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**Clostridium perfringens** as Foodborne Pathogen in Broiler Production: Pathophysiology and Potential Strategies for Controlling Necrotic Enteritis

Zuamí Villagrán-de la Mora 1, María Esther Macías-Rodríguez 2, Jenny Arratia-Quijada 3, Yesica Sughey Gonzalez-Torres 1, Karla Nuño 3,* and Angélica Villarruel-López 2,*

1 Departamento de Ciencias de la Salud, Centro Universitario de Los Altos, Universidad de Guadalajara, Av. Rafael Casillas Aceves 1200, Tepatitlán de Morelos 47620, Mexico; blanca.villagran@academicos.udg.mx (Z.V.-d.l.M.); sgonzalez@cualtos.udg.mx (Y.S.G.-T.)

2 Departamento de Farmacobiología, Centro Universitario de Ciencias Exactas e Ingenierías, Universidad de Guadalajara, Blvd. Gral. Marcelino García Barragán 1421, Olimpica 44430, Guadalajara, Mexico; mesther.macias@academicos.udg.mx

3 Departamento de Ciencias Biomédicas, Centro Universitario de Tonalá, Universidad de Guadalajara, Nuevo Perif. Ote. 555, Ejido San José, Tateposco 45425, Tonalá, Mexico; jenny.arratia@academicos.udg.mx

* Correspondence: karlajanette.nuno@cutonal.udg.mx (K.N.); angelica.vlopez@academicos.udg.mx (A.V.-L.)

Received: 4 September 2020; Accepted: 17 September 2020; Published: 22 September 2020

**Simple Summary:** *Clostridium perfringens* (Cp.) is an important microorganism from a clinical, food and veterinary point of view. In humans, it is the causal agent of foodborne diseases, commonly associated with the consumption of chicken meat, while, in broilers, it causes clinical or subclinical necrotic enteritis. Cp. has the ability to synthesize toxins, bacteriocins, and enzymes of different nature, which modify the anatomical structure of the intestinal mucosa, enterocytes, and the cellular matrix altering the physiological activities of the gastrointestinal tract, resulting in gastrointestinal disorders, diarrhea, and if it is not attended, death, resulting in significant economic losses for the poultry industry. Food additives such as probiotics, prebiotics, synbiotics, essential oils, organic acids, and enzymes have been presented as alternatives to mitigate the incidence of necrotic enteritis (NE) in broilers, by improving the overall intestinal health and producing healthy birds for consumption. It is imperative to conduct further research on alternatives and efficient products to modulate the intestinal microbiota, and to know the role they play in the immune system, complementing the current demand, economic gain, and keeping the ecology.

**Abstract:** *Clostridium perfringens* (Cp.) is the cause of human foodborne disease. Meat and poultry products are identified as the main source of infection for humans. Cp. can be found in poultry litter, feces, soil, dust, and healthy birds’ intestinal contents. Cp. strains are known to secrete over 20 identified toxins and enzymes that could potentially be the principal virulence factors, capable of degrading mucin, affecting enterocytes, and the small intestine epithelium, involved in necrotic enteritis (NE) pathophysiology, also leading to immunological responses, microbiota modification and anatomical changes. Different environmental and dietary factors can determine the colonization of this microorganism. It has been observed that the incidence of Cp-associated to NE in broilers has increased in countries that have stopped using antibiotic growth promoters. Since the banning of such antibiotic growth promoters, several strategies for Cp. control have been proposed, including dietary modifications, probiotics, prebiotics, synbiotics, phytochemicals, organic acids, and vaccines. However, there are aspects of the pathology that still need to be clarified to establish better actions to control and prevention. This paper reviews the current knowledge about Cp. as foodborne pathogen, the pathophysiology of NE, and recent findings on potential strategies for its control.
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1. Introduction

*Clostridium perfringens* is a Gram-positive, anaerobic, nonmotile rod that forms subterminal spores. The size of the bacillus on the environment where it is found, for example, in culture media for sporulation based on starch the bacillus is long. Meanwhile, in media rich in glucose the bacillus is short. Vegetative cells are relatively cold resistant, and their spores are heat resistant [1,2]. *C. perfringens* can hydrolyze gelatin and reducing nitrates to nitrites; in sulphite media, it generates black colonies due to sulphite reduction. A characteristic test is the lactose fermentation produced by this microorganism, known as *stormy lactose fermentation in milk* due to the large amount of gas it generates [3,4]. This bacterium can develop under microaerophilic conditions due to its ability to produce high amounts of the enzyme superoxide dismutase [5,6]. Its ability to form spores allows it to be ubiquitous and can be found in the environment [7,8].

Beef and poultry, as well as other meat products, are the most important vehicles for this microorganism [9–11], although it has also been recovered from vegetables [12] and spices [13]. Butler et al. (2015) [14] described the transmission of *C. perfringens* through water by contact with animals and transmission from person to person. Considered a natural inhabitant of the gastrointestinal tract, the main source of contamination towards meat is fecal matter [15].

According to data reported by the CDC (2019) [16], *C. perfringes* is one of the five pathogens that most frequently cause foodborne illnesses in the United States, ranking second among the etiological agents identified, and, in Australia, it is considered one of the bacteria causing outbreaks [17].

The consumption of chicken meat is important worldwide and a 13% increase in its production is estimated for the year 2027 (OECD-FAO, 2017). In animal production, approximately 70% of the total cost is attributable to the feed. The diets for farm animals contain antibiotics or growth promoters that seek to improve the productive parameters on the farm; however, there is a tendency to use them less frequently, seeking to replace them with what is currently known as sustainable animal diets [18].

It is important to mention that some pathogens that cause disease in chickens can be transmitted to humans through their consumption. *Salmonella*, *Campylobacter jejuni*, and *C. perfringens* are the most studied so far. *C. perfringens* is the cause of subclinical necrotic enteritis in broilers, producing toxins and is the cause of disease in humans [9,19].

2. *C. perfringens* as a Foodborne Pathogen

*Clostridium perfringens* can produce a large amount of toxins (Table 1). Toxinotypes of *C. perfringens* cause different diseases in both humans and animals, ranging from subclinical manifestations to serious, life-threatening diseases (Table 2) [20].

| Type | Alpha (α) | Beta (β) | Epsilon (ε) | Iota (ι) | CPE | NetB |
|------|-----------|----------|-------------|----------|-----|------|
| A    | +         | -        | -           | -        | -   | -    |
| B    | +         | +        | -           | -        | -   | -    |
| C    | +         | -        | +           | -        | -   | +/-  |
| D    | +         | -        | -           | +/-      | -   | -    |
| E    | +         | -        | -           | +        | +   | -    |
| F    | -         | -        | -           | -        | -   | +    |
| G    | +         | -        | -           | -        | -   | +    |

*Gene for each toxin. Taken from Rood et al., 2018 [21].
These diseases are mediated by one or more *C. perfringens* toxins [21,22]. Enteric infections in humans and animals have been shown to be associated with *C. perfringens* type C [23,24], while the other type of toxins have been confirmed to cause disease in humans or animals, but not both (Table 2). Of the seven *C. perfringens* toxin types described, type A is the most frequently identified strain [12,25]. However, type F is the one that causes food-related poisoning in humans [21,26].

