Approximations for general bootstrap of empirical processes with an application to kernel-type density estimation
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Abstract

The purpose of this note is to provide an approximation for the generalized bootstrapped empirical process achieving the rate in Komlós et al. (1975). The proof is based on much the same arguments used in Horváth et al. (2000). As a consequence, we establish an approximation of the bootstrapped kernel-type density estimator.
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1 Introduction and Main Results

Let \( X_1, X_2, \ldots \) be a sequence of independent, identically distributed \([i.i.d.]\) random variables with common distribution function \( F(t) = P(X_1 \leq t) \). The empirical distribution function of \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \) is

\[
F_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{I}\{X_i \leq t\}, \quad -\infty < t < \infty,
\]

(1)

where \( \mathbb{I}\{A\} \) stands for the indicator function of the event \( A \). Given the sample \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \), let \( X_1^*, \ldots, X_m^* \), be conditionally independent random variables with common distribution function \( F_n \). Let

\[
F_{m,n}(t) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{I}\{X_i^* \leq t\}, \quad -\infty < t < \infty,
\]

(2)

denote the classical Efron (or multinomial) bootstrap (see, e.g. Efron (1979) and Efron and Tibshirani (1993) for more details). Define the bootstrapped empirical process, \( \hat{\alpha}_{m,n} \), by

\[
\alpha_{m,n}(t) := \sqrt{n}(F_{m,n}(t) - F_n(t)), \quad -\infty < t < \infty.
\]

(3)

Among many other things, Bickel and Freedman (1981) established weak convergence of the process in (3), which enabled them to deduce the asymptotic validity of the bootstrap method in forming confidence bounds for \( F(\cdot) \). Shorack (1982) gave a simple proof of weak convergence of the process in (3) [see also Sørack and Wellner (1986), Section 23.1]. The Bickel and Freedman result for \( \alpha_{m,n} \) has been subsequently generalized for empirical processes based on observations in \( \mathbb{R}^d, d > 1 \) as well as in very general sample spaces and for various set and function-indexed random objects [see, for example Beran (1984), Beran and Millar (1986), Beran et al. (1987), Gaenssler (1992), Lohse (1987)]. This line of research found its “final results” in the work of Giné and Zinn (1989, 1990) and Csörgő and Mason (1989).
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By now, the bootstrap is a widely used tool and, therefore, the properties of $\alpha_{m,n}(t)$ are of great interest in applied as well as in theoretical statistics. In fact, several procedures can actually be described in terms of the empirical process $\alpha_n(t)$, the limit distributions being functionals of $B(F(t))$, where $B$ is a Brownian bridge. The fact that the limits may depend on the unknown distribution $F(t)$ makes it important that good approximations of these limiting distributions be found and that is where the bootstrap proved to be a very effective tool. There is a huge literature on the application of the bootstrap methodology to nonparametric kernel density and regression estimation, among other statistical procedures, and it is not the purpose of this paper to survey this extensive literature. This being said, it is worthwhile mentioning that the bootstrap as per Efron’s original formulation (see Efron (1979)) presents some drawbacks. Namely, some observations may be used more than once while others are not sampled at all. To overcome this difficulty, a more general formulation of the bootstrap has been devised: the weighted (or smooth) bootstrap, which has also been shown to be computationally more efficient in several applications. For a survey of further results on weighted bootstrap the reader is referred to Barbe and Bertail (1995). Exactly as for Efron’s bootstrap, the question of rates of convergence is an important one (both in probability and in statistics) and has occupied a great number of authors (see Csörgő and Révész (1981), Horváth et al. (2000) and the references therein).

In this note, we will consider a version of the Mason-Newton bootstrap (see Mason and Newton (1992), and the references therein). As will be clear, this approach to bootstrap is very general and allows for a great deal of flexibility in applications. Let ($X_n$)$_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. We extend $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ to obtain a probability space $(\Omega(I), \mathcal{A(I)}, \mathbb{P})$. The latter will carry the independent sequences ($X_n$)$_{n \geq 1}$ and ($Z_n$)$_{n \geq 1}$ (defined below) and will be considered rich enough as to allow the definition of another sequence ($B_n^*$) of Brownian bridges, independent of all the preceding sequences. The possibility of such an extension is discussed in detail in literature; the reader is referred, e.g., to Csörgő and Révész (1981), Komlós et al. (1975) and Berkes and Philipp (1977). In the sequel, whenever an almost sure property is stated, it will be tacitly assumed that it holds with respect the the p.m. $\mathbb{P}$ defined on the extended space.

