Addition of rapamycin and hydroxychloroquine to metronomic chemotherapy as a second line treatment results in high salvage rates for refractory metastatic solid tumors: a pilot safety and effectiveness analysis in a small patient cohort
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND:
Autophagy is an important oncotarget that can be modulated during anti-cancer therapy. Enhancing autophagy using chemotherapy and rapamycin (Rapa) treatment and then inhibiting it using hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) could synergistically improve therapy outcome in cancer patients. It is still unclear whether addition of Rapa and HCQ to chemotherapy could be used for reversing drug resistance.

PATIENTS AND METHODS:
Twenty-five stage IV cancer patients were identified. They had no clinical response to first-line metronomic chemotherapy; the patients were salvaged by adding an autophagy inducer (Rapa, 2 mg/day) and an autophagosome inhibitor (HCQ, 400 mg/day) to their current metronomic chemotherapy for at least 3 months. Patients included 4 prostate, 4 bladder, 4 lung, 4 breast, 2 colon, and 3 head and neck cancer patients as well as 4 sarcoma patients.

RESULTS:
Chemotherapy was administered for a total of 137 months. The median duration of chemotherapy cycles per patient was 4 months (95% confidence interval, 3–7 months). The overall response rate to this treatment was of 40%, with an 84% disease control rate. The most frequent and clinically significant toxicities were myelotoxicities. Grade ≥3 leucopenia occurred in 6 patients (24%), grade ≥3 thrombocytopenia in 8 (32%), and anemia in 3 (12%). None of them developed febrile neutropenia. Non-hematologic toxicities were fatigue (total 32%, with 1 patient developing grade 3 fatigue), diarrhea (total 20%, 1 patient developed grade 3 fatigue), reversible grade 3 cardiotoxicity (1 patient), and grade V liver toxicity from hepatitis B reactivation (1 patient).

CONCLUSION:
Our results of Rapa, HCQ and chemotherapy triplet combination suggest autophagy is a promising oncotarget and warrants further investigation in phase II studies.
INTRODUCTION

Periodical delivery of standard recommended chemotherapy doses in some types of cancers is often associated with significant toxicity without therapeutic gain. The frequent administration of low doses (1/10th to 1/3rd of maximum tolerated dose, MTD) of certain antineoplastic drugs, known as metronomic chemotherapy, has demonstrated its efficacy and is now getting more popular [1]. The anti-cancer effect occurred principally via an antiangiogenic/anti-vascular mechanism [1, 2]. Several in vivo experiments have shown that metronomic chemotherapy is more effective in combination with anti-angiogenic, immunotherapeutic, or targeted therapeutic agents [3, 4]. A growing number of clinical studies have adopted the concept of combined metronomic chemotherapy with anti-angiogenic therapy and have reported an increase in progression-free survival (PFS) in cases of recurrent glioblastoma multiforme [5], cisplatin-refractory ovarian cancer [6], advanced breast cancer [7, 8], non-small cell lung cancer [9], hepatoma [10], and colon cancer [11].

Autophagy is known to promote cancer growth and survival under conditions of nutrient deprivation, hypoxia, or DNA damage caused by chemotherapy [12]. Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ)—a clinically approved antirheumatoid drug—is an analogue of chloroquine (CQ) and acts as a lysosomotropic agent; HCQ inactivates lysosomal enzymes by increasing intralysosomal pH and significantly inhibiting the last process of autophagy [12]. A greater inhibition of the proliferative activity of various types of cancer has been reported when chemotherapy was combined with the inhibition of autophagy [13]. The premise of inhibiting autophagy to overcome chemotherapy resistance has been clinically investigated [14-19]. Rapamycin, also known as mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) inhibitor, can induce cellular autophagy [20]. Autophagy modulation by combined treatment with an mTOR inhibitor (Rapa) and a lysosome inhibitor (HCQ) was shown to be effective in models of breast cancer, melanoma, and glioma [21-24]. We have found the triplet combination of HCQ, Rapa, and chemotherapy to be synergistic by pushing autophagy through Rapa + chemotherapy and then blocking the final autophagy process through HCQ [25].

A Rapa analogue, everolimus, in combination with HCQ, was found to inhibit growth of endothelial progenitor cells [26]. In this retrospective report, by collecting anecdotal cases in our institute, we found that addition of Rapa and HCQ to a metronomic chemotherapy therapy regimen might be an attractive way to increase sensitization to both the anti-cancer and the antiangiogenesis effect of chemotherapy.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics

A total of 46 patients received metronomic chemotherapy from May 2012 to September 2014, and 25 of them fitting the study criteria were included in the analysis (17 women and 8 men). The median age was 62 years (range 47-76). The Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status was 0 in 10 patients and 1 in 15 patients. The characteristics of the patient population are summarized in Table 1.

