Networks are endowed with the capacity to generate a large repertoire of behaviorally relevant patterns and oscillations relying on the intrinsic properties of assemblies of interconnected neurons. These oscillations are not only involved in integrative programs, but also play an important role in the induction of long-lasting modifications of synapse efficacy. Recurrent activation of synapses and interconnected networks leads to various forms of neuronal plasticity that constitute the main mechanisms on which networks rely to augment or reduce the response to incoming information. These mechanisms have been extensively investigated, relying on protocols that induce short- and long-term potentiation and depression of synaptic efficacy. They are usually triggered by a rise in \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]\), that initiates a cascade of molecular events and more permanent changes associated with the formation of novel synapses. These mechanisms constitute the core of the modulation by activity and experience of network activity and the essence of memory processes.

Epilepsies are associated with episodes of altered/enhanced activity, and therefore they are expected to also produce long-term alterations in synaptic efficacy and network reorganizations. Studies performed in the last 2 to 3 decades have shown that “seizures beget seizures,” with a cascade of events triggered by seizures that transform a naive network into one that generates seizures. The sequence starts with an inaugurating event/insult, followed by a cascade of molecular and biochemical events that produce the quasi-permanent shift. They culminate with a reactive plasticity associated with
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sprouting of axons and the formation of novel synapses that appear to play a central role in the generation of seizures. These novel synapses are aberrant, since they are formed on neurons that do not possess these inputs in naive conditions. They are also unique to epileptic tissues, as they use receptors and signals that do not operate in controls (reactive plasticity). These important features have several important fundamental and applied consequences.

This paper will discuss these issues, stress the similarities and differences between physiological and pathogenic forms of neuronal plasticity, and ask the following questions: Do seizures lead to similar alterations as episodes of enhanced activity engaged in memory processes? If not, how do they differ? Are physiologically relevant mechanisms altered to leave a trace of the original insult, leading to the pathogenic generation of abnormal patterns and their neurological correlates? Since alterations of neuronal activity are observed in other disorders, is reactive plasticity also involved in nonepileptic types of disorders? Finally, the general implications of these observations will be briefly discussed. Since seizures also induce long-lasting sequelae in developing brain structures, but by quite different means, the relationship between seizures and epilepsies in the developing brain will also be briefly addressed.

### The hippocampal circuitry: a target for studies on various forms of neuronal plasticity

Most of our understanding of the mechanisms of neuronal plasticity and their link with seizures comes from studies devoted to the hippocampus. This “simple” cortical structure is composed of a principal layer—the pyramidal and granule cell layer—and molecular layers with basal and apical dendrites of principal neurons—the stratum oriens and stratum radiatum respectively. These principal neurons are excitatory, using glutamate as a transmitter with primarily α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methylisoxazole-4-propionic acid (AMPA) receptors to generate the fast-acting excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs). Powerful stimulation can also activate N-methyl-D-aspartic acid (NMDA) receptors—a calcium-permeable receptor channel complex that is blocked in physiological conditions by Mg²⁺ ions in a voltage-dependent manner. The increase in the intracellular concentration of calcium leads to a cascade of molecular events that will produce long-lasting quasi-permanent changes in synaptic efficacy, primarily by means of postsynaptic mechanisms.

High-frequency stimuli of synapses generate a long-term potentiation of synaptic transmission with long-lasting enhanced EPSCs. The induction is generated by an influx of calcium, mainly mediated by NMDA receptors, and the expression is mediated by a persistent increase in the density of AMPA receptors. In most protocols, NMDA receptor-mediated EPSCs are augmented by the
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**Selected abbreviations and acronyms**

- **AMPA**: α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methylisoxazole-4-propionic acid
- **EPSC**: excitatory postsynaptic current
- **GABA**: γ-aminobutyric acid
- **HFO**: high-frequency oscillations
- **LTP**: long-term potentiation
- **NMDA**: N-methyl-D-aspartic acid
protocol. Brief seizures produced for instance by brief applications of the powerful convulsive agent kainate (see below) also induce a long-term potentiation (LTP) of EPSCs. Like physiological LTP, the induction of this process is mediated by the activation of NMDA receptors. However, the expression of this “epileptic LTP” also involves NMDA receptor-mediated EPSCs that are persistently facilitated. Thus, seizures produce long-lasting alterations of synapse efficacy, but the underlying mechanisms are different. Several mechanisms have been suggested to explain the persistent increase of NMDA receptor-mediated EPSCs, including alterations of regulating sites of the receptor channel complex. Interestingly, other insults also produce long-term alterations of synaptic efficacy, including brief anoxic insults, suggesting that pathological forms of LTP may constitute a general mechanism involved in translating their deleterious sequelae into alterations of synapse efficacy. Therefore, episodes of augmented activity or other insults do lead to persistent changes of synaptic efficacy, somehow deviating physiological processes.

