Temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE) is one of the most common drug-resistant forms of epilepsy in adults and usually originates in the hippocampal formations. However, both the network mechanisms that support the seizure spread and the exact directions of ictal propagation remain largely unknown. Here we report the dissection of ictal propagation in the hippocampal-entorhinal cortex (HP-EC) structures using optogenetic methods in multiple brain regions of a kainic acid-induced model of TLE in VGAT-ChR2 transgenic mice. We perform highly temporally precise cross-area analyses of epileptic neuronal networks and find a feed-forward propagation pathway of ictal discharges from the dentate gyrus/hilus (DGH) to the medial entorhinal cortex, instead of a re-entrant loop. We also demonstrate that activating DGH GABAergic interneurons can significantly inhibit the spread of ictal seizures and largely rescue behavioural deficits in kainate-exposed animals. These findings may shed light on future therapeutic treatments of TLE.
Temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE) is one of the most common types of epilepsy in adults. It is a circuit-level syndrome characterized by spontaneous seizures originating from the hippocampal and parahippocampal (HP–PHP) structures1–3. Until recently, relatively little has been known about the underlying mechanisms of ictal propagation in the pathological circuitry. Dissection of these mechanisms of propagation within the HP–PHP structures is crucially important for the development of specific therapeutic targets to more effectively treat TLE4–7.

During seizures, hypersynchronous discharges of inter-connected excitatory neurons in the ictal core spread to the efferent brain regions and recruit neighbouring neurons into an abnormally synchronous pattern2,3. Many researchers have used classical patch-clamp analysis in hippocampal–entorhinal cortex (HP–EC) brain slices to identify the propagation path of ictal activity in the aberrant circuitry. These studies revealed that ictal discharges are initiated in the EC, propagate via the dentate gyrus (DG) to hippocampal regions CA3 and CA1, and subsequently re-enter the EC (EC–DG–CA3–CA1–EC re-entrant loop6–9). Other studies using in vitro isolated guinea pig brain demonstrated that ictal discharges might propagate via a DG–CA1–EC–DG re-entrant loop3,10. The exact subregion of the pathological circuitry that dominates ictal propagation remained largely unclear, however. Recent studies suggested that multi-site in vivo recording and manipulation would be critical for investigating the propagation pathway of ictal discharges, as it could provide highly precise spatiotemporal insight into the dynamics of seizures in different brain regions at the organism level12,11. Although data from simultaneously recorded local field potentials (LFPs) and electroencephalographs suggested that the earliest epileptic seizures most frequently originate in the hippocampal formation2,11, the spread of ictal discharges in the HP–EC structures had not yet been fully characterized in vivo due to certain limitations in current methodologies.

Epileptic seizures are generally recognized as a consequence of excitation/inhibition (E/I) imbalance in involved neuronal networks12. Restoring appropriate E/I balance by cell-specific modulation at proper sites in the epileptic circuitry is crucial for intervention in seizures. The function of inhibitory neurons has attracted increasing attention due to their essential role in the regulation of neuronal activity and network synchronization, as well as the coordination of animal behaviours13–15. Because classical electrophysiological techniques lack cell-type specificity, advances in optogenetics have only recently allowed us to characterize and manipulate the pathological circuitry underlying seizures in vivo, with high temporal precision and with particular regard to GABAergic interneurons (INs). Although optogenetic techniques have already shown significant efficacy in interrupting seizure activity in epileptic animals3,16–19, circuit-level dissection of ictal propagation in the HP–PHP structures has not been adequately conducted at milliseconds timescales and under cell-specific conditions in vivo. As a result, the exact subregion of the HP–EC structure that dominates the propagation of ictal discharges is also still unknown.

