Apatinib inhibits the proliferation of gastric cancer cells via the AKT/GSK signaling pathway \textit{in vivo}
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ABSTRACT

Gastric cancer (GC) is the third leading cause of cancer-associated mortality globally. Although the diagnosis and therapeutic strategies for GC have improved, the prognosis for advanced gastric cancer (AGC) remains poor. Hence, the present study sought to design a zebrafish model established by microinjecting human MGC-803 GC cell line for studying personalized molecular-targeted cancer therapy. Apatinib, a novel molecular-targeted agent, was evaluated for its \textit{in vivo} efficacy through a comparison among the control groups (no treatment) and subject groups (treatment). Newly formed vessel length and tumor volume were measured in all of the groups for further study. The length of newly formed vessels was obviously shortened after apatinib treatment in the zebrafish model established in this study. Meanwhile, apatinib exhibited the best antitumor growth effect with dose and time dependence by suppressing AKT/GSK3α/β signaling, which may be the mechanism underlying the profound antitumor clinical effect of apatinib. The data indicated that apatinib therapy exerts an anti-angiogenesis effect and it can be recommended as a proper antitumor growth therapy for GC patients. Additionally, zebrafish models could be designed as a potential practical tool to explore new anti-GC cancer drugs.

INTRODUCTION

Gastric cancer (GC) is the third leading cause of cancer-associated mortality globally and approximately 90% of GCs are diagnosed as adenocarcinoma, presenting a high mortality rate [1]. Although multimodal diagnosis and treatment measures have been developed, treatment for advanced gastric cancer (AGC) remains palliative and overall survival rates have remained poor during the last four decades [2]. Alternative treatments become scarce once post-surgical recurrence or therapeutic failure of classic first-line combinations occur [3, 4]. Clinical effects of conventional chemotherapy and radiotherapy for GC can be different considering the stage, molecular subtype and comorbidities [5]. However, the application of molecular-targeted anticancer drugs (e.g., trastuzumab and apatinib) provides a promising optional therapy for GC, especially AGC [6].
Apatinib, known as YN968D1 (molecular weight 493.58 Da), is one of the most efficient oral anticancer agents, verified by preclinical and clinical trials for the treatment of various solid malignancies [7–9]. This novel small-molecule drug targets angiogenesis by selective inhibition of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2 (VEGFR-2) and it can partly inhibit c-Kit and c-Src tyrosine kinases [10]. Some standard clinical trials have reported that apatinib can prolong the overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS) of GC individuals with tolerable safety profiles [11, 12]. Meanwhile, some studies, conducted in xenograft mouse models, showed that apatinib can enhance apoptotic cell death and inhibit final solid tumor volume with tolerable adverse reactions when applied alone or in combination with other chemotherapeutic drugs [13–14]. However, there are no practical biomarkers that can hint toward the most significant application of apatinib in GC patients during clinical practice.

The zebrafish (Danio rerio) is an increasingly popular and powerful animal model applied in the field of cancer biology. Its unique advantages, including small size, optical transparency, breeding convenience, and high throughput, make xenograft observation easier and more precise [15, 16]. Additionally, its high level of genetic homology to humans provides a priority to be a versatile organism for exploration of cancer development and pharmacological mechanism [17, 18]. Hence, it can serve as a practical pre-clinical or clinical model to personalize anticancer therapy.

In the present study, we generated a zebrafish model utilizing human GC cell lines and then described the potential anticancer efficacy of apatinib in this model. Moreover, these data indicated that apatinib has anti-neoplastic and anti-angiogenic activities through inhibition of AKT/GSK3α/β signaling in GC cells. Most importantly, this original research can provide a reliable platform for evaluation of the applicability and efficacy of apatinib, even for personalizing GC therapy in clinical practice in the future.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell culture and reagents**

Human GC cell lines, including MGC-803, HGC-27, AGS, BGC-823, and SGC-7901, were obtained from the Cell Bank of Chinese Academy of Medical Science (Shanghai, China) and cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM). Cells were cultured in the incubator at 37°C with 5% CO2 (v/v). Besides, apatinib (Jiangsu HengRui Medicine Co., Ltd.) was dissolved in DMSO and stocked in different concentrations for further study. SC79, an AKT activator, was purchased from Beyotime Biotechnology, Shanghai, China.

