Exenatide Induces Carcinoembryonic Antigen-Related Cell Adhesion Molecule 1 Expression to Prevent Hepatic Steatosis
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Exenatide, a glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist, induces insulin secretion. Its role in insulin clearance has not been adequately examined. Carcinoembryonic antigen-related cell adhesion molecule 1 (CEACAM1) promotes hepatic insulin clearance to maintain insulin sensitivity. Feeding C57BL/6J mice a high-fat diet down-regulates hepatic Ceacam1 transcription to cause hyperinsulinemia, insulin resistance, and hepatic steatosis, as in Ceacam1 null mice (Cc1–/–). Thus, we tested whether exenatide regulates Ceacam1 expression in high-fat-diet-fed mice and whether this contributes to its insulin sensitizing effect. Exenatide (100 nM) induced the transcriptional activity of wild-type Ceacam1 promoter but not the constructs harboring block mutations of peroxisome proliferator-activator receptor response element and retinoid X receptor alpha, individually or collectively, in HepG2 human hepatoma cells. Chromatin immunoprecipitation analysis demonstrated binding of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma to Ceacam1 promoter in response to rosiglitazone and exenatide. Consistently, exenatide induced Ceacam1 messenger RNA expression within 12 hours in the absence but not in the presence of the glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor antagonist exendin 9-39. Exenatide (20 ng/g body weight once daily intraperitoneal injection in the last 30 days of feeding) restored hepatic Ceacam1 expression and insulin clearance to curb diet-induced metabolic abnormalities and steatohepatitis in wild-type but not Cc1–/– mice fed a high-fat diet for 2 months.

Conclusion: Exenatide promotes insulin clearance in parallel with insulin secretion to prevent chronic hyperinsulinemia and the resulting hepatic steatosis, and this contributes to its insulin sensitizing effect. Our data further highlight the relevance of physiologic insulin metabolism in maintaining insulin sensitivity and normal lipid metabolism. (Hepatology Communications 2018;2:35–47)

Introduction

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is the most common liver disease in the United States, with more than 30%-40% of Americans being affected and nearly 12% manifesting the progressive form nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH). It is a heterogeneous disease ranging from benign steatosis and steatohepatitis (NAFLD) to include chicken-wire bridging fibrosis and apoptosis (NASH).

Currently, there is no definitive pharmacologic treatment of NASH. Weight loss constitutes a primary treatment, but patients usually regain weight. Despite persistent controversy, insulin resistance is believed to constitute a major risk factor for NAFLD/NASH, building a basis for focusing therapeutically...
on restoring insulin sensitivity. While insulin-sensitizing drugs, such as pioglitazone, a peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ) agonist, reduces inflammation, it can also cause weight gain and increased water retention. The long-acting glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonist exenatide, a synthetic analog of exendin-4 that induces glucose-dependent insulin secretion and inhibits glucagon secretion from pancreatic α-cells, reverses steatohepatitis in some animal models of NAFLD/NASH. While combining it with pioglitazone is effective in reducing hemoglobin A1c levels in patients with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes, the data supporting its clinical relevance and safety in the treatment of NASH remain limited.

Effective treatment of NASH requires a thorough understanding of its pathogenesis. However, this has been limited by the lack of an animal model that replicates all features of human NASH. Mice with the null mutation of the carcinoembryonic antigen-related cell adhesion molecule 1 (Cecam1) gene (Cecam1−/− mice) and with liver-specific inactivation of CEACAM1 develop impaired insulin clearance, consistent with the role of CEACAM1 in increasing the rate of receptor-mediated insulin uptake into the hepatocyte for degradation, following its phosphorylation by the insulin receptor tyrosine kinase. Impaired insulin clearance causes chronic hyperinsulinemia, insulin resistance, and de novo lipogenesis. In addition to hepatic steatosis, this leads to increased lipid partitioning to white adipose tissue and visceral obesity. Cecam1 mutants also develop inflammation in the liver and, remarkably, a NASH-characteristic chicken-wire pattern of fibrosis with a regular diet, which is a unique feature compared to other mouse models. When fed a high-fat (HF) diet, Cecam1−/− and liver-specific inactivation of CEACAM1 mice develop all key features of NASH, including macrosteatosis, steatohepatitis, apoptosis, and advanced chicken-wire fibrosis.

HF feeding of C57BL/6 mice for 21-30 days reduces hepatic CEACAM1 levels by >50% to cause impairment of hepatic insulin clearance and insulin resistance in addition to hepatosteatosis. In contrast, adenoviral-mediated redelivery of wild-type but not phosphorylation-defective mutant CEACAM1 reverses diet-induced metabolic abnormalities. Similarly, liver-specific transgenic overexpression of CEACAM1 protects against metabolic and histopathologic abnormalities caused by an HF diet, including hepatosteatosis, inflammation, and profibrosis. This promotes the induction of hepatic CEACAM1 levels as a potential therapeutic target for the prevention and/or treatment of NAFLD/NASH.

