Molecular understanding of charge storage and charging dynamics in supercapacitors with MOF electrodes and ionic liquid electrolytes

Sheng Bi1,2, Harish Banda3, Ming Chen1,4, Liang Niu1, Mingyu Chen1, Taizheng Wu1, Jiasheng Wang1, Runxi Wang1, Jiamao Feng1, Tianyang Chen3, Mircea Dincă3, Alexei A. Kornyshev2* and Guang Feng1*

We performed constant-potential molecular dynamics simulations to analyse the double-layer structure and capacitive performance of supercapacitors composed of conductive metal–organic framework (MOF) electrodes and ionic liquids. The molecular modeling clarifies how ions transport and reside inside polarized porous MOFs, and then predicts the corresponding potential-dependent capacitance in characteristic shapes. The transmission line model was adopted to characterize the charging dynamics, which further allowed evaluation of the capacitive performance of this class of supercapacitors at the macroscale from the simulation-obtained data at the nanoscale. These ‘computational microscopy’ results were supported by macroscopic electrochemical measurements. Such a combined nanoscale-to-macroscale investigation demonstrates the potential of MOF supercapacitors for achieving unprecedentedly high volumetric energy and power densities. It gives molecular insights into preferred structures of MOFs for accomplishing consistent performance with optimal energy–power balance, providing a blueprint for future characterization and design of these new supercapacitor systems.

Enhancing the capacitive performance of electrical double-layer capacitors (EDLCs) relies on the development of porous electrode materials1-2. Owing to their designable structures3-5, conductive metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) are promising for EDLC electrodes6-8. Their scaffold-shaped volume-filling ordered structure could provide large specific surface area (SSA) per mass/volume, with a custom-designed pore space3,6. This helps to maximize the stored energy density; it may also facilitate ion transport, thereby increasing power density. Indeed, graphene-doped MOFs were found to have high capacitance, due to their high porosity and open structure6. Highly conductive Ni3(2,3,6,7,10,11-hexaiminotriphenylene) (Ni3(HITP)) MOF electrodes in EDLCs with an organic electrolyte showed high areal capacitance and low cell resistance, superior to most carbon-based materials9.

The choice of electrolyte is equally important. Room-temperature Ionic liquids (RTILs) are promising electrolytes due to their excellent thermal stability, non-volatility, broad working temperature range and wide electrochemical window (potentially facilitating the EDLC’s energy density)10-13. Many studies have focused on understanding the energy storage mechanism of porous electrodes with RTILs, via in situ experiments and molecular simulations14-17. Traditional electrodes, for example activated carbons, contain pores of different sizes, shapes and connectivities18, whereas MOFs present monodisperse pores with controllable structures3,5, making them near-ideal systems for computational modelling. Nevertheless, because there could be millions of MOF–RTIL combinations, it is important to clarify generic charge storage mechanisms and charging dynamics related to their structures, particularly in the absence of experimental studies on supercapacitors with conductive MOF electrodes and RTIL electrolytes.

Equilibrium charge and ion distributions inside MOFs

We present the simulated charge and ion distributions in three neutral and polarized MOFs with pore sizes of 0.81, 1.57 and 2.39 nm, and PZCs calculated as 0.074, 0.035 and 0.042 V, respectively. The small PZC values suggest no noticeable preferential adsorption of cations or anions of [EMIM][BF4] into the pores of these MOFs19.

Figure 2a depicts the in-plane distributions in a 1.57-nm-diameter pore at different electrode potentials. Inside quasi-1D pores of a polarized MOF electrode, radial ion distributions appear more heterogeneous than those at the PZC, with counter-charge settling...
closer to the pore surface and at the pore centre-line (top row of Fig. 2a). At the PZC, as shown in the middle and bottom rows of Fig. 2a, cations and anions both form a layer adsorbed on the pore surface, displaying a hexagonal pattern in the planar cross-section, with a wire of ions along the pore axis. Under electrode polarization, the counter-ions (cations at negative and anions at positive polarization) pack similarly but with more distinct separation between a surface-adsorbed layer and the centre-line. The co-ions settle between these two regions. For the smallest pore MOF (0.81 nm), only one layer (a single column) of ions can get inside the pore, regardless of electrode polarization (Supplementary Fig. 5). For the largest pore (2.39 nm), at the PZC, two mixed cation–anion layers dwell inside the pore, one of them contacting the pore wall; under electrode polarization two pronounced counter-ion layers form, separated by a co-ion layer, with a co-ion wire at the axis (Supplementary Fig. 6).

