ODD TWO-VARIABLE SOERGEL BIMODULES AND ROUQUIER COMPLEXES
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Abstract. We consider the odd analogue of the category of Soergel bimodules. In the odd case and already for two variables, the transposition bimodule cannot be merged into the generating Soergel bimodule, forcing one into a monoidal category with a larger Grothendieck ring compared to the even case. We establish biadjointness of suitable functors and develop graphical calculi in the 2-variable case for the odd Soergel category and the related singular Soergel 2-category. We describe the odd analogue of the Rouquier complexes and establish their invertibility in the homotopy category. For three variables, the absence of a direct sum decomposition of the tensor product of generating Soergel bimodules presents an obstacle for the Reidemeister III relation to hold in the homotopy category.
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1. Introduction

In this note we propose an odd analogue of Soergel bimodules for Coxeter type $A_1$. Soergel bimodules for $A_1$ are certain bimodules for the algebra of polynomials in two variables. In the odd case it’s role is played by the algebra of skew-symmetric polynomials $R = \mathbb{k}\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle/(x_1x_2 + x_2x_1)$. The substitute for the generating Soergel bimodule $B$ over the polynomial algebra consists of two $R$-bimodules $B$ and $\overline{B}$ that constitute a biadjoint pair (that is, the functor of tensoring with $B$ is both left and right adjoint to tensoring with $B$).

Starting in Section 2.2 we develop a graphical calculus for the category of odd Soergel bimodules in two variables and define a pair of mutually-inverse functors $R, R'$ on the homotopy category of graded $R$-modules given by the tensor product with complexes of bimodules formed from suitable bimodule maps $B \xrightarrow{m} R\{-1\}$ and $R\{1\} \longrightarrow \overline{B}$, where $\{\pm 1\}$ is a grading shift.
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These complexes of bimodules and corresponding functors $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}'$ are odd analogues of the Rouquier complexes that in the even case give rise to a braid group action on the homotopy category of modules over the $n$-variable polynomial algebra. In Section 6 we explain an obstacle that exists in the odd case to having the braid relation $\mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_{i+1} \mathcal{R}_i \cong \mathcal{R}_{i+1} \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_{i+1}$. The lack of this braid relation blocks an attempt, from which this note originated, to define odd HOMFLYPT link homology via braid closures and odd Soergel bimodules, analogous to the original construction of HOMFLYPT link homology via Hochschild homology of Soergel bimodules [8]. It’s not known either whether the odd counterpart of bigraded $SL(N)$ link homology [9] exists for $N > 2$. For the definition and structure of odd $SL(2)$ link homology see [14, 15, 16, 12, 13].

In Section 5 we identify the Grothendieck ring of the category of odd Soergel bimodules for $A_1$ and compute a natural semilinear form and trace on that ring.

Rouquier functors on the even Soergel category are closely related to the invertible functors of twisting by a relative spherical object in the Fukaya–Floer categories and in the derived categories of coherent sheaves [11]. It should be interesting to explore odd counterparts of such functors; one can, for instance, ask whether there exists an odd counterpart of quiver varieties and associated derived categories of coherent sheaves on them and, more generally, an odd counterpart of algebraic geometry. A simpler problem is to understand the relation between functors $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}'$ and recently constructed odd counterpart of Chuang–Rouquier symmetries and Rickard complexes [1, 2].

2. Bimodules for two strands

2.1. Anticommuting polynomials and odd Demazure operators. Let $k$ be a commutative ring and denote by $R = k\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle/(x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_1)$ the algebra of anticommuting polynomials in two variables. Let $S_2$ be the symmetric group on two letters with generator $s$, acting on $R$ by $s(x_i) = -x_{s(i)}$ and $s(fg) = s(f)s(g)$ for $f, g \in R$.

Define the odd Demazure operator $\partial : R \to R$ (see [5, 7, 6]) as follows:

- $\partial(1) = 0$, $\partial(x_1) = \partial(x_2) = 1$.
- The twisted Leibniz rule holds $\partial(fg) = (\partial f)g + s(f)\partial g$.

Note that ring $R$ does not have unique factorizations, for instance $(x_1 + x_2)^2 = (x_1 - x_2)^2$ in $R$.

The equation $\partial \circ s = -s \circ \partial$ follows via the Leibniz rule above and checking it on generators of $R$.

Let $R^s = \ker(\partial) = \text{im}(\partial) \subset R$. Equality $\ker(\partial) = \text{im}(\partial)$ is straightforward to check. The twisted Leibniz rule then implies that $R^s$ is a subring of $R$. The ring $R^s$ has generators $E_1 = x_1 - x_2, E_2 = x_1 x_2$ and the defining relation is that these generators anticommute,

$$R^s = k\langle E_1, E_2 \rangle/(E_1 E_2 + E_2 E_1), \quad E_1 = x_1 - x_2, \quad E_2 = x_1 x_2.$$ 

The action of $S_2$ on $R$ restricts to an action on $R^s$, with

$$s(E_1) = E_1, \quad s(E_2) = -E_2.$$ 

Define the transposition bimodule $R$ to be free rank one as a left and as a right $R$-module, with the generator 1 and relations $f1 = 1s(f)$. It has a subbimodule $R^s := R^s 1 = 1R^s \subset R$. Denote $1 \in R$ by $\hat{1}$ when viewed as an element of $R^s \subset R$. We fix bimodule isomorphisms

$$\begin{align*}
\mathcal{R} \otimes_R \mathcal{R} &\cong R, \quad 1 \otimes 1 \mapsto 1, \\
R^s \otimes_{R^s} R^s &\cong R^s, \quad \hat{1}^s \otimes \hat{1}^s \mapsto \hat{1}^s, \\
R^s \otimes_{R^s} \mathcal{R} &\cong R^s R, \quad \hat{1}^s \otimes 1 \mapsto 1, \\
\mathcal{R} \otimes_{R^s} R^s &\cong R^s R^s, \quad 1 \otimes \hat{1}^s \mapsto 1.
\end{align*}$$
The first map is an isomorphism of $R$-bimodules, the second – that of $R^s$-bimodules.

The odd Demazure operator can be written as a map

$$\partial' : R \rightarrow R^s, \quad \partial'(f) = 1\partial(f).$$

It is then naturally a map of $R^s$-bimodules. We can also write it as a bimodule map

$$\partial'' : R \rightarrow R^s, \quad \partial''(f1g) = \partial(s(f)g).$$

The ring $R$ is a free rank two left and right module over $R^s$,

$$R^s \mathbin{\cong} R^s \cdot 1 \oplus R^s \cdot x_1, \quad R_{R^s} \mathbin{\cong} 1 \cdot R^s \oplus x_1 \cdot R^s, \quad i \in \{1,2\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.6)

Note that in the bimodule $R \otimes_{R^s} R$ we have

$$x_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_1 = x_2 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_2,$$

since $x_1 - x_2 \in R^s$.

