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Abstract

Background: The two recent simultaneous developments of high-throughput sequencing and increased computational power have brought bioinformatics to the forefront as an important tool for effective and efficient biomedical research. Consequently, there have been multiple approaches to developing bioinformatics skills. In resource rich environments, it has been possible to develop and implement formal fully accredited graduate degree training programs in bioinformatics. In resource limited settings with a paucity of expert bioinformaticians, infrastructure and financial resources, the task has been approached by delivering short courses on bioinformatics—lasting only a few days to a couple of weeks. Alternatively, courses are offered online, usually over a period of a few months. These approaches are limited by both the lack of sustained in-person trainer–trainee interactions, which is a key part of quality mentorships and short durations which constrain the amount of learning that can be achieved.

Methods: Here, we pioneered and tested a bioinformatics training/mentorship model that effectively uses the available expertise and computational infrastructure to deliver an in-person hands-on skills training experience. This is done through
a few physical lecture hours each week, guided personal coursework over the rest of the week, group discussions and continuous close mentorship and assessment of trainees over a period of 1 year.

**Results:** This model has now completed its third iteration at Makerere University and has successfully mentored trainees, who have progressed to a variety of viable career paths.

**Conclusions:** One-year (intermediate) skills based in-person bioinformatics training and mentorships are viable, effective and particularly appropriate for resource limited settings.
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**Introduction**

A large clinical and epidemiologic platform for research has been developed in Uganda since 1990s. Indeed, many studies have been performed that have helped shape current knowledge about HIV [1], tuberculosis (TB; [2, 3]) and malaria [4–6]. The capacity and technical expertise to perform these studies was developed quickly through viable collaborations with partners in industrialized countries and through long-term training programs. More recently, the country has become home to several National Institutes of Health (NIH) and Wellcome Trust funded projects geared towards enhanced understanding of Human Health and Heredity in Africa (H3Africa; [7]). These include the Collaborative African Genomics Network (CAiGEN [8, 9]), the Integrated Biorepository of H3Africa Uganda (IBRHi3AU [8]), the H3BioNet Uganda node [10], the Nurturing Genomics and Bioinformatics Research Capacity in Africa (ReCa) and exploring the ethical and social landscape of genomic research in Uganda and Africa [11]. However, the capacity by African researchers to fully leverage all the data from those projects through basic science research has lagged behind because of insufficient infrastructure, skills and the high cost of experimental basic science research.

Bioinformatics, which we operationally define as a discipline concerned with the acquisition, storage, analysis, interpretation and dissemination of biological data, most often molecular sequences like DNA, RNA and amino acids—sits at the interface between clinical research and basic science as it draws upon concepts of population science and the knowledge and theory of biological and computational science. It thus offers an opportunity to bridge these fields using computational, statistical, artificial intelligence and biological techniques that are feasible in low and middle income countries (LMIC). With bioinformatics, there is now a new field open to researchers in LMIC where infrastructure needs may be more readily met. The digital nature of bioinformatics offers an opportunity to reduce the cost of basic science research. It is thus particularly important and appropriate for low-resourced settings like Uganda in their quest to build their research capacity and discovery potential within the life sciences. This possibility has been rendered more feasible by the recent advent of high-throughput sequencing and computing technologies [12].

Sufficiently skilled bioinformaticians are still few and far between within LMICs, but they are critical for the completion of the scientific promise of bioinformatics. There have been several approaches in trying to fill this critical gap [13]. The most common is organizing short term trainings like workshops or seminars, usually lasting only a few days or at most a couple of weeks [13–17]. This model is common mostly because trainers from Highly Industrialized Countries or resource-rich environments can only afford limited amounts of time away from their home institutions. The greatest limitation to this model of training, however, is that bioinformatics covers such a wide domain [18, 19] that it can hardly be sufficiently taught and properly assimilated by trainees in a few days or weeks [17–19]. The second approach has been online tutorials that usually last a few weeks or months [20, 21]. In the African context, the most successful of these has been H3ABioNet’s Introduction to Bioinformatics course (IBT; [20]). It has, however, been established that learning is best delivered in longer term in-person settings as is the case for high schools [17, 22–26], undergraduate [27, 28] and graduate degree programs [29]. This model is constrained by limited resources like expert trainers, computing infrastructure and effective accreditation bureaucracies. Such resources are essential to initiate and sustain fully accredited bioinformatics degree education programs, which also require years of commitment. Indeed, this is evidenced by the existence of only few such programs in Africa [29]. Although the need for bioinformatics competencies in Africa has been well established [10], the best approaches for delivering this content to trainees to build a sufficient workforce of bioinformaticians in resource limited settings are yet to be fully worked out.

