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Abstract

In this work, we have obtained numerical solutions of the generalized Korteweg-de Vries (GKdV) equation by using septic B-spline collocation finite element method. The suggested numerical algorithm is controlled by applying test problems including; single soliton wave. Our numerical algorithm, attributed to a Crank Nicolson approximation in time, is unconditionally stable. To control the performance of the newly applied method, the error norms, $L_2$ and $L_{\infty}$ and invariants $I_1$, $I_2$ and $I_3$ have been calculated. Our numerical results are compared with some of those available in the literature.
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1. Introduction

Several physical processes for example dispersion of long waves in shallow water waves under gravity, bubble-liquid mixtures, ion acoustic plasma waves, fluid mechanics, nonlinear optics and wave phenomena in enharmonic crystals can be expressed by the KdV equation which was first introduced by Korteweg and de Vries [1]. The equation was solved analytically by Zabusky, Fornberg and Whitham, [2] [3]. Zabusky and Kruskal [4] were first obtained numerical solutions of the equation with finite difference method. Gardner et al. [5] demonstrated existence and uniqueness of solutions of the KdV equation. Several scientists have used various numerical methods including pseudospectral method [4], finite difference method [6] [7], finite element method [8]-[15] and heat balance integral method [15] to solve the equation. Numerical solutions of the KdV equation were obtained using differential quadrature method based on cosine ex-
pansion by B. Saka [16]. Like the KdV equation, in recent years, various numerical methods have been improved for the solution of the MKdV equation. Kaya [17] calculated the explicit solutions of the higher order modified Korteweg de-Vries equation by Adomian decomposition method. MKdV equation has been solved by using Galerkins’ method with quadratic B-spline finite elements by Biswas et al. [18]. Raslan and Baghdady [19] [20] indicated the accuracy and stability of the difference solution of the MKdV equation and they obtained the numerical aspects of the dynamics of shallow water waves along lakes’ shores and beaches modeled by the MKdV equation. A new variety of (3 + 1)-dimensional MKdV equations and multiple soliton solutions for each new equation were established by Wazwaz [21] [22]. Lumpeted Petrov-Galerkin and Galerkin methods were practiced to the MKdV equation by Ak et al. [23] [24].

GKdV equation has received much less attention, presumably because of its higher nonlinearity for \( p > 2 \). The symmetry group was calculated for the equation and several classes of solutions were obtained in [25]. Liu and Yi [26] developed and analyzed a Hamiltonian preserving DG method for solving the generalized KdV equation. The initial value problem of a kind of GKdV equations is considered by using Sobolev space theory and finite element method by Lai et al. [27]. Alvarado and Omel’yanov [28] create a finite differences scheme to simulate the solution of the Cauchy problem and present some numerical results for the problem of the solitary waves interaction. A class of fully discrete scheme for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation in a bounded domain \((0, L)\) has studied by Sepulveda and Villagran [29]. Collocation finite element method based on quintic B-spline functions is applied to the generalized KdV equation by Ak et al. [30]. Solitary wave solution for the GKdV equation by using ADM has been obtained by Ismail et al. [31].

In this article, we will take in consideration for the following GKdV equation

\[
U_t + \varepsilon U^2 U_x + \mu U_{xxx} = 0,
\]

with the homogeneous boundary conditions

\[
U(a, t) = 0, \quad U(b, t) = 0,
\]

\[
U_x(a, t) = 0, \quad U_x(b, t) = 0, \quad t > 0
\]

and an initial condition

\[
U(x, 0) = U_0(x), \quad a \leq x \leq b,
\]

where \( t \) is time, \( x \) is the space coordinate, \( \varepsilon \) and \( \mu \) are positive parameters. One of the primary mathematical models for describing the theory of water waves in shallow channels is the following Korteweg de-Vries (KdV) equation:

\[
U_t + \varepsilon UU_x + \mu U_{xxx} = 0.
\]

The terms \( UU_x \) and \( U_{xxx} \) in the Equation (4) stand for the nonlinear convection and dispersion, respectively. In this paper, we have numerically solved the GKdV equation using collocation method with septic B-spline finite elements. We have investigated the motion of a single soliton wave to show the
performance and proficiency of the proposed method. Also we have showed the suggested method is unconditionally stable applying the von-Neumann stability analysis.

