Folded Structure and Membrane Affinity of the N-Terminal Domain of the Three Human Isoforms of the Mitochondrial Voltage-Dependent Anion-Selective Channel
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ABSTRACT: Voltage-dependent anion-selective channels (VDACs) are primarily located in the mitochondrial outer membrane (MOM). They are essential for the regulation of ion and metabolite exchanges. In particular, their role in energy-related nucleotide exchange has many implications in apoptosis, cancer, and neurodegenerative diseases. It has been proposed that VDACs’ functions are regulated by mobility of the N-terminal helical domain, which is bound to the inner wall of the main β-barrel domain but exists in equilibrium between the bound-folded and the unbound-unfolded state. When the N-terminal domain detaches from the channel’s wall and eventually leaves the lumen, it can either stay exposed to the cytosolic environment or interact with the outer leaflet of the MOM; then, it may also interact with other protein partners. In humans, three different VDAC isoforms are expressed at different tissue-specific levels with evidence of distinct roles. Although the N-terminal domains share high sequence similarity, important differences do exist, with the functionality of the entire protein mostly attributed to them. In this work, the three-dimensional structure and membrane affinity of the three isolated hVDAC N-terminal peptides have been compared through Fourier-transform infrared and NMR spectroscopy in combination with molecular dynamics simulations, and measurement of the surface pressure of lipid monolayers. Although peptides were studied as isolated from the β-barrel domain, the observed differences are relevant for those whole protein’s functions in which a protein–protein interaction is mediated by the N-terminal domain.

1. INTRODUCTION

The voltage-dependent anion-selective channel (VDAC) is a 30 kDa pore-forming protein located in the mitochondrial outer membrane (MOM). VDACs are mainly responsible for ion and metabolite exchange between the cytosol and the intermembrane space of mitochondria, being particularly important for the regulation of adenosine nucleotide exchange, such as ATP/ADP and NADH/NAD+1,2,3 In addition to the pore activity, VDACs interact with many cytosolic proteins, such as hexokinases6 and Bcl-2 family members,7 playing a crucial role in the regulation of apoptosis8,9 with many implications in cancer9,10 and in neurodegenerative diseases.12,13 On the intermembrane space side, VDAC interacts with both creatine kinase and adenine nucleotide translocator, playing a fundamental role in the generation of membrane potentials in mitochondria.14–16

Higher eukaryotes express three different isoforms of VDAC, encoded by three distinct genes.4,5 VDAC1 is the most abundant and ubiquitously expressed of the three isoforms, with VDAC2 and VDAC3 10 and 100 times less expressed, respectively.4,5,17 The electrophysiological features of the three VDAC isoforms were studied in detail in artificial membranes. Mammalian VDAC1 and VDAC2 are easily incorporated into phospholipid bilayers and are sensitive to the applied voltage. On the other hand, VDAC3 hardly forms pores in artificial membranes and shows a reduced sensitivity to voltage.18,19 In particular, at low voltages, VDAC1 and VDAC2 show high conductance and selectivity for anions over cations, whereas they switch to the so-called “closed state” at higher voltages, which is characterized by low conductance and cation selectivity.
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The three-dimensional (3D) structures of both human and mouse VDAC1 were solved by X-ray crystallography, solution-state NMR, and a hybrid approach. More recently, two new crystal structures of VDAC1 have been released. Overall, the results indicate a common structure for the three VDAC proteins. VDAC is a large transmembrane channel formed by 19 amphipathic antiparallel β-strands, with the only exception of the parallel pairing between strands 1 and 19. The outer diameter of this β-barrel is 4.5 nm, whereas the inner diameter is about 3.0 nm, but it is reduced down to 2.0 nm by the invagination of the N-terminal domain into the channel’s lumen.

All of the reported 3D structures show VDAC in the open state, representing the basis to characterize its permeability and selectivity at the atomic level through molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. However, simulations were not able to show the closed state. The N-terminal domain of VDAC is proposed to modulate the two states but, unless specific conditions are applied, the “closed” states are transiently and rather infrequently visited, with their structural nature still unclear.

