Abstract — Exact field equations for a lossy coaxial transmission line with an infinite outer conductor are presented. The corresponding determinantal equation is solved to obtain an exact propagation constant from which errors in the usual microwave approximation and an alternative full frequency range approximation are calculated. The calculations show that the microwave approximation, although containing a large relative error at the lower frequencies, is still useful in practical applications.
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I. INTRODUCTION

An exact field solution to Maxwell’s equations for a coaxial transmission line has been in existence since at least 1941 [1], but has gone unrecognized or at least unexploited since that time. This is probably because in 1941 the means of calculation available today were nonexistent so the exact solution was inadvertently buried in the need to approximate. In any case, this solution has been recently developed and is presented in this paper.

As six-port and automatic network analyzers have become more accurate and sensitive and are being utilized at lower and lower frequencies, increasing emphasis is placed upon accuracy of the transmission line standards used to characterize or calibrate these systems. In particular, accurate calculation of the line’s propagation constant acquires added importance. The approximation used at present [1], [2] to calculate this constant, however, needs to be reexamined below the microwave frequency region for which it was derived since its accuracy could only be estimated in the past from Russell’s equations [3], which themselves are approximate. The work described here was pursued in part to correct this situation and represents the first real check on the microwave approximation; it also suggests an alternative approximation to the propagation constant that is more accurate and that is applicable over the full frequency range of the line.

Russell’s 1909 paper [3], which was used [2] to estimate the accuracy of the microwave approximation to the propagation constant and the distributed line parameters, is interesting in its own right. It discusses early theoretical developments concerning the transmission of high-frequency currents down “concentric mains” (involving the efforts of Maxwell, Heaviside, and Lord Rayleigh) and contributes significantly to that development in addition to deriving approximations to Kelvin’s “ber,” “bei,” “ker,” and “kei” functions that are standard today. The approximations in Russell’s solution appear in his equations (59)–(61), where Faraday’s, Ohm’s, and an integral form of Ampere’s law (not the exact version containing the displacement current) are used in addition to the approximation that the axial electric field is constant across the conductors.

The establishment of impedance standards for integrated circuit applications in stripline and microstrip transmission lines is considerably more difficult than similar endeavors for coaxial lines such as the one described here. Indeed, even an accurate description of the wave propagation on these miniature lines is complex, and it is useful to have at least one exact transmission line solution against which to compare results. The fields and results to be described below constitute one of these exact solutions.

The exact coaxial line solution is presented in the next section, preceding which is a short review of the pertinent theory that is included to collect the equations in one place and because of the importance of air line standards to precision network analyzer calibrations. A slight change has been made in the derivation to avoid divergences present in the usual treatment [1] as the frequency or the conductor resistivity approaches zero.

Boundary conditions requiring continuity of the fields tangential to the conducting surfaces are applied in Section III to derive the determinantal equation, and a simple iteration scheme to quickly find the root (which is used to calculate the propagation constant) is presented.

Approximations are developed in Section IV, where an expression for the root of the determinantal equation is presented that is more accurate and applies over a wider frequency range than the microwave version [1], [2] presently in use. Full range, first-order fields are also presented in that section.

A number of results are discussed in Section V, including field graphs within and without the conductors; a comparison of the conductor skin depths with the planar approximation; graphs showing the accuracy of the approximations, in particular the accuracy of the propa-
tion constant calculations; a comparison of the inner and outer conductor currents; and, finally, graphs of the wave impedance and phase velocity versus frequency. Conclusions drawn from the results are presented in Section VI.

II. EXACT FIELDS

A derivation of the exact electric and magnetic fields propagating along the axis of a coaxial line is briefly reviewed in this section. The line (Fig. 1) is assumed to be homogeneous and isotropic with a uniform cross section consisting of a center conductor (region 1), a dielectric region between the conductors (region 2), and an outer conductor (region 3). The magnetic permeabilities, electric permittivities, and conductivities are denoted by \( \mu_i, \epsilon_i, \) and \( \sigma_i \) in the various regions \( i = 1, 2, 3 \) and \( \sigma_2 = 0 \) in the dielectric region 2. The wavenumbers are denoted by \( k_i, \) and the \( h_i \) are parameters to be described later. The coordinate system is right-handed with the directions \( (\hat{r}, \hat{\phi}, \hat{z}) \) shown in the figure, the \( z \) direction coming out of the paper toward the reader. The conductor resistivities are denoted by \( \rho_i (= 1/\sigma_i) \) and will be used instead of the conductivities when convenient.

