INTRODUCTION

Prevention and treatment of bleeding are common clinical scenarios encountered across a broad range of medical specialties for which hematologists are often consulted. Although for specific scenarios such as treatment of bleeding in patients with trauma, post-partum bleeding, and prevention of bleeding in patients using antithrombotic therapy, evidence-based guidelines exist, it is unclear what the value of these protocols is in other settings. For example, the CRASH-2 and WOMAN trials on the use of tranexamic acid (TXA) in massive bleeding in trauma or post-partum hemorrhage may have led to the assumption that TXA should be part of (massive) bleeding protocols in general. However, TXA was ineffective and caused harm in the HALT-IT trial on gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding, and was ineffective in the ULTRA trial on patients with subarachnoid hemorrhage. Also, although blood components such as fresh frozen plasma (FFP), platelet concentrates, and coagulation factor concentrates are frequently used in prevention and treatment of bleeding, their efficacy and safety are still under investigation.
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Abstract

Patients with cirrhosis frequently have complex alterations in their hemostatic system. Although routine diagnostic tests of hemostasis in cirrhosis (platelet count, prothrombin time, fibrinogen level) are suggestive of a bleeding tendency, it is now widely accepted that these tests do not reflect hemostatic competence in this population. Rather, patients with cirrhosis appear to have a rebalanced hemostatic system with hypercoagulable elements. Therefore, routine correction of hemostasis laboratory values, for example by fresh frozen plasma or platelet concentrates, with the aim to avoid spontaneous or procedure-related bleeding is not indicated as is outlined in recent clinical guidance documents. However, little guidance on how to manage patients with cirrhosis that are actively bleeding is available. Here we present three common bleeding scenarios, variceal bleeding, post-procedural bleeding and bleeding in a critically ill cirrhosis patient, with specific management suggestions. As patients with cirrhosis generally have adequate hemostatic competence and as bleeding complications may be unrelated to hemostatic failure, prohemostatic therapy is not the first line of management in bleeding patients with cirrhosis, even in the presence of markedly abnormal platelet counts and/or prothrombin times. We provide a rationale for the restrictive approach to prohemostatic therapy in bleeding patients with cirrhosis.
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bleeding, there is very little evidence these treatments are effective.\textsuperscript{8-10} Hematologists are frequently consulted for prevention or treatment of bleeding in patients with cirrhosis. Importantly, many bleeding complications are unrelated to hemostatic failure, but are a consequence of portal hypertension or related to inadvertent vessel lacerations, for example during invasive procedures (Figure 1). Nevertheless, hemostatic interventions to prevent or treat bleeding complications that are unrelated to hemostatic failure are commonly administered, for example when using a general massive bleeding protocol in variceal bleeding.\textsuperscript{11} Also, prophylactic prohemostatic therapy is frequently administered in patients with liver disease prior to procedures with a very low bleeding risk such as paracentesis, diagnostic endoscopy, or placement of a central venous catheter.\textsuperscript{12} In clinical practice, the clinician performing the procedure will often

**FIGURE 1** Common sources of bleeding in patients with cirrhosis. Many bleeding events are due to spontaneous mechanical sources such as ruptured esophageal varices while others are related to related to trauma to blood vessels and tissues, often related to medical interventions. The minority of bleeding events are purely due to the hemostatic failure of end stage liver disease. Figure adapted from Northup, et al.\textsuperscript{17} Used with permission.
mandate prohemostatic therapy because of abnormalities in routine diagnostic tests of hemostasis.

The common practice to administer prohemostatic therapy in prophylactic or therapeutic settings in patients with cirrhosis is driven by the combination of frequent clinical bleeding and frequent abnormalities in routine diagnostic tests of hemostasis. These laboratory abnormalities include thrombocytopenia, prolongations in the prothrombin time (PT) and activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT), and, in the sickest patients, low fibrinogen levels. These laboratory abnormalities are frequently interpreted as indicative of a bleeding tendency, but extensive laboratory studies have demonstrated that a hemostatic balance is generally maintained in patients with liver disease.\textsuperscript{13,14} Maintenance of the hemostatic balance in patients with liver disease is a consequence of simultaneous changes in pro- and antihemostatic pathways, which are not reflected in routine diagnostic tests of hemostasis. The hemostatic profile of patients with liver disease even has prothrombotic components, which may explain why patients with liver disease are at increased risk for development of thrombotic events.\textsuperscript{15}

