Functional and protein-protein interaction network analysis of colorectal cancer induced by ulcerative colitis
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Abstract. Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a well-recognized complication of ulcerative colitis (UC), and patients with UC have a higher incidence of CRC, compared with the general population. However, the properties of CRC induced by UC have not been clarified using an interaction network to analyze and compare gene sets. In the present study, six microarray datasets of CRC and UC were extracted from the Array Express database, and gene signatures were identified using the genome-wide relative significance (GWRS) method. Functional analysis was performed based on the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database. Prediction of the genes and microRNA were performed using a hypergeometric method. A protein-protein interaction (PPI) network was constructed using the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/proteins, and clusters were obtained through the Molecular Complex Detection algorithm. Topological centrality and a novel analyzing method, based on the rank value of GWGS, were used to characterize the biological importance of the clusters. A total of 217 differentially expressed (DE) genes of CRC were identified, 341 DE genes were identified in UC, and 62 common genes existed in the two. Several KEGG pathways were the same in CRC and UC. Collagenase, progesterone, heparin, urokinase, nadh and adenosine drugs demonstrated potential for use in treatment of CRC and UC. In the PPI network of CRC, 210 nodes and 752 edges were observed, whereas 314 nodes and 882 edges were identified in UC. Cluster 3 in UC had the highest GWGS, while the topological centrality of Cluster 3 in UC had the lowest degree and betweenness. PPI network analysis provided an effective way to estimate and understand the likelihood of the potential connections between proteins/genes. The results obtained following the use of GWGS to analyze differences between clusters did not agree with the topological degree and betweenness centrality, which indicated that gene fold change based GWGS was controversial with degree here in CRC and UC.

Introduction

There is convincing evidence from previous studies that patients with ulcerative colitis (UC) have a higher incidence of colorectal cancer (CRC), compared with the general population (1). The increased incidence occurs predominantly in patients with long-standing extensive colitis (2). Although CRC induced by UC only accounts for 1% of all cases of CRC in the general population, it is a serious sequel of the disease and accounts for one sixth of the mortality rate in patients with UC in Asia (3).

Multiple existing genome association approaches have been suggested to account for the mechanism of CRC (4,5), particularly its induction by UC, by identifying the independent effects of individual genes (6). Suzuki et al identified a group of genes, which were preferentially hypermethylated in CRC, including SFRP1 (7). In a genome-scale analysis, 16% of colorectal carcinomas were found to be hypermutated and, excluding the hypermutated types of cancer, colon and rectal types of cancer had considerably similar patterns of genomic alteration (8). However, investigations focussing on the effects of individual gene has omitted genes, which are not only encoded as individual genes or proteins, but also as subnetworks of interacting proteins within a larger human protein-protein interaction (PPI) network in the human genome (9). As a result, several mechanisms of human disease, including CRC remain to be elucidated.

The availability of large protein networks provides one method to, at least partially, address the challenges mentioned above. Since large protein networks are available for humans (10), a number of approaches have been demonstrated for extracting relevant functional pathways, based on the relevant databases (11). Following the measurement of sufficient protein interaction data, a large number of distinct functional pathways can be identified, which enable novel opportunities for elucidating the pathways involved in major diseases and pathologies (10,12). Investigations account for properties in interaction networks, and it has been reported that clustering with overlapping neighborhood expansion can be used as a method for detecting potentially overlapping protein complexes from a PPI network (13).

Network enrichment and topological analysis identifies the target gene set within its interaction environment and identifies
possible gene cofactors and topologically associated pathways and processes (14). Several groups have suggested a more effective method of combining gene expression measurements in groups of genes that fall within certain pathways. Several approaches have been suggested to score known pathways or sub-networks on the coherency of expression changes among their member genes. For example, Chuang et al identified the markers of metastasis within gene expression profiles (15), which involved the identification of gene alterations and prediction of the likelihood of metastasis in unknown samples using a protein-network-based approach. Pržulj et al performed a systematic graph theory-based analysis of this PPI network to construct computational models for describing and predicting the properties of life-threatening mutations and proteins involved in genetic interactions, functional groups, protein complexes and signaling pathways (16). However, few investigations combining gene expression and network properties for measurements of groups of genes that fall within pathways and sub-networks have been performed.

