Fracture Load of Tooth Restored with Fiber Post and Experimental Short Fiber Composite
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Abstract:

Purpose: This study evaluated the load bearing capacity of anatomically designed canines restored with FRC posts and experimental short fiber composite resin (FC). The effect of using three different types of tooth preparation and woven net on the fracture load was also investigated. Further aim was to evaluate the failure mode of each restoration.

Material and methods: 80 maxillary frasaco-canines were divided into 10 groups (n=8). The anatomic crowns were cut perpendicular at CEJ of the tooth. Group 1 was composed of teeth with flattened surface. Groups 2, 3 & 4 were prepared of teeth with 2 mm ferrule. In the third group, everStick Net was applied above the ferrule. Group 5 was composed of teeth with large box type preparation. The root canals were enlarged, sandblasted and then surface treated with Stick resin for 5 min. Two types of FRC root canal posts were used. The crowns were prepared either with composite resin or with FC. A static load until failure was applied to the crowns at a 45 degrees angle. Failure modes were visually examined.

Results: ANOVA revealed that use of FRC-post and tooth preparation (p<0.001) had significant effect on fracture load of FRC-crown. The crowns made from only FC gave comparable fracture load to groups with FRC-post. No significant difference was found in load-bearing capacity between restorations reinforced with FRC net-substructure and those without (p>0.001). Chi-square test revealed that both, crown design and existence of FRC-post effected significantly fracture types (p<0.001).

Conclusion: FC demonstrated similar load bearing capacity with restorations reinforced with FRC post. The presence of ferrule around the tooth increased the load bearing capacity significantly.

Abbreviations: EET – endodontically treated teeth; FRC – fiber-reinforced composite; semi-IPN – semi-interpenetrating network; CEJ – cementoenamel junction; FC – experimental short fiber composite; SiC – silicon carbide abrasive paper; N – newton; FEM – finite element method.
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INTRODUCTION

Modern dentistry aims at preserving pulpal vitality and post application is considered as last therapeutic option when restoring a damaged crown [1]. It is assumed that the endodontically treated teeth (ETT) are weaker and more prone to fracture because of desiccation or premature loss of moisture supplied by a vital pulp [2]. The generally accepted explanation for the increased failure rate is the substantially decreased structural integrity of the tooth because of the removal of the tooth structure during endodontic access, dowel-space preparation and cavity preparation [3]. The challenge may be complicated by substantial loss of coronal tooth structure and the ability to predict restorative success [4]. Due to substantial loss of coronal tooth structure, corono-radicular stabilization is often required, especially in anterior teeth to provide retention and resistance form for the restoration [5]. A post is usually claimed to be placed in order to strengthen the tooth, maximize the retention of the construction and minimize the fracture risk of the root. However, many in vitro and in vivo studies have showed that a post does not reinforce ETT, but serve as a support for the core foundation when there is insufficient clinical crown remaining [4-6].

Fiber-reinforced composite (FRC) posts have been suggested as a group of materials which offers stiffness equal to that of dentin, as well as high durability and therefore have some advantages over metal posts [7,8]. It is also noted that the rigidity of the post should be equal or close to that of the root of the tooth in order to distribute the occlusal forces along the length of the root [9]. In this aspect, the modulus of elasticity of a FRC post is closer to that of dentin when compared with rigid metal posts [7] and FRC endodontic posts exhibit the flexural strengths which generally exceed the yield strength of metals [10]. The flexural moduli but not the flexural strengths of FRC posts appear to correlate with fiber type [10]. FRC posts are reported also to reduce the risk of tooth fractures and display higher survival rates than teeth restored with rigid zirconia post [11]. In addition, the restoration of endodontically treated teeth with metal-free materi-
als eliminates the hazards of corrosion and allergic hypersensitivity and even more, the FRC post also have the advantage of easy removal if endodontic retreatment is required. FRCs can be used in root canal as prefabricated posts and individually formed posts [12]. A prefabricated FRC root canal post consists of reinforcing fibers and fully polymerised resin matrix between the fibers having predetermined diameter [7,12]. The problem with the material is that the polymer matrix between the fibers is highly cross-linked and due to the high degree of conversion is non-reactive. This makes it difficult to bond the prefabricated FRC posts to composite resin cement and tooth structure [13]. On the other hand, individually formed posts with semi-interpenetrating polymer network (semi-IPN) showed good bonding [7]. In the semi-IPN structure, there are both linear polymer phases and cross-linked polymer phases mixed at the level of polymer chains [14]. The monomers of the adhesive resin and cements can diffuse into the linear polymer phase and by polymerization form an interdiffusion bonding, called a secondary-IPN bonding [15].

