Using a Machine Learning Approach to Identify Low-Frequency and Rare FLG Alleles Associated with Remission of Atopic Dermatitis
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Atopic dermatitis (AD) is a common relapsing inflammatory skin disease. FLG is the gene most consistently associated with AD. Loss-of-function variants in FLG have been previously associated with AD. Low-frequency and rare alleles (minor allele frequency < 5%) in this gene have been given less attention than loss-of-function variants. We fine sequenced the FLG gene in a cohort of individuals with AD. We developed a machine learning–based algorithm to associate low-frequency and rare alleles with the disease. We then applied this algorithm to the FLG data, searching for associations between groups of low-frequency and rare FLG alleles and AD remission. A group of 46 rare and low-frequency FLG alleles was associated with increased AD remission (P = 2.76e-11). Overall, 16 of these 46 FLG variants were identified in an independent cohort and were associated with decreased AD incidence (P = 0.0007). This study presents an application of statistical methods in AD genetics and suggests that low-frequency and rare alleles may play a larger role in AD pathogenesis than previously appreciated.
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INTRODUCTION

Atopic dermatitis (AD) is a common chronic inflammatory skin disease that typically presents with red itchy patches on the flexural parts of the limbs (Abramovits, 2005; Ākdīs et al., 2006; Bieber, 2008; Leung and Bieber, 2003). It is common, affecting up to 20% of children and 3.2–10.2% of adults in the industrialized world (Chiesa Fuxench et al., 2019; Pellerin et al., 2013).

Genetic studies of AD have suggested that both barrier dysfunction and immunodysregulation are key in the disease pathogenesis (Leung and Bieber, 2003). Genetic variation in the cytokines involved in the T helper type 2 response, such as TSLP, and in epidermal surface barrier proteins, primarily FLG, have been associated with variation in AD onset and persistence (Irvine et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2019; Palmer et al., 2006). Of these, the most consistently associated and widely studied is FLG. Loss-of-function (LoF) mutations in FLG lead to decreased production of FLG protein and are thought to result in a barrier defect that predisposes an individual to AD (Irvine et al., 2011; Margolis et al., 2012; Palmer et al., 2006; Quiroz et al., 2020).

The FLG protein belongs to a family of S100 fused-type proteins, many of which are part of the development of the skin’s cornified envelope (Pellerin et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2011b). The genes are found in a section of chromosome 1 called the epidermal differentiation complex (Mischke et al., 1996; Pellerin et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2011b). Other skin barrier proteins in this family include FLG-2, TCHHL1, and hornerin (Margolis et al., 2014a; Pellerin et al., 2013; Pendaries et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2011b). In a previous study, we conducted next-generation sequencing of TSLP and IL7R and identified specific genetic variants likely to have a function in AD (Berna, 2021). However, this study was limited by the inability to effectively examine low-frequency (1% < minor allele frequency [MAF] < 5%) and rare (MAF < 1%) variants (Berna, 2021). Indeed, a key limitation of examining uncommon variants with next-generation sequencing studies is that one requires very large sample sizes to effectively examine such variants, an expensive and laborious endeavor.

This paper aims to use machine learning methods to identify low-frequency and rare alleles in FLG, in a diseased population, associated with AD remission. We developed a genetic algorithm–based approach to low-frequency and rare allele association, assessed general characteristics of this model using a simulated dataset, applied this model to low-frequency and rare alleles in FLG, and sought to identify the low-frequency and rare alleles associated with AD remission in a large longitudinal African American cohort.
RESULTS
Simulation results
Before applying our algorithm to Pediatric Eczema Elective Registry (PEER) genetic data, we conducted a number of simulation studies to determine the effectiveness of our algorithm in identifying low-frequency and rare alleles associated with longitudinal measures of disease. First, we constructed three longitudinal datasets: one in which the first 10 alleles were associated with more severe disease, one in which the first 10 alleles were associated with less severe disease, and one in which no alleles were differentially associated with disease. A total of 100 simulations of our algorithm were run on each dataset individually, with results showing clear identification of disease-associated alleles and good discrimination for alleles unassociated with disease (Figure 1a–c). The difference between these groups was statistically significant, with $P < 0.001$ for alleles associated with disease.

We next assessed the algorithm’s sensitivity to initial conditions, evaluating its ability to identify the associated alleles, and when no alleles are associated with disease control (c), the algorithm picks up no clearly associated alleles. (d) Identification of alleles as the number of associated alleles increases. The black box plots represent the number of times the associated alleles were identified, the red box plots represent the number of times the unassociated alleles were identified. Each column represents the results of 100 independent runs of the model. (e) The proportion of identified alleles associated with disease, as the alleles’ association with disease varied. Each column represents the results of 100 independent model runs. The black boxes correspond to the associated alleles, and the red boxes correspond to the unassociated alleles. (f) The proportion of alleles associated with disease, as population size increases. A total of 100 simulations were run for sample sizes of 50, 100, and 500, which corresponded to 5, 10, and 50 associated alleles, respectively. The black boxes represent the truly associated alleles, and the red boxes represent the unassociated alleles. ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$.

