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ABSTRACT. We consider the system of stochastic differential equation $dX_t = A(X_{t-}) \, dZ_t$, $X_0 = x$, driven by cylindrical $\alpha$-stable process $Z_t$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$. We assume that $A(x) = (a_{ij}(x))$ is diagonal and $a_{ii}(x)$ are bounded away from zero, from infinity and Hölder continuous. We construct transition density $p^A(t, x, y)$ of the process $X_t$ and show sharp two-sided estimates of this density. We also prove Hölder and gradient estimates of $x \mapsto p^A(t, x, y)$. Our approach is based on the method developed by Chen and Zhang in [11].

1. Introduction

Let

$$Z_t = (Z^{(1)}_t, \ldots, Z^{(d)}_t),$$

be cylindrical $\alpha$-stable process, that is $Z^{(i)}_t$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$ are independent one-dimensional symmetric standard $\alpha$-stable processes of index $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \geq 2$. We consider the system of stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = A(X_{t-}) \, dZ_t, \quad X_0 = x,$$

where $A(x) = (a_{ij}(x))$ is diagonal and there are constants $b_1, b_2, b_3 > 0$, $\beta \in (0, 1]$ such that for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$

$$b_1 \leq a_{ii}(x) \leq b_2,$$

$$|a_{ii}(x) - a_{ii}(y)| \leq b_3|x - y|^{\beta}.$$

In the sequel, without loss of generality, we assume that $\beta \in (0, \alpha/4]$.

It is well known that system of SDEs (1) has a unique weak solution [1]. The generator of $X$ is given by (see ([1] (2.3)))

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^d \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{A_{ii}}{2} \int_{|w_i| > \varepsilon} \left[ f(x + a_{ii}(x)w_i e_i) + f(x - a_{ii}(x)w_i e_i) - 2f(x) \right] \frac{dw_i}{|w_i|^{1+\alpha}},$$

where $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^d$ is the standard basis in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $A_{ii} = 2^\alpha \Gamma((1 + \alpha)/2)/(\pi^{1/2}\Gamma(-\alpha/2))$.

Let us denote the transition density of one-dimensional symmetric standard $\alpha$-stable process of index $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ by $g_t(x - y)$, $t > 0$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Clearly, the transition density of $Z(t)$ is given by $\prod_{j=1}^d g_t(x_j - y_j)$.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** (i) The strong Markov process $X(t)$ formed by the unique weak solution to SDE (1) has a positive jointly continuous transition density function $p^A(t, x, y)$ in $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$.

(ii) The transition density solves

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p^A(t, x, y) = \mathcal{L} p^A(t, \cdot, y)(x),$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is the generator of $X$.
for all \( t \in (0, \infty) \) and \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d \).

(iii) For any \( T > 0 \) there exist \( c_1 = c_1(T, d, \alpha, b_1, b_2, b_3, \beta) \geq 1 \) such that for any \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \in (0, T] \)
\[
c_1^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_i(x_i - y_i) \leq p^A(t, x, y) \leq c_1 \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_i(x_i - y_i). \tag{5}
\]

(iv) For any \( T > 0 \) and \( \gamma \in (0, \alpha \wedge 1) \) there exists \( c_2 = c_2(T, \gamma, d, \alpha, b_1, b_2, b_3, \beta) > 0 \) such that for any \( x, x', y \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \in (0, T] \)
\[
|p^A(t, x, y) - p^A(t, x', y)| \leq c_2|x - x'|^{\gamma t^{-\gamma/\alpha}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_i(x_i - y_i) + \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_i(x'_i - y_i) \right). \tag{6}
\]

(v) For any \( T > 0 \) and \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \) there exist \( c_3 = c_3(T, d, \alpha, b_1, b_2, b_3, \beta) > 0 \) such that for any \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \in (0, T] \)
\[
|\nabla_x p^A(t, x, y)| \leq c_3 t^{-1/\alpha} p^A(t, x, y). \tag{7}
\]

Systems of stochastic differential equations driven by cylindrical \( \alpha \)-stable processes have attracted a lot of attention in recent years see e.g. [11, 33, 40, 32, 41, 35]. In [11] Bass and Chen proved existence and uniqueness of weak solutions of systems of SDEs [11] under very mild assumptions on matrices \( A(x) \) (i.e. they assumed that \( A(x) \) are continuous and bounded in \( x \) and nondegenerate for each \( x \)). Our paper may be treated as the first step in studying fine properties of transition densities of systems of SDEs driven by Lévy processes with singular Lévy measures. Fine properties of such transition densities are of great interest but in the case of singular Lévy measures relatively little is known. We decided to study the particular case of diagonal matrices \( A(x) \) in [11] because in that case one can obtain sharp two-sided estimates of these densities. It seems that in the case of general non-diagonal matrices in [11] such sharp two-sided estimates are impossible to obtain. Nevertheless, we believe that our results will help to obtain qualitative estimates of transition densities also in the case of general matrices in [11].

The direct inspiration to study transition densities of solutions to [11] was a question of Zabczyk concerning gradient estimates of these densities. Another source of inspiration was a recent paper [4] of Bogdan, Knopova and Sztonyk, where they constructed heat kernels and obtained upper bounds and Hölder estimates of them for quite general anisotropic space-inhomogeneous non-local operators. However, the considered jump kernels cannot be “too singular”. In particular, the results from [4] can be applied for systems [11] only when \( d = 2 \) and \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \) (see the condition \( \alpha + \gamma > d \) in the assumption A1 on page 5 in [4]). Moreover, even for \( d = 2 \) and \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \), the obtained estimates are far from being optimal.

In our paper we use a very elegant and efficient method developed by Chen and Zhang in [11]. Their approach is based on Levi’s freezing coefficient argument (cf. [27, 12, 26]). In [11] the non-local and non-symmetric Lévy type operators on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) are studied with jump kernels of the type \( \kappa(x, z)/|z|^{d+\alpha} \), \( \alpha \in (0, 2) \). It turned out that similar ideas can be applied also in our situation where jump kernels are much more singular. We follow the road-map from [11] however, due to a specific structure of the operator \( \mathcal{L} \), there are many differences between our paper and [11]. The main new elements, in comparison to [11], are the proof of crucial Theorem 3.2, the proof of Lemma 4.4, the estimates (55-57) and the proof of lower bound estimates of \( p^A(t, x, y) \). It is worth pointing out that in our paper we have shown that the transition density \( p^A(t, x, y) \) satisfies the equation (11) for all \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d \) while in [11] it is shown that the heat kernel \( p^A_0(t, x, y) \) satisfies the analogous equation only when \( x \neq y \). A similar remark concerns gradient estimates of \( p^A(t, x, y) \), which we managed to show for all \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d \). On the other hand, we were able to prove gradient estimates of \( p^A_\alpha(t, x, y) \) only for \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \) (in [11]) gradient estimates were obtained for
$\alpha \in [1, 2]$). It is worth mentioning that quite recently a very interesting generalization of the results from [11] appeared in [19].

The problem of estimates of transition densities for jump Lévy and Lévy-type processes has been intensively studied in recent years see e.g. [11] [19] [2] [10] [7] [22] [16] [20] [28] [21]. However, relatively few results concern processes with jump kernels which are not comparable to isotropic ones. We have already mentioned here the paper [5]. One should also mention the papers by Sztonyk et al. [4] [17] [16] [37] but they only concern heat kernels of translation invariant generators and convolution semigroups. The results are also known estimates of anisotropic non-convolution heat kernels given in [36] [18] however these are obtained under the assumption that the jump kernel is dominated by that of the rotation invariant stable process. For estimates of derivatives of Lévy densities we refer the reader to [38] [3] [34] [16] [25] [20] [11].

Some estimates of transition densities for processes which are solutions of systems of SDEs driven by Lévy processes with singular Lévy measures were obtained in [29] [30] [31] [13]. However, the results from [29], when applied to system (1), do not imply such sharp estimates which are obtained in Theorem (1). In particular, they can be applied to system (1) only when $x \to a_i(x)$ are $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ functions. What is more, even in this case, the upper bound estimates are of the form $\sup_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d} p^A(t, x, y) \leq c t^{-d/\alpha}$, while the lower bound estimates of $p^A(t, x, y)$ are also much less precise than ours. They are precise only for $x = y$, in which case it follows from [29] that $p^A(t, x, x) \approx t^{-d/\alpha}$. The results from [30] [31] [13] cannot be applied to system (1).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the notation and collect known facts needed in the sequel. In Section 3 we construct the function $p^A(t, x, y)$ in terms of the perturbation series $q(t, x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty q_n(t, x, y)$ using Picard’s iteration. In Theorem (3.2) we obtain the estimates of $q(t, x, y)$ which are absolutely crucial for the rest of the paper. In Section 4 we show that the semigroup defined by $P_t^A f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p^A(t, x, y) f(y) dy$ is a Feller semigroup. Next, applying (1), we argue that $p^A(t, x, x)$ is, in fact, the transition density of the solution of system (1) and we prove most parts of the main theorem. In Section 5 we show lower bound estimates of $p^A(t, x, y)$ by using probabilistic arguments.

2. Preliminaries

All constants appearing in this paper are positive and finite. In the whole paper we fix $T > 0$, $d \geq 2$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, $b_1, b_2, b_3, \beta$, where $b_1, b_2, b_3, \beta$ appear in (2) and (3). We adopt the convention that constants denoted by $c$ (or $c_1, c_2, \ldots$) may change their value from one use to the next. In the whole paper, unless is explicitly stated otherwise, we understand that constants denoted by $c$ (or $c_1, c_2, \ldots$) depend on $T, d, \alpha, b_1, b_2, b_3, \beta$. We also understand that they may depend on the choice of the constant $\gamma \in (0, \beta)$ (or $\gamma \in (0, \alpha \wedge 1)$). We write $f(x) \approx g(x)$ for $x \in A$ if $f, g \geq 0$ on $A$ and there is a constant $c \geq 1$ such that $c^{-1} f(x) \leq g(x) \leq c f(x)$ for $x \in A$.

Denote $\sigma_i(x) = a_{ii}^A(x)$.

Note that there exists $c$ such that for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have

$$|\sigma_i(x) - \sigma_i(y)| \leq c \left( |x - y|^{\beta \wedge 1} \right).$$

By simple change of variable we get

$$\mathcal{L} f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^d \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{A_i}{2} \int_{|z_i| > \varepsilon} \left[ f(x + e_i z_i) + f(x - e_i z_i) - 2 f(x) \right] \sigma_i(x) \frac{d z_i}{|z_i|^{1+\alpha}}.$$
Let us introduce some notation which was used in \cite{11}. For a function \( f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \) we denote
\[
\delta f(x, z) = f(x + z) + f(x - z) - 2f(x).
\]
Similarly, for a function \( f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \) we write
\[
\delta f(t, x, z) = f(t, x + z) + f(t, x - z) - 2f(t, x).
\]

We also denote
\[
\rho_\beta^2(t, x) = t^{\gamma/\alpha} (|x|^\beta \wedge 1)(t^{1/\alpha} + |x|)^{-1-\alpha}, \quad t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

It is well known that
\[
g_t(x) \approx \rho_0^0(t, x) \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{9}
\]

One of the most important tools used in our paper are convolution estimates \cite[(2.3-2.4)]{11}. They are similar to \cite[Lemma 1.4]{23} and \cite[Lemma 2.3]{39}. In \cite{11} they are stated for \( t \in (0, 1] \). It is easy to check that they hold also for \( t \in (0, T] \). For reader’s convenience we collected them in Lemma 2.1.

**Lemma 2.1.**

(i) There is \( C = C(\alpha) \) such that for any \( t > 0 \) and any \( \beta_1 \in [0, \alpha/2], \gamma_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \)
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_{\gamma_1}^{\beta_1}(t, z) \, dz \leq C t^{\frac{2\beta_1 - \alpha}{2}}. \tag{10}
\]

(ii) For \( T > 0 \) there is \( C = C(\alpha, T) \) such that for any \( 0 < s < t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R} \) and any \( \beta_1, \beta_2 \in [0, \alpha/4], \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{R} \) we have
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_{\gamma_1}^{\beta_1}(t - s, x - z) \rho_{\gamma_2}^{\beta_2}(s, z) \, dz \\
\leq C \left[ \left( t - s \right)^{\frac{\beta_1 + \beta_2 - \alpha}{\alpha}} s^{\frac{\gamma_1}{\alpha}} + \left( t - s \right)^{\frac{\gamma_1}{\alpha}} s^{\frac{\beta_1 + \beta_2 - \alpha}{\alpha}} \right] \rho_0^0(t, x) \\
+ C \left[ \left( t - s \right)^{\frac{\beta_1 + \beta_2 - \alpha}{\alpha}} s^{\frac{\gamma_1}{\alpha}} \rho_0^0(t, x) + \left( t - s \right)^{\frac{\gamma_1}{\alpha}} s^{\frac{\beta_1 + \beta_2 - \alpha}{\alpha}} \rho_0^0(t, x) \right]. \tag{11}
\]

(iii) For \( T > 0 \) there is \( C = C(\alpha, T) \) such that for any \( 0 < t < T, x \in \mathbb{R} \) and any \( \beta_1, \beta_2 \in [0, \alpha/4], \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( \gamma_1 + \beta_1 > 0 \) and \( \gamma_2 + \beta_2 > 0 \) we have
\[
\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_{\gamma_1}^{\beta_1}(t - s, x - z) \rho_{\gamma_2}^{\beta_2}(s, z) \, dz \, ds \\
\leq C \mathcal{B} \left( \frac{\gamma_1 + \beta_1}{\alpha}, \frac{\gamma_2 + \beta_2}{\alpha} \right) \left( \rho_{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2}^0 \rho_{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \beta_1}^0 \rho_{\gamma_2 + \beta_2}^0 \right)(t, x), \tag{12}
\]

where \( \mathcal{B}(u, w) \) is the Beta function.

