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ABSTRACT

Background: Bio-psychosocial condition during gestational period, such as maternal nutritional status, stress, education, and family income, may have significant impact on fetal growth and development. The purpose of this study was to determine relationship between bio-psychosocial factors during gestational period and birthweight, and the relationship between stimulation and development in children aged 1 to 3 years.

Subjects and Method: This was an analytic and observational study with case control design. A sample of 120 children aged 1 to 3 years was selected by fixed disease sampling with case: control ratio= 1:2. The exogenous variables were gestational maternal education and current maternal education. The endogenous variables were gestational family income, gestational maternal stress, current family income, maternal nutritional status, birthweight, stimulation, and child development. The data were collected by a set of questionnaire and analyzed by path analysis on STATA 13.

Results: Birthweight ≥ 2.500 g (b= 0.96; 95% CI = –0.15 to 1.94; p=0.054) and stimulation (b= 0.43; 95% CI= –0.30 to 0.89; p=0.067) had direct positive effect on child development. Gestational maternal education, family income, maternal stress, maternal nutritional status, had indirect effect on child development through birthweight. Maternal education and family income had indirect effect on child development through stimulation.

Conclusion: Birthweight ≥2,500 g and stimulation have direct positive effect on child development.
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BACKGROUND

Poor conditions during pregnancy, such as nutritional deficiencies during pregnancy, maternal stress, insufficient exercise, and inadequate prenatal care, can cause fetal development that is not optimal. Poor fetal development is a risk for health in later life (Wilkinson and Marmot, 2003 in Murti 2011).

Development is the increased ability (skills) in the structure and function of the body that are more complex in a regular pattern and can be predicted as a result of the maturation process (Soetiarningsih and Gde Ranuh, 2014).

The quality of a child’s future is determined by the optimal development and growth of the child. So that detection, stimulation, and intervention of various growth and development deviations are carried out early. The motor skills and intelligence of each child is different. Good motor development in children will make the children more able to adapt and adjust to the school environment. This adaptive ability encourages children to be more able
to make friends with others while doing activities. Normal motor development allows children to play or hang out with their peers. Meanwhile, abnormal motor development will prevent a child from being able to get along with his/her peers even he/she will be isolated or become a marginalized child (Marmi and Rahardjo, 2012).

According to Adriana (2013) factors that influence growth and development in children are internal factors, external factors (prenatal factors, labor factors, postpartum factors). Prenatal factors include nutrition, mechanics, toxins, endokrin, radiation, psychological. While postpartum factors include nutrition, psychological, social environment, stimulation.

Based on the results of interviews with midwife coordinator of the city health center, she said that monitoring of child development was not implemented because they were more focused on monitoring growth. As a result, there were no data reports on the problem of child development in Salatiga City. Researcher chose the working area of the Tegalrejo and Sidorejo Kidul Health Center in Salatiga City as a place of study by looking at the data on the problem of high malnutrition status in the region.

Children under three years (toddlers) are very energetic and active, full of boundless energy, enthusiastic and curious. Although the speed of growth slows down during this stage, important developmental changes are formed. Improved motor skills allow toddlers to move on their own, explore and test their environment. The rapid development of speech and language has a role in improving thinking and learning abilities that are more complex (Allen and Marotz, 2010).

Many questions about child development that have not been answered until now. For example, what actually drives the process of biological, cognitive development, and how things that happen in infancy affect childhood.

By looking at the problems of child development and the study on factors related to child development explained by using a bivariate or multivariate analysis model but not analyzed in stages to explain the direct and indirect effects related to child development, the author conducted a study entitled "Path Analysis on the Relationship Between Bio-psychosocial Factors During Gestational Period and Birthweight, Stimulation and Development in Children Aged 1 to 3 Years in Salatiga.”

**SUBJECT AND METHOD**

1. **Study design**
   This was an analytic and observational study with case control design, carried out from 4 October to 2 November 2016 in Salatiga, Central Java.

2. **Population and sample**
   The population of the study sources were mothers and toddlers in the working area of Sidorejo Kidul and Tegalrejo Health Center, Salatiga City. A sample of 120 children aged 1 to 3 years was selected by fixed disease sampling with case: control ratio= 1:2.

3. **Study variables**
   The exogenous variables were gestational maternal education and current maternal education. The endogenous variables were gestational family income, current family income, gestational maternal stress, maternal nutritional status, birthweight, and child development.

