RNA-seq based identification and mutant validation of gene targets related to ethanol resistance in cyanobacterial *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Fermentation production of biofuel ethanol consumes agricultural crops, which will compete directly with the food supply. As an alternative, photosynthetic cyanobacteria have been proposed as microbial factories to produce ethanol directly from solar energy and CO₂. However, the ethanol productivity from photoautotrophic cyanobacteria is still very low, mostly due to the low tolerance of cyanobacterial systems to ethanol stress.

**Results:** To build a foundation necessary to engineer robust ethanol-producing cyanobacterial hosts, in this study we applied a quantitative transcriptomics approach with a next-generation sequencing technology, combined with quantitative reverse-transcript PCR (RT-PCR) analysis, to reveal the global metabolic responses to ethanol in model cyanobacterial *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803. The results showed that ethanol exposure induced genes involved in common stress responses, transporting and cell envelope modification. In addition, the cells can also utilize enhanced polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA) accumulation and glyoxalase detoxication pathway as means against ethanol stress. The up-regulation of photosynthesis by ethanol was also further confirmed at transcriptional level. Finally, we used gene knockout strains to validate the potential target genes related to ethanol tolerance.

**Conclusion:** RNA-Seq based global transcriptomic analysis provided a comprehensive view of cellular response to ethanol exposure. The analysis provided a list of gene targets for engineering ethanol tolerance in cyanobacterium *Synechocystis*.
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**Background**

Ethanol currently constitutes 99% of all biofuels in the United States. E-10 Unleaded, a blend of 10% ethanol and 90% ordinary gasoline, has been used in the U.S. for more than 25 years. Additionally, a blend of 85% ethanol and 15% ordinary gasoline (known as E-85) is rapidly growing in popularity [1]. The 3.4 billion gallons of ethanol blended into gasoline in 2004 amounted to about 2% of all gasoline sold by volume and 1.3% (2.5 x 10¹⁷ J) of its energy content [1]. Greater quantities of ethanol are expected to be used as a motor fuel in the future because of the federal policies, such as the “Twenty-in-Ten” program that proposes to cut gasoline consumption and greenhouse gas emissions from motor vehicles by 20 percent over the next 10 years. Large-scale ethanol production utilizes yeast or bacteria, such as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Zymomonas mobilis* to ferment sugar syrups [2]. The process has seen significant progress in recent years: inhibitor sensitivity, product tolerance, ethanol yield and specific ethanol productivity have been improved in modern industrial strains to the degree that up to 20% (v/v) of ethanol can be produced from starch-derived glucose [3]. However, since the large-scale ethanol fermentation consumes significant...
amount of agricultural crops, which competes directly with the world food supply, and its increased production has been blamed for the food price increases in recent years.

Photosynthetic cyanobacteria have recently attracted significant attention as a ‘microbial factory’ to produce biofuels and fine chemicals due to their capability to utilize solar energy and CO₂ as sole energy and carbon sources, respectively [4]. By expressing a bacterial pyruvate decarboxylase (pdc) and alcohol dehydrogenase (adh) from the bacterium Z. mobilis in the cyanobacterium Synechococcus sp. PCC 7942, Deng and Coleman (1999) obtained a recombinant microorganism which can produce up to 230 mg/L ethanol directly from CO₂ within 4 weeks of growth [5]. More recently, a genome-scale metabolic network model of Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 was used to improve cyanobacterial ethanol production up to 690 mg/L in a week [6]. Although still at very low productivity, these works clearly demonstrated that photoautotrophic cyanobacteria could potentially be engineered for a direct conversion of solar energy and CO₂ into biofuel products such as ethanol.

One of the key factors responsible for the low ethanol productivity is the low tolerance of photosynthetic systems to ethanol [7,8]. Ethanol can interfere with cell membrane’s ability to act as a barrier, and interrupt key cellular processes such as protein biosynthesis, energy transduction and transport [8]. Although ethanol tolerance mechanism and application of ethanol-tolerant strains for enhanced production have been reported in native-producing yeasts and bacteria, current knowledge on ethanol tolerance in cyanobacteria is not enough to guide a rational engineering of more robust cyanobacterial hosts. To address this issue, we previously applied a quantitative iTRAQ LC-MS/MS proteomics approach to determine the responses of model cyanobacterial Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 to ethanol [9]. The analysis showed that the Synechocystis cells employed a combination of induced common stress response, modifications of cell membrane and envelope, and induction of multiple transporters and cell mobility-related proteins as major protection mechanisms against ethanol toxicity [9]. To further decipher responses at transcriptional level, in this study, we applied a quantitative transcriptomics approach with a next-generation sequencing technology, combined with quantitative reverse-transcript PCR (RT-PCR) analysis, to reveal the global metabolic responses to ethanol in Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 [10]. We then compared the transcriptomics data with proteomic data obtained previously to further confirm the targets related to ethanol tolerance [9]. Finally, we constructed several knockout mutants of ethanol-induced genes to validate their potential application as targets for engineering ethanol tolerance. The RNA-seq transcriptomics analysis not only further confirmed the cellular responses revealed from previous proteomics analysis, but also showed that Synechocystis cells can also utilize enhanced PHA accumulation and glyoxalase detoxication pathway as means against ethanol stress. The study provided a list of gene targets for tolerance engineering in cyanobacterium Synechocystis.

Results and discussion
Ethanol effects on Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803
To make the transcriptomics data comparable with previous proteomics data, we used the identical sampling conditions for transcriptomics as our previous proteomics analysis [9]. As described before, the growth of Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 supplemented with 0, 1.25, 1.50 and 2.00% ethanol was assessed to determine an appropriate ethanol concentration for proteomic studies. The results showed that the concentration of ethanol that caused a 50% growth decrease was found to be 1.50% (v/v) at 24 h (corresponding to middle-exponential phase), and was selected for the analysis in this study [9]. Cell morphology under ethanol-treated and control conditions was compared under microscope, and the results showed that visible aggregation of large number of cells was found after 24 h treatment even at a concentration of 1.50%, compared with the clearly individual cells in the control (data not shown). For transcriptomic analysis, two independent cultivations for both control (no ethanol) and 1.5% ethanol-treated experiments were conducted, and cells were collected by centrifugation (8,000 x g for 10 min at 4°C) at 24 h, 48 h and 72 h, resulting two biological replicates for each time point. The time points of sampling were corresponded to middle-exponential, exponential-stationary transition and stationary phases of the cell growth, respectively [9].

