MAPPING THE LINEARLY POLARIZED SPECTRAL LINE EMISSION AROUND THE EVOLVED STAR IRC+10216
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ABSTRACT

We present spectro-polarimetric observations of several molecular lines obtained with the Submillimeter Array toward the carbon-rich asymptotic giant branch star IRC+10216. We have detected and mapped the linear polarization of the CO 3–2, SiS 19–18, and CS 7–6 lines. The polarization arises at a distance of \( \simeq 450 \) AU from the star and is blueshifted with respect to the Stokes \( I \). The SiS 19–18 polarization pattern appears to be consistent with a locally radial magnetic field configuration. However, the CO 3–2 and CS 7–6 line polarization suggests an overall complex magnetic field morphology within the envelope. This work demonstrates the feasibility of using spectro-polarimetric observations to carry out tomographic imaging of the magnetic field in circumstellar envelopes.
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1. INTRODUCTION

High mass loss during the asymptotic giant branch (AGB) phase is one of the main contributors to the return of nucleosynthesized material into the interstellar medium. A proper understanding of AGB mass loss is thus crucial for the study of the chemical evolution of the Galaxy. However, the exact mechanism or mechanisms responsible for the AGB mass loss is still not clear. After the AGB phase, the star evolves toward the planetary nebula (PN) phase. During this transition, fast winds are launched that interact with the earlier circumstellar envelope (CSE) that was created during the AGB. A large fraction of PNe are observed to be aspherical, and the origin of the asphericity is attributed to the influence of a binary companion, a disk, a magnetic field, or a combination of these. The exact onset of asphericity is still unknown, and high angular resolution molecular line observations indicate that several CSEs of AGB stars already display various degrees of asymmetry. The mechanism responsible for the creation of asymmetries is likely closely linked with that driving the mass loss and can be directly probed by high angular resolution observations of CSEs. In particular, molecular line polarization observations are a unique tool for studying potential asymmetries in the CSE and/or determining the shape of the circumstellar magnetic field.

The critical role of magnetic fields in star formation has been probed with direct observations of polarized dust continuum emission toward both low- and high-mass star-forming regions (Girart et al. 2006, 2009; Rao et al. 2009). Similar techniques are difficult to apply for AGB stars due to the need for extremely high angular resolution (<0.5') and high sensitivity. A large number of studies have already been made of the magnetic-field-induced polarization of maser lines. These studies have revealed that magnetic fields are present throughout the entire envelope. The SiO, H$_2$O, and OH maser observations indicate that magnetic fields appear well ordered and the Zeeman splitting indicates the field strengths range from several Gauss close to the stellar surface to several mG at a few thousand AU (e.g., Etoka & Diamond 2004; Vlemmings et al. 2005, 2011; Herpin et al. 2006; Kemball et al. 2009; Amiri et al. 2011). However, masers probe only a limited number of lines of sight through the CSE and in most cases it is thus impossible to fully reconstruct the magnetic field morphology throughout the envelope. Furthermore, the most abundant masers are predominantly found around oxygen-rich (M-type) star limiting the available source sample. Non-masing molecular lines, however, are also predicted to be linearly polarized (e.g., Goldreich, & Kylafis 1981, 1982; Morris et al. 1985) to the level of a few percent, and can provide more extensive probes of the entire envelope.

However, polarized emission has conclusively been detected from CO, for example, in a number of star-forming regions (e.g., Girart et al. 1999; Lai et al. 2003; Cortes et al. 2005; Forbrich et al. 2008). The molecular line polarization is due to the anisotropic radiation field from the central star imparting angular momentum on the molecules or from anisotropic level populations in the molecular magnetic substrates when coupled with a magnetic field. Without a (non-radial) magnetic field, and assuming a spherically symmetric stellar wind, linear polarization should be radial or tangential and should only be detectable at lines of sight away from the central star (Morris et al. 1985). Thus, when these criteria are not met, molecular line polarization provides a unique diagnostic of magnetic field morphology and potential non-radial asymmetries in the stellar radiation field. Very recently, Vlemmings et al. (2012) have reported the detection of CO polarized emission toward IK Tau.

