MiR-221/-222 differentiate prognostic groups in advanced breast cancers and influence cell invasion

N Falkenberg1,8, N Anastasov2,8, K Rapp1, H Braselmann3, G Auer4, A Walch1,5, M Huber1, I Höfig2, M Schmitt6, H Höfler1,7, M J Atkinson2 and M Aubele*,1

1Institute of Pathology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Ingolstaedter Landstrasse 1, D-85764 Neuherberg, Germany; 2Institute of Radiation Biology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Ingolstaedter Landstrasse 1, D-85764 Neuherberg, Germany; 3Research Unit of Radiation Cytogenetics, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Ingolstaedter Landstrasse 1, D-85764 Neuherberg, Germany; 4Department of Oncology and Pathology, Karolinska Institute and Hospital, 17176 Stockholm, Sweden; 5Research Unit of Analytical Pathology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Ingolstaedter Landstrasse 1, D-85764 Neuherberg, Germany; 6Clinical Research Unit, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology and Technische Universität München, Trogerstrasse 18, 81675 München, Germany and 7Institute of Pathology, Technische Universität München, Trogerstrasse 18, 81675 München, Germany

**Background:** MiR-221/-222 are frequently overexpressed in breast cancer and are associated with increased malignancy. The specific modification of microRNAs (miRNAs) expression could be a promising strategy in breast cancer therapy, leading to the suppression of tumourigenic processes in tumour cells.

**Methods:** MiR-221/-222 expressions were analysed in 86 breast cancer tissues by quantitative RT–PCR and tested for correlation with immunohistochemistry data and clinical follow-up. *In vitro* assays were conducted using human breast cancer cell lines with lentiviral overexpression of miR-221/-222.

**Results:** In tumour tissues, miR-221/-222 were associated with the occurrence of distant metastases. In particular, high levels of miR-221 were revealed to have a high prognostic impact for the identification of significantly different groups with advanced tumours. MiR-221/-222 overexpression strongly increased cell proliferation and invasion *in vitro*. Following miR-221/-222 overexpression an increased uPAR expression and cell invasion were observed.

**Conclusion:** This study demonstrates a significant role for highly expressed miR-221/-222 in advanced breast cancers allowing for the identification of significantly different prognostic groups, particularly for HER2-positive and lymph-node-positive breast cancers. Considering that miR-221/-222 are strongly involved in cell invasion, these miRNAs may be promising markers for breast cancer prognosis and therapy.

In breast cancer, advice for adjuvant therapy is based on traditional factors like age, tumour size, grade of differentiation and steroid hormone receptor status. The expression levels of oestrogen receptor (ER) and HER2 (also called ErbB2) are currently the best-known predictive and prognostic biomarkers for personalised breast cancer therapy. (Early Breast Cancer
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In this study, we investigated the expression of miR-221 and miR-222 in 86 formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissues from invasive ductal breast carcinomas (IDC) to determine the clinical impact of altered miRNA expression. We show, for the first time, that both miRNAs are of significant prognostic value, and that miR-221, in particular, is highly significant in distinguishing prognostic subgroups in advanced (HER2-positive, LN-positive) tumours. To support our findings in tumour tissues and to further explore the impact of these miRNAs for malignancy in breast cancer, we overexpressed them in human breast cancer cell lines (T47D, SKBR3 and MDA-MB-361) and analysed the effects on signalling proteins, proliferation, migration, invasion and uPAR expression changes in particular.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Tumour tissues and patient data.** Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissues from 86 randomly selected IDC were analysed for the expression of the miRNAs miR-221 and miR-222. Forty-eight tumours were LN-negative and 59 tumours were small in size (≤2 cm). Nine of the tumours were histological grade 1, 55 were grade 2 and 22 were grade 3 (Elston and Ellis, 1991). The hormone receptor status was evaluated immunohistochemically and revealed that 22 of the tumours were ER-positive. The median patient’s age at the time of diagnosis was 66 years (please see also Supplementary Table 1). All of the patients were surgically treated, and no patient received preoperative treatment. Postoperatively, radiotherapy was administered to 29 patients, anti-hormonal treatment to 3, chemotherapy to 2 and radio- and chemotherapy to 4 patients. Detailed long-term clinical follow-up was available for all of the patients with a median follow-up period of 113 months (min. 5 months, max. 468 months). Forty patients relapsed with distant metastases within the total follow-up period. The ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty of the Technical University of Munich (TUM) and the informed consent was given by all patients from TUM and from Karolinska Institute and Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden.

