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ABSTRACT: Using proton-proton collision data, collected with the LHCb detector and corresponding to 1.0, 2.0 and 1.9 fb$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity at the centre-of-mass energies of 7, 8, and 13 TeV, respectively, the decay $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)pK^-$ with $\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ is observed for the first time. The significance of the observed signal is in excess of seven standard deviations. It is found that $(58 \pm 15)\%$ of the decays proceed via the two-body intermediate state $\chi_{c1}(3872)\Lambda(1520)$. The branching fraction with respect to that of the $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \psi(2S)pK^-$ decay mode, where the $\psi(2S)$ meson is reconstructed in the $J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ final state, is measured to be:

$$\frac{B(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)pK^-)}{B(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \psi(2S)pK^-)} \times \frac{B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)}{B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)} = (5.4 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2},$$

where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second is systematic.
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1 Introduction

The $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ state, also known as $X(3872)$, was observed in 2003 by the Belle collaboration [1] and subsequently confirmed by several other experiments [2–7]. This discovery has attracted much interest in exotic charmonium spectroscopy since it was the first observation of an unexpected charmonium candidate. The mass of the $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ state has been precisely measured [5, 8] and the dipion mass spectrum in the decay $\chi_{c1}(3872) \to J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ was also studied [1, 6, 9]. The quantum numbers of the state were determined to be $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ from measurements performed by the LHCb collaboration [10].

Despite a large amount of experimental information, the nature of the $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ particle is still unclear [11, 12]. It has been interpreted as a $\chi_{c1}(2P)$ charmonium state [13, 14], molecular state [15–17], tetraquark [18, 19], $c\bar{c}g$ hybrid meson [20], vector glueball [21] or mixed state [22, 23]. Studies of radiative $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ decays [24–26] have reduced the number of possible interpretations of this state [27–29]. Thus far, the $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ particle has been widely studied in prompt hadroproduction [2, 5–7] and in the weak decays of beauty mesons. Several decays of the $\Lambda_b^0$ baryon to charmonium have been observed [30–37]. Observing $\Lambda_b^0$ decays involving the $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ state will allow comparison of their decay rates to the rates for conventional charmonium states, where, for instance, factorisation and spectator quarks assumptions may lead to different results depending on the nature of the $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ state.

In this paper the first observation of the $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ state in the beauty-baryon decay $\Lambda_b^0 \to \chi_{c1}(3872)pK^-$ is reported. This study is based on data collected with the LHCb detector in proton-proton (pp) collisions corresponding to 1.0, 2.0 and 1.9 fb$^{-1}$ of integrated...
luminosity at centre-of-mass energies of 7, 8 and 13 TeV, respectively. A measurement of the $\Lambda^0_{b \to} \chi_{c1}(3872)pK^-$ branching fraction relative to that of the $\Lambda^0_{b \to} \psi(2S)pK^-$ decay,

$$R = \frac{B(\Lambda^0_{b \to} \chi_{c1}(3872)pK^-)}{B(\Lambda^0_{b \to} \psi(2S)pK^-)} \times \frac{B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \to J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)}{B(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)},$$

is performed, where the $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ and $\psi(2S)$ mesons are reconstructed in the $J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ final state. Throughout this paper the inclusion of charge-conjugated processes is implied.

## 2 Detector and simulation

The LHCb detector [38, 39] is a single-arm forward spectrometer covering the pseudorapidity range $2 < \eta < 5$, designed for the study of particles containing b or c quarks. The detector includes a high-precision tracking system consisting of a silicon-strip vertex detector surrounding the pp interaction region [40], a large-area silicon-strip detector located upstream of a dipole magnet with a bending power of about 4 Tm, and three stations of silicon-strip detectors and straw drift tubes [41, 42] placed downstream of the magnet. The tracking system provides a measurement of the momentum of charged particles with a relative uncertainty that varies from 0.5% at low momentum to 1.0% at 200 GeV/$c$. The minimum distance of a track to a primary vertex (PV), the impact parameter (IP), is measured with a resolution of $(15 + 29/p_T) \mu$m, where $p_T$ is the component of the momentum transverse to the beam, in GeV/$c$. Different types of charged hadrons are distinguished using information from two ring-imaging Cherenkov detectors (RICH) [43]. Photons, electrons and hadrons are identified by a calorimeter system consisting of scintillating-pad and preshower detectors, an electromagnetic and a hadronic calorimeter. Muons are identified by a system composed of alternating layers of iron and multiwire proportional chambers [44].