Define a sequence ($Z_n$)$_{n \geq 1}$ of i.i.d. replica of a strictly positive random variable $Z$ with distribution function $G(\cdot)$, independent of the $X_n$’s. In the sequel, the following assumptions on the $Z_n$’s will prevail:

(A1) $\mathbb{E}(Z) = 1; \quad \mathbb{E}(Z^2) = 2$ (or, equivalently, $\mathbb{V}ar(Z) = 1$).

(A2) There exists an $\varepsilon > 0$, such that $\mathbb{E}(e^{tZ}) < \infty$ for all $|t| \leq \varepsilon$.

For all $n \geq 1$, let $T_n = Z_1 + \cdots + Z_n$ and define the random weights,

$$\psi_{i:n} := \frac{Z_i}{T_n}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The quantity

$$F_n^*(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_{i:n} I\{X_i \leq t\}, \quad \text{for} \quad -\infty < t < \infty.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

will be called generalized (or weighted) bootstrapped empirical distribution function. Analogously, recalling the empirical process based on $X_1, \ldots, X_n$,

$$\alpha_n(t) = n^{1/2}(F_n(t) - F(t)), \quad -\infty < t < \infty,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

define the corresponding generalized (or weighted) bootstrapped empirical process by

$$\alpha_n^*(t) = n^{1/2}(F_n^*(t) - F_n(t)), \quad -\infty < t < \infty.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

The system of weights defined in (4) appears in Mason and Newton (1992), p.1617 where it is shown that it satisfies assumptions ($\psi_1$), ($\psi_{11}$) and ($\psi_{111}$) on p.1612 of the same reference, so that all the results therein hold for the
objects to be treated in this note. In particular, weak convergence for the process $\alpha_n^*$ to a Brownian bridge is proved. For more results concerning this version of the the weighted bootstrapped empirical process, we refer the reader to Deheuvels and Derzko (2008). Note that, as a special case of the system of weights we are considering, one can obtain the one used for Bayesian bootstrap (see Rubin (1981)).

In what follows, we obtain a KMT rate of convergence for this process in sup norm. More precisely, we consider deviations between the generalized bootstrapped empirical process $\{\alpha_n^*(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and a sequence of approximating Brownian bridges $\{B_n^*(F(t)) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ on $\mathbb{R}$. Our main result goes as follows.

**Theorem 1** Let assumptions (A1) and (A2) hold. Then, it is possible to define a sequence of Brownian bridges $\{B_n^*(y) : 0 \leq y \leq 1\}$ such that, for all $\varepsilon, \eta > 0$, there exists $N = N(\varepsilon, \eta)$, such that, for all $n \geq N$ and all $x > 0$,

$$P\left(\sup_{-\infty < t < \infty} |\alpha_n^*(t) - B_n^*(F(t))| \geq 3n^{-1/2}(K_1 \log n + x)\right) \leq K_2 \exp\left(-\frac{K_3 x}{(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) + \eta, \quad (8)$$

where $K_1$, $K_2$ and $K_3$ are positive universal constants.

Theorem 1 implies the following approximation of the weighted bootstrap:

$$\sup_{-\infty < t < \infty} |\alpha_n^*(t) - B_n^*(F(t))| = O_P\left(\frac{\log n}{n^{1/2}}\right). \quad (9)$$

**Remark 1** Theorem 1 implies the following approximation of the weighted bootstrap:

$$\sup_{-\infty < t < \infty} |\alpha_n^*(t) - B_n^*(F(t))| = O_P\left(\frac{\log n}{n^{1/2}}\right). \quad (10)$$

Note that for each fixed $t$, $B(F(t))$ is a zero-mean Gaussian random variable with covariance structure

$$E(B(F(t))B(F(s))) = F(t \wedge s) - F(t)F(s)$$

where $t \wedge s := \min(t, s)$. In practice, $c(\alpha)$ can, of course, not be computed since the covariance structure of $B(F(t))$ depends on the unknown cdf $F$. Instead, suppose $(Z_1^{(1)}, \ldots, Z_n^{(1)}), \ldots, (Z_1^{(N)}, \ldots, Z_n^{(N)})$ are $N$ independent vectors of i.i.d. copies of $Z$, sampled independently of the $X_i$’s. Define the random variables

$$\psi^j := \sup_{-\infty < t < \infty} |\alpha_{n,j}^*(t)|, \quad j = 1, \ldots, N, \quad (11)$$

where $\alpha_{n,j}^*$ denotes the generalized bootstrapped empirical process constructed with the sample $(Z_1^{(j)}, \ldots, Z_n^{(j)})$, $j = 1, \ldots, N$. Theorem 1 accounts for the use of the smallest $z > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N 1\{\psi^j \leq z\} \geq 1 - \alpha.$$

as an estimator of $c(\alpha)$.

