INTRODUCTION

Ocular dosage forms are designed to be instilled onto the external surface of the eye, administered inside or adjacent to the eye. Ideal ophthalmic drug delivery must be able to sustain the drug release and to remain in the vicinity of the front of the eye for a prolonged period of time.[1] Solid lipid nanoparticles (SLNs) are microscopic particles whose size is measured in nanometers (nm). The size of a nanoparticle is 1-100 nm.[2,3] SLNs are incorporated into in situ gels for sustained release of the drug, to prolong the residence time, and to increase the bioavailability of the drug.
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This new concept (in situ delivery) of producing a gel in situ was suggested for the first time in the early 1980s. “In situ” is a Latin phrase translated literally as “in position.” In situ gel-forming systems can be described as low-viscosity solutions that undergo phase transition in the conjunctival cul-de-sac to form viscoelastic gels due to conformational changes of polymers in response to change in a specific physicochemical parameter such as ionic strength, pH, or temperature. Gel dosage forms are successfully used as drug delivery systems, considering their ability to prolong drug release.[5,6] Conventional liquid ophthalmic formulations demonstrate low bioavailability because of a constant lacrimal drainage in the eye.[7,8]
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Solution-to-gel phase transition may occur due to:

a. Physical stimuli: It includes change in temperature, electric field, and light;
b. Chemical stimuli: It includes changes in pH and ion activation from biological fluid; or
c. Biochemical stimuli: It includes changes in glucose level.\(^{[9,10]}\)

Voriconazole is an antifungal drug used for treatment of various conditions caused by yeast or fungi. Voriconazole binds and inhibits ergosterol synthesis by inhibiting cytochrome P450-mediated 14 alpha-lanosterol demethylation, an essential step in fungal ergosterol biosynthesis. The accumulation of 14 alpha-methyl sterols correlates with the subsequent loss of ergosterol in the fungal cell wall and may be responsible for the antifungal activity. Voriconazole is available as powder for infusion, oral suspension, and tablet on the market.

In the present investigation, the main aim was to develop a solid lipid-loaded in situ gel formulation for ophthalmic drug delivery. The objective of this work was to improve precorneal retention time, thereby increasing therapeutic activity in a controlled-release manner. Reducing the frequency of dosage will improve patient compliance.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

Voriconazole was obtained from FDC Ltd., Mumbai, Maharashtra. Carbopol 940 was purchased from CDH (P) Ltd., New Delhi. (hydroxypropyl) methyl cellulose (HPMC) K15M, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), sodium chloride, and benzalkonium chloride were purchased from Loba Chem, Mumbai. All chemicals of analytical or pharmaceutical grade were used without further purification.

**Method**

**Preparation of nanolipids**

Nanolipids were prepared by film hydration technique.\(^{[11]}\) The mixture of vesicle-forming ingredients such as lecithin and cholesterol was dissolved in a volatile organic solvent (dichloromethane and methanol) in a round-bottom flask. The rotary evaporator was rotated at 60°C for 45 min. Then the organic solvent was removed with gentle agitation and the organic solvent evaporated at 60°C, leaving a thin film of lipid on the wall of the rotary flash evaporator. The aqueous phase containing voriconazole drug was added slowly with intermittent shaking of the flask at room temperature and sonicated for 30 min. The obtained nanolipid solution was cooled by placing in the freezer. The composition of the nanolipid is presented in Table 1.

**Formulation of nanolipid in situ gel**

Nanolipid in situ gel was prepared on the basis of drug entrapment efficiency and morphology. The batch of nanolipid that gave maximum entrapment and good surface morphology was selected for preparation of in situ gel.\(^{[12]}\) Appropriate quantities of Carbopol 940 and HPMC K15M were sprinkled over nanolipid dispersion under constant stirring with a glass rod, taking care to avoid formation of lumps, and allowed to hydrate. Other ingredients such as benzalkonium chloride as preservative and sodium chloride to make gel formulations isotonic with tear fluid were added to the gel batches, incorporated in sufficient quantity to adjust the pH. The compositions of various nanolipid in situ gels prepared are presented in Table 2.

