Precision surgery for cancer: a new surgical concept in individual tumor biology-based image-guided surgery
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ABSTRACT

Surgery has long been a cornerstone of cancer treatment in many types of cancer. Traditionally, intraoperative assessment of the resection margin is largely dependent on visual inspection and palpation of tumors, with the aid of frozen section analysis. Although preoperative imaging can provide gross anatomical information, in situ translation of these images to the operation field is challenging. With the advancement of molecular imaging technology and its clinical application, the gap between preoperative radiologic images and surgical findings has been reduced through image-guided surgery. However, the imaging probes for intraoperative visualization of tumors are not individual tumor-specific. As conventional oncology has moved toward precision oncology with genomic and biological information specific to each tumor, image-guided surgery should also shift toward tumor biology-based image-guided surgery, so-called precision surgery for cancer. In precision cancer surgery, tumors should be analyzed molecularly and genetically to select the optimal imaging probes for individual tumors before surgical resection, beyond the use of predetermined imaging probes for certain types of cancer. This will raise the likelihood of meeting the surgical goals of cancer treatment. In summary, precision cancer surgery can be defined as individual tumor biology-based image-guided surgery.
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INTRODUCTION

Surgery has long been a cornerstone of cancer treatment in many types of cancer. The main goal of surgical cancer treatment is to completely remove the tumor without remnant cancer cells. Achievement of tumor-free margins is essential to reduce the risk of local recurrence; meanwhile positive resection margins (the presence of cancer cells at the resection margin) is one of the most significant predictors for recurrence and shortened survival in various cancers [1-4]. Furthermore, poor treatment outcomes related to positive resection margins may not
intraoperative MRI-guided surgery improves resection quality into the neurosurgical field. Many studies have reported that preoperative imaging, intraoperative MRI has been introduced during surgery [13]. To overcome these shortcomings of during surgery because of the brain shift and swelling that oc
during surgery with regard to the goals of surgical resection for cancer treatment and sought to define a new surgical concept: precision cancer surgery as an extension of image-guided surgery.

LIMITATIONS OF CURRENT SURGICAL TREATMENTS

Traditionally, intraoperative assessment of the resection margin is largely dependent on visual inspection and palpation of tumors, with the aid of frozen section analysis. Although preoperative imaging such as computed tomography, ultrasonography (US), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) can provide gross anatomical information, in situ translation of these images to the operation field is challenging. Even with intraoperative frozen section analysis, overall diagnostic accuracy is not high due to sampling error and limited amount of samples and examination [6,7]. Due to these factors, the incidence of close (a less than 5-mm safety margin around tumors) and positive margins is as high as 40% in head and neck cancers [8].

IMAGE GUIDANCE FOR PRECISE CANCER SURGERY

With the advancement of molecular imaging technology and its clinical application, the gap between preoperative radiologic images and surgical findings has been reduced through image-guided surgery [9-11]. The primary purpose of image-guided surgery is to visually differentiate tumor cells from the surrounding tissues in real time, which enables complete resection and preservation of normal function to the greatest extent possible [12].

Conventional imaging-guided surgery

Intraoperative delineation of tumors using conventional anatomical imaging modalities has been applied to tumor ablative surgery for several solid cancers. Glioblastoma is a highly infiltrative brain tumor with poorly defined tumor boundaries. Preoperative MRI is limited to defining tumor margin clearly during surgery because of the brain shift and swelling that occurs during surgery [13]. To overcome these shortcomings of preoperative imaging, intraoperative MRI has been introduced into the neurosurgical field. Many studies have reported that intraoperative MRI-guided surgery improves resection quality without increasing neurological complications and has a positive impact on survival [13-15]. In a randomized controlled trial, intraoperative MRI offered 96% total resection in comparison with 68% without it [13]. However, the need for multiple resources for intraoperative MRI may be a stumbling block to clinical application in other types of cancers.

Breast conservation surgery has been recognized as a standard surgery for early breast cancer. Similar to other solid cancers, positive resection margins are a significant poor prognostic factor for recurrence and survival [16-18]. A randomized clinical trial comparing intraoperative US-guided surgery to palpation-guided surgery revealed significant improvement in securing negative resection margins with US during surgery (3% vs. 17% positive resection margins favoring US-guided surgery) [18]. In addition, US-guided surgery reduced the resection volume with good margin status, suggesting that it enabled more accurate surgery.

In short, intraoperative radiology or US-guided surgery has the potential to improve the primary goal of surgery using anatomical information during surgery. However, it has some limitations such as the low anatomical resolution of conventional imaging for surgery, inability to capture anatomical displacement or distortion during surgical manipulation, difficulty of real-time imaging during surgery (except intraoperative US), and a lack of cancer-specific information.

