Molecular detection of novel Bovine Ephemeral Fever Virus strain and its effect on immune system in cattle, Egypt 2017
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ABSTRACT

Bovine ephemeral fever (BEF) is an immune related acute febrile disease affecting cattle characterized by expression of inflammatory mediators. This study aimed to clarify immune and inflammatory changes that result from BEF infection in cattle particularly cytokines mechanisms. The study was performed on 100 cattle, 2 – 3 years of age, from endemic areas with three-day sickness in Qalubiya governorate, Egypt. Characteristic clinical signs appeared on cattle from suspected farms varied from transient fluctuating fever, stiffness, lameness, enlargement of peripheral lymph nodes, decreased milk yield and muscular shivering. So, we performed RT-PCR to confirm the cause of disease by direct detection of the virus targeting glycoprotein coding (G) gene , we found 30 cases positive BEF out of 100 animals. A negative control group was 10 healthy cows that was compared with positive infected group to show effect of virus on immune system by evaluating parameters change by infection, included endogenous pyrogenic variables such as cytokines, Interleukins (IL-2 and IL-6) and inflammatory biomarkers as (cortisone, CRP). Our results demonstrated substantial increase (P<0.05) in serum concentrations of IL-2, IL-6, cortisone and CRP as well as hypocalcemia in infected animals.

1. INTRODUCTION

Bovine ephemeral fever (BEF) occurs commonly in temperate areas of Africa, Asia, Australia and the Middle-East and leading to loss of beef and milk production, infertility and abortion (Yoshimasa et al., 2015). The mortality rate is generally low (rarely exceeds 1%) although, the morbidity rate is high (up to 100%) and the most common manifestations are unexpected onset of high fever (41°C), anorexia, nasal discharge, salivation, sharp drop in milk production, stiffness, ruminal stasis and dyspnea (Walker et al., 2012). Three Day Sickness is another synonym to BEF and defined as an arthropod born viral infectious disease of cattle and water buffaloes caused by genus Ephemerovirus, Family Rhabdoviridae (Degheidy et al., 2011 and Lee, 2019). The seasonal condition of tropical and subtropical parts of the world enhance dispersal of infection, where BEF is endemic there. (Walker, 2005). The infection is brained by noticeable and severe manifestations of the disease such as abrupt onset of high temperature, staggering gait and unwillingness to move (Yoshimasa et al., 2015). The severe environmental stress help the clinical signs to be worsened,(Tsuyoshi et al., 2015). The term three days sickness refers to recovery of infected animals within 72 hrs following the onset of symptoms. The unexpected onset of fever, off food, nasal secretions were observed in infected animals.

Infections with bovine ephemeral fever virus were noticed in 7 governorates in Egypt; during summer seasons in 2006 and 2009 (Degheidy et al., 2011). The latter authors added that Viral identification by indirect immunoflourcent technique showed positive records of 36.6% from buffy coat and PCR showed 500 bp clear single band and they concluded that IFAT and RT-PCR proved to be rapid, sensitive and specific for BEF VIRUS identification. Barigye et al. (2016) reported that plasma IL-6 and IL-10 were increased in all animals infected with BEF VIRUS starting several days before initiation of viraemia; this increase in plasma IL-6 and IL-10 precedes seroconversion during BEF VIRUS infections in cattle suggesting the two cytokines may influence immunological events that pave way to B-cell activation and seroconversion. The neutralizing antibody was enhanced by the envelope glycoprotein (G), which was acts against experimental encounter in cattle. Neutralizing antigenic determinants were placed in four independent parts (G1-G4) in the G protein (Kato et al., 2009 and Roche et al., 2006). BEF was immune interceded, that the clinical manifestation of the illness were the appearance of inflammation mediators which were responsible for acute febrile diseases. Clinical features and pathological alterations were indications of the virus growth effect and the host's response (Yeruham et al., 2010).
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2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Animals
The current study was conducted on 100 cattle, 2-3 years of age from different cattle farms situated at Qaliobiya governorate, Egypt. The animal handling procedures in this study were agreed by the team of scientific research ethics (BUFVTM0032020), Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University, Egypt. The animals suffered from clinical signs including fever, anorexia and nasal discharge that were suggested to be due to BEF during the middle of August 2017. In addition, ten cattle were apparently healthy which were considered as control group. All animals were exposed to clinical inspection as stated by Radostits et al. (2007).

2.2. Sample collection and laboratory testing
Two blood samples were obtained from jugular veins of all infected animals. The first sample was collected in sterile tube with sodium citrate as an anticoagulant at an early febrile stage of the disease for separation of buffy coat used as a source for suspected viral samples (Van Der W esthuizen, 1967) for direct detection of the viral nucleic acid using conventional reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). The second sample was gathered in tube without anticoagulant for serological examination and left few minutes to obtain serum to estimate some parameters that indicate immune system response to infection as cytokines, Interleukins (IL-2 and IL-6) and inflammatory biomarkers as (cortisone, CRP). The obtained samples were labelled and stored at -20°C till examined.