**Drug release by diffusion**

Separation of unentrapped drug from the nanolipid formulation was done by the ultracentrifugation method. Here, centrifuging of nanolipid dispersion was carried out at 14000 rpm for 90 min. The clear supernatant from the resulting solution was diluted appropriately using pH 7.4 phosphate buffer and analyzed by ultraviolet (UV) spectrophotometric method.\(^{[9]}\)

**Evaluation of SLNs**

**Vesicle shape and size analysis of SLNs**

The size and shape of the vesicles were determined using optical microscopy and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (SEM Jeol JSM)-5800 California, USA.\(^{[9]}\)

**Entrapment efficiency**

Each drug excipient’s compatibility was determined using infrared (IR) spectrum recorded on Bruker Vertex 70/70v (Germany) FT-IR spectrophotometer. Samples of pure drug and physical mixtures of drug and excipients were scanned in the range of 400-4000 cm\(^{-1}\).

**Preliminary studies**

**Drug polymer interaction studies**

| Ingredients (w/v or v/v) | NF1 | NF2 | NF3 | NF4 | NF5 | NF6 |
|--------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Drug                     | 0.05| 0.05| 0.05| 0.05| 0.05| 0.05|
| Lecithin                 | 0.05| 0.05| 0.10| 0.05| 0.15| 0.2 |
| Cholesterol              | 0.05| 0.10| 0.05| 0.15| 0.10| 0.05|
| Methanol                 | 7.50| 7.50| 7.50| 7.50| 7.50| 7.50|
| Water                    | 10  | 10  | 10  | 10  | 10  | 10  |

**Table 2: Composition of nanolipid in situ gels**

| Ingredients (w/v or v/v) | F1   | F2   | F3   | F4   | F5   | F6   |
|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Drug                     | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Lecithin                 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Cholesterol              | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Methanol                 | 7.55 | 7.50 | 7.50 | 7.50 | 7.50 | 7.50 |
| Water                    | 10   | 10   | 10   | 10   | 10   | 10   |
| HPMC                     | 0.2  | 0.2  | 0.4  | 0.4  | 0.3  | 0.2  |
| Carbopol                 | 0.2  | 0.4  | 0.2  | 0.2  | 0.2  | 0.3  |
| EDTA                     | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  |
| Benzalkonium chloride    | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Sodium chloride          | 0.9  | 0.9  | 0.9  | 0.9  | 0.9  | 0.9  |
| Phosphate buffer         | 100  | 100  | 100  | 100  | 100  | 100  |
Antimicrobial activity

Antimicrobial study was carried out to check the antimicrobial efficiency of voriconazole in situ gel. The test organisms used were Candida albicans; the growth medium used was nutrient agar. The cup-plate method was used to carry out antimicrobial study. The method is based on the principle of diffusion of drug from vertical cup through solidified agar layer in Petri plate. Sterile solution of ciprofloxacin (on the market) eye drops was used as a standard. The standard solution and the developed formulations (test solution) were taken into separate cups bored into sterile nutrient agar previously seeded with Candida albicans organisms. The gels were allowed to diffuse for 2 h and then the plates were incubated for 24 h at 37°C. The zone of inhibition (ZOI) was compared with that of the standard.\(^\text{[22]}\)

Ocular irritation studies

The optimized formulation was evaluated for in vivo performance in an animal model (albino rabbits). The protocol was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee (1722/PO/A/13/IACUCPCSEA EXP-030). Animals were housed at room temperature (27°C) and fed with standard diet and water. The albino rabbits, each weighing 2-3 kg, were placed in cages and the eyes were marked as test and control. The control eye received no sample and the test eye received the formulation (0.5 mL), and the eyes were observed at 1 h, 24 h, 48 h, 72 h, and 1 week after exposure. Ocular change was graded by a scoring system that includes rating any alterations to the eyelids, conjunctiva, cornea, and iris. Rabbits were observed periodically for redness, swelling, and watering of the eye.\(^\text{[8,23]}\) Evaluation was carried out according to the Draize technique.

