Evaluation of Microbial Community in Wastewater Treatment Plant Using qRT-PCR Protocol
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Abstract

Recycling treated wastewater is considered one of the most critical sectors in recycling. Its benefit fell beyond the disposability of sewage flow that extends to the possibility of reusing the water. Wastewater treatment in any system depends exclusively on maximizing the activity of the microbial community. Wastewater samples were collected from two systems under the same administration in one of the largest wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) in the Middle East (Al-Jabal Al-Asfar). Five major collection points for the daily assessment of the plant were used for collecting samples. In this study, real-time quantification polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) was used to quantify the microbial community to evaluate the two treatment systems in the plant. Based on the results, the microbial community in each of the five major points from both systems was quantified based on the linear model produced from qRT-PCR. One of the two systems was active and efficient, in contrast to the other, which lacked profound control over the treatment. The current study suggests the routine usage of qRT-PCR as a crucial molecular genetics technique to evaluate the microbial community within the wastewater to ensure reliable and efficient treatment of the raw sewage. The study recommends the full assessment of the inactive system within the plant, encouraging the usage of compatible microbes to enrich and activate the returned activated sludge (RAS) for better performance.
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1 Introduction

In Egypt, water resources became a necessity due to the rapid population growth and industrial development became necessary especially in areas where the municipal and irrigation water is limited. Furthermore, the amount of wastewater washed out in millions (m³), without further usage, impose a considerable problem since its flawed conventional treatment process (Cydzik-Kwiatkowska et al 2012). That is why the reuse of treated wastewaters becomes crucial, despite the growing concern over the quality of its treatment. Moreover, testing the quality of the conventional wastewater treatment process has been long established using different chemical and physical tests, neglecting the microbial load in the process (Cydzik-Kwiatkowska and Zielinska 2016). Indeed, the microbial load in any raw or treated wastewater considers a significant indication for the quality of the treatment of the water in removing any contaminants that affect public health and the environment (Cydzik-Kwiatkowska and Zielinska 2016).

Wastewater Treatment Plants (WWTPs) are considered hot spots for the large microbial communities. The levels of some of the microbial load can be accelerated during the treatment of raw wastewater while others decrease. The wastewater treatment process has been proven to remove various harmful compounds but not effectively (Rizzo et al 2013). Since the quality of the wastewater treatment process depends mainly on the biological activity of the microbial community, it is more feasible to find a reliable method to measure its activity. Daily measurement of certain parameters such as pH, biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) which measures the amount of
oxygen consumed by bacteria while its decomposing organic matter. Chemical oxygen demand (COD) which measures the total quantity of oxygen used to oxidize all the organic and inorganic matter, and total dissolved solids (TDS) which is the total concentration of any dissolved organic and inorganic matter of the treated water daily, indicate the efficiency of the treatment of a wastewater plant. Although that might not be sufficient to judge the quality of the treated water considering it is solely based on a biological treatment process (Zhang et al 2020). Usually, the microbial communities within the biological treatment harbor different microbial populations which cannot be detected by the previous mentioned tests (Cydzik-Kwiatkowska et al 2016, Seviour et al 1999). Generally, WWTPs are not evaluated through microbiological tests. That is usually done in external certified laboratories, such as total coliform, fecal coliform counts (MPN), fecal Streptococcus, E. coli, and nematodes; unless the effluent treated wastewater does not meet the standards, at least, for the Egyptian Code for the reuse of treated wastewater in agriculture (501/2005). Besides, the absence of programs onsite to monitor the quality of treated wastewater before reuse; make it harder to allow these untreated waters to pass to the end-users, more importantly, through irrigation.

In WWTP, wastewater characteristics and the type of treatment of plant systems affect quantitative changes between bacterial communities (Cydzik-Kwiatkowska et al 2012, Schramm et al 1999). The quality of wastewater treatment relies on microbial activity and its ability to degrade organic matter. However, chemical and physical tests rather than microbiological tests, are necessary to measure its activity, which are time-consuming (Rittman et al 1999). It is used to monitor the performance of any wastewater treatment system through its activated sludge even though the treatment process relies on the performance of microorganisms biologically. Different methods exist to quantify the microbial community within the wastewater, such as Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization (FISH) (Seviour et al 1999) and blot hybridization (Hall et al 2002). There is a however a need for a rapid and reliable quantitative method to screen for the bacterial communities within the treatment system to provide a new insight for an improved treatment system. Quantified real-time PCR provided reliable quantification of the PCR amplicons of DNA templates isolated from different raw and treated wastewater samples. It is a one single step, where the accumulation of the PCR amplicons is directly monitored during real-time PCR using a labelled probe and/or SYBR green fluorescence (Becker et al 2000). The cycle thresholds (CT) of each PCR amplicons are compared to a standard curve, resulting in the statistical numerical value of the number of microbial community numbers (quantity) in a given sample.