Overview of transcriptomics analysis
A total of 112-million raw sequencing reads was obtained from the RNA-seq transcriptomics analysis of nine samples, with average reads of 12.5-million reads per sample. After a two-step data filtering process, first to eliminate reads with low-quality bases (such as multiple N) and reads shorter than 20 bp, and then to eliminate sequence reads mapped to non-coding RNA of Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 [10], a total of 20.4-million qualified mRNA-based sequence reads were identified (Table 1). Except for the control sample at 24 h (Control-24 h) which has a genome mapping ratio of 57%, all other samples have mapping ratio larger than 60%, with the control sample at 72 h larger than 80%. Reproducibility between biological replicates of ethanol-treated samples at three time points was plotted (Figure 1), with correlation coefficient around 0.98-0.99, indicating the...
overall good quality of RNA sequencing. The sequence reads matched to all 3189 coding genes in \textit{Synechocystis} sp. PCC 6803 genome (Additional file 1: Table S1), suggesting that the sequencing is deep enough to cover almost all species of transcripts in the cells. Abundance of the qualified mRNA-based raw sequence reads ranged from 1 to 341,135 for control samples, and from 1 to 154,326 for ethanol-treated samples, respectively, representing an expression dynamic range of $10^5$, which is higher than $10^{3-4}$ of typical microarray-based analyses [11,12]. Using Reads Per Kilobase of Gene per Million Mapped Reads (RPKM) as an index of the normalized transcript abundance [13], we identified the top expressed genes under the control and ethanol-treated conditions through the growth time course (Table 2). The top 50 expressed genes were found involved mostly in energy metabolism, including genes coding photosynthesis-related phycocyanin alpha subunit, phycocyanin beta subunit and photosystem I subunit XI and genes coding multiple subunits of ATP synthase, followed by genes encoding proteins synthesis such as multiple 50S ribosomal proteins and elongation factor, and genes involved in CO$_2$ fixation such as ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase genes, consistent well with previous analysis on highly expressed genes in \textit{Synechocystis} [14,15]. Interestingly, we also found several genes encoding hypothetical proteins (ssl0483, slr0144, slr1470 and slr0373) were also among the top expressed genes, suggesting possible important physiological functions they may be responsible for. Although the exact function is still unknown, slr0144 has been suggested to encode a PSII-associated protein [16], and slr0373 forms an operon with slr0374 which has been found responsive to various environmental stresses [17].

