The protein extraction method of *Metroxylon sagu* leaf for high-resolution two-dimensional gel electrophoresis and comparative proteomics
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Sago palm (*Metroxylon sagu* Rottb.) is a versatile plant that can tolerate many biotic and abiotic stresses, during its growth stages. It belongs to family Areccaceae, and among fourteen starch producing genera, the genus *Metroxylon* is the most productive among all of them.

**Results:** To perform good proteome research, the most critical step is to establish a method that gives the best quality of extracted total proteins. Five different protein extraction protocols: polyethylene glycol (PEG) fractionation method, phenol extraction method, TCA–acetone method, the combination of phenol and TCA–acetone extraction method and imidazole method were compared to develop an optimized protein extraction method for two-dimensional gel electrophoresis analysis of *Metroxylon sagu*. The PEG fractionation method was found to give the most reproducible gels with the highest number of spots and highest protein concentration followed by phenol extraction method. The lowest number of spots was observed in the imidazole method. The PEG fractionation method provides improved resolution and reproducibility of 2-DE and reduces the time required to analyze samples. Partitioning Rubisco by polyethylene glycol (PEG) fractionation provides clearer detection of low abundance protein. Hence, the results from this study propose PEG fractionation as the effective protein extraction method for 2-DE proteomic studies of *Metroxylon sagu*.

**Conclusion:** In this study, the PEG fractionation method is considered as the best extraction method for 2-DE proteomic studies of *Metroxylon sagu* in terms of yield, gel quality, spot numbers, and quantities of proteins.
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Sago palm is found growing in zone 10 of the equator at the Malay Peninsula from Southern Thailand to east and west of Malaysia, Brunei, Indonesia and its surroundings, including Papua New Guinea and some southern region of the Philippines [10]. Papua New Guinea or the Moluccas is believed to be the centre of diversity of sago palm [22].

Sago palm is a starch producing plant and can accumulate high carbohydrate content in the trunk. It is socio-economically important for sustainable agriculture and considered as one approach for rural development in...
various areas in Sarawak, Malaysia. The plant is highly adaptable to environmental factors than any other crop and can be grown in low land swampy areas with high acidic content [10]. Although this species is economically important for the country, there is a lack of scientific study related to this plant, including proteomics study. Plant proteomics has become an important field in molecular biology to investigate the evolution and growth of a plant. Very early plant proteomics was confined to the relationship between plant population only [5, 28, 29]. In recent years, proteomics is used to study the distances between different species of Brassicaceae family [19], oriental and American ginseng [18]. Proteomics is the most relevant technology to further investigation of highly complex and dynamic biological systems as it offers an accurate analysis of cellular state or system changes during growth, development, and response to environmental factors [7]. Although sago palm has been neglected and was relatively given less attention compared to other crops, scientists have marked the crops as crop par excellence for sustainable agriculture [26]. Researchers work actively on the secondary metabolites of the sago palm, but there is a limited study on the proteomic part.

Extraction of proteins is the most crucial and essential step in the proteomic study because plants contain phenolic compounds, polysaccharides, and other secondary metabolites which could interfere in the protein separation and quantitation. Cellular lysis of tough plant cell walls is complicated as they retain complex assemblances of polysaccharides [12] which interfere with downstream analysis.

Selecting an efficient extraction method for a specific sample is very important to obtain high quality and quantity proteins for 2-DE to check the differential expression of proteins as well as descriptive and comparative proteomic analysis in plants. The reproducibility and high resolution of 2-DE for separating a complex mixture of proteins make it the most suitable method. However, the quality and the separation of proteins resulting from 2-DE analysis depend on the sample preparation and protein extraction method, because this subsequently affects the quality of isoelectric focusing (IEF) during the first-dimension separation [23]. Commonly used phenol extraction and TCA–acetone methods remain popular despite the availability of some new techniques [1]. Each method has some disadvantages for leaf protein analysis lower protein solubility, co-extraction of nucleic acids, protein hydrolysis by TCA [8, 14]. The first soybean leaf proteome map was constructed using the TCA–acetone extraction method [34]. The detection of the low abundance proteins was restricted because of the prevalence of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase oxygenase (Rubisco). Quantitative evaluation of kiwi fruit ripening in response to exogenous ethylene has been studied using the phenol extraction method [25]. Various soybean tissues, including from leaf has been extracted using the phenol extraction method [3]. This protocol, however, requires long focusing time and many volt-hours (75,000 Vh). In addition, many low abundance proteins in the leaves of the soybean were masked by the presence of Rubisco. None of these methods can detect the low abundance proteins in leaf tissue, because of the presence of Rubisco, which masks 50% of the soluble proteins [2]. Since no extraction method is universal for all kinds of samples which can capture the entire proteome, several protein extraction methods were used in this study to extract the protein from the leaf sample of Metroxylon sagu.

