Primary central nervous system lymphoma: advances in MRI and PET imaging
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Abstract

Contrast enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (CE-MRI) remains the imaging modality of choice for initial workup, staging, and response assessment after therapy in patients with primary central nervous system lymphoma (PCNSL). While CE-MRI is a sensitive test to detect blood brain barrier (BBB) dysfunction, it does not biologically represent the true tumor burden. Current response assessment criteria relies heavily on two dimensional anatomical measurements on post contrast T1-weighted (T1W) images, as well as pre-contrast T2-weighted (T2W) imaging. Additional MRI features, such as diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) and perfusion weighted imaging, can be routinely obtained at most centers with MRI capabilities. Emerging evidence supports the incorporation of these data to better define tumor physiology and provide additional valuable clinical tools capable of identifying high risk subgroups as well as early predictors of...
response to therapies. Further, novel advanced molecular and pathophysiologic characterization of PCNSL provides insights into promising targeted therapeutic approaches. However, significant institutional imaging variation and inconsistent clinical trial reporting diminishes the reliability, reproducibility and eventual translation in day to day management of patients with PCNSL. Here we review established neuroimaging concepts and provide an overview of published literature about novel imaging techniques that may improve diagnosis and response assessments. Finally, we highlight the need for standardization of image acquisition, post-processing, and incorporation of novel imaging biomarkers in early phase PCNSL clinical trials.
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Introduction

Primary central nervous system lymphoma (PCNSL) is a rare form of extra-nodal non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) that accounts for 1–2% of all cases of NHL and 1–4% of all central nervous system (CNS) tumors (1,2). The incidence of PCNSL is higher in immunocompromised patients such as those with uncontrolled acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (3). The International PCNSL Collaborative Group (IPCG) defines disease involvement to be limited to the CNS, leptomeninges and the vitreoretinal space (4). Histopathology shows over 90% of PCNSL consists of perivascular aggregates of malignant diffuse large B-cells, with the remainder consisting of T-cell lymphomas, poorly characterized low-grade lymphomas, or Burkitt’s lymphomas (5). Although, histopathology is essential for confirmation of disease, noninvasive imaging assessment is important as it assists with tissue sampling, response assessment after therapy, staging, and plays a significant role in narrowing the differential diagnosis. Here we review established clinical imaging techniques and highlight emerging neuroimaging approaches that may further improve the management of PCNSL in immunocompetent patients.

Anatomical magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)

Gadolinium enhanced MRI remains the imaging modality of choice for the noninvasive assessment of CNS lesions. A majority (60–70%) of PCNSL presents as solitary supratentorial lesions involving the periventricular white matter. However, multifocal disease (30–40%) and involvement of the infra-tentorial compartment and spinal cord is not uncommon (6–8). Current IPCG response assessment recommendations rely heavily on contrast enhancement for baseline evaluation of therapeutic response. The size of enhancement, classically thought to represent the extent of tumor burden, is measured as the sum product diameter of T1 shortening on T1W-post gadolinium images (4,9). This is problematic in PCNSL as it is known to be a diffusely infiltrative disease, which can comprise components with both intact and disrupted blood brain barrier (BBB). As contrast enhancement serves as a sensitive test for detecting BBB disruption, this can under-represent true disease burden due to poor detection of the components with an intact BBB. Further,
agents such as corticosteroids often alter the pattern of contrast enhancement, due to modulation of BBB permeability, when used as part of treatment regimen or used as a premedication (10). Similarly, histopathological correlation of imaging in autopsy studies have established that contrast enhancement underestimates the true tumor burden of PCNSL and supports the notion of PCNSL being a whole brain disease (11).

The clinical utility of MRI lies in its noninvasive versatility, accessibility, and reproducibility. Typically, most centers include anatomical based T1-weighted (T1W) (with and without contrast) and T2-weighted (T2W) images as well as physiological imaging sequences such as diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), dynamic contrast enhanced (DCE), or dynamic susceptibility-weighted contrast (DSC) perfusion MR as part of the imaging repertoire.