We make $R$ into a graded ring, with $\deg(x_1) = \deg(x_2) = 2$. Then $R^s$ has an induced grading, and $\partial$ is a degree $-2$ map. Bimodules $\underline{R}$ and $\underline{R}^s$ are naturally graded, with $\deg(1) = \deg(1^s) = 0$.

### 2.2. Biadjointness

We consider the graded bimodules $R R_{R^s}$, $R^s R_R$ and $R \underline{R}_{R^s}$ and introduce the following four functors, where $\text{gmod}$ stands for the category of graded modules and degree zero maps:

- $F_\uparrow : R^s \text{gmod} \rightarrow R \text{gmod}$ is the induction functor of tensoring with the graded bimodule $R R_{R^s}$.
- $F_\downarrow : R \text{gmod} \rightarrow R^s \text{gmod}$ is the restriction functor; it is isomorphic to tensoring with the bimodule $R^s R_R$.
- $F_- : R \text{gmod} \rightarrow R \text{gmod}$ is the functor of tensoring with $R$.
- $F_\downarrow : R^s \text{gmod} \rightarrow R^s \text{gmod}$ is the functor of tensoring with $R^s$.

The endofunctors $F_-$ and $F_\downarrow$ are involutive. Fix a functor isomorphism

$$F_\downarrow \circ F_\downarrow \cong F_\downarrow \circ F_- \hspace{1cm} (2.9)$$

given by the bimodule isomorphism

$$R^s \otimes_{R^s} R \cong R^s \otimes_{R} R \cong R \otimes_{R^s} R$$

which takes $1^s \otimes f$ to $s(f) \otimes 1$ to $1f$. The last term is $R$ viewed as $(R^s, R)$-bimodule with the standard left action of $R_s$ and right action of $R$. Likewise, there’s an isomorphism

$$F_\uparrow \circ F_\downarrow \cong F_- \circ F_\uparrow \hspace{1cm} (2.10)$$
via the corresponding bimodule isomorphisms

$$R \otimes_{R^s} R_s \cong R \otimes_{R} R_{Rs} \cong R_{Rs}, \quad f \otimes 1^s \mapsto 1 \otimes s(f) \mapsto 1s(f).$$

These isomorphisms can be thought of as “sliding” involutive functors $F_-$ and $F_\downarrow$ through the induction and restriction functors $F_\uparrow$ and $F_\downarrow$.

We depict natural transformations between compositions of these functors by drawing planar diagrams, with regions labelled by categories $R \text{gmod}$ (white regions) and $R^s \text{gmod}$ (shaded regions), following the usual string diagram notation. Identity natural transformation of $F_\uparrow$ (respectively $F_\downarrow$) is denoted by a vertical line, with the shaded region to the left (respectively, to the right), see equation (2.11) below. We denote the identity functor on a category $\mathcal{C}$ by $1_{\mathcal{C}}$ or just by $1$. 


The identity natural transformation of $F_{\downarrow}$ and $F_{\uparrow}$ is denoted by a vertical dashed orange line, in a white or shaded region, respectively, see (2.11) above.

Sliding isomorphisms above are shown as crossings of strand $s$, which are mutually-inverse isomorphisms, see equations (2.12-2.12) below.

Proposition 2.1. The following pairs of functors are adjoint pairs: $(F_{\uparrow}, F_{\downarrow})$ and $(F_{\downarrow}, F_{\uparrow} \circ F_{\uparrow})$.

Proof. Induction functor $F_{\uparrow}$ is left adjoint to the restriction functor $F_{\downarrow}$. Adjointness natural transformations come from standard bimodule homomorphisms

\begin{equation}
\alpha_0 : R \otimes_{R^s} R \to R, \quad f \otimes g \mapsto fg, \quad f, g \in R,
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
\beta_0 : R^s \to R^s R^s, \quad f \mapsto f, \quad f \in R^s.
\end{equation}

These adjointness maps and the corresponding adjointness isotopy relations are shown in equation (2.16). We use $\alpha_0, \beta_0$, etc. to denote both bimodule maps and the corresponding natural transformations of functors (id$_{R}$ stands for the identity functor in the category $R$–gmod). The composition $F_{\downarrow} \circ F_{\uparrow}$ is written $F_{\uparrow \downarrow}$, for brevity.
For the second adjoint pair of functors, we consider corresponding bimodules and define bimodule homomorphisms

\[ \alpha_1 : R^r R \otimes_R R^r R \rightarrow R^r, \quad \alpha_1(f \otimes 1 \otimes g) = \partial(s(f)g). \]

This is just the map \( \partial'' \) in (2.7), under the bimodule isomorphism \( R^r R \otimes_R R^r R \cong R^r R^r \).

\[ \beta_1 : R \rightarrow \Phi_R R \otimes_R R, \quad \beta_1(f) = 1 \otimes x_1 \otimes f - 1 \otimes 1 \otimes x_1 f, \quad f \in R. \]

Due to (2.8),

\[ \beta_1(f) = 1 \otimes (x_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_1)f = 1 \otimes (x_2 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_2)f. \]

To prove that \( \beta_1 \) is a well-defined bimodule map we check that \( \ell_x(\beta_1(1)) = r_x(\beta_1(1)) \), where \( \ell_x \), respectively \( r_x \), denotes the left, respectively right, multiplication by \( x \) in an \( R \)-bimodule:

\[ \ell_x(\beta(1)) = x_1 \otimes 1 \otimes x_1 \otimes 1 - x_1 \otimes 1 \otimes x_1 = 1 \otimes x_2 x_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes 1 \otimes x_2 x_1 \]
\[ = 1 \otimes (1 \otimes x_2) - (x_2 \otimes 1) x_1 = r_x(\beta(1)), \]
\[ \ell_x(\beta(1)) = x_2 \otimes 1 \otimes (x_2 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_2) = 1 \otimes (x_1 x_2 - 1 \otimes x_2) \]
\[ = 1 \otimes (1 \otimes x_1 x_2 - x_1 \otimes x_2) = 1 \otimes (1 \otimes x_1 - x_1 \otimes 1) x_2 = r_x(\beta(1)). \]

We check the adjointness relation \( (\alpha_1 \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \beta_1) = \text{id} \), where \( \text{id} \) stands for the identity homomorphism of suitable bimodules:

\[ 1 \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \beta_1} 1 \otimes 1 \otimes (x_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_1) \xrightarrow{\alpha_1 \otimes \text{id}} \partial(x_1)1 - \partial(1)x_1 = 1. \]

To check the other adjoint relation \( (\text{id} \otimes \alpha_1) \circ (\beta_1 \otimes \text{id}) = \text{id} \), we compute the corresponding endomorphism of the \( (R, R^r) \)-bimodule \( \Phi_R R \otimes_R R^r \) (functor \( F_\uparrow \circ F_\downarrow \) is given by tensoring with this bimodule):

\[ 1 \otimes 1 \xrightarrow{\beta_1 \otimes \text{id}} 1 \otimes (x_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_1) \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \]
\[ \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \alpha_1} 1 \otimes x_1 \alpha_1(1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1) - 1 \otimes 1 \alpha_1(1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \]
\[ = 1 \otimes x_1 \partial(1) - 1 \otimes 1 \partial(s(x_1)) = 0 - 1 \otimes 1(-1) = 1 \otimes 1. \]

\( \square \)

Diagrams for maps \( \alpha_1, \beta_1 \) and their adjointness relations are depicted below. To shorten notations, we write \( F_{\downarrow \uparrow} \) for \( F_1 \circ F_\uparrow \circ F_\downarrow \), etc.