2. Septic B-Spline Collocation Method

We think of a mesh \( a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_N = b \) as a uniform divide of the solution area \( a \leq x \leq b \) by the points \( x_m \) with \( h = \frac{b-a}{N} = x_{m+1} - x_m \). The septic B-splines \( \phi_m(x) \), \( (m = -3, -2, \cdots, N+3) \) at the knots \( x_m \) are given by [32]

\[
\phi_m(x) = \frac{1}{h} \begin{cases} 
(x-x_{m-4})^7 & \text{if } x \leq x_m - 4h \\
(x-x_{m-4})^7 - 8(x-x_{m-5})^7 & \text{if } x_{m-4} < x < x_m - 3h \\
(x-x_{m-4})^7 - 8(x-x_{m-5})^7 + 28(x-x_{m-6})^7 & \text{if } x_{m-5} < x < x_m - 2h \\
(x-x_{m-4})^7 - 8(x-x_{m-5})^7 + 28(x-x_{m-6})^7 - 56(x-x_{m-7})^7 & \text{if } x_{m-6} < x < x_m - h \\
(x_{m+4} - x)^7 - 8(x_{m+3} - x)^7 & \text{if } x_{m+3} < x < x_{m+2} \\
(x_{m+4} - x)^7 - 8(x_{m+3} - x)^7 + 28(x_{m+2} - x)^7 & \text{if } x_{m+2} < x < x_{m+1} \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

(5)

The set of septic B-spline functions \( \{\phi_3(x), \phi_2(x), \cdots, \phi_{N+3}(x)\} \) forms a basis for the problem region of solution \( [a,b] \). The approximate solution \( U_N(x,t) \) to the exact solution \( U(x,t) \) in the form:

\[
U_N(x,t) = \sum_{m=3}^{N+3} \phi_m(x) \delta_m(t)
\]

(6)

where \( \phi_m(x) \) are septic B-splines and \( \delta_m(t) \) are time dependent parameters to be identified from the boundary and collocation conditions. A characteristic finite interval \( [x_m, x_{m+1}] \) is turn into the interval \( [0,1] \) by a domestic coordinate conversion described by \( h\xi = x - x_m, \quad 0 \leq \xi \leq 1 \). So septic B-splines (5) in terms of \( \xi \) over \( [0,1] \) can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi_{m-3} &= 1-7\xi + 21\xi^2 - 35\xi^3 + 35\xi^4 - 21\xi^5 + 7\xi^6 - \xi^7, \\
\phi_{m-2} &= 120-392\xi + 504\xi^2 - 280\xi^3 + 84\xi^4 - 42\xi^5 + 7\xi^6, \\
\phi_{m-1} &= 1191-1715\xi + 315\xi^2 + 665\xi^3 - 315\xi^4 - 105\xi^5 + 105\xi^6 - 21\xi^7, \\
\phi_m &= 2416-1680\xi + 560\xi^2 - 140\xi^3 + 35\xi^4, \\
\phi_{m+1} &= 1191+1715\xi + 315\xi^2 - 665\xi^3 - 315\xi^4 + 105\xi^5 + 105\xi^6 - 35\xi^7, \\
\phi_{m+2} &= 120+392\xi + 504\xi^2 + 280\xi^3 - 84\xi^4 - 42\xi^5 + 21\xi^6, \\
\phi_{m+3} &= 1+7\xi + 21\xi^2 + 35\xi^3 + 35\xi^4 + 21\xi^5 + 7\xi^6 - \xi^7, \\
\phi_{m+4} &= \xi^7.
\end{align*}
\]

(7)

Using Equation (5) and Equation (6), the nodal values of \( U_m, U'_m, U''_m, U'''_m, \) and \( U''''_m \) at the knots \( x_m \) are obtained as the following:
\[ U_N(x_m,t) = U_m = \delta_{m-3} + 120\delta_{m-2} + 1191\delta_{m-1} + 2416\delta_m + 1191\delta_{m+1} + 120\delta_{m+2} + \delta_{m+3}, \]
\[ U'_m = \frac{7}{h} \left( \delta_{m-3} - 56\delta_{m-2} - 245\delta_{m-1} + 245\delta_{m+1} + 56\delta_{m+2} + \delta_{m+3} \right), \]
\[ U''_m = \frac{42}{h^2} \left( \delta_{m-3} + 24\delta_{m-2} + 15\delta_{m-1} - 80\delta_m + 15\delta_{m+1} + 24\delta_{m+2} + \delta_{m+3} \right), \]
\[ U'''_m = \frac{210}{h^3} \left( \delta_{m-3} - 8\delta_{m-2} + 19\delta_{m-1} - 19\delta_{m+1} + 8\delta_{m+2} + \delta_{m+3} \right), \]
\[ U^{iv}_m = \frac{840}{h^4} \left( \delta_{m-3} - 9\delta_{m-2} + 16\delta_{m-1} - 9\delta_{m+1} + \delta_{m+3} \right) \]

where the symbols ' , '' , ''' symbolize differentiation according to \( x_1 \), respectively.

