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ABSTRACT

The zoonotic Mycobacterium bovis is a pathogen of significance in the dairy industry in many parts of the world. Although the pathogen primarily affects cattle, it has a wide host range including humans. A cross-sectional study was carried out in three agro-ecological zones of Tanzania, namely the southern highlands zone (SHZ), eastern zone (EZ) and northern zone (NZ), to examine the status of the disease in cattle, in order to inform control measures. A total of 391, 169 and 401 cattle were tested for bovine tuberculosis (bTB) in the SHZ, EZ and NZ respectively using the Single Intradermal Comparative Cervical Tuberculin Test (SICTT). Results showed that the prevalence of bTB was higher (P<0.05) in EZ 2.37% (n = 169) compared to SHZ 1.3% (n=391) and NZ, where no positive result was recorded (n = 401). Thirty three cattle from SHZ and seven from EZ showed inconclusive results. In Kenya, 625 cattle from four sites within agro-pastoral and pastoral production systems were tested. In one area of Mwingi County, eastern Kenya, all the 161 cattle tested negative; while in the other three sites of Migori, in Nyanza, West Pokot and Laikipia in the Rift Valley, prevalence of 4-6% was obtained with SICTT. Bovine TB occurs in the region and may pose a public health threat through occupational activities and/or consumption of animal products, especially since no obvious clinical signs were observed in positive animals. Policy issues on how to deal with positive cases, creation of awareness on this important zoonotic disease, and a simple test to quickly identify sick animals in the field require urgent attention.
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RÉSUMÉ

La bactérie zoonotique Mycobacterium bovis est un agent pathogène d’importance dans l’industrie laitière partout dans le monde entier. Bien que l’agent pathogène affecte essentiellement les bovins, il peut infecter une large gamme d’hôtes l’homme y compris. Une étude transversale a été réalisée dans trois zones agro-écologiques de la Tanzanie, notamment la zone Sud (SHZ), la zone Est (EZ) et la zone Nord (NZ), afin d’examiner la prévalence de cette maladie chez les bovins, en vue de définir des mesures pour son contrôle. Au total, 391, 169 et 401 bovins ont été testés pour la tuberculose bovine (bTB) respectivement dans le SHZ, EZ et NZ. Le test Intradermique Comparatif a la Tuberculine Cervicale (SICTT). Les résultats ont montré que la prévalence de bTB était élevée (P<0.05) en EZ 2.37% (n = 169) comparativement à SHZ 1.3% (n=391) et NZ, ou aucun cas positif n’a été enregistré (n = 401). Trente-trois bovins de SHZ et 7 de EZ ont montré des résultats non conclusifs. Au Kenya, 625 bovins provenant de quatre sites au sein des systèmes de production agro-pastorale et pastorale ont été testés. Dans une zone de Mwingi à l’Est du Kenya, tous les 161 bovins ont été testés négatifs; tandis que dans les trois autres sites de Migori, en Nyanza, West Pokot et Laikipia dans la vallée du Rift, la prévalence était de 4-6% avec le test SICTT. La tuberculose bovine est présenté dans la région et peut engendrer des menaces de santé.
publique au travers des activités d’élevage et la consommation de viandes infectées, surtout qu’aucun signe Clinique évident n’a été observe chez les animaux dépistés positifs. Il est important et urgent de définir des mesures de gestion des cas positifs, de sensibiliser les populations sur les risques probables et de rendre disponibles des kits de tests rapides permettant d’identifier à temps les animaux atteints.

Mots Clés: zones Agro-écologiques, Mycobacterium bovis, Zoonoses

INTRODUCTION

Bovine tuberculosis (bTB), caused by Mycobacterium bovis bacterium, is found most commonly in cattle, but is also present in other domestic animals. It is zoonotic, meaning that it is transmissible between animals and humans (PAHO, 2001). The primary signs of bTB infection include persistent coughing, weakness and loss of weight. Symptoms result from the formation of tuberculous nodules in the lungs or the lymph nodes, particularly of the lungs and mammary glands; though can be found in any organ (OIE, 2009). The symptoms usually take months to develop in cattle, and infections can remain dormant for years, but are reactivated during periods of stress or in old age. Infected animals may develop a chronic, debilitating disease, whose severity varies with the dose of infectious organisms and individual immunity (OIE, 2009).

