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Multiple mechanisms for enhanced plasmodesmata density in disparate subtypes of C₄ grasses
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Abstract

Proliferation of plasmodesmata (PD) connections between bundle sheath (BS) and mesophyll (M) cells has been proposed as a key step in the evolution of two-cell C₄ photosynthesis; However, a lack of quantitative data has hampered further exploration and validation of this hypothesis. In this study, we quantified leaf anatomical traits associated with metabolite transport in 18 species of BEP and PACMAD grasses encompassing four origins of C₄ photosynthesis and all three C₄ subtypes (NADP-ME, NAD-ME, and PCK). We demonstrate that C₄ leaves have greater PD density between M and BS cells than C₃ leaves. We show that this greater PD density is achieved by increasing either the pit field (cluster of PD) area or the number of PD per pit field area. NAD-ME species had greater pit field area per M–BS interface than NADP-ME or PCK species. In contrast, NADP-ME and PCK species had lower pit field area with increased number of PD per pit field area than NAD-ME species. Overall, PD density per M–BS cell interface was greatest in NAD-ME species while PD density in PCK species exhibited the largest variability. Finally, the only other anatomical characteristic that clearly distinguished C₄ from C₃ species was their greater Sᵇ value, the BS surface area to subtending leaf area ratio. In contrast, BS cell volume was comparable between the C₃ and C₄ grass species examined.
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Introduction

Most plants obtain sugars by fixing atmospheric CO₂ using the enzyme Rubisco (ribulose bis-phosphate carboxylase oxygenase). This process is inherently inefficient as O₂ competes with CO₂ at the enzyme’s active site, resulting in formation of compounds that cost energy to recycle in a process known as photorespiration. The first product of photosynthetic CO₂ fixation by Rubisco is a three-carbon sugar, hence this process is known as C₃ photosynthesis. Many tropical and sub-tropical plant lineages have independently evolved a more efficient photosynthetic biochemistry, termed C₄ photosynthesis...
Here, CO₂ is first captured in mesophyll (M) cells as C₄ acids, which then diffuse into bundle sheath (BS) cells, where Rubisco is located, and decarboxylated, resulting in greatly elevated local CO₂ concentrations (Furbank and Hatch, 1987). This CO₂-concentrating mechanism reduces photorespiration and enables Rubisco to operate close to its catalytic maximum (von Caemmerer and Furbank, 2003; Sage et al., 2012). It is thought that a reduction in atmospheric CO₂ concentration ~35 million years ago may have driven the evolution of this CO₂-concentrating mechanism (Sage, 2004).

Although many plants conduct C₄ photosynthesis, a range of anatomical and biochemical specialisations distinguish different C₄ lineages. For example, subcategories of C₄ plants are defined by the enzymes that catalyse the decarboxylation of the C₄ acid: NADP malic enzyme (NADP-ME) type, NAD malic enzyme (NAD-ME) type, and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PCK) type (Hatch, 1987; Furbank, 2011). Particularly in grasses, these biochemical differences are further elaborated by anatomical specialisations that include the presence of the mestome sheath between the BS and the vasculature in NAD-ME and PCK types but not in the NADP-ME type (Hattersley and Watson, 1976); suberisation of the BS cells in NADP-ME and PCK types but not in the NAD-ME type (Hattersley and Browning, 1981); and oval chloroplasts positioned centrifugally with mitochondria in BS cells of NADP-ME and most PCK types but elongated chloroplasts positioned centripetally with mitochondria in BS cells of the NAD-ME type (Hattersley and Watson, 1976; Hattersley and Browning, 1981; Dengler et al., 1994; McKown and Dengler, 2007).

Over the last 35 million years, these evolutionary changes in anatomy and biochemistry arose independently at least 66 times (Sage et al., 2012). In grasses, 22–24 distinct C₄ lineages are found within the PACMAD (Panicoideae, Aristidoideae, Chloridoideae, Micrairoideae, Arundinoideae, and Danthonioideae) clade, specifically in the subfamilies Panicoideae, Aristidoideae, Chloridoideae, and Micrairoideae (GPWGII, 2012). These subfamilies comprise many highly productive crop species such as sugar cane, millets, and maize. On the other hand, the subfamilies Bambusoideae, Ehrhartioideae, and Poideae, known as the BEP clade (GPWGII, 2012), contain no C₄ species. These subfamilies include staple food grains such as rice, wheat, and barley. Demand for food crops is predicted to increase by at least 50% in the next 35 years (Hibberd et al., 2008), and yield increases through traditional breeding of these C₃ species will not meet this requirement. Recent breakthroughs in biotechnology may provide the opportunity to engineer the C₄ photosynthetic pathway into C₃ crops, which could potentially meet required improvements to feed the growing human population (Hibberd et al., 2008).

