Carbon dioxide is a desired feedstock for platform molecules, such as carbon monoxide or higher hydrocarbons, from which we will be able to make many different useful, value-added chemicals. Its catalytic hydrogenation over abundant metals requires the amalgamation of theoretical knowledge with materials design. Here we leverage a theoretical understanding of structure sensitivity, along with a library of different supports, to tune the selectivity of methanation in the Power-to-Gas concept over nickel. For example, we show that carbon dioxide hydrogenation over nickel can and does form propane, and that activity and selectivity can be tuned by supporting different nickel particle sizes on various oxides. This theoretical and experimental toolbox is not only useful for the highly selective production of methane, but also provides new insights for carbon dioxide activation and subsequent carbon-carbon coupling towards value-added products thereby reducing the deleterious effects of this environmentally harmful molecule.
As we enter the era of small molecule activation, the main questions to answer for heterogeneous catalyst researchers in the 21st century involve hydrogen (hydrogenation catalysis), and the coupling of carbon fragments. As discussed in recent literature, there are CO2 mitigation strategies that can and should be applied as soon as possible. Those aimed at point sources of CO2 (an example is methanation, which will be introduced below), and those that will play a role in the farther future, i.e., direct air capture (DAC) of CO2, and the production of increasingly complex (and thus value added) carbon-containing molecules. However, it is important to stress that the latter processes cannot play a role in maintaining the 1.5°C global temperature increase mark due to the laws of energy-technology deployment.

CO2 conversion into CH4 (further denoted as CO2 methanation) is a process with high technological readiness levels. Its reaction over Ni is the classical reaction discovered by the French chemist Paul Sabatier in the early 1900s (also referred to as the Sabatier reaction) and one that can be applied in the so-called Power-to-Gas (PtG) principle7. Here, point source CO2 emissions can be employed as cheap, or even negative cost carbon feedstock to demodulate the mismatch in renewable electricity demand and supply, while reducing harmful CO2 emissions in the atmosphere via a closed-cycle process10. While hydrogen produced via the electrolysis of water could directly be used as an energy carrier, technical requirements for its large-scale storage deem it an expensive solution for large-scale (seasonal) storage of electricity. For this reason, small molecules, such as ammonia, methanol and methane, are considered for this specific application8,11,12. The advantage of methanation is that it can be carried out under relatively mild conditions, that is, starting at atmospheric pressure and between 300 and 450°C, and is synthesized over the cost-effective non-noble metal Ni13. As it is one of the technologies that may be applied in the near future it is of great importance to tune this reaction with practical tools we have at hand. That is, for example by varying the support14–18, and by varying particle size19,20.

In this work we will use a combination of experiment and theory to determine what the different pathways for carbon dioxide activation are, and how carbon–carbon coupling proceeds on a nickel surface. This knowledge will allow us to not only tune the activity and selectivity of the Sabatier reaction in the Power-to-Gas framework, but also gain fundamental knowledge about some basic principles of heterogeneous catalysis on non-noble metal catalysts. As of yet, to the best of our knowledge, no systematic study has been done to fully catalog and identify experimental and theoretical activity and selectivity descriptors in catalytic CO2 methanation over Ni. The ultimate desire to valorize CO2 emissions, and to use them as a feedstock even for the production of value-added platform molecules, such as CO or higher hydrocarbons, over non-scarce metals requires the successful combination of theoretical knowledge with materials design.

Results

Particle size and support-based tuning of carbon dioxide conversion. We have synthesized a set of well-defined SiO2 supported Ni catalysts with particle sizes varying from 1 to 6 nm, and Ni catalysts supported on different metal oxides with differing degrees of reducibility: Al2O3, CeO2, ZrO2, and TiO2. More details on the characterization results and approach taken can be found in the Supplementary data ‘Catalyst Samples’ (Supplementary Figs. 1–11 and Supplementary Table 1) and literature19.

