Bioequivalence study of different brands of vildagliptin in healthy human subjects
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Abstract

Background: Vildagliptin is a dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor used to treat diabetes mellitus. No bioequivalence study data have been published for the Indian population comparing bioequivalence of vildagliptin brands Galvus, Zomelis, and Jalra. This study aimed to evaluate the bioequivalence between three brands of vildagliptin 50 mg tablet (test 1, Zomelis; test 2, Jalra; and reference, Galvus) and to compare these test formulations with the reference formulation to meet the regulatory requirements of bioequivalence of CDSCO, India. The study was conducted in the clinical research center of the college after enrolling 12 healthy volunteers. This study was a single-dose, randomized, open-label, balanced, three treatment, three period, under fasting condition in 12 adult healthy volunteers. After overnight fasting, the subjects received a single dose of either of any three brands of the vildagliptin tablet (T1—test 1; T2—test 2; and R—reference). The washout period was 7 days. Randomization was in the way of T1T2R in the first period, T2T1R in the second period, and RT1T2 in the third period. Blood samples were collected, after that drug concentration in the plasma was measured with the help of HPLC. Outcome measures 90% confidence interval of the geometric mean ratios (test/reference) for the LnC max, LnAUC0-t, and LnAUC0-∞ was calculated.

Results: The AUC0-t was 1390.03, 1401.50, and 1409.37 ng h/ml for the T1, T2, and R, respectively. Cmax was 287.89, 287.41, and 285.17 ng/ml for the T1, T2, and R, respectively. AUC0-∞ was 1452.03, 1467.59, and 1473.53 ng h/ml for the T1, T2, and R, respectively. No significant difference was observed in the pharmacokinetic parameters between the T1, T2, and R. The geometric mean ratios for T1/R for LnC max, LnAUC0-t, and LnAUC0-∞ were 1.0014 (90% CI, 1.0002–1.0026), 0.9992 (90% CI, 0.9971–1.0013), and 0.9994 (90% CI, 0.9973–1.0016), respectively. For the T2/R, geometric mean ratios for LnC max, LnAUC0-t, and LnAUC0-∞ were 1.0003 (90% CI, 0.9992–1.0013), 0.9988 (90% CI, 0.9969–1.0008), and 0.9985 (90% CI, 0.9961–1.0010), respectively.

Conclusion: In this single-dose study involving Indian healthy volunteers under fasting conditions, the three brands of vildagliptin (Zomelis, Jalra, and Galvus) were bioequivalent as per the bioequivalence criterion of CDSCO, India.
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glucose-dependent insulinotropic peptide. GLP-1 stimulates postprandial-induced insulin secretion and leads to glucose homeostasis [4, 5]. GLP-1, which is in circulation, degraded rapidly, and its degradation is inhibited by DPP-4 [3, 6]. Inhibition of DPP-4 enzyme activity leads to GLP-1-increased activity; hence glycemic, control increased in human trials [7–9]. Therefore, augmentation of this GLP-1 activity in diabetic patients leads to lowering of glycemia [4, 10, 11]. Vildagliptin (1-[3-hydroxy-1-adamantyl] amino) acetyl]-2-cyano-(S)-pyrrolidine is orally active and highly selective inhibitor of DPP-4 [12]. After oral administration of vildagliptin, it is speedily absorbed, and it has eighty-fifth absolute bioavailability. Vildagliptin have low protein binding (9.3%); it equally distributes between the plasma and red blood cells. Eighty-five percent of the oral dose is ultimately excreted by the kidney as either metabolites or unchanged vildagliptin [13, 14]. Vildagliptin is a proprietary drug of Novartis, and it is sold under the brand name Galvus. Novartis licensed the drug to Abbott, USV, and Emcure [15]. Abbott sells vildagliptin as Zomelis, USV sells it as Jalra, and Emcure sells it as Vysov. These brands of vildagliptin recorded the sale of 822 crore out of 10,000 crore market of antidiabetic drugs in the year 2016 [16]. In our study, we decided to take three brands of vildagliptin Zomelis, Jalra, and Galvus to check their bioequivalence. Here, Zomelis was taken as test 1 drug (T1), Jalra was taken as test 2 (T2) drug, and Galvus was taken as the reference drug (R). The prices of these formulations are nearly the same.

