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The Effect of CeO$_2$ Preparation Method on the Carbon Pathways in the Dry Reforming of Methane on Ni/CeO$_2$ Studied by Transient Techniques
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Abstract: The present work discusses the effect of CeO$_2$ synthesis method (thermal decomposition (TD), precipitation (PT), hydrothermal (HT), and sol-gel (SG)) on the carbon pathways of dry reforming of methane with carbon dioxide (DRM) applied at 750 °C over 5 wt% Ni/CeO$_2$. In particular, specific transient and isotopic experiments (use of $^{13}$CO, $^{13}$CO$_2$, and $^{18}$O$_2$) were designed and conducted in an attempt at providing insights about the effect of support’s preparation method on the concentration (mg g$_{\text{cat}}$$^{-1}$), reactivity towards oxygen, and transient evolution rates ($\mu$mol g$_{\text{cat}}$$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) of the inactive carbon formed under (i) CH$_4$/He (methane decomposition), (ii) CO/He (reverse Boudouard reaction), and (iii) the copresence of the two (CH$_4$/CO/He, use of $^{13}$CO). Moreover, important information regarding the relative contribution of CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ activation routes towards carbon formation under DRM reaction conditions was derived by using isotopically labelled $^{13}$CO$_2$ in the feed gas stream. Of interest was also the amount, and the transient rate, of carbon removal via the participation of support’s labile active oxygen species.
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1. Introduction

Nowadays, a great academic and research interest is seen aimed at exploring the gradual replacement of conventional fossil fuels towards energy production through the utilization of alternative and renewable energy sources such as Natural Gas (NG) and Bio-Gas (BG). The driving force behind it are the findings of NG reservoirs rich in CO$_2$ (>40 vol%) [1–3] and renewable bio-gas [4,5], which can be used in the development of technologies, such as the dry reforming of methane (DRM: CH$_4$ + CO$_2$ → 2CO + 2H$_2$, $\Delta$H$_0^0$ = +261 kJ mol$^{-1}$), as more environmentally friendly processes in many aspects [6]. The latter is enforced as it uses two major greenhouse gases (CH$_4$ and CO$_2$), while at the same time produces a favorable H$_2$/CO gas ratio (~1) for the Fischer–Tropsch [7,8] synthesis towards liquid fuels, but also for other processes in the production of chemicals (DME, MeOH, ammonia) [9,10]. In addition, the low operational cost of DRM in comparison with the already used steam methane reforming (SMR) and partial oxidation of methane (POM) technologies, makes its use very attractive [11–14]. However, the main obstacle for the development of an industrial DRM technology is the catalyst’s deactivation due to carbon accumulation, especially over Ni-supported [15] solids, which are mainly used due to their low cost and wide availability. The formation of inactive carbon, in the form of filaments, graphite, and whiskers, mainly is derived from the CH$_4$ decomposition (CH$_4$ → C-s + 2H$_2$, $\Delta$H$_0^0$ = +75 kJ mol$^{-1}$) and Boudouard reaction (2CO → C-s + CO$_2$, $\Delta$H$_0^0$ = −172 kJ mol$^{-1}$). Thus, the design of a suitable Ni-based catalyst
supported on reducible metal oxides emerged (e.g., use of CeO$_2$, Zr$^{4+}$-, Pr$^{3+}$-, Ti$^{4+}$-doped CeO$_2$, La$_2$O$_3$, Nb$_2$O$_5$) [16–25] since the latter supports possess oxygen storage capacity (OSC), oxygen vacancies, and high oxygen mobility, leading to carbon gasification rates that significantly reduce carbon accumulation rates, but also provide high thermal stability for the supported Ni catalysts [26,27]. The Ce-based materials owe their advantages against non-reducible metal oxides to the undergoing of fast change in the Ce$^{4+}$ ↔ Ce$^{3+}$ oxidation state (redox behavior), leading to an oxygen release, and vice versa to an oxygen storage, in the ceria-based stable crystal structure [28,29].

Several studies reveal the effect of preparation method of CeO$_2$ nanoparticles for use in a wide variety of applications, and they argue that such solids form different surface defects by exhibiting more surface atoms than their bulk counterparts [30–36]. Such nanoparticles could have various morphological and structural differences (nanorods, nanowires, nano-cubes, etc.) with different surface area, pore volume, and mean pore diameter, thus the synthesis method seems to play an important role [37–41].

In spite of recent efforts to develop suitable CeO$_2$-supported Ni catalysts exhibiting high DRM catalytic activity and carbon resistance [42], fundamental understanding of the effect of support synthesis method on the contribution of the carbon deposition and removal routes has not been reported yet, to the best of our knowledge. The synthesis of CeO$_2$ via different methods [43,44] could lead to several variations in its physicochemical properties, but also to the metal surface when ceria is used as support. The latter is well demonstrated as due to the existence of strong metal support interactions (SMSI) between Ni particles and CeO$_2$ [45,46].

Transient methods (step-gas switches, use of isotopes, and temperature programmed oxidation or hydrogenation) performed over supported metal catalysts provided important information about the carbon paths in the DRM reaction, and relationships between the catalytic activity and coke formation. Furthermore, rival reaction mechanisms and rate determined steps (RDS) under DRM reaction conditions (working catalyst surface) can be elucidated. For example, Schuurman and Mirodatos [47] suggested that on Ni/SiO$_2$ catalyst the RDS is the recombination of atomic C (derived from CH$_4$ dissociation) and atomic O (derived from CO$_2$ dissociation) over the Ni surface. On the other hand, Slagtern et al. [48] observed that on Ni/La$_2$O$_3$ catalyst, CH$_4$ is activated on Ni as opposed to CO$_2$, which is activated on La$_2$O$_3$ support (or metal-support interface) towards carbonate-like species formation. Advanced kinetic and mechanistic studies to elucidate the carbon paths in DRM with the use of isotopes (C$^{18}$O$_2$, $^{13}$CH$_4$, $^{13}$CO$_2$) were recently performed to a large extent by our group [17–20,46], but also in some other works [47,49–51]. In these works, the significant participation of lattice oxygen of reducible metal oxide supports (e.g., doped ceria-based materials) towards removal of carbon to form CO was proved experimentally by $^{18}$O transient isotopic experiments followed by DRM reaction. Also, the quantification of origin of carbon (CH$_4$ vs. CO$_2$ activation route) was probed as a function of reaction T and catalyst composition.

The present work aims to address the effect of CeO$_2$ support synthesis method on the carbon pathways in the dry reforming of methane over 5 wt% Ni/CeO$_2$ catalysts, where this is reported for the first time to our knowledge. Of particular interest was to investigate differences on (i) the concentration of inactive carbon and its reactivity towards oxygen, (ii) the relative contribution of CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ activation routes to the total carbon formation on the catalytic surface via methane decomposition and Boudouard reactions, and (iii) the participation of labile support’s lattice oxygen towards carbon removal, and to what extent. For this purpose, various transient and isotopic experiments followed by temperature programmed oxidation (TPO) were performed.

2. Results

2.1. Catalysts Surface Texture and Structural Properties

The BET specific surface area (SSA, m$^2$ g$^{-1}$), mean pore diameter ($d_p$, nm), and the specific pore volume ($V_p$, cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$) of the four CeO$_2$ solid supports prepared by different methods, namely: Thermal
decomposition (TD), precipitation (PT), hydrothermal (HT), and sol-gel (SG), are given in Table S1 (Electronic Supplementary Information, ESI). The SSA was found to be in the 5.6–50 m² g⁻¹ range, the d_p in the 6.7–22.5 nm range, and the V_p in the 0.029–0.203 cm³ g⁻¹ range. The CeO₂-HT solid exhibited the largest value of SSA and V_p (50 m² g⁻¹, 0.203 cm³ g⁻¹), and a pore size of d_p = 15.8 nm, as opposed to CeO₂-PT (5.6 m² g⁻¹, 0.032 cm³ g⁻¹) with the largest pore size (22.5 nm). The powder XRD diffractograms of 5 wt% Ni supported on the various CeO₂ solid supports are given in Figure S1. The CeO₂ support exhibits the cubic structure [46], and after using the Scherrer equation and the CeO₂ (111) diffraction line, the mean primary crystal size (d_C, nm) of support was estimated. Similarly, after using the NiO (111) diffraction line, the particle size (d_{NiO}, nm) of NiO was also estimated. The latter value was then used to estimate the particle size (d_{Ni}, nm) of Ni⁰ via Equation (3), and the obtained results are reported in Table S1. There was not any shift of the NiO (111) 2θ diffraction peak (see Figure S1B) among the different samples, however, variations of the mean Ni particle size (8.4–20.8 nm) and the mean primary crystal size of ceria support (11.5–43.1 nm) were observed (Table S1). The latter results find good support by the literature as will be discussed in Section 3.1.