CO2 conversion was carried out in different catalytic setups; both by high temperature, high pressure operando FT-IR spectroscopy and GC analysis, and in a multi-tubular reactor system (see section ‘Supplementary data catalyst samples’ and Supplementary Note 1), under a wide range of different reaction conditions (temperatures varying from 200 to 500°C, and pressures ranging from 5 to 20 bar). As to be expected, methane is the main, or often the only product readily reported for the hydrogenation of CO2 over Ni21,22. However, measuring under methanation conditions (1:4 CO2 to H2 at varying pressures and temperatures) and using a high sensitivity operando GC/FT-IR setup, we have also observed the formation of ethane, CO, and (which is rather unusual) low quantities of propane, whereas no alcohols were observed. More ethane forms than propane, and no ethanol forms (Fig. 1).

Firstly, examining the effect of Ni particle size on selectivity more closely, by looking at the turnover frequencies (TOFs) plotted in Fig. 1a–c, ethane formation via C=C coupling seems to be structure insensitive for many of the measured temperatures, i.e., there is no change in surface-normalized activity for different Ni particle sizes. At 400°C, however, a saddle point in the surface-normalized activity versus Ni particle size is observed. The observed trend is the exact inverse of the observed trends for methane activity at these reaction conditions (shown in the ethane trend in Fig. 1a versus methane in 1c, but also other literature5,19). Based on these trends, a maximum in methane activity where there is a minimum in ethane activity, we may conclude that the coupling of C fragments on the Ni surface is competing with the hydrogenation of the C fragments into methane. Furthermore, it is interesting to see that small Ni particles produce more CO (Fig. 1b), which might be explained as too small Ni particles cannot dissociate CO, while CO2 must have been activated on them to form CO. Hence likely, the CO hydrogenation step is thus more structure sensitive than the CO2 hydrogenation step. CO is unwanted in synthetic natural gas, and we may thus practically tune the selectivity of this reaction, for example, by using slightly larger Ni nanoparticles.

Secondly, examining the effect of catalyst support by studying Ni nanoparticles on different oxides, we observe that the intermediates detected by FT-IR spectroscopy and the product distribution changes with reducibility of the support (see Fig. 1d). Most notably, there is a bathochromic shift in the vibrational frequency of intermediates in the formate region with increased reducibility of the support, and the yields to different end products change for the different supports accordingly.

More specifically, it seems that by delocalizing the electrons around adsorbed CO (e.g. adding support-H+ to the adsorbed CO* or CO2*), the rate-determining step is affected leading to higher activity (increased yield, e.g. for Ni/TiO2). On the other hand, Ni/Al2O3 is shown to produce CO with high selectivity (93%) at low temperature (200°C), which opens up possibilities for CO2 to syngas conversion. Highly interestingly, propane forms on Ni/CeO2 catalysts. CeO2 can cooperate with Ni to form CO from CO2 via surface vacancies23, and thus increases the availability of C-containing intermediates on or near the Ni surface. The effect of different supports deserves further focus in CO2 valorization research, especially in terms of the possibility to exploit strong metal-support interactions to expose different active metal sites thus changing the selectivity of supported nanoparticles.

Nevertheless, it is valuable to theoretically understand and therefore better leverage these observed effects. Figure 1e–g summarizes the experimental descriptors we have found in the operando FT-IR spectroscopy experiments (see also Supplementary Fig. 7). We have previously established that there is a CO adsorption strength dependence with particle size, and that this correlates with catalyst activity (see also Fig. 1e), we now see that the bathochromic shift in the region 1600–1550 cm−1 over different supports also correlates with TOF (Fig. 1f).
Notably, the shift going from Ni/SiO2 to Ni/TiO2 is in the order of 30–40 cm−1, which is the expected difference between formate (HCOO−*) and a formyl (HCO*) adsorbates, as shown by theoretical calculations (see the below). Furthermore, by examining catalyst activity at different temperatures for the different supported catalysts we can see that the apparent activation energy from CO2 to CH4 is virtually irrespective of the different supports (Fig. 1g). An obvious explanation for this would be that the reactions predominantly take place on the Ni nanoparticles. The effect of the support is then to influence the Ni particle size and shape, which leads to more or less active sites of some sort (disregarding CeO2 where we know the interface plays an active role). This will influence the pre-factor, which is the product of the entropic factor in the Arrhenius equation and the amount of active sites. From these experiments, we can take two working hypotheses to evaluate theoretically. Firstly, that the rate-determining step is the hydrogen-assisted dissociation of carbon monoxide. Secondly, that Ni particles with an optimal size...
Fig. 1 Structure sensitivity and support effects for tuning selectivity and activity in the carbon dioxide hydrogenation over Ni. a–c The particle size dependence of the turn over frequency (TOF) for CO₂ hydrogenation towards methane, ethane, and CO over SiO₂-supported Ni catalysts at 5 bar, with varying temperatures and at 400 °C, with varying pressures. d The support dependence of CO₂ hydrogenation as measured by operando FT-IR spectroscopy from 100 to 400 °C with corresponding yield (measured in a high-throughput reactor system, corresponding well to online values for operando FT-IR spectroscopy) at 300 °C. e TOF of CO₂ methanation at 400 °C plotted against the wavenumber at which the maximum absorbance is observed in the CO region (2200-1800 cm⁻¹). Error bars in e and f are defined as standard deviation in particle size in TOF calculation. f TOF of CO₂ methanation at 400 °C plotted against the wavenumber at which the maximum absorbance is observed in the formate region (1550-1600 cm⁻¹) for catalysts showing comparable wavenumber of maximum absorbance in the CO₂ region (range: 2019–2023 cm⁻¹). The ranges indicated in blue in the plot are the calculated fundamental vibrational frequencies of each most stable adsorbate (of HCO₂⁻ and HCO⁺) on Ni(111), with a 5% error bar indicated on the top of the figure. g Apparent activation energy barriers calculated from operando FT-IR measurements at different temperatures, for the different supports.

