Increasing market efficiency: Evolution of cross-correlations of stock returns
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Abstract

We analyse the temporal changes in the cross correlations of returns on the New York Stock Exchange. We show that lead-lag relationships between daily returns of stocks vanished in less than twenty years. We have found that even for high frequency data the asymmetry of time dependent cross-correlation functions has a decreasing tendency, the position of their peaks are shifted towards the origin while these peaks become sharper and higher, resulting in a diminution of the Epps effect. All these findings indicate that the market becomes increasingly efficient.
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1 Introduction

Correlation functions are basic tools in statistical physics. Equal-time cross-correlations are related to thermodynamic second derivatives of potentials, i.e. to generalised susceptibilities. Time-dependent cross-correlation functions are important for determining transport coefficients through the fluctuation-dissipation theorem. The Onsager relations of the transport coefficients for crossed effects have their roots in the symmetry properties of time-dependent cross-correlations. These properties are due to the detailed balance, which on turn is the consequence of microscopic reversibility.
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It is natural that in econophysics [1,2] much of the studies concentrates on the correlations between time series obtained from the stock market. The study of correlations of returns are crucial for understanding the mechanisms and the structure of markets. It is enough to mention here the the central role played by correlations in the classical theory of portfolio optimisation see, e.g. [2], or the more recent results on their use in uncovering market taxonomy [3] by using some clustering technique, e.g., that of a minimum spanning tree.

In spite of its noisiness [4,5], for daily returns the correlation matrix contains much useful information [3,6,7]. Studying equal time correlations among stock price changes during short intervals Epps found an interesting phenomenon [8]: Correlations decreased with the decrease of the length of the interval for which the price changes were calculated. Changes in prices (and thus logarithmic returns) for longer intervals are merely non-overlapping sums of price changes for shorter periods, thus the possible causes of this effect can be non-stationarity, lagged autocorrelations and lagged cross-correlations of stock returns. Later other researchers studying correlations on high frequency data reached the same conclusion, correlations decrease as the window width, in which the returns are computed, decrease [9,10,11,12,13].

Of course, in contrast to physical systems, in economic systems detailed balance or time reversal symmetry is not present [14,15]. Nevertheless, the study of time-dependent cross-correlations between stock returns is of great interest. Time-dependent cross-correlation functions between pairs of companies may give us information not only about the relation of the two companies but also on the internal structure of the market and on the driving mechanisms.

In 1990, Lo et al. [16] studied an arbitrage possibility, called contrarian strategy. They showed that the cause of the high profitability of these contrarian strategies is not only the pattern of overreaction on the market (negative autocorrelation of returns in time) but also lead-lag effects (positive cross-correlations of returns in time). They studied weekly return data of stocks from 1962 to 1987. They divided the almost 5000 studied stocks into 5 quintiles of the same size, on the basis of their market values at the end of the sample period (the 1st quintile containing the largest stocks and the 5th containing the smallest ones). They created an equal-weighted return index for each of the quintiles and calculated the time-dependent correlation matrices with a time shift of one week, two weeks, three weeks and four weeks. Studying these matrices they found a distinct lead-lag relation based on size. The returns of smaller stocks were correlated with past returns of larger stocks but not vice versa, resulting in a kind of forecastability of price changes.

Forecastability contradicts the efficient market hypothesis. We know that the speed of information flow increases in time which is expected to act in favour of efficiency. Indeed, Kullmann et al. [9] needed high frequency data of 1997 and
1998 to find lead-lag effects. They studied time-dependent cross-correlations of stocks from the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) and found relevant lead-lag effects on the minute scale only. They fixed thresholds to determine the relevant correlations and also found that larger stocks were more likely to pull smaller ones but there were some exceptions.

The time evolution of equal time correlations has been studied and for daily data considerable robustness was observed [17,18], though at crash periods a phase transition-like change appeared [19]. In the present paper we study the evolution of time-dependent cross-correlation functions and equal time cross-correlation coefficients between logarithmic returns of stocks from the point of view of market efficiency.

The paper is built up as follows. In Section 2 we first introduce the data sets, and the way we processed them in order to carry out the computations. After that we describe the methodology of our computations. Section 3 contains the results. The paper terminates with a discussion in Section 4.

