Impact of Admission Systolic Blood Pressure and Antecedent Hypertension on Short-Term Outcomes After ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction
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Abstract: We evaluated the combined effect of admission systolic blood pressure (SBP) and antecedent hypertension on short-term outcomes in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI). Data were derived from a multicenter survey of 7303 consecutive patients with STEMI. Patients were divided into 4 groups according to different blood pressure status: high SBP without hypertension, high SBP with hypertension, low SBP without hypertension, and low SBP with hypertension. The primary endpoints were 7 and 30-day all-cause mortality. The prevalence of hypertension was 40.7%, and the best cutoff of admission SBP for predicting 30-day mortality was 108 mmHg by receiver-operating characteristic curve. Patients with hypertension were older, more often female, also had longer onset-to-admission time, more comorbidities, and higher Killip class. Patients with both low SBP (≤108 mmHg) and hypertension group had significantly higher 7 and 30-day mortality than those in other groups (all P < 0.001). After multivariate adjustment, low SBP with hypertension group was still an independent risk factor for predicting 7-day mortality (hazard ratios [HR] 1.86, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.41–2.46; P < 0.001) and 30-day mortality (HR 1.88, 95% CI 1.46–2.43; P < 0.001). In patients with SBP > 108 mmHg, a history of hypertension could increase the risk of 30-day mortality by 27% (HR 1.00 vs 1.27, P = 0.012), while in patients with SBP ≤ 108 mmHg, this increased risk reached to 37% (HR 1.51 vs 1.88, P < 0.001). In conclusion, low admission SBP was the relatively dominant contributor for predicting 7 and 30-day all-cause mortality, and a concurrent antecedent hypertension increased the corresponding risk of mortality.

(Medicine 94(34):e1446)

Abbreviations: ACS = acute coronary syndrome, AMI = acute myocardial infarction, CI = confidence interval, HR = hazard ratio, SBP = systolic blood pressure, STEMI = ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction, TIMI = thrombolysis in myocardial infarction.

INTRODUCTION

Hypertension is one of the main factors leading to atherosclerosis and the development of vulnerable plaques whose instability and rupture are responsible for acute coronary syndrome (ACS). In patients with acute myocardial infarction (AMI), the prevalence of antecedent hypertension varies from 46.0% to 63.4%. Until recently, many studies evaluated the prognostic significance of a previous history of hypertension in patients presented with AMI and came to inconsistent results. Moreover, many established multivariable risk score models, such as the thrombolysis in myocardial infarction (TIMI) risk score, for initial assessment of AMI on admission have not found hypertension, defined as a "yes or no" categorical variable, to be independently associated with short- or long-term mortality. A recent high volume study by Erne et al even came to results that preexisting hypertension was associated with an improved in-hospital prognosis in ACS patients. To address the critical discordance, more studies are needed to assess the impact of hypertension in those patients. Indeed, lower admission systolic blood pressure (SBP) more often emerges as risk predictor of poor outcomes in contemporary evaluations, in which different levels of low SBP are given corresponding high points for predicting mortality after myocardial infarction. Some studies have evaluated the effect of admission SBP on outcomes of high-risk ACS, while few data are available about the combined effect of admission SBP and hypertension. So, we conduct this study to explore the impact of admission SBP and antecedent hypertension on short-term outcomes in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI).

METHODS

Study Population

We retrospectively evaluated 7303 consecutive patients presenting with acute STEMI within 12 hours after the onset of symptoms in 247 Chinese hospitals between 2001 and 2004. The diagnosis of STEMI was followed the universal definition of myocardial infarction. In detail contents included chest pain or equivalent symptoms in combination with persistent ST-segment elevation more than 0.1 mV in at least 2 contiguous extremity leads or 0.2 mV in at least 2 contiguous precordial leads, or new onset of left bundle branch block, and a positive biomarker troponin I or creatine kinase-MB indicating myocardial necrosis.
Exclusion criteria included any history of hemorrhagic stroke within 12 months, gastrointestinal bleeding or active peptic ulcer within 3 months, major surgery or trauma within 2 weeks, coagulation disorders associated with bleeding tendency, and renal dysfunction with creatinine >2.0 mg/dL or 175 μmol/L, pregnancy, life expectancy of less than 1 month. After admission, patients received reperfusion therapy with thrombolysis or percutaneous coronary intervention according to local clinical circumstances. Subsequent medication treatments were as far as possible consistent with the guidelines back then. Study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee and the institutional review board of Fuwai Hospital. All participants provided written informed consent.

