SUPERCONGRUENCES ON SOME BINOMIAL SUMS INVOLVING LUCAS SEQUENCES
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Abstract. In this paper, we confirm several conjectured congruences of Sun concerning the divisibility of binomial sums. For example, with help of a quadratic hypergeometric transformation, we prove that
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k} \binom{2k}{k}^2 \frac{P_k}{8^k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}
\]
for any prime \( p \equiv 7 \pmod{8} \), where \( P_k \) is the \( k \)-th Pell number. Further, we also propose three new congruences of the same type.

1. Introduction

In [10], with help of the Gross-Koblitz formula, Mortenson solved a conjecture of Rodriguez-Villegas [15] as follows:
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{2k}{k}^2 \frac{1}{16^k} \equiv \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right) \pmod{p^2}
\]
for every odd prime \( p \), where \( \left( \frac{a}{p} \right) \) denotes the Legendre symbol. Subsequently, the similar congruences were widely studied. For the progress of this topic, the reader may refer to [10, 11, 13, 6, 16, 20, 21, 8, 17, 7, 4, 18]. In [19], Sun proposed many conjectured congruences on the sums of binomial coefficients. Some of those conjectures are of the form
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k} \binom{2k}{k}^2 a_n \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.
\]
For example, Sun conjectured that
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k} \binom{2k}{k}^2 \frac{\chi_3(k)}{16^k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}
\]
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for any prime \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{12} \), where \( \chi_3(k) \) equals to the Legendre symbol \( \left( \frac{k}{3} \right) \).

The main purpose of this paper is to confirm the following conjectures of Sun.

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose that \( p \) is a prime.

(i) If \( p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \), then

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k} \binom{2k}{k} \frac{1}{(-8)^k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.
\]

(ii) If \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{12} \), then

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k} \binom{2k}{k} \frac{\chi_3(k)}{16^k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.
\]

(iii) If \( p \equiv 7 \pmod{8} \), then

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k} \binom{2k}{k} \frac{P_k}{8^k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2},
\]

where the Pell number \( P_k \) is given by

\[
P_0 = 0, \quad P_1 = 1, \quad P_n = 2P_{n-1} + P_{n-2} \text{ for } n \geq 2.
\]

(iv) If \( p \equiv 11 \pmod{12} \), then

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k} \frac{R_k}{(-4)^k} \binom{2k}{k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2},
\]

where \( R_k \) is given by

\[
R_0 = 2, \quad R_1 = 4, \quad R_n = 4R_{n-1} - R_{n-2} \text{ for } n \geq 2.
\]

We mention that (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) respectively belong to Conjecture 5.5 of [20] and Conjectures A56, A57, A63 of [19].

The sequences \( \{P_n\} \) and \( \{R_n\} \) in Theorem 1.1 both belong to the second-order linear recurrence sequence. In general, define the Lucas sequences \( \{U_n(a, b)\} \) and \( \{V_n(a, b)\} \) by

\[
U_0(a, b) = 0, \quad U_1(a, b) = 1, \quad U_n(a, b) = aU_{n-1}(a, b) - bU_{n-2}(a, b) \text{ for } n \geq 2,
\]

and

\[
V_0(a, b) = 2, \quad V_1(a, b) = a, \quad V_n(a, b) = aV_{n-1}(a, b) - bV_{n-2}(a, b) \text{ for } n \geq 2.
\]
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Clearly \( P_n = U_n(2, -1) \) and \( R_n = V_n(4, 1) \). In fact, it is also easy to see that \(-(-2)^{n+1} = V_n(-4, 4) \) and \( \chi_3(n) = U_n(-1, 1) \). So it is natural to study the arithmetical properties of

\[
\frac{1}{p^n} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k} (2k)^2 \frac{U_k(a, b)}{16^k}
\]

and

\[
\frac{1}{p^n} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k} (2k)^2 \frac{V_k(a, b)}{16^k}
\]

Define the \( n \)-th harmonic number

\[ H_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \]

In particular, set \( H_0 = 0 \). We have

**Theorem 1.2.** Suppose that \( p \) is an odd prime and \( a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \). Then

\[
\frac{1}{p^n} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k} (2k)^2 \frac{U_k(a, b)}{16^k}
\]

\[
\equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} 16^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 V_j(a + 2, a + b + 1)(1 + 2pH_j) \pmod{p^2}.
\]

Furthermore, if \( p^2 \) doesn’t divide \( a^2 - 4b \), then

\[
\frac{1}{p^n} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k} (2k)^2 \frac{V_k(a, b)}{16^k}
\]

\[
\equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} 16^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 U_j(a + 2, a + b + 1)(1 + 2pH_j) \pmod{p^2}.
\]

With the help of Theorem 1.2, here we can obtain three new congruences of the same type.