Using a cutoff of 1.5-fold change in both biological replicates, we determined that 1874 and 274 genes were down- and up-regulated by ethanol, respectively. For the down-regulated genes, 1343, 596 and 830 genes were down-regulated at 24, 48 and 72 h, respectively. Among them 167 genes were down-regulated in all three time points (Additional file 2: Table S2). Analysis of the functional category of the down-regulated genes was shown in Figure 2. The results showed that the most affected functional categories were “hypothetical proteins” and “unknown function”, representing a total of more than 68% of all the down-regulated genes, consistent with the fact that nearly half of the \textit{Synechocystis}
| Gene ID | Control-24h | Control-48h | Control-72h | Ethanol-24h-r1 | Ethanol-24h-r2 | Ethanol-48h-r1 | Ethanol-48h-r2 | Ethanol-72h-r1 | Ethanol-72h-r2 | Description | Cellular role |
|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|---------------|
| sll1744 | 7.42E+05    | 8.96E+05    | 9.57E+05    | 6.14E+05       | 6.66E+05       | 6.65E+05       | 3.97E+05       | 7.24E+05       | 6.49E+05       | 50S ribosomal protein L1 | Protein synthesis |
| sll1745 | 3.42E+06    | 3.74E+06    | 3.97E+06    | 2.80E+06       | 3.01E+06       | 2.74E+06       | 1.82E+06       | 2.90E+06       | 2.54E+06       | 50S ribosomal protein L10 | Protein synthesis |
| sll1743 | 3.56E+05    | 5.73E+05    | 6.30E+05    | 3.00E+05       | 3.86E+05       | 4.17E+05       | 2.23E+05       | 4.90E+05       | 4.11E+05       | 50S ribosomal protein L11 | Protein synthesis |
| sll1746 | 6.76E+05    | 5.38E+05    | 7.26E+05    | 1.66E+05       | 2.49E+05       | 2.90E+05       | 1.79E+05       | 2.78E+05       | 2.58E+05       | 50S ribosomal protein L12 | Protein synthesis |
| sl0767  | 2.07E+06    | 9.55E+05    | 9.52E+05    | 3.00E+05       | 3.86E+05       | 4.17E+05       | 2.23E+05       | 4.90E+05       | 4.11E+05       | 50S ribosomal protein L20 | Protein synthesis |
| sl0801  | 3.47E+05    | 5.18E+05    | 7.26E+05    | 1.66E+05       | 2.49E+05       | 2.90E+05       | 1.79E+05       | 2.78E+05       | 2.58E+05       | 50S ribosomal protein L23 | Protein synthesis |
| sl0807  | 4.12E+05    | 6.41E+05    | 9.77E+05    | 1.72E+05       | 3.12E+05       | 5.68E+05       | 2.51E+05       | 6.14E+05       | 5.94E+05       | 50S ribosomal protein L24 | Protein synthesis |
| sl1426  | 5.89E+06    | 2.35E+06    | 5.49E+06    | 4.31E+06       | 4.18E+06       | 2.74E+06       | 2.30E+06       | 2.22E+06       | 2.17E+06       | 50S ribosomal protein L35 | Protein synthesis |
| slz067  | 1.00E+06    | 2.39E+06    | 4.47E+06    | 3.52E+05       | 1.16E+06       | 2.61E+06       | 8.80E+05       | 3.28E+06       | 3.45E+06       | Allophycocyanin alpha subunit | Energy metabolism |
| slz186  | 8.68E+05    | 1.50E+06    | 2.98E+06    | 2.53E+05       | 6.79E+05       | 1.35E+06       | 4.90E+05       | 1.72E+06       | 1.75E+06       | Allophycocyanin beta subunit | Energy metabolism |
| slz1198 | 1.72E+06    | 1.22E+06    | 1.77E+06    | 1.08E+06       | 1.33E+06       | 1.10E+06       | 7.41E+05       | 1.08E+06       | 1.12E+06       | Antioxidant protein | Unclassified |
| slz1322 | 2.11E+05    | 6.36E+05    | 8.15E+05    | 1.31E+05       | 4.50E+05       | 6.64E+05       | 3.23E+05       | 9.71E+05       | 7.65E+05       | ATP synthase A chain of CF(0) | Energy metabolism |
| slz2615 | 4.95E+05    | 1.22E+06    | 2.06E+06    | 2.69E+05       | 7.44E+05       | 1.33E+06       | 5.88E+05       | 1.82E+06       | 1.57E+06       | ATP synthase C chain of CF(0) | Energy metabolism |
| slz1323 | 2.28E+05    | 5.71E+05    | 8.68E+05    | 1.17E+05       | 3.22E+05       | 6.10E+05       | 2.82E+05       | 8.06E+05       | 8.35E+05       | ATP synthase subunit b' of CF(0) | Energy metabolism |
| slz1099 | 4.39E+05    | 9.53E+05    | 1.51E+06    | 1.94E+05       | 4.07E+05       | 7.88E+05       | 3.36E+05       | 9.11E+05       | 8.63E+05       | Elongation factor Tu | Protein synthesis |
| slz0020 | 2.52E+06    | 6.33E+05    | 7.00E+05    | 6.84E+05       | 7.65E+05       | 8.17E+05       | 7.10E+05       | 8.75E+05       | 7.41E+05       | Ferredoxin I, essential for growth | Energy metabolism |
| slz0018 | 1.97E+05    | 7.10E+05    | 1.21E+06    | 1.36E+05       | 2.37E+05       | 7.34E+05       | 3.61E+05       | 1.04E+06       | 1.08E+06       | Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase, class II | Unclassified |
| slz0483 | 1.48E+06    | 5.07E+05    | 6.64E+05    | 6.22E+05       | 7.23E+05       | 6.12E+05       | 5.26E+05       | 6.88E+05       | 6.09E+05       | Hypothetical protein | No Data |
| slr0749 | 9.08E+05    | 1.55E+07    | 3.92E+07    | 3.22E+07       | 3.11E+07       | 3.22E+07       | 3.22E+07       | 3.22E+07       | 3.22E+07       | Light-independent protochlorophyllide reductase iron protein subunit ChL | Energy metabolism |
| slr1834 | 1.21E+06    | 9.55E+05    | 9.52E+05    | 3.00E+05       | 3.86E+05       | 4.17E+05       | 2.23E+05       | 4.90E+05       | 4.11E+05       | Light-independent protochlorophyllide reductase iron protein subunit ChL | Cofactor biosynthesis |
| slr0737 | 1.85E+06    | 1.15E+06    | 2.11E+06    | 9.16E+05       | 1.10E+06       | 1.20E+06       | 8.97E+05       | 1.34E+06       | 1.38E+06       | Photosystem I subunit II | Energy metabolism |
| Gene ID  | Expression Level RPKM |
|---------|------------------------|
| smr0004 | 4.06E+06 3.59E+06 5.24E+06 1.43E+06 1.45E+06 1.78E+06 1.44E+06 1.60E+06 1.58E+06 | Photosystem I subunit VIII Energy metabolism |
| str1655 | 1.08E+07 5.24E+06 8.83E+06 8.55E+06 7.79E+06 5.87E+06 7.50E+06 6.75E+06 | Photosystem I subunit XI Energy metabolism |
| str0906 | 2.96E+05 5.56E+05 4.91E+05 1.78E+05 4.12E+05 5.30E+05 2.60E+05 7.12E+05 6.19E+05 | Photosystem II core light harvesting protein Energy metabolism |
| sll0851 | 2.98E+05 2.33E+06 1.71E+05 4.95E+05 1.05E+05 3.40E+05 9.30E+05 9.78E+05 | Photosystem II CP43 protein Energy metabolism |
| str1311 | 2.37E+06 1.75E+06 2.79E+06 8.49E+05 1.83E+06 2.41E+06 1.39E+06 2.73E+06 2.44E+06 | Photosystem II D1 protein Energy metabolism |
| sll1867 | 1.22E+06 1.06E+06 1.62E+06 5.25E+05 1.01E+06 1.33E+06 7.68E+05 1.54E+06 1.59E+06 | Photosystem II D1 protein Energy metabolism |
| sll0849 | 1.31E+05 1.09E+06 1.59E+06 9.21E+05 3.93E+05 1.05E+06 3.10E+05 1.21E+06 1.20E+06 | Photosystem II reaction center D2 protein Energy metabolism |
| str0335 | 4.12E+05 1.38E+06 2.12E+06 2.41E+05 6.30E+05 1.09E+06 5.13E+05 1.30E+06 1.35E+06 | Phycobilisome core-membrane linker polypeptide Energy metabolism |
| sll1580 | 3.09E+06 2.89E+06 2.91E+06 1.93E+06 2.24E+06 2.18E+06 1.69E+06 2.23E+06 1.79E+06 | Phycobilisome rod linker polypeptide Energy metabolism |
| sll1579 | 2.30E+06 2.95E+06 3.31E+06 1.33E+06 2.07E+06 2.16E+06 1.46E+06 2.54E+06 2.09E+06 | Phycobilisome rod linker polypeptide Energy metabolism |
| str2051 | 1.44E+06 6.37E+05 7.37E+05 4.63E+05 5.46E+05 6.26E+05 5.26E+05 6.77E+05 6.51E+05 | Phycobilisome rod-core linker polypeptide Unclassified |
| ssl3093 | 2.10E+06 1.29E+06 1.36E+06 7.71E+05 9.40E+05 8.59E+05 7.79E+05 1.04E+06 7.32E+05 | Phycobilisome small rod linker polypeptide Unclassified |
| sll1578 | 2.59E+07 5.16E+07 8.62E+07 1.43E+07 2.65E+07 4.10E+07 2.17E+07 5.20E+07 5.40E+07 | Phycocyanin alpha subunit Energy metabolism |
| sll1577 | 2.49E+07 5.74E+07 8.88E+07 1.88E+07 3.58E+07 5.52E+07 2.70E+07 7.35E+07 7.72E+07 | Phycocyanin beta subunit Energy metabolism |
| sll1694 | 5.95E+06 8.44E+05 1.14E+06 2.01E+06 2.12E+06 9.58E+05 1.35E+06 1.07E+06 5.81E+05 | Pilin polypeptide PilA1 Cell envelope |
| sll0199 | 8.92E+05 6.80E+05 9.96E+05 2.02E+05 5.99E+05 9.24E+05 4.93E+05 8.49E+05 7.72E+05 | Plastocyanin Unclassified |
| str0011 | 1.94E+06 3.06E+06 6.38E+06 1.11E+06 1.85E+06 2.48E+06 1.33E+06 2.73E+06 2.32E+06 | Possible Rubisco chaperonin Hypothetical proteins |
| str1841 | 1.24E+06 2.09E+06 3.27E+06 5.53E+05 1.31E+06 1.70E+06 7.51E+05 1.67E+06 1.89E+06 | Probable porin; major outer membrane protein Unclassified |
| str0009 | 4.48E+05 1.44E+06 2.53E+06 2.81E+05 7.69E+05 1.33E+06 5.61E+05 1.70E+06 1.55E+06 | Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase large subunit Energy metabolism |
| str0012 | 5.54E+05 8.40E+05 2.10E+06 1.58E+05 2.52E+05 4.06E+05 2.72E+05 4.43E+05 3.79E+05 | Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase small subunit Energy metabolism |
| sll1338 | 9.93E+05 2.56E+06 4.45E+06 5.64E+05 1.23E+06 1.57E+06 9.14E+05 1.79E+06 1.52E+06 | Unknown protein No Data |
| sll1951 | 7.54E+05 8.37E+05 1.25E+06 3.14E+05 6.19E+05 7.53E+05 3.27E+05 7.44E+05 8.16E+05 | Unknown protein Unclassified |
genome is still annotated as hypothetical up to now [10,18]. Other most affected functional categories included “Energy metabolism”, “Protein synthesis” and “Regulatory functions”. Down-regulation of the central metabolism is consistent with the overall slower growth upon ethanol stress [9]. For the up-regulated genes, 29, 114 and 161 genes were up-regulated at 24 h, 48 h and 72 h, respectively, among which 3 genes were up-regulated in all three time points (Table 3 and Additional file 3: Table S3). More genes up-regulated at late growth phases suggested that cells needed time to adjust their metabolism and initiate resistance responses.

Correlation with quantitative RT-PCR analysis
Based on their expression level and regulation patterns by ethanol, a subset of 12 genes was selected for quantitative RT-PCR validation. Among them, six genes were down-regulated (i.e. sll0721, sll1796, slr1992, sll0248, sll1327, ssr1399) and six genes were up-regulated (i.e. sll1734, slr1761, slr1828, sll1091, slr0288, slr0057) by ethanol, respectively according to the RNA-seq transcriptomics data. Under control condition, their expression levels varied from the normalized RPKM values 2529.6 for slr0248 (encoding a flavodoxin) to 421749.3 for ssr1399 (encoding ribosomal protein S18) (Additional file 4: Table S4). RT-PCR analysis was performed for the genes between the treated sample and control for all three time points (i.e. 24, 48 and 72 h). The results showed obvious positive correlation can be detected between qRT-PCR and RNA-Seq transcriptomics data (with correlation coefficient of 0.75-0.8) (Figure 3), suggesting a good quality of RNA-seq data.