**Cell viability assay**

GC cells were seeded at 5000 cells per well into 96-well plates, and then treated with the indicated concentrations of apatinib (8 h and 72 h). After that, cells were treated with 10 μl MTT (5 mg/ml) at 37°C for 4 hours followed by 150 μl dimethylsulphoxide and determined by measuring the absorbance at 570 nm using a microplate reader (Bio-Rad, USA).

**Western blot analysis**

Total protein was extracted from the cultured cells, and then subjected to 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred onto 0.45 μm PVDF membranes (Millipore). Membranes were then blocked in 5% milk-TBST for 1 h and incubated with primary antibodies p-AKT, p-GSK3α, p-GSK3β (diluted 1:1000), and AKT, GSK3α, GSK3β, β-actin (diluted 1:5000 in TBST) overnight. After appropriate secondary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature, the membranes were detected by Tanon 5200 Imaging System (Shanghai, China). Antibodies were purchased as follows: p-AKT (Abcam, Cat. ab81283), AKT (Abcam, Cat. ab179463), p-GSK3α (Abcam, Cat. ab131112), GSK3α (Abcam, Cat. ab40870), p-GSK3β (Abcam, Cat. ab75814), GSK3β (Abcam, Cat. ab32391).

**Preparation of a transgenic zebrafish line**

A fluorescent transgenic zebrafish line expressing fli1a: EGFP was obtained from the Model Animal Research Center of Nanjing University. The zebrafish line was maintained at 28.5°C according to the standard zebrafish husbandry protocols, as previously described [19, 20]. Human MGC-803 cell line was fluorescently labeled with CM-Dil (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) for 30 min according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Disposal of zebrafish embryo**

At 48 hours post-fertilization (hpf), transgenic zebrafish lines were anesthetized with tricaine (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and mounted on an agarose pad. The dyed MGC-803 cells (labeled with CM-Dil) were mixed with 5% PVC medium until the cell density reached 3 × 10⁷ cells/ml, and then they were injected into the yolk sac of every single zebrafish embryo using a microinjector (IM-31, Narishige, Japan) under observation by a stereoscope (SMZ 745 T, Nikon, Japan). The injection volume was 10 nl. We selected
zebrafish with a successful injection and relatively homogenous tumor cell size as the experiment object. This zebrafish research was assisted by the School of Pharmaceutical Sciences of Nanjing Technologic University.

**Apatinib treatment of xenograft tumors and imaging**

The dyed MGC-803 cells were inoculated in the fluorescent transgenic zebrafish lines tg (fltlα: EGFP). After developing a palpable mass, zebrafish were randomized to either the apatinib treatment group or the control group (>30 per group). As previously described, different concentrations of apatinib were dissolved in breeding water. Zebrafish were cultivated in breeding water at 32°C avoiding light box. After 1 day of administration, the subintestinal vessels (SIVs) of zebrafish embryos (>60 per group) in all of the treatment groups were photographed by a fluorescence microscope, and abnormal branch length and area of SIVs were quantified using the count/size function of Image-Pro Plus 6.0 software. The total area of SIVs was quantified in pixels. The drug effect was calculated using the following formula: SIV inhibition. We monitored tumor cell growth in vivo at 1, 2 and 3 days post treatment (dpi) by an inverted fluorescence microscope (IX71, Olympus, Japan). Angiogenesis was observed by a confocal microscope (LSM710, ZEISS, Germany). After 3 days of administration, the number of tumor cells in zebrafish was calculated in both the control and subject groups.

**Statistical analysis**

The SPSS ver. 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) was used for analysis of all data. The results are expressed as mean ± SD. Multiple group data and multiple comparisons were analyzed by one-way ANOVA. A P-value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant for all of the analyses. (∗) indicated statistical significance P < 0.01, (∗∗) P < 0.05.

