Expression profiling of *Trypanosoma congo*ense genes during development in the tsetse fly vector *Glossina morsitans morsitans*
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**Abstract**

**Background:** The tsetse transmitted parasitic flagellate *Trypanosoma congo*ense causes animal African trypanosomosis (AAT) across sub-Saharan Africa. AAT negatively impacts agricultural, economic, nutritional and subsequently, health status of the affected populace. The molecular mechanisms that underlie *T. congo*ense's developmental program within tsetse are largely unknown due to considerable challenges with obtaining sufficient parasite cells to perform molecular studies.

**Methods:** In this study, we used RNA-seq to profile *T. congo*ense gene expression during development in two distinct tsetse tissues, the cardia and proboscis. Indirect immunofluorescent antibody test (IFA) and confocal laser scanning microscope was used to localize the expression of a putative protein encoded by the hypothetical protein (TcIL3000_0_02370).

(Continued on next page)
Results: Consistent with current knowledge, genes coding several variant surface glycoproteins (including metacyclic specific VSGs), and the surface coat protein, *congolense* epimastigote specific protein, were upregulated in parasites in the proboscis (PB-parasites). Additionally, our results indicate that parasites in tsetse’s cardia (C-parasites) and PB employ oxidative phosphorylation and amino acid metabolism for energy. Several genes upregulated in C-parasites encoded receptor-type adenylate cyclases, surface carboxylate transporter family proteins (or PADs), transport proteins, RNA-binding proteins and procyclin isoforms. Gene ontology analysis of products of genes upregulated in C-parasites showed enrichment of terms broadly associated with nucleotides, microtubules, cell membrane and its components, cell signaling, quorum sensing and several transport activities, suggesting that the parasites colonizing the cardia may monitor their environment and regulate their density and movement in this tissue. Additionally, cell surface protein (CSP) encoding genes associated with the Fam50 ‘GARP’, ‘ii’ and ‘i’ subfamilies were also significantly upregulated in C-parasites, suggesting that they are important for the long non-dividing trypomastigotes to colonize tsetse’s cardia. The putative products of genes that were upregulated in PB-parasites were linked to nucleosomes, cytoplasm and membrane-bound organelles, which suggest that parasites in this niche undergo cell division in line with prior findings. Most of the CSPs upregulated in PB-parasites were hypothetical, thus requiring further functional characterization. Expression of one such hypothetical protein (TcIL3000_0_02370) was analyzed using immunofluorescence and confocal laser scanning microscopy, which together revealed preferential expression of this protein on the entire surface coat of *T. congolense* parasite stages that colonize *G. m. morsitans* proboscis.

Conclusion: Collectively, our results provide insight into *T. congolense* gene expression profiles in distinct niches within the tsetse vector. Our results show that the hypothetical protein TcIL3000_0_02370, is expressed on the entire surface of the trypanosomes inhabiting tsetse’s proboscis. We discuss our results in terms of their relevance to disease transmission processes.
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Background

Tsetse (*Glossina* spp.)-transmitted *Trypanosoma congolense* is a major cause of animal African trypanosomosis (AAT) in livestock in most of sub-Saharan Africa. The disease has a significant economic and public health impact due to its wide geographical distribution and broad vertebrate animal host range [1, 2]. To date no vaccines against *T. congolense* exist. Thus, AAT management relies on controlling the tsetse vector via use of baited traps and targets, spraying with insecticides and/or treatment of infected animals using trypanocidal chemotherapy. Inherent limitations underlying successful application of these strategies include drug resistance in the parasite [3–7] and re-infestation by residual tsetse populations where and when control programs are abandoned [8]. These limitations necessitate development of novel intervention strategies that may interfere with parasite transmission through the tsetse vector. Accordingly, additional information on genetic factors that regulate *T. congolense* development in the tsetse fly is required.

African trypanosomes (*T. congolense*, *T. vivax* and *T. brucei*) must infect vertebrate hosts and tsetse vectors in order to complete their life-cycle. To do so the parasites must progress through a series of development forms adapted to each life-cycle stage. Vertebrate infectious metacyclic *T. congolense* and *T. vivax* develop in tsetse’s proboscis (PB) while metacyclic *T. brucei* form in the fly’s salivary glands (SG). In the mammalian host, *T. congolense* proliferates as single type of bloodstream form (BSF) parasite covered with carbohydrate-rich variant surface glycoproteins (VSGs) [9]. Upon entry into the lumen of tsetse’s gut, BSF *T. congolense* differentiate to procyclic forms (PCF), and this transformation is accompanied by changes in parasite morphology, a switch from glycolysis to oxidative phosphorylation for energy metabolism and replacement of the VSG surface coat with one composed of procyclins [10]. In successful infections, the parasites migrate to tsetse’s cardia, transform into long non-dividing trypomastigote parasites and subsequently migrate to and colonize the fly’s PB. Finally, these cells differentiate into epimastigote forms (EMF), which attach to the PB wall and give rise to mammalian infective metacyclic forms (MFCs) [11, 12]. Molecular factors that regulate differentiation of *T. congolense*, and major barriers to *T. congolense* transmission through the tsetse vector, are poorly understood. Sequencing and annotation of the genomes of the three African trypanosomes and six species of tsetse fly, along with advances in RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) methodologies, have significantly expanded our knowledge of the molecular biology that underlies this vector-pathogen system. However, most research to date has been performed using *T. brucei* [13–22], with two RNA-seq and one proteomic study reported in *T. vivax* [23, 24] and a single transcriptomic and proteomic analysis performed with in vitro cultured *T. congolense* cells [25, 26].
In this study, we utilized high throughput RNA-seq to profile expression of \( T. \ congolense \) genes in infected cardia and PB organs of \( G. \ morsitans \) morsitans. We mined the data to identify molecular factors that may be functionally involved in vector-parasite interactions, parasite differentiation and maintenance of \( T. \ congolense \) in tsetse’s cardia and PB. We established that 15.95% of all genes were expressed at significantly different levels in C-parasites compared to PB-parasites. More specifically, genes upregulated in C-parasites encoded transporter and Fam50 (‘GARP’ ‘iii’ and ‘i’ subfamily) proteins, while those upregulated in PB-parasites encoded VSGs among other proteins associated with parasite multiplication. We discuss our findings in the context of furthering new initiatives for development of novel disease control applications.

