Comparison of two Different Low Doses of Intrathecal Bupivacaine & Fentanyl Mixture in Caesarean Section & to see the Relevance of Preloading in Them
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Abstract
Background and Aim: Pregnant woman are more sensitive to local anaesthetics during caesarean section. The aim of this prospective, double blind randomized controlled study was to compare two different low doses of intrathecal bupivacaine & fentanyl mixture in caesarean section and to see relevance of preloading in them to maintain stable hemodynamics and provide better analgesia with minimal complications.

Materials and Method: 200 parturient scheduled for caesarean section were randomly allocated into 2 groups of 100 patients each and a subgroup of 50 patients. Group 1A (0.5% Hyperbaric Bupivacaine 7.5mg+25µg fentanyl with preloading colloid 10ml/kg), Group 1B (0.5% Hyperbaric Bupivacaine 7.5mg+25µg fentanyl without preloading), Group 2A (0.5% Hyperbaric Bupivacaine 5mg+25µg fentanyl +0.5ml NS with preloading colloid 10ml/kg) and Group 2B (0.5% Hyperbaric Bupivacaine 5mg+25µg fentanyl+0.5ml NS without preloading). Maternal hemodynamics, duration of sensory and motor analgesia, total duration of analgesia and apgar score of the new born were compared between the groups and analysed by SPSS software using anova and student t test.

Result: Hemodynamic status was more stable in group-2 with less significant fall in mean blood pressure. Surgical anaesthesia was of equal quality in both and apgar score was≥9 in both the groups. Total duration of analgesia in group 1A was 182.64±11.45, group 1B was 180.42±12.93, group 2A was 154.04±10.56 and group 2B was 156.02±9.43. So, the total duration of analgesia which was assessed by VAS was excellent in the group 1.

Conclusion: As we are taking caesarean section in our study which takes maximum upto 40-50 min. So the combination of diluted low-dose bupivacaine and fentanyl could provide more stable hemodynamic status, without compromising required surgical anaesthesia and minimum complications to mother with no foetal compromise.
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Introduction
Obstetric anaesthetists face with the unique situation of providing anaesthesia for caesarean sections, where anaesthetists have to provide care for both the mother and the unborn baby. There has an increasing trend in the caesarean section rate in the last two decades not just in developed countries but also in developing countries.\(^1\)

Pregnancy as well as labour and delivery are accompanied by physiological changes in multiple organ systems that may influence maternal responses to anaesthesia and choice of anaesthetic technique.\(^2\) Regional anaesthesia has become most preferred technique for caesarean section. Spinal anaesthesia has a more rapid, predictable onset, produce a more dense (complete) block and lacks the potential for serious systemic drug toxicity because of the smaller dose of local anaesthetic employed.\(^3\) Pregnant woman are more sensitive to local anaesthetics. The same level of spinal blockade is achieved with a smaller dose of local anaesthetic compared with that in non-pregnant individual.\(^4\)

The chance of hypotension is a major limitation of regional anaesthesia. The incidence of hypotension is more than 80% without any prophylactic measures.\(^5,6\) The hypotension with or without bradycardia has detrimental effects on both mother and foetus.\(^7,8\)

Measures that decrease the incidence of hypotension to varying degree includes intravenous administration of fluids whether preloading or coloading, avoidance of aortocaval compression, lowering the dose of anaesthetic agent and monitoring of blood pressure at frequent intervals after placement of regional anaesthesia. Although matter of preloading has been controversial from years that whether it is beneficial to avoid hypotension or not.

Among the local anaesthetics hyperbaric bupivacaine is the preferred local anaesthetic. The unique characteristic of this drug is the highest potency, slow onset of action and longer duration. With the combination of local anaesthetics and opioids, dose is decreased which is beneficial in obstetrics anaesthesia as we can avoid toxicity due to large doses, hypotension, nausea, vomiting and other side effects for which pregnant patients are more prone.