We pioneered an intermediate training approach that tries to optimize the use of the available expertise, limited computational infrastructure and time constraints of both trainees and trainers in resource-limited environments. It ensures a sustained period of skills based, hands-on and in-person instruction with close mentorship that spans a year. It provides a path to both applied bioinformatics practice in research and industry as well as a smooth transition to graduate education in bioinformatics.

**Program organization and structure**

To optimize resources, the program leveraged the already existing academic and organizational infrastructure at the two partnering Universities (Figures 1 and 2): The University of Georgia (UGA) in the United States and Makerere University in Uganda. The two universities are jointly studying the transmission dynamics of HIV and TB in African urban settings using modern methods of molecular epidemiology, which include bioinformatics. As a fundamental principle of collaboration shared by both institutions, the Program Directors (PDs) of the research project sought to develop a parallel bioinformatics training and mentorship program, with only minimal additional costs.

In this structure, the PDs provide overall scientific direction and strategic planning for the program. As for implementation, they are responsible for securing funding for the mentorship program that supports local program mentors, the development of a competency-based progressive curriculum, in-person tutorials and materials and equipment for homework and group
the senior faculty were PhD graduates who had obtained bioinformatics and from existing programs with bioinformatics from local faculty at Makerere University with prior training in mentorship and assessment of trainees. Mentors are selected with classroom instruction, providing one-on-one skills-based In turn, the Assistant Instructors are responsible for helping program in addition to identifying the Assistant Instructors. Space and access to computational infrastructure for the instruction, mentorship and assessment activities. They also review, recruiting the mentees and providing oversight for the program. The various bioinformatics domains are encapsulated into a progressive curriculum of self-contained modules that are delivered in logical sequence (Figure 3). The curriculum was designed to teach both the scientific knowledge underlying bioinformatics and a practical set of skills that are essential in applying that knowledge. Basic topics are taught first before advanced topics. Course delivery involves both theory and practical hands-on sessions that are handled by both the local Senior Faculty and Assistant Instructors using a total of 8 class/contact hours each week for 49 weeks. Outside of those timetabled hours, the Assistant Instructors offer extended practical sessions and office hours as and when needed by the mentees. Mentees start each mentorship cycle together and are given routine reading and practical assignments by which they are assessed at the end of each module. Performance was measured through session attendance, engagement with instructors and peers, as well as performance on practical assignments. Graduation was held and certificates of completion were awarded to only those who attended at least 70% of the mentorship sessions and successfully completed at least 70% of the assignments. The program seeks timely feedback at the end of each module to ensure quick and flexible adjustment of subsequent modules for improved delivery during rather after each mentorship cycle. On top of the canonical bioinformatics domains (defined as common cores at bench-marked graduate bioinformatics programs—bioinformatics programming, primary sequence databases and sequence analysis), the mentorship also includes journal club sessions to give mentees competence in literature surveys, academic writing and grant writing. These are included because of their importance for the mentees’ career growth. At the end of the mentorship year, overall feedback is collected from the graduating mentees and discussed between the instructors, senior faculty and PDs. This ensures continuous improvements in program management, curriculum content and delivery styles between mentorship cycles. Trainees are awarded certificates at the end and are helped in finding subsequent placements on full time masters or PhD programs, research laboratories or industry (Table 2).

