Platinum-RNA Modifications Following Drug Treatment in *S. cerevisiae* Identified by Click Chemistry and Enzymatic Mapping
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**ABSTRACT:** With the importance of RNA-based regulatory pathways, the potential for targeting noncoding and coding RNAs by small molecule therapeutics is of great interest. Platinum(II) complexes including cisplatin (cis-diaminedichloroplatinum(II)) are widely prescribed anticancer compounds that form stable adducts on nucleic acids. In tumors, DNA damage from Pt(II) initiates apoptotic signaling, but this activity is not necessary for cytotoxicity (e.g., Yu *et al.*, 2008), suggesting accumulation and consequences of Pt(II) lesions on non-DNA targets. We previously reported an azide-functionalized compound, picazoplatin, designed for post-treatment click labeling that enables detection of Pt complexes (White *et al.*, 2013). Here, we report in-gel fluorescent detection of Pt-bound rRNA and tRNA extracted from picazoplatin-treated *S. cerevisiae* and labeled using Cu-free click chemistry. These data provide the first evidence that cellular tRNA is a platinum drug substrate. We assess Pt(II) binding sites within rRNA from cisplatin-treated *S. cerevisiae*, in regions where damage is linked to significant downstream consequences including the sarcin-ricin loop (SRL) Helix 95. Pt-RNA adducts occur on the nucleotide substrates of ribosome-inactivating proteins, as well as on the bulged-G motif critical for elongation factor recognition of the loop. At therapeutically relevant concentrations, Pt(II) also binds robustly within conserved cation-binding pockets in Domains V and VI rRNA at the peptidyl transferase center. Taken together, these results demonstrate a convenient click chemistry methodology that can be applied to identify other metal or covalent modification-based drug targets and suggest a ribotoxic mechanism for cisplatin cytotoxicity.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Click Fluorescent Tagging and Identification of Pt-Bound RNA. We recently developed a method to detect platinum-modified targets through bioorthogonal ligation of Pt-bound species to alkyne-containing fluorescent probes (Scheme 1). Post-treatment modification allows the Pt compound to bind without potential interference from attached labels, such as fluorescent dyes. Despite the prevalence of Pt therapeutics, the only other example of this approach was a recent application to acridine-modified Pt compounds. Here, we use picazoplatin, an azide-functionalized click-capable derivative
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were reacted with an excess of Alexa Fluor 488 DIBO alkyne (18 h, 37 °C), RNasey column purified, and analyzed via 10% dPAGE. Dose-dependent labeling of tRNA and 25S, 18S, 5.8S, and 5S rRNA bands. The broad distribution of fluorescent labeling between all ribosomal subunits demonstrates the environmental accessibility of rRNA toward stable Pt modifications in vivo. This approach allows a rough quantification of Pt lesions per RNA based on signal intensity, with an estimated detection limit of ~1 Pt per 10,000 nt (see Supporting Information). Using this calculation, we estimate ~0.5 platinum molecules per ribosome following treatment with 250 μM picazoplatin (Supplementary Table S1). This value agrees well with previous ICP-MS quantification of platinum accumulation as 1−2 per ribosome following 6 h treatment with 100 or 200 μM cisplatin, especially since picoplatin, the picazoplatin parent compound, has slower substitution kinetics. Interestingly, significant fluorescence is observed in the smaller 70−100 nt tRNAs, especially at the lower (250 μM) picazoplatin concentration. On the basis of the signal intensity in this population of RNA, it appears that Pt is accumulating on the order of ~0.4 Pt per tRNA (Supplementary Table S1).

