Epithelial-Cadherin Expression Is Associated With Better Recurrence-Free and Overall Survival in Invasive Lobular Breast Cancer in Mexican Women
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Abstract

Background: There are different clinicopathological characteristics that are associated with the prognosis in patients with breast cancer. To date, the prognostic value of epithelial cadherin (E-cadherin) expression in invasive lobular breast cancer remains unknown.

Methods: A retrospective single-center study that included 207 patients with primary invasive lobular breast cancer was conducted. The primary outcome was to report the correlation of the different clinicopathological characteristics including the expression of epithelial-cadherin (E-cadherin) in invasive lobular breast cancer in Mexican women with recurrence-free survival (RFS) and overall survival (OS).

Results: After 11 years of follow-up of patients with invasive lobular breast cancer, RFS was 89.4% and OS of 96.1%. The best prognosis in RFS was in patients with negative nodes 95.2% (P = 0.0001) and OS of 98.6-100% (P = 0.0001). Regarding tumor size, an RFS of 98.3% was observed in those measuring ≤ 2 cm (P = 0.0001) and OS of 99.2% (P = 0.0001). Negative Her2 was related to an RFS of 92.1% (P = 0.0001), and had better OS of 98.3% (P = 0.0001). Ki67 proliferation index ≤ 14% was associated with an RFS of 97.4%, with a mean of 128.6 ± 2.4 months (95% confidence interval (CI): 123.75 - 133.45 months) compared to the absence of expression E-cadherin: signal log ratio (SLR) 68.9%, a mean of 95 ± 6 months (95% CI: 83.28 - 106.88 months), P <0.001. When the OS was analyzed, the presence of E-cadherin expression increased the OS of 100% vs. 86.9% with the absence, P = 0.015.

Conclusions: The prognostic impact of the different clinicopathological characteristics known worldwide was confirmed. Results of the analysis in the presented study indicate that positive expression of E-cadherin correlates with an improvement in OS and RFS in invasive lobular breast cancer in Mexican women.
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Introduction

Breast cancer is a public health problem in the world. It is the first most commonly diagnosed cancer worldwide, including low- and middle-income countries with an incidence of 11.7%, and is the fourth cause of death (6.9%) in the world. The incidence rates are highest in North America, Australia/New Zealand, and in western and northern Europe and lowest in Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa. The incidence in Mexico is 15.3% (the first most common) and the first cause of mortality (8.8%) in 2020 [1-4].

Infiltrating lobular carcinomas are the second most common type of invasive breast cancer, accounting for about 5-10% of invasive lesions. Some pathologists have used lack of immunohistochemical staining for epithelial-cadherin (E-cadherin) to distinguish invasive lobular carcinoma from invasive duct carcinoma, and these tumors are characterized microscopically by small cells that insidiously infiltrate the mammary stroma and adipose tissue individually and in a single file pattern, often growing in a target-like configuration around normal breast ducts, frequently inducing only minimal fibrous reaction [5].

E-cadherin is an adhesive protein (maintain tissue continuity) that play a crucial role in the process of regional invasion, in migration of cancerous cells, and additionally in later maintenance in the remote organs. The loss of E-cadherin expression is observed among patients suffering from: breast,
prostate, bladder, lung and stomach cancer, and this correlates with detrimental prognostic factor, higher level of malignancy, presence of metastasis in axillary lymph nodes, lack of estrogen and progesterin receptors, and presence of recurrence, while the increase of E-cadherin expression has been associated with a better prognosis [6-9].

There are different clinicopathological characteristics (tumor size, lymphovascular invasion, perineural invasion, histological grade, Ki67 proliferation index, etc.) that are associated with the prognosis in patients with breast cancer, especially in progression-free survival, local and metastatic recurrences, as well as in overall survival (OS). Despite that the value of E-cadherin in patients with breast cancer remains contradictory, it is probably a very important prognostic factor [5-13].

