Establishment and verification of a nomogram prediction model of hypertension risk in Xinjiang Kazakhs
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Abstract

Hypertension is the main risk factor for cardiovascular and renal diseases. It is of great importance to develop effective risk prediction models to identify high-risk groups of hypertension. This study is to establish and verify a nomogram model for predicting the risk of hypertension among Kazakh herders in Xinjiang, China.

This is a prospective cohort study. Totally, 5327 Kazakh herders from the Nanshan pastoral area of Xinjiang were enrolled. They were randomly divided into the modeling set of 3729 cases (70%) and the validation set of 1598 cases (30%). In the modeling set, univariate analysis, least absolute shrinkage and selection operator regression and multivariate Logistic regression were used to analyze the influencing factors of hypertension, and a nomogram prediction model was constructed. We then validated the model in the validation set, and evaluated the accuracy of the model using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) and calibration curve.

Based on univariate analysis, least absolute shrinkage and selection operator regression and multivariate logistic regression analysis, we identified 14 independent predictors of hypertension in the modeling set, including age, smoking, alcohol consumption, baseline body mass index, baseline diastolic blood pressure, baseline systolic blood pressure, daily salt intake, yak-butter intake, daily oil intake, fruit and vegetable intake, low-density lipoprotein, cholesterol, abdominal circumference, and family history. The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve of the modeling set and the verification set was 0.803 and 0.809, respectively. Moreover, the calibration curve showed a higher agreement between the nomogram prediction and the actual observation of hypertension.

The risk prediction nomogram model has good predictive ability and could be used as an effective tool for the risk prediction of hypertension among Kazakh herders in Xinjiang.

Abbreviations: AUC = area under the ROC curve, BMI = body mass index, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, HDL = high-density lipoprotein, LASSO = least absolute shrinkage and selection operator, LDL = low-density lipoprotein, ROC = receiver operating characteristic, TC = total cholesterol, TG = triglyceride.
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1. Introduction

Hypertension is the main risk factor for cardiovascular and renal diseases.⁠¹⁸⁹ The common risk factors for hypertension include age, smoking, alcohol consumption, and family history. Risk prediction models can effectively identify high-risk groups of hypertension. Studies have shown that the more risk factors included in the intervention plan, the lower the average risk.⁠⁴⁵ However, the predictive value of different models is variable, leading to certain limitations on their clinical application.⁠⁷⁸ For example, the Framingham Heart Study in the United States developed a hypertension risk prediction model, which can be used for short-term follow-up and for assessment of the absolute risk of individual hypertension.⁠⁷ However, since 99% of Framingham Research Center participants are of European descent, the Framingham prediction function cannot be extrapolated to other populations without external verification.⁠⁷ Zheng et al⁠⁹ found that the Framingham model had low predictive value in the rural population of Northeast China. Therefore, prediction models in different regions need to be constructed in conjunction with the risk factors of hypertension in the local population.

At present, the commonly used analysis methods for risk prediction include Logistic regression analysis, Cox regression, artificial neural network, multilevel model, linear mixed model, Joint model analysis method, nomogram, and support vector machine model.⁠¹⁰,¹¹ Among these models, the nomogram model...
allows for personalized and evidence-based risk assessment, and has gradually become a reliable and convenient tool for quantifying risks. Kim et al. constructed a hypertension prediction model based on the Korean population, in which the included variables were age, body mass index (BMI), stroke, and family history. In addition, Shen et al. constructed a nomogram model of the risk of carotid atherosclerosis in patients with hypertension. However, currently, there is no report on the construction of a nomogram model of the incidence of hypertension in China.