In both mouse and human VDAC1 structures, the N-terminal domain consists of 25 amino acid residues. The central portion is folded as a relatively short helix (ca. 15 residues). A similar structure was found in zfVDAC2, in spite of the additional 11 N-terminal residues. In the five structures, the N-terminal helix is located halfway along the channel, such that it restricts the lumen and provides sterical filtering to metabolite passage. In addition, its net positive charge characterizes the electrostatics of the whole channel, both in terms of permeability and selectivity. However, the exact position inside the lumen and the folding of the N-terminal domain were questioned. On the one hand, the X-ray structures showed that the helix is attached to the channel’s wall, and, on the other hand, the NMR structure of human VDAC1 at room temperature revealed a mostly unfolded N-terminal domain unbound from the channel’s wall and partially blocking the lumen. This is particularly important since the stability of its folding and adhesion to the internal wall of the channel were proposed to be fundamental for barrel stability and, in turn, channel permeability and gating.

In addition, the N-terminal domain was also shown to be primarily responsible for VDAC interactions with apoptosis-related proteins, for which it is assumed to detach from the β-barrel wall and move out of the channel. A straightforward experiment showed that unbinding of the N-terminal domain from the channel wall was promoted by destabilizing its helical structure and that, in turn, its exposure to the cytosol outside the lumen was favored.

The three human VDAC isoforms share high sequence similarity, with the N-terminal domain having slight but significant differences. All of the three N-terminal fragments show an amphipathic helical profile, but whereas hVDAC1 has no cysteine residues, two of them are present in the sequence of both hVDAC2 and hVDAC3. Furthermore, VDAC2 has 11 additional residues on the N-term side. In light of these differences, as well as of the different expression level, the hypothesis of complementary roles was put forward. This is well supported by the work of Reina and colleagues, which showed that the swapping of the N-terminal domain of VDAC3 with the corresponding sequence of VDAC1 completely changed the channel activity, indicating that the N-terminal domain is critical for channel functionality.

Two main factors contribute to stabilize the folded state of the N-terminal fragment when it is bound to the channel wall: its amphipathicity and several specific hydrophobic contacts and hydrogen bonds. Most of the models proposed in the literature have the N-terminal fragment unbinding from the internal wall as the very first step. Then, the N-terminal domain can move out of the channel and either stay unfolded and solvent-exposed or possibly bind to the external leaflet of the MOM. In this scenario, the amphipathic profile, which is strictly interconnected to both folded structure and binding stability, is of primary importance. However, when widely used hydropathicity scales were applied, no significant differences were found among the N-terminal fragments of the three hVDAC isoforms because of their high sequence homology (Figure 1). Nevertheless, a different folding tendency has recently been reported, even in isotropic buffered solutions, with helical propensity following the order hVDAC2(12−36) > hVDAC3(1−25) > hVDAC1 (1−25).

Therefore, it is fundamental to characterize possible differences at a higher level of details to shed some light on the different functionalities of the three isoforms.

In this work, the same three peptides have been fully characterized in terms of the 3D structure adopted upon binding to a membrane-mimicking model using both experimental and computational techniques. Structure differences were also correlated with the evidenced difference in membrane affinity. The observed differences appeared to be due to subtle variation in the amino acid sequence of the three peptides. By studying the isolated peptides, we focused on the unspecific interaction they have with the lipid bilayer by virtue of the intrinsic possibility to form an amphipathic 3D folded structure. Direct information about the relative stability of the channel-bound state could not be obtained (in the absence of the β-barrel domain). However, after the N-terminal domain detaches from the pore’s lumen, its availability to interact with the various proteins related to VDAC functions will ultimately depend on its membrane affinity, such that the reported differences between the three hVDAC N-terminal peptides potentially underlie the different functionalities of the whole proteins.

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1. Peptide Conformation on Lipid Vesicles. Attenuated total reflection (ATR)-FTIR was employed for the conformational analysis of the three hVDAC N-terminal peptides in the presence of large unilamellar vesicles (LUVs). The composition of the latter was 20%palmitoyl-2-oleyl-sn-glycerol-3-phosphoglycerol (POPG) (negatively charged) and 80%palmitoyl-2-oleyl-sn-glycerol-3-phosphocholine (POPC) (zwitterionic), which was selected to mimic the overall content of negative lipids in the MOM. Figure 2 shows the amide I band region of the three peptides together with the model α-helical peptide model amphipathic peptide