IRC+10216 (CW Leo) is a well-studied AGB star with a high mass-loss rate, \( 3 \times 10^{-5} \) \( M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1} \), and a terminal velocity of 15 km s\(^{-1} \) (e.g., Truong-Bach et al. 1991). Due to the relatively close distance of 150 pc (Crosas & Menten 1997), this star provides an ideal laboratory for studies of circumstellar chemistry (Tenenbaum et al. 2010; Patel et al. 2011). Unlike typical Mira variables, there are no masers associated with this source, except perhaps a transition of SiS which may
be a weak maser (Fonfría Expósito et al. 2006). The dusty envelope of IRC+10216 shows arc-like structures in scattered light (Mauron & Huggins 1999) which extend over more than 1′ in angular radius. Closer to the star, dust emission shows asymmetrical structures over scales of 2″ (Men’shchikov et al. 2001; Menut et al. 2007). Optical and NIR (JHK) interferometry reveals complex and time-varying structures on subarcsecond scales close to the star (Tuthill et al. 2000, 2005; Weigelt et al. 2002). Non-spherical structures were also revealed in linear polarization maps produced by dust scattering at 0′′25 resolution in the H band (Murakawa et al. 2005).

A previous polarization detection in IRC+10216 was reported by Glenn et al. (1997) for the CS 2–1 line. In this Letter, we present the detection of the linear polarized emission for the CO 3–2, SiS 19–18, and CS 7–6 lines in IRC+10216. This is the first time that maps of the linear polarized emission in IRC+10216 are presented.

2. OBSERVATIONS

The Submillimeter Array (SMA) observations were taken on 2010 November 24 in the compact configuration. The receiver was tuned to cover the 330.6–334.5 and 342.6–346.5 GHz frequencies in the lower sideband (LSB) and upper sideband (USB), respectively. The phase center of the telescope was R.A. (J2000.0) = 9h47m57s38 and decl. (J2000.0) = 13°16′43″70. The correlator provided a spectral resolution of about 0.8 MHz (i.e., 0.7 km s⁻¹ at 345 GHz). The gain calibrators were QSOs J0854+201 and J1058+015. The bandpass and polarization calibrator was 3c454.3, which was observed in a parallactic angle range of ∼120°. The absolute flux scale was determined from observations of Titan. The flux uncertainty was estimated to be ∼20%. The data were reduced using the MIRIAD software package (Wright & Sault 1993). The SMA conducts polarimetric observations by cross-correlating orthogonal circular polarization (CP). The CP is produced by inserting quarter wave plates in front of the receivers which are inherently linearly polarized. A detailed description of the instrumentation techniques as well as calibration issues is discussed in Marrone & Rao (2008) and Marrone et al. (2006). In order to obtain a more accurate polarization calibration, we solve for the leakage solution independently for the strongest detected lines (CO and 13CO 3–2, H13CN 3–2, CS 7–6 and SiS 19–18) by selecting a frequency range of ∼1.5 GHz centered within 0.1 GHz with respect to the rest frequency of each line. We found polarization leakages between 1% and 2% for the USB, while the LSB leakages were between 2% and 4%. These leakages were measured to an accuracy of 0.1%. Self-calibration was performed independently for the USB and LSB on the continuum emission of IRC+10216. All maps were done with natural weighting in order to maximize the sensitivity, which yielded a synthesized beam of 2″6 × 1″6 with a position angle of P.A. ∼ 0° (see the caption of Figure 2 for more specific values). Significant polarization was only detected in the CO 3–2, CS 7–6, and SiS 7–6 lines, so this Letter presents and discusses the detection significance for these three lines.

3. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Figure 1 shows the Stokes I, Q, and U obtained at the positions of maximum polarized intensity for the CO 3–2, CS 7–6, and
components, being relatively bright in the later. The CS and contour maps of the larger than about 10" (Girart et al. 2006), so the detected emission appears relatively compact. Indeed, the CO averaged emission over the blueshifted component shown in Figure 2 has a relatively compact component (with a radius of ≃ 2"), surrounded by a weak component that extends up 5" from the center at an intensity level of about 5% of the maximum. The CS and SiS also show a compact component with similar dimensions, but they lack the weaker and extended component. The Stokes I emission of the three lines has a similar brightness, ≃120 K for the CS and SiS and 150 K for CO.

