Exciton-polariton mediated interaction between two nitrogen-vacancy color centers in diamond using two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides
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In this paper, starting from a quantum master equation, we discuss the interaction between two negatively charged Nitrogen-vacancy color centers in diamond via exciton-polaritons propagating in a two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenide layer in close proximity to a diamond crystal. We focus on the optical 1.945 eV transition and model the Nitrogen-vacancy color centers as two-level (artificial) atoms. We find that the interaction parameters and the energy levels renormalization constants are extremely sensitive to the distance of the Nitrogen-vacancy centers to the transition metal dichalcogenide layer. Analytical expressions are obtained for the spectrum of the exciton-polaritons and for the damping constants entering the Lindblad equation. The conditions for occurrence of exciton mediated superradiance are discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

Nitrogen-vacancy color centers (NV-centers) are fascinating artificial atoms in diamond, with electronic transitions in the visible spectral range[1][8]. Nowadays they can be implanted with atomic precision in nano-diamond-layers as thin as 5 nm [4]. One type of NV-centers in diamond is the charged neutral NV$^0$ one [5][6]. There is, however, the possibility of producing negatively charged NV-centers both chemically [5][7] or, more interestingly, using an external gate [8]. This latter possibility brings extra tunability to the charge control of these structures. The NV-center shows an electron paramagnetic ground state and an optically excited state. The energy ground state of the charged NV-center forms a spin triplet (due to two electrons sitting on the vacancy) which due to spin-spin interaction is split into two energy levels separated by $\Delta_{gs} = 2.87$ GHz [2] (see bottom panel of Fig. 1). The exploration of these energy levels for quantum optics [9] and for quantum computation has already been considered [10]. In addition to these low energy levels, there is a high-energy state (energy difference of 1.945 eV; see Fig. 1) which is at the origin of the pinkish color in diamonds [1]. Negatively charged NV-centers also acquire an electric dipole moment [11]. This has its origin on the localized nature of the electronic wave-function. The negatively charged NV-centers, coupled electrically, can act as interacting quantum bits (qubits) useful in quantum computation [14].

Among the many applications of NV-centers we underline their high sensing capability of extremely weak magnetic fields with high spatial resolution [2][12], their application in quantum computation [11][13][14] and in quantum nanophotonics [15], and, more recently, their use as a microscopy tool for characterizing field effect transistors made of two-dimensional materials [5] as well as in superconducting materials [16]. Applications to metrology have also been demonstrated [17].

It is well known that quantum emitters can interact with each other via electromagnetic radiation [18]. When in the presence of a metallic surface capable of supporting surface-plasmon-polaritons (SPPs), the interaction between atoms, via this special type of electromagnetic mode, can be tuned by changing the distance and relative orientation of the electric dipole moment of the atoms [19][20], and, more importantly, changing the thickness of metallic film in the vicinity of the diamond layer [21][22]. Indeed, we can even take the limit of vanishing thickness of the metallic layer using doped graphene as the plasmonic material in the proximity of the diamond layer. Graphene supports SPPs in the mid-IR which can mediate interactions between neighboring atoms (or artificial atoms for the same matter) [24]. Surface plasmons polaritons are not the only existing kind of polaritonic surface waves. Other types of this kind of waves are phonon-polaritons, propagating at the surface of polar dielectric materials, such as hexagonal boron nitride [25] or silicon oxide [26].

Recently, a new kind of surface polariton has been proposed in Ref. [27]: exciton-polaritons supported, at low temperatures, by two-dimensional semiconducting transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) - MX$_2$ with M = Mo, W and X = S, Se, Te. These polaritons, as in the case of SPPs, form when the real-part of the dielectric function is negative. This happens in a small energy window occurring close to the bare exciton energy, in the visible spectral range. The energy levels of negatively charged NV-centers can also occur in the same spectral range (they are color centers). Therefore, it is conceivable that two negatively charged NV-centers, when positioned in close proximity to a two-dimensional layer of a TMD, can strongly interact via exciton-polaritons. In addition to the aforementioned interaction, the energy levels of the NV-centers are also renormalized by the interaction mediated by the polaritons. Also, spin-spin interactions among distant NV-centers may also be mediated by exciton-polaritons [28].

In this work, we will derive a quantum master equa-
II. MODEL

We consider that a TMD monolayer, supporting exciton-polaritons, is located at the \( z = 0 \) plane. The optical conductivity of the two-dimensional TMD is denoted by \( \sigma(\omega) \). The NV-centers are above the TMD layer, \( z > 0 \). We describe the NV-centers as two-level systems embedded in a dielectric medium with constant \( \epsilon_1 \), for which we take the dielectric constant of diamond. The two NV-centers are located at positions \( r_i \), with the index \( i = 1, 2 \) labeling the NV-center. The TMD has underneath, \( z < 0 \), a different dielectric medium, with dielectric constant \( \epsilon_2 \), which we take as vacuum. The system is depicted in Fig. 1.

