Disabilities in leprosy: construction and validation of instrument on knowledge and attitude of professionals

Incapacidades na hanseníase: construção e validação de instrumento sobre conhecimento e atitude de profissionais

Discapacidades en la lepra: construcción y validación de un instrumento sobre el conocimiento y la actitud de los profesionales.

ABSTRACT

Objective: To develop and test the content validity of the “Knowledge and Attitudes on Assessing Degrees of Physical Disability in Leprosy” instrument. Methods: Methodological study carried out between January and May 2019 in three stages: item generation, analysis of aggregated redundancy to the composition, and content validation, using the Delphi technique. Results: In the first evaluation, a relevance of ≥ 0.80 stood out for all items, except for 2.8, which was excluded. To make the instrument clearer, the sequence was renumbered from one to 32; 2.6, 3.1, and 15 were reformulated, and the others underwent modifications, except for ten and 13. After the second evaluation, all items obtained Content Validity Index > 0.90 and Kappa = 1.00. Conclusion: The instrument has an excellent content validity; it is inferred that it is adequate to measure the knowledge and attitudes of professionals in the assessment of physical disabilities in leprosy. Descriptors: Knowledge; Attitude; Leprosy; Validation Study; Health Education.

RESUMO

Objetivo: Construir e testar a validade de conteúdo do instrumento “Conhecimento e Atitude sobre a Avaliação do Grau de Incapacidade Física na Hanseníase”. Métodos: Estudo metodológico realizado entre janeiro e maio de 2019 em três etapas: geração de itens; análise de redundância agregada à composição e validação de conteúdo, utilizando a técnica Delphi. Resultados: Na primeira avaliação, destaca-se relevância ≥ 0,80 para todos os itens, exceto para 2,8, que foi excluído. Para tornar o instrumento mais claro, a sequência foi renumerada de 1 a 32; 2,6, 3,1 e 15 foram reformulados, e os demais passaram por modificações, exceto 10 e 13. Após a segunda avaliação, todos os itens obtiveram Índice de Validade de Conteúdo > 0,90 e Kappa = 1,00. Conclusão: O instrumento possui validade de conteúdo excelente; infere-se que é adequado para mensurar os conhecimentos e as atitudes dos profissionais sobre a avaliação das incapacidades físicas na hanseníase. Descriptores: Conhecimento; Atitude; Hanseníase; Estudo de validação; Educação em Saúde.

RESUMEN

Objetivo: Construir y testar la validez de contenido del instrumento “Conocimiento y Conducta acerca de Evaluación del Grado de Discapacidad Física en la Lepra”. Métodos: Estudio metodológico realizado entre enero y mayo de 2019 en tres etapas: generación de ítems, análisis de redundancia agregada a la composición y validez de contenido, utilizando técnica Delphi. Resultados: En la primera evaluación, destacó relevancia ≥ 0,80 para todos los ítems, excepto para 2,8, que fue excluido. Para volver el instrumento más claro, la secuencia fue renumerada de 1 a 32; 2,6, 3,1 y 15 fueron reformulados, y los demás pasaron por modificaciones, excepto 10 y 13. Después de la segunda evaluación, todos los ítems obtuvieron Índice de Validez de Contenido > 0,90 y Kappa = 1,00. Conclusión: El instrumento posee validez de contenido excelente; infiere que es adecuado para mensurar los conocimientos y conductas de profesionales acerca de evaluación de las discapacidades físicas en la Lepra. Descriptores: Conocimiento; Conducta; Lepra; Estudio de validación; Educación en Salud.
INTRODUCTION

Considered the infectious disease that causes most disabilities in individuals due to its neural involvement capacity(1), leprosy still represents an important public health problem in Brazil. Despite the efforts made to eliminate it, the disease still remains endemic in the country, which annually reports about 26 thousand new cases(2).