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\Phi_R^r \xrightarrow{\text{gmod}} 1 \\
\downarrow \alpha_1 \\
F_{\downarrow \uparrow} \\
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\downarrow \beta_1 \\
F_\uparrow \downarrow \\
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\Phi_R \xrightarrow{\text{gmod}} 1 \\
\end{array} \]

\[ (\alpha_1 \otimes \text{id}_{F_\uparrow})(\text{id}_{F_\uparrow} \otimes \beta_1) = \text{id}_{F_\uparrow} \]
\[ (\text{id}_{F_\uparrow} \otimes \alpha_1)(\beta_1 \otimes \text{id}_{F_\uparrow}) = \text{id}_{F_\uparrow} \]

It is also natural to define another “cup” morphism, with the dotted line entering the local minimum in the middle, see below, as the composition of \( \beta_1 \) and the isomorphism (2.9). We call this cup balanced and denote the morphism by \( \tilde{\beta}_1 \). Then the diagram representing \( \beta_1 \) can be rewritten as the composition of a balanced cup and a crossing, see below (the map \( \psi : F_\downarrow \uparrow \rightarrow F_{\downarrow \uparrow} \) is the crossing isomorphism in (2.12)).
The balanced cup morphism is
\[ \tilde{\beta}_1: R \rightarrow R \otimes R^s \otimes R, \quad \tilde{\beta}_1(f) = -x_2 \otimes 1^s \otimes f - 1 \otimes 1^s \otimes x_1 f = -x_1 \otimes 1^s \otimes f - 1 \otimes 1^s \otimes x_2 f. \]

As \( \tilde{\beta}_1 \) is a bimodule map, \( f \in R \) can also be placed on the far left in the formula.

**Proposition 2.2.** Functors \( F_{\uparrow \downarrow} = F_{\uparrow} \circ F_{\downarrow} \) and \( F_{\uparrow s \downarrow} = F_{\uparrow} \circ F_{\downarrow} \circ F_{\uparrow} \) are biadjoint.

**Proof.** This follows from Proposition 2.1 and functor isomorphisms (2.9) and (2.10). \( \square \)

The corresponding biadjointness maps are shown below. We fix these biadjointness maps \( \alpha_2, \beta_2, \alpha_3, \beta_3 \).

A quick computation shows that the two bimodule maps on the left of the diagram (2.19) below differ by a minus sign. We can then define a trivalent vertex, with a orange dashed line entering it from below, as in (2.19) on the right. This bimodule map is given by

\[ R \rightarrow R \otimes R^s, \quad f \mapsto -1^s \partial(f), \quad f \in R. \]

It may be interesting to compare our dashed line and vertex with dashed lines in Ellis-Lauda [6].

Likewise, there's a sign in a similar relation given by reflecting these diagrams about a horizontal axis and reversing the shading of regions, see (2.20) below left. One can then define the reflected trivalent vertex as in the figure in (2.20) below, on the right. This bimodule map is \( \partial' \), see formula (2.6),

\[ [2.17] \]

\[ [2.18] \]

\[ [2.19] \]

\[ [2.20] \]
Some other relations in this graphical calculus are shown below.

Recall that a vertical dotted orange line on a white (respectively, blue or shaded) background denotes the identity map of $R$-bimodule $R$ (respectively, of $R^s$-bimodule $R^s$), see below.

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{white} \quad = 0 \\
\text{blue} \quad = 0 \\
\text{shaded} \quad = \text{id}_R \\
\text{blue} \quad = \text{id}_{R^s}
\end{array} \]

The isomorphism (2.21) between $R \otimes_R R$ and $R$ can be represented by orange dashed “cup” and “cap” maps, see below. These maps satisfy the following relations

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{cup} \quad = \\
\text{cap} \quad = \\
\text{iso cup} \quad = \\
\text{iso cap} \quad =
\end{array} \]

as well as the isotopy relations on the cup and the cap. Orange dashed cup and cap maps have degree 0. Isomorphism (2.3) is represented by oranged dashed cup and cap maps on a blue (shaded) background, with the following relations:

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{cup} \quad = \\
\text{cap} \quad = \\
\text{iso cup} \quad = \\
\text{iso cap} \quad =
\end{array} \]

and the isotopy relations.

Likewise, isomorphisms (2.4) and (2.5) are represented by the orange dashed cup and cap diagrams in white-blue (or white-shaded) regions, as shown below for the isomorphisms (2.4), together with suitable relations on them, including isotopies.

All eight possible orange dashed cup and cap maps have degree 0.

### 2.3. Bimodules $B$ and $\underline{B}$ and their tensor products

Define graded $R$-bimodules

\[ \begin{align*}
B & := R \otimes_{R^s} R \{-1\}, \\
\underline{B} & := R \otimes_{R^s} R^s \otimes_{R^s} R \{-1\} = R \underline{\otimes} R \{-1\}.
\end{align*} \]

We use a shorthand and denote $M \otimes_{R^s} R^s \otimes_{R^s} N$ (respectively, its element $m \otimes 1^s \otimes n$) by $M \underline{\otimes} N$ (respectively, by $m \underline{\otimes} n$). Likewise, $M \otimes_R R \underline{\otimes} R N$ (and its element $m \otimes 1 \otimes n$) can be denoted $M \underline{\otimes} N$ (and by $m \underline{\otimes} n$).

