CO₂ Elevation Accelerates Phenology and Alters Carbon/Nitrogen Metabolism vis-à-vis ROS Abundance in Bread Wheat
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Wheat is an important staple food crop of the world and it accounts for 18–20% of human dietary protein. Recent reports suggest that CO₂ elevation (CE) reduces grain protein and micronutrient content. In our earlier study, it was found that the enhanced production of nitric oxide (NO) and the concomitant decrease in transcript abundance as well as activity of nitrate reductase (NR) and high affinity nitrate transporters (HATS) resulted in CE-mediated decrease in N metabolites in wheat seedlings. In the current study, two bread wheat genotypes Gluyas Early and B.T. Schomburgk differing in nitrate uptake and assimilation properties were evaluated for their response to CE. To understand the impact of low (LN), optimal (ON) and high (HN) nitrogen supply on plant growth, phenology, N and C metabolism, ROS and RNS signaling and yield, plants were evaluated under short term (hydroponics experiment) and long term (pot experiment) CE. CE improved growth, altered N assimilation, C/N ratio, N use efficiency (NUE) in B.T. Schomburgk. In general, CE decreased shoot N concentration and grain protein concentration in wheat irrespective of N supply. CE accelerated phenology and resulted in early flowering of both the wheat genotypes. Plants grown under CE showed higher levels of nitrosothiol and ROS, mainly under optimal and high nitrogen supply. Photorespiratory ammonia assimilating genes were down regulated by CE, whereas, expression of nitrate transporter/NPF genes were differentially regulated between genotypes by CE under different N availability. The response to CE was dependent on N supply as well as genotype. Hence, N fertilizer recommendation needs to be revised based on these variables for improving plant responses to N fertilization under a future CE scenario.
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INTRODUCTION

Atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration is increasing exponentially and from the preindustrial level, it has increased by 40% and is expected to reach approximately 935 ppm by the end of 2100 (IPCC, 2014). From the CO$_2$ enrichment studies, it is evident that CO$_2$ elevation (CE) can impart major changes such as declined gas exchange rate and photorepigeptization, while maintaining the higher net CO$_2$ assimilation rate (Ainsworth and Long, 2005; Long et al., 2006; Ainsworth et al., 2008), improved water use efficiency (Drake et al., 1997; Leakey, 2009), increased dry matter accumulation and grain yield (Ziska, 2008) in C$_3$ crops like wheat. Reports suggest that plants grown under CE have higher photosynthetic activity, higher nutrient uptake and increased productivity (Gruda et al., 2019). Photosynthetic acclimation of plants in CE has been shown in different studies (Zhu et al., 2012; Tausz-Posch et al., 2013) and there is a close relationship between photosynthesis, biomass production and yield (Kellner et al., 2019; Pastore et al., 2019). CO$_2$ enrichment increases the biomass of food crops and tree crops (Luo et al., 2004; Lam et al., 2012) but lead to reduced food quality especially N constituents (Cotrufo et al., 1998; Taub and Wang, 2008). In the past, the lower N content of CE grown plants/food products has been credited to dilution effect of carbon accumulation (Taub and Wang, 2008), or low N availability (Rogers et al., 1998) or inhibition of shoot N assimilation (Bloom et al., 2002a; Bloom et al., 2002b) or decreased rate of transpiration (Myers et al., 2014). However, there are contradictory reports: high nitrate accumulating plants, cucumber and barley performed well in CE even with nitrate supply larger than 10 mM (Bloom et al., 2010; Asensio et al., 2015). Inhibition of nitrate assimilation is more significant in leaves as the competition for reductant and the hindrances in accessibility of nitrate are higher in leaves (Hawkesford, 2012). The reduced transpiration rates under CE indirectly inhibit N uptake and transport to leaves (Correia et al., 2005; Jauregui et al., 2016).

Besides the fact that N limitation is one of the factors determining the enhancement in plant growth under CE (Rogers et al., 1998), the form of N supplied also has major bearing on uptake kinetics of N (Hirel et al., 2007; Xu et al., 2012), growth rate and N assimilation (Leakey, 2009). Experimental evidences confirm that CO$_2$ elevation decreases the N uptake rate when nitrate was the N source but uptake rate was unaltered when ammonium was the N source (Rachmilevitch et al., 2004; Asensio et al., 2015). On the other hand, when either nitrate or ammonium was the sole N source, plant growth, metabolism as well as photosynthesis differed significantly in Arabidopsis, tomatoes and wheat under CE (Leakey, 2009; Asensio et al., 2015). Plants sustain the N limited condition by restricting the investment of N to leaves and diverting it to roots to maintain optimal N distribution and attain higher N use efficiency (NUE) (Zhang et al., 2017). However, CE mediated stimulation in growth of aboveground plant parts was miniscule when the cost of N acquisition was high and vice versa (Terrer et al., 2018).

Previous studies suggest a decline in carotenoid content in leaves of different plant species (Dhami et al., 2018). From meta-analysis it was found that CE decreases plant carotenoid concentration by 15% (Loladze et al., 2019). Even though CE is expected to reduce production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in C$_3$ plants, Qiu et al. (2008) observed increased abundance of leaf protein carbonylation, a potent marker of oxidative stress in Arabidopsis and soybean plants exposed to CE. Qiu et al. (2008) also suggested an increased abundance of ROS in plants grown under CE, based on higher abundance of cytosolic APX1 that is transcriptionally regulated by oxidative stress and plays key role in ROS removal. Cheeseman (2006) found that CE grown leaves of soybean showed higher abundance of H$_2$O$_2$ as compared with CA grown plants. It is speculated that interaction of bicarbonate with iron or heme groups triggers ROS generation in CE conditions (Arai et al., 2005). In silico gene expression data (Miyazaki et al., 2004; Li et al., 2006) also indicated that CE up-regulates many genes associated with redox control and thus impairment in ROS balance. Recently, Foyer and Noctor (2020) also concluded that apoplastic ROS signals were increased at high CO$_2$, and possibly due to the involvement NADPH oxidases (Cheeseman, 2006; Qui et al., 2008; Mhamdi and Noctor, 2016). Although CE helps in the retention of chlorophyll pigment (Li et al., 2019), it might also lead to production of toxic ROS and RNS (reactive nitrogen species) like nitric oxide (NO) (Adavi and Sathee, 2018; Foyer and Noctor, 2020).

