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JOHN S. ARABADJIS AND JOEL N. BREGMAN

Department of Astronomy, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1090; jsa@astro.lsa.umich.edu, jbregman@astro.lsa.umich.edu

Received 1999 March 16; accepted 2000 January 26

ABSTRACT

A study of the cores of galaxy clusters with the Einstein Solid State Spectrometer (SSS) indicated the presence of absorbing material corresponding to \(10^{12} \, M_\odot\) of cold cluster gas, possibly resulting from cooling flows. Since this amount of cold gas is not confirmed by observations at other wavelengths, we examined whether this excess absorption is present in the ROSAT PSPC observations of 20 bright galaxy clusters. For \(\frac{3}{4}\) of the clusters, successful spectral fits were obtained with absorption due only to the Galaxy, and therefore no extra absorption is needed within the clusters, in disagreement with the results from the Einstein SSS data for some of the same clusters. For \(\frac{1}{4}\) of the clusters, none of our spectral fits was acceptable, suggesting a more complicated cluster medium than the two-temperature and cooling-flow models considered here. However, even for these clusters, substantial excess absorption is not indicated.

Subject headings: cooling flows — galaxies: clusters: general — intergalactic medium — X-rays: galaxies

1. INTRODUCTION

A central consequence of the cooling-flow model for galaxy clusters is that cool gas is deposited in the central 200 kpc region at a rate that is typically 30–300 \(M_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\) (White, Jones, & Forman 1997; Allen & Fabian 1997). Although this model is consistent with a wealth of X-ray data, there has been considerable scepticism about the validity of this picture because of the difficulty in finding the end state of this cooled gas. The gas does not form stars with a normal initial mass function, so either star formation is heavily weighted to low-mass stars, the material does not form stars but remains as cooled gas, or the cooling-flow model is incorrect. Consequently, there was considerable excitement when X-ray observations claimed to have discovered large amounts of cooled gas in galaxy clusters with approximately the masses expected from a long-lived cooling flow (White et al. 1991; hereafter WFJMA). They used Einstein Solid State Spectrometer (SSS) data for 21 clusters, corrected for a time-dependent ice buildup, and their spectral fits yielded an absorption column which they compared to the Galactic value obtained from the large-beam Bell Labs survey (Stark et al. 1992). About half of the clusters (12/21) had X-ray absorption columns in excess of the Galactic \(H\) column by at least 3 \(\sigma\), and the excess was correlated with the deduced rate of cooling gas. The mass of absorbing gas within the cluster was determined to be \(3 \times 10^{11} \sim 10^{12} \, M_\odot\), which is approximately the amount of cooled gas that would be produced by a cooling flow over its lifetime.

The WFJMA study led to searches at other wavelengths for cold gas in cooling-flow clusters, since \(10^{11} \sim 10^{12} \, M_\odot\) of \(H\) or \(H_2\) would be easily detected if its properties were similar to Galactic gas. Observational searches for \(H\) usually yielded upper limits (Jaffe 1987, 1991; Dwarakanath, van Gorkom, & Owen 1994; O'Dea, Gallimore, & Baum 1995), and when \(H\) was detected, it was typically 2 orders of magnitude lower than the expected \(H\) mass (Jaffe 1990; McNamara, Bregman, & O'Connell 1990; Norgaard-Nielsen et al. 1993; Hansen, Jorgensen, & Norgaard-Nielsen 1995). One concern was that the \(H\) might have a velocity dispersion similar to the cluster, making it difficult to detect in narrow-bandwidth studies. However, a recent wide-bandwidth search for \(H_1\) rules out such emission, typically at a level of \(5 \times 10^9 \, M_\odot\) (O'Dea, Payne, & Kocevski 1998).