Figure 1. Simulation studies of genetic algorithm model (a–c). Three simulation studies showing the ability of the model to identify associated alleles. The first 10 alleles were associated with (a) moderate disease control and (b) more severe disease control. Associated alleles are identified ~60% of the time. By contrast, when no alleles are associated with disease control (c), the algorithm picks up no clearly associated alleles. (d) Identification of alleles as the number of associated alleles increases. The black box plots represent the number of times the associated alleles were identified, the red box plots represent the number of times the unassociated alleles were identified. Each column represents the results of 100 independent runs of the model. (e) The proportion of identified alleles associated with disease, as the alleles’ association with disease varied. Each column represents the results of 100 independent model runs. The black boxes correspond to the associated alleles, and the red boxes correspond to the unassociated alleles. (f) The proportion of alleles associated with disease, as population size increases. A total of 100 simulations were run for sample sizes of 50, 100, and 500, which corresponded to 5, 10, and 50 associated alleles, respectively. The black boxes represent the truly associated alleles, and the red boxes represent the unassociated alleles. ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$. 
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variants when the number of associated alleles increased or decreased (Figure 1d), when the associated alleles were more or less strongly associated with the outcome measure (Figure 1e), and when the size of the cohort increased or decreased (Figure 1f). These analyses showed the identification of approximately 60% of associated alleles on an average run (Figure 1d), with improving identification for more strongly associated alleles (Figure 1e). The algorithm also performs strongly when the population size is quite large and when the number of associated alleles increases (Figure 1f). All group differences in Figure 1d–f were statistically significant with \( P < 0.01 \) or \( P < 0.001 \).

**PEER results**

Within our PEER genetic cohort, 42% were male, the mean age of AD onset was 2.14 years, and the mean duration of observation was 97 months. Demographic information is provided in Table 1. A total of 60% of all surveys reported disease clearance; the proportion of surveys reporting clearance at any given time point is presented in Figure 2.

Massively parallel sequencing revealed 583 unique alleles in FLG, of which 337 had a MAF < 5%. After filtering FLG LoF alleles and alleles strongly correlated with FLG LoF, 322 FLG low-frequency and rare alleles remained (Figure 3). We performed 100 runs of the genetic algorithm on the FLG low-frequency and rare alleles. Evaluation of the alleles revealed a group of 46 low-frequency and rare alleles in FLG significantly associated with increased AD remission (OR = 5.19; 95% confidence interval = 3.52–7.66, adjusted \( P = 2.76e-11 \)). The \( P \)-value was adjusted for 250,000 independent tests. Annotation of these variants is presented in Table 2. The largest exon (Figure 4). This group of 46 low-frequency and rare alleles is associated with lower odds of having AD in an independent dataset (the GAD group).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we used a genetic algorithm–based approach to identify low-frequency and rare alleles associated with longitudinal measures of AD. We identified a group of low-frequency and rare alleles within FLG associated with AD. This FLG low-frequency and rare allele group represents a larger proportion of the PEER population than FLG LoF variants. This group is associated with lower odds of having AD in an independent dataset (the GAD group).

A key contribution of this report was the use of an algorithm to assess the association of low-frequency and rare alleles (MAF < 5%) with longitudinal measures of disease. Traditionally, it has been very difficult to identify low-frequency and rare alleles associated with common diseases because (i) these alleles occur infrequently and there is typically insufficient statistical power to generate accurate ORs, (ii) existing methods (burden tests and variant component tests) are primarily useful for examining whether a whole gene, and not particular variants, are implicated in a disease, and (iii) there are few methods for associating low-frequency and rare alleles with a disease when outcome measures are longitudinal (Lee et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2011a). Our genetic algorithm–based approach has several strengths. By grouping alleles, as is done in burden tests and variance component tests, we can increase the statistical power of any given generalized estimating equation (GEE) calculation. By iteratively refining the alleles in our group, we can better localize low-frequency and rare alleles that are likely to be disease-associated. By focusing on optimization of the OR of the association, we can associate low-frequency and rare alleles with a disease even when the outcome measure is nonbinary.