**Immunohistochemistry.** In addition to the histopathological and follow-up data, immunohistochemistry (IHC) data were available from the tumours detailing the expression of human epidermal growth factor receptors (HER1, HER2, HER3 and HER4), ER and progesterone receptor (PR) and PTEN (Aubele et al., 2007). For IHC of the cell cycle inhibitor p27Kip1 and the cell proliferation marker Ki67, two primary antibodies were used on the tissue microarray (TMA) sections: anti-p27Kip1 (610242, 1:500, BD Bioscience, Heidelberg, Germany) and anti-Ki67 (15580, 1:1,000, Abcam, Cambridge, UK). The deparaffinization of the TMA sections, antigen retrieval and incubation of the primary antibodies was performed in general as previously described (Aubele et al., 2007). The staining and counterstaining were done by an automated immunostainer (Ventana Medical System, Inc., Tucson, AZ, USA) using 3, 3’-diaminobenzidine for detection and hematoxylin for counterstaining. The tissue staining intensities were scored blind by two investigators describing the number of positively stained tumour cells (in per cent). In case of discrepancy consensus between the two observers was found.

**Growth and maintenance of cell lines.** The T47D cell line (HTB-133) was acquired from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and maintained in RPMI 1640 with GlutaMAX (Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium) supplemented with bovine insulin (10 µg ml⁻¹, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA). The SKBR3 (HTB-30 was acquired from ATCC) and MDA-MB-361 breast cancer cell lines (a kind gift from Professor M Schmitt, Clinical Research Unit, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Technische Universität München) were cultured in DMEM with...
GlutaMAX (Dulbecco Modified Eagles medium). Both media were supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and 0.25% each of penicillin and streptomycin (Life Technologies, Darmstadt, Germany). The cell cultures were maintained in a water humidified 37 °C incubator with 5% CO₂. The cells used were authenticated by genetic profiling service (Eurofins MWG Operon, Ebersberg, Germany), and the obtained profiles were subsequently verified by the online STR matching analysis database (DSMZ, Heidelberg, Germany).

Lentivirus production and injection of breast cancer cell lines. Replication-defective lentiviral particles were produced by transient co-transfection of HEK293T with the packaging plasmids pMDLg/pRRE, pRSV. Rev and pMD2.G (a kind gift from Prof. D Trono, Ecole polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne) and the lentiviral precursor expression vectors for miR-221 and miR-222 overexpression (Cat. Nr. pMIRH221PA-1-GVO-SB and pMIRH222PA-1-GVO-SB; Biocat, Heidelberg, Germany) as previously described (Anastasov et al., 2012). The lentiviral transduction vector pGreenPuro (pGP; System Biosciences, Mountain View, CA, USA) was used as control vector for lentiviral infections. The virus particles were harvested 48 h after transfection, cleared and concentrated as reported previously (Anastasov et al., 2009). According to the virus titre determination, the virus production ranged between 10⁶ and 10⁸ TU ml⁻¹ (Transduction Units per ml). The viral infection of breast cancer cells was performed as previously described (Anastasov et al., 2010, 2012).