The concept of rebalanced hemostasis in patients with liver disease has, to some extent, led to changes in prophylactic hemostatic management. For example, in patients undergoing liver transplant surgery many centers have changed from a protocol driven pre-operative correction of thrombocytopenia and a prolonged PT, to an on-demand strategy in which abnormal laboratory values are accepted and prohemostatic therapy is only given when intraoperative bleeding complications occur.\textsuperscript{16} Similarly, prophylactic correction of abnormal laboratory values is discouraged for other invasive procedures by societal guidance documents.\textsuperscript{17} Nevertheless, implementation of a much more restrictive approach to prophylactic prohemostatic therapy is not universal due to the lack of randomized trial evidence, and a continued belief that abnormal laboratory tests require correction.

Although there is extensive literature describing expert opinion on best practices for prophylactic prohemostatic therapy in patients with cirrhosis, there is very little literature on how to manage active bleeding in these patients. Given that bleeding in patients with cirrhosis may not be directly related to hemostatic failure and hemostatic balance in patients with cirrhosis is generally maintained despite thrombocytopenia and prolongations in the PT and APTT, strategies to manage active bleeding in these patients are not straightforward and may not necessarily involve prohemostatic interventions. Here, we will present three cases of bleeding complications in patients with cirrhosis with considerations on how to best manage these bleeds.

\textbf{2 | CASE 1: ACUTE UPPER GI BLEEDING}

A 56-year-old man with a history of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease-related cirrhosis presents to the emergency department with acute onset hematemesis and melena which began earlier in the day. He has never had bleeding before but routine upper endoscopy 3 months ago showed large esophageal varices (EV) which were electively band ligated endoscopically but the varices were not completely eradicated. There is no history of ascites or hepatic encephalopathy and the patient is not on anticoagulant or antiplatelet agents. On presentation, he is hypotensive but awake. Initial laboratory testing shows hemoglobin 6.2 g/dl, total bilirubin 2.8 mg/dl, albumin 3.0 g/dl, PT 28 s, international normalized ratio (INR) 2.4, creatinine 1.9 mg/dl, platelet count 39 000/µl, fibrinogen 100 mg/dl. The patient is Child Taurcote Pugh (CTP) class B and the model for end stage liver disease (MELD) score is 26.

This patient presents with a common complication of cirrhosis, acute large volume upper GI bleeding. The most likely source of the bleeding in this patient is from ruptured EV although peptic ulcer disease and other non-portal hypertension related sources are not uncommon in this population. Acute esophageal variceal bleeding (EVB) occurs at a rate of 10%–15% per year in patients with portal hypertension and cirrhosis\textsuperscript{18} and is often the initial decompensating event in the course of progressive portal hypertension. Multiple factors are associated with increased risk for rupture of EV including measures of progressive liver disease, increasing size of the varices, and the appearance of wall thinning of the vessel when viewed endoscopically ("red wale marks") but fundamentally they all relate to the effects of increased pressure in the portal venous system.\textsuperscript{19} It is this increased pressure within the maximally distended varices that leads to rupture and hemorrhage, thus EVB is primarily a mechanical or pressure-related hemorrhagic event and not directly based on hemostatic failure. Data showing that patients with cirrhosis actively taking anticoagulants at the time of EVB have similar adjusted rebleeding and survival outcomes compared to those not taking these medications\textsuperscript{20} and the lack of benefit of recombinant factor VIIa (rVIIa) infusion in EVB\textsuperscript{21,22} both support the argument that hemostatic failure is not a major contributor to variceal bleeding or outcome. ‘If an alternate source of bleeding is discovered, the contribution of hemostatic failure remains uncertain; the principles further discussed below remain relevant.’

Urgent diagnostic and potentially therapeutic endoscopic evaluation is needed in this patient and improved outcomes are demonstrated when performed in less than 12 h after presentation. There are extensive evidence-based management recommendations for acute variceal bleeding that are beyond the scope of this document.\textsuperscript{19,23,24} Endoscopic and pharmacologic therapies are the mainstays of treatment for this life-threatening disorder and are well described elsewhere.\textsuperscript{19} However, this patient will be admitted to an intensive care unit and requires resuscitation prior to endoscopic or other procedural therapy. This stage of patient care suffers from less evidence-based recommendations. During this stage, the requirement for packed red blood cells (PRBC) raises the question whether addition of FFP and platelet concentrates are also required given the elevated INR and decreased platelet count in this patient.