The biochemistry of C₃ and C₄ photosynthesis has been well studied, with a strong focus on either down-regulation/knockout (von Caemmerer and Furbank, 2016) or overexpression (Kajala et al., 2011) of one or more of the known key C₄ photosynthetic enzymes in various plant systems to understand their function. Previous work has shown how leaf anatomical traits can be used to gain insight into C₄ evolution (Hattersley and Watson, 1976; Dengler et al., 1994; McKown and Dengler, 2007). These studies mainly investigated traits related to the specialised vascular anatomy of C₄ plants known as Kranz anatomy: a wreath-like arrangement of BS and M cell layers enclosing the vascular bundles. This anatomical arrangement separates the biochemical CO₂ ‘pump’ in the M from Rubisco in the BS, and provides a barrier to CO₂ diffusion out of the BS compartment (von Caemmerer and Furbank, 2003; Sage et al., 2012).

The requirement for metabolites to move at high rates between specialised C₄ cell types has long been recognised to be important for C₄ photosynthetic function (Osmond, 1971; Hatch and Osmond, 1976). Estimates of flux of photosynthetic metabolites between cell types in C₄ leaves assume that the M–BS cell wall is impermeable due to the secondary thickening of cell walls between these cells (Danila et al., 2016). Hence, these metabolites must move between cell types via plasmodesmata (PD), the symplastic nanochannels that span cell walls and provide both a cytoplasmic and an endoplasmic continuum for metabolite transport (Osmond and Smith, 1976; Robards, 1976; Overall and Blackman, 1996). In leaves, PD are distributed in groups called pit fields. The available data on PD distribution between leaf cells in C₃ and C₄ species show that C₄ plants have a greater density of PD than C₃ plants (Botha, 1992; Danila et al., 2016). A major barrier to quantitatively examining these symplastic connections across diverse species has been the difficulty of the microscopy required to acquire statistically robust data (e.g. Botha, 1992). Recently, a high-throughput technique has been developed to assess PD density (Danila et al., 2016). This technique combines high-resolution scanning electron microscopy (SEM), which allows analysis of individual PD within pit fields, and three-dimensional (3-D) immunolocalisation confocal microscopy for relatively rapid quantification of pit field distribution in a larger surface area across many cells within a leaf. Thus, it is now possible to quantify the PD connecting leaf cells of different C₄ species and determine whether increased PD density at the M–BS interface is a conserved trait of C₄ species and whether this density varies between different C₄ subtypes. In this study, we quantify PD density between leaf cells in a selection of C₃ and C₄ grass species. These species encompass both BEP and PACMAD clades and include four origins of C₄ photosynthesis comprising all C₄ subtypes.

**Materials and methods**

**Plant seeds and growth conditions**

Seeds for *Astrebla lappacea*, *Leptochloa fusca*, *Panicum miliaceum*, *P. antidotale*, and *Urochloa panicoides* were gifted by Oula Ghannoum (Western Sydney University), *Brachypodium distachyon* seeds were obtained from CSIRO Black Mountain, and seeds from *Oryza sativa* cultivar Kitaake, *Hordeum vulgare* cultivar Yagan, *Setaria viridis* cultivar A10, *Paspalum dilatatum*, *Chloris gayana*, and *P. maximum* (also known as *Megathyrsus maximus*) were obtained from the Research School of Biology (Australian National
University). All seeds were germinated according to Danila et al. (2016). Growth conditions were maintained at 28 °C day/22 °C night temperatures, 60% relative humidity, 16 h light/8 h dark with peak at 1000 mmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹ light intensity, and ambient CO₂ concentration.