Accelerated stability studies

The optimized nanolipid dispersion with the highest entrapment efficiency was placed in vials and sealed with aluminum foil for a short-term accelerated stability study at 25 ± 2°C/60 ± 5% relative humidity (RH) as per modified International Conference on Harmonisation (ICH) guidelines. Samples were analyzed every 90 days for drug content.\(^\text{[24]}\)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Drug polymer interaction was studied using the IR spectrum. The Fourier transform (FT)-IR spectra of the pure drug and the mixture of drug and polymers are shown in Figures 1 and 2. From the spectral study (Tables 3 and 4), it was observed that there was no significant change in the peaks of pure drug and of drug...
polymer mixture. Hence, no specific interaction was observed between the drug and the polymers used in the formulations.

SEM images showed that most of the vesicles formed were spherical in shape [Figures 3 and 4]. The nature of lipids played a major role in drug entrapment efficiency. The entrapment efficiencies were within the range of 67.2-97.3% [Table 5]. NF1 had shown the highest entrapment efficiency of 97.3%. NF5 showed the lowest entrapment efficiency of 67.2%. The other formulations NF2, NF3, NF4, and NF6 showed 87%, 69%, 77.56%, and 89.63% entrapment efficiency, respectively [Figure 5].

The drug release from nanolipids occurred for 10 h in pH 7.4 buffer. The drug release of nanolipids was within the range of 79.8-99.0%. From the drug release data, it was found that NF1 released maximum drug (99.0%) compare to other formulations [Figure 6]. NF1 was selected as the best SLN formulation and incorporated into in situ gel for further studies. An equal ratio of lecithin and cholesterol was responsible for good entrapment efficiency and drug release.

Visual appearance and clarity were observed for the presence of any particular matter. The pH of nanoparticles in situ gel was detected by using digital pH meter. Nanolipid in situ gels’ pH range was pH 5-7.4 [Table 6]. Nanolipid in situ gel showed maximum pH value (7.1) for F6 formulation. The pH of the reported formulations was nonirritating to the eye. This reflects that the gel will be nonirritant to the eye surface.

The gelling capacity was determined by freshly prepared simulated tear fluid (STF). Gelation study revealed that the formulations F1 and F3 gelled slowly and dissolved rapidly within 1 h. Formulations F2 and F5 showed immediate gelation and remained for a few hours. Formulations F4 and F6 exhibited immediate gelation and remained for 2-4 h [Table 7].

The drug release studies of nanolipid in situ gel with voriconazole were performed for 24 h in pH 7.4 phosphate buffer. From the

| Table 3: IR spectral data of voriconazole
| Group | Frequency | Expected | Obtained |
|-------|-----------|----------|----------|
| C=N   | 1630-1690 | 1644     |          |
| C=C   | 1450-1600 | 1451     |          |
| C-F   | 1000-1400 | 1270     |          |
| C-N   | 1000-1400 | 1112     |          |

| Table 4: IR spectral data of nanolipid in situ gel
| Group | Frequency | Expected | Obtained |
|-------|-----------|----------|----------|
| C=N   | 1630-1690 | 1696     |          |
| C=C   | 1450-1600 | 1433     |          |
| C-F   | 1000-1400 | 1285     |          |
| C-N   | 1000-1400 | 1165     |          |

| Table 5: Entrapment efficiency of nanolipid in situ gels
| Formulation | Entrapment efficiency (%) |
|-------------|----------------------------|
| NF1         | 97.30±0.57                 |
| NF2         | 87.00±0.84                 |
| NF3         | 69.00±0.39                 |
| NF4         | 77.56±0.86                 |
| NF5         | 67.20±0.29                 |
| NF6         | 89.63±0.25                 |