A direct consequence of Theorem 1 and Theorem 1.5 in Horváth et al. (2000) is the following approximation for $\alpha_n^*(\cdot)$ based on a Kiefer process

**Theorem 2** There is a Kiefer process $\{K(t;x) : 0 \leq t \leq 1; 0 \leq x \leq \infty\}$ such that

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \sup_{-\infty < t < \infty} \left|\sum_{i=1}^k (\mathcal{U}_{i:n} - 1/n)1\{X_i \leq t\} - K(F(t),k)\right| = O_P(n^{-1/4}(\log n)^{1/2}). \quad (12)$$
2 An application to kernel density estimation

Let \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \) be independent random replicæ of a random variable \( X \in \mathbb{R} \) with distribution function \( F(\cdot) \). We assume that the distribution function \( F(\cdot) \) has a density \( f(\cdot) \) (with respect to the Lebesgue measure in \( \mathbb{R} \)). First of all, we introduce a kernel density estimator of \( f(\cdot) \). To this end, let \( K(\cdot) \) be a measurable function fulfilling the following conditions

\( (K1) \) \( K(\cdot) \) is of bounded variation and compactly supported on \( \mathbb{R} \);

\( (K2) \) \( K \geq 0 \) and \( \int K(u) du = 1 \).

Now, define the Akaike-Parzen-Rosenblatt kernel density estimator of \( f(\cdot) \) (see Akaike (1954), Parzen (1962) and Rosenblatt (1956)) as follows: for all \( x \in \mathbb{R} \), estimate \( f(x) \) by

\[
 f_{n,h_n}(x) = \frac{1}{nh_n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K \left( \frac{x - X_i}{h_n} \right),
\]

(13)

where \( \{h_n : n \geq 1\} \) is a sequence of positive constants satisfying the conditions

\[ h_n \downarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad nh_n \uparrow \infty, \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \]

Secondly, we define the bootstrapped version of \( f_{n,h_n}(\cdot) \), by setting for all \( h_n > 0 \) and \( x \in \mathbb{R} \),

\[
 f_{n,h_n}^*(x) = \frac{1}{h_n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i,n} K \left( \frac{x - X_i}{h_n} \right),
\]

(14)

where \( W_{i,n} \) is defined in (4). We will provide an approximation rate for the following process

\[
 \gamma_{n}(x) = \sqrt{nh_n^2} \left( f_{n,h_n}^*(x) - f_{n,h_n}(x) \right), \quad -\infty < x < \infty.
\]

(15)

The following theorem, proved in the next Section, shows that a single bootstrap suffices to obtain the desired approximation for non-parametric kernel-type density estimators.

**Theorem 3** Let conditions (A1), (A2), (K1) and (K2) prevail. Then we can define Brownian bridges \( \{B_n^*(y) : 0 \leq y \leq 1\} \) such that almost surely along \( X_1, X_2, \ldots, \) as \( n \) tends to infinity, we have

\[
 \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} \left| \gamma_{n}(x) - \int K \left( \frac{x - s}{h_n} \right) dB_n^*(F(s)) \right| = O_P \left( \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} \right).
\]

(16)

If, moreover, we suppose boundedness of the unknown density, \( f \), i.e. if we suppose the existence of \( M > 0 \) such that \( \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} f(x) \leq M \), then, almost surely along \( X_1, X_2, \ldots, \) as \( n \) tends to infinity,

\[
 \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} \left| \gamma_{n}(x) - B_n^*(F(x)) \int K(t) dt \right| = O_P \left( \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} + h_n \sqrt{\log h_n^{-1}} \right).
\]

(17)

