Ubiquitin signaling and autophagy

Ubiquitin is covalently conjugated to lysine (Lys) residues of a substrate protein and mediates its degradation or modulates its biological function acting as a signaling molecule, respectively. Ubiquitin conjugation is a multistep reaction that involves subsequent action of three types of enzymes: E1, ubiquitin-activating enzyme; E2, ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme; and E3, ubiquitin ligases. The whole process begins with the ATP-dependent activation of the C-terminal glycine residue of the ubiquitin molecule and is terminated by the conjugation of ubiquitin to the substrate protein (8, 9). A single ubiquitin (mono-ubiquitination) or several Ub molecules (multiple mono-ubiquitations) can be ligated to a Lys residue of the target protein. Moreover, by linking several ubiquitin moieties through one of their seven lysine residues or the N-terminal methionine residue (M1) different chains are formed (10, 11). Finally, substrate-conjugated Ub molecules can also be modified by other PTMs, such as SUMOylation, phosphorylation, and acetylation, increasing the level of complexity of the ubiquitin code (12, 13). Of note, ubiquitination is a reversible process. The linkage between ubiquitin molecules themselves as well as between ubiquitin and their substrates can be hydrolyzed through the action of deubiquitinating enzymes (DUBs) (14). Taken together, ubiquitination displays a broad variety. Mono- or multi-Ub and the exact Ub chain composition determine the fate of the tagged substrates as well as the type of signaling pathway that is involved in vivo (10).

Ubiquitin-like systems in autophagy

The autophagy core machinery harbors two evolutionarily conserved ubiquitin-like conjugation systems, which are recruited to the autophagosomal membranes during their formation, maturation, and expansion (15). The first one involves the entire process after resolution of the stress situation. Moreover, phosphorylation and ubiquitination processes seem to cross-talk and influence each other to lead the entire degradative flux (2). In general, ubiquitination is the most relevant PTM employed by the ubiquitin-proteasome (UPS) and the autophagy-lysosome (AL) systems to label their substrates. In the cytosol, UPS and AL pathways act simultaneously, share components of their molecular machineries, and constantly influence each other’s activity (3–5). Multiple reports indicate the autophagy receptor p62/sequestosome-1 as the principal molecule that regulates the cross-talk between the two systems (3, 6, 7); however, the strongest commonality between the two degradative systems is the small globular protein ubiquitin (Ub).
ATG7 and ATG10 and is responsible for the formation of the ATG5–ATG12–ATG16L complex; the second one includes ATG3, ATG4, and ATG7 and catalyzes the activation and membrane conjugation of the autophagy ubiquitin-like modifiers (ATG8s). The large family of mammalian ATG8 proteins (mATG8s), which can be subdivided into MAP1LC3s (LC3A, LC3B, and LC3C) and GABARAPs (GABARAP, GABARAP-L1, and GABARAP-L2), are known as ubiquitin-like modifiers for their homology with the Ub molecule and the ubiquitin-like enzymatic cascade that mediates their covalent conjugation to the lipid phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), known as “lipidation.” The conjugation process starts with the protease ATG4, which cleaves the ATG8 proteins and exposes a C-terminal glycine for the subsequent conjugation reaction. Afterward, the cascade proceeds with ATG7, the E1 enzyme; ATG8s are then taken over by the E2 enzyme ATG3; and the ATG5–ATG12–ATG16L complex mediates ATG8 linkage to PE functioning as an E3 ligase complex (Fig. 1). Similar to ubiquitin, the ATG8 conjugation process to PE is reversible. From yeast studies, later confirmed in mammals, Atg4 also functions as the de-conjugating enzyme that releases Atg8 from the membranes (16–18). Interestingly, active mATG8s have not been reported yet to bind other entities than lipid membranes even if their exposed C-terminal glycine, after ATG4 cleavage, makes them suitable for linkage to a lysine on a target protein similarly to the Ub conjugation mechanism. Because of the close relation between the UPS and AL systems and the similarity between the Ub molecule and the mATG8s, it is not surprising that ubiquitination influences the course of autophagy. However, it is still not fully elucidated how the Ub code regulates autophagy in terms of altering protein signaling and targeting regulatory proteins to the proteasome, respectively. Non-degradative Ub signaling stimulates autophagy induction, whereas degradative Ub signaling is needed to restore the basal autophagy flux once the stress situation is resolved (19). The complexity of the Ub signaling in autophagy is reflected by a fine cross-talk between E3 ligases, which add Lys-63 or Lys-48 chains to the components of the regulatory complexes and DUBs that counteract their actions (Fig. 1) (7, 20).