CO$_2$ Elevation results in production of NO via nitric oxide synthase (NOS) pathway and regulates the NR activity (Du et al., 2016). Regulation of NR activity by NO is dependent on nitrate availability: low nitrate leads to up-regulation of NR activity whereas; high nitrate leads to downregulation of NR activity (Du et al., 2008; Adavi and Sathee, 2018). Reduced NR activity under CE is due to S-nitrosylation mechanism by NO (Du et al., 2016). The sustained positive effect of CE on plant growth and yield requires modifications in other environmental variables (temperature, light quality) and appropriate nutrient management (Pan et al., 2019; Kumari et al., 2019).

The aims of this study were to understand 1) the response of two diverse wheat genotypes to CE, 2) changes in ROS and RNS accumulation under CE, and 3) impact of CE on expression of genes associated with N metabolism, and ROS and RNS balance. To achieve these aims, two separate experiments were conducted under hydroponics (Experiment I) and pot culture (experiment II) with two wheat genotypes Glynys Early (V1), B.T. Schomburgh (V2) which are diverse in terms of nitrate uptake and assimilation capacities (Supplementary Files 1A, B). These experiments were laid out in Plant growth chambers at National Phytotron Facility, ICAR-IARI, New Delhi.

METHODOLOGY

Growing Conditions

Experiments were laid out in growth chambers (Model: PGW 36, Conviron, Winnipeg, Canada) at National Phytotron Facility, ICAR-IARI, New Delhi. One set of plants were maintained CO$_2$ ambient (400 ± 10 µl/l or ppm, CA) and another set at CO$_2$ elevation (700 ± 10 µl/l or ppm, CE) with temperature of 22°C/12°C (day/night), 80–90% of RH (relative humidity), 400 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ of PFD (photon flux density, PAR) and 10 h of photoperiod. IRGA LI-6400, (LICOR, Lincoln, NE, USA, infrared gas analyzer) was used to check
the CO₂ level regularly. The investigation consisted of two different experiments (Supplementary File 2).

Experiment I: Hydroponics Study
Wheat seedlings were raised in hydroponics as described in Adavi and Sathee (2018). Seeds were washed with double distilled water and then surface sterilized with 0.1% mercuric chloride (HgCl₂) for 5 min. To remove the traces of HgCl₂, seeds were thoroughly washed for five to six times with double distilled water. The surface sterilized seeds were germinated in Petri plates lined with moist germination paper and uniform seedlings were transplanted into trays containing nitrogen free Hoagland solution after 5–6 days of germination (Hoagland and Arnon, 1950) with three nitrogen treatments, i.e., low (LN, 0.05 mM NO₃⁻), optimum (ON, 5 mM NO₃⁻) and high (HN, 20 mM NO₃⁻). The plants were held on Styrofoam sheets in plastic trays holding 10 L of nutrient solution prepared in sterile deionized water. The solution was aerated continuously through aquarium pumps. Growing media was prepared using sterile deionized water and media was changed after every 2–3 days to maintain axenic culture conditions and also to ensure steady supply of nutrients. Samples for recording physiological, biochemical and molecular observations were done after 35 days of transplanting (35DAT). Three independent biological replications were maintained for each of the treatments and all the parameters were estimated in triplicates.

Estimation of Biomass and Leaf Area
Plants were harvested from each of the three biological replications, shoot and root fresh weight was recorded immediately after harvest of sample. Dry weight of tissues was recorded once they attained the constant weight after drying in oven at 60 °C. Leaf area meter (LiCOR 3100, Lincoln Nebraska, USA) was used to record leaf area.

Analysis of Root Traits
For recording root traits, roots of representative plants were taken for each replication were scanned in a root scanner (Epson, Expression 11000XL, Graphic Art Model) and scanning was done in triplicates for each treatment. Scanned root images were analyzed by using Win-RHIZO, Regent Instruments to calculate different root parameters. Based on diameter of the root, roots were categorized as main roots (diameter >0.5mm) and lateral roots (diameter ≤0.5 mm). Different traits like length, volume, surface area and diameter of root were retrieved. Two representative plants were taken per replication and scanning was done in three such biological replicates in each treatment.

Estimation of Plant Pigments
Chlorophyll and carotenoid contents were determined according to Hiscox and Israelstam (1979). Freshly sampled, uppermost fully expanded leaves were used for the estimation. Excluding the midrib, the leaves were fragmented into small pieces of around 2 mm size. These fragments were mixed thoroughly and 25 mg of this sample were placed inside the test tubes containing 5 ml of DMSO (dimethyl sulphoxide). The test tubes were incubated in dark for 4 h at 65°C in oven which facilitate the chlorophyll extraction into the solution. The absorbance was measured at 470, 645 and 663 nm using UV–visible spectrophotometer (Model Specord Bio-200, AnalytikJena, Germany). Total chlorophyll and total carotenoids contents were calculated according to Arnon (1949) and expressed as mg g⁻¹DW.

Estimation of Nitrate Reductase (NR) Activity in Plant Tissues
Fresh shoot and root samples weighing 0.5 g were homogenized using pre-chilled mortar and pestle in 5 ml of cold extraction buffer (phosphate buffer 0.1 M, pH 7.5 containing 5–mM EDTA and 5–mM cysteine). The homogenate is centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C (Hageman and Huckles by, 1971). The supernatant was aliquoted into a new centrifuge tube and kept on ice until enzyme assay was carried out. The assay mixtures (test sample and blank sample) in a final volume of 3 ml were prepared in separate test tubes containing 1,900 µl of phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.5) and 500 µl of 0.1 M KNO₃ and 100 µl of 10-mM NADH. The enzymatic reaction was initiated by the addition of 500 µl of enzyme extract into the assay mixture. As for the blank samples, the enzyme extracts were substituted with 500 µl of deionized water. The mixtures were incubated at 30°C for 30 min. Reaction was terminated by adding 0.2 ml of 1 M zinc acetate, followed by 1.8 ml of 75% ethanol. The precipitate formed is removed by centrifugation at 2,000 rpm for 5 min at room temperature. Subsequently, 1 ml of 1% (w/v) sulfanilamide solution and 1 ml of 0.02% (w/v) N-(1-naphthyl) ethylene diamine solution were added to the supernatant (Klepper et al., 1971). Absorbance was recorded after 20 min against blank sample at 540 nm. The enzyme activity is expressed as µmol nitrite formed mg⁻¹ protein h⁻¹.

