Stat3 signaling regulates embryonic stem cell fate in a dose-dependent manner
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ABSTRACT
Stat3 is essential for mouse embryonic stem cell (mESC) self-renewal mediated by LIF/gp130 receptor signaling. Current understanding of Stat3-mediated ESC self-renewal mechanisms is very limited, and has heretofore been dominated by the view that Stat3 signaling functions in a binary “on/off” manner. Here, in contrast to this binary viewpoint, we demonstrate a contextual, rheostat-like mechanism for Stat3’s function in mESCs. Activation and expression levels determine whether Stat3 functions in a self-renewal or a differentiation role in mESCs. We also show that Stat3 induces rapid differentiation of mESCs toward the trophectoderm (TE) lineage when its activation level exceeds certain thresholds. Stat3 induces this differentiation phenotype via induction of Tfp2c and its downstream target Cdx2. Our findings provide a novel concept in the realm of Stat3, self-renewal signaling, and pluripotent stem cell biology. Ultimately, this finding may facilitate the development of conditions for the establishment of authentic non-rodent ESCs.
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INTRODUCTION
Embryonic stem cells (ESCs) were originally derived by explanting mouse blastocysts onto a layer of mitotically inactivated fibroblasts (“feeders”) in medium containing fetal calf serum (FCS) (Evans and Kaufman, 1981; Martin, 1981). Under this condition, mouse ESC (mESC) lines can be derived and subsequently propagated indefinitely. Leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF), an interleukin-6 (IL-6) family member, can replace feeder cells in serum-containing medium for derivation of ESCs from the 129 strain of mice (Smith et al., 1988; Williams et al., 1981). LIF maintains mESC self-renewal through activation of the signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (Stat3). Intriguingly, strains of mice vary greatly in the ease with which their embryos can be directed to give rise to ESC lines under the LIF+FCS condition without the use of feeders. Given the difficulty and high failure rate of most attempts to derive non-129 mESC lines, we sought to investigate whether the difference in derivation efficiency, could in part, be explained by previously undescribed dose-dependent effect of Stat3 in the regulation of ESC self-renewal.

Once LIF binds to the LIF receptor (LIFR), the receptor forms a heterodimer with the membrane protein, gp130. The heterodimerization of LIFR and gp130 triggers the membrane-proximal cytosolic docking and activation of the associated Janus kinases (JAKs) (Lütten et al., 1994; Stahl et al., 1994). The activated JAKs phosphorylate the tyrosine residues on gp130 to create a docking site for Stat3 recruitment and in turn, activate Stat3 by phosphorylating its tyrosine 705 residue (Boeuf et al., 1997; Darnell, 1997). Activated Stat3s form homodimers and subsequently translocates into the nucleus to regulate gene transcription. Stat3 phosphorylation at tyrosine 705 is essential for mESC-self-renewal mediated by Stat3 (Evans and Kaufman, 1981; Huang et al., 2014; Niwa et al., 1998). Artificial activation of Stat3, bypassing LIF and LIF/gp130 receptors altogether, can maintain mESCs in an undifferentiated state (Matsuda et al., 1999). LIF/Stat3 signaling activates multiple downstream targets in mESCs. LIF/Stat3-mediated mESC self-renewal can be partially recapitulated by overexpressing some of these downstream targets, including Tfp2, Gbx2, Klf4, Klf5, Pim1, Pim3, Prame17, and e-Myc (Asok et al., 2007; Cartwright et al., 2005; Casanova et al., 2011; Li et al., 2005; Martello et al., 2013; Parisi et al., 2008; Tai and Ying, 2013; Ye et al., 2013).

