Surgical site infections following instrumented stabilization of the spine
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Introduction
Surgical site infections still pose a major complication in the field of orthopedics. In particular, infections associated with an implant are especially difficult to treat since bacteria attach to the implant surface and form so-called biofilm colonies that are often difficult to diagnose and treat. Since the majority of studies focus on prosthetic joint infections (PJI) of the hip and knee, current treatment options (e.g., antibiotic prophylaxis) of implant-associated infections have mostly been adapted according to these results.
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Background: Implant-associated infections are still a feared complication in the field of orthopedics. Bacteria attach to the implant surface and form so-called biofilm colonies that are better protected from the immune system and are more resistant to antibiotics and biocides. In this form, bacteria are better protected from the immune system and are more resistant to antibiotics and biocides.

In this form, bacteria are better protected from the immune system and are more resistant to antibiotics and biocides. Therefore, biofilm formation is currently thought to be the preferred lifestyle of bacteria and considered the most common cause for persistent infections. Gram-positive bacteria, among them mostly species belonging...
Staphylococcus genus, are frequently detected in orthopedic implant-associated infections. Staphylococcus aureus has been traditionally described as the most common causative agent, however, recently also coagulase-negative Staphylococci have been acknowledged as predominant in early (within 3 months after surgery), delayed (3 to 24 months after surgery), and late (>2 years after surgery) prosthetic joint infections (PJIs). Furthermore, an alarming increase in infections caused by Enterococcus spp. over the last 30 years has been reported.

There is an abundance of literature available on the epidemiology and treatment of PJIs of the hip and knee, and therapeutic guidelines concerning empiric antibiotic treatment or surgical intervention in implant-associated infections are frequently derived from these data. However, surgical site infections following instrumented fixation of the spine pose another major problem to the orthopedic surgeon, since removal of the implant and hence, removal of the biofilm surface is usually not recommended due to the risk of loss of correction and stability of the spine (reviewed in Ref. 19 and 20). Therefore, early recognition of symptoms, followed by aggressive debridement and irrigation, and thoughtful empiric antibiotic treatment seem to be highly important.

The aim of this study was to evaluate characteristics of implant-associated infections of the spine, in particular with regard to bacteria species detected, as well as the course of the infection, and compare these data to PJIs.

Patients and methods

Patients

We performed a retrospective single-center analysis of patients treated surgically due to implant-associated infection of the spine at a single, specialized center (Clinic for Orthopedics and Trauma Surgery, Heidelberg University Hospital). From January 2010 until December 2014, a total of 138 patients who previously received anterior and/or posterior instrumented stabilization of the spine were included in the study. The project was approved by the local ethics committee of the Faculty of Medicine at Heidelberg University (S-032/2017). Patients’ consent to review their medical records was not required by the local ethics committee. Patient’s name and any confidential information were bound to medical confidentiality and the regulations of the German Federal Data Protection Act (BDSG). Data will only be disclosed to third parties if they are pseudonymized to ensure patient data confidentiality.

Diagnosis of an infection was determined according to clinical signs (erythema, swelling, hyperthermia, pain, pus intraoperative, fistula, protracted wound healing, and persistent wound drainage), positive laboratory diagnostics (elevated C-reactive protein [CRP] serum concentration and white blood cell count) and detection of bacteria by culture of tissue samples (1 to 3 samples). White blood cell count did not show significant differences; therefore, CRP serum concentration only was evaluated in further depth.

The following parameters were examined: patients’ age and gender, CRP serum concentration (reference value <5 mg/L), bacteria detected in tissue samples, time elapsed since index surgery of the spine with instrumented stabilization, time elapsed since last surgical revision, primary indication to perform surgical stabilization other than infection (spinal tumor, degenerative spine disorders, spinal fracture, and non-degenerative scoliosis), localization of the infection (cervical, upper thoracic, lower thoracic, and lumbar spine), long-segment spinal fixation (defined as >6 levels stabilized), and the number of surgical interventions required until cessation of symptoms of an infection.

Differences between groups were calculated using chi-square test and Mann–Whitney test using Origin 9.0 software (OriginLab, Northampton, MA, USA) and Microsoft Excel. Significance level was determined as p<0.05.

