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Abstract

The blue duiker (Cephalophus monticola) is exclusively an African wild bovidae. It is a principal source of protein in the African forest zones and contributes in the nutrition of local populations. Fifteen cranial measurements on 60 skulls using the opportunist’s method as from January 2018 to December 2018. Descriptive statistic and multivariate analysis were done using SPSS version 21.0 software and XLSTAT-Pro version 7.5.2 software. It reveals that, there exist a significant difference between males and females skull (p>0.05): total length of skull 114.32 ± .81 and 121.71 ± 1.77; Palate maximal width 35.24 ± .75 and 44.96 ± 14.80; postorbital apophyses width 23.34 ± 1.11 and 36.26 ± 1.79; Palatine length 55.31 ± 1.16 and 66.52 ± 1.86; condyle basal length 96.53 ± 1.26 and 105.43 ± 3.05 for the males and females respectively. We have a high positive correlation between the jugal teeth line length and the total skull length (0.973); between palatine length and the total skull length (0.990) and, between condyle basal length and the total skull length (0.993). The principal component analysis (PCA) enable us to see the level of genetics variabilities of blue duiker through skull measurements. These variables measurement are close together from one to another where there is a high similarity between species. Despite these similarities, the population structure of blue duiker shows three sub-species of blue duiker C1, C2 and C3 found respectively in the agro ecological zones of the Western highlands, Mono-modal humid forest and Bimodal humid forest. These three sub-species varies genetically.
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Introduction

The hunting of wild life species in the tropical humid region was the principal source of protein and the local trade [1,2]. Bush meat represented 80% of the protein contributions in the rural communities of the developing countries. The carcass animal sold in the urban markets are made up of mostly mammals (95.0%), reptiles (4.6%), birds (0.4%) and amphibians [3,4]. Within these carcass, artiodactyl is more represented (49.82%) with 34.04% of blue duikers [5]. These species are one of the most poached animals in forest zones. Despite their zoology and economic importance, they are also known as most offorested ruminant. It constitutes the first source of bush meat in Cameroon and is threatened per poaching. This practice does not take care of sustainability and the animal quotas. Many studies on the blue duikers are seen by their abundance (Nasi and Van Vliet, 2011; Towa and al, 2018), in relation with the structure of their milieu (Dubost, 1979), their food (Dubost, 1984) and any study on the identification of decomposed carcass leaved in the forest.

Indeed, the traps are stored in the forest. The hunters cannot visit all because, they are often many of them in the forest. For example, in the forest mountain of Oku, one
hunter stored more than 818 trapes per hectares [6]. Around
the Dja Biosphere Reserve, we have 1515 trapes. The number
of trapes cannot enabled hunters to carry all carcass [7]. This
situation let to the abandonment of the animal carcass in the
forest. It becomes difficult to identify animal carcass when
decomposition begins. Especially the blue duikers, if we have
34.0% in the market, it is possible to leave the same percentage
of meat in the forest. Then to identify the rest in the forest, it is
preferable to adopt other approaches to identify these species
and quantify the number of carcass species abandoned in the
forest. For this study, the approach we used was the cranial
measurement.

**Methodology**

**Area study**

Cameroon is located in the west of Central Sub Region of
Africa, stretching from the Gulf of Guinea to Lake Chad. It falls
between latitude 2° to 13° Northofthe equator and longitude 8°
30’ to 16° 10’ East of the Greenwich Meridian. The country
covers a surface area of 475,385 Km2 and has a coast line of 402
Km. It is bounded to the South by the Republic of Congo, Gabon,
Equatorial Guinea and the Atlantic Ocean, to the west by the
Republic of Nigeria, to the North by Lake Chad and to the East
by the Republic of Chad and the Central African Republic. Blue
duikers are in three agro ecological zones of Cameroon (Figure
1): western highlands (zone III) falls between latitude 4°34’
to 6°36’ North and longitude 9°18’ to 11°24’ East; monomodal
humid forest (zone IV) falls between latitude 2°6’ to 6°12’
North and longitude is 8°48’ to 10°30’ East and bimodal humid
forest (zone V) falls between latitude 10°30’ North to longitude
16°12’ East [8].

