Pyroelectric detection of spontaneous polarization in magnetite thin films
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We have investigated the spontaneous polarization in Fe$_3$O$_4$ thin films by using dynamic and static pyroelectric measurements. The magnetic and dielectric behavior of Fe$_3$O$_4$ thin films grown on Nb:SrTiO$_3$(001) substrates was consistent with bulk crystals. The well-known metal-insulator (Verwey) transition was observed at 120 K. The appearance of a pyroelectric response in the Fe$_3$O$_4$ thin films just below the Verwey temperature shows that spontaneous polarization appeared in Fe$_3$O$_4$ at the charge ordering transition temperature. The polar state characteristics are consistent with bond- and site-centered charge ordering of Fe$^{3+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ ions sharing the octahedral B sites. The pyroelectric response in Fe$_3$O$_4$ thin films was dependent on the dielectric constant. Quasi-static pyroelectric measurement of Pd/Fe$_3$O$_4$/Nb:SrTiO$_3$ junctions showed that magnetite has a very large pyroelectric coefficient of 735 nC/cm$^2$K at 60 K.

I. INTRODUCTION

Magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$) is a common magnetic ferrite that has an inverse spinel structure with Fe$^{3+}$ ions occupying the tetrahedrally coordinated $A$ sites and an equal number of Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ ions sharing the octahedral $B$ sites. Exchange interactions between the different iron sites are antiferromagnetic with the $A$-$B$ sublattice ordering being dominant. This leads to ferrimagnetic spin ordering with a magnetic moment per formula unit (f.u.) close to 4.05 $\mu_B$ and a high Curie temperature of 860 K$^{1,2,3}$. The magnetic properties of magnetite make it a useful material for spintronic applications, such as tunnel junctions$^{4,5}$ and spin injection devices.$^{6,7}$ Another unique feature of Fe$_3$O$_4$ is the well-known metal-insulator Verwey transition at 120 K.$^{8}$ At room temperature, magnetite is metallic, since electrons can hop within the $B$-site lattice between the Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ ions. In contrast, the charges of Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ ions become ordered below 120 K and Fe$_3$O$_4$ crystals become insulating. A remarkable feature of the insulating Fe$_3$O$_4$ phase is the appearance of ferroelectricity. Fe$_3$O$_4$ is thus not only a prototypical multiferroic material with both spontaneous magnetization and dielectric polarization, but also a rare ferroelectric material for spintronic applications, such as tunnel junctions and resonant X-ray scattering experiments$^{20,22,23}$ This model is supported by structural analysis of powder diffraction refinements$^{24,25}$ and resonant X-ray scattering studies$^{26,27}$ Furthermore, Senn et al. have recently succeeded in accurate structural analysis by high-energy X-ray diffraction from a single-domain Fe$_3$O$_4$ sample and fully determined the low-temperature superstructure of a Fe$_3$O$_4$ crystal.$^{28,29}$ They showed that the charge ordering results in three-site distortions that induce substantial off-center atomic displacements and couple to the resulting large dielectric polarization. Similar electronic ferroelectricity induced by charge ordering is also known for LuFe$_2$O$_4$,$^{30,31}$ and Pr$_2$(Sr$_{1.5}$Ca$_{0.5}$)$_2$Mn$_2$O$_7$. It is clear that in such materials there is a relationship between the pattern of charge ordering and polarization. However, there is still a discrepancy in the Fe$_3$O$_4$ experimental results, since spontaneous polarization has only been observed well below the Verwey transition point$^{28,29}$ while X-ray and neutron diffraction studies suggest that the...
charge-ordered state responsible for the ferroelectricity appears at the Verwey transition and is unchanged upon further cooling.\textsuperscript{24,26,28,29} One possible reason for this discrepancy is the high leakage current of Fe$_3$O$_4$ crystals just below the charge-ordering temperature of 120 K.

In order to investigate the relationship between the electronic polarization and the Verwey transition, we have studied by dynamic and static pyroelectric detection the temperature dependence of spontaneous polarization below the charge-ordering temperature of 120 K.