Data from randomized controlled trials support a lower threshold of 7 g/dl for hemoglobin values when transfusing patients with cirrhosis and acute GI bleeding with PRBC. In a prospective randomized controlled trial of 921 patients (271 with cirrhosis) with severe GI bleeding, those assigned to transfusion using a lower threshold...
of 7 g/dl versus those assigned to 9 g/dl had improved 6-week survival and less recurrent bleeding.25 The group assigned to the higher threshold also had significantly increased portal pressure within the first 5 days of hospitalization compared to the group with the lower threshold. This increase in portal pressures is contradictory to the aim of reducing overall portal pressures in the resuscitation of these patients and was likely a significant contributor to rebleeding rates in the patients undergoing more transfusions. This phenomenon of increasing portal hypertension with volume expansion has also been shown in experimental models with blood transfusion and crystalloid infusion.26 Care should be taken in this population to avoid aggressive volume overexpansion not only with PRBC but also with crystalloid and FFP in order to avoid paradoxical increase in portal hypertension and rebleeding risk.

Once hemodynamic and oxygen carrying capacity is stabilized, correction of components of the hemostatic system can be considered. As mentioned above, at steady state in cirrhosis, there is a rebalance in all phases of hemostasis which is marked by compensatory changes in both the prohemostatic and antihemostatic systems. However, this hemostatic rebalance may be unpredictably disturbed during critical illness especially in patients with acute-on-chronic liver failure (ACLF).27 Excluding liver transplantation, there are very sparse data on specific changes in hemostasis in non-steady state circumstances such as acute bleeding. One study published only in abstract form demonstrated stable thrombin generating potential in cirrhosis patients and was likely a significant contributor to rebleeding rates in cirrhosis patients.36 This increase in portal pressures is contradictory to the aim of reducing overall portal pressures in the resuscitation of these patients and was likely a significant contributor to rebleeding rates in the patients undergoing more transfusions. This phenomenon of increasing portal hypertension with volume expansion has also been shown in experimental models with blood transfusion and crystalloid infusion.26 Care should be taken in this population to avoid aggressive volume overexpansion not only with PRBC but also with crystalloid and FFP in order to avoid paradoxical increase in portal hypertension and rebleeding risk.

This patient’s fibrinogen level is low and this is a common finding in critically ill patients with cirrhosis. In one study of 211 patients with cirrhosis admitted to an intensive care unit, 47% had a fibrinogen <200 mg/dl and 16% <100 mg/dl. In this study low values of fibrinogen were independently associated with increased bleeding risk but most bleeding events were portal hypertension related.37 The low fibrinogen in this case is most likely a reflection of severity of liver disease and protein synthetic dysfunction and may not be causally related to bleeding. A retrospective study of 237 critically ill patients with cirrhosis showed no independent association of low fibrinogen levels with mortality or bleeding events and concluded that low fibrinogen levels were a reflection of disease severity not independent of other cirrhosis severity measures such as MELD or ACLF scores.38 This study also showed no bleeding or survival benefit with cryoprecipitate transfusion administered either prophylactically or in actively bleeding patients. As mentioned above, in a randomized controlled trial, TXA in the treatment of acute GI bleeding in patients with cirrhosis was associated with an increased risk of venous thromboembolic events and showed no efficacy in the treatment of bleeding. Thus, its use in this setting cannot be recommended.6

3 | CASE 2: DENTAL EXTRACTIONS AND RISK OF BLEEDING

A 60-year-old woman with decompensated cirrhosis related to chronic hepatitis C infection is under evaluation for liver transplantation. She has extensive dental caries and prior to transplantation needs multiple tooth extractions. She has no history of excessive spontaneous or surgical bleeding and tolerated dental work prior to onset of her cirrhosis without complications. She has CTP C cirrhosis, MELD 24, with ascites and intermittent hepatic encephalopathy. Her PT is 23 s, INR is 1.9, and platelet count 41 000/µl. Following multidisciplinary discussion, the dental extractions are performed without hemostatic support. There is no intra-operative excess bleeding. However, she returns the following day with persistent oozing from an open socket.