Estimation of GS, GOGAT, GDH Activity
Leaf and root samples were separately used for extraction and assay of enzymes, GS (Glutamine synthetase), GOGAT (Glutamine oxoglutarate aminotransferase) and GDH (Glutamate dehydrogenase) were done following Mohanty and Fletcher (1980). Leaf and root samples were extracted in Tris–HCl buffer, which contains 100 mM Tris–HCl, 100 mM sucrose, 10 mM EDTA and 10 mM MgCl₂. Extracts were centrifuged (sigma 3K30) at 5,000g for 10 min at 4°C. Supernatant was collected and re-centrifuged at 12,000g for 15 min at 4°C. Supernatant obtained after second round of centrifugation, was used for assay of GS and GOGAT. Pellet was dissolved in 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) containing 2.14 g/100 mL sucrose and used for assay of GDH. For assaying GS activity, reaction mixture consisting of 75 mM Tris buffer, 50 mM MgSO₄, 5 mM cysteine, 125 mM α-glutamate, 3 mM ATP and 10 mM hydroxylamine along with 0.2 ml of enzyme extract was incubated for 30 min at 37°C. To stop the reaction 0.5 ml FeCl₃ reagent was added followed by centrifugation at 1,500–2,000g for 10 min. Absorbance was measured using a UV–visible spectrophotometer (Specord Bio-200, AnalytikJena, Germany). Activity of GS was expressed as µmol γ-glutamyl hydroxamate formed g⁻¹ protein h⁻¹.

GOGAT and GDH activity was measured by estimating µmol NADH oxidized g⁻¹ protein h⁻¹. To assay GOGAT activity, reaction mixture containing 75 mM Tris–HCl, 10 mM α-ketoglutaric acid 40 mM L-glutamine, 0.1 ml of enzyme extract was prepared. Required amount of 1.5 mM of NADH was added to the reaction
mixture prior recording absorbance at 340 nm for 60 s. For assaying GDH activity, reaction mixture containing 75 mM phosphate buffer, 20 mM ω-ketoglutaric acid and 300 mM NH₄Cl and 0.2 ml of enzyme extract was prepared. Required amount of 1.5 mM of NADH was added to the reaction mixture prior recording absorbance at 340 nm for 60 s.

Soluble protein content of tissue extracts were determined to express enzyme activities in terms of unit amount of protein. Adequate amount of plant extract was mixed with 2 ml of Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250 (CBB, Biotechnology grade, Genetix brand) followed by vortexing. After 2-minute of incubation at room temperature, absorbance was measured at 595 nm using UV–visible spectrophotometer (Specord Bio-200, AnalytikJena, Germany).

Estimation of S-Nitrosothiols
S-nitrosothiol content in leaves and roots were estimated as described in Arc et al. (2013). Approximately, 0.5 g of plant sample was ground in ice cold extraction buffer (25 mM Hepes–NaOH, containing 1 mM M EDTA, pH 7.8) followed by centrifugation at 12,500rpm for 25 min at 4°C. For estimating S-nitrosothiols, appropriate amount of extract was mixed with 50 µl ammonium sulfamate (0.5%). The reaction mixture was incubated at room temperature for 2 min followed by addition of 300 µl of sulfanilamide (7% in 1N HCl) and 300 µl of NEDD (0.1%). After vortexing, the samples were incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Care was taken to maintain darkness, throughout the assay procedure. Absorbance of the sample was recorded at 540 nm using UV–Visible spectrophotometer (model: Specord Bio-200, AnalytikJena, Germany).

Estimation of Soluble Sugar and Starch Content
Adequate amount of plant samples (oven dried and powdered) were extracted four times in boiling 20 ml of 80% (v/v) ethanol for 4–5 min (McCready et al., 1950). The volume of supernatant was made up to 100 ml with double distilled water in volumetric flask. Required amount of aliquot was used to determine total soluble sugars using anthrone reagent (Sadasivam and Manickam, 1996). To 1 ml of sugar sample, 4 ml solution of anthrone reagent (100 mg anthrone was dissolved in 100 ml chilled concentrated H₂SO₄) was added and the mixture was heated on a boiling water bath for 8 min followed by cooling. The optical density of green to dark green color was recorded at 630 nm in UV–visible spectrophotometer (model: Specord Bio-200, AnalytikJena, Germany). A blank and two freshly prepared glucose standards were also included with each set of sample. For determining starch content, residue left over after extraction of sugars was dried, powdered and hydrolyzed in a glycerine bath with 10 ml of 1N HCl at 112–115°C for 30 min. Samples were allowed to cool and repeatedly washed with double distilled water till negative results were obtained for iodine test. Once negative results were confirmed, the extracts were pooled and volume was made up to 100 ml. An aliquot was used to determine the total sugar following anthrone reagent method. Starch content was calculated by multiplying the glucose values (1 OD = 600 µg g⁻¹ fresh wt.) with 0.9 (Pucher et al., 1948).

Estimation of Tissue Nitrate Content
Tissue nitrate content was estimated by the method described by Downes (1978). Oven dried samples were powdered (20 mesh) and 100 mg of this sample along with nitrate free charcoal of equivalent weight (100 mg) were transferred to conical flask containing 15 ml of double distilled water. The samples were mixed thoroughly and boiled for 3–4 min and filtered through Whatman filter paper-42. The residue left over was re-extracted with double distilled water and volume made up to 50 ml. After 20–30 min nitrate content was estimated by measuring the absorbance at 540 nm.