Tumor responses

Within the group of 25 evaluable patients, 10 (40%) experienced PR, and 11 (44%) had SD. Eighty-four percent of patients experienced clinical benefits for more than 3 months. The clinical characteristics and results of patients who received this treatment strategy are summarized in Table 2. Representative images and tumor marker changes before metronomic chemotherapy, and before and after salvage metronomic chemotherapy are shown in Figures 1 and 2. Many patients documented disease stabilization, with 4 (16%) patients exhibiting grade 2 and 1 (4%) reporting grade 3 diarrhea. Two (8%) patients had mucositis and 1 (4%) reported grade 3 nausea/vomiting or renal toxicity. One patient (patient # 23) had grade 3 cardiotoxicity, with a left ventricle ejection fraction of 35%. The patient recovered after discontinuing all treatment. She had no history of doxorubicin usage. One patient (patient # 22) experienced grade V hepatitis, which was attributed to the reactivation of previously
Figure 1: Illustrations of therapeutic responses in representative cases. (A) patient #6, multiple liver metastases. Although the tumor did not show a reduction in size, the sum of diameters of enhancement regions were reduced by more than 30%, according to the modified RECIST criteria; (B) patient #8, right upper lung nodular lesion (black arrow); (C) patient #9, right pleural seeding tumor (white arrow); (D) patient #19, bilateral multiple lung metastases; (E) patient #20, bilateral multiple lung metastases; (F) patient #13, peritoneal seeding in the right pelvic region from positron emission tomography-computed tomography scans before and after salvage metronomic chemotherapy.
unnoted hepatitis B virus. She had not been administered prophylactic anti-viral medicine. Myelotoxicity was relatively common, with 8 patients (32%) developing grade ≥ 3 thrombocytopenia, 6 patients (24%) developing grade ≥ 3 leucopenia and 3 patients (12%) having grade ≥ 3 anemia. None of the patients developed febrile neutropenia, and they all recovered quickly from myelotoxicities after one to two weeks of treatment interruption.

**DISCUSSION**

This is the first report on the addition of Rapa and HCQ to conventional metronomic chemotherapy, which was found to be safe and well tolerated in a variety of cancer types. Most importantly, this chemotherapeutic combination was associated with a 40% observed response rate and an 84% disease stabilization in a cohort of patients refractory to their chemotherapy regimen. The significant clinical benefit observed in patients resistant to chemotherapy was unexpected and merits further investigation.

A growing number of clinical studies have shown that the anticancer effect of metronomic chemotherapy is primarily a consequence of its anti-angiogenic effect. Low-dose chemotherapy is preferentially cytotoxic to dividing endothelial cells [30], leading to death of circulating endothelial progenitor cells [31] and a decreased microvessel density [32]. Rapa, although traditionally thought of as an immunosuppressive drug, may also inhibit

---

**Table 1: Patient Demographics**

| Characteristics          | Number |
|--------------------------|--------|
| Total patients           | 25     |
| Median age (years, range)| 62 (47–76) |
| Sex                      |        |
| Male                     | 8      |
| Female                   | 17     |
| Diagnosis                |        |
| Prostate cancer          | 4      |
| Bladder cancer           | 4      |
| Lung cancer              | 4      |
| Colorectal cancer        | 2      |
| Breast cancer            | 4      |
| Sarcoma                  | 4      |
| Head and Neck cancer     | 3      |

