Impacts of age and sex on retinal layer thicknesses measured by spectral domain optical coherence tomography with Spectralis
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Abstract

Objective
To examine differences in individual retinal layer thicknesses measured by spectral domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) (Spectralis®) produced with age and according to sex.

Design
Cross-sectional, observational study.

Methods
The study was conducted in 297 eyes of 297 healthy subjects aged 18 to 87 years. In one randomly selected eye of each participant the volume and mean thicknesses of the different macular layers were measured by SD-OCT using the instrument’s macular segmentation software.

Main outcome measures
Volume and mean thickness of macular retinal nerve fiber layer (mRNFL), ganglion cell layer (GCL), inner plexiform layer (IPL), inner nuclear layer (INL), outer plexiform layer (OPL), outer nuclear layer (ONL), retinal pigmentary epithelium (RPE) and photoreceptor layer (PR).

Results
Retinal thickness was reduced by 0.24 μm for every one year of age. Age adjusted linear regression analysis revealed mean GCL, IPL, ONL and PR thickness reductions and a mean OPL thickness increase with age. Women had significantly lower mean GCL, IPL, INL, ONL and PR thicknesses and volumes and a significantly greater mRNFL volume than men.
Conclusion
The thickness of most retinal layers varies both with age and according to sex. Longitudinal studies are needed to determine the rate of layer thinning produced with age.

Introduction
New optical coherence tomography (OCT) techniques are excellent tools for the noninvasive, in vivo, high-resolution visualization of the retina. Such tools can be used to monitor retinal thickness in the region of the macula as this measurement is useful for the diagnosis and follow up of many macular and optic nerve disorders. It is, therefore, important that we can distinguish between pathological changes and those associated with age. In effect, data for the subfields defined in the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) have shown that retinal thickness decreases with age when measured at both the pericentral and peripheral ring of the macula.[1–5]

Using the Spectralis OCT (Heidelberg Engineering) it is possible to automatically measure overall retinal thickness and the thickness of each individual retinal layer with good reproducibility.[6] The objective of this study was to examine the impacts of age and sex on each of the 9 macular retinal layers automatically segmented by the Spectralis OCT software. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to provide normality data for the effects of age on each retinal layer with Spectralis-OCT.

Methods
This study was designed as an observational, cross-sectional analysis of 300 eyes of 300 healthy volunteers. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Hospital Clí nic San Carlos, Madrid, Spain, and followed Helsinki declaration guidelines. Participant selection was in line with the recommendations of Realini et al.[7]

Participants
We recruited 300 healthy white subjects with no eye symptoms among the patients and their relatives visiting our clinic for a routine ophthalmologic examination, and also among the hospital staff. All participants were >18 years old. All subjects signed an informed consent form after the study protocol and its purpose were explained to them in detail. Participants first underwent a complete ophthalmic examination, including, biomicroscopy, intraocular pressure (IOP) measurement, fundus test, and refraction. The medical records of the individuals were also examined. For each patient, one eye was randomly selected for the final analysis.

Every eye included in our study had a vision equal to or better than 20/40, a sphere between +/-5 diopters and a cylinder between +/-3 diopters. Subjects recently undergoing cataract surgery (<1 year) or with a medical history of IOP >21 mmHg, glaucoma, or a macular, neuro-ophthalmological or retinal disease were excluded. Further exclusion criteria were a history of neurological disease or any uncontrolled disease.

Optical coherence tomography
An SD-OCT macular examination was performed without pupil dilation using the Spectralis OCT (Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) in a dark room in each participant on the same day. The scan was conducted on a 20×20 degree cube with 49 raster lines separated...
by 120 μm, each containing 1046 pixels. This instrument’s automatic eye tracking technology maintains fixation on the retina. Only well-centered, well-segmented images with a signal strength of >20db were used for analysis. All scans were performed and checked by the same experienced ophthalmologist and no manual adjustments to retinal layer segmentation were made.

Macular and inner retinal layer thicknesses were measured on 1, 3 and 6 mm rings as indicated in the ETDRS macular map. The variables recorded for each eye or participant were the means of each set of EDRS subfield thicknesses recorded for each retinal layer and the volumes of each of these layers. The 1 mm rings was named central circle, the 3 mm ring was named inner circle and the 6 mm ring was named outer circle. Volumes are automatically calculated by Spectralis OCT.

Using the new Spectralis segmentation software (6.0c version), the following measurements were acquired in each subject: overall retinal thickness, and thicknesses of the macular retinal nerve fiber layer (mRNFL), ganglion cell layer (GCL), inner plexiform layer (IPL), inner nuclear layer (INL), outer plexiform layer (OPL), outer nuclear layer (ONL), retinal pigmentary epithelium (RPE) and photoreceptor layer (PR) (Fig 1).