**Theorem 1.3.** Suppose that \( p \) is a prime.

(i) If \( p \equiv 7 \pmod{8} \), then

\[
\frac{1}{p^n} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k} (2k)^2 \frac{W_k}{4^k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2},
\]

where \( W_k \) is given by

\[ W_0 = 0, \ W_1 = 1, \ W_n = 8W_{n-1} + 2W_{n-2} \text{ for } n \geq 2. \]
(ii) If \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{6} \), then
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k} \binom{2k}{k} \frac{(-1)^k M_k}{16^k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2},
\]
where \( M_k \) is given by
\[
M_0 = 0, \ M_1 = 1, \ M_n = 3M_{n-1} - 3M_{n-2} \text{ for } n \geq 2.
\]

(iii) If \( p \equiv 7 \pmod{12} \), then
\[
\sum_{0 \leq k \leq p-1} \binom{p-1}{k} \binom{2k}{k} \equiv 0 \pmod{3}
\]
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k} \frac{1}{16^k} \equiv \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k} \binom{2k}{k} \frac{1}{16^k} \pmod{p^2}.
\]

First, the proof of Theorem 1.2 will be given in Section 2. It is not difficult to check that \( 2^n P_n = U_n(4, -4) \) and \( P_{2n} = U_n(6, 1) \). Then according to Theorem 1.2, in order to prove (1.3), we only need to show that
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{j}^2 P_{2j} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{j}^2 P_{2j} H_{2j} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.
\]
However, as we shall see later, the former one is not easy to prove. So in the third section, we shall firstly establish an auxiliary lemma, by using some quadratic hypergeometric transformations. Further, the similar divisible congruences for \( R_n \) and \( W_n \) will be also proved. Finally, in Section 4, we shall conclude the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Below we always assume that \( p \) is an odd prime. In this section, we shall prove

**Theorem 2.1.**

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k} \binom{2k}{k} \frac{(z-1)^k}{16^k} \equiv (-1)^\frac{p-1}{2} 16^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} z^j \binom{p-1}{j}^2 (1 + 2pH_{2j}) \pmod{p^2}.
\]
Lemma 2.1.

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{2k}{k}^2 \frac{(1-z)^k}{16^k} \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} 4^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} z^j \left(\frac{p-1}{2} - j\right)^2 (1+ph_{\frac{p-1}{2} - j}) \pmod{p^2}.
\] (2.2)

Proof. Clearly

\[
\binom{2k}{k}^2 = 16^k \frac{(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(k!)^2} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \left( -\frac{1}{2} - j \right)^2 - \frac{p^2}{4}
\]

\[
= 16^k \frac{(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(k!)^2} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} - j \right) \left( -\frac{p+1}{2} - j \right)
\]

\[
= 16^k \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 \left( -\frac{p+1}{2} \right)^2 \pmod{p^2}.
\]

It follows that

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{2k}{k}^2 \frac{(1-z)^k}{16^k} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{p-1}{2k} \binom{-p+1}{2k} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j}(-z)^j
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{p-1}{2j} (-z)^j \sum_{k=j}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{p-1}{2k-j} \binom{-p+1}{2k} \pmod{p^2}.
\]

In view of the Chu-Vandemonde identity \([2, (5.27)]\), we have

\[
\sum_{k=j}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{p-1}{2k-j} \binom{-p+1}{2k} = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{p-1}{2j} \binom{-p+1}{2j}.
\]

Since

\[
\binom{p-1}{2j} \binom{-p+1}{2j} = \frac{\binom{p-1}{p-1-j}}{\binom{p-1}{p-1+j}}
\]

and

\[
\binom{p-1}{k} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \binom{p}{i} = (-1)^{k} (1-pH_k) \pmod{p^2},
\]
we obtain that
\[ p - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \left( \begin{array}{c} 2k \\ k \end{array} \right) \cdot \frac{(1 - z)^k}{16^k} \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \left( \begin{array}{c} p-1 \\ j \end{array} \right) (-z)^j \cdot (1) \frac{p-1}{2} \left( \frac{p-1}{2-j} \right) \]
\[ \equiv \left( \frac{p - 1}{2-j} \right) \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} z^j \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 (1 + pH_{\frac{p-1}{2}-j}) \pmod{p^2}. \]

