Aberrant dolichol chain lengths as biomarkers for retinitis pigmentosa caused by impaired dolichol biosynthesis
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Abstract We observed a characteristic shortening of plasma and urinary dolichols in retinitis pigmentosa (RP) patients carrying K42E and T206A mutations in the dehydrodolichol diphosphate synthase (DHDDS) gene, using liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. Dolichol-18 (D18) became the dominant dolichol species in patients instead of dolichol-19 (D19) in normal individuals. The D18/D19 ratio was calculated and used as an index of dolichol length distribution. K42E/K42E and K42E/T206A patients have significantly higher plasma and urinary D18/D19 ratios than K42E and T206A carriers. The ratios of carriers are significantly higher than normal individuals. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis shows that plasma and urinary D18/D19 ratios can unambiguously discriminate patients from carriers, and carriers from normal individuals. Dolichol analysis also provides evidence that the T206A mutation is RP-causative. The methodologies and procedures used for dolichol profiling are reliable, high throughput, and cost effective. Dolichol profiling, complementary to genotyping, can be readily adapted as a test in the clinic not only for the diagnosis of patients but also for identification of carriers with DHDDS or other genetic mutations that may impair dolichol biosynthesis.—Wen, R., B. L. Lam, and Z. Guan. Aberrant dolichol chain lengths as biomarkers for retinitis pigmentosa caused by impaired dolichol biosynthesis. J. Lipid Res. 2013. 54: 3516–3522.
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Dolichols are long chain polyisoprenoid alcohols mainly composed of 17-21 isoprene units in mammalian cells (1, 2). Discovered more than 50 years ago (3, 4), the biological functions of free dolichols are still not understood, although dolichol phosphate, the phosphorylated derivative of dolichol, is known to be the obligate lipid carrier for N-linked protein glycosylation (5–10). As a cis-prenyltransferase and a key enzyme in dolichol biosynthesis (5, 11), dehydrodolichol diphosphate synthase (DHDDS) assembles chains of five-carbon isoprene units through a prenyl chain elongation process into linear polyisoprenyl diphosphate with predetermined lengths, which subsequently undergo dephosphorylation and reduction of the α-isoprene unit to form dolichols (5, 12). In human, dolichol-19 (D19, containing 19 isoprene units) is the most abundant species (1, 2).

We recently identified a single-nucleotide c.124A>G mutation in the dehydrodolichol diphosphate synthase-encoding DHDDS gene that changes the highly conserved Lys42 to Glu (K42E) as the cause of autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa (arRP) in a family of Ashkenazi Jewish (AJ) origin (13). The same mutation was subsequently confirmed as the cause of RP in 12% of arRP patients of AJ origin and is found heterozygously in 1 out of 322 in the AJ population (14). RP is a heterogeneous group of hereditary retinal degenerative disorders affecting 1 in 3,000–4,500 individuals. As a result, D18 becomes the dominant species. Dolichols of carriers are shortened, although to a lesser extent. Shortened dolichol profile was also found in a patient carrying the compound heterozygous T206A/K42E mutations in the DHDDS gene. Our results indicate that plasma and urinary dolichol profiles are functional readouts of dolichol biosynthesis and can serve as biomarkers for diagnosis of arRP and perhaps other diseases caused by abnormal dolichol biosynthesis and metabolism.

Abbreviations: arRP, autosomal recessive RP; DHDDS, dehydrodolichol diphosphate synthase; MRM, multiple reaction monitoring; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; RP, retinitis pigmentosa; WT, wild-type.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Miami (protocol number 20110767). All participants provided written informed consent. Sample collection was untimed and without restrictions, including diet and liquid intake. Blood was collected in Vacutainer plasma preparation tubes (PPT) (5 ml capacity, BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ), spun at 1,300 g for 10 min. Plasma was obtained and stored at 0°C. Off-site plasma samples were collected by designated labs in the vicinity of residences of the individuals and shipped at ambient temperature via express carriers to the Bascom Palmer Eye Institute in Miami, FL.

Urine samples (20–30 ml) were collected in 50 ml tubes containing sodium azide (~0.02% final concentration) and stored at 0°C. Sample collection kits were provided to individuals for off-site collection at their residences. Samples were shipped at ambient temperature via express carriers to the Bascom Palmer Eye Institute.

Lipid extraction

Lipid extraction was done following a modified Bligh and Dyer method (18). Briefly, plasma (0.3 ml) was mixed with methanol (0.3 ml) on a Bullet Blender (Next Advance, Averill Park, NY), flushed with argon, and stored at 0°C, as described above.

