The response of Arctic vegetation and soils following an unusually severe tundra fire
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Fire causes dramatic short-term changes in vegetation and ecosystem function, and may promote rapid vegetation change by creating recruitment opportunities. Climate warming likely will increase the frequency of wildfire in the Arctic, where it is not common now. In 2007, the unusually severe Anaktuvuk River fire burned 1039 km² of tundra on Alaska’s North Slope. Four years later, we harvested plant biomass and soils across a gradient of burn severity, to assess recovery. In burned areas, above-ground net primary productivity of vascular plants equalled that in unburned areas, though total live biomass was less. Graminoid biomass had recovered to unburned levels, but shrubs had not. Virtually all vascular plant biomass had resprouted from surviving underground parts; no non-native species were seen. However, bryophytes were mostly disturbance-adapted species, and non-vascular biomass had recovered less than vascular plant biomass. Soil nitrogen availability did not differ between burned and unburned sites. Graminoids showed allocation changes consistent with nitrogen stress. These patterns are similar to those seen following other, smaller tundra fires. Soil nitrogen limitation and the persistence of resprouters will likely lead to recovery of mixed shrub–sedge tussock tundra, unless permafrost thaws, as climate warms, more extensively than has yet occurred.

1. Introduction

Climate change is occurring rapidly at high latitudes, where surface air temperature has increased at twice the rate of the rest of the globe in the past decades [1,2]. During this period, the frequency of large fires in the boreal forest has also increased dramatically [3]. Wildfires are presently uncommon in Arctic tundra ecosystems in northern Alaska, except for limited areas in the Noatak watershed and the Seward Peninsula [4], but are expected to become more common as climate warms [1,2,5]. Wildfires can have strong ecosystem consequences for both the radiation and carbon balance of tundra. By combusting soil organic matter and vegetation, fire can rapidly transfer large stocks of soil carbon to the atmosphere, reducing C storage and changing the C balance of ecosystems in the short-term [6,7]. Fire in tundra ecosystems also darkens the surface and removes some, or all, of the insulating layer of moss and soil organic matter that shields the underlying permafrost from warm summer temperatures. Thus, fire changes the surface radiation balance and may lead to destabilization of deeper stores of soil C, resulting in additional C transfer to the atmosphere long after the initial combustion. Soils underlain by permafrost in Arctic and boreal regions are estimated to hold nearly twice the total amount of C as is in the atmosphere [8], so increased fire frequency in tundra may alter the magnitude of Arctic feedbacks to global climate that are already occurring in response to climate warming.

In addition to these direct effects, wildfire changes the composition and structure of vegetation in the short-term, and in the long-term if wildfire alters the successional trajectory. Vegetation composition affects both ecosystem
C balance and fire frequency, because some types of vegetation are more flammable than others [7]. In addition, a change in successional trajectory may result in the ecosystem undergoing a regime shift into an alternate state, in which the structure of the vegetation and ecosystem function is altered, and ecosystem services may be degraded [9]. In most small tundra fires that have been studied so far, vegetation cover has recovered within 5–10 years, though there may be transient changes in relative abundance of different functional groups of plants for the first decade, and some subtle changes in composition may persist for several decades [10–15]. However, in at least three high-severity tundra fires, vegetation has shifted towards dominance by deciduous shrubs [11,16] or grasses [17], which have persisted for more than 30 years and may represent regime shifts. A widespread increase in the cover of large deciduous shrubs appears to be underway in the Arctic [18,19], coincident with recent warming. If fires promote development of shrub-dominated vegetation under a warming climate, then this might positively feed back to more frequent fires: palaeorecords indicate that ancient shrub-dominated tundra burned as often as modern boreal forest, in more frequent fires: palaeorecords indicate that ancient shrub-dominated tundra burned as often as modern boreal forest, in

| permanent transect ID | latitude of origin (°N) | longitude of origin (°W) | elevation (m) | burn severity class | burn severity score | soil pH (± s.e.) |
|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| 101                  | 69.995                   | 150.283                  | 359          | severe            | 1.8               | 4.6 (0.18)     |
| 114                  | 69.997                   | 150.307                  | 325          | severe            | 1.5               | 4.5 (0.08)     |
| 103                  | 68.954                   | 150.207                  | 403          | moderate          | 3.1               | 4.7 (0.05)     |
| 104                  | 68.951                   | 150.210                  | 412          | moderate          | 2.5               | 4.9 (0.12)     |
| 108                  | 68.952                   | 150.208                  | 414          | unburned          | 4.8               | 4.4 (0.15)     |
| 109                  | 68.933                   | 150.273                  | 435          | unburned          | 5                 | 4.5 (0.10)     |

The Anaktuvuk River fire of 2007 was unprecedented in size (1039 km²) and severity for a fire on the 188 448 km² Alaskan North Slope within the historical record [6,26,27]. This fire doubled the cumulative area of tundra in this region that had burned in the past 50 years [6]. Remotely sensed indices of burn severity were substantially higher than for any other tundra fire [26], and it burned in an area with no evidence of fires for at least 5000 years, based on charcoal deposition in lake-sediment cores [27]. A combination of unusually warm and dry conditions associated with a late-season high-pressure system located over the Beaufort Sea, and possibly linked to sea ice retreat [27], are thought to be responsible for its size and severity. Combustion released an estimated 2.1 Tg of carbon to the atmosphere, an amount similar to the annual ANPP by the entire tundra biome worldwide [6]. An estimated 37 years of C accumulation, but nearly 400 years of N accumulation, was combusted in the fire [6].

Our goal in this study was to assess vegetation recovery and N dynamics in vegetation and soils 4 years after the Anaktuvuk River fire, across a gradient of burn severity, using sites in nearby unburned tundra as a proxy for pre-fire vegetation and soils. We also wished to assess whether post-fire succession may result in mixed shrub–sedge tussock tundra vegetation similar to what was present before the fire, or whether this area could be on a new successional trajectory to a different vegetative state. Possible alternative vegetative states could include persistent dominance by deciduous shrubs, persistent dominance by grasses or introduction of novel species resulting in a vegetation composition that does not currently exist on Alaska’s North Slope. Although our measurements were made at only one point in time, our data and comparisons with the results of prior studies on other tundra fires allow inferences about successional trajectories to be made.