Numerous studies have examined the role of FLG in skin barrier formation. One recent report suggested that it is essential for the assembly of keratinohyalin granules in the terminally differentiating epidermal layers (Quiroz et al., 2020). The association between FLG LoF variation and AD has been established in multiple cohorts and holds across ancestral groups (Barker et al., 2007; Marenholz et al., 2006; Margolis et al., 2014b; Margolis et al., 2018; Palmer et al., 2006; Pigors et al., 2018; Weidinger et al., 2007). However, few studies have associated low-frequency and rare FLG alleles with disease. None, to our knowledge, have identified non-LoF alleles associated with AD. Our group of 46

### Table 1. Participant Demographics: Basic Demographic Data Regarding African Americans within PEER

| Demographics       | African Americans |
|--------------------|-------------------|
| Number             | 326               |
| Age of AD onset, y, mean (SD) | 2.14 (2.85) |
| Sex, male, n (%)   | 136 (41.98)       |
| Asthma, n (%)      | 182 (56.17)       |
| Seasonal allergies, n (%) | 228 (70.37) |
| Observation time in months, mean (95% CI) | 97 (101.2–92.9) |
| Disease control, mean (SD) | 2.57 (0.74) |

**Abbreviations:** AD, atopic dermatitis; CI, confidence interval; PEER, Pediatric Eczema Elective Registry.

1Disease control measured on a 4-point scale, with 1 representing complete disease control, and 4 representing uncontrolled disease.
low-frequency and rare alleles, associated with five-fold less severe AD, is present in 16.9% of the PEER population. By contrast, FLG LoF variants are present in only 11.3% of this study population. The association between our rare variant group and AD is visually apparent, with greater reports of disease clearance at almost every follow-up survey (Figure 5).

Six of the 46 variants identified represent synonymous amino acid changes. This is both interesting and unexpected. It is possible that these regions represent regulatory regions within coding exons (Dong et al., 2010). Further study is needed to identify the function of these alleles.

These FLG alleles are also protective against AD in an independent population, the GAD group. The fact that these variants are protective in the GAD group whereas they decrease persistence in the PEER cohort suggests that these variants mitigate AD presence and severity. The GAD results suggest that these variants have significance beyond the PEER population.

Although our analyses do not show causality between these FLG alleles and AD remission, these findings are valuable for two reasons. First, these low-frequency and rare alleles could be incorporated into genetic risk models predicting AD severity. Evaluation of these alleles in alternate populations could further verify these associations. Second, these alleles could be investigated in future studies of the molecular mechanisms of AD.

This study has several limitations. We only examined African American individuals, so our results may not apply to AD in different races/ethnicities. Because African Americans represent a distinct subset of those with African ancestry, our results may not generalize to all those of African ancestry. As with all observational studies, our analyses show that low-frequency and rare alleles are associated with AD severity. This association is not yet causal. Third, although associated with AD persistence in PEER, our allele groups may not generalize to the broader AD population. Studies in different populations will be needed to confirm these findings.

In this paper, we uncovered associations between low-frequency and rare alleles and AD remission using an approach employing a genetic algorithm to group these alleles. We identified a group of 46 low-frequency and rare alleles in FLG associated with decreased AD remission. These variants represent a contribution to AD genetics independent of FLG LoF insofar as all LoF variants and all alleles strongly correlated with LoF variants were removed before generating these allele groups. These alleles were present at a clinically significant frequency in this study population and accounted for a larger proportion of the African American PEER population than FLG LoF alleles alone. A subset of these alleles is associated with AD risk in an independent population, the GAD group. This study presents an application of statistical methods in AD genetics and uncovers genetic associations that may be valuable for future study of the mechanisms and epidemiology of AD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Rare allele model

Existing methods for associating low-frequency and rare alleles with a disease, such as burden tests and variance component tests, are intended to identify whether a gene is significant rather than whether any given low-frequency and rare variants are significant. However, machine learning methods enable the identification of clusters of the covariates associated with a specific outcome and have been shown to be useful in studying AD in the past (Berna et al., 2020; Paternoster et al., 2018; Thijs et al., 2017). We approached the identification of low-frequency and rare alleles associated with disease as a clustering problem. Low-frequency and rare alleles (defined as SNPs with a MAF ≤ 5%) were considered predictor variables, and a measure of disease outcome was considered the outcome variable. By iteratively drawing the subsets of our predictor variables and evaluating their association with disease outcome, we sought to identify groups or clusters of low-frequency and rare alleles associated with different disease outcomes.

Our outcome measure (the predictor variable) is described below. We used a genetic algorithm, implemented by the rga.bin function from the qventional 0.2.0 package in R, to identify clusters of low-frequency and rare alleles (Chatterjee et al., 1996). The rga.bin function was used to select alleles, which were then evaluated with GEE for association with AD remission/persistence. To iteratively improve the group of alleles selected, the rga.bin function requires an outcome metric. This outcome metric was the GEE model’s OR for the association. Each run of the genetic algorithm drew 2,500 subsets of low-frequency and rare alleles (divided into 50 iterations, each with a population size of 50), with replacement, from the set of...
all low-frequency and rare alleles. Multiple runs of the algorithm were computed, and the results across runs were aggregated; alleles that occurred in ≥20 runs were included in the final rare allele groups.