4. **Data analysis**
   Path analysis with STATA program was used for data analysis.
RESULTs

1. Characteristics of Study Subjects
The characteristics of the study subjects in Table 1 showed an overview in the form of classification, frequency and percentage from 120 study subjects, with characteristics of maternal age, maternal occupation, number of children age of toddler, ANC, gestational age at delivery, and exclusive breastfeeding.

| Characteristics of study subjects | n   | %    |
|----------------------------------|-----|------|
| Maternal Age                     |     |      |
| < 20 year                        | 2   | 1.7% |
| 20 - 35 year                     | 104 | 86.7%|
| ≥ 35 year                        | 14  | 11.7%|
| Maternal Occupation              |     |      |
| Unemployed                       | 88  | 73.3%|
| Employed                         | 32  | 26.7%|
| Number of Children               |     |      |
| < 2                              | 57  | 47.5%|
| ≥ 2                              | 63  | 52.5%|
| Age of Toddler                   |     |      |
| 12-24 months                     | 71  | 59.2%|
| 25-36 months                     | 49  | 40.8%|
| ANC                              |     |      |
| < 8 times                        | 23  | 19.2%|
| ≥ 8 times                        | 97  | 80.8%|
| Gestational Age at Delivery      |     |      |
| ≤ 36 months                      | 5   | 4.2% |
| > 36 months                      | 115 | 95.8%|
| Exclusive Breastfeeding          |     |      |
| Yes                              | 98  | 81.7%|
| No                               | 22  | 18.3%|

Table 2. Frequency distribution of study variables

| Variable                             | Criteria                  | Frequency | (%)  |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|------|
| Gestational maternal education       | <Senior High School       | 28        | 23.3%|
|                                      | ≥Senior High School       | 92        | 76.7%|
| Family income                        | <Regional Minimum Wage    | 37        | 30.8%|
|                                      | ≥Regional Minimum Wage    | 83        | 69.2%|
| Gestational maternal stress          | Not Stress                | 83        | 69.2%|
|                                      | Stress                    | 37        | 30.8%|
| Maternal nutritional status          | Maternal MUAC <23.5 cm    | 22        | 18.3%|
|                                      | Maternal MUAC ≥23.5 cm    | 98        | 81.7%|
| Current maternal education           | <Senior High School       | 27        | 22.5%|
|                                      | ≥Senior High School       | 93        | 77.5%|
| Current family income                | <Regional Minimum Wage    | 33        | 27.5%|
|                                      | ≥Regional Minimum Wage    | 87        | 72.5%|
| Birthweight                          | <2,500 g                  | 7         | 5.8% |
|                                      | ≥2,500 g                  | 113       | 94.2%|
| Stimulation                          | Good                      | 64        | 53.3%|
|                                      | Poor                      | 56        | 46.7%|
| Child development                    | Deviation                 | 39        | 32.5%|
|                                      | Doubious                  | 62        | 51.7%|
|                                      | Normal                    | 19        | 15.8%|
Table 1 showed an overview in the form of percentage that from 120 of the study subjects the majority of mothers aged 20 to 35 years were 86.7%, unemployed mothers were 73.3%, number of children ≥2 were 52.5%, age of children around 12 to 24 months were 59.2%, ANC ≥8 times were 80.8%, gestational age at delivery ≥36 months were 95.8%, and exclusive breastfeeding were 81.7%.

2. Univariate Analysis
Univariate analysis in Table 2 showed a description of the study variables explained based on criteria, frequency and percentage that of the 120 study subjects, the majority of maternal education during pregnancy was ≥senior high schools (76.7%), family income at pregnancy >regional minimum wage (69.2%), current maternal education was ≥ high schools (77.5%), current family income >regional minimum wage (72.5%), not experiencing stress at pregnancy (69.2%), maternal MUAC >23.5 cm (81.7%), birth weight > 2,500 g (94.2%), good maternal stimulation (53.3%), and the development of children who experience deviations (32.5%).

3. Path Analysis
The results of path analysis with STATA 13 were displayed in the path analysis model in Figure 1 and Table 3. The variables of maternal education, family income, Gestational maternal stress, Maternal nutritional status, birth weight, and stimulation using dichotomous data, while child development using continuous data in the form of a score.