The interlaced radial distributions of cations and anions in polarized pores comply with the ion layering in RTILs at electrode surfaces revealed by previous experiments and simulations\(^{1,15-17}\), while there is little cation–anion layering at the PZC (middle column of Fig. 2a). To delve into this difference, we analysed the ion density along the pore axis. In Supplementary Fig. 7a we see that waving axial ion distributions at PZC become more distinct with electrode polarization. Examining ions inside pores, we divided the pore space into central and surface regions demarcated by a circle with radius of 0.25 nm (Fig. 2b and snapshots in Supplementary Fig. 7b). The cation orientations, characterized by angular distributions (Fig. 2c), reveal how ions are accommodated inside the pore.

As the electrode becomes more negatively charged, cations in the surface region prefer to align along the pore axis, while those in the central region orientate more randomly, which could be ascribed to the adsorbed ion layer screening out the electrode surface charge. With positive polarization, the cations move closer to the centre axis and leave their ethyl groups pointing to surface-adsorbed anions. Similar trends could be observed for smaller and larger pores (Supplementary Figs. 8 and 9), except that ions in the central region of larger pores orientate more randomly. The delineated in-plane and axial ion distributions clarify where and why ions could preferably reside in MOF pores\(^{1,15,17}\).

**Capacitance and energy density of MOF-based supercapacitors**

Charge storage in supercapacitors is characterized by voltage-dependent capacitance and energy density. The differential capacitance of an electrode is defined as the derivative of the electrode’s charge with respect to its potential (Methods and Supplementary Section 2). The electrode charge is of equal magnitude and opposite sign to the net ionic charge inside the pores and in the double layer at the electrode’s outer surface. For highly porous electrodes, the area of the latter is negligible, and we will not consider this contribution.

The capacitance is usually presented per unit (1) surface area (area specific), (2) mass (gravimetric) or (3) volume (volumetric) of the electrode. The gravimetric and volumetric values are easy to define, whereas the determination of the area-specific capacitance could be ambiguous, as it depends on how the interior surface of the electrode was measured (the way we estimated the surface area of the studied MOF pores is described in Supplementary Section 1). Figure 3a shows the area-specific differential capacitance. The MOF with the smallest pore (0.81 nm) displays a double-humped shape of the capacitance–potential curve with two maxima of 10.2 and 1.5 V, respectively, while the curves for the other two MOFs are both bell shaped with a maximum near the PZC. Within a potential range of −0.5 to +0.5 V, the 1.57-nm-diameter MOF delivers a capacitance of ~9 µF cm\(^{-2}\), compatible with RTIL-based porous carbon EDLCs\(^{17,21}\). The shape of the capacitance–potential curves could be understood through analysing the voltage-dependent ion distributions inside pores (Supplementary Fig. 10). There, for the smallest pore MOF, the numbers of in-pore cations and anions, separately, gradually change within the potential range between −1 and +1.4 V (Supplementary Fig. 10a).
The change becomes faster under larger electrode polarizations, slowing down after ±2 V, approaching saturation. This results in the double-humped shape of the capacitance–potential curve (Fig. 3a). For the other two MOFs (Supplementary Fig. 10b,c), the steepest change in ion population occurs near the PZC, slowing down as the electrodes become more polarized (especially above ±1.5 V); this induces bell-shaped capacitance–potential curves (Fig. 3a). Notably, for pores filled with more than one ion layer, within the potential range of −0.5 to +0.5 V the change of cation/anion population takes place mainly in the central region of a pore, but it shifts towards the pore surface region beyond this range (Supplementary Fig. 10d,e).