Searches for molecular hydrogen have often focused on emission or absorption from CO millimeter lines, which have led to stringent upper limits (McNamara & Jaffe 1994; O'Dea et al. 1994; Braine & Dupraz 1994; Braine et al. 1995). Recently, searches have employed the \(H_2\) infrared lines, usually the \(H_2\) (1–0) S(1) line, and emission has been detected in a few cases (Jaffe & Bremer 1997; Falcò et al. 1998). In their analysis of the detections, Jaffe & Bremer (1997) deduce masses that are about \(10^{10} \, M_\odot\), still inadequate by 2 orders of magnitude to be in agreement with the X-ray observations.

Given the limits on \(H\) and \(H_2\), theoretical investigations have examined whether the gas could be hidden in a form that would be difficult to detect. The work of Daines, Fabian, & Thomas (1994) and of Ferland, Fabian, & Johnstone (1994) indicated that the gas might be difficult to detect, with the most likely form being very cold molecular gas (near 3 K). However, Voit & Donahue (1995) argue that the material is unlikely to be this cold and that the X-ray–absorbing material would not have evaded detection if it were in the form of \(H\) or \(H_2\). This agrees with the modeling of O'Dea et al. (1994), and the detection of the infrared \(H_2\) lines shows that some of the molecular gas must be warm (Jaffe & Bremer 1997). The theoretical models suggest that it would be difficult to hide cold gas from detection, although perhaps not impossible.

This apparent conflict between the WFJMA result and data at other wavelengths raises the concern that there might be a problem with the SSS X-ray observations. A different group (White et al. 1994) studied four of the same clusters as WFJMA using SSS data supplemented by Ginga data as part of a study of abundance gradients in clusters. White et al. (1994) found that the amount of X-ray–absorbing material depended on various assumptions about the spectra, such as whether a cooling flow was included in the model. In addition, increasing the ice parameter for the SSS data would lead to a decrease in the X-ray–absorbing column. In most cases, these changes could reduce but not eliminate an X-ray–absorbing column in excess of the
Galactic $N_{\text{H}}$, value. A direct conflict with the WFJMA work was presented by Tsai (1994), who used data from several instruments on the Einstein Observatory and found that toward M87, no additional X-ray absorption was required beyond the Galactic $N_{\text{H}}$, column.

The ROSAT PSPC spectra should provide a strong test of this extra absorption, since it has good sensitivity across the energy band where the absorption occurs. For the clusters with Galactic $N_{\text{H}} \lesssim 5 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ and that have claimed excess X-ray absorption, such as M87, the Virgo Cluster, Abell 1795, Abell 2029, Abell 2142, and Abell 2199, no excess absorption is required by the PSPC data (Briel & Henry 1996; Henry & Briel 1996; Lieu et al. 1996; Sarazin, Wise, & Markevitch 1998; Siddiqui, Stewart, & Johnstone 1998), in direct conflict with the work of WFJMA. In addition, PSPC spectra of other cooling-flow clusters, such as Abell 401 and Abell 2597, fail to show excess absorption (Henry & Briel 1996; Sarazin & McNamara 1997).

It is important to note that most of these spectral fits are for a single temperature within an annulus or region. Models with cooling flows can naturally accommodate considerable internal absorbing material, because these models produce soft emission (from the production of cooling gas), which can be reduced through absorption in order to agree with the observed spectrum (e.g., Wise & Sarazin 1999). A particularly clear illustration of this is given by Siddiqui et al. (1998), who show that no excess absorption is required for either single-temperature models or cooling-flow models without reheating, but that excess absorption can occur in the center for a cooling-flow model with a partial-covering screen. A somewhat different approach is taken by Allen & Fabian (1997), who use PSPC color maps along with a deprojection technique to fit cooling flows plus internal absorption to nearly all of their galaxy clusters. They can achieve agreement with WFJMA when they adopt a partial covering model for the absorption. The evidence suggests to us that excess absorption can be accommodated but is not required for successful spectral fits of clusters along lines of sight where the Galactic $N_{\text{H}} \lesssim 5 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$.