**Methods**

**Trypanosome strain, tsetse flies and tsetse infection**

*Trypanosoma congolense* [Trans Mara strain, variant antigenic type (VAT) TC13] used in this study were kindly provided by Professor Utpal Pal, at Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Maryland. The parasite was originally isolated from an infected bovine in Trans Mara, Kenya [27]. The VAT TC13 was obtained by serial cloning of the parasite in immunosuppressed CD1 mice [28]. The BSF TC13 was amplified in rats and harvested from blood at peak parasitemia by cardiac puncture.

Tsetse flies (Glossina morsitans morsitans) used in this study were reared in Yale University (New Haven, CT, USA) insectary at 24 °C and 50% relative humidity. In this colony, tsetse flies are fed at 48 hour intervals using an artificial membrane-based feeding system [29] with defibrinated bovine blood commercially supplied by Hemostat Laboratories (Dixon, CA, USA). Teneral (newly eclosed and unfed) \( G. \ m. \) morsitans males were infected by feeding them a blood meal supplemented with BSF \( T. \ congolense \) (VAT TC13) \( 8 \times 10^6 \) parasites per ml of blood via artificial membrane feeding method [29]). Subsequently, the flies were maintained on normal blood meal for 28 days until tissue dissection was performed.

**Dissection and collection of tsetse tissues**

The cardia and proboscis of \( T. \ congolense \) infected flies were dissected 28 days post-challenge and 72 h after the last blood meal. Dissections of cardia for \( T. \ congolense \) infection analyses were microscopically conducted in PSG buffer (pH 8.0) using Zeiss Axioskop Plus light microscope (Carl Zeiss Light Microscopy, Gottingen) at ×400 magnification. Two biological replicates of infected proboscis (consisting of 130 proboscis each) from a recent study were used [30]. Infected cardia were immediately placed in TRIzol (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., CA, USA). Three biological replicates consisting of 15 infected cardia each were used. Only flies which had both cardia and proboscis infections were used for all downstream analyses.

**RNA extraction, cDNA library preparation and sequencing**

Total RNA extraction and subsequent elimination of contaminating DNA was done using TRIzol and Turbo-DNase (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., CA, USA), respectively, following the manufacturer’s protocol. Elimination of DNA from the total RNA was confirmed by PCR using both \( T. \ congolense \)- and \( G. \ m. \) morsitans-specific beta-tubulin and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (gapdh) primers for trypanosome and tsetse fly, respectively. RNA quantity and quality were determined using a Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent, Palo Alto, CA, USA) and subsequent cDNA libraries prepared using a NEBNext Ultra Directional RNA Library Prep Kit (New England Biolabs, Inc., MA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The cardia and proboscis libraries were barcoded for Illumina HiSeq 2000 sequencing (Illumina, Inc., CA, USA) (unpaired 75 bases) at Yale Center for Genome Analysis (YCGA, New Haven, CT). For the generation of these cDNA libraries, 900 ng of high quality (RNA integrity number > 7.0) total RNA samples was used. The Sequence Read Archive number at NCBI of infected cardia is SRP093558 and that of infected proboscis is PRJNA354110 [30].

**Processing and differential analyses of \( T. \ congolense \) transcriptome**

All bioinformatics analyses were conducted using CLC Genomics Workbench version 8.5 (CLC bio, Cambridge, MA). The fasta RNA-seq data were first processed for quality conformity and then mapped to \( T. \ congolense \) IL 3000 reference strain transcripts version 9 [31] obtained from TriTrypDB [32]. The TC13 strain used in this study was different from the strain from which the whole genome data were generated, but both parasite strains had originated from Trans Mara in Kenya [33]. The mapping employed algorithms that allowed for only two mismatches and a maximum of 10 hits per read with at least 80% of the reads matching the gene at 95%. Reads per kilobase per million mapped (RPKM) [34] was used as a proxy of gene expression. Differentially expressed (DE) genes were determined using RNA-Seq module employing Baggeley’s test and Bonferroni analysis [35]. Relative fold change (FC) between parasite genes from the cardia and proboscis was calculated as a ratio of their respective RPKM values. Differential expression analyses were also conducted using EdgeR software [36] to corroborate the results obtained by CLC Genomics Workbench. A conservative selection regime was adopted to minimize false detections of differential expression. Within this
regime, transcripts were considered DE between par- 
sites in cardia and proboscises if they had (i) at least
two-FC in either of the tools used (CLC-genomics or
EdgeR), (ii) normalized false detection rate (FDR) cor-
rected P-value of ≤ 0.05, 3) supported by at least 30 reads
mapping in either library (C-parasite or PB-parasite), (iv)
at least five RPKM, and (v) considered as DE with both
tools (CLC-genomics and EdgeR).

Functional annotation of DE genes
Functional annotations of DE genes were conducted
using Blast2GO version 3.4 program [37–39]. Briefly,
homology searches for all T. congolense genes were con-
ducted against NCBI non-redundant (nr) protein data-
base using BLASTx [40] with an E-value BLAST cut-off
of 1.0E-03. The blast results were then mapped and
annotated for gene ontology (GO) categories related to
biological processes, molecular function and cell compo-
nent using an algorithm in Blast2GO software. Enriched
GO terms among DE gene products were established by
Fisher’s exact test at a false discovery rate (FDR) P-value
≤ 0.05 [38]. Protein domains/signatures associated with
putative products of the DE genes were determined
through InterProScan analysis [41]. The DE genes en-
coding proteins with predicted cell-surface functions
were retrieved from previously published data [42] and
validated using PredGIP [43], FragAnchor [44] and BigPI
[45] softwares for GPI-anchor motifs prediction, and
TMHMM [46] software for trans-membrane helices pre-
prediction. Secreted proteins were predicted using SignalP
version 1.4 software [47]. Enriched metabolic pathways
for gene products were identified using KEGG [48, 49]
and TrypanoCyc [50] tools implemented in TritrypDB at
FDR ≤ 0.05.