Having defined the mass and volume of a unit cell for the studied MOFs, we obtain the gravimetric/volumetric capacitances, and the corresponding energy densities. As shown in Fig. 3b,c, the MOF with the largest pore (2.39 nm) has the highest gravimetric capacitance but the lowest volumetric one. For the 1.57-nm Ni3(HITP)2 MOF, the gravimetric and volumetric capacitances reach 112 F g\(^{-1}\) and 105 F cm\(^{-3}\), respectively. Notably, simulations show that a gravimetric energy density of ~57 Wh kg\(^{-1}\) could be achieved at a cell voltage of 4 V, if the electrodes could sustain this voltage. Such energy density is as high as those reported for some high-energy-density carbon electrodes\(^{22}\). With regards to volumetric energy density, especially within a narrower potential range, the three MOF electrodes display similar capacitances, while at a cell voltage of 4 V the smallest-pore MOF delivers the highest volumetric energy density, ~50 Wh l\(^{-1}\). The predicted volumetric performance demonstrates promising potential of these MOFs in comparison with other electrode materials in EDLCs\(^{23,24}\).

Certainly, as the pore size of MOFs keeps reducing, ions eventually will not be able to enter the pores, leading to vanishing...
capacitance. Indeed, the Ni₃(hexaaminobenzene)₂ (Ni₃(HAB)₂) MOF, with rather less room than Ni₃(HHB)₂ to accommodate enough ions inside, was found to exhibit considerably smaller capacitance, suggesting that there would be a limit of narrowing MOF pore for a typical RTIL (Supplementary Figs. 11 and 12 in Supplementary Section 4).

Charging dynamics

We focus now on power delivery. Figure 4a shows the time evolution of ionic charge in a pore at 400 K (for results between 300 and 400 K, see Supplementary Fig. 13). It appears possible to rationalize the charging dynamics through the transmission line model (TLM)²⁵. On the basis of the TLM schematized in Supplementary Fig. 14a, the net charge of the pore, after jump-wise application of a constant potential, reads²⁵

\[
Q(t) = Q_\infty \left\{ 1 - \frac{2}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp \left[ -\pi^2 \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{4}{l} \right)^2 \right] \right\} \tag{1}
\]

where \(Q_\infty\) is the charge when the pore is fully charged, \(l\) is the pore volume divided by its surface area and \(l\) is the full length of the pore. The parameter in equation (1), which does not depend on pore length, is the intrinsic relaxation time,

\[
\tau = \frac{C_{\text{area}} l}{\sigma} \tag{2}
\]

in which \(C_{\text{area}}\) is the areal capacitance of the pore and \(\sigma\) is the ionic conductivity inside the pore. Note that equation (1) is strictly valid for potential-independent capacitance; there is no closed-form solution if the capacitance varies during charging. For simplicity, we

Fig. 3 | Capacitance and energy density. Voltage dependence of the capacitance and stored energy density of an ionic-liquid-filled MOF electrode. a, Differential capacitance per unit pore surface area. b, c, Gravimetric (b) and volumetric (c) capacitance and energy density of the three studied MOFs. The energy density is calculated from \(E_{\text{g}}(\phi) = \int_{\phi_{\text{PZC}}}^{\phi} C_{\text{g}}(\phi) \, d\phi\), where \(C_{\text{g}}\) is the gravimetric/volumetric capacitance and \(\phi\) is the electrode potential.

Fig. 4 | Charging process at nanoscale. a, Time evolution of charge density per unit surface area of the pore, after a cell voltage of 4 V was applied between two electrodes, shown for the positive electrode, for the three MOFs of indicated pore sizes at 400 K. Simulation-obtained results are shown against the curves (green dashed lines) fitted by the TLM sketched in Supplementary Fig. 14a. b, Intrinsic relaxation time (top panel, shown in logarithmic scale for better visibility) and ionic conductivity (bottom panel) of RTILs in the MOF pores at different temperatures.
will still use equations (1) and (2) with the value of $C_{\text{ion}}$ corresponding to the capacitance for a given electrode potential.

