A functional view of upper bounds on codes
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Functional and linear-algebraic approaches to the Delsarte problem of upper bounds on codes are discussed. We show that Christoffel-Darboux kernels and Levenshtein polynomials related to them arise as stationary points of the moment functionals of some distributions. We also show that they can be derived as eigenfunctions of the Jacobi operator.
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1. Introduction

In the problem of bounding the size of codes in compact homogeneous spaces, Delsarte’s polynomial method gives rise to the most powerful universal bounds on codes. Many overviews of the method exist in the literature; see for instance Levenshtein [1]. In this note, which extends our previous work [2] we develop a functional perspective of this method and give some examples. We also discuss another version of the functional approach, a linear algebraic method for the construction of polynomials for Delsarte’s problem. Our main results are new constructions of Levenshtein’s polynomials.

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a compact metric space with distance function $\tau$ whose isometry group $G$ acts transitively on it. The zonal polynomials associated with this action give rise to a family of orthogonal polynomials $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) = \{P_\kappa\}$ where $\kappa = 0, 1, \ldots$ is the total degree. These polynomials are univariate if $G$ acts on $\mathcal{X}$ doubly transitively (the well-known examples include the
Hamming and Johnson graphs, their $q$-analogs and other $Q$-polynomial distance-regular graphs; the sphere $S^{d-1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and are multivariate otherwise.

First consider the univariate case. Then for any given value of the degree $\kappa = i$ the family $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{x})$ contains only one polynomial of degree $i$, denoted below by $P_i$. Suppose that the distance on $\mathfrak{x}$ is measured in such a way that $\tau(x, x) = 1$ and the diameter of $\mathfrak{x}$ equals $-1$ (to accomplish this, a change of variable is made in the natural distance function on $\mathfrak{x}$). We refer to the model case of $\mathfrak{x} = S^{d-1}$ although the arguments below apply to all spaces $\mathfrak{x}$ with the above properties. Let $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 f(x) g(x) dx$ be the inner product in $L^2([-1, 1], d\mu)$ where $d\mu(x)$ is a distribution on $[-1, 1]$. Let $\mathcal{F}(\cdot) \equiv \langle \cdot, 1 \rangle$ be the moment functional with respect to $d\mu$. We assume that this distribution is normalized, i.e., that $\mathcal{F}(1) = 1$.

Let $C$ be a code, i.e., a finite collection of points in $\mathfrak{x}$. By Delsarte’s theorem, the size of the code $C$ whose distances take values in $[-1, s]$ is bounded above by

$$|C| \leq \inf_{f \in \Phi} f(1)/\hat{f}_0,$$

where

$$\Phi = \Phi(s) \triangleq \{ f : f(x) \leq 0, x \in [-1, s]; \ \hat{f}_0 > 0, \ \hat{f}_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots \}$$

is the cone of positive semidefinite functions that are nonpositive on $[-1, s]$ (here $f_i = \langle f, P_i \rangle / \langle P_i, P_i \rangle$ are the Fourier coefficients of $f$).

2. Functional approach

The choice of polynomials for problem (1)-(2) was studied extensively in the works of Levenshtein [4–6]. In this section we give a new construction of his polynomials and their simplified versions.

2.1. Notation.

Let $V$ be the space of real square-integrable functions on $[-1, 1]$ and let $V_k$ be the space of polynomials of degree $k$ or less. Let $p_i = P_i/\langle P_i, P_i \rangle, i = 0, 1, \ldots$ be the normalized polynomials. The polynomials $\{p_i\}$ satisfy a three-term recurrence of the form

$$xp_i = a_i p_{i+1} + b_i p_i + a_{i-1} p_{i-1},$$

$$i = 1, 2, \ldots; p_{-1} = 0, p_0 = 1; a_{-1} = 0.$$
In other words, the matrix of the operator \( x : V \to V \) (multiplication by the argument) in the orthonormal basis is a semi-infinite symmetric tridiagonal matrix, called the Jacobi matrix. Let \( X_k = E_k \circ x \) where \( E_k = \text{proj}_{V \to V_k} \), and let \( J_k \) be the \((k + 1) \times (k + 1)\) submatrix of \( J \).

\[
J_k = \begin{bmatrix}
 b_0 & a_0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
 a_0 & b_1 & a_1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
 0 & a_1 & b_2 & a_2 & \ldots & 0 \\
 \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
 0 & 0 & \ldots & a_k & b_k \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

