1. Introduction

Zirconium and hafnium coexist commonly in nature and they have similar great chemical properties. However, the applications of zirconium and hafnium are completely different in the nuclear industry. Zirconium is used as a cladding material due to its low thermal neutron capture, whereas hafnium is employed as a controlling material due to its higher neutron cross-section. The hafnium content should be less than 100 ppm for application in the nuclear industry, as well as other applications, so zirconium and hafnium must be separated before using. The amount of hafnium in zirconium is about 1–3% in natural sources if the hafnium can be extracted from zirconium-rich solution preferentially, which is of important practical significance for simplifying the separation process and reducing the amount of organic phase. Many extractants such as D2EHPA, PC-88A, BEAP, Cyanex, alamine, and synergistic extractants TOA-Cyanes921, TOPO-Cyanes272 (ref. 9) and LIX63-PC88A preferentially extract zirconium instead of hafnium. Industrially, about two-thirds of industrial-grade hafnium and zirconium have been extracted by MIBK separation technology, moreover, the MIBK extractant can preferentially extract hafnium from zirconium solution and the separation factor of hafnium to zirconium is up to 10. However, it is difficult to widely apply MIBK technology due to the high solvent consumption, volatilization, risk of explosion, high solubility of the extractant in water, and large investment in environmental pollution control. The development of a highly efficient method using specific ion recognition technology to separate hafnium from zirconium instead of a traditional MIBK process is needed urgently.

Many methods have been investigated for Zr(IV)/Hf(IV) separation; the adsorption technology using polymer sorbents is one method that has been used to separate metal ions because of the minimal organic solvent utilization, less waste accumulation, reusability, and simple operation. Hence, as an alternative separation method, the adsorption method used for the separation of zirconium and hafnium is desirable. The selectivity and adsorption amount mainly lie in the functional groups of chelating resins for the adsorption approach. Among the various extractants, polyamidoamine dendrimers (PAMAM) have caused widespread concern about metal ion adsorption due to their easily functionalized groups of \( -\text{NH}_2 \) and esters on...
terminal surfaces.\textsuperscript{16-18} According to the report that the PAMAM dendrimer is a good chelating agent with a high capacity for the removal of many metal ions, such as mercury, lead, copper, uranium, and so on, from aqueous solution.\textsuperscript{19-21} However, PAMAM dendrimers are easily soluble in water, which makes them hard to reuse and widespread using. Therefore, immobilizing PAMAM dendrimers on supports such as silica gel,\textsuperscript{22-26} titania,\textsuperscript{27} chitosan,\textsuperscript{28} graphene oxide\textsuperscript{29} and CNT\textsuperscript{30,31} to prepare solid extractants is an alternative method. Among these supports, mesoporous silica is an ideal inorganic solid support due to its well-modified surface properties, mechanical stability, rapid adsorption kinetics and chemical stability, and it has been widely used as a carrier modified with –NH$_2$, –SH, –SO$_2$H, and –PO$_3$H$_2$ in previous research to enhance the adsorption capacity on metal ions.\textsuperscript{32-34}

In the present study, a phosphorous-modified G1.0 PAMAM dendrimer was covalently immobilized on mesoporous silica to prepare a sorbent (PS-G1.0-MSNs for short) for the separation of Zr(\textit{iv}) and Hf(\textit{iv}). Adsorption and separation properties of Zr(\textit{iv}) and Hf(\textit{iv}) on this sorbent were studied by batch experimental parameters, such as solution acidity, contact time, temperature, and concentrations. Adsorption kinetics, thermodynamics, and adsorption isotherms were used to evaluate the sorption process. XPS analysis was employed to predict the adsorption mechanism. All of the fundamental research from this study supported a more efficient, low-cost method for the separation of zirconium and hafnium.

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials and methods

Chemicals including methyl acrylate (MA), ethylenediamine (EDA), (3-aminopropyl) trimethoxysilane (APTES), phenylphosphonic dichloride (PS), tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS), cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB), silica gel (200–300 mesh), acetic acid and nitric acid were used as received from Aladdin. The stock solution was prepared by dissolving appropriate amounts of ZrOCl$_2$·8H$_2$O and HfOCl$_2$·8H$_2$O in HCl solution. All the chemicals mentioned above were analytical grade or better.