Data from the World Health Organization indicates that, between the years 2016 and 2017, there was an increase in the number of new cases (+1,657) and new cases diagnosed with a degree of physical disability (DPD) 2 (+213), represented by the presence of visible deficiencies caused by leprosy, such as lagophthalmos, trichiasis, ectropion, claws, bone resorption, muscle atrophy, ulcers, among others(2).

For early identification of neural damage and determining the DPD, the Ministry of Health (MH) advises the periodic Simplified Neurological Examination (SNE), an examination that comprises the stages of anamnesis, inspection of body sites (face, upper and lower limbs), peripheral nerves palpation/percussion, manual muscle strength test and sensitivity test(3).

However, despite the frequent publication of manuals, guidelines, and national and international protocols to guide health professionals’ conduct regarding disabilities, studies indicate the presence of gaps in the training of Primary Care (PC) professionals in the management of the disease, among them: long and conflicting therapeutic itinerary to identify signs and symptoms(2), unpreparedness to proceed with the evaluation of the DPD(2), and lack of knowledge on the scope of activities related to its prevention and control(4).

These gaps can foster opportunities for the emergence of deficiencies and, therefore, stigmas and prejudices, which limits the performance of activities and restricts the social participation of patients, generating consequences in the economic, social, cultural, and emotional spheres(5).

Understanding that PC should be responsible for the integral care of individuals with leprosy and that the professionals inserted in this context must have adequate knowledge and attitudes in light of the problems that involve the disease, in line with the guidelines proposed by the MH, the construction and validation of an instrument to measure the knowledge and attitude of PC professionals on the evaluation of the DPD of leprosy patients.

OBJECTIVE

To develop and test the content validity of the “Knowledge and Attitudes on Assessing Degrees of Physical Disability in Leprosy” instrument.

METHODS

Ethical aspects

The study was approved by the Ethics and Research Committee of the Health Sciences Center of the Universidade Federal da Paraíba [Federal University of Paraíba], and the participating professionals signed the Free and Informed Consent Term (FICT), complying with the ethical observations contemplated in Resolution No. 466/12 of the National Health Council.

Study design, period and location

Methodological study, using a quantitative approach, carried out between the months of January and May 2019, in João Pessoa, state of Paraíba, Brazil, for the construction and validation of the content of the “Knowledge and Attitudes on Assessing Degrees of Physical Disability in Leprosy” instrument, developed to measure the knowledge and attitude of PC professionals on the evaluation of the DPD of leprosy patients.

Study protocol

The theoretical framework adopted for content validation in this study was the one exemplified by Raymundo(6), which comprises the following steps: item generation, related to the collection of errors for the assembly of the instrument; analysis of redundancy added to the composition, which consists of grouping the errors according to the similarity of the items and development of the instrument; and content validation, to analyze items representativeness by specialists in a given theme.

In the first stage, which corresponds to the generation of items, the literature was looked at for publications that dealt with the evaluation of the DPD of leprosy patients and opting to build the instrument based on the information contained in the SNE form available in the current MH guidelines on the disease, “Practical guide on leprosy”, designed to assist health professionals in its confrontation throughout the Brazilian territory(7).

In the subsequent stage, analysis of redundancy added to the composition, the items of the instrument were formulated with a dichotomous question on the knowledge of the instrument, with the possibility of answering “yes” or “no”; four multiple-choice questions addressing clinical cases and 27 questions with a three-point Likert scale, which allows respondents to express their degree of agreement with a statement, presenting sufficient response options and requiring little response time(8).

The items were grouped into two constructs, “knowledge” and “attitudes”, which covered two dimensions, SNE and DPD, totaling 33 questions. The concepts of knowledge and attitudes adopted for the construction of the items were: “remembering specific aspects or the ability to apply specific aspects to solve problems” and “essentially, having opinions”, respectively(9).

It is emphasized that, in order to avoid the appearance of the acquiescence effect, that is, a tendency towards affirmative answers in questions with which one does not agree or disagree, it was decided to insert negative items into the instrument(10).