Taking the 1.57-nm-diameter MOF, with $l = 0.40$ nm and $L = 5.86$ nm (for parameters for the other two MOFs, see Supplementary Tables 2 and 3), by fitting TLM to simulation data we obtain $\tau$. Despite the aforementioned approximation, TLM-fitted curves match the MD-obtained charging curves very well (see Fig. 4a). This is further confirmed by fittings of the charging dynamics under alternative voltage jumps and at different temperatures (Supplementary Figs. 13 and 14b–d). The fittings for all three studied MOFs show that with increasing temperature $\tau$ decreases (top panel of Fig. 4b), which is reasonable and has been experimentally demonstrated for porous electrodes owing to the increase of ion mobility with increasing temperature$^{26,27}$.

The obtained value of $\tau$ can be used to roughly estimate the charging time, $\tau_c = \frac{1}{I L^2}$, for a practical supercapacitor cell. For instance, for a 1.57-nm-pore MOF electrode of 100-µm thickness, $\tau_c$ will be about 4.3 and 2.2 s at 300 and 400 K, respectively.

Specially performed MD simulations of bulk [EMIM][BF$_4$] give a conductivity of 1.26–8.2 S m$^{-1}$ within 300–400 K, consistent with experimental data (1.6–10.8 S m$^{-1}$) (ref. 28). To evaluate the conductivity of in-pore RTIL, we extracted the values of $\tau$ from fitting equation (1) to the MD-obtained charging curves in Fig. 4a, and then find $\sigma$, using equation (2). For MOFs with pore sizes of 1.57 and 2.39 nm, $\sigma$ increases from 0.3 to 0.9 S m$^{-1}$ within 300–400 K (bottom panel of Fig. 4b). Interestingly, the conductivity of ions in the smallest pore (0.81 nm), varying in the range of 0.9–1.7 S m$^{-1}$ in this temperature interval, appears higher than in the other two larger MOF pores. This could be attributed to the stronger screening electrostatic interactions due to the induced image charges on the pore walls$^{29,30}$. Nevertheless, the conductivities of ions under nanoconfinement are obviously smaller than in the bulk. These results suggest considerable limitations for ion transport inside the quasi-1D MOF pores. Hence, the electrolyte resistance in MOFs would be the dominant contribution to the equivalent series resistance (ESR) of a practical MOF-based EDLC. This is similar to what has been concluded from the experiment with a 1.57-nm-pore MOF in an organic solution$^{25}$. It is simply because the electrical conductivity of this MOF is much higher than the ionic conductivity of the in-pore RTIL. Interestingly, the higher working voltage results in a decrease of $\tau$ and an increase of $\sigma$ (Supplementary Fig. 14e–g), thus promoting faster ion transport inside the MOFs.

All the above simulations are conducted with the RTIL in a coarse-grained model$^{32}$ and the MOF electrode in AA interlayer stacking$^{32}$. To verify the independence of the ion model from results, we employed an all-atom model for [EMIM][BF$_4$] (Supplementary Fig. 15)$.^{32}$ The all-atom model gave results very similar to the coarse-grained one, including in-pore ion distributions, charge storage and charging dynamics (Supplementary Figs. 16–18). To reveal the effect of interlayer stacking, we performed MD simulations on a slipped-parallel AB stacking model$^{32}$ of the Ni$_3$(HITP)$_2$ MOF (Supplementary Fig. 19a). The tiny parallel slip (0.137 nm) introduces a negligible difference in both capacitance and charging dynamics (Supplementary Fig. 19b).

**Capacitive performance at the macroscale**

To validate the MD-obtained capacitance, taking the Ni$_3$(HITP)$_2$ MOF as an example, we carried out electrochemical measurements of supercapacitors with [EMIM][BF$_4$] electrolyte. We have set up three synthesis procedures (Methods), based on previously reported strategies$^{33,34}$, and obtained Ni$_3$(HITP)$_2$ samples with SSAs of 556, 641 and 732 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ (Supplementary Fig. 20). Scanning electron micrographs demonstrate that the sample with the highest SSA has larger rod-like crystallites in the MOF powder (Supplementary Fig. 21), indicating enhanced crystallinity$^{35,36}$, as verified by the powder X-ray diffraction patterns of the samples with different SSAs (Supplementary Fig. 22).