RNA isolation for miRNA expression analysis. Paraffin-embedded tissue was microdissected with a sterile needle from 5 μm thick sections using a stereo microscope (Stemi 2000, Zeiss, Germany). A consecutive H&E-stained section was used for guidance. The tumour cell material (containing at least >80% tumour cells) was collected from all cases. In addition, histologically normal tissue was collected from five cases and pooled as control (normal tissue). Total RNA was isolated from the microdissected tissues, digested, purified and dissolved in 20 μl RNase-free water. Five microlitres (100 ng) of RNA were reverse-transcribed using MultiScribeTM reverse transcriptase (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) (Chen et al., 2005; Anastasov et al., 2012). Further processing and evaluation of the results was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Total RNA was isolated from each of the breast cancer cell lines (T47D, SKBR3 and MDA-MB-361) after viral transduction. The cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 1500 r.p.m. for 5 min, and washed with 1 ml Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline without MgCl₂ and CaCl₂ (Invitrogen). Small RNAs (<200 nucleotides) were isolated from the cells using the mirVana miRNA isolation kit (Applied Biosystems) following the protocol for total RNA isolation. The quantity and quality of the total RNA and miRNA was measured with the Nanodrop spectrophotometer (PepLab Biotechnology, Erlangen, Germany).

TaQMan-miRNA assays and data analyses. Specific single Taq-Man-miRNA assays (Applied Biosystems) were used for miR-221 and miR-222 expression analysis (Cat. Nr. 4427975; Assay ID 000524 and ID 002276) in total RNA isolations from FFPE samples and from cells. Quantitative PCR was performed using the StepOnePlus Detection System (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The relative expression values of specific miRNA were calculated by using the 2⁻ΔΔCT method (Anastasov et al., 2012) and normalised to the control miRNA (RNU43 and RNU44, no. 4427975; Assay ID 001094 and 001095) and to the FFPE control (normal) tissue sample for tumour FFPE samples or to the SKBR3 control cells (used as calibrator) after subsequent lentiviral transductions in three different cell lines. All of the reactions were performed in duplicate and three independent experiments were conducted.

In silico analysis of miR-221 and miR-222 target sequences. The 3’ untranslated region (UTR) sequences of the relevant components of the uPAS (uPA, its receptor uPAR and its inhibitor PAI-1) were analysed for potential miR-221 and miR-222 binding sites based on the miRanda algorithm target prediction using the microRNA.org and Target Scan (release 6.2 miRNA target) prediction website.

Western blot analysis. The isolation of proteins, immunoblotting and quantifications were performed as previously described (Ludyga et al., 2013). The respective target proteins regulated by the miRNAs were detected with the following primary antibodies: cyclin D1 (sc-753), p-cdc2 (sc-6248), ER (sc-8002, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Heidelberg, Germany), HER1 (M7298), HER2 (A0485, DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark), HER3 (MS-201-P1, Thermo Scientific, Fremont, CA, USA), HER4 (4795), (phospho, 9554) PTEN (9559), (phospho) 4051 Akt (9272), (phospho, 4376) MAPK (4695), (phospho, 9211) p38MAPK (9121), (phospho-STAT3 (9134), MMP9 (3852, Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA, USA), STAT3 (610190), Cyclin A (611268), p27kip1 (601242, BD Transduction Laboratories, Lexington, KY, USA), uPAR (IID7) a kind gift from Professor M Schmitt and Professor V Magdolen (Clinical Research Unit, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Technische Universität München) and tubulin as a loading control (T5168, Sigma). The following peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies were used: anti-rabbit (NA934V) and anti-mouse (NA931V) (GE Healthcare, Chalfont St. Giles, UK).

WST-1 cell proliferation assay. Cell proliferation was determined using water-soluble tetrazolium WST-1 (4-[3-(4-Iodophenyl)-2-(4-nitrophenyl)-2H-5-tetrazolio]-1,3-benzenedisulfonate) for the spectrophotometric assay according to the manufacturer’s protocol (05015944001, Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). The T47D, SKBR3 and MDA-MB-361 cells were seeded at a concentration of 1 × 10⁵ cells per well in a 96-well tissue culture plate and incubated for 48 h at 37 °C. The measurements were performed on three independent experiments as described previously (Ludyga et al., 2013).

Wound scratch migration assay. A wound was scratched into a confluent monolayer of T47D and SKBR3 cells, the MDA-MB-361 cells are not suitable for this assay owing to a non-confluent growth. The migration assay and quantification were performed as previously described (Ludyga et al., 2013). These experiments were performed three times.

