Trace element accumulation and distribution in sunflower plants at the stages of flower bud and maturity
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Abstract

The aim of this study was to analyze the accumulation and distribution of cadmium (Cd), zinc (Zn) and copper (Cu) in different portions of plants of sunflower (Helianthus annuus L., cv. Oleko) grown in soil with contaminants (5, 300, 400 mg kg⁻¹ of Cd, Zn and Cu, respectively) and without (untreated soil as a control) from the emergence of cotyledon leaves until to two phenological stages: flower bud (R-1) and maturity (R-9). Sunflower accumulated considerable amounts of heavy metals in both phenological stages showing slight reductions of dry matter production. At R-1 stage, Cd, Zn and Cu were accumulated mainly in the roots with concentrations respectively up to 5.4, 233 and 160 mg kg⁻¹ of dry matter with a low translocation from roots to the aerial part. Yet at the R-1 stage, the bioconcentration factor (BCF) of Cd showed a significantly higher value in the Cd-Zn-Cu treatment (0.27) with respect to the untreated control (0.02), vice versa was observed for Cu, whereas no significant difference between treatments was observed for Zn (0.12 on average). However among metals, Cd showed the highest value of BCF. Referring only to the epigeous portion, differences in the accumulation and distribution of the three metals in the treated plants were found in both phenological stages; indeed passing from flower bud to the maturity stage, Cd, Zn and Cu concentrations increased in the stems and leaves, particularly in the old ones, whereas decreased in the heads. Metal accumulation in the achenes was very low and never exceed the toxicity threshold value considered for livestock. The high storage of heavy metals in roots and the probable re-translocation of the three metals along the plant during the growing cycle could be considered as a strategy of sunflower to preserve young metabolically-active leaves and reproductive organs from toxic metal concentrations.

Introduction

Soil contamination is a global environmental issue of increasing interest and concern considering the risk that contaminants can enter the ground and surface water and the food human chain. Recently, the European Environment Agency (EEA, 2007) estimated that in Europe there are about 3 millions sites in which potentially polluting activities occurring, and at least 250,000 really polluted sites (including agricultural lands) requiring an urgent clean up.

Several remediation technologies, mechanically or physico-chemically based, have been developed to remove contaminants from soil; nevertheless, most of them resulted usually expensive and soil disturbing, sometimes rendering the land useless as a medium for further activities such as plant growth (Marques et al., 2009). Therefore, the idea to use the plants to remove, detoxify and/or stabilize contaminants from the soil, i.e. the phytoremediation technologies, introduced 30 years ago from Chaney (1983), rapidly gained the interest of numerous scientists and an extensive literature was produced on plant species suitable for phytoremediation, from the wild to the cultivated species (Cunningham and Ow, 1996; Baker et al., 2000; Lasat, 2000; Prasad and Freitas, 2003; Ghosh and Singh, 2005). Meantime, the plant-based remediation technologies were recognized as effective and inexpensive technologies, low invasive and environmentally sound, aesthetically and socially acceptable and generally in harmony with the landscape (Garbisu et al., 2002; Ghosh and Singh, 2005). Besides, Marchiol and Fellet (2011) recently highlighted the fundamental role of the agronomic approach in the management of phytoremediation programs and the agronomic practices whose effects on plant/soil/contaminant interaction would optimize the phytoremediation processes to site specific conditions. The same authors underlined that such phytotechnologies should be promoted especially in view of the scenario of the Green Economy. However, the research is currently directed towards the selection of woody plants and agricultural crops able to tolerate and accumulate contaminants gradually remediating the soil at low cost while producing harvestable biomass of economic interest usable for specific purposes (e.g. bioenergy) and generating value added (Meers et al., 2005; Tognetti et al., 2013). Accordingly, sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.), an increasingly important source of vegetable oil and biomass, usefuly employed for chemical, energy and industrial purposes (Riva and Calzoni, 2004), gained growing interest in the last years for phytoremediation of organic compounds and heavy metals (Prasad, 2007). This latter group of pollutants, named also trace elements, includes metals with any essential biological function (e.g., mercury, lead and cadmium), and metals that...
are essential for plants but can become toxic at high concentrations [e.g., zinc (Zn), copper (Cu) and iron (Fe)]. Although all metals are natural constituents of the earth’s crust at levels that are regarded as trace (<1000 mg kg⁻¹) and rarely toxic, the indiscriminate human activities have drastically altered their geochemical cycles and biochemical balance (Singh et al., 2011). In addition, conversely to the organic pollutants, heavy metals cannot be destroyed biologically but only transformed from one oxidation stage or organic complex to another (Garbisu and Alkorta, 2001), resulting almost indefinitely persistent into the environment.