Program delivery modalities

The Mentorship program was designed to optimize flexibility while ensuring the highest standards of instruction and covering all the critical competencies of bioinformatics in the African context (Table 1; [28, 34]). These competencies are those important for research themes of immediate relevance to the African biomedical landscape, which is dominated by infectious diseases like HIV, TB and malaria. They include genome analysis and annotation (for viral and bacterial bioinformatics), molecular epidemiology of pathogens (for drug resistance surveillance and detection), sequence analysis and phylogenetics (for HIV and TB evolution) and research ethics [11].

The various bioinformatics domains are encapsulated into a progressive curriculum of self-contained modules that are delivered in logical sequence (Figure 3). The curriculum was designed to teach both the scientific knowledge underlying bioinformatics and a practical set of skills that are essential in applying that knowledge. Basic topics are taught first before advanced topics. Course delivery involves both theory and practical hands-on sessions that are handled by both the local Senior Faculty and Assistant Instructors using a total of 8 class/contact hours each week for 49 weeks. Outside of those timetabled hours, the Assistant Instructors offer extended practical sessions and office hours as and when needed by the mentees. Mentees start each mentorship cycle together and are given routine reading and practical assignments by which they are assessed at the end of each module. Performance was measured through session attendance, engagement with instructors and peers, as well as performance on practical assignments. Graduation was held and certificates of completion were awarded to only those who attended at least 70% of the mentorship sessions and successfully completed at least 70% of the assignments. The program seeks timely feedback at the end of each module to ensure quick and flexible adjustment of subsequent modules for improved delivery during rather after each mentorship cycle. On top of the canonical bioinformatics domains (defined as common cores at benchmarked graduate bioinformatics programs—bioinformatics programming, primary sequence databases and sequence analysis), the mentorship also includes journal club sessions to give mentees competence in literature surveys, academic writing and grant writing. These are included because of their importance for the mentees’ career growth. At the end of the mentorship year, overall feedback is collected from the graduating mentees and discussed between the instructors, senior faculty and PDs. This ensures continuous improvements in program management, curriculum content and delivery styles between mentorship cycles. Trainees are awarded certificates at the end and are helped in finding subsequent placements on full time masters or PhD programs, research laboratories or industry (Table 2).

By running the program over 1 year, we were able to pace the delivery of instructional material and gauge student understanding along the way. This approach allowed for an adaptive style that could be tailored for each individual student. The dynamic and flexible scheduling of the 8 instructional hours each week was carefully implemented to ensure flexibility for
both instructors and mentees since the mentorship program is meant to fit within their other mainstream commitments. In this way, the 1-year program proves more feasible in logistics and schedule for students who may have limited time because they are in another degree program or professionals with work commitments or who do not have the resources to take a full masters or doctoral program in bioinformatics. That intermediacy, which nonetheless covers all important competencies [34], fills a critical training niche that is even more important in resource limited settings.

The program has leveraged infrastructure and resources from other projects within the University’s eco-system. These resources include trainees from the CAfGEN who have been co-opted as instructors [8, 9], the ebioKit bioinformatics server [35], the biobanking experience offered by the IBRH3AU, supplemental online instruction from H3ABioNet’s Introduction to Bioinformatics course (IBT; [20]) and high performance computing access provided by the African Center of Excellence (ACE) in Bioinformatics and Data Intensive Sciences [36]. Furthermore, trainees get opportunities to rotate within laboratories in the departments of Immunology & Molecular Biology, Medical Microbiology and the ACE [36, 37]. The former hosts a MiSeq next-generation sequencing platform among other equipment important in genomics and bacterial culture capabilities, whereas the later provides the computational bioinformatics and visualization infrastructure. The three facilities offer the students the opportunity to learn different aspects of experimental microbial and molecular biology while also applying genomics and bioinformatics in their exploration and analysis.

On the program, the mentee numbers kept growing in each succeeding mentorship cycle, but was a maximum of eight in the latest. This suggests a maximum capacity of about 10 with the currently available pool of instructors. Expansion of the program is possible by co-opting some of the graduated mentees and some current trainees of the

---

**Figure 2.** Diagram illustrating how existing organizational infrastructure (green boxes) is leveraged for program success (blue boxes) and how the program relates to MSc/PhD programs and professional/industry research practice (grey boxes).