**T1W imaging**

T1W contrast enhanced MRI (CE-MRI) is typically used to clinically define the presence and extent of disease for initial staging, and for response assessment after therapy is initiated. Immunocompetent patients with PCNSL typically present with avidly enhancing lesions that frequently cross the corpus callosum. The complete disappearance of all enhancing abnormalities following induction therapy has been termed a “complete” radiographic response, while “progressive” disease is defined radiographically by a 25% increase in enhancement when compared with baseline or best response, which corresponds with inferior outcomes (4). These over simplified two dimensional CE-MRI based criteria remain the test of choice for staging and response assessment for all PCNSL patients in clinical practice and in most clinical trials. In this context, a notable clinical “pitfall” is to not account for intrinsic T1 signal that may be mistaken for residual enhancement (12). IPCG criteria address this unique issue by defining a special type of response, namely complete response unconfirmed (CRu) (4). CRu includes patients who fulfill the criteria for CR but (i) are on steroid therapy or (ii) have a small but persistent enhancing abnormality on MRI related to biopsy or focal hemorrhage. If theses lesions do not evolve with time or when off therapy, they can be categorized as CR in retrospect. Similarly, steroid use may alter the pattern of enhancement and is thought to decrease diagnostic accuracy of biopsy specimens (12). However, the probability of non-diagnostic biopsies after a short course of pre-operative steroids may indeed be very low and this risk needs to be balanced against clinical findings. If pre-operative steroids are necessary, a stereotactic scan can confirm continued presence of an enhancing lesional target prior to tissue sampling (13).

Challenges with the use of T1W CE-MRI as a marker of clinical response assessment includes variations in sequence parameters, timing, and dosage of MRI contrast administration, which are well known to impact the degree of enhancement (14–16). As previously mentioned, it is now widely recognized that contrast enhancement is a metric of BBB dysfunction and not a direct representation of tumor burden. In addition, cases of entirely non-enhancing CNS lymphoma have been reported which may delay diagnosis and impeded response assessment (17).

Further, two dimensional measurements of response assessment can be challenging in cases with complex, multifocal lesions. In addition, factors such as differences in slice thickness...
and magnetic field strength need to be taken into account. This is particularly important when comparing to an historical data set as well in the setting of multicenter studies. In comparison to other CNS tumors, the inherent differences at initial presentation, natural history, and response to therapy, underscores the need for standardized MRI parameters for advancing the field of PCNSL (15,18).

**T2W imaging**

T2W images and fluid attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) sequences are routinely acquired during MRI examinations. Despite this, T2 signal abnormalities are not incorporated into the current IPCG response assessment criteria, and there is limited published data on the utility of this parameter in PCNSL. PCNSL frequently presents as iso or hypointense (compared to the cortex) lesions on T2W MRI images due to high cellularity that appears distinct from peritumoral edema (Figure 1) (19,20). Clinicians familiar with PCNSL are also aware of progressive white matter changes best seen on T2W MRIs, even when tumors continue to show response based on enhancement (17,21). The risk factors of developing these white matter changes include the elderly (age >60), those receiving neurotoxic chemotherapies, and those who have received prior radiation. Preclinical and clinical evidence suggest that impaired biopterin metabolism as well as accumulation of homocysteine and adenosine may be contributing to this phenomenon (22–24). Other authors have proposed that the impact of methotrexate on the glial cells may have an influence and have also suggested a correlation with neurocognitive outcomes (25).

A recent retrospective report suggests over 60% of patients with high-dose methotrexate (HDMTX) based regimens may exhibit progressive T2 signal abnormalities (26). Interestingly, patients who received rituximab with methotrexate were more likely to have early and more extensive white matter changes compared to those that received HDMTX without rituximab. The clinical and prognostic implications of their findings are unclear but highlight the potential impact of therapeutic interventions on imaging.