Dental decay is a potential source of infection post liver transplantation. Formal dental evaluation (and extractions where
Procedural bleeding risk can be classified as high or low based on the estimated incidence of major bleeding and its potential consequence. By definition, a low bleeding risk procedure carries a risk of major bleeding of <1.5% or where bleeding can be easily controlled with local measures. Conversely, high risk is defined as major bleeding risk of ≥1.5% and/or where bleeding (in its event) would be difficult to control (e.g. non compressible site) and/or of significant consequence (e.g. intracerebral bleeding).\(^{41}\) Observational cohorts report bleeding rates following simple dental extraction of 2.9% – 6% in patients with chronic liver disease.\(^{42-44}\) All bleeds were successfully controlled with local interventions with no patients requiring hospitalization. Hemoglobin values were not reported in this study but it is unlikely these bleeds met criteria for major bleeding. Dental extractions should therefore be considered low bleeding risk procedures. Similarly, whilst the incidence of bleeding post dental extraction is higher than that reported in patients without liver disease (estimated at 1%), it is comparable to that reported in patients on anticoagulation, where a higher bleeding risk of 4% – 9% is accepted.\(^{45-47}\)

As a personal history of previous bleeding may be associated with a higher bleeding risk, further details should be sought to establish context. For example, prior procedural bleeding will be more relevant than a prior history of variceal bleed (as this is secondary to portal hypertension rather than hemostatic impairment as discussed above). Renal impairment is associated with higher post paracentesis bleeding but not specifically reported in relation to dental extractions.\(^{48}\) The use of anticoagulants and antiplatelet agents are associated with increased risk of bleeding but are not relevant to this case.\(^{49}\)

Whilst INR and thrombocytopenia may indicate an increased bleeding risk in the absence of cirrhosis, as discussed above, neither have been consistently demonstrated to predict procedural bleeding in patients with cirrhosis. One series described a small observational cohort (n = 23 patients, 84 dental extractions over 35 procedures), including patients with platelet count >30 000/µl (range 31-160) and INR < 3 (range 0.98-2.5). One postoperative bleed (2.9%) was observed despite a platelet count of <50 000/µl in 34% of procedures and INR > 2 in 9%. All patients were managed with post procedural gauze compression.\(^{50}\)

Dental procedures should be performed in settings with access to local hemostatic measures including socket packing and suturing.\(^{50}\) Topical TXA (soaked gauze/mouthwash) are advocated by some but there is no specific evidence of reduced bleeding.\(^{45,49}\) All patients should be given general advice to minimize delayed bleeding and when to seek further dental /medical attention.

There are few studies investigating systemic therapy to reduce bleeding in dental extractions. A small study randomized patients with cirrhosis and platelet count 30 – 50 000/µl and/or INR 2–3, to either intranasal desmopressin vs FFP and/or platelet transfusion prior to dental extraction.\(^ {50}\) Of 36 patients randomized and receiving the planned intervention, there was no significant difference in bleeding between groups (with a single bleed in the transfusion arm) but the study was underpowered to show a difference between treatments and should thus be interpreted cautiously. A further study investigating laboratory parameters in patients with cirrhosis who received DDAVP infusion found no effect on platelet adhesion, further questioning this approach.\(^ {51}\)

Thrombopoietin receptor agonists (TPO-RA), avatrombopag and lusutrombopag are now licensed for use with chronic liver disease and thrombocytopenia in the periprocedural setting. The study design of the seminal trials in preprocedural thrombocytopenia in patients with cirrhosis (ADAPT, L-PLUS-2) focused on achieving a prespecified platelet threshold rather than direct impact on bleeding incidence. Therefore, their role in reducing periprocedural bleeding remains uncertain.\(^ {52,53}\) A subsequent meta-analysis reported a 35% relative risk reduction in periprocedural bleeding.\(^ {54}\) These agents may thus be useful in patients with marked thrombocytopenia (<30 000/µl) or with additional bleeding risk factors.