**Data collections**

The cranial measurement uses many existing possibilities
(Von Den Driesch, 1976). The measurement numerated from 1
to 10 are the same used by Lajoie, et al. [9] and served especially
for the classification of sub species of wolf (Canis lupus).
Measurements 11; 12; 13; 14 and 16 are the main one to
distinguish the Wolf of the Coyote [9]. For instance, ruminants
do not have the canine, we have taken 14 measurements. Then,
60 skulls have been measured haphazardly by the opportunistic
method developed by Lahm [10], to evaluate the quantity of
bush meat in the Central African villages. These 14 measures
(in mm) have been taken by the Vernier with the skull mass
(in g): total skull length, zygomatic width, jugal teeth, line
length, palate maximal width, minimal palate width, post-
orbital apophyses width, the height between first molar and
orbit, arcade zygomatic height, the fourth upper pre-molar
length, second molar width, distance between the margin of
the incisives row and the edge of the temporal condyle, condyle
basal length, pre-maxillary width, orbiter angle and mass.

**Statistical analysis**

On the base of the 14 craniometrical measures, we have
used statistical description. The principal component analysis
(PCA) have been carried out to evaluate the genetic variability
of the blue duiker population studies [11]. The data analysis
method called the multivariate analysis, which consist in the transformation of the correlated variable in the new decor related variables from one another. These new variables are named “principal components”, or principal axes. It enables us to reduce the numbers of variables and to send the least redundant information. The PCA enabled us to identify a least number of components or axes which better explained data variability. It consist in compressing the whole number of random variables, the first axes of the PCA are the best choices in terms of the inertia or of the variance.

The construction of phylogenetic tree or dendrogram following the protocol of Hierarchy Ascending Classification (HAC), we have used the Pearson correlation to identify the genetic type and the relationship which exist between each other [12]. It is one of the statistical method of data analysis which enabled us to divide a whole number of data in different homogeneity groups. In this case, the data of each sub-whole number divides the common measurement, which often correspondsto the proximity criteria (similarities or dissimilarities) that we have defined to introduce the distance measurement and classes between objects. This technique of data analysis also enables us to hierarchy data thereby, to construct dendrograms which gives an evidence of the distance between groups or their similarities and dissimilarities.

Analysis of population structure has been realized by means of Factorial Discriminant Analysis (FDA) on the base of 14 measurements [11] thereby to identify the characters which distinguished better blue duikers as described. It enables to represent graphically the different cranial measurements of blue duikers and the centre of their groups using more discriminants axes.

The software analysis SPSS version 21.0 and XLSTAT-Pro version 7.5.2. was used for data analysis.

Results

Cranio metric measures of blue duikers

Skulls (N=60) has done the 15 measurements. The tables (1) bellow shows that the mass has the significate difference between zone III and zone IV; between zone IV and zone V. On the other hand, we don’t have significate difference between zone III and zone V. Between the two sex, we have significate difference between male and female post-orbital apophyses. On the other hand, height between first molar and orbit and arcade zygomatic height don’t present significate difference between male and female. The coefficient of variation (CV) shows homogeneity for the characteristic post-orbital apophyses, but the heterogeneity for the height between first molar and orbit and arcade zygomatic height.

Table 1: Mass, total skull length, zygomatic width of Skull of blue duiker.

| Agro ecological Zones | N | Mass (g) | CV (%) | Skull length (mm) | CV (%) | Zygomatic width (mm) | CV (%) |
|-----------------------|---|----------|-------|-------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|
| Zone III              | 20| 6.49 ± 2.35% | 36.25 | 117.36 ± 3.51% | 2.99 | 55.45 ± 1.17% | 2.10 |
| Zone IV               | 20| 7.59 ± 2.24% | 29.45 | 118.23 ± 4.38% | 3.71 | 56.33 ± 1.34% | 2.38 |
| Zone V                | 20| 8.24 ± 2.86% | 34.72 | 118.47 ± 4.09% | 3.45 | 56.30 ± 1.27% | 2.25 |
| Sex                   | 30| 7.44 ± 2.98% | 40.04 | 114.32 ± 0.82% | 0.72 | 55.67 ± 0.69% | 1.24 |
| F                     | 30| 7.44 ± 2.11% | 28.42 | 121.72 ± 1.77% | 1.45 | 56.38 ± 1.65% | 2.93 |
| General mean          | 60| 7.44 ± 2.56 | 34.42 | 118.02 ± 3.97 | 2.17 | 56.03 ± 1.31 | 2.33 |