Real time quantitative-PCR (RT-qPCR) analysis for
transcriptome validation
RT-qPCR analysis was conducted on independent samples
to corroborate RNA-seq data. Samples for transcriptome
validation were obtained from an independent set of T. con-
golense infected tsetse cardia or proboscises. Total RNA
and DNase treatment of samples of infected cardia or pro-
boscises were prepared as described above. Eight biological
replicates of infected cardia or proboscises were used, each
containing a pool of 5 and 25 tissues, respectively. cDNA
was synthesized with oligo-dT primers and random hex-
amers using iScript cDNA synthesis reaction kit (Bio-Rad,
CA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. RT-qPCR
was performed in technical duplicate (for each biological
replicate) on eight selected DE genes (Additional file 1:
Text S1). All RT-qPCR results were normalized using T.
congolense gapdh (TcIL3000_10_5910) and 60S ribosomal
(TcIL3000_0_32580) genes determined from each bi-
ological replicate. The two genes were more stable in these
two tissues with standard deviation of the crossing point
being less than 1 according to BestKeeper analysis [51].
Correlation between RT-qPCR and RNA-seq fold change
results were evaluated using Pearson correlation analysis
(Additional file 2: Text S2).

Semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis of gene expression
Semi-quantitative RT-PCR was performed for selected
cell surface protein (CSP) encoding genes using cDNA
samples synthesized from RNA isolated from parasites
obtained from infected rats, tsetse midgut, cardia or pro-
boscuses, following a previously published method [52].
To normalize cDNA samples from various tsetse tissues
and BSF for PCR analysis, equal amounts of samples
were diluted 10-fold and run for 28 PCR cycles using T.
congolense specific gapdh primers. The PCR normalization
of samples using gapdh was performed at the following
amplification at 95 °C followed by 28 cycles at
95 °C for 45 s, 55 °C for 1 min and 72 °C for 1 min. A final
75 °C extension was performed for 10 min. For experi-
mental analysis, all PCR reactions were performed as tech-
nical replicates as described at 34 cycles for each sample
(from infected rats, tsetse midgut, cardia or proboscises
cDNAs) and the PCR products individually resolved on
1% agarose gel. As control for experimental analysis,
gapdh gene was also amplified at 34 PCR cycles. Gel
visualization, image capturing and semi-quantitative
measurement of expression variation between different
tissue samples was done using Gel Doc™ XR+ Gel Docu-
mentation System (Bio-Rad, CA, USA). The expression
values of each gene for each parasite stage were normal-
ized to those of similarly treated gapdh controls. Fold
change for each of the three-developmental tsetse
tissue-specific and BSF samples was calculated as de-
scribed by Savage et al. [52]. Primers for each gene used in
this analysis can be found in Additional file 1: Text S1.

Recombinant protein expression, purification and antibody
production
The gene encoding a hypothetical Fam50 protein,
(TcIL3000_0_02370), was cloned into pET28a expression
vector without signal peptide and GPI-anchor domains,
sequenced, and expressed in BL21 competent bacterial
cells (Promega, Madison, WI). For cloning, BamHI
and XhoI enzyme restriction site sequences were added to
the forward and reverse primers respectively (Additional
file 1: Text S1). For recombinant expression, the putative
224 amino acids (26 to 249 from the N-terminal) was
cloned. Recombinant protein expression was induced
with 1 mM isopropyl-β-thiogalactoside at 28 °C, purified
using 6x His-tag pull down and analyzed by polyacryl-
amide gel electrophoresis for purity. The rec-protein was
then concentrated by centrifugation in a PM-membrane
of 10 MW cut-off (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., CA,
USA). Recombinant protein yield was determined by BCA kit (PIERCE Chemical Company, Rockford, IL, USA). Polyclonal rabbit antibodies against recombinant TcIL3000_0_02370 protein was commercially generated by Cocalico Biologicals, Inc., PA, USA.

Immunofluorescence assay (IFA) and microscopic observation
IFA was performed to localize expression of TcIL3000_0_02370 CSP. Tsetse-derived *T. congolense* parasites harvested from infected tsetse midgut, cardia or proboscis organs 28-days post-infections were individually placed in PSG buffer for 5–10 min to enable parasites to diffuse out of the tissues and into the buffer. Parasites in the buffer were then spotted onto a glass slide and cells fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde at room temperature for 30 min. To permeabilize the samples, slides were placed 10 min in 0.1% Triton X-100 PBS and then washed twice in PBS prior to the blocking step. Both permeabilized and non-permeabilized samples were blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin (BAS) in PBS for 30 min and then incubated overnight at 4 °C with rabbit anti-TcIL3000_0_02370 polyclonal antibody diluted 1:100 in 5% BSA in PBS. Slides were then washed twice for 5 min in 5% BSA in PBS at RT prior to being incubated for 1 h at RT with goat anti-rabbit Alexa596-conjugated secondary antibodies diluted 1:500 in 5% BSA in PBS. Slides were then washed twice for 5 min in PBS at RT before being quickly washed in ultrapure water to remove excess salts. Slides were air-dried, mounted with VectaShield H-1500, stained with 1 μg/ml DAPI (to visualize the cells’ nucleus and kinetoplast) and washed twice with PBS (pH 8.0) as described above. Slides were observed with a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscope (Zeiss, Germany). Images were merged and contrasts-treated using the module Fiji for the ImageJ software [53].