Two-electrode cells were fabricated, with these Ni$_3$(HITP)$_2$ samples of different crystallinities, to measure the capacitance of this MOF in the RTIL [EMIM][BF$_4$] (for details see Methods). With cyclic voltammogram measurements at a scan rate of 10 mV s$^{-1}$ (Fig. 5a; for results at 5 mV s$^{-1}$ see Supplementary Fig. 23), we obtained that the gravimetric capacitances for Ni$_3$(HITP)$_2$, with SSAs of 556, 641 and 732 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, are, respectively, 58, 70 and 76 F g$^{-1}$, which are all smaller than the MD-obtained capacitance of $\sim$101 F g$^{-1}$ with an SSA of 1,153 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$. This difference could be attributed to the different SSAs resulting from the imperfect crystallinity (Supplementary Figs. 21 and 22). The areal capacitance, however, depends little on the SSA ($\sim$11 µF cm$^{-2}$; Supplementary Table 5), and is close to the modelling value of $\sim$9 µF cm$^{-2}$.

Our cyclic voltammogram measurements of Ni$_3$(HITP)$_2$ in a three-electrode cell indicate that enhancing MOF crystallinity, accompanied by an SSA increase from 556 to 732 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, would also enlarge its voltage window from about 2.1 to 2.8 V (Supplementary Fig. 24). Our cycle-life tests further confirm the increased working voltage with improving crystallinity (Supplementary Fig. 25).

We then measured the ESR of MOF-based EDLCs. The galvanostatic charge–discharge curve in Fig. 5b was experimentally obtained at room temperature for two-electrode cells composed of a 25-µm separator and Ni$_3$(HITP)$_2$ MOF pellets with 180-µm thickness and 6.4 mm diameter. The ESR was found to be 8.62 Ω cm$^2$, similar to our electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) data (8.92 Ω cm$^2$, Supplementary Fig. 26). Previous experiments have reported a smaller ESR of this MOF than of porous carbons in organic electrolyte$^{10}$. To identify this advantage of Ni$_3$(HITP)$_2$ in RTIL electrolyte, a commercial activated carbon (YP-50F) was chosen for comparison, with nearly the same size and mass loading and without any binders and additives. The ESR for the assembled cells with [EMIM][BF$_4$] was determined from galvanostatic
charge–discharge curves as about 7.2 and 15.9 Ω cm², respectively, for electrodes made of Ni₃(HITP), and activated carbon with Ni foam collectors (Supplementary Fig. 27). It was earlier experimentally demonstrated that electrodes based on aligned single-walled carbon nanotubes show greatly enhanced ion transport parallel to the alignment direction. Similarly, the MOFs studied herein, possessing crystal structure and retaining crystallinity when made into electrodes, could offer straight quasicylindrical pores, providing faster charging dynamics than the carbon with tortuous pores and amorphous topology. The MD-obtained capacitance and conductivity of electrolyte in an MOF pore, together with intrinsic properties of MOFs (Supplementary Table 2), could be used to assess the capacitive performance of a practical MOF-based supercapacitor, via macroscale equivalent circuit simulations (Supplementary Fig. 28; for details see Methods and Supplementary Section 8). On the basis of the values of σ and capacitance, for the three studied MOFs, Nyquist plots were computed for supercapacitors with the same-sized MOF electrode (180 μm thickness and 6.4 mm diameter) and separator (25 μm thickness). They differ, to some extent, from EIS measurements (Fig. 6a versus Supplementary Fig. 26), as modelling adopts ideal monocrystalline MOF electrodes and neglects the electrode and electrode–collector contact resistances. From simulation-obtained Nyquist plots, ESRs of such cells at 400 K were found to be 3.1, 2.9 and 2.3 Ω cm² for MOFs with pore sizes of 0.81, 1.57 and 2.39 nm, respectively. At 300 K, ESR increases to approximately 6.4 with pore sizes of 0.81, 1.57 and 2.39 nm, respectively. 