The films were rapidly cooled below 200$^\circ$C in Fe$_3$O$_4$ to obtain a well-defined surface termination.\textsuperscript{35,36} A poly-crystalline Fe$_2$O$_3$ target was ablated with an excimer laser at a fluence of 3 J/cm$^2$ operating at 10 Hz. The Fe$_3$O$_4$ film thicknesses were between 150 and 220 nm. The growth temperature was set at 400$^\circ$C and the temperature control was done with an infrared laser heater.\textsuperscript{28} After growth, the films were rapidly cooled below 200$^\circ$C in about 5 min in order to suppress the oxidation of Fe and the formation of a secondary hematite Fe$_2$O$_3$ phase.\textsuperscript{36,37}

The basic structural analysis was done by room temperature by symmetric X-ray diffraction and reciprocal space mapping. Magnetization of the Fe$_3$O$_4$ thin films was measured in a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer at 5 K and 300 K. Raman spectroscopy was used for detecting structural transitions below room temperature. A He-Ne laser (633 nm, 17 mW) was focused onto a Fe$_3$O$_4$ film surface through an objective lens ($\times50$, N.A. = 0.5). The scattering spectra were collected by a charge coupled device (CCD) detector (RAMASCOPE, Renishaw). The sample temperature was controlled with a He flow cryostat (Microstat, Oxford Instruments).

For electrical measurements, a 100-nm-thick Pd top electrode was deposited on the Fe$_3$O$_4$ film surface by electron beam evaporation through a stencil mask with 1 mm diameter openings. Aluminum wires were attached to the Pd top electrode pads with silver paste. The sample was placed in a vacuum chamber and cooled using a two-stage cryocooler. The sample temperature was controlled in the range of 8 to 300 K by thermal conduction from the cryocooler and a heater mounted on the sample stage. The resistance was measured by two-point method using a picoammeter (Keithley 487). The dielectric measurements were performed with an impedance bridge (Agilent 4284A) at an excitation voltage of 50 mV.

The Chynoweth method was for dynamic pyroelectric measurements.\textsuperscript{35-37} Chopped light from a diode laser (1.31 $\mu$m, 130 mW) was focused on a Pd top electrode pad, resulting in a modulation of the Fe$_3$O$_4$ capacitance temperature and the generation of a pyroelectric current. The laser chopping was achieved by modulating the diode laser current with an optical power risetime of $\approx 3\mu$s. The sample current was converted to a voltage signal with a current-voltage converter at a transconductance of $10^8$ V/A and measured with a digital voltmeter or a lock-in amplifier. For ferroelectric hysteresis measurements, a 20-nm-thick TiN bottom electrode was inserted between a Fe$_3$O$_4$ film and a SrTiO$_3$(001) substrate in order to promote charge screening during ferroelectric switching. Details of the hysteresis loop measurements can be found in Refs.\textsuperscript{36} and\textsuperscript{37}. A quasi-static pyroelectric measurement was used for measuring the absolute pyroelectric coefficient by slowly heating and cooling a sample in a temperature-stabilized probing chamber.\textsuperscript{35} The pyroelectric current was measured with a picoameter for Fe$_3$O$_4$ samples alternately heated and cooled at a constant rate, ranging from 1 to 6 K/min. The temperature of the film was monitored with a Si diode mounted next to a Fe$_3$O$_4$ capacitor sample.

**II. EXPERIMENT**

The Fe$_3$O$_4$ thin films were grown by pulsed laser deposition on 0.2$^\circ$ miscut Nb(0.05wt%):SrTiO$_3$(001) substrates that had been wet-etched in buffered NH$_4$F-HF to obtain a well-defined surface termination.\textsuperscript{35,36} A poly-crystalline Fe$_2$O$_3$ target was ablated with an excimer laser at a fluence of 3 J/cm$^2$ under an oxygen background pressure of $1 \times 10^{-6}$ Torr. The ablation laser (KrF, $\lambda = 248$ nm) operated at 10 Hz. The Fe$_3$O$_4$ film thicknesses were between 150 and 220 nm. The growth temperature was set at 400$^\circ$C and the temperature control was done with an infrared laser heater.\textsuperscript{28} After growth, the films were rapidly cooled below 200$^\circ$C in about 5 min in order to suppress the oxidation of Fe and the formation of a secondary hematite Fe$_2$O$_3$ phase.\textsuperscript{36,37}

The basic structural analysis was done by room temperature by symmetric X-ray diffraction and reciprocal space mapping. Magnetization of the Fe$_3$O$_4$ thin films was measured in a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer at 5 K and 300 K. Raman spectroscopy was used for detecting structural transitions below room temperature. A He-Ne laser (633 nm, 17 mW) was focused onto a Fe$_3$O$_4$ film surface through an objective lens ($\times50$, N.A. = 0.5). The scattering spectra were collected by a charge coupled device (CCD) detector (RAMASCOPE, Renishaw). The sample temperature was controlled with a He flow cryostat (Microstat, Oxford Instruments).