Materials and Method
This study was conducted on 200 cases in the Department of Anaesthesiology, Sardar Patel Medical College and Associated Group of Hospitals Bikaner and the study design was approved by hospital ethical committee prior to data collection and written informed consent was taken from all patients. Patients posted for caesarean section ranging between the age group 18 to 30 years of height 150 to 170 cm belonging to ASA I or II grades, undergoing elective lower segment caesarean section under subarachnoid block were included in study. They were randomized into 2 groups of 100 patients each and a subgroup of 50 patients each.

| Group 1A          | 0.5% Hyperbaric Bupivacaine 7.5mg + 25µg fentanyl with preloading colloid 10ml/kg |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Group 1B          | 0.5% Hyperbaric Bupivacaine 7.5mg + 25µg fentanyl + without preloading              |
| Group 2A          | 0.5% Hyperbaric Bupivacaine 5 mg + 25µg fentanyl + 0.5ml NS with preloading colloid 10ml/kg |
| Group 2B          | 0.5% Hyperbaric Bupivacaine 5 mg + 25 µg fentanyl+ 0.5ml NS without preloading     |

Inclusion Criteria
1. Patients scheduled for elective caesarean section with uncomplicated pregnancy were included in the study.
2. ASA (American society of anesthesiology) grade 1 and 2.
3. Height 150-170 cm
4. Age 18-30 years.

Exclusion Criteria
1. Parturient having pre-eclampsia, eclampsia, placenta previa
2. Weight >90kg
3. Height <150 cm or >165 cm
4. Any contra-indication to regional anaesthesia.
5. History of drug hypersensitivity to Local anaesthetic agent.
6. ASA grade 3 and 4
7. Any major systemic illness, fetal compromise.
8. Active disease of CNS such as meningitis, polio myelitis, intracranial haemorrhage, sub acute combined degeneration of spinal cord.
9. Spinal stenosis and active disease (spondylitis, TB, tumours).
10. Spinal deformities.
11. Septicemia.
12. Cardiogenic or hypovolemic shock.
13. Coagulation disorders.
14. Severe Anaemia.

Preoperative assessment was done for each patient, procedure of spinal anaesthesia was explained and written informed consent was taken from the patient and her relatives. Intravenous line was obtained with 18 /20guage cannula and group 1A and 2A were preloaded with colloid 500ml half an hour before anaesthesia. All patients received Inj. Rantidine 50mg IV and Inj. Metoclopramide 10mg IV for aspiration prophylaxis before surgery. Routine laboratory investigations was done (Haemogram, Bleeding time, Clotting time, blood group, viral markers, Urine albumin/sugar). Before proceeding with the spinal anaesthesia Boyle's anaesthesia machine was checked , appropriate sized endotracheal tubes, a working laryngoscope, a working suction apparatus and emergency drugs along with mephentermine and atropine were kept ready. Standard monitoring was applied with non-invasive BP, HR, PR, SPO2. Pre-anaesthetic values of heart rate, mean arterial pressure, O2 saturation and pulse oximetry were recorded. Under aseptic conditions the subarachnoid space puncture was performed using 25G quincke's spinal needle between the L3-L4 space and group 1 received 7.5 mg of hyperbaric 0.5% bupivacaine plus Fentanyl 25mcg after confirming free back flow of cerebrospinal fluid in the needle. Similarly group 2 received 5mg of hyperbaric 0.5% bupivacaine plus fentanyl 25mcg plus 0.5ml sterile normal saline. Afterwards patient was placed in the supine position with a 15 degree head down position. The wedge was placed under patients right buttock to avoid the supine hypotension syndrome. Oxygen was supplemented by using ventimask.

**Intraoperative Monitoring**
Continuous monitoring of haemodynamic parameters (Pulse, systolic and diastolic BP, SPO2) were recorded every 2min for first 10 mins and thereafter for every 5 min intraoperatively. Intraoperative side effects like pain, headache, nausea, vomiting, pruritus, shivering etc were noted.