Serum IL-2 was determined using Bovine IL-2 ELISA kit (Cat. No. MBS2701033, MyBioSource) according to the method described by Gearing and Thorpe (1988) while serum IL-6 was determined using Bovine IL-6 ELISA kit (Cat. No. MBS564191, MyBioSource) according to the method described by Chan and Perlstein (1987). Serum cortisol level was determined using Bovine Cortisol ELISA kit (Cat. No. MBS701325, MyBioSource). Serum CRP concentration was determined using CRP-Latex commercial kit supplied by SPINREACT, SPAIN, according to the method described by Young (2001). Serum Calcium concentration was determined using QuantiChrom™ Calcium Assay Kit (Cat. No. DICA-500, BioAssay Systems, USA).

2.3. RNA extraction
RNA of BEF virus was extracted from buffy coat of the 100 examined animals using (QIAamp viral Mini Kit, Qiagen GmbH, Germany). 140 ul of sample suspension with 560 ul of AVL lysis buffer and 5.6 ul of carrier RNA were incubated at room temperature followed by adding 560 ul of ethanol 100%. The sample was cleaned and centrifuged according to manufacturer recommendations. RNA was eluted using 60 ul elution buffer and stored at -20°C until further PCR analysis.

2.4. PCR amplification
Primers were designed in a 25 ul reaction having 12.5 Quantitect probe rt-PCR buffer (QIAGen, Gmbh). 1ul from each primer (listed in Table 1), 0.25 ul rt- enzyme, 5.25 ul water and 5 ul DNA template were mixed and the reaction was performed in Biomeri thermal cycler. Reverse transcription was performed at 50°C for 30 min, a primary denaturation step was followed by 35 cycles of PCR amplification as follow: after the first denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, the amplification involving denaturation at 94°C for 40 s, annealing at 48°C for 1 min, primer extension at 72°C for 40 s and the last extension for 10 min at 72°C. PCR products were separated by electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gel, read by gel documentation system (alpa innotesh, biometra) and the data evaluated by computer software.

| Reference | Length of amplified product (bp) | Primer seq. (5’-3’) | Target gene |
|-----------|---------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Kaem et al (2014) | 420 bp | AGAGCTTTGATGGAATAC | Glycoprotein (G) gene |
| Bazan et al (2020) | 450 bp | CGAAGCTTCTTACACGAGCGATA | |
Cytokines are any number of ingredients produced by almost all nucleated cells in the body, particularly immune cells, for example lung macrophages throughout an infection close to pneumonia, immune cells realize a pathogen, or in case of gram-negative organisms, endotoxin created by the pathogen and come to be activated. In cooperation of local and systemic level, stimulated immune cells are necessary to interconnect with one another. Cytokines act to enable intercellular announcement by controlling the animal’s reaction to infection, further stimulation of the immune system, inflammation and damage. Our results demonstrated the effect of BEF VIRUS on immune system by measuring parameters of body inflammatory response to infection and compared with healthy animals. Cytokines were increased to defend against virus infection in infected animals. The current study recorded a significant increase in IL-2 and IL-6 after BEF VIRUS infection, this result was in agreement with Walker et al. (2012) which concluded that IL-6 in infected animals with BEF VIRUS was significantly increased since the virus affected the immune system and increase cytokines to defend against virus infection. The solitary inflammatory cytokine and the essential mediator of the host reaction to infection is IL-6, which can be calculated in substantial amounts in the circulation throughout fever. It is the major endogenous distributing pyrogen responsible for stimulating CNS mechanisms causing febrile response development throughout illness in fever for the period of inflammation and infection. In the current study, biomarkers of inflammation response (cortisone and protein reaction CRP) were significantly increased in the infected animals than in normal healthy animals because the virus had an inflammatory effect and the increase in a number of cytokines can be anti-inflammatory whereas others specially those encourage illness behavior are pro-inflammatory. This result was in agreement with that stated by Dinarello (2000).

The reactivity of pro-inflammatory cytokines is retained by anti-inflammatory cytokines. Therefore, extensive tissue damage was avoided. The Th2 or humoral side of the immune response was responsible for anti-inflammatory cytokines, while pro-inflammatory cytokines were related to Th1 or the cellular immunity of the immune reaction (Elenkov, 2009). During trauma or illness, the concentration of circulating pro-inflammatory cytokine-like IL-6 tends to rise up to 1000X. The inflammation was speeded up by pro-inflammatory cytokines through initiating the synthesis of cellular adhesion fragments and chemokines that attract and store inflammatory cells such as neutrophils, monocytes, macrophages, and lymphocytes locally at the site of infection (Buhman et al., 2000).
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