Kazhks in the pastoral areas of Xinjiang are among the 5 ethnic groups with the highest prevalence of hypertension. They have special living environment and unique diet and lifestyle. It is of great importance to develop effective methods to assess the incidence of hypertension in Kazakh herders and to construct a predictive model suitable for Kazakh herders. Here, in this study, we constructed a nomogram model for hypertension risk prediction suitable for Kazakhs in Nanshan pastoral area, Xinjiang.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Subjects

This is a prospective cohort study. We recruited Kazakh herders who received physical examination at 6 health centers/health service centers in 3 townships under the jurisdiction of Urumqi County, Xinjiang from January 2008 to December 2018, and established a dynamic cohort. We adopted stratified cluster sampling method and included the research objects according to the population of each township in the population of the entire Urumqi County. The inclusion criteria were: age ≥18years; residence time in Xinjiang Nanshan Pastoral Area ≥3years; complete follow-up data; Kazakh herdsman. The exclusion criteria were: refusal to participate in the follow-up; patients with hypertension, stroke, coronary heart disease, and diabetes; patients with severe diseases such as liver cirrhosis, cancer, nephrotic syndrome, renal failure, respiratory failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary emphysema, idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura, aplastic anemia, etc; patients with secondary hypertension. Exclusion of secondary hypertension was based on the guidelines for prevention and treatment of hypertension in China. In detail, patients with history of nephritis or anemia that suggests renal parenchymal hypertension; myasthenia and other hypokalemia signs that suggest primary aldosteronism; paroxysmal headaches, palpitations, and sweating that suggest pheochromocytoma; snoring accompanied by apnea; and, long-term use of drugs that increases blood pressure, were excluded. Written informed consent was obtained from every patient and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Clinical Affiliated Hospital of Xinjiang Medical University (Approval No: 20140304-150).

2.2. Baseline data

The baseline data was obtained from the medical records of physical examination and questionnaires. The physical examination included basic information such as gender, age, and education level. The self-designed “Questionnaire for Hypertension and Risk Factors of Kazhks in Xinjiang Pastoral Areas” was used to conduct a baseline survey (Cronbach α 0.882). The items of questionnaire included smoking, alcohol consumption, intake of vegetable, salt, milk, and tea, and family history of hypertension, etc. The baseline survey was completed from January 2008 to December 2010. A total of 12,786 subjects participated in the baseline survey and the qualified questionnaires were obtained from 11,252 subjects (the response rate was 88%).

2.3. Follow-up

Among the subjects of the baseline survey, 4950 people with hypertension, coronary heart disease, diabetes, and stroke were excluded, and 6302 who met the inclusion criteria were followed up every 2 years. The follow-up outcome was hypertension. The follow-up lasted for 1.1 to 8.5 years, with a median follow-up time of 4.3 years. In the end, 5813 cases completed follow-up and 489 were lost to follow-up. During the follow-up period, there were 1985 new cases of hypertension. We excluded 213 subjects with incomplete blood pressure measurements and 273 subjects with incomplete outcome during follow-up. Finally, 5327 eligible subjects were included in this study.

2.4. Physical examination and laboratory examination

The physical examination included height, weight, blood pressure, and BMI. The blood pressure was measured by using a uniformly calibrated mercury sphygmomanometer. Each subject was measured at least twice, and the average value in mm Hg was used for data analysis. Besides, DP180 automatic biochemical analyzer (Dongtang Electronic Technology Co., Ltd., Guangdong, China) was used to detect the blood biochemical indicators of high-density lipoprotein (HDL), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), triglyceride (TG), and total cholesterol (TC), etc.

