^{32}\) Similarly, knockdown of TRAF2 also induced expression of the cIAP2-Luc reporter. Transcriptional induction of cIAP2 was dependent on the non-canonical NF-\(\kappa\)B pathway as simultaneous depletion of NIK suppressed induction of cIAP2 gene expression (Figure 5f). Under the same conditions, knockdown of RIP1 had no effect. Like RNAi-mediated depletion of cIAP1, SM-induced degradation of cIAP1 also caused activation of non-canonical NF-\(\kappa\)B signalling in BE and HT1080 cells (Figures 5g and h, see the Materials and Methods section for details). LBW242-mediated induction of cIAP2 expression was clearly NF-\(\kappa\)B dependent as the expression of I\(\kappa\)B-SR suppressed cIAP2-Luc reporter activity (Figure 5i and Supplementary Figure S1) and cIAP2 protein expression (Figure 5j). In agreement with the notion that cIAP2 expression is under the transcriptional control of NF-\(\kappa\)B,\(^{33}\) we observed strong induction of the cIAP2-Luc reporter and cIAP2 protein expression upon treatment with TNF\(\alpha\) and LIGHT or NIK expression (Figure 5i, and Supplementary Figures S1 and S4), as well as LBW242 treatment (Figure 5j). These data are consistent with previous reports showing that loss of cIAP1 leads to ectopic activation of the non-canonical NF-\(\kappa\)B pathway,\(^{11,12,14,17}\) which in turn leads to upregulation of cIAP2. Interestingly, although the loss of cIAP1 by SM treatment leads to a modest stabilisation of NIK protein levels in HT1080 WT cells, levels of NIK are significantly higher in SM-treated HT1080I\(^{\Delta B-SR}\) cells that cannot induce cIAP2 (Figure 5j). This is consistent with the notion that cIAP2 functions as the E3 ligase for NIK, targeting NIK for degradation even in the absence of cIAP1. Moreover, these data also suggest that induction of cIAP2 serves as a negative feedback mechanism that dampens non-canonical NF-\(\kappa\)B signalling through degrading NIK.

SM-induced upregulation of cIAP2 dampens TNF\(\alpha\) killing. As depletion of cIAP1 results in compensatory upregulation of cIAP2, we tested whether cIAP2 can modulate the sensitivity to TNF\(\alpha\)-induced cell death. First,
we investigated the ability of cIAP2 to suppress TNFα-induced cell death independently of cIAP1. Indeed, when cIAP2 expression was induced in reconstituted cIAP1/2 DKO MEFs, these cells were completely protected from the lethal effects of TNFα, and that the expression of cIAP2 is refractory to degradation by SM in the absence of cIAP1, and that the induction of cIAP2 by non-canonical activation of NF-κB apoptosise. Consistent with the notion that induced expression of cIAP2 protects from the lethal effects of TNFα, we find that RNAi-mediated knockdown of cIAP2 (Figure 6d) increases sensitivity to TNFα killing upon SM treatment (Figure 6e and Supplementary Figure S5). This is consistent with a previous report demonstrating that cIAP2 provides resistance to SM treatment. Moreover, cIAP1/2 DKO MEFs were significantly more sensitive to TNFα than singly cIAP1−/− MEFs (Figures 6f and g). Taken together, these data indicate that the expression of cIAP2 is refractory to degradation by SM in the absence of cIAP1, and that the induced expression of cIAP2 can provide protection from the lethal effects of TNFα.

Discussion

The realisation that members of the IAP family are frequently deregulated in cancer and contribute to chemoresistance and...
Figure 6  Induction of cIAP2 provides resistance to SM-induced TNFα killing in cancer cells. (a and b) Induced expression of cIAP2 protects cells against TNFα-mediated cell death in the absence of cIAP1. (a) Light micrographs showing the overall morphology of DKO/cIAP2 MEFs treated with the indicated combinations. (b) Clonogenic survival assay. Cells were visualised using crystal violet. (c) BE cells, stably expressing either a control plasmid or siRNA-resistant cIAP2 (cIAP2resc), were transfected with the indicated siRNA oligos. After 48 h, cells were left untreated or treated with TNFα for 18 h. Cell death was assayed using Cell Death ELISA (Roche Applied Science, Penzburg, Germany) (measuring the amount of cleaved DNA–histone complexes (nucleosomes) in the cytoplasm; left panel). cIAP2 expression of the RNAi-resistant rescue construct was examined by immunoblotting the lysates with an anti-cIAP2 antibody (right panel, lane 2). (d and e) RNAi-mediated knockdown of cIAP2 increases sensitivity to TNFα killing upon SM treatment. HT1080 cells stably expressing either a control shRNA or shcIAP2 were either left untreated or treated with SM for 16 h. (d) The expression of cIAP2 was analysed by immunoblotting. (e) Cell Death ELISA of HT1080/shControl and HT1080/shcIAP2 cells treated with the indicated combinations. (f and g) cIAP1/2 DKO MEFS are significantly more sensitive to TNFα than singly cIAP1+/− MEFS. The indicated MEFS were exposed to TNFα, and caspase activity and apoptosis were determined using DEVDase assays (f) and Cell Death ELISA (g).
treatment failure\textsuperscript{34} has sparked renewed interest in the development of small pharmacological inhibitors of IAPs. Consistent with the notion that different types of cancer cells are addicted to IAPs for their survival, inactivation of IAPs, particularly when combined with other treatments, results in the death of many tumour cells.\textsuperscript{11,12,17,35–37} However, a key problem in the treatment of cancer is the identification of tumours that are susceptible to a particular treatment. Moreover, development of resistance represents a major challenge in the fight against cancer. Hence, a better understanding of the mechanisms through which SM compounds exert their activities will be essential for the right choice of target patients, and to limit the likelihood of resistance development.