Similarly as in \cite{11} we introduce, for \( y \in \mathbb{R}^d \), the freezing operator \( \mathcal{L}^y \) by
\[
\mathcal{L}^y f(x) = \frac{A_\alpha}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}} \delta f(x, e_i z_i) \sigma_i(y) \frac{dz_i}{|z_i|^{1+\alpha}}.
\]

and
\[
\mathcal{L}^y f(t, x) = \frac{A_\alpha}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}} \delta f(t, x, e_i z_i) \sigma_i(y) \frac{dz_i}{|z_i|^{1+\alpha}}.
\]

Put
\[
p_y(t, x) = \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{a_{ii}(y)} g_t \left( \frac{x_i}{a_{ii}(y)} \right).
\]

It is clear that \( p_y(t, x) \) is the heat kernel of the operator \( \mathcal{L}^y \). In particular, we have
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_y(t, x) = \mathcal{L}^y p_y(t, x), \quad t > 0, \ y, \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{13}
\]
In the sequel we will use the following standard estimate. For any $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ there exists $c = c(\gamma)$ such that for any $\theta \geq 1$ we have
\begin{equation}
\int_0^t (t-s)^{\gamma-1}s^{\theta-1} \, ds \leq \frac{c}{\theta^\gamma} t^{(\gamma-1)+1}.
\end{equation}
We use the notation $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

3. Upper bound estimates

The main aim of this section is to construct the function $p^A(t, x, y)$. This is done by using Levi’s method. Is is worth mentioning that this method was used in the framework of pseudodifferential operators by Kochubei [23]. In recent years it was used in several papers to study gradient and Schrödinger perturbations of fractional Laplacians and relativistic stable operators see e.g. [9, 11, 14, 15, 18, 21, 23, 39]. As we have already mentioned we use the approach by Chen and Zhang [11]. It is worth adding that in [11], in contrast to previous papers, a new way of “freezing” coefficient was used.

Now, we briefly present the main steps used in this section. We define $p^A(t, x, y)$ by (16). Heuristically, $p^A(t, x, y)$ is equal to the transition density $p_y(t, x - y)$ (of the process with the “frozen” jump measure corresponding to the generator $\mathcal{L}^\theta$) plus some correction $\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_z(t-s, x, z)q(s, z, y) \, dz \, ds$, which is given in terms of the perturbation series $q(t, x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty q_n(t, x, y)$. The most difficult result in this section is Theorem 3.2 which gives upper bound estimates of $q(t, x, y)$. Due to a different structure of the generator $\mathcal{L}$ in comparison to the Lévy-type operator $\mathcal{L}_0^\alpha$ from [11] there are essential differences between our proof and analogous proof in [11], see in particular the definition of the auxiliary function $H^\alpha_1(t, x, y)$ and the induction proof of [20]. The next important step in this section is Theorem 3.14 where we derive Hölder type estimates of $q(t, x, y)$. We also show crucial Lemma 3.14 which is the main step in obtaining gradient estimates of $p^A(t, x, y)$.

For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t > 0$, let
\[ q_0(t, x, y) = (\mathcal{L}^\theta - \mathcal{L}^\theta) p_y(t, \cdot)(x - y) \]
and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let
\begin{equation}
q_n(t, x, y) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} q_0(t-s, x, z)q_{n-1}(s, z, y) \, dz \, ds.
\end{equation}
For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t > 0$ we define
\[ q(t, x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty q_n(t, x, y) \]
and
\begin{equation}
p^A(t, x, y) = p_y(t, x - y) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_z(t-s, x, z)q(s, z, y) \, dz \, ds.
\end{equation}

By [11 (2.28)] and [20] one easily obtains

**Lemma 3.1.** For any $t \in (0, T]$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have
\[ \sum_{k=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}} \delta_{p_y(t, x, z_k e_k)} \frac{|dz_k|}{|z_k|^{1+\alpha}} \leq c t^{d-1} \prod_{i=1}^d p_0^0(t, x_i). \]

An immediate consequence of the above lemma and [13] is the following estimate
\begin{equation}
\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_y(t, x) \right| \leq c t^{d-1} \prod_{i=1}^d p_0^0(t, x_i),
\end{equation}
for $t \in (0, T]$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. 
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Theorem 3.2. The series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n(t,x,y)$ is absolutely and locally uniformly convergent on $(0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$. For any $x, y, t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$q(t,x,y) \leq ct^{d-1} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{d} \rho_0^0(t, x_i - y_i) \right] \left[ t^{\beta/\alpha} + \sum_{m=1}^{d} \left| x_m - y_m \right|^{\beta} \right]. \quad (18)$$

Moreover, $q(t,x,y)$ is jointly continuous in $(t,x,y) \in (0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

Proof. By (8) and then Lemma 3.1 we get

$$|q_0(t,x,y)| \leq \frac{A_\alpha}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\delta_{p_y}(t, x - y, e_k z_k) | |\sigma_k(x) - \sigma_k(y)| \frac{dz_k}{|z_k|^{1+\alpha}}$$

$$\leq c \left( |x - y|^{\beta} \wedge 1 \right) \sum_{k=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\delta_{p_y}(t, x - y, e_k z_k) | \frac{dz_k}{|z_k|^{1+\alpha}}$$

$$\leq M t^{d-1} \left[ \sum_{m=1}^{d} \left| x_m - y_m \right|^{\beta} \right] \left[ \prod_{k=1}^{d} \rho_0^0(t, x_k - y_k) \right], \quad (19)$$

where $M = M(T,d,\alpha,b_1,b_2,b_3,\beta)$.

Put

$$I = \{ L = (l_1, \ldots, l_d) : \forall i \in \{ 1, \ldots, d \} \ l_i = 0 \text{ or } l_i = \beta \}.$$ 

For any $L = (l_1, \ldots, l_d) \in I$ denote

$$|L| = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{d} l_i.$$ 

For $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $L = (l_1, \ldots, l_d) \in I$ put

$$H_k^L(t,x,y) = t^{d-1+k\beta/\alpha} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{d} \rho_0^0(t, x_i - y_i) \right] \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{d} \left| x_j - y_j \right|^{l_j} \right].$$

We will show that there is $C = C(T,d,\alpha,b_1,b_2,b_3,\beta)$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t \in (0,T]$,

$$|q_n(t,x,y)| \leq \frac{M C^n}{(n+1)!^{\beta/\alpha}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, L \in I} H_k^L(t,x,y), \quad (20)$$

where $M$ is the constant from (19). Let

$$D(t,x,y,m,k,L) = M \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H_0^{L_m}(t-s,x,z) H_k^L(s,z,y) \, dz \, ds,$$

where $L_m \in I$ is such that $l_m = \beta$ and $|L_m| = 1$. Observe that (19) can be rewritten as

$$|q_0(t,x,y)| \leq M \sum_{m=1}^{d} H_0^{L_m}(t,x,y). \quad (21)$$

We will prove (20) by induction. The main step consists of proving that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{d} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, L \in I} D(t,x,y,m,k,L) \leq \frac{C}{(n+2)^{\beta/\alpha}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, L \in I} H_k^L(t,x,y). \quad (22)$$

For $n = 0$ the estimate (20) holds by (21).
Assume that (20) holds for some \( n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \). By (15), (21) and our induction hypothesis we obtain

\[
|q_{n+1}(t, x, y)| \leq \frac{MC^n}{((n+1)!)^{\beta/\alpha}} \sum_{m=1}^{d} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, L \in I^k \cap |L|=n+1} D(t, x, y, m, k, L). \tag{23}
\]

Then, if (22) is true, then (20) holds for \( n+1 \). Hence in order to complete the proof it is enough to show (22).

To this end we consider 3 cases.

**Case 1.** \( L = (0, \ldots, 0), \ k = n+1 \).

We have

\[
D(t, x, y, m, k, L) = M \int_0^t s^{\beta/\alpha} \left[ \prod_{i=1 \atop j \neq m}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_0^{\alpha}(t-s, x_i - z_i) \rho_0^{\alpha}(s, z_i - y_i) \, dz_i \right]
\times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_0^{\beta}(t-s, x_m - z_m) \rho_0^{\beta}(s, z_m - y_m) \, dz_m \, ds.
\]

By (14), we obtain

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_0^{\alpha}(t-s, x_i - z_i) \rho_0^{\alpha}(s, z_i - y_i) \, dz_i \leq c \rho_0^{\alpha}(t, x_i - y_i)
\]

and

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_0^{\beta}(t-s, x_m - z_m) \rho_0^{\beta}(s, z_m - y_m) \, dz_m
\leq c \left[ (t-s)^{(\beta-\alpha)/\alpha} s^{\beta/\alpha} \rho_0^{\alpha}(t, x_m - y_m) + s^{(2\beta-\alpha)/\alpha} \rho_0^{\alpha}(t, x_m - y_m) \right.
\]
\[
+ s^{(\beta-\alpha)/\alpha} \rho_0^{\beta}(t, x_m - y_m) \right].
\]

Hence

\[
D(t, x, y, m, k, L) \leq c t^{d-1} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^d \rho_0^{\alpha}(t, x_i - y_i) \right]
\times \left[ \int_0^t (t-s)^{(\beta-\alpha)/\alpha} s^{(n+1)\beta/\alpha} \, ds + \int_0^t s^{((n+2)\beta-\alpha)/\alpha} \, ds \right.
\]
\[
+ \int_0^t s^{((n+1)\beta-\alpha)/\alpha} \, ds \left( |x_m - y_m|^{\beta \wedge 1} \right) \right].
\]

By (14) this implies that

\[
D(t, x, y, m, k, L) \leq \frac{c}{(n+1)^{\beta/\alpha} t^{d-1}} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^d \rho_0^{\alpha}(t, x_i - y_i) \right]
\times \left[ t^{(n+2)\beta/\alpha} + t^{(n+1)\beta/\alpha} \left( |x_m - y_m|^{\beta \wedge 1} \right) \right]. \tag{24}
\]

**Case 2.** \( L = (l_1, \ldots, l_d) \neq (0, \ldots, 0), \ l_m = 0 \).
Put $Z(L) = \{i \in \{1, \ldots, d\} : l_i = \beta\}$ and $i(L) = \inf Z(L)$. Clearly $m \notin Z(L)$. We have

\[
D(t, x, y, m, k, L) = M \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_0^\beta(t-s, x_m - z_m) \rho_0^0(s, z_m - y_m) \, dz_m \\
\times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_0^0(t-s, x_i(L) - z_i(L)) \rho_0^\beta(s, z_i(L) - y_i(L)) \, dz_i(L) \\
\times \prod_{i \in Z(L)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_0^0(t-s, x_i - z_i) \rho_0^\beta(s, z_i - y_i) \, dz_i \\
\times \prod_{i \notin Z(L)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_0^0(t-s, x_i - z_i) \rho_0^0(s, z_i - y_i) \, dz_i \\
\times s^{k\beta/\alpha} \, ds.
\]

By (11) this is bounded from above by

\[
c \int_0^t \left[ (t-s)^{(\beta-\alpha)/\alpha} s \rho_0^0(t, x_m - y_m) + t^{\beta/\alpha} \rho_0^0(t, x_m - y_m) + \rho_0^\beta(t, x_m - y_m) \right] \\
\times \prod_{i \in Z(L)} \left[ t^{(\alpha+\beta)/\alpha} \rho_0^0(t, x_i - y_i) + t \rho_0^\beta(t, x_i - y_i) \right] \\
\times \prod_{i \notin Z(L)} t \rho_0^0(t, x_i - y_i) \\
\times s^{k\beta/\alpha} \, ds.
\]

Note that $\#Z(L) = |L|$. We have

\[
\prod_{i \notin Z(L)} t^{(\alpha+\beta)/\alpha} \rho_0^0(t, x_i - y_i) + t \rho_0^\beta(t, x_i - y_i) \leq c |L|^{-1} \left( \prod_{i \notin Z(L)} \rho_0^0(t, x_i - y_i) \right) \\
\times \sum_{r \leq |L|-1} \sum_{\{k_1, \ldots, k_r\} \subset (Z(L) \setminus \{i(L)\})} t^{(|L| - r - 1)\beta/\alpha} \prod_{i=1}^r (|x_{k_i} - y_{k_i}|^\beta + 1),
\]

(25)
where for $r = 0$ we understand that $\prod_{i=1}^{r} (|x_{k_i} - y_{k_i}|^\beta \land 1) = 1$. It follows that

$$D(t, x, y, m, k, L) \leq c \ell^{d-2} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{d} \rho_0^s(t, x_i - y_i) \right] \times \sum_{r \leq |L| - 1} \sum_{\{k_1, \ldots, k_r\} \subset Z(L) \setminus \{i(L)\}} t^{(|L| - r - 1)\beta/\alpha} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{r} (|x_{k_i} - y_{k_i}|^\beta \land 1) \right] \times \left[ t^{(\alpha + \beta)/\alpha} \int_0^t (t - s)^{\beta - \alpha}/\alpha s^{\beta/\alpha} ds + t \int_0^t (t - s)^{\beta - \alpha}/\alpha s^{\beta + k\beta}/\alpha ds \right. \left. + t^{(\beta/\alpha)} \int_0^t s^{\beta/\alpha} ds \right] \times \left[ t^{(\beta/\alpha)} \int_0^t s^{\beta/\alpha} ds + t \int_0^t s^{\beta + k\beta}/\alpha ds + \int_0^t s^{\beta/\alpha} ds \right] \times \left[ (|x_m - y_m|^\beta \land 1) \right] \times \left[ |x_m - y_m|^\beta \land 1 \right] .$$