2.5. Definitions

The diagnosis of hypertension was based on the “Guidelines for the Prevention and Treatment of Hypertension in China (2018)” Hypertension was diagnosed when there was systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥140 mm Hg and/or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥90 mm Hg in the absence of antihypertensive drugs, or when patients had a history of high blood pressure and were currently taking antihypertensive drugs. The new-onset hypertension was diagnosed when the average SBP ≥140 mm Hg and/or average DBP ≥90 mm Hg of 3 times, and/or when patients received antihypertensive medication within the past 2 weeks. Smoking history was defined as inhalation of smoke produced by cigarette burning for at least 1 day (>15 min/d) per week for more than 3 years or cumulative smoking of >100 cigarettes. Alcohol consumption was defined as ≥50 ml/time, liquor (1 bottle of beer ≈100 ml liquor), on average ≥1 time/wk per week for 1 year. Individual daily salt consumption (g) was calculated as total annual salt consumption of the family (g)/number of family members/365 (d). Dyslipidemia referred to TC, TG, and LDL levels higher than normal standards or HDL lower than normal standards under fasting state. The normal values of blood lipids were: TC < 5.18 mmol/l; TG < 1.70 mmol/l; LDL < 3.37 mmol/l; HDL ≥1.04 mmol/l.

2.6. Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed by using R software 3.6.0 (http://www.R-project.org, the R Foundation, Bell Laboratories, Holmdel, NJ, USA). The statistical analysis of this study was carried out strictly
3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of included subjects

A total of 5327 subjects were finally included in the analysis, including 2499 (46.91%) males and 2828 (53.09%) females. The incidence of hypertension was 11.75/100 person-years (95% CI: 11.27-12.24). They were divided into the modeling set (3729 cases) and the validation set (1598 cases) using random split sampling (split ratio: 7:3). The 2 groups had no statistically significant differences in basic characteristics, such as gender, age, smoking, BMI, blood pressure, salt intake, and yak-butter intake (Table 1, $P > .05$).

3.2. Analysis of independent risk factors in the modeling set

In the univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis, we included 22 variables, including gender, age, smoking, alcohol consumption, BMI, baseline SBP, baseline DBP, daily salt intake, yak-butter intake, daily oil intake, milk tea intake, exercise, fruit and vegetable intake, high-density lipoprotein, low-density lipoprotein, cholesterol, triglycerides, abdominal circumference, neck circumference, central obesity, prehypertension, and family history (Table 2). Using hypertension as the dependent variable and related factors as the independent variables, univariate logistic regression analysis showed that the included 22 variables were all related to hypertension. After that, we further included the significant variables of the univariate logistic regression analysis into the LASSO regression. After LASSO regression analysis (Fig. 1) and multivariate logistic regression analysis, we finally identified 14 independent predictors of hypertension in the modeling set, including age, smoking, alcohol consumption, baseline BMI classification, baseline DBP, baseline SBP, daily salt intake, yak-butter intake, daily oil intake, fruit and vegetable intake, low-density lipoprotein, cholesterol, abdominal circumference, and family history.

3.3. Construction of nomogram model

Based on the results of multivariate logistic regression analysis, we used hypertension as the outcome, and described the impact of each variable on the risk of hypertension in the form of a nomogram. Finally, we established a nomogram prediction model related to the occurrence of hypertension (Fig. 2).

3.4. Verification and calibration of the nomogram model for the risk prediction of hypertension among Kazakh herders

In order to verify the predictive ability of the nomogram model for the risk of hypertension, ROC analysis was performed. Figure 3 shows that AUC of the modeling set and validation set was 0.803 and 0.809, respectively. In addition, respectively, the optimal critical value in the ROC curve was 0.309 (0.628, 0.833) in the modeling set and 0.359 (0.676, 0.812) in the validation set. The calibration curve was used to assess the calibration degree of the nomogram model in the modeling set and validation set. The results of the modeling set (Fig. 4A) and the validation set (Fig. 4B) showed that the actual prediction and the simulation prediction were basically the same, indicating a good agreement between the nomogram prediction and the actual observation result of hypertension. These results suggest that the model has good predictive performance.