2.2. H₂ Temperature-Programmed Desorption (H₂-TPD)

Figure 1 presents H₂-TPD traces of the 5 wt% Ni supported on the various CeO₂ solids. It is clearly seen that the CeO₂ preparation method resulted in drastic changes of the H₂ desorption kinetic features in terms of strength of hydrogen binding states (T_M, peak maximum temperature) and their corresponding surface coverage (area under a given desorption peak). Thus, the different preparation method of CeO₂ support followed by the same Ni deposition method (wet impregnation) led to differences in the heterogeneity of the Ni surface (e.g., distribution of strength of hydrogen chemisorption sites on surface Ni). In the low-temperature range of 50–200 °C, the amount of H₂ desorbed (μmol g⁻¹) was significantly larger for CeO₂-HT (23.8 μmol g⁻¹) compared to the other three ceria-supported Ni catalysts (CeO₂-PT, -TD, and -SG), where similar amounts were found (8.8, 8.0, and 6.2 μmol g⁻¹, respectively). In the high-temperature range of 200–500 °C, the amount of H₂ desorbed follows a different order: Ni/CeO₂-TD (25.3 μmol g⁻¹) > Ni/CeO₂-PT (17.3 μmol g⁻¹) > Ni/CeO₂-HT (17 μmol g⁻¹) > Ni/CeO₂-SG (8.5 μmol g⁻¹). Of interest is the fact that all ceria-supported Ni catalysts present three main desorption peaks. However, shoulders to these main desorption peaks appear at different temperatures. For example, the Ni/CeO₂-TD presents three main desorption peaks centered at 57, 222, and 354 °C with shoulder at the falling part of the 3rd peak (Figure 1a). Ni/CeO₂-PT at 57, 303, and 393 °C with clear shoulders at the falling part of the 1st peak, the rising part of 2nd peak, and the falling part of 3rd peak (Figure 1b). Ni/CeO₂-HT presents the three main desorption peaks centered at 95, 258, and 362 °C with shoulders at the falling part of 3rd peak (Figure 1c), whereas Ni/CeO₂-SG at 79, 157, and 383 °C with shoulders at the low-T side of 3rd peak (Figure 1d).

![Figure 1. H₂ temperature-programmed desorption (H₂-TPD) traces obtained over 5 wt% Ni/CeO₂₅ catalysts prepared by (a) Thermal Decomposition (TD), (b) Precipitation (PT), (c) Hydrothermal (HT), and (d) Sol Gel (SG) method; F_{He} = 50 NmL min⁻¹; β = 30 °C min⁻¹; W = 0.3 g.](image-url)
The Ni dispersion ($D_{Ni}$, %) of the given solids was estimated based on the total amount of $H_2$ desorbed (Figure 1), and results are presented in Table S1 (ESI). The lowest dispersion of Ni was found when $CeO_2$-SG was used as support (3.4%), followed by the $CeO_2$-PT (6.1%), $CeO_2$-TD (7.8%), and the $CeO_2$-HT (9.6%, highest dispersion). Thus, the Ni particle size ($d_{Ni}$, nm) estimated via Equation (4) was found to be: 10.1, 12.4, 15.9, and 28.5 nm for the $CeO_2$-HT, -TD, -PT, and -SG, respectively. The latter results were supported by those obtained from the powder XRD analyses (Section 2.1, Figure S1 and Table S1) and the HR-TEM (Section 2.3), but also with those reported previously [20].

2.3. Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) Studies

HR-TEM images obtained over the fresh 5 wt% Ni/$CeO_2$-HT (the support was prepared by the hydrothermal method, HT) calcined in air for 4 h at 750 °C are given in Figure S2 (ESI). It is seen that dispersed Ni nanoparticles of ~8–12 nm in size were observed, in good agreement with the $H_2$-TPD and powder XRD results.

2.4. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) Studies

SEM images obtained over the fresh $CeO_2$-supported Ni solids are presented in Figure S3 (ESI). The secondary particle size (agglomerates) of the catalyst’s support was in the range of 10–50 nm, where different porous structures were derived after using the four different $CeO_2$ synthesis methods.

2.5. Catalytic Performance Studies in DRM

Figure 2A presents catalytic performance results in terms of specific integral rate ($mol \, g_{cat}^{-1} \, min^{-1}$) of $CH_4$ conversion and $H_2/CO$ gas product ratio obtained after 30 min of DRM at 750 °C over the 5 wt% Ni supported on differently prepared $CeO_2$ solids. The Ni/$CeO_2$-HT presented the highest catalytic activity (5.5 mmol $g_{cat}^{-1} \, min^{-1}$), while Ni/$CeO_2$-SG the lowest activity (2.0 mmol $g_{cat}^{-1} \, min^{-1}$), thus a significant difference by a factor of ~2.9 existed between these two catalysts. On the other hand, the $H_2/CO$ gas product ratio did not follow the activity differences shown in Figure 2A (compare Figure 2A, B) since the most active Ni/$CeO_2$-HT exhibited a value of ~1.2 (similar to -TD and -PT) and the least active Ni/$CeO_2$-SG presented a value of ~1.1. These results clearly demonstrated that the series of four catalysts presented different orders in terms of $H_2$ and CO reaction selectivity. It should be noted that all four catalytic systems presented $X_{CH4}$ (%) and $X_{CO2}$ (%) larger than 80% (81–94%), with $H_2$-yields larger than 45% (48–59%) and $H_2/CO$ gas product ratio close to the desired value of ~1, tested at the same GHSV (30,000 h$^{-1}$) (see Table S2).

Figure 2. (A) Specific integral rates of $CH_4$ conversion ($mol \, g_{cat}^{-1} \, min^{-1}$) and $H_2/CO$ gas product ratio obtained after 30 min of DRM at 750 °C (GHSV ~30,000 h$^{-1}$) over the four catalysts; (B) Stability test in terms of integral rate of $CH_4$ conversion conducted over 50 h of TOS on 5 wt% Ni/$CeO_2$-PT catalyst; GHSV ~30,000 h$^{-1}$.

Figure 2B presents the stability test (up to 50 h on TOS) for the 5 wt% Ni/$CeO_2$-PT catalyst, which exhibited the least amount of accumulated carbon ($mg \, g_{cat}^{-1}$) after 12 h on TOS among the series of catalysts. It was clearly seen that after up to ~12 h on TOS, the catalyst’s activity remained practically
constant, while a drop by ~17.5% in the integral rate of methane conversion occurred after 50 h on TOS (see also Table S3). Similar results were also observed for the other three catalyst compositions (not reported). The comparative activity behavior based on 30-min on TOS shown in Figure 2A is thus very representative for the true effect of ceria support synthesis method.

It’s worth mentioning that regarding the CO₂ conversion (%), this was found to be lower than that of CH₄ for all catalytic systems except in the case of Ni/CeO₂-SG. The latter result was similar to that obtained over other CeO₂-supported Ni catalysts [17,50], and is mainly attributed to the effect of reverse water-gas shift (RWGS) side reaction. It will be shown in the following Section 2.6, that the four catalysts, for their activity performance depicted in Figure 2A, also exhibited significantly different amounts of carbon accumulation due to their different CeO₂ support preparation method.

2.6. Characterization of Carbon Formed under Different Reaction Conditions

2.6.1. Dry Reforming of Methane (12CO₂/12CH₄) at Steady-State Reaction Conditions

Transient response curves of CO₂ obtained during temperature-programmed oxidation (TPO) of carbon deposited over the four Ni/CeO₂ catalysts after 12 h in DRM (20 vol% CH₄/20 vol% CO₂/60 vol% He) at 750 °C are presented in Figure 3A. The Ni/CeO₂-PT led to a lower carbon accumulation, ca. ~3.8 times (30.7 vs. 116.1 mg C g⁻¹cat⁻¹) compared to the Ni/CeO₂-HT catalyst, with the other two catalysts, Ni/CeO₂-TD and Ni/CeO₂-SG showing a decrease by 1.8 and 1.4 times (66.2 and 80.4 mg C g⁻¹cat⁻¹), respectively. In the case of Ni/CeO₂-TD and Ni/CeO₂-PT catalysts, a main peak starting at 450 °C and ending at 750 °C with peak maximum at ~630 °C was observed, whereas in the case of Ni/CeO₂-HT, a wider main peak was observed, which was centered at ~670 °C. As opposed to the latter behavior, the Ni/CeO₂-SG (Figure 3Ad) presented likely several types of carbon, since it started reacting with oxygen at ~500 °C with a shoulder at 600 °C and a main peak at 700 °C, but a clear sharp peak at 750 °C was also observed; the latter might have also been the result of a hot spot in the catalytic bed formed at these high temperatures given the large exotherm of carbon oxidation to CO₂.

The Ni/CeO₂-PT catalyst, which led to the lowest amount of carbon deposition, was also tested for longer time-on-stream (ca. 50 h, see Figure 2B, Table S3), and the TPO trace recorded is presented in Figure 3B. The amount of carbon deposition was increased when the TOS increased from 12 h to 50 h, ca. 147.1 vs. 30.7 mg C g⁻¹cat (see also Table S3). These results will be discussed below in relation to a synergy observed for carbon accumulation between CH₄ and CO presence in the same gas mixture compared to the CH₄ decomposition and Boudouard reaction contribution alone.
2.6.2. Isotopically Labelled Dry Reforming of Methane (\(^{13}\text{CO}_2/^{12}\text{CH}_4\))

