Relationship between biodosimetric parameters and treatment volumes in three types of prostate radiotherapy
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Brachytherapy (BT) and external beam radiotherapy (EBRT) apply different dose rates, overall treatment times, energies and fractionation. However, the overall impact of these variables on the biological dose of blood is neglected. As the size of the irradiated volume influences the biological effect as well, we studied chromosome aberrations (CAs) as biodosimetric parameters, and explored the relationship of isodose surface volumes (ISVs: $V_{1\%, 1Gy}, V_{10\%, 10Gy}, V_{100\%, 150Gy}$) and CAs of both irradiation modalities. We performed extended dicentrics assay of lymphocytes from 102 prostate radiotherapy patients three-monthly for a year. Aberration frequency was the highest after EBRT treatment. It increased after the therapy and did not decrease significantly during the first follow-up year. We showed that various types of CAs 9 months after LDR BT, 3 months after HDR BT and in a long time-range (even up to 1 year) after EBRT positively correlated with ISVs. Regression analysis confirmed these relationships in the case of HDR BT and EBRT. The observed differences in the time points and aberration types are discussed. The ISVs irradiated by EBRT showed stronger correlation and regression relationships with CAs than the ISVs of brachytherapy.

In addition to external beam radiotherapy (EBRT), brachytherapy (BT)—a method of radiotherapy when radioactive isotopes are placed into or close to the tumor, to exploit the steep decrease of the dose with the distance—has an expanding role in prostate cancer therapy1. However, biologically the BT and EBRT treatments differ substantially2. They use different overall treatment times and dose rates. Low dose rate (LDR) BT is carried out on a single occasion and the dose delivery is continuous. Although high dose rate (HDR) brachytherapy is most frequently used in a fractionated manner, in our centre, prostate HDR BT is given in a single fraction. In BT, different isotopes are used with various energies and dose rates. There are already numerous studies on how these different variables influence the biological effects: linear quadratic model for dose dependence and biological effective dose calculations for fractionation. However, the weight of these factors in the summarised effect is unknown3. The clinical results (side effects, survival) are thoroughly investigated, but the effect of irradiation on cell level for deeper understanding is often neglected. Biological dosimetry is a tool to supplement physical dosimetry for this purpose4.

The biological dosimetry model systems, such as blood or cell culture irradiation5, however, are not able to model some therapeutic modalities. The delivery of the prescribed dose in LDR therapy, for example, lasts approximately 1 year. Therefore, studies performed on humans are needed, which are available only in clinical settings. However, these studies cannot be interpreted without considering the affected volumes of irradiation, which are also different in these techniques.

Our aim was to compare biodosimetric values (chromosome aberration frequencies) with volumes enclosed by isodose surfaces in cases of EBRT and two variants of BT. We wanted to explore how their relationship differs between the modalities. Thus, we investigated the total effect of the other characteristics of the modalities (photon energy, dose rate, overall treatment time and fractionation). We also examined which time points and chromosomal abnormalities were most affected by the volumetric effect after the end of radiotherapy.
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On the other hand, numerous studies showed that radiotherapy can modify the number, distribution and activity of the different immune cell populations. The gaining importance of immunotherapies used in combination with radiation motivates the research on the number of immune cells destroyed or with modified activity. For example, radiation induced lymphopenia before immunotherapy decreased survival in a mixed cohort of non-small cell lung (NSCLC), renal cancer and melanoma and in another NSCLC cohort. Furthermore, the nadir of absolute lymphocyte count after chemoradiotherapy was associated with both overall survival and disease specific survival loss in 504 esophageal cancer patients. In addition, the low total lymphocyte count 2 months after chemoradiation of pancreatic cancer patients was an independent predictor of inferior progression free survival in multivariate analysis. In RTOG 0617 the higher dose arm (74 Gy instead of 60 Gy) also showed the less local progression free survival in chemoradiotherapy treated NSCLC patients. A computational model showed that the dose on immune cells was associated with the loss of the survival. We also suggest that it is important to know the dose distribution on lymphocytes, because the white blood cells have different radiosensitivity. Although there are models from calculations, it is highly difficult to obtain the radiation dose of blood. Our study provides comparison between the modalities and the characteristics of the techniques could be incorporated into these models. We also obtained data on the distribution of chromosome aberrations in the lymphocyte population, therefore, our data might be suitable for testing these models.