Table 2: Jugal teeth line length, palate maximal width, and minimal palate width of skull of blue duiker.

| Agro ecological Zones | N | Jugal teeth line length | CV (%) | palate maximal width | CV (%) | minimal palate width | CV (%) |
|-----------------------|---|------------------------|-------|----------------------|-------|----------------------|-------|
| Zone III              | 20| 35.35 ± 2.88% | 8.15 | 37.57 ± 2.74% | 7.30 | 20.19 ± 2.24% | 11.10 |
| Zone IV               | 20| 36.39 ± 3.82% | 10.50 | 44.11 ± 19.10% | 43.23 | 21.03 ± 1.37% | 6.52 |
| Zone V                | 20| 36.28 ± 3.67% | 10.13 | 38.63 ± 3.45% | 8.94 | 21.19 ± 1.71% | 8.05 |
| Sex                   | 30| 32.96 ± 0.62% | 1.89 | 35.24 ± 0.75% | 2.13 | 22.09 ± 0.80% | 3.63 |
| F                     | 30| 39.06 ± 2.17% | 5.55 | 44.49 ± 14.80% | 32.92 | 19.52 ± 1.66% | 8.51 |
| General mean          | 60| 36.01 ± 3.46 | 9.60 | 40.11 ± 11.49 | 28.65 | 20.80 ± 1.83 | 8.81 |

Table 3: Post-orbital apophyses width, height between first molar and orbit, arcade zygomatic height of skull of blue duiker.

| Agro ecological Zones | N | Post-orbital apophyses width | CV (%) | height between first molar and orbit | CV (%) | arcade zygomatic height | CV (%) |
|-----------------------|---|-----------------------------|-------|------------------------------------|-------|------------------------|-------|
| Zone III              | 20| 29.18 ± 6.26% | 21.45 | 14.30 ± 1.51% | 10.53 | 10.40 ± 1.50% | 14.46 |
| Zone IV               | 20| 29.99 ± 7.25% | 24.16 | 15.10 ± 1.14% | 7.57 | 11.06 ± 1.12% | 10.13 |
| Zone V                | 20| 30.25 ± 6.80% | 22.49 | 15.23 ± 1.23% | 8.08 | 11.17 ± 1.10% | 9.83 |
| Sex                   | 30| 23.35 ± 1.11% | 4.76 | 15.22 ± 0.69% | 4.50 | 11.59 ± 0.40% | 3.44 |
| F                     | 30| 33.27 ± 1.79% | 4.95 | 14.53 ± 1.72% | 11.86 | 10.16 ± 1.45% | 14.32 |
| General mean          | 60| 29.81 ± 6.68 | 22.42 | 14.88 ± 1.35 | 9.05 | 10.88 ± 1.28 | 11.77 |

a: Value supporting the same letter in the same column are not significantly different. (p<0.05).
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Table (4) presents that any characteristics don’t have influence of agro ecological zone, then any significate difference. we don’t have any significate difference between Fourth upper pre-molar length female and male, between Second molar width female and male and, between the Distance between the margin of the incisives row and the edge of the temporal condyle male and female.

Table (5) presents that any characteristics don’t have influence of agro ecological zone, then any significate difference. we have significate difference between Condyle basal length, pre-maxillary width, orbiter angle of skull of blue duiker.

