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ABSTRACT

Introduction Improving healthcare for all is one of the global health priorities, particularly in disease burdened settings such as sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). Considering the high penetration rate of mobile phones in SSA, mHealth could be used to achieve universal health coverage. The proposed study will map evidence on the availability and use of mHealth for disease diagnosis and treatment support by health workers in SSA.

Methods and analysis This review will be guided by Arksey and O’Malley’s scoping review framework and Levac et al’s recommendations and guidelines from the Joanna Briggs Institute. A scoping review will be conducted to explore what is known about mHealth for disease diagnosis and treatment support by health workers in SSA and to identify areas for future research. In addition to searching the grey literature, the following databases will be explored from PubMed, MEDLINE and CINAHL with full text via EBSCOhost and ScienceDirect databases. A search in Google Scholar will be considered as an additional information source. The literature search will involve published studies from 2000 to 2020 in any language. This review will cover mHealth for disease diagnosis and treatment support by health workers in SSA. The primary investigator will conduct the title screening, and subsequently, two reviewers will independently conduct abstract and full article screening and data extraction. The results of this proposed review will be presented using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis: Extension for Scoping Review guidelines.

Ethics and dissemination Ethical approval is not required for the scoping review, which is the first phase in a PhD study in public health on accessing mHealth for disease diagnosis and treatment support by health workers in Ghana. The final review will be submitted for publications to a scientific journal, and our results will be presented at appropriate conferences.

BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

The mass availability and use of mobile health (mHealth) technology provide a significant potential for such technologies to be integrated into clinical services to support quality medical care.1 WHO, through its global observatory report defined mHealth as ‘medical and public health practice support by mobile devices like mobile phones, smartphones, tablets, patients monitoring devices, personal digital assistants and other wireless devices’.2 In 2015, it was estimated that 52% of smartphone users gather health-related information like a medical problem, nutrition, depression, among others on their mobile phones.3 In sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), mobile phone availability, and utilisation by the population at the end of 2017 was 41% and is forecast to reach 52% by 2025.4 mHealth technologies and applications are available and being utilised for screening diseases, medication adherence, follow-ups, appointment reminders and many others.5,6 Given the large availability and utilisation of mobile phones, mHealth technologies and applications could be explored to supplement the provision of healthcare services in SSA.

Research has shown that the use of mHealth can result in some of the following health benefits: first, mHealth has the potential to

Strengths and limitations of this study

► The scoping review will use a well-established, rigorous scoping review methodology with a comprehensive strategy.
► The literature search will be comprehensive, including electronic databases with peer-reviewed literature and grey literature sources, including governmental as well as non-governmental websites.
► In this scoping review study, language and study design limits will be removed.
► The review will be limited to studies published from 2000 to 2020.
► This review study was also limited to studies conducted within sub-Saharan Africa, which may lead to missing other relevant articles.
improve the provision of quality healthcare by enhancing treatment, empowering patients, reducing medical cost and streamline the use of health resources. mHealth in the form of text messages and voice calls given to patients could help healthcare workers to monitor patients’ health conditions remotely and assist them in complying with treatment procedures. It could save both patients and health providers’ time, reduce patients’ costs and improve doctor–patient relationships through regular interactions. mHealth applications like reference apps, diagnostic apps and others could help healthcare workers to be more proactive in addressing their patients’ health conditions. mHealth could also assist patients to receive healthcare services in real time to prevent late detection of diseases, improves poor clinical outcomes and several others. mHealth promotes maternal and child health, and routine immunisation. It also encourages proper self-chronic disease management and the general wellness of patients.

Although a lot has been published on the potential benefits of mHealth technologies and applications in SSA, the uptake of mHealth has been faced with several challenges and barriers. Prominent among the challenges is the inadequate Information and Communication technology (ICT) trained healthcare professionals who could effectively use mHealth applications. Research has shown that a significant population of people in countries within SSA are illiterate and are poor digitally. Other studies have demonstrated that the small size of the mobile phone screen, the quality of image, poor network connection in transmitting data and weak legislation are some of the challenges affecting mHealth in SSA. In addition, other challenges of mHealth applications in SSA are technical, financial and infrastructural barriers, data security and the accuracy of mHealth diagnostic tools. Similar implementational challenges are technology usability, sustainable funding, learning environment, the culture of information use and cost-effectiveness.

