New biodegradable nanoparticles-in-nanofibers based membranes for guided periodontal tissue and bone regeneration with enhanced antibacterial activity
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Abstract

Introduction: Guided tissue regeneration (GTR) and guided bone regeneration (GBR) are commonly used surgical procedures for the repair of damaged periodontal tissues. These procedures include the use of a membrane as barrier to prevent soft tissue ingrowth and to create space for slowly regenerating periodontium and bone. Recent approaches involve the use of membranes/scaffolds based on resorbable materials. These materials provide the advantage of dissolving by time without the need of surgical intervention to remove the scaffolds.

Objectives: This study aimed at preparing a new series of nanofibrous scaffolds for GTR/GBR applications with enhanced mechanical properties, cell adhesion, biocompatibility and antibacterial properties.

Methods: Electrospun nanofibrous scaffolds based on polylactic acid/cellulose acetate (PLA/CA) or poly(caprolactone) (PCL) polymers were prepared and characterized. Different concentrations of green-synthesized silver nanoparticles, AgNPs (1-2% w/v) and hydroxyapatite nanoparticles, HANPs (10-20% w/v) were incorporated into the scaffolds to enhance the antibacterial and bone regeneration activity.

Results: In-vitro studies showed that addition of HANPs improved the cell viability by around 50% for both types of nanofibrous scaffolds. The tensile properties were also improved through addition of 10% HANPs but deteriorated upon increasing the concentration to 20%. AgNPs significantly improved the...
antibacterial activity with 40 mm inhibition zone after 32 days. Additionally, the nanofibrous scaffolds showed a desirable degradation profile with losing around 40-70% of its mass in 8 weeks. 

**Conclusions:** The obtained results show that the developed nanofibrous membranes are promising scaffolds for both GTR and GBR applications.

© 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Cairo University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/].
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**Introduction**

Periodontitis refers to severe inflammation that may result in the destruction of the periodontium and ultimately tooth loss [1]. There are two main surgical approaches for the regeneration and repair of damaged periodontal tissues which are guided tissue regeneration (GTR) and guided bone regeneration (GBR). In both cases, an occlusive material is used to prevent the growth of connective and epithelial tissues into the defect allowing the regeneration of periodontal tissues [2,3]. Progenitor cells that are located in the neighboring periodontal ligaments, alveolar bone or blood can then migrate to the root area and differentiate into a new periodontal supporting apparatus. These cells form new bone, periodontal ligament (PDL) and cementum. GBR is used to restore deficient alveolar sites (e.g. an extraction site and deficient alveolar ridge) for placement of posterior implant [4].

GTR and GBR involve the use of membranes to support tissue regeneration which requires certain properties for the membranes to allow proper healing. The membranes need to be biocompatible to integrate in the tissues without eliciting inflammatory responses. They must also have a degradation profile that matches the regeneration of the tissues which normally takes around 4-6 weeks. Moreover, their mechanical and physical properties must be suitable for their placement in-vivo and their function as a barrier to epithelial and connective tissues growth. They should have high resistance to tear and rupture during surgery [5]. Besides, the membranes should be porous, and the porosity is needed to allow cellular adaptation and sufficient nutrient permeation [6]. Moreover, the membrane should be osteoconductive along with the bone graft. Osteoconductivity refers to the compatibility of the used membrane or scaffold with osteoclasts allowing their growth to form new bone structures starting from the margins of existing bones. Antimicrobial activity is also desirable to prevent infection of the damaged tissues [7].

The need for the aforementioned properties of the membranes for GTR/GBR applications limits the choices regarding the materials. Previously, non-resorbable membranes were used which required surgical intervention to remove the membrane after healing. Resorbable membranes represent more convenient alternatives to the conventional non-resorbable membranes since they degrade by time and do not require surgical removal. They can be made of synthetic polymers such as polylactic acid (PLA), poly(glycolic acid) (PGA), poly(caprolactone) (PCL) or their copolymers. These polymers have excellent biocompatibility, controllable biodegradability, low rigidity, processability, and drug-encapsulating ability. Resorbable membranes may also be fabricated from natural polymers including proteins (e.g., collagen, silk, fibrinogen and elastin), and polysaccharides (e.g., cellulose, chitin) cated from natural polymers including proteins (e.g., collagen, silk, elastin), and polysaccharides (e.g., cellulose, chitin).

