Experimental study on engineering properties of concrete reinforced with hybrid recycled tyre steel and polypropylene fibres
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A B S T R A C T

Recycled tyre steel fibre (RTSF) is considered as a potential and sustainable alternative to manufactured steel fibre (MSF), while RTSF resulted in lower energy absorption capacity and more serious corrosion problem in concrete compared to MSF. This paper presents an experimental study on engineering properties of concrete reinforced with hybrid RTSF (0.5%–0.9% Vf) and polypropylene fibre (PPF, 0.1%–0.5% Vf). Results show that combining RTSF with PPF could compensate the serious workability loss caused by RTSF and the workability was improved by 38.9%–66.7%. However, the compressive, splitting tensile and flexural strengths were weakened significantly when PPF was over 0.3% Vf in hybrid fibre reinforcement (total content of 1.0% Vf). The strain field shown in digital image correlation images suggests that hybrid RTSF and PPF can create a synergistic effect in restraining the crack growth and the post-cracking behaviour of concrete especially toughness that was enhanced with the presence of PPF. RTSF was more effective in restraining shrinkage of concrete than PPF. With the increase of PPF content in hybrid fibre reinforcement, the chloride migration coefficient of concrete was reduced by 4.9%–6.8% as compared with the mixture reinforced with only RTSF.

© 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Given the fact that normal concrete is inherently brittle making it unsuitable for certain applications, e.g. structural elements subjected to potential dynamic loadings, fibre reinforced concrete (FRC) incorporating randomly distributed short fibres such as steel, polypropylene and glass fibres is introduced to mitigate the brittleness and potential cracking problem of conventional concrete. Nevertheless, mono fibre reinforcement (i.e. concrete reinforced with only one type of fibre) can only improve either strength or ductility of FRC (Pakravan et al., 2017). For instance, the incorporation of fibres with high modulus and strength such as steel and carbon fibres into concrete can effectively improves its strength while its ductility is not enhanced owing to the brittle nature of the fibres (Pakravan et al., 2017). However, utilizing polymeric fibres such as polypropylene fibre with low strength into concrete can significantly improve its ductility, crack-resistant behaviour and corrosion resistance (Feng et al., 2019; Pakravan and Ozbakkaloglu, 2019). Thus, applying hybrid fibre reinforcement (i.e. concrete reinforced with two or more different types of fibre) in concrete is considered as a promising solution to further improve the mechanical and durability performances of FRC through integrating the function of each reinforced fibre.

Among all the combinations of hybrid short fibre reinforcement in normal concrete, the combination of steel fibre (SF) and polypropylene fibre (PPF) is found to be the most effective one in improving the overall properties of the composite especially strength and ductility. In the past two decades, numerous studies (Afroughsabet et al., 2018; Afroushsabet and Ozbakkaloglu, 2015; Almusallam et al., 2016; Caggiano et al., 2016; de Alencar Monteiro et al., 2018; Feng et al., 2019; Li et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019a; Li et al., 2019b; Qian and Stroeven, 2000; Suhaendi and Horiguchi, 2006; Sun et al., 2001; Yao et al., 2003; Yermak et al., 2017) investigated the effect of hybrid SF and PPF with the total fibre volume fraction (Vf) of 0.5–3.6% on the mechanical properties and durability of FRC. For instance, Yao et al. (2003) examined the mechanical properties of concrete reinforced with hybrid hooked-end SF and PPF at the fibre dosage of 0.5 Vf and found that the incorporation of hybrid SF and PPF could create a synergetic effect in enhancing both strength and ductility of FRC as compared with the plain mixture, which is in agreement with de Alencar Monteiro et al. (2018) and Li et al. (2018) that both peak and post-peak behaviour of concrete...
reinforced with appropriate dosages of SF and PPF were significantly enhanced. It was also found that the utilization of both SF and PPF in concrete prevents it from spalling under fire attack mainly owing to the increased permeability (Li et al., 2019a, 2019b). However, a huge amount of raw materials (e.g. fossil fuel) and energy is required to produce the aforementioned fibres (Mastali et al., 2018a, 2018b; Onuaguluchi and Banthia, 2018), where the cost of the resultant composite increases with the reduction of sustainability, i.e. inevitable greenhouse gas emissions during the production of steel (Liew and Akbar, 2020). To mitigate the environmental issues and threat of natural resource shortage, an increasing number of studies have been carried out to utilize the recycled materials as the reinforcing fibre for concrete and among them, recycling the materials from the end-of-life tyres, e.g. steel and polymeric fibres to replace the manufactured fibres is one of the most recent attempts.