For electrical measurements, a 100-nm-thick Pd top electrode was deposited on the Fe$_3$O$_4$ film surface by electron beam evaporation through a stencil mask with 1 mm diameter openings. Aluminum wires were attached to the Pd top electrode pads with silver paste. The sample was placed in a vacuum chamber and cooled using a two-stage cryocooler. The sample temperature was controlled in the range of 8 to 300 K by thermal conduction from the cryocooler and a heater mounted on the sample stage. The resistance was measured by two-point method using a picoammeter (Keithley 487). The dielectric measurements were performed with an impedance bridge (Agilent 4284A) at an excitation voltage of 50 mV.

The Chynoweth method was for dynamic pyroelectric measurements.\textsuperscript{35-37} Chopped light from a diode laser (1.31 $\mu$m, 130 mW) was focused on a Pd top electrode pad, resulting in a modulation of the Fe$_3$O$_4$ capacitance temperature and the generation of a pyroelectric current. The laser chopping was achieved by modulating the diode laser current with an optical power risetime of $\approx 3\mu$s. The sample current was converted to a voltage signal with a current-voltage converter at a transconductance of $10^8$ V/A and measured with a digital voltmeter or a lock-in amplifier. For ferroelectric hysteresis measurements, a 20-nm-thick TiN bottom electrode was inserted between a Fe$_3$O$_4$ film and a SrTiO$_3$(001) substrate in order to promote charge screening during ferroelectric switching. Details of the hysteresis loop measurements can be found in Refs.\textsuperscript{36} and\textsuperscript{37}. A quasi-static pyroelectric measurement was used for measuring the absolute pyroelectric coefficient by slowly heating and cooling a sample in a temperature-stabilized probing chamber.\textsuperscript{35} The pyroelectric current was measured with a picoameter for Fe$_3$O$_4$ samples alternately heated and cooled at a constant rate, ranging from 1 to 6 K/min. The temperature of the film was monitored with a Si diode mounted next to a Fe$_3$O$_4$ capacitor sample.

**III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Fig. 1(a) shows a reciprocal space map around the Nb:SrTiO$_3$(103) reflection, indicating cube-on-cube growth of a (001)-oriented Fe$_3$O$_4$ film on the Nb:SrTiO$_3$(001) substrate. The in-plane and out-of-plane lattice parameters were 8.33 Å and 8.44 Å, consistent with the bulk lattice parameter of a = 8.396 Å.\textsuperscript{42} As expected, the 150 nm-thick Fe$_3$O$_4$ film was almost fully relaxed on the SrTiO$_3$(001) substrate, since the lattice mismatch between Fe$_3$O$_4$ and SrTiO$_3$ is -7.5%.\textsuperscript{42,43}

In general, Fe$_3$O$_4$ films are known to include antiphase boundaries (APBs) caused by random nucleation at the initial growth stage of a spinel on a perovskite substrate. The presence of such boundaries can influence the film characteristics. For example, the magnetization of defect-rich films remains unsaturated even in magnetic fields of 7 T.\textsuperscript{42} The magnetization loops of the Fe$_3$O$_4$ thin films used in this work are shown in Fig. 1(b). The saturated magnetization was approximately 4 $\mu_B$/f.u., matching the bulk crystal value.\textsuperscript{42} The high-field data, plotted in the inset of Fig. 1(b), shows that the magnetization was fully saturated at fields below 2 T, suggesting that the density of APBs in our laser-ablated films was sufficiently low not to influence the attainment of magnetic saturation at this film thickness.\textsuperscript{42,45} Furthermore, the temperature dependence of magnetization (not
FIG. 1. (color online) (a) Reciprocal space map of a magnetite film around the Nb: SrTiO$_3$ (103) substrate reflection. The lattice parameter of bulk Fe$_3$O$_4$ crystal is marked with a cross. (b) Magnetization curves at 5 K and 300 K for Fe$_3$O$_4$ thin films. The saturated magnetization was 4 $\mu_B$/f.u., consistent with the bulk Fe$_3$O$_4$ magnetization. Wide-range magnetization curves measured at (∇) 5 K and (△) 300 K are shown in the inset.

