Factors contributing to discrepant estimated glomerular filtration values measured by creatinine and cystatin C in patients with rheumatoid arthritis
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This study aimed to clarify the factors underlying the discrepancy that has been noted between estimated glomerular filtration ratio (eGFR) measured using serum creatinine (Cr) and eGFR using serum cystatin C (CysC) in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and to identify those patients whose renal function should be evaluated using CysC. We retrospectively evaluated clinical features, disease activity, Steinbrocker radiological staging, and co-morbidities (diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidemia) in 238 RA patients. eGFR using serum creatinine (eGFR-Cr) and eGFR using serum cystatin C (eGFR-CysC) were calculated using the new Japanese coefficient-modified Modification of Diet in Renal Disease study equation. To clarify the cause(s) of differences of 20% or more between the two eGFRs, we divided our RA patients into Group A (eGFR-Cr/eGFR-CysC ≥ 1.2) and Group B (eGFR-Cr/eGFR-CysC < 1.2), and searched for factors independently related to Group A. Forty-five patients (18.9%) were assigned to Group A, and 193 (81.1%) to Group B. BMI (Odds Ratio [OR] 0.820, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.675–0.996), Hb (OR 0.633, 95% CI 0.433–0.926), CK (OR 0.773 per 10 units, 95% CI 0.644–0.933), NSAID use (OR 0.099, 95% CI 0.020–0.494), diabetes mellitus (OR 6.024, 95% CI 1.508–24.390) and stage 4 Steinbrocker radiological stage (OR 10.309, 95% CI 2.994–35.714) were identified as independent relevant factors for Group A by a multifactorial analysis. Renal function in RA patients with low BMI, diabetes, anemia and low CK may be overestimated using eGFR-Cr alone, and such patients need to be evaluated using eGFR-CysC.

The therapeutic paradigm of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) has changed dramatically with the advent of biological disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (bDMARDs). In addition to conventional synthetic DMARDs (csDMARDs) such as methotrexate (MTX), bDMARDs that selectively target each cytokine have emerged. Recently, drugs that target small molecules such as Janus kinase (JAK) in cells have been used as targeted synthetic DMARDs (tsDMARDs) as effectively as bDMARDs. One of these drugs, MTX, is known to cause adverse effects such as cytopenia when administered to patients with renal dysfunction, and is contraindicated in patients with estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) less than 30 mL/min/1.73 m2. Baricitinib, a JAK inhibitor, is also contraindicated in these patients due to its renal excretion. Thus, accurate evaluation of renal function is mandatory to ensure the safest and most effective treatment for RA with DMARDs.

On the other hand, 8–25% of RA patients have been reported to have reduced renal function (eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m2). Factors such as inflammation, use of various therapeutic drugs, primary glomerular diseases, and amyloidosis are considered to contribute to this renal dysfunction.
In regard to the evaluation of renal function, eGFR using the serum creatinine level (eGFR-Cr) is used in daily clinical practice, but has been reported to be overestimated in RA patients because of their low muscle mass. Serum cystatin C (CysC) is a low molecular weight protein (13 kilodalton), which is generally produced at a constant rate from nucleated cells, and reabsorbed and catabolized in the proximal renal tubule after being filtered through the glomeruli. Thus, CysC has drawn attention as a marker of renal function independent of muscle mass, and an eGFR calculated from the serum CysC level (eGFR-Cys) has also been developed as an alternative parameter.

Although it has long been presumed that a discrepancy exists between eGFR-Cr and eGFR-CysC in RA patients, neither the frequency of any such discrepancy nor the factor(s) causing it have been investigated. This state of affairs prompted us to undertake the present study to search for factors that might explain the discrepancy between eGFR-Cr and eGFR-CysC in RA patients and determine the clinical features of patients whose renal function should be evaluated using CysC.