2. Material and methods
(a) Site description
To measure recovery of vegetation and soils 4 years after the fire, in July 2011, we harvested biomass and soils along six 50-m-long transects located either within or near the southeastern portion of the Anaktuvuk River fire scar (table 1), roughly 37 km NW of the Toolik Field Station (68.583° N, 149.717° W), which is the site of the Arctic Long-Term Ecological Research (LTER) programme. The number and location of transects that could be harvested was restricted by the need to access sites by helicopter, and the time required to collect samples in the field. Harvest transects were in unburned areas observed, or burned areas inferred (before the fire), to consist of acidic mixed shrub–sedge tussock tundra vegetation [28,29], and were located immediately adjacent to a subset of transects established in 2008 for repeated non-destructive monitoring of vegetation cover along several toposequences [30]. The harvested transects had similar vegetation composition to that of other transects established in moist acidic tundra in the foothills region of the burn scar [30], and thus were felt to be representative. Prior to the fire, 54 per

Table 1. Locations and burn severity for transects sampled for this study. Coordinates (in decimal degrees) were obtained in the North American Datum of 1983. Burn severity scores are for adjacent permanent transects that were scored in 2008, the first summer after the fire.
cent of the entire area burned in the Anaktuvuk River fire was classified as upland moist acidic tundra (soil pH 5.5), 15 per cent as moist non-acidic tundra (pH 5.5) and 30 per cent as shrubland [30]. In an unburned state, moist acidic tussock tundra contains approximately equal biomass of graminoids (primarily Eriophorum vaginatum and Carex bigelowii), deciduous shrubs (Betula xana, Salix pulchra, Salix glauca and Vaccinium uliginosum), evergreen shrubs (mainly Ledum palustre spp. decumbens and Vaccinium vitis-idaea) and mosses (Hylcomium splendens, Aulacomnium turgidum, Dicranum spp., Sphagnum spp., etc.) [31]. The mean pH of organic soils from our harvest transects ranged from 4.4 to 4.9 (table 1).

In the first summer after the fire (July 2008), burn severity was assessed for vegetation and soils in 10 quadrats (1 x 1 m) located at 5-m intervals along each non-destructive transect, using a scale of 1 (heavily burned) to 5 (unburned), based on damage to vegetation and surface soils, according to the Alaska Interagency Fire Effects Task Group protocol [32]. Of the six non-destructive transects adjacent to transects harvested in 2011, two were classified as unburned (average burn severity 4.9), two as moderately burned (average burn severity 2.8) and two as severely burned (average burn severity 1.6; table 1). From satellite imagery, 39–43% of the entire fire scar was classified as moderate-to-low severity, 47–59% was classified as high severity and 2–11% was classified as unburned [26,33]; this was unusually severe for a tundra fire. Although classification of the entire fire scar was at a large-scale, the plot-level classification is reasonably consistent with classes used for satellite imagery [30].

(b) Biomass and soil harvest
Biomass and soil were harvested from 23 to 25 July 2011 in a stratified random manner. For each harvest transect, one random number was selected within each of the 10 contiguous 5-m intervals, and biomass was harvested from a 10 x 40 cm quadrat located at each random point. This ensured that the 10 locations harvested from each transect were distributed along its entire length. Biomass was harvested using previously described methods [31,34]. Briefly, the rhizome-containing soil layer was harvested by cutting around each quadrat boundary with a serrated bread knife. All above-ground live vascular plant biomass as well as all live rhizomes and below-ground stems within the quadrat boundaries were separated by species. Current year’s growth from meristems located within the quadrat was included in the sample even if that growth extended outside the quadrat. New growth from meristems located outside, but that extended into the quadrat, was not included in the sample. For older stems that crossed the boundary, only the portion within the quadrat boundaries was included.

The current year’s growth from each vascular plant was separated into leaves, new above-ground stems, and inflorescences with their peduncles, except that new growth from rhizomes was included with previous years’ growth. Older biomass was separated into (i) below-ground stems and rhizomes, (ii) above-ground stems, and (iii) old leaves (for evergreens only). All graminoid, forb and deciduous shrub leaves were considered to be new biomass. Below-ground stems were separated from above-ground old stems at the position of the first adventitious roots. Vascular plant litter and attached dead biomass were saved, but not separated by species. These biomass harvest methods are consistent with our previous and ongoing LTER studies and thus allow direct comparisons [21,34].

Lichens, mosses (green portions only) and liverworts (Marchantia polymorpha from burned sites) were not separated into species or new and old growth. Green moss biomass has been estimated to consist of approximately 20 per cent new growth in those species where old and new growth can be distinguished, but they are not the majority of moss species present in tussock tundra [21]. All plant samples were dried at 60°C for 72 h and weighed.

We calculated ANPP for vascular plants as the sum of the current year’s primary growth (new growth samples mentioned above), plus 100% secondary growth for the three largest shrub species (S. pulchra, B. xana and L. palustre). Secondary growth was calculated from old stem biomass, and relative secondary growth rates determined in a previous study at Toolik Lake [35]. As we did not have reliable measures of their growth, ANPP was not calculated for non-vascular plants.

To determine soil bulk density, element concentration and root biomass, organic soil horizons were sampled volumetrically with a serrated knife. From every other point on the transect where a quadrant was harvested for biomass determinations (five locations per transect), two contiguous 10 x 20 cm soil monoliths were excised from the side of the pit, extending from the surface of the green moss to the surface of the mineral soil (roughly 5–30 cm depth depending on location). In addition, two cores (2.42 cm in diameter) were taken through the mineral soil from the bottom of these monoliths to the ice surface at the bottom of the thawed layer. Monoliths and cores were wrapped in tinfoil to preserve structure, returned to the field station and refrigerated prior to analyses. Within 24 h of collection, one monolith and one core from each location were processed to determine microbial biomass, bulk density, moisture and C and N concentrations in both soluble (NH4+, NO3-, dissolved organic N (DON) and dissolved organic C (DOC)) pools and solid fractions. Coarse (more than 2 mm in diameter) and fine (less than 2 mm in diameter) roots were separated by hand from the other monolith and core. Fine roots were separated into E. vaginatum roots (which can be distinguished owing to their vertical growth pattern, consistent diameter and lack of branching) and other roots.

