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Serum Metabolic Profiling Identifies Key Differences between Patients with Single-Ventricle Heart Disease and Healthy Controls
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Abstract: There are growing numbers of infants and children living with single-ventricle congenital heart disease (SV). However, cardiac dysfunction and, ultimately, heart failure (HF) are common in the SV population and the ability to predict the progression to HF in SV patients has been limited, primarily due to an incomplete understanding of the disease pathogenesis. Here, we tested the hypothesis that non-invasive circulating metabolomic profiles can serve as novel biomarkers in the SV population. We performed systematic metabolomic and pathway analyses on a subset of pediatric SV non-failing (SVNF) and failing (SVHF) serum samples, compared with samples from biventricular non-failing (BVNF) controls. We determined that serum metabolite panels were sufficient to discriminate SVHF subjects from BVNF subjects, as well as SVHF subjects from SVNF subjects. Many of the identified significantly dysregulated metabolites were amino acids, energetic intermediates and nucleotides. Specifically, we identified pyruvate, palmitoylcarnitine, 2-oxoglutarate and GTP as promising circulating biomarkers that could be used for SV risk stratification, monitoring response to therapy and even as novel targets of therapeutic intervention in a population with few other options.
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1. Introduction

Due to enhanced surgical interventions, better long-term care and improved treatment of late sequelae, the population of children and young adults living with congenital heart disease (CHD) is on the rise. The survival benefit is most striking in patients with complex lesions, such as those with single-ventricle congenital heart disease (SV), and it is estimated that there are about 1.6 per 10,000 children and young adults living with SV physiology today [1]. CHD with SV physiology encompasses a group of severe abnormalities in the cardiac structure, characterized by underdevelopment of one side of the heart, resulting in a univentricular circulation. SV patients have a higher risk of mortality than patients with any other CHD and patients with a systemic right ventricle (RV) in particular, such as those with hypoplastic left heart syndrome (HLHS), represent the most common SV sub-type and tend to have worse outcomes [2–11]. However, while survival of SV has improved, progressive ventricular dysfunction and ultimate heart failure remain both a common cause of death and indication for cardiac transplantation in this population [12–15]. Moreover, the increased survival of infants and children with SV results in a growing number of patients at risk for this atypical form of heart failure (HF) and prototypical pharmacological HF therapies have been largely ineffective in mitigating the need for cardiac transplantation [1–4]. In fact, SV patients are the most rapidly growing group of young patients presenting for heart
transplantation in the recent era [16]. Unfortunately, however, the ability to predict HF in SV patients has been limited, primarily due to an incomplete understanding of the disease pathogenesis. Therefore, there is an unmet need to identify predictive and prognostic biomarkers that can provide both a rational basis for treatment and a better understanding of risk stratification and HF progression in the SV population.

Metabolites are small molecules that represent biological processes and can influence cell responses locally or systemically. Additionally, metabolites may provide important insights into the mechanisms that underlie disease-related processes and disease progression. The global systematic quantification of metabolites, known as metabolomics, is an emerging -omic technology that has received more attention after the development of transcriptomics, proteomics and genomics [17]. A metabolomic analysis provides a detailed characterization of metabolic phenotypes that can enable the identification of metabolic derangements associated with disease, discovery of new therapeutic targets and identification of biomarkers that may be used for diagnostic purposes or to monitor response to therapy. Additionally, metabolites have the potential to be used individually (i.e., specific metabolites) or in combination to provide a metabolic signature of the disease. However, while metabolomics methods have been applied to an increasing number of disease etiologies with prognostic implications, there is only limited application of this technology in patients with SV [18,19] and even fewer in high-risk young patients with a systemic RV [20].

Because the adaptations of the RV are not tailored to support the high-pressure systemic circulation, patients with SV of RV morphology are at particularly increased risk of morbidity and mortality [2–11] and may be uniquely vulnerable to metabolic changes in energy generation and utilization. In fact, patients with SV have significantly decreased exercise tolerance [21], further suggesting their inability to modulate metabolic demand appropriately. Therefore, patients with a systemic RV in particular are the focus of this study. We performed an untargeted metabolomic analysis on serum from a cohort of non-failing SV subjects (SVNF; \( n = 5 \)) and SV subjects with heart failure (HF) (SVHF; \( n = 5 \)), compared with normal biventricular non-failing controls (BVNF; \( n = 5 \)). We determined that distinct circulating metabolite profiles discriminated SVNF and SVHF subjects from the controls. Moreover, based on a statistical biomarker assessment, we determined that circulating serum metabolites could be a viable non-invasive tool with potential predictive diagnostic and prognostic value. These findings may assist in the risk stratification of SV patients, may serve as valuable measures in response to therapy/intervention and may help identify novel therapeutic targets for the treatment of SVHF.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Cohort