*Values expressed as mean ± SD, n = 3, SD = Standard deviation
release data, it was found that drug release from the formulation F6 was 91.24% for 24 h. The cumulative percentages of drug released from various SLN formulations are shown in Figure 7. Formulation F6 showed steadier drug release than other formulations; moreover, release of the drug was in a controlled manner. Release pattern of the drug was mainly influenced by the polymer ratio and the viscosity of the gel. Hence, F6 was selected as the best SLNs in situ gel formulation.

Formulations were analyzed for drug content spectrophotometrically at 272 nm. All the formulations exhibited fairly uniform drug content. The drug contents of all formulations were in a range of 87.03-96.36% [Table 8]. Out of several tested formulations, F6 showed the highest drug content (96.36%).

Viscosity is an important parameter for characterizing the SLNs in situ gel formulations, as it affects the release of the drug. The highest viscosity, for gel F6 formulation, led to retarded drug release up to a considerable extent (97.24%) in 24 h when compared with other formulations. Low-viscosity formulations showed highest drug release. Intermediate viscosity of formulations has shown maximum retardation of drug release due to the viscous nature of the polymers. Carbopol 940 and HPMC as polymer system have contributed majorly toward building the viscosity of the formulation. Viscosities of the prepared SLNs in situ gel formulations were found to be in the range 120-915 cps [Table 9].

The optimized nanolipid in situ gel formulations F6 showed antimicrobial activity when tested microbiologically by the cup-plate technique. The prepared nanolipid in situ gel formulation F6 inhibited the growth of Candida albicans. ZOI

Table 6: Visual appearance and pH

| Formulations | Visual appearance | pH ± SD |
|--------------|-------------------|---------|
| F1           | Cloudy            | 5.9 ± 0.070 |
| F2           | Clear             | 5.1 ± 0.141 |
| F3           | Clear             | 6.2 ± 0.749 |
| F4           | Cloudy            | 4.9 ± 0.021 |
| F5           | Clear             | 6.1 ± 0.728 |
| F6           | Clear             | 7.1 ± 0.145 |

*Values expressed as mean ± SD, n = 3, SD = Standard deviation

Table 7: Gelling capacity of nanolipid in situ gel

| Formulations | Gelation capacity |
|--------------|-------------------|
| F1           | +                 |
| F2           | ++                |
| F3           | +                 |
| F4           | +++               |
| F5           | ++                |
| F6           | +++               |

*Gelation slow and dissolves rapidly, ++Gelation immediate and remains for short period of time, +++Gelation immediate and remains for extended period of time

Table 8: Drug content estimation of nanolipid in situ gel

| Formulations | Drug content (%) ± SD |
|--------------|-----------------------|
| F1           | 87.03 ± 0.34          |
| F2           | 75.83 ± 0.56          |
| F3           | 65.69 ± 0.48          |
| F4           | 87.79 ± 0.57          |
| F5           | 80.00 ± 0.46          |
| F6           | 96.36 ± 0.78          |

*Values expressed as mean ± SD, n = 3, SD = standard deviation

Table 9: Viscosity profile of nanolipid in situ gel

| Angular velocity (rpm) | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 |
|-------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 10                      | 427±1.992 | 672±1.557 | 695±1.192 | 803±0.603 | 732±0.564 | 915±1.798 |
| 20                      | 365±1.894 | 445±1.119 | 654±0.213 | 798±1.921 | 675±0.589 | 827±0.690 |
| 50                      | 243±1.669 | 332±1.995 | 364±0.7071 | 623±0.131 | 432±1.529 | 750±1.482 |
| 100                     | 154±1.454 | 243±0.811 | 120±0.698 | 263±0.828 | 255±0.858 | 620±0.426 |

*Values expressed as mean ± SD, n = 3, SD = Standard deviation

Figure 6: In vitro drug release of nanoparticles

Figure 7: In vitro release profile of nanoparticles in situ gel
was measured by using zone reader in mm. The voriconazole retained its antimicrobial efficacy when formulated as an in situ gelling system [Table 10 and Figure 8]. F6 formulation displayed the maximum ZOI of 40 mm. This proves that the test formulation has better efficacy and has an excellent antimicrobial property.

