INTRODUCTION

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a multifaceted inflammatory disease of the central nervous system (CNS). It involves a gradual destruction of myelin due to an autoimmune response generated against self-antigens in a genetically vulnerable patient (Donati & Jacobson, 2002). The attack against self-antigen leads to a gradual demise of neurons, resulting in severe neurological deficits (Goldenberg, 2012). The myelin sheath is an insulating cover of axons maintained by oligodendrocytes (Compston & Coles, 2008), and facilitates electrical action potentials between neurons. Loss of oligodendrocytes and degeneration of the myelin sheath leads to the formation of plaques or lesions, commonly located in the optic nerve, brain stem, basal ganglia, and spinal cord white matter (Popescu & Lucchinetti, 2012). While the repair process of remyelination is possible early in disease, oligodendrocytes are incapable of complete repair due to subsequent attacks to the sheath, contributing to the plaque formation (Charl, 2007; Kuhlmann et al., 2008). Damage or death of neurons due to lesion accumulation causes astrogliosis adding to lesion creation (Compston & Coles, 2008) diminishing the communication between neurons and leading to a wide range of disorders, largely dependent on the location of the lesions, in the brain (Virtanen & Jacobson, 2012). Symptoms include loss of sensitivity, changes in sensation (tingling, or pins and needles), muscle weakness and spasms, blurred vision, ataxia, and speech disorders (Compston & Coles, 2008). MS affects people of
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almost all ages, although higher incidences are detected in younger adults as they are more susceptible to environmental factors. MS is more common in women, suggesting that hormones may also play a significant role in determining susceptibility (Milo & Kahana, 2010; Nylander & Hafler, 2012). Prevalence of MS is two to three times higher in women than in men (Figure 1) (Ahlgren, Oden, & Lycke, 2012; Compston & Coles, 2002; Orton et al., 2010; Wallin et al., 2012).

2 | SUBTYPES OF MS

During the initial stages of the disease, patients primarily experience a neurological complication referred to as Clinically Isolated Syndrome (CIS). This is the first demyelinating event, lasting for approximately 24 hr and presenting as monofocal or multifocal lesions in the CNS (Marcus & Waubant, 2013). Possible symptoms of CIS include optic neuritis, a brain stem/cerebellar syndrome, a spinal cord syndrome, or hemispheric dysfunction (Miller, Barkhof, Montalban, Thompson, & Filippi, 2005). Later, the disease becomes more convoluted and characterized as progressing into four distinct variants. These subtypes are important for patient prognosis and treatment considerations. The most common type of MS is called Relapsing Remitting MS (RRMS) and occurs in approximately 87% of MS cases (Ransohoff, Hafler, & Lucchinetti, 2015). RRMS patients show neurological symptoms and have a short and temporary relapse phase where not only existing symptoms are aggravated, but new symptoms may also arise (Weiner, 2008). The inflammatory attacks during this period are unpredictable and are associated with visual and memory impairment, tingling and numbness and fatigue. This relapse stage is followed by a stage...
of remission (complete/incomplete) in which the patient may recover (fully or partially), resulting in asymptomatic disease for several months or years (Ghasemi, Razavi, & Nikzad, 2017). Another variant is Secondary Progressive MS (SPMS) occurring in ~65% of patients with RRMS, gradually transitioning into SPMS as neurological complications steadily advance over time. Patients may have intermittent relapses, but no remission is observed (Correale, Gaitan, Ysrraelit, & Fiol, 2017; Ghasemi et al., 2017). A more uncommon variant, Primary Progressive MS (PPMS), occurs in approximately 10%–15% of MS cases (Ransohoff et al., 2015). PPMS is distinguished by a gradual progression from onset with deteriorating symptoms and no occurring relapses or remissions. These patients are primarily affected at the nerves of the spinal cord and present with fewer brain lesions compared to other subtypes. Patients with PPMS show ataxia with problems in walking, weakness, stiffness, and trouble with balance (Ghasemi et al., 2017). Lastly, Progressive-Relapsing MS, another rare subtype (occurring in ~5% of MS cases) is marked by complications starting from the initial stages of the disease, and progresses with critical relapsing cases without any remissions, mostly without recovery resulting in exacerbating neurological injuries. Symptoms in these patients include eye pain, double vision and depression, along with sexual, intestinal, and urinary system dysfunctions (Ghasemi et al., 2017).

Although MS is a disease common to adults, onset of the disease in children below 18 years of age is not uncommon, accounting for about 10% of total MS cases and is termed pediatric MS (PMS) (Ferreira, Machado, Dantas, Moreira, & Souza, 2008; Jancic et al., 2016; Schreiner, 2018). PMS is pathophysiological distinct in terms of brain biopsy, cellular profiling in CSF, and the immune molecules present when compared with adult MS patients (Schreiner, 2018). The psychological consequences of childhood MS include depression, fatigue, anxiety, panic disorder, bipolar disorders, and cognitive impairment (Amato et al., 2010). Though the disability rate is lower than that of adult-onset MS, children diagnosed with PMS pose a risk of developing neurological deficits during the active stage of life (Renoux et al., 2007).