The aim of the present study was to determine the formation mechanism of CRC induced by UC, using a combination of methods for the measurement of gene expression (genome-wide global significance; GWGS) and centralities. The analysis pipeline included analysis of differentially expressed (DE) genes, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) enrichment, construction of PPI networks, module detection, measurements of topological factors, determination of GWGS values, and predictions of drug genes and miRNA target genes.

Materials and methods

Identification of gene expression datasets. A total of six datasets, including E-GEOD-6731 (17), E-GEOD-36807, E-GEOD-38713 (18), E-GEOD-41258 (19), E-GEOD-4183 (20), and E-MTAB-57 (21), were extracted from the Array Express database (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress/). For UC, the E-GEOD-6731 dataset consisted of four normal controls and nine patients; the E-GEOD-36807 dataset consisted of seven normal controls and 15 patients; the E-GEOD-38713 dataset consisted of 13 normal controls and 30 patients; the E-GEOD-41258 CRC dataset consisted of 100 normal controls and 290 patients; the E-GEOD-4183 data consisted of 18 normal controls and 35 patients; and the E-MTAB-57 dataset consisted of 22 normal controls and 25 patients.

Integrated analysis of DE genes. The fold-change (FC) based approach of EASE was as follows (3):

\[ p = \frac{\binom{n}{a} \binom{a+c}{a+b}}{\binom{n}{a+b}} \]

where \( n \) is the number of background genes; \( a' \) is the number of one gene set in the gene lists; \( a' + b \) is the number of genes in the gene list, which include at least one gene set; \( a' + c \) is the gene number of one gene list in the background genes; \( a \) is replaced with \( a' = a - 1 \).

Predictions of drug genes and miRNA targets. The present study performed drug gene and miRNA target prediction using a Web-based gene set analysis toolkit (WebGestalt; http://bioinfo.vanderbilt.edu/webgestalt/) (26). If there are \( n \) genes in the CRC gene set of interest (A), \( m \) genes in the UC reference gene set (B), and there are \( k \) genes in CRC and \( j \) genes in UC in a given category (C). Based on the reference gene set, the expected value of \( k \) is \( ke = \frac{n}{m} * j \). If \( k \) exceeds the above expected value, category C is considered to be enriched, with a ratio of enrichment \( r \) determined by \( r = k / ke \). If B represents the population from which the genes in A are obtained, WebGestalt uses the hypergeometric assessment to evaluate the significance of enrichment for category C in gene set A (27), as in following equation (4):

\[ p = \frac{\binom{n}{a} \binom{a+c}{a+b}}{\binom{n}{a+b}} \]

The P-values require adjustment for multiple assessments, which was performed using the Benjamini-Hochberg method (28). Genes with quantities >5 and P<0.01 were considered significant.
Analysis and construction of the PPI network. For protein interaction data, the present study utilized a human PPI dataset from the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/proteins (STRING) 9.1 (http://string.embl.de/) resource. In addition, the PPI network was constructed using Cytoscape 3.1.0 (29), a free software package for visualizing, modeling and analyzing the integration of bimolecular interaction networks with high-throughput expression data and other molecular states.

Molecular complex detection (MCODE) algorithm. The MCODE algorithm (http://baderlab.org/Software/MCODE) was used for subnet analysis of the PPI network. The MCODE algorithm predominantly includes three stages: Vertex weighting, complex prediction and optionally post-processing. At the vertex weighting stage, all vertices, based on their local network density, were weighted using the highest k-core of the vertex neighborhood. At the stage of complex prediction, the vertex-weighted graph was taken as input, a complex with the highest -weighted vertex was seeded, and moved outward from the seed vertex recursively. It owned vertices in the complex whose weight was above a specific threshold, a certain percentage away from the weight of the seed vertex. Complexes with a core<2 (graph of minimum degree 2) were filtered, the ‘fluff’ option and ‘haircut’ option were also run. The ‘fluff’ option was used to increase the size of the complex, according to a given ‘fluff’ parameter between 0.0 and 1.0. The ‘haircut’ option removed vertices, which were connected to the core complex alone, resulting in complexes obtained that were 2-core When both options were performed, ‘fluff’ runs followed by haircut, the available network properties were as follows: The degree of a node (gene or protein) was the average number of edges (interactions) incident to this node. The degree quantified the local topology of each gene, by combing the number of its adjacent genes (30). This produced a simple count of the number of interactions of a given node.

