Effect of Zinc applications on Grain Yield, Straw Yield and Harvest Index in kharif Rice (Oryza sativa L.) Genotypes
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A B S T R A C T

A field experiment was carried out at college farm, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, during kharif seasons of 2017 and 2018. The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design with factorial concept and replicated thrice. The experiment consisted of three varieties viz., IET-25450 (V1), BPT-5204 (V2) and IET-24766 (V3), three soil base zinc application (S1) control (00 kg ZnSO4 ha⁻¹), (S2) 10 kg ZnSO4 ha⁻¹ at the time of transplanting and (S3) 20 kg ZnSO4 ha⁻¹ at the time of transplanting and two foliar Zn application (F1) 0 % Zn EDTA and (F2) 1 % Zn EDTA spray at tillering and grain filling stage. Among the varieties, IET-24766 gave significantly higher grain and straw yield and harvest index followed by IET-25450 and BPT-5204 and soil base zinc application of 20 kg ZnSO4 ha⁻¹ at the time of transplanting as well as foliar zinc application of 1 % Zn EDTA spray at tillering and grain filling stage resulted in higher values in grain and straw yield and harvest index during in pooled analysis.

Introduction

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) remains the staple food for nearly half the world’s population, most of them living in Asia, and many of them among the poorest people in the world (Fischer 1998). In Asia, rice is the premier food crop and foremost cereal and therefore, food security largely depends on productivity of the rice ecosystem. India is first in terms of area (43.79 mha) and second in production (168.50 mt) of paddy, next only to China. However, the average productivity of paddy in India is only (3.85 t ha⁻¹) compared with a world average of 4.60 t ha⁻¹, still well below the world average, although increasingly marginally (FAO 2017). Micronutrient deficiencies are becoming serious because of
escalated nutrient demand from more intensive and exploitative agriculture, coupled with use of single-nutrient fertilizers and low amounts of organic manures (Savithri, 1999). The Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) has determined that zinc is the most commonly deficient micronutrient in agricultural soils. Analysis of over 256,000 soil samples from all over India showed that about 50% of the soils were deficient in zinc and that this was the most common micronutrient problem affecting crop yields in India (Singh, 2009). In rice, low plant-available Zn in soil causes leaf bronzing and poor tillering at the early growth stages, leading to delayed maturity and significant yield loss (Neue et al., 1998 and Dobermann and Fairhurst, 2000). The main cause of deficiency of plant available Zn in soil is the precipitation or adsorption of Zn with various soil components, depending on the pH and redox potential (Impa and Johnson-Beebout, 2012). Zinc is one of the essential micronutrients, which serves as a co-factor for many enzymes involved in the metabolism of carbohydrates, lipids, proteins, and nucleic acids, hence is important for normal growth and development of plants and animals (Roohani et al., 2013 and Sadeghzadeh, 2013).

In rice, low plant-available Zn in soil causes leaf bronzing and poor tillering at the early growth stages, leading to delayed maturity and significant yield loss (Neue et al., 1998 and Dobermann and Fairhurst 2000). Zn is commonly applied in rice under lowland condition before flooding or after transplanting to prevent Zn deficiency and for increased grain yield (Dobermann and Fairhurst, 2000 and Naik and Das, 2007). The main cause of deficiency of plant available Zn in soil is the precipitation or adsorption of Zn with various soil components, depending on the pH and redox potential (Impa and Johnson-Beebout, 2012). Amongst different methods, the foliar spray of zinc is an efficient one for enhancement of crop productivity. Foliar application of Zn post flowering is effective in increasing zinc in rice grains (Boonchuay et al., 2013 and Yuan et al., 2013). Applying Zn to the soil and treating seeds or plants increase plant yields (Naik and Das, 2007; Shivay et al., 2008 and Rehman et al., 2012). Results reported by (Mahmoodi and Mogadam, 2015) showed that the increasing Zn concentration in foliar application, increased yield and yield components of rice significantly. Foliar application of nutrients has become an efficient way to increase yield and quality of crops (Roemheld and El-Fouly, 1999). The aim of this experiment was to study effect of Zn applications on grain yield, straw yield and harvest index in kharif rice genotypes.

**Materials and Methods**

A field experiment was conducted at college farm, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat, India during kharif seasons of 2017 and 2018. The experiment consisted of three varieties viz., IET-25450 (V<sub>1</sub>), BPT-5204 (V<sub>2</sub>) and IET-24766 (V<sub>3</sub>), three soil base zinc application (S<sub>1</sub>) control (00 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>), (S<sub>2</sub>) 10 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> at the time of transplanting and (S<sub>3</sub>) 20 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> at the time of transplanting followed by two foliar Zn application 0 % Zn EDTA (F<sub>1</sub>) and 1 % Zn EDTA (F<sub>2</sub>) spray at tillering and grain filling stage was laid out in randomized block design with factorial concept and replicated thrice with an objective to study the effect of Zn application on grain and straw yield and harvest index in rice genotypes. Other
recommended agronomical practices in vogue were followed for reaping good crop.

