Abstract—Women represent less than 24% of employees in the software development industry and experience various types of prejudice and bias. Despite various efforts to increase diversity and multi-gendered participation, women are even more under-represented in Open Source Software (OSS) projects. In my PhD, I investigate the following question: How can OSS communities increase women’s participation in their projects? I will identify different OSS career pathways and develop a holistic view of women’s motivations to join or leave OSS, as well as their definitions of success. Based on this empirical investigation, I will work together with the Linux Foundation to design attraction and retention strategies focused on women. Before and after implementing the strategies, I will conduct empirical studies to evaluate the state of the practice and understand the implications of the strategies.
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I. PROBLEM AND RESEARCH STATEMENT

Open Source Software (OSS) development is a collaborative endeavor in which expert developers distributed around the globe create software solutions [1, 2]. Many OSS projects count on a community of volunteers to succeed, and such a community needs newcomers for their sustainability and growth. The lack of gender diversity in OSS projects has gained increasing attention from practitioners and researchers.

Diversity in software development teams can take many different forms, including gender, experience, culture, and technical knowledge. Some teams are more diverse in one attribute and less in others [3]. Gender diversity positively affects productivity by bringing together different perspectives; improving outcomes [3], innovation, and problem-solving capacity; and fostering a healthier work environment [4]. A diverse development team is more likely to properly comprehend users’ needs, contributing to a better alignment between the delivered software and its intended customers [5].

Although organizations are taking actions to increase gender diversity, the percentage of women in OSS projects are in average lower than 10%. Only 7.5% of the contributions to public code from the last 50 years were authored by women [6]. Women represent only 5.2% of the contributors to the Apache Software Foundation [7], 9.9% in Linux kernel [8], and 10% of OpenStack contributors [9], three of the largest and most well-known OSS communities. Indeed, women represent only 9% of GitHub users [10].

Considering the benefits of having a more gender-diverse team, researchers are also increasingly focusing on understanding the low representation of women in OSS.

Research suggests that gender bias and sexist behavior pervade OSS [11, 12]. Women feel frustrated when they are the only woman on a development team or when their input is under-valued or ignored, even on topics in which they have expert knowledge [13]. Within OSS projects, the notion of meritocracy reigns, following the logic that quality speaks for itself and will be rewarded [14]. Continually finding themselves on the bottom rung, it is no surprise that many women report experiencing “imposter syndrome” [3]. Gender biases can represent a persistent barrier for women to join OSS [15].

Strategies suggested by previous work to attract and retain women include issuing code of conduct statements [16, 17, 18]; adopting feminist and social justice principles [18]; promoting women to leadership positions [19]; providing spaces for women to build their leadership capacity and engage in developing the community with norms and values consistent with their own [20], focusing on the first social experiences through programs such as mentorships [21]; and reforming the systemic gender-bias and providing inclusive tools and infrastructure [15]. Strategies discouraged by the literature include setting quotas for women, since merely increasing the proportion of women can lead to the flattening of the slope of the relationship between behavioral femaleness and outcomes and activate questionable stereotypes [22].

Consistent with the general finding that women’s participation in OSS remains very low, survey and anecdotal evidence have indicated that attracting and retaining women as contributors in OSS projects has been particularly challenging [23, 24, 25].

II. RESEARCH GOALS AND HYPOTHESIS

I will investigate women’s participation in OSS, including their motivations to join, what attracts them to OSS, the pathways they follow as they progress on a project (or to achieve their perceptions of success), the challenges they face and their motivations to stay, take breaks, or leave,
and what attracts or repels them from OSS. Ultimately, the overall goal of this project is to help OSS projects devise strategies to attract and retain women, while helping these women contributors to attain their own goals. For this purpose, I will create strategies to 1. attract and retain women based on the forces compelling them to join and stay in OSS, and 2. diminish the forces compelling them to drop out of OSS, as depicted in Fig. 1.

The process of joining OSS projects can be understood into two stages: **ONBOARDING** and **CONTRIBUTING** [26]. Explaining the process in different stages helps to visualize the different forces that compel contributors towards staying with or leaving the project [27].

Motivations drive both the **ONBOARDING** and **CONTRIBUTING** stages of joining OSS. An individual can have one or a set of **MOTIVATION(S) TO JOIN**, and shift to (a) different **MOTIVATION(S) TO STAY** (or not) [23]. Shifts in motivation might occur due to changes in the OSS landscape, or they might reflect the journey an individual makes and their growth since first joining [28, 26].