![Figure 1. Sequence alignment of the three N-terminal hVDAC peptides. Differences are highlighted in bold.](image-url)
The three hVDAC peptides showed a comparable profile for the amide I band, with the most intense component at \( \sim 1650 \text{ cm}^{-1} \), which is indicative of \( \alpha \)-helical folding. The shoulder at \( \sim 1580 \text{ cm}^{-1} \), which was almost absent in the spectrum of MAP, mostly originated from the stretching modes of tyrosine and aspartate side chains. Although, at the first sight, the three hVDAC peptides appeared similar, they showed significant differences. In particular, the position of the maximum of hVDAC2(12−36) was identical to that of the model peptide MAP, indicating a regular \( \alpha \)-helical folding. Differently, the position of the maximum observed for the other peptides was lower (Figure 2A) and comparable to each other, suggesting a less regular backbone conformation. Then, all three hVDAC peptides showed a band broader than the model peptide MAP (Figure 2B), indicating superior conformational flexibility and, possibly, plasticity. This was not surprising since the MAP peptide was specifically designed to fold as a highly amphipathic \( \alpha \)-helix in the presence of lipid layers. Interestingly, hVDAC2(12−36) showed the smallest full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) among the three hVDAC peptides, with a value of 48 cm\(^{-1}\), whereas the amide I bands of hVDAC3(1−25) and hVDAC1(1−25) were slightly larger, with FWHM of 50 and 53 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. For the sake of comparison, MAP showed a FWHM of 31 cm\(^{-1}\).

However, the intrinsic low resolution of infrared spectroscopy did not allow us to obtain more details about structural differences among the three hVDAC peptides. Although the difference in the amide I band’s position was indicative, the FWHM was quite large, and we did not want to attempt a quantitative deconvolution. We preferred to move to higher resolution techniques, instead, as reported below.
2.2. Three-Dimensional Structure. Liquid-state NMR was coupled to computational techniques to solve the 3D structure of the three hVDAC peptides in the presence of DPC micelles. A series of two-dimensional (2D) experiments, namely DQF-COSY, TOCSY, NOESY, and $^1$H$^1$C HSQC were used to assign $^1$Ha and $^1$3Cr resonance for hVDAC1(1−25), hVDAC2(12−36), and hVDAC3(1−25) (Tables S1−S3). The software TALOS+ was applied to analyze the experimental chemical shift of peptides’ backbone to estimate values for the Φ and Ψ angles. Figure 3 shows the results together with the corresponding uncertainties (see Section 4 for definition of “good”, “warn”, and “dynamic”).

In each peptide, the last five residues were classified as dynamic, and for this reason they were excluded from Figure 3. This was an expected result, due to the presence of three glycine residues located in the C-terminal portion of each peptide. Concerning the other residues, most of them resulted in a good ranking. Predictions of the few residues marked as warn were excluded from both structure calculations and MD simulations.

In the case of hVDAC1(1−25), residues from P5 to K20 populated the α-helix region of the Ramachandran plot with the only exception of residue A8 (Figure 3A). Residue T6 resulted in a warn attribution due to a single outlier (see Section 4) but, regardless, it has to be noted that up to four consecutive residues with proper Φ/Ψ values are needed to define at least one round of a α-helix. Thus, by taking the reported results into account, hVDAC1(1−25) was predicted to fold as α-helix only from residue D9 to K20 on the basis of the experimental chemical shifts.

Also for hVDAC2(12−36), the α-helix region of the Ramachandran plot was populated by residues from P5 to K20 with the only exception of residue G11 in this case. Up to four residues in this range were designated as warn, namely, P5, S6, Y7, and N19, i.e., at the beginning and end of the range. Thus, peptide hVDAC2(12−36) was predicted to fold as a longer α-helix, seemingly starting from the fifth residue, with a kink at the level of G11.

Finally, in the case of hVDAC3(1−25), only N3 was ranked as warn. A lower number of residues populated the α-helix region of the Ramachandran plot. These corresponded to the positions from L10 to K20 without exceptions. Thus, the prediction for this peptide’s fold was not dissimilar from hVDAC1(1−25).

Only good-ranked Φ/Ψ estimated values were included as restraints in both structure calculations and MD simulations, together with NOE-derived interproton distances. Only unambiguous assignments were taken into account. Figure 4 shows the typical representation of sequential interproton distances.