**Autophagy induction via Lys-63 ubiquitination**

In mammalian cells, the ULK1 and PI3K–III kinase complexes are the two major protein structures responsible for autophagy initiation and autophagosome formation. Both are regulated by phosphorylation, mainly due to mTORC1 and AMPK kinases (21–23), and ubiquitination, which involves a network of cross-talks and loops among different E3 ligases and DUBs (7). For example, TRAF6 E3 ligase positively influences both complexes by adding Lys-63 poly-Ub chains. Recent data showed that TRAF6 assembles Ub chains on ULK1 promoting its stabilization, self-association, and autophagy functions in HeLa cells. Their interaction is not direct but is mediated by AMBRA1, which acts as a bridging protein. In standard growing conditions, mTORC1 negatively regulates AMBRA1 function via its direct phosphorylation. Upon starvation, mTORC1 is inactive resulting in de-phosphorylated AMBRA1, which can bind TRAF6 and bring the E3 ligase in proximity to ULK1. Lys-63 poly-Ub chains activate ULK1, which consequently phosphorylates AMBRA1, initiating a positive regulatory loop and promoting AMBRA1 autophagy functions. Of note, ULK1 and mTORC1 phosphorylate AMBRA1 on different sites (24, 25). Besides, BECLIN 1, which forms the catalytic core of the PI3K–III complex together with VPS34 and VPS15, is also a substrate of TRAF6 in macrophages. The binding of lipopolysaccharides to TLR4 triggers a signaling cascade, which disrupts the binding between BECLIN 1 and BCL2. As a consequence, TRAF6 catalyzes the formation of Lys-63 poly-Ub chains on Lys-117 in the BH3 domain of BECLIN 1. The Ub chain generates an impediment for BCL2 binding to BECLIN 1; therefore, it promotes BECLIN 1-mediated autophagy induction. Of note, the DUB A20 hydrolyzes the Lys-63 poly-Ub chain of BECLIN 1 restoring the binding with BCL2 (26–29). Interestingly, also DAPK phosphorylation of BECLIN 1 in its BH3 domain abrogates the association with BCL2 (30). Hence, these two PTMs accomplish the same biological function, and it could be possible that BECLIN 1 phosphorylation also entails its ubiquitination, meaning that the TRAF6 E3 ligase senses the phosphorylation as a recruitment priming factor (31). Moreover, BECLIN 1 PTMs represent a cross-talking point between the ULK1 and PI3K–III complexes. Upon nutrient deprivation in mammalian cells and murine animal models, AMBRA1 directly interacts with BECLIN 1 priming its ubiquitination on Lys-437. This Lys-63-polyubiquitination is mediated by the E3 Rbx1/Cul4-ligase, which forms a complex with AMBRA1 to target BECLIN 1 under starvation. When standard conditions are restored, this reaction is reversed by the Wiskott–Aldrich syndrome protein and the SCAR homologue WASW, which is an endosomal protein belonging to the WASP protein family (32). Moreover, ULK1 can phosphorylate BECLIN 1 increasing the PI3K–III complex activity (33). The reported cross-talk between the two complexes raises the question whether AMBRA1 could also be involved in TRAF6-mediated BECLIN 1 Lys-63 ubiquitination in macrophages as a bridging protein, and whether this ubiquitination is triggered by ULK1 phosphorylation of both BECLIN 1 and AMBRA1. Moreover, although AMBRA1 and BECLIN 1 are involved in ubiquitin-dependent regulatory processes, VPS34 and VPS15 have so far been considered only for their phosphorylation capability. However, KAP1 SUMO-ligase mediates SUMO1 conjugation to VPS34. As a result, VPS34 interacts stronger with the PI3K–III complex that promotes the formation of the phagophore (34).

