Integral effects of brassinosteroids and timber waste biochar enhances the drought tolerance capacity of wheat plant
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Drought stress is among the major threats that affect negatively crop productivity in arid and semiarid regions. Probably, application of some additives such as biochar and/or brassinosteroids could mitigate this stress; however, the mechanism beyond the interaction of these two applications is not well inspected. Accordingly, a greenhouse experiment was conducted on wheat (a strategic crop) grown under deficit irrigation levels (factor A) i.e., 35% of the water holding capacity (WHC) versus 75% of WHC for 35 days while considering the following additives, i.e., (1) biochar [BC, factor B, 0, 2%] and (2) the foliar application of 24-epibrassinolide [BR, factor C, 0 (control treatment, C), 1 (BR1) or 3 (BR2) μmol]). All treatments were replicated trice and the obtained results were statistically analyzed via the analyses of variance. Also, heat-map conceits between measured variables were calculated using the Python software. Key results indicate that drought stress led to significant reductions in all studied vegetative growth parameters (root and shoot biomasses) and photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll a, b and total contents) while raised the levels of oxidative stress indicators. However, with the application of BC and/or BR, significance increases occurred in the growth attributes of wheat plants, its photosynthetic pigments, especially the combined additions. They also upraised the levels of enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants while decreased stress indicators. Furthermore, they increased calcium (Ca), phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) content within plants. It can therefore be deduced that the integral application of BR and BC is essential to mitigate drought stress in plants.

Drought stress possesses a potential threat that hampers plant growth and productivity in arid and semiarid regions¹². This stress has adverse effects on photosynthetic machinery, particularly disrupting thylakoid electron transport, stomatal conductance, CO₂ assimilation and Calvin cycle³–⁵. Moreover, drought stress interrupts the balance between antioxidative defense mechanisms and production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) causing ROS accumulation and this, consequently, disorganize cell membrane lipids, protein and DNA strands⁶. Accordingly,
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plants have developed a wide range of adaptive processes during their evolution, including physiological, morphological and biochemical mechanisms allowing a proper response to water scarcity, e.g., increasing the phytohormonal signaling pathways in response to external abiotic stress.

Different safe approaches have been introduced for increasing plant tolerance to drought stress. For example, brassinosteroids (BR) are polyhydroxylated steroidal phytohormones, which regulates numerous processes of plant physiology and morphogenesis starting from seed germination up to the regulation of flowering and senescence. Moreover, BR are involved in controlling abiotic stress responses via: (1) increasing activities of antioxidant enzymes12; hence, lessening the production rate of superoxide anion11, (2) reducing abscisic acid (ABA) accumulation12 in spite of that these hormones increase stomatal closure under drought conditions10,13 and (3) increasing the osmotic permeability of root cells to take up more water from soil.

Biochar is another promising additive that can mitigate the adverse effects of drought on plant growth and productivity, e.g., sorghum14, maize15 and wheat16. This might take place via (I) increasing soil water retention, hence reducing soil irrigation demands17, (II) increasing nutrient use efficiency18, (III) stimulating auxin, gibberellin and brassinosteroids regulation19 and (IV) increasing plant chlorophyll content20, stomatal conductance, cytotoxicity and leaf K+ content21. This cost-effective carbon rich product is formed through the pyrolysis of organic residues under limited oxygen supply22,23 to give a product of high porous aromatic carbon content24. It is worth mentioning that this product retains longer in soil versus other organic residues such as compost; hence mitigate climate changes through carbon sequestration20,25.