Although bTB is distributed worldwide, some countries have managed to control it by testing and slaughter of affected animals (Menzies and Neill, 2000; Cousins and Roberts, 2001); and by the end of 2001 Austria, Denmark, France, Germany, Luxembourg, Finland, Holland and Sweden were recognised to be free from the disease (Anonymous, 2001). Others have reported a reduction in prevalence, for example as documented in Spain (Alvarez et al., 2012).

Despite the great efforts and investments in the fight against the disease, bTB still persists in many other countries in the world (Reynolds, 2006), especially where wildlife reservoirs exist. In Africa, bTB represents a potential health hazard to both animals and humans, as nearly 85% of cattle and 82% of the human population live in areas where the disease is prevalent, or only partially controlled (Thoen and Steele, 1995).

Moreover, it is also present in wildlife (Cleaveland et al., 2005; Lekolool, 2011). A significant correlation between prevalence of M. bovis infection in humans and in local cattle populations was reported, thus highlighting the potential threat of this disease to humans (Daborn et al., 1996). The estimated prevalence of bTB in animals in countries like Tanzania, ranges across regions from 0.2 to 13.3% (Katale et al., 2012).

Lack of public awareness about the disease is considered to increase the risk of acquiring and spreading it (Lienhardt, 2001; Lienhardt et al., 2005). Lack of knowledge on disease transmission, clinical signs, and proper animal husbandry, as well as pastoralists’ eating behaviour, not only expose animal and human populations to increased risk of contracting bTB, but also affects the control strategies (Shirima et al., 2003; Mfinanga et al., 2003; Onoja et al., 2010). Much more work on bTB has been published in Tanzania (Shirima et al., 2003; Cleaveland et al., 2007; Mwakapuja et al., 2013) than in Kenya, where studies are limited. The most recent one in Kenya was carried out in abattoirs and a prevalence of 2.05% of M. bovis was reported, although isolates of M. bovis were made from 19 out of 176 carcasses that had lesions suggestive of tuberculosis (Gathogo et al., 2012). The objective of this study was to assess knowledge on tuberculosis among pastoralists and agro-pastoralists; and document the presence of the disease in parts of Tanzania and Kenya.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A cross-sectional study was conducted in areas of Tanzania and Kenya, where pastoral and agro-pastoral livestock keeping are practiced. Sample size was calculated using the standard formula for estimation of proportions (Martin et al., 1987).

Kenyan site. The Kenyan sites included Migori, Mwingi, Laikipia and West Pokot. Migori County is situated in the south-western part of Kenya and forms part of the border with Tanzania. Migori rises from 1,140 m at the shores of Lake Victoria in Nyatike, to 4,625 m in Uriri. Climate is
inland equatorial that is modified by altitude, relief
and Lake Victoria. Rainfall varies from 700 to 1800
mm annually (Hitaji Development Initiative, 2015).
Indigenous breeds and some crosses constitute
up to 95% of the cattle population in Migori. A
more sedentary farming system is picking up in
the county.

Mwingi site is located in Kitui county, with
four agro-ecological zones of arid and semi-arid
climatic types (Ministry of Devolution and
Planning, 2013). The county is predominantly
livestock rearing, but water scarcity and diseases
pose formidable challenges. Rainfall pattern is
bimodal, with the long rains (March to May) often
erratic. The annual rainfall ranges from 300 to 1050
mm (Ministry of Devolution and National
Planning, 2013).
West Pokot county is in the western zone of
Kenya. Livestock farming is a favoured cultural
practice. The county is mainly semi- arid to arid
(Jaetzold et al., 2011).
Laikipia county lies between altitude 1,500 m
above sea level at Ewaso Nyiro basin in the north,
and 2611 m around Marmanet forest in the south.
The Rift Valley, the Mt. Kenya and the Aberdares
have significant effects on the climatic conditions
of the county. The county is suitable for grazing
and ranching besides having abundant wildlife
(Laikipia County, 2013). It experiences a relief type
of rainfall due to its altitude and location. The
average annual rainfall varies from 400 to 750 mm.

Site selection in Kenya. The study was conducted
in sites within the four counties. The reasons for
selecting the areas are shown in Table 1.

Fields to be visited were randomly selected,
with the assistance of field veterinary personnel.
A maximum of three cattle were tested in each of
the test farms. Majority of the tested animals were
females. A total of 625 cattle were screened, of
which 124 were from Migori, 78 from West Pokot,
262 from Laikipia and 161 from Mwingi counties.

