INTRODUCTION

Insights about the suitability of a thermal landscape for a given species should consider not only average thermal values but also variability in thermal values (Bozinovic, Medina, Alruiz, Cavieres, & Sabat, 2016; Bozinovic, Sabat, Rezende, & Canals, 2016; Estay, Lima, & Bozinovic, 2014). Theoretical (Katz, Brush, & Parlange, 2005) and empirical studies (Easterling et al., 2000) have demonstrated that daily and seasonal variation in temperature affects organisms’ ecology and fitness (Clavijo-Baquet et al., 2014; Gilbert & Miles, 2017; Kielland, Bech, & Einum, 2017; Messenger & Flitters, 1958; Roitberg & Mangel, 2016; Saarinen, Laaksso, Lindström, & Ketola, 2018). In addition, thermal extremes, defined as events that alter the distribution of ambient temperature without influencing the mean and the variance (Ummenhofer & Meehl, 2017), have also been shown to have outstanding effects on physiological performance and survival (Bozinovic, Medina, et al., 2016; Kingsolver & Woods, 2016).

Experimental studies have evaluated the effect of thermal variability on physiological and life-history traits and have shown that organisms can respond to thermal variability through plasticity, and such responses could affect current and future fitness (Bozinovic, Catalan, Estay, & Sabat, 2013; Chevin & Hoffmann, 2017; Colinet, Sinclair, Vernon, & Renault, 2015; Fischer, Klockmann, & Reim, 2014; Manenti, Loeschcke, Moghadam, & Sørensen, 2015; Meats, 2011; Terblanche, Nyamukondiwa, & Kleynhans, 2010). Further to this, multiple studies suggest that the ability to cope with thermal fluctuations rather than just tolerate different mean temperatures is probably of much greater importance to species survival and thermal adaptation (Boher, Trefault, Estay,
Adult *D. melanogaster* flies were collected in central Chile (33°26'S; 70°39'W at 500 m above sea level) during summer 2016. After capture, flies were identified based on morphological characters (Markow & O'Grady, 2005) and from these, ten breeding groups were generated. Each group consisted of approximately 10 males and 10 females. Flies were reared in controlled conditions (24°C and LD = 12:12) in 250 ml glass vials with Burdick culture medium (Burdick, 1955). The groups were maintained for three generations. Third generation virgin flies were collected within 8 hr of hatching and were transferred to vials containing 11 g of culture medium. Two individuals (sex ratio 1:1) were maintained in each vial. After 24 hr, 20 eggs were collected from each vial using a microscope. These eggs were transferred in batch to fresh vials. Vials, each containing twenty eggs, were assigned to either the control group (28 ± 0°C) or to one of four thermal treatments that differed in the frequency pattern of thermal fluctuation (28 ± 4°C). The frequency pattern of thermal fluctuation for each treatment was composed of cycles of (a) 6 hr (*n* = 13 replicates); (b) 12 hr (*n* = 10 replicates); (c) 24 hr (*n* = 10 replicates); and (d) 48 hr (*n* = 12 replicates). In all treatments, temperature increased linearly, reached the maximum temperature (32°C), remained constant, and then began to decrease until a minimum temperature (24°C) was reached. All cycles were repeated until egg-hatching was completed. The photoperiod for each treatment was LD = 12:12. The heating/cooling rate between the minimum and maximum temperatures was 0.26°C/min, allowing them to spend most of the treatment time in the maximum and minimum temperatures. The thermal fluctuation range (28 ± 4°C) was set based on the well-known limits of fruit fly egg viability (Hoffmann, 2010). The proportions of hatching eggs in the upper (32 ± 0°C, *n* = 14 replicates) and lower (24 ± 0°C, *n* = 14 replicates) thermal fluctuation treatments were 0% and 34%, respectively. Eggs were maintained in their respective treatments until hatching occurred. Later, hatching performance was evaluated as the proportion of flies found in the replicate vials every 24 hr (i.e., accumulative hatching success within 24 hr) during a total period of 12 days; we quantified the amount of adults that successfully developed, yet we did not check for stages of development (e.g., larvae and pupae). In particular, we quantified (a) proportion of hatched eggs (total) and (b) hatching success over time. Normality and homoscedasticity assumptions were fulfilled using square root and exponential function transformations.