The node betweenness, $B(v)$, of a node, $v$, was calculated from the number of shortest paths $(\sigma_{st})$ between nodes $s$ and $t$ going through $v$ (5):

$$C_b(v) = \sum_{s, t \in \text{pairs}} \sigma_{st}(v)$$
GWGS values were based on log2FC, which represented their corresponding degree of differential expression, with genes of a higher degree of differential expression ranked higher.

**Results**

**Identification of DE genes.** The value of GWGS was used for integrated analyses of the independent microarray investigations. A gene with a high GWGS value was considered to be globally significant across multiple independent investigations. GWGS can be obtained based on the fold-change, t-test and significance analysis microarrays (SAM) (31). In the present study, the fold-change-based algorithm was more suitable for measurement of the significance of differential expression, since the present study aimed to examine the association between gene expression and network properties. By using the intersection of the microarray datasets, 217 DE genes were obtained for CRC and 341 DE genes were obtained for UC. In addition, DE genes present in CRC and UC were identified as common genes, and 62 common genes were identified (Table I).

**KEGG analysis.** The KEGG pathway database is a collection of manually drawn pathway maps for metabolism, genetic information processing, environmental information processing, including signal transduction, and various other cellular processes and human diseases (32). Pathway enrichment analysis of CRC revealed nine enriched terms (Table II), the most significant term was focal adhesion (P=6.82E-04), which contained several genes, including CAV1, CCND1, PAK2, VEGFA, COL1A2, COL1A1, FLNC, COL5A2, THBS2, MYLK, SPP1, MYL9 and FLNC, COL5A2, THBS2, MYLK, SPP1, MYL9. In UC, five enriched terms (Table III) were obtained, the most important of which was ECM-receptor interaction (P=1.09E-02), which consisted of genes, including LAMA1, VWF and COL4A2. Focal adhesion and the chemokine signaling pathway were presented in CRC and UC.

**Drug gene interaction predictions.** In the prediction of drug-gene interactions of CRC, the genes were found to be associated with 25 drugs, including collagenase (P=3.88E-21), estradiol (P=6.81E-10) and progesterone (P=1.98E-09; Table IV). A total of 21 drugs were found to be associated with genes in UC, including collagenase (P=1.02E-20), heparin (P=1.45E-14) and urokinase (P=1.46E-08; Table V). The collagenase, progesterone, heparin, urokinase, nadh and adenosine drugs were identified in both UC and CRC.

**miRNA target gene prediction.** In the prediction of miRNAs in CRC, 27 terms were identified (Table VI), and the most significant three terms were TACTTGA (MIR-26A and MIR-26B), AATGTGA (MIR-23A and MIR-23B) and CAGTATT (MIR-200B, MIR-200C and MIR-429). In UC, miRNA prediction revealed 43 terms of miRNA target genes (Table VII), the most significant three terms were TACTTGA (MIR-26A and MIR-26B), TGGTGCT (MIR-29A, MIR-29B and MIR-29C) and TGCCTTA (MIR-124A).

**PPI network construction and analysis.** In the present study, PPI networks were constructed for the DE genes in CRC and UC. In the network, nodes represent DE genes and edges between the nodes represent interaction of genes in the network. In the CRC network, there were 210 nodes and 752 edges, which included 217 DE genes (Fig. 1). Among the nodes, MT2A was identified with the highest degree at 42, followed by COLIA1 at 37 and COLIA2 at 37. In the UC network, there were 314 nodes, 882 edges and 341 DE genes (Fig. 2). CD44 was identified with the highest degree, at 52, followed by IL1B at 50 and MMP9 at 49.

---

**Table II. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genome analysis of differentially expressed genes in colorectal cancer.**