The situation is different along sight lines with higher Galactic column densities, where excess columns are reported even for isothermal fits to the data. Irwin & Sarazin (1995) observed 2A 0335+096, which has a Galactic $N_{\text{H}} = 1.7 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$, and found an excess of 0.6–1.2 $\times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$, depending on the type of fit. A similar result is found by Allen et al. (1993), who observed Abell 478 and found an excess of 0.7–1.7 $\times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ compared to the Galactic $N_{\text{H}} = 1.4 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$. An important aspect of these studies is that the excess absorption occurs both inside and outside the cooling-flow core.

Of direct relevance to this discussion is our recent study, in which we used the noncentral regions of bright clusters to measure absorption columns for comparison with Galactic $N_{\text{H}}$, and $N_{\text{H},\text{c}}$, data (Arabadjis & Bregman 1999a; hereafter AB). The motivation was that the bright isothermal parts of galaxy clusters were ideal background light sources, with particularly simple spectra, so absorption columns could be determined to high accuracy. We found that for X-ray absorption columns of less than $5 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$, the only absorption necessary was due to Galactic $N_{\text{H}}$. However, for the seven clusters with higher Galactic column densities, excess absorption was detected in every case, and we attribute this excess to $H_2$ in the Galaxy, a result that is consistent with Copernicus $H_2$ studies (Savage et al. 1977). As part of our investigation, we developed software to incorporate the most recent values of the He absorption cross section, to which the results are somewhat sensitive. Here we extend the techniques that we developed to study the centers of these 20 bright clusters, with the goal of determining whether excess absorption is required, and whether it is statistically different from the absorption seen in the noncentral parts of galaxy clusters.

2. METHOD AND SAMPLE SELECTION

For this investigation we use the cluster sample studied in AB (Table 1). These clusters were chosen to fulfill several criteria: they must be sufficiently bright that there are enough photons in each archived observation to constrain the spectral models; they must be well-studied, so that we minimize the number of free parameters in the models; and they must lie out of the plane of the Galaxy, so that opacity corrections in the corresponding H I columns are minimal. The data consisted of ROSAT PSPC observations taken from the archives at the HEASARC. Standard packages (i.e., the PCPICOR suite in FTOOLS) were used to correct for spatial and temporal gain fluctuations in the ROSAT detectors (PSPC B and C; see Briel, Burkert, & Pfeffermann 1989). Spectra were usually taken from 3–6 and 6–9 annuli centered on the emission center of each cluster (but well outside any possible cooling flows), over the energy range 0.14–2.4 keV (avoiding the softest channels, where the calibration may be unreliable; see Briel et al. 1989; Snowden et al. 1995), and modeled using both XSPEC (Arnaud 1996) and PROS (Conroy et al. 1993). Background spectra with point sources removed were generally taken from annuli with widths between 2–4 and radii between 15 and 20, and events were binned to ensure a minimum of 20 photons for each channel used in the fitting process. Each resulting background-subtracted spectrum was modeled as a single-temperature thermal plasma (model MEKAL in XSPEC; Mewe, Gronenschild, & van den Oord 1985; Mewe, Lemen, & van den Oord 1986; Arnaud & Rothenflug 1985; Kaasra 1992) at a fixed temperature and redshift.