3 | IMMUNOPATHOLOGY

T-cells mature may express, on their cell surface, either a CD4 glycoprotein, found in T-helper (Th) cells, or they may express a CD8 glycoprotein, present in cytotoxic T-cells (Golubovskaya & Wu, 2016). Exposure to specific cytokines, such as IL-1, IL-2, IL-4, IL-6, TNF, and INF-γ result in the differentiation of naïve CD4+ cells into a variety of subsets: Th1, Th2, Th9, Th17, Th22, Thh, and Treg. Each subset possesses a unique cytokine profile, releasing cytokines specific to that subset, which may provide protective or anti-/pro-inflammatory properties (Golubovskaya & Wu, 2016). Th1 provides protection against intracellular pathogens, Th2 defending against parasites, and Th17 focuses on defending the host against extracellular pathogens. For example: exposure to IL-1 results in the differentiation of a naïve CD4+ cell to a Th17 subset which function as mediators of inflammation and produce IL-17, protecting the host against fungi and bacteria (Golubovskaya & Wu, 2016; Raphael, Nalawade, Eagar, & Forsthuber, 2015). Th17 cells are known to mediate autoimmunity and play key roles in augmenting inflammation (Raphael et al., 2015).

Antigen-presenting cell (APC) activated CD8+ T cells proliferate and produce effector cells which induce the apoptosis of target cells, holding a key role within the adaptive immune response. However, there are various subsets of these CD8+ effector cells: A naïve (CD45RA+/CCR7+) subset lacking effector functions, (a) an antigen-experienced and differentiated (CD45RA+/CCR7−) subset providing effector functions (\(T_{eff}\)), (b) an antigen-experienced (CD45RA−/CCR7+) subset lacking effector functions, known as central memory cells (\(T_{CM}\)), and (c) an effector function bearing (CD45RA−/CCR7−) memory cell subset, defined as effector memory cells (\(T_{Em}\)) (Saxena, Martin-Blondel, Mars, & Liblau, 2011). Proliferation and antigen-driven activation of these effector C8+ cells is mediated by Th1 produced IL-2, and may present as autoreactive T cells as a result of self-antigen presentation by APCs, potentially resulting in T-cell induced axonal damage (Hwang, Hong, & Glimcher, 2005; Rollings, Sinclair, Brady, Cantrell, & Ross, 2018; Saxena et al., 2011). Expansion of CD8+ cells, detection of identical antigen-specificity in a population of T cell clones, and presence of predominantly IL-17 producing CD8+ cells, all within active lesions in MS, support the concept of CD8+ cell mediated autoimmunity, in MS (Saxena et al., 2011).

An atypical response by immune cells against the myelin sheath is the common pathological factor between all MS subtypes. This response is facilitated by discriminatory activation of CD4+ helper and CD8+ killer T cells, accompanied by little to no activation of regulatory T cells (Gandhi, Laroni, & Weiner, 2010). As a result, inflammation is an alternate characteristic symptom of MS. T lymphocytes and activated macrophages infiltrate the brain and with the aid of activated microglia, induce immunological activation, leading to progressive myelin destruction (Kasper & Shoemaker, 2010). Disruption of the blood–brain barrier (BBB) also allows immune cells to enter the brain, where T lymphocytes attack the myelin sheath (Compston & Coles, 2002). This triggers a subsequent inflammatory cascade, leading to the secretion of cytokines, including interferon (IFN)-γ, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, and interleukin (IL)-17, and the production of antibodies by B lymphocytes which can secrete their own cytokines, TNFα and Transforming Growth Factor (TGF)-β (Duddy et al., 2007). These soluble factors cause further recruitment of immune cells, perpetuating inflammation and damage (Nylander & Hafler, 2012). In addition, pro-inflammatory Type 1 T helper (Th1) and Th17 cells display direct cytotoxic effects on oligodendrocyte precursor cells and obstruct remyelination (Baxi et al., 2015; Moore et al., 2015).