Methods
We conducted a cross-sectional observational study. The study was conducted in strict accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and the Japanese ethical guidelines for clinical research and approved by the Ethics Committee of Ishikawa Prefectural Central Hospital (approval no. 1314) and the Medical Ethics Committee of Kanazawa University (approval no. 1516-2). Informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Patients.
We enrolled 238 RA outpatients treated from December 2018 to January 2019 from Ishikawa Prefectural Central Hospital and Kanazawa University Hospital. All patients fulfilled the 1987 American College of Rheumatology (ACR) classification criteria for RA and/or the ACR/European League Against Rheumatism 2010 classification criteria for RA.

Data collection and grouping of patients.
We measured complete blood cell counts, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), and serum levels of Cr, blood urea nitrogen (BUN), uric acid (UA), total protein, albumin (Alb), aspartate transaminase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), creatinine (CK), C-reactive protein (CRP), immunoglobulin (lg) G, IgA, IgM, complement 3 (C3), C4, total hemolytic complement (CH50), rheumatoid factor (RF), anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibodies (aCCP), and CysC. Swollen joints, tender joints, patient visual analogue scale (VAS), and physician VAS were evaluated based on the physical findings. In addition, clinical disease activity index (CDAI), simplified disease activity index (SDAI), disease activity score (DAS) 28 using ESR (DAS28-ESR), and DAS28 using CRP (DAS28-CRP) were calculated. Urine protein and occult blood were evaluated with dipsticks. Presence or absence of smoking history and comorbidities such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia were derived from the medical records. Medication for RA, including non-steroid anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), prednisolone, csDMARD (MTX, salazosulfapyridine, bencilamine, and tacrolimus), bDMARDs (infliximab, etanercept, adalimumab, tocilizumab, abatacept, golimumab and certolizumab pegol), and tsDMARDs (tocafinitib and baricitinib) were evaluated.

The radiological stage and functional classification of Steinbrocker were evaluated. Patients were divided into stage 4 and other stages, and class 4 and other classes.

Body surface area indexed (BSA-indexed) eGFR-Cr and eGFR-Cys were calculated based on the following formula: eGFR-Cr (mL/min/1.73 m²) = 194 × (serum Cr)−1.094 × (age)−0.287 (× 0.739, when female) 16, and eGFR-Cys (mL/min/1.73 m²) = 104 × (serum CysC)−1.019 × 0.996(age)−0.027 (× 0.739, when female), and eGFR-CysC were calculated based on these parameters. Based on the eGFR-Cr and eGFR-CysC, we divided the patients into Group A (eGFR-Cr/eGFR-CysC ≥ 1.2), whose eGFR-Cr was more than 20% higher than eGFR-CysC, and Group B (eGFR-Cr/eGFR-CysC < 1.2), and compared the above-noted parameters between them.

Statistics.
The results were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. In the univariate analysis, the significance of differences between the mean values of the two groups was determined using the Mann–Whitney test for continuous data. The significance of differences in frequencies was tested using χ² for continuous data. The significance of differences between the mean values of the two groups was determined using the Mann–Whitney test for categorical data as appropriate. A histogram of eGFR-Cr/eGFR-CysC with a logarithmic scale on the X axis was made. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was used for testing the correlation between the two variates. For multivariate analysis to search for independent factors related to Group A, binomial logistic regression analysis was performed by the forward stepwise method. In addition, the Hosmer–Lemeshow test was used to confirm the fitness of the model. P values of the two-tailed test less than 0.05 were considered to be significant. All statistics were performed by using SPSS 26.0 software.

Results
Demographics of RA patients.
A total of 238 RA patients (190 women, mean age: 65.3 ± 14.0 years, disease duration: 12.0 ± 11.0 years) were included (Table 1). Smoking habit was present in 56 patients (23.5%), hypertension in 83 (34.9%), hyperlipidemia in 44 (18.5%), and diabetes mellitus in 39 (16.4%). Proteinuria was observed in 17 (7.1%) and hematuria in 48 (20.2%). Almost all patients were outpatients in remission or a low disease activity state based on the various disease activity parameters.

