Thin and crush: The new mantra in left main stenting?
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Abstract

Complex bifurcations have been suggested to be better approached by a planned double stent technique; however, recent randomized trials have shown better outcomes of provisional compared to planned two-stent strategy, in terms of both short-term efficacy and safety. In left main (LM) bifurcations, double kissing (DK)-Crush has demonstrated its superiority over Culotte and provisional-T in terms of restenosis and stent thrombosis, gaining respect as one of the most performant techniques for bifurcations stenting. On the other hand, the Nano-Crush technique has recently become part of the repertoire of double stenting techniques, providing evidence that the use of ultrathin strut stents and very minimal crush would be beneficial for both the physiological and rheological properties of the complex bifurcations, even in LM scenario, leading to a lower rate of thrombosis and restenosis at both side branch and true carina. Finally, the newest generation of ultrathin strut stents are gaining a reputation for its safe and effective use in LM treatment thanks to improved design with increased expansion rate capable of LM treatment up to 5-6 mm diameter. The modern crush techniques, such as DK-Crush and Nano-Crush, are providing excellent results on mid and long-term follow up, suggesting that minimal crushing obtained using ultra-thin stents is a good way to obtain surgical-like outcomes in the treatment of complex LM bifurcation disease.
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Core tip: Modern crush techniques such as DK-Crush and Nano-Crush are providing excellent results on mid and long-term follow up.
long-term follow-up, suggesting that minimal crushing obtained using ultra-thin stents is a good way to obtain surgical-like outcomes in the treatment of complex left main bifurcation disease.
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INTRODUCTION

Complex bifurcations have been suggested to be better approached by a planned double stent technique[1-2], although recent randomized trials have shown better outcomes of provisional compared to planned two-stent strategy in terms of both short-term efficacy and safety[3-4]. The total amount of metal layers at both the carina and bifurcation angle after double stenting techniques[5-6] appeared to be important issues to achieve favorable short- and long-term outcomes.

Left main (LM) bifurcation disease is probably the only real important bifurcation in the human vascular tree. The DEFINITION trial[7] has given a practical definition of what is complex and what it is not in the treatment of coronary artery bifurcation disease. Indeed, a length of the left circumflex coronary artery (LCx) > 10 mm has already been identified as a predictor of complex LM bifurcation probably requiring a double stenting strategy.

To achieve similar or better post-procedural results guaranteed by surgical treatment from a rheolytic point of view, the use of intravenous ultrasound is mandatory[8] to properly assess the size and length of the disease in both branches and in the LM body, allowing an accurate selection of the most appropriate stenting technique and stents.

Culotte, mini-Culotte, DK-Crush, T-stent and Protrusion (TAP) are currently the most used double stenting techniques (Table 1)[9]. Recently, DK-Crush has demonstrated its superiority over Culotte[10] and provisional-T[11] techniques in terms of restenosis and stent thrombosis, gaining respect as one of the most performant techniques for bifurcation stenting.

Even more recently, the Nano-Crush technique[12-13] has become part of the repertoire of double stenting techniques, providing evidence that the use of ultra-thin strut stents and very minimal crush is beneficial for both the physiological and rheological properties of the complex bifurcations, leading to a lower rate of thrombosis and restenosis at both side branch (SB) and true carina[14].

| Table 1 Available techniques for left main interventions |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Single stent | Double stent |
| Cross over-provisional | T-stenting |
| T and protrusion | Mini-Crush |
| Culotte and Mini-culotte | DK crush |
| Nano-Crush |

**AMOUNT OF METAL INTO THE CARINA:**

Does it really matter?

The lack or excess amount of metal layers at the carina has been suggested to be a potential cause of
stent restenosis and thrombosis, respectively\(^7\). As recently suggested by our group, using computed fluid dynamics, the Culotte and other techniques that leave large amounts of metal at the carina unfavorably impacted the bifurcation rheology, causing an increase in lower wall shear stress (WSS) and in the SB. Indeed, low WSS is a potential substrate for restenosis and thrombosis (Figure 2).

To achieve a more physiological flow profile, there should ideally be less metal coverage in the carina side and full metal coverage in the area opposite of the carina and the ostium of the SB. DK-Crush and Nano-Crush are likely to work differently in terms of lowering WSS areas depending on the LM bifurcation. The distribution of metal and the coverage of the carina by the struts strictly depends on the angles: Sharp angles tend to increase the amount of metal at the carina, especially when a generous portion of the SB stent is protruding and should be crushed, whereas if the portion of the stent to be crushed is shorter and the angle is wider, the amount of the metal would be less and coverage might be even incomplete. Obviously, the use of ultra-thin stent struts in DK-Crush or other techniques would potentially improve both safety and long-term outcomes.

**STENT ENGINEERING CONSIDERATIONS**

The Orsira (Biotronic AG, Bülach, Switzerland) stent is considered to have the thinnest struts commercially available. In the most recent European randomized trials, this stent demonstrated a very good safety and efficacy profile. Indeed, its low rate of stent thrombosis reached the non-inferiority statistical significance compared to Xience Prime stent (Abbott Inc., United States\(^{18,19}\)) with a faster strut endothelium coverage evaluated by optical coherence tomography in respect to the competitors\(^{20}\). These results could be achieved even after overcoming the major intrinsic structural limitation to the stent’s design, such as longitudinal shortening\(^{21}\). Nowadays, other stents have been designed with similar ultra-thin struts, such as the Resolute Onyx stent by Medtronic Inc. or the Ultimaster by Terumo Inc., which are currently being evaluated in real-world scenarios but promise to maintain the line of their predecessor or do even better in terms of strut neointima coverage.

Nowadays, stent working size in most LMs should not be less than 4.5 mm, and all modern techniques imply the use of POT at high pressure. All of these issues
Table 2  Thinnest struts stents and their maximum expansion for left main interventions

| Stent type              | Strut thickness (μ) | Max size achievable (mm) |
|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Orsiro Biotronik, Sui   | 60-80              | 5.3 (3.5 stent)          |
| Onyx Medtronic, United States | 70                | 6 (4.0 stent)            |
| Ultimaster Terumo, Japan | 80                | 5.8 (3.5 stent)          |
| Biomime Meril           | 65                 | 5.3 (4.5 stent)          |
| Synergy Boston Scientific; United States | 74                | 5.7 (4.0 stent)          |

Data of maximum expansion retrieved from Sawaya FJ et al. 1 Not verified in bench test.
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