Using the classical Morley congruence [9]
\[ \left( \frac{p - 1}{2} \right) \equiv (-1) \frac{p-1}{2} 4^{p-1} \pmod{p^2}, \]
we get (2.2). \hfill \Box

**Lemma 2.2.**
\[ (-1)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (1 - z)^k \left( \begin{array}{c} 2k \\ k \end{array} \right)^2 \frac{H_k}{16^k} \]
\[ \equiv \frac{2^{p+1} - 4}{p} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} z^j \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 + \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} z^j \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 H_j \pmod{p}. \tag{2.3} \]

**Proof.** Clearly
\[ \left( \begin{array}{c} 2k \\ k \end{array} \right) = (-4)^k \left( \begin{array}{c} -1 \\ k \end{array} \right) \equiv (-4)^k \left( \begin{array}{c} p-1 \\ 2k \end{array} \right) \pmod{p}. \]

Hence
\[ \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \begin{array}{c} 2k \\ k \end{array} \right)^2 \frac{(1 - z)^k H_k}{16^k} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \begin{array}{c} p-1 \\ 2k \end{array} \right)^2 H_k \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k-j}{j} (-z)^j \]
\[ \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{p-1}{2} - j \right) \sum_{k=j}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{p-1}{2} H_k \pmod{p}. \]

Apparently
\[ \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} - t \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} p-1 \\ 2 \end{array} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}-k} \frac{1}{p-1-i} = \left( \begin{array}{c} p-1 \\ 2 \end{array} \right)(H_k - H_{\frac{p-1}{2}}). \]

Note that
\[ \sum_{k=j}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} - j \right) \binom{p-1}{2} - k \binom{p-1}{2} - k) = \left( \frac{p-1}{2} - j - t \right), \]
and

\[
\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \left( \frac{p - 1 - j - t}{p - 1 - j} \right) \right) = - \left( \frac{p - 1 - j}{p - 1 - j} \right) \sum_{i=0}^{p+1-j-1} \frac{1}{p - 1 - j - i} \\
\equiv \left( \frac{p - 1 - j}{p - 1 - j} \right) \sum_{i=0}^{p+1-j-1} \frac{1}{i + j + 1} \\
= \left( \frac{p - 1 - j}{p - 1 - j} \right) \left( H_{\frac{p-1}{2}} - H_j \right) \pmod{p}.
\]

We obtain that

\[
\sum_{k=j}^{p+1} \left( \frac{p - 1 - j - k}{p - 1 - k} \right) \left( \frac{p - 1 - j}{p - 1 - k} \right) H_k \\
= \sum_{k=j}^{p+1} \left( \frac{p - 1 - j}{p - 1 - k} \right) H_{\frac{p+1}{2}} + \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \sum_{k=j}^{p+1} \left( \frac{p - 1 - j}{p - 1 - k} \right) \left( \frac{p - 1 - j - t}{p - 1 - k} \right) \right) \\
\equiv \left( \frac{p - 1 - j}{p - 1 - j} \right) \left( 2H_{\frac{p+1}{2}} - H_j \right) = (-1)^{p+1-j} \left( \frac{p+1}{p - 1 - j} \right) \left( \frac{p - 1 - j}{p - 1 - j} \right) \left( 2H_{\frac{p+1}{2}} - H_j \right) \\
\equiv (-1)^{p+1-j} \left( \frac{p+1}{p - 1 - j} \right) \left( 2H_{\frac{p+1}{2}} - H_j \right) \pmod{p}.
\]

Thus (2.3) immediately follows from a well-known congruence of Lehmer [5]:

\[
H_{\frac{p+1}{2}} \equiv -\frac{2^p - 2}{p} \pmod{p}.
\]

\[\square\]

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By the Fermat little theorem,