A. Hamiltonian

We model the coupled system of TMD exciton-polaritons and NV-centers with the modified version of the Dicke model where the NV-center two-level systems are coupled to a multimode boson field, the exciton-polariton field \([31–34]\). Explicitly we have the Hamiltonian

\[
H = H_{\text{NV}} + H_{\text{ex-p}} + H_{\text{int}},
\]

where \( H_{\text{NV}} \), \( H_{\text{ex-p}} \), and \( H_{\text{int}} \) are, respectively, the Hamiltonian for the NV-centers, TMD exciton-polaritons, and NV-centers/exciton-polariton interaction. We model the NV-centers as two-level systems, such that \( H_{\text{NV}} \) reads:

\[
H_{\text{NV}} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega_0 \sigma_i^z,
\]

with \( \hbar \omega_0 \) the energy difference between the two energy levels of the NV-centers, \( \sigma_i^z \) the \( z \) Pauli matrix, written in the basis \( \{|e\rangle_i, |g\rangle_i\} \), where \( e \) and \( g \) refer, respectively, to the excited and ground state of NV-center \( i \). The TMD exciton-polaritons are modeled as independent bosons governed by:

\[
H_{\text{ex-p}} = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \hbar \omega_{\mathbf{q}} a_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{q}},
\]

where \( \hbar \omega_{\mathbf{q}} \) corresponds to the energy dispersion relation of the exciton-polaritons with momentum \( \mathbf{q} \), whose analytical expression will be given later, and \( a_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} (a_{\mathbf{q}}) \) corresponds to the creation (annihilation) of an exciton-polariton of momentum \( \mathbf{q} \). Finally, the interaction between exciton-polaritons and NV-centers is modeled in the dipole coupling approximation and reads:

\[
H_{\text{int}} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} E_{\text{ex-p}}(r_i) \cdot (\mathbf{\mu}_i \sigma_i^- + \mathbf{\mu}_i^* \sigma_i^+) ,
\]

where \( \mathbf{\mu}_i \) the electric dipole moment (associated with the optical transition and whose absolute maximum value is...
about 1.5 D, as measured from the Stark shift \[^{35,36}\] see also \[^{27}\] of the NV-center \(i\), and \(\sigma_+^\dagger\) are raising/lowering operators, represented in the \(\{|e\}_i,\{|g\}_i\}\) basis as

\[
\sigma_+^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\((5)\)

\(E_{\text{ex-p}}(r)\) is the exciton-polariton electric field operator, which is written as

\[
E_{\text{ex-p}}(r) = \sum_q \left( E_{q,\text{ex-p}}(r)a_q + E_{q,\text{ex-p}}(r)a_q^\dagger \right),
\]

\((6)\)

with \(E_{q,\text{ex-p}}(r)\) the exciton-polariton electric field mode function. Following \[^{38}\], the exciton-polariton mode function for the considered structure is given by \[^{38,39}\]:

\[
E_{q,\text{ex-p}}(r) = \left( \frac{\hbar \omega_q}{2A_0 Nq} \right) e^{iqr} \times
\begin{cases}
\left( i \frac{q}{\kappa_{1,q}} \right) e^{-\kappa_{1,q} z}, & z > 0 \\
\left( i \frac{q}{\kappa_{2,q}} \right) e^{\kappa_{2,q} z}, & z < 0,
\end{cases}
\]

\((7)\)

where \(r = (x,z)\), with \(x\) an in-plane two dimensional vector, \(A\) is the area of the system, \(\epsilon_0\) is the electric permittivity of vacuum, \(\kappa_{n,q}\) is an out of plane momentum defined as:

\[
\kappa_{n,q} = \sqrt{q^2 - \epsilon_n^2 \epsilon_0 / c^2},
\]

\((8)\)

with \(c\) the speed of light and \(\epsilon_n\) the dielectric constant of the medium; \(N_q\) is a mode length, that originates from the normalization of the mode in a dispersive medium. Its exact form is provided in Appendix \[^{13}\].

B. Exciton-polariton dispersion relation

We will now specify the dispersion relation of the exciton-polaritons. This depends on the optical properties of a monolayer semiconductor TMD, which are described in terms of its optical conductivity, \(\sigma(\omega)\). The optical conductivity is related to the susceptibility, \(\chi(\omega)\), via the relation \[^{40}\]

\[
\sigma(\omega) = -i d \omega \epsilon_0 \chi(\omega),
\]

\((9)\)

where \(d\) is the monolayer thickness and \(\epsilon_0\) is the vacuum permittivity. At low temperatures and for frequencies close to the exciton, the susceptibility is accurately modeled by the formula \[^{27}\]:

\[
\chi(\omega) = \chi_{bg} - f_{ex} \frac{\omega_{ex}^2}{\omega_{ex}^2 - \omega_{ex}^2 + i \omega \left( \frac{2 \gamma_{nr}}{\omega} + \gamma_d \right)},
\]

\((10)\)

where \(\omega_{ex}\) is the exciton’s energy, \(f_{ex}\) is the exciton oscillator strength, which describes the coupling of the exciton to the electric field, \(\gamma_{nr}\) and \(\gamma_d\) are, respectively, the non-radiative and dephasing decay rates, and \(\chi_{bg}\) is a background contribution to the susceptibility (which takes into account higher energy transitions). Notice that all this parameters are device dependent. In Table \[^{4}\] we report typical values which we will use hereinafter. For positive frequencies close to \(\omega_{ex}\) and weak losses \((\gamma_{nr}, \gamma_d \ll \omega_{ex})\) the susceptibility is generally approximated by

\[
\chi(\omega) \simeq \chi_{bg} - \frac{f_{ex} \omega_{ex}}{\omega + \omega_{ex} + i \left( \frac{\omega_{ex}^2}{2} + \gamma_d \right)}.
\]

\((11)\)

For the considered structure, Fig. \[^{1}\] the condition that determines the exciton-polariton energy dispersion is \[^{43,44}\]:

\[
\frac{\epsilon_1}{\kappa_{1,q}} + \frac{\epsilon_2}{\kappa_{2,q}} + \frac{\sigma(\omega)}{\epsilon_0 \omega} = 0,
\]