The subjects or guardians of subjects less than 18 years of age included in this study gave written informed consent prior to inclusion in the study and donated their hearts to the Institutional Review Board-approved pediatric biobank at the University of Colorado Denver-Anschutz Medical Campus. The subjects included in this study were males and females of all ethnic backgrounds, less than 18 years of age. Samples from BVNF subjects originated from normal NF patients with preserved cardiac structure and function (EF > 50%). All SV subjects had single-ventricle hearts of right ventricular morphology. Non-failing SV subjects included in this study were patients with SV with normal cardiac function and free from any overt HF symptoms. Failing SV subjects included in this study were patients with overt systolic HF, defined as decreased RV systolic function on transthoracic echocardiogram.

2.2. Blood Processing

Between 3 and 5mL of whole blood was collected in a Red-Top anticoagulant-free tube (Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The samples were incubated for 30 min at room temperature and centrifuged at \( 600 \times g \) at \( 4 \) °C for 20 min to separate serum. Freshly isolated sera were snap-frozen and stored at \( -80 \) °C for future analyses.
2.3. Metabolomic Analyses

Serum samples were used for untargeted metabolomics performed by University of Colorado Metabolomics Core Facility via ultra-high pressure liquid chromatography coupled to online mass spectrometry (UHPLC-MS), as described previously [22,23]. Briefly, samples were extracted in ice-cold lysis/extraction buffer (methanol:acetonitrile:water 5:3:2) at 1:25 dilution. Samples were then agitated at 4 °C for 30 min and then centrifuged at 10,000 g for 15 min at 4 °C. Protein and lipid pellets were discarded, while supernatants were stored at −80 °C prior to metabolomic analyses. Sample extracts were injected into a UPLC system (Ultimate 3000; Thermo, San Jose, CA, USA) and run on a Kinetex XB-C18 column (150 × 2.1 mm and 1.7 µm particle size; Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA) at 250 µL/min (mobile phase, 5% acetonitrile; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), 95% 18 mΩ H2O (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and 0.1% formic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). The UPLC system was coupled online with a QExactive system (Thermo, San Jose, CA, USA), scanning in Full MS mode (2 μscans) at a 70,000 resolution in the 60–900 m/z range, 4 kV spray voltage, 15 sheath gases and 5 auxiliary gases, operated in negative- and then positive-ion mode (separate runs). Calibration was performed before each analysis against positive- or negative-ion mode calibration mixes (Piercenet; Thermo Fisher, Rockford, IL, USA) to ensure subparts per million error of the intact mass. Metabolite assignments were performed using Maven software [5] (Princeton, NJ, USA), upon conversion of .raw files into .mzXML format through MassMatrix (Cleveland, OH, USA). Such software allows peak picking, feature detection and metabolite assignment against the KEGG pathway database to be conducted. Assignments were further confirmed against chemical formula determination (as gleaned from isotopic patterns and accurate intact mass) and retention times against an in-house validated standard library (>650 compounds, including various metabolites, amino acids and acylcarnitines) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA; MLSMS, IROATech, Bolton, MA, USA). Relative quantitation was performed by exporting integrated peak area values into Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, CA, USA) for statistical analyses.

2.4. Data Integration and Statistical Analyses

Significant changes in metabolite expression between any two groups were calculated using Welch’s t-test, p < 0.05. Data integration, statistical analyses and visualization were carried out using the R-based platform, MetaboAnalyst 5.0 [24–26]. Differentially regulated metabolites were subjected to canonical pathway and network analyses using MetaboAnalyst 5.0 [24–26]. Given the small sample size, adjusted p-values were not used.

