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ABSTRACT: Many countries in the Global South import a significant share of the food served to tourists. For decades, closer linkages of local food producer and the resort industry have been heralded as an antidote to this unsustainable circumstance, further encouraged by the current consumer trend around local food. Reflections on two qualitative research projects in resorts in South Africa and Fiji challenge the notion that tourists move out of their comfort zone to eat local dishes to any greater extent. Large-scale, internationally branded and managed resorts serve predominantly what their tourists from the Global North like to eat: a Western cuisine. If farmers want to benefit from this resort industry, they have to grow food according to the Western palate, which in turn has questionable impacts on biodiversity levels and environmental health in general. The discussion around localising tourism-related food chains in countries of the Global South needs to acknowledge for whose agenda food is being produced. “Corporate resorts” endorse neither a very sustainable nor a locally adaptable culinary agenda.
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Local food and tourism in the Global South — keep importing?

This opinion piece is a reflection on two participatory research projects in Fiji and South Africa. Both aimed at understanding the issues of hotel-farmer linkages — or rather: the lack of such linkages. The following will highlight some of the issues mentioned by earlier researchers (e.g. Rogerson, 2012; Torres & Momsen, 2004), but also pose a critical question rarely considered: what are the implications for countries of the Global South to produce the kind of food that their international visitors like to eat? Should localising the food chain indeed be a priority?

March 2012, Western Cape, South Africa

I am cutting up pumpkins for a vegetable side dish in the kitchen of a luxury wilderness lodge. The butternuts were grown next door in an organic farming and training project established by the lodge’s owner for unemployed women from local townships. Eight students had the chance to learn organic agricultural practices and apply those skills in their own backyards, hopefully increasing their family’s food security. The project was supposed to be financed largely by the lodge’s kitchen and its need for fresh produce. The day before, the students had harvested a lot of pumpkins while cheerfully lamenting they would not know what to do with them at home and they liked chicken, rice and mayonnaise much more anyway. Upon delivery, the white South African kitchen chef looked at them piling up in his store room, mumbling: “What must I do with all this stuff?”

What sounded like a palatable tourism-led development project with a socio-entrepreneurial edge, based on the easily marketable idea of “local food”, turned out to be a case study of the multi-level and complex issues of tourism-agriculture linkages in developing countries. Those that were supposed to benefit were not interested, because they opposed agricultural labour due to its role in the country’s apartheid history. The kitchen found a challenge in coping with seasonal supply and varying degrees of quality, quantity and consistency. Western tourists paid top dollar and expected their idea of “good food”, which mostly involved prime meat cuts. Despite the lodge owner advocating for the project, no one felt in charge of driving it. Finally, no local culinary heritage was at hand to marry local food supply and tourism expectations into dishes that work for discerning travellers and chefs alike (see Laeis, 2016; Laeis & Lemke, 2016).

After the “organic” frenzy of the early 2000s, consumers in the Global North have turned their attention towards the “local”. It seems that notions of “local” and “ethnic” foods are all over the Western culinary agenda (Legrand, Hindley, & Laeis, in press) and, arguably, play a key role in the creation of the tourism experience (Bessière, 1998; Hall, Sharples, Mitchell, Macionis, & Cambourne, 2003). In contrast to what the globalised agri-food machinery produces, local food can arguably be healthier, fresher, environmentally friendlier as well as more supportive of local, small-scale economies. Such properties, according to many researchers, NGOs and governments, are particularly relevant for the sustainable development of tourism in the Global South. The argument commonly goes: people living in rural areas are at a significant risk of facing poverty (World Bank, 2018) and their livelihoods mostly depend on small-holder agriculture (World Bank, 2016). Enter tourists: presumably they bring economic opportunities to those living in poverty, because tourists seek those “remote” environments, which offer seemingly “pristine” and “authentic” experiences, and need to be fed. The marriage of hungry tourists and local small-scale food producers through
the agency of kitchen chefs is consequently heralded as an antidote to poverty levels in rural places, while at the same time satisfying the assumed interest of visitors in local cuisines. Yet, in many destinations this rather obvious and theoretically mutually beneficial linkage between farmers and chefs remains a challenge. Many emerging economies of the Global South suffer from significant economic leakages of tourism income, not least of all due to food importation (e.g. Anderson, 2013; Lacher & Nepal, 2010; Meyer, 2007; Pratt, Suntikul, & Dorji, 2018).