Methods

Tissue samples were processed according to the following protocol: after arrival at the Department for Infectious Diseases, Medical Microbiology and Hygiene of Heidelberg University, the tissue was ground using a sterile porcelain mortar, followed by the addition of 1 mL of 0.9% NaCl. This suspension was inoculated onto Columbia 5% sheep blood agar (BD life sciences, Heidelberg, Germany), chocolate agar, MacConkey agar, SCS agar, Schaedler Neo Vanco +5% sheep blood (SNVS) agar (all BioMérieux, Marcy, France), and thioglycolate broth (BD life sciences), and then Gram staining was performed. Samples were incubated under aerobic or anaerobic conditions, as appropriate, for 48 h (aerobic microorganisms) or 72 h (anaerobic microorganisms) at 36°C. If growth on plates was detected, identification of microorganisms was performed by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time-of-flight mass spectrometry (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) as described elsewhere. Susceptibility testing was performed using VITEK2 (BioMérieux, Nütingen, Germany) or MIC test strips (Liofilchem, Piane Romano, Italy), respectively, and the
results were interpreted according to the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing clinical breakpoints.

**Results**

**Clinical data**

Of the 138 patients included in the study, 49 were female and 89 were male. Average age was 62.5 years. CRP serum concentration was elevated in 86.2% of patients, and bacteria were detected in 76.8% of tissue samples. The majority of infections occurred within 3 months of the index surgery (64.5%), whereas late infections (>2 years) were only detected in 23 (16.7%) patients. However, 17 of the latter patients had previously undergone revision surgery before occurrence of infection (14 patients within 3 months and 3 patients within 3 months–2 years). Therefore, when the time elapsed since the last surgical intervention was evaluated, merely 6 cases (4.3%) showed late infection.

Concerning the primary indication for stabilization of the spine, most patients suffered from degenerative spine disorders (44.9%), followed by spinal fractures (23.9%), non-degenerative scoliosis (20.3%), and spinal tumor (10.1%). Of note, 19 of the 28 scoliosis patients requiring revision surgery due to an infection suffered from an underlying neurological disorder.

In most cases, the lumbar spine was affected and the number of infections gradually decreased toward the cervical spine. Long-segment spinal fixation was performed in 47.8% of patients and in the majority of cases, 1 revision surgery (60.9%) was sufficient for cessation of symptoms of an infection.

The summary of clinical data of patients included in this study is listed in Table 1.

**Bacteria species**

A total of 144 bacteria species were detected by microbiological evaluation of tissue samples (Table 2). In 23.2%, tissue samples were culture-negative despite clinical signs of an infection. Polymicrobial infection was detected in 35 cases (in most cases, these were a combination of coagulase-negative *Staphylococci* and fecal bacteria, followed by a combination of various fecal bacteria detected in tissue samples).

The most commonly detected bacteria species were by far coagulase-negative *Staphylococci* and fecal bacteria (*Enterococcus* spp., *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella* spp., *Enterobacter* spp., *Proteus* spp., *Citrobacter* spp.) (Figure 1). Therefore, these 2 groups were investigated in further depth.

Surprisingly, *S. aureus* and *Streptococcus* spp. were merely detected in 7 cases.

When CRP serum concentrations of the 3 groups (no bacteria, coagulase-negative bacteria, and fecal bacteria) were compared, there were significantly higher concentrations detected in infections with fecal bacteria (Figure 2) indicating a more pronounced systemic inflammatory response in these cases.

Concerning the time elapsed since the index surgery, no significant differences could be detected between the