The objective of this study is to report the correlation of different clinicopathological characteristics in invasive lobular breast cancer in Mexican women treated in a private tertiary care hospital, with recurrence-free survival (RFS) and OS.

Materials and Methods

Patients

An exhaustive review of 207 clinical records of patients with primary invasive lobular breast cancer who were treated at Medical Sur Hospital between January 2010 and December 2018 was performed. Of the 207 patients with invasive lobular breast cancer, 100 records were selected in which the analysis of the expression of E-cadherin was reported. Case inclusion criteria were as follows: diagnosis according to breast pathology; no history of radiotherapy, chemotherapy or endocrine therapy prior to admission; Karnofsky performance status score of ≥ 80 points and surgery performed with all samples identified by three pathology experts for histopathological identification of breast invasive lobular carcinoma; no other malignancy history; preoperative consent specimen collected and informed consent obtained; and approval was provided by the Medical Sur Hospital Ethics Committee. Case exclusion criteria were as follows: incomplete clinical records; breast invasive ductal carcinoma and carcinoma in situ; and preoperative chemotherapy, radiotherapy or endocrine therapy. In addition, other exclusions were other specific types of breast tumors (sarcoma, micropapillary carcinoma, lymphoma, inflammatory breast cancer, male breast carcinoma and gestational breast cancer). The study was conducted in compliance with the ethical standards of the responsible institution on human subjects as well as with the Helsinki Declaration.

Methods

A retrospective, non-randomized, observational, descriptive, single-center study was conducted. Follow-up phone calls were made to identify associated information; and the information recorded in the case was approved by the patient. The patients’ medical records were used to record identity, phone numbers, addresses, and other personal information, including zip code, gender, and breast cancer history. For patients who could not be contacted, their last assessment for outpatient consultation, hospitalization or discharge was used.

Statistical analysis

All data were shown as the mean ± standard deviation and analyzed using a univariate analysis, χ² test or exact probability method. A survival analysis was performed using the Kaplan-Meier method, with the log-rank test. The 11-year RFS was evaluated (from the time of the operation to the appearance of failure), as well as the 11-year OS time (from time of the operation to time of death). The Wilcoxon test with Gehan’s modification was used in testing the differences between the periods of survival among the examined patients. The SPSS 18.0 statistical software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used. P < 0.05 indicated that the difference was statistically significant.

Results

General characteristics

From 2010 to 2018, 207 records of patients with invasive lobular cancer were analyzed; from which 52.2% were > 60 years, 72.9% already had menopause at diagnosis, 72.9% had no history of smoking.

The most frequent molecular subtype was luminal in 94.7% and histological grade was Scarff-Bloom-Richardson 2 (63.8%). Lymphovascular invasion was reported positive in 23.7% of cases, positive margin in 15%, and perineural invasion in 15.9%. The expression of E-cadherin was analyzed in 48.3% (100/207 patients), positive expression was reported in 39 patients (39%) and lack expression in 61% of the patients analyzed (Table 1).

In relation to the clinical stage (CS), localized disease (CS I-IIA: T2N1) was in 178 (86%) of the patients, with CS IB being the most frequent in 92 (44.5%), followed by clinical stage IIA (24.2%), locally advanced CS IIB (T3N0) and IIIA-IIIC disease occurred in 25 (12%) of patients, and metastatic CS IV disease was reported in four patients (2%).

Ninety-eight (47.3%) of the patients underwent modified radical mastectomy and 106 (51.2%) breast-conserving surgery; all patients received adjuvant treatment according to clinical stage and molecular subtype; follow-up was performed in consultation of medical oncology, reporting eight (3.9%) deaths until 2021.