Results

**Abundance of *T. congolense* transcripts in infected cardia and proboscis**

We performed RNA-seq analyses of *T. congolense* in infected tsetse cardia (C-parasites) and proboscis (PB-parasites) organs and characterized the expression of parasite specific transcripts. Results from the infected tissues revealed that 7.34% and 4.04% of the total reads obtained from the infected cardia and proboscis transcriptomes, respectively, mapped to the putative *T. congolense* IL3000 gene sets. These included genes encoding putative *T. congolense* VSGs (Additional file 3: Figure S1a). Upon mapping, transcripts were detected for 91.8% of the 13,549 *T. congolense* IL3000 genes. Most of these genes (74% and 66% of the C- and PB-parasites, respectively) exhibited low transcript abundance (< 100 total reads). An average of 1.12% of the genes were categorized as having high transcript abundance (>10,000 total reads) in both libraries (Additional file 3: Figure S1b).

We next examined the genes comprising the top 99 percentile (RPKM) in each tissue (C-parasite or PB-parasite) stage to identify the most abundantly expressed genes in each tissue, and assess the extent of overlap. This analysis identified 131 genes, of which 56 were common between C- and PB-parasites. The remaining 75 genes were in the top RPKM percentile in one of the tissue-specific parasites (Additional file 3: Figure S1c, Additional file 4: Table S1). Gene ontology enrichment analysis of the putative shared gene products showed enriched terms broadly related to ribosome, translation, transport and GTPase activity (Table 1), indicating abundant protein synthesis and transport activity. Metabolic pathway analysis of the shared abundant products identified cysteine and methionine, aspartate superpathway, methionine salvage cycle, glycerol degradation, trypanothione and S-adenosylmethionine biosynthesis, as well as peroxide metabolic pathways, as enriched (Table 1). The methionine cycle recycles methionine from methylthioadenosine, which is a by-product of polyamine synthesis. The activity of this cycle in recycling methionine was previously proposed in *T. brucei* [54]. However, the methionine cycle is now thought to be inactive in trypanosomes, at least in PCF and BSF *T. brucei* parasite stages [55, 56]. The functionality of this pathway remains to be elucidated in other trypanosome stages and species, including *T. congolense*. Degradation of glycerol, a carbon source likely present in insect vectors, and enrichment of the aspartate superhighway, could mean that these two pathways may serve as the source of acetate in lipid biosynthesis. High expression of putative proteins functionally linked with trypanothione and peroxide metabolic pathways may be important for the parasites to survive in conditions under high oxidative stress [57–59] that may exist in infected cardia and proboscies.

Of the remaining 75 most abundant transcripts, 33 were present only in the top 1% RPKM of the C-parasite library. These putatively encoded Glycosomal phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase, Zinc finger protein (ZC3H36), Cytochrome oxidase IV, Cysteine peptidase, and several transporter and receptor-type adenylate cyclase proteins. In addition, putative cell surface proteins, such as Procyclin-like and GARP proteins, were most abundant in C-parasites (Additional file 4: Table S1). The remaining genes (42 out of 75) were most abundantly expressed in PB-parasites and they included transcripts encoding 23 ribosomal proteins, three histones (H2A, two-H2B), two actins, and several cell surface proteins (Additional file 4: Table S1). Thus, the PB-parasite data imply an increased rate of protein synthesis at this stage, which is an important phenomenon during active cell division.
Differential expression of *T. congolense* genes and enrichment analysis

To characterize potential molecular differences between trypanosome developmental stages in cardia and proboscis, we analyzed DE genes between C- and PB-parasite libraries. This comparison identified 2131 (15.95%) DE genes, of which 59.17% exhibited significantly higher expression in C-parasites relative to PB-parasites (Fig. 1, Additional file 5: Table S2). Because of the limited number of biological replicates used, the DE genes that exhibit low FC (i.e. ≤ 2) requires verification. The DE genes with the greatest fold change in favor of C-parasites encoded receptor-type adenylate cyclases, transporter proteins (pteridine, amino acid and ABCs), putative cell-surface protein, procyclin-like protein, protein associated with differentiation 5 (PAD5) and zinc finger ZC3H22 protein. Similarly, VSG, two invariant surface glycoproteins, three histones (two-H2B and H4), zinc finger type C2H2 proteins and a number of hypothetical proteins were among those with the greatest fold change in PB-compared to C-parasites (Fig. 1, Additional file 5: Table S2). RT-qPCR validation of our RNA-seq data revealed significant correlation (Pearson correlation = 0.9915) between these two analytical methodologies (Additional file 2: Text S2).

**Table 1** Gene Ontology and metabolic enriched pathways of the 99-percentile common most highly expressed gene products