Materials and methods

Protein extraction methods

Five different protein extraction methods were compared, i.e., TCA–acetone method, phenol extraction method, combined phenol and TCA–acetone method, imidazole method, and PEG fractionation method to determine the most suitable method for extraction of protein from Metroxylon sagu for 2-DE analysis. Sago leaf samples used in this study were grounded finely in pre-chilled motor pestle in the presence of liquid nitrogen for all the five methods.

TCA–acetone method

Total proteins were extracted using the TCA–acetone method [12] with some modifications. A total of 1 g finely ground leaf sample was suspended in 10 ml of 20% (w/v) TCA–acetone with 0.2% DTT and precipitated overnight at –20 °C. Pellet was obtained by centrifugation the mixture at 10,000×g for 20 min at 4 °C. Collected pellet was rinsed with 10 ml of 80% cold acetone (v/v),with 0.2% DDT and incubated for 60 min at −20 °C. The pellet was collected by spinning the mixture at 10,000×g for 20 min at 4 °C, and washed twice with 80% ice-cold acetone, and air-dried and kept at −20 °C for further use.

Phenol extraction method

Proteins were extracted according to Wang et al. [33] with some modifications. A total of 1 g of finely grounded leaf was suspended in 0.8 ml phenol (Tris-saturated pH 8.0) and 0.8 ml of SDS buffer consisting of 0.1 M Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 2% SDS, 30% sucrose, 5% (v/v) β-mercaptoethanol, and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl (PMSF). The mixture was vortexed 5 min and centrifuged at 10,000×g for 20 min at 4 °C. The aqueous phase was collected and re-extracted with an equal volume of SDS buffer by centrifugation at 10,000×g for 10 min at
4 °C. The new aqueous layer containing proteins was precipitated with five volumes of 0.1 M ammonium acetate in methanol at −20 °C overnight. Precipitated proteins were recovered by centrifugation at 10,000×g for 15 min at 4 °C. The recovered proteins were washed and rinsed with 100% methanol containing 0.1% ammonium acetate and 80% acetone, respectively, air-dried, and stored at −20 °C for further use.

**Combined phenol and TCA–acetone method**

Proteins were extracted by combining TCA–acetone and phenol extraction method with ammonium acetate in methanol precipitation [33]. One gram of finely ground leaf sample was incubated in 10 ml of 20% (w/v) TCA–acetone for 1–2 h at −20 °C. The pellet was obtained by centrifugation at 10,000×g for 20 min at 4 °C, and the recovered pellet was dissolved in 10 ml of 80% cold acetone(v/v). SDS buffer and tris-saturated phenol (pH 8.0) in the ratio of 1:1 was added to it. The mixture was vortexed for 5 min, followed by centrifugation at 10,000×g for 15 min at 4 °C. The clear phenolic phase collected was mixed with four volumes of methanol containing 0.1 M ammonium acetate and incubated at −20 °C overnight. Precipitated proteins were recovered by centrifugation at 10,000×g for 20 min at 4 °C, rinsed twice with 80% cold acetone, dried, and stored at −20 °C.

**Imidazole method**

This method is based on Nakamura et al. [21] with some modifications. One gram of ground leaf sample was incubated in 8 ml of extraction buffer consisting of 50 mM imidazole–HCl (pH 7.4), 8 mM MgCl₂, 12% glycerol, 2.5% (v/v) β-mercaptoethanol, and 1 mM PMSF. The sample was vortexed for 5 min, followed by centrifugation at 9000×g for 15 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was collected using Mira cloth, and proteins were precipitated with four volumes of cold acetone(v/v). SDS buffer and tris-saturated phenol (pH 8.0) were added, mixed well, and the mixture was centrifuged at 10,000×g for 15 min at 4 °C. The upper phase was collected carefully, and proteins were precipitated by adding four volumes of 100% methanol containing 0.1 M ammonium acetate at −20 °C overnight. The pellet was recovered by centrifugation the mixture at 10,000×g for 15 min at 4 °C followed by washing thrice with 100% methanol containing 0.1 M ammonium acetate, and stored in 80% acetone at −20 °C until use.

**Protein quantification using Bradford assay**

Prior to further analyses, the dried protein pellets were solubilized for 1 h in 150 μl of protein lysis buffer. The Bradford assay was carried out to determine the concentration of solubilized protein using Bradford reagent [4]. The standard protein graph of bovine serum albumin (BSA) was prepared in seven dilutions of 100 ug/ml of BSA. Samples with unknown protein concentration were prepared with different dilution factors. Absorbance was measured at 595 nm after 5 min of adding 1 ml of Bradford reagent. The total protein concentration was determined in triplicates [15].

