Influenza vaccination in patients with pulmonary sarcoidosis: efficacy and safety
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Background Sarcoidosis is an inflammatory, granulomatous disorder of unknown etiology. The role of cellular and humoral immune systems in this disease is unclear, whereas dysregulation of the immune system is suggested. Patients with sarcoidosis show diverse responses while exposed to various antigens. Although influenza vaccination is recommended in pulmonary sarcoidosis, its efficacy and safety has not been investigated.

Objectives To evaluate safety and immunogenicity of influenza vaccine in patients with sarcoidosis.

Patients/Methods Influenza vaccination was performed in 23 eligible patients with sarcoidosis (SP) and 26 healthy controls (HC). Antibody titers against H1N1, H3N2, and B influenza virus antigens were evaluated just before and 1 month after vaccination. Patients were followed for 6 months to assess vaccine safety.

Results Serological response and magnitude of changes in antibody titers against influenza vaccine antigens were comparable between SPs and HCs. Women showed a better serological response against B antigen ($P = 0.034$) than men. Twenty-four-hour urine calcium was associated with antibody response against H1N1 [correlation coefficient (CC) = 0.477, $P = 0.003$] and H3N2 (CC = 0.352, $P = 0.028$) antigens. Serum angiotensin-converting enzyme correlated negatively with antibody response against B antigen (CC = $-0.331$, $P = 0.040$). Higher residual volume was associated with fewer rises in antibody titer against H3N2 antigen (CC = $-0.377$, $P = 0.035$). No major adverse events or disease flare-up was observed during follow-up.

Conclusions In this study, influenza vaccination did not cause any major adverse event in SPs, and their serological response was equal to HCs. Studies with larger sample size and a broader selection of subjects could help validate the results of this study.
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Introduction Sarcoidosis is an inflammatory, granulomatous, multisystemic disease of unknown etiology. The most frequently affected individuals are adults aged <50 years with a peak in 20–29-year-old females. It has been suggested that exposure of genetically susceptible individuals to particular environmental mediators can result in the development of the disease. Any organ can be involved, but lung, skin, and eye manifestations are more frequently observed. Sarcoidosis is a self-limited disorder, resolving spontaneously in up to 60% of patients. Corticosteroid is the only recommended treatment. Relapse occurs in 25–40% of treated patients 2–3 months after discontinuation of therapy and 1–8% of them die eventually from the disease.

The role of humoral immunity in the pathogenesis of sarcoidosis is still debated. Immunological alterations in sarcoidosis include: accumulation of T-helper cells ($T_h$Cs) and macrophages at the sites of inflammation, reduced systemic cellular immune response (e.g., decline in delayed hypersensitivity reaction), and provoked circulating antibody response to antigens. Although increased antibody titer against several antigens is observed in sarcoidosis, some studies have shown impaired antibody stimulation. The main pathological feature of sarcoidosis is the formation of non-caseating granulomas at the sites of
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inflammation, which are composed of T_{h}Cs and other inflammatory cells. Granulomas in lungs, lymphoid system, liver, heart, and nervous system are secondary to augmented T_{h}C-mediated immune reactions.\textsuperscript{2,5} Yet, the efficacy of vaccination in the immunocompromised and patients with autoimmune diseases is controversial.\textsuperscript{13,14} Influenza vaccination is recommended for those susceptible to acute and severe influenza infection.\textsuperscript{14,15} In immunological disorders, vaccination may not induce antibody production to the preventive level or, on the other hand, might overstimulate the immune system and cause disease flare-up.\textsuperscript{13} In a study with Mert et al.,\textsuperscript{16} standard hepatitis B virus vaccination did not provoke protective antibody titers in patients with sarcoidosis.

Influenza infection causes substantial annual morbidity and mortality among patients with chronic lung disease. Although, to our knowledge, antibody response against influenza vaccine in patients with sarcoidosis is not yet investigated, this vaccine is highly recommended in patients with chronic pulmonary diseases such as asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and fibrosis.\textsuperscript{17–21} In this case–controlled clinical trial, we aimed to evaluate the humoral response to the trivalent 2008–2009 influenza vaccine in patients with sarcoidosis and assess vaccine safety.