Because the OR of the association was used to inform allele selection, this method represents a supervised clustering approach. P-values were computed from the GEE models. Because numerous independent estimates were computed to obtain the final rare allele groups, P-values were corrected for multiple comparisons according to a Bonferroni correction, accounting for the total number of estimates computed.

Simulation studies
To assess the performance of our genetic algorithm–based approach and to verify the model’s ability to accurately identify the alleles associated with disease, we conducted sensitivity analyses. We evaluated the model’s ability to identify (i) low-frequency and rare alleles associated with more severe disease, (ii) low-frequency and
rare alleles associated with less severe disease, and (iii) when no low-frequency and rare alleles are disease-associated. A simulated dataset of 100 alleles, each with a MAF = 0.01, was constructed, for which binary outcome measures of disease clearance were recorded at 6-month intervals for 12 total years (the simulated data were intentionally reflective of the PEER data, in which binary outcome measures were recorded at 6-month intervals for ~12 years).

Outcomes for each allele were binomially distributed, with a mean probability of a positive outcome varying between 0 (outcome never occurs) and 1 (outcome always occurs). For initial simulations, alleles were assigned outcomes according to a binary distribution with means of 0.95, 0.05, and 0.5 to represent a strong positive association with AD clearance, strong negative association with AD clearance, and no association with AD clearance,

### Table 2. Annotation of the FLG Alleles Associated with Decreased AD Persistence in African Americans

| Location | Nucleotide Change | RSID     | Amino Acid Change | MAF | Functional Region |
|----------|-------------------|----------|-------------------|-----|-------------------|
| 152275810 | G>A               | rs150496930 | p.A3851V         | 0.002 | Repeat domain 12  |
| 152276248 | C>A               | rs377128626 | p.G3705V         | 0.005 | Repeat domain 11  |
| 152276858 | A>G               | rs150047484 | p.S1502P         | 0.002 | Repeat domain 11  |
| 152276976 | G>A               | rs140294281 | p.S3462S         | 0.002 | Repeat domain 11  |
| 152276979 | C>T               | rs145466389 | p.G3461G         | 0.003 | Repeat domain 11  |
| 152277184 | T>C               | rs146234375 | p.H3393R         | 0.002 | Repeat domain 10  |
| 152277637 | G>A               | rs5242F   | 0.002             |      | Repeat domain 10  |
| 152277738 | G>C               | rs774463249 | p.S3242F         | 0.002 | Repeat domain 9   |
| 152277769 | A>C               | rs143183339 | p.G3705V         | 0.002 | Repeat domain 10  |
| 152277794 | C>T               | rs146288788 | p.D3190N         | 0.002 | Repeat domain 10  |
| 152277905 | G>A               | rs148315024 | p.R3153C         | 0.002 | Repeat domain 10  |
| 152278525 | C>T               | rs5946N   | 0.002             |      | Repeat domain 9   |
| 152278706 | G>A               | rs141172870 | p.R2886C         | 0.002 | Repeat domain 9   |
| 152278787 | G>A               | rs2859Y   | 0.002             |      | Repeat domain 9   |
| 152279561 | C>T               | rs146492956 | p.D2601N         | 0.002 | Repeat domain 8   |
| 152280131 | A>G               | rs966449727 | p.S2411P         | 0.002 | Repeat domain 7   |
| 152280134 | A>G               | rs141651911 | p.R2410C         | 0.003 | Repeat domain 7   |
| 152280330 | T>A               | rs368784083 | p.S3445S         | 0.003 | Repeat domain 7   |
| 152281133 | G>A               | rs151189270 | p.R2077C         | 0.002 | Repeat domain 6   |
| 152281389 | C>T               | rs138652718 | p.A1991A         | 0.005 | Repeat domain 6   |
| 152282238 | G>A               | rs151199504 | p.D1708D         | 0.002 | Repeat domain 5   |
| 152282384 | T>A               | rs200033409 | p.T1660S         | 0.002 | Repeat domain 5   |
| 152282684 | G>A               | rs151103850 | p.R1560C         | 0.012 | Repeat domain 5   |
| 152283239 | G>A               | rs772994159 | p.H1175Y         | 0.002 | Repeat domain 4   |
| 152283742 | G>T               | rs142660239 | p.S1207Y         | 0.002 | Repeat domain 4   |
| 152283962 | T>C               | rs140646945 | p.T1134A         | 0.005 | Repeat domain 4   |
| 152284289 | C>G               | rs145939718 | p.A1025P         | 0.003 | Repeat domain 3   |
| 152284376 | C>T               | rs149106390 | p.G996R          | 0.002 | Repeat domain 3   |
| 152284382 | C>T               | rs149595328 | p.G994S          | 0.012 | Repeat domain 3   |
| 152284540 | C>T               | rs547196696 | p.R941H          | 0.003 | Repeat domain 3   |
| 152285021 | C>G               | rs148739675 | p.D781H          | 0.003 | Repeat domain 2   |
| 152285119 | G>C               | rs201522026 | p.T748S          | 0.003 | Repeat domain 2   |
| 152285647 | C>T               | rs749798893 | p.R572Q          | 0.002 | Repeat domain 2   |
| 152285807 | G>T               | rs120166802 | p.H519N          | 0.002 | Repeat domain 2   |
| 152285981 | G>A               | rs184361545 | p.R461W          | 0.002 | Repeat domain 2   |
| 152286006 | C>A               | rs141853805 | p.L445L          | 0.002 | Repeat domain 1   |
| 152286029 | G>A               | rs141853805 | p.L445L          | 0.002 | Repeat domain 1   |
| 152286043 | T>G>T             | rs5948S   | 0.002             |      | Repeat domain 1   |
| 152286061 | G>T               | rs144808372 | p.T434K          | 0.002 | Repeat domain 1   |
| 152286118 | C>A               | rs10415L   | 0.002             |      | Repeat domain 1   |
| 152287645 | G>A               | rs991098106 | —                | 0.002 | Intron variant    |
| 152289544 | C>T               | rs1010015022 | —               | 0.002 | Intron variant    |
| 152291412 | G>C               | rs775513539 | —                | 0.002 | Intron variant    |
| 152293991 | A>T               | rs534188171 | —                | 0.003 | Intron variant    |
| 152296514 | C>T               | rs530028010 | —                | 0.002 | Intron variant    |