Recurrences

Of the 207 patients analyzed, 22 (10.6%) had recurrences, five (2.4%) were local recurrences, of which two (1%) had contralateral recurrence, seven (3.4%) had systemic recurrence and 10 (4.8%) had recurrence local and systemic. Of these recurrences, three (1.4%) had visceral recurrence (liver, lung), 10 (4.8%) had non-visceral recurrence (lymph node and bone),
### Table 1. General Characteristics

| Characteristic                                           | N (%)          |
|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| Age (years old)                                         |                |
| < 35                                                     | 7 (3.4%)       |
| 35 - 60                                                  | 92 (44.4%)     |
| > 60                                                     | 108 (52.2%)    |
| Menopausal condition                                    |                |
| Premenopausal                                            | 56 (27.1%)     |
| Postmenopausal                                           | 151 (72.9%)    |
| Smoke                                                    |                |
| Negative                                                 | 151 (72.9%)    |
| Positive                                                 | 56 (27.1%)     |
| Expression of estrogen receptor (ER)                     |                |
| Absent                                                   | 16 (7.7%)      |
| Present                                                  | 191 (92.3%)    |
| Expression of progestin receptor (PR)                    |                |
| Absent                                                   | 29 (14%)       |
| Present                                                  | 178 (86%)      |
| Ki67                                                     |                |
| < 14%                                                    | 118 (57%)      |
| ≥ 15%                                                    | 89 (43%)       |
| Molecular subtype                                        |                |
| Luminal A                                                | 109 (52.7%)    |
| Luminal B                                                | 87 (42%)       |
| Her2 enriched                                            | 1 (0.5%)       |
| Triple negative                                          | 10 (4.8%)      |
| Malignancy grade-according to Scarff-Bloom-Richardson    |                |
| G1                                                       | 22 (10.6%)     |
| G2                                                       | 132 (63.8%)    |
| G3                                                       | 53 (25.6%)     |
| Presence of cancerous cells in blood and lymphatics vessels |            |
| Yes                                                      | 49 (23.7%)     |
| No                                                       | 158 (76.5%)    |
| Presence of cancerous cells perineural                   |                |
| Yes                                                      | 33 (15.9%)     |
| No                                                       | 174 (84.1%)    |
| Positive surgical margin                                 |                |
| Yes                                                      | 31 (15%)       |
| No                                                       | 176 (85%)      |
| Expression of E-cadherin                                 |                |
| Positive                                                 | 39 (18.8%)     |
| Lack                                                     | 61 (29.5%)     |
| Tumor size                                               |                |
| < 2 cm                                                   | 118 (57%)      |
| 2 - 5 cm                                                 | 74 (35.7%)     |
| > 5 cm                                                   | 15 (7.3%)      |
| Nodes                                                    |                |
| Negative                                                 | 147 (71%)      |
| 1 - 3                                                    | 36 (17.4%)     |
| ≥ 4                                                      | 24 (11.6%)     |
one (0.5%) had central nervous system (CNS) recurrence, seven (3.4%) had visceral recurrence and non-visceral without CNS involvement, and one (0.5%) presented visceral and non-visceral recurrence with CNS involvement.

RFS and OS

In an 11-year follow-up, RFS in invasive lobular cancer was 89.4% with a mean of 126 ± 2.25 months (95% confidence interval (CI): 121.59 - 130.43 months). OS in invasive lobular carcinoma was 96.1%.

Survival by lymph node involvement

According to the lymph node characteristics, the best prognosis in RFS was in patients with negative nodes 95.2%, 132.06 ± 1.93 months (95% CI: 128.27 - 135.86 months) vs. 50%, 85.89 ± 9.59 months (95% CI: 67.08 - 104.69 months) with four or more positive nodes (P = 0.0001), and OS was 98.6-100% vs. 75%, respectively (P = 0.0001) (Fig. 1).

Survival by tumor size

Regarding tumor size, an RFS of 98.3%, 135.89 ± 0.98 months (95% CI: 133.97 - 137.82 months) was observed in those measuring ≤ 2 cm vs. 60%, 74.01 ± 9.54 months (95% CI: 55.31 - 92.72 months) in a tumor size > 5 cm (P = 0.0001), and OS of 99.2%, 139.5 ± 1 months (95% CI: 137 - 142 months) in tumor size ≤ 2 cm vs. 66.7%, 80.7 ± 2 months (95% CI: 133 - 139 months) in those > 5 cm (P = 0.0001) (Fig. 2).