(2–3 nm) must have a higher concentration of sites facilitating exactly this reaction step.

Theoretical calculations explain structure sensitivity in carbon dioxide activation. This experimental information gives us enough input to make approximations to link our experimental descriptors to theoretical descriptors, to ultimately understand and thus be able to further manipulate desired activities and selectivities. To this end, computational catalysis (Density Functional Theory, DFT²⁴–²⁵) was applied to understand these concepts. Through calculations, e.g. the application of microkinetic modeling²⁶, a detailed study of surface reactions at the molecular level can be made possible²⁷,²⁸. A caveat here is that some approximations have to be made. Though the development of force-fields is in full speed as of yet, one simply cannot include support effects to a realistic slab model for large Ni particles. Nickel clusters of a few atoms may be modeled in this way where full shape effects are taken into account. However, it is well-established that particles that are larger than ~1 nm (as used in our experimental studies) are well-described by periodic slabs. In nickel, quantum effects may be expected to influence such an approximation up to ~560 Ni atoms²⁹–³¹, or ~2.5 nm. We compare 3.6–6 nm Ni nanoparticle sizes across the different supports, or ~2570–10,864 atoms. Supplementary Figure 12 and Supplementary Note 2 give more information on DFT modeling of catalytic reactions over nanoparticles. Thus to simulate the availability of different active sites on a Ni nanoparticle (fcc structure), two terrace facets, (Ni(111), and Ni(100)), and two stepped facets, (Ni(211), and Ni(110)) were examined. These facets represent the plethora of available sites on nanoparticles, which can largely be grouped into under-coordinated (stepped) and highly coordinated (terrace) sites (see also Supplementary Fig. 13). This approximation will prove to be justifiable if our theoretical calculations can be linked to our experimentally observed kinetic and vibrational values.

Chemisorption energies (i.e. the energy required to remove an adsorbate in a given position from a surface) give a good indication of the stability of each reaction intermediate. The fundamental vibrational frequencies of HCO₂⁻ and HCO⁺ thereby obtained, show that the more reducible a support is in our experiments, the more the nature of FT-IR peaks shift towards a HCO⁺ intermediate instead of a HCO₂⁻. The nature of this finding can be much better understood in the light of a full mechanistic understanding of processes leading from CO₂ to CH₄ over Ni.

