A Novel Drug Resistance Mechanism: Genetic Loss of Xeroderma Pigmentosum Complementation Group C (XPC) Enhances Glycolysis-Mediated Drug Resistance in DLD-1 Colon Cancer Cells
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The pro-apoptotic proteins BAX and BAK are critical regulatory factors constituting the apoptosis machinery. Downregulated expression of BAX and BAK in human colorectal cancer lead to chemotherapeutic failure and poor survival rate in patients. In this study, isogenic DLD-1 colon cancer cells and the BAX and BAK double knockout counterpart were used as the cellular model to investigate the role of BAX/BAK-associated signaling network and the corresponding downstream effects in the development of drug resistance. Our data suggested that DLD-1 colon cancer cells with BAX/BAK double-knockout were selectively resistant to a panel of FDA-approved drugs (27 out of 66), including etoposide. PCR array analysis for the transcriptional profiling of genes related to human cancer drug resistance validated the altered level of 12 genes (3 upregulated and 9 downregulated) in DLD-1 colon cancer cells lack of BAX and BAK expression. Amongst these genes, XPC responsible for DNA repairment and cellular respiration demonstrated the highest tolerance towards etoposide treatment accompanying upregulated glycolysis as revealed by metabolic stress assay in DLD-1 colon cancer cells deficient with XPC. Collectively, our findings provide insight into the search of novel therapeutic strategies and pharmacological targets to against cancer drug resistance genetically associated with BAX, BAK, and XPC, for improving the therapy of colorectal cancer via the glycolytic pathway.
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INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most common malignant tumor types (Liu and Liu, 2018), accounting for approximately 10% of global cancer deaths (Gandomani et al., 2017). Although conventional chemotherapy has demonstrated satisfactory therapeutic efficacy in the treatment of CRC, a considerable amount of patients are still suffering from spontaneous or acquired resistance after chemotherapy, which significantly reduced the survival rate (Hammond et al., 2016). Therefore, it is essential to clarify the transcriptional and molecular machinery that drives the transition of CRC from drug-sensitive phenotype to the resistant counterpart for facilitating the search of novel pharmacological targets and drug candidates.

Mechanisms underpinning the chemotherapy resistance in cancer cells are varied (Holohan et al., 2013). For instance, overexpression of the DNA repair mediator, xeroderma pigmentation complementation group C (XPC) (Sugasawa et al., 1998; Shell et al., 2013), enhances the repairment of damaged DNA via nucleotide excision repair (NER) process in cancer cells, rendering them the capability of escaping from cytotoxicity induced by chemotherapeutic drugs (Fauret et al., 2005). In terms of regulation of cellular respiration, the reduced protein level of XPC in human cancer cells may result in a metabolic shift from mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) to anaerobic glycolysis in response to the accumulation of nuclear DNA damage and increased oxidant production (Mori et al., 2017). Such alteration of energy metabolism implicated that production of damage and increased oxidant production (Hammond et al., 2016). In this study, the effect of deficiency of both BAX and BAK on the transcription profiling in DLD-1 colon cancer cells was examined. Owing to the significance of BAX and BAK in the induction of apoptosis and the complexity of the involved downstream signaling network, we aimed to identify the genes potentially regulated by BAX and BAK. The alteration of such genes may manipulate the apoptotic process of DLD-1 colon cancer cells and implicate in the development of drug resistance. This hypothesis was tested by screening the gene expression profile in wild type DLD-1 colon cancer cells (DLD-1 WT cells) and the isogenic line ablated with both BAX and BAK (DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells) using the human cancer drug resistance PCR array. We show here that the expression of XPC was downregulated after the loss of BAX and BAK accompanying a reduction of sensitivity towards etoposide treatment. The in-depth metabolic analysis further verified the enhanced glycolysis in our cellular model after the suppression of XPC gene. Therefore, we reported here a new molecular pathway of BAX/BAK-induced drug resistance of DLD-1 colon cancer cells which is mediated by the downregulated expression of the XPC gene via the further heightening of glycolytic process.