Abbreviations: AD, atopic dermatitis; CI, confidence interval; MAF, minor allele frequency; RSID, Reference SNP cluster ID. The OR for the association of these alleles with AD persistence is 5.19 (95% CI = 3.52–7.66, adjusted P = 2.76e-11).

RSID unavailable.
Table 3. Frequencies of Low-Frequency and Rare FLG Alleles in PEER Versus Frequencies in African American Subset of ALFA

| Location     | Nucleotide Change | MAF in PEER | ALFA Allele Frequency, African Americans |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------------|
| 152275810    | G>A               | 0.002       | 0                                       |
| 152276248    | G>A               | 0.005       | 0.0014                                  |
| 152276858    | A>G               | 0.002       | 0.001                                  |
| 152276976    | G>A               | 0.002       | 0.0026                                  |
| 152276979    | C>T               | 0.003       | 0.0027                                  |
| 152277184    | T>C               | 0.002       | 0                                       |
| 152277637    | G>A               | 0.002       | NA                                      |
| 152277738    | G>C               | 0.002       | 0                                       |
| 152277769    | A>C               | 0.002       | 0                                       |
| 152277794    | C>T               | 0.002       | 0.0036                                  |
| 152277905    | G>A               | 0.002       | 0.0009                                  |
| 152278525    | C>T               | 0.002       | NA                                      |
| 152278706    | G>A               | 0.002       | 0                                       |
| 152278787    | G>A               | 0.002       | NA                                      |
| 152279561    | C>T               | 0.002       | 0.0006                                  |
| 152280134    | A>G               | 0.003       | 0.0047                                  |
| 152280330    | T>A               | 0.003       | 0.0003                                  |
| 152281133    | G>A               | 0.002       | 0.0003                                  |
| 152281389    | C>T               | 0.005       | 0.0012                                  |
| 152282238    | G>A               | 0.002       | 0                                       |
| 152282384    | T>A               | 0.002       | 0                                       |
| 152282684    | A>G               | 0.012       | 0.0119                                  |
| 152283239    | G>A               | 0.002       | 0                                       |
| 152283742    | G>T               | 0.002       | 0.0006                                  |
| 152283962    | T>C               | 0.005       | 0.0047                                  |
| 152284289    | C>G               | 0.003       | 0                                       |
| 152284376    | C>T               | 0.012       | 0.0012                                  |
| 152284540    | C>T               | 0.003       | 0                                       |
| 152285021    | G>C               | 0.003       | 0.0053                                  |
| 152285119    | G>C               | 0.003       | 0                                       |
| 152285647    | C>T               | 0.002       | 0                                       |
| 152285807    | G>T               | 0.002       | 0.0002                                  |
| 152285981    | G>A               | 0.002       | 0                                       |
| 152286006    | C>A               | 0.002       | NA                                      |
| 152286029    | G>A               | 0.002       | 0                                       |
| 152286043    | T>G>T             | 0.002       | NA                                      |
| 152286061    | G>T               | 0.002       | 0                                       |
| 152286118    | C>A               | 0.002       | NA                                      |
| 152287645    | G>A               | 0.002       | 0                                       |
| 152289544    | C>T               | 0.002       | 0                                       |
| 152291412    | G>C               | 0.002       | 0                                       |
| 152293991    | A>T               | 0.002       | NA                                      |
| 152296514    | C>T               | 0.003       | 0.0025                                  |
| 152296874    | C>G               | 0.002       | 0.0004                                  |

Abbreviations: ALFA, Allele Frequency Aggregator; MAF, minor allele frequency; NA, not applicable; PEER, Pediatric Eczema Elective Registry.

respectively. First, the model was run 100 times on a dataset where 10 alleles had a probability of clearance (PoC) of 0.95 (the remaining alleles were assigned a PoC of 0.5). Second, the model was run 100 times on a dataset where 10 alleles had a PoC of 0.95 (the remaining alleles were assigned a PoC of 0.5). Third, the model was run 100 times on a dataset in which all 100 alleles had a PoC = 0.5.

