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Abstract. In this paper, we present Schützenberger’s factorization in different combinatorial contexts and show that its validity is not restricted to these cases but can be extended to every Lie algebra endowed with an ordered basis. We also expose some elements of the relations between the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt bases of the enveloping algebra and their dual families.

Résumé. Dans cet article, nous présentons la factorisation de Schützenberger dans différents contextes combinatoires avant de montrer que c’est en fait une relation générale valide pour toute algèbre de Lie dotée d’une base ordonnée. Nous présentons par ailleurs quelques éléments relatifs aux liens qui unissent les bases de Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt de l’algèbre enveloppante de l’algèbre de Lie considérée et leurs familles duales.

Keywords: Schützenberger’s factorizations, Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt basis, Radford basis, Cartier-Quillen-Milnor-Moore Theorem

1 Introduction

On the ground of computation, the Haussdorff group has not received the attention it deserves.

Though its definition is simple (it is the group of group-like elements in a suitable complete bialgebra) it seems that, even in the free context (free Lie algebra), this group permits to encompass many desired combinatorial tools for a theory of infinite dimensional (Combinatorial) Lie Groups.

This is done through Schützenberger’s factorization formula in its resolution-of-unity-like formulation\(^{(1)}\)

\[
\sum_{w\in X^*} w \otimes w = \prod_{l\in \text{Lyn}(X)} e^{S_l \otimes P_l} \tag{1}
\]

which provides a beautiful framework for “local coordinates” in this infinite dimensional Lie Group.

The paper is organized as follows. Section \(2\) is devoted to generalities. In Section \(3\), we present four combinatorial examples : non commutative, partially commutative and commutative free algebras and the

\(^{(1)}\) Here indexed by the partially commutative monoid \(w \in M(X, \theta)\) (Cartier and Foata\(1969\)).
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case of the stuffle algebra. Finally, in Section 4, we give the general theorem and presents the duality between Radford bases and bases of Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt type.

2 Generalities

**Multiindex notation:** If \( Y = (y_i)_{i \in I} \) is a totally ordered family in an algebra \( A \) and \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{|I|} \), one defines \( Y^\alpha \) by

\[
y_{i_1}^{\alpha(i_1)} y_{i_2}^{\alpha(i_2)} \cdots y_{i_k}^{\alpha(i_k)}
\]

for every subset \( J = \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k\} \), \( i_1 > i_2 > \cdots > i_k \), of \( I \) which contains the support of \( \alpha \) (it is easily shown that the value of \( Y^\alpha \) does not depend on the choice of \( J \supset \text{supp}(\alpha) \)).

In particular, if \( (e_i)_{i \in I} \) denotes the canonical basis of \( \mathbb{N}^{|I|} \), one has \( Y^{e_i} = y_i \).

**Characteristic:** Throughout the paper, \( k \) denotes a field of characteristic 0.

3 Combinatorial examples

3.1 Non Commutative case

Let \( X \) be an alphabet (totally) ordered with \(<\). We denote by \( \text{Lyn}(X) \) the set of Lyndon words with letters in \( X \). The standard factorization (Reutenauer (1993)) of \( l \in \text{Lyn}(X) \) is denoted by \( \sigma(l) = (l_1, l_2) \) where \( l_2 \) is the Lyndon proper right-factor of \( l \) of maximal length. The standard factorization and the fact that every word \( w \in X^* \) can be factorized as a decreasing product of Lyndon words allow us to define a triangular basis \( (P_w)_{w \in X^*} \) of Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt type for the free algebra \( k \langle X \rangle \) as follows:

\[
P_w = \begin{cases} 
  w & \text{if } |w| = 1; \\
  [P_{l_1}, P_{l_2}] & \text{if } w = l \in \text{Lyn}(X) \text{ and } (l_1, l_2) = \sigma(l); \\
  P_{l_1}^{\alpha_{l_1}} \cdots P_{l_n}^{\alpha_{l_n}} & \text{if } w = l_{i_1}^{\alpha_{i_1}} \cdots l_{i_k}^{\alpha_{i_k}} \text{ with } l_1 > \cdots > l_n.
\end{cases}
\]

(3)

This basis is triangular because one has

\[
P_w = w + \sum_{u \succ w} (P|u)u.
\]