The dicentric assay is one of the most reliable conventional biodosimetric methods. Chromosome aberrations are studied in peripheral blood lymphocytes, therefore, the organ/tissue related differences in the biology of the different treatment types can be excluded. Lymphocytes also reach every part of the body in the blood circulation and can be easily collected. However, the irradiated lymphocytes are present not only in the prostate, but in the irradiated surrounding tissues as well. Therefore, instead of the organ related volumes, we examined volumes enclosed by an isodose surface (isodose surface volume, ISV), which are organ independent, but are calculated inside the body contour only. These volumes correspond to all the irradiated volumes getting a minimum of these doses (given in cubic centimeters), regardless of the affected organs. However, it should be mentioned that treatment planning systems may not calculate the isodose volumes irradiated with small doses accurately, and the phenomenon that small dose isodose curves fall outside the visual field of CT scans and ultrasound pictures must also be taken into account.

Lymphocytes, which are considered as a surrogate normal tissue in biodosimetry, flow through the irradiated volumes and are continuously replaced by newer ones. As a result, merely considering the irradiated volume may lead to erroneous dose estimation because a more significant irradiated volume does not necessarily mean a greater biological effect. In view of the above, there is a need for a study that examines the effect of irradiated volumes on biological dose in radiotherapy.

There are a lot of publications about dosimetric calculation or in vivo dosimetry in radiotherapy, but according to our knowledge publications dealing with the influence of isodose surface volumes on biological dosimetric values are still missing. Moreover, most of the analyses of volumetric data use organ related volumes, therefore they are not applicable for chromosome aberrations.

### Results

The following isodose surface volumes (ISVs) of absolute and relative doses were recorded: $V_{1\%}$, $V_{1Gy}$, $V_{10\%}$, $V_{100\%}$, $V_{150\%}$ (Table 1) (except $V_{1\%}$ in the case of HDR therapy, discussed below). These volumes cover all the irradiated volumes getting at least a minimum of these doses, regardless of the affected organs. The variability in
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**Figure 1.** Example of an isodose surface volume (ISV) and organ related volumes of a patient with prostate cancer. The purple line indicates the border of the $V_{10Gy}$ ISV, the red delineation represents the prostate PTV and the green delineation shows the PTV_PVS (prostate and vesicular seminalis). The rectum and bladder was indicated with blue and yellow color, respectively.
ISVs was high among patients. For the sake of example, the highest ratio of maximum to minimum was 3.9 for V150% in HDR therapy. The higher ratios can be seen at higher doses in all therapies, but the highest differences were found mostly in HDR therapy (Table 1).

Comparison of volumes between therapies. The prescribed doses were different for the three modalities, consequently the absolute and relative doses also vary. Patients with bigger prostate (more than 60 cm3) are not eligible for brachytherapy, furthermore in EBRT extra margin was added around the prostate to get PTV, therefore all average ISVs of the EBRT patients were considerably larger than those of the BT patients. For example, the mean V100% of LDR patients was 2.4 times smaller than that of the EBRT patients, and the ratio was 3.6 for V1Gy (Table 1). Although the V100% values of HDR and LDR therapy did not differ significantly, the average V10% in HDR is 2.0 times larger than that in LDR therapy (Table 1).

