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ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the clinical manifestation and surgical outcome of patients with low grade Glioma.

Material and Methods: This descriptive (cross sectional) study was done at the Neurosurgery Department, Mardan Medical Complex Mardan. The study period was March 2017 to February 2018. Patient of any age and gender presented to outpatient department or referred from some other medical facility and diagnosed as low grade Glioma on clinical and radiological grounds and later confirmed by histopathology were included. Those with high grade Glioma or other tumors of the brain were excluded from the study. Patients’ age, gender, clinical features, location of Glioma, post-operative outcome, and type of Glioma based on histopathology were recorded on predesigned Proforma. All patients were followed for one year.

Results: Out of 35 patients, 20 (57%) were male and 15 (42%) were female. 20 to 80 years was the age range and mean age was 46.36 ± 17.11 years. Frontal lobe was the most frequent area of location, followed by parietal 9 (25%) and temporal 8 (22%) lobe. Pre-operative Karnofsky score was 90 in 16 (45%), 80 in 8 (22%), 70 in 6 (17%) and 60 in 5 (14%) of patients. Gross total resection was achieved in 13 (37%), radical subtotal resection in 10 (28%), subtotal resection in 10 (28%) and biopsy taken in 02 (5%) patients. histopathology revealed Astrocytoma in 15 (42%), mixed Oligoastrocytoma in 12 (34%) and Oligodendroglia in 8 (22%) number of patients. Post operatively surgical outcome was measured by improvement in symptomatology. Karnofsky score and seizure control. In our study Karnofsky performance score KPS improved in 11 (31.4%) deteriorate in 4 (11.4%), while in 20 (57.1%) there is no change documented. Performance score improved more in patients with gross total resection.

Conclusion: Conscious level, Karnofsky Performance score, seizure control are important parameters for surgical outcome in patients with low grade Gliomas. Gross total resection of the tumor is a better option for good surgical outcome.
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INTRODUCTION

The supporting glial cells of central nervous system make Gliomas which are neuroepithelial tumors. Gliomas present as, Oligodendroglia,astrocytoma, mixed Oligoastrocytoma and glio-neuronal tumors.1,2 The World Health Organization (WHO) classifies Glioma from grade 1 through grade 4 i.e., low grade to high grade, depending on histological findings such as necrosis, atypical cytological pattern, mitotic activity and microvascular proliferation.3 The incidence of low grade Glioma (LGG) is 3.7 per 100,000 for male patients while 2.6 per 100,000 for female.4,5

Low grade Glioma (LGG) is commonly seen in middle age people with the third and fourth decade being highrisk and mostly located in motor cortex and insula.6,7 80% of patients present with seizures. Cognitive function, autonomic function, sensory and motor function, social, emotional function all depend on location of tumor. Focal neurological deficit, cognitive changes, signs of raised intracranial pressure
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such as headache and papilledema are other common clinical features.8,9

LGGs are diagnosed by imaging, microscopic examination, and methods of molecular diagnosis.10 Magnetic Resonance imaging, MRI is a gold standard investigation and appears homogenous with low signal intensity of T-1 weighted and Fluid-Attenuated inversion recovery FLAIR sequences. Contrast enhancement is small if seen and is commonly associated with oligodendrogliomas.10-12

The treatment modalities of LGGs are conservative, surgery, radiation, chemotherapeutic approach, or a combination of all and management depends on site of tumor, histological pattern, and patient’s features. Surgery is the major component of the whole management of gliomas.13-15 Increasing studies have favored surgical resection rather than conservative treatment to improve survival rates16.

The objective of the study was to determine the clinical profile and surgical outcome of intracranial low grade Glioma.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study Design

This descriptive (cross sectional) study was done at the Neurosurgery Unit at Mardan Medical Complex Mardan in the study period of March 2017 to February 2018. Approval was taken from the hospital ethical board and written informed consent was taken from all patients. Sample size was thirty five.

Inclusion Criteria

Patient of any age and gender presented to outpatient department or referred from some other medical facility and diagnosed as low grade Glioma on clinical and radiological grounds and later confirmed by histopathology were included.