FIG. 2. (color online) Transient profiles of the heating laser power (a), thin film sample temperature (b) and the pyroelectric current (c) for a Pd/Fe$_3$O$_4$/Nb:SrTiO$_3$ junction.

shown) showed that the Verwey transition temperature was 120K, confirming that the Fe$_3$O$_4$ film composition was very close to stoichiometric. Together with the structural analysis, the magnetic behavior showed that bulk-equivalent samples were obtained.

Fig. 2 shows the transient profiles of the heating laser operation (a), sample temperature (b), and pyroelectric current (c) for a Pd/Fe$_3$O$_4$/Nb:SrTiO$_3$ capacitor, measured at an ambient temperature of 67 K and a laser pulse rate of 1 Hz with a 50% duty cycle. The sample temperature variation was measured with a Si diode and separately calculated from the known static temperature dependence by measuring the sample resistance variation during pulsed laser illumination. The temperature change was exponential for both heating and cooling phases of each measurement period. For a laser chopping frequency of 1 Hz, the capacitor temperature variation amplitude was estimated at 1 K, resulting in the generation of negative and positive spike currents, as shown in Fig. 2(c). The current spike is caused by the temperature dependence of the spontaneous polarization in polar materials. The measurement thus shows that spontaneous polarization existed in the Fe$_3$O$_4$ film at the measurement temperature of 67 K.

In order to investigate the relationship between the Verwey transition and the appearance of spontaneous polarization in Fe$_3$O$_4$ films, the temperature dependence of the resistance and the pyroelectric response amplitude were measured for a Pd/Fe$_3$O$_4$/TiN capacitor, as shown in Fig. 3. Fig. 3(a) shows the discontinuous resistance change at 120 K, corresponding to the bulk Verwey transition temperature. Below the Verwey transition temperature, the pyroelectric response increased rapidly with decreasing sample temperature. As shown by the plot in Fig. 3(b), the maximum pyroelectric signal
amplitude was observed at 70 K, below which the pyroelectric response was reduced. The pyroelectric current is generally proportional to the differential of the ferroelectric polarization. The temperature dependence of polarization was estimated by integrating the pyroelectric current, with the assumption that the temperature variation induced by the infrared laser was independent of the measurement temperature. The inset of Fig. 3(b) shows the hysteresis measurement result for a Pd/Fe$_3$O$_4$/TiN junction at 9 K. The pyroelectric current polarity was switched by an applied electric field, indicating the presence of ferroelectricity in the Pd/Fe$_3$O$_4$ junction.

Discontinuities in the pyroelectric response can be seen best in the phase signal obtained from lock-in detection of the sample current. Fig. 3(c) shows that there were two peaks at 20 K and 120 K. The peak at 120 K is due to the Verwey transition and is thus related to the charge ordering of Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ ions. Fig. 4 shows the transient profiles of laser power (a) and pyroelectric currents measured at 110 K (b), 120 K (c), and 130 K (d). At 110 K and 120 K, positive and negative spike currents are clearly visible, revealing the presence of spontaneous polarization in the Fe$_3$O$_4$ thin film. In contrast, the polarization-related current spikes disappear above the Verwey transition temperature and only a contribution from the temperature-dependent leak current through the sample capacitor remains, resulting in the discontinuous modulation of pyroelectric current phase at 120 K in Fig. 3(c).

Raman measurements were performed on Fe$_3$O$_4$/Nb:STO junctions between 10 K and 300 K in order to look for possible crystal symmetry changes in the thin film samples at low temperatures. The temperature dependence of the Raman spectra is shown in Fig. 5(a). A spectrum taken at 200 K, well above the Verwey temperature, is shown in Fig. 5(b). The three main features in the spectrum can be assigned to the A$_{1g}$, T$_{2g}(2)$, and T$_{2g}(3)$ modes by comparing the Raman shifts with literature data. These three peaks did not show significant change between room temperature and the Verwey transition point. At the Verwey transition temperature of 120 K, the crystal structure of Fe$_3$O$_4$ changes from the high-temperature cubic phase to the low-temperature monoclinic symmetry. The effect of the symmetry reduction at the Verwey transition point can be seen in the splitting of the T$_{2g}(3)$ peak into three components and a large increase in the A$_{1g}$ peak intensity. These changes can be seen in the comparison of the 200 K and 50 K Raman spectra in Figs. 5(b) and 5(c). A discontinuity in the Raman spectra occurred at around 115 K, consistent with the temperature dependence of the resistance shown in Fig. 3(a). The Raman measurements reveal only a single structural transition in the 10 K to 300 K temperature range, located at the Verwey temperature. No other structural changes were seen at lower temperatures. This observation is consistent with previous nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and heat capacity results for bulk Fe$_3$O$_4$ crystals. This implies that the peak at 20 K in Fig. 3(c) is not due to a structural transition.