May 2017, Coral Coast, Fiji

“New order’s up: two fish ‘n chips, one club sandwich, one burger” shouts the sous chef in charge of the pool bar kitchen “New order’s up: two fish ‘n chips, one club sandwich, one burger” shouts the sous chef in charge of the pool bar kitchen from significant economic leakages of tourism income, not least of all due to food importation (e.g. Anderson, 2013; Lacher & Nepal, 2010; Meyer, 2007; Pratt, Suntikul, & Dorji, 2018).

Yet, in many destinations this rather obvious and theoretically mutually beneficial linkage between farmers and chefs remains a challenge. Many emerging economies of the Global South suffer from significant economic leakages of tourism income, not least of all due to food importation (e.g. Anderson, 2013; Lacher & Nepal, 2010; Meyer, 2007; Pratt, Suntikul, & Dorji, 2018).

May 2017, Coral Coast, Fiji

What happened to those South Pacific island staples, such as coconuts, root crops and fresh vegetables? Why was I serving food that could perhaps be served in any other international resort or fast-food restaurant around the world without raising eyebrows? Is every tourist really keen to try foreign foods? Do farmers not grow enough food or not the right produce? Moreover, who has the power over the discourse that shapes the understanding of “local”, “authentic” and “desirable” in the globalised and globalising tourism industry?

In pursuit of these questions, it is important to bear in mind that tourism is one of the largest industries in the world and a powerful economic factor in the Global South. In 2012, emerging economies generated about five times as much income through tourism (about US$ 386 billion) than development assistance funds directed to them (UNWTO, 2013). Yet, it remains questionable whether they indeed benefit from promoting inbound tourism (Cárdenas-García, Sánchez-Rivero, & Pulido-Fernández, 2015; Ekanayake & Long, 2011). Medina-Muñoz, Medina-Muñoz, and Gutiérrez-Pérez (2016) found contradictory evidence about tourism’s value for the poor and concluded that tourism development has not been inclusive. A recent study by Oviedo-García, González-Rodríguez, and Vega-Vázquez (2018, p. 1) support this finding in the case of the Dominican Republic, where “sun-and-sea all-inclusive tourism” has neither alleviated poverty, nor reduced inequality. In the discussion around why hotels and local farmers rarely connect well, we need to realise
words, destinations countries with a predominantly Western culture, and seek standardised corporate resorts, then those resorts serve largely Western food, perhaps garnished with the odd localised cultural experience buffet.

Based on this argument, the question arises why Fiji’s agricultural sector could not benefit from the tourists’ demand for food anyway? Does it matter whether Fijian farmers grow traditional root crops, or strawberries and green asparagus? If we look at the breakdown of food imported by those resorts, it becomes apparent that mostly internationally well-established vegetables are required (e.g. tomatoes, lettuce, capsicum (peppers) and potatoes), whereas locally adapted species, such as taro, coconut, yams and breadfruit, play a rather insignificant role. Secondly, meat and dairy production hold the greatest financial opportunity for Fiji’s agricultural development (Scheyvens & Laeis, in press). From an agricultural policy point of view, this situation has a few noteworthy implications for Fiji. Farmers wishing to benefit from tourism income need to produce for the tourists’ palate. Currently, this palate asks mostly for a Western diet. However, the steep growth rate of Asian tourists visiting Fiji (Fiji Bureau of Statistics, 2018) might foreshadow a change in the cuisine preferred by tourists. In any case, foreign foods are required and not local produce from Fiji’s more traditional and adapted small-scale agriculture. The various leafy greens, coconut products and root crops are the realm of indigenous household cuisine, not that of corporate resorts. This rather lopsided demand in favour of Western cuisine leads to what Crosby (1986) termed “Europeanisation” of the natural environment in the Global South. Local agricultural species are being pushed aside through the introduction of plants and animals that are most desired by international visitors. Some authors argue that this constitutes neo-colonisation through tourism (Crick, 1989; Hall & Tucker, 2004; Nash, 1989). It speaks critically to the debate about the importance of biodiversity and agro-ecological approaches in food production and tourism (Legrand et al., in press; Teelucksingh & Watson, 2013; Thaman, 2008). It also challenges a fundamental paradigm of sustainable tourism development: the integrity and self-determination of host communities (UNWTO & UNEP, 2005).