4. Discussion

The results of the Framingham Research Center show that everyone will experience the risk of high blood pressure to varying degrees in their lifetime. The conceal pathogenesis of hypertension determines the importance of hypertension prevention. The Framingham model is a very practical tool for predicting the risk of hypertension, and has achieved remarkable results in the prevention of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases. Usually, the risk factors of hypertension are clustered and also accompanied by synergistic effects. Therefore, appropriate strategies to stratify and predict the risk of hypertensive populations can maximize the benefits of hypertension prevention and control. The American Heart Association recommended the Framingham model as a first-level prediction tool for hypertension risk. The prevalence of essential hypertension in the Kazakhs ranks among the top 3 of all ethnic groups in China. Our previous results showed that the Kazakhs in pastoral areas in Xinjiang had high prevalence of blood pressure (as high as 48.88%). However, it has been verified that the Framingham model has a poor fit among Kazakh herders in Xinjiang. Thus, in order to better predict the risk of hypertension among Kazakh herders, we constructed a more suitable hypertension risk prediction model for Kazakhs in Xinjiang. The nomogram is a convenient graph to show the mathematical model, which combines various important factors to predict a specific end event. The nomogram model can graphically visualize logistic regression results, and can be intuitively used to predict individual disease risks. By constructing a nomogram model for predicting the risk of hypertension among the Kazakh herders in the pastoral areas of Xinjiang, the screening of high-risk groups of hypertension among the Kazakh herders in the pastoral areas of Xinjiang can be improved, health resources can be optimized, work efficiency can be improved, and the incidence of diseases can be reduced.