Previously, non-resorbable membranes were used which required surgical intervention to remove the membrane after healing. Resorbable membranes represent more convenient alternatives to the conventional non-resorbable membranes since they degrade by time and do not require surgical removal. They can be made of synthetic polymers such as polylactic acid (PLA), poly(glycolic acid) (PGA), poly(caprolactone) (PCL) or their copolymers. These polymers have excellent biocompatibility, controllable biodegradability, low rigidity, processability, and drug-encapsulating ability. Resorbable membranes may also be fabricated from natural polymers including proteins (e.g., collagen, silk, fibrinogen and elastin), and polysaccharides (e.g., cellulose, chitin and glycosaminoglycans) [8]. Natural polymers are generally considered safer, more biocompatible and more biodegradable compared to synthetic polymers. They also have the ability to present receptor-binding ligands to cells in addition to susceptibility to cell-triggered proteolytic degradation and natural remodeling [9]. However, natural polymers usually suffer from poor mechanical properties that limit their use for such application. For GBR/GTR application, the use of pure synthetic or natural polymer scaffolds is usually unsatisfactory. Pure polymers may have low stiffness, hydrophobic nature or relatively low bioactivity. On the other hand, the degradation products of synthetic polymers are sometimes detrimental for newly grown tissue while natural polymers may degrade too quickly to be used for GTR/GBR [10]. Accordingly, a more attractive approach involves the use of mixtures of natural and synthetic polymers in certain ratios to combine the advantages of both types [11].

In this study, membranes were prepared from PCL or a mixture of PLA/CA, PCL is semi-crystalline, aliphatic polyester [12]. PCL consists of repeating units of one ester group and five methylene groups. Ester bonds are normally degraded in the body. There is no reported evidence showing that PCL induces any cytotoxic effects or accumulate in the body [11,13–15]. PCL composites have been used for fabricating tissue-engineering scaffolds to regenerate bone, ligaments, cartilage, skin, nerve and vascular tissues [12,16,17]. PCL is also suitable candidate for GTR due to its attractive properties as biocompatibility, proper mechanical strength, biodegradability and ease of fabrication [18,19]. However, the use of pure PCL as a scaffold limits the cell adhesion and proliferation which results in poor or slow tissue or bone regeneration. Additionally, the degradation of PCL fibers is usually slower than the desirable rate.

Poly(L-lactic acid) (PLA) has been widely investigated in tissue engineering because of its good biocompatibility [20]. However, the use of the PLA is limited by the poor mechanical properties of the highly porous scaffolds made of the polymer [21]. Moreover, PLA nanofibers interact poorly with cells allowing limited cell migration and adhesion.

In order to combine the advantages of various polymers and overcome their limitations, blends of these polymers are used instead of using a single polymer. The ratio between the constituents of the blends can then be optimized to reach the desired properties. For example, Xue and his coworkers prepared nanofibers from PCL/gelatin mixtures which resulted in improved properties. It was possible to overcome the phase separation between the two polymers by addition of small amount of acetic acid. The nanofibers were also loaded with up to 40% with metronidazole as an antibacterial agent. The use of such formulation can however represent a concern of the allergy towards gelatin. The properties may also differ significantly based on the type of gelatin used [22]. In another study reported by Li et al., PCL was synthesized in a mixture with PLA forming star shaped fiber membranes. PCL provided mechanical strength to the membranes while PLA provided a porous nature to the membrane increasing its surface area. The limitation for such approach is the sensitivity of the resulting membrane morphology towards additives as antibacterial agents or hydroxyapatite [23]. Star-shaped nanoparticles have been prepared with a variety of materials as PBS nanoparticles [24,25]. Bottero and his colleagues reported the preparation of core/shell nanofibers for the same purpose. In their study, PCL was copolymerized with poly(DL-lactide) forming the core of the nanofibers. The core was surrounded with two layers composed of a mixture of the copolymers with PLA and gelatin. The nanofibers were loaded with HANPs to improve the osteoconductivity. Moreover, metronidazole was added to provide antibacterial activity [26]. Mixtures of PLA with cellulose acetate (CA) were also used to prepare GTR/GBR scaffolds. Addition of CA to PLA enhances the cellu-
lar interaction between the scaffolds and cells as fibroblasts [27]. In our previous study, thymoquinone (TQ) was loaded into a mixture of PLA/CA at two different ratios of 7:3 and 9:1 (w/w) to be used as wound dressing. It was found that TQ-loaded PLA/CA at the ratio of 7:3 w/w scaffolds significantly improved the wound healing process [28].

Natural bones consist of hydroxyapatite (HA) embedded in a collagen matrix. Accordingly, a combination of synthetic or natural polymers with a bioactive ceramic can provide enhanced mechanical properties, hydrophilicity, osteoconductivity, osteoinductivity, and/or improved cellular affinities. Among inorganic bioactive ceramics, hydroxyapatite has been widely investigated as a filler. Hydroxyapatite was used with variety of polymers as poly(lactic acid) [31], poly(ε-caprolactone) [32], collagen [33] and gelatin [34]. There are various reported methods for preparation of HANPs. The common idea for most of these methods is the controlled precipitation of hydroxyapatite from its source materials in a non-solvent medium. For example, Cao and his colleagues reported the preparation of needle-shaped nanocrystalline HANPs using ultrasonic irradiation of Ca(NO3)2 and NH4H2PO4 as the source materials. They also showed that increasing the preparation time and temperature increased the yield of the nanoparticles [35]. Sun et al. controlled the morphology of HANPs using reverse microemulsion of water, triton X-100, butanol and cyclohexane. It was also possible to control the nanoparticles using this method by adjusting the pH and the surfactant content [36]. Jarudilokkul and his colleagues prepared amorphous HAPNs using water-in-oil-in-water (W/O/W) emulsion liquid membrane system using Span 20, Tween 80 and caproic acid [37].