The online event selection is performed by a trigger [45], which consists of a hardware stage, based on information from the calorimeter and muon systems, followed by a software stage, which applies a full event reconstruction. At the hardware trigger stage, events are required to have a muon with high $p_T$ or a pair of opposite-sign muons with a requirement on the product of muon transverse momenta, or a hadron, photon or electron with high transverse energy in the calorimeters. The software trigger requires two muons of opposite charge forming a good-quality secondary vertex with a mass in excess of 2.7 GeV/$c^2$, or a two-, three- or four-track secondary vertex with at least one charged particle with a large $p_T$ and inconsistent with originating from any PV. For both cases significant displacement of the secondary vertex from any primary pp interaction vertex is required.

Simulated events are used to describe the signal mass shapes and compute efficiencies. In the simulation, pp collisions are generated using PYTHIA [46] with a specific LHCb configuration [47]. Decays of unstable particles are described by EvtGen package [48], in which final-state radiation is generated using PHOTOS [49]. The interaction of the generated particles with the detector, and its response, are implemented using the GEANT4 toolkit [50, 51] as described in ref. [52].
3 Event selection

The $\Lambda^0_b \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- pK^-$ candidate decays are reconstructed using $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ decay mode. To separate signal from background, a loose preselection is applied, as done in ref. [32], followed by a multivariate classifier based on a Boosted Decision Tree with gradient boosting (BDTG) [53].

Muon, proton, pion and kaon candidates are identified using combined information from the RICH, calorimeter and muon detectors. They are required to have a transverse momentum larger than 550 MeV/c for muon and 200 MeV/c for hadron candidates. To allow for efficient particle identification, kaons and pions are required to have a momentum between 3.2 and 150 GeV/c, whilst protons must have a momentum between 10 and 150 GeV/c. To reduce the combinatorial background, only tracks that are inconsistent with originating from any PV are used.

Pairs of oppositely charged muons consistent with originating from a common vertex are combined to form $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ candidates. The mass of the pair is required to be between 3.0 and 3.2 GeV/c².

To form $\Lambda^0_b$ candidates, the selected $J/\psi$ candidates are combined with a pair of oppositely charged pions, a proton and a negatively charged kaon. Each $\Lambda^0_b$ candidate is associated with the PV that yields the smallest $\chi^2_{IP}$, where $\chi^2_{IP}$ is defined as the difference in the vertex-fit $\chi^2$ of a given PV reconstructed with and without the particle under consideration. The $\chi^2_{IP}$ value is required to be less than 9. To improve the $\Lambda^0_b$ mass resolution a kinematic fit [54] is performed. This fit constrains the mass of the $\mu^+ \mu^-$ pair to the known mass of the $J/\psi$ meson [55]. It is also required that the $\Lambda^0_b$ momentum vector points back to the associated pp interaction vertex. In addition, the measured decay time of the $\Lambda^0_b$ candidate, calculated with respect to the associated PV, is required to be greater than 75 $\mu$m/c to suppress poorly reconstructed candidates and background from particles originating from the PV.

To further suppress cross-feed from the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ K^-$ decay with a positively charged pion misidentified as a proton, a veto is applied on the $\Lambda^0_b$ mass, recalculated with a pion mass hypothesis for the proton. A similar veto is applied to suppress $B^0_s \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$ decays. Any candidate with a recalculated mass consistent with the known $B^0$ or $B^0_s$ mass is rejected.

A BDTG is used to further suppress the combinatorial background. It is trained on a simulated sample of $\Lambda^0_b \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)pK^-$, $\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays for the signal, while for background the high-mass data sideband is used, defined as $m_{J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- pK^-} > 5640$ MeV/c², where the regions of $m_{J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-}$ populated by $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays are excluded. The $k$-fold cross-validation technique [56] is used in the BDTG training, in which the candidates are pseudo-randomly split into $k = 23$ samples. The BDTG applied to a particular sample is trained using all the data from the other 22; allowing $\sim 95\%$ of the total sample to be used for each training with no need to remove the candidates used from the final data set. The outputs of all multivariate classifiers are consistent. The BDTG is trained on variables related to reconstruction quality, kinematics, lifetime of $\Lambda^0_b$ candidates, the value of $\chi^2$ from the kinematic fit described above, and the mass of the dipion combination.
The simulated samples are corrected to better match the kinematic distributions observed in data. The transverse momentum and rapidity distributions and the lifetime of the $\Lambda_0^b$ baryons in simulated samples are adjusted to match those observed in a high-yield low-background sample of $\Lambda_0^b \rightarrow J/\psi pK^-$ decays. Finally, the simulated events are weighted to match the particle identification efficiencies determined from data using calibration samples of low-background decays: $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 (\rightarrow K^- \pi^+) \pi^+$, $K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$, $D^{*+} \rightarrow \phi (\rightarrow K^+K^-) \pi^+$, for kaons and pions; and $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$ and $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^+\pi^-$ for protons [43, 57]. The simulated decays of $\Lambda_0^b$ baryons are produced according to a phase-space decay model. The $\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi \pi \pi$ decay proceeds via the $J/\psi \rho^0$ S-wave intermediate state [10]. The simulated $\Lambda_0^b \rightarrow \psi(2S)pK^-$ decays are corrected to reproduce the $pK^-$ mass and $\cos \theta_{pK^-}$ distributions observed in data, where the helicity angle of the $pK^-$ system, $\theta_{pK^-}$, is defined as the angle between the momentum vectors of the kaon and $\Lambda_0^b$ baryon in the pK$^-$ rest frame. To account for imperfections in the simulation of charged particle reconstruction, efficiency corrections obtained using data are also applied [58].