Cells utilize multiple approaches to cope with ethanol stress
Our previous proteomic analysis found that the Synechocystis cells employed a combination of induced common stress response, modifications of cell membrane and envelope, and induction of multiple transporters and cell mobility-related proteins as protection mechanisms against ethanol toxicity [9]. At transcriptional level, a very similar response was also observed [9]. First, we found that common stress responses were induced: one gene encoding a heat-shock DnaK homolog (slr0086) was induced at 72 h. Multiple genes involved in resistance against reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as slr2033 encoding a membrane-associated rubredoxin, slr1109 encoding ankyrin homolog [19], slr1379 encoding quinol oxidase subunit I [22] by ethanol, respectively according to the RNA-Seq transcriptomics data. Under control condition, their expression levels varied from the normalized RPKM values 2529.6 for slr0086 (encoding a flavodoxin) to 421749.3 for ssr1399 (encoding ribosomal protein S18) (Additional file 4: Table S4). RT-PCR analysis was performed for the genes between the treated sample and control for all three time points (i.e. 24, 48 and 72 h). The results showed obvious positive correlation can be detected between qRT-PCR and RNA-Seq transcriptomics data (with correlation coefficient of 0.75-0.8) (Figure 3), suggesting a good quality of RNA-seq data.
| Gene ID  | Description                                                                 | Ratio-Ethanol-24h-r1 vs. Control-24h | Ratio-Ethanol-48h-r1 vs. Control-48h | Ratio-Ethanol-72h-r1 vs. Control-72h |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| sll0034 | putative carboxypeptidase                                                     | 2.69                                | 1.50                                |                                     |
| sll0250 | pantothenate metabolism flavoprotein                                          |                                     | 3.00                                | 1.91                                |
| sll0289 | septum site-determining protein MinD                                          |                                     | 4.56                                | 3.89                                |
| sll0300 | riboflavin synthase alpha chain                                               |                                     | 4.33                                | 3.33                                |
| sll0330 | sepiapterine reductase                                                        |                                     | 7.09                                | 29.09                               |
| sll0368 | uracil phosphoribosyltransferase                                              |                                     | 2.33                                | 2.00                                |
| sll0374 | urea transport system ATP-binding protein                                     |                                     | 2.80                                | 3.60                                |
| sll0384 | Cations and iron carrying protein                                             |                                     | 2.31                                | 1.70                                |
| sll0450 | cytochrome b subunit of nitric oxide reductase                               | 1.62                                | 4.26                                |                                     |
| sll0536 | probable potassium channel protein                                            |                                     | 2.00                                | 1.93                                |
| sll0540 | phosphate-binding protein PstS homolog                                       |                                     |                                     | 1.57                                | 1.79                                |
| sll0613 | Holliday junction DNA helicase RuXB                                           |                                     | 1.73                                | 1.91                                |
| sll0621 | putative c-type cytochrome biogenesis protein CcdA                            |                                     |                                     | 2.22                                | 2.00                                |
| sll0629 | alternative photosystem I reaction center subunit X                           |                                     | 1.94                                | 1.72                                |
| sll0671 | probable cation transporter                                                  |                                     | 4.00                                | 4.00                                |
| sll0686 | probable cytochrome c-type biogenesis protein                                |                                     | 3.00                                | 3.25                                |
| sll0687 | RNA polymerase ECF-type (group 3) sigma factor                               |                                     | 3.00                                | 6.00                                |
| sll0759 | ABC transporter ATP-binding protein                                          |                                     | 2.13                                | 2.09                                |
| sll0792 | Zinc-responsive repressor ZiaR                                               |                                     | 3.43                                | 3.00                                |
| sll0856 | RNA polymerase ECF-type (group 3) sigma-E factor                             |                                     |                                     |                                     |                                     |
| sll1041 | similar to sulfate transport ATP-binding protein CysA                        | 2.63                                | 1.63                                |                                     |
| sll1051 | phycocyanin alpha-subunit phycocyanobilin lyase                              |                                     |                                     |                                     |                                     |
| sll1051 | phycocyanin alpha-subunit phycocyanobilin lyase                              |                                     |                                     |                                     |                                     |
| sll170  | unknown protein                                                              |                                     |                                     |                                     |                                     |
| sll1223 | diaphorase subunit of the bidirectional hydrogenase                          | 1.55                                | 2.18                                |                                     |
| sll1226 | hydrogenase subunit of the bidirectional hydrogenase                         |                                     |                                     | 1.55                                | 1.89                                |
| sll1316 | cytochrome b6-f complex iron-sulfur subunit                                  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                                     |
| Gene        | Function                                        | Induction | Control |
|-------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| sll1330     | Two-component system response regulator OmpR subfamily | 2.47      | 2.33    |
| sll1370     | Manneose-1-phosphate guanylyltransferase         | 3.25      | 1.88    |
| sll1423     | Global nitrogen regulator                        | 1.57      | 1.55    |
| sll1428     | Probable sodium-dependent transporter            | 7.00      | 6.00    |
| sll1440     | Pyridoxamine 5'-phosphate oxidase                | 3.00      | 1.50    |
| sll1471     | Phycobilisome rod-core linker polypeptide        | 3.90      | 2.77    |
| sll1473     | A part of phytochrome-like sensor histidine kinase gene | 1.59      | 1.79    |
| sll1483     | Periplasmic protein                              | 2.00      | 8.40    |
| sll1545     | Glutathione S-transferase                        | 2.00      | 1.60    |
| sll1612     | Poly/polyglutamate synthase                      | 2.00      | 2.67    |
| sll1679     | Periplasmic protease HhoA                        | 3.35      | 2.42    |
| sll1682     | Alanine dehydrogenase                            | 2.91      | 2.27    |
| sll1723     | Probable glycosyltransferase                     | 1.53      | 4.80    |
| sll1724     | Probable glycosyltransferase                     | 2.11      | 4.89    |
| sll1994     | Porphobilinogen synthase (5-aminolevulinate dehydratase) | 1.97      | 1.83    |
| sll1998     | Putative transposase [ISY100d: 1623697–1624643]  | 1.67      | 4.00    |
| slr0018     | Fumarase                                         | 2.27      | 1.67    |
| slr0051     | Periplasmic beta-type carbonic anhydrase         | 1.55      | 1.60    |
| slr0070     | Methionyl-tRNA formyltransferase                 | 1.62      | 1.69    |
| slr0086     | Similar to DnaK protein                          | 1.50      | 2.50    |
| slr0089     | Gamma-tocopherol methyltransferase              | 4.60      | 3.80    |
| slr0091     | Aldehyde dehydrogenase                          | 7.00      | 11.00   |
| slr0242     | Bacterioferritin comigratory protein homolog     | 1.58      | 1.65    |
| slr0328     | Low molecular weight phosphotyrosine protein phoshatase | 2.75      | 2.00    |
| slr0381     | Lactoylglutathione lyase                         | 5.14      | 5.00    |
| slr0502     | Cobalamin synthesis protein cobW homolog        | 1.75      | 1.88    |
| slr0574     | Cytochrome P450                                  | 1.83      | 1.67    |
| slr0585     | Argininosuccinate synthetase                     | 2.34      | 2.41    |
| slr0618     | Cobyrinic acid synthase                          | 2.32      | 2.42    |
| slr0678     | Biopolymer transport ExbD like protein           | 1.69      | 1.56    |
| slr0721     | Malic enzyme                                     | 2.19      | 2.26    |
| slr0724     | HtaR suppressor protein homolog                  | 1.67      | 2.29    |
| slr0741     | Transcriptional regulator                        | 2.00      | 1.50    |
| slr0758     | Circadian clock protein KaiC homolog             | 2.13      | 2.63    |
| slr0819     | Apolipoprotein N-acyltransferase                 | 2.17      | 1.67    |
| slr0898     | Ferredoxin–nitrite reductase                     | 1.65      | 1.53    |
| slr0903     | Molybdopterin (MPT) converting factor, subunit 2 | 3.00      | 2.50    |
| slr0940     | Zeta-carotene desaturase                         | 1.87      | 2.00    |
| slr0942     | Alcohol dehydrogenase [NADP+]                    | 1.76      | 1.58    |
| Gene    | Description                                                                 | Fold Change 1 | Fold Change 2 |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| slr0946 | arsenate reductase                                                          | 4.50         | 3.50         |
| slr0947 | response regulator for energy transfer from phycobilisomes to photosystems  | 2.56         | 1.74         |
| slr0949 | Integral membrane protein of the ABC-type Nat permease NatD                 | 14.00        | 7.00         |
| slr1093 | 2-amino-4-hydroxy-6-hydroxymethylhydropteridine pyrophosphokinase           | 1.73         | 1.94         |
| slr1109 | similar to ankyrin                                                           | 2.09         | 1.53         |
| slr1120 | type 4 prepilin-like proteins leader peptide processing enzyme              | 8.00         | 3.00         |
| slr1185 | cytochrome b6-f complex alternative iron-sulfur subunit                     | 8.00         | 5.00         |
| slr1197 | SMF protein                                                                  | 1.73         | 1.53         |
| slr1204 | protease                                                                     | 9.52         | 1.96         |
| slr1205 | similar to chlorobenzene dioxygenase, ferredoxin component                  | 4.00         | 4.00         |
| slr1225 | serine/threonine kinase                                                      | 2.70         | 2.50         |
| slr1291 | NADH dehydrogenase subunit 4                                                | 2.44         | 2.44         |
| slr1300 | similar to 2-octaprenyl-6-methoxyphenol hydroxylase                          | 1.59         | 1.59         |
| slr1350 | acyl-lipid desaturase                                                        | 1.72         | 1.57         |
| slr1379 | quinol oxidase subunit 1                                                     | 1.56         | 1.70         |
| slr1418 | dihydroorotate dehydrogenase                                                 | 2.50         | 2.63         |
| slr1596 | a protein in the cytoplasmic membrane                                        | 2.31         | 2.08         |
| slr1626 | dihydronecopterin aldolase                                                   | 1.80         | 3.30         |
| slr1626 | two-component sensor histidine kinase                                        | 1.60         | 1.98         |
| slr1828 | ferredoxin, petf-like protein                                                | 2.00         | 2.33         |
| slr1848 | histidinol dehydrogenase                                                    | 2.15         | 2.06         |
| slr1854 | carboxymuconolactone decarboxylase                                          | 2.71         | 1.59         |
| slr1854 | unknown protein                                                              | 2.10         | 1.84         |
| slr1874 | D-alanine–D-alanine ligase                                                   | 1.84         | 1.88         |
| slr1877 | 2-hydroxyhepta-2,4-diene-1,7-dioate isomerase                               | 2.00         | 1.92         |
| slr1884 | tryptophanyl-tRNA synthetase                                                 | 2.09         | 1.72         |
| slr1910 | probable N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase                                  | 1.71         | 2.00         |
| slr1938 | dTDP-4-dehydrohamnosone 3,5-epimerase                                       | 3.50         | 5.50         |
| slr1962 | putative translation initiation factor EIF-2b subunit 1                      | 2.45         | 2.00         |
| slr1993 | probable extracellular solute-binding protein                                | 1.79         | 1.50         |
| slr1993 | PHA-specific beta-ketothiolase                                               | 1.93         | 2.00         |
| slr1994 | PHA-specific acetoacetyl-CoA reductase                                       | 6.00         | 9.00         |
| slr1994 | membrane-associated rubredoxin                                              | 5.00         | 2.00         |
| slr2033 | phosposamine synthetase                                                      | 1.85         | 3.38         |
| slr2114 | membrane-associated rubredoxin                                              | 7.00         | 6.00         |
encoding hypothetical proteins were provided in Additional file 3: Table S3.