Using this and (14) we get

$$D(t, x, y, m, k, L) \leq c \ell^{d-2} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{d} \rho_0^s(t, x_i - y_i) \right] \times \sum_{r \leq |L| - 1} \sum_{\{k_1, \ldots, k_r\} \subset Z(L) \setminus \{i(L)\}} t^{(|L| - r - 1)\beta/\alpha} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{r} (|x_{k_i} - y_{k_i}|^\beta \land 1) \right] \times \left[ t^{(\alpha + \beta)/\alpha} \int_0^t (t - s)^{\beta - \alpha}/\alpha s^{\beta/\alpha} ds + t \int_0^t (t - s)^{\beta - \alpha + k\beta}/\alpha ds + t^{(\beta/\alpha)} \int_0^t s^{\beta/\alpha} ds \right] \times \left[ t^{(\beta/\alpha)} \int_0^t s^{\beta/\alpha} ds + t \int_0^t s^{\beta - \alpha + k\beta}/\alpha ds + \int_0^t s^{\beta/\alpha} ds \right] \times \left[ (|x_i(L) - y_i(L)|^\beta \land 1) \right] \times \left[ (|x_m - y_m|^\beta \land 1) \right] \times \left[ (|x_m - y_m|^\beta \land 1) \right] .$$

Note that $k + |L| = n + 1$. It follows that

$$D(t, x, y, m, k, L) \leq \frac{c \ell^{d-1}}{(k + 1)^{\beta/\alpha}} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{d} \rho_0^s(t, x_i - y_i) \right] \times \sum_{r \leq |L| + 1} \sum_{\{k_1, \ldots, k_r\} \subset Z(L) \cup \{m\}} t^{(n + 2 - r)\beta/\alpha} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{r} (|x_{k_i} - y_{k_i}|^\beta \land 1) \right] . \quad (26)$$

**Case 3.** $L = (l_1, \ldots, l_d), l_m = \beta.$
We have

\[ D(t, x, y, m, k, L) = M \int_0^t \int_\mathbb{R} \rho_0^\alpha(t-s, x_m-z_m) \rho_0^\beta(s, z_m-y_m) \, dz_m \]

\[ \times \prod_{i \in Z(L) ; i \notin m} \int_\mathbb{R} \rho_0^\alpha(t-s, x_i-z_i) \rho_0^\beta(s, z_i-y_i) \, dz_i \]

\[ \times \prod_{i \notin Z(L)} \int_\mathbb{R} \rho_0^\alpha(t-s, x_i-z_i) \rho_0^\beta(s, z_i-y_i) \, dz_i \]

\[ s^{k\beta/\alpha} \, ds. \]

By (11), this is bounded from above by

\[ c \int_0^t \left[ (t-s)^{(2\beta-\alpha)/\alpha} \rho_0^\beta(t, x_m-y_m) + s^{(2\beta-\alpha)/\alpha} \rho_0^\beta(t, x_m-y_m) \right. \]

\[ \left. + (t-s)^{(\beta-\alpha)/\alpha} \rho_0^\beta(t, x_m-y_m) + s^{(\beta-\alpha)/\alpha} \rho_0^\beta(t, x_m-y_m) \right] \]

\[ \times \prod_{i \in Z(L) ; i \notin m} \left[ t^{(\alpha+\beta)/\alpha} \rho_0^\beta(t, x_i-y_i) + t \rho_0^\beta(t, x_i-y_i) \right] \]

\[ \times \prod_{i \notin Z(L)} t \rho_0^\beta(t, x_i-y_i) \]

\[ s^{k\beta/\alpha} \, ds. \]

Using similar reasoning as in (25) this is bounded from above by

\[ ct^{d-1} \prod_{i=1}^d \rho_0^\beta(t, x_i-y_i) \]

\[ \times \sum_{r \leq |L|-1} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}_0} t^{(|L|-r-1)\beta/\alpha} \prod_{i=1}^r (|x_{ki} - y_{ki}|^{\beta \land 1}) \]

\[ \left[ \int_0^t (t-s)^{(2\beta-\alpha)/\alpha} s^{k\beta/\alpha} \, ds + \int_0^t s^{(2\beta-\alpha+k\beta)/\alpha} \, ds \right. \]

\[ \left. + \int_0^t (t-s)^{(\beta-\alpha)/\alpha} s^{k\beta/\alpha} \, ds \left( |x_m - y_m|^{\beta \land 1} \right) \right] \]

\[ \int_0^t s^{(\beta-\alpha+k\beta)/\alpha} \, ds \left( |x_m - y_m|^{\beta \land 1} \right) \]

By (13) it follows that

\[ D(t, x, y, m, k, L) \leq ct^{d-1} \prod_{i=1}^d \rho_0^\beta(t, x_i-y_i) \]

\[ \times \sum_{r \leq |L|-1} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}_0} t^{(|L|-r-1)\beta/\alpha} \prod_{i=1}^r (|x_{ki} - y_{ki}|^{\beta \land 1}) \]

\[ \frac{1}{(k+1)^{\beta/\alpha}} \left[ t^{(k\beta+2\beta)/\alpha} + t^{(k\beta+\beta)/\alpha} \left( |x_m - y_m|^{\beta \land 1} \right) \right] \]
we have a -stable isotropic process. Then it is well known (see e.g. [3, (11)]) that

Proof.\[\text{Lemma 3.3.}\ \exists c \leq c(d). \text{Consequently, (22) holds, which finishes the induction proof.}\]

From (20) we immediately obtain that for any \(n \in \mathbb{N}_0\)

\[|q_n(t, x, y)| \leq \frac{cC^m}{(n+1)!} t^{d-1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{d} \rho_0(t, x_i - y_i) \right) \left[ t^{\beta/\alpha} + \sum_{m=1}^d \left( |x_m - y_m|^{\beta/1} \right) \right]. \tag{28}\]

It follows that \(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n(t, x, y)\) is absolutely and locally uniformly convergent on \((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d\) and (15) holds.

By the properties of \(p_g(t, x)\) it is easy to justify that \(q_0(t, x, y)\) is jointly continuous in \((t, x, y) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d\). By (15) and induction method the same property holds for \(q_n(t, x, y)\) for each \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). Since \(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n(t, x, y)\) is absolutely and locally uniformly convergent we finally obtain that \(q(t, x, y)\) is jointly continuous in \((t, x, y) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d\). \(\square\)

By elementary calculations, for any \(t > 0, u, w \in \mathbb{R}\) satisfying \(|u - w| \leq t^{1/\alpha}\), we have

\[\rho_0^\alpha(t, u) \approx \rho_0^\alpha(t, w). \tag{29}\]

\textbf{Lemma 3.3.} There exists \(c = c(\alpha, d)\) such that for any \(m \in \{1, \ldots, d\}, \ t > 0, x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d\) we have

\[\left| \prod_{i=1}^{m} g_t(x_i) - \prod_{i=1}^{m} g_t(x'_i) \right| \leq c \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m} g_t(|x_i| \wedge |x'_i|) \right) \left[ 1 \wedge \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{|x_j - x'_j|}{t^{1/\alpha} + |x_j| \wedge |x'_j|} \right]. \tag{30}\]

If additionally \(|x - x'| \leq t^{1/\alpha}\), then

\[\left| \prod_{i=1}^{m} g_t(x_i) - \prod_{i=1}^{m} g_t(x'_i) \right| \leq ct^m \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m} \rho_0(t, x_i) \right) \left[ 1 \wedge \sum_{j=1}^{m} t^{-1/\alpha} |x_j - x'_j| \right]. \tag{31}\]

\textbf{Proof.} Let \(g_t^{(3)}(|x|)\) be the radial profile of the transition density of the standard 3-dimensional \(\alpha\)-stable isotropic process. Then it is well known (see e.g. [3 (11)]) that

\[\frac{dg_t(x)}{dx} = -4\pi x g_t^{(3)}(|x|), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.\]

By the standard estimates of transition density of the \(\alpha\)-stable isotropic process we have

\[g_t^{(3)}(|x|) \leq c \frac{g_t(x)}{|x| + t^{1/\alpha}}^2,\]

which yields

\[\left| \frac{dg_t(x)}{dx} \right| \leq c \frac{|x|}{(|x| + t^{1/\alpha})^2} g_t(x) \leq c \frac{g_t(x)}{|x| + t^{1/\alpha}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.\]
Next, for any \( u, w \in \mathbb{R} \), from the above gradient estimate of \( g_t \) and the fact that \( g_t(u) \) is decreasing in \( |u| \),

\[
|g_t(u) - g_t(w)| \leq c \frac{|u - w|}{|u| \wedge |w| + t^{1/\alpha}} g_t(|u| \wedge |w|). \tag{32}
\]

Hence, by monotonicity of \( g_t(u) \) and (32),

\[
\left| \prod_{i=1}^{m} g_t(x_i) - \prod_{i=1}^{m} g_t(x'_i) \right|
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left| g_t(x_i) - g_t(x'_i) \right| \prod_{j \neq i, 1 \leq j \leq m} g_t(|x_i| \wedge |x'_i|)
\]

\[
\leq c \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m} g_t(|x_i| \wedge |x'_i|) \right) \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{|x_i - x'_i|}{|x_i| \wedge |x'_i| + t^{1/\alpha}}.
\]

Combining this with the obvious inequality

\[
\left| \prod_{i=1}^{m} g_t(x_i) - \prod_{i=1}^{m} g_t(x'_i) \right| \leq \prod_{i=1}^{m} g_t(|x_i| \wedge |x'_i|)
\]

we finish the proof of (33).

To get the second inequality we apply (29).

As a direct conclusion of Lemma 3.3 we get

**Corollary 3.4.** For any \( k \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \), \( t > 0 \), \( x, x', y \in \mathbb{R}^d \) satisfying \( |x - x'| \leq t^{1/\alpha} \) we have

\[
\left| \prod_{i=1}^{d} a_{ii}(y) g_t \left( \frac{x_i}{a_{ii}(y)} \right) - \prod_{i=1}^{d} a_{ii}(y) g_t \left( \frac{x'_i}{a_{ii}(y)} \right) \right|
\]

\[
\leq ct^{d-1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{d} \rho_0(t, x_i) \right) \sum_{j=1}^{d} (t^{-1/\alpha}|x_j - x'_j| \wedge 1).
\]

**Corollary 3.5.** For any \( t > 0 \) and \( x, y, w \in \mathbb{R}^d \) we have

\[
|p_x(t, w) - p_y(t, w)| \leq c p_x(t, w)(|x - y|^\beta \wedge 1).
\]

**Proof.** We have

\[
|p_x(t, w) - p_y(t, w)| = \left| \prod_{i=1}^{d} a_{ii}^{-1}(y) g_t \left( \frac{w_i}{a_{ii}(y)} \right) - \prod_{i=1}^{d} a_{ii}^{-1}(x) g_t \left( \frac{w_i}{a_{ii}(x)} \right) \right|
\]

\[
\leq \left| \prod_{i=1}^{d} a_{ii}^{-1}(y) - \prod_{i=1}^{d} a_{ii}^{-1}(x) \right| \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_t \left( \frac{w_i}{a_{ii}(y)} \right)
\]

\[
+ \prod_{i=1}^{d} a_{ii}^{-1}(x) \left| \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_t \left( \frac{w_i}{a_{ii}(y)} \right) - \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_t \left( \frac{w_i}{a_{ii}(x)} \right) \right|
\]

Next, by (33) and (2),

\[
\left| \prod_{i=1}^{d} a_{ii}^{-1}(y) - \prod_{i=1}^{d} a_{ii}^{-1}(x) \right| \leq c(|x - y|^\beta \wedge 1),
\]
and by Lemma 3.3 together with (I) and (II)

\[
\left| \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_t \left( \frac{w_i}{a_{ii}(y)} \right) - \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_t \left( \frac{w_i}{a_{ii}(x)} \right) \right| \\
\leq c \left[ 1 \wedge \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{|w_j| |a_{ii}(x) - a_{ii}(y)|}{t^{1/\alpha} + |w_j|} \right] \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_t \left( \frac{w_i}{a_{ii}(x)} \right) \\
\leq c(|x - y|^{\beta} \wedge 1) \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_t \left( \frac{w_i}{a_{ii}(x)} \right). 
\]

The proof is completed. \(\square\)

**Corollary 3.6.** For any \(x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 0\) we have

\[
\left| \prod_{i=2}^{d} \frac{1}{a_{ii}(y)} g_t \left( \frac{x_i - y_i}{a_{ii}(y)} \right) - \prod_{i=2}^{d} \frac{1}{a_{ii}(\tilde{y})} g_t \left( \frac{x_i - y_i}{a_{ii}(\tilde{y})} \right) \right| dy_2 \ldots dy_d \leq c(|x_1 - y_1|^{\beta} \wedge 1),
\]

(33)

where \(\tilde{y} = (x_1, y_2, \ldots, y_d)\).

**Proof.** By the same arguments as in the proof Corollary 3.5 we have

\[
\left| \prod_{i=2}^{d} \frac{1}{a_{ii}(y)} g_t \left( \frac{x_i - y_i}{a_{ii}(y)} \right) - \prod_{i=2}^{d} \frac{1}{a_{ii}(\tilde{y})} g_t \left( \frac{x_i - y_i}{a_{ii}(\tilde{y})} \right) \right| \leq c \left[ \prod_{i=2}^{d} g_t(x_i - y_i) \right] (|y - \tilde{y}|^{\beta} \wedge 1).
\]

Observing that \(|y - \tilde{y}| = |x_1 - y_1|\) we obtain the conclusion by integration. \(\square\)

**Lemma 3.7.** For any \(t \in (0, T], x, x', y \in \mathbb{R}^d\) satisfying \(|x - x'| \leq t^{1/\alpha}\) we have

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \delta_{y_k}(t, x, z_k e_k) - \delta_{y_k}(t, x', z_k e_k) \right| \frac{dz_k}{|z_k|^{1+\alpha}} \\
\leq ct^{d-1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{d} \rho_0^{0}(t, x_i) \right) \sum_{j=1}^{d} (t^{-1/\alpha} |x_j - x'_j| \wedge 1).
\]

(34)

**Proof.** Fix \(k \in \{1, \ldots, d\}\) For \(t > 0, z \in \mathbb{R}\) put

\[
h_y(t, z) = a_{kk}(y) g_t \left( \frac{z}{a_{kk}(y)} \right).
\]
Proof. Applying the above inequalities and Corollary 3.4 we obtain the desired bound (34).

