Weak Interactions and Conformational Changes in Core-Protonated A_2- and A_x-Type Porphyrin Dications
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Abstract: Individual chemical motifs are known to introduce structural distortions to the porphyrin macrocycle, be it in the core or at the periphery of the macrocycle. The interplay when introducing two or more of these known structural motifs has been scarcely explored and is not necessarily simply additive; these structural distortions have a chance to compound or negate to introduce new structural types. To this end, a series of compounds with complementary peripheral (5,15-disubstitution) and core (acidification) substitution patterns were investigated. The single-crystal X-ray structures of 18 5,15-diphenylporphyrin, 5,15-diphenylporphyrin-di-ium diacid, and related compounds are reported, including the first example of a 5,15-dialkylporphyrin-di-ium. Normal-coordinate structural decomposition (NSD) analysis is used for a detailed analysis of the conformation of the porphyrin subunit within the crystal structures. An elongation of porphyrin macrocycles along the C_5,C_15- axis (B_2g symmetry) is observed in all of the free base porphyrins and porphyrin dications; distance across the core is around 0.3 Å in the free base and diacid compounds, and more than doubled in 5,15-dipentylporphyrin and 5,15-dipentylporphyrin-di-ium diacid. While the free base porphyrins are largely planar, a large out-of-plane distortion can be observed in 5,15-diphenylporphyrin dicacids, with the expected “projective saddle” shape characteristic for such systems. The combination of these two distortions (B_2u and B_2g) from regular porphyrin structure results in a macrocycle best characterized in the chiral point-group D_2. A rare structural type of a cis-hydrogen bond chelate is observed for 5,15-dipentylporphyrin-di-ium diacid, which adopts an achiral C_2v symmetry. Crystallographic data indicate that the protonated porphyrin core forms hydrogen bonding chelates (N-H···X···H-N) to counter-ions. Weaker interactions, such as induced intramolecular C-H···O interactions from the porphyrin periphery are described, with distances characteristic of charge-assisted interactions. This paper offers a conceptual framework for accessing porphyrin macrocycles with designable distortion and symmetry, useful for the selective perturbation of electronic states and a design-for-application approach to solid state porphyrin materials.
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1. Introduction

Porphyrins (1) are key natural compounds with a rich history in synthetic, biological, medicinal, and materials chemistry [1–4]. They have unique catalytic, electro-, and photochemical properties and can chelate a wide variety of metal ions with the added ability to function as receptors for substrates and analytes [5,6]. In addition, structural aspects of the porphyrin macrocycle conformation play a key role in their in vivo function [7]. Modulation of porphyrin 3D structures gives rise to new structural
binding motifs in supramolecular chemistry [8] and can be used to fine-tune their chemical reactivity, metal insertion, and utility as receptors/catalysts [9].

Studies of peripherally crowded porphyrin macrocycles (highly substituted porphyrins) conformations have shown that inducing a dodecasubstitution pattern, such as in 5,10,15,20-tetraaryl-2,3,7,8,12,13,17,18-octaethylporphyrin 2, often yields structures in which individual pyrrole units are forced from the mean plane of the macrocycle, to adopt a saddled shape [10,11]. Modifications to the substitution regime, such as different steric demands of substituents and the number of peri-interaction sites, can tweak the degree of steric crowding and affect macrocycle conformation [12,13]. Pyrrole out-of-plane tilting allows for free base N-H protons, normally locked in a characteristic four-center two-proton hydrogen bond, to form intermolecular H-bonds [9,14]. Nonplanar free base porphyrins have shown great promise as sensors for H-bond acceptor analytes, due to the induced colorimetric change in the porphyrin core upon hydrogen-bond coordination [15]. Additionally, free base nonplanar porphyrins have been investigated as multiple-action catalysts, with Brønsted-acid and -base sites, as well as for their photocatalytic activity [9,16–18].

Core-protonated species, aka porphyrin dications or porphyrindium compounds ([H₄I][X]₂), are some of the best-known nonplanar porphyrin systems (Figure 1). Their investigation goes back to Fischer’s use thereof in porphyrin analysis [19] and Fleischer’s pioneering studies on the structural flexibility of porphyrins [20]. Over the years we have looked at them in the context of studying highly substituted porphyrins and investigated the additional impact core protonation has on the conformational distortion of nonplanar porphyrins [7,13,21]. However, most of these and related studies [22] used A₄-type 4, i.e., 5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrin 10; symmetric, meso-substituted or β-octasubstituted porphyrins 3, or the dodecasubstituted systems 2 mentioned above [23,24]. Only limited information is available on porphyrins of the Aₓ-series 5–8, i.e., porphyrins with 1–3 meso substituents or unsymmetrical ABCD-type porphyrins 9 [25].

As the first step in a more comprehensive analysis of the structural chemistry of cationic porphyrinoids, protonation of A₂-type porphyrins 6 was selected as the simplest mechanism of charge introduction, relying on well-established methods for crystal growth [23,24]. Structural analyses of the cations resulting from protonation of all four of the pyrrole nitrogen atoms provide specific information in conformational changes resulting from core modification and general information on the role of charge in porphyrin conformation.

5,15-Diarylporphyrin compounds are simple, generally air-stable porphyrins, conceptually intermediate between the parent compound of the class, porphyrin (H₂I, Figure 1), and the often used 5,10,15,20-tetraarylporphyrins such as 10 (Figure 2, R₁–₄ = Ph). 5,15-Diarylporphyrins (general
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formulas, Figure 1) are some of the most widely used workhorses in porphyrin chemistry. Some, like 5,15-diphenylporphyrin 11, are commercially available and/or can be prepared on a large scale [26,27]. They typically serve as starting materials for post-cyclization modification of porphyrins [28–34] but have also found applications in their own right, e.g., as photosensitizers in photodynamic therapy [35].

Figure 2. Porphyrins and crystal modifications studied herein.

The crystal structures of several 5,15-disubstituted free base porphyrins have been previously reported, including the parent 5,15-diphenylporphyrin (H$_2$11) [36], variously substituted 5,15-diarylporphyrins such as 5,15-bis(p-pentyloxyphenyl)porphyrin (AFIFOM) [37], -bis(o-aminophenyl)porphyrin (CUYCOQ), and -bis(p-carbomethoxyphenyl)porphyrin (AQIQOI), [38] as well as 5,15-dialkylporphyrins (alkyl = ethyl (SAZDUU), n-butyl (SAZFAQ) [39]) and 5,15-bis(2-thienyl)porphyrin (REVQIT) [40]. A related structural analysis of free base and diacid mono- (12) and diphenylporphyrins has been published, which included the structure of the bistrifluoroacetate of 5,15-bis(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)porphyrin (MANJAN), the sole prior example of a 5,15-disubstituted porphyrin diacid [25].

As shown in Figure 1, the porphyrin free base can be diprotonated to a porphyrin dication by acids (HX) of sufficient strength to form the dication salt [H$_4$Por][X]$_2$ [19,20]. The tilting of pyrrole units not only makes the N-H units accessible for external hydrogen bonds but also affects the electronic structure of the aromatic unit and has an associated color change, often from red to green. We present herein a comparative analysis of the crystallographic structural characteristics of porphyrin diacids using data from the CCDC CSD [41] and show and quantify a general structural motif that is consistent among the majority of porphyrin diacids.
2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Scope of Study

We report 19 crystal structures of compounds bearing an unsymmetrically substituted porphyrin substructure. The focus of this study is on the supramolecular interactions induced by core protonation and the extent to which the conformation of the macrocycle is affected by the introduction of protons and positive charge to a porphyrin ring with an unsymmetric substitution pattern. Hydrogen bonding from the core of each macrocycle is investigated; additionally, weaker intermolecular interactions such as C-H···O close contacts from the macrocycle periphery induced by an increasing charge on the macrocycle are discussed. As a model compound for investigating porphyrins with meso-C-H atoms, it is found that protonation of 5,15-diarylporphyrins increases the propensity of meso- and β-C-atoms to act as donors in non-standard hydrogen bonds, and these are investigated. Additional related structures, such as 5,10,15-triphenylporphyrindi-ium bis(trifluoroacetate) and two porphyrin meso-meso linked dimer tetracations, are reported as well.

5,15-Porphyrin diacids are some of the simplest readily accessible porphyrins which have chiral point-group symmetry; these are poised to be important in the enantioselective sensing of chiral analytes. The compounds reported herein expand the number of known porphyrin diacids crystal structures significantly. Where possible, examples have been compared to a similar porphyrin crystal structure in the free base state; this allows a rationalization of the structural effect of protonation on the porphyrin core.

2.2. Database Analysis

In order to place the structures reported here in the context of previously reported crystal structures, and to investigate the structural effects of protonation on porphyrin structures, aggregated crystallographic data was analyzed for conformational change and intermolecular interactions. To our knowledge 78 crystal structures of porphyrin diacids of any variety have been reported previously; a full list with CSD codes is given in Table S1.1. Protonation induces intermolecular interactions, conformational changes, and changes to the bond distances and angles of the porphyrin core, summarized here.