The results found for hVDAC1(1−25) and hVDAC3(1−25) were similar to each other, characterized by short- and medium-range NOEs spreading all along the peptide sequence but with the highest density approximately from the 10th to the 20th position. The number of medium-range $i,i+3$ and $i,i+4$ interactions was higher for hVDAC3(1−25) than that for hVDAC1(1−25), although the NOE intensity was lower on

Figure 4. Sequential interproton through-space dipolar coupling (NOEs) for (A) hVDAC1(1−25), (B) hVDAC2(12−36), and (C) hVDAC3(1−25). Short ($i,i+1$) and medium-range ($i,i+2$; $i,i+3$; $i,i+4$) NOEs are shown as lines connecting the two residues involved. Three different line thicknesses are used to indicate the relative intensity of the corresponding NOESY cross-peaks, which were categorized as weak, medium, and strong.
average. Peptide hVDAC2(12–36) showed the lowest number of sequential NOEs among the three peptides under investigation. However, these were concentrated in the region between the 6th and the 20th position of the sequence, and they were of medium intensity for the most part.

Three-dimensional structure calculation was performed without any water or detergent molecule, at first, through a simulated annealing (SA) scheme. Thousand-peptide conformers were independently generated from the same starting random configuration. The 100 conformers with the lowest potential energy were selected and their secondary structure analyzed (Figure 5A). To perform a structure refinement, the conformer with the minimum average root mean square deviation (RMSD) from among the others was selected as the starting configuration for MD simulations with explicit solvent and detergent micelles. The last 50 ns of the trajectory were analyzed in terms of the secondary structure (Figure 5B).

Despite the high sequence similarity, significant folding differences emerged. The hVDAC1(1–25) showed the shortest and less regular folded fraction. A helical structure comprising residues from 9 to 19 resulted from the SA. However, a first $\alpha$-helix round was present only in 50% of the conformers. After a long MD equilibration in the presence of explicit solvent and the detergent micelle, a unique $\alpha$-helix was found for the same portion, with an additional round of $3_{10}$-helix between the 5th and the 7th position only in 50% of the conformers.

The $\alpha$-helical folding was more regular and stable for hVDAC3(1–25). A unique $\alpha$-helix comprising residues from 10 to 21 resulted from SA, then MD revealed an additional helical round up to the 24th position in 80% of the frames. Peptide hVDAC2(12–36) was definitely the one with the highest occurrence of $\alpha$-helical conformation for most of the amino acid residues. A rather long $\alpha$-helix was observed already from the SA, with a kink at the level of G11. The N-terminal portion of the helix started from P5 but had an occurrence of 50%. This was almost completely stabilized during the MD.

However, it is important to note that the overall helicity of hVDAC2(12–36) and hVDAC3(1–25) was remarkably comparable, with the most important difference being the localization of the folded portion along the amino acid sequence. In particular, the N-terminal half was almost completely unfolded in hVDAC3(1–25), whereas this showed some folding ability at the C-terminal end. In addition, a slight but significant difference was also found in the probability distribution of the helix length (Figure 6).

Although, again, these results demonstrate the marked difference of hVDAC1(1–25), some interesting differences also emerged between the other two isoforms. To finally compare helix flexibility, we selected the portion always folded as $\alpha$-helix in all three hVDAC peptides already in the SA conformers. This was the region comprising residues from 11 to 19, for which we calculated the backbone RMSD using the frame corresponding to 50 ns of our MD production runs as the reference structure. Results were in the order hVDAC1(1–25) > hVDAC3(1–25) > hVDAC2(12–36), i.e., $0.3(2) \pm 0.1(1), 0.26 \pm 0.09,$ and $0.13 \pm 0.06$ Å, respectively. Even if the standard deviation showed overlapping results, it was really interesting to find a perfect correlation with the order found based on the FWHM of the amide I band in infrared spectra (Section 2.1). Finally, Figure 7 shows the 3D structure obtained for the three N-terminal hVDAC peptides.

### Figure 5.
Secondary structure analysis from computer simulations with NMR-derived geometrical restraints. Results are shown for (A) the 100 conformers with the lowest potential energy from SA, and (B) the last 50 ns of MD trajectories. Selected positions along the sequences are marked with dashed lines and corresponding Arabic number. Whenever occurrence was lower than 100% for a given portion, this is explicitly indicated.

