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Abstract. Random matrix theory is used to assess the significance of weak correlations and is well established for Gaussian statistics. However, many complex systems, with stock markets as a prominent example, exhibit statistics with power-law tails, that can be modelled with Lévy stable distributions. Here the derivation of an analytical expression for the spectra of covariance matrices approximated by free Lévy stable random variables is reviewed comprehensively and validated by Monte Carlo simulation.
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1 Introduction

1.1 Historical development

The classical ensembles of random matrices play an important role in the modelling of physical systems, in time series analysis and in other fields. The first notion of a matrix ensemble in statistics was given in the 1920s by Wishart for the purpose of correlation analysis [1]. Physicists began to be interested in random matrices in the 1950s, when Wigner presented a model of nuclear energy levels, measured by neutron and proton scattering, as eigenvalues of symmetric random matrices \( W \) whose elements are random numbers drawn from a Gaussian distribution \( N(0, \sigma^2) \) [2], or actually from any symmetric distribution with a finite second moment [3], even a dichotomous random variable. With increasing matrix size the eigenvalue spectrum converges to the semicircle law,

\[
\rho_W(\lambda) = \frac{4\sigma^2 - \lambda^2}{2\pi\sigma^2}.
\] (1)

Other applications of random matrix theory in physics include classical and quantum chaos, disordered systems, many-body quantum systems, quantum dots, quantum chromodynamics, quantum gravity, supersymmetric field theory, string theory, etc. In 1998 Guhr et al. wrote a review on many of these applications with more than 800 references [4]. In 2003 the Journal of Physics A dedicated a special issue to random matrix theory [5]. A collective book on applications of random matrices in physics was published in 2006 [6]. Random matrices are used in other fields too, e.g. operations research, for as diverse problems as bandwidth efficiency in wireless communication [7,8] or optimal aircraft boarding [9,10].

In correlation analysis the theory of random matrices can be used to assess whether weak correlations are significant or just noise. The mathematical link between correlation matrices of time series and random matrices is the Wishart matrix ensemble, that, together with the Wigner ensemble, is one of the standard tools in the theory of random matrices. Recent introductions to the latter including numerical aspects can be found in references [11,12]. Since the 1990s econophysicists have used random matrix theory for the analysis of correlation in financial time series [13–21]; a major motivation was portfolio selection [22,23], and particular attention was paid to the largest eigenvalues of the covariance matrix and the associated eigenvectors, that correspond to the whole market and its sectors. In the last couple of years random matrix theory was used also for correlation analysis of macroeconomic time series [24,25].

As a curiosity, random matrix theory became such a fashionable subject that the pop-mathematician Charlie Eppes, main character of the contemporary US TV series “Numb3rs” [26], is portrayed as having published his first paper in the American Journal of Mathematics at
the age of 14 with the title *Asymptotics of Hermitian Random Matrices* [27]. Nevertheless, random matrix theory remains a difficult subject for non-specialists.

### 1.2 The Wishart ensemble

Consider \( i = 1, \ldots, N \) stochastic time series \( x_{ij} \) observed at synchronous times \( t_j, \, j = 0, \ldots, T \). The data can be arranged in an \( N \times T \) matrix \( M \) of increments \( m_{ij} = x_{ij} - x_{i,j-1} \), where each row corresponds to a time series and each column to a sampling time. Assuming that the average of the increments is zero, the Pearson estimator for the covariance of two time series \( i \) and \( j \) is

\[
c_{ij} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^{T} m_{ik} m_{jk}. \tag{2}
\]

The covariances of all pairs can be collected in an \( N \times N \) symmetric covariance matrix

\[
C = \frac{1}{T} MM^T, \tag{3}
\]

which is also called Wishart matrix as it was first studied by this author. The product \( MM^T \) without the factor \( 1/T \) is called Gram matrix or, in some applications, e.g. in quantum chemistry, overlap matrix. In some areas of theoretical physics the Wishart ensemble is known as Laguerre or chiral ensemble. Normalising each element \( c_{ij} \) of \( C \) by the product of the standard deviations \( \sigma_i \sigma_j \) of the time series \( i \) and \( j \) (as far as they have a finite second moment) yields the correlation matrix.

One is often interested in testing the hypothesis that there is no significant correlation or dependence among the time series (the latter is a stronger assumption). This can be done by comparing the eigenvalue spectrum of an empirical covariance matrix with the spectrum of a reference matrix built with synthetic uncorrelated or independent time series. If the matrix rows are random walks whose increments are independent and identically distributed (iid) normal deviates with standard deviation \( \sigma \), the spectrum describing the null hypothesis that the time series are independent (and thus uncorrelated) in the limit for \( N, \, T \to \infty \) with \( m = N/T \) is given analytically by the Marčenko-Pastur law [28],

\[
\rho_C(\lambda) = \frac{\sqrt{(\lambda_+ - \lambda)(\lambda - \lambda_-)}}{2\pi \sigma^2 m \lambda}, \tag{4}
\]

\[
\lambda_\pm = \sigma^2 (1 \pm \sqrt{m})^2.
\]

This result has been rediscovered a few times [12,29,30]. Indeed, for a sufficiently large matrix the exact distribution of its elements becomes less and less relevant, and the Marčenko-Pastur law can be obtained for iid increments drawn from any symmetric distribution with a finite second moment \( \sigma^2 \). This effect was evident also in Wigner’s studies of matrices whose elements are binary random variables assuming the values \( \pm 1 \) with equal probability. In both the Wigner and Wishart ensembles the spectra of large matrices converge to that of an infinite matrix (respectively the semicircle law and the Marčenko-Pastur law) as a consequence of a generalised central limit theorem.