**Materials and methods**

**Study design**

**Subjects**

This trial was performed in Shahid Modarres Medical Center, Tehran, Iran from September 2008 to March 2009. Twenty-six patients from the outpatient clinic who met the inclusion criteria (20–60-year-old patients with pulmonary sarcoidosis with relevant clinical and radiological features confirmed with compatible histological characteristics) were included in the study. Transbronchial Lung Biopsy was the most commonly used method of tissue diagnosis (74\% versus 22\% by mediastinoscopy and 4\% by video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery). Stages of sarcoidosis were defined as follows: stage I, hilar adenopathy alone; stage II, combination of adenopathy plus infiltrates; stage III, infiltrates alone; and stage IV, fibrosis. Most cases (91\%-3\%) were in stage I. Exclusion criteria were organ (renal, heart, or hepatic) failure, collagen vascular diseases, diabetes mellitus, any acute disease, treatment with high-dose (>60 mg/day) steroid, and immunosuppression (e.g., organ transplantation, AIDS). Twenty-six age- and sex-matched healthy hospital staff volunteers were enrolled as controls. Three cases failed to complete the study because of loss to follow-up.

Contraindications for vaccine inoculation (e.g., egg allergy), any psychological disease that interfered with follow-up, and influenza vaccination within the past 5 years were exclusion criteria in both groups. Demographic and lung variables were recorded to assess their relationship with antibody response (Tables 1 and 2). The Ethics Committee of Shahid Beheshti Medical University approved the study protocol, and all the study subjects gave written informed consent according to the Declaration of Helsinki. The guidelines of the European Committee for Proprietary Medicinal Products for the evaluation of influenza vaccine immunogenicity were considered in all stages of the study.\textsuperscript{22} The protocol of this trial was registered in ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT00828828).

**Outcome measures**

Outcome measures included frequency of protective antibody titers before vaccination, magnitude of change in antibody titer after vaccination, and serological response [i.e., ≥4-fold rise in hemagglutination inhibition (HI) titers] to each of the three antigens of the trivalent influenza vaccine 1 month after vaccination. Occurrence of local (erythema, pain, induration, or ecchymosis at injection site) or systemic (malaise, fever, shivering, myalgia, headache, Guillain–Barré Syndrome) adverse reaction to influenza vaccine was also recorded. All patients were followed for 6 months (months 1, 2, 4, and 6) after vaccination for signs and symptoms of sarcoidosis flare-up (shortness of breath, dry cough, wheezing, enlarged lymph nodes, skin, or eye symptoms), number of influenza episodes, and adverse reaction to the vaccine, either local or systemic. All assessments were made by the same pulmonologist.

**Vaccination and antibody titration**

All study participants received 0.5 ml of the trivalent influenza vaccine (influvac; Solvay Pharma, Weesp, Netherlands) by subcutaneous injection into the upper arm. The injection was given into the opposite arm from which blood was drawn. The vaccine contained 15 µg hemagglutinin of each of the three strains, namely Brisbane/59/2007 (H1N1), Brisbane/10/2007 (H3N2), and Florida/4/2006 (B), according

### Table 1. Demographic features and smoking history

|                      | Sarcoidosis group, n = 23 | Control group, n = 26 |
|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Baseline characteristics |                           |                       |
| Age, mean (SD)        | 45.83 (7.09)              | 42.23 (8.08)          |
| Male/female, n        | 8/15                      | 9/17                  |
| BMI, mean (SD)        | 28.21 (5.56)              | 25.84 (3.92)          |
| Smoking habit, n (%)  | 2 (8.7)                   | 0 (0)                 |

SD, standard deviation; BMI, body mass index.
Serum from 1:10 to 1:1280. Titers of <1:10 were calculated
representative of the virus strains contained in the vaccine.

The test was performed using twofold dilutions of the

glutination inhibition test was employed for antibody titra-

mous variables was evaluated by Fisher’s exact test.

Significance of differences between each pair of dichoto-

mous variables was evaluated by Fisher’s exact test.

To identify the association of independent factors,
including demographic and pulmonary variables (listed in

Tables 1 and 2), with the magnitude of increase in anti-
body titers against the vaccine, Kendall’s t-b and Spear-
man’s ρ tests were used.

P < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical signifi-
cance in all comparisons.

Results

Baseline characteristics

Baseline characteristics for both groups are summarized in
Table 1. There was no significant difference in age, sex,
body mass index, and smoking habit between groups. Table 2
demonstrates clinical and para-clinical variables of patients
with sarcoidosis.