2.2 Preparation of phosphorous-functionalized PAMAM dendrimers supported on mesoporous silica

Approaches for synthesizing phosphorous-functionalized PAMAM grafted on mesoporous silica (PS-G1.0-MSNs) are given in Scheme 1. The synthetic detail process is as follows:

2.2.1 Synthesis of mesoporous silica (MSNs). Mesoporous silica (MSNs) was employed as the hard carrier in this study, and was prepared as previously reported.\textsuperscript{35} Here, 2.0 g CTAB was suspended in 300 mL of distilled water and 0.56 g sodium hydroxide was added, and then the mixture was slowly heated to 80 °C. Next, 5.3 mL TEOS was added to the mixture drop by drop and stirred at 80 °C for 2 h. The crude product was filtered, washed with ultrapure water and methanol, respectively, and then dried naturally to obtain the pure MSNs carrier. The synthesized MSNs were rewashed with ethanol and water to get rid of the excess CTAB, then the product was dried in a vacuum drying oven for 6 h at 60 °C to obtain the pure product MSNs.

2.2.2 Preparation of the APTES-modified G1.0 PAMAM dendrimer. The APTES-modified G1.0 PAMAM dendrimer (G1.0-APTES) was prepared using a modified multistep process as previously reported.\textsuperscript{36} Here, 10 mL APTES was dissolved in 30 mL ethanol and 8.6 g excess MA was added drop by drop, then stirred at 30 °C under a N$_2$ atmosphere for 24 h. The G0.5-APTES was obtained by filtration and washed with methanol. A sample of 11.8 g G0.5-ATTES and 4.8 g EDA was dissolved in 30 mL methanol, and then the mixture was refluxed at 30 °C under a N$_2$ atmosphere for 24 h. After filtration and washing with methanol, the product G1.0-APTES was obtained.

2.2.3 Preparation of phenylphosphonic dichloride (PS) functionalized G1.0-APTES dendrimers (PS-G1.0-APTES). The synthesis of PS-G1.0-APTES as the reported ref. 37, 5 mL of phenylphosphonic dichloride (PS), 30 mL dry ethanol, and 7.8 g G1.0-APTES were mixed in a 250 mL three-necked flask, and 1 mL of triethylamine was added dropwise and heated for 24 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. Then the mixture was filtered off, washed with ethanol and ultrapure water, then the product PS-G1.0-APTES was obtained after drying in a vacuum oven at 60 °C for 12 h.

2.2.4 Preparation of PS-G1.0-APTES supported on MSNs (PS-G1.0-MSNs). Here, 10 g MSNs and 2 g PS-G1.0-APTES were suspended in 35 mL of anhydrous DMF, and the suspension was refluxed for 12 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. After filtration, washing with deionized water and methanol, and drying under vacuum conditions, the PS-G1.0-APTES bound on MSNs (PS-G1.0-MSNs) was obtained.

2.3 Batch adsorption on Zr(\textit{iv}) and Hf(\textit{iv})

Batch sorption studies were performed on PS-G1.0-MSNs; the effects of solution acidity (pH 0.5–3.0), contact time (10–120 min), initial metal ion concentration, as well as temperature (20–80 °C), were examined. In a typical test, 50 mg of the synthesized sorbent PS-G1.0-MSNs were suspended in 10 mL of Zr(\textit{iv}) and Hf(\textit{iv}) mixture solutions. The stock concentrations of Zr(\textit{iv}) and Hf(\textit{iv}) were 5 × 10$^{-3}$ mol L$^{-1}$ and 3 × 10$^{-4}$ mol L$^{-1}$ respectively, and the molar ratio of Zr(\textit{iv}) and Hf(\textit{iv}) was simulated as present in nature. The mixture solution was shaken at 25 °C from 20 to 120 min, then filtered and the concentration was determined by ICP-AES. The sorption amount $Q_e$ (mg g$^{-1}$), distribution ($D$), and separation coefficient ($\beta_{Hf/Zr}$) were determined using the following formulas:\textsuperscript{38}

\begin{equation}
Q_e = \frac{(C_0 - C_e) \times V}{W} \tag{1}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
D = \frac{C_0 - C_e}{C_0} \tag{2}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\beta_{Hf/Zr} = \frac{D_{Hf}}{D_{Zr}} \tag{3}
\end{equation}

Here $C_0$ is the initial concentration of Zr(\textit{iv}) or Hf(\textit{iv}), and $C_e$ is the equilibrium residual metal ion concentration in solution (mg L$^{-1}$); $V$ is the volume of experimental solution (mL); $W$ is the weight of PS-G1.0-MSNs (g).
2. Characterization