\[
4^{p-1} = (1 + 2^{p-1} - 1)^2 \equiv 1 + 2(2^{p-1} - 1) \pmod{p^2}.
\]
Combining Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we obtain that
\[
(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{p-1}{k} \left( 2k \right) \binom{2k}{k} \frac{(z-1)^k}{16^k}
\equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{2k}{k} \frac{(1-z)^k}{16^k} (1 - pH_k)
\equiv (3 \cdot 2^p - 5) \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} z^j \left( \frac{p-1}{2j} \right)^2 + p \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} z^j \left( \frac{p-1}{2j} \right)^2 (H_j + H_{p-1}) (\mod p^2).
\]
On the other hand,
\[
H_{p-1-j} = \sum_{i=j+1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{1}{2i-1} \equiv - \sum_{i=j+1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{2}{2i-1}
\equiv \sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{2}{2i-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{2}{2i-1} = (2H_{2j} - H_j) - (2H_{p-1} - H_{p-1})
\equiv 2H_{2j} - H_j + H_{p-1} (\mod p),
\]
where in the last step we use the well-known fact
\[
H_{p-1} \equiv 0 (\mod p).
\]
Thus
\[
(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{p-1}{k} \left( 2k \right) \binom{2k}{k} \frac{(z-1)^k}{16^k}
\equiv (3 \cdot 2^p - 5) \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} z^j \left( \frac{p-1}{2j} \right)^2 + p \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} z^j \left( \frac{p-1}{2j} \right)^2 (2H_{2j} + H_{p-1})
\equiv (2^{p+1} - 3) \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} z^j \left( \frac{p-1}{2j} \right)^2 + 2p \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} z^j \left( \frac{p-1}{2j} \right)^2 H_{2j} (\mod p^2).
\]
Finally, we have
\[
16^{p-1} = (1 + 2^{p-1} - 1)^4 \equiv 1 + 4(2^{p-1} - 1) (\mod p^2).
\]
The proof of (2.1) is concluded. \(\Box\)

Now Theorem 1.2 is an easy consequence of Theorem 2.1. Let \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) be the two roots of the equation \(x^2 - ax + b = 0\). Then \(\alpha + 1\) and
\[ \beta + 1 \] are also the two roots of \( x^2 - (a + 2)x + (b + a + 1) = 0. \) It is well-known (cf. [14]) that
\[ V_n(a, b) = \alpha^n + \beta^n, \quad V_n(a + 2, b + a + 1) = (\alpha + 1)^n + (\beta + 1)^n \]
for each \( n \geq 0. \) By Theorem 2.1,
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{p-1}{k} \binom{2k}{k}^2 \frac{\alpha^k + \beta^k}{16^k}
\equiv (-1)^{n-1} 16^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} ((\alpha + 1)^j + (\beta + 1)^j) \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 (1 + 2pH_{2j}) \pmod{p^2}.
\]

Then (1.5) is derived.

Furthermore, we also have
\[ U_n(a, b) = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}, \quad U_n(a + 2, b + a + 1) = \frac{(\alpha + 1)^n - (\beta + 1)^n}{\alpha - \beta}. \]

Suppose that \( p^2 \) doesn’t divides \( a^2 - 4b. \) Then \( \alpha - \beta = \pm \sqrt{a^2 - 4b} \) is not divisible by \( p. \) So we have
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{p-1}{k} \binom{2k}{k}^2 \frac{\alpha^k - \beta^k}{16^k(\alpha - \beta)}
\equiv (-1)^{n-1} 16^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \frac{(\alpha + 1)^j - (\beta + 1)^j}{\alpha - \beta} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 (1 + 2pH_{2j}) \pmod{p^2},
\]
by noting that both sides of the above congruences are factly rational \( p \)-integers.

### 3. Quadratic Hypergeometric Transformations

In this section, we shall use the quadratic hypergeometric transformations to deduce some auxiliary results on \( P_n, R_n \) and \( W_n, \) which is necessary for the proof of (1.3), (1.4) and (1.7). Define the hypergeometric function
\[
_{2}F_{1} \left( \begin{array}{c} a, b \\ c \end{array} \right| z \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k(b)_k}{(c)_k} \cdot \frac{z^k}{k!},
\]
(3.1)
where \( c \not\in \{0, -1, -2, \ldots \} \) and
\[
(a)_k = \begin{cases} a(a+1) \cdots (a+k-1), & \text{if } k \geq 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } k = 0. \end{cases}
\]
Clearly (3.1) is convergent whenever $|z| < 1$. And if $n$ is a non-negative integer, then
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{m}{k} z^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-n)_k(-m)_k}{(1)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!} = {}_{2}F_{1}\left( -n, -m, 1 \mid z \right).
\]

**Lemma 3.1.** (i) Suppose that $p \equiv 5, 7 \pmod{8}$ is prime. Then
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 (1 + \sqrt{2})^{2k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.
\]

(ii) Suppose that $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ is a prime. Then
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (-1)^k (2 + \sqrt{3})^{2k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.
\]

(iii) Suppose that $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ is a prime. Then
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 (3 + 2\sqrt{2})^{2k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.
\]