Survival by clinical stage

RFS in patients with localized disease CS I - IIB (T2N1) was > 90% compared to clinical stage IIIC and IV where the RFS was 25-50% (P = 0.0001). OS was high in clinical stage IA - IIA (93-100%) and lower in CS IV (25%) being statistically significant (P = 0.0001).

Survival by immunohistochemical characteristics

The negative vs. positive estrogen receptor (ER) presented an RFS of 87.5% vs. 89.5%, respectively (P = 0.29), and OS was 93.8% vs. 96.3%, respectively (P = 0.52). It was also reported that the RFS in negative progesterone receptors (PRs) was 86.2% vs. 89.9% in positive PRs (P = 0.11), and OS was 86.2% vs. 97.8%, respectively (P = 0.002). Negative Her2 was related to an RFS of 92.1%, 128.75 ± 2.16 months (95% CI: 124.50 - 132.99 months) vs. 72.4%, 108.01 ± 8.35 months (95% CI: 91.63 - 124.39 months) in positive Her2 (P = 0.0001), and had better OS (98.3%, 138 ± 1 months (95% CI: 136 - 141 months)) with negative Her2 vs. positive Her2 (82.8%, 118 ± 2 months (95% CI: 133 - 139 months), P = 0.0001) (Fig. 3). Ki67 proliferation index > 15% was associated with an RFS of 84.3%, 118.17 ± 4.14 months (95% CI: 100.04 - 126.29 months) vs. 93.2%, 130.69 ± 2.23 months (95% CI: 126.32 - 135.07 months) with a Ki67 ≤ 14% (P = 0.005), and OS was 94.4%, 129 ± 3 months (95% CI: 124 - 135 months) vs. 97.5%, 138 ± 2 months (95% CI: 134 - 141 months), respectively (P = 0.21).
Regarding the molecular subtypes, the RFS was higher in the luminal A (95.4%), the worst being the worst in the pure Her2 (P = 0.0001), and all molecular subtype had an OS > 90%, including triple negative and Her2 (P = 0.11).

In addition, the histological grade correlated with the RFS being 100% for those well differentiated vs. 73.6% for the poorly differentiated (P = 0.0001), and OS was 100% vs. 90.6%, respectively (P = 0.049) (Fig. 4).

**Figure 2.** Kaplan-Meier curve of recurrence-free survival (RFS) of tumor size. RFS of tumor ≤ 2 cm: 98.3%, 135.89 ± 0.98 months (95% CI: 133.97 - 137.82 months). RFS of tumor > 2 - 5 cm: 81.1%, 117.28 ± 4.28 months (95% CI: 108.89 - 125.68 months). RFS of tumor > 5 cm: 60%, 74.01 ± 9.54 months (95% CI: 55.31 - 92.72 months), P = 0.0001. CI: confidence interval.

**Figure 3.** Kaplan-Meier curve of recurrence-free survival (RFS) of Her2. RFS of Her2 (-): 92.1%, 128.75 ± 2.16 months (95% CI: 124.50 - 132.99 months). RFS of Her2 (+): 72.4%, 108.01 ± 8.35 months (95% CI: 91.63 - 124.39 months), P = 0.0001. CI: confidence interval.
Survival by postoperative histopathological characteristics

Postoperative positive margin vs. negative margin, no significant difference was observed in RFS (87.1% vs. 89.8%, respectively), with a P = 0.99, and OS was 96.8% vs. 96%, respectively (P = 0.80). Negative lymph vascular invasion (LVI) increases the RFS of 91.8% vs. 81.6% in those who had positive LVI (P = 0.032); however, there was no significant difference in OS (96.2% vs. 95.9%, P = 0.032). Negative perineural invasion (PNI) demonstrated RFS of 90.2% vs. 84.8% with positive PNI (P = 0.2), and OS of 96.6% vs. 93.9%, respectively (P = 0.53).

