Novel targeting using nanoparticles: an approach to the development of an effective anti-leishmanial drug-delivery system
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Abstract: The study reported here aimed to develop an optimized nanoparticle delivery system for amphotericin B (AmpB) using a polyelectrolyte complexation technique. For this, two oppositely charged polymers presenting anti-leishmanial activity – chitosan (Cs) and chondroitin sulfate (ChS) – were used: Cs as a positively charged polymer and ChS as a negatively charged polymer. The chitosan (NQ) nanoparticles, chitosan-chondroitin sulfate (NQC) nanoparticles, and chitosan-chondroitin sulfate-amphotericin B (NQC-AmpB) nanoparticles presented a mean particle size of 79, 104, and 136 nm, respectively; and a polydispersity index of 0.2. The measured zeta potential of the nanoparticles indicated a positive charge in their surface, while scanning and transmission electron microscopy revealed spherical nanoparticles with a smooth surface. Attenuated total reflectance-Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy analysis showed an electrostatic interaction between the polymers, whereas the release profile of AmpB from the NQC-AmpB nanoparticles showed a controlled release. In addition, the Cs; ChS; and NQ, NQC, and NQC-AmpB nanoparticles proved to be effective against promastigotes of Leishmania amazonensis and Leishmania chagasi, with a synergistic effect observed between Cs and ChS. Moreover, the applied NQ, NQC, and NQC-AmpB compounds demonstrated low toxicity in murine macrophages, as well as null hemolytic activity in type O human red blood cells. Pure AmpB demonstrated high toxicity in the macrophages. The results show that cells infected with L. amazonensis and later treated with Cs, ChS, NQ, NQC, NQC-AmpB nanoparticles, or pure AmpB presented with a significant reduction in parasite number in the order of 24%, 31%, 55%, 66%, 90%, and 89%, respectively. The data presented indicate that the engineered NQC-AmpB nanoparticles could potentially be used as an alternative therapy to treat leishmaniasis, mainly due its low toxicity to mammal’s cells.
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Introduction

Leishmaniasis is a disease with a wide spectrum of clinical manifestations caused by different species of protozoa belonging to the Leishmania genus.\textsuperscript{1} The disease has high rates of morbidity and mortality throughout the world. There are 350 million people in 98 countries at risk of contracting the disease,\textsuperscript{2} and approximately 700,000 to 1.2 million cases of tegumentary leishmaniasis, and 200,000 to 400,000 cases of visceral leishmaniasis are registered annually worldwide.\textsuperscript{3}

The parenteral administration of pentavalent antimony compounds continues to be the first treatment of choice; however, the occurrence of side effects, such as anorexia, myalgias, arthralgias, chemical pancreatitis, leucopenia, and cardiotoxicity, is an important problem reported by patients.\textsuperscript{4,5} Amphotericin B (AmpB), a second-line drug, is a highly hydrophobic antifungal product with effective anti-leishmanial...
activity, but its clinical use is limited by high toxicity.6,7 To improve the therapeutic index of AmpB and to reduce its cytotoxicity, lipid-based formulations have been developed for parenteral administration, such as AmBisome® (Gilead Sciences, Inc., Foster City, CA, USA), Amphocil® (Kadmon Pharmaceuticals, New York, NY, USA), and Abelcet® (Sigma-Tau Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Gaithersburg, MD, USA). The World Health Organization has recommended the use of liposomal AmpB (L-AmpB) based on its high efficacy and safety.8,9 Despite improvements in therapeutic indexes for these lipid formulations, their use still remains limited due mainly to their high cost.9

Therefore, the development of new drug-delivery systems to treat leishmaniasis could be considered relevant.10 In this regard, a wide variety of techniques is available to produce polymeric nanoparticles, including solvent evaporation, interfacial polymerization, and emulsion polymerization methods.11 In relation to delivery systems using AmpB, de Carvalho et al developed a system containing AmpB encapsulated in poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) and dimercuratosuccinic acid nanoparticles.12 Asthana et al formulated nanometric AmpB-encapsulated chitosan (Cs) nanoparticles using a polymeric deposition technique mediated by nanoemulsion template fabrication.13 Shao et al designed polymeric micelles using a formulation of 1,2-dioleoyl-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-[methoxy(polyethylene glycol)-2000]-based micelles loaded with AmpB,14 and Yang et al formulated polymeric micelles prepared from a series of poly(ethylene glycol)-poly(lactide) co-polymers with various polylactide chain lengths for AmpB.15 Unfortunately, such examples frequently require the use of organic solvents or heat, which are undesirable steps and that may affect the integrity of the macrolide substances.11,16

Polyelectrolyte complexes (PECs) are formed by interactions between two macromolecules bearing oppositely charged groups. The encapsulation of a drug during the formation of PECs has shown great promise for use as drug-delivery carriers.17 Recently, PECs prepared from natural macromolecules have attracted attention for use in the development of drug-delivery systems, such as the complex between Cs and heparin, which have been formulated as vaccine adjuvants.18 Some studies have investigated the drug-delivery systems based on Cs-ChS complexes, such as Huang et al,19 Ganza-Gonzáles et al,20 and Sui et al,21 who have reported the use of Cs-ChS-based microcapsules for controlled release by 5-fluorouracil, heparin, and metoclopamide, respectively, in their studies. Yeh et al characterized protein-loaded Cs-ChS nanoparticles using the PEC technique,22 while Hu et al studied the influence of charge on fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-bovine serum albumin-loaded Cs-ChS nanoparticles upon cell uptake in human Caco-2 cell monolayers.23 Tsai et al produced doxorubicin-encapsulated Cs-ChS nanoparticles that were applied against cancer cells.24 However, to our knowledge, our study marks the first time the construction of a Cs-ChS based system for AmpB delivery has been developed for the treatment of leishmaniasis.