---

**Table 2: Disease status and response after addition of Rapa + HCQ to metronomic chemotherapy**

| Patient # | Tumor type          | Performance status | Previous therapy after initial failure | Control metronomic chemotherapy | Response to control metronomic chemotherapy | Best response to Rapa + HCQ salvage chemotherapy | Evaluation method | Treatment duration (months) | Current status |
|-----------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| 1         | Prostate ca with bone metastasis | 1 | Hormone therapy | Cytoprotection: 16 bid Dacarbazine: 40 mg q2wk. | 4 | PD | SD | PSA > 50% decline | 6 | A |
| 2         | Prostate ca with bone metastasis | 1 | Hormone therapy | Cytoprotection: 16 bid Dacarbazine: 40 mg q2wk. | 2 | PD | SD | PSA > 50% decline | 3 | A |
| 3         | Prostate ca with LN, bone metastasis | 1 | Hormone therapy | Cytoprotection: 16 bid Dacarbazine: 40 mg q2wk. | 3 | SD | SD | PSA > 50% decline | 3 | A |
| 4         | Prostate ca with LN metastasis | 0 | Hormone therapy | Cytoprotection: 16 bid Dacarbazine: 40 mg q2wk. | 3 | SD | PR | RECIST | 3 | A |
| 5         | Bladder ca with lung metastasis | 1 | — | Carboptatin: 150 mg/m², Gemcitabine: 1400 mg q2wk. | 4 | PD | SD | RECIST | 8 | A |
| 6         | Bladder ca with liver metastasis | 1 | — | Cytoprotection: 16 bid Dacarbazine: 40 mg q2wk. | 3 | PD | PR | RECIST + CFR 31.1 decline > 50% | 3 | A |
| 7         | Bladder ca with neck LN metastasis | 0 | — | Carboptatin: 150 mg/m², Gemcitabine: 1400 mg q2wk. | 4 | PD | PD | RECIST | 3 | A |
| 8 | Bladder ca with lung meta | 1 | — | Carboplatin 150 mg²<br>Gemcitabine 1400 mg q2wk | 4 | SD | PR | RECIST | 8 | D |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 9 | Lung ca, adenoacarcinoma with brain, pleural meta | 1 | TKI, RT, Cisplatin+ | Vinorelbine 30 mg p.o. weekly, Docetaxel 40 mg q2wk | 6 | PD | PR | + CEA decline > 50% | 7 | A |
| 10 | Lung ca, squamous carcinoma with pleural effusion | 1 | RT, Cisplatin+ | Vinorelbine 30 mg p.o. weekly, Docetaxel 40 mg q2wk | 3 | PD | SD | RECIST | 3 | A |
| 11 | Lung ca, adenoacarcinoma with multiple meta | 1 | TKI, RT, Cisplatin+ | Vinorelbine 30 mg p.o. weekly, Docetaxel 40 mg q2wk | 4 | PD | SD | RECIST | 8 | A |
| 12 | Lung ca, adenoacarcinoma with multiple meta | 0 | TKI, Cisplatin+ | Vinorelbine 30 mg p.o. weekly, Docetaxel 40 mg q2wk | 2 | PD | SD | RECIST | 3 | A |
| 13 | Rectal ca with peritoneal seeding | 0 | FOLFOX | Capanibine 180 mg q2wk | 100 mg³ q2wk | 4 | SD | PR | RECIST | 3 | A |
| 14 | Colon ca with lung and pelvic meta | 0 | FOLFOX+ Bevacizumab | Capanibine 180 mg q2wk | 100 mg³ q2wk | 5 | PD | SD | Tumor marker > 50% decline | 4 | A |
| 15 | Breast ca with liver and abdomen LN meta | 1 | CAFE, Docetaxel | Vinorelbine 30 mg p.o. weekly, Capanibine 150 mg³ q2wk, Gemcitabine 800 mg³ q2wk | 3 | SD | PR | RECIST | 4 | A |
| 16 | Breast ca with bone meta | 0 | Tamoxifen | Capanibine 180 mg³ q2wk, Gemcitabine 800 mg³ q2wk | 5 | PD | SD | Tumor marker > 50% decline | 8 | A |
| 17 | Breast ca with chest wall recurrence | 0 | CAFE, Docetaxel | Vinorelbine 30 mg p.o. weekly, Capanibine 180 mg³ q2wk, Gemcitabine 800 mg³ q2wk | 4 | SD | PR | RECIST | 12 | A |
| 18 | Breast ca with bone and chest wall recurrence | 1 | RT, CAFE, Docetaxel | Vinorelbine 30 mg p.o. weekly, Capanibine 180 mg³ q2wk, Gemcitabine 800 mg³ q2wk | 2 | PD | SD | RECIST | 5 | A |
| 19 | Phyllodes tumor with lung meta | 0 | CAFE | Cyclophosphamide (50)³ qod, Etoposide (50)³ qod, Gemcitabine 1400 mg q2wk | 3 | SD | PR | RECIST | 12 | D |
| 20 | Fibrosarcoma with lung meta | 0 | — | Cyclophosphamide (50)³ qod, Etoposide (50)³ qod, Gemcitabine 1400 mg q2wk | 3 | SD | PR | RECIST | 6 | D |
| 21 | Sarcoma, nonspecific with LN meta | 0 | — | Cyclophosphamide (50)³ qod, Etoposide (50)³ qod, Gemcitabine 1400 mg q2wk | 2 | PD | PD | RECIST | 3 | D |
| 22 | Leiomyosarcoma of uterus with pelvic recurrence | 1 | — | Etoposide (50)³ qod, Gemcitabine 1400 mg q2wk | 2 | PD | PD | RECIST | 4 | D |
Figure 2: Representative plots of serum tumor markers versus time. (A) patient #1; (B) patient #6; (C) patient #9; (D) patient #11; (E) patient #14; (F) patient #16.—Control metronomic chemotherapy; …… Rapa/HCQ + metronomic chemotherapy.