The results of the ocular irritation studies indicate that the formulations have no average score (zero) according to the Draize scale [Table 2]. Excellent ocular tolerance was noted; no ocular damage or abnormal clinical signs in the cornea, iris, or conjunctiva were visible [Table 11].

The stability studies of SLNs in situ gel were performed at 5 ± 2°C and 25 ± 2°C/60 ± 5% RH for 3 months. The formulations were examined visually for precipitation. The drug content was determined every 30 days for 3 months. It was observed that there was no change in the physical appearance of the formulation. The drug content was analyzed and there were marginal differences between the formulations kept at different temperatures [Table 12]. SLNs in situ formulations retained good stability throughout the study.

### Table 10: ZOI of microbial assay

| Formulation (10 µg/mL) | Microorganism | ZOI |
|------------------------|---------------|-----|
| Nanolipid in situ gel   | Candida albicans | 40 mm |
| Marketed eye drops      | Candida albicans | 32 mm |

### Table 11: Ocular irritation study

| Eye part | Cornea | Iris | Conjunctiva |
|----------|--------|------|-------------|
| Observation | Normal | Normal | Normal |

### Table 12: Accelerated stability data of optimized formulation

| Conditions | Drug content (%) |
|------------|-------------------|
| Initial    | 1 month | 2 months | 3 months |
| 5 ± 2°C    | 96.36 | 96.31 | 96.27 | 96.15 |
| 25 ± 2°C/60 ± 5% RH | 96.36 | 96.29 | 96.21 | 96.12 |

CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that incorporation of voriconazole-loaded SLNs with in situ gel was formulated successfully. The SLNs in situ gel formulation was prepared using various polymers such as Carbopol 940 and HPMC, which release the drug in a sustained manner to decrease dosing frequency and to maintain prolonged therapeutic effect. The SLNs in situ gel formulation produced an excellent ZOI in microbial assay. Ocular irritation studies in rabbits showed no irritation. From the above study, it can be concluded that the use of SLNs-loaded in situ gel provides a number of advantages over the conventional ocular dosage forms. Sustained and prolonged release makes the delivery system more reliable and more acceptable to the patients, and increases patient compliance. The SLNs in situ gel formulation can be developed as an acceptable and excellent formulation for ocular drug delivery. However, various in vivo studies and clinical trials are required for it to be developed as an ocular dosage formulation.

Financial support and sponsorship
Nil.