(c) Carbon and nitrogen content analyses
We pooled material of each plant tissue type (excluding roots) and species from all quadrats from a given transect. Pooled samples were ground in a Wiley mill with a 40 mm sieve and analysed for C and N content by a ThermoScientific Flash 2000 NC soil analyser (ThermoFisher Scientific, Cambridge, UK). C and N pools in biomass and ANPP were calculated by multiplying the biomass of each plant species and tissue component by the appropriate concentration of C or N (from the pooled sample), and then summing over all species and tissues for each growth form in each quadrat. Roots were processed separately for each sampled quadrat. Root samples were analysed for C and N content on a Costech elemental analyser (Costech Analytical, Los Angeles, CA) calibrated with the NIST peach leaves standard (SRM 1547, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD).

Soil samples from monoliths and cores were homogenized by hand, and coarse organic materials (twigs and roots more than 2.5 cm in diameter) and rocks were removed. A subsample of 10 g (wet weight) of homogenized soil was extracted with 2 M KCl overnight, for determination of soluble DOC, DON, NH4+ and NO3- concentrations. A second 10 g subsample was digested with chloroform for 24 h prior to extraction with 2 M KCl as above, for determination of microbial biomass C [36]. Extracts were frozen prior to analysis for NH4+ and NO3- using an Astoria Pacific (Astoria, OR) colorimetric autoanalyser, and a Shimadzu TOC-L autoanalyser with a TN unit (Columbia, MO) for DOC and DON. Coarse and fine soil fractions were weighed wet, dried at 70°C for 48 h and reweighed for dry matter content, then ground on a Wiley mill with a 40 mm sieve. Dry matter content of fine mineral soil was determined on subsamples dried at 105°C for 48 h. For all samples dried at 70°C, C and N content was measured on the same Costech elemental analyser as the roots. The volume of each organic monolith layer or mineral core was calculated as depth times...
area minus the volume of rocks. Bulk density, C and N pools for soil fractions, and C and N pools for extracted fractions were calculated for both mineral and organic horizons.

(d) Statistical analyses

All data are available from the website of the Arctic LTER at http://dryas.mbl.edu/ARC/datalocatalog.html. All plant and soil variables were analysed by nested ANOVA (generalized linear model with burn severity as the main factor and transect nested within burn severity; JMP Statistical Software). The nested term pools the variance associated with transect and with transect by burn severity interaction, and is appropriate because each individual transect occurred within only one burn severity level [37]. For most variables, the transect term was not significant, but partitioned away variance associated with transect, enabling the effect of burn severity to become more apparent. All data were tested for homogeneity of variance prior to analysis using Levene, Bartlett, Brown–Forsythe tests [37]. If two or more tests did not indicate homogeneity of variance, then data were either transformed or ranked (using the average of tied ranks) prior to ANOVA (same model as above). ANOVA was conducted on ranks only if transformation by the algorithms \( y = \ln(x + 1) \) or \( y = \sqrt{x} + 0.5 \) did not result in homogeneity of variance [38]. In two cases, no transformation was successful in achieving homogeneity of variance; here, ANOVA was performed on untransformed data, and noted in the footnote to the table.

ANOVA was conducted on ranks only if transformation by the algorithms \( y = \ln(x + 1) \) or \( y = \sqrt{x} + 0.5 \) did not result in homogeneity of variance [38]. In two cases, no transformation was successful in achieving homogeneity of variance; here, ANOVA was performed on untransformed data, and noted in the footnote to the table.

ANOVA was conducted on ranks only if transformation by the algorithms \( y = \ln(x + 1) \) or \( y = \sqrt{x} + 0.5 \) did not result in homogeneity of variance [38]. In two cases, no transformation was successful in achieving homogeneity of variance; here, ANOVA was performed on untransformed data, and noted in the footnote to the table.

ANOVA was conducted on ranks only if transformation by the algorithms \( y = \ln(x + 1) \) or \( y = \sqrt{x} + 0.5 \) did not result in homogeneity of variance [38]. In two cases, no transformation was successful in achieving homogeneity of variance; here, ANOVA was performed on untransformed data, and noted in the footnote to the table.

3. Results

(a) Live plant biomass and ANPP

Four years after the Anaktuvuk River fire, vascular tundra vegetation had begun to recover, but had not reached pre-fire levels (figures 1 and 2). Although total plant biomass was still significantly greater in unburned transects than in burned transects, graminoid biomass was not significantly different (figure 2a and table 2). This was largely due to resprouting by tillers of the tussock-forming sedge *E. vaginatum* that had survived the fire, because their living rhizomes and meristems were protected by being enclosed in a dense, moist column of dead leaf bases, rhizomes and roots (the tussock core), even though all their leaves were killed in the fire (figure 1). By contrast, although deciduous and evergreen shrubs had resprouted from surviving subterranean stems, their total biomass remained significantly below that of unburned sites (figure 2a and table 2), because all of their above-ground living biomass had been killed or consumed by the fire. The biomass of evergreen shrubs was significantly lower in severely burned transects than in moderately burned transects, which was not true of any other plant growth form. Forb biomass did not differ significantly between burned and unburned sites, but forb biomass was not captured well at the scale of our harvest, being low (means were less than 2% of live biomass) and variable (figure 2a and table 2). All vascular plant species found in burned transects were also found in unburned transects, and virtually all the biomass in burned transects was made up of resprouting individuals that had survived the fire. Although numerous seedlings occurred in the burn, their biomass was negligible.

Total live root biomass did not differ significantly between unburned and moderately burned transects, but was significantly lower in severely burned transects (figure 2a,c and table 2). In the soil organic layer, coarse roots (more than 2 mm) of shrubs were not found at all in severely burned transects, and were lower in moderately burned transects than in unburned transects (figure 2c), although their occurrence was so patchy that it could not be
analysed by ANOVA. No coarse roots occurred in mineral soil. It was not feasible to separate fine roots by species, other than those of *E. vaginatum*. Most root biomass in the moderately burned sites was composed of fine *E. vaginatum* roots in the organic soil layer, which were higher (though not significantly) in moderately burned than in unburned transects. Biomass of other fine roots in organic soil decreased significantly from unburned to moderately burned to severely burned transects, and there were no significant differences in fineroot biomass in the mineral soil horizon (figure 2c and table 2).