**Proof.** (i) We need the following quadratic transformation for the hypergeometric functions [1, (3.1.4)]:
\[
{_{2}F_{1}}\left( \frac{a}{a-b+1} \mid z \right) = (1-z)^{-a} {_{2}F_{1}}\left( \frac{1}{2a} \frac{1/2a-1/2}{a-b+1} \mid -\frac{4z}{(1-z)^2} \right).
\]

where $|z| < 1$. Let $z = (1 + \sqrt{2})^2$. It is easy to check that
\[
-\frac{4z}{(1-z)^2} = -1.
\]

Suppose that $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$. Substituting $a = b = -\frac{p-1}{2}$ in (3.5), we get
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 z^k = {_{2}F_{1}}\left( -\frac{p-1}{2}, -\frac{p-1}{2}, 1 \mid z \right)
\]
\[
= (1-z)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} {_{2}F_{1}}\left( -\frac{p-1}{4}, -\frac{p+1}{4}, 1 \mid 1 \right).
\]

Notice that both $2 F_1\left( -\frac{p-1}{2}, -\frac{p-1}{2}, 1 \mid z \right)$ and $2 F_1\left( -\frac{p-1}{4}, -\frac{p+1}{4}, 1 \mid 1 \right)$ are factly the finite summations. So the requirement $|z| < 1$ can be ignored.
here. Then (3.2) follows from that

\[ 2F_1\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{-p-1}{4} & \frac{p+1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{4} & \\
\end{array} \right| -1 \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{p-1}{4} \\
\frac{k}{4} \\
\end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{-p+1}{4} \\
\frac{k}{4} \\
\end{array} \right) (-1)^k 
\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{p-1}{4} \\
\frac{k}{4} \\
\end{array} \right)^2 (-1)^k = 0 \pmod{p}, \]

where in the last step we use the well-known fact [2, (5.55)] that

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k}^2 = \begin{cases} 
(-1)^\frac{n}{2} \left( \frac{n}{2} \right), & \text{if } n \text{ is even}, \\
0, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd}. \end{cases} \tag{3.6} \]

Suppose that \( p \equiv 7 \pmod{8} \). By the Lucas theorem (cf. [3, (1)]), we have

\[ \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{3p-1}{2} \\
\frac{k}{2} \\
\end{array} \right) \equiv \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{p-1}{2} \\
\frac{k}{2} + \frac{p}{2} \\
\end{array} \right) \equiv \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{p-1}{2} \\
\frac{k}{2} \\
\end{array} \right) \pmod{p} \]

for \( 0 \leq k \leq \frac{p-1}{2} \). Hence

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{3p-1}{2}} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{3p-1}{2} \\
\frac{k}{2} \\
\end{array} \right)^2 z^k \equiv (1 + z^p) \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{p-1}{2} \\
\frac{k}{2} \\
\end{array} \right)^2 z^k \pmod{p}. \]

Note that

\[ 1 + z^p \equiv (1 + z)^p = (4 + 2\sqrt{2})^p \pmod{p}. \]

Then \( 1 + z^p \) is prime to \( p \) since \( 4 + 2\sqrt{2} \) is prime to \( p \). Using (3.5) with \( a = b = \frac{3p-1}{2} \), we obtain that

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{3p-1}{2}} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{3p-1}{2} \\
\frac{k}{2} \\
\end{array} \right)^2 z^k = 2F_1\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{-3p-1}{4} \\
\frac{3p+1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{4} \\
\end{array} \right| z \right) 
\equiv (1 - z) \frac{3p-1}{2} 2F_1\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{-3p-1}{4} \\
\frac{3p+1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{4} \\
\end{array} \right| -1 \right). \]

In view of (3.6), we have

\[ 2F_1\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{-3p-1}{4} \\
\frac{3p+1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{4} \\
\end{array} \right| -1 \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{3p-1}{4}} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{3p-1}{4} \\
\frac{k}{4} \\
\end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{-3p+1}{4} \\
\frac{k}{4} \\
\end{array} \right) (-1)^k 
\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{3p-1}{4}} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{3p-1}{4} \\
\frac{k}{4} \\
\end{array} \right)^2 (-1)^k = 0 \pmod{p}. \]

So (3.2) is also valid when \( p \equiv 7 \pmod{8} \).
(ii) We shall use another quadratic transformation as follows [1, (3.1.9)]:
\[ 2F_1\left( \begin{array}{c} a \\ a - b + 1 \end{array} \right) \mid z \right) = (1 + z)^{-a} 2F_1\left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2}a \\ \frac{1}{2}a + \frac{1}{2}z \end{array} \right) \frac{4z}{(1 + z)^2}. \] (3.7)