\((12)\)

where, once again, \(\epsilon_1\) and \(\epsilon_2\) the media above and below the TMD layer, respectively, and \(\sigma(\omega)\) is the optical conductivity of the TMD. Inserting Eq. \((9)\) into Eq. \((12)\), one clearly sees that a solution for the exciton-polariton dispersion is only possible when the real part of the susceptibility is negative. Considering the parameters given in Table \[^{4}\] we obtain the susceptibility depicted in Fig. \[^{2}\]. The energy window where exciton-polaritons may be excited, for the chosen parameters, is 1.940 eV up to 1.965 eV. Within this energy range the polaritons will present an energy dispersion relation defined implicitly by Eq. \((12)\). When the media above and below the TMD are the same it is possible to obtain an exact analytical solution for the energy dispersion. However, when they are not, the problem can only be solved exactly numerically. If we consider \(\kappa_{1,q} \simeq \kappa_{2,q} \simeq \frac{q}{\omega}\), that is, if we consider the polariton’s momentum to be much bigger than that of a photon with the same energy, a condition easily fulfilled, an approximate analytical solution for \(q(\omega)\) can be found:

\[
q(\omega) \approx - \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{d \chi(\omega)}
\]

\((13)\)

In Fig. \[^{2}\] we present the exciton-polariton spectrum obtained with this expression. We observe that the spectrum is only defined in the small spectral window where the real part of the susceptibility is negative. We further note that although the spectrum is defined over a small range of energies, it covers a wide range of momenta. From the comparison of the polariton spectrum with the light-line, we observe that the polariton momentum is significantly larger than that of a photon with the same energy, justifying the approximation made in Eq. \((13)\).

III. LINDBLAD EQUATION

In this section we will determine the Lindblad equation for the NV-centers and express the different terms that enter it in terms of exciton-polariton Green’s function.
Table I. Parameters used throughout this work. The energy $\hbar \omega_0$ corresponds to the energy difference between the NV-center levels (Ref. [33]). The parameter $\mu$ (Ref. [33]) corresponds to the magnitude of the electric dipole moment (which we consider to be the same for both dipoles). $\hbar \omega_{\text{ex}}$ corresponds to a typical energy of the A-exciton energy in a TMD (Ref. [11] reports a similar value for WS$_2$ on SiO$_2$ for a temperature of $T = 4$ K). $d$ is the effective thickness of a monolayer TMD (Ref. [42]). The parameter $\chi_{bg}$ corresponds to the background contribution to the susceptibility, that we considered as a free parameter whose value was chosen in order to observe the desired phenomena. The values for the oscillator strength, $f_{\text{ex}}$, the non-radiative decay rate, $\gamma_{\text{nr}}$, and dephasing rate, $\gamma_{\text{d}}$, refer to WS$_2$ and were taken from [27], for a temperature of $T = 4$ K.

| $h \omega_0$ (eV) | $\mu$ (D) | $\hbar \omega_{\text{ex}}$ (eV) | $f_{\text{ex}}$ | $\gamma_{\text{nr}}$ (meV) | $\gamma_{\text{d}}$ (meV) | $d$ (nm) | $\chi_{bg}$ |
|-------------------|-----------|------------------|------|------------|------------|-------|---------|
| 1.945             | 1.5       | 1.94             | 0.39 | 1.99       | 0.04       | 0.65  | 15      |

Figure 2. (Left) Real and imaginary parts of the susceptibility according to Eq. \(19\). We observe a resonance at the exciton energy $\omega_{\text{ex}} = 1.94$ eV. The real part of the susceptibility is negative in a small interval from approximately 1.940 eV up to 1.965 eV (shaded region). Only in this spectral region does the monolayer TMD support exciton-polaritons. (Right) Exciton-polariton dispersion relation $\omega_q$ using the approximate expression given in Eq. \(13\) and the susceptibility presented in the left panel. The spectrum is only finite in the energy window where Re$\chi$ is negative. The polariton’s momentum is much bigger than that of a photon for a given energy, which agrees with the argument used prior to Eq. \(13\). The parameters of Table I were used.

Tracing out the exciton-polariton degrees of freedom, we obtain the Lindblad equation for the reduced density matrix for the NV-centers in the Schrödinger picture (details of the derivation are provided in Appendix [A]):

\[
\rho(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_i \frac{1}{2} \left( h \omega_0 + \Delta_i \right) \sigma_i^z + \sum_{i \neq j} g_{ij} \sigma_i^- \sigma_j^+ + \rho(t),
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_{i,j} \gamma_{ij} \left( \sigma_j^- \rho(t) \sigma_j^+ - \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma_j^+ \sigma_j^- \rho(t) \sigma_j^- \sigma_j^+ \right) \right),
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_{i,j} \tilde{\gamma}_{ij} \left( \tilde{\sigma}_j^- \rho(t) \tilde{\sigma}_j^+ - \frac{1}{2} \left( \tilde{\sigma}_j^+ \tilde{\sigma}_j^- \rho(t) \tilde{\sigma}_j^- \tilde{\sigma}_j^+ \right) \right). \quad (14)
\]

In the above equation $\Delta_i$ is the so called Lamb shift, representing the correction to the transition energy of the NV-centers, $g_{ij}$ are couplings between the NV-centers mediated by the exciton-polaritons, $\gamma_{ij}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_{ij}$ are dissipation coefficients. For a bath in thermal equilibrium and using the quantum fluctuation-dissipation theorem it is possible to express all these quantities in terms of the Green’s functions of the exciton-polaritons. The electric field retarded/advanced Green’s function for exciton-polaritons is defined as

\[
D_{\alpha\beta}^{R/A}(t; r_i, r_j) = \mp \frac{i}{\hbar} \Theta (\pm t) \left\langle \left[ \mathcal{E}^{\alpha \beta}_{\text{ex-p}}(t; r_i), \mathcal{E}^{\beta \alpha}_{\text{ex-p}}(0; r_j) \right] \right\rangle_R,
\]