4 | GENETIC FACTORS

Predisposition to genetic changes and its effect on MS susceptibility was derived from the characteristics of familial aggregation and
the amount of genetic information they share (Gejman, Sanders, & Duan, 2010; Oksenberg, Baranzini, Sawcer, & Hauser, 2008). Although MS is not a hereditary disease, predisposition to genetic variations increases the risk. Studies have shown that the risk rate in monozygotic twins that have 100% genetic familiarity is approximately 25% (Ghasemi et al., 2017). These risks are reduced on decreased genetic familiarity, with 5% in siblings, 2% in parents, 2% in children, and 1% amongst distant relatives (Hassan-Smith & Douglas, 2011). Discovered in mice in 1936, the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) and the human equivalent human leukocyte antigen (HLA) are extensively studied for both gene and allelic variation in MS (Matzaraki, Kumar, Wijmenga, & Zernakova, 2017). HLA changes are responsible for approximately 20%–60% of genetic predisposition (International Multiple Sclerosis Genetics et al., 2011), and around 110 polymorphisms found in discrete loci outside the MHC gene are associated with the susceptibility to MS (Sawcer, Franklin, & Ban, 2014). According to a Genome-wide association study, susceptibility to MS has been linked mainly to the HLA-DRB1 locus class II region of the MHC, with the HLA-DR15 (DRB1*1501-DQA1*0102-DQB1*0602-DRB5*0101) haplotypes dominating MS risk in Caucasians and HLA-DRB1*15:01 associated with MS risk in African Americans and northern Han Chinese populations (Compston & Coles, 2008). Additional alleles within the MHC locus have been associated to MS including the HLA-DRB1*03:01, HLA-DRB1*13:03, HLA-DPB1*03:01 and HLA-A*02:01, while HLA-C554 and HLA-DRB1*11 are believed to be protective against the disease (International Multiple Sclerosis Genetics et al., 2011). Polymorphisms in non-HLA genes associated to MS include the interleukin 2 (IL2) receptor alpha (IL2RA) and interleukin 7 receptor alpha (IL7RA) loci expressed on regulatory T cells, important for proliferation and survival of T- and B-cells, and increase the vulnerability of developing MS (Giovannoni & Ebers, 2007). Single-Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) in IL7R markers identified in MS patients in Sweden suggest that SNPs can lead to dysregulation in the activity of both T- and B-cells (Lundmark et al., 2007). By analyzing MS patients possessing SNPs in the Serine-Threonine Kinase 11 gene (encoding liver kinase B1—LKB1), Boullene et al. suggests it as a risk factor for MS (Boullene et al., 2015). LKB1 has effects on T-cell activation, Th1/Th17 cytokine production, and modulate adenosine monophosphate kinase (AMPK) activation. Dysregulation of LKB1 function is implicated toward MS pathogenesis (Germain et al., 2013). It has been demonstrated using GSAW that multiple non-MHC loci—CLEC16A, IL2RA and IL7R—are susceptibility loci for MS (De Jager et al., 2009). Other non-MHC critical factors with links to MS include TNFRSF1A (Caminero, Comabella, & Montalban, 2011; Hoffjan et al., 2015), which contains two independent susceptible alleles responsible for dysregulated expression of TNF; IRF8 has a susceptible allele that encodes transcription factors, and CD6 is involved in T-cell co-stimulation and differentiation (De Jager et al., 2009).

### 5 | ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

High rates of discordancy in monozygotic twin studies led to the conclusion that genetic and epigenetic factors are not the only factors (Giovannoni & Ebers, 2007), thus emphasizing the importance of environmental factors in developing MS. Environmental factors such as smoking, vitamin deficiency, diet, and UV radiation exposure act as modifiable risk factors (Sawcer et al., 2014). The expression of the Vitamin D receptor on most immune cells including APC is considered a driving force in MS progression (Disanto, Morahan, Barnett, Giovannoni, & Ramagopalan, 2012). Presence of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 (1α,25-(OH)2D3) modulates the expression of MHC II-complexed antigens on the surface of immune cells, inhibits the production of Type 2 helper T cell cytokines, and affects Th and B cell proliferation and antibody secretion (Aranow, 2011; van Etten & Mathieu, 2005). The International Multiple Sclerosis Genetics Consortium (IMSGC) and Welcome Trust Case Control Consortium 2 (WTCCC2) reported that the genes encoding the Cytochrome P450 Family 27 Subfamily A Member 1 (CYP27B1) enzyme for vitamin D production and Cytochrome P450 Family 24 Subfamily A Member 1 (CYP24A1) enzyme which degrades 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D contribute to MS progression by lowering the levels of active vitamin D. Moreover, RNA expression levels of the MS susceptibility gene, HLA-DRB1*15:01 are controlled by vitamin D levels (International Multiple Sclerosis Genetics et al., 2011).

The risk of developing SPMS is 3-fold higher in smokers than in non-smokers who had relapsing–remitting clinical onset of the disease (Healy et al., 2009; Wingerchuk, 2012). Furthermore, tobacco affects the integrity of the BBB and triggers inflammatory responses that, lead to loss of membrane integrity (Hawkes, 2007; Hernan et al., 2005; Riise, Nortvedt, & Ascherio, 2003). Marabita et al. showed that smoking affects DNA methylation in MS patients and gene expression of Aryl-Hydrocarbon Receptor Repressor (AHRR) is higher in smokers and contained hypomethylation at several CpG sites (Marabita et al., 2017). Tobacco smoke induces the production of free radicals, such as nitric oxide (NO) which exacerbates the clinical progression of MS by causing inflammatory lesions and axonal degeneration (Hernan et al., 2005).

Risk for MS development intensifies due to complex interplay of different pathogenic environmental factors including viral and bacterial infections (Virtanen & Jacobson, 2012). Infection with measles, Herpesvirus (HHV-6) and Epstein Barr virus (EBV) at a young age increase the chances of developing MS (Compston & Coles, 2008; Giovannoni et al., 2006). Virus-derived peptide sequences such as the Epstein–Barr nuclear antigen 1 (EBNA1) (Owens, Gilden, Burgoon, Yu, & Bennett, 2011) and BRRF2 protein contribute to MS pathology partly by activation of memory B cells, increased frequency of oligoclonal IgG specificities, and by interacting with HLA through DR2b (DRB1*1501) and DR2a (DRB5*0101) (Abdelrahman, Selim, Hashish, & Sultan, 2014; Pender & Burrows, 2014). The production of EBV-specific T cells or antibodies cross-react with auto-antigens in the CNS, and attack myelin sheath. Latent persistent EBV
antigens bind to autoreactive B cells that facilitate EBV replication, and augment EBV-specific T- and B-cell responses (Ascherio et al., 2001; Lunemann, Kamradt, Martin, & Munz, 2007). Other viruses associated with MS include varicella zoster virus (VZV) and human endogenous retroviruses (HERVs).