Endofunctors $F_{\uparrow}$ and $F_{\downarrow}$ of the category $R\text{-}\underline{\operatorname{gmod}}$ of graded $R$-modules are given by tensoring with bimodules $B \{1\}$ and $\underline{B} \{1\}$, respectively. Natural transformations $\alpha_2, \beta_2, \alpha_3, \beta_3$ can then be rewritten as bimodule maps, denoted the same (the tensor products are over $R$).
and \( f, g \in R \):
\[
\alpha_2 : B \otimes B \to R, \quad \alpha_2(1 \otimes f \otimes g \otimes s, 1) = \partial(s(fg)),
\]
\[
\beta_2 : R \to B \otimes B, \quad \beta_2(f) = -(x_1 \otimes 1) \otimes (1 \otimes x_1 f) - (1 \otimes x_1 f) \otimes (1 \otimes s, 1) x_2 f,
\]
\[
\alpha_3 : B \otimes B \to R, \quad \alpha_3(1 \otimes s, 1 \otimes f \otimes s, g) = \partial(fg),
\]
\[
\beta_3 : R \to B \otimes B, \quad \beta_3(f) = -(x_1 \otimes s, 1) \otimes (1 \otimes s, f) - (1 \otimes s, f) \otimes (1 \otimes s, x_2 f).
\]

All four maps have zero degree: \( \deg(\alpha_2) = \deg(\beta_2) = \deg(\alpha_3) = \deg(\beta_3) = 0 \).

We fix graded bimodule isomorphisms
\[
R \otimes R B \cong B \cong B \otimes R R
\]
given by
\[
1 \otimes f \otimes g \mapsto s(f) \otimes 1 \otimes g, \quad f \otimes 1 \otimes g \mapsto f \otimes s(g) \otimes 1, \quad f, g \in R.
\]

We depict the identity maps of \( B \) by a blue line
\[
\text{(2.24)}
\]

Bimodule maps (2.15) and (2.18) are given by the following diagrams (we write \( f \otimes_s g \) for \( f \otimes R g \) and \( f \otimes g = 1 \otimes f g = f g \otimes 1 \) for \( f \otimes_R g \)):
\[
\text{(2.25)}
\]
\[
\text{(2.26)}
\]

Due to our definition (2.22) of the graded bimodule \( B \), both of these maps have degree 1. The maps in equations (2.25) and (2.26) fit into a short exact sequence
\[
\text{(2.27)}
\]

where we shifted the gradings of the left and right terms to make the differential grading-preserving.

Tensoring this sequence with \( R \) gives another exact sequence, since \( R \) is a free left and right \( R \)-module:
\[
\text{(2.28)}
\]

The middle term in the second sequence is isomorphic to \( B \). Here and later we fix the isomorphism \( B \otimes_R R \cong B \) given by

\[
\text{(2.29)}
\]

Exactness of sequences (2.27) and (2.28) implies relations
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(2.30)}
\end{align*}
\]

Note that the two relations are equivalent, due to the isotopy relation on red cups and caps.
**Lemma 2.3.** The following are \((R,R)\)-bimodule maps:

\[(2.29) \quad : 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \mapsto 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1,\]

\[(2.30) \quad : 1 \otimes 1 \otimes s \mapsto 1 \otimes s \otimes 1,\]

\[(2.31) \quad : 1 \otimes s \otimes 1 \mapsto 1 \otimes s \otimes 1,\]

\[(2.32) \quad : 1 \otimes s f \otimes 1 \mapsto 1 \otimes \partial(f) \otimes 1.\]

*Proof* is straightforward. \(\Box\)

These maps have degrees 0, 0, −1, −1, respectively.

The shortcuts below will be useful in the sequel.

\[\begin{align*}
\begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} & := \begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[red,thick,dashed] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[red,thick,dashed] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} \\
\begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} & := \begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[red,thick,dashed] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[red,thick,dashed] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} \\
\begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} & := \begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[red,thick,dashed] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[red,thick,dashed] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{align*}\]

**Lemma 2.4.** The bimodule maps in equations (2.24) to (2.32) satisfy the relations below.

\[\begin{align*}
\begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} = \begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} & \quad \begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} = \begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} \\
\begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} = \begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} & \quad \begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} = \begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} \\
\begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} = \begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} & \quad \begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} = \begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} \\
\begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} = \begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} & \quad \begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} = \begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} \\
\begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} = \begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} & \quad \begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} = \begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} \\
\begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} = \begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} & \quad \begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} = \begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} \\
\begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} = \begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} & \quad \begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} = \begin{tikzpicture}[scale=0.5]
  \draw[blue,thick] (0,0) -- (1,1);
  \draw[blue,thick] (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{align*}\]

A proof is given by a straightforward computation. \(\Box\)

Dashed orange lines in the present paper are similar to dashed blue lines in Ellis-Lauda’s categorification of odd quantum \(sl(2)\), see [6]. (Compare adjointness relations (3.13), (3.14) in that paper with the adjointness in Proposition 2.2.)

The difference of the present diagrammatical calculus of blue lines (for \(B\)) and dashed red lines (for \(R\)) from the earlier calculus in Section 2.2 is that blue regions (for the category \(R^{s-gmod}\)) are now hidden inside blue lines and graphs. Thickening these lines and graphs...
reverses the earlier diagrammatics, see equation \(2.33\) below.

\[ \begin{array}{cccccccc}
\text{\includegraphics[width=2cm]{diagram1.png}} & \text{\includegraphics[width=2cm]{diagram2.png}} & \text{\includegraphics[width=2cm]{diagram3.png}} & \text{\includegraphics[width=2cm]{diagram4.png}} & \text{\includegraphics[width=2cm]{diagram5.png}} & \text{\includegraphics[width=2cm]{diagram6.png}} & \text{\includegraphics[width=2cm]{diagram7.png}} & \text{\includegraphics[width=2cm]{diagram8.png}} \\
\end{array} \]

\[ \text{\(2.33\)} \]

**Proposition 2.5.** The following equality holds

\[ \begin{array}{cccccccc}
\text{\includegraphics[width=2cm]{diagram9.png}} & \text{\includegraphics[width=2cm]{diagram10.png}} & \text{\includegraphics[width=2cm]{diagram11.png}} & \text{\includegraphics[width=2cm]{diagram12.png}} & \text{\includegraphics[width=2cm]{diagram13.png}} & \text{\includegraphics[width=2cm]{diagram14.png}} & \text{\includegraphics[width=2cm]{diagram15.png}} & \text{\includegraphics[width=2cm]{diagram16.png}} \\
\end{array} \]

Moreover, both terms on the right hand side are orthogonal idempotents.