In field, pot and hydroponic trials, under different contaminated conditions, the capacity of sunflower to accumulate heavy metals has been evaluated. It has been reported that sunflower accumulates large amounts of several metals [e.g., Zn, lead (Pb), Cu] in plant (Lin et al., 2003; Madejón et al., 2003; Marchiol et al., 2007; Adesodun et al., 2010; Vamerali et al., 2012). Nevertheless, contrasting are the reports about metals translocation and storage in plant; according to many authors, it tends to store and accumulate such metals mainly in roots, with low efficiency in the translocation from the roots to the shoots (Lin et al., 2003; Madejón et al., 2003; Marchiol et al., 2007), whereas other authors report that sunflower efficiently translocates several metals to its aerial part (Herrero et al., 2003; Adesodun et al., 2010). However accumulation of metals and their distribution in plants, as well as their effect on plant growth response, seem vary greatly among cultivars, portions of the plant, phenological stage, degree of contamination and combination of metals (Madejón et al., 2003; Nehevejova et al., 2005; Rivelli et al., 2012). Results of our previous research on plants of sunflower grown in soil with only cadmium (Cd) and with a combination of Cd plus Zn and Cu, showed that only the pluri-contaminated treatment involved a significative effect on plant growth and physiological response with a considerable accumulation of metals in the tissues, particularly in the roots and in the old leaves (Rivelli et al., 2012). Following those results and using the same specie and genotype, the purpose of the present study was to assess the capacity of sunflower to remove the contaminants (Cd, Zn and Cu) from the soil by analyzing the translocation and storage of metals in plant tissues and their effect on growth in two phenological phases: flower bud and maturity.

### Materials and methods

#### Experimental protocols and treatments

The experiment was carried out in 2009 at the University of Basilicata, Italy (40°36’ N, 15°48’ E) in a naturally lit and temperate-controlled glasshouse maintained at 26ºC during the day and 18ºC at night. Sunflower (Helianthus annuus L., cv. Oleko) plants were grown in untreated soil as a control (untreated) and in soil contaminated with 5, 300, 400 mg kg⁻¹ of Cd, Zn and Cu, respectively (Cd-Zn-Cu), from the emergence of cotyledon leaves until to the two different phenological stages (R-1 and R-9) when plants were harvested. The experimental design was a completely randomized design with two treatments (untreated and Cd-Zn-Cu) replicated four times for each phenological stage.

#### Data collection and analysis

Dry matter and tissue slice element concentrations were determined at both phenological stages (R-1 and R-9) for all portions of the plants, except for roots at the physiological maturity (R-9) (since the plants, including roots, were dry and it was difficult to separate roots from soil and to collect all of them from the pots). Plants were harvested by cutting the stem at soil level and immediately partitioned into head, stem and leaves (divided into young, mature and old ones (i.e. at the top, middle and basal portion of the stem)). Roots were quickly separated from the adhering soil by washing and then sonicated in 0.05 M CaCl₂ for 10 min in an ultrasonic bath (Transonic T 460/H, Elma, Germany) and rinsed with deionised water to remove extra metals from the apparent free space of the root tissues. All samples were dried in ventilated oven at 70°C for 48 h, weighted to determine the dry matter weight (DM) and ground in a stainless box mill. Subsamples of 0.5 g were digested in a high performance microwave digestion unit (Milestone 1200 MEGA, Monroe, CT, USA) by using 5 mL HNO₃ (Puriss. p.a.; 12.27 g of ZnSO₄ and 14.62 g of CuSO₄ to provide a contamination level of 5, 300 and 400 mg of Cd, Zn and Cu kg⁻¹ of soil, respectively. After four weeks, seeds, preliminarily selected by weight (150-200 mg) and surface sterilized with 1% sodium hypochlorite, were pre-germinated in Petri dishes for 3 days and then planted one per each pot. The seedlings in each pot were monitored from the emergence of cotyledon leaves until the two different phenological stages (R-1 and R-9) as well as the leaf surface sterilization with 1% sodium hypochlorite, were pre-germinated in Petri dishes for 3 days and then planted one per each pot.