The pyroelectric current amplitude is strongly dependent on the heat capacity and the thermal conductivity of a material and the sample capacitance. The heat capacity of Fe$_3$O$_4$ shows a gradual monotonic decrease below the Verwey transition temperature. In contrast, the thermal conductivity of Fe$_3$O$_4$ shows a gradual monotonic increase below the Verwey temperature down to about 25 K and an exponential drop.

![FIG. 4. (color online) Transient profiles of the heating laser power (a) and the pyroelectric current for a Pd/Fe$_3$O$_4$/Nb:STO junction at 110 K (b), 120 K (c), and 130 K (d).](image)

![FIG. 5. (color online) (a) Temperature dependence of Raman spectra for a Fe$_3$O$_4$ thin film. Individual Raman spectra measured at 200 K (b) and 50 K (c).](image)
at lower temperatures. This suggests that the temperature variation induced by periodic heating with a chopped constant-power laser source may change at around 25 K. However, due to the small thickness of the film, the main contribution to the temperature modulation amplitude comes from the 0.5-mm-thick Nb:STiO$_3$ substrate. This suggests that the thermal conductivity change in Fe$_3$O$_4$ does not have a significant effect on the pyroelectric current and is not the cause for the phase jump in Fig. 3(b) at around 20 K.

A clue to the origin of the phase signal feature at 20 K is offered by the measurement of the device permittivity, shown in Fig. 6, where the real and imaginary components of the magnetite film permittivity are plotted as a function of temperature for several measurement frequencies. A strong dielectric dispersion is visible, with a drop in Fig. 3(b) at around 20 K. However, due to the small thickness of the substrate, this suggests that the thermal conductivity is not the cause for the phase change in Fe$_3$O$_4$.

A similar phenomenon is known to occur in the electronic ferroelectric material, LuFe$_2$O$_4$. A stepwise change in the dielectric constant at temperatures below 50 K, consistent with previous reports, is offered by the measurement of the device permittivity, and has been attributed to electron transfer between Fe$^{3+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ ions. A strong dielectric dispersion is visible, with a drop at lower temperatures. This suggests that the temperature variation induced by periodic heating with a chopped constant-power laser source may change at around 25 K. However, due to the small thickness of the film, the main contribution to the temperature modulation amplitude comes from the 0.5-mm-thick Nb:STiO$_3$ substrate. This suggests that the thermal conductivity change in Fe$_3$O$_4$ does not have a significant effect on the pyroelectric current and is not the cause for the phase jump in Fig. 3(b) at around 20 K.

A clue to the origin of the phase signal feature at 20 K is offered by the measurement of the device permittivity, shown in Fig. 6, where the real and imaginary components of the magnetite film permittivity are plotted as a function of temperature for several measurement frequencies. A strong dielectric dispersion is visible, with a drop at lower temperatures. This suggests that the thermal conductivity change in Fe$_3$O$_4$ does not have a significant effect on the pyroelectric current and is not the cause for the phase jump in Fig. 3(b) at around 20 K.

FIG. 6. (color online) Temperature dependence of (a) the dielectric permittivity $\epsilon'$ and (b) loss $\epsilon''$ for a Pd/Fe$_3$O$_4$/Nb:STiO$_3$ junction for several measurement frequencies.

FIG. 7. (color online) Temperature dependence of (a) $\epsilon'$ and (b) $\epsilon''$ for a Pd/Fe$_3$O$_4$/Nb:STiO$_3$ junction for several measurement frequencies. The circles and solid lines represent the measured data and the Havriliak-Negami fitting results, respectively. (c) A Cole-Cole plot of $\epsilon'$ and $\epsilon''$, yielding estimates for $\epsilon_\infty = 135$ at 25 K and $\epsilon_0 = 1340$ at 37 K. (d) Arrhenius plot of relaxation times obtained from the Havriliak-Negami fitting. The activation energy and prefactor were estimated at 26.8 meV and 1.64 ns.