Kazakhs in Xinjiang have unique regional characteristics and special diet and living habits, which cause differences in the risk factors of hypertension. Our previous results found that among Kazakh herders in Xinjiang, the factors of age, smoking,
| Items                                                                 | Total (n=5327) | Modeling set (n1 = 3729) | Validation set (n2 = 1598) | P value  |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| Gender, no. (%)                                                     |                |                          |                             | .7575    |
| Male                                                                | 2499 (46.91)   | 1755 (47.06)             | 744 (46.56)                 |          |
| Female                                                              | 2828 (53.09)   | 1974 (52.94)             | 854 (53.44)                 |          |
| Age, median (yr)                                                    | 50 (41, 60.5)  | 50 (41, 61)              | 50 (41, 60)                 | .6825    |
| Smoking, no. (%)                                                    |                |                          |                             | .3755    |
| No                                                                  | 4303 (80.78)   | 3000 (80.45)             | 1303 (81.54)                |          |
| Yes                                                                 | 1024 (19.22)   | 729 (19.55)              | 295 (18.46)                 |          |
| Drinking, no. (%)                                                   |                |                          |                             | 1        |
| No                                                                  | 4307 (80.85)   | 3015 (80.85)             | 1292 (80.85)                |          |
| Yes                                                                 | 1020 (19.15)   | 714 (19.15)              | 306 (19.15)                 |          |
| BMI, no. (%)                                                        |                |                          |                             | .952     |
| <24.0 kg/m²                                                         | 2240 (42.05)   | 1563 (41.91)             | 677 (42.37)                 |          |
| 24-28 kg/m²                                                         | 2196 (41.22)   | 1540 (41.3)              | 656 (41.05)                 |          |
| ≥28 kg/m²                                                           | 891 (16.73)    | 626 (16.79)              | 265 (16.58)                 |          |
| Baseline diastolic blood pressure, median (mm Hg)                   | 79 (70, 83)    | 80 (70, 83)              | 78 (70, 83)                 | .2437    |
| Baseline systolic blood pressure, median (mm Hg)                    | 128 (117, 134) | 128 (117, 134)           | 128 (118, 134)              | .6369    |
| Daily salt intake exceeds 16.66 g/d, no. (%)                        |                |                          |                             | .1736    |
| No                                                                  | 2414 (45.32)   | 1713 (45.94)             | 701 (43.87)                 |          |
| Yes                                                                 | 2913 (54.68)   | 2016 (54.06)             | 897 (56.13)                 |          |
| Yaks-butter intake, no. (%)                                         |                |                          |                             | .7064    |
| Everyday                                                            | 743 (13.95)    | 507 (13.6)               | 236 (14.77)                 |          |
| 4-6 times/wk                                                        | 881 (16.54)    | 625 (16.76)              | 256 (16.02)                 |          |
| 1-3 times/wk                                                        | 2142 (40.21)   | 1512 (40.55)             | 630 (39.42)                 |          |
| Several times/mo                                                    | 946 (17.76)    | 661 (17.73)              | 285 (17.83)                 |          |
| None                                                                | 615 (11.54)    | 424 (11.37)              | 191 (11.95)                 |          |
| Daily edible oil intake, no. (%)                                    |                |                          |                             | .547     |
| 20-25 g                                                             | 910 (17.08)    | 636 (17.06)              | 274 (17.15)                 |          |
| 25-30 g                                                             | 1250 (23.47)   | 867 (23.25)              | 383 (23.97)                 |          |