Current understanding of Stat3-mediated mESC self-renewal has been dominated by the view that LIF/Stat3 signaling functions in a binary “on/off” manner. It has not been clear whether Stat3 activation level is critical to ESC self-renewal and whether non-129 strain mESCs can be maintained under feeder-free conditions through modulation of Stat3 activity. Here, we use chemical and genetic approaches to modulate Stat3 activity in mESCs and determined the corresponding effect on self-renewal and differentiation. We report that Stat3 exhibits a dose-dependent effect in mESC self-renewal and differentiation.
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RESULTS
Enhancing Stat3 activity liberates derivation-refractory mESCs from the dependence of feeders
B6 mESCs were derived from the C57BL/6 strain of mouse and are routinely maintained by co-culturing with feeders in the presence of LIF and FCS (Ye et al., 2012). When removed from feeders and cultured in mESC medium supplemented with LIF, these B6 mESCs died or differentiated and could not be continuously propagated (Fig. 1A). We examined total and phosphorylated Stat3 levels in LIF-stimulated B6 mESCs and found that they were both markedly lower than that of LIF-stimulated 46C mESCs, a feeder-independent ESC line derived from the 129 strain of mouse (supplementary material Fig. S1A,B) (Ying et al., 2003). Therefore, we asked whether enhancing Stat3 activity is sufficient to maintain B6 mESC self-renewal under feeder-free conditions. We introduced a Stat3 transgene into B6 mESCs to increase overall Stat3 expression (hereafter termed “B6-Stat3”). We also engineered B6 mESCs to express a gp130-Y118F chimeric receptor (B6-Y118F) which consists of the extracellular domain of the granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (GCSF) receptor fused to the transmembrane and cytoplasmic region of gp130 containing a phenylalanine to tyrosine substitution at residue 118 (Y118F). This Y118F mutation blocks the binding of suppressor of cytokine signaling 3 (Socs3) to gp130 receptor, and therefore prevents the negative feedback loop mediated by Socs3 (Burdon et al., 1999). As a result, Stat3 can be activated by GCSF in a dose-dependent manner, bypassing the endogenous LIF/gp130 receptors which might be limited in ESCs. As expected, increased total and phosphorylated Stat3 levels were observed in B6-Stat3 mESCs compared to B6 mESCs. Phosphorylated Stat3 levels in B6-Y118F mESCs treated with GCSF were also significantly higher and sustained much longer than that of LIF-treated B6 mESCs (Fig. 1B), likely because Stat3 phosphorylation through gp130-Y118F chimeric receptor can bypass the Socs3-mediated negative feedback loop (Burdon et al., 1999; Hirano et al., 2000). Both B6-Stat3 and B6-Y118F mESCs could be maintained without feeders in the presence of LIF and GCSF, respectively (Fig. 1C,D), suggesting that enhancing Stat3 activity can liberate B6 mESCs from the dependence of feeders.

Stat3 induces differentiation of mESCs when its activation level exceeds certain thresholds
To further define how Stat3 activation level can affect ESC fate, we introduced both Stat3 and gp130-Y118F transgenes into B6 mESCs (hereafter called B6-S3Y118F mESCs) so that we could broadly manipulate Stat3 activation level. B6-S3Y118F mESCs remained undifferentiated without feeders in the presence of LIF (Fig. 2A, left panel). Surprisingly, B6-S3Y118F mESCs differentiated after administration of 50 ng/ml GCSF for 24 hours (Fig. 2A, right panel). LIF activates JAK/Stat3 as well as PI3K/akt and MEK/ERK signaling pathways, while GCSF activates JAK/Stat3 but not PI3K/akt and MEK/ERK pathways in B6-S3Y118F mESCs due to the lack of the Src homology phosphatase 2 (SHP2) docking site in the chimeric gp130-Y118F chimeric receptor (Burdon et al., 1999). In effect, only Stat3 signaling is activated by GCSF in cells expressing the chimeric receptor. To determine whether lack of PI3K/akt or/and MEK/ERK activation is responsible for the differentiation phenotype, we treated the cells with chemical inhibitors of these two pathways (LY294002 and PD0325901) prior to the administration of LIF to the cells. We found that B6-S3Y118F mESCs remained undifferentiated after administration of the inhibitors along with LIF (Fig. 2B), suggesting that lack of PI3K/akt or MEK/ERK signaling is unlikely the cause of the rapid differentiation phenotype. Next, we asked whether the phenotype was correlated with Stat3 activation levels. JAK1 activity is required for Stat3 activation through regulation of Stat3 phosphorylation (Ernst et al., 1996), therefore, we used a selective JAK1 inhibitor (JAK1i) to attenuate Stat3 activity in...
mESCs. Indeed, GCSF-induced differentiation in B6-S3Y118F mESCs could be prevented by co-administration of 1 μg/ml JAK1i (Fig. 2C,D). Contrarily, B6-S3Y118F mESCs could not be maintained in LIF plus 1 μg/ml JAK1i (Fig. 2D). Taken together, these results suggest that excess Stat3 activation is the central cause of B6-S3Y118F mESC differentiation induced by GCSF.