**SDS-PAGE**

The quality of the proteins obtained from different protocols was evaluated according to the molecular weight using one-dimensional SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) [16]. A 20 μl of protein sample (20 mg/ml) was mixed with 5 μl of 5× sample loading dye (1:4 ratio), and proteins were denatured by heating at 95 °C for 5 min. The denatured proteins were centrifuged for 5 min at 16,000×g and run through 4% stacking gel followed by 12% resolving gel at 120 V for 1 h. The gels were stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) G-250.

**PEG fractionation method**

This method is based on Alam et al. [2] with some modifications. One gram of finely ground leaf sample was suspended in 10 ml of Mg/Triton-X extraction buffer consisting of 0.5 M Tris–HCl (pH 8.3), 2% Triton-X, 20 mM MgCl₂, 2% β-mercaptoethanol, and 1 mM PMSF. After vortexing for 2 min, the sample was centrifuged at 10,000×g for 15 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was collected, and the proteins were subjected to PEG fractionation, by adjusting the final concentration of 15% (w/v) of the sample by adding 50% stock solution (w/v) of polyethylene glycol (PEG), then was incubated on ice for 30 min. The supernatant collected after centrifugation was precipitated with four volumes of cold 100% acetone at −20 °C for 3 h, followed by centrifugation at 10,000×g for 15 min at 4 °C. The recovered pellet was dissolved in 10 ml of Mg/Triton-X extraction buffer. The pellet was vortexed for 2 min and mixed with an equal volume of Tris-saturated phenol (pH 8.0). Sucrose (0.7 M) was added, mixed well, and the mixture was centrifuged at 10,000×g for 15 min at 4 °C. The upper phase was collected carefully, and proteins were precipitated by adding four volumes of 100% methanol containing 0.1 M ammonium acetate at −20 °C overnight. The protein was recovered by centrifugation the mixture at 10,000×g for 15 min at 4 °C followed by washing thrice with 100% methanol containing 0.1 M ammonium acetate, and stored in 80% acetone at −20 °C until use.
for 10,000V Hours. Prior to electrophoresis in the second dimension, the strips were incubated twice for 10 min each with gentle shaking in equilibration buffer (0.5 M Tris–HCl, pH 6.8; 6 M Urea; 30% glycerol, 2% SDS), first with 2% DTT, then with 2.5% iodoacetamide. The second-dimension separation of proteins was performed on 12% SDS polyacrylamide gels according to the method of Laemmli [16]. The strips were sealed with 0.5% overlay agarose with bromophenol blue before running on 100 V for 2 h. Following SDS-PAGE, the gels were fixed using deionized water for 10 min and stained for 12–14 h with Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) G-250 stain with gentle shaking. Images of the stained gels were captured using a Bio-Rad gel doc.

Results

Determination of protein concentration from the five extraction methods

Evaluation of protein yield using different extraction methods was done based on the amount of protein extracted from 1 g of frozen leaf sample. The higher protein concentration of 8.9 µg/µl and 4.6 µg/µl were obtained from PEG fractionation and phenol extraction method, respectively (Table 1), followed by combined phenol and TCA–acetone method 3.9 µg/µl and TCA–acetone method 2.5 µg/µl. Imidazole method has given the lowest protein yield of 1.6 µg/µl.

Comparison of protein using 2-DE

The extracted proteins were subjected to 2-DE separation. Since the imidazole method resolves the lowest number of bands on the SDS-PAGE gel, this method was not subjected to 2-DE analysis. After staining of the gels, images of protein spots were shown in Fig. 2. The protein extracted using all protocols resulted in higher protein spots abundance on the acidic side. The PEG fractionation method, which showed the highest protein concentration and highest bands in SDS-PAGE, showed the highest number of spots at 750 (Fig. 2a), followed by phenol extraction and combined methods with 525 (Fig. 2b) and 231 spots, respectively (Fig. 2c). The lowest number of spots was shown by TCA–acetone method with 110 spots (Fig. 2d).

Discussion

The most crucial step to obtain high-quality protein is sample preparation and protein extraction. Plant tissues are rich in interfering compounds, such as polyphenols, organic compounds, nucleic acids, which are present in abundance in green tissues and can strongly hinder extraction and separation on 2-DE [20]. The purpose of this study was to compare five different protein extraction methods for high-resolution 2-DE of Metroxylon sagu.