Comparison of chromosome aberrations. Baseline values of total aberrations obtained prior to the treatment (2.2; 4.0; 2.9 for HDR, EBRT and LDR therapy, respectively) were less than the cutoff limit used in our laboratory for healthy people (5 aberrations/100 cells) in every modality (Fig. 2a). Total aberrations were increased to 4.3; 12.1 and 2.9 total aberrations/100 cells for HDR, EBRT and LDR therapy, respectively (significantly different between HDR and EBRT baseline and post radiotherapy) right after radiotherapy. However, after seed therapy the highest growth was seen in the first 3 months interval (from 2.9 to 6.5 total aberrations/100 cells, p < 0.0001). This is due to the long dose delivery of the low dose rate therapy. The chromosome aberration values are the highest after EBRT therapy in every time point. After 3 months stagnation was observed, except in the EBRT group, where a slow non-significant decrease could be seen (Fig. 2a). Only the total aberration value of the HDR group decreased to baseline levels (5 aberrations/100 cells) during the 1 year follow-up. The dicentrics and ring data showed a similar pattern with lower values (1.2; 5.4 and 0.4 dicentrics + rings/100 cells directly after the therapy for HDR, EBRT and LDR therapy, respectively and 2.1 dicentrics + rings/100 cells for LDR therapy at the third month after the therapy) (Fig. 2b).

Correlation and regression analysis. We performed correlation analysis in each treatment modality group comparing the ISVs with the biodosimetric values measured at different time points. After Benjamini-

### Table 1. Volumes enclosed by an isodose surface (ISV) in cm3 for three kinds of prostate radiotherapy. The mean of the obtained volumes, the range of their values and the ratio of the highest and lowest individual value are displayed.

| Volume | LDR BT | HDR BT | EBRT |
|--------|--------|--------|------|
| Mean (cm3) | Range (cm3) | Max/min | Mean (cm3) | Range (cm3) | Max/min | Mean (cm3) | Range (cm3) | Max/min |
| V1% | 2296.3 | 1897–2878 | 1.5 | – | – | 10,580.0 | 8083–17,162 | 2.1 |
| V1Gy | 2533.2 | 2104–3108 | 1.5 | 2088.3 | 1336–2755 | 2.1 | 9099.0 | 7172–14,549 | 2.0 |
| V10% | 484.5 | 345–707 | 2.0 | 982.6 | 532–1596 | 3.0 | 4503.0 | 2997–7826 | 2.6 |
| V10Gy | 635.8 | 396–906 | 2.3 | 110.3 | 58–178 | 3.1 | 3855.0 | 2736–6329 | 2.3 |
| V100% | 48.6 | 30–70 | 2.6 | 47.5 | 25–81 | 3.3 | 115.0 | 65–221 | 3.4 |
| V150% | 23.1 | 14–40 | 2.9 | 14.7 | 6.9–26.9 | 3.9 | – | – | – |

**Figure 2.** Chromosome aberrations induced by three radiotherapeutic modalities. High dose rate brachytherapy (HDR), external beam radiotherapy (EBRT) and low dose rate brachytherapy (LDR) are studied. (a) Total aberrations are shown depending on treatment and follow up time: before therapy (0), immediately after therapy (a.RT), and 3, 6, 9 and 12 months after therapy. (b) Dicentrics + rings are displayed. Significant differences (Mann–Whitney test, p < 0.05) are marked with asterisks. Both dicentric plus rings and total aberration values rise after radiotherapy, however, the growth after LDR therapy can be seen only after 3 months. At every timepoint, EBRT group had the highest aberration frequency.
Hochberg correction of multiple testing we found that 9 months after LDR therapy the chromosome aberration values (dicentrics + rings, chromatid deletions, total aberration and aberrant cell values) correlated positively and significantly with the largest ISVs, namely $V_{1\%}$, $V_{1\text{Gy}}$. The correlations were weak, between 0.37 and 0.45 (Table 2). There was no significant correlation at other time points. In HDR therapy, 3 months after radiotherapy dicentric plus rings correlated positively and weakly with most ISVs (Table 3). Aberrant cell number correlated with $V_{1\text{Gy}}$ at this time point as well (Spearman correlation coefficient 0.41). We found no correlations in any other time points of the follow-up. In the EBRT treatment group, the ISVs showed significant positive correlations with various chromosome aberrations directly after the radiotherapy, at the 3rd, 6th and at the 12th month. The aberrations which correlated with the most ISVs are shown in Table 4. Furthermore, the Spearman correlation coefficient for $V_{1\text{Gy}}$ are 0.52 and 0.51 for dicentrics + rings and aberrant cells at 3 months, respectively. The frequency of chromatid breaks and aberrant cells correlated with $V_{1\text{Gy}}$ (Spearman correlation coefficient 0.50 and 0.56) and aberrant cell number with $V_{1\%}$ (0.49) at 6 months. Total aberrations (0.48) and aberrant cell number (0.43) showed correlation with $V_{1\text{Gy}}$ at 12 months.