The difference in both biomass and plant composition between burned and unburned sites was much greater for non-vascular than for vascular plants (figure 2b). No live lichens were found in any burned transect, and many burned quadrats had no live moss biomass. Some severely burned transects on mineral soil supported a lush carpet of the liverwort *M. polymorpha* (commonly seen in boreal forests after fire) and ‘weedy’ mosses, including *Polytrichum* spp., *Ceratodon purpureus* and *Pohlia nutans*. The moss community in unburned sites was dominated by *Sphagnum* spp., with abundant *Aulacomnium turgidum* and *H. splendens*, but no *Sphagnum* was seen in burned sites. *Aulacomnium turgidum* was found in both burned and unburned sites, but was much less common in burned sites. Because of the patchy occurrence of non-vascular plants in the burned transects, their biomass data could not be analysed by ANOVA.

In contrast to biomass, ANPP of vascular plants did not differ significantly between burned and unburned transects (figure 3 and table 3). Burn severity did not affect ANPP of either graminoids or deciduous shrubs; indeed, ANPP of graminoids was slightly higher in moderately burned sites than in unburned sites, though not significantly (figure 3 and table 3). By contrast, evergreen shrubs had significantly lower ANPP in the severely burned transects than in moderately burned or unburned transects. Similar to biomass, forb ANPP was not significantly affected by burn severity, but was low and variable.

(b) Allocation

To assess whether biomass allocation was altered following fire, we looked at the relationships between root biomass and ANPP or leaf biomass. Fine root biomass explained nearly half the variance in ANPP across all the burned and unburned sites, but was much less common in burned sites. Because of the patchy occurrence of non-vascular plants in the burned transects, their biomass data could not be analysed by ANOVA.

In contrast to biomass, ANPP of vascular plants did not differ significantly between burned and unburned transects (figure 3 and table 3). Burn severity did not affect ANPP of either graminoids or deciduous shrubs; indeed, ANPP of graminoids was slightly higher in moderately burned sites than in unburned sites, though not significantly (figure 3 and table 3). By contrast, evergreen shrubs had significantly lower ANPP in the severely burned transects than in moderately burned or unburned transects. Similar to biomass, forb ANPP was not significantly affected by burn severity, but was low and variable.
unburned quadrats ($t = 5.08, p < 0.0001, r^2 = 0.48$). By burn severity, the relationship between fine root biomass and ANPP was strong for both unburned ($t = 4.44, p = 0.0022, r^2 = 0.71$) and moderately burned ($t = 3.68, p = 0.0062, r^2 = 0.68$) sites, but was not significant for severely burned sites. These relationships were driven by fine roots in the organic soil layer; relationships between ANPP and roots in the mineral soil layer were not significant. Fine roots of *E. vaginatum* were responsible for the significant relationship between ANPP and fine root biomass in moderately burned sites, whereas other fine roots were responsible for the significant relationship in unburned sites. Interestingly, the fine-root : leaf–blade ratio for *E. vaginatum* was threefold higher in burned areas, having a mean of 0.56 in unburned transects, 1.66 in moderately burned transects and 1.65 in severely burned transects. This suggests that relative biomass allocation shifted towards roots, at least for this species, during regrowth following the fire.

(c) Nitrogen in live plant biomass

Nitrogen pools in live biomass and ANPP mostly followed the same overall patterns of statistical significance as seen for biomass and ANPP (figures 2b, d and 3b; tables 3 and 4), because differences in N concentration of plants and their tissue types were small compared with differences in biomass across the burn severity gradient. An exception was that, for deciduous shrubs, the N pool in biomass in moderately burned transects was not statistically different from that in unburned transects, in contrast to biomass, which was significantly lower in moderately burned than in unburned transects (tables 2 and 4). This indicated that deciduous shrubs contained more N per unit of biomass in moderately burned sites than in unburned sites. Also, in comparison with root biomass, root N pools were proportionately lower in moderately burned transects relative to unburned transects (figure 2b, d), though not enough to be significantly different (tables 2 and 4).

To assess whether the vegetation in burned sites had changed patterns of nitrogen allocation relative to vegetation in unburned sites, we compared C : N ratios for different tissue types and species. For a given species, tissue type and burn severity, C : N was usually not significantly different among species within a growth form. Overall, the C : N ratio of total live biomass was significantly higher in unburned sites than in severely burned sites, and intermediate in moderately burned sites (figure 4a and table 5). Both deciduous and evergreen shrubs had lower C : N ratios in burned sites than in...
unburned sites, but graminoids showed the opposite pattern, having significantly higher C : N in moderately burned sites than in unburned sites (table 5). For graminoids, this change was caused by a reduction in their overall N : biomass ratio in burned sites, rather than by an increase in their C : biomass ratio, suggesting N stress rather than greater photosynthetic C accumulation.

Old stems and rhizomes are the most significant storage organs for tundra plants. C : N in old stems was lower in deciduous and evergreen shrubs from burned sites than from unburned sites, which could indicate either greater N retention (perhaps due to higher uptake or to less use of existing N stores for new growth) or lower C storage (perhaps owing to higher growth demand for stored carbohydrates) in these organs (figure 4c and table 5). For both evergreen and deciduous shrubs, both N : biomass and C : biomass ratios were slightly higher in old stems from burned sites, which suggests that the decrease in C : N ratio in burned sites was driven by greater retention of N rather than less retention of C. By contrast, C : N of graminoid rhizomes was higher in burned sites than in unburned sites, which could indicate either N stress in burned sites or higher C storage, perhaps from enhanced photosynthetic activity (figure 4c and table 5). The N : biomass ratio in the rhizomes of graminoids was lower, and the

---

**Figure 3.** (a) Above-ground net primary productivity (ANPP) for vascular plants, by growth form. (b) Mass of N in ANPP, by growth form. Error bars = 1 s.e. for total community ANPP or mass of N in ANPP, between transects (n = 2).