Abbreviations: Ca, cancer; met, metastasis; bid, twice a day; tid, three times a day; qd, every day; qod, every other day; q2wk, every 2 weeks; LN, lymph node(s); CCRT, concomitant chemoradiotherapy; TKI, tyrosine kinase inhibitor; RT, radiotherapy; FOLFIRI, irinotecan with fluorouracil and folinic acid; FOLFOX, oxaliplatin with fluorouracil and folinic acid; CAF, 5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide.
tumor growth and have anti-angiogenic effects [33]. Recently, CQ has also been reported not only to reduce tumor growth, but also to improve tumor angiogenesis in a mechanism independent of autophagy [34]. CQ normalized tumor vessel structure and perfusion function, improved hypoxia, and reduced tumor invasion through endosomal Notch 1 trafficking and signaling in endothelial cells [34]. The synergistic effect of everolimus and CQ combination on endothelial cell apoptosis was found to be linked to the down-regulation of ERK1/2 phosphorylation in these cells [26]. Clearly, the combination of metronomic chemotherapy, Rapa and HCQ must activate common anti-angiogenic pathways. The CT attenuation of Hounsfield Units in bladder cancer with liver metastases as shown in Figure 1 is typical evidence of anti-angiogenic effects after combination treatment [35].

It is not yet known whether the positive results obtained using this strategy is caused solely by additive anti-angiogenic effects or by modulation of autophagy. Several phase II clinical trials have examined the potential benefits of adding either mTOR inhibitors (Rapa, everolimus, tenolimus) or HCQ (autophagy-lysosome inhibitor) to conventional chemotherapy in the treatment of patients with malignant gliomas [36, 37], non-Hodgkin lymphomas [38], sarcoma [39], melanoma [15, 16], breast [40], non–small cell lung [41, 42], esophageal [43], and head and neck cancers [44]. Neither strategy had resulted in impressive results. This is the first clinical report describing concomitant use of Rapa, HCQ, and chemotherapy in various cancer types. The results of this

|                          | Metronomic chemotherapy | Rapa + HCQ + metronomic chemotherapy |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|                          | N (%)                   | N (%)                                |
| Grade 3                  | Grade 4                 | Grade 3                              | Grade 4                              |
| Leucopenia               | 3 (12%)                 | 6 (24%)                              |                                      |
| Thrombocytopenia         | 2 (8%)                  | 7 (28%)                              | 1 (4%)                               |
| Anemia                   | 2 (8%)                  | 3 (12%)                              | 0                                    |
| Nausea/Vomiting          | 0                       | 1 (4%)                               | 0                                    |
| Mucositis                | 0                       | 1 (4%)                               | 0                                    |
| Diarrhea                 | 0                       | 1 (4%)                               | 0                                    |
| Asthenia                 | 0                       | 1 (4%)                               | 0                                    |
| Hepatic toxicity         | 0                       | 0                                    | 1 (4%)                               |
| Renal toxicity           | 0                       | 0                                    | 0                                    |
| Cardiac toxicity         | 0                       | 1 (4%)                               | 0                                    |
| Skin rash                | 0                       | 0                                    | 0                                    |
| Retinopathy              | 0                       | 0                                    | 0                                    |
self-controlled study indicated that such combinations were not only effective, but also could reverse drug resistance. The autophagy inducer and lysosomal inhibitor in combination with chemotherapy seem to work synergistically. The doses of Rapa (2 mg/day) and HCQ (400 mg/day) were derived from conventional therapeutic doses used in rheumatoid arthritis, kidney transplantation, or treatment of lymphangioleiomyomatosis [45-47]. These doses are not MTD, especially for HCQ, which was reportedly used at a dose of 1200 mg/day in combination with temozolomide for the treatment of solid tumors [8]. The dosages of these drugs were not obtained through serial phase I studies of different chemotherapeutic combinations, and therefore, the doses of these drugs could be increased further prior to committing to a phase II trial. Another weakness of this report is the lack of pharmacodynamic assays in tumor tissues or peripheral mononuclear cells. Indeed, the targeted signaling pathways (autophagy or angiogenesis) might not have been modified by these drugs. We have conducted a molecular imaging study in sarcoma patients before and after 2 weeks of treatment with Rapa (2 mg/day) and HCQ (400 mg/day) on the basis of reports that cancer-associated fibroblasts could be potential oncotargets [48].