Metallic and non-metallic nanoparticles have a wide variety of applications including catalysis and photo-absorption. There is a wide variety of methods reported for the synthesis of nanoparticles including thermal decomposition, flexible ligand method and hydrothermal processes [38–42]. More control over the size of the nanoparticles can be achieved by the synthesis of the nanoparticles in the cavities of other porous materials as zeolite [43].

Since bacterial infections are the main cause for periodontitis, it is common to add antibacterial agents to GTR/GBR scaffolds. For this purpose, silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) can also be used due to their broad spectrum antibacterial activity. AgNPs act by interfering with the cell membrane permeability and transport functions of the bacterial cells. They can also kill the bacterial cells by interacting with sulfur and phosphorus containing molecules as DNA and proteins. Moreover, AgNPs may release Ag⁺ ions into the cells which inhibit cellular enzymes and DNA by coordinating to electron rich groups as thiols, hydroxyls, amides and indols [44]. Synthesis of AgNPs has been extensively investigated and there are currently physical, chemical and biological synthesis methods established. Green synthesis of the nanoparticles using plant extracts is preferred approach as it reduces the overall cost, health hazards and impact on the environment upon large scale production. AgNPs were synthesized using plant extracts that can act as reducing and capping agents. There are several reported methods for preparation of AgNPs using various extracts including latex of Jatropha curcas, Capsicum annuum, Azadirachta indica and Ceratonia siliqua extracts [45–48].

The properties of the GTR/GBR scaffolds can differ significantly based on its fabrication and morphology. For example, scaffold porosity is an important parameter affecting tissue regeneration. Scaffolds were previously fabricated in the form of porous foam using conventional techniques as solvent casting, gas foaming or particulate leaching [49,50]. Recently, electrospinning was introduced as a simple and reproducible method for the preparation of thin fibrous membranes [30,51]. The technique can be used for the preparation of fibers with diameters in the range of 3 nm to more than 5 µm [52]. The small diameter of the nanofibers provides huge surface area compared to other types of scaffolds which promote osteoblastic cell function and bone regeneration [53]. Moreover, the pore size of the nanofibers is generally smaller than the cell size thus it can act as a barrier preventing the penetration of connective and epithelial cells [54].

Based on what has been mentioned, we aimed in this study to develop a new series of electrospun nanofibrous scaffolds for GTR/GBR applications. These nanofibers are based on either a combination of poly(lactic acid) and cellulose acetate (PLA/CA) or poly(caprolactone) (PCL) polymers. Additionally, different con-
centrations of green-synthesized silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) and hydroxyapatite nanoparticles (HANPs) were incorporated into the scaffolds to enhance their antibacterial and bone regeneration activity (Scheme 1). The developed nanoparticles-in-nanofibrous scaffolds were then evaluated for their physicochemical properties, antibacterial activity, cell viability and tissue regeneration ability. The aim of this approach is to develop simple and efficient GTR/GBR scaffolds that are osteoconductive, biodegradable and antibacterial in addition to having optimal mechanical strength.

Materials and methods
Chitosan (medium molecular weight), 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazolyl-2)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), polycaprolactone (PCL, Mn = 80,000) and silver nitrate (≥99.0%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Germany). Polyactic acid (PLA) was purchased from Purac (Netherlands). Anhydrous calcium chloride (CaCl₂, 98%) was obtained from Oxford Co. (India). Di-ammonium hydrogen orthophosphate ((NH₄)₂HPO₄), 33% in H₂O) was purchased from Win Lab, and ammonium solution was provided by Honeywell. All other reagents and solvents were of analytical grade and were used without further purification.

Synthesis of hydroxyapatite nanoparticles (HANPs)
In this study, HANPs were prepared using chemical precipitation method. A solution of 0.16 M CaCl₂ was prepared in 20 mL distilled water and the pH was then adjusted to 11 using ammonium solution. Afterwards, 20 mL of 0.1 M (NH₄)₂HPO₄ were added dropwise at 40 °C forming a gelatinous precipitate. The mixture was then stirred for 1.5 h. It was then left to age at room temperature for 24 h. The solid product was filtered under vacuum and washed several times with water to remove any residual impurities. The final dry product was obtained by washing several times with ethanol followed by freeze drying.[55–57]. Confirmation of the synthesis of HANPs was done using attenuated total reflection Fourier transform infrared (ATR-FTIR) spectroscopy (NECOLET iS10). The crystal structure of the developed nanoparticles (HANPs) was determined using X-ray diffraction (JEOL TEM-2100) imaging.

Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs)
Various concentrations, durations and temperatures were tested to determine the optimum method for the preparation of AgNPs using Callistemon viminalis extract for the first time. In brief, mixtures of 1, 2 and 3 mL of Callistemon viminalis extract and 40 mL of aqueous 2 mM AgNO₃ were prepared. Mixtures were heated for 1 min, 5 min, 10 min, 20 min, 30 min, 45 min or 60 min at 60 °C, 70 °C, 80 °C or 100 °C. After determination of the optimum conditions for the synthesis of AgNPs, the process was repeated again once with the addition of 1 mL of 3% (w/v) chitosan. The synthesized nanoparticles were then separated by centrifugation at 20,000 rpm for 20 min and the pellet was then washed several times using distilled water followed by freeze drying.

Several characterization methods were used to confirm the synthesis of AgNPs. Reduction of the AgNO₃ into AgNPs was monitored using UV–Vis spectrometry (Evolution 600, ThermoScientific, MA, USA). The absorption of the AgNPs suspensions was measured in the range of 200–800 nm using the plant extract as the blank solution. Additionally, the plant extract and the AgNPs solution with the extract were evaluated using FTIR. The morphology and size of the synthesized nanoparticles were investigated using TEM (JEOL TEM-2100) while the crystal structure was determined using X-ray diffraction.

Preparation of nanoparticles-in-nanofibers composites
Electrospinning was used to prepare several nanofibrous composites based on PCL polymer or a mixture of PLA/CA polymers. Both types of nanofibers were prepared with the addition of variable concentrations of HANPs and AgNPs as indicated in Table 1. For preparation of the nanofibers, certain amount of the polymer or polymer mixture was dissolved in a mixture of dichloromethane and dimethylformamide at the ratio of 7:3 (v/v) to obtain a final concentration of 10% (w/v). The solution was kept under stirring for 1–4 h till the complete dissolution of the polymers. Finally, the nanofibers were fabricated using NANO-01A electrospinner (MECC, Japan). The electrospinning parameters were optimized to voltage of 25 kV, feed rate of 2 μL h⁻¹, and distance between the tip of the spinneret and the collector was set to 150 mm.

The morphology of the synthesized nanofibers was examined using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, JEOL-6510LV) at accelerating voltage of 5 kV. The nanofibers were coated using gold sputtering before imaging. The chemical composition of the nanofibers was evaluated using ATR-FTIR. Additionaly, the thermal behavior of the prepared nanofibers was examined using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC, Q20, TA Instruments, USA).

Mechanical testing
Tensile strength was measured using Instron Universal Machine (Instron 3345, England). Measurements were done in a 500 N cell at a loading rate of 10 mm/min. Tensile strength was considered as the maximum strength in the stress–strain curve while the tensile modulus was calculated from the initial linear part of the curve [32,58].

Biodegradability and bioactivity testing
Several biological tests were performed to evaluate the biological activity of the prepared nanofibers including biodegradability, antibacterial activity and cell viability.

| Base polymer | HANPs % (w/w) | AgNPs % (w/w) | Tensile stresses at maximum load (MPa) | Tensile modulus (MPa) | Cell Viability % |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| F1           | PCL           |               | 2.77 ± 0.16a                           | 6.60 ± 0.71d          | 122.27 ± 9.93b  |
| F2           | PCL           | 10            | 3.45 ± 0.15a                           | 20.00 ± 1.51b         | 150.84 ± 3.37a  |
| F3           | PCL           | 20            | 2.18 ± 0.26c                           | 4.63 ± 0.61d          | 155 ± 6.88a     |
| F4           | PCL           | 10            | 3.38 ± 0.14e                           | 19.74 ± 0.84a         | 152.70 ± 6.80a  |
| F5           | PLA/CA (7:3)  |               | 2.18 ± 0.19f                           | 13.35 ± 1.08c         | 116.24 ± 5.63b  |
| F6           | PLA/CA (7:3)  | 10            | 3.39 ± 0.10g                           | 38.37 ± 0.76e         | 141.48 ± 5.45e  |
| F7           | PLA/CA (7:3)  | 20            | 2.22 ± 0.15h                           | 23.28 ± 1.46g         | 142.86 ± 2.29a  |
| F8           | PLA/CA (7:3)  | 10            | 3.13 ± 0.28ab                          | 37.49 ± 2.20a         | 151.80 ± 1.96a  |

