Proteomic sample preparation for blast wound characterization
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Abstract

Background: Blast wounds often involve diverse tissue types and require substantial time and treatment for appropriate healing. Some of these subsequent wounds become colonized with bacteria requiring a better understanding of how the host responds to these bacteria and what proteomic factors contribute wound healing outcome. In addition, using reliable and effective proteomic sample preparation procedures can lead to novel biomarkers for improved diagnosis and therapy.

Results: To address this need, suitable sample preparation for 2-D DIGE proteomic characterization of wound effluent and serum samples from combat-wounded patients was investigated. Initial evaluation of crude effluent and serum proved the necessity of high abundant protein depletion. Subsequently, both samples were successfully depleted using Agilent Multiple Affinity Removal system and showed greatly improved 2-D spot maps, comprising 1,800 and 1,200 protein spots, respectively.

Conclusion: High abundant protein removal was necessary for both wound effluent and serum. This is the first study to show a successful method for high abundant protein depletion from wound effluent which is compatible with downstream 2-D DIGE analysis. This development allows for improved biomarker discovery in wound effluent and serum samples.
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Introduction

Blast- and other combat wounds continue to be difficult to treat due to the complex interplay between the patient's response to injury and the local wound environment [1-4]. A better understanding of the host systemic response to the injury and microbial colonization as well as the local wound microenvironment is essential in order to better identify a biomarker panel of predictors for wound healing or dehiscence [1]. Serum and effluent are both ideal biological samples for studying host proteins because they are representative of the current state of healing and the severity of microbial colonization. Serum is considered the most informative sample type for describing a patient's current state of disease and systemic inflammatory response, because it contains a combination of all the differentiated sub-proteomes around the body [5]. Similarly, wound effluent is also considered a highly revealing biological fluid, because it directly reflects the wound site's microenvironment which displays the damaged tissue's current mechanisms of repair [6]. Because both sample types are easily obtained through minimally invasive procedures, they are ideal candidates for identification of biomarkers that can discriminate between stages of healing or microbial infection.

Techniques for biomarker discovery are constantly evolving to improve sensitivity and accuracy. Suitable preparation of the sample is critical for obtaining reliable and consistent results for proteomic analysis [7,8]. In addition, samples directly obtained from blood (serum) or containing portions of blood proteins (effluent) present significant analytical challenges for analyzing the full dynamic range of the complex proteome. The difficulties are derived from the vast concentration differences, from serum albumin ~45 mg/ml to lower abundant proteins as...
low as 1–10 pg/ml and all concentrations in between [5].

Current understanding is that biomarkers will originate from cellular interactions into blood and lymph or secretions from the affected tissue and will, therefore, be present in much lower concentrations than high abundant originating blood proteins [5]. Proteins with larger abundance mask those that are less abundant and need to be removed in order to analyze the lower abundant proteins to evaluate their usefulness as candidate biomarkers [9].

It is well established that serum gel-based proteomic analysis is greatly improved after removing high abundant proteins, but is the same true for wound effluent? Typically wound effluent consists of plasma, red and white blood cells, platelets, inflammatory proteins, enzymes and growth factors. Only a few research groups have performed 2-D-gel proteomics directly on wound effluent fluid and studied different types of wounds (chronic wound healing [6], leg ulcer wounds [10], snake venom damaged tissue [11]), each of which leads to a different combination of proteins comprising the fluid. However, effluent proteome of military combat blast wounds has yet to be analyzed or characterized. A consistently successful method for high abundant protein removal is Agilent’s Multiple Affinity Removal System [12-16], which is designed for blood plasma/serum and it has been successfully tested on other biological fluids, such as urine [16], CSF [17]. The Multiple Affinity Removal Column, nonetheless, has not been tested for effectiveness or compatibility on wound effluent. It is designed to specifically remove six high abundant proteins (albumin, IgA, IgG, antitrypsin, transferrin and haptoglobin) that comprise 85-90% of the total serum protein content, which results in an expected increase of loading capacity for lower abundant proteins by up to ten-fold [12]. Other methods for removing high abundant proteins exist including some that remove higher numbers of proteins, such as CaptureSelect (14 proteins) [18], IgY microbeads (12 proteins) [19], and MARS Hu-14 (14 proteins) and Proteoprep (20 proteins) [13]. However, we used the top-6 removal for wound effluent which provided a set of putative biomarkers for wound healing [20]. Several proteins found in that study would have been removed using these higher number abundant removal techniques.

In this study, we aim to (1) evaluate the proteome of crude wound effluent to determine whether effluent proteomic analysis would benefit by removal of high abundant proteins and (2) present a successful depletion method for serum and wound effluent that is compatible with improved downstream 2-D DIGE analysis.

Materials and methods
Sample collection
The study methodology is as reported elsewhere [1,3] and is reiterated here for completeness. In brief, serial effluent and serum samples were collected in an observational study with prospective data collection in accordance with the institutional review board of the Walter Reed National Military Medical Center (Bethesda, MD). All patients were evacuated to the National Capital Area from Iraq and Afghanistan that had sustained high-energy penetrating injuries to one or more extremities and were without confounding co-morbid conditions, such as immune disorders, connective tissue disorders, or any conditions requiring immunosuppressive agents, were eligible for inclusion. Surgical debridement, lavage, and negative-pressure wound therapy (NPWT) were repeated every 48–72 hours until surgical wound closure or coverage at the discretion of the attending surgeon and in accordance with current institutional standards of practice.