### Table 2. Toxigenic types of *Clostridium perfringens* and their association with diseases in humans and animals.

| Type of Toxin | Main Toxin | Diseases that Cause |
|-------------|-----------|---------------------|
| A | α, CPE | Wound infection in humans (gas gangrene or clostridial myonecrosis), necrotic enteritis in birds, ulcerative abomasitis, mild necrotizing enteritis in piglets, and endotoxemia in South American cameldids. |
| B | α, β2 | Food poisoning in humans, non-food gastrointestinal diseases in animals, and diarrhea in animals such as dogs, pigs, and foals. |
| C | α, β | Dysentery and hemorrhagic enteritis in lambs and kids. |
| D | α, ε | Necrotizing enteritis in humans, enteritis in dogs, chickens, and South American cameldids. |
| E | α, ε | American cameldids. |
| F | α, CPE | Gastrointestinal disease in swine. |
| G | α, NetB | Enterotoxemia in sheep and goats (pulpy kidney disease). |
| | | Enterotoxemia in rabbits, dogs, cattle, and sheep. |
| | | Human food poisoning and non-food associated diarrhea. |
| | | Subclinical necrotic enteritis in chickens. |

Bruce et al., 2006; Kiu& Hall, 2018 and Rood et al., 2018 [20,21,27].

The diversity of toxins produced by *C. perfringens* has allowed it to be the cause of various diseases in humans and animals. In humans, it is associated with diseases related to food consumption that has been prepared or preserved in inadequate hygienic conditions [17,28]. This type of illness is usually characterized by watery diarrhea and abdominal pain, without fever or vomiting, and the symptoms disappear after 12 to 24 hours [29]. Non-food associated diarrhea due to *C. perfringens* has also been described, which usually occurs after a treatment with broad-spectrum antibiotics, and it is common in older adults. It is worth mentioning that this type of diarrhea usually lasts longer than those associated with contaminated food [30]. Another symptom is necrotic enteritis (NE) caused by *C. perfringens* type C [31]. Myonecrosis due to *C. perfringens* (also known as gas gangrene) is another condition that can occur in people because of wound infection, generating significant pain, gas accumulation at the site of infection and extensive muscle necrosis, which can put people’s life at risk [32,33].

The toxin of this bacterium also affects some animal species. For example, in broilers the toxin causes necrotic enteritis, which could lead economic losses. The role of the necrotic enteritis B-like toxin (NetB) present in G strains causes NE, which is more frequent in chickens fed wheat or barley-based diets than in those fed with corn [34,35], due to the difference in clostridia proliferation in the diets resulting in a higher number of bacteria in the intestine, as well as a lack of fluidity and digestion, generating an increase in the incidence of NE in chickens and increasing the viscosity of the intestinal contents, mucus production, and growing bacteria [35,36].

### 3. Necrotic Enteritis Pathophysiology

*Clostridium perfringens* is a bacterium found in the gastrointestinal tract of broilers and is acquired from environmental sources such as water, food, or any part of the farm producing these birds, being part of their microbiota [37]. However, a high enumeration number of this microorganism and the presence of toxins in some strains can cause different types of pathologies, among them necrotic enteritis (NE). It is important to mention that an elevated enumeration of *C. perfringens* by itself is not the cause of NE but must be accompanied by one or more predisposing factors to develop clinical signs and lesions of the pathology. Enumerations of 0 to 10⁵ CFU/g of *C. perfringens* have been observed...
in the intestine of healthy chickens, while animals with NE report enumerations of $10^6$–$10^8$ CFU/g, besides the presence of bacteriocins, adhesins, proteolytic enzymes, collagenolytic enzymes, necrotic toxin enteritis B-like (NetB) and tpeL [38,39].

Currently, the NetB toxin is considered the determining factor inducing NE in birds [40,41]. This 33-kDa toxin is a member of the family of pore-forming toxins with a beta barrel structure encoded by the netB gene located in an 85 kb plasmid. The toxin production is stimulated when the C. perfringens concentration is higher than $10^9$ CFU/g and the bird has low food bioavailability, has a high consumption of polysaccharides, dysbiosis or has suffered intestinal damage. This damage could be caused by coccidial pathogens of the Eimeria species, and their colonization causes the release of plasma proteins to the gastrointestinal tract lumen, including more than 11 amino acids, growth factors, and vitamins; they will supply the growth substrate for C. perfringens [42–44].

When C. perfringens enters the gastrointestinal tract of the bird and encounters a favorable environment, it secretes adhesins and proteolytic enzymes that exert their action on the intestinal mucosa and the surface of the intestinal epithelial membranes, due to their composition. The intestinal mucosa contains mucin binding sites for bacterial adhesins and O-glycosylated glycoproteins that will be degraded by chitinases to provide energy substrates for bacteria. At the same time, C. perfringens can secrete the bacteriocin perforin, which will inhibit other strains of Clostridium, allowing it to have greater bioavailability of nutrients and damage the intestinal mucosa [43–48].

Besides colonization and degradation of the intestinal mucosa, the NetB toxin will generate pores to access the enterocytes, and at the same time, adhesins and enzymes capable of degrading collagen of the cell matrix are secreted, which together will allow for the colonization and will determine the NE appearance. NetB toxin production is positively regulated by the VirR/VirS two-component phosphorelay system and by the Agr-type quorum sensing system, the latter being responsible for mediating the regulation of genes involved in phospholipid metabolism and adherence [49,50]. In addition, the phosphorelay system regulates the production of sialidases or neuraminidases with the capacity to hydrolyze the $\alpha$-glucosidic bond of terminal sialic acid in host glycoproteins and glycolipids, to produce free sialic acid that can be used as a carbon source [45,51], nitrogen, amino acids and energy, as it is metabolized to fructose 6-P by the pathogenic microorganism. They also participate in bacterial adhesion by modifying the epithelial surface and exposing receptors on the enterocyte membrane. Subsequently, C. perfringens adheres to extracellular matrix compounds such as type III, IV and V collagen, fibrinogen and vitronectin, to later secrete collagenolytic enzymes and hydrolyze them. Adhesion to the extracellular matrix occurs through the fimbrial adhesins of NetB-positive strains [43,44,46,48]. The primary changes occur in the basolateral membrane of the enterocytes, to finally produce necrosis at the level of the mucosa as a result of the destruction of the lamina propria, interruption of intercellular junctions and changes in the extracellular matrix, thus leading to cellular death [45,46].

The netB gene along with 36 additional genes, including those that code for two glycohydrolases, two leukocidins, chitinases, an internalin-like protein, a metalloprotease, and several adhesin-like proteins, is located in a plasmid of approximately 85 kb that encodes the pathogenicity loci (NELoc-1, 42 kb), which has been specifically harbored by bird isolates with NE. The high conservation degree of the sequence of this and other identified plasmids (NELoc-2 and NELoc-3) suggests that these come from a recent evolutionary event through conjugative transfer. In accordance with these findings, it is assumed that various virulence factors participate in NE development, whose genes are grouped in pathogenicity loci, some of which are harbored in plasmids [52].