Survival by E-cadherin expression

The rate of positive expression of E-cadherin was associated with an increase in the RFS of 97.4%, with a mean of 128.6 ± 2.4 months (95% CI: 123.75 - 133.45 months) compared to the absence of expression E-cadherin: signal log ratio (SLR) 68.9%, a mean of 95 ± 6 months (95% CI: 83.28 - 106.88 months), P < 0.001. When the OS was analyzed, the presence of E-cadherin expression increased the OS of 100% vs. 86.9% with the absence, P = 0.015 (Figs. 5, 6).

Discussion

Infiltrating lobular carcinomas have a higher frequency of bilaterality and multicentricity than infiltrating ductal carcinomas; arise in older women and are larger and better differentiated tumors. As a rule, invasive lobular carcinomas are ER-positive, with variant lesions showing occasional variable expression. As a group, invasive lobular carcinomas tend to metastasize later than invasive duct carcinomas [12, 13].

Several population studies and randomized trials show an increased incidence of invasive lobular breast cancer in women over 60 years of age, menopausal and with the most frequent molecular subtype luminal A, as reported in this study in the Mexican population [14-17]. Macari et al reported that breast carcinoma occurs at an earlier age in Mexican women compared to women in the USA. Hormone-positive tumors were found to be more prevalent in older patients, while high-grade tumors were more frequently identified in younger patients [18].

In Jamaica, patients in the age group less than 50 years were more likely to have advanced stage, high histological grade cancers compared to the older age blocks (95% CI: 0.039 - 0.902, P = 0.033), associated with poor prognosis [19].

Breast cancer in African women differs from that of white and Mexican women. More than half (62.5%) are premenopausal Nigerian women at presentation, compared to Mexican women in whom 72.9% are postmenopausal in this study. Most of the patients (Nigerian women) presented in the last stage of the disease, stage III (66.9%) and stage IV (18.3%), and in Mexican women stages III and IV were reported (12%) in our study, which conditions a worse prognosis in Nigerian women [20].

Invasive lobular breast cancer is frequently diagnosed in early stages, especially in institutions where breast cancer screening is performed routinely, which allows patients with these pathologies to have a better RFS and OS > 90% as reported in this study, and presents metastasis years later, which conditions worse prognosis [1, 5, 12, 16, 21].

In our study, 98 (47.3%) of the patients underwent modified radical mastectomy and 106 (51.2%) with breast-conserving surgery. This is due to the high frequency of early clinical presentation of the disease and the decision-making as a whole with the patient for breast conservation; type of surgery is per-
formed less frequently in other institutions [6-12].

**Prognostic role of lymph node involvement**

The prognostic role of micro metastatic lymph node involvement was demonstrated in a large meta-analysis of 58 studies. Patients with microscopic pathological lymph node involvement had a higher risk of death compared to patients with pN0 disease (hazard ratio (HR): 1.44; 95% CI: 1.29 - 1.62); in addition the number of nodes has been correlated with the prognosis in recurrence and survival, so it is currently one of the clinicopathological characteristics with the greatest prognostic impact [22-24].

---

**Figure 5.** Kaplan-Meier curve of recurrence-free survival (RFS) of expression of epithelial-cadherin (E-cadherin). The positive expression of E-cadherin was associated with an increase in the RFS of 97.4%, with a mean of 128.6 ± 2.4 months (95% Cl: 123.75 - 133.45 months) compared to the absence of expression E-cadherin: signal log ratio (SLR) 68.9%, a mean of 95 ± 6 months (95% Cl: 83.28 - 106.88 months). P < 0.001. CI: confidence interval.

