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Abstract

Background: Speckle-tracking echocardiography (STE) is a noninvasive imaging technique that analyzes global and regional myocardial function. Aims: This study aimed to compare the assessment of the left ventricular (LV) function after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) and coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) to left anterior descending artery (LAD) by STE. Settings and Design: One hundred patients presented to the catheterization laboratory and cardiothoracic operating room in Benha University Hospital and Kasr Al–Ainy University Hospital for PCI and CABG to LAD. Patients and Methods: This study enrolled 100 patients. They were divided into two groups: Group I: 50 patients with PCI to LAD and Group II: 50 patients with CABG to LAD. Echocardiography (conventional echocardiography and two-dimensional STE) was performed for all patients 24 h before and 1 month after the procedures. Statistical Analysis Used: Data management and statistical analysis were done using SPSS version 25. Results: There were significant increases in global circumferential strain (GCS) after CABG surgery with mean value of −17.8 before the procedure and −23.8 after it while there were no significant increases in global longitudinal strain (GLS) after the same procedure with mean value of −17.5 before the procedure and −18.1 after it. There were significant increases in GLS with mean value of −17.0 before PCI and −21.2 after it, and there were significant increases in GCS with mean value of −17.1 before PCI and −25.4 after it with improvement in myocardial function after this procedure. GLS and GCS were significantly higher in patients with PCI than patients with CABG with P < 0.001. Conclusions: GLS and GCS are more effective than the parameters of standard echocardiography such as the LV end-diastolic volume, LV end-systolic volume, wall motion score index, and ejection fraction for evaluating LV function after PCI and CABG surgery. GLS and GCS are significantly higher in patients with PCI than patients with CABG surgery to LAD.
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Introduction

Coronary artery disease (CAD) includes a wide spectrum of heart diseases which have the highest mortality in the world.[1] Acute myocardial infarction (MI) is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide. It occurs when irreversible myocardial cell damage or death occurs.[2]

The management of patients with CADs consists of several approaches, including medical management, percutaneous...
coronary intervention (PCI), and coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG).[1] The use of PCI to manage CAD has expanded significantly during the past three decades.[4]

This has resulted in a reduction in mortality rate caused by early and late complications of CAD; however, even successful percutaneous intervention with complete reperfusion to the left anterior descending artery (LAD) does not exclude the risk of left ventricular (LV) remodeling. CABG surgery has been accepted as an effective therapeutic strategy for CAD.[5]

CABG does not only improve clinical symptoms but also reduces the risk of sudden cardiac death. It can also increase myocardial function and enhance exercise tolerance.[6] Elderly patients frequently receive CABG; however, they are at high risk because of age-related impairment of organ function and poor surgical tolerance; thus, it is important to accurately evaluate postoperative changes in myocardial function with various parameters.[7]

The assessment of the potential benefits of intervention for managing ischemic CAD must include the baseline LV function.[8]

The assessment of cardiac function by measuring LV ejection fraction (EF) using echocardiography is the most common method in the daily clinical practice. However, this technique has limitations related with its intra- and inter-observer variability, affected by preload conditions, does not correlate with symptoms and its detection of subtle changes in myocardial function is low.[9]

Despite studies evaluating various clinical factors and routine echocardiographic parameters predisposing to LV failure as a complication to CAD, there are still some gaps, and some of the diagnostic parameters failed to identify patients with LV failure after reperfusion.[10]

Speckle-tracking echocardiography (STE) is a noninvasive imaging technique that analyzes global and regional myocardial function; STE can overcome some of these limitations. LV global circumferential strain (GCS) (LVGCS) seems to be the most sensitive method to detect myocardial dysfunction and has promise for future applications,[11] LV global longitudinal strain (GLS) (LVGLS) has been found to be a better parameter to assess LV function than EF.[12]

**Aim of the work**
The aim of this study was to compare the assessment of LV function after PCI and CABG to LAD by STE.

**Patients and Methods**
The study was conducted on 100 patients who presented to catheterization laboratory and operating room in Benha University Hospital for PCI and CABG to LAD from July 1, 2018, to April 30, 2019 with STE done 24 h before and 1 month after the procedures.

This study enrolled 100 patients. They were divided into two groups, Group I: 50 patients who had PCI to LAD and Group II: 50 patients who had CABG to LAD.

The patients in this study were divided into 49 patients with ST-elevation MI (STEMI), 36 patients with non-STEMI, and 15 patients with unstable angina without significant difference between the two groups [Table 1].

The patients were at low risk according to The Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events score.

The patients referred to the hospital 48 h before elective PCI and CABG surgery, the study was done 24 h before the interventions.