To further confirm that Stat3 activity is correlated with the rapid differentiation phenotype, we modulated Stat3 activity by applying different concentrations of GCSF and JAK1i. Indeed, B6-S3Y118F mESCs self-renewal could be maintained at a lower concentration of GCSF or at a higher concentration of GCSF co-administered with an adequate dosage of JAK1i (Fig. 2E,F). We examined Stat3 phosphorylation levels in B6-S3Y118F mESCs and found that the Stat3 phosphorylation level was significantly elevated in B6-S3Y118F mESCs treated with GCSF than ESCs treated with LIF and that the Stat3 phosphorylation level could be modulated via applying varying concentrations of GCSF and JAK1i. (Fig. 2G). By performing quantitative analysis of Stat3 phosphorylation levels and correlating them to ESC self-renewal and differentiation phenotypes, we were able to determine a threshold that distinguishes whether Stat3 activation induces ESC differentiation or self-renewal (Fig. 2H). Once this threshold is exceeded, activation of Stat3 switches its role in self-renewal to that of inducing differentiation.

To determine whether hyperactivated Stat3 inducing rapid differentiation is a general phenomenon in mESCs, we introduced Stat3 and chimeric gp130-Y118F transgenes into E14 mESCs, a widely-used feeder-independent ESC line derived from the 129 strain of mouse. Both E14-Y118F and E14-S3Y118F mESCs could be maintained in LIF condition (supplementary material Fig. S2A). However, when treated with 50 ng/ml of GCSF, E14-S3Y118F ESCs underwent rapid differentiation whereas E14-Y118F ESCs remained undifferentiated (supplementary material Fig. S2A). Western blot analysis confirmed that the level of Stat3 phosphorylation in E14-S3Y118F mESCs treated with GCSF for 6 hours was significantly higher than those treated with LIF (supplementary material Fig. S2B,C). The differentiation phenotype of E14-S3Y118F mESCs induced by GCSF could also be rescued by co-administration of JAK1i (supplementary material Fig. S2D).

Hyperactivation of Stat3 in ESCs promotes trophectoderm differentiation
B6-S3Y118F mESCs underwent rapid differentiation when Stat3 was hyperactivated via GCSF-mediated Stat3 activation (Fig. 3A). To determine which cell lineages are induced by Stat3 hyperactivation, we performed RT-PCR analysis of gene expression in B6-S3Y118F mESCs treated with GCSF at different time points. Expression of ESC markers, Gbx2, Klf4, Oct4, Sox2, and Esrrb was significantly downregulated after treatment with 50 ng/ml GCSF for 24 hours (Fig. 3B), confirming that ESC underwent rapid differentiation after GCSF treatment. Not surprisingly, expression of Gbx2 and Klf4, which are also the...
downstream targets of Stat3, were initially upregulated but then quickly downregulated 4–8 hours after the addition of GCSF (supplementary material Fig. S3), indicating rapid differentiation of B6-S3Y118F mESCs induced by GCSF.