Fluorescence-guided surgery

Fluorescence optical imaging with various imaging probes and molecular targeting materials has been introduced for real-time image-guided surgery to overcome the drawbacks of conventional imaging. These technological developments enable the surgeon to remove the cancer at a submillimeter level [12,19]. The characteristics of cancer cells including increased transformed optical properties, growth factors, angiogenesis, and proteolysis have been utilized in fluorescence optical image-guided surgery [20]. This allows real-time feedback during surgery even in the surgical wound bed. As a result, it can provide wide surgical field images, and can detect microscopic residual disease.

Conventional fluorescence-guided surgery

In a fluorescence-guided surgery, tumor-specific signals are the main target for visualization to discriminate between tumor and normal tissues [21]. Thus, signal to background ratio (or tumor to background ratio) is a key component of optical imaging. Molecules with fluorescent light emission are known as fluorophores, whereas molecules with light ab-
sorption are called chromophores [20]. The representative chromophore in cancer imaging is hemoglobin, which absorbs light spectra less than 600 nm in wavelength. Water and lipids absorb light spectra over 900 nm [20]. Fluorescent imaging using the visible light spectrum (400- to 600-nm wavelength) has high nonspecific background light with scattering and a low tumor to background ratio [22], which frequently fails to meet the imaging criteria (tumor to background ratio > 3) [23].

Near-infrared fluorescence imaging

A light spectrum between 650 and 900 nm is more desirable for fluorescence imaging, because less absorption in normal tissue and a relatively high tumor to background ratio [24]. In addition, this near-infrared light spectrum has high tissue penetration (5 to 10 mm) with little interference from intrinsic fluorescence [10]. Thus, nonspecific fluorescence can be minimized and tumors can be delineated more clearly during surgery. In line with the improvement in near-infrared fluorescent imaging, several imaging systems have been introduced in the clinical setting to visualize near-infrared signals [25-27].

FLUORESCENT PROBE FOR IMAGE-GUIDED SURGERY

Indocyanine green (ICG) is the most popular imaging agent for image-guided surgery. ICG emits a light spectrum of 700 to 800 nm (a near-infrared fluorescent contrast agent), and has been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for surgery [11]. It is cleared by the liver and has been used for a long time to evaluate the clearance function of the liver and to image blood vessels (angiography). After binding with plasma proteins, ICG is retained in tumor tissues through the increased permeability of tumor vessels and lymphatics [28]. In a trial of ICG, it was able to identify small metastatic tumors of hepatocellular carcinoma [28]. The fluorescent margin of the tumor was demarcated from surrounding normal tissues during several hours to days after intravenous injection of ICG [28]. Many studies reported the clinical application of ICG for various solid tumors including colorectal, gastric, and head and neck cancer [10,20,21,29]. In addition to ICG, many fluorescent dyes including Cy5.5, Cy7, IRdye800 CW, and quantum dots have been used for near-infrared imaging and image-guided surgery [12,20].

BIOLOGIC MARKERS FOR IMAGE-GUIDED SURGERY

Even with a high tumor to background ratio, the above fluorescent imaging probes are not tumor-specific, accumulating in tumor tissues in a passive manner. Thus, several biological signals have been incorporated into molecular tumor imaging to enhance tumor-specific uptake intraoperatively. Folate receptor-alpha [30], epidermal growth factor receptor [31], HER2/neu [32], prostate-specific membrane antigen [33], transferrin receptor [34], and carcinoembryonic antigen 19-9 [35] have been studied to distinguish tumor from normal tissue in various types of cancer.

For example, in breast cancer, ICG with trastuzumab (monoclonal antibody against HER2 receptor) was investigated to visualize margins and to classify molecular subtype during surgery [36]. Adhesion molecule alpha-v-beta-3 integrin can also be an imaging target for tumor delineation by Cy5.5 or IRDye800 CW conjugate with an antibody against alpha-v-beta-3 integrin [26,37,38].

A randomized controlled trial of 5-aminolevulinic acid (5-ALA) was a landmark study of an imaging probe that improved progression-free survival in glioblastoma patients [39]. However, this study did not provide preoperative molecular or genetic information regarding brain tumors with regard to whether cancer tissue had 5-ALA susceptibility.

The tumor environment is aberrantly transformed by cancer cells. This causes dysregulated extra- and intracellular pH [40], hypoxia [41], increased secretion of matrix metalloproteinases [42], cathepsins [43], and gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase [44], which are potential targets for tumor-specific image-guided surgery.