Methods
Aim of the study
This study was done to evaluate the bioequivalence of three different brands of vildagliptin tablet (50 mg) formulations following single-dose administration in healthy volunteers after an overnight fasting of 10 h in order to compare the bioequivalence of these preparations.

Compliance with ethical standards
For the compounds to be bioequivalent, the compounds should have the calculated 90% confidence interval for AUC and Cmax within the bioequivalent range, usually 80–125% [17]. Informed consent was taken from all the subjects as per the specifications of the Central Drug Standard Control Organization. The Institutional Review Board of our university approved this study protocol and informed consent. This study was conducted as per the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practices as per the schedule Y of Drug and Cosmetic Rule 1945 of India and Central Drug Standard Control Organization (CDSCO) [18, 19]. This study was not funded by any organization, and no conflict of interest was reported.

Subjects
Twelve healthy Indian male volunteers between the age group of 18 and 25 years were enrolled in the study, and their body mass index was in the range of 18.50–24.90 kg/m² (both inclusive) [20]. Written informed consent was taken from all volunteers before the start of the study. For the subjects, some exclusion criteria were there.

Study design and procedures
This study was single-dose, open-label, randomized, 3-treatment, 3-period. After a night fasting, the subjects took a single dose of either of any three brands of the vildagliptin tablet {T1 (Batch No. BF488), T2 (Batch no. BE448), R (Batch no.BL218)}. There were 7 days of washout period between each period of the study. The randomization schedule was like T1T2R in the first period, T2T1R in the second period, and RT1T2 in the third period (T1 = Zomelis, T2 = Jalra, and R = Galvus). The study was explained to each subject, and a written informed consent was taken from each subject according to the Schedule Y of the Drug and Cosmetic Act and Rules 1945 of India. After taking the informed consent, a clinical examination was done for the subjects. Routine clinical tests were performed at the DIPSAR Clinical Research Laboratory 1 week before the start of the study. Before the dose administration, the subjects were enrolled in the clinical research center of DIPSAR and were kept confined to the center for the duration of the study. A peripheral venous catheter was placed in the antecubital vein of the subjects, and it was flushed with 0.5 ml of heparin in normal saline (NS) solution (1:20). To get samples free from NS solution and heparin, first, 1 ml of blood was discarded. For the determination of the amount of vildagliptin in the plasma, blood samples (4 ml) were collected in K2EDTA vacutainers at the following given times: predose and 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, and 24 h. These blood samples were centrifuged at 2500 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C. The resulting plasma samples were separated and stored at −75 °C until analysis. For the adverse events, subjects were monitored for 24 h during the study using clinical measurements like blood pressure (BP), pulse rate, and body temperature and by orally asking any complaint felt by the subjects.

Determination of vildagliptin plasma concentration
Plasma concentrations of vildagliptin were determined in the Clinical Research Center Laboratory of DIPSAR, using a validated HPLC-UV method. HPLC system used was of Shimadzu Corporation (LC-2010C HT) model. The HPLC components consist of the following equipment: System Controller (LC-2010HT), Sample Cooler (LC-2010CHT), Degasser (5 line degasser), Column...
maximum plasma concentration ($C_{\text{max},n}$ g/ml) and $t_{\text{max},n}$, and $\text{AUC}_{0-\infty}$ from time 0 to infinite time ($\text{AUC}_{0-\infty}$) was calculated as $\text{AUC}_{0-t} + C_t/k_{el}$; here, $C_t$ represents the last quantifiable concentration, and $k_{el}$ represents the terminal elimination rate constant. $k_{el}$ was calculated by least-squares regression analysis during the terminal log-linear phase of the concentration-time curve [21, 22].