Matrigel invasion assay. Control or infected T47D, SKBR3 and MDA-MB-361 cells were seeded at a concentration of 5 × 10⁴ in serum-reduced medium onto BD BioCoat Matrigel Invasion Chambers (354480, BD Biosciences, Bedford, MA, USA), inserted into 24-well cell culture plates and incubated at cell line-specific conditions for 24 h (T47D and MDA-MB-361 cells) or 48 h (SKBR3 cells) at 37 °C. The invasion assay was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol as previously described (Ludyga et al., 2013). The assays were performed three times.

Statistics. In the tumour tissues, the correlations among the markers and the miRNA expressions were examined by Spearman’s rank correlation test. For univariate survival analysis, Kaplan–Meier curves were calculated and the differences between strata were determined with the log-rank Chi-Square test. Optimal cut-offs for miR-221 or miR-222 expression in univariate survival analysis were determined by the method of the maximally selected log-rank statistic according to Lausen and Schumacher. For this, bivariate Kaplan–Meier analysis for HER2-positive or -negative cases yielded a maximum log-rank chi-square of 22.9 (df = 3) for the 66.7% quantile cut-off of the miR-221 expression (log2-fold = -0.15, fold-value 0.90, minimum P-value < 0.0001, adjusted P-value 0.005); accordingly, for the nodal (LN +, LN −) status, the maximum log-rank chi-square
was 25.3 (df = 3) for the 33.3% quantile cut-off of the miR-221 expression values (log2-fold = 1.2, fold-value = 0.435, minimum P-value < 0.0001, adjusted P-value = 0.0031). Multivariate analysis was performed by Cox regression analysis. Here, only covariates reaching significance with P < 0.10 were allowed in the regression model. In all other analyses, the statistical significance was considered at the P < 0.05 level. For illustration of the multivariate result, the selected parameters, weighted by their coefficients, were used to calculate a new prognostic variable (prognostic factor, PF) (Aubele et al, 2007) and patients were grouped according to their PF for Kaplan–Meier analysis. For statistical analyses of the in vitro proliferation, migration and invasion assays, as well as for western blots, Student’s t-test was used.

RESULTS

The expression of miR-221 and miR-222 is inversely correlated with p27Kip1 in tumour tissues. We identified a significant association between miR-221 expression and the expression of HER4 (inverse, P = 0.006), and between miR-222 and the expression of HER1 (P = 0.003) and HER3 (P = 0.003). The distribution of the parameters from individual samples is available as Supplementary Figure 1. An inverse correlation was found between miR-222 and the expression of the ER (P = 0.016) and with the expression of p27Kip1 protein (P = 0.048), but there was no significant correlation between the expression of miR-221 or miR-222 and PTEN in the tumour tissues. The expression of the cell cycle inhibitor p27Kip1 inversely correlated with the proliferation marker Ki67 (P = 0.012, Figure 1), which was evaluated in this study to confirm the proliferation at low p27Kip1 expression. The histological grade was significantly associated with the Ki67 IHC (P ≤ 0.001) and was inversely associated with p27Kip1 IHC (P = 0.03).

The expression of miR-221 and miR-222 is highly associated with metastases’ risk in advanced breast cancers. In univariate survival analyses, considering the occurrence of distant metastases in patients, high miR-221 expression showed a significant correlation (P = 0.02) with a worse prognosis for the patients (Table 1). Considering the subgroups of the tumours, in terms of nodal (LN +, LN –) or HER2 status (HER2-negative (0, 1 +) or HER2-positive (2 +, 3 +)), miR-221 allows for highly significant differentiation of the prognostic groups within the HER2-positive tumours (minimal P = 0.0013, Figure 2A) and in the LN-negative tumours (minimal P = 0.012, Figure 2C), but not in the HER2-negative (Figure 2B) or the LN-negative tumours (Figure 2D).