| 30-35 g                                                             | 1290 (24.22)   | 924 (24.78)              | 366 (22.9)                  |          |
| 35-40 g                                                             | 569 (10.68)    | 403 (10.81)              | 166 (10.39)                 |          |
| Unknown                                                             | 1308 (24.55)   | 899 (24.11)              | 409 (25.59)                 |          |
| Exercise, no. (%)                                                   |                |                          |                             | .6751    |
| No                                                                  | 4994 (93.75)   | 3492 (93.64)             | 1502 (93.99)                |          |
| Yes                                                                 | 333 (6.25)     | 237 (6.36)               | 96 (6.01)                   |          |
| Fruits and vegetables intake, no. (%)                               |                |                          |                             | .5075    |
| No                                                                  | 2655 (53.59)   | 1987 (53.29)             | 868 (54.32)                 |          |
| Yes                                                                 | 2472 (46.41)   | 1742 (46.71)             | 730 (45.68)                 |          |
| Excessive milk tea, no. (%)                                         |                |                          |                             | .1609    |
| No                                                                  | 2112 (39.65)   | 1455 (39.02)             | 657 (41.11)                 |          |
| Yes                                                                 | 3215 (60.35)   | 2274 (60.98)             | 941 (58.89)                 |          |
| Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, median (IQR)                   | 2.83 (2.09, 3.47) | 2.72 (2.09, 3.47)         | 2.93 (2.09, 3.47)           | .2844    |
| High-density lipoprotein cholesterol, median (IQR)                  | 1.32 (1.02, 1.5) | 1.34 (1.02, 1.52)         | 1.31 (1.08, 1.48)           | .0484    |
| Triglycerides, median (IQR)                                         | 1.26 (0.94, 1.64) | 1.26 (0.94, 1.64)         | 1.26 (0.94, 1.64)           | .7483    |
| Total cholesterol, median (IQR)                                     | 4.87 (4.25, 5.57) | 4.87 (4.25, 5.56)         | 4.87 (4.27, 5.62)           | .2433    |
| Abdominal circumference, median (IQR)                               | 90 (84, 99)    | 90 (84, 99)              | 90 (83, 99)                 | .1147    |
| Neck circumference, median (IQR)                                    | 35 (25, 40)    | 35 (25, 40)              | 35 (25, 40)                 | .4826    |
| Central obesity, no. (%)                                            |                |                          |                             | .0422    |
| No                                                                  | 2356 (44.23)   | 1615 (43.31)             | 741 (46.37)                 |          |
| Yes                                                                 | 2971 (55.77)   | 2114 (56.69)             | 857 (53.63)                 |          |
| Prehypertension, no. (%)                                            |                |                          |                             | .58      |
| No                                                                  | 1590 (29.85)   | 1122 (30.09)             | 468 (29.29)                 |          |
| Yes                                                                 | 3737 (70.15)   | 2607 (69.91)             | 1130 (70.71)                |          |
| Family history, no. (%)                                             |                |                          |                             | 0.4581   |
| Father                                                              | 3655 (68.61)   | 2544 (68.22)             | 1111 (69.52)                |          |
| Mother                                                               | 1363 (25.59)   | 972 (26.07)              | 391 (24.47)                 |          |
| Both father and mother                                              | 309 (5.8)      | 213 (5.71)               | 96 (6.01)                   |          |
| Hypertension, no. (%)                                               |                |                          |                             | .1949    |
| 0                                                                   | 3342 (62.74)   | 2318 (62.16)             | 1024 (64.08)                |          |
| 1                                                                   | 1985 (37.26)   | 1411 (37.84)             | 574 (35.92)                 |          |