**Proof.** A direct computation shows that the two terms on the right hand side are orthogonal idempotents. To show that their sum is the identity of \(B \otimes_s B\) note that for \(f \in R\) the element \(P(f) := f - x_2 \partial f\) is in \(R^s\) since \(\partial P(f) = 0\). This allows writing \(f = P(f) + x_2 \partial f\) (a similar argument appears in [3, §2.2]). We then compute

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \begin{array}{cc}
\text{\includegraphics[width=2cm]{diagram17.png}} & \text{\includegraphics[width=2cm]{diagram18.png}} \\
\end{array} \right)
\cdot (1 \otimes s f \otimes s 1) &= 1 \otimes s 1 \otimes s f - 1 \otimes s 1 \otimes s x_2 \partial f + 1 \otimes s x_2 \partial f \otimes s f \\
&= 1 \otimes s 1 \otimes s P(f) + 1 \otimes s x_2 \partial f \otimes s 1 \\
&= 1 \otimes s P(f) \otimes s 1 + 1 \otimes s x_2 \partial f \otimes s 1 = 1 \otimes s f \otimes s 1,
\end{align*}
\]

as claimed. \(\square\)

**Corollary 2.6.** There are direct sum decompositions

\[ \begin{array}{cccccccc}
\text{\(B \otimes B \cong B\{1\} \oplus B\{-1\}\)} \\
\text{\(B \otimes B \cong B\{1\} \oplus B\{-1\}\)} \\
\end{array} \]

**Proof.** The first idempotent on the right hand side of \((2.34)\) is a composition of degree 0 maps

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\text{\includegraphics[width=2cm]{diagram19.png}} & \text{\includegraphics[width=2cm]{diagram20.png}} & \text{\includegraphics[width=2cm]{diagram21.png}} \\
\end{array} \]

Composing in the opposite direction gives the identity map of \(B\{-1\}\), so that this idempotent is a projection onto a copy of \(B\{-1\}\). Grading shift is present due to the degree of \((2.25)\) being one.

Likewise, the second idempotent is a composition

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\text{\includegraphics[width=2cm]{diagram22.png}} & \text{\includegraphics[width=2cm]{diagram23.png}} & \text{\includegraphics[width=2cm]{diagram24.png}} \\
\end{array} \]

with the composition in the opposite direction equal \(\text{id}_{B\{1\}}\). Thus, it’s a projection onto a graded bimodule isomorphic to \(\widetilde{B}\{1\}\). We obtain a direct sum decomposition \(B \otimes B \cong B\{-1\} \oplus B\{1\}\) in \((2.35)\) Tensoring with \(R\) on the right and on the left gives the remaining direct sum decompositions. \(\square\)

**Remark 2.7.** The identity in \((2.34)\) can be expressed in equivalent ways, which result in different presentations of the maps realising the isomorphisms in \((2.35)\) and \((2.36)\). For
example, it equals its reflection around a vertical axis:

\[
(2.37)
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{II} = \text{YY} - \text{YY} \nonumber
\end{array}
\]

To see that this equation holds, we need the two relations below.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{II} + \text{YY} = \text{YY} \nonumber
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{II} = \text{YY} - \text{YY} \nonumber
\end{array}
\]

The first one can be proved by direct computation, and the second is obtained from (2.34) by postcomposing all terms with the map \( m \) at the appropriate place. Combining these two relations with (2.34) gives (2.37).

The direct sum decompositions in Corollary 2.6 are not canonical. Specializing to \( B \otimes B \), there is a canonical short exact sequence below (up to a choice of signs for the maps) with the inclusion given by map (2.31)

\[
0 \rightarrow B\{−1\} \rightarrow B \otimes B \rightarrow B\{1\} \rightarrow 0.
\]

This sequence splits, but a splitting is non-unique, due to the existence of a non-trivial degree 2 bimodule map \( B \rightarrow B \), see below on the left. Via adjointness, it comes from a degree two homomorphism \( R \rightarrow B \otimes B \), shown below on the right

\[
(\text{the minus sign is added to match our definition of the corresponding adjointness morphism}).
\]

A particular direct sum decomposition of \( B \otimes B \) is given by the following maps, as in the proof of Corollary 2.6.

3. Oriented calculus for products of generating bimodules

Our diagrammatics so far explicitly includes bimodules \( B \) (blue lines) and \( R \) (dashed orange lines). Bimodule \( B \) and the maps that go through it appear implicitly through a combination of diagrammatics for \( B \) and for \( R \). It’s natural to extend this diagrammatics, by depicting the identity map of \( B \), respectively \( B \), by a vertical blue line oriented up, respectively down, see below. Then the biadjointness maps (2.17) can be compactly depicted by oriented cups and caps, with the usual isotopy relations on these cup and caps.
A closed circle, either clockwise or counterclockwise oriented, evaluates to 0, see below.

There are additional generating maps and isotopy relations on them (some relations are depicted below, together with the maps).

Isomorphisms (2.23) can be depicted by a trivalent vertex where a dashed orange line enters the point of orientation reversal of a blue line, see below, together with the corresponding relations. There are 8 such trivalent vertices, with some relations on them also shown below and other relations are obtained by suitable symmetries (horizontal and vertical reflection and orientation reversal).

Blue lines in the top row of (2.33) now acquire upward orientation, see below. The rightmost diagram is an exception; dashed orange line is hidden at the cost of orienting the left bottom leg down.

Composing trivalent vertices with cups and caps results in rotated trivalent vertices, see below (where top left diagram is the rightmost diagram in (3.2)).
At a trivalent vertex, the three edges either all oriented into the vertex, or one edge is oriented in and two edges out. The number of “out” oriented edges at each vertex is even. The degrees of various maps are summarized in the table below.

| map          | degree |
|--------------|--------|
|              | 0      | 0      | 0      | 1      | 1      |

It’s also convenient to introduce a crossing of a downward-oriented blue line with a dashed orange line, a degree 0 map defined as shown below.

Also, the following relations hold.

\[ \begin{align*}
\ydiagram{0.25}\ &= \ ydiagram{0.25} \\
\ydiagram{0.25} &= 0
\end{align*} \]

Similar to the decomposition of \( B \otimes B \) and using oriented lines, we obtain the following direct sum decomposition

\[ B \otimes B \cong B\{1\} \oplus B\{-1\} \]

from Corollary 2.6 diagrammatically (note the minus sign in one of the maps).

There’s flexibility in choosing some arrows in a direct sum decomposition of \( B \otimes B \), as in the earlier discussion about the equivalent case of decomposing \( B \otimes B \).

**Remark 3.1.** Let \( \text{SBim} \) be the monoidal category of 2-variable odd Soergel bimodules generated by bimodules \( B, R \) and their grading shifts (see more details about \( \text{SBim} \) in Section 5). Bimodule \( B \) has an antinvolution \( \phi \) given by \( \phi(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x \). Bimodule \( R \) has an antinvolution \( \phi \) given by \( \phi(x_1 y) = y_1 x \). Antiinvolutions \( \phi \) extend to an involutive antiequivalence \( \phi : \text{SBim} \rightarrow \text{SBim}^{\text{op}} \) of the category \( \text{SBim} \) that takes \( B \) to \( B \) and \( R \) to \( R \). In our graphical description of \( \text{SBim} \) some generating maps are invariant under the reflection in a vertical line, such as in (2.25). Our diagrammatical notations for several maps break reflectional symmetry, requiring adding a minus sign to the reflected diagram, including in (2.26). These signs later propagate in formulas: for instance, observe the absence of signs in the direct sum decomposition given by (4.1) and the presence of a single minus sign in the decomposition (3.3).
4. Odd Rouquier complexes and invertibility

Consider the following two-term complexes of graded $B$-modules, where $B$ and $B$ are placed in cohomological degree 0. The differential is given by maps (2.25) and the map $\Delta$ obtained from (2.26) by tensoring with 1, respectively.

\[
\mathcal{R} := 0 \to B \xrightarrow{m} R\{-1\} \to 0,
\]
\[
\mathcal{R}' := 0 \to R\{1\} \xrightarrow{\Delta} B \to 0.
\]

Complexes $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}'$ can be viewed as odd analogues of the Rouquier complexes.