### Figure 6.
Helix length distribution from MD simulations for the three hVDAC N-terminal peptides.

2.3. Affinity to Lipid Monolayers. Surface pressure ($\pi$) measurements are able to provide information about peptide tendency to insert through lipid molecules in a monolayer, indicating thus, their ability to perturb the lipid package. By measuring the difference of surface pressure ($\Delta \pi$) of a given lipid monolayer at different initial values ($\pi_i$) after injection of
the peptide in the aqueous subphase, important information can be derived. A straight line for \( \Delta \pi \) vs \( \pi \) is typically obtained, from which the maximum surface pressure variation (\( \Delta \pi_{\text{max}} \)) and the exclusion pressure (\( \pi_{\text{ex}} \)) can be extrapolated as the intercept with the \( y \)- and \( x \)-axis, respectively. The composition of the lipid monolayer was identical to that of the liposomes used for FTIR measurements (Section 2.1), i.e., 20% mol POPG (negatively charged) and 80% mol POPC (zwitterionic), to mimic the overall content of negative lipids in the MOM.40 Figure 8 shows the results obtained for the three hVDAC peptides.

It is immediately evident that hVDAC2(12−36) had a remarkably different affinity for the monolayer, when compared to either hVDAC1(1−25) or hVDAC3(1−25). In particular, \( \pi_{\text{ex}} \), i.e., the monolayer surface pressure at which the peptide is no more able to penetrate, was 124% of that extrapolated for the other two hVDAC peptides. This result reflects the inherent stronger ability of hVDAC2(12−36) to intercalate between the lipids. On the other hand, hVDAC1(1−25) and hVDAC3(1−25) showed an almost identical behavior, with lower \( \Delta \pi \) values in comparison to hVDAC2(12−36) at any \( \pi \).

When these results are taken together with the folding structure and conformational flexibility, the positive correlation between membrane affinity, folding ability, and structure rigidity emerges clearly. Despite the high sequence identity shared by the three hVDAC N-terminal peptides, isoform 2 has a distinct behavior. When bioinformatic tools were applied to analyze peptide sequence’s amphipathicity, no significant differences were found among the three peptides.31,35 Results previously obtained in buffered solutions without any membrane model or artificial folding support36 were absolutely in agreement with the evidence reported here, bolstering the distinct properties of the N-terminal domain of isoform 2, even without the first additional 11 residues.37 The fundamental reasons for this remain to be deciphered, but it appeared that the subtle combination of a few single-point mutations (Figure 1) made the difference in the behavior of the hVDAC2 peptide. The available scoring functions for hydrophobic profiling were unable to predict the reported differences. Further studies are needed to shed light on this point.

Figure 7. Three-dimensional structure of (A) hVDAC1(1−25), (B) hVDAC2(12−36), and (C) hVDAC3(1−25) are shown. The final frame from the MD simulation is reported on the left hand, where only the backbone trace is shown for clarity. On the right hand, the superposition of the last 50 ns of the MD is shown (10k frames in step of 100) with both backbone and side chains represented. Structures were rotated to have the N-terminus on the left.

Figure 8. Surface pressure difference (\( \Delta \pi \)) as a function of initial surface pressures (\( \pi_i \)) for hVDAC1(1−25) in red, hVDAC2(12−36) in green, and hVDAC3(1−25) in blue is shown. The corresponding linear curve fitting obtained by least-squares minimization is reported. In the table, the values of both \( \Delta \pi_{\text{max}} \) and \( \pi_{\text{ex}} \) are given. Monolayers were prepared with 20% mol POPG and 80% mol POPC. Peptide concentration in the subphase was 1.5 \( \mu \)M.
3. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Whether the three human isoforms of VDAC have distinct and complementary roles is still unclear.4,17,39 Most of the available 3D structures of VDAC show that the N-terminal domains are folded in a helical conformation and bound to the β-barrel's internal wall, half-way through the hydrophobic portion of the membrane.21−24 As such, the N-terminal domain plays a major role in the channel functionality as a pore by (i) forming a restricted zone that acts as the main steric filter for molecules, and (ii) by determining the electrostatic potential inside the channel and, thus, its ion selectivity.26,27,31,48 The N-terminal domain is stabilized in the folded-bound state by two main factors: first, the helix amphipathicity4 and, second, several specific hydrophobic contacts and hydrogen bonds.26−29