The patients had a history of chest pain before the hospital admission with the following angiographic findings of other epicardial vessels without significant difference between the two groups [Table 2].

Inclusion criteria included patients with significant LAD lesion proven by previous diagnostic coronary angiography with 50 patients who had PCI to LAD and 50 patients who had CABG to LAD.

Exclusion criteria included patient’s refusal, heart muscle disease (severe heart failure and cardiomyopathy). Severe valvular disease, severe myocarditis, significant arrhythmia, previous pacemaker implantation, very poor image quality and uncompleted reperfusion therapy, and patient critical circumflex stenosis.

All patients included in the study were subjected to:
- Informed consent after approval of ethics committee
- Full history taking
- General and local examinations of the heart
- 12 leads electrocardiography (ECG)
- Routine laboratory tests including complete blood count, liver functions, renal functions, and virology
- Echocardiography (conventional echocardiography and STE) was performed 24 h before and 1 month after the procedures.

**Conventional echocardiography**
Standard views were used to get images for the LAD territory including:
- Parasternal long- and short-axis views at the level of papillary muscle and apical four- and two-chamber views

| Table 1: Patient population | Group I | Group II |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Patients with STEMI         | 20      | 29      |
| Patients with NSTEMI        | 22      | 14      |
| Patients with unstable angina | 8  | 7       |

| Table 2: Population according to coronary angiography | Group I | Group II |
|------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Coronary angiography                                  |         |         |
| LCX lesion                                            | 25      | 21      |
| RCA lesion                                            | 12      | 15      |
| LCX and RCA                                           | 13      | 14      |
| LCX: Left circumflex artery, RCA: Right coronary artery | | |
to study the different echocardiographic parameters such as LV end-diastolic volume (LVEDV), LV end-systolic volume (LVESV), wall motion score index (WMSI), and EF (EF = (SV/EDV) *100) to compare between the two groups.

**Two-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography**

It is a new technique used for qualification of LV deformation such as GLS and GCS.

GLS is a useful parameter that expresses longitudinal contraction of the myocardium, in adults, GLS <−16% is considered abnormal, GLS >−18% is considered normal, and GLS −16%−−18% is considered borderline.[13]

GCS is used to describe the reduction in the circumference of the LV cavity. Its normal value in adults is ranging from −20% to −27%.

**Coronary angiography: Was done to all patients?**

All the echocardiographic studies including offline analysis were done by one competent consultant, and the type of the device used was Vivid 7.

In short-axis views of STE, the circumferential deformation was measured, while the apical views were used to assess longitudinal function. There were no major adverse cardiovascular event during this study.

**Statistical analysis**

Data collected throughout history, basic clinical examination, laboratory investigations, and outcome measures were coded; entered; and analyzed using Microsoft Excel software. Data were then imported into the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS version 20.0, IBM Company, Armonk, New York, USA) software for analysis. According to the type of data qualitative represent as number and percentage, quantitative continues group represented by mean ± standard deviation, the following tests were used to test differences for significance. Differences of paired variable were calculated by Mac Namer test, while differences between two groups were calculated by paired t-test. P < 0.05 were considered significant.

**Results**

**Study population**

All 100 patients in the two groups consisted of 50 males and 50 females with the mean age 55 ± 4 in Group I and 57 ± 5 in Group II with 43 patients having diabetes, 63 having hypertension, 57 smokers, 40 having a positive family history, and 41 having dyslipidemia [Table 3 and Figure 1].

**Vital signs**

There were no significant differences in pre- and post-procedural systolic blood pressure (SBP) in both groups. P values were 0.491 and 0.828, respectively.

SBP % change showed no significant difference between both groups (P = 0.787).

### Table 3: General characteristics in both groups

|                      | Group I (n=50) | Group II (n=50) | P     |
|----------------------|---------------|----------------|-------|
| Age (years), mean±SD | 55±4          | 57±5           | 0.141 |
| Gender               |               |                |       |
| Males                | 32 (64.0)     | 28 (56.0)      | 0.414 |
| Females              | 18 (36.0)     | 22 (44.0)      |       |
| Diabetes             | 20 (40.0)     | 23 (46.0)      | 0.545 |
| Hypertension         | 31 (62.0)     | 32 (64.0)      | 0.836 |
| Smoking              | 33 (66.0)     | 24 (48.0)      | 0.069 |
| Family history       | 23 (46.0)     | 17 (34.0)      | 0.221 |
| Dyslipidemia         | 17 (34.0)     | 24 (48.0)      | 0.155 |

Independent t-test was used for age. Chi-square test was used for categorical data. SD: Standard deviation

**Figure 1: General characteristics in both groups**

There were no significant differences in pre- and post-procedural diastolic blood pressure (DBP) in both groups. P values were 0.468 and 0.326, respectively.