Abbreviations: CRC, Colorectal cancer; DLD-1 WT cells, DLD-1 wild-type colon cells; DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells, DLD-1 BAX and BAK double knockout colon cells; NER, Nucleotide excision repair; MEFs, Mouse embryonic fibroblasts; RT-qPCR, Real-Time quantitative PCR; FDA, Food and Drug Administration; MTT, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide; RF , Resistance fold; IC, Cells viability and inhibitory concentration; OCR, Oxygen consumption rate; ECAR, Extracellular acidification rate; FCCP, Carbonyl cyanide 4-(trifluoro methyl)phenylhydrazone; OXPHOS, Oxidative phosphorylation; 2-DG, 2-deoxyglucose; MOA, Mechanism of action; CYP2C19, Cytochrome P450 family 2 subfamily C polypeptide 19; NAT2, N-acetyltransferase 2; NFKB1β, Nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer in B-cells inhibitor beta; RXRα, Retinoid X receptor alpha; RXRβ, Retinoid X receptor beta; PDGFB, Platelet derived growth factor subunit B; TPMT, Thiopurine S-methyltransferase; UGGC, UDP-glucose ceramide glucosyltransferase; XPC, Xeroderma pigmentosum complementation group C; FLAG-HA, FLAG-HA-tagged NFKB1β plasmid; DLD-1 NFKB1β overexpression cells, DLD-1 Wild-type cells NFKB1β overexpression; DLD-1 ctrl vector cells, DLD-1 Wild-type cells transfected with FLAG–HA tag only; DLD-1 ctrl siRNA cells, DLD-1 Wild-type cells silencing with control siRNA; DLD-1 siEGFR cells, DLD-1 Wild-type cells EGFR knockdown; DLD-1 siFOS cells, DLD-1 Wild-type cells FOS knockdown; DLD-1 siNFKB1 cells, DLD-1 Wild-type cells NFKB1 knockdown; DLD-1 siPPARα cells, DLD-1 Wild-type cells PPARα knockdown; DLD-1 siRXRa cells, DLD-1 Wild-type cells RXRa knockdown; DLD-1 siRXRB cells, DLD-1 Wild-type cells RXRB knockdown; DLD-1 siTPMT cells, DLD-1 Wild-type cells TPMT knockdown; DLD-1 siUGCG cells, DLD-1 Wild-type cells UGGC knockdown; DLD-1 siXPC cells, DLD-1 Wild-type cells XPC knockdown.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells Culture

DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells and DLD-1 WT cells were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). These cell lines were
authenticated by ATCC. RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco, Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 1% penicillin–streptomycin–glutamine and 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, Waltham, MA, USA) was used as the culture medium. For DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells, the culture medium was additionally supplemented with 1% sodium pyruvate (Gibco, Waltham, MA, USA). Cells were cultured at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂.

Chemicals, Antibodies, and Small Interfering RNAs
The following chemicals were used at doses indicated in the text and figures. Etoposide and the FDA-approved Drug Library were purchased from Meryer (Shanghai, China) and Selleck (Houston, TX, USA), respectively. Antibodies against CYP2C19, XPC, and PPARα were obtained from Abgent (San Diego, CA, USA). Antibodies against BAX, BAK, NFBKβ, EGFR, and FOS were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, USA). Antibodies against ACTB, GAPDH, and β-actin were purchased from Proteintech (Chicago, IL, USA). UGCG antibody was purchased from Biotech (Beijing, China). TPMT antibody was obtained from 4A Biotech (Beijing, China). β-actin antibody was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). siRNAs targeting EGFR, FO5, NFkB1, PPARα, RXRa, RXRβ, TPMT, UGCG, and XPC were obtained from Qiagen (Hilden, Germany). All reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) unless otherwise specified.