Sustainable Development Goal 3.8 target has emphasised the importance of accessing quality, safe, effective and affordable universal health for all. To achieve this goal, mHealth interventions could be adopted to support universal healthcare provision in all settings despite some of these implementational challenges and barriers. mHealth interventions could be used by frontline health workers to provide healthcare to patients living in hard-to-reach communities with insufficient or no healthcare facilities. Studies have demonstrated that mHealth has contributed to achieving Universal Health Coverage in both resource-poor settings and resource-rich settings. People living in resource-poor settings in SSA may not have access to quality healthcare because of bad roads, inadequate health facilities and inadequately skilled workers, among others. To this end, mHealth could be adopted by health workers to support healthcare delivery in such communities since it can reach many more people faster than the traditional way of controlling diseases.

Previous reviews focused on mHealth for data collection, reminders, health education, communication, disease surveillance, medication adherence, exchange of patients’ data between health workers or between patients and their health providers and effectiveness of using mHealth applications in SSA. A review on the availability and use of mHealth for disease diagnosis and treatment would be valuable towards improving access to healthcare services, especially in this era of COVID-19. Despite this, the available evidence illustrates that no previous review has been conducted focusing on mHealth applications for disease diagnosis by health workers in SSA. Therefore, this current review will aim to map existing evidence on the availability and use of mHealth for disease diagnosis and treatment support by health workers in SSA.

METHODS

Protocol design

We will carry out a scoping review of evidence on the availability and use of mHealth for disease diagnosis and treatment support by health workers in SSA under the guidance of Arksey and O’Malley’s framework and the 2015 Joanna Briggs Institute guidelines. A five-step structure from Arksey and O’Malley includes the following:

1. Identify the research question.
2. Identifying relevant studies.
3. Selection of studies.
4. Data charting.
5. Collating, summarising and reporting the results.

This scoping review will be conducted following guidelines from the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis: Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR, figure 1). This protocol has been reported according to the guidelines provided by Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P, online supplemental additional file 1).

Step 1: identify the research question

The research question of interest is: What evidence exists on the availability and use of mHealth for disease diagnosis and treatment support by health workers in SSA?

The subresearch questions are as follows:

1. What evidence exists on the availability of mHealth for disease diagnosis and treatment support by health workers in SSA?
2. What evidence exists on the use of mHealth for disease diagnosis and treatment support by health workers in SSA?

The Population, Concept and Context framework developed by Joanna Briggs Institute has been used to determine the eligibility of the research question for our scoping review (table 1).

Step 2: identifying relevant studies

For the identification of relevant articles, an electronic database search will be carried out using advanced search
from the University of KwaZulu-Natal Library Service for selecting relevant databases for this study and with keywords searches. WHO website and the departments of health websites will also be searched thoroughly for relevant literature. Reference lists of all included articles will also be searched for relevant articles. Keywords for searching the literature will be: ‘mHealth technology’, ‘disease’, ‘diagnosis’, ‘treatment’, ‘support’ and ‘sub-Saharan Africa’. Boolean terms (AND, OR) will be used to separate the keywords. Medical Subject Headings terms will also be used during our electronic search for relevant articles.

We have carried out a pilot search in PubMed to show the feasibility of conducting the proposed scoping review method (table 2).

Inclusion criteria

We will include the following:
1. Studies involving healthcare workers using mHealth.
2. Articles presenting findings on mHealth interventions such as text message, voice calls, mobile apps and multimedia messaging among others.
3. Articles that report findings on the availability of mHealth for disease diagnosis.
4. Articles that present findings on the availability of mHealth for treatment support.
5. Articles presenting findings on the use of mHealth for disease diagnosis.
6. Articles reporting findings on the use of mHealth for treatment support.
7. Articles that present findings on mHealth from SSA.
8. Primary research studies on qualitative, quantitative, mixed-method, randomised controlled trials and non-randomised controlled trials, and grey literature.
9. All articles published from 2000 to 2020 in any language.