In the next years, significant increases in global crop products will be required to fulfill the increasing human and animal food demands26. Wheat is among the most important crops for global food security27 which contributes up to 40% to the world food demand. Its global production is estimated by 757.4 million tons in the year of 201928. Although, the modern high yielding wheat cultivars are introduced to increase wheat productivity29 and these cultivars consume massive amounts of mineral fertilizers30; yet, appropriate techniques are also needed to maximize nutrient utilization by plants in order to sustain the available limited resources. For example, nitrogen use efficiency by wheat does not exceed 33% worldwide28 and others nutrients mostly do not exceed 50%. Under drought conditions, nutrient use efficiency decreases considerably31 and this may cause further reductions in plant productivity. In this investigation, we tested the feasibility of using the combined application of exogenous BR and BC as potential safe approaches to mitigate drought stress via increasing plant capability to utilize soil nutrients as well as the enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants; hence this combined application might increase considerably crop yield productivity under drought conditions. Although, many studies highlighted the positive effects of the sole application of each of exogenous BR and BC on plant growth; yet, the implications of this combined application on increasing plant tolerance to stress conditions is not so far investigated.

The current study is a trial to investigate the potential co-application ameliorative impacts of timber waste biochar as a soil amendment and exogenous brassinosteroids as foliar application to alleviate the stress conditions on wheat plants subjected to deficit irrigations. Specifically, we hypothesized that this combined application could effectively mitigate drought stress effects on wheat than applying each solely; consequently, the productivity of treated plants increased considerably under such adverse conditions. Wheat was selected as an experimental model plant in this study, following guidelines for testing chemicals by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) guideline 208.

Materials and methods

Materials of study. Surface soil samples (0–30 cm) were collected from the experimental farm of Government College Women University Faisalabad, then air dried, crushed and sieved to pass through a 2-mm sieve. Soil characters were investigated according to Sparks et al.32 and the results are presented in Table 1.

Wheat seeds (Triticum aestivum L., cv. Lasani-2008) were obtained from certified seed dealer of the Government of Punjab, Pakistan. All seeds were disinfected with 95% ethanol followed by 70% sodium hypochlorite solution washing, then washed three times with distilled water. The use of plants in the present study complies with the IUCN Policy Statement on Research Involving Species at Risk of Extinction and the Convention on the Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora.

For production of timber waste biochar, timber wastes were collected from the regional timber market. These wastes were sun-dried for a week and then pyrolyzed at 390 °C for 80 min in a pyrolyzer. Afterwards, timber waste biochar (BC) was crushed in a grinder and sieved through a 2 mm sieve and the fine residue was kept for the experimental procedure. Some major timber waste biochar properties are presented in Table 2.

| Components       | Values   |
|------------------|----------|
| pH*              | 7.5      |
| EC**             | 7.82 ds m⁻¹ |
| Organic matter content | 14.2 g kg⁻¹ |
| Available- P     | 6.133 mg kg⁻¹ |
| Available- N     | 190 mg kg⁻¹ |
| Available- K     | 33.4 mg kg⁻¹ |

Table 1. Soil component analysis. *pH was determined in 1:2.5 (soil:water) suspension, **EC was determined in soil paste extract.
**Plant material, experimental design and growth conditions.** A pot experiment was conducted at the Botanical Garden, Government College Women University Faisalabad, Pakistan to test the hypothesis of the study. In this experiment, soil portions equivalent to 8 kg soil were mixed thoroughly with one of the following treatments: 0% (control, no timber waste biochar) and 2% biochar (equivalent to 160 g biochar per 8 kg of soil) were packed uniformly in plastic pots (28 cm diameter × 20 cm height). These pots were arranged in a complete randomized block design, and each treatment was replicated three times. Seven seeds were sown in each pot, and five healthy seedlings were left by thinning at 15 days after planting till the end of the incubation period (35 days after planting). All plants were watered optimally at 75% WHC (75% of the water holding capacity) until three weeks of sowing; thereafter one group of pots (drought-stressed) was watered at only 35% WHC (35% of the water holding capacity), while the other group was watered at 75% of WHC. Plants were sprayed trice with 24-epibrassinolide (C₂₈H₄₈O₆ having Mw = 480.7 sourced from Sigma-Aldrich) at either 0 (distilled water), 1 (BR1) or 3 (BR2) μmol concentrations per pot starting from the third week after sowing with one day interval between foliar applications using a hand sprayer at the rate of 50 mL per plant.