All scans were performed by the same experienced operator and no manual adjustments to retinal layer segmentation were made.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were obtained for one randomly selected eye of each participant. Descriptive statistics are reported as the mean, range and standard deviation. All p values were adjusted using the
Bonferroni factor. Correlation between different measurements was defined through Pearson correlation coefficients. The effects of age and sex were analyzed by simple regression analysis. All statistical tests were performed using the SPSS software package version 15 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

**Results**

Of the 300 subjects recruited, 3 were excluded because of artifacts in the macula images. This left a study population of 297 subjects: 179 women and 118 men of mean age $56.07 \pm 18.72$ years. In these subjects, data were obtained for 150 right eyes and 147 left eyes. Mean ages were $55.70 \pm 19.21$ for the men and $56.64 \pm 18.02$ for the women, with no significant difference between these ages ($p = 0.34$).

For each retinal layer, mean thickness was calculated as the arithmetic mean of the thicknesses of all nine ETDRS subfields. The results of the age adjusted linear regression analysis of the mean thickness and volume of each retinal layer are shown in Tables 1 and 2 and Fig 2. This analysis revealed thinning of GCL, IPL, ONL and PR and thickening of OPL with age. No significant differences with age were observed in the remaining retinal layers.

The thinning of the whole retina detected was a $0.24 \, \mu m$ thickness reduction for every year of participant age. The retinal layer that showed most thinning with age was ONL, which experienced a thickness reduction of $0.098 \, \mu m/yr$.

**Table 3** shows the differences observed between sexes in the linear regression analysis. Overall retinal thickness varied between both sexes by $7.113 \, \mu m$. In women, GCL, IPL, INL, ONL and PR thicknesses and volumes were significantly reduced compared to the measurements recorded in men. The only remaining significant difference noted was a greater mRNFL volume in women than men despite no mean mRNFL thickness difference.

**Table 1. Simple linear regression between age and mean retinal layer thickness and volume for the study population of 297 subjects.**

|     | Mean (+/- SD) | R  | Loss $\mu m$/year (SE) |     |
|-----|---------------|----|------------------------|-----|
| Retina | Mean thickness ($\mu m$) | 312.26 (+/- 12.97) | -0.35 | -0.240 (0.042) | <0.001 |
|       | Volume (mm$^3$) | 8.59 (+/- 0.36) | -0.35 | -0.008 (0.001) | <0.001 |
| mRNFL | Mean thickness ($\mu m$) | 28.33 (+/- 3.20) | -0.03 | 0.012 (0.01) | 0.195 |
|       | Volume (mm$^3$) | 0.96 (+/- 0.12) | -0.01 | 0.001 (0.001) | 0.213 |
| GCL  | Mean thickness ($\mu m$) | 38.31 (+/- 4.14) | -0.18 | -0.051 (0.13) | <0.001 |
|       | Volume (mm$^3$) | 1.02 (+/- 0.11) | -0.03 | -0.001 (0.001) | 0.003 |
| IPL  | Mean thickness ($\mu m$) | 33.54 (+/- 2.76) | -0.34 | -0.054 (0.008) | <0.001 |
|       | Volume (mm$^3$) | 0.88 (+/- 0.07) | -0.32 | -0.001 (0.001) | <0.001 |
| INL  | Mean thickness ($\mu m$) | 33.96 (+/- 2.42) | -0.20 | -0.015 (0.008) | 0.059 |
|       | Volume (mm$^3$) | 0.94 (+/- 0.06) | -0.06 | -0.001 (0.001) | <0.001 |
| OPL  | Mean thickness ($\mu m$) | 30.99 (+/- 3.03) | 0.10 | 0.022 (0.010) | 0.029 |
|       | Volume (mm$^3$) | 0.83 (+/- 0.07) | 0.13 | 0.001 (0.001) | 0.015 |
| ONL  | Mean thickness ($\mu m$) | 65.12 (+/- 6.82) | -0.21 | -0.098 (0.22) | <0.001 |
|       | Volume (mm$^3$) | 1.67 (+/- 0.18) | -0.29 | -0.003 (0.001) | <0.001 |
| PR   | Mean thickness ($\mu m$) | 80.50 (+/- 2.50) | -0.17 | -0.022 (0.008) | 0.008 |
|       | Volume (mm$^3$) | 2.23 (+/- 0.07) | -0.14 | <0.001 | 0.047 |
| RPE  | Mean thickness ($\mu m$) | 14.61 (+/- 1.23) | 0.07 | 0.005 (0.004) | 0.233 |
|       | Volume (mm$^3$) | 0.39 (+/- 0.32) | 0.09 | <0.001 | 0.087 |

Note that mean thicknesses represent the means for all nine EDRS subfields.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194169.t001
Discussion

Based on the findings of other OCT studies revealing negative correlation between age and overall retinal thickness,[1–5] this study sought to examine the effects of age and sex on the thicknesses of the individual retinal layers as measured by the Spectralis OCT segmentation tool.