Data Collection
Data collected at baseline included gender, age, weight, and previously known medical history (myocardial infarction, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, heart failure, and stroke). Particularly, patients who reported a diagnosis of hypertension or the use of antihypertensive medications as per the recommendation of a physician antecedent to their myocardial infarction were considered to have hypertension. A 12-lead electrocardiogram was carried out in each patient just after hospital admission. Initial heart rate and blood pressure were measured accurately in the supine position. For blood pressure measurement, 2 separate readings were taken and averaged. Killip class was evaluated by physical examination and all the subsequent medication treatments were obtained from the medical records. The follow-up time was 30 days. Visit times were scheduled at the 7th and 30th day after hospitalization, during which predefined clinical events with the occurrence time were recorded. All these data were collected prospectively by the local physicians using unified case report forms and were sent to the central administrative office of the study located at the Fuwai Hospital, Beijing.

Clinical Endpoints
The primary endpoints were 7- and 30-day all-cause mortality. The secondary endpoints included reinfarction (recurrent ischemic chest pain with new electrocardiographic changes including ST reelevation or depression or new Q waves, and with increase in enzyme level to at least twice the upper limit of normal or, if enzymes were already elevated, levels >50% of the lowest enzyme level), stroke (a new onset of neurological deficits that persisted for >24 hours and confirmed by computed tomographic scans or magnetic resonance imaging), cardiac arrest (successful resuscitation from either ventricular fibrillation, sustained ventricular tachycardia, or asystole), and cardiac shock (persistent SBP < 90 mmHg, unresponsiveness to fluid administration, and requirement for intravenous inotropic therapy or insertion of an intraaortic balloon pump).

Statistical Analysis
All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 19.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) and SAS version 9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). The study population was prior stratified into 4 groups according to the admission SBP and history of hypertension. A propensity score matching was used to adjust for differences in baseline characteristics between groups. In detail the optimal cut-off value of SBP for predicting 30-day all-cause mortality was based on optimizing the sum of sensitivity and specificity by receiver-operating characteristic curve analysis. Then between-group comparisons of the baseline characteristics were compared using $\chi^2$ test or Fisher exact test for categorical variables and one-way analysis of variance or the Kruskal–Wallis test for continuous variables as appropriate. Short-term outcomes among the 4 groups were compared using $\chi^2$ test or Fisher exact test. Cumulative survival curve for 30-day all-cause mortality was constructed using the Kaplan–Meier method, with differences assessed with the log-rank test. Multivariate Cox proportional hazard regression models (backward LR method) were performed to identify predictors of 7- and 30-day all-cause mortality. Besides our newly defined blood pressure groups, other covariates entered into the Cox models included gender, age, weight, heart rate, diastolic blood pressure, onset-to-admission time, previous medical histories (myocardial infarction, diabetes mellitus, heart failure, and stroke), Killip class, myocardial infarction location on electrocardiogram, reperfusion strategies, and other main medications. The adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) with their respective 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for each variable were calculated. All statistical tests were 2-tailed, and a $P$ value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
In our study population, 2969 were hypertensive, accounting for a 40.7% prevalence of antecedent hypertension state. The best cutoff of admission SBP for predicting 30-day all-cause mortality was 108 mmHg by receiver-operating characteristic curve. With this threshold, 5691 patients had a value of SBP > 108 mmHg, in which 2527 (44.4%) patients reported antecedent hypertension. Although the remainder 1612 patients had a value of SBP ≤ 108 mmHg, in which 442 (27.4%) patients reported hypertension.

Baseline Characteristics
Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics and treatments of the 4 groups divided according to different blood pressure status. In general, patients with antecedent hypertension were older, heavier, and more often female, also had longer onset-to-admission time, higher prevalence of previous medical histories, higher Killip class, and were more likely to receive percutaneous coronary intervention, clopidogrel, heparin, and antihypertensive medication (all $P < 0.001$) than patients without hypertension, no matter with admission SBP > 108 or ≤ 108 mmHg.

The 7- and 30-day Outcomes
Table 2 shows the 7- and 30-day outcomes. Overall the 7- and 30-day all-cause mortality rates were 8.4% and 10.5%, respectively. The incidence of 7- and 30-day mortality and cardiac shock were significantly higher in patients with antecedent hypertension than these without hypertension, no matter with admission SBP > 108 or ≤ 108 mmHg (all $P < 0.001$). On the other hand, patients with admission SBP ≤ 108 mmHg had significant higher 7- and 30-day mortality, cardiac arrest, and cardiac shock rate than those with SBP > 108 mmHg, no matter with or without antecedent hypertension (all $P < 0.001$). Particularly, the total admission cardiac shock rate was 3.7%, which occurred mainly in patients with admission SBP ≤ 108 mmHg ($P < 0.001$), and after we excluded these patients, the 7- and 30-day mortality were still significant higher in patients with low admission SBP and antecedent hypertension (all $P < 0.001$).