Conflicts of interest
There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Abdul Hasan Sathali A, Mohanambal E, Arun K. Formulation and evaluation of pH triggered in situ gelling system of levofloxacin. Indian J Pharm Educ Res 2011;46:58-64.
2. Rathore KS, Nema RK. http://www.articlesbase.com/visionarticles/ophthalmic-nanoparticles-drug-delivery-systems-1273176.html. [Last accessed on 2010 May 27].
3. Kumar S, Haglund BD, Himmelstein KJ. In situ-forming gels for ophthalmic drug delivery. J Ocul Pharmacol 1994;10:47-56.
4. Nanjawade BK, Manvi FV, Manjappa AS. In situ-forming hydrogels for sustained ophthalmic drug delivery. J Control Release 2007;122:119-34.
5. Nirmal HB, Bakiwal SR, Pawar SP. In situ gel: New trends in controlled and sustained drug delivery system. Int J Pharm Tech Res 2010;2:1398-408.
6. Kaur IP, Singh M, Kanwar M. Formulation and evaluation of ophthalmic preparations of acetazolamide. Int J Pharm 2000;199:119-27.
7. Gokulgandhi MR, Parikh JR, Megha Barot M, Modi DM. A pH triggered in situ gel forming ophthalmic drug delivery system for tropicamide. Drug Deliv Technol 2007;5:44-9.
8. Lavanya B, Indira S, Srinivas P. Formulation and evaluation of ocular niosomal in situ gels of linezolid. Int J Pharm Sci Res 2014;4:1367-75.
9. Nagalakshmi S, Seshank, Ramaswamy R, Shanmuganathan S. Formulation and evaluation of stimuli sensitive pH triggered in situ gelling system of fluconazole in ocular drug delivery. Int J Pharm Sci Res 2014;5:1339-44.
10. Nanjawade BK, Manjappa AS, Murthy RS, Pol YD. A novel pH triggered in situ gel for sustained ophthalmic delivery of ketorlac tromethamine. Asian J Pharm Sci 2009;4:189-99.
11. Moorthi C, Krishnan K, Manavalan R, Kathiresan K. Preparation and characterization of curcumin-piperine dual drug loaded nanoparticles. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed 2012;2:841-8.
12. Abraham S, Furtado S, Bharath S, Basavaraj BV, Deveswaran R, Madhavan V. Sustained ophthalmic delivery of ofloxacin from an ion-activated in situ gelling system. Pak J Pharm Sci 2009;22:175-9.

13. Padma J, Preeha K, Karthika, Rekha NR, Elshafie K. Formulation and evaluation of in situ ophthalmic gels of diclofenac sodium. J Chem Pharm Res 2010;2:528-35.

14. Srividya B, Cardoza RM, Amin PD. Sustained ophthalmic delivery of ofloxacin from a pH triggered in situ gelling system. J Control Release 2001;73:205-11.

15. Kumar SR, Himmestein KJ. Modification of in situ gelling behavior of carbopol solutions by hydroxyl propyl methyl cellulose. J Pharm Sci 1995;84:344-8.

16. Nagesh C, Patil M, Chandrashekhara S, Sutar R. A novel in situ gel for sustained ophthalmic delivery of ciprofloxacin hydrochloride and dexamethasone - Design and characterization. Der Pharmacia Lettre 2012;4:821-7.

17. Darwhekar G, Jain P, Jain DK, Agrawal G. Development and optimization of dorzolamide hydrochloride and timolol maleate in situ gel for glaucoma treatment. Asian J Pharm Anal 2011;1:93-7.

18. Pandey A, Mali PY, Sachdeva D, Patel DK, Ramesh R. Development and optimization of levobunolol hydrochloride in-situ gel for glaucoma treatment. Int J Pharm Biol Arch 2010;1:134-9.

19. Mohan EC, Kandukuri JM, Allenki V. Preparation and evaluation of in-situ gels for ocular drug delivery. J Pharm Res 2009;2:1089-94.

20. Carlfors J, Edsman K, Petersson R, Jörnving K. Rheological evaluation of gelrite in situ gels for ophthalmic use. Eur J Pharm Sci 1998;6:113-9.

21. Wamorkar V, Varma MM, Manjunath SY. Formulation and evaluation of stomach specific in-situ-gels for ocular drug delivery. Eur J Pharm Biopharm 2010;73:175-83.

22. Cohen S, Lobel E, Trevogda A, Peled Y. A novel in situ-forming ophthalmic drug delivery system from alginates undergoing gelation in the eye. J Control Rel 1997;44:201-8.

23. OECD. Acute Eye Irritation/Corrosion Guideline for Testing of Chemicals No. 405. Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, Paris. 2012.

24. Ruel-Gariépy E, Leroux JC. In situ-forming hydrogels--review of temperature-sensitive systems. Eur J Pharm Biopharm 2004;58:409-26.