### 1.3 Application to finance

In finance the time series \( x_{ij} \) described in the previous section can be interpreted as follows. Let \( S_i(t_j) \) be the price of asset \( i \) at time \( t_j \) in a financial market, e.g. the price of a share in a stock exchange. Then one can identify \( x_{ij} \) with the log-price of share \( i \) at time \( t_j \),

\[
x_{ij} = \log S_i(t_j), \tag{5}
\]

and the increments \( m_{ij} \) with the corresponding log-returns,

\[
m_{ij} = \log \frac{S_i(t_j)}{S_i(t_{j-1})}. \tag{6}
\]

The eigenvalue spectrum of the covariance matrix of log-returns can be compared to a theoretical spectrum such as the one given by equation (4). If the empirical spectrum shows significant differences from the theoretical one, then it may be justified to reject the null hypothesis of independence. The details of the possible hypothesis tests are a separate issue. In principle, it is possible to test not only correlation or independence, but also any kind of suitable assumption leading to a given shape of the expected spectrum, both theoretically and numerically. A suitable null hypothesis can be chosen depending on the specific case. For example, if the considered time series are the log-prices of traded stocks, in a first approximation it is reasonable to test the absence of true correlation with normally distributed log-returns [13,14,31].

Another powerful approach requiring less knowledge on the distribution of the increments is a bootstrap scheme that consists in resampling the covariance matrix after independent random permutations of each empirical time series. Since the independent reshuffling of each row of \( M \) destroys any possible correlation, an absence of correlation among the original time series requires that the eigenvalue spectrum of \( C \) does not change.

The result given by equation (4) lies within classical random matrix theory and requires that the elements of \( M \) are iid with finite moments. In financial applications this model was refined assuming that the variance of each matrix element is a random variable itself following e.g. the multivariate Student distribution [32,33] or the \( \chi^2 \) or the inverse \( \chi^2 \) random variable follows a Student distribution; each case leads to a deviation from the Marčenko-Pastur law with a semi-infinite support, the former two with fat tails, the latter with an exponential decay.

In this paper we are concerned with another natural modification of the Wishart-Gaussian ensemble treated by the Marčenko-Pastur theory: the Wishart-Lévy ensemble, which arises when the elements of \( M \) are distributed with
power-law tails, as happens in numerous physical, biological, and economic data [31], complicating the situation with respect to the simpler Gaussian case. Stock markets as well as many other complex systems often exhibit a dynamics that results in power-law tailed statistics. The Marcenko-Pastur equation is not valid any more when the second moment is infinite, and the corresponding spectral densities cannot be obtained from a simple extension of Gaussian random matrix theory. As a consequence of the central limit theorem for scale-free processes the distribution of many of the above phenomena is usually assumed to be a symmetric Lévy α-stable distribution, whose probability density function (pdf) can be obtained numerically from the inverse Fourier (cosine) transform of its characteristic function,

\[
L_\alpha(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}_\kappa \left[ e^{-|\gamma\kappa|^\alpha} \right](x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-(\gamma\kappa)\alpha} \cos(\kappa x) d\kappa.
\]  

(7)

The second and higher moments of \(L_\alpha(x)\) diverge for \(\alpha < 2\), and for \(\alpha \leq 1\) even the first moment does not exist. If \(\alpha = 2\) equation (7) gives a Gaussian with standard deviation \(\sigma = \sqrt{2\gamma}\). However, we shall see that the functional representation of this distribution is not required in the derivation of the spectrum.

A matrix whose elements are iid samples from a stable density is called a Lévy matrix. A symmetric Lévy matrix is called a Wigner-Lévy matrix. A symmetric matrix \(C\) built from a Lévy matrix \(M\) according to the equation

\[
C = \frac{1}{T^{2/\alpha}} M M^T
\]  

(8)

is called a Wishart-Lévy matrix. Notice that the normalisation factor has been generalised with respect to equation (3) to take into account Lévy \(\alpha\)-stable statistics. Sampling the elements from the probability density function

\[
f_X(x) = N^{2/\alpha} L_\alpha(N^{2/\alpha} x),
\]  

(9)

the limiting spectrum becomes independent of the matrix size \(N\) [36]. It turns out that the spectra of these matrices have no longer a finite support as in the semicircle and Marcenko-Pastur laws and are dominated by the behaviour of the power-law tail of \(L_\alpha(x)\).

It was proposed to use the theory of free probability with its convenient machinery leading to analytic results that could be obtained otherwise only by means of a painful use of combinatorics. A free Lévy stable random matrix has a spectrum belonging to the class of free stable laws. The contemporary physical and mathematical literature on correlation matrix analysis with power-law tailed uncorrelated noise is very active also in the context of free probability. Limiting the list to physics journals, the reader can consult references [37–45]. For a review of free probability theory see reference [46]. The Marcenko-Pastur spectrum can be obtained as a special case of this more general theory.