The role of the autophagosome is to engulf the cytosolic cargo, whereas degradation of the material enclosed occurs after fusion with a lysosome. For this reason, lysosome function and biogenesis are as important as those of autophagosomes. TFEB is the master transcriptional regulator of genes involved in autophagosome and lysosome biogenesis (35). So far, TFEB regulation was known to occur mainly by its phosphorylation via mTORC1. Phosphorylated TFEB is inactive and sequestered in the cytosol. Upon autophagy induction and mTORC1 inactivation, TFEB is dephosphorylated and can translocate, as dimer, into the nucleus where it acts as a transcription factor (35, 36). Recently, it has been shown that phosphorylated TFEB is further modified by STUB1 E3 ubiquitin ligase by analyzing Stub1−/− mice and further confirming in HeLa cells. STUB1
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binding to TFEB is mediated by the chaperone protein HSP70 and, even if the specific Lys residue and the type of ubiquitin chain are not yet known, the degradation of Ub-modified TFEB increases the cytosolic amount of de-phosphorylated protein, which can form homodimers and translocate into the nucleus. Of note, heterodimers of phosphorylated and dephosphorylated TFEB do not shuttle to the nucleus and function as transcription factors (37). Interestingly, TFEB is the first evidence of a Ub degradative signal on an autophagy master regulator that mediates activation of autophagy (Fig. 1B).

**Autophagy termination is regulated via degradative ubiquitination**

Once the stress situation is resolved, for example when the standard nutrient conditions are restored, or when the degradative process is markedly extending in time, the autophagy machinery needs to be shut down to avoid the excessive degradation of cellular components that will lead to apoptosis. Once more, the cross-talk between phosphorylation and ubiquitination signals on the ULK1 and PI3K–III complexes primes the process (19). The degradative ubiquitin signal, which involves the Lys-48 Ub chain’s labeling of the target protein followed by its subsequent proteasomal degradation, reduces the protein level of the autophagy regulators restoring a physiological basal autophagy flux. The HECT-type E3 ligase NEDD4 mediates Lys-11-linked ubiquitination of BECLIN 1, which is subsequently degraded. The reduced level of BECLIN 1 destabilizes the VPS34–BECLIN 1 complex affecting its biological function and consequentially blocking autophagy (38). NEDD4L, an E3 ligase highly homologous to NEDD4, negatively modulates ULK1 protein level during autophagy progression boosting its degradation. NEDD4L directs ULK1 to the proteasome via Lys-27- and Lys-29-linked polyubiquitination (39). Moreover, in the specific case of selenite-induced mitophagy in HeLa cells, ULK1 becomes a substrate for the mitochondrial outer membrane (MOM) E3 ligase MUL1 (40). Recently it has been described that ULK1, BECLIN 1, and VPS34 are also substrates of CULLIN3–KHLH20 ligase, which mediates their degradation via Lys-48 poly-Ub chains (41).