(15)

where $\langle \ldots \rangle_R$ represents the quantum thermodynamic average over the isolated reservoir degrees of freedom of the bath, and greek indices run over spatial coordinates. Making a Fourier transform in time, $D_{\alpha\beta}^{R/A}(\omega; r_i, r_j) = \int dt e^{i \omega t} D_{\alpha\beta}^{R/A}(t; r_i, r_j)$, we obtain

\[
D_{\alpha\beta}^{R/A}(\omega; r_i, r_j) = \sum_q \frac{2 \omega_q}{\hbar} \frac{E_{\alpha q}^{\text{ex-p}}(r_i) \left( E_{\beta q}^{\text{ex-p}}(r_j) \right)^*}{(\omega - i \delta)^2 - \omega_q^2}.
\]

(16)

The above expression assumes that exciton-polaritons have an infinite lifetime. This is a good approximation at low temperatures, when losses due to coupling of excitons to phonons are very small [15]. Elastic scattering due to impurities is also small (in Sec. [V] we discuss the role of disorder in more detail). In terms of these Green’s functions, the different coefficients in Eq. \(14\) are given by

\[
\Delta_i = \mathcal{P} \int \frac{d\nu}{2\pi} \left[ 1 + 2b(\nu) \right] \mu_{i,\alpha} A_{\alpha \beta} (\nu; r_i, r_i) \mu_{i,\beta},
\]

(17)

\[
g_{ij} = \mu_{i,\alpha} A_{\alpha \beta} (\omega_{0}; r_i, r_j) \mu_{j,\beta},
\]

(18)

\[
\gamma_{ij} = [1 + b(\omega_{0})] \mu_{i,\alpha} A_{\alpha \beta} (\omega_{0}; r_i, r_j) \mu_{j,\beta},
\]

(19)

\[
\tilde{\gamma}_{ij} = b(\omega_{0}) \mu_{i,\alpha} A_{\alpha \beta} (\omega_{0}; r_i, r_j) \mu_{j,\beta},
\]

(20)

where repeated greek indices are summed over, $\mathcal{P} \int$ denotes the Cauchy principal value of the integral, and $A_{\alpha \beta} (\omega; r_i, r_j) = i \left[ D_{\alpha \beta}^{R}(\omega; r_i, r_j) - D_{\alpha \beta}^{A}(\omega; r_i, r_j) \right]$ (21) is the electric field exciton-polariton spectral function, and

\[
D_{\alpha \beta}^{A}(\omega; r_i, r_j) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ D_{\alpha \beta}^{R}(\omega; r_i, r_j) + D_{\alpha \beta}^{A}(\omega; r_i, r_j) \right]
\]

(22)

is the hermitian part of the Green’s function, which can be written in terms of the spectral function as

\[
D_{\alpha \beta}(\omega; r_i, r_j) = \mathcal{P} \int \frac{d\nu}{2\pi} A_{\alpha \beta} (\nu; r_i, r_i) \frac{\omega - \nu}{\omega - \nu}.
\]

(23)
For the rest of this work, we will focus on the zero temperature case. In that case one has \( \lim_{\nu \to 0} b(\nu) = -\Theta(-\nu) \), such that \( \lim_{\nu \to 0} [1 + 2b(\nu)] = \text{sign}(\nu) \) and \( b(\omega_0) = 0 \), for \( \omega_0 > 0 \). Therefore, we conclude that in the zero temperature limit \( \gamma_{ij} = 0 \).

We notice that the form of Eqs. (17), (20) remains valid if we consider coupling of the NV-centers to the all the electromagnetic degrees of freedom, instead of only the polariton mode. In that case, \( D_{\alpha/\theta}^i(\omega; r_i, r_j) \) would be the full electromagnetic Green’s function. For a linear medium, the full electromagnetic Green’s function can be obtained from the classical Maxwell’s equations, as the response function to a point dipole. Replacing the full \( D_{\alpha/\theta}^i(\omega; r_i, r_j) \) by the exciton-polariton contribution amounts to a polariton-pole approximation to the Green’s function, as shown in Appendix C. This is a good approximation if the exciton-polariton frequency is close to \( \omega_0 \) and if the NV-centers are in close proximity to the TMD.

A. Evaluation of the effective couplings and decay rates

Now that we have obtained both the energy window where polaritons may be excited and their dispersion relation we can move on to the explicit calculation of the parameters \( \gamma_{ij} \), \( \Delta_i \) and \( g_{ij} \). Starting with \( \gamma_{ij} \) and recalling Eqs. (19) and (16), we obtain:

\[
\gamma_{ij} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sum_q \delta(\omega_0 - \omega_q) \mu_i^* \cdot \mathbf{E}_{q,\text{exp}}(r_i) \mathbf{E}_{q,\text{exp}}(r_j) \cdot \mu_j,
\]

Using the previously presented definitions for the mode functions \( \mathbf{E}_{q,\text{exp}}(r) \), converting the sum into an integral, performing the angular integration, and finally using the \( \delta \)-function to compute the remaining integral we find:

\[
\gamma_{ij} = \frac{2\pi q_0}{\hbar} \frac{\hbar \omega_0}{2\pi \epsilon_0 N q_0} \left( \frac{\partial \omega_{q_0}}{\partial q} \right)^{-1} \times
\]

\[
\times e^{-\kappa_i q (z_i + z_j)} M_{ij}(q_0, \rho),
\]

where in the reference frame where the dipoles are separated along the \( x \) direction:

\[
M_{ij}(q, \rho) = \mu_i^* \mu_j \left( J_0(q \rho) - \frac{J_1(q \rho)}{q \rho} \right) + \mu_i^* \mu_j \frac{J_1(q \rho)}{q \rho} + \mu_i^* \mu_j \frac{q^2}{\kappa_i^2 \kappa_j^2} J_0(q \rho) + \mu_i^* \mu_j \frac{q}{\kappa_i \kappa_j} J_1(q \rho).
\]