Estimation of Total Free Amino Acid Content
Ninhydrin test was used to determine the content of free amino acids as described by Sirceji et al. (2005). Oven dried samples were powdered and extracted in 80% ethanol and extract was centrifuged at 8,000g for 15 min. The reaction mixture consisted of supernatant and ninhydrin reagent which was vortexed vigorously and incubated in a boiling water bath for 15 min followed by addition of diluent (50% v/v ethanol). Samples were allowed to cool to room temperature and absorbance was measured at 570 nm.

Estimation of Reactive Oxygen Species
Tissue localization of superoxide ions (O₂⁻) and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) were determined in physiologically active, second leaf of wheat seedlings (Kumar et al., 2014). For estimating O₂⁻, leaves were cut into 1 cm long fragments and immediately dipped in 6 mM NBT solution prepared in sodium citrate buffer (pH 7.5) and infiltrated using vacuum pump for 10 min at 60 KPa and followed by incubation for 10 min at room temperature. After incubation, samples were immersed in 80% ethanol and heated in boiling water bath till the chlorophyll from the tissue was cleared off. After cooling samples were dipped in 20% glycerol and mounted on glass slides carefully. Development of dark blue color indicated the presence of O₂⁻. For detection of H₂O₂, leaf segments were immediately dipped into DAB (3,3′-Diaminobenzidine) solution (1 mg/ml) with pH 3.8 in a petri dish (35 mm) using tweezers. Vacuum infiltration of dipped samples were done at 60 KPa pressure for 10 min and illuminated at room temperature for 10 min. After incubation, chlorophyll pigments were cleared off by transferring samples to 80% ethanol and heating in boiling water bath. Samples were cooled and dipped in 20% glycerol and mounted on glass slides. Appearance of brown colored product confirmed the presence of H₂O₂. Slides were visualized and images were captured using a stereo microscope (EVOS XL Core).

The spectrophotometric assay of total superoxide radical content in the tissues is based on the principle of formation of blue colored formazone by nitroblue tetrazolium chloride with superoxide radicals (O₂⁻) by inhibiting total superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity, as described by Chaitanya and Naithani (1994). For the assay plant material was collected and flash frozen in liquid Nitrogen and stored in −80°C freezer was used.

Expression Profiling of Nitrate Uptake and Assimilation Genes
Impact of N (LN—0.05mM NO₃⁻, ON—5mM NO₃⁻, HN—20mM NO₃⁻) levels and CO₂ concentrations (CA: 400 ± 10 µl/l
and CE: 700 ± 10 µl/l)) on expression of genes associated with nitrogen metabolism (low affinity nitrate transporters; NPF) and N assimilation genes were done in leaves and roots of wheat genotypes. Expression of the following genes: TaNPF1.1, TaNPF2.1, TaNPF2.2, TaNPF2.3, TaNPF2.4, TaNPF6.1, TaNPF6.2, TaNPF6.5, TaNPF6.6, TaNPF7.1, TaGS2 and TaFd-GOGAT were studied. The procedure for plant growth and expression profiling was done as described in Adavi and Sathee (2018). qRT-PCR was done using gene specific primers (Supplementary File 3). Melt curve data collection and analysis was enabled. To confirm the specificity of the primers agarose gel electrophoresis of qRT-PCR products were done. TaActin (Taes_1AL_E195290EF) was used as a house keeping gene and used to normalize the data (Lekshmy and Jha, 2017).

**In-Silico Expression Analysis of Genes Encoding Antioxidant Enzymes NCBI-GEO**

Analysis of publically available transcriptome data was used to decipher expression response of different antioxidant enzymes in response to CE. Expression data of relevant experiments GSE48620 (study comparing the global gene expression of *Triticum aestivum* cv Norstar grown either under CA or CE) was downloaded from NCBI-GEO (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gds) and analyzed to study gene expression.

**Experiment II: Pot Culture Study**

The experiment was carried out in climate-controlled growth chambers (Model: PGW 36, Conviron, Winnipeg, Canada) at National Phytootron facility, ICAR-IARI, New Delhi. Sowing was done in plastic pots measuring 15 cm in diameter and 20 cm in height. After three leaf stage thinning was done and only four plants were allowed to grow in each pot. From sowing, half of the plants (20 pots) were grown in a chamber with 400 ± 10 µl/l [CO₂] (CA), and another half were grown in chamber with 700 ± 10 µl/l [CO₂] (CE) concentration. Each pot was filled with 3 kg growing media with composition of vermiculite, sand and coco pit in 1:2:1 ratio. The media contained approximately 1.0 g kg⁻¹ of total N. Nitrogen was applied in the form of KNO₃. In each chamber, 1.5 g N was applied to half of the pots (ON, optimal N treatment), while 5 g N was applied into the other half (HN, high N treatment). Completely randomized design was used for the experiment with 10 replicates for each treatment.

**Estimation of Plant Pigments and Assay of Enzymes**

Chlorophyll and Carotenoid contents and activity of *in vitro* NR (nitrate reductase), GS (glutamine synthetase, γ-glutamylhydroxamate formed mg⁻¹ protein h⁻¹), GOGAT (glutamate synthase, µmol NADH oxidized g⁻¹ protein h⁻¹) and GDH (glutamate dehydrogenase, µmol NADH oxidized g⁻¹ protein h⁻¹) were determined spectrophotometrically at different growth stages viz., vegetative, booting, anthesis and grain filling.

**Measurement of Gas Exchange Parameters**

A LI-6400XT Portable Photosynthesis System (Model: LI-COR, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA) was used to record photosynthetic rate (PN, µmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹), stomatal conductance (Gs, mmol H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹) and transpiration rate (Tr, mmol H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹) at vegetative and anthesis stages of the crop.

**Phenological Observations**

Observations on date of booting, anthesis, milking, grain filling and physiological maturity were recorded by visual observation based on Feekes scale (Large, 1954).