“Cs,” b-(1,4)-2-amino-2-deoxy-D-glucan, prepared by deacetylation from chitin, presents many interesting properties, including biocompatibility, biodegradability, mucoadhesivity, and bioactivity.25 Cs has an intrinsic pKa near 6.5 with a maximum of one positive charge per residue.26 “ChS” is a glycosaminoglycan present in the extracellular matrix of cartilage that is used in the treatment of osteoarthritis and demonstrates an anti-inflammatory activity.27-29 ChS is also a component of the dermal layer of the US Food and Drug Administration-approved skin substitute,30 commonly used to enhance re-epithelialization without causing scarring.31,32 Moreover, ChS can be degraded by colonic microflora, which can be degraded by colonic microflora.32,33

The purpose of the study presented here was to develop a nanoparticle system containing Cs, ChS, and AmpB, and to evaluate its anti-leishmanial activity against in vitro promastigote and in vivo amastigote forms of Leishmania amazonensis and Leishmania chagasi. Studies were also performed to its minimum inhibitory concentration (half maximal inhibitory concentration [CC50]), as well as to verify the efficacy of pure Cs and ChS, as well as chitosan (NQ), chitosan-chondroitin sulfate (NQC), and chitosan-chondroitin sulfate-amphotericin B (NQC-AmpB) nanoparticles in the treatment of infected macrophages, in an attempt to develop an effective AmpB delivery system that would decrease the toxicity of this drug in mammals’ cells, as well as produce an alternative therapeutic option to treat leishmaniasis.

Materials and methods
Preparation of NQ, NQC, and NQC-AmpB nanoparticles
All nanoparticles used in this study were prepared according to the PEC technique.22 To prepare the NQ nanoparticles, Cs (5 mg, MW 60,000–120,000 g/mol, [Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA]) was dissolved in 2% (v/v) acetic acid in a sodium triphosphate (TPP) buffer (1 mg/mL; Sigma-Aldrich), under magnetic stirring (Color Squid IKAMAG® White, IKA Works, Inc., Wilmington, NC, USA) at room temperature. The mixture was sonicated (Ultra Cleaner – 1400A, Unique, São Paulo, Brazil) for 30 minutes and the
pH adjusted to 6.0–6.5. The nanoparticles were purified using Amicon® Ultra-15 filters with PLHK Ultracel-PL Membrane (100 kDa; Millipore Corporation, MA, USA), followed by centrifugation at 9,000 x g for 20 minutes at 20°C.34,35

To prepare the NQC nanoparticles, 5 mg of Cs was dissolved in 2 mL of 2% acetic acid (v/v). ChS (MW 50,000 g/mol; Henrifarma, São Paulo, Brazil) was dissolved in purified water to obtain solutions with concentrations 2.5, 5.0, 10.0, 25.0, and 50 mg/mL (w/v); with the Cs/ChS solutions reaching theoretical ratios of 1:1, 1:2, 1:5, 1:10, and 1:20 (w/w), respectively, at pH 5.0. The nanoparticles were spontaneously formed by incorporating 2 mL of the different concentrations of ChS, as described, and 1 mL of TPP buffer in purified water (1 mg/mL) before sonicating for 30 minutes (pH 6.0–6.5). Next, the nanoparticles were purified using Amicon filters, followed by centrifugation at 9,000 x g for 20 minutes at 20°C.22

To prepare the NQC-AmpB nanoparticles, AmpB (586 μg/mL; Cristália Produtos Químicos Farmacêuticos Ltda, São Paulo, Brazil) was dissolved in 2 mL of 2% acetic acid using the glow-discharged technique to maintain a constant ionic strength. The nanoparticles were deposited on the grid, and stained with 2% phosphotungstic acid using the glow-discharged technique for 1.5 minutes. The grids were allowed to dry for 2 days at room temperature. The images were examined using a TEM at 120 kV.22,34,36

Determination of drug entrapment efficiency and AmpB loading
The encapsulation efficiency (%EE) of AmpB was evaluated by determining the difference between the concentration of AmpB before preparing the nanoparticles (AmpB) and the non-incorporated AmpB (AmpB.). The AmpB that remained insoluble was removed from the formulation by centrifugation. The precipitate was diluted in a methanol:dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) solution (9:1, v/v) to determine the concentration of non-incorporated AmpB. The influence of the drug concentration on the external aqueous phase of the formulations was considered non-significant due to the poor aqueous solubility of AmpB.37,38 The amount of AmpB was measured by ultraviolet–visible (UV-Vis) spectroscopy after adequate dilution. The EE% and loading of AmpB were calculated by the following equations:

\[ EE(\%) = \frac{\text{Mass of AmpB} - \text{mass of AmpB}_{\text{non-incorporated}}}{\text{mass of AmpB}} \times 100 \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

\[ \text{AmpB loading (\% w/w)} = \frac{\text{mass of AmpB}_{\text{non-incorporated}}}{\text{Mass of polymers}} \times 100 \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

UV-Vis spectrophotometric assay
The concentration of AmpB and the release studies were determined by UV-Vis spectrophotometric assay.39 The values of absorbances were evaluated at \( \lambda \) 406 nm (UV 160 UV-Vis Spectrophotometer, Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) using a quartz cuvette. Two calibration curves were obtained – the first for the concentration of AmpB in the formulations, which was determined using a methanol:DMSO solution (9:1, v/v), and the second to evaluate AmpB in the release studies, which was performed using a methanol:phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution (40:60% v/v), pH 7.4, both with a linearity ranging from 5 to 50 μg/mL.

The first analytical curve for AmpB performed to study the %EE and AmpB loading presented a regression equation of  \( y = 0.093x + 0.0585 \) and linearity (\( r^2 \)) of 0.9951. The analytical curve of AmpB prepared in methanol:PBS...
to evaluate the release presented a regression equation of 
\[ y = 0.0909x + 0.0835 \], and linearity \((r^2)\) of 0.9951.