To address circuit-level mechanisms controlling seizure activity, we develop novel neural probes for drug delivery as well as multi-site optical stimulation and electrophysiological recording in vivo. We induce TLE seizures by direct microinjection of kainic acid (KA) into the dorsal hippocampus of VGAT-ChR2 transgenic mice20, and obtain multi-channel recordings from multiple brain regions including dentate gyrus/hilus (DGH), medial entorhinal cortex (MEC) and primary motor cortex (M1). On the basis multi-region electrical recordings, we find significantly increased synchronizations between the recorded regions during ictal seizures. To investigate the temporal relationship between these regions, we analyse the cross-area spike-triggered average of the LFPs (stLFPs) and the distribution of the cross-correlation peak lags of the LFPs. Instead of a re-entrant propagating loop, our data directly suggest a feed-forward propagation pathway of ictal discharges from the DGH to MEC. We then use optogenetic manipulations of ChR2-expressing GABAergic INs to verify our finding. Selectively activating DGH GABAergic INs instantly suppress ictal propagation and rescue behavioural deficits in KA-treated mice, while exciting MEC GABAergic INs show no significant effect. These results confirm a feed-forward direction of ictal propagation from the DGH to MEC and demonstrate that hypersynchronous DGH neurons may dominate the ictal discharges. They also may shed new light on underlying network mechanisms of seizure suppression, suggesting DGH neurons as potential targets for therapeutic TLE interventions. Furthermore, as the hippocampal formation also plays an important role in learning, memory, spatial navigation, emotion and social behaviour, functional dissection of HP–EC circuitry may also contribute to understanding other neuropsychiatric disorders including Alzheimer’s disease, and emotive and cognitive impairments21–23.

Results

Increased synchrony results in ictal propagation. To investigate network dynamics in the pathological circuitry affected by TLE in vivo, custom-made neural probes were implanted into multiple brain regions simultaneously (Supplementary Fig. 1). KA was microinjected into the right dorsal hippocampus to induce an acute status epilepticus. Ictal discharges started ~30 min after kainate administration and were characterized by significantly increased numbers of large-amplitude spikes and LFPs. During this period, multi-unit bursts frequently replaced single units in the DGH and MEC (Fig. 1a–d), suggesting hypersynchronization of the affected neurons24,25. We thus calculated stLFPs to quantify synchronization and study the temporal dynamics in the epileptic circuitry during ictal seizures26,27. In normal animals, only a weak peak appeared in DGH spike-triggered averages of DGH LFPs (DGH–DGH stLFPs, n = 5 mice; Fig. 1e), probably due to the burst firing of DGH principal neurons (PNs; Supplementary Fig. 3). No obvious peak in MEC spike-triggered averages of MEC LFPs was observed (MEC–MEC stLFPs, n = 6 mice; Fig. 1f). After the onset of ictal seizures, strong DGH–DGH and MEC–MEC stLFP peaks emerged (Fig. 1g–h), with average amplitudes of 32.0 ± 118.6 and 171.2 ± 58.8 μV (mean ± s.d.; Fig. 1m) and average latencies of 1.5 ± 1.0 and 4.2 ± 1.2 ms, respectively (mean ± s.d.; Fig. 1n). This suggests that the LFP fluctuations were triggered by the hypersynchronous multi-unit activity of local neurons.

We next asked whether local neural activities also had a temporal relationship with LFPs in a distant region of the HP–EC circuitry. Before kainate administration, no apparent peak was observed in DGH spike-triggered averages of MEC LFPs (DGH–MEC stLFPs, n = 5 mice; Fig. 1i) or in the MEC spike-triggered averages of DGH LFPs (MEC–DGH stLFPs, n = 6 mice; Fig. 1j). However, in the ictal phase, strong peaks in both DGH–MEC and MEC–DGH stLFPs were observed (Fig. 1k–l), with average amplitudes of 201.4 ± 126.0 and 132.3 ± 46.4 μV, respectively (mean ± s.d.; Fig. 1m), suggesting a strong temporal relationship between the DGH and MEC. Interestingly, we found that average peak latencies in the DGH–MEC and MEC–DGH stLFPs were 14.7 ± 2.6 (mean ± s.d.; Fig. 1n) and 7.5 ± 1.4 ms (mean ± s.d., Fig. 1n), respectively.
The increase in absolute value of cross-area stLFP latency may be due to the prolonged travelling distance of ictal discharges. A positive or negative shift in the cross-area stLFP peak is associated with postsynaptic or presynaptic responses, respectively, representing the directionality in ictal propagation. The results therefore imply that MEC spikes were triggered by DGH LGFs and MEC LGFs by DGH spikes. Altogether, it suggests a propagation direction of DGH spikes → DGH LGFs → MEC spikes → MEC LGFs (Fig. 1o), indicating that ictal discharges in the MEC may be triggered by the activity of hypersynchronous DGH neurons.