**Example 2.1.** (a) For instance, let \( \mathcal{X} \) be the binary \( n \)-dimensional Hamming space. Then \( p_i(x) = \hat{k}_i(n/2(1 - x)) \), where \( \hat{k}_i(z) \) is the normalized Krawtchouk polynomial. The polynomials \( p_i(x) \) are orthogonal on the finite set of points \( \{x_j = 1 - (2j/n), j = 0, 1, \ldots, n\} \) with weight \( w(x_j) = \binom{n}{j}2^{-n} \) and have unit norm. In this case,

\[
a_i = \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{(n-i)(i+1)}, \quad b_i = 0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n. \tag{4}
\]

(b) Let \( \mathcal{X} \) be the unit sphere in \( d \) dimensions. Then \( p_i(x) \) are the normalized Gegenbauer polynomials; in this case

\[
a_i = \sqrt{\frac{(n-i+2)(i+1)}{(n+2i)(n+2i-2)}}, \quad b_i = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots
\]

It is well known [7, p.243] that for \( k \geq 1 \) the spectrum of \( X_k \) coincides with the set \( \mathcal{X}_{k+1} = \{x_{k+1,1}, \ldots, x_{k+1,k+1}\} \) of zeros of \( p_{k+1} \). Below we denote the largest of these zeros by \( x_{k+1} \). Let

\[
K_k(x, s) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} p_i(s)p_i(x) \tag{5}
\]

be the \( k \)-th reproducing kernel. By the Christoffel-Darboux formula,

\[
(x - s)K_k(x, s) = a_k(p_{k+1}(x)p_{k}(s) - p_{k+1}(s)p_{k}(x)). \tag{6}
\]

In particular, if \( s \in \mathcal{X}_{k+1} \) then \( X_kK_k(x, s) = sK_k(x, s) \). Note that \( K_k(x, y) \) acts on \( V_k \) as a delta-function at \( y \):

\[
\langle K_k(\cdot, y), f(\cdot) \rangle = f(y). \tag{7}
\]
2.2. Construction of polynomials.

Without loss of generality let us assume that \( f(1) = 1 \). Then (1) is equivalent to the problem

\[
\sup\{\mathcal{F}(f), f \in \Phi\}.
\]

Let us restrict the class of functions to \( V_n \). By the Markov-Lucacs theorem [8, Thm. 6.4], a polynomial \( f(x) \) that is nonpositive on \([-1, s]\) can be written in the form

\[
f_n(x) = (x-s)g^2 - (x+1)\phi_1^2 \quad \text{or} \quad f_n(x) = (x+1)(x-s)g^2 - \phi_2^2
\]

according as its degree \( n = 2k + 1 \) or \( 2k + 2\) is odd or even. Here \( g, \phi_1 \in V_k, \phi_2 \in V_{k+1} \) are some polynomials. Below the negative terms will be discarded. We use a generic notation \( c \) for multiplicative constants chosen to fulfill the condition \( f(1) = 1 \).

2.2.1. The MRRW polynomial.

Restricting our attention to odd degrees \( n = 2k + 1 \), let us seek \( f(x) \) in the form \( (x-s)g^2 \). Let us write the Taylor expansion of \( \mathcal{F} \) in the “neighborhood” of \( g \). Let \( h \in V_k \) be a function that satisfies \( \|h\| \leq \varepsilon \) for a small positive \( \varepsilon \) and the condition \( h(1) = 0 \). We obtain

\[
\mathcal{F}((x-s)(g+h))^2 = \mathcal{F}((x-s)g^2) + \langle (x-s)(g+h), g+h \rangle - \langle (x-s)g, g \rangle
\]

\[
= \mathcal{F}(f) + \mathcal{F}'(h) + \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathcal{F}''h, h \rangle,
\]

where \( \mathcal{F}' = 2(x-s)g, \mathcal{F}'' = 2(x-s) \) are the Fréchet derivatives of \( \mathcal{F} \). This relation shows that for \( f \) to be a stationary point of \( \mathcal{F} \), the function \( g \) should satisfy \( d\mathcal{F} = 2\langle g, (x-s)h \rangle = 0 \) for any function \( h \) with the above properties. First assume that \( s = x_{k+1} \). Then by (6), a stationary point of \( \mathcal{F} \) is given by \( g = K_k(x, s) \), and we obtain \( f \) in the form

\[
f_n(x) = c(x-s)(K_k(x,s))^2.
\]

Since \( \hat{f}_0 = 0 \), conditions (2) are not satisfied; however, it is easy to check that they are satisfied if \( x_k < s < x_{k+1} \). For all such \( s \), the polynomial \( f_n \) is a valid choice for problem (1), yielding

\[
|C| \leq -\frac{1-s}{a_kp_{k+1}(s)p_k(s)}K_k^2(1,s).
\]

(8)

The polynomial \( f_n \) was used by McEliece et al. [9] and Kabatiansky and Levenshtein [10] to derive their well known upper bounds on codes.
2.2.2. Levenshtein polynomials, \( n = 2k + 1 \).