| Table 1 Clinical data of patients included in the study |
|--------------------------------------------------------|
| **Patients with spinal implant infection**              |
| Number of patients included in the study               | 138 |
| Age (years)                                            | Median 62.5; range 7–87; IQR 23.75 |
| Gender n (%)                                           | Female 49 (35.5); male 89 (64.5%) |
| Elevated CRP serum concentration (reference value >5 mg/L) n (%) | 119 (86.2) |
| Bacteria detected in tissue samples n (%)             | 106 (76.8) |
| **Time elapsed since index surgery with instrumented fixation n (%)** |
| <3 months                                              | 89 (64.5) |
| 3 months to 2 years n (%)                             | 26 (18.8) |
| >2 years n (%)                                        | 23 (16.7) |
| **Time elapsed since last surgical revision**          |
| <3 months n (%)                                        | 107 (77.5) |
| 3 months to 2 years n (%)                             | 25 (18.2) |
| >2 years n (%)                                        | 6 (4.3) |
| **Indication for index surgery**                       |
| Tumor n (%)                                            | 14 (10.1) |
| Degenerative disorder n (%)                           | 62 (44.9) |
| Fracture n (%)                                         | 33 (23.9) |
| Scoliosis n (%)                                        | 28 (20.3) |
| Unknown n (%)                                          | 1 (0.7) |
| **Localization of infection**                          |
| Cervical n (%)                                         | 24 (17.4) |
| Upper thoracic n (%)                                   | 48 (34.8) |
| Lower thoracic n (%)                                   | 65 (47.1) |
| Lumbar n (%)                                           | 99 (71.7) |
| **Number of stabilized segments**                      |
| Median 6                                               |
| Range 2–19 IQR 5.75                                    |
| **Number of surgical revisions required until cessation of symptoms of infection n (%)** |
| 1                                                      | 84 (60.9) |
| 2                                                      | 24 (17.4) |
| 3                                                      | 12 (8.7) |
| >3                                                     | 18 (13.0) |

**Notes:** Concerning localization of infection; the numbers were calculated proportional to the number of patients (n=138). In many cases, since more than one spinal localization was affected, the total sum of the percentages displayed cannot amount to 100%.

**Abbreviations:** CRP, C-reactive protein; IQR, interquartile range.
3 groups (Table 3). Similarly, localization of infection did not differ significantly between the groups (data not shown).

There was a significant difference in revisions required until cessation of symptoms. Fewer infections caused by fecal bacteria were treated successfully after 1 revision (Table 4).

**Comparison with PJI of the hip and knee**

Recently, we published an evaluation of patients (n=209) treated for PJI of the hip and knee. Bacteria species detected in PJI differed from surgical site infections of the spine. There were far more infections caused by *S. aureus* and *Streptococcus* spp. in PJI and less caused by fecal bacteria (Figures 1 and 3). Coagulase-negative *Staphylococci* were the most commonly detected causative agents in both PJI and spinal infections, respectively.

We were also interested in comparing the time course since the index surgery and the last surgical revision in PJI and spinal infections. The time elapsed since the index surgery in PJI (median value in months) was 48 (range 1–408, interquartile range [IQR] 105.75) and in spinal surgery (median value in days) 31 (range 3–8,760, IQR 302.5).

When we compared the time course since the last surgical intervention, there was a striking difference between PJI and spinal implant infection. Spinal infections predominantly occurred within the first 3 months since the last surgical intervention, whereas late infections after 2 years were significantly less compared with PJI (Table 5).

**Discussion**

Surgical site infection following instrumented fixation of the spine is a feared complication. Infection rates vary and have been reported to range between 0.7% and 12% in medical literature depending on the complexity of surgery and patient risk factors. Usually, revision surgery is required along with a prolonged antibiotic treatment that puts patients at high risk of associated complications and exerts considerable psychological stress. Furthermore, treatment of infections results in high socioeconomic costs.

When implant-associated infections occur on the upper or lower extremities, surgical intervention consists of aggressive debridement and irrigation, followed by implant removal, if necessary.

| Table 2 Bacteria species detected in tissue samples |
|---------------------------------------------------|
| **Bacteria species** | **n** |
| *Staphylococcus epidermidis* | 43 |
| Other coagulase-negative *Staphylococci* (*S. capitis, S. haemolyticus, S. lugdunensis, S. hominis, S. warneri*) | 26 |
| *S. aureus* | 5 |
| *Enterococcus* spp. | 20 |
| *Escherichia coli* | 8 |
| *Klebsiella* spp. | 6 |
| *Enterobacter* spp. | 7 |
| *Proteus* spp. | 4 |
| *Citrobacter* spp. | 1 |
| *Bacteroides* spp. | 2 |
| *Corynebacterium* spp. | 2 |
| *Pseudomonas* spp. | 4 |
| *Streptococcus* spp. | 2 |
| *3MRGN* | 2 |
| *Bacillus* spp. | 2 |
| *Candida* spp. | 2 |

| Table 3 Time elapsed since primary implantation of foreign material until revision surgery due to infection |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Coagulase-negative staphylococci** | **No bacteria** | **Fecal bacteria** |
| (%) | (%) | (%) |
| <3 months | 68.2 | 65.6 | 61.1 |
| 3 months to 2 years | 16.7 | 18.8 | 16.6 |
| >2 years | 15.2 | 15.6 | 22.2 |
In spinal surgery, however, implant removal is mostly not a feasible option due to the risk of spinal instability that might result in neurological injury. Additionally, patients who do not have mechanical stability are at higher risk for pseudarthrosis and loss of correction. Therefore, in spinal infections, early recognition of symptoms, aggressive surgical debridement, and irrigation, along with empiric antibiotic treatment are essential to avoid implant removal.21