Univariate regression analysis was performed to explain the variance of chromosome aberrations by variables of ISVs. Multiple regression cannot be used, because of the high collinearity of the variables. We summarized our results after Benjamini–Hochberg correction in Tables 4 and 5. In case of LDR therapy, we found, that none of the ISVs were significant predictors of chromosome aberrations ($p > 0.2$, $R^2 < 6.4\%$ at the ninth month after therapy). However, at the third month after HDR the predictive value ($R^2$) varied between 26.0 and 31.7, the best predictor being $V_{10\%}$ ($p = 0.004$, $R^2 = 31.7\%$) (Table 5). We also found significant regression models 12 months after the HDR irradiation, where we received no significant correlations. The $R^2$ ranged between 15.5% and 20.5% and the concerned aberrations were chromatid breaks, total aberrations and aberrant cell values (Table 5). After EBRT, the data of the regression models of time-points directly after radiotherapy and 9 months later are shown.

### Table 2. Spearman correlation coefficients between volumes enclosed by an isodose surface and chromosome aberrations 9 months after LDR brachytherapy. LDR low dose rate brachytherapy. Dicentrics and rings are the directly radiogen chromosome aberrations, chromosomes with two centomeres or ring shape. Total aberration value is the sum of all aberrations: dicentrics, rings, chromatid or chromosome breaks, translocations and exchanges. All aberration types are customary given per 100 cells.

| LDR  | At the 9th month after RT (N = 30) |
|------|----------------------------------|
|      | Dicentrics + rings   | Chromatid deletion | Total aberration | Aberrant cells |
| $V_{1\%}$ | 0.37                  |                | 0.41            | 0.41           |
| $V_{1\text{Gy}}$ | 0.40                  | 0.37           | 0.45            | 0.43           |

### Table 3. Spearman correlation coefficients between volumes enclosed by an isodose surface and dicentrics and rings 3 months after HDR brachytherapy. HDR high dose rate brachytherapy. Dicentrics and rings are the directly radiogen chromosome aberrations, chromosomes with two centomeres or ring shape. All aberration types are customary given per 100 cells.

| HDR | Dicentrics + rings at the 3rd month after RT (N=24) |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|
| $V_{1\text{Gy}}$ | 0.44 |
| $V_{1\%}$ | 0.49 |
| $V_{15\%}$ | 0.41 |
| $V_{5\%}$ | 0.48 |
| $V_{10\%}$ | 0.41 |

### Table 4. Spearman correlation coefficients between volumes enclosed by an isodose surface and chromosome aberrations after EBRT radiotherapy. EBRT external beam radiotherapy. Dicentrics and rings are the directly radiogen chromosome aberrations, chromosomes with two centomeres or ring shape. Total aberration value is the sum of all aberrations: dicentrics, rings, chromatid or chromosome breaks, translocations and exchanges. All aberration types are customary given per 100 cells.