**Phylogenetic tree construction**

To construct a phylogenetic tree for this analysis the predicted protein sequences for each of the 18 species were subject to orthogroup inference using OrthoFinder (Emms and Kelly, 2015) and a set of 60 single-copy orthogroups containing sequences from at least 16 of the 18 grass species were identified (Supplementary Dataset S1 at JXB online). These protein sequences were aligned using MergeAlign (Collingridge and Kelly, 2012), edited to remove all gap-containing columns, concatenated, and subjected to 1000 replicates of a non-parametric bootstrapped maximum-likelihood phylogenetic inference using FastTree (Price et al., 2010). The full-length concatenated alignment was also used for Bayesian phylogenetic tree inference using mrbayes v 3.2.6 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001). The amino acid model was set to JTT and the covarion was turned on. Convergence was assessed through visual inspection of log-likelihood traces and through analysis of the standard deviation of split frequencies (α²<0.00001).

**Leaf anatomical sample preparation**

All leaf tissue preparations for light microscopy, SEM, and 3-D immunolocalisation confocal microscopy were as described by Danila et al. (2016). The middle portion of the youngest fully expanded leaf from three individual 9-d-old seedlings per species were collected and pooled. From this sample pool, leaf tissues were fixed and processed accordingly. For 3-D immunolocalisation confocal microscopy, leaf tissue was cleared using PEA-CLARITY (Palmer et al., 2015), hybridised with β-1,3-glucan (callose) antibody, followed by Alexa488-tagged secondary antibody, and post-stained with calciofluor white to visualise cell walls (Danila et al., 2016).

**Microscopy**

Transverse sections of all grass leaves were imaged for light microscopy under 10× and 40× objectives using a Nikon Eclipse 80i upright microscope (Nikon Instruments). SEM was performed using a Zeiss Ultra Plus field emission scanning electron microscope at 3 kV. To quantify pit field distribution, two z-stacks from two leaf tissues per species were obtained using a Leica SP8 multiphoton confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems). Details can be found in Danila et al. (2016).

**Quantitative leaf anatomical measurement**

Different from the conventional use of resin-embedded leaf tissue, BS cell area was measured from 25 to 50 individual cells of minor veins using virtual z-sections through entire confocal z-stacks for each species. BS cell volume was calculated by multiplying the BS cell area by BS cell length, which was measured using cell images (n=30 to 160) obtained from the paradermally orientated confocal micrographs of the same leaf z-stacks (Turrell, 1936). Vein diameter and interveinal distance (IVD) were measured using 10 to 25 individual minor veins from light micrographs of transverse leaf sections (see Supplementary Fig. S1). The bundle sheath surface area per unit leaf area, Sb, was calculated using the equation described in Pengelly et al. (2010). To determine cell-to-cell PD connectivity among different subtypes of C₄ photosynthesis, the frequency of PD within pit fields and density of pit fields per cell interface were analysed using SEM and confocal microscopy, respectively, in PCK (n=3 species), NAD-ME (n=4), and NADP-ME (n=6) grasses. For reference, representative grasses from C₃ BEP (n=4) and C₃ PACMAD (n=1) were also measured. Quantitation of PD per µm² pit field (n=18 or more whole pit fields obtained from SEM), percent pit field per cell interface area (n=5 or more maximum intensity projection images generated from two confocal z-stacks), and PD per µm² cell interface were carried out as described in Danila et al. (2016). PD quantification values used for O. sativa, T. aestivum, Z. mays, and S. viridis were as reported in Danila et al. (2016) (see Supplementary Table S1 for specific details). The cross-sectional area of at least 40 individual PD enclosed by the wall collar (Faulkner et al., 2008) (termed as PD area here) located in the M–BS cell interface was measured from SEM images. PD area per M–BS interface area and PD area per unit leaf area were calculated as follows:

PD area per M–BS interface area = PD area × PD per µm² M–BS cell interface

PD area per unit leaf area = PD area per M–BS interface area × S₀

All anatomical measurements were performed using ImageJ software (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were carried out using one-way (photosynthetic type and species) ANOVA (OriginPro 9.1, OriginLab Corporation). Means were grouped using a post hoc Tukey test.

**Results**

**C₄ origin and lineage representation**

A phylogenetic tree of the 18 species was adapted from GPWGII (2012). It is currently thought that this set of species encompass four independent origins of C₄ photosynthesis (GPWGII, 2012). The independent evolutionary origins of C₄ are indicated in Fig. 1 where species are colour-coded according to their photosynthetic type (Table 1); this coding and species order are retained throughout the paper.