A "multi-hit" model study illustrated how the gut microbiota creates a suitable proinflammatory condition for multiple insults (genetic disposition and environmental factors) to act together reinforcing MS disease (Cekanaviciute et al., 2017). Akkermansia muciniphila and Acinetobacter calcoaceticus induce proinflammatory responses, and are found in higher numbers within the gut whereas Parabacteroides distasonis, which stimulates the anti-inflammatory response, is found in lower numbers in MS patients (Mirza & Mao-Draayer, 2017). It is also possible that individuals without early life exposure to infectious pathogens could mount abnormal responses during infectious exposure in their adult life (Baranzini et al., 2010). It is evident that multiple environmental factors play important roles toward increased risks of MS; however, the pathogenic contributions are still being unearthed and will be the focus of the remainder of this review.

6 PATHOGENS IN THE CNS

Most pathogens associated with MS are also considered causative agents in the development of other neurological disorders. By defining the mechanisms behind MS-like symptoms linked to pathogenic infections, the similarities that may lead to onset of disease become more readily apparent. HHV-6 infection is associated with neurological disorders such as febrile seizure, epilepsy, and encephalitis (Yao et al., 2009), while EBV infection has been associated with CNS vasculitis (Kano et al., 2017). These two pathogens share common mechanisms that can contribute to the onset of MS symptoms. Both EBV and HHV-6 infection can trigger myelin-reactive antibodies specifically targeting myelin basic protein (MBP), an ubiquitous myelin membrane protein (Deber & Reynolds, 1991; Tait & Straus, 2008). Low levels of MBP phosphorylation in both human MS patients as well as in mice models are detected (DeBruin et al., 2005; DeBruin, Haines, Bienzle, & Harauz, 2006); however, the direct effects of this have not yet been characterized. In contrast, VZV utilizes an endosomal/autophagic recycling pathway similar to that used by myelin proteins and the iron-binding glycoprotein transferrin (Winterstein, Trotter, & Kramer-Albers, 2008). It is through this pathway that VZV trafficks glycoprotein complexes from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) to the outer cell membrane to release new virus (Buckingham, Jarosinski, Jackson, Carpenter, & Grose, 2016). Given that low serum transferrin levels have been linked to several chronic diseases, including MS (Zeman et al., 2000), trafficking of VZV alongside the transferrin receptor would aid in viral assembly, ultimately leading to increased immune activation.

Apart from demyelination, there is also evidence of axonal or neuronal injury in MS that have gained some importance in the MS field. The "inside-out" concept of induced demyelination suggests that pathogenic damage occurs at the unmyelinated axon, often in combination with enhanced oligodendrocyte apoptosis (Tsunoda & Fujinami, 2002). Several viral infections are accompanied by axonal damage like HIV (An et al., 1997), HSV (Martin, 1984), and Theliers' murine encephalomyelitis virus (TMEV) (Tsunoda & Fujinami, 2002), which has also been associated with MS. The pathogenesis of this axonal injury still requires much study. One concept suggests direct immune attack on the axon based upon the presence of antibodies to neurofilament and tubulin in some MS patients (Silber & Sharief, 1999). Separately, some viruses can be transported through the axons leading to axonal degeneration and dissemination of virus throughout the CNS (Kristensson, 1996). In TMEV infection, for example, axonal injury precedes demyelination which indicates in some cases demyelination may come secondary to axonal injury (Das Sarma, Kenyon, Hingley, & Shindler, 2009). Additionally, as has been discussed, there is also evidence on axonal injury in silent inactive plaques where few axons are degraded at a given point in time but will instead persist for a longer time in the CNS (Lassmann, 2003).

Viral infections causing encephalitis (Morfopoulou et al., 2016), are also considered as a risk factor in developing MS (Weiss, 1983). Human beta-coronaviruses, such as HCoV-OC43 primarily linked with mid-upper respiratory tract infections with neuro-invasive properties leading to encephalitis, are associated with MS (Morfopoulou et al., 2016). Additionally, John Cunningham virus (JCV) induces progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML) in active RRMS patients undergoing immunosuppressant treatment with natalizumab (Schwab et al., 2016). The immunosuppression causes reactivation of JCV, which is normally kept dormant by the human immune system, contributing to the progression of PML (Schwab et al., 2016).