Means with the same superscript letter are not significantly different (p < 0.05).
antibacterial activity and in-vitro cellular response. Biodegradability of the nanofibers was evaluated using gravimetric method. Nanofibrous films were cut into $10 \times 10 \text{mm}^2$ samples for assessment of biodegradability and water adsorption. Weight of the cut samples were recorded ($W_0$). Samples were then placed in 5 mL of simulated body fluid (SBF) at 37°C in an orbital shaker incubator for 1, 2, 4 and 8 weeks. The SBF was replaced with fresh 5 mL every week. At the specified time intervals, samples were removed from the fluid and the water was removed from the surface using filter papers, and the weight of the sample was recorded at this point ($W_t$). The dry weight of the samples ($W_2$) was recorded after drying of the samples using freeze-drying. The percentage of weight loss ($L$) was calculated using the following equation [59]

$$ L = \frac{(W_2 - W_0)}{W_0} \times 100 $$

The rate of water absorption ($A$) was determined using the following equation:

$$ A = \frac{(W_1 - W_2)}{W_2} \times 100 $$

Deformations in the surface morphology of the nanofibers were examined using SEM imaging. Additionally, the release profile of the calcium against time in the SBF fluids was measured using atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AA-7000/GFA-7000/ASC-7000, Shimadzu, Japan). The concentration of Ag released at different time intervals was also monitored and then calculated using the following equation:

$$ C_n = C_n \text{means} + A/V \sum_{(n-1)}^{s=1} \cdot C_s \text{means} $$

where $C_n$ is the expected $n^{th}$ sample concentration, $C_n \text{means}$ is the measured concentration, $A$ is the volume of withdrawn aliquot, $V$ is the volume of the dissolution medium, $n-1$ is the total volume of all the previously withdrawn samples before the currently measured sample, and $C_s$ is the total concentration of all previously measured samples before the currently measured sample.

Release study of AgNPs and antibacterial activity

Release of AgNPs from the nanofibers was assessed using atomic absorption spectroscopy. Briefly, parts of the nanofibers with specific dimensions were incubated in 5 mL of PBS at pH 7.4 with the temperature set to 37°C and rotation speed 70 rpm. Certain volume of the medium was withdrawn after 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, and 32 days for measurement of AgNPs concentration using atomic absorption spectroscopy. The volume withdrawn was replaced by fresh buffer to maintain the volume constant [60,61].

Antibacterial activity was measured in terms of inhibition zones. Briefly, 1 mL of the previously withdrawn solutions for the release profile was added to 10 mm diameter holes cut into agar gel containing gram negative bacteria (Escherichia coli ATCC 25922) or gram positive bacteria (Enterococcus faecalis ATCC 29212) at a bacterial concentration of $10^6$ cells/mL. Plates were then incubated for 24 h at 37°C [62].

Cytotoxicity assay

The cytotoxicity of the prepared nanofibers was evaluated using MTT assay. The PCL and PLA/CA nanofibers were placed in a 24-well plate and seeded with $10 \times 10^5$ cells which were then incubated for 3 days at 37°C. The MTT assay was performed following the standard procedures reported in previous studies [63].

Statistical analysis

Means and standard deviations were calculated for each group. The data were analyzed using one-way and two-way ANOVA followed by post-hoc test for determining significant differences among different groups at $p = 0.05$ level. The statistical analysis was performed by Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 23.

Results

Silver nanoparticles, hydroxyapatite nanoparticles and nanofibrous composites

Results for characterization of the green-synthesized AgNPs, HANPs and the nanofibrous composites are shown in the supplementary data (Figs. S1–S5).

Mechanical characterization

It was found that the addition of 10% HANPs has significantly increased ($P < 0.05$) the tensile strength of both PCL and PLA/CA nanofibers. Interestingly, higher increase in the concentration of the HANPs up to 20% resulted in a significant decrease ($P < 0.05$) of the tensile strength. Table 1 shows the results for the tensile strength and tensile modulus for all the prepared nanofibers.

Biodegradability assessment

The biodegradation of the nanofibers was evaluated using SEM imaging and in terms of weight loss and water absorption after soaking of the nanofibers in SBF for 2 or 8 weeks as shown in Figs. 1 and 2. Precipitation of CaP was not observed after 2 weeks of soaking the plain nanofibers (PCL or PLA/CA) in SBF solutions, however, after 8 weeks the surface of PCL nanofibers was coated with CaP while the precipitation of CaP was observed as agglomerates surrounding the PLA/CA nanofibers. Presence of 10% and 20% HANPs resulted in obvious precipitation of CaP after 2 weeks and a higher increase after 8 weeks where all the nanofibers were completely covered by a layer of nano-textured cauliflower-like coatings. The total amount of Ca deposited on the nanofibers was calculated as the difference between the initial Ca concentration in SBF and the remaining concentration after the soaking period which was measured using atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AA-7000/ GFA-7000/ASC-7000, Shimadzu, Japan). It was also obvious that Ca deposition increases with increasing the soaking time. Moreover, the obtained results indicated a significant effect ($P < 0.001$) of the composition of the nanofibrous membrane and time of immersion on both the weight loss and water absorption. It was found that addition of 10% HANPs (F2, F4, F6 and F8) resulted in a significant reduction in the biodegradation rate compared to the blank PCL (F1) and PLA/CA (F5) nanofibers. This biodegradation rate of the nanofibers was further retarded upon incorporation of 20% HANPs (F3 and F7). A comparison for the rate of weight loss and water absorption for all the tested nanofibers is shown in Fig. 3.