The three regions are assumed to be source free so that Maxwell's equations in SI units take the form

\[
\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = - j \omega \mu_i \mathbf{H} \\
\n\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0
\]

\[
\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = (\sigma_i + j \omega \epsilon_i) \mathbf{E} \\
\n\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0
\]

where the harmonic variation \( e^{j\omega t} \) is assumed. Performing the standard vector operations \([1]\) on (1) and (2) leads to the Helmholtz equation

\[
[\nabla^2 + (\omega^2 \mu_i \epsilon_i - j \omega \mu_i \sigma_i)] \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{E} \\ \mathbf{H} \end{bmatrix} = 0
\]

that must be satisfied by the \( \mathbf{E} \) and \( \mathbf{H} \) fields.

The Laplacian in (3) is separable into transverse and longitudinal components, corresponding respectively to the \( \hat{r} \) and \( \hat{\phi} \) and to the \( \hat{z} \) directions in cylindrical coordinates. Furthermore, (3) implies that each cylindrical component of both \( \mathbf{E} \) and \( \mathbf{H} \) must satisfy this Helmholtz equation and have the form \([4]\)

\[
\Psi(r, \phi, z) = R(r) \Phi(\phi) e^{-jz}
\]

where \( \gamma \) is a separation constant. Inserting (4) into (3) after expressing the Laplacian in cylindrical coordinates produces an equation that is separable into the two equations

\[
\frac{r^2 R''(r) + r R'(r)}{R(r)} + \left(h_i^2 r^2 - n^2\right) R(r) = 0
\]

and

\[
\Phi''(\phi) + n^2 \Phi(\phi) = 0.
\]

The separation constant \( n \) is chosen to be 0 since the principal mode magnet field does not vary in the \( \phi \) direction. The \( h_i \) in (5) is another separation constant, given by

\[
h_i^2 = k_i^2 + \gamma^2
\]

where the complex wavenumber, \( k_i \), is

\[
k_i^2 = \omega^2 \mu_i \epsilon_i - j \omega \mu_i \sigma_i
\]

Solutions to (5) that are of interest are the Bessel functions of the first, second, and third kinds; \( J_i(h_i r), N_i(h_i r), \) and \( H_i^{(2)}(h_i r) \) (Hankel function). The index \( n \) will take on the values 0 and 1, the 1 appearing because of the derivatives in (5) even though only \( n = 0 \) is needed in (6) to satisfy the symmetry requirement of the magnetic field in the \( \phi \) direction.

The preceding equations are applied to each of the three coaxial regions using a different \( h_i \) (\( h_1, h_2, \) or \( h_3 \)) and \( k_i \) (\( k_1, k_2, \) or \( k_3 \)) for each region. Various combinations of the Bessel functions are used to satisfy boundary conditions and generate finite fields at \( r = 0 \) and \( r = \infty \), leading to the following three sets of fields (the common factor \( \exp(j \omega t - jz) \) has been suppressed from the equations):

Region 1 \( r < a \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{E}_r &= J_1(h_1 r), & r < a \\
\mathbf{E}_z &= C_1 r^{-1} J_0(h_1 r), & r < a \\
\mathbf{H}_\phi &= Y_1 J_0(h_1 r), & r < a
\end{align*}
\]

Region 2 \( a < r < b, h = h_2 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{E}_r &= Z_1(h_2 r), & a < r < b \\
\mathbf{E}_z &= C_2 r^{-1} Z_0(h_2 r), & a < r < b \\
\mathbf{H}_\phi &= Y_2 Z_0(h_2 r), & a < r < b
\end{align*}
\]

Region 3 \( b < r \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{E}_r &= H_1^{(2)}(h_3 r), & b < r \\
\mathbf{E}_z &= C_3 r^{-1} H_0^{(2)}(h_3 r), & b < r \\
\mathbf{H}_\phi &= Y_3 H_0^{(2)}(h_3 r), & b < r
\end{align*}
\]

The constants \( C_1, C_2, \) and \( C_3 \) have the units of volts per meter but are for the present arbitrary. The constants \( h_i \)
and \( h_3 \) are calculated from
\[
h_i = \left( k_i^2 + \gamma^2 \right)^{1/2}
\]
where \( k_1, k_2 \) (with \( \sigma = 0 \)), and \( k_3 \) are given by
\[
k_i = \left( \omega^2 \mu_i \epsilon_i - j \omega \mu_i \sigma_i \right)^{1/2}
\]
with \( i = 1, 2, 3 \). The wave admittances are
\[
Y_i = \frac{j k_i^2}{\omega \mu_i \gamma}
\]
and the propagation constant is calculated from
\[
\gamma = \left( h_i - k_i^2 \right)^{1/2} = j k_i \left( 1 - h_i^2 / k_i^2 \right)^{1/2}.
\]
The quantity \( h \) is obtained from the determinantal equation (28) discussed in the next section.