Real-Time Quantitative PCR
Gene expression was analyzed by real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) with ViiaTM 7 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA) using PowerUp™ SYBR™ Green Mastermix (ThermoFisher Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA). The cDNA was prepared by using the Transcriptor Universal cDNA Master Kit (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). Primers sequence (see Table 2) synthesized by Tech Dragon Ltd. (Hong Kong, China), were designed by employing the ThermoFisher Scientific’s online OligoPerfect™ Designer software and further verified with NCBI’s Primer-BLAST software. Results were normalized to ACTB relative to control and analyzed using the ΔΔCt methods (2^(-ΔΔCt)).

Western Blot Analysis
Cells were lysed with RIPA lysis buffer (Cells Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA) for cellular proteins extraction with the concentrations determined by using the Bio-Rad protein assay (Hercules, CA, USA). Proteins from SDS/PAGE were electrotransferred to a Hybond enhanced chemiluminescence nitrocellulose membrane (GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK) by electrophoresis. The membrane was then immunoblotted with specific antibodies. Proteins detection was performed using Amersham Imager 600 (GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK) and Clarity Western ECL Substrate (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Band intensities were quantified by using the software Image J (NIH, USA).

MTT Assay
Cells viability was determined by the half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) using MTT (3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5 diphenyl tetrazolium bromide) assay as previously described (Wong et al., 2009). Briefly, cells were seeded and incubated in 96-well plates for treatment with compounds in the FDA-drugs library dissolved in DMSO to different concentrations (0–100 μmol) for 72h. The samples were then incubated with MTT at 37°C for 4 h before the administration of solubilization buffer (10% SDS in 0.01 mol/L HCl) for overnight incubation. Absorbance at A₅₇₀nm was measured to cells viability on a plate reader (Tecan Infinite M200 PRO, Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland). The percentage of cells viability was calculated using the following formula: Cells viability (%) = (A_{routed} – A_{background})/(A_{control} – A_{background}) × 100. The drugs resistance fold (RF) was calculated as IC₅₀ DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO/IC₅₀ DLD-1 WT.

RT² Profiler PCR Arrays Analysis
Total RNA was prepared with the Qiagen RNeasy® Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and cDNA was synthesized by the RT² First Strand Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Cancer Drug Resistance RT² Profiler PCR Array Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) comprising 84 cancer drugs resistant or metabolism-related genes were used to evaluate the expression profiling in DLD-1 WT and DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells. The PCR reactions were performed by using RT² SYBR® Green qPCR Mastermix (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and the ViiaTM 7 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA). The array data were normalized with a panel of housekeeping gene: B2M, HPRT1, RPL13A, GAPDH, and ACTB. The integrated web-based software package (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) was used for data analysis.

Seahorse XF Metabolic Stress Assay
Cells were plated in Xfp Cells Culture Miniplates (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) at 15000 cells/well. After 24 h, mitochondrial respiration or glycolysis was determined with Xfp Cells Mito Stress Test Kit or Xfp Cells Glycolysis Stress Test Kit (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) on Seahorse Bioscience Xfp extracellular flux analyzer (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The mitochondrial oxygen consumption rate (OCR) was measured by serial injection of oligomycin (10 μmol), carbonyl cyanide 4-(trifluoromethoxy) phenylhydrazone (FCCP) (0.5 μmol), and 0.5 μmol mix of rotenone (complex I inhibitor) and antimycin A (complex III inhibitor). Glucose (10 mmol), oligomycin (10 μmol) and 2-deoxyglucose (2-DG, 50 mmol) were serially injected to measure the extracellular acidification rate (ECAR). Data analysis were performed with Seahorse Xfp Analyzer Software (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA).