2 Methodology

2.1 Data and data processing

We used two databases for our analysis. In the study of changes of cross-correlations in high frequency data we used tick-by-tick data (containing every trade for each stock) obtained from the Trade and Quote (TAQ) Database of New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) for the period of 4.1.1993 to 31.12.2003. We confined ourselves to analysing the 190 most frequently traded stocks in this period. The high frequency data obtained from the TAQ Database was raw data. It contained many technical information of the NYSE stocks: prices of trades, bid prices, ask prices, volume of trades, etc. There were separate files containing the data for dividends of the stocks. To be able to carry out computations, first we had to make the dividend adjustment by using the dividend files. Next, we created the logarithmic return time series from the price time series. To avoid the problems occurring from splits in the prices of stocks, which cause large logarithmic return values in the time series, we applied a filtering procedure. In high-frequency data, we omitted returns larger than 5% of the current price of the stock. This retains all logarithmic returns caused by simple changes in prices but excludes splits which are usually half or one third of the price.

In the study of changes of time-dependent cross-correlation functions on daily scale we used daily data of stocks, obtained from Yahoo financial web page
for the period of 4.1.1982 to 29.12.2000. These contained the daily closing prices of 116 large stocks from NYSE. These data were needed because we went back with our analysis to times preceding 1993. The daily prices were already dividend adjusted and split adjusted so we did not need to carry out a filtering on them. We just created their logarithmic return time series for the computations.

2.2 Equal-time and time-dependent correlations

We studied the cross-correlations of stock returns in function of time shift between the pairs’ return time series. In the computations we used logarithmic returns of stocks:

\[ r_{\Delta t}(t) = \ln \frac{p(t)}{p(t - \Delta t)}, \]

where \( p(t) \) stands for the price of the stock at time \( t \). The equal-time correlation coefficient \( \rho_{\Delta t}^{A,B} \) of stocks \( A \) and \( B \) is defined by

\[ \rho_{\Delta t}^{A,B} = \frac{\langle r_{\Delta t}^A(t)r_{\Delta t}^B(t) \rangle - \langle r_{\Delta t}^A(t) \rangle\langle r_{\Delta t}^B(t) \rangle}{\sigma_A \sigma_B}. \]

The time-dependent correlation function \( C_{\Delta t}^{A,B}(\tau) \) between stocks \( A \) and \( B \) is defined by

\[ C_{\Delta t}^{A,B}(\tau) = \frac{\langle r_{\Delta t}^A(t)r_{\Delta t}^B(t + \tau) \rangle - \langle r_{\Delta t}^A(t) \rangle\langle r_{\Delta t}^B(t + \tau) \rangle}{\sigma_A \sigma_B}. \]

The notion \( \langle \cdots \rangle \) stands for the time average over the considered period and \( \sigma^2 \) is the variance of the return series:

\[ \sigma^2 = \langle [r_{\Delta t}(t) - \langle r_{\Delta t}(t) \rangle]^2 \rangle. \]

Obviously the equal-time correlation coefficient can be obtained by setting \( \tau = 0 \) in (3).

In order to avoid major return values in high frequency data, caused by the difference in opening prices and previous days’ closing prices (which doesn’t give us information about the average behaviour of returns), we took the average in two steps. First we carried out the average over the intra-day periods and then over the independent days. In the analysis of the daily data the average was taken in one step over the time period examined.

We follow and briefly summarise the method used in [9] in determining the value of \( \Delta t \), the window width in which logarithmic returns are computed. Since in high-frequency data the smallest interval between two trades is one second, \( \Delta t = 1 \) second seems to be at first sight a natural choice. Nevertheless,
choosing such a short window when computing the logarithmic returns would result in a too noisy correlation function. In order to avoid this problem we chose a wider window for the computation of the logarithmic returns and averaged the correlations over the starting points of the returns. In this way the average in (3) means:

\[
\langle r^A_{\Delta t}(t)r^B_{\Delta t}(t+\tau) \rangle = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t_0=0}^{T-1} \sum_{k=1}^{T/\Delta t} r^A_{\Delta t}(t_0 + k\Delta t)r^B_{\Delta t}(t_0 + k\Delta t + \tau), \tag{5}
\]

where the first sum runs over the starting points of the returns and the second one runs over the $\Delta t$ wide windows of the returns. Choosing this window wider can be understood as an averaging or smoothing of the correlation function. On the other hand, $\Delta t$ should not be chosen too large since this would cause the maximum of the function to be indistinct. In accordance with market processes and papers in the subject [9,14], we chose $\Delta t = 100$ seconds$^1$. When studying daily logarithmic returns $\Delta t$ is obviously the smallest time difference in the time series, i.e. 1 day.