Response measures among study groups

Serological response to influenza vaccine antigens tended
to be more frequent in patients with sarcoidosis than in
healthy controls (H1N1: 74% versus 50%; H3N2: 83% versus
62%; B: 65% versus 50%), although the differences
did not reach statistical significance. Magnitude of
change in antibody titer against all three antigens was
similar in sarcoidosis and control groups (Table 3, Fig-
ure 1). Protection rate against the antigens before vacci-
nation did not differ between groups, yet the sarcoidosis
group showed significantly higher protection rate against
B antigen after vaccination (P = 0.026).

Factors predictive of antibody response

In regard to gender, female subjects in both groups showed
a greater increase in antibodies against all antigens, still
only significant for B antigen (P = 0.034 among patients
with sarcoidosis; P = 0.008 including all subjects).

The 24-hour urinary calcium (U Ca) was independently
associated with the magnitude of antibody increase against
H1N1 [correlation coefficient (CC) = 0.477, P = 0.003] and
H3N2 (CC = 0.352, P = 0.028) antigens (Table 4). Blood level of angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) was
independently associated with lower antibody response
against B antigen (CC = −0.331, P = 0.040, Table 4).
Higher respiratory residual volume (RV) was indepen-
dently associated with fewer rises in the magnitude of
antibody titer against H3N2 antigen (CC = −0.377,
P = 0.035, Table 4).

Adverse events and vaccine safety

No major systemic or local adverse event was noted, but
minor pain and erythema at the injection site were seen in
17.4% of the patients with sarcoidosis and 11.5% of the
controls. After 6 months of follow-up, no sign of disease
flare-up or major adverse events were observed in the
patients. No episode of influenza like symptoms was
recorded in the course of follow-up.
Discussion

This study found patients with sarcoidosis and healthy controls to have similar serological response to influenza vaccine. High antibody titers against several antigens (Epstein–Barr, herpes simplex, rubella, measles and parainfluenza viruses, and mismatched blood) have been detected in patients with sarcoidosis.5,8–18 In contrast, in the study of Mert et al.,16 none of 16 patients with sarcoidosis developed antibody response to hepatitis B vaccine. In another study, peripheral blood B cells obtained from patients with sarcoidosis produced less immunoglobulin against pokeweed-mitogen compared to normal B cells.12

Table 3. Response measures to 2008–2009 influenza vaccine antigens

|                     | Sarcoidosis group, n = 23 | Control group, n = 26 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Protective (≥2:40) HI titer before vaccination, n (%) | 7 (30-4)                 | 7 (26-9)              |
| Protective (≥2:40) HI titer after vaccination, n (%) | 19 (82-6)                | 17 (65-4)             |
| Magnitude of change, x-fold, median (IQR)           | 8 (15)                   | 3 (15)                |
| Serologic response (≥4 fold HI titer rise), n (%)   | 17 (73-9)                | 13 (50-0)             |
| Mean antibody titer changes after vaccination, n, M/F | 7:75/15:47               | 8:89/13:24            |

|                     | Healthy controls | Sarcoidosis |
|---------------------|------------------|-------------|
| Brisbane/59/2007 (H1N1) |                  |             |
| Protective (≥2:40) HI titer before vaccination, n (%) | 16 (69-6)       | 11 (42-3)   |
| Protective (≥2:40) HI titer after vaccination, n (%) | 22 (95-7)       | 21 (80-8)   |
| Magnitude of change, x-fold, median (IQR)           | 8 (12)          | 4 (9)       |
| Serologic response (≥4 fold HI titer rise), n (%)   | 19 (82-6)       | 16 (61-5)   |
| Mean antibody titer changes after vaccination, n, M/F | 14:25/19:33     | 6:78/16:82  |

|                     | Healthy controls | Sarcoidosis |
|---------------------|------------------|-------------|
| Brisbane/10/2007 (H3N2) |                  |             |
| Protective (≥2:40) HI titer before vaccination, n (%) | 13 (56-5)       | 12 (46-2)   |
| Protective (≥2:40) HI titer after vaccination, n (%) | 22 (95-7)       | 18 (69-2)   |
| Magnitude of change, x-fold, median (IQR)           | 4 (6)           | 3 (7)       |
| Serologic response (≥4 fold HI titer rise), n (%)   | 15 (65-2)       | 13 (50-0)   |
| Mean antibody titer changes after vaccination, n, M/F | 2:63/28:80      | 2:22/9:53   |

HI, hemagglutination inhibition; IQR, interquartile range; M, male; F, female.

Figure 1. Boxplot; distribution of the magnitude of HI antibody rise against each of the three antigens for both study groups. HI: hemagglutinin antibody.