Because of the multihomogeneity of \( (P_w)_{w \in X^*} \), it is possible to construct a basis \( (S_w)_{w \in X^*} \) of \( k \langle X \rangle \) satisfying \( (S_u|P_v) = \delta_{uv} \), for all \( u, v \in X^* \). One can show that (Reutenauer (1993)) \( S_w \) is given by

\[
S_w = \begin{cases} 
  w & \text{if } |w| = 1; \\
  xS_u & \text{if } w = xu \text{ and } w \in \text{Lyn}(X); \\
  \frac{S_{l_1}^{\alpha_{l_1}} \cdots S_{l_k}^{\alpha_{l_k}}}{\alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_k!} & \text{if } w = l_{i_1}^{\alpha_{i_1}} \cdots l_{i_k}^{\alpha_{i_k}} \text{ (decreasing factorization)}.
\end{cases}
\]

(4)

With these notations, the following equality holds:

\[
\sum_{w \in X^*} w \otimes w = \prod_{l \in \text{Lyn}(X)} \exp(S_l \otimes P_l)
\]

(5)
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where the product in the right-hand side is the shuffle product on the left and the concatenation product on the right.

3.2 Partially commutative case

Let $X$ be a set and $\theta \subset X \times X$ a symmetric and antireflexive relation on $X$ (here, antireflexive means that for all $x \in X$, $(x, x) \notin \theta$. We denote by $M(X, \theta)$ the free partially commutative monoid over $X$ (Cartier and Foata [1969], Viennot [1986]). It is defined by generators and relations by

$$M(X, \theta) = \langle X, \{(xy, yx)\}_{(x,y) \in \theta} \rangle_{\text{Mon}}. \quad (6)$$

Let $k\langle X, \theta \rangle$ denote the partially commutative free algebra over $X$ (Duchamp and Krob [1992]), defined by generators and relations by $\langle X, (xy = yx)_{(x,y) \in \theta} \rangle_{k\text{-alg}}$, and $k[M(X, \theta)]$ the algebra of the partially commutative free monoid. By universal arguments, one can easily see that

$$k\langle X, \theta \rangle \cong k[M(X, \theta)]. \quad (7)$$

Therefore, it is possible to consider the elements of $k[M(X, \theta)]$ as polynomials over the partially commutative free monoid and set, for all $P \in k[M(X, \theta)]$,

$$P = \sum_{m \in M(X, \theta)} \langle P|m \rangle m. \quad (8)$$

We are interested in the Hopf algebra structure of $(k\langle X, \theta \rangle, \mu, 1_{M(X,\theta)}, \Delta, \epsilon, S)$ where $(\mu$ and $1_{M(X,\theta)}$ being straightforward) $\epsilon(P) = \langle P|1 \rangle$, $\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$ and $S(x_1 \ldots x_n) = (-1)^n x_n \ldots x_1$. It is known that the primitive elements of $k(X, \theta)$ are the elements of the free partially commutative Lie algebra $L_k(X, \theta) : \text{Prim}(k\langle X, \theta \rangle) = L_k(X, \theta)$.

Moreover, it is possible to generalize Lyndon words to the partially commutative monoids (Lalonde [1993]) : a partially commutative Lyndon word is a non-empty, primitive (partially commutative) word which is minimal (for the order on $M(X, \theta)$ induced by the lexicographic order on well chosen normal forms (Krob and Lalonde [1993])) in its conjugacy class. We denote their set by $\text{Lyn}(X, \theta)$. Krob and Lalonde have generalized the standard factorization of Lyndon words to the partially commutative case :

**Proposition 3.1** Let $w$ belong to $M(X, \theta)$ with length $\geq 2$. Then there exists a unique factorization $w = fn$, called standard factorization of $w$, this unique pair being denoted by $\sigma(w) = (f, n)$, such that

1. $f \neq 1$;

2. $n \in \text{Lyn}(X, \theta)$;

3. $n$ is minimal among all possible partially commutative Lyndon words that provide a factorization of $w$.