Both classical univariate receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analyses and multivariate exploratory ROC analyses were performed using MetaboAnalyst 5.0 [24–26]. Classical univariate ROC curve analyses were used to generate ROC curves and to calculate the area under the ROC curve (AUROC), as well as their 95% confidence intervals for individual biomarkers. For the multivariate analysis, ROC curves were generated by Monte Carlo cross-validation (MCCV) using balanced sub-sampling. In each MCCV, two-thirds (2/3) of the samples were used to evaluate the feature importance. The top 2, 3, 5, 10 . . . 100 (max) important features were then used to build classification models, which were validated on the 1/3 of samples that was left out. The procedure was repeated multiple times to calculate the performance and confidence interval of each model. A linear support vector machine (SVM) was used for classification, while features were ranked based on the T-statistic.

3. Results

3.1. Patient Characteristics

The aggregate characteristics for patients included in this study are listed in Table 1. This study included five young patients with normal cardiac structure and function (BVNF; n = 5), five young SV patients with no symptoms of heart failure (SVNF; n = 5) and five young SV patients with systolic heart failure and required transplant (SVHF; n = 5). The BVNF group had a median age of 5.4 years, an interquartile range (IQR) of 4.1–5.6 and 80%
were male. The SVNF group had a median age of 0.6 years, an IQR of 0.3–1.8 and 40% were male. The SVHF tissue group had a median age of 3.9 years, an IQR of 2.8–12.3 and 40% were male. There were no significant differences in age in the BVNF vs. the SVHF groups \((p > 0.99)\) or the SVNF vs. the SVHF groups \((p = 0.15)\); however, the SVNF patients were significantly younger than the BVNF cohort \((p = 0.02)\).

### Table 1. Patient characteristics.

| Age (years) | Sex | PDE3i | PDE5i | Non-PDEi Inotrope | Digoxin | ACEi | β-Blocker | Diuretic | Last Surgical Palliation | Indication for Blood Draw |
|-------------|-----|-------|-------|-------------------|---------|------|-----------|---------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| BVNF        |     |       |       |                   |         |      |           |         |                          |                          |
| 5.4         | M   | N     | N     | N                 | N       | N    | N         | N       | -                        | control                  |
| 4.1         | M   | N     | N     | N                 | N       | N    | N         | N       | -                        | control                  |
| 5.6         | F   | N     | N     | N                 | N       | N    | N         | N       | -                        | control                  |
| 4.1         | M   | N     | N     | N                 | N       | N    | N         | N       | -                        | control                  |
| 12.6        | M   | N     | N     | N                 | N       | N    | N         | N       | -                        | control                  |
| SVNF        |     |       |       |                   |         |      |           |         |                          |                          |
| 1.8         | F   | N     | N     | N                 | N       | N    | N         | N       | Glenn/Hemi-Fontan         | SVNF                     |
| 0.011       | F   | N     | N     | N                 | N       | N    | N         | N       | Norwood                  | SVNF                     |
| 0.3         | M   | N     | N     | N                 | N       | N    | N         | N       | Norwood                  | SVNF                     |
| 2.9         | F   | N     | N     | N                 | N       | N    | Y         | N       | Glenn                    | SVNF                     |
| 0.6         | M   | N     | N     | N                 | Y       | N    | N         | Y       | Norwood                  | SVNF                     |
| SVHF        |     |       |       |                   |         |      |           |         |                          |                          |
| 3.85        | F   | Y     | Y     | Y                 | Y       | Y    | N         | Y       | Fontan                   | SV Systolic HF           |
| 0.99        | F   | Y     | N     | Y                 | Y       | N    | N         | N       | Norwood                  | SV Systolic HF           |
| 12.26       | M   | N     | N     | N                 | Y       | Y    | N         | N       | Glenn/Hemi-Fontan         | SV Systolic HF           |
| 2.84        | F   | Y     | N     | N                 | Y       | N    | Y         | N       | Glenn                    | SV Systolic HF           |
| 14.8        | M   | N     | Y     | N                 | Y       | Y    | N         | Y       | Fontan                   | SV Systolic HF           |

PDEi, phosphodiesterase inhibitors; ACEi, Angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitors; M, male; F, female; N, no; Y, yes.