Lemma 3.8. For any $x, x', y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t \in (0, T]$ and $\gamma \in (0, \beta)$ we have

$$|q_0(t, x, y) - q_0(t, x', y)| \leq c \left( |x - x'|^{\beta - \gamma} \wedge 1 \right)$$

while, by [11] (2.29)],

$$\int_\mathbb{R} |\delta_{h_y}(t, x, z_k) - \delta_{h_y}(t, x', z_k)| \frac{dz_k}{|z_k|^{1+\alpha}} \leq c \rho_0^0(t, x_k),$$

Applying the above inequalities and Corollary 3.3 we obtain the desired bound (34). \qed

Lemma 3.8. For any $x, x', y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t \in (0, T]$ and $\gamma \in (0, \beta)$ we have

$$|q_0(t, x, y) - q_0(t, x', y)| \leq c \left( |x - x'|^{\beta - \gamma} \wedge 1 \right)$$

while, by [11] (2.29)],

$$\int_\mathbb{R} |\delta_{h_y}(t, x, z_k) - \delta_{h_y}(t, x', z_k)| \frac{dz_k}{|z_k|^{1+\alpha}} \leq c \rho_0^0(t, x_k)(t^{-1/\alpha}|x_k - x'_k| \wedge 1).$$

Applying the above inequalities and Corollary 3.3 we obtain the desired bound (34). \qed

Lemma 3.8. For any $x, x', y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t \in (0, T]$ and $\gamma \in (0, \beta)$ we have

$$|q_0(t, x, y) - q_0(t, x', y)| \leq c \left( |x - x'|^{\beta - \gamma} \wedge 1 \right)$$

while, by [11] (2.29)],

$$\int_\mathbb{R} |\delta_{h_y}(t, x, z_k) - \delta_{h_y}(t, x', z_k)| \frac{dz_k}{|z_k|^{1+\alpha}} \leq c \rho_0^0(t, x_k)(t^{-1/\alpha}|x_k - x'_k| \wedge 1).$$

Applying the above inequalities and Corollary 3.3 we obtain the desired bound (34). \qed

**Proof.** Case 1. $|x - x'| > t^{1/\alpha}$.

By [11] we get, for $z = x$ or $x'$,

$$|q_0(t, z, y)| \leq c \left( |x - x'|^{\beta - \gamma} \wedge 1 \right) t^{d-1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^d \rho_0^0(t, z_i - y_i) \right) \left( \sum_{m=1}^d (|z_m - y_m| \wedge 1)^{\beta} t^{\frac{2-\beta}{\alpha}} \right).$$

**Case 2.** $|x - x'| \leq t^{1/\alpha}$.
We have

\[
|q_0(t, x, y) - q_0(t, x', y)|
\]

\[
= \frac{A}{2} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \delta_{p_y}(t, x - y, z_k e_k) (a_{kk}^{\alpha}(x) - a_{kk}^{\alpha}(y)) \frac{dz_k}{|z_k|^{1+\alpha}} \right|
\]

\[
- \sum_{k=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \delta_{p_y}(t, x' - y, z_k e_k) (a_{kk}^{\alpha}(x') - a_{kk}^{\alpha}(y)) \frac{dz_k}{|z_k|^{1+\alpha}} \right|
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{k=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \delta_{p_y}(t, x - y, z_k e_k) - \delta_{p_y}(t, x' - y, z_k e_k) \right| \left| a_{kk}^{\alpha}(x) - a_{kk}^{\alpha}(y) \right| \frac{dz_k}{|z_k|^{1+\alpha}}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{k=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \delta_{p_y}(t, x' - y, z_k e_k) \right| \left| a_{kk}^{\alpha}(x') - a_{kk}^{\alpha}(y) \right| \frac{dz_k}{|z_k|^{1+\alpha}}
\]

\[
\leq c \left( |x - y|^{\beta} \wedge 1 \right) \sum_{k=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \delta_{p_y}(t, x - y, z_k e_k) - \delta_{p_y}(t, x' - y, z_k e_k) \right| \frac{dz_k}{|z_k|^{1+\alpha}}
\]

\[
+ c \left( |x - x'|^{\beta} \wedge 1 \right) \sum_{k=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \delta_{p_y}(t, x' - y, z_k e_k) \right| \frac{dz_k}{|z_k|^{1+\alpha}}
\]

\[
= I + II.
\]

By Lemma 3.7 we get

\[
I \leq c \left( |x - y|^{\beta} \wedge 1 \right) \left( t^{-1/\alpha} |x - x'| \wedge 1 \right) t^{d-1} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \rho_0^0(t, x_i - y_i). \tag{35}
\]

Note that \( t^{-1/\alpha} |x - x'| \leq 1 \) so \( t^{-1/\alpha} |x - x'| \leq \frac{2^{-d+1}}{\alpha} |x - x'|^{\beta - \gamma} \). Let \( m \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \) be such that \( |x_m - y_m| = \max_{i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}} |x_i - y_i| \). It follows that (35) is bounded from above by

\[
ct^{\frac{2-d}{\alpha}} |x - x'|^{\beta - \gamma} t^{d-1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{d} \rho_0^0(t, x_i - y_i) \right) \left( |x_m - y_m|^{\beta} \wedge 1 \right)
\]

\[
\leq c \left( |x - x'|^{\beta - \gamma} \wedge 1 \right) \left( \prod_{i=1}^{d} t \rho_0^0(t, x_i - y_i) \right) \rho_{\gamma - \beta}^0(t, x_m - y_m).
\]

We have \( |x - x'| \leq t^{1/\alpha} \) so \( 1 \leq |x - x'|^{\gamma/\alpha} \). It follows that \( (|x - x'|^{\beta} \wedge 1) \leq (|x - x'|^{\beta - \gamma} \wedge 1) t^{\gamma/\alpha} \). Using this and Lemma 3.1 we get

\[
II \leq c \left( |x - x'|^{\beta} \wedge 1 \right) t^{d-1} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \rho_0^0(t, x'_i - y_i)
\]

\[
\leq c \left( |x - x'|^{\beta - \gamma} \wedge 1 \right) t^{d-1} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left( \prod_{i \neq k} \rho_0^0(t, x'_i - y_i) \right) \rho_0^0(t, x'_k - y_k).
\]

\( \square \)
Theorem 3.9. For any $x, x', y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t \in (0, T]$ and $\gamma \in (0, \beta)$ we have

$$|q(t, x, y) - q(t, x', y)| \leq c \left( |x - x'|^{\gamma - \beta} \wedge 1 \right)$$

$$\times \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{d} \prod_{i=1}^{d} t \rho_{s}^{0}(t, x_i - y_i) \right] \left( \rho_{s}^{0}(t, x_k - y_k) + \rho_{s, k}^{0}(t, x_k - y_k) \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{d} \prod_{i=1}^{d} t \rho_{s}^{0}(t, x_i' - y_i) \left( \rho_{s}^{0}(t, x_k' - y_k) + \rho_{s, k}^{0}(t, x_k' - y_k) \right). \quad (36)$$

Proof. By the definition of $q_n$, and Lemma we get for $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|q_n(t, x, y) - q_n(t, x', y)|$$

$$\leq \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |q_n(t - s, x, z) - q_n(t - s, x', z)||q_{n-1}(s, z, y)| \, dz \, ds$$

$$\leq C|n|^{-\beta/\alpha} \left( |x - x'|^{\gamma - \beta} \wedge 1 \right) (A(t, x, y) + A(t, x', y)), \quad (37)$$

where $C$ is the constant from and

$$A(t, x, y) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \rho_{s}^{0}(t - s, x_i - z_i) \left( \rho_{s}^{0}(t - s, x_k - z_k) + \rho_{s, k}^{0}(t - s, x_k - z_k) \right)$$

$$\times \sum_{m=1}^{d} \prod_{j=1}^{d} \rho_{s}^{0}(s, z_j - y_j) \left( \rho_{s}^{0}(s, z_m - y_m) + \rho_{s, m}^{0}(s, z_m - y_m) \right) \, dz_1 \ldots dz_d \, ds.$$
Using (11) we obtain

\[ E_{k,m}(t, x, y) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_\gamma^0(t - s, x_k - z_k) \rho_\alpha^0(s, z_k - y_k) \, dz_k \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_\alpha^0(t - s, x_m - z_m) \rho_\beta^0(s, z_m - y_m) \, dz_m \, ds, \]

By (12) we get

\[ F_{k,m}(t, x, y) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_{\gamma-\beta}^\alpha(t - s, x_k - z_k) \rho_\alpha^0(s, z_k - y_k) \, dz_k \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_\alpha^0(t - s, x_m - z_m) \rho_\beta^0(s, z_m - y_m) \, dz_m \, ds, \]

\[ G_{k,m}(t, x, y) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_{\gamma-\beta}^\alpha(t - s, x_k - z_k) \rho_\alpha^0(s, z_k - y_k) \, dz_k \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_\alpha^0(t - s, x_m - z_m) \rho_\beta^0(s, z_m - y_m) \, dz_m \, ds. \]

Using (11) we obtain

\[ D_{k,m}(t, x, y) \leq c \int_0^t \left( (t - s)^{\frac{\gamma - \alpha}{\alpha}} s + (t - s)^\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \right) \left( (t - s)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} + (t - s)^{\frac{\beta - \alpha}{\alpha}} \right) \, ds \rho_0^0(t, x_k - y_k) \rho_0^0(t, x_m - y_m) \]

\[ \leq ct^{1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\alpha}} \rho_0^0(t, x_k - y_k) \rho_0^0(t, x_m - y_m) \]

\[ \leq ct \rho_0^0(t, x_k - y_k) \rho_0^0(t, x_m - y_m), \]

Using \( \rho_0^0(t, x_k - y_k) \rho_0^0(t, x_m - y_m) \)

\[ E_{k,m}(t, x, y) \]

\[ \leq c \int_0^t \left( (t - s)^{\frac{\gamma - \alpha}{\alpha}} s + (t - s)^\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \right) \left( (t - s)^{\beta/\alpha} + (t - s)^{\frac{\beta - \alpha}{\alpha}} \right) \, ds \rho_0^0(t, x_k - y_k) \rho_0^0(t, x_m - y_m) \]

\[ \leq ct^{1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\alpha}} \rho_0^0(t, x_k - y_k) \rho_0^0(t, x_m - y_m) \]

\[ \leq ct \rho_0^0(t, x_k - y_k) \rho_0^0(t, x_m - y_m), \]

\[ F_{k,m}(t, x, y) \]

\[ \leq c \int_0^t \left( (t - s)^{\frac{\gamma - \alpha}{\alpha}} s + (t - s)^{\frac{\gamma - \beta}{\alpha}} s^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( s^{\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}} + (t - s)^{\frac{\beta - \alpha}{\alpha}} \right) \right) \, ds \rho_0^0(t, x_k - y_k) \rho_0^0(t, x_m - y_m) \]

\[ \leq ct^{1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\alpha}} \rho_0^0(t, x_k - y_k) \rho_0^0(t, x_m - y_m) \]

\[ \leq ct \rho_0^0(t, x_k - y_k) \rho_0^0(t, x_m - y_m), \]

\[ G_{k,m}(t, x, y) \]

\[ \leq c \int_0^t \left( (t - s)^{\frac{\gamma - \alpha}{\alpha}} s + (t - s)^{\frac{\gamma - \beta}{\alpha}} s^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( s^{\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}} + (t - s)^{\frac{\beta - \alpha}{\alpha}} \right) \right) \, ds \rho_0^0(t, x_k - y_k) \rho_0^0(t, x_m - y_m) \]

\[ \leq ct^{1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\alpha}} \rho_0^0(t, x_k - y_k) \rho_0^0(t, x_m - y_m) \]

\[ \leq ct \rho_0^0(t, x_k - y_k) \rho_0^0(t, x_m - y_m), \]
\[
G_{k,m}(t, x, y) 
\leq c \int_{0}^{t} \left( (t-s)^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}} s + (t-s)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} s^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}} \right) \left( (t-s)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} s + (t-s)s^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}} \right) ds
\times \rho_{0}^{0}(t, x, y) \rho_{0}^{0}(t, x, y) 
+ c \int_{0}^{t} \left( (t-s)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} s + (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}} s^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \right) ds \rho_{0}^{0}(t, x, y) \rho_{0}^{0}(t, x, y) 
+ c \int_{0}^{t} \left( (t-s)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} s + (t-s) s^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}} \right) ds \rho_{0}^{0}(t, x, y) \rho_{0}^{0}(t, x, y) 
+ c \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} ds \rho_{0}^{0}(t, x, y) \rho_{0}^{0}(t, x, y)
\]

By (38) we obtain
\[
A(t, x, y) \leq c \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{d} t \rho_{0}^{0}(t, x_{i} - y_{i}) \right) \left( \rho_{0}^{0}(t, x_{k} - y_{k}) + \rho_{0}^{0}(t, x_{k} - y_{k}) \right).
\]

Using this and (37) we obtain that for any \( n \in \mathbb{N}, x, x', y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, t \in (0, T] \) and \( \gamma \in (0, \beta) \)
\[
|g_{n}(t, x, y) - q_{n}(t, x', y)| \leq \frac{cN^{n-1}}{(n!)^{\beta/\alpha}} \left( |x - x'|^{\beta - \gamma} \wedge 1 \right)
\times \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{d} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left( t \rho_{0}^{0}(t, x_{i} - y_{i}) \right) \left( \rho_{0}^{0}(t, x_{k} - y_{k}) + \rho_{0}^{0}(t, x_{k} - y_{k}) \right) 
+ \sum_{k=1}^{d} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left( t \rho_{0}^{0}(t, x_{i} - y_{i}) \right) \left( \rho_{0}^{0}(t, x'_{k} - y_{k}) + \rho_{0}^{0}(t, x'_{k} - y_{k}) \right) \right].
\]

This, Lemma 3.8 and the definition of \( q \) imply the assertion of the theorem.

\[ \square \]

Lemma 3.10. For all \( \gamma \in (0, 1], x, x', y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, t > 0 \), we have
\[
|p_{y}(t, x) - p_{y}(t, x')| \leq c |x - x'|^{\gamma} t^{-\gamma/\alpha} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_{t}(x_{i}) \right) + \left( \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_{t}(x'_{i}) \right).
\]

Proof. By Lemma 3.3 we get
\[
|p_{y}(t, x) - p_{y}(t, x')| \leq c \left( |x - x'| t^{-1/\alpha} \wedge 1 \right) \left( \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_{t}(x_{i}) \right) + \left( \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_{t}(x'_{i}) \right).
\]

Since \( (|x - x'| t^{-1/\alpha} \wedge 1) \leq |x - x'|^{\gamma} t^{-\gamma/\alpha} \) we obtain the assertion of the lemma.