| Term | Genes | P-value |
|------|-------|---------|
| hsa04510:Focal adhesion | CAV1, CCND1, PAK2, VEGFA, COL1A2, COL1A1, FLNC, COL5A2, THBS2, MYLK, SPP1, MYL9 | 6.82E-04 |
| hsa05219:Bladder cancer | CCND1, IL8, MMP9, VEGFA, MYC, MMP1 | 7.49E-04 |
| hsa03320:PPAR signaling pathway | SORBS1, HMGC2S, SCAD, FABP4, FABP1, MMP1, PCK1 | 1.02E-03 |
| hsa04730:Vascular smooth muscle contraction | KCNMA1, EDNRA, ACTG2, PPP1R1B, MYH11, PRKACB, MYLK, MYL9 | 3.25E-03 |
| hsa00910: Nitrogen metabolism | CA12, CA4, CA2, CA1 | 7.13E-03 |
| hsa00150:Androgen and estrogen metabolism | UGT2B17, HSD17B2, HSD11B2, UGT2B15 | 2.62E-02 |
| hsa03000:Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction | CXCL1, INHBA, IL8, CXCL5, CCL20, TNF-12A, CXCL3, CXCL2, VEGFA, CXCL12 | 3.35E-02 |
| hsa03002:Chemokine signaling pathway | CXCL1, IL8, CXCL5, CCL20, CXCL3, CXCL2, PRKACB, CXCL12 | 4.44E-02 |
| hsa0110:Steroid hormone biosynthesis | UGT2B17, HSD17B2, HSD11B2, UGT2B15 | 4.58E-02 |
Clusters. When the Node Score Cut-off=0.2, the Degree Cut-off=4, the $k$-core=4 and the maximum depth was set at 100, for CRC, three clusters were obtained (Fig. 3). Cluster 1 had the highest score (5.8) and number of edges (29 edges), the nodes of the three clusters were identical. A total of six common genes were present in UC and CRC in Cluster 1: COLIA2, MMP3, PLAU, CXCL5, CXCL3 and CXCL1. In Cluster 2, MMP7, BGN, MMP1, SPP1 and COLIA1 were common to UC and CRC. There were four common genes in Cluster 3: SORD, MT1M, MMP9 and LCN2.

For UC, three clusters were obtained (Fig. 4). Cluster 1 had the highest score (5.867), numbers of nodes (16 nodes) and number of edges (44 edges). There were five common genes present in UC and CRC in Cluster 1 (COLIA1, SPP1, COLL1, COL4A1, VWF, LAMA1, COL5A1, PIK3R3 and LCN2).
Table VI. Results of miRNA prediction in colorectal cancer.

| miRNA | C-value | P-value  |
|-------|---------|----------|
| hsa_TACTTGA, MIR-26A, MIR-26B | 297 | 3.64E-07 |
| hsa_AATGTGA, MIR-23A, MIR-23B | 417 | 1.53E-06 |
| hsa_CAGTATT, MIR-200B, MIR-200C, MIR-429 | 465 | 4.67E-06 |
| hsa_TATTTTA, MIR-374 | 284 | 0.99E-05 |
| hsa_TGAAATGT, MIR-181A, MIR-181B, MIR-181C, MIR-181D | 479 | 2.01E-04 |
| hsa_CTTGTAGT, MIR-381 | 201 | 6.11E-04 |
| hsa_TTTTTGAG, MIR-373 | 222 | 9.23E-04 |
| hsa_ATGAAGAG, MIR-205 | 156 | 1.21E-03 |
| hsa_TGCTGCT, MIR-29A, MIR-29B, MIR-29C | 515 | 1.24E-03 |
| hsa_AAGCCAT, MIR-135A, MIR-135B | 332 | 1.49E-03 |
| hsa_TGCTTCA, MIR-124A | 542 | 1.82E-03 |
| hsa_ACTGTAGA, MIR-27A, MIR-27B | 465 | 2.50E-03 |
| hsa_TGTTTAC, MIR-30A-5P, MIR-30C, MIR-30D, MIR-30B, MIR-30E-5P | 572 | 5.52E-03 |
| hsa_ATCTTCTT, MIR-186 | 270 | 2.52E-03 |
| hsa_CTACCTC, LET-7A, LET-7B, LET-7C, LET-7D, LET-7E, LET-7F, MIR-98, MIR-97, LET-7I | 384 | 3.41E-03 |
| hsa_TTTGCA, MIR-19A, MIR-19B | 511 | 4.52E-03 |
| hsa_CACCACG, MIR-138 | 223 | 5.54E-03 |
| hsa_TAATAAT, MIR-126 | 220 | 5.20E-03 |
| hsa_AAGCCT, MIR-520F | 236 | 6.90E-03 |
| hsa_TTTGGTAG, MIR-520D | 335 | 7.11E-03 |
| hsa_GTGTGTT, MIR-495 | 252 | 9.05E-03 |
| hsa_GTTCCTT, MIR-506 | 714 | 1.02E-02 |
| hsa_TGCTGCT, MIR-15A, MIR-16, MIR-15B, MIR-195, MIR-424, MIR-497 | 593 | 1.05E-02 |
| hsa_ACCACAAAG, MIR-9 | 493 | 1.26E-02 |
| hsa_CTTTGTA, MIR-524 | 431 | 2.21E-02 |
| hsa_GTGGCTTT, MIR-330 | 331 | 2.61E-02 |
| hsa_AGCACTT, MIR-93, MIR-302A, MIR-302B, MIR-302C, MIR-302D, MIR-372, MIR-373, MIR-520E, MIR-520A, MIR-520B, MIR-520C, MIR-520D | 336 | 2.76E-02 |