| Cluster | $l$ | $b$ |
|---------|----|----|
| 2A0335  | 176.25 | -35.08 |
| A0085   | 115.05 | -72.08 |
| A0119   | 125.75 | -64.11 |
| A0133   | 149.09 | -84.09 |
| A0401   | 164.18 | -38.87 |
| A0478   | 182.43 | -28.29 |
| A0496   | 209.59 | -36.49 |
| A0665   | 149.73 | +34.67 |
| A1065   | 269.63 | +26.51 |
| A1615   | 306.83 | +58.62 |
| A1656   | 58.16  | +88.01 |
| A1795   | 33.81  | +77.18 |
| A2029   | 6.49   | +50.55 |
| A2052   | 9.42   | +50.12 |
| A2142   | 44.23  | +48.69 |
| A2147   | 28.83  | +44.50 |
| A2163   | 6.75   | +30.52 |
| A2199   | 62.93  | +43.69 |
| A2256   | 111.10 | +31.74 |
| A2657   | 96.65  | -50.30 |
| Cluster   | 2A0335  | A1060  | A0496  | A0133  | A0085  | A0119  | A0401  | A0478  | A0496  | A0665  | A1060  | A1651  | A1656  | A1795  | A2029  |
|-----------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| \( N_{0} \) \( (10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}) \) | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| \( N_{0} \) \( (10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}) \) | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| \( Z^{4} \) | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 0.5 | 5.1 | 7.8 | 6.8 | 4.7 | 8.3 | 3.3 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 5.1 | 7.8 |
| \( e_{x} \) | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| \( e_{y} \) | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| \( M^{4} \) \( (M_{0} \text{ Tr}^{-1}) \) | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| \( \chi^{2} \) | 2.292 | 1.108 | 1.728 | 1.250 | 1.114 | 1.469 | 1.333 | 1.307 | 1.462 | 1.427 | 1.375 | 0.987 | 0.994 | 1.026 | 1.000 |

**TABLE 2**

**Model Fits to the Cluster Sample**

| Cluster   | 2A0335  | A1060  | A0496  | A0133  | A0085  | A0119  | A0401  | A0478  | A0496  | A0665  | A1060  | A1651  | A1656  | A1795  | A2029  |
|-----------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| \( N_{0} \) \( (10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}) \) | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| \( N_{0} \) \( (10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}) \) | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| \( Z^{4} \) | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 0.5 | 5.1 | 7.8 | 6.8 | 4.7 | 8.3 | 3.3 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 5.1 | 7.8 |
| \( e_{x} \) | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| \( e_{y} \) | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| \( M^{4} \) \( (M_{0} \text{ Tr}^{-1}) \) | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| \( \chi^{2} \) | 2.292 | 1.108 | 1.728 | 1.250 | 1.114 | 1.469 | 1.333 | 1.307 | 1.462 | 1.427 | 1.375 | 0.987 | 0.994 | 1.026 | 1.000 |
(White et al. 1997) and metallicity (0.3 solar) and with variable Galactic absorption and spectral normalization. As mentioned above, we have replaced the neutral helium cross sections of Bahcall-Church & McCammon (1992) in XSPEC with the more recent calculations of Yan, Sadegh-pour, & Dalgarno (1998), and set the helium abundance He/H = 0.10 (see discussion in AB and in Arabadjis & Bregman 1999b).

In the present study, our goal is to determine if we can model the emission from a 3° disk at the emission center using the same Galactic absorption column as that derived from X-ray fits to the outer regions, rendering an absorption component local to the cluster unnecessary. For each cluster, we try to fit a single-component thermal plasma at the same temperature, redshift, and metallicity (T, z, and Z) as the models of AB. This leaves only one free parameter, the spectral normalization.

Many galaxy clusters appear to exhibit a spatial metallicity gradient, especially those containing cooling flows (Fabian & Pringle 1977; Ponman et al. 1990; Koyama, Takano, & Tawara 1991; Matsumomo et al. 1996; Ezawa et al. 1997; Hwang et al. 1997). Roughly speaking, the metallicity ranges from 0.3–0.5 in the outer regions to approximately solar at the cooling-flow center (Edge & Stewart 1977; Ponman et al. 1990; Koyama, Takano, & Tawara 1991; Matsumomo et al. 1996; Ezawa et al. 1997; Hwang et al. 1997). Allowing the metallicity to vary in our models often produces implausible values, however, with Z ~ 4–20. This seems to be the result of a competition between metallicity and absorption to reproduce the sharpness of the spectral peak at 1 keV; i.e., the feature can be sharpened by increasing either the Galactic column or the metallicity. For our models, the simplest solution is to use 0.3 for the thermal plasma metallicity, and if the fit obtained is unacceptable (e.g., one in

