Bacteriological Profile of Burn Wound Infections with Reference to Biofilm Formation

Abstract

**Purpose:** Burns are one of the most common and devastating forms of trauma. A prospective cross-sectional study of bacterial isolates from wounds of patients admitted to burns unit of a tertiary care hospital was undertaken to study the bacteriological profile and biofilm formation.

**Materials and methods:** Sample swabs were processed by inoculation onto MacConkey and Chocolate media. Biofilm formation was detected using three methods - tissue culture plate (TCP), Tube method (TM) and Congo red agar (CRA) method.

**Results:** Among 100 burns patients who were studied, 90 (90%) samples gave positive bacterial culture, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was the most common organism isolated. 42 isolates (46.6%) were biofilm positive.

**Conclusion:** The commonest organism causing burn wound sepsis and forming biofilm in our study was *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.
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Introduction

Burns are one of the most common devastating forms of trauma. Burn patients are ideal hosts for opportunistic infections [1]. Thermal injury causes instant coagulative necrosis, which rapidly becomes a favourable niche for bacterial colonisation and proliferation. The eschar provides a devitalised, protein rich environment, which further benefits bacterial proliferation through its exclusion from the systemic circulation and impaired local immune responses. The burn site remains relatively sterile during the first 24 hour; thereafter, colonization of the wound by gram negative bacteria is common [2]. Organisms originate from the patient’s own skin, gut and respiratory flora, as well as from contact with contaminated health care environments and workers [3]. Biofilms are complex communities of surface attached aggregates of microorganisms embedded in a self-secreted extracellular polysaccharide matrix, or slime [4]. Once formed, biofilms act as efficient barriers against antimicrobial agents and the host immune system, resulting in persistent colonization and/or infection at the site of biofilm formation [5]. Bacteria within a biofilm typically undergo a phenotypic change whereby microbial virulence factor production is altered and metabolic rate and motility are reduced. Channels formed within the protective environment of the biofilm facilitate the transport of nutrients and microbial waste products [6]. The survival rates for burn patients have improved substantially in the past few decades due to advances in modern medical care in specialized burn centres. Improved outcomes for severely burned patients have been attributed to medical advances in fluid resusciation, nutritional support, pulmonary care, burn wound care, and infection control practices [7].

Materials and Methods

The study was conducted in the Department of Microbiology of a tertiary care hospital after obtaining approval from Institutional Ethical Committee. Samples were taken from patients admitted with burns to burns ward of teaching hospitals attached to a Medical College. This was a prospective cross sectional study where 100 patients having burn wound infection were included in the study. Patients co-infected with HIV, Hepatitis B virus and patients having malignancy have been excluded from the study.

Samples for microbial examination were collected from secretions adjacent to the burn wounds by sterile cotton swabs and immediately transferred to the Microbiology lab. The specimens were processed immediately first by inoculating onto MacConkey and Chocolate media. Biofilm formation was detected using three methods - tissue culture plate (TCP), Tube method (TM) and Congo red agar (CRA) method. These were incubated for 48 hours and if there was no growth, the media was incubated for 8 more days to allow the growth of slow growing or fastidious organisms. All the cultures were subjected to Gram stain and later isolates were identified using standard microbiological procedures such as catalase test, oxidase test, IMViC tests, sugar fermentation etc and tested...
for antimicrobial susceptibility by means of agar disc diffusion method of Kirby Bauer according to the guidelines of Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2012).

Detection of biofilm formation was done by three methods- Tissue culture plate method (TCP), Tube method (TM) and Congo red formation [8,9]. Figure 1 methodology was adapted as according to Mathur et al. [9].

**Tissue culture plate method (TCP)**

Isolates from fresh agar plates were inoculated in TSBglu (trypticase soy broth with 1% glucose) and incubated for 18 hour at 37°C in stationary condition and diluted 1in100 with fresh medium. Individual wells of sterile, polystyrene,96 well-flat bottom tissue culture plates wells were filled with 0.2ml aliquots of the diluted cultures and only broth served as control to check sterility and non-specific binding of media.

The tissue culture plates were incubated for 18 hours and 24 hours at 37°C. After incubation content of each well was gently removed by tapping the plates. The wells were washed four times with 0.2mL of phosphate buffer saline (PBS pH 7.2) to remove free-floating ‘planktonic’ bacteria. Biofilms formed by adherent ‘sessile’ organisms in plate were fixed with sodium acetate (2%) and stained with crystal violet (0.1% w/v). Excess stain was rinsed off by thorough washing with deionized water and plates were kept for drying. Adherent cells usually formed biofilm on all side wells and were uniformly stained with crystal violet. Optical density (OD) of stained adherent bacteria were determined with a micro ELISA auto reader at wavelength of 570nm (OD 570nm). These OD values were considered as an index of bacteria adhering to surface and forming biofilms.