#### Table 1. Experimental soil properties.

| Characteristics | Units | Value |
|-----------------|-------|-------|
| Sand            | g kg⁻¹| 361   |
| Silt            | g kg⁻¹| 286   |
| Clay            | g kg⁻¹| 353   |
| CEC             | cmol kg⁻¹ | 36 |
| pH (CaCl₂)      |       | 7.1   |
| EC              | ms cm⁻¹| 0.14 |
| Organic matter  | g kg⁻¹| 20    |
| Total nitrogen  | g kg⁻¹| 1.2   |

#### Exchangeable cations

| Cation  | mg kg⁻¹ | Value |
|---------|---------|-------|
| Potassium |        | 281   |
| Calcium  |        | 6670  |
| Magnesium|        | 219   |

#### Extractable cations

| Cation  | mg kg⁻¹ | Value |
|---------|---------|-------|
| Cadmium |        | 0.006 |
| Zinc    |        | 0.9   |
| Copper  |        | 3.7   |

#### Total metal concentration

| Cation  | mg kg⁻¹ | Value |
|---------|---------|-------|
| Cadmium |        | 0.27  |
| Zinc    |        | 180   |
| Copper  |        | 62    |

CEC, cation exchange capacity; EC, electrical conductivity.
Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and 1 mL H₂O₂ (Puriss.; Carlo Erba, Milano, Italy). The digestion time period was 32 min after then 50 mL of distilled water were added to the sample volume. The resulting solutions were analyzed for Cd, Zn and Cu by using an Inductively Coupled Plasma, Optical Emission Spectrometer (ICP-OES Spectrometer, iCAP 6000 Series, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

Soil samples, before and after the contamination, were taken and processed to determine the Cd, Zn and Cu concentrations. For each sample, a subset of 0.5 g of soil was digested with aqua regia and H₂O₂, as described by Leita and Petruzzelli (2000) by using a high performance microwave digestion unit (Milestone 1200 MEGA). The digestion time period was 45 min after then 50 mL of distilled water were added to the sample volume. Then soil trace element concentrations were determined by an Inductively Coupled Plasma, Optical Emission Spectrometer (ICP-OES Spectrometer, iCAP 6000 Series, Thermo Scientific). Certified reference material was always digested and analyzed together with the sample for quality assurance.

The bioconcentration factor (BCF) was calculated as metal concentration in the tissues (considering the whole plant at R-1 stage, the aerial part or only the old leaves at both R-1 and R-9 stages) divided by the total metal concentration in the soil (measured after contamination immediately before to plant the germinated seeds). The translocation factor (TF) was calculated at R-1 stage as metal concentration in the aerial part divided by the concentration in the roots.

**Statistical analysis**

All data are presented as average values±SE. Statistical analysis was performed by R software (ver. 2.10.1). All variables were tested with multifactor analysis of variance followed by Duncan’s test. A Student test was used to assess differences in concentrations of trace elements in the achenes between the contaminated treatment and the untreated control.