Figure 4 presents \(^{13}\text{CO}_2\) and \(^{12}\text{CO}_2\) transient response curves recorded during TPO of the carbon formed after 30 min in isotopically labelled DRM (5 vol\% \(^{13}\text{CO}_2/5\text{ vol}\/% \(^{12}\text{CH}_4/45\text{ vol}\/% \text{Ar}/45\text{ vol}\/% \text{He}) at 750 °C over the Ni/CeO\(_2\)-TD, Ni/CeO\(_2\)-HT, and Ni/CeO\(_2\)-SG catalysts. It’s worth mentioning that the Ni/CeO\(_2\)-PT, where the support was prepared by the precipitation method (CeO\(_2\)-PT), exhibited non-measurable amounts of carbon, and neither \(^{12}\text{CO}\) nor \(^{13}\text{CO}\) signals were recorded in the MS. The TPO traces of \(^{13}\text{CO}_2\) and \(^{12}\text{CO}_2\) were different in shape among the three catalytic systems, and this was largely attributed to the different carbon oxidation kinetics influenced by the type of carbon deposited, and its reactivity towards oxygen. The \(^{13}\text{CO}_2\)-TPO trace originated from the \(^{13}\text{CO}_2\) activation route during DRM, while that of \(^{12}\text{CO}_2\)-TPO from the \(^{12}\text{CH}_4\) activation route. Furthermore, the three catalysts presented different amounts of carbon formed via the two activation routes but also a different total amount of carbon, which was estimated by integrating the respective TPO traces. The contribution of each reactant (\(^{12}\text{CH}_4\) vs. \(^{13}\text{CO}_2\)) to the carbon formation under DRM reaction conditions was estimated based on the ratio of \(\text{^{12}CO}_2/\text{^{13}CO}_2\) (TPO traces). It was shown that in all three catalytic systems, \(^{12}\text{CO}_2\) decomposition is the dominant route, but to a different extent. More precisely, the Ni/CeO\(_2\)-TD (Figure 4A) and Ni/CeO\(_2\)-HT (Figure 4B) presented \(\text{^{12}C/^{13}C} = 1.6\) and 1.8, respectively, as opposed to the Ni/CeO\(_2\)-SG catalyst (Figure 4C), where \(^{12}\text{H}_4\) decomposition contributed in a significantly higher extent (\(\text{^{12}C/^{13}C} = 4.7\)). In addition, the total amount of carbon was found to be larger in the case of Ni/CeO\(_2\)-HT (29.5 μmol g\(^{-1}\)) compared to Ni/CeO\(_2\)-SG and Ni/CeO\(_2\)-TD (28.1 and 11.1 μmol g\(^{-1}\), respectively). The latter results agree with those presented in Section 2.6.1, where the feed gas stream (5 vs. 20 vol\% of reactants) and the TOS (30 min vs. 12 h) were much different.
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**Figure 4.** Temperature-programmed oxidation (TPO) of carbon to \(^{12}\text{CO}_2\) and \(^{13}\text{CO}_2\) formed after 30 min in 5 vol\% \(^{13}\text{CO}_2/5\text{ vol}\/% \(^{12}\text{CH}_4/45\text{ vol}\/% \text{Ar}/45\text{ vol}\/% \text{He} (50 \text{ NmL min}^{-1}; \text{GHSV} \sim 30,000 \text{ h}^{-1}) at 750 °C over (A) 5 wt\% Ni/CeO\(_2\)-TD, (B) 5 wt\% Ni/CeO\(_2\)-HT, and (C) 5 wt\% Ni/CeO\(_2\)-SG.
2.6.3. Transient Methane Decomposition (CH\textsubscript{4}/He) Reaction

Figure 5 shows transient evolution rates of CH\textsubscript{4} consumption and H\textsubscript{2} and CO gas formation (the only gaseous reaction products observed), obtained during the step gas switch He → 20 vol% CH\textsubscript{4}/1% Ar/He (30 min) made at 750 °C over the four 5 wt% Ni supported on CeO\textsubscript{2} carriers prepared by different synthesis methods. The differences in the initial transient rate values, but also their shapes, are apparent. It should be mentioned at this point that the latter rates appeared very small when the reaction was performed over the supports alone. The different kinetics of CH\textsubscript{4} decomposition, over each of the four catalytic surfaces presented in Figure 5A, led also to different H\textsubscript{2} transient formation rates (Figure 5B), similar in shape with those of CH\textsubscript{4} consumption (Figure 5A). On the other hand, the rate of CO formation was the result of carbon removal by the support’s lattice oxygen, which followed largely different kinetics (compare Figure 5B,C). In particular, the H\textsubscript{2} transient rates in the case of Ni/CeO\textsubscript{2}-TD and Ni/CeO\textsubscript{2}-PT passed through a maximum a short time after the switch (<10 s), as opposed to Ni/CeO\textsubscript{2}-HT and Ni/CeO\textsubscript{2}-SG, which passed through a maximum after 25 s in CH\textsubscript{4}/Ar/He feed gas stream. Also, the latter catalyst presented only a slight decrease in the reaction rates after maximum rate was achieved (practically a plateau in the rate is obtained (Figure 5Ad,Bd,Cd). It has been discussed that these transient features reflect the Ni metal surface’s ability to decompose methane over the remaining empty sites with time on stream, leading to carbon structure dependent deposition with different kinetics [18,20].

![Figure 5](image)  
Figure 5. Transient rates (μmol g\textsuperscript{-1}s\textsuperscript{-1}) of CH\textsubscript{4} consumption (A), H\textsubscript{2} (B), and CO (C) formation, as a function of time after the gas switch He → 20 vol% CH\textsubscript{4}/1% Ar/He (50 NmL min\textsuperscript{-1}; GHSV ~30,000 h\textsuperscript{-1}) at 750 °C. (D) Transient response curves of CO\textsubscript{2} concentration obtained during TPO of carbon formed after 30 min of methane decomposition (20% CH\textsubscript{4}/1% Ar/He) at 750 °C over 5 wt% Ni/CeO\textsubscript{2} prepared by (a) Thermal decomposition (TD), (b) Precipitation (PT), (c) Hydrothermal (HT), and (d) Sol-Gel (SG) method.

The carbon accumulated over the ceria-supported Ni catalytic surface can diffuse towards the Ni-support interface, where it was gasified to form CO(g) by the support’s labile lattice oxygen, and this chemical process was likely responsible for the delay in the peak maximum, as shown in Figure 5C.
However, lattice oxygen diffusion towards carbon formed on Ni and/or Ni-ceria support interface can also be considered, as discussed in Section 3.2. The H- and C-material balances close within less than 5% (Table 1). In particular, the amount of CH₄ decomposed was found to be the same (7.8 mmol g⁻¹) for Ni/CeO₂-Pt and Ni/CeO₂-HT, an amount which increases by about 1.3 and 1.6 times for Ni/CeO₂-SG and Ni/CeO₂-TD, ca. 10 and 12.2 mmol g⁻¹, respectively. On the other hand, the amount of CO formed was found to be 0.9 mmol g⁻¹ in the cases of Ni/CeO₂-Pt and Ni/CeO₂-HT, but slightly lower in the case of Ni/CeO₂-TD and Ni/CeO₂-SG, ca. 0.8 and 0.6 mmol g⁻¹, respectively. The amount of H₂ produced was lower in the case of Ni/CeO₂-HT (14.9 mmol g⁻¹) compared to Ni/CeO₂-Pt (16.4 mmol g⁻¹), Ni/CeO₂-SG (22 mmol g⁻¹), and Ni/CeO₂-TD (26.4 mmol g⁻¹). Of interest is the amount of labile oxygen of the ceria support contributing to the gasification of carbon towards CO(g), which could be quantified by estimating the ratio between the CO production and CH₄ consumption, as shown in Table 1. This ratio was found to be the same (0.12) for the Ni/CeO₂-Pt and Ni/CeO₂-HT catalysts, but significantly lower in the case of Ni/CeO₂-TD (0.07) and Ni/CeO₂-SG (0.06), showing clearly the lower contribution of O₂ (active labile oxygen) towards CO(g).

Table 1. Quantity of CH₄ consumed, H₂ and CO formed (mmol g⁻¹), and molar ratio of CO/CH₄ obtained after 30 min of methane decomposition (20% CH₄/He) conducted at 750 °C. Also shown is the amount of carbon deposited (mmol g⁻¹), which was obtained after TPO following 30 min of methane decomposition.

| Catalyst (5 wt% Ni) | CH₄ Consumption (mmol g⁻¹) | H₂ Production (mmol g⁻¹) | CO Production (mmol g⁻¹) | CO/CH₄ | Carbon Deposition (mmol g⁻¹) |
|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|
| CeO₂ -TD            | 12.2                      | 26.4                     | 0.8                      | 0.07   | 12.1                        |
| CeO₂ -PT            | 7.8                       | 16.4                     | 0.9                      | 0.12   | 8.3                         |
| CeO₂ -HT            | 7.8                       | 14.9                     | 0.9                      | 0.12   | 6.8                         |
| CeO₂ -SG            | 10                        | 22                       | 0.6                      | 0.06   | 10.7                        |

Following the 30 min CH₄ decomposition performed at 750 °C over the Ni/CeO₂ catalysts, temperature-programmed oxidation was performed to estimate the amount of carbon and its reactivity towards oxygen. The TPO traces in terms of CO₂ concentration (mol%) are depicted in Figure 5D, and the amount of carbon deposited (mmol g⁻¹) is reported in Table 1. The latter results were in harmony with the amount of CH₄ consumed and H₂ produced, as reported above. However, it should be mentioned at this point that these values did not agree with the results regarding the amount of carbon deposited during DRM, where Ni/CeO₂-HT was found to accumulate more carbon. As it will be discussed in the following sections, we argue that the carbon formation rate, and that of carbon removal towards CO formation, are largely influenced when both CH₄ and CO₂ (or CO) are present over the ceria-supported Ni catalyst surface to be compared to the case when CH₄, CO₂, or CO is only present.

2.6.4. Transient Carbon Monoxide Dissociation (CO/He) Reaction

The transient rates of CO(g) consumption obtained during the step-gas switch He → 20 vol% CO/1 vol% Ar/He (750 °C, 30 min) over the four catalysts are presented in Figure 6A. It was clearly shown that during the reverse Boudouard reaction, two peak maxima were present, as opposed to the case of CH₄ decomposition reaction (Figure 5A). The first very sharp peak was formed immediately (t_max ~5 s) after the switch from inert He to CO/Ar/He, followed by a fast decay, while the second peak appeared at t_max ~20 s and was followed by a slower rate of CO consumption. Thus, the kinetics involved in both the initial very sharp and the slower transient rates of carbon monoxide dissociation are strongly affected by differences in the four catalytic surfaces.
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Figure 6. Transient rates (μmol g⁻¹s⁻¹) of (A) CO consumption as a function of time after the gas switch He → 20 vol% CO/1 vol% Ar/He (50 NmL min⁻¹; GHSV ~30,000 h⁻¹) at 750 °C. (B) Transient response curves of CO₂ concentration obtained during TPO of carbon formed after 30 min of CO dissociation at 750 °C over 5 wt% Ni/CeO₂ prepared by (a) Thermal decomposition (TD), (b) Precipitation (PT), (c) Hydrothermal (HT), and (d) Sol-Gel (SG) method.