**Plasmodesmata in C₄ grasses**

Analysis of pit field size (Fig. 2, Supplementary Table S1) and patterns of pit field distribution (Fig. 3) revealed that NAD-ME species had the largest and most abundant pit fields (in terms of area coverage) on the M–BS cell interface. Both NADP-ME and PCK species had smaller and less abundant pit fields. C₃ species, from both the BEP (Figs 2A–D, 3A–D) and PACMAD (Figs 2K, 3K) clades, had considerably less abundant, smaller pit fields. The large pit fields in NAD-ME species had more widely spaced PD (Fig. 2, Supplementary Table S1). Indeed, NAD-ME species had fewer PD per pit field area on the M–BS cell interface compared to NADP-ME and most PCK species (Fig. 4A, Supplementary Table S1). This was offset by the greater percent pit field area per M–BS cell interface area in NAD-ME species compared to NADP-ME and PCK species (Fig. 4B, Supplementary Table S1). The resulting PD density per M–BS cell interface was greater in NAD-ME compared to NADP-ME species, with large variation observed amongst the PCK species (Fig. 4C, Supplementary Table S1). C₃ species also
had greater PD density on the M–M cell interface relative to the C₃ species but there was no substantial variation among the C₄ subtypes (Figs. 4D–F, Supplementary Table S1). Estimates of the cross-sectional area of individual PD revealed no significant differences between the two photosynthetic pathways and among decarboxylation types (Fig. 4G, Supplementary Table S1). The proportion of the M–BS cell interface populated by PD (Fig. 4H, Supplementary Table S1) and the M–BS PD area per unit leaf area (Fig. 4I, Supplementary Table S1) were greater in C₄ species than C₃ species, and followed the pattern of PD density for the C₄ decarboxylation types.

**Bundle sheath of C₄ grasses**

Our 3-D approach to measure BS cell cross-sectional areas and volumes used confocal micrographs derived from z-stacks of the leaf (Fig. 5). Measurement of the BS cell cross-sectional areas revealed no significant difference between the C₃ and C₄ species examined (Fig. 6A, Supplementary Table S2). Although shorter BS cell length in C₄ species compared to C₃ species was observed (Fig. 6B, Supplementary Table S2), the calculated BS cell volumes were similar for the C₃ and C₄ species examined (Fig. 6C, Supplementary Table S2). Measurements from light micrographs of transverse leaf sections showed NAD-ME and NADP-ME species had the largest and smallest vein diameter, respectively, with C₃ and PCK species being intermediate (Fig. 6D, Supplementary Table S2). As expected, leaf interveinal distance (IVD) was larger in C₃ species than in C₄ species (Fig. 6E, Supplementary Table S2), but among the C₄ species the IVDs were not significantly different (Fig. 6E, Supplementary Table S2). Conversely, BS

| Grass species                      | Photosynthetic type | Subfamily          | Tribe              | C₄ lineage* |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Oryza sativa cv Kitaake           | C₃, BEP             | Ehrhartoideae      | Oryzeae            | Not applicable |
| Brachypodium distachyon           | C₃, BEP             | Pooideae           | Brachypoideae      | Not applicable |
| Hordeum vulgare cv Yagan          | C₃, BEP             | Pooideae           | Triticeae          | Not applicable |
| Triticum aestivum cv Yecora 70    | C₃, BEP             | Pooideae           | Triticeae          | Not applicable |
| Chloris gayana                   | C₄, PCK, PACMAD     | Chloridoideae      | Cynodonteae        | Chloridoideae |
| Leptochloa fusca                  | C₄, NAD-ME, PACMAD  | Chloridoideae      | Cynodonteae        | Chloridoideae |
| Astrebla lappacea                 | C₄, NAD-ME, PACMAD  | Chloridoideae      | Cynodonteae        | Chloridoideae |
| Paspalum dilatatum               | C₄, NADP-ME, PACMAD | Panicoidae         | Papalaeae          | Papalaeae    |
| Sorghum bicolor cv Rooney         | C₄, NADP-ME, PACMAD | Panicoidae         | Andropogoneae      | Andropogoneae |
| Zea mays cv B73                   | C₄, NADP-ME, PACMAD | Panicoidae         | Andropogoneae      | Andropogoneae |
| Panicum bsluscatum                | C₄, PACMAD          | Panicoidae         | Panicaceae         | C₃ sister to MPC |
| Panicum coloratum                 | C₄, NAD-ME, PACMAD  | Panicoidae         | Panicaceae         | MPC          |
| Panicum milaceum                  | C₄, NAD-ME, PACMAD  | Panicoidae         | Panicaceae         | MPC          |
| Panicum maximum                   | C₄, PCK, PACMAD     | Panicoidae         | Panicaceae         | MPC          |
| Urochloa panicoides               | C₄, PCK, PACMAD     | Panicoidae         | Panicaceae         | MPC          |
| Setaria viridis cv A10            | C₄, NADP-ME, PACMAD | Panicoidae         | Panicaceae         | MPC          |
| Cenchrhus ciliaris                 | C₄, NADP-ME, PACMAD | Panicoidae         | Panicaceae         | MPC          |
| Panicum antidotale                | C₄, NADP-ME, PACMAD | Panicoidae         | Panicaceae         | MPC          |