Therefore, this study aimed to establish a fast, reliable, and cost-effective analytical protocol based on qRT-PCR contrasting two WWTPs (A) and (B). In order to assess and confirm the success of the proposed protocol to measure the quantity of the microbial community as an indicator for the quality of the treated wastewater without the need for further costly microbiological tests.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Wastewater Treatment Plant Sampling and Process

Wastewater samples were collected from two different systems (A) and (B), both under the same administration in one of the largest wastewater treatment plant in Egypt and the Middle East, known as Al-Jabal Al-Asfar (Khanka, Qalyubia Governate). Five significant points were considered: influent (the wastewater flows in the wastewater treatment plant from different locations), return activated sludge (RAS), aeration area (Aer), mechanical dewatering house (MDH), effluent (the treated wastewater flows out from the wastewater treatment plant) from each system, in addition to common stacking area (CSA) from both WWTPs. System (A) was fully operational using international standards and evaluated positively according to the station records, while system (B) was paused for maintenance during sampling and negatively assessed according to the station records.

2.2 DNA extraction and qRT-PCR Analysis

Six samples from each system were collected from different stages of the process (influent, effluent, RAS, Aer, MDH and CSA) in sterilized tubes with two replicates from different stages in the plant and were stored in an icebox until being processed in the lab. Water samples were centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 1 min to precipitate solids and residues. One ml of each sample and its replicate was used for total DNA extraction, lysozyme 10ug/ml was added to each sample and incubated at 36°C for 30 min., Trizol solution was added of equal volume in a 2 ml sterilized plastic tubes and incubated at 65°C for 30 min., followed by ethanol precipitation and wash
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procedures. Extracted DNA samples then was eluted in 50 ul sterilized water and stored at −20°C before the qRT-PCR run. For each sample (triplicates), 2ul DNA was used as a template to a mixture of 1x SensiFAST Lo-ROX Master Mix with 400 nm of each primer (928 F 5’-TAA AAC TYA AAK GAA TTG ACG GG-3’ and 336 R 5’-ACT GCT GCS YCC CGT AGG AGT CT-3’) (Rittman et al 1999). The qRT-PCR thermocycler was programmed as follows: 95°C for 10 min (Enzyme activation), 40 cycles of two-step program (95°C for 10 sec. and 60°C for 1 min). Ten serial dilutions of counted E. coli cells (Stock counts = 1.28 x 10⁵ measured at OD600 using SmartSpec Plus Spectrophotometer (Bio-Rad, USA) were amplified under the same conditions to plot the standard curve and estimate the linear regression equation. All counts were calibrated to the dilution factor.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Water analysis

Al-Jabal Al-Asfar, wastewater treatment plant includes sources from daily household activities from most of the Grand Cairo areas. According to the professional assessors of the plant, it has an average flow rate of 1.6 million m³/day influent. There are standard parameters taken on the site daily such as pH, BOD, COD and TDS. In the time of the samples collection, these parameters were as follow, average values of pH was 7.7–7.8, BOD was 11–13, COD was 36–48, and total dissolved solids (TDS) was 686–790 and it seems to match the standard parameters of any household influent flowing into the WWTP (Zhang et al 2020). It has been studied that the ratio between BOD/COD is the first indication of the water quality and system stability and performance. The ratio of BOD/COD in our WWTP system was 0.3-0.2, while it has been found near 0.5 (Zhang et al 2020) which still was in the range for an influent measurement. The microbial community that is presented in these parameters usually reflects the stability and the efficiency of the plant in the treatment of the wastewater, thus the quality of its effluent (Ahn and Choi 2016).