Interestingly, BECLIN 1 and AMBRA1 play a pivotal role in both autophagy induction and termination. Therefore, their protein level is finely controlled by several E3 ligases and DUBs. Under prolonged stress conditions such as long starvation periods in HeLa cells, the CULLIN4–DDB1 complex shuts down autophagy promoting AMBRA1 degradation via the proteasome (42). Moreover, RNF2 E3 ligase can modify Lys-45 of AMBRA1 with a Lys-48–linked Ub chain, which targets the substrate directly to the proteasome (43). However, understanding BECLIN 1 regulation is probably the most challenging endeavor. Besides its direct interaction with NEDD4, BECLIN 1 function is indirectly regulated also by the E3 ligase PARKIN. In HeLa cells, the interaction between BECLIN 1 and BCL2 is strengthened by PARKIN-mediated mono-ubiquitination of BCL2, with the consequent result of impairing BECLIN 1 function in autophagy (44). The exact mechanism of how mono-ubiquitination contributes to the stability of the complex has remained unclear so far. However, the dual function of PARKIN reported, depending on its cellular localization, bears interesting starting points for further, deeper studies. On mitochondria, it promotes Lys-63 and Lys-48 poly-Ub chain reactions to induce mitophagy, although when PARKIN is cytosolic, it has an inhibitory effect on autophagy relayed through mono-ubiquitination of BCL2. Last, but not less important, is the DUB-mediated regulation of BECLIN 1 protein levels. Here, the DUBs USP13 and USP10 normally protect BECLIN 1 from ubiquitination. Upon their inhibition by cellular treatment with the chemical compound Spautin-1, a still unknown E3 ligase rapidly tags BECLIN 1 with degradative Ub chains and directs it to the proteasome (45). Importantly, a similar scenario is pictured by geldanamycin, which displaces the chaperone protein HSP90 from BECLIN 1 with the final result of Lys-48 polyubiquitin chain formation on BECLIN 1 (46). Also, in the case of geldanamycin, the E3 ligase involved is still not characterized (Fig. 1C).

It is worth speculating that the autophagy system employs E3 ligases in a cascade-like regulation of different steps in the induction, procedure, and termination of the flux. Moreover, the existence of such a thorny E3 ligase network is extremely challenging when characterizing its details, and obviously the cross-talk between proteasome and autophagy represents a future frontier for the biomedicine.

**Role of ubiquitin in selective autophagy**

Selective autophagy can be considered a surgical instrument used by the cell to eliminate protein aggregates and damaged organelles without affecting any other cytosolic components. The degradative process is driven and controlled by specialized molecules: the autophagy receptors, which physically link the autophagosomal membranes with the cargo for clearance. Selective autophagy can be classified as ubiquitin-dependent or -independent. In both cases, receptors harbor an LIR motif to bind the ATG8s, which are linked to the expanding autophagosomal membranes. In the case of ubiquitin-dependent autophagy, receptors directly bind the ubiquitin chains, present on the cargo surface, through their ubiquitin-binding domain. Of note, the two types of selective autophagy are not exclusive, frequently both kind of receptors are found present on the same cargo (47–49). Several types of selective autophagy have been observed and named accordingly to the substrate they target for degradation (47, 48). Technically, all ubiquitin-labeled cellular components are suitable for lysosomal degradation; however, autophagy can distinguish its specific cargos leaving the other cellular components unaffected, which are also labeled with poly-Ub chains, or directing them to the proteasome. One pos-
sible explanation is the presence of ubiquitin clusters, which increase the avidity for the autophagy receptors on the cargo surface. An additional level of regulation could be the quality of the Ub chains. Lys-63 chains seem to have a preferential affinity for the autophagy receptors (50, 51), whereas proteins decorated with Lys-48-, Lys-27-, Lys-11-linked ubiquitin chains undergo proteasomal degradation (52). Nevertheless, in autophagy-deficient mice displaying compromised autophagy flux, all ubiquitin chain types are enriched (53). Therefore, the relative contribution of the different chains is still unclear and a matter of investigation.