**Table 1.** The specifically selected bioinformatics domains of the mentorship, covering all critical bioinformatics competencies. It is delivered in 4 quarters of 3 months each

| Quarter       | Course                                                                 | Major concepts                                                                 |
|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| First quarter | Molecular biology and DNA sequencing (platforms and chemistry)          | Central dogma, DNA structure and function, early DNA sequencing methods, high-throughput sequencing (HTS) methods |
|               | Command line computing and scripting                                     | Linux operating system, Unix filesystem and navigation, Unix commands and syntax, shell scripting |
| Second quarter| Genome assembly and research ethics                                       | De novo and reference-based Genome assembly, reads quality metrics, genome assembly tools, primary sequence databases, ethics of genomics |
|               | Genome analysis and genome annotation                                     | Workflows for microbial and human genome analysis; read alignment, variant calling and variant annotation |
| Third quarter | Sequence analysis and phylogenetics                                       | Sequence alignment, phylogenetic tools and phylogenetic interpretation |
|               | Literature review and presentation                                        | Journal clubs; paper reading, paper presentation and paper discussion         |
|               | Scientific writing                                                       | Manuscript writing; paper arrangement, content and purpose of paper sections, drawing paper figures and graphics. |
| Fourth quarter| Bioinformatics programming and R statistical computing                   | R studio, R data input/output, R base, management and use of R packages and BioConductor |
MSc/PhD programs in bioinformatics at Makerere University as instructors.

**Student selection and performance**

Special attention was payed to selection of the mentees. Each prospective trainee submitted an application that was reviewed by the program faculty. In selecting trainees, the faculty developed two main criteria that were considered critical for successful mentorship: (i) rigorous academic background in the biological or quantitative sciences and (ii) focus and commitment of prospective students to learning bioinformatics. Eligible applicants can be individuals that completed their undergraduate, individuals doing their Masters, PhD or postdoc in fields other than bioinformatics who are interested in picking up some bioinformatics skills or practicing professionals or researchers. Being nested within a large university, the program was able to recruit students from across a multi-collegial pool. Their backgrounds included both life sciences (human medicine, public health, veterinary medicine and agriculture) and physical sciences (physics, mathematics, statistics and computing). That diversity in background not only captured all the building blocks of bioinformatics, but also maximized cross pollination and synergistic learning between the trainees. This happened because team-based assignments, discussions, journal clubs and interactive lecturers allow mentees to share information and skills from their varied backgrounds. Training students from an array of university colleges and research establishments also sets up the diffusion of bioinformatics into those diverse environments where it can be deployed to a variety of applications. The same effect resulted in diffusing bioinformatics into existing research programs such as CAfGEN [8], the Makerere University-Uganda Virus Research Institute (UVRI) Centre of Excellence for Infection & Immunity Research and Training (MUII) [30–33], UVRI and BReCA where some of the alumni of the mentorship end up.

As a way to assess the success of the program, the directors tracked the subsequent training or research positions obtained by the alumni of the program. Moreover, program mentors took an active role in securing positions for their trainees and for documenting the post-tutorial success of the mentees. For example, a number of the program alumni won scholarships on the NIH sponsored masters in bioinformatics program (BReCA) and another got a full scholarship for her PhD on the same program. Another was admitted to a fulltime bioinformatics masters degree program at the Birkbeck University of London, the United Kingdom. Three contributed excellent, award-winning talks to the USHS annual conference that aims to showcase current research and learning among Uganda’s health sciences community. The additional exposure to writing and
Table 2. Trainee inventory and post-tutorial positions

