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ABSTRACT

Cell division is a highly regulated and carefully orchestrated process. Understanding the mechanisms that promote proper cell division is an important step toward unraveling important questions in cell biology and human health. Early studies seeking to dissect the mechanisms of cell division used classical genetics approaches to identify genes involved in mitosis and deployed biochemical approaches to isolate and identify proteins critical for cell division. These studies underscored that post-translational modifications and cyclin-kinase complexes play roles at the heart of the cell division program. Modern approaches to examining the mechanisms of cell division, including the use of high-throughput methods to study the effects of RNAi, cDNA, and chemical libraries, have evolved to encompass a larger biological and chemical space. Here, we outline some of the classical studies that established a foundation for the field and provide an overview of recent approaches that have advanced the study of cell division.

Introduction to cell division

Cell division, or mitosis, is the process by which a mother cell divides its nuclear and cytoplasmic components into two daughter cells. Mitosis is divided into four major phases: prophase, metaphase, anaphase, and telophase. Careful regulation of the cell division program is crucial for proper cell growth, development, and gametogenesis. Dysfunction or misregulation of cell division can lead to growth defects (1, 2), proliferative diseases like cancer (3), and aging-related diseases (4), including Alzheimer’s disease (5). Therefore, analysis of the pathways and mechanisms that promote proper cell division are important avenues through which we can understand cell regulation and its misregulation in human disease.

Cell division is driven by two main modes of post-translational modifications. First, protein kinases like cyclin dependent kinases (CDKs) (6, 7) and Polo-like kinases (8) phosphorylate their substrates to modify their activity or stability; this modification is opposed by protein phosphatase-mediated dephosphorylation (for example, Cdc25 (9) and various PP2A (10) complexes). Second, E3 ubiquitin ligases like the anaphase promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C) (11) and Cullin 1-based SCF (12) complexes ubiquitylate their substrates and target them for proteasomal degradation; this modification is opposed by deubiquitylases such as USP37 (13) and Cezanne (14). Spatiotemporal control of when these post-translational modifications occur gives rise to the ordered events of cell division. Our current understanding of key regulators of cell division is founded upon many classical genetic and biochemical studies aimed at understanding the cell cycle. We begin by highlighting some of these seminal studies, transition to discussing modern techniques and approaches used to dissect the mechanisms of...
cell division, and conclude with future directions and perspectives on the cell division field.

**Classical studies of cell division: posttranslational regulation**

Early cell cycle studies established that phosphorylation was important for cell division. These studies assessed the DNA content, size, and doubling time of mutant strains of the yeast *S. pombe* to identify genes, termed cell division cycle (*cdc*) genes (15). One of the first *cdc* genes to be characterized was *cdc9-50*, later renamed *WEE1* (16). *WEE1*-mutant yeast divided at a smaller size than their wild-type counterparts, suggesting that loss of Wee1p activity accelerated mitotic entry and that Wee1p was an inhibitor of mitosis. Later, it was discovered that overexpression the *S. pombe* gene *cdc25*, determined to encode a protein phosphatase (17), led to increased rates of mitotic entry (18). Moreover, Wee1p and Cdc25p worked in opposition to each other, suggesting a balancing act between these two proteins to regulate the initiation of mitosis (19). The cloning of *WEE1* indicated that it resembled a protein kinase (20), suggesting that phosphorylation could regulate cell division. This analysis also suggested that a common substrate of Cdc25p and Wee1p was Cdc2p, a protein kinase (21) known to be involved in the initiation of DNA replication (Cdc2p in *S. pombe*, Cdc28p in *S. cerevisiae*, now known as CDK1 in humans) (22). The possibility that Wee1p and Cdc25p worked in opposition to each other at the biochemical level was later confirmed when it was shown that Wee1p phosphorylated and inactivated Cdc2p (23) and that Cdc25p dephosphorylated and activated Cdc2p (17). Thus, the ability of Cdc2p to regulate mitotic entry depended on its phosphorylation state (24), a theme that has now extended to other mitotic kinases.

