Genetic Evidence Confirms That the Porostomate Nudibranch Dendrodoris gunnamatta Allan, 1932 Is a Morphotype of Dendrodoris krusensternii (Gray, 1850) (Gastropoda: Nudibranchia)
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Abstract: Conspecificity of the morphologically-similar, sympatric nudibranch species Dendrodoris gunnamatta (Allen, 1932) and Dendrodoris krusensternii (Gray, 1850) was tested using sequences of the mitochondrial COI gene. Data analysis supports the presence of phenotypic polymorph in D. krusensternii where specimens from large estuaries and embayments in central New South Wales, Australia either lack or have highly reduced sky-blue ocellae.
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1. Introduction

Morphological similarity and sympatric distribution have led some workers to hypothesise that the porostomate nudibranch Dendrodoris gunnamatta Allan, 1932 is a darker phenotypic polymorh of the more widely distributed Dendrodoris krusensternii (Gray, 1850) [1]. The absence of a radula in this genus [2], which has historically been an important feature for morphologically separating species among other groups [3], has forestalled exploration of this hypothesis in the past [4].

Dendrodoris gunnamatta is a large, pustulose nudibranch, first described using material collected from Gunnamatta Bay, Port Hacking, New South Wales (NSW) [5] and considered to be endemic to south-eastern Australia. Iredale originally collected around fifty specimens, and some of these were subsequently used by Allan (1932) for the original description, which was accompanied by a colour illustration. However, this illustration was subsequently lost [5], p. 98.

Dendrodoris krusensternii is a charismatic nudibranch, characterised by the presence of large, brown and white tubercules interspersed with smooth areas that exhibit bright sky-blue spots (Figure 1A). It is widespread in the Indo-Pacific [6] and is found along the entire NSW coast, but not Lord Howe Island [7,8]. Across its range, D. krusensternii exhibits considerable morphological variation; however, the presence of sky-blue spots is consistent among all variants [9].

There remains some disagreement regarding the nomenclatural status of D. krusensternii, with some authors preferring to retain the name, Dendrodoris denisoni (Angas, 1864) (e.g., Gosliner et al. [6]). This may be in response to comments by Valdés & Fahey [10] which, regarding the species illustrated by Gray, 1850, refrained from formally synonymising D. denisoni with D. krusensternii. Rather, they stated that this nomenclatural problem ought to be resolved as part of a larger study. Consequently, it is not the purpose of the present study to explore this matter, and the nomenclature used here follows WoRMS [1]. Therefore, we use the name D. krusensternii (Gray, 1850).
Figure 1. In situ photographs of living specimens used in this study. *Dendrodoris krusensternii* morphotype (A). Sawtell, NSW, Australia (NMSC105); (B). Tweed Heads, NSW, Australia (NMSC722); (C). Nelson Bay, NSW, Australia. *Dendrodoris gunnamatta* morphotype (D). Sydney Harbour, NSW, Australia (NMSC468); (E). Sydney Harbour, NSW, Australia (NMSC471); (F). Sydney Harbour, NSW, Australia (NMSC473). Photographs: M. Nimbs.

Angas’ [11] original, colour illustration of *D. krusensternii* (as *D. denisoni*) (pl. IV, Figure 2) using specimens from Sydney, NSW, clearly shows a wide mantle with purple margins and pattern of sky-blue spots within flat, light-brown patches distributed among tuberculose ridges. *Dendrodoris gunnamatta* is generally larger in body size and exhibits comparatively muted colours; however, its overall morphological features vary little from *D. krusensternii* except for an apparent absence of sky-blue spots and often, larger dorsal tubercles. Despite these general similarities in appearance, Allan did not compare *D. gunnamatta* with *D. krusensternii*. As Allan’s description for *D. gunnamatta* was based on preserved specimens in which colour was most likely lost, we agree with Rudman [3] that there remains insufficient morphological detail to conclusively synonymise these taxa but that molecular data may assist in resolving this question.
Figure 2. Molecular phylogeny of *Dendrodoris krusensternii* rooted with *Doriopsilla miniata*. Topology and branch lengths from maximum likelihood (ML) analysis of COI sequences. Branch support values branches are approximate Bayes values (left) and ML bootstrap (right).

Contemporary observations, associated with broad-scale surveys of coastal habitats in sub-tropical and warm-temperate waters of Australia’s east coast [9,12,13] appeared to support the hypothesis that *D. gunnamatta* and *D. krusensternii* are synonyms, with evidence of a gradation of colour patterns and the suggestion of ecomorphs, with records of *D. gunnamatta* primarily confined to sheltered embayments (Jervis Bay, Sydney Harbour, Nelson Bay).