Using (5) and (8) in the Equation (1) this guides to a set of ordinary differential equations of the form

\[ \delta_{m-3} + 120\delta_{m-2} + 1191\delta_{m-1} + 2416\delta_m + 1191\delta_{m+1} + 120\delta_{m+2} + \delta_{m+3} + \frac{7EZ_m}{h} \left( \delta_{m-3} - 56\delta_{m-2} - 245\delta_{m-1} + 245\delta_{m+1} + 56\delta_{m+2} + \delta_{m+3} \right) + \frac{210\mu}{h^3} \left( \delta_{m-3} - 8\delta_{m-2} + 19\delta_{m-1} - 19\delta_{m+1} + 8\delta_{m+2} + \delta_{m+3} \right) = 0, \]

where

\[ Z_m = (\delta_{m-3} + 120\delta_{m-2} + 1191\delta_{m-1} + 2416\delta_m + 1191\delta_{m+1} + 120\delta_{m+2} + \delta_{m+3})^2. \]

If time parameters \( \delta \) and its time derivatives \( \dot{\delta} \) in Equation (9) are separated by the Crank-Nicolson form and finite difference approach, respectively:

\[ \delta_i = \frac{\delta^{n+1} + \delta^n}{2}, \]

and usual finite difference approximation

\[ \dot{\delta}_i = \frac{\delta^{n+1} - \delta^n}{\Delta t} \]

we acquired a repetition relationship between two time levels \( n \) and \( n+1 \) relating two unknown parameters \( \delta^{n+1}, \delta^n \) for \( i = m-3, m-2, \ldots, m+2, m+3 \)

\[ \gamma_1\delta^{n+1}_{m-3} + \gamma_2\delta^{n+1}_{m-1} + \gamma_3\delta^{n+1}_{m+1} + \gamma_4\delta^{n+1}_{m+3} + \gamma_5\delta^{n+1}_{m+3} + \gamma_6\delta^n_{m+1} + \gamma_7\delta^n_{m+3}, \]

where

\[ \gamma_1 = \left[ 1 - EZ_m - M \right], \]
\[ \gamma_2 = \left[ 120 - 56EZ_m - 8M \right], \]
\[ \gamma_3 = \left[ 1191 - 245EZ_m + 19M \right], \]
\[ \gamma_4 = \left[ 2416 \right], \]
\[ \gamma_5 = \left[ 1191 + 245EZ_m - 19M \right], \]
\[ \gamma_6 = \left[ 120 + 56EZ_m + 8M \right], \]
\[ \gamma_7 = \left[ 1 + EZ_m + M \right], \]
\[ m = 0, 1, \ldots, N, E = \frac{7E}{2h}, M = \frac{105\mu}{h^3}. \]
The system (12) contains of \((N+1)\) linear equations containing \((N+7)\) unknown coefficients \((\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \cdots, \delta_{N+1}, \delta_{N+2}, \delta_{N+3})^T\). To acquire a solution of this system, we require six additional restrictions. These are obtained from the boundary conditions (2) and can be used to remove \(\delta_3, \delta_2, \delta_1\) and \(\delta_{N+1}, \delta_{N+2}, \delta_{N+3}\) from the systems (12) which occurs a matrix equation for the \(N+1\) unknowns \(d^n = (\delta_0, \delta_1, \cdots, \delta_N)^T\) of the form

\[
Ad^{n+1} = Bd^n.
\]  

(14)

The resulting system is effectively solved with a version of the Thomas algorithm and we implement an inner iteration \(\delta^{*n} = \delta^n + \frac{1}{2}(\delta^n - \delta^{n-1})\) at each time step to overcome the non-linearity caused by \(Z_m\). Before the beginning of the solution procedure, initial parameters \(d^0\) are established by using the initial condition and following derivatives at the boundaries;

\[
U_N(x,0) = U(x_m,0); \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, N
\]

(15)

\[
(U_N)_x(a,0) = 0, \quad (U_N)_x(b,0) = 0,
\]