The structural analysis of NetB shows that the interaction domain and binding of the protein with membrane lipids is rich in aromatic amino acids, being essential amino acids R230 and W287, and structurally differs from other proteins of the hemolysin family, substantial for oligomerization of residue S254, suggesting that NetB has a different binding mechanism to membrane receptors [45]; according to some experiments, it is suggested that it binds to membrane cholesterol [53]. Once NetB is secreted in a soluble monomeric form, it binds to the cell surface through the RIM domain and
subsequently oligomerizes, producing a pre-heptameric pore in the lipid bilayer. This oligomerization process induces conformational changes in the protein to generate a barrel structure with antiparallel β-sheets and forms a mushroom-like transmembrane pore with a subsequent alteration of membrane permeability [53,54]. The heptameric pore formed at the plasma membrane level by the NetB toxin has an internal diameter of 26 Å, with a hydrophilic nature, which favors the destabilization of the ion flow by allowing the exit of K⁺ ions and the entry of Ca²⁺, Na⁺, and Cl⁻ (showing preference for cations), producing osmotic cell lysis [22,41,55]. As intracellular calcium increases, the cascade of events for necrosis programming is influenced by the activation of calpain and cathepsin secretion from lysosomes. In addition, an alteration in the mitochondrial activity is observed with an increase in reactive oxygen species and a decrease in ATP [56]. Free radicals can accumulate in the mitochondria and uncouple the proteins of the mitochondrial inner membrane, leading to a decrease in ATP levels, with losing the integrity of the intercellular junctions in the gastrointestinal epithelium, increasing the permeability of the mucosa and, finally, cell death (Figure 1) [56,57].

Figure 1. Pathophysiology of necrotic enteritis caused by necrotic enteritis B-like toxin (NetB)-positive Clostridium perfringens. Created with BioRender.com

In the conserved region of the plasmid NELoc-1 that codes for the NetB toxin, there is also the gene that codes for the zinc metalloprotease, ZmpA, which, together with another metalloprotease, ZmpB, has been implicated in NE in chickens. Such proteins have high binding affinity for the mucin glycoprotein, a constituent of the mucosa of the gastrointestinal epithelium. Such metalloproteases participate in the development of NE, since the zmpA gene has been identified in isolated strains of birds with the disease, although the zmpB gene was still identified in isolates of birds in the absence of the disease, the lack of one or both genes generate strains with reduced virulence, which is why they are presumed to participate together in pathogenesis’s development [47].

Likewise, it has been observed that the expression of the tpeL gene occurs during sporulation and the TpeL toxin is secreted to promote the adhesion of C. perfringens type A in epithelial cell cultures [58];
disease and mortality are induced more rapidly in birds infected with TpeL-producing strains, which could potentiate the effect of other toxins like NetB [59]. The binding and entry of this toxin into the enterocyte is mediated by the endocytic receptor Lpr1 [60].

3.1. Clinical Alterations

Chicken’s intestinal health is determined by the balance between anatomical components and their physiological activities. However, the development and maturation of the gastrointestinal tract is generated through the bird’s life, as well as the exposure to different environmental variables, which will establish the morphological changes and the specific activities of each intestinal segment. Thus, the mucosa and intestinal villi with their microvilli are necessary for the adequate absorption of nutrients and the establishment of the intestinal microbiota, while the gut-associated lymphoid tissue (GALT), together with the mucosa and microbiota, provides an immune complex that will work as a gastric defense mechanism [61–63]. Therefore, an aggression to any of these components, especially in the first weeks of life, could trigger an alteration in the integrity of the intestinal epithelium, in the bioavailability and absorption of nutrients, promoting a bacterial dysbiosis and an intestinal inflammatory process.

Due to the above, the chicks, being immunologically and physiologically immature, are more susceptible to be infected by \textit{C. perfringens} (NetB positive), either clinically or subclinically. The clinical phase of disease caused by \textit{C. perfringens} infection is called NE and is characterized by a sudden increase in mortality of up to 50% of the population [44,64]. The main characteristic is necrosis at the intestinal level, whose clinical signs include depression, dehydration, drowsiness, diarrhea, and a decreased food consumption. Likewise, lesions are observed throughout the gastrointestinal tract mainly in the jejunum, ileum, expanding to the cecum and duodenum, with a thin, dilated wall and with the presence of gas. The mucosa is gray-brown or yellow-green, and, occasionally, lesions occur in other organs like the cecum, liver, and kidney [42,56,64].

At the microscopic level, in the early stages of the disease, a hyperemic lamina propria is observed, with infiltration of heterophiles, lymphocytes, and plasmatic cells, edematous areas and structural alterations. Likewise, villi flattening, and the congestion of blood vessels are observed in the lamina propria and submucosa. Subsequently, there is necrosis in the mucosa and villi, a pseudomembrane with a tissue fragment, fibrin presence with cellular adhesions to the gastric mucosa, where there are bacterial conglomerates. In the later stages of the disease, blood vessels, liver and kidney are affected, accompanied by red cell changes and necrosis in follicular lymphocytes [42,44,56,64].

While subclinical pathology has several non-specific signs, such as poor digestion, low weight gain, increased feed conversion ratio, and an increased risk of mortality, lesser-grade histopathological lesions can be observed in the intestinal tract, including ulcers, bile duct hyperplasia, and inflammation. The chronic subclinical disease process allows bacteria to reach the bile duct and bloodstream, therefore, the pathogen can be found in the liver [42,65,66]. Because the subclinical process does not have exacerbated clinical manifestations and high mortality, many birds do not receive treatment, which leads to severe economic losses.

3.2. Immune System Activity

Part of the clinical picture of the disease is due to the action of the immune system against the aggression exerted by the virulence factors of \textit{C. perfringens}. The first step is observed in the intestinal mucosa, whose degradation allows access to nutrients and pathogen colonization; therefore, bacterial accumulations are observed in this segment and a decrease in the thickness of the intestinal mucosa.

Subsequently, the formation of the transmembrane pore in the enterocyte and the alteration in the extracellular matrix by the collagenolytic enzymes of \textit{C. perfringens} will affect the tight junctions and their components, as well as the binding proteins claudins, occludins, molecules of junctional adhesion molecules (JAMs), coxsackie virus and adenovirus (CAR) receptors, and tricellulins, until the damage compromises the integrity of the lamina propria [42,45,67]. This is accompanied by the
activation of the mucosal immune response to increase epithelial permeability. Thus, pro-inflammatory
cytokines, such as TNF, IL-1, and LIGHT (tumor necrosis factor superfamily member 14), promote
the dysfunction of the barrier generated by tight junctions by inhibiting the transcription of binding
proteins and inducing the redistribution of occludins, ZO-1 and claudins-1 through the dynamics
of the cytoskeleton. Additionally, cytokines promote the transcription of MLCK kinase (Myosin
Light-chain kinase), which activates myosin II by phosphorylation, which leads to the reorganization
of tight junction proteins and even promotes endocytosis of the binding complex from the apical
zone of the enterocyte, thus altering paracellular permeability [57]. The loss of the tight junction
integrity results in a leaky gut, altering the passage of solutes in the transmembrane, affecting the
cytoskeleton and function of the enterocyte, or giving way to microorganisms or their components,
such as lipopolysaccharides to circulation (endotoxemia). This compromises the epithelial function,
the structure of the apical and basolateral barrier of the enterocyte, causing diarrhea besides activating
the gastric immune system, resulting in local and, later, systemic inflammation [67,68].

During the inflammatory process, there is activation of CD4, Th1 and Th17 lymphocytes, whose
inflammatory cytokines promote the recruitment of heterophiles, monocytes, and lymphocytes, as
well as their translocation and migration to the damaged site. Therefore, its accumulation results
in an inflammatory process accompanied by the flattening of villi and hyperplasia of the crypts,
which, together with the degradation of the gastric mucosa and alteration of the enterocyte, leads
to a decrease in the absorption surface and diarrhea [56,67,69]. Villi flattening decreases the site of
absorption of macro and micronutrients that impact on the health status of the bird, which can present
mal absorption, malnutrition, food deficiencies and pathologies related to nutrition such as anemia,
low weight and low feeding efficiency [62,70].