The purpose of the present study was to test this hypothesis using an integrated taxonomic approach based on a combination of molecular techniques and morphological observations of living specimens. Given its utility for discrimination at the species level [2,14,15], we used a fragment of the protein-coding mitochondrial Cytochrome oxidase subunit I (COI) gene to test for conspecificity. Additionally, we provide these data for use in future exploration of species-level relationships among the Dendrodorididae.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Source of Material

One specimen identified as *D. krusensternii* was collected by the authors from each of three different locations in NSW as incidental collections whilst undertaking other fieldwork (Table 1) (Figure 1A–C). Three specimens identified as *D. gunnamatta* (based on an absence of blue spots) were collected by the authors using SCUBA at 3 m on sea grass habitat at Clifton Gardens, Sydney Harbour, NSW, Australia (Table 1) (Figure 1D–F). In all cases, animals were narcotised in 7% MgCl₂/seawater solution and then preserved in 95% ethanol. Specimens are held at Southern Cross University’s National Marine Science Centre (Table 1).
Table 1. Details of specimens and GenBank retrieved sequences used in this study.

| Species        | Locality                  | Voucher Number | GenBank Accession |
|----------------|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| D. krusensternii | Sawtell, NSW, Australia   | NMSC105        | MZ373329          |
|                | Yoshio, Katsuura, Japan   | TY64           | AB917442          |
|                | Okinoshima, Tateyama, Japan | TY82             | AB917443          |
|                | Auckland, New Zealand     | GQ292047       |                   |
|                | Tweed Heads, NSW, Australia | NMSC722         | MZ373328          |
|                | Nelson Bay, NSW, Australia | NMSC710        | MZ373324          |
| D. gunnamatta   | Sydney Harbour, NSW, Australia | NMSC471        | MZ373326          |
|                | Sydney Harbour, NSW, Australia | NMSC468        | MZ373325          |
|                | Sydney Harbour, NSW, Australia | NMSC473        | MZ373327          |
| Do. miniata    | Yoshio, Katsuura, Japan   | TY65           | AB917457          |

2.2. Molecular Methods

Approximately 30 mg of foot tissue from each specimen was sent to the University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand for DNA extraction, PCR, and sequencing. Each sample was rinsed in distilled water to remove any ethanol and placed in a 5% Chelex 100 solution, which was incubated overnight at 65 °C. Following brief vortexing, the solution was boiled for 10 min and then centrifuged at 15,000 × g for 10 min. The DNA in the supernatant was used in subsequent PCR amplifications.

Regions of COI were PCR-amplified from the DNA following the procedure of Donald et al. [17]. Annealing temperatures of 50 °C were used for amplification. The universal invertebrate COI primer LCO1490 (5′-GGTCAACAAATCATAAAGATATTGG-3′) HCO2198 (5′-TAAACTTCAGGGTGACCAAAAAATCA-3′) [18] was used to amplify COI. The PCR products were separated from excess primers and oligonucleotides in High Pure PCR Purification Columns (Roche). Purified DNA was quantified using agarose gel electrophoresis and subsequently sent to the Allan Wilson Centre Genome Sequencing Service for sequencing in an ABI3730 automated sequencer. Sequences were de novo assembled using Sequencher 3.1.1 [19] and edited by eye.

Consensus sequences were then exported into Geneious [20] with additional data retrieved from GenBank [21] (Table 1), where they were aligned using the MAFFT plugin [22], using default settings. Primers were trimmed from the alignment. Data quality checks were carried out with MEGABLAST [23] and protein translation. Dorispilla miniata Alder & Hancock, 1864 was used to root the tree for analysis, based on Hirose et al. [4].

A maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic tree based on the MAFFT alignment was produced by W-IQ-Tree [24] using default settings. W-IQ-Tree incorporates ModelTest [25], which selected the HKY+F+I as the best-fit model, scored according to Bayesian information criterion (BIC), which is automatically applied (BIC = 3574.3367, weight BIC = 0.7486). Trees were visualised using iTol [26]. Within and between-species distances (p-distances) were calculated using MEGA V7.0.26 [27].

2.3. Photographic Methods

Prior to collection and preservation, in situ photographs were taken of living specimens using a housed Olympus TG 6 digital camera with an iTorch at 25% power (Figure 1). Some images from observations made by citizen scientists at other locations were taken using equipment that is unknown. Images were post-processed in Adobe Lightroom to adjust exposure and to create magnified insets provided in some figures.