DGH PNs dominate ictal propagation. To corroborate the above findings, we used VGAT-ChR2(H134R)-EYFP bacterial artificial chromosome transgenic mice to test whether hyperexcitability of neurons in the HP–EC structures could be suppressed by the optogenetic activation of GABAergic INs (experimental design shown in Supplementary Fig. 2). Specific expression of ChR2 in GABAergic INs was evidenced by high co-localization of endogenous YFP and GAD67 in DGH and MEC horizontal sections (Supplementary Figs 3a and 4a)28. The function of ChR2-positive neurons was verified by electrophysiological analyses in vivo (Supplementary Figs 3b–h and 4b–h), which suggested that optical stimulation significantly activated GABAergic INs and suppressed the activity of local PNs.

During ictal seizures, spontaneous multi-unit bursts were detected in the DGH (n = 104 neurons from 10 mice) and MEC (n = 95 neurons from 10 mice) (Fig. 2). An optimized stimulation frequency of 130 Hz was chosen and evaluated by its inhibitory efficiency on local ictal activity (Supplementary Fig. 5). Selectively activating DGH (n = 61 from 5 mice; Fig. 2a–c) or MEC GABAergic INs (n = 47 from 5 mice; Fig. 2f), significantly decreased the firing rates of local neurons. However, we found that activating DGH GABAergic INs also inhibited multi-unit firings in the MEC (n = 48 from 5 mice; Fig. 2d,e), whereas activating MEC GABAergic INs showed no significant effect on the activity of DGH neurons (n = 43 from 5 mice; Fig. 2g,h).

This suggests that DGH ictal discharges may have a governing influence on the activity of MEC neurons, in accordance with the analysis of cross-area stLFPs.

Previous studies suggested that ictal seizures propagate through a re-entrant loop29, so we hypothesized that decreasing neuronal activity in either the DGH or MEC might interrupt the propagation of ictal discharges. We calculated the LFP power in multiple brain regions of kainate-treated mice simultaneously, to test the inhibitory effect of DGH and MEC GABAergic INs on seizures. Neuronal activity in the primary motor cortex (M1) was also recorded as an indicator of behavioural seizures29. After the onset of ictal seizures, the LFP power in the DGH, MEC and M1 all significantly increased within a broad frequency range from 4 to 100 Hz (n = 10 mice; Fig. 3). Light delivery to the DGH caused a significant decrease in local LFP activity across the whole frequency interval, as well as in the MEC and M1 (n = 10 mice; Fig. 3a–d; Supplementary Fig. 6), with activity levels reduced to non-kainate baselines. Although activating MEC GABAergic INs suppressed local LFP activity, it showed no significant effect on the activity of DGH or M1 neurons (n = 10 mice; Fig. 3e–h; Supplementary Fig. 7).

To further localize the affected brain regions under optogenetic stimulation, we injected AAV-DIO-Chr2 virus into the DGH of GAD-Cre mice. A similar result to that in VGAT-Chr2 mice was observed, confirming the contribution of DGH GABAergic INs to seizure inhibition (n = 5 mice; Supplementary Fig. 8). We also tested whether bidirectional optogenetic modulation (excitation or inhibition) of DGH INs could suppress seizures. However, no significant influence on ictal discharges was observed during optogenetic inhibition of GAD-NHR-expressing neurons in DGH (n = 3 mice; Supplementary Fig. 9). This can be explained that the DGH INs mainly show a direct inhibitory effect on local PNs (Supplementary Fig. 3); therefore, optogenetic inhibition of INs could not stop seizures29. These results provide direct evidence that the propagation of ictal discharges could be instantly inhibited by selectively activating only DGH, not
Figure 2 | Selectively activating GABAergic interneurons inhibits multi-unit activity during ictal seizures. (a,f) Targeting sites for optical stimulation and electrical recording in vivo. (b,d,g,i) Representative examples of multi-unit firing rates in the DGH (b,g) and MEC (d,i) at the indicated times before, during and after 60 s optical stimulation. (c,e,h,j) Averaged multi-unit firing rates in the DGH (c, n = 61 from 5 mice; h, n = 47 from 5 mice) and MEC (e, n = 48 from 5 mice; j, n = 43 from 5 mice), respectively. Light pulses (473 nm, 5 ms pulse duration at 130 Hz) were delivered into the DGH (a-e) and MEC (f-j) at time 0. The thick blue line denotes the 60-s stimulation period. Error bars represent s.e.m. Stars represent significant differences (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, paired t-test).