### 1.4 Paper organisation

Our aim in this paper is to review comprehensively the analytic derivation of the spectral density of free stable Wishart-Lévy random matrices already solved by Burda et al. [37–45] and, as a further step, to validate numerically the analytic result by Monte Carlo simulation. The rest of this paper is organised as follows. Section 2 introduces the mathematical background of free probability theory, whose objects are elements of an algebra, usually an operator algebra, and may enjoy the property of freeness. Section 3 explains free stability and presents an approximation for the Wishart-Lévy covariance matrix of time series using free stable random variables. Section 4 derives in detail a transcendental equation, due to Burda et al., whose solution gives the spectral density for the approximated covariance matrix. Section 5 shows numerically the validity of this equation comparing analytical and Monte Carlo results; together with the computer codes in the Appendix, this is the main novel contribution of the present article. A summary concludes the paper.

### 2 Mathematical background

A symmetric \(N \times N\) matrix \(X\) has real eigenvalues \(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N\). The spectral density of \(X\) can be written as

\[
\rho_X(\lambda) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta(\lambda - \lambda_i),
\]  

(10)

where it is assumed that the weight of each eigenvalue is the same and each eigenvalue is counted as many times as its multiplicity. The resolvent matrix [47] is defined as

\[
G_X(z) = (z1 - X)^{-1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},
\]  

(11)

where \(1\) is the \(N \times N\) identity matrix. The Green function is defined as

\[
G_X(z) = \frac{1}{N} \text{tr} G_X(z),
\]  

(12)

where the trace \(\text{tr}\) of a square matrix is defined as the sum of its diagonal elements. If \(X\) is a random matrix, the above definition is generalised including an expectation operator \(\mathbb{E}\),

\[
G_X(z) = \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}[\text{tr} G_X(z)].
\]  

(13)

The Green function contains the same information as the eigenvalues and the eigenvalue density of \(X\) [15]. The Green function can be written in terms of the eigenvalues of \(X\),

\[
G_X(z) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{z - \lambda_i}. 
\]  

(14)

This is a special case of the definition through the Cauchy transform of a generic spectral density,

\[
G_X(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{z - \lambda} \rho_X(\lambda) d\lambda.
\]  

(15)
By using the following representation of Dirac’s δ-function,
\[
\frac{1}{x \pm i\epsilon} = \text{PV}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \mp i\epsilon\delta(x),
\]
where PV denotes the principal value, the spectral density can be obtained from the Green function,
\[
\rho_X(\lambda) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} -\frac{1}{\pi} \Im[G_X(\lambda - i\epsilon)].
\]
This means that the eigenvalues follow from the discontinuities of \(G_X(z)\) on the real axis.

Equation (17) is the starting point for many exact or approximate analyses leading to analytic results for the spectral density. Bouchaud and Cizeau [15] showed how to derive equation (4) by means of recursion relations and point to Cizeau and Bouchaud’s 1994 paper on Lévy matrices [36]. As mentioned above, here we will present another theoretical framework where calculations are easier and based only on the properties of suitable analytic functions. Indeed, non-commutativity of matrices and, in general, of operators makes it difficult to extend standard probability theory to matrix as well as operators spaces. Among possible extensions of probability theory to operator spaces, the so-called free probability theory has the advantage that many results can be deduced from well-known theorems on analytic functions [44].

In order to explain the framework of free probability, let us start from conventional classical probability. A probability space \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})\) is a measure space, \(\mathbb{P}\) is a σ-algebra on \(\Omega\), and \(\mathbb{P}\) is a measure on \((\Omega, \mathcal{F})\) with image in the real interval \([0,1]\) and obeying Kolmogorov’s axioms [48]; \(\omega \in \Omega\) is called an elementary event, \(A \in \mathcal{F}\) is called an event. A random variable \(X: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}\) is a measurable function that maps elements of the sample space to the real numbers, and thus elements of \(\mathcal{F}\) to elements of a Borel σ-algebra \(\Sigma\) on \(\mathbb{R}\). The probability distribution of \(X\) with respect to \(\mathbb{P}\) is described by a measure \(\mu_X\) on \((\mathbb{R}, \Sigma)\) defined as the image measure of \(\mathbb{P}\): \(\mu_X(B) = \mathbb{P}[X^{-1}(B)]\), where \(B\) is any Borel set and \(X^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{F}\) is the counter-image of \(B\). The cumulative distribution function of \(X\) is \(F_X(x) = \mu_X(\mathbb{R} \leq x)\). The expectation value for any bounded Borel function \(g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) is
\[
\mathbb{E}[g(X)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) \mu_X(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) dF_X(x).
\]
If \(F_X(s)\) is differentiable, the pdf of \(X\) is \(f_X(x) = dF_X(x)/dx\).

This construction can be extended to non-commutative variables, e.g. matrices or more in general operators. Let \(\mathcal{A}\) denote a unital algebra over a field \(\mathbb{F}\), i.e. a vector space equipped with a bilinear product \(\circ : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}\) that has an identity element \(I\). A tracial state on \(\mathcal{A}\) is a positive linear function \(\tau : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{F}\) with the properties \(\tau(I) = 1\) and \(\tau(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}) = \tau(\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{X})\) for every \(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{A}\). The couple \((\mathcal{A}, \tau)\) is called a non-commutative probability space.