The following parameters were observed and recorded.

**A. Sensory Block**
1. Onset of sensory blockade.
2. Maximum level of sensory blockade attained.
   Sensory level of the block was assessed by loss of sensation bilaterally at 30sec intervals and confirmed by a pin prick method.

**B. Motor Block**
3. Maximum motor blockade attained and the time taken for the same
4. Quality/ degree of motor blockade was assessed by modified Bromage scale.

**Modified bromage scale**
1. Free movement of legs and feet.
2. Just able to flex knees with free movement of feet.
3. Unable to flex knees but free movement of feet.
4. Unable to move legs and feet.

**C. Haemodynamic Parameters**
5. Haemodynamic parameters (systolic BP, diastolic BP, mean BP, pulse, spo2) were recorded. A decrease of systolic bp <90 mm of hg or decrease > 20% from baseline was considered.
hypotension and treated with 3-5mg of mephentermine.

6. Total duration of analgesia was noted using visual analog scale.
   An intraoperative and postoperative pain assessment was done using visual analog scale (VAS)
   Duration of effective analgesia was taken from the time of intrathecal injection to a vas score ≥ 4

D. Visual Analog Scale
   VAS is a 10 cm horizontal line labelled as no pain at one end and worst pain imaginable on the other end. Patient was asked to mark on the line where the pain lies.
   0 No pain
   1-3 Mild pain
   4-6 Moderate pain
   7-10 Severe pain

E. Apgar Score
   7. Neonatal APGAR scores at 1 and 5 minutes interval were noted.

Observations & Results

Mean age of patients were 24.74±3.12, 24.70±2.71, 24.50±2.97 and 24.96±2.88 in group 1A, group 1B, group 2A, group 2B respectively and the differences were statistically insignificant in between all 4 groups with CD value 1.40%.

Mean height of patients were 159.12±3.81, 159.92±3.03, 159.9±2.93 and 159.18±3.9 in group 1A, group 1B, group 2A and group 2B respectively and the differences was statistically insignificant with CD value 1.52.

Mean weight of patients were 62.94±4.38, 63.30±4.43, 63.00±4.51 and 62.0±4.4 in group 1A, group 1B, group 2A and group 2B respectively and the differences was statistically insignificant with CD value 1.77 as shown in Table 1.

We observed that heart rate decreased from baseline in all four groups after 4 minutes but the difference was statistically insignificant at different time intervals.

There was fall in mean BP in all groups with greater fall in BP in group 1A and 1B. On comparing group 1A with group 1B the difference was statistically insignificant at different time intervals. On comparing group 1A with group 2A and 2B the difference was statistically significant at 4 and 6 minutes with CD value 2.67% and 3.72% respectively. On comparing group 1B with group 2A and 2B the difference was statistically significant at 4 minutes with CD value 2.67%. On comparing group 2A with group 2B the difference was insignificant at different time intervals as shown in Table 2.

Time of onset of sensory analgesia between all four groups and it was faster in group 1A and group 1B as compared to group 2A and 2B but the difference was statistically insignificant in all four groups with CD value 0.137% as shown in table 3.

Time of onset of motor block between all four groups and it was faster in group 1A and group 1B as compared to group 2A and 2B but the difference was statistically insignificant in all four groups with CD value 0.150% as shown in table 3.

Quality of motor block between all four groups and the difference was statistically insignificant in all four groups.

On comparing total duration of analgesia group 1A with group 1B the difference was statistically insignificant. On comparing group 1A with group 2A and 2B the difference was statistically significant with CD value 4.405%. On comparing group 1B with group 2A and 2B the difference was statistically significant with CD value 4.405%. On comparing group 2A with 2B the difference was statistically insignificant. Apgar score was statistically insignificant in all four groups with CD value 0.201%.