6.1 Viruses

Although no definitive association between viral infections and MS have been reported so far, compelling evidence for this hypothesis exists, such as the presence of viral DNA, RNA, or proteins, and immune response elements in the body fluids or tissue of patients (Donati & Jacobson, 2002). Attempts to establish a definitive association between MS and viral infections has been restricted by many factors, including asynchrony between viral infection and demyelination, genetic, and immunological susceptibility of the individuals, and the involvement of polymicrobials as the causative agent of MS. This is further complicated by differences in the pathogenic mechanisms of different individuals with varying genetic backgrounds, resulting in different forms of the disorder (Donati & Jacobson, 2002). Even though different animal models of MS, such as the experimental autoimmune encephalitis (EAE) model and TMEV model aided in the understanding of possible underlying mechanisms including molecular mimicry and bystander activation, animal models for the most commonly associated viruses HHV-6 and EBV have yet to be developed (Virtanen & Jacobson, 2012).

Studies on viral induced demyelination show cellular mechanisms of myelin destruction through persistence, latency, reactivation, and tissue damage by viruses. Virus-induced demyelination
disorders have different underlying mechanisms related to direct toxic effects or immune activation by virus, molecular mimicry, bystander activation, and epitope spreading (Fujinami, von Herrath, Christen, & Whitton, 2006). Examples of direct toxic effects from an active infection is JCV which directly causes demyelination after infecting oligodendrocytes, and canine distemper virus which infects astrocytes in white matter leading to demyelination (Chalkias et al., 2014). Another mechanism underlying demyelination is molecular mimicry, where pathogenic proteins possess homologous amino acid sequences with self-proteins subsequently causing viral induced autoimmunity (Fujinami & Oldstone, 1985). Below, various viruses and their associations to the etiology or pathogenesis of MS will be discussed.

### 6.1.1 Measles virus

Measles is caused by the highly contagious paramyxovirus and is transmitted via respiratory route (Laksono, de Vries, McQuaid, Duprex, & de Swart, 2016; Ludlow, McQuaid, Milner, de Swart, & Duprex, 2015). The clinical manifestation is relatively mild presenting as skin rashes, though, in rare cases, neurological complications can also occur. Acute disseminated encephalitis (Lundmark et al., 2007), measles inclusion body encephalitis, and subacute sclerosing panencephalitis are all severe cases of measles which have been associated with CNS disorders (Laksono et al., 2016). In MS patients, the most frequent anti-viral antibodies produced intra-thecally tend to be against measles, rubella and VZV, referred to as MRZ reaction phenomenon. Although this is not restricted to a direct association with these three viruses, it may be a response against different viruses such as HSV or EBV. Evidence supporting the possible direct interaction or causal association of measles with MS is not well documented; moreover, unchanged prevalence of MS after vaccination against measles, shed further doubt on the possible association between the virus and disease (Ahlgren, Odén, Torén, & Andersen, 2009; Donati & Jacobson, 2002; Jacobson, Flerlage, & McFarland, 1985; Norrby, Link, & Olsson, 1974).

### 6.1.2 Human Herpesvirus 6

Human Herpesvirus 6 (HHV-6) is a DNA virus belonging to β-Herpes virus family and is widely sero-prevalent in adults. The two variants HHV-6A and HHV-6B have about 90% sequence homology, and are transmitted via saliva and close contact with infected parents during early years of life. HHV-6B is the causative agent of exanthema subitum (or roseola), a benign febrile illness with skin rashes while HHV-6A has not been definitively associated with any disease (Reynaud, Horvat, & Horvat, 2013). HHV-6 is able to enter cells through the CD46 receptor, with viral antigen able to be detected in oligodendrocytes and rarely in astrocytes. However, HHV-6 has been detected in the scar-like plaques on the myelin sheath of MS patients (Reynaud et al., 2013). Accordingly, IgM antibody against the P41/38 antigen and DNA of HHV-6A was reported in serum from RRMS patients. HHV-6A persists and may reactivate CNS immune cells, leading to higher lymphoproliferative response against HHV6A which has been detected in MS patients. Mechanistically, HHV-6 may be linked to pathogenesis of MS through a proline-rich integral membrane protein known as U24 (Sullivan & Coscoy, 2010). The proline rich region of U24 possesses sequence identity with MBP at a key phosphorylation site which, if molecular mimicry were to occur, the mitogen-associated kinase pathway and the glycogen synthase kinase may be recruited and activated, resulting in lower phosphorylation of MBP. The potential of the proline-rich region of U24 acting as a molecular mimic may mediate cross-reactivity of T-cells and lead to targeting of MBP in MS patients.