For our purposes \(\mathcal{A} = B(\mathcal{H})\), where \(B(\mathcal{H})\) denotes the Banach algebra of linear operators on a real separable Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}\). This is a \(\ast\)-algebra, as it is equipped with an involution (the adjoint operation) \(\mathbf{X} \mapsto \mathbf{X}^\ast : B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{H})\). Considering a self-adjoint operator \(\mathbf{X} \in B(\mathcal{H})\), it is possible to associate a (spectral) distribution to \(\mathbf{X}\) as in classical probability. Thanks to the Riesz representation theorem and the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, there is a unique measure \(\mu_X\) on \((\mathbb{R}, \Sigma)\) satisfying
\[
\int_\mathbb{R} g(x) \mu_X(dx) = \tau[g(X)]
\]
where \(g\) is any bounded Borel function [46,49]; notice that going from the lhs to the rhs of the previous equation, the domain and the codomain of \(g\) are extended from \(\mathbb{R}\) to \(\mathcal{A}\).

Therefore we say that the distribution of \(X\) is described by the measure \(\mu_X\). For our purposes this measure is equal to the spectral density \(\rho_X\) defined in equation (17). In random matrix theory the Wigner semicircle law has the role of the Gaussian law in classical probability, and the Marchenko-Pastur law corresponds to the \(\chi^2\) law.

Classically, independence between two random variables \(X\) and \(Y\) can be defined requiring that for any couple of bounded Borel functions \(f, g\)
\[
\mathbb{E}[(f(X) - \mathbb{E}[f(X)])(g(Y) - \mathbb{E}[g(Y)])] = 0.
\]

Analogously, two elements \(X\) and \(Y\) in a non-commutative probability space are defined as free (of freely) independent with respect to \(\tau\), if for any couple of bounded Borel functions \(f, g\)
\[
\tau[(f(X) - \tau[f(X)])(g(Y) - \tau[g(Y)])] = 0.
\]

Defining freeness between more than two elements is a non-trivial extension [49]. Generally, square \(N \times N\) random matrices \(X\) are non-commutative variables with respect to the function \(\tau(X) = (1/N) \mathbb{E}[\text{tr} X]\), see equation (13), but for any given \(N\) no pair of random matrices is free. Nevertheless two random matrices \(X, Y\) can reach freeness asymptotically if for any integer \(n > 0\) and any set of non-negative integers \((\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)\) and \((\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n)\) for which in the limit \(N \to \infty\)
\[
\tau(X^{\alpha_1}Y^{\beta_1} \cdots X^{\alpha_n}Y^{\beta_n}) = 0.
\]

This means that large random matrices can be good approximations of free non-commutative variables [46].

Given an operator \(X \in B(\mathcal{H})\), the following functions are useful in deriving its spectral distribution \(\mu_X\):

1. **Moment generating function**, defined as
\[
M_X(z) = zG_X(z) - 1.
\]

The name stems from the fact that, if the distribution of \(X\) has finite moments of order \(k\), \(m_{X,k} = \tau(X^k)\),
\[
M_X(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{m_{X,k}}{z^k}.
\]
This can be seen inserting the sum of the geometric series
\[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k = \frac{1}{1-q}, \quad |q| < 1 \quad (26) \]
with \( q = \lambda/z \) into equation (15),
\[
G_{X}(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{z(1-\lambda/z)} \rho_{X}(\lambda) \, d\lambda \\
= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{z} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{\lambda^{k+1}} \rho_{X}(\lambda) \, d\lambda \\
= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^{k+1}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \lambda^k \rho_{X}(\lambda) \, d\lambda \\
= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(X^k)}{z^{k+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{X,k} \frac{1}{z^{k+1}} \quad (27)
\]

2. **R-transform.** In classical probability the pdf of the sum of two independent random variables \( X + Y \) is equal to the convolution of the individual pdfs, i.e.
\[ f_{X+Y}(x) = (f_X * f_Y)(x). \quad (28) \]
The convolution is done conveniently in Fourier space, where it becomes a multiplication: the characteristic function
\[
\hat{f}_{X+Y}(k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{X+Y}(x) e^{ikx} \, dx \quad (29)
\]
of \( X + Y \) is the product of the characteristic functions of \( X \) and \( Y \),
\[
\hat{f}_{X+Y}(k) = \hat{f}_X(k) \hat{f}_Y(k), \quad (30)
\]
and the cumulant generating function of \( X + Y \) is the sum of the cumulant generating functions of \( X \) and \( Y \),
\[
\log \hat{f}_{X+Y}(k) = \log \hat{f}_X(k) + \log \hat{f}_Y(k). \quad (31)
\]
The free analogue of the cumulant generating function is the \( R \)-transform introduced by Voiculescu \[46,50,51\] as part of the functional inverse of the Green function,
\[
G_X \left( R_X(z) + \frac{1}{z} \right) = z. \quad (32)
\]
The \( R \)-transform for the sum of two free operators is the sum of their \( R \)-transforms,
\[
R_{X+Y}(z) = R_X(z) + R_Y(z). \quad (33)
\]
The free analogue of convolution is indicated with the symbol \( \boxplus \),
\[
\mu_{X+Y} = \mu_X \boxplus \mu_Y. \quad (34)
\]
This is computed through \( R_X \), given the connection between the Green function \( G_X \) and the spectral distribution \( \mu_X \). Other definitions of the \( R \)-transform were proposed later.