**Kainic acid: an analogue of glutamate that plays an important role in neuronal synchronizations**

Purified-form marine algae, kainic acid, is an analogue of glutamic acid that produces a long-lasting excitation of neurons via a subclass of glutamate receptors. These receptors are enriched, notably on mossy fiber synapses established on the proximal apical dendrites of CA3 pyramidal neurons (stratum lucidum). More recent studies have shown kainate receptor-mediated synapses confirming their role in physiological conditions. Thus, “pure” kainate receptor-mediated EPSCs are recorded in CA3 pyramidal neurons. These EPSCs differ from AMPA receptor-mediated EPSCs by their longer kinetics and their smaller amplitude. In addition, mixed AMPA/kainate receptor-mediated EPSCs are also recorded, indicating that both receptor types are involved in mediating fast glutamatergic synaptic activity. Kainate receptors are also enriched on GABAergic interneurons, where they provide almost half the ongoing glutamatergic activity. A direct association between mossy fiber terminals and “kainatergic” synapses has also been shown, confirming the close relationship between kainate signaling and the CA3 mossy fiber terminals. Kainatergic postsynaptic currents (PSCs) have a slower and smaller amplitude than the conventional AMPA receptor-mediated currents, suggesting that they will play different tunes in the generation of synchronized oscillations.

Several subclasses of kainate receptors have been cloned, including the GluR5 subunits that are enriched on interneurons, and the GluR6 subunits enriched on mossy fiber synapses. The GluR6 subunits are enriched on mossy fiber terminals, and have been associated with seizures. The threshold for seizure generation is reduced in transgenic animals depleted of this subunit. On the other hand, GluR5 subunits are enriched on interneurons where they provide as much as half of the excitatory inputs. Therefore, kainate signaling will both facilitate paroxysmal activity by means of GluR6 receptor-containing synapses, and prevent seizures by means of GluR5 receptor-containing synapses and the augmented inhibition that they produce.

**The kainate animal model generates a seizure and brain damage syndrome that mimics human temporal lobe epilepsies**

Systemic or intracerebral injections of kainate generate long-lasting limbic seizures, followed by a pattern of lesions in the hippocampus and other limbic structures. The electrographic pattern and the subsequent lesions have a distribution that is quite similar to that observed in patients suffering from temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE) with a preferential involvement of the CA3 and CA1 regions, GABAergic interneurons, and the pyriform cortex, as well as other limbic cortices and subcortical regions. The amygdala plays a central role in the sequence, as suggested by the powerful actions of very low concentrations of kainate injected in that region and the clinical and electrographic signs that imply the involvement of that structure. Extensive investigations clearly indicate that the neuronal cell loss is at least in part due to the excessive activation of certain glutamatergic fibers, and in particular the mossy fiber terminals that produce a lesion of the neurons that they innervate.

**From cell loss to neosynapse formation**

Neurons adjacent to, or even linked to, damaged neurons do not remain idle. Studies in the early 1970s had already shown that in the hippocampus, lesions of the perforant
pathway leads to a reorganization of other inputs, with aberrant connections being formed. Sprouting after an episode of status epilepticus has been extensively illustrated with kainate and other models of seizures. In the early 1980s, studies relying on the unique feature of mossy fibers—the high density of zing-positive Timm stain and of high-affinity kainate receptors—showed that, after seizures, an additional band of mossy fiber terminals is formed (Figures 1 to 3). This has been extensively confirmed in a variety of animal models of epilepsies, and in human temporal lobe epileptics and other types of epilepsies. The formation of novel synapses was also shown by electron microscopy, relying on the unique features of mossy fiber terminals that form the largest complex of postsynaptic excrescences readily identified with certainty. Therefore, new synapses are formed after status epilepticus, and many of these synapses are aberrant, ie, present on target neurons that are not innervated by these neurons in naive networks.