Next, we examined the expression levels of various germ layer markers in B6-S3Y118F mESCs treated with GCSF. Surprisingly, GCSF treatment did not significantly upregulate any of the three somatic germ layer markers examined (Fig. 3C); instead, it specifically induced the expression of trophectoderm (TE) markers (Fig. 3D; supplementary material Fig. S4). Additionally, the differentiated cells induced by GCSF cannot be maintained or passaged in the trophoblast stem cell culture.
condition, suggesting that GCSF induces B6-S3Y118F mESC differentiation towards terminally differentiated TE cells (supplementary material Fig. S5).

**Cdx2 and Tfap2c are the two key factors that mediate TE differentiation of ESCs induced by Stat3 hyperactivation**

Among the TE markers, Cdx2 and Gata3 were significantly upregulated within 24 hours of GCSF treatment in B6-S3Y118F mESCs (Fig. 3D). Notably, both Cdx2 and Gata3 have been reported to be the key regulators in TE differentiation and overexpressing either one is sufficient to induce mESC differentiation towards the TE lineage (Niwa et al., 2005; Ralston et al., 2010; Ray et al., 2009; Strumpf et al., 2005). Therefore, we asked whether early induction of Cdx2 or Gata3 contributes to TE differentiation triggered by Stat3 hyperactivation. We first examined the Cdx2 expression profile in B6-S3Y118F mESCs treated with GCSF or LIF and found that Cdx2 expression was induced by GCSF but not by LIF (Fig. 3E). shRNA-mediated knockdown of Cdx2 could prevent TE differentiation of B6-S3Y118F mESCs induced by GCSF (Fig. 3F). Gata3, like Cdx2, was also induced by GCSF but not by LIF in B6-S3Y118F mESCs (Fig. 3J). shRNA-mediated Gata3 knockdown (Fig. 3K,L), however, was not sufficient to block TE differentiation induced by GCSF (Fig. 3M). Together, these results suggest that Cdx2 mediates TE differentiation of mESCs induced by Stat3 hyperactivation.

Cdx2 expression has been shown to be regulated by WNT/β-catenin (Chen et al., 2013) and Hippo/Tead4 pathways (Yagi et al., 2007). We asked next whether these two pathways are involved in Stat3 hyperactivation-mediated Cdx2 induction. We applied previously characterized small molecules to activate or inhibit WNT/β-catenin signaling and found no significant changes in Cdx2 expression following GCSF treatment in B6-S3Y118F mESCs (Fig. 4A), nor did these small molecules prevent GCSF-induced TE differentiation (Fig. 4B). Knockdown of Tead4 partially downregulated the expression of Cdx2 and Gata3 in B6-S3Y118F mESCs treated with GCSF (Fig. 4C-E). However, Tead4 knockdown did not prevent the TE differentiation (Fig. 4F). These results imply that neither WNT/β-catenin nor Hippo/TEAD4 signaling is a significant regulator of Cdx2 induction caused by Stat3 hyperactivation.

Among the Stat3 direct targets we identified through microarray analysis (GSE38719), we found that Tfap2c is a potential candidate linking Stat3 to TE differentiation. Tfap2c is required for embryonic development and proliferation of extraembryonic TE cells (Werling and Schorle, 2002). Forced expression of Tfap2c promotes TE differentiation in mESC through associating with Cdx2 or its regulatory-binding loci (Adachi et al., 2013; Kuckenberg et al., 2010). We observed that Tfap2c expression was continuously upregulated in B6-S3Y118F mESCs treated with GCSF, whereas LIF-induced expression of Tfap2c peaks and then plateaus within 2 hours of stimulation (Fig. 4G). To further validate that GCSF induces an increased Tfap2c expression compared to LIF, we examined Tfap2c expression by sequentially giving GCSF to B6-S3Y118F mESCs maintained in LIF. Similarly, GCSF treatment can exceed the limit of basal Tfap2c expression induced by LIF and continuously induce its expression (Fig. 4H). We next used a de novo protein synthesis inhibitor, cycloheximide (CHX), to verify whether Tfap2c is directly regulated by Stat3. Despite CHX application, Tfap2c expression level increased significantly following a one-hour GCSF application, suggesting that GCSF directly regulates Tfap2c expression (Fig. 4I). Additionally, a previous study has indicated an association between Stat3 and Tfap2c loci (Chen et al., 2008). Taken together, these results suggest that Tfap2c is a direct downstream target of Stat3 and that Stat3 hyperactivation can sustain Tfap2c induction.