Cross-membrane transporters for small molecules have been suggested as one important mechanism against ethanol toxicity in the early studies with yeast [24,25]. In cyanobacteria, transporters were also involved in tolerance to many different types of stresses, such as arsenate, Cu2+, salinity and heavy metals [26-30]. Our quantitative proteomic analysis also identified 5 putative transporters with different substrate specificity induced by ethanol exposure [9]. RNA-Seq based transcriptomics found 12 transporters were induced by ethanol at varying growth phases. Similarly, these transport proteins were also with a wide range of putative functions and substrate specificity: slr2131 encoding an ABC transporter ATP-binding protein, slr0949 encoding an integral membrane protein of the ABC-type Nat permease, ssl0540 encoding a phosphate-binding protein PstS homolog, ssl0671 encoding a probable cation transporter, ssl0536 encoding a probable potassium channel protein, ssl1428 encoding a probable sodium-dependent transporter, slr2131 encoding a RND multidrug efflux transporter, ssl0384 encoding a cation and iron carrying protein, ssl1041 encoding a sulfate transport system substrate-binding protein. Interestingly, they represented a totally different set of ethanol-induced transporters when compared with transporters revealed by proteomics analysis [9], although they shared some similarity in terms of substrate specificity as two of previously identified transporters, Sll0689 as a sodium-dependent transporter and Slr1295 as an iron transporter.

Early studies have found that many microbes can modify their cell membrane and envelope to increase tolerance to ethanol [24,31]. One well described change is the shift from cis to trans unsaturated fatty acids to decrease membrane fluidity, resulting in a corresponding increase in solvent tolerance [8]. RNA-seq transcriptomics analysis showed that slr1350 encoding acyl-lipid desaturase was up-regulated at 72 h. In a previous study, the acyl-lipid desaturase (desA) gene from Synechocystis sp. PCC6803 was expressed in prokaryotic (E. coli) and

| Gene  | Description                                      | Ratio 24 h | Ratio 48 h | Ratio 72 h |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| slr2131 | RND multidrug efflux transporter            | 3.45       | 3.44       |            |
| slr2143 | L-cysteine/cystine lyase                        | 1.67       | 2.56       |            |
| smr0003 | cytochrome b-6-f complex subunit PetM            | 1.56       | 1.78       |            |
| smr0009 | photosystem II PsbN protein                    |            |            |            |
| ssl0563 | photosystem I subunit VII                       | 4.50       | 2.50       |            |
| ssl0707 | nitrogen regulatory protein P-II                | 2.00       | 1.64       |            |
| ssl2153 | probable ribose phosphate isomerase B           | 1.75       | 1.86       | 1.86       |
| ssl2296 | pterin-4a-carbinolamine dehydratase             | 0.60       | 0.50       | 0.50       |
| ssl2542 | high light-inducible polypeptide HliA, CAB/ELIP/HLIP superfamily | 3.15       | 2.05       |            |
| ssl3580 | putative hydrogenase expression/formation protein HypC | 1.50       | 1.83       |            |
| ssr1176 | putative transposase                            | 2.42       | 1.92       |            |
| ssr1480 | putative RNA-binding protein                    |            |            |            |

* Induced genes encoding hypothetical proteins are provided in Additional file 3: Table S3.