We next evaluated the algorithm’s ability to identify a variable number of associated alleles. We applied the algorithm to a simulated dataset of 100 alleles, as mentioned earlier. In this simulation, X alleles were assigned a PoC of 0.95 (the remaining alleles were assigned a PoC = 0.5). We ran 100 simulations each for every value of X between 5 and 15.
We also evaluated the model’s ability to identify alleles as the strength of association varied. In this case, we assigned 10 of 100 alleles a PoC of Z (the remainder had a PoC $= 0.5$). We ran 10 simulations for each value of Z between 0.55 and 0.95, incrementing by 0.05 each time.

We finally evaluated the model’s ability to identify low-frequency and rare alleles as the pool of low-frequency and rare alleles increased in size. Three simulations of 100 runs each were computed for population sizes of 50, 100, and 500. Truly associated alleles represented 10% of the total alleles.

$t$-Tests were used to compare group means. $P$-values for these $t$-tests are presented in the respective figures.

**Participants and genetic data**

Genetic data were obtained from a subset of the PEER study for which DNA samples were available. The PEER study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Pennsylvania (Philadelphia, PA), and written informed consent was obtained from all participants or from their caregivers. Both the overall PEER cohort and the subset with genetic data have been previously described (Berna et al., 2021; Margolis et al., 2014c). This study examines only individuals self-described as African American. Self-described ancestry previously has been determined to strongly correlate with genetic markers of race within this cohort (Lou et al., 2019). We chose to strictly examine African American individuals because of the increased genetic variability observed in this population and the relative paucity of AD genetic studies of African Americans.

DNA was collected with Oragene DNA collection kits (DNA Genotek, Ottawa, Canada). Massively parallel sequencing genotyping of $FLG$ was conducted on the 326 African American PEER individuals with sufficient DNA. Genotyping was by targeted capture using the Agilent SureSelect Platform (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA). Sequencing was performed on an Illumina Hiseq 4000 (Illumina, San Diego, CA). Raw sequencing data were aligned and mapped to the reference genome GRCh37 using the Burrows–Wheeler Aligner, version 0.7.17-r1188 (Li and Durbin, 2010). Single nucleotide variant and insertion and deletion calling were accomplished using the Genome Analysis Toolkit.

**Figure 4.** Plot of low-frequency and rare alleles along the $FLG$ gene (for only those alleles lying in exon 3). Alternating light and dark green segments correspond to the 12 tandem repeats in $FLG$. Allele locations based on Margolis et al. (2019).

**Figure 5.** Application of the model to $FLG$ low-frequency and rare alleles. Average clearance over time for individuals with (blue) and without (black) low-frequency and rare alleles listed earlier. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. AD, atopic dermatitis.
HaplotypeCaller, version 3.7 (Broad Institute, Boston, MA), after following Genome Analysis Toolkit best practices realignment and recalibration (DePristo et al., 2011; Poplin et al., 2018; Van der Auwera et al., 2013).

Uncommon allele model to PEER low-frequency and rare alleles

Before applying the rare allele model to low-frequency and rare FLG alleles found in PEER, alleles were filtered as shown in Figure 3. First, we a priori chose to examine only alleles with a MAF < 5%. Then, we removed the previously identified LoF variants (as identified in Margolis et al. [2018]). Then, alleles strongly correlated (R^2 > 0.1) with LoF alleles were removed. The uncommon variant model was applied to the remaining alleles.

All alleles were read to a mean depth ≥30, with the majority read to a depth ≥100. After extensive discussion with our genetics partners, we concluded that this sequencing is more than adequate to accurately call even the rarest of these alleles. Sequencing depth for each of the 46 uncommon alleles of interest is presented in Table 6.

Outcome measure

Disease clearance was defined using a self-reported outcome of whether or not a child’s skin was symptom free during the previous 6 months. Because children in PEER could be followed for >10 years, participants could have multiple reports of this outcome over time. The association between these outcomes and individual low-frequency and rare alleles was evaluated with GEEs for binary outcomes, assuming an exchangeable working correlation structure with empirical standard errors. This GEE model provided an estimate of the likelihood of AD improvement over time, which we interpreted as a measure of AD remission. An OR > 1 indicates that a risk factor increases the odds of remission; an OR < 1 indicates that a risk factor decreases the odds of disease remission. P-values reported are from these GEE estimates. GEE models were implemented through the geeglm function from the R package geepack 1.2-1.