Figure 3 | Selectively activating GABAergic interneurons suppress ictal seizure activities. (a,e) The targeting sites for optical stimulation and electrical recording in kainite mice. (b,d,f-h) Mean spectrograms of LFPs recorded in the DGH (b,f), MEC (c,g) and M1 (d,h), respectively (n = 10 mice). Data were normalized to the maximal log_{10}(power) value across the whole 4–100 Hz frequency interval. Purple traces are raw LFP data from representative channels recorded in the DGH (b,f), MEC (c,g) and M1 (d,h), respectively. Light pulses (473 nm, 5 ms pulse duration at 130 Hz) were delivered into the DGH (a-e) and MEC (f-j) at time 0. The thick blue line denotes the initial 5 s of stimulation periods.

MEC, GABAergic INs. This also implies that DGH PNs may dominate ictal propagation in the HP–EC circuitry.

Activating DGH GABAergic INs stops ictal propagation. To further identify the propagation direction of ictal discharges and investigate the influence of optogenetic modulation on the spread of seizures in the HP–EC structures, we analysed the cross-correlation of instantaneous amplitudes of field potential oscillations. The instantaneous amplitudes of the LFPs recorded in DGH and MEC were cross-correlated, and the average lags and distributions of lags in the cross-correlation peaks were calculated.
Figure 4 | The cross-correlation of instantaneous amplitudes of field potential oscillations between DGH and MEC indicates the propagating direction of ictal seizures. (a,b) The average distributions (a) and lags (b) of MEC–DGH cross-correlation peaks during normal state (black traces, n = 3 mice), stimulation in the DGH (blue traces, n = 3 mice) and stimulation in the MEC (green traces, n = 3 mice), respectively. (c,d): The average distributions (c) and lags (d) of MEC–DGH cross-correlation peaks of kainate mice during normal state (black traces, n = 5 mice), ictal state (red traces, n = 5 mice) and stimulation in the DGH (blue traces, n = 5 mice) and MEC (green traces, n = 5 mice). Triangles represent the maximum points. Error bars represent s.e.m. Stars represent significant differences (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, one-sample t-test).

(Fig. 4). In normal conditions, only weak peaks were observed in the theta-, alpha-, beta- and gamma-band cross-correlations (Fig. 4a). The distributions of MEC–DGH lags in all frequency bands were not significantly different from zero ($P > 0.05$, one-sample t-test, $n = 3$ mice; Fig. 4b), suggesting no leading relationship between these two brain regions. In the ictal period, however, strong, sharp cross-correlation peaks emerged, especially in the beta and gamma bands (Fig. 4c), and the distributions of lags were significantly different from zero ($P < 0.001$, one-sample t-test, $n = 5$ mice; Fig. 4d). This result directly suggests a feed-forward propagation of ictal discharges from the DGH to MEC, in accordance with the analysis of cross-area stLFPs$^{30,31}$. After KA, Stim. in MEC

We then tested whether selectively exciting GABAergic INs could change the beta–gamma-band activity flow of ictal seizures in HP–EC structures. We noticed that optical stimulation partially changed the distribution of MEC–DGH lags in the beta–alpha band, but did not influence beta–gamma-band information flow during normal state (Fig. 4a,b). During ictal seizures, the distributions of lags across the whole frequency intervals were not significantly different from zero when activating DGH GABAergic INs ($P > 0.05$, one-sample t-test; Fig. 4d), implying an inhibition of ictal propagation from the DGH to MEC (Supplementary Figs 10 and 11). However, when activating MEC GABAergic INs, the distributions of lags in the beta and gamma bands remained significantly different from zero ($P < 0.001$, one-sample t-test; Fig. 4d), suggesting that ictal discharges still propagate from the DGH to MEC (Supplementary Fig. 11f). Altogether, these findings imply that the DGH may act upstream of the MEC during ictal propagation, and activating DGH GABAergic INs can directly stop the outward spread of seizures in the HP–EC structures.