**Figure 6.** Kaplan-Meier curve of overall survival (OS) of expression of epithelial-cadherin (E-cadherin). The presence of E-cadherin expression increased the OS of 100% vs. 86.9% with the absence, P = 0.015.
Prognostic role of histological grade

In relation to the histological grade, worse RFS (73.6%, P = 0.0001) and OS (90.6%, P = 0.049) were observed in the poorly differentiated (grade 3) ones. As reported in a study that included more than 2,200 cases of operable breast cancer, where there was a correlation between histological grade and worsening results, with an HR for worsening breast cancer-specific survival (CSS) of 1.6 for grade 2 cancers vs. 1 (95% CI: 1.1 - 2.5) and 3.9 for grade 3 vs. grade 1 cancers (95% CI: 2.6 - 5.8). The correlation persisted after multivariate adjustment for other prognostic indicators, including lymph node involvement, tumor size, and vascular invasion [25, 26].

Prognostic role of molecular subtypes and postoperative histopathological characteristics

Because the largest population was luminal A and B, which is correlated with a good prognosis; when analyzing the postsurgical characteristics, it was observed that the perineural invasion and positive margin did not show worse RFS or OS compared to those that did not have these characteristics. Better RFS (91.8% vs. 81.6%, P = 0.032) was only observed in those who did not have LVI; however, there was no greater significant difference in OS (P = 0.84). These data seem to correlate with a population study of more than 15,000 patients, where LVI was significantly associated with other adverse prognostic factors (tumor size, grade, positive lymph node status, ductal histology, ER negativity). In the absence of these other factors, LVI had no effect on survival. At 5 years, 98% of the patients without LVI were alive vs. 94.1% of the patients with LVI [27-29].

There is a meta-analysis where it was observed that Ki67 > 14% is associated with a higher risk of relapse in node-positive disease (HR: 1.59, 95% CI: 1.35 - 1.87) and with disease with negative nodes (HR: 2.31, 95% CI 1.83 - 2.92); as well as worse survival in node-positive disease (HR of death: 2.33, 95% CI: 1.83 - 2.95) and with node-negative disease (HR: 2.54, 95% CI: 1.65 - 3.91); data are reproduced in this study with relation [30, 31].

Prognostic role of immunohistochemical characteristics

In our study, PR expression did not show benefit in RFS (P = 0.11), although it did report an improvement in OS in PR (+) of 97.8% vs. 86.2% in PR (-), P = 0.002. This was demonstrated in a large population cohort study that included more than 1,000 women with early breast cancer, who underwent primary surgery with curative intent. The absence of RP expression was associated with a poorer prognosis for OS, CSS, and disease-free survival (DFS) [32].

Where an important benefit was observed in the RFS and OS, it was in those patients who had negative Her2 (RFS: 92.1% vs. 72.4%, P = 0.0001 and OS: 98.3% vs. 82.8%, P = 0.0001). In the literature, it is reported that in the absence of systemic therapy, Her2 overexpression is a poor prognostic marker in patients with pathologically node-positive and node-negative breast cancer. Furthermore, the data suggest that Her2 retains prognostic value even in the presence of small tumors ≤ 1 cm [33, 34].

Prognostic role of E-cadherin expression

Changes in cell adhesion are the main mechanism of invasion and metastasis of a malignant tumor. Decreased cell adhesion is an important factor that leads to tumor infiltration and metastasis [6-9].

E-cadherin is a type of cell adhesion glycoprotein. It is not only an inhibitor of tumor cell invasion and metastasis, it is also an inhibitor of normal cell growth. Studies have found that when the epithelium-mesenchymal transition occurs in cancer cells, the expression of E-cadherin decreases or shows a functional loss, causing decreased cell adhesion, loss of polarity, and infiltration of surrounding tissue growth, and can be transferred to bone, liver, lung and brain tissue [35-37]. Moussa et al reported prognostic role of epithelial-mesenchymal transition markers in transitional cell carcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma variants of bladder carcinoma. They concluded that poor prognosis was evident in association with reduced E-cadherin, positive nuclear β-catenin/reduced membranous β-catenin, zinc-finger E-box-binding homeobox 1 (ZEB1), ZEB2-positive cases as well patients with elevated p63 expression (P < 0.001) [38].