The \( Z_n \) (\( n = 0, 1 \)) functions in (10) are defined by the equation
\[
Z_n( hr) = G J_n( hr) + h b N_n( hr)
\]
where \( G \) is a constant determined by the boundary conditions. This last definition was chosen in place of the usual definition, \( Z_n = J_n + G N_n \) [1], to avoid divergences in both \( G \) and \( N_n \) as \( h \) approaches 0 owing to the frequency or the conductor losses (resistivities) approaching 0. Both terms in (16) are well behaved in these limits, the first term approaching 0 and the second term approaching 0 or \(-2b/\pi r\) depending on whether \( n = 0 \) or \( n = 1 \).

Each set of fields given by (9), (10), and (11) satisfies Maxwell's equations identically, as can be seen by substitution into (1) and (2), using the Bessel function recursion relations to reduce the resulting terms containing \( n = 2 \) to combinations of terms containing only \( n = 0 \) or \( n = 1 \).

The boundary conditions require the continuity of \( E_0 \) and \( H_0 \) at \( r = a, b \), and yield the following field expressions which are obtained from the fields in (9)–(11) by solving the boundary conditions for \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) in terms of \( C_3 \) and using (14) for the wave admittances:

**Region 1:**
\[
E_r = C_2 \frac{\mu_k k_2}{\mu_k k_1} Z_0( hr) \frac{J_0( hr)}{J_0( ha)} e^{-\gamma r}
\]
\[
E_\theta = C_2 \frac{h}{\gamma} Z_0( hr) \frac{J_0( hr)}{J_0( ha)} e^{-\gamma r}
\]
\[
H_\theta = Y_1 E_r.
\]

**Region 2:**
\[
E_r = C_2 Z_0( hr) e^{-\gamma r}
\]
\[
E_\theta = C_2 \frac{h}{\gamma} Z_0( hr) e^{-\gamma r}
\]
\[
H_\theta = Y_2 E_r.
\]

**Region 3:**
\[
E_r = C_2 \frac{e^{-\gamma r}}{h} Z_0( hr) \frac{H_0^{(2)}( hr)}{H_0^{(2)}( hb)}
\]
\[
E_\theta = C_2 \frac{h}{\gamma} Z_0( hr) \frac{H_0^{(2)}( hr)}{H_0^{(2)}( hb)} e^{-\gamma r}
\]
\[
H_\theta = Y_3 E_r.
\]

Magnetic losses within the conductors and dielectric losses between the conductors can be included by using a complex \( \mu_1, \mu_2, \) and \( k_2 \).

The field expressions (17)–(25) formally satisfy both Maxwell's equations and the boundary conditions exactly, but the value of \( h \) must still be determined in order to calculate the propagation constant \( \gamma \) and complex fields. This is accomplished in the next section.

### III. Determinantal Equation

Calculation of the constant \( h \) is necessary to utilize the field equations of the last section. It is obtained, like the \( C_i \) constants, by applying boundary conditions at \( r = a \) and \( r = b \). The resulting equation from which \( h \) is determined and a simple means of solving that equation are described in this section.

Two expressions containing the constants \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are obtained by requiring continuity in \( E_r \) and \( H_\theta \) at the boundary \( r = a \) (see (9)–(11)). Eliminating these constants between the two expressions yields
\[
\frac{Z_0( ha)}{Z_n( ha)} = \frac{\mu_k k_2^2 J_0( ha)}{\mu_k k_1^2 J_0( ha)}.
\]

A similar equation is obtained by requiring continuity at \( r = b \):
\[
\frac{Z_0( hb)}{Z_n( hb)} = \frac{\mu_k k_2^2 J_0( hb)}{\mu_k k_1^2 J_0( hb)}.
\]

Both (26) and (27) contain the constant \( G \) implicitly in their respective \( Z_n \)'s, each yielding an equation for \( G \) when the \( Z_n \)'s are replaced by their equivalents in (16):
\[
G = \frac{\mu_k^2 k_2^2 b b N_n( ha) - (\mu_k^2 k_1^2 / h_1^3) b^2 R_n( ha) N_n( ha)}{\mu_k^2 k_2^2 J_n( ha) - \mu_k^2 k_1^2 J_n( ha)}
\]
\[
= \frac{\mu_k^2 k_2^2 b b N_n( hb) - (\mu_k^2 k_1^2 / h_1^3) b^2 R_n( hb) N_n( hb)}{\mu_k^2 k_2^2 J_n( hb) - \mu_k^2 k_1^2 J_n( hb)}
\]
where
\[
R_n( ha) = \frac{J_n( ha)}{J_0( ha)} \quad \text{and} \quad R_n( hb) = \frac{H_0^{(2)}( hb)}{H_0^{(2)}( ha)}
\]

The last equality in (28) is the determinantal equation from which the root \( h \) is extracted. There are actually an infinity of roots: the root with magnitude close to 0 which is the desired \( h \) of the principal mode; and an infinite number of other roots with nonzero magnitudes that
belong to the symmetric TM waveguide modes [1], [5], which are of no interest in the present work.