In the third and final stage, pertaining content validation, a body of judges was selected by evaluating their curriculum at the Lattes Platform, of the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development, with 43 curriculums using the “leprosy”, “health professionals” and “minimum master’s degree” filters, applying the following eligibility criteria: minimum experience of five years in the area and authorship of at least two scientific articles on the subject in the last five years(10).
Using the Delphi technique, delimited by two rounds of evaluation, an e-mail was sent to the judges (first Delphi stage), containing an invitation letter with guidelines to participate in the research, the first version of the instrument “Knowledge and Attitudes on Assessing Degrees of Physical Disability in Leprosy” and the FICT, establishing a 30-day period to return the instrument.

The judges were instructed to analyze the items of the instrument as to relevance (they are important and consistent with the attribute of measuring knowledge and attitudes) and clarity (they are understandable, unambiguous, and with easy expressions, with coherence between the questions), being ordered on a Likert scale: 1 - not relevant/clear; 2 - little relevance/clarity; 3 - relevant/clear; and 4 - very relevant/clear. In addition, there was room to suggest modifications/reformulations.

In all, 13 judges signed the FICT and responded to the instrument. Considering that this quantity was higher than that established as ideal by Pasquale to compose a sample of evaluators, that is, between six and ten specialists, the validation process moved forward.

After receiving the instruments, appropriate modifications were made according to judges’ orientations, and the second version of the instrument (second Delphi stage) was sent back to the judges, adopting the same evaluation criteria (clarity and relevance), with a deadline of 15 days for reassessment. A total of 11 judges participated in the second evaluation round.

Analysis of results and statistics

The data were analyzed using the Content Validity Index (CVI), which measured the percentage of judges who agreed on the items of the instrument. The items that were evaluated and received a score of “1” or “2” were either reviewed or excluded from the instrument, with the calculation of the CVI performed using the sum of the scores “3” and “4” of each judge in each instrument item, dividing the result by the total number of responses. Thus, the items that obtained an agreement level greater than or equal to 0.80 remained, that is, the answers that obtained a frequency equal to or greater than 80%. After the second evaluation round, it was decided to increase the agreement rate as acceptable to 0.90, with the purpose of increasing reliability, in view of the reduction of the sample.

Aiming to measure the intensity of agreement between the judges, the Kappa Index (K) was used, considering less than 0 - no agreement; 0 to 0.19 - poor; 0.20 to 0.39 - reasonable; 0.40 to 0.59 - moderate; 0.60 to 0.79 - substantial; 0.80 to 1.00 - excellent/almost perfect.

RESULTS

The body of 13 judges was composed predominantly of females (84.6%), over 50 years of age (69.2%), experience in the field for more than 20 years (69.2%), doctorate degree (76.9%), and authorship of scientific articles related to the theme (100%), with nine nurses, two occupational therapists, a physiotherapist, and a doctor.

Regarding the analysis of the items’ clarity and relevance, Tables 1 and 2 show the CVI values obtained in the first Delphi evaluation step, with relevance ≥ 0.80 for all items, except 2.8, which was excluded. To make the instrument clearer, the item sequence was renumbered from one to 32; items 2.6, 3.1, and 15 were reformulated; and the others underwent modifications, with the exception of items 10 and 13.

