Genetic Polymorphisms of the *TYMS* Gene Are Not Associated with Congenital Cardiac Septal Defects in a Han Chinese Population
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Introduction

Congenital heart disease is one of the most common birth defects and among the leading causes of infant death worldwide, with an incidence of 19 to 75 per 1,000 live births [1]. Clinical research over the past twenty years suggests that maternal periconceptional folic acid supplementation would reduce the occurrence of congenital heart disease by 40–60%, especially for congenital cardiac septal defects (CCSDs) and conotruncal defects [2–9]. Moreover, folic acid supplementation would reduce the occurrence of congenital heart disease, particularly CCSDs and conotruncal malformations [10].

Folate acts as a one-carbon donor, which is involved in both the de novo synthesis of nucleotides and methyl transfer reactions. Therefore exploring the association between genetic variants in genes involved in folate metabolism pathway and the risk of CCSD will shed light on the mechanism how folate carries out its protection effects. Considering the interacted environmental factors, it was speculated that fetuses with the genetic susceptibility will be more fragile when challenged by the maternal absence of folate, especially during the embryonic heart development period [11–13]. So folate supplement should be supplemented during the first trimester of pregnancy.

Thymidylate synthase (*TYMS*) is the key enzyme in the de novo synthesis of 2'-deoxyuridine-5'-monophosphate (dTMP), which is the essential precursor of DNA biosynthesis and repair process [14]. Numerous studies have shown that the polymorphisms in
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**TYMS Polymorphisms and Cardiac Septal Defects**

**Materials and Methods**

**Study subjects**

We analyzed samples from two independent case-control groups. The Shanghai group consisted of 270 CCSDs patients and 552 matched healthy controls. These patients and controls were enrolled between August 2008 and February 2011 from the Children’s Hospital of Fudan University (Shanghai, China). The Shandong group consisted of 259 CCSDs patients and 324 healthy controls. These patients and controls were recruited between August 2008 and January 2011 from the Cardiovascular Disease Institute, General Hospital of Jinan Military Command (Jinan, Shandong Province, China). Among the 529 CCSDs patients, 447 had VSD, 31 ASD, 37 complex traits composed of VSD and ASD and 14 other complex traits involved VSD. All of the controls were non-CCSDs outpatients from the same geographic area who were matched to affected individuals by age and sex during the same period (Table 1). All subjects were genetically unrelated ethnic Han Chinese. CCSDs patients who had structural malformations involving another organ system or positive family history of CCSDs in first-degree relatives (parents, siblings and children) were excluded.

To screen non-coding variants in the **TYMS** gene, 32 unrelated individuals consisting of 16 CCSDs patients and 16 controls from the Shanghai and Shandong groups were randomly selected for resequencing. All study protocols were reviewed and approved by the ethics committee of School of Life Science, Fudan University, and written consents were obtained from parents and/or patients prior to commencing the study.

**SNP identification and genotyping**

Genomic DNA was isolated from venous blood using conventional regents. The **TYMS** non-coding region from −2132 to +447 bp (2579 bp, chr18: 645519−648098, NC_000018.8, GI: 51511735) and the fragment containing the whole 3’UTR (742 bp, chr18: 662863−663605, NC_000018.8, GI: 51511735) were amplified by PCR from 32 unrelated individuals randomly selected from both the Shanghai and Shandong groups for variant screening using direct dye terminator sequencing. Direct dye terminator sequencing of PCR products was performed using the ABI Prism BigDye system according to the manufacturer’s instructions (ABI, Foster City, CA, USA). Selected SNPs were genotyped using SNAPSHOT analysis (ABI, Foster City, CA, USA). Selected insertion/deletion sites were genotyped using multi-PCR amplification. Sequencing and genotyping samples were processed on an ABI 3730 automated sequencer and analyzed using SeqMan and Peakscan, respectively. All DNA sequences of primer pairs were listed in Table S1.