**RESULTS**

**Inhibition effect of apatinib on the cell viability in different gastric cancer cell lines**

To study the effect of apatinib on gastric cancer cells, in vitro cytotoxicity experiments of apatinib were performed on different GC cell lines. As shown in Figure 1A, all of the GC cell lines were treated with apatinib at different concentrations from 0.02 μmol/L to 50 μmol/L (μM) for 72 h. The results show that apatinib could not evidently inhibit the proliferation of GC cells in the in vitro experiments, especially in HGC-27 and BGC-823 cell lines. However, when the dose reached 50 μM, three kinds of GC cells were efficiently suppressed, namely, MGC-803, AGS, and SGC-7901. These data indicated that apatinib demonstrated cytotoxicity to GC cells in vitro assay.

Previous research has found that AKT/GSK-3β/β-catenin signaling is crucial for the proliferation and invasion of gastric cancer cells [21]. To further elucidate the mechanism of the inhibition effect of apatinib, we detected the levels of AKT and GSK in different GC cell lines, which were pretreated with 2 μM apatinib for 72 hours. It was observed that apatinib could inhibit the phosphorylation of AKT, GSK-3α and GSK-3β in different GC cell lines to varying degrees, which could be due to induction of cell death (Figure 1B). The same result can be found after pretreatment with apatinib for 8 hours (Figure 1C, 1D). Thus, the finding suggested that apatinib may induce apoptosis through inhibition of the expression levels of p-AKT and p-GSK protein.

**Cell line MGC-803 promoted angiogenesis in zebrafish embryos**

To further investigate the antitumor effect of apatinib, in vivo studies were conducted in the zebrafish model system. During the time frame from 48 hpf, the intact SIVs of transgenic zebrafish tg embryos were formed and they looked like a basket (Figure 2A, 2B). All of the injected GC cell lines caused proangiogenic behavior in zebrafish embryos as early as 1 dpi (Figure 2C), and the SIVs of the embryos formed additional branches and extended toward the tumor implantation mass (Figure 2D). As shown in Figure 2E, the length of angiogenesis in this zebrafish embryo model was significantly increased.

**Maximum safe dose of apatinib for the zebrafish xenografts model**

Moreover, to identify the safe dose of apatinib therapy for GC, transgenic zebrafish (fltlα:EGFP), grafted with a human GC cell line MGC-803, were treated with apatinib at different concentrations from 0.02 μM to 50 μM. As apatinib administered by soaking, drug exposure by addition to the breeding water. First, we measured the survival rates and teratogenic rates in the zebrafish models after drug administration, which are an indicator of the side effects of apatinib treatment. As shown in Figure 3A, all of the treatments at diverse concentrations did not alter the survival rate of the zebrafish. However, apatinib treatment steeply increased the teratogenic rate in the zebrafish when the dose was more than 2 μM, suggesting a significant adverse effect of this dose. This result also showed that the proper therapeutic effect of apatinib treatment should be below 2 μM. When treated with apatinib at
0.5 μM, 50% of the zebrafish developed pericardial edema. As the concentration increased, zebrafish developed morphological abnormalities, such as decreased eye size, shortened body, and axis bending.

Inhibition effect of apatinib on the angiogenesis in the zebrafish xenograft model

As described previously, apatinib can be applied in the zebrafish xenograft model at a dose below 2 μM without any obvious teratogenesis and death. Therefore, we decided to investigate the difference in the length of newly formed vessels in the zebrafish treated with apatinib, whose concentration was selected from 0.2 μM to 1 μM.

Angiogenesis is critical during tumor progression. To examine whether these different concentrations therapies of apatinib inhibit the formed vessels in this zebrafish xenograft model, which were induced into MGC-803 cells, we evaluated the length by quantitative analysis of the newly formed vessels using a fluorescence microscope and Image-Pro Plus 6.0 software. As shown in Figure 3B, the length of the