The FT-IR spectra were obtained by using a Nicolet AVATAR 360 spectrophotometer via the KBr pellet method; the resolution was 4 cm\(^{-1}\), and the scan wavenumber was from 400 to 4000 cm\(^{-1}\). XRD analysis was conducted at 40 kV and 10 mA with nickel-filtered Cu K\(\alpha\) radiation by using an XRD-600 instrument. TEM was conducted using a JEOL JEM-200CX transmission electron microscope operated at 200 kV. XPS was conducted using an AXIS Ultra DLD spectrometer. TGA investigation was carried out on a STA409PC with a 10 \(^{\circ}\)C min\(^{-1}\) rate of heat addition from 10 to 800 \(^{\circ}\)C in a nitrogen atmosphere. BET testing was used to calculate the surface areas. The concentrations of Zr\((\text{IV})\) and Hf\((\text{IV})\) ions were determined by ICP-AES (Thermo Optima 8000D).
3. Results and discussion

3.1 Characterization of PS-G1.0-MSNs

3.1.1 FT-IR study. FT-IR is a convenient method for analyzing the reaction process. The FT-IR spectra of the synthesized G1.0-APTES, PS-G1.0-APTES, MSNs, and PS-G1.0-APTES composites are shown in Fig. 1.

For G1.0-APTES (Fig. 1a), the bands at 2950 cm\(^{-1}\) and 2850 cm\(^{-1}\) were caused by the stretching vibration of C–H bands; the peak at 1740 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponds to the –COO stretching vibration, which indicates the successful Michael addition of MA to the –NH\(_2\) groups G0.5.\(^{39}\) For the spectrum of PS-G1.0-APTES (Fig. 1b), the new bands at 1150 cm\(^{-1}\) and 3400 cm\(^{-1}\) were attributed to the P=O and O–H stretching vibration, respectively, which indicated the successful grafting of phenylphosphonic dichloride on the G1.0-APTES dendrimer.\(^{40}\) In the spectrum of MSNs (Fig. 1c), the broad peak at around 3430 cm\(^{-1}\) was ascribed to the O–H stretching vibration. The bands at 805 and 472 cm\(^{-1}\) were related to the symmetric and bending vibrations of Si–O–Si in the network of MSNs, respectively.\(^{41}\) A new peak at 1740 cm\(^{-1}\) was caused by the C=O stretching vibration of PS-G1.0-MSNs (Fig. 1d) as compared with the pure MSNs. All the results confirmed that PS-G1.0-APTES was covalently grafted on the MSNs carrier successfully. Thus, the step-by-step synthesis of the phosphorous-functionalized G1.0 PAMAM dendrimer on the MSNs surface was monitored by FT-IR.

3.1.2 Surface properties, SEM and TGA analysis of PS-G1.0-MSNs. The adsorption–desorption isotherms of PS-G1.0-MSNs were obtained under N\(_2\) atmosphere and are shown in Fig. 2. The calculated parameters such as surface area, pore volume, and pore diameter are listed in Table 1. The specific surface area of 256.87 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\), pore volume of 0.614 cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\), and average mesopore diameter of 11.02 nm for MSNs were examined by BET analysis. The recorded parameters of PS-G1.0-MSNs decreased as expected, which was caused by the modification of the inner pore surface. It is worth noting that PS-G1.0-MSNs sorbents have moderate and suitable parameters as can be seen in Table 1 for metal ion adsorption.

Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) of the synthesized PS-G1.0-MSNs sorbents as shown in Fig. 3 was conducted from 10–800 °C at 10 °C min\(^{-1}\) under N\(_2\) atmosphere. There were two stages of decomposition on the curve of the PS-G1.0-MSNs sorbent: the first weight loss from 75 to 300 °C was caused by the evaporation of the residual water, while the further weight loss from 300 °C was attributed to the decomposition of the PAMAM organic components. The weight loss of MSNs (a), PS-
G1.0-APTES (b), PS-G1.0-MSNs (c) was 5.43%, 13.68%, 28.35% as the temperature went up to 800 °C, respectively. By comparing the three curves, the increasing weight loss also confirmed that the G1.0 dendrimer was successfully loaded on the MSNs nanoparticles.