| Cluster  | \(N_{c}^{a}\) (10^{20} cm^{-2}) | \(N_{c}^{b,c}\) (10^{20} cm^{-2}) | Z^{i} | \(T_{1}^{i}\) (keV) | \(e_{1}^{f}\) | \(T_{2}^{b,e}\) (keV) | \(M^{b}\) (M_{\odot} yr^{-1}) | \(\chi^{2}\) |
|---------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------|-----------------|------|-----------------|-----------------|------|
| A2052... | 3.43 \pm 0.08  & 4.02 \pm \infty  & 0.5  & 7.8  & cf  & 0.14 \pm 2.88  & 136 \pm 33  & 1.808 |
|         | 3.23  & 4.02 \pm \infty  & 0.5  & 7.8  & cf  & 0.54 \pm \infty  & 0 \pm 74  & 2.041 |
| A2147... | 2.56  & 0.00 \pm 0.03  & 0.3  & 4.4  & cf  & 0.22 \pm 0.03  & 24 \pm 15  & 0.638 |
| A2163... | 2.64  & 0.00 \pm 0.03  & 0.3  & 4.4  & cf  & 0.22 \pm 0.03  & 24 \pm 15  & 0.638 |
| A2199... | 0.877  & 4.7  & 0.5  & 7.8  & cf  & 0.14 \pm 2.88  & 136 \pm 33  & 1.808 |
|         | 0.877  & 4.7  & 0.5  & 7.8  & cf  & 0.14 \pm 2.88  & 136 \pm 33  & 1.808 |
| A2256... | 4.65  & 0.0  & 0.3  & 7.5  & cf  & 0.08 \pm \infty  & 1570 \pm 278  & 1.137 |
| A2657... | 11.3  & 3.4  & 0.5  & 7.5  & cf  & 0.08 \pm \infty  & 1570 \pm 278  & 1.137 |

\(a\) Intervening Galactic hydrogen column density.
\(b\) Column density of separate cooling-flow absorption component.
\(c\) A value of \(\infty\) indicates that the error in the quantity exceeds the quantity by a factor of >100.
\(d\) Metallicity of emission component(s).
\(e\) Reduced \(\chi^{2}\) value for the model fit.

| Table 2—Continued |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Cluster  | \(N_{c}^{a}\) (10^{20} cm^{-2}) | \(N_{c}^{b,c}\) (10^{20} cm^{-2}) | Z^{i} | \(T_{1}^{i}\) (keV) | \(e_{1}^{f}\) | \(T_{2}^{b,e}\) (keV) | \(M^{b}\) (M_{\odot} yr^{-1}) | \(\chi^{2}\) |
|---------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------|-----------------|------|-----------------|-----------------|------|
| A2052... | 3.43 \pm 0.08  & 4.02 \pm \infty  & 0.5  & 7.8  & cf  & 0.14 \pm 2.88  & 136 \pm 33  & 1.808 |
|         | 3.23  & 4.02 \pm \infty  & 0.5  & 7.8  & cf  & 0.54 \pm \infty  & 0 \pm 74  & 2.041 |
| A2147... | 2.56  & 0.00 \pm 0.03  & 0.3  & 4.4  & cf  & 0.22 \pm 0.03  & 24 \pm 15  & 0.638 |
| A2163... | 2.64  & 0.00 \pm 0.03  & 0.3  & 4.4  & cf  & 0.22 \pm 0.03  & 24 \pm 15  & 0.638 |
| A2199... | 0.877  & 4.7  & 0.5  & 7.8  & cf  & 0.14 \pm 2.88  & 136 \pm 33  & 1.808 |
|         | 0.877  & 4.7  & 0.5  & 7.8  & cf  & 0.14 \pm 2.88  & 136 \pm 33  & 1.808 |
| A2256... | 4.65  & 0.0  & 0.3  & 7.5  & cf  & 0.08 \pm \infty  & 1570 \pm 278  & 1.137 |
| A2657... | 11.3  & 3.4  & 0.5  & 7.5  & cf  & 0.08 \pm \infty  & 1570 \pm 278  & 1.137 |