Dried lipids were shipped via express carriers at ambient temperature to Duke University in Durham, NC for dolichol analysis.

LC-MS analysis of dolichols

Dolichols were analyzed by LC-MS (19) performed in multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode using a Shimadzu LC system (comprising a solvent degasser, two LC-10A pumps, and a SCL-10A system controller) coupled to a 4000 Q-Trap hybrid triple quadrupole linear ion-trap mass spectrometer equipped with a Turbo V ion source (AB-Sciex, Foster City, CA). LC was operated at a flow rate of 200 μl/min with a linear gradient as follows: 100% of mobile phase A was held isocratically for 2 min, and then linearly increased to 100% mobile phase B over 14 min, and held at 100% B for 4 min. Mobile phase A consisted of methanol/acetonitrile/aqueous 1 mM ammonium acetate (60/20/20, v/v/v). Mobile phase B consisted of 100% ethanol containing 1 mM ammonium acetate. A Zorbax SB-C8 reversed-phase column (5 μm, 2.1 × 50 mm) was obtained from Agilent.

MRM was performed in the negative ion mode with MS settings as follows: curtain gas (CUR) = 20 psi (pressure); gas-1 (GS1) = 20 psi; gas-2 (GS2) = 30 psi; ion spray (IS) voltage = −4500 V; source temperature (TEM) = 350°C; interface heater = ON; declustering potential (DP) = −40V; entrance potential (EP) = −10V; and CXP = −5V. The voltage used for collision-induced dissociation was −40V (laboratory frame of energy). Nitrogen was used as the collision gas. The MRM pairs for D17, D18, D19, and D20 were 1236.2/59, 1304.2/59, 1372.2/59, and 1440.3/59, respectively. In these MRM pairs, the precursor ions are the [M+acetate]− adduct ions, and the product ions are the acetate ions (m/z 59).

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses, including t-test, ANOVA, and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis, were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics software (IBM, Armonk, NY). Significant differences in plasma and urine D18/D19 ratios were determined using t-test, ANOVA, and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis, were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics software (IBM, Armonk, NY). Significant

### TABLE 1. Demographics, DHDDS genotypes, and D18/D19 ratios in plasma and urine

| Variable       | arRP Patients with DHDDS Mutations (n = 9) | Carriers of DHDDS Mutations (n = 35) | arRP Patients with WT DHDDS (n = 19) | Normal Individuals (n = 19) |
|----------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Gender         |                                           |                                     |                                     |                             |
| Male           | 5                                         | 13                                  | 18                                  | 11                          |
| Female         | 4                                         | 22                                  | 16                                  | 8                           |
| Mean age in years (range) | 43.2 (34–55) | 46.1 (8–89) | 42.6 (14–75) | 43.1 (8–60) |
| Race           |                                           |                                     |                                     |                             |
| White          | 9                                         | 35                                  | 31                                  | 13                          |
| Other          | 0                                         | 0                                   | 3                                   | 6                           |
| Ashkenazi Jewish ethnicity | 9                                         | 35                                  | 3                                   | 8                           |
| DHDDS mutation |                                           |                                     |                                     |                             |
| K42E           | 8                                         | 30                                  |                                     |                             |
| T206A          |                                           |                                     |                                     |                             |
| K42E/T206A     | 1                                         |                                     |                                     |                             |
| Plasma D18/D19 ratio |                           |                                     |                                     |                             |
| WT             | 0.84 ± 0.10 (n = 34)                       | 0.82 ± 0.12 (n = 16)               |                                     |                             |
| K42E           | 2.84 ± 0.38 (n = 8)                       | 1.56 ± 0.11 (n = 25)               |                                     |                             |
| T206A          | 1.31 ± 0.06 (n = 5)                       |                                     |                                     |                             |
| K42E/T206A     | 2.59 (n = 1)                              |                                     |                                     |                             |
| Urinary D18/D19 ratio |                           |                                     |                                     |                             |
| WT             | 0.46 ± 0.02 (n = 6)                       | 0.47 ± 0.06 (n = 13)               |                                     |                             |
| K42E           | 4.00 ± 0.45 (n = 8)                       | 1.27 ± 0.09 (n = 30)               |                                     |                             |
| T206A          | 1.04 ± 0.04 (n = 5)                       |                                     |                                     |                             |
| K42E/T206A     | 3.39 (n = 1)                              |                                     |                                     |                             |

*Data are presented as mean ± SD.