Figure 6B presents the TPO traces obtained after the reaction with 20 vol% CO/1 vol% Ar/He (30 min) at 750 °C. The four catalytic surfaces showed one main peak centered at ~600 °C with shoulders, revealing likely the existence of different carbon structures, oxidized with different kinetics. Table 2 presents the amount of carbon deposited during the 30 min reaction with CO/Ar/He. The largest amount of carbon deposited was found to be on the Ni/CeO₂-PT (2.7 mmol g⁻¹) followed by the Ni/CeO₂-TD (1.1 mmol g⁻¹), Ni/CeO₂-HT (0.8 mmol g⁻¹), and Ni/CeO₂-SG (0.6 mmol g⁻¹) catalysts. The C-material balance closes within less than 5% in all cases (Table 2).

Table 2. Quantity of CO consumption and CO₂ formation (mmol g⁻¹) obtained after 30 min 20% CO/He at 750 °C. Also shown is the amount of carbon deposition (mmol g⁻¹) obtained after TPO following 30 min of CO disproportionation.

| Catalyst (5 wt% Ni) | CO Consumption (mmol g⁻¹) | CO₂ Production (mmol g⁻¹) | Carbon Deposition (mmol g⁻¹) |
|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| CeO₂ - TD           | 1.9                      | 1.0                      | 1.2                       |
| CeO₂ - PT           | 4.9                      | 2.2                      | 2.7                       |
| CeO₂ - HT           | 1.7                      | 0.8                      | 0.8                       |
| CeO₂ - SG           | 1.1                      | -                        | 0.6                       |

2.6.5. Isotopically Labelled Competitive (¹³CO/¹²CH₄) Reaction towards Carbon Formation

Figure 7 presents CO (C,D) and CO₂ (A,B) concentration (mol%) profiles obtained after temperature-programmed oxidation (TPO) following a 20-min treatment of the Ni/CeO₂-TD (a), Ni/CeO₂-PT (b), Ni/CeO₂-HT (c), and Ni/CeO₂-SG (d) catalysts with 2.5 vol% ¹²CH₄/2.5 vol% ¹³CO/2 vol% Kr/Ar/He gas mixture at 750 °C. The amount of deposited carbon, but also its reactivity towards oxygen (shape and position of TPO trace), were clearly different among the four catalysts. It was shown that all catalytic systems exhibited differences in the shape of ¹²CO₂/¹²CO and ¹³CO₂/¹³CO response curves as the result of the oxidation of carbon originated from the ¹²CH₄ decomposition and ¹³CO dissociation routes, respectively. Moreover, the amount of carbon derived from each route is different (area under the TPO trace), and the contribution of each route to the formation of carbon is estimated by considering the ¹²C/¹³C ratio. It is illustrated that in all cases, except Ni/CeO₂-TD (0.97), CH₄ decomposition was dominant but to a different extent. In particular, Ni/CeO₂-PT showed a ratio of ¹²C/¹³C = 1.06, Ni/CeO₂-HT ¹²C/¹³C = 1.61, and the Ni/CeO₂-SG a ratio of ¹²C/¹³C = 1.5 (Table 3).
1.5, and 1.3 times higher compared to Ni/CeO2-PT, Ni/CeO2-TD, and Ni/CeO2-SG (8.7 vs. 5.3, 5.6, and 6.5 mmol g$^{-1}$), respectively. Furthermore, multiple peaks/shoulders appeared in the TPO traces, showing that at least two kinds of carbon were formed after CH$_4$/CO gas treatment of the four catalysts, but to a different extent. More precisely, the Ni/CeO2-HT (c) and Ni/CeO2-SG (d), which revealed the highest amount of carbon deposition during DRM and CH$_4$/CO gas treatments, reveal reaction of carbon with oxygen in the range 300–800 °C as opposed to Ni/CeO2-TD (a) and Ni/CeO2-PT (b), where carbon oxidation occurs in the 500–800 °C range (more strongly bound carbon species but of lower amount). The latter results are in harmony with the results obtained under DRM reaction conditions (see Sections 2.6.1 and 2.6.2), and these will be discussed next in relation to the competitive contribution of CH$_4$ decomposition and CO dissociation towards carbon formation and removal rates.

**Table 3.** Quantity of $^{12}$CO, $^{13}$CO, $^{12}$CO$_2$, and $^{13}$CO$_2$ (mmol g$^{-1}$) formed during TPO following 20 min of reaction with 2.5 vol% $^{13}$CO/2.5 vol% $^{12}$CH$_4$/2 vol% Kr/Ar/He at 750 °C over all the Ni/CeO2 catalysts. Also shown is the total amount of “carbon” (mmol g$^{-1}$), and the ratio $^{12}$C to $^{13}$C in the products.

| Catalyst 5 wt% Ni/CeO$_2$ | $^{12}$CO Production (mmol g$^{-1}$) | $^{13}$CO Production (mmol g$^{-1}$) | $^{12}$CO$_2$ Production (mmol g$^{-1}$) | $^{13}$CO$_2$ Production (mmol g$^{-1}$) | $^{12}$C/$^{13}$C | Carbon Deposition (mmol g$^{-1}$) |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| CeO$_2$-TD                 | 0.14                                | 0.23                                | 2.6                                  | 2.6                                  | 0.97            | 5.6                              |
| Ni/CeO$_2$-PT              | 0.14                                | 0.18                                | 2.6                                  | 2.4                                  | 1.06            | 5.3                              |
| Ni/CeO$_2$-HT              | 0.16                                | 0.23                                | 5.2                                  | 3.1                                  | 1.61            | 8.7                              |
| Ni/CeO$_2$-SG              | 0.39                                | 0.25                                | 3.5                                  | 2.4                                  | 1.50            | 6.5                              |

In addition, the largest amount of carbon was deposited over the Ni/CeO$_2$-HT catalyst, ca. 1.6, 1.5, and 1.3 times higher compared to Ni/CeO$_2$-PT, Ni/CeO$_2$-TD, and Ni/CeO$_2$-SG (8.7 vs. 5.3, 5.6, and 6.5 mmol g$^{-1}$, respectively). Furthermore, multiple peaks/shoulders appeared in the TPO traces, showing that at least two kinds of carbon were formed after CH$_4$/CO gas treatment of the four catalysts, but to a different extent. More precisely, the Ni/CeO$_2$-HT (c) and Ni/CeO$_2$-SG (d), which revealed the highest amount of carbon deposition during DRM and CH$_4$/CO gas treatments, reveal reaction of carbon with oxygen in the range 300–800 °C as opposed to Ni/CeO$_2$-TD (a) and Ni/CeO$_2$-PT (b), where carbon oxidation occurs in the 500–800 °C range (more strongly bound carbon species but of lower amount). The latter results are in harmony with the results obtained under DRM reaction conditions (see Sections 2.6.1 and 2.6.2), and these will be discussed next in relation to the competitive contribution of CH$_4$ decomposition and CO dissociation towards carbon formation and removal rates.
2.7. Participation of Support's Lattice Oxygen under DRM Conditions

Figure 8A shows the transient evolution rate of $^{18}$O$_2$ consumption estimated upon the 10 min isotopic exchange of the $^{16}$O ceria lattice oxygen (surface and bulk) with gaseous $^{18}$O$_2$, and that due mainly to the oxidation of Ni to Ni$^{18}$O (less to the exchange of $^{16}$O with $^{18}$O$_2$ in Ni$^{16}$O, see Section 4.5) at the step-gas switch Ar → 2 vol% $^{18}$O$_2$/2 vol% Kr/Ar at 750 °C. It is seen that the ceria-supported Ni catalysts show similar $^{18}$O$_2$ consumption rates during the 10 min exchange, except the Ni/CeO$_2$-PT, but all four catalysts showed a similar exchangeable amount of $^{16}$O which was found to be between 10.2–12.4 mmol O g$^{-1}$ (within less than 20%). As shown in Table S6. It should be noted that the maximum amount of $^{18}$O consumed, and which is related to Ni oxidation, was 0.85 mmol g$^{-1}$. The latter illustrates that both the initial rates of $^{16}$O/$^{18}$O exchanged, but also the surface and bulk mobility of $^{18}$O species that were exchanged with $^{18}$O$_2$ were influenced by the CeO$_2$ synthesis method and Ni particles size only, to a small extent.
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**Figure 8.** Transient rates (μmol g$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) of (A) $^{18}$O$_2$ consumption during $^{16}$O/$^{18}$O exchange at 750 °C after the gas switch: Ar → 2% $^{18}$O$_2$/2% Kr/Ar. (B) $^{18}$O formation obtained during the switch from Ar → 20% CH$_4$/20% CO$_2$/2% Kr/Ar/He (t) over 5 wt% Ni/CeO$_2$ prepared by (a) Thermal decomposition (TD), (b) Precipitation (PT), (c) Hydrothermal (HT), and (d) Sol-Gel (SG) method. $W_{cat} = 0.02$ g.

