QCD Odderon: non linear evolution in the leading twist
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In the paper we propose and solve analytically the non-linear evolution equation in the leading twist approximation for the Odderon contribution. We found three qualitative features of this solution, which differ from the Pomeron one: (i) the behaviour in the vicinity of the saturation scale cannot be derived from the linear evolution in a dramatic difference with the Pomeron case; (ii) a substantial decrease of the Odderon contribution with the energy; and (iii) the lack of geometric scaling behaviour. The two last features have been seen in numerical attempts to solve the Odderon equation.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The new data of the TOTEM collaboration triggered hot discussions on the Odderon: a state with negative signature and with an intercept, which is close to unity (see Refs.[5–14]). This state arises naturally in perturbative QCD (see Ref.[15] for the review). In Refs.[16, 17] the linear equation for the perturbative Odderon has been derived and it has been shown, that the intercept of the Odderon is equal \( \alpha_{\text{Odd}}(t = 0) = 1 \). Having negative signature such an Odderon generates the real part of the scattering amplitude, which does not depend on energy. Specifically such an Odderon has been discussed in the phenomenological attempts to describe the experimental data in Refs. [5–14].

However, in the Colour Glass Condensate(CGC) approach, the energy dependence of the Odderon contribution is affected by the shadowing corrections [15, 17], which result in a decrease of the Odderon amplitude with increasing energy. In this paper, we wish to discuss the non-linear evolution of the Odderon in the CGC approach continuing research started by Refs.[15, 17].
We wish to recall that CGC approach is the only candidate for the effective theory at high energies, which is based on our microscopic theory: QCD (see Ref. [15] for a review). It has been shown that the non linear equations for the positive signature (Balitsky-Kovchegov (BK) equations [18]) take a simple form, if we use the simplified BFKL kernel [19] and restrict ourselves to the contribution of the leading twist only. In this paper we generalize this approach for the case of the Odderon contribution.

II. BALITSKY-KOVCHEGOV (BK) EQUATION IN THE LEADING TWIST APPROXIMATION

The BK evolution equation for the dipole-target scattering amplitude $N(x_{10}; b, Y; R)$ has the general form in the leading order (LO) of perturbative QCD ($R$ denotes the size of the target) [15, 18, 20–22]:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial Y} N(x_{10}, b, Y; R) =$$

$$\bar{\alpha}_S \int \frac{d^2x_2}{2\pi} K(x_{02}, x_{12}; x_{10}) \left( N(x_{12}, b - \frac{1}{2} x_{20}, Y; R) + N(x_{20}, b - \frac{1}{2} x_{12}, Y; R) - N(x_{10}, b, Y; R) \right)$$

$$- N(x_{12}, b - \frac{1}{2} x_{20}, Y; R) N(x_{20}, b - \frac{1}{2} x_{12}, Y; R)$$

(2)

where $x_{1k} = x_i - x_k$ and $x_{10} \equiv r$, $x_{20} \equiv r'$ and $x_{12} \equiv r - r'$. $Y$ is the rapidity of the scattering dipole and $b$ is the impact factor. $K(x_{02}, x_{12}; x_{10})$ is the kernel of the BFKL equation which in the leading order has the following form:

$$K^{LO}(x_{02}, x_{12}; x_{10}) = \frac{x_{10}^2}{x_{02} x_{12}^2}$$

(3)

For the kernel of the LO BFKL equation (see Eq. [3]) the eigenvalues take the form [19, 23]:

$$\omega(\bar{\alpha}_S, \gamma) = \bar{\alpha}_S \chi^{LO}(\gamma) = \bar{\alpha}_S (2\psi(1) - \psi(\gamma) - \psi(1 - \gamma))$$

(4)

where $\psi(z)$ is the Euler psi-function $\psi(z) = d\ln\Gamma(z)/dz$. The general BFKL kernel of Eq. [3] and Eq. [4] has contributions of all possible twists, and it cannot be solved analytically. However, as it was shown in Ref. [25] the situation becomes much simpler if we restrict ourselves to the leading twist contribution to the BFKL kernel, which has the form [25]

$$\chi(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{\gamma} & \text{for } \tau = r Q_s > 1 \text{ summing } (\ln(r Q_s))^n; \\
\frac{1}{1 - \gamma} & \text{for } \tau = r Q_s < 1 \text{ summing } (\ln(1/(r Q_C)))^n; 
\end{cases}$$

(5)

instead of the full expression of Eq. [4].