T-Test of cranial measurement of blue duikers

Cranial measurements of blue duikers have enable the means separation using T-Test of student (Table 6) presents the significate bilateral difference for the characteristic following: Total skull length (b), Jugal teeth line length (d), Palate maximal width (e), Minimal palate width (f), Post-orbital apophyses width (g), Arcade zygomatic height (i), Fourth upper pre-molar length (j), Second molar width (k),

Table 4: Fourth upper pre-molar length, second molar width, distance between the margin of the incisives row and the edge of the temporal condyle of skull of blue duiker.

| Agro ecological Zones | N | Fourth upper pre-molar length & CV (%) | Second molar width & CV (%) | Distance between the margin of the incisives row and the edge of the temporal condyle & CV (%) |
|-----------------------|---|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Zone III              | 20| 5.33 ± 0.86%                           | 16.18                       | 19.21                                   |
| Zone IV               | 20| 5.94 ± 1.50%                           | 23.51                       | 23.32                                   |
| Zone V                | 20| 5.88 ± 1.35%                           | 23.03                       | 21.79                                   |
| Sex                   |   | M                                      | 5.04 ± 0.40%                | 7.88                                    |
|                       |   | F                                      | 6.39 ± 1.49%                | 23.33                                   |
| General mean          | 60| 5.71 ± 1.28%                           | 22.36                       | 22.23                                   |

a: Value supporting the same letter in the same column are not significantly different. (p=0.05).

Table 5: Condyle basal length, pre-maxillary width, orbiter angle of skull of blue duiker.

| Agro ecological Zones | N | Condyle basal length | pre-maxillary width & CV (%) | orbiter angle & CV (%) |
|-----------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| Zone III              | 20| 100.21 ± 4.41%       | 23.82 ± 1.12%               | 27.75 ± 2.36%          |
| Zone IV               | 20| 101.22 ± 5.60%       | 24.40 ± 1.48%               | 28.25 ± 1.94%          |
| Zone V                | 20| 101.52 ± 5.23%       | 34.63 ± 5.84%               | 38.35 ± 2.18%          |
| Sex                   |   | M                     | 96.54 ± 1.26%               | 23.83 ± 1.29%          |
|                       |   | F                     | 105.43 ± 3.06%              | 31.41 ± 6.74%          |
| General mean          | 60| 100.99 ± 5.05%       | 27.62 ± 2.85%               | 28.12 ± 2.15%          |

a: Value supporting the same letter in the same column are not significantly different. (p=0.05).

Table 6: T-Test of skull measurements of blue duikers.

| Cranial measures of blue duikers | t | df | Sig. (bilateral) | Difference mean |
|----------------------------------|---|----|------------------|-----------------|
| Mass (a)                         | -0.01 | 58 | 0.99            | -0.01 ± 0.67    |
| Total skull length (b)           | -20.77 | 58 | 0.00**          | -7.40 ± 0.36    |
| Zygomatic width (c)              | -2.17 | 58 | 0.34            | -0.71 ± 0.33    |
| Jugal teeth line length (d)      | -14.82 | 58 | 0.00**          | -6.10 ± 0.41    |
| Palate maximal width (e)         | -3.59 | 58 | 0.00**          | -7.93 ± 2.71    |
| Minimal palate width (f)         | 7.64  | 58 | 0.00**          | 2.57 ± 0.34     |
| Post-orbital apophyses width (g) | -33.54 | 58 | 0.00**          | -12.92 ± 0.39   |
| Height between first molar and orbit (h) | 2.04 | 58 | 0.05            | 0.69 ± 0.34     |
| Arcade zygomatic height (i)      | 5.20  | 58 | 0.00**          | 1.43 ± 0.28     |
| Fourth upper pre-molar length (j) | -4.79 | 58 | 0.00**          | -1.35 ± 0.28    |
| Second molar width (k)           | -3.18 | 58 | 0.00**          | -0.91 ± 0.28    |
| Distance between the margin of the incisives row and the edge of the temporal condyle (i) | -27.95 | 58 | 0.00**          | -11.21 ± 0.45   |
| Condyle basal length (m)         | -14.73 | 58 | 0.00**          | -8.89 ± 0.60    |
| Pre-maxillary width (n)          | -1.13 | 58 | 0.27            | -7.59 ± 6.74    |
| Orbiter angle (o)                | 14.42 | 58 | 0.00**          | 3.77 ± 0.26     |

Significant differences. P<0.05. a (F= 4.822; P= 0.000), b (F= 5.895; P= 0.000), c (F= 6.026; P= 0.000), d (F= 3.289; P= 0.000), e (F= 15.088; P= 0.000), f (F= 42.121; F= 0.000), k (F= 37.005; F= 0.002), l (F= 2.457; P= 0.000), m (F= 21.877; P= 0.000), n (F= 33.130; P= 0.000).