A simple and rapidly convergent iteration scheme for finding the root $h$ of (28) can be obtained with the following seven steps:

1) make an initial estimate for $h$ using (32) in (35);
2) calculate $h_1$ and $h_3$ from the results of step 1 and (12);
3) calculate $R_{1}(h_{1}a)$ and $R_{3}(h_{3}b)$ from the results of step 2 and (29);
4) calculate the right and left sides of the second equality in (28) from the results in steps 1, 2, and 3;
5) calculate the difference $\delta G$ between the calculations in step 4 and the correction to $h$ using

$$\delta h = \frac{\pi \delta G}{2b} \left[ \ln \frac{b}{a} + \frac{k_{0}^2}{h_{0}^2} \left( \frac{1}{k_{a}R_{1}} - \frac{1}{k_{b}R_{3}} \right) \right]^{-1};$$

(30)

6) add the correction $\delta h$ to the $h$ estimated in step 1;
7) finally, iterate steps 2 through 6 until the desired accuracy in $h$ is achieved.

Three iterations are sufficient to produce an $h$ accurate to approximately 14 significant figures for the numerical example in Section V. The formula in (30) was obtained by differentiating the difference between the two sides of the last equation in (31) with respect to $h$ (note that the logarithm of $h$ cancels when taking the difference).

Once $h$ is found, $h_1$ and $h_3$ can be calculated from (12) after the propagation constant $\gamma$ is calculated from (15).

IV. APPROXIMATIONS

The exact expressions found in the previous two sections are inconvenient to use in many practical applications because of the effort and time required for their calculation, so accurate approximations are often necessary. Some of the more important approximations are presented in this section.

The following two approximations ($\hat{G}$) for $G$ can be obtained by dropping the $k_{2}^2$ terms in the denominators of (28):

$$\hat{G} = \frac{2h_{b}}{\pi} \left( \ln \frac{2}{cha} - \frac{\mu_{1}k_{0}^2}{\mu_{2}k_{s}h_{0}aR_{1}} \right)$$

(32)

$$\hat{G} = \frac{2h_{b}}{\pi} \left( \ln \frac{2}{cbh} - \frac{\mu_{1}k_{0}^2}{\mu_{2}k_{s}h_{0}bR_{3}} \right)$$

(33)

where $c = \exp(0.5772156649 \cdots)$ and $0.5772156649 \cdots$ is Euler’s constant; where

$$R_{1} = R_{1}(k_{1}a) \quad R_{3} = R_{3}(k_{3}b)$$

(34)

and where the following approximations have been made for small $z$ ($= hr$):

$$J_{0}(z) \approx 1 - z^2/4$$

(35)

$$J_{1}(z) \approx z/2$$

(36)

$$N_{0}(z) \approx -\frac{2}{\pi} \left( \ln \frac{2}{cz} J_{0}(z) - \frac{z^2}{4} \right)$$

(37)

$$N_{1}(z) \approx -\frac{2}{\pi} \left( \frac{1}{z} + \frac{z}{2} \ln \frac{2}{cz} + \frac{z}{4} \right)$$

(38)

(39)

After making the substitutions (32)-(34) it is necessary to examine the magnitude of each of the resulting terms, discarding those which are insignificant compared with the rest, to arrive at (31). Equation (31) corresponds to Stratton’s equation (39) on page 551 of [1].

Solving (31) for $h$ yields the following approximation $\hat{h}$:

$$\hat{h}_{0} = \mu_{1}/k_{s}aR_{1} - \mu_{2}/k_{s}bR_{3}$$

(40)

$$\mu_{2} \ln (b/a)$$

(41)

which can be substituted back into (31) for $h$ to obtain $\hat{G}$. This approximation will apply over the full frequency range of the transmission line and is Stratton’s equation (40) on page 552 of [1] (Stratton’s A corresponds to the $h$ used in the present paper). It is seen to contain $k_{1}$ and $k_{3}$ explicitly and implicitly via the functions $R_{1}$ and $R_{3}$, the latter preventing (35) from being a simple proportion in $1/k_{1}$ and $1/k_{3}$. In contrast to (35), substituting

$$R_{1} = j \quad R_{3} = j$$

(42)

leads to the usual, microwave approximation $h_{0}$:

$$h_{0} = \frac{j(\mu_{1}/k_{s}a + \mu_{2}/k_{s}b)}{\mu_{2} \ln (b/a)}$$

(43)

where if $k_{1} = k_{3}$, then $h_{0}$ is proportional to the reciprocal of $k_{s}$ or the normalized surface impedance of the conductors (see (A5)). This approximation gives good accuracy only at the upper, microwave end of the line’s frequency range. This is the solution that has survived since 1941 and that is in common usage today [1]. The positive square roots in (35) and (37) are taken when $h$ and $h_{0}$ are required.