**Analysis of Yield Parameters**

Ruler was used to measure the plant height which is expressed as cm plant⁻¹. Sampling was done in triplicates with each replication having three plants. Plants were kept in oven at 60°C till the samples were dried and attain constant dry weight, subsequently dry weight was recorded. The yield parameters such as number of ears per plant, grains per ear, spikelets per ear, ear length (cm) etc. were also recorded.

**Estimation of Nutrient Content**

Carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) content in leaf tissues were estimated by using CHNS analyzer (Model: EURO EA elemental analyzer, Polo Tecnologico, Pavia). Oven dried moisture free plant samples (0.5 mg to 1.0 mg) were properly packed and weighed before estimating C and N content. N content in growing media was estimated before planting and after harvest by Kjeldahl’s method (Kjeldahl, 1883).

**Statistical Analysis**

Completely randomized design was used for laying out both the experiments. Data represent the mean of the three biological replications. F test was carried out to test the significance of the treatment differences and the least significant differences (LSD) were computed to test the significance of the different treatments at 5% level of probability by using SPSS 16.0.

**RESULTS**

**CE Enhances Biomass Accumulation**

Leaf area, shoot and root dry weight of seedlings were significantly different with regard to N level and CO₂ treatments (Figure 1). Genotypic differences were not significant in root biomass. All the interactive effects were significant for leaf area. The average values of shoot biomass were highest for ON treatment in both CA (0.105 g) and CE (0.117 g) treatments, whereas HN treatment resulted in reduction of shoot biomass in both CA (0.07 g) and CE (0.091 g) treatments. Irrespective of treatments B.T. Schomburgk (V2) always maintained higher shoot biomass (Figure 1A). The average values of root biomass were highest for LN treatment in both CA (0.105 g) and CE (0.117 g) treatments, whereas HN treatment resulted in reduction of shoot biomass in both CA (0.024 g) and CE (0.028 g) treatments. Plants grown under CE displayed approximately 11% increase in root biomass than CA treatment (Figure 1B). The average values of leaf area were highest for ON treatment in both CA (0.78) and CE (0.75) conditions, whereas HN treatment resulted in reduction of leaf area in both CA and CE treatments (Figure 1C). Plants grown under CE displayed
approximately 8% increase in root biomass as compared to that under CA treatment.

**CE Changes Root Traits**

Significant differences were observed in total root length, total root volume, average root diameter, total root surface area, length of main roots (diameter >0.5 mm), length of lateral roots (diameter ≤0.5 mm), volume of main roots, volume of lateral roots, surface area of main roots and surface area of lateral roots with various level of N and CO2. The total root surface area (cm²) of wheat seedlings was highest in LN followed by ON and HN, respectively, in both CA and CE (Figure 2). Average values of total root surface area was higher in CE than in CA, B.T. Schomburgk (V2) showed 1.7% decrease in root surface area under CE than that in CA, whereas Gliyasis Early (V1) showed 35% higher root surface area under CE than CA. Average values of main root surface area was higher in CE than CA. However, the changes in CE over CA were non-significant in both genotypes. V2 showed 20% decrease in lateral root surface area under CE than CA whereas V1 showed 12% higher lateral root surface area under CE than CA. The average root diameter was affected by both CO2 and N treatments. V2 showed 27% increase in average root diameter, while V1 showed only 2% higher average root diameter under CE as compared with CA. The effect of CE on total root length and main root length were non-significant, however, CO2 treatment resulted in significant alterations on lateral root length (Figure 2). There was a significant difference among the genotypes also, V2 showed 1.1% decrease in lateral root length under CE than CA, whereas V1 showed 4.4% higher lateral root length under CE than CA. Under CE, the differences among total root volume and main root volume were non-significant. However, CO2 treatment resulted in significant alterations on lateral root volume (Figure 2). There was a significant difference among the genotypes also, V2 showed 3.4% decrease in lateral root volume under CE than CA whereas V1 showed 1.8% higher lateral root volume under CE than CA.

**CE Resulted in Higher Rate of Photosynthesis and Reduces Gas Exchange**

Plants grown under CE showed an enhanced rate of photosynthesis (2% increase over CA) at the vegetative stage (Figure 3A). In the anthesis stage, the increase in photosynthetic rates was prominent in only in V2 plants (8% increase over CA). There was a general decline in both stomatal conductance and rate of transpiration in CE grown plants. The rate of photosynthesis was higher in vegetative stage as compared to anthesis, whereas stomatal conductance and rate of transpiration increased during the later stage (Figures 3B, C).

**CE Promotes Growth, Increases C/N Ratio and Accelerates Phenology**

Plants grown under CE had increased plant heights, among all the treatment combinations, V1 plants receiving HN nutrition were tallest (Figure 3D). However, the maximum biomass was observed in V1 plants receiving ON under CE environment (Figure 3E). CE decreased the shoot N content of V1 irrespective of N supply, whereas V2 plants did not show decrease in N content. At every treatment combination, V2 plants maintained higher N content than V1 (Figure 3G). High nitrogen availability resulted in higher C%, more so under CA conditions (Figure 3F). Plants growth under CE showed increase in C/N ratio in both the genotypes, albeit higher C/N ratio was seen in HN in V1 (Figure 3H). Observations on various yield parameters were also recorded, however since majority of the V1 plants did not flower under CA conditions, the data were not analyzed for statistical significance. In nutshell, V2 plants performed better in terms of response to CE and N availability. Figures 4A, B depict the impact of CE and N levels on plant growth at before flowering and after flowering. Plants grown under CE and receiving HN performed better than their CA counterparts. It can also be noted that CE accelerated

---

**FIGURE 1 |** Interactive effect of CO2 elevation (CE, 700±10 µl/l, CO2 ambient:CA, 400±10 µl/l) and nitrogen availability (Low Nitrogen: LN, 0.05mM nitrate, Optimum Nitrogen: ON, 5mM nitrate, High Nitrogen: HN, 20mM nitrate) on shoot biomass (A), root biomass (B) and leaf area (C) of 40 days old seedlings of wheat genotypes Gliyasis Early (V1) and BT-Schomburgk (V2) raised in hydroponic culture. Values are mean ±SE of 3 biological replicates.
phenological processes and resulted in early flowering (as seen in ON treatment of V1 in CE and HN and ON treatments of V2 in CE; Figure 4B). High nitrogen supply was toxic to the plants and decreased the various growth parameters, most prominently under CA. CE could partially alleviate the high N induced damages.