Conclusion
We have investigated the charge storage and charging dynamics of supercapacitors consisting of conductive MOF electrodes and RTIL electrolyte. The microstructures of RTIL inside MOF pores were analysed in terms of in-plane (2D) and axial (1D) ion distributions, as well as ion orientations, which help to interpret the obtained double-humped and bell shapes of the capacitance–potential dependence. With the TLM rationalizing the charging dynamics, we evaluated the capacitive performance of macroscale EDLC devices. Modelling results revealed that these MOF/RTIL–based cells could exhibit performance superior to most carbon-based devices, which suggests promising avenues for designing supercapacitors with both high energy and high power densities.

Although many conductive MOFs have been synthesized, they are still a new family in the MOF community and more of them need to be developed, which would take the modelling-guided design as key input. Moreover, to-be-developed 3D conductive MOF scaffolds might be expected to have advantages over the dense stacks of 2D MOF sheets with quasi-1D pores. Indeed, 3D scaffolds could provide ion transport paths in all directions and consequently promote cation–anion swapping, pivotal in charging–discharging processes. Such scaffold electrodes with all-dimension openness...
and high porosity may help to enhance the charging dynamics, and
together with the enhanced surface area potentially enlarge energy
and power densities simultaneously.
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Methods
MD simulation. As shown in Fig. 1, the MD simulation system consists of two identical and symmetric conductive MOFs immersed in an [EMIM][BF₄] RTIL. Real supercapacitors contain an electronically isolating ion transport membrane that prevents the absorption of charges by the two electrodes; however, a short cut between the electrodes; however, membranes must not impede ion exchange between the electrodes. For this proof-of-principle study, considering therefore the best performance possible, we will not incorporate the membrane into our simulation cell. This strategy is generally adopted for MD modelling of supercapacitors15,31,41. The atomistic structures of Ni₃(HITP), MOF were obtained from experimental measurements1,16,50, and Ni₃(hexahydroxybenzene), (Ni₃(HHB)), and N₄(2,3,8,9,14,15-hexamino-trinaphthalene), (Ni₄(HTN)) were MOF constructed, respectively, with reference to Cu₂(HHB), (ref. 30) and Ni₃(HTTP)2. The geometry optimization of each MOF in Fig. 1c and the partial charge distribution for each MOF in the unpolarized state (Supplementary Fig. 1) were derived from density functional theory calculations, using the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof functional and the PBE0 exchange–correlation potential44. In Supplementary Section 2 and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2, the Lennard-Jones parameters for the MOF atoms and pore size calculation can be seen in Supplementary Section 1 and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2. The Lennard-Jones parameters for the MOF atoms and pore size calculation can be seen in Supplementary Section 1 and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2. The Lennard-Jones parameters for the MOF atoms and pore size calculation can be seen in Supplementary Section 1 and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2. The Lennard-Jones parameters for the MOF atoms and pore size calculation can be seen in Supplementary Section 1 and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2. The Lennard-Jones parameters for the MOF atoms and pore size calculation can be seen in Supplementary Section 1 and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2. The Lennard-Jones parameters for the MOF atoms and pore size calculation can be seen in Supplementary Section 1 and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2.

RTIL and Ni₃(hexahydroxybenzene)₂ (Ni₃(HHB)₂) and Ni₃(2,3,8,9,14,15-hexamino-trinaphthalene), (Ni₄(HTN)) were MOF constructed, respectively, with reference to Cu₂(HHB), (ref. 30) and Ni₃(HTTP)2. The geometry optimization of each MOF in Fig. 1c and the partial charge distribution for each MOF in the unpolarized state (Supplementary Fig. 1) were derived from density functional theory calculations, using the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof functional and the PBE0 exchange–correlation potential44. In Supplementary Section 2 and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2, the Lennard-Jones parameters for the MOF atoms and pore size calculation can be seen in Supplementary Section 1 and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2. The Lennard-Jones parameters for the MOF atoms and pore size calculation can be seen in Supplementary Section 1 and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2. The Lennard-Jones parameters for the MOF atoms and pore size calculation can be seen in Supplementary Section 1 and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2. The Lennard-Jones parameters for the MOF atoms and pore size calculation can be seen in Supplementary Section 1 and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2. The Lennard-Jones parameters for the MOF atoms and pore size calculation can be seen in Supplementary Section 1 and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2.