Moreover, increasing production of meat and dairy might have significant environmental ramifications. The creation of land for grazing and fodder production will not only destroy natural habitats and reduce biodiversity, but also result in land erosion and subsequent siliation of waterways and reefs (Pimentel, 2006; Steinfeld, Gerber, Wassenaar, Castel, & De Haan, 2006). Threats to the fragile coastal reefs of the Pacific islands and other coastal tourist destinations need to be of particular concern. They are climate change-endangered habitats and breeding grounds for a large variety of saltwater species as well as sources of food and cultural relevance for indigenous communities (see also Hoffmann, 2002). The rearing of ruminants further increases greenhouse gas emissions and would seem a hypocritical path to take for a country such as Fiji that recently presided over the 25th Conference of Parties to the UN Climate Change Convention.

The domination of Fiji’s tourism industry by visitors from Australia and New Zealand epitomises the context many destinations in the Global South find themselves in: attractive nature, pleasant climate, remoteness, and an image of authenticity draw in masses of visitors from the Global North, equipped with their very own ideas of desirable food and cultural experiences. They may pay lip service to being interested in anything local, some may genuinely be, but observations from Fiji and South Africa suggest that many tourists predominantly prefer the type of food they know and feel comfortable with. The result in terms of a tourism-agriculture linkage is that farmers need to adapt to the tourists’ palate, which in turn has questionable impacts on biodiversity levels and environmental health in general. Perhaps it is more “sustainable” for these countries to keep importing food for their tourists and not internalise the externalities of the Western diet? Continuing to import food from international markets will not solve the issue of economic leakages, nor the associated CO2 impact. To promote stronger linkages of food producers and tourism operators, tourism policy makers need to start considering what kind of tourism they would like to see in their own countries. From my point of view, the large-scale, upmarket “corporate resorts” should not feature to a large extent on this list.

References

Anderson, W. (2013). Leaks in the tourism systems: Case of Zanzibar. Tourism Review, 68(1), 62–76. https://doi.org/10.1108/16605371311310084
Bélisle, F. J. (1983). Tourism and food production in the Caribbean. Annals of Tourism Research, 10(4), 497–513. https://doi.org/10.1016/0160-7383(83)90005-1
Bessière, J. (1998). Local development and heritage: Traditional food and cuisine as tourist attractions in rural areas. Sociologia Ruralis, 38(1), 21–34. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.socren.38.1.273
Cárdenas-García, P. J., Sánchez-Rivero, M., & Pulido-Fernández, J. I. (2015). Does tourism growth influence economic development? Journal of Travel Research, 54(2), 206–221. https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287515514297
Crick, M. (1989). Representations of international tourism in the social sciences: Sun, sex, sights, savings, and servility. Annual Review of Anthropology, 18(1), 307–344. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.an.18.100189.001515
Crosby, A. W. (1986). Ecological imperialism: The biological expansion of Europe, 900–1900. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
Ekanyake, E. M., & Long, A. E. (2011). Tourism development and economic growth in developing countries. Global Conference on Business and Finance Proceedings, 6(2), 520–526.
Fiji Bureau of Statistics. (2018). Visitor arrivals statistics. http://www.statsfiji.gov.fj/statistics/tourism-and-migration-statistics/visitor-arrivals-statistics
Hall, C. M., Sharples, L., Mitchell, R. K., Macionis, N., & Cambourne, B. (eds.) (2003). Food tourism around the world: Development, management and markets. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann.
Hall, C. M., & Tucker, H. (2004). Tourism and postcolonialism: Contested discourses, identities and representations. Oxon: Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203392270
Hoffmann, T. C. (2002). Coral reef health and effects of socio-economic factors in Fiji and Cook Islands. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 44(12), 1281–1293. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X(02)00260-6
Lacher, R. G., & Nepal, S. K. (2010). From leakages to linkages: Local-level strategies for capturing tourism revenue in northern Thailand. Tourism Geographies, 12(1), 77–99. https://doi.org/10.1080/1461680903645654
Laeis, G. C. M. (2016). Agriculture and food sourcing. In P. Sloan, W. Legrand, & J. S. Chen (eds), Sustainability in the hospitality industry: Principles of sustainable operations (3rd edn, pp. 197–226). London: Routledge.
Laeis, G. C. M. (2019). What’s on the menu? How the cuisine of large-scale, upmarket tourist resorts shapes agricultural development in Fiji. Doctoral dissertation, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand.
Laeis, G. C. M., & Lemke, S. (2016). Social entrepreneurship in tourism: Applying sustainable livelihoods approaches. International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management, 28(6), 1076–1093. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-05-2014-0235
Legrand, W., Hindley, C., & Laeis, G. C. M. (in press). Food biodiversity, food cultures and sustainable gastronomy. In D. Hunter, T. Borelli, & E. Gee (eds), *Biodiversity, food and nutrition: A new agenda for sustainable food systems*. London: Routledge/Earthscan.