Figure 1 displays the Kaplan–Meier curves for 30-day all-cause mortality. Analysis using the log-rank test revealed
significant differences among different blood pressure groups ($P < 0.001$) with a significantly higher cumulative mortality rate in patients with low admission SBP and antecedent hypertension than in the other groups (Figure 1A). Similar pattern occurred with patients after we excluded these with admission cardiac shock ($P < 0.001$) (Figure 1B).

**Predicators of 7- and 30-day all-Cause Mortality Using Multivariate Cox Analysis**

Table 3 shows the association of different blood pressure status with 7- and 30-day mortality based on the optimal final multivariate Cox models. After adjusting for age, gender, medical histories, admission vital signs, reperfusion strategy, and main medications, low admission SBP and antecedent hypertension group was still an independent risk factor predicting 7-day all-cause mortality (HR 1.86, 95% CI 1.41–2.46; $P < 0.001$) and 30-day all-cause mortality (HR 1.88, 95% CI 1.46–2.43; $P < 0.001$). Low admission SBP was a significant predictor of mortality in overall patients, and no matter in patients with admission SBP > 108 mmHg, history of antecedent hypertension increased the risk of 7- and 30-day all-cause mortality than these without hypertension (all $P < 0.05$) (Figure 2).
DISCUSSION

This is one of the largest studies evaluating the impact of admission SBP and antecedent hypertension on short-term outcomes in patients with STEMI. Unlike previous research on the topic, we combined these 2 risk factors and found that low admission SBP was the relatively dominant contributor for predicting 7- and 30-day all-cause mortality, and a concurrent antecedent hypertension increased the corresponding risk of mortality.

Our study revealed a 40.7% prevalence of hypertension among STEMI patients. This value is lower than that reported in general ACS population which varies from 46.0% to 63.4%.1–4 It should be noted that the prevalence of hypertension also depends on the study circumstances. Recent studies focused mainly on patients with STEMI submitted to primary angioplasty, in which a previous history of hypertension ranged from 42.1% to 53.4%.9,10,23 Although in the era of thrombolysis, data from the GISSI-2 (Gruppo Italiano per lo Studio della Sopravvivenza nell’Infarto Miocardico) study showed in AMI patients a prevalence of hypertension of 30.9%,5 and the GUSTO-I (Global Utilization of Streptokinase and t-PA for Occluded Coronary Arteries) study reported a 38.1% prevalence of hypertension in STEMI patients.18 In keeping with previous observations, our STEMI patients with hypertension are more likely to be older, female, and having longer onset-to-admission time, higher prevalence of comorbidities such as prior myocardial infarction, diabetes mellitus, heart failure, and stroke than those without hypertension.9,23

Many studies evaluated the prognostic value of antecedent hypertension in patients with AMI but came to inconsistent results. In the GISSI-2 study, in-hospital and 6-month mortality in hypertensive AMI patients treated with thrombolysis was significantly higher compared with normotensive patients as was the rate of left ventricular failure, recurrent angina, and