Equation (31) is a “small $h$” approximation to $G$ whereas the corresponding microwave expression is a first-order approximation in the metallic surface impedance of the conductors, approximating $R_{1}$ and $R_{3}$ (36) in addition to the approximations (33) and (34). This distinction is what makes the newer approximations full frequency range approximations, i.e., usable and accurate from 0 Hz to the upper usable frequency of the transmission line (e.g. 18 GHz for the 7 mm, 50 $\Omega$ line).

The approximate full range propagation constant $\hat{\gamma}$ is obtained by using (35) in the second expression of (15):

$$\hat{\gamma} = jk_{s}(1 - \hat{h}_{0}^2/2k_{0}^2)$$

(44)

This result permits the line loss and phase shift to be accurately calculated over the full frequency range of the line once $R_{1}$ and $R_{3}$ are determined (see the Appendix).
Region 2 Fields

With \( C_2 = -\pi/2 \), the following approximations to (20)–(22) can be derived:

\[
E_r = \frac{b(1 + re_r)}{r} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z} \tag{39}
\]

\[
E_z = \frac{2b}{\gamma_{00} r^2} \left( re_r - \frac{\hbar^2 r^2}{4} \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}z} \tag{40}
\]

and

\[
H_\theta = Y_{20}^{-1} \left( 1 + \frac{\delta Y_2}{Y_{20}} + re_r \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}z} \tag{41}
\]

where

\[
re_r = \frac{\hbar^2 r^2}{2} \left( ln \left( \frac{b}{r} + 1 \right) - \frac{ln(b/a)}{1 - \mu_k a R_1 / \mu_k a R_1} \right) \tag{42}
\]

\[
\hat{\gamma} = \gamma_{00} + \delta \gamma \tag{43}
\]

\[
\gamma_{00} = j k_2 \quad Y_{20} = \frac{k_2}{\omega \mu_2} \tag{44}
\]

and

\[
\frac{\delta \gamma}{\gamma_{00}} = \frac{\delta Y_2}{Y_{20}} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2k_2^2} \tag{45}
\]

The fields given by (39)–(41) are complete solutions to first order in the squared quantity \( \hbar^2 \) in the sense that, if substituted into Maxwell’s equations (1) and (2) with the results reduced to first order, they satisfy those equations identically. They are related to the corresponding equations in [5], which are complete microwave solutions to first order in the surface impedance. The significant difference is the appearance of \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) in place of \( -j \) and \( j \) in the earlier definition of \( re_r \) in [5].

V. NUMERICAL RESULTS

The theoretical results of the previous three sections are illustrated in this section by numerical examples calculated for a 7 mm, 50 Ω line. The line is assumed to have a resistivity of 2 \( \mu \Omega \cdot \text{cm} \), corresponding to equal inner and outer conductor conductivities \( (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \) of \( 5 \times 10^7 \) S/m, a value that is roughly representative of copper, silver, and gold. The other constitutive parameters that were used for the calculations are \( \sigma_z = 0, \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_0 \), and \( \varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon_0 \). Results for other line sizes are similar to the ones shown below.

A. Fields, h, Skin Depths

The magnetic field described by (19), (22), and (25) is plotted in Fig. 2 for various frequencies as a function of the radius \( r \). The magnitude is normalized by its maximum value at \( r = a \) and the radius by the inner conductor radius \( a \). The fields between \( r = a \) and \( r = b \) at the various frequencies differ a small amount because of conductor loss, but the vertical scale of the graph is too coarse to show this effect. The 1 Hz line is close to the direct current case, exhibiting a linear falloff in the center conductor and a \( 1/r \) falloff in the outer conductor. The dashed levels at \( 1/e \) and \( 0.434/e \) will be used later in discussing the skin depth.

Fig. 3 is a graph of the longitudinal electric field \( (E_z) \) magnitude as a function of \( r \) for various frequencies. It is interesting to note that the magnitude does not vanish between the conductors, a feature that is evident in the approximate equation (40) also. The local minima, however, are seen to approach 0 at \( r = 1.79 \) (a value easily derived from (40)) as the frequency is increased.

Fig. 4 is a graph of the phase angle of \( E_z \) between the conductors. The phase remains relatively constant with frequency until a transition region around 1.79 is reached, at which point it abruptly changes by approximately 180°. This phase reversal is sufficient to ensure that there is a positive average Poynting flux into the conductors at both \( r = a \) and \( r = b \), accounting for the inner and outer conductor losses.
Table I illustrates the rapidity with which the iteration scheme for finding the root \( h \) of the determinantal equation discussed in Section III converges. The real and imaginary parts of \( h \) shown in the first row are the equation (35) estimates. The convergence is seen to be 15 significant figures in three iterations.

