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Abstract: This article addresses the issue of geomagnetically induced currents (GIC) produced by electromagnetic pulse at a high-altitude nuclear explosion (HEMP) and its influence on power transformers. The article contains a detailed description of the set of devices developed by the author that consists of reliable electronic protective relay and a portable tester for its periodical testing, which are meant to resolve the problem. These devices, based on a standard electronic component, are suitable for mass production and can be widely used in power grids.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Protection of a power system’s electrical equipment from a high-altitude (more than 30 km) nuclear explosion (HEMP) impact is a challenging issue, which until recently has not received proper attention. The fact is that HEMP does not affect people directly, while the electromagnetic pulse resulting from this explosion is a powerful devastating factor for the power grid’s electronic and power supply equipment. Since the electrical power industry is the foundation of any country’s infrastructure, such a feature of HEMP is very attractive for the military.

HEMP is known to consist of three components: E1, E2 and E3. These are significantly different from each other in terms of their properties and specifications, due to a full set of complex physical effects occurring in the ionosphere upon nuclear explosion. For example, while the E1 component represents a short single pulse (2.5/25 ns) creating very high electrical field strength at the ground surface (50 kV/m), the E3 component is inversely a very slow oscillating process with a frequency of less than 0.1 Hz and low electrical field strength (up to 85 V/km) [3], which lasts several minutes. The E2 component is very similar to lightning in terms of its impact and thus protection of this equipment has been envisaged.

This article discusses protection of the electrical grid’s power equipment from HEMP’s E3 component.

2. E3’S IMPACT ON ELECTRICAL POWER EQUIPMENT

It may seem that the issue of protection from slow electromagnetic oscillations with such low electrical field strength as 85 Volts per kilometer is exaggerated; nevertheless this is true at the first glance only. Indeed, these electromagnetic oscillations are induced in many kilometer-long overhead power transmission lines and closed through a very low impedance loop, e.g. a grounding system (Fig. 1). As a result, geomagnetically-induced quasi-DC currents (GIC) with amplitude of dozens and hundreds of Amps may pass through neutral conductors of power transformers. This leads to quick saturation of the transformer’s core and consequently reduction of its impedance. Concurrently, operational current flowing through its windings will increase; resulting in the transformer’s excessive heating. Similar impact of geomagnetically-induced currents caused by solar storms in the Northern Hemisphere (Canada and USA) resulted in coils blowing of powerful power transformers that cost several million dollars. Apart from the high cost of such transformers, other problems would include long-term manufacturing of such equipment and complicated transportation of it to the point of use.
That is why malfunction of such transformers represents an emergency situation for power systems requiring costly efforts to prevent them in the future.

**Figure 1.** Geomagnetically-induced currents (GIC) passing through neutral conductors of power transformers T1 and T2

The Solid Ground™ device promoted by ABB is meant for blocking GIC in neutral conductors of power transformers (Fig. 2).

**Figure 2.** SolidGround™ [4] by ABB (left) meant for blocking GIC in a neutral conductor of power transformer (right)

Figure 2 shows that this device is an expensive (more than 300,000 US Dollars [5]) high-voltage unit, which requires ample space to be mounted at a substation site. Initially, this device was developed to block GIC during severe solar storms, which may last for many hours. Later on, it was promoted as a main remedy to block the E3 component of HEMP [4, 6], which lasts several minutes only. However, this difference has never been mentioned in technical literature. Alternatively, the developers (in order to promote this expensive piece of equipment) suggest that there is almost no difference between a solar storm’s GIC and that induced by HEMP, e.g. [7]:

"… Mitigation options against GIC in power systems are also suitable for mitigation against nuclear EMP E3 events".

"The 2008 EMP commission report states “steps taken to mitigate the E3 threat also would simultaneously mitigate this threat from the natural environment”.

"An EMP model of the Solid Ground™ neutral blocking device (NBD) which is capable of protection against higher EMP E3 levels … has been designed tested and is available”.