Studies using molecular biology tools indicate that after a status epilepticus as many as 1000 genes are activated. These occur with a progressive time course—from immediate early genes to growth factors (hours after the event) to receptors and cell adhesion molecules days later, providing a likely scenario of molecular cascades.
set in motion by the episode of hyperactivity. The actual sprouting is most likely terminated within 1 to 2 weeks. The functionality of these novel synapses was confirmed with electrophysiological recordings. Thus, recordings of pyramidal neurons after a status epilepticus showed an increased frequency of glutamatergic EPSCs, in keeping with the increased density of glutamatergic terminals (Figure 4, also see below).54,52,53

Since GABAergic interneurons also degenerate, inhibitory currents were also expected to be affected. Earlier studies suggested that a reduction in GABAergic inhibition precedes the initiation of ongoing seizures by the epileptic circuit.54,55 Recordings made more recently from a variety of interneurons and the somata and dendrites of principal cells showed a massive reduction of the frequency of GABAergic PSCs in the dendrites of the principal cells, in keeping with the preferential degeneration of somatostatin-positive interneurons that innervate selectively the dendrites of pyramidal neurons.56,57 The frequency of GABAergic PSCs recorded in the somata of principal neurons was not significantly different from controls.56,57 Therefore, a dual consequence of seizures on inhibition will occur with a loss of dendritic inhibition but a preservation of somatic inhibition. Interestingly, whereas somatic inhibition controls the generation of spikes and the output of the system, dendritic inhibition controls the currents generated by incoming EPSCs and therefore the inputs of the network.58 Therefore, although the threshold of seizures is reduced in epileptic networks, the control of somatic activity still operates and prevents an ongoing status epilepticus. Most importantly, these observations suggest that reactive plasticity does not occur in GABAergic neurons, since the loss of dendrite inhibition is permanent. This difference between anatomic plasticity and reaction to damage may constitute a major property of networks in neurodegenerative disorders.

The operation of epileptic and naive networks: similar but different

Newly formed synapses are aberrant anatomically, but also in their operation. Thus, in controls, granule cells operate exclusively by means of AMPA (and NMDA) receptor-mediated channels. In contrast, weeks after seizures, when novel synapses have been formed, they also display pure kainate receptor-mediated EPSCs.59 Therefore, the sprouting of mossy fibers imposes the formation of aberrant kainatergic synapses in the novel targets of mossy fibers (Figure 3).19 These new synapses will have important consequences on the operation of this essential input of the hippocampal formation. Indeed, AMPA receptor-mediated EPSCs are brief, with a short latency and a time-locked precise response, therefore generating large synchronized currents. In contrast, kainate-operated currents are of small amplitude, long duration, and there are probably more opportunities for summations and alterations of synaptic efficacy. To sum up, epileptic tissues have epileptic synapses that are unique to this tissue, in that they have an aberrant distribution and a signaling profile that promotes synchronized activities. This important observation implies clearly that even in the absence of seizures, “epileptic networks” operate differently from control ones. It also shows also why the link between kainate receptors, seizures, and epilepsies is instrumental.

Another illustration of the differences between naive and genuine epileptic networks comes from studies in humans: surgically removed hippocampal slices in patients suffering from TLE. Electrophysiological recordings made in these slices show that in a significant per-

Figure 3. Increased kainate receptors binding in regions innervated by aberrant mossy fibers. There is an increased density of receptors thirty days, but not 12 days, after an inaugurating status. The latency correlates with the time needed to form novel synapses. Reproduced from ref 19: Represa A, Tremblay E, Ben-Ari Y. Kainate binding sites in the hippocampal mossy fibers: localization and plasticity. Neuroscience. 1987;20:739-748. Copyright © Elsevier Science 1987.
percentage of neurons, GABA has a depolarizing action because of an accumulation of chloride in neurons and thus a different gradient for chloride. Indeed, GABA excites neurons in the epileptic network; this is expected to lead to major changes in the operation of the network, since inhibitory GABA plays a central role in the generation of oscillations. This property also illustrates yet another property of networks in degenerative disorders: the return to immature properties. Indeed, in all developing brain structures and animal species, there is a higher [Cl\(^-\)], a property that appears to have been preserved throughout evolution. The consequence is that GABA excites immature neurons, generating sodium and calcium action potentials and producing a large calcium influx that underlies the trophic actions of GABA on developing neurons. There are several indications that after insults of different types, the neurons recuperate or return to their immature situation, at least as far as some signals are concerned, with the expression of various factors only found during development: “epileptogenesis recapitulates ontogenesis.” These effects are due to a loss of a chloride cotransporter that acts to remove chloride from neurons. Here again, the genuinely epileptic tissue has unique features not found in naive networks.