The aim of this study was to retrospectively evaluate patients treated for surgical site infections following instrumented fixation of the spine at a single institution over the course of 5 years.

We found that coagulase-negative Staphylococci were by far the most frequently detected causative agents of surgical site infections of the spine, followed by fecal bacteria. This is in contrast to the majority of data found in literature, according to which *S. aureus* was most commonly detected.21,22,26,27 According to our data, merely 5 cases (3.6%) were caused by *S. aureus*, which therefore support the notion that coagulase-negative Staphylococci now seem to be predominant in implant-associated infections.

The high number of fecal bacteria in spinal infections is in line with data in literature and points toward a genitourinary or fecal wound contamination.21,22,27 One might therefore expect for these infections to occur mainly at the lumbar spine or lower thoracic spine. However, when we compared the localization of the infections, overall, the lumbar spine was indeed most commonly affected, but there was no significant difference between bacteria species, suggesting also a hematogenous spread of fecal bacteria. A high risk for infections of the spine by Gram-negative bacteria via hematogenous spread has been previously described for trauma cases.28

In our study, there was a high number of culture-negative cases (23.2%) despite clinical suspicion of an underlying infection. This could be due to the fact that these cases were falsely assessed as an infection; however, these numbers are supported by data found in medical literature and we found a similar amount of culture negative cases also in PJIs.14,22

Mok et al demonstrated that postoperative kinetics of CRP serum concentration is sensitive for diagnosis of spinal infections.29 They observed a postoperative peak in 78% of patients. In our study, 86.2% of patients showed elevated CRP serum concentrations. Of note, infections caused by fecal bacteria showed the highest CRP serum concentrations. Of note, infections caused by coagulase-negative Staphylococci now seem to be predominant in implant-associated infections.

Table 4 Number of revision surgeries required until cessation of symptoms

|                | No bacteria (%) | Coagulase-negative Staphylococci (%) | Fecal bacteria (%) |
|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1              | 63.6            | 78.1                                | 38.9% **(p=0.022, p=0.0014)** |
| 2              | 18.2            | 12.5                                | 30.6               |
| 3              | 9.1             | 3.1                                 | 11.1               |
| >3             | 9.1             | 6.3                                 | 19.4               |

Notes: **Significantly less compared to the other two groups. The difference was calculated using chi-square test. Significance level was determined as p<0.05.

Table 5 Number of patients requiring revision surgery due to an infection after <3 months, 3 months to 2 years or >2 years since last surgical intervention. Duration of spinal implant infections and prosthetic joint infections are compared

|                | Spinal implant infection (%) | Prosthetic joint infection (%) |
|----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| <3 months      | 77.5                         | 28.5 **(p<0.0001)**           |
| 3 months to 2 years | 18.2                      | 36.8 **(p=0.0041)**            |
| >2 years       | 4.3                          | 34.7 **(p<0.0001)**            |

Notes: **Significantly less; ***significantly more compared to spinal implant infection. The difference was calculated using chi-square test. Significance level was determined as p<0.05.

Figure 3 Numbers of the most commonly detected bacteria species and culture-negative cases of patients suffering from prosthetic joint infections. Data from Dapunt et al.14

Figure 3 Numbers of the most commonly detected bacteria species and culture-negative cases of patients suffering from prosthetic joint infections. Data from Dapunt et al.14

In spinal surgery, however, implant removal is mostly not a feasible option due to the risk of spinal instability that might result in neurological injury. Additionally, patients who do not have mechanical stability are at higher risk for pseudarthrosis and loss of correction. Therefore, in spinal infections, early recognition of symptoms, aggressive surgical debridement, and irrigation, along with empiric antibiotic treatment are essential to avoid implant removal.21

The aim of this study was to retrospectively evaluate patients treated for surgical site infections following instrumented fixation of the spine at a single institution over the course of 5 years.