The mechanisms underlying the ameliorating effect of exenatide on hepatic steatosis remain elusive. Thus, we herein investigated whether exenatide increases hepatic insulin clearance by inducing Cecam1.
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transcription to prevent hyperinsulinemia and reverse steatohepatitis.

Materials and Methods

MICE MAINTENANCE

Three-month-old C57BL/6 Cc1−/− and Cc1+/+ littermates were fed ad libitum a regular diet (RD) or HF diet deriving 45%:35%:20% calories from fat, carbohydrate, and protein, respectively (D12451; Research Diets) for 1 month before receiving an intraperitoneal injection/day of saline or exenatide (20 ng/g body weight per day) (507-77; California Peptide Research) for 5–30 days while maintained on the same diet. All procedures were approved by the University of Toledo Institutional Animal Care and Utilization Committee.

INSULIN INTERNALIZATION IN PRIMARY HEPATOCYTES

Hepatocytes were isolated by perfusing liver (1 mL/minute) with collagenase type II (1 mg/mL) (Worthington) and treated with exenatide (100 nM) or saline for 24 hours before internalization of human [125I]insulin (PerkinElmer Life Sciences) was assayed as described.

FATTY ACID SYNTHASE ACTIVITY

As described, livers were homogenized and the supernatant added to a reaction mix containing 0.1 μCi [14C]malonyl-coenzyme A (Perkin-Elmer). Fatty acid synthase (Fasn) activity was calculated as counts per minute of [14C]incorporated/μg cell lysate.

LUCIFERASE ASSAY

As described, human hepatocellular carcinoma cells (HepG2) were cultured overnight in 12-well plates to reach ~60%-70% confluence. Transfection was performed with promoter constructs and the pRL-thymidine kinase Renilla luciferase promoter (Promega) using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). After 24 hours, cells were serum starved before being treated for 24 hours with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), 1 μM rosiglitazone (Sigma), 1 μM pioglitazone (Sigma), 100 nM insulin, and 100 nM exenatide. Luciferase activity was assessed using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega).

CHROMATIN IMMUNOPRECIPITATION ASSAY

HepG2 cells were transfected with glutathione S-transferase–PPARγ and human retinoid X receptor alpha (RXRz) (Addgene) constructs using Lipofectamine 2000 as above. Cells were serum starved and treated with DMSO (vehicle), 1 μM rosiglitazone (Sigma), or 100 nM exenatide. A chromatin immunoprecipitation assay was performed following the manufacturer’s instructions (#9003; Cell Signaling Technology). PPARγ antibody (H-100) (sc-7196; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was used to immunoprecipitate PPARγ, and normal rabbit immunoglobulin G and histone H3 (D2B12 XP rabbit monoclonal antibody were used as negative and positive controls, respectively. Immunoprecipitated and input samples were evaluated by quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) analysis (StepOne Plus; Applied Biosystems) using primers for RPL30 (kit) as control and human CEACAM1 (Supporting Fig. S1B,C). Human adipose differentiation-related protein and human glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase were also analyzed as positive and negative targets of PPARγ, respectively. Results are expressed as fold enrichment (immunoprecipitated/input).

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance or the two-tailed Student t test using GraphPad Prism 6 software. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

EXENATIDE INDUCES CEACAM1 EXPRESSION AND PROMOTES INSULIN INTERNALIZATION IN MOUSE PRIMARY HEPATOCYTES

As expected, qRT-PCR analysis revealed ~3-fold lower Ceacam1 messenger RNA (mRNA) levels in saline-treated primary hepatocytes derived from HF-fed Cc1+/− mice (HF-S) than from saline-treated RD-fed mice (RD-S) (Fig. 1A, panel i). Exenatide treatment for 24 hours elevated Ceacam1 mRNA levels in hepatocytes derived from HF-fed mice (Fig. 1A, panel i). Consistent with our previous study, the decline in Ceacam1 mRNA level in HF-S hepatocytes is likely mediated by a Pparz-dependent mechanism, as supported by the corresponding rise in Pparz mRNA
levels (Fig. 1A, panel ii). Whereas exenatide restored the \textit{Ppar\textsubscript{a}} mRNA level (Fig. 1A, panel ii), it also induced that of \textit{Ppar\textsubscript{c}} in HF-fed mice (Fig. 1A, panel iii), as expected.\(^{(10)}\) This suggests that the remarkable rise in \textit{Ceacam1} mRNA in HF-exenatide hepatocytes is mediated by the combined effect of removing the negative effect of \textit{Ppar\textsubscript{a}} in addition to the reciprocal increase in the \textit{Ppar\textsubscript{c}} level (and presumably activity).