In the saturation region where $\tau > 1$ the logs originate from the decay of a large size dipole into one small size dipole and one large size dipole [25]. However, the size of the small dipole is still larger than $1/Q_s$. This observation can be translated to the following form of the kernel in the LO:

$$\bar{\alpha}_S \int \frac{d^2x_{02} d^2x_{12}}{2\pi} K(x_{01}; x_{02}, x_{12}) \rightarrow \bar{\alpha}_S \frac{1}{2} \int_{1/Q_s^2(Y,b)}^{x_{02}^2} \frac{dx_{02}^2}{x_{02}^2} + \bar{\alpha}_S \frac{1}{2} \int_{1/Q_s^2(Y,b)}^{x_{01}^2} \frac{dx_{01}^2}{|x_{01} - x_{02}|^2}$$

$$= \frac{\bar{\alpha}_S}{2} \int_{\xi}^{\xi_{02}} d^2\xi_{02} + \frac{\bar{\alpha}_S}{2} \int_{\xi}^{\xi_{12}} d^2\xi_{12}$$

(6)

where $\xi_{ik} = \ln(x_{ik}^2 Q_s^2(Y = Y_0))$.

Inside the saturation region the BK equation in LO, takes the form

$$\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{N}(Y; \xi, b)}{\partial Y \partial \xi} = \bar{\alpha}_S \left\{ \left( 1 - \frac{\partial \tilde{N}(Y; \xi, b)}{\partial \xi} \right) \tilde{N}(Y; \xi, b) \right\}$$

(7)
where \( \tilde{N} (Y; \xi, b) = \int_0^\xi d\xi' N (Y; \xi', b) \).

Introducing

\[
N (Y; \xi', b) = 1 - \Delta (Y; \xi', b) = 1 - e^{-\Omega (Y; \xi', b)}
\]

we can reduce Eq. (7) to the following expressions:

\[
\frac{\partial \Omega (Y; \xi', b)}{\partial Y} = \tilde{\alpha}_S \tilde{N} (Y; \xi, b); \quad \frac{\partial^2 \Omega (Y; \xi', b)}{\partial Y \partial \xi} = \tilde{\alpha}_S \left( 1 - e^{-\Omega (Y; \xi', b)} \right)
\]

(9)

Looking for the traveling wave solution (geometric scaling\[26\][29]), we assume that \( \Omega (Y; \xi', b) \equiv \Omega (z) \) with

\[
z = \ln \tau = \ln \left( Q_s^2 (Y, b) \right) = 4 \tilde{\alpha}_S Y + \xi
\]

(10)

Eq. (9) takes the form:

\[
\frac{d^2 \Omega (z)}{dz^2} = \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 - e^{-\Omega (z)} \right)
\]

(11)

which has the solution (see formula 3.4.1.1 of Ref.\[30\]):

\[
\sqrt{2} \int_{\Omega_0}^{\Omega} d\Omega' \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega'} + e^{-\Omega'} - 1 + C} = z
\]

(12)

for the function \( \Omega \).

The value of \( C \) has to be determined from matching with the region \( \tau < 1 \). For small \( \Omega_0 \), \( C = 0 \). Indeed, in this case the solution at small \( \Omega \) has the following form:

\[
\Omega = \Omega_0 e^{1/2z}
\]

(13)

which coincides with the general solution\[27\] for the region \( \tau < 1 \) at small \( \Omega_0 = N_0 \ll 1 \).

