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Abstract

Background: Protein assemblies, such as virus-like particles, have increasing importance as vaccines, delivery vehicles and nanomaterials. However, their use requires stable assemblies. An important cause of loss of stability in proteins is oxidation, which can occur during their production, purification and storage. Despite its importance, very few studies have investigated the effect of oxidation in protein assemblies and their structural units. In this work, we investigated the role of in vitro oxidation in the assembly and stability of rotavirus VP6, a polymorphic protein.

Results: The susceptibility to oxidation of VP6 assembled into nanotubes (VP6NT) and unassembled VP6 (VP6U) was determined and compared to bovine serum albumin (BSA) as control. VP6 was more resistant to oxidation than BSA, as determined by measuring protein degradation and carbonyl content. It was found that assembly protected VP6 from in vitro metal-catalyzed oxidation. Oxidation provoked protein aggregation and VP6NT fragmentation, as evidenced by dynamic light scattering and transmission electron microscopy. Oxidative damage of VP6 correlated with a decrease of its center of fluorescence spectral mass. The in vitro assembly efficiency of VP6U into VP6NT decreased as the oxidant concentration increased.

Conclusions: Oxidation caused carbonylation, quenching, and destruction of aromatic amino acids and aggregation of VP6 in its assembled and unassembled forms. Such modifications affected protein functionality, including its ability to assemble. That assembly protected VP6 from oxidation shows that exposure of susceptible amino acids to the solvent increases their damage, and therefore the protein surface area that is exposed to the solvent is determinant of its susceptibility to oxidation. The inability of oxidized VP6 to assemble into nanotubes highlights the importance of avoiding this modification during the production of proteins that self-assemble. This is the first time that the role of oxidation in protein assembly is studied, evidencing that oxidation should be minimized during the production process if VP6 nanotubes are required.
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Background
Protein assemblies have gained increasing importance in the biomedical field, as they are used as vaccines, delivery vehicles and nanomaterials [1-4]. Viral proteins have a primary role in the field, as many of them are capable of self-assemble to form macromolecular structures with unique properties, such as virus-like particles (VLP) and other assemblies. The production of such complex structures can be challenging, as it is not sufficient to produce a pure protein, but the desired assemblies should be obtained in a reproducible and consistent manner [1,4]. Stability is a key property of assemblies that are to be used for pharmaceutical, biomedical or nanotechnological applications. However, proteins suffer modifications that can result in loss of stability and function. These modifications may be due to micro-environmental and environmental conditions and can occur during production, purification, formulation, storage and handling, causing irreversible changes in their quality and stability, such as deamidation, aggregation, mismatched S-S bonds and oxidation [5-7]. From these, oxidation is one of the most important, and therefore, most studied [8-10]. Oxidation has critical consequences for protein structure and function, disturbing intrinsic characteristics. In vivo protein oxidation
has been related to several diseases, such as Alzheimer’s, cancer, atherosclerosis and other chronic disorders [10,11].

Protein oxidation has been extensively investigated by in vitro studies. Several groups have worked with proteins like bovine serum albumin (BSA), IgG, lysozyme, and human α1-antitrypsin, among others [12-16]. Oxidation reactions with 2,2′-azobis(2-amidinopropane) dihydrochloride (AAPH), H₂O₂, *OH or O₂* result in aggregation, structural damage, changes in physico-chemical properties, cleavage, and changes in protein hydrophobicity and conformation [12-16]. Oxidative damage in proteins disturbs their three-dimensional structure due to accumulation of amino acid carboxylation, backbone fragmentation, cross-linking, unfolding, increase in hydrophobicity, and conformational modifications [8-10,17,18]. Only few reports have been published for viral protein macrostructure assemblies such as virus, virus-like particles, and other highly ordered assemblies. The effect of oxidation on viruses (adenovirus, bacteriophage MS2, cowpea mosaic virus, influenza virus and norovirus) has been studied in efforts to inactivate them [19-23]. Various sources of reactive oxygen species (ROS) have been tested, such as the Fenton reaction, UV₂₅₄, O₂, chlorine dioxide and free chlorine. ROS reacted with different sites on viral capsids, resulting in carbonyl formation, aggregation and conformational changes, as well as modifying the capsid cell binding capacity and diminishing virus infectivity [19-23]. However, to our knowledge, only a single report of the effect of oxidation on VLP exists. Teugabulova et al. [24] studied the effect of oxidation on VLP of the hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) oxidized with ammonium peroxodisulphate. Oxidation provoked VLP aggregation and cross-linking of S protein chains, leading to a complete loss of antigenicity. Such studies highlight the importance of further investigating the effect of aggregation on protein assemblies.