**Remark 3.** Under appropriate conditions, and using the same arguments rehearsed in the proof of Theorem 3 it is possible to obtain an approximation of a smoothed version of \( F_n^* \).
3 Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1 In the sequel, we will write $\| \cdot \|$ to indicate $\sup_{-\infty < t < +\infty} | \cdot |$. We have that

$$\| \alpha_n^*(t) - B_n^*(F(t)) \| = \| \sqrt{n}(F_n^*(t) - F_n(t)) - B_n^*(F(t)) \|.$$  

Now, it is easily seen that

$$\sqrt{n}(F_n^*(t) - F_n(t)) = \left( \frac{n}{T_n} \right) \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_i \mathbb{1}\{X_i \leq t\} - F(t)T_n + (F(t) - F_n(t))T_n \right) \right],$$

so that

$$\| \alpha_n^*(t) - B_n^*(F(t)) \| \leq S_1(n) + S_2(n) + S_3(n),$$

where

$$S_1(n) := \left( \frac{n}{T_n} \right) \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_i \mathbb{1}\{X_i \leq t\} - F(t)T_n \right) - B_n^*(F(t)) \right],$$

and

$$S_2(n) := \left( \frac{n}{T_n} \right) \left[ \frac{T_n}{\sqrt{n}} (F(t) - F_n(t)) \right],$$

and where

$$S_3(n) := \left| \frac{n}{T_n} - 1 \right| \| B_n^*(F(t)) \|.$$

We start by dealing with the term $S_3(n)$. We will treat the cases $x > Cn$ and $x \leq Cn$ ($C$ being a strictly positive constant) separately. Fix $x > Cn$ arbitrarily. Union bound gives for all $n$,

$$P \left( S_3(n) \geq n^{-1/2}(x + c \log n) \right) \leq P \left( S_4(n) \geq \frac{x}{2\sqrt{n}} \right) + P \left( \| B_n^*(F(t)) \| \geq \frac{x}{2\sqrt{n}} \right),$$

where

$$S_4(n) := \left( \frac{n}{T_n} \right) \| B_n^*(F(t)) \|.$$

Now, it is known that, for all $n \geq 1$ and all $x > n \geq 1$, there exists a positive constant $c_1$, such that

$$P \left( \| B_n^*(F(t)) \| \geq \frac{x}{2\sqrt{n}} \right) \leq c_1 \exp \left( -\frac{x^2}{4n} \right) \leq \exp \left( -\frac{x}{4} \right).$$

On the other hand, since strong law of large numbers gives

$$\left| \frac{n}{T_n} - 1 \right| \overset{a.s.}{\to} 0,$$

for all $\varepsilon, \eta > 0$, there exists $N_1 = N_1(\varepsilon, \eta)$, such that, for all $n \geq N_1$,

$$P \left( \left| \frac{n}{T_n} - 1 \right| \in (0, \varepsilon) \right) \geq 1 - \eta.$$
Consequently, denoting the law of $\frac{n}{T_n}$ by $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{n}{T_n}}$, independence of the $Z_n$’s from the $B_n$’s gives

$$P\left(S_4(n) \geq \frac{x}{2\sqrt{n}}\right) = P\left(S_4(n) \geq \frac{x}{2\sqrt{n}}, \left| \frac{n}{T_n} - 1 \right| \in (0, \varepsilon)\right)$$

$$+ P\left(S_4(n) \geq \frac{x}{2\sqrt{n}}, \left| \frac{n}{T_n} - 1 \right| \notin (0, \varepsilon)\right)$$

$$\leq P\left(\frac{n}{T_n} \left\| B_n^*(F(t)) \right\| \geq \frac{x}{2\sqrt{n}}, \left| \frac{n}{T_n} - 1 \right| \in (0, \varepsilon)\right)$$

$$+ P\left(\left| \frac{n}{T_n} - 1 \right| \notin (0, \varepsilon)\right)$$

$$\leq \int_{1-\varepsilon}^{1+\varepsilon} P\left(\left\| B_n^*(F(t)) \right\| > \frac{x}{2\sqrt{ny^2}} \left| \frac{n}{T_n} = y \right) \mathcal{L}_{\frac{n}{T_n}}(dy) + \eta$$

$$\leq P\left(\left\| B_n^*(F(t)) \right\| > \frac{x}{2\sqrt{n(1+\varepsilon)^2}}\right) + \eta$$

$$\leq c_1 \exp\left(-\frac{x}{4(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) + \eta,$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

where, in the last inequality, we have used (23). Combining (23) and (25), we have that, for all $\varepsilon, \eta > 0$, there exists $N_1 = N_1(\varepsilon, \eta)$, such that, for all $n \geq N_1$,

$$P\left(S_3(n) \geq n^{-1/2}(x + c \log n)\right) \leq (1 + c_1) \exp\left(-\frac{x}{4(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) + \eta.$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