III. LINEAR EVOLUTION IN PERTURBATIVE QCD REGION

A. The BFKL equation

The linear equation for the Odderon is the same as the BFKL equation, which takes the form:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial Y} O (x_{10}, b; Y; R) = \tilde{\alpha}_S \int \frac{d^2 x_2}{2 \pi} K (x_{02}, x_{12}; x_{10}) \left\{ O \left( x_{12}, b - \frac{1}{2} x_{20}, Y; R \right) + O \left( x_{20}, b - \frac{1}{2} x_{12}, Y; R \right) - O (x_{10}, b; Y; R) \right\}
\]

(14)

Therefore, the difference between the BFKL Pomeron and Odderon stems from the initial conditions and the signature: positive for the BFKL Pomeron and negative for the Odderon. As it is shown in Ref.\[17\][23] the general solution to Eq. (14) can be written as

\[
O (x_{10}, b; x'_{10}; Y) = c_0 \tilde{\alpha}_S^3 \frac{6}{\pi^3} \sum_{k_0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\nu}{\nu} e^{\omega(\tilde{\alpha}_S, k, \nu) Y} \chi (k, \nu) \frac{\nu^2 + (2k+1)^2}{(\nu^2 + k^2)(\nu^2 + (k+1)^2)} G_{\nu, k} (\rho_1, \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_0)
\]

(15)

where the eigenvalues \( \omega (\tilde{\alpha}_S, k, \nu) \) are equal to \[23\]

\[
\omega (\tilde{\alpha}_S, k, \nu) = \tilde{\alpha}_S \chi (k, \nu) = \tilde{\alpha}_S \left( 2 \psi (1) - \psi \left( \frac{1+|n|}{2} + i \nu \right) - \psi \left( \frac{1+|n|}{2} - i \nu \right) \right)
\]

\[
(|n| = 2k + 1) = \tilde{\alpha}_S \left( 2 \psi (1) - \psi (k + 1 + i \nu) - \psi (k + 1 - i \nu) \right)
\]

(16)
and the eigenfunctions have the following form \[23, 24\]:

\[ G_{\nu,k} (\rho_1, \rho_0, \rho_{1'}, \rho_{0'}) = c_1 w^h w^* h F_3 (h, h, 2 h, w) + c_2 w^{1-h} w^{1-h} F_3 (1 - \tilde{h}, 1 - \tilde{h}, 2 - 2 \tilde{h}, w^*) \] (17)

where \( F_3 \) denotes the hypergeometric function (see formula 9.1 in Ref. [31]),

\[ w = \frac{\rho_{01} \rho_{0'1'}}{\rho_{00'} \rho_{11'}}; \quad h = k + 1 + i \nu; \quad \tilde{h} = -k + i \nu; \] (18)

and

\[ c_2 = \left. \frac{\rho_{k,0'} \rho_{01} \rho_{0'1'}}{\rho_{00'} \rho_{11'}} \right|_{k=0}; \quad c_1 = \left. \frac{\rho_{k,-\nu} \rho_{01} \rho_{0'1'}}{\rho_{00'} \rho_{11'}} \right|_{k=0}; \quad b_{k,\nu} = \frac{\pi^3 2^{4+i\nu} \Gamma (-i\nu + k + 1) \Gamma (i\nu + k + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma (i\nu + k + 1) \Gamma (-i\nu + k + \frac{1}{2})} \] (19)

We characterize all two dimensional vectors, shown in Fig. 1, by complex numbers, specifically

\[ \rho_k = x_k + i y_k; \quad \rho_k^* = x_k - i y_k; \quad \rho_k = (x_k, y_k); \] (20)