Detachment of this domain from the channel wall is widely recognized to be the very first step of the channel’s gating mechanism, but this is also related to other important functions of VDACs, i.e., interaction with anti- and pro-apoptotic proteins like hexokinase and Bcl-2 family members.10,37 In addition, evidence was provided about N-terminal domain’s role in the oligomerization of VDAC1, which, upon apoptotic stimuli, is believed to be responsible for the formation of a larger conduit, allowing cytochrome c release to the cytosol.48

After unbinding the channel’s wall, unfolding and movement of the N-terminal domain will be promoted. Leaving the lumen will turn into the eventual exposure to the cytosol, from where the N-terminal domain might bind to the external leaflet of the MOM10,26,29,31,50 by assuming again its specific amphipathic conformation. The relative probability of staying mostly unstructured and solvent-exposed, or adhering and folding onto the MOM, ultimately depends on the affinity for the latter. Thus, the affinity of the N-terminal peptide for the MOM can have a profound impact on those whole VDAC isoforms. In particular, the interaction with surfaces of the N-terminal domain is reported to be probably the isoform mainly responsible for both ion and metabolite permeation through the MOM. This “classic” channel function is related to its gating, which requires an N-terminal domain in equilibrium between the bound-folded and unbound-unfolded state,59 in agreement with the highest conformational plasticity found for hVDAC1(1−25) in this report. In addition, the relatively low affinity for the membrane model we used suggests a high probability for the N-terminal domain to stay mostly unstructured and solvent-exposed when leaving the lumen. In this configuration, this domain can be expected to interact with the cytosolic protein hexokinase more easily than if it was bound onto the MOM’s external leaflet. Comparative studies with the other isoforms are very few but the information collected so far indicates that VDAC2 might have a predominant role in apoptosis as the Bak receptor, as well as in the modulation of the interactions among antagonist proteins of the Bcl-2 family. These pro- and anti-apoptotic proteins are located in the MOM. Interestingly, the higher membrane affinity we found for hVDAC2(12−36) in this work supports the putative primary role of the corresponding isoform. Finally, VDAC3 appeared to have an intermediate behavior within the present work, with the N-terminal peptide being more rigid than VDAC1 but sharing the same low affinity for the membrane model. This is the least expressed and least characterized isoform and further investigations are needed to understand the differences from the other two isoforms.

4. MATERIALS AND METHODS

4.1. Materials. The three peptides, namely, hVDAC1(1−25) [MAVPTYADLGSKARDVFTKGYGF], hVDAC2(1−36) [MCIPPSYADLGKAARDIFNKFEG], and hVDAC3(1−25) [MCNTPYCDLGKAADVFNKGYGF], were purchased from Peptide Protein Research Ltd. (Fareham, U.K.) as HCl salt, with amidated C-terminus and a purity of 98%. Peptide model amphipathic peptide (MAP) [K[KLAK]KLALKALKALKLA], HCl salt, 95% purity with amidated C-terminus was kindly provided by Prof. Anne S. Ulrich and Dr. Parvesh Wadhwani from the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany. Glycerophospholipids, 1-palmitoyl-2-oleyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (POPC) and 1-palmitoyl-2-oleyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoglycerol (POPG), were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL) with a purity grade of >99%. The reducing agent 1,4-dithio-D-threitol (DTT) was used at a 1:3 peptide/DTT molar ratio in all experiments with both hVDAC2(12−36) and hVDAC3(1−25) to prevent oxidation of cysteine residues and the formation of either intra- or intermolecular disulfide bridges. Perdeuterated dodecylphosphocholine (DPC-d14) and 3-(trimethylsilyl)-2,2,3,3′-tetradeutero-propionic acid (TSP-d4) were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories with a purity of 98%. All other chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) unless differently indicated. A complete list follows (with used name/abbreviation): chloroform, ≥99.8% (CHCl3); methanol, ≥99.8% (CH3OH); perdeuterated water, 99% D (D2O); phosphate buffer saline (PBS) was prepared at 10 mM total phosphate concentration (KH2PO4 + K2HPO4) and 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4.