For medication, MTX was administered to 120 patients (50.4%) at an average dose of 7.5 ± 2.7 mg/week, prednisolone to 109 (45.8%) at 3.8 ± 2.3 mg/day, NSAIDs to 65 (27.3%), and tacrolimus to 65 (27.3%). bDMARDs were administered to 69 patients (29.0%), and tsDMARDs to 3 (1.3%).
The average values of eGFR-Cr and eGFR-CysC were 72.5 ± 20.2 (12.0–142.0) and 72.3 ± 24.9 (6.3–167.8) mL/min/1.73 m², respectively, with this difference not significant. The correlation between them was significant (r = 0.715, p < 0.0001). However, 25 (13.8%) of 181 patients with eGFR-Cr ≥ 60 mL/min/1.73 m² showed eGFR-CysC < 60 mL/min/1.73 m² (Fig. 1).

The number of patients with absolute-eGFR-Cr < 60 and ≥ 60 mL/min were 99 and 139, respectively. Eighteen (12.9%) of 139 patients with absolute-eGFR-Cr ≥ 60 mL/min showed absolute eGFR-CysC < 60 mL/min/1.73 m².

Subsequently, we examined the distribution of the ratio of eGFR-Cr and eGFR-CysC using a histogram with a logarithmic scale on the X axis (Fig. 2), and found an inflection point at 1.2. With reference to this histogram of eGFR-Cr/eGFR-CysC, the nephrologists and rheumatologists in our team reached the consensus that values of eGFR-Cr/eGFR-CysC of ≥ 1.2 might be of clinical concern in the management of chronic kidney disease. Thus, we divided the patients into two groups by this point (greater than 20% discrepancy). Forty-five patients (18.9%) with eGFR-Cr/eGFR-CysC ≥ 1.2 were categorized as Group A (n = 45), and 193 (81.1%) with eGFR-Cr/eGFR-CysC < 1.2 as Group B.

**Univariate statistical analysis.** Compared with the patients in Group B, those in Group A were older (73.8 ± 12.5 vs 63.2 ± 13.6 years), and had a longer disease duration (17.7 ± 14.0 vs 10.4 ± 9.5 years), lower body

| Mean ± SD | Range |
|-----------|-------|
| Age (years) | 65.3 ± 14.0 | 27–94 |
| Female/male | 190/48 | |
| Disease duration (years) | 12.0 ± 11.0 | 0–63 |
| Height (cm) | 156.8 ± 8.6 | 136–180 |
| Body weight (kg) | 54.3 ± 11.3 | 31.1–98.0 |
| Body mass index | 22.0 ± 3.6 | 13.9–37.0 |
| Smoking, n (%) | 56 (23.5%) | |
| Hypertension, n (%) | 83 (34.9%) | |
| Hyperlipidemia, n (%) | 44 (18.5%) | |
| Diabetes mellitus, n (%) | 39 (16.4%) | |
| Proteinuria, n (%) | 17 (7.1%) | |
| Hematuria, n (%) | 48 (20.2%) | |
| Serum creatinine (mg/dL) | 0.72 ± 0.28 | 0.33–2.97 |
| eGFR-Cr (mL/min/1.73 m²) | 72.5 ± 20.2 | 12.0–142.0 |
| Absolute eGFR-Cr (mL/min) | 64.1 ± 19.7 | 10.0–130.5 |
| Serum Cystatin C (mg/dL) | 1.03 ± 0.43 | 0.49–5.24 |
| eGFR-CysC (mL/min/1.73 m²) | 73.2 ± 24.9 | 6.3–167.8 |
| Absolute eGFR-CysC (mL/min/1.73 m²) | 65.3 ± 24.4 | 4.8–153.6 |
| DAS28-CRP | 2.0 ± 0.9 | 1.0–6.4 |
| DAS28-ESR | 2.7 ± 1.1 | 0.5–7.5 |
| CDAI | 4.7 ± 6.3 | 0–46 |
| SDAI | 5.0 ± 6.5 | 0.0–47.9 |
| RF (U/mL) | 137.1 ± 356.0 | 0–3720 |
| ACPA positive, n (%) | 135/188 (71.8%) | 27–94 |
| Methotrexate, n (%) | 120 (50.4%) | |
| Dose (mg/week) | 7.5 ± 2.7 | 2–14 |
| Prednisolone, n (%) | 109 (45.8%) | |
| Dose (mg/day) | 5.8 ± 2.3 | 1–10 |
| NSAIDS, n (%) | 65 (27.3%) | |
| Tacrolimus, n (%) | 65 (27.3%) | |
| Salazosulfapyridine, n (%) | 25 (10.5%) | |
| Biologic therapy, n (%) | 69 (29.0%) | |
| JAK inhibitors, n (%) | 3 (1.3%) | |