Retinal layer thickness changes associated with age

The overall macular thinning observed here with age is consistent with the findings of studies including those of Kanai et al.,[2] Manassalorn et al.,[3] and Appukuttan et al.[4] in which negative correlation was detected between every retinal ETDRS zone but the central zone and age. In contrast, Grover et al.[8] found no significant differences with age perhaps because of the small number of subjects examined (n = 50).

As we age, neurons in the inner retinal layers are lost.[9] According to histological findings, between 0.3 and 0.6% of neurons are lost every year.[10] Ooto et al.[11] reported a linear decrease with age of -0.07 and -0.05 μm per year in GCL and IPL thickness, respectively, as measured using the Topcon 3D OCT (OCT- 1000; Topcon, Tokyo, Japan) in 256 healthy volunteers. In the present study, we observed correlation between reduced GCL and IPL thickness and age, indicating a rate of GCL and IPL thinning of -0.051 and -0.054 μm per year.

Table 2. Simple linear regression between age and retinal layer thickness of the central, inner and outer circle for the study population of 297 subjects.

| Retina   | Mean (+/- SD) (μm) | R   | Loss μm/year (SE) | p      |
|----------|--------------------|-----|------------------|--------|
| Central circle | 278.20 (+/- 21.13)  | -0.03 | -0.034 (0.070)   | 0.664  |
| Inner circle | 338.38 (+/- 17.70)  | -0.32 | -0.250 (0.43)    | <0.001 |
| Outer circle | 294.64 (+/- 13.05)  | -0.32 | -0.225 (0.38)    | <0.001 |
| mRNFL    | Central circle | 12.71 (+/- 2.29)  | 0.24  | 0.008 (0.007)    | 0.301  |
| Inner circle | 22.65 (+/- 2.27)  | -0.06 | -0.007 (0.007)   | 0.333  |
| Outer circle | 37.90 (+/- 5.34)  | -0.01 | -0.004 (0.017)   | 0.806  |
| GCL      | Central circle | 17.63 (+/- 5.03)  | -0.28 | -0.056 (0.016)   | <0.001 |
| Inner circle | 48.35 (+/- 5.81)  | -0.19 | -0.058 (0.018)   | 0.001  |
| Outer circle | 33.44 (+/- 4.03)  | -0.08 | -0.016 (0.013)   | 0.195  |
| IPL      | Central circle | 22.05 (+/- 3.67)  | -0.28 | -0.011 (0.012)   | 0.362  |
| Inner circle | 41.31 (+/- 3.39)  | -0.38 | -0.069 (0.010)   | <0.001 |
| Outer circle | 28.64 (+/- 2.54)  | -0.25 | -0.034 (0.008)   | <0.001 |
| INL      | Central circle | 20.52 (+/- 5.05)  | 0.37  | 0.060 (0.015)    | <0.001 |
| Inner circle | 39.23 (+/- 3.20)  | 0.00  | 8.59 e^-0.005    | 0.993  |
| Outer circle | 32.05 (+/- 2.25)  | -0.28 | -0.034 (0.007)   | <0.001 |
| OPL      | Central circle | 26.38 (+/- 5.50)  | 0.18  | 0.004 (0.018)    | 0.817  |
| Inner circle | 35.18 (+/- 4.46)  | 0.09  | 0.022 (0.014)    | 0.109  |
| Outer circle | 27.94 (+/- 2.18)  | 0.15  | 0.018 (0.007)    | 0.008  |
| ONL      | Central circle | 92.71 (+/- 10.14)| 0.17  | 0.017 (0.033)    | 0.598  |
| Inner circle | 68.41 (+/- 8.08)  | -0.14 | -0.061 (0.025)   | 0.015  |
| Outer circle | 54.93 (+/- 6.16)  | -0.35 | -0.114 (0.018)   | <0.001 |
| PR       | Central circle | 88.12 (+/- 4.30)  | -0.30 | -0.073 (0.013)   | <0.001 |
| Inner circle | 80.98 (+/- 2.73)  | -0.13 | -0.019 (0.008)   | 0.022  |
| Outer circle | 78.12 (+/- 2.37)  | -0.12 | -0.015 (0.007)   | 0.039  |
| RPE      | Central circle | 16.90 (+/- 2.16)  | 0.15  | -0.015 (0.007)   | 0.033  |
| Inner circle | 15.23 (+/- 1.49)  | 0.10  | 0.008 (0.005)    | 0.097  |
| Outer circle | 13.41 (+/- 1.21)  | 0.09  | 0.005 (0.003)    | 0.135  |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194169.t002
respectively. These figures are similar to the rates reported for other OCT studies [4, 12, 13] and are also in line with the results of histological studies [14], but in a lower rate of 0.17 and 0.16% of reduction every year in GCL and IPL thickness.

