Nano-scale spinning detonation in a condensed phase energetic material
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Abstract. A single-headed spinning detonation wave is observed in molecular dynamics simulations of a condensed phase detonation of an energetic material confined to a round tube. The EM is modeled using a modified AB reactive empirical bond order (REBO) potential. The simulated spinning detonation is similar to those observed in the gas phase. However, in addition to the incident, oblique, and transverse shock waves well known from gas-phase spinning detonations, a contact shock wave generated by a contact discontinuity is uncovered in our MD simulations.

1. Introduction
Gas-phase detonations close to failure are known to spontaneously develop complex two and three dimensional structures such as cellular and pulsating patterns that prevent their collapse. Contained in these structures are extreme regions where the exothermic chemical reactions driving the detonation are greatly enhanced. Although well known in the gas phase [1, 2], such complex structures have not yet been observed in the condensed phase with the exception of dilute liquids [3–5]. This is not surprising, as processes in condensed phase detonations occur at much smaller length and time scales making the experimental observation of such detonation microstructure extremely difficult. On the other hand, these short time and length scales are ideal for molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. Indeed, Helm et al [6] recently found that a variant [7] of perhaps the simplest model of a condensed-phase explosive, the well-known AB model [8–10], could support a cellular detonation in MD simulations of 2D samples. More recently, we have shown that a modified 3D version of the AB model can support detonation structures ranging from laminar, to cellular, to turbulent depending on the boundary conditions and the reaction barrier $E_b$ for the half reactions $A + AB \rightarrow A_2 + B + 3 \text{ eV}$ and $B + BA \rightarrow B_2 + A + 3 \text{ eV}$ that support the detonation [11]. Although at a much smaller scale, these structures closely parallel those observed in the gas phase. Hence, such simulations provide a new probe into the nature of these patterns and how they can arise.

Also observed in our earlier MD simulations was a so called transverse detonation [11]. Such a detonation, however, cannot be ultimately stable under experimental conditions because the transverse wave will eventually collide with the sample walls causing the transverse detonation to transform into a cellular one. Thus, the transverse detonation is a result of the periodic boundary conditions assumed in the simulations. Potentially this problem can be avoided by...
Figure 1. Snapshot of the single-headed spinning detonation propagating in the vertical direction with velocity \(u_\parallel = 8.37 \text{ km/s}\) in an AB solid with \(E_b = 0.367 \text{ eV}\) confined to a tube with radius \(R_0 = 15.6 \text{ nm}\). Only atoms in the compressed material are shown. Aquamarine colored atoms are in the unreacted AB material experiencing a relatively low pressure. Red-colored atoms are those in the highly-pressurized AB material. The product molecules AA and BB are colored dark blue. Black solid lines correspond to the three shock waves IW, OW, and TW described in the text. Flows behind OW and TW are separated by a contact discontinuity CD (dashed white line). A contact discontinuity D between reactants compressed by IW and hot products behind OW is shown by a white solid line.

initiating the detonation in a tube which could result in a spinning detonation. Indeed, we show herein that this is the case. In fact, spinning detonations in round tubes have long been known in the gas phase, where Campbell and Woodhead decades ago reported a localized region near a tube wall where intense chemical reactions occur [12]. This localized region known as a detonation head follows a helical path as the detonation propagates along the tube. Later it was found that the spinning head is due to extra compression by both transverse and oblique shock waves acting in concert with a relatively weak incident shock wave, all three intersecting in a Mach configuration [13,14]. As we shall see, the condensed phase spinning detonation observed in our AB simulations in a tube behaves in a similar fashion but also exhibits a fourth shock wave generated by a contact discontinuity. This fourth shock wave has not been observed before in spinning detonations.

2. Simulation technique

The spinning detonation was simulated by moving window-molecular-dynamics (MW-MD) [15–18]. A solid AB sample was confined to a the tube of radius \(R_0 = 15.6 \text{ nm}\) by using a steep quadratic potential \(U_w = a(\rho_i - R_0)^2\) applied to all atoms \(i\) with radial distance \(\rho_i\) from the axis of the tube such that \(\rho_i > R_0\). The particular version of the AB model used in these simulations is described in detail elsewhere [19]. Throughout, the reaction barrier \(E_b\) is taken as \(0.367 \text{ eV}\), which brings the detonation close to failure. The simulation was started by using the flow pattern from a detonating 3D sample tailored to fit within the tube by removing all atoms with \(\rho_i > R_0\).

Although results reported below are restricted to a 15.6 nm radius sample with a reaction barrier of 0.367 eV, we have found that the morphology of the spinning detonation structure depends on \(E_b\) and \(R_0\). For example, for \(E_b = 0.245 \text{ eV}\), the detonation structure changes from a single to a multi-headed detonation for samples with radii above 15 nm, while for \(E_b = 0.367 \text{ eV}\) a multi-headed detonation develops at much larger tube radii above \(\sim 30 \text{ nm}\).
3. Atomic and flow structure of a single-headed spinning detonation

For $E_b = 0.367$ eV, the MW-MD simulation shows that an initially planar detonation front propagating in a tube of radius $R_0 = 15.6$ nm becomes unstable by developing multiple longitudinal density fluctuations. After several tens of picoseconds a steady, single-headed, spinning detonation is established. Figures 1 and 2 show atomic and flow structures, respectively, of this spinning detonation. The atoms with very high potential energy within the TW front are colored red in figure 1, while atoms colored dark blue have the atomic potential energy of atoms in AA and BB product molecules following the reaction. The flow maps of potential energy per atom and temperature shown in figure 2 were obtained by averaging the atomic values of these quantities over a shell of $\rho \in [11, 15]$ nm on a regular cylindrical mesh with 180 cells $\times$ 378 cells in the corresponding range of azimuth $\phi \in [-\pi, \pi]$ and tube length of 197 nm. Atoms with $\rho_i > 15$ nm were not included in these averages because they are too close to the repulsive tube wall potential at 15.6 nm. The pixels in figure 2 correspond to approximately 50 to 150 atoms, depending on the local density.