Figure 8B presents the transient rates of $^{18}$O(g) formation over the four ceria-supported Ni catalysts obtained during the step-gas switch Ar → 20 vol% CH$_4$/20 vol% CO$_2$/2 vol% Kr/Ar at 750 °C, following the 10 min $^{16}$O/$^{18}$O exchange (Figure 8A). It was observed that all four catalysts present similar shapes of the transient rate of $^{18}$O(g) formation, however, they differ on their time delays and quantity (area under the transient curve). In particular, the Ni/CeO$_2$-TD and Ni/CeO$_2$-HT exhibit similar time delays (~5 s), followed by the Ni/CeO$_2$-PT (~10 s) and Ni/CeO$_2$-SG (~15 s). In addition, the amount of $^{18}$O(g) produced over the four catalysts, after subtracting the equivalent amount of $^{18}$O stored in the Ni during the $^{18}$O$_2$ gas treatment (0.85 mmol g$^{-1}$), was found to be 1.05 times larger for Ni/CeO$_2$-HT (6.5 mmol g$^{-1}$) compared to Ni/CeO$_2$-TD (5.9 mmol g$^{-1}$), 1.85 times larger compared to Ni/CeO$_2$-PT (3.5 mmol g$^{-1}$), and 1.96 times larger compared to Ni/CeO$_2$-SG (3.3 mmol g$^{-1}$). Considering the ratio of equivalent $^{18}$O in $^{18}$O$_2$ (Figure 8B) to $^{18}$O exchanged (Figure 8A) as the contribution of the available amount of $^{18}$O to the carbon gasification, the highest value was found to result from the Ni/CeO$_2$-HT (0.57), followed by Ni/CeO$_2$-TD (0.48), Ni/CeO$_2$-SG (0.32), and Ni/CeO$_2$-PT (0.31). The latter results (shown also in Table S6) will be discussed in the next section regarding the importance of participation of support’s lattice oxygen to the carbon gasification rate.

3. Discussion

3.1. Structural Properties and Catalytic Performance of Ni Supported on CeO$_2$ Solids

The 5 wt% Ni supported on CeO$_2$ carriers prepared by different preparation methods exhibited largely different catalytic activity under DRM (20 vol% CO$_2$/20 vol% CH$_4$/He, 750 °C) (Figure 2) and structural and morphological differences were apparent among them. The high conversions achieved...
in our work, are close to the calculated equilibrium values for the used feed gas composition at 1 atm total pressure [52]. The 5 wt% Ni/\text{CeO}_2-\text{HT} catalyst with the largest surface area (~50 m^2 g^{-1}) consisted of smaller ceria mean primary crystallite size (~11.5 nm), smaller Ni mean particle size (~8.4 nm), and 11.5% Ni dispersion (Table S1, Figure 9). On the other hand, the 5 wt% Ni/\text{CeO}_2-\text{SG} with smaller surface area (~14.5 m^2 g^{-1}), consisted of larger ceria primary crystallite size (~43.1 nm) and Ni mean particle size (~20.8 nm; Ni dispersion 4.7%). The latter results are in good agreement with the literature [17,19,20,44,46,53–56]. The structural heterogeneity of the CeO\textsubscript{2} surface had strong effect on the deposition of Ni species. In fact, it was reported [45] that NiO (10 wt\%) deposited on ceria nanoparticles of cubic shape was homogeneously dispersed. Yahi et al. [43] used three different preparation methods (microemulsion, sol-gel, and auto-combustion) to synthesize CeO\textsubscript{2}, on which 15 wt\% of Ni was deposited. They clearly showed, via XRD and TPR studies, that NiO could be present due to strong metal–support interactions leading to different phases (i.e., monoclinic and cubic phase for the auto-combustion and sol-gel, and cubic only phase for the microemulsion), which depended on the different preparation method of the ceria support. The authors [43] also reported different pore volume, surface area, and particle size by changing the preparation method, results of which are in good agreement with the present work. Xu et al. [55] prepared three Ni/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 catalytic systems of the same nominal composition by varying the preparation method, namely: Impregnation, water-in-oil-microemulsion, and sol-gel. By using XRD, TEM, and TPR, they showed crystalline structural differences, both for the support and Ni, which led to similar catalytic performance, but differences in the coking resistant, and thus in catalyst stability, as seen also in the present work. They argued that the latter differences might be due to strong metal–support interactions leading to differences on Ni particles size and dispersion. The latter results are in good agreement with those reported by other research groups [44,45,57], where a non-conventional synthesis method, namely the precipitation ionic exchange, led to cubic phases of CeO\textsubscript{2} and NiO (verified via FEG-SEM and XRD). In a recent study, Lykaki et al. [28] showed that the hydrothermal method (among other research works which are well reported there-in) led to well defined ceria nanorods of high specific surface area and with improved redox properties.

![Figure 9](image_url). Comparative graph of the crystallite size (d\textsubscript{CeO2} and d\textsubscript{Ni}, nm) and amount of carbon deposition (mg C g\textsuperscript{-1}) after 12 h in DRM as a function of ceria support synthesis method.

The H\textsubscript{2}-TPD traces (Figure 1) obtained over the four catalytic systems suggest large differences in the electronic structure of the Ni supported metal surfaces (distribution of binding strength between H and surface Ni atoms (E\textsubscript{Ni-H}, kcal mol\textsuperscript{-1})). The electronic structure of Ni surface (different faces and defects), as well shown in the literature, influenced the rates of carbon formation and diffusion on the Ni surface and towards the support during both CH\textsubscript{4} decomposition and Boudouard reaction [58–65]. The morphological differences presented in the CeO\textsubscript{2} carrier through SEM images (Figure S3) seem to play a role for the induced differences in the electronic structure of the Ni surface (Figure 1), as reported also in previous publications [27,46]. HR-TEM (Figure S2) also suggested different morphologies for the Ni nanoparticles for the given Ni/\text{CeO}_2-\text{HT} catalyst, where ceria preparation method also influenced the mean Ni particle size as illustrated in Figure 9. The amount of carbon measured after
12 h of DRM (Figure 9, Table S5), and the transient results of CH₄ and CO decomposition reactions (Figures 5–8), tend therefore to suggest that the different morphology of ceria, as the result of different preparation method applied, induced Ni morphological/surface structural differences, thus surface nickel electronic modifications. These in turn govern the DRM activity behavior and carbon deposition rate of the various ceria-supported Ni catalysts [58].

Based on the CH₄-activity results reported in Figure 2 and the Ni dispersion values over the same catalysts (Table S1), it appears that Ni/CeO₂-HT contained a smaller number of active sites than Ni/CeO₂-SG catalyst. On the other hand, the former catalyst produced more carbon (larger carbon accumulation rates) under DRM reaction conditions (Figures 3 and 9), whereas the opposite was seen under transient methane decomposition reaction conditions (Figure 5); the initial rates of CH₄ dissociation to H₂ and the deposited amount of carbon (Figure 3D) were higher on Ni/CeO₂-HT than Ni/CeO₂-SG catalysts (Table 1). As illustrated in Figure 9, there seemed to be no clear relationship between δCeO₂ and δNi and the amount of carbon deposition for at least 12 h after DRM. As is discussed below, the carbon formation and removal rates during DRM cannot be influenced only by the Ni metal and ceria support particle size in a clear monotonic way. The Ni-C strength, diffusion of carbon species on the Ni surface, and oxygen diffusion from the ceria support that the Ni phase and Ni-ceria interface (where carbon is formed) should all influence carbon deposition rate [46].

A possible explanation on the above results regarding carbon deposition on Ni/CeO₂ as influenced differently by the DRM, CO/He, and CH₄/He reaction conditions seems to be the competition of CH₄ and CO for the same Ni catalytic active sites, even though under DRM higher energy barriers are needed during the first and second steps of CH₄ dissociation (CH₄ → CH₃ + H and CH₃ → CH₂ + H), and carbon dimer formation (C₂, carbon dimer is the first crucial step for inactive carbon formation). It was reported that both CH₄ and CO preferentially dissociate on Ni(111) surface, with the former to also favorably dissociate on Ni(100) and Ni(110) surfaces to a similar extent [66–74].

The main focus of this work was the effect of preparation method of CeO₂ used as support of Ni (5 wt% Ni/CeO₂) on the carbon deposition rates of the main routes (CH₄ decomposition and reverse Boudouard reaction), and on carbon removal rate (participation of support’s OₓL). It was shown that the preparation method influenced the Ni particle size and its morphology, and in turn its surface electronic structure, thus its catalytic performance for the DRM reaction at 750 °C. The various temperature-programmed and step-gas concentration transient experiments (including the use of isotopes) provided important information for the better understanding of the carbon pathways during DRM, to be discussed next.

3.2. Rates of Carbon Deposition and Removal under DRM Reaction Conditions

As mentioned in the Introduction section, supported Ni catalysts suffer from large amounts of carbon deposition under DRM reaction conditions. The amount of carbon deposited over the catalytic surface should be considered as the net rate between carbon formation (CH₄ decomposition and Boudouard reaction) and the carbon removal (e.g., participation of support’s lattice oxygen). The carbon removal rate via the participation of lattice oxygen was probed by the transient response curves depicted in Figure 8B after partially exchanging active ceria support lattice ^^{16}O_L with ^^{18}O_L. The carbon removal rate by this chemical step can be written to a first approximation as shown by the following Equation (1):

\[ R_{C^{18}O} (t) = k \theta_{OL}(t) \theta_C(t) \]  

(1)

where k is an effective rate constant for the reaction step (2), θ_{OL} is the surface coverage of support lattice oxygen able to participate in reaction step (2), and θ_C is the surface coverage of carbon formed during DRM. In Equation (1), k might be considered as an average reactivity of more than one kind of carbon, whilst \( \theta_{OL} (t) \) is also determined by the rate of surface O_L diffusion towards carbon. These two important kinetic parameters describing the rate of carbon gasification via Equation (1) are likely to depend on the Ni particle size/morphology as well as CeO₂ primary particle size.
C-s + \(^{18}\text{O}_L\) → \(^{18}\text{O}(g)\) + s + \(\text{Vo}\) \hspace{1cm} (2)

For reaction step (2), s is a catalytic site at the metal-support interface, the support or both, and \(\text{Vo}\) is a surface oxygen vacancy of ceria support.