| Gene ontology enriched pathway | Term | GO ID | FDR | P-value | Test | Ref |
|-------------------------------|------|-------|------|---------|------|-----|
| **Cell component**            | Ribosome | GO:0005840 | 7.98E-09 | 3.56E-12 | 15 | 172 |
|                               | Cytosolic small ribosomal subunit | GO:0022627 | 1.77E-04 | 5.93E-07 | 3 | 3 |
|                               | Organellar small ribosomal subunit | GO:0000314 | 4.84E-02 | 7.12E-04 | 2 | 5 |
| **Molecular function**         | Structural constituent of ribosome | GO:0003735 | 1.89E-08 | 1.26E-11 | 14 | 154 |
|                               | Phosphate ion transmembrane transporter activity | GO:0015114 | 9.55E-03 | 7.24E-05 | 2 | 2 |
|                               | Inorganic phosphate transmembrane transporter activity | GO:0005315 | 9.55E-03 | 7.24E-05 | 2 | 2 |
|                               | GTPase activity | GO:0003924 | 1.35E-02 | 1.11E-04 | 5 | 57 |
|                               | Methionine adenosyltransferase activity | GO:0004478 | 2.11E-02 | 2.16E-04 | 2 | 3 |
|                               | rRNA binding | GO:0019843 | 2.87E-02 | 3.07E-04 | 3 | 16 |
|                               | Protein binding | GO:0005515 | 2.92E-02 | 3.19E-04 | 10 | 325 |
|                               | Structural constituent of cytoskeleton | GO:0005200 | 3.33E-02 | 3.71E-04 | 3 | 17 |
|                               | Biotyper transporter activity | GO:0015224 | 3.33E-02 | 4.30E-04 | 2 | 4 |
| **Biological processes**       | Translation | GO:00066412 | 9.19E-07 | 1.43E-09 | 15 | 261 |
|                               | Phosphate ion transport | GO:0006817 | 9.55E-03 | 7.24E-05 | 2 | 2 |
|                               | Ribosomal small subunit assembly | GO:0000028 | 9.55E-03 | 7.24E-05 | 2 | 2 |
|                               | Apoptotic process | GO:0006915 | 1.67E-02 | 1.60E-04 | 3 | 13 |
|                               | S-adenosylmethionine biosynthetic process | GO:0006556 | 2.11E-02 | 2.16E-04 | 2 | 3 |
|                               | Biotyper transport | GO:0015877 | 3.33E-02 | 4.30E-04 | 2 | 4 |
|                               | Response to unfolded protein | GO:0006986 | 3.33E-02 | 4.30E-04 | 2 | 4 |
|                               | Protein polymerization | GO:00051258 | 4.35E-02 | 6.11E-04 | 3 | 20 |
| **Metabolic pathways**         | Cysteine and methionine metabolism | KEGG ec00270 | 1.64E-02 | 1.64E-02 | 2 | 74 |
|                               | S-adenosyl-L-methionine biosynthesis | TrypanoCyc SAM-PWY | 3.74E-04 | 6.24E-05 | 2 | 3 |
|                               | methionine and S-adenosylmethionine synthesis | PWY-802 | 3.74E-04 | 9.35E-05 | 2 | 4 |
|                               | aspartate superpathway | PWY0-781 | 5.96E-04 | 2.24E-04 | 2 | 7 |
|                               | Methionine salvage cycle | PWY1V8-2 | 8.17E-04 | 4.09E-04 | 2 | 10 |
|                               | Trypanothine biosynthesis and peroxide metabolism | PWY1V8-6 | 1.04E-03 | 6.48E-04 | 2 | 13 |
|                               | methionine degradation I (to homocysteine) | METHIONINE-DEG1-PWY | 1.05E-02 | 7.84E-03 | 2 | 50 |
|                               | glycerol degradation I | PWY0-381-1 | 1.27E-02 | 1.11E-02 | 1 | 3 |

*Genes in the top 99-percentile RPKM dataset
Entire trypanosome genes in TritrypDB database in respective metabolic pathway
Fig. 1 (See legend on next page.)
Blast2GO Fishers exact test of DE genes revealed 37 and five enriched Gene Ontology (GO) terms associated with C- and PB-parasites, respectively (Additional file 6: Table S3). The GO enriched terms of putative proteins upregulated in C-parasites were broadly associated with nucleotides (nucleotide binding and cyclic nucleotide biosynthetic process; the energy carriers), ATP binding, protein phosphorylation, signaling, cell (integral component of cell membrane, membrane region, cytoplasmic side of plasma membrane), adenylyl cyclase activity, quorum sensing as well as microtubules, protein serine/threonine and transport processes. This suggests that C-parasites are energetically active and can monitor and respond to their surroundings. Induction of several transport pathways in C-parasites suggests that these trypanosomes may be scavenging for nutrients in the cardia. The putative products of genes upregulated in the PB-parasite were associated with nucleosome, cytoplasmic part, membrane bound organelle, protein folding and protein heterodimerization activity; terms possibly reflecting high rate of cell division by parasites residing in this organ.

**Metabolic pathways**

African trypanosomes live in a glucose-rich environment in the mammalian hosts and in a glucose deficient environment in the tsetse vector. These contrasting habitats require trypanosomes to adjust their metabolic processes for energy production. Specifically, for energy production, *T. congolense* BSF parasites rely entirely on glycolysis and substrate level phosphorylation whereas midgut PCF parasites utilize oxidative phosphorylation. The KEGG [48] and TrypanoCyt [50] analyses of putative products of DE genes for enriched metabolic pathways identified pathways broadly linked with protein/amino acid metabolism (Fig. 2a). A survey of individual genes identified those that encoded proteins putatively associated with the Krebs cycle, respiratory chain and oxidative phosphorylation to be DE between C-parasites and PB-parasites (Fig. 2b), suggesting an enhanced respiratory activity of *T. congolense* stages in both tsetse tissues. Trypanosome respiratory activity, coupled with the reactive oxygen intermediates produced by trypanosome infected tsetse tissues [57, 58], can result in the production of reactive oxygen species that leads to oxidative damage. In this regard, we identified putative proteins that likely function as antioxidants (Fig. 2c) and in the pentose phosphate pathway (Fig. 2d), of which some were upregulated in C-parasite and others in PB-parasites. Pentose phosphate pathway is an essential maintenance pathway that leads to generation of reducing agents in the form of NADPH (which act as an electron donor in detoxification reaction). The cells may use this pathway not only to protect themselves against oxidative stress, but also to produce ribose phosphates that function in nucleotide synthesis.

Putative proteins linked to lipid metabolism involving sterol biosynthesis and ether lipid metabolism were upregulated in C- and PB-parasites, respectively (Fig. 2e). Increased expression of sterol synthesis genes and desaturase by C-parasites may enable the generation of polyunsaturated fatty acids that may help to maintain parasite membrane fluidity under variable temperature conditions in the insect host as they move to the PB where they start to divide. Induced expression of lipid metabolism genes in PB-parasites suggest an increased activity of this pathway, probably indicating high lipid utilization for energy. Lastly, gene products that function in the glycolytic pathway were also DE (Fig. 2f). The majority of them, notably hexokinase, phosphofructokinase, fructose-bisphosphate aldolase and enolase, were upregulated in PB-parasites. The enhanced expression of hexokinase by the PB-parasites may be an indication of pre-adaptation of these parasites for the glucose-rich bloodstream environment in their mammalian host.