**Aggrephagy**

So far, almost 20 different types of selective autophagy have been described (47, 48), and nearly half of them are ubiquitin-driven. In this context, the first one to be described was aggrephagy, probably because it represents one of the best examples of cross-talk between the UPS- and AL-degradative systems (Fig. 2A). Therefore, it is not surprising that many components of the ubiquitin system are shared between the UPS and aggrephagy. Generally spoken, the proteasome mainly degrades proteins linked to Lys-48 poly-Ub chains, whereas the
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**Figure 2. Autophagy receptors bind to Lys-63 polyubiquitin chains and recruit phagophores to the cargo.**

A, in aggrephagy, multiple E3 ligases are involved in the protein aggregate ubiquitination. p62 and NBR1 receptors are recruited via Lys-63 chains. SUMO, FAT10, and ISG15 are other ubiquitin-like proteins, which label the protein aggregate surface. ISG15 interacts with p62, whereas BAG3 binds simultaneously Lys-48 Ub chains and p62 redirecting Lys-48 substrates to lysosomes. B, during mitophagy, PARKIN translocates to the mitochondria, where it mediates the ubiquitination of a plethora of mitochondrial proteins. OMM proteins, which are involved in the mitochondrial fusion process and anchorage to the cytoskeleton, are degraded via proteasome. Isolated single organelles are then recognized by autophagy receptors via Lys-63 polyubiquitin chains. C, damaged lysosomes are eliminated via autophagy, too. To recruit LC3 on the lysosome surface, Lys-48 polyubiquitin chains must be removed by the ELDR complex. Lys-63 chains are unaffected by ELDR, so they promote autophagy receptor recruitment. The E3 ligases involved in lysosome ubiquitination are so far unknown. D, upon infection, the Salmonella surface is tagged by several E3 ligases as a defense mechanism. LRSAM1 and ARIH add, respectively, Lys-6–Lys-27 and Lys-48 poly-Ub chains. Linear Ub chains are formed and regulated by the HOIP1 and OTULIN (LUBAC complex), whereas the E3 ligase responsible for Lys-63 chains is unknown. The autophagy machinery is recruited by Lys-63 and linear chains.
aggrephagy machinery is stimulated by Lys-63 poly-Ub chains, which recruit the autophagy receptors p62 and NBR1 (54, 55). As part of the reversible signaling networks, de-ubiquitination is involved in aggrephagy, too. The USP9X protease removes mono-ubiquitin from the toxin α-synuclein, which is then preferentially disposed in the lysosome rather than by the proteasome (56). The proteasome subunit RPNI1, a DUB, generates unanchored free Ub chains, which bind and activate HDAC6 that favors protein aggregate clearance supporting the autophagosome and cargo movement along microtubules (57, 58). Autophagy receptors and Lys-63 poly-Ub chains are essential for aggrephagy, and their activity is maintained also by other Ub-related molecules. The ubiquitin-like proteins SUMO-1 and FAT10 bind and contribute to the formation of protein aggregates (59, 60), whereas ISG15 binds to HDAC6 and p62 promoting lysosomal clearance of aggregates (61). Finally, BAG family members BAG1 and BAG3 compete for the HSP-bound polyubiquitinated substrates. Although BAG1 delivers chaperon-recognized misfolded proteins to the proteasome, BAG3 directly interacts with p62 and, at the same time, binds to Lys-48 poly-Ub chains pulling through the lysosome proteins initially addressed to the proteasome (62).