To our knowledge, this is the first indication of in vivo Pt(II) accumulation in tRNA. The functional consequences of Pt-tRNA adducts are unknown but could be predicted to perturb their regulatory function. For instance, damage to tRNAs directly impacts RNA metabolism, may be a cellular signal of oxidative stress, and is indirectly linked to enhanced apoptotic signaling due to an increase in free cytochrome c. The observation of the accumulation of Pt(II) species on tRNA is especially intriguing given that damaged tRNAs, once sensed, are expected to have reduced half-lives, sometimes as short as minutes. However, given that tRNAs are already highly modified RNA species that fold into complex and diverse tertiary structures, their function may be more tolerant to Pt lesions. For example, certain nucleotide modifications are suggested to exert stabilizing effects by restricting conformational flexibility, thus reducing the propensity for enzymatic or base-catalyzed degradation. As an additional factor, cisplatin lesions prevent exo- and endonuclease digestion of a number of RNA species. An accumulation of Pt-bound tRNA could result in nuclear mislocalization, as is observed for unspliced or modified tRNAs. Furthermore, elevated levels of tRNAs are implicated in some disease states.

**Enzymatic Mapping of Pt(II) Adducts in S. cerevisiae Ribosomal RNA.** In RNA, sites that are functionally susceptible to deleterious substitutions, metal ion-mediated cleavage, or structural modifications are clustered in domains V and VI, within the functional core of the ribosome. Certain modifications in these domains are capable of initiating a ribotoxic stress response in actively translating ribosomes, and we hypothesize that Pt-induced modifications in these regions may contribute to cisplatin cytotoxicity. One particularly sensitive RNA motif is the sarcin-ricin loop (SRL), a universally conserved and purine-rich 12 nt sequence located adjacent to the peptidyl transferase center (PTC) (Figure 2). The SRL (S. cerevisiae helix 95) folds into an energetically stable distorted hairpin containing several non-Watson−Crick base pairs and unique motifs, including a GAGA tetraloop, a bulged G-motif, an S-turn, and a terminal A-form duplex. It scaffolds critical protein−RNA interactions within the ribosome and is the target of ribosome inactivating proteins (RIPs) such as α-sarcin and ricin, proteins that catalytically modify the capping loop of the SRL, inhibiting the elongation step of translation and inducing apoptosis. Point mutations and structural perturbations within the SRL, such as those that may be induced by platinum cross-linking, are lethal. Additionally,
the SRL is proposed to host several cation-binding pockets, which could facilitate platinum coordination. Therefore, given the structural properties of this motif and potential for its modification to cause significant downstream consequences, we have carefully examined Pt adduct accumulation in the SRL of RNA isolated from *S. cerevisiae* following cisplatin treatment.

Primer extension analysis, in which a reverse transcriptase stalls 3′ to a platinum adduct, was used to identify platinum binding pockets in the region of the SRL (helices 95 and 96, Supplementary Figures S1 and S2) and adjacent solvent-accessible and mobile helices in the PTC (helices 90 and 93, Supplementary Figure S3). Such cross-links are expected to primarily occur between the N7 positions of purines that are in close proximity. Within the *S. cerevisiae* SRL, extension data using two different primers (A and B) provides clear evidence for concentration-dependent platinum adducts (Figure 3, Supplementary Figures S1 and S2). With both primers, we observe a strong stop in the SRL stem at U3037, indicative of a 5′-ApG-3′ adduct between A3035:G3036 (Figure 3, Supplementary Figure S2). In DNA helices, this sequence is known to be kinetically preferred over the opposite 5′-GpA-3′. In the highly conserved and purine-rich terminal SRL loop, multiple stop sites arise in RNA isolated from cells treated with increasing cisplatin concentrations (Figure 3, Supplementary Figures S1 and S2). For comparison, we extended these studies to investigate *in vitro* platinum binding within a SRL oligomer (vide infra). In Figure 3, the multiple stop sites in the region between G3033 and G3026 indicate that platinum binding *in vivo* in or near to this loop causes structures that are nonpermissive to RT extension. While it is difficult to identify specific platinum adducts, line plot analyses for both primers suggest a primary stop site within this region at A3029 (Supplementary Figure S2) or G3028 (Figure 3), suggesting formation of a Pt(II) adduct at A3027 or a monofunctional adduct at A3027. A3027 is moderately reactive in *in vivo* SHAPE analyses, indicating some degree of conformational flexibility. Ricin specifically depurinates A3027, while *α*-sarcin cleaves the phosphodiester backbone between A3027 and G3028, and both types of damage culminate in apoptosis. We hypothesize that the observed Pt(II) lesions may elicit a similar ribotoxic response.
Toward the 5′ side of the GAGA tetraloop, a region most clearly probed using the “upstream” SRL primer B, a clear stop site occurs at U3023 (Supplementary Figure S2), indicating Pt(II) binding at the bulged-G motif (G3022) critical for loop recognition by elongation factor 2 (EF-G, E. coli). Depending on the flexibility of this non-Watson–Crick basepaired nucleotide, this could represent a monofunctional Pt(II) adduct on G3022 or a 5′-ApG-3′ adduct between A3021:G3022. Disruption of RNA-protein interactions at G3022 is directly linked to translation inhibition and ribotoxic response signaling. The final clear stop within the sarcin-ricin loop is at the 5′ distal end of the helix (Figure 2), where RT extension shows unusually strong Pt-induced stops at A3017 (Supplementary Figure S2). As this is a relatively purine-rich stretch of the stem, one possible cause would be a 5′-GpA-3′ Pt diadduct between G3015:A3016.

