Insulin-like growth factor (IgF)-I, IgF binding protein-3, and prostate cancer: correlation with gleason score
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Non-androgenic growth factors are involved in the growth regulation of prostate cancer (PCa).

Objective: This is the first Brazilian study to correlate, in a population of patients operated for PCa, PSA, total testosterone, insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) and insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-3 (IGFBP-3) with Gleason score and to compare with a control group with benign prostate hyperplasia (BPH).

Materials and Methods: This retrospective single-center study included 49 men with previously diagnosed PCa and 45 with previously diagnosed BPH. PSA, testosterone, IGF-I, IGFBP-3 were determined in both groups.

Results: PSA and IGFBP-3 levels were significantly higher in the PCa group compared to the BPH group (p<0.001 and p=0.004, respectively). There was a significant difference when we compared the PSA before surgery (p<0.001) and at the inclusion in the study (p<0.001) and IGFBP3 (0.016) among patients with Gleason <7, ≥7 and BPH. In the PCa group, PSA, testosterone, IGF-I and IGFBP-3 levels were comparable between Gleason <7 and ≥7. Conclusions: Our data suggest that in localized PCa, the quantification of PSA and not of IGF-1, may provide independent significant information in the aggressiveness. IGFBP-3 could be a biochemical marker of disease control in PCa patients.
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INTRODUCTION

After non-melanoma skin cancer, prostate cancer (PCa) represents the most frequently diagnosed cancer in adult men with an annual incidence of approximately 50 cases per 100,000 men (1). Androgens are considered the primary growth factors for prostate epithelial cells. However, other non-androgenic growth factors are involved in the growth regulation of PCa cells (2). Insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) is a potent mitogen for normal and cancerous cells and exerts the mitogenic action by increasing DNA synthesis and by stimulating the cell cycle progression (3). In addition, IGF-I also inhibits apoptosis (4). IGF-I has direct mitogenic and anti-apoptotic
effects on normal and transformed prostate epithelial cells and has been implicated in the pathogenesis of PCa (5, 6). The interaction between IGF-I and IGF-IR is regulated by the IGF-binding proteins (IGFBPs). Of the circulating binding proteins, IGFBP-3 is the most abundant one (7). At tissue level, IGFBP-3 regulates the mitogenic activity and inhibits the anti-apoptotic effect of IGF-I (8). Furthermore, IGFBP-3 has been linked to induction of apoptosis (9).

Several epidemiologic studies have suggested that high-normal serum IGF-I levels may be concordant with a higher risk of PCa (5, 10). It was observed a 38% increased odds of prostate cancer risk comparing highest vs. lowest quintiles of IGF-I (11). The largest systematic review of studies reporting on the association of IGF-I with the risk of PCa was published in 2009 (12). It included both retrospective and prospective studies (n=42 studies) and demonstrated that the published literature is consistent with an average 21% increase risk of PCa per standard deviation increase in IGF-I. They also showed a stronger association of IGF-I with more aggressive and advanced cancers. The probable inverse association between IGFBP-3 and PCa risk was only seen in retrospective, but not prospective studies.

A possible explanation for variability in normal IGF-I levels was proposed. Johansson et al. hypothesized that genetic variation in the 3′ region of the IGF-I gene influences levels of circulating IGF-I and, therefore, PCa risk (13).

The prognosis of PCa is associated with Gleason score of the surgical specimen. It is considered a good prognosis if a Gleason score up to six (14). In 2005, the International Society of Urological Pathology (ISUP) modified the Gleason score system in order to get an accurate Gleason grade (15).

To date, in the Brazilian population, there is no study that correlates serum levels of IGF-I and IGFBP-3 with Gleason score in surgical specimens.

This study evaluated, in a population of patients operated for PCa, serum PSA, testosterone, IGF-I and IGFBP3 levels and correlated with Gleason score of the surgical specimens. All findings were compared with a control group with benign prostate hyperplasia (BPH).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

This retrospective single-center study included 49 men with previously diagnosed PCa and 45 men with previously diagnosed BPH, recruited from the outpatient urology clinic. All PCa patients were submitted to radical prostatectomy from January 2007 to April 2010 and time elapsed between surgical intervention and inclusion in the study varied from 3–48 months. On the basis of the histological grade obtained at surgery, PCa patients were divided into two groups: Gleason score < 7 and Gleason score ≥ 7. This information was obtained from patient’s records. The evaluation of prostatectomies specimens was done using the current grading system. All BPH patients were submitted to transurethral or suprapubic prostate resection at least five years before the inclusion in the study and presented with stable PSA values and digital rectal examination of the prostate without changes.