#### 3.2. Metabolomic Profiling of Serum Circulating Factors Identified Dysregulated Amino Acid Metabolism in SVNF Subjects

The metabolomic analysis on serum from BVNF controls and from SVNF patients identified 196 metabolites in total (Supplementary Table S1). The unsupervised principal component analysis (PCA) and hierarchical clustering segregated the BVNF and SVNF groups based on all circulating metabolites (Figure 1A,D). Among these 196 metabolites, 16 were significantly differentially expressed between BVNF and SVNF serum samples \((p < 0.05)\) (Figure 1B,C). Of the 16 differentially expressed metabolites, 10 were significantly downregulated and 6 were significantly upregulated (Figure 1C,D).

We next sought to determine the specific metabolic pathways altered between BVNF and SVNF serum samples. The 16 significantly dysregulated metabolites are listed in Table 2, classified by the implicated pathway. We observed a total of 12 metabolic pathways significantly altered between BVNF and SVNF sera (Figure 2A and Table 2). The most prominent differences were found in the pathways involved in riboflavin metabolism (Figure 2B), arginine and proline metabolism (Figure 2C) and phenylalanine metabolism (Figure 2D). Together, these results indicate that SVNF subjects displayed altered circulating levels of amino acid and vitamin B2 metabolites compared to BVNF controls.
Figure 1. Serum metabolite profiling identified significant changes in non-failing SV subjects. (A) Principal component analysis of all identified metabolites segregated BVNF (n = 5) and SVNF (n = 5) serum samples. (B) Volcano plot representation of all the 196 metabolites detected by mass spectrometry as the log2 fold-change in expression (x-axis) and the log odds of a gene being differentially expressed (y-axis), highlighting the 16 metabolites that were significantly differentially expressed between BVNF and SVNF sera (above dotted line). (C) Significant and non-significant changes in serum metabolite expression in SVNF vs. BVNF. (D) Heatmap of the 16 significantly differentially expressed metabolites. Unsupervised hierarchical clustering separated BVNF (n = 5) and SVNF (n = 5) serum samples. Each sample is represented by a single column. The higher the intensity of the red color, the higher the abundance of the metabolite.
Table 2. List of all differentially expressed metabolites in BVNF versus SVNF sera, classified by each implicated pathway.

| Metabolite                                      | Fold Change | p-Value |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| **Alcohols and polyols**                        |             |         |
| Inositol 1-2-3-5-6-pentakisphosphate             | −1.749      | 0.026   |
| **Amino acids**                                 |             |         |
| arginine                                        | −1.677      | 0.002   |
| 5-Aminopentanoate                               | −1.802      | 0.002   |
| phenylalanine                                   | −2.053      | 0.025   |
| **Aminosugars**                                 |             |         |
| N-Acetylneuraminate                             | 2.135       | 0.015   |
| **Arginine and proline metabolism**             |             |         |
| 4-Acetamidobutanoate                            | 2.009       | 0.023   |
| **Carbohydrates and carbohydrate conjugates**   |             |         |
| Ferric gluconate                                | −17.737     | 0.000   |
| **Carnitine and fatty acid metabolism**         |             |         |
| Carnitine                                       | −1.373      | 0.031   |
| **Essential fatty acids**                       |             |         |
| Docosapentaenoic acid                           | −2.455      | 0.014   |
| **GSH homeostasis**                             |             |         |
| S-Glutathionycysteine                           | −2.463      | 0.022   |
| **Organosulfur compounds**                      |             |         |
| Diallyl sulfide                                 | −1.432      | 0.049   |
| **Pantothenate metabolism**                     |             |         |
| Pantothenol                                      | 4.590       | 0.022   |
| **Phosphates**                                  |             |         |
| Phosphate                                       | 1.585       | 0.014   |
| **Pteridines and derivatives**                  |             |         |
| Riboflavin                                       | 4.032       | 0.047   |
| **Serine biosynthesis and one-carbon metabolism**|         |         |
| Dimethylglycine                                 | −2.004      | 0.037   |
| **TCA cycle**                                   |             |         |
| Succinate                                       | 2.590       | 0.033   |

3.3. Metabolomic Profiling of Serum Circulating Factors Identified Dysregulated Amino Acid, Pyruvate and Antioxidant Metabolism in SVHF Subjects