**Statistical analysis**

Differences in demographic features, allelic or genotypic frequencies between cases and controls were compared using the chi² test. The Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was also tested by a chi² test in the controls. To evaluate the associations between genotypes and CCSDs risk, the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated by unconditional logistic regression analysis with adjustment for age and sex.

The estimation of the haplotype frequency and the analysis of associations between different haplotypes and CCSDs risk were performed by the SNPStats web tool (http://bioinfo.iconcologia.net/snpstats/start.htm) with adjustment for age and sex [21].

**Results**

By resequencing the 32 randomly chosen samples in the non-coding region of **TYMS**, 19 polymorphisms were identified, including 15 SNPs and 4 insertion/deletion polymorphisms. Among the 19 polymorphisms, 10 SNPs and 3 insertion/deletion polymorphisms were found in dbSNP of the NCBI database and 5 SNPs and 1 insertion/deletion polymorphism were novel. According to the criteria for common variants, we chose 9 SNP and 2 insertion/deletion polymorphisms with MAF>0.1 for further exploration in both cohorts, which were rs58808873, rs9967368, rs56697663, rs2853741, rs2606241, rs9952504, rs34743033, rs73366471, rs699517, rs2790 and rs34489327.

We genotyped all 11 polymorphisms in 270 cases versus the 552 controls in the Shanghai group and 259 cases versus the 324 controls in the Shandong group. All genotype frequencies were in accordance with the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium among control subjects (P>0.05). The allelic and genotypic frequencies of the 11 polymorphisms are listed in Table 2 and Table S2. The minor allele frequency (MAF) for each polymorphism in our case/control subjects was consistent with published data of Han Chinese.

---

**Table 1. Demographic characteristics in CCSDs cases and controls.**

| Variable | Cases | Controls | P value* |
|----------|-------|----------|----------|
|          | No.   | %        | No.      | %        |          |
| Shanghai Group | N = 270 |          | N = 552 |          |          |
| Age, years (mean±SEM) | 4.46±0.43 |        | 4.39±0.15 |        | 0.87     |
| Gender |        |          |          |          |          |
| Male | 160 | 59.3 | 332 | 60.1 | 0.81 |
| Female | 110 | 40.7 | 220 | 39.9 |      |
| Shandong Group | N = 259 |          | N = 324 |          |          |
| Age, years (mean±SEM) | 7.93±0.32 |        | 8.07±0.10 |        | 0.68     |
| Gender |        |          |          |          |          |
| Male | 118 | 45.6 | 147 | 45.3 | 0.96 |
| Female | 141 | 54.4 | 177 | 54.6 |      |
| Combined Group | N = 529 |          | N = 876 |          |          |
| Age, years (mean±SEM) | 6.16±0.28 |        | 5.75±0.12 |        | 0.17     |
| Gender |        |          |          |          |          |
| Male | 278 | 52.6 | 479 | 54.7 | 0.44 |
| Female | 251 | 47.4 | 397 | 45.3 |      |

*All comparisons by 2-side x² test. Date shown in the table is means (±SEM). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0031644.t001
population in dbSNP database. In the association study, we found that the genotypic distribution of all studied polymorphisms were not significantly different between the CCSDs patients and control subjects in both two case/control groups and the combined dataset. A stratified analysis was also performed in VSD subgroup, as shown in Table S3, we did not observe any associations between studied polymorphisms and VSD. These results indicate that these polymorphisms are not significantly associated with the occurrence of congenital cardiac septal defects, including the two most extensively reported insertion/deletion sites, rs34743033 and rs34489327.

In the haplotypic analysis, we reconstructed the haplotype for the whole gene based on studied polymorphisms to assess risks for both the case-control groups and the combined group. As shown in Table S4, there were two haplotypes increasing the risk of CCSDs in the Shanghai group, but the frequencies of these haplotypes were very low (Frequency of No. 11 haplotype = 0.0163, P = 0.04; Frequency of No. 14 haplotype = 0.0136, P = 0.04). Moreover, these two haplotypes didn’t exist in Shandong group where we hadn’t observed any other associations between haplotypes and CCSDs risk (Table S5). To exclude the possibility that the different haplotype analysis results from two cohorts were due to the hidden population stratification, we tested the shared high frequency haplotypes (Frequency>5%) distributions in control groups and all sample set of both cohorts, respectively. The results showed that there was no population substructure existed (Table S6). Therefore, we performed the haplotype analysis in the combined group and found that there were no haplotypes significantly associated with risks for CCSDs (Table 3). These two positive haplotypes in Shanghai group might attribute to the bias from limited sample size and low frequency. Further study will be warranted with the enlarged sample size for a conclusive results.