Thick dense stacks of 2D MOF sheets, even those that warrants the absence of a short cut between the electrodes; however, ideal membranes must not impede ion exchange between the electrodes. For this proof-of-principle study, considering therefore the best performance possible, we will not incorporate the membrane into our simulation cell. This strategy is generally adopted for MD modelling of supercapacitors15,31,41. The atomistic structures of Ni₃(HITP), MOF were obtained from experimental measurements1,16,50, and Ni₃(hexahydroxybenzene), (Ni₃(HHB)), and N₄(2,3,8,9,14,15-hexamino-trinaphthalene), (Ni₄(HTN)) were MOF constructed, respectively, with reference to Cu₂(HHB), (ref. 30) and Ni₃(HTTP)2. The geometry optimization of each MOF in Fig. 1c and the partial charge distribution for each MOF in the unpolarized state (Supplementary Fig. 1) were derived from density functional theory calculations, using the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof functional and the PBE0 exchange–correlation potential44. In Supplementary Section 2 and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2, the Lennard-Jones parameters for the MOF atoms and pore size calculation can be seen in Supplementary Section 1 and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2. The Lennard-Jones parameters for the MOF atoms and pore size calculation can be seen in Supplementary Section 1 and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2. The Lennard-Jones parameters for the MOF atoms and pore size calculation can be seen in Supplementary Section 1 and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2. The Lennard-Jones parameters for the MOF atoms and pore size calculation can be seen in Supplementary Section 1 and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2. The Lennard-Jones parameters for the MOF atoms and pore size calculation can be seen in Supplementary Section 1 and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2.

Materials for experiments. All the starting materials were purchased from commercial suppliers. Specifically, 2,3,6,7,10,11-hexaminothiophenylene hexa-1,2,3-triazine was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich; concentrated aqueous ammonia was purchased from TCI; ethanol and acetone were purchased from Sinopharm. The RTIL [EMIM][BF₄] was purchased from Aladdin. Starting materials were used without further purification unless otherwise noted. Activated carbon was purchased from Kuraray Chemical, and used to compare with the MOF electrode material.

Synthesis of MOFs. On the basis of synthesis strategies reported in the previous work10,34, we obtained electrode materials of Ni₃(HITP), with different SSAs of 556, 641 and 732 m² g⁻¹ through three different procedures, which are given below.

Procedure I. A solution of 323 mg (1.36 mmol) of NiCl₂·6H₂O in 20 ml of water was added to a solution of 467 mg (0.91 mmol) of HATP·6HCl in 140 ml of water. Throughout this reaction, all the water used was deionized. Then 4.5 ml of concentrated aqueous ammonia (NH₄OH, 14.79 mol⁻¹) was added to the mixture. The mixture was stirred in a flask under air bubbling for 1 h at 60 °C, then air bubbling was turned off and the mixture was stirred for an additional 2 h at 60 °C. The resulting black powder was isolated by centrifugation immediately and washed with water (twice, 180 ml) and ethanol (twice, 180 ml). The solid was then dried under vacuum for 12 h at 150 °C. The product was kept in a refrigerator.

Procedure II. A solution of 162.1 mg (0.675 mmol) of NiCl₂·6H₂O in 10 ml of water was added to a solution of 243.7 mg (0.453 mmol) of HATP·6HCl in 70 ml of water. Then 2.25 ml of NH₄OH (14.79 mol⁻¹) was added to the mixture. The mixture was stirred in a flask under air bubbling for 1 h at 60 °C, then air bubbling was turned off and the mixture was stirred for an additional 2 h at 60 °C. The resulting suspension was cooled in air for 1.5 h. The resulting black powder was isolated by centrifugation and washed with water (twice, 90 ml) and ethanol (twice, 90 ml). The solid was then dried under vacuum for 8 h at 100 °C. After cooling, it was dried under vacuum for an additional 12 h at 100 °C. The product was kept in an argon-filled glovebox.