Next, we compared serum metabolomic profiles from BVNF controls and SVHF subjects. We identified 196 metabolites in total (Supplementary Table S2). The unsupervised principal component analysis (PCA) and hierarchical clustering segregated the BVNF and SVHF groups based on all circulating metabolites (Figure 3A,D). Among these 196 metabolites, 34 were significantly differentially expressed between BVNF and SVHF serum samples \((p < 0.05)\) (Figure 3B,C). Of the 34 differentially expressed metabolites, 9 were significantly downregulated and 25 were significantly upregulated (Figure 3C,D).
Figure 2. Primary affected metabolic pathways identified in SVNF serum samples. (A) The x-axis and size of circles represent impact of differential metabolites within the pathway. The y-axis and color of circles represent statistical significance of the overall metabolic changes within the pathway. (B–D) Schematic representation of the top three dysregulated pathways between BVNF and SVNF serum samples. (B) Riboflavin metabolic pathway. (C) Arginine and proline metabolic pathway. (D) Phenylalanine metabolic pathway. Metabolites highlighted in red boxes are among the 16 metabolites differentially expressed between BVNF and SVNF serum samples.
Figure 3. SVHF serum elicited significant metabolite changes compared to BVNF serum. (A) Dendrogram of metabolites separated between BVNF (n = 5) and SVNF (n = 5) serum samples. (B) Volcano plot representation of all the 196 metabolites detected by mass spectrometry as the log2 fold-changes in expression (x-axis) and the log odds of a gene being differentially expressed (y-axis), highlighting the 34 metabolites that were significantly differentially expressed between BVNF versus SVHF sera (above dotted line). (C) Significant and non-significant changes in serum metabolite expression in SVHF vs. BVNF. (D) Heat map of the 34 significant metabolites. Unsupervised hierarchical clustering separated BVNF (n = 5) and SVHF (n = 5) serum samples. Each sample is represented by a single column. The higher the intensity of the red color, the higher the abundance of the metabolite.

The 34 significantly dysregulated metabolites are listed in Table 3, classified by their respective implicated pathway. Further, we conducted a pathway analysis on the significantly differentially expressed metabolites and observed a total of 18 metabolic pathways significantly altered in SVHF serum (Figure 4A and Table 3). The most prominent differences were found in the pathways involved in antioxidant defense (glutathione metabolism; Figure 4B).
arginine and proline metabolism (Figure 4D) and pyruvate metabolism (Figure 4C). Together, these results indicate that the metabolite composition of SVHF serum differs from the circulating metabolite profile of SVNF patients. While amino acid metabolism was commonly dysregulated in all SV subjects, SVHF subjects displayed altered circulating levels of energetic metabolites (e.g., pyruvate, lactate) and dysregulated glutathione metabolism (e.g., glutathione dysulfide, 5-oxoproline), suggesting metabolically distinct profiles between SVNF and SVHF circulating metabolites.

Table 3. List of all differentially expressed metabolites in BVNF versus SVHF sera, classified by each implicated pathway.

| Metabolite                                      | Fold Change | p-Value   |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Amino acids                                     |             |           |
| arginine                                        | −5.000      | 0.000     |
| N-Acetylcitrulline                              | −1.510      | 0.006     |
| 2′-3′-Cyclic CMP                                 | −1.656      | 0.007     |
| glutamate                                       | 7.232       | 0.008     |
| 2S-5S-Methionine sulfoximine                    | 14.341      | 0.019     |
| 1-Pyrroline-3-hydroxy-5-carboxylate             | 2.927       | 0.020     |
| Arginine and proline metabolism                 |             |           |
| 4-Acetamidobutanoate                            | 1.925       | 0.002     |
| Azoles                                          |             |           |
| (S)(+)-Allantoin                                | 1.850       | 0.034     |
| Carbohydrates and carbohydrate conjugates       |             |           |
| Ferric gluconate                                | −6.264      | 0.001     |
| Carnitine and fatty acid metabolism             |             |           |
| butanoylcarnitine                               | 3.836       | 0.043     |
| Palmitoylcarnitine                              | 2.060       | 0.044     |
| O-dodecanoylcarnitine                           | 5.895       | 0.047     |
| Carnitine                                       | 1.963       | 0.050     |
| Coumarins and derivatives                       |             |           |
| Triacanthine                                    | 1.884       | 0.030     |
| Essential fatty acids                           |             |           |
| (5Z-8Z-11Z-14Z-17Z)-Icosapentaenoic acid        | −2.378      | 0.040     |
| Glycerophospholipid biosynthesis                |             |           |
| Choline                                         | 2.072       | 0.006     |
| Ethanolamine phosphate                          | 1.587       | 0.041     |
| Glycolysis                                      |             |           |
| Pyruvate                                        | 4.872       | 0.004     |
| Lactate                                         | 4.311       | 0.012     |
| GSH homeostasis                                 |             |           |
| Ascorbate                                       | −51.419     | 0.011     |
| 5-Oxoproline                                    | 2.925       | 0.012     |
| Indoles and derivatives                         |             |           |
| Indole-3-acetaldehyde                           | −4.636      | 0.032     |
| Indole-3-acetate                                | 1.982       | 0.037     |
| Nucleotides                                     |             |           |
| GTP                                             | 4.337       | 0.005     |
| Allantoate                                      | 3.513       | 0.027     |
| Panthothenate metabolism                        |             |           |
| Pantetheine                                     | −5.727      | 0.050     |
| Poly-unsaturated Fatty Acids                    |             |           |
| beta-D-Glucuronoside                            | 2.764       | 0.018     |
| Eicosapentaenoic acid                           | −2.378      | 0.040     |
Table 3. Cont.

