Discovering the Elusive Global Minimum in a Ternary Chiral Cluster: Rotational Spectra of Propylene Oxide Trimer
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Abstract: The chirality controlled conformational landscape of the trimer of propylene oxide (PO), a prototypical chiral molecule, was investigated using rotational spectroscopy and a range of theoretical tools for conformational searches and for evaluating vibrational contributions to effective structures. Two sets of homochiral (PO)₃ rotational transitions were assigned and the associated conformers identified with theoretical support. One set of heterochiral (PO)₃ transitions was assigned, but no structures generated by one of the latest, advanced conformational search codes could account for them. With the aid of a Python program, the carbon atom backbone and then the heterochiral (PO)₃ structure were generated using ¹³C isotopic data. Excellent agreement between theoretical and experimental rotational constants and relative dipole moment components of all three conformers was achieved, especially after applying vibrational corrections to the rotational constants.

Propylene oxide (PO), a simple rigid chiral molecule, is widely used in the plastics industry as a building block for the synthesis of polyurethane polymers.[1] PO has played an important role in the development of theoretical treatments of chiroptical spectroscopies, such as optical rotation dispersion and vibrational circular dichroism,[2] and more recently in understanding solvent effects.[3] PO is one of the earliest chiral molecules investigated in the microwave region,[4] the foundation for its recent exciting discovery as the first chiral molecule in space.[5] Effects of chirality recognition in several PO containing homo-/heterochiral dimers[6] were detected by using Fourier transform microwave (FTMW) spectroscopy where several conformers were identified experimentally. The advent of the chirped pulse (CP)-FTMW[7] technique and these early studies[4,6] led to the development of chiral tag rotational spectroscopy for determination of chirality and enantiomeric excess (ee).[9] a method which may potentially be applied to ee determination in space and contribute to our understanding of the origin of homochirality of life.[10]

So far, no high-resolution spectroscopic study of PO aggregates beyond the dimer has been undertaken. Here we report the detection of multiple homo-/heterochiral PO trimers using CP-FTMW spectroscopy and point out unsolved problems in capturing the structural diversity of (PO)₃ theoretically, even with advanced meta-dynamic conformational search algorithms.[11] We found that none of the structures generated in the theoretical conformational search can account for the experimental transitions of heterochiral (PO)₃. By utilizing the classical isotopic substitution approach, we were able to establish the experimental heavy atom backbone of the heterochiral (PO)₃, finally leading to the theoretical identification of its structure. Excellent agreement was achieved between experimental and predicted rotational constants using a general hybrid B2/B3[12] and the further improved rRP/B3[13] approach (vide infra) to account for vibrational contributions.

A pair of PO enantiomers, R and S, gives rise to two indistinguishable rotational spectra, whereas different homo-/heterochiral diastereomeric PO aggregates can be formed (see Scheme 1).

For (PO)₃, specific binding topologies lead to 12 homo/heterochiral conformers of which six were detected experimentally.[14] The much larger structural diversity of (PO)₃ prompted us to employ the newly released CREST code,[14] which has been successfully used for sampling conformational landscapes of organic molecules and their clusters.[15] See Point S1, SI for structural search details. The resulting (PO)₃ candidates were optimized at the B3LYP-D3BJ[16]/def2-TZVP level using Gaussian16.[17] The true minimum structures identified within a small energy window of 5 kJmol⁻¹, which include 26 homochiral and 53 heterochiral (PO)₃, are summarized in Tables S1,S2, SI. The large number of possible conformers within such a small energy window highlights the difficulties in identifying them experimentally.
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Scheme 1. The statistical distribution of homo-/heterochiral aggregates from monomer to trimer under racemic sample conditions, without consideration of the specific binding energies.
Broadband spectra of homo-/heterochiral \((\text{PO})_3\) were collected using a 2–6 GHz CP-FTMW spectrometer.\[^{[16]}\]

Experimental and spectral fitting details are summarized in Point S1, SI. In the enantiopure PO spectrum, transitions belonging to two homochiral \((\text{PO})_3\) conformers were assigned. A racemic PO spectrum is shown in Figure 1 where the two identified homochiral \((\text{PO})_3\) are clearly visible, as well as a new set of strong transitions. This new set was tentatively assigned to a heterochiral \((\text{PO})_3\) since the transitions are only visible with the racemic but not the enantiopure sample. The experimental rotational constants and relative magnitude of dipole moment components are compared with the DFT predictions in Table 1; the spectroscopic constants and the measured transition frequencies are listed in Tables S3–S6, SI. The spectroscopic properties of the two homochiral \((\text{PO})_3\) match those of the two most stable DFT homochiral \((\text{PO})_3\), HOMO1 and HOMO2, quite well, confirming their identification. No reasonable match to a DFT structure, however, could be made for the experimental heterochiral \((\text{PO})_3\) from the list of 53 heterochiral \((\text{PO})_3\) candidates (Table S2). For convenience, we name this experimental structure HETERO1.