**Release of AmpB**

The release of AmpB from the NQC-AmpB formulation and an AmpB solution was studied by the dialysis method, while the concentration of the product was estimated by the UV-Vis method. Briefly, 100 µL of NQC-AmpB nanoparticles, equivalent to 1 mg of AmpB, was resuspended in 2 mL of methanol/DMSO (9:1, v/v) in a dialysis bag (MWCO 1,052 Da; Sigma-Aldrich), and dialyzed in 100 mL of PBS:methanol (60:40%, v/v). The mixture was continuously stirred at room temperature with a small magnetic bar to ensure homogeneity. Samples (1 mL) were collected at 1 hour and 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24, and 48 hours after, and washed with a fresh solution of PBS:methanol (60:40%, v/v).\(^{39,40}\)

**UV-Vis spectral study**

UV-Vis spectra of pure AmpB and the NQC-AmpB formulation were obtained using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer. Briefly, the NQC-AmpB formulation was dispersed in deionized water to reach a AmpB concentration of 10 µg/mL, then it was scanned in the range 300–500 nm using plain nanoparticles as blank, and compared with the spectrum of a solution containing AmpB (10 µg/mL), diluted in methanol.

**Biological activity of nanoparticles**

**Parasites and mice**

*L. amazonensis* (strain IFLA/BR/1967/PH-8) and *L. chagasi* (strain MHOM/BR/1970/BH46) were used in this study. The parasites were grown at 24°C in Schneider’s medium (Sigma-Aldrich), supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Sigma-Aldrich), 20 mM L-glutamine, 200 U/mL penicillin, and 100 µg/mL streptomycin, pH 7.4.\(^{41}\)

Murine macrophages were collected from the peritoneal cavities of female BALB/c mice (8 weeks old) purchased of Institute of Biological Sciences (ICB) from Federal University of Minas Gerais (UFMG). The UFMG Animal Use Committee approved the experimental protocol (code number 182/2012).

**Anti-leishmanial activity and cytotoxicity evaluation**

The anti-leishmanial activity was assessed using stationary promastigotes of *L. amazonensis* and *L. chagasi* (1 x 106 of each species) in the presence of individual concentrations (0.8–100.0 µg/mL) of Cs; ChS; and NQ, NQC, and NQC-AmpB nanoparticles in 96-well culture plates (Corning Life Sciences, Corning, NY, USA), for 48 hours at 24°C. Pure AmpB (0.1–10.0 µg/mL) was used as a positive control. The cytotoxicity was assessed by cultivating murine macrophages (1 x 106 cells) in the presence of individual concentrations (0.8–100.0 µg/mL) of Cs; ChS; and NQ, NQC, and NQC-AmpB nanoparticles in 96-well culture plates (Corning Life Sciences), for 48 hours at 24°C. AmpB (0.1–10.0 µg/mL) was also used as a toxicity control. Cell viability was assessed by measuring the cleavage of 2 mg/mL of 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2.5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT; Sigma-Aldrich). Absorbances were measured by using a multi-well scanning spectrophotometer (LAB-660, Labtrade of Brazil, São Paulo, Brazil), at a wavelength of 570 nm. Results are expressed as the mean percentage reduction of parasites or macrophages compared with non-treated control wells, and are represented by 50% inhibitory concentration for *Leishmania* (IC\(_{50}\)) and macrophages (CC\(_{50}\)).\(^{42}\) In addition, the selectivity index was calculated by determining the ratio between the CC\(_{50}\) and IC\(_{50}\) values.

**Hemolytic activity**

The hemolytic activity was investigated by incubating the products (0.8–100.0 µg/mL) with a 5% O\(^+\) human red blood cell suspension for 1 hour at 37°C. AmpB (1–100 µg/mL) was used as a control. The erythrocyte suspension was centrifuged (1,000 x g for 5 minutes), and cell lysis was determined spectrophotometrically (540 nm), as described.\(^{43}\) The absence (negative control) or presence of hemolysis (positive control) was determined by replacing the tested substance with an equal volume of PBS or distilled water, respectively. The results were determined by the percentage of hemolysis compared with the results obtained using the negative and positive controls.\(^{44}\) The results were expressed as the mean percentage reduction in O\(^+\) human red blood cells compared with non-treated control wells, and represented by the 50% hemolytic concentration (RBC\(_{50}\)).

**Treatment of infected macrophages**

Murine macrophages (5 x 105 cells) were plated on round glass coverslips inside the wells of a 24-well culture plate (Nunc™ Culture-Treated Multidish, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) in a Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 medium supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, 200 U/mL penicillin, and 100 µg/mL streptomycin, at pH 7.4. After 24 hours of incubation at 37°C in 5% CO\(_2\), stationary promastigotes of *L. amazonensis* were added to the wells (5 x 106 cells), and the cultures were incubated for 24 hours at 37°C in 5% CO\(_2\). A titration curve was performed prior to this to determine
the minimum incubation time required for the parasites to infect the macrophages to the maximum level of infection (data not shown). Next, free parasites were removed by extensive washing with RPMI 1640 medium, and the infected macrophages were quantified and treated by 48 hours with either pure Cs and ChS, as well as NQ... NQ NQC (at 25, 50, and 100 µg/mL, each), or NQC-AmpB nanoparticles or AmpB (0.04, 0.08, and 0.80 µg/mL, each), for 48 hours at 24°C in 5% CO_2. Then, cells were washed in RPMI 1640 and incubated with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 minutes, after which time they were treated with 70% ethanol in an ice-bath for 4 hours, before again being washed three times with sterile PBS. The inhibition of *Leishmania* intra-macrophage viability (in percentage) was determined by counting 200 cells in triplicate. Results shown in this study are representative of three independent experiments, performed in triplicate, which presented similar results.

**Intracellular localization of the nanoparticles**

**Preparation of FITC-labeled nanoparticles**

The nanoparticles were labeled with FITC fluorophore (Sigma-Aldrich) to perform fluorescence microscopy. First, Cs was conjugated with the fluorophore, and this was followed by the preparation of different nanoparticles. The FITC-labeled Cs was based on the reaction between the isothiocyanate group of FITC and the primary amino group of Cs. Dehydrated methanol (100 mL) containing 2 mg/mL of FITC was added to 1% (w/v) Cs/hydrochloride in 0.1 M acetic acid. After 3 hours of incubation at room temperature and in the dark, the FITC-labeled Cs was precipitated in 0.2 M NaOH and separated from non-reacted FITC by ultrafiltration at 10,000 × g for 30 minutes, after which time the product was decanted using a freeze-drying system. The nanoparticles were used immediately upon preparation.