It was reported that more than 500 million waste tyres are landfilled (Thomas and Gupta, 2016) while limited land disposal sites would become one of the serious threats to human society (Wang et al., 2019). The accumulated solid tyre waste could also pose several challenges to the environment as it may induce fire or disease (Ramarad et al., 2015; Zhong et al., 2019). However, through certain processes such as rubber particles, steel and polymer fibres can be recovered (Gigli et al., 2019), which provides a potential way to effectively recycle a majority of waste tyres around the world. It is worth noting that steel is a major component of a tyre which accounts for around 13%–27% (Ramarad et al., 2015), implying that the effective usage of recycled tyre steel materials could significantly mitigate the potential problems caused by the waste tyres. For instance, recycling of waste tyres could stop around 1.52 tons of CO2 emissions yearly (Liew and Akbar, 2020). Up to now, many studies (Aiello et al., 2009; Centonze et al., 2012; Frazao et al., 2019; Grzymski et al., 2019; Leone et al., 2018; Skarzyński and Suchorzewski, 2018; Zamanzadeh et al., 2015) examined the effect of recycled tyre steel fibre (RTSF) content on the physical, mechanical and durability properties of FRC with a primary aim of seeking whether the manufactured steel fibre (MSF) could be replaced by RTSF. The majority of the studies indicated that the flexural behaviour particularly post-cracking performance of concrete reinforced with RTSF was comparable to that reinforced with MSF considering certain fibre content. Nevertheless, Skarzyński and Suchorzewski (2018) concluded that concrete mixture reinforced with RTSF exhibited poorer properties compared to that reinforced with MSF under the same fibre volume fraction because of the irregular dimension of RTSF. Grzymski et al. (2019) reported similar observation that the inclusion of RTSF led to the reduced energy absorption capacity of FRC after cracking in comparison with the addition of MSF, suggesting that the use of RTSF with an appropriate content in normal concrete is essential. On the other hand, an increasing number of studies (Bjegovic et al., 2014; Caggiano et al., 2017; Hu et al., 2018; Martinelli et al., 2015; Mastali et al., 2018a, 2018b; Onuaguluchi and Banthia, 2018) focused on the overall properties of concrete reinforced with hybrid MSF and RTSF, which found that partial replacement of MSF by RTSF could create synergistic effects leading to the improvements in tensile strength, ductility and impact resistance whereas the properties of the resultant FRC were negatively influenced when the content of RTSF was excessive. Frazao et al. (2019) concluded that RTSF was more susceptible to corrosion than MSF, which may hinder the application of RTSF-FRC in aggressive environment, e.g. marine. It was reported that PPF has better performance to resist corrosion and improve the ductility, thus, integrating PPF with RTSF has potential to mitigate the aforementioned issues drawn by RTSF while maintaining the benefits induced by RTSF, e.g. strength. To the best of the authors’ knowledge, only one relevant study (Mastali et al., 2018a) evaluated the effect of hybrid RTSF and PPF on the mechanical properties of self-consolidating concrete with the total fibre content of 1.5% Vf. However, through assessing the effects of three different hybrid combinations, i.e. 0.5% Vf of PPF + 1.0% Vf of RTSF, 0.75% Vf of PPF + 0.75% Vf of RTSF, and 1.0% Vf of PPF + 0.5% Vf of RTSF, it was observed that excessive content of PPF weakened the mechanical properties of FRC mainly due to the weak bonding between fibre and matrix (Mastali et al., 2018a), implying that PPF was not suitable to be used as primary fibre in hybrid fibre reinforcement, i.e. accounting for the major part of hybrid system. However, durability-related properties (e.g. resistance to chloride migration) were not assessed and more different hybrid combinations are required. In order to further investigate the feasibility of combining RTSF as sustainable material with synthetic PPF in concrete, it is vital to conduct an extensive research on the effect of more hybrid combinations (i.e. RTSF as primary fibre) on the engineering properties of concrete.

The main purpose of this study is to provide a comprehensive understanding of the effect of hybrid RTSF and PPF on the engineering properties of concrete considering five hybrid combinations with a total fibre content of 1.0% Vf. Concrete mixtures without fibre reinforcement and reinforced with 1.0% Vf were considered as the reference mixtures. Firstly, a series of tests were carried out to estimate the effects of mono and hybrid fibre reinforcements on the workability, compressive strength, flexural behaviour and drying shrinkage of concrete. The evolution of full strain field under different loading levels and flexural failure of studied mixtures were explored using a non-contact measurement, i.e. digital image correlation (DIC). The interaction between fibre and matrix at the cracking zone was then observed using a digital microscope. Finally, rapid chloride migration (RCM) test was conducted to estimate the effect of different hybrid fibre reinforcements on the durability-related performance of concrete.

## 2. Experimental programme

### 2.1. Raw materials

CEM I 52.5N Portland cement was used as the main binder material in this study, the fineness of which was 366 m²/kg and its chemical composition is listed in Table 1. Fine and coarse aggregates were Thames valley sand and crushed granites, respectively, which were used in saturated surface dry (SSD) condition whilst mixing. Modified polycarboxylate-based superplasticizers were used to improve the fluidity of mixtures, where the content was set as 0.55% by the mass of cement content. RTSF (see Fig. 1a and b) (Zhong et al., 2019) and PPF (see Fig. 1c and d) were used as reinforcements in this study. The properties of RTSF and PPF are presented in Table 2.