The effect on the enterocyte and tight junctions, caused by the NetB toxin and collagenolytic
enzymes, is enhanced by the presence of other inflammation mediators, since these exert their action
on vascular permeability, which causes overexpression of oxygen reactive species and capillary
congestion, leading to edema and the possible presence of hemorrhages, and necrosis [42,63,71].
The increase in vascular permeability at the injury site caused by inflammatory mediators such
as histamine, leukotrienes, prostaglandins, among others, stimulating endothelial cells to express
adhesion molecules in the basement membrane, which allow the anchoring of heterophiles and platelets.
These cells are exposed to inflammatory mediators and are activated to release oxidant molecules and
proteases (elastase), in addition to cytokines like TNF and IL-1β, which damage the endothelium and
microvasculature by increasing the inflammatory response recruiting more leukocyte cells [72].

Heterophiles transmigration between endothelial cells disrupts inter-endothelial junctions, and
in conjunction with the reorganization of tight junction proteins promoted by TNF and IL-1β, there
is a considerable increase in vascular leakage [57,72]. Macrophages located in the lamina propria,
the submucosa, and the intestinal lymphoid organs are among the first cells of the epithelium to
respond to infection. Activated macrophages produce cytokines TNF, IL-8 and IL-1β; in addition, they
can produce nitric oxide, which has a vasodilator and antimicrobial effect. IL-8 promotes the attraction
of lymphocytes, the activation and degranulation of heterophils, in response to mediators such as
cyclooxygenase-2 and 5-lipoxygenase that produce potent vasoactive and pro-inflammatory effects by
activating endothelial cells, neutrophils, and platelets [72].

The extracellular matrix of an inflamed tissue is composed of fibronectin, fibrinogen,
and vitronectin, which are deposited in the tissues as a result of plasma extravasation and by
protein synthesis, from stromal cells, in response to the activation of the inflammatory mediators and
adhesion of the heterophiles, which, when degranulated, release proteases with fibrinolytic activity,
with the consequent deposition of fibrin in the injured tissue. For their part, activated mast cells release
histamine, 5-hydroxytryptamine, proteases, heparin, cytokines and other inflammatory mediators from
their granules, which increase vascular permeability, generate vasodilation, alter intestinal motility,
promote epithelial cell secretion, with the consequent increase in transit, and the expulsion of intestinal
content [72].
The loss of mucosa, the flattening of villi and the alteration of intestinal permeability generate a change in the site of action and the available nutrients of the intestinal microbiota, and with it an alteration in the bacterial communities and their metabolic and immunological effect [73]. Dysbiosis is mainly seen in bacterial groups such as *Ruminococcus*, *Clostridium*, and *Lactobacillus*. The first is found in a higher percentage in the cecum with a metabolic activity that includes the production of butyric acid. Gharib-Naseri et al. [38] reported that low *Ruminococcus* enumerations have been observed in chickens with NE, which could cause a decrease in the main energy metabolite of the intestinal epithelium, butyrate, which decreases blood flow that is linked to nutrients absorption, reducing cell proliferation, mucin production, as well as the defense mechanisms and anti-inflammatory activity of IL10 [63].

On the other hand, it has been observed that in chickens that have been challenged, *Lactobacillus* enumerations, particularly in cecum, are higher compared to controls. This could be due to disturbances in the bioavailability of nutrients in the models, the increase due to the recovery of the chickens after NE or due to the over-influx of the ileum to the cecum due to the microbial challenge and that is related to a greater amount of acid lactic in blind. On the other hand, it has been reported that in animal models that were infected with *C. perfringens*, said pathogen displaces or inhibits the native microbiota, particularly the Clostridial community, whose proportion in healthy birds is represented by *Clostridium propionicum*, *Clostridium leptum*, and *Ruminococcus bromii*. Competition between clostridials probably allows bacteria such as lactobacilli to increase their enumerations and the overpopulation of other less dominant species [38,74,75].

4. Detection Mechanisms

4.1. Histopathological Detection

Evaluating the damage of the disease has been carried out through biological models. To do this, the presence of colonies of Gram-positive bacilli is observed, and a score has been proposed that allows a semi-quantitative evaluation, whose criteria include the observation of macroscopic and microscopic damage to the epithelium, mucosa, and reliability of the intestine and gas accumulation [70].

After histopathological evaluation, it is common to find necrotic enteritis (NE) lesions in the proximal region of the jejunum (between the distal end of the duodenum and Meckel’s diverticulum), anywhere in the small intestine, as well as in the cecum and/or the colorectal region (Table 3).

### Table 3. Lesions found on histopathological examination.

| Lesion                          | Characteristics                                                                 |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Necrosis                        | Mucous discolored, thick, coarse granular texture, moderately firm and adherent or smooth, and moist. Areas of intensely eosinophilic villi covered with clostridia delimited by heterophilic infiltrate with fibrin. Sunken fossae with rough and reddened exposed surface, crater-like, thinning and detachment of mucosa, shiny appearance. Presence of re-epithelialized ulcers on the serous surface. |
| Ulcers                          | Limited in the margin of the lesions or in the intestinal lumen. Detachment of large areas of mucosa that accumulate in the intestinal lumen, loss of smooth muscle tone, presence of discoloration produced by thick dark green bile at the duodenum and proximal jejunum. Smelly gas build-up. |
| Hemorrhage                      |                                                                                  |
| Thin and flaccid intestinal wall|                                                                                  |

Cooper et al., 2013; Smyth, 2016 [64,66].

Occasionally, multifocal coagulative necrosis lesions can be found in the liver and bile ducts, with the presence of exudative fibrin and Gram-positive bacilli; the tissue appears thickened and with granulomatous inflammation [64]. The gross lesions that occur in the NE are recorded according to a scale of tissue damage (Table 4).
**Table 4. Scale of gross lesions in the small intestine with chronic enteritis.**

| Number | Lesions                                                                 |
|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 0      | No apparent injuries.                                                   |
| 1      | Thin or brittle wall.                                                   |
| 2      | Congested intestinal mucosa.                                            |
| 3      | Focal necrosis or ulceration (1 to 5 lesions).                          |
| 4      | Coalescent multifocal areas of necrosis (6 to 15 lesion targets).       |
| 5      | Extent of severe necrosis (more than 16 lesion targets).                |
| 6      | Necrosis patches 2–3 cm long (variable amount).                         |

Keyburn et al., 2006; Shojadoost et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2019 [76–78].

Birds that die from NE undergo a rapid decomposition, the intestine begins an autolysis process, which makes the histopathological analysis difficult; thus, the diagnosis requires further evaluation.

### 4.2. Immunological Detection

Through an ELISA-type immunosorbent assay, it has been possible to detect the presence of high levels of CPA toxin in intestinal samples from chickens with NE and/or serum anti-CPA [64] or anti-NetB [79] antibodies, the latter reflecting a clinical or subclinical picture of the disease. It is worth mentioning that toxins can be degraded by proteases, or be produced after death, so their detection is not conclusive for NE.