**Plant harvesting and growth attributes.** Plants were harvested at 35 days of sowing and the fresh weights of their roots and shoots were determined immediately using a digital weighing balance. Root and shoot lengths were recorded using the measuring tape. Three plant samples were selected randomly from each pot, washed with tap water then deionized water to remove the stunted dirt afterwards, oven-dried at 65 °C for 72 h to determine their dry weights. Other fresh materials were stored at −30 °C for fresh analysis.

**Chlorophyll contents.** The chlorophyll a, b and total contents as well as carotenoids pigments were estimated in leaves following the Arnon protocol³³ i.e. 0.1 g fresh leaf sample was placed in 8 mL of 95% acetone then incubated overnight at 4 °C. Color intensity was recorded at 646, 663 and 450 nm using spectrophotometer (UV-2550; Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan).

**H₂O₂ content.** Estimation of H₂O₂ contents was achieved following Mukherjee and Choudhari technique³⁴. In this method, 0.1 g leaf sample was extracted in 10 mL cold acetone, centrifuged at 10,000 rpm, then 4 mL titanium reagent and 5 mL of concentrated ammonium solution were added to the reaction mixture. The mixture was then centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 5 min and the precipitate was dissolved in 10 mL of 2 N H₂SO₄. The residue was again centrifuged to remove suspended particles. Optical density was recorded at 415 nm against blank by spectrophotometer (UV-2550; Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan).

**Measurement of malondialdehyde and electrolyte leakage.** Chloroplast’s lipid peroxidation was determined by estimating malondialdehyde (MDA) contents following thiobarbituric acid (TBA) reaction by Heath and Packer method³⁵. The electrolyte leakage (EL) was determined following Anjum et al.³⁶ protocol.

**Estimation of proline and non-enzymatic antioxidants.** To estimate osmolytes, i.e., proline and other non-enzymatic antioxidants, 50 mg dried plant samples were extracted in 10 mL ethanol (80%), then filtered followed by re-extraction in ethanol (10 mL). A final volume of 20 mL was maintained by mixing the two samples. The obtained extracted solution was used to estimate proline³⁷, flavonoids and anthocyanin³⁸, phenolics³⁹, ascorbic acid⁴⁰, proteins⁴¹ and glycine betaine⁴² contents.

**Ca, Na, P and K ion concentrations.** In the same extract, Ca, Na, P and K ion concentrations were estimated as follows: molybdate/ascorbic acid blue method was used for P determination⁴³ then measured by spectrophotometer (UV-2550; Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). K ion concentrations in plant extracts were measured by flame photometer while Ca and Na concentrations in these extracts were estimated using Atomic Absorption Spectrum (AAS; Shimadzu instruments, Inc., Spectra AA-220, Kyoto, Japan).

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analysis was conducted by using the analysis of variance to find significance of applied treatments in drought stress. All the treatment means were compared by Dunken’s test at 5% level of significance (P < 0.05). Logarithmic transformations for data normalization were carried out before analysis.

### Table 2. Characterization of timber waste biochar.

| Component       | Value    |
|-----------------|----------|
| pH (1:10 suspension) | 7.01     |
| Ash contents    | 17.0 g kg⁻¹ |
| C               | 863.0 g kg⁻¹ |
| H               | 26.9 g kg⁻¹ |
| N               | 3.8 g kg⁻¹  |
| K               | 16.0 g kg⁻¹ |
| P               | 6.0 g kg⁻¹  |
where necessary. Pearson’s correlation analysis was performed to compute associations among various analyzed variables. The heat-map conceits between measured variables were also calculated by using the Origin software.

Results

Growth parameters. Root and shoot (fresh and dry) weights of wheat plants subjected to deficient irrigations (35%) WHC were significantly lower than the corresponding ones that received 75% WHC (Fig. 1). Likewise, drought stresses affected significantly and negatively root and shoot lengths.