Bioactivity assessment

Silver ions release

The release profiles of AgNPs from the prepared nanofibers are shown in Fig. 4. It was found that all prepared fibers were able to sustain the release of the loaded AgNPs for more than 35 days. It was also found that the formula F4 and F8 which contain 10% HANPs and 2% AgNPs have the highest release rate compared to
the remaining nanofibers. The pattern of these two formulations showed an initial burst release followed by a steady release at almost the same rate as other formulations.

**Antibacterial activity**

The antibacterial activity of the solutions withdrawn at 8, 16 and 32 days in PBS was tested against *Enterococcus faecalis* (Gram-positive) and *Escherichia coli* (Gram-negative). Results of the antibacterial assay are summarized in Fig. 5. A significant interaction between the nanofibrous membrane materials and time ($P < 0.001$) was noted against *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Escherichia coli*.

All the fibers loaded with AgNPs showed the ability to inhibit the growth of both bacterial strains while blank nanofibers (F1 and F5) did not show any antibacterial activity. Moreover, increasing the concentration of AgNPs significantly increased the antibacterial activity. It was also noticed that the inhibition zones were larger against *E. faecalis* compared to that against *E. coli* and that the inhibitory effect increases with increasing time.

**In vitro cellular response**

The results for cell viability assay are summarized in Table 1. It can be noted that both PLA/CA and PCL nanofibers supported the growth of bone marrow stromal cells (BMSCs) without any significant cytotoxicity. It was also found that PCL nanofibers increased the cell viability by 22% compared to the control at day 3 while PLA/CA nanofibers increased the viability by 16%. Addition of HANPs provided further increase in the viability as the PCL nanofibers and PLA/CA nanofibers loaded with HANPs increased the viability by 50–55% and 41–51%, respectively, and there was no significant difference between the nanofibers loaded with 10% and 20% HANPs.

**Discussion**

The reconstruction of large tissue defects still represents an unsolved challenge facing the surgical community. These large defects may occur in the oral cavity as a result of trauma, tumor resection or periodontal infection. Accordingly, several regeneration methods were developed to rehabilitate such cases. The most commonly accepted methods for such purpose are GTR and GBR which are used together to regenerate various periodontal tissues including alveolar bone, cementum, PDL, and gingiva [64–67]. GBR is also used frequently before placement of dental implants for the augmentation of deficient ridges with decreased bone.

![SEM micrographs](image)
height. In these techniques, an occlusive membrane is used at the interface between the gingival connective tissue/epithelium and periodontal ligament PDL/alveolar bone tissues. This aims at maintaining the space for clot stabilization and promoting periodontal tissue regeneration, while preventing postsurgical epithelial cell migration to the wound site. These procedures provide the opportunity for certain cell populations residing in periodontal tissues to populate the periodontal wound or defect and reverse periodontal destruction.

Non-biodegradable membranes were used previously which required a second surgery for removal. However, the introduction of new resorbable materials has eliminated the need for a second surgery [68,69]. These materials are mainly polymers that are used with other additives to either enhance the antibacterial activity or stimulate bone deposition [70,71]. Beforehand, traditional methods were used for the preparation of such materials as particulate leaching, solvent casting or gas foaming. Currently, electrospinning could be used for this purpose which allows the preparation of thin fibrous membranes [53]. We report in the present study, the development of a new series of GTR/GBR nanoparticles-in-nanofibrous membranes using electrospinning. The nanofibrous membranes were based on PCL and PLA/CA polymers with the addition of green-synthesized AgNPs and HANPs to improve the antimicrobial activity, and the osteo-conductivity as well as the bone-bonding ability, respectively.