Recently, a method has been developed to detect the levels of IL-10 in serum of infected chickens and intestinal epithelial cells stimulated with *C. perfringens*, with an ELISA to capture antigens by mouse monoclonal antibodies against chicken IL-10, representing a useful tool to monitor the disease [80].

### 4.3. Molecular Detection

*C. perfringens* detection in samples from the gastrointestinal tract of chickens can be performed by quantitative real-time PCR using a fluorogenic assay, with a hydrolysis probe (5′ nuclease) for the detection and quantification of specific 16S rDNA sequences for *C. perfringens* obtained from the gastrointestinal contents of chickens [81]. The pathogenic strains can be detected through the identification of genes coding for the relevant toxins in the netB and tpeL pathogenesis of NE in isolated clinical samples, with a specific multiplex PCR, thus allowing for more efficient sampling and diagnosis [43,82].

Although the detection of pathogenic strains of *C. perfringens* may be simple, the diagnosis of NE as such is not possible in a timely manner, since it is feasible until the bird has died. Among the strategies used for raising healthy animals is the use of various methods of disease control through the incorporation of compounds in the diet that contribute to modulating their nutrition. The diet significantly affects the intestinal microbiota of broilers and is responsible for regulating important aspects such as immune and metabolic response.

### 5. Control

The intestinal microbiota of broilers constitutes a crucial factor in modulating the immune response and productive efficiency. However, its composition is affected by the diet supplied and the incorporation of food additives (antibiotics or other growth promoters) to improve the productive parameters on the farm, causing alterations that favor the development of pathogens such as *C. perfringens*. Currently, there is a trend to replace the use of antibiotics with what is known as sustainable animal diets [18].

The basic strategies used to control necrotic enteritis (NE) in broilers are the reduction of pathogens and modification of diets and/or feed additives [82]. The first strategy usually involves establishing biosecurity and sanitation protocols on farms. For its part, the nutritional approach includes the use
of probiotics, prebiotics, symbiotics, phytogens, organic acids, and dietary modifications, which are discussed below.

5.1. Probiotics

The incorporation of probiotics in diets has been considered as a promising alternative to the use of antibiotics and growth promoters. Probiotics have been defined as "live microorganisms which, when administered in adequate amounts, can confer benefits to the health of the host" [83].

The benefits attributed to the probiotics incorporated in broilers diets are diverse and include: (1) modulation in the composition of the intestinal microbiota through the production of pathogen growth inhibitory metabolites; (2) improving food efficiency conversion and, therefore, a significant increase in production performance, in addition to showing improvement in meat quality; (3) stimulation of the immune system, increasing the levels of immunoglobulins in serum, specifically IgG (or IgY) and IgA and the secretion of IgA in mucous membranes (sIgA), while reducing the severity of pro-inflammatory processes, and (4) contribution to the improvement in the safety of raw meats destined for human consumption by competitive exclusion mechanisms and/or by neutralization of toxins [84–89].

Probiotics are widely used microorganisms to deal with specific diseases such as avian subclinical NE. The efficacy of probiotics belonging to the genera Bacillus, Lactobacillus, Enterococcus, Bifidobacteria, and Saccharomyces has been evaluated both in vivo and in vitro [90]. However, in in vivo tests using strains of the Bacillus, Lactobacillus, and Enterococcus genera, their beneficial effects have been described in greater depth (Table 5). One of these studies performed a meta-analysis that included independent trials carried out in different countries simultaneously, demonstrating in large-scale evaluations that the supplementation of probiotics like *B. subtilis* DSM32315 significantly improves productive parameters and decreases the histological damage caused by *C. perfringens* [91].

The composition of the microbiome associated with broilers has been correlated with improved production efficiency, alluding to the fact that the use of probiotics represents a viable alternative to avoid the use of antibiotics in diets [92]. It has been suggested that probiotics may beneficially affect the structure of the host gut microbiota, consequently improving the growth and survival of farm organisms [86]. The main effects described in animals whose diets were supplemented with probiotics are related to an increase in the enumerations of *Lactobacillus*, *Bifidobacterium*, and *Butyricicoccus* and a decrease in *Escherichia coli*, *C. perfringens*, and *Staphylococci*. Stanley et al. (2016) [27] identified a significant correlation between the presence of *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*, feed conversion and metabolizable energy in broilers ceca microbiota, while the genus *Lactobacillus* was correlated with a high level of feed intake and a low feed conversion [93]. In other studies, the efficacy of *L. johnsonii* FI9785, a producer of a heterologous endolysin, was observed in vitro and in vivo reducing *C. perfringens* as a way to improve the safety of chicken meat for human consumption [94,95] (Table 6).
Table 5. Probiotics used in the treatment of necrotic enteritis caused by *Clostridium perfringens* in an avian model.

| Genus          | Strain                        | Results                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reference |
|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| *Bacillus*     |                               | Improvement of feed conversion. Lower score in intestinal lesions caused by *C. perfringens*. Structural protection of villi at the mucosal level (improves intestinal integrity).                                      | [96]      |
|                | *B. amyloliquefaciens* H57    | Improvement of intestinal morphology and cecum and liver damage decreases (P < 0.01). Expression increase of fowlcidin-2, an antimicrobial peptide described in chickens. Expression increase of fowlicidin-2, an antimicrobial peptide described in chickens. | [97]      |
|                |                               | Increase in the expression levels of lysozyme in the jejunum. Inhibition of growth, colonization, and invasion by *C. perfringens*.                                                                     | [98]      |
|                | *B. coagulans*                | Normalization of disorders in the microbiota caused by infection with *C. perfringens*. Significant suppression of the negative effects on weight gain, decrease in feed consumption, and feed conversion rate (P < 0.05). | [99]      |
|                |                               | Increase in the villis height: depth ratio of the crypts in the ileum (P < 0.05). Increase in the activity of antioxidant enzymes and intestinal capacity in ileum, serum, and liver (P < 0.05). Increase in the concentration of Bcl-2 protein in the liver. |           |
|                | *B. licheniformis* H2         | Meta-analysis carried out in three different countries and five independent trials. Significantly improves weight gain and feed conversion. Decreases mortality. Lower injury score. | [100]     |
|                |                               | Increase in the concentration of propionic acid in cecum.                                                                                                                                               |           |
|                | A mix of 6-probiotic strains, 4 *Bacillus subtilis* (CPB 011, CPB 029, HP 1.6, and D 014) and 2 *Bacillus velezensis* (CBP 020 and CPB 035) | Significant improvement in the levels of IgG (or IgY) and IgA in serum after 21 days. Beneficial effects on subpopulations of T lymphocytes in peripheral blood. | [101]     |
|                |                               | Significant decrease in the loss of epithelial cells and lymphocyte infiltration, showing an effect of attenuation of the inflammatory response. Significant reduction in intestinal injury scores. Improvement in weight gain. Improvement in the structure of microvilli. | [102]     |
|                | *L. johnsonii* BS15           |                                                                                                                                                                                                     |           |
|                |                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                     |           |
|                | *L. plantarum* 1.2567         |                                                                                                                                                                                                     |           |
Table 5. Cont.