The abovementioned growth parameters were improved significantly owing to BR and/or BC applications versus the control. In this concern, the foliar application of BR raised significantly plant growth parameters exceeding those attained for the application of biochar (BC), especially with increasing the level of BR application. On the other hand, the application of biochar did not noticeably improved plant growth parameters under deficit irrigation (35% WHC) versus the control (except for root fresh weight).

The combination of BR and BC caused further significant increases in plant growth parameters, especially in presence of the higher application dose of BR (3 μmol). It is worth mentioning that the usage of BR + BC with 35% WHC considerably enhanced root and shoot fresh weights, dry weights and lengths of wheat plants exceeding those recorded for the control plants that were irrigated with 75% WHC. These results probably signify the value of these amendments in increasing the efficiency of water use by the grown plants.
Photosynthetic pigments. Deficit irrigations negatively affected chlorophyll a, b and the total contents as well as plant carotenes (Fig. 2) i.e., all these parameters were significantly lower in plants subjected to drought stress at 35% WHC in comparison to those irrigated with 75% of WHC. Although, BC and BR1 treatments raised these pigments in plants subjected to drought versus the control; yet such increases seemed to be insignificant. In contrast, BR2 treatments raised significantly plant contents of photosynthetic pigments versus the control. The combination of these two treatments (BR + BC) furtherly improved these photosynthetic pigments, specifically when using the highest application level of BR (3 µmol). These results also highlight the significance of these amendments as effective safe approaches to mitigate the drought stress conditions.

Oxidative stress indicators, proteins and ionic contents. Nutrient (K, P and Ca) contents increased significantly in plants tissues owing to irrigation with water to achieve 75% of WHC comparable with the ones that were irrigated to reach only 35% of WHC (Table 3). On the other hand, oxidative stress indicators increased significantly in plants subjected to drought stress, i.e., MDA, antioxidant enzyme activities, H2O2, electrolyte leakage and Na content increased under such conditions. Table 3 also revealed significant increases in glycine betaine, proline, phenolics, ascorbic acid, anthocyanins and flavonoids contents in plants subjected to drought stress compared with the corresponding ones in non-stressed ones.

All additives (BR and BC) showed positively significant improvements in ameliorating drought stress on plants via decreasing MDA and oxidative stress indicators. Such improvements were more detectable with increasing the dose of BR foliar application. Also, these additives decreased considerably H2O2 and Na (sodium) contents beside of the electrolyte leakage, while increased proteins, Ca (calcium), K (potassium) and P (phosphorous) in plant tissues. It seems that the sole applications of either BR or BC were superior in decreasing these oxidative stress indicators than their integral application. Moreover, all applied treatments decreased significantly all enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants under investigation (glycine betaine, proline, phenolics, ascorbic acid, anthocyanin, flavonoids) and this probably signify the success of these treatments in ameliorating drought stress effects. In this concern, BR2 + BC recorded the least enzymatic and non-enzymatic enzymes antioxidants activities.

Pearson correlation. Pearson correlation analyses were conducted to highlight the relationships between plant growth parameters and the increases that took place in plant oxidative stress indicators grown under drought conditions. As mentioned above, significant improvement in plant growth parameters, i.e., root and shoot weights and lengths was observed due to the applications of BR and BC. Root and shoot (fresh and dry) weights of wheat plants subjected to deficient irrigations at 35% WHC decreased significantly versus the ones that received 75% WHC (Fig. 1).