LC-MS/MS Measurement of ATP Metabolites
Intracellular ATP, ADP, and AMP contents in the DLD-1 WT and DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells were quantified by LC-MS/MS analysis carried out on a ThermoFisher TSQ LC-MS/MS system (San Jose, CA, USA) using multiple reactions monitoring.
as reported earlier (Li et al., 2018). Chromatographic separation was performed on the Sepax GP-C18 column at 35°C with the flow rate of 0.2 mL/min. The mobile phase was composed of water (A) and acetonitrile (B). The column was eluted with a linear gradient system: 0–5–10–12–13–18 min, 12–30–40–55–12–12% B. The autosampler was set at 4°C. Mass detection was carried out using ESI in the negative mode using the following optimized parameters: ion spray voltage, 2800 V; vaporizer temperature, 300°C; sheath gas pressure, 50 psi; capillary temperature, 320°C; auxiliary gas pressure, 15 psi. Data acquisition was performed with the Xcalibur software version 2.0.7 (ThermoFisher Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA), and data processing using the Thermo LCquan 2.5.6 data analysis program (ThermoFisher Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA).

RESULTS

Genetic Deficiency of the Pro-Apoptotic Proteins BAX and BAK Induced Drug Resistance in DLD-1 Colon Cancer Cells

BAX and BAK proteins are critical to the activation of apoptotic pathways mediated by mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization (O’neill et al., 2016) and the deficiency of BAX and BAK is related to drug resistance in cancer treatment (Müller et al., 2018). Therefore, cancer cell lines deficient in both BAX and BAK may serve as ideal models for examining the drug resistance mechanisms. For clarifying the association of BAX and BAK with the development of drug-resistant phenotype of colon cancers, isogenic DLD-1 WT cancer cells and DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cancer cells were used as the cellular model in this study. As shown in Figure 1A, the expression of both BAX and BAK in DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells were completely abolished when compared with the DLD-1 WT cells (p < 0.001). Consistent with the gene expression study, the BAX and BAK proteins were not detected in DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells as demonstrated by Western blot analysis (Figure 1B). We then validated the potency of BAX and BAK deficiency in the induction of drug resistance in DLD-1 WT and DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells against FDA-approved drugs conventionally used for the therapies of cancer, inflammation and metabolic diseases, as tumorigenesis is closely related to inflammatory disorder and metabolic dysfunction (Coussens and Werb, 2002; Coller, 2014).

In total, 234 compounds were chosen from the FDA-approved Drug Library for screening, in which, 66 out of the 234 drugs demonstrated significant cytotoxicity towards the DLD-1 WT and DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells. Notably, DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells were insensitive to 27 out of the 66 drugs (RF ≥ 3) when compared with DLD-1 WT cells (Table 1). Therefore, DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells is a reliable drug resistance model with pathways related to the BAX/BAK axis with selectively resistant towards a panel of FDA-approved drugs.

Alteration of Cancer Drug Resistance Gene Expression Profile Associated With BAX and BAK Deficiency in DLD-1 Colon Cancer Cells

The effect of BAX and BAK deletion on the expression levels of other genes related to cancer drug resistance were analyzed by Human Cancer Drug Resistance RT² Profiler™ PCR array assay with DLD-1 cellular models. As shown in the scatter plot (Figures 2A, B), 12 out of totally 84 examined genes demonstrated more than 1.5 fold up or down-regulation in the DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells when compared with the wild type counterpart. Amongst which,
cytochrome P450 family 2 subfamily B polypeptide 19 (CYP2C19), N-acetyltransferase 2 (NAT2), and nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer in B-cells inhibitor beta (NFKBβ) genes were highly upregulated in DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells compared with DLD-1 WT cells. Whereas epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), FBJ murine osteosarcoma viral oncogene homolog (FOS), nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer in B-cells 1 (NFKB1), peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha (PPARα), retinoid X receptor beta (RXRβ), retinoid X receptor alpha (RXRα), thiopurine S-methyltransferase (TPMT), UDP-glucose ceramide glucosyltransferase (UGCG), and xeroderma pigmentosum, complementation group C (XPC) genes were significantly downregulated in DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells. The expression level of these 12 genes were further validated individually by RT-qPCR using primers with sequence as listed in Table 2. As shown in Figure 2C, the expression profiles of the 12 genes were consistent with the result as presented in the PCR array assay. In addition, the expression levels of the corresponding proteins encoded by these genes as analyzed by Western blot detection demonstrated similar tendency as in the result of gene expression assays (Figures 3A–L). Therefore, BAX and BAK deficiency altered the expression of CYP2C19, NAT2, NFKBβ, EGFR, FOS, NFKB1, PPARα, RXRα, RXRβ, TPMT, UGCG, and XPC both at the transcriptional and translational levels in DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells. These results were in line with the result in Table 1 demonstrating that BAX and BAK are extensively involved in resistance of our cellular model to against a considerable number of FDA-approved drugs with anti-cancer effect.