The dielectric response plots in Fig. 6 show strong dispersion, which is a common feature for order-disorder type ferroelectric materials and has been attributed in Fe$_3$O$_4$ to electron transfer between Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ ions. A similar phenomenon is known to occur in the electronic ferroelectric material, LuFe$_2$O$_4$. In general, the motion of a ferroelectric domain boundary gives rise to the dispersion, indicating the presence of ferroelectric domains and boundary motion. In order to investigate the dielectric dispersion in Fe$_3$O$_4$ films, the frequency dependence of $\epsilon'$ and $\epsilon''$ were measured at 19 K, 25 K, 31 K, and 37 K, and plotted in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b), showing a typical signature of relaxation. A stepwise change in $\epsilon'$ is accompanied by a $\epsilon''$ peak. At higher temperatures, the peak position of $\epsilon''$ shifted to higher frequencies, implying that the relaxation time $\tau$ became smaller, since $\tau=1/(2\pi f \times \text{frequency})$. The empirical Havriliak-Negami function was used to quantitatively analyze the dielectric relaxation behavior.

\[ \epsilon' - i\epsilon'' = \epsilon_\infty + \frac{\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_\infty}{1 + (i\omega\tau)^{\alpha\beta}}, \tag{1} \]

where $\epsilon_\infty$ and $\epsilon_0$ are the high- and low-frequency dielectric constants, respectively, and $\alpha$ and $\beta$ represent the broadening and asymmetry factors of the curves. The permittivities estimated from the Cole-Cole plots in Fig. 7(c) were $\epsilon_\infty = 135$ and $\epsilon_0 = 1340$. After sub-

\[ \tau = \frac{1}{2\pi f \times \text{frequency}} \]
The large dielectric constant above 50 K plays an important role in Pd/Fe₃O₄/Nb:SrTiO₃ junctions for obtaining the strong pyroelectric response shown in Figs. 2 and 3(b). The maximum pyroelectric current in Fig. 3(b) is approximately 7 nA and independent of the polarity of the poling bias. In order to evaluate the absolute value of the pyroelectric coefficient, a quasi-static pyroelectric measurement without the use of laser illumination was performed for a Pd/Fe₃O₄/Nb:SrTiO₃ junction at 60 K. This method can be used to eliminate possible photo-induced currents that may affect the dynamic pyroelectric measurements. Figs. 8(a-c) show the slow sample temperature sweep, the measured sample temperature gradient, and the observed pyroelectric current, respectively. The temperature sweep rate was 6 K/min. At a constant average temperature of 60 K, there was no generated current, as expected for dark conditions. During the heating slope, a constant negative pyroelectric current was generated and a corresponding positive pyroelectric current was observed for the cooling slope. This can be verified by comparing Figs. 8(b) and 8(c). The transient spikes in the differential of the sample temperature in Fig. 8(b) are caused by the finite settling time of the temperature controller and corresponding pyroelectric current spikes can also be seen in Fig. 8(c). The nonideal temperature controller performance thus served as a convenient way to check that the observed slow pyroelectric response is consistent with the faster response measured in the dynamic measurements with chopped optical heating.

The static pyroelectric current was proportional to the temperature sweep rate, as shown in Fig. 8(d). From the slope of a linear fit of the data points, the effective pyroelectric coefficient at 60 K was estimated to be 735 nC/cm²K. This value is comparable to the well-known large pyroelectric coefficient of Pb(Sc,Ta)O₃ films, 600 nC/cm²K, and much larger than, e.g., in PbTiO₃/MgO films, 20 nC/cm²K. We note that the pyroelectric coefficient involves a piezoelectric contribution due to a difference in the thermal expansion coefficients of the Fe₃O₄ film and the Nb:SrTiO₃ substrate.

**IV. CONCLUSION**

We have demonstrated dynamic and static pyroelectric measurements of Fe₃O₄ thin film capacitors. It was shown that the polar state in Fe₃O₄ does indeed appear at the Verwey transition point. The relaxor behavior at low temperatures is consistent with the spontaneous polarization being induced by bond- and site-centered charge ordering of Fe³⁺ and Fe⁴⁺ ions sharing the octahedral B sites.

The dynamic pyroelectric response was influenced by the sharp change of the dielectric permittivity in the 20 K to 50 K range. The dielectric transition at ≈ 20 K...
was confirmed not to be caused by a structural transition. The slow characteristic time scale of the relaxor response at ≈ 1 ns appears to indicate that the charge-ordered relaxor domains involve a large number of iron sites. Static pyroelectric measurement were used to show that magnetite has a very large pyroelectric coefficient of 735 nC/cm²K at 60 K.
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