### 2.2. Mixture proportions and specimen preparation

Table 3 shows the designated mix proportions of all mixtures that had a fixed water-to-binder (w/b) ratio of 0.40. In total, six FRC mixtures were proposed here. Five hybrid fibre reinforced mixtures were studied with the total fibre content of 1.0% Vf where the fibre volume fraction of RTSF and PPF was 0.5%–0.9% and 0.1%–0.5%, respectively. Mixtures without fibre incorporation (i.e. F0) and with

| Table 1: Chemical compositions (wt%) of Portland cement (PC). |
|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Oxide             | SiO₂   | Al₂O₃  | Fe₂O₃  | K₂O    | CaO    | MgO    | Na₂O   | SO₃    | LOI    |
| PC                | 20.18  | 4.87   | 3.23   | 0.68   | 63.35  | 1.09   | 0.13   | 2.96   | 1.64   |
1.0% $V_f$ of RTSF (i.e. RS1.0) were considered as reference mixtures. With respect to the symbol shown in Table 3, ‘F’, ‘RS’ and ‘PP’ represents fibre, recycled steel and polypropylene, respectively. The denoted number (e.g. 1.0 and 0.9) is the corresponding fibre content. For instance, RS0.9PP0.1 denotes the FRC incorporating 0.9% $V_f$ of RTSF and 0.1% $V_f$ of PPF.

Fig. 2 shows the adopted mixing procedure for both plain and FRC mixtures. After mixing, fresh mixtures were poured into different steel moulds and then covered by a polyethylene sheet for avoiding excessive water evaporation. After 24 h, all specimens were de-moulded and cured in water tank with a temperature of 20 °C, except the samples used for drying shrinkage test.

### 2.3. Testing methods

#### 2.3.1. Workability

Slump test was conducted to determine the workability of all mixtures according to ASTM 143-15a (2015). The slump is interpreted as the vertical displacement between the top of the mould and the centre of the top surface of the sample.
2.3.2. Compressive strength
In accordance with BS EN 12390-3 (2009), the compressive strength of each mixture was evaluated by a universal testing machine using 100 mm cubes at the testing ages of 7, 28 and 56 d.

2.3.3. Splitting tensile strength
Following ASTM C496 (2011), the splitting tensile strength test was performed on three cylindrical specimens (i.e. 100 mm diameter with a height of 200 mm) at 28 d.

2.3.4. Flexural behaviour
Four-point bending tests on three prismatic samples (100 x 100 x 500 mm) at 28 d were conducted as per ASTM C1018 (1997) to determine the flexural behaviour of all mixtures, where the previous work (Zhong et al., 2019) found that this standard was more suitable for describing the flexural behaviour of FRC with deflection-hardening feature. A controlled displacement rate of 1 mm/min was adopted for all flexural testing in this study.

Three-dimensional DIC system was used to evaluate the crack propagation and full strain field of the tested concrete in this study. The main setup of DIC test is shown in Fig. 3. As seen in Fig. 3a, two cameras (Imager M-lite 5M CMOS) with a resolution of 2464 x 2056 pixels and a focal length of 35 mm were adopted for capturing the images whilst flexural testing with an image rate of 1 Hz, i.e. one image per second. The received data was processed in software called ‘StrainMaster’ as shown in Fig. 3d. With respect to the preparation of the tested specimen, a random speckle pattern (i.e. white paint as the base with randomly distributed black dots on the top) was made on its surface (see Fig. 3b). A calibration test was conducted prior to the DIC measurement. Regarding the accuracy of displacement on a point-to-point basis for translational movements, the residual fit error was in the range of 0.025–0.05 pixel. For the calculation processed in ‘StrainMaster’, the subset size was adjusted as 60 pixels with the aim of covering around 3 to 5 speckle patterns in each subset. In addition, the correlation mode was set as ‘Relative to first’. Finally, the full transverse strain field of each mixture whilst the entire flexural loading was accordingly evaluated. By considering the full strain field under different loading levels, the crack propagation of each mixture was attained.

Flexural parameters including toughness indices (I5, I10 and I20) and residual strength factors (R5,10 and R10,20) were derived from the load-deflection curve based on ASTM C1018 (1997).

2.3.5. Drying shrinkage
The drying shrinkage of all mixtures was evaluated according to ASTM C490 (2017). Fresh samples without coarse aggregates were poured into the mould with the size of 50 x 50 x 285 mm. After demoulding, the original length of each specimen was immediately recorded using a comparator with an accuracy of 0.01 mm. Thereafter, all specimens were exposed to the environment with a temperature of 20 ± 2 °C and a relative humidity (RH) of 55 ± 5%. The length of each specimen at various curing ages was measured to determine the drying shrinkage.

