Differential expression profiles of long non-coding RNAs during the mouse pronuclear stage under normal gravity and simulated microgravity
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Abstract. Pronuclear migration, which is the initial stage of embryonic development and the marker of zygote formation, is a crucial process during mammalian preimplantation embryonic development. Recent studies have revealed that long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) serve an important role in early embryonic development. However, the functional regulation of lncRNAs in this process has yet to be elucidated, largely due to the difficulty of assessing gene expression alterations during the very short time in which pronuclear migration occurs. It has previously been reported that migration of the pronucleus of a zygote can be obstructed by simulated microgravity. To investigate pronuclear migration in mice, a rotary cell culture system was employed, which generates simulated microgravity, in order to interfere with murine pronuclear migration. Subsequently, lncRNA sequencing was performed to investigate the mechanism underlying this process. In the present study, a comprehensive analysis of lncRNA profile during the mouse pronuclear stage was conducted, in which 3,307 lncRNAs were identified based on single-cell RNA sequencing data. Furthermore, 52 lncRNAs were identified that were significantly differentially expressed. Subsequently, 10 lncRNAs were selected for validation by reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction, in which the same relative expression pattern was observed. The results revealed that 12 lncRNAs (lnc006745, lnc007956, lnc013100, lnc013782, lnc017097, lnc019869, lnc025838, lnc027046, lnc005454, lnc007956, lnc019410 and lnc019607), with tubulin β-4B class IVb or actinin α4 as target genes, may be associated with the expression of microtubule and microfilament proteins. Binding association was confirmed using a dual-luciferase reporter assay. Finally, Gene Ontology analysis revealed that the target genes of the differentially expressed lncRNAs participated in cellular processes associated with protein transport, binding, catalytic activity, membrane-bounded organelle, protein complex and the cortical cytoskeleton. These findings suggested that these lncRNAs may be associated with migration of the mouse pronucleus.

Introduction

In mice, the pronuclear stage is a vital period in which the activation of zygotic genes begins (1-3). During the pronuclear stage, the zygote undergoes meiosis to form the female pronucleus, and serial reactions in the sperm nucleus occur to form the male pronucleus. In a previous study, it was reported that female and male pronuclear migration in zygotes depends on microtubules and organelles (4,5), and that simulated microgravity, generated by a rotary cell culture system (RCCS), disturbs spindle organization to inhibit mouse oocyte maturation (6), as the microtubules and chromosomes cannot form a complete spindle.
Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs), which evolve rapidly and demonstrate little if any sequence conservation (7), are spliced transcripts that do not encode proteins; lncRNAs range in length from 200 to several thousand nucleotides. Previous studies have reported that there are >1,000 promoter-associated non-coding RNA/gene pairs at the time of zygotic gene activation (ZGA) (8), ~5,563 novel lncRNAs in mouse cleavage-stage embryos (9), and 2,733 novel lncRNAs in human preimplantation embryos, as determined via single-cell RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) (10). Numerous lncRNAs have been revealed to serve key roles in post-transcriptional, translational and epigenetic regulation, and in embryogenesis without having any apparent function (11-15). Some lncRNAs associate with promoters to activate partner gene expression (8). Previous investigations have mainly focused on early oocytes or later embryonic development, and the global gene expression profiles of lncRNAs have been revealed using single-cell RNA-Seq (10,16-22). However, the biological functions of lncRNAs in mouse pronuclear migration are not well understood.

Although previous studies have investigated whether the disruption of microtubules inhibits pronuclear migration in mouse zygotes, it remains unclear as to whether these microtubular abnormalities are induced by lncRNAs. Therefore, a RCCS was used to generate a model of pronuclear migration defects, and the relative lncRNA expression patterns in the mouse zygote were investigated to understand the biological roles of lncRNAs during pronuclear migration.