**Knockdown of XPC Suppresses the Sensitivity to Topoisomerase in DLD-1 Colon Cancer Cells**

Based on the gene array and Western blot data, the 9 downregulated genes (EGFR, FOS, NFKB1, PPARα, RXRα, RXRβ, TPMT, UGCG, and XPC) in the DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells potentially involved in BAX/BAK-mediated drug resistance were further investigated by downregulating their endogenous expression in the DLD-1 WT cells with siRNA-mediated gene silencing. Control siRNA were used as blank controls and the transfection efficiency was verified by RT-qPCR detection. As shown in Figure 4A, the gene expression levels of all the
siRNA-transfected cells were significantly downregulated when compared with DLD-1 WT cells and DLD-1 ctrl siRNA cells. Amongst the 3 upregulated genes, CYP2C19 and NAT2 have long been reported to participate in the failure of anticancer agents treatment or the increased occurrence of cancers (Hein et al., 1993; Hein et al., 2000; Rochat, 2005; AbuHammad and Zihlif, 2013). In contrast, literature concerning NFKB1β and the development of cancer drug resistance or tumorigenesis is scarce, therefore, the gene was selected for clarifying its mechanistic role in the development of drug resistance. NFKB1β was examined by enhancing the expression of the gene via transfection of FLAG-HA-tagged NFKB1β plasmid (FLAG-NFKB1β) into DLD-1 WT cells. The expression level of basal NFKB1β and FLAG-linked NFKB1β proteins in the DLD-1 WT cells transfected with FLAG-NFKB1β (DLD-1 NFKB1β overexpression cells) or FLAG-HA tag only (DLD-1 ctrl vector cells) were recognized by NFKB1β and FLAG antibodies, respectively. As illustrated in Figure 4B, the protein expression of FLAG-linked NFKB1β significantly increased after FLAG-NFKB1β transfection when compared with the basal NFKB1β level as observed in DLD-1 ctrl vector cells and DLD-1 WT cells,
suggesting that the transfection was successful. To further confirm whether the altered expression of these 10 genes (NFKB1β, EGFR, FOS, NFkB1, PPARα, RXRα, RXRβ, TPMT, UCCG, and XPC) in DLD-1 colon cancer cells would affect their responsiveness towards chemotherapeutics agents, MTT assay was performed to analyze the viability of the transfected cells after etoposide treatment. As presented in Table 3, DLD-1 WT cells knocked down with siRNA for XPC (DLD-1 siXPC) cells showed the highest IC_{50} value (> 60 μmol) and resistance (RF = 18.23) towards etoposide compared to etoposide treated with the DLD-1 WT cells. The response of XPC knockdown was comparable to those observed in DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells (IC_{50} value > 40 μmol; RF = 13.22) suggesting the drug resistance observed in DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells was significantly higher than that of DLD-1 WT cells. These data indicated that xpc gene was downregulated in DLD-1 colon cancer cells (IC_{50} value > 40 μmol; RF = 13.22) suggesting the enhancing role of XPC in the development of resistance in DLD-1 colon cancer cells model against chemotherapy.