Tanzanian sites. The Tanzanian study sites
included southern highlands zone (SHZ), eastern
zone (EZ) and northern zone, cutting across three
agro-ecological zones. Generally, altitude modifies
climatic conditions; SHZ and NZ receive an
average of 1,250 mm rainfall and in EZ rainfall
ranges between 750 and 1,250 mm per annum.
Mean temperature ranges from 20 to 26 °C in
January and from 16 to 22 °C in July; the coolest
being in northern and southern highlands and the
warmest being in the eastern zone along the
coast (Mbululo and Nyihirini, 2012).

Cattle were randomly picked from 256 selected
villages. Milking cows and heifers were targeted
during selection. In a few exceptional cases, bulls
(such as owned by a group of farmers) were also
screened. A total of 961 cattle were screened and
of these, 391 were from SHZ, 401 from NZ and
169 from EZ.

bTB screening. Cattle were restrained in crushes
and prepared for intradermal inoculation, as
recommended by the Tuberculin Test Kit’s
manufacturer. Briefly, the cattle were visually
examined for obvious skin swellings or clinical
signs of tuberculosis. An area within the middle-
third of the neck was then marked for shaving.
On each animal, two areas about 10 cm apart were
shaved and the cut hair and debris brushed off
using water, in order to allow for the injection of
avian, as well as bovine purified protein
derivatives (PPD) of Mycobacterium avium and
Mycobacterium bovis, respectively. The proteins
were injected on either of the two shaved skin
sites.

Before injecting the proteins, a fold of skin at
each of the intended clipped injection sites was

| Site       | Reason for choice                                      |
|------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Laikipia   | Earmarked as a disease free zone and flagship county for livestock export in government plans |
| Mwingi    | Situated along an important cattle route                |
| Migori    | Anecdotal information of a TB problem in the area      |
| Pokot     | Milk is not necessarily boiled before consumption       |
measured with a pair of callipers. A 0.1 ml volume of avian PPD and of bovine PPD was delivered intra-dermally, using a 1 ml tuberculin syringe. The area was palpated to feel the presence of a small swelling, indicative of intradermal injection (OIE, 2009).

The skin fold thickness at each injection site was measured at 72 hr post-inoculation. The same person carried out all the measurements at any one study site to maintain consistence of measurements. Differences in the magnitude of swellings between the avian and the bovine PPD injection sites were recorded. All inconclusive results were re-tested after 42 to 60 days as recommended by OIE (2009). However, in this study, re-testing was only done in Migori county in Kenya due to logistical constraints.

Table 2 shows the criteria for interpreting the results. The data were recorded and organised using Microsoft Excel 2010. Statistical analysis was carried out using Epi Info 7 Statistical Software. MedCalc® statistical software was used to compare proportions of the variables. Statistical significance between variables was examined using P-value at a probability of P<0.05 for the Tanzanian data.

RESULTS

For all cattle screened in Tanzania and Kenya, there was no report of obvious clinical signs of bTB. However, upon testing with SICTT, while some animals had hardly any discernible swellings, others had diffuse, oedematous, warm and painful swellings at the injection sites. In Kenya, prevalences of 4, 5 and 6% were recorded in Migori, Laikipia and West Pokot counties, respectively; while no positive cases were obtained in Mwingi (Table 3).

In Tanzania, prevalences of 2.37% and 1.28% were recorded in EZ and SHZ, respectively (Table 3). No positive cases were recorded in NZ, where 401 cattle were screened. Relatively high percentages of inconclusive results were also

| Result       | Difference between thickness (mm) of bovine and avian PPD injections after 72 hr |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Positive     | A reaction to bovine tuberculin PPD which is more than 4 mm compared to the reaction to avian PPD |
| Inconclusive | A reaction to bovine tuberculin PPD of at least 2 mm which is 1-4 mm greater than the reaction to avian PPD |
| Negative     | A reaction to bovine tuberculin PPD which is equal to or less than 1 mm the reaction to avian tuberculin PPD |