Medina-Muñoz, D. R., Medina-Muñoz, R. D., & Gutiérrez-Pérez, F. J. (2016). The impacts of tourism on poverty alleviation: An integrated research framework. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism, 24*(2), 270–298. https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2015.1049611

Meyer, D. (2007). Pro-poor tourism: From leakages to linkages. A conceptual framework for creating linkages between the accommodation sector and ‘poor’ neighbouring communities. *Current Issues in Tourism, 10*(6), 558–583. https://doi.org/10.2167/cit313.0

Mowforth, M., & Munt, I. (2016). *Tourism and sustainability: Development, globalisation and new tourism in the Third World* (4th edn). London: Routledge.

Nash, D. (1989). Tourism as a form of imperialism. In V. Smith (ed.), *Hosts and guests: The anthropology of tourism* (2nd edn, pp. 37–52). Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.

Oliver, R., Berno, T., & Ram, S. (2013). *Mea’ai Samoa: Recipes and stories from the heart of Polynesia*. Auckland: Random House.

Oviedo-García, M. Á., González-Rodríguez, M. R., & Vega-Vázquez, M. (2018). Does sun-and-sea all-inclusive tourism contribute to poverty alleviation and/or income inequality reduction? The case of the Dominican Republic. *Journal of Travel Research, 58*(6), 995–1013. https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287518789272

Pimentel, D. (2006). Soil erosion: A food and environmental threat. *Environment, Development and Sustainability, 8*(1), 119–137. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-005-1262-8

Pratt, S., Suntikul, W., & Dorji, U. (2018). Economic sustainability? Examining the linkages and leakages between agriculture and hotels in Bhutan. *International Journal of Tourism Research, 20*(5), 626–636. https://doi.org/10.1002/trj.2211

Rogerson, C. M. (2012). Tourism-agriculture linkages in rural South Africa: Evidence from the accommodation sector. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism, 20*(3), 477–495. https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2011.617825

Scheyvens, R., & Laeis, G. C. M. (2018). Linkages between tourism resorts, local food production and the Sustainable Development Goals. *Tourism Geographies, 20*(2), 341–342. https://doi.org/10.1080/14616688.2018.1434818

Steinfeld, H., Gerber, P., Wassenaar, T. D., Castel, V., & De Haan, C. (2006). *Livestock’s long shadow: Environmental issues and options*. Rome: FAO.

Telfer, D. J., & Wall, G. (1996). Linkages between tourism and food production. *Annals of Tourism Research, 23*(3), 635–653. https://doi.org/10.1016/0160-7383(95)00087-9

Thaman, R. R. (2008). Pacific Island agrobiodiversity and ethnobioregion: A foundation for sustainable Pacific Island life. *Biodiversity (Nepean), 9*(1-2), 102–110. https://doi.org/10.1080/14888386.2008.9712895

Timms, B. F., & Neill, S. (2011). Cracks in the pavement: Conventional constraints and contemporary solutions for linking agriculture and tourism in the Caribbean. In R. Torres & J. H. Momes (eds), *Tourism and agriculture: New geographies of production and rural restructuring* (pp. 104–116). London: Routledge.

Torres, R. M., & Momsen, J. H. (2004). Challenges and potential for linking tourism and agriculture to achieve pro-poor tourism objectives. *Progress in Development Studies, 4*(4), 294–318. https://doi.org/10.1011/146993404050925

UNWTO. (2013). Sustainable tourism for development guidebook. http://cf.cdn.unwto.org/sites/all/files/docpdf/devcoengfinal.pdf

UNWTO & UNEP. (2005). *Making tourism more sustainable: A guide for policy makers*. http://www.unep.fr/shared/publications/pdf/DTIx0592xPA-TourismPolicyEN.pdf

World Bank. (2016). *Agriculture and rural development*. http://data.worldbank.org/topic/agriculture-and-rural-development

World Bank. (2018). *Poverty and shared prosperity: Piecing together the poverty puzzle*. Washington, DC: Author.

Zoomslide. (2014). Real Pasifik. Auckland: TVNZ.