Because CEACAM1 maintains insulin sensitivity by increasing the rate of insulin-receptor endocytosis followed by its clearance,\(^{(17)}\) we then investigated whether exenatide promotes insulin uptake. We found exenatide significantly elevated \([^{125}\text{I}]\)-insulin internalization in hepatocytes from \textit{Ct1\textsuperscript{+/+}} mice, in particular when HF-fed (Fig. 1B, panel ii; HF-exenatide [hatched triangles] versus HF-S [black triangles]; \(P<0.05\)). In contrast, exenatide did not affect insulin uptake in hepatocytes from RD- or HF-fed \textit{Ct1–/–} mice (Fig. 1B, panel iii and iv). Thus, exenatide up-regulates insulin internalization in hepatocytes in a CEACAM1-dependent fashion.

**EXENATIDE INDUCES CEACAM1 PROMOTER ACTIVITY IN HEPG2 CELLS**

We then tested whether exenatide regulates mouse \textit{Ceacam1} promoter activity in HepG2 cells. Mouse \textit{Ceacam1} promoter contains a functional PPAR response element/RXR recognition site (PPRE-RXR\textsubscript{a}) between nucleotides −557 and −543.\(^{(25)}\) Alignment of orthologous \textit{Ceacam1} promoters from different species by CLUSTALW identified conserved PPRE-RXR\textsubscript{a} and insulin response element-binding protein 1 (IRE-BP1)\(^{(28)}\) consensus sequences in many species, including mice and humans (Supporting Fig. S1A).
Because exenatide induces PPARγ expression in hepatocytes from rats with NASH, we then assessed the effect of exenatide and the PPARγ agonists rosiglitazone (checkered), exenatide (white), rosiglitazone plus exenatide plus (hatched), and insulin plus exenatide (black). PPREx3–TK-luc was used as positive and PGL4.10 as negative controls. Luciferase light units are expressed as mean ± SEM in relative light units; *P < 0.05 treatment versus vehicle, †P < 0.05 Ins/Ex versus other treatments. (B) HepG2 cells were transfected with pGST-PPARγ and hRXRα and treated with DMSO (vehicle, light gray), rosiglitazone (checkered), or exenatide (white) before ChIP analysis was carried out in triplicate by immunoprecipitating with α-PPARγ or with normal rabbit IgG (dark gray) and Hist H3 (black) as negative and positive controls, respectively. Immunoprecipitated and input samples were evaluated by qRT-PCR analysis using primers for RPL30 (kit control) and hCEACAM1. We also analyzed hADRP and hGAPDH as positive and negative targets of PPARγ, respectively. Results are expressed as fold enrichment (IP/Input). Values are expressed as mean ± SEM; *P < 0.05 versus Veh; †P < 0.05 Hist H3 versus IgG. Abbreviations: ChIP, chromatin immunoprecipitation; Ex, exenatide; hADRP, human adipose differentiation-related protein; hGAPDH, human glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; Hist H3, histone H3; IgG, immunoglobulin G; Ins/Ex, insulin plus exenatide; IP, immunoprecipitated; luc, luciferase; pGST, glutathione S-transferase plasmid; Rosi, rosiglitazone; Rosi/Ex, rosiglitazone plus exenatide; TK, thymidine kinase; Veh, vehicle.
site is mutated in this construct, the data point to the important role of this site in insulin’s regulation of Ceacam1 transcriptional activity. Combining insulin and exenatide exerted a synergistic effect (Fig. 2; Supporting Fig. S3; insulin/exenatide-treated versus vehicle-treated –1,100). In contrast, combining exenatide with pioglitazone did not alter the effect of PPARγ activation on the Ceacam1 promoter (Supporting Fig. S2; pioglitazone/exenatide-treated versus vehicle-treated –1,100). This demonstrated that exenatide did not compete off or synergize with pioglitazone in the regulation of Ceacam1 promoter activity.

A chromatin immunoprecipitation assay using a primer set from the human (h)CEACAM1 promoter showed that liganded PPARγ binds to the CEACAM1 gene in HepG2 cells treated with rosiglitazone or exenatide to a higher extent than DMSO (vehicle)-treated cells (Fig. 2B, panel ii). A similar observation was made for the positive control, human adipose differentiation-related protein, a known target of PPARγ (Fig. 2B, panel iii). In contrast, the primer set amplifying human glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, a nontarget of PPARγ, did not detect any binding (Fig. 2B, panel iv). Together, this revealed that activated PPARγ bound to the hCEACAM1 gene to up-regulate its transcription.