The crop was harvested at full maturity when 80-90% of the grains were turned into straw colored. The crop was cut at the ground level and plot wise crop was bundled separately and brought to the threshing floor. Grains and straw yield obtained from each unit plot were sun-dried and weighed carefully. The dry weight of grains and straw of five sample plants were added to the respective unit plot yield to record the final grain yield plot$^{-1}$ and grain yield per plant. The summation of grain and straw yield were considered as biological yield. Harvest index was calculated from the grain and straw yield of rice for each plot and expressed in percentage.

The observations recorded during the course of investigation were tabulated and analyzed statistically to draw a valid conclusion. The data were analyzed as per the standard procedure of “Analysis of Variance” (ANOVA) as described by Gomez and Gomez (1984). The significance of treatments was tested by ‘F’ test (Variance ratio). Standard error of mean (S.Em.±) was computed for various factors. Critical difference (CD) was used to know the differences exist between treatment mean at 5% level of significance where ‘F’ test showed significant differences among means by the following formula: C.D. = S.E. (d) × t $0.05$, edf

**Results and Discussion**

**Grain and straw yield per plant**

The two year and pooled paddy yield data are presented in Table 1 and Figure 1 and 2. Scrutiny of data revealed that varietal effect is significantly different for grain yield and straw yield per plant similar trend was recorded during first and second year of investigation. The varieties IET-24766 (V$_3$) produced higher mean grain yield per plant (19.12 g) and straw yield per plant (23.37 g). But, grain and straw yield per plant of variety IET-24766 (V$_3$) was statistically at par with variety IET-25450 (V$_1$). While, significantly lower mean grain yield per plant (16.28 g) and straw yield per plant (21.18 g) registered under variety BPT-5204 (V$_2$).

The perusal of the data clearly indicated that soil base zinc application influenced the grain yield per plant of rice during both the years. Amongst zinc application, application of 20 kg ZnSO$_4$ ha$^{-1}$ (S$_3$) at the time of transplanting recorded higher mean grain yield per plant (18.80 g) and straw yield per plant (23.41 g) over other soil base zinc application treatments. However, it was statistically at par with (S$_2$) 10 kg ZnSO$_4$ ha$^{-1}$ at the time of transplanting. Minimum mean grain yield per plant (16.88 g) and straw yield per plant (21.39 g) were recorded in control (S$_1$) 00 kg ZnSO$_4$ ha$^{-1}$.

Foliar zinc application produced significant variation on grain and straw yield per plant. Treatment 1 % Zn EDTA at tillering and grain filling stage proved significantly maximum mean grain yield per plant (18.55 g) and straw yield per plant (23.04 g). The lowest grain yield per plant (17.06 g) and straw yield per plant (21.75 g) recorded under 0 % Zn EDTA.

The interaction effects in respect of Grain and straw yield per plant and harvest index between variety and soil base Zn application (V x S), variety and foliar Zn application (V x F), soil base Zn application and foliar Zn application (S x F) and variety, soil base Zn application and foliar Zn application (V x S x F) were dose not show any significant effect in individual years of investigation and in pooled analysis.

The increase in grain and straw yield with application of zinc may be attributed to
adequate supply of zinc that might have increased the availability and uptake of other essential nutrients resulting in improvement in metabolic activities and also due to the effect of zinc on the proliferation of roots. Similar findings were also reported by (Muthukumararaja et al., 2013).

Grain and straw yield kg per plot and harvest index

The two year and pooled paddy yield data are presented in Table 1 and Figure 3, 4 and 5. Scrutiny of data revealed that varietal effect is significantly different for grain yield and straw yield kg per plot similar trend was recorded during first and second year of investigation. The varieties IET-24766 (V3) produced higher mean grain yield kg per plot (5.47) and straw yield kg per plot (6.68) Harvest index dose not shows significant effect. But, grain and straw yield kg per plot of variety IET-24766 (V3) was statistically at par with variety IET-25450 (V1). While, significantly lower mean grain yield kg per plot (4.66) and straw yield kg per plot (6.06) registered under variety BPT-5204 (V2).