**Discussion**

Epidemiological evidence indicates that periconceptional administration of folic acid leads to a reduction of the risk of delivering newborns with congenital heart disease, especially in cardiac septal defects and conotruncal cardiac defects, which makes exploring the association between genetic variants in folate-related genes and the risk of congenital heart disease an attractive pursuit. **TYMS** is the exclusive gene for de novo synthesis of dTMP, which is essential for DNA synthesis. Many studies were performed to investigate the relation between mutations/polymorphisms of coding region of **TYMS** and various diseases, such as colorectal cancer, lymphoma and acute lymphocytic leukemia. Polymorphisms in the noncoding region, however, have been largely ignored except for two extensively studied insertion/deletion polymorphisms, and limited studies have been conducted

| SNP ID    | Chromosome Position | Base change | Location       | Group    | MAF Case | MAF Control | MAF Database | Genotype P | HWE P |
|-----------|---------------------|-------------|----------------|----------|----------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------|
| rs58808873 | 645876              | C>T         | Promoter region| Shanghai | 0.174    | 0.175       | 0.200        | 0.65        | 0.37   |
|           |                     |             |                | Shandong | 0.192    | 0.164       | 0.28         | 0.31        |        |
| rs9967368  | 646021              | C>G         | Promoter region| Shanghai | 0.432    | 0.442       | 0.427        | 0.80        | 0.55   |
|           |                     |             |                | Shandong | 0.462    | 0.442       | 0.70         | 0.18        |        |
| rs56697663 | 647278              | ¬>C         | Promoter region| Shanghai | 0.391    | 0.393       | N.A.         | 0.91        | 0.72   |
|           |                     |             |                | Shandong | 0.421    | 0.408       | 0.81         | 0.25        |        |
| rs2853741  | 647353              | T>C         | Promoter region| Shanghai | 0.462    | 0.499       | 0.475        | 0.31        | 0.27   |
|           |                     |             |                | Shandong | 0.491    | 0.485       | 0.92         | 0.12        |        |
| rs2606241  | 647444              | A>CD5       | Promoter region| Shanghai | 0.371    | 0.347       | 0.425        | 0.27        | 1      |
|           |                     |             |                | Shandong | 0.408    | 0.431       | 0.50         | 0.11        |        |
| rs9952504  | 647459              | A>G         | Promoter region| Shanghai | 0.0760   | 0.0695      | 0.092        | 0.90        | 0.17   |
|           |                     |             |                | Shandong | 0.106    | 0.0890      | 0.61         | 0.30        |        |
| rs34743033 | 647675              | I>D         | 5'UTR          | Shanghai | 0.202    | 0.201       | 0.237        | 0.53        | 0.43   |
|           |                     |             |                | Shandong | 0.195    | 0.204       | 0.89         | 0.49        |        |
| rs73666471 | 648036              | A>G         | Introns 1      | Shanghai | 0.0740   | 0.0660      | N.A.         | 0.80        | 0.29   |
|           |                     |             |                | Shandong | 0.0545   | 0.0555      | 0.96         | 0.25        |        |
| rs699517   | 663017              | T>C         | 3'UTR          | Shanghai | 0.313    | 0.285       | 0.300        | 0.49        | 1      |
|           |                     |             |                | Shandong | 0.301    | 0.345       | 0.28         | 0.39        |        |
| rs2790     | 663087              | A>G         | 3'UTR          | Shanghai | 0.368    | 0.390       | 0.375        | 0.22        | 0.089  |
|           |                     |             |                | Shandong | 0.423    | 0.389       | 0.17         | 0.91        |        |
| rs34489327 | 663445              | D>I         | 3'UTR          | Shanghai | 0.311    | 0.316       | 0.302        | 0.71        | 0.17   |
|           |                     |             |                | Shandong | 0.333    | 0.326       | 0.97         | 0.38        |        |

*MAF, minor allele frequency from HapMap database for CHB population.