(16)

\[
(U_N)_{xx}(a,0) = 0, \quad (U_N)_{xx}(b,0) = 0,
\]

(17)

\[
(U_N)_{xxx}(a,0) = 0, \quad (U_N)_{xxx}(b,0) = 0.
\]

(18)

So, by taking account (18), we obtain the following matrix form for the initial vector \(d^0\):

\[
Wd^0 = b,
\]

where

\[
W = \begin{bmatrix}
1536 & 2712 & 768 & 24 \\
82731 & 210568.5 & 104796 & 10063.5 \\
81 & 81 & 81 & 81 \\
9600 & 96597 & 96474 & 96474 \\
81 & 81 & 81 & 81 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
d^0 = (\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2, \cdots, \delta_{N-2}, \delta_{N-1}, \delta_N)^T
\]

and

\[
b = (U(x_0,0), U(x_1,0), \cdots, U(x_{N-1},0), U(x_N,0))^T.
\]

3. Stability Analysis

To implement the von Neumann stability analysis, Gkdv equation is linearized.
by thinking about the quantity \( U^p \) in the nonlinear term \( U^p U_x \) is locally invariable. Substituting the Fourier mode \( \delta_m^k = \xi^m e^{imk} \) in which \( k \) is a mode number and \( h \) is the element size, into the Equation (12) gives the growth factor \( \xi \) of the form

\[
\xi = \frac{A-iB}{A+iB}
\]

where

\[
A = 2 \cos(3kh) + 240 \cos(2kh) + 2382 \cos(kh) + 2416
\]

\[
B = 2(EZ_m + M) \sin(3kh) + 2(56EZ_m + 8M) \sin(2kh) + 2(245EZ_m - 19M) \sin(kh)
\]

The modulus of the (19) is found 1, hence the linearized algorithm is unconditionally stable.

4. Test Problems

In this section, we introduce some numerical examples including: motion of single soliton wave whose exact solution is known to test validity of our algorithm for solving GkDv equation. The initial boundary value problem (1)-(2) possesses following conservative quantities;

\[
I_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U(x,t)dx,
\]

\[
I_2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U^2(x,t)dx,
\]

\[
I_3 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U^{p+2}(x,t) dx
\]

which correspond to the mass, momentum and energy of the shallow water waves, respectively [33] [34]. To compare the numerical solution with the exact solution we use the following error norms:

\[
L_2 = \left\| U^{\text{exact}} - U_N \right\|_2 \approx \sqrt{\sum_{j=0}^{N} \left| U_j^{\text{exact}} - (U_N)_j \right|^2},
\]

and

\[
L_{\infty} = \left\| U^{\text{exact}} - U_N \right\|_\infty \approx \max_j \left| U_j^{\text{exact}} - (U_N)_j \right|.
\]

The Motion of Single Solitary Wave

For this test problem, Equation (1) is examined with the boundary conditions \( U \to 0 \) as \( x \to \pm \infty \) and the initial condition \( U(x,0) = \text{Asech}^2 \left[ k \left(x - x_0 \right) \right] \)

where \( A = \frac{c(p+1)(p+2)}{2 \mu} \) is amplitude and \( k = \frac{p}{2} \sqrt{\frac{c}{\mu}} \) is width of the single soliton. The exact soliton solution of the GkDv equation is

\[
U(x,t) = \text{Asech}^2 \left[ k \left(x - x_0 - ct \right) \right],
\]
where \( c \) and \( x_0 \) are arbitrary constants. In order to exemplify the validity of our numerical algorithm, we conceive the first case of a single soliton solution for the parameters \( p = 1, \; \varepsilon = 1, \; \mu = 4.84 \times 10^{-4}, \; \nu = 0.01, \; \Delta t = 0.005, \; c = 0.3 \) and \( \varepsilon = 3, \; \mu = 1, \; h = 0.1, \; \Delta t = 0.01 \) through the interval \([0, 80]\) to compare with that of previous papers [8] [10] [14] [16] [30]. All parameters are given in all references. For these parameters, the single solitary wave has the amplitude 0.9 and 0.3, respectively. The three invariants \( I_1, I_2 \) and \( I_3 \) together with the \( L_2 \), \( L_\infty \) error norms for the problem are documented and compared in Table 1 for times up to \( t = 1 \). As seen from the table that \( L_2 \) and \( L_\infty \) error norms are found small enough and the conservation of the invariants can be seen to be almost constant.