Initial carbon formation rates (recorded over a clean catalyst surface) and total amount of carbon accumulated during 30 min treatment of the catalysts were measured by performing transient experiments at 750 °C with 20 vol% of \(\text{CH}_4\) reactant in the feed (Figure 3), similar to DRM conditions, and by the reverse Boudouard reaction or the CO dissociation alone (Figure 6), using 20 vol% CO (similar composition obtained in the DRM depicted in Figure 2). In addition, the individual amount of carbon derived from each route (\(\text{CH}_4\) vs. CO) when both gases were present in the feed stream was also estimated for probing any synergy effects on the accumulation of carbon (Figure 7).

It is clearly shown that both the initial rate of carbon formation (Figures 5A and 6A) and the total amount of carbon formed (Table S5) over the four catalytic surfaces was at least 10 times larger in the case of \(\text{CH}_4\) decomposition compared to the reverse Boudouard reaction. This result is in very good agreement with the TPO results obtained following the isotopic DRM reaction (\(^{13}\text{CO}_2/^{12}\text{CH}_4/\text{He}\), Figure 4) and the isotopic \(^{13}\text{CO}/^{12}\text{CH}_4/\text{He}\) experiment (Figure 7), which both quantified the origin of carbon accumulation. Thus, the first conclusion is that \(\text{CH}_4\) activation route was dominant and the one controlling the rate of carbon formation, however, the competition of \(\text{CH}_4\) and CO activation for same catalytic sites, as clearly demonstrated, should be highly considered. In particular, the Ni/\(\text{CeO}_2\)-HT catalyst (\(\text{CeO}_2\) prepared by the hydrothermal method) led to a smaller (~1.8 times) initial rate of carbon formation via \(\text{CH}_4\) decomposition (Figure 5A) and CO dissociation (~3.5 times, Figure 6A) compared to the Ni/\(\text{CeO}_2\)-TD and Ni/\(\text{CeO}_2\)-PT catalysts, respectively. At this point it would be of interest to mention the effect of DRM reaction temperature on the origin of carbon deposition (\(\text{CH}_4\) vs. CO activation route). Vasiliades et al. [17,20] reported similar \(^{12}\text{CH}_4/^{13}\text{CO}_2/\text{He}\) isotopic DRM experiments as those reported in Section 2.6.2 (Figure 4) at 550 and 750 °C over 5 wt% Ni/\(\text{Ce}_1-x\).\(\text{M}_x\text{O}_2\) (\(M = \text{Zr}^{4+}, \text{P}^{3+}\) ) catalysts, the support of which (including pure \(\text{CeO}_2\)) was prepared by the citrate sol-gel method. It was illustrated that at the low-T of 550 °C, a higher contribution to carbon deposition was obtained via the CO activation route (reverse Boudouard reaction: 2 CO → CO\(_2\) + C) as opposed to the reaction T of 750 °C.

A careful comparison could be also made on the transient rates of CO formation during the \(\text{CH}_4/\text{He}\) treatment (Figure 5C), where the Ni/\(\text{CeO}_2\)-HT catalyst revealed significantly larger initial rate (~1.5 times) of its labile oxygen towards carbon gasification to \(\text{CO}(g)\) compared to the Ni/\(\text{CeO}_2\)-PT and Ni/\(\text{CeO}_2\)-TD catalysts, and even larger (~3 times) in the case of Ni/\(\text{CeO}_2\)-SG catalyst. The latter results are in a good agreement with the experimental findings shown in Figure 8B, where gasification of the formed carbon towards \(^{18}\text{O}(g)\) formation under DRM reaction conditions takes place by the participation of support’s \(^{18}\text{O}_L\). The amount of available labile oxygen for \(^{16}\text{O}/^{18}\text{O}\) isotopic exchange was found to be similar for the four supported Ni catalysts, a fact that suggests that morphological differences in their metal and support do not influence this specific process at 750 °C.

Considering the transient rates of \(^{18}\text{O}(g)\) obtained over the four catalytic systems (Figure 8B), it was apparent that Ni/\(\text{CeO}_2\)-HT catalyst had activated a higher amount of lattice \(^{18}\text{O}\) (11.4 mmol g\(^{-1}\)) by a factor of ~1.1 compared to Ni/\(\text{CeO}_2\)-SG (10.2 mmol g\(^{-1}\), Table S6). Given the fact that the amount of carbon accumulated during DRM after 12 h was ~1.5 times larger in the case of Ni/\(\text{CeO}_2\)-HT compared to Ni/\(\text{CeO}_2\)-SG (see Section 2.6.1), it might be suggested that the effective rate constant \(k\) (Equation (1)) must be considered larger in the former than the latter catalyst. This result is important since it can prove that during DRM, the rate of carbon deposition on Ni/\(\text{CeO}_2\)-HT must be considered larger than on Ni/\(\text{CeO}_2\)-SG, a result in harmony with the transient \(\text{CH}_4\) decomposition studies described in Section 2.6.3 (Figure 5A). Moreover, considering the integral rates of \(\text{CH}_4\) conversion reported in Figure 2A, the carbon deposited by \(\text{CH}_4\) during the 2 min transient shown in Figure 8B (end of rate of carbon removal by \(^{18}\text{O}\) lattice oxygen) could be estimated. Then, the ratio of the amount of carbon removed by \(^{18}\text{O}\) lattice oxygen as \(^{18}\text{O}\) (see Figure 8B, Table S6) to the amount of carbon deposited via
CH₄ decomposition could be estimated. This ratio was found to follow the order: CeO₂-HT > CeO₂-TD > CeO₂-SG > CeO₂-PT. The implication of this is that the reason that Ni/CeO₂-HT experienced the largest amount of carbon accumulation after 12 h in DRM (see Table S5), ~3.8 times larger than that of Ni/CeO₂-PT, should not be considered to be due to its inferior ability compared to the other ceria supports to provide mobile lattice oxygen for carbon gasification, at least for the first 30 min of TOS. It was suggested that carbon deposition and removal rates could change with longer time-on-stream as Ni surface and ceria support start to accommodate carbon deposits. Thus, deep understanding of the carbon accumulation with TOS and the intrinsic reasons for this is required for the given DRM ceria-supported Ni catalytic system.

It is noteworthy to be mentioned at this point that the differences in the delays of C¹⁸O(g) that appeared during the switch from the inert gas to the DRM feed gas among the different catalysts (Figure 8B) were due to the different transient kinetics of reduction of the initially oxidized Ni surface (after ¹⁶O/¹⁸O exchange), as previously reported [46,75].

The temperature-programmed oxidation profiles of the carbon accumulation over a reduced metal surface after CH₄ decomposition or CO disproportionation alone or in the presence of both carbon sources illustrated that the co-presence of CH₄ and CO largely enhances the rate of carbon deposition.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Catalysts Synthesis

4.1.1. Cerium Dioxide (CeO₂) Supports

Sol-Gel Method

The CeO₂-SG metal oxide support was prepared using the modified citrate sol-gel method. The Ce metal precursor of Ce(NO₃)₃·6H₂O (Sigma Aldrich, > 99% purity) was diluted in a beaker containing 100 mL solution of 1:1 (v/v) ratio of deionized H₂O and propanol-1. Citric acid (CA) was added for the creation of 1:1.5 Mₜₒₜ:CA, where Mₜₒₜ refers to the total molar concentration of metal ions in the solution, and similarly, the CA (molar concentration of citric acid). The pH of the solution was continuously adjusted (pH ~2.0) by adding HNO₃ (5M), with the solution to be under stirring at 70 °C. The resulting gel-like yellowish material was dried at 120 °C for 12 h, prior to its thermal heating with 1 °C min⁻¹ under static air from room T to 500 °C. The sample was then kept at 500 °C for 6 h and its temperature was further increased to 750 °C (β = 5 °C min⁻¹) and kept for additional 4 h before cooled down to room T.

Thermal Decomposition Method

The CeO₂-TD metal oxide support was prepared using the thermal decomposition method. An appropriate amount of Ce(NO₃)₃·6H₂O was dried in static air at 120 °C for 12 h, and after being cooled down to room T, its temperature was increased with 1 °C min⁻¹ to 500 °C, where it was kept for 6 h. The temperature of the resulting material was then further increased to 750 °C (β = 5 °C min⁻¹), where it was kept for additional 4 h before cooled down to room T.

Hydrothermal Method

The CeO₂-HT metal oxide support was prepared using the hydrothermal method. During this method, 40 M NaOH (pH ~12.5) and 0.13 M Ce(NO₃)₃·6H₂O aqueous solutions were mixed (75 mL:175 mL), under vigorous stirring until a purplish milky slurry was formed. The milky slurry with total volume of 250 mL was kept under continuous stirring for 1 h and then transferred in a 1 L Teflon bottle and heated for 48 h at 90 °C. The reaction product was then cooled down to room temperature and the solid product was collected by filtration. The collected solid was rinsed with deionized water until pH neutralization to remove any co-precipitate salts. Drying and calcination procedures were performed as described in the thermal decomposition method.
Precipitation Method

The CeO$_2$-PT metal oxide support was prepared using the precipitation method. In the latter method, ammonia solution (25% v/v) as precipitation agent was added dropwise at room temperature and under continuous stirring in a 0.5 M aqueous solution of Ce(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O until pH reached the value of 10, conditions that were controlled for 3 h. The resulting solution was then filtered, and the precipitate material was dried and calcined as described in the thermal decomposition method.

4.1.2. Wetness Impregnation of CeO$_2$ Supports with Ni (5 wt% Ni/CeO$_2$)

The resulting CeO$_2$ supports from the various synthesis procedures were grinded prior to Ni metal deposition. A given amount of each of the oxidic ceria support was diluted in an aqueous solution of Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O (Sigma-Aldrich, >99% purity) so as to be impregnated with 5 wt% Ni nominal loading. The resulting slurry was dried overnight at 120 °C, followed by cooling to room T. The temperature of the solid was then increased under static air to 750 °C, where it was kept for 4 h. The resulting material was named “fresh catalyst”, and prior to any catalytic measurements it was in situ reduced in pure H$_2$ gas (1 bar, 50 NmL min$^{-1}$) at 700 °C for 2 h.