From figure 1 it is easy to identify the three shock fronts, also seen in gas-phase detonations. They are the weak incident shock wave IW; the transverse shock wave TW; and the oblique shock wave OW. The intersection point of the shock fronts T lying on the tube surface rotates counterclockwise with a circumferential velocity $u_\perp = 8.3$ km/s, while propagating in the positive axial direction with velocity $u_\parallel = 8.37$ km/s. In the coordinate system where the detonation head is stationary, the AB molecules in the uncompressed solid enter the leading IW and OW fronts with velocity $11.8$ km/s at an angle of $\varphi = 45.2^\circ$ with the tube axis, as shown in figure 3. Therefore, the incoming flow of AB molecules has a large inclination angle with respect to the IW front, which causes the cold AB molecules passing through the IW front to be noticeably deflected, thus gaining a clockwise angular velocity (i.e. toward to the TW front) in the MW-MD coordinate system.

The three shock waves IW, TW, and OW, produce three distinct zones behind the spinning AB detonation front clearly visible in figure 2. Two of these zones are termed stripes as they extend all the way around the surface of the tube, as can be seen from figure 2. Zone 1, which does not extend completely around the tube, is generated by IW. This incident shock wave is relatively weak and does not induce reactions in the compressed AB solid as is seen from the potential energy of atoms colored by aquamarine in figure 1, which corresponds to the potential energy of an atom in a AB molecule. However, the AB material, pre-compressed and pre-heated...
by IW to relatively low pressures of 27–28 GPa and temperatures 1.6–1.8 kK, flows in zone 1 toward the strong TW shock in a coordinate system where the detonation is stationary, as illustrated by figures 2 and 3. In such a non-inertial reference frame the wave configuration is at rest while the material flows through the static shock fronts and reaction zones. The TW produces high compression 90–98 GPa and heating to 6–6.5 kK of the AB material, which rapidly ignites the reactants which are then converted to products within a narrow reaction zone behind TW. Over this thin reaction zone the very high pressure in TW drops to 14–16 GPa causing acceleration of the mass flow to 11.4 km/sec in the reference frame where the detonation head is stationary. Thus, stripe 3 made up of a fast low-pressure flow consisting of relatively cold products with temperatures in the range 4.7–5 kK is formed. In contrast, stripe 2 produced by the reaction zone after OW is a hot flow with slightly lower mass velocity 9.1 km/sec in the same reference frame. It consists of products with a notably higher pressures 27–30 GPa and temperatures 8.7–9 kK than in stripe 3.

Note that TW front cannot be extended beyond zone 1 containing reactants to the hot product flow in stripe 2 as a shock front, because there can be no reaction zone behind a shock wave propagating in the products. Instead, TW decays into a fan of acoustic compression waves AF with the pressure in AF decreasing with distance from the end of the TW front, as depicted in figure 2. In general, all shock fronts existing in a steadily propagating self-sustained detonation must have some kind of support or they will decay with time. In addition, note that the strength and slope of OW decreases away from T to the point that it abruptly transforms into IW where reactions cease.

From figures 1 and 2 it is also easy to identify two contact discontinuities in the spinning AB detonation: D separating the flow of reactants behind IW in zone 1 from the flow of products behind OW in stripe 2; and, CD separating the flow of products behind OW in stripe 2 from the flow of products behind TW in stripe 3. As described above, across CD there is a significant pressure difference generated just behind the OW and TW reaction zones. This causes the high pressure stripe 2, behaving as a piston, to generate an additional shock wave CW to equalize pressures after CW there. As a result the pressure in stripe 2 decreases to 19–20 GPa, and CD bends towards stripe 3. After nearly a complete revolution CW contacts CD. At this point the density in stripe 2 is below that of stripe 3 resulting in a transmitted shock wave still denoted by CW but moving at a higher speed. This results in appreciable bending of CW at the 2-3 interface seen in the downstream flow near the bottom of figure 2. Note that CW does not introduce additional stripes but rather alters the flow variables within stripes. Shock waves such as CW have not been observed in gas-phase detonation experiments and continuum simulations. Instead a detonation head with double Mach configuration was experimentally detected. For examples, see figure 11 in reference [20] and figure 7.24 in reference [1].

![Figure 3. Mass velocity field and wave configuration in the vicinity of T in a spinning detonation propagating in AB solid confined to a tube. The point T spins counterclockwise in the tube with a circumferential velocity $u_\perp = 8.3$ km/s and an axial velocity $u_\parallel = 8.37$ km/s. Particle velocities are shown in the reference frame where the detonation head is stationary.](image-url)
4. Concluding Remarks

The structure of the spinning detonation described above is remarkably similar to that observed in detonating gases [20–22] with two exceptions. In contrast to the gas phase, where three-wave Mach configurations are observed, an unexpected four-wave shock configuration in the neighborhood of T has been found in the spinning detonation in the AB solid. Also, the simulated spinning detonation pattern does not exhibit the double Mach configuration with additional discontinuities observed in gas phase detonation. This could be due to the fact that detonation in the condensed phase AB material develops at a very short length scale, on the order of tens interatomic distances, which might prevent complex fine structure from developing in such a confined spatial region.
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