Table 1 Determining the eligibility of the research question

| Determinants | Description |
|--------------|-------------|
| **Population** | Healthcare workers — this includes all the various categories of trained health workers such as physicians, nurses, community health workers, pharmacists/dispensing technicians, biomedical scientists/laboratory technicians, radiologists, physiotherapists, occupational therapists, speech therapists, disease control officers and others working in healthcare facilities within SSA. These are the group of professionals who have been given the requisite skills and training in providing healthcare services to the public. Some of these healthcare professionals have been given additional skills on how to use mHealth applications to render quality healthcare services to their clients. |
| **Concept** | Disease diagnosis and treatment support
Disease diagnosis — the use of mHealth applications to assist in identifying the nature of an illness or any other problem by examining the symptoms. These mHealth applications could help in screening patients’ conditions or cases to detect any form of diseases, disorders or injuries.
Treatment support — the use of mHealth applications to assist patients in treating and managing their conditions in terms of medication adherence, appointment reminders, follow-ups, communication, health monitoring, prevention and others without travelling to the health facility. |
| **Context** | Availability and use in SSA
Availability — is the state of being able to access, use and obtain mHealth applications on a demand to perform the required functions such as disease screening and diagnosis, treatment and medication adherence, follow-ups, maternal and child health, appointment reminders and others.
Use — the process of employing mHealth applications to accomplish tasks such as diagnoses and screening of diseases, treatment and management of conditions of patients. |

mHealth, mobile health; SSA, sub-Saharan Africa.
Exclusion criteria
The following will be excluded:
1. Studies involving patients using mHealth applications.
2. Articles reporting findings on eHealth applications such as medical health records, personal health records and many others.
3. Articles that report findings on mHealth for health education.
4. Articles reporting findings on mHealth for data collection without diagnosis and treatment support of conditions.
5. Articles that present findings on mHealth for communication without disease diagnosis and treatment support of conditions.
6. Articles reporting findings on mHealth outside SSA.
7. Review articles.

Step 3: selection of studies
Following database searches for relevant articles, the principal investigator, EO, will carry out a comprehensive study title screening. All eligible study titles will be exported to an Endnote X9 library purposely created for this scoping review. All identified duplicates will be deleted before sharing the Endnote library with the review team. Two trained reviewers (EO and DK) will independently conduct abstract screening in parallel using the screening tool, which will be designed with guidance from the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The review team will discuss discrepancies between the two reviewers’ responses at the abstract screening stage until a consensus is reached. Two reviewers (EO and DK) will perform the full-article screening using the eligibility criteria guided tool for the selected relevant articles. A third reviewer (TPM-T) will be contacted to resolve discrepancies in reviewers’ responses following full-article screening. The library services at the University of KwaZulu-Natal will be requested to support our study search strategy to help retrieve full articles that were not accessible in the databases, as mentioned earlier. The screening results will then be reported using the PRISMA flow diagram.27

Step 4: data charting
A data charting form will be used to extract all the relevant data from the included articles (Box 1).

The data extraction form will be validated by two reviewers using at least the first five articles for consistency. We will update and modify the data extraction form throughout the study. Two reviewers (EO and DK) will independently conduct the data extraction in parallel. The standard bibliographical information (ie, authors, title and year of publication), geographical setting, study setting, study design and aim of the study will be reported. For each of the included primary studies, information on the target population, type of technology,
type of mHealth intervention, the purpose of mHealth, disease diagnosis, treatment support, key findings, most significant findings, conclusions and notes will be tallied. NVivo V.12 software package will assist us in conducting thematic content analysis\(^\text{39}\) from the relevant outcomes of the included articles.