XRD patterns are shown in Fig. 4; the MSNs (Fig. 4a) and PS-G1.0-MSNs (Fig. 4b) had the same obvious characteristics of diffraction peaks with high intensity at 2θ = 25°, which indicated that the MSNs have relatively high crystal integrity as previously reported.42 When MSNs were modified with PAMAM dendrimers, the position of the diffraction peak did not change, which demonstrated that the PAMAM was grafted on the MSNs surface through bonding with the silane coupling agent APTES, so the surface content of SiO2 increased but the crystal structure of the MSNs was not destroyed after modification.

To confirm the formation of MSNs and PS-G1.0-MSNs, TEM images were taken as shown in Fig. 5. Fig. 5a and b clearly show the existence of mesoporous channels. When MSNs were incorporated with the PAMAM dendrimers, the nanoparticle shape did not change significantly, indicating that the MSNs can still keep their morphology and the mesoporous channels can still be found after functionalization.

3.2 Zr(IV) and Hf(IV) adsorption performance of PS-G1.0-MSNs

3.2.1 The effects of acidity. The effect of acidity on adsorption was examined by shaking 50 mg of dry sorbent with 10 mL stock solutions of Zr(IV) and Hf(IV) for 120 min in the pH range of 0.1–3.0 in case the Zr(IV) and Hf(IV) ions converted into insoluble species. The adsorption capacities of Zr(IV) and Hf(IV), and the separation coefficient (βZr/Hf) are presented in Fig. 6. The adsorption capacity of PS-G1.0-MSNs on Zr(IV) and Hf(IV) was greatly affected by the pH value; the adsorption amount increased sharply with the increasing pH value under 0.5. Conversely, when the solution pH > 0.5, the adsorption amount decreased as the pH value increased, and the maximum adsorption capacities were QZr = 20.9 mg g⁻¹ and QHf = 3.7 mg g⁻¹ at around pH 0.5, respectively.

The results might be caused by different species of Zr(IV) and Hf(IV) in different acidity.

In lower pH solution, the predominant form of Zr(IV) was Zr⁴⁺; as the pH value increased, the hydrolysis species such as [Zr(OH)]³⁺, [Zr(OH)₂]²⁺, [Zr(OH)₃]⁺, [Zr(OH)₄]⁻ dominated, whereas the main species was ZrO²⁺ at higher pH (>3).43,44 There were –NH and O═P–OH groups in the modified sorbent, which could form complexes with zirconium and hafnium. At lower
pH, the N atom of $-\text{NH}$ became protonated, and the phosphoric OH dissociated in the alkaline region, and thus led to the negative surface charge of the sorbent, which would explain the decreasing adsorption of Zr(IV) with increasing pH > 0.5. The adsorption trend affected by the pH of PS-G1.0-MSNs on Hf(IV) had a similar tendency to Zr(IV), which was induced by their similar chemical properties. Furthermore, the separation coefficient of $\beta_{\text{Hf/Zr}}$ showed a similar trend to the adsorption capacity and $\beta_{\text{Hf/Zr}} > 1$, which implied that the PS-G1.0-MSNs sorbent preferably extracted Hf(IV) in the mixture solution, so pH 0.5 was selected for subsequent adsorption research.

3.2.2 Kinetics study. To investigate the sorption mechanism, the contact time and adsorption kinetics were studied by the following process. Each feed solution (10 mL, pH 0.5) and 50 mg PS-G1.0-MSNs sorbent were shaken at 25°C for the scheduled time (20–120 min) to analyze the residual concentrations of metal ions by ICP-AES, and the outcomes are presented in Fig. 7.

It was observed that the sorption of Zr(IV) and Hf(IV) was rapid in the first 60 min, then gradually reached equilibrium within 120 min, and the maximum equilibrium adsorption amounts were 20.9 mg g$^{-1}$ and 3.7 mg g$^{-1}$ for Zr(IV) and Hf(IV), respectively. Zr(IV) and Hf(IV) had similar adsorption trends on PS-G1.0-MSNs, and the separation factor of $\beta_{\text{Hf/Zr}}$ increased as time increased, which was up to 1.6 at 120 min; in other words, the synthesized sorbent of PS-G1.0-MSNs has preferential selectivity on hafnium. From the contacting study, the results showed that 120 min was sufficient to attain equilibrium, and so the later tests were performed within 120 min.