*According to GPWGII, (2012).

MPC, Melinidinae, Panicinae, and Cenchrinae.
Multiple mechanisms for enhanced plasmodesmata density in C₄ grasses

Cell surface area per unit leaf area ($S_b$) of C₄ species was double that of C₃ species (Fig. 6F, Supplementary Table S2).

Discussion

Previous studies have shown that PD are more abundant at the M–BS cell interface in a C₄ leaf compared to a C₃ leaf (Botha, 1992; Danila et al., 2016), presumably to accommodate the higher demand for metabolite transport between cell types in the C₄ leaf that is required to support the C₄ photosynthetic mechanism (Hatch and Osmond, 1976; Weber and von Caemmerer, 2010). However, little is known about the variation of PD density at the M–BS interface amongst C₄ species and the different decarboxylation types. Modelling of metabolite movement between M and BS cells has been hampered by the lack of quantitative data on PD density at this key cellular interface (Danila et al., 2016). To address this, we extended our PD density quantification to a larger subset of grasses representative of C₃ photosynthesis, in both BEP ($n$=4) and PACMAD ($n$=1) clades, and in all
Increased PD density in C₄ grasses is a result of larger pit fields and/or more abundant PD per pit field area

Here we show that C₄ species have evolved greater PD density than C₃ species. Greater symplastic connectivity can be achieved by increasing the pit field area or by increasing the PD per pit field area. Interestingly we saw both solutions in our data, particularly in the M–BS interface. In NAD-ME species we saw an increase in pit field area without increasing PD per pit field area, while in PCK and NADP-ME species we saw an increase in both. We propose that the NAD-ME solution is due to their larger veins and thus their need for larger pit fields to facilitate transport. However, in PCK and NADP-ME types where veins are smaller it is sufficient just to increase PD per pit field area without much increase in pit field area to achieve the same effect. These results indicate that there is genetic plasticity in the way in which increased PD transport is achieved in C₄ grasses.

NAD-ME grasses have the greatest pit field area per M–BS interface among the C₄ decarboxylation types

High PD density at the M–BS interface in NAD-ME grasses was solely determined by increases in pit field area per M–BS area driven by larger pit fields (rather than more numerous small pit fields). This is interesting in light of the unique aspects of NAD-ME BS cell anatomy (Dengler et al., 1994). The distinct characteristics of BS cell chloroplasts and mitochondria in NAD-ME species compared to NADP-ME and PCK types (Dengler et al., 1994) are consistent with the different solution they used to achieve high M–BS PD density. This supports the suggestion that PD function and formation are strongly coordinated with the function of both chloroplasts and mitochondria (Brunkard et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2017). Both the centripetal arrangement of mitochondria in the NAD-ME type (von Caemmerer et al., 2007) provides a longer diffusion pathlength in the NAD-ME leaf (von Caemmerer et al., 2007). While this anatomical attribute minimises CO₂ leakage across the M–BS interface, it may limit the rate of the C₄ cycle activity (von Caemmerer et al., 2007). More PD connections between M and BS cells allows rapid metabolite shuttling for the C₄ cycle in the NAD-ME type (von Caemmerer et al., 2007), therefore sustaining the high C₄ photosynthetic rate (Henderson et al., 1992; Pinto et al., 2014). Correspondingly, the centrifugal arrangement of chloroplasts and mitochondria in the NADP-ME type presents a greater possibility of CO₂ leakage (von Caemmerer et al., 2007). However, fewer PD between M and BS cells in NADP-ME leaves, in combination with the suberin lamella surrounding the BS, minimises this possibility (von Caemmerer et al., 2007). It is interesting that there was almost as much diversity in the PD density at the M–BS interface among PCK species as there was across C₄ grasses as a whole. This wide range of PD densities in the PCK species examined could result from both PCK and NAD deacarboxylation located in the BS (von Caemmerer and Furbank, 2003) together with their considerable variation in BS cell cross-sectional areas, BS chloroplast morphology and positioning, and abundance of mitochondria in the BS (Hattersley and Browning, 1981).
Large PD size between photosynthetic cells is found in leaves of all the grass species examined