**Results**

The total plant DM was of about 64 and 60 g per plant in the control and 53 and 44 g per plant in the treated plants, at the flower bud (R-1) and maturity stages (R-9), respectively (data not shown). The dry matter relative to the different portions of the plants at the R-1 and R-9 stages has been reported in Figure 1 and showed as percentage of dry matter reduction of the Cd-Zn-Cu treatment with respect to the untreated control. An overall reduction of DM in almost all portions of the plants grown on contaminated soil was observed at both the phenological stages. In particular, significant reduction of about 25% was found in the stem DM at the R-1 stage; whereas the heads significantly increased by 10% in the R-1 stage and decreased by 37% at R-9 stage. At the R-1 stage, Cd, Zn and Cu concentrations were evaluated in both roots and aerial part (Figure 2); regardless of treatments, all metals were accumulated mainly in the roots; in particular, in pluri-contaminated treatment, Cd, Zn and Cu concentrations were respectively 9- 3- and 13- fold higher in the roots compared to the aerial part. At this stage, the total amount of contaminants extracted by sunflower and accumulated in the whole plant were about of 1.3, 92.5 and 35.4 mg kg⁻¹ DM of Cd, Zn and Cu, respectively (data not shown). Considering the bioconcentration factor (BCF) (Figure 3A) Cd showed a significantly higher value in the contaminated treatment (0.27) with respect to the untreated control (0.02), vice versa was observed for Cu, whereas no significant difference between treatments was observed for Zn (0.12 on average). However, among metals, Cd showed the highest value of BCF. Referring to TF (Figure 3B), except for Cu, no significant difference
was observed between treatments for Cd (0.12 on average) and Zn (0.36 on average). Among metals, Zn (in both treatments) and Cu (only in untreated control) showed the highest TF values that were not significantly different from each other. Considering only the contaminated treatment Cu showed the lowest value of TF.

In Figure 4 has been reported, for both the phenological stages, the heavy metal concentration and distribution in the different portions of the aerial part. Generally, all metals increased passing from the R-1 stage to the R-9 stage in both stem and leaves; conversely was observed in the heads. In particular, Cd concentration in the contaminated treatment increased of 50% and 340% in the stem and leaves respectively, whereas it decreased of about 25% in the heads; no differences were observed between portions and phenological stages in the untreated control. As for cadmium, Zn and Cu in the contaminated treatment increased respectively of about 70% and 125% in stems, and 240% and 790% respectively in leaves, and decreased of 40% on average in head; instead, in the untreated control, no differences in Zn and Cu concentrations in each portion were observed between the two phenological stages, except for Zn in stem (+106%) and Cu in leaves and in heads (+38% and -43%, respectively). However, leaves showed at R-9 stage the highest values of concentration for Cd, Zn and Cu. The total amounts of contaminants extracted by sunflower and accumulated only in the harvestable biomass were respectively of 0.62, 74.6 and 12.7 mg kg⁻¹ D.M. of Cd, Zn and Cu at R-1 and 0.88, 123 and 46.9 mg kg⁻¹ D.M. of Cd, Zn and Cu respectively, at R-9 (data not shown).

Referring to the concentration of each metal in the leaves, divided in young, mature and old ones respectively at the top, middle and basal portion of the stem (Table 2), a significant interaction (P<0.001) was found among treatment, leaf age and phenological stage. In particular in each phenological stage an overall increase of metal concentrations was observed passing from the young to the old leaves in the treated plants, with significantly highest values in the old leaves at the maturity stage (6.4, 497 and 474 mg kg⁻¹ DM of Cd, Zn and Cu, respectively). Instead, passing from R-1 to R-9 stage in the young leaves the concentrations of Cd and Zn significantly decreased from 0.71 to 0.13 mg kg⁻¹ DM and from 65 to 22 mg kg⁻¹ DM, respectively, whereas significantly increased in mature and old ones for all metals (from 0.90 to 6.4, 63 to 497, 17 to 474 mg kg⁻¹ DM of Cd, Zn and Cu respectively). In the achenes of the treated plants at the maturity stage (Figure 5), Cd concentration showed the lowest value (0.37 mg kg⁻¹ DM), followed by Cu (18 mg kg⁻¹ DM) and Zn (70 mg kg⁻¹ DM). Except for Zn, significant differ-

### Table 2. Cadmium, zinc, copper concentrations in young leaves, mature and old ones respectively at the top, middle and basal portion of the plant.