**Figure 3** Comparison of ratios derived from RNA-seq with that from RT-PCR analysis for selective genes. A) 24 h; B) 48 h; and C) 72 h. Correlation coefficients were indicated inside the tables.
eukaryotic (Solanum tuberosum) cells, which led to an enhanced cold tolerance due to increased unsaturated fatty acid concentration in their lipids [32]. Several genes encoding cell envelope proteins were found induced by ethanol exposure (Table 3). The slr0819 gene encoding apolipoprotein N-acyltransferase was induced 2.17 and 1.67 fold in both biological replicates at 72 h. Apolipoprotein N-acyltransferase is able to transfer an acyl group from sn-1-glycerolphospholipid to the free alpha-amino group of the N-terminal cysteine of apolipoproteins, resulting in mature triacylated lipoprotein which plays important role in bacterial survival in mice for Staphylococcus aureus [33,34]. The sll1370 gene encoding a mannose-1-phosphate guanylyltransferase was induced 3.25 and 1.88 fold in both biological replicates at 72 h. Mannose-1-phosphate guanylyltransferase is involved in lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis which has been found necessary for adaptation to high external NaCl stress in Rhizobium tropici [35]. The slr1910 gene encoding a probable N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase was induced 1.71 and 2.00 fold in both biological replicates at 72 h. N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase has been suggested involved in degradation and reconstruction of the cell peptidoglycan layer in Anabaena sp. strain PCC 7120 [36]. Up-regulation of these cell envelope proteins by ethanol exposure could contribute to strengthening cell wall and extracellular matrix for stress resistance, although the mechanism still needs more investigation.

Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs) are highly reduced bacterial storage compounds that are accumulated in most bacteria during unbalanced growth conditions [37]. Accumulation and degradation of PHAs endow bacteria with enhanced survival, competition abilities, and stress tolerance, increasing fitness in changing environments [37,38]. RNA-seq analysis identified two genes involved in PHA biosynthesis, slr1994 encoding a PHA-specific acetocacetyl-CoA reductase and slr1993 encoding a PHA-specific beta-ketothiolase were up-regulated. Genetic analysis suggested that these two genes were probably located in the same operon [39]. Among them, slr1994 encoding PHA-specific acetocacetyl-CoA reductase was up-regulated significantly at all three time points (i.e. 24, 48 and 72 h) with 6.0 and 9.0 fold increase in both biological replicates at 24 h (Table 3). Although PHA accumulation has been reported for many natural stress conditions [38], it is the first time to report that this pathway is also responsive to organic solvents and biofuels.

One factor that may affect the long-term survival of bacterial cells in a population is the level of damage incurred by macromolecules via the nonenzymatic process of glycation, which is responsible for the formation of several compounds identified as advanced glycation end products (AGEs) [40]. Many biochemical pathways produce reactive dicarbonyl intermediates, such as glyoxal and methylglyoxal (MG), which can further react with DNA, proteins, or other biomolecules to form AGEs [40]. In E. coli, it has been found that the predominant MG detoxification system consisted of glyoxalase enzyme I which coverts MG to S-lactoyl glutathione [41]. In plant, the level of MG is enhanced upon exposure to different abiotic stresses and overexpression of glyoxalase pathway genes can support survival and growth of transgenic plants under various abiotic stresses [42]. RNA-Seq analysis of the ethanol-treated cells showed that lactoylglutathione lyase (also called as glyoxalase enzyme I) was up-regulated significantly by 5.14 and 5.0 fold in both biological replicates at 72 h, suggesting that glyoxalase pathway may play important roles in resistance to ethanol stress in Synechocystis.

In the previous proteomics analysis, we unexpectedly discovered that many proteins involved in multiple aspects of photosynthesis activity (i.e. photosystem I and II, cytochrome, ferredoxin) were up-regulated even when the cell growth was slow down. We further confirmed the results by comparatively measuring chlorophyll a concentration in cells [9]. Based on our results we proposed that ethanol treatment might enhance photosynthesis in Synechocystis to generate more ROS which will trigger oxidative stress response [9]. RNA-seq transcriptomics analysis showed very similar results, although cell growth was slow, and genes involved in energy metabolism and protein synthesis were mostly down-regulated (Figure 2 and Additional file 2: Table S2), the genes involved in photosystem I and II, light collection and electron transfer, such as sll0563 encoding photosystem I subunit VII, smr0009 encoding photosystem II PsbN protein, sll1051 encoding phycocyanin alpha-subunit phycocyanobilin lyase, and sll1471 encoding a phycobilisome rod-core linker polypeptide, and slr1828 encoding a ferredoxin were up-regulated. Among them, sll1051 encoding phycocyanin alpha-subunit phycocyanobilin lyase was increased significantly by 8.0 and 13.0 folds in both biological replicates at 72 h (Table 3). In addition, up-regulation of multiple cytochromes, such as slr1185 encoding cytochrome b6-f complex alternative iron-sulfur subunit, sll1316 encoding cytochrome b6-f complex iron-sulfur subunit, sll0450 encoding cytochrome b subunit of nitric oxide reductase, smr0003 encoding cytochrome b6-f complex subunit PetM were also up-regulated. The results further confirmed this unique phenomenon of cyanobacteria under stress of biofuels.

RNA-seq transcriptomics analysis identified ten signal transduction proteins induced upon ethanol exposure, including two histidine kinases (sll1473, slr1805), two response regulators (slr0947, sll1330) of bacterial two-component system (TCS), one serine/threonine kinase
(slr1225) and three transcriptional regulators (slr0741, sll0792, slr1423, ssl0707) (Table 3). slr1473 encoding a phytochrome-like sensor histidine kinase, was up-regulated at 48 h. Phytochromes are red/far-red photoreceptors that bear linear tetrapyrrole (bilin) chromophores attached to an N-terminal sensory module, and have been identified in many prokaryotes, including cyanobacteria [43,44]. In a study, the cikA gene of the cyanobacterium Synechococcus elongatus PCC 7942, encoding a phytochrome-related histidine kinase, was found involved in signal perception for resetting the circadian clock in response to environmental cues [45]. Although still needs more proof, the up-regulation of slr1473 gene may be consistent with the enhanced expression of kaiC gene (slr0758) related to circadian rhythms. slr0947 encoding a response regulator for energy transfer from phycobilisomes to photosystems was up-regulated at 72 h after ethanol exposure. Early study has found that RpaB response regulator (Slr0947) can bind to the upstream region of the high light (HL)-inducible genes in Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 to cope with the potentially damaging effects of high light [46]. slr0741 encoding transcriptional regulator was up-regulated at 72 h. The gene was previously found involved in transduction of the phosphate-limitation signal in Synechocystis [47]. sll1330 encoding a two-component system response regulator OmpR subfamily was induced by ethanol at 48 h. A recent study found that expression of sll1330 can be enhanced by nitrogen depletion under the control of NtcA, which then activates transcript accumulation of sugar catabolic genes during nitrogen starvation [48]. slr1805 encoding a two-component sensor histidine kinase was up-regulated, which was previous found participating in the perception and transduction of salt-stress and hyperosmotic-stress signals [49].