For zero separation, one obtains the simpler form:

\[
M_{ij}(q, 0) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \mu_i^* \mu_j + \mu_i^* \mu_j \right) + \frac{2q^2}{\kappa_i^2 \kappa_j^2} \mu_i^* \mu_j.
\]

To compute the explicit forms of the \( \Delta_i \) and \( g_{ij} \) we can proceed in a similar way to what we have done with the \( \gamma_{ij} \), the main difference being that we no longer find closed expressions for these parameters. While for the \( \gamma_{ij} \) we had a \( \delta \)-function that allowed us to compute the integrals in a completely analytical way, for the \( \Delta_i \) and \( g_{ij} \) we will have to compute one of the integrals numerically. Recalling Eqs. (13) and (16), we obtain:

\[
g_{ij} = \mathcal{P} \int \frac{dq q}{2\pi} e^{-\kappa_i, q (z_i + z_j)} \frac{\omega_q}{2\epsilon_0 N q} \times \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_0 - \omega_q} - \frac{1}{\omega_0 + \omega_q} \right] M_{ij}(q, \rho),
\]

and for the \( \Delta_i \):

\[
\Delta_i = \mathcal{P} \int \frac{dq q}{2\pi} e^{-\kappa_i, q (z_i + z_j)} \frac{\omega_q}{2\epsilon_0 N q} \times \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_0 - \omega_q} + \frac{1}{\omega_0 + \omega_q} \right] M_{ij}(q, 0),
\]

where \( M_{ij}(q, \rho) \) is defined as before.

In Fig. 3 we present the plot of \( \gamma_{ii} \) as a function of \( \theta \) and \( \gamma_{ij} \) for different dipole configurations as a function of \( \rho \) and \( \theta \). In Fig. 3 (a) we depict \( \gamma_{ii} \) as a function of the angle the dipole makes with the \( z \) axis. We observe that this parameter takes its minimum value when the dipole is parallel to the TMD plane, and the maximum value when it is perpendicular to it. Moreover, we also note the sensitivity of \( \gamma_{ii} \) to the dipole-TMD separation. Small increases on this parameter produce significant changes in the final result; as the separation to the TMD plane increases the magnitude of the exciton-polariton induced decay rate diminishes exponentially, in agreement with the analytical expressions previously found. In Fig. 3 (b) we plot \( \gamma_{12} \) as a function of \( \rho/\lambda_p \), where \( \lambda_p = 2\pi/\sqrt{\epsilon_0} \approx 37 \text{ nm} \), for two parallel dipoles in the \( x-z \) plane with different angles with respect to the \( z \)-axis. We start by noting that, as expected from the different elements of \( M_{ij} \), the parameter \( \gamma_{12} \) presents an oscillatory behavior accompanied by a magnitude decrease as \( \rho \) increases. Mathematically, this is a consequence of the Bessel functions that appear after the angular integration has been performed leading to a spatial decay proportional to \( 1/\sqrt{\rho} \) at large distances, where \( \rho \) is the distance between NV-centers. Physically, this is a consequence of the two-dimensional nature of the polaritons. Furthermore, we observe that \( \gamma_{12} \) is bigger when both dipoles are aligned along the \( z \) axis, although the difference between the different orientations becomes negligible for distances greater than one polariton wavelength. Finally, in Fig. 3 (c) we plot \( \gamma_{12} \) as a function of \( \rho/\lambda_p \), only this time we consider one dipole to be aligned along the \( z \) axis, while the other is placed on a plane parallel to the \( x-y \) plane with different \( \phi \) angles. We first note that, just as in the previous case, \( \gamma_{12} \) presents a decaying and oscillatory behavior as \( \rho \) increases. Moreover, we note that \( \gamma_{12} \) is symmetric when the dipole is aligned along
Figure 3. Interaction parameters, energy shifts, and damping constants for different configurations of the system. In panel (a) we depict $\gamma_{11}$ as a function of $\theta$, the angle the dipole makes with the $z$ axis, for three different NV-center–TMD separations. In panel (b) we depict $\gamma_{12}$ as a function of $\rho/\lambda_p$, where $\rho$ is the in-plane distance between the NV-centers, and $\lambda_p$ is the polariton wavelength for an energy $\hbar\omega_0$; in the present case we have $\lambda_p \approx 37$ nm. We consider that both dipoles lie in the $x$-$z$ plane, with an angle with the $z$-axis given by $\theta = 0$, $\pi/4$ and $\pi/2$ as depicted in the panel. In panel (c) we show $\gamma_{12}$ as a function of $\rho/\lambda_p$, for the configurations shown in the panel: with one dipole along the $z$ axis while the other lies in the $x$–$y$ plane (aligned along $x$, $y$ and $-x$ axes). In panels (d), (e) and (f) show $\Delta_i$ and $g_{12}$ for the same dipole orientations as in panels (a), (b) and (c). In all plots, the NV-centers were assumed to be at a distance $z = 2$ nm from the TMD. The parameters of Table I were used.