4.2. Catalysts Characterization

4.2.1. Powder X-ray Diffraction (XRD)

Powder X-ray diffractograms of the calcined CeO$_2$-supported Ni catalysts were recorded by using a Shimadzu 6000 Series diffractometer (CuKα radiation, $\lambda = 0.15418$ nm, Kyoto, Japan) in the 20–80° 2θ range (2° min$^{-1}$, 0.02° increment). By using the Scherrer equation [17], the lattice parameter ($\alpha$, Å), the mean primary crystallite size ($d_c$, nm) of the ceria pseudo-cubic structure, and the mean crystal size of NiO were estimated. The latter was used to estimate the Ni mean particle size ($d_{Ni}$, nm) as of Equation (3), after the assumption that Ni and NiO preserve the same particle geometrical shape:

$$d_{(Ni, \text{nm})} = d_{(NiO, \text{nm})} \times 0.847. \quad (3)$$

4.2.2. Surface Texture (BET/BJH)

The BET specific surface area (SSA, m$^2$ g$^{-1}$), the total pore volume ($V_p$, cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$), and the mean pore size ($d_p$, nm) of the CeO$_2$-supported nickel catalysts and their supports alone were determined based on N$_2$ adsorption/desorption isotherms measured at 77 K with a Micromeritics Gemini 2360 surface area and pore size analyzer (Norcross, Georgia, United States). Prior to any measurements, the sample was degassed in N$_2$ gas flow at 300 °C for 4 h.

4.2.3. H$_2$ Temperature-Programmed Desorption (TPD)

The effect of Ni supported on different CeO$_2$ supports on the hydrogen chemisorption and desorption behavior was investigated by using the H$_2$-TPD technique. A 0.3 g sample was first reduced in situ in hydrogen gas at 700 °C for 2 h prior to He-purge and increase of its temperature to 750 °C, until H$_2$ signal reached its background value (desorption of any spilled-over hydrogen on the support). The reactor was then cooled down to room temperature and a switch from He to 0.5 vol% H$_2$/He (30 min) gas mixture was performed. The catalyst was then purged for 10 min in He flow, and the temperature was subsequently increased to 750 °C (TPD, $\beta = 30$ °C min$^{-1}$, 50 NmL min$^{-1}$). The H$_2$ (m/z = 2) signal was continuously monitored with online mass spectrometer (MS, Balzers, Omnistar 1–200 amu, Pfeiffer Vacuum, Asslar, Germany), and the MS signal was converted into concentration (ppm) by using a certified standard gas mixture (0.95 vol% H$_2$/He). The Ni dispersion ($D_{Ni}$, %) was estimated after assuming an H$_2$ chemisorption stoichiometry of H/Ni$_k$ = 1, where the Ni mean primary particle size ($d_{Ni}$, nm) was estimated by using the following Equation (4) [76]:

$$d_{Ni} \text{(nm)} = 0.97/D_{Ni}. \quad (4)$$
4.2.4. Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)

The fresh 5 wt% Ni/CeO$_2$-HT supported Ni catalyst was characterized with a JEOL (JEM-2100) high-resolution transmission electron microscopy system (HR-TEM) (Tokyo, Japan), operated at 200 kV (resolution point 0.23 nm, lattice 0.14 nm). Selected specimens were prepared by dispersion of the powdered catalyst in water, and spread onto a carbon-coated copper grid (200 mesh), while images were recorded by means of films (Kodak SO-163).

4.2.5. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

The morphology of the fresh CeO$_2$-supported Ni solids was characterized by using scanning electron microscope (SEM, JEOL JSM-6610 LV, Tokyo, Japan), equipped with a BRUKER type QUANTAX 200 energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS). The effect of different method of synthesis of the CeO$_2$ was studied using secondary electron images (SEI). EDS analysis was performed for determining the chemical composition of the solids.

4.3. Catalytic Performance of CeO$_2$-Supported Ni in DRM

Catalytic measurements were performed using a Micro-activity reactor system (MA-REF from PID Eng & Tech, Madrid, Spain) equipped with a tubular quartz reactor (i.d. = 6 mm), and the experimental apparatus used was described elsewhere [20]. The catalytic bed was prepared by grinding (grain powder size less than 100 µm) and mixing an appropriate amount of Ni/CeO$_2$ catalyst with SiC (1 cat:1 SiC (w/w)) in order to achieve a gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) of ~30,000 h$^{-1}$. Due to the differences in the ceria solid powder prepared by the four different methods, the amount of catalyst for each Ni/CeO$_2$ was varied ($W_{\text{cat}} = 0.072–0.167$), while the total gas flow rate was kept the same (50 NmL min$^{-1}$). The catalytic performance of the solids was examined at 750 $^\circ$C for 30 min with a DRM feed gas composition of 20 vol% CH$_4$/20 vol% CO$_2$/60 vol% He. The conversions of CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ ($X_{\text{CH}_4}$ and $X_{\text{CO}_2}$, %) were calculated by using Equation (5). The effluent gas stream from the micro-reactor was analyzed through online MS and infrared gas analyzers (Horiba, VA-3000, Kyoto, Japan) for H$_2$ ($m/z = 2$), CH$_4$ ($m/z = 15$) and CO, CO$_2$, respectively. Calibration of the signals from the MS and IR gas analyzers was made by using certified calibration gas mixtures (1.06 vol% CO/1.02 vol% CH$_4$/0.95 vol% H$_2$/He and 2.55 vol% CO$_2$/He). The product yields ($Y_{\text{H}_2}$ and $Y_{\text{CO}}$, %) were estimated via Equations (6) and (7):

\[
X_{\text{Y}}(\%) = \frac{F_{\text{in}}^{\text{Y}} - F_{\text{out}}^{\text{Y}}}{F_{\text{in}}^{\text{Y}}} \times 100
\]

\[
Y_{\text{H}_2}(\%) = \frac{F_{\text{out}}^{\text{H}_2}}{2F_{\text{in}}^{\text{CH}_4}} \times 100
\]

\[
Y_{\text{CO}}(\%) = \frac{F_{\text{out}}^{\text{CO}}}{F_{\text{in}}^{\text{CH}_4} - F_{\text{in}}^{\text{CO}}} \times 100
\]

where, $F_{\text{in}}$ and $F_{\text{out}}$ are the molar flow rates (mol s$^{-1}$) of reactant Y (CH$_4$ or CO$_2$) and product (H$_2$ or CO) at the inlet and outlet of the reactor, respectively. The $F_{\text{out}}$ was estimated based on the total volume flow rate at the outlet of the reactor (measured at 1 bar and room T), and the mole fraction of the component measured by the above-mentioned gas analysis system.

4.4. Characterization of Carbon Formed under Different Reaction Conditions

4.4.1. Dry Reforming of Methane ($^{12}\text{CO}_2/^{12}\text{CH}_4$) Reaction

The reactivity of carbon towards oxygen and its amount (mg C g$^{-1}$ cat or wt%) accumulated after 12 h of DRM at 750 $^\circ$C over the catalysts investigated in this work were estimated by performing temperature-programmed oxidation (TPO) experiments following DRM. A purge with He (20 min)
was applied after the 12 h DRM reaction with the reactor’s temperature to increase to 800 °C until no MS signals was identified for CH₄, CO₂, H₂, and CO. The catalyst’s temperature was then decreased to 100 °C followed by a feed gas switched from He to 10 vol% O₂/He (50 NmL min⁻¹). The catalyst’s temperature was subsequently increased to 800 °C with a heating ramp of 30 °C min⁻¹ (TPO). During the latter switch, the signals of CO (m/z = 28) and CO₂ (m/z = 44) were continuously monitored with the MS and CO/CO₂ infrared gas analyzer, and then converted into mol% based on certified calibration gas mixtures (1.06 vol% CO/He and 2.55 vol% CO₂/He).

4.4.2. Isotopically Labelled Dry Reforming of Methane (¹³CO₂/¹²CH₄) Reaction

Isotopically labelled DRM mixture (5 vol% ¹³CO₂/5 vol% ¹²CH₄/45 vol% Ar/45 vol% He; 50 NmL min⁻¹; GHSV ~30,000 h⁻¹) was used for 30 min at 750 °C, followed by TPO, in order to investigate the relative contribution of CH₄ and CO₂ activation routes towards carbon accumulation (μmol g⁻¹ and mg g⁻¹ cat⁻¹) over the examined ceria-supported Ni catalytic systems. The ¹²C-containing TPO traces referred to the CH₄ activation route contribution on the amount of carbon, whereas the ¹³C-containing TPO traces referred to the CO₂ activation route. More precisely, after 30 min in DRM, a He purge was performed for 10 min prior to the temperature increase to 800 °C (until no MS signals for CO and CO₂ were observed). The reactor was then cooled down to 200 °C, and the feed gas was switched from He to 10 vol% O₂/He (50 NmL min⁻¹), followed by TPO to 800 °C (β = 30 °C min⁻¹). The signals for ¹²CO, ¹³CO, ¹²CO₂, and ¹³CO₂ (m/z = 28, 29, 44, and 45, respectively) were continuously monitored by MS and their quantification (mol%) was made by using certified gas mixtures (1.06 vol% ¹²CO/He, 10 vol% ¹³CO/Ar, 2.55 vol% ¹²CO₂/He, and 10 vol% ¹³CO₂/Ar).