Experimental evidence suggests CO₂ activation proceeds via two parallel particle size-dependent mechanisms over Ni¹⁹. The first step in the hydrogenation of CO₂ over Ni is the dissociation of CO₂ to CO, either via a formate intermediate (formate pathway, Fig. 2a), or directly via adsorbed CO₂ (CO₂*). CO can then be further dissociated, or directly hydrogenated to form CH₄ (carbide pathway, Fig. 2a)¹⁹,³²–³⁵. While these pathways have shown to be active, so-called carboxyl, or alcohol pathways (Fig. 2a) are well known on Ni’s (next) neighbors in the periodic table; Cu and Fe³⁶ but have not yet been shown to exist on Ni. Supplementary Table 2 shows all literature values of intermediates from these different proposed pathways, and at the same time illustrates the necessity for an extensive DFT study of methanation over Ni. Supplementary Table 3 shows our calculated most stable chemisorption energy of each of the intermediates shown in Fig. 2a, represented by dots, on each of the 4 Ni facets, and for different adsorption sites (Fig. 2b, Supplementary Fig. 13). Full details (all chemisorption energies and adsorption geometries of all intermediates, on all facets and adsorption sites) can also be found in the Supplementary information (Supplementary Tables 2–4, Supplementary Figs. 14–17). Figure 2c shows the chemisorption energy of CO₂ on the different facets. The chemisorption energy clearly differs greatly not only per exposed Ni facet, but also for the different adsorption sites on each facet. Stepped surfaces apparently stabilize CO₂ better than terrace surfaces, which means that the first reaction step, independent of which reaction pathway is followed (carbide, formate, or carboxyl, Fig. 2a), is facet sensitive¹⁹. A density of states analysis (read below) is further elaborated on in the Methods section and Supplementary information.

When comparing chemisorption energies of a given adsorbate between one facet versus another, comparing less stable fragments (CH*, or CO₂*) to highly stable fragments such as e.g. C* or O* or e.g. any singular adatom on a Ni(100) surface, because of highly stable hcp hole sites, can be misleading. Therefore, and to obtain a better idea about the possibility of formation of a given intermediate, we compare formation enthalpies starting from singular adatoms, making use of the calculated chemisorption energies, as defined in Eq. 1. Here, Eₐ is the chemisorption energy of reactant A, EₐB is the energy of the formed product and E_slab is the energy of a bare slab of Ni (with no adsorbates). These “reconstruction energies” or, the formation enthalpies starting from adsorbed O*, H* and C*, are shown in Fig. 2d, e for Ni(111) and Ni(110), respectively, and in Supplementary Fig. 18 for Ni(100) and Ni(211).

$$\Delta H_{\text{form}}=mE_{\text{slab}}-mE_{\text{A}}+mE_{\text{B}}$$

From these reconstruction plots on both a stepped and terrace facet we can draw several conclusions. First, the stability of the first intermediate in each pathway is inversely correlated to the degree of conjugation: in ascending order (CO₂*, HCOO*, COOH*). Second, we see that the formation of the first intermediate of the carbonyl pathway (COOH*) is thermodynamically unfavorable and each of the following intermediates is generally even higher in energy. This is a good indication of why Ni does not generally form alcohols, as opposed to e.g. Cu or Fe catalysts, which do.

Though Fig. 2d, e give a good overview to compare different reaction pathways, reaction barriers are needed for catalytic
understanding. To this end, nudged elastic band (NEB) calculations were performed, which yield activation energies ($E_a$) for each respective reaction step. Figure 2f–h show the potential energy diagram for each reaction pathway and each examined facet (Supplementary Fig. 19 lists the values for all forward- and backward-activation barriers). From the potential energy diagrams, of the three pathways, the carbide pathway is the lowest in energy. The activation energy of the CO$_2$ dissociation step ($CO_2^* \rightarrow CO^* + O^*$) in this lowest-energy pathway vary greatly over the different facets, with no trend in terrace vs. stepped facets (Fig. 2f–h, depicted in detail in Supplementary Fig. 20). The $E_a$ is in the order Ni(100) < Ni(110) < Ni(111) < Ni(211).