**BAX and BAK Deficiency Upregulated Mitochondrial Respiration and Glycolysis in DLD-1 Colon Cancer Cells**

Upregulation of glycolysis in cancer cells would facilitate their survival during energy deprivation resulting in the acquisition of therapeutic resistance (Correia and Bissell, 2012; Bhattacharya et al., 2016). Therefore, we clarified the cellular energy metabolic activity of both DLD-1 WT and DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells. The metabolisms of these cancer cells were characterized by the use of Seahorse XFp analyzer by comparing with the DLD-1 WT cells. Firstly, DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO and DLD-1 WT cells were glucose-starved for 1h before the start of experiments. The glycolytic activity of the cellular model was assessed based on their ECAR. Since glycolytic metabolism is a dynamic process, glycolysis will be inhibited through glucose deprivation and set as zero before the experiment. As presented in Figure 5A, the basal glycolysis (after the administration of saturated glucose solution), and glycolytic capacity (after the suppression of the ATP synthase by oligomycin) were significantly increased in DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells when compared with DLD-1 WT cells. 2-DG was injected at the last step to inhibits glycolysis via competitive combining to glucose hexokinase. Finally, under the inhibition of 2-DG, ECAR value decreased to the same level as the experiment started, substantiating that the ECAR induction during the reaction was entirely caused by glycolysis. Such result indicated the prominent role of BAX and BAK in the regulation of glycolysis in DLD-1 cellular models because the basal glycolysis and glycolytic capacity were significantly increased in DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells when compared with DLD-1 WT cells. Subsequently, the effect of BAX and BAK double knockout in the mitochondrial respiration rates in DLD-1 colon cancer cells was analyzed by measuring the OCR of DLD-1 cellular models with different inhibitors or stimulators of the mitochondrial respiratory pathway. As demonstrated in Figure 5B, the cellular basal respiration, ATP production (after the inhibition of the ATP synthase by oligomycin), maximal respiration (after the stimulation of the OCR by FCCP), and non-mitochondrial respiration (after the mitochondrial respiration shuts down by the combination of rotenone and antimycin A) were significantly increased in DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells when compared with DLD-1 WT cells. In addition, LC-MS/MS-based ATP metabolites measurement showed that DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells generated more ATP than DLD-1 WT cells (Figure 5C). Therefore, deficiency of BAX and BAK elevated cellular energy metabolism via the upregulation of mitochondrial oxidative respiratory reaction and glycolysis, suggesting that the glucose catabolism was involved in the development of drug resistance.

**Silencing of XPC Promoted Glycolysis in DLD-1 Colon Cancer Cells**

Evidence showed that silencing of XPC would promote glycolysis (Rezvani et al., 2011a). In current research, the expression level of XPC gene was downregulated in DLD-1 colon cancer cells with the deficient of both BAX and BAK. Therefore, to verify if the upregulation of cellular energy metabolism and the drug resistance observed in DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells was associated with the suppression of XPC gene, seahorse analysis was used to assess the ECAR and OCR in DLD-1 siXPC cells and DLD-1 WT cells. As presented in Figure 6A, the basal glycolytic and maximal glycolytic capacity of DLD-1 XPC knockdown cells were significantly higher than that of DLD-1 WT cells. These data indicated XPC gene silencing would stimulate the glycolysis in DLD-1 colon cancer cells. However, as shown in Figure 6B, the mitochondrial respiratory response of DLD-1 siXPC cells demonstrated no significant alteration after stimulation with oligomycin and FCCP as compared with DLD-1 WT cells. In addition, when compared with DLD-1 WT cells, the accumulation of ATP in DLD-1 siXPC cells were
significantly enhanced to a small extent by the downregulation of XPC (Figure 6C). In conclusion, the downregulation of XPC plays an important role in drug resistance acquisition via XPC-mediated upregulation of glycolysis.