The failure to produce a possible HETERO1 structure theoretically is a significant issue since conformational diversity is important in a wide range of chemistry and biochemistry subfields. While we could not capture the structural diversity of \((\text{PO})_3\) in all details in our theoretical conformational search, the subtly different binding topologies can be easily discriminated by the CP-FTMW method with its very high spectral resolution.

To map out the heavy atom backbone of \((\text{PO})_3\), it is ideal to have a single substitution at each heavy atom. Since the enriched \(^{13}\text{C}\) and \(^{18}\text{O}\) isotopologues of PO are prohibitively expensive, we optimized the experimental conditions and utilized the sensitivity achieved to measure and assign all \(^{13}\text{C}\) isotopologues of HOMO1 and HETERO1 in their natural abundances. Figures 1b and c) show some transitions of \(^{13}\text{C}\) isotopologues of HOMO1 and HETERO1; the experimental transition frequencies and spectroscopic constants are in Tables S7–S10, SI. The \(^{13}\text{C}\) isotopologues of HOMO2 could not be detected because of the too low abundance (see Figure 1).

The Kraitchman coordinates for singly substituted \(^{13}\text{C}\) atoms are summarized in Tables S11 and S12 of the SI for HOMO1 and HETERO1, respectively. Since Kraitchman's analyses provide only absolute values of the C atom coordinates, there are \(8^9\) possible C-backbone configurations without considering any chemical constraints because each of the nine carbon atoms has three Cartesian coordinates, leading to a total of \((2^4)^9=8^{10}\) possibilities. For HOMO1, we used the DFT geometry as a guide to determine the signs. The experimental substitution structure of the carbonyl backbone frame of HOMO1 is compared with the final DFT one (vide infra) in Figure 2a.

For HETERO1, a Python program was written to extract one unique structural candidate out of the \(8^9\) possibilities: by
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**Figure 1.** a) A broadband spectrum of \((\text{PO})_3\) recorded with 10^6 FIDs using a racemic sample. Known lines of PO, PO-Ne, (PO)_2, and PO-(H_2O)_1,2 were removed. The simulated spectra were generated with a rotational temperature of 1 K, experimental spectroscopic constants, calculated dipole moment components, and experimental relative abundance ratios of the three conformers. Bottom expanded sections recorded with b) enantiopure PO and 5×10^6 FIDs, showing theJK\_Kc=606-515 and 616-505 transitions of \(^{13}\text{C}\) isotopologues of HOMO1 and c) a racemic PO sample and 6×10^6 FIDs, showing theJK\_Kc=515-414 and 505-404 transitions of \(^{13}\text{C}\) isotopologues of HETERO1.

**Table 1:** Experimental and theoretical rotational constants (in MHz) and electric dipole moment components (in Debye) of the observed \((\text{PO})_3\) conformers.

| Const. | exp. | HOMO1 B3LYP \(^{[a]}\) B2/ B3\(^{[b]}\) rPP/ B3\(^{[c]}\) | exp. | HOMO2 B3LYP \(^{[a]}\) B2/ B3\(^{[b]}\) rPP/ B3\(^{[c]}\) | exp. | HETERO1 B3LYP \(^{[a]}\) B2/ B3\(^{[b]}\) rPP/ B3\(^{[c]}\) |
|--------|------|-----------------|------|-----------------|------|-----------------|
| A      | 836.79877(27) | 829 | 829 | 835 | 782.7474(26) | 791 | 783 | 784 | 811.72697(32) | 800 | 801 | 810 |
| B      | 638.95770(23) | 648 | 639 | 638 | 628.8592(13) | 644 | 631 | 629 | 645.92410(23) | 656 | 646 | 645 |
| C      | 430.04824(16) | 433 | 427 | 431 | 458.53594(94) | 471 | 460 | 457 | 444.53305(21) | 448 | 443 | 443 |
| Dipole comp. | \(\mu_a > \mu_c \approx \mu_b\) | 0.7/1.6 | 0.8/1.5 | 0.8/1.5 | 0.9/0.9 | 1.1/0.2 | 1.1/0.3 | 1.1/0.3 | 1.5/1.1 | 1.6/1.0 | 1.6/0.9 |
| \(N^r, d\)^{[d]} | 58, 3.1. | 18, 3.2 | 69, 2.9 | 69, 2.9 | 69, 2.9 | 69, 2.9 | 69, 2.9 | 69, 2.9 | 69, 2.9 | 69, 2.9 | 69, 2.9 |