Therefore, we consider miR-221 to be a highly significant prognostic marker for distinguishing prognostic subgroups particularly in advanced (LN+, HER2+) breast cancers (Figure 2, Table 1). MiR-222 was of prognostic significance in the LN-negative tumours (minimal P = 0.02), but not in LN-positive tumours, and therefore, may be of high impact in differentiating between different LN-negative prognostic groups (Table 1).

In multivariate analyses, all parameters reaching a significance level of P ≤ 0.10 in the univariate approaches were offered (tumour size P = 0.004, LN status P = 0.003, HER2 P = 0.083, miR-221 P = 0.026). These all remained significant as independent covariates in the Cox regression model (Table 1). According to this result, we calculated the PF (Aubele et al, 2007) by the linear combination of the variables weighted by their coefficients: PF = 0.993*LN status + 0.943*miR-221 expression + 0.552*tumour size + 0.308*HER2-IHC. This calculation gives us a continuous variable for each patient with a range of 0.9–4.6, representing the patients’ risk for development of distant metastases. To visualise the patients’ classification with this multivariate risk factor, we calculated Kaplan–Meier curves (Figure 3) showing the mean distant metastases-free survival curve of all patients (plotted in grey), patients with a low PF (P ≤ 2.79, n = 46, 12 events) and those with a high PF (> 2.79, 31, 22 events; P ≤ 0.0001).

To summarise our results in the tumour tissues, significantly different prognostic groups could be identified by miR-221 expression within advanced (LN-positive or HER2-positive) tumours, or when calculating the multivariate PF, which is a combination of LN status, tumour size, HER2 and miR-221 expression.

Overexpression of miR-221 and miR-222 leads to increased proliferation of T47D, SKBR3 and MDA-MB-361 breast cancer cells. In comparison with the pooled normal tissue samples,
the mean expression levels of miR-221 and miR-222 in tumour tissues are shown in Figure 4A. In the breast cancer cell lines, the expression of miR-221 and miR-222 was low with small differences in miRNA expression levels between three cell lines (Figure 4B). The SKBR3 cell line showed the highest detection level of miR-221 and miR-222 expression and was used as control (calibrator) in subsequent qRT–PCR reactions. To strengthen our results from the tumour tissue samples, we overexpressed both miRNAs using the lentiviral approach in three breast cancer cell lines (T47D, SKBR3 and MDA-MB-361) and analysed the effects on tumourigenicity in vitro. The elevated expression of the miRNAs in the cell lines was quantified by qRT–PCR. In the T47D cell line ~100-fold (Figure 4C) overexpression was detected in comparison with the control SKBR3 cells used as calibrator (control cell line). In the SKBR3 cells ~30-fold (miR-221) and 80-fold (miR-222) miRNA expression was detected after lentiviral infection with specified miRNAs (Figure 4C). In the MDA-MB-361 cells ~95-fold (miR-221) and 115-fold (miR-222) overexpression was detected in comparison with the control (Figure 4C). As a consequence of the miR-221 or miR-222 upregulation, we observed a reduction in the protein expression of the cell cycle inhibitor p27Kip1 (Figure 5A). Concerning the expression levels of the HER receptors (HER1, HER3 and HER4) and the cell cycle proteins cyclin A, D1, E and p-cdc2, no change was observed, HER2 is shown as example (Figure 5A).

However, we identified cell line-specific effects on the expression levels of ER and the tumour suppressor PTEN (Figure 5A). In the T47D and MDA-MB-361 cells, following miR-221 and miR-222 overexpression, we detected strongly decreased ER expression, whereas in the SKBR3 cell line, the expression of PTEN was decreased, in particular following the overexpression

Table 1. Univariate and multivariate analyses for a distant metastases-free survival of breast cancer patients

| Coefficient | Relative risk | P-value | Total P-value |
|-------------|--------------|---------|---------------|
| LN status   | 0.993        | 2.70    | 0.008         |
| miR-221     | 0.943        | 2.57    | 0.028         |
| Tumor size  | 0.522        | 1.68    | 0.03          |
| HER2        | 0.308        | 1.36    | 0.085 P<0.001|

Abbreviations: LN = lymph-node status (0, +); n.s. = not significant. For the univariate Kaplan–Meier analyses, patients were stratified according to miRNA expressions in tumour tissues with determination of optimal cut-off values according to (Lausen and Schumacher). In the multivariate Cox regression analysis, the summary of the stepwise selected parameters is given for a distant metastases-free survival of patients of >400 months.