Meanwhile, parallel studies in frog oocytes demonstrated that a cytoplasmic substance, termed maturation-promoting factor (MPF), regulated the initiation of meiosis (25, 26). Curiously, the levels of MPF seemed to go up and down during the different phases of meiosis (27). Purification of MPF (28) suggested that this protein complex contained two proteins: a protein kinase of approximately 32 kDa, later identified to be a homologue of *S. pombe* Cdc2p (29), and a protein of about 45 kDa, later identified to be cyclin B (30). The interaction between the kinase Cdc2p and cyclins, a class of proteins named because their protein levels cycled with each mitotic division in sea urchins and clams (31), became a key resource for understanding the mechanisms of cell division. The discovery of CDK2 and CDK2-cyclin A complexes (32, 33) and Cdc2-cyclin A and Cdc2-cyclin B complexes (30, 34) suggested that different cyclin-kinase pairs could regulate different aspects of mitotic entry and progression (32). Subsequent studies in model organisms demonstrated that, among its many substrates, Cdc2 phosphorylated nuclear lamins for nuclear envelope breakdown (35, 36) and cytoskeletal elements for important morphological changes during mitosis (37, 38). The ability of cyclins and their kinases to mediate mitotic entry and progression has become the engine that drives cell division.

Similar to phosphorylation and protein kinases, ubiquitylation and E3 ubiquitin ligases play important roles in cell division. For example, the cycling levels of cyclin B were partially explained by the ubiquitination (39, 40) and subsequent degradation of cyclin B by the APC/C (41, 42). Degradation of Emi1 (43) and Wee1 (44) via ubiquitylation by the Cul1-based SCF complex is necessary for proper mitotic exit. While phosphatases (such as Wee1 or PP2a (10)) have been well studied as antagonizers of cell division kinases, the role of deubiquitinating enzymes and the identification of their substrates remains to be fully explored (49).

Beyond these classical genetic and biochemical studies, modern approaches aimed at dissecting the mechanisms of cell division have greatly advanced our understanding of this dynamic process. Here, we present a broad overview of recent approaches that take a comprehensive and “-omics” view to identify novel components critical for cell division, to understand the function of the cell division machinery, and to analyze the pathways and other novel factors that contribute to cell division.

**Genetic dissection of cell division**

Although the aforementioned traditional yeast mutagenesis studies were seminal to the
field of cell division, in the era of modern genomics, genetic analyses of cell division have become more targeted and efficient. The availability of commercial RNAi and CRISPR-Cas9 gRNA (50) libraries has made studying gene expression knockdowns a viable option for discovering novel genes involved in cell division (Figure 1, upper left). Approaches that screen these libraries are usually coupled with a high-throughput method of multiparametric data analysis, such as assessing mitotic progression via microscopy and DNA content, or via the HeLa FUCCI (fluorescence ubiquitination cell cycle indicator) cell lines, which change color based on the cell cycle phase (51). As an example, our group performed an siRNA screen to assess the importance of approximately 600 mitotic microtubule-associated proteins for their function in cell division and used high content imagers to quantify the mitotic index and apoptotic index of each knockdown (52). Through this approach, we discovered StarD9, a novel protein involved in centrosome cohesion and whose depletion led to a dynamic unstable mitotic arrest (52). Combined with microscopy and computer-aided imaging, siRNA screens have now analyzed the importance of approximately 22,000 genes for cell division, uncovering novel proteins critical for this process (53).

Similarly, expression of fluorescently-tagged fusion proteins, by transfecting vectors encoding cDNAs (54) or bacterial artificial chromosomes containing a gene with its endogenous promoter (55), has enabled the identification of novel cell division proteins. The use of a fluorescently-tagged protein allows for an easy visual analysis for whether the protein has a relevant localization, such as at the kinetochores during mitosis, and is particularly useful when an antibody for the protein of interest is unavailable, either because the gene of interest is novel or because commercially available antibodies could not be validated. Combined with other analyses, such as proteomic data, these approaches have been used to identify novel protein complexes and pathways, such as a subunit of the APC/C (55), the MOZART family of tubulin associated proteins (55), and the katanin family of microtubule-severing enzymes (56).

Together, these genetic approaches have defined a parts list of the critical factors that are required for proper cell division. Importantly, they have allowed for the dissection of key cell division processes like centrosome homeostasis, early mitotic spindle assembly, spindle assembly checkpoint function, and cytokinesis. These studies have also aided the understanding of human genetic diseases like developmental disorders and cancers that have cell division dysregulation at the core of their pathophysiology.