Table 3 showed the results of calculations using STATA 13, obtained path coefficient value (b) between birth weight with child development (b= 0.96; 95% CI= -0.15 to 1.94; p= 0.054), stimulation of mothers with child development (b= 0.43; 95% CI= -0.30 to 0.89; p= 0.067), family income at pregnancy with maternal nutritional status (b= 1.02; 95% CI= -0.11 to 2.06; p= 0.052).

Maternal education during pregnancy with maternal nutritional status during pregnancy (b= 0.39; 95% CI= -0.68 to 1.46; p= 0.475), stress at pregnancy with nutritional status during pregnancy (b= -0.43; 95% CI= -1.45 to 0.58; p= 0.405), nutritional status during pregnancy with birth weight (b= 3.59; 95% CI= 1.41 to 5.78; p= 0.001), current maternal education with maternal stimulation (b= 0.65; 95% CI= -0.23 to 1.53; p= 0.150), current family income with maternal stimulation (b= 0.47; 95% CI= -0.38 to 1.31; p= 0.279).

Maternal education during pregnancy with child development through maternal nutritional status during pregnancy and birth weight (b= 0.39; 95% CI= -0.68 to 1.46; p= 0.475); (b= 3.59; 95% CI= 1.41 to 5.78; p= 0.001); (b= 0.96; 95% CI= -0.15 to 1.94; p= 0.054), family income during pregnancy with child development through maternal nutritional status and birth weight (b= 1.02; 95% CI= -0.11 to 2.06; p= 0.052); (b= 3.59; 95% CI= 1.41 to 5.78; p= 0.001); (b= 0.96; 95% CI= -0.15 to 1.94; p= 0.054), maternal stress with child development through maternal nutritional status during pregnancy and birth weight (b= -0.43; 95% CI= -1.45 to 0.58; p= 0.405); (b= 3.59; 95% CI= 1.41 to 5.78; p= 0.001); (b= 0.96; 95% CI= -0.15 to 1.94; p= 0.054), maternal nutritional status with child development through birth weight (b= 3.59; 95% CI= 1.41 to 5.78; p= 0.001); (b= 0.96; 95% CI= -0.15 to 1.94; p= 0.054), maternal education with child development through stimulation (b= 0.65; 95% CI= -0.23 to 1.53; p= 0.150); (b= 0.43; 95% CI= -0.30 to 0.89; p= 0.067), current family income with child development through stimulation (b= 0.47; 95% CI= -0.38 to 1.31; p= 0.279); (b= 0.43; 95% CI= -0.30 to 0.89; p= 0.067).
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Figure 1. Fit model and estimation of path analysis

Table 3. The result of path analysis on the relationship between bio-psychosocial factors and birthweight, stimulation and development in children aged 1-3 years

| Dependent Variable | Independent Variable | Path Coef. | SE  | Lower Limit | Upper Limit | p    |
|--------------------|----------------------|------------|-----|-------------|-------------|------|
| Direct Effect      |                      |            |     |             |             |      |
| Development        | Birthweight ≥ 2,500 g| 0.96       | 0.49| -0.15       | 1.94        | 0.054|
| Development        | Good stimulation     | 0.43       | 0.23| -0.30       | 0.89        | 0.067|
| Indirect Effect    |                      |            |     |             |             |      |
| Family income      | Gestational maternal education > Senior High School | 1.51       | 0.46| 0.61        | 2.39        | 0.001|
| Family income      | Current maternal education > Senior High School | 1.20       | 0.36| 0.50        | 1.90        | 0.001|
| Stress             | Family income at pregnancy > Rp 1,450,000 | -1.34      | 0.42| -2.17       | -0.51       | 0.002|
| Nutritional status | Family income at pregnancy > Rp 1,450,000 | 1.02       | 0.53| -0.11       | 2.06        | 0.052|
| Nutritional status | Gestational maternal education > Senior High School | 0.39       | 0.55| -0.68       | 1.46        | 0.475|
| Nutritional status | High stress          | -0.43      | 0.52| -1.45       | 0.58        | 0.405|
| Birthweight        | Maternal MUAC ≥23.5 cm| 3.59       | 1.11| 1.41        | 5.78        | 0.001|
| Stimulation        | Current education ≥ Senior High School | 0.65       | 0.45| -0.31       | 1.56        | 0.150|
| Stimulation        | Current family income ≥Rp 1,450,000 | 0.47       | 0.43| -0.38       | 1.31        | 0.279|

N Observation = 120
Log likelihood = 557.64
DISCUSSION

1. The relationship of birth weight to child development
There was a direct relationship between birth weight and child development but it was statistically only close to significant. The results of Chapakia’s study (2016) supported the results of this study that birth weight affected children’s development. A study by Carrasco et al., (2016) also supported the results that babies with short height had an average low language skills and babies with birth weight on average were associated with psycho-motor development.