![Figure 2](https://www.nature.com/scientificreports/)
### Table 3. Oxidative stress indicators, proteins and ionic contents of wheat plants grown under drought stress conditions after 35 days of seedlings. All values are the means of three replicates ± standard error (SD).

| Treatment | Na   | Ca  | K   | P   | MDA | H2O2 | EL  | Antho | TSP  | Stav | Fstat | Pro    |
|-----------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-------|------|------|-------|--------|
| WHC       |      |     |     |     |     |      |     |       |      |      |       |        |
| 75 WHC    | 12.53 ± 2.28b | 6.78 ± 2.88a | 5.83 ± 2.39a | 21 ± 1.90a | 1.99 ± 0.74a | 1.98 ± 0.75a | 16.94 ± 5.46a | 1.98 ± 0.8b | 2.72 ± 0.88a | 2.01 ± 0.8b | 1.98 ± 0.8a | 19.78 ± 4.58a |
| 35 WHC    | 19.75 ± 2.96a | 3.43 ± 1.11b | 4.58 ± 1.62a | 6.06 ± 2.53b | 2.45 ± 0.71a | 2.47 ± 0.72a | 20.28 ± 4.5a | 2.53 ± 0.65a | 1.85 ± 0.74b | 2.51 ± 0.64a | 2.47 ± 0.72a | 18.72 ± 5.62a |
| BR2 + BC  | 14.83 ± 5.42a | 8 ± 4.11a | 5.67 ± 1.99ab | 21.17 ± 13.8a | 1.21 ± 0.49c | 1.22 ± 0.53c | 16 ± 5.44b | 1.11 ± 0.45e | 3.57 ± 0.71a | 1.23 ± 0.51d | 1.19 ± 0.57d | 15.5 ± 1.87d |
| BR1 + BC  | 14.17 ± 4.96a | 5.25 ± 2.21abc | 6.17 ± 2.71a | 18.17 ± 14.34a | 1.63 ± 0.46c | 1.64 ± 0.46c | 15.33 ± 2.73b | 1.95 ± 0.48d | 2.93 ± 0.58b | 1.76 ± 0.35c | 1.68 ± 0.5 cd | 15.67 ± 4.08d |
| BR1       | 15.83 ± 3.53a | 3.97 ± 1.85bc | 5.33 ± 1.08ab | 11.33 ± 7.23a | 2.6 ± 0.25b | 2.6 ± 0.2b | 17.17 ± 3.97b | 2.65 ± 0.23b | 2.09 ± 0.41c | 2.63 ± 0.22b | 2.67 ± 0.23ab | 21.5 ± 5.47b |
| C         | 17.08 ± 4.44a | 4.25 ± 1.54bc | 4.58 ± 2.31b | 9.83 ± 5.12a | 2.57 ± 0.39b | 2.56 ± 0.45b | 19.67 ± 1.37ab | 2.52 ± 0.39bc | 1.64 ± 0.39 cd | 2.59 ± 0.42b | 2.52 ± 0.37b | 20.17 ± 2.48bc |

**Note:** Different labels showed significant different alphabets using LSD test.

**Main effect of stress**

- **WHC:** Wheat plants absorb and accumulate high concentrations of Na in their tissues; while contents of other nutrients such as Ca and P decreased considerably. Probably, this stress down-regulates genes encoding plasma membrane intrinsic proteins in plant roots in addition to plant hydraulic conductivity. Drought is a special case because its content did not vary significantly in tissues of stressed plants versus non-stressed ones. This is because its influx occurs via non-energetic transporters and gated channels which are highly specific for K ions. Moreover, this nutrient is highly mobile in plant and acts directly in their tissues; while contents of other nutrients such as Ca and P decreased considerably. Probably, this stress down-regulates genes encoding plasma membrane intrinsic proteins in plant roots in addition to plant hydraulic conductivity. Drought is a special case because its content did not vary significantly in tissues of stressed plants versus non-stressed ones. This is because its influx occurs via non-energetic transporters and gated channels which are highly specific for K ions. Moreover, this nutrient is highly mobile in plant and acts directly in

### Discussion

The current study is a trial to alleviate drought stress conditions on wheat plants via the foliar application of brassinosteroids (BR) and/or timber waste biochar (BC) as a soil amendment.