**Theorem 4.1.** There are homotopy equivalences of complexes of graded $B$-modules $\mathcal{R} \otimes_R \mathcal{R}' \cong_h R$ and $\mathcal{R}' \otimes_R \mathcal{R} \cong_h R$.

Here $R$ denotes the identity $R$-bimodule, viewed as a complex concentrated in homological degree 0.

**Proof.** The complex $\mathcal{R} \otimes_R \mathcal{R}'$ is given by forming a commutative square of bimodules below

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
B \otimes R\{1\} & \xrightarrow{d_0} & R \otimes R \\
\downarrow d_1 & & \downarrow d_2 \\
B \otimes B & \xrightarrow{d_3} & R \otimes B\{-1\}
\end{array}
\]

then adding a minus sign to the map $d_2$ and collapsing the square into the complex $(C, \tilde{d})$ below.

\[
\tilde{d}_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} d_0 \\ d_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{d}_0 = (-d_2, d_3)
\]

Introduce maps $h_0, h_3$ and $j$ between terms in the above commutative square, as shown below.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
B\{1\} & \xrightarrow{d_0} & R \\
\downarrow d_1 & & \downarrow d_2 \\
B \otimes B & \xrightarrow{d_3} & B\{-1\}
\end{array}
\]

The following relations hold

\[
h_0 d_1 = \text{id}_{B\{1\}}, \quad d_2 = d_3 j, \quad d_3 h_3 = \text{id}_{B\{-1\}}, \quad h_0 h_3 = 0.
\]
Thus, \( d_1 \) is split injective, with a section \( h_0 \). Likewise, \( d_3 \) is split surjective, with \( h_3 \) as a section.

We would like to check that \( B \otimes \mathcal{R} = \text{im}(d_1) \oplus \text{im}(h_3) \). Consider the map \( d'_3 \) given by

\[
d'_3 = \text{rotation of the top left diagram in (3.3)}.
\]

Map \( d'_3 \) is a rotation of the top left diagram in (3.3). Then

(4.1) \[ d'_3 d_1 = 0, \quad h_0 h_3 = 0, \quad d'_3 h_3 = \text{id}_{\mathcal{R}(-1)}, \quad d_1 h_0 + h_3 d'_3 = \text{id}_{B \otimes \mathcal{R}}. \]

Pairs of maps \((d_1, h_0)\) and \((d'_3, h_3)\) give a direct sum decomposition \( B \otimes \mathcal{R} \cong B\{1\} \oplus \mathcal{R}\{-1\} \).

Complex \( C \) above splits into the direct sum of three subcomplexes:

\[
0 \to B\{1\} \xrightarrow{d_3 + d_1} B\{1\} \to 0,
0 \to \mathcal{R} \to 0,
0 \to h_3(B\{-1\}) \xrightarrow{d'_3} \mathcal{R}\{-1\} \to 0,
\]

where the middle complex consists of pairs \((a, j(a))\), \( a \in \mathcal{R} \). The first and third complexes are contractible, while the middle complex is the identity bimodule \( \mathcal{R} \). Consequently, \( \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}' \cong_h \mathcal{R} \).

A similar computation, changing the order of terms in tensor products and reflecting all map diagrams about horizontal axes, shows that \( \mathcal{R}' \otimes \mathcal{R} \cong_h \mathcal{R} \).

**Corollary 4.2.** Functors of tensoring with bimodule complexes \( \mathcal{R} \) and \( \mathcal{R}' \) are mutually-invertible functors in the homotopy category of complexes of graded \( \mathcal{R} \)-modules.

**Proposition 4.3.** After removing contractible summands, complex \( \mathcal{R}^n \) for \( n > 0 \) simplifies to the \((n + 1)\)-term complex, nontrivial in cohomological degrees from 0 to \( n \), with the head

\[
\cdots \to B\{5 - n\} \xrightarrow{d_3} B\{3 - n\} \xrightarrow{d_2} B\{1 - n\} \to R\{-n\} \to 0
\]

and the tail

\[
0 \to B\{n - 1\} \xrightarrow{d_3} B\{n - 3\} \xrightarrow{d_2} B\{n - 5\} \xrightarrow{d_1} B\{n - 7\} \to \cdots
\]

for odd \( n \) and

\[
0 \to B\{n - 1\} \xrightarrow{d_3} B\{n - 3\} \xrightarrow{d_2} B\{n - 5\} \xrightarrow{d_1} B\{n - 7\} \xrightarrow{d_0} \cdots
\]

for even \( n \). Under the differential maps, \( 1 \otimes_s 1 \) and \( 1 \otimes_s \frac{1}{1} \) are sent to \( 1 \otimes_s \frac{1}{1} x_1 + x_2 \otimes_s \frac{1}{1} \in \mathcal{R} \) and to \( 1 \otimes_s x_2 - x_2 \otimes_s 1 \in B \), respectively.

The proposition can be proved by induction on \( n \) and a direct computation using Gauss elimination. \( \square \)

The case of \( \mathcal{R}^n \) is similar:

**Proposition 4.4.** After removing contractible summands, complex \( \mathcal{R}^n \) for \( n > 0 \) reduces to the following \((n + 1)\)-term complex that lives in cohomological degrees from \(-n\) to 0:

\[
0 \to R\{n\} \xrightarrow{d_3} B\{n - 1\} \xrightarrow{d_2} B\{n - 3\} \xrightarrow{d_1} B\{n - 5\} \to \cdots
\]

**Remark 4.5.** Adding the signed permutation bimodule to \( \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}' \) gives the 2-strand motion braid group action on the homotopy category of graded \( \mathcal{R} \)-modules, see A.-L. Thiel [18] for the corresponding action of the group of motion braids or virtual braids in the even case for any number of strands.
5. Grothendieck ring

Recall that SBim is the category of 2-variable odd Soergel bimodules generated as the monoidal category by bimodules $B$ and $\mathcal{R}$ and their grading shifts. Hom spaces in this category are all grading-preserving homomorphisms of bimodules. We can also define the larger spaces

$$\text{HOM}_{\text{SBim}}(M, N) := \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\text{SBim}}(M\{n\}, N).$$

These HOM spaces are naturally modules over the center of $R$,

$$Z(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x_1^2, x_2^2] \subset R. \quad (5.1)$$

The left and right actions of $Z(R)$ on these hom spaces are not equal, in general.