Let \( z = -(2 + \sqrt{3})^2 \). Then we have
\[ \frac{4z}{(1 + z)^2} = -\frac{1}{3}. \]

Applying (3.7) with \( a = b = -\frac{p-1}{2} \), we get that
\[ \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 \binom{p-1}{k}^2 = 2F_1\left( \begin{array}{c} -\frac{p-1}{2} \\ -\frac{p-1}{2} \end{array} \right) \mid z \right) = (1 + z)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} 2F_1\left( \begin{array}{c} -\frac{p-1}{4} \\ -\frac{p-3}{4} \end{array} \right) - \frac{1}{3}. \]

It suffices to show that
\[ 2F_1\left( \begin{array}{c} -\frac{p-1}{4} \\ -\frac{p-3}{4} \end{array} \right) - \frac{1}{3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \]
when \( p \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \). Note that
\[ 2F_1\left( \begin{array}{c} -\frac{p-1}{4} \\ -\frac{p-3}{4} \end{array} \right) - \frac{1}{3} = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \left( \frac{-\frac{p-1}{4}}{k} \right) \left( \frac{-\frac{p-3}{4}}{ -\frac{1}{3}} \right) \cdot \left( -\frac{1}{3} \right). \]

It is known [12, 15.4.31] that
\[ 2F_1\left( \begin{array}{c} a \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \mid \frac{p-1}{2} \end{array} \right) = \frac{\Gamma\left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \Gamma\left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)}{\Gamma\left( \frac{p+1}{2} \right)} - \frac{1}{3}. \]
Thus
\[ 2F_1\left( \begin{array}{c} a \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \mid \frac{p-1}{2} \end{array} \right) = \left( \frac{8}{9} \right)^{-2a} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left( \frac{4}{3} \right) \Gamma\left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)}{\Gamma\left( \frac{p+1}{2} \right)}. \]

When \( p \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \), \( 3j+4 \neq p \) for any \( 0 \leq j \leq \frac{p-1}{2} - 1 \). But \( 2j+3 = p \) if \( j = \frac{p-1}{2} - 1 \). So for prime \( p \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \), we always have
\[ 2F_1\left( \begin{array}{c} -\frac{p-1}{4} \\ -\frac{p-3}{4} \end{array} \right) = \frac{8}{9} \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} \frac{3}{2} + j \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \]
According to [1, (3.1.11)], we have
\[ \binom{a}{b} z^2 = (1 + z)^{-2a} F_1 \left( \frac{a - b + \frac{1}{2}}{2a - 2b + 1} \left\| \frac{4z}{(1 + z)^2} \right\| \right). \]  

Let \( z = -(3 + 2\sqrt{2}) \). Apparently
\[ \frac{4z}{(1 + z)^2} = -1. \]

It follows from (3.8) that
\[ \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k}^2 z^{2k} = 2 F_1 \left( -\frac{p-1}{2} \left\| \frac{1}{2} \right\| \right) \]
\[ = (1 + z)^{p-1} 2 F_1 \left( -\frac{p-1}{2} \left\| \frac{1}{2} \right\| - 1 \right). \]

If \( p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \), then
\[ 2 F_1 \left( -\frac{p-1}{2} \left\| \frac{1}{2} \right\| - 1 \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right)^k (-1)^k \]
\[ \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k}^2 (-1)^k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \]

Thus (3.4) is also confirmed. \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.2.** Suppose that \( p \) is a prime.
(i) If \( p \equiv 7 \pmod{8} \), then
\[ \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k}^2 P_{2k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}. \]  

(ii) If \( p \equiv 11 \pmod{12} \), then
\[ \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k}^2 (-1)^k R_{2k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}. \]

(iii) If \( p \equiv 7 \pmod{8} \), then
\[ \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k}^2 W_{2k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}. \]
Proof. (i) Let $\alpha = 1 + \sqrt{2}$ and $\beta = 1 - \sqrt{2}$. We know that

$$P_k = \frac{\alpha^k - \beta^k}{\alpha - \beta}.$$  

Clearly

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p-1}{k} \right)^2 \beta^{2k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p-1}{k} \right)^2 \beta^p \alpha - \beta \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p-1}{k} \right)^2 \alpha^2 k.$$  

Hence

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p-1}{k} \right)^2 \beta = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p-1}{k} \right)^2 \alpha = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p-1}{k} \right)^2 \beta.$$  

If $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$, then

$$2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$  

It follows that

$$\beta^{p-1} = \frac{(1 - \sqrt{2})^p}{1 - \sqrt{2}} \equiv \frac{1 - 2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \cdot \sqrt{2}}{1 - \sqrt{2}} \equiv \frac{1 - \sqrt{2}}{1 - \sqrt{2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}. \tag{3.12}$$