By Lemma 3.3 and the formula for \( p_{y}(t, x) \) we obtain

Lemma 3.11. For any \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \) and \( t > 0 \), we have
\[
|\nabla p_{y}(t, \cdot)(x - y)| \leq c t^{-\gamma/2} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \rho_{0}^{0}(t, x_{i} - y_{i}).
\]
Lemma 3.12. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t \in (0, T]$ we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla p_y(t, \cdot)(x - y) \, dy \right| \leq c t^{\frac{\beta - 1}{\alpha}}.$$  

Proof. Let $D_1 p_y(t, \cdot)(x - y) = \lim_{h \to 0} (p_y(t, x - y + h e_1) - p_y(t, x - y))/h$. It is enough to prove the estimate for

$$I = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} D_1 p_y(t, \cdot)(x - y) \, dy.$$  

Let $\gamma \in (0, \beta)$ and put $\tilde{y} = (x_1, y_2, \ldots, y_d)$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
|I| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[ \frac{1}{a_{11}(y)} g'(x_1 - y_1) \prod_{i=2}^d \frac{1}{a_{ii}(y)} g_i \left( \frac{x_i - y_i}{a_{ii}(y)} \right) \right] dy \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{a_{11}(y)} g'(x_1 - y_1) \left[ \prod_{i=2}^d \frac{1}{a_{ii}(y)} g_i \left( \frac{x_i - y_i}{a_{ii}(y)} \right) \right] dy \right| \\
&\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{a_{11}(y)} g'(x_1 - y_1) \prod_{i=2}^d \frac{1}{a_{ii}(y)} g_i \left( \frac{x_i - y_i}{a_{ii}(y)} \right) \right] dy_1 \right| \\
&\quad \times \left| \prod_{i=2}^d \frac{1}{a_{ii}(y)} g_i \left( \frac{x_i - y_i}{a_{ii}(y)} \right) \right| dy_2, \ldots, dy_d \\
&\quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{a_{11}(y)} g'(x_1 - y_1) \prod_{i=2}^d \frac{1}{a_{ii}(y)} g_i \left( \frac{x_i - y_i}{a_{ii}(y)} \right) \right| dy_1 \\
&= II + III. 
\end{align*}
$$

By [11] (2.31) we get

$$
\left| \frac{1}{a_{11}(y)} g'(x_1 - y_1) \prod_{i=2}^d \frac{1}{a_{ii}(y)} g_i \left( \frac{x_i - y_i}{a_{ii}(y)} \right) \right| \leq c(|x_1 - y_1|^{\beta \wedge 1} t^{-1/\alpha} \rho_0^0(t, x_1 - y_1) + \rho_0^\gamma(t, x_1 - y_1)).
$$

Using this and (10) we obtain $II \leq c t^{\frac{\beta - 1}{\alpha}}$. Note also that

$$
\left| \frac{1}{a_{11}(y)} g'(x_1 - y_1) \right| \leq c \rho_0^0(t, x_1 - y_1).
$$

Using this, Corollary 3.6 and (10) we get $III \leq c t^{\frac{\beta - 1}{\alpha}}$. \hfill \Box

Similarly as in (11) we denote

$$
\phi_y(t, x, s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_z(t - s, x - z)q(s, z, y) \, dz
$$

and

$$
\varphi_y(t, x) = \int_0^t \phi_y(t, x, s) \, ds.
$$

Clearly we have

$$
p^A(t, x, y) = p_y(t, x - y) + \varphi_y(t, x).
$$

By well known estimates of $\nabla p_z(t - s, \cdot)(x - z)$ and Theorem 3.2 we easily obtain the following result.

Lemma 3.13. For any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t > 0$ and $s \in (0, t)$ we have

$$
\nabla x \phi_y(t, x, s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla p_z(t - s, \cdot)(x - z)q(s, z, y) \, dz.
$$

The next result is the most important step in proving gradient estimates of $p^A(t, x, y)$.  

---

**Important Note:** The text above contains mathematical expressions and proofs, which require a deep understanding of advanced mathematical concepts and theorems related to stochastic processes, particularly those involving cylindrical stable processes and their transition densities. The text is rich with notations and symbols that are specific to the field of probability theory and stochastic analysis, and it assumes a background in these areas. It is also important to note that the steps in the proof are highly technical and involve intricate calculations and estimations, which are characteristic of research-level mathematics.
Lemma 3.14. For any $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t \in (0, T]$ we have
\[ \nabla_x \varphi_y(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla p_z(t-s, \cdot)(x-z)q(s, z, y)\,dz\,ds. \] (45)
and
\[ |\nabla_x \varphi_y(t, x)| \leq c t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^d \rho_\alpha^0(t, x_i - y_i). \] (46)

Proof. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t \in (0, T]$ and $s \in (0, t)$. The main tool used in this case is Theorem 3.2 Using this theorem, Lemmas 3.11, 3.13 and (11) we obtain
\[ |\nabla_x \varphi_y(t, x, s)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla p_z(t-s, \cdot)(x-z)| |q(s, z, y)|\,dz \]
\[ \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} p_z(t-s, x-z) \]
\[ \times \prod_{m=1}^d \left[ \prod_{i=1 \atop i \neq m}^d \rho_\alpha^0(s, z_i - y_i) \right] \left[ \rho_0^\beta(s, z_m - y_m) + \rho_\alpha^0(s, z_m - y_m) \right] \,dz \]
\[ \leq c \sum_{m=1}^d \left[ \prod_{i=1 \atop i \neq m}^d \rho_\alpha^0(t, x_i - y_i) \right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_\alpha^0(t-s, x_m - z_m) \]
\[ \times [\rho_0^\beta(s, z_m - y_m) + \rho_\alpha^0(s, z_m - y_m)] \,dz \]
\[ \leq c \sum_{m=1}^d \left[ \prod_{i=1 \atop i \neq m}^d \rho_\alpha^0(t, x_i - y_i) \right] \left[ (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \rho_0^\beta(t, x_m - y_m) \right. \]
\[ + (t-s)^{-\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} s^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \rho_0^\beta(t, x_m - y_m) + \left. (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} s^\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \rho_\alpha^0(t, x_m - y_m) \right] ds. \]
It follows that
\[ \nabla_x \left[ \int_0^t \phi_y(t, x, s)\,ds \right] = \int_0^t \nabla_x \phi_y(t, x, s)\,ds, \]
which implies (45). We also obtain
\[ \left| \int_0^t \nabla_x \phi_y(t, x, s)\,ds \right| \]
\[ \leq c \sum_{m=1}^d \left[ \prod_{i=1 \atop i \neq m}^d \rho_\alpha^0(t, x_i - y_i) \right] \left[ (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \rho_0^\beta(t, x_m - y_m) \right. \]
\[ + (t-s)^{-\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} s^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \rho_0^\beta(t, x_m - y_m) + \left. (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} s^\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \rho_\alpha^0(t, x_m - y_m) \right] \,ds. \]
\[ \leq c \sum_{m=1}^d \left[ \prod_{i=1 \atop i \neq m}^d \rho_\alpha^0(t, x_i - y_i) \right] \left[ \rho_0^\beta(t, x_m - y_m) + \rho_\alpha^0(t, x_m - y_m) \right], \]
which implies (46).
The most difficult part of the proof is to justify

\[ |\nabla_x p^A(t, x, y)| \leq ct^{-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^d g_i(x_i - y_i). \]

**Proof.** The assertion follows from formula (44) and Lemmas 3.11, 3.14. \(\square\)

4. FELLER SEMIGROUP

For any bounded Borel \(f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}\), \(t \in (0, \infty)\) and \(x \in \mathbb{R}^d\) we define

\[ P^A_t f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p^A(t, x, y) f(y) dy. \]

The main aim of this section is to show that \(\{P^A_t\}\) is a Feller semigroup.

For any \(\varepsilon > 0\) and \(x \in \mathbb{R}^d\) we put

\[ \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon f(x) = \frac{A_\alpha}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \int_{\{z_i : |z_i| > \varepsilon\}} \delta_f(x, e_i z_i) \sigma_i(x) \frac{dz_i}{|z_i|^{1+\alpha}}, \]

\[ \mathcal{L}^y f(x) = \frac{A_\alpha}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \int_{\{z_i : |z_i| > \varepsilon\}} \delta_f(x, e_i z_i) \sigma_i(y) \frac{dz_i}{|z_i|^{1+\alpha}}. \]

Using (11, (3.13)] and the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 3.12 we obtain

**Lemma 4.1.** For any \(\varepsilon > 0\), \(x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d\) and \(t \in (0, T]\) we have

\[ \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^x p_y(t, \cdot)(x - y) dy \right| \leq ct^{\frac{\alpha - 1}{2}}. \]

**Lemma 4.2.** For any \(x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d\) and \(t > 0 \mathcal{L}^x \varphi_y(t, x)\) is well defined and we have

\[ \mathcal{L}^x \varphi_y(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}^x p_z(t, s, \cdot)(x - z) q(s, z, y) dz ds. \] (47)

Fix \(\gamma \in (0, \beta)\). There exists \(c\) such that for any \(\varepsilon > 0\), \(t \in (0, T]\) and \(x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d\) we have

\[ |\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon p^A(t, \cdot, y)(x)| \leq ct^{-\frac{\alpha + \gamma - \beta}{\alpha}} \prod_{i=1}^d \rho_\alpha^0(t, x_i - y_i). \] (48)

Moreover, \(t \to \mathcal{L}^x \varphi_y(t, x)\) is continuous on \((0, T]\) for any \(x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d\).

**Proof.** Let \(\varepsilon > 0\). We have

\[ \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon \varphi_y(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}^x p_z(t, s, \cdot)(x - z) q(s, z, y) dz ds. \]

By Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 one easily gets

\[ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}^x p_z(t, s, \cdot)(x - z) q(s, z, y) dz = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}^x p_z(t, s, \cdot)(x - z) q(s, z, y) dz. \] (49)

The most difficult part of the proof is to justify

\[ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon p_z(t, s, \cdot)(x - z) q(s, z, y) dz ds \]

\[ = \int_0^t \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon p_z(t, s, \cdot)(x - z) q(s, z, y) dz ds. \] (50)
We have
\[ \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon \varphi_y(t, x) = \int_0^{t/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^x p_z(t - s, \cdot)(x - z) q(s, z, y) \, dz \, ds + \int_{t/2}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^x p_z(t - s, \cdot)(x - z) q(s, x, y) \, dz \, ds + \int_{t/2}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^x p_z(t - s, \cdot)(x - z) (q(s, z, y) - q(s, x, y)) \, dz \, ds = D(t, x, y) + E(t, x, y) + F(t, x, y). \]

For \( s \in (0, t/2) \) by Theorem 3.2, Lemma 4.1 and (11) we obtain
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^x p_z(t - s, \cdot)(x - z) q(s, z, y)| \, dz \\
\leq c \sum_{m=1}^d \prod_{i=1}^d \rho_0(t, x_i - y_i) \\
\times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_0(t - s, x_m - z_m)[\rho_0^\beta(s, z_m - y_m) + \rho_0^\beta(s, z_m - y_m)] \, dz \\
\leq c \sum_{m=1}^d \prod_{i=1}^d \rho_0(t, x_i - y_i) \\
\times \left( (t - s)^{\frac{\beta - \alpha}{\alpha}} \rho_0(t, x_m - y_m) + s^{\frac{\beta - \alpha}{\alpha}} \rho_0(t, x_m - y_m) + (t - s)^{-1} \rho_0(t, x_m - y_m) \right).
\]

It follows that
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_0^{t/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^x p_z(t - s, \cdot)(x - z) q(s, z, y) \, dz \, ds = \int_0^{t/2} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^x p_z(t - s, \cdot)(x - z) q(s, z, y) \, dz \, ds. \tag{51}
\]

and
\[
D(t, x, y) \leq ct^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^d \rho_0(t, x_i - y_i). \tag{52}
\]

For \( s \in (t/2, t) \) by Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 4.1 we obtain
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^x p_z(t - s, \cdot)(x - z) \, dz q(s, x, y) | \leq c(t - s)^{\frac{\beta - \alpha}{\alpha}} t^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^d \rho_0(t, x_i - y_i).
\]