The structure of protein assemblies can result in an increased susceptibility to oxidation, as protein monomers are in close contact, which can result in chain reactions that could magnify the effect of ROS. Also, oxidation can damage protein assemblies, impede the assembly of monomers, or cause other alterations of the multimer. In this work, we investigated the effect of oxidation in a multimeric polymorphic protein, rotavirus VP6. Recombinant VP6 forms highly stable trimers that can self-assemble into different types of structures depending on pH and ionic strength [25,26]. VP6 assembled into trimers, nanotubes (VP6NT) or icosahedra can be obtained [25,26]. VP6 nanotubes have proven to be useful as a recombinant vaccine against rotavirus [2,27], as adjuvants in other recombinant vaccines [27], and as scaffolds for the production of nanomaterials [3,28]. VP6 constitutes an ideal model for studying the effect of oxidation on protein assemblies, as its assembly is required for its application. In this work, metal-catalyzed oxidation (MCO) was exerted upon VP6 nanotubes and unassembled VP6, in order to investigate its effect on protein degradation, carbonylation, assembly capacity, and aggregation. In this article, the susceptibility of oxidation of assembled and unassembled protein forms were compared, and the effect of oxidation on viral protein assembly is reported for the first time.

Results

VP6 nanotube characterization

A typical VP6 nanotube preparation was characterized. The purity of VP6 was confirmed in reducing denaturing SDS-PAGE gels, which showed a single band with the molecular weight reported for VP6 (Figure 1A). Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) analysis showed two populations (Figure 1B), one that migrated at the column exclusion limit (peak 1), which corresponded to VP6 nanotubes (VP6NT), and a second one with smaller size identified as VP6U (peak 2), containing unassembled VP6 monomers and trimers. The population containing VP6NT constituted 95% of the total protein, which is a typical value obtained with this purification process [3,29]. The presence of VP6NT structures was confirmed by TEM (Figure 1C). Intrinsic fluorescence spectra of aromatic amino acids were acquired for VP6NT and VP6U at 280 (Trp and Tyr, Figure 1D) and 295 nm (Trp). VP6U had a fluorescence quantum yield 2 and 2.5 times higher than VP6NT at 280 and 295 nm, respectively.

VP6 assembled into nanotubes is more resistant to degradation by oxidation than unassembled VP6 and BSA

Protein oxidation can result in degradation by fragmentation of the backbone, which can be evidenced by the disappearance of a stainable band in SDS-PAGE gels [15,17]. Degradation analysis was used to evaluate the susceptibility of nanotubes and disassembled VP6 to H₂O₂. For comparison, bovine serum albumin (BSA), a widely studied protein, was also subjected to oxidation. Gels were scanned and the intensity and area of each band were quantified by densitometry. Results are shown in Figure 2. Exposure to up to 10,000 μM H₂O₂ did not cause band disappearance in gels of treated BSA, VP6NT or VP6U even after 6 h of incubation with the oxidant (Figure 2A). As VP6 was not degraded by exposition to H₂O₂, all following experiments were performed only with MCO. In contrast, when exposed to H₂O₂ in MCO, the VP6 and BSA bands disappeared although with different behavior (Figures 2B and C). While VP6, in either of its forms, resisted MCO up to 5 mM of H₂O₂ for 1 h, the BSA band decreased at H₂O₂ concentrations above 0.25 mM. Exposure to H₂O₂ in MCO for 6 h caused degradation of BSA at all concentrations tested, evidencing that it is less resistant to degradation than VP6. These
experiments also showed that VP6NT are more resistant to oxidation than VP6U. While the VP6U band disappeared after exposure to 10,000 μM of H₂O₂ in MCO for 1 h, no change was observed in VP6NT when incubated under the same condition. Exposure of VP6NT to high H₂O₂ concentrations for as much as six hours was needed for its band to disappear, suggesting a higher stability towards oxidative insults. The same behavior was observed in native gels (data not shown).