Retention and resistance to fracture are two important factors that must be achieved with post- and core retained restoration. When using posts, factors such as the length, design, diameter, surface treatment and material of the post as well as canal shape, luting medium and method of cementation should be considered as factors affecting the retention of the post system [16-18]. Resistance to fracture on other hand is related to the thickness of remaining dentin [18]. However, it is suggested that a ferrule design can also significantly improve the fracture resistance of ETT [4, 19]. Incorporation of ferrule has been said to be a key element of tooth preparation when using a post and core [3,20]. The majority of studies regarding the effectiveness of a ferrule support the need of 1.5 – 2 mm of ferrule height. The 2 mm of tooth of coronal structure above the cementoenamel junction (CEJ) is superior to the lack of a ferrule in the prevention of tooth fracture under a static load, but also a tooth with a nonuniform ferrule is more effective than a tooth with no ferrule [3]. The ferrule effect should prevent also fracture of the root, fracture of the post and dislodgement of the post [4] and increase the fracture resistance of ETT, regardless of the dowel (post) system used [21].

Previous studies showed favorable type of failure (debonding), when FRC (woven) as substructure to composite resin core material was used [22, 23]. Furthermore, using similar material as post-core complex is suggested to be beneficial [24]. However, the relatively high brittleness and low fracture toughness of current composite resins still limit their use in large stress-bearing restorations. Studies have been undertaken to improve restorative composite resins. Although previous investigations on the use of experimental short fiber composite resin (FC) as reinforced restorative filling composite show enhancement in flexural strength and load-bearing capacity [25-27], the effect of short glass-fiber reinforcement on post-crown complex is not clear yet. It can be hypothesized that the use of individually formed FRC post and short E-glass fiber fillers can reinforce the composite resin restoration and improve the load bearing capacity of post-crown complex.

Thus, the aim of this study was to evaluate the fracture load i.e. load bearing capacity of anatomically designed canines restored with two types of individually formed FRC posts and woven net. We also investigated the effect of using three different types of tooth preparation and FC composite on the fracture load determining the fracture type for each group in addition.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Specimen Preparation**

The materials used in this study are listed in Table 1. A new experimental short fiber composite (FC) was prepared as described previously [25, 26]. Eighty anatomically designed maxillary canines (phantom frasaco canines, Ger-

| Brand                | Manufacturer                | Lot No.  | Monomer & Fiber Content                        | Type of Material                |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Stick Resin          | Stick Tech Ltd, Turku, Finland | 5709295  | bis-GMA, TEGDMA                               | Unfilled light-curing resin     |
| everStick            | Stick Tech Ltd, Turku, Finland | 2070319-ES-182 | E-glass, PMMA, bis-GMA,                        | Resin-preimpregnated continuous unidirectional FRC |
| everStickNet         | Stick Tech Ltd, Turku, Finland | 2041227-EN-073 | E-glass, PMMA, bisGMA,                        | Resin-preimpregnated continuous bidirectionally oriented FRC |
| Z100                 | 3M, St Paul, MN, USA         | 20061109 6CE | bis-GMA, TEGDMA, 66 vol% fillers              | Hybrid resin composite         |
| ParaCem®Catalyst     | Coltène/Whaledent AG, Altstätten, Switzerland | 0144828  | bis-GMA, bis-EMA, TEGDMA, Barium glass silanized, Amorphous silica, Benzoyl peroxide | Dual-curing cement           |
| ParaCem® Base        | Coltène/Whaledent AG, Altstätten, Switzerland | 0151230 (A2) 0148324 (A3) | bis-GMA, bis-EMA, TEGDMA, Barium glass silanized, Amorphous silica, Initiators | Dual-curing cement           |

PMMA, polymethylmethacrylate; bis-GMA, bisphenol-A-glycidyl dimethacrylate; TEGDMA, triethylene glycol dimethacrylate; bis-EMA, bisphenol-A-diyethoxy dimethacrylate.
The direct posts and crowns were fabricated according to the groups they belonged (Fig. 1). The first control group was composed of teeth with flattened surface i.e. without coronal structure (no ferrule). The second, third and fourth control groups were composed of teeth with 2 mm of remaining coronal structural (2 mm ferrule). The fifth control group was composed of teeth with large box type preparation (3M-ESPE, Germany) until the individually FRC posts were finally inserted.