The CO 3–2 lines is the strongest line detected in our observations (the line is 50% brighter than the other two lines in the shortest baselines of the visibility plane). This line emission is known to be spatially very extended, much beyond the primary beam of the SMA antennas (Truong-Bach et al. 1991). However, the SMA filters out the CO emission that arises from structures larger than about 10" (Girart et al. 2006), so the detected emission appears relatively compact. Indeed, the CO averaged emission over the blueshifted component shown in Figure 2 has a relatively compact component (with a radius of ≃ 2"), surrounded by a weak component that extends up 5" from the center at an intensity level of about 5% of the maximum. The CS and SiS also show a compact component with similar dimensions, but they lack the weaker and extended component. The Stokes I emission of the three lines has a similar brightness, ≃120 K for the CS and SiS and 150 K for CO.

The rms noise of the Stokes Q and U emission appears to slightly increase in the channels where the line emission is brightest. This effect is seen in the three lines, though at different levels, from a maximum increase of 5%, 13%, and 20% for the CS, SiS, and CO lines, respectively. This increase is probably produced by the residual leakage (estimated to be of ≃0.1%, Marrone & Rao 2008) as the total intensity is very strong in the central channels. The larger increase for the CO may be because it is the most extended and the brightest line (especially in the shortest baselines). Taking into account this increase of noise, there is still significant emission in the Stokes Q and U maps of the SiS at the ≃6σ level, and in CO and CS lines at the ≃5σ level. The linear polarization maps were computed by using a 3σ cutoff, where σ is the rms noise in the map where the polarization is detected.

The CO linear polarization arises from both the U and Q components, being relatively bright in the later. The CS and SiS lines show mainly polarized emission in the U component. Interestingly, the polarized emission in the three lines appears to be blueshifted with respect to the total intensity.

In order to derive the polarization pattern in the plane of the sky, we have computed polarization maps with the emission averaged over the velocity range where the polarization intensity is detected (see Figure 2). The polarization degree at the position where it is strongest is ≃2% for the CO and SiS lines, and ≃4% for the CS. The CO 3–2 polarization arises from two spots, one at the center of IRC+10216 and the other located ≃3" to the east. The polarization vectors are oriented roughly north–south, changing slightly from a position angle of P.A. = −11° at the eastern spot to −25° at the central spot. The SiS 19–18 polarized emission arises mainly from the northeastern quadrant of the IRC+10216 envelope (the polarization peak is located ≃ 2.6' from the center’s envelope). There are two other small spots with a polarized emission too marginal to be further considered here. The polarization of the main component has a mean position angle of about −41°, but the pattern of the polarization vectors appear to form an arc, roughly following the contours of the Stokes I emission. The CS 7–6 polarization arises from the envelope’s southwestern quadrant (the polarization peak is located ≃ 2.9' from the center’s envelope). The polarization P.A. pattern is quite uniform with an average value of ≃ 48°.

The SiS polarization vectors’ pattern suggests a radial distribution. Therefore, we have compared the polarization vector direction with the expected radial direction (with respect to the envelope center) at the position of the vectors. Figure 3 shows the difference between the polarization vectors and the radial directions. On one hand, the SiS polarization vectors are all almost perpendicular to the radial direction, i.e., they form a nearly perfect concentric arc–like pattern with respect to the envelope’s center. On the other hand, and despite the low polarization statistics, this is not the case for the CO and CS polarization vectors.

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The detailed analysis of the population of the magnetic sub-levels in rotational lines show that the highest polarized emission is expected for volume densities similar to the critical density of the observed transition, and depending on the transition and on the molecule, the polarization can still be significant even at densities ten times higher (Deguchi & Watson 1984). This suggests that the polarization detected in the CO 3–2 line should arise at volume densities of ∼10^3 cm^-3 in the outer regions of the shell, whereas the SiS 19–18 and CS 7–6 polarization is expected to trace inner regions, at densities of ∼10^7 cm^-3.