In this study, we have addressed the molecular mechanism through which SMs exercise their IAP-inhibitory activity. Surprisingly, we find that SM-induced degradation of cIAP1 and cIAP2 is dependent on TRAF2 binding. Although TRAF2 is essential for cIAP degradation, and carries a RING-finger domain, it does not function as the E3 ligase for cIAPs. This is evident because the RING-finger domain of TRAF2 is dispensable for SM-induced degradation of cIAP1. This notion is also consistent with recent structural studies indicating that the RING of TRAF2 is inactive because it lacks key residues required for E2 binding.\textsuperscript{38} Moreover, although TRAF2/TRAF5 are required for TNF\textsubscript{a}-mediated NF-\kappa B activation, their RING fingers, and hence their activity as E3 ligases, are dispensable.\textsuperscript{39} Therefore, TRAF2 merely seems to fulfil a scaffolding function supporting the E3 ligase activity of cIAPs.

Recent evidence has indicated that SMs stimulate dimerisation of the RING domains of cIAPs, which in turn is required to promote discharging of Ub from the nascent E2.\textsuperscript{26} Therefore, TRAF2 is likely to function as a binding platform that facilitates dimerisation of the RING domains of cIAPs. Intriguingly, structural and biochemical studies indicate that a single cIAP1 molecule binds the trimeric TRAF2 coiled-coil domain.\textsuperscript{39,40} As only one IAP molecule binds to each TRAF2 trimer, one is left speculating how cIAP1 RING dimer formation is actually achieved. One possible scenario is that in vivo TRAFs form higher-order oligomers.

Although degradation of cIAP1 depends on TRAF2, degradation of cIAP2 requires both TRAF2 and cIAP1. In the absence of cIAP1 or TRAF2, cIAP2 is no longer depleted by SM treatment. Although cIAP2 promotes ubiquitylation of RIP1 and NIK in the absence of cIAP1 (Figures 3d–f), it seems to be unable to target itself for degradation. Interestingly, cIAP2’s own RING finger is essential for SM-induced degradation of cIAP2. The requirement of cIAP2’s own RING finger suggests a model in which the RING finger of cIAP2 heterodimerises with the one of cIAP1 to promote ubiquitylation of cIAP2.

The observation that SM-induced degradation of cIAP2 requires cIAP1 has significant implications as it predicts that SM treatment will be less effective in cancers that lack cIAP1 and express high levels of cIAP2. Importantly, loss of cIAP1 not only removes the E3 ligase for cIAP2 but also results in deregulated activation of non-canonical NF-\kappa B signalling, which in tumour leads to induction of cIAP2 gene expression. The NF-\kappa B-dependent increase in the levels of cIAP2 protein decreases the sensitivity of cancer cells to SM and TNF\textsubscript{a}-induced apoptosis.\textsuperscript{19}

Consistent with the notion that cIAP2 protects from the lethal effects of TNF\textsubscript{a}, depletion of cIAP2 renders cancer cells more susceptible to treatment with TNF\textsubscript{a} and SM. Similarly, cIAP1/2 DKO MEFs are also significantly more sensitive to TNF\textsubscript{a} than singly cIAP1\textsuperscript{−/−} MEFs.

Induced expression of cIAP2 seems to protect cells from the lethal effects of TNF\textsubscript{a} by two distinct mechanisms: First, after TNF-R1 engagement, it promotes the ubiquitylation of components of complex-I, such as RIP1, thereby limiting the formation of a secondary, RIP1-dependent death-inducing complex. Second, cIAP2 also seems to protect cells by targeting NIK for degradation. This decreases the constitutive activation of non-canonical NF-\kappa B signalling that drives the production of autocrine TNF\textsubscript{a}.

The identification of potential mechanisms through which cancer cells can resist SM treatment will not only help to choose patient populations that will respond to the SM but also device better treatment combinations that boost treatment sensitivity. As cIAP2 gene induction critically depends on NF-\kappa B signalling, combined treatments of SM and IKK inhibitors, which block activation of NF-\kappa B, are promising avenues that are expected to dramatically improve treatment outcome and patient survival.