### 6.1.3 Epstein barr virus

EBV causes infectious mononucleosis and remains latent in B cells (Thorley-Lawson, 2001) for approximately 30 years after infection (Nielsen et al., 2007). Later onset of symptomatic EBV infection is extremely risky (Ascherio & Munger, 2007). CD4 and CD8 T cells are the primary line of defense against EBV-infection. The association of EBV with MS is supported by numerous case reports where EBV infection was shown to cause axonal demyelination, and detectable EBV antibodies were reported in CSF and meningeal lymphoid follicles (Salvetti, Giovannoni, & Aloisi, 2009; Thacker, Mirzaei, & Ascherio, 2006; Tselis, 2012). The major EBV antigen in MS cases is EBNA1, with antibodies produced specifically in the CSF compartment forming oligoclonal bands, a characteristic of viral infection in MS. Epitope mapping techniques employed for testing the specificity of oligoclonal IgG showed that MS-specific epitopes are derived from EBNA1 and BRRF2 (EBV lytic gene product) proteins, providing evidence of intrathecal production of EBNA1-specific IgG in MS (Cepok et al., 2005). Viral capsid antigen (VCA) and the early antigens (EA) are also produced against EBV. Serum antibodies to VCA show more variation and higher seroprevalence than antibodies to EBNA-1. EBV transforms B cells controlled by host immunological control leading to EBV-associated malignancies. During the lytic stage, C-terminus of EBNA1 binds to the episome (circular DNA of EBV) and transmits virus into progenies. Another link between EBV infection and MS corresponds to molecular mimicry for immunodominant myelin resultant motifs on T cells (Libbey & Fujinami, 2010). Peptides derived from EBV have sequences which are stimulatory for MBP derived CD4 T-cell clones. A cross-reactive T-cell clone detects the MBP peptide similar to the HLA-DR2b and EBV BSLF1 peptide similar to the HLA-DR2a. T cells specific to EBNA1 will provide immunity against EBV, but in MS patients, an EBNA1-specific response of T cells is distorted; yielding exacerbated T cell responses throughout infection. Molecular mimicry amongst viral antigens and autoantigens support autoreactive T cells, such as the accumulation of EBNA1-targeting T cells leading to autoimmune associated with infection. EBV genes in turn excite autoimmune B cells which are also cross-reactive, serving as evidence that EBV has involvement in MS pathogenesis (Compston & Coles, 2008) (Figure 2).
6.1.4 | Herpes zoster virus

VZV commonly causes encephalitis, meningitis, and myelitis. Immune responses against VZV, measles, and rubella have been found in patients with CNS vasculitis (Graef, Henze, & Reiber, 1994) and in the CSF of MS patients. The proposed pathway of VZV on CNS infection involve autophagy and retrograde trafficking of the virus primarily from vesicles on the trigeminal ganglion to cerebral arteries. Transport of the VZV within T-cells and subsequent fusion with neurons has been postulated as a possible infectious mechanism (Horien & Grose, 2012). Despite this, the risk of developing MS upon VZV infection is less when compared to infection with EBV or HHV-6 (Virtanen & Jacobson, 2012).

6.1.5 | Retroviruses

There is growing evidence that retroviruses play a role in MS. It is suggested that two cofactors of the HERVs family, (a) MS-associated retrovirus (MSRV) and (b) endogenous retroviral family W env(C7) member 1(ERVWE1), whose envelope proteins (Zappia E) show neuropathogenic features in vitro and in vivo, contribute to the inflammatory processes and MS pathogenesis (Sospedra & Martin, 2005). HERV-W env gene encodes syncytin-1 protein, which expresses in astrocytes, microglia and macrophages of MS patients. It promotes release of cytokines and reactive oxygen species in astrocytes leading to oligodendrocyte damage stimulating neuroinflammation.

6.2 | Bacteria

6.2.1 | Peptidoglycan

During the host response to infection, many bacterial components are released that can be recognized as targets for immune response. Heteropolymer peptidoglycan (PG) released by bacterial cells serves as a ligand to activate inflammatory immune response (Gupta, Wang, Vinson, & Dziarski, 1999; Weidemann et al., 1994). PG has been shown to be present in immunocompetent APC in the brain tissue of MS patients suggesting its role in MS pathogenesis (Schrijver et al., 2001). The presence of PG in the brain tissue of MS patients has been shown in the absence of active infection and thus indicates that peripheral PG may translocate with the APC to the CNS and serve to drive an inflammatory response in this location.

6.2.2 | Chlamydia pneumoniae

Chlamydia pneumoniae causes common respiratory infections, with up to 50% of the population showing seropositivity in late adulthood (Grayston, Wang, Kuo, & Campbell, 1989). The first report of
coincidental infection with *C. pneumoniae* in a patient with RPMS was reported in 1998 (Sriram, Mitchell, & Stratton, 1998). Upon broader examination it was found that over 97% of serum CSF in patients with MS tested positive for the *C. pneumoniae* momp gene. Additionally, *C. pneumoniae* was isolated from 64% of MS patients, showing coincidental infection (Sriram et al., 1999). *C. pneumoniae* has also been implicated in the pathogenesis of other neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer’s Disease and schizophrenia given that the pathogen can invade the blood stream, and when taken up by monocytes as carriers, can cross the BBB and access the CNS that the pathogen can invade the blood stream, and when taken up by monocytes as carriers, can cross the BBB and access the CNS leading to MS disease progression (Fainardi, Castellazzi, Seraceni, Granieri, & Contini, 2008).

6.2.3 | Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis

Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis (MAP), an infectious bacillus, has been previously associated with Crohn’s disease (CD) in humans. To study possible associations of MAP infection with MS, the prevalence of MAP protein MAP2694 (a glycine and proline rich transmembrane protein conserved in the Mycobacteriaceae family), and the immune response to this protein in patients with MS compared to normal control subjects were studied. Patients with MS tested positive for MAP DNA (42%) when compared to healthy controls (12%). Additionally, MAP protein antibodies were detected more often in MS patients (32%) compared to healthy subjects (2%) (Cossu et al., 2011).