**DISCUSSION**

Chemotherapy represents one of the mainstays of pharmacological intervention for cancer treatment. The mechanism of action (MOA) for most anticancer drugs, for example, platinum-based compounds and topoisomerase inhibitors, involves the induction of DNA damage, the downstream apoptosis-mediated cytotoxicity, and microenvironment variation (Longley and Johnston, 2005; Curtin, 2012). Therefore, repaired DNA damage, hampered apoptotic machinery of cancer cells, together with altered microenvironment during the course of tumorigenesis, are critical to the development of drug-resistant phenotype, which remains a major concern for therapeutic efficacy (Taylor et al., 2000; Longley and
In particular, CRC is interested in this study due to the low survival rate of patients resulted from poor diagnosis (Vega et al., 2015). The invasive colonoscopy for the standard assessment of CRC discouraged patients from early diagnosis. In addition, there are no specific symptoms for CRC and common abdominal symptoms are mostly unrelated to the occurrence of neoplasm, patients are usually diagnosed at the advanced stage of the disorder demonstrating significant chemoresistance (Van Der Jeught et al., 2018).

In this study, we investigated the mechanism underpinning the chemoresistance of DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO colon cancer cells. Research finding suggested that Bax-deficiency alone promotes etoposide resistance in MEFs (McCurrah et al., 1997), while the overexpression of BAK confers sensitivity of drug-resistant upon MT1-Adr breast carcinoma (von Haefen et al., 2002).
However, Wei et al. reported that MEFs demonstrate resistance to etoposide and ultraviolet radiation only when these cells are knocked out with both Bax and Bak (Wei et al., 2001). As such, DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells were used in this study for establishing a cellular model with reliable resistant phenotype against chemotherapeutic drug-induced apoptosis. Our findings showed that DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells were relatively insensitive to etoposide-induced apoptosis when compared to wild type control, confirming the drug-resistant nature of our cellular model.

After the screening of more than 200 FDA-approved drugs against the DLD-1 cells models, 66 of them were found capable of inducing cytotoxicity towards the DLD-1 WT cells (i.e. IC_{50} < 100 μmol). The DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells are resistant (RF ≥ 3) to 41% (27/66) and sensitive (RF < 3) to the rest (59%; 39/66) of the 66 drugs relative to the wild type counterpart. The induced cytotoxicity in the apoptosis-resistant DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells is most probably due to the activation of cells death pathways other than apoptosis. For example, sorafenib which demonstrated the RF value of 1.08 in this study are having the capacity to trigger autophagic cells death in hepatocellular carcinoma and gastrointestinal cancer cells mediated by the inhibition of myeloid cells leukemia-1 (MCL-1) and activation of CD95, respectively (Park et al., 2010; Tai et al., 2013). In fact, our previous findings suggested that cytotoxicity can be induced in DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells via the activation of autophagic cells death via the manipulation of 5' AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK)
Law et al., 2016; Law et al., 2017). However, some of the tested drugs with RF ≥ 3 are also inducer of autophagic cells death, such as, dasatinib (RF > 23.25) through the mediation of beclin1 and Akt in ovarian cancer (Ie et al., 2010). Such diverse behavior of DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells could be explained by the involvement of different molecular pathways mediating the induction of autophagy by these drugs, and that the activation of autophagy is highly cells type-specific. In-depth analysis of the MOA of the 27 FDA-approved drugs demonstrating insensitivity towards DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells provided insight to illustrate the molecular details underlying the observed chemoresistance. Twenty-three out of these 27 drugs are conventional anticancer pharmaceuticals acting against cancers through the cellular processes, including the inhibition of angiogenesis, antiproliferation, and the modulation of extrinsic and intrinsic pathways of apoptosis. The remaining 4 drugs, including fluticasone propionate, phenformin hydrochloride, idebenone, and triamterene, are suggested treatment for metabolic disorders or inflammation by the FDA. Accordingly, the DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells may circumvent the cytotoxicity induced by these 27 drugs, at least partially, owing to their apoptosis-deficient nature. Indeed, the involvement of other cellular responses in DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells associated with the resistance to these drugs is far more complex and cannot be neglected.