| Trainee | Mentorship year | Background | Current status |
|---------|-----------------|------------|---------------|
| Trainee 1 | 2017 | MSc in Immunology & Clinical Microbiology | PhD in Bioinformatics (Baylor College of Medicine, United States and Makerere University, Uganda) |
| Trainee 2 | 2018 | BS Biotechnology | MSc in Immunology & Clinical Microbiology (Makerere University, Uganda) |
| Trainee 3 | 2018 | BS Biochemistry | MSc in Bioinformatics (Makerere University, Uganda) |
| Trainee 4 | 2018 | BS Biology | MSc in Bioinformatics (Makerere University, Uganda) & Lectureship at Busitema Public University |
| Trainee 5 | 2018 | BS Medical Laboratory Science | MSc in Bioinformatics (Pwani University, Kenya) |
| Trainee 6 | 2018 | BS Medical Lab Science | MSc in Immunology & Clinical Microbiology (Makerere University, Uganda) |
| Trainee 7 | 2018 | MSc Molecular Biology | PhD in Molecular Biology (Makerere University, Uganda) |
| Trainee 8 | 2018 | BS Biotechnology | MSc in Bioinformatics (Makerere University, Uganda) |
| Trainee 9 | 2019 | BS Biomedical lab | MSc in Bioinformatics (Kirkbeck University of London, the UK) |
| Trainee 10 | 2019 | BS Medical Lab Science | MSc in Bioinformatics (Makerere University, Uganda) |
| Trainee 11 | 2019 | BS Biochemistry | MSc in Bioinformatics (Makerere University, Uganda) |
| Trainee 12 | 2019 | BS Biomedical Sciences | MSc in Bioinformatics (Makerere University, Uganda) |
| Trainee 13 | 2019 | BS Biomedical lab | MSc in Bioinformatics (Makerere University, Uganda) |
| Trainee 14 | 2019 | BS Biochemistry | MSc in Immunology & Clinical Microbiology (Makerere University, Uganda) |
| Trainee 15 | 2019 | BS Biochemistry | MSc in Bioinformatics (Makerere University, Uganda) |
| Trainee 16 | 2019 | BS Biomedical lab | MSc in Bioinformatics (Makerere University, Uganda) |

scientific communication has enabled some of mentees to compete and receive travel awards for both oral and poster presentations at different regional genomics and bioinformatics conferences such as the H3Africa and ISCB/ASCB [8].

The program has created a pool of trainees ready to feed into different graduate programs (like Master's and PhD in bioinformatics) or advance careers in research, industry and instructorships on the same or different mentorship programs (Table 2). Indeed, several of the trainees have secured competitive scholarships to pursue their education in Kenya, Uganda, Europe and the United States. Similarly, the instructors have had the opportunity to improve their pedagogical and mentorship skills in a novel context.

**Discussion and conclusion**

We established a 1-year intermediate tutorial/mentorship program in bioinformatics that sits between short term workshops (few days to a few months) and full degree (3–4 years) programs. It covers all important bioinformatics competencies [34] and fills a critical bioinformatics training niche that is especially important in resource limited settings.

There is no doubt that the duration of training generally correlates with the amount and depth of knowledge and skills acquired by trainees. Indeed, this is why degree programs lasting 3–4 years are necessary and a preferred path for many young people seeking to join most fields. However, this path is not open for the most motivated and capable people in LMICs because most prospective students lack adequate resources for tuition covering 3 or 4 years. To accommodate this group of motivated people, universities and research institutions have organized and offered short courses in bioinformatics, lasting days to weeks. Although these short-courses and workshops play a meaningful role for individuals seeking an introduction to bioinformatics, they fall short in building the sustainable capacity for research.

At either of those two ends along this spectrum of training, educational institutions in LMIC face challenges or encounter limitations because bioinformatics training requires scientific expertise and computational infrastructure. To support degree programs, universities need a faculty with specific training in bioinformatics who sustain an active research program that serves as a basis for education and training. Since bioinformatics is a new field, many established researchers do not have the expertise to mentor and direct student education in bioinformatics. Furthermore, bioinformatics is multidisciplinary so it is a challenge to find an academic home for these scientists.