Materials and methods

Mouse zygote collection and culture. All animal procedures were carried out according to the guidelines developed by the China Council on Animal Care, and the protocols were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of South China Agricultural University (Guangzhou, China). Mice were maintained under the following conditions: Temperature, 18-22°C; humidity, 50-60%; 10-14 h light/dark cycle; ad libitum access to food and water. Superovulation of 20 adult female mice (C57BL/6; age, 6-12-weeks; weight, 18-25 g; Guangdong Medical Laboratory Animal Center, Foshan, China) was induced via intraperitoneal injection of 5 IU pregnant mare serum gonadotropin (Ningbo Second Hormone Factory, Ningbo, China), followed by injection of 5 IU human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG; Ningbo Second Hormone Factory) after 48 h. The mice were then placed in individual cages with an adult male mouse (C57BL/6; age, 8-24 weeks; weight, 20-50 g; Guangdong Medical Laboratory Animal Center). The female mice were screened for vaginal plugs the following morning (15 h post-hCG), and mice with vaginal plugs were subsequently dissected for collection of their zygotes. A total of 16 h following hCG administration, the zygotes were collected from the ampullae of the oviducts, and the cumulus cells were removed with 300 IU/ml hyaluronidase. The zygotes were subsequently cultured in potassium glutamate-containing mineral oil in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Unless otherwise specified, all reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany).

A total of 20 h post-hCG administration, when the pronucleus was observable, the zygotes were randomly divided into two groups (50 zygotes/group). One group was cultured at 37°C in an atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ under normal gravity for 9 h (three repetitions, groups C1, C2 and C3), after which, the zygotes (in which the pronuclei were adjacent to each other) were collected immediately. The other group was cultured at 37°C in an atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ in the RCCS (Synthecon Inc., Houston, TX, USA), under simulated microgravity for 9 h, as described previously (three repetitions, groups R1, R2 and R3) (6). The zygotes exhibiting disrupted pronuclear migration were collected immediately. Zygotes collected from the two groups were transferred to 80 µl RNA extraction buffer (Qiagen RNeasy Mini kit; Qiagen, Inc., Valencia, CA, USA) for RNA isolation and were stored at -80°C until sequencing.

Immunofluorescence and laser-scanning confocal microscopy. The immunohistochemical assay was performed as previously described (6). Zygotes were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature, blocked in 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) for 30 min at room temperature, and incubated with a mouse monoclonal anti-α-tubulin antibody (1:200; cat. no. T8328; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) at 4°C overnight. Zygotes were washed three times in washing buffer (6), including 0.02% NaN₃ (20 mg/ml), 0.01% Triton-X, 0.2% non-fat dry milk, 2% normal goat serum (cat. no. AR0009; Wuhan Boster Biological Technology, Ltd., Wuhan, China), 0.1 M glycine, 2% BSA and 95.77% PBS, prior to each step. The zygotes were sequentially incubated with an Alexa Fluor® 568-labelled goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin G secondary antibody (1:100; cat. no. A11031; Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) for 1 h at 37°C in the dark. Finally, the zygotes were washed, stained with 10 µg/ml Hoechst 33342 (Molecular Probes; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) for 10 min at 37°C in the dark to detect DNA, mounted in PBS containing 50% glycerol (anti-fading reagent) and 25 mg/ml NaN₃, and then examined with a Zeiss laser-scanning confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany).

Single-cell cDNA amplification and RNA-Seq library preparation. To prepare single-cell cDNA, all the samples were amplified using the Smart-Seq2 method (23), and a cDNA product of 1-2 kb in length was obtained. Subsequently, single-cell cDNA was purified with the Ampure XP kit (Beckman Coulter, Inc., Brea, CA, USA). The concentration and fragment distribution of the single-cell cDNA obtained from normal gravity and simulated microgravity zygote samples were subsequently assessed in a Qubit® 3.0 fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), and the High Sensitivity DNA Assay Kit in the Bioanalyzer 2100 system (Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA).
Single-cell cDNA (20 ng) was used as the initial material for library construction. Initially, a Bioruptor® Sonication system (20-60 kHz; 4°C; 30 sec; Diagenode, Inc., Denville, NJ, USA) was employed to generate small fragments ~300 bp in length. Subsequently, the library fragments were subjected to end repair via the addition of a poly(A) tail and an adapter sequence. The Beckman Ampure XP kit was used to purify fragments after each reaction. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was subsequently performed, and different index tags, which were used for distinguishing samples from each other during sequencing, were added to each sample. The PCR products were retrieved via 2% agarose gel electrophoresis in order to select for 4,000-bp DNA fragments, from which the library was constructed. The Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 system (Agilent Technologies, Inc.) was then used to assess the quality of the libraries.