Now we turn to the case $0 < x \leq Cn$. Again, by the union bound,

$$P\left(S_3(n) \geq n^{-1/2}(x + c \log n)\right) \leq P\left(\left| \frac{n}{T_n} - 1 \right| > \sqrt{\frac{x}{n}}\right) + P\left(\left\| B_n^*(F(t)) \right\| > \sqrt{x}\right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

Again by (23), we have that for all $n$,

$$P\left(\left\| B_n^*(F(t)) \right\| > \sqrt{x}\right) \leq c_1 \exp(-x/2).$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

On the other hand, by (24), for all $\varepsilon, \eta > 0$, there exists $N_1 = N_1(\varepsilon, \eta)$ such that for all $n \geq N_1$,

$$P\left(\left| \frac{n}{T_n} - 1 \right| > \sqrt{\frac{x}{n}}\right) = P\left(\left| \frac{n}{T_n} - 1 \right| > \sqrt{\frac{x}{n}}, \left| \frac{n}{T_n} - 1 \right| \in (0, \varepsilon)\right)$$

$$+ P\left(\left| \frac{n}{T_n} - 1 \right| > \sqrt{\frac{x}{n}}, \left| \frac{n}{T_n} - 1 \right| \notin (0, \varepsilon)\right)$$

$$\leq P\left(\left| \frac{T_n}{n} - 1 \right| > \sqrt{\frac{x}{n}}, \left| \frac{T_n}{n} - 1 \right| \in (0, \varepsilon)\right) + \eta$$

$$\leq P\left(\left| \frac{T_n}{n} - 1 \right| > \sqrt{\frac{x}{n(1+\varepsilon)^2}}\right) + \eta.$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)

Use Theorem 2.6 in Petrov (1995) to find constants $c_2$ and $c_3$ such that

$$P\left(\left| \frac{T_n}{n} - 1 \right| > \sqrt{\frac{x}{n(1+\varepsilon)^2}}\right) \leq c_2 \exp\left(-\frac{c_3 x}{(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)

Combining (28), (29) and (30), and plugging in (27), we deduce the existence of positive universal constants $c_4$ and $c_5$ such that

$$P\left(S_3(n) \geq n^{-1/2}(x + c \log n)\right) \leq c_4 \exp\left(-\frac{c_5 x}{(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) + \eta,$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)
so that one concludes, from (26) and (31), that for all \( \epsilon, \eta > 0 \), there exists \( N = N(\epsilon, \eta) \), such that, for all \( n \geq N \), and all \( x > 0 \)
\[
P \left( S_3(n) \geq n^{-1/2}(x + c \log n) \right) \leq c_0 \exp \left( \frac{-c_7 x}{(1 + \epsilon)^2} \right) + \eta, \quad (32)
\]
for some universal constants \( c_0 \) and \( c_7 \).

The proof is concluded once we show the existence of universal positive constants \( c_8, c_9, c_{10} \) and \( c_{11} \) such that, for all \( \epsilon, \eta > 0 \), there exists \( N_2 = N_2(\epsilon, \eta) \), and \( N_3 = N_3(\epsilon, \eta) \) such that, for all \( n \geq N_2 \), and all \( x > 0 \)
\[
P \left( S_1(n) \geq n^{-1/2}(x + c \log n) \right) \leq c_8 \exp \left( \frac{-c_9 x}{(1 + \epsilon)^2} \right) + \eta, \quad (33)
\]
and for all \( n \geq N_3 \) and all \( x > 0 \),
\[
P \left( S_2(n) \geq n^{-1/2}(x + c \log n) \right) \leq c_{10} \exp \left( \frac{-c_{11} x}{(1 + \epsilon)^2} \right) + \eta. \quad (34)
\]

Since
\[
S_1(n) = \left( \frac{n}{T_n} \right) \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_i \mathbb{1}_{\{X_i \leq t\}} - T_n F(t) \right) - B_n^*(F(t)) \right\|
\]
formula (3.7) in Horváth et al. (2000) combined with arguments similar to those used for the term \( S_2(n) \) imply (33). As for (34), formula (3.5) in Horváth et al. (2000) together with the by now usual \( \epsilon, \eta \) argument conclude the proof.