---

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses, including t-test, ANOVA, and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis, were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics software (IBM, Armonk, NY). Significant
differences in the mean values between groups were analyzed by Student t-test for two groups or by ANOVA (ANOVA) and Tukey test for more than two groups. Data are presented as mean ± SD. Cutoff levels were selected from the table of ROC curve coordinates to obtain the highest sensitivity and specificity.

RESULTS

The demographics, DHDDS genotypes, and dolichol profiling data of all study subjects are summarized in Table 1.

Plasma and urinary dolichol profiles in a family with the K42E DHDDS mutation

The structure of dolichol is shown in Fig. 1A. Plasma and urine dolichols from members of Family A, in which the K42E DHDDS mutation was first discovered (13) (Fig. 1B), were characterized. In the three affected siblings, dolichol profiles are consistently shorter (by about one isoprene unit) than that of the unaffected sibling who has the wild-type (WT) DHDDS. In the affected individuals, D18 became the dominant dolichol species (Fig. 1C). The profiles of two carriers (parents) are longer than those of the affected individuals but shorter than that of the normal individual, suggesting that both the WT and mutant DHDDS proteins are functional (Fig. 1C).

We calculated D18/D19 ratio and used it as an index to quantitatively describe dolichol profile. The D18/D19 ratios of affected siblings are much higher than that of the unaffected sibling. Not surprisingly, D18/D19 ratios of carriers are lower than those of the affected siblings but higher than that of the unaffected sibling (Fig. 1C, E). The separations in the D18/D19 ratios among the affected individuals, carriers, and unaffected individual are greater in urine than in plasma (Fig. 1D, E).

Plasma and urinary D18/D19 ratios in arRP patients with the K42E mutation, in carriers, and in normal individuals

To further confirm the characteristic changes in dolichol profile, we collected and analyzed plasma samples from 6 K42E/K42E patients, 25 K42E carriers, and 16 normal individuals. The mean plasma D18/D19 ratio of K42E/K42E patients (2.75 ± 0.28, mean ± SD, n = 6) is 3.4 times higher than that of the normal individuals (0.82 ± 0.12, n = 16, P < 0.001), and 1.8 times higher than the ratio of K42E carriers (1.56 ± 0.11, n = 25, P < 0.001) (Fig. 2A). The mean plasma D18/D19 ratio of K42E carriers is 1.9 times higher than that of the normal individuals (P < 0.001) (Fig. 2A). The narrow distribution of the D18/D19 ratios in the carriers and normal individuals (Fig. 2A) indicates that the plasma D18/D19 ratios are not appreciably influenced by age, gender, or time of collection, as sample collections were untimed and without restrictions (see Materials and Methods).

ROC curve analysis shows that the plasma D18/D19 ratio discriminates K42E/K42E patients from K42E carriers with an area under curve (AUC) of 1.0 and 100% sensitivity and specificity at the cutoff level of 2.14 (Fig. 2B). The D18/D19 ratio also discriminates K42E carriers from normal individuals with an AUC of 1.0 and 100% sensitivity and specificity at the cutoff level of 1.14 (Fig. 2C).

Urinary samples were analyzed in 6 K42E/K42E patients, 30 K42E carriers, and 13 normal individuals. The mean urinary D18/D19 ratio of K42E/K42E patients (4.10 ± 0.44, mean ± SD, n = 6) is 8.7 times higher than normal individuals (0.47 ± 0.06, n = 13, P < 0.001), and 3.2 times higher than K42E carriers (1.27 ± 0.09, n = 30, P < 0.001) (Fig. 2D). The mean urinary D18/D19 ratio of K42E carriers is 2.7 times higher than normal individuals (P < 0.001) (Fig. 2D). As in the plasma, distribution of the D18/D19 ratios in the urine of carriers and normal individuals (Fig. 2A) is very narrow, indicating that the urinary D18/D19 ratios are not significantly influenced by age, gender, or timing of collection, as sample collections were untimed and without restrictions (see Materials and Methods). Of note, the separation of D18/D19 ratios among the three groups (K42E/K42E patients, K42E carriers, and normal individuals) in urine is higher than that it is in plasma.