We have also tested our findings using an amygdala-kainate model$^{32,33}$. After 500 nl of KA solution (0.30 mg ml$^{-1}$) was injected into the basolateral complex of the amygdala (BLA), strong, sharp cross-correlation peaks emerged that were significantly negatively shifted from zero, especially in the gamma band ($P < 0.001$, one-sample t-test, $n = 8$; Supplementary Fig. 12). Optogenetic activation of DGH GABAergic INs caused a significant decrease in LFP activity across the whole frequency
interval in the DGH and MEC (n = 5; Supplementary Fig. 13), whereas activating MEC GABAergic INs failed to inhibit DGH seizures, especially in the beta–gamma band (n = 5; Supplementary Fig. 14). This result implies that DGH neurons are highly susceptible to seizures, while also being dominant over the MEC in ictal propagation in the HP–EC circuitry of BLA kainate mice.

Activating DGH INs decreases cross-area coherence. To quantify the influence of optogenetic modulation on synchronization between distant brain regions during ictal seizures, we analysed LFP coherences in the beta and gamma bands. In the normal state, the averages of DGH–MEC coherence were below 0.4 in the beta and gamma bands (Fig. 5). Activating DGH GABAergic INs showed no significant effect on the values of DGH–MEC (Fig. 5a,b) coherence across most of the beta–gamma frequency intervals, while light delivery to the MEC slightly increased the averages of DGH–MEC coherence (Fig. 5e,f). This may be attributed to variation in the firing pattern of MEC PNs from random to regular during optical stimulation (Supplementary Fig. 4f,h). During ictal seizures, the values of DGH–MEC coherence in the gamma band were significantly increased (Fig. 5g,h), implying that ictal discharges recruit the DGH–MEC coherence in the gamma band.

We then asked whether this aberrant synchronization between the afferent brain regions could be rescued by activating GABAergic INs. Optogenetic stimulation of the DGH caused a sharp decrease in DGH–MEC (Fig. 5c,d) coherence, especially in the gamma band. Interestingly, activating MEC GABAergic INs significantly increased DGH–MEC (Fig. 5g,h) coherence, especially in the low-gamma band, similar to responses before KA treatment (Fig. 5e,f). Besides, we also found that optogenetic stimulation of the DGH sharply decreased DGH–M1 coherence especially in the gamma band, whereas activating MEC GABAergic INs slightly increased MEC–M1 coherence (Supplementary Fig. 15). These results suggest that selectively exciting GABAergic INs in the DGH, but not the MEC, suppressed ictal propagation and downregulated cross-area synchronization. Accordingly, we can conclude that ictal seizures in the MEC and M1 are propagated from the DGH. Activating DGH GABAergic INs re-establish the E/I balance of local neuronal networks and thus inhibit outward propagation of ictal discharges.

Cyclic stimulation has a prolonged inhibitory effect. As the distribution of lags shifted towards zero after several light-delivering cycles (Supplementary Fig. 10d), we tested whether cyclic optogenetic activation of DGH GABAergic INs had a long-lasting inhibitory effect on ictal propagation. Approximately 30 min after kainate injection, strong epileptic seizures, lasting for >120 min, were detected in the DGH (Fig. 6a). The theta–gamma-band LFP powers were significantly increased and reached a maximum value at 75 min, followed by a slight decrease (n = 8 mice; Fig. 6c). After the onset of ictal seizures, cyclic blue light pulses (130 Hz, 5 ms duration, 1 min per cycle) were delivered to activate DGH GABAergic INs. Interstimulation interval was optimized at 5 min due to its long-term inhibitory efficiency (Supplementary Fig. 16). We observed that optical stimulation of the DGH only inhibited ictal seizures after several cycles (Fig. 6b). Detailed analysis showed that LFP activity in the theta and alpha bands did not increase during optical stimulation. The beta and gamma-band LFP powers peaked at 50 min, and then decreased immediately after light delivery.