Consistently, exenatide induced CEACAM1 mRNA levels in HepG2 cells after 12 hours of treatment (Fig. 3A, panel ii). This was preceded (6 hours) by an increase in GLP-1R mRNA levels (Fig. 3A, panel i). It was also associated with reduction in PPARα and a reciprocal increase in PPARγ mRNA levels (Fig. 3B, panel iii and iv, respectively). The positive effect of exenatide on GLP-1R, CEACAM1, and PPARγ expression, and its reciprocal effect on PPARα mRNA were mediated by its receptor, as these effects were abolished by pre-incubation cells with exendin 9-39 GLP-1R antagonist (Fig. 3B).

**EXENATIDE SUPPRESSES FOOD INTAKE AND INDUCES ACUTE-PHASE INSULIN SECRETION IN MICE**

One month of HF feeding increased body weight in Cc1+/+ and Cc1+/– mice (Supporting Table S2). As expected, exenatide treatment for 5 days reduced daily food intake in both mouse lines (Fig. 4A, panel i and ii), causing a decrease in body weight (Supporting Table S2). It also significantly induced glucose-stimulated insulin secretion in RD-fed Cc1+/+ mice (Fig. 4B, panel i; RD-exenatide [white] versus RD-S [gray squares]; P < 0.05) and HF-fed Cc1+/– mice (Fig. 4B, panel ii; HF-exenatide [hatched] versus HF-S [black triangles]; P < 0.05). Similarly, exenatide induced glucose-stimulated insulin secretion in RD-fed Cc1+/– mice (Fig. 4B, panel iii; RD-exenatide [white] versus RD-S [gray circles]; P < 0.05) and HF-fed Cc1+/– mice (Fig. 4B, panel iv; HF-exenatide [hatched] versus HF-S [black diamonds]; P < 0.05). However, 5 days of exenatide injection did not restore insulin clearance, as shown by the steady-state C-peptide/insulin molar ratio (Supporting Table S2), nor did it affect blood glucose or plasma nonesterified fatty acids.

![FIG. 3. Effect of exenatide on CEACAM1 and GLP-1R mRNA levels in HepG2 cells. (A) HepG2 cells were treated with saline (light gray) or exenatide (white) for 0-24 hours before qRT-PCR analysis to measure (i) hGLP-1R and (ii) hCEACAM1 (CC1) mRNA levels normalized to iGAPDH (n = 5/group in triplicate). Values are expressed as mean ± SEM; *P < 0.05 treatment group versus basal level. (B) mRNA levels of (i) hGLP-1R, (ii) hCEACAM1 (hCC1), (iii) hPPARα, and (iv) hPPARγ were analyzed in HepG2 as above except for pre-incubation with exendin 9-39 (dark gray). *P < 0.05 versus S, †P < 0.05 Ex + exendin 9-39 (Ex+9-39) versus Ex alone. Abbreviations: Ex, exenatide; Ex 9-39, exendin fragment 9-39; h, human; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; iGAPDH, normalized to GAPDH.](image-url)
acids (NEFAs) and triacylglycerol levels in either mouse group (Supporting Table S2).

EXENATIDE TREATMENT FOR 4 WEEKS REVERSES DIET-INDUCED IMPAIRMENT OF INSULIN CLEARANCE

Three weeks of exenatide treatment reduced but did not fully restore body weight, total fat mass, visceral adiposity, plasma NEFAs, hyperglycemia, and hepatic triacylglycerol levels in HF-fed Cc1+/+ mice (Supporting Table S3). It did not reverse hyperinsulinemia or restore the C-peptide/insulin molar ratio (Supporting Table S3). Consistently, it failed to elevate Ceacam1 mRNA (Supporting Fig. S4A, panel i) and protein levels (Supporting Fig. S4A, panel ii) in HF-fed mice, as shown by immunoblotting with α-CEACAM1 antibody, normalized to α-tubulin (Supporting Fig. S4A, panel ii). Consistent with its insulin releasing effect, exenatide treatment for 3 weeks induced CEACAM1 phosphorylation (p) in RD-fed but not HF-fed Cc1+/+ mice, as shown by immunoblotting with α-pCC1 (Supporting Fig. S4A, panel ii). Moreover, this treatment did not curb insulin intolerance in HF-fed Cc1+/+ (Supporting Fig. S4B, panel i) or Cc1−/− mice (Supporting Fig. S4B, panel ii; and accompanying graphs representing the area under the curve, HF-exenatide [hatched] versus HF-S [black bars]).