2.3.6. Rapid chloride migration test
RCM test mainly consists of three stages. Before the first stage, the cylindrical sample with a diameter of 100 mm and a height of 200 mm was sawed into smaller pieces with a height of 50 mm as the tested sample. The first stage involves the preconditioning of the tested sample. The specimens were placed in a vacuum desiccator for 3 h. After that, with the vacuum pump still running, the calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)2) solution was added into the vacuum desiccator to fully immerse the specimens and then continue the vacuum treatment for another 1 h (see Fig. 4a) before allowing the air re-enter the vacuum desiccator. Thereafter, the specimens were maintained in the vacuum for another 18 ± 2 h before the test. In the second stage (see Fig. 4b), the specimens were fit into the rubber sleeves and were then secured with 2 clamps. Afterwards, the specimens were exposed to anolyte solution, i.e. 0.3 M sodium hydroxide (NaOH), and placed on top of a plastic support inside the container filled with 10% sodium chloride (NaCl) as catholyte solution. The voltage on the power supply was set to 30 V and the initial current was recorded. The initial temperature in each anolyte solution was measured using a thermometer. The test duration and the next voltage were evaluated based on the value of initial current. Herein, the new voltage was the value which was applied to force the chloride ions to penetrate the specimen. Finally, the specimens were split into half and the one with a smoother surface was sprayed by 0.1 M silver nitrate (AgNO3). Thereafter, the penetration depth was accordingly measured. As per NT BUILD 492 (1999), the chloride migration coefficient was determined as:

\[
D_{\text{nm}} = \frac{0.0239 (273 + T) I_t}{(U - 2)t} \left( L_p - 0.0238 \sqrt{\frac{(273 + T)L_t L_p}{U - 2}} \right)
\]

where \(D_{\text{nm}}\) is the non-steady-state migration coefficient (×10^{-12} m²/s), \(U\) is the absolute value of the applied voltage (V), \(T\) is the average initial and final temperature in anolyte solution (ºC), \(t\) is the test duration (h), \(L_t\) is the thickness of the tested sample (50 mm), and \(L_p\) is the average penetration depth (mm).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Workability

Fig. 5 shows the slump of all mixtures that corresponds to the workability of concrete. It can be observed that the addition of
fibres reduced the slump of concrete independent of fibre reinforcement type (mono or hybrid). RS1.0 presented a slump of only 90 mm, which was around 59.1% lower than that of concrete without fibre incorporation (F0). The reduction in workability caused by either RTSF or PPF incorporation agreed well with previous studies on RTSF (Centonze et al., 2012; Baricevic et al., 2017) and PPF (Yap et al., 2013; Mazzoli et al., 2015) that the addition of fibres increased the shear resistance of fresh concrete mixture to flow owing to the contact mechanism between fibre and matrix resulting in a reduction in concrete flowability (Grünewald, 2012). The workability of FRC could be influenced by various factors including fibre shape, surface area of fibre, fibre aspect ratio and fibre dosage (Pakravan and Ozbakkaloglu, 2019; Ranjbar and Zhang, 2020). The geometry of RTSF shown in Fig. 1a is not uniform, which may intensify the contact network between fibre and matrix. Thus, a more significant reduction in workability may be observed for concrete reinforced with RTSF. In addition, the workability of FRC can be also affected by the critical fibre content, while this value varies between different fibres (e.g. reduced with increasing fibre aspect ratio) (Ranjbar and Zhang, 2020). When the fibre dosage exceeds this critical value, the possibility of fibre clamping or
bailing could be enhanced leading to uneven fibre distribution and more pronounced reduction of flowability (Ranjbar and Zhang, 2020). As seen in Fig. 5, replacing RTSF by 0.1% V_f of PPF led to an increased slump by around 66.7%, as compared with RS1.0. However, further replacement of RTSF by PPF weakened the positive influence in workability (i.e. decreased by approximately 3.33%–16.7% when the content of PPF was increased from 0.1% to 0.5% V_f, as compared with RS0.9PP0.1). Afroughsabet et al. (2018) observed a similar phenomenon that replacing MSF by 0.15%–0.3% V_f of PPF enhanced the workability of FRC compared to that reinforced with mono MSF, whereas further replacement (e.g. 0.45% V_f) reduced the slump by around 37.5%. This may imply that considering the workability, 0.1%–0.2% V_f can be regarded as the critical PPF content in this study. It can be still suggested that the hybrid combination of RTSF and PPF could compensate the workability loss caused by RTSF, as the workability of RS0.5PP0.5 was still around 38.9% higher than that of RS1.0.