Nonspecific T2 signal abnormalities are not uncommon, especially in the elderly and patients with comorbidities such as migraines. One retrospective study identified non-enhancing T2-FLAIR weighted hyperintense lesions at a distance from the enhancing lesion(s) in 23% of patients (27). Similarly, the significance of new non-enhancing T2 signal abnormalities for early diagnosis of disease relapse likely warrants further evaluation (28,29). The more recent consensus statement published on behalf of the IPCG recommends the acquisition of a contrast enhanced-T2W-FLAIR (CE-T2-FLAIR) sequence, in lieu of a contrast-enhanced T2W sequence, to be performed immediately following intravenous gadolinium contrast administration (30). The use of CE-T2W-FLAIR improves sensitivity for the detection of T1 and T2 hyperintense foci and may be most helpful in the evaluation of subtle lepto- and pachy-meningeal disease (30,31). Incorporation of advanced physiologic imaging may provide additional information in making the distinction between malignant and non-malignant T2 signal abnormalities.
**Physiologic MRI**

**Diffusion-weighted imaging**

Most centers with MRI capabilities include DWI in their standard protocol and allow the measurement of apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) value. ADC is a sensitive measure of microscopic diffusion of unbound extracellular water molecules and is an extremely sensitive test when used to detect cerebral ischemia (32,33). ADC is also sensitive to detect the reduction of extracellular water diffusion due to densely packed proliferating tumors such as PCNSL (Figure 1D,E) (32–36). Therefore, ADC values can be clinically useful in the differentiation of PCNSL from other primary brain tumors (35). The potential clinical utility of this parameter has been tested in several retrospective studies that provide compelling evidence for an inverse correlation between ADC measurements and tumor cellular density, with higher ADC values being an excellent pretreatment predictive biomarker for clinical outcomes such as progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) after HDMTX based therapies (37–39). Similarly, patients with prolonged PFS and OS had a significant increase in post-therapeutic ADC values, and may reflect a true reduction in tumor burden compared to enhancing lesion size (39). In this context, other investigators, including a prospective phase II trial (n=52), did not find a significant difference in the predictive value of ADC (38–41). Like most primary brain tumors, intratumoral heterogeneity, susceptibility artifacts, and lack of anatomical clarity may dampen its predictive value in PCNSL. Nonetheless, DWI can provide useful information to aid in the neuroradiologic assessment of PCNSL.

**Perfusion weighted imaging**

MRI perfusion is an advanced technique that allows for quantitative assessment of tumor microvasculature. Two routinely utilized perfusion approaches are (I) T2* weighted DSC and (II) T1W DCE perfusion MRI. Both techniques utilize dynamic serial image acquisition over time before, during, and after contrast administration. DSC-MRI technique measures relative cerebral blood volume (rCBV) as a noninvasive imaging biomarker of tissue microvascular density. Meanwhile, DCE-MRI allows the measurement of the transfer constant ($K_{trans}$), which is a mathematically derived value that reflects the leakage rate of contrast across the BBB (42,43).

**DSC-MRI**—Typically, more aggressive tumors (higher grade) have a higher degree of neoangiogenesis and are expected to have higher rCBV values (Figure 1F). However, retrospective evidence suggests that PCNSL patients (n=25) with low tumor rCBV values (<1.43) at pretherapy baseline are likely to have shorter PFS and OS compared with the patients with high tumor rCBV values (44). In the context of glioma, rCBV values have been widely studied and correlated with regards to histologic grade, prognosis, and clinical outcomes. In high grade gliomas, neoangiogenesis (e.g., microvascular proliferation) is incorporated as part of pathological grading system (5,45). As such, higher grade tumors typically exhibit microvascular proliferation, which frequently translates to higher rCBV values on DSC-MRI compared to other pathologies (46–50). However, the utility of DSC-MRI in PCNSL remains less clear (compared to glioma literature) (51). In PCNSL, Valles et al. suggest that the observed correlation between rCBV and outcome may be a reflection of a
relative lack of tumor angiogenesis, which translates to fewer patent vessels that can deliver intravenous methotrexate to the tumor bed. The clinical utility of DSC-rCBV values may be further improved when combined with ADC values. Technical factors in the measurement of rCBV may also contribute to the observed correlations with clinical outcome. For instance, the moderate to high degree of BBB permeability in PCNSL, as evident by the avid contrast enhancement, may result in T1W leakage effects that underestimate rCBV values. Strategies such as the use of a preload dose (PLD) of gadolinium-based contrast, low flip angle, and mathematical leakage correction may mitigate these confounding effects (52–54). In this context, the use of alternate investigational MR contrast agents such as large molecular weight ultra-small superparamagnetic iron oxide (USPIO) nanoparticles may provide an alternative to measuring tumor microvasculature, without the need for leakage correction (55,56).