In contrast, extending exenatide treatment to a fourth week while maintaining mice on the HF diet completely reversed diet-induced visceral obesity and plasma NEFAs without fully restoring body weight or total fat mass (Table 1). Interestingly, this fully recovered Ceacam1 mRNA levels (Fig. 5A, panel i; HF-exenatide [hatched] versus RD-S [gray bars]). Western blot analysis confirmed full restoration of the protein content and phosphorylation of hepatic CEACAM1 Cc1+/+ mice despite continuous HF feeding (Fig. 5A, panel ii). This translated into full recovery of insulin clearance by exenatide in HF-fed Cc1+/+ mice, as assessed by the higher C-peptide/insulin molar ratio (Fig. 5B, panel i) and normal insulin levels (Table 1) in HF-exenatide relative to HF-S. In Cc1−/− mice, however, 4 weeks of exenatide did not normalize insulin clearance (Fig. 5B, panel iv) or levels (Table 1). In parallel, this regimen also curbed the negative effect of HF on insulin (Fig. 5B, panel ii) and glucose tolerance (Fig. 5B, panel iii) in Cc1+/+ (HF-exenatide [hatched] versus HF-S [black triangles]; P < 0.05) but not Cc1−/− mice (Fig. 5B, panel v-vii, respectively; HF-exenatide [hatched] versus HF-S [black diamonds]). The data demonstrate that the up-regulatory effect of exenatide on insulin metabolism and action was mediated, at least in part, by inducing CEACAM1 expression.

EFFECT OF EXENATIDE ON LIPID METABOLISM AND INFLAMMATORY MARKERS

HF caused an increase in hepatic triacylglycerol content in both mouse lines (Table 1; HF-S versus RD-S). Exenatide decreased hepatic triacylglycerol levels (Table 1) and reversed hepatic steatosis in HF-fed
but not HF-fed Cct1−/− mice (Table 1). This is consistent with the histologic analysis by hematoxylin and eosin stain of the liver (Fig. 6A) revealing a marked reduction of the diffuse fat infiltration detected in HF-fed Cct1−/− by exenatide treatment for 4 weeks (Fig. 6A; HF-exenatide versus HF-S [iv versus ii]). In contrast, exenatide failed to reverse fat deposition in Cct1−/− livers whether mice were fed RD or HF (Fig. 6A, panel vii versus v or vii versus vi, respectively). Additionally, HF induced mRNA levels of genes involved in de novo lipogenesis (sterol regulatory element binding protein 1c [SREBP-1c] and Fasn) and fatty acid transport (clusters of differentiation [Cd]36, fatty acid transport protein [Fatp]-1, and Fatp-4) in both mouse groups (Table 2; HF-S versus RD-S). Exenatide treatment normalized the level of these genes in Cct1+/+/ but not Cct1−/− mice (Table 2).

Consistent with increased hyperinsulinemia-driven Fasn transcription by SREBP-1c activation,30 HF induced Fasn levels, as shown by immunoblotting proteins in the Fasn immunopellet with α-Fasn antibody (Fig. 6B, panel ii; HF-S versus RD-S). This led to increased Fasn activity (Fig. 6B, panel i; HF-S versus RD-S). Exenatide treatment for 4 weeks activated the insulin receptor (Fig. 6B, panel iii; pIR) to cause CEACAM1 phosphorylation (Fig. 6B, panel ii; pCC1 and binding to Fasn, as evidenced by detecting pCC1 in the α-Fasn immunopellet of lysates derived from HF-exenatide versus HF-S Cct1+/+ livers (Fig. 6B, panel ii). As expected,24 this lowered Fasn activity in HF-exenatide-treated Cct1+/+ livers (Fig. 6B, panel i). This effect of exenatide on Fasn activity was not detected in Cct1−/− mice.

Consistent with an enhanced proinflammatory state with an increased energy supply,31 qRT-PCR analysis showed elevation of inflammatory markers (interleukin-1β, interleukin-6, interferon-γ) in addition to increasing the macrophage pool (F4/80 and Cd68)}
and activation (tumor necrosis factor α) in the liver of untreated HF-fed \( Cc1^{+/+} \) and \( Cc1^{-/-} \) mice (Table 2; HF-S versus RD-S). Exenatide treatment for 4 weeks lowered the mRNA levels of these inflammatory markers in HF-fed \( Cc1^{+/+} \) but not \( Cc1^{-/-} \) mice (Table 2; HF-exenatide versus HF-S). This is consistent with persistence of the foci of inflammatory cell infiltrates in the hepatic lobules of \( Cc1^{-/-} \) mice despite 4 weeks of exenatide treatment, as illustrated by hematoxylin and eosin stain analysis (Fig. 6A, panel viii' versus vi').