**Discussion**

In the present study, DE genes with GWGS values in CRC and UC were identified through integrated analysis of multiple high throughput data. Based on the DE genes, PPI networks were constructed using the STRING database, and MCODE algorithm was implemented for sub-network detection. The significance of sub-networks was identified based on the network properties and GWGS values. In addition, functional enrichment analysis, including KEGG enrichment analysis, drug-gene interaction prediction, and miRNA prediction, were performed.

A total of 217 DE genes of CRC, 341 DE genes of UC and 62 common genes were identified. The KEGG pathway analysis revealed nine terms of CRC and five terms of UC, with the focal adhesion and chemokine signaling pathway presented in both. As for the prediction of drug-gene interactions, collagen-
nase was important in the drug associated genes of CRC and UC. The most significant miRNA prediction term in CRC and UC was the same, TACTTGA (MIR-26A and MIR-26B). The entire PPI network was constructed and subnetwork analyzed, the clusters contained common genes and exhibited similarities between CRC and UC. No significant difference was observed

Table VII. Results of miRNA prediction in ulcerative colitis.

| miRNA | C-value | P-value |
|-------|---------|---------|
| hsa_TACTTGA, MIR-26A, MIR-26B | 297 | 1.25E-07 |
| hsa_TGGTGCT, MIR-29A, MIR-29B, MIR-29C | 515 | 8.93E-07 |
| hsa_TGCCTTA, MIR-124A | 542 | 1.78E-06 |
| hsa_CAGTATT, MIR-200B, MIR-200C, MIR-429 | 465 | 5.17E-06 |
| hsa_CATTCCA, MIR-203 | 284 | 1.79E-05 |
| hsa_GTGCCAA, MIR-96 | 301 | 3.05E-05 |
| hsa_ACCAAG, MIR-9 | 493 | 2.03E-04 |
| hsa_ACTGTGA, MIR-27A, MIR-27B | 465 | 4.06E-04 |
| hsa_AACTGTGA, MIR-23A, MIR-23B | 417 | 5.11E-04 |
| hsa_TTTGCA, MIR-19A, MIR-19B | 384 | 8.05E-04 |
| hsa_CTACCTC, LET-7A, LET-7B, LET-7C, LET-7D, LET-7E, LET-7F, MIR-98, LET-7G, LET-7I | 384 | 1.00E-03 |
| hsa_TTGAGA, MIR-515-5P, MIR-519E | 145 | 1.10E-03 |
| hsa_CACACC, MIR-138 | 223 | 2.00E-03 |
| hsa_AAGCCAT, MIR-135A, MIR-135B | 332 | 1.44E-03 |
| hsa_TGAAATGT, MIR-181A, MIR-181B, MIR-181C, MIR-181D | 479 | 1.61E-03 |
| hsa_TAAAT, MIR-126 | 220 | 1.90E-03 |
| hsa_AAGCAAT, MIR-137 | 217 | 1.74E-03 |
| hsa_TATTATA, MIR-374 | 284 | 1.99E-03 |
| hsa_CTATGCA, MIR-153 | 214 | 1.63E-03 |
| hsa_AACTGGA, MIR-145 | 231 | 2.51E-03 |
| hsa_ATACCTC, MIR-202 | 178 | 3.01E-03 |
| hsa_CAGTGT, MIR-141, MIR-200A | 308 | 3.20E-03 |
| hsa_GTGCAAT, MIR-25, MIR-32, MIR-92, MIR-363, MIR-367 | 308 | 3.22E-03 |
| hsa_AAGCACA, MIR-218 | 395 | 4.32E-03 |
| hsa_AAAGACA, MIR-511 | 199 | 5.11E-03 |
| hsa_TGTTTAC, MIR-30A-5P, MIR-30C, MIR-30D, MIR-30B, MIR-30E-5P | 572 | 6.02E-03 |
| hsa_TGATATG, MIR-485-3P | 148 | 6.49E-03 |
| hsa_CAGCAGG, MIR-370 | 153 | 7.41E-03 |
| hsa_ATGAAGG, MIR-205 | 156 | 8.03E-03 |
| hsa_ACATTC, MIR-1, MIR-206 | 293 | 8.92E-03 |
| hsa_CTGAGCC, MIR-24 | 229 | 9.81E-03 |
| hsa_TAGCTTT, MIR-9 | 234 | 1.09E-02 |
| hsa_AAGCAGT, MIR-520F | 236 | 1.13E-02 |
| hsa_GTGCCAA, MIR-182 | 324 | 1.48E-02 |
| hsa_GCAAAAA, MIR-129 | 183 | 1.52E-02 |
| hsa_ATACTGT, MIR-144 | 198 | 2.06E-02 |
| hsa_ATTCTTT, MIR-186 | 270 | 2.05E-02 |
| hsa_CTTGTATT, MIR-381 | 201 | 2.16E-02 |
| hsa_CTTGTGTA, MIR-524 | 431 | 2.18E-02 |
| hsa_TTTGCCAG, MIR-518A-2 | 208 | 2.48E-02 |
| hsa_ATATGCA, MIR-448 | 208 | 2.48E-02 |
| hsa_TGCACTG, MIR-148A, MIR-152, MIR-148B | 299 | 3.16E-02 |
| hsa_ATGTACA, MIR-493 | 312 | 3.77E-02 |