We also analysed the behaviour of epileptic animals to evaluate the therapeutic effects of optical stimulation. Consistent with electrophysiological recordings, animals showed seizure-related behaviours corresponding to Racine’s score III–V shortly after KA treatment (Supplementary Movie 1). The seizure numbers for Racine grade IV–V behaviours were calculated for each 30-min segment during a 120-min period after KA injection (Fig. 6d). In the non-stimulated group, the average seizure number was 2.29 ± 0.29 (n = 7, mean ± s.e.m.) in period I, increased to 3.00 ± 0.31 in periods II and III (30–90 min), and...
decreased to 2.43 ± 0.61 in period IV (90–120 min). The average seizure number of the stimulated group (2.43 ± 0.20; n = 7) in period I was not significantly different from the non-stimulated group (P > 0.05, paired t-test; Fig. 6d,e). However, the animals’ epileptic behaviours were quickly suppressed after activation of DGH GABAergic INs (Supplementary Movie 2). The average seizure numbers significantly decreased to 2.43 ± 0.61 in periods II–IV, respectively (P < 0.01, paired t-test; Fig. 6e). During the entire stimulation period (30–120 min), seizures occurred only 2.00 ± 0.48 times per hour in the stimulated group, significantly less than that in the non-stimulated group (5.62 ± 0.66 times per hour, P < 0.001, paired t-test). Furthermore, 4 of the 11 epileptic mice (36.4%) in the non-stimulated group died of severe seizures during the 120-min test. All animals in the stimulated group survived. This indicates that activating the DGH inhibitory network is sufficient to rescue ongoing behavioural seizures.

To quantify effects of optogenetic stimulation on the excitability of neurons in HP–EC structures, we also investigated cFos expression in the neurons of epileptic mice (Fig. 7)35, which was quantified by cFos/4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI)-staining intensity. Virtually no cells were labelled by cFos in the hippocampal regions of animals before KA injection (Supplementary Fig. 17). Two hours after KA treatment, strong cFos labelling was observed throughout the hippocampal formation, particularly in the DGH regions (‘After KA, No stim.’ group; n = 20 slices from 4 mice, Fig. 7a). This also implies that DGH neurons are highly sensitive to kainate. However, after cyclic optogenetic stimulation, cFos expression significantly decreased in the DGH (‘After KA, Stim.’ group; n = 20 slices from 4 mice, P < 0.001, paired t-test; Fig. 7b,d). Optogenetic stimulation alone could also induce cFos expression in the DGH (‘No KA, No stim.’ group; n = 20 slices from 4 mice; Fig. 7c), perhaps attributable to excitation of DGH GABAergic INs. Expression of cFos was also significantly decreased after optogenetic stimulation in the MEC of epileptic mice (P < 0.001, paired t-test; Fig. 7e). Detailed analysis revealed that cFos density in both the DGH and MEC showed no significant difference between the stimulated groups (‘After KA, Stim.’ versus ‘No KA, Stim.’, Fig. 7d,e). Despite possible side effects during optogenetic stimulation,16 cFos-staining results suggest that selectively activating DGH GABAergic INs can notably decrease excitability of neurons in the hippocampal structures. These findings correspond with electrophysiological and behavioural analyses, confirming that DGH dominates the propagation of ictal discharges in a feed-forward HP–EC circuit.

Discussion
In this study, we used precisely timed, cell-type-specific in vivo optogenetic methodologies to dissect the direction of ictal propagation in HP–EC structures. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first description of multi-functional neural probes for drug delivery, optical stimulation and electrical recordings in multiple brain regions in KA-treated animals. By investigating the cross-area multi-unit sLFPs and the cross-correlation of instantaneous amplitudes of LFPs, we found a feed-forward propagation pathway of ictal discharges from the DGH to MEC, instead of a re-entrant loop3,6–10. We demonstrated that selectively activating DGH GABAergic INs significantly inhibited ictal discharges in the DGH, MEC and M1, while optical stimulation in the MEC suppressed seizures only in local
networks, not in the DGH or M1. These data provide strong, direct evidence that the DGH dominates the propagation of ictal discharges. Furthermore, we also found that cyclic light delivery to the DGH shows a long-lasting inhibitory effect on ictal seizures, significantly reducing the frequency of behavioural seizures in freely moving animals. Our finding implies that DGH GABAergic INs can directly influence the propagation of seizures in the HP–EC circuitry, which may be a potential therapeutic target for TLE.