Table 1. Characteristics of the subjects. eGFR-Cr body surface area (BSA)-indexed estimated glomerular filtration rate using creatinine, Absolute eGFR-Cr absolute estimated glomerular filtration rate using creatinine, eGFR-CysC body surface area (BSA)-indexed estimated glomerular rate using cystatin C, Absolute eGFR-CysC absolute estimated glomerular filtration rate using cystatin C, DAS28-CRP disease activity score, using the 28-joint count and C reactive protein, DAS28-ESR disease activity score, using the 28-joint count and erythrocyte sedimentation rate, CDAI clinical disease activity index, SDAI simplified disease activity index, RF rheumatoid factor, ACPA anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibody, NSAIDS non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, JAK Inhibitors Janus kinase inhibitor.
mass index (BMI) (20.0 ± 2.9 vs 22.4 ± 3.6 kg/m²), higher frequencies of hypertension and diabetes mellitus (55.6% vs 30.1% and 35.6% vs 11.0%, respectively), higher disease activity (DAS28-ESR 3.1 ± 1.3 vs 2.6 ± 1.0), lower hemoglobin (Hb) (11.8 ± 1.8 vs 12.8 ± 1.4 g/dL), higher CRP (0.56 ± 0.79 vs 0.27 ± 0.50 mg/dL), higher ESR (43 ± 31 vs 24 ± 21 mm/h), lower CK (63.9 ± 36.0 vs 92 ± 79 IU/L), and higher frequencies of Steinbrocker stage 4 and class 4 (47.7% vs. 16.1% and 22.7% vs 1.1%, respectively) (Table 2). With regard to medication, Group A had a lower frequency of MTX use (35.6% vs 53.9%) with lower dose (6.3 ± 2.5 vs 7.2 ± 2.7 mg/week), a higher
frequency of prednisolone use (66.7% vs 40.9%) with higher dose (4.8 ± 2.6 vs 3.3 ± 2.1 mg/day), and a lower frequency of NSAIDs (13.3% vs 30.6%). No differences were found in the use of either bDMARDs or tsDMARDs.

Multivariate statistical analysis: logistic regression. Multivariate binary logistic regression analysis with a forward stepwise method was performed to detect factors related to Group A (Table 3). Hosmer–Leme-
show test confirmed the fitness of the model (p = 0.683). BMI [per kg/m²], Odds ratio (OR) 0.820, 95% confidence interval (95% CI) 0.675–0.996), Hb (per g/dL, OR 0.633, 95% CI 0.433–0.926), CK (per 10 units, OR 0.773, 95% CI 0.564–0.994), use of NSAIDs (OR 0.099, 95% CI 0.020–0.494), diabetes mellitus (OR 0.624, 95% CI 1.058–24.390), Steibbrocker stage 4 (vs other stages, OR 10.309, 95% CI 2.994–35.714) were found to be significantly related to Group A.

A multinomial logistic regression with a dependent variable composed of three eGFR-Cr/eGFR-CysC categories, namely groups A (1.2 ≤ eGFR-Cr/eGFR-CysC), B1 (0.8 ≤ eGFR-Cr/eGFR-CysC < 1.2) and B2 (eGFR-Cr/eGFR-CysC < 0.8), revealed that younger age and Steibbrocker radiological stages 1–3 were the only predictive factors for group B2 when compared to group B1 while the comparison between groups A and B1 showed similar results between group A and B. (Supplementary Table 1 and 2). Thus, the significance of group B2 as a separate group was considered to be insignificant clinically.