Between the full degree program and short-course approach, there is an important niche for intermediate training whose resource requirements are more manageable in LMICs. To create this intermediate training, we developed a tutorial program that was built upon close and continuous mentoring for 1 year. Moreover, the tutorials were organized around practical skills in bioinformatics. This pragmatic approach streamlined the theoretical content that was taught but helped to spur on further interest in bioinformatics. As the trainees developed analytic and quantitative skills, they became more useful to their research mentors. Moreover, this kind of training and mentorship made trainees more competitive for graduate training scholarships and fellowships, thereby mitigating against the funding challenges experienced by these young individuals.

We found that leveraging existing resources in academic and research structures within the university can help support and grow an intermediate 1-year in-person mentorship program in bioinformatics. Indeed, the benefits worked both ways. As the program tapped into the expertise of available faculty, this faculty was the direct beneficiary of trainees as they applied their newly developed skillset to faculty research.

Admittedly, such a program does have its own challenges: as one might expect, the requirement for close in-person mentorship limits the mentor-mentee ratio, which means scaling the program can be a slow process given the limited number of potential instructors with the appropriate level of bioinformatics expertise.
skills and knowledge. So far, attempts to address this have included co-option of program graduates as instructors. Second, in locations where computational infrastructure might be in acute shortage, alternative platforms like cloud computing or remote access to regional server resources like ACE [36, 37] would have to be sought. Alternatively required computation within assignments would have to be toned down to what is doable on just a laptop or desktop computer. Third, although there are criteria for trainee assessment, there is still room for improvement. For example, future assessments will be further strengthened with formulation of a rubric based on the bioinformatics competencies and a corresponding score scale. Furthermore, the program has not yet had the opportunity to be tested at other institutions/Universities. Depending on the maturity of their computational, faculty and parallel research projects environments, they might have to make adjustments to attain a format that best suits their context. Finally, as with all other educational programs, this mentorship was challenged by the emergence of COVID-19, which meant the transition of several of the didactic sessions to online platforms. Nevertheless the small class-size the mentorship sessions (10 maximum) left room for some socially distanced in-person engagements, which mitigated against the complete elimination of the valuable in-person mentorship.

The continuity of such a program is critical for this type of training to have a positive effect. We believe that the current program that was pioneered at Makerere University has laid a strong foundation for the future. It is guaranteed to have a continuous supply of eager new students since potential recruits can come from diverse science backgrounds—physical, technological and life science backgrounds. Those recruits can come from different levels—bachelors, masters, PhD degrees, post-docs, researchers and those in industry as long as their objective is to attain functional capacity in bioinformatics. The training can be undertaken alongside other formal non-bioinformatics graduate degree programs and other commitments like professional research or industry practice. Since the top performers in one class can be co-opted as tutors in future training cycles, there is a renewable supply of potential competent faculty and assistant instructors. The cost of the program can be kept to a minimum by leveraging existing infrastructure and expertise located in a University environment. This minimal cost is more affordable to trainees as tuition, which is critical for the program’s financial sustainability. Furthermore, the program design included options for both selfsponsorship and endowed fellowships. Presence of both types of mentees on the program suggests in-house ability for the program to generate some resources for its sustainability. This model of training and mentorship is thus an efficient and effective approach for delivering this exceptional skill to a broad group of persons. As faculty become more available and the challenges discussed here continue to be addressed, the program has the potential to grow to 20 or so mentees in each mentorship cycle. In addition, it will hopefully eventually start at other locations/Universities once they have made minimal adjustments to suit their specific resource contexts.

**Key Points**

- We developed and tested an intermediate bioinformatics training/mentorship model that lies between short courses on the one hand and fulltime degree courses on the other.
- It comprises a few in-person lecture hours each week, guided personal coursework over the rest of the week, group discussions and continuous close mentorship and assessment of trainees over a period of 1 year.
- This model has now completed its third iteration at Makerere University, in Uganda, and has successfully mentored trainees, who have progressed to a variety of viable career paths (Table 2).
- One-year (intermediate) skills based in-person bioinformatics training and mentorships are viable, effective and particularly appropriate for resource limited settings.
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