Although network dynamics in the hippocampal formations during seizures have been previously investigated, a gap between current knowledge and the understanding of the exact ictal propagation direction in the HP–EC structures still remains. Bridging this gap requires understanding the precise causal relationship between the activities of particular neuron populations and their contribution to ictal discharges in real time at the organismal level. In this study, we induced acute status epilepticus by direct microinjection of KA into the right dorsal hippocampus of VAGT-ChR2 mice. The activity of multi-unit bursts and LFPs in the DGH and MEC were significantly increased after the onset of ictal seizures, suggesting hyperexcitability of the affected neurons. We then performed highly temporally precise analyses of the correlations between the DGH and MEC. Our results show a hypersynchronization between the DGH and MEC during ictal seizures, evidenced by the aberrant strong peaks in the cross-area stLFPs. We found that average peak latencies in DGH–DGH, DGH–MEC and MEC–MEC stLFPs were positive, while that in the MEC–DGH was negative. This result directly suggests a feed-forward propagating direction of DGH spikes → DGH LFPs → MEC spikes → MEC LFPs (Fig. 1o). It also implies that activity of hypersynchronous DGH neurons generated ictal discharges in the DGH and MEC. This hypothesis was further confirmed by findings that light delivery to DGH INs instantly suppressed seizures locally, in the MEC, and in the M1 and significantly decreased DGH–MEC and DGH–M1 coherences, while activating MEC GABAergic INs showed no significant inhibitory effect on ictal activity. In addition, the cross-correlation of instantaneous amplitudes of field potential oscillations was calculated to identify the major propagating direction between the DGH and MEC. The result showed a dominant feed-forward propagation direction of ictal discharges from the DGH to MEC, which could be instantly suppressed by optogenetic activation of DGH GABAergic INs (Fig. 4d; Supplementary Figs 10 and 11). Interestingly, similar results were also obtained in BLA kainate mice. This suggests that DGH neurons are highly susceptible to seizures and play a crucial role in the propagation of ictal discharges.

In addition, we also quantified cFos expression and behavioural seizures in KA-treated mice both with and without optogenetic stimulation. We found that cyclic optical stimulation in the DGH suppressed ictal seizures after several cycles and resulted in a
significant decrease in seizure-induced c-Fos expression in the hippocampal formation, especially in the DGH (Fig. 7). Optogenetic stimulation also rescued behavioural deficits and significantly reduced the number of seizures in the stimulated group versus the non-stimulated group. It should be noted that 36.4% of the non-stimulated mice died of severe epileptic seizures 30–120 min after KA injection, but no mice in the stimulated group died. As recent studies have suggested that epilepsy may increase the risk of sudden unexpected death, this result suggests that optogenetic activation of DGH GABAergic INs may suppress the development of TLE seizures and increase the survival rate of animals suffering from severe epileptic seizures.

In conclusion, our findings support the hypothesis that DGH INs are critically involved in TLE, and that they generate outward-spreading epileptic seizures that propagate to the MEC and other brain regions, including the M1. Targeting DGH GABAergic INs may thus be a more practicable approach to TLE treatment. The optimal optical stimulus parameters in this study are similar to those used in deep brain stimulation (DBS), which may provide insights into the mechanisms for the therapeutic effects of DBS. Moreover, as hippocampal-entorhinal cortex circuitry is also associated with other neuropsychiatric disorders including emotional and cognitive impairments, the methodology and findings of this work may also contribute to that research.

Methods

Fabrication of optogenetic probes. Multi-channel optode arrays, each containing 1 optical channel and 8 twisted tetrodes (32 channels), were fabricated from optical fibres (200 μm diameter, numerical aperture = 0.37) and 12 μm diameter formvar-coated nickel chromium wires using a custom-made optode mould for in vivo studies. Each tetrode was threaded through a silica tube (75 μm inner, 152 μm outer diameter). In the optode array, eight tetrodes were arranged around an optical fibre; the first tetrode and the second tetrode fibre and the optical fibre were placed in close proximity to ensure illumination of the recorded neurons, the tips of the recording sites were ~300 μm deeper than the optical fibre. Recording sites were plated with platinum to reduce impedance to <500kΩ at (1 kHz in artificial cerebrospinal fluid) before use. Approximately 2 mm of the insulation was removed from one end of each micro-electrode using a brief flame, and each microelectrode was soldered into separate slots of a standard 36-pin electrode connector. Two pairs of silver microwires (100-μm diameter, 99.95% pure) were then soldered into the electrode connector as ground and reference electrodes, respectively. One end of the optical fibre was fixed onto a custom-made optical connector and stabilized on the electrode connector using a layer of epoxy.