Considering many signal transduction genes were involved in the ethanol induced responses, we speculated that some of the ethanol-responsive genes may be under direct control of the response regulators or transcriptional regulators. To seek evidence to this hypothesis, we performed a promoter DNA-binding motif searching using 500 bp sequences extracted from upstream region of all the up-regulated genes using the Gibbs Motif Sampler software [50,51]. This analysis showed that the top reversed motifs identified were two palindrome containing 16 and 17 total sites with the DNA sequence “AXXCTGGCCAAGGXXT” and “AAXXTTTXXAAAAXXTT”, respectively (Figure 4) [52]. Both motif models have several conserved positions with information bits greater than 0.5 and are highly likely to be significant [50]. The genes associated with the first motif included slr0086 encoding a DnaK protein and slr0942 encoding an alcohol dehydrogenase [NADP+] which have been confirmed in ethanol resistance in Clostridium [53] and sll1330 encoding a OmpR subfamily response regulator which was shown Sll1330 to control the expression of glycolytic genes in Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 [54]. The genes associated with the second motif included slr1109 encoding an ankyrin, slr1828 encoding a ferredoxin, slr1994 encoding a PHA-specific acetoacetyl-CoA reductase, slr2033 encoding a membrane-associated rubredoxin and slr0940 encoding a zeta-carotene desaturase, which were all involved in stress response in various microbes. Functions of these motifs may worth further investigation.

![Figure 4](https://www.biotechnologyforbiofuels.com/content/5/1/89)

**Figure 4** Putative regulatory module identified upstream of common-responsive genes. The motif is represented by a sequence logo generated by the WebLogos software [52].
Correlation of transcriptomic and proteomic analyses
While it is well-known that RNA expression and protein abundance are not always correlated well [55,56], we have presented evidences above that overall cellular responses identified from transcriptomics and proteomics are very similar: responses such as induction of common stress response, transporters, cell envelope proteins and photosynthesis were observed in both proteomic and transcriptomic datasets. To further compare the proteomic and transcriptomic datasets quantitatively, twenty-three common genes/proteins up-regulated in both transcriptomics and proteomics datasets were plotted together (Figure 5). The results also showed very similar trends of up-regulation, with only five genes up-regulated in transcriptomic data, but almost no change in proteomics dataset (i.e. sll1423, ssl0707, slr0947, slr2143 and sll1892). However, no gene/protein with opposite regulation direction was found. In *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, it has been proposed that there are three potential reasons for the lack of a strong correlation between transcriptomic and proteomic datasets: i) translational regulation, ii) difference in protein half-lives *in vivo* and iii) significant levels of experimental error, including differences with respect to the experimental conditions being compared [57,58]. The inconsistence between transcriptomic and proteomic datasets also highlighted that it may not be enough to analyze biological systems only at a single level.

Validation of the potential resistance targets by mutant strains
Two genes, slr0724 and sll1392 which were found induced by ethanol exposure at 72 h for 1.5-2.0 and 4.0-5.0 folds, respectively (Table 3, Additional file 3: Table S3), were selected for construction of knockout mutants and for validation of their involvement in ethanol resistance. slr0724 encodes a HtaR suppressor protein homolog (sohA, or prlF) according to CYORF Cyanobacteria Gene Annotation Database (http://cyano.genome.ad.jp/), and sll1392 encodes a regulatory gene, designated as *pfsR* (photosynthesis, Fe homeostasis and stress-response regulator) [59]. After confirmation by PCR and sequencing analysis, the mutants were grown in parallel with wild type *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 in both normal BG11 medium and the BG11 medium supplemented with 1.5% ethanol. Comparative analysis showed that although there is no visible difference in terms of growth
patterns between the wild type and the mutants in BG11 medium (Figure 6A), the slr0724 and sll1392 mutants grew slower than the wild type under 1.5% ethanol (Figure 6BC), suggesting that the mutants are more sensitive to ethanol, and the gene slr0724 and sll1392 may be involved in ethanol resistance. In addition, the results also showed that the growth difference between the wild type and the mutants became more significant at the late growth phases (i.e. 60–72 h), consistent the transcriptomic results that both genes were up-regulated only at 72 h (Table 3, Additional file 3: Table S3). According to NCBI annotation (NCBI accession ID: NP_439991.1), the slr0724 gene could be involved in protein secretion and it induces growth defect when overproduced or mutated; however, under our growth condition, no difference in terms of growth was observed between the mutant and the wild type strains (Figure 6A). In addition, the Prf mutation was found to induce the activity of the Lon protease. In prokaryotic cells the ATP-dependent proteases Lon are involved in the turnover of misfolded proteins and the degradation of regulatory proteins, and depending on the organism, these proteases contribute variably to stress tolerance [60,61]. Early studies have shown that lon mutants of Campylobacter jejuni grow poorly at high temperature [60] and Lon protease is involved in the control of the SOS response, acid tolerance and nutritional deprivation in Escherichia coli [61]. It still needs more proof whether the similar biological process was also functional in PCC6803 against ethanol. An early study has found the similar biological process was also functional in [61]. It still needs more proof whether Escherichia coli response, acid tolerance and nutritional deprivation in

**Conclusions**

To fully elucidate microbial metabolism and its responses to ethanol, it is necessary to include functional characterization and accurate quantification of all levels of gene products, mRNA, proteins and even metabolites [54]. While high-throughput ‘omics’ approaches to analyze molecules at different cellular levels are rapidly becoming available, it is also becoming clear that any single ‘omics’ approach may not be sufficient to characterize the complexity of biological systems. To provide confirmation to previous proteomic analysis and also to reveal more responses at transcriptional level, in the study, we applied a quantitative RNA-Seq based transcriptomics approach combined with quantitative reverse-transcript PCR (RT-PCR) analysis to reveal the global transcriptomic responses to ethanol in Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803. The results showed that Synechocystis probably employed multiple and synergistic resistance mechanisms in dealing with ethanol stress. In addition, we found that the overall cellular responses inferred from transcriptomic and proteomic analyses were very similar, although the responsive genes were not always the same. By constructing knockout mutants and analyzing their ethanol tolerance, we have provided preliminary validation that the targets identified by the study could be used to obtain ethanol-tolerant cyanobacterial hosts by genetic engineering in Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803. Finally, our results showed that gene knock-out of the potential targets individually caused only partial loss of the ethanol tolerance, consistent with the early conclusion that microbes tend to employ multiple resistance mechanisms in dealing with stress of single biofuel product [7,8]. With the ethanol-tolerance gene targets discovered from this study and previously
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**Figure 6** Ethanol tolerance analysis of mutant strains. A) Growth time courses of wild type, sll1392 and slr0724 mutants in BG11 medium; B) Growth time courses of wild type and sll1392 mutant in BG11 supplemented with 1.5% ethanol; C) Growth time courses of wild type and slr0724 mutant in BG11 supplemented with 1.5% ethanol.
proteomic analysis [9], it may be possible to engineer multiple gene targets from different cellular functional categories simultaneously to achieve high-tolerance hosts in the future.

**Methods**

**Bacterial growth conditions and ethanol treatment**

*Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 was grown in BG11 medium (pH 7.5) under a light intensity of approximately 50 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ in an illuminating incubator of 130 rpm at 30°C (HNY-211B Illuminating Shaker, Honour, China). Cell density was measured on a UV-1750 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan). For growth and ethanol treatment, 10 mL fresh cells at OD₇₃₀ of 0.5 collected by centrifugation and then were inoculated into 50 mL BG11 liquid medium in a 250-mL flask. Ethanol of varying concentration was added at the beginning of cultivation. 1 mL of culture samples were took and measured (OD₇₃₀) every 12 h. Morphology of *Synechocystis* sp. PCC6803 control and ethanol-treated samples was observed using a BX43 fluorescence microscope (Olympus, Japan). Cells for transcriptomics analysis were collected by centrifugation at 8,000 x g for 10 min at 4°C.