P value for difference in genotypes distributions between case and control subjects.

P value for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium test in the control subjects. Additional detailed genotype frequencies is present in Table S2.

Not available in dbSNP database.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0031644.t002
| No. | rs58808873 | rs9967368 | rs56697663 | rs2853741 | rs9952504 | rs34743033 | rs73366471 | rs699517 | rs2790 | rs34489327 | Freq (Case) | Freq (Control) | Freq (Total) | OR (95% CI)* | P-value |
|-----|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|-----------|------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------|
| 1   | G         | C         | T         | A         | A         | T         | I         | A         | T     | C         | 0.1647     | 0.1912        | 0.1757      | 1.00       | —        |
| 2   | G         | C         | T         | A         | A         | T         | I         | A         | T     | T         | 0.1055     | 0.1125        | 0.107       | 1.20       | 0.32     |
| 3   | G         | G         | T         | A         | A         | T         | I         | A         | T     | C         | 0.0709     | 0.0621        | 0.0648      | 1.42       | 0.11     |
| 4   | A         | G         | G         | C         | G         | C         | T         | I         | A     | C         | 0.0571     | 0.0584        | 0.0564      | 1.20       | 0.4      |
| 5   | G         | G         | C         | G         | C         | T         | D         | A         | C     | T         | 0.0329     | 0.0313        | 0.0317      | 1.27       | 0.39     |
| 6   | G         | C         | G         | C         | G         | C         | T         | I         | A     | T         | 0.0312     | 0.0281        | 0.029       | 0.95       | 0.89     |
| 7   | G         | G         | C         | G         | C         | T         | I         | A         | C     | T         | 0.0246     | 0.0297        | 0.0287      | 1.36       | 0.28     |
| 8   | G         | C         | G         | C         | G         | C         | T         | D         | A     | C         | 0.0285     | 0.0261        | 0.0272      | 1.24       | 0.48     |
| 9   | A         | G         | G         | C         | G         | C         | T         | D         | A     | C         | 0.0222     | 0.0268        | 0.0263      | 1.02       | 0.94     |
| 10  | G         | C         | T         | A         | A         | T         | D         | A         | T     | C         | 0.017      | 0.0203        | 0.0208      | 1.10       | 0.8      |
| 11  | G         | G         | C         | G         | G         | C         | T         | I         | A     | T         | 0.0183     | 0.0125        | 0.0158      | 1.74       | 0.17     |
| 12  | G         | C         | T         | A         | C         | T         | I         | A         | T     | C         | 0.0162     | 0.0126        | 0.0151      | 1.63       | 0.18     |
| 13  | G         | C         | C         | G         | C         | T         | I         | A         | C     | T         | 0.0149     | 0.0124        | 0.0147      | 1.24       | 0.61     |
| 14  | G         | C         | T         | G         | A         | T         | I         | A         | T     | C         | 0.0144     | 0.0103        | 0.0103      | 0.80       | 0.6      |
| 15  | G         | C         | C         | G         | A         | T         | I         | A         | T     | T         | 0.0156     | 0.0111        | 0.0129      | 1.59       | 0.3      |
| 16  | G         | C         | T         | A         | A         | T         | D         | A         | T     | T         | 0.0115     | 0.0129        | 0.0126      | 1.64       | 0.3      |
| 17  | A         | G         | C         | G         | C         | T         | I         | A         | T     | C         | 0.014      | 0.01      | 0.0124 | 1.70       | 0.23     |
| 18  | G         | G         | C         | G         | A         | T         | D         | A         | C     | T         | 0.0079     | 0.0035        | 0.0015 | 0.66 | 0.43     |
| 19  | G         | G         | T         | G         | C         | C         | I         | G         | T     | T         | 0.0115     | 0.0101        | 0.0106      | 1.49       | 0.37     |
| 20  | G         | C         | T         | A         | A         | C         | I         | A         | T     | C         | 0.0081     | 0.0106        | 0.0102 | 1.21 | 0.74     |

**Table 3. TYMS haplotype analysis of combined group.**
in congenital heart disease, which is affected by the folate level directly.