Using TEM sections for PD studies presents advantages and disadvantages, highly dependent on the purpose of the study (i.e. ultrastructure versus quantification). For our purpose of analysing many samples, SEM analysis was a more rapid and rigorous way to quantify the cross-sectional area of individual PD in grasses. Random tearing of leaf tissue to reveal M–BS or M–M cell interfaces allowed us to expose the cross-sectional area of PD, almost always within the middle cavity because the tissue tended to separate along the middle lamella between tissue layers. In our previous paper (Danila et al., 2016), our PD area measurements from TEM micrographs used only PD cross-sections with distinct central desmotubules. Similar measurements taken from previously published TEM micrographs of grass leaf PD (fig. 8 from Botha, 1992) generated values similar to both our TEM and SEM results. In fact, the similarity of PD area values we obtained when we compared our TEM and SEM measurements for O. sativa, Z. mays, and S. viridis encouraged us to use SEM in place of TEM for PD area measurement. We find it interesting that the PD areas we obtained in all cases were very large (about 0.006 μm²), the diameter being in the range of 90 nm, while the majority of published values for land-plant PD, many of which were obtained from root PD measurements, have a diameter of 50 nm or smaller (Overall, 1999; Ehlers and Kollmann, 2001).

Anatomical enablers of C₄ photosynthesis

It has been proposed that enlargement of the BS cells in C₄ leaves compared to C₃ leaves and their ‘functionalisation’ by increases in chloroplast number was an early step in C₄ evolution (Sage, 2004). Clearly, in this study we showed that there is little evidence for BS cells in C₄ grasses being larger in volume than their C₃ counterparts. What we saw instead was

Fig. 4. Distribution of plasmodesmata trait values among photosynthetic types. The distribution of nine variables is summarised by boxplots individually for the C₃ BEP and PACMAD (black, n=5), C₄ PCK (green, n=3), C₄ NAD-ME (blue, n=4), and C₄ NADP-ME (magenta, n=6). Box and whiskers represent the 25 to 75 percentiles, and the minimum and maximum distribution. Means are denoted by dots. Letters show the statistical ranking using a post hoc Tukey test among photosynthetic types (different letters indicate differences at P<0.05). Data for individual species are given in Supplementary Table S1. M, mesophyll; BS, bundle sheath; PD, plasmodesmata.
a distinctively large BS surface area to leaf area ratio \((S_b)\) in C4 grass leaves compared to C3 leaves, consistent with the report of Hattersley (1984). We therefore argue that increasing \(S_b\), but not BS cell size, is important in C4 leaf physiology (von Caemmerer et al., 2007). This finding emphasises the importance of looking at the 3-D geometry of cells in addition to the 2-D view for a more global cell perspective and for improved accuracy in terms of reporting measured values (Théroux-Rancourt et al., 2017). Large IVD is also not always a clear indication of C3 anatomy because it can be interpreted as either an increase in interveinal M cell number (as in C3 grasses) or an increase in BS cell cross-sectional area (as in most NAD-ME and PCK grasses). Our observations showed consistently greater PD density on the M–BS interface in all the C4 species examined relative to the C3 species. Another potentially useful diagnostic character is pit field density, as seen in the substantial difference between the NAD-ME types and other decarboxylation types. This could be used to distinguish not only C3 from C4 photosynthesis but also among C4 biochemical types, at least in grasses.