\(a\) Intervening Galactic hydrogen column density.
\(b\) Column density of separate cooling-flow absorption component.
\(c\) A value of \(\infty\) indicates that the error in the quantity exceeds the quantity by a factor of >100.
\(d\) Metallicity of emission component(s).
\(e\) Reduced \(\chi^{2}\) value for the model fit.
which the reduced $\chi^2$, $\chi^2_r$, of the fit exceeds 1.26 for 187 degrees of freedom, indicating a probability of less than 1%, we increase it to 0.5. For the cooling flows, we adopt $Z = 1$. Our choice of metallicity does not have a large effect on our derived absorption columns, although it should be noted that the effect is somewhat greater for the resulting mass deposition rates, reducing them by 10%--20% when $Z$ is increased to 0.5 from 0.3.

If increasing the metallicity to 0.5 fails to improve the fit, we add a second thermal plasma at the same redshift and metallicity. This adds two free parameters, the temperature and normalization of the second emission component. If this results in an unacceptable fit, we allow the absorption column to vary. The models used for each cluster are shown in Table 2.

In order to facilitate a comparison with the WFJMA results, we also run cooling-flow models (i.e., a thermal plasma plus emission from a cooling flow) for each cluster. We use the model of Mushotzsky & Szymkowiak (1998; i.e., the CFLOW routine in XSPEC) for the cooling-flow component, as did WFJMA. The addition of the cooling flow adds a number of free parameters: the temperature range, $T_{lo}$ and $T_{up}$, of the emitting material, the slope $\alpha$ of the power-law emissivity function, and the cooling-flow mass deposition rate, $M$, as well as the redshift and metallicity. In these models we set $T_{up}$ to the temperature of the thermal plasma component (as was done in the WFJMA study), leaving $T_{lo}$ a free parameter. We note that $T_{lo}$ could have been set to an arbitrarily low value (where the gas no longer contributes to emission in the soft band), but allowing it to vary produced slightly better fits in a few instances. In any case, the differences in the fits produced by the two methods are quite small. We assume an emission measure that is proportional to the inverse of the cooling time at the local flow temperature, corresponding to $\alpha = 0$. The cooling rate $M$ is left as a free parameter.

For each cluster, we fit several cooling-flow models that differ in their approach to the absorption. The first model holds the intervening column constant, at the Galactic value of AB. The second model allows the column to vary. It could be argued that any additional absorption seen in this model is not truly “local,” however, since it is manifest only as an increase in the Galactic column. We therefore run a third model, in which the Galactic column is fixed (at a value determined in AB), and a separate, redshifted absorber covers only the central cooling flow. It should be noted, however, that such an approach does not allow for the expected small-scale structure in the Galactic interstellar medium ($\sim 7\%$ on these scales; AB), nor is the poor spectral resolution of ROSAT data capable of distinguishing between absorbers with differing (low) redshifts.

3. RESULTS

Most of the clusters in our sample do not require an extra absorption component to be modeled successfully. Model fits for each cluster are shown in Table 2. Of the 20 clusters in the sample, 12 can be fitted with a one- or two-component model with the intervening column set to the Galactic $N_X$ value, and thus require no extra absorption component. Figure 1 shows an acceptable model spectrum, convolved with the PSPC instrument profile, for Coma (Abell 1656), a cluster in the direction of low Galactic absorption. The model used here consists of one emission component at a temperature of 8.0 keV, with a Galactic column set to $0.60 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$, a value determined from fits to the X-ray emission more than 6’ from the emission center. (A nearby region was determined by AB to have a column of $0.78 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$. Both of these values deviate from the 21 cm column of Hartmann & Burton [1997] by more than the expected 5%--7%; see AB for a discussion.) Figure 2 shows the fit for Abell 2657, which lies in a direction of relatively large Galactic column ($N_X = 1.13 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$). This model also uses a single emission component ($T = 3.4$ keV), with the column set to the value derived for an annulus 3’--6’.