Estimated odds ratios of 6 factors were integrated for each patient, and the patient group was divided into 10 quantiles according to the value. Of the 23 patients with the highest predicted odds ratio, thirteen had eGFR-Cr/eGFR-Cys < 1.2, and of 23 patients with the lowest predicted, one patient did, resulting in an actual odds ratio of 28.6. In addition, the mean eGFR-Cr/eGFR-CysC for the highest-ranked patients was 1.38 (up to 2.22), and the mean for the lowest-ranked patients was 0.96.

Discussion

We showed that eGFR-Cr was more than 20% higher than eGFR-CysC in 18.9% of our cohort of RA patients. Furthermore, an overestimation of renal function when using eGFR-Cr was significantly related to lower BMI, lower Hb, lower CK, no NSAIDs use, diabetes mellitus, and Steinbrocker radiological stage 4.

The most accurate measure of GFR is considered to be inulin clearance (Cin). However, it is impractical to measure Cin in all patients in daily clinical practice, and estimated GFR (eGFR) is resorted to instead. Nozawa et al. compared Cin with eGFR using the serum creatinine (eGFRcreat), eGFR using the serum cystatin C concentration (eGFRcys), the mean of eGFRcreat, eGFRcys (eGFRavg) and endogenous 24-h creatinine clearance (Ccr × 0.715) in 30 RA patients with a daily prednisolone dose less than 10 mg and demonstrated that eGFRcys was correlated best with Cin, and that the relationship was close to y = x. It has been reported that serum cystatin C concentration is affected by factors such as steroid dose9, disease activity20,21, and thyroid function22.

However, in this study, most of the patients were receiving less than prednisolone 10 mg daily, and patients with moderate to high disease activity according to DAS28CRP were 7 (15.5%) in group A and 20 (10.3%) in group B, representing relatively small numbers. And patients with thyroid disease that affects CysC were not included into our study. There were almost no known factors that affect eGFR-CysC in our study, and thus our research was conducted on the assumption that eGFR-CysC could be used as an accurate surrogate marker of Cin.

An overestimation of renal function may occur when using eGFR-Cr for evaluation of renal function in patients with RA. In our study, a significant positive correlation was observed between eGFR-Cr and eGFR-CysC. However, 13.8% of patients with eGFR-Cr ≥ 60 mL/min/1.73 m² showed eGFR-CysC < 60 mL/min/1.73 m². Therefore, these patients may be at risk of having chronic kidney disease (CKD) overlooked and being treated as patients with normal renal function. This may lead to unexpected adverse effects when renally excreted drugs are used.

Lin et al.33 reported that myopenia (sarcopenia) is very common in RA patients and associated with functional limitations and joint disorders. Torii et al.34 found myopenia to be independently associated with age, long duration of illness, joint destruction, and malnutrition in RA. In our study, lower BMI and Steinbrocker stage 4 were identified as independent factors relevant to Group A, suggesting that Group A included more patients with myopenia. Umegaki et al. and Scott et al. reported that diabetic patients frequently had sarcopenia. In our study, diabetes mellitus was related to Group A, suggesting an association with sarcopenia. In recent years, the treatment of RA has progressed dramatically with the advent of bDMARDs and tsDMARDs, and the number of RA patients with myopenia has decreased. Nevertheless, our study documented a possible overestimation of renal function by more than 20% with eGFR-Cr in about 19% of RA patients, which was likely attributable to the presence of myopenia/sarcopenia. Flahault et al.27 reported that low serum CK levels in CKD patients reflected loss of muscle mass and malnutrition and were associated with high mortality. In our study, low CK was found to be an independent factor relevant to Group A. This low CK was also considered to be associated with sarcopenia. According to a report on the relationship between low CK and sarcopenia27 and another on the relationship between BMI and muscle mass24, considering the difficulty of measuring actual muscle mass using Body Composition23 for many patients, we evaluated BMI and CK as surrogate markers for muscle mass.