TABLE 2. The 7- and 30-day Outcomes According to Different Blood Pressure Groups

| Outcomes                | SBP > 108 mmHg | SBP ≤ 108 mmHg |
|-------------------------|----------------|---------------|
|                         | All Patients   | Without        | Hypertension  | Without       | Hypertension  | P Value |
| 7-day events            |                |                |              |               |              |        |
| All-cause mortality     | 613 (8.4%)     | 182 (5.8%)     | 174 (6.9%)   | 173 (14.8%)   | 84 (19.0%)   | <0.001  |
| Re-infarction           | 108 (1.5%)     | 50 (1.6%)      | 42 (1.7%)    | 8 (0.7%)      | 8 (1.8%)     | 0.0066  |
| Cardiac arrest          | 94 (1.3%)      | 33 (1.0%)      | 19 (0.8%)    | 30 (2.6%)     | 12 (2.7%)    | <0.001  |
| Cardiac shock           | 422 (5.8%)     | 86 (2.7%)      | 77 (3.0%)    | 179 (15.3%)   | 80 (18.1%)   | <0.001  |
| Stroke                  | 46 (0.6%)      | 16 (0.5%)      | 18 (0.7%)    | 6 (0.5%)      | 6 (1.4%)     | 0.182   |
| 30-day events           |                |                |              |               |              |        |
| All-cause mortality     | 764 (10.5%)    | 225 (7.1%)     | 246 (9.7%)   | 195 (16.7%)   | 98 (22.2%)   | <0.001  |
| Re-infarction           | 144 (2.0%)     | 66 (2.1%)      | 59 (2.3%)    | 11 (0.9%)     | 8 (1.8%)     | 0.024   |
| Cardiac arrest          | 113 (1.5%)     | 40 (1.3%)      | 28 (1.1%)    | 33 (2.8%)     | 12 (2.7%)    | <0.001  |
| Cardiac shock           | 456 (6.2%)     | 98 (3.1%)      | 90 (3.6%)    | 185 (15.8%)   | 83 (18.8%)   | <0.001  |
| Stroke                  | 64 (0.9%)      | 19 (0.6%)      | 27 (1.1%)    | 7 (0.6%)      | 11 (2.5%)    | 0.002   |
| Admission cardiac shock | 273 (3.7%)     | 32 (1.0%)      | 35 (1.4%)    | 148 (12.6%)   | 58 (13.1%)   | <0.001  |
| 7-day mortality         | 447 (6.4%)     | 166 (5.3%)     | 149 (6.0%)   | 88 (8.6%)     | 44 (11.5%)   | <0.001  |
| 30-day mortality        | 590 (8.4%)     | 208 (6.6%)     | 219 (8.8%)   | 108 (10.6%)   | 55 (14.3%)   | <0.001  |

SBP = systolic blood pressure.

* All-cause mortality was calculated after excluding patients with admission cardiac shock (n = 273), so the patients number were 7030.
TABLE 3. Predictors of 7- and 30-day All-Cause Mortality by Multivariate Cox Analysis*

| Variable                                | 7-day All-Cause Mortality | 30-day All-Cause Mortality |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
|                                        | HRs | 95% CI   | P Value | HRs | 95% CI   | P Value |
| Age, per year                           | 1.04 | 1.03–1.05 | <0.001 | 1.05 | 1.04–1.05 | <0.001 |
| Gender (female vs male)                 | 1.44 | 1.22–1.70 | <0.001 | 1.34 | 1.15–1.55 | <0.001 |
| Heart rate, per bpm                     | 1.01 | 1.00–1.01 | <0.001 | 1.01 | 1.00–1.01 | <0.001 |
| History of diabetes mellitus           | 1.36 | 1.09–1.69 | 0.006  | 1.32 | 1.09–1.61 | 0.005  |
| History of stroke                      |     |           |        | 1.21 | 0.98–1.49 | 0.075  |

Killip class (vs class I)

- II: 1.23, 95% CI: 0.98–1.54, P = 0.073
- III: 1.53, 95% CI: 1.06–2.22, P = 0.025
- IV: 3.10, 95% CI: 2.34–4.11, P < 0.001

Electrocardiogram location (vs new LBBB)

- V1–V6: 2.02, 95% CI: 0.83–4.89, P = 0.121
- II, III, avF, or V7–V9: 1.09, 95% CI: 0.45–2.67, P = 0.852
- I, avL: 1.95, 95% CI: 0.72–5.26, P = 0.187
- PTCA: 0.26, 95% CI: 0.14–0.46, P < 0.001

Aspirin

- Beta-blocker: 0.55, 95% CI: 0.46–0.66, P < 0.001
- ACEI or ARB: 0.42, 95% CI: 0.35–0.50, P < 0.001
- Calcium channel blocker: 0.45, 95% CI: 0.32–0.62, P < 0.001
- Stain: 0.61, 95% CI: 0.51–0.72, P < 0.001
- Diuretic: 1.38, 95% CI: 1.16–1.65, P < 0.001
- Nitrate: 0.75, 95% CI: 0.60–0.94, P = 0.013

Blood pressure groups (vs SBP > 108 mmHg)

- SBP > 108 mmHg with hypertension: 1.17, 95% CI: 0.95–1.45, P = 0.147
- SBP ≤ 108 mmHg without hypertension: 1.49, 95% CI: 1.18–1.87, P = 0.001
- SBP < 108 mmHg with hypertension: 1.86, 95% CI: 1.41–2.46, P < 0.001

* All covariables from the baseline characteristics including gender, age, weight, heart rate, diastolic blood pressure, onset-to-admission time, previous medical histories (myocardial infarction, diabetes mellitus, heart failure, and stroke), Killip class, electrocardiogram location, reperfusion strategies (PTCA and thrombolysis), and medications (aspirin, clopidogrel, heparin, beta-blocker, ACEI/ARB, calcium channel blocker, statin, nitrate, and diuretic) were adjusted in the Cox proportional hazard regression by using backward LR method. Above are the final optimal multivariate models.

