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Introduction

Graphene is known as the thinnest and strongest material in the world today which is formed from a single layer of hexagonal honeycomb-shaped carbon atom bounded by covalent bonds (Wang et al., 2013; RSAS, 2010). Many carbon-based materials, such as graphite, carbon nanotube and fullerene are formed by graphene as their basic structure (Basu and Bhattacharyya, 2012). Graphene was first synthesized by Novoselov et al. (2004) using the Mechanical Exfoliation (ME) method involving the application of a sticky tape.

Graphene has been an interesting material to be investigated because of its superior properties such as high electron mobility, high conductivity, high thermal conductivity, good optical transparency and high Young's modulus (Terrones et al., 2010). Furthermore, graphene can be applied in various fields such as electronics industry for the manufacture of supercapacitors and transistors (Li et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2009; El-Kady and Kaner, 2013).

The excitement in graphene leads to the finding of large scale production of graphene layers for the aforementioned application purposes without losing the above novel properties. One way of achieving this is by graphite oxidation via strong oxidizing agents such that graphite oxide or GO is obtained (Dreyer et al., 2009). Although GO is considered as a forerunner in obtaining graphene, the material itself is being extensively studied for its various uses, such as in biomedical (Chung et al., 2013) and optical (Loh et al., 2010) applications, antibacterial material especially integrated with silver nanoparticles and bacterial cellulose (Zhang et al., 2011; Tang et al., 2013; Shao et al., 2015), bio-sensors (Shao et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2010), hydrogen storage (Wang et al., 2009; Tylianakis et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2012) and filtration membranes (Joshi et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2013).

Many methods has been used in synthesizing graphene or GO. These methods are quite established in various literatures, e.g., ME method (Yi and Shen, 2015), Chemical Vapor Deposition (CVD) (Obraztsov, 2009; Chen et al., 2011), epitaxial growth (Sutter et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2013) and Hummer’s method (Reina et al., 2009; Marcano et al., 2010). Another synthesis
A method called reduction of Graphene Oxide (rGO) (Stankovich et al., 2007; Pei and Cheng, 2012) is promising due to the large production of graphene, although this method can reduce the electronic performance of graphene (Risley, 2013).

The LE method offers an easier, more efficient and simpler way to synthesize graphene in a large quantity and a good quality (Wang et al., 2016; Hernandez et al., 2008). In this way, surfactant is utilized to assist the exfoliation process of graphene layers from graphite material (Murat et al., 2012). The LE method can produce a stable and good quality of graphene in spite of the defects brought by surfactant (Park and Rouff, 2009; Li et al., 2008). Many researchers then adopted and developed this method in order to optimize the production and quality of graphene or GO. For example, exfoliation of graphite material may be conducted by combining the LE method with electrolysis process (Tang et al., 2012), using kitchen blender (Yi and Shen, 2014), or even sonication (Khan et al., 2010; Bang and Sulick, 2010; Durge et al., 2014).

This study reports the synthesis of GO using the LE method by applying a self-custom-made ultrasound generator consisting of tweeter piezoelectric probes as the ultrasound sources. These probes are used because they are easy to obtain and quite cheap, hence suggesting a reduction in the cost of producing GO. Moreover, they may produce audible and ultrasound frequency range of sound waves which are important in separating graphene layers. Thinner layers of graphene will separate from the thicker ones which are still in the form of cloud-like graphite. The effect of sonication can be directly observed by the increase of the temperature and the change of the solution color into grayish black. Although the use of ultrasound for the exfoliation of graphite or graphite oxide in the LE method is already available in literatures, however, to the knowledge of the authors, the design and use of the ultrasound generator from tweeter piezoelectric probes in this study has not been conducted. Here, the effect of sonication time of the tweeter piezoelectric system towards the synthesis of GO is studied using the UV-Vis spectrophotometer and SEM images.

Meanwhile, LAS surfactants contained in commercial detergents are used to assist the exfoliation process. Surfactants weaken the Van der Waals bond between graphene layers in the graphite material, which is then followed by the separation of graphene layers due to vibrations during the sonication process. By using inexpensive commercial detergents which contain LAS surfactants, the cost of producing GO may be further reduced.

**Experimental Method**

The main materials employed in this study are (i) graphite powder from Faber-Castell 2B commercial pencil, (ii) commercial detergent containing 20% LAS surfactants and (iii) distilled water. Graphite and detergent powders are illustrated in Fig. 1 (below-right picture). The main equipments utilized are an audio generator (CSI/SPECO SS-1), an amplifier (Uchida TA-2MS) and tweeter piezoelectric probes (Fig. 1 (top and below-left pictures)), which constitute the tweeter piezoelectric ultrasound generator. The ultrasound source apparatus (Fig. 1 top picture) is constructed from a second-hand (used) drinking bottle mounted on a large wooden board as the main pole supporting three piezoelectric probe assemblies which are hanging via flexible cables. Each assembly consists further of three probes (Fig. 1 below-left picture) with each probe attached to the side of a triangle-shaped wooden thinboard.

In the sonication process, each of the assembly is then submerged into the liquid solution sample providing vibrations inside the liquid sample in three directions (Fig. 2 below). Moreover, each of the probes on each assembly may be turned on or off using switches on the large board, such that the number of probes sonicated in the liquid may be varied. On the other hand, the frequency of sound wave in audible and ultrasound ranges may be varied using the audio generator. The results of these two aforementioned variations are being reported elsewhere.