Figure 2. MiR-221 expression highly correlates with an increased risk for metastases in advanced breast cancers. Kaplan–Meier curves were calculated for subgroups of the cohort, as described in the statistic part. (A) HER2-positive tumours only, (B) HER2-negative tumours, (C) lymph-node-positive and (D) lymph-node-negative tumours. The number of patients in a group, the number of events, the corresponding minimal P-values as well as the adjusted P-values are given.
of miR-222. The PTEN levels were not changed in the T47D cells and only slightly in the MDA-MB-361 cells; the SKBR3 cells are ER-negative (Figure 5A).

The phosphorylation and expression of the signal transduction proteins STAT3, Akt, MAPK 1/3 (ERK 1/2) and p38MAPK were unchanged following the overexpression of both miRNAs (data not shown).

Consistent with the reduced cell cycle inhibitor p27Kip1 expression, we further observed a statistically significant increase in cell proliferation following the overexpression of both miRNAs, 1.0
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Figure 3. MiR-221 expression is of high prognostic value in a multivariate analysis for a distant metastases-free survival of patients. Kaplan–Meier curves for a distant metastases-free survival of patients of 400 months. Patients were grouped into two different risk groups according to their multivariate PF: low PF (\( <2.79 \)) and high PF (\( >2.79 \)). The number of patients in a group, the number of events and the \( P \)-value are given (grey line: mean survival curve of all patients).

Figure 4. MiR-221 and miR-222 are differentially expressed in tumour tissues and breast cancer cell lines. (A) The boxplots show the distribution of miRNA levels in individual tumour samples normalised by the miRNA level of normal tissues (where RNA was pooled from five cases). The cohort means of miR-221 and of miR-222 are significantly less than the miRNA levels of the pooled controls (\( P = 0.0003 \) and \( P < 0.0001 \), respectively), which were set as one. (B) The expression levels (mean ± of miR-221 and miR-222) in the human breast cancer cell lines T47D, SKBR3 (ER-negative) and MDA-MB-361 compared with the controls. Tubulin was used as the loading control. The mean values of two independent experiments are shown. (C) The expression levels of miR-221 and miR-222 following overexpression in the cell lines T47D, SKBR3 and MDA-MB-361 (where control SKBR3 sample was used as calibrator (control) for all different lentiviral infections).

Figure 5. The overexpression of miR-221 and miR-222 affect the protein levels of direct targets and elevate cell proliferation in vitro. (A) Western blot analysis of HER2, p27Kip1, ER and PTEN following the overexpression of miR-221 and miR-222 in the T47D, SKBR3 (ER-negative) and MDA-MB-361 cells compared with the controls. Tubulin was used as the loading control. The mean values of two independent experiments are shown. (B) The graph represents the amount of viable T47D, SKBR3 and MDA-MB-361 cells following miR-221 and miR-222 overexpression related to the controls. The mean values of three independent experiments, the standard deviations and the \( P \)-values (Student’s \( t \)-test) are shown.
with \( P = 0.029 \) (miR-221) and \( P = 0.036 \) (miR-222) in the T47D cells, with \( P = 0.003 \) (miR-221) and \( P < 0.001 \) (miR-222) in the SKBR3 cells, and with \( P = 0.004 \) (miR-221) in the MDA-MB-361 cells compared with the respective controls (Figure 5B).

**Overexpression of miR-221 and miR-222 leads to increased invasion of breast cancer cells.** To further investigate the effects on migration in breast cancer cells following miR-221 and miR-222 overexpression, we performed a wound scratch assay. In both T47D and SKBR3 cell lines, we did not observe any changes following the overexpression of both miRNAs compared with controls and the MDA-MB-361 were not suitable for this scratch assay (data not shown).