Table 1 – Judges’ assessment regarding the criteria of clarity and relevance of the questions related to knowledge, according to the content validity index in the first Delphi stage (N = 13), João Pessoa, Paraíba, Brazil, 2019

| Questions pertaining to knowledge | First Delphi Stage Clarity Relevance |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Are you familiar with or have you heard about the simplified neurological examination form? | 0.69 1 |
| 2. Regarding the simplified neurological evaluation, please check disagree, don’t know, or agree on the statements below: | |
| 2.1 It is used to assist in diagnosing leprosy. | 0.92 1 |
| 2.2 It makes it possible to monitor the treatment of neuritis. | 0.84 1 |
| 2.3 It allows to classify the degree of physical disability of the individual. | 0.92 1 |
| 2.4 It must be performed in the craniocaudal sequence. | 0.69 0.84 |
| 2.5 It is recommended every two months during treatment if the individual does not report complaints. | 0.69 1 |
| 2.6 The ballpoint pen can replace the Semmes-Weinstein monofilaments in the assessment of sensitivity in hands and feet. | 0.77 0.92 |
| 2.7 The eye sensitivity test can be performed without dental floss. | 0.77 0.92 |
| 2.8 Anhidrosis can be observed by finding “islets” in dry areas. | 0.69 0.69 |
| 2.9 Septum dryness, wound, and perforation are items evaluated in the nose. | 0.84 1 |
| 2.10 The median, ulnar, radial, tibial, and sciatic nerves should be investigated during the palpation stage. | 0.46 1 |
| 2.11 The eye closing strength should be verified during eye evaluation. | 0.61 1 |
| 2.12 Muscle strength can be graded from 0 to 5, where 0 corresponds to muscle contraction without movement; and 5, the ability to perform the complete movement against gravity, with resistance. | 0.46 1 |

3. Regarding the degree of physical disability, please indicate disagree, don’t know, or agree on the statements below:

3.1 Indicates the loss of protective sensitivity and/or visible deformity as a result of neural injury and/or blindness. | 0.46 1 |
| 3.2 It should be performed only at the time of diagnosis and of discharge due to cure. | 0.92 1 |
Table 1

| Questions pertaining to knowledge                                                                 | First Delphi Stage Clarity | CVI* | CVI* |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|------|
| 3.3 When assessing body sites, the lowest degree of disability obtained on each side of the body should prevail. | 0.61                        | 1    |      |
| [ ] Disagree [ ] Don't know [ ] Agree                                                           |                             |      |      |
| 3.4 In the presence of nerve thickening, the individual must be classified as grade 0 of physical disability. | 0.76                        | 1    |      |
| [ ] Disagree [ ] Don't know [ ] Agree                                                           |                             |      |      |
| 3.5 At degree 0 of physical disability, the individual has preserved sensitivity to the 2g monofilament (lilac). | 0.84                        | 1    |      |
| [ ] Disagree [ ] Don't know [ ] Agree                                                           |                             |      |      |
| 3.6 In degree 1 of physical disability, signs of conditions such as lagophthalmos, entropion, entropion and/or trichiasis are present in the eyes. | 0.84                        | 1    |      |
| [ ] Disagree [ ] Don't know [ ] Agree                                                           |                             |      |      |
| 3.7 Cases with decreased corneal sensitivity should be classified as degree 1 physical disability. | 0.92                        | 1    |      |
| [ ] Disagree [ ] Don't know [ ] Agree                                                           |                             |      |      |
| 3.8 Individuals who have a mobile claw-like hand must be classified as grade 2 of physical disability. | 0.92                        | 1    |      |
| [ ] Disagree [ ] Don't know [ ] Agree                                                           |                             |      |      |
| 4. J. K. F., 60 years old, male, arrived at the dermatology clinic of the hospital with ten hypochromic and infiltrated lesions, measuring between 10 and 15 cm. Upon a face inspection, he had no complaints in the nose area, but a decrease in corneal sensitivity was found in the right eye. Upon palpation of the nerve trunks, no abnormalities were observed. Upon examination of strength, a degree of normal strength was verified for all movements of the upper limbs (abduction of the fifth finger, abduction of the thumb and of the wrist extension); and decreased strength of the left lower limb movements (hallux extension and ankle dorsiflexion). Upon inspection of the hands and feet, a left plantar ulcer was observed. The sensitivity test presented the following monofilament color scheme: |
| 0.46                                                                                           | 1                            |      |      |