![Fig. 1. Tweeter piezoelectric ultrasound generator, consisting of an audio generator, an amplifier and an ultrasound source apparatus (top picture), a tweeter piezoelectric probe assembly (below-left) and graphite (black) and detergent (white) powders (below-right)]](image-url)
The procedures of the experiment in this study are given as follows. Graphite powder as much as 0.5 gram is mixed into a surfactant solution with an amount of 0.025 g mL$^{-1}$. The surfactant solution is obtained by mixing 200 mL of distilled water with 5 grams of commercial detergent. The prepared graphite solution is then separated into four beaker glasses. Each one of the beaker glasses is sonicated for 1, 3 and 5 h (Fig. 2 above). The last beaker glass is left without sonication process. All of the solutions are then left overnight.

A UV-Visible spectroscopy is conducted upon the supernatant of the solution which has been left overnight. Characterization of the samples is done using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-2450) in the range of 200 to 700 nm. Moreover, some of the supernatant solution is transferred onto a glass substrate via a dip coating process. Figure 3 shows the dip coating process of the supernatant onto a glass substrate. The substrate is then annealed in an oven for 10 min at 150°C. Subsequently, SEM (JEOL JSM T300) analysis is conducted at 30 kV for the sample on the substrate (Fig. 4). SEM is performed only for the sample with 5 h sonication time.

**Results and Discussion**

**Liquid Solution Sample after Sonication**

The solution sample after sonication may be observed in Fig. 5a. A temperature increase of the solution as a result of sonication is detected as the beaker glass becomes warm (upon touching it) during and right after the sonication process. It may be observed that the color of the solution after the sonication is blue on top of the solution and becomes darker going down to the bottom. Figure 5b shows the solution after it is being left overnight. There is obviously a change in the color of the solution. After being left overnight, the solution becomes grey. There are also dark sediments on the bottom of the solution.

**UV-Vis Characterization**

Figure 6 shows the UV-Vis result of the samples with 0.025 g mL$^{-1}$ of surfactant and varying sonication time, viz. (in hour): 0, 1, 3 and 5. The graph is obtained by subtracting the UV-Vis absorbance data of the solution from the corresponding absorbance data of the pure surfactant after sonication. The diamond (blue), square (red), triangle (green) and crossed (purple) point data are the absorbance of the sample solutions with 0, 1, 3 and 5 h of sonication time, respectively.

The graphs in Fig. 6 show different absorbance peaks for each sonication time. For 1, 3 and 5 h of sonication time, there are two absorbance peaks which occur at similar wavelengths, i.e.: 270 and 340 nm. However, the absorbance peaks take place in different absorbance values. The absorbance peaks on 270 and 340 nm are characteristics of GO or multilayered graphene (Murat et al., 2012). This is of course different from the absorbance characteristics of the solution without sonication (diamond [blue] data). Without sonication (0 h), the peak on 270 nm is less pronounced, which indicates that the solution may still consist of graphite or graphite oxide. It may also be observed that as the sonication time spent gets longer, the value of the absorbance peaks decreases. This indicates that the longer the sonication time spent, the thinner the layers of the GO obtained. Moreover, this means that the solution with five hours of sonication time gives the thinnest layers of multilayered graphene. This is evidenced from the lowest peaks of the absorbance at 270 and 340 nm of the crossed (purple) data. This is because the layers of the multilayered graphene undergo more exfoliations as it is exposed to longer time of ultrasound vibrations.
SEM Results

The SEM analysis is conducted to determine the surface morphology of the material produced. The solution (supernatant) is initially solidified before being characterized using SEM. The supernatant part is solidified by deep coating the glass with the liquid sample solution.

From the UV-Vis result it is obtained that the sample produced with five hours of sonication time gives the most optimize GO’s performance (thinnest layers of multi-layered graphene). Therefore, further analysis using SEM is only conducted on that sample. Figure 7 presents the surface morphology of the solution that undergoes sonication for five hours. Figure 7a displays the solidified material distribution of the sample with 100X magnification. It shows an island of materials on the left-bottom part of the figure. Additionally, smaller materials are scattered throughout the figure with widths ranging from 2.5 to 28 microns. Figure 7b shows cloudlike graphene oxides with 1000X magnification. Layering may also be observed although it is less obvious. Figure 7c illustrates cloud-like graphene oxides with further magnification (2000X) which clearly shows stacking of graphene materials on top of each other. This illustrates that GO is produced in this study.
Fig. 7. SEM results for five hours of sonication time taken at different positions on the sample with (a) 100X, (b) 1000X and (c) 2000X magnifications

**Conclusion**

Synthesis of GO with a simple and inexpensive method of LE has been conducted. Here, the production of GO uses a self-custom-made tweeter piezoelectric ultrasound generator and assisted by LAS surfactant from commercial detergent. The UV-Vis analysis shows that the longer the sonication time spent, the thinner the GO layers produced. SEM results illustrate that the surface morphology of the GO layers consist of transparent layers of graphenes stacked together on top of each other. This study contributes to the many methods in synthesizing GO, especially using simple and inexpensive materials and tools.
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