E-cadherin has become one of the most important research points among members of the cadherin family. Studies have found that E-cadherin is involved in the early appearance, infiltration, and metastasis of different tumors. The expression of E-cadherin is closely associated with the invasion and metastasis of various tumors and their clinical prognoses [39, 40].

The interpretation of the stain for E-cadherin is debatable. In the immunohistochemical method, the biggest problem remains the subjective evaluation of pathomorphologists and their experience. There are no criteria accepted as synonyms to consider the evaluation of the level of absence of E-cadherin. Most of the authors, as in the study presented, assume that the correct functioning of the cells requires the presence of E-cadherin in at least 70% of the stained cells. Its expression in less than 70% of the cells evaluated is treated as the absence of E-cadherin [41].

As has been shown in many investigations, the expression of E-cadherin is very rare in tumors with a high potential for malignancy. Breast cancer has been studied; however most of the literature has focused on invasive ductal breast cancer where it is more frequent, not so in infiltrating lobular carcinoma, where the frequency of expression of E-cadherin is very low, such as reported in our study [39-41].

The expression of E-cadherin was analyzed in 48.3% (100/207 patients), and positive expression was reported in 39 patients (18.8%) and negative expression in 29.4% of the patients who underwent immunohistochemistry for E-cadherin. The results of the present study suggested that the absence of E-cadherin expression was positively associated with lymph node metastases. The rate of positive expression of E-cadherin was associated with an increase in RFS of 97.4%, with a mean...
of 128.6 ± 2.4 month (95% CI: 123.75 - 133.45 months) compared to the absence of E-cadherin expression: RFS of 68.9%, a mean of 95 ± 6 months (95% CI: 83.28 - 106.88 months), P < 0.001. In the study by De Leeuw et al, they reported a significant correlation with the absence of E-cadherin and lymph node metastases, as well as an impact on survival [42].

When the OS was analyzed, the presence of E-cadherin expression increased the OS of 100% vs. 86.9% with the absence, P = 0.015. The absence of E-cadherin expression was more frequent in patients with distant metastatic disease. When evaluating E-cadherin in breast cancer, Brzozowska et al observed a decrease in OS and DFS in patients who had no E-cadherin expression when compared with those who did have E-cadherin expression [43].

Many studies have evaluated the association between the absence of E-cadherin expression and the prognosis of patients with breast cancer. However, the results are inconsistent. Li et al reported in their 2017 meta-analysis [44] the results of a total of 33 individual studies that included 7,353 breast cancer patients. It was concluded that the absence of E-cadherin expression significantly predicted poor OS (HR: 1.79, 95% CI: 1.41 - 2.27) and poor DFS (HR: 1.62, 95% CI: 1.31 - 1.99). Furthermore, the absence of E-cadherin expression was correlated with tumor size (OR: 1.38), lymph node status (OR: 1.55), TNM stage (OR: 2.44), and histological grade (OR: 1.44).

Due to the heterogeneity of the studies, since they include lobular and ductal breast cancer, as well as the range to consider absence of E-cadherin expression by immunohistochemistry (5-70%) and the small population that has been studied, about all in lobular cancer, a prospective study is required, with a larger population in which the absence or presence of E-cadherin expression is determined with a standard cut-off point by immunohistochemistry to establish homogeneity in the results.

Understanding these mechanisms and further investigating the findings of this study will help confirm these results and determine other associated important findings. More research is required to properly understand decreased cell adhesion.

Conclusions

Results of the analysis in the presented study indicate that positive expression of E-cadherin correlates with an improvement in OS and RFS. Therefore, it should be considered as a new prognostic tool in invasive lobular breast cancer in Mexican women. The prognostic impact of the different clinicopathological characteristics known worldwide was confirmed.
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