**Anoxic insults lead to similar post-traumatic alterations**

Reactive plasticity is not restricted to epilepsies. Indeed, it has long been recognized that ischemic insult augments the occurrence of seizures and late-onset epilepsy in humans and in animal models. A remodeling of neuronal networks also often follows the cell loss produced in CA1 pyramidal neurons after a four-vessel occlusion model. The damage includes various GABAergic interneurons, and is associated with long-term hyperexcitability. Electrophysiological recordings of CA3 pyramidal neurons suggest that important morphofunctional reorganization has occurred, and that this is irreversible. Sprouting of mossy fibers has also been documented after ischemic insults, as well as an increased glutamatergic activity manifested by a dramatic enhancement of both the frequency of spontaneous glutamatergic EPSCs and that of miniature synaptic currents, suggesting an enhanced quantal release of glutamate. Therefore, cell loss produces massive modifications of the entire circuit, including neurons that are afferent to the damage and are associated with reorganization of networks. These alterations may then lead to hyperactivity and seizures in the posts ischemic network, in keeping with the extensive clinical data suggesting posts ischemic hyperactivity.

**General implications of these observations**

The first implication of these observations is that seizures beget seizures. This is not acknowledged by many clinicians, primarily concerning temporal lobe seizures, since surgery often alleviates the ongoing seizures. Had alterations occurred, and if seizures begot seizures, then this would not be the case. However, it may be worth stressing that antiepileptic regimens are usually pursued after successful surgery; this is not readily compatible with a completely focal origin and restriction of the events. In addition, the removal in successful operations of large samples of the presumed focus may reflect the need to remove generators other than the identified focus, possibly because of generalization of seizures and alterations of sites distal to the focus. In other terms, we do not know at present whether the morphological substrate of the focus corresponds exactly to the electrical pacemaker cell assembly. I suggest that this is not the case, and that an ensemble of neurons outside the focus—in the vicinity of, or in distal regions connected to the focus—contribute to the damage and the seizures. However, additional experiments are clearly needed to clarify how many seizures lead to synapse reorganizations, and how this contributes to the formation of distal independent pacemaker cell assemblies (see also below). We need to compare the extent of the damage after a few seizures to that observed after a long period of ongoing seizures. My predictions are that these will differ significantly.

The second implication is that epileptic networks may well operate differently from naive ones, independently of the epileptogenicity. Thus, if aberrant synapses operate with different receptors, the generation of behaviorally relevant oscillations by the network will be affected. The kinetics of epileptic kainite-mediated synaptic currents is much longer than the naive AMPA currents, and thus the generation of high-frequency oscillations and the integrative properties are expected to be affected. Since epileptic networks use similar ensembles to those that generate important integrative functions, they are expected to impact these functions. In keeping with this, place cells operate differently in naive hippocampi and in epileptic ones.
The third implication is that reactive plasticity should be taken more into account in our understanding of epilepsies, and possibly also neurodegenerative disorders. If synapses reorganize, new ones are formed, and a fortiori if these operate by different receptors and intracellular signals, then it may be worth using genuine epileptic networks to understand the underlying mechanisms and develop new antiepileptic drugs and regimens. Using a naive network that sei zes acutely under the influence of a convulsive agent is to a large extent irrelevant. This approach, which is by far the most used to study basic mechanisms of epilepsies and develop new drugs, simply does not take into account the salient feature of genuine epilepsies, namely the aberrant synapses and signals as well as the unique networks formed a few weeks after the inaugurating event. Stated differently, it is important to study the chronic aspects of genuine epilepsies and not convulsions. An anticonvulsant drug may not be an efficient antiepileptic one. The following section illustrates this for the developing brain, which operates differently from the adult brain, yet still provides interesting elements for the debate.