| Metabolite                        | Fold Change | p-Value |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| **Pteridines and derivatives**    |             |         |
| Riboflavin                        | 5.492       | 0.035   |
| **Pyrimidines and pyrimidine derivatives** | |         |
| 6-Thioxanthine 5-monophosphate    | 4.433       | 0.034   |
| **Saturated Fatty acids**         |             |         |
| Hexanoic acid (caproate)          | 1.656       | 0.001   |
| Octanoic acid (caprylate)         | 2.809       | 0.036   |
| **Sulfur metabolism**             |             |         |
| Taurine                           | 1.859       | 0.026   |
| **Urea cycle**                    |             |         |
| Ornithine                         | 3.459       | 0.049   |

3.4. Metabolomic Profiling of Serum Circulating Factors Discriminated between SVNF and SVHF Subjects

There were five significantly dysregulated metabolites between the SVNF and the SVHF subjects, including ferric gluconate, arginine, acetamidobutanoate, carnitine and riboflavin (Figure 5A,B), suggesting that these circulating metabolites are associated with SV regardless of HF status. However, significantly increased levels of glutamate were seen specifically in SVHF subjects and the level of glutamate correlated inversely with the level of arginine; the levels appeared to be related to disease progression based on the clustering of each group together on the correlation curve (Figure 5C).

3.5. Metabolomics Profiling as a Diagnostic, Prognostic, or Monitoring Tool

The potential use of serum circulating metabolites as sensitive and specific biomarkers was evaluated by both multivariate exploratory receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analyses and classical univariate ROC analyses. The multivariate ROC analysis demonstrated the ability of metabolite panels to serve as potential diagnostic biomarkers (Figures 6A and 7A). The ability to discriminate between controls and SVHF patients is shown in Figure 6A–C. Using as little as 10 features, the area under the curve (AUC) equals 0.99, suggesting an excellent ability of circulating metabolite panels to distinguish BVNF from SVHF subjects (Figure 6A,C). The top 10 features based on frequency using this model are shown in Figure 6D. Additionally, the multivariate ROC analysis demonstrated the ability to discriminate between SVNF and SVHF subjects based on metabolite panels (Figure 7A–C). Using between 15 and 50 features, AUC ≥ 0.8, suggesting an acceptable-to-excellent ability of circulating metabolite panels to distinguish SVNF from SVHF subjects (Figure 7A,C). The top 15 features based on frequency using this model with 50 features are shown in Figure 7D.
Figure 4. Primary affected metabolic pathways identified in SVHF serum samples. (A) The x-axis and size of circles represent impact of differential metabolites within the pathway. The y-axis and color of circles represent statistical significance of the overall metabolic changes within the pathway. (B–D) Schematic representation of the top three dysregulated pathways between BVNF and SVHF serum samples. (B) Glutathione metabolism pathway. (C) Pyruvate metabolic pathway. (D) Arginine and proline metabolic pathway. Metabolites mentioned in red boxes are among the 34 metabolites differentially expressed between BVNF and SVNF serum samples.
Figure 5. Common and unique metabolite profiles in SVNF and SVHF subjects. (A) Venn dendrogram of differentially expressed metabolites in each group. (B) Abundance of serum circulating arginine and glutamate in BVNF, SVNF and SVHF subjects. For all groups, bar equals mean ± SEM; each point represents individual patient values ($n = 5$ BVNF, $n = 5$ SVNF and $n = 5$ SVHF subjects); $p$-values as indicated based on a one-way ANOVA (Welch’s) and Tukey’s multiple comparison test. (C) Correlation analysis between circulating levels of glutamate and arginine for all groups; dotted line is the 95% confidence interval; $R^2$ and $p$-value as indicated based on goodness of fit (solid line, $R^2$) and simple linear regression ($p$-value).
Figure 6. Multivariate analysis-based diagnostic potential of metabolomic profiles to distinguish BVNF from SVHF subjects. (A) ROC curves based on the cross-validation (CV) performance (curves are from all models averaged from all CV runs). (B) The predictive accuracy of each model based on the total number of metabolite features included in the model, from 5 to 100. (C) A specific ROC curve (Model 2) demonstrating the AUC and 95% confidence interval (CI). (D) The top 10 metabolite features, based on frequency, used for the predictive model (Model 2).
Figure 7. Multivariate analysis-based diagnostic potential of metabolomic profiles to distinguish SVNF from SVHF subjects. (A) ROC curves based on cross-validation (CV) performance (curves are from all models averaged from all CV runs). (B) The predictive accuracy of each model based on the total number of metabolite features included in the model, from 5 to 100. (C) A specific ROC curve (Model 5) demonstrating the AUC and 95% confidence interval (CI). (D) The top 15 metabolite features, based on frequency, used for the predictive model (Model 5).