3. **Blue function.** It is convenient to introduce also an inverse of the Green function \( G_X(z) \), called Blue function as a pun \[52\],
\[
G_X(B_X(z)) = B_X(G_X(z)) = z. \quad (35)
\]
The Blue function is related to the \( R \)-transform by
\[
B_X(z) = R_X(z) + \frac{1}{z}. \quad (36)
\]
4. **S-transform.** In the same fashion as the \( R \)-transform for the sum, another transform allows to compute the spectral distribution of the product of two operators from their individual spectral distributions,
\[
S_X(z) = \frac{1+z}{z} \chi_X(z), \quad (37)
\]
where \( \chi_X(z) \) is defined through
\[
\chi_X(zG_X(z) - 1) = \frac{1}{z}. \quad (38)
\]
For \( X \neq Y \) the \( S \)-transform of the product is the product of the individual \( S \)-transforms:
\[
S_{XY}(z) = S_X(z) S_Y(z). \quad (39)
\]
As the \( R \)-transform allows to compute the free additive convolution \( \boxplus \), the \( S \)-transform leads to the free multiplicative convolution \( \boxtimes \),
\[
\mu_{XY} = \mu_X \boxtimes \mu_Y. \quad (40)
\]

3 Free stable random variables and the Wishart-Lévy ensemble

Let \( P \) be the matrix projector of size \( T \times T \), with \( N \) ones in arbitrary positions on the diagonal and all the other elements zero, e.g.
\[
P = \text{diag}(\ldots, 1, 1, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, \ldots, 0, \ldots). \quad (41)
\]
Let \( A \) be a (large) \( T \times T \) matrix with a free stable spectral distribution. This property is the analogue of classical stability. The sum of two free stable non-commutative \( \mu \)-distributed variables results in a new \( \mu \)-distributed variable. The Wishart matrix ensemble of size \( N \times N \) defined in equation (3) can be approximated using the \( N \times T \) matrix \( M/T^{1/\alpha} \) obtained from \( PA \) if only the \( N \) non-zero rows are considered \[32,37,38,40-45\]. Indicating this operation with curly braces, the approximation reads
\[
C = \frac{1}{T^{2/\alpha}} MM^T \simeq \{PA\}\{A^T P\}. \quad (42)
\]
The former equation is justified by very good results, both analytic and numeric, in a similar approach for Wigner-Lévy matrices \[45\].

Once we know the domain of attraction for one specific classical stable distribution, we can expect that a
sum of iid random numbers, e.g. \( Z = (1/N_a) \sum_{i=1}^{N_a} Z_i \) with some suitable normalisation \( N_a \), converges to their attractor for large \( n \). If \( Z_i \) are independent elements of random matrices, as in reference [13], each of them converges to a stable law under matrix addition. However, for free stability we must consider random matrices as a whole, and a different procedure is needed. A fundamental point is a property discussed by Bercovici and Pata [53], that can be summarised as follows. If \( D_c(\mu_c) \) and \( D_l(\mu_l) \) are the domains of attraction of the stable laws \( \mu_c \) and \( \mu_l \) in classical and free probability respectively, a distribution \( \nu \in D_c(\mu_c) \iff \nu \in D_l(\mu_l) \). In other words, if we are able to recognise the classical attractor \( D_c \) of a distribution \( \nu \), we also know its free attractor \( D_l \). Moreover, one and only one free stable distribution corresponds to any set of parameter values characterising a classically stable distribution. The spectrum of a Wigner-Lévy matrix is symmetric with the same tail index \( \alpha \) of its entries, i.e. it belongs to the domain of attraction of a well-recognised classical stable law. This means that the sum of sufficiently many free non-commutative variables with this spectrum converges to a non-commutative variable with a stable distribution.

Another property discussed in references [46,54,55] can be summarised for our purpose as follows. Considering two \( N \times N \) matrices \( L_i \) and \( L_j \) with \( i \neq j \) and two independent random orthogonal \( N \times N \) matrices \( O_i \) and \( O_j \), the matrices \( O_i L_i O_i^T \) and \( O_j L_j O_j^T \) are free in the limit \( N \to \infty \). These properties together with the observation that \( L_i \) and \( O_i L_i O_i^T \) have the same spectrum justify the equation [45]

\[
\Lambda \simeq \frac{1}{(TR)^{1/\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^{R} O_i L_i O_i^T. \tag{43}
\]

This means that a free stable non-commutative variable can be approximated adding randomly rotated classical Lévy random matrices.

To generate Lévy matrices we use the Chambers-Mallows-Stuck algorithm [56,57]: a random number \( X \) drawn from the symmetric Lévy \( \alpha \)-stable pdf, equation (7), can be obtained from two independent uniform random numbers \( U, V \in (0, 1) \) through the transformation

\[
X = \gamma \left( -\log U \cos \Phi \right)^{1/\alpha} \sin(\alpha \Phi) / \cos \Phi, \tag{44}
\]

where \( \Phi = \pi(V-1/2) \). For \( \alpha = 2 \) equation (44) reduces to \( X = 2\gamma \sqrt{-\log U \sin \Phi} \), i.e. the Box-Muller method [58] for Gaussian deviates with standard deviation \( \sigma = \sqrt{2\gamma} \).

The QR-decomposition of a \( T \times T \) matrix \( H \) with random Gaussian entries yields

\[
H = O U, \tag{45}
\]

where \( O \) is random orthogonal and \( U \) is upper (or right) triangular. For alternative methods to obtain a random orthogonal matrix see reference [59] and references therein.