In order to further dissect the molecular impact of the oxidative damage inflicted into VP6, the carbonyl content in VP6U, VP6NT, and BSA was measured after exposure to MCO at various H₂O₂ concentrations (Figure 3A). The initial carbonyl contents before oxidation were 0.069 ± 0.023 molc/molp for VP6NT, 0.059 ± 0.023 molc/molp for VP6U and 0.167 ± 0.010 molc/molp for BSA. Carbonyl content increased in all samples as H₂O₂ concentration increased, following a saturation curve. Results shown in Figure 3A were obtained maintaining the Fe⁺² concentration constant at 150 μM while increasing H₂O₂ concentration to excess. This condition can result in the formation of oxidative species other than •OH, such as the remaining H₂O₂ or •OOH [8,12]. In order to restrict the formation of ROS to the •OH radical, the Fenton reaction was performed at equimolar concentrations of Fe⁺² and H₂O₂ (Figure 3B). The carbonyl content under this condition was 64 times higher for VP6U and 5 times higher for VP6NT than when Fe⁺² concentration remained constant. It also followed a saturation curve.

Data sets were adjusted to the following equation describing a saturation curve:

\[
[c] = \frac{[c]_{\text{max}} [H_2O_2]}{a + [H_2O_2]}
\]

where [c] is the carbonyl content (molc/molp), [c]_{max} is the maximum carbonyl content, and a is a saturation constant. The values of the equation constants for each condition are listed in Table 1. The specific carbonyl content at saturation with constant Fe⁺² was two times higher in VP6U than in VP6NT whereas [c]_{max} was similar for BSA and VP6U. In an analogy with enzyme kinetics, protein susceptibility to oxidation (affinity towards the oxidant) can be inferred from a. BSA was the most susceptible to oxidation, while the susceptibility of VP6NT to oxidation was two times higher than the susceptibility of VP6U. At equimolar Fe⁺² and H₂O₂ concentrations, a
similar behavior was observed, where \([c]_{\text{max}}\) and \(a\) were 14 and 2.6 times higher in VP6\(_U\) than in VP6\(_{NT}\) respectively.

Oxidation produced aggregation of VP6\(_U\) and fragmentation of VP6\(_{NT}\)

Oxidized samples of VP6\(_{NT}\) and VP6\(_U\) were analyzed by dynamic light scattering (DLS), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), SEC and spectrometry. The size of VP6\(_{NT}\), measured by DLS, decreased as peroxide concentration increased (Figure 4A). The mean hydrodynamic diameter of nanotubes, which was 1,067.0 ± 206.6 nm (corresponding to an equivalent sphere) without oxidation, decreased down to 317.5 ± 40.4 nm after exposure to 10,000 \(\mu\text{M} \text{H}_2\text{O}_2\). TEM showed that oxidation provoked the disassembly of nanotubes and aggregation of the resultant unassembled VP6 (Figure 5B). In contrast, oxidation of VP6\(_U\) resulted in an increase in size,
from a hydrodynamic diameter of 7.5 ± 2.6 nm to 2,085.0 ± 289.7 nm after exposition to 10,000 μM H2O2 (Figure 4B). TEM showed that the increase in size of oxidized VP6U was caused by aggregation (Figure 5C).