Drug delivery optode (doprote) arrays were fabricated following similar procedures. A silica tube (150 μm inner, 350 μm outer diameter) was fixed to the electrode connector parallel to the optode; spacing between the silica tube and the optical fibre was ~450 μm. To localize drug delivery to a target brain area, the tip of the silica tubing was ~500 μm shallower than the optical fibre. One end of the silica tubing was fixed onto a custom-made drug delivery connector connected to a 25-μl microsyringe.

Surgery. All experiments were performed in accordance with protocols approved by the Ethics Committee for Animal Research, Shenzhen Institute of Advanced Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences. VGAT-Chr2(H134R)-EYFP bacterial artificial chromosome transgenic mice were used, with expression of the mCherry-VGAT fusion protein directed to GABAergic INs by the mouse vesicular GABA transporter24. GAD2-IRES-Cre transgenic mice were purchased from Jackson laboratories (repository number: 010802). Mice were housed under controlled conditions (ambient temperature 24 ± 1°C, humidity 50–60%, lights on from 0800 to 2000 hours) with food and water ad libitum. Male VGAT-Chr2 mice, ~6–8 weeks of age, were used for our study.

Mice were treated with atropine (0.2 mg kg-1) 15 min before experiment to overcome breathing problems. General anaesthesia was achieved using intraperitoneal administration of urethane (1.5 g kg-1). After the animal’s head was fixed in a standard stereotaxic frame, the cranium was exposed through a small midline scalp incision. Holes were drilled through the skull, and different needle probes were directed towards the brain at the following stereotaxic coordinates: the optode tip of the doprote array at anteroposterior (AP) – 2.06 mm, mediolateral (ML) – 1.35 mm and dorsoventral (DV) – 2.10 mm for optical stimulation and recording in the DGH; the silica tubing of the doprote array at AP – 2.06 mm, ML – 1.80 mm and DV – 1.80 mm at a 20° angle for recording in the primary motor cortex (M1). To characterize the onset and propagation of hippocampal seizures, an acute seizure model was used, following previous studies20. KA was dissolved in PBS at 0.30 mg ml-1, and then 500 ml of KA solution was unilaterally injected into the dorsal hippocampus via the doprote array using a micromanip.
PBS containing 25% sucrose for 48 h. The brains were then embedded in optimum cutting temperature compound, snap-frozen at −20 °C and stored at −80 °C. Coronal sections 35 μm in thickness were obtained using a cryostat microtome. The brain sections were washed in PBT (0.1 M PBS plus 0.1% Triton X-100) for 10 min, followed by two more washes in 0.1 M PBS for 5 min each. Red fluorescent Nissl stain (NeuroTrace Fluorescent Nissl Stains, N-21482, Invitrogen) was applied, diluted 1:200 in 0.1 M PBS and incubated for 20 min at room temperature. Next, the sections were washed once with PBT for 10 min and twice with 0.1 M PBS for 5 min each. After again washing the sections overnight at 4 °C in 0.1 M PBS, they were mounted on glass slides and stored at 4 °C. The samples were observed using a Leica TCS SP5 laser scanning confocal microscope equipped with argon and HeNe lasers, and a 543 diode beam splitter.

Optical stimulation and seizure scoring in vivo. Custom-made drug and light delivery implants, each containing a silica drug delivery tube (150 μm inner, 350 μm outer diameter) and an optical fibre (200 μm diameter, numerical aperture = 0.37), were fabricated following similar procedures to optodehtrope manufacture. The implant was inserted into the brain of a VGAT-ChR2 transgenic mouse and fixed to the skull with dental cement. Animals were allowed to recover for at least 5 days before further experimentation. At least one session of 30 min of video was collected before experimental manipulation and used as the baseline control for behavioural analysis. To induce acute status epilepticus, KA (0.15 mg per 500 nl) was then slowly (in a 5-min time window) injected into the control for behavioural analysis. To induce acute status epilepticus, KA (0.15 mg per 500 nl) was then slowly (in a 5-min time window) injected into the ventral hippocampus and subiculum during spontaneous seizures in a rat model of temporal lobe epilepsy. J. Neurosci. 31, 1100–1115 (2011).
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In Fig. 1m of this Article, the y axis label has incorrect units and should read 'Amplitude (µV)'. The correct version of Fig. 1 appears below.
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