### 4 The analytical spectrum

The moment generating function of the \( T \times T \) matrix \( D = \Delta \Pi \Pi^T \) satisfies the transcendental equation [37,38,40,44]

\[
- \exp \left( \frac{2\pi}{\alpha} \right) z M_D^{|\alpha/2|}(z) = (M_D(z) + 1)(M_D(z) + m), \tag{46}
\]

which can be solved analytically for a few special values of \( \alpha = 1/4, 1/3, 1/2, 2/3, 3/4, 1, 4/3, 3/2, 2 \); the solution was published for \( \alpha = 1 \) [38]. The equation can be solved numerically for other values, see the Appendix. Actually, we are interested in the spectrum of the approximation of \( C \) provided by the rhs of equation (42), but the Green functions of the matrices \( D \) and \( C \) are related by the equation [44]

\[
G_D(z) = m z \frac{1}{(m z)^2} G_C(m z) + 1 - m z^{-1}, \tag{47}
\]

whence, noticing that \( m G_C(m z) = G_C(z) \),

\[
M_D(z) = z G_D(z) - 1 = m z G_C(z) - m = m M_C(z). \tag{48}
\]

In the following we will explain in detail the route that leads to equation (46) and then to the desired spectral density \( \rho_C(\lambda) \).

In classical probability, stable laws have an analytic form for their Fourier transform; similarly, free stable laws have an analytic form for their Blue transform \[45,49,53,60],

\[
B_\Lambda(z; \alpha) = a + bz^{\alpha-1} + \frac{1}{z}. \tag{49}
\]

The parameter \( a \) accounts for a horizontal shift in the distribution of the matrix elements and can be set to zero without loss of generality. The parameter \( b \) depends on the distribution; for the symmetric Lévy \( \alpha \)-stable pdf, equation (7), it has the value [40]

\[
b = e^{i\pi(\alpha/2-1)}. \tag{50}
\]

As discussed in the previous section, given an index \( \alpha \in (0, 2) \), \( B_\Lambda(z; \alpha) \) indirectly but precisely defines the attractor law for the sum of free variables with \( \alpha \)-tailed spectral distribution. Since free probability theory is exact only in the large size limit \( T, N \to \infty \), \( N/T = m \), the only variables that define the model are \( \alpha \) and \( m \).

Rewriting equation (49) with \( G_\Lambda(z) \) in place of \( z \) and using equation (35) yields

\[
b G_\Lambda^{\alpha-1}(z) + G_\Lambda^{-1}(z) = z, \tag{51}
\]

which is equivalent to

\[
b G_\Lambda^{\alpha}(z) + z G_\Lambda(z) + 1 = 0, \quad G_\Lambda(z) \neq 0. \tag{52}
\]

In Section 2 we listed calculation rules with the help of which the solution of our specific problem can be put together step by step. First notice that thanks to equation (39), if for simplicity from now on we substitute
\[ \Lambda \text{ with its symmetrised counterpart } (\Lambda + \Lambda^T)/2 \text{ so that } \Lambda = \Lambda^T, \]
\[ S_{\Lambda \Lambda} = S_{\Lambda}^2 S_{\Lambda^P} = S_{\Lambda} S_{\Lambda^P} = S_{\Lambda^P \Lambda} = S_{\Lambda^P}. \]  
(53)

For the S-transform of the matrix product \( \Lambda^2 \) we also require the Green function. The desired relation is a consequence of the fact that the spectral measure for free Lévy \( \alpha \)-stable operators in the Wigner ensemble is symmetric [52],
\[ \rho_\Lambda(\lambda) = \rho_\Lambda(-\lambda) \]  
(54)
\[ G_\Lambda(z) = G_{-\Lambda}(z). \]  
(55)

The Green function of \( \Lambda^2 \) can be expressed in terms of the Green function of \( \Lambda \) exploiting the Cauchy transform representation and the previous symmetry:
\[ G_{\Lambda^2}(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{z - \lambda} \rho_\Lambda(\lambda) \, d\lambda \]
\[ = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{z}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}-\lambda} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}+\lambda} \right) \right] \rho_\Lambda(\lambda) \, d\lambda \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{z}} (G_\Lambda(\sqrt{z}) + G_{-\Lambda}(\sqrt{z})) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} G_\Lambda(\sqrt{z}). \]  
(56)

The next step in obtaining equation (46) is the S-transform of the projector \( P \), which requires its Green function too. Inserting the spectral density of \( P \),
\[ \rho_\Lambda(\lambda) = m\delta(\lambda-1) + (1-m)\delta(\lambda), \]  
(57)
into the definition of the Green function of \( P \) as a Cauchy transform yields
\[ G_\Lambda(z) = \int \frac{1}{z - \lambda} \rho_\Lambda(\lambda) \, d\lambda \]
\[ = \int \frac{1}{z - \lambda} [m\delta(\lambda-1) + (1-m)\delta(\lambda)] \, d\lambda \]
\[ = \frac{m}{z} + \frac{1 - m}{z}. \]  
(58)

The moment generating function \( M_P(z) = zG_P(z) - 1 \) and the definition of the S-transform finally give
\[ S_P(z) = \frac{z + 1}{z + m}. \]  
(59)

Rewriting equation (52) with \( \sqrt{z} \) in place of \( z \),
\[ bG_{\Lambda^2}^{\sqrt{z}} - \sqrt{z}G_{\Lambda^2}^{\sqrt{z}} + 1 = 0, \]  
(60)
and inserting equation (56) yields
\[ b z^{\alpha/2} G_{\Lambda^2}(z) - z G_{\Lambda^2}(z) + 1 = 0. \]  
(61)