Another consequence of protein oxidation is dityrosine formation [30], which could have caused the aggregation observed in both types of VP6 assemblies. No dityrosines were detected in either VP6NT or VP6U (data not shown), indicating that aggregation was caused by other mechanisms, such as hydrophobic interactions or hydrogen bonding [31,32].

To further understand the effect of oxidation in VP6NT, oxidized samples were analyzed by SEC, as previously described [29,33]. Absorbance at 280 nm and fluorescence of aromatic amino acids were followed (Figure 6). No changes in absorbance at 280 nm were observed in chromatograms when VP6NT were oxidized with up to 1 mM of H2O2 (Figure 6A). Such a result was expected, as DLS analysis showed that the products of oxidation, although smaller than nanotubes, were larger than the column pore size (50 nm). However, no absorbance was detected after oxidation with 10 mM H2O2. In contrast with absorbance, fluorescence of aromatic amino acids decreased as H2O2 concentration increased. Fluorescence decreased 40% upon oxidation with 100 μM H2O2, and consistently decreased until it completely disappeared at 10 mM (Figure 6B). Additional peaks were observed at 1 mM of H2O2, possibly smaller degradation products not detectable by absorbance.

Oxidation provoked changes in fluorescence intensity and in the center of fluorescence spectral mass (CSM).

Fluorescence emission spectra (290 nm to 600 nm) of VP6U and VP6NT subjected to MCO were recorded for Tyr/Trp (λex 280 nm, data not shown) and Trp (λex 295 nm, Figure 7A and B). Fluorescence of both VP6U and VP6NT oxidized samples were analyzed by SEC, as previously described [29,33]. Absorbance at 280 nm and fluorescence of aromatic amino acids were followed (Figure 6). No changes in absorbance at 280 nm were observed in chromatograms when VP6NT were oxidized with up to 1 mM of H2O2 (Figure 6A). Such a result was expected, as DLS analysis showed that the products of oxidation, although smaller than nanotubes, were larger than the column pore size (50 nm). However, no absorbance was detected after oxidation with 10 mM H2O2. In contrast with absorbance, fluorescence of aromatic amino acids decreased as H2O2 concentration increased. Fluorescence decreased 40% upon oxidation with 100 μM of H2O2, and consistently decreased until it completely disappeared at 10 mM (Figure 6B). Additional peaks were observed at 1 mM of H2O2, possibly smaller degradation products not detectable by absorbance.

**Table 1 Coefficients in Equation 1 obtained by fitting carbonyl content at different H2O2 concentrations**

| Sample | cmax, molc/molp | a, μM | r² |
|--------|-----------------|-------|----|
| VP6NT  | 6.40 ± 0.43     | 4,745.99 ± 681.64 | 0.99 |
| VP6U   | 13.28 ± 3.72    | 10,431.12 ± 4824.19 | 0.97 |
| BSA    | 11.47 ± 0.61    | 2,216.32 ± 324.21   | 0.99 |

With equimolar H2O2/Fe⁺² concentrations (Figure 3B)

| Sample | cmax, molc/molp | a, μM | r² |
|--------|-----------------|-------|----|
| VP6NT  | 31.49 ± 4.16    | 1,154.85 ± 499.22 | 0.89 |
| VP6U   | 448.73 ± 32.19  | 3,064.28 ± 546.32  | 0.99 |

Data were fitted using the software SigmaPlot 10.0 (Systat Software, Inc., CA, USA). Coefficient standard errors are shown.
and VP6NT decreased as the concentration of H2O2 increased. Fluorescence decreased the most at H2O2 concentrations above 0.1 mM, where it diminished at least 50% in all cases. Fluorescence of VP6NT had a more pronounced decrease than fluorescence of VP6U, disappearing at H2O2 concentrations above 250 μM.