To determine whether Stat3 hyperactivation induces TE differentiation through upregulation of Tfap2c, we knocked down Tfap2c expression by shRNA (Fig. 4J). Tfap2c knockdown reduced GCSF-mediated Cdx2 induction (Fig. 4K) and prevented TE differentiation (Fig. 4L,M). Our results suggest that Tfap2c and Cdx2 are the two key factors that mediate TE differentiation of mESCs induced by Stat3 hyperactivation.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we describe a previously unknown function for Stat3 in mESCs, specifically, that Stat3’s self-renewal function is contextual and dose-dependent. We also address a long-standing technical and practical question regarding mESC culturing, that is, how to promote feeder-free self-renewal in non-permissive (derivation-refractory) mESC strains through modulation of Stat3 activity. LIF-induced Stat3 activity is not sufficient to maintain non-129 ESC self-renewal under feeder-free conditions, and enhancing Stat3 activity through overexpression of a Stat3 transgene or a gpl30 chimeric receptor is necessary and sufficient to obviate the requirement of the feeder layer and maintain an undifferentiated ESC state. However, elevating Stat3 activity over a certain threshold will switch Stat3’s self-renewal promoting effect into one that induces ESC differentiation towards the TE lineage.

How does Stat3 play such contradictory roles in the same pluripotent stem cells? Upon stimulation by cytokines, Stat3 is first recruited to the receptors via its Src-homology-2 (SH2) domain, and then phosphorylated on tyrosine 705, leading to dimerization and translocation to the nucleus, where it binds specific DNA sequences and activates target gene transcription. We hypothesize that Stat3 recruits distinct co-activators and co-factors may be determined by its activation level. When Stat3 activity is not only regulated but also coordinated by multiple molecules, the true role of Stat3 in mESCs, specifically, that Stat3’s self-renewal function is contextual and dose-dependent. We also address a long-standing technical and practical question regarding mESC culturing, that is, how to promote feeder-free self-renewal in non-permissive (derivation-refractory) mESC strains through modulation of Stat3 activity. LIF-induced Stat3 activity is not sufficient to maintain non-129 ESC self-renewal under feeder-free conditions, and enhancing Stat3 activity through overexpression of a Stat3 transgene or a gpl30 chimeric receptor is necessary and sufficient to obviate the requirement of the feeder layer and maintain an undifferentiated ESC state. However, elevating Stat3 activity over a certain threshold will switch Stat3’s self-renewal promoting effect into one that induces ESC differentiation towards the TE lineage.
is normally activated, Stat3 may favor to bind to cofactors that promote self-renewal than to cofactors that induce differentiation. On the other hand, when Stat3 is hyperactivated, more activated Stat3 translocates into nucleus than when it is normally activated. Stat3 will then first occupy cofactors that promote self-renewal and the excess Stat3 then starts to bind to a different set of cofactors that induce differentiation. The expression of the differentiation-inducing genes will then override the self-renewal
promoting genes and lead ESCs to differentiation. This hypothesis explains why, in mESCs, Stat3 has to be hyperactivated to induce TE differentiation.