| Genus         | Strain                                  | Results                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reference |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| *L. fermentum*| 1.2029                                  | Attenuation of the inflammatory damage causing distortion in the crypt architecture, infiltration of granulocytes in the lamina propria and subepithelial and hyperplasia in the lamina propria. Modulation in the expression levels of interferon \( \gamma \), interleukin IL-10 and the Toll-like receptor 2 receptor. Decrease in the percentage of injury incidence, intestinal injury score and injury severity. | [104]     |
| *L. acidophilus*| CGMCC 1.1878 and *L. fermentum* CGMCC 1.2029 | In in vitro assays, both strains degraded *C. perfringens* \( \alpha \)-toxin at 2 and 4 h of incubation. The pretreatment of *C. perfringens* with *L. acidophilus* significantly decreased (\( P < 0.05 \)) the percentage of adhesion of the pathogen to chicken intestinal epithelial cells. The relative expression levels of interleukins 6, 8 and 1\( \beta \), inducible nitric oxide synthase and tumor necrosis factor \( \alpha \) (TNF-\( \alpha \)) were under-expressed in cells treated with *Lactobacillus* strains. | [105]     |
| *L. plantarum*| R1.0320                                  | Increase in the villus height: crypt depth ratio. Greater expression of MUC2 and a decrease in the expression of TNF-\( \alpha \) in the mucosa of the ileum. Significant increase in the levels of IgA and IgG (or IgY) (on the 3rd day of administration) and IgM (on the 10th day of administration). | [106]     |
| *Enterococcus*| *E. faecium* NCIMB 11181                | Significant improvement in weight gain. Lower rate of intestinal lesions, histopathological inflammation, and apoptosis in intestinal cells. Overexpression of the gene encoding Claudin-1 that promotes epithelial cell attachment. Promote a balance in the intestinal immune response by modulating the expression of pro and anti-inflammatory cytokines, growth factors, heat shock proteins, and negative regulators of signaling mediated by Toll-like receptors. Modulation of the intestinal microbiota. | [107]     |
Table 6. Probiotic strains used for microbiota modulation to control necrotic enteritis caused by *Clostridium perfringens* in broiler chickens.

| Probiotic | Concentration on the Diet | Time | Results | Reference |
|-----------|---------------------------|------|---------|-----------|
| *Bacillus subtilis* CGMCC 1.921 | $1 \times 10^7$ and $1 \times 10^8$ CFU/g | 1 to 24 weeks | Significantly lower enumeration of *C. perfringens* in ceca digesta ($p < 0.05$). | [108] |
| *Bacillus subtilis* PB6 | $5 \times 10^{11}$ CFU/kg | 35 days | Reduced the intestinal *C. perfringens* enumeration significantly ($p < 0.05$) and improved villi length by 10.88 and 30.46% ($p < 0.05$) compared with uninfected and infected control groups. | [109] |
| *L. acidophilus* D2/CSL CECT 4529 and *B. subtilis* PB6 ATCC-PTA 6737 | 0.1% of *L. acidophilus* (Lactomalt D2 Bio®); 0.05% of *B. subtilis* (Clostat® brand dry—740210) | 5 and 7 months | Significantly decrease in *E. coli*, *Clostridia*, and *Staphylococci* in cecum and ileum digesta ($p < 0.001$). The two probiotic-supplemented diets, increased *Lactobacillus* spp. and *Bifidobacterium* spp. enumeration compared with the control diet. | [110] |
| A mix of *Bacillus subtilis* DSM17299, *Clostridium butyricum*, and *Lactobacillus acidophilus* | $2 \times 10^2$ CFU/g and $4 \times 10^2$ CFU/g | 35 days | Significantly lower enumeration of *C. perfringens* and *Escherichia coli* in caecum and increase the enumeration of *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium*. | [111] |
| *Enterococcus faecium* NCIMB 11181 | $1 \times 10^6$ CFU/kg | 26 days | Microbial community composition among the different groups, indicating significant variability in their microbial profiles. Highest relative abundance of *Lactobacillus* and *Butyricicoccus* in the cecum compared to the negative control and the *C. perfringens*-infected group without the administration of probiotic. | [107] |
| *L. johnsonii* | Feed and water delivery > $10^9$ CFU/g or mL; oral and litter delivery > $10^8$ CFU/mL of PBS | 21 days | Establishment of the probiotic *L. johnsonii* in the intestinal tract. No statistically significant differences between delivery methods on the gut microbiota. Significantly decrease enterobacteria and *C. perfringens* in the ileum. | [112] |
In addition to the above, the concept of competitive exclusion has been described, which was born from the work carried out by Rantala and Nurmi in 1973, who proposed the inclusion of bacteria isolated from adult chickens to prevent Salmonella Infantis colonization [113]. This term raises the possibility of “implanting a healthy microbiota” in the first days of the animal’s life, and thus preventing colonization by pathogens [114]. For this, commercial products (Aviguard®, BROILACT®, PoultryStar®, MSC™) have been suggested with an effect against C. perfringens, which causes NE in broilers [114]. However, only MSC™ was evaluated to decrease the enterotoxin produced by C. perfringens, suggesting its usefulness against the incidence of NE in chickens and reducing the risk of disease in humans [115].

Due to the above, the possibility of an early programming to modulate the intestinal microbiota has been considered as a potentially useful strategy to improve health, well-being and productivity in broilers through probiotics, by reducing pathogen enumerations in the gastrointestinal tract of chickens and, with it, the risk of contamination to contribute to the safety of raw meats [116,117].

5.2. Prebiotics

The International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics defines prebiotics as “a substrate that is selectively used by host microorganisms, conferring health benefits”; when administered orally, these are called dietary prebiotics [118].

A good prebiotic should meet the following characteristics: 1) resist exposure to gastric acid, it should not be hydrolyzed or absorbed in the upper part of the gastrointestinal tract; 2) serve as a selective source of nutrients that support growth and/or metabolic activity of beneficial host members of the gut microbiota, and 3) induce luminal responses or other systemic physiological responses that benefit the host in some way [119]. Thus, the compounds that meet these characteristics are indigestible oligosaccharides or polysaccharides [120], also named refined functional carbohydrates [118], such as mannan-oligosaccharides (derived from the cell walls of Saccharomyces cerevisiae), β-glucans (derived from cell walls of fungi or yeasts), galacto-oligosaccharides and fructo-oligosaccharides like inulin, levan and branched groups (extracted from different plants, hydrolyzed from polysaccharides or produced by microorganisms) [121], being inulin and fructooligosaccharides the most used in the poultry industry [122], with a degree of polymerization of two to twenty monomers [123].

The use of prebiotics in poultry production systems is based on the fact that they are able to improve the intestinal epithelium (longer villi and shallower crypts) [124] and feed conversion and efficiency [125] through the synthesis of metabolites from their fermentation, such as short-chain fatty acids [126], mainly acetate, propionate, and butyrate, which are absorbed directly from the hindgut and used as an energy source in tissues [127], which in turn promote weight gain and performance [125]. Moreover, they improve the mineral absorption, specially Ca and P, when administered at a rate of 10 g/kg of feed, which in turn impacts bone mineralization in broilers [120], promoting a symbiosis in the intestinal microbiota, increase intestinal colonization of lactic acid bacteria and are capable of inhibiting intestinal colonization of pathogens, thereby restricting the amount of toxic metabolites generated by them (ammonia, indoles, phenols, and thiols) [128]. They also reduce the intensity and time of histopathological conditions caused by C. perfringens in the jejunum and duodenum [124,126].

The effects obtained are dependent on the quantity, type and origin of the administered prebiotic, as well as on the characteristics of the birds (breed, sex, age) and the environment (hygiene, house maintenance, environmental stress, temperature) [120,129].