BMI = body mass index, IQR = inter-quartile range.
Table 2
Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis.

| Characteristics                        | Univariate analysis |          | Multivariate analysis |          |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------|
|                                        | OR (95% CI)         | P value  | OR (95% CI)           | P value  |
| Gender, no. (%)                        |                     |          |                       |          |
| Male                                   | 1                   | –        |                       | –        |
| Female                                 | 0.80 (0.70-0.92)    | .001     | –                     | –        |
| Age, median (IQR)                      | 1.04 (1.04, 1.09)   | <.0001   | 1.03 (1.02-1.03)       | <.0001   |
| Smoking, no. (%)                       |                     |          |                       |          |
| No                                     | 1                   | –        | 1.52 (1.25-1.86)       | <.0001   |
| Yes                                    | 1.59 (1.35, 1.87)   | <.0001   | 1.52 (1.25-1.86)       | <.0001   |
| Drinking, no. (%)                      |                     |          |                       |          |
| No                                     | 1                   | –        | 1.53 (1.25-1.86)       | <.0001   |
| Yes                                    | 1.40 (1.19, 1.65)   | <.0001   | 1.23 (1.01-1.51)       | .00399   |
| BMI, no. (%)                           |                     |          |                       |          |
| <24.0 kg/m²                            | 1                   | –        |                       | –        |
| 24-28 kg/m²                            | 1.66 (1.42, 1.92)   | <.0001   | 1.39 (1.16-1.66)       | .0003    |
| ≥28 kg/m²                              | 3.51 (2.90, 4.26)   | <.0001   | 2.13 (1.68-2.71)       | <.0001   |
| Baseline diastolic blood pressure, median (IQR) | 1.11 (1.10-1.12) | <.0001   | 1.07 (1.05-1.08)       | <.0001   |
| Baseline systolic blood pressure, median (IQR) | 1.08 (1.07-1.09) | <.0001   | 1.04 (1.03-1.05)       | <.0001   |
| Daily salt intake exceeds 16.66 g/d, no. (%) |                     |          |                       |          |
| No                                     | 1                   | –        | 1.46 (1.28-1.67)       | <.0001   |
| Yes                                    | 1.46 (1.28-1.67)    | <.0001   | 1.46 (1.25-1.71)       | <.0001   |
| Yak-butter intake, no. (%)             |                     |          |                       |          |
| Everyday                               | 1                   | –        | 1.46 (1.28-1.67)       | <.0001   |
| 4-6 times/wk                           | 0.71 (0.56-0.90)    | .005     | 0.66 (0.50-0.88)       | .0046    |
| 1-3 times/wk                           | 0.55 (0.45-0.68)    | <.0001   | 0.58 (0.46-0.74)       | <.0001   |
| Several times/mo                       | 0.45 (0.35-0.57)    | <.0001   | 0.49 (0.37-0.65)       | <.0001   |
| None                                   | 0.45 (0.34-0.59)    | <.0001   | 0.54 (0.39-0.74)       | <.0001   |
| Daily edible oil intake, no. (%)       |                     |          |                       |          |
| 20-25 g                                | 1                   | –        |                       | –        |
| 25-30 g                                | 1.59 (1.27-1.99)    | <.0001   | 1.51 (1.17-1.97)       | .0019    |
| 30-35 g                                | 1.72 (1.38-2.15)    | <.0001   | 1.79 (1.38-2.32)       | <.0001   |
| 35-40 g                                | 2.10 (1.61-2.74)    | <.0001   | 2.25 (1.64-3.08)       | <.0001   |
| Unknown                                | 2.56 (2.05-3.19)    | <.0001   | 1.92 (1.49-2.49)       | <.0001   |
| Exercise, no. (%)                      |                     |          |                       |          |
| No                                     | 1                   | –        |                       | –        |
| Yes                                    | 0.72 (0.54-0.95)    | .0215    | –                     | –        |
| Fruits and vegetables intake, no. (%)  |                     |          |                       |          |
| No                                     | 1                   | –        |                       | –        |
| Yes                                    | 0.70 (0.61-0.80)    | <.0001   | 0.81 (0.69-0.95)       | .0077    |
| Excessive milk tea, no. (%)            |                     |          |                       |          |
| No                                     | 1                   | –        |                       | –        |
| Yes                                    | 1.30 (1.13-1.49)    | .0002    | –                     | –        |
| Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, median (IQR) | 1.27 (1.19-1.36) | <.0001   | 1.16 (1.07-1.25)       | .0002    |
| High-density lipoprotein cholesterol, median (IQR) | 0.76 (0.66-0.87) | <.0001   | –                     | –        |
| Triglycerides, median (IQR)            | 1.48 (1.31-1.67)    | <.0001   | –                     | –        |
| Total cholesterol, median (IQR)        | 1.24 (1.18-1.30)    | <.0001   | 1.07 (1.02-1.13)       | .0090    |
| Abdominal circumference, median (IQR)  | 1.04 (1.04-1.05)    | <.0001   | 1.01 (1.00-1.02)       | .0036    |
| Neck circumference, median (IQR)       | 1.01 (1.01-1.02)    | .0003    | –                     | –        |
| Central obesity, no. (%)               |                     |          |                       |          |
| No                                     | 1                   | –        |                       | –        |
| Yes                                    | 2.17 (1.89-2.49)    | <.0001   | –                     | –        |
| Prehypertension, no. (%)               |                     |          |                       |          |
| No                                     | 1                   | –        |                       | –        |
| Yes                                    | 3.97 (3.35-4.72)    | <.0001   | –                     | –        |
| Family history, no. (%)                |                     |          |                       |          |
| Father                                 | 1                   | –        |                       | –        |
| Mother                                 | 1.99 (1.71-2.31)    | <.0001   | 1.16 (0.97-1.39)       | .097     |
| Both father and mother                 | 4.97 (3.69-6.77)    | <.0001   | 2.96 (2.08-4.24)       | <.0001   |