It follows that
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{t/2}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^x p_z(t - s, \cdot)(x - z) \, dz q(s, x, y) \, ds \\
= \int_{t/2}^t \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^x p_z(t - s, \cdot)(x - z) \, dz q(s, x, y) \, ds. \tag{53}
\]

and
\[
E(t, x, y) \leq ct^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^d \rho_0(t, x_i - y_i). \tag{54}
\]
Now, we need to obtain some estimates which will be crucial in studying the most difficult term $F(t, x, y)$. By Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.9 there exists $c$ (not depending on $\varepsilon$) such that for any $t \in (0, T]$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{d}{i=1} \left[ \int_{|w_i| > \varepsilon} |\delta_{i}(t - s, x - z, w_i e_i)| |w_i|^{-1 - \alpha} dw_i |q(s, z, y) - q(s, x, y)| \right] dz
$$

$$
\leq c(t - s)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( |x - z|^{\beta - \gamma} \land 1 \right) \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{d} \rho_{\alpha}^{0}(t - s, x_i - z_i) \right] dz \sum_{m=1}^{d} \left[ \prod_{i \neq m}^{d} \rho_{\alpha}^{0}(s, x_i - y_i) \right]
$$

$$
\times \left[ \rho_{\gamma - \beta}^{\delta}(s, x_m - y_m) + \rho_{\beta}^{\gamma}(s, x_m - y_m) \right]
$$

$$
+ c(t - s)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( |x - z|^{\beta - \gamma} \land 1 \right) \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{d} \rho_{\alpha}^{0}(t - s, x_i - z_i) \right] \sum_{m=1}^{d} \left[ \prod_{i \neq m}^{d} \rho_{\alpha}^{0}(s, z_i - y_i) \right]
$$

$$
\times \left[ \rho_{\gamma - \beta}^{\delta}(s, z_m - y_m) + \rho_{\beta}^{\gamma}(s, z_m - y_m) \right] dz
$$

$$
= B_1(s, t, x, y) + B_2(s, t, x, y).
$$

Clearly $(|x - z|^{\beta - \gamma} \land 1) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{d}(|x_k - z_k|^{\beta - \gamma} \land 1)$. It follows that

$$
(t - s)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( |x - z|^{\beta - \gamma} \land 1 \right) \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{d} \rho_{\alpha}^{0}(t - s, x_i - z_i) \right] dz
$$

$$
\leq c \sum_{k=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_{0}^{\beta - \gamma}(t - s, x_k - z_k) dz_k
$$

$$
\leq c(t - s)^{\frac{\beta - \gamma}{\alpha}}.
$$

Hence

$$
B_1(s, t, x, y) \leq c(t - s)^{\frac{\beta - \gamma}{\alpha - \alpha}} \sum_{m=1}^{d} \left[ \prod_{i \neq m}^{d} \rho_{\alpha}^{0}(s, x_i - y_i) \right]
$$

$$
\times \left[ \rho_{\gamma - \beta}^{\delta}(s, x_m - y_m) + \rho_{\beta}^{\gamma}(s, x_m - y_m) \right].
$$

(55)

We also have

$$
B_2(s, t, x, y) \leq c \sum_{m=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[ \prod_{i \neq m}^{d} \rho_{\alpha}^{0}(t - s, x_i - z_i) \rho_{\alpha}^{0}(s, z_i - y_i) \right]
$$

$$
\times \rho_{0}^{\beta - \gamma}(t - s, x_m - z_m) \left[ \rho_{\gamma - \beta}^{\delta}(s, z_m - y_m) + \rho_{\beta}^{\gamma}(s, z_m - y_m) \right] dz
$$

$$
+ c \sum_{m=1}^{d} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[ \prod_{i \neq m, k}^{d} \rho_{\alpha}^{0}(t - s, x_i - z_i) \rho_{\alpha}^{0}(s, z_i - y_i) \right]
$$

$$
\times \rho_{0}^{\beta - \gamma}(t - s, x_m - z_m) \left[ \rho_{\gamma - \beta}^{\delta}(s, z_m - y_m) + \rho_{\beta}^{\gamma}(s, z_m - y_m) \right]
$$

$$
\times \rho_{0}^{\beta - \gamma}(t - s, x_k - z_k) \rho_{0}^{\gamma}(s, z_k - y_k) dz
$$

$$
= B_3(s, t, x, y) + B_4(s, t, x, y).
$$
By (11), we have

\[ B_3(s, t, x, y) \leq c \sum_{m=1}^{d} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{d} \rho_\alpha^0(t, x_i - y_i) \right) \left[ (t - s)^{\frac{\beta - \gamma}{\alpha}} s^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \rho_0(t, x_m - y_m) + s^{\frac{\beta - \gamma}{\alpha}} \rho_0(t, x_m - y_m) \right] \]

\[ + (t - s)^{\frac{\beta - \gamma}{\alpha}} s^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \rho_0(t, x_m - y_m) \]

\[ + (t - s)^{\frac{\beta - \gamma}{\alpha}} s^{\frac{\beta - \gamma}{\alpha}} \rho_0(t, x_m - y_m) \].

(56)

By (11), we also have

\[ B_4(s, t, x, y) \leq c \sum_{m=1}^{d} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{d} \rho_\alpha^0(t, x_i - y_i) \right] \left[ (t - s)^{\frac{\beta - \gamma}{\alpha}} s^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \rho_0(t, x_m - y_m) + s^{\frac{\beta - \gamma}{\alpha}} \rho_0(t, x_m - y_m) \right] \]

\[ \times \left[ (t - s)^{\frac{\beta - \gamma}{\alpha}} s^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} + (t - s)^{\frac{\beta - \gamma}{\alpha}} s^{\frac{\beta - \gamma}{\alpha}} \right] \rho_0(t, x_k - y_k) + \rho_0^{\beta - \gamma}(t, x_k - y_k) \]

(57)

By (55), (57) and (10), we get

\[ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{t/2}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon p_\varepsilon(t-s, \cdot)(x-z)(q(s, z, y) - q(s, x, y)) \, dz \, ds \]

\[ = \int_{t/2}^{t} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon p_\varepsilon(t-s, \cdot)(x-z)(q(s, z, y) - q(s, x, y)) \, dz \, ds. \]

(58)

and

\[ F(t, x, y) \leq ct^{\frac{-\alpha + \gamma - \beta}{\alpha}} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \rho_\alpha^0(t, x_i - y_i). \]

(59)

By (51), (53), (58) we get (50). We also get continuity \( t \to \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon \phi_\gamma(t, x) \). By (49) and (50) we obtain (17). Using (52), (54), (59), Lemma 3.1 and formula (44) we get (48). \( \square \)

The next result is an analogue of [11, Theorem 4.1]. Its proof is almost the same as the proof of [11, Theorem 4.1] and is omitted.

**Proposition 4.3.** Let \( u(t, x) \in C_b([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d) \) with

\[ \lim_{t \to 0^+} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |u(t, x) - u(0, x)| = 0. \]

(60)

Assume that

\[ t \to \mathcal{L}u(t, x) \text{ is continuous on } (0, T) \text{ for each } x \in \mathbb{R}^d \]

(61)

and for any \( \varepsilon \in (0, 1) \) and some \( \gamma \in ((\alpha - 1) \vee 0, 1) \)

\[ \sup_{t \in (\varepsilon, T)} |u(t, x) - u(t, x')| \leq K_\varepsilon |x - x'|^\gamma, \quad x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d. \]

(62)

If \( u \) satisfies

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, x) = \mathcal{L}u(t, x), \quad t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \]

(63)

then

\[ \sup_{t \in (0, T)} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} u(t, x) \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} u(0, x). \]

(64)
Lemma 4.4. Let \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d \). Then the mapping \( t \to \varphi_y(t,x) \) is absolutely continuous on \((0,T]\). For any \( t \in (0,T) \) we have

\[
\frac{\partial \varphi_y}{\partial t}(t,x) = q(t,x,y) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L^z p_z(t-s,\cdot)(x-z)q(s,z,y) \, dz \, ds.
\] (65)

Proof. Let \( h > 0 \) be such that \( t + h < T \). We have

\[
\frac{\varphi_y(t+h,x) - \varphi_y(t,x)}{h} = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^{t+h} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_z(t+h-s,x-z)q(s,z,y) \, dz \, ds
- \frac{1}{h} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_z(t-s,x-z)q(s,z,y) \, dz \, ds
- \frac{1}{h} \int_0^{t+h} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_z(t+h-s,x-z)q(s,z,y) \, dz \, ds
+ \frac{1}{h} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_z(t+h-s,x-z)q(s,z,y) \, dz \, ds
= I + II.
\]

After change of variables \( t + h - s = u \) we have

\[
I = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_z(u,x-z)q(t+h-u,z,y) \, dz \, du
= \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (p_z(u,x-z) - p_z(u,x-z))q(t+h-u,z,y) \, dz \, du
+ \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_z(u,x-z)q(t+h-u,z,y) \, dz \, du
= I_1 + I_2.
\]

By Theorem 3.2, \( \sup_{u \leq h, z \in \mathbb{R}^d} q(t+h-u,z,y) \leq M < \infty \). Moreover, from Corollary 3.5

\[
|p_z(u,x-z) - p_z(u,x-z)| \leq cp_z(u,x-z)(|x-z|^\beta \wedge 1).
\]

Hence,

\[
\limsup_{h \to 0^+} |I_1| \leq cM \limsup_{h \to 0^+} \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_z(u,x-z)(|x-z|^\beta \wedge 1) \, dz \, du = 0.
\] (66)

Next, by Theorem 3.2 the function \( q(s,z,y) \) is continuous and bounded on \([t,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d\), as a function of \( s \) and \( z \). Since the measures \( \mu_u(dz) = p_x(u,x-z)dz \) converge weakly to \( \delta_x \) as \( u \to 0^+ \), we obtain

\[
\lim_{h \to 0^+} I_2 = q(t,x,y).
\] (67)
We have

\[ II = \int_0^{t/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{p_z(t + h - s, x - z) - p_z(t - s, x - z)}{h} q(s, z, y) \, dz \, ds \]
\[ + \int_{t/2}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{p_z(t + h - s, x - z) - p_z(t - s, x - z)}{h} q(s, z, y) \, dz \, ds \]
\[ = III + IV. \]

By estimates of \( \frac{\partial p_z}{\partial t} \) following from (17) and Theorem 3.2 we get

\[ \lim_{h \to 0^+} III = \int_0^{t/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_z(t - s, x - z) q(s, z, y) \, dz \, ds. \] (68)

We have

\[ IV = \int_{t/2}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{p_z(t + h - s, x - z) - p_z(t - s, x - z)}{h} (q(s, z, y) - q(s, x, y)) \, dz \, ds \]
\[ + \int_{t/2}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{p_z(t + h - s, x - z) - p_z(t - s, x - z)}{h} d2q(s, x, y) \, dz \, ds \]
\[ = V + VI. \]

Note that for \( h > 0, s \in (t/2, t), \gamma \in (0, \beta), x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^d \), by Theorem 3.9 and the estimates of \( \frac{\partial p_z}{\partial t} \) we obtain

\[ \left| p_z(t + h - s, x - z) - p_z(t - s, x - z) \right| \leq B(|x - z|^{\beta - \gamma} \land 1) \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_z(t + \theta h - s, x - z) \right| \]
\[ \leq cB(|x - z|^{\beta - \gamma} \land 1) (t - s)^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^d \rho_0^\beta(t - s, x_i - z_i), \]

where \( B = B(t, \alpha, d, b_1, b_2, b_3, \beta, \gamma) \in (0, \infty) \) and \( \theta = \theta(s, t, \alpha, d, b_1, b_2, b_3, \beta, \gamma, x, z) \in (0, 1) \). We also have

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|x - z|^{\beta - \gamma} \land 1) (t - s)^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^d \rho_0^\beta(t - s, x_i - z_i) \, dz \]
\[ \leq c \sum_{i=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_0^{\beta - \gamma}(t - s, x_i - z_i) \, dz_i \]
\[ \leq c(t - s)^{\frac{d - \gamma - \alpha}{\beta - \gamma}}. \]

It follows that

\[ \lim_{h \to 0^+} V = \int_{t/2}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_z(t - s, x - z) q(s, z, y) - q(s, x, y) \, dz \, ds. \] (69)

Note that for \( h > 0, s \in (t/2, t), x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d \) we have

\[ \frac{1}{h} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_z(t + h - s, x - z) \, dz - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_z(t - s, x - z) \, dz \right) \]
\[ = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_z(t + \theta h - s, x - z) \, dz \]
\[ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_z(t + \theta h - s, x - z) \, dz, \]

where \( \theta = \theta(s, t, h, \alpha, d, b_1, b_2, b_3, \beta, \gamma, x) \in (0, 1) \).
Using this, \(13\) and the definition of \(q_0(t, x, y)\) we get
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_z(t + h - s, x - z) - p_z(t - s, x - z) \, dz
\]
\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}^z p_z(t + \theta h - s, \cdot)(x - z) \, dz
\]
\[
= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} q_0(t + \theta h - s, x, z) \, dz + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}^x p_z(t + \theta h - s, \cdot)(x - z) \, dz.
\]
By \(19\) and \(10\), we have
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} q_0(t + \theta h - s, x, z) \, dz \right| \leq c(t - s)^{\frac{\beta - \alpha}{\gamma}}.
\]
By Lemma 4.4, we get
\[
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}^x p_z(t + \theta h - s, \cdot)(x - z) \, dz \right| \leq c(t - s)^{\frac{\beta - \alpha}{\gamma}}.
\]
It follows that
\[
\lim_{h \to 0^+} VI = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_z(t - s, x - z) \, dz q(s, x, y) \, ds.
\]
(70)

By \(66, 70\) we obtain
\[
\lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{\varphi_y(t + h, x) - \varphi_y(t, x)}{h} = q(t, x, y) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}^z p_z(t - s, \cdot)(x - z) q(s, z, y) \, dz \, ds.
\]
The proof of the analogous result for \(\lim_{h \to 0^-}\) is very similar and it is omitted.

\[\square\]

**Proposition 4.5.** For all \(t \in (0, \infty)\) and \(x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d\) we have
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p^A(t, x, y) = \mathcal{L}^A p^A(t, \cdot, y)(x).
\]

**Proof.** By the definition of \(q(t, x, y)\) we obtain
\[
q(t, x, y) = q_0(t, x, y) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} q_0(t - s, x, z) q(s, z, y) \, dz \, ds.
\]
(71)

Using \(44, 13\), Lemma 4.4 and the definition of \(q_0(t, x, y)\) we obtain
\[
\frac{\partial p^A}{\partial t}(t, x, y) = \frac{\partial p_y}{\partial t}(t, x - y) + \frac{\partial \varphi_y}{\partial t}(t, x)
\]
\[
= \mathcal{L}^y p_y(t, x - y) + q(t, x, y) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}^z p_z(t - s, \cdot)(x - z) q(s, z, y) \, dz \, ds
\]
\[
= \mathcal{L}^x p_y(t, x - y) - q_0(t, x, y) + q(t, x, y) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}^z p_z(t - s, \cdot)(x - z) q(s, z, y) \, dz \, ds.
\]
By \(71\) this is equal to
\[
\mathcal{L}^x p_y(t, x - y) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (q_0(t - s, x, z) + \mathcal{L}^z p_z(t - s, \cdot)(x - z)) q(s, z, y) \, dz \, ds
\]
\[
= \mathcal{L}^x p_y(t, x - y) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}^z p_z(t - s, \cdot)(x - z) q(s, z, y) \, dz \, ds.
\]
By \(47\) and \(44\) this is equal to \(\mathcal{L} p^A(t, \cdot, y)(x)\), which completes the proof.