Experiment was performed in triplicate and repeated three times, the data was then averaged and standard deviation was calculated. To compensate for background absorbance, OD readings from sterile medium, fixative and dye were averaged and subtracted from all test values. The mean OD value obtained from media control well was deducted from all the test OD values. For the purpose of data calculation, Table 1 shows the classification based on OD values.

**Tube method (TM)**

TSBglu (10mL) was inoculated with loop ful of microorganism from overnight culture plates and incubated for 24 hours at 37°C. The tubes were decanted and washed with PBS (pH 7.3) and dried. Dried tubes were stained with crystal violet (0.1%). Excess stain was removed and tubes were washed with deionized water. Tubes were then dried in inverted position and observed for biofilm formation.

Biofilm formation was considered positive when a visible film lined the wall and bottom of the tube. Ring formation at the liquid interface was not indicative of biofilm formation. Tubes were examined and the amount of biofilm formation was scored as 0-absent, 1-weak, 2-moderate or 3-strong Experiments were performed in triplicate and repeated three times.

**Congo red agar method (CRA)**

This method requires the use of a specially prepared solid medium -brain heart infusion broth (BHI) supplemented with 5% sucrose and Congo red. The medium was composed of BHI (37 gms/L), sucrose (50 gms/L), agar no.1 (10 gms/L) and congo red stain (0.8 gms/L). Congo red was prepared as concentrated
aqueous solution and autoclaved at 121°C for 15 minutes, separately from other medium constituents and was then added when the agar had cooled to 55°C. Plates were inoculated and incubated aerobically for 24 to 48 hours at 37°C. Positive result was indicated by black colonies with a dry crystalline consistency. Weak slime producers usually remained pink, though occasional darkening at the centers of colonies was observed. A darkening of the colonies with the absence of a dry crystalline colonial morphology indicated an indeterminate result. The experiment was performed in triplicate and repeated three times.

**Results**

Among 100 burns patients who were studied 52 were women (52%) and 48 were men (48%). 90 (90%) samples gave positive bacterial culture, whereas 10 (10%) showed no bacterial growth. Among 90 isolates 42 (46.6%) were biofilm positive and 48(53.3%) were biofilm negative.

_Pseudomonas aeruginosa_ 38(42.2%) was the common organism to be isolated and forming biofilm followed by _Klebsiella species_ 22(24.4%), _Proteus spp._ 18(20%) and Methicillin resistant _Staphylococcus aureus_ 12 (13.3%) (Figure 2).

**Discussion**

Burn wound infections are one of the most important and potentially serious complications that occur in the acute period following injury. Infections remain the leading cause of death among patients who are hospitalized for burns. The risk of burn wound infection is directly correlated to the extent of the burn and is related to impaired resistance resulting from disruption of the skin’s mechanical integrity and generalized immune suppression.

In the present study females (52%) are affected more compared to males (48%). This may be because of the reason that accidental burns are more common in females as they tend to spend more time near fire and this result is in accordance with a study by Singh et al. and the most common age group affected was 20-40yrs. [10].

In our study there was 90% of culture positivity with a single organism, The high percentage of positive bacterial cultures of the wound swab may be attributed to the fact that the burn wound has a much higher incidence of infections compared with other forms of trauma because of extensive skin barrier disruption as well as alteration of cellular and humoral immune responses [11].

The most common organism isolated in our study was _Pseudomonas aeruginosa_ 38(42.2%) followed by _Klebsiella species_ 22(24.4%), _Proteus spp._ 18(20%) and Methicillin resistant _Staphylococcus aureus_ 12 (13.3%). There is a predominance of gram negative bacteria i.e. _Pseudomonas aeruginosa_ in specific from the cultures. This agrees with a study by Singh et al. [10] who found the most commonly isolated organisms from burn patients were _Pseudomonas species_ followed by _Staphylococcus aureus_ and _Klebsiella species_ and these results are also in accordance with other study by Ozumba et al. [12].

Biofilms are microbial communities encased within polysaccharide rich extracellular matrix on surfaces of wounds. They are associated with drastically enhanced resistance against most antimicrobial agents leading to treatment failures. In our study _Pseudomonas aeruginosa_ is the most common organism producing biofilm in burns patients followed by _Staphylococcus aureus_ and _Klebsiella species_ but this is not in concordance with a study by Madam et al. [13] in which _Klebsiella pneumoniae_ is often involved in biofilm-related infections. Biofilm producing organisms were associated with therapeutic failure and infection was resolved only on surgical debridement.

**Conclusion**

The gram negative bacteria are more predominant as causative agents for burn wound infections than the gram positive bacteria. The commonest organism causing burn wound sepsis and forming biofilm is _Pseudomonas species_. So, appropriate wound care is necessary for burn wound management. The obtained data confirm the necessity of describing an effective management scheme including debridement, irrigation and antibiotic administration.
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