Observing that from equation (38)
\[ z = \frac{1}{\chi_{\Lambda^2}(zG_{\Lambda^2}(z) - 1)} \equiv \frac{1}{\chi_{\Lambda^2}}. \]  
(62)
equation (61) becomes
\[ b \chi_{\Lambda^2}^{-\alpha/2} G_{\Lambda^2}^{\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{\chi_{\Lambda^2}} \right) - \frac{1}{\chi_{\Lambda^2}} G_{\Lambda^2} \left( \frac{1}{\chi_{\Lambda^2}} \right) + 1 = 0. \]  
(63)

Because from equation (37) it follows that
\[ \frac{1}{\chi_{\Lambda^2}} G_{\Lambda^2} \left( \frac{1}{\chi_{\Lambda^2}} \right) - 1 = z, \]  
(64)
equation (63) can be simplified to
\[ b \chi_{\Lambda^2}^{-\alpha/2} G_{\Lambda^2}^{\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{\chi_{\Lambda^2}} \right) = z. \]  
(65)

Multiplying both sides by \( \chi_{\Lambda^2}^{-\alpha/2}/b \) yields
\[ \chi_{\Lambda^2}^{-\alpha/2} G_{\Lambda^2}^{\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{\chi_{\Lambda^2}} \right) = \frac{z}{b} \chi_{\Lambda^2}^{-\alpha/2}; \]  
(66)
then subtracting and adding 1,
\[ \left( \frac{1}{\chi_{\Lambda^2}} G_{\Lambda^2} \left( \frac{1}{\chi_{\Lambda^2}} \right) - 1 + 1 \right)^\alpha = \frac{z}{b} \chi_{\Lambda^2}^{-\alpha/2}, \]  
(67)
and inserting again equation (64) gives
\[ (z + 1)^\alpha = \frac{z}{b} \chi_{\Lambda^2}^{-\alpha/2}, \]  
(68)
which can be written as
\[ \chi_{\Lambda^2} = \frac{1}{(z + 1)^2} \left( \frac{z}{b} \right)^{2/\alpha}. \]  
(69)

Now, using the definition of the S-transform and the result
\[ S_{\Lambda^2} = \frac{1 + z}{z} \chi_{\Lambda^2} = \frac{1}{z(1 + z)} \left( \frac{z}{b} \right)^{2/\alpha}, \]  
(70)
which can be used to write \( S_D \), the S-transform of the Wishart matrix on the rhs of equation (42) is
\[ S_{\Lambda^2} = S_{\Lambda^2} \cdot S_D = \frac{1}{z(m + z)} \left( \frac{z}{b} \right)^{2/\alpha}. \]  
(71)

This result is the starting point for the way back. Re-applying the definition of the S-transform we can write
\[ \chi_{\Lambda^2}^{\alpha} P = \frac{z}{z + 1} S_{\Lambda^2} \cdot P = \frac{1}{(z + 1)(z + m)} \left( \frac{z}{b} \right)^{2/\alpha} \]  
(72)
and
\[ \chi_{\Lambda^2}^{-1} P = (z + 1)(z + m) \left( \frac{z}{b} \right)^{-2/\alpha}. \]  
(73)

Together with \( M_D(z) = z G_{\Lambda^2}(z) - 1 \) this allows to substitute \( \chi_D^\alpha(M_D(z)) = 1/z \) and \( M_D(1/Y_D(z)) = z \). Notice that we changed the index \( \Lambda^2 \cdot P \) to \( D \) to emphasise our goal. So we can finally write
\[ z = (M_D(z) + 1)(M_D(z) + m) \left( \frac{M_D(z)}{b} \right)^{-2/\alpha}. \]  
(74)
Inserting equation (48) yields the corresponding equation for $C$,

$$z = (m \lambda C(z) + 1)(m \lambda C(z) + m) \left( \frac{m \lambda C(z)}{b} \right)^{-2/\alpha};$$

(75)

obtaining $m$,

$$z = m^{2-2/\alpha}(M C(z) + 1/m)(M C(z) + 1) \left( \frac{M C(z)}{b} \right)^{-2/\alpha}.$$  

(76)

From equation (24) and from the relation between the moment generating function and the spectrum we finally obtain

$$\rho(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} [M C(\lambda + i0^-)].$$

(77)

Inserting $b$ from equation (50) and rearranging, equation (74) takes the form anticipated in equation (46). Returning to the motivation of the paper, the result described by equation (76) must be considered an approximation of the curve corresponding to the null hypothesis of absence of correlation in time series with heavy-tailed increments of infinite variance.

5 Monte Carlo validation

It has already been shown numerically that the theory works in the Wigner-Lévy ensemble [45]. For the Wishart-Lévy case we produced free Lévy stable random matrices $A$ of size $T \times T$ through equation (43): a $N \times N$ principal minor of $AA^T$ is a free Wishart-Lévy matrix $C$ with the desired asymmetry ratio $m = N/T \leq 1$. Such a minor results from the action of the projectors $P_i$ in equation (42). Since a square matrix of size $T$ contains $n = [T/N]$ non-overlapping principal minors of size $N \leq T$, this procedure can be repeated for the same matrix $A$ with different projectors $P_i$, where $i = 1, \ldots, n$ labels the projector that selects the rows from $(i-1)N + 1$ to $iN$. Especially if $m$ is small, it is computationally favourable to follow closely equation (42) by first building an $N \times T$ matrix $M_i = (P_i A)$ made of $N$ rows out of $A$, and then forming the product $C_t = M_t M_t^T$. The eigenvalues of $C_t$ are accumulated in a histogram that gives the spectrum. This procedure is repeated producing enough matrices $C_t$ until the desired statistical accuracy is reached. All plots in figure 1 have been made using an equal number of eigenvalues for the sake of comparability. Free stable laws as defined by the Blue function have already been made using a Monte Carlo scheme does not symmetrise the matrix $A$ obtained from equation (43) and matches the analytic spectrum.