Reasons for ineligibility included patients with hepatic failure, uncontrolled diabetes mellitus, hypo or hyperthyroidism, GH deficiency, acromegaly, malnutrition and diseases that could interfere in serum levels of IGF-I and IGFBP-3. All subjects entered the study after obtaining written informed consent according to a protocol approved by the Ethics Committee.

Clinical parameters

All patients had the following data collected: age, preoperative serum PSA levels and type of surgery. For patients with PCa, Gleason score and complementary treatment were also documented.

Hormone assays

Serum IGF-I, IGFBP-3 and total testosterone levels were determined by chemiluminescence immunometric assays. Total PSA serum levels were measured by electrochemiluminescence assay before surgery - PSA, and at the inclusion in the study - PSA2.

The low detection limit of IGF-I measured by Immulite 2000 kit DPC is 20 ng/mL and the
Intra and inter-assay CVs are 3.6 and 6.6%, respectively. The standards are calibrated against the first International Reference Reagent WHO 87/518 and IGF-I was expressed in mass units and age-related standard deviation scores (SD-scores). Group 0: -2 SD to zero and group 1: zero to +2 SD.

All serum samples were collected in the early morning after an eight-hour fasting period.

**Statistical analysis**

Analyses were performed by SPSS (version 16.0, SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). In the descriptive analysis, the categorical variables were expressed by their percentages and frequencies, whereas the numerical variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). The Mann-Whitney test was performed for comparison between two groups and the Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare the numerical variables among three groups. Comparisons between categorical variables were done by ² test. The correlations between numeric variables were studied using the Spearman’s correlation test. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered significant, except for comparisons between two of the three groups (PCa with Gleason <7, PCa with Gleason ≥7 and BPH), when p-values <0.017 were considered significant (Bonferroni post hoc analysis).

**RESULTS**

**Patients’ characteristics**

Ninety-four men entered this study: 49 (65.5±6.2 years-old, median 65) with previously diagnosed histologically confirmed prostate adenocarcinoma and 45 (66.8±8.0 years-old, median 67) with histologically confirmed BPH, without the finding of intraepithelial neoplasia. Clinical, laboratorial and pathological characteristics of the PCa and BPH cases are described in Table-1.

Considering the PCa patients, at the time of inclusion in the study, 28 had been submitted only to surgery, 10 had been submitted to surgery and radiotherapy and 11 had been submitted to surgery, radiotherapy and hormone therapy.

**Comparison between PCa and BPH patients: Serum markers**

Serum PSA (both before surgery and at the inclusion in the study) and IGFBP-3 levels were significantly higher in the PCa group as compared to the BPH group (p<0.001; p<0.001 and p=0.004, respectively) (Figures 1 and 2). Age, serum testosterone and IGF-I levels did not significantly differ between the two groups (Table-1). Categorizing IGF-I in SD-scores there was no statistically significant difference between the PCa and BPH groups.

A negative correlation was observed between PSA (both before surgery and at the inclusion in the study) and testosterone levels (r=-0.36,

|                | PCa patients | BPH patients | p    |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|------|
| n              | 49           | 45           |      |
| Age, years     | 65.5±6.2     | 66.8±8.0     | 0.500|
| PSA₁, ng/mL    | 8.7±4.1      | 3.6±1.7      | <0.001|
| PSA₂, ng/mL    | 0.2±0.4      | 3.4±1.0      | <0.001|
| Testosterone, ng/dL | 446.1±161.5 | 542.3±144.3 | 0.756|
| IGF-I, ng/mL   | 126.8±42.0   | 126.7±40.8   | 0.976|
| IGFBP3, ng/mL  | 3.5±0.8      | 3.0±0.8      | 0.004|
| Gleason <7     | 26           | -            | -    |
| Gleason ≥7     | 23           | -            | -    |

Note: PSA₁= PSA before surgery; PSA₂= PSA at the inclusion in the study
p=0.014 and r=-0.43, p=0.005, respectively). A positive correlation was observed between IGFBP3 and testosterone levels (r=0.39, p=0.008).