**Expression of genes regulating trypanosome differentiation**

*Trypanosoma congolense* differentiates into several forms during development in tsetse before becoming mammalian infective MCFs in the PB. In *T. brucei*, this process is regulated by a number of proteins, including RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) [59], lipid phosphate phosphatases (LPP) [60] and/or Proteins Associated with Differentiation (PADs), also known as Major Facilitator Superfamily (MFS) transporters which are expressed in the mammalian stage of trypanosomes [61]. We identified 44 RBPs, five PADs and four LPPs that were DE (Fig. 3, Additional file 7: Table S4). Most of these RBPs were highly expressed in the C-parasites relative to PB-parasites. Even though most of the RBPs, especially in
**T. congolense**, are not functionally annotated, it is worth noting that ZC3H20 and RBP6 were abundant in C-parasites. In *T. brucei*, ZC3H20 is required for growth of PCF, while RBP6 is involved in differentiation to MCF [62, 63]. The RBPs that exhibited higher expression in PB-parasites relative to C-parasites included ALBA3, RBSR2-3, RBP7A and Zinc finger protein (ZC3H24). ALBA3 in *T. brucei* is expressed by all parasite stages except for those in the cardia, and artificial overexpression of this protein disturbs normal trypanosome development process taking place in the cardia [64]. All DE PADs were highly expressed in C-parasites compared to PB-parasites, with the exception of only two that were upregulated in PB-parasites. In contrast to RBPs and PADs, the expression of all LPPs was higher in PB-parasites relative to C-parasites, mirroring results observed in *T. brucei* in tsetse’s SG [60].

**Differential expression of *T. congolense* cell-surface proteins (CSPs)**

The surface of *T. congolense* is covered by a dense layer of glycoprotein, the composition of which is characteristic of each differentiation stage. Most of these glycoproteins are attached to the plasma membrane by a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor or are transmembrane (TM), and have been grouped into multigene families [42]. Of the DE gene dataset, 223 encoded CSPs based on the presence of putative GPI-anchor or TM protein domains (Fig. 4, Additional file 8: Table S5). For the putative GPI-anchored proteins (Fig. 4a), our analyses show that all the six genes putatively encoding ‘GARP’ and ‘iii’ subfamily proteins, and the single protein of ‘i’ subfamily of the Fam50 [42], were upregulated in C-parasites. In addition, two Fam12, four Fam47, three Fam51 and several hypothetical GPI-anchored protein coding genes were also induced in C-parasites. The GPI-anchored protein-encoding genes induced in the PB-parasites included ten putative VSG proteins, of which three were metacyclic-specific VSGs [m-VSG-3, 6, 10; (Fig. 4b)]. In the C-parasite dataset, one upregulated gene encoded a putative VSG (*TcIL3000_0_07340*). Apart from the VSGs, other upregulated genes in PB-parasites that encoded putative GPI-anchored CSPs included Fam50 [three CESPs], Fam50 [one VSG], Fam47 [one VSG], Fam12 [one VSG], Fam51 [one VSG], and several hypothetical GPI-anchored CSPs.
subfamily genes, the single (TcIL3000_0_02370) subfamily ‘iv’ gene as well as two Fam46 (major surface protease gp63) and Fam14 (procyclin-associated gene; PAG1-2,4-5) [42]. Also, upregulated in PB-parasites were genes encoding a haptoglobin-hemoglobin receptor protein, an amasitin surface glycoprotein and several hypothetical proteins.

For the genes encoding putative TM proteins, we found 14 members of Fam51, several members of transporter families (Fam54, 56, 57, 59, 60, 61, 62), a lipase (Fam63) and a zinc-finger (Fam76) to be highly expressed by the C-parasites compared to PB-parasites (Fig. 4b, Additional file 8: Table S5). On the other hand, in the PB-parasites, at least a member of different gene families was induced relative to the C-parasite (Fig. 4b, Additional file 8: Table S5). Of these gene families, Fam67 (cysteine proteases) attracted considerable interest [65], more so in T. congolense [66], where they are thought to have a direct impact on disease pathogenesis as virulence factors involved in host invasion, migration, metabolism and immune evasion. Expression of cysteine protease encoding genes by the insect stage parasites mirrors results found in previous proteome [26] and transcriptome [25] studies in the insect stages, suggesting that these proteins may also play important roles vector-parasite interactions. Importantly, genes highly expressed by PB-parasites are likely to encode proteins that are transmitted to mammalian hosts, and this may assist the parasite in overcoming the many defense
| Gene ID          | Proboscis | Cardia | FDR   | Log_RPKM |
|-----------------|-----------|--------|-------|----------|
| TcIL3000_0_26270|           |        | 4.98E-02 | 15       |
| TcIL3000_0_29140|           |        | 4.77E-04 | 10       |
| TcIL3000_0_15180|           |        | 3.63E-07 | 5        |
| TcIL3000_0_1_1707|           |        | 0      | 0        |
| TcIL3000_0_9_5570|           |        | 0      | 0        |
| TcIL3000_0_41650|           |        | 0      | 0        |
| TcIL3000_0_21990|           |        | 7.77E-07 | 6        |
| TcIL3000_0_8_620|           |        | 7.05E-07 | 6        |
| TcIL3000_0_51730|           |        | 7.58E-09 | 9        |
| TcIL3000_0_11630|           |        | 1.88E-02 | 5        |
| TcIL3000_0_49100|           |        | 6.66E-05 | 5        |
| TcIL3000_0_5_9400|           |        | 1.84E-07 | 6        |
| TcIL3000_0_50860|           |        | 1.43E-03 | 10       |
| TcIL3000_0_37630|           |        | 8.11E-04 | 5        |
| TcIL3000_0_59580|           |        | 4.21E-03 | 5        |
| TcIL3000_0_10_3820|         |        | 3.99E-06 | 5        |
| TcIL3000_0_17670|           |        | 7.58E-11 | 5        |
| TcIL3000_0_27400|           |        | 1.65E-14 | 5        |
| TcIL3000_0_48370|           |        | 1.13E-02 | 5        |
| TcIL3000_0_07360|           |        | 1.04E-05 | 5        |
| TcIL3000_0_10_1550|         |        | 2.72E-07 | 5        |
| TcIL3000_0_60830|           |        | 1.23E-07 | 5        |
| TcIL3000_0_1_1180|           |        | 0      | 0        |
| TcIL3000_0_47400|           |        | 0      | 0        |
| TcIL3000_0_59570|           |        | 0      | 0        |
| TcIL3000_0_07210|           |        | 1.21E-09 | 5        |
| TcIL3000_0_60840|           |        | 2.36E-07 | 5        |
| TcIL3000_0_10_6430|         |        | 7.55E-04 | 5        |
| TcIL3000_0_26030|           |        | 2.16E-05 | 5        |
| TcIL3000_0_07340|           |        | 5.79E-07 | 5        |
| TcIL3000_0_11_3900|         |        | 0      | 0        |
| TcIL3000_0_02600|           |        | 0      | 0        |
| TcIL3000_0_09160|           |        | 0      | 0        |
| TcIL3000_0_47410|           |        | 0      | 0        |
| TcIL3000_0_60850|           |        | 3.78E-04 | 5        |
| TcIL3000_0_44390|           |        | 5.87E-11 | 5        |
| TcIL3000_0_6_1210|           |        | 3.53E-31 | 5        |
| TcIL3000_0_11_9900|         |        | 2.61E-37 | 5        |
| TcIL3000_0_02707|           |        | 1.82E-50 | 5        |
| TcIL3000_0_7_3440|           |        | 2.19E-38 | 5        |
| TcIL3000_0_7_1600|           |        | 3.92E-02 | 5        |
| TcIL3000_0_8_3300|           |        | 2.22E-123 | 5        |
| TcIL3000_0_53580|           |        | 3.18E-03 | 5        |
| TcIL3000_0_15610|           |        | 7.25E-11 | 5        |
| TcIL3000_0_10_2930|         |        | 1.55E-10 | 5        |
| TcIL3000_0_47420|           |        | 0      | 0        |
| TcIL3000_0_53640|           |        | 0      | 0        |