The perusal of the data clearly indicated that soil base zinc application influenced the grain yield kg per plot of rice during both the years. Amongst zinc application, application of 20 kg ZnSO4 ha−1 (S3) at the time of transplanting recorded higher mean grain yield kg per plot (5.38) and straw yield kg per plot (6.70) over other soil base zinc application treatments but harvest index dose not shows any significant effect. However, it was statistically at par with (S2) 10 kg ZnSO4 ha−1 at the time of transplanting. Minimum mean grain yield kg per plot (4.83) and straw yield kg per plot (6.12) were recorded in control (S1) 00 kg ZnSO4 ha−1.

Foliar zinc application produced significant variation on grain and straw yield kg per plot. Treatment 1 % Zn EDTA at tillering and grain filling stage proved significantly maximum mean grain yield kg per plot (5.31) and straw yield kg per plot (6.59) but harvest index does not show any significant effect. The lowest grain yield kg per plot (4.88) and straw yield kg per plot (6.22) recorded under 0 % Zn EDTA.

The interaction effects in respect of Grain and straw yield kg per plot and harvest index between variety and soil base Zn application (V x S), variety and foliar Zn application (V x F), soil base Zn application and foliar Zn application (S x F) and variety, soil base Zn application and foliar Zn application (V x S x F) were dose not show any significant effect in individual years of investigation and in pooled analysis.

Soil application and foliar sprays might have made adequate availability of Zn which has facilitated the growth of the plant, due to its involvement in many metallic enzyme system, regulatory functions and auxin production (Sachdev et al., 1988) increased synthesis and transport of carbohydrates to the sink (Peda et al., 2007 and Muthukumararaja et al., 2012). Wang et al., (2014) and Imran et al., (2015) also reported increase in straw yield with application of Zn. Supply of Zn in soil base zinc application and foliar sprays might have made adequate availability of Zn which has facilitated the growth of the plant, due to its involvement in many metallic enzyme system, regulatory functions and auxin production (Sachdev, et al., 1998) increased synthesis and transport of carbohydrates to the sink (Peda et al., 2007, Muthukumararaja et al., 2012 and Wang et al., 2014), initiation of primodial reproductive parts and partitioning of photosynthates towards them (Wear and Haghler, 1968). The favourable influence of applied Zn on yield may be explained to its catalytic or stimulatory effect on most of the physiological and metabolic process of plants.
**Table 1** Effect of zinc application on grain yield, straw yield and harvest index