| Treatment          | Leaves          | Cd (mg kg⁻¹ of DM) | Zn (mg kg⁻¹ of DM) | Cu (mg kg⁻¹ of DM) |
|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                    |                 | R-1               | R-9               | R-1               | R-9               |
| Untreated          | Young           | 0.28±0.21        | 35.6±3.7          | 19.0±0.6          |
|                    | Mature          | nd                | 27.5±2.6          | 18.7±0.9          |
|                    | Old             | 0.07±0.05         | 23.0±2.4          | 10.5±0.5          |
| Cd-Zn-Cu           | Young           | 0.71±0.09         | 64.6±4.0          | 22.5±1.1          |
|                    | Mature          | 0.55±0.05         | 79.8±9.9          | 25.6±1.6          |
|                    | Old             | 0.90±0.08         | 62.8±1.1          | 17.3±0.6          |
| Maturity stage (R-9)| Young           | 0.01±0.00         | 22.0±1.2          | 22.6±1.2          |
|                    | Mature          | nd                | 18.2±1.0          | 18.2±1.0          |
|                    | Old             | nd                | 24.6±1.2          | 14.3±0.7          |
| Cd-Zn-Cu           | Young           | 0.13±0.01         | 22.1±1.1          | 23.2±1.3          |
|                    | Mature          | 0.91±0.05         | 104.2±5.6         | 53.6±2.7          |
|                    | Old             | 6.43±3.30         | 497.8±24.4        | 473.8±23.3        |

Values are means (n=4)±SE. Data were analyzed independently by ANOVA to evaluate the effect of the treatment, stage, portion and the interaction among them. The significant level of F ratio was all ≤0.001 for all factors and interactions. For each metal, values followed by the same letters are not significantly different for two-tailed Tukey’s test DM, dry matter; nd, not detected; Cd, cadmium; Zn, zinc; Cu, copper.

### Table 3. Bioconcentration factor relative to the aerial part and old leaves in the treated plant at the flower bud (R-1) and maturity (R-9) stages.

| Metal | Aerial part | Bioconcentration factor (-) | Old leaves |
|-------|-------------|-----------------------------|------------|
|       | R-1         | R-9                         | R-1        | R-9        |
| Cd    | 0.11±0.01   | 0.17±0.01                   | 0.18±0.02  | 1.43±0.07  |
| Zn    | 0.11±0.01   | 0.17±0.01                   | 0.09±0.00  | 0.67±0.02  |
| Cu    | 0.03±0.01   | 0.09±0.01                   | 0.04±0.00  | 0.95±0.03  |

Significance

| Metal | Stage | M×S |
|-------|-------|-----|
|       | ***   | ns  |

Values are means (n=4)±SE. Data were analyzed independently by two-way ANOVA to evaluate the effect of metal (M) and phenological stage (S) and the interaction of this two factors (M×S). The significance level of the F ratio is reported and indicated with asterisks: *** for P<0.001. For old leaves, values followed by the same letters are not significantly different for two-tailed Tukey’s test. ns, not significant; Cd, cadmium; Zn, zinc; Cu, copper.
ences between treatments were observed for Cd and Cu.

Finally, in Table 3 has been reported BCF calculated considering the aerial part and only the old leaves for the 3 metals in both phenological stages (Table 3). For all metals BCF was significantly higher at the maturity stage (R-9) than at the flower bud stage (R-1) both in the aerial part and in the old leaves of the basal portion of the stem. The highest values of BCF were found in the old leaves at R-9 stage (1.4, 0.67 and 0.9 of Cd, Zn and Cu, respectively).

### Discussion

Heavy metal accumulation and distribution in plants are both species- and metal-specific and seem to depend also on metal concentration and combination in the medium of growth. About sunflower, there are not univocal reports on heavy metal accumulation and distribution in plant, as they are dependent on several endogenous (e.g., genotype, phenological stages) (Li et al., 1997; Madejón et al., 2003; Nehnevajova et al., 2005) and exogenous (e.g., combination, concentration and bioavailability of metals in soil, culture system used) (January et al., 2008; Rivelli et al., 2012) factors. Results of this experiment showed that sunflower accumulated considerable amounts of heavy metals in both analyzed phenological stages with slight effects on growth and DM of the plant. In particular, a significant reduction was found only in the stem at the flower bud phase, the heads instead significantly increased by 10% in the R-1 stage and decreased by 37% at R-9 stage. The increasing in head dry matter during the flower bud stage could be due to a premature flower induction stimulated by heavy metals. In fact, according to many authors, heavy metal stress induces a stimulation of ethylene production hormone which regulates several physiological and growth processes in plants, particularly senescence, plant growth and