A common motif is the interaction between the pyrrole N-H and the counter-anions which balances the charge of the porphyrin dication, occurring in all but two of previously reported cases. Counter-anions are often protonating acid species—chloride, trifluoroacetate, and perchlorate—encountered within crystal structures of porphyrin diacids. Hydrogen bonding between the acidic species and anionic guest is ubiquitous for O and Cl acceptors; this charge-assisted hydrogen bond chelate is generally between 0-0.4 Å shorter than the sum of the Van der Waals radii of the donor and acceptor atoms, as can be seen in Figure 3.

![Figure 3](image-url)  
**Figure 3.** A histogram of the frequency of N···A distances for H-bonding N-H···A close contacts within porphyrin acid structures in the CSD with A = "OR (in blue) and A = Cl" (in red). Median distances for each of these groups (A = O, 2.846 Å; A = Cl, 3.203 Å) are indicative of charge-assisted H-bond formation.
Bond distances and angles are affected by protonation of the porphyrin, notably the mean C_a-N-C_a angle, which becomes more obtuse, and the mean N-C_a-C_b angle, which becomes more acute. The N⋯N separation between adjacent pyroles is generally increased. Tilting of the pyrrole units from the mean plane is observed; whereas free base porphyrin pyrrole subunits are generally coplanar, the pyrrole units in [H_4]^2+ porphyrins are generally rotated 20–40° out of the macrocycle mean plane [21,22].

These transformations are indicated by obvious changes in the bond distances derived from the populations of the free base and [H_4]^2+ porphyrin structures reported in the CCDC Crystal Structure Database; histograms of selected parameters are shown in Figure 4.

2.3. Single Crystal X-ray Structure Determinations

2.3.1. 5,15-Diphenylporphyrin and its Dication Salt as Parent Compounds

The crystal structure of 5,15-diphenylporphyrin H_211 crystallized from dichloromethane/hexane, was determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction. In contrast to the previously collected monoclinic diffraction pattern for a sample of the same molecule crystallized from chloroform/hexane [36], the collected diffraction pattern indicated a triclinic cell setting, and a satisfactory solution to the collected data was found in triclinic P\textsuperscript{T}. A labelled diagram of the atoms within the asymmetric unit of this molecule is presented in Figure S2.2.1.

The asymmetric unit H_211\textsuperscript{triclinic} shows one half of the 5,15-diphenylporphyrin macrocycle, encompassing two pyrrole subunits, a methine CH, and a phenyl ring; a center of inversion sits at the center of the porphyrin core. A complete molecule in the triclinic form is depicted in Figure 5a. An approximately planar modality can be observed for the porphyrin core, as expected for free-base porphyrins without peripheral steric conflicts. The bond distances and angles are within the expected margins for free base porphyrins [42]. An elongation along the 5,15-axis in this compound can be observed, which is a common motif in the 5,15-porphyrin series [43]; the C_5⋯C_15 distance is 7.0778(19) Å vs. 6.703(3) Å for C_10⋯C_20.
Figure 5. Thermal ellipsoid plots (50%) of the crystal structures of (a) $\text{H}_2\text{II}_{\text{triclinic}}$, (b) $[\text{H}_4\text{II}][\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2]_2$, with counter anions omitted from view, and (c) side view of $[\text{H}_4\text{II}][\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2]_2$, with counter anions shown. Hydrogen bonding is indicated by striped bonds.

This compound is a crystalline polymorph of a previously reported structure with the same formula [36]. Both polymorphs appear at low temperatures (150 K for $\text{H}_2\text{II}_{\text{mon}}$ and 100 K for $\text{H}_2\text{II}_{\text{tri}}$) implying that the realignment of the crystals does not occur as a low-temperature phase transition. The monoclinic and triclinic phases have similar C–C and C–N bond distances and angles, as shown in Table S1.4. Minor structural details, such as orientation of phenyl rings with respect to the porphyrin core in $\text{H}_2\text{II}_{\text{tri}}$, are imposed by symmetry constraints for $\text{P}\overline{1}$, and cannot be superimposed with their arrangement in the monoclinic form.

Intramolecular aryl-to-porphyrin edge-to-face short contacts are observed, as has been previously observed for $\text{H}_2\text{II}_{\text{mon}}$. These interactions occur on both faces of each molecule of $\text{H}_2\text{II}$ and form supramolecular chains which extend along the crystallographic a-axis. A separation of mean porphyrin planes within this chain, at 3.607(10) Å, is much less than observed in the prior polymorph, at 4.117(10) Å. Additional C–H⋯H–C Van der Waals interactions aggregate these chains into sheets perpendicular to the mean plane of the porphyrin, which extends in the (0 1 1) Miller plane; no significant intermolecular interactions can be observed between sheets. A plot of the molecules within the crystallographic cell is shown in Figure S2.2.1.b.

5,15-Diphenylporphyrin Dichloromethane Solvate–$\text{H}_2\text{II}\cdot\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$

The asymmetric unit of the crystal structure of $\text{H}_2\text{II}\cdot\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ shows a molecule of $\text{H}_2\text{II}$ with similar molecular conformation to that for monoclinic $\text{H}_2\text{II}$. This molecule is a remeasurement of a previously reported compound at a lower temperature (108 K versus 150 K) and shows no structural difference to this compound [36]. Relevant plots are shown in Figure S2.2.2.

5,15-Diphenylporphyrindium bis(trifluoroacetate)–$[\text{H}_4\text{II}][\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2]_2$
The crystal structure of the bis-trifluoroacetate salt of H$_2$11, 5,15-diphenylporphyrindium bis(trifluoroacetate) [H$_4$11][CF$_3$CO$_2$I], was determined by X-ray diffraction; the atoms of the porphyrin component are shown as thermal ellipsoids in Figure 5b and the interaction with counter-ions shown in Figure 5c. Related molecular plots are shown in Figure S2.2.3.

In [H$_4$11][CF$_3$CO$_2$I], the pyrrole subunits of the porphyrin dication are tilted out of the central plane of the macrocycle, as expected for porphyrin dications. These protonated pyrroles act as donors in forming hydrogen bonds to trifluoroacetate anions at distances of 2.761(2) and 2.833(3) Å (N···O); each with refinement indicating atom positions centered on nitrogen and N–H···O angles of <169°. C–H···O interactions can be observed from β- and meso-carbon atoms of one porphyrin to trifluoroacetate anions associated with proximal macrocycles. Non-standard hydrogen-mediated bonding interactions are approximately linear, with distances indicating associative interactions, the shortest of which is 3.064 Å, well below the sum of the Van der Waals radii for C and O. These types of C–H···O are common from cationic moieties [44].

5,15-Diphenylporphyrindium bis(trifluoroacetate) trifluoroacetic acid solvate–[H$_4$11][CF$_3$CO$_2$I]$_2$2CF$_3$CO$_2$H

A second crystal structure of [H$_4$11][CF$_3$CO$_2$I]$_2$—[H$_4$11][CF$_3$CO$_2$I]$_2$2CF$_3$CO$_2$H—was obtained as the trifluoroacetic acid solvate; this compound exhibits a similar conformation, as evidenced by its normal structural decomposition (NSD) profile to [H$_4$11][CF$_3$CO$_2$I] (see Section 2.4), and similar bond distances and angles for both porphyrin molecules in the asymmetric unit. Thermal ellipsoid plots and a view of the molecules in the unit cell are shown in Figure S2.2.4. In this structure, the arrangement of porphyrin diacid and counter-ions is identical to the one in [H$_4$11][CF$_3$CO$_2$I]; each of the trifluoroacetic acid solvates forms a hydrogen bond with a trifluoroacetate anion which is chelated by the porphyrin core. C–H···O interactions can be observed from β-carbon atoms, the shortest of which is C318–H318···O1B (3.140 Å, 150.7°); these interactions are discussed further in the ‘C–H···O Bonding’ Section 2.5.2.

2.3.2. Other 5,15-Disubstituted Porphyrins

The investigation of the cumulative effect of designable distortion in porphyrin molecules necessarily requires accurate determination of more than one 5,15-disubstituted porphyrin diacid crystal structure. The CCDC reference codes of previously reported crystal structures of porphyrin diacid structures are listed in Table S1.1, and reference codes for 5,15-di- and 5,10,15-trisubstituted porphyrins are listed in Table S1.2. The crystal structure of 5,15-bis(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)porphyrindium ditrifluoroacetate (MANJAN) [25] is the sole previous example of a 5,15-diacid crystal structure and exhibits a similar structure to the 5,15-diphenylporphyrindium-iium structures discussed here.