Throughout helix 96, which sequentially neighbors the SRL, platinum accumulation is observed on adjacent purines at therapeutically relevant treatment conditions as low as 100 μM cisplatin, which we have previously correlated with a cytoplasmic concentration of 47 μM. In regions of duplex RNA and longer hairpins, we generally observe stable 3′-GG-5′ Pt(II) intrastrand adducts. In regions which may exhibit flexibility, such as the H95:H96:H97 three-helix junction, primer extension is terminated on the first guanine of a 3′-GG-S′ pair (G3045:G3044), suggesting a monofunctional adduct or long-range RNA cross-link (Figures 2, 3, S1).

One facet of cisplatin’s effect on RNA-dependent cellular processes is the inhibition of translation elongation. We therefore assessed platinum binding within helix 93, one of the mobile stalks of the peptidyl transferase center (Supplementary Figure S3). This structure is adjacent to the aa-tRNA accommodation corridor and hosts A2971 in its stem. This particular adenine is the most conformationally flexible residue in the PTC and was found to be strongly reactive to SHAPE 2′-OH modification in E. coli and S. cerevisiae in the absence of tRNA in purified ribosomes. Moreover, mutations at A2971 strongly interfere with peptide release. From our primer mapping data, a strong stop site at G2973 suggests that A2971 is also highly reactive to platinum cross-linking, forming a cross-link with G2972 (Supplementary Figure S3). These data suggest that the Pt(II) adduct occurred in an A-site tRNA-unbound (i.e., Pt(II) accessible) state, providing a mechanism to inhibit further translation. Intriguingly, although the broad reactivity of platinum toward adjacent purines is well established, cisplatin binding in this region is remarkably specific; several purines in helix 93 (G2956, A2957, G2965, A2966, G2967, and A2968) are unreactive toward Pt(II).

Figure 4 depicts H93 in the context of a tRNAMet-bound ribosome. In this structure, the purine nucleotides G2966 and A2967 in the terminal loop of H93 (orange) are organized around a ∼2.8 Å hydrogen bond between the N7 of G2966 and the 2′OH of the G2964 ribose sugar (aqua). This interaction may preclude stable Pt(II) diadduct formation between G2966:A2967, and explain a general mechanism for protection at this loop. However, A2971 (yellow) is clearly flipped out from the helix and in an accessible position. This may account for our observation of strong targeting to A2971, while the H93 terminal loop appears shielded (Supplementary Figure S3).

In this investigation of Pt(II) adduct formation within the PTC, we also uncovered several Pt(II) binding sites in helix 90, corresponding to 1,2-intrastrand GpG adducts (Figure 2). These results are somewhat obscured by the prevalence of RNA modifications within these sequences (Supplementary Figure S3). However, they clearly depict the ability of Pt(II) to target accessible purine bases within a complex RNA structure. The summary of these analyses is presented in the rRNA secondary structure map in Figure 2.