The plots of $\Delta_i$ and $g_{12}$ as a function of $\theta$, the angle the dipole makes with the $z$ axis, and $\rho$ for different dipole configurations are depicted in Figs. 3 (d), (e) and (f). Without surprise, we observe that these quantities present a similar behavior to $\gamma_{ij}$. There are two aspects worthy of consideration. (i) Similarly to $\gamma_{12}$ and for not too small separation, $g_{12}$ decays asymptotically as $\sim 1/\sqrt{\rho}$, being roughly in phase opposition to $\gamma_{12}$. (ii) The magnitude of $\Delta_i$ is of the order of 25 $\mu$eV, corresponding to a small energy renormalization of the NV-centers. Comparing the exciton-polariton mediated interaction, $g_{12}$ which decays with $\rho^{-1/2}$, with the electrostatic dipole-dipole interaction, which decays as $\rho^{-3}$, we conclude that the exciton-polariton interaction dominates for $\rho \gtrsim \lambda_p/10$.

IV. EXCITON MEDIATED SUPERRADIANCE

As noted in Sec. III our model is a modification of the Dicke Hamiltonian. The modifications are two fold: (i) only a small number (two) of radiant NV-centers are considered; (ii) there is more than one bosonic mode, labeled by the in-plane momentum $q$. Except for these differences, the model should also present superradiance. The figure of merit allowing to characterize superradiance is [24]:

$$\Gamma = \frac{\gamma_{11} + \gamma_{12} + \gamma_{21} + \gamma_{22}}{\gamma_{11} + \gamma_{22}}.$$

(30)
In Fig. 4 we depict the figures of merit that is, the emission of coherent radiation is suppressed). In the opposite regime, the system is subradiant, i.e., \( \rho / \lambda_p \). This de-pendence of the relative position of the two emitters is crucial to understanding the nature of the exciton-polariton. We have computed this quantity using the modes of the exciton-polariton. We have found that as a consequence of the two-level systems in terms of a Dyadic Green’s function, the density matrix of the exciton-polariton can be written as the product of the density matrix of the exciton-polariton field as a bath which is coupled to the NV-centers via the TMD exciton-polariton field. The full density matrix of the coupled NV-centers/polaritons, \( \chi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}) \), obeys the following equation in the interaction picture [49]:

\[
\dot{\chi}(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[ H_{\text{int}}, \chi(t) \right] - \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \int_0^t \left[ H_{\text{int}}, \chi(t) \right] d\tau',
\]

which is easily obtained from the usual equation of motion for density matrices. The derivation of the Lindblad equation for the density of matrix for the NV-centers involves a series of hypothesis and approximations. First, within the Born approximation, it is assumed that at the density matrix can be written as the product of the density matrix of the NV-centers, \( \rho(t) \), and the time independent density matrix of the exciton-polariton bath, \( R \), i.e., \( \chi(t) = \rho(t) \otimes R \). This hypothesis ignores the initial

\[
\chi(0) = \rho_0 \otimes \chi_0
\]
correlation between the two systems and assumes that the
perturbation to the bath is small. Next, within the
Markov approximation, one replaces $\chi(t') \approx \rho_1(t) \otimes R$
and takes the limit $t \to \infty$ in the integration in the second
term of Eq. (A1). These simplification is justified if the
characteristic time of the bath is much shorter than the
characteristic time of the system, which leads to a loss
of memory and Markovian behavior. Finally, within the
post-trace rotating wave approximation, only energy con-
serving terms are kept. Applying these approximations
to Eq. (A1) and tracing out the degrees of freedom of the
exciton-polariton bath, and returning to the Schrödinger
picture, the equation of motion for the NV-center density
matrix is given by \[50\]

$$
\frac{\partial \rho(t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[ \sum_i \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega_i \sigma^+_i + \sum_i \sum_{s=\pm} \mu_{i,a} S_{\alpha \beta} (s \omega_0; r_i, r_j) \mu^*_{j,\beta} \sigma^+_{s} \sigma^-_{s}, \rho_{I}(t) \right] + \frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_i \sum_{s=\pm} \mu_{i,a} \gamma_{\alpha \beta} (s \omega_0; r_i, r_j) \mu^*_{j,\beta} \left( \sigma^+_{s} \rho^0_{I}(t) \sigma^-_{s} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma^+_{s} \sigma^-_{s} \right) \right),
$$

(A2)

where $\mu^+_{i,\alpha} = \mu^*_{i,\alpha}$, $\mu^-_{i,\alpha} = \mu_{i,\alpha}$, $\bar{s} = -s$, and

$$
S_{\alpha \beta} (\omega; r_i, r_j) = \frac{P}{2\pi} \int \frac{d\nu}{1 + b(\nu)} \frac{A_{\alpha \beta} (\nu; r_i, r_j)}{\omega - \nu},
$$

(A3)

$$
\gamma_{\alpha \beta} (\omega; r_i, r_j) = [1 + b(\omega)] A_{\alpha \beta} (\omega; r_i, r_j),
$$

(A4)

with $A_{\alpha \beta} (\nu; r_i, r_j)$ the electric field spectral function. Using the fact that $A_{\alpha \beta} (\omega; r_i, r_j) = -A_{\beta \alpha} (-\omega; r_j, r_i)$, it is easy to see that the dissipators in the main text are given by

$$
\gamma_{ij} = \mu^+_{i,\alpha} \gamma_{\alpha \beta} (\omega_0; r_i, r_j) \mu^-_{j,\beta},
$$

(A5)

$$
\tilde{\gamma}_{ij} = \mu^-_{i,\alpha} \gamma_{\alpha \beta} (-\omega_0; r_i, r_j) \mu^+_{j,\beta},
$$

(A6)