| EBRT | Directly after RT (N = 23) | At the 3rd month after RT (N = 23) | At the 6th month after RT (N = 21) |
|------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|      | Dicentrics + rings   | Chromatid deletion | Total aberration | Aberrant cells | Total aberration | Total aberration |
| $V_{1\%}$ | 0.59                  | 0.60           | 0.67           | 0.70         | 0.44          | 0.45           |
| $V_{1\text{Gy}}$ | 0.57                  | 0.53           | 0.64           | 0.66         |              |                |
| $V_{15\%}$ | 0.54                  | 0.54           | 0.62           | 0.69         | 0.48          | 0.47           |
| $V_{10\%}$ | 0.54                  | 0.56           | 0.67           | 0.77         | 0.58          | 0.53           |
Furthermore, we also found significant regression models at 6 months after the therapy (V10% and chromatid deletions: $R^2 = 19.5$) and 12 months after the therapy (dicentrics and rings with V1% and V1Gy, $R^2 = 20.1$ and 18.7, respectively). On the other hand, less regression relation was found than with rank correlation. $R^2$ was between 17.3 and 49.4%, the highest value was calculated for the relationship of V10% and the total aberrations 9 months after the therapy.

**Discussion**

Brachytherapy, especially LDR therapy is very hard to model in cell cultures because the dose delivery of LDR therapy lasts approximately 1 year. Furthermore, the different distances from the multiple sources and the effect of the blood circulation are hard to reproduce in in vitro conditions. Although the basic knowledge of the laws of radiobiology is also known in this scenario, the summarised impact of the multiple different factors—energy, dose rate, treatment time, irradiated volume—on chromosome aberrations is unknown. In our study, we compared the biological effect of the different radiotherapy modalities of prostate cancer treatment on cellular level, using lymphocytes originate from the blood circulation. With this model, therefore, we could exclude the bias effect of the different irradiated volumes.

Previously, most studies of the research field investigated the effect of organ doses on late radiation toxicities. However, the lymphocytes do not stay in one organ, therefore in biodosimetric investigations organ-independent irradiated volumes should be used. In five studies the total reference air kerma (TRAK) was compared with

Table 5. Significant regression values of univariate analysis in case of HDR brachytherapy. Dicentrics and rings are the directly radiogen chromosome aberrations, chromosomes with two centomeres or ring shape. Chromatid deletion is a break in one chromatid strand. Total aberration value is the sum of all aberrations: dicentrics, rings, chromatid or chromosome breaks, translocations and exchanges. Aberrant cell frequency is a number of cells with any aberration. All aberration types are customary given per 100 cells.

Table 6. Significant regression values of univariate analysis in case of external beam radiotherapy. Dicentrics and rings are the directly radiogen chromosome aberrations, chromosomes with two centomeres or ring shape. Chromatid deletion is a break in one chromatid strand. Total aberration value is the sum of all aberrations: dicentrics, rings, chromatid or chromosome breaks, translocations and exchanges. Aberrant cell frequency is a number of cells with any aberration. All aberration types are customary given per 100 cells.
ISVs. The studies followed ICRU 38 recommendation to use total reference air kerma for the dose and volume description in cervix brachytherapy. On the other hand, these studies applied much higher doses than what we used and they studied these factors in an intracavitary scenario. Furthermore, Barillot et al. showed, the reference volume enclosed by the 60 Gy isodose surface for cervix BT or with combined EBRT to be an independent predictor of the late complications. They demonstrated the relationship between the volumes and rectal complication and soft tissue sequel in univariate and rectal toxicities. As such, the predictive value of ISVs was independent on the mean organ dose.

Lymphocytes die by apoptosis after radiation, which is observed mostly for non-dividing cells like intestinal crypt, in salivary and lacrimal gland cells but rarely for tumor cells. However, most radiation induced cell death occur after an attempted mitosis. Without successful execution, the cells are eliminated by other cell death pathways, such as necrosis or apoptosis, etc. On the other hand, correlation between spontaneous and radiation induced apoptosis and tumor response was observed showing the importance of this pathway in therapy outcome as well.