So far in our optimization we did not use the condition \( h(1) = 0 \). To use it, let us write \( h = (1-x)h_1, h_1 \in V_{k-1} \) and repeat the above calculation. We find that stationary points of \( F \) should satisfy

\[
dF(-) = 2((x-s)g, (1-x)h_1) = 0,
\]

where \( F(-)(.) = \int (1-x)d\mu \) is the moment functional with respect to the distribution \( d\mu(x) = (1-x)d\mu(x) \). A stationary point of \( F(-) \) is given by the reproducing kernel \( K_k^-(x, s) \) with respect to this distribution:

\[
K_k^-(x, s) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} p_i^-(s)p_i^-(x),
\]

where \( \{p_i^-(x), i = 0, 1, \ldots \} \) is the corresponding orthonormal system. To find the polynomials \( p_i^-(x) \) observe that

\[
F(-)(p_i^-p_j^-) = F(p_i^-(x)p_j^-(x)(1-x)) = \delta_{i,j}
\]

is satisfied for \( p_i^-(x) = K_i(1,x)/(\alpha_i p_{i+1}(1)p_i(1))^{1/2} \). Indeed, if \( j < i \) then the function \( (1-x)K_i(1,x) \) is in the subspace spanned by \( p_{i+1}, p_i \) and thus is orthogonal to \( K_j(1,x) \). To conclude, the function sought can be taken in the form

\[
f_n^-(x) = c(x-s)(K_k^-(x, s))^2.
\]

2.2.3. Levenshtein polynomials, \( n = 2k + 2 \).

In this case we seek the polynomial in the form \( f_n = (x-s)(x+1)g^2 \). The necessary condition for the stationary point takes the form \( F(\pm((x-s)g, h) \triangleq \langle (x-s)(1-x^2)g, h \rangle = 0 \). From this, \( g = K_k^+(x, s) \) where the kernel \( K_k^+ \) is taken with respect to the distribution \( d\mu^\pm(x) = (1+x)(1-x)d\mu(x) \).

The corresponding orthogonal polynomials \( p_i^+(x) \) are also easily found: up to normalization they are equal

\[
p_i^+(x) = K_i(x, -1)p_{i+1}(1) - K_i(x, 1)p_{i+1}(1).
\]

Then

\[
f_n^+(x) = c(x-s)(x+1)(K_k^+(x, s))^2.
\]

Let \( x_k^- \) (\( x_k^+ \)) be the largest root of \( p_k^- \) (resp. of \( p_k^+ \)). Then \( f_{2k+1}^- \in \Phi \) if \( x_k^- \leq s \leq x_{k+1}^- \) and \( f_{2k+2}^+ \in \Phi \) if \( x_{k+1}^- < s < x_{k+1}^+ \).

Remarks:

1. The polynomials \( f_n^-, f_n^+ \) were constructed and applied to coding theory by Levenshtein [4–6]. Polynomials closely related to them were studied
in a more general context in the works of M. G. Krein et al.; see Krein and Nudelman [8]. The orthogonal systems \( \{p_i^-\}, \{p_i^\pm\} \) are sometimes called *adjacent polynomials* of the original system \( \{p_i\} \).

2. The stationary points found above are not true extremums because the second differential of the functionals \( \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}(-), \mathcal{F}(\pm) \) is indefinite: for instance, \( d^2\mathcal{F}(g) = 2\langle (x - s)h, h \rangle \). Nevertheless, the polynomials \( f_n^-, f_n^\pm \) have been proved [11] to be optimal in the following sense: for any \( n \geq 1 \) and all \( f \in \Phi, \deg f \leq n \)

\[
\mathcal{F}(f_n) \geq \mathcal{F}(f).
\]

3. Asymptotic bounds derived from (1) relying upon the polynomials \( f_n, f_n^-, f_n^\pm \) coincide. For the finite values of the parameters, better bounds are obtained from \( f_n^-, f_n^\pm \).