Based on this information, what is this individual's degree of disability?  
[ ] degree 0  [ ] degree 1  [ ] degree 2

5. P. G. R., 20 years old, male, arrived at the hospital dermatology clinic presenting a high and totally anesthetic lesion. While evaluating his face, dryness in the nose and absence of ocular complaints was noted. During palpation of the nerve trunks, it was found that only the median nerve of the right upper limb was thickened and painful. Upon strength examination, a normal degree was found for all movements of the upper and lower limbs (abduction of the fifth finger, abduction of the thumb and wrist extension, hallux extension and ankle dorsiflexion). The sensitivity test presented the following monofilament color scheme:  

To be continued
remained above the proposed, such suggestions were not accepted. However, by arguing that the inclusion of this affirmative format would contribute to the clarity and relevance of the questions related to attitudes, according to the Content Validity Index in the first Delphi stage (N = 13), João Pessoa, Paraíba, Brazil, 2019.

After the second Delphi stage of instrument evaluation, all items obtained a CVI above the recommended agreement rate (0.90). In this step, in addition to the CVI, the Kappa Index was also calculated between the two assessments performed by each judge, presenting an excellent/almost perfect classification, that is, between 0.80 and 1.00 for both constructs (Table 3).

Table 2 – Judges’ assessment regarding the criteria of clarity and relevance of the questions related to attitudes, according to the Content Validity Index in the first Delphi stage (N = 13), João Pessoa, Paraíba, Brazil, 2019

| Variables | Second Delphi Stage | Clarity | Relevance |
|-----------|---------------------|---------|-----------|
| 8. Performing the simplified neurological evaluation and determining leprosy patients’ degree of physical disability is part of my assignment. | 0.38 | 1 |
| 9. Performing a simplified neurological evaluation of patients with leprosy is important to support health care planning. | 0.45 | 1 |
| 10. How do you assess your ability to carry out the leprosy patient’s anamnesis through simplified neurological evaluation? | 0.92 | 1 |
| 11. How do you assess your ability to perform nerve palpation on a leprosy patient through simplified neurological evaluation? | 0.76 | 1 |
| 12. How do you assess your ability to assess leprosy patients’ sensitivity through simplified neurological evaluation? | 0.84 | 1 |
| 13. How do you assess your ability to assess leprosy patients’ muscle strength through simplified neurological evaluation? | 0.92 | 1 |
| 14. Performing the simplified neurological evaluation of patients with leprosy in the diagnosis, every three months, at discharge for cure and/or whenever there are complaints is: | 0.53 | 0.92 |
| 15. Failure to perform the simplified neurological evaluation, at least twice, causes disabilities/joinances to users with leprosy. | 0.38 | 0.84 |

Note: *CVI = Content Validity Index.

Chart 1 shows the items that needed to be modified/reformulated, as well as the requirements related to the problem and suggestions for improvement and/or reformulation.

After the second Delphi stage of instrument evaluation, all items obtained a CVI above the recommended agreement rate (0.90). In this step, in addition to the CVI, the Kappa Index was also calculated between the two assessments performed by each judge, presenting an excellent/almost perfect classification, that is, between 0.80 and 1.00 for both constructs (Table 3).

Chart 1 – Judges’ suggestions regarding the items considered for modification (N = 13), João Pessoa, Paraíba, Brazil, 2019