Eq. (15) differs from the solution for the BFKL Pomeron, since the sum in this equation is over odd \( n = 2k+1 \), while in the case of the BFKL Pomeron we sum over even \( n = 2k \). Indeed, the Odderon corresponds to the negative signature which generates the states that change sign under charge conjugation, which, as was shown in Ref. [17] corresponds to replacing quark \( x_1 \) by anti-quark \( x_0 \), or in other words \( x_{01} \to -x_{01} \). Since eigenfunctions under this transformation have the following properties:

\[ G_{\nu,k} (x_{01}, x_{0'1'}, b) = (-1)^n G_{\nu,k} (-x_{01}, x_{0'1'}, b) \] (21)

we see that the Pomeron and Odderon correspond to summation over even and odd \( n \), respectively. Eq. (15) satisfies the initial condition, which is given by the Born Approximation diagram of Fig. 1:

\[ O_0 (x_0, x_1; Y = 0) = c_0 \alpha_s^3 \ln^3 (ww^*); \quad c_0 = \frac{(N_c^2 - 4)(N_c^2 - 1)}{4N_c^3} \] (22)

where \( N_c \) denotes the number of colours.

The main contribution in sum of Eq. (15), stems from \( k = 0 \). \( \omega(k = 0, \nu) \) is shown in Fig. 2-a. One can see that the maximal intercept is equal to 0. At \( Y \gg Y_0 \) the main contribution to Eq. (15), gives the term with \( k=0 \) and, hence, the Odderon contribution for \( ww^* \ll 1 \) takes the form :

\[ O (x_{10}, b, Y; x_{1'0'}; Y) = \]

\[ c_0 \alpha_s^3 \frac{6}{\pi^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx^0 e^{i(\alpha x^0)Y}}{\chi (0, \nu)} \frac{\nu^2 + \frac{1}{4}}{(\nu^2 + 1)} \left\{ c_1 \left( \frac{w}{ww^*} \right)^{\frac{1}{2} + i \nu} + c_2 \left( \frac{w}{ww^*} \right)^{\frac{1}{2} - i \nu} \right\} \]

\[ = c_0 \alpha_s^3 \frac{6}{\pi^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx^0 e^{i(\alpha x^0)Y}}{\chi (0, \nu)} \frac{\nu^2 + \frac{1}{4}}{(\nu^2 + 1)} \left\{ c_1 \left( \frac{w}{ww^*} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{(\frac{1}{2} + i \nu) \xi} + c_2 \left( \frac{w}{ww^*} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{(\frac{1}{2} - i \nu) \xi} \right\} \] (23)
with

\[ \omega w^* = \frac{r^2 R^2}{(b + \frac{1}{2}(r - R))^2 (b - \frac{1}{2}(r - R))^2} \equiv e^\xi \]  

(24)

where \( b \) denotes the impact parameter of two colliding dipoles with sizes \( r \) and \( R \) (see Fig. 1).

### B. Diffusion approximation

We can evaluate the integral of Eq. (23) using the expansion of \( \chi(0, \nu) \) with respect to \( \nu \). Specifically,

\[ \chi(k = 0, \nu) = -D \nu^2 = -2 \zeta(3) \nu^2 + \mathcal{O}(\nu^3) \]  

(25)

where \( \zeta(3) \) is the Riemann \( \zeta \) function \( (\zeta(3) \approx 1.2) \). Using the method of steepest descent we see that Eq. (25) leads to the following estimates for Eq. (23):

\[ O(x_1, b, Y; x_1, 0; Y) = c_0 \bar{\alpha}_S^3 \frac{3}{\pi^{3/2}} \sqrt{\frac{D}{2Y}} e^{-\frac{\xi^2}{D \nu S \nu Y}} (c_1 w + c_2 w^*) \]  

(26)

Therefore, the \( \xi \) and \( Y \) dependence for the Odderon look similar to the BFKL Pomeron in the diffusion approximation (see Ref. [15]), but the value of \( D \) for the Odderon in 7 times smaller than for the Pomeron.