Moreover, if $l \in \text{Lyn}(X, \theta)$ with length $\geq 2$ and with $a_i$ as unique initial letter, and if $\sigma(l) = (f, n)$ is the standard factorization of $l$, then $f \in \text{Lyn}(X, \theta)$ with $a_i$ as unique initial letter and $f < l < n$. 
These properties allow us to construct a family \( (P_l)_{l \in \text{Lyn}(X, \theta)} \) in the same way as in the commutative case (see Eq. (3)). One can show \(^{(Lalonde (1993))}\) that this family forms a basis of \( L^k(X, \theta) \) and that \( P_l \) satisfies

\[
P_l = l + \sum_{l' > l, l' \in \text{Lyn}(X, \theta)} \alpha_{l'} l'.
\]  

(9)

Moreover, it is possible to show that each partially commutative word admits a unique nonincreasing factorization in terms of (partially commutative) Lyndon words. Therefore, one can define \( P_w, w \in M(X, \theta) \) and show that (for example by translating the proof of \(^{\text{Reutenauer (1993)}}\) in the language of partially commutative words)

\[
P_w = w + \sum_{u > w \in M(X, \theta)} \langle P_w | u \rangle u.
\]  

(10)

Finally, the non commutative construction can be extended to the dual family \( S_w \) and this allows us to write the following factorization

\[
\sum_{w \in M(X, \theta)} w \otimes w = \prod_{l \in \text{Lyn}(X, \theta)} \exp S_l \otimes P_l.
\]  

(11)

**Remark 3.2** Note that Reutenauer had noticed that the construction of the dual basis is possible in every enveloping algebra (see Theorem 5.3 and Section 5.7 of \(^{\text{Reutenauer (1993)}}\)).

### 3.3 Commutative case

The commutative case is obtained from the partially commutative setting by choosing \( \theta = X \times X - \text{diag}(X) \) (where \( \text{diag}(X) = \{(x, x), x \in X\} \)). Then \( k(X, \theta) = k[X] \) is the algebra of commutative polynomials and the primitive elements are the homogeneous polynomials of degree 1:

\[
\text{Prim}(k[X]) = k.X = \left\{ P = \sum_{x \in X} \langle P | x \rangle x \right\}.
\]  

(12)

The set of Lyndon words is \( X \). The specialization of equation (1) yields

\[
\prod_{x \in X} \exp(x \otimes x) = \sum_{\alpha \in N(X)} X^\alpha \otimes X^\alpha = \sum_{w \in \text{X}^\oplus} w \otimes w.
\]  

(13)

Indeed, with \( x \) a letter, one has

\[
x^{k} \ominus x = \frac{(k + 1)!}{k!} x^{k+1} = (k + 1)x^k.
\]  

(14)

Thus,

\[
\prod_{x \in X} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{x^{\omega n} \otimes x^n}{n!} = \prod_{x \in X} \sum_{n \geq 0} x^n \otimes x^n
\]  

(15)

and one easily recovers the result.
3.4 Stuffle algebra

Let \( Y = \{ y_i \}_{i \geq 1} \). We endow \( k\langle Y \rangle \) with the stuffle product given by the following recursion: for all \( y_i, y_j \in Y \) and for all \( u, v \in Y^* \),

\[
\begin{aligned}
&u \text{|
\begin{array}{c}
\text{1} \\
\text{u} \\
\end{array}
\text{=} \text{1} \text{|
\begin{array}{c}
\text{u} \\
\end{array}
\text{=} \text{u}; \\
y_i u \text{|
\begin{array}{c}
\text{y}_j v \\
\end{array}
\text{=} y_i (u \text{|
\begin{array}{c}
\text{y}_j v \\
\end{array}
) + y_j (u \text{|
\begin{array}{c}
\text{y}_i u \\
\end{array}
) + y_{i+j} (u \text{|
\begin{array}{c}
\text{v} \\
\end{array}
).}
\end{aligned}
\]
\]

(16)

We define on \( k\langle Y \rangle \) a gradation with values in \( \mathbb{N} \) given by an integer valued weight function on \( Y^* \). It is a morphism of monoids given on the letters by

\[
|y_s| = s.
\]

Thus

\[
|w| = \sum_{k=1}^{k(w)} |w[k]|,
\]

(17)

the only word of weight 0 is the empty word and the number of words of weight \( n > 0 \) is \( 2^n - 1 \). Therefore, \( (k\langle Y \rangle, \text{conc}, 1Y^*) \) is graded in finite dimensions. The stuffle product then admits a dual law denoted by \( \Delta \). It satisfies

\[
\langle u \text{|
\begin{array}{c}
\text{v} \\
\end{array}
\righthalfarrow w \rangle = \langle u \otimes v | \Delta \text{|
\begin{array}{c}
\text{w} \\
\end{array}
\rangle, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in Y^*. \]
\]