3.2 DNA extraction and qRT-PCR assay

The qRT-PCR assay was conducted on the six samples of collection points from each system in the plant. Furthermore, based on the pre-counted E. coli qRT-reactions, Ct values of each concentration sample were used to estimate the linear regression equation. The equation showed a correlation value \( R^2 = 0.962 \) according to the standard protocol, reflects a reliable standard curve and equation. The equation was set to: \( Y = 3.445 \times \log(X) + 33.34 \) with efficiency = 95.1% Fig 1.

\[ R^2 = 0.962 \]
\[ Y = 3.445 \times \log(X) + 33.34 \]

Fig 1. Linear regression equation based on \( Ct \) values
Higher C_T value represents lower estimated bacterial counts; the MDH sampling point from the system (A) scored the highest C_T value and recorded the lowest estimated bacterial count, followed by the effluent and the influent, respectively ascending order. The RAS and Aer samples scored the lowest C_T value and, thus, the highest estimated bacterial count. On the other hand, the MDH from the system (B) showed no C_T value, which means no amplification occurred, while the influent scored the highest bacterial count, followed by the RAS, Aer, and effluent, respectively in descending order. For the CSA, where both systems output, no C_T value was calculated, which means no bacteria were detected Table 1.

By relatively quantifying each sample using the standard curve, the influent of System B was higher than System A. In contrast, system A showed much higher quantities of bacterial counts in Aer, RAS, and MDH, while slightly higher in the Effluent samples, while both showed no bacterial count as no C_T values were recorded in the stacking area (CSA) Fig 2. So, even though the bacterial counts of System B were higher, almost double System A in the influent (beginning), but when both systems went through the primary treatment (aeration). System A showed a rapid increase in the bacterial counts compared to System B. Moreover, there was a notable decline in the microbial community in System B through the whole treatment process.

Table 1. Comparison between system (A) and system (B) using C_T values and relative microbial counts acquired by qPCR analysis.

| SYSTEM CODE | SYSTEM CODE | C_T | QUANTITY |
|-------------|-------------|-----|----------|
| A           | Influent    | 19.16 | 9,047,500 |
|             | Aer         | 17.73 | 23,045,000 |
|             | RAS         | 17.34 | 29,750,000 |
|             | MDH         | 27.15 | 48,750   |
|             | Effluent    | 20.53 | 3,695,000 |
| B           | Influent    | 18.55 | 13,482,500 |
|             | Aer         | 20.66 | 3,392,500 |
|             | RAS         | 19.71 | 6,315,000 |
|             | MDH         | No C_T | 0       |
|             | Effluent    | 21.39 | 2,105,500 |
| A & B       | CSA         | No C_T | 0       |

Fig 2. The relative bacterial quantification of both systems (A, blue, and B, orange) for the major WWTP points (Influent, Aer, RAS, MDH, Effluent, and CSA).
The result of the qRT-PCR analysis shows one system (A) has an active microbial community in return activated sludge (RAS) and aeration area (Aer), while the other system (B) showed inactive microbial community in both areas. It is an alarming indication of the performance of System B; even though the bacterial count at the beginning of the influent was high, it went down in quantity once the primary treatment started.

In WWTPs, quantitative changes between bacterial communities are affected by wastewater characteristics and the type of operation of the treatment system (Cydzik-Kwiatkowska et al. 2012, Hall et al. 2002, Seviour et al. 1999). The usage of qRT-PCR has allowed quantitative analysis of DNA templates, representing microbial communities count within the raw and treated wastewater and monitoring the progress of each step/ process along the way of wastewater treatment to ensure the safety of the plant, quality of effluent, and the system performance. The load of the microbial community in any WWTPs depends on the influent wastewater. However, it is almost unfeasible to rely on conventional microbiological techniques to detect the lower microbial community in RAS and Aer in System (B), which might take more than a week to get its results back from the lab. Only quantitative measures like qRT-PCR can guide the assessment through the quality of wastewater treatment with the presence of microbial communities (Biesterfeld et al. 2001, La Para et al. 2000, Onuki et al. 2000). Price et al. (2018) used analytical chemistry and qRT-PCR to monitor the microbial communities in a wastewater treatment plant in six sites along the Wissahickon Creek, which helped to estimate the high-quality effluent that was discharged from WWP to the Schuylkill River as a drinking water resource in eastern Pennsylvania.