5,15-Bis(3-pentyl)porphyrin Dichloromethane Solvate–H$_2$13–1/3CH$_2$Cl$_2$

The crystal structure of 5,15-bis(3-pentyl)porphyrin trient(dichloromethane) solvate—H$_2$13–1/3CH$_2$Cl$_2$—shown in Figure 6a, crystallizes in a hexagonal cell setting, and could be solved in spacegroup R¯3; the asymmetric unit features half of a porphyrin molecule and a 1/6 occupancy disordered DCM molecule. The porphyrin core is approximately planar; alkyl groups are clearly defined at the 5,15-position; these chains project perpendicular to the porphyrin plane. The core of the porphyrin exhibits an exaggerated characteristic 5,15-stretch of 5,15-disubstituted porphyrins [36,43]. C$_{5}$···C$_{15}$ separation across the core of this porphyrin is 7.307(4) Å versus 6.481(4) Å for C$_{10}$···C$_{20}$. A similarly pronounced meso-stretch has previously been observed for 2,3,5,7,8,12,13,15,17,18-decaalkylporphyrins [45], which are similarly more distorted than 5,15-diphenyl–2,3,7,8,12,13,17,18-octaalkylporphyrin free bases. The in-plane distortion attributed to this compound is exceeded by only a handful of porphyrin structures known, with most of these exceptionally distorted structures resulting from core modification [46,47].
Figure 6. (a) View of the molecular structure of H_{2}13 in the crystal structure of H_{2}13\cdot 1/3CH_{2}Cl_{2}. (b) The ‘cis’-type hydrogen bonding pattern of [H_{4}13][CF_{3}CO_{2}H]. Trifluoroacetate anions are highlighted with green bonds, with H-bonding denoted with striped bonds. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at 50%.

The DCM solvate is situated in a pocket created by three porphyrin molecules above, and three below, arranged by edge-to-face interactions. One orientation of this pocket is shown in supplementary Figure S2.2.5c. DCM is disordered over a six-fold symmetric site at the crystallographic origin; each porphyrin molecule interacts with two solvate pockets, as shown in Figure S2.2.5d.

5,15-Bis(3-pentyl)porphyrin-di-ium Bis(trifluoroacetate)–[H_{4}13][CF_{3}CO_{2}]_{2}

Precipitation of H_{2}13 in acidic solution yields crystals of [H_{4}13][CF_{3}CO_{2}]_{2}. The alkyl groups on the 5,15-positions of the porphyrin extend perpendicular to the porphyrin mean plane and are disordered over two conformations. This structure exhibits a similarly exaggerated 5,15-stretch of the free base porphyrin H_{2}13; the C5···C5’ separation across the porphyrin core is 7.333(4) Å versus 6.552(5) Å separation for C10···C10’ (i.e., C10···C20 due to reduced asymmetric unit).

This compound displays unusual hydrogen bonding for a porphyrin diacid—the neighboring N21, N24-hydrogen atoms are engaged in a hydrogen bonding chelate, as opposed to the N21, N23-chelate observed in all other diacid structures known, with the exception of the tetra(cyclohexyl)porphyrin-di-ium we reported some time ago [21]. The H-bonding interaction is shown in Figure 6b; additional crystal structure plots are given in Figure S2.2.6. Similar distortion modes have previously been observed for porphyrin bis(rhodium) chelates [46,47].

Other Crystal Structures of Free Base Porphyrins and Dication Salts

Additionally, the crystal structures of compounds H_{2}15, H_{2}16, [H_{4}17][CF_{3}CO_{2}]_{2}2CF_{3}CO_{2}H, [H_{4}18][ClO_{4}]_{2}, [H_{4}18][CF_{3}CO_{2}]_{2}2CF_{3}CO_{2}H, [H_{4}19][CF_{3}CO_{2}]_{2}2CF_{3}CO_{2}H, [H_{4}20][ClO_{4}]_{2}, [H_{4}21][CF_{3}CO_{2}]_{2}2CF_{3}CO_{2}H, [H_{4}22][MeSO_{4}]_{2}1H_{2}O, [H_{8}23][ClO_{4}]_{4}2H_{2}O, and [H_{8}24][CF_{3}CO_{2}]_{4}14H_{2}O were reported. These molecules are each represented in the skeletal formula shown in Figure 1. Crystallographic data for these compounds is attached as Supplementary Information (SI). The crystal structures of these compounds are depicted in the SI (Sections S2.2.4–S2.2.18), with in-plane and out-of-plane skeletal plots and NSD profile summaries of these crystal structure determinations and selected literature compounds presented in Section S2.3 and Figures S2.3.1–S2.3.22.

Notable entries in the list are [H_{8}23][ClO_{4}]_{4}2H_{2}O and [H_{8}24][CF_{3}CO_{2}]_{4}14H_{2}O the first examples of structurally characterized bisporphyrin tetracations. The structural features of the individual porphyrin macrocycles are similar to the ‘monoporphyrins’ discussed above. As an example, Figure 7 shows a side view of bisporphyrin tetraacid complex [H_{8}24][CF_{3}CO_{2}]_{4}.
Figure 7. View of an individual molecule of \([\text{H}_8\text{24}][\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2]_4\) within \([\text{H}_8\text{24}][\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2]_4\cdot14\text{H}_2\text{O}\). Solvents are omitted from this representation; thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 50% level. Trifluoroacetate anions are highlighted with green bonds.

2.3.3. A$_3$-Type Porphyrins

Conceptually intermediate between the 5,15-diaryl and 5,10,15,20-tetraarylporphyrins are porphyrins with three aryl rings at the meso positions—the 5,10,15-triarylporphyrins are represented by general formula 5. Triarylporphyrins have shown novel reactivity and simple entry to porphyrins of asymmetrical substitution patterns and dimeric species [48–50]. Investigation of these species offers the possibility of fine-tuning the reactivity of porphyrin compounds and offers an additional vector for modification.

Crystal structures of triarylporphyrins of general formula 5 (Figure 1) reveal a conformation with characteristics of both the 5,15-diaryl and 5,10,15,20-tetraarylporphyrins. These compounds are listed in Table S1.2, and generally exhibit less pronounced 5,15-stretching (anisotropy) to that observed for 5,15-diarylporphyrins. The crystal structure of the free base parent compound of this class, 5,10,15-triphenylporphyrin has been reported previously [51].

5,10,15-Triphenylporphyrin-di-ium bis(trifluoroacetate)–\([\text{H}_4\text{14}][\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2]_2\)

The title compound (\([\text{H}_4\text{14}][\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2]_2\)) crystallized in a triclinic cell setting, and a solution to the collected diffraction pattern could be obtained in triclinic space group P1. A view of the porphyrin diacid macrocycle is shown in Figure 8a, with hydrogen-bonding of the core of this macrocycle shown in Figure 8b. A labeled plot of the atoms in the asymmetric unit, as well as a cell packing diagram, are shown in Figure S2.2.7.
with a proximal macrocycle. Standard and non-standard hydrogen bonding behavior is discussed in porphyrin di-cations, a multicomponent model is required, due to the 2nd activation of the porphyrin core, an important aspect of sensing with porphyrins acting as H-bond dependent on β being unusually large for acid porphyrins with the saddle shape, and 5,15-disubstituted porphyrins by a 5,15-substitution pattern.

Information on the in-plane asymmetric distortions of the porphyrin macrocycle such as that induced used extensively for the analysis and quantification of out-of-plane structure but also yields useful modes of each symmetry operation (6 in-plane, 6 out-of-plane) are usually sufficient based on a least-squares fit of the calculated Raman distortion modes of an idealized, planar molecule [(5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrinato)copper(II)] [52,53]. For metal complexes, the lowest frequency modes of each symmetry operation (6 in-plane, 6 out-of-plane) are usually sufficient to model 90–95% of the structural deviation of metallated, mostly planar porphyrins from normality. This method was used extensively for the analysis and quantification of out-of-plane structure but also yields useful information on the in-plane asymmetric distortions of the porphyrin macrocycle such as that induced by a 5,15-substitution pattern.

Normal-coordinate structural decomposition analysis (NSD) is a method of comparing porphyrin compounds, whereby structural deviations from idealized modality can be quantified by comparison to known distortion modes in each of the symmetry classes for an idealized molecule with D4h symmetry. This method of porphyrin structural analysis was pioneered by Shelnutt and coworkers, based on a least-squares fit of the calculated Raman distortion modes of an idealized, planar molecule [(5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrinato)copper(II)] [52,53]. For metal complexes, the lowest frequency modes of each symmetry operation (6 in-plane, 6 out-of-plane) are usually sufficient to model 90–95% of the structural deviation of metallated, mostly planar porphyrins from normality. This method was used extensively for the analysis and quantification of out-of-plane structure but also yields useful information on the in-plane asymmetric distortions of the porphyrin macrocycle such as that induced by a 5,15-substitution pattern.

NSD methodology thus allows for the investigation of the structural effect of meso-substitution and protonation; nonplanarity and symmetry breaking has been shown to influence both the absorption characteristics and photochemical reactivity of porphyrin compounds [53,54]. With respect to porphyrin-di-iums, a multicomponent model is required, due to the 2nd B2u and 2nd B2g modes being unusually large for acid porphyrins with the saddle shape, and 5,15-disubstituted porphyrins dependent on β-substitution, respectively. Additionally, the 2nd B2u mode can quantify the N-H activation of the porphyrin core, an important aspect of sensing with porphyrins acting as H-bond

The crystal structure of [H4][CF3CO2]2 displays the expected hydrogen-bond chelate of porphyrin di-cations with anionic acceptors, both above and below the plane of the macrocycle. A short contact can be observed from the lone meso- C–H to a trifluoroacetate counter-ion associated with a proximal macrocycle. Standard and non-standard hydrogen bonding behavior is discussed in the following Section 2.4.