None of the patient complained of pruritus. Only 4 patients in group 1 complained of nausea and 6 patients complained of nausea and vomiting in group 1 while only 1 patient in group 2 complained of nausea and 1 patient suffered nausea and vomiting may be due to reduction of dose of bupivacaine causing less hypotension in group 2. Only 2 patients suffered pain during surgery.
stretches in group 2A and 3 in group 2B. Negligible incidences of shivering or respiratory depression was observed in both the groups. So, the proportion of adverse effects in intraoperative and early postoperative period, including nausea, vomiting, pain during stretching, shivering, pruritus etc were insignificant in all groups.

**Table 1: Demographic characteristics**

| Parameter       | Group 1A | Group 1B | Group 2A | Group 2B | CD 5% |
|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| **Age**         | 24.74±3.12 | 24.70±2.71 | 24.50±2.97 | 24.96±2.88 | 1.40  |
| **Height (cm)** | 159.1±3.81 | 159.9±3.03 | 159.9±2.93 | 159.1±3.9  | 1.52  |
| **Weight (kg)** | 62.94±4.38 | 63.30±4.43 | 63.00±4.51 | 62.00±4.4  | 4.51  |

**Table 2: Mean Blood Pressure at different time intervals.**

| Duration (min) | G1         | G2         | G3         | G4         | CD (5%) |
|----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---------|
| 0              | 92.26±8.34 | 95.28±8.52 | 93.26±8.03 | 92.94±8.77 | 3.56    |
| 2              | 90.66±8.18 | 92.42±8.23 | 91.78±7.61 | 90.32±6.83 | 3.27    |
| 4              | 79.90±7.26 | 77.20±6.42 | 80.57±5.56 | 82.90±5.64 | 2.67    |
| 6              | 82.46±9.41 | 84.88±9.65 | 86.52±8.12 | 86.34±6.84 | 3.72    |
| 8              | 82.90±7.83 | 84.78±8.01 | 85.78±7.21 | 85.46±5.87 | 3.18    |
| 10             | 84.28±5.94 | 85.12±6.58 | 85.06±5.95 | 84.98±4.95 | 2.54    |
| 15             | 83.90±5.91 | 84.38±5.59 | 84.88±6.08 | 83.26±5.66 | 2.43    |
| 20             | 86.18±7.83 | 87.24±8.24 | 88.24±6.78 | 87.66±6.00 | 3.14    |
| 25             | 85.78±7.24 | 85.60±6.62 | 84.78±5.77 | 84.68±5.68 | 2.70    |

**Table 3: Sensory and motor characteristics and Apgar Score.**

| Parameter                     | 1A          | 1B          | 2A          | 2B          | CD 5% |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------|
| Onset of sensory analgesia   | 2.54±0.37   | 2.57±0.32   | 2.36±0.33   | 2.64±0.34   | 0.13  7 |
| Onset of motor block         | 2.97±0.43   | 2.92±0.43   | 3.03±0.312  | 3.03±0.317  | 0.15  0 |
| Quality of motor block       | 4.00±0.00   | 4.00±0.00   | 3.92±0.27   | 4.00±0.00   | 0.17  0 |
| Duration of Analgesia        | 182.6±11.4  | 180.4±12.9  | 154.0±10.56 | 156.0±19.3  | 4.40  |
| APGAR Score                  | 9.14±0.49   | 9.10±0.46   | 9.12±0.479  | 9.10±0.46   | 0.20  |

**Time of onset of sensory analgesia**

**Time of onset of motor block**
Discussion
Spinal anaesthesia is the preferred method for elective caesarean section as being simple to perform, economical and producing rapid onset of anaesthesia with complete muscle relaxation. It carries high efficiency, involves less drug doses, minimal neonatal depression and lesser incidences of aspiration pneumonitis. However, it also produces a fixed duration of anaesthesia, lesser control of block height, Postdural puncture headache and hypotension. Haemodynamic control under spinal anaesthesia is therefore very important. Subsequently, hypotension is known to result in maternal morbidity, nausea, vomiting, dizziness and can also have direct influence on neonatal well-being by reducing uteroplacental blood flow. Brief episodes of maternal hypotension have lowered Apgar Score also. Haemodynamic control under spinal anaesthesia is therefore very important.