**Co-localization studies of macrophages infected with *L. chagasi* px63NEO-mCherry and treated with FITC-labeled nanoparticles**

To analyze the intracellular distribution and the biological activity of the NQ, NQC, and NQC-AmpB nanoparticles into the macrophages, *L. chagasi* epsomol px63NEO-mCherry strain (MHOM/BR/BA262) was used. This strain, which naturally presents a red color when evaluated by fluorescence, was kindly provided by Dr Manoel Barral Netto (Fiocruz, Salvador, Brazil).

Macrophages (5 × 10⁵) were plated in a 24-well microplate with sterile coverslip glass and infected with parasites (1:10 ratio) for 4 hours, washed twice, and incubated for 24 hours at 24°C. After incubation, infected cells were incubated with FITC-conjugated nanoparticles (FITC-NQ, FITC-NQC, and FITC-NQC-AmpB) at a concentration of 5 µg/mL for 2 hours at 37°C in 5% CO_2. To determine the fluorescence background of the nanoparticles, non-labeled nanoparticles (NQ, NQC, and NQC-AmpB) were used as controls. To confirm that the infected cells were in fact macrophages, these were incubated with a monoclonal anti-F4/80-Pecy.5 antibody for 20 minutes at 37°C in 5% CO_2. The fluorescence parameters used were: FITC: λ exc 485 nm and λ emi 535 nm; mCherry: λ exc 560 nm λ emi 635 nm; anti-F4/80-Pecy.5 antibody: λ exc 485 nm and λ emi 780 nm. The overlay of the FITC-labeled nanoparticles and *L. infantum chagasi*-mCherry (Lic-mCherry) cells was determined by the presence of yellow/orange fluorescence. All images were taken with a confocal laser-scanning microscope (Zeiss Axiovert 200 M, Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) equipped with imaging software (LSM 5 Image Browser, Carl Zeiss).

**Statistical analyses**

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism™ (version 5.0; GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). Results are representative of three independent experiments, performed in triplicate, which presented similar values. UV-Vis spectrophotometric assay was determined by linear regression and the r² value indicates the measure of goodness-of-fit for linear regression. The Pearson’s normality test was used to determine the normality of the data. Since the results were found to be normally distributed, one-way analysis of variance, followed by Tukey’s test was also used. Differences were considered significant when P<0.05. The IC₅₀, CC₅₀, and RBC₅₀ curves were determined by applying the sigmoidal regression of the logarithm dose-response results.

**Results**

**Physicochemical characterization of prepared nanoparticles**

Figure 1 summarizes the preparation of the nanoparticles. The optimized NQ nanoparticles were obtained with a size of 79±1 nm and a PI of 0.2 (Figure 2 and Table 1). The observation and light scattering results showed that the pH effect was important. It could be observed that the formation of Cs and ChS nanoparticles occurred when the pH ranged between 4.0 and 5.0.
were of uniform spherical shape with a smooth surface, and the morphology of the nanoparticles was examined by SEM and TEM, and considered positively charged (range \(-136 \pm 3\) nm to \(-104 \pm 3\) nm). All nanoparticles were obtained with a relatively narrow size distribution – that is, PI \(\leq 0.2\) – and were analyzed and considered positively charged (range \(+8.4\) to \(+30.2\) mV).

The effect of the polymer ratio on the production of NQC nanoparticles was studied using a fixed amount of Cs. The size of NQC and NQC-AmpB nanoparticles depended on the ratio between Cs and ChS of each formulation (Figure 2 and Table 1). The NQC nanoparticles ranged in size from \(104 \pm 3\) nm (1:1) to \(135 \pm 9\) nm (1:20). When the AmpB was added, the nanoparticle size was increased (NQC-AmpB 1:1, 136\(\pm 11\) nm). All nanoparticles were obtained with a relatively narrow size distribution – that is, PI \(\leq 0.2\) – and were analyzed and considered positively charged (range \(+8.4\) to \(+30.2\) mV).

The morphology of the nanoparticles was examined by SEM and TEM, and the results showed that the individual particles were of uniform spherical shape with a smooth surface, and were uniformly distributed throughout all formed product (Figure 2).

The entrapment efficiency of AmpB ranged between 89% and 92%, while the loading of this product ranged from 1% to 11% (w/w; Figure 3A). The release profiles of AmpB through synthetic membrane from different formulations are showed in Figure 3B. The AmpB content in the release medium was evaluated spectrophotometrically. The percentage of AmpB released from NQC-AmpB 1:1, NQC-AmpB 1:2, NQC-AmpB 1:5, NQC-AmpB 1:10, and NQC-AmpB 1:20 nanoparticles after 48 hours in a methanol/DMSO solution (9:1, v/v) was 57\%\(\pm\)6\%, 58\%\(\pm\)3\%, 61\%\(\pm\)6\%, 68\%\(\pm\)2\%, and 59\%\(\pm\)1\%, respectively. Therefore, the AmpB release from solution used as control was significantly higher than that obtained from the other formulations.

The UV spectrum of AmpB diluted in methanol exists like a monomer, presenting four different absorption bands of decreasing intensity at 405, 385, 365, and 344 nm. As aggregation occurs and the self-associated form is also emerged the small band at 344 undergoes a blue shift to about 333, increasing in intensity, and the other three bands undergo shifts to about 368, 390, and 420 nm, respectively, as well as reducing in intensity, indicating the appearance of the self-aggregated form. A comparison of UV spectra of AmpB diluted in methanol and of NQC-AmpB formulation is shown in Figure 3C. The changes in the spectrum clearly indicate the presence of the self-associated form of AmpB in the NQC-AmpB formulation.