Exclusion Criteria

Those with high grade Glioma or other tumors of the brain were excluded from the study.

Data Collection

Detailed history and clinical examination were done in all patients. Radiological investigation like CT Brain and MRI brain was done in all patients to diagnose low grade Glioma. On CT scan brain low grade Glioma appear as a diffuse area of low attenuation while on MRI it is homogenous with low signal intensity. Admissions were done through outpatient department and preoperative work up was done and prepared for surgery craniotomy and excision of Glioma was done. Biopsy was sent for histopathology. Postoperatively all patient were kept in Intensive care unit for 24 hours and then shifted to the ward. Patients were followed at 2 weeks, 1 month, 3 month, 6month and 1 year.

Data Analysis

Karnofsky Performance Score (KPS) is a scoring system, evaluating the ability of cancer patients to execute everyday activities. The KPS scores range between 0 and 100. A higher number refers to better performance of the patient. Preoperative sign and symptoms, Karnofsky performance score, location of Glioma, type of Glioma on the basis of histopathology and post-operative outcome were written on predesigned Proforma. All the data was analyzed by SPSS version 20.0 and express in the form of tables.

RESULTS

Gender Distribution

Out of 35 patients, 20 (57%) were male and 15 (42%) were female.

Age Distribution

Age of the patients ranges from 20 years to 80 years with mean 46.36 ± 17.11 years.

Clinical Features

Symptoms of LGG were seizure 23 (65.7%), focal deficit 21 (60%), and headache in 17 (48.5%) of Patients as shown in table 1. Note: Patient may present with one or combination of symptoms.

Frontal lobe was the commonest site of tumor, followed by parietal in 9 (25%) and temporal 8 (22%) lobe as shown in table 2. Tumor identified by MRI brain and later on confirmed by histopathology. All 35 (100%) patient had gone through MRI Brain showing enhancement in 20 (57%) cases. The pre-operative Karnofsky score was 90 in 16 (45%), 80 in 8 (22%), 70 in 6 (17%) and 60 in 5 (14%) of patients.

Gross total resection was performed in 13(37%), radical subtotal resection in 10 (28%) subtotal
Table 1: Presenting symptoms \((n = 35)\).

| Presenting Symptoms       | Patients | Percentage |
|---------------------------|----------|------------|
| Seizure                   | 23       | 65.7%      |
| Headache                  | 17       | 48.5%      |
| Focal deficit             | 21       | 60%        |
| Aphasia                   | 11       | 31.4%      |
| Visual defect             | 8        | 22%        |
| Cognitive or behavior changes | 14   | 40%        |
| Coma                      | 5        | 14.2%      |

Table 2: Tumor location \((n = 35)\).

| Tumor Location          | Patients | Percentage |
|-------------------------|----------|------------|
| Frontal lobe            | 13       | 37.1%      |
| Parietal lobe           | 9        | 25.7%      |
| Insula                  | 8        | 22.8%      |
| Occipital lobe          | 3        | 8.5%       |
| Eloquent brain          | 2        | 5.7%       |

Table 3: Tumor characteristic and outcome \((n = 35)\).

| Tumor Characteristic and Outcome Variant | Patients | Percentage |
|----------------------------------------|----------|------------|
| Histology                              |          |            |
| Astrocytoma                            | 15       | 42.8%      |
| Mixed Oligoastrocytoma                 | 12       | 34.2%      |
| Oligodendroglioma                      | 8        | 22.8%      |
| Size                                   |          |            |
| Greater than 5cm                      | 18       | 51.4%      |
| less than 5 cm                        | 10       | 28.55      |
| Unknown                               | 7        | 20%        |
| Extent of resection                   |          |            |
| Gross total                           | 13       | 37.1%      |
| Radical subtotal                      | 10       | 28.5%      |
| Subtotal                              | 10       | 28.5%      |
| Biopsy only                           | 02       | 5.7%       |
| Post-operative radiotherapy           |          |            |
| Yes                                    | 20       | 57.1%      |

No                                      | 15       | 42.8%      |
Postoperative chemotherapy

Yes                                     | 18       | 51.4%      |
No                                      | 17       | 48.5%      |
Karnofsky performance score (KPS)

Improved                                | 11       | 31.4%      |
Deteriorated                            | 4        | 11.4%      |
unchanged                               | 20       | 57.1%      |

resection in 10 (28%) and biopsy in 02 (5%) number of patients. Histopathology revealed Astrocytoma in 15 (42%), mixed Oligoastrocytoma in 12 (34%) and Oligodendroglioma in 8 (22%) number of patients.