4.2. Vesicle Preparation. Large unilamellar vesicles (LVs) were used as the membrane model in the infrared spectroscopy experiments. LVs were prepared by the extrusion method with the mini-extruder from Avanti Polar Lipids. Appropriate amounts of POPC and POPG were weighed in a dark glass vial and dissolved in CHCl3/CH3OH 1/1 v/v. The organic solvent was removed under a gentle stream of nitrogen and the obtained lipid film was placed...
under vacuum pumping overnight. PBS was used to rehydrate the film, and after five vortex cycles of 1 min separated by 1 min rest, a suspension of large multilamellar vesicles was obtained. Then, five freeze–thaw cycles were performed by placing the vial in liquid N₂ and in a 323 K water bath. Finally, extrusion was carried out by passing the vesicle suspension (1 mL) 11 times through a polycarbonate filter (Whatman). In particular, the first extrusion was performed with a 400 nm pore size filter, then with a second 100 nm filter. To mimic the net charge of MOM,⁴⁰ a composition of 20%mod negatively charged POPG and 80%mod zwitterionic POPC was chosen.

4.3. Infrared Spectroscopy. Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy in the attenuated total reflection mode (ATR-FTIR) was chosen to investigate the peptide conformation and plasticity. Spectra were acquired at room temperature with a Bruker Vector-22 equipped with a diamond single-reflection platinum ATR module and a liquid nitrogen-cooled MCT detector. The software OPUS (Bruker, V6.5) was used both for spectra acquisition and data analysis. All three peptides were investigated in PBS solution prepared with D₂O as solvent, at a final concentration of 2 mM in the presence of LUVs at a lipid/peptide molar ratio of 10. For each peptide, 10 μL of solution were placed on the ATR crystal and dried with a gentle stream of nitrogen, to reduce the disturbance by the intense solvent absorption bands and to attain a significant improvement of the signal/noise ratio (S/N). The height of the peptide amide I band (∼1650 cm⁻¹) was about 10 times that of the D₂O stretching mode (∼2500 cm⁻¹). After the spectrum was acquired on the dried film, several droplets of D₂O were dispensed all around the sample area and then covered with a Petri dish. Adsorption of D₂O vapor and rehydration of the sample were monitored for about 60 s. Spectrum acquisition was started when the height of the D₂O stretching band was 3 times that of the peptide amide I band. All spectra were recorded with a spectral window of 3500–600 cm⁻¹, resolution of 4 cm⁻¹, automatic atmosphere compensation, and 32 scans. Before each measurement, the clean ATR crystal was acquired as background and automatically subtracted by the software.

4.4. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance. Peptides were dissolved in 700 μL of a dispersion of DPC-d₃₈ micelles in PBS at a final concentration of 2 mM and at a peptide/detergent molar ratio of 1:50. The methyl resonance of TSP−4.5. NMR-Based Structure Calculation. The peptide 3D structure was obtained through the simulated annealing (SA) protocol implemented in the software Dynamo.⁵³ In addition to Dynamo force-field potentials, experimental restraints were applied to interproton distances and backbone torsion angles as explained herein. NOESY cross-peaks (NOE) were classified as strong, medium, and weak on the basis of their relative intensity, and upper limits of 0.27, 0.33, and 0.50 nm were applied, respectively, to restrain the corresponding interproton distance. The potential energy contribution was zero below the upper limit, whereas a harmonic potential was applied above. Only unambiguously assigned NOE were considered. Either Φ or Ψ backbone angle restraint was obtained from the statistical analysis performed on the ¹Hα, ¹Hβ, ¹³Cα, and ¹³Cβ chemical shift values implemented in the software TALOS+.⁷⁷ Briefly, TALOS+ analyzes the input peptide sequence in terms of successive [i − 1, i, i + 1] residue triplets. Both experimental chemical shift values, as well as the residue types, are compared to the 10 best-matched triplets in a high-resolution structure database and to the prediction output of the implemented artificial neural network. Final prediction ranking is stringent. The estimated Φ/Ψ couple is ranked as consistent or good only if the central residues of all of the 10 best-matched triplets cluster in the same region of the Ramachandran plot, otherwise it is designated as ambiguous or warn. Residues with a calculated random coil index-derived order parameter ᵄᵣ < 0.5 are ranked as dynamic anyway. Only the predictions ranked as good were used as torsional angle restraints. One thousand structures were calculated with 5k steps at 4000 K and 20k steps of cooling down to zero. The 100 conformers with the lowest potential energy were selected for the analysis. Neither solvent nor detergent molecules were included in the calculations.