Attenuated total reflectance-Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR) was applied to probe chemical reactions or interactions among different substances. Figure 4 shows the ATR-FTIR spectra of Cs, ChS, NQC, NQC-AmpB nanoparticles, and pure AmpB. Using only Cs, two characteristic absorption bands at 1,634 and 1,539 cm\(^{-1}\) were detected, which were attributed to amide I (C=O) and amine (N–H) vibration overlapping the amide II vibration, respectively. The overlapped wide absorption band around 3,267 cm\(^{-1}\) was due to the stretching vibration of the O–H bonded to the N–H in Cs. The characterization peaks in the ChS spectrum were: 3,356 cm\(^{-1}\), which could be attributed to the \(-\text{OH}, -\text{NH}_2\), and \(-\text{CH}\) stretching; 1,645 cm\(^{-1}\), attributed to the C=O of the amide I band stretching; a shoulder in 1,609 cm\(^{-1}\), attributed to N–H deformation; a band in 1,315 cm\(^{-1}\), attributed to S=O stretching; and a band in 1,062 cm\(^{-1}\), attributed to C–SO\(^2\) deformation. The overlapped wide absorption band around 3,356 cm\(^{-1}\) was...
due to the stretching vibration of the O–H bonded to the N–H in ChS.

In the NQC nanoparticles, the 1,634 cm\(^{-1}\) peak of the amide I band shifted to 1,640 cm\(^{-1}\), whereas the 1,539 cm\(^{-1}\) peak (N–H deformation) shifted to 1,557 cm\(^{-1}\), and the 1,315 cm\(^{-1}\) peak shifted to 1,262 cm\(^{-1}\) (S=O stretching), due to the electrostatic interactions and hydrogen bonds that probably occurred between these groups, when Cs and ChS were used in the formulation. The intensity and width of the bands of the sulfate group of the ChS spectra decreased significantly, probably occurred between these groups, when Cs and ChS were used in the formulation. In the NQC-AmpB spectra, no significant difference could be observed when compared with the NQC spectra.

Biological characterization of the nanoparticles

The in vitro anti-leishmanial activity of the pure Cs and ChS, as well as NQ, NQC, and NQC-AmpB nanoparticles was evaluated using the stationary promastigotes of *L. amazonensis* and *L. chagasi*. Parasites were incubated with the products for 48 hours at 24°C. The Cs and ChS presented IC\(_{50}\) values of 73±5 and 66±1 µg/mL, respectively, for *L. amazonensis*; and of 67±1 and 71±10 µg/mL, respectively, for *L. chagasi* (Table 2). The NQ and NQC nanoparticles presented IC\(_{50}\) values of 52±2 and 44±2 µg/mL, respectively, for *L. amazonensis*; and of 46±6 and 39±1 µg/mL, respectively, for *L. chagasi*. In the evaluation of the anti-leishmanial activity of the AmpB loaded in NQC-AmpB, the IC\(_{50}\) values were 1±0 and 0.1±0 µg/mL against *L. amazonensis* and *L. chagasi*, respectively. Using pure AmpB the IC\(_{50}\) values were 0.1±0 and 0.1±0 µg/mL against *L. amazonensis* and *L. chagasi*, respectively.

The cytotoxicity of Cs, ChS, NQ, NQC, and NQC-AmpB nanoparticles was also investigated. The assays revealed no significant toxicity in mammals’ cells using the highest concentration (100 µg/mL) of Cs; ChS; and NQ, NQC, and NQC-AmpB nanoparticles (Table 2). Using pure AmpB, the CC\(_{50}\) was 1±0 µg/mL; however, when AmpB was loaded in the NQC nanoparticles, a nearly ten-fold decrease in cytotoxicity was observed (9±0 µg/mL).

To study the safety of the nanoparticles for systemic application in patients, the toxicity of the products was assessed in human O+ human red blood cells (Table 2). No tested products were found to significantly damage the O+ human red blood cells. A RBC\(_{50}\) of 12±3 µg/mL was found for AmpB, and of 240±33 µg/mL for the NQC-AmpB nanoparticles. Thus, this formulation was significantly – nearly ten-fold – less hemolytic than pure AmpB.

An evaluation of the capacity of the Cs; ChS; and NQ, NQC, and NQC-AmpB nanoparticles in treating macrophages
Table 1 Physicochemical characterization of chitosan nanoparticles (NQs), chitosan-chondroitin sulfate nanoparticles (NQC), and chitosan-chondroitin sulfate-amphotericin B nanoparticles (NQC-AmpB).

| Parameter* | NQ | NQC | NQC-AmpB |
|------------|----|-----|----------|
|            | 1:1* | 1:2* | 1:5* | 1:10* | 1:20* | 1:1 | 1:2 | 1:5 | 1:10 | 1:20 |
| Particle size (nm) (PI) | 79.00±1.00 | 104.00±3.00 | 113.00±10.00 | 124.00±6.00 | 132.00±5.00 | 135.00±9.00 | 136.00±11.00 | 155.00±5.00 | 163.00±4.00 | 198.00±3.00 |
| Zeta potential (mV) | 30.00±1.00 | 21.00±6.00 | 18.00±4.00 | 14.00±3.00 | 15.00±6.00 | 10.00±5.00 | 20.00±8.00 | 18.00±5.00 | 15.00±4.00 | 14.00±7.00 |
| %EE | – | – | – | – | – | – | 90.00±1.00 | 91±1 | 92±1 | 90.00±1.00 | 91.00±1.00 |
| AmpB loading (% w/w) | – | – | – | – | – | – | 11.00±1.00 | 7.00±1.00 | 3.69±0.02 | 2.00±0.00 | 1.00±0.00 |

Notes: *Values represent the mean ± standard deviation for at least three different batches of each nanoparticle preparation; 1PI, polydispersity index at pH 6.0–6.5; %EE, encapsulation efficiency; ratio between Cs:ChS (1:1); ratio between Cs:ChS (1:2); ratio between Cs:ChS (1:5); ratio between Cs:ChS (1:10); significant difference between the NQC 1:1 formulation and the other formulations; significant difference between the NQC-AmpB 1:1 formulation and the other formulations.

Abbreviations: AmpB, amphotericin B; Cs, chitosan; ChS, chondroitin sulfate.

Figure 3 Physicochemical characterization of the engineered nanoparticles. (A) Encapsulation efficiency (%EE) and drug loading (% w/w) of different ratios of Cs:ChS into NQC and NQC-AmpB nanoparticles. Bars indicate the mean ± standard deviation of each proportion between chitosan and chondroitin in NQC or NQC-AmpB. *Significant difference between the NQC and NQC-AmpB nanoparticles.