\[\square\]

**Lemma 4.6.** For any bounded Borel \(f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}\), \(t \in (0, \infty)\) and \(x \in \mathbb{R}^d\) we have
\[
\mathcal{L}(P^A_t f)(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P^A_t f(x).
\]
Proof. We have
\[
\mathcal{L}(P^A_t f)(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(P^A_t f)(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon P^A(t, \cdot, y)(x) f(y) \, dy.
\]
By Lemma 4.2 this is equal to
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon p^A(t, \cdot, y)(x) f(y) \, dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L} p^A(t, \cdot, y)(x) f(y) \, dy.
\]
By Proposition 4.7 this is equal to
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} p^A(t, x, y) f(y) \, dy = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p^A(t, x, y) f(y) \, dy.
\]
\[
\]
Proposition 4.7. For \( t \in (0, T] \) and \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d \) we have
\[
p^A(t, x, y) \leq c \prod_{i=1}^d g_i(x_i - y_i).
\]
Proof. By Theorem 3.2 estimates of \( p_z \) and (12) we obtain
\[
|\varphi_y(t, x)| = \left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_z(t - s, x - z) q(s, z, y) \, dz \, ds \right|
\]
\[
\leq c \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \prod_{i=1}^d \rho_0^\alpha(t - s, x_i - z_i)
\times \sum_{m=1}^d \prod_{i=1, i \neq m}^d \rho_0^\alpha(s, z_i - y_i) \left[ \rho_0^\beta(s, z_m - y_m) + \rho_0^\beta(s, z_m - y_m) \right] \, dz \, ds
\]
\[
\leq c \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \prod_{i=1}^d \rho_0^\alpha(t, x_i - y_i) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_0^\alpha(t - s, x_m - z_m)
\times \left[ \rho_0^\beta(s, z_m - y_m) + \rho_0^\beta(s, z_m - y_m) \right] \, dz \, ds
\]
\[
\leq c \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \prod_{i=1}^d \rho_0^\alpha(t, x_i - y_i) \left[ \rho_0^\alpha + \sum_{m=1}^d \left( |x_m - y_m|^\beta \land 1 \right) \right].
\]
Now the conclusion follows from (10) and estimates of \( p_z \). \( \square \)

The following result shows that \( \{P_t^A\} \) is a Feller semigroup.

Theorem 4.8. We have:
(i) \( P_t^A : C_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \to C_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \) for any \( t \in (0, \infty) \),
(ii) \( \lim_{t \to 0^+} \|P_t^A f - f\|_\infty = 0 \) for any \( f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \).
(iii) \( P^A(t, x, y) \geq 0 \) for any \( (t, x, y) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \),
(iv) \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p^A(t, x, y) \, dy = 1 \) for any \( (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \),
(v) \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p^A(t, x, z) p^A(s, z, y) \, dz = p^A(s + t, x, y) \) for any \( (s, t, x, y) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \).
Proof. (i) follows by the fact that \( x \to p^A(t, x, y) \) is continuous and by Proposition 4.7.

It is shown in the proof of Proposition 4.7 that

\[
|\varphi_y(t, x)| \leq c \sum_{m=1}^{d} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{d} \rho^0_{\alpha}(t, x_i - y_i) \right] \left[ \rho^0_{\alpha}(t, x_m - y_m) + \rho^\beta_{\alpha}(t, x_m - y_m) \right].
\]

Let \( f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \). It follows that \( \lim_{t \to 0^+} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi_y(t, x)f(y)\,dy| = 0 \) for any \( f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \). It is clear that \( \lim_{t \to 0^+} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_y(t, x - y)f(y)\,dy - f(x)| = 0 \) for any \( f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \). Hence we obtain (ii).

For any \((t, x) \in (0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d\) put \( u(t, x) = P^A_t f(x) \), \( u(0, x) = f(x) \). Note that \( u(t, x) \) satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 4.3. Indeed, (ii) gives (60). By Lemma 4.2 we get (61). Applying Proposition 4.3 to \( f \in C^\infty \), \( f \leq 0 \) we obtain (iii). Note that \( \hat{u}(t, x) = -1 + P^A_t 1(x) \), \( u(0, x) = 0 \) also satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 4.3. Using this proposition we get that \( P^A_t 1 \equiv 1 \) which implies (iv). Fix \( s \in (0, T] \), \( f \in C^\infty \), \( f \geq 0 \) and denote \( u_1(t, x) = P^A_{t+s} f(x) \), \( u_2(t, x) = P^A_{t+s} P^A_t f(x) \), \( u_1(0, x) = u_2(0, x) = P^A_s f(x) \), \( u(t, x) = u_1(t, x) - u_2(t, x) \). By Proposition 4.3 applied to \( u(t, x) \) we get \( u_1 \equiv u_2 \) which implies (v).

Using similar ideas as in the proof of (4.7), one can easily obtain the following result.

Lemma 4.9. For any \( t \in (0, \infty) \), \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and any bounded, Hölder continuous function \( f \) we have

\[
\mathcal{L} \left[ \int_0^t P^A_s f(s) \, ds \right](x) = \int_0^t \mathcal{L} P^A_s f(x) \, ds.
\]

Proposition 4.10. For any \( t \in (0, \infty) \), \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \) we have

\[
P^A_t f(x) = f(x) + \int_0^t P^A_s \mathcal{L} f(x) \, ds.
\]

Proof. Put \( u(t, x) = f(x) + \int_0^t P^A_s \mathcal{L} f(x) \, ds \). By (74) we get

\[
\mathcal{L} u(t, x) = \mathcal{L} f(x) + \int_0^t \mathcal{L} \left( P^A_s \mathcal{L} f \right)(x) \, ds.
\]

By Lemma 4.10 this is equal to

\[
\mathcal{L} f(x) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left( P^A_s \mathcal{L} f \right)(x) \, ds = \mathcal{L} P^A_t f(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, x).
\]

It is easy to check that \( u(t, x) \) satisfies (60)(62). Put \( \tilde{u}(t, x) = P^A_t f(x) \), \( \tilde{u}(0, x) = f(x) \) and \( v(t, x) = u(t, x) - \tilde{u}(t, x) \). By the arguments from the proof of Theorem 4.8 we obtain that \( \tilde{u}(t, x) \) satisfies (60)(63). Using Proposition 4.3 for \( v(t, x) \) we get \( v \equiv 0 \) which implies the assertion of the lemma.

The next theorem gives that \( \mathcal{L} \) is a generator of the semigroup \( \{P^A_t\} \).

Theorem 4.11. For any \( f \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^d) \) we have

\[
\lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{P^A_t f(x) - f(x)}{t} = \mathcal{L} f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d
\]

and the convergence is uniform.

Proof. By Proposition 4.10 we have

\[
\lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{P^A_t f(x) - f(x)}{t} = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t P^A_s \mathcal{L} f(x) \, ds.
\]

By Theorem 4.8 (ii) this is equal to \( \mathcal{L} f(x) \) and the convergence is uniform.
We are now in a position to provide the proofs of most of the parts of Theorem 1.1.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1 (i), (ii) and the upper bound estimate in (iii).** From Theorem 4.11 and Theorem 4.11 we conclude that there is a Feller process $\tilde{X}$ with the transition kernel $p^{A}(t, x, y)$ and the generator $L$. Let $P^{x}, E^{x}$ be the distribution and expectation for the process starting from $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. First, note that for any function $f \in C_{b}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$, the process

$$M_{t}^{X,f} = f(\tilde{X}_{t}) - f(\tilde{X}_{0}) - \int_{0}^{t} Lf(\tilde{X}_{s})ds$$

is a $(\mathbb{P}^{x}, \mathcal{F}_{t})$ martingale, where $\mathcal{F}_{t}$ is a natural filtration. That is $\mathbb{P}^{x}$ solves the martingale problem for $(L, C_{b}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}))$. On the other hand, according to Theorem 6.3, the unique weak solution $X$ to the stochastic equation (1) has the law which is the unique solution to the martingale problem for $(L, C_{b}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}))$. It follows that that $\tilde{X}$ and $X$ have the same law and $p^{A}(t, x, y)$ is the transition kernel of $X$.

The positivity of $p^{A}(t, x, y)$ with respect to all variables follows from Theorem 3.2. Positivity is a consequence of the lower bound in (5) which will be proved in the next section. Finally, (ii) follows from Proposition 4.5. The upper bound estimate in (iii) follows from Proposition 4.7. 

**Proof of Theorem 1.1 (iv).** The main tool used in this proof is Theorem 3.2. By Lemma 3.10 and Theorem 3.2 we get

$$|\varphi_{y}(t, x) - \varphi_{y}(t, x')| \leq \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |p_{z}(t - s, x - z) - p_{z}(t - s, x' - z)| |q(s, z, y)| dz ds \leq c (A(t, x, y) + A(t, x', y)),$$

where

$$A(t, x, y) = |x - x'|^{\gamma} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} (t - s)^{-\gamma/\alpha} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_{t-s}(x_{i} - z_{i}) \right] \times s^{d-1} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{d} \rho_{0}(s, z_{i} - y_{i}) \right] \left[ s^{\beta/\alpha} + \sum_{m=1}^{d} \left( |z_{m} - y_{m}|^{\beta} \wedge 1 \right) \right] dz ds.$$ 

We have

$$A(t, x, y) \leq c|x - x'|^{\gamma} \sum_{m=1}^{d} \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{d} g_{t}(x_{i} - y_{i}) \right] \times \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_{\alpha-\gamma}(t - s, x_{m} - z_{m}) \rho_{0}(s, z_{m} - y_{m}) dz_{m} ds + c|x - x'|^{\gamma} \left[ \prod_{i=2}^{d} g_{t}(x_{i} - y_{i}) \right] \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_{\alpha}(t - s, x_{1} - z_{1}) \rho_{0}(s, z_{1} - y_{1}) dz_{1} ds.$$

By (12) we have

$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_{\alpha-\gamma}(t - s, x_{m} - z_{m}) \rho_{0}(s, z_{m} - y_{m}) dz_{m} ds \leq \rho_{0}(t, x_{m} - y_{m}) + \rho_{\alpha}(t, x_{m} - y_{m}),$$

and

$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_{\alpha-\gamma}(t - s, x_{1} - z_{1}) \rho_{0}(s, z_{1} - y_{1}) dz_{1} ds \leq \rho_{0}(t, x_{1} - y_{1}).$$
It follows that

\[ A(t, x, y) \leq c|x - x'|^\gamma t^{-\gamma/\alpha} \left( \prod_{i=1}^d g_i(x_i - y_i) \right). \]

Using this, \cite[Lemma 3.10]{76} and \cite{14} we get the assertion of Theorem 1.1 (iv). \hfill \Box

5. LOWER BOUND ESTIMATES

5.1. Lévy system. Let \( P^x, \mathbb{E}^x \) be the distribution and expectation for the process \( X_t \) starting from \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \). By \( \mathcal{F}_t \) we denote a natural filtration. For \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and Borel \( A \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) we define the jumping measure

\[ J(x, A) = A_\alpha \sum_{i=1}^d \int_A \otimes_{k \neq i} \delta_{x_k}(dy_k) a_i^n(x) \frac{dy_i}{|y_i - x_i|^{1+\alpha}}, \]

where \( \delta_{x_k} \) is a Dirac measure on \( \mathbb{R} \) concentrated at \( x_k \).

The purpose of this subsection is to provide arguments for the Lévy system formula. Namely, we will show that for any \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and any non-negative measurable function \( f \) on \( \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \) vanishing on \( \{(s, x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d; x = y\} \) and \( \mathcal{F}_t \) stopping time \( T \), we have

\[ \mathbb{E}^x \sum_{s \leq T} f(s, X_{s-}, X_s) = \mathbb{E}^x \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(s, X_s, y) J(X_s, dy) ds. \quad (77) \]

Since we exactly follow the approach of \cite{11} we only briefly sketch the arguments.

It is well known that for \( f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \),

\[ \mathcal{L} f(x) = \frac{A_\alpha}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ f(x + a_{ii}(x)w_i e_i) + f(x - a_{ii}(x)w_i e_i) - 2f(x) \right] \frac{dw_i}{|w_i|^{1+\alpha}}. \]

For \( y \in \mathbb{R}^d \) we denote \( |y|_\infty = \max_i \{|y_i|\} \) the sup-norm in \( \mathbb{R}^d \). For \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( r > 0 \) we denote \( B(x, r) = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d; |y - x|_\infty < r \} \). Then for \( f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \), we can rewrite the formula of the generator as

\[ \mathcal{L} f(x) = \lim_{r \to 0} \int_{B^c(x, r)} (f(y) - f(x)) J(x, dy). \]

As it has been already observed in the last section, for any function \( f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \), the process

\[ M^f_t = f(X_t) - f(X_0) - \int_0^t \mathcal{L} f(X_s) ds \]

is a \((\mathbb{P}^x, \mathcal{F}_t)\) martingale. Suppose that \( A \) and \( B \) are two bounded closed subsets of \( \mathbb{R}^d \) having a positive distance from each other. Let \( f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \) be such that \( f(x) = 0, x \in A \) and \( f(x) = 1, x \in B \). We consider a martingale transform of \( M^f_t \),

\[ N^f_t = \int_0^t 1_A(X_{s-}) dM^f_s. \]

By the Ito formula, if \( X_{s-} \in A \), we have

\[ dM^f_s = f(X_s) - f(X_{s-}) - \mathcal{L} f(X_s) ds = f(X_s) - \mathcal{L} f(X_s) ds. \]

This implies that

\[ N^f_t = \sum_{s \leq t} 1_A(X_{s-}) f(X_s) - \int_0^t 1_A(X_s) \mathcal{L} f(X_s) ds = \sum_{s \leq t} 1_A(X_{s-}) f(X_s) - \int_0^t 1_A(X_s) \int f(y) J(X_s, dy) ds. \]

\[ \text{TRANSITION DENSITIES FOR SDES DRIVEN BY CYLINDRICAL STABLE PROCESSES} 31 \]
Approximating $1_B$ by a decreasing sequence of smooth functions we show that

$$
\sum_{s \leq t} 1_A(X_s) 1_B(X_s) - \int_0^t 1_A(X_s) \int_B J(X_s, dy) \, ds
$$

is a martingale, hence

$$
\mathbb{E}^x \sum_{s \leq t} 1_A(X_s) 1_B(X_s) = \mathbb{E}^x \int_0^t 1_A(X_s) \int_B J(X_s, dy) \, ds.
$$

Using this and a routine measure theoretic argument, we get

$$
\mathbb{E}^x \sum_{s \leq t} f(X_s, X_s) = \mathbb{E}^x \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(X_s, y) J(X_s, dy) \, ds
$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and any non-negative measurable function $f$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ vanishing on the diagonal.