Horio et al.27 reported that hypoalbuminemia was significantly associated with elevated eGFR/Cin levels, due to increased Cr secretion from the renal tubules and decreased creatinine production because of low muscle mass. In our study, univariate analysis showed that group A had significantly lower albumin levels than group B (3.7 ± 0.5 vs 4.1 ± 0.4, p < 0.0001), but multivariate analysis did not demonstrate lower albumin levels to be an independent relevant factor for group A. The results of the univariate analysis revealed that the overestimation of eGFR in group A was attributable to the effects of hypoalbuminemia. Low CK level was an independent relevant factor for group A on multivariate analysis. Low CK level reflects low muscle mass, and so considering the association between low serum albumin levels and low muscle mass, for the multivariate analysis the more influential CK was selected instead of the less influential albumin.

Some studies have reported that chronic inflammation causes renal dysfunction in RA patients. Kochi et al. showed that persistently elevated CrP was related to CKD. Sumida et al.31 reported that the use of bDMARDs reduced the risk of developing CKD, and suggested that controlling chronic inflammation might contribute to prevention of CKD. On the other hand, Couderc et al. studied the risk factors of eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m² in 931 RA patients retrospectively, and reported that disease duration and disease activity (DAS28-ESR) were not
related factors. In our study, univariate analysis showed Group A to have higher DAS28-ESR, CRP, and ESR than Group B, but multivariate analysis did not find them significant factors. Alternatively, our multivariate analysis indicated that anemia was associated with Group A. Masson et al. reported hepcidin and interleukin-6 to be important relevant factors for anemia in RA. Thus, in our analysis, though disease activity and inflammatory markers were not found to be independent relevant factors, anemia, which could be caused by inflammation, was related to the discrepancy between eGFR-Cr and eGFR-CysC. It was suggested that disease activity and inflammatory markers may promote muscle mass reduction mediated by inflammation.

Nozawa et al. noted that eGFR-CysC appeared to be lower when >10 mg/day prednisolone was administered, while not being affected by <10 mg. In our study, Group A used prednisolone ≤10 mg/day (3 patients administered 10 mg/day prednisolone), and thus it was considered to have a negligible effect on eGFR-CysC.

Less frequent administration of NSAIDs was found to be related to Group A. Even though serum Cr values were similar, as Group A had higher serum CysC and BUN levels, they were judged to have clinical impairment of renal function. Thus, MTX and NDAIDs were less used in Group A. It was unlikely that medication for RA affected directly the discrepancy between eGFR-Cr and eGFR-CysC.

This study showed that 23.9% of the patients had eGFR-Cr < 60 mL/min/1.73 m², and 41.6% had absolute eGFR-Cr < 60 mL/min. Saisho et al. examined eGFR-Cr of 7135 RA patients in the Ninja study from Japan, and reported that the values of eGFR-Cr from 60 to 30, 30 to 15, and <15 mL/min/1.73 m² were 17.5%, 0.8%, and 0.2%, respectively. Furthermore, Mori et al. reported from Japan that eGFR-Cr < 60 mL/min/1.73 m² and absolute eGFR-Cr < 60 mL/min in RA patients were 18.6% and 33.8%, respectively. The results of these previous reports were consistent with ours with respect to BSA-indexed eGFR and absolute eGFR.

Our study had the following limitations. First, the numbers of patients might not have been sufficient. Second, patients with relatively stable disease control do not represent the entire RA population in terms of disease activity. Third, it was a temporary cross-sectional study. Fourth, we did not measure inulin clearance in our study.

Conclusion

Renal function of RA patients with low BMI, diabetes mellitus, Steinbrocker X-ray joint destruction stage 4, anemia or lower CK can be overestimated when judged from eGFR-Cr alone. These patients require special attention, especially using eGFR-CysC, for accurately evaluating renal function.
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