### C. Double Log Approximation (DLA) in coordinate representation

In Fig. 2b we plot the dependence of the eigenvalues \( \omega(\bar{\alpha}_S, k = 0, \nu) \) versus \( \gamma = i \nu \). This eigenvalue has two singularities at \( \gamma = \pm 1 \). In the vicinities of these points \( \omega(\bar{\alpha}_S, k = 0, \gamma) \propto \bar{\alpha}_S \xi \). From past experience with the BFKL Pomeron we expect that such singularities generate the double log contributions. We can see this explicitly by re-writing Eq. (23) in the new notation

\[ (\omega w^*)^\gamma = e^{\xi} = e^{-\gamma \xi'} = e^{(1-\gamma)\xi' - \xi'} \]

with \( \xi' = -\xi = \ln \left( \frac{1}{w w^*} \right) > 0 \) for small dipole size \( r \ll R \leq b \). With the new variables the contribution of the first term of Eq. (23) takes the form:
\[ O^{\text{DLA}} (\xi; Y) = c_0 \bar{\alpha}_S \frac{12}{\pi^2} \int e^{+i \xi \gamma} \left\{ \chi (0, \gamma) \left( \frac{-\gamma^2 + 1}{(-\gamma^2)^2} + c_1 (\gamma) \right) (w w^*) \right\} \]

where in Const we absorbed all constant factors. Deriving the last equation we use that \( \ldots \left\{ \gamma \rightarrow \frac{1}{\gamma} \right\} \).

Generally the DLA contribution occurs in the form:

\[ O^{\text{DLA}} (\xi; Y) = (w + w^*) w^* o^{\text{DLA}} (\bar{\alpha}_S Y \xi') \] (28)

The same structure can be seen directly from Eq. [14]. Indeed, the DL contribution stems from the sizes \( x_{02} \sim x_{12} \gg x_{10} \). In this kinematic region the BFKL kernel has a simple form (see Eq. (3))

\[ K^{\text{LO}} (x_{02}, x_{12}; x_{10}) \]

where \( \varphi \) denotes the angle between vectors \( x_{10} \) and \( x_{02} \).

Plugging this kernel in Eq. (14) we see that Eq. (14) takes the form:

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} O^{\text{DLA}} (\xi', Y) = \bar{\alpha}_S \int \frac{d^2 x_{02}}{2 \pi} x_{10}^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{x_{02}} + 2 \frac{x_{10}}{x_{02}} \cos \varphi \right\} o^{\text{DLA}} (\bar{\alpha}_S Y \xi'') \] (30)

Recalling that \( w w^* \propto x_{02}^2 \) and that Eq. [28] can be re-written as follows:

\[ O^{\text{DLA}} (\xi''; Y) = \cos \varphi (w w^*)^{3/2} o^{\text{DLA}} (\bar{\alpha}_S Y \xi'') \] (31)

one can see that the first term in Eq. (30) vanishes due to integration over \( \varphi \) and the second term can be re-written as

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} o^{\text{DLA}} (\xi', Y) = \bar{\alpha}_S \int d\xi'' o^{\text{DLA}} (\xi''; Y) \] (32)

D. Geometric scaling behaviour of the scattering amplitude in the vicinity of the saturation momentum

It is well known[15, 20, 25, 28], that for finding the saturation momentum, as well as for discussing the behaviour of the scattering amplitude in the vicinity of the saturation scale, we do not need to know the precise structure of the non-linear corrections. What we need, is to find the solution of the linear BFKL equation, which is a wave package that satisfies the condition, that phase and group velocities are equal[20]. In other words, we need to take the integral in Eq. (23) by the method of steepest descend, and to satisfy two conditions for the saddle point \( (\nu_{sp}) \):

\[ (1) \frac{d \omega (\bar{\alpha}_S, 0, \nu_{sp})}{d \nu_{sp}} Y + i \xi = 0; \quad (2) \omega (\bar{\alpha}_S, 0, \nu_{sp}) Y + \left( \frac{1}{2} + i \nu_{sp} \right) \xi = 0 \] (33)

The first equation determines the trajectory of the wave package, while the second fixes the front line on which our wave function is a constant. Dividing one equation by the second one, we obtain the following equation, which actually gives \( v_{\text{group}} = v_{\text{phase}} \):

\[ \frac{d \omega (\bar{\alpha}_S, 0, \nu_{sp})}{d \nu_{sp}} = v_{\text{group}} = v_{\text{phase}} = i \frac{\omega (\bar{\alpha}_S, 0, \nu_{sp})}{\frac{1}{2} + i \nu_{sp}} \] (34)
FIG. 3: Eq. (34): $v_{\text{group}}$ and $v_{\text{phase}}$ versus $\gamma \equiv i \nu$.