**RNA preparation and cDNA synthesis**

Approximately 10 mg of cell pellets were frozen by liquid nitrogen immediately after centrifugation and cell walls were broken with mechanical cracking at low temperature. Cell pellets were then resuspended in Trizol reagent (Ambion, Austin, TX) and mixed well by vortex. Total RNA extraction was achieved using a miRNAasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Contaminating DNA in RNA samples was removed with DNase I according to the instruction in the miRNAasy Mini Manual (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). The RNA quality and quantity were determined using Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) and subjected to cDNA synthesis. The RNA integrity number (RIN) of every RNA sample used for sequencing was more than 8.0. For each sample, 500 ng total RNA were subjected to cDNA synthesis using a NuGEN Ovation Prokaryotic RNA-Seq System according to manufacturer’s protocol (NuGEN, San Carlos, CA). The resulting double-stranded cDNA was purified using the MinElute Reaction Cleanup Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA).

**RNA-seq library preparation**

The double-stranded cDNA obtained was subjected to library preparation using the Illumina TruSeq™ DNA Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA), through a four-step protocol of end repairing, adding adenylate 3’ ends, adapter ligation, and cDNA template enrichment. Amplification program is: 98°C 30 s; 98°C 10 s, 60°C 30 s, 72°C 30 s for 15 cycles; 72°C for 5min, and then hold at 4°C. To determine the quality of the libraries, a Qubit® 2.0 Fluorometer and Qubit™ dsDNA HS (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY) were first used to determine the DNA concentration of the libraries, and then FlashGel DNA Cassette (Lonza, USA) or Agilent Technologies 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) was used to determine the product size of the libraries, with good libraries typically around 300 bp. The product was used directly for cluster generation using Illumina’s Solexa Sequencer according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Next-generation sequencing**

RNA 2x100 bp paired-end sequencing was performed using Illumina’s Solexa Genome Analyzer II using the standard protocol. The cDNA library of each sample was loaded to a single lane of an Illumina flow cell. The image deconvolution and calculation of quality value were performed using Goat module (Firecrest v.1.4.0 and Bustard v.1.4.0 programs) of Illumina pipeline v.1.4. Sequenced reads were generated by base calling using the Illumina standard pipeline.

**Transcriptomics data analysis**

Sequence reads were pre-processed using FASTX Toolkit (Version: 0.0.13) to remove low-quality bases, and reads shorter than 20 bp. The qualified sequence reads were then mapped to non-coding RNA (ncRNA) sequences using Bowtie (Version: 2.0.0) with default settings. Genome sequences (including ncRNA sequences) and annotation information of *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 were downloaded from NCBI and the Comprehensive Microbial Resource (CMR) of TIGR (http://www.tigr.org/CMR) (Downloaded on April 22, 2012) [10]. Reads that mapped to ncRNA sequences were excluded from further analysis. For paired-end Illumina reads, both pairs were removed if either pair mapped to rRNA. Remaining reads were mapped to the *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 genome using Bowtie (Version: 2.0.0) with the default parameters. For gene expression determination, we performed a standard calculation of Reads Per Kilobase of Gene Per Million Mapped Reads (RPKM) based on the following formula [13]:

\[
\text{RPKM} = \frac{\text{transcription\_reads}}{\text{transcription\_length} \times \text{total\_assembly\_reads\_in\_run}} \times 10^9
\]

in which “transcription\_reads” stands for the number of reads mapped to a given gene; transcription\_length stands for gene length; and “total\_mapped\_reads\_in\_run” stands for the total number of reads in a given measurement. For each time point, two biological replicates of
ethanol-treated samples and their control were analyzed and the corresponding gene expression ratios based on RPKM were calculated, the genes with 1.5 fold changes in both biological replicates were determined as differentially regulated genes.

Quantitative real-time RT-PCR analysis
The RNA samples were collected from cells grown under the same growth condition as described above for transcriptomic analysis. Approximately 10 mg of cell pellets were frozen by liquid nitrogen immediately after centrifugation and cell walls were broken with mechanical cracking at low temperature. Cell pellets were then resuspended in Trizol reagent (Ambion, Austin, TX) and mixed well by vortex. Total RNA extraction was achieved using a miRNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). First-strand cDNAs were synthesized using RevertAidTM Reverse Transcriptase (Fermentas, Glen Burnie, MD), cDNA was subjected to eight hundred fold dilutions, and 2 μl of each dilution was used as template for following qPCR reaction. The qPCR reaction was carried out in 20 μl reactions containing 10 μl of SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA), and 2 μl of each PCR primer at 2 mM, employing the StepOnePlus™ Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA), under the following condition: 50°C for 2 min and 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. Quantification of gene expression was determined according to standard process of RT-PCR which used serial dilutions of known concentration of chromosome DNA as template to make a standard curve. A total of 18 selected genes based on their differential expression patterns revealed by iTRAQ were selected for verification and the rnpB gene (6803s01) encoding RNase P subunit B was used as an internal control according to the previous publication [62]. Three technical replicates were performed for each gene. Data analysis was carried out using the StepOnePlus analytical software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Briefly, the amount of relative gene transcript was normalized by that of rnpB in each sample (wild type or mutant), using the following method:

\[ R_{\text{relative gene expression of gene } x} = \frac{2^{(Ct_{\text{control}} - Ct_{\text{mutant}})} \text{ of } x}{2^{(Ct_{\text{control}} - Ct_{\text{mutant}})} \text{ of } \text{rnpB}} \]

Then data was presented as ratios of the amount of normalized transcript in the treatment to that from the control. The gene ID and their related primer sequences used for real-time RT-PCR analysis were listed in Additional file 4: Table S4.

Promoter analysis and motif identification
The Gibbs Motif Sampler software from the Biometrics Laboratory of Wadsworth Center, (http://www.bayesweb.wadsworth.org/gibbs/gibbs.html), was used to identify matrix models describing DNA sequence motifs present upstream of genes responsive to ethanol treatment [50,51]. Regions representing approximately 500 base pairs of the DNA sequences upstream of the translatonal start site of genes responsive to ethanol stress were extracted from the NCBI genome database using the Regulatory Sequence Analysis Tools (RSAT) [63]. Both strands of each sequence were searched and possible motif locations were identified using the motif matrix score obtained from the Gibbs Motif Sampler software. The multilevel consensus sequence for each motif was then used to generate a sequence logo that is a graphical representation of nucleic acid multiple sequence alignment (http://www.weblogo.berkeley.edu/) [52].

Construction and analysis of gene knockout mutants
A fusion PCR based method was employed for the construction of gene knockout fragments [64]. Briefly, for the gene target selected, three sets of primers were designed to amplify a linear DNA fragment containing the chloramphenicol resistance cassette (amplified from a plasmid pACYC184) with two flanking arms of DNA upstream and downstream of the targeted gene. The linear fused PCR amplicon was used directly for transformation into Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 by natural transformation. The chloramphenicol-resistant transformants were obtained and passed several times on fresh BG11 plates supplemented with 10 μg/ml chloramphenicol to achieve complete chromosome segregation (confirmed by PCR). Two genes, slr0724 and sll1392 that have been found differentially regulated by ethanol exposure, were selected for construction of gene knockout mutants. The mutants were confirmed by PCR and sequencing analysis. PCR primers for mutant construction and validation were listed in Additional file 4: Table S4. Comparative growth analysis of the wild type 6803 and the mutants were performed in 100-mL flasks each with 10 mL BG11 medium with or without 1.5% ethanol. Cultivation conditions are the same as described above. Growth analysis was performed in triplicates.
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