3 Results

3.1 Time-dependent correlations on daily scale

As mentioned before, Lo et al. [16] found relevant correlations between weekly returns of stocks data from the sixties, seventies and eighties. They found that the returns of highly capitalised stocks pull those of lower capitalised ones much stronger than vice versa. We studied these results from the point of view of market efficiency. We computed the time-dependent correlations on logarithmic returns obtained from daily closing prices of stocks for the period of 1982 to 2000. On weekly returns we did not find any relevant correlations. Therefore we carried out our computations on time series of daily logarithmic returns. To be able to investigate the average dynamics of the pulling effect (influence) of highly capitalised stocks on weaker ones, we had to introduce categories of stocks. We divided the stocks in two equal size groups with respect to their market capitalisation data of 31$^{st}$ December, 1999 [21]. We computed an average logarithmic return time-series for each group. The average was simply taken with equal weights as in A. Lo et al. [16]. We computed the time-dependent correlations between the average logarithmic return time-series of the group of larger stocks and that of the group of smaller stocks. Formally this means that we used $\Delta t = 1$ day and $\tau = 1$ day in (3) in the calculation of

$^1$ In [9] a model calculation was presented to demonstrate the method. In that paper in Figure 1 the value of $\sigma$ is erroneously given as 1000 instead of $\sqrt{1000}$. 
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Fig. 1. The average correlation between highly capitalised and less capitalised stocks with time shift of one day. The trend is that the pulling of weaker stocks by stronger stocks diminishes on the daily scale. The dip is due to the crash in 1987.

\( C_{\Delta t=1\text{ day}}^{\text{big}, \text{small}}(\tau = 1 \text{ day}) \). We carried out our computations in 2 year wide windows. We shifted the window in every step with 1/10 year (25 trading days). On Figure 1 we can see the correlation coefficient changing through the years. One can see the trend of the curve: the correlation is diminishing. From about 0.15–0.2 it decreased under the error-level by the end of the nineties. (The outliers around 1987 are due to the biggest crash in the history of NYSE, called Black Monday when the market index decreased by almost 20%. As a consequence the motion of the returns got highly synchronised [19].) This result tells us that pulling effect between larger and smaller stocks on the daily scale, i.e. the price of larger stocks pulling the price of smaller ones, essentially vanished during the twenty years.

### 3.2 Time-dependent correlations on high frequency scale

We made computations in order to analyse the time-dependent cross-correlations of high frequency logarithmic returns and the dynamics of these correlation functions. Our computations were carried out in 11 consecutive periods of the length of one year. Using (3), the window width in which the logarithmic returns were calculated was \( \Delta t = 100 \) seconds. We altered \( \tau \) between -1000
seconds and 1000 seconds by steps of 5 seconds. For the time shift between two stocks the value of 1000 seconds is beyond any reasonable limit because of market efficiency, hence we also had the opportunity to study the tail of the correlation functions, getting information about their signal-to-noise ratio. As mentioned earlier, we confined ourselves to analysing the 190 most frequently traded stocks in this period.

We found that the time-dependent cross-correlation functions changed significantly in the eleven years studied. Through the years the maximum value ($C_{\text{max}}$) of the functions increased in almost all cases and the time shifts ($\tau_{\text{max}}$) decreased. A few example plots showing these effects can be seen on Figure 2. Figure 3 shows the average of the absolute value of maximum positions of the time-dependent cross-correlation functions for every pair examined, as a function of the years. The decreasing trend on the plot shows how the maximum positions approached the ordinate axis through the years. Figure 4 shows the normalised distributions of the maximum positions of all time-dependent correlation functions having a maximum of $C_{\text{max}} > 0.02$, in order to filter out those correlation functions where no relevant peak can be found, and $\tau_{\text{max}} < 300$ seconds, in order to filter out peaks in the correlation function.
due to the influence of two large logarithmic return values instead of relevant lead-lag effects, for the years 1993, 1999 and 2003. The distributions becoming more and more sharply peaked near zero show the diminution of the time shifts. The change is considerably strong, however, it is not monotonic. The inset in Figure 4 shows the same distributions for the years 1993 and 2000. We can see that the tails of the two distributions are very similar indicating strong fluctuations from the tendency of the changes in the time shift. Later we will see in the case of the equal time correlation coefficients also, that 2000 is an outlier year, this is possibly due to the dot-com crash.