| Item | Assessed requirements that needed changing | Judges’ suggestions |
|------|------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1   | Clarity                                  | Removing “have you heard” and replace it by “for leprosy provided by the Ministry of Health” |
| 2.1-2.12 | Clarity | Insert the term “Simplified Neurological Evaluation” |
| 2.2 | Clarity | Add “and perform the reaction diagnosis” |
| 2.3 | Clarity | Add at the end of the item “proposed by the World Health Organization” |
| 2.4 | Clarity | Replace “caudal” with “podal” and insert “head, upper and lower limbs” |
| 2.6 | Clarity | Rephrase the affirmation |
| 2.7 | Clarity | Add “no flavor” |
| 2.11 | Clarity | Modify “strength of closing the eyes” by “occlusion of the eyelids with and without force” |
| 2.12 | Clarity | Replace numerical degrees with nominal terms |
| 3.1 | Clarity | Rephrase the affirmation |
| 3.2-3.8 | Clarity | Insert the term “Degree of Disability proposed by the World Health Organization” |
| 3.2 | Clarity | Modify “accomplished” by “determined” |
| 3.3 | Clarity | Modify “sites” by “segments” and “prevail” for “being noted” |
| 3.4 | Clarity | Include “only” |
| 3.5 | Clarity | Replace “2 g (lilac)” with “0.05 g (green)” |
| 3.6 | Clarity | Replace “signs are present in the eyes” with “eyes may present signs” |
| 3.7 | Clarity | Include “at least” |
| 3.8 | Clarity | Add “in one or both hands” |
| 4-7 | Clarity | Review affirmations, add “patient”, “diagnosed with leprosy”, “right and left sides in the images, the answer option “I don’t know” and the phrase “the sensitivity test is shown in the figure below according to the color scheme of the monofilaments at each assessed point:” |
| 8, 9, 14-15 | Clarity | Replace “user” with “patient” |
| 8 | Clarity | Add “from the World Health Organization” |
| 11 | Clarity | Replace “nervous” with “peripheral nerves” |
| 12 | Clarity | Add “eyes, hands, and feet” |
| 14 | Clarity | Add “disease-related” |
| 15 | Clarity | Rephrase affirmation |
The DPD evaluation is used to compose operational indicators of the Ministry of Health that monitor the progress of leprosy elimination as a public health problem, constituting an important tool to determine the early diagnosis, the success of activities that aim to interrupt the disease transmission chain and the risk of developing disabilities\(^{(10)}\).

In the “attitude” construct, only item 15 was reformulated, given that it mistakenly stated that failure to perform SNE could cause disabilities for patients, when in fact the neural monitoring performed through SNE can prevent the onset of deficiencies/injuries.

It is recommended that this monitoring be performed at the beginning of therapy, every three months during treatment in the absence of complaints, whenever there are complaints related to the disease, in the control of patients using corticosteroids, in reaction states and neuritis, at discharge of treatment and in the postoperative period of neural decompression\(^{(10)}\).

In addition, the replacement of some terms within the instrument was requested, as in items 2.4, in which the term “caudal” was changed to “podal” in line with the last published guideline\(^{(13)}\); 2.12, in which the numerical graduation was changed to nominal, as the nominal terms are of greater domain and use by doctors and nurses in their practice; and eight, nine, 14, and 15, in which the term “user” was replaced by “patient”, due to its little use in the Brazilian Portuguese language.

With regard to the clinical cases included in the instrument, to avoid uncertainties regarding the side of the body corresponding to each figure, the letters “D” (for right) and “E” (for left) were inserted above each figure, making the exposed hypothetical situation clearer.

It is worth highlighting the importance of including clinical cases in an instrument that assesses the level of knowledge of professionals about a disease, given the capacity of these cases to assist the professional in the development of clinical reasoning, which is fundamental for diagnostic and therapeutic decision-making\(^{(20)}\).

The identification of characteristic signs and symptoms of leprosy allows professionals to distinguish their clinical forms – namely: undetermined, tuberculoid, dimorphic, and dichotomous -, contributing to the effectiveness of early diagnosis and appropriate treatment of patients, strategies that are essential to prevent the evolution of the disease\(^{(21)}\).

In view of the above, considering that the content and format of most items of the instrument were modified/reformulated through the contributions of experts, which allowed for reflection and deepening on the theme, the importance of carrying out studies of this nature is highlighted to create instruments that favor the implementation of improvements in the quality of patient care.