4.6. Molecular Dynamics Simulations. Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were performed through the GROMACS package version 4.6.3,⁵⁶,⁵⁷ in the presence of one DPC micelle and explicit solvent. The GROMOS-53A6 force-field was used for the peptide and the SPC model for water. Force-field parameters and the relaxed configuration of a DPC micelle with S₄ molecules were downloaded from http://moose.bio.ualberta.ca/. The starting peptide configuration was obtained through the NMR-based SA reported above (Section 4.5). The 100 conformers with the lowest potential energy were aligned on the basis of their backbone; the one with the minimum average root mean square deviation (RMSD) from among the others was selected and placed in a random orientation at 2 nm from the micelle surface. Water and the minimum number of counterions needed to neutralize the total charge filled the 8 × 8 × 8 nm³ cubic simulation box. Thousand steps of energy minimization were performed using the steepest descent algorithm. Positional restraints were then applied on the heavy atoms for 100 ps. Finally, experimentally derived NMR restraints (interproton distances and backbone torsion angles; see Section 4.5) were introduced in the system topology. As Hα and Hβ are not explicitly represented in the united-atom force-field of choice, corresponding interproton restraints were elongated by 0.1 nm. An equilibration run of 10 ns was performed, followed by 100 ns trajectory production. The time step was 2 fs, and system coordinates were recorded every 5 ps. All simulations were carried out in the NPT ensemble at 300 K and 1 bar. The velocity-rescale algorithm was used for temperature coupling with τᵥ = 1.0 ps. The Berendsen⁴² and Parrinello–Rahman⁵⁸,⁵⁹ algorithms were used for pressure coupling with τᵢ = 1.0 ps during the equilibration and production run, respectively. A twin-range
4.7. Lipid Monolayer Preparation. Appropriate amounts of POPC and POG were weighed and dissolved in CHCl3/CH3OH 1/1 v/v to obtain a homogeneous mixture with 20%mol POG and 80%mol POPC. This specific composition was the same as that used for vesicle preparation (Section 4.2), and it was chosen to mimic the net charge of MOM.40 The total lipid concentration of the stock solution was about 2 × 10^{-5} M. In a circular well of 19 mm diameter, a 500 μL drop of PBS was deposited and aliquots of the lipid stock solution were spread at the air/buffer interface using a Hamilton micro-syringe. A lipid monolayer was easily formed as organic solvents spontaneously evaporated, due to self-association of lipid molecules at the air/buffer interface. 

4.8. Surface Pressure Measurements. The surface pressure of the lipid monolayer was measured at 300 ± 1 K with a tensiometer Kibron “DeltaPi”, which exploits the Wilhelmy method, described in detail elsewhere.65 The instrument makes use of a high-resolution electrobalance (resolution of 0.01 mN m^{-1}) attached to a platinum wire with 0.5 mm diameter. All measurements were performed at constant area. Filtered deionized water was used during the calibration stage by measuring the voltage difference (probe at the interface and at air) corresponding to a surface tension of 500 μL (71.7 mN m^{-1}) in a circular glass well (diameter of 19 mm). Under the same experimental conditions, the surface pressure of 500 μL of PBS was measured and set as the reference zero. By spreading different amounts of the lipid stock solution (in small aliquots) as described herein before (Section 4.7), monolayers with a different initial surface pressure (πi) were prepared in the 5–25 mN m^{-1} range. After the lipid monolayer reached a stable πf, a small volume of the peptide stock solution (50 μM in PBS) was injected into the subphase at a peptide final concentration of 1.5 μM. The surface pressure was monitored over time up to a stable final surface pressure (πf). The surface pressure variation induced by the peptide intercalating the lipids was obtained as Δπ = πf − πi.
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ADDITIONAL NOTE

“hVDAC2 has 11 additional residues [MATHGQT CARP] on the N-terminal end of the sequence. Sequence alignment showed that the hVDAC2(12–36) sequence is similar to both hVDAC1(1–25) and hVDAC3(1–25).
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