Solitary wave profiles are demonstrated at \( t = 0, 0.1, 0.2, \ldots, 1 \) in Figure 1 in which the soliton moves to the right at a nearly unchanged speed and amplitude as time increases, as expected.

| Method     | Time | \( I_1 \)  | \( I_2 \)  | \( I_3 \)  | \( L_2 \times 10^1 \) | \( L_\infty \times 10^1 \) |
|------------|------|------------|------------|------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Present Method \( \mu = 4.84 \times 10^{-4} \) | 0.00  | 0.144598   | 0.086759   | 0.046850   | 0.00315                | 0.06802                |
|            | 0.25  | 0.144598   | 0.086759   | 0.046850   | 0.04525                | 0.12487                |
|            | 0.50  | 0.144598   | 0.086759   | 0.046850   | 0.06683                | 0.18353                |
|            | 0.75  | 0.144593   | 0.086759   | 0.046850   | 0.09082                | 0.23617                |
| [16]       | 1.00  | 0.144598   | 0.086759   | 0.046850   | 0.13010                | 0.36895                |
| [8]        | 1.00  | 0.144592   | 0.086759   | 0.016870   | 2.2                     |                        |
| [14] MQ    | 1.00  | 0.144606   | 0.086759   | 0.046850   | 0.062                  | 0.133                  |
| [14] IMQ   | 1.00  | 0.144623   | 0.086765   | 0.046847   | 2.751                  | 5.018                  |
| [14] IQ    | 1.00  | 0.144598   | 0.086759   | 0.046849   | 1.013                  | 2.090                  |
| [14] TPS   | 1.00  | 0.144261   | 0.086762   | 0.046842   | 2.606                  | 6.345                  |
| [14] G     | 1.00  | 0.144601   | 0.086760   | 0.046850   | 0.046                  | 0.136                  |
| [30]       | 1.00  | 0.144599   | 0.086759   | 0.046850   | 0.079                  | 0.238                  |
| Present Method \( \mu = 1 \) | 0.00  | 2.190844   | 0.438176   | 0.078871   | 0.0                     | 0                      |
|            | 0.25  | 2.190844   | 0.438176   | 0.078871   | 0.038                  | 0.051                  |
|            | 0.50  | 2.190858   | 0.438176   | 0.078871   | 0.040                  | 0.048                  |
|            | 0.75  | 2.190848   | 0.438176   | 0.078871   | 0.059                  | 0.113                  |
|            | 1.00  | 2.190873   | 0.438176   | 0.078863   | 0.083                  | 0.155                  |
Figure 1. Motion of single solitary wave for (a) $p = 1$, $\varepsilon = 1$, $\mu = 4.84 \times 10^{-4}$, $c = 0.3$, $h = 0.01$, $\Delta t = 0.005$ and (b) $\varepsilon = 3$, $\mu = 1$, $c = 0.3$, $h = 0.1$, $\Delta t = 0.01$.

For the second set, we choose the parameters $p = 2$, $\varepsilon = 3$, $\mu = 1$, $h = 0.1$, $\Delta t = 0.01$, $c = 0.845$ and 0.3 throughout the interval $[0, 80]$ just to be able to compare them with earlier papers [19] [23] [24]. These values yield the amplitude 1.3416 and 0.7746 and the computations are done until time $t = 20$ and $t = 1$. We calculate the values of the error norms $L_2$ and $L_\infty$ and invariants $I_1, I_2$ and $I_3$ for different time levels and compare them with earlier papers in Table 2. This table indicates that the error norms obtained by our method are found much better than the others and the calculated values of invariants are in good conformity with the others. The motion of solitary wave using our scheme are plotted at times $t = 0, 5, 10, 15, 20$ and $t = 0, 0.1, 0.2, \cdots, 1$ in Figure 2 in which the soliton moves to the right at a nearly unchanged speed and amplitude as time increases, as expected.