Similarly, we also have $\alpha^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. In view of (3.2) and (3.12), when $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$, we can get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p-1}{k} \right)^2 P_{2k} = \frac{1 - \beta^{p-1}}{\alpha - \beta} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p-1}{k} \right)^2 \alpha^p \beta^{2k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$  

(ii) Let $\alpha = 2 + \sqrt{3}$ and $\beta = 2 - \sqrt{3}$. Then

$$R_k = \alpha^k + \beta^k.$$  

Suppose that $p \equiv 11 \pmod{12}$. By the quadratic reciprocity theorem,

$$\left( \frac{3}{p} \right) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{3-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p}{3} \right) = (-1) \cdot (-1) = 1.$$  

So $3^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Similarly as (3.12), we can get

$$\alpha^{p-1} \equiv \beta^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$
Furthermore,
\[\frac{p-1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{k} (-1)^k \beta^{2k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{k} (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} - k} \beta^{p-1 - 2k} \]
\[= - \beta^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{k} (-1)^k \alpha^{2k}.\]

It follows from (3.3) that
\[\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{k} (-1)^k (\alpha^{2k} + \beta^{2k}) = (1 - \beta^{p-1}) \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{k} (-1)^k \alpha^{2k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.\]

(iii) Let \(\alpha = 3 + 2\sqrt{2}\) and \(\beta = 3 - 2\sqrt{2}\). It is easy to verify that
\[W_{2k} = 2^{k+2} \cdot \frac{\alpha^{2k} - \beta^{2k}}{\alpha^2 - \beta^2} \quad (3.13)\]
for each \(k \geq 0\). If \(p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}\), we have \(\alpha^{p-1} \equiv \beta^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}\), too. Similarly, we can get
\[\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{k} W_{2k} = \frac{4}{\alpha^2 - \beta^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{k} (\alpha^{2k} - \beta^{2k}) \]
\[= \frac{1 - \beta^{p-1}}{6\sqrt{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{k} \alpha^{2k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.\]

4. Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3

We firstly consider (1.1). It is easy to check that \(V_n(-4, 4) = -(-2)^{n+1}\) and \(V_n(-2, 1) = 2(-1)^n\). Substituting \(a = -4\) and \(b = 4\) in Theorem 1.2, we get
\[\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{p-1}{k} \binom{2k}{k} \frac{1}{(-8)^k} \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} 16^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (-1)^j \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{j} (1 + 2pH_{2j}) \pmod{p^2}.\]
Suppose that $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. By (3.6),

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (-1)^j \left(\frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 = 0.$$ 

Note that for any $1 \leq j \leq p-2$,

$$H_{p-1-j} = \sum_{i=j+1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{p-i} \equiv -(H_{p-1} - H_j) \equiv H_j \pmod{p}. \quad (4.1)$$

We have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (-1)^j \left(\frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 H_{2j} = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (-1)^j \left(\frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 H_{p-1-2j}$$

$$\equiv - \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (-1)^j \left(\frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 H_{2j} \pmod{p},$$

which clearly implies

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (-1)^j \left(\frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 H_{2j} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$ 

Therefore

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{p-1}{k} \left(\frac{2k}{k}\right)^2 \frac{1}{(-8)^k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$$

for any prime $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Let us consider (1.2). Evidently $U_n(-1, 1) = \chi_3(n)$ and $U_n(1, 1) = (-1)^{n-1}\chi_3(n)$. Applying Theorem 1.2 with $a = -1$ and $b = 1$, we obtain that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{p-1}{k} \left(\frac{2k}{k}\right)^2 \frac{\chi_3(k)}{16^k}$$

$$\equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} 16^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (-1)^{j-1} \chi_3(j) \left(\frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 H_{2j} (1 + 2pH_{2j}) \pmod{p^2}.$$ 

Suppose that the prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$. Then

$$(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \chi_3 \left(\frac{p-1}{2} - j\right) = \chi_3(-j) = -\chi_3(j).$$
It follows that
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (-1)^j \chi_3(j) \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 = -\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (-1)^j \chi_3(j) \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2,
\]
i.e.,
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (-1)^j \chi_3(j) \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 = 0.
\]
Similarly, we also have
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (-1)^j \chi_3(j) \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 H_{2j} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.
\]
Thus (1.2) is also proved.