Figure 1. The inhibition effect of apatinib on the cell viability in different GC cell lines. (A) Cell viability of different human gastric cancer cell lines treated with different concentrations of apatinib for 72 h. (B) After treatment with apatinib 2 μM concentration for 72 h, western blot analysis of AKT, phosphorylated AKT (p-AKT), GSK3α, phosphorylated GSK3α (p-GSK3α), GSK3β and phosphorylated GSK3β (p-GSK3β) in GC cell lines. (C) Cell viability of different human gastric cancer cell lines treated with different concentrations of apatinib for 8 h. (D) After treatment with apatinib 2 μM concentration for 8 h, western blot analysis of AKT, phosphorylated AKT (p-AKT), GSK3α, phosphorylated GSK3α (p-GSK3α), GSK3β and phosphorylated GSK3β (p-GSK3β) in GC cell lines.
Figure 2. Gastric cancer cell MGC-803 promoted angiogenesis in transgenic zebrafish tg (fli1a-EGFP). (A) Biologic morphology of zebrafish. Scale bar: 200 μm. (B) Fluorescence image of subintestinal vessels of the uninjected embryo. Scale bar: 50 μm. (C) Gastric cancer cell lines were injected to the zebrafish embryo, MGC-803 was taken as an example in the figure. Scale bar: 200 μm. (D) MGC-803 cells promoted angiogenesis at 1 dpi compared with control group. The white arrow indicated the tumor cell induced angiogenesis, dpi: days post injection. Scale bar: 50 μm. (E) Quantitative analysis of the length of newly formed vessels in zebrafish with/without inducing by MGC-803.

Figure 3. Inhibitory effect of gastric cancer cell line in the zebrafish xenograft model under apatinib treatment. (A) The survival rates and teratogenic rates of zebrafish were detected under different concentrations of apatinib. (B) Fluorescence image of subintestinal vessels in zebrafish induced by MGC-803 with/without apatinib treatment at 1 dpt. (C) Quantitative analysis of the length of newly formed vessels in zebrafish induced by MGC-803 with/without apatinib treatment. Scale bar: 50 μm. (D) Quantitative analysis of the MGC-803 cells proliferation with/without apatinib treatment at 2 dpt. Dpt: days post treatment. (E) Western blot analysis of AKT, phosphorylated AKT (p-AKT), GSK3α, phosphorylated GSK3α (p-GSK3α), GSK3β and phosphorylated GSK3β (p-GSK3β) in injected cells.
newly formed vessels was significantly reduced by treatment with 1 μM apatinib with 1 dpt. In addition, apatinib treatment showed a dose dependent reduction effect on all measured parameters when compared with the control group (Figure 3C). These data indicated that apatinib was effective in inhibiting the newly formed vessels of GC.

Inhibition effect of apatinib on tumor cell proliferation in the zebrafish xenograft model

We further studied whether these doses of apatinib affect the proliferation of MGC-803 in this zebrafish model. As shown in Figure 3D, apatinib treatments at 2 dpt showed a marked reduction effect on cell proliferation when compared with the control group. This result was in line with our previous data, elucidating that apatinib treatment showed a dose-dependent effect in inhibiting cancer cell proliferation, which might be caused by angiogenesis in the tumor cell microenvironment.

To further ascertain whether the inhibition of apatinib in vivo alters the AKT signal pathway, we investigated the phosphorylated AKT and GSK. As shown in Figure 3E, apatinib could inhibit the phosphorylation of AKT and GSK in injected cells. Furthermore, SC79, an AKT activator, could attenuate the effect of apatinib. Taken together, our data suggest that apatinib inhibits cell growth through the suppression of phosphorylation of AKT/GSK3α/β in GC cells.

To clarify the time-effect relationship between cancer cell proliferation and apatinib concentrations, we measured MGC-803 cell proliferation after treatment with 0.5 μM apatinib at 1, 2, and 3 dpt, respectively (Figure 4A). As shown in Figure 4B, apatinib significantly decreased tumor cell proliferation in the zebrafish model implanted with MGC-803 cells in a time-dependent manner. These data were again in accordance with our previous observation in which apatinib was found to be an effective therapy for tumor cell proliferation. Furthermore, the zebrafish model established in this study has specific features that makes it an ideal candidate for screening anti-tumor drugs.