**Outcome**

Post operatively surgical outcome was measured by improvement in symptomatology, Karnofsky score and seizure control. In our study, the Karnofsky performance score KPS improved in 11 (31.4%) deteriorate in 4 (11.4%), while in 20 (57.1%) there is no change documented. 6 (17%) Karnofsky Performance score improved in patients with gross total resection. In 3 (8.5%) KPS improved with radical subtotal resection and 2 (5%) with subtotal resection, however no change in KPS was noted in patients with biopsy only.

**DISCUSSION**

Low grade Glioma (LGGs) are grade I and II tumors per classification of the WHO grading system.\(^{17}\) Gliomas comprise 40% of all primary CNS tumors. Astrocytoma represent 75% of all Gliomas, while mixed Oligoastrocytoma, Oligodendroglioma and other subtypes make the rest of 25%.\(^{18,19}\) In this study, the tumor was most commonly found in the 4th decade of life and outcome of surgery is better in younger than aged patients which correlates with study done by Laws et al and Taylor et al.\(^{21,22}\) In our study, the pre-operative Karnofsky score was 90 in 16 (45%), 80 in 8 (22%), 70 in 6 (17%) and 60 in 5 (14%) of patients. KPS improved in 11 (31.4%) deteriorate in 4 (11.4%), while in 20 (57.1%) there is no change documented. Study done by Chang et al in 2008 in the University of
California showed that 80% had KPS 90 and according to this study KPS was improved in 14% of patients which again coincide with our study.23

In our study frontal lobe was the commonest site of tumor, followed by parietal 9 (25%) and temporal 8 (22%) lobe. Study done by Duffau et al24 and Cavaliere et al25 showed that tumor more commonly located in a cerebral hemisphere involving the frontal lobe in majority of cases.24,25 In our study, tumor histopathology revealed Astrocytoma in 15 (42%), mixed Oligoastrocytoma in 12 (34%) and Oligodendroglioma in 8 (22%) number of patients, similar study done by Van Veelen et al20 in University Hospital Rotterdam showed astrocytoma WHO grade 2 in 80% patient.

In our study, the gross total resection was performed in 13 (37%), radical subtotal resection (rSTR) in 10 (28%) subtotal resection in 10 (28%) and biopsy only in 02 (5%) number of patients. Complete resection of the tumor was difficult because of its diffuse nature and difficult to differentiate from normal brain. Our results are comparable with that of Chang et al26 having GTR in 33% cases and STR in 67% cases.26 However, considering both retrospective and prospective analysis more surgeons favors maximal resection. Evrele27 at Osmangzai University Turkey had GTR in 45.3% and STR in 54% patients. Post-operatively seizure controlled in our study was 55%, which is comparable with some international studies where the figure ranges from 36 to 100%.28

Radiotherapy has long been a standard of care for these Glioma after surgery. Randomized controlled trials have clearly demonstrated the benefits of adding RT.27,29 In our study, 57% of patient received radiotherapy while the rest were lost to follow-up. There were several limitations in our study. Firstly, the sample size was small. Secondly, the patients should have been followed in order to know median survival of patients. Thirdly, the only Mardan Medical Complex was the study place, an extension of the study to the other local hospitals in this locality could have been given a better idea regarding the outcome of low grade Glioma.

**CONCLUSION**

Conscious level, Karnofsky Performance score, control of seizure are important parameters for surgical outcome in patients with low grade Gliomas. Gross total resection of the tumor is a better option for a good outcome.
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