The center of fluorescence spectral mass (CSM) was calculated for each spectra (Figure 7C). For comparison, the CSM of VP6 treated for 1 h with 6 M guanidine chloride, an unfolded control, is shown at the right of the figure (CSM = 27,642 ± 136 cm⁻¹). For both VP6 forms, the CSM decreased as the H2O2 concentration increased up to 1 mM, and reached wavelengths comparable to that of the unfolded control. At higher H2O2 concentrations, CSM of VP6U consistently increased.

Efficiency assembly of VP6NT decreased after oxidation
Oxidized VP6U at various H2O2 concentrations was subjected to in vitro assembly conditions to determine if oxidation impedes its assembly into VP6NT. Samples incubated for 6 h were analyzed by SEC to determine the relative concentration of assembled VP6, which elutes with the void volume of the SEC column (KD = 0) (Figure 8A). A sample of VP6U not treated for assembly is shown for comparison. VP6U eluted at a KD of 0.6. The peak corresponding to VP6U disappeared in all samples treated for assembly, and peaks appeared at lower KD. The abundance of the peak corresponding to VP6NT decreased as H2O2 concentration increased. Assembly efficiencies were determined by SEC and calculated by dividing the area of the peak at 0 KD (corresponding to VP6NT) by the total peak area below 0.8 KD, to exclude the salt peak (Figure 8A). VP6U before being subjected to the assembly reactions is shown for comparison. Untreated VP6 assembled into VP6NT with a 72% efficiency. Nanotubes with the expected characteristic were observed by TEM (Figure 9A). The assembly efficiency of oxidized VP6U decreased as H2O2 concentration increased (Figure 8B), and the quality of the assembled VP6NT decreased (Figure 9B to F). It was increasingly difficult to find assembled nanotubes as the H2O2 concentration increased, and those found had various defects, such as fractures, incomplete NT and association with aggregates (Figure 9B to F). Most likely
Figure 7 Emission fluorescence scans at $\lambda_{ex}$ 295 nm of VP6NT and VP6U (0.4 mg/mL) after MCO with 150 $\mu$M of FeCl$_2$ at various H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations for 1 h. A) Normalized emission spectra of VP6NT. B) Normalized emission spectra of VP6U. C) The center of spectral mass (CSM) of oxidized VP6NT and VP6U was calculated using Equation (3) and plotted against the H$_2$O$_2$ concentration used. On the right, the CSM of denatured VP6 (treated for 2 hours with 6 M of guanidine chloride) is shown for comparison. Experiments were performed in triplicate, except for the CSM of denatured VP6, which was analyzed in duplicate. Error bars represent the standard deviation or difference among them.
the assembly efficiency was overestimated, as aggregation of VP6U upon oxidation was observed.

Discussion
The importance of oxidation in the integrity of macromolecules has been widely recognized [7]. However, only one previous article has reported the effect of oxidation in a VLP, focusing mostly on the immunogenicity of a vaccine [24]. To study the effect of oxidation on protein assemblies, rotavirus VP6 in two forms, unassembled and assembled into nanotubes, was subjected to oxidation. BSA, a widely studied protein, was treated in parallel for comparison. Protein degradation, carbonylation, size, appearance assessed by TEM, spectrophotometric characterization, and assembly efficiencies were evaluated to determine the effect of oxidation on protein assemblies.

The experiments performed here showed that BSA is less resistant to oxidation than VP6. BSA was degraded by MCO at lower H₂O₂ concentrations and shorter times than VP6. Degradation of BSA by oxidation has been previously observed in SDS-PAGE gels similar to those shown here [15]. Protein degradation is a consequence of peptide bond cleavage. It has been proposed that α-amidation and diamide formation are the main mechanisms of peptide bond rupture under oxidative conditions [34-36].