Synthesis and characterization of HANPs and AgNPs

Hydroxyapatite is water-insoluble Ca mineral with the chemical formula $\text{Ca}_5(\text{PO}_4)_3(\text{OH})$. Carbonated hydroxyapatite is the major constituent of human bones representing around 50% of the bone volume and around 70% of its mass [72]. HANPs were synthesized in this study using chemical precipitation which depends on mixing the water soluble reactants to yield an insoluble product that precipitates in a controlled manner. The morphology and crystal structure of the resulting nanoparticles are controlled by the reaction temperature and time. These factors have been extensively investigated in previously reported studies [55–57]. HANPs have been successfully synthesized as confirmed using XRD and FTIR. The XRD pattern showed peaks that are only characteristic to hydroxyapatite. Also, FTIR spectra confirmed the formation of apatite phase containing $\text{CO}_3^2-$ as indicated by the presence of the peak at 869 cm$^{-1}$. Carbonated hydroxyapatite resembles the hydroxyapatite present in the mineral phase of natural dentin [73].
Green-synthesis of AgNPs has been achieved using various concentrations of an aqueous *Callistemon viminalis* extract and chitosan with heating. The extract is rich in flavonoids and polyphenols that are responsible for the reduction of Ag$^+$ to the Ag$^0$ [74]. The formation and stability of the nanoparticles was confirmed using UV–Visible spectrophotometry. The peak appearing at 420–440 nm for the AgNPs results from the coherent oscillation of the surface electrons (surface plasmon resonance). It was observed that adding 1 mL of the extract using the reported method is the optimum amount for the synthesis. Higher concentration of the extract was detrimental to the concentration of the AgNPs formed as indicated by the peak intensity. This may be attributed to the fast reduction of the Ag$^+$ ions that may result in uncontrolled growth of the nanoparticles. Additionally, it was observed that the intensity of the peak increased by increasing the heating temperature to 100 °C for a time period up to 45 min which might be related to the concentration, size and shape of the formed AgNPs. It was also observed that the addition of chitosan resulted in increasing the intensity of the peak. This can be attributed to the ability of chitosan to chelate Ag$^+$ by the OH and NH$_2$ groups of the β (1–4) d-glucosamine units. Additionally, chitosan acts as a stabilizer for the formed AgNPs preventing its aggregation at the macroscopic level due to the ion–dipole intermolecular forces [75]. It was also obvious from the XRD pattern that the synthesized nanoparticles have crystalline nature. In addition, FTIR was used to investigate the presence of reducing and stabilizing biomolecules in the *Callistemon viminalis* extract. The strong broad band that appeared at around 3240 cm$^{-1}$ suggests the presence of –OH groups which are responsible for the reduction of Ag$^+$ into Ag$^0$ and accordingly forming the nanoparticles.

**Fabrication and characterization of the nanofibers**

**Physicochemical characterization**

Electrospinning was used for the preparation of the nanofibers from PCL or PLA/CA polymers with the addition of HANPs or AgNPs.
Although it was preferred to use DCM as a volatile solvent that results in the formation of uniform fibers, DMF was added to improve the dissolution and dispersion of the polymers and nanoparticles. The optimum ratio between DCM and DMF was found to be 7:3 which allowed the homogenous distribution of materials and the preparation of uniform nanofibers. SEM confirmed the formation of submicron nanofibers with the nanoparticles uniformly distributed within these fibers. The nanofibrous structure resulted in a high surface area-to-volume ratio and high interconnected porosity. These characteristics are essential for efficient nutrient and oxygen delivery to the cells and accordingly promoting cellular growth [76].

Bioactivity tests and biodegradation

The bone forming ability of the prepared nanofibers was the main desired characteristic in this study. It was evaluated by incubating the nanofibers in SBF containing the same ionic concentrations as the human plasma and measuring their ability to form apatite on its surface after specific period of time [77]. The apatite is not normally formed on synthetic polymers without activation of its surfaces. This was also evident in this study as the blank PCL and PLA/CA nanofibers did not show any apatite after incubation for 2 weeks as shown in Figs. 1 and 2. However, addition of HANPs promoted the precipitation of apatite on the nanofibers. The effect of the HANPs can be attributed to 2 factors: (a) dissolution of the nanoparticles and subsequent release of its calcium content and (b) presence of the nanoparticles on the surface act as nucleation site for apatite formation and growth [78,79].

The in-vitro biodegradation assay showed that the weights of all the nanofibers were continuously decreasing indicating their degradation. Addition of HANPs resulted in decreasing the rate of degradation which was more obvious with fibers containing 20 w% HANPs. This can be explained based on the nature of the synthesized nanofibers. PLA is a biodegradable polymer with repeating ester bonds that are hydrolyzed randomly in aqueous medium as the SBF with pH 7.4. Hydrolysis results in generation of carboxylic groups which in turn accelerate the hydrolysis process by autocatalysis. Incorporation of HANPs slows down the degradation as the dissolution of these alkaline nanoparticles results in inhibiting the autocatalysis process and blocking the entry of water molecules [34,80].

**Mechanical testing**

In surgery, the GBR/GTR membranes must be tightly fixed using biodegradable sutures, pins or medical glue to prevent them from sagging into bone defects. Accordingly, it is necessary to test the mechanical properties of the prepared nanofibers to ensure that it is suitable for the intended purpose. Mechanical testing showed that the addition of 10% of HANPs to the nanofibers resulted in increasing the tensile strength and modulus of both PCL and PLA/CA nanofibrous membranes. This can be attributed to the additional energy dissipating effect of the nanoparticles. Recent molecular dynamics studies proposed that this effect is attributed to the mobility of the nanoparticles which orient and align under tensile strength. Temporary crosslinks are then formed between the polymer chains creating local areas of enhanced strength. The increase in the tensile modulus may result from the increased rigidity compared to the pure polymer nanofibers along with the strong adhesion between the two materials [81].
On the contrary, further increase in the concentration of HANPs resulted in a significant reduction in the tensile strength and modulus of both PCL and PLA/CA nanofibers. This brittle nature can be attributed to the stacking of the nanoparticles which results in increasing their size and decreasing mobility. Accordingly, the energy dissipating effect is lost which is consistent with the previously reported results [82].