Deep sequencing and expression analysis of lncRNAs. The libraries were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) using 150-bp paired-end sequencing. Sequencing reads were assessed with the FASTX tool kit (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/) and were then subjected to standard quality control criteria to remove short (<30 bp) and low-quality (quality score <20) reads. After trimming the adapter sequences, high-quality clean reads were obtained for the following analysis. Firstly, the reads were mapped to the mouse reference genome (mm9; genome.ucsc.edu/) with TopHat v1.4.0 software, assembled with Cufflinks v2.2.0 and annotated with RefSeq (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/refseq) to remove the annotated genes known to encode proteins or small RNAs (24). Genes/ transcripts with fragments per kilobase transcriptome per million reads (FPKM) >10 were retained, meaning that if there was only one exon, the length could be >2,000 bp, whereas if more than two exons were present, the length could be >200 bp. The coding potential of the transcripts was then identified by CPC 2.0 software; subsequently, transcripts with coding potential were excluded, and the final lncRNAs were obtained (25). Finally, the read counts for each novel transcript were converted into FPKM, values which were used to calculate gene expression.

Screening and cluster analysis of differentially expressed lncRNAs. Differences in the expression of the lncRNAs were calculated based on the Q-value, and genes with similar expression patterns were directly reflected in the cluster analysis conducted using the hierarchical complete linkage clustering method in R software (R version 3.4.3; www.r-project.org/). Significant differences in lncRNA expression levels were determined based on a Q-value cut-off 0.05 and a minimum fold change (FC) of 1.5 using DEseq software package version: 1.20.0 (26). The differentially expressed lncRNAs were replaced by log10 values (data values), and the Euclidean distance was calculated. The results were further analysed using R packages; specifically, the ‘heatmap 2’ function of the ‘gplots’ package, which was used to draw heat maps of the differentially expressed lncRNAs.

Reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) and statistical analysis. RT-qPCR was performed to validate the RNA-Seq results for lncRNA expression. Total RNA was extracted from 100 fertilized embryos using the Qiagen RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen, Inc.), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. RNA then underwent RT using the PrimeScript™ RT Reagent kit with gDNA Eraser (perfect real-time; Takara Bio, Inc., Otsu, Japan). The relative expression levels of the target lncRNAs were measured by RT-qPCR using the Power SYBR-Green RT-PCR kit (Toyobo Life Science, Osaka, Japan) in a Bio-Rad CFX96 PCR system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA). H2A histone family member Z was employed as a housekeeping gene, and each sample was analysed three times. The lncRNA-specific PCR conditions were as follows: Initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 10 sec, annealing at 60°C for 10 sec and extension at 72°C for 30 sec. RT-qPCR primers used in these analyses are presented in Table I.

The comparative quantification cycle (Cq) method was employed to quantify the relative expression of lncRNAs. The expression levels of the lncRNAs were analysed as FC values using the ΔCq method (27). All data were log transformed, and the results were comparable to the RNA-Seq data.