The skin depth is defined as that distance into the conductors at which the magnitudes of \( H_4 \) or \( E_r \) fall to \( 1/e \) (see the dashed levels in Fig. 2) of their respective values at the surface of the conductors. It is generally different for the two conductors, but this difference is not significant in the microwave frequency region of the line. Fig. 5 shows the skin depth as a function of frequency and includes the usual microwave or planar approximation [6] in addition to the inner and outer conductor skin depths.

The outer conductor skin depth is generally less than the planar approximation because the fields are spreading out as \( r \) increases so it requires a shorter distance for the \( 1/e \) falloff to occur. An opposite effect holds for the inner conductor until a crossover at approximately 7 kHz. The crossover and the fact that both inner and outer conductor skin depths become constant as the frequency decreases can be seen by examining Fig. 2, an exercise left to the reader.

**B. Approximations**

The microwave and full range approximations differ by the \( R_1 = -j \) and \( R_3 = j \) approximations used in deriving the former. Figs. 6 and 7 are graphs of the magnitudes and angles of \( R_1 \) and \( R_3 \) as a function of frequency. They show that the magnitudes begin to diverge from their microwave approximations at about 1 MHz and the angles begin to diverge somewhere between 1 and 10 MHz. Thus, for a 7 mm line the microwave approximations start to fail as the frequency drops below about 1 MHz. Using this 1 MHz cutoff point for the argument of (A6) leads to
the rule-of-thumb relation \( f = (0.15/a)^2 \) (\( a \) in cm and \( f \) in MHz) as the point where failure begins for any line of inner conductor radius \( a \). For example, a 3.5 mm line starts at 4 MHz.

Fig. 8 shows the errors using the microwave (\( \gamma_0 \)) and full range (\( \tilde{\gamma} \)) approximations to the line loss per unit length and the phase angle per unit length as a function of frequency. The line loss errors, 8.68 (Re \( \gamma_0 - \text{Re} \tilde{\gamma} \)) and 8.68 (Re \( \tilde{\gamma} - \text{Re} \tilde{\gamma} \)), are in units of dB/cm; and the angle errors, Im \( \gamma_0 \) - Im \( \tilde{\gamma} \) and Im \( \tilde{\gamma} \) - Im \( \tilde{\gamma} \), are in units of degrees/cm. The errors in the full range approximations are multiplied by a factor of 10 to bring them up onto the scale of the graph. The absolute loss and angle calculated from the exact \( \gamma \) are also shown for comparison. The sharp dip in the \( \gamma_0 \) curve is due to a change of sign in that error. The errors at 18 GHz are 6.2X dB/cm and 8.2X10^-6 deg/cm for \( \gamma_0 \) and 1.3x10^-7 dB/cm and 3.8x10^-7 deg/cm for \( \tilde{\gamma} \). It is clear from the graph that the full range errors sharply decrease as the frequency decreases, while the microwave errors become increasingly significant.

The dotted \( \gamma \) curves in Fig. 8 also show how the real and imaginary parts of the propagation constant vary in the limits of high and low frequencies. The curves are linear in these limits, indicating a power law dependence with frequency for both real and imaginary components. In the high-frequency limit the phase angle varies linearly with frequency while the loss component varies as the square root of the frequency. In the low-frequency limit both components vary as the square root of the frequency. These results can be compared with [7, fig. 5.19] and explain how both \( a \) and \( \beta \) approach zero in that figure.

The error in the full range approximations of the fields in region 2 is greatest at the highest usable line frequency. Table II shows a comparison between the exact and approximate field values for a radius of 1.5a and a frequency of 18 GHz. (The magnitudes have arbitrary units and the phases are in units of degrees, while the magnitude error is a relative error and the phase error has the units of degrees.) The errors decrease rapidly as the frequency decreases.

### C. Conductor Currents

It proves interesting to calculate the total conductor currents since we are now in possession of the exact fields. The first equation in (2) takes the form

\[
\mathbf{J} = \nabla \times \mathbf{H} - j \omega \varepsilon \mathbf{E}
\]

when \( \sigma \mathbf{E} \) is replaced by the current density within the conductors. Using (46) and the Bessel function recursion relations, it is straightforward to show that the currents in the center and outer conductors are

\[
I_1 = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^a J \cdot dS = 2\pi a H_0(a) - 2\pi \omega \varepsilon_1 \frac{h_a}{\gamma_1} Z_0(h_a) R_1(h_a, a)
\]

and

\[
I_3 = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_h^\infty J \cdot dS = -2\pi b H_0(b) + 2\pi \omega \varepsilon_3 \frac{h_b}{\gamma_3} Z_0(h_b) R_3(h_b, b)
\]

respectively. Simpler expressions for the currents can be obtained by performing the integrations again with \( \mathbf{J} = \sigma \mathbf{E} \) in place of (46), leading to