The low bleeding rate, limited consequence of delayed bleeding and uncertain benefit of the above treatments in prevention of bleeding currently favors not utilizing these interventions in routine practice. At presentation with bleeding in our case, the initial management should focus on local hemostatic control with gauze compression, packing and/or sutures. This is usually successful in controlling bleeding. Antifibrinolytics (topical soaked gauze, mouthwash or oral) may be considered, although specific evidence of efficacy in this setting is lacking.\(^ {45,49,55}\)

4 | CASE 3: DIFFUSE BLEEDING IN A CRITICALLY ILL CIRRHOSIS PATIENT

A 68-year-old woman with primary biliary cholangitis and decompensated cirrhosis is admitted to the intensive care unit with gram-negative septic shock related to spontaneous bacterial peritonitis. She is intubated and on mechanical ventilation and delirious. She has rapidly progressive acute kidney injury and was recently placed on continuous renal replacement therapy. The right internal jugular dialysis line has been steadily oozing and soaking through the dressings with blood. She also has a large subcutaneous hematoma in the left lower quadrant at the site of a paracentesis performed 48 h ago. Her hemoglobin levels have been steadily dropping each day and she has needed several blood transfusions over the past week. Her platelet count is 36 000/µl, fibrinogen 77 mg/dl, PT 28 s, and INR 3.2. The bleeding has not responded to platelet or cryoprecipitate transfusion administered by the critical care team prior to our consultation. The critical care team is requesting advice on the potential source of her continuous low level bleeding and any therapies that might offer benefit.

The transition from an acutely decompensated state to ACLF requiring organ support, is accompanied by a further decline in platelet count and fibrinogen levels, and a further increase in the PT/INR.\(^ {56}\) Whilst global hemostasis assays demonstrate rebalanced hemostasis with hypercoagulable features in patients with acute

necessary) are therefore recommended as part of the liver transplantation work up.\(^ {39}\) The prevalence of periodontal disease in this group is reported to be as high as 75%.\(^ {40}\)

There are few studies investigating systemic therapy to reduce bleeding in dental extractions. A small study randomized patients with cirrhosis and platelet count 30–50 000/µl and/or INR 2–3, to either intranasal desmopressin vs FFP and/or platelet transfusion prior to dental extraction.\(^ {50}\) Of 36 patients randomized and
decompensation, in ACLF there is broad individual variation with evidence of both hypo- and hypercoagulable characteristics and variable fibrinolytic activity.\(^{56,57}\) Sepsis, acute kidney injury and procedural intervention predispose to bleeding and are common in patients with cirrhosis.\(^{58-60}\) Importantly, over a third of admissions to critical care units are precipitated by sepsis.\(^{37}\) AKI is present in up to 20% of those hospitalized with decompensation and 75% in those requiring critical care support.\(^{37,61}\) The incidence of major bleeding in ACLF was reported as 17% in a single center cohort (\(n = 211\)).\(^{37}\) Comprehensive laboratory evaluation of 80 patients with decompensated liver disease with/without AKI (predominantly ward based care) demonstrated both hypo- and hypercoagulable features, with greater platelet dysfunction, lower factor XIII and increased fibrinolysis, along with further hypercoagulable features and hypofibrinolytic features.\(^{59,62}\) These factors likely contribute to instability of an already delicately rebalanced coagulation status in this patient. Renal replacement therapy may contribute to rebalancing hemostasis by optimization of fluid balance and improving platelet function, although there are no studies investigating this.

Further derangement of routine coagulation parameters seen in ACLF resembles that of disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC). However, the defining characteristics of DIC are of systemic coagulation activation with intravascular fibrin formation leading to small/medium vessel thrombosis and organ dysfunction rather than hepatic synthetic failure and endothelial activation of ACLF. The diagnosis of DIC relies on the use of a scoring system in the presence of a recognized associated condition. This is problematic in liver disease as all components of the DIC score are frequently abnormal in ACLF (Table 1). In the aforementioned critically ill cohort of patients with cirrhosis (\(n = 211\)), 63% of patients met the laboratory diagnostic criteria for DIC.\(^{37}\) Whilst the DIC score was significantly associated with an increased risk of major bleeding, marked thrombocytopenia (platelets < 30 000/\(\mu\)l) and hypofibrinogenemia (<60 mg/dl) were stronger predictors of bleeding (along with aPTT > 100 s). Hypofibrinogenemia is the least common feature of DIC,\(^{64}\) but is prevalent in critically ill patients with advanced liver disease. The need and/or benefit of correcting it is not well established. Hyperfibrinolysis is a further diagnosis to consider; this is extensively described during liver transplantation secondary to endothelial release of tissue plasminogen activator (t-PA).\(^{65}\) Whether it is a defined feature of ACLF remains uncertain; recent studies utilizing plasma-based clot lysis assays have demonstrated shortened clot lysis times with increasing disease severity, but with wide inter-individual variation.\(^{57}\) In this cohort, sepsis appeared to be associated with hypofibrinolysis, and bleeding events were not associated with shortened clot lysis times.