Fig. 4 (See legend on next page.)
factors produced by the host immediately upon their entry in the animal.

The T. congoense genome also encodes parasite species specific CSPs. These T. congoense unique CSPs (grouped into Fam17-22) may help distinguish this parasite from T. brucei and T. vivax [42]. Analysis of these genes revealed that they exhibited relatively low expression levels in both C- and PB-parasites (Additional file 9: Figure S2). Nonetheless, some members of Fam17 and Fam18 were upregulated in the C-parasites relative to PB-parasites, while three members of Fam20 and a member of Fam21 showed higher expression in PB-parasites relative to C-parasites.

**Developmental stage-regulated expression of selected CSPs**

Semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis was used to track the tissue and developmental stage-regulated expression of selected CSP encoding genes following normalization of samples using T. congoense gapdh across all tsetse tissues (midgut, cardia and proboscis) and BSF (Fig. 5a). Genes encoding GPI-anchor GARP putative cell surface protein (TcIL3000_0_02370) and TM Receptor adenylate cyclase (GRESAG4, TcIL3000.11.6410) were most abundant in the cardia relative to other tsetse tissues and BSF parasites. Similarly, expression of TM Pteridine transporter (TcIL3000_10_7850) was higher in parasites colonizing the cardia and midgut than in the PB and BSF. The GPI-anchored Haptoglobin-hemoglobin (TcIL3000_10_7850) showed higher expression in PB-parasites than those colonizing other tissues (Fig. 5b).

The products of the two hypothetical genes (TcIL3000_0_02370 and TcIL3000_7_3440) have GPI-anchor motifs, and also showed higher expression in PB-parasites relative to C-parasites in both semi-quantitative RT-PCR and RNA-seq analysis. Hence the expression profiles of these genes were further tested in different infected fly tissues and BSF parasites by RT-qPCR. The ANOVA analysis of RT-qPCR results of these two genes indicate that they were both significantly \( (F_{13, 28} = 21.39, P < 0.0001) \) highly expressed by PB-parasites relative to parasites rest of the tsetse tissues and BSF (Fig. 5c).

We next expressed the TcIL3000_0_02370 protein in bacteria and generated rabbit polyclonal antibodies against the recombinant protein. These antibodies were then used to localize TcIL3000_0_02370 protein in parasites derived from distinct tsetse tissues. The hypothetical protein (TcIL3000_0_02370) which is predicted to be glycosylated, contain 453-amino acids and encoded a putative GPI-anchor (position 429) and signal peptide motif (positions 1–22). This putative protein was chosen for IFA analysis because its orthologue in T. brucei is expressed preferentially by metacyclic stage parasites [52]. Based on immunofluorescent and confocal laser microscopy analysis, the expression of TcIL3000_0_02370 protein was found to be localized on the surface of parasites residing in the PB, with minor staining also observed in C-parasites (Fig. 5d).

**Discussion**

In this study, we used high throughput RNA expression analysis to examine molecular differences of T. congoense developmental forms colonizing the cardia (C-parasites) and proboscis (PB-parasites) of G. m. morsitans. Our results reveal that C-parasites express genes that encode products linked with nucleotides/nucleosides, cell signaling and quorum sensing (QS), and several transport systems, suggesting parasite adaptation to varying nutritional environments in the vector. PB-parasites, on the other hand, express putative proteins associated with cell proliferation, in line with previous finding [12]. Greater cell division processes observed in PB-parasites may either enable multiplication of EMF or generation of short MCFs of T. congoense from long EMF [12]. In cultures of long attached EMFs, MCFs only appeared if short, attached trypomastigotes were present [67].