\[
I_1 = \frac{2\pi a \sigma_1 E_z(a) R_1(h_1 a)}{h_1}
\]

and

\[
I_3 = -\frac{2\pi b \sigma_1 E_z(b) R_3(h_3 b)}{h_3}
\]

which can be used to simplify the second terms of (47) and (48). Inserting (49) into (47) for \( R_1/h_1 \) and (50) into (48) for \( R_3/h_3 \) gives

\[
I_1 = \frac{2\pi a H_0(a)}{1 + j \omega \varepsilon_1 / \sigma_1}
\]

and

\[
I_3 = \frac{b H_0(b)}{ah_0(a)} \left( 1 + j \omega \varepsilon_3 / \sigma_3 \right) / \left( 1 + j \omega \varepsilon_1 / \sigma_1 \right)
\]

Equation (52) becomes

\[
\frac{I_3}{I_1} = -\frac{b H_0(b)}{a H_0(a)}
\]

for similar inner and outer conductors where \( \varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_3 \) and \( \sigma_1 = \sigma_3 \).

The effect of the displacement current (the denominators in (51)) on the total conductor current is to slightly decrease the magnitude of their magnetically (\( \mathbf{H} \) field) induced components (the numerators of (51)) and to retard their phases. The ratio in (52) shows that the
TABLE II

Accuracy of the First Order Full Range Fields at r/a = 1.5 for 18 GHz

|          | Magnitude Error | Phase Error |
|----------|-----------------|-------------|
| Exact E_r | 1.3E-12         | 3.7E-7      |
| Approx E_r| 5.8E-5          | -3.4E-3     |
| Exact H_r | 9.8E-12         | 5.3E-6      |
| Approx H_r| 9.8E-12         | 5.3E-6      |

Calculated using \( h_1 = k_1 \) and \( h_3 = k_3 \).

TABLE III

The Ratio \( |I_1/I_3| \) at Various Frequencies

| Frequency | \( |I_1/I_3| \) |
|-----------|--------------|
| 18 GHz    | 1.00001137475840 |
| 10 GHz    | 1.00000471012008 |
| 1 GHz     | 1.0000014894653 |
| 100 MHz   | 1.0000000471004 |
| 1 kHz     | 1.00000000000470 |
| 1 MHz     | 1.00000000000000 |

Calculated using \( h_1 = k_1 \).

currents are not in general equal; this is true even when the conductors are made of identical materials since the magnitude of the ratio in (53) is still not unity because of conductor losses. In the case of vanishingly small losses \((\rho = 0 \text{ or } \sigma = \infty)\), however, both sets of equations, (52) and (53), result in \( I_3 = -I_1 \).

Fig. 9 illustrates how the magnitude of the field ratio in (53) diverges from unity. This variation is due exclusively to conductor loss since the conductors are assumed to be constructed of the same material for the calculation. The magnitude of the ratio in (53) corresponds to the circled points at the right in the figure for the various frequencies indicated. Examination of these points reveals that the ratio is equal to 1 to within 12 parts per million (ppm) at 18 GHz, 5 ppm at 10 GHz, and 0.5 ppm at 2 GHz, showing that the current ratio rapidly approaches unity as the frequency decreases. Table III also shows this effect.

D. Wave Impedance, Phase Velocity

The wave impedance between the conductors is obtained by reciprocating (14) with \( i = 2 \):

\[
Z_2 = \frac{\omega \mu / \gamma}{j k_2^2} = \frac{\omega \mu / \gamma (1 - h^2 / k_2^2)^1/2}{k_2}
\]

where (15) has been used to obtain the second expression. Fig. 10 is a graph of the magnitude and phase of this impedance. It is interesting to note that the magnitude is not equal to the free-space impedance (377 Ω) at all frequencies, decreasing from a value of approximately 20000 Ω at 1 Hz to the free-space value between 1 kHz and 1 MHz. Equally interesting is the phase of \( Z_2 \). The magnitude continues to increase as \( f^{-1/2} \) as the frequency decreases while the phase levels out at a negative 45°. The increase in magnitude is due to the fact that the magnitude of the radial electric field remains constant with frequency while the magnetic field magnitude falls off as \( f^{1/2} \).

The variation with frequency seen in Fig. 10 vanishes as it should when the line loss disappears, the remaining constant value being the free-space impedance \( \sqrt{\mu / \varepsilon} \) with zero phase.
Fig. 11 is a graph of the phase velocity
\[ v = \frac{\omega}{\Im(\gamma)} \] (55)
of the principal wave as a function of frequency. The \( f^{1/2} \) falloff disappears as the line loss vanishes.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

An exact solution to Maxwell’s equations describing the propagation of the principal mode in a lossy coaxial transmission line has been presented along with a scheme for finding the principal root \( h \) of the determinantal equation and the exact propagation constant \( \gamma \).