With the A2-, A3-, A4-type (A = Ph) porphyrin di-cations now known, we can compare the effect of incremental addition of aryl rings—the mean out-of-plane distortion (Δ24, deviation from the least-squares-plane of the 24 macrocycle atoms), a common metric for assessing induced nonplanarity, increases nonlinearly from 0.26(4) Å (A2)–0.29 Å (A3)–0.41(3) Å (A4), implying that, with the presence of meso hydrogen atoms, these porphyrins are able to adopt a more planar conformation. This is consistent with the observation of a 5,15-stretch described for 5,15-A2- and A3-type porphyrins—as nonplanarity is caused by H⋯H repulsion, the rotation of pyrroles in a concerted manner (i.e., that represented by the B2g(1) normal mode presented in Figure S3.1) lessens the out-of-plane deviation required to counteract this repulsion. Measured N⋯N distance across the core (i.e., N21–N23) remains approximately consistent across this series, 4.22–4.28 Å, even as nonplanar distortion varies considerably.

2.4. Normal Structural Decomposition Analysis

Normal-coordinate structural decomposition analysis (NSD) is a method of comparing porphyrin compounds, whereby structural deviations from idealized modality can be quantified by comparison to known distortion modes in each of the symmetry classes for an idealized molecule with D4h symmetry. This method of porphyrin structural analysis was pioneered by Shelnutt and coworkers, based on a least-squares fit of the calculated Raman distortion modes of an idealized, planar molecule [(5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrinato)copper(II)] [52,53]. For metal complexes, the lowest frequency modes of each symmetry operation (6 in-plane, 6 out-of-plane) are usually sufficient to model 90–95% of the structural deviation of metallated, mostly planar porphyrins from normality. This method was used extensively for the analysis and quantification of out-of-plane structure but also yields useful information on the in-plane asymmetric distortions of the porphyrin macrocycle such as that induced by a 5,15-substitution pattern.

NSD methodology thus allows for the investigation of the structural effect of meso-substitution and protonation; nonplanarity and symmetry breaking has been shown to influence both the absorption characteristics and photochemical reactivity of porphyrin compounds [53,54]. With respect to porphyrin-di-iums, a multicomponent model is required, due to the 2nd B2u and 2nd B2g modes being unusually large for acid porphyrins with the saddle shape, and 5,15-disubstituted porphyrins dependent on β-substitution, respectively. Additionally, the 2nd B2u mode can quantify the N-H activation of the porphyrin core, an important aspect of sensing with porphyrins acting as H-bond
donors. Due to the symmetric nature of the symmetry-breaking modes, these distortional quantifications can be given as the absolute value without changing the chemical interpretation; second ((2)) distortion modes are also normalized by the corresponding primary modes’ mathematical sign.

In most cases, and as described above, acid porphyrins are often distorted in a saddled mode. By inducing tilting of pyrrole units in an up-down-up-down manner, N–H atoms avoid steric conflict across the porphyrin core. This rotation additionally allows activated N–H donors to form hydrogen bonds with counter-anions. In NSD terms, this displacement can be modeled by the first two terms of $B_{2u}$ distortion, which are related by the formula $(B_{2u}(2) = -0.126(B_{2u}(1)) - 0.300)$. This relation is shown in Figure 9; the distortion modes involved are shown in Figure S3.2. This out-of-plane distortion mode is not observed for the free base porphyrins reported here to a notable degree, given their planar character. As can be seen in Figure 9, each of the other compounds reported here (indicated with red circles) are consistent with this relation; they are less distorted out-of-plane than the median porphyrin dication. The sole exception, compound [H$_4$13][CF$_3$CO$_2$]$_2$, possesses centrosymmetry in the solid state due to the previously indicated “cis” mode of out-of-plane distortion. This “cis” mode is quantified here by $E_g$ distortion aligned with molecular coordinate x and y axes, the summation of which also has $E_g$ symmetry; this molecule approximates $C_{2v}$ symmetry, with a $C_2$ axis aligned with C$_5$–C$_{15}$.

Figure 9. The $B_{2u}$(1) and $B_{2u}$(2) distortions in acid (H$_4$) porphyrins (green) versus non-cationic porphyrins (black) from crystal structures identified from the CSD. Saddle-shaped diacid compounds reported in this paper are highlighted in red, and the indicated least-squares relation $(B_{2u}(2) = -0.126 (|B_{2u}(1)|) - 0.300)$ with a blue line. The distortion modes are shown in Figure S3.2. $(y-axis)$ $B_{2u}(2)$ values are multiplied by the sign of the $B_{2u}(1)$ mode; as this mode is symmetrical through the plane, subsequent modes can be aligned to it.

As noted previously [25] and in the cases presented here, the 5,15-disubstitution pattern of free base porphyrins induces a stretching of the molecules on the 5,15-containing axis. Meso-carbon atoms C$_5$ and C$_{15}$ diverge, and C$_{10}$ and C$_{20}$ converge—the meso–meso distances in the 5,15-disubstituted compounds presented here with otherwise free meso-H substitution have a difference in these distances of approximately 0.3Å. In NSD terms, this corresponds with the m-stretching first and second mode of $B_{2g}$ symmetry, which has values between 0.19–0.36 Å for the first mode, and 0.03–0.07 Å for the second.
The relevant modes are shown in Figure S3.1. Both modes of \(B_{2g}\) symmetry are exaggerated greatly in compounds of type 3 and 4 if they have alkyl rather than aryl R-groups at the 5- and 15-positions. Distortions along this mode are approximately doubled from the aryl-5,15 structures, to 0.67–0.69 Å for \(B_{2g}(1)\) and 0.11–0.16 Å for \(B_{2g}(2)\), a reasonable explanation for why this adopts a different mode of out-of-plane distortion.

The combination of a large \(B_{2g}\) (\(m\)-str) and \(B_{2u}\) (sad) mode in 5,15-diarylporphyrin dications (e.g., \([H_411][CF_3CO_2]_2\)) reduces symmetry of the porphyrin element from the idealized \(D_{4h}\) to \(D_2\), a chiral group. While previous studies have shown that there would be no persistent chirality among these components allowing for separation, the induction of a favorable intermolecular interaction, such as those towards anions described in the following section, could skew the population of chiral components towards the preferred handedness in the solution state, thus allowing for differential interaction with circularly polarized light. Similarly, in the 5,10,15-triarylporphyrins \([H_45]^{2+}\), idealized symmetry is reduced further to \(C_2\); the measured porphyrin NSD profile of \([H_414][CF_3CO_2]_2\) is very similar to that of the 5,15-disubstituted molecules, however, indicating that the additional aryl ring imposes minimal structural distortion on the macrocyclic unit. The dication \([H_413]^{2+}\) in \([H_413][CF_3CO_2]_2\) is centrosymmetric, and therefore achiral, but perhaps presents an intermediate state in dicationic porphyrin inversion necessary for spontaneous chiral enrichment.

Induced chirality in the asymmetric acid compounds and deviation from \(D_{4h}\) symmetry is most likely to affect the absorption bands involving the \(A_{1u}\)-symmetry “b2” HOMO in the four-orbital model of porphyrin spectra [55]. Additionally, the presence of the \(B_{2u}(2)\) mode is specifically indicative of N–H-activation of the core of the porphyrin. This indicates that 5,15-diarylporphyrin diacids are strong candidates for sensor components for chiral H-bond acceptors in visible light CD spectroscopy.

2.5. Intermolecular Interactions

2.5.1. N–H···X Bonding from Cationic Porphyrins

Following from the investigation of porphyrin dications reported in the literature, it is expected that the interaction between cationic 5,15-porphyrins and the counter-anions, which balance the charge, will be an important structural motif. Hydrogen-bonding and the formation of a predictable chelate is critical to the use of these compounds as sensor components; porphyrins with core H-atoms able to participate in H-bonding (i.e., not forming the intramolecular characteristic free base porphyrin core arrangement) have been shown to interact with a range of acceptors [9]. This tilting out of the macrocycle plane can be achieved by core protonation or by the introduction of steric bulk around the porphyrin periphery.

Each of the free base 5,15-diarylporphyrins show hydrogen-bonding chelates from the core N21, N23 to one counter-monoanionic (trifluoroacetate, perchlorate, or methylsulfate), matched by an additional chelate on the obverse face. When refined, H-atom electron density is located nearer the N-atom, close to the N···O vector. Hydrogen bonds are close to linear (>155°) and well below the sum of the Van der Waals radii of N and O as shown in Figure 10. These are textbook examples of a classical hydrogen-bonding chelate, as previously reviewed in porphyrin structures [56].
Figure 10. Donor-acceptor distances (2.7–3.0 Å) and D-H···X angles (>155°) from porphyrin dication molecules to the counter-ions which balance the charge, in hydrogen-bonding chelates in the crystal structures reported in this paper. A red line indicates the sum of the Van der Waals radii for N and O (3.02 Å).