Table 5. MAFs in GAD Cases and Controls

| RSID       | Location   | MAF, GAD Controls | MAF, GAD Cases |
|------------|------------|-------------------|----------------|
| rs371128626| 152276248  | 0                 | 0.005          |
| rs140294281| 152276976  | 0.025             | 0.010          |
| rs145466389| 152276979  | 0                 | 0.005          |
| rs146288788| 152277794  | 0.017             | 0.005          |
| rs146849256| 152279561  | 0.008             | 0              |
| rs141651911| 152280134  | 0.025             | 0.005          |
| rs151189270| 152281133  | 0                 | 0.005          |
| rs138652718| 152281389  | 0.058             | 0.015          |
| rs20033409 | 152282384  | 0.050             | 0.050          |
| rs151103850| 152282684  | 0.008             | 0.020          |
| rs142660239| 152283742  | 0.008             | 0              |
| rs145939718| 152284289  | 0.008             | 0              |
| rs149595328| 152284382  | 0.008             | 0.020          |
| rs148739675| 152285021  | 0.033             | 0.005          |
| rs201522026| 152285119  | 0.108             | 0.010          |
| rs12036682 | 152285807  | 0                 | 0.005          |

Abbreviations: GAD, genetics of atopic dermatitis; MAF, minor allele frequency; RSID, Reference SNP cluster ID.

Figure 6. LD plot of the 46 low-frequency and rare FLG alleles associated with AD remission. 152286043TG>T not shown. Numbers overlying the shaded boxes represent the R^2 values. AD, atopic dermatitis; LD, linkage disequilibrium.

1 Poplin R, Ruan-Rubio V, De Pristo MA, Fennell TJ, Carniero MO, Van der Auwera GA, et al. Scaling accurate genetic variant discovery to tens of thousands of samples. bioRxiv 2018.
Statistics and visualizations

Demographic characteristics are presented with means and SDs, as appropriate. Plots of clearance over time (with 95% confidence intervals) were constructed to show temporal differences in outcome measures for different groups. It is important to note that although these graphs provide an intuitive visualization of longitudinal differences in the disease course, the GEE models are not computing ORs on the basis of these curves.

Plots of low-frequency and rare alleles along a gene were created using the lolliplot function in R, utilizing the locations in the Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Database (National Center for Biotechnology Information, Bethesda, MD), using reference genome GRCh37 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/snp/).