According to the rates of GCL and IPL thinning observed in our study participants, we could predict mean losses of 1.275 μm and 1.35 μm in the thickness of these two retinal layers respectively over the next 25 years. However, this estimate is only a theoretical value obtained from the linear relationship between age and thickness, and fails to consider, for example, if greater thinning is produced from a certain age onwards. Longitudinal studies are needed to confirm these rates of individual retinal nerve fiber layer thinning.

Knowledge of inner retinal layer thickness losses associated with age is important as any thickness reduction found could be the consequence of normal aging or of the progression of a disease such as glaucoma.[15]

Fewer studies have examined the effects of age on outer retinal layer thickness. In this study, we observed discrete positive correlation between age and OPL thickness, while ONL

Fig 2. Scatterplots of simple linear regression between age and mean mRNFL, GCL, IPL, INL, OPL, ONL, PR and RPE thickness recorded in 297 subjects.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194169.g002
thickness was negatively correlated with age. In the study by Ooto et al.,[11] no significant correlation was found when examining both layers together. Hence, it seems that these layers should not be analyzed together as age may have an opposing effect on each one, possibly explaining the different results obtained.

Although aging has been traditionally associated with inner retinal layer thickness loss, the present results suggest ONL is the layer that undergoes most thinning with age. The outer nuclear layer of the retina is a hypo-reflective band that anatomically corresponds to the photoreceptor bodies. According to our results, we could estimate a mean thickness loss for this layer of 2.45 μm over 25 years, though again this rate needs to be confirmed in longitudinal and/or histological studies. On the other hand, in this study Henle’s fiber layer was not measured individually and this may affect our results, since may be Henle’s fiber layer the one decreasing with age [16].

The mean decrease in mean PR layer thickness produced in the present study with age is in line with the findings of others such as Won et al.[5] In this last study, significantly lower ETDRS peripheral and central PR thicknesses were noted when comparing subjects aged under 30 years and over 60 years, though no such difference was noted for ETDRS central ring thickness. In contrast, in our results the central circle had the strongest negative correlation with age (R = 0.30, p < 0.001).

In the retinal pigment epithelium, numerous structural changes with age have been described including an increase in the density of residual bodies, lipofuscin accumulation, drusen formation and Bruch’s membrane thickening [17]. All these changes can lead to a RPE thickness increase with age when measured by SD-OCT. This increase could be real or the consequence of a reflectivity increase. The RPE thickening with age observed in our study was not significant; however, there have been reports of such an increase in RPE thickness with age.[12]
Retinal layer thickness according to sex

In this study, overall retinal thickness was significantly greater in men than women; the mean thickness difference recorded in the central zone was 7.113 μm. The data collected are consistent with reports by Appukuttan et al.,[4] Mass-in et al.,[18] and Jonas et al.[19] of a significantly higher mean retinal thickness found in male compared to female subjects. In the Jonas et al. study, the mean difference in macular thickness between men and women was 8.04 μm (95% CI 10.6–5.5; p < 0.001), similar to the difference observed here.

Won et al.[5] detected significant differences between both sexes in mRNFL, GCL and IPL thicknesses measured in the central, inner and outer rings. Unlike our findings, these authors observed significant differences between IPL inner ring and foveal thickness though their study cohort was considerably smaller than ours (n = 50).

The results of our study also identified a significantly greater mRNFL volume in women than men. Similarly, in the study by Ooto et al.,[11] mean mRNFL thickness was 31.6 +/- 3.07 μm for men and 32.8 +/- 3.7 μm (p = 0.006) for women. Despite being statistically significant, the differences between both sexes in the thickness of individual retinal layers are minimal and below the resolution and the variability of Spectralis OCT.

Study limitations

The main limitations of our study were that participants were all European descent such that data collected may not be translatable to other ethnicities. Also its cross-sectional nature means that the significant changes with age detected require longitudinal studies to properly calculate the rate of thinning/thickening of the different retinal layers with age. Since Spectralis OCT resolution nowadays is not able to capture differences in the thickening below 4 μm, the thickness changes found in this study will need to be ascertain in future with more precise technology. And finally, the reader should be cautious when translating this result to clinical practice since the R values found in this study suggested that the thickness changes with age are statistically significant but minimal.

In conclusion, our findings indicate thickness changes associated with age in the majority of the retinal layers examined. No significant impacts of age were detected on mRNFL, INL or RPE thicknesses measured with the Spectralis OCT. Our data also confirm the greater overall thickness of the retina in men than women.
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