Analysis of functional enrichment and the lncRNA-gene network. BLAST v2.2.24 (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast.cgi) and RNAplex software (https://omitoools.comormaplex-tool) were used to predict and identify the target genes (mRNAs) of the lncRNAs, whereas Cytoscape V3.6.1 software was used to construct the lncRNA-gene network (28). The stage-specific lncRNAs, showing differential expression between the two groups, and their target genes were chosen to construct the network. For further analysis, tubulin β-4B class IVb (Tubb4b) and Inc00796 were chosen to validate the association between a target gene and lncRNA. However, it is difficult to induce gene transfer in early embryos. The 293FT cell line has the advantage of easier culture, higher transfer efficiency and easier experimental operation; it is usually used to verify the target association of microRNA/lncRNAs in mammalian cells (29). In the present study, 293FT cells (cat. no. R70007; Thermo Fisher Scientific), which were grown to 70-80% confluence in 96-well plates, were co-transfected with a dual-luciferase reporter (cat. no. E1330; Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA) carrying wild-type or mutant Tubb4b (sequence was mutated from 5’TGGTGCCCC TCCCTCGCCTGCATCTTCTATGC-3’ to 5’TGGTGCC ACTTCACTGCTGACGTTCGTATC-3’; 100 ng) and a plasmid carrying the lncRNA [100 ng; pcDNA3.1(−), cat. no. V795-20; Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.] at 37°C in an atmosphere containing 5% CO2 for 24 h. Luciferase activity was measured using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay system (Promega Corporation) and compared with Renilla luciferase activity, according to the manufacturer’s protocol 36 h post-transfection. This experiment was repeated more than three times and results were analysed using a Student’s t-test (Microsoft Excel 2010; Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

LncRNA functions were predicted based on the corresponding target genes through Gene Ontology (GO) analysis. GO enrichment in terms of molecular function, cellular component and biological process categories was
assessed using the MGI Goslim Database (www.informatics.jax.org/gotools/MGI.GO_Slim.html) and the R package GSEABase. The most enriched terms may reflect lncRNA functions. Hypergeometric tests with the Benjamini and Hochberg false discovery rate were performed using the default parameters in order to adjust the $Q$-value.

Results

Simulated microgravity negatively affects mouse pronuclear migration. To investigate the effects of simulated microgravity on mouse pronuclear migration, zygotes in which the male and female pronuclei were forming were cultured for spontaneous maturation under RCCS (Fig. 1A) or normal gravity (Fig. 1B) conditions for 9 h. Subsequently, the zygotes cultured under simulated microgravity were cultured at 37˚C in an atmosphere containing 5% CO$_2$ under normal gravity for 10 h (Fig. 1C) and 40 h, whereas the culture conditions for the control group were unchanged. Subsequently, for each group, the proportions of complete pronuclear migration, and of embryos at the 2-cell and 4-cell stages, were recorded following the onset of embryonic development (Table II). During further culture, the control zygotes exhibited orderly embryonic development (Fig. 1D), whereas those in the simulated microgravity group were stalled at the pronuclear merge stage (Fig. 1C). Pronuclear migration was markedly compromised when zygotes were cultured under simulated microgravity conditions, and alterations in the configuration of microtubules were observed after staining for tubulin protein (Fig. 1E-J).

RNA-Seq and lncRNA data for mouse zygotes during the pronuclear stage. The Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform was used to perform RNA-Seq on the six cDNA libraries (groups C1, C2, C3, R1, R2 and R3), and 125-bp paired-end reads were generated. The number of raw reads was >40 million (Table III). Low-quality reads were filtered, and the clean reads still included >81.58% of the raw data. Among the clean reads, 92.29% exhibited perfect BLAST hits against the mouse reference genome (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi), and >85.19% of the total mapped reads were uniquely mapped (Table III), indicating that the data were credible and could be used for further analyses.

After the removal of protein-coding genes and transcripts that were <200 bp, a total of 6,254 novel lncRNA transcripts were obtained from the 3,307 expressed loci in the RNA-Seq analysis. The lncRNAs varied between 200 and 7,804 bp.
Differential expression analysis of lncRNAs. To analyse the differential expression of lncRNAs in mouse zygotes between the normal gravity and simulated microgravity culture conditions, lincRNA sequencing was performed to explore the key lncRNAs during mouse pronuclear migration. A total of 52 lncRNAs were significantly differentially expressed between the control and RCCS groups in the mouse zygotes, and unsupervised hierarchical clustering and scatterplot analysis (FC>1.5 and P<0.05) based on the FPKM values (log2 transformed) were conducted to select the key lncRNAs that affect mouse pronuclear migration (Fig. 3A and B). Compared with in the control group, the RCCS group included 19 lncRNAs that were upregulated and 33 lncRNAs that were downregulated (data not shown).