**Antibacterial testing of the developed nanofibrous membranes**

The prepared nanofibers showed the ability to maintain a steady and prolonged release of AgNPs with the accumulative release amount of silver ions reaching 2.82–3.69 ppm for nanofibrous membranes containing 1% AgNPs and 6.94–7.38 ppm for the membranes containing 2% AgNPs. It should be noted that the threshold concentration for the antibacterial activity of AgNPs is 0.1 ppm which suggests that the prepared nanofibrous membranes would have a significant antibacterial effect [83].

Testing of the AgNPs-loaded nanofibers showed their ability to inhibit the growth of Enterococcus faecalis and Escherichia coli for 32 days, however, the inhibitory activity was relatively lower towards E. coli compared to the inhibition of E. faecalis growth which is in alignment with the results of a previous study [60]. This is probably caused by the different composition of the cell wall in gram negative and gram positive bacteria. The cell wall of E. coli contains lipids, proteins and lipopolysaccharides (LPS) and is effective in protecting the bacterial cells from biocides. The cell wall of E. faecalis, in turn does not contain LPS [84]. It was also noted that the inhibitory activity of the nanofibers increased by time with the highest activity observed after 32 days. This is mainly attributed to the degradation of the nanofibers and the availability of higher concentrations of the AgNPs.

The exact mechanism of the antibacterial activity of AgNPs is still not clear. Three mechanisms for this action have been proposed by Feng et al. Firstly, AgNPs attach to the surface of the bacterial cells disrupting the power functions as respiration and permeability. This effect is directly related to the surface area of the AgNPs which increases by decreasing the size. Additionally, AgNPs can penetrate into the cells and cause damage by interacting with sulfur and phosphorus-containing molecules as DNA. Finally, the nanoparticles release Ag⁺ ions which also contribute to the bactericidal effect. Silver ions inhibit DNA replication ability and inactivate cellular proteins. Besides, the higher concentrations of Ag⁺ ions interfere with the function of cytoplasmic components and nucleic acids [85–90].

**Cell viability assay**

The measurement of cell viability using MTT assay depends on the reduction of yellow tetrazolium to purple formazan by mitochondrial dehydrogenase enzyme present in viable cells. Accordingly, the intensity of the purple color of formazan, measured using UV–Vis spectrophotometry, is directly proportional to the amount of dehydrogenase enzyme which is in turn indicative of the cell viability. It should be taken into consideration that reduction in the metabolic activity is considered as early signs for cellular damage and reduced cell viability.

In this study we used mesenchymal stem cells which are self-renewing multipotent cells that function to repair various tissues in response to injury. These cells play a major role in maintaining the stem cell niche and tissue homeostasis. They are particularly suitable for in-vitro studies due to their ability to withstand freezing and the high replication capacity [91–93]. The in-vitro cell viability assay showed that all prepared nanofibers were cytocompatible and that the addition of HANPs improved the cytocompatibility by maintaining cells viable and supporting their proliferation. The effect of HANPs can be attributed to the coarse surface created by the addition of the nanoparticles which increases the actual contact area. Additionally, the particles provide the nanotopography that stimulates the cell adhesion and proliferation [94]. Moreover, the HANPs that disengage from the fibers and dissolve in the body fluids can induce changes in the microenvironment by increasing its pH which results in positive effects on cell metabolism [95].

**Conclusion**

In this study we reported the preparation of a new series of nanoparticles-in-nanofibers scaffolds for guided periodontal tissue and bone regeneration with enhanced antibacterial activity. The nanofibrous scaffolds were prepared from PCL or PLA/CA at the ratio of 7:3. Preparation was done using electrospinning with the addition of various concentrations (0%, 10% or 20% w/w) of HANPs, and (0%, 1% or 2% w/w) of AgNPs. Results depicted that the nanoparticles had prominent properties to be used for GTR/GBR applications as indicated by their ability to enhance the cell viability by about 50% and to provide sustained antibacterial activity for 32 days. Besides, the nanofibers have demonstrated optimum mechanical properties with the tensile modulus reaching around 20 and 38 MPa for PCL and PLA/CA nanofibers, respectively. The nanofibers degraded slowly in SBF losing 30–40% of their weight in 8 weeks for the PCL-based nanofibers and around 40–70% for the PLA/CA nanofibers. We also focused on using green, simple and reproducible methods for preparation of the nanoparticles and the nanofibers. The prepared scaffolds loaded with HANPs were able to promote the formation of apatite and the proliferation of mesenchymal stem cells in-vitro which predicts enhanced bone formation in-vivo. Future studies should include in-vivo testing of the developed nanoparticles-in-nanofibers membranes.
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