The proportion of hatched eggs was analyzed using a general linear model with a negative Binomial distribution. To analyze hatching success over time, we tested the effect of treatment and time until hatching (days) on the proportion of hatched eggs; the data were fitted to a second-order fractional polynomial function. Then, a linear mixed model was generated for the longitudinal data; number of replicates (random intercept) was nested in time until hatching (slope) and was included as a random effect. Differences among treatments were
Results

Egg viability differed significantly among treatments (Figure 1). In particular, the proportion of eggs that hatched was lower for flies acclimated to long (48 hr) and short (6 and 12 hr) thermal fluctuation timescales compared to flies subjected to control (0 hr) and moderate (24 hr) timescales of thermal variability.

Compared to the control group, where 77% of eggs hatched, the proportions of eggs hatching in the 6, 12, and 24 hr treatments were 54%, 50%, and 48% (Figure 1, Table 1). In addition, hatching success over time differed significantly among treatments (Table 1, Figure 2); eggs in the 24 and 48 hr treatments hatched later than eggs in the control, 6, and 12 hr groups (Figure 2).

Discussion

Adaptation to varying thermal environments depends on the temporal pattern of environmental changes and the tolerance of each phenotype (Levins, 1968). Thus, environmental variability in time and space imposes challenges on organisms (Gould, 1985) where animals that inhabit variable environments are expected to be plastic in order to survive a broad range of temperatures.

Some studies have evaluated the effect of thermal variability on life-history traits, including developmental time (Ragland & Kingsolver, 2008), hatching success (Ji, Gao, & Han, 2007), and phenotypic characteristics of progeny (Estay, Clavijo-Baquet, Lima, & Bozinovic, 2011; Folguera, Bastías, & Bozinovic, 2009; Krams, Daukšte, Kivleniece, Krama, & Rantala, 2011; Orcutt & Porter, 1983; Paaijmans et al., 2010; Pétavy, David, Debat, Gibert, & Moreteau, 2004; Williams et al., 2012). In nature, ectotherms must continuously cope with short- and long-term environmental variation (Buckley & Huey, 2016; Grant et al., 2017; Kingsolver & Buckley, 2017; Wingfield et al., 2017), and some organisms perform well and are highly tolerant to variable conditions (Angilletta, Wilson, Navas, & James, 2003; Condon, Cooper, Yeaman, & Angilletta, 2014; Seebacher, Ducret, Little, & Adriaenssens, 2015). On the contrary, other species deal with variability through thermal acclimation and/or acclimatization (Terblanche et al., 2010). Knowing how fluctuating thermal conditions affect individual performance and plastic responses is central for predicting organismal responses to climatic change (Kingsolver & Buckley, 2017; Koussooropoulos et al., 2017).

Our key finding here was that frequency of thermal stress experienced by fly eggs affects hatching performance. Some studies that have assessed the impact of thermal fluctuations on egg-to-adult viability have shown contrasting results (Manenti et al., 2015; Masel & Siegal, 2009), and most other studies have only considered intermediate scales of thermal fluctuation (e.g., 24 hr). Here, we observed that hatching performance was higher in flies maintained in thermal treatments for 24 hr compared to the hatching performance of flies maintained in treatments for 6, 12, and 48 hr (Figure 2). In addition, eggs maintained in shorter cycles of thermal fluctuation (6 hr) hatched earlier than those that experienced longer cycles. As expected, repeated heat shocking negatively affected the performance and fitness of our experimental flies. According to Ketola

Table 1: Coefficients of the linear and fractional polynomial models fitted to hatching performance of Drosophila melanogaster. Eggs were maintained in one of four treatments that differed in timescales of thermal variability (6, 12, 24, 48 hr, and control).