Indecomposable objects of SBim, up to shifts, are $R, R, B, B$, with tensor product decompositions

$$R \otimes R \cong R, \quad B \cong B \otimes R \cong R \otimes B, \quad B \otimes B \cong B\{-1\} \oplus B\{1\}.$$ 

Consider the split Grothendieck ring $K_0$ of SBim. Grading shift functor induces a $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$-module structure on $K_0(\text{SBim})$. The latter is a free rank four $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$-module with a basis

$$1 = [R], \quad c := [R], \quad b := [B], \quad bc = [B],$$

generators $b, c$, and multiplication rules

$$c^2 = 1, \quad cb = bc, \quad b^2 = q^{-1}b + qbc. \quad (5.2)$$

Since the element $c$ is central in $K_0(\text{SBim})$, the latter is a commutative associative $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$-algebra (commutativity fails for analogous algebras for three or more strands).

Define a $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$-semilinear form on $K_0(\text{SBim})$ by

$$[(M), (N)] := \text{gdim}(\text{HOM}(M, N)),$$

where gdim denotes the graded dimension. This form is $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$-linear in the second variable and $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$-antilinear in the first variable. We have $(cm, cn) = (m, n)$, for $m, n \in K_0(\text{SBim})$, and

$$(1, 1) = \frac{1}{(1 - q^4)^2}, \quad (5.3)$$

$$(1, c) = (c, 1) = 0, \quad (5.4)$$

$$(b, 1) = (1, bc) = \frac{q}{(1 - q^4)^2}, \quad (5.5)$$

$$(1, b) = (bc, 1) = \frac{q^3}{(1 - q^4)^2}. \quad (5.6)$$

The inner product $(1, c) = 0$ since $\text{HOM}(R, R) = 0$, which follows by a direct computation. The inner product $(b, 1)$ above is computed via adjointness isomorphism

$$\text{HOM}(B, R) = \text{HOM}(R \otimes R, R\{-1\}, R) \cong \text{Hom}(R, R; R, R) \{1\}.$$ 

An endomorphism $\xi$ of the $(R_s, R)$-bimodule $R$ is determined by $\xi(1) \in R$ which we write as $\xi(1) = h_{00} + h_{01}x_1 + h_{10}x_2 + h_{11}x_1x_2$, where $h_{ij} \in \mathbf{k}[x_1^2, x_2^2] = Z(R)$. Commutativity relations $f\xi(1)f$ for $f \in R^e$ can be reduced to those for generators $x_1 - x_2, x_1x_2$ of $R^e$, leading to the relations $h_{01} = h_{10} = h_{11} = 0$. Consequently, endomorphisms of this bimodule are in a bijection with central elements of $R$, via $\xi(1) = h_{00} \in Z(R)$. Passing to the graded dimension results in the above formula $(b, 1) = q(1 - q^4)^{-2}$.

Likewise, the inner product $(bc, 1)$ is the graded dimension of

$$\text{HOM}(B, R) = \text{HOM}(R \otimes R, R\{-1\}, R) \cong \text{Hom}(R, R; R, R) \{1\}.$$ 

The generator of the hom space $\text{HOM}(B, R)$ is given by the degree 3 map below. There $x_1^2$ in a box denotes the bimodule map of multiplication by the central element $x_1^2$ of $R$. Replacing $x_1^2$ by $x_2^2$ in the middle box reverses the sign of the map.

$$\text{HOM}(B, R) = \text{HOM}(R \otimes R, R\{-1\}, R) \cong \text{Hom}(R, R; R, R) \{1\}.$$
A similar computation to the above shows that an \((R, R)\)-bimodule maps \(R \to R\) are given by \(1 \mapsto f(x_1 - x_2)\), for any \(f \in k[x_1^2, x_2^2]\). The generating map, for \(f = 1\), has degree 3, due to shift in the degree of \(R\) as defined. Consequently, the inner product \((bc, 1)\) is given by \(5.6\).

Each object of \(SBim\) has a biadjoint object (since the generating objects do). Bimodules \(B, \overline{B}\) and \(R, \overline{R}\) define biadjoint pairs of functors. Denote the corresponding "biadjointness" antiinvolution on \(K_0(SBim)\) by \(\tau\). It has the properties

\[
\tau(1) = 1, \quad \tau(c) = c, \quad \tau(b) = bc,
\]

and

\[
\tau(xy) = \tau(y)\tau(x), \quad \tau(qx) = q^{-1}\tau(x), \quad x, y \in K_0(SBim).
\]

In general, such "biadjointness" involutions reverse the order in the product, but due to commutativity of \(K_0(SBim)\) it does not matter in our case. This involution is \(\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]\)-antilinear and compatible with the bilinear form,

\[
(xm, n) = (m, \tau(x)n), \quad x, m, n \in K_0(SBim).
\]

Adjointness allows to finish the computation of the inner products \((5.5)\) and \((5.6)\). We can further compute that

\[
(b, b) = \frac{1 + q^4}{(1 - q^4)^2}, \quad (b, bc) = \frac{2q^2}{(1 - q^4)^2}.
\]

Some of the generating maps for HOM spaces between the four indecomposable bimodules in \(SBim\) are shown below, with each map of degree one. Generating maps in the opposite direction, all in degree 3, are not shown.

For each of the four arrows between these four bimodules, the HOM space is a one-dimensional \(\mathbb{Z}(R)\) module with the generator shown. There are no homs between \(R\) and \(\overline{R}\), and the corresponding compositions are 0. The upper and lower portions of the diagram constitute two short exact sequences, and zero objects are added on the sides to emphasize that.

Note that trivalent vertices appear in this calculus when passing to the tensor products of \(B\)'s and \(\overline{B}\)'s, to describe tensor product decompositions into direct sums.

The bilinear form is determined by \(\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]\)-linear trace form \(tr\) on \(K_0(SBim)\), where \(tr(a) = (1, a)\), with

\[
tr(1) = \frac{1}{(1 - q^4)^2}, \quad tr(b) = \frac{q^3}{(1 - q^4)^2}, \quad tr(c) = 0, \quad tr(bc) = \frac{q}{(1 - q^4)^2}.
\]

The inner product and trace can be rescaled by \((1 - q^4)^2\) to take values in \(\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]\). This corresponds to viewing hom spaces as free graded modules over \(\mathbb{Z}(R)\) (under either left or right multiplications by central elements) and taking their graded ranks.
6. An obstacle to the Reidemeister III relation

Consider the ring $R_3$ of supercommuting polynomials in 3 variables,

$$R_3 = k(x_1, x_2, x_3)/(x_ix_j + x_jx_i), \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq 3.$$ 

In this section let us denote $R_3$ by $R$.