![Figure 3. Bioconcentration (A) and translocation factor (B) of cadmium (Cd), zinc (Zn) and copper (Cu) in sunflower plants at flower bud stage (R-1). Values are means (n=4)±SE. In each graph, bars followed by the same letters are not significantly different for P≤0.05 according to Duncan’s test.](image)

![Figure 4. Cadmium (Cd), zinc (Zn) and copper (Cu) concentrations in stem, leaves and head in plant of sunflower at both flower bud (R-1) and maturity (R-9) stages. Values are means (n=4)±SE. For each metal in each portions of the plant, bars followed by the same letters are not significantly different for P≤0.05 according to Duncan’s test.](image)
development including flower induction (Abeles et al., 1992; Liu et al., 1997; Arteca and Arteca, 2007). However, in our experiment, plants grown on contaminated soil did not display further macroscopic stress symptoms. These results may be due to the fact that sunflower accumulated the metals mainly in the roots (with concentrations of Cd, Zn and Cu respectively up to 5.4, 233 and 160 mg kg$^{-1}$ DM) with a low translocation of the three metals from the roots to the aerial part in which, the concentration of Cd, Zn and Cu were 9- 3- and 13- fold lower than in roots. According to numerous authors (Vogeli-Lange and Wagner, 1990; Ouzounidou et al., 1994), the accumulation of trace element mainly in roots is considered as one of the several strategies used by plants to tolerate metal stress. Once inside the root cells, metals are translocated by membrane metal transporters and metal-binding proteins to their final destination. This process involves a series of specific mechanisms that must to maintain a fine balance between having enough essential metals available for metabolic functions and at the same time avoiding toxicity and to keep nonessential metals below their toxicity thresholds (Clemens, 2001; Yureula, 2005). As a result, metals in excess are often stored where they are less toxic for cellular metabolism (Yureula, 2005) e.g. in roots and/or in oldest leaves. Furthermore, differences in BCF and TF factors were observed among contaminants at the end of vegetative stage, R-1. Considering the two essential metals, Cu showed significantly lower BCF and TF values in the contaminated treatment with respect to the untreated plants while no significant differences between treatments were observed for Zn. Such result could indicate that the plants of sunflower block and/or reduce the accumulation and translocation from the roots to the aerial part only of Cu, probably because the level of contamination tested in this experiment is over the toxicity threshold value. Cd, instead, as expected showed the highest value of BCF in the treated plant with a lowest translocation from the roots to the aerial part. The highest heavy metal accumulation in roots of sunflower with a low translocation from roots to the aerial part and the low BCF values are in accordance with the results found on this specie by several authors (Lin et al., 2003; Soudek et al., 2010; Chaves et al., 2011). Furthermore, Vamerali et al. (2012) in a recent paper, in which summarized the main results of 10 years of study conducted on plants grown on metal-polluted soils, highlighted the key role of plant roots in plant-based remediation technologies, such as phytoextraction and phytostabilization, since more roots are expected to take up a greater amount of pollutants, and they represent a significant metal sink. In this context, sunflower, as highlighted by several authors, seems to be a promising candidate in the phytoremediation technologies (e.g. phytostabilization, rhizodegradation) (Madejón et al., 2003; Meers et al., 2005; Prasad, 2007; Sodek et al., 2010).