The main cause of the changes in the correlation functions is the acceleration of market processes. On the one hand computers and various electronic equipments have spread in the last one or two decades and their capacity and power have increased spectacularly, resulting in largely increasing the speed of information flow and of information processing. On the other hand faster trading, shorter periods between two transactions decrease the time of reaction of market participants to information. These cause the decrease in the time shift between the returns of two stocks and thus the maximum position of the time-dependent cross-correlation function to move towards zero. Furthermore, the decrease of the time shift, as well as more synchronised reactions to the information result in growing correlations of high frequency returns, i.e., in the diminution of the Epps effect (see next section).
3.3 Equal time correlations on high frequency scale

Since lagged correlations are possible causes of the Epps effect, i.e. changes in equal time correlations, we also studied the dynamics of equal time correlations as a function of time. The computations were carried out in 11 consecutive periods of the length of one year. Using (2), the window width in which the logarithmic returns were calculated was $\Delta t = 100$ seconds. To see the general behaviour of the correlation coefficients, we computed the equal weighted average of correlations for all pairs examined. Figure 5 shows the average of the correlation coefficients. A very strong increase can be seen in the correlation coefficients during the years. Nevertheless, the rise is not monotonic, a local peak can be seen at the year 1997 and a local minimum can be seen at the year 2000. We made separate computations in case of closely and distantly related stocks, where the relations were determined by the relative positions of the stocks on the minimum spanning tree created for the period 1997–2000 [3,22]. We considered two stocks being close to each other if their distance was not
Fig. 5. The average of the equal time correlation coefficients of all pairs examined as a function of time. There is a very strong though not monotonic rise in the correlations.

greater than 3 steps on the tree and being far from each other if their distance was not smaller than 8 steps on the tree and for both the near related and distant related pairs we computed the average correlation coefficients. We found that the ratio of the two coefficients was approximately constant until 1997, while after 2000 the correlation coefficient of far laying stocks increased faster than that of close ones. This differing change can be a sign of an equalisation process of the correlations on the market.

The increase of the equal time correlations for high frequency data, i.e. the diminution of the Epps effect can be traced back to two reasons. One is the vanishing lagging as shown in the previous paragraph. Furthermore, the growing speed of market processes can be understood as an expansion (lengthening) of the time scale of trades on the stock market. Much more events, i.e. more averaging occur in a certain length of time nowadays than did ten years ago. This higher trading frequency acts against the Epps effect: The expansion of the time scale brings larger correlations.
4 Discussion

We investigated the changes of the average pulling effect of weaker stocks by stronger stocks on daily data of NYSE stocks. While for the beginning of the eighties we have found an average correlation of $0.15-0.20$ between the logarithmic returns of smaller stocks and the previous days logarithmic returns of larger stocks, this correlation decreased under the error level by the end of the nineties. Since relevant time-dependent correlations on daily scale can be exploited for arbitrage purposes, this finding is a sign of increasing market efficiency. As trading and information procession get faster, the time for each actor to react to the decisions of others’ decreases, so in order to exclude arbitrage opportunities (efficient market hypothesis), time-dependent correlations on daily scale have to diminish and vanish, and correlations – if they exist – must move to a smaller scale (higher frequency). This effect shows a considerable change in the structure of the market, indicating growing market efficiency.

We analysed time-dependent correlation functions computed between high frequency logarithmic returns of NYSE stocks. We have found that the positions of the peaks of the functions moved towards zero, and the peaks got higher and sharper in the eleven years examined. The peak approaching the ordinate axis is also a sign of growing market efficiency. As trading got faster the reaction times and therefore the time shifts decreased. Another consequence of faster reactions to information is the diminution of the Epps effect, i.e. the equal time correlations of high frequency returns increase with time. Not only higher correlations but also sharper peaks are due to increasing market efficiency.

We studied the dynamics of equal time cross-correlations of stock returns on high frequency data. We have learnt that on the average the correlations grew strongly, though the changes were not monotonous. Correlations becoming larger indicate the diminution of the Epps effect. This can be understood by the suppression of the lagging in the correlations as well as by the fact that increasing trading frequency causes an effective extension of the time scale, enlarging the correlations.

The growing correlations and shorter time shifts in the time-dependent cross-correlation functions are due to an increase of market efficiency and diminution of the Epps effect. Since the origins of these changes are present on all markets, they should also be possible to find on markets different from the New York Stock Exchange.
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