Quality appraisal of studies
The electronic version of the mixed-method appraisal tool (MMAT) V.2018\(^\text{29}\) will be adopted to assess the quality of the included primary studies. The MMAT will be used for quality appraisal and describes the quality of the methodology for qualitative, quantitative and mixed-method studies. In this quality appraisal, we will examine the aim of each study, clarity of the research question, appropriate methodology, study design, relevant data sources, proper sampling technique, suitable data collection procedures and participant recruitments. Others include representativeness of population, the suitability of statistical analysis of data, appropriateness of data interpretation, authors’ acknowledgement of potential biases, presentation of findings, discussions and the authors’ conclusions of all the included primary studies. A quality appraisal will be conducted to understand the strengths, weaknesses, potential for bias in clinical research as well as the quality of research evidence which will be presented from each of the included primary studies. Generally, the quality of all the selected studies will be calculated and rated using the MMAT guidelines for the low quality of 25%, the average for 50%, above average for 75% and the high average for 100%.

Step 5: collating, summarizing and reporting the results
This study’s main aim is to map available evidence and summarise the findings as reported across all the included articles. We will conduct a thematic content analysis\(^\text{39}\) with the support of NVivo V.12 of the included studies. The review team will carefully analyse the emerging themes and relate them to our research question. The reviewers will also analyse all the implications on the significant findings with regards to the research question and stimulate future research in SSA. We will present a narrative account of all our results according to the themes.

DISCUSSION
This scoping review will map evidence on existing literature on the availability and use of mHealth for disease diagnosis and treatment support by health workers in SSA. The WHO, through its global observatory 2016 report, indicated mHealth as one of the new emerging technologies that could help achieve universal health for all.\(^\text{30}\) According to WHO, mHealth services like short message reminders and phone calls can easily be made available to remote populations and resource-limited settings by providing mechanisms for the exchange of data between patients and service providers.\(^\text{30}\) Research has also shown that mHealth can help increase access to healthcare and the provision of healthcare in communities with limited infrastructure to support the internet or traditional healthcare services.\(^\text{2 30 31}\) Providing healthcare through mobile communication is reported to be cheaper than supplying in-person healthcare services.\(^\text{30}\) Recent studies demonstrated that mHealth services helped patients, especially those in hard-to-reach communities stick to treatment procedures, appointment adherence and many others.\(^\text{6 32}\) Considering these benefits of mHealth, there is the need to map evidence on the availability and use of mHealth for disease diagnosis and treatment support by health workers in SSA.

This scoping review will be limited to articles presenting findings from SSA because of the similar health challenges. Our study will not cover articles outside of SSA because they have different health targets and problems. The study will exclude articles presenting findings on mHealth used by patients because we want to examine the impact of mHealth usage by health workers to support healthcare delivery. Also, this study will exclude articles presenting findings on mHealth for communication in terms of health promotion campaigns or community mobilisation to raise awareness of target groups. Again, our proposed research will exclude articles presenting findings on mHealth for providing medical education to health workers on professional development. This study will cover articles that offer evidence published from 2000 to 2020 to obtain current information on the reports of mHealth applications. The findings of this scoping review study will be published in a peer-reviewed journal.

Patient and public involvement
No patient and the public will be involved in our study design, conducting and dissemination of the results of the scoping review.

CONCLUSION
This article provides a scoping review protocol with a comprehensive and detailed methodology. The review includes both peer-reviewed articles and grey literature, which will contribute to research on mHealth for disease diagnosis and treatment support by health workers in SSA. This scoping review will provide existing evidence on the availability and use of mHealth by health workers for disease diagnosis and treatment support in SSA. The results of this proposed study will reveal gaps in the literature, influence policymakers, contribute to existing knowledge and improve healthcare delivery in SSA.

This scoping review is a part of a large study aimed at examining the accessibility of mHealth for disease diagnosis and treatment support by health workers in Ghana.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION
This scoping review methodology requires collecting, reviewing and synthesising materials from all available publications; no ethical approval will be required. The
final review will be published in a scientific journal. The results of this review will be presented at appropriate conferences and workshops.
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