*Significant difference between the NQC and NQC-AmpB nanoparticles.
amastigotes per macrophage of 8±1. When mammals’ cells were treated with Cs, ChS; and NQ and NQC nanoparticles (100 µg/mL, each), the degree of infection was 66%±6%, 60%±4%, 39%±2%, and 29%±2%, respectively, and the average number of amastigotes per macrophage was 3.7±0.3, 3.1±0.2, 2.1±0.3, and 2±0.2, respectively. Using NQC-AmpB nanoparticles or pure AmpB to treat infected cells (0.8 µg/mL, each), the degree of infection was 8%±0.5% and 9%±1%, respectively; and the average number of amastigotes per macrophage was 0.2±0.1 and 0.3±0.1, respectively. In this context, macrophages infected and later treated with pure Cs and ChS, as well as NQ, NQC, or NQC-AmpB nanoparticles; or pure AmpB presented reductions in the parasite load in the order of 24%, 31%, 55%, 66%, 90%, and 89%, respectively (Table 3).

To determine the intracellular location of the nanoparticles in the infected and treated macrophages, confocal microscopy was performed. In the results, it was possible to observe a cytoplasmatic localization of the tested NQ, NQC, and NQC-AmpB formulations (Figure 5). Macrophages infected with pX63NEO-mCherry L. chagasi were incubated with the FITC-labeled nanoparticles, to analyze whether the intracellular allocation of these formulations could, in fact, overlap with the parasite localization. All the formulations showed intracellular co-localization with the parasites, indicating that these products could indeed reach the parasitophorous vacuoles. In addition, non-viable parasites were also visualized in the experiments, indicating the anti-leishmanial efficacy of the evaluated nanoparticles.

**Discussion**

The current treatments for leishmaniasis have been considered unsatisfactory mainly due to the high toxicity of the products and the growing resistance of the parasites to the drugs. In this context, the purpose of the study reported here was to find new formulations based on engineering nanoparticles to carry a known drug to treat leishmaniasis, AmpB. This product is an effective anti-leishmanial agent, but is highly toxic to mammals’ cells. In this study, AmpB was associated with two anti-leishmanial compounds, ChS, a substance described here for the first time as having

![Figure 4 Attenuated total reflectance-Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy spectra of (A) chitosan, (B) chondroitin sulfate, (C) chitosan-chondroitin sulfate nanoparticles, (D) chitosan-chondroitin sulfate-amphotericin B nanoparticles, and (E) pure amphotericin B.](image)

**Table 2** Anti-leishmanial activity (IC₅₀), cytotoxicity (CC₅₀), hemolytic activity (RBC₅₀), and selectivity index (SI) of chitosan (Cs), chondroitin (ChS), chitosan nanoparticles (NQs), chitosan-chondroitin nanoparticles (NQCs), amphotericin B-chitosan-chondroitin nanoparticles (NQC-AmpB), and pure amphotericin B (AmpB).

| Compound formulation | Biological activity |
|----------------------|---------------------|
|                      | Leishmania amazonensis (IC₅₀) | Leishmania chagasi (IC₅₀) | Murine macrophages | SI of L. amazonensis | SI of L. chagasi | Red blood cells (RBC₅₀) |
| Cs                   | 73±5d               | 67±1d               | 1,559±10d           | 22                   | 23               | 432±21d               |
| ChS                  | 66±1d               | 71±10d              | 1,349±142d          | 20                   | 19               | 697±106d              |
| NQ                   | 52±2±d              | 46±16±d             | 83±13±d             | 16                   | 18               | 238±26±d              |
| NQC                  | 44±2±5d             | 39±1±4±d            | 1,189±67±d          | 27                   | 31               | 317±4±d               |
| NQC-AmpB             | 1±0                 | 0.1±0.1             | 9.0±0               | 99                   | 81               | 240±33±4              |
| AmpB                 | 0.1±0               | 0.1±0.2             | 1.0±0.2             | 8                    | 9                | 12±3±3               |

**Notes:** Results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation of the groups. *Significant difference between Cs and NQs (P<0.05); **significant difference between Cs and NQC (P<0.05); *significant difference between ChS and NQC (P<0.05); **significant difference between AmpB and other compounds (P<0.05); IC₅₀ concentration needed to inhibit 50% of the parasites’ viability; CC₅₀ concentration needed to inhibit 50% of the macrophages’ viability; %RBC₅₀ concentration needed to lysis 50% of the O+ human red blood cells; SI, selectivity index, calculated by the ratio between CC₅₀ and IC₅₀.
anti-leishmanial activity, and Cs, which has been previously proven to have an anti-leishmanial effect.\textsuperscript{90} This formulation was physicochemically characterized and assessed by its anti-leishmanial activity against stationary promastigotes of \textit{Leishmania amazonensis} and \textit{L. chagasi}. Studies were extended to establish its IC\textsubscript{50} value, as well as its effects on the intramacrophage \textit{Leishmania}, and its cytotoxic effect on murine macrophages and O\textsuperscript{2+} human red blood cells.

In our study, NQC-AmpB nanoparticles were prepared and a physicochemical characterization was also performed to characterize their stability. In addition, the biological activity was also evaluated and this formulation proved to be a notable targeted drug-delivery system to be used in the treatment of disease. In addition to anti-leishmanial activity, NQC-AmpB showed low toxicity to mammals’ cells and good performance in treating infected macrophages.

To compose a PEC by combining Cs and ChS, both polymers should carry opposite charges, which occur at a pH range within their own pKa values. Cs possesses a pKa of near 6.5, with a maximum of one charge per residue.\textsuperscript{26} The apparent dissociation constant of ChS has been estimated to be from 4.4 to 4.5.\textsuperscript{51} Therefore, to formulate an effective nanoparticle combining Cs and ChS, it is necessary to create an electrostatic interaction between Cs cations and ChS anions, in which the positively charged amino groups of Cs interact with the negatively charged sulfate groups of ChS. Thus, the optimal pH necessary to achieve a stable PEC with the highest yield is a pH of approximately 5.0, as observed in our study, and also described by Chen et al.\textsuperscript{52} The main advantage of this methodology is that it allows for the production of AmpB-loaded nanoparticles without the use of organic solvents or heating.