The dose on the lymphocytes can also be important because radiation induces immunological changes. Relevant studies gained importance in the light of the increasingly employed immunotherapies in the patients previously treated with radiotherapy.

Jin et al. developed a model for estimating the “dose on the immune system” in order to investigate its relation to treatment outcome. They proposed that there were rapidly circulating immune cells in the heart, lung and blood vessels and the radiation dose is uniformly delivered to them. On the other hand, there are slowly circulating immune cells in the lymphatic systems and blood reservoirs and they are irradiated only if they are in the irradiated volume at the time of the dose delivery. On 464 non-small cell lung cancer patients they found that higher immune system dose was associated with poorer local progression free survival and overall survival. Ladbury et al. also found that similarly modelled immune dose to be correlated with survival in an independent cohort. To better estimate the “dose on blood” other groups developed computational models as well. These models were able to provide dose distribution information as well. As chromosome aberration technique provides information about the quantity of cells with certain aberration numbers, it reflects dose distribution. Therefore, our data can help to validate similar models in the prostate. Considerations of model modification for brachytherapy can also be tested in our database.

For three treatment modalities of prostate cancer, we calculated volumes irradiated by extremely low doses (minimum was 0.7 Gy) and compared them with chromosome aberrations, which to our knowledge hasn’t been done before. Our work is also a special one with the analysis of LDR and HDR BT as monotherapy by biological dosimetry methods.

Since the HDR therapy (given in a single fraction) and planning were ultrasound based, the field of view was limited. The calculation volume is determined by a user defined distance from the source dwell positions. The maximum distance is 50 mm, and because \( V_{100\%} \) is beyond this area it cannot be calculated by the treatment planning systems (TPS) (Table 1), therefore was not considered in our study. Also, TPS-s may not calculate the low dose ISVs accurately. Different treatment planning systems would calculate different volumes even on the same image sets, and this may cause higher effect on the larger ISVs. In the case of EBRT, ISVs were retrieved from the TPS. It is also a limitation of our study that various treatment planning systems and multiple techniques were used in dose delivery in EBRT (RapidArc, IMRT, traditional and simultaneous integrated boost), but the small sample size did not allow us to make a subgroup analysis. On the other hand, there is less difference between the isodose volumes in the used different techniques in our cohort, than between the different therapeutic modalities. (The average \( V_{100\%} \) of 3D conformal therapy is 8968 ± 521 cm³, it is 7601 ± 511 cm³ for IMRT, the t-test is non-significant.) For the same reason, we could not stratify the HDR patients according to the prescribed dose of 19 and 21 Gy. Collection of outcome and toxicity data is still in progress for further analysing clinical differences between the therapies.

The evaluation of volumes in three different kinds of radiotherapy treatments resulted in substantial differences between BT and EBRT regarding both volumes and biological doses (Table 1 and Fig. 2). The explanation of the difference between HDR and LDR therapy in \( V_{10\%} \) but not in \( V_{100\%} \) can be the different energies used in HDR or LDR therapies. As the energy of the I-125 (21 keV) is much smaller than that of the Ir-192 (360 keV) the attenuation at a distance of a few centimeters from the source is larger for I-125 that results in a smaller volume irradiated by 10% of the prescribed dose (\( V_{10\%} \)).

We revealed positive correlations between the volumetric features of LDR BT and chromosomal aberrations in blood lymphocytes of patients only at the ninth month after the implantation, but not at other time points (Table 2). However, in the univariate regression analysis, there were no significant predictors of the examined biodosimetric values among the ISVs of different doses. It is important, that although the 98% of the total dose is delivered in LDR BT within 1 year, the dose rate continuously decreases. Furthermore, the description of the radiobiological effect is complicated by the tumour shrinkage and tumour repopulation which can cause complex models. Thus, it is not trivial to find linear or quadratic relations. We hypothesize that after 9 months, the irradiation with the decreasing dose rate cannot counteract the death of the damaged lymphocytes, which decreases the aberration frequency. Therefore, the few emerging aberrant cells are cleared out of the blood. However, our results do not exclude any connection between chromosome aberrations and long-term clinical results of LDR BT. In summary, there is a relatively loose link between the chromosome aberrations and ISV-s in the case of LDR BT.