We will rewrite the terms involving $S_{\alpha \beta} (s \omega_0; r_i, r_j)$ by separating the terms with $i \neq j$ and $i = j$. For the term with $i \neq j$, we write

$$
\sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{s=\pm} \mu_{i,a}^* S_{\alpha \beta} (s \omega_0; r_i, r_j) \mu^*_{j,\beta} \sigma^+_{s} \sigma^-_{s} =
$$

$$
= \sum_{i \neq j} \mu^+_{i,\alpha} S_{\alpha \beta} (\omega_0; r_i, r_j) \mu^-_{j,\beta} \sigma^+_{i} \sigma^-_{j} + \mu^-_{i,\alpha} S_{\alpha \beta} (-\omega_0; r_i, r_j) \mu^+_{j,\beta} \sigma^+_{i} \sigma^-_{j}
$$

$$
= \sum_{i \neq j} \mu^+_{i,\alpha} S_{\alpha \beta} (\omega_0; r_i, r_j) \mu^-_{j,\beta} \sigma^+_{i} \sigma^-_{j} + \mu^-_{i,\alpha} S_{\beta \alpha} (-\omega_0; r_j, r_i) \mu^+_{j,\beta} \sigma^+_{i} \sigma^-_{j}.
$$

(A7)

Using the fact that $[\sigma^+_i, \sigma^-_j] = 0$ for $i \neq j$, we can write

$$
\sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{s=\pm} \mu_{i,a}^* S_{\alpha \beta} (s \omega_0; r_i, r_j) \mu^*_{j,\beta} \sigma^+_{s} \sigma^-_{s} = \sum_{i \neq j} g_{ij} \sigma^+_i \sigma^-_j.
$$

(A8)

where

$$
g_{ij} = \mu^+_{i,\alpha} [S_{\alpha \beta} (\omega_0; r_i, r_j) + S_{\beta \alpha} (-\omega_0; r_j, r_i)] \mu^-_{j,\beta}
$$

$$
= P \int \frac{d\nu}{2\pi} \frac{\mu^+_{i,\alpha} A_{\alpha \beta} (\nu; r_i, r_j) \mu^-_{j,\beta}}{\omega_0 - \nu}
$$

$$
= \mu^+_{i,\alpha} D_{\alpha \beta} (\omega_0; r_i, r_j) \mu^-_{j,\beta}.
$$

(A9)

For the term with $i = j$ we write

$$
\sum_{i} \sum_{s=\pm} \mu_{i,a}^* S_{\alpha \beta} (s \omega_0; r_i, r_i) \mu^*_{j,\beta} \sigma^+_{s} \sigma^-_{s} = \sum_{i} \mu^+_{i,\alpha} S_{\alpha \beta} (\omega_0; r_i, r_i) \mu^-_{j,\beta} \sigma^+_{s} \sigma^-_{s} + \mu^-_{i,\alpha} S_{\alpha \beta} (-\omega_0; r_i, r_i) \mu^+_{j,\beta} \sigma^+_{s} \sigma^-_{s}.
$$

(A10)
Noticing that
\[ \sigma^+_i \sigma^-_i = |e, i\rangle \langle e, i| \]  
(\ref{A11})
\[ \sigma^-_i \sigma^+_i = |g, i\rangle \langle g, i| \]  
(\ref{A12})
We can then write
\[ \sum_i \sum_{s=\pm} \mu^s_{i,a} S_{\alpha\beta} (\omega; r_i, r_i) \mu^s_{i,\alpha} \sigma^s_i \sigma^s_i = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_i \sigma^0_i + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_i \sigma^0_i, \]  
(\ref{A13})
where \( \sigma^0_i = |e, i\rangle \langle e, i| + |g, i\rangle \langle g, i| \).

\[ \Delta_i = \mu^+_i [S_{\alpha\beta} (\omega; r_i, r_i) - S_{\beta\alpha} (\omega; r_i, r_i)] \mu^-_i \]  
(\ref{A14})
and
\[ \Delta_i = \mu^+_i [S_{\alpha\beta} (\omega; r_i, r_i) + S_{\beta\alpha} (\omega; r_i, r_i)] \mu^-_i \]  
(\ref{A15})

If the NV-centers are equal, we have \( \Delta_1 = \Delta_2 \), and therefore, this term only leads to a global shift in energy, which can therefore be ignored.

**Appendix B: Mode length and Green’s function**

In this appendix we give further insight on the derivation of the mode length and Green function presented in the main text.

Following Ref. \[38\], the mode length is defined as:
\[ N(q) = \int \mathbf{A}_q^*(z) \cdot (\bar{\epsilon}_r(z) + \frac{q}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \bar{\epsilon}_r(z)) \cdot \mathbf{A}_q(z) \, dz, \]  
(\ref{B1})
where:
\[ \bar{\epsilon}_r(z) = \bar{\epsilon}_d(z) + \frac{i \sigma(\omega)}{\epsilon_0 \omega} \delta(z), \]  
(\ref{B2})

with \( \bar{\epsilon}_d(z) \) the dielectric function tensor of the different layers composing the system, \( \bar{\sigma}(\omega) \) the conductivity tensor of the TMD and:
\[ \mathbf{A}_q(z) = \begin{cases} u_{1,q}^+ e^{-\kappa_1 q z} & z > 0 \\ u_{2,q}^+ e^{\kappa_2 q z} & z < 0 \end{cases} \]  
(\ref{B3})