There are generally three steps that can be employed to describe the adsorption process: the first one is the mass transfer of the liquid phase to the surface of the particle, the second is the diffusion inside the pores of the particle, and the third is surface adsorption.$^5$ To investigate the decisive adsorption rate parameters, two kinetic models, pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order, were employed in the experiment.$^6$ The mathematical expressions of the two models are listed in eqn (4) and (3), respectively:

![Fig. 7](image7.png) The effects of contact time on the adsorption capacity $Q$ and separation factors $\beta_{\text{Zr/Hf}}$ of Zr(IV) and Hf(IV) on PS-G1.0-MSNs.

![Fig. 8](image8.png) The pseudo-first-order kinetics (a) and the pseudo-second-order kinetics (b) for the adsorption of Zr(IV) and Hf(IV) on PS-G1.0-MSNs sorbents.

| Metal ions | $Q_e$ exp/mg g$^{-1}$ | $K_1$/min$^{-1}$ | $Q_e$cal/mg g$^{-1}$ | $R^2$ | $K_2$/mg g$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$ | $Q_e$cal/mg g$^{-1}$ | $R^2$ |
|------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------|------------------------|-------------------|------|
| Zr(IV) | 14.62 | 0.043 | 105.86 | 0.998 | $1.610 \times 10^{-3}$ | 152.56 | 0.997 |
| Hf(IV) | 2.16 | 0.044 | 142.76 | 0.998 | $7.258 \times 10^{-3}$ | 202.2 | 0.703 |

Table 2 Calculated kinetic parameters of Zr(IV) and Hf(IV) adsorption on PS-G1.0-MSNs sorbents.
The adsorption amount at any given moment; $Q_e$ is the equilibrium adsorption capacity; $K_1$ is the rate constant of adsorption. Two lines were acquired by plotting $-\ln(Q_e - Q_t)$ against $t$ (Fig. 6a) according to eqn (4). The calculated parameters such as $K_1$, $K_2$, $Q_e$, and $R^2$ were generated and shown in Table 2 and Fig. 8 through batch studies by the two models.

The adsorption rate constant read from the line was 0.043 min$^{-1}$ and 0.044 min$^{-1}$ for Zr(iv) and Hf(iv), respectively. The correlation coefficient $R^2$ was 0.998 for both Zr(iv) and Hf(iv), obtained by the linear fitting of the first model, whereas $R^2$ obtained by the second model was 0.997 and 0.973 for Zr(iv) and Hf(iv), respectively. As such, the adsorption of PS-G1.0-MSNs on Zr(iv) and Hf(iv) could be better expressed by the pseudo-first-order kinetic model because of their higher $R^2$. This implies that the decisive adsorption on Zr(iv) and Hf(iv) is the rate-determining step.

3.2.3 The effect of thermodynamics. The impacts of temperature on Zr(iv) and Hf(iv) adsorption were investigated from 293 K to 343 K and the outcomes are presented in Fig. 9. The adsorption capacities increased as the temperature increased from 293 to 323 K and then decreased after 323 K. The results indicate that 323 K was the optimal temperature for Zr(iv) and Hf(iv) adsorption, and the maximum adsorption capacity for Zr(iv) and Hf(iv) was 23.68 and 4.26 mg g$^{-1}$, respectively at the optimal conditions. Furthermore, the separation factor $\beta_{H/Zr}$ also increased as temperature increased from 293 to 323 K and reached to 1.93, which may be induced by the hindrance and special cavity of the PS-G1.0-MSNs formed in the preparation process by loading the G1.0 PAMAM dendrimer on MSNs. The cavity of the adsorbent gets smaller or larger, depending on the different extent levels of the dendrimers as the temperature changes, and the interactions between the metal ions and sorbent also become stronger or weaker accordingly.$^{47}$

To investigate whether the adsorption was a physical or chemical process, the thermodynamic model was employed according to the following equation:$^{48,49}$

$$\ln \left( \frac{Q_e}{C_e} \right) = \frac{\Delta S}{R} - \frac{\Delta H}{R} \times \frac{1}{T}$$

$$\Delta G = \Delta H - T\Delta S$$

$Q_e$ and $C_e$ (mg L$^{-1}$) are the adsorption capacity at equilibrium for Zr(iv) and Hf(iv), respectively, $R$ is 8.315 J K$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$, and $T$ is the absolute temperature; $\Delta S$ is entropy, $\Delta H$ is enthalpy change and $\Delta G$ is the change of Gibbs free energy. The values of $\Delta S$ and $\Delta H$ can be calculated by plotting $\ln(Q_e/C_e)$ versus $1/T$ from 283 K to 323 K. The plotted figures are listed in Fig. 10 and the calculated parameters are all shown in Table 3.