However, *in vitro*, the invasion of SKBR3 cells expressing high levels of miRNA-221 led to a significantly increased invasion \( (P = 0.02) \) in comparison with the respective control, and the elevated miR-221 level seems to affect the invasive potential of the SKBR3 cells more than miR-222 (Figure 6A). In the MDA-MB-361 cells, the invasion was significantly elevated \( (P = 0.029) \) following the overexpression of miR-222; however, the effect was lower than in the SKBR3 cells after miR-221 overexpression. Based on this observation, we examined in more detail how the overexpression of miR-221 and miR-222 is associated with proteins of the uPAS, which strongly promotes cell invasion (Li *et al.*, 2010). Using *in silico* sequence alignments, the 3’ UTRs of uPA, uPAR and PAI-1 were analysed for putative binding sites for miR-221 and miR-222. The 3’ UTR of the uPAR isoform 2 \([PLAUR\_NM\_001005376]\) was predicted to have binding sites for both miRNAs (Figure 6B). Within the 3' UTRs of uPA or PAI-1, no binding sites for these miRNAs were detected.

**uPAR may exhibit different isoforms, which in turn may be glycosylated post-translationally showing multiple molecular weight forms between 35 and 60 kDa (Behrendt *et al.*, 1990). The uPAR isoform 2 differs from the full-length uPAR in that it lacks the glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor leading to a soluble uPAR (Stewart and Sayers, 2009). Based on their results, we performed**
western blot analyses for the detection of uPAR in the SKBR3 cells. In the T47D and MDA-MB-361 cells, the uPAR was not expressed (data not shown). In the SKBR3 cells, following miR-221 and miR-222 overexpression, the uPAR level in particular at ~40 kDa was significantly increased \( (P = 0.044 \) and \( P = 0.008 \), respectively, example is shown in Figure 6C and D). Following miR-221 overexpression, the uPAR protein levels increased more than after miR-222 overexpression, supporting the invasion assay results.

**DISCUSSION**

Regarding breast cancer, several miRNAs were shown to promote tumourigenesis or act as tumour suppressors and thus are potential therapy targets (Iorio and Croce, 2009). In this study, we have revealed a prognostic value for miR-221 and miR-222 in invasive breast cancers. Further, for the first time, we show the impact of miR-221 expression on the occurrence of distant metastases, particularly in advanced breast cancers. MiR-221 and miR-222 regulate cell proliferation through silencing of the cell cycle inhibitor p27Kip1 (Miller et al, 2008), which has already been described as a direct target of these miRNAs (Howe et al, 2012). This was confirmed in our study where, in tumour tissues, there is an inverse association between miR-222 and p27Kip1 expression, as well as in our in vitro analyses showing reduced p27Kip1 protein levels following the overexpression of the miRNAs. It was also reported that miR-221 and miR-222 directly target ERα and negatively correlate with its status and that both miRNAs were highly expressed in TNBC (Zhao et al, 2008). Consistently, we have also found an inverse correlation between miR-221 and ER expression in the tumour tissues and for both miRNAs by western blot analysis, while miR-222 expression did not show an association with ER status in the tumours. Interestingly, a positive correlation of miR-221 levels with ER status in the breast cancer samples was reported, whereas miR-222 expression did not change between the ER-positive and the ER-negative cases (Yoshimoto et al, 2011). These partially controversial data present high importance of improved scientific analysis and significance of using distinct miRNAs as prognostic biomarkers in the future. It was previously shown that elevated miR-221 or miR-222 expression levels considerably increase cell invasion of a gastric carcinoma cell line, possibly via direct modulation of PTEN expression, which was also shown in prostate carcinomas (Chun-Zhi et al, 2010; Zheng et al, 2012). In our tumour cohort, PTEN expression was not significantly associated with the expression of miR-221 or miR-222. Using immunobots, we detected slightly altered PTEN protein levels (in the MDA-MB-361 cells) or reduced expressions in the SKBR3 cells, indicating a cell-specific regulation.