DISCUSSION

Apatinib, a highly selective tyrosine kinase inhibitor, has shown promising antitumor effects on malignant tumors. Here, we designed and established a GC zebrafish xenograft model for evaluation of clinical
effects of apatinib in GC patients. In the present study, our data showed that apatinib inhibited tumor progression by negatively regulating the AKT/GSK3α/β pathway in GC. The PI3K/Akt signaling pathway plays an important role in a variety of tumors, including GC, and it is closely related to tumorigenesis, proliferation, invasion, apoptosis, and autophagy. We found that the newly formed vessel length in the gastric cancer and tumor growth in the groups that were treated by apatinib at several concentrations could be commonly considerably inhibited in a dose- and time- dependent manner when compared with the control group, which was shown in the previous study to act via inhibiting the AKT/GSK3α/β signaling pathway. This findings was consistent with previous studies on human colon cancer, osteosarcoma and thyroid cancer [22–24]. This positive result might be a hint for further researches related to the relationships between particular molecule-targeted drugs and precision medicine.

Although GC is one of the common carcinomas arising from the digestive system, approximately two-thirds of the individuals are preliminarily diagnosed with AGC and unfortunately, they might miss radical surgical treatments or traditional chemotherapy-based therapy [25, 26]. The regular outcomes of conventional combination chemotherapies, including capecitabine, oxaliplatin, and 5-FU, remain poor, manifesting that the average OS of these patients is no more than 12 months and the 5-year survival value is under 10% [27, 28]. Nevertheless, the emergence of biologic therapies provides another effective and tailored choice. At present, targeted therapies approved for treating GC include trastuzumab, ramucirumab and nivolumab or pembrolizumab [29, 30].

A large-scale, real-world study demonstrated that apatinib had a favorable effectiveness and safety profile in patients with advanced gastric cancer [31]. Therefore, apatinib has also been approved as a targeted treatment for advanced gastric cancer.

It has been reported that Wnt/β-catenin, Jak-STAT, JNK and ERK signaling pathways can regulate the progression of GC [32, 33]. Moreover, the PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway plays a pivotal role in primary and acquired resistance in GC [34]. A study by H. Jiang et al. showed that the activation of Akt inhibits GSK3β activity, subsequently suppressing the phosphorylation of Snail, inducing Snail protein stabilization and nuclear localization, which ultimately promotes EMT [35]. In addition, a study has shown that apatinib can inhibit the metastasis of cancer via EMT inhibition [36]. In this study, our data showed that the protein expressions of p-Akt, p-GSK3α and p-GSK3β were decreased after apatinib treatment of MGC803 cells. Besides, Akt agonist SC79 was used to reverse the inhibition of AKT/GSK3α/β mediated by apatinib, demonstrating that apatinib was able to inhibit the AKT/GSK3α/β signaling pathway and thus induced apoptosis. In conclusion, our study for the first time confirmed apatinib’s anti-tumor effect through PI3K/Akt/GSK signaling pathway in vitro and in vivo, enriching the anti-tumor mechanism of targeted drug apatinib.

A large number of researches have reported the molecular mechanisms and in vivo effects of GC treatments, but most of them were performed in experimental mouse models [37, 38]. In our study, a GC zebrafish model was utilized to describe the potential efficacy of apatinib at different concentrations, which presents the advantages and values. First, many proven oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes have zebrafish homologs, as well as significant signaling pathways relevant to cancer cell proliferation, differentiation, migration and apoptosis [39, 40]. The pioneers of human tumor xenotransplantation in a zebrafish model were Lee et al. [41], who confirmed that human melanoma cell lines continued to have their own biological functions when injected into the zebrafish. These basic characterizations guarantee the rationality and reliability of human-disease-related zebrafish models. Second, the small size of zebrafish enables them to be raised in isolated well plates (e.g. 12-well, 24-well), providing a high- or medium-throughput measure which is considerably faster and less costly than mouse-injected models [41]. Moreover, the transparency of zebrafish embryos makes it easier to observe and quantify them. In parallel with the nature advantages of zebrafish models, a toolkit of experimental measures, including reverse genetic technique, makes it possible to study and optimize experimental zebrafish models [42]. As highlighted above, zebrafish models with human tumor xenotransplantation have a promising future in the field of cancer therapeutic research.

As already known, cancer treatments are evolving into precision medicine, aiming at personalizing therapy at the molecular level and achieving the best prognosis. With the assistance of the newly generated zebrafish models, it could be more convenient and intuitionistic to observe the curative effect of apatinib and other small-molecule targeted agents. Besides, the application of this innovative animal model will set the patients free from molecular genotyping tests, which improves the drug screening system such that it becomes time-saving and less costly.
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