Statistical analysis
To determine the bioequivalence between the products, analysis of variance (ANOVA) at $\alpha = 0.05$ was performed to determine the statistical differences of $C_{\text{max}}$, $\text{AUC}_{0-t}$, and $\text{AUC}_{0-\infty}$ which represented the rate and extent of drug absorption. ANOVA was performed on the logarithmically (Ln) transformed data of $C_{\text{max}}$, $\text{AUC}_{0-t}$, and $\text{AUC}_{0-\infty}$. The bioequivalence between the three formulations was assessed by calculation of the 90% confidence interval for the ratios of $C_{\text{max}}$, $\text{AUC}_{0-t}$, and $\text{AUC}_{0-\infty}$ obtained after the administration of the three formulations using logarithmically transformed data. For the products to be bioequivalent, the requirement is the 90% CI of the $C_{\text{max}}$, $\text{AUC}_{0-t}$, and $\text{AUC}_{0-\infty}$ to be within the acceptance criteria of 0.8–1.25 (CDSCO guidelines, 2005). Any statistical difference at $P < 0.05$ was considered as significant.

Results
Bioanalytical method
The calibration curve of vildagliptin was linear ranging from 10 to 1000 ng/ml, and the linear regression of the drug concentration versus peak height ratios (vildagliptin/IS) gave coefficients of determination ($r^2$) = 0.9992. The lower limit of quantitation was 10.0 ng/ml with the accuracy (%) and precision (CV %) of 97.37 and 1.48, respectively.

Inter-formulation variations between T1/T2 and R were analyzed by significance testing (ANOVA) for each logarithmically transformed data of $\text{AUC}_{0-t}$, $\text{AUC}_{0-\infty}$.

| Drug      | Time (min) | Mobile buffer (%A) | Phase ACN (%B) | Flow rate (ml/min) | Detection wavelength (nm) | Injection volume (µl) | Retention time (min) |
|-----------|------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Vildagliptin | 0          | 95                 | 5              |                   |                           |                       |                      |
|           | 5          | 80                 | 20             |                   |                           |                       |                      |
|           | 10         | 50                 | 50             |                   |                           |                       |                      |
|           | 15         | 20                 | 80             | 1                 | 210                       | 20                    | 11                   |
|           | 17         | 5                  | 95             |                   |                           |                       |                      |
|           | 19         | 95                 | 5              |                   |                           |                       |                      |
|           | 20         | 95                 | 5              |                   |                           |                       |                      |

Linear regression was performed to determine the drug concentration in the range of 10–1000 ng/ml ($r^2$ = 0.9992). The lower limit of quantitation was 10.0 ng/ml with the accuracy (%) and precision (CV %) of 97.37 and 1.48, respectively.

Statistical methods and data analysis

Pharmacokinetic analysis
There was no dropout of subjects in the study, and all the subjects participated till the last period of the study. To determine the pharmacokinetic parameters of vildagliptin, the non-compartmental pharmacokinetic method was employed. By visual inspection of each subject’s plasma, concentration-time profile maximum plasma concentration ($C_{\text{max}}$ ng/ml) and time to reach peak plasma concentration ($t_{\text{max}}$ h) were obtained. The area under the curve (AUC) from time 0 to the last measurable concentration time ($\text{AUC}_{0-t}$) was calculated by the trapezoidal method. Microsoft Excel was used as the software to calculate various parameters in this bioequivalence study. The $t_{\text{1/2}}$ (h) was calculated as 0.693/$k_{el}$ where $k_{el}$ is terminal elimination rate constant. The AUC from time 0 to infinite time ($\text{AUC}_{0-\infty}$) was calculated as $\text{AUC}_{0-t} + C_t/k_{el}$; here, $C_t$ represents the last quantifiable concentration, and $k_{el}$ represents the terminal elimination rate constant. $k_{el}$ was calculated by least-squares regression analysis during the terminal log-linear phase of the concentration-time curve [21, 22].