Individuals do not only behave to achieve immediate rewards; they might also act to reach or maintain a consistency of action points beyond the attainment of specific and immediate goals [28]. In addition to motivations, self-perceptions of success affect choices they make for personal and professional lives, including educational options and decisions of where to work [29]. Aligned with this, I argue that an OSS community or organization can better attract and retain women contributors when they consider both their motivations and the multitude of factors that underpin what means success to them.

I will identify the challenges that women report when contributing to OSS, and what would make them take breaks or even leave altogether. I will collect their advice for other women and their suggestions about possible actions to increase inclusivity, and also use as input for the strategies to attract and retain them.

In this dissertation, I tackle the research question **How can OSS communities increase women’s participation in OSS projects?**. To guide my exploration of an answer to the research question, I defined specific questions:

- **RQ.1** What strategies can be employed to attract and retain more women contributors to OSS projects?
- **RQ.2** How can these strategies help to increase the percentage of women in OSS projects?

### III. Expected Contributions

The theoretical contribution of this dissertation is multi-fold, including identification of: the pathways that can be followed by OSS contributors (from any gender); women’s motivations to join (or not), stay, take breaks, and leave OSS projects; women contributors’ multi-faceted definitions of success; contributions to the state-of-the-art, including the current challenges women face, women’s advice for other women, and suggestions to make OSS projects more inclusive.

The practical contributions include guidelines for women seeking a career in OSS, showing the different roles, activities, backgrounds, and necessary skills. Women can use the guidelines to develop a training plan, and learn and improve the skills necessary for their preferred pathway. I will also provide actionable mechanisms for OSS projects to encourage women to join and keep contributing. The strategies will be implemented in a large OSS project that seeks to increase women’s participation (Linux Kernel) and evaluated in practice, as I explain in Section IV-C.

To the best of my knowledge, there is no work that provides strategies to increase women’s participation in OSS based on what I call “women’s desires and beliefs about OSS:” that is, their motivations to join, stay, or leave, their perceptions of success, and the challenges they encounter. Also there is no work that offers a guideline of career pathways and roles in OSS that highlights for women the different ways they can contribute, be successful, and achieve their goals.

### IV. Research Methodology

My research has three stages and adopts mixed methods to accomplish its goal, as depicted in Figure 2.

To help answer **RQ.1.** What strategies can be employed to attract and retain more women as contributors to OSS projects? of this dissertation, I’ve executed Stage 1 and planned Stage 2 to explore the career pathways, goals, definitions of success, and motivations that influence a contributor’s decision to join, stay, take breaks, or leave an OSS project. The studies include all genders contributors as I use segmented analysis to compare findings between genders.

#### A. Stage 1 - Explore career pathways, motivations, and definitions of success

I started this project with a **study based on interviews to find the different pathways followed by successful OSS contributors** [30]. We interviewed 17 participants (12 of them identified their gender as women) that were invited speakers at OSCON (Open Source Software Conference). I have qualitatively analyzed the results to identify career paths, how they joined, which roles and activities they perform, and how
they arrived at their current position in OSS. Understanding that participation in OSS projects includes more than writing code [9], we found that people can build a career in OSS through different roles and activities, and with different backgrounds, including those not related to writing software. These activities, while crucial to the survival of the OSS community, are currently performed by hidden or largely unacknowledged figures in the community. They (or their contributions) are not visible when navigating the project repository data. As a result they are not seen as the central figures of the project. This not only is demotivating, because of the lack of recognition, but it can also discourage individuals who lack a Computer Science background or interest in coding-related activities.

Next, I conducted a second study based on interviews and a survey to understand the multi-faceted definition of success in OSS. Success in OSS encompasses more than code contributions alone; as evident in one participant’s OSS journey, who shared in our first study that success in OSS involves, who said it involves “contributing more than code, [including] contributing documentation, processes … the governance of the project” (P7). However, currently there is a misconception that success in OSS is only achieved through activities related to source code [31, 32, 33, 34].

The disproportionate emphasis on code can make other types of contributions seem less valuable. This may specifically disadvantage women, given that the majority of code authors are men, and social ties may influence women’s inclusion in technical activities [11, 35] resulting in women’s lower engagement [36]. Affirming this, the majority (144 out of 165) of men who answered our survey were coders. OSS contributors, however, comprise a heterogeneous group, with diverse talents, skills, career goals, and motivations [37, 38, 28, 39]. Also from the first study, we found that some contributors perform a variety of non-code related activities (e.g., advocacy, technical writing, translation, project management) [30] and follow different pathways than the celebrated “onion model” [11, 40, 40].