Finally, following the same arguments as in [10, Appendix A], we obtain (77).

5.2. Lower bound of $p^A$. We essentially follow the approach from [11], where an argument relied on certain exit and hitting times estimates was applied, but the singularity of the jumping measure forces us to use an induction argument. We start with the near diagonal estimate of the transition kernel.

Lemma 5.1. For any $a > 0$ there is $c = c(a, d, \alpha, b_1, b_2, b_3, \beta)$ and $0 < t_0 \leq 1$, $t_0 = t_0(a, d, \alpha, b_1, b_2, b_3, \beta)$ such that for $t \leq t_0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|y - x|_\infty \leq at^{1/\alpha}$,

$$
p^A(t, x, y) \geq ct^{-d/\alpha}. \quad (78)
$$

Proof. By (73), if $|y - x|_\infty \leq at^{1/\alpha}$, we have

$$
|\varphi_y(t, x)| \leq c \prod_{i=1}^d \rho^0_\alpha(t, x_i - y_i) \left[ t^{\beta/\alpha} + \sum_{m=1}^d (|x_m - y_m|^{\beta} \wedge 1) \right] \leq c_1 t^{-d/\alpha} t^{\beta/\alpha}.
$$

Hence, we can find $t_0 \leq 1$ such that for $t \leq t_0$ and $|y - x|_\infty \leq at^{1/\alpha}$ we have

$$
p^A(t, x, y) \quad = p_y(t, x - y) + \varphi_y(t, x) \\
\quad \geq p_y(t, x - y) - |\varphi_y(t, x)| \geq c_2 t^{-d/\alpha} - c_1 t^{-d/\alpha} t^{\beta/\alpha} \\
\quad \geq ct^{-d/\alpha}.
$$

□

Let for a Borel $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$
\tau_D = \inf\{t > 0; X_t \notin D\} \quad \text{and} \quad T_D = \inf\{t > 0; X_t \in D\}
$$

be the first exit and hitting time of $D$, respectively.

Lemma 5.2. There is $c$ such that, for $t \leq 1, R > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$
\mathbb{P}^x(\tau_{B(x, R)} \leq t) \leq c \frac{t}{R^\alpha}.
$$
Proof. Applying the strong Markov property, we obtain
\[
\mathbb{P}^x(\tau_{B(x,R)} \leq t) \leq \mathbb{P}^x(\tau_{B(x,R)} \leq t; |X(t) - x|_\infty \leq R/8) + \mathbb{P}^x(|X(t) - x|_\infty \geq R/8)
\]
\[
\leq \mathbb{P}^x(\tau_{B(x,R)} \leq t; |X(t) - X(\tau_{B(x,R)})|_\infty \geq R/8)
\]
\[
+ \mathbb{P}^x(|X(t) - x|_\infty \geq R/8)
\]
\[
= \mathbb{E}^x(\tau_{B(x,R)} \leq t; P^X(\tau_{B(x,R)})(|X(t) - \tau_{B(x,R)}) - X(\tau_{B(x,R)})|_\infty \geq R/8))
\]
\[
+ \mathbb{P}^x(|X(t) - x|_\infty \geq R/8)
\]
\[
\leq 2 \sup_z \sup_{s \leq t} \mathbb{P}^x(|X(s) - z|_\infty \geq R/8)
\]
\[
\leq c \frac{t}{R^\alpha}.
\]

The last step follows from the upper estimate (5) of the heat kernel \(p^A(t, x, y)\).  

\[\square\]

Lemma 5.3. Let \(r > 0\) and \(x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d\). Assume that \(|x_1 - y_1| \geq 6r\) and \(\max_{2 \leq i \leq d} |x_i - y_i| \leq r\). Then for \(t > 0\),
\[
\mathbb{P}^x(X(t) \in B(y, 4r)) \geq \mathbb{P}^x(\sigma \leq t; \sup_{\sigma \leq s \leq \sigma + t} |X(s) - X(\sigma)|_\infty \leq 2r)
\]
\[
= \mathbb{E}^x(\sigma \leq t; P^X(\sigma) \sup_{s \leq t} |X(s) - X(\sigma)|_\infty \leq 2r)
\]
\[
\geq \mathbb{P}^x(\sigma \leq t) \inf_z \mathbb{P}^z(\sup_{s \leq t} |X(s) - z|_\infty \leq 2r)
\]
\[
\geq \inf_z \mathbb{P}^z(\tau_{B(z,2r)} > t) \mathbb{P}^x(X(t \wedge \tau_{B(x,r)}) \in B(y, 2r))
\]

Proof. Let \(\sigma = T_{B(y,2r)}\) be the first hitting time. By the strong Markov property
\[
\mathbb{P}^x(X(t) \in B(y, 4r)) \geq \mathbb{P}^x(\sigma \leq t; \sup_{\sigma \leq s \leq \sigma + t} |X(s) - X(\sigma)|_\infty \leq 2r)
\]
\[
= \mathbb{E}^x(\sigma \leq t; P^X(\sigma) \sup_{s \leq t} |X(s) - X(\sigma)|_\infty \leq 2r)
\]
\[
\geq \mathbb{P}^x(\sigma \leq t) \inf_z \mathbb{P}^z(\sup_{s \leq t} |X(s) - z|_\infty \leq 2r)
\]
\[
\geq \inf_z \mathbb{P}^z(\tau_{B(z,2r)} > t) \mathbb{P}^x(X(t \wedge \tau_{B(x,r)}) \in B(y, 2r))
\]

By the Lévy system formula (77), we have
\[
\mathbb{P}^x((X(t \wedge \tau_{B(x,r)}) \in B(y, 2r)) = \mathbb{E}^x \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_{B(x,r)}} \int_{B(y,2r)} J(x, s, du)ds.
\]
We may assume \(x_1 < y_1\). Since \(|x_1 - y_1| \geq 6r\) and \(\max_{2 \leq i \leq d} |x_i - y_i| \leq r\), for \(z \in B(x, r)\), we have
\[
\int_{B(y,2r)} J(z, du) = \int_{y_1 - 2r}^{y_1 + 2r} \frac{a_1(z)du_1}{|w_1 - z_1|^{1+\alpha}} \geq c \frac{r}{|y_1 - x_1|^{1+\alpha}}.
\]
Hence,
\[
\mathbb{P}^x((X(t \wedge \tau_{B(x,r)}) \in B(y, 2r)) \geq \mathbb{E}^x \frac{r}{|y_1 - x_1|^{1+\alpha}} \mathbb{P}^x(\tau_{B(x,r)} \geq t).
\]

\[\square\]

Lemma 5.4. There is \(t_0 > 0\), \(t_0 = t_0(d, \alpha, b_1, b_2, b_3, \beta)\), such that for \(0 < t \leq t_0\), \(x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d\) satisfying \(\max_{2 \leq i \leq d} |x_i - y_i| \leq 2t^{1/\alpha}\) we have
\[
p^A(t, x, y) \geq c \prod_{i=1}^d g_t(x_i - y_i).
\]
Proof. We pick \( t_0 > 0 \) corresponding to \( a = 12 \) in Lemma 5.1. Due to the near diagonal estimate \( (78) \), it is enough to consider \( |x_1 - y_1| \geq 12t^{1/\alpha} \) and \( \max_{2 \leq i \leq d} |x_i - y_i| \leq 2t^{1/\alpha} \). Applying Lemma 5.3 with \( r = 2t^{1/\alpha} \), we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
p^A(t, x, y) &\geq \int_{B(y, 4r)} p^A(t_1, x, z)p^A(t_2, z, y)dz \\
&\geq \inf_{z \in B(y, 4r)} p^A(t_2, z, y)^{\mathbb{P}^z}((X(t_1) \in B(y, 4r)) \\
&\geq c \inf_{z \in B(y, 4r)} p^A(t_2, z, y) \frac{rt_1}{|y_1 - x_1|^{1+\alpha}} \mathbb{P}^z(\tau_{B(z, r)} \geq t_1) \\
&\times \inf_z \mathbb{P}^z(\tau_{B(z, 2r)} > t_1),
\end{align*}
\]

(79)

where \( t_i > 0 \) with \( t_1 + t_2 = t \).

Now, due to Lemma 5.2 we can pick \( 0 < \lambda < 1 \), independently of \( t \), such that

\[
\inf_z \mathbb{P}^z(\tau_{B(z, r)} \geq \lambda t) \geq 1/2.
\]

Moreover, we can select \( \lambda \) so small that \( 8 \leq 12(1 - \lambda)^{1/\alpha} \). Then for \( |z - y|_\infty \leq 4r = 8t^{1/\alpha} \leq 12((1 - \lambda)t)^{1/\alpha} \), by Lemma 5.4, we have

\[
p^A((1 - \lambda)t, z, y) \geq ct^{-d/\alpha}.
\]

Taking \( t_1 = \lambda t, t_2 = (1 - \lambda)t \) and applying (79) we arrive at

\[
p^A(t, x, y) \geq ct^{-(d-1)/\alpha} \frac{t}{|y_1 - x_1|^{1+\alpha}} \geq c \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_t(x_i - y_i).
\]

\[\square\]

Proof of the lower bound estimates in Theorem 17 (iii). For a natural \( k \leq d-1 \) we define

\[V_k(t) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}; \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} |x_i - y_i| \geq t^{1/\alpha} \text{ and } \max_{k+1 \leq i \leq d} |x_i - y_i| \leq t^{1/\alpha}\}.\]

We set

\[V_0(t) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}; \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |x_i - y_i| \leq t^{1/\alpha}\}\]

and

\[V_d(t) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}; \min_{1 \leq i \leq d} |x_i - y_i| \geq t^{1/\alpha}\}.\]

By a reenumeration argument it is enough to prove the corresponding lower bound on \( V_k(t) \), \( k = 0, \ldots, d \). At first, we assume that \( t \leq t_0 \), where \( t_0 \) was found in Lemma 5.4. We have already proved the lower bound on \( V_0(t) \) and \( V_1(t) \). We show how to extend it to \( V_2(t) \).

Thus, we consider the case \( |x_1 - y_1| \geq t^{1/\alpha}, |x_2 - y_2| \geq t^{1/\alpha} \) and \( \max_{3 \leq i \leq d} |x_i - y_i| \leq t^{1/\alpha} \).

Let \( x' = (y_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d) \). If \( z \in B(x', 4t^{1/\alpha}/4) \) then \( |x_1 - z_1| \geq (3/4)t^{1/\alpha}, \max_{2 \leq i \leq d} |x_i - z_i| \leq 2t^{1/\alpha} \) and \( |y_2 - z_2| \geq (3/4)t^{1/\alpha}, \max_{i \neq 2} |y_i - z_i| \leq 2t^{1/\alpha} \). Hence, by Lemma 5.4

\[
p^A(t, x, z) \geq ct^{-(d-1)/\alpha} \frac{t}{|z_1 - x_1|^{1+\alpha}} \geq ct^{-(d-1)/\alpha} \frac{t}{|y_1 - x_1|^{1+\alpha}},
\]

\[
p^A(t, z, y) \geq ct^{-(d-1)/\alpha} \frac{t}{|z_2 - y_2|^{1+\alpha}} \geq ct^{-(d-1)/\alpha} \frac{t}{|y_2 - x_2|^{1+\alpha}}.
\]
Finally,
\[
p^A(t, x, y) \geq \int_{B(x, t^{1/\alpha}/4)} p^A(t, x, z)p^A(t, z, y)dz
\]
\[
\geq ct^{-(d-1)/\alpha} \frac{t}{|y_1 - x_1|^{1+\alpha}} \cdot \ldots \cdot \frac{t}{|y_k - x_k|^{1+\alpha}} \int_{B(x', t^{1/\alpha}/4)} dz
\]
\[
\geq c \prod_{i=1}^{d} g_{2t}(x_i - y_i).
\]
This concludes the proof of the lower bound on $V_2(t)$. In a similar fashion, by induction argument, we show that, if $(x, y) \in V_k(t)$ and $t \leq t_0$, then
\[
p^A(t, x, y) \geq c \prod_{i=1}^{d} g(t(x_i - y_i)) \geq c p_0(t, x - y),
\]
which ends the proof for the case $t \leq t_0$. If $t > t_0$ then we can write $t = nt_0 + s$, with $s < t_0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then by already proved lower bound
\[
p^A(t, x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \ldots \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p^A(t_0, x, z_1) \ldots p^A(t_0, z_n, z_{n+1})p^A(s, z_{n+1}, y)dz_1 \ldots dz_{n+1}
\]
\[
\geq c^{n+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \ldots \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_0(t_0, x - z_1) \ldots p_0(t_0, z_n - z_{n+1})p_0(s, z_{n+1} - y)dz_1 \ldots dz_{n+1}
\]
\[
= c^{n+1} p_0(t, x - y).
\]
The proof is completed. \(\square\)

**proof of Theorem 1.1 (v).** The assertion follows from Proposition 3.15 and the lower bound estimate in Theorem 1.1 (iii). \(\square\)
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