[a] B3LYP-D3BJ/def2-TZVP level. [b] Corrected for harmonic and anharmonic contributions. See the text for the abbreviations. [c] Experimentally estimated relative magnitudes. [d] \(N\) is the number of transitions included and \(\sigma\) is the standard deviation of the fit.
utilizing the known PO structure and setting limits for the intramolecular C–C distances, a few hundred possible C-backbone candidates were obtained initially. The O and H atoms were then put back in, based on the PO monomer geometry. Configurations in which the PO subunits are too close or too far away were then removed, leading to just one unique (PO)3 structure. We tested this procedure first with HOMO1, and then applied it to HETER01. Details of the search are given in Point S2, SI. The derived HETER01 C-backbone is shown in Figure 2b), together with the ab initio structure based on it. We note that the calculated rotational constants and electric dipole moment components of this DFT HETER01 structure match the experiment results in Table 1. Interestingly, HOMO1 is made of RR6 and RR3 PO dimer subunits, HOMO2 utilizes the somewhat distorted binding topologies of RR2 and RR4, and HETER01 contains RR6 and RS3, whereas the third PO pair in these trimers utilizes mainly one CH–O contact for the interaction. (See Ref. [6] for notation of PO dimer units.)

The experimental relative abundance ratio of HETER01:HOMO1:HOMO2 is estimated to be 3.3:1.0:0.2 for the racemic sample. If their stabilities were the same, one would expect the HETER01 versus HOMO1 ratio to be 3, based on statistical arguments (Scheme 1). The abundance ratio indicates that the experimental stability decreases from HETER01 (most stable) to HOMO1 and then to the much less stable HOMO2. While the experimental intensities of HOMO1 and HOMO2 are consistent with the B3LYP energy ordering in Table S1, HETER01 is predicted to be ∼0.1 kJ mol⁻¹ less stable than HOMO1 (Table S2).

In the above discussion, experimental vibrational ground-state rotational constants (Bᵣ) are compared with the equilibrium values (Bₑ). For fully quantitative purposes, the difference between them cannot be neglected. Here we applied a general hybrid approach, referred to as B2/B3, which is based on harmonic/anharmonic force fields computed with the B2LYP/B3LYP-D3BJ functionals in conjunction with jun-cc-pVTZ and jun-cc-pVDZ basis sets, respectively. The B2/B3 approach has been validated for both covalent interactions and non-covalently bound complexes.[15] In addition, we replaced the B2LYP-D3 functional by the revised version of the DSD-PBE86-D3BJ functional (abbreviated as rPP),[13] which further improves results for non-covalent interactions over B2.

The six lowest homo-/heterochiral structures, including HETER01, were re-optimized at the B2 and rPP levels and anharmonic vibrational corrections were computed at the B3 level. A full account of the computational details is given in Point S3, SI. The resulting Bᵣ values, relative energies, dipole moment components at the B2 and rPP levels, and their vibrational corrections are summarized in Tables S13–S18, SI. The results for all singly substituted 13C isotopologues of HOMO1, HOMO2, and HETER01 are in Tables S19–S30, SI. It is noteworthy that at the rPP level, HETER01 becomes the global minimum, thus restoring full agreement with the experimental energy ordering. The maximum difference between experimental rotational constants and those from the B2/B3 hybrid Scheme is reduced to 1.2% in comparison to 3.7% with just the equilibrium calculations, and the new hybrid rPP/B3 model further reduces the difference to below 0.3% for all (PO)3 observed (Table 1). This remarkable level of accuracy also allowed us to confidently identify the HOMO2 carrier. With the vibrational corrections, we obtained the 20 sets of semi-experimental equilibrium rotational constants (normal and 13C isotopologues) of HOMO1 and HETER01, which were used to refine their theoretical equilibrium structures while fixing the monomer geometries and some intramolecular structural parameters. The refined results are given in Tables S31–34, SI, with some key parameters shown in Figure 2.

In conclusion, the conformational panorama of (PO)₃, a ternary chiral system of fundamental interest, was investigated in detail using CP-FTMW spectroscopy and a range of theoretical treatments including the CREST, B3LYP, B2/B3, and rPP/B3 calculations. The high sensitivity/resolution capability of the CP-FTMW instrument allowed us to uncover the elusive DFT HETER01 structure based on experimental 13C isotopic data. The study further reveals a slight chirality controlled conformational preference for the heterochiral trimer, continuing the same preference shown in (PO)₂. The highly sophisticated semiempirical conformational search program CREST was not able to find the most stable ternary heterochiral aggregate, and the current work may provide benchmark data for further improvements.
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