**Proteomic dissection of cell division**

Classical yeast two-hybrid screens have been used to identify novel protein-protein interactions (57, 58) and to define key domains or amino acids necessary for protein-protein interactions (59) (Figure 1, upper left). However, modern proteomic approaches have greatly expanded the identification of novel protein-protein interactions and protein complexes involved in cell division. We outline two main approaches to the proteomic mapping of cell division: first, affinity-based purifications, based on the strength of protein-protein interactions; and second, proximity-based purifications, based on the spatiotemporal localization of the protein of interest. In affinity purifications, a tagged protein is expressed within cells, and the protein complexes are immunoprecipitated via antibodies that target the protein tag and analyzed by mass spectrometry (Figure 1, upper right) (54, 60). We have used this approach to study various protein complexes of the cell division machinery, including enzymes that regulate the length of the mitotic spindle (61), ubiquitylation complexes that regulate cytokinesis (62), novel light chains of the dynein machinery (63) and a novel kinesin involved in centrosome cohesion (52, 64, 65). In proximity-based purifications, the protein of interest is tagged with a labeling enzyme such as a BirA biotin-ligase mutant called BioID (or its derivatives BioID2, TurboID or miniTurboID (66)) or a peroxide-based enzyme APEX (67). Upon addition of the small ligand biotin to the cell culture media, these labelling enzymes modify proximal proteins with biotin via accessible lysine residues. Following the labeling step, the cells are lysed in denaturing
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conditions, biotinylated proteins are immunoprecipitated by binding to streptavidin beads, and protein complexes are analyzed by mass spectrometry. Examples of proximity-based approaches include the identification of CDK1 protein interactors (68) and the spatial mapping of protein-protein associations within the centrosome (69).

Our group has been interested not only in defining novel components of the cell division machinery but also how these components interact with each other in a spatiotemporal manner. The mapping of cell division protein-protein interactions has been and will continue to be important to understanding how the cell division machinery coordinates to execute cell division with high fidelity. For example, protein interactors of a mitotic protein kinase could represent components of a protein complex, regulators of its activity or localization, and/or substrates for modification. Therefore, cell division protein-protein interaction networks are critical for defining protein function and more broadly how these proteins affect a specific pathway within the cell division program.

Chemical dissection of cell division

Natural and synthetic small molecules that target the cell division machinery are useful research tools that can be used in an acute and temporal manner to dissect the mechanisms of cell division. They can also serve as lead molecules for the development of therapeutics for treating proliferative diseases like cancer. However, these compounds have shown limited use in clinical trials, emphasizing the need to discover new or improved compounds and/or more viable biological targets. Moreover, many critical regulators of cell division have no specific inhibitors, hindering research to improve our understanding of their function and their potential as disease drug targets. Therefore, much progress needs to be made in the discovery and development small molecule inhibitors and modulators of cell division proteins.

Recently we developed a novel cell-based high-throughput chemical screening platform for the discovery of cell cycle phase specific inhibitors that utilizes chemical cell cycle profiling (70, 71). Using this approach we analyzed the cell cycle response of cancer cells to each of approximately 80,000 drug-like molecules (70) (Figure 1, lower left). This screen identified novel inhibitors of each cell cycle phase. Coupled with our computational program CSNAP (Chemical Similarity Network Analysis Pulldown) that relates chemical properties to biological activity (72, 73), this screen presented 266 compounds that impeded cell division and identified many potential biological targets. As an example of the utility of this method, we demonstrated that the novel compound MI-181 was a microtubule destabilizer like colchicine, bound near the colchicine binding pocket (74) and had a potency and efficacy similar to taxol (70). Recently, we screened more than 180,000 chemical compounds and found a small molecule that arrested leukemia cells in G2 and triggered an apoptotic cell death (75). Similarly, chemical screens have also been used to identify APC/C inhibitors (76) and mitotic kinase inhibitors (for example, Plk1 (77) and Aurora kinases (78)) that have been used to study their corresponding protein’s functions in spindle assembly and the spindle-assembly checkpoint (Aurora kinase B (79), Plk1 (80), and APC/C (81)).