Verification through RT-qPCR. To validate the RNA-Seq results for lncRNA expression levels, 10 differentially expressed lncRNAs (lnc013878, lnc019773, lnc025630, lnc023277, lnc013100, lnc019410, lnc032797, lnc006988, lnc001078 and lnc007956) were selected for testing in mouse zygotes using RT-qPCR. The RT-qPCR results confirmed that the expression patterns were similar to those obtained via RNA-Seq (Fig. 3C). These results indicated that the RNA-Seq data were credible and could be used to study pronuclear migration in the mouse zygote.

lncRNA-gene interaction network analysis. In the present study, the target genes (mRNAs) of the differentially expressed lncRNAs were predicted and identified using BLAST and RNAplex software, in order to investigate the function of the lncRNAs regulating mouse pronuclear migration (30). Subsequently, a lncRNA-gene interaction network between differentially expressed lncRNAs and their target genes was constructed with Cytoscape software. A total of 668 network nodes (40 lncRNAs and 628 protein-coding genes) and 2,289 lncRNA-gene connections were identified in the network (data not shown). Furthermore, 12 lncRNA-gene pairs [lnc006745-actinin-α4 (Actn4), lnc007956-Actn4, lnc013100-Actn4, lnc013782-Actn4, lnc017097-Actn4, lnc019869-Actn4, lnc025838-Actn4, lnc027046-Actn4, lnc005454-Tubb4b, lnc007956-Tubb4b, lnc019410-Tubb4b and lnc019607-Tubb4b] that may be associated with pronuclear migration were identified (Fig. 4A).

To further determine whether lnc007956 binds directly to Tubb4b, two dual-luciferase reporter vectors were constructed, with the wild-type or mutant target sequence of Tubb4, inserted at the 3‘ end of the firefly luciferase gene. Subsequently, the effects of lnc007956 on these reporters in 293FT cells were tested. The results demonstrated that lnc007956 transfection significantly inhibited reporter activity (P<0.01), whereas there was no effect on mutant reporter activity (Fig. 4B), suggesting that the predicted binding site in Tubb4b is a bona fide target of lnc007956.

GO analysis. To investigate the functions of the lncRNAs in mouse early embryonic development, the target genes of the differentially expressed lncRNAs were enriched through GO analysis. Based on this analysis, 39 enriched GO terms potentially associated with biological processes, 18 enriched GO terms potentially associated with molecular functions and 67 enriched GO terms potentially associated with cellular components were identified. Additionally, 15 GO terms, including cellular process, protein transport, binding, catalytic activity, membrane-bounded organelle, protein complex and cortical cytoskeleton, were significantly associated with mouse pronuclear migration (Fig. 4C).
Discussion

In mice, the completion of pronuclear migration is a crucial step in ZGA and serves an important role in development of the early embryo. To generate a model of pronuclear migration defects, in order to evaluate the role of pronuclear progression during early embryonic development, an RCCS was used to simulate microgravity conditions in a previous study and was revealed to inhibit polar body extrusion by disrupting microtubule organization during mouse oocyte maturation (6). To investigate the progress of pronuclear migration, simulated microgravity was used to alter the organization of the microtubules in mouse zygotes and it was demonstrated that zygotes cultured under simulated microgravity exhibit a delay in the assembly of male and female pronuclei, and that progression to the 2-cell stage is also affected. As ZGA begins approximately at the pronuclear stage and transcription of a wide variety of transcripts occurs at the 2-cell stage in mice (1,2), zygotes with defects in pronuclear migration may possess altered activation of specific genes at the pronuclear stage, which are required for progression to the 2-cell stage. The present results suggested that simulated microgravity altered gene expression in mouse zygotes to prevent progression to the 2-cell stage; therefore, zygotes cultured in the RCCS represent a good model for studying the impact of pronuclear migration in mice.