As extracellular parasites, African trypanosomes, including T. congoense, sense and respond appropriately to changes in their host environment. This feature is critical for the parasite to monitor nutrient availability, space and prevent accumulation of toxic metabolic waste for survival [68]. This process likely involves a repertoire of receptors, reporter molecule(s) and signaling pathways,
Fig. 5 (See legend on next page.)
with some evidence that cAMP and QS may also play important roles in this cascade [68, 69]. Increased expression of receptor-like adenylate cyclases (ACs; ESAG4/GRSAG), QS and signal transduction proteins in C-parasites suggest that these individuals can also monitor their density [68] and engage in social behaviors as described for procyclic T. brucei [70–72]. The African trypanosome genomes encode an unusually expanded repertoire of ACs, with T. brucei encoding over 80 and T. congolense 45 [73]. This expansion only exists in the extracellular trypanosomes, but not in related intracellular kinetoplastids like T. cruzi and Leishmania spp. In T. brucei, one well studied member of subfamily of ACs is an expression site associated gene (ESAG4), which is a BSF stage specific flagellar pocket protein involved in disrupting host innate immunity [73]. Insect stage-specific T. brucei ACs have also been identified, suggesting similar roles during parasite development in the tsetse vector [13, 74]. ACs can also control cell division [75] and signal for social motility [76]. As such, upregulation of T. congolesense ACs in the cardia may be linked to increased function of cAMP mediated signaling pathways and social motility [69], as C-parasites do not divide [12]. Aspects of QS and signal transduction-like social activities, including social motility, can facilitate trypanosome movement in the tsetse vector [70], and has been postulated for T. brucei procycls in tsetse midgut [77, 78]. QS may also boost host nutrient accessibility while overcoming host defenses for survival [72]. Our results suggest that T. congolesense C-parasites may also engage in social behaviors that can enable them to move from the cardia en masse to colonize the foregut as well as maximize the ability of these parasites to acquire nutrients from the cardia. The nutrient transporter proteins expressed by C-parasites may further enhance parasite uptake of metabolites in the cardia lumen.

We also detected expression of genes whose products potentially regulate parasite differentiation, e.g. RBPs, PADs and LPPs [59–61, 63, 79]. In T. brucei, overexpression of the gene encoding RNA Binding protein 6 (RBP6) using pLEW100 vector in in vitro cultured non-infectious PCF led to the generation of mammalian infective MCF [63]. The zinc finger protein, ZC3H20, regulates differentiation and growth of PCF [79], while ZC3H11 stabilizes heat-shock protein 70 and enables survival of BSF in the animal host [80]. PADs have been identified in T. brucei, where PAD2 enables differentiation of BSF cells to procycls during the early stages of fly midgut infection [61]. The expression of all LPPs were induced in T. congolesense PB-parasites similar to their T. brucei homologs, which are also induced in tsetse’s SG [60]. The increased expression of LPPs might be important for the uptake of phospholipids from PB by the attached parasites, in order to support parasite growth, proliferation and differentiation in the PB [81, 82].

Several African trypanosome CSPs that may be involved in host-parasite interactions have been identified [42]. Our analysis revealed that all members of FamS0 subfamily ‘iii’, ‘i’ and ‘GARP’ are induced in C-parasites while three members of the ‘CESP’ subfamily are induced in PB-parasites. This result contradicts previously held knowledge based on in vitro cultured T. congolesense stages where GARP is preferentially expressed by EMF stage parasites [26, 83] in tsetse’s PB [12]. While the roles of GARP and ‘iii’ subfamily of proteins are unknown, CESP protein is thought to enable EMF attachment to the PB wall [84]. The levels of four invariant surface glycoproteins (ISGs), the Haptoglobin-hemoglobin receptor (HpHbR) and several VSGs, including three previously identified metacyclic specific-VSGs, are induced in PB-parasites [25, 26]. The VSGs may be a preadaptation strategy of the parasite for transmission to the animal host and evasion of host immunity [85]. The role of ISGs in T. congolesense is unknown, but their T. brucei homolog (ISG75) functions in suramin metabolism in BSFs [86]. The HpHbR of T. congolesense has high affinity for hemoglobin and is strategically expressed on the surface of EMF parasites [26, 87], which appear in the PB and cibarium. In the PB, the HpHbR may enable the parasites to acquire heme from incoming blood during tsetse feeding [87, 88]. In T. brucei, HpHbR is BSF-specific, where it helps the parasite to acquire haptoglobin-hemoglobin complexes for heme [89]. In addition, several hypothetical CSPs were also induced in PB-parasites, notably TcIL3000_0_02370, which is thought to be involved in EMF to MCF development [26]. This single copy gene (TcIL3000_0_02370), has multi-copy orthologues (Tb927.7.360) in T. brucei’s genome and is preferentially expressed by SG colonizing parasites [52].

Given the small number of MCF parasites [90] that get deposited with saliva into the mammalian host bite
site, the metacyclic developmental stage presents a bottleneck for transmission. If MCF-specific proteins can be effectively targeted at the bite site, it could block parasite infection establishment in animal hosts. Towards this end, studies in mice immunized with radiation-attenuated trypanosomes collected from animal blood 5-days post-challenge with infected tsetse showed protection against a subsequent parasite challenge. Again, mice that were challenged twice with *T. congolense* infected tsetse then treated with trypanocidal drugs after each challenge, and finally followed by homologous parasite challenge, resulted in sterile immunity [91, 92]. However, immunity to trypanosomes in these experiments was short-lived [93]. Such strategies have also been tried in *Plasmodium* spp. by targeting the CS antigen of sporozoites from mosquito SG [94, 95] and other antigens [96] to block transmission. Proteins expressed by immature parasite stages in the fly also present possible targets for genetic modification to prevent parasite maturation in the vector [97]. However, it should be noted that *T. congolense* populations, particularly those in tsetse’s PB, are variable, and gene expression analyses of these parasites is complex and difficult to tease apart.

**Conclusions**

Collectively, our results provide insight into *T. congolense* gene expression profiles in two distinct niches within the tsetse vector. Results from C-parasites suggest that *T. congolense* parasites in the cardia may exhibit social motility. In addition, we identified a number of genes encoding putative cell surface proteins and proteins associated with parasite differentiation that may regulate *T. congolense* developmental processes in tsetse fly. Genes encoding transporter proteins abundantly expressed by C-parasites may aid the parasite in acquiring nutrients from the cardia. Our findings together with the previous proteome and transcriptomic data based on in vitro cultured parasites [25, 26], provide resources to facilitate further research into the life-cycle of *T. congolense* in the tsetse vector and expand the toolbox for transmission control.
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