To obtain a descriptor for the facility of CO$_2$ activation, a highly important reaction step in any CO$_2$ utilization process$^{37,38}$, an in-depth density of states analysis (DOS) was performed. Supplementary Figure 21 shows the initial - transition - and final states of the elementary reaction steps relevant in CO$_2$ hydrogenation. To activate a C–O bond in CO$_2^*$, we understand (e.g. from the molecular orbital (MO) diagram and DOS analysis in Fig. 3a, and Supplementary Figs. 22 and 23) that donation into $4\Sigma_u^+$ should be considered. Yet, (as Fig. 3b, and Supplementary Fig. 22 show) there is proper overlap between the anti-bonding orbitals of the adsorbate (CO$_2^*$) with the d-orbitals of each metal facet under study and thus it seems that a decrease of the bond-order of CO$_2^*$ should be equally facile on all Ni facets. Nevertheless, by comparing the position of the Fermi-level corrected MO’s of CO$_2^*$ in Fig. 3b, (here $^\dagger$ denotes the transition state in CO$_2^* \rightarrow CO^* + O^*$, more information in Supplementary Fig. 23 and Supplementary Table 5), we have a tool to rank nickel facets in order of activating effect per MO. For $2\Sigma_u$ the order is 111 < 110 < 211 < 100. From a DOS analysis of CO$^*$ and O$^*$ (depicted in Fig. 3c and Supplementary Figs. 24–27), we understand that MO $2\Sigma_u$ is separated from $4\Sigma_u$ and $3\Sigma_u$ to form CO$^*$ and O$^*$, respectively. We now understand why Ni (100) activates CO$_2$ the best (in CO$_2^* \rightarrow CO^* + O^*$); it activates the $2\Sigma_u$ molecular orbital the best.

Nevertheless, other factors should be taken into account to fully describe the trend in activation of CO$_2^*$ for all four facets under study. When considering the geometric aspects of CO$_2^*$ on each surface, see Fig. 3d (and Supplementary Fig. 28, Supplementary Table 6 for more information), the final descriptor is found; i.e. the transition state (TS) stability due to the bond-order conservation (BOC) principle stating that a transition state with fewer shared metal atoms yields increased TS stability and thus a lower $E_a$. While on Ni(100) and Ni(110) the TS shares no metal atoms, it shares 1 and 2 atoms on Ni(111) and Ni(211) respectively, corresponding well to the second highest and highest $E_a$, in direct CO$_2$ dissociation. We now understand exactly how CO$_2$ is activated over Ni. Furthermore, in Fig. 3f, g we see that the
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**Fig. 2** Theoretical calculations of CO$_2$ activation over Ni. a Schematic including all possible reaction pathways for the carbon dioxide hydrogenation in pink, all intermediates are represented as gray dots. Gray lines indicate plausible links between the primary pathways. b Schematic representation of available sites (T, T, B, ...) on a Ni(211) surface. c Chemisorption energies of CO$_2$ on different available sites of Ni(111), Ni(100), Ni(110), and Ni(211) crystal facets (T: top, B: bridge). d, e Recombination energies of the carbide, formate and carboxyl pathways on a terrace Ni(111), and a stepped Ni(110) crystal facet. The left panel in each respective figure shows the C, H, and O adatoms from which each intermediate is recombined, as well as reaction intermediates OH and H$_2$O. The most stable adsorption site is set at zero-energy. f–h Potential energy diagrams for (f) the carbide pathway (g) the formate pathway, and (h) the carboxyl pathway on terrace facets Ni(111) and Ni(100), and stepped facets Ni(110) and Ni(211) of CO$_2$ hydrogenation as calculated by nudged elastic band (NEB).
E₄ for CO* → C* and HCOO* → HCO* are the highest in each respective reaction pathway. This is in very good agreement with experimental observations where CO* and HCOO* are continuously observed in operando studies of CO₂ hydrogenation over Ni₁₉,₃₄. However, the highest energy barrier is actually in the lowest-energy pathway (the pathway with the most stable intermediates), namely the carbide pathway (CO* → C*). This is not an uncommon occurrence and it is believed to exist also in e.g. the Fischer–Tropsch Synthesis (FTS) process over Co²₆ or CO methanation over Ni³⁹. It is thus interesting to study this behavior for Ni from a fundamental point of view²₆,⁴₀.

From experimental studies we know that high surface coverage of CO* is often observed under methanation conditions¹₉,₃₄. To circumvent the high activation energy barrier of CO* → C*, H-assisted CO dissociation via either the formate pathway (CO* → HCOO* → HCO*) or via the carboxyl pathway (CO* → COH* → C*) may occur. As Fig. 3e shows, the overall reaction barrier (2 steps for H-assisted CO dissociation; the addition of H to CO, and
the ultimate cleavage of O) can be lower than the direct dissociation of CO.