We found that coagulase-negative Staphylococci were by far the most frequently detected causative agents of surgical site infections of the spine, followed by fecal bacteria. This is in contrast to the majority of data found in literature, according to which *S. aureus* was most commonly detected.21,22,26,27 According to our data, merely 5 cases (3.6%) were caused by *S. aureus*, which therefore support the notion that coagulase-negative Staphylococci now seem to be predominant in implant-associated infections.

The high number of fecal bacteria in spinal infections is in line with data in literature and points toward a genitourinary or fecal wound contamination.21,22,27 One might therefore expect for these infections to occur mainly at the lumbar spine or lower thoracic spine. However, when we compared the localization of the infections, overall, the lumbar spine was indeed most commonly affected, but there was no significant difference between bacteria species, suggesting also a hematogenous spread of fecal bacteria. A high risk for infections of the spine by Gram-negative bacteria via hematogenous spread has been previously described for trauma cases.28

In our study, there was a high number of culture-negative cases (23.2%) despite clinical suspicion of an underlying infection. This could be due to the fact that these cases were falsely assessed as an infection; however, these numbers are supported by data found in medical literature and we found a similar amount of culture negative cases also in PJIs.14,22

Mok et al demonstrated that postoperative kinetics of CRP serum concentration is sensitive for diagnosis of spinal infections.29 They observed a postoperative peak in 78% of patients. In our study, 86.2% of patients showed elevated CRP serum concentrations. Of note, infections caused by fecal bacteria showed the highest CRP serum concentration when compared with coagulase-negative Staphylococci and culture-negative cases.

We were also interested in evaluating the time course since the index surgery. The majority of infections occurred within 3 months, delayed or late infections were rare. Coagulase-negative Staphylococci, in particular have been described to be associated with delayed, low-grade infections, whereas fecal bacteria such as *Enterococcus* spp. have been shown to cause early symptoms.16,30 In this study, there were no significant differences concerning time course of the infection. Fecal bacteria and coagulase-negative Staphylococci both caused mainly early infections.
Günne et al showed that 76% of all spinal infections were successfully treated after 1 revision surgery. In our study, the majority of infections were indeed treated after 1 revision (60.9%), but we also found that fecal bacteria seem to be more difficult to treat than other bacteria. Only 38.9% of cases required 1 revision; in the other cases more surgical interventions were necessary.

PJIs of the hip and knee have been studied in depth and therapeutic guidelines concerning orthopedic implant-associated infections in general are frequently derived from these studies. Therefore, we were particularly interested in comparing the 2 entities in order to detect possible deviations which might be important concerning further treatment.

Compared with PJIs, we found 2 striking differences. First, bacteria species detected in tissue samples differed significantly. In PJI, there were clearly more cases of infections caused by S. aureus and Streptococci spp. and significantly less caused by fecal bacteria.

Streptococci spp. infections have been described to occur typically late, presumably caused by hemogenous spread. Since most spinal infections occurred early on, it seems appropriate that there were hardly any streptococcal infections detected. However, why spinal implants seem to be protected from late infections caused by Streptococci spp. is not clear.

Our data show that spinal infections occur mostly within the first 3 months, delayed and late infections were rare.

In PJIs on the other hand, only close to 30% of patients were affected early on and another one-third of infections occurred within 2 years. Patients with total joint replacement of the hip and knee were still at risk of developing implant-associated infections, even after 2 years (34.7%) as opposed to the majority of patients with spinal implants.

In conclusion, we were able to show that surgical site infections following instrumented fixation of the spine are usually early infections caused predominantly by coagulase-negative Staphylococci and fecal bacteria. Around 60% of these infections are resolved after 1 revision surgery, however, fecal bacteria seem to be more difficult to treat and they exhibit a more pronounced systemic inflammatory response (elevated CRP serum concentration). When deciding on an antibiotic prophylaxis or empiric antibiotic treatment in spinal implant-associated infections, not only Staphylococcus spp. should be addressed but also the possibility of an infection caused by fecal bacteria should be considered early on. Compared with PJIs, the risk of developing late implant-associated infections of the spine is rather low.
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