5.3. Synbiotics

The term synbiotic was used for the first time in 1995 by Gibson and Roberfroid when referring to “a mixture of probiotics and prebiotics that can beneficially affect the host by improving the survival and implantation in the gastrointestinal tract of live microorganisms supplemented in the diet, by a selective stimulation of the growth and/or activation of the metabolism of one or a limited number of health-promoting bacteria, and therefore, improving the well-being of the host” [130].
The main reason for using a symbiotic is that the probiotic without the prebiotic will have less chance of surviving in the gastrointestinal tract, as it will show less tolerance to temperature, oxygen, and low pH. In addition to the above, the administration of a symbiotic improves the survival of the probiotic during its passage through the upper gastrointestinal tract [122,131]. Among the benefits of using synbiotics are: (1) raising the levels of lactobacilli and bifidobacteria, as well as the balance of the intestinal microbiota; (2) improving immunomodulation; and (3) preventing bacterial translocation [132]. In broilers, dietary supplementation with symbiotic products has been reported to significantly improve body weight, average daily weight gain, feed efficiency and percentage of body mass yield compared to the controls or chickens fed only with probiotics [133].

There are some commercial synbiotic products intended for the chicken meat industry; among them are: Biomin® IMBO (ME BIOMIN GmbH) made up of Enterococcus faecium and fructooligosaccharides (FOS), and PoultryStar® (ME BIOMIN GmbH), which includes a mixture of Bifidobacterium animalis, Enterococcus faecium, Lactobacillus reuteri, L. salivarius, Pediococcus acidilactici and inulin, and Synbiotic poultry (Vetafarm) containing L. acidophilus, L. casei, L. salivarius, L. plantarum, L. rhamnosus, L. brevis, Bifidobacterium bifidum, B. lactis, Streptococcus thermophilus and inulin [134].

Synbiotics have been evaluated in the poultry industry to eliminate or decrease intestinal counts of specific pathogens such as Campylobacter jejuni. Supplementation of a mixture of Bifidobacterium longum subsp. longum PCB133 and xylooligosaccharides demonstrated their efficacy in reducing the pathogen through the alteration of the intestinal microbiota when it is developing [135].

Few studies have addressed the use of synbiotics as a strategy to decrease the severity of necrotic enteritis (NE) caused by C. perfringens. Among the most important results, a consistent impact has been observed in the reduction of the pathogen enumerations and the severity of the histopathological damage at the intestinal level, in the intestinal damage score and in mortality percentages (Table 7). On the other hand, these studies describe an increase in weight gain, in the enumeration of lactic acid bacteria at the intestinal level, and in the number of specific antibodies at the mucosa level in broilers (Table 7) [136,137].

The results of studies conducted with synbiotics in chickens remain controversial. Some researchers have highlighted the efficacy that they have on the significant reduction of pathogens such as Escherichia coli in the cecum content when used combined, strains from the group of lactic acid bacteria and yeasts [136]. For their part, Mookiah et al. [137] did not observe a synergistic effect when combining probiotics with prebiotics (11 Lactobacillus strains and isomalto oligosaccharides) in determining microbial populations in cecum or volatile and non-volatile fatty acid concentrations in broilers.

Studying the effect that different synbiotics have on pathogens of sanitary importance such as C. perfringens and/or its toxins, and their impact on the safety of meat for human consumption, is a subject that still needs to be explored in more detail.
Table 7. Effect of the use of symbiotics on health, production parameters and the elimination of *Clostridium perfringens* in broilers.

| Synbiotic Composition | Dose | Time   | Results                                                                                                                                                                                   | Reference |
|-----------------------|------|--------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| *Enterococcus faecium* + FOS + phycophytic substances | 1 kg/ton of feed | 3 weeks | Decrease in mortality rate. Significant improvement (*p* < 0.05) in the intestinal lesion score. Absence and reduction of histopathological alterations. Significant decrease (*p* < 0.05) in the counts of *C. perfringens* in intestine and cecum, from day 3 to day 21, all this between the control group and the infected group fed with the synbiotic. | [138]     |
| *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Enterococcus faecium*, and *Bacillus* spp. (Avi-Lution®) | 1 and 2 g/Kg of feed | 42 days | Significant increase in weight gain. Decrease in the percentage of mortality and in cumulative mortality at day 28 and 42 (both levels of synbiotic supplementation). No effect on intestinal lesions was observed. | [139]     |
| Synbiotic mix Kurago Biotek, 1 mL contains (7 log UFC/g of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* HN001, *Pediococcus acidilactici* MA18/SM and 4.5% *Agave tequilana* fructans) | 50 µL/day | 39 and 42 days | Increase in lactic acid bacteria enumerations in the duodenum. Improvement in intestinal morphology (higher villi and shallow crypts) in the duodenal mucosa. | [75]      |
| *L. reuteri*, *E. faecium*, *B. animalis*, and *P. acidilactici* con FOS. | 0.05% | 21 and 42 days | Significant difference in the height of the jejunal villi (*p* < 0.05) on day 28 and 42. Significant weight gain (*p* < 0.01) (at 21 and 42 days of the experiment). Significant decrease in *C. perfringens* enumerations from day 28 to day 42. Increasing the number of specific antibodies (IgA) at the level of the ceca mucosa. | [140]     |
5.4. Phytogenics

Phytogenic additives are components and biologically active substances extracted from plants, such as oleoresins, tannins, saponins, flavonoids and alkaloids, with a positive effect on growth and animal health [141].

Phytogenics increase antimicrobial activity, have antiviral, antioxidant, and anti-inflammatory properties, stimulating the endocrine and immune system. They promote a higher metabolic and immune status in chickens, as well as greater well-being. Several plant-derived compounds have been shown to have beneficial effects on the gut environment and gut microbiota. Its action mechanism is based on altering the permeability of the membrane of microorganisms, causing the leakage of intracellular material. It is difficult to identify its active principle, because there is a variation in growth conditions, climate, harvest, and manufacture, as well as in the biological factors of each plant species [142,143]. Table 8 shows a list of the plants most used for the control of \textit{C. perfringens} in broilers, as well as a description of the effects caused by the phytogenics tested.