**CE Increases the Content of Photosynthetic Pigments**

Differences in total chlorophyll and carotenoid content (mg g\(^{-1}\) DW) were significant at different CO\(_2\) levels and genotypes. At all stages, total chlorophyll content was higher under CE than CA (9% increase over CA). Chlorophyll content showed a progressive increase from vegetative to anthesis stages and showed a slight decline during anthesis (Figures 5A, B). Total carotenoid content was always higher under CA than CE (7% increase over CE). In all the treatment combinations carotenoid content showed a progressive increase from vegetative to booting stage and showed a slight decline afterwards (Figures 5C, D). Plants receiving ON maintained higher chlorophyll and carotenoid level under CE.

**CE Enhances Accumulation of Soluble Sugar and Starch**

Plants grown under CE showed increased soluble sugar contents in both leaves and roots (Figures 6A, B). However, the changes in root sugar content were manifold and highly significant. There was a significant difference in root sugar content among the genotypes. V1 showed 45% and V2 showed 34% increase in root soluble sugar content under CE than CA. Plants grown under CE...
showed an increase in starch content of both leaves and roots (Figures 6C, D). There were significant differences in shoot and root starch content among the genotypes, with V1 and V2 showing 39 and 20% increase in shoot starch content, and 26 and 34% root starch content, respectively, under CE than CA. Variation in free amino acid content of shoot and root tissues followed a contrasting pattern in both the genotypes (Figures 6E, F). There was an overall increase in shoot free amino acid content in the CE treatment w.r.t CA (38–39% increase). In roots there was a consistent decrease in free amino acid content in the CE treatment w.r.t CA (60–80% decrease).

**CE Increases Tissue Nitrate Content**
Plants grown under CE showed an increase in tissue nitrate content of both leaves and roots (Figures 6G, H). Compared to CA, CE grown plants of both genotypes had significant increase in shoot nitrate content (V1:47%, V2:14%) as well as root nitrate content (V1:17%, V2:18%).

**CE Promotes Accumulation Nitrosothiol and Reactive Oxygen Species**
Variations in nitrosothiol content of shoot and root tissues also followed contrasting pattern in both the genotypes. There was an
overall decrease in shoot nitrosothiol content in CE treatment w.r.t CA (3–13%) (Figures 6I, J). In roots there was consistent increase in nitrosothiol content in CE treatment w.r.t CA.

Accumulation of both ROS and hydrogen peroxide were highest in HN, followed by ON treatments in both leaf and root tissues. CE reduced the accumulation of superoxide radicals in genotype V1 and LN treatment of V2, whereas in ON and HN treatments, EC increased superoxide radical levels in V2 roots. The accumulation of hydrogen peroxide was reduced by CE in LN treatment in both the genotypes. Whereas in ON and HN treatments EC increased superoxide radical levels in V2 leaves. In short, the impact of CE on ROS generation and abundance is variable depending on the nitrate supply and genotype (Figures 7A–D).

CE Affects the Activity of N Metabolism Enzymes

Significant differences in NR activity were observed with various levels of N and CO₂ at different stages of growth. Across developmental stages, activity of NR was lower under CE than CA (24% decrease over CA). Activity of NR showed a progressive decline from the vegetative to the anthesis stage (Figures 8A, B). CE down-regulated shoot NR activity, however, V1 plants receiving HN maintained higher enzyme activity. Glutamine synthetase (GS) activity was significantly different w.r.t N and CO₂ levels in leaves and roots. GS activity showed an overall decline under CE (by 51%). In all treatment combinations, activity of GS also showed a progressive decline from vegetative to anthesis stages (Figures 8C, D). CE down regulated shoot GS activity, however, plants receiving HN maintained higher enzyme activities under CE. Glutamate synthase (GOGAT) activity showed significant differences under various levels of N and CO₂. GOGAT activity was increased by 20% under CE than CA. Under all the treatments, maximum GOGAT activity was recorded during heading stage (Figures 9A, B). Significant difference was observed in NADH-GDH activity with various levels of N and CO₂. In leaves, CE
reduced the NADH-GDH activity by 50%. The highest value of GDH activity was recorded at anthesis stage in all the treatment combinations (Figures 9C, D).

**Expression of Genes Involved in Nitrogen Metabolism and Antioxidant Defense**

Plants grown under CE showed reduced the expression of TaNPF6.1, TaNPF6.2, TaGS2 and TaFd-GOGAT in leaves. Similarly, expression levels of TaNPF1.1, TaNPF2.2, TaNPF7.1, TaNPF6.1 and TaNPF6.2 were reduced by CE in leaves (Figures 10A, B). In roots TaNPF1.1, TaNPF2.1, TaNPF2.3, TaNPF6.5, TaNPF6.6 were induced by CE. Whereas TaNPF7.1 was highly expressed in both shoots and roots, albeit the expression was higher in roots. The expression of TaNPF2.1 was reduced by CE in V2, and up-regulated by CE in V1. In contrast, expression of TaNPF1.1 was reduced by CE in V1, and up-regulated by CE in V2. The expression of TaNPF2.2 was reduced by CE in V1, and up-regulated by CE in V2. Expression of TaNPF2.3 was up regulated by CE in both the genotypes. Expression of TaNPF6.5 and TaNPF6.6 were also differentially affected by CE. In case of TaNPF6.5, expression was specifically reduced by CE in V2. Photorespiratory ammonia assimilatory genes were down-regulated by CE. Expression of NPF genes were differentially regulated by CE, and N availability in different genotypes.