Finally, we have taken the parameters $p = 3$, $\varepsilon = 1$, $\mu = 4.84 \times 10^{-4}$, $h = 0.01$, $\Delta t = 0.005$, $c = 0.3$ and $\varepsilon = 6$, $\mu = 1$, $h = 0.1$, $\Delta t = 0.01$, $c = 0.6$ over the region $[0, 80]$. Thereby, solitary wave has amplitude 1.44 and 1.0, respectively. Simulations are executed to time $t = 1$ to invent the error norms $L_2$ and $L_\infty$ and the numerical invariants $I_1, I_2$ and $I_3$. The calculated values are presented in Table 3. As can be seen in Table 3, the error norms $L_2$ and $L_\infty$ are sensibly small and the quantities of the invariants remain almost constant during the computer run. The behaviors of solutions for values of $h = t = 0.125$ at times $t = 0, 20$ and 40 are depicted in Figure 3.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, a septic B-spline collocation method has been successfully applied to the GdV equation to examine the motion of a single solitary wave whose analytical solution is known. To show how good and accurate the numerical solutions of the test problems, we have computed the error norms $L_2$ and $L_\infty$ and conserved quantities $I_1, I_2$ and $I_3$. According to the tables in the paper, one can have easily seen that our error norms are enough small and the invariants are well conserved. Stability analysis has been done and the linearized numerical scheme has been obtained unconditionally stable. Thus, we can say
Figure 2. Motion of single solitary wave for (a) $p = 2$, $\varepsilon = 3$, $\mu = 1$, $h = 0.1$, $\Delta t = 0.01$, $c = 0.845$ and (b) $c = 0.3$, $h = 0.1$, $\Delta t = 0.01$.

Figure 3. Motion of single solitary wave for (a) $p = 3$, $\varepsilon = 3$, $\mu = 1$, $h = 0.1$, $\Delta t = 0.005$, $c = 0.845$ and (b) $c = 0.3$, $h = 0.1$, $\Delta t = 0.01$.

Table 2. Comparisons of results for invariants and error norms with $p = 2$, $\varepsilon = 3$, $\mu = 1$, $h = 0.1$, $\Delta t = 0.01$, $c = 0.845$ and $c = 0.3$, $h = 0.1$, $\Delta t = 0.01$.

| Method | Time | $I_1$ | $I_2$ | $L_1 \times 10^4$ | $L_2 \times 10^4$ |
|--------|------|-------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|
| c = 0.845 Present Method | 0.00 | 4.442865 | 3.676941 | 2.071335 | 0.00 |
| | 0.25 | 4.442818 | 2.190881 | 0.438179 | 0.046 | 0.030 |
| | 0.50 | 4.442818 | 2.190881 | 0.438191 | 0.073 | 0.042 |
| | 0.75 | 4.442818 | 2.190881 | 0.438202 | 0.091 | 0.048 |
| | 1.00 | 4.442818 | 2.190881 | 0.438213 | 0.105 | 0.051 |
| [24] First Scheme | 1.00 | 4.44192 | 2.18994 | 0.437763 | - | 0.310 |
| [23] Second Scheme | 1.00 | 4.44198 | 2.18974 | 0.437642 | - | 0.325 |
Table 3. Values of the invariants and error norms for $p = 3$, $\varepsilon = 3$, $\mu = 1$, $h = 0.01$, $\Delta t = 0.005$, $c = 0.845$ and $c = 0.3$, $h = 0.1$, $\Delta t = 0.01$.

| Method          | Time | $I_1$  | $I_2$  | $I_3$  | $L_i \times 10^1$ | $L_c \times 10^1$ |
|-----------------|------|--------|--------|--------|-------------------|-------------------|
| $c = 0.845$     | 0    | 0.162456 | 0.144101 | 0.061758 | 0                  | 0                 |
| Present Method  | 0.25 | 0.162456 | 0.144100 | 0.061756 | 0.443              | 1.541             |
|                 | 0.5  | 0.162458 | 0.144100 | 0.061755 | 0.926              | 3.062             |
|                 | 0.75 | 0.162456 | 0.144099 | 0.061753 | 1.456              | 4.867             |
|                 | 1.00 | 0.162450 | 0.144099 | 0.061752 | 2.008              | 6.645             |
| $c = 0.3$       | 0.00 | 3.620369 | 2.226620 | 0.318081 | 0                  | 0                 |
| Present Method  | 0.25 | 3.620352 | 2.226620 | 0.318081 | 0.026              | 0.034             |
|                 | 0.50 | 3.620352 | 2.226620 | 0.318080 | 0.029              | 0.031             |
|                 | 0.75 | 3.620334 | 2.226620 | 0.318079 | 0.043              | 0.076             |
|                 | 1.00 | 3.620342 | 2.226620 | 0.318075 | 0.062              | 0.111             |

that our numerical scheme is useful to obtain the numerical solutions of other important nonlinear problems in various fields.
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