3. Results

PCR amplification and sequencing yielded 658bp of COI. MegaBLAST searches of the NCBI database identified best matches for all novel sequences generated by this study to COI sequence data of D. krusensternii.
The phylogenetic reconstruction based on maximum likelihood recovered a single well-supported clade (BS = 75). Sequences from specimens identified as *D. gunnamatta* clustered with those identified as *D. krusensternii* within this clade (Figure 2). The maximum intraspecific distance based on uncorrected p-distances was 0.3613 (mean = 0.0783) for *D. krusensternii* and 0.0000 (mean = 0.0000) for *D. gunnamatta* whereas the minimum interspecific distance between the two was 0.0625 (mean = 0.0755). Thus, as the genetic divergence between the two putative taxa was less than the genetic divergence within *D. krusensternii*, conspecificity was supported (Table 2). Sequences from the three *D. gunnamatta* specimens and one *D. krusensternii* specimen from Nelson Bay were identical, forming a single haplotype.

Table 2. Minimum interspecific and maximum intraspecific distances using uncorrected p-distances. Intraspecific distances in bold, (mean distances in parentheses).

| Distance between and within Species | D. krusensternii | D. gunnamatta |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| D. krusensternii                  | 0.3613 (0.0783) |               |
| D. gunnamatta                     | 0.0625 (0.0755) | 0.0000 (0.0000) |

4. Discussion

The molecular data provided here support the hypothesis proposed by Rudman [3] that “*Dendrodoris gunnamatta* ... is probably a colour form of the brightly coloured *Dendrodoris denisoni* [D. krusensternii] ...”. Without other morphological characters, the absence of a radula has been problematic when attempting to separate cryptic species among the porostomate sea slugs. Additionally, the absence of sky-blue spots surrounded by a brown ring in *D. gunnamatta* has been primarily responsible for its retention as a separate species from *D. krusensternii*. Given that Allan (1932) used preserved specimens for the original description, it is probable that if some specimens did exhibit even small blue spots (Figure 3F,G). Therefore, they are likely to have been rendered invisible as an artefact of preservation and not apparent to Allan at the time.

*Dendrodoris krusensternii* is found along most of the NSW coast and exhibits considerable variation in colour across that range. Whilst the colourful ‘krusensternii’ form can be found along the length of the coast, specimens of the dark ‘gunnamatta’ morphotype are found only in large embayments in central and southern NSW (Figure 3).

Both morphotypes may co-occur in some locations and intermediates may also be found. For example, at Nelson Bay specimens may range from the brightly coloured ‘krusensternii’ form, through an intermediate form with fewer blue-spots to the dark ‘gunnamatta’ morphotype (Figure 3). Occasionally, variants may be observed feeding on the same food source (Figure 4).

The *Dendrodorididae* can generally be identified using external morphological characters. The shallow water species *Dendrodoris nigra* (Stimpson, 1855), *Dendrodoris arborescens* (Collingwood, 1881), and *Dendrodoris fumata* (Rüppell & Leuckart, 1830), each of which can range from black to orange-yellow, were once considered to be a single polychromatic species-*D. nigra*. Careful morphological study revealed the presence of multiple species [28,29] and a later molecular analysis confirmed these as separate taxa [4]. Molecular analyses have also been used to explore colour variability in the chromodorid genus *Felimare* Ev.Marcus & Er. Marcus, 1967 [30]. Originally thought to comprise four separate but variable species, the Atlantic taxa *Felimare clenchi* (Russell, 1935) and *Felimare binza* (Ev.Marcus & Er. Marcus, 1963) were each found to exhibit eight colour forms [30]. *Dendrodoris gunnamatta* has received little scientific attention since its description, possibly due to its very restricted range and infrequency of observation.
Figure 3. Distribution of *D. krusensternii* in NSW. The ‘*krusensternii*’ morphotype: (A) Tweed Heads (green); (B) Solitary Islands (purple); (C) Nelson Bay (blue); (D) Sydney Harbour (yellow); (E) Jervis Bay (red). Intermediate morphotype: (F) Nelson Bay; (G) Sydney Harbour. The ‘*gunnamatta*’ morphotype: (H) Nelson Bay; (G) Sydney Harbour; (H) Jervis Bay. Photos: (A–C,J) Matt Nimbs, (E) Eldon Ball, (F) John Sear, (G) Eric Schlogl, (H,I) Stephen Smith. Note that we were unable to source an image of the intermediate morphotype from Jervis Bay.
Integrated taxonomic methods that use a combination of independent lines of evidence are now commonly used to delimit species. This approach, which uses a range of discriminatory characters, provides strong support for taxonomic decisions. In most cases, integrated taxonomic methods are used to separate species within cryptic complexes resulting in increased species diversity [31,32]. However, in this paper, these methods have been used to identify morphological variation within a single species that resulted in their historical description as separate species.
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