DBP % change showed no significant difference between both groups (P = 0.207).

There were no significant differences in pre- and post-procedural heart rate (HR) in both groups. P values were 0.935 and 0.664, respectively.

HR % change showed no significant difference between both groups (P = 0.824) [Table 4 and Figure 2].

There were no significant differences between both groups as regards all ECG changes [Table 5 and Figure 3].

**Echocardiography**

**Conventional echocardiography**

The parameters used in conventional echocardiography are LVEDV, LVESV, WMSI, and EF.

There were no significant differences in pre- and post-procedural as regards LVEDV, LVESV, WMSI, and EF in both groups [Table 6 and Figure 4].

**Two-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography**

- There were no significant differences in preprocedural GLS between both groups
- Mean postprocedural GLS was significantly higher in Group I compared to Group II
- Median GLS% change was significantly higher in Group I compared to Group II
There were no significant differences in preprocedural GCS between both groups. Mean postprocedural GCS was significantly higher in Group I compared to Group II. Median GCS % change was significantly higher in Group I compared to Group II (Table 7 and Figure 5).

**Discussion**

This study enrolled 100 patients divided into two groups. Group I: 50 patients with PCI to LAD and Group II: 50 patients with CABG to LAD. The aim of this study was to compare the LV function after PCI and CABG to LAD by STE. We revealed that GCS is better than GLS shortly after CABG surgery due to the arrangement of the longitudinal muscle fibers in the LV and the locations of the myocardial regions affected by CAD. Increase in GLS and GCS in patients after PCI with improvement in myocardial function after this procedure. Both GLS and GCS are significantly higher in patients with PCI than patients with CABG surgery to LAD.

In this study, we found that there were no significant differences in terms of age with \( P = 0.141 \), gender with \( P = 0.414 \) and risk factors including smoking, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, and family history of coronary heart disease between two groups. This was concordant with D’Andrea et al. who examined 70 patients with non-ST-segment EMI. This was discordant with Bochenek et al. who examined 66 patients...
with first STEMI 48 h before and 3 months after primary PCI to evaluate myocardial function.[15]

This study demonstrated that there were no significant differences in both SBP and DBP in pre- and post-procedural in the two groups; the P value for SBP was 0.491 and 0.828 in both groups, respectively, while the P value for DBP in both groups was 0.468 and 0.326, respectively. There were no significant differences in pre- and post-procedural HR in both groups; the P value was 0.935 and 0.664 in the two groups, respectively. In this study, there were no significant differences in ECG in both groups with P = 0.174.

This was concordant with, Lacalzada et al. who found that there were no significant differences as regarding the vital signs.[16]

While GLS mostly reflects subendocardial ischemia, GCS reflects mid-wall ischemia, it is normal that the GLS values are lower than the GCS values and if the subendocardium is affected as in ischemia the GLS will be more affected than the GCS, the normal values of GLS are −16%–−18% while the normal values of GCS are −20%–−27%. In our study, after CABG, the GLS takes more time to recover while the

### Table 6: ECHO parameters in both groups

|                   | Group I (n=50) | Group II (n=50) | P     |
|-------------------|---------------|----------------|-------|
| LVEDV             |               |                |       |
| Pre, mean±SD      | 86.1±14.4     | 88.3±14.8      | 0.463 |
| Post, mean±SD     | 87.1±15.5     | 88.4±14.9      | 0.673 |
| Percentage change, median (range) | 0.3 (−15.5-55.1) | 0 (−3.3-4.7) | 0.064 |
| LVESV             |               |                |       |
| Pre, mean±SD      | 40.0±8.5      | 40.4±8.9       | 0.812 |
| Post, mean±SD     | 40.1±8.2      | 40.6±8.8       | 0.782 |
| Percentage change, median (range) | 0 (−17.1-31.1) | 0 (−1.7-21.4) | 0.556 |
| EF                |               |                |       |
| Pre, mean±SD      | 53.5±4.8      | 54.5±4.5       | 0.290 |
| Post, mean±SD     | 53.8±4.5      | 54.6±4.1       | 0.400 |
| Percentage change, median (range) | 0.6 (−5.5-4.4) | 0 (−2.6-2.9) | 0.061 |
| WMSI              |               |                |       |
| Pre, mean±SD      | 1.2±0.09      | 1.17±0.11      | 0.137 |
| Post, mean±SD     | 1.14±0.11     | 1.12±0.11      | 0.267 |
| Percentage change, median (range) | −8.3 (−23.1-18.2) | 0 (−28.6-9.1) | 0.238 |