Using our mESCs model, we demonstrate that a TE lineage factor, Tfp2c, can be directly regulated by LIF/Stat3 signaling. Several pieces of evidence indicate that LIF/Stat3 signaling is involved in TE development during the implantation. Blastocyst TE gives rise to the mammalian placenta, which play a significant role during implantation and gestation. In vivo, expression of LIF is essential for the mammalian endometrium during blastocyst implantation (Stewart et al., 1992), and LIF receptor–null mutant mice show abnormal placental architecture and result in prenatal death (Ware et al., 1995). In addition, Stat3 activity is reported to be necessary for trophoblast differentiation during the implantation process (Fitzgerald et al., 2005; Fitzgerald et al., 2008; Poehlmann et al., 2005). Additionally, enhanced and prolonged Stat3 activity resulting from a lack of its negative regulator, SOCS3, promotes differentiation of trophoblast stem cells (Takahashi et al., 2008). These physiological results echo our finding that TE differentiation can be regulated by the LIF/Stat3 pathway, implying that Tfp2c might also be a key component in LIF/Stat3 mediated TE and placenta development.

The degree of Stat3 activation by extrinsic factors might be subtly different among ESCs from different species, and these subtle differences might account for the failure to establish authentic ESCs from non-rodent species under the LIF condition. This hypothesis is supported by our finding that artificially elevated Stat3 signaling supports self-renewal of ESCs derived from the derivation-refractory B6 mouse strain as well the rat (Li et al., 2008). By studying the role of LIF/Stat3 signaling in isolation and in context within mESCs, we might gain insights into why LIF is not sufficient to promote self-renewal in ESCs from other species, which would support a universal latent mammalian self-renewal mechanism. The results presented in this study suggest that activation of LIF/Stat3 signaling in mESCs can induce the expression of pluripotency-related genes as well as differentiation-inducing genes such as Tfp2c and Cdx2. Rat inner cell mass cells cultured in LIF alone differentiate mainly into Cdx2 positive trophoderm lineage (Buehr et al., 2003). We speculate that rat ESCs can be maintained by LIF if the induction of differentiation-related genes by LIF/Stat3 signaling is suppressed. LIF/Stat3 signaling may also be sufficient to maintain self-renewal of ESCs from other species if the induction of differentiation-related genes is specifically blocked. Therefore, we anticipate that the differentiation-related genes upregulated by Stat3 must also be finely controlled to ensure ESC self-renewal and that controlled modulation of Stat3 function can facilitate the establishment of authentic non-rodent ESCs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture and AP staining

B6 ESCs were routinely maintained on feeders in DMEM medium (Gibco) containing 10% FBS (HyClone), 1 mM Sodium Pyruvate (Gibco), 100 μM Non-Essential Amino Acids (Gibco), 2 mM Glutamax (Gibco), 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol (a formulation hereinafter referred to as “mESMC medium”), and 1000 uM/L LIF (Stemgent). The concentration of GCSF used in this study was 50 ng/ml unless specifically indicated. 46C ESCs were routinely maintained on gelatin-coated plates in mESMC medium. Trophoblast stem cell medium was prepared according to the previous report (Tanaka et al., 1998). Alkaline phosphatase (AP) staining was performed with an alkaline phosphatase kit (Sigma) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Plasmid construction and gene transfection

Stat3 and grgp130-Y118F transgenes were cloned into the pCAG-IRES-IP expression vector or the pSIN-EF2 lentiviral vector. The details on how to generate grgp130-Y118F transgene have been described in a previous study (Burdon et al., 1999). pCAG-Stat3 and pCAG-grgp130-Y118F plasmids were introduced into ESCs using Lipofectamine LTX and Plus reagent (Invitrogen). 293T cells were cultured in DMEM medium containing 10% FBS for lentiviral packaging. 5 μg pSPAX2 (Addgene), 3 μg pSVSG (Addgene) and 8 μg of pSIN-EF2-Stat3 or pSIN-EF2-grgp130-Y118F were transfected into 293T cells using Lipofectamine LTX and Plus reagent (Invitrogen). Virus-containing supernatant was collected and filtered 48 hours after transfection. For lentiviral infection, mESCs were seeded at 105 cells per well In a 24-well plate and cultured in 600 μl medium per well composed of 300 μl filtered virus-containing supernatant and 300 μl mESC medium with 4 μg/ml Polybrene (Millipore).

qRT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted with the Quick-RNA MiniPrep Kit (Zymo). cDNA was synthesized with 0.5 μg of total RNA, using the QuantiTech Rev. Transcription Kit (Qiagen). qRT-PCR was performed with Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Signals were detected with an ABI7900HT real-time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). The relative expression level was determined by the 2-ΔCT method and normalized against Gapdh. The primers used for qRT-PCR are listed in supplementary material Table S1.