In head and neck cancers, near-infrared imaging dye of IRDye800 CW conjugated with anti-epidermal growth factor receptor (panitumumab) has been used in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma [45]. In a phase I trial, cetuximab (anti-epidermal growth factor receptor [EGFR] antibody)-IRDye800 was shown to improve surgical resection more precisely with a high tumor to background ratio [46]. Tumor was sharply demarcated from surrounding normal tissues within 1 mm, and fluorescence was strongly correlated with the location of the tumor, as confirmed by biopsy [47]. Another study of cetuximab-IRDye800 for head and neck cancer also showed the safety and tumor specificity of image-guided surgery [46]. This study enrolled patients with biopsy-proven head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, not specified into positive epidermal growth factor tumor.
Transferrin receptor is a poor prognostic indicator and is overexpressed in head and neck cancer. An \textit{in vivo} study showed that the maximum fluorescence signal of the tumors occurred between 90 and 120 minutes after injection and a high tumor to background ratio was achieved [34]. Another study using Cy5.5 conjugated anti-vascular endothelial growth factor antibody (bevacizumab) in an animal experiment also demonstrated the feasibility of image-guided surgery for head and neck cancers using this probe [48].

**NEW DEFINITION OF PRECISION CANCER SURGERY**

Tumors may have different phenotypes and characteristics according to anatomical location, histologic subtype, previous treatment, tumor burden (stage) and chronological effect [49]. This can be a major hurdle to choosing the best-fit imaging probe that correctly or accurately visualizes target tumor cells in precision image-guided surgery. Thus, it should be further improved with a tailored method reflecting individual tumor-specific biological changes. In this article, we suggest a new surgical concept, individual tumor biology-based (individualized) image-guided surgery, and investigate its potential significance in surgical oncology.

Traditionally, cancer treatment has been based on tumor location (primary site) and histopathology [50]. However, recent development of genomic and molecular technologies revealed that cancers from the same origin and histopathol-

![Fig. 1. Basic concept of precision oncology (precision medicine) compared with the conventional oncology. NGS, next generation sequencing.](https://doi.org/10.23838/pfm.2019.00072)
ogy can have molecular diversity, which may result in treatment resistance or failure [51]. With cancer genomic atlas, many clinicians and researchers expect that a rational selection of target agents for specific genetic and molecular alterations of cancer, even from the diverse origin sites [51,52].

This would accelerate the feasibility of prevention, early detection, and patient-tailored treatment of various types of cancers. Translation of molecular and genomic data into cancer treatments enables personalized cancer medicine with specific target agents [53].

Precision medicine in a basket clinical trial linked molecular targets specific to the genetic aberrations of cancer and target drugs, irrespective of the tumor origin [54]. Several genome-based cancer medicines have been used in clinical settings, including trastuzumab for HER2 mutation in breast cancer [55], imatinib for tyrosine kinase KIT (CD117) aberration in gastrointestinal stromal tumors [56], and erlotinib for EGFR in lung cancer [57]. However, precision oncology does not promise clinical benefits; a previous report of 2,000 consecutive patients showed that only 6.4% of patients had actionable alterations fitting genotype-matched trials [58]. Nonetheless, rapid accumulation of genetic information, technology, and drugs has improved the clinical outcomes of several target agents.

The main purpose of cancer surgery is to identify the extent of tumor accurately and remove tumors completely with minimal loss of physiological function. In conventional oncological surgery, surgeons have relied on visual inspection with white light and tactile sensations during surgery, as mentioned before. Clinical application of intraoperative molecular imaging has improved the outcomes of surgical cancer treatments [59]. However, the imaging probes for intraoperative visualization of tumors are not individual tumor-specific. As conventional oncology has moved toward precision oncology with genomic and biological information specific to each tumor, image-guided surgery should also shift toward tumor biology-based image-guided surgery, so-called precision surgery for cancer (Figs. 1, 2). This is a simple concept with regard to more accurate image-guided surgery.

**Fig. 2.** Translation of a precision oncology concept to the precision cancer surgery. In precision cancer surgery, tumors are analyzed molecularly and genetically to select the optimal imaging probes for individual tumors before surgical resection, beyond the use of predetermined imaging probes for certain types of cancer. NGS, next generation sequencing.
In precision cancer surgery, tumors should be analyzed molecularly and genetically to select the optimal imaging probes for individual tumors before surgical resection, beyond the use of predetermined imaging probes for certain types of cancer. This will raise the likelihood of meeting the surgical goals of cancer treatment.

CONCLUSION

In this manuscript, we reviewed the current status of optical tools during surgery for cancer treatment and tried to define a new concept of precision cancer surgery. Based on the previous and ongoing works of image-guided surgery, precision cancer surgery can be defined as individual tumor biology-based image-guided surgery. Surgical treatment for cancer will be advancing to a more accurate and precise surgery through understanding and application of individual tumor biology near future.
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