The NQ nanoparticles were also prepared by a PEC technique using Cs and TPP.\textsuperscript{34,35} This formulation was prepared to analyze the level of efficacy of Cs against \textit{Leishmania} spp. and the synergistic biological effect of Cs and ChS in a nanoparticle system (NQC). The formulations were optimized and the nanoparticles were prepared to be smaller than 200 nm, of homogeneous size, and have a PI <0.2. The addition of the sonication step optimized the size of the formulations (<200 nm), as described by Yeh et al.\textsuperscript{52} When ChS and AmpB were added in our study, there was an increase in particle size, as shown in Figure 2 and Table 1. Yeh et al also hypothesized that there is a linear relationship between the particle size and

| Compound/formulation | Concentration (µg/mL) | Percentage of infected macrophages after treatment\textsuperscript{a} | Number of amastigotes per macrophage after treatment\textsuperscript{b} | Reduction of internalized parasites (%) |
|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Cs                   | 100.00                | 66±6                                            | 4±0                                             | 24                                     |
|                      | 50.00                 | 68±6                                            | 4±1                                             | 21                                     |
|                      | 25.00                 | 72±5                                            | 4±1                                             | 17                                     |
| ChS                  | 100.00                | 60±4                                            | 3±0                                             | 31                                     |
|                      | 50.00                 | 62±2                                            | 3±1                                             | 29                                     |
|                      | 25.00                 | 70±5                                            | 4±1                                             | 20                                     |
| NQ                   | 100.00                | 39±2                                            | 2±0                                             | 55                                     |
|                      | 50.00                 | 53±4                                            | 3±1                                             | 29                                     |
|                      | 25.00                 | 70±1                                            | 4±2                                             | 19                                     |
| NQC                  | 100.00                | 29±2                                            | 2±0                                             | 66                                     |
|                      | 50.00                 | 44±3                                            | 3±1                                             | 49                                     |
|                      | 25.00                 | 67±3                                            | 4±1                                             | 23                                     |
| NQC-AmpB             | 0.80                  | 9±1                                             | 0                                               | 90                                     |
|                      | 0.08                  | 29±3                                            | 2±1                                             | 66                                     |
|                      | 0.04                  | 44±7                                            | 3±1                                             | 49                                     |
| AmpB                 | 0.80                  | 9±1                                             | 0                                               | 89                                     |
|                      | 0.08                  | 34±5                                            | 2±1                                             | 61                                     |
|                      | 0.04                  | 58±9                                            | 3±1                                             | 34                                     |

Notes: Macrophages were plated on round glass coverslips in 24-well culture plates and infected with promastigotes of \textit{Leishmania amazonensis} (10 parasites per 1 macrophage). Free parasites were removed by extensive washing, and infected macrophages were treated with 25, 50, and 100 µg/mL of Cs, ChS, NQ, NQC, or with 0.04, 0.08, and 0.8 µg/mL of NQC-AmpB and pure AmpB, for 48 hours at 24°C and 5% CO\textsubscript{2}. The percentage of infected macrophages, the average number of amastigotes per macrophage after treatment, and the average reduction in percentage of internalized parasites were determined by counting 200 cell coverslips, in triplicate, as compared with the non-treated controls. Results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation of the percentages of the infected macrophages and by reduction of the internalized parasites in the treated cultures.

Abbreviations: AmpB, amphotericin B; Cs, chitosan; ChS, chondroitin; NQ, chitosan nanoparticle; NQC, chitosan-chondroitin nanoparticle; NQC-AmpB, amphotericin B-chitosan-chondroitin nanoparticle; PEC, polymeric electrostatic complexes.
concentration of ChS in a fixed ChS/CS ratio. Nevertheless, in all cases, the nanoparticles used in this study presented smaller sizes. Cs in pH 5.0 possesses a slightly positive charge, because of its protonation in amino groups, whereas ChS possesses a negative charge at this pH, because of the sulfate group. As ChS was added to the formulation, a decrease in the positive charge of the nanoparticles was observed. The pKa values of carboxylic and amino groups of AmpB were of 4.5 and 9.5, respectively, which is in accordance with Mazerski et al.53 Hence, in pH 5.0, the carboxylic group did not presents charge, while the amino group presented a positive charge, giving like a final result a molecule with a slight positive charge. This finding may explain why no significant difference was observed between the zeta potential of the NQC and NQC-AmpB nanoparticles. As a result, all the obtained nanoparticles had a positive charge. This finding is important, given that, in general, charged nanocarriers are more rapidly taken up by macrophages and other phagocytic cells, when compared with the uptake of nanoparticles with no charge. Further, positively charged nanoparticles accumulate in mononuclear phagocytes about twice more often as negatively charged or neutral nanoparticles.13,54 In addition, Danesh-Bahreini et al described that positively charged nanoparticles are more quickly taken up by macrophages via phagocytosis than negatively charged or neutral nanoparticles.55 In our study, there was a high %EE for the AmpB in the NQC-AmpB nanoparticles. Different ratios of Cs-ChS did not lead to significant differences in the %EE. This finding could be due to

Figure 5 Confocal images of fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-labeled nanoparticles in L. infantum chagasi-mCherry (Lic-mCherry)-infected. (A1 and A2) Macrophages (in red, panel A2) after incubation with monoclonal anti-F4/80-Pecy.5 antibody for 20 minutes at 37°C in 5% CO2. Images were obtained using optical and confocal microscopy, respectively. (A3 and A4) Macrophages after infection with Leishmania chagasi pX63NEO-mCherry (in red in A4). Images were obtained using optical and confocal microscopy, respectively. (B1 and B2) The presence of FITC-loaded-NQs (green color in B2) accumulated in the cytoplasm of cells. Images were obtained using optical and confocal microscopy, respectively. These characteristics are also shown in C1 and C2, and D1 and D2, using FITC-loaded-NQC and FITC-loaded-NQC-AmpB, respectively. (B3 and B4) The FITC-loaded-NQ formulations that could overlap with the parasites (in red). In panels, there is a general overview of macrophages containing nonviable parasites (orange color), which could be to indicate the anti-leishmanial activity of the evaluated products. The same result was observed in C3 and C4, and D3 and D4, where, in both cases, they were exposed to FITC-loaded-NQCs and FITC-loaded-NQC-AmpB, respectively.