Gene knockdown

shRNA-expressing plasmids were generated according to Addgene PLKO.1 protocol. Certain genes which have no validated shRNA were knocked down by introduction of multiple shRNA sequences into cells to achieve better efficiency. The target-specific shRNA sequences used in this study are as follows: Control shRNA: AATTCTCCGACACTGTGACAGTCTGTGAGTACATGAT; Gata3 shRNA#1: GCCTGCGGAACCTAACAGTTGTTTGATG CATTTAAA; Gata3 shRNA#2: ATTCTCGGAACTCTACATTTAAA; Gata3 shRNA#3: CAGGTTGTTGATG CATTAAAA; Tdp4 shRNA#1: CCGCCCAAATTCATAGCAAGT; Tdp4 shRNA#2: GC TGAAACACTTTACGAGA; Tdp4 shRNA#3: CCTCT CTTGAGATCAGATT; Tdp2c shRNA: AGCAGCTTCCAATGTTAATA. After lentiviral infection, the cells were incubated with 1 μg/ml puromycin, 10 μg/ml Blasticidin S deaminase, or 100 μg/ml hygromycin for 48–72 hours. The surviving ESCs were pulled together to examine knockdown efficiency and to investigate their roles in GCSF induced TE differentiation.

Immunostaining

Immunostaining was performed according to a standard protocol. Primary antibodies used were the following: Cdx2 (3977S, Cell Signaling, 1:200). Alexa Flour fluorescent secondary antibodies (Invitrogen) were used at a 1:2000 dilution. Nuclei were visualized with DAPI.

Acknowledgements

We thank all members of Ying’s lab for technical assistance.

Competing interests

The authors indicate no potential conflicts of interest.

Author contributions

All authors conceived the study and designed the experiments. C.-T.T. and E.N.S. performed all the experiments described in the paper. C.-T.T. E.N.S. and Q.-Y.L. wrote the paper.

Funding

This work was supported by the California Institute for Regenerative Medicine (CIRM) New Faculty Award II (RN2-00938-1).

References

Adachi, K., Nikaido, I., Ohta, H., Oshitsuka, S., Ura, H., Kadota, M., Wakayama, T., Ueda, H. R. and Niwa, H. (2013). Context-dependent wiring of Sox2
regulatory networks for self-renewal of embryonic and trophoblast stem cells. Mol. Cell 52, 380-392.

Aksoy, I., Sakabedoyan, C., Bourillot, P. Y., Malashicheva, A. B., Mancip, J., Knoblauch, K., Afanassiiev, M. and Savatier, P. (2007). Self-renewal of murine embryonic stem cells is supported by the serine/threonine kinases Pip-1 and Pip-3. Stem Cells 25, 2996-3004.

Bouef, H., Hauss, C., Graeve, F. D., Baran, N. and Kedingier, C. (1997). Leukemia inhibitory factor-dependent transcriptional activation in embryonic stem cells. J. Cell Biol. 138, 1207-1217.

Brook, F. A. and Gardner, R. L. (1997). The origin and efficient derivation of embryonic stem cells in the mouse. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 94, 5709-5712.

Buehr, M., Nichols, J., Stenhouse, F., Mountford, P., Greenhalgh, C. J., Kantachuesiri, S., Brooker, G., Mullins, J. and Smith, A. G. (2003). Rapid loss of Oct-4 and pluripotency in cultured rodent blastocysts and derivative cell lines. Biol Reprod. 68, 222-229.

Burdon, T., Tracey, C., Chambers, I., Nichols, J. and Smith, A. (1999). Suppression of SHP-2 and ERK signalling promotes self-renewal of mouse embryonic stem cells. Dev. Biol. 210, 30-43.