Finding molecular genetics techniques that allow a sensitive yet precise detection of the microbial community in the wastewater consider a faster way to quantify and monitor the quality of wastewater treatment than the classical approaches since the time and efficiency are the most important aspects of any WWTP. (Koch et al. 2000). One of the first techniques tried to establish this approach was FISH technique that was used to quantify the bacterial community in any given WWTP (Sanz and Köchling 2007). However, due to its limitation to study only the nitrification bacteria such as ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB), it failed to detect the total bacterial community in any WWTP system. Wong et al. (2005) used the FISH technique to investigate the bacterial community in different WWTPs. The results concluded that there is no a low association between the presence of the bacterial community and the performance of the wastewater system.

Moreover, Rowan et al. (2003) used conventional PCR to compare the diversity and community of different (AOB) in multiple full-scale wastewater treatment reactors. The counts of bacteria were different in each treatment section of each reactor, in which they did estimate their relativeness but could not judge the performance of the reactors. At the same time, Zhang et al. (2020) used the ratio between BOD/COD as an indispensable index to assess system performance and indicate an impending process that took so long to measure.

Detecting the efficiency of the treatment of wastewater plant is considered a priority when it comes to the availability of this water for irrigation or drinking water. There is a real struggle with the absence of a program to monitor the quality of reclaimed (effluent) wastewater before and after treatment, which is necessary before discharging these waters onto a public network of irrigation or drinking water companies. Furthermore, if we need to improve the quality of the treated wastewater, we need a fast and efficient method to monitor the wastewater quality and the microbial communities that control it. Therefore, the application of such a technique like qRT-PCR allows a better understanding of the link between microbial community and operation of the plant system through observing the rapid changes within the microbial community along the treatment process (Cydzik-Kwiatkowska et al. 2012, La Para et al. 2000, Limpiyakorn et al. 2006). That is why our study focused on evaluating the quantity of the microbial community in both systems (A and B) using the qRT-PCR technique to measure the quality of the treated wastewater and the system performance.

4 Conclusion

It was concluded that using qRT-PCR used in this study as a quantitative method is sensitive, efficient, and reliable enough to detect any problem in any step along the wastewater treatment process. As it was successfully measured every step of the treatment in both system in the real time, with no complications or contamination or delay to wait for the results. In addition to, it can be repeated daily in both systems using small amount of each sample. It gave an insight about the defect in system (B) compared to system (A) as it is crucial to fix any problem.
that faces any system before it fails to treat the wa-
ter and could cause more damage through releas-
ing effluent with untreated wastewater from WWTP. Therefore, it is convenient to be used regularly on
the daily assessment of the wastewater to deter-
mine the quality of the treatment of the wastewater system.
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تقييم الحمل الميكروبى فى محطة معالجة مياه الصرف الصحي

باستخدام تقنية qRT–PCR
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الموجز

تعتبر إعادة تدوير مياه الصرف واحدة من أهم قطاعات إعادة التدوير وتنتمى أهميتها إلى التخلص من تدفق مياه المجاري فقط بل إعادة استخدامها أيضاً. ومن أهم المعاملات لمياة الصرف في أي نظام تدوير هي زيادة نشاط الحمل الميكروبى الموجود في هذه المياة. لقد تم تجميع عينات مياة الصرف من نظامين تحت نفس الإدارة في واحدة من أكثر محطات مياة الصرف الصحي في الشرق الأوسط (محطة الجبل الأصفر). وتم استخدام خمس مناطق كبرى رئيسية لتجميع العينات. وفي هذه الدراسة، تم استخدام تقنية qRT–PCR لتحديد كمية الحمل الميكروبى الموجود في مياة الصرف لتقييم كفاءة كل النظامين في محطة الصرف الصحي. فتم تقييم

الحمل الميكروبى في الخمس مناطق الكبرى في كل النظامين داخل المحطة RE: النموذج الحكى. واحدا من النظامين كان يعمل بصورة فعالة ونشطة على العكس من قرينة الذي بدأ ابتعاد تثبيله بالفشل في معالجة مياة الصرف الصحي. نقترح في هذه الدراسة استخدام بصورة دورية كتقنية حديثة في الوراثة الجزيئية لتقنين الحمل الميكروبى الموجود في مياة الصرف الصحي حيث يعتبر طريقة موثق بها وفعالة للحكم على كفاءة معالجة مياة المجاري لإعادة تدويرها. وتوصي الدراسة بتقييم كامل للنظام الغير عامل داخل محطة الصرف الصحي وتحذير على استخدام ميكروبات متوافقة مع الحمل الميكروبى لتواجد في إرها وإعادة تنشيط الحماة المنتشرة لتحسين أداء هذا النظام.