BMI = body mass index, CI = confidence interval, IQR = inter-quartile range, OR = odds ratio.
alcohol consumption, salt consumption, family history of hypertension, prehypertension, abdominal circumference, BMI, total cholesterol, triacylglycerol, and high-density lipoprotein were related to the onset of hypertension. Unhealthy lifestyles such as smoking and high salt intake could increase the risk of hypertension among Kazakh herders in pastoral areas in Xinjiang.\[11\] The dietary salt intake of most countries in the world is estimated to be 9 to 12g/d.\[29\] However, the daily salt intake of the Kazakh population in the Nanshan pastoral area of Xinjiang was about 16.66g, which is nearly 3 times the recommended salt intake of the WHO. The results of this study are consistent with the study of Rust et al.,\[30\] suggesting that high salt intake is the main risk factor for hypertension.

In addition, we found that if the daily intake of fruits and vegetables was more than 500g, the risk of hypertension was reduced. In a cohort study of dietary factors and chronic diseases conducted by Fang et al.\[31\] the incidence of hypertension was reduced by 1.9% for every day of fruit consumption. In addition, if there was every day of intake of fruits and vegetables, the incidence of high blood pressure decreased by 1.2%. BMI and waist circumference were independent risk factors for the risk of hypertension in female and male herders, respectively.\[11\] Herders have long used animal fats to make various pastries and stir-fries. Few intake of fresh fruits and vegetables and excessive intake of high-fat diets such as bacon, bacon, yak-butter, etc, causes fat to accumulate in the body, accompanied by

| Points | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |
|-------|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Age   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Smoking | No | Yes |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Alcohol |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| BMI   | <24 | 24-28 | >=28 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Diastolic pressure | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 |    |    |    |    |    |
| Systolic pressure | 60 | 70 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Salt more than 10.66 | No |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Butter |    | 4-6/W |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Oil   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Fruit and vegetables | 20-25g | 30-35g | 35-40g |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| LDL cholesterol | Yes |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| TC    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Abdominal girth | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |    |    |
| Family history | 20 | 40 | 60 | 80 | 100 | 120 | 140 | 160 | 180 |    |    |
| Total Points |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Linear Predictor |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Diagnostic possibility |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
changes in serological indicators, such as abnormal blood lipids, which are importantly related to vascular diseases such as high blood pressure.\(^{31-35}\) Here, we found that abnormal total cholesterol in serum was also associated with high blood pressure. This may be related with their dietary lifestyles of high fat diets. However, these dietary lifestyles related to hypertension have not attracted attention among herders. Therefore, a systematic analysis of the factors affecting hypertension of Kazakh herders and screening of risk factors for hypertension to construct a risk prediction model can provide a basis for promoting Kazakh herders in Xinjiang pastoral areas to improve their unhealthy diet and lifestyle.

Here, in the Kazakh hypertension risk prediction nomogram model constructed by this study, we analyzed the risk factors based on univariate analysis, LASSO regression and multivariate logistic regression. In addition to the traditional 7 risk factors, including gender, age, SBP, DBP, smoking, family history, and BMI. We also included related risk factors such as salt intake, oil intake, yak-butter intake, and blood lipids. The results showed that in the nomogram model of hypertension prediction, the AUC of the modeling set and the validation set was 0.803 and 0.809, respectively. The best critical value in the ROC curve was 0.309 (0.628, 0.833) in the modeling set and 0.359 (0.676, 0.812) in the verification set. The AUC of 0.7 to 0.8 is considered acceptable

---

**Figure 3.** The ROC curve determined by the nomogram model of the modeling set and the validation set. AUC = area under the ROC curve, ROC = receiver operating characteristic.

**Figure 4.** The calibration curve determined by the nomogram model Calibration of the modeling set (A) and the verification set (B). ROC = receiver operating characteristic.
for the model, and 0.8 to 0.9 is considered good. Therefore, the nomogram model constructed in this study for hypertension prediction has good discriminative ability, and the calibration curve shows a good agreement between the nomogram prediction and the actual observation results of hypertension.

The nomogram model is a quantitative, reliable and easy-to-operate tool, and is now widely used in the prediction of a variety of diseases and the risk assessment of prognosis. The nomogram model constructed in this study can be used as a simple tool for screening the high risk population of hypertension in the Kazakh population in the Nanshan pastoral area of Xinjiang. With this model, the high risk population of hypertension can be identified through assessing the information collected by physical examination. This not only facilitates the risk management of hypertension by primary health service workers, but also helps patients to understand their own risk of hypertension. Meanwhile, the nomogram model has low requirements on statistical knowledge and has the characteristics of visualization, which is convenient for medical personnel in the pastoral area of Nanshan, Xinjiang.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, we constructed a nomogram model for hypertension risk prediction of Kazakhs based on Kazakh herdsmen aged 18 and above in the pastoral areas of Xinjiang, which has a good predictive ability for the risk of hypertension. However, this study is limited in that sample source was single. Additionally, due to different genetic background, this model was only applicable to Kazakh populations, but not Han Chinese populations. Therefore, further studies with larger sample sizes and multiple sample sources are needed.
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