**Restorative Procedure**

**Post Fabrication**

The direct posts and crowns were fabricated according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The base and catalyst were first dispensed in ratio 1:1 and then mixed on the mixed pat for 20-30 s until homogeneous paste was formed. Subsequently sand-blasted, gently air-dried and adhesively (with Stick Resin for 5 min) treated surfaces of the root canals were coated with the mixed cement and individually formed FRC post were inserted into the root canal with slight finger pressure. A thin layer of cement was also placed on the post surface before the insertion into the root canal. Excess cement was removed. The coronal end of each post was positioned directly in contact with the tip of the light-curing unit and was light-polymerized for 20 s. After it was removed from the root canal, the post was further light-polymerized for 40 s outside the canal. The individually formed FRC root canal posts were prepared as same as possible for each specimen in each group.

**Cementation of the Posts**

The posts were cemented with a dual-curing composite luting cement (ParaCem® Universal DC, Coltène/ Whaledent, Altstätten, Switzerland) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The base and catalyst were first dispensed in ratio 1:1 and then mixed on the mixed pat for 20-30 s until homogeneous paste was formed. Subsequently sand-blasted, gently air-dried and adhesively (with Stick Resin for 5 min) treated surfaces of the root canals were coated with the mixed cement and individually formed FRC post were inserted into the root canal with slight finger pressure. A thin layer of cement was also placed on the post surface before the insertion into the root canal. Excess cement was removed. The coronal end of each post was positioned directly in contact with the tip of the light-curing unit and was light-polymerized for 30 s. The crowns were prepared as soon as the cement has hardened, approximately 4 min after cementation.

**Crown Fabrication**

In order to obtain crowns with the original form and shape as well as to standardize the crown dimensions, mould of transparent polyvinyl siloxane for direct application was prepared. The commercial composite resin (Z100, 3M Espe, St.Paul, USA) and experimental FC composite resin were applied into the transparent mould, which was then placed on the tooth surface with slight pressure. After the excess was removed with suitable instrument, the crown was light-
lymerized from two sides for 20 s outside the mould. Once the mould was removed, the crown was further light cured for another 40 s (20 s per side). All the crowns were finished and polished with diamond burrs, white stones and diamond polishing cup under water cooling.

After finishing the restorative procedure, the teeth were embedded in the middle of an acrylic resin (Palapress, Heraeus Kulzer, Wehrheim, Germany) cylinder (diameter 20 mm, height approximately 15 mm) at a level of 2 mm below the lowest point of the simulated CEJ, simulating the bone level.

**Mechanical Testing: Fracture Load Test**

The test specimens were dry stored at room temperature for 10 days before testing. A static load until failure was applied to the crowns 2 mm below the incisal edge on the palatal side at a 45 degrees angle, using a universal testing machine (Lloyd LRX, Lloyd Instruments Ltd, Fareham, UK) with cross head speed of 1mm/min (Fig. 2). The specimens were loaded until fracture with load values measured in Newtons (N). Differences regarding the mode of failure among the groups were visually analysed and divided into two groups according to the failure mode (Table 2): favorable type (restorable) above or at the simulated bone level which has easy possibility to repair and unfavorable type (nonrestorable) below the simulated bone level which is difficult to repair.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data of the fracture-load values were statistically analyzed with SPSS version 10 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA) using analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the

**Table 2. Failure Mode Distribution of Test Specimens**

| GROUPS            | Favourable Fractures | Unfavourable Fractures |
|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
|                   | Debonding at composite crown-Tooth Interface | Fracture of composite crown; fractures above simulated bone level | Root fracture above simulated bone level | Root fracture at simulated bone level | Root fracture below simulated bone level |
| Without post Group 1 | 8                    | 0                      | 0                          | 0                          | 0                                      |
| Without post Group 2 | 5                    | 0                      | 1                          | 2                          | 0                                      |
| Without post Group 3 | 1                    | 0                      | 4                          | 1                          | 2                                      |
| Without post Group 4 | 0                    | 0                      | 2                          | 6                          | 0                                      |
| Without post Group 5 | 2                    | 0                      | 2                          | 4                          | 0                                      |
| With post Group 1   | 0                    | 0                      | 0                          | 8                          | 0                                      |
| With post Group 2   | 0                    | 0                      | 2                          | 6                          | 0                                      |
| With post Group 3   | 0                    | 0                      | 0                          | 8                          | 0                                      |
| With post Group 4   | 0                    | 0                      | 1                          | 7                          | 0                                      |
| With post Group 5   | 0                    | 0                      | 3                          | 5                          | 0                                      |

Control Groups 1,2,3,4 & 5 were prepared without individual FRC post included into the structure.
Tukey’s post hoc test and fracture types were analysed with chi-square test at a significance level of 0.05 to determine the differences between the groups.