| Product                  | Species                      | Results                                                                 | Reference                  |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Anise essential oil      | \textit{Pimpinella anisum}   | Promotes intestinal development (longer villi and shallow crypts). Decreased intensity of intestinal lesions associated with necrotic enteritis. | [144]                      |
| Benzophenanthridine (alkaloids) | \textit{Chelidonium majus}     | Improves productive efficiency parameters. Reduces intestinal lesions and mortality associated with necrotic enteritis. Increase in the body weight and breast weight at 42 d and promotes the cell proliferation in duodenum ($P = 0.001$) and jejunum ($P = 0.012$). Significantly decrease in the \textit{Clostridium} counts. Decrease of gut lesions caused by \textit{C. perfringens} and improved villus height to crypt depth, improvement of feed conversion efficiency. Increase of serum antibody titers and tendency to elevate occludin mRNA expression at the same time that linearly inhibited the mRNA expression of TLR-2 and tumor necrotic factor-$\alpha$ in the ileum. Improved health (longer villi and shallow crypts) and function of the intestinal barrier. They promote intestinal colonization by \textit{Bifidobacterium}. | [145]                      |
| Oregano essential oil    | \textit{Origanum vulgare}    | Promotes intestinal development (longer villi and shallow crypts). Decreased intensity of intestinal lesions associated with necrotic enteritis. | [146–148]                  |
| Carvacrol                | \textit{Origanum vulgare}    | Antimicrobial activity against \textit{C. perfringens} and reduction of intestinal lesions associated with necrotic enteritis. | [141,142,149,150]          |
| Curcumin                 | \textit{Curcuma Longa}       | Decreases \textit{C. perfringens} enumerations in intestinal contents. | [143]                      |
| Piperine                 | \textit{Piper nigrum}        | Decreases \textit{C. perfringens} enumerations in intestinal contents. | [143]                      |
| Protopine (alkaloids)    | \textit{Eschscholzia californica Famaria officinalis} | Improves productive efficiency parameters. Reduces intestinal lesions and mortality associated with necrotic enteritis. | [145]                      |
| Tannins                  | \textit{Castanea sativa}     | Inhibits the growth of \textit{C. perfringens} in vitro and in vivo, without affecting food consumption and weight gain. Improved health (longer villi and shallow crypts) and function of the intestinal barrier. | [151]                      |
| Thymol                   | \textit{Thymus vulgaris}     | Promotes intestinal colonization by \textit{Bifidobacterium}. Antimicrobial activity against \textit{C. perfringens}. Improves productive efficiency parameters. | [142,149,150]              |
| Sanguinarin              | \textit{Chelidonium majus}   | Reduces intestinal lesions and mortality associated with necrotic enteritis. | [145]                      |
5.5. Organic Acids

Organic acids and their corresponding salts or esters are widely used as a feed additive in poultry production. They can vary considerably in their functionality due to the number of carbon atoms and if they are aliphatic or aromatic. They are natural constituents of animal or plant tissues or products of microbial fermentation [143].

Carboxylic acids with an aliphatic chain or fatty acids are classified into short chain fatty acids (SCFAs, 1–5 carbon atoms; C1–C5) and medium chain fatty acids (SCFA, 6–12 carbon atoms; C6–C12). The suggested effects of organic acids are antibacterial activity through pH regulation, changes in the composition of the microbiota, immunomodulatory action, and stimulation of the intestinal mucosa [133,143].

Organic acids have been used as inhibitors of enteric pathogens or as antimicrobials. Their mechanism of action can be by non-dissociation, where, by penetrating the bacterial cell wall, they alter their normal physiology and generate a change in their internal pH, with a dissociation between H+ and anions, which leads to energy consumption that puts the growth of the bacteria at risk, even causing death. In addition, they promote changes in the microbiota, have an immunomodulatory action and stimulate the intestinal mucosa. The effects in broilers depend on the base of the organic acid product, dose and type [143,152].

Capric-caprylic, caproic, and lauric acids are associated with improved intestinal histomorphology and decreased stool C. perfringens enumerations [149]. On the other hand, hexanoic, benzoic and butyric acids are associated with an improvement in intestinal histomorphology, a decrease in C. perfringens counts in the liver and cecum content, and a decrease in the frequency and intensity of intestinal lesions associated with NE [142]. Sodium lauryl lactylate acid has also been reported to prevent and inhibit intestinal colonization by C. perfringens [153].

5.6. Dietary Modifications and Enzymes

The nutritional content and feed presentation significantly affect the development of NE in broilers. Dietary management is a promising strategy for its control [151]. In this sense, different strategies are considered, among which are dietary restriction, modification of the content and source of macronutrients, [154,155] and the addition of enzymes to the diet [156].

Food restriction is applied in poultry, to control the growth rate and prevent metabolic disorders. Its protective effect against NE could be attributed to the stimulation of the immune system, the influence of the endocrine system, a decrease in pH and the viscosity of the intestinal content, promoted by food restriction [157].

With regard to modifications in the content and source of macronutrients, it has been shown that the level and source of dietary protein have a direct effect on the concentration of C. perfringens in broilers [155]; this is how those diets high in protein from fish increase the risk of developing NE, for which reason the use of other sources such as soy is currently promoted [158]. Regarding carbohydrates, the administration of whole grains is a frequent practice in poultry rearing, since it is associated with the improvement in productive performance and the general and intestinal health of the birds [159]. The use of whole grains to control NE is based on mechanical stimulation of the gizzard, pH reduction and viscosity of the intestinal content, which together create an unfavorable environment for the proliferation of C. perfringens [160].

In broiler production, the use of enzymes derived from microorganisms (fungi and bacteria) through traditional submerged liquid fermentation or solid-state fermentation is common [161]. Enzymes such as proteases, glucanase, manase, cellulase, amylase, phytase and xylanase are added to the feed to overcome the negative effects of non-starch polysaccharides and increase the digestibility, management and absorption of nutrients for poultry [157,162,163]. Furthermore, the proliferation of C. perfringens in the gastrointestinal tract of broilers [150,157,161,164], which is achieved by reducing the viscosity of the gastric and intestinal contents (cellulase [164], phytase [161], glucanase [150,157], mannanase [150] and xylase [162,165,166]), promotes intestinal colonization of
lactic acid bacteria (glucanase [150,157], mannanase [150] and xylase [162,165,166]) and even improves physical characteristics such as longer villi and fewer deep crypts and functional characteristics such as gut permeability (glucanase [150,157] and xylase [162,165,166]).

As has been reviewed, there are currently different alternatives to the use of growth-promoting antibiotics to control NE; however, these alternatives remain questioned in their efficacy [152]. Although the bacterial microbiota associated with broilers has shown variations in the structure of their communities with regarding control strategies and the influence of pathogenic bacteria, more comparable studies of farm chicken microbiomes are required that consider individual variability and variations between samples of cecal, jejunal or associated mucosal content [167] to deepen and compare the information.

6. Conclusions

Clostridium perfringens is an important microorganism in the clinical, food and veterinary areas. The diversity of toxins produced by this microorganism not only makes it a risk to human health, but also to animal health. In the latter, the problem is that it causes subclinical diseases that generate great losses, particularly in the poultry industry, because C. perfringens is capable of producing various toxins and bacteriocins, some of which have already been identified and characterized. However, other pathogenicity factors cannot be discarded.

Currently, the infection produced in broilers, known as necrotic enteritis (NE), associated with this microorganism, has become a problem to maintaining the health of birds, affecting reproduction and conservation, and the supply for human consumption, due to the fact that the disease occurs subclinically and a diagnosis cannot be made in a timely manner, generating significant economic losses for the producer.

Chicken meat is the most consumed animal protein and enough supply for consumers requires mass production strategies, exacerbating the problem of by infections by pathogens such as C. perfringens. Due to this, there is a need to find economical, environmentally friendly and efficient alternatives in the modulation of the intestinal microbiota, which contribute to the efficient production of broiler chicken to meet current and future demand.

The use of various food additives based on probiotics, prebiotics, symbiotics, essential oils, organic acids and enzymes have been presented as various alternatives to mitigate the incidence of NE, achieving an improvement in the general intestinal health of birds, with the opportunity to produce healthy birds for consumption.

Perspectives: It is imperative to carry out more research on alternative and efficient products for the modulation of the intestinal microbiota, in addition to the role they play in the immune system, where consistent positive effects are needed to fulfill the current demand, while keeping a safe environment. It is also important to establish standardized protocols that consider individual and inter-sample variability, and consider the utility of molecular detection mechanisms and epigenetic modifications underlying treatment with alternative products such as essential oils and organic acids where research has not yet been clarified.
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