In Fig. 3 we plot the l.h.s. and the r.h.s. Eq. (34). One can see that this equation has no solution except at $\gamma = 0$. However, at $\gamma \to 0$ $v_{\text{group}} \propto \gamma^2$ while $v_{\text{phase}} \propto \gamma$ and, therefore, Eq. (34) does not have solution at small $\gamma$.

This can be seen directly from Eq. (26). At first sight the diffusion solution of Eq. (26) is constant for $\xi$ determined from the following equation:

$$- \frac{\xi^2}{4 \bar{\alpha}_S} \frac{1}{D Y} + \xi = 0$$

(35)

For $R \gg r, b$, $\xi = \ln \left( \frac{r^2}{R^2} \right) < 0$. One can see that Eq. (35) has no solution for negative $\xi$. Recall, that in section III-B we found the saddle point, but without an additional condition of Eq. (33)-2.

Hence, the situation with the Odderon turns out to be quite different from the BFKL Pomeron: the linear equation does not provide saturation, which might or might not stem from the solution to the non-linear equation, that was derived in Ref.[17] (see also Ref.[15]). We also see no reason for the geometric scaling behaviour for the Odderon contribution. However, there is still the possibility that the non-linear evolution will lead to a geometric scaling solution, with the saturation scale determined by Eq. (7).

IV. NON-LINEAR EVOLUTION FOR THE ODDERON

A. General equation

The non-linear evolution equation for the Odderon is derived in Ref.[17] (see also Ref.[15]). It takes the form:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial Y} O (x_{10}, b, Y; R) = \bar{\alpha}_S \int \frac{d^2 x_2}{2\pi} K (x_{02}, x_{12}; x_{10}) \left\{ O \left( x_{12}, b - \frac{1}{2} x_{20}, Y; R \right) + O \left( x_{20}, b - \frac{1}{2} x_{12}, Y; R \right) - O (x_{01}, b, Y; R) \right\}$$

$$- N \left( x_{20}, b - \frac{1}{2} x_{12}, Y; R \right) O \left( x_{12}, b - \frac{1}{2} x_{20}, Y; R \right) - N \left( x_{12}, b - \frac{1}{2} x_{20}, Y; R \right) O \left( x_{20}, b - \frac{1}{2} x_{12}, Y; R \right)$$

where the amplitude $N$ is the solution of the BK equation (see Eq. (1)) for the Pomeron.

$$N (x_{01}, b, Y; R) = 1 - \Delta (x_{01}, b, Y; R) = 1 - \exp \left( -\Omega (x_{01}, b, Y; R) \right)$$

(37)

We can re-write Eq. (36) in the form:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial Y} O (x_{10}, b, Y; R) = \bar{\alpha}_S \int \frac{d^2 x_2}{2\pi} K (x_{02}, x_{12}; x_{10}) \left\{ - O (x_{01}, b, Y; R) \right\}$$

$$+ \Delta \left( x_{20}, b - \frac{1}{2} x_{12}, Y; R \right) O \left( x_{12}, b - \frac{1}{2} x_{20}, Y; R \right) + \Delta \left( x_{12}, b - \frac{1}{2} x_{20}, Y; R \right) O \left( x_{20}, b - \frac{1}{2} x_{12}, Y; R \right)$$

(38)
As we have discussed in section II function \( \Delta(z) \) depends on one variable \( z = \ln\left( r^2 Q_s^2 (Y, b) \right) \), where \( Q_s \) is the saturation momentum determined by the Baltsky-Kovchegov equation (see Eq. (1)). As one can see from Fig. 4, the function \( \Delta \) decreases at large \( z \) and is peaked at \( z = 0 \).