Field strength of the E3 component: 85 V/km is a value close to maximum, which depends on multiple external factors (geographical location of transformers and overhead power transmission lines, grounding system resistance, soil properties, design of the transformers’ core, etc.) and thus GIC
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in the transformer’s neutral conductor will not necessarily be equal to hundreds of Amperes. With the current of several dozen Amperes passing through, several minutes is not sufficient for a big power transformer containing tons of steel, copper and oil to heat up to dangerous temperatures.

Does it mean that the problem does not exist and we can neglect GIC occurring as affected by the E3 component in most cases? This conclusion was made by the authors of the renowned Electrical Power Research Institute (EPRI) [8]. Nevertheless, it should be admitted that the conclusion of EPRI regarding HEMP impact on the power grid’s electrical equipment faced severe criticism from corresponding experts.

Figure3. Examples of the power transformer’s winding and core heating when 20, 30 and 50A geomagnetic current flows through its neutral conductor

Yet, feasibility of significant investments into HEMP protection of power transformers still needs to be addressed. It should be kept in mind that; (i) the probability of high-amplitude GIC occurrence still exists (under specific combination of external parameters and conditions); and (ii) a power transformer with a saturated core acts as a powerful source of harmonics generated into the power system (Fig. 4). These harmonics can negatively affect many types of electrical equipment in the power system, e.g. capacitor banks of longitudinal and transverse capacitive compensation, relay protection devices, etc.

Another issue is a sharp loss of reactive power in the grid upon GIC impact onto power transformers and further sharp reduction of voltage. As a result, the power system’s stability is compromised potentially leading to its collapse.

It is very difficult to predict or assess the behavior of the specific power grid upon E3 impact; however, it is worth taking preventive measures when considering the possible damage. What are these measures? Are there any other solutions apart from extremely expensive and bulky units offered by ABB?

Figure4. Total harmonic distortion (THD) generated by a transformer into a high-voltage circuit as a function of GIC value in the neutral conductor [9]. The maximum permissible value of THD for 160 kV overhead power transmission lines is 1.5% [10].
3. SUGGESTED SOLUTION FOR THE PROBLEM

The solution of the above-mentioned problem (protection of the power grid’s electrical equipment from the E3 component of HEMP) is based on the difference between GIC occurring during solar storms and GIC occurring during high-altitude nuclear explosion. I offered this solution back in 2011 [11, 12]. The idea behind it involves a short-term automatic disconnection of the power transformer (achieved by HEMP-protected relay upon sensing GIC in the neutral conductor) with further automatic return to operation after a several-minute pause (i.e. when the E3 impact is over). I described this principle several times in various articles and books. Yet, this approach remained unseen by the experts in the HEMP-protection field. Eventually, 8 years later after my first publication, the EPRI’s Senior Program Manager, Dr. Randy Horton, responsible for HEMP protection realized the advantages. He suggested (on behalf of EPRI) to implement temporary automatic disconnection of power transformers at HEMP’s E3 component, using relay protection devices protected from the E1 component. He voiced “his new idea” at the Hearing of the U.S. Senate Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee at February, 2019 [13] without any reference (of course!) to the author of the idea.

As an example of this solution, the real author of the idea [11, 12] described relay utilizing magnetically operated contact (reed switch), equipped with a special magnetic system, which responds to direct current only in the cable, grounding the transformer neutral and does not respond to alternating the current component. Later on, it became evident that the design of this relay is difficult to implement and requires individual and quite complex adjustment and set-up, which makes it unsuitable for large-scale use. Thus, I developed an electronic relay for the transformer’s protection, suitable for large-scale use and to be conveniently implemented in power systems. This protection relay includes the GIC sensor designed as a portable current transformer to be put on the cable, grounding the transformer neutral and a special relay, which responds to a signal from the GIC sensor. The equipment also includes a tester for periodical testing of protection relay serviceability directly at the installation point by means of GIC simulation.

Unfortunately, the selection of GIC sensors available in the market is very limited. There are few companies that manufacture these devices, such as: Dynamic Ratings (GIC-4), Weidmann Electrical Technology Inc. (InsuLogix), Advanced Power Technology (Eclipse HECT), Ohio Semitronics, Inc. (GIC-051D). Upon analyzing technical specifications and conducting cost comparison, I selected GIC-051D by Ohio Semitronics, Inc. as the most suitable and simultaneously the cheapest device from all available in the market (~$700), Fig. 5.