Independent t-test was used. Mann-Whitney U-test was used for % change. LVEDV: Left ventricular end-diastolic volume, LVESV: Left ventricular end systolic volume, EF: Ejection fraction, WMSI: Wall motion score index, SD: Standard deviation

### Table 7: Global longitudinal strain and global circumferential strain in both groups

|                   | Group I (n=50) | Group II (n=50) | P     |
|-------------------|---------------|----------------|-------|
| GLS               |               |                |       |
| Pre, mean±SD      | −17.0±1.7     | −17.5±1.7      | 0.142 |
| Post, mean±SD     | −21.2±6.5     | −18.1±1.9      | 0.001 |
| Percentage change, median (range) | 31.3 (9.8-62.9) | 3.1 (−7.7-12.4) | <0.001 |
| GCS               |               |                |       |
| Pre, mean±SD      | −17.1±2.4     | −17.8±2.3      | 0.124 |
| Post, mean±SD     | −25.4±1.9     | −23.8±2.1      | <0.001 |
| Percentage change, median (range) | 46.7 (32.1-100) | 33.9 (8.9-76.7) | <0.001 |

Independent t-test was used. Mann-Whitney U-test was used for % change. GLS: Global longitudinal strain, GCS: Global circumferential strain, SD: Standard deviation

### Table 8: Left anterior descending segment affected in both groups

| Coronary angigraphy | Group I (n=50), n (%) | Group II (n=50), n (%) | P   |
|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----|
| Distal              | 18                    | 15                     | 0.204 |
| Mid                 | 28                    | 26                     |      |
| Proximal            | 4                     | 9                      |      |

Chi-square test was used

Figure 5: Global longitudinal strain and global circumferential strain in both groups

This was concordant with, Lacalzada et al. who found that there were no significant differences as regarding the vital signs.[16]
GCS showed an immediate increase due to the arrangement of muscle fibers.

In this study, we used conventional echocardiography parameters such as LVEDV, LVESV, EF, and WMSI in the both two groups preprocedural and postprocedural. This study demonstrated that there were no significant differences in LVEDV, LVESV, WMSI, and EF in the both two groups pre- and post-procedural. This finding could have been due to the fact that these parameters are not direct measurements of myocardial function since they are affected by the loading conditions as preload and afterload.

This was in concordance with Gasior et al. who found that there were no significant changes in these parameters;[17] and this was concordant with Shehata who investigated 50 patients with anterior STEMI and found that there were no significant changes in these parameters.[18]

This was discordant with Cong et al. who found that echocardiographic parameters such as WMSI were higher in patients with LV remodeling than patients with normal left ventricle after interventions.[19]

Two-dimensional STE was used to obtain GLS and GCS in the two groups, preprocedural and postprocedural to compare the LV function in the two groups.

This study demonstrated that there were significant increases in GCS after CABG while there was no significant increase in GLS after the same procedure, this may be related to the arrangement of muscle fibers and the subendocardial ischemia.

This was concordant with Chan et al. who found that there were increases in GCS while there were delays in GLS in patients who undergo CABG due to the ischemia of longitudinal muscle fibers.[20]

This was discordant with Park et al. who investigated 24 patients with STEMI 24 h before, 48 h, 1 month, 3 months after the procedure and found that there were significant increases in GLS.[21]

This study demonstrated that there were significant increases in GLS and GCS in patients after PCI with improvement in myocardial function after this procedure.

This was discordant with Paul and George who found that GCS in patients after PCI with improvement in myocardial function after this procedure. Both GLS and GCS are significantly higher in patients with PCI than patients with CABG surgery to LAD.

**Conclusions**

The parameters of STE-GLS and GCS are more effective than the parameters of standard echocardiography such as the LVEDV, LVESV, WMSI, and EF for evaluating myocardial improvements after PCI and CABG surgery. GCS is better than GLS shortly after CABG surgery because of the arrangement of the longitudinal muscle fibers in the LV and the locations of the myocardial regions affected by CAD. Increase in GLS and GCS in patients after PCI with improvement in myocardial function after this procedure. Both GLS and GCS are significantly higher in patients with PCI than patients with CABG surgery to LAD.

**Recommendations**

STE is recommended to assess the LV function after PCI and CABG surgery to LAD.

**Study limitations**

Some images are suboptimal – small sample size – limited duration of the study.
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