Increasing number of mRNA and lncRNA expression profiles during early embryonic development have been obtained via single-cell RNA-Seq (10), and the molecular
mechanisms by which many of these RNAs modulate early embryonic development have been elucidated (20,31,32). However, whether alterations in genes associated with pronuclear migration are regulated by mRNA/lncRNAs remains unknown. Based on the high resolution of RNA-Seq, single-cell RNA-Seq can be used to examine rare cell types, identify molecules of low abundance, capture brief events and detect weak associations masked in bulk experiments (33,34). Therefore, single-cell RNA-Seq represents a promising tool for exploring the expression levels of lncRNAs during mouse pronuclear migration; this approach was used in the present study to elucidate the lncRNA profile during mouse pronuclear migration and identified 6,254 novel lncRNA transcripts from the 3,307 expressed loci. In addition, previous studies identified 5,563 novel lncRNAs in mouse cleavage-stage embryos (9), and 2,733 novel lncRNAs in human preimplantation embryos (10), thus confirming that there are numerous lncRNAs present during early mammalian embryonic development and that lncRNAs may serve a vital role in the pronuclear stage. Through further investigation, 52 differentially expressed lncRNAs were identified and 10 of these lncRNAs were selected to validate the accuracy of the RNA-Seq results via RT-qPCR. The RT-qPCR results were concordant with the RNA-Seq results, demonstrating that the results of single-cell RNA-Seq were reliable.

It remains unclear as to how many lncRNAs are involved in mouse pronuclear migration. Therefore, the functions of lncRNAs were predicted based on their association with known protein-coding genes and a lncRNA-target gene co-expression network was constructed. Subsequently, based on the identification of Tubb4b and Actn4 as target genes, 12 lncRNAs linked to microfilaments and microtubules were identified, which may affect mouse pronuclear migration. It has been reported that microfilaments and microtubules are essential proteins for male and female pronuclei (3,35-37). During mouse pronuclear migration, specific tools and associated proteins (such as microfilaments and microtubules) become activated, and the sperm centrosome forms a sperm aster, to bring the male and female pronuclei together to complete migration (3,37).

Tubulins, which include eight α and nine β isotypes, are the proteins that form microtubules, which are cytoskeletal elements of all eukaryotic cells that participate in various essential cellular functions (38). Tubb4b, also referred to as the tubulin β-2C chain, is tightly associated...
with active spermatogenesis in mice (39). Actn4 belongs to the α-actinin family of cytoskeletal proteins that display unique characteristics associated with cytoskeletal organization, signal transduction, regulation of gene expression, protecting cells from mechanical stress and controlling cell movement (40-42). Knockdown of Actn4 expression in keratinocytes and murine lung fibroblasts not only impairs the directionality of cell migration but also reduces cell proliferation (43,44). In the dual-luciferase reporter assay, lnc007956 was revealed to bind to the predicted binding site in Tubb4b mRNA, thus indicating that lnc007956 may regulate mouse pronuclear migration by binding to Tubb4b. Therefore, IncRNAs may be considered to regulate mouse pronuclear migration, and IncRNA defects could result in abnormalities of microtubules and microfilaments.

According to GO analysis, IncRNA target genes were independently enriched in cellular process-associated terms, including protein transport, binding, catalytic activity, membrane-bounded organelle, protein complex and cortical cytoskeleton. In Caenorhabditis elegans embryos, migration of the female pronucleus is associated with organelles, which promote the movement of the female pronucleus along the microtubules to the sperm centrosome (35,36). LncRNAs may control the molecules involved in this process in mice, thereby affecting mouse pronuclear migration. Notably, these data greatly improve the understanding of early embryonic development and may lead to the development of highly efficient markers for analysing the molecular mechanisms of zygote pronuclear migration. The present study provided basic data, which may improve the treatment of physiological reproductive disorders.
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