3.2. Compressive and splitting tensile strength

Fig. 6 shows the effect of fibres on the compressive strength of concrete at 7, 28 and 56 d. Apart from the mixestures reinforced with only RTSF (RS1.0), the hybrid combination of RTSF and PPF weakened the compressive strength compared to the mixture without fibre inclusion (F0). The addition of fibres could result in either enhancement or reduction in compressive strength of plain concrete, which can be attributed to the different effects caused by the fibres, e.g. crack arresting, increased air voids, etc. (Mastali et al., 2018a). As seen in Fig. 6, the compressive strength of RS1.0 was improved by 4.05%–8.19% at various curing ages in comparison with that of F0 suggesting that RTSF did not induce significant improvement in compressive strength of concrete, which is consistent with the findings by Ahmadi et al. (2017) and Sengul (2016). Additionally, increasing the PPF dosage from 0.1% to 0.5% V_f in hybrid combination, the compressive strength was decreased by approximately 1.22%–38.7% as compared with F0. Similar results were reported in previous studies (Afroughsabet et al., 2018; Afroughsabet and Ozbakkaloglu, 2015; Feng et al., 2019; Mastali et al., 2018a) that the compressive strength was reduced with the increasing PPF dosage (0.15%–1.5% V_f) in hybrid MSF/RTSF and PPF reinforcement. This can be mainly caused by the poorer fibre dispersion that may lead to fibre agglomeration when the critical fibre concentration dosage is exceeded as well as increased porosity and decreased compactness within the internal structure of concrete (see Section 3.1). Thus, the local fractures can be more easily formed near the fibres as the local fractures easily appear near the pores of micro cracks (Ranjbar et al., 2016), leading to the reduced compressive strength of FRC (Ranjbar and Zhang, 2020). However, RS0.9PP0.1 exhibited comparable compressive strength to that of F0 (i.e. no more than 3.87% difference at 28 d), which implies that adding an appropriate content of PPF in hybrid fibre combination would not significantly affect the compressive strength of concrete.

Fig. 7 shows the effect of fibres on the splitting tensile strength of concrete at 28 d. Similar to the results of compressive strength, mixture reinforced with 1.0% V_f of RTSF (RS1.0) achieved the highest splitting tensile strength of around 7.3 MPa, which was over 100% higher than that of mixture without fibre addition (F0). Previous studies (Ahmadi et al., 2017; Mastali et al., 2018a, 2018b) indicated that the splitting tensile strength of FRC containing RTSF (1.0%–1.5% V_f) was increased by about 66.7% at 28 d, which can be mainly attributed to the high efficiency of fibres in bridging the diametric splitting crack (Sivakumar and Santhanam, 2007). In contrast, as observed in Fig. 7, the hybrid usage of RTSF and PPF in concrete showed lower splitting tensile strength in comparison with mono RTSF reinforcement in concrete (i.e. approximately 32.2%–62.5% lower), which shows good agreement with previous studies (Afroughsabet and Ozbakkaloglu, 2015; Mastali et al., 2018a; Yao et al., 2003) that RTSF or MSF presented higher efficiency than PPF in bridging the crack under splitting tensile loading. Despite the increased porosity and reduced integrity of the composite containing PPF, it is worth noting that PPF normally exhibits hydrophobic characteristics and is difficult to be strongly adhered to cementitious materials (Pakravan and Ozbakkaloglu, 2019). Thus, the interfacial bonding between PPF and matrix would be lower than that between RTSF and matrix. When the cracks initiate within concrete, the PPF with relatively lower stiffness could arrest and restrain the micro-crack, while the slippage or de-bonding of PPF may occur as the crack propagates resulting in the reduced load-carrying capacity of the whole composite. However, the addition of PPF up to 0.2% V_f in hybrid fibre reinforcement resulted in better splitting tensile strength as compared with F0 (i.e. around 15.7%–36.7% higher), while further addition of PPF led to
reduction in splitting tensile strength. This implies that 0.2% Vf can be considered as the upper limit of PPF content in the usage of hybrid RTSF and PPF reinforcement if solely considering splitting tensile strength.

3.3. Flexural behaviour

3.3.1. Load–deflection behaviour

A typical load–deflection curve of FRC is shown in Fig. 8, where the end point of the linearity (point A) was referred to as the first-peak load while the flexural strength was calculated based on the peak load (point B). Unlike plain concrete, FRC may exhibit deflection-hardening response and can sustain further loading after cracking occurs. With certain type of fibre reinforcement (e.g. PPF), FRC may also present deflection-softening feature pointing out the improvement in ductility in comparison with the plain concrete.