**Proof of Theorem 3** We start by proving (16). We have for \( x \in \mathbb{R} \)
\[
\sqrt{n h_n^2} \left( \hat{f}_{n,h_n}^*(x) - \hat{f}_{n,h_n}(x) \right) = \int K \left( \frac{(x - s)}{h_n} \right) d\{n^{1/2}(F_n^*(s) - F_n(s))\}
\]
\[
= \int K \left( \frac{(x - s)}{h_n} \right) d\alpha_n^*(s).
\]

Integration by parts implies that
\[
\int K \left( \frac{x - s}{h_n} \right) \, d\alpha_n^*(s) = - \int \alpha_n^*(x - th_n) dK(t), \quad (35)
\]
and
\[
\int K \left( \frac{x - s}{h_n} \right) \, dB_n^*(F(s)) = - \int B_n^*(F(x - th_n)) dK(t). \quad (36)
\]

Now, Theorem 1 together with condition (K1) give
\[
\sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} \left| \int \alpha_n^*(x - th_n) dK(t) - \int B_n^*(F(x - th_n)) dK(t) \right| \leq \sup_{-\infty < u < \infty} |\alpha_n^*(u) - B_n^*(F(u))| \int d|K(t)| = O_P \left( \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} \right), \quad (37)
\]
thus proving (16).

Once (16) is at hand, to prove (17), it suffices to bound
\[
\left| \int B_n^*(F(x - th_n)) dK(t) - B_n^*(F(x)) \right| \leq \int |B_n^*(F(x - th_n)) - B_n^*(F(x))| dK(t), \quad (38)
\]
in probability. By condition (K1), and provided the unknown density $f$ is bounded (by a strictly positive constant, say $M$), for $n$ large enough,

$$|B_{n}^*(F(x - th_n)) - B_{n}^*(F(x))| \leq \sup_{|u - v| \leq \delta_n} |B_{n}^*(u) - B_{n}^*(v)|$$  \hspace{1cm} (39)$$

where $\delta_n = Mh_n$. Now, it is always possible to define a Brownian Bridge, $\{B^*(y) : 0 \leq y \leq 1 \}$, on the same probability space carrying the sequence of Brownian Bridges $\{B_{n}^*(y) : 0 \leq y \leq 1 \}_{n \geq 1}$, such that for all $n$, and all $\varepsilon > 0$

$$P \left( \left\{ 2\delta_n \log \delta_n \right\}^{-1/2} \sup_{|u - v| < h} \sup_{h \in [0, \delta_n]} |B_{n}^*(u) - B_{n}^*(v)| > 1 + \varepsilon \right)$$

$$= P \left( \left\{ 2\delta_n \log \delta_n \right\}^{-1/2} \sup_{|u - v| < h} \sup_{h \in [0, \delta_n]} |B^*(u) - B^*(v)| > 1 + \varepsilon \right).$$

Since $\delta_n \to 0$, by Theorem 1.4.1 in Csörgő and Révész (1981), we have with probability one

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ 2\delta_n \log \delta_n \right\}^{-1/2} \sup_{|u - v| < h} \sup_{h \in [0, \delta_n]} |B^*(u) - B^*(v)| = 1.$$

Thus, as $n \to \infty$,

$$P \left( \left\{ 2\delta_n \log \delta_n \right\}^{-1/2} \sup_{|u - v| < h} \sup_{h \in [0, \delta_n]} |B_{n}^*(u) - B_{n}^*(v)| > 1 + \varepsilon \right) \to 0,$$

giving

$$\sup_{|u - v| \leq h} \sup_{h \in [0, \delta_n]} |B_{n}^*(u) - B_{n}^*(v)| = O_P \left( \sqrt{2\delta_n \log \delta_n} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)$$

Put (35), (36), (38), (39) and (41) together to obtain

$$\sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} \left| \gamma_{n}^*(x) - B_{n}^*(F(x)) \right| \int K(t) \, dt = O_P \left( \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} + h_n \sqrt{\log h_n^{-1}} \right),$$

thus completing the proof of Theorem. \quad \square
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