FIG. 5. Effect of 4 weeks of exenatide treatment on insulin clearance. Mice were fed an RD or HF diet for 2 months and injected daily with exenatide in the last month. (A) (i) \( Cc1^{+/+} \) liver lysates were analyzed by qRT-PCR to measure \( Ceacam1 \) mRNA levels normalized to 18S (n = 5/group in duplicate). Values are expressed as mean ± SE; *P < 0.05 Ex versus S/feeding group; †P < 0.05 HF versus RD/treatment group. (ii) Western blot analysis by immunoblotting with phospho-CEACAM1 followed by re-immunoblotting with CEACAM1 antibody. The lower part of the gel was immunoblotted with α-tubulin for protein normalization. Gels represent more than two experiments performed on different mice per feeding per treatment group. (B) Retro-orbital venous blood was drawn from overnight-fasted mice to measure C-peptide/insulin molar ratio (i and iv) in \( Cc1^{+/+} \) and \( Cc1^{-/-} \) mice, respectively. Following a 7-hour fasting period, mice were injected intraperitoneally with (ii and vi) insulin or (iii and vii) glucose to assess insulin and glucose tolerance, respectively. Values are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 7/time point for each group); *P < 0.05 Ex versus S. Abbreviations: α-CC1, CEACAM1 antibody; Ex, exenatide; Ib, immunoblotting; ip, intraperitoneally; pCC1, phospho-CEACAM1; relb, re-immunoblotting.

FIG. 6. Effect of 4 weeks of exenatide on hepatic lipid metabolism. (A) Liver histology was assessed in H&E-stained sections (n = 7-8/group per feeding per treatment). Representatives from (i and v) S-treated RD-fed, (ii, vi, and vi') S-treated HF-fed, (iii and vii) Ex-treated RD-fed, and (iv, viii, and viii') Ex-treated HF-fed mice are shown. Of note, vi' and viii', from the same groups as vi and viii mice, highlight the infiltration of inflammatory foci. (B) (i) Fasn activity measured in triplicate by [14C]-malonyl-coenzyme A incorporation (n = 5/group per treatment group). Values are expressed as mean ± SEM; *P < 0.05 HF-S versus RD-S/genotype; †P < 0.05 Ex versus S in HF-fed \( Cc1^{+/+} \), §P < 0.05 \( Cc1^{-/-} \) versus \( Cc1^{+/+} \) per feeding group. (ii) Liver lysates were immunoprecipitated with α-Fasn followed by immunoblotting with CEACAM1 antibody. (iii) Western blot of liver lysates to assess insulin receptor phosphorylation (Ib: α-pIR) and level (Ib: α-IR). Abbreviations: α-pCC1, α-phospho-CEACAM1 antibody; cpm, counts per minute; H&E, hematoxylin and eosin; Ib, immunoblotting; Ip, immunoprecipitation; IR, insulin receptor; pIR, insulin receptor phosphorylation.
**Discussion**

The role of exenatide in suppressing appetite and inducing glucose-stimulated insulin secretion is well documented.\(^8,9\) The current study identifies a novel positive effect of exenatide on insulin clearance in parallel with insulin secretion to prevent chronic hyperinsulinemia and the subsequent increase in hepatic de novo lipogenesis.\(^{30}\)

Exenatide promotes insulin clearance by inducing the expression of CEACAM1, which increases the rate of receptor-mediated insulin uptake in a phosphorylation-dependent manner.\(^{17}\) Null mutation of Ceacam1 and its liver-specific inactivation impair insulin clearance to develop chronic hyperinsulinemia followed by insulin resistance and enhanced hepatic de novo lipogenesis, an event mainly caused by activating SREBP-1c transcriptional activation of lipogenic genes, such as Fasn.\(^{30}\) Moreover, under conditions of hyperinsulinemia, pulsatility of insulin\(^{32}\) and its negative effect on Fasn activity mediated by CEACAM1 phosphorylation are abolished.\(^{24}\) Combined, this leads to increased hepatic lipid production and accumulation in addition to its redistribution to white adipose tissue to cause visceral obesity followed by lipolysis. In contrast, liver-specific transgenic reconstitution of CEACAM1 reverses the abnormal metabolic phenotype of Cc1\(^{-/-}\) mice.\(^{33}\) Reduction of hepatic CEACAM1 plays a key role in the pathogenesis of diet-induced insulin resistance, hepatic steatosis, and visceral adiposity,\(^{20}\) and adenosiv-mediating mediates redelivery of wild-type but not the phosphorylation-defective CEACAM1 to the liver reverses these metabolic derangements.\(^{21}\) Thus, it is conceivable that restoring hepatic CEACAM1 content (and phosphorylation) by exenatide contributes substantially to its preventive