Being able to calculate the exact \( \gamma \) provides the first real check on the accuracy of the microwave and full range approximations to the propagation constant. The \( \gamma \) curves in Fig. 8 show that the full range approximation is highly accurate over the entire usable frequency range of the line while the microwave approximation suffers a large relative error at frequencies below about 1 MHz. It should be noted, however, that the \( \gamma_0 \) loss and angle error curves show absolute errors less than \( 2 \times 10^{-6} \) dB/cm and \( 10^{-5} \) deg/cm respectively at these lower frequencies, values that may be sufficiently small for most practical purposes even though the relative errors are large.

Approximate expressions for the fields between the conductors were presented that satisfy Maxwell’s equations to first order in \( h^2 \). The errors in these expressions are shown in Table II.

It is often assumed that the principal mode wave impedance for the fields between the conductors is equal to the free-space value \( \sqrt{\varepsilon/\mu} \). Fig. 10 shows that this assumption is significantly in error below approximately 1 MHz when the line is lossy.

APPENDIX

APPROXIMATIONS FOR \( R_i(h, a) \) AND \( R_j(h, b) \), SURFACE IMPEDANCE

The approximations (i = 1, 3, and \( r = a, b \))
\[ R_i(h, r) \approx R_j(k, r) \] (A1)
are sufficiently accurate to be used without concern, and make calculations like (37) easier because the right side of (A1) does not depend on the solution \( h \) of the determinantal equation (28). The corresponding error from replacing \( h_j \) with \( k_j \) will be discussed first and then the surface impedance.

From (12),
\[ h_i = k \left( 1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{k^2} \right)^{1/2} \] (A2)
and calculations show (see Fig. 12) that the second factor on the right is equal to 1 to better than six parts in 10\(^9\). Thus, for practical purposes,
\[ h_i \approx k_j. \] (A3)

From (13),
\[ k_i = (\omega \mu, \sigma_j)^{1/2} (1 + \omega^2 e_j^2 / \sigma_j^2)^{1/4} \]
\[ \cdot \exp \left[ -j \left( \frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \arctan(\omega \mu, \sigma_j) \right) \right]. \] (A4)
The second factor in this equation is equal to 1 to better than two parts in 10\(^{17}\) while the increment to \( \pi/4 \) is no larger than \( 6 \times 10^{-6} \) degrees for all lines. Thus,
\[ k_i = (\omega \mu, \sigma_j)^{1/2} e^{-i\pi/4} = k / z_{ii} \] (A5)
is an accurate approximation to \( k_i \), where \( k \) is the free-space wavenumber \( 2\pi / \lambda \) and \( z_{ii} \) is the normalized surface impedance of the conductors (see below).

From (A1) and (A5),
\[ R_i(h, a) = R_i(me^{-i\pi/4}) \] (A6)
where \( m \) is the magnitude \( a \sqrt{\omega \mu, \sigma_j} \). The function on the right side can be accurately approximated by polynomial fitting if necessary, making its calculation quick and easy.
The normalized surface impedance used in (A5) is the microwave limit of the full range surface impedance derived now. The surface impedances for the inner and outer conductors are defined by [1]

\[
Z_{s1} = \frac{E_s(a)}{H_d(a)}, \quad Z_{s3} = -\frac{E_s(b)}{H_d(b)}. \quad (A7)
\]

Using (16), the fields in (9) and (11), and the approximations in (33), (34), and (35) and reducing the result to lowest order in \(1/k\), leads to

\[
z_{s1} = \frac{Z_{s1}}{\sqrt{\mu/\epsilon}} = -j\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\mu}\right)^{1/2} \frac{\omega \mu_2 h}{k_2^2} Z_0(ha)
\]

\[
- \frac{k}{k_1} \frac{\mu_3/\mu}{R_1(k_1a)} \quad (A8)
\]

and

\[
z_{s3} = \frac{Z_{s3}}{\sqrt{\mu/\epsilon}} = j\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\mu}\right)^{1/2} \frac{\omega \mu_2 h}{k_2^2} Z_0(hb)
\]

\[
- \frac{k}{k_3} \frac{\mu_3/\mu}{R_3(k_3b)} \quad (A9)
\]

where the first expressions after the equivalence signs are exact and the last are approximations which are accurate through third order in \(1/k\). In the microwave limit where \(R_1 = -j\) and \(R_3 = j\), (A8) and (A9) reduce to the usual expressions (taking \(\mu_1 = \mu_3 = \mu\))

\[
z_{s1} = \frac{k}{k_1}, \quad z_{s3} = \frac{k}{k_3} \quad (A10)
\]

or (A5). These are the microwave approximations used in the literature for the "surface impedance" or the "normalized surface impedance."
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