**Effect of drought on wheat plants.** Wheat plants absorb and accumulate high concentrations of Na in their tissues; while contents of other nutrients such as Ca and P decreased considerably. Probably, this stress down-regulates genes encoding plasma membrane intrinsic proteins in plant roots in addition to plant hydraulic conductivity. Drought is a special case because its content did not vary significantly in tissues of stressed plants versus non-stressed ones. This is because its influx occurs via non-energetic transporters and gated channels which are highly specific for K ions. Moreover, this nutrient is highly mobile in plant and acts directly in regulating the osmotic potential in compartments to increase their turgor. Generally, the nutrient imbalance that occurred in drought-stressed plants may led to undesirable drop in plant pigments (chlorophyll A, chloro B, total chlorophyll and carotenoids) and photo-assimilates. Other mechanisms may be included to cope from drought stress such as regulating stomatal aperture to maintaining tissue hydration. The changes in plant pigments were not detectable versus the control. Likewise, the changes in root and shoot biomasses and heights owing to the sole application of these two treatments were not noticeable versus the control. Though, these additives lessened considerably all oxidative stress indicators such as malondialdehyde, hydrogen peroxide, electrolyte leakage, EL electrolyte leakage, Anth anthocyanin, TSP total soluble proteins, Flav flavonoids, Phen phenolics, AsA ascorbic acid, GB glycine betaine, Pro proline.

**Brassinosteroids and/or timber waste biochar as additives to alleviate drought stress.** Application of either biochar or BR1 did not successfully increase nutrient contents within plant tissues and therefore the changes in plant pigments were not detectable versus the control. Likewise, the changes in root and shoot biomasses and heights owing to the sole application of these two treatments were not noticeable versus the control. Though, these additives lessened considerably all oxidative stress indicators such as malondialdehyde, hydrogen peroxide, electrolyte leakage via increasing the activities of antioxidant enzymes and non-enzymes. Such a result might indicate that plants synthesize these bioproducts to lessen the impacts of drought on plants prior to the synthesis of other metabolites needed for enhancing plant growth. Probably, radicals such as H2O2 works as signaling molecules under low concentrations; yet their accumulation within plant tissues in higher concentrations are toxic. Further changes may takes place at both cellular and whole-organism levels, making drought stress tolerance a complex physiological phenomenon. The combination between biochar and brassinosteroids ( BR1 + BC and BR2 + BC) beside of the application of the higher dose of the latter additive i.e. BR2 recorded further significant further increases in both Ca and K uptake by plants (with superiority for the combined applications versus BR2); consequently their contents raised in plant tissues; hence plant growth improved. In spite of that, these treatments were of no significant effect on
Na content within plant tissues; yet, these additives improved the cation balance in plant tissues, especially the Ca: Na ratio and therefore plant roots and shoots boosted notably. In this context, Ca is an integral component of cell wall and also acts as a secondary messenger in cell osmoregulation.

The possible adaptations of wheat, in case of the combined applications of BC and BR, were either direct, as BR increase photosynthetic activities and decrease the oxidative stress indicators in plant. In case of biochar, it stimulates gibberellic acid synthesis in plants hence, improve their growth. It may also be indirect through increasing soil moisture retention that increases the efficiency of the grown plants to utilize soil nutrients and promote plant biomass.

It is worthy to mention that the activities of enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants were higher in sole applications than the combined ones. This finding did not signify that BR and BC additives antagonize each other because of the superior effect of this combination on plant growth parameters comparable with the sole applications of any of these additives. It can therefore be deduced that the optimum strategy of improving plant growth under drought conditions is through enhancing more antioxidant activities as well as improving the nutritional status of the grown plants. The above results; therefore, support the main hypothesis of the study which signify the supremacy of the combined application of BR + BC for mitigating drought stress on wheat versus their sole applications.

**Conclusion**

Application of either of brassinosteroids (BR) or timber waste biochar (BC) can successfully alleviate drought stress and improve wheat growth under deficit irrigation system. Moreover, the combined application of these two additives had further positive impacts on increasing plant growth parameters via their integral role in decreasing oxidative stress indicators in plants. There is an actual need for more investigations under field level conditions to validate the results obtained herein using more deficit irrigation variations.
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