In contrast to the 5,15-diaryl structures, the 5,15-dialkyl compound [H₄13][CF₃CO₂]₂ forms a hydrogen-bonding chelate with adjacent pyrroles acting as donors. This approximate shape of porphyrin was observed previously in hexadecahydro-benzoporphyrin, which similarly exhibits a 5,15-axis elongation [21]. The hydrogen-bonding distances of this compound are among the shortest presented here, implying that this interaction is favorable.

2.5.2. C–H···O Bonding from Cationic Porphyrins

In addition to the conventional hydrogen bonding arrangements of the porphyrin dication core, the periphery of the porphyrin unit is able to engage in non-standard hydrogen-mediated close contacts. As an aromatic unit that becomes charged under acidic conditions, the periphery C–H···O interactions are analogous to the peripheral interactions of the pyridinium cation which have been extensively studied [57,58]. To our knowledge, the C–H···O interactions of porphyrins have not been specifically investigated, despite often being an important supramolecular motif. The 5,15-porphyrindium dication offers an ideal case, being resplendent with peripheral H-atoms and positive charge, and closely interacting with anionic H-bond acceptors, such as perchlorate. Porphyrin dications are observed to make close, charge-assisted C–H···A interactions with anions through β- and meso-carbon atoms. An example from compound [H₄18][ClO₄]₂ is shown in Figure 11.
Figure 11. C–H···O interactions in the crystal structure of [H$_4$18][ClO$_4$]$_2$. Dashed lines indicate H–O close contact interactions; non-H atoms are represented as thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability, H atoms as spheres of fixed radius.

Using the definitions suggested by Steiner [59] for a comparatively strong C–H···O interaction, the C–H···O atoms would form an approximately linear arrangement around or below the sum of the Van der Waals radii of the donor and acceptor atoms (3.22 Å for C and O). Six such interactions were observed in the group of porphyrindiium ions to suitable acceptors; expanding the definition to close contact H···A interactions implicates many more interactions with distances of the range 3.06–3.33 Å C···O.

The prevalence of C–H···O interactions in porphyrin dications implies that porphyrin-containing systems may display similar non-standard hydrogen bonding behavior in transient electronic states where the charge on the core is also high [60]. C–H···O interactions are not regularly observed in porphyrin molecules, salts, or complexes without additional charge. With the outlined criteria, we can find 15 porphyrin structures in the CSD with C–H···O-bonding, of which 5 have charges on the porphyrin donor. The identified compounds are listed in Table S3.4.

Systematic reviews of C–H···O bonding have noted that charge plays a role in the strength of these interactions, as does the presence of large aromatic systems [60]. C-H···O bonds, while much weaker than traditional H-bonding, can significantly affect intermolecular packing. As can be seen in this series of compounds, the prevalence of close interactions increases with compound protonation; a plot of distances and angles of these close-contact interactions is presented in Figure S3.3, with a list of these interactions presented in Table S3.5.

While the presence of additional charge on the porphyrin component would likely increase propensity toward H-bond formation, the introduction of suitable anionic acceptors is also a consequence of protonation—the deconvolution of these effects is beyond the scope of this study. From these results, we can clearly observe that charge plays a critical role in the intermolecular interactions of porphyrin units.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Synthesis

The respective free base porphyrins 5,15-diphenylporphyrin (H$_2$11) [26], 5,15-bis(3-pentyl)porphyrin (H$_2$13) [61], 5,10,15-triphenylporphyrin (H$_2$14) [50], 5,15-bis(4-butoxyphenyl)porphyrin (H$_2$15) [62], 5,15-diphenyl-10-(3-thienyl)porphyrin (H$_2$16) [63], 5,15-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)porphyrin (H$_2$17) [62], 5,15-bis(4-bromophenyl)porphyrin
(H$_2$18) [61], 5,15-bis(4-methylthiophenyl)porphyrin (H$_2$19) [64], 5-bromo-10,20-diphenylporphyrin (H$_2$20) [50], 5,15-dibromo-10,20-bis(4-tolyl)porphyrin (H$_2$21) [65], 5,15-bis(4-ethylphenyl)-10,20-diphenylporphyrin (H$_2$22) [66], 10,20,10’20’-tetrakis(4-methoxyphenyl)-15,15’-bis(n-hexyl)-5,5’-diporphyrin (H$_4$23) [67], and 10,15,20,10’15’20’-hexaphenyl-5,5’-diporphyrin (H$_4$24) [68] were prepared by previously reported methods.

Porphyrin dication salts were prepared in situ during the crystal growth from solution, by addition of an excess of trifluoroacetic acid in methanol, aqueous perchloric acid in methanol, or sulfuric acid in methanol layered above a dichloromethane solution of the porphyrin in a capped crystallization tube. Crystals appeared over the course of weeks at the solvent interface.

3.2. Crystallography

3.2.1. Instrumentation and Analysis

Crystals were grown by a slow diffusion technique [69]. Tables 1–3 and SI section S2.1 give details of the single-crystal X-ray determinations. Diffraction patterns were collected using a Bruker APEX2 DUO or Bruker P4 area detector diffractometer, with CuK$_\alpha$ or MoK$_\alpha$ radiation used as indicated in crystal data tabulations (Table 1). Data reduction was performed using the Rigaku CrystalClear [70] and Bruker SAINT programs [71]. Crystal structures were solved using ShelXT [72] and refined using ShelXL [73] with the Shelxle graphical interface [74]. Positional and anisotropic thermal parameters were refined for all non-H atoms except where otherwise indicated. H atoms held to riding U$_{iso}$ parameters; C-bound H atoms were held to riding positions, N- and O-bound H atoms positions were refined and held to DFIX restrictions only where necessary to prevent anomalous refined distances.

Comparison crystal structures were sourced from the CCDC version 2020.1 [41] and compared using data extracted with Conquest [75].

CCDC 2012807–2012825 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.
Table 1. Crystallographic tables for compounds studied.

| #    | H_{2}11 | H_{2}11-CH_{2}Cl_{2} | [H_{2}11][CF_{3}CO_{2}]_{2} | [H_{2}11][CF_{3}CO_{2}]_{2}2CF_{3}CO_{2}H | H_{2}13−1/2(CH_{2}Cl_{2}) | [H_{14}][CF_{3}CO_{2}]_{2} | [H_{14}][CF_{3}CO_{2}]_{2} | H_{2}15 |
|------|---------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
|      | 2012807 | 2012815              | 2012814                   | 2012823                         | 2012821                     | 2012809                     | 2012809                     | 2012821 |
|      | C_{33}H_{22}N_{4} | C_{33}H_{22}Cl_{2}N_{4} | C_{36}H_{24}F_{6}N_{4}O_{4} | C_{48}H_{24}F_{12}N_{4}O_{4} | C_{50}H_{24}F_{12}Cl_{2}N_{2}O_{4} | C_{50}H_{24}F_{12}N_{4}O_{4} | C_{52}H_{24}F_{12}N_{4}O_{4} | C_{54}H_{24}N_{4}O_{2} |
|      | 462.53  | 547.46               | 690.59                    | 918.65                          | 478.92                      | 678.67                      | 766.68                      | 606.74  |
| Crystal system | triclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic | trigonal | monoclinic | triclinic | monoclinic |
| Space Group | P T | P2_{1}/c | P2_{1}/c | P2_{1}/c | R T | P2_{1}/c | P T | P2_{1}/c |
| Z     | 1      | 4       | 4       | 8       | 9     | 9       | 2    | 2       |
| λ (Å) | 0.71073 | 0.71075 | 0.71073 | 0.71073 | 0.71075 | 0.71075 | 1.54178 | 0.71073 |
| T (K) | 90(2)  | 108(2)  | 108(2)  | 108(2)  | 108(2) | 108(2) | 108(2) | 90(2) |
| a (Å) | 6.6737(6) | 18.190(7) | 10.9500(6) | 18.0414(17) | 19.1340(7) | 12.149(4) | 10.3071(4) | 9.5377(2) |
| b (Å) | 9.7034(9) | 13.682(5) | 8.3278(5) | 37.627(4) | 19.1340(7) | 10.177(3) | 10.4727(4) | 18.7751(5) |
| c (Å) | 10.1696(10) | 10.688(4) | 34.2043(18) | 12.3290(12) | 18.5994(9) | 14.348(4) | 16.9482(7) | 9.8937(4) |
| α (°) | 61.894(2) | 90       | 90       | 90      | 90    | 90    | 90    | 90     |
| β (°) | 87.318(2) | 99.159(6) | 94.1210(10) | 108.688(2) | 115.88(2) | 96.56(2)   | 118.840(10) | 99.110(2) |
| γ (°) | 76.631(2) | 90       | 90       | 90      | 120   | 90    | 90    | 90     |
| V (Å³) | 563.67(9) | 2626.1(17) | 3111.0(3) | 7928.3(13) | 5897.1(5) | 1596.1(9) | 1771.06(12) | 1552.32(8) |
| ρ calc (g·cm⁻³) | 1.363 | 1.385 | 1.474 | 1.539 | 1.214 | 1.412 | 1.438 | 1.298 |
| μ(Mo Kα), mm⁻¹ | 0.082 | 0.082 | 0.279 | 0.122 | 0.145 | 0.137 | 0.117 | 0.981 |
| Independent reflections | 2934 | 2934 | 4623/2/358 | 8371/1/463 | 21398/222/1247 | 3847/14/185 | 4335/133/295 | 6004/0/517 |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 2934/166(0) | 2934/0/166 | 4623/2/358 | 8371/1/463 | 21398/222/1247 | 3847/14/185 | 4335/133/295 | 6004/0/517 |
| Rₑ (I > 2σ(I)) | 0.0379 | 0.0954 | 0.0588 | 0.0798 | 0.0804 | 0.0621 | 0.0487 | 0.042 |
| wR₂ (all) | 0.1082 | 0.1818 | 0.1505 | 0.2282 | 0.235 | 0.2237 | 0.1275 | 0.1243 |
| GoF | 1.029 | 1.224 | 0.998 | 1.298 | 1.073 | 0.994 | 1.009 | 1.005 |
Table 2. Crystallographic tables for compounds studied.