Although much work has been done to chemically dissect cell division, much work lies ahead to define inhibitors of the cell division machinery. Importantly, most chemical studies have focused on structure-based approaches, which rely on the prior identification of key cell division enzymes through genetic approaches and an understanding of their 3D structure. High-throughput phenotypic chemical profiling of cell division pathways is still lacking. Additionally, new synthetic and natural chemical libraries with broad chemical space continue to become available and represent opportunities for the discovery of molecules that will enable researchers to interrogate cell division. Finally, much effort has been invested in targeting the active site of mitotic enzymes, but the targeting of key protein-protein interactions with small molecules like peptidomimetics has been lagging.

Structural dissection of cell division
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Studies into the structure of key proteins and protein complexes in cell division have elucidated key mechanisms in the assembly and function of the cell division machinery. While there have been many important structural studies, we focus on Mad2, one of the key regulators of the spindle assembly checkpoint. Structural studies have been particularly useful in elucidating the role of Mad2 within cell division because Mad2 function is dependent on its structure. Via NMR studies, it was discovered that Mad2 alternates between two main structural conformations, an open (O-Mad2) and a closed (C-Mad2) state, differing mainly at the C-terminal tail (82, 83). Only C-Mad2 is active and able to bind to Mad1 and Cdc20. Conversion of O-Mad2 to C-Mad2 requires the formation of an O-/C-Mad2 heterodimer (84–86). Crystal structures of Mad2-Mad1 complexes demonstrated a flexible C-terminal tail termed the “safety belt” or “hinge loop” (87) involved in regulating C-Mad2 binding to Mad1 (88) and Cdc20 (89), prohibiting the metaphase-anaphase transition. These structural studies helped elucidate the means by which Mad2 functions within the mitotic checkpoint complex (MCC).

Increasing developments in cryo-EM have allowed for more complex structures to be elucidated. For example, cryo-EM studies have solved the structure of the APC/C (90, 91), helping to explain the purpose of both APC/C-binding E2 ubiquitin conjugating enzymes Ube2c and Ube2s (92) and clarifying the mechanism of Mad2 inhibition of the APC/C (93). Moreover, complex structures like the kinetochores have also been visualized by cryo-EM. While traditional X-ray crystallography methods have been used to solve the structures of some kinetochore complexes, such as the MIND complex in Figure 1 (lower middle), cryo-EM structures of yeast kinetochores and kinetochore-associated proteins in situ (94), purified from yeast (95), or reassembled in vitro (96) have elucidated the composition, geometry, and assembly and disassembly of eukaryotic kinetochores. Structural information, particularly of large structures like the kinetochores or centrosomes, is important for understanding the protein complexes formed during mitosis and toward developing small molecules that can disrupt these interactions.

Computational dissection of cell division

Computational and mathematical approaches to study cell division have complemented and informed biochemical and biological techniques. One of the earliest attempts toward rationalizing mitotic entry suggested that, because of feedback loops between Cdc2, its activator Cdc25 and its inhibitor Wee1, Cdc2 activity should oscillate within the cell cycle as a function of cyclin concentration (97). These models were later confirmed by experiments that revealed Cdc2 exhibited hysteresis and bistability: regulation of Cdc2 prevents premature mitotic exit because a higher concentration of cyclin B is needed to enter mitosis than to maintain a mitotic state (98, 99). A mathematical model assessed cell growth as a function of protein kinase activity (100) suggested that an unknown phosphatase might regulate Nek1, a phosphatase later identified to be PP2A-Cdc55 (101). Other mathematical models have taken similar approaches to assess the roles of the spindle assembly checkpoint components relative to checkpoint function (102, 103) and to model the mitotic spindle as a function of biophysical forces (104) and microtubule dynamics and cell size (105).

Computational techniques to glean information from time-lapse imaging of cell division have also been developed. With the advent of advanced imaging software and fluorescently-tagged proteins, researchers have generated spatiotemporal data about protein localization and concentration, resulting in information about protein complex assembly and disassembly (106). Combining datasets from different proteins allowed for the prediction of protein complexes and for the assessment of protein stoichiometry within a complex. Among other results, this imaging technique enabled the quantification of the number of cohesion molecules on DNA during mitosis, confirmed 1:1 stoichiometry of Aurora kinase B and Borealin, and visualized Aurora kinase B localization to the cytokinetic bridge (106).