**Materials and Methods**

**Reagents, constructs and antibodies.** Ac-DEVd-AMC was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), and recombinant human and mouse TNF\textsubscript{a} were obtained from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN, USA) and Enzo Life Sciences (Farmingdale, NY, USA), respectively. Constructs were generated in pcDNA3 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) or pEF6 (Invitrogen) using PCR and confirmed by DNA sequencing. The episomal pcEP-1400-Luciferase reporter construct was created by replacing the CMV promoter with a fragment containing the cIAP2 promoter driving luciferase expression. The cIAP2-Luc insert was taken from pGL2-1400 that was kindly provided by Lee Tae. siRNA-resistant cIAP2 (scp24-based) was generated by introducing silent point mutations that impair siRNA-mediated knockdown. Sequence information can be obtained on request. The following antibodies were used: 2-actin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), 2-cIAP1, 2-cIAP2 (Enzo Life Sciences), p-panIAP (R&D Systems), 2-TRAF2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology and Calbiochem, San Diego, CA, USA), 2-NIK (Cell Signalling, Danvers, MA, USA), 2-FLAG (Sigma) and 2-tag (Cell Signaling).

**Tissue culture and RNAi.** Transfections of HEK293T cells were performed using Effectene transfection reagent (Qiagen, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The BE colon cancer cell line and HT1080 were kindly provided by C Marshall (ICR, London) and Olivier Micheau (Dijon, France), respectively. Single and DKO MEFs were generated as described previously.\textsuperscript{11} siRNA duplexes were purchased from Ambion (Austin, TX, USA) and Qiagen. Cells were transfected with siRNA oligos at 50\textmu M final concentration using Hiperfect (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Generation of stable cells by lentiviral infection.** For reconstitution of TRAF2, cIAP2, or cIAP1 cells were transfected with pFU-Gal4-VP16-PGK-hygro lentivirus. Selected stable cells were subsequently infected with the relevant pF-5xUAS plasmids (TRAF2WT and mutants). To generate cells carrying IκB-SR, i-cIAP2, shcIAP2 or shControl, pTRIPZ-based derivatives (Open Biosystems, Huntsville, AL, USA) were used. To generate 293-FLPin-shcIAP1, 293-FLPin-shcIAP2 (Enzo Life Sciences), p-panIAP (R&D Systems), 2-TRAF2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology and Calbiochem, San Diego, CA, USA), 2-NIK (Cell Signalling, Danvers, MA, USA), 2-FLAG (Sigma) and 2-tag (Cell Signaling).

**In vitro ubiquitylation assay.** In vitro ubiquitylation assays were performed in the ubiquitylation buffer (containing 40 mM Tris-HCl pH (7.5), 10 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2},...
Luciferase assays. The pSL-NEF-B-Luc reporter plasmid (Clontech, Mountainview, CA, USA) was used for the secreted luciferase assay. Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were split in two and either left uninduced or induced with doxycycline (100 μg/ml). Twenty-four hours later, the medium was replaced and cells were left for a further 24 h, after which Luciferase secretion was assayed using the Ready-To-Glow Secreted Reporter System (Clontech) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The cIAP2-Luciferase assay was performed as described previously.41 BE stable cells were generated using the pCEP4-NEF-B-Luc promoter. cIcep4 was an episomal expression vector that is maintained at few copies per cell (Invitrogen).

Biotinylated SM pull-downs. Cells were lysed using 1% Triton lysis buffer (10 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol and 1% Triton) containing 50 mM β-mercaptoethanol. Lysates were cleared by centrifugation, split in two and incubated either with streptavidin sepharose beads (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) only or with beads pre-bound with 200 nM biotinylated SM. After 1 h incubation at room temperature, the supernatant was removed and beads were washed 4 times with the IPPGS wash buffer. Co-purified proteins were eluted by boiling in 2 × Laemmli sample buffer.

Real-time RT-PCR. Total RNA was isolated using the RNAasy mini kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA was synthesised from total RNA using Quant iTech Reverse Transcription (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Quantitative real-time RT-PCR reactions were performed using SYBR Green (Eurogentec, Seraing, Belgium). Relative mRNA levels were calculated after normalisation to GAPDH using the DDCt method.

Cell death assays. Cell death was analysed by using the Cell Death Detection ELISAPLUS® kit (Roche) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For clonogenic assays, cells were washed with PBS, and 1–5% of cells were re-plated. Cells were analysed by using the Cell Death Detection ELISAPLUS kit (Roche) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Quantitative real-time RT-PCR reactions were performed using SYBR Green (Eurogentec, Seraing, Belgium). Relative mRNA levels were calculated after normalisation to GAPDH using the DDCt method.
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