The classical univariate ROC curve analysis demonstrated the ability of single, specific circulating serum metabolites to serve as potential diagnostic biomarkers (Figure 8). The most significant individual metabolites to discriminate between either BVNF and SVHF or SVNF and SVHF groups were pyruvate, palmitoylcarnitine, 2-oxoglutarate and GTP, suggesting that these metabolites may be valuable prognostic and/or monitoring tools in the failing SV population.
Figure 8. Univariate analysis-based diagnostic potential of individual metabolites to distinguish BVNF from SVHF or SVNF from SVHF. (A–D) univariate ROC curves demonstrating the AUC and 95% confidence interval (CI) and bar graphs demonstrating metabolite abundance for each of the top scoring specific metabolites. (A) Pyruvate. (B) Palmitoylcarnitine. (C) 2-Oxoglutarate. (D) GTP. For all groups, bar equals mean ± SEM; each point represents individual patient values (n = 5 BVNF, n = 5 SVNF and n = 5 SVHF subjects); p-values as indicated based on a one-way ANOVA (Welch’s) and Tukey’s multiple comparison test.
4. Discussion

There is a significant and growing body of literature where metabolomic analyses have been performed in adult HF subjects [27–30]. To our knowledge, there exist only two reports of metabolomic analyses of the myocardium of SV subjects, including one in adult non-failing SV patients with a systemic LV [18,19] and one in young SVNF and SVHF patients with a systemic RV [20]. The lack of comprehensive studies evaluating metabolomic changes in the pediatric SV heart leads to a major gap in knowledge related to the potential use of specific metabolite changes that occur during the progression to HF in SV patients. Moreover, it remains a significant challenge to predict which SV patients will develop clinically significant HF and the prototypical established biomarkers of HF progression, such as brain natriuretic peptide (BNP), are not consistent in the SV population. In this current study, we performed a similar pilot study to O’Connell et al.’s, where we characterized circulating metabolites associated with HF in young SV subjects and corroborated some of the suggested potential metabolomic biomarkers of SV HF progression. Our metabolomic analysis revealed that serum circulating metabolite profiles and pathways differentiated SVHF subjects from BVNF subjects, as well as SVHF subjects from SVNF subjects, including pathways related to specific amino acids, energetic intermediates and nucleotides.