Mitophagy

Mitochondrial clearance is another relevant example of collaboration between the autophagy and proteasome ubiquitin signals (Fig. 2B). Exhausted mitochondria are eliminated via both Ub-dependent and Ub-independent autophagy. The E3 ligase PARKIN mediates the ubiquitination of mitochondrial proteins in order to promote mitophagy. Conversely, FUNDC1, BNIP3, and NIX are specific autophagy receptors, which lead to Ub-independent mitophagy (63, 64). Independently of the type of mitophagy, mitochondria must go through a fission process to be enclosed in an autophagosome. The dysfunctional organelle is quarantined from the whole mitochondrial network, and its anchorage from the microtubules is relieved. These biological processes are all finely regulated by ubiquitin at different levels. Mitochondrial outer membrane (MOM) proteins MFN1/2, FIS1, DRP1, and OPA1, involved in mitochondrial fission and fusion, are ubiquitinated by E3 ligases and then removed via the proteasome, boosting a re-arrangement of the mitochondrial network morphology (65–68). Degradation of MOM fusion proteins (MFN2 and OPA1) unbalances the mitochondrial dynamic to fission, which is necessary to isolate the single damaged organelle. At the same time, MIRO clearance ensures the release of the mitochondria from their motor complex and makes them suitable for engulfment into autophagosomes (69). In contrast, during amino acid starvation healthy mitochondria escape degradation promoting their elongation to preserve ATP production and avoid mitophagy (70, 71). In this context, Lys-48 polyubiquitination of DRP1, MFN1, and MiD49 eliminates the fission protein regulators and therefore supports mitochondrial fusion and elongation (66, 72). Isolated and dysfunctional mitochondria are then ready to be engulfed by double membranes and eliminated. The core mitophagy process is led by the kinase PINK1, which phosphorylates the E3 ligase PARKIN and the poly-Ub chains on the mitochondrial surface, generating a positive feedback loop. Phospho-Ub chains display a stronger affinity for PARKIN, which is consequently more efficiently recruited from the cytosol to the mitochondria (73–77). Moreover, PARKIN ubiquitination is an activation signal for this E3 ligase itself, which is responsible for the ubiquitination of a plethora of MOM proteins. The massive PARKIN ubiquitination favors the binding of the autophagy receptors (p62, OPTN, NDP2, TAX1BP1, and NBR1), which bridge the autophagy membranes. Furthermore, TBK1 kinase mediates the phosphorylation of all five mitophagy receptors, generating a positive signaling loop (78, 79). On the surface of mitochondria, PARKIN also interacts with AMBRA1. The nature and the meaning of this interaction is not completely clear as yet (80, 81). Potentially, AMBRA1 functions as an adaptor to recruit other E3 ligases like TRAF6 and CUL4–DDB1 to mitochondria. As part of the PARKIN-mediated mitophagy, the USP30 DUB controls PARKIN activity by removing poly-Ub chains from the mitochondrial surface (82).

Lysophagy

Damaged lysosomes are themselves a target for selective autophagy, and different types of poly-Ub chains have been detected on their surface (83–85). Yet, only recently has the significance of the poly-Ub chains that label lysosomes been partially elucidated (Fig. 2C). Damaged lysosomes are initially tagged with Lys-63 poly-Ub chains, which recruit p62, and in the second instance, only a subpopulation of dysfunctional organelles is decorated by Lys-48 poly-Ub chains. Lys-48 ubiquitination is the signal for p97 recruitment on the damaged lysosomes, where it cooperates with the co-factors UBXD1, PLAA, and YOD1 (endo-lysosomal damage response (ELDR) complex) to specifically remove the Lys-48 poly-Ub chains. Both ATPase activity of p97 and the de-ubiquitinase function of YOD1 are essential to remove Lys-48 polyubiquitin. Additionally, the whole integrity of the ELDR complex is required to extract Lys-48 poly-Ub chains. Interestingly, although ELDR does not affect p62 recruitment, because it leaves the Lys-63 chains unaffected, removal of Lys-48 poly-Ub chains favors the arrival of mATG8s on damaged lysosome surfaces (86). This situation is reminiscent of mitochondria during mitophagy, so it will be extremely interesting to understand which are the Lys-48 target proteins and why they need to be eliminated to recruit LC3. The clearance of Lys-48 chains could be functional to unbalance the poly-Ub chain population, in favor of the Lys-63 ones, or to degrade specific substrates that may inhibit or mask the still unknown autophagy receptors on the lysosomal surface. Furthermore, the identity of the E3 ligases that mediate lysosomal Lys-63 and Lys-48 ubiquitination still remains an open question.