The symmetric group $S_3$ acts on $R$, with

$$s_1(x_1) = -x_2, \quad s_1(x_2) = -x_1, \quad s_1(x_3) = -x_3,$$

$$s_2(x_1) = -x_3, \quad s_2(x_2) = -x_3, \quad s_2(x_3) = -x_2,$$

and $s_i(fg) = s_i(f)s_i(g)$ for $f, g \in R$.

There are two odd Demazure operators, $\partial_1, \partial_2 : R \to R$. Operator $\partial_1 : R \to R$ is given by:

- $\partial_1(1) = 0, \partial_1(x_1) = \partial_1(x_2) = 1, \partial_1(x_3) = 0,$
- the twisted Leibniz rule holds

$$\partial_1(fg) = (\partial_1 f)g + s_1(f)\partial_1 g,$$

and likewise for $\partial_2$.

The kernels of $\partial_1, \partial_2$ are subrings $R^1, R^2 \subset R$. For instance, ring $R^1$ is the subring of $R$ generated by $x_1 - x_2, x_1x_2, x_3$.

Form graded $R$-bimodules

$$B_i := R \otimes_{R^i} R\{−1\}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Note that $x_3(1 \otimes 1) = (1 \otimes 1)x_3$, where $1 \otimes 1$ is the generator of $B_1$.

Diagrammatic calculi of the earlier sections can be repeated separately for $B_1$ and $B_2$. In case of $B_1$ we need the permutation bimodule, denoted $R^1 \cong R\{1\}$, with the generator $x_1$ and $x_1 = s_1(x)$ for $x \in R$ and can then form $B_1 = B_1 \otimes R^1 \cong R^1 \otimes R B_1$. We have not tried to develop a diagrammatic calculus of odd 3-stranded Soergel bimodules which would add interactions between products of $B_1$ and $B_2$.

Consider graded $R$-bimodules

$$\overline{B_i} := R \otimes_{R^i} \overline{R^i} \otimes_{R^i} R\{−1\} = R \otimes_{R^i} R\{−1\}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Define the bimodule

$$B_{121} := R \otimes_{R^{[2]}} R\{−3\}.$$ 

Here $R^{[2]} \subset R$ is the subring of odd symmetric functions in three variables, $R^{[2]} = \ker(\partial_1) \cap \ker(\partial_2)$.

**Proposition 6.1.** There exists an exact sequence of graded $R$-bimodules

$$0 \to B_{121} \to B_1 \otimes B_2 \otimes B_1 \to B_1 \to 0$$

(6.1)

This sequence does not split.

The absence of a splitting creates a problem for the Reidemeister III move invariance. When resolving complexes for $R_1 \otimes R_2 R_1$ and $R_2 R_1 \otimes R_2$ there are not enough contractible summands to slim the complexes down to those with the leftmost term $B_{121}$, which is how the isomorphism is proven in the even case. Instead, the leftmost terms are $B_1 \otimes B_2 \otimes B_1$ and $B_2 \otimes B_1 \otimes B_2$, respectively, which are not isomorphic. This prevents the corresponding complexes of bimodules from being isomorphic in the homotopy category. Finding a way around this obstacle is an interesting problem.
7. Comparison with the even case

In this section we use the same notations to denote the corresponding structures in the even case:

- $R = k[x_1, x_2]$ is the ring of polynomials in two variables. $S_2$ acts on it by permuting the variables.
- $\partial$ is the Demazure operator, $\partial(f) = \frac{f - s f}{x_1 - x_2}$.
- $R^s \subset S_2$ is the ring of symmetric functions.
- $B = R \otimes_{R^s} R\{-1\}$ is the generating Soergel bimodule for two variables.
- $\bar{R}$ is the transposition bimodule, $\bar{R} = R_1 = 1_R$, $x_i \bar{1} = x_{s(i)}$.

For the general theory of Soergel bimodules we refer to [4, 17] and for the diagrammatic calculus of Soergel bimodules to [3, 4]. There is a natural isomorphism of $(R^s, R)$-bimodules

$$R^s R \otimes_R \bar{R} \cong \bar{R} R$$

due to involution $s$ acting by identity on $R^s$.

Likewise, there’s an isomorphism of $(R, R^s)$-bimodules

$$\bar{R} \otimes_R R \cong \bar{R} R^s R.$$

Tensoring these equations with the other “halves” of the bimodule $B$ gives bimodule isomorphisms

$$\bar{R} \otimes_R B \cong B \cong B \otimes_R \bar{R}.$$

Odd replacement of these isomorphisms motivates introducing bimodules $\bar{B}$ in that case, see earlier.

Multiplication map $f \otimes g \mapsto fg$ induces a surjective bimodule map $B \rightarrow R\{-1\}$ which extends to a short exact sequence of bimodules

$$0 \rightarrow \bar{R}\{1\} \rightarrow B \rightarrow R\{-1\} \rightarrow 0$$

(7.1)

Tensoring all terms of this sequence with $\bar{R}$ flips the sequence to the opposite

$$0 \rightarrow \bar{R}\{1\} \rightarrow B \rightarrow R\{-1\} \rightarrow 0$$

(7.2)

These filtrations were emphasized in [10]. They allow to think of Rouquier complexes as a sort of homological perturbation or homological quantization of the permutation bimodule $\bar{R}$. In the homotopy category (and ignoring $q$-gradings) the complex

$$0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0$$

(7.2)

is not isomorphic to

$$0 \rightarrow \bar{R} \rightarrow 0.$$  

(7.3)

(in both complexes we place the leftmost nontrivial term in degree 0). Homology groups of these complexes are isomorphic, though. Complex (7.2) is giving by thickening complex (7.3) by the contractible complex $0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{id} R \rightarrow 0$, which does not change the homology but makes the complex more subtle on the homotopy category level. The transposition relation, which holds for $\bar{R}$ (that $\bar{R} \otimes \bar{R} \cong \bar{R}$) fails for the complex (7.2). It’s substituted by the weaker relation that (7.2) is invertible in the homotopy category, with the quasi-inverse complex $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ given by truncating (7.1). The quasi-inverse is another thickening of $\bar{R}$.

Before “homological perturbation”, tensoring with the bimodule $\bar{R}$ is a symmetry of order two, with $\bar{R} \otimes_R \bar{R} \cong \bar{R}$. Homological perturbation results in an invertible functor of infinite order, while retaining the Reidemeister III relation (the braid relation) in the homotopy category of complexes of bimodules over $k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$.

Thus, upon this homological perturbation, action of the permutation group $S_n$ on the category of $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$-modules given by tensoring with permutation bimodules $R_i$ becomes a much more subtle action of the $n$-stranded braid group on the homotopy category of $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$-modules by Rouquier complexes.
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