**PD density, metabolite flux, and CO2 diffusion in the C4 BS**

PD density at the M–BS interface affects not only metabolite diffusion but also leakage of inorganic carbon and O2 out of the BS compartment, an important determinant of the efficiency of C4 photosynthesis (von Caemmerer and Furbank, 2003). While it is difficult to directly determine the CO2 concentration in the BS of C4 plants, this parameter can be modelled using certain assumptions concerning leaf cell anatomical dimensions, inorganic carbon equilibration, and diffusion properties of membranes and PD (Furbank and Hatch, 1987; Jenkins et al., 1989; von Caemmerer and Furbank, 2003). Based on permeability coefficients determined for metabolites moving into isolated BS cells through PD (Weiner et al., 1988) and for CO2 in C4 leaves and isolated BS cells (Furbank et al., 1989; Jenkins et al., 1989). Jenkins et al. (1989) calculated that approximately 40% of the CO2 leakage from the BS occurs via an apoplastic route and 60% via the PD. For oxygen, which moves poorly through lipid bilayers and polymeric barriers such as...
suberin (Jenkins et al., 1989), the majority of diffusion will be through the aqueous route, i.e. via PD. Likewise, bicarbonate moves poorly through lipid membranes and will diffuse out of the BS mostly via PD (Furbank et al., 1989). Given these modelled values for inorganic carbon and O$_2$ movement at the M–BS interface, the 'optimal' PD density for a C$_4$ leaf would be a compromise between high density for metabolite transport and dissipation of O$_2$ (in species with PSII in the BS chloroplast), and leakage of inorganic carbon from the BS compartment. The quantification of PD density made here is a first step towards enabling more accurate modelling of metabolite flux and leakage of inorganic carbon from the BS across a range of species and decarboxylation types (Wang et al., 2014). The key parameter required for predicting metabolite gradients and leaf cell metabolite concentrations required to support the C$_4$ pathway is the proportion of M–BS interface comprised of PD pores (Osmond, 1971; Hatch and Osmond, 1976). The current work provides these data for a range of species. The values provide an upper limit given that not all PD may be functional and the obstruction of the PD area by the desmotubule has not been taken into account. Nevertheless, these data facilitate modelling of inorganic carbon leakage rates from the BS without resorting to the use of permeability values obtained from isolated cells (Jenkins et al., 1989). This will be particularly useful for interspecific comparisons given the diversity in suberisation of the M–BS interface between species and the diverse arrangements of organelles and cellular sites of C$_4$ acid decarboxylation across the three biochemical types.

Evolution of symplastic connections to the BS in C$_4$ leaves

It has been reported previously that the transition from C$_3$ to C$_4$ photosynthesis involved a series of genetic alterations, mostly gain of function, leading to numerous changes in plant anatomy and biochemistry (Sage et al., 2012; Bräutigam et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014; Emms et al., 2016). Recently it was suggested that evolution of C$_4$ photosynthesis has involved a change in the apoplastic transport of sugars in the BS cells of C$_4$ leaves (Emms et al., 2016). A sugar effluxer (or SWEET protein) appears to have been recruited from a relatively minor role in the M cells of C$_3$ grasses to become one of the most highly expressed transcripts in the C$_4$ BS cells (Emms et al., 2016). While we have not as yet identified the genetic changes responsible, we show here that PD density was at least doubled in C$_4$ species compared to C$_3$ species, a clear indication of enhanced expression of PD developmental genes. However, to date, the genes underpinning PD development remain largely unknown (Brunkard and Zambryski, 2017). It is intriguing to consider whether the proposed evolutionary pressures to recruit a highly expressed sugar effluxer to the BS cells of C$_4$ plants were linked to the proliferation of PD at the M–BS interface in C$_4$ leaves. Recent data from genome-wide gene-tree/species-tree reconciliation in grasses has revealed multiple functional categories of genes, of which 10 genes were found to have plausible association with the symplastic transport function (Emms et al., 2016). This leads us to be optimistic that the discovery of candidate genes controlling PD development may be not too far away.
Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at JXB online. Dataset S1. Single-copy orthologous gene sequences used for phylogenetic tree construction (supplied as a BZIP2 file).

Fig. S1. Light micrographs of transverse sections of C3 and C4 grass leaves.

Table S1. Quantitative plasmodesmata traits of the 18 grass species examined.

Table S2. Leaf anatomical traits quantified in the 18 grass species examined.
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