**DCE-MRI**—Among all DCE-MRI parameters, $K_{\text{trans}}$ has most consistently demonstrated its value in distinguishing differential diagnoses with higher $K_{\text{trans}}$ values noted in lymphoma when compared to other primary and metastatic tumors (57–60). A retrospective study (n=18) in immunocompetent PCNSL patients by Hatzoglou et al. showed that DCE-MRI parameters might also serve as predictive biomarkers (41). Here, the authors validated the work by Valles et al. by demonstrating that lower $K_{\text{trans}}$ values correlate with increased risk for rapid progression. $K_{\text{trans}}$ was prospectively evaluated in seven patients as part of a larger prospective phase II study evaluating a HDMTX based poly-chemotherapy regimen preceded by administration of NGR-human tumor necrosis factor (NGR-hTNF), a TNF-α derivative capable of targeting tumor blood vessels and increasing endothelial permeability (61). Investigators demonstrate an increased $K_{\text{trans}}$ value after a second dose, which correlated with cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) concentration of drug and an increased uptake of $^{99m}$Tc-DTPA. This study suggests the utility of $K_{\text{trans}}$ as a noninvasive biomarker for tumor permeability in PCNSL. Another retrospective study (n=18) comparing ADC to DCE-MRI suggest that the enhancing component of primary CNS lymphoma was found to have significantly lower mean and relative ADC than the enhancing component of glioblastoma (GBM), but not significantly different relative 90th percentiles for $K_{\text{trans}}$ values (62). In this study, authors note that ADC was superior to DCE-MRI in differentiating primary CNS lymphoma from GBM. Other investigators have suggested the use of initial area under the curve (IAUC) derived from DCE-MRI which has better reproducibility when evaluated with ADC may better distinguish between PCNSL and atypical GBM (63).

Small sample sizes and inconsistent acquisition parameters along with relatively poor image resolution, susceptibility artifacts from bone, calcification, and blood products especially after biopsies and need for post processing may define ultimate clinical utility of perfusion imaging. Since perfusion imaging is already a standard sequence at most tertiary centers, investigators should strongly consider prospective collection and publishing these parameters when reporting prospective studies. Nonetheless, there remains limited data on DCE correlations with treatment response and outcome, and further studies are likely needed to help confirm the results of these previous studies.
Nuclear imaging and positron emission tomography (PET)

Physiologic differences between lymphoma and infectious lesions such as toxoplasmosis in the brain are a key aspect of pre-biopsy workup in CNS lymphoma patients with HIV. Noninvasive functional nuclear imaging modalities such as single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) and PET are being evaluated with mixed results (64–66). A meta-analysis of 26 manuscripts comparing SPECT to PET suggest that PET may have higher sensitivity and specificity than SPECT in this scenario. However, it is noted that studies using a quantitative approach tend to have higher sensitivity than those using a qualitative approach (66). Their role in the workup of immunocompetent patients is less clear.

Fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)-PET

Radiolabeled glucose analog $^{18}$F-FDG is actively transported across the BBB and phosphorylated within cells; its uptake reflects the tissue glucose metabolism and is usually high in high-grade tumors and relatively low in low-grade tumors. PET imaging with the FDG has become the standard study for staging systemic NHL (67,68). There is increasing evidence to suggest that FDG-PET imaging may be more sensitive than a conventional CT of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis as well as bone marrow biopsies for detecting systemic disease in PCNSL (69). Recent prospective studies suggest a significantly higher FDG-uptake in CNS lymphomas (n=45) compared to other histologic diagnoses (n=23) (70). Similarly, other authors have suggested that metabolic PET imaging with agents such as FDG and $^{11}$C-methionin shows promise as a predictive biomarker and early detection of recurrences (71,72). This modality may be limited by availability in the community and cost, in addition to other factors such as timing of administration and concomitant medications (steroids, anesthetics etc.). The value of FDG-PET in the diagnosis and/or response assessment is investigational at this time, but offers significant value in early phase biomarker driven clinical trials.

Novel MR contrast agents

CE-MR provides a noninvasive tool for the assessment of BBB permeability. Gadolinium based MRI contrasts remain the clinical agent of choice for monitoring most CNS pathologies. More recently, there is an interest to develop new molecularly targeted contrast agents or agents capable of detecting pathological changes in the local tumor microenvironment. Ferumoxytol, a superparamagnetic iron-oxide nanoparticle (SPION), is an FDA approved medication for intravenous iron supplementation and has received orphan drug designation as an MRI contrast agent (56). In addition to being approved for use in patients with poor renal functions, due to its large molecular weight ferumoxytol is also used as a blood pool agent in the early phase (up to 24 hrs) after administration, where it can be used to develop high resolution rCBV maps using the steady state technique (73). Steady state imaging is not feasible with conventional gadolinium contrasts at delayed time points (over 24–48 h) after administration (56). Contrast enhancement is found on various clinically used T1W MRI sequences, which improves border delineation, allows for the assessment of lesion internal morphology, and may be helpful in diagnosing and monitoring...
PCNSL (55). Elegant preclinical and clinical work by the same group demonstrates that MR signal can be co-localized to areas with activated macrophage and astrocytes (74–76). In a small study, 26 patients with PCNSL who underwent ferumoxytol MRI went on to get tissue biopsy 24–72 hrs after administration. Fixed biopsy tissues were stained by immunohistochemical staining for the dextran coating on the SPION (Dx1 staining) and Perls’ stain. Hypointensity on delayed SPION T2W scans demonstrated that iron that is predominantly concentrated in macrophages and more diffused and variable in and around lymphoma cells suggesting that SPION imaging may be a useful biomarker to identify activated macrophages in PCNSL and may have important diagnostic, prognostic, and therapeutic implications (77). The relevance of this approach in PCNSL lies in the fact that unlike Gadolinium, ferumoxytol allows evaluation of the tumor microenvironment (vascularity and local innate immune response). Further evaluation in the context of emerging immunotherapy trials in PCNSL is needed.

Summary

Anatomical brain imaging remains a valuable tool that can be easily incorporated to existing diagnostic and response assessment criteria in PCNSL. However, Institutional imaging variation and inconsistent clinical trial reporting diminishes the reliability and reproducibility of most of these tools. In an attempt to standardize imaging practices and set biologically based recommendations for the use of MRI and PET imaging in the diagnosis and monitoring PCNSL, a guideline statement was recently published by the International Primary CNS Lymphoma Collaborative Group (IPCG) (30). This manuscript provides detailed imaging parameters that will facilitate the adoption of these recommendations in both research and clinical settings. To enhance clinical feasibility, authors have developed both “ideal” and “minimum standard” protocols that will facilitate widespread adoption. Table 1 summarizes the advantages and disadvantages of various imaging parameters discussed in this review.