| TABLE 2. EFFECT OF 4 WEEKS OF EXENATIDE TREATMENT ON THE mRNA LEVELS OF GENES INVOLVED IN LIPID METABOLISM, AND INFLAMMATION IN THE LIVER OF MALE MICE |
|------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Genotype                                | RD-S             | RD-Ex            | HF-S             | HF-Ex            |
| Lipid metabolism                        |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| Srebp-1c                                 | 0.74 ± 0.07      | 0.79 ± 0.06      | 3.23 ± 0.21\(\dagger\) | 0.88 ± 0.05\(^*\) |
| Fasn                                     | 0.35 ± 0.03      | 0.45 ± 0.03      | 2.61 ± 0.15\(\dagger\) | 0.54 ± 0.07\(^*\) |
| Cc36                                     | 0.43 ± 0.04      | 0.48 ± 0.03      | 3.25 ± 0.22\(\dagger\) | 0.52 ± 0.05\(^*\) |
| Fatp-1                                   | 0.77 ± 0.05      | 0.65 ± 0.03      | 2.04 ± 0.13\(\dagger\) | 0.69 ± 0.05\(^*\) |
| Fatp-4                                   | 0.89 ± 0.04      | 0.76 ± 0.06      | 2.62 ± 0.19\(\dagger\) | 0.74 ± 0.06\(^*\) |
| Inflammation                             |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| F4/80                                    | 0.79 ± 0.04      | 0.75 ± 0.04      | 2.55 ± 0.17\(\dagger\) | 0.80 ± 0.06\(^*\) |
| Cd68                                     | 0.87 ± 0.09      | 0.81 ± 0.07      | 3.25 ± 0.20\(\dagger\) | 0.99 ± 0.07\(^*\) |
| Il-1\(\beta\)                            | 3.51 ± 0.32      | 3.57 ± 0.30      | 9.07 ± 0.37\(\dagger\) | 4.35 ± 0.26\(^*\) |
| Il-6                                     | 4.15 ± 0.24      | 4.57 ± 0.18      | 8.95 ± 0.27\(\dagger\) | 4.88 ± 0.28\(^*\) |
| Il-\(\gamma\)                            | 6.47 ± 0.20      | 6.59 ± 0.26      | 13.0 ± 0.43\(\dagger\) | 6.49 ± 0.25\(^*\) |
| Tnf-\(x\)                                | 2.65 ± 0.26      | 2.79 ± 0.25      | 6.70 ± 0.23\(\dagger\) | 2.39 ± 0.17\(^*\) |
| Cc1\(^{-/-}\)                             |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| Lipid metabolism                        |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| Srebp-1c                                 | 1.42 ± 0.16      | 1.65 ± 0.11      | 4.36 ± 0.16\(\dagger\) | 3.93 ± 0.15\(\dagger\) |
| Fasn                                     | 0.82 ± 0.05      | 0.80 ± 0.05      | 1.53 ± 0.06\(\dagger\) | 1.57 ± 0.09\(^*\) |
| Cc36                                     | 0.74 ± 0.05      | 0.76 ± 0.03      | 3.25 ± 0.22\(\dagger\) | 3.28 ± 0.20\(^*\) |
| Fatp-1                                   | 0.93 ± 0.04      | 0.90 ± 0.04      | 2.75 ± 0.20\(\dagger\) | 2.84 ± 0.20\(^*\) |
| Fatp-4                                   | 0.97 ± 0.04      | 0.96 ± 0.04      | 3.42 ± 0.15\(\dagger\) | 3.68 ± 0.19\(^*\) |
| Inflammation                             |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| F4/80                                    | 1.93 ± 0.16      | 1.83 ± 0.17      | 4.79 ± 0.21\(\dagger\) | 5.08 ± 0.20\(^*\) |
| Cd68                                     | 1.34 ± 0.11      | 1.83 ± 0.13      | 5.96 ± 0.27\(\dagger\) | 5.86 ± 0.35\(^*\) |
| Il-1\(\beta\)                            | 6.16 ± 0.26      | 6.11 ± 0.26      | 12.4 ± 0.38\(\dagger\) | 12.2 ± 0.51\(^*\) |
| Il-6                                     | 6.22 ± 0.24      | 6.57 ± 0.18      | 12.5 ± 0.40\(\dagger\) | 12.0 ± 0.38\(^*\) |
| Il-\(\gamma\)                            | 8.18 ± 0.25      | 8.39 ± 0.17      | 15.9 ± 0.26\(\dagger\) | 16.3 ± 0.30\(^*\) |
| Tnf-\(x\)                                | 4.53 ± 0.21      | 4.34 ± 0.18      | 10.0 ± 0.32\(\dagger\) | 10.2 ± 0.24\(^*\) |

Mice (3 months of age) were fed an RD or HF diet for 2 months. During the last month of feeding, mice were given saline or exenatide (20 ng/g BW) once daily by intraperitoneal injections (n = 5/genotype per feeding per treatment). Liver extracts were analyzed by qRT-PCR normalized to 18S in triplicate. Values are expressed as mean ± SEM; *P < 0.05 Ex versus S/feeding group; †P < 0.05 HF versus RD/treatment group.

Abbreviations: BW, body weight; Cd, clusters of differentiation; Ex, exenatide; Fatp, fatty acid transport protein; Il, interleukin; Ifn, interferon; Tnf, tumor necrosis factor.
effect on diet-induced insulin resistance and hepatic steatosis.