Table 4. Factors associated with magnitude of antibody increment against antigens of 2008–2009 influenza vaccine in patients with sarcoidosis

|                    | Correlation coefficient | P value |
|--------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Brisbane/59/2007 (H1N1) |                         |         |
| 24-hour urine calcium | 0:477                   | 0:003   |
| Residual volume      | −0:236                  | NS      |
| Serum ACE level      | −0:241                  | NS      |
| Female sex*          | –                       | NS      |
| Brisbane/10/2007 (H3N2) |                         |         |
| 24-hour urine calcium | 0:352                   | 0:028   |
| Residual volume      | −0:377                  | 0:035   |
| Serum ACE level      | −0:198                  | NS      |
| Female sex*          | –                       | NS      |
| Florida/4/2006 (B)   |                         |         |
| 24-hour urine calcium | 0:101                   | NS      |
| Residual volume      | −0:026                  | NS      |
| Serum ACE level      | −0:331                  | 0:040   |
| Female sex*          | –                       | 0:034   |

NS, not significant; ACE, Angiotensin-converting enzyme.

*Analyzed with chi-squared test
The role of cellular and humoral immunity in sarcoidosis is not yet clear. Dominance of T<sub>h</sub>C type 1 cytokines (e.g., IFN-γ and IL-2) in the lung and accumulation of T<sub>h</sub>Cs in the granulomatous lesions that leads to cutaneous blood can reduce T<sub>h</sub>C-mediated B-cell antibody response; consequently, their depletion in the peripheral have an important role in stimulating B cells to produce antibodies; conversely, their depletion in the peripheral blood can reduce T<sub>h</sub>C-mediated B-cell antibody response (as observed against hepatitis B vaccine).16,23 Cytotoxic T cells, in addition to T<sub>h</sub>Cs, play a role in stimulating B-cell antibody production against influenza infection.24 Cytotoxic T-cell distribution is generally not affected in sarcoidosis,1,23 and this could explain the profound response of patients to influenza vaccine in our study.

A higher serological response in women (2–11-fold) is not an unexpected event because they have higher cellular and humoral immune activity.25

As granulomas secrete ACE and calcitriol, serum ACE level and U<sub>Ca</sub> are used to monitor sarcoidosis activity.1,2,23 Our study found serum ACE level and U<sub>Ca</sub> to be associated with lower and higher antibody response, respectively. Greater immune activity at the inflammation sites (i.e., granulomatous lesions) and higher U<sub>Ca</sub> (as a result of higher cellular immune system activation at the inflammation sites) can be associated with greater antibody titer, as observed in our study. The negative association of ACE level with antibody response could be questioned because serum ACE level is under the influence of several factors.26–28

We found an association between RV and antibody increment; nevertheless, the lack of association between other spirometry parameters and serological response decreases the merit of this finding. However, higher RV can be observed in patients with sarcoidosis compared with normal controls, which is explained by obstruction of the airways as a result of endobronchial involvement.1,4,29 Most patients with sarcoidosis (91%) were in stage I, and because of the low number of patients in other stages, it is not possible to make an estimate about the effect of staging on their humoral response. Only one of the patients was on low-dose corticosteroid therapy; therefore, steroid is unlikely to be an interfering factor with antibody response in this study.

Influenza vaccine is found to be effective and safe in other autoimmune disease (e.g., lupus erythematosus and rheumatoid arthritis), and no disease flare-up has been observed.30 In our study, no major adverse event or disease flare-up was noted after 6 months of clinical follow-up.

Our study population does mostly include patients with stage I disease (93.1%); hence, further studies with greater power and samples representative of all disease stages would add to and help validate the results of this study.

Only one patient was on low-dose corticosteroid therapy, which renders corticosteroid an improbable confounding variable. No episode of flu-like symptom was recorded during 6 months after vaccination in patients with sarcoidosis, thus natural exposure to circulating influenza antigens is unlikely to play a role in our patients antibody response to the vaccine.

Conclusions

Patients with sarcoidosis did not show any major adverse reaction or disease flare-up following influenza vaccination, and their serological response was comparable with healthy individuals. No episode of influenza infection was observed during the 6-month follow-up. Findings on relations of ACE, RV, and U<sub>Ca</sub> with antibody response lack adequate power because of the small sample size and the fact that this study was not primarily intended to evaluate such relations. As our patient population is essentially representative of patients with stage I sarcoidosis, studies with larger sample size, including patients in all disease stages, would help to generalize the results of this study.
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