Given the fact that OSS communities comprise more players than simply “code warriors,” the community’s definition of success ought to broaden beyond the quantity of code one produces. The way we define success has a remarkable impact on the choices we make in our personal and professional lives. Without such a broadened understanding, how would it possible support diverse individuals whose background, career goals, and pathways do not fit the typical onion model career mold? I have investigated the different career pathways, goals, and the self-defined success through interviews with 27 OSS contributors who are recognized as successful in their communities, and a follow-up open survey with 193 OSS contributors. This study provides nuanced definitions of success perceptions in OSS, and show that OSS contributors have a broader perspective on success than the narrow focus on code-related activities—which is better supported by current tools and practices. Our results include 26 categories of definitions of success. A segmented analysis by gender showed that women consider recognition more than men as part of their definition for success. The literature shows that men relate success to tangible and objective outcomes, but, contrary to the research in other domains [29, 41, 42], definitions of success that are considered subjective were also cited by men. This study was also turned into a paper that is currently under peer review.

Following the first two studies, I conducted a third study based on a survey about the current motivations that drive OSS contributors to join and stay in OSS. Our field’s understanding of what motivates people to contribute to OSS is still fundamentally grounded in studies from the early 2000s, and much has changed since the early days of OSS [43]. OSS today enjoys a place of distinction in producing key technologies and providing learning; from the first study we could see that OSS also offers different career opportunities. With such drastic changes to the status of OSS, we considered it likely that what motivates people to join OSS also has evolved since the early days. It is time to revisit the fundamental question of what drives people to contribute to OSS today. Shifts in motivation occur not only due to changes to the OSS landscape, but also in reflection of the journey an individual makes and their growth since they first joined [26]. Currently, there is a lack of an understanding of the differences in motivation for the early joiners compared to those who are well-entrenched in OSS.

Aiming to support both the attraction of new members and the retention of existing contributors, we ran this study to understand the current motivations of OSS contributors, how they shifted from OSS’s infancy [31, 37] in response to the changing landscape, and how motivation changes after mem-

| Stage 1 | Study based on interviews to find the many career pathways followed by successful OSS contributors |
| Stage 2 | Systematic literature mapping about the women’s participation in OSS |
| Stage 3 | Design of strategies to increase women participation in OSS |

Fig. 2. Research Design
For the interviews I will first identify survey respondents and corroborate the findings through semi-structured interviews [46]. A qualitative and quantitative analysis of the data, I will corroborate the findings through semi-structured interviews [46]. After evaluating the sense of virtual community [44, 45], I will collect information about the results of the actions this project had already taken to increase inclusivity.

C. Stage 3 - Strategies to Increase Women in OSS

In this Stage I will design actionable strategies to increase women’s participation. The interventions will focus on increasing their sense of belonging and stickiness with the project. The community managers of Linux Kernel will evaluate the feasibility of the strategies. The data collected in both Stages 1 and 2 will be used as design input.

In order to answer the RQ.2, How can the strategies help increase the rates of women in OSS projects?, and aligned with the Plan-Do-Check-Act (PDCA) approach [47][48], during the execution of the strategies I will interact and collect feedback from the contributors and the community managers to evaluate, learn, and improve the strategies. Additionally, I will run post-study debriefing interview sessions with women who participated in the strategies to collect their impressions, and the positive and negative points to be used as lessons learned. I will track the number of women before and after the strategies were implemented to evaluate whether the strategies helped to increase the number of women contributors.

V. CONCLUSION

This proposal focuses on increasing women’s participation in OSS projects. More specifically, I take an holistic approach to women’s motivations to join (or not), stay, take breaks, or leave OSS, together with their definitions of success, to design attraction and retention strategies focused on women’s aspirations within OSS. Through preliminary findings, I show the many different career pathways that women can follow through success in OSS, how their motivations to start and to stay can shift, and that their definition of success is multi-faceted and nuanced. Success can include both objective perspectives (e.g., monetary rewards, amount of contribution) as well as subjective perceptions (e.g., recognition in the community, satisfaction). Although contributors’ goals may end up at the same destination, the routes they take to arrive there can be many and divergent, rooted in their diverse motivations and perceptions of success.
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