Fig. 9 illustrates the load–deflection relationships of all mixtures. It can be clearly seen that plain concrete (F0) failed immediately after reaching the elastic limit point (point A or B in Fig. 8) at around 0.799 mm, while all FRCs exhibited similar responses as that shown in Fig. 8. However, reinforcing the concrete with fibres did not induce a pronounced improvement in first-crack load as it is mainly influenced by the matrix strength (Niu et al., 2019). The flexural strength determined based on point B in Fig. 8 is presented in Fig. 10. Considering the fibre effect on the flexural strength, RTSF was effective in enhancing the flexural strength of concrete matrix (i.e. increased by about 116.8% when the concrete reinforced with 1.0% Vf of RTSF), which showed well agreement with previous studies (Ahmadi et al., 2017; Hu et al., 2018; Mastali et al., 2018a). This was also in consistence with previous discussion on splitting tensile strength that RTSF was more efficient in sustaining the load in the presence of cracks. As observed in Fig. 10, partially replacing RTSF with PPF from 0.1% to 0.3% Vf in concrete showed comparable flexural strength to that of F0 (i.e. no more than 5.26% difference), while further replacement induced greater reduction (up to about 21.7%) in flexural strength. As explained previously, the reasons causing this reduction can be associated with the properties and surface condition of PPF, where greater use of PPF could increase the porosity of FRC and reduce its integrity (i.e. especially when the fibre concentration dosage is exceeded). The weak interaction with the cementitious matrix led to the reduced load-carrying capacity of the whole composite, but the slippage and de-bonding of the PPF at the continuously opening crack still contributed to the enhanced energy absorption (Halvaei et al., 2015; Ranbar and Zhang, 2020). Splitting tensile strength and flexural strength are commonly used to indirectly evaluate the tensile strength of concrete. Thus, considering the indirect tensile strength of FRC, the upper limit of PPF content (Vf) in hybrid fibre reinforcement is regarded as 0.2% as adding such dosage improved the splitting tensile strength of plain concrete matrix by about 15.7% and did not lead to pronounced negative effect on the flexural strength (i.e. no more than 0.6% reduction). Nevertheless, a relatively large deviation in flexural strength was identified for RS0.7PP0.3 and RS0.6PP0.4, respectively (see Fig. 10), while Grzymski et al. (2019) observed around 25% variation regarding the mechanical properties of individual RTSF (i.e. unstable performance observed in concrete reinforced with RTSF). This can be ascribed to the irregular geometry and partial damage of RTSF after the recycling process (i.e. mechanical recycling), where the RTSFs are mainly recycled through mechanical shredding or cryogenic process (Liew and Akbar, 2020; Skarżyński...
and Suchorzewski, 2018). The damaged or non-uniform RTSF may negatively influence the performance of FRC due to the increased shear resistance, reduced anchorage at the ends and partially corroded section (Caggiano et al., 2017; Grzymski et al., 2019; Hu et al., 2018; Skarżyński and Suchorzewski, 2018). Thus, large deviations can be found in Fig. 10 as the performance of individual RTSF was not consistent within a concrete mixture. Moreover, a high dosage of PPF can also affect the integrity of the whole composite (see Section 3.1).

Regarding the post-cracking performance, deflection softening features can also be observed in all FRC indicating that the ductility of plain concrete matrix was enhanced through the incorporation of fibres. As seen in Fig. 9, replacing RTSF with PPF from 0.1% to 0.3% \(v_f\) led to the ultimate deflection between 6.71 and 9.12 mm, in which these replacement levels did not result in conspicuous enhancement in ultimate deflection. However, with the further addition of PPF (i.e. 0.4% and 0.5% \(v_f\)), the ultimate deflection of FRC was reached up to around 13.58 mm which was 42.8% higher than that of RS1.0. This implies that a synergistic effect in post-cracking behaviour could be formed through combining RTSF and PPF in concrete.

To critically understand the difference of crack-evolution between plain concrete mixture and FRC, a non-contact method, DIC analysis, was employed. Fig. 11 presents the typical three zones identified in DIC image for tensile strain analysis (Niu et al., 2019). In zone 1 (uniform zone), the cracks are not formed with the lowest longitudinal strain while the fibre slipping often occurs in the zone 2, known as fracture process zone. The main crack is located in zone 3 (localization zone) where the fibre-bridging behaviour plays a dominant role in this zone. Since F0 failed very quickly in the elastic stage, only one DIC image corresponding to either point A or B shown in Fig. 8 is presented in Fig. 12. Figs. 13 and 14 illustrate the DIC images indicating the evolution of flexural failure of RS1.0 and RS0.7PP0.3, respectively, in accordance with the key stages shown in Fig. 8. For fair comparison between different mixtures, all DIC images were processed using the same scale and colour. As seen in Fig. 12, red and yellow colours were observed in the strain field showing a clear crack with only one crack tip (i.e. coloured spike) located in the uniform zone. In contrast, with the inclusion of 1.0% \(v_f\) of RTSF, no obvious crack tip was identified in the first-cracking point (point A shown in Fig. 8) with the reducing strain (i.e. the blue coloured area), pointing out that very tiny crack was initiated in point A for RS1.0. This further implies that RTSF can better disperse the stress due to the intrinsic defects and shrinkage while the external main crack is not formed. Meanwhile, randomly distributed fibres could bridge the crack relieving the stress from the matrix. However, PPF can only resist the growth of smaller micro-crack because of its weaker contact with the matrix and lower tensile properties (i.e. small pull-out load). As the loading increases, the main crack gradually propagates, and the fibre bridging plays an essential role in improving the flexural behaviour. Fibre slipping and de-bonding may occur when the interaction between fibre and matrix is low (i.e. may arise at the interface of PPF and matrix). Fibre rupture arises when the accumulated stress overcomes the fibre reinforcement limit when the fibre is strongly adhered to the matrix (i.e. may be observed at the interface of RTSF and matrix). Both mechanisms can lead to the enhanced post-cracking performance especially energy absorption capacity, but the amount of energy absorption is affected by various factors such as fibre number and fibre spacing around a certain crack. To sum up, the overall fibre behaviour greatly contributes to the enhanced flexural behaviour of FRC, where the enhancement is more pronounced when more fibres are aligned perpendicular to the loading direction (Zhong et al., 2019).