In the current study, we explored the relationship between polymorphisms in the non-coding region (including promoter region, 5' UTR and 3' UTR) of TYMS and congenital cardiac septal defects, and 11 common polymorphisms were selected for genotyping in the association study of two independent groups. As a consequence, none of specific disease-related polymorphisms or haplotypes have been found, which indicate that the polymorphic TYMS's non-coding region is not associated with the elevated cardiac septal defects risk in the Han Chinese population. Other studies performed in conotruncal cardiac defects obtained similar results although different regulatory polymorphisms and ethnic groups were used in association studies [9,15]. Taking into account all the investigations above, no sufficient evidence has been demonstrated for a significant association between TYMS polymorphisms and congenital heart disease, which suggests that the protection effects of folate administration for CCSDs may not be directly influenced by the pyrimidine synthesis.

The folate-dependent TYMS shares the same substrate (5,10-methylene tetrahydrofolate, methylene-5THF) with 5,10-methylene-tetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR). Consequently, the available TYMS for folate is competitively affected by MTHFR. It was previously described that because the recycle from methylene-5THF to the folate pool is catalyzed mainly by MTHFR, the controls of the plasma folate concentration were significantly attributed to the MTHFR polymorphisms compared with TYMS polymorphisms [16]. Here, our results support that the TYMS polymorphisms do not perform as an independent genetic risk factor for the elevated incidence of congenital cardiac septal defects. Additionally, the pathway of folate metabolism is in charge of de novo DNA precursor synthesis and methylation [22]. The occurrence of CCSDs might be attributed more to the methylation, considering DNA or protein methylation would play a significant role in the embryo heart development. The negative results derived from present study could be alternatively explained by the central role TYMS played in DNA synthesis and repair. The TYMS mutations leading to function or dosage mutation may create a powerful selective effect by resulting in great detriments on embryonic development, such as serious defects or even death. However, the samples of CCSDs examined in this study, characterized as a type of medium and mild congenital heart disease, cannot tolerate severe functional mutations. This hypothesis could be corroborated by the results of the exon’s sequencing of TYMS in the same examined samples, where no case of missense mutation has been found in the TYMS entire coding region of 200 ventricular septal defect samples (data not shown). Moreover, a potential limitation might also be imposed on the presence of polymorphisms with severe regulatory malfunctions in the non-coding region.

With respect to the characteristics of TYMS's structure, no canonical eukaryotic transcriptional signals such as the TATA box, CAAT box and GC box are found in the 5' upstream region of TYMS. Instead, three sequences consistent with GC boxes were reported by Kaneda in intron-1 [23] and other regulatory sequences were further identified within intron-1, which could stimulate the TYMS gene expression in concert with the 5' non-coding region [24]. Furthermore, many common polymorphic sites were identified in the TYMS promoter and UTR region in the current study, indicating a high tolerance for polymorphisms (one SNP per 160 bp). Consequently, we postulate that the promoter and UTR region may not be the core regulatory region for the expression of TYMS gene, while intron-1 of TYMS might play a more associative role in the regulation of gene expression [25]. In
addition, it is worth mentioning that according to a previous study, folate-related genes and congenital heart defects might be observed only when environmental factors are taken into consideration [11–15]. We can’t exclude the possibility that the effect of TYMS polymorphisms might be influenced by the interacted maternal folate status. Additional studies will be required to determine the levels of maternal serum folate concentration, which would help to figure out valuable polymorphisms in TYMS.

Supporting Information

Table S1 DNA sequence of all used primer pairs.
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