A detailed microkinetic model, which can be constructed using the theoretical input described above, yields insights into the transient chemical behavior of the chemical system. This can give important details such as the rate limiting step, most abundant reaction intermediate, and $E_a$ ultimately linking theory and experiment. Details on the microkinetic model can be found in the Supporting information (section ‘Microkinetic modeling’, Supplementary Figs. 29–40 and Supplementary Tables 7–8). The outcome yields some important conclusions. Firstly, that the most methane is produced on Ni(110) facets (in the order $110 > 211 > 111 > 100$). Figure 3f, g show the coverage of intermediates, and the rate of $\text{CH}_4$ production on Ni(110) as a function of temperature. Secondly, that the most abundant reaction intermediate for the most active facets (Ni(110), Ni(211), and Ni(111)) is CO and that the rate limiting step is its (hydrogen assisted) dissociation, on Ni (100) it is $\text{H}_2\text{CO}^*$ (Supplementary Figs. 29–40 and Supplementary Tables 7 and 8). An optimum in the Ni particle size, with sufficient terrace facets to supply $\text{H}^*$ and sufficient step sites with highest activity, is thus theoretically expected and experimentally observed. These conclusions confirm our experimental findings and show that the assumptions made for our theoretical model hold valid.

This information we have now obtained (the highest $E_a$ is the direct dissociation of $\text{CO}^*$ to $\text{C}^*$, and that an excellent way to circumvent this is by the addition of $\text{H}$ to the adsorbed $\text{CO}^*$) might be leveraged by introducing more reducible supports. These, at the nickel-support interface, can increase the activation of $\text{CO}^*$ via e.g. the addition of $\text{H}^*$ from the support. This relates back to experiments in Fig. 1, where the observed experimental descriptors for catalytic activity are both linked to an optimal degree of CO bond activation (Fig. 1e), and to the bond order of HCO(O)* intermediates (Fig. 1f).

From literature we also know that ethane formation does occur (albeit in very small quantities) for $\text{CO}_2$ methaneation over Ni$^{21}$, and we have shown in Fig. 1 that C–C coupling (yielding $\text{C}_2\text{H}_6$ hydrocarbons, e.g. ethane, and even some propane) does occur and seems to be structure insensitive or hydrogenation-rate limited (Fig. 1d). Carboxyl formation (e.g. methanol or ethanol) is not reported in literature for silica-supported Ni catalysts to the best of our knowledge. The concept of CO insertion is interesting thus, assuming here that any alcohol that would be formed is not formed via the carboxyl pathway described in Fig. 2a, as intermediates in this pathway are not stable on Ni (Fig. 2d, e, and Supplementary Figs. 18–20) and the pathway is high in energy (Fig. 2f–h). The recombination energies for the coupling of carbon on Ni via CH$_3$–CH$_2$* fragments and CO* insertion in $\text{C}^*$ are given in Fig. 4a. CO insertion is endothermic (i.e., thermodynamically unfavorable) on each surface from theory, thus now we are fully able to explain why Ni, in principle, does not form alcohols. C–C coupling, on the other hand, is favorable in many cases and does not seem to have any preference for terraces or facets. Thus, the only reason for the ever-reported high methane selectivity for Ni catalysts should indeed be a very high relative hydrogenation rate, which correlates to the inverse trend to methane TOF at 400 °C we observed in Fig. 1a. Accordingly, Ni/CoO$_2$ catalysts, on which H spillover from Ni is known to occur$^{41}$, showed the most C–C coupling activity.

In a showcase to leverage this gained knowledge from experiments and theory (that C–C coupling over Ni is likely to be hydrogenation rate limited and structure insensitive), trying to make even more C–C coupled products, one may wish to cover part of the Ni nanoparticles, and have sufficient CO already present for the carbide mechanism to be initiated. The former, in turn, can be done by inducing strong metal-support interactions (SMSI), believed to occur when reducible supports are partially reduced$^{10}$. By doing so, sub-oxide support species creep up over the nanoparticle affecting the exposed surface, and consequently the electronic structure (Fig. 4b). In Fig. 4c–d this effect is shown using a 6 wt% Ni catalyst supported on TiO$_2$. When the catalyst is reduced at 600 °C, versus at 400 °C, we see increased C–C coupling and even formation of butane, while methane production decreases (Fig. 4c, d). This bolsters the experimental and theoretical findings presented here as suppressing the hydrogenation activity (for which methane production is a qualitative measure) through SMSI increases C–C coupling.