6.2.4 | Helicobacter pylori

*Helicobacter pylori* has shown the histological isolation from 86.4% of MS patients versus 50% of healthy control subjects. (Gavalas et al., 2015). Gavalas et al. first reported a reverse correlation between *H. pylori* and MS with subsequent meta-analysis, concluding that patients with *H. pylori* infection had lower rates of MS than those without infection. This suggests that *H. pylori* infection may provide some protection against MS development or progression (Jaruvongvanich, Sanguankeo, Jaruvongvanich, & Upala, 2016), mechanisms to which still remain unclear.

6.2.5 | Staphylococcus aureus

Counter to observed associations between bacterial infection and MS, *Staphylococcus aureus* infection in a murine model of MS demonstrated a reduction in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (Fainardi et al., 2008). This is counterintuitive to the model of bacterial inflammation driving MS development or progression as *S. aureus* produces systemic inflammation and stimulates the production of cytokines responsible for inflammatory response. This protective role was demonstrated by a reduction in demyelination and higher axon density in infected groups, as well as lower clinical scores, compared to uninfected groups. This protective role was mediated in part by an extracellular adhesion protein which was recovered in the blood of infected animals (Kumar et al., 2015).

7 | ANIMAL MODELS AND TREATMENT OPTIONS

Animal models are critical for diseases like MS, which have a complex etiology and lack a complete understanding of its mechanisms. Due to its complexity, there is currently no single animal model that can reflect the whole spectrum of the disease. Over the years, several animal models have been designed to study MS and reviewed effectively (Procaccini, De Rosa, Pucino, Formisano, & Matarese, 2015), however, the most frequently used model for etiological studies of MS is the EAE model. In EAE, an immune reaction to CNS components is induced in susceptible animals with self-antigens that are derived from myelin protein. This was first described in monkeys stimulated with rabbit antigen (Rivers, Sprunt, & Berry, 1933), but later Freund’s adjuvant (Freund & McDermott, 1942) and pertussis toxin (Munoz, Bernard, & Mackay, 1984) were added to induce a humoral immune reaction similar to as seen in RRMS (Kabat, Wolf, & Bezer, 1947), and analogous to what is found in MS patients. Although studies have been done on guinea pigs (Freund, Stern, & Pisani, 1947) and monkeys (Kabat et al., 1947), the best models resulted from mice (Olitsky & Yager, 1949) and rats (Lipton & Freund, 1952). The type of antigen introduced to the animal determined its particular presentation of EAE. Immunization of SJL/J mice with an epitope of proteolipid protein induces RRMS (Tuohy, Lu, Sobel, Laursen, & Lees, 1989), while MS induced by myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein in C57BL6/J mice is chronic MS (Tomkins et al., 2002). Apart from EAE models, viral infection models which most effectively aid in the study of EBV related MS are TMEV infection models (Richards et al., 2011; Tsunoda, Iwasaki, Terunuma, Sako, & Ohara, 1996). Utilizing TMEV models also assist in studying new therapeutic methodologies targeted toward adhesion molecules and axonal degeneration (Tsunoda & Fujinami, 2010). Independent of introducing immunogens and viruses, certain chemical compounds have been utilized to cause an immune reaction leading to MS-like symptoms, like cuprizone and lysolecithin, which cause oligodendrocyte cell death and have been primarily used to study demyelination and remyelination in MS (Jeffery & Blakemore, 1995; Matsushima & Morell, 2001).

7.1 | Epigenetic therapy

Epigenetics, encompasses DNA methylation, histone modifications, and small RNA based mechanisms, may be a potential therapeutic avenue in MS treatment (Huynh & Casaccia, 2013). Due to observed epigenetic changes in MS, this therapy has emerged as a novel treatment alternative to MS. Histone deacetylase inhibitors
like Trichostatin A, Vorinostat, and Valproic acid have been used as therapeutic drugs, and have been shown to reduce cell infiltration in the CNS and reduce overall inflammation through the suppression of dendritic cells and dendritic cell costimulatory molecules (Camelo et al., 2005; Ge et al., 2013; Zhang, Zhang, Wu, & Schluesener, 2012). Further, histone acetyl transferase inhibitors like curcumin were found to repress infiltration of inflammatory cells in the spinal cord (Xie et al., 2009). More recently, 5-azacytidine, a DNA methylation inhibitor, was shown to inhibit EAE by induction of regulatory T cells in a mouse EAE model (Chan et al., 2014).

7.2 | Hormone therapy

Corticosteroids are commonly used drugs for treatment of acute MS relapses (Karussis, 2013). Glucocorticoids strongly downregulate inflammation by inhibiting genes that affect the production of cytokines including IL-1, IL-2, IL-3, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-α. This in turn, reduces the proliferative ability of T cells (Abbruzzese et al., 1983; Barkhof, Hommes, Scheltens, & Valk, 1991; Beck et al., 1992; Miller et al., 1991; Rose et al., 1970), and induces the synthesis of lipocortin-1 to minimize eicosanoid production and reduce the permeability of BBB with steroids (Barkhof et al., 1991; Kesselring et al., 1989; Miller et al., 1992). Studies have shown that both corticotrophin (ACTH) and intravenous methylprednisolone could be used in short-term treatment of the acute exacerbations of MS (Berkovich et al., 2017). An alternative to corticosteroids are sex hormones, for example, testosterone supplements can be protective in males and combination of estradiol and progesterone is protective in case of females (Golden & Voskuhl, 2016).