Conclusions

CE-MRI remains the imaging modality of choice for diagnosis and monitoring patients with PCNSL. MR sequences such as DWI, perfusion, and other novel metabolic imaging techniques such as PET and SPECT are capable of providing additional biological information not capable with CE-MRI alone. These imaging biomarkers may better assess therapeutic response and provide prognostic information about clinical outcomes. High degree of reproducibility as well as better estimates of their sensitivity and specificity is essential for clinical implementation of advanced MRI parameters. However, these imaging metrics require further prospective evaluation and consideration in prospective clinical trial settings.
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Figure 1.
Sixty-six-year-old male patient with primary CNS lymphoma. (A) T2W image shows a relatively T2W hypointense mass (yellow arrowheads) centered along the midline within the corpus callosum, which is distinct from the hyperintensity of the extensive surrounding non-tumoral vasogenic edema (white arrows). The mass demonstrates homogeneous diffuse contrast enhancement on post-contrast T1W imaging (B, yellow arrowheads), which is confirmed on T1W pre-contrast imaging (C). There is an additional remote enhancing lesion (B, red arrows), as these patients can often present with multi-focal disease. On DWI (D,E), the mass shows characteristic restricted diffusion seen as bright signal on diffusion trace imaging (D, yellow arrowhead) and confirmed as hypointensity on maps of ADC (E, yellow arrowheads). This has been attributed to paucity of extracellular fluid relative to highly cellular content of these tumors. Of note, the ADC values of non-tumoral vasogenic edema are seen as hyperintense regions on ADC maps, presumably due to greater proportion of extracellular fluid relative to tissue cellularity (E, white arrows). (F) On DSC-MRI maps of rCBV, the mass demonstrates a relatively homogeneous pattern of moderately elevated (>2.0) rCBV (yellow arrowheads). CNS, central nervous system; T2W, T2-weighted; T1W, T1-weighted; DWI, diffusion-weighted imaging; ADC, apparent diffusion coefficient; DSC, dynamic susceptibility contrast; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; rCBV, relative cerebral blood volume.
Table 1

Summary of advantages and disadvantages of clinical standard of care and selected advanced imaging techniques in the diagnosis and response assessment in PCNSL

| Imaging technique | Advantages | Disadvantages | References |
|-------------------|------------|---------------|------------|
| T1W CE-MRI        | • Current standard of care  
• High degree of versatility, accessibility, and reproducibility  
• Easy adaptability into existing response assessment criteria in systemic oncology | • Sensitive metric for BBB dysfunction and not a direct representation of tumor burden  
• Impacted by steroids | (4–15) |
| T2W images and FLAIR sequences | • Easy accessibility  
• Sensitive to changes in extracellular water content | • Nonspecific, difficult to distinguish progression from treatment effects | (17,22,26) |
| Diffusion-weighed MRI | • Easy accessibility  
• Sensitive marker for packed proliferating tumors such as PCNSL  
• Lower ADC than GBM, metastasis, or demyelinating lesions | • Intra tumor heterogeneity  
• Susceptible to artifacts of blood products  
• Low spatial resolution | (34,35) |
| Perfusion MRI imaging | • Quantitative assessment of tumor microvasculature and neoangiogenesis  
• Lower rCBVs than GBM and higher than demyelinating lesions | • Need for post processing such as leakage correction, lack of anatomical clarity  
• Susceptible to artifacts of blood products  
• Low spatial resolution | (44,51,58,60) |
| FDG PET scan      | • Measures tissue glucose metabolism  
• Higher metabolism than GBM, hypometabolism in demyelinating lesions | • Limited by availability in the community and high cost  
• Factors such as timing of administration and concomitant medications may impact results  
• Low signal to noise | (66,69,71) |

PCNSL, primary central nervous system lymphoma; T1W, T1-weighted; CE-MRI, contrast enhanced magnetic resonance imaging; T2W, T2-weighted; FLAIR, fluid attenuated inversion recovery; FDG, fluorodeoxyglucose; PET, positron emission tomography; ADC, apparent diffusion coefficient; GBM, glioblastoma; rCBV, relative cerebral blood volume; BBB, blood brain barrier.