**Table 3.** Results of analysis of variance on above-ground net primary productivity (ANPP) and N pools in ANPP. Treatments that share the same lower case letter were not significantly different in post-hoc tests (Tukey’s HSD) performed when burn severity was significant at p < 0.05 (bold). Ndf, numerator degrees of freedom; Ddf, denominator degrees of freedom; U, unburned, M, moderately burned; S, severely burned.

| growth form/category | factor | burn severity | transect [burn severity] |
|----------------------|--------|---------------|-------------------------|
|                      | Ndf    | Ddf           | F           | p            | Ndf    | Ddf           | F           | p            |
| total above-ground net primary productivity (ANPP) | 2      | 54            | 1.0775     | 0.3476      | 3      | 54            | 0.7114     | 0.5494      |
| graminoids           | 2      | 54            | 1.0381     | 0.3611      | 3      | 54            | 0.7548     | 0.5244      |
| deciduous shrubs     | 2      | 54            | 2.9568     | 0.0605      | 3      | 54            | 0.8227     | 0.4871      |
| evergreen shrubs a   | 2      | 54            | 15.7564    | <0.0001     | U; M > S | 3      | 54            | 1.5459     | 0.2132      |
| forbs                | 2      | 54            | 1.1523     | 0.3235      | 3      | 54            | 0.7814     | 0.5095      |
| total N pool in ANPP | 2      | 54            | 1.1746     | 0.3167      | 3      | 54            | 0.9686     | 0.4143      |
| graminoids           | 2      | 54            | 1.0111     | 0.3706      | 3      | 54            | 1.0858     | 0.3630      |
| deciduous shrubs     | 2      | 54            | 1.9846     | 0.1473      | 3      | 54            | 0.5714     | 0.6362      |
| evergreen shrubs a   | 2      | 54            | 12.8613    | <0.0001     | U; M > S | 3      | 54            | 1.2615     | 0.2968      |
| forbs                | 2      | 54            | 1.2366     | 0.2985      | 3      | 54            | 0.6649     | 0.5772      |

aData were rank-transformed to meet the assumptions of homogeneity of variance.
Table 4. Results of analysis of variance on N pools in live plant biomass by growth form, and on N pools in live roots. Treatments that share the same lower case letter were not significantly different in post-hoc tests (Tukey’s HSD) performed when burn severity was significant at \( p < 0.05 \) (bold). Ndf, numerator degrees of freedom; Ddf, denominator degrees of freedom; U, unburned; M, moderately burned; S, severely burned.

| growth form/ category | factor | burn severity | transect (burn severity) |
|-----------------------|--------|---------------|--------------------------|
|                       | Ndf    | Ddf           | F       | p       | Ndf | Ddf | F  | p     |
| plant biomass         | 2      | 54            | 7.1582 | **0.0017** | Ua; \( \geq \) Mb; Sb | 3    | 54  | 1.4930 | 0.2268 |
| excluding roots\(^a\) | 2      | 54            | 0.4734 | 0.6254  | Ua; Ma; Mb; \( \geq \) Sb | 3    | 54  | 0.8743 | 0.4602 |
| graminoids            | 2      | 54            | 5.3330 | **0.0077** | Ua; Ma; Mb; \( > \) Sb | 3    | 54  | 0.3228 | 0.8089 |
| deciduous shrubs      | 2      | 54            | 21.7339 | <**0.0001** | Ua; Ma; Mb; \( > \) Sc | 3    | 54  | 1.4762 | 0.2313 |
| evergreen shrubs\(^b\) | 2      | 54           | 1.1619 | 0.3147  | Ua; Ma; Mb; \( > \) Sc | 3    | 54  | 0.7678 | 0.5131 |
| forbs                 | 2      | 54            | 6.8487 | **0.0044** | Ua; Ma; Mb; \( > \) Sb | 3    | 54  | 2.6005 | 0.0755 |
| all roots\(^b\)       | 2      | 24            | 25.1263 | <**0.0001** | Ua; Ma; Mb; \( > \) Sb | 3    | 24  | 2.9088 | 0.0553 |
| all roots from organic soil\(^b,c\) | 2 | 24 | 19.9035 | <**0.0001** | Ua; Ma; Mb; \( > \) Sb | 3 | 24 | 2.4241 | 0.0905 |
| fine roots from organic soil\(^b\) | 2 | 24 | 3.3597 | 0.0517 | Ua; \( < \) Ma; \( > \) Sb | 3 | 24 | 1.4676 | 0.2484 |
| Eriophorum roots\(^c\) | 2      | 24           | 30.9733 | <**0.0001** | Ua; \( > \) Ma; \( > \) Sc | 3    | 24  | 0.5042 | 0.6830 |
| other roots\(^c\)     | 2      | 24            | 0.4713 | 0.6298  | Ua; \( > \) Mb; \( > \) Sc | 3    | 24  | 1.5077 | 0.2379 |
| fine roots from mineral soil | 2 | 24 | 1.7170 | 0.3271 | Ua; Ma; Mb; \( > \) Sb | 3 | 24 | 0.3174 | 0.8127 |
| Eriophorum roots\(^c\) | 2      | 24           | 1.0578 | 0.3628  | Ua; Ma; Mb; \( > \) Sb | 3    | 24  | 1.1856 | 0.3362 |
| other roots\(^c\)     | 2      | 24            | 11.2055 | **0.0004** | Ua; \( > \) Mb; \( > \) Sb | 3    | 24  | 1.6339 | 0.2078 |
| all plant biomass     | 2      | 24            | 22.1578 | <**0.0001** | Ua; \( > \) Mb; \( > \) Sb | 3    | 24  | 2.2005 | 0.0755 |

\(^a\)Includes data on moss and lichen biomass, which could not be analysed separately because they did not meet the assumptions of analysis of variance.

\(^b\)Includes coarse roots from organic soil, which could not be analysed separately because there were no coarse roots in the severely burned transects, so the data did not meet the assumptions of analysis of variance.

\(^c\)Data were rank-transformed to meet the assumptions of homogeneity of variance.