Fig. 11. Three zones identified in DIC image for tensile strain analysis (reproduced from Niu et al. (2019)).
3.3.2. Flexural toughness and residual strength factor

Toughness indices ($I_5$, $I_{10}$ and $I_{20}$) calculated based on ASTM C1018 (1997) were used to evaluate the flexural behaviour of FRC after the first-peak load. In practice, structure with enough toughness can avoid the sudden failure without any warnings which increases its overall safety. The toughness indices of all FRC mixtures are listed in Table 4. No toughness indices were reported for F0 as it failed very quickly within the elastic region. As seen in Table 4, incorporating hybrid RTSF and PPF into concrete changed its toughness indices as compared with RS1.0, whereas no consistent trend can be found when the fibre dosage altered. Generally, except RS0.9PP0.1, increasing PPF content (i.e. decreasing RTSF content) resulted in enhanced toughness indices. Among them, RS0.5PP0.5 attained the highest $I_5$, $I_{10}$ and $I_{20}$ of 7.09, 13.95 and

Fig. 12. DIC image at final failure point of F0 corresponding to point A or B in Fig. 8.

Fig. 13. DIC images showing the evolution of flexural failure for RS1.0 corresponding to key stages in Fig. 8.
23.37, respectively, which was around 1.44, 3.09 and 3.42 higher than that of RS1.0. Previous studies (Caggiano et al., 2017; Martinelli et al., 2015) reported that increasing RTSF content led to reduced toughness indices primarily due to the inconsistent efficiency of each RTSF (after recycling process) within a concrete mixture (see Section 3.3.1) leading to unstable performance between each specimen and large deviation. Moreover, as mentioned before, RTSF may experience breakage or rupture during the fibre pull-out process due to its higher bonding strength with the cementitious matrix or its irregular geometry (Frazão et al., 2019). Thus, the ultimate deflection or area under the load-deflection curve would be lower resulting in reduced toughness properties (Halvaei et al., 2015). If RTSF and PPF can be well orientated within the mixture, a positive synergy can be formed, which increases the toughening mechanism of FRC.

Fig. 18 illustrates the effect of fibres on the residual strength factors of concrete where these factors can be considered as the important measures of ductility (Zhong et al., 2019). A similar phenomenon can be noticed that there was no consistent trend in terms of residual strength factors when the PPF dosage increased from 0.1% to 0.5% \( V_f \). As seen in Fig. 18, RS0.5PP0.5 also achieved the highest \( R_{5,10} \) and \( R_{10,20} \) of approximately 137.3 and 94.2, respectively, which outperformed RS1.0 with \( R_{5,10} \) and \( R_{10,20} \) of 104.2 and 90.9, respectively. In general, as seen in Fig. 19, the incorporation of fibres reduced the drying shrinkage independent of fibre reinforcement type. All mixtures exhibited large drying shrinkage at the early age between 140.4 and 350.9 \( \mu \)ɛ, while the shrinkage strain rate decreased with the increase of curing age. It is worth pointing out that RS1.0 consistently showed the lowest drying shrinkage which achieved around 959.1 \( \mu \)ɛ at 28 d, i.e. about 18.8% lower than that of F0. This finding is consistent with Al-musawi et al. (2019) that RTSF with high tensile strength can bridge the crack induced by shrinkage, which transfers the stress leading to the enhancement in tensile strength. Regarding the hybrid fibre combination, increasing the PPF content did not induce a consistent trend in reducing the drying shrinkage. Afroughsabet et al. (2018) found similar phenomenon in concrete reinforced with MSF and PPF. Apart from RS1.0, RS0.9PP0.1, RS0.7PP0.3 and RS0.5PP0.5 presented comparable behaviour in drying shrinkage, where the
Fig. 15. DIC images at final failure points of all mixtures corresponding to point F in Fig. 8.

Fig. 16. Picture showing the fibre bridging in fibre reinforced concrete.
corresponding 28-d drying shrinkage was 12.9%, 10.9% and 10.9% smaller than that of F0. The inconsistent trend after adding PPF can be also associated with the fibre orientation and poor compaction of the whole composite (Ranjbar and Zhang, 2020): the more fibres align perpendicular to the shrinkage-induced crack, the lower the drying shrinkage.

### 3.5. Rapid chloride migration coefficient

Chloride diffusion or migration coefficient is an important property of FRC related to the long-term durability of reinforced concrete structures, which can be affected by various factors such as volume fraction, size distribution and connectivity of pores and microcracks within FRC (Teng et al., 2018). Fig. 20 illustrates the

![Fig. 17. Fibre bridging mechanism of fibre reinforced concrete under flexural loading.](image)