The study results of the relationship between birth weight and child development were close to significant, presumably because of many things that affected child development included maternal education, family income, stress in pregnancy, maternal stimulation and provision of nutritional intervention in children. It was also supported by a study of Sally et al., (2014) which stated that nutritional interventions and stimulation provides benefits for a child’s development.

2. The relationship of mother’s stimulation to child development
There was a direct relationship between birth weight and development but it was statistically close to significant. Yousafzai et al.’s (2016) study also supported the results of the study that children who received responsive stimulation had significantly higher cognition, language, and motor skills than children who did not receive responsive stimulation.

However, the results of study on maternal stimulation and child development were only close to significant. This was presumably because many things affected children’s development. It was also supported by the study of Sally et al., (2014); Carrasco et al., (2016) that giving nutrition intervention and birth weight to children was related to child development.

3. The relationship of maternal education to family income
There was a direct relationship between maternal education and family income and it was statistically significant.

The results of the study were supported by Maryanti and Septikasari (2009) that in education there was a learning process, so that individuals actively developed the potential and skills needed by themselves. These skills could be used by individuals to get decent work, so that socio-economic and educational conditions were related to each other.

Dwiandana and Setiawina’s (2013) study also supported the results of study that education and type of work had a positive and partially significant effect on family income.

4. The relationship of family income during pregnancy to the maternal stress during pregnancy
There was a direct relationship between family income and maternal stress during pregnancy and it was statistically significant. The results of the study were supported by Pinel (2009) that when the body was exposed to threatening, the result was a set of changes in physiological changes which were generally called stress responses.

The study of Brittain et al., (2015), also supported the results of this study that pregnant women who had a BDI-II score (measuring stress) indicating depression, one of which was influenced by low socio-economic.

5. The relationship of family income during pregnancy to maternal nutritional status
There was a direct relationship between family income and maternal nutritional status, but it was only close to statistically
significant. The results of the study were supported by Almatsier et al., (2011), which stated that family income was associated with poverty, lack of hygiene, health problems and meeting the nutritional needs of pregnant women because food intake during pregnancy determined the nutritional status of pregnant women.

The results of this study were also supported by the study of Liu et al., (2015), that family income had a positive relationship with folic acid intake of pregnant women. The study results on the relationship of family income to maternal nutritional status which was close to significant, allegedly due to several factors that influenced maternal nutritional status. Supported by Ausa and Jafar's (2013) study, there was no significant relationship between family income and the incidence of chronic energy deficiency.

6. The relationship of maternal education at pregnancy to maternal nutritional status
There was a direct relationship between maternal education and maternal nutritional status but it was not statistically significant. Maternal education influences the attitude of women to their health. The higher their education, the easier they are to receive information so that more knowledge is possessed. Education is needed to get information such as things that support health, so that it can improve the quality of life, especially food that must be consumed to meet nutritional needs during pregnancy (Maryanti and Septikasari, 2009; Maulina (2010).

The results of the study were supported by the study of Liu et al., (2015) that the level of education had a positive relationship with folic acid intake of pregnant women. The results of the study on the relationship of maternal education to maternal nutritional status were not significant, allegedly due to other factors affecting the maternal nutritional status. The results of Ausa and Jafar (2013) study showed that there was no significant relationship between education and the incidence of chronic energy deficiency.

7. The relationship of maternal stress during pregnancy to maternal nutritional status
There was a direct relationship between maternal stress during pregnancy and maternal nutritional status but it was not statistically significant.

The results of the study were supported by Kalat (2010) that stress occurred for a long time would trigger the secretion of the hormone cortisol which increases blood sugar and increases metabolism. These changes had the reward of reducing the activity of the immune system.