Mir/miRNA, microRNA.
Figure 1. Protein-protein interaction network of colorectal cancer DE genes. A total of 210 node (purple ovals) and 752 edges (lines between) were identified, which included 217 DE genes. Among the nodes, MT2A exhibited the highest degree (42), followed by COLIA1 (37) and COLIA2 (37). Node sizes correspond to the absolute values of the fold change of the DE gene. Edges were derived from the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/proteins database. DE, differentially expressed.

Figure 2. Protein-protein interaction network of ulcerative colitis DE genes. A total of 314 nodes (purple ovals), 882 edges (lines between nodes) and 341 DE genes were identified, where nodes represent gene signatures and edges between nodes represent interaction between genes in the network. Among the nodes, CD44 exhibited the highest degree (52), followed by IL1B (50) and MMP9 (49). Node sizes correspond to the absolute values of the fold change of the DE genes. Edges were derived from the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/proteins database. DE, differentially expressed.
Figure 3. Clusters of the protein-protein interaction network of CRC. (A) Cluster 1, (B) Cluster 2 and (C) Cluster 3. Clusters were identified according to the following cut-off values: Node Score=0.2, degree=4, k-core=4, Maximum depth=100. Cluster 1 had the highest degree (5.8) and number of edges (29), the nodes of the three clusters were same. Common genes to CRC and ulcerative colitis in Cluster 1 were COL1A2, MMP3, PLAU, CXCL5, CXCL3 and CXCL1. In Cluster 2, common genes were MMP7, BGN, MMP1, SPP1, and COL1A1. There were four common genes in Cluster 3 (SORD, MT1 M, MMP9 and LCN2). Node sizes correspond to the absolute values of the fold change of the differentially expressed genes. Edges were derived from the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/proteins database; CRC, colorectal cancer.

Figure 4. Clusters of the protein-protein interaction network in UC. (A) Cluster 1, (B) cluster 2 and (C) cluster 3. Cluster 1 had the highest degree (5.867), and numbers of nodes (16) and edges (44). There were five common genes to UC and colorectal cancer in cluster 1 (COL1A1, SPP1, COL1A2, BGN and MMP9), four in cluster 2 (CXCL5, MMP1, MMP7 and PLAU) and four in cluster 3 (LCN2, OLFMA, PTN and REG1B). Node sizes correspond to the absolute values of the fold change of the differentially expressed genes. Edges were derived from the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/proteins database. UC, ulcerative colitis.
Patients with UC have an increased risk of developing CRC, compared with the general population (33), and the increased risk was almost entirely confined to patients with long-standing extensive colitis (3). Important risk factors include primary sclerosing cholangitis (34) and a family history of CRC (35), whereas the role of other factors, including the effect of the age at onset of UC remains to be elucidated. It has been reported that hypermethylation of the promoter region of CDH1 in CRC is associated with a reduction in UC (36). In the present study, 62 common genes were found between CRC and UC. The most significant two genes were AQP8 and CXCL5. AQP8 is a water channel protein, and aquaporins are a family of small integral membrane proteins associated with major intrinsic protein, and is closely associated with miRNA in patients with UC patients (37). Thus, it is possible that certain genes expressed in patients with UC are also expressed in patients with CRC, and the inhibition of certain genes in UC may decrease risk of CRC.