In case of HDR BT, we found that most ISVs correlated positively with radiation specific aberrations (dentricrs + rings) 3 months after the therapeutic intervention (Table 3). All examined volumes turned out to be significant predictors in the univariate regression analysis after 3 months and most ISVs were predictors at 12 months (Table 5). HDR therapy uses higher photon energy compared to LDR therapy, which should cause less DNA damage. The ISVs of absolute doses are also smaller in case of HDR, however, the higher dose rate...
increases the aberration frequency. These effects cumulatively caused less dicentric + ring frequency in our cohort (Fig. 2). Despite of the lower level of CAs, in HDR therapy, there is still a close relationship between aberration frequency and irradiated volumes. The effect of the volumes was also long-lasting (1 year at least), the durability of the connection was not limited to teletherapy.

In EBRT, most correlations were found immediately after completing the irradiation, but they were observable at the third-, sixth- and at the twelfth-month follow-up time as well (Table 4). Twenty-seven of the variables were observed to be predictors in regression analysis (Table 6). Besides, the highest predictive values ($R^2 = 49.4$) were found in EBRT, where the highest irradiated volumes can be found, which may cause a bigger impact on chromosomal aberrations. The strongest significant predictor was found in the case of $V_{10\%}$. Although fractionation may eliminate the link between the ISV and biodosimetric values, it is clearly detectable in our results. These results suggest, that some of the lymphocytes may be long-lived and the persistence of chromosome aberrations after radiotherapy was already described32.

It may be of interest to note, that in HDR BT mostly dicentrics + rings showed correlations at the third month, which aberrations considered to be radiation specific. However, twelve month after EBRT and HDR BT, not just the radiation specific aberrations were in relation with the volumetric features. We think that these other aberrations also show radiation dose dependence, but not all of them follow linear quadratic dose-dependence, as we published before33. Their frequency can also have diverse time dependence as our data measured right after EBRT suggest.

Conclusion
Relationships between the physical and biological properties of the three therapies were demonstrated, and the strongest were found in case of teletherapeutic treatment. Connections of ISVs and chromosomal aberrations were seen even 1 year after radiotherapy. Our results also suggest, that fractionation do not diminish the connection of ISVs and biological dose. However, sample and data collection for long-time analysis of chromosomal aberrations and toxicity are needed and this work is in progress in our centre.

Patients and methods
Patients. One hundred and two patients with low and intermediate prostate adenocarcinoma were recruited from 2015 to 2018 and were followed up for minimum 1 year. BT was offered to patients with prostate volume under 60 cm³, with no pubic arch interference and few comorbidities. Their personal preference was also taken into account. After the selection of BT, patients were randomised into the LDR or HDR BT group in the PROMOBRA study, which compares the outcomes of 145 Gy LDR and 1 × 19 or 21 Gy HDR brachytherapy (TC02258087 on Clinicaltrials.gov, for protocol details see below). No patient with previous malignancies or history of radiotherapy was allowed to participate in the study.