Compromised hepatic insulin extraction in human subjects is associated with obesity, diet-induced insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes, metabolic syndrome, and fatty liver disease. At the molecular level, hepatic CEACAM1 levels are markedly reduced in patients with high-grade NAFLD, insulin resistance, and obesity, with hepatic steatosis positively correlating with the loss of CEACAM1. Hepatic CEACAM1 is also low in rat models of obesity and insulin resistance with impaired insulin clearance, including rats selectively bred for low aerobic capacity, which manifest features of metabolic syndrome, insulin resistance, and NAFLD/NASH. Consistent with the beneficial effect of body weight loss and exercise in NAFLD/NASH treatment, caloric restriction reversed the metabolic abnormalities in selectively bred rats together with restoring hepatic CEACAM1 levels. Thus, it is reasonable to predict that up-regulating CEACAM1-dependent pathways constitutes a promising therapeutic approach to prevent insulin resistance and hepatic steatosis.

The most common NASH pharmacological therapy involves the use of PPARγ agonists. While the clinical benefit of exenatide solo or in combination with pioglitazone awaits further investigations, exenatide increases PPARγ expression to promote lipid repartition to adipose tissue, thus alleviating hepatic steatosis and suppressing associated inflammation. The current study also showed that exenatide reduces lipolysis and fatty acids supply to the hepatocytes, leading to normalization of Pparz-mediated fatty acid β-oxidation in HF-fed mice.

Mechanistically, exenatide, like rosiglitazone, bound to the conserved active PPRE-RXRz site in the Ceacam1 promoter to induce its transcription to facilitate hepatic insulin clearance and suppress inflammation. The protective effect of exenatide on insulin clearance against an HF diet in mice agrees with studies by Li et al. In contrast, exenatide did not modulate insulin clearance in RD-fed wild-type mice in support of its reported lack of effect on insulin extraction in healthy subjects and in nondiabetic first-degree relatives of patients with type 2 diabetes.

The conserved PPRE-RXRz sequence also contains nucleotides from the juxtaposed IRE-BP1 fragment that is critical in insulin-induced activation of the Ceacam1 promoter. Consistent with the conservation of these sequences, insulin induces rat Ceacam1 promoter activity. Thus, it is conceivable that in vivo exenatide activates Ceacam1 transcription by inducing PPARγ and secretion of insulin that can bind to the Ceacam1 promoter. This requires ligand-induced expression of GLP-1R in hepatocytes, as emphasized by the blunted effect of exenatide on CEACAM1 mRNA levels in the presence of exendin 9-39 GLP-1R antagonist in HepG2 cells. While GLP-1R expression in human hepatocytes remains debatable, our data agree with the reported direct effect of GLP-1R activation on steatosis in human hepatocytes and by decreased GLP-1R expression in the liver of NASH patients.

Several mechanisms can underlie the effect of exenatide on CEACAM1 expression and action (phosphorylation) in vivo. In HF-fed Cct1+/− mice, exenatide may reverse insulin resistance by restoring insulin pulsatility. This could lead to increased CEACAM1 phosphorylation, followed by its enhanced internalization as part of the insulin-receptor complex and its binding to Fasn to reduce its activity. This limits the effect of an HF diet on hepatic de novo lipogenesis and restricts lipid repartitioning to the white adipose tissue, reducing visceral adiposity and NEFA output and sustaining insulin sensitivity. On the other hand, it is also possible that exenatide initially induced CEACAM1 expression in HF-fed Cct1−/− mice not only by activating Ceacam1 promoter by PPARγ and insulin, but also by preventing lipolysis and NEFA release from adipose tissue. This would relieve the Ceacam1 promoter from the inhibitory effect of PPARz activation by fatty acids. By inducing CEACAM1 expression, exenatide promoted hepatic insulin clearance to limit chronic hyperinsulinemia, thus restoring insulin pulsatility and sensitivity and lowering Fasn activity and hepatic steatosis. Regardless of the underlying mechanisms, the current study proposes that inducing hepatic CEACAM1 expression constitutes a novel mechanism contributing to the amelioration of hepatic steatosis by GLP-1 analogs.

Our study demonstrated that exenatide induced CEACAM1 expression to protect insulin clearance and reduce hepatic de novo lipogenesis in response to an HF diet. The physiologic implication of increased insulin extraction in the face of elevated insulin secretion by exenatide is to maintain homeostatic plasma insulin levels, an essential determinant of insulin sensitivity and lipid homeostasis. Sustained insulin resistance and hepatic steatosis in Cct1−/− mice despite reduction of food intake and a more pronounced release of insulin by exenatide further supports the
relevance of CEACAM1-mediated hepatic insulin clearance in regulating systemic insulin action.
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