## Discussion

In the valorization of $\text{CO}_2$ towards platform molecules, we need to improve current catalytic systems. Classical single-metal catalyst systems are often still not fully mapped in terms of theoretical and experimental descriptors for activity. By evaluating the two main parameters at hand to tune the electronic and geometric properties of classical single-metal heterogeneous catalytic systems, a broad understanding can be developed through which new systems may be designed. Electronic and geometric effects can be tuned by two main ways; structure sensitivity, and support. It is apparent from the results presented in this work that, neglecting the individual contribution of supports that can inherently activate $\text{CO}_2$, the apparent active reaction pathway is very similar across different supports and particle sizes. Another conceptually important conclusion is that suppressing the hydrogenation activity of Ni leads to C–C coupled products. Selective suppression of hydrogenation activity is arguably the biggest challenge in catalysis research in the activation of small molecules, one of the most pressing fields in the clean energy transition.

In this work we have shown that via a complete theoretical mechanistic understanding of $\text{CO}_2$ hydrogenation over a classical monometallic catalyst system, Ni on silica, we are entering the era of rational design and are able to tune the selectivity of $\text{CO}_2$ conversion experimentally. These concepts are important not only in the selective production of methane e.g. in the Power-to-Methane concept, but also for the ultimate valorization of $\text{CO}_2$ as a feedstock for value-added chemicals, such as higher hydrocarbons, aiding in the mitigation of its harmful effect on the environment. At the same time, we stress the reality that to overcome the challenges in small molecule activation, classical heterogeneous catalytic systems will not suffice. Rather, nanostructuring, bifunctionality, or for example functional ligands should be introduced for which studies such as the current could form a theoretical fundament.

## Methods

### DFT calculations

All DFT calculations were performed using the Vienna Ab-initio Simulation Package (VASP)$^{24,42}$ with the projector-augmented wave (PAW) method$^{45,46}$. The Perdew–Becke–Ernzerhof (PBE) exchange-correlation functional was used$^{44}$. The bulk lattice constant of nickel (face centered cubic structure) was optimized, yielding a value of 3.521 Å, which corresponds to the experimental bulk lattice constant of 3.5 Å. The four nickel facets were modeled with the optimized Ni-Ni distance using periodic boundary conditions. All atoms were allowed to relax. The kinetic energy cutoff for the plane wave basis set was 400 eV. The Monkhorst-Pack mesh k-points of (5 × 5 × 1) for Ni(111), Ni(100) and Ni(110) and (3 × 3 × 1) for Ni(211) were used. Between each slab a 10 Å vacuum, perpendicular to the surface between the layers, was applied in order to prevent interaction of intermediates between a bottom-side and a top-side of overlying super cells. Dipole-dipole interactions between super-cells was avoided by biaxial adsorption of intermediates at the surface working from a center of inversion. All recombination energies shown in the main text and supporting information have thus been corrected for by dividing the outcome of Eq. 1 by 2. An energy criterion was used for the ionic convergence using the conjugate gradient algorithm. Geometries were converged to $10^{-4}$ eV and electronic convergence was set at $10^{-5}$ eV.

For the gas-phase calculations of $\text{CO}_2$, $\text{CO}$, $\text{H}_2$, and $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ only a $\gamma$ centered grid for k-point sampling was used. The molecules were placed at the center of a 10 × 10 × 10 Å unit cell. For electron smearing, a Gaussian smearing with a width of 0.00502 eV was used. The reaction pathways discussed in the main text (Fig. 1) have been calculated by the nudged elastic band (NEB) approach as implemented in VASP$^{45,46}$. A frequency analysis was performed to confirm that all transition
geometries were in a first-order saddle point on the potential energy surface. The Hessian matrix was constructed using a finite displacement approach with a step size of 0.02 Å for displacement of individual atoms along each Cartesian coordinate. These frequencies were used to determine the zero-point energy (ZPE) correction to the energy of the geometries of the initial, transition, and final states.