Wound effluent and serum samples were collected 2 hours following the first surgical debridement and over a 12-hour period prior to each subsequent wound debridement. Wound effluent samples (≥30 mL) were collected using the NPWT canister (without gel pack; Kinetic Concepts, Inc., San Antonio, TX). For serum samples, whole blood was collected in red top tubes and allowed to clot at room temperature for 30 min. Both samples were centrifuged at 2500 x g for 10 minutes to remove particulate matter and emboli. Effluent supernatants and serum were transferred to individually labeled polypropylene tubes, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C until analysis.

High abundant protein removal
Depletion of high abundant proteins was performed according to manufacturer’s instructions (Agilent Technologies). Briefly, human effluent or serum was diluted five times in Buffer A (40 μl sample and 160 μl of buffer, 200 μl total volume) and spun through a 0.22 micron spin filter tube (Millipore) at 16,000 x g for 5 min to remove particulates. Then effluent or serum was processed using 4.6 x 100 mm Multiple Affinity Removal Column Human-6 (Agilent Technologies), which specifically removes albumin, IgA, IgG, antitrypsin, transferrin and haptoglobin. A low abundant protein fraction was collected for each sample. Fractions were concentrated by precipitating with an equal volume of 20% trichloroacetic acid solution and incubated at 4°C for 30 min. Precipitate was spun down and washed twice with cold 100% acetone, allowed to air dry and then resuspended in DIGE labeling buffer (7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 4% CHAPS, 30 mM Tris, pH 8.5). Protein quantification was performed using Precision Red Advanced Protein Assay Reagent (Cytoskeleton).

SDS-PAGE
Crude and high abundant protein depleted effluent or serum samples (5 μg) were appropriately mixed with 5X sample loading buffer (0.2 M Tris pH 6.8, 20% glycerol, 10% SDS, 5% BME), boiled for 10 min at 100°C and
resolved on a 4-20% Tris-Glycine gel (Invitrogen). The gel was stained for total protein using Sypro Ruby Protein Gel Stain (Invitrogen, S-12000) and visualized with UVP's BioChemi system (UVP BioImaging Systems).

2-D DIGE
Crude and high abundant protein depleted effluent and serum samples were separated in 2 dimensions according to GE Life Sciences Ettan DIGE system protocol. Briefly, each sample (50 μg) was minimally labeled with 1 μl of 200 pM Cy2, Cy3 or Cy5 for 30 min. Labeling reactions were stopped by the addition of 1 μl of 1 mM lysine. The samples were pooled together and added to rehydration buffer (7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 4% CHAPS, 1.2% DeStreak, 1% pharmalytes). A final volume of 450 μl sample was loaded onto 24 cm pH3-10NL Immobiline DryStrips (GE Life Sciences) and focused by active overnight rehydration, followed by isoelectric focusing for a total of 62,500 Vhrs. Strips were equilibrated in SDS equilibration buffer (6 M urea, 30% glycerol, 2% SDS) for 15 min with 10 mg/ml DTT, then 15 min in fresh buffer with 25 mg/ml 15 min with IAA, then applied to DIGE gels (GE Life Sciences) for 2nd dimension separation. The resulting CyDye labeled protein gels were scanned using 100 micron resolution on Typhoon 9410 (GE Life Sciences).

Image analysis
Data analysis was carried out using DeCyder 2-D 7.0 software (GE Life Sciences). Spot detection and abundance quantification was performed using the differential in-gel analysis (DIA) module of DeCyder.

Results
The key objective of this study was to evaluate the crude wound effluent proteome and identify a suitable sample preparation method for both wound effluent and serum for 2-D DIGE blast wound characterization, the approach is summarized in Figure 1. Crude serum is regularly subjected to high abundant protein removal prior to being analyzed.

![Figure 1 Experimental design](http://www.proteomesci.com/content/12/1/10)
by two dimensional electrophoresis [12,15,21,22], however there is little known about wound effluent proteomic sample preparation. Crude effluent and serum were independently subjected to high abundant protein removal using Agilent Human top-6 column according to manufacturer's instructions. Removal efficacy was evaluated by SDS-PAGE and 2-D DIGE by comparing crude sample to after high abundant protein removal.

**Effluent and serum SDS-PAGE analysis after high abundant protein removal**

Initial evaluation of crude effluent (Figure 2, lanes 2 and 5) and serum (Figure 2, lanes 8 and 11) by SDS-PAGE displays effluent to have a very similar overall banding pattern when compared to serum. They both show the characteristic large, wide albumin band which clearly verifies the necessity of high abundant protein removal for both sample types. Corresponding low abundant fractions of effluent (Figure 2, lanes 3 and 6) and serum (Figure 2, lanes 9 and 12) show a much improved overall distribution of the proteomic bands. The high abundant protein fractions for effluent (Figure 2, lanes 4 and 7) and serum (Figure 2, lanes 10 and 13) illustrate removal of the targeted six high abundant proteins (albumin, IgG, IgA, transferrin, haptoglobin and antitrypsin). Additional detected bands in the high abundant protein fraction not positioned at one of the full kDa size proteins have been previously shown to be oligomers or fragments of one of these six proteins [15].