![Figure 5. GIC-051D sensor by Ohio Semitronics, Inc. (all dimensions are in millimeters).](image)

This sensor is placed on the cable, grounding the transformer neutral, and provides 0V to 10V output voltage only in case 0 to 50A, 0 -1.5 Hz quasi-DC current of any direction occurs in that cable (i.e. it does not react to 50 Hz AC current). The sensor can sustain short-circuit current up to 8 kA in the cable without any damage. The sensor’s tolerance is 0.5% of the full current rating (0.25 A for this design). The sensor is connected to the input of the improved reliability protection relay developed by author (Fig. 6) that includes two parallel power supplies PS1 and PS2 (RAC20-24SK); two parallel output relay K1 and K2 (Finder 40.52.9.024.000); high-voltage thyristor VS1 (C106M) equipped with efficient interference-suppressing circuit R2 and C1 with time delay and shunted by relay’s K1 and
K2 contacts at their activation; varistors R1 and R2 (T14 K300E2) at the circuit’s input and output with built-in thermal protective elements and efficient interference-suppressing filter FL (EPCOS SIFI-H) at the power supply circuit’s input (Fig. 6).

Figure 6. Circuit diagram of electronic relay designed by the author to protect the power transformer against HEMP’s E3 component

Prototype of electronic relay (Fig. 7) was constructed and tested by the author (Fig. 8).

Figure 7. Prototype of electronic relay made by the author to protect the power transformer

Figure 8. Current consumption by the relay’s circuit as a function of input voltage (left) and time delay as a function of voltage at the circuit’s input (right).

Under the normal mode of the power grid’s operation it is only the alternating current that can pass through the cable grounding the neutral of the power transformer’s coil “wye” connected. A high-altitude nuclear explosion will generate a direct current component (E3 component) in the cable, which produce voltage at sensor output proportionate to that current to the GIC-051D sensor’s input. If the current value exceeds 20A, the output voltage from the sensor will be high enough to open the thyristor VS1. Its opening threshold has been set constant and un-adjustable by means of any tuning element potentially affecting the relay’s reliability. The threshold is determined by the R2 resistor value and is set up during manufacturing of the relay.

Opening of the thyristor VS1 triggers two electromagnetic relays (K1 and K2) with parallel contacts. The first pair of contacts controls the trip coil of the high-voltage circuit breaker through the substation’s auxiliary relay (to disconnect the transformer), while the second pair shunts the thyristor VS1, protecting it from overheating by the passing current and preventing multiple actuation and releasing of the relay during current surges in the above-mentioned cable. Several minutes later (i.e. when the impact of the E3 component is over), the automatic reclosing system on the substation will return the transformer back to the operating mode. The same system performs short-term interruption of the power supply of GIC protective relay though an auxiliary timer. This short-term power supply interruption is enough for the GIC protective relay to return to the initial condition, i.e. into a stand-by mode.
The findings obtained during circuit analysis (Fig. 8) suggest that the electronic circuit of the protective relays corresponds to parameters and limitations of GIC-051D sensors and that the actuation timing (inversely related to the circuit’s input voltage) is good.

The printed circuit board is coated with two layers of high-quality water-resistance varnish on both sides and is placed into a sealed aluminum container, Fig. 9, which ensures the circuit’s protection from the E1 component of HEMP. The sensor is also placed into a similar shielding container. The circuit is then connected to external circuits by means of a shielded cable.

4. TESTER FOR PERIODICAL TESTING OF RELAY SERVICEABILITY

As mentioned above, the power transformer is the major part of the power supply system. Thus, reliability of its protection system is of utmost importance. In order to be sure that the GIC protection relay is serviceable, it needs to be periodically tested.