Protein carbonylation is the most destructive irreversible modification caused by oxidation. It is also an excellent biomarker of oxidative stress because of its early formation and stability [37,38]. BSA was less resistant to carbonylation than VP6. Carbonyl contents found here were similar to those previously reported for BSA [15,36]. The higher susceptibility of BSA to damage by oxidation is probably the result of its higher content of lysine, arginine, proline and threonine (RKPT), 149 residues/mol, in comparison with the 82 residues/mol in VP6. The side chains of RPKT amino acids are the most important precursors of carbonylated compounds [34,39]. The constants obtained from data fitting to Equation 1 provide information about the carbonyl content at H₂O₂ saturation and the susceptibility of the protein to carbonylation. At 150 μM of Fe²⁺ and 10,000 μM of H₂O₂, the carbonyl content in BSA was close to saturation. Also, the value of a for BSA was the lowest, in accordance with the experimental observations that BSA was less resistant to oxidation than VP6 in either of its assembled forms. In addition to its higher number of RPKT residues, BSA has 35 cysteines, forming 17 disulfide bonds, while VP6 has only 8 cysteines and no disulfide bonds. Cysteines are also highly susceptible to oxidation, forming a wide variety of compounds [40].

The fluorescence emission of unassembled and assembled VP6 showed that the microenvironment of Tyr and Trp residues are different in both assemblies. The quantum yield of VP6U was twice that of VP6NT, possibly because Trp residues are exposed to the solvent only in VP6U (as visualized from the crystal structure reported previously [26]). While for VP6NT, the Tyr and Trp residues exposed to the solvent are 18 and 8, respectively, for VP6NT only 3 Tyr are exposed [25].

The experiments performed here show that the extent of oxidation of assembled VP6 lower than that of VP6NT, suggesting that number of oxidizable amino acids that are exposed to the solvent is more important for overall protein oxidation than chain reactions that can be triggered by ROS and propagate the damage to the protein assembly. VP6 assembly into nanotubes may result in additional protection to VP6, as the VP6 nanotube lumen is not freely accessible to ions [28]. In the two sets of carbonylation reactions performed, VP6U had the highest maximum carbonyl content, supporting the idea
that a higher protein area exposed to the solvent results in more oxidation. However, the value of \( a \) from Equation 1 was lower for VP6NT, indicating that even when fewer amino acids were oxidized, they were more readily accessible to ROS. The higher susceptibility of VP6NT to oxidation was accompanied by a steeper decrease in fluorescence, suggesting that the aromatic amino acids exposed to the surface were the more susceptible to oxidation. The maximum carbonyl content obtained in VP6U was similar to the number of amino acids in VP6. As certain amino acids are more susceptible to carbonylation than others, this suggests that each oxidized amino acid generated more than one carbonyl group.

DLS analysis showed that oxidation provoked the disassembly of VP6NT and the aggregation of VP6U, probably caused by carbonylation. Changes in hydrophobicity due to carbonylated amino acid residues induce protein aggregation [38]. Also, accumulation of oxidized dysfunctional proteins with reactive carbonyl groups can lead to inter- and intramolecular cross-links with amino groups [41]. No formation of dityrosines was found, indicating that aggregation had a different cause, possibly hydrophobic interactions, hydrogen bonding or free thiol groups cross-linking [31,32]. Aggregation and nanotube disassembly have important impacts on possible applications of VP6NT. Aggregation has been linked with protein immunogenicity [42], and nanotubes are required for the use of VP6 as an efficient vaccine or as a delivery vehicle [2,43]. Interestingly, MCO of HBsAg VLP did not produce aggregation even at higher oxidant concentrations (100 mM of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and 100 \( \mu \text{M} \) of \( \text{Fe}^{2+} \)) than those evaluated in the present work.