Further, in our tumour cohort, we observed a significant inverse correlation between p27Kip1 expression and the histological grade of the tumours, as well as a direct correlation of Ki67 and the histological grade. However, no significant association could be identified between the analysed miRNAs and the LN status, the tumour size or the histological grade. High miR-221 or miR-222 levels have been found to be associated with increased tumour grade in urothelial carcinomas and in human gliomas (Lu et al, 2009; Veerla et al, 2009), but in breast cancer specimens no correlation between miR-221 or miR-222 and the tumour grade has been found.

In our present study, we show that the high expression of miR-221 and miR-222 is significantly associated with the occurrence of distant metastases in breast cancer. We further demonstrate that high miR-221 expression in the tumour tissues allows for significant distinguishing of different prognostic groups, in particular, in advanced (LN+, HER2+) breast cancers. The number of cases available for this study in each subgroup (in particular in the HER2-positive subgroup) is extremely low. Therefore, the discrimination between prognostic groups in Kaplan–Meier analysis should be interpreted with some caution. Nevertheless, this result gives a first indication of the potential clinical impact of miR-221 expression analysis. Based on these results, we consider the expression of miR-221 as an important prognostic and therapeutic marker of the advanced stages of this disease. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first evidence that miR-221 expression may be a promising marker for the identification of patients with advanced breast cancer but a still better prognosis when it is low expressed in tumour samples.

Previous studies demonstrated that MDA-MB-231 and SKBR3 cells (over) express uPAR with (high) invasive and metastasis potential (Li et al, 2010). We have shown that the SKBR3 cell invasion may be associated with the upregulation of miR-221 and miR-222. Owing to the fact that T47D and MDA-MB-361 cells do not express uPAR (data not shown), whereas none or slightly increased invasion following miR-221/-222 overexpression was detected, we suggest a cell line-specific association of uPAR with miR-221 and miR-222, which may also be influenced by additional factors. Based on in silico analyses, a soluble uPAR isoform was predicted as putative miR-221 and miR-222 target. To date, post-transcriptional mechanisms for uPAR regulation through HuR, hnRNPC and p53 have been described (Tran et al, 2003; Shetty, 2005; Shetty et al, 2007). According to our results, miR-221 and miR-222 seem to positively influence the uPAR expression. In general, miRNAs negatively regulate their direct targets via mRNA degradation or translational repression (Tang et al, 2012). A positive role of miRNAs, such as miR-369-3, that upregulates the translation of tumour necrosis factor-\( \alpha \) or xmiR16 regulating Myt1 kinase, together with co-factors such as microribonucleoproteins have also been described (Vasudevan et al, 2007; Mortensen et al, 2011). Multiple uPAR isoforms were identified (Stewart and Sayers, 2009) including a soluble variant with an alternative exon 7b resulting in the loss of the domain III and the GPI anchor with a molecular weight of ~37 kDa (Pyke et al, 1993; Stewart and Sayers, 2013) that correlates with expression changes observed in our study. The role of this soluble uPAR is not fully understood. However, a chemotactic function in lung cells was described that may bind uPA or integrins and therefore may enhance the tumourigenic potential of cancer cells (Stewart and Sayers, 2009, 2013).

Consistent with our results in tumour tissues demonstrating a correlation between miR-221 expression in advanced tumours and the clinical course of the disease, together with increased SKBR3 cell invasion following overexpression of these miRNAs in vitro, we hypothesise an influence of miR-221/-222 on uPAR expression. Detection of the soluble uPAR was dependent on miR-221 and miR-222 overexpression and we observed effects on previously described direct targets, such as p27Kip1, ER or PTEN (Garofalo et al, 2009; Cochrane et al, 2010) but not on signalling proteins, such as Akt, MAPK or STAT3. However, the precise function and uPAR expression regulation directly or indirectly through additional mechanisms has to be clarified in further experiments. These results, along with elevated cell invasion in vitro may suggest that the increased level of the soluble uPAR rather acts extracellularly as a chemoattractant leading to an increased tumourigenesis. Further approval of miR-221/-222 for their prognostic and therapeutic value may be promising candidates to more precisely assess the individual risk of patients and future development of therapy regimens.
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