| Effect                     | Estimate | SE  | T    | p     |
|----------------------------|----------|-----|------|-------|
| Proportion of hatched eggs | Intercept| 0.77| 0.04 | 16.82 | <0.001|
| 6 hr                      | -0.23    | 0.06| -3.73| <0.001|
| 12 hr                     | -0.27    | 0.06| -4.06| <0.001|
| 24 hr                     | 0.06     | 0.06| 1.01 | 0.77  |
| 48 hr                     | -0.33    | 0.06| -5.14| <0.001|
| Hatching success over time| Intercept| -5.32| 0.59| -9.01 | <0.001|
| (Control)                 | -9.55    | 1.03| -9.2 | <0.001|
| 6 hr                      | -1.61    | 0.51| -3.12| 0.002 |
| 12 hr                     | -1.21    | 0.55| -2.21| 0.035 |
| 24 hr                     | -3.77    | 0.55| -6.86| <0.001|
| Time                      | 6.21     | 0.60| 10.31| <0.001|
| Time²                     | -0.04    | 0.003| -12.67| <0.001|
| 6 hr × Time               | 0.71     | 0.23| 3.08 | 0.002 |
| 12 hr × Time              | 0.52     | 0.24| 2.12 | 0.035 |
| 24 hr × Time              | 1.72     | 0.24| 6.98 | <0.001|
| 48 hr × Time              | 1.57     | 0.23| 6.67 | <0.001|
et al. (2014), the high performance of *D. melanogaster* in variable environments is explained by high average viability across environments and not by having high environmental robustness in terms of viability (i.e., low variation in viability across environments) (Ketola et al., 2014; Liefting, Hoffmann, & Ellers, 2009; Masel & Siegal, 2009). In theory, when eggs are exposed to a high frequency of stressful temperatures (32°C; 6 and 12 hr treatments), reductions in hatching performance are probably a consequence of the increased
energetic costs of maintenance and synthesis of heat-shock proteins (HSPs; Chevin & Hoffmann, 2017; Cooper Brandon, Hammad Loubna, Montooth Kristi, & Robbie, 2014; Kafi, Metzl-Raz, Jona, & Barkai, 2016; Podrabsky & Somero, 2004); however, these costs were not assessed in this study. For instance, Krebs and Feder (1997) report that HSPs are biosynthesized and degraded nearly every 1.5 and 4–6 hr, respectively (see also Sørensen, Nielsen, Kruhøffer, Justesen, & Loechschke, 2005; Tomanek & Somero, 2000). Thus, frequent events of high temperatures that cause physiological stress may cause organisms to have to reduce energy allocations to long-term processes such as those involved in reproduction and hatching; hence, fitness could be negatively impacted. Also, increased ambient temperature could affect the circadian rhythms of hatching and locomotion in fruit flies (Rosbash et al., 1996). A similar hypothesis could be stated for longer times of exposure to high and stressful temperatures such as that experienced by flies in the 48 hr treatment. Indeed, according to Roberts and Feder (2000) prolonged duration of thermal exposure has negative effects on performance and fitness; specifically, chronic exposure to high temperatures reduces insect survival and rate of development (Kingsolver & Woods, 2016; Krebs & Feder, 1997).

Here, we have shown that thermal fluctuation significantly impacts hatching success. Despite this, consequences to fitness may not only depend on the direct effects of extreme temperatures (Foray, Desouhant, & Gibert, 2014; Kostál, Renault, Mehrabianová, & Bastl, 2007), but environmental conditions experienced by parents could affect performance and fitness in their offspring (Salinas et al., 2013). Thus, transgenerational effects can mediate the negative impacts of extreme events (Donelson, Salinas, L. Munday, & Shama, 2017; Donelson, Wong, Booth, & Munday, 2016; Moussaou & Dingle, 1991; Rodríguez-Romero, Jarrod, Massamba-N’Siala, Spicer, & Calosi, 2016). As a consequence, future studies that incorporate the thermal history of organisms are important to predict the probable evolution and/or acclimation capacities of thermal sensitivity in nature.

Summarizing, variable and extreme environments are characterized by the increased frequency and harshness of thermal events. Nevertheless, the definition of extreme environments in a biological context needs to consider the biological response of organisms to such extreme events. As suggested by Chevin and Hoffmann (2017), the definition of fluctuating and extreme environments is organism-specific, because a stressful environment to one organism or species may not be stressful or could even be benign to another species. At last, our results support the hypothesis that hatching performance is dependent on patterns of thermal fluctuation experienced throughout ontogeny. This fact highlights the importance of incorporating thermal fluctuation in studies of phenotypic variation. Indeed, as demonstrated here, an understanding of the effect of the patterns of thermal exposure on fly fitness is necessary for building predictions about the consequences of climatic variability (Bozinovic, Sabat, et al., 2016; Kingsolver & Woods, 2016). Although controlled experiments cannot capture the widespread range of thermal environments in nature, simple tests such as those presented here can shed light on the mechanisms involved in responses to thermal variability. Moreover, these types of experiments can shed light on the causes of phenotypic variation at different temporal and spatial scales as environmental temperature varies over time as well as across geographic gradients.
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