Fluorescence emission spectra drastically changed upon oxidation of both VP6 forms. Fluorescence emission decreased as \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) concentration increased. Similar results were described by Davies and coworkers [17], who observed fluorescence quenching of aromatic amino acids, mainly Trp, after oxidation with \( \cdot\text{OH} \) and \( \cdot\text{OH} + \text{O}_2^- \) radicals. Oxidative damage to proteins includes oxidation of aromatic rings, resulting in non-fluorescent derivatives [44]. CSM also decreased as \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) increased up to 1 mM of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), moving towards the CSM of the unfolded VP6 control, most likely caused by a partial denaturation of VP6 [45,46]. The CSM at 295 nm correlates with the microenvironment surrounding Trp residues, which can be in a relaxed structure (hydrophilic, lower CSM values) or in a compact structure (hydrophobic, higher CSM values) [45,47]. The CSM of VP6NT remained lower than that of VP6U at all conditions tested, indicating that aromatic amino acids remained in a more hydrophilic environment in VP6NT than in VP6U. CSM of both VP6 forms increased at \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) concentrations above 1 mM, probably as a result of aggregation.

Oxidation decreased the assembly capacity of VP6U by about half, even at only 100 \( \mu \text{M} \) of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \). All the modifications provoked by oxidation described above can result in
a lower assembly efficiency of VP6. Either conformational changes or modification of the amino acids in intratrimmer or intertrimmer regions can impede assembly. For example, Erk and coworkers [48] replaced the His 153 of VP6 with Ser, resulting in a protein that can assemble into trimers but not into nanotubes. Moreover, aggregation provoked by oxidation can also reduce the assembly efficiency into nanotubes. Interestingly, oxidation resulted in nanotubes that had several defects, indicating that even when oxidized VP6 could assemble, the resulting assemblies were less stable. The results obtained highlight the importance of impeding oxidation during the production, purification and storage of protein assemblies and their subunits.

Conclusions
In this work, the role of oxidation on multimeric protein assembly was described for the first time. Differential effects of the susceptibility of oxidation of a protein assembled or unassembled were found. The results presented here show that oxidation can cause important changes to assembled and unassembled VP6, affecting the protein functionality. In vitro assembly of VP6$_{6c}$ to form VP6$_{NT}$ decreased with oxidation, evidencing that ROS have to be minimized during the production process when VP6$_{NT}$ are needed. In vitro studies of protein oxidation are useful tools for development of new bioprocess to reduce the impact of oxidation on therapeutic proteins produced in heterologous systems. The results of this work show that oxidation must be avoided in all production stages, including upstream, downstream, handling and storage.

Methods

VP6 nanotube production, purification and characterization
VP6 nanotubes were produced using the insect cell-baculovirus expression vector system (IC-BVS) as described before [29]. Briefly, High Five insect cells (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) were grown in 1 L shake flasks with 200 mL of Sf900II medium (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Cells were infected at 1 × 10$^6$ cell/mL flasks with 200 mL of Sf900II medium (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) were grown in 1 L shake cultures were harvested at 96 hours post infection (hpi) (Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, Jena, Germany) operated at 173° backscatter using a normal resolution mode. Sizes are reported as the diameter of the equivalent sphere of the particles analyzed. Samples for transmission electron microscopy (TEM) were placed over 200 mesh copper grids coated with Formvar-carbon (Structure Probe Inc., West Chester, PA, USA) and stained with 2% uranyl acetate (Structure Probe Inc., West Chester, PA, USA) and stained with 2% uranyl acetate (Structure Probe Inc., West Chester, PA, USA) for 1 min and visualized in a Zeiss EM 900 transmission electron microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, Jena, Germany) operated at 80 KV.

Disassembly and assembly of VP6
VP6$_{NT}$ were disassembled by adding 300 mM of Ca$^{2+}$ and incubating for 6 h at 27°C with constant agitation in a Thermomixer Comfort (Eppendorf, Hauppaugue, NY, USA) [33]. For reassembly, VP6 samples at 0.4 mg/mL were mixed with 10 volumes of 100 mM sodium bicarbonate (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) at pH 8.0 to precipitate calcium and centrifuged at 5,000 rpm for 10 min.
Supernatants were collected and concentrated through a 30 kDa cut-off membrane in an Amicon ultrafiltration device (Merck Millipore Corp, Billerica, MA, USA).