6 Summary

We have explained the justification as well as the mathematical basis with which free probability theory enters random matrix theory, in particular in the context of the Wishart matrix ensemble. Since the derivation of the analytic solution for the spectra of free stable random matrices has not been published in a self-contained way yet [37,38,40,44,45], we recollected it in detail. Then we validated numerically with Monte Carlo calculations the analytic prediction of the eigenvalue spectrum for free stable Wishart-Lévy matrices. Overall we find an excellent consistency between theory and simulation.

We are thankful to Maciej Nowak, Jerzy Jurkiewicz and Giulia Iori for useful discussions. E.S. and D.F. have been supported by an Italian research grant PRIN 2006. Visits of E.S. in Marburg were funded through a grant by Eastern Piedmont University. The stay of M.P. in Marburg was supported by two DAAD grants.

Appendix A: Computer codes

The numerical solution of equations (76, 77) was computed with Mathematica 6.0 in almost one line:

$$\alpha = 3/2;$$
$$m = 1/3;$$
$$width = 0.01;$$
$$\lambda_{\text{max}} = 5;$$
$$\text{SOL} := 2;$$
$$\rho = \text{Table}[[\lambda, N[\text{Im}[M_.NSolve]-\text{Exp}[i2\pi/\alpha]M^2/\alpha \lambda]] = n^{2-2/\alpha}(M+1/m)(M+1), M[[[\text{SOL}]])/(\pi \lambda)],$$
$$\{\lambda, \text{width}, \lambda_{\text{max}}, \text{width}\};$$
$$\text{ListPlot}[\text{Abs}[\rho]].$$

The constant SOL is a positive integer that indicates which of the possible solutions to pick. A value of $\alpha$ not expressed as a fraction of integers causes a dramatic increase in running time, which otherwise is less than a minute.

The Monte Carlo approximation of a free stable random matrix $A$ described in Section 3, its use to build a free Wishart-Lévy matrix $C$, and the numerical computation of the eigenvalue spectrum of the latter including the
Fig. 1. (Color online) Spectral densities from the numerical solution of the analytic equation (solid lines) and from Monte Carlo simulation (stairs). In each case the dimension of $C$ is $N = 200$, the number of addends in equation (43) is $R = 20$, and the number of sampled eigenvalues is $S = 36\,000$.

statistical averaging described in Section 5 were carried out with MATLAB 7.5:

```matlab
alpha = 3/2; % index of Levy stable distribution
gam = 1; % scale parameter of Levy stable distribution
width = .05; % bin width of eigenvalue histogram
N = 200; % number of time series
T = 600; % points in each time series; must be >> N.
R = 20; % random rotations
S = 36000; % number of sampled eigenvalues
psi = (T*R*gamma(1+alpha))^(2/alpha); % normalisation factor
rho = []; % set up array of eigenvalues
iS = 0; % initialise normalisation counter

while (iS < S)
    % approximation of a free stable matrix
    L = stabrnd(alpha,0,gam,0,T,T);
    for i = 2:N
        [O,U] = qr(randn(T,T)); % O is a random orthogonal matrix
        L = L + O*stabrnd(alpha,0,gam,0,T,T)*O';
    end
    % average over covariance matrices
    for i = 1:N:T-N+1
        Mi = L(i:i+N-1,:); % choose N out of T rows from L
        Ci = Mi*Mi'/psi; % normalisation
        rho = [rho eig(Ci)']; % collect the eigenvalues
        iS = iS + N;
        if (iS >= S)
            break;
        end
    end
    Ci = Mi*Mi'/psi; % normalisation
    rho = [rho eig(Ci)']; % collect the eigenvalues
    iS = iS + N;
    if (iS >= S)
        break;
    end
end

[histrho lrho] = hist(rho,0:width:100); % build the histogram
histrho = histrho/(length(rho)*width) % normalisation
lrho contains the abscissa and histrho the ordinate
```

On a 2.2 GHz AMD Athlon 64 X2 “Toledo” Dual-Core with Fedora Core 7 Linux, all the Monte Carlo calculations for Figure 1 together lasted about 6.6 h, ranging from less than 2 min each for $\alpha = 1$, $m = 1$ to about 47 min for $\alpha \neq 1$, $m = 1/6$. The slow step is the approximation of $\Lambda$, i.e. the first for-loop, while the second for-loop with the diagonalisation takes from a maximum of 2.5% of the total time for $\alpha = 1$, $m = 1$ down to 0.25% for $\alpha \neq 1$, $m = 1/6$. This matches the observation, which
we made in the range $N = 10 – 800$ and for the values of $\alpha$, $m$, $R$, $S$ reported in Figure 1, that the CPU time is approximatively proportional to $T^2 = (N/m)^2$ and lower for $\alpha = 1$. In this case, corresponding to the Cauchy distribution, equation (44) reduces to $X = \gamma \tan \phi$, which requires fewer operations than the general formula.
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