Distance between the margin of the incisives row and the edge of the temporal condyle (i), Condyle basal length (m) and Orbiter angle (o).

Principal component analysis (PCA) of cranial measurement of blue duiker’s

The principal component analysis was done to show the contribution of 15 cranial measurements to the explanation of genetic variabilities within blue duiker’s population. These 15 measurements have enable us to obtain 15 proper values which permitted the construction of correlation circle (Figure 2).

The observation of the figure 2 shows that orbiter angle, arcade zygomatic height and the minimal palate width are the orthogonal ones against others, they are significantly non-correlated. The cranial measurements of blue duikers are mostly closer one against the other, indicating that these measurements construct a similar structure (Figure 3).

The figure 3 enables us to represent cranial measurement on a map of two dimensions, thus to identify tendencies.

Hierarchy ascending classification (HAC) of blue duikers of Cameroon

Dendrogram of figure 4 illustrates the link between three genetic types of the populations according to the similarities.

We have noted the similarities between blue duikers of Western agro ecological (C1) and those of the monomodal humid forest (C2). We have also observed similarities between the monomodal humid forest (C2) and the bimodal humid forest (C3). In the contrary, we observed a dissimilarity between blue duikers of Western agro ecological(C1) and those of bimodal humid forest (C3). Then, blue duiker’s populations could have constituted three (3) sub species: C1, C2 and C3.
Factorial Discriminant analysis (FDA) of blue duiker

Factorial Discriminant Analysis (FDA) of cranial measurements has also enabled to detect that the population study constitutes of three genetics types (figure 5).

On the figure 5, are clustering cranial measurement on the factorial axes. We observed three barycenter corresponding to the three-sub species C1, C2 and C3.

**Discussions**

The skull mass of blue duikers in the three agro ecological zones showed little variation, they did not present any influence on the mass. However, the coefficient of variations between these three zones was higher. The knowledge of skull of blue duikers enabled us to easily identify their abandoned carcass in the forest. Then in the frame of the fight for anti-poaching, these results will enable us to determine the quantities of abandoned carcass in the forest by the hunters. According to Decree N° 95/466/PM of 20 July fixingthe modalities of application regime on wildlife in its article 24 (1), traditional hunting is free on all extended territory, except in a private property, in the protected area where it is submitted to the regulation [13]. This disposition is not always respected by the local population of the forest zone which poaches this species. It conducted to the abandoned of animal carcass in the forest and put in place the quota problem [14]. These species are highly poached and occupied the first place in the national market of bush meat [4,15]. If we want to evaluate objectively the level of blue duikers captured, we must estimate the carcass abandoned in the forest because bush meat of blue duikers is very important for the local population [16].

The three genetic types obtained enabled us to see the population structure of blue duiker’s in the different agro ecological zone. The knowledge of the resource permitted good control because in its article 17 on the control of genetic resources used, the Nagoya protocol stipulates it, thereby to favour the respect of applicable rules, each part takes the
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appropriate measures for the control of genetics resources used and increases the transparency concerned, using [17,18]. These measures must be linked with the use of genetic resources with the pertinent collection of information.

Conclusion

The combination of cranial measurement has enable to send out three genetic types which has permitted to see the structure of blue duiker’s populations of Cameroon. As it was observed, between C1 and C2 one part and between C2 and C3 other part, blue duikers of Cameroon are present in subspecies. The similarities observed was due to the transition zones between the different agro ecological zones, while the dissimilarity observed between C1 and C3 was due to the fact that, certain blue duikers are at the extremities of zone II, V and IV. Then craniometrics approach enabled us to implement the Decree N° 95/466/PM of 20 July which fixed the modalities of application regime of wildlife.
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