![Table 4. Toughness indices of fibre reinforced concrete.](image)

| Mixture      | $I_5$  | Standard deviation | $I_{10}$ | Standard deviation | $I_{20}$ | Standard deviation |
|--------------|--------|--------------------|----------|--------------------|----------|--------------------|
| RS1.0        | 5.65   | 0.35               | 10.86    | 0.57               | 19.95    | 0.89               |
| RS0.9PP0.1   | 5.48   | 0.04               | 8.79     | 0.53               | 13.31    | 0.87               |
| RS0.8PP0.2   | 6.19   | 0.13               | 10.72    | 0.32               | 15.70    | 0.31               |
| RS0.7PP0.3   | 7.06   | 0.89               | 13.82    | 1.99               | 22.99    | 3.24               |
| RS0.6PP0.4   | 5.82   | 0.02               | 10.46    | 0.06               | 15.99    | 0.76               |
| RS0.5PP0.5   | 7.09   | 0.57               | 13.95    | 1.51               | 23.37    | 2.37               |

![Fig. 18. Effect of fibres on residual strength factors of concrete.](image)

![Fig. 19. Effect of fibres on drying shrinkage of concrete.](image)
effect of fibres on the chloride migration coefficient ($D_{\text{nom}}$) at 28 d, which was classified into different resistance levels according to Table 5. As seen in Fig. 20, the $D_{\text{nom}}$ of mixture without fibre reinforcement (F0) was around $8.27 \times 10^{-12}$ m²/s which can be classified as 'high' in terms of the resistance to chloride penetration. However, the incorporation of fibres increased the $D_{\text{nom}}$ of concrete, which was in the range of $10.03 \times 10^{-12}$ to $10.76 \times 10^{-12}$ m²/s. RS1.0 exhibited the highest $D_{\text{nom}}$ which was in agreement with previous studies (Song et al., 2018; Teng et al., 2018) that the high conductivity of SFs significantly contributed to the increment of $D_{\text{nom}}$ as RCM test is conducted based on the passing of electric current within the concrete. Adding more SFs would increase the number of connected fibres and accordingly the current through concrete would be increased as well as $D_{\text{nom}}$. On the other hand, replacing RTSF by PPF gradually reduced the $D_{\text{nom}}$. Adding PPF content from 0.1% to 0.5% $V_f$ decreased the $D_{\text{nom}}$ by around 4.9%–6.8% compared to RS1.0, which can be ascribed to the decreased inner conductivity of pores within the concrete. A similar observation was reported by Afroughsabet et al. (2018). In addition, the resistance of chloride migration can be classified as 'high' when the PPF content in hybrid fibre combination ranges from 0.3% to 0.5% $V_f$.

4. Conclusions

This study investigated the engineering properties of concrete reinforced with recycled tyre steel fibre (RTSF) and polypropylene fibre (PPF) to evaluate the feasibility of using RTSF as primary fibre in hybrid fibre reinforced concrete with a total fibre content of 1.0% $V_f$. Based on the experimental results, the conclusions can be drawn as follows:

- Regardless of fibre reinforcement type (mono or hybrid), the incorporation of fibres reduced the workability of concrete. RTSF resulted in more significant effect on workability as replacing RTSF with PPF from 0.1% to 0.5% $V_f$ induced obvious improvement in workability.
- The compressive strengths were found to be slightly enhanced by adding RTSF only in concrete while combining RTSF with PPF in concrete resulted in a reduction. Considering the splitting tensile strength, 0.2% $V_f$ was the upper limit for PPF content in hybrid fibre reinforcement as further addition of PPF decreased the splitting tensile strength.
- RTSF was more effective in improving the flexural strength of concrete (i.e. increased by 116.8% when adding RTSF only), whereas replacing RTSF with PPF did not induce pronounced change in flexural strength. Nevertheless, RS0.5PP0.5 significantly improved the post-cracking behaviour of concrete in terms of toughness indices and residual strength factors.
- Digital image correlation image analysis indicated that the incorporation of fibres into concrete delayed the crack propagation via fibre-bridging mechanism and more derivative cracks along the main crack were observed when combining RTSF with PPF in concrete primarily due to the smaller fibre spacing of PPF.
- The incorporation of fibres significantly reduced the drying shrinkage of concrete where RTSF was the most effective in restraining the drying shrinkage. On the other hand, the resistance to chloride migration was improved when increasing the PPF content in hybrid fibre reinforcement, in which the chloride migration coefficient of hybrid fibre reinforced concrete was 4.9%–6.8% lower than that of mono fibre reinforced concrete.

The effective usage of RTSF in concrete can improve the sustainability of the construction industry via mitigating the environmental impact and natural resource shortage caused by landfilled solid waste tyre and production of manufactured steel fibre (MSF). The incorporation of RTSF into concrete led to the reduced ductility and corrosion resistance in comparison with the use of MSF in concrete. Thus, this study discussed the use of RTSF as primary fibre along with synthetic PPF to enhance the engineering properties of concrete under controlled total fibre content (1.0% $V_f$). The results suggest that hybrid RTSF and PPF can improve the energy absorption capacity and chloride migration resistance while maintaining the benefits caused by RTSF. The suitable PPF content was regarded as 0.1%–0.3% $V_f$. However, the potentially damaged RTSF after the recycling process and its irregular geometry may lead to unstable performance of the resultant composite (e.g. large deviation). Therefore, for certain applications, the dimension and dosage of RTSF should be carefully considered during the mix design.
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