From 283 K to 323 K, the $\Delta G$ values were negative, indicating that the sorption was spontaneous and the feasibility of the adsorption process was also verified. $\Delta H$ and $\Delta S$ were positive, which implied an endothermic nature and increasing randomness at the solid–liquid interface during the Zr(iv)/Hf(iv) adsorption on PS-G1.0-MSNs. The value of $\Delta H$ was close to the general reaction enthalpy and the value of $\Delta S$ was also large.$^{50}$ The independence indicated that Zr(iv)/Hf(iv) adsorption on PS-G1.0-MSNs was mainly chemical adsorption.
3.2.4 Adsorption isotherms. Adsorption isotherms were obtained by changing the initial Zr(IV) and Hf(IV) total concentrations in the range of 0.5–7.0 × 10^{-3} mol L^{-1} at pH 0.5 (Fig. 11). The adsorption amount of PS-G1.0-MSNs sorbent on Zr(IV) and Hf(IV) (Q_{Zr} and Q_{Hf}) increased with the increasing concentration of C_{eq,Zr+Hf}. When the concentration was up to 5.0 × 10^{-3} mol L^{-1}, the rate of adsorption capacity increased slowly, and the maximum adsorption amounts were 25.74 and 5.36 mg g^{-1} for Zr(IV) and Hf(IV) at the concentration of 6.0 × 10^{-3} mol L^{-1}, respectively. Moreover, the separation factor $\beta_{Hf/Zr}$ had a similar trend to the adsorption capacity change with the concentration and it was up to the maximum of 2.0, which was higher than previously reported for crown ether supported on silica gel.³¹

The relationship between ions adsorbed on the PS-G1.0-MSNs sorbents and the sorption equilibrium could be described by the adsorption isotherm. Here, the Langmuir and the Freundlich equations²² (eqn [8] and [9]) were employed, and the plotted results are given in Fig. 12 and Table 4.

Langmuir : $$\frac{C_e}{Q_e} = \frac{1}{K_L \times Q_{max}} + \frac{C_e}{Q_{max}} \quad (8)$$

Freundlich : $$\ln Q_e = \ln K_F + \frac{1}{n} \times \ln C_e \quad (9)$$

Here $Q_e$ (mg g^{-1}) and $Q_{max}$ are the experimental and the calculated maximum adsorption capacity, respectively. $C_e$ (mg L^{-1}) is the experimental concentration of Zr(IV) and Hf(IV) at equilibrium. $K_L$ (L mg^{-1}) is the empirical parameter obtained from the intercept of the linear fitting result, $K_F$ is the binding energy constant and $1/n$ is the heterogeneity factor.

As seen from Fig. 12 and Table 4, a straight line ($R^2 > 0.99$) was obtained by plotting $C_e/Q_e$ against $C_e$. The results indicated that the Langmuir adsorption isotherm agreed well with Zr(IV) and Hf(IV) sorption on PS-G1.0-MSNs. The calculated maximum adsorption capacity ($Q_{max}$) was 30.18 mg g^{-1} and 6.21 mg g^{-1} on Zr(IV) and Hf(IV), respectively. The calculated Langmuir constant $K_L$ for Zr(IV) and Hf(IV) was 1.36 and 2.99 L mg^{-1}, respectively. As is well known, the Langmuir isotherm is used to describe homogeneous sorption, and the smaller the $K_L$, the weaker the adsorption. It could, therefore, be concluded that the adsorption of PS-G1.0-MSNs towards Hf(IV) was stronger than that of the Zr(IV).

3.2.5 XPS analysis. To reveal the adsorption mechanism, XPS was used to analyze the components of the PS-G1.0-MSNs particles before and after adsorption.

Fig. 13 shows the survey spectra of the total scan of PS-G1.0-MSNs (a) before and after adsorption, and signals of the C 1s, N 1s, O 1s, P 2p, Zr 3d, and Hf 4f were recorded. From Fig. 13a, we can see that the signal of Zr 3d and the Hf 4f with weak intensity appeared at 183.4 eV and 14.5 eV after adsorption, respectively, which confirmed the sorption of Zr(IV) and Hf(IV) on PS-G1.0-MSNs after equilibration in the mixture solution. The peak at

![Fig. 11](image1.png) The effects of concentration on the adsorption capacity $Q$ and separation factor $\beta_{Hf/Zr}$ of Zr(IV) and Hf(IV) on PS-G1.0-MSNs.