Catalyst synthesis and characterization. Silica-supported Ni catalysts were prepared by homogeneous deposition precipitation (HDP) over silica gel (Sigma Aldrich, 150 A 200–425 mesh, S.A. = 325 m²/g). Further information can be found elsewhere.¹⁹ Ni catalysts supported on TiO₂ (Degussa P25, S.A. = 60 m²/g), CeO₂ (prepared by precipitation with urea, vide infra), Al₂O₃ (Puralox TH 100/150, S.A. = 196 m²/g) and ZrO₂ (precipitation, vide infra) were prepared following a

**Fig. 4** Strong metal-support interaction (SMSI) induced C–C coupling in CO₂/CO hydrogenation over Ni. **a, b** Recombination energies relevant for C–C coupling and CO insertion, on terrace Ni(111), Ni(100), and stepped Ni(110), Ni(211) crystal facet. **b** Schematic showing supposed SMSI effects on a nanoparticle. Sub-oxides are believed to creep up over nanoparticles, affecting their exposed surface area and electronic structure. **c** Activity, **d** selectivity of a 6 wt% Ni/TiO₂ catalyst reduced at 400 and 600 °C in CO₂/CO (3:1) hydrogenation at 300 °C at 4 bar.

---

**Geometries were in a first-order saddle point on the potential energy surface.** The Hessian matrix was constructed using a finite displacement approach with a step size of 0.02 Å for displacement of individual atoms along each Cartesian coordinate. These frequencies were used to determine the zero-point energy (ZPE) correction to the energy of the geometries of the initial, transition, and final states.

**Catalyst synthesis and characterization.** Silica-supported Ni catalysts were prepared by homogeneous deposition precipitation (HDP) over silica gel (Sigma Aldrich, 150 A 200–425 mesh, S.A. = 325 m²/g). Further information can be found elsewhere.¹⁹ Ni catalysts supported on TiO₂ (Degussa P25, S.A. = 60 m²/g), CeO₂ (prepared by precipitation with urea, vide infra), Al₂O₃ (Puralox TH 100/150, S.A. = 196 m²/g) and ZrO₂ (precipitation, vide infra) were prepared following a
similar method using urea as a precipitating agent. The Ni loading was fixed at 6% for all catalysts. To obtain a g of final catalyst, 297.27 mg of Ni nitrate hydrate (99.99%, Sigma Aldrich) and 1.6 g urea (p.a., Acros) were dissolved in 50 mL water, followed by addition of 940 mg of the selected support. The system was then vigorously stirred at 95 °C for 20 h, to induce hydrolysis of urea and deposition of NiO. Finally, the system was centrifuged and washed with water until the pH of the supernatant was neutral. The obtained powder was dried at 120 °C for 24 h, and reduced in situ at 450 °C before CO2 hydrogenation catalytic experiments, based on Temperature Programmed Reduction (TPR) results (Supplementary Fig. 5).

CeO2 and ZrO2 supports were prepared by a similar, scaled up procedure. In a typical synthesis, 30 g of cerium(III) nitrate hydrate (99.99% trace metals basis, Sigma Aldrich) or 25 g of zirconium(IV) oxynitrate hydrate (99.99% trace metals basis, Sigma Aldrich) were dissolved in 1.7 L of DI water together with 750 g urea. In the case of Zr, the solution was heated to 60 °C to allow for complete dissolution of the precursor. The solution was then brought to 95 °C for 20 h, and the precipitate was then filtered and washed with water until neutral pH. The obtained cake was dried at 60 °C for 12 h and at 120 °C for 24 h, followed by calcination to 500 °C for 5 h, 5 °C/min ramp, under static air. The obtained CeO2 and ZrO2 powders had a surface area of 88 m2/g and 68 m2/g.

The reduced Ni supported catalysts were examined with transmission electron microscopy (TEM) in an FEI Tecnai T12 operated at 120 kV or in an FEI Tecnai F20 operated at 200 kV. Samples were crushed and suspended in ethanol under ultrasonic vibration. A drop of this suspension was deposited on a holey carbon film on a 300 mesh copper grid. TEM images are reported in Supplementary Fig. 6.

Data availability
All relevant data is available from the authors.

Code availability
All relevant code not already in the open literature can be requested from the authors.
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