The focal adhesion and chemokine signaling pathway were found to be present in CRC and UC. It has been previously revealed that the predominant type of pathway in UC-associated neoplasia is associated with genes and, that genomic instability frequently occurs prior to the development of histologically-defined dysplasia (38). Using genes to construct a predictive model to distinguish patients with and without UC-induced CRC is a useful method to identify the disease (38). Therefore, controlling the pathway of UC to prevent the formation of UC-associated neoplasia may decrease the incidence of CRC.

The present study demonstrated that collagenase, progesterone, heparin, urokinase, nadh and adenosine drugs may be used to treat CRC and UC. Due to this possible mechanism for inflammation-induced cancer, patients taking anti-inflammatory mesalamine drugs may exhibit reduced rates of colorectal neoplasia (39). These results were concordant with the hypothesis that certain drugs may offer potential for use in the treatment of CRC and UC. For example, collagenases, are proteolytic enzymes, which are present within cells in an inactive form and are secreted at sites of inflammation by mononuclear cells and metastatic tumors (40), thus, collagenase not only indicates the potential for preventing UC from inflammation interference, but also a potential effect on tumors, which lead to cancer.

Previous studies have demonstrated that miRNAs are the central regulators of various physiological processes, and that the disruption of miRNA is associated with human diseases (41,42). Therefore, in the present study, miRNA prediction experiments were performed. The most significant miRNA in CRC was the same as that in UC. Therefore, the disruption of miRNA in UC may lead alter miRNA in CRC. The link between miRNA function and cancer pathogenesis was further supported by investigations examining miRNA in clinical samples, with altered miRNA being reported in CRC (43). The present study hypothesized that the altered miRNA in CRC was from UC.

The examination of networks as a tool has attracted significant attention in analyzing several biological and communication systems. Protein interaction network analysis provides an effective method of estimating and understanding the likelihood of potential, yet undetermined,
connections between proteins/genes (44). In PPI networks, the data of large-scale protein interactions has accumulated with the development of high throughput assessment technology, however, a certain number of interactions have not been assessed, which may be important. This type of difficulty had been resolved to a certain extent by the use of clustering methods, which had previously been found to be useful in identifying protein/gene interactions within the same cellular process (45). In the present study, the MCODE algorithm was applied to examine gene-gene connectivity in a more informative way, which revealed three clusters in CRC and UC with highly connected nodes. Several common genes were contained in the clusters, which indicated that the clusters of CRC and UC had certain similarities. Srilahari and Ragan performed a straightforward, systematic identification and comparison of modules across pancreatic normal and cancer tissue conditions by integrating PPI, gene-expression and mutation data (46), which provided functional insight into the identified sub-network and thus may be suitable for analysis of CRC.

In several PPI networks, significance is correlated with the topological placement of the proteins/genes in the network, while connectivity provides an indication of the importance of a gene (47). In the present study, the highest ranking gene in degree and betweenness centralities was PLAU in both UC and CRC. This gene encodes a serine protease, which is involved in degradation of the extracellular matrix and possibly tumor cell migration and proliferation (48). A specific polymorphism in this gene may be associated with late-onset (49). However, the GWGS value of Cluster 2 in UC was not in accordance with the degree of betweenness. GWGS was a novel method to detect the relevance of genes between clusters, based on the rank value of gene expression. In previous studies, the topological centrality, based on degree, was not consistent with that based on betweenness, even altered rules of the same clusters in degree, betweenness, closeness and other properties (such as cluster coefficient and stress) were different (50,51). Differences among these properties may be explained by the fact that each property has its own target (52); for example GWGS focuses on combining gene expression with the protein network, while the degree concerns the association between genes. Investigation of the worth of rank values in gene signatures and biological analysis is required in the future.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrated the presence of 62 common genes in CRC and UC DE genes and KEGG analysis obtained the same gene terms, therefore, controlling the influence of cancer was based on betweenness. These findings may provide potential biomarkers and reveal information regarding the pathological mechanism of CRC induced by UC.
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