ROC curve analysis indicates that the urinary D18/D19 ratio discriminates K42E/K42E patients from K42E carriers with an AUC of 1.0 and 100% sensitivity and specificity at the
cutoff level of 2.46 (Fig. 2E). The D18/D19 ratio in urine also discriminates K42E carriers from normal individuals with an AUC of 1.0 and 100% sensitivity and specificity at the cutoff level of 0.85 (Fig. 2F).

Plasma and urinary D18/D19 ratios distinguish arRP patients carrying the K42E DHDDS mutation from arRP patients with wild-type DHDDS

To demonstrate the predictive value of the D18/D19 ratio as a biomarker for dolichol metabolism and for the diagnosis of arRP caused by abnormal dolichol metabolism, we blindly screened plasma samples from 36 arRP patients that had been collected at the Bascom Palmer Eye Institute since 2008. The genetic mutations of these patients were not identified previously. Two patients (from the same family) were found to have high D18/D19 ratios of 2.66 and 3.59, above the cutoff level of 2.14 for K42E/K24E patients (Fig. 3A). Their urinary D18/D19 ratios are 3.45 and 3.93, also above the cutoff level of 2.46 for homozygous K42E mutation (Fig. 3B). Subsequent genotyping confirmed that both are homozygous for the K42E DHDDS mutation.

Dolichol profiles of the remaining 34 arRP patients are all in the normal range. The mean plasma D18/D19 ratio was 0.84 ± 0.10 (mean ± SD, n = 34), indistinguishable from that of normal individuals (0.82 ± 0.12, n = 16, P = 0.60) (Fig. 3A). Urinary samples were recently collected from 6 of these patients. Their mean urinary D18/D19 ratio (± SD) of each group is next to the plotted data (A and D). D18/D19 ratios are well separated among the three genotypic groups, with the separation being greater in urine than in plasma. The dotted diagonal lines indicate a reference line of AUC = 0.5 (B, C, E, and F).

Dolichol profiles of an arRP patient with compound heterozygous K42E/T206A DHDDS mutations

A single base c.616A>G mutation in the DHDDS-encoding gene that changes Thr206 to Ala was recently discovered in a patient (Family B, Fig. 4A) with compound heterozygous K42E/T206A DHDDS mutations. Because this is the first RP patient identified to have the T206A mutation and because he is heterozygous for the T206A allele, whether the T206A mutation was RP-causative needed to be determined.

This question was addressed by dolichol analysis. The patient’s urinary D18/D19 ratio is 3.39, and his plasma D18/D19 ratio is 2.59. Both are above the cutoff levels for
became apparent when our dolichol profile data showed conclusively that the T206A mutation is RP-causative. Our present work thus supports the notion that dolichol profiling can be used as a broad clinical test for detecting abnormal dolichol metabolism regardless of the cause, including known DHDDS mutations, as in the case of the T206A mutation, mutations yet to be identified, mutations in other genes that influence dolichol metabolism, such as the gene encoding the Nogo-B receptor necessary for DHDDS function (20), and epigenetic alterations that affect dolichol metabolism.

Dolichol length distribution is species-specific (21, 22). In humans, D19 is the most abundant dolichol (1, 2), whereas D18 is the most abundant dolichol in rat (23) and mouse (Z. Guan, B. L. Lam, and R. Wen, unpublished observations). Previous studies showed that mutations in the substrate-binding site of \(\text{cis}\)-prenyltransferase can dramatically influence dolichol lengths (24). The changes in dolichol length distribution we found in individuals homozygous K42E/K42E patients (Fig. 4B, C). The high D18/D19 ratios could have two possible interpretations. First, the T206A mutation could be a null-equivalent loss-of-function mutation so that the high D18/D19 ratio was solely determined by the K42E allele. Alternatively, the T206A DHDDS mutant could be functionally similar to the K42E mutant and, therefore, contributed to the high D18/D19 ratios. To resolve this issue, samples from the parents were analyzed. Their urinary D18/D19 ratios are 1.12 and 1.07, both above the cutoff level of 0.85 for K42E carriers. Their plasma D18/D19 ratios are 1.55 and 1.36, respectively, also above the cutoff level of 1.13 for K42E carriers (Fig. 4C). Results from the parents strongly indicate that each parent carries a K42E-equivalent mutation. Since one parent is an obligate T206A carrier, the high D18/D19 ratios of both parents provide the first evidence that the T206A mutation affects dolichol biosynthesis in a manner similar to the K42E mutation. Thus the T206A mutation should be as RP-causative as the K42E mutation. Subsequent genotyping showed that the father carries the K42E mutation, and the mother has the T206A mutation (Fig. 4A). Five other family members also have D18/D19 ratios above the cutoff levels for carriers of DHDDS mutations (Fig. 4A–C). Genotyping identified one sibling as a carrier of the K42E mutation, and the other sibling and all three offspring are carriers of the T206A mutation.