Expression data of antioxidant enzyme encoding genes from experiment GSE48620 (study comparing the global gene expression of T. aestivum cv Norstar grown either under CA or CE) was retrieved from publically open database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gds). Expression response of genes encoding, Catalase, Putative peroxidases, Ascorbate peroxidase, Glutathione transferase, Superoxide dismutases: Iron superoxide dismutase (FSD1), Copper/zinc superoxide dismutase (CSD1), Manganese superoxide dismutase was down-regulated by CE, whereas expression of Catalase, Putative peroxidases, Ascorbate peroxidase, AtNRT1.1/CHL1 and Glutamine synthetase were upregulated by CE (Figure 11).

**DISCUSSION**

Mineral nutrient availability, and nutrient uptake and utilization efficiency of plants determine the long-term responses of the plants to CO2 elevation (CE). Higher level of atmospheric CO2 enhances photosynthesis as well as growth of plant, and results in acclimation responses leading to higher demand for mineral nutrients. Effective utilization of nutrients or higher nutrient uptake and assimilation may counter the higher nutrient demand in CE condition. With optimum nitrogen (ON) supply, shoot biomass of CE grown plants were highest that of CA grown plants which may be due to higher leaf area and net photosynthetic rate per unit leaf area of plants grown under CE. Similarly, Bunce (2002) also observed the increase in leaf area of CE grown plants. Exposure to CE improved root growth (Hachiya et al., 2014; Lekshmy et al., 2016), fine-root production (Iversen, 2010; Beidler et al., 2015) but suppressed root length increase (Vicente et al., 2016) irrespective of N availability. CE promoted an increase in total chlorophyll content irrespective of N treatment in hydroponic experiment. In contrast CE reduced carotenoid contents under high N supply in both genotypes. A previous study also reported a reduction in carotenoid content up to 25% in CE grown plants as compared to control plants (Aguera et al., 2006). Interestingly, chlorophyll...
content remained same for both CA and CE till heading, and started declining fast in CE probably due to remobilization of N to the larger sink.

The oxidative status of leaves was found to be altered in CE grown plants which was also observed in earlier studies performed with different plant species (Cheeseman, 2006; Qiu et al., 2008). CE elevation led to increased production of ROS (Erice et al., 2007) and reduced level of antioxidants and antioxidant enzyme activity (Wustman et al., 2001). In agreement with the previous results, CE could alleviate ROS abundance (Pritchard et al., 2000) in LN and ON conditions, whereas under HN CE enhanced the accumulation of ROS. The response of ROS generation and abundance is variable depending on the nitrate supply and CO₂ concentration. There were differences in response of the two genotypes w.r.t. abundance of ROS, possibly due to the difference in nitrate uptake and assimilation as shown in Supplementary Files 1A, B. Variety V1 has a low nitrate uptake rate, and higher root nitrate assimilation, whereas V2 has high a nitrate uptake capacity and high leaf nitrate assimilation. This implies V2 takes up large amounts of nitrate under HN supply and nitrate ions get assimilated in leaves. As reported earlier, CE enhances nitrate

FIGURE 6 | Interactive effect of CO₂ elevation (CE, 700±10 µl/l, CO₂ ambient: CA, 400±10 µl/l) and nitrogen availability (Low Nitrogen: LN, 0.05mM nitrate, Optimum Nitrogen: ON, 5mM nitrate, High Nitrogen: HN, 20mM nitrate) on content of soluble sugar (A, B), starch (C, D), total free amino acids (E, F), tissue nitrate (G, H) and S-nitrosothiols (I, J) in leaf and root tissues of 40 days old seedlings of wheat genotypes Gluyas Early (V1) and BT-Schomburgk (V2) raised in hydroponic culture. Values are mean ±SE of 3 biological replicates.
uptake assimilation from LN conditions (Lekshmy et al., 2009; Lekshmy et al., 2013) and inhibits uptake and shoot nitrate assimilation in HN conditions (Lekshmy et al., 2013; Adavi and Sathee, 2019). This could be the reason behind higher ROS abundance in CE at HN supply and which was more pronounced in high NUE genotype (V2). Qui et al. (2008) also reported higher protein carbonization and attributed this to increased H$_2$O$_2$ which might have transcriptionally upregulated cytosolic APX1. The in silico gene expression presented in this study, and reported previously (Miyazaki et al., 2004; Li et al., 2006) also indicate that CE up-regulates transcripts associated with redox control, indicative of altered ROS balance.

It is important to take into account the nutrient acquisition and its utilization mechanisms for understanding the response of plant growth and metabolism in CE condition. Plant growth under CE increased the C/N ratio of wheat genotypes. Downstream to nitrate assimilation the ensuing ammonium assimilation for synthesis of amino acid requires carbon skeleton derived from photosynthesis. Enhanced carbon availability due to higher CO$_2$ fixation under CE vitalizes the NO$_3^-$ utilization by augmenting the activity and expression of NR, NiR as well as chloroplastic GS (Larios et al., 2004). Aguera et al. (2006) recorded that with increased CO$_2$ levels GS activity in plants was up-regulated. But, it was also found that GS activity was decreased in CE grown plants under HN levels, and this could be due to inhibition of nitrate photoassimilation and hence non availability of ammonium (Bloom et al., 2002). Apart from a potential dilution effect, this strongly supports, the hypothesis that the pathway of nitrate assimilation is being inhibited leading to reduction in N content under CE. So, crop species which uptake N in the form of NO$_3^-$ ions found to be competitively disadvantageous in NH$_4^+$ dependent species under CE (Smart et al., 1998).