7.3 | Immunotherapy

7.3.1 | T cell therapy

The first line of treatment targeting T cells in both the clinic and mouse EAE models was represented by type 1 interferons and glatiramer acetate (GA). IFN-β reduces relapses and slows progression of the disease (Abreu, 1982). GA is a synthetic co-polymer containing alanine, glutamine, lysine, and tyrosine (Norohna, Toscas, & Jensen, 1990; Rudick, Carpenter, Cookfair, Tuohy, & Ransohoff, 1993). This compound shares immunologic similarities with the MBP molecule and can reduce inflammation by preventing lymphocyte sensitization (Abreu, 1985). GA is capable of downregulating antigen presentation through competitive inhibition for binding to MHC/HLA, thereby inducing a Th2 shift, in regulatory and CD8 suppressor GA-reactive cells (Comi, Filippi, Wolinsky, & Group, 2001).

7.3.2 | B-cell therapy

B cell immunity plays an integral part in the development of MS because of its role in antibody presentation, cytokine production, meningeal inflammation, axonal degeneration, and grey matter

---

**FIGURE 3** Schematic diagram of environmental factors and host derived pathways in causation of the disease and therapeutic strategies associated with multiple sclerosis (MS) progression. Although many correlations have been established between foreign pathogens and MS, there is no evidence for a direct association between any pathogen and the development or progression of MS. It is still unclear, whether bacterial or viral pathogens are capable of initiating MS in susceptible patients and exacerbate MS symptoms. As multiple species of bacteria and virus have been shown to associate with MS, it is possible that the mechanism of this association is a result of generalized inflammation caused by the immune response to these pathogens. The listed pathogens have been reported to cause one or more of the indicated pathways which culminate in the demyelination of neurons and progression of MS. The outlined therapeutic strategies include the existing treatment options as well as the focuses of current research.
demyelination (McLauchlan & Robertson, 2017). Therefore, B cell-depleting therapy as an alternative to T cell therapy is considered highly effective against relapsing MS, and disability worsening in PPMS. The B cell-depleting therapy is divided into two categories: polyclonal and monoclonal antibody therapies. Polyclonal antibody therapies inhibit cell-mediated immune reactions by causing general immunosuppression, albeit not commonly used in MS due to high toxicity (Walker, Hoehn, & Kashihagi, 1976). On the other hand, numerous monoclonal antibodies including Natalizumab, Alemtuzumab and Daclizumab have proven effective in early RRMS (Nguyen, Gresle, Marshall, Butzkueven, & Field, 2017). In addition, three emerging monoclonal antibodies against CD20-positive B cells (rituximab, ocrelizumab, and ofatumumab) have shown overall promising effects in clinical phase II and III trials (Abbruzzese et al., 1983; Reff et al., 1994; Stashenko, Nadler, Hardy, & Schlossman, 1980). Other developing therapies against relapsing forms of the disease targets B cell CD19 antigen and B cell cytokine signaling molecules (Schneider et al., 1999).

7.4 | Stem cell therapy

Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation suppresses the immune system and mitigates the inflammatory responses generated by an insult (Burt et al., 2009). The entire immune system is reconstructed using the patient’s own stem cells (Burt et al., 2009). In numerous clinical cases, the use of stem cell therapy was able to bring about an induction of remission and stabilization of MS (Burt et al., 2009). In addition, stem cell therapy using embryonic and other types of adult stem cells, particularly mesenchymal stem cells, are currently being studied using the EAE model (Kassis et al., 2008; Zappia et al., 2005).

7.5 | Electrical stimulation

Electrical stimulation has emerged as a potential therapeutic technique for MS. A pilot study showed that intraluminal electrical pharyngeal stimulation can treat dysphagia caused by MS (Restivo et al., 2013). A randomized control trial showed that resistance training of light to moderate intensity over long periods of time increases muscle strength in MS patients, while concurrent use of electrical stimulation does not help to further improve the outcome (Broekmans et al., 2011). Additionally, functional electrical stimulation has tremendous potential as a therapeutic strategy to aid with gait in MS patients (Hausmann et al., 2015; Sampson et al., 2016; van der Linden, Scott, Hooper, Cowan, & Mercer, 2014).

8 | CONCLUSIONS

Although substantial resources have been devoted on finding a cure for MS, based on current knowledge about the etiologic of the disease, further studies are required to determine the association between virus and bacteria in the pathology of MS development (Figure 3). The most effective etiologic agent causing MS is still not known, though it is possible that multiple agents work in synchronization to hijack the immune system and aggravate the disease. As such, a deeper understanding of the underlying mechanisms is needed because a variety of factors associated with numerous diseases in completely different subsets of patients predisposed genetically for the disease have been shown. In addition, more studies on extent of interplay between MS and other non-pathogenic environmental factors like vitamin D levels, exposure to UV-light, consumption of tobacco, with respect to MS pathogenesis are required.
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