Case Report

The Impact of Repeating Endosonography with Confocal Endomicroscopy for the Diagnosis of Cystic Neuroendocrine Tumor
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Cystic pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors represent around 13% of all neuroendocrine tumors (Hurtado-Pardo 2017). There has been an increase in the incidence of cases due to improvement in imaging modalities. This is a case of a 68-year-old male with the incidental finding of a pancreatic cyst on CT. Initial Endoscopic Ultrasound with Fine Needle Aspiration (EUS-FNA) showed sonographic and cytology features suggestive of a pancreatic pseudocyst. However the cyst persisted with no change in size after aspiration leading to a follow-up EUS-FNA, which was combined with needle based confocal laser endomicroscopy (nCLE). The nCLE features were consistent with a cystic pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor, which was later confirmed on histology after surgical resection.

1. Introduction

Cystic tumors of the pancreas are being increasingly recognized as incidental findings with the advancement of imaging modalities. With reported prevalence being up to 13.5% in some studies [1, 2]. Management of indeterminate cystic lesions of the pancreas can be challenging, particularly with concern for early malignant cystic lesions of the pancreas. We are presenting a case in which nCLE was effectively utilized to make a definitive diagnosis.

2. Case Report

Our patient is a 68-year-old male with a past medical history of hyperlipidemia, hypertension, and smoking, who presented with an incidental pancreatic cyst on lung cancer screening helical CT. His CT had shown a 23 × 18 mm fluid density lesion in the distal pancreatic body, without pancreatic ductal dilation. He underwent an EUS-FNA which revealed an anechoic and septated cyst. Needle aspiration with a 19 G Boston Sci. needle was performed for amylase, tumor marker (CEA), and cytology. Cyst fluid analysis showed amylase of 1532 and a CEA of less than 200. FNA cytology revealed a moderately cellular aspirate with no identifiable malignant cells (Figure 1). These findings were consistent with a pseudocyst or a benign cyst.

On follow-up CT abdomen and pelvis with IV contrast in six months, the cyst persisted and the size was unchanged (Figure 2). This prompted a repeat EUS-FNA using 19G Boston Scientific needle combined with nCLE (using AQ-Flex 19; Mauna Kea Technologies). The tip of the AQ-Flex probe was advanced with the needle under EUS guidance until there was contact with the cyst wall without putting pressure. Fluorescein (2.5 to 5 mL of 10% Fluorescein) was injected intravenously immediately prior to CLE imaging.
Around 3-minute-long video was acquired with permissible needle angulation. nCLE revealed thick cord like and dark nest like structures (Figures 3 and 4). There was no evidence for dark rings, vasculature network, or papillary projections to suggest intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm. These findings were consistent with cystic neuroendocrine tumor of the pancreas [3]. These findings prompted us to send the patient for surgical evaluation. Final histopathology (Figures 4 and 5) confirmed the preoperative nCLE based diagnosis of the cystic neuroendocrine tumor of the pancreas.

3. Discussion

The differential diagnosis of cystic lesions of the pancreas includes pseudocysts, intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms, mucinous cyst neoplasms, and serous cystadenoma. These cystic tumors have a wide range of presentations on nCLE: intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm, in which papillae can be visualized. Serous cystadenomas found to have a branching and tortuous network of multiple blood vessels in a “fern like” pattern, which has been termed as “superficial vascular network”; pseudocyst in which “clusters of bright, floating particles are found in a background of non-descript appearance lacking blood vessels”; finally, mucinous cystic neoplasms which present as solitary epithelial bands without papillae [2]. The nCLE findings in our case reveal nests and clusters of cells separated by stroma of the cyst. This pattern is diagnostic of a neuroendocrine tumor.

Incidences of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors are rare and account for 1 to 2% of all pancreatic tumors [4]. Cystic neuroendocrine tumors of the pancreas are even rarer, comprising up to 3-17% of all the pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors [5]. Conventional imaging (CT scan and/or MRI with pancreatic protocol) has limited value in definitive diagnosis of cystic neuroendocrine tumors of the pancreas. EUS with FNA is a helpful tool in making the diagnosis. However, it
does have its limitations which include small sample sizes and limited cells in the aspirated fluid which can decrease sensitivity.

In our case, EUS-FNA did not yield a correct diagnosis and the cyst persisted on repeat imaging at six-month follow-up. Therefore a nCLE was performed with repeat EUS-FNA, which revealed findings consistent with cystic neuroendocrine tumor of the pancreas. Recent studies have suggested that nCLE has shown promise in aiding diagnosis of solid tumors with good accuracy when compared to post-op diagnoses [6, 7]. There is evidence suggesting the use of nCLE in the work-up of cystic lesions of pancreas [3, 8–10].

Typically when evaluating cystic lesions greater than 2 to 3 cm of the pancreas, EUS with FNA is performed [11, 12]. This has proven to be superior to conventional imaging by most literature, but it can be very operator dependant. EUS with FNA has sensitivity and specificity at 91 and 94%, respectively. However, meta-analyses have shown that EUS-FNA has positive predictive value of 98% but a negative predictive value of 72% [13].

There are several studies on using nCLE for diagnosis of pancreatic masses, solid or cystic, which have shown promising results with an accuracy of ≥90% with low to no interobserver variability [6, 7, 14]. Krishna et al. concluded that nCLE is a good adjunct to use in an inconclusive EUS-FNA as in our case to differentiate mucinous versus nonmucinous primary cystic lesions (PCLs) as nCLE provides virtual histology of PCLs with a higher degree of accuracy, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value [15, 16].

The current IAP, AGA, and ACG guidelines indicate the use of EUS-FNA for evaluation of cysts > 3 cm with no high-risk features or any size cyst with high-risk features; however the guidelines are not specific on indication and utility of nCLE in preoperative diagnoses as evidence is limited when compared to the gold standard of histopathology [12, 17, 18]. Currently recent European guidelines state that there is grade IC level of evidence recommending against the use of nCLE for diagnosis of pancreatic cystic lesions [19]; however the same study suggests that this modality could be useful in preventing unnecessary surgical intervention in a selected number of patients.

Even though there are many studies to substantiate nCLE, it remains a modality that may be underutilized until it can be compared to gold standard (histopathology) in a large multicenter study.
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