The overlapping features of these diagnoses are highlighted in (Table 1). There are no specific established therapies for bleeding in ACLF and the cornerstone of treatment is to address the underlying cause, in this case sepsis and associated AKI. The role of continued hemostatic support is uncertain, conventional supportive targets for bleeding patients with DIC are unlikely to be appropriate in this patient group given the specified thresholds for platelet count (<50 000/\(\mu\)l), PT (<1.5x normal) and fibrinogen (<1.5 g/L) may be ‘supranormal’ compared to the individual’s baseline coagulation parameters. Alternate replacement therapy such as PCC and fibrinogen concentrates should be reserved for those with volume overload where FFP/cryoprecipitate transfusion is not possible (although there is no evidence to support their use in this setting). Outside GI bleeding (HALT-IT), there are no specific studies of antifibrinolytics in ACLF and bleeding. However, antifibrinolytics are suggested as a rescue therapy for controlling bleeding post procedurally.\(^{55,66}\)

For this patient, the mainstay of therapy is management of sepsis and AKI. Whilst there is no evidence, a trial of antifibrinolytics is warranted (with prompt cessation when bleeding stops). If this fails, low dose fibrinogen concentrate (for example 1 g as a single dose) may be appropriate, particularly if fluid balance is challenging. This should not be continued to achieve a specific fibrinogen target but emphasis should be on bleeding control. Given the low yield and questionable efficacy of platelet concentrates in this setting,

### Table 1 Routine laboratory findings in ACLF, acquired hyperfibrinolysis and disseminated intravascular coagulation

| Blood film | ACLF | Acquired hyperfibrinolysis | Disseminated intravascular coagulation |
|------------|------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Platelet count | ↓↓↓↓ | ↔ | ↓↓↓↓ |
| Prothrombin time | ↑↑↑ | ↑↑ | ↔↑↑ |
| APTT | ↔↑↑ | ↑↑ | ↔↑↑ |
| Fibrinogen | ↓↓↓↓ | ↓↓↓ | ↓↓↔ |
| D-dimer | ↑↑↑ | ↑↑ | ↑↑ |
| Viscoelastic tests (not routinely performed) | Often normal May have prolonged clotting times, reduced amplitude (particularly on functional fibrinogen assay) | Increased clot lysis – but often normal | Prolonged clotting times and reduced amplitude |

Abbreviations: ↑, mildly increased; ↑↑, markedly increased. Bold indicates most frequent finding; ↓, mildly reduced; ↓↓, markedly reduced; ↔, normal; ACLF, acute on chronic liver failure; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; DIC, disseminated intravascular coagulation.
platelet concentrates are not recommended. Similarly, as large volumes of FFP are required for a meaningful reduction of the PT/INR, its questionable efficacy, and the risk of volume overload resulting in a paradoxical increased bleeding risk, FFP is not recommended.

5 | SUMMARY

Here we have highlighted three common scenarios of active bleeding in patients with cirrhosis. In variceal bleeding, a common complication of advanced cirrhosis, prohemostatic therapy is not indicated and control of bleeding should be achieved by local measures combined with pharmacological intervention to reduce portal pressure. FFP and platelet concentrates may do harm and may exacerbate bleeding by increasing portal pressure. TXA should not be given based on the HALT-IT trial. Post-procedural bleeding is uncommon and frequently unrelated to hemostatic failure. Therefore, recent guidance statements have argued against prophylactic correction of hemostasis parameters. In those cases with postprocedural bleeding, local measures may be sufficient and again prohemostatic therapy is not indicated despite thrombocytopenia and a prolonged PT/INR as illustrated in our case of bleeding subsequent to dental extraction. Finally, active bleeding in critically ill patients with cirrhosis may be managed by addressing underlying causes for bleeding such as infection and renal failure. The role of prohemostatic therapy in this setting is uncertain, and we favor an individualized approach in patients with intractable bleeding.

In summary, in patients with cirrhosis who are actively bleeding, strategies to improve the platelet count or a prolonged PT/INR are frequently not indicated (Figure 2). This seemingly counterintuitive strategy of restrictive use of blood components in patients with cirrhosis does require formal evaluation in clinical studies.
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