One of the interesting features is that in the three lines the linear polarization is blueshifted with respect to the total emission (this effect is more significant in the SiS line).
Considering that the envelope is expanding, this suggests that the polarized emission is being detected at the side of a shell facing us and with the aforementioned volume densities. In addition, most of the polarized emission arises about 3′′ offset (≃450 AU in projection) from the envelope’s center. Thus the optical depth is probably playing an important role. Indeed, subarcsecond resolution maps in the IR (Menut et al. 2007; Leão et al. 2006) and HCN 3–2 emission (Shinnaga et al. 2009) show that the molecular distribution is asymmetrical. This suggests the anisotropy in the radiation field to be a cause for the polarization pattern not being distributed spherically. This is also in agreement with the single dish detection of the CS 2–1 line polarization toward the center of IRC+10216, which suggests that there is a non-radial polarization pattern (Glenn et al. 1997).

In circumstellar envelopes and for millimeter molecular lines the Zeeman splitting is much larger than the collision and spontaneous rates, even for magnetic field strengths of only a few μG. Therefore, the polarization should be aligned parallel or perpendicular to the magnetic field (Kylafis 1983; Morris et al. 1985). An overall radial magnetic field is expected if it is weak enough to be energetically irrelevant, i.e., the magnetic energy is significantly smaller than the mechanical energy of the stellar wind: \( B \ll (m v_t)^{1/2} (D \Omega)^{-1} \), where \( m \) is the mass loss rate, \( v_t \) the terminal velocity, \( D \) the distance of IRC+10216 and \( \Omega \) the angular radius (Glenn et al. 1997). Using the measured values in IRC+10216 (see Section 1) and at the distance where the polarization is detected (≃3′′, 450 AU), this condition is satisfied if \( B \ll 8 \) mG. CN Zeeman splitting observations in IRC+10216 indicate a strength of ≃9 mG at a larger distance (2500 AU, Herpin et al. 2009). For a solar-type and toroidal magnetic field configuration (\( B \propto r^{-2} \) and \( r^{-1} \), respectively; Vlemmings 2011), the expected strength where the polarization is detected would be in the 50–300 mG range. Therefore, the magnetic field is strong enough to not be radially shaped by the wind.

The measured polarized vectors can be either parallel or perpendicular to the projected magnetic field direction in the plane of the sky (Kylafis 1983). A proper radiative transfer analysis and a model of the physical conditions of the envelope (including the magnetic field configuration) is needed to solve for this degeneracy. This applies to the measured CO and CS polarization maps. Nevertheless, in the region where the SiS polarization is detected, the polarization pattern is indicative of a radial magnetic field (see Figure 3). Theoretical studies show that in an expanding circumstellar envelope with a radial magnetic field, the polarization will be parallel (or perpendicular) to the radial direction if it arises at radii lower (or higher) than at a certain impact parameter, \( R_I \) (Deguchi & Watson 1984; Morris et al. 1985). \( R_I \) is the radius where the spontaneous emission rate for the \( J \rightarrow J – 1 \) transition is equal to the IR absorption rate. According to Morris et al. (1985), in IRC+10216 the value of \( R_I \) for the SiS 2–1 line is \( R_I \approx 5 \times 10^{16} \) cm. The spontaneous emission rate increases with \( J \) as \( J^4/(2J + 1) \), and the IR absorption rate is \( R^{-2} \); so \( R_1 \approx 2 \times 10^{15} \) cm. The region where SiS 19–18 polarization is detected arises at a radius of ≃ 5 × 10^{15} cm from the star. Therefore the SiS 19–18 polarization pattern is in agreement with the theoretical predictions if the magnetic field is radial in the region where the SiS polarization is detected.

In summary, we have detected and mapped the polarization pattern for the first time in IRC+10216 through spectro-polarimetric observations of the CO 3–2, SiS 19–18, and CS 7–6 lines. Although the data obtained so far lack the sensitivity to allow us to make specific predictions on the magnetic configuration of the IRC+10216 envelope, the polarization pattern measured allows us to infer that the magnetic field configuration has a global radial pattern (this is only observed locally where the SiS 19–18 polarization is detected), but it possibly has a rather complex magnetic field morphology. In addition, the polarization detection in three different molecular lines implies that with the higher sensitivity and angular resolution that the Atacama Large Millimeter Array is going to provide, it would be possible to carry out spectro-polarimetric observations for a tomographic imaging of the magnetic field in circumstellar envelopes. However, the ambiguity between the polarization direction with respect to the magnetic field direction implies that in order to properly relate the polarization pattern to the magnetic field, a complete radiative transfer analysis should be made.
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