C : N biomass ratio slightly higher in burned sites, compared with unburned sites. Again, this indicates some degree of N stress in graminoids from the burned areas. Moreover, the C : N of both graminoid and deciduous shrub leaves was not significantly different between burned and unburned sites (figure 4b and table 5), suggesting that their photosynthetic capacity probably did not differ. C : N was lower in new leaves of evergreen shrubs from burned sites than in unburned sites (figure 4b and table 5).

Root C : N was much greater than C : N of stems or leaves. Roots from organic soils had the highest C : N in moderately burned sites, whereas roots from mineral soils had the highest C : N in severely burned sites (table 5). This suggests that most N taken up by plants was not retained in their roots in unburned sites, and was retained even less in roots from burned sites. C : N of fine root biomass increased in both moderately and severely burned sites relative to unburned sites (figure 4d), though the difference was significant only for roots from mineral soil (figure 4d and table 5). The increased fine root C : N in burned sites was not due solely to a change in the relative abundance of E. vaginatum versus other fine roots, because the C : N of E. vaginatum roots was also significantly higher in moderately burned sites (table 5).

(d) Nitrogen in soils

Although on average, the Anaktuvuk River fire resulted in a loss of 6.1 cm of soil depth [6], there were no significant differences in the depth of the organic soil layer where we sampled (figure 5a and table 6). This likely occurred because many of the areas that burned most deeply also had the thickest pre-fire organic layers; the depth of residual organic material is not a good proxy for combustion [6]. By contrast, the depth of the thawed mineral soil layer was significantly greater in burned sites (figure 5a and table 6). Bulk soil organic N (SON) of the mineral soil layer (but not the organic soil layer) was higher in burned sites than in unburned sites (figure 5b and table 6). This was due to the greater depth of thaw, and thus deeper mineral layer, in burned sites, because
the C:N of mineral soil did not differ between burned and unburned sites (figure 5f and table 6). However, C:N of organic soils was higher in moderately burned sites than in either unburned or severely burned sites (figure 5f and table 6). Plant-available inorganic NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ pools did not differ significantly between burned and unburned sites, for either organic or mineral soil layers, although they were quite variable (figure 5c,d and table 6). Less DON in the organic soil layer occurred in burned sites than in unburned sites, but the opposite pattern prevailed in the mineral soil layer, so that the total soil pool size was about the same (figure 5e and table 6). Microbial biomass N did not differ significantly between burned and unburned sites, in either organic or mineral soil layers (table 6). Overall, these results suggest that plant-available soil N summed over organic and mineral soil layers was not higher in burned sites than in unburned sites, by the time of our biomass harvest.

4. Discussion

Despite the high severity of the Anaktuvuk River fire, ANPP of vascular plants in both moderately burned and severely burned tundra had recovered to the unburned level by 4 years following the fire. Vascular plant biomass in the burn still remained below the unburned level. Graminoid biomass was similar in burned sites and in unburned tundra, but deciduous and evergreen shrub biomass had not recovered as completely. Rapid recovery of plant cover and remotely sensed surface properties, such as albedo and vegetation indices, as well as an early, vigorous growth response by resprouting graminoids have been reported after several other tundra fires [10,13,40]. The much greater changes we observed after fire in the biomass and composition of the non-vascular, compared with the vascular, plant community, have also been seen after other tundra fires; lichen biomass, in particular, appears to take decades to centuries to recover from the disturbances of fires or heavy overgrazing by reindeer, especially under a warming climate [11,12,16,41,42]. However, initial increases in the relative abundance of graminoids relative to shrubs are often transient, and over two to three decades, tussock tundra vegetation can return to a post-fire composition that is similar to that of unburned tundra except for the lichen component [12,15], showing resilience to this disturbance. This is a possible outcome over much of the area burned in the Anaktuvuk River fire.

In the boreal forest, fire severity affects community assembly and secondary succession primarily by influencing the relative success of different plant regeneration strategies [43]. Also, tree seedlings mostly establish within the first decade following fire, which sets the trajectory for canopy
In burned black spruce forests, succession after low-to-moderate severity fires is characterized by regrowth from species capable of resprouting following fire and establishment of black spruce seedlings, which returns the burned area to a black spruce forest of similar vegetation composition [43,45]. By contrast, establishment of colonizers and deciduous tree seedlings is much more important in high severity fires, where consumption of thick organic layers provides a better substrate for seed germination and seedling survival, and leads to alternative trajectories of succession dominated by deciduous trees [43,45]. Many tundra species are also found in the boreal forest understory, and virtually all the vascular plant species we observed in the area burned by the Anaktuvuk River fire resprouted following the fire, even in severely burned transects. Although the Anaktuvuk River fire was unusually severe for

### Table 5. Results of analysis of variance on C : N ratios in live plant biomass by growth form, and in live roots. Treatments that share the same lower case letter were not significantly different in post-hoc tests (Tukey’s HSD) performed when burn severity was significant at $p < 0.05$ (bold). Ndf, numerator degrees of freedom; Ddf, denominator degrees of freedom; U, unburned; M, moderately burned; S, severely burned.