Enzymatic Mapping of Pt(II) Adducts in a Model SRL RNA. To compare SRL RNA accessibility to Pt in vivo with an in vitro model, platinum adducts within a 27 nt model SRL oligomer were probed using primer extension analysis. From NMR structural data and molecular simulations, this sequence is known to fold into a stable structure in vitro, allowing our 27 nt oligomer to accurately model the SRL in the context of the 25S rRNA. We have assessed aquated (“activated”)
cinematography binding within the SRL from 0—2 equiv (Figure 5). We observe dose-dependent platinum stop sites occurring at

U3036, A3031, G3030, and A3026 (S. cerevisiae numbering). The platinum adducts predicted by these stop sites are highlighted in color in the S. cerevisiae secondary structure map. They include a canonical 3′-GG-5′ adduct (G3031::G3030), two putative 3′-GA-5′ intrastrand cross-links (G3036::A3035; G3030::A3029), and a signal at an isolated guanine that could represent a monofunctional adduct or a cross-link bridging the terminal GAGA tetraloop (G3026). As described earlier, there is a strong causal link between damage at this position and downstream apoptotic signaling. It is important to note that under these conditions each RNA may be bound by multiple Pt(II) atoms. Due to the 3′ bias of this technique, it is difficult to define where 1,2-intrastrand Pt(II) lesions form on the 5′-distal region of the duplex.

Cisplatin accumulation within the model SRL was compared to the RT stalling pattern following SRL treatment with nonactivated oxaliplatin (Figure 5). The equilibria, mechanism, and rates of aquation and binding differ between cisplatin and oxaliplatin and depend strongly on pH and ionic environment. For oxaliplatin, dissociation of the oxalato ligand is reported to occur with a half-life of 92 min at 37 °C. In our 18-h incubation, 10 equiv of nonactivated oxaliplatin are required to observe RNA-Pt(II) adducts, compared with just 0.5—1 equiv of activated cisplatin. Remarkably, despite these differences, their kinetically preferred binding sites are identical within the SRL. Conservation of Pt(II) binding within the SRL may demonstrate a ubiquitous mechanism for translation inhibition.

Finally, we report that the pattern of platinum accumulation within the GAGA tetraloop differs slightly between the sarcin-ricin loop in vitro model and the in vivo and presumably intact ribosome (Figure 6). For RNA isolated following in vivo treatment, we observe Pt(II) accumulation within A3027 and G3028 by two different primer extension assays. However, neither nucleotide is Pt(II)-bound in our in vitro model (Figure 6). This may be explained in part by anti/syn base flipping of the terminal adenine as predicted by molecular simulations and suggested by solution NMR studies. According to these studies, although the SRL is highly rigid when compared to other RNA motifs, the tetraloop is dynamic. Given that several protein interactions occur in vivo at the tetraloop that may induce unfolding or stabilization, we predict a concurrent change in platinum accessibility and binding. The change in the binding pattern could also reflect in vivo RNA-protein cross-links, which are absent in our in vitro model.

CONCLUSION

To date, we lack a comprehensive understanding of the biological cytoplasmic substrates of Pt(II) therapeutics such as cisplatin. We recently developed a method to detect platinum-modified drug targets using picazoplatin, an azide-containing picoplatin mimetic designed for post-treatment labeling via click chemistry. Here, we demonstrate that rRNA and tRNA drug targets using picazoplatin, an azide-containing picoplatin mimetic designed for post-treatment labeling via click chemistry. Here, we demonstrate that rRNA and tRNA can be labeled with Alexa Fluor 488 DIBO alkyne to levels detectable by in-gel fluorescence (~0.5 Pt per ribosome and ~0.4 Pt per tRNA following 250 μM treatment). This is the first indication of in vivo accessibility of tRNA to platinum compounds. Pt-tRNA damage could severely impact cellular processes such as translation and apoptotic signaling. Within tRNA, we have explored the sarcin-ricin loop and peptidyl transferase center as high impact cisplatin targets. Using primer extension analysis, we have mapped platinum accumulation on the sarcin-ricin loop in vivo on tRNA extracted from yeast treated with cisplatin as well as in a model SRL oligomer. This investigation of Pt(II) adducts within Domains V and VI rRNA demonstrate a variety of potential ribotoxic roles for platinum, all of which likely contribute to the general cytotoxicity of the drug. Using picazoplatin, future avenues of research could focus on isolation, enrichment, and sequencing of platinum-bound nucleic acids to gain a global perspective on Pt accumulation and RNA access in vivo. We are very interested in dissecting the accumulation of cisplatin on its cellular targets with temporal resolution, as the types of Pt-RNA interactions we have described could be of cytoplasmic or nuclear origin. Of significant interest is the potential for this azide modification
technique to assess the binding preferences of other small molecules on cellular RNAs.