In the developing brain, seizures also beget seizures, but differently

Do seizures also beget seizures in the developing brain? This is important in view of the extensive experimental data suggesting that immature neurons are more resistant to insults in terms of neuronal cell loss than adult ones. Thus, anoxic episodes require much longer durations and severity to produce cell loss early in life, in contrast to adults.73,74 Also, administration of kainate to pups until the end of the second postnatal week triggers seizures but no brain damage, and little if any apparent signs of damage.27 Therefore, a long-lasting status epilepticus can be generated in pups without neuronal damage and reactive plasticity. Yet, these seizures can lead to long-lasting consequences as shown by a lower threshold.
for seizure generation and major alterations of several intrinsic excitability in adults. A parsimonious explanation for this paradox is that seizures in immature networks produce their long-lasting consequences by different mechanisms than in adults, and notably without producing damage, but rather by altering activity and developmental programs. We developed a unique in vitro preparation to determine the consequences of seizures on immature networks (Figure 5). The two intact hippocampi are dissected intact from immature rodent brains and placed in a three-chamber compartments in which each chamber can accommodate one hippocampus and the commissural interhemispheric connections. Applications of a convulsant agent to one hippocampus generated seizures that propagated to the other hippocampus. After one seizure, interruption of the propagation does not transform the naive side to an epileptic one that generates spontaneous seizures. In contrast, after recurrent seizures, the naive side generates seizures when disconnected from the treated hippocampus; recurrent seizures have formed an epileptogenic mirror focus (Figure 5). Using this preparation, it is possible to determine the conditions needed to form a mirror focus and those that define an epileptic network. It was found that only seizures that include high-frequency oscillations (HFOs, above 40 Hz) transform a naive network into an epileptic one; lower frequency events can occur with little consequences. The conditions required to generate HFOs include operative NMDA receptors, since applications of an antagonist of these receptors to the naive side blocked the HFO components of the propagated seizures and prevented the formation of a mirror focus. Since NMDA receptors play an important role in triggering persistent changes of synaptic efficacy, these observations illustrate the concept of pathological changes of synaptic efficacy that rely on mechanisms identified to play a role in physiological integrative and memory processes. In other words, the formation of epileptogenic foci occurs in regions known to play an active role in plasticity, and relies on alterations of synaptic efficacy triggered by HFO components that are constitutive of inaugurating seizures. In further relevance to the abovementioned issues, recordings in the established mirror focus show a stable increase in $[\text{Cl}^-]$, and depolarizing and excitatory actions of GABA. Therefore, recurrent seizures trigger a persistent accumulation of chloride in neurons, in keeping with the unique properties of epileptogenic networks and the importance of taking into account the differences between acute seizures and epilepsies.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, these observations call for a re-examination of the study of epilepsies and other lifelong neurological disorders by examining the properties of chronically affected neurons and networks, rather than acute models. The importance of reactive plasticity and the unique features and signals of pathological networks suggest that alterations that occur during the “maturation” process of the focus contribute to the disorder, and must be taken into account in its treatment. The nervous system is extremely “plastic” and reacts to a lesion or a disorder by forming new synapses and new signaling cascades that impact the operation of the structure in ongoing conditions, independently of the pathological events.
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Epilepsias y plasticidad neuronal: ¿para mejor o para peor?

Numerosas investigaciones experimentales han confirmado que “las convulsiones engendran convulsiones”. En adultos, las convulsiones límbicas producen pérdida de células, proceso que es seguido por la formación de nuevas sinapisses excitatorias, las cuales contribuyen a generar nuevas convulsiones. La señal gatillo es un aumento de la eficacia sináptica, seguida de una cascada molecular que genera brotes axonales. Las nuevas sinapisses son aberrantes y se forman en regiones que en los sujetos control no presentan este tipo de sinapisses. Ellas también incluyen receptores que tampoco están presentes en los controles, y esto facilita la generación de convulsiones. Por lo tanto, una forma aberrante de plasticidad neuronal reactiva provee un sustrato para tener convulsiones como una forma de secuelas a largo plazo. Estos acontecimientos tendrán un importante impacto ya que ocurren en estructuras cerebrales involucradas en funciones de integración y mnémicas. La plasticidad reactiva está documentada por otros daños y trastornos, y puede ser la base de la progresión a largo plazo de trastornos neurodegenerativos.

Épilepsies et neuroplasticité : pour le meilleur ou pour le pire?

Des données expérimentales suggèrent que « la crise entranne la crise ». Ainsi, chez l’adulte, des crises comitiales d’origine limbique provoquent une perte neuronale, qui est suivie de la formation de nouvelles synapses excitatrices contribuant à la genèse de crises ultérieures. L’élément déclencheur initial est une augmentation de l’efficacité synaptique, suivie par l’activation d’une cascade moléculaire aboutissant à la stimulation du bourgeonnement axonal. Les nouvelles synapses sont aberrantes car formées dans des régions dans lesquelles elles ne sont pas présentes chez les témoins. Elles mettent également en jeu des récepteurs absents chez ces derniers, qui facilitent la génération de crises comitiales. Ainsi, une forme aberrante de plasticité réactive fournit le substrat des séquelles à long terme des crises d’épilepsie. Étant donné que ces réarrangements opèrent dans des structures impliquées dans des fonctions intégratives et mnésiques importantes (hippocampe, système limbique), ils vont avoir un impact important sur le cerveau. La plasticité réactive a été observée dans d’autres maladies neurologiques et neurodégénératives et pourrait constituer la base de la progression à long terme de ces maladies.
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