Amino acids (AAs) are important as substrates for signaling molecules regulating metabolism or protein synthesis, as well as a source of energy [31]. Alterations in the circulating levels of AAs could be indicative of alterations in protein synthesis and degradation, as well as altered amino acid catabolism. Studies of adult non-failing SV subjects [18,19] and SVHF subjects [20] found decreased circulating (plasma) concentrations of asparagine, histidine and threonine and an increase in glutamate compared with controls. Similar to what has been seen in prior SV metabolomic studies, we identified significant alterations in AA metabolism. Intriguingly, in our study, we observed a decrease in arginine and phenylalanine. These AAs can be important sources of energy production, as they induce fat catabolism and ketone body utilization [32]. Moreover, we observed that the inverse relationship between arginine and glutamate, specifically, is highly correlated with the progression of HF in SV, suggesting a potential role for these specific circulating AAs as novel prognostic biomarkers of disease progression and response to therapy. Together, these data also suggest that amino acid dysregulation in the setting of SV may be indicative of a unique vulnerability of SV patients to metabolic changes and increased energetic demand. In addition to their use as diagnostic tools, understanding the role of AAs in cellular energetics in the SV population could lead to novel therapeutic interventions.

Oxidative phosphorylation is the primary metabolic pathway used for energy generation and requires the input of reducing equivalents from fatty acid beta-oxidation, pyruvate oxidation and the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle. Therefore, the integration of specific metabolic pathways is important for necessary and sufficient ATP synthesis. Consistent with the hypothesis that the SV heart is vulnerable to changes in myocardial energy supply and demand, a number of circulating metabolites associated with energy metabolism was significantly altered. Here, we show that both non-failing and failing SV subjects had significantly altered levels of circulating carnitine (L-carnitine). Interestingly, we observed a significant decrease in SVNF subjects and a significant increase in SVHF subjects relative to BVNF controls. Circulating palmitoylcarnitine (a long-chain acylcarnitine), on the other hand, was significantly elevated specifically in SVHF subjects. Previous studies have demonstrated that a worse New York Heart Association class was associated with elevated plasma palmitoylcarnitine levels in the setting of pulmonary hypertension and RV dysfunction [33]. These data further suggest the failing SV heart is typified by significant alterations in energy metabolism, which may serve as both a biomarker panel of HF progression and as a novel target of therapy. Moreover, pyruvate is an integral metabolite critical for a number of various metabolic functions. Pyruvate, for example, is the end product of glycolysis, is derived from several additional sources in cells and is ultimately destined for transport into the mitochondria, where it is the master fuel source supporting the TCA cycle flux [34]. Thus, disruptions in pyruvate metabolism can be related to disease
progression, particularly in tissues with a high energetic demand. Here, we demonstrate that significantly elevated levels of circulating pyruvate were associated with SVHF, which may be indicative of greater mitochondrial metabolic perturbations in this population. Intriguingly, recent evidence hypothesized that pyruvate could be a potential therapeutic candidate (reviewed in [35]). Moreover, it has been previously demonstrated that increased serum 2-oxoglutarate was associated with high myocardial energy expenditure and poor prognosis in adult chronic heart failure patients [36]. Therefore, 2-oxoglutarate may serve as a valuable biomarker of dwindling myocardial energy supply and can reflect clinical severity and outcomes of both adult biventricular HF patients and in SVHF patients.

Study Limitations

It is important to note that this is a small-scale pilot study consisting of a total of 15 pediatric subjects (5 in each group). SV is a relatively rare disease; therefore, access to a homogenous age- and sex-matched pediatric study population can be difficult. Moreover, because of the relative rarity of SV, it is not possible for us to determine the influence of age, prior surgical procedures, duration of HF, degree of cyanosis, gestational age, current diet, or the role of specific medications in this study. Nevertheless, even in this small-scale metabolomics study, we address an important gap in knowledge, providing additional insight into the potential use of metabolomic panels and specific metabolites as diagnostic, prognostic, or monitoring tools in a population with few other options. Further, we corroborate the few global metabolomic studies that do exist in the SV population. Metabolomic profiling in SV patients deserves further study given the absence of evidence-based biomarkers in this growing population. Additional and larger studies would be necessary to further validate the use of specific metabolite panels as biomarkers in this population.

5. Conclusions

In summary, an unbiased metabolomic analysis suggests that the serum circulating metabolite milieu in SV patients varies depending on the presence of HF symptoms. The healthy and failing SV circulating metabolomes were typified by alterations in amino acid metabolism, while the failing SV circulating metabolome was further characterized by alterations in specific energetic-related metabolites. We specifically identified pyruvate, palmitoylcarnitine, 2-oxoglutarate and GTP as promising circulating biomarkers that could be used for SV risk stratification, to monitor response to therapy and even as novel therapeutic targets.
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