|                  | Grain yield per plant | Straw yield g per plant | Grain yield kg per plot | Straw yield kg per plot | Harvest index |
|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
|                  | Y1        | Y2        | Pooled | Y1      | Y2      | Pooled | Y1     | Y2     | Pooled | Y1 | Y2 | Pooled |
| **V**            |            |           |        |          |          |         |         |         |         |       |    |       |        |
| V1               | 17.81     | 18.21     | 18.01  | 22.44    | 22.86    | 22.65   | 5.09    | 5.21   | 5.15    | 6.42 | 6.54 | 6.48   | 44.10 | 44.19 | 44.15 |
| V2               | 16.07     | 16.52     | 16.29  | 20.97    | 21.39    | 21.18   | 4.59    | 4.72   | 4.66    | 6.00 | 6.12 | 6.06   | 43.52 | 43.73 | 43.63 |
| V3               | 18.89     | 19.35     | 19.12  | 23.11    | 23.63    | 23.37   | 5.40    | 5.53   | 5.47    | 6.61 | 6.76 | 6.68   | 44.77 | 44.82 | 44.79 |
| **S.Em.±**       | 0.50      | 0.52      | 0.36   | 0.48     | 0.50     | 0.34    | 0.14    | 0.15   | 0.10    | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.10   | 0.94  | 0.96  | 0.74  |
| **C.D.**         | 1.43      | 1.50      | 1.00   | 1.39     | 1.44     | 0.97    | 0.41    | 0.43   | 0.29    | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.28   | NS    | NS    | NS    |
| **S**            | 16.65     | 17.10     | 16.88  | 21.17    | 21.61    | 21.39   | 4.76    | 4.89   | 4.83    | 6.06 | 6.18 | 6.12   | 43.70 | 43.85 | 43.77 |
| S1               | 17.54     | 17.95     | 17.74  | 22.18    | 22.60    | 22.39   | 5.02    | 5.13   | 5.07    | 6.34 | 6.46 | 6.40   | 44.06 | 44.17 | 44.12 |
| S2               | 18.57     | 19.03     | 18.80  | 23.16    | 23.66    | 23.41   | 5.31    | 5.44   | 5.38    | 6.62 | 6.77 | 6.70   | 44.63 | 44.72 | 44.67 |
| S3               | 0.50      | 0.52      | 0.36   | 0.48     | 0.50     | 0.34    | 0.14    | 0.15   | 0.10    | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.10   | 0.94  | 0.96  | 0.74  |
| **C.D.**         | 1.43      | 1.50      | 1.00   | 1.39     | 1.44     | 0.97    | 0.41    | 0.43   | 0.29    | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.28   | NS    | NS    | NS    |
| **F**            | 16.84     | 17.29     | 17.06  | 21.53    | 21.97    | 21.75   | 4.82    | 4.94   | 4.88    | 6.16 | 6.28 | 6.22   | 43.78 | 43.92 | 43.85 |
| F1               | 18.33     | 18.77     | 18.55  | 22.82    | 23.27    | 23.04   | 5.24    | 5.37   | 5.31    | 6.53 | 6.66 | 6.59   | 44.48 | 44.57 | 44.53 |
| **S.Em.±**       | 0.41      | 0.43      | 0.29   | 0.39     | 0.41     | 0.28    | 0.12    | 0.12   | 0.08    | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.08   | 0.77  | 0.79  | 0.60  |
| **C.D.**         | 1.17      | 1.23      | 0.83   | 1.13     | 1.18     | 0.80    | 0.33    | 0.35   | 0.24    | 0.32 | 0.34 | 0.23   | NS    | NS    | NS    |
| **V x S**        |            |           |        |          |          |         |         |         |         |       |    |       |        |
| **S.Em.±**       | 0.86      | 0.91      | 0.61   | 0.84     | 0.87     | 0.59    | 0.25    | 0.26   | 0.17    | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.17   | 1.64  | 1.67  | 1.14  |
| **C.D.**         | NS        | NS        | NS     | NS       | NS       | NS      | NS      | NS      | NS      | NS   | NS  | NS     | NS      | NS    | NS    |
| **V x F**        |            |           |        |          |          |         |         |         |         |       |    |       |        |
| **S.Em.±**       | 0.70      | 0.74      | 0.50   | 0.68     | 0.71     | 0.49    | 0.20    | 0.21   | 0.14    | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.14   | 1.34  | 1.36  | 0.94  |
| **C.D.**         | NS        | NS        | NS     | NS       | NS       | NS      | NS      | NS      | NS      | NS   | NS  | NS     | NS      | NS    | NS    |
| **S x F**        |            |           |        |          |          |         |         |         |         |       |    |       |        |
| **S.Em.±**       | 0.70      | 0.74      | 0.50   | 0.68     | 0.71     | 0.49    | 0.20    | 0.21   | 0.14    | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.14   | 1.34  | 1.36  | 0.94  |
| **C.D.**         | NS        | NS        | NS     | NS       | NS       | NS      | NS      | NS      | NS      | NS   | NS  | NS     | NS      | NS    | NS    |
| **V x S x F**    |            |           |        |          |          |         |         |         |         |       |    |       |        |
| **S.Em.±**       | 1.22      | 1.28      | 0.86   | 1.18     | 1.23     | 0.83    | 0.35    | 0.37   | 0.25    | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.24   | 2.31  | 2.36  | 1.60  |
| **C.D.**         | NS        | NS        | NS     | NS       | NS       | NS      | NS      | NS      | NS      | NS   | NS  | NS     | NS      | NS    | NS    |
| **CV %**         | 12.16     | 9.33      | 9.33   | 12.16    | 9.33     | 9.16    | 9.33    | 9.33    | 9.33    | 9.33 | 9.33 | 9.33   | 9.33  | 9.33  | 9.33  |

**Conditions:**
- V1: 00 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹
- V2: 10 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹
- V3: 20 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹
- F1: 1% Zn EDTA
- F2: 2% Zn EDTA

**Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci (2019) 8(11): 27-35**
The results are in close conformity with findings of (Goswami 2007 and Singh et al., 2012) also reported that increasing levels of zinc increased wheat yield. Zinc deficiency in cereal plants, including rice, is a well-known problem that causes reduced agricultural productivity all over the world. The results indicate that there is need for Zn application (soil or foliar) for better paddy yield either as chelated form or as mineral ZnSO₄. It was observed that zinc application has significant positive effect on grain and straw yield and harvest index, among the varieties, IET-24766 recorded significant highest grain and straw yield and harvest index which was followed by IET-25450 and BPT-5204. Zinc application had also significant influence on grain and straw yield and harvest index. The soil base Zn treatment, 20 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹ at the time of transplanting recorded significantly higher grain and straw yield and harvest index of rice followed by 10 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹ at the time of transplanting and control 00 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹. The foliar application of chelated zinc increased the paddy yield as compared to soil base zinc application. While, foliar Zn application recorded significantly maximum grain and straw yield and harvest index of rice under 1% Zn EDTA spray at tillering and grain filling stage followed by 0 % Zn EDTA. Further study should be needed to elaborate role of zinc at molecular level to strength the knowledge of role of zinc in yield.
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