The proofs of (1.8) and (1.9) are very similar to the one of (1.2). Clearly \((-1)^{k-1} M_k = U_k(-3,3)\). Suppose that \(p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}\). By Theorem 1.2, we only need to show that
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 U_k(-1,1)(1 + 2pH_{2j}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.
\]
Since \(U_k(-1,1) = \chi_3(k)\) and \(\chi_3(\frac{p-1}{2} - k) = -\chi_3(k)\) now, we can get
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \chi_3(j) \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \chi_3(j) \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 H_{2j} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.
\]
Then (1.8) is derived. Let
\[
\delta_3(k) = \begin{cases} 
2, & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\
-1, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]
Obviously (1.9) is equivalent to
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{p-1}{j} \binom{2j}{j}^2 \frac{\delta_3(j)}{16^j} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}
\]
for each prime \(p \equiv 7 \pmod{12}\). Since \(\delta_3(k) = V_k(-1,1)\), it suffices to show that
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 V_j(1,1)(1 + 2pH_{2j}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.
\]
It is easy to verify

\[ V_k(1, 1) = -V_{6h+3-k}(1, 1) \]

for any \( h \geq 0 \) and \( 0 \leq k \leq 6h + 3 \). So if \( \frac{p-1}{2} \equiv 3 \pmod{6} \),

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 V_j(1, 1) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 V_j(1, 1) H_{2j} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.
\]

Finally, let us turn to (1.3), (1.4) and (1.7). We require some additional auxiliary results.

**Lemma 4.1.** (i) Suppose that \( p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8} \) is a prime. Then

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 P_{2k} H_{2k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.
\]  

(4.2)

(ii) Suppose that \( p \equiv 11 \pmod{12} \) is a prime. Then

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 (-1)^k R_{2k} H_{2k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.
\]  

(4.3)

(iii) Suppose that \( p \equiv 7 \pmod{8} \) is a prime. Then

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 W_{2k} \cdot H_{2k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.
\]  

(4.4)

**Proof.** (i) Let \( \alpha = 1 + \sqrt{2} \) and \( \beta = 1 - \sqrt{2} \). Clearly

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 (\alpha^{2k} - \beta^{2k}) H_{2k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 (\alpha^{p-1-2k} - \beta^{p-1-2k}) H_{p-1-2k} \\
= \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 (\alpha^{p-1} \beta^{2k} - \beta^{p-1} \alpha^{2k}) H_{p-1-2k}.
\]

Recall that \( \alpha^{p-1} \equiv \beta^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \) now. In view of (4.1), we get

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 (\alpha^{2k} - \beta^{2k}) H_{2k} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 (\beta^{2k} - \alpha^{2k}) H_{2k} \pmod{p},
\]

i.e.,

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^2 (\alpha^{2k} - \beta^{2k}) H_{2k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.
\]
(ii) Let \( \alpha = 2 + \sqrt{3} \) and \( \beta = 2 - \sqrt{3} \). Similarly, we have

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{k}^2 (-1)^k (\alpha^{2k} + \beta^{2k}) H_{2k}
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{k}^2 (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}-k} (\alpha^{p-1} \beta^{2k} + \beta^{p-1} \alpha^{2k}) H_{p-1-2k}
\]

\[
\equiv - \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{k}^2 (-1)^k (\beta^{2k} + \alpha^{2k}) H_{2k} \pmod{p},
\]

which clearly implies (4.3).

(iii) Let \( \alpha = 3 + 2\sqrt{3} \) and \( \beta = 3 - 2\sqrt{3} \). Since \( p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8} \), we get

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{k}^2 (\alpha^{2k} - \beta^{2k}) H_{2k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.
\]

Then (4.4) is immediately derived from (3.13). \( \square \)

Now we are ready to prove (1.3), (1.4) and (1.7). It is not difficult to see that \( 2^a P_n = U_n(4, -4) \) and \( P_{2n} = 2U_n(6, 1) \). So by (1.6), (3.9) and (4.2),

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{p-1}{k} \binom{2k}{k}^2 P_{2k} \frac{8^k}{k}
\]

\[
\equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} 16^{p-1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{j} 2 P_{2j} + 2p \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{j} P_{2j} H_{2j} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.
\]

(1.4) similarly follows from (3.10) and (4.3), since \((-4)^n R_n = V_n(-16, 16)\) and \((-1)^n R_{2n} = V_n(-14, 1)\). Easily we can verify \( 4^{n-1} W_n = U_n(32, -32) \) and \( 2^{-n-2} W_{2n} = U_n(34, 1) \). So (1.7) is also an easy consequence of (3.11) and (4.4).
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