| Site        | No. tested | No. positive | No. inconclusive | Prevalence (%) | Inconclusive (%) |
|-------------|------------|--------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| **Tanzania**|            |              |                  |                |                 |
| SHZ         | 391        | 5            | 7                | 1.28           | 8.44            |
| NZ          | 401        | 0            | 0                | 0.00           | 0.00            |
| EZ          | 169        | 4            | 33               | 2.37           | 4.14            |
| Total       | 961        | 9            | 40               | 0.93           | 4.16            |
| **Kenya**   |            |              |                  |                |                 |
| Migori      | 124        | 5            | 25               | 4.03           | 20.16           |
| West Pokot  | 78         | 5            | 26               | 6.41           | 33.33           |
| Laikipia    | 262        | 13           | 0                | 4.96           | 0               |
| Mwingi      | 161        | 0            | 0                | 0              | 0               |
| Total       | 625        | 23           | 51               | 3.68           | 8.16            |
recorded: 20.16 and 33.33% in Migori and West Pokot, and 8.44 and 4.14 % in SHZ and EZ, respectively. Retesting of 26 animals in Migori showed that six more (24%) out of 25 cattle were positive, raising the prevalence to 8.9%. One animal was not presented for reading after 72 hr following re-testing. The owner did not disclose its whereabouts.

**DISCUSSION**

Our study has confirmed that bovine TB still exists in Tanzanian cattle. The prevalence was 1.28% in SHZ and 2.37% in EZ and this is the first report of bTB in the two areas. The prevalences, though lower than those reported earlier by Shirima et al. (2003) and Mwakapuja et al. (2013) in different localities of the country; are within the estimated prevalence of 0.2 to 13.3% across regions in the country (Katale et al., 2012). Prevalences are unlikely to be uniform throughout a country (Alvarez et al., 2012).

In Kenya, bTB is classified as “notifiable” under the Animal Diseases Act. The results showed that the disease was present in three of the Kenyan study sites, with prevalences of 4 to 6%. They indicated the occurrence of the disease in areas not reported previously to have the disease. Re-testing by SICTT in Migori resulted in 24% of positive reactors, suggesting that the prevalence might be higher than what is reported here.

Similar findings were reported in Brazil when six out of seven inconclusive cases by the skin test, were positive by PCR and culture (Zarden et al., 2013). Medeiros et al. (2010) reported that anergic animals may be harbouring TB, yet were not reactive to the skin test; while delayed hypersensitivity may take 3 to 6 weeks, following infection (OIE, 2009). These are probable reasons for obtaining inconclusive results in this study.

Lekolool (2011) investigated the epidemiology of *M. bovis* in the wildlife-livestock interface to determine the prevalence and spatial distribution in Masai Mara and Amboseli ecosystems. With the gamma interferon assay, the overall prevalence in wildlife was 14.8% compared to 2.3% among the livestock. This is of epidemiological significance since some wild animals may have a role in the spread of the disease to other wild and domestic animal species (Shitaye et al., 2007). *Mycobacterium bovis* has been associated with human extra pulmonary tuberculosis.

*Mycobacterium bovis* accounts for only 1% of all human TB in developed countries, compared to 10% in the developing world (Etchechoury et al., 2010). In Tanzania, one of the few developing countries with quantitative data on the prevalence of *M. bovis*, there was a significant increase (116.6%) in extra-pulmonary cases reported between 1995 and 2009, suggesting the possibility of widespread *M. bovis* infection. In the southern highlands region of the country, *M. bovis* was isolated from 1/23 (4%) cases of pulmonary TB, from 6/21 (28.6%) cases of cervical adenitis and from 7/65 (10.8%) of culture-positive cases of cervical adenitis in the Arusha region. Since extra-pulmonary TB cases comprise 15–20% of new cases of TB recorded each year in Tanzania, *M. bovis* may not be a negligible component of the human tuberculosis epidemic (Cleaveland et al., 2007). That bTB contributed substantially to the burden of human extra-pulmonary tuberculosis in Tanzania is worrying, given that *M. bovis* is resistant to pyrazinamide, one of the four first line TB antibiotics and prognosis is often poor (WHO, 2010).

In Tanzania, ignorance of farmers about the disease and how it spreads, coupled with culture and uncontrolled movement of animals, are important risk factors (Dr. Andrew Chota Veterinary Laboratory Agency, Tanzania: personal communication). The lack of clear policies on how bovine tuberculosis can be controlled, and the failure of health authorities to associate cattle with tuberculosis, hinder the control of the disease. Furthermore, the disease which is considered neglected has not even been classified as notifiable in the country (Kazwala et al., 2006). However, the present results indicate a rather low prevalence of bTB, and implies low risk to humans in the study areas.