**METHODS**

**Platinum Drug Treatment and RNA Extraction from S. cerevisiae.** S. cerevisiae strain BY4741 (MATa, his3A1, leu2A0, met15A0; ura3ΔO) was a generous gift from the Stevens Laboratory at the University of Oregon. Cisplatin and oxaliplatin were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Picoplatin was synthesized as described previously.17 Plated cells were grown on YEPD agar plates (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 2% glucose, and 2% agar). Liquid cultures were grown on Synthetic Complete medium (SC) consisting of 0.67% yeast nitrogen base and 2% glucose supplemented with amino acids and nucleotide bases and maintained in the dark at 30 °C with shaking at 200 rpm. Culture growth was measured by absorbance at 600 nm (1 AU600 = 2.0 × 10^5 cells/mL). A 5 mM cisplatin, oxaliplatin, or picoplatin stock was used for all platinum treatments. Yeast cultures were pregrown to an OD_{600} of 5 (1.0 × 10^7 cells/mL) and then diluted to an OD_{600} of 0.25 (5.0 × 10^6 cells/mL). A 5 mM cisplatin, oxaliplatin, or picoplatin stock was used for all platinum treatments. Yeast cultures were pregrown to an OD_{600} of 5 (1.0 × 10^7 cells/mL) and then diluted to an OD_{600} of 0.25 (5.0 × 10^6 cells/mL). A 5 mM cisplatin, oxaliplatin, or picoplatin stock was used for all platinum treatments.

**Fluorescent Post-Labeling of RNA from Picoplatin-Treated S. cerevisiae.** A 0.5 μL sample of total RNA was added to a 10 μL aqueous solution containing 0.5 μL (20 U) RiboGuard RNase Inhibitor (0.5 μL, 20 U, Epicenter) and excess Alexa Fluor 488 DIBO Alkyn (1 μL, 0.5 mM). The reaction proceeded overnight at 37 °C. Unreacted fluorophore was removed using an RNasey mini kit (Qiagen) according to a modified manufacturer’s protocol. Total RNA concentration was calculated using absorbance at 260 nm (1 AU = 40 μg/mL), and all samples were dried to completion by SpeedVac and resuspended in ddH_{2}O to a final normalized concentration of 10 μg/μL.

**Primer Extension Analysis of Pt-Bound RNA extracted from S. cerevisiae.** RNA template (1 μg) was annealed to 100 pmol of the specified S’ end-labeled primer in the manufacturer’s reaction buffer and incubated in the presence of AMV Reverse Transcriptase (Fermentas) for 1.75 h at 42 °C. The resulting cDNA products were diluted in loading buffer containing 0.005% (w/v) xylene cyanol and bromophenol blue and analyzed by 8% dPAGE. Bands were visualized using a GE phosphor screen in conjunction with a Storm phosphor screen imaging system. Band intensities were quantified using ImageQuant S1. Each band was normalized to the sum of pixel intensities in each individual lane using Microsoft Excel. Sequencing reference lanes were generated with a Sequenase Version 2.0 DNA Sequencing kit (USB Corporation) following the manufacturer’s protocol, using an appropriate DNA template (Integrated DNA Technologies) and the γ^{32}P S’ end-labeled primers used for the primer extension reactions.
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