Although a clinical benefit rate of 84% in this selectively chosen patient cohort seems impressive, additional randomized phase II trials are required before the claim of actual clinical benefits can be accepted. Nevertheless, the real benefit of dual modulation of autophagy might be even more impressive in combination with standard dose chemotherapy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient selection

Patients chosen for analysis were required to have incurable metastatic/recurrent disease and no clinical response from current metronomic chemotherapy regardless of the primary tumor type. Patients needed to continue the same metronomic chemotherapy in addition to Rapa (2 mg qd) and HCQ (400 mg qd) as salvage treatment. They needed to have an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 0 to 1; a life expectancy of at least 3 months before salvage treatment, and either have at least one single site of measurable (two-dimensional) disease or serial and continuous (>3 monthly measurement) elevated tumor markers (at least twice the upper limit) in patients with non-measurable lesions. Patients were excluded if treatment with metronomic therapy and salvage therapy was shorter than 12 weeks, unless there was a radiographic confirmation of disease progression. This retrospective study was approved by our institutional review board (IRB).

Treatment

Patients were treated using different metronomic regimen based on their disease type: cyproterone, 50 mg orally 1 tablet twice daily (1# bid), and, docetaxel, 40 mg per body intravenously (i.v) every 2 weeks (q2w) for prostate cancer; capecitabine, 500 mg 1# bid, vinorelbine, 30 mg orally once a week (qw), and gemcitabine, 800 mg/m² i.v. q2w for breast cancer; vinorelbine, 30 mg orally qw plus docetaxel, 40 mg per body i.v. q2w for lung cancer; capecitabine, 500 mg 1# bid, and irinotecan, 100 mg/m² i.v. q2w for colorectal cancer; carboplatin, 150 mg per body, plus gemcitabine, 1400 mg per body i.v. q2w for bladder cancer; cyclophosphamide, 50 mg orally every other day (qod), methotrexate, 50 mg orally qw, tegafur and uracil (UFT), 1# three times a day (tid), and cisplatin, 30 mg/m² i.v. q2w for head and neck cancers; cyclophosphamide, 50 mg orally qod, etoposide, 50 mg orally qod, and gemcitabine, 1400 mg per body i.v. q2w for sarcoma. Rapa (2 mg) and HCQ (400 mg) treatments were started following the physician’s suggestion the patients’ signing an agreement for the additional treatment. The dose of Rapa, HCQ was choosing from conventional therapeutic doses used in rheumatoid arthritis, kidney transplantation. The metronomic chemotherapy schedule was followed as given above. There was no dose modification of Rapa, HCQ, or chemotherapy. The only treatment interruption was scheduled when grade ≥ 3 myelotoxicity was observed, with a maximum delay of 3 weeks being allowed. Supportive care agents such as anti-emetics, antibiotics, loperamide, growth factors, transfusions, or fluid supply were administered as indicated.

Treatment was discontinued with the development of grade IV non-myelotoxicity, patient intolerance, or disease progression. Treatment-related toxicity was assessed every 2 weeks. Toxicity was scored according to the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) v4.0 [27]. Response was evaluated using chest radiography, computed tomography (CT), or positron emission tomography-computed tomography, which were obtained in principle every 2 to 3 months. Tumor markers were assessed every 1 to 3 months. Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumor (RECIST) v1.1 guidelines were used as follows: complete response (CR, disappearance of measurable disease without development of new lesions, with tumor markers dropping to normal range), partial response (PR, at least 30% reduction in the sum of the longest diameters measured at disease sites or enhanced area), progressive disease (PD, at least 20% increase in the sum of the longest diameter measured disease sites or appearance of new lesions), and stable disease (SD, if determination did not meet criteria of CR, PR, or PD, or those patients with tumor markers decline of > 50% in non-measurable lesions) [28, 29]. The radiologic evaluation of the response was obtained by consensus.
following meeting of the three principle investigators (K.H.C., K.L.Y., and H.L.K.). Clinical benefit rate was defined as the percentage of patients without disease progression for more than 3 months.
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