**DISCUSSION**

The present work demonstrates clearly that dolichol profiles, presented as D18/D19 ratios, completely correlate with DHDDS genotypes. Dolichol profiling offers a unique advantage over genotyping in that it directly reveals the functional state of dolichol metabolism. This advantage became apparent when our dolichol profile data show conclusively that the T206A mutation is RP-causative. Our present work thus supports the notion that dolichol profiling can be used as a broad clinical test for detecting abnormal dolichol metabolism regardless of the cause, including known DHDDS mutations, as in the case of the T206A mutation, mutations yet to be identified, mutations in other genes that influence dolichol metabolism, such as the gene encoding the Nogo-B receptor necessary for DHDDS function (20), and epigenetic alterations that affect dolichol metabolism.

Dolichol length distribution is species-specific (21, 22). In humans, D19 is the most abundant dolichol (1, 2), whereas D18 is the most abundant dolichol in rat (23) and mouse (Z. Guan, B. L. Lam, and R. Wen, unpublished observations). Previous studies showed that mutations in the substrate-binding site of \(\text{cis}\)-prenyltransferase can dramatically influence dolichol lengths (24). The changes in dolichol length distribution we found in individuals homozygous...
or heterozygous for the K42E DHDDS mutant are consistent with the hypothesis that the K42E mutation weakens substrate binding and lowers the efficiency of the enzyme (13).

The distribution of D18/D19 ratios in carriers or normal individuals is remarkably narrow and seemingly not influenced by age or gender. The D18/D19 ratio measurements tolerate fluctuations in the absolute amounts of dolichol in a sample, which could be influenced by diet, fluid intake, time of collection, and other factors. For the same reason, the D18/D19 ratio is less likely to be influenced by variations in sample processing and/or detection. In addition, free dolichols are unusually stable in biological samples. We found no appreciable changes in dolichol profiles (or D18/D19 ratios) in plasma or urinary samples stored at ambient temperatures for 30 days. Furthermore, dolichols are stable in lipid extracts. We routinely ship plasma and urinary samples, as well as extracted lipids, at ambient temperatures by overnight delivery service.

The greater separation of urinary D18/D19 ratios among patients, carriers, and normal subjects, as well as the ease and noninvasiveness of sample collection, makes urine a better clinical material for dolichol profiling. In blood, dolichols are associated with high-density lipoproteins (25–27), although the influence of such associations on the dolichol contents is unknown. The sources of urinary dolichols were not clearly identified. Dolichols have been detected in urine sediment and in whole urine (28, 29). However, we found significant amounts of dolichols in urinary liquid after urine samples were filtered with a 0.2 μm filter or centrifuged at 3,000 g for 10 min to remove cells and sediment, respectively.

Although the biological functions of free dolichol are not clearly understood (21, 30), the role of dolichol phosphate as an obligate lipid carrier for protein glycosylation is well established (10). Diseases related to DHDDS mutations are now considered as a type of congenital disorder of glycosylation (CDG) (31), a group of diseases caused by glycosylation deficiency (32, 33). Blood transferrin isoelectric focusing (IEF), which detects defective N-glycosylation of the protein, has been widely used as a biomarker for screening CDG. However, there are growing cases showing the limitations of this method (34). In fact, the three arRP patients of Family A showed normal blood transferrin IEF pattern. Therefore, plasma and urinary dolichol profiles may have the potential to serve as novel biomarkers for certain types of CDG caused by impaired dolichol biosynthesis.

It is unknown whether and how the shortened dolichol profiles in patients with DHDDS mutations contribute to the retinal degeneration phenotype. This important question could be potentially addressed in further studies using transgenic animals that carry DHDDS mutations identified in patients.

The LC/MS-based dolichol analysis is robust, high throughput, and cost effective. With appropriate automation in sample preparation, it is realistic that more than 100 samples could be analyzed per day. We believe blood and urinary dolichol profiling could be used as a first-line diagnostic test for identifying patients with abnormal dolichol metabolism or for carrier screening. Additionally, dolichol profiling could potentially serve as a surrogate biomarker for evaluating the efficacy of treatments aimed at rectifying abnormal dolichol metabolism.
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