**B. Equation in the leading twist approximation**

Using Eq. (9) for the BFKL kernel in the leading twist approximation, we can reduce Eq. (36) to the following equation:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial Y} O(x_{10}, b, Y; R) = -\tilde{\alpha}_S \int_{1/Q_s^2}^z dx_{02}^2 \frac{dx_{02}^2}{x_{02}^2} O(x_{01}, b, Y; R) + \tilde{\alpha}_S \Delta(x_{01}, b, Y; R) \int_{1/Q_s^2}^z dx_{02}^2 \frac{dx_{02}^2}{x_{02}^2} O(x_{02}, b, Y; R) O(x_{01}, b, Y; R)
\]

Looking for the solution in the form

\[
O(x_{10}, b, Y; R) = o(x_{10}, b, Y; R) e^{-\Omega(z)}
\]

we obtain the following equation for \( o(x_{10}, b, Y; R) \):

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial Y} o(\xi, Y) - \frac{d \Omega(z)}{dY} o(\xi, Y) = -\tilde{\alpha}_S z o(\xi, Y) + \tilde{\alpha}_S \int d\xi' o(\xi', Y) e^{-\Omega(z)} + \tilde{\alpha}_S \int dz' \Delta(z') o(\xi, Y)
\]

where \( \xi \) is defined by Eq. (24). The first equation of Eq. (9) can be rewritten in the form:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \Omega(z) = \tilde{\alpha}_S \left( z - \int dz' \Delta(z') \right)
\]

Plugging this equation into Eq. (41) we reduce it to the form:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial Y} o(\xi, Y) = \tilde{\alpha}_S \int d\xi' o(\xi', Y) e^{-\Omega(z')}; \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y \partial \xi} o(\xi, Y) = \tilde{\alpha}_S e^{-\Omega(z)} o(\xi, Y)
\]

Introducing a new variable

\[
Z = \int_0^z dz' e^{-\Omega(z')}
\]

we re-write the equation as follows:

\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z \partial \xi} o(\xi, Z) = \frac{1}{4} o(\xi, Z)
\]
C. Solution

The solution to Eq. (45) takes the general form:

\[ o(\xi, Z) = \int_{\epsilon - i \infty}^{\epsilon + i \infty} \frac{d \gamma}{2 \pi i} e^{\frac{1}{2} \gamma Z + \gamma \xi} \tilde{o}(\gamma) \]  

(46)

where \( \tilde{o}(\gamma) \) should be found from the initial condition at \( z = 0 \) \( (Z = 0) \). We need to solve Eq. (32) to find this initial condition. Its solution has the form:

\[ O_{DLA}(\xi'; Y) = \frac{w}{w^*} O_0 \int_{\epsilon - i \infty}^{\epsilon + i \infty} \frac{d \gamma}{2 \pi i} e^{\frac{1}{2} \gamma \xi' + \gamma \xi' - \frac{3}{2} \gamma} \frac{1}{\gamma} \]  

(47)

with the initial condition \( O_{DLA}(\xi'; Y = 0) = \frac{w}{w^*} (w w^*)^{3/2} O_0 \), where \( O_0 \) is a constant. On the line \( z = 0 \) Eq. (47) gives

\[ O_{DLA}(\xi'; z = 0) = O_0 \int_{\epsilon - i \infty}^{\epsilon + i \infty} \frac{d \gamma}{2 \pi i} e^{\frac{1}{2} \gamma} \xi' + \gamma \xi' - \frac{3}{2} \gamma \frac{1}{\gamma} \]  

(48)

Taking into account Eq. (40) we obtain the following initial condition for \( o(\xi, Z) \):

\[ o(\xi, Z = 0) = O_0 e^{\Omega_0} \int_{\epsilon - i \infty}^{\epsilon + i \infty} \frac{d \gamma}{2 \pi i} e^{\frac{1}{2} \gamma} \xi' + \gamma \xi' - \frac{3}{2} \gamma \frac{1}{\gamma} \]  

(49)

The r.h.s. of this equation we can re-write as

\[ O_0 e^{\Omega_0} \int_{\epsilon - i \infty}^{\epsilon + i \infty} \frac{d \gamma}{2 \pi i} e^{\gamma} \xi' e^{\gamma} \xi' \frac{1}{\gamma(\gamma')} \]  