Cartwright, P., McLean, C., Sheppard, A., Rivett, D., Jones, K. and Dalton, S. (2005). LIF/STAT3 controls ES cell self-renewal and pluripotency by a Myc-dependent mechanism. Development 132, 885-896.

Casanova, E. A., Shakhova, O., Patel, S. S., Asner, I. N., Pelczer, P., Weber, F. A., Graf, U., Sommer, L., Bürki, K. and Cinelli, P. (2011). Pramef7 mediates LIF/STAT3-dependent self-renewal in embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells 29, 474-485.

Chen, X., Xu, H., Yuan, P., Fang, F., Huss, M., Vega, V. B., Wong, E., Orlov, Y. L., Zhang, W., Jiang, J. et al. (2008). Integration of external signaling pathways with the core transcriptional network in embryonic stem cells. Cell 133, 1106-1117.

Chen, Y., Blair, K. and Smith, A. (2013). Robust self-renewal of rat embryonic stem cells requires finely-tuned activation of glycogen synthase kinase-3 inhibition. Stem Cell Reports 1, 209-217.

Darnell, J. E., Jr. (1989). STATs and gene regulation. Science 277, 1630-1635.

Ernst, M., Oates, A. and Dunn, A. R. (1996). gp130-mediated signal transduction in embryonic stem cells involves activation of Jak and Rasmitogen-activated protein kinase pathways. J. Biol. Chem. 271, 30136-30143.

Evans, M. J. and Kaufman, M. H. (1981). Establishment in culture of pluripotential cells from mouse embryos. Nature 292, 154-156.

Fitzgerald, J. S., Busch, S., Wengenmayer, T., Foerster, K., de la Motte, T., Boeuf, H., Hauss, C., Graeve, F. D., Baran, N. and Kedingier, C. (2007). Leukemia inhibitory factor-dependent transcriptional activation in embryonic stem cells from mouse embryos. Biol Reprod. 78, 38-43.

Hirano, T., Ishihara, K. and Hibi, M. (1997). The origin and efficient derivation of embryonic stem cells derived from rat blastocysts. Biol. Reprod. 57, 380-392.

Knoblauch, K., Afanassieff, M. and Savatier, P. (2004). Inhibition of pluripotential embryonic stem cell differentiation by purified polypeptides. Nature 382, 688-690.

Kantachuvesiri, S., Brooker, G., Mullins, J. and Smith, A. G. (2008). Stat3 activation is sufficient to maintain an undifferentiated embryonic stem cell phenotype. Mol. Endocrinol. 22, 1819-1899.

Liu, Y., Minclinton, J., Zhong, L., Edenberg, H. J., Yoder, M. C. and Chan, R. J. (2005). Myeloid leukemia inhibitory factor promotes self-renewal of murine embryonic stem cells. Mol. Biol. Cell 16, 389-390.

Kuckenberg, P., Buhl, S., Woynecki, T., van Fürden, B., Tolkunova, E., Seiff, F., Moser, M., Tomilin, A., Winterhager, E. and Schorle, H. (2010). The transcription factor TCFAP2C/AP-2gamma cooperates with CDX2 to maintain trophoblast stem cell proliferation by FGF4. Stem Cells 29, 1149-1160.

Lüttrich, C., Wegenka, U. M., Yuan, J., Buschmann, J., Schindler, C., Zwieck, S. A., Wempe, A. G., Wilks, A. F., Yawata, K., Taga, T. et al. (1994). Association of transcription factor APRF and protein kinase Jak1 with the interleukin-6 signal transducer gp130. Science 263, 89-92.

Martello, G., Bertone, P. and Smith, A. (2013). Identification of the missing putative mediator downstream of leukemia inhibitory factor. EMBO J. 32, 2561-2574.

Martin, G. R. (1981). Isolation of a pluripotent cell line from early mouse embryos cultured in medium conditioned by teratocarcinoma stem cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78, 7834-7838.