Xenophagy

Another interesting example of Ub-dependent selective autophagy is xenophagy of Salmonella typhimurium or other intracellular pathogens such as Mycobacterium (Fig. 2D) (87–89). Bacterial infection triggers a dynamic change in the global ubiquitinome in both the host cell and bacteria itself (90). In the host cell, the Salmonella surface is promptly tagged with multiple types of Ub chains, which activate the cellular defense mechanism to constrain pathogen growth (87, 89). The E3
ligases responsible for the pathogen ubiquitination are LRSAM1 for Lys-6 and Lys-27 chains, ARIH for Lys-48 chains, and HOIP1 for the linear ubiquitination (91–93). Curiously, the E3 ligase responsible for the Lys-63 chains’ decoration of bacteria is still unknown. Likewise, the E3 ligase RNF166 also localizes to Salmonella, but it mediates Lys-29 and Lys-33 polyubiquitination of p62 and not of pathogen proteins (94). Of note, there is a significant increase in ubiquitination sites of linear poly-Ub chains upon infection (M1-linked), assembled by the LUBAC complex, which induces two different types of signaling response: selective autophagy induction and NF-κB–signaling activation. Moreover, different poly-Ub chains are clustered in small, distinct foci on the bacterial surface, where even some hybrid poly-Ub chains are detected (95). M1 and Lys-63 polyubiquitin chains are recognized by p62, NDP52, and OPTN, which mediate the recruitment of the autophagy machinery around the pathogen. In particular, OPTN is fundamental to restrict Salmonella infection via xenophagy. OPTN affinity for LC3 is then further increased by TBK1, which mediates OPTN phosphorylation in its LIR domain (89, 90). Additionally, linear chains function as a platform for NF-κB signaling activation. M1-Ub chains on Salmonella trigger the local recruitment of NEMO, activation of IKKα/IKKβ, and consequently NF-κB, which in turn promotes the secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines to restrict bacterial proliferation (92, 95). Interestingly, the only DUB that has been identified so far to counteract Salmonella infection is OTULIN, which is a key component of the LUBAC complex (95).

Overall, ubiquitin signaling is involved in several types of selective autophagy and regulates the process at different stages. The combination of Lys-48, Lys-63, M1, and likely other poly-Ub chain signaling potentially mediates cargo morphology changing and its cytosolic isolation, the recognition by the autophagy receptors, and eventually the activation of other signaling pathways. A further level of complexity is then achieved with the presence of additional PTMs on poly-Ub chains, like phosphorylation (12).

**Concluding remarks**

The autophagy field has been extensively investigated during the course of the past years. However, how the whole pathway is activated in response to stress stimuli still represents a challenge. The molecular signaling looks like an intricate combination of PTMs, which activate and inhibit the regulatory complexes generating a domino effect. Unraveling the cross-relations among the different PTMs is of great interest. The combined impact of phosphorylation, ubiquitination, and acetylation allows regulatory proteins to rapidly activate and assemble as well as to self-inhibit their action (19, 96). Further work is still required to elucidate the ubiquitination reaction and the identity of the E3 ligases responsible for activating or inhibiting the autophagic processes. The list of E3 ligases involved in the regulative steps is constantly growing. The enzymes can act together, during the same phase of the process, or work sequentially at different stages, and they can also counteract each other. Most important, the nature of the generated poly-Ub chains on the target proteins determines their fate. Lys-63 chains are used to positively regulate the system, whereas Lys-48 poly-Ub chains have an inhibitory effect. Interestingly, linear ubiquitin chains still do not have a precise identity in the signaling cascade. Until now, their role has been described only during xenophagy; nevertheless, they may be involved in other types of selective autophagy as well as in the regulative signaling. Of great relevance is also the Ub signal that modifies the dynamics of the targeted organelles to prepare them for the selective autophagy. Only mitochondria have been studied so far; however, the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) morphology can also be affected during bacterial infection by a novel type of phosphoribosyl-dependent serine ubiquitination (97, 98). The two ER-phagy receptors FAM134B and RTN3 harbor the intrinsic property to shape ER membranes, so their relation to ubiquitin could further explain their molecular mechanism of action in ER-phagy (99, 100). Moreover, the elucidation of the dynamic regulatory network is important to understand the cross-talks among the different components in the occurrence of the plethora of autophagy-linked diseases.
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