Protein oxidation
VP6\textsubscript{NT} and VP6\textsubscript{U} were oxidized with two oxidants, \textit{H}_2\textit{O}_2 (Fermont, Monterrey, Mexico) or \textit{•}OH (obtained through the Fenton reaction, \textit{Fe}^{2+}/\textit{H}_2\textit{O}_2), using various \textit{H}_2\textit{O}_2 concentrations (50, 100, 250, 500, 1,000, 2,500, 5,000 and 10,000 μM). For the Fenton reaction, a constant concentration of 150 μM of \textit{Fe}^{2+} (as FeCl\textsubscript{2}, Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) was maintained, unless otherwise noted. In some experiments, \textit{Fe}^{2+}/\textit{H}_2\textit{O}_2 in equimolar concentrations were used. Oxidation with \textit{•}OH is referred as metal-catalyzed oxidation (MCO) throughout the text. Samples were incubated at 27°C for 1 and 6 h. Oxidized VP6\textsubscript{NT} and VP6\textsubscript{U} were analyzed by SDS-PAGE (denaturing and non-denaturing conditions), SEC, DLS and TEM. 2.5 μg of protein were used for each MCO condition in SDS-PAGE experiments.

Detection and quantification of protein carbonylation
Protein carbonylation was detected by immunoblotting using the Oxyblot™ kit (Merck Millipore Corp, Billerica, MA, USA), following manufacturer instructions: 1 μg of protein was incubated with 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH) (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) for 25 minutes, followed by addition of 0.5% β-mercaptoethanol (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, USA). The reaction products were resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. The membrane was incubated with a rabbit anti-DNP antibody (1:300 dilution in PBS-T), and a goat anti-rabbit-HRP antibody (1:2000 dilution in PBS-T) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA). A molecular weight marker, containing oxidized proteins, was loaded into all gels as a positive control. Densitometric analyses were performed using the ImageJ Software (NIH, USA).

Total carbonyl content was quantified using the method described by Guedes and coworkers [15], with some modifications: 40 to 80 μg of VP6 were derivatized with 160 μL DNPH (10 mM) for 1 h at room temperature in the dark, then samples were washed three times with TCA (20%) and centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 20 min. Supernatants were discarded and pellets were washed three times with 160 μL of ethanol/ethyl acetate solution (1:1, v/v) to remove DNPH excess. Finally, the pellet was dissolved in 100 μL of 6 M guanidine hydrochloride and incubated at 37°C for 10 min. Absorbance was measured at 370 nm in a Nanodrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). The carbonyl content was calculated using an absorption coefficient of 22,000 M\textsuperscript{-1} cm\textsuperscript{-1} [36].

Dityrosine formation and intrinsic fluorescence of aromatic amino acids
Dityrosine formation was detected using fluorescence emission at 320 to 500 nm, at an excitation wavelength of 315 nm, with a slit width of 2.5 nm and a scan speed of 50 nm/min. Temperature was controlled at 27°C. Data were acquired with the FLWinlab software (Perkin Elmer Instruments, MA, USA).

Fluorescence scans were performed with a Luminiscence spectrometer LS55 (Perkin Elmer Instruments, MA, USA) at excitation wavelengths of 280 or 295 nm using a slit width of 2.5 nm. Emission spectra were recorded from 280 to 600 nm using a slit width of 2.5 nm and a scan speed of 50 nm/min. Temperature was controlled at 27°C. Data were acquired with the FLWinlab software (Perkin Elmer Instruments, MA, USA) and the center of fluorescence spectral mass (CSM) was calculated using the following equation [45]:

$$CSM = \frac{\sum_{280\text{ nm}}^{500\text{ nm}} RFU \cdot \nu_i}{\sum_{280\text{ nm}}^{500\text{ nm}} RFU}$$

where \nu\textsubscript{i} represents the wavenumber (cm\textsuperscript{-1}) and RFU the relative fluorescence units.
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