### 3. Spectral method

This section is devoted to a different way of constructing polynomials for the Delsarte problem. The ideas discussed below originate in the work of C. Bachoc [12]. They were elaborated upon in an earlier work of the authors [2].

We develop the remark made after (6), namely that for any \( i \geq 1 \), \( K_k(x, x_{k+1}) \) is an eigenfunction of the Jacobi operator \( X_k \). Since \( K_k(x, s) \) is a good choice for the polynomial in Delsarte’s problem, it is possible to construct polynomials as eigenvectors of \( X_k \) as opposed to the analytic arguments discussed above. In particular, \( K_k(x, s) \) arises as an eigenfunction of the operator \( T_k = T_k(s) \) defined by

\[
T_k : V_k \rightarrow V_k
\]

\[
\phi \mapsto X_k \phi + \rho_k \hat{\phi}_k p_k
\]

where \( \rho_k = a_k p_{k+1}(s)/p_k(s) \). Indeed, using (5) and (6) we obtain

\[
(T_k - s)K_k(x, s) = (X_k - s)K_k(x, s) + a_k p_{k+1}(s)p_k(x) = 0.
\]

On account of earlier arguments we should choose the polynomial for problem (1) in the form \( F(x) = (x - s)f^2(x) \) where \( f(x) = f(x, s) \) is an eigenfunction of \( T_k \). The positive definiteness condition of \( f \) can be proved using the Perron-Frobenius theorem; for this we must take \( f \) to be the eigenfunction that corresponds to the *largest* eigenvalue of \( T_k \). This condition defines the range of code distances \( s \) in which the method is applicable.

A variant of this calculation was performed in [2] to which we refer for details. The difference between [2] and the argument above is that there we
took \( \rho_k = a_k p_{k+1}(1)/p_k(1) \). This has the advantage of defining \( T_k \) independently of \( s \) but leads to a bound of the form

\[
|C| \leq \frac{4a_k p_{k+1}(1) p_k(1)}{1 - \lambda_k}
\]

which is generally somewhat weaker than (8). Using the function \( F \) defined above we can improve this to recover the estimate (8).

We note that this argument does not depend on the choice of the functional space; in particular, the kernels \( K_k^-, K_k^\pm \) arise if the operator \( X_k \) is written with respect to the basis of the corresponding adjacent polynomials (\( \{ p_i^- \} \) or \( \{ p_i^\pm \} \)) and their generating distribution. To conclude, Levenshtein’s polynomials and bounds on codes can be derived within the framework of the spectral method.

**Example 2.2.** Consider again Example 2.1(a). The adjacent polynomials up to a constant factor that does not depend on \( i \) are given by [5, p.81]

\[
p_i^-(x) = \tilde{k}_i^{(n-1)}(z), \quad p_i^\pm(x) = \tilde{k}_i^{(n-2)}(z) \quad \text{for} \quad z = \frac{n}{2}(1 - x) - 1,
\]

where \( \tilde{k}_i^{(n-1)}(z) \) for instance denotes the degree-\( i \) normalized Krawtchouk polynomial associated with the \((n-1)\)-dimensional Hamming space. The Jacobi matrix \( J_k \) for the basis \( p_i^- \) can be computed from (4) as follows. Since

\[
xp_i^-(x) = \left( 1 - \frac{2}{n} (z + 1) \right) \tilde{k}_i^{(n-1)}(z),
\]

we find that the coefficients of three-term recurrence for the family \( \{ p_i^- \} \) are

\[
a_i = \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{(n-k-1)(k+1)}, \quad b_i = -1/n, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots.
\]

Constructing the operator \( T_k \) as described above, we obtain \( K_k^-(x, s) \) as its eigenfunction. A similar construction can be pursued for the function \( K_k^\pm \).

The approach outlined above has two advantages. First, it enables one to obtain simple estimates of the largest eigenvalue of \( X_k \) which is important in verifying the condition \( f(x) \leq 0, x \in [-1, s] \). The second advantage is a more substantial one: this method can be extended to the case of multivariate zonal polynomials when the analytic alternative is not readily available. This case arises when the space \( X \) is homogeneous but not 2-point homogeneous. Worked examples include the real Grassmann manifold \( G_{k,n} \) ( [12]; the \( P_i \) are given by the generalized \( k \)-variate Jacobi polynomials) and
the so-called ordered Hamming space [3]. We provide a few more details on
the latter case in order to illustrate the general method.