Various studies have shown various methods to prevent hypotension including use of low dose of anaesthetic drugs, positioning, use of crystalloids or colloids with or without vasopressors. The link between the extent of sympathetic block and the incidence of hypotension has led to numerous attempts at reducing the dose of local anaesthetic and also the addition of opioids due to their synergistic action with local anaesthetic on the sensory block without increasing sympathetic block for caesarean section.

Various authors have used different doses of local anaesthetics and the volume required for spinal anaesthesia in caesarean delivery. Nagata et al., have reported that 8mg hyperbaric bupivacaine is preferable to 10mg in spinal anaesthesia for caesarean section to obtain adequate analgesia and avoid maternal hypotension. In Ben David study, they used 5mg of isobaric bupivacaine intrathecally with 25mcg of fentanyl, but there were a number of patients who expressed brief and moderate intraoperative pain which was unacceptable. Subedi et al., observed that the relatively low dose of bupivacaine use restricted spinal block segments and thus the extent of sympathetic block, thus improving the safety margin of haemodynamic effects seen after spinal anaesthesia. Hence, in our study, we were interested to assess the efficacy of two decreased doses of bupivacaine(0.5%) and fentanyl mixture in caesarean section.

The aim of our study was to assess the haemodynamics, onset of action, onset of sensory and motor block and duration of effective analgesia with the combination of fentanyl and low dose hyperbaric bupivacaine. We observed that there was fall in mean blood pressure in all groups with greater fall in blood pressure in group 1A and 1B. On comparing group 1A with group 1B the difference was statistically insignificant at different time intervals. On comparing group 1A with group 2A and 2B the difference was statistically significant at 4 and 6 minutes with CD value 2.67% and 3.72% respectively. On comparing group 1B with group 2A and 2B the difference was statistically significant at 4 minutes with CD value 2.67%. On comparing group 2A with group 2B the difference was insignificant at different time intervals. So, We observed that mean blood pressure were decreased significantly at 4 and 6 min of spinal anaesthesia in group 1A and 1B when compared to 2A and 2B mostly due to more sympathetic blockade by higher doses. Similar findings were observed by Bogra et al., and also by Seyedhejazi and Madarek. wherein they studied by using 8mg of bupivacaine and 10mcg of fentanyl for spinal anaesthesia in caesarean section.
In our study, none of the newborn babies had 5min apgar score<7. Similar observations were made by Belzerena,\(^\text{16}\)Biswas, indicating that the dose of fentanyl used may not have a significant effect on the newborn.

None of the patient complained of pruritus and similarly the study by Jashri et al.\(^\text{17}\), observed no incidence of pruritus. Only 4 patients in group 1 complained of nausea and 6 patients complained of nausea and vomiting in group 1 while only 1 patient in group 2 complained of nausea and vomiting may be due to reduction of dose of bupivacaine causing less hypotension in group 2. Only 2 patients suffered pain during stretching in group 2A and 3 in group 2B. Negligible incidences of shivering or respiratory depression was observed in both the groups, which were similar to the findings of Kang et al.\(^\text{18}\)

**Conclusion**

Based on the above observations we concluded that the group 2 showed better haemodynamic stability with negligible incidence of hypotension with adequate sensory and motor block and adequate duration of total analgesia without compromising surgical anaesthesia with minimal complications to the mother and the foetus. The difference was statistically insignificant between the group with preloading and the group without preloading may be as we have included much lower dose of bupivacaine with fentanyl mixture in our study so we have found no relevance of preloading in avoiding hypotension.
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