Noting that the conductivity tensor only couples to the in-plane degrees of freedom, and observing that the exciton conductivity can be written as:
\[ \sigma(\omega) = -i \hbar \chi_{bg} + \frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{\omega_{ex}^2}{\omega^2 - \omega_{ex}^2}, \]  
(\ref{B4})

where the imaginary part of the susceptibility \( \chi(\omega) \) was discarded, we find:
\[ N(q) = \frac{\epsilon_1 \kappa_1^2 q + q^2}{2 \kappa_1^2 q} + \frac{\epsilon_2 \kappa_2^2 q + q^2}{2 \kappa_2^2 q} + \frac{i \sigma(\omega)}{2 \epsilon_0 \omega} + \frac{i}{\epsilon_0} \frac{\partial \sigma(\omega)}{\partial \omega} \]  
(\ref{B5})

The vector potential operator for the exciton-polariton is therefore, written as
\[ \mathbf{A}_{ex-p}(r) = \sum_q (\mathbf{A}_{q,ex-p}(r)a_q + \mathbf{A}^*_q,ex-p(r)a_q^\dagger) \]  
(\ref{B6})

where
\[ \mathbf{A}_{q,ex-p}(r) = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\epsilon_0 \omega N(q)}} e^{-\kappa_1 q z} \begin{cases} \left( \frac{i q}{q} - \frac{q}{\kappa_1 q} \right) e^{-\kappa_1 q z} & z > 0 \\ \left( \frac{i q}{q} + \frac{q}{\kappa_2 q} \right) e^{\kappa_2 q z} & z < 0 \end{cases} \]  
(\ref{B7})

The electric field operator for the exciton-polariton is then given by Eq. \[7\], with \( \mathbf{E}_{q,ex-p}(r) = i \omega_q \mathbf{A}_{q,ex-p}(r). \)
Appendix C: Exciton-polariton Green’s function as a polariton-pole approximation

In this appendix we will show how the exciton-polariton Green’s function emerges as a polariton-pole approximation to the full electric field Green’s function. For the structure considered in this work, the Green’s function can be written as (for \( z_i, z_j > 0 \)):

\[
D^R(\omega; r_i, r_j) = D^{R,0}(\omega; r_i, r_j) + \frac{1}{A} \sum_{q,\lambda} e^{i q (x_i - x_j)} D^R(\omega; q, z_i, z_j),
\]

(C1)

where \( D^{R,0}(\omega; r_i, r_j) \) is the Green’s function for the field in vacuum and \( D^R(\omega; q, z_i, z_j) \) is the reflected Green’s function for the \( \lambda = s, p \) polarization. These are given by

\[
D^R(\omega; q, z_i, z_j) = -\mu_0 \omega^2 \frac{i}{2k^2_{z,n}} \delta_{\lambda,n} e^{i k_{z,n}(z_i + z_j)} e^\pm_{\lambda,n} \otimes e_{\lambda,1},
\]

(C2)

where \( k_{z,n} = i \kappa_n \), \( e^\pm_{\lambda,n} \) are polarization vectors and \( r_\lambda \) reflection coefficients for the \( \lambda = s, p \) polarizations. Focusing on the \( p \)-polarization, we have

\[
e^\pm_{p,n} = \frac{q}{k_n} e^z \pm i k_{z,n} q,
\]

(C3)

\[
r_p = \frac{\omega^2 \kappa_1 - \omega^2 \kappa_2 + \frac{i}{\epsilon_0} \omega \sigma(\omega)}{\omega^2 \kappa_2 + \omega^2 \kappa_1 + \frac{i}{\epsilon_0} \omega \sigma(\omega)}
\]

(C4)

with \( k^2_n = \epsilon_n \omega^2 / c^2 \). At the exciton-polariton dispersion relation, \( r_p \) has a pole. Let us expand the Green’s function around this pole. Defining

\[
d_q(\omega) = \frac{\omega^2 \epsilon_2}{\kappa_{z,2}} + \frac{\omega^2 \epsilon_1}{\kappa_{z,1}} + \frac{i}{\epsilon_0} \omega \sigma(\omega),
\]

(C5)

the exciton-polariton dispersion relation is defined by \( d_q(\omega_q) = 0 \). Expanding \( d_q(\omega) \) around \( \omega_q \) and keeping only the imaginary part of \( \sigma(\omega) \), we obtain

\[
d_q(\omega) \approx \frac{\partial d_q(\omega)}{\partial (\omega^2)} \mid_{\omega=\omega_q} (\omega^2 - \omega_q^2),
\]

(C6)

where

\[
\frac{\partial d_q(\omega)}{\partial (\omega^2)} \mid_{\omega=\omega_q} = \frac{\epsilon_1 (q^2 + \kappa_{z,1}^2)}{2\kappa_{z,1}} + \frac{\epsilon_2 (q^2 + \kappa_{z,2}^2)}{2\kappa_{z,2}} + i \frac{\epsilon_0}{\epsilon_0} \frac{\partial (\omega \sigma(\omega))}{\partial \omega} \mid_{\omega=\omega_q},
\]

(C7)

which we recognize to be nothing, but the mode length, \( N_q \). Therefore, we obtain the polariton-pole contribution to reflection coefficient

\[
r_p \approx \left[ \frac{2\omega q}{\kappa_{z,1}} \right] \frac{1}{N_q} \frac{1}{\omega^2 - \omega_q^2}
\]

(C8)

approximating the full Green’s function by its polariton-pole contribution, we obtain

\[
D^R(\omega; r_i, r_j) \approx \frac{1}{A} \sum_{q,\lambda} e^{i q (x_i - x_j)} e^{-\kappa_1(z_i + z_j)} \frac{2\omega q}{\omega^2 - \omega_q^2} \left[ i \left[ \frac{q}{q} - \frac{q}{\kappa_{1,1}} e^z \right] \otimes \left[ -i \left[ \frac{q}{q} - \frac{q}{\kappa_{1,1}} e^z \right] \right] \right],
\]

(C9)

which coincides with Eq. 10 of the main text.