**DISCUSSION**

Considered fundamental for the development and adaptation of measurement instruments, content validation represents the initial procedure of association between abstract concepts and measurable indicators, referring to the degree to which the content of an instrument adequately reflects the construct that is being measured\(^{(15-16)}\).

During the process of validating an instrument, specialists in the area of interest are selected to make judgment of the items as to clarity and relevance\(^{(17)}\); and, in the case of this study, the judges’ vast experience, both in leprosy clinical management and in the conduct of studies, resulting in publications in national and international journals, contributed to ensuring a safe validation of the instrument.

In the first Delphi assessment stage, the exclusion of item 2.8 was requested, which discussed the observation of anhidrosis by finding dry area “islets” from the patient’s skin. This item was excluded considering the fact that, despite the change in sweat function being a characteristic clinical manifestation of leprosy, it is not specifically addressed in the SNE form\(^{(3)}\) and could confuse respondents.

With regard to the reformulations, item 2.6 of the “knowledge” construct was restructured, since the assessment of hand and foot sensitivity must be carried out primarily using the Semmes-Weinstein monofilaments; and, only in the absence of these, can the pressure of the weight of the tip of a ballpoint pen be used.

The sensitivity assessment test is performed with six nylon (0.05 g, 0.2 g, 2 g, 4 g, 10 g, and 300 g) baseline tactile Semmes-Weinstein monofilaments at specific points on the hands and feet, and its use is recommended due to its low cost, being easy to handle, and good reliability for early detection of nervous function disorders\(^{(18)}\).

A comparative study between the skin sensitivity test with the monofilaments and the hand and feet nerve conduction examination concluded that there is a correlation between the neurophysiological findings and the clinical criteria obtained with the monofilaments, pointing out their efficiency in the detection and monitoring of neural changes\(^{(16)}\).

Still within the “knowledge” construct, item 3.1 was reformulated to clarify that the DPD proposed by the WHO assesses the existence of loss of protective sensitivity and/or changes in muscle strength and/or visible deformity and/or blindness as a result of neural injury.

According to the signs and symptoms presented in the eyes, hands and feet, the DPD can vary from 0 to 2, being classified as 0 when the individual does not present changes in these body segments; 1 when there is a decrease and/or loss of muscle strength and sensitivity; and 2, in the presence of visible deformities due to leprosy\(^{(3)}\).

**Study limitations**

As a limitation, it is worth noting: the reduced number of judges who agreed to participate, when compared to the number of electronic invitations sent; and the delay in returning the test by some of them, hindering the speed of validation.

**Contributions to the field of nursing, health, or public policy**

The contribution of this study consists of making available an evaluation instrument on the knowledge and attitudes of PC
Disabilities in leprosy: construction and validation of an instrument on professional knowledge and attitudes
Santana EMF, Brito KKG, Andrade SSC, Antas EMV, Nobrega MM, Soares MJG, et al.

professional in light of the disabilities generated by leprosy. It will allow managers to identify gaps in the teaching-learning process of these professionals, subsidizing training planning, which contributes to improving the care provided to individuals with leprosy.

CONCLUSION

In general, the results, that is, CVIs above the recommended agreement rate (> 0.90) and Kappa Index classified as excellent/almost perfect (K = 1.00), indicate that the “Knowledge and Attitudes on Assessing Degrees of Physical Disability in Leprosy” instrument has an excellent content validity, and it can be inferred it is compatible with what it sets out to measure.

In this way, the instrument will allow measuring the scores obtained by professionals inserted in the reality of PC, with regard to the level of knowledge and attitudes that they have on the theme, prior to carrying out educational interventions. Thus, educational strategies can be directed to the greatest deficits found, since it is essential to guide clinical practice to have adequate knowledge and attitudes and in line with what is recommended by the Ministry of Health, being responsible for the comprehensive care of patients.
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