The results of the study on the relationship of maternal stress during pregnancy to maternal nutritional status were not significant, allegedly due to other factors affecting the maternal nutritional status. Handayani and Budianingrum's study (2011) showed that the birth intervals, education and knowledge of mothers are related to the maternal nutritional status at pregnancy.

8. The relationship of maternal nutritional status to birthweight
There was a direct relationship between maternal nutritional status and birthweight and it was statistically significant. The results of the study were supported by Adriani and Wirjatmadi (2012) that pregnant women with chronic energy deficiency would be at risk of delivering babies with LBW. LBW babies had a risk of death, malnutrition, impaired growth and developmental disorders.

A study by Yongky et al., (2009) also supported the results of this study that the...
maternal nutritional status at pregnancy affected birth weight.

9. The relationship of current maternal education to maternal stimulation
There was a direct relationship between maternal education and maternal stimulation but it was not statistically significant. The results of the study were supported by Marmi and Raharjo (2012) which stated that a high maternal education status would be easier to accept direction to improve child development.

Ariani’s (2013) study supported the results of this study that there was a relationship between maternal education and the ability to stimulate gross motor development. The results of the study on the relationship of maternal education to maternal stimulation were not significant, allegedly due to several factors may influence the stimulation performed by the mother. Ariani (2013) stated that maternal stimulation is not only associated with maternal education but also has a relationship with maternal age, work and socio-economic family.

10. The relationship of current family income to maternal stimulation
There was a direct relationship of family income to maternal stimulation although it was not statistically significant. The results of the study by Romauli and Vindari (2012) showed that low family income makes mothers and children have limitations to play outside their environment, thus affecting the perspective and narrowing the scope of their relationships.

The results of the study were also supported by a study conducted by Ariani (2013), that there was a relationship between socio-economic conditions and the ability to stimulate gross motor development of infants aged 1 to 12 months.

The results of the study on family income relationship to maternal stimulation were insignificant because they were influenced by other factors. As stated by Ariani (2013), maternal stimulation is not only related to family socio-economic but also related to maternal age, work and education.

11. The relationship of maternal education at pregnancy to child development through nutritional status and birth weight
There was an indirect relationship between education and child development through nutritional status and birth weight.

The results of the study were supported by Marmi and Rahardjo (2012), that the status of parents' education, the families with higher education would be more receptive to direction to improve children’s growth and development.

The results of the study were also supported by the study of Demelesh et al., (2015) that low education influenced the pattern of nutrition intake, pregnancy care, and the risk of delivering low birth weight babies. Sally et al.’s (2014) study, Carrasco et al., (2016) also supported the results of this study that children’s birth weight was related to child development.

12. The relationship of family income during pregnancy to child development through nutritional status and birth weight
There was an indirect relationship between family income and child development through nutritional status and birth weight.

Almatsier et al. (2011) stated that low socio-economic conditions affect the process of pregnancy. The effect is seen in the greater likelihood of maternal death during childbirth or infant mortality at birth, or babies born with low birth weight (LBW).
Demelesh et al., (2015), stated that families with low income have a risk of delivering low birth weight babies. Sally et al. (2014), Carrasco et al., (2016) also supported the results of this study.

13. The relationship of maternal stress to child development through birth weight

There was an indirect relationship between stress during pregnancy and child development through maternal nutritional status and birth weight.

The results of this study were supported by a study of Cheng et al. ’s (2016); Christine et al., (2012) that the incidence of stress during pregnancy both from physical activity, financial burden, physical violence or social environment could increase the risk of giving birth to low birth weight, which was a risk factor that harmed mothers and children.

Van den Bergh et al., (2004) supported the results of the study with 14 prospective independent studies showing the relationship between maternal anxiety in pregnancy/stress and cognitive, behavioral, and emotional problems in children.

14. The relationship of maternal nutritional status to child development through birth weight

There was an indirect relationship between nutritional status and child development through birth weight. The results of the study were supported by a study conducted by Yongky et al. (2009) that the maternal nutritional status affected birth weight. According to Carrasco et al., (2016) baby’s birth weight is related to the child's development in the future.

15. The relationship of current maternal education to child development through stimulation

There was an indirect relationship between maternal education and child development through maternal stimulation.

The results of the study were supported by Santrock (2007) that a high level of education caused a person to have more access to information, so that it could provide different opportunities for children to get stimulation.