(18)

It is given on the letters by

\[
\Delta \text{|
\begin{array}{c}
\text{y}_s \rangle = y_s \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y_s + \sum_{s_1 + s_2 = s} y_{s_1} \otimes y_{s_2}
\]

(19)

and one can prove that \( \Delta \) is a morphism of algebras from \( k\langle Y \rangle \) to \( k\langle Y \rangle \otimes k\langle Y \rangle \).

Then, \( (k\langle Y \rangle, \text{conc}, 1Y^*, \Delta, \epsilon) \) is a \( \mathbb{N} \)-graded cocommutative bialgebra. Thus, it is possible to apply the Cartier-Quillen-Milnor-Moore theorem which ensures that \( k\langle Y \rangle \) is the enveloping algebra of the (Lie-) algebra of its primitive elements (we recall that \( \text{char}(k) = 0 \)):

\[
k\langle Y \rangle \equiv U(\text{Prim}(k\langle Y \rangle)).
\]

(20)

Let \( (B, <) \) be any totally ordered basis of \( \text{Prim}(k\langle Y \rangle) \) and \( (S_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}(B)} \) the dual basis of \( (B^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}(B)} \). By the general setting (see below), one has

\[
\prod_{b \in B} \exp(S_b \otimes b) = \sum_{w \in Y^*} w \otimes w.
\]

(21)

4 General setting.

4.1 From PBW to Radford

As potential combinatorial applications include: free Lie algebra (noncommutative or with partial commutations as presented above) and finite dimensional Lie algebra where the factorization has only a finite number of terms, we prefer to state the result with its full generality (i.e. considering an arbitrary Lie algebra). Let us first give the context.
Let \( \mathfrak{g} \) be a \( k \)-Lie algebra and \( B = (b_i)_{i \in I} \) be an ordered basis of it. The PBW theorem states exactly that \((B^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}(I)}\) is a basis of \( \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \).

Now, one considers, in \( \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \), \((S_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}(I)}\), the dual family, i.e., the family of linear forms on \( \mathcal{U} \) defined by

\[
\langle S_\alpha | B^\beta \rangle = \delta_{\alpha, \beta} .
\]

(22)

One has

\[
S_\alpha * S_\beta = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}(I)} \langle S_\alpha * S_\beta | B^\gamma \rangle S^\gamma = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}(I)} \langle S_\alpha \otimes S_\beta | \Delta(B^\gamma) \rangle \otimes S^\gamma = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}(I)} \sum_{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = \gamma} \frac{\gamma!}{\gamma_1! \gamma_2!} B^{\gamma_1} \otimes B^{\gamma_2} \otimes S^\gamma = \frac{(\alpha + \beta)!}{\alpha! \beta!} S_{\alpha + \beta}
\]

(23)

which shows that the family \( T_\alpha = \alpha! S_\alpha \) is multiplicative \((T_\alpha * T_\beta = T_{\alpha + \beta})\).

**Remark 4.1** At first, the right-hand-side member of equality (1) of relation (23) may provide an infinite sum (we do not know whether \( S_\alpha * S_\beta \in \text{span}_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}(I)}(S_\gamma) \)) as, for a suitable topology, every \( \phi \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^* \) reads

\[
\phi = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}(I)} \langle \phi | B^\gamma \rangle S_\gamma .
\]

Now, one can see that since the setting of identity (1) requires, in general, infinite sums and products, we need to have at our disposal a topology, a convergence criterion or some limiting process. This will be done by endowing \( \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \) with the discrete topology and \( \text{End}_k(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})) \) with the topology of pointwise convergence. This means that a net \((f_i)_{i \in A} (A \text{ is a directed set})\) converges to \( g \in \text{End}_k(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})) \) iff

\[
(\forall b \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}))(\exists N \in A)(\forall i \geq N)(f_i(b) = g(b)) .
\]

(25)

This gives the two following derived criteria considering the partial sums and products.