A special portable tester (Fig. 10 and 11) has been developed and constructed by the author for periodically serviceability testing of the abovementioned protection relay placed on the grounding cable of the transformer’s neutral. The tester is powered by one 6V, 12 A/h batteries. The battery’s current (about 25A) in the tester prototype is limited by two 100 W, 0.1 Ohm resistors R1 and R2 connected in series. This power allowance has been provided in order to prevent heating of the resistors and changing of their resistance (i.e. output current of the tester during its operation). The tests have shown that one 100 W, 0.2 Ohm resistor mounted on an aluminum panel is sufficient. The tester’s output current is supplied to a flexible cable L, made of insulated copper wire placed for testing purposes into the GIC-051D sensor’s window (Fig. 11).
This device employs high-quality, but inexpensive components of well-known brands (Fig. 12).

Automobile electromagnetic relay K type DG85C, serves as a current switching device with contacts designed to switching DC up to 80A. This type of electromagnetic relay is manufactured with control coils for 6, 12 and 24 Volts. However, automobile relay (of various types, not only DG85C) for 12 and 24 Volts are the most common and affordable. Thus, when designing the tester, this kind of relay was selected. In order to increase voltage from 4 – 5V (voltage of a 6V battery connected to very low external load) to 15V (voltage suitable for normal operation of 12V and 24V coils) the circuit employs a step-up boost DC-DC converter (IZ0515SA) manufactured by XP Power company. When DG85C was used as a load during the tests, such a converter revealed stable operation and constant output voltage of 15V with the input voltage deviations within a 3 to 10 Volt range.

In order to prevent unnecessary long-term battery discharge with the current of several dozen Amperes (at S2 button pressed), the tester was equipped with a simple timer T (H3Y-2, Fig. 12), which will trip relay K that will cut-off the battery current by its contacts within 5 seconds after applying the power supply to the timer.

A tiny voltmeter (V) with LCD display (EMV1200 type) and a small analogue ammeter (A) with a shunt (Fig. 10) provide supervision of battery voltage and output current (simulating GIC during testing in the sensor).

The tester can be upgraded by using two stages of output current. The lower stage serves to check failure of GIC protective relay actuation at current values slightly lower than its trip threshold, while the upper stage serves to check actuation of GIC protective relay at current values slightly higher than its trip threshold. This upgrade can be implemented by using an additional two-pole toggle-switch (S3) with switching contacts designed for 20A (see the dotted line in Fig. 11). These toggle-switches are widely represented in the market from various manufacturers, e.g. ET220K12-Z (Copal Electronics); S-331 (NKK Switches); EK204-73 (Carling Technologies) and others. Resistance value of each current-limiting resistor (R1 and R2) should be 0.2 Ohms.

The tester can be upgraded even further by using the special resistor RPM150YR20J (Nikkohm) containing two 75 W, 0.2 Ohm resistors inside a tiny housing (38 x 25 x 12 mm) instead of individual large 100 W conventional resistors. Certainly, tiny dimensions and high power require intensive cooling of the resistor to dissipate the heat that is generated during its operation. In order to achieve this, it should be mounted on the internal wall of aluminum housing (Fig. 13).

---

**Figure 12.** The tester construction elements: H3Y-2 timer; DG85C electromagnetic relay; IZ0515SA step-up boost DC-DC converter.

**Figure 13.** Elements recommended for tester upgrading: 6V, 4.5A-h battery (105 x 47 x 70 mm); RPM150Y (Nikkohm) resistor (38 x 25 x 12 mm); aluminum housing Unimet M5623125F (Metcase) (230 x 190 x 85 mm).
Further reduction of the tester dimensions and weight is achievable by using 7 A-h (or even 4.5 A-h) battery instead of 12 A-h battery used in the tester prototype. Even a small 4.5A-h battery can supply 60A current for 5 seconds or 45A for 10 seconds, which is enough to activate protection relay with 25A current within several seconds.

5. CONCLUSION

The set of devices described above solves a problem of protecting power transformer (major element of an electrical power supply system) against HEMP and from consequences of its impact onto the power grid altogether. These device designs are very simple, inexpensive and are suitable for mass production. With this set of equipment, the issue of HEMP impact onto a power supply transformer can be deemed completely resolved.
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