Ariani (2013) showed that there is a relationship between maternal education and the ability to stimulate gross motor development in infants aged 1-12 months. Yousafzai et al. (2016) also stated that children who receive responsive stimulation have significantly higher cognition, language, and motor skills than children who do not receive responsive stimulation.

16. The relationship of current family income to child development through stimulation

There was an indirect relationship between family income and child development through maternal stimulation. Romauli and Vindari (2012), Santrock (2007) states that a person whose job status is higher causes him to have more access than others, and a child who gets stimulation will increase his development.

The results of the study were also supported by Ariani (2013) that there was a relationship between socio-economic conditions and the ability to stimulate gross motor development. Yousafziaietal’s study (2016) showed that children who receive responsive stimulation have significantly higher cognitive development, language, and motor skills than children who did not receive responsive stimulation.

The results of this study can be concluded that child development is influenced by birth weight and stimulation. Birth weight is influenced by maternal education, family income, maternal stress during pregnancy, and maternal nutritional status. Stimulation is influenced by maternal education and family income.
REFERENCES

Adriana D (2013). Tumbuh Kembang dan Terapi Bermain pada Anak. Jakarta: Salemba Medika.

Allen KE, Marotz LR (2010). Profil Perkembangan Anak Pra Kelahiran Hingga Usia 12 tahun Edisi Kelima. Jakarta: Indeks.

Almatsier S, Soetardjo S, dan Soekatri M (2011). Gizi Seimbang dalam Daur Kehidupan. Jakarta: Gramedia Pustaka Utama.

Ariani D (2013). Hubungan karakteristik ibu dengan kemampuan stimulasi perkembangan motorik kasar bayi usia 1-12 bulan di Gampong Rukoh Kecamatan Syiah Kuala Kota Banda Aceh 2013.

Ausa ES, Jafar N, Indriasari R (2013). Hubungan pola makan dan status sosial ekonomi dengan kejadian KEK pada ibu hamil di Kabupaten Gowa tahun 2013.

Brittain K, Myer L, Koen N, Koopowitz S, Donald KA, Barnett W, Zar HJ (2015). Risk Factors for Antenatal Depression and Associations with Infant Birth Outcomes: Results from a South African Birth Cohort Study. Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology, 29(6): 505-514.

Carrasco QMR, Ortiz HL, Roldan AJA, Chavez VA (2016). Malnutrition and Cognitive Development If Infants in Rural Marginalized Areas in Mexico. Gaceta sanitaria/SESPAS. 30(4): 304-7.

Cheng E, Park H, Wisk L, Mandell K, Wakeel F, Litzelman K, Chatterje D, and Witt W (2016). Examining the link between women’s exposure to stressful life events prior to conception and infant and toddler health: the role of birth weight.
Vertisia et al./ Path Analysis on the Relationship Between Bio-psychosocial Factors

Pinel JPJ (2009). Biopsikologi Edisi Ke-tujuh. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Belajar.
Romauli S, Vindari AV (2012). Kesehatan Reproduksi untuk Mahasiswa Kebidanan. Yogyakarta: Nuha Medika.
Sally MGM, Lia CHF, Rose MCK, Susan W (2014). Effects integrated child development and nutrition interventions on development and nutritional status. Annals of The New York Academy of Science. 1308:11-32.
Santrock JW (2007). Perkembangan Anak Edisi Kesebelas. Jakarta: Erlangga.
Soetjiningsih, Gde Ranuh IGN (2014). Tumbuh Kembang Anak edisi 2. Jakarta: EGC.
Van den Bergh BR, Mulder EJ, Mennes M, dan Glover V (2005). Antenatal maternal anxiety and stress and the neurobehavioural development of the fetus and child: links and possible mechanisms. A review. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 29(2):237-258.
Yongky, Hardinsyah, Gulardi, Marhamah. (2009). Status Gizi Awal Kehamilan dan Pertambahan Berat Badan Ibu Hamil Kaitannya dengan BBLR. Jurnal Gizi dan Pangan, 4(1):8-12.
Yousafzai AK, Obradovic J, Rasheed MA, Rizvi A, Portilla XA, Tirado-Strayer N, Memon U(2016). Effects of responsive stimulation and nutrition interventions on children’s development and growth at age 4 years in a disadvantaged population in Pakistan: a longitudinal follow-up of a cluster randomised factorial effectiveness trial. The Lancet Global Health, 4(8), e548-e558.