A family \((f_i)_{i \in J}\) will be said **summable** if the net of partial sums

\[
S_F = \sum_{j \in F} f_j
\]

(for \( F \) any finite subset of \( J \)) converges to some \( g \in \text{End}_k(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})) \). This can be formalized as

\[
(\forall b \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}))(\exists F \subset_{\text{finite}} J)(\forall F' \subset F' \subset_{\text{finite}} J) \implies \left( \sum_{j \in F'} f_j \right)(b) = g(b) .
\]

(26)

---

(10) As this family is (linearly) free, the correspondence \( k[t] \to \mathcal{U}^* \) is an isomorphism onto its image. This image is exactly the space of linear forms that are of finite support on the PBW basis \((B^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}(I)}\).

(11) A directed (or filtered) set is an ordered set \((A, \prec)\) such that every pair of elements is bounded above i.e.

\[
(\forall a, b \in A)(\exists c \in A)(a \preceq c, b \geq c) .
\]

(24)
Similarly, a family \((f_i)_{i \in I}\) (this time we need that \(I\) be totally ordered) will be said mutipliable (w.r.t. convolution) if the net of partial products

\[
M_F = \prod_{j \in F} f_j
\]

(for \(F\) any finite subset of \(I\)) converges to some \(g \in \text{End}_k(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}))\). This will be formalized as

\[
(\forall b \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}))(\exists F \subset \text{finite } I)(\forall F') (F' \subset \text{finite } I) \implies \left( \prod_{j \in F'} f_j \right)(b) = g(b).
\]

(27)

We are now in position to state the general factorization theorem.

**Theorem 4.2** Let \(k\) be a field of characteristic zero, \(g\) a \(k\)-Lie algebra, \(B = (b_i)_{i \in I}\) be an ordered basis of it and \((B^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}(I)}\) be the associated PBW basis. Denoting \((S_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}(I)}\) the dual family of \((B^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}(I)}\) in \(\mathcal{U}^*\), one gets the following

\[
\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}(I)} S_\alpha \otimes B^\alpha = \prod_{i \in I} \exp(S_{e_i} \otimes B^{e_i})
\]

(28)

where \(e_i\) denotes the canonical basis of \(\mathbb{N}(I)\) (given by \(e_i(j) = \delta_{ij}\)).

**Remark 4.3** The two members of (28) are in fact a resolution of the identity through the mapping

\[
\Phi : V^* \otimes V \to \text{End}^{\text{finite}}(V)
\]

which associates to each separated tensor \(f \otimes v \in V^* \otimes V\) the endomorphism \(\Phi(f \otimes v) : b \mapsto f(b) \cdot v\). This mapping extends by continuity to series and gives \(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}(I)} S_\alpha \otimes B^\alpha\) as an expression of \(Id_\mathcal{U}\).

### 4.2 From Radford to PBW

In this paragraph, we take the problem the other way round, starting from a family of linear forms (within \(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})\)) \((T_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}(I)}\) such that \(T_\alpha \ast T_\beta = T_{\alpha + \beta}\) (such a family is called a Radford family) and that is in duality with some basis \((B^{[\alpha]})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}(I)}\) of \(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})\) (that is, one has \((T_\alpha|B^{[\beta]}) = \delta_{\alpha \beta}\)). Here, the brackets around the multiindex recall that \(B^{[\beta]}\) is not a product as defined in section 2.

**Theorem 4.4** Let \((T_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}(I)}\) be a multiplicative basis of \(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^*\) in duality with some basis \((B^{[\alpha]})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}(I)}\) of \(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})\). Then \((B^{[e_i]})_{i \in I}\) is a basis of \(\mathfrak{g}\).

**Remark 4.5** This technique is originated from the application of the CQMM Theorem to the stuffle algebra \((k\langle Y\rangle, \text{conc}, 1_Y^\ast, \text{shuf}, \epsilon)\) with \(\text{Prim}(k\langle Y\rangle) = \mathfrak{g}\) and \(k\langle Y\rangle = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})\). Note that, in that case, \(y_p\) is not primitive anymore if \(p > 1\). Indeed, one can use \(\log_*(I)\) which is a projector on the space of primitive elements \(\text{Prim}(k \lessdot Y)\):

\[
\log_*(I)(y_p) = y_p - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p_1 + p_2 = p} y_{p_1} y_{p_2}
+ \frac{1}{3} \sum_{p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = p} y_{p_1} y_{p_2} y_{p_3}
- \frac{1}{4} \ldots
\]

(29)
For example,

\[ \log^*(I)(y_4) = y_4 - \frac{1}{2}(y_1y_3 + y_2y_2 + y_3y_1) + \frac{1}{3}(y_1y_1y_2 + y_1y_2y_1 + y_2y_1y_1) - \frac{1}{4}y_1^4. \] (30)

For the stuffle product, the set \( Y \) forms a transcendence basis.