Considering only the above-ground biomass differences in the accumulation and distribution of contaminants were found among the three metals passing from R-1 to R-9 stage. The accumulation of the trace elements increased in leaves and stem and decreased in head passing from flower bud stage to the physiological maturity, showing similar distribution pattern for Cd and Zn. Passing from flowering to the harvesting time, similar results in metals accumulation (Cd, Zn, Cu and Pb) has been reported by Herrero et al. (2003) in sunflower and oil seed rape. At maturity stage, the largest accumulation of Cd, Zn and Cu in plant was found in the leaves, mainly in the old ones, followed by stem and head. In literature, similarities in the accumulation pattern of Cd and Zn were often reported for sunflower as well as for other species (Küpper et al., 2000; Ma et al., 2005; Chaves et al., 2011; De Maria et al., 2011). The increase of metals concentration in old leaves and their decrease in young leaves and head, passing from R-1 to R-9, as highlighted also comparing the BCF calculated in relation to old leaves to those calculated in relation to the aerial part, could be interpreted as a probable re-translocation of the three metals along the plant with the aim to preserve photosynthesizing tissues and reproductive organs from toxic levels. Restriction of toxic ions transport towards young leaves and storage in old leaves has been observed on the same specie/genotype also for Cl ions stress in salinity condition (Rivelli et al., 2010). Such strategy appears to be involved primarily in avoiding the accumulation of toxic concentrations at sensitive sites within the cell preventing the damaging effects rather than developing proteins that can resist the heavy metal effects (Yureula, 2005). The potential cellular mechanisms involved in tolerance include chelation with phytochelatins, metallothioneins, vacuolar compartmentalization and sequestration, induction of mechanisms to compensate the effects of reactive oxygen species such as the biosynthesis of antioxidant molecules and stress proteins, and upregulation of peroxidase synthesis (Salt et al., 1995; Yureula, 2005). As a result of the redistribution of metals in plant, the concentrations of Cd, Zn and Cu in the seeds were very low and not exceeded the toxicity threshold values considered for livestock (Chaney, 1989; European Commission, 2002). As suggested by Madejón et al. (2003) sunflower can be considered for plant-based remediation technologies for many reason, including the low risk for the food web since its tough leaves and the stem are rarely eaten by animals and the seeds (which conversely are actively eaten by birds) have very low concentrations of potentially toxic elements.

Overall, the preliminary results obtained in this experiment are in agreement with those reported by several other authors, which indicate the sunflower as a specie potentially suitable for phytoremediation strategies (Lin et al., 2003; Madejón et al., 2003; Marchiol et al., 2007; Prasad, 2007; Sodek et al., 2010). Nevertheless for this specie, as well as for many others forestry and agricultural crops, there is still much fundamental, applied and field research needed. Indeed, although are known several traits of the species candidate for phytotechnologies - such as high efficiency in removing heavy metals and tolerance to contaminants, fast-growing and appreciable biomass production, ease of agronomic management and low demand of auxiliary energy during cultivation, and economic interest for the harvestable biomass (Lasat 2000; Meers et al., 2005; Tognetti et al., 2013) - they are still not fully understood.

However, our data on accumulation and distribution of trace elements in plant, though they are to be tested in open field, still provide useful information to address further studies to focus on the agronomic practices that would optimize the phytoremediation processes to site-specific conditions and the real capacity of sunflower to remove...
contaminants from contaminated environments. Indeed, based on responses to heavy metals in controlled condition, many species, including sunflower, appear promising for phytoremediation, but their real potential has not been fully clarified due to the scarcity of field trials. In addition as recently indicated in a review by Dickinson et al. (2009), it is important to treat sceptically any data obtained from hydroponics, pot experiments, or spiked soils on the grounds that there is a high probability these methods will not accurately reflect concentrations in field-grown plants.

Conclusions

Sunflower seems to be tolerant to heavy metal stress applied by accumulating in the harvestable biomass considerable amount of contaminants (up to 0.04, 5.4 and 2.1 mg per plant DM of Cd, Zn and Cu, respectively) without significant effects on plant growth. Metals were accumulated mainly in roots and old leaves with a low translocation from roots to the aerial part. Differences in accumulation and distribution of the three metals were found between the two phenological stages and among the different portions/organs of the plants. The high storage of heavy metals in roots and old leaves and the re-translocation of the three metals along the plant during the growing cycle could be considered as a strategy of sunflower to preserve young metabolically-active leaves and reproductive organs from toxic metal concentrations. The appreciable DM production and the considerable accumulation of trace elements in the tissues make sunflower interesting for plant-based remediation technologies. Such specie is an increasingly important source of vegetable oil and biomass, usefully employed for chemical resource for phytoremediation of metal-polluted soil. In: N. Terry and G. Banuelos (eds.) Phytoremediation of contaminated soil and water. CRC Press Inc., Lewis Boca Raton, FL, USA, pp 85-107.
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