RESULTS

ANOVA revealed that use of FRC-post and tooth preparation had significant (p<0.001) effect on fracture load of restorations. Fig. (3) summarizes the mean fracture loads and standard deviations for the test groups. ANOVA revealed that restorations made from experimental FC composite resin (Group 4) gave highest fracture load values (238 N) when FRC-post was not included into the structure. For the rest of the groups, the data showed that crowns additionally reinforced with post have higher load-bearing capacity than crowns without post. No significant difference was found in load-bearing capacity between restorations reinforced with FRC net-substructure and those without (p>0.001). Chi-square test revealed that both, crown design and existence of FRC-post affected significantly fracture types (p<0.001). Restorations made from experimental FC composite resin (Group 4) revealed no statistically difference (p>0.05) in fracture pattern, whether FRC-post was included into the structure or not. In all other groups, fracture pattern was significantly changed if FRC-post was added.

The 2 mm remaining coronal structure (ferrule) above the CEJ appeared to reinforce the cervical area, where most fractures occur. Failure mode analysis showed cervical root fractures. The results of failure mode assessment and typical fracture modes are shown in Table 2.

DISCUSSION

Since the natural teeth simulate the clinical conditions, their use for in vitro studies has been considered as acceptable [28, 29]. However, the experimental use of natural teeth presents problems due to anatomic variations and the heterogeneous nature of tooth matter [29], and existing microcracks in the dentin may not always be seen before testing [30]. The use of natural teeth results in a large variation in test results, compared with artificially manufactured teeth [31]. Although, investigators are statistically evaluating the root length and faciolingual or mesiodistal dimensions of the teeth to minimize the confounding factors, variations in canal morphology and biochemical composition might also affect mechanical test results [32]. Moreover, natural canines are hardly available and tend to have larger variations in size. In order to avoid the conflicting results and to minimize the variations in the teeth selection, anatomically designed canines (phantom frasaco teeth) were used in the present study.

If a large amount of tooth structure has been removed as the result of endodontic therapy, the build-up with a post and core in combination with a crown becomes necessary. It has been suggested that a post should have the same modulus of elasticity as root dentin to distribute applied forces evenly along the length of the post [5].

The present study was designed to evaluate the load-bearing capacity and failure mode of maxillary canines restored with resin composite crown with or without placement of individually formed FRC root canal post and woven net. This study reproduced the scenario of major loss of tooth structure. Two types of individually formed FRC root canal post designs were used in this study: post with the hole post space filled up with fibers and post with the fibers formed into a hollow tube (Fig. 1). The presence or absence of FRC root canal post in this study resulted in a significant difference whether regarding the fracture load or failure pattern and in that aspect the hypothesis was accepted. Individually formed FRC root canal post significantly contributed to the reinforcement and strengthening of restored teeth by supporting the remaining tooth structure.

Adhesive resin cement was used to cement the posts. They have the ability to bond to both the radicular dentin and post allowing the use of conservative post insertion techniques as well as reducing potential stress [28]. Pontius et al demonstrated that much higher tensile forces were needed to dislodge prefabricated posts when bonding agents were used [5]. The root systems restored with a dentin bonding cement are more resistant to failure than root systems that use zinc phosphate as the cement medium [33]. For fiber reinforced post, resin cement is generally used [31]. The present study favors the use of dentin bonding agents in combination with composite resin.

Composite resin material was material of choice for preparation of crown in this study, because of its good bond strength, controlled and quick setting and good aesthetic. When force is applied to composite or layered materials, stresses tend to maximize within the material with the highest elastic modulus and the stress located at the dentin may influence the risk of root fracture, whereas, the stress located at post-dentin interface may influence the risk of loss of post retention [34]. Root fractures on root-filled abutment teeth are severe failures, often with fatal consequences for the involved tooth and prosthetic reconstruction [35]. When a system with components of different rigidity is loaded, the more rigid component is capable of resisting greater forces without distortion. Stress instead transferred to the less rigid component which might cause the weaker component to fail [35]. A post material with a modulus of elasticity similar to that of dentin would be less predisposed to induce root fractures. Therefore, may be suggested that using restorative materials of elastic modulus close to dentin rather than materials of high elastic modulus may create a mechanically homogenous unit.