Target volumes and doses. Treatment characteristics are summarised in Table 7. Twenty-three patients were treated with EBRT on a linear accelerator with beam energy of 6–18 MV. The prostate was defined as the first CTV (CTV_pros) for patients with localised, low risk tumour. For intermediate risk patients CTV_pros was extended with 0.5 cm in all direction except for posterior where the rectum is located, plus a 1.0 cm margin was added in cranial direction to define the vesicula seminalis CTV (CTV_PVS). The PTVs were created by the extension of the CTVs with 0.8 cm in all direction. Eight EBRT patients were treated with conventional 2 Gy fractions up to 78 Gy to the prostate (39 fractions), fifteen with simultaneous integrated boost technique (SIB) up to 70 Gy: 2.5 Gy/fraction to the prostate and 2.05 Gy/fraction to the base of the vesicles (28 fractions), both given five times a week (Table 7). EBRT planning was made by Eclipse v13.7 (Varian, Palo Alto, USA) or Pinnacle v9.8 (Philips, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) treatment planning system.

Twenty-six patients received 19 or 21 Gy with HDR BT using afterloading technique with Ir¹⁹² source and fifty-three received 145 Gy with I¹²⁵ LDR BT (Table 7).

Implantation technique and treatment planning. The HDR BT treatments were performed in a single fraction in spinal anaesthesia with transrectal ultrasound (US) (Pro Focus 2202; BK Medical ApS, Herlev,

| Therapy  | HDR | EBRT | LDR |
|----------|-----|------|-----|
| Low risk | 12  | 3    | 18  |
| Intermediate risk | 14 | 20   | 35  |
| Sum      | 26  | 23   | 53  |

Table 7. Numbers of patients according to treatment types and doses. BT brachytherapy, HDR high dose rate, LDR low dose rate, EBRT external beam radiotherapy.
Analysis of chromosome aberrations. Along with blood draw for prostate specific antigen determination, heparinised blood for chromosomal aberration measurement was also collected (before radiotherapy, after the treatment and every 3 months for 1 year). In the case of EBRT, blood was taken after the delivery of the last dose, the maximum time frame was 1 h. In the case of BTs, blood was taken on the day after the therapy. Lymphocytes were stimulated with phytohaemagglutinin M (0.2%, Gibco) in RPMI cell culture media (Gibco) and 15% fetal bovine serum on 37 °C. Cell division was arrested with 0.1 μg/ml colcemid (Gibco). Cells were treated with hypotonic solution (75 mM KCl) to swell their volume and fixed five times with 3:1 methanol:acetic acid mixture. The cells were dropped on glass slides in order to produce smears, which were stained with Giemsa solution.

Cell division was arrested with 0.1 μg/ml colcemid (Gibco). Cells were treated with hypotonic solution (75 mM KCl) to swell their volume and fixed five times with 3:1 methanol:acetic acid mixture. The cells were dropped on glass slides in order to produce smears, which were stained with Giemsa solution. Metaphases were scored in regard of chromosomal aberrations: dicentrics and ring chromosomes, chromatid and chromosome breaks, exchanges and translocations were counted. We analysed chromosome aberrations directly and 3, 6, 9, and 12 months after radiotherapy. Our laboratory is harmonized with the ICPEMC scoring system.

Statistics. In the analysis of chromosome aberrations GraphPad Prism 8.0 (GraphPad Software; RRID:SCR_002798) was used for Mann–Whitney tests and IBM SPSS statistics 25.0 for correlation analysis of aberrations and ISVs. As chromosome aberration values are not normally distributed, Spearman correlation analysis was performed considering only significant correlations. Benjamini–Hochberg procedure (0.25 false discovery rate) was applied to handle multiple comparison problem. The results were categorized as follows: moderate correlation was found if 0.50 < correlation coefficient ≤ 0.7 values were calculated and weak correlation was found if the coefficient was ≤ 0.50. As rank correlations are robust against outliers, they were not excluded from the calculations considering that they might be the values of radiosensitive patients and because of the small sample size. Univariate regression (of ISVs and chromosome aberrations) was made in Mininab 18.1 (RRID:SCR_014483) using Assistant tool, which considers both linear and quadratic relationships. We also used the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure (0.25 false discovery rate) on the regression analysis results to discard excess significant connections. Not all patients showed up to every follow up visit, therefore, the number of patients for every analysis was indicated.
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