For the eight clusters that cannot be fitted adequately using $N_X$ from AB, we allow the Galactic column to vary in order to ascertain whether extra absorption is required. In no case do we achieve an acceptable fit (i.e., $\chi^2_r < 1.26$) by allowing the column density to deviate from the value obtained using the outer parts of each cluster. In three of these clusters, however, the fits are only marginally unacceptable. Abell 85 ($\chi^2_r = 1.307$; Fig. 3) requires absorption about 6% higher than the Galactic $N_X$ value at a significance of about $1.5\sigma$, rather weak evidence for an absorption component local to the cluster. The best fit for Abell 496 ($\chi^2_r = 1.273$;
The models of WJFMA all contain cooling flows, so for completeness we ran cooling-flow models with variable absorption (both Galactic and proximal to the cooling flow) for the entire sample. For those models that contain only a Galactic absorption component (as a free parameter), in no case was a substantial excess absorption required to model the emission. We cannot rule out the presence of a significant quantity of cool gas at the center of cooling flows, but we stress that a significant excess absorption is not a required feature of these spectra. Of the internally absorbed cooling-flow clusters common to both WFJMA and this study, only one-quarter show significant excess absorption. The fact that any show significant absorption is not surprising, since absorption can be invoked to obscure any amount of cooling-flow emission; that only a quarter actually display this behavior suggests that excess internal absorption is probably not a ubiquitous feature of these systems.
TABLE 3

| CLUSTER | \( \Delta N_g/N_g \) (\%) | \( \Delta N_{ac}/N_g \) (\%) | \( \Delta M \) (\( M_\odot \)/yr) |
|---------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 2A0355  | +90 \( \pm \) 30 | 0 \( \pm \) 70 | 105 \( \pm \) 88 |
| A0085   | +330 \( \pm \) 330 | +4 \( \pm \) 4 | 290 \( \pm \) 130 |
| A0401   | +190 \( \pm \) 190 | +26 \( \pm \) 1 | 111 \( \pm \) 111 |
| A0478   | +300 \( \pm \) 300 | +6 \( \pm \) 6 | 495 \( \pm \) 495 |
| A0496   | +470 \( \pm \) 470 | +4 \( \pm \) 4 | 65 \( \pm \) 20 |
| A1656   | +100 \( \pm \) 100 | +12 \( \pm \) 12 | 16 \( \pm \) 20 |
| A1795   | +730 \( \pm \) 730 | +29 \( \pm \) 29 | 225 \( \pm \) 225 |
| A2029   | +580 \( \pm \) 580 | +11 \( \pm \) 11 | 145 \( \pm \) 145 |
| A2142   | +340 \( \pm \) 340 | +7 \( \pm \) 7 | 143 \( \pm \) 143 |
| A2147   | +330 \( \pm \) 330 | +10 \( \pm \) 10 | 25 \( \pm \) 25 |
| A2199   | +1560 \( \pm \) 1560 | +38 \( \pm \) 38 | 60 \( \pm \) 20 |
| A2256   | +100 \( \pm \) 100 | +3 \( \pm \) 3 | 200 \( \pm \) 140 |

a Change in the X-ray absorption column when it is allowed to deviate from \( N_{21 \text{cm}} \) taken from Stark et al. (1992).
b Change in the X-ray absorption column when it is allowed to deviate from \( N_X \) taken from AB.
c Change in the Galactic X-ray absorption column when it is allowed to deviate from \( N_X \) taken from AB.
d Absorption column of a separate absorption component (relative to the Galactic column) that covers only the cooling flow.