reinfarction. Richards et al also reported higher inpatient and postdischarged mortality together with more heart failure mainly due to neurohumoral activation and early ventricular remodeling in hypertensive AMI patients in the thrombolytic era. In the Korea Acute Myocardial Infarction Registry study, 45.0% of STEMI patients had hypertension, and in multivariate analysis antecedent hypertension independently contributed to higher in-hospital mortality in patients with AMI but not to 1-year mortality. Recently, De Luca et al reported that among STEMI patients undergoing primary angioplasty hypertension is independently associated with higher mortality, reinfarction, stent thrombosis, and target-vessel revascularization at a follow-up of median 1200 days. On the other hand, Abrignani et al stated that hypertensive subjects with first AMI have a better in-hospital outcome than age- and gender-matched normotensive subjects, perhaps due to a less severe extension of the infarction area or to a different pathophysiologic mechanism. A more recent study by Erne et al also came to result that preexisting hypertension was associated with an improved in-hospital prognosis after adjustment for baseline risk in ACS patients. Other studies did not show relevant difference for short- or long-term mortality in hypertensive and normotensive patients with AMI. In our study, we found a higher rate of all-cause mortality, reinfarction, cardiac shock, and stroke in patients with hypertension than these without hypertension at 7- and 30-day after hospitalization.

Compared with antecedent hypertension, lower admission SBP more often emerges as the dominant contributor or predictor of mortality in many multivariable risk score models for AMI. In our study, we found a higher rate of all-cause mortality, reinfarction, cardiac shock, and stroke in patients with hypertension than these without hypertension at 7- and 30-day after hospitalization.

In the PRavastatin Or atorVastatin Evaluation and Infection Therapy-TIMI 22 trial, a J- or U-shaped curve association was found between blood pressure and risk of future cardiovascular events, with the lowest event rates in the SBP range of 130 to 108 mmHg.
140 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure of 80 to 90 mmHg, and a flat curve for SBP of 110 to 130 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure of 70 to 90 mmHg, which suggests that too low pressure (especially <110/70 mmHg) may be dangerous in ACS patients. Besides, in the Global Use of Strategies To Open occluded arteries in ACSs (GUSTO-IIb) and Receptor Suppression Using Integrilin Therapy (PURSUIT) trial, a value of SBP lower than 90 mmHg was strongly associated with 48-hour and 30-day mortality. In our study, a threshold of SBP lower than 108 mmHg was determined to predict 30-day all-cause mortality. More importantly, we found that low admission SBP was the relatively dominant contributor for predicting 7- and 30-day all-cause mortality, and a concurrent antecedent hypertension increased the corresponding risk of mortality. This trend was maintained even after we excluded patients with admission cardiac shock.

In the current study, we performed a receiver-operating characteristic curve and found that admission SBP 108 mmHg was the best cutoff for predicting 30-day mortality. On this basis, patients were divided into 4 groups according to the status of admission SBP and antecedent hypertension. Such subsequent observational analysis was inevitable resulted in the very heterogeneous baseline characteristics that might affect clinical outcome. In order to further confirm our results, a propensity score matching was used to adjust for differences in baseline characteristics between groups (Supplemental Tables 1–3, http://links.lww.com/MD/A392). After propensity score matching, low admission SBP (≤108 mmHg) was still the dominant contributor for predicting 7- and 30-day all-cause mortality. However, interpretation of the results that the risk derived from antecedent hypertension had been weakened and even disappeared should be treated with caution, since after the strict matching we have lost a lot of samples which limited the test power.

Several limitations should be mentioned when evaluating the results of study. First, this is a retrospective observational analysis of the impact of antecedent hypertension and admission SBP on short-term outcomes in STEMI patients. As is inherent in such study design, our study could not come to a definite cause-effect relationship but suggest a possible prognostic marker. Second, owing to insufficient guideline implementation, only 52.3% of the patients received thrombolysis and only 66% had percutaneous coronary intervention treatment. Our results might not be generalizable to patients with STEMI receiving standard reperfusion therapy and medications, thus prospective studies in contemporary era are needed to confirm our results. Third, levels of creatine kinase-MB and troponin I as well as left ventricular ejection fraction were not collected at admission, and detailed information about the duration and control of hypertension before admission were also not available, and these data might be of prognostic significance after myocardial infarction. At last, the follow-up period in our study was limited to 30 days, and a longer follow-up period may have provided additional data.
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