(50)

where \( \gamma(\gamma') \) is the solution to the following equation:

\[ \frac{1}{4} \gamma + \gamma - \frac{3}{2} = - \gamma' \]  

(51)

The solution to this equation gives:

\[ \gamma(\gamma') = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{3}{2} - \gamma' \pm \sqrt{\left( \gamma' - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( \gamma' - \frac{5}{2} \right)} \right) \]  

(52)

Plugging Eq. (52) into Eq. (50) we obtain

\[ \tilde{o}(\gamma) = O_0 e^{\Omega_0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\gamma - \frac{1}{2}) \left( \gamma - \frac{5}{2} \right)}} \]  

(53)

Hence the solution takes the form:

\[ o(\xi, Z) = O_0 e^{\Omega_0} \int_{\epsilon - i \infty}^{\epsilon + i \infty} \frac{d \gamma}{2 \pi i} e^{\frac{1}{2} \gamma} Z + \gamma \xi \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\gamma - \frac{1}{2}) \left( \gamma - \frac{5}{2} \right)}} \]  

(54)

For large \( z \) and \( \xi \), we can evaluate this integral using the method of steepest descend. For the saddle point we have the following equation:

\[ - \frac{Z}{4 \gamma_{sp}^2} + \xi = 0; \quad \gamma_{sp} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{Z}{\xi}} \ll 1 \quad \text{for} \quad \xi \gg 1 \]  

(55)
From Eq. (55) we obtain the solution:

\[ o(\xi, Z) = O_0 e^{\Omega_0} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{5}} \frac{2}{\sqrt{\gamma_{sp}}} e^{\sqrt{\pi} \xi} \]  

which leads to the Odderon contribution

\[ O(\xi, Z) = \frac{w}{w^*} O_0 e^{\Omega_0 - \Omega(z)} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{5}} \frac{\gamma_{sp}^3}{2Z} e^{\sqrt{\pi} \xi} \]  

In Fig. 5 solutions of Eq. (57) are plotted as a function of \( z \) at fixed \( \xi \). One can see that all solutions lead to the Odderon contribution which is negligibly small at \( z \geq 5 \).

V. CONCLUSIONS

In the paper we proposed and solved analytically the non-linear evolution equation in the leading twist approximation for the Odderon contribution. We found three qualitative features of this solution, where the Odderon contribution differs from the Pomeron one: (i) the behaviour in the vicinity of the saturation scale cannot be derived from the linear evolution in a dramatic difference with the Pomeron case; (ii) the substantial decrease of the Odderon contribution with the energy; and (iii) the lack of the geometric scaling behaviour. All of these features can be seen from Fig. 5 and Eq. (57). The decrease of the Odderon contribution with energy should be confronted with the QCD linear equation prediction for the intercept of the Odderon: \( \alpha_{\text{Odd}}(t = 0) = 1 \), which means that the Odderon contribution does not depend on energy. The geometric scaling behaviour is the most striking general feature of the non-linear Balitsky-Kovchegov equation \[25, 26, 28, 29\]. Therefore, the Odderon provides an example that this behaviour is the characteristic property of the Pomeron contributions. It is instructive to mention, that in spite of the violation of the geometric scaling behaviour for the Odderon, the suppression deep in the saturation region is the same as for the Pomeron case, and is determined by the gluon reggeization \[29\]. We would like to stress that some of these features (the decrease with energy and the lack of the geometric scaling behaviour) have been seen in the numerical solutions to the non-linear evolution of the QCD Odderon \[32, 33\]; and the decrease of the Odderon contribution with energy follows from the general non-linear equation \[15, 17\], using the approach of Ref. \[25\].

Concluding we wish to stress that the QCD Odderon gives a very small contribution to the scattering amplitude, due to substantial shadowing corrections, which are responsible for the non-linear evolution. We believe that this solid theoretical result based on the effective QCD theory at high energy: the CGC approach, will be useful in our discussion of the available experimental data.
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