4.4.3. Methane Decomposition (CH₄/He) Reaction

The reduced CeO₂-supported Ni catalysts were exposed to 20% CH₄/He for 30 min in order to measure the initial rate of CH₄ decomposition and its subsequent rate evolution, which is one of the main routes of inactive carbon formation under DRM conditions. The transient responses of H₂ (m/z = 2), CH₄ (m/z = 15), and CO (m/z = 28) were followed during the step-gas switch He → 20% CH₄/He (750 °C, 30 min, 50 NmL min⁻¹; GHSV ~30,000 h⁻¹) by online MS. The latter switch was followed by a 10 min He purge, while the temperature was increased to 800 °C (until background values were reached for the CO and CO₂ MS signals). The catalyst was then cooled down to 200 °C and the feed was switched from He to 10 vol% O₂/He (50 NmL min⁻¹) to perform a TPO run (β = 30 °C min⁻¹). The transient evolution of CO (m/z = 28) and CO₂ (m/z = 44) was continuously monitored with MS, and their quantification was made using certified calibration gas mixtures (1.06 vol% CO/1.02 vol% CH₄/0.95 vol% H₂/He and 2.55 vol% CO₂/He).

4.4.4. Carbon Monoxide Dissociation (CO/He) Reaction

The second main route of inactive carbon formation during DRM, that of reverse Boudouard reaction, was investigated by performing over the 5 wt% Ni/CeO₂ catalysts the step-gas switch He → 20% CO/He (750 °C, 30 min, 50 NmL min⁻¹; GHSV ~30,000 h⁻¹), where the evolution of CO (m/z = 28) and CO₂ (m/z = 44) were continuously monitored with MS. The latter gas switch was followed by a He purge (10 min) and temperature increase to 800 °C, where the catalyst was kept at this temperature until the CO and CO₂ MS signals reached their respective background value. The reactor’s temperature was then decreased to 200 °C, where a switch to 10 vol% O₂/He (50 NmL min⁻¹) gas mixture was made for a TPO run to 800 °C (β = 30 °C min⁻¹). During TPO, the mass numbers (m/z) of 28 and 44 were followed by MS, and quantification was made by considering certified calibration gas mixtures (1.06 vol% CO/He and 2.55 vol% CO₂/He).
4.4.5. Isotopically Labelled Competitive \( ^{13}\text{CO}/^{12}\text{CH}_4 \) Reaction towards Carbon Formation

The relative contribution of the two main routes towards inactive carbon accumulation under DRM reaction conditions (\( \text{CH}_4 \) decomposition and reverse Boudouard reaction) was investigated by exposing the catalysts over an isotopically labelled mixture consisting of 2.5 vol\% \( ^{13}\text{CO} \)/2.5 vol\% \( ^{12}\text{CH}_4 \)/2 vol\% Kr/Ar/He (50 NmL min\(^{-1}\); GHSV âˆ¼ 30,000 h\(^{-1}\)) at 750 °C for 20 min. The gas-flow was then switched to He for a 10 min purge and the temperature was increased to 800 °C until the \( ^{12}\text{CO} \), \( ^{13}\text{CO} \), \( ^{12}\text{CO}_2 \), and \( ^{13}\text{CO}_2 \) MS signals reached their respective background value. The catalyst was then cooled in He flow to 200 °C and a switch to 10 vol\% \( ^2\text{O}_2 \)/He (50 NmL min\(^{-1}\)) gas mixture was made for a TPO run (increase T to 800 °C, \( \beta = 30 \) °C min\(^{-1}\)). The effluent gas stream was continuously monitored by MS for \( ^{12}\text{CO} \), \( ^{13}\text{CO} \), \( ^{12}\text{CO}_2 \), and \( ^{13}\text{CO}_2 \) (m/z = 28, 29, 44, and 45, respectively), and quantification of the MS signals was made by using the previously mentioned (Section 4.4.2) calibration gas mixtures. It’s worth mentioning that the \( ^{12}\text{C} \)-containing TPO traces refer to the \( ^{12}\text{CH}_4 \) contribution on the amount of carbon accumulation, whereas the \( ^{13}\text{C} \)-containing TPO traces refer to the \( ^{13}\text{CO} \) route.

4.5. Participation of Support’s Lattice Oxygen in DRM Reaction Conditions

The partial \( ^{16}\text{O}^{18}\text{O} \) isotopic exchange of ceria support’s lattice \( ^{16}\text{O} \) was performed over pre-reduced Ni/CeO\(_2\) catalysts (\( W_{\text{cat}} = 0.02 \) g) at 750 °C for 10 min prior to the dry reforming of methane reaction. This designed experiment probes for the extent of contribution of support’s lattice oxygen in the carbon-path under DRM conditions [18,19]. More precisely, after 2 h reduction of catalyst with pure \( \text{H}_2 \) (1 bar) at 700 °C, the feed was switched to Ar for 10 min with subsequent increase of the temperature to 750 °C, until no \( \text{H}_2 \) (m/z = 2), \( ^{16}\text{O}_2 \) (m/z = 32), and \( ^{16}\text{O}^{18}\text{O} \) (m/z = 34) MS signals were recorded. The exchange of support lattice oxygen and the oxidation of Ni/\( \text{NiO}_x \) to \( ^{18}\text{O}_2 \) with \( ^{18}\text{O}_2 \)/(g) was then made by exposing the catalyst to 2 vol\% \( ^{18}\text{O}_2 \)/2 vol\% Kr/Ar/He (10 min, 50 NmL min\(^{-1}\)). During the exchange process, the signals of \( ^{16}\text{O}_2 \), \( ^{16}\text{O}^{18}\text{O} \), \( ^{18}\text{O}_2 \), and Kr (m/z = 32, 34, 36, and 84, respectively) were recorded continuously with online MS, which then converted into concentration (mol\%) by using appropriate material balances [18] from which the amount of oxygen exchanged (mol \( ^{16}\text{O} \) g\(^{-1}\)) can be estimated. A 10 min He purge then followed, and the feed gas was then switched to 20 vol\% \( ^2\text{H}_2 \)/20 vol\% \( ^{12}\text{CO}_2 \)/He (50 NmL min\(^{-1}\)). During the latter DRM reaction step, the MS signals of 30, 44, 46, 48, and 84 (\( ^{18}\text{O}_2 \), \( ^{16}\text{O}^{18}\text{O} \), \( ^{18}\text{O}_2 \), and Kr, respectively) were continuously monitored, and then converted into concentration (mol\%) by using appropriate calibration gases. It was assumed same sensitivities for the \( ^{18}\text{O}^{18}\text{O} \) and \( ^{18}\text{O}_2 \) (m/z = 30) gases. The contribution of \( ^{18}\text{O}_2 \) (m/z = 48) and \( ^{16}\text{O}^{18}\text{O} \) (m/z = 46) to the m/z=30 were carefully subtracted from the m/z = 30 (\( ^{18}\text{O} \)) signal recorded by using a standard \( ^{16}\text{O}^{18}\text{O} \)/He gas mixture and considering the same contribution of m/z = 44 to m/z = 28 for the m/z = 48 and m/z = 46 to m/z = 30. The formation of \( ^{18}\text{O}_2 \) (g) during DRM, following the oxygen \( ^{18}\text{O}/^{18}\text{O} \) isotopic exchange step, is clearly described in our previous publications [20,46], where \( ^{18}\text{O}_L \) of support can react with carbon formed on the catalyst surface.

5. Conclusions

The main conclusions derived from the present work are as follows:

(a) The 5 wt\% Ni supported on CeO\(_2\) carriers prepared by four different methods exhibited obvious structural and morphological differences, which led to large differences in catalytic activity under DRM reaction conditions at 750 °C.

(b) The Ni/CeO\(_2\)-PT (ceria was prepared by the precipitation method) exhibited the lowest amount of carbon formation among the four catalytic systems. A notable reduction of carbon deposition by ~3.8, 1.8, and 1.4 times was observed after 12 h in DRM (20 vol\% \( ^2\text{H}_2 \), \( ^{12}\text{CO} \)/\( ^2\text{H}_2 \)= 1) compared to Ni/CeO\(_2\)-HT, Ni/CeO\(_2\)-TD, and Ni/CeO\(_2\)-SG, respectively. This precipitation route might lead also to lower carbon deposits in DRM for other CeO\(_2\)-based supported Ni catalysts in attempting to develop low-carbon resistant DRM catalytic systems in the future.
(c) Based on various transient and other isotopic experiments, it was shown that a large pool of oxygen contributes to the gasification of carbon formed in DRM towards the formation of CO, thus offering an important path for carbon removal from the catalyst during DRM.

(d) The origin of carbon deposition was found to be largely determined by the CH₄ activation route in all four catalytic systems but to a different extent.

(e) The Ni/CeO₂-HT and Ni/CeO₂-PT catalysts presented similar amount of CH₄ decomposed (CH₄/He reaction), which was found to increase by ~1.6 and 1.3 times for Ni/CeO₂-TD and Ni/CeO₂-SG catalysts. On the other hand, the ratio between the CO formation and CH₄ consumption was found to be the same for Ni/CeO₂-HT and Ni/CeO₂-PT but significantly lower in the case of Ni/CeO₂-TD and Ni/CeO₂-SG catalysts, indicating the higher ability of the former solids to remove deposited carbon by the participation of their ceria support lattice oxygen.

(f) During the reverse Boudouard reaction (CO/He reaction), the largest amount of carbon deposited was found to be on Ni/CeO₂-PT followed by Ni/CeO₂-TD, Ni/CeO₂-HT, and Ni/CeO₂-SG solids.

(g) Despite the fact that on Ni/CeO₂-HT a lesser amount of carbon was deposited during CH₄ decomposition, during CO disproportionation compared to the other catalysts, the amount of carbon deposition observed after 12 h in DRM (20 vol% CH₄, CO₂/CH₄ = 1) was the largest. This behavior could be justified by the enhancement of carbon deposition in the co-presence of CH₄ and CO which occurs in a larger extent over Ni/CeO₂-HT as proved experimentally.
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