Let \( Q \) be a finite alphabet of size \( q \). Consider the set \( Q^{r,n} \) of vectors
of dimension \( rn \) over \( Q \). A vector \( x \) will be written as a concatenation of
\( n \) blocks of length \( r \) each, \( x = \{x_{11}, \ldots, x_{1r}; \ldots; x_{nr}\} \). For a given
vector \( x \) let \( e_i, i = 1, \ldots, r \) be the number of \( r \)-blocks of \( x \) whose rightmost
nonzero entry is in the \( i \)th position counting from the beginning of the
block. The \( r \)-vector \( e = (e_1, \ldots, e_r) \) will be called the shape of \( x \). A shape
vector \( e = (e_1, \ldots, e_r) \) defines a partition of a number \( N \leq n \) into a sum
of \( r \) parts. Let \( e_0 = n - \sum e_i \). Let \( \Delta_{r,n} = \{ e \in (\mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{0\})^r : \sum e_i \leq n \} \)
be the set of all such partitions. The zonal polynomials associated to \( Q^{r,n} \)
are \( r \)-variate polynomials \( P_f(e) \), \( f, e \in \Delta_{r,n} \) of degree \( \kappa = \sum f_i \).
They are orthogonal on \( \Delta_{r,n} \) according to the following inner product
\[
\sum_{e \in \Delta_{r,n}} P_f(e)P_g(e)w(e) = 0 \quad (f \neq g).
\]
The weight in this relation is given by the multinomial probability distri-
bution
\[
w(e_1, \ldots, e_r) = n! \prod_{i=0}^{r} \frac{p_i^{e_i}}{e_i!} \quad (p_i = q^{i-1}(q-1), i = 1, \ldots, r, p_0 = q^{-r}),
\]
so the polynomials \( P_f(e) \) form a particular case of \( r \)-variate Krawtchouk
polynomials.

Let \( x \in Q^{r,n} \) be a vector of shape \( e \). Define a norm on \( Q^{r,n} \) by setting
\( w(x) = \sum ie_i \) and let \( d_r(x, y) = w(x - y) \) be the ordered Hamming metric
(known also as the Niederreiter-Rosenbloom-Tsfasman metric). We note
that in the multivariate case there is no direct link between the variables
and the metric. For instance, for the space \( Q^{r,n} \) the polynomials (as well
as relations in the corresponding association scheme) are naturally indexed
by shape vectors \( e \) while the weight is some function \( e \).

The Delsarte theorem in this case takes the following form: The size of
an \((n, M, d)\) code \( C \subset Q^{r,n} \) is bounded above by \( M \leq \inf_{f \in \Phi} f(0)/f_0 \), where
\[
\Phi = \{ f(x) = f(x_1, \ldots, x_r) = f_0 + \sum_{e \neq 0} f_eP_e(x) : f_0 > 0, f_e \geq 0 \ (e \neq 0); \ f(e) \leq 0 \ \forall e \ \text{s.t.} \ \sum_{i=1}^{r} ie_i \leq d \}
\]
The argument for the univariate case given in this section can be repeated
once we establish a three-term relation for the polynomials \( P_f(e) \). Let \( \mathbb{P}_e \) be
the column vector of the normalized polynomials $P_f$ ordered lexicographically with respect to all $f$ that satisfy $\sum_i f_i = \kappa$ and let $F(e)$ be a suitably chosen linear polynomial. Then

$$F(e)P_{\kappa}(e) = A_{\kappa}P_{\kappa+1}(e) + B_{\kappa}P_{\kappa}(e) + A_{\kappa-1}^TP_{\kappa-1}(e)$$

where $A_{\kappa}, B_{\kappa}$ are matrices of order $\left(\frac{\kappa+r-1}{r-1}\right) \times \left(\frac{\kappa+s+r-1}{r-1}\right)$ and $s = 1, 0$, respectively. The elements of these matrices can be computed explicitly from combinatorial considerations. This gives an explicit form of the operator $S_{\kappa} = E_{\kappa} \circ F(e)$ in the orthonormal basis. Relying on this, it is possible to derive a bound on codes in the NRT space of the form (10) and perform explicit calculations, both in the case of finite parameters and for asymptotics. The full details of the calculations are given in [3].
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