Table 1 Protein concentration was determined after dissolving the pellets in the re-swelling buffer; parameters are presented as the mean ± for triplicates

| Extraction method                        | Number of spots | Protein concentration µg/µl |
|------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| PEG fractionation method                 | 750            | 8.9 ± 0.07                  |
| Phenol extraction method                 | 525            | 4.6 ± 0.08                  |
| TCA–acetone method                       | 110            | 2.5 ± 0.005                 |
| Phenol and TCA–acetone method            | 231            | 3.9 ± 0.003                 |
| Imidazole method                         | Not detected   | 1.6 ± 0.02                  |

Fig. 1 SDS PAGE of Metroxylon sagu leaf proteins using different extraction methods. M1, PEG Fractionation method; M2, phenol extraction method; M3, imidazole method; M4, combination method, M5, TCA–acetone method
sagu. The results showed that PEG fractionation method gives the best result in comparison with the other extraction methods, in terms of reproducibility, yield, high protein definition in SDS-PAGE, and good compatibility to IEF (Fig. 1).

There are various studies about the TCA–acetone precipitation as the best extraction method over phenol precipitation in Brassica sp. rice [24], and date palm [9]. In this study, the TCA–acetone extraction method resulted in the lowest concentration and fewer spots detected in 2-DE separation. The TCA–acetone method has a limited application to young tissues only and has lower protein solubility and co-extraction of nucleic acids [14]. On the other hand, there are various findings of the phenol extraction method gives interference-free high quality and quantity protein from diverse plants species potato [6], apple and banana [31], Cenchrus polystachion [27]. The phenol extraction method gives a better 2-DE map with more resolved spots in maize [32]. In a comparative analysis of four Aloe species, the phenol extraction method was shown to be suitable for 2-DE and MALDI-TOF–MS [11].

In our study, the phenol extraction method and combined phenol and TCA–acetone method give a high protein yield than the TCA–acetone method alone. The phenol extraction method resulted in higher concentration and protein resolution than the combination method. However, this extraction method is not suitable for detecting low abundance proteins in the leaf sample due to the presence of Rubisco, which at approximately 50% of the soluble proteins, mask them. PEG fractionation method gives best result in terms of reproducibility, yield, high protein definition with the partitioning of Rubisco in SDS-PAGE and good compatibility to IEF (Fig. 1). Better results in comparison with other methods both on resolution and number of the spots with no vertical and horizontal streaking were shown also at 2-DE analysis (Fig. 2). The partitioning of the Rubisco by PEG method resolved many abundance proteins from leaves of rice [13, 17] and sunflower [30]. PEG without interfering with the immunogenic properties of proteins can mask the surface of the protein by covalent bonding, and is nontoxic alcohol [2]. Thus, the PEG fractionation method also may provide a more detailed proteome of Metroxylon sagu, where Rubisco is

Fig. 2 Comparison of the 2-DE representative gels obtained from Metroxylon sagu using four different methods. 125 µg protein samples were separated on 7 cm pH 3–10 nonlinear IPG strip.
prevalent. In the PEG fractionation method, the interfering substances present in many low abundance proteins may cause poor electrophoretic separation on the IEP strip during IEF, as seen in sunflower leaf proteome [30]. To overcome this problem, the TCA–acetone precipitate was re-extracted with phenol, which efficiently removes interfering substances, which results in optimal electric conductivity, less time during IEF. The prefractionation of protein samples using PEG before 2-DE can assist proteomic studies in general, because of the detection of low abundance proteins. The combination of PEG fractionation and phenol extraction methods were successfully applied for extracting proteins from leaf tissues of soybean, Miscanthus, Chinese cabbage, peanut, and tea [2]. This method can be applied to the leaf tissues of varieties of species; those contain high levels of secondary metabolites and high starch content.

Conclusion

In the present study, five distinctive protein extraction protocols, i.e. TCA–acetone method, phenol extraction method, the combination of phenol and TCA–acetone method, imidazole method, and PEG fractionation method were evaluated and compared for the whole proteome analysis of *Metroxylon sagu*. Overall, the best results in terms of yield, gel quality, spot numbers, and quantities were obtained using the PEG fractionation method. The prefractionation of protein samples using PEG before 2-DE can assist proteomic studies in general because low abundance proteins can be detected using the PEG fractionation method. The use of phenol in this method efficiently removes interfering substances, which results in optimal electric conductivity, less time during IEF. Smooth IEF eliminates the risk of damaging IEP strips and samples. The partition of Rubisco to the pellet permits the detection of a low-abundance regulatory protein. PEG fractionation method can be applied to the leaf tissues of varieties of species; those contain high levels of secondary metabolites and high starch content.

Abbreviations

- 2-DE: Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis
- BSA: Bovine serum albumin
- IEF: Isoelectric focusing
- IPG: Immobilized pH gradient
- PAGE: Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
- PMSF: Phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride
- SDS: Sodium dodecyl sulfate
- PEG: Polyethylene glycol
- TCA: Trichloroacetic acid
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