| # | [H$_4$]$_{17}$[CF$_3$CO$_2$]$_2$ 2CF$_3$CO$_2$H | [H$_4$]$_{18}$[ClO$_4$]$_2$ | [H$_4$]$_{18}$[ClO$_4$]$_2$ 2CF$_3$CO$_2$H | [H$_4$]$_{19}$[CF$_3$CO$_2$]$_2$ 2CF$_3$CO$_2$H | [H$_4$]$_{19}$[CF$_3$CO$_2$]$_2$ 2CF$_3$CO$_2$H | H$_2$19 | [H$_4$]$_{20}$[ClO$_4$]$_2$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CCCDC # | 2012817 | 2012813 | 2012812 | 2012822 | 2012819 | 2012808 | 2012816 |
| Empirical Formula | C$_{32}$H$_{30}$F$_{12}$N$_4$O$_{10}$ | C$_{32}$H$_{32}$Br$_2$Cl$_2$N$_4$O$_8$ | C$_{32}$H$_{32}$Br$_2$Cl$_2$N$_4$O$_8$ | C$_{32}$H$_{32}$Br$_2$Cl$_2$N$_4$O$_8$ | C$_{32}$H$_{32}$Br$_2$Cl$_2$N$_4$O$_8$ | C$_{32}$H$_{32}$Br$_2$Cl$_2$N$_4$O$_8$ | C$_{32}$H$_{32}$Br$_2$Cl$_2$N$_4$O$_8$ |
| Formula Weight g·mol$^{-1}$ | 978.70 | 821.25 | 821.25 | 1076.45 | 1001.82 | 544.65 | 757.46 |
| Crystal system | triclinic | orthorhombic | orthorhombic | triclinic | triclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic |
| Space Group | P$_T$ | P$bc$ | P$bc$ | P$_T$ | P$_T$ | P$_2_1/c$ | P$_2_1/c$ |
| Z | 2 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| λ (Å) | 0.71073 | 1.54178 | 1.54178 | 0.71073 | 0.71073 | 1.54178 | 1.54178 |
| T (K) | 108(2) | 100(2) | 100(2) | 100(2) | 296(2) | 293(2) | 100(2) |
| a (Å) | 12.609(4) | 14.6001(5) | 14.5948(6) | 12.691(3) | 12.844(3) | 12.7897(7) | 9.5151(7) |
| b (Å) | 13.290(4) | 20.2708(7) | 20.2652(8) | 13.110(3) | 13.378(3) | 18.5630(11) | 21.4363(15) |
| c (Å) | 13.901(4) | 21.2555(8) | 21.2737(9) | 13.926(3) | 14.088(3) | 12.9972(8) | 15.0162(10) |
| α (°) | 107.622(3) | 90 | 90 | 107.04(3) | 107.629(4) | 107.249(4) | 107.249(4) |
| β (°) | 106.525(2) | 90 | 90 | 107.06(3) | 90 | 119.381(4) | 119.381(4) |
| γ (°) | 98.348(3) | 90 | 90 | 90 | 99.59(3) | 4.1742(2) | 104.174(2) |
| V (Å$^3$) | 2059.1(11) | 6290.7(4) | 6292.0(4) | 2035.3(9) | 2035.3(9) | 2117.8(7) | 2688.8(3) |
| ρ$_{calc}$ (g·cm$^{-3}$) | 1.579 | 1.734 | 1.734 | 1.756 | 1.585 | 1.345 | 1.694 |
| μ(Mo Kα), mm$^{-1}$ | 0.148 | 3.533 | 3.532 | 2.106 | 0.238 | 1.326 | 4.385 |
| Independent reflections | 11910 | 5464 | 2759 | 10952 | 13232 | 4136 | 5092 |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 11910/4/633 | 5464/152/485 | 2759/18/449 | 10952/2/610 | 13232/2/633 | 4136/230/413 | 5092/1/447 |
| R$_1$ (I > 2σ(I)) | 0.0864 | 0.0357 | 0.0333 | 0.0844 | 0.0504 | 0.0474 | 0.0595 |
| wR$_2$ (all) | 0.2236 | 0.1018 | 0.0771 | 0.1767 | 0.1436 | 0.1341 | 0.125 |
| GoF | 1.028 | 1.021 | 1.055 | 1.188 | 1.029 | 0.932 | 1.253 |
Table 3. Crystallographic tables for compounds studied.

| #        | [H₄21][CF₃CO₂]₂·2CF₃CO₂H | [H₄22][MeSO₄]₂·¹/₂H₂O | [H₈23][ClO₄]₄·2H₂O | [H₈24][CF₃CO₂]₄·14H₂O |
|----------|---------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| CCCDC #  | 2012820                   | 2012818                | 2012824             | 2012825                |
| Empirical Formula | C₄₂H₂₈Br₁₂F₁₂N₄O₈      | C₅₀H₃₈.₅O₈.S₂      | C₈₀H₈₂Cl₄N₄O₂₂     | C₇₂H₆₈F₂₄N₈O₃₀      |
| Crystal system  | monoclinic                | triclinic              | monoclinic          | monoclinic             |
| Z        | 4                          | 2                      | 4                   | 4                      |
| λ (Å)    | 1.54178                    | 1.54178                | 0.71073             | 0.71073                |
| T (K)    | 100(2)                     | 100(2)                 | 100(2)              | 100(2)                 |
| a (Å)    | 12.5000(9)                 | 8.0315(4)              | 28.7894(11)         | 36.144(11)             |
| b (Å)    | 16.3340(12)                | 13.8957(7)             | 17.0458(6)          | 13.956(4)              |
| c (Å)    | 20.9760(14)                | 20.1428(9)             | 16.0437(6)          | 24.094(7)              |
| α (°)    | 90                         | 99.575(3)              | 90                  | 90                     |
| β (°)    | 91.671(3)                  | 99.419(3)              | 94.7000(10)         | 126.501(5)             |
| γ (°)    | 90                         | 104.745(3)             | 90                  | 90                     |
| V (Å³)   | 4281.0(5)                  | 2093.07(18)            | 7846.8(5)           | 9770(5)                |
| ρ_calc (g·cm⁻³) | 1.714                   | 1.414                 | 1.396               | 1.523                  |
| μ(Mo Kα), mm⁻¹ | 3.41                    | 1.687                | 0.232              | 0.142                  |
| Independent reflections | 7322                    | 6701                 | 9042               | 8925                   |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 7322/1/636              | 6701/741/673          | 9042/297/612        | 8925/196/696           |
| R₁ (I > 2σ(I))  | 0.0313                   | 0.0460               | 0.0448              | 0.1181                 |
| wR₂ (all)    | 0.082                     | 0.1259               | 0.129              | 0.3597                 |
| GoF         | 1.037                     | 1.037                | 1.070              | 1.687                  |
3.2.2. Refinement Details

Refinement was performed by the usual method, using restraints where necessary as described in S2.1. Compounds exhibiting disorder were modeled with appropriate sum occupancies and restricted thermal parameters.

3.3. Normal Structural Decomposition Analysis

Normal-coordinate decomposition (NSD) analysis was performed to allow for comparison of the distortion modes present within each compound and to investigate symmetry of the porphyrin core. The concept was developed by Shelnutt and coworkers [52,53]; full tables of NSD profiles are presented in Tables and Figures S2.3.1–2.3.22. NSD analyses in this study were performed with a newly developed program using the method of Shelnutt [52]; a description of this new program is currently in preparation; the program is available online at https://chemistry.tcd.ie/staff/people/mos/NSD.html.