2-D DIGE analysis of effluent and serum after high abundant protein removal

Crude effluent and high abundant depleted effluent (50 μg each) were individually labeled with Cy3 and Cy5, respectively, and separated in two dimensions, the resulting 2-D gel images are shown in Figure 3. Overlay (Figure 3A) of crude effluent (Cy3/green) and high abundant depleted effluent (Cy5/red) clearly demonstrates the specific removal of high abundant protein spots (primarily green spots), especially in the higher molecular weight section of the gel. High abundant depleted effluent enables the appearance of numerous lower abundant proteins (red spots) that are now detectable. Individual spot maps were analyzed by DeCyder resulting in 1000 protein spots detected in crude effluent (Figure 3B) and 1600 protein spots in high abundant depleted effluent (Figure 3C). Our data validates that crude wound effluent can be successfully depleted of high abundant proteins using Agilent’s Multiple Affinity Column (human top-6) and provide increased detection of lower abundant proteins by 2-D DIGE.

To evaluate the use of 2-D DIGE for detection of differential proteins in effluent after removal of high abundant proteins, three separate patient effluent samples were similarly depleted of high abundant proteins, labeled with Cy2, Cy3 and Cy5 and subsequently assessed by 2-D DIGE, the resulting gel images are shown in Figure 4. Figure 4A shows the overlay (Cy2/blue, Cy3/green, Cy5/red) of the three patient effluent samples and clearly displays the improved distribution of protein spots across the
spot map, as well as the large variety in expression patterns for various proteins. Figure 4B-D presents the corresponding spot maps for each individual patient effluent sample. A maximum of 1800 protein spots were detected, red dots mark the center of each spot detected by DeCyder. When comparing to the 1000 total spots in crude effluent, high abundant protein removal significantly increases the overall number of detected protein spots thus increasing the number of potential proteins that can be assessed for biomarker discovery.

Similarly, serum after high abundant protein removal from three patients was evaluated by 2-D DIGE, the gel image is shown in Figure 5A-D. DeCyder analysis detected 1200 total protein spots, which is a similar to or exceeds other reports of greater than 1000 2-D gel spots [9,12,14,15,23,24].

**Discussion**

A biomarker panel for wound effluent and serum from blast wound injured patients will help uncover the host mechanisms of systemic wound healing and response to microbial colonization. However, no single proteomic technique exists that can view all regions of the proteome simultaneously for these types of wide dynamic range biological fluids. Therefore, pre-fractionation or depletion is a sensible way for analyzing specific
sections of the proteome that may reveal proteins of interest. This study focuses on (1) evaluating the necessity of high abundant protein removal for wound effluent and (2) developing an effective 2-D DIGE sample preparation method for investigating lower abundant proteins in wound effluent and serum.

Our data clearly demonstrates the similarity of wound effluent high/low abundant protein proportions to that of serum (Figures 2,3). In addition, we have successfully applied the Multiple Affinity Removal Column (human top-6) for depletion of wound effluent high abundant proteins which greatly improved the 2-D DIGE spot map (Figures 3,4). Our results indicate that this depletion method can be used for both wound effluent and serum reproducibly and reliably for the detection of differential proteins. Not only does this result in a dramatic increase in the visualization and resolution of lower abundant protein spots, but it also increases the probability of mass spectrometry identification of differential low abundant proteins of interest from 2-D DIGE gels.

Other techniques for high abundant protein removal exist and could be used to provide additional useful results for finding differential proteins. The decision to choose a particular affinity chromatography column will depend on what proteins might be problematic for the subsequent proteomic analysis and whether potential proteins of interest would be removed. Columns that remove more than the top-6 proteins are able to deplete additional abundant proteins, which could increase the number of low abundance proteins for subsequent proteomics analysis. For example, one study used three removal techniques on human plasma and found that all three gave complementary and overlapping, but different results [13]. Since this report is the first to describe the high abundant protein removal from wound effluent, it would be of value to include different removal techniques in subsequent studies to complement the results found here. Another important determination for which high abundant protein removal technique to use involves the decision to use affinity column chromatography or affinity bead capture. Each technique has benefits, but chromatography provides exceptionally low sample to sample variability [25], specifically by relying on the use of a chromatography
system that can reduce potential sample preparation errors. Not only should additional affinity depletion techniques be used to study wound effluent, but other types of high abundant protein removal, such as the use of hydrogel particles [26] should provide benefits forwards biomarker discovery.

Our data provides the necessary method development to study the host proteome response to blast wound injury both systemically (serum) and locally (effluent) using the 2-D DIGE platform. This approach should allow for the detection and development of novel biomarker panels beyond those that are currently commercially available which offers the potential to improve clinical care.
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