| growth form category | factor | burn severity | transect [burn severity] |
|----------------------|--------|---------------|-------------------------|
| plant biomass        | Ndf    | Ddf           | $F$     | $p$  | Ndf    | Ddf           | $F$     | $p$  |
| excluding roots $^a$ | 2      | 54            | 12.3870 | $<0.0001$ | 3      | 54            | 1.6054 | 0.1988 |
| graminoids           | 2      | 50            | 4.0748  | 0.0229  | 3      | 50            | 0.3539 | 0.6598 |
| leaves $^b$          | 2      | 50            | 1.4717  | 0.2393  | 3      | 50            | 2.1432 | 0.1065 |
| rhizomes             | 2      | 47            | 11.0020 | $<0.0001$ | 3      | 47            | 2.3295 | 0.0864 |
| deciduous shrubs     | 2      | 48            | 5.7693  | 0.0057  | 3      | 48            | 0.2333 | 0.8728 |
| leaves $^c$          | 2      | 44            | 0.5303  | 0.5921  | 3      | 44            | 3.8473 | 0.0157 |
| new stems $^d$       | 2      | 42            | 0.1069  | 0.8988  | 3      | 42            | 3.2670 | 0.0305 |
| old stems and below-ground stems | 2 | 47 | 11.2960 | $<0.0001$ | 3 | 47 | 2.5931 | 0.0637 |
| evergreen shrubs $^b$ | 2      | 51            | 11.6914 | $<0.0001$ | 3      | 51            | 0.1449 | 0.9325 |
| new leaves           | 2      | 49            | 7.6107  | 0.0013  | 3      | 49            | 0.3574 | 0.7840 |
| new stem             | 2      | 49            | 3.9488  | 0.0257  | 3      | 49            | 1.0423 | 0.3822 |
| old leaves           | 2      | 49            | 22.8206 | $<0.0001$ | 3      | 49            | 3.6152 | 0.0195 |
| all roots $^b$       | 2      | 24            | 5.6843  | 0.0095  | 3      | 24            | 0.5289 | 0.6667 |
| all roots from organic soil | 2 | 22 | 3.9976 | 0.0330 | 3 | 22 | 1.4254 | 0.2622 |
| fine roots from organic soil | 2 | 2 | 3.0758 | 0.0664 | 3 | 2 | 1.5839 | 0.2217 |
| Eriophorum roots $^e$ | 2      | 10            | 13.0842 | $<0.0001$ | 2      | 10            | 3.1852 | 0.0851 |
| other roots          | 2      | 10            | 3.5332  | 0.0691  | 2      | 10            | 0.0107 | 0.9894 |
| fine roots from mineral soil | 2 | 21 | 9.1689 | 0.0014 | 3 | 21 | 2.2193 | 0.1158 |
| Eriophorum roots $^e$ | 2      | 10            | 9.6355  | 0.0047  | 2      | 10            | 3.7558 | 0.0607 |
| other roots          | 2      | 10            | 4.2183  | 0.1595  | 3      | 24            | 0.7866 | 0.5132 |
| all plant biomass    | 2      | 24            | 4.2322  | 0.0266  | 3      | 24            | 0.7866 | 0.5132 |

$^a$Includes data on moss and lichen biomass, which could not be analysed separately because they did not meet the assumptions of analysis of variance.

$^b$Data were rank-transformed to meet the assumptions of homogeneity of variance.

$^c$Data could not be transformed to achieve homogeneity of variance, due to high variability in one of the severely burned transects.
a tundra fire, from the abundance of resprouters in burned areas it appears to be more similar to moderate than to severe boreal forest fires. The plant community assembling in our burned sites is from the same species pool as in the unburned sites, because all the vascular plant species in burned areas were also seen in unburned tundra. If tundra follows similar successional pathways as seen in boreal forest (without the trees), then the vegetation in the burned areas we studied may be resilient to this disturbance and return to its pre-fire composition.

Figure 5. Soil variables from unburned, moderately burned and severely burned transects. (a) Depth from the surface to the organic–mineral layer interface (organic), and from the organic–mineral interface to ice (mineral). (b) Soil organic N content for the organic and mineral soil layers. (c) The mass of N in ammonium extracted from organic and mineral soil layers. (d) The mass of N in nitrate extracted from the organic and mineral soil layers. (e) The mass of N in dissolved organic N extracted from organic and mineral soil layers. (f) C : N of the fine soil fraction. Error bars = 1 s.e. for total pool sizes between transects (n = 2).
Table 6. Results of analysis of variance on soil N pools. All variables were expressed in g N m$^{-2}$ for the entire mineral or organic soil layer, except for depth (cm) and microbial biomass N, which was expressed in g N$^{-1}$ of oven-dried soil. Treatments that share the same lower case letter were not significantly different in post-hoc tests (Tukey’s HSD) performed when burn severity was significant at $p < 0.05$ (bold). Ndf, numerator degrees of freedom; Ddf, denominator degrees of freedom; U, Unburned; M, moderately burned; S, severely burned; SON, soil organic N; DON, dissolved organic N; MB-N, microbial biomass N.

| factor                  | soil variables | burn severity | transect [burn severity] |
|-------------------------|----------------|---------------|--------------------------|
|                         | Ndf | Ddf | F    | p        | Ndf | Ddf | F    | p        |
| organic soil            |     |     |      |         |     |     |      |         |
| depth                   | 2   | 24  | 2.0340 | 0.1528  | 3   | 24  | 0.0995 | 0.9595  |
| SON                     | 2   | 24  | 2.3745 | 0.1146  | 3   | 24  | 0.3897 | 0.7615  |
| C : N$^a$               | 2   | 24  | 4.2199 | 0.0269  | 3   | 24  | 2.1593 | 0.1191  |
| NH$_4^+$                | 2   | 24  | 2.2593 | 0.1262  | 3   | 24  | 0.7737 | 0.5201  |
| NO$_3^-$                | 2   | 24  | 1.3739 | 0.2723  | 3   | 24  | 0.5040 | 0.6832  |
| DON                     | 2   | 24  | 4.8540 | 0.0170  | 3   | 24  | 0.1638 | 0.9197  |
| MB-N                   | 2   | 24  | 0.3901 | 0.6812  | 3   | 24  | 0.4652 | 0.7093  |
| mineral soil            |     |     |      |         |     |     |      |         |
| depth                   | 2   | 24  | 4.4887 | 0.0221  | 3   | 24  | 0.7418 | 0.5376  |
| SON                     | 2   | 24  | 5.7819 | 0.0089  | 3   | 24  | 0.4452 | 0.7229  |
| C : N$^a$               | 2   | 24  | 2.5115 | 0.1022  | 3   | 24  | 4.8219 | 0.0091  |
| NH$_4^+$                | 2   | 24  | 1.5071 | 0.2418  | 3   | 24  | 2.1852 | 0.1160  |
| NO$_3^-$                | 2   | 24  | 1.9712 | 0.1612  | 3   | 24  | 4.0024 | 0.0192  |
| DON$^b$                 | 2   | 23  | 6.1966 | 0.0070  | 3   | 23  | 1.0211 | 0.4015  |
| MB-N                   | 2   | 24  | 0.3620 | 0.7000  | 3   | 24  | 0.7372 | 0.5401  |

$^a$Data were rank-transformed to meet the assumptions of homogeneity of variance.