We recall here the recursive definition of the dual product of the stuffle product:

\[ \Delta_{\text{id}}(y_nw) = \Delta_{\text{id}}(y_n)\Delta_{\text{id}}(w); \]
\[ \Delta_{\text{id}}(y_n) = y_n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y_n + \sum_{p+q=n \atop p,q \geq 1} y_p \otimes y_q. \] (31)

One has

\[ \langle u \boxdot v | w \rangle = \langle u \otimes v | \Delta_{\text{id}} w \rangle. \]

Thus

\[
\begin{align*}
y_p u \boxdot y_q v &= \sum_{w \in X^*} \langle y_p u \boxdot y_q v | w \rangle w \\
&= \sum_{w \in X^*} \langle y_p u \otimes y_q v | \Delta_{\text{id}}(w) \rangle w \\
&= \langle y_p u \otimes y_q v | \Delta_{\text{id}}(1) \rangle + \sum_{w \in Y^+} \langle y_p u \otimes y_q v | \Delta_{\text{id}}(w) \rangle w \\
&= \sum_{r \geq 1} \langle y_p u \otimes y_q v | \Delta_{\text{id}}(y_r w) \rangle y_r w \\
&= \sum_{r \geq 1} \langle y_p u \otimes y_q v | (y_r \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y_r) \Delta_{\text{id}}(w) \rangle y_r w \\
&= \sum_{r \geq 1} \langle y_p u \otimes y_q v | (y_r \otimes 1) \Delta_{\text{id}}(w) \rangle y_r w + \sum_{r \geq 1} \langle y_p u \otimes y_q v | (1 \otimes y_r) \Delta_{\text{id}}(w) \rangle y_r w \\
&+ \sum_{r \geq 1} \sum_{r_1 + r_2 = r} \langle y_p u \otimes y_q v | (y_{r_1} \otimes y_{r_2}) \Delta_{\text{id}}(w) \rangle y_r w \\
&= \langle u \otimes y_q v | \Delta_{\text{id}}(w) \rangle y_p u + \langle y_p u \otimes v | \Delta_{\text{id}}(w) \rangle y_q v + \langle u \otimes v | \Delta_{\text{id}}(w) \rangle y_{p+q} \\
&= y_p(u \boxdot y_q v) + y_q(y_p u \boxdot v) + y_{p+q}(u \boxdot v). \end{align*}
\] (32)

This proves that \( (\text{Lyn}(Y)_{\boxdot}^n)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}(\text{im}(Y))} \) is homogeneous with \( |y_i| = i \).
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Now, one can consider the set of products of the form $\tilde{B}_\alpha = \left[ (B[ei])_{i \in I} \right]^{\alpha}$ and address the question whether $\tilde{B}_\alpha = B[\alpha]$. This is true in case where $B[\alpha]$ is a PBW basis w.r.t. the chosen order.

Therefore, when one starts with a Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt basis, the factorization (28) holds. If one starts with a multiplicative family $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}(I)}$, the following identity holds

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}(I)} S_\alpha \otimes \tilde{B}_\alpha = \prod_{i \in I} \exp (S_{ei} \otimes B[ei])$$

(33)

(where $T_\alpha = \alpha!S_\alpha$). But it remains to be proved that the products of the $B[ei]$’s yield the elements $B[\alpha]$ if one wants to have a factorization of the form (1).

5 Conclusion

Though it frequently appears in relation to the free algebra, Schützenberger’s factorization is not a specific property of this structure. On the contrary, it is a very general relation which holds in every enveloping algebra as shown by our theorem.

It is also interesting because it underlines the duality between bases of Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt type and Radford bases. We have not yet fully investigated the relations between these structures and hope to shed more light on this subject by computing more combinatorial examples.
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