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Problem 1: Two independent (and very different) solutions of this problem have been found; one by Mihai Ciucu and Christian Krattenthaler, and the other by Harald Helfgott. Ciucu and Krattenthaler’s preprint “The number of centered lozenge tilings of a symmetric hexagon” is available at

http://radon.mat.univie.ac.at/People/
    kratt/artikel/fixrhomb.html

and will appear in J. Combin. Theory Ser. A; the authors compute more generally the number of rhombus tilings of a hexagon with sides $a, a, b, a, a, b$ that contain the central unit rhombus, where $a$ and $b$ must have opposite parity (the special case $a = 2n - 1, b = 2n$ solves Problem 1). The same generalization was obtained (in a different but equivalent form) by Harald Helfgott and Ira Gessel, using a completely different method; Helfgott and Gessel’s preprint “Tilings of diamonds and hexagons with defects” is available at

http://www.cs.brandeis.edu/~ira/papers/enumtile.ps.gz

One might still try to look for a proof whose simplicity is comparable to that of the answer “one-third”.

Problem 2: Christian Krattenthaler and Soichi Okada have evaluated the number of rhombus tilings of an $(a, b + 1, a + 1, b, c + 1)$-hexagon with the central triangle removed; see “The number of rhombus tilings of a ‘punctured’ hexagon and the minor summation formula”, Adv. Appl. Math. 21 (1998), also available as

http://radon.mat.univie.ac.at/People/
    kratt/artikel/punctured.html.
By a different technique, Mihai Ciucu derived the same formula in the case \( a = b \). Ira Gessel solved this problem independently using the determinant method; his solution appears in the article with Helfgott (see the last URL in the comments on Problem 1, above).

**Problem 3:** Theresia Eisenkölbl solved this problem. What she does in fact is to compute the number of all rhombus tilings of a hexagon with sides \( a, b+3, c, a+3, b, c+3 \) where an arbitrary triangle is removed from each of the “long” sides of the hexagon (not necessarily the triangle in the middle). For the proof of her formula she uses nonintersecting lattice paths, determinants, and the Desnanot-Jacobi determinant formula. See “Rhombus tilings of a hexagon with three missing border triangles”, available at the xxx archives as article [http://front.math.ucdavis.edu/math.CO/9712261](http://front.math.ucdavis.edu/math.CO/9712261).

**Problem 4:** Theresia Eisenkölbl solved the first part of Problem 4 (no preprint available at the time of this writing), and Markus Fulmek and Christian Krattenthaler solved the second part. Fulmek and Krattenthaler compute the number of rhombus tilings of a hexagon with sides \( a, b, a, a, b, a \) (with \( a \) and \( b \) having the same parity) that contain the rhombus touching the center of the hexagon and lying symmetric with respect to the symmetry axis that runs parallel to the sides of length \( b \). For the proof of their formula they compute Hankel determinants featuring Bernoulli numbers, which they do by using facts about continued fractions, orthogonal polynomials, and, in particular, continuous Hahn polynomials. The special case \( a = b \) solves the second part of Problem 4. See Fulmek and Krattenthaler’s articles “The number of rhombus tilings of a symmetric hexagon which contain a fixed rhombus on the symmetry axis, I,” Ann. Combin. 2 (1998), 19-40, also available as

[http://radon.mat.univie.ac.at/People/kratt/artikel/fixrhom2.html](http://radon.mat.univie.ac.at/People/kratt/artikel/fixrhom2.html),

and “The number of rhombus tilings of a symmetric hexagon which contain a fixed rhombus on the symmetry axis, II,” available as

[http://radon.mat.univie.ac.at/People/kratt/artikel/fixrhom3.html](http://radon.mat.univie.ac.at/People/kratt/artikel/fixrhom3.html).

**Problem 6:** For Nicolau Saldanha’s interpretation of the spectrum of \( KK^* \), see his preprint “Generalized Kasteleyn matrices and their singular values,” available as
Horst Sachs says that $KK^*$ may have some significance in the chemistry of polycyclic hydrocarbons (so-called benzenoids) and related compounds as a useful approximate measure of “degree of aromaticity”.

**Problem 8:** Harald Helfgott has solved this problem.

**Problem 9:** This was already solved when I posed the problem; it is a special case of Theorem 4.1 in Ciucu’s paper “Enumeration of perfect matchings in graphs with reflective symmetry”, available as

http://ftp.math.lsa.umich.edu/pub/ciucu/matchsymm.ps.Z.

**Problem 10:** This problem was solved independently three times: by Harald Helfgott and Ira Gessel, by Christian Krattenthaler, and by Eric Kuo. Gessel and Helfgott solve a more general problem than Problem 10 (for details, see the URL given above for Problem 1). Krattenthaler’s preprint “Schur function identities and the number of perfect matchings of holey Aztec rectangles” is available as

http://radon.mat.univie.ac.at/People/kratt/artikel/holeyazt.html;

it gives several results concerning the enumeration of perfect matchings of Aztec rectangles where (a suitable number of) collinear vertices are removed, of which Problem 10 is just a special case. There is some overlap between the results of Helfgott and Gessel and the results of Krattenthaler.

**Problem 14:** Constantin Chiscanu found a polynomial bound on the number of domino tilings of the Aztec window of inner order $x$ and outer order $x + w$; for details, see

http://www.math.mit.edu/~propp/chiscanu.ps.gz.

Doug Zare used the transfer-matrix method to show that the number of tilings is not just bounded by a polynomial, but given by a polynomial, for each fixed $w$; see

http://www.math.mit.edu/~propp/zare.
Problem 15: Ben Wieland solved this problem.

Problem 16: Ben Wieland solved this problem, too.

Problem 18: For Horst Sachs’ response to this problem, see

\[ \text{http://math.mit.edu/~propp/kekule} \]

Problem 19: Laszlo Lovasz gave a simple proof of my (oral) conjecture that the number of perfect matchings of the \( n \)-cube has the same parity as \( n \) itself. Consider the orbit of a particular matching of the \( n \)-cube under the group generated by the \( n \) standard reflections of the \( n \)-cube. If all the edges are parallel (which can happen in exactly \( n \) ways), the orbit has size 1; otherwise the size of the orbit is of the form \( 2^k \) (with \( k \geq 1 \)) — an even number. The claim follows, and similar albeit more complex reasoning should allow one to compute the enumerating sequence modulo any power of 2. Meanwhile, L.H. Clark, J.C. George, and T.D. Porter, in their article “On the Number of 1-Factors in the \( n \)-Cube” (Proceedings of the Twenty-eighth Southeastern International Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing, Congr. Numer. 127 (1997), 67–69) show that if one lets \( f(n) \) denote the number of 1-factors in the \( n \)-cube, then

\[
 f(n)^{2^{1-n}} \sim n/e
\]

as \( n \to \infty \).