It is difficult to compare these results with those of Allen & Fabian (1997), since the methods differ significantly; however, one point is worth mentioning. The "color profile" approach that they adopted used data from 0.4–2 keV. In low Galactic column clusters, most of the absorption is manifest from 0.2 to 0.4 keV, where our technique is quite sensitive. For example, they compute an excess \( N_H \) of almost 60% for A2029, whereas in our two-component model it is only 11% larger than the Galactic value (and lower still for our externally absorbed cooling flow model; see Table 3).

Figures 6 and 7 show a direct comparison between our cooling-flow models of 2A 0335+096 and A0085, respectively, and those of the WFJMA study. The first spectrum shown in each figure with its residuals is the application of the WFJMA model to the ROSAT data. Each of the model's two absorption components (the Galactic column and an absorber in proximity to the cooling flow), plasma temperature, and cooling flow mass deposition rate are taken from WFJMA, while the plasma normalization is left as a free parameter. The emission and absorption physics used in WFJMA, Raymond & Smith (1977), and Morrison & McCammon (1983), respectively, is also used here. The second spectrum plotted in each figure is the single absorption component (i.e., a variable Galactic column) cooling-flow model of this study. In both cases, our fit is significantly better than WFJMA (for 2A 0335, \( \chi^2 = 1.11 \) versus 2.05; for A0085, \( \chi^2 = 1.15 \) versus 8.70). In the case of 2A 0335, we find an excess column approximately half that of WFJMA. For A0085, however, it is more than an order of magnitude lower.

4. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have examined the centers of 20 X-ray–bright galaxy clusters for evidence of internal absorption by cool gas. Twelve of the 20 clusters can be adequately fitted by a one- or two-component model using the Galactic column density determined though X-ray absorption to the outer regions of each cluster. None of the best-fit models of the eight remaining clusters becomes an acceptable fit by allowing the absorption to vary, although three of them are borderline cases (i.e., their reduced \( \chi^2 \) values are close to the cutoff of 1.26). Their columns each deviate from the Galactic absorption to the outer parts of the clusters by 3%–8%, much less than the large deviations found by WFJMA, and two of these three have a lower value. This is consistent with emission contrasts due to small-scale structure in the Galactic interstellar medium; therefore, no change in \( N_X \) beyond those expected are seen. The remaining cluster centers are not fitted successfully by either the one-component or two-component models used here, and although allowing their columns to vary does reduce their \( \chi^2 \) values, they never reach acceptable levels. However, if we assume that these best fits yield valid information about \( N_H \), the resulting column density increases are only 11%–38%, more than an order of magnitude below those seen by WFJMA. At least 3\% of this sample require no absorption beyond that expected from the Galaxy. Cooling-flow models in which the sole (Galactic) absorption component is left as a free parameter show excess absorption at least an order of magnitude lower than those seen in WFJMA.

We suggest that the discrepancy between our work and that of WFJMA is probably due to the Einstein SSS calibration. The WFJMA results depend on the values chosen for the SSS ice-buildup parameters, and although they used the best available values, there could be significant uncertainties. The time-dependent thickness of the ice buildup varied with position on the solid state detector, producing an extra absorption component (equivalent to absorption of between 10\(^{20}\) and 10\(^{22}\) cm\(^{-2}\)) that is significantly larger.
than many of the columns being measured. The standard model for the behavior of the ice buildup attempts to correct for the extra absorption, and is valid to a low energy cutoff near the oxygen edge at 0.5 keV (Madejski et al. 1991). Unfortunately, low and intermediate Galactic columns ($N_G \leq 5 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$) are most readily measured ($N_X$, it may be possible to accommodate extra absorbing material in certain models (Siddiqui et al. 1998; Wise & Sarazin 1999).
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