![Fig. 12](image2.png) Adsorption isotherms of Zr(IV) and Hf(IV) on the PS-G1.0-MSNs sorbent by the Langmuir (a) and Freundlich (b) models.

### Table 4

| Ions | $Q_{max}$ (mg g^{-1}) | $K_L$ (L mg^{-1}) | $R_L^2$ | $K_F$ | $n$ | $R_F^2$ |
|------|----------------------|-------------------|---------|-------|-----|---------|
| Zr(IV) | 30.18              | 1.36              | 0.99    | 2.42  | 2.57 | 0.98    |
| Hf(IV) | 6.21               | 2.99              | 0.99    | 1.08  | 2.30 | 0.94    |
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532.5 eV was attributed to O 1s of the C=O group, and the peak of N 1s around 399 eV was attributed to –NH– or –NH+– functional groups of PS-G1.0-MSNs.35 The peaks at 399.7 eV of the N 1s area after Zr(IV)/Hf(IV) sorption showed a corresponding decrease and shifted to 399.9 eV as indicated in Fig. 13b. It was also noted that peaks at 532.5 eV of O 1s after Zr(IV)/Hf(IV) sorption also demonstrated a corresponding decrease and certain shift (Fig. 13c). Furthermore, the peak at 133.4 eV of P 2p split into two peaks and showed a corresponding decrease after adsorption as seen from Fig. 13d. All the results demonstrated that the adsorption of PS-G1.0-MSNs on Zr(IV) and Hf(IV) was probably introduced by the chemical coordination of N, O, P atoms of –CONH2, –NH2 and –PO3 groups with Zr(IV) and Hf(IV). A deduced binding mechanism of PS-G1.0-MSNs on Zr(IV) and Hf(IV) was proposed and illustrated in Fig. 14.

Fig. 13  XPS survey spectra of PS-G1.0-MSNs before and after the adsorption of Zr(IV) and Hf(IV), where (a) is the total survey scan of PS-G1.0-MSNs, (b) is the scan of N 1s, (c) is the scan of O 1s and (d) is the scan of P 2p.

Fig. 14  Proposed chelating mechanism of the PS-G1.0-MSNs sorbent with Zr(IV) and Hf(IV).
4. Conclusion

In summary, a new mesoporous silica-bound phosphorous-functionalized G1.0 PAMAM dendrimer (PS-G1.0-MSNs) with excellent adsorption properties on Zr(IV) and Hf(IV) was prepared. Batch sorption experiments showed that the maximum adsorption amounts were 25.7 mg g\(^{-1}\) and 5.36 mg g\(^{-1}\) on Zr(IV) and Hf(IV), respectively, under pH 0.5 in 120 min with the total concentration of 6.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol L}^{-1}. Furthermore, the prepared sorbent had preferential selectivity on hafnium and the maximum separation factor was up to more, the prepared sorbent had preferential selectivity on hafnium from zirconium-rich solutions.

Batch sorption experiments showed that the sorption on Zr(IV) and Hf(IV) followed the pseudo-first-order model with a rate-determining step. Thermodynamics studies indicated that the sorption of Zr(IV) and Hf(IV) on PS-G1.0-MSNs was spontaneous from 283 to 323 K, whereas the negative \(\Delta H\) and small \(\Delta S\) indicated an exothermic Zr(IV)/Hf(IV) sorption at a higher temperature from 313 to 333 K. The Langmuir constant \(K_L\) was 1.36 and 2.99 L mg\(^{-1}\) for Zr(IV) and Hf(IV), respectively. The smaller the \(K_L\), the weaker the adsorption. The results indicated that the sorption ability of P-G1-MSNs on Hf(IV) was stronger as compared to Zr(IV). Furthermore, XPS analysis revealed that the adsorption of Zr(IV) and Hf(IV) was probably indicated by the chemical coordination of Zr(IV) and Hf(IV) ions with N, O, P atoms of –CONH\(_2\), –NH\(_2\) and –PO\(_3\) groups. All the results demonstrated that the PS-G1.0-MSNs might potentially be an excellent sorbent that could preferentially extract hafnium from zirconium-rich solutions.
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