4. Conclusions

This systematic study of meso-free porphyrin molecules in the acidified [H_4]^2+ state has shown that the porphyrin dications adopt two different configurations depending on the meso substituents. N–H atoms reliably form hydrogen bonding chelates with anions, of both the expected common N_{21}–N_{23} type as well as the rarer “cis” hydrogen bonding chelate. Peripheral meso- and β-C–H donors are induced to form linear, short-range interactions with anionic acceptors. A stretching of the porphyrin along the meso-containing axis is present in both free base and acidified porphyrins to a similar degree; this distortion is present in 5,10,15-triarylporphyrin and related compounds to a lesser degree and exaggerated in 5,15-dipentylporphyrin. Molecules with a large saddle (B_{2u}) and meso-stretch (B_{2g}) deviations from the ideal D_{4h} symmetry, such as the diarylporphyrins presented here, are reduced in symmetry to the chiral D_{2} point group; these deviations have been quantified using the NSD method. Chiral porphyrins are of ongoing special interest as sensor and optical device components.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online, Section S2.1; Details of disorder and deviation from general crystallographic procedure. Table S1.1; Porphyrin diacid CCDC structure codes. Table S1.2; 5,15-disubstituted and 5,10,15 trisubstituted porphyrin freebase structure codes. Table S3.4; non-standard C–H···O close contacts in previously reported porphyrin molecules from the CCDC CSD. Table S3.5; non-standard C–H···X (X = O, S, F) close contacts from the porphyrin core in porphyrindi-ium molecules reported in this paper. Figures S2.1–S2.18; crystal structure images. Figures S2.3.1–S2.3.22; NSD profiles and skeletal plots derived from crystal structure determinations. Figure S3.1 and S3.2; Defined normal modes of porphyrin distortion. Figure S3.3; A plot of distances and angles of nonstandard hydrogen bonding from porphyrin dications.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.O.S. and C.J.K.; Methodology, C.J.K.; Formal analysis, C.J.K., H.-G.E., and M.O.S.; Investigation, C.J.K., M.K., and K.J.F.; Synthesis, H.-G.E. and M.K.; Writing—Original draft preparation, C.J.K., K.J.F., and M.O.S.; Writing—Review and editing, all authors; supervision, M.O.S.; Project administration, M.O.S.; Funding acquisition, M.O.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by a grant from Science Foundation Ireland (SFI, IvP 13/IA/1894) and through funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the FET Open grant agreement No. 828779.

Acknowledgments: We are indebted to Eimear Finnigan for the preparation of some free base porphyrins.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Kadish, K.M.; Smith, K.M.; Guilard, R. (Eds.) The Porphyrin Handbook; Academic Press: New York, NY, USA, 2000; Volume 1–20, new edition 2013.
2. Jurow, M.; Schuckman, A.E.; Batteas, J.D.; Drain, C.M. Porphyrins as Molecular Electronic Components of Functional Devices. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2010, 254, 2297–2310. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
3. Senge, M.O.; Fazekas, M.; Notaras, E.G.A.; Blau, W.J.; Zawadzka, M.; Locos, O.B.; Mhuircheartaigh, E.M.N. Nonlinear optical properties of porphyrins. Adv. Mater. 2007, 19, 2737–2774. [CrossRef]
4. Ethirajan, M.; Chen, Y.; Joshi, P.; Pandey, R.K. The role of porphyrin chemistry in tumor imaging and photodynamic therapy. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 340–362. [CrossRef]

5. Scheidt, W.R.; Lee, Y.J. Recent Advances in the Stereochemistry of Metallotetrapyroles. *Struct. Bonding* **1987**, *64*, 1–70.

6. Kielmann, M.; Prior, C.; Senge, M.O. Porphyrins in troubled times: A spotlight on porphyrins and their metal complexes for explosives testing and CBRN defense. *New J. Chem.* **2018**, *42*, 7529–7550. [CrossRef]

7. Senge, M.O.; MacGowan, S.A.; O’Brien, J.M. Conformational control of cofactors in nature—the influence of protein-induced macrocycle distortion on the biological function of tetrapyroles. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 17031–17063. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

8. Beletskaya, I.; Tyurin, V.S.; Tsivadze, A.Y.; Guilard, R.; Stern, C. Supramolecular chemistry of metalloporphyrins. *Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *109*, 1659–1713. [CrossRef]

9. Kielmann, M.; Senge, M.O. Molecular Engineering of Free-Base Porphyrins as Ligands—The N-H … X Binding Motif in Tetrapyroles. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 418–441. [CrossRef]

10. Barkigia, K.M.; Fajer, J.; Renner, M.W.; Dolores Berber, M.; Medforth, C.J.; Smith, K.M. Nonplanar Porphyrins. X-ray Structures of (2,3,7,8,12,13,17,18-Octaethyl- and -Octamethyl-5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphinato)zinc(II). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 8851–8857. [CrossRef]

11. Medforth, C.J.; Senge, M.O.; Smith, K.M.; Sparks, L.D.; Shelnutt, J.A. Nonplanar Distortion Modes for Highly Substituted Porphyrins. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 9859–9869. [CrossRef]

12. Senge, M.O.; Kalisch, W.W. Synthesis and Structural Characterization of Nonplanar Tetraphenylporphyrins and Their Metal Complexes with Graded Degrees of β-Ethyl Substitution. *Inorg. Chem.* **1997**, *36*, 6103–6116. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

13. Senge, M.O. Exercises in molecular gymnastics - Bending, stretching and twisting porphyrins. *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 243–256. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

14. Sessler, J.L.; Camiolo, S.; Gale, P.A. Pyrrolic and polypyrrolic anion binding agents. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2003**, 240, 17–55. [CrossRef]

15. Norvaiša, K.; Kielmann, M.; Senge, M.O. Porphyrins as Colorimetric and Photometric Biosensors in Modern Bioanalytical Systems. *ChemBioChem* **2020**, in press. [CrossRef]

16. Roucan, M.; Kielmann, M.; Convon, S.J.; Bernhard, S.S.R.; Senge, M.O. Conformational control of nonplanar free base porphyrins: Towards bifunctional catalysts of tunable basicity. *Chem. Commun.* **2017**, *54*, 26–29. [CrossRef]

17. Rybicka-Jasińska, K.; Shan, W.; Zawada, K.; Kadish, K.M.; Gryko, D. Porphyrins as Photoredox Catalysts: Experimental and Theoretical Studies. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 15451–15458. [CrossRef]

18. Costa e Silva, R.; da Silva, L.O.; de Andrade Bartolomeu, A.; Brocksom, T.J.; de Oliveira, K.T. Recent applications of porphyrins as photocatalysts in organic synthesis: Batch and continuous flow approaches. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2020**, *16*, 917–955. [CrossRef]

19. Fischer, H.; Orth, H. *Die Chemie des Pyrrols*; Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft: Leipzig, Germany, 1937.

20. Stone, A.; Fleischer, E.B. The molecular and crystal structure of porphyrin dicarboxylic acids. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1968**, *90*, 2735–2748. [CrossRef]

21. Senge, M.O.; Forsyth, T.P.; Nguyen, L.T.; Smith, K.M. Sterically Strained Porphyrins—Influence of Core Protonation and Peripheral Substitution on the Conformation of Tetra-meso-, Octa-β-, and Dodeca-Substituted Porphyrin Dichromates. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1995**, *33*, 2485–2487. [CrossRef]

22. Cheng, B.; Munro, O.Q.; Marques, H.M.; Scheidt, W.R. An analysis of porphyrin molecular flexibility - Use of porphyrin dicarboxylic acids. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 10732–10742. [CrossRef]

23. Senge, M.O.; Kalisch, W.W. Structure and Conformation of Tetra-meso-, Octa-β-, and Dodeca-substituted 22,24-Dihydroporphyrins (Porphyrin Dichromates). *Z. Naturforsch.* **1999**, *54b*, 943–959. [CrossRef]

24. Senge, M.O. A Conformational Study of 5,10,15,20-Tetraalkyl-22

25. Juillard, S.; Ferrand, Y.; Simonneaux, G.; Toupet, L. Molecular structure of simple mono- and diphenyl meso-substituted porphyrin dicarboxylic acids: Influence of protonation and substitution on the distorsion. *Tetrahedron* **2005**, *61*, 3489–3495. [CrossRef]

26. Manka, J.S.; Lawrence, D.S. High yield synthesis of 5,15-diarylporphyrins. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 6989–6992. [CrossRef]
27. Brückner, C.; Posakony, J.J.; Johnson, C.K.; Boyle, R.W.; James, B.R.; Dolphin, D. Novel and improved syntheses of 5,15-diphenylporphyrin and its dipyrrolic precursors. *J. Porphyr. Phthalocyanines* 1998, 2, 455–465. [CrossRef]

28. Senge, M.O. Stirring the porphyrin alphabet soup—Functionalization reactions for porphyrins. *Chem. Commun.* 2011, 47, 1943–1960. [CrossRef]

29. Yorimitsu, H.; Osuka, A. Organometallic approaches for direct modification of peripheral C-H bonds in porphyrin cores. *Asian J. Org. Chem.* 2013, 2, 356–373. [CrossRef]

30. Shanmugathasan, S.; Johnson, C.K.; Edwards, C.; Matthews, E.K.; Dolphin, D.; Boyle, R.W. Regioselective halogenation and palladium-catalysed couplings on 5,15-diphenylporphyrin. *J. Porphyr. Phthalocyanines* 2000, 4, 228–232. [CrossRef]

31. Senge, M.O.; Fazekas, M.; Pintea, M.; Zawadzka, M.; Blau, W.J. 5,15-A2B2- and 5,15-A2BC-type porphyrins with donor and acceptor groups for use in nonlinear optics and photodynamic therapy. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2011, 5797–5816. [CrossRef]