Abbreviations: NQ, chitosan nanoparticle; NQC, chitosan-chondroitin sulfate nanoparticle; NQC-AmpB, chitosan-chondroitin sulfate-amphotericin B nanoparticle.
the concentration of AmpB in the nanoparticles, which was saturated in a 1:1 ratio. In contrast, at pH 5.0, the amino group in Cs possesses a positive charge that interacts electrostatically with the sulfate group of ChS, which possesses a negative charge, and the carboxylic group of AmpB, which possesses a negative charge, interacting electrostatically with a positive charge from the amino group of Cs.

It was also observed that TPP had an important function in the stability of the NQC nanoparticles, in that the use of TPP made the formulation stable for 3 weeks, while, without this compound, the formulation was not stable for a week (data not shown). A possible reason for this occurrence is that the TPP formed a highly cross-linked network structure in the nanoparticles. The limiting %EE factor is the solubility of AmpB in acidic media. In this study, a pH of nearly 2.0 was used with a 2% acetic acid solution to dilute the AmpB in aqueous media. So as to be successfully incorporated into the system, AmpB should be first solubilized, thus, in this study, a pH of 2.0 in aqueous media was used. This strategy was employed because a net charge on the molecule of AmpB, obtained in acid aqueous media, could increase the solubility in two ways: first, it could decrease the dimerization and association constants by ten-fold or more and, second, it could increase the threshold of degree of aggregation for which oligomers are soluble in water. For these reasons, Mazerski et al postulated that the presence of a net charge in the molecules is the main factor that induces the solubility of polyenes. In our study, an AmpB solution with the concentration of 586 μg/mL was found to be the maximum solubility obtained for this product, so this single dose was used with different proportions of Cs and ChS to analyze the %EE and AmpB loading. The NQC-AmpB 1:1 formulation was found to have the smallest particle size, highest charge (positive zeta potential), and the best %EE and AmpB loading profile.

ATR-FTIR analysis demonstrated that the sulfate group of ChS was linked with the ammonium group of Cs. No new absorption bands on the NQC-AmpB could be observed, which might mean that no obvious chemical reaction had occurred between AmpB and the delivery system. In a controlled drug-delivery system, an active product is incorporated into a polymeric network structure in such way that the drug will be released from the material in a slow and predefined manner. Depending on the drug delivery system and the application route, the release time may last from a few hours to several years. The lower release values obtained for the NQC-AmpB nanoparticles compared with pure AmpB were most likely to be due to strong interaction between the AmpB and the Cs/ChS polymers. With this profile, it could be suggested that the reduced toxicity of AmpB in the NQC-AmpB formulation could also be attributed to the slow release of the drug incorporated in the nanoparticles inside the macrophages, favoring its anti-leishmanial activity for a longer time.

UV-Vis spectral analysis is useful for deducing aggregation of AmpB. UV-Vis spectral data led us to deduce that AmpB was present in NQC-AmpB nanoparticles in its monomeric form, and the lower intensity could be due to the small amount of available AmpB. Only this product has been reported to cause a change in the UV-Vis spectrum, with a very broad peak appearing around 329 nm and decreased intensities at 405, 364, and 382 nm, when the monomeric state is converted to the aggregate state. A close association is believed to exist between AmpB’s state of aggregation and its toxicity. The mechanism of action proposed for the toxic effect of this drug is derived from its interaction with sterols in bilayer membranes, such as cell walls, causing either the formation of pores in the membrane, leading to cellular destruction or to the inhibition of membrane repair. Monomeric AmpB associated with the sterols in fungal cell membranes, whereas self-associated AmpB could also form pores in cholesterol-containing membranes, leading to the drug’s high toxicity to host cells.

In the evaluation of anti-leishmanial activity, it was observed that Cs presented better anti-leishmanial activity in relation to the evaluated nanoparticles systems. A synergistic interaction between Cs and ChS was also observed against *L. amazonensis* and *L. chagasi*. The activity of NQC-AmpB nanoparticles was similar in comparison to the results visualized using pure AmpB; however, the cytotoxicity of the NQC-AmpB was approximately ten-fold lower than that of pure AmpB. In this context, the selectivity index of AmpB was increased ten-fold when added to the proposed delivery system in this study. In addition, pure Cs and ChS, as well as NQ and NQC nanoparticles presented no significant toxicity for macrophages. Van de Ven et al and Corware et al also obtained blank nanoparticles without significant cytotoxicity in macrophages, but when they were loaded with AmpB, the evaluated systems demonstrated an increase in their toxicity. Finally, hemolytic activity was also determined as a cytotoxicity parameter, and it was observed that none of the nanoparticle formulations resulted in significant hemolysis.

**Conclusion**

The formulations tested in this study were found to have the desired characteristics of effective drug-delivery systems for use
in the treatment of leishmaniasis. The formulations were able to reach the parasitic compartment in the infected cells, which is relevant mainly because a lower drug concentration will be required for administration in patients, which may, in turn, prevent the several toxic effects of the drugs that are often observed when conventional products are daily used at high doses.

As far as we are aware, the present study is the first to date to describe a new drug-delivery system based on NQC nanoparticles loaded with AmpB to treat leishmaniasis. This formulation, in addition to demonstrating effective anti-leishmanial activity, and a high capacity to treat infected macrophages, presented none of the toxic effects in mice or human cells that are normally observed when pure AmpB is administered. Thus, we could suggest that the NQC-AmpB formulation should be evaluated in further studies on in vivo anti-leishmanial activity, in an attempt to find new chemo-therapeutic alternatives for the treatment of leishmaniasis.
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