Beyond microscopy, computational approaches have also been used to discover novel substrates of mitotic protein kinases. The
basic structure of these algorithms is to use sequence information of known phosphorylation sites to identify a consensus phosphorylation motif and predict novel substrates, as outlined in Figure 1 (lower right) for Plk1. Many computational tools that expand on this basic approach have been published (107, 108); we highlight a recent study that identified SPICE1 as an Aurora kinase A substrate via a computational algorithm and validated the interaction via biochemistry (109).

Given the wealth of information generated by chemical, proteomic, and genetic screens and cheminformatics and bioinformatics analyses, there is a pressing need to develop computational methods to integrate and analyze these data. In regard to this, our group recently used computational cell cycle profiling for prioritizing FDA-approved drugs with potential for repurposing as anticancer therapies (71). Methods like this that combine and synthesize data sets from multiple sources into multiparametric analyses will become increasingly critical in order to develop a comprehensive view of cell division and how best to target it for therapeutic purposes.

Future perspectives

While much has been discovered about the mechanisms that drive cell division, many novel factors that play a role in cell division are still being discovered. For example, endogenous RNA interference (RNAi) has been shown to regulate the expression of cell division proteins like Plk1 (110, 111), Mad1 (112), Bub1 (113), and Aurora kinase B (114). Many other RNAi have been shown to affect at least one aspect of cell division (115–119), and some have no identified targets (120). Given the clinical importance of misregulated endogenous RNAi and the therapeutic potential of exogenous RNAi, a systematic understanding of how different forms of RNAi influence the proteins involved in cell division may help uncover novel levels of regulation for cell division.

In addition to RNAi, small molecules and reactive oxygen species (ROS) have been shown to play critical roles in mitotic progression. For example, folate deficiency leads to replicative stress during DNA replication and consequently to missegregation defects during mitosis (121). Similarly, the lipid family of phosphoinositides was shown to directly influence mitotic progression through proteins like NuMA (122) or phosphatases (123) and by regulating cytoskeletal elements (124, 125). Sterols have also been shown to play a role in cell division: cells deprived of cholesterol have difficulty undergoing cytokinesis (126) and the cholesterol derivative pregnenolone localizes to the spindle poles, binds Shugoshin 1, and promotes centriole cohesion (127). In S. pombe, intracellular concentrations of glucose affect Wee1 activity and thus cell size at mitotic entry (128). Whether glucose or other metabolites serve roles during mitosis in human cells is largely unexplored. Interestingly, in cancer cells, ROS levels are elevated during mitosis, leading to an increased oxidation of biomolecules, but the functional implications of this oxidation, if any, are unknown (129). Thus, comprehensive metabolomic, lipidomic, and nucleic acid studies of cell division are likely to yield interesting and previously underappreciated biological aspects of cell division (Figure 1, upper middle).

Concluding remarks

Methods to dissect the mechanisms that govern cell division have progressed rapidly over the last few decades. The strategies discussed here allow for a genome- or proteome-wide assessment of proteins, drugs, and small molecules involved in cell division. In addition, advances in structural biology and computation have aided the study of cell division, particularly with regards to complex structures that are difficult to study with traditional biochemical techniques. Altogether, these approaches have allowed for the discovery and study of the ensemble of proteins and other factors necessary for proper cell division.
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Dissecting the mechanisms of cell division

FIGURE LEGENDS

FIGURE 1. **Overview of approaches used to dissect the mechanisms of cell division.** Multiple approaches have been used to dissect the mechanisms of cell division, including genetic, proteomic, chemical, structural, and computational approaches. Figure contains the structure of the MIND complex from *K. lactis* [PDB ID: 5T58 (Dimitrova et al., 2016, Cell 167, 1014–1027) from the Protein Data Bank (www.rcsb.org; Berman et al., 2000 Nucleic Acids Res 28:235) created using the NGL Viewer (Rose et al., 2018 Bioinformatics 34:3755)]. Examples of Plk1 interacting proteins are Bub1 (130), Cdh1 (131), and Chk2 (132). Examples of PLK1 substrates are FOXM1 (8), Cdc25C (133), p150Glued (134), Myt1 (135), and Wee1 (44).
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