Findings have shown that pastoral and agro-pastoral communities are at the greatest risk from bTB and brucellosis. Furthermore, people with poor levels of knowledge living in remote, marginalised areas are particularly at high bTB risk (WHO, 2010). Public awareness of hygienic measures, that can substantially reduce the risk
of diseases in these settings, is often very limited (World Bank, 2010a). A questionnaire study that preceded the SICTT reported here, showed that awareness was very limited. The hygienic conditions and sanitary services available to dispose waste water and organic material in slaughter houses was wanting in some places within the study sites. During this study, sensitisation meetings were held and more of these need to be held.

Success in reducing the public health significance of zoonotic diseases, greatly depends on the level of cooperation between medical and veterinary sectors, in the diagnosis of zoonoses, exchange of information, organisation of shared surveillance systems, common training of staff and creation of community awareness (WHO, 2003). Hygienic measures are recommended for the control of TB among cattle. The infected animal, which is a potential source of infection, should be identified through periodic application of the intradermal tuberculin test and removed from the herd by destruction or by segregation. However, the policy to support this action is not in place in either country. Lately, the practice has received severe criticism because of costs and chances of falsely condemning healthy, high value cattle and of missing infected ones. Coupled with this is the view that the reaction to the skin test could actually be a sign of immunity rather than of sickness (Ritchie et al., 2011).

Vaccination is an important tool to control bTB, but the efficacy of the BCG vaccine in cattle was reported to vary from 56 to 68%, depending on the parameter measured (Ameni et al., 2010); moreover, it has not been approved for use. The presence of maintenance hosts in wildlife populations also impedes bTB eradication programmes (Etter et al., 2006) and wild animals too have to be considered in the programmes. However, it is prudent to control the disease because of the high pay-off and cost-effectiveness of control interventions for zoonotic diseases (Coleman et al., 2004; Budke, 2006; Fevre et al., 2008). Significant benefits that accrue from improved prevention and control measures of a disease, outweigh the cost of investing in the necessary animal health services (OIE, 2007). For example, in Africa, it has been estimated that an investment of Euro 14.7 million to control CBPP could save Euro 30 million annually in losses from morbidity/mortality, leading to a net benefit of Euro 15.4 million (World Bank, 2010b).

From the observations made during the course of this study, it is necessary to use knowledge dissemination methods that take in account illiteracy and poor visual literacy. It is also important to involve and interest political leaders and other advocates, establish and implement regulatory structures for sale of milk, and develop an integrated national policy for control of bovine tuberculosis. Bovine-induced human tuberculosis, brucellosis, and echinococcosis are major causes of morbidity and mortality among poor people, although they are also almost certainly the most under-reported diseases (World Bank, 2010b).

The intradermal tuberculin skin test is recommended by the OIE for international trade (OIE, 2009), although it is not 100% reliable. However, delayed hypersensitivity may not develop for a period of 3-6 weeks following infection. Thus, if a herd/animal is suspected to have been in recent contact with infected animals, testing should be delayed in order to reduce the probability of false-negative reactions (OIE, 2009). A number of blood tests are available; these include the gamma interferon and the lymphocyte proliferation assays that test cellular immunity; and the ELISA that tests humoral immunity. Due to the cost and more complex nature of laboratory-based assays, these are usually used as ancillary tests to maximise the detection of infected animals, or to confirm or negate the results of an intra-dermal skin test (OIE, 2009). PCR based tests, using geneXpert machines, are now available in the human diagnostic laboratories.

**CONCLUSION**

The prevalences of bTB of 1.28% and 2.37% in Tanzania are lower than the 4-6% obtained in Kenya. The relatively high number of inconclusive results seems to indicate anergy or a delay in reactions, and is likely to under-state the real prevalence. A more accurate, easy to use test is required. In the positive animals, no obvious
clinical signs of the disease are evident, making it difficult for farmers to easily appreciate the significance of bTB. No policies appear to be in place to effectively control bTB in either country. The test and slaughter policy that is used in other countries to control and possibly eradicate the disease, has lately come under scrutiny in terms of effectiveness and rationale and efficacious vaccines are required.
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