$^b$One high outlier removed prior to analysis.

Another factor that could promote resilience in vegetation recovery following fire in tundra is low soil nutrient availability, because a limited number of species have conservative traits that allow them to succeed under nutrient limitation, and ANPP in unburned tussock tundra has been shown to be strongly nutrient-limited [21–23]. Total vascular ANPP (200 g m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ ) of unburned tundra in the vicinity of the Anaktuvuk River fire is remarkably similar to other ANPP (200 g m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ ) shown to be strongly nutrient-limited [21–23]. Total vascular ANPP (200 g m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ ) of unburned tundra in the vicinity of the Anaktuvuk River fire is remarkably similar to other ANPP (200 g m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ ) shown to be strongly nutrient-limited [21–23]. Total vascular ANPP (200 g m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ ) of unburned tundra in the vicinity of the Anaktuvuk River fire is remarkably similar to other ANPP (200 g m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ ) shown to be strongly nutrient-limited [21–23].

Despite this, the rapid regrowth of the vegetation and the lowered C : N ratio in old stems of deciduous and evergreen shrubs suggest that soil N was not limiting to plants immediately following the Anaktuvuk River fire, perhaps because of reduced competition for soil N after most of the above-ground plant biomass had been consumed by combustion. Indeed, across all the permanent transects, the relative availability of inorganic N and P, as assessed by ion-exchange resin bags, was approximately two to three times higher in burned sites than in unburned sites during 2008–2009 (data not shown). However, by the time of our biomass harvest, plant-available soil N pools did not differ significantly between burned and unburned sites, suggesting that nutrient limitation is now occurring. Similarly, transient increases in soil N availability lasting 1–2 years have been seen following stand-replacing forest fires [25].

Changes in plant allocation also suggest nutrient limitation at the time of our harvest. The increased fine-root : leaf-blade ratios in E. vaginatum suggest that in burned sites this species had to allocate more resources towards acquiring N than in unburned sites (E. vaginatum grows an entirely new set of roots each year). Eriophorum vaginatum appears to be fairly flexible in its relative allocation to fine roots [51], and adjusts its fine-root : leaf-blade ratio
studied. Indeed, the difference in active layer depth between burned and unburned sites within the Anaktuvuk River fire has already started to decrease [47], although multiple local ground subsidies caused by melting of subsurface ice have been observed within the fire perimeter, mostly on hillslopes [30,58]. For the past few centuries, even in the warmer boreal forest of the Alaskan Interior, permafrost has usually recovered after fire as the forest vegetation and organic soils have built back up, though permafrost there may not be able to recover after fire in the future if the climate continues to warm [57].

It has been suggested that fire may play an important role in maintaining the long-term persistence of tussock tundra, promoting the growth of *E. vaginatum* tussocks by reducing competition from deciduous and evergreen shrubs, and allowing opportunities for seedling establishment [13]. Most of the area of the Anaktuvuk River fire was classified as mixed shrub--sedge tussock tundra, with a shrub cover greater than 25 per cent [30], which is shrubbier than classic tussock tundra [29]. Fire promotes flowering of *E. vaginatum* and establishment of seedlings within one to a few years after fire, though few of these seedlings successfully recruit into the tussock population over the longer term [10,13]. We saw numerous graminoid seedlings in our harvest, but very few dicotyledonous seedlings, as in previous studies, and did not detect any non-native species. The Anaktuvuk River fire scar is located far from any roads that could serve as a source for introducing non-native species; this could allow any disequilibrium between potential flora and climate to persist [59]. That shrub biomass is increasing and shrub ANPP has already reached pre-fire levels suggests that shrubs will return to their former abundance eventually, but a transition to shrub dominance is unlikely as long as permafrost in the burned areas does not thaw deeply.

In conclusion, vegetation recovery after the Anaktuvuk River fire is consistent with what has been observed after other tundra fires from the late-twentieth century, despite the unusual severity and size of this fire. Although the area burned by this fire showed no evidence of burning in the past 5000 years [27], the plant species that occupy the fire scar are common in Alaska, and occur in both tundra [4,13,60] and boreal forest that burns much more frequently. Indeed, they show many characteristics consistent with adaptation to fire [13]. Nitrogen limitation and the persistence of resprouting plants in the burned areas are likely to constrain the successional trajectory back towards mixed shrub–sedge tussock tundra and promote resilience, unless climate warming leads to much greater permafrost thaw, and thus to higher nutrient availability than has yet been seen. Although it is likely that, in the next century, climate warming will interact with fire to enhance permafrost degradation and vegetation change, the Anaktuvuk River fire does not seem to have pushed its landscape over a tipping point into a new regime [9]. Over much of the area of the Anaktuvuk River fire scar, it is likely that the vegetation will be similar to what was there before the fire.
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Continuous permafrost underlies the tundra ecosystems of the North Slope of Alaska, and currently only a shallow layer of soil (the active layer) near the surface thaws seasonally. However, recent climate warming at high latitudes has resulted in warming and thawing of permafrost in many regions [54,55]. Over the next century, climate warming will likely interact with fire to accelerate permafrost thaw. Owing to changes in surface energy balance, the depth of the active layer is commonly increased in the first few years following fire in tundra ecosystems [10,13,40,47,56]. After fire, the depth of the active layer in burned sites may be deeper than in unburned sites for two or three decades [14,15,40], though in other cases it returns to unburned levels within about 10 years [13]. It has been suggested that resilience of permafrost to climate change is promoted by negative feedbacks from ecosystem properties that develop during vegetation succession, including canopy effects on shading and snow interception, litterfall quantity and quality, moss growth, increasing moisture, and decreasing soil temperatures that reduce decomposition [57]. In addition, the widespread peat layer that blankets the North Slope of Alaska inhibits large-scale soil erosion and stabilizes permafrost [58]. By contrast, surface water impounded when the ground settles after thawing of subsurface ice can warm ground temperatures by up to 10°C, and accelerate permafrost thaw by interacting with subsurface ice, even in cold climates [57]. Tussock tundra is generally underlain by deep, continuous permafrost, and thus far, recovery of tussock vegetation and permafrost has occurred following most of the tussock fires that have been
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