Age, serum markers and the Gleason score

In the PCa group, serum PSA, testosterone, IGF-I and IGFBP-3 levels were comparable between Gleason score <7 and Gleason ≥7 cases (Table-2). Categorizing IGF-I in SD-scores (group 0: -2 SD to zero and group 1: zero to +2 SD) there was no statistically significant difference between patients with Gleason score <7 and Gleason ≥7. There was a significant difference when we compared the PSA levels before surgery (p<0.001) and at the inclusion in the study (p<0.001) and IGFBP3 levels (0.016) among patients with PCa Gleason <7, PCa Gleason ≥7 and BPH. PSA levels (both before surgery and at the inclusion in the study) were higher in patients with PCa Gleason <7 and PCa Gleason ≥7 (p<0.001, both) than in those with

![Figure 1 - Serum PSA levels (before surgery) in patients with prostatic hyperplasia and prostate cancer. Statistical significance was determined by Mann–Whitney’s test.](image1)

![Figure 2 - Serum IGFBP-3 levels (at the inclusion in the study) in patients with prostatic hyperplasia and prostate cancer. Statistical significance was determined by Mann–Whitney’s test.](image2)

| Table 2 - Clinical and laboratorial characteristics of PCa cases according to Gleason score. |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----|
|                                | Gleason < 7 | Gleason ≥7    | p   |
| n                               | 26           | 23            | -   |
| Age, years                      | 65.0±6.9     | 66.0±5.2      | 0.452|
| PSA₁, ng/mL                     | 7.9±3.4      | 9.6±4.8       | 0.288|
| PSA₂, ng/mL                     | 0.2±0.4      | 0.3±0.4       | 0.368|
| Testosterone,ng/dL              | 418.1±121.1  | 477.7±195.6   | 0.326|
| IGF-I, ng/mL                    | 130.0±38.0   | 123.1±46.7    | 0.316|
| IGFBP3, ng/mL                   | 3.4±0.8      | 3.5±0.8       | 0.833|

| PSA₁ = PSA before surgery; PSA₂ = PSA at the inclusion in the study |
BPH. IGFBP3 levels were higher in patients with Gleason ≥7 (p<0.016) than in those with BPH. There was no difference in PSA (both before surgery and at the inclusion in the study) and IGFBP3 levels between patients with PCa Gleason <7 and ≥7. There was no difference in IGFBP3 levels between patients with PCa Gleason <7 and BPH.

A negative correlation was observed between PSA at the inclusion in the study and testosterone levels (r=-0.30, p=0.04).

DISCUSSION

This study analyzed and compared the serum levels of PSA, IGF-I and IGFBP-3 as possible markers of PCa, according to the aggressiveness of the tumor in terms of Gleason score. For this reason, we selected a population of previously diagnosed PCa, all our tumor cases had been submitted to radical prostatectomy. As a control group, we included patients with previously diagnosed BPH, all of them submitted to transurethral or suprapubic prostate resection at least five years before the inclusion in the study and with stable disease. We consider that this group of BPH patients represents a reliable sample of patients without PCa.

In our population, only serum PSA and IGFBP-3 levels, not IGF-I, were significantly higher in the PCa group than in the BPH group. A possible explanation for this was that the IGF-I was assessed only after surgery. Marszalek et al. and Sciarra et al. (16, 17) did not find significant variations in IGF-I levels between PCa and control cases. Differently to our study, they did not evaluate IGFBP-3 levels and Marszalek et al. (16) did not have the possibility to analyze the results in terms of the Gleason score of the surgical specimens. Since IGFBP-3 is a substrate for PSA, by proteolytically cleavage (18), PCa patients with undetectable PSA levels are expected to have higher IGFBP-3 levels. The inverse correlation between PSA and IGFBP-3 could make IGFBP-3 a possible biochemical marker of disease control in PCa patients.

There is a known variability in IGF and gene sequencing among race groups that can influence disease incidence (19). Brazil has a heterogeneous population that can limit these results. Recently, Schumacher et al. (20) conducted a comprehensive analysis, utilizing a resequencing and tagging single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP). They have identified a novel IGF-I SNP, not associated with IGF-I blood levels, which showed preliminary evidence for association with PCa risk among Caucasians.

In this study, serum PSA, testosterone, IGF-I and IGFBP-3 levels were comparable between PCa cases with Gleason score <7 and Gleason ≥7. These findings are consistent with previous studies that demonstrated no significant variations in PSA and IGF-I according to the tumor Gleason score (16–18). As in our population, Ismail et al. (21) also found no significant difference in IGFBP-3 levels between patients with Gleason score <7 and Gleason ≥7. The negative findings of our study are in agreement with other series suggesting that IGF-I serum levels provide no significant information considering aggressiveness of PCa. Moreover, it differs from epidemiological studies that also showed a stronger association of IGF-I with more aggressive and advanced cancers (12).

CONCLUSIONS

This was the first Brazilian study that correlated serum levels of IGF-I and IGFBP-3 with Gleason score in surgical specimens.

Our data suggest that in clinically localized PCa, the quantification of PSA and, not of IGF-I, may provide independent significant information in the aggressiveness of PCa. IGFBP-3 could be a biochemical marker of disease control in PCa patients.
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