Table 6. Sequencing Depth for Each Allele in Our 46 Allele Composite

| Location     | Nucleotide Change | RSID          | Mean Total Depth | Mean Alternate Allele Depth | Alternate Allele Fraction | Number of Individuals with Each Allele |
|--------------|-------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| 152275810    | G>A               | rs150496930   | 463              | 218                         | 0.471                    | 1                                      |
| 152276248    | C>A               | rs371128626   | 339              | 158                         | 0.466                    | 3                                      |
| 152276858    | A>G               | rs150047484   | 285              | 137                         | 0.481                    | 1                                      |
| 152276976    | G>A               | rs140294281   | 395              | 190                         | 0.481                    | 4                                      |
| 152276979    | C>T               | rs145466389   | 530              | 276                         | 0.521                    | 2                                      |
| 152277184    | T>C               | rs146234375   | 179              | 89                          | 0.497                    | 1                                      |
| 152277637    | G>A               | rs77463249    | 95               | 53                          | 0.558                    | 1                                      |
| 152277769    | A>C               | rs143183339   | 398              | 213                         | 0.535                    | 2                                      |
| 152277794    | C>T               | rs146288788   | 754              | 371                         | 0.492                    | 1                                      |
| 152277905    | G>A               | rs148315024   | 113              | 56                          | 0.496                    | 1                                      |
| 152278525    | C>T               |               | 60               | 33                          | 0.55                     | 1                                      |
| 152278706    | G>A               | rs141172870   | 590              | 175                         | 0.297                    | 3                                      |
| 152278787    | G>A               |               | 126              | 112                         | 0.889                    | 1                                      |
| 152279561    | C>T               | rs146849256   | 357              | 183                         | 0.513                    | 3                                      |
| 152280131    | A>G               | rs96644972    | 141              | 64                          | 0.454                    | 1                                      |
| 152280134    | G>A               | rs141651911   | 353              | 184                         | 0.521                    | 2                                      |
| 152280330    | T>A               | rs368784083   | 243              | 122                         | 0.502                    | 2                                      |
| 152281133    | G>A               | rs151189270   | 548              | 156                         | 0.285                    | 1                                      |
| 152281389    | C>T               | rs138652718   | 679              | 182                         | 0.268                    | 3                                      |
| 152282238    | G>A               | rs151199504   | 137              | 75                          | 0.547                    | 1                                      |
| 152282840    | T>A               | rs200033409   | 224              | 86                          | 0.384                    | 1                                      |
| 152282684    | G>A               | rs151103850   | 241              | 140                         | 0.581                    | 28                                     |
| 15228339     | G>A               | rs772994159   | 384              | 189                         | 0.492                    | 1                                      |
| 152283742    | G>T               | rs142660239   | 440              | 214                         | 0.486                    | 9                                      |
| 152283962    | T>C               | rs140646945   | 343              | 166                         | 0.484                    | 3                                      |
| 152284289    | C>G               | rs145939718   | 230              | 108                         | 0.47                     | 1                                      |
| 152284376    | C>T               | rs149106390   | 469              | 288                         | 0.614                    | 4                                      |
| 152284382    | C>T               | rs149595328   | 422              | 183                         | 0.434                    | 7                                      |
| 152284540    | C>T               | rs547196696   | 286              | 121                         | 0.423                    | 2                                      |
| 152285021    | C>G               | rs148739675   | 450              | 215                         | 0.478                    | 2                                      |
| 152285119    | C>T               | rs201522206   | 214              | 103                         | 0.481                    | 2                                      |
| 152285647    | C>T               | rs749798893   | 473              | 225                         | 0.476                    | 1                                      |
| 152285807    | G>T               | rs12036682    | 388              | 220                         | 0.567                    | 5                                      |
| 152285981    | G>A               | rs184361545   | 503              | 263                         | 0.523                    | 1                                      |
| 152286006    | C>A               |               | 157              | 74                          | 0.471                    | 1                                      |
| 152286029    | G>A               | rs141885805   | 404              | 209                         | 0.517                    | 1                                      |
| 152286043    | T>G>T             |               | 161              | 80                          | 0.497                    | 1                                      |
| 152286061    | G>T               | rs144803872   | 356              | 158                         | 0.444                    | 1                                      |
| 152286118    | C>A               |               | 268              | 133                         | 0.496                    | 1                                      |
| 152287645    | G>A               | rs991098106   | 66               | 32                          | 0.485                    | 1                                      |
| 152289544    | C>T               | rs1010015022  | 260              | 116                         | 0.446                    | 1                                      |
| 152291412    | G>C               | rs775513539   | 194              | 98                          | 0.505                    | 1                                      |
| 152293991    | A>T               |               | 125              | 53                          | 0.424                    | 1                                      |
| 152296514    | C>T               | rs554188171   | 184              | 99                          | 0.538                    | 2                                      |
| 152296874    | C>G               | rs550028010   | 348              | 186                         | 0.534                    | 1                                      |

Abbreviation: RSID, Reference SNP cluster ID.

1RSID unavailable.
The $R^2$ between the associated alleles identified in the analysis discussed earlier was calculated to show the correlation between alleles within this study population and to show that these alleles are largely not collinear. $R^2$ plots were generated in Haploview (Broad Institute, Boston, MA) (https://www.broadinstitute.org/haploview/haploview). Because these $R^2$ calculations represent the true $R^2$ values within our population of interest and are not intended to represent the $R^2$ within any broader population, they are not powered to any condition.

GAD cohort
As a secondary study, we evaluated the contribution of these alleles to AD risk in a different population. Specifically, we evaluated our low-frequency and rare alleles with AD in an independent cohort, the GAD group. Individuals in GAD were examined by dermatologists with expertise in the diagnosis of AD (from the University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine [Philadelphia, PA], Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia [Philadelphia, PA], Pennsylvania State University/Hershey Medical Center [Philadelphia, PA], and Washington University School of Medicine in St Louis [St Louis, MO]). All subjects had a history and an examination consistent with AD (cases) or had no history of AD by history and examination (controls). For this study, we analyzed only individuals who were African American, by self-report. All subjects or legal guardians provided written informed consent or, if appropriate, assent approved by their appropriate Institutional Review Board.

Genotyping in the GAD cohort was carried out as previously described (Margolis et al., 2020). We then assessed for the association between our rare allele composite and the presence of AD using a chi-square test. $P$-values presented for all GAD cohort analyses represent $P$-values from chi-square tests. All analyses were implemented in R, version 3.6.1.

Data availability statement
The R code for the entire project was uploaded to FigShare and is publicly available.

The DOI for this data is https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14569467.v1.

The Pediatric Eczema Elective Registry data (source) are not currently publicly available. The Pediatric Eczema Elective Registry study is an ongoing study sponsored by Valeant in response to a postmarketing commitment with the Food and Drug Administration. The GAD data (source) are not currently publicly available because this study is still enrolling.

A Browser Extensible Data file of the probes used for targeted capture is available on request from the corresponding author.
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