Table 1 Optimized chromatographic conditions for the analysis of vildagliptin by RP-HPLC
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and $C_{\text{max}}$, with the results of $F$ values and $P$ values were as given below:

### ANOVA of $C_{\text{max}}$

| Source of variation | SS     | df | MS      | $F$     | $P$ value | $F$ crit |
|---------------------|--------|----|---------|---------|-----------|----------|
| Rows                | 0.000894 | 11 | 8.13E−05 | 4.628591 | 0.001101  | 2.258518 |
| Columns             | 0.000118 | 2  | 5.92E−05 | 3.374427 | 0.052702  | 3.443357 |
| Error               | 0.000386 | 22 | 1.76E−05 |         |           |          |
| Total               | 0.001399 | 35 |         |         |           |          |

### ANOVA of AUC$_{0-t}$

| Source of variation | SS     | df | MS      | $F$     | $P$ value | $F$ crit |
|---------------------|--------|----|---------|---------|-----------|----------|
| Rows                | 0.000723 | 11 | 6.57E−05 | 0.767611 | 0.667168  | 2.258518 |
| Columns             | 0.000216 | 2  | 0.000108 | 1.261262 | 0.302993  | 3.443357 |
| Error               | 0.001883 | 22 | 8.56E−05 |         |           |          |
| Total               | 0.002822 | 35 |         |         |           |          |

### ANOVA of AUC$_{0-\infty}$

| Source of variation | SS     | df | MS      | $F$     | $P$ value | $F$ crit |
|---------------------|--------|----|---------|---------|-----------|----------|
| Rows                | 0.001064 | 11 | 9.67E−05 | 0.859377 | 0.588444  | 2.258518 |
| Columns             | 0.000257 | 2  | 0.000128 | 1.141905 | 0.337415  | 3.443357 |
| Error               |         |    |         |         |           |          |
| Total               | 0.002475 | 22 | 0.000113 |         |           |          |

### Pharmacokinetic analysis

The mean plasma concentration vs. the time profile of all the three formulations in the 12 treated healthy subjects is shown in Fig. 1. After oral administration, the drug was absorbed rapidly of all three formulations, and it was available to the systemic circulation. Peak plasma concentrations (averaged) were 287.89, 287.41, and 285.17 ng/ml after the oral administration of the test 1, test 2, and reference formulations, respectively. The concentrations were reached at a mean time of 1.8 h after drug administration for all three formulations. The AUC$_{0-t}$ and AUC$_{0-\infty}$ values averaged 1390.03 and 1452.03 after the administration of the test 1 formulation, 1401.50 and 1467.59 ng h/ml after the administration of test 2 formulation, and 1409.37 and 1473.35 ng h/ml after the administration of the reference formulation, respectively. The data are shown in Table 2.

### Bioequivalence analysis

The mean ratio and the 90% confidence interval for Ln-transformed $C_{\text{max}}$, AUC$_{0-t}$, and AUC$_{0-\infty}$ are presented in Table 3. The lower and upper limits of the
90% confidence intervals were 1.0002–1.0026 for LnCmax, 0.9971–1.0013 for Ln AUC0–t, and 0.9973–1.0016 for LnAUC0–∞ of the test 1 formulation. The lower and upper limits of the 90% confidence intervals were 0.9992–1.0013 for LnC max, 0.9969–1.0008 for LnAUC0–t, and 0.9961–1.0010 for LnAUC0–∞ of the test 2 formulation. It can be seen that these values lie in the range of bioequivalence (0.80–1.25).

The Cmax values of vildagliptin after the administration of the three formulations did not differ significantly.