Procedure III. A solution of 96.5 mg (0.406 mmol) of NiCl₂·6H₂O in 10 ml of water was added to a solution of 142.0 mg (0.264 mmol) of HATP·6HCl in 50 ml of water in a 250-ml Schlenk bottle. The resulting yellow solution was stirred manually, heated to 65 °C in an oil bath and treated with 1.5 ml of NH₄OH (14.79 mol⁻¹). The reaction mixture was kept at 65 °C for 2 h. The resulting black powder was then stirred with air bubbling then switched to a N₂ atmosphere and kept at 65 °C for an additional 2 h. The resulting suspension was cooled in a N₂ atmosphere for 2 h. The resulting black powder was separated from the reaction mixture by centrifugation, soaked in water at room temperature for 18 h and washed with water (twice, 180 ml), ethanol (twice, 180 ml) and acetone (twice, 180 ml). Finally, the solid product was dried under a stream of nitrogen gas for 12 h. The product was kept in an argon-filled glovebox.

Characterization of MOF powder. Nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms were measured with a Quantachrome Autosorb IQ system at 77 K. Before the gas adsorption–desorption measurement, as-synthesized MOF (~100-mg) samples were activated by drying under vacuum for 12 h at 100 °C. Afterwards, liquid nitrogen baths (77 K) were used to measure nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms. The free-space correction and measurement were conducted using ultrahigh-purity grade (99.999% purity) nitrogen and helium, oil-free valves and gas regulators. Powder X-ray direction patterns were obtained with a PANalytical Empyrean X-ray powder diffractometer equipped with a Cu-sealed tube (λ = 1.544426 Å) at 40 kV and 40 mA, and samples were prepared on a zero-background silicon crystal plate. Scanning electron microscopy observation was performed with a Zeiss Gemini 300 scanning electron microscope with an operating voltage of 3 kV. Transmission electron microscopy was performed on a FEI Tecnai G2 F20 microscope operated at 300 kV. Samples for transmission electron microscopy were dispersed in acetone, and a droplet of the suspension was transferred onto a carbon-coated copper grid.

Electrochemical measurement and analysis. A two-electrode cell was fabricated to measure the capacitive performance of MOF electrodes in an argon-filled glovebox. Before the electrochemical measurement, the RTIL [EMIM][BF₄] was vacuum-dried for 12 h at 100 °C and then treated with ultrapure argon (99.999%) to remove absorbed water and dissolved gas as much as possible. The cell is assumed to be symmetric, since the difference between the MOF materials in the...
two electrodes was controlled to within 5%. All electrochemical measurements were performed on a Zahner Zennium electrochemical workstation.

Throughout this work, all the MOF electrodes were fabricated without any binders or conductive additives. To measure the capacitance, MOF powders were compressed into a Ni foam working electrode with a mass loading of ~4 mg cm\(^{-2}\). We calculated the \(C_e\) of one single electrode from the cyclic voltammetry curves, according to the following equation:

\[
C_e = \frac{4 \int V_i \, I \, dt}{V_{\text{opt}}} \tag{3}
\]

where \(I\) and \(\nu\) are the discharge current and the scan rate, respectively, \(V_i\) is the operating voltage and \(m\) is the total active material mass of both electrodes in the symmetric cell.

The experimental \(C_e\) can be compared with the MD-obtained integral capacitance (\(C_{MD}\)). \(C_{MD}\) can be obtained directly from the molecular simulation that is performed under \(V_i\) potential drop between the two electrodes, as 

\[
C_{MD} = 4 \frac{Q}{V_{\text{opt}}} \tag{4}
\]

in which \(Q\) is the total charge on one electrode. To obtain the ESR, the electrode is made of MOF pellets with 6.4 mm diameter and 180 \(\mu\)m thickness (mass loading ~ 5.9 mg cm\(^{-2}\)). Through galvanostatic charge-discharge measurements, we computed the ESR from the voltage drop (\(V_{\text{drop}}\)) at the beginning of the discharge curve from ESR = (\(V_{\text{drop}}/2I\)).

Data availability
The theoretical and experimental data presented in this work are available from the corresponding authors on reasonable request.
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