Reduction in tissue N contents are due to high NUE, hence plant growth is not limited under CE (Zerihun et al., 2000). Coleman et al. (1993) found that in response to CO$_2$ elevation, plant N contents were reduced as compared to that of CA plants. Despite the decreases in N on a weight basis in CE, organic N content per plant often increases (Hocking and Meyer, 1991). A
part of the percent N reduction under CE may account for the reported reduction in RUBISCO and vice versa. Changes in the photorespiratory metabolism also contribute to reduction in N contents as photorespiration is repressed by CE (Conroy and Hocking, 1993). Carbohydrate accumulation was observed in flag leaves and roots under CE (Stitt and Krapp, 1999; Long et al., 2004; Perez et al., 2005) and this accumulation was found to be more pronounced under low N than high N supply in tobacco (Geiger et al., 1999) and durum wheat (Vicente et al., 2015). Exposure of low N grown plants to CE resulted in downregulation of photosynthetic rate, closure of stomata, decrease in transpiration rate, increase in sugar content and decrease in organic N content specifically in photosynthetic tissues, increase in intermediates of Calvin–Benson cycle. LN grown plants also displayed dilution of Rubisco enzyme, decrease in NR activity and transcripts for N metabolism and non-significant change in nitrate uptake (Stitt and Krapp, 1999;

FIGURE 8 | Interactive effect of CO2 elevation (CE, 700±10 µl/l, CO2 ambient: CA, 400±10 µl/l) and Optimum Nitrogen (ON: 5mM nitrate) and High Nitrogen (HN: 20mM Nitrate) availability on activity of enzymes nitrate reductase (NR, A, B) and glutamine synthase (GS, C, D) at different growth stages in pot grown plants of wheat genotypes Gluyas Early (V1) and BT-Schomburg (V2). Values are mean (±SE) of 3 biological replicates.

FIGURE 9 | Interactive effect of CO2 elevation (CE, 700±10 µl/l, CO2 ambient: CA, 400±10 µl/l) and Optimum Nitrogen (ON: 5mM nitrate) and High Nitrogen (HN: 20mM Nitrate) availability on activity of enzymes glutamate synthases (GOGAT, A, B) and glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH, C, D) at different growth stages in pot grown plants of wheat genotypes Gluyas Early (V1) and BT-Schomburg (V2). Values are mean (±SE) of 3 biological replicates.
Du et al. (2016) showed that CE induced production of nitric oxide inhibits NR activity in Arabidopsis. Under CE, the level of S-nitrosoglutathione (GSNO), a transient store of NO also increases probably due to the inhibition of S-nitrosoglutathione reductase (GSNOR) by NO. Accumulation of GSNO further suppresses the nitrate uptake by HATS by inhibiting the transcription of HATS (Frungillo et al., 2014). We observed an overall decrease in shoot nitrosothiol content and an increase in root nitrosothiol content in CE treatment. Redistribution of nitrate to developing leaves is a critical step mediated by NPF1.1 and NPF1.2 which are potentially involved in xylem-to-phloem transfer (Buchner et al., 2015).

Genotype V2 displayed higher expression of TaNPF1.1 in leaves of CE grown plants, suggesting higher leaf translocation and storage of nitrate, which would sustain higher root nitrate uptake and thus higher NUE in comparison to V1. Transcript abundance of TaNPF6.1 and TaNPF6.2 was higher in roots than that in shoots. Expression levels of TaNPF4.1, TaNPF6.3 and TaNPF6.4 was much lower than that of TaNPF6.1 and TaNPF6.2 and has similar level of expression in both shoots and roots. In Arabidopsis, NPF6.3 mediated auxin transport determines auxin abundance and lateral root initiation and elongation (Krouk et al., 2010). Gene expression of TaNPF6.2 and TaNPF6.3 were responsive to N supply (Buchner and Hawkesford, 2014). It is quite possible that, these two putative auxin transporting NPFs are candidates offering wheat a sensitive mode of N responsive of root system architecture. Out of the various NPF genes analyzed TaNPF7.1 showed highest transcript abundance in roots. Expression analysis of Wheat, NPF genes were previously described (Buchner and Hawkesford, 2014; Bajgain et al., 2018). TaNPF6.6, TaNPF6.5, TaNPF2.1 and TaNPF2.2 were highly expressed in the shoot (Buchner and Hawkesford, 2014). Expression data of antioxidant enzyme encoding genes from experiment GSE48620 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gds) (Figure 11) indicates a differential regulation of antioxidant defense transcripts by CE. Down-regulation in expression of superoxide scavenging SOD (super oxide dismutase) isoforms,
reinstates the enhanced superoxide radical accumulation of CE grown plants.

Plant growth under CE showed accelerated phenological processes and accelerated senescence mechanisms (Figure 12). High nitrogen supply was toxic to the plants and decreased various growth parameters, most prominently under CA. CE could partially alleviate the high N induced damages. Genotype with higher N use efficiency performed better under CE conditions. Previous reports also suggest the acceleration of growth, phenology and senescence by CE in wheat and sunflower (de la Mata et al., 2012; Buchner et al., 2015). As CO2 elevation promoted the leaf senescence and thus demonstrates the complex interaction between C/N ratio, soluble sugar level and oxidative status during the leaf ontogeny. The variation in contents of N, C and the resultant alteration in C/N ratio evokes a signaling in response to C/N balance (Zheng, 2009). The alteration in the C/N balance affects senescence progression. Report from Aoyama et al. (2014) showed the role of ubiquitin ligase ATL31 in leaf senescence to the balance between availability of N and atmospheric CO2 in Arabidopsis. In Arabidopsis, ATL31 acts in co-ordination with WRKY53 the key regulator of senescence. The possibility of such a mechanism operating in wheat and other cereal crops can be speculated and should be evaluated in future.

FIGURE 11 | Expression heat map of antioxidant enzyme encoding genes from experiment GSE48620 (study comparing the global gene expression of Triticum aestivum cv Nortstar grown either CO2 Ambient (CA) or CO2 Elevation (CE). Retrieved from publically open database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gds).

FIGURE 12 | Schematic model representing effects on High Nitrogen (20mM nitrate) supply under CO2 Elevation (700±10 µl/l) condition on Reactive Oxygen Species and Reactive Nitrogen Species balance and crop growth and quality in bread wheat; HN: High Nitrogen, CE: CO2 Elevation, ROS: Reactive Oxygen Species, RNS: Reactive Nitrogen Species, SNO: S-nitrosothiol.
CONCLUSION

Our results showed that response of plants to N availability under CE is genotype dependent. Hence N fertilizer regimes need to be revised based on the NUE and responsiveness of the cultivars. Attention also needs to be given to the altered crop phenology under CE, also responsible for the discrepancy in N fertilization requirements. Hence, it is essential to develop cultivars resilient to changing environmental condition, while maintaining yield and food quality food.
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