Discussion
Vildagliptin is a new orally effective antihyperglycaemic drug used in the treatment of type 2 diabetes. It is a specific inhibitor of dipeptidyl peptidase-IV (DPP-IV) inhibitor [23]. This inhibition prevents the degradation of the incretin hormones glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP). It leads to improve glycaemic control which is determined by glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) and fasting plasma glucose (FPG) levels, and pancreatic alpha and beta cell functions also enhanced by the use of vildagliptin [24]. There were no adverse events encountered in this study. The objective of this study was to evaluate the bioequivalence of three brands of vildagliptin 50 mg tablet as test 1, test 2, and reference tablet which were administered as a single dose orally. As per the protocol, primary pharmacokinetic parameters AUC0–t, AUC0–∞, and Cmax were evaluated. According to the protocol, any concentration lower than the lower limit of quantification was considered as 0. Extrapolated AUC of vildagliptin was low having a mean value of 4.5% after the administration of the test 1 formulation, 4.7% of the test 2 formulation, and 4.6% of the reference formulation. It was less than 20% of AUC0–t, which indicates that the time used for checking the bioequivalence between the products was good enough to calculate the plasma concentration-time profile of the drug. The 90% confidence intervals were calculated for test 1/reference tablet and test 2/reference tablet of LnCmax, LnAUC0–t, and LnAUC0–∞ of vildagliptin. After this, these confidence intervals were compared with the acceptance range of bioequivalence which is 0.80–1.25 as per the CDSCO criterion for bioequivalence [19]. In our study, we found that the 90% confidence interval of the test 1 and test 2 formulations of vildagliptin was within the bioequivalence criterion set for bioequivalence by CDSCO, India. For the Cmax values, no statistical difference was observed after the oral administration of vildagliptin tablet formulations of the test 1, test 2, and reference formulations. Based on this study, it can be stated that these three formulations of vildagliptin test 1, test 2, and reference (Zomelis, Jalra, and Galvus) are bioequivalent. The findings of this study can be used in the future for the purpose of bioequivalence and pharmacokinetic profiling of the vildagliptin; however, further studies can be done on it by taking more numbers of healthy subjects, more

| Table 3 90% confidence intervals of LnCmax, LnAUC0–t, and LnAUC0–∞ from the test formulations to the reference formulation |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Pharmacokinetic parameter | Geo mean ratio | 90% confidence interval (lower limit-upper limit) |
| | Test/reference | Test 1 | Test 2 | Bioequivalence range |
| LnCmax | T1/R | T2/R | 1.0002–1.0026 | 0.80–1.25 |
| LnAUC0–t | 0.9992 | 0.9988 | 0.9971–1.0013 | 0.80–1.25 |
| LnAUC0–∞ | 0.9994 | 0.9985 | 0.9973–1.0016 | 0.80–1.25 |

Bioequivalence interpretation requires the 90% confidence intervals to be within the acceptance criteria of 0.8–1.25

Table 2 Mean vildagliptin pharmacokinetic parameters (± SD) after the last administration of the test 1, test 2, and reference formulations

| Parameter | Test 1 formulation | Test 2 formulation | Reference formulation |
|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Cmax (ng/ml) | 287.89 ± 3.51 | 287.41 ± 3.63 | 285.17 ± 5.01 |
| Tmax (h) | 1.79 ± 0.26 | 1.79 ± 0.25 | 1.79 ± 0.27 |
| AUC0–t (ng h/ml) | 1390.03 ± 23.81 | 1401.50 ± 30.70 | 1409.37 ± 30.29 |
| AUC0–∞ (ng h/ml) | 1452.03 ± 32.97 | 1467.59 ± 38.06 | 1473.35 ± 32.97 |
| t1/2 (h) | 2.59 ± 0.14 | 2.66 ± 0.16 | 2.63 ± 0.09 |
| Kel (h⁻¹) | 0.2678 ± 0.0160 | 0.2605 ± 0.0156 | 0.2617 ± 0.0096 |
sensitive analytical methods, and more advanced software for the calculation of the pharmacokinetic profile.

Conclusion
In this single-dose study involving healthy male volunteers under fasting conditions, the three brands of vildagliptin (1) Zomelis, (2) Jalra, and (3) Galvus were found to be bioequivalent as per the bioequivalence criterion of CDSCO, India. Further studies can be done to compare vildagliptin bioequivalence by taking more numbers of healthy subjects, more sensitive analytical methods, and more advanced software for the calculation of the pharmacokinetic profile.
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