Therefore, it was tempted to clarify the downstream effects of BAX and BAK deficiency in the development of cancer drug resistance. From our gene array study, BAX and BAK deficiency in DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells significantly downregulated the expression of XPC compared with DLD-1 WT cells. Of note, our findings suggest that DLD-1 WT cells transfected with siRNA of XPC illustrated the highest resistance upon cytotoxicity assay. In principle, downregulation of XPC can enhance apoptosis by hampering the efficiency of NER. However, our finding was contradictory to the previous studies, since the damaged NER may be compensated through other DNA repair pathways in

**FIGURE 6** | Capacity of glycolytic and mitochondrial respiration in DLD-1 WT cells and DLD-1 siXPC cells. (A) The glycolytic profile of DLD-1 WT and DLD-1 siXPC cells. (B) The mitochondrial respiration profile of DLD-1 WT and DLD-1 siXPC cells. (C) ATP production (pmol/10⁶ cells) in DLD-1 WT and DLD-1 siXPC cells. The data is represented as mean ± S.D. (n = 3). ***p < 0.001 and *p < 0.05 compared with DLD-1 WT cells, t-tests analysis.
DLD-1 siXPC cells. We then investigated the functional effects of XPC which may potentially lead to the development of chemoresistance in the DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells. Apart from participation to DNA repairment, XPC is critical to the maintenance of cellular energy metabolism (Rezvani et al., 2011a). For example, transduction of siRNA of XPC into human keratinocytes induces lactic acidosis which resulted in suppressed expression of mitochondrial OXPHOS subunits and upregulation of enzymes associated with glycolysis (Rezvani et al., 2011b). Our data suggested that both knockout of BAX/BAK or knockdown of XPC affected glycolysis in DLD-1 WT cells. The altered expression of XPC may affect the sensitivity of DLD-1 colon cancer cells to etoposide by manipulating the glycolytic process, since cancer cells have the preference for acquiring cellular energy from aerobic glycolysis over the usual oxidative phosphorylation pathway. In addition, the increased level of glycolysis metabolites can facilitate the biosynthesis of nucleotides, lipid, and macromolecules which promotes the unconstrained proliferation of cancer cells (Gatenby and Gillies, 2004; Heiden et al., 2009; Phan et al., 2014). Intriguingly, the expression of the proto-oncogenes responsible for cell growth and proliferation, including KRAS, HRAS, NRAS, MYB, and platelet derived growth factor subunit B (PDGFB), in DLD-1 BAX–BAK DKO cells were suppressed when compared with the WT control (Figure S4), which suggested that the development of drug-resistant phenotype may not necessarily be associated with the proliferation ability of the cancer cells, implicating the critical role of apoptosis resistance in such issue. Together taken, BAX and BAK deficiency in the DLD-1 colon cancer cells downregulated the expression of XPC and altered the energy metabolism of cancer cells via the upregulation of glycolysis implicating the development of drug resistance. Since the use of combination of drugs is usual therapeutic strategy for the treatment of colon cancers, our findings suggested that compounds which are capable of modulating the glycolytic pathway are potential pharmacologic candidates for simultaneous use with other conventional drugs, in particular, for colon cancers resistant to chemotherapy. As such, treatment assay with the use of xenograft models deserves further in-depth investigation. The current findings identified and confirmed the genes associated with drug-resistant DLD-1 colon cancers may provide insight to the establishment of xenograft model for examining the in vivo efficacy of compounds with therapeutic potential. Provided that, BAX and BAK are clinically relevant to the pathogenesis of colorectal cancer (Krajewska et al., 1996; Ogura et al., 1999; Bandrés et al., 2006), the findings of this study encourage to the search of novel prognostic and therapeutic strategies targeting the glycolytic pathway mediated by the BAX/BAK-XPC axis for improving the therapy of colon cancer, particularly for patients demonstrating the resistant phenotype. The activated genes identified by the cancer drug resistance array could serve as reference markers to assess the efficacy of targeted therapy specifically for the treatment of colon cancers with drug-resistant phenotype.
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