32. Gehrold, A.C.; Bruhn, T.; Schneider, H.; Radius, U.; Bringmann, G. Monomeric Chiral and Achiral Basket-Handle Porphyrins: Synthesis, Structural Features, and Arrested Tautomerism. *J. Org. Chem.* 2015, 80, 12359–12378. [CrossRef]

33. Senge, M.O.; Feng, X. Regioselective reaction of 5,15-disubstituted porphyrins with organolithium reagents-Synthetic access to 5,10,15-trisubstituted porphyrins and directly meso-meso-linked bisporphyrins. *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1* 2000, 3615–3621. [CrossRef]

34. Brown, A.; Langton, M.J.; Kilah, N.L.; Thompson, A.L.; Beer, P.D. Chloride-Anion-Templated Synthesis of a Strapped-Porphyrin-Containing Catenane Host System. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2015, 21, 17664–17675. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

35. Roy, I.; Bobbala, S.; Young, R.M.; Beldjoudi, Y.; Nguyen, M.T.; Celik, M.M.; Cooper, J.A.; Allen, S.; Ananimoghadam, O.; Scott, E.A.; et al. A Supramolecular Approach for Modulated Photoprotection, Lysosomal Delivery, and Photodynamic Activity of a Photosensitizer. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2019, 141, 12296–12304. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

36. Bond, A.D.; Cetin, M.M.; Cooper, J.A.; Allen, S.C.; Anamimoghadam, O.; Scott, E.A.; et al. A Supramolecular Approach for Modulated Photoprotection, Lysosomal Delivery, and Photodynamic Activity of a Photosensitizer. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2019, 141, 12296–12304. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

37. Senge, M.O. Database of Tetrapyrrole Crystal Structure Determinations. In *The Porphyrin Handbook*; Kadish, K.M., Smith, K.M., Guilard, R., Eds.; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, USA, 2000; Volume 10, pp. 1–218.

38. Medforth, C.J.; Forsyth, T.P.; Lee, D.A.; Olimstead, M.M.; Jentzen, W.; Pandey, R.K.; Shelnutt, J.A.; Smith, K.M. Comparative Analysis of the Conformations of Symmetrically and Asymmetrically Decaand Undeca substituted Porphyrins Bearing Meso-Alkyl or -Aryl Groups. *Inorg. Chem.* 1997, 36, 1149–1163. [CrossRef]

39. Dragelj, J.L.; Janjić, G.V.; Veljković, D.Z.; Zarić, S.D. Crystallographic and ab initio study of pyridine CH–O interactions: Linearity of the interactions and influence of pyridine classical hydrogen bonds. *CrystEngComm* 2013, 15, 10481–10489. [CrossRef]
46. Ogoshi, H.; Setsune, J.; Omura, T.; Yoshida, Z. Novel rhodium(I)-porphyrin complexes and organorhodium(II)-porphyrin complexes. IV. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 6461–6466. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

47. Srinivasan, A.; Furuta, H.; Osuka, A. The first bis-Rh(I) metal complex of N-confused porphyrin. Chem. Commun. 2001, 1666–1667. [CrossRef]

48. Kato, K.; Chai, W.; Oh, J.; Furukawa, K.; Yorimitsu, H.; Kim, D.; Osuka, A. Spontaneous Formation of an Air-Stable Radical upon the Direct Fusion of Diphenylmethane to a Triarylporphyrin. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 8711–8714. [CrossRef]

49. Lang, P.; Fründer, M.; Quach, G.; Braun-Cula, B.; Moore, E.G.; Schwalbe, M. Sensitized Photochemical CO₂ Reduction by Hetero-Pacman Compounds Linking a Re I Tricarbonyl with a Porphyrin Unit. Chem. Eur. J. 2019, 25, 4509–4519. [CrossRef]

50. Ryan, A.; Gehrold, A.; Persussi, R.; Pintea, M.; Fazekas, M.; Locos, O.B.; Blaikie, F.; Seng, M.O. Porphyrin dimers and arrays. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 5817–5844. [CrossRef]

51. Wojaczyński, J.; Stępień, M.; Latos-Grażyński, L. Monomeric and dimeric iron(III) complexes of 5-hydroxy-10,15,20-triphenylporphyrin: Formation of cyano and pyridine complexes of (5-oxo-10,15,20-triphenylporphorin)iron. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2002, 1806–1815. [CrossRef]

52. Jentzen, W.; Ma, J.G.; Shelnutt, J.A. Conservation of the conformation of the porphyrin macrocycle in hemoproteins. Biophys. J. 1998, 74, 753–763. [CrossRef]

53. Shelnutt, J.A. Normal-coordinate structural decomposition and the vibronic spectra of porphyrins. J. Porphyr. Phthalocyanines 2001, 5, 300–311. [CrossRef]

54. Schindler, J.; Kupfer, S.; Ryan, A.A.; Flanagan, K.J.; Senge, M.O.; Dietzek, B. Sterically induced distortions of nickel (II) porphyrins–Comprehensive investigation by DFT calculations and resonance Raman spectroscopy. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2018, 360, 1–16. [CrossRef]

55. Gouterman, M. Spectra of Porphyrins. J. Mol. Spectrosc. 1961, 6, 138–163. [CrossRef]

56. Senge, M.O. N-H Hydrogen Bonding in Porphyrins—from Conformational Design to Supramolecular Chemistry. ECS Trans. 2015, 66, 1–10. [CrossRef]

57. Belcher, W.J.; Fabre, M.; Farhan, T.; Steed, J.W. Pyridinium CH−···O Hydrogen bonds in crystals. Crystallogr. Rev. 2003, 9, 177–228. [CrossRef]

58. Steinier, T. The Hydrogen Bond in the Solid State. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 48–76. [CrossRef]

59. Itoh, Y.; Nakashima, Y.; Tsukamoto, S.; Kurohara, T.; Suzuki, M.; Oda, M.; Okamoto, Y.; Suzuki, T. N+·C−H−O Hydrogen bonds in protein-ligand complexes. Sci. Rep. 2019, 9, 1–5. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

60. Ryppa, C.; Seng, M.O.; Hatscher, S.S.; Kleinpeter, E.; Wacker, P.; Schilde, U.; Wiebe, A. Synthesis of mono- and disubstituted porphyrins: A- and 5,10-A₂-type systems. Chem. Eur. J. 2005, 11, 3427–3442. [CrossRef]

61. Wiebe, A.; Shaker, Y.M.; Brandt, J.C.; Mebs, S.; Seng, M.O. Lead structures for applications in photodynamic therapy. Part 1: Synthesis and variation of m-TMPC (Temoporfin) related amphiphilic A₂BC-type porphyrins. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 5535–5564. [CrossRef]

62. Sugita, N.; Tsuchiya, I.; Takanami, T. Palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions of brominated porphyrins with functionalized organomagnesium reagents: Direct preparation of functional-group-bearing free base porphyrins. Heterocycles 2016, 93, 483–511. [CrossRef]

63. Nowak-Króli, A.; Plamont, R.; Canard, G.; Edzang, J.A.; Gryko, D.T.; Balaban, T. An efficient cyanide ion source. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3937–3940. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

64. Dong, Q.; Qu, W.; Liang, W.; Tai, F.; Guo, K.; Leung, C.W.; Lo, Y.H.; Wong, W.Y. Porphyrin-based metallopolymers: Synthesis, characterization and pyrolytic study for the generation of magnetic metal nanoparticles. J. Mater. Chem. C 2016, 4, 5010–5018. [CrossRef]

65. Seng, M.O.; Pintea, M.; Ryan, A.A. Synthesis and crystal structure of a meso-meso directly linked bisporphyrin. Z. Naturforsch. 2011, 66b, 553–558. [CrossRef]

66. Ryan, A.A.; Seng, M.O. Synthesis and functionalization of triply fused porphyrin dimers. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 3700–3711. [CrossRef]
69. Hope, H. X-ray crystallography—A fast, first-resort analytical tool. Progr. Inorg. Chem. 1994, 41, 1–19. [CrossRef]

70. Rigaku. CrystalClear; Rigaku Corporation: Tokyo, Japan, 2011.

71. Bruker. SAINT; Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, WI, USA, 2012.

72. Sheldrick, G.M. SHELXT-Integrated space-group and crystal-structure determination. Acta Cryst. 2015, A71, 3–8. [CrossRef]

73. Sheldrick, G.M. Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL. Acta Cryst. 2015, C71, 3–8. [CrossRef]

74. Hübschle, C.B.; Sheldrick, G.M.; Dittrich, B. ShelXle: A Qt graphical user interface for SHELXL. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2011, 44, 1281–1284. [CrossRef]

75. Bruno, I.J.; Cole, J.C.; Edgington, P.R.; Kessler, M.; Macrae, C.F.; McCabe, P.; Pearson, J.; Taylor, R. New software for searching the Cambridge Structural Database and visualizing crystal structures. Acta Cryst. 2002, B58, 389–397. [CrossRef]

© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).