The type of crown has been shown to not be a significant factor affecting the fracture resistance, whereas the presence/absence of post was a significant factor [36]. It has also been shown that providing coronal coverage significantly increased the stiffness of the remaining tooth and in the instance of minimal remaining tooth structure, a foundation is required to retain the crown, often with the placement of a dowel to provide retention for the foundation restoration [3]. Transmission of occlusal forces has been shown to intra-radically predispose the root to vertical fracture [2]. Hence, the restoration design must provide enough strength to restoration and the abutment tooth to resist occlusal forces. In order to establish the effect of the preparation type of the restoration on the fracture load, the present study compared presence of 2 mm ferrule of sound tooth to absence of ferrule (flattened root surface) and large box type of preparation.
The presence of ferrule is hypothesized to protect the tooth from wedging stresses in post-restored teeth [34] and the incorporation of ferrule is described as a key element of tooth preparation using dowel and core [3]. When the ferrule effect is present, stresses are redistributed in the outer surface regions of the coronal third of the root [36]. When the ferrule is absent, occlusal forces must be resisted exclusively by a post that may eventually fracture, otherwise vertical root fracture may occur [2]. Furthermore, occlusal forces would become concentrated at the junction of the dowel (post) and the core with potential for dowel fracture [21, 36]. It has been reported that a cervical ferrule preparation creates a positive effect in terms of reducing stress concentration at the core dentin junction for crowned and ETT subject to stress in the cervical region [36] therefore being determining factor [37]. This is of great matter, because the reconstructed tooth is subject to most stress in the cervical region. The FEM study has also confirmed that the stress is concentrated at the cervical region and the ferrule preparation was effective in reducing the stress values [34]. The presence of 2 mm peripheral ferrule in the present study appeared to play an important role in reinforcing the cervical area, where most fractures occur.

It was hypothesized that the use of short E-glass fiber fillers can reinforce the composite restoration therefore improving the load bearing capacity of the post-crown complex. The results of the present compressive load test revealed substantial improvement in the load bearing capacity of crowns made from experimental FC composite reinforced with short E-glass fiber fillers (Group 4) in comparison with a conventionally used restorative composite with or without FRC-substructure (Groups 2 and 3). Also interestingly was that the fracture pattern with or without post corresponded with the load values, which might suggest that FC composite plays major role in load bearing capacity. In order the fiber to act as an effective reinforcement for polymers, stress transfer from the polymer matrix to the fibers is essential and is achieved by having fiber length equal to or greater than the critical fiber length [25]. Based on this knowledge, experimental FC used in this study has a fiber fillers of 3 mm in length. In theory, the reinforcing effect of the fiber fillers is based not only on stress transfer from the polymer matrix to the fibers, but also on the behavior of individual fibers as stress breakers. On the other hand, the use of FC composite with FRC post did not bring any improvement (Fig. 3). This is most likely due to the difference in stiffness (modulus of elasticity) between two structures.

In terms of failure mode, earlier investigations have showed that most common failure when using the direct technique (prefabricated post and composite resin) was the fracture of the restorative material (composite) and when using the indirect technique (cast post and core), the most common failure was the fracture of the root. Hence, the direct method appeared to protect the tooth structure and was recommended as appropriate method [4]. It has also been shown that the fiber dowels (posts) were able to reduce the risk of root fracture and that the fiber-reinforced dowels with composite cores achieved a fracture strength that was not significantly different from the gold alloy [21]. The majority of the specimens in the present study showed fracture at the crown-root margin on the palatal side (loading side). Fracture line continued toward the buccal root surface ending above or at the simulated bone level (favorable fracture). This is in accordance to the previous study of Le Bell et al [30]. The large box type preparation might had an effect on the results and might be concluded that whether a post struc-
ture strengthens the restored tooth depends on the post system used and ferrule length. The difference in fracture load between the teeth with a 2 mm uniform ferrule, teeth with absence of ferrule and teeth with large box type of preparation is attributed to the difference in remaining coronal structure. This is in accordance with the results of Tan et al where uniform and nonuniform ferrule types were tested [3]. The extra dentin in the groups with 2 mm ferrule might have created a more stable foundation for the individually formed FRC root canal post and composite resin crown. It should be noted that leaving 4 mm of fiber bundle above the root openings in this study might have achieved the ferrule effect, therefore indicating no difference in the failure mode among the preparation types. Earlier study showed that the FRC-substructure (woven) presented favorable failure modes in this study might have achieved the ferrule effect, noting that leaving 4 mm of fiber bundle above the root opened a more stable foundation for the individually formed fiber-reinforced (FRC) root canal post. The tooth preparation influenced the fracture load of FRC-crown and 2 mm uniform ferrule played important role in reinforcing the cervical area where the most fractures occurred. Restorations made from FC revealed similar load bearing capacity than those reinforced with glass-fiber post.

Both, crown design and existence of FRC-post effected significantly fracture types. The fracture pattern with or without post corresponded with the load values, which might suggest that FC plays major role in load bearing capacity.
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