The requirement on the BDTG output $t$ is chosen to maximize the Punzi figure of merit $\varepsilon_t/(\alpha/2 + \sqrt{B_t})$ [59], where $\varepsilon_t$ is the signal efficiency for the $\Lambda_0^b \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)pK^-$ decay obtained from the simulation, $\alpha = 5$ is the target signal significance in units of standard deviations, $B_t$ is the expected background yield within narrow mass windows centred on the known $\Lambda_0^b$ and $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ masses [55].

4 Signal yields and efficiencies

The yields for signal and normalization channels are determined using a two-dimensional unbinned extended maximum-likelihood fit to the $J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- pK^-$ and $J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- pK^-$ masses. The probability density function used in the fit consists of four components to describe the mass spectrum:

- a signal component, describing the true $\Lambda_0^b \rightarrow \psi_{\pi\pi} pK^-$ decays, where $\psi_{\pi\pi}$ denotes either $\psi(2S)$ or $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ final states;
- a component describing nonresonant (NR) $\Lambda_0^b \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- pK^-$ decays with no intermediate $\psi_{\pi\pi}$ state;
- a component describing random combinations of $\psi_{\pi\pi}$ with $pK^-$ pairs that are not $\Lambda_0^b$ decay products;
- and a combinatorial $J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- pK^-$ component.

The templates for the $\Lambda_0^b$, $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ and $\psi(2S)$ signals are described by modified Gaussian functions with power-law tails on both sides [60]. The tail parameters are fixed to values obtained from simulation, while the peak positions of the Gaussian functions are free to vary in the fit. The mass resolution of the $\psi(2S)$ meson is allowed to vary in the fit, while that of the $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ signal, due to its lower yield, is fixed to the value determined from simulation and corrected by the data-simulation ratio of the mass resolutions for the $\psi(2S)$
meson. The $\Lambda_{0}^{b} \to \psi_{\pi\pi}\Lambda(0)K^{-}$ component is described by the product of the $\Lambda_{0}^{b}$ and $\psi_{\pi\pi}$ signal templates, $S_{\Lambda_{0}^{b}}(m_3J_{\psi_{\pi\pi}+\pi^{-}pK^{-}}) \times S_{\psi_{\pi\pi}}(m_3J_{\psi_{\pi\pi}+\pi^{-}pK^{-}})$. The NR $\Lambda_{0}^{b} \to J/\psi\pi^{+}\pi^{-}pK^{-}$ component is described by the product of the $\Lambda_{0}^{b}$ signal template, an exponential function and a first-order polynomial function, $S_{\Lambda_{0}^{b}}(m_3J_{\psi_{\pi\pi}+\pi^{-}pK^{-}}) \times E(m_3J_{\psi_{\pi\pi}+\pi^{-}}) \times P_{1}(m_3J_{\psi_{\pi\pi}+\pi^{-}})$, while the $\psi_{\pi\pi}pK^{-}$ component is parametrized as the product of the $\psi_{\pi\pi}$ signal template and an exponential function, $S_{\psi_{\pi\pi}}(m_3J_{\psi_{\pi\pi}+\pi^{-}}) \times E(m_3J_{\psi_{\pi\pi}+\pi^{-}pK^{-}})$. The combinatorial background is modelled by the function

$$f(m_3J_{\psi_{\pi\pi}+\pi^{-}pK^{-}}, m_3J_{\psi_{\pi\pi}+\pi^{-}}) = E(m_3J_{\psi_{\pi\pi}+\pi^{-}pK^{-}}) \times \Phi_{3,5}(m_3J_{\psi_{\pi\pi}+\pi^{-}}),$$

where $\Phi_{3,5}(m_3J_{\psi_{\pi\pi}+\pi^{-}})$ is a three-body ($J/\psi\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$) phase space function of the five-body $\Lambda_{0}^{b}$ decay [61], and $P_{3}$ is a two-dimensional positive third-order polynomial function in Bernstein form.

Projections of the two-dimensional fits to the $J/\psi\pi^{+}\pi^{-}pK^{-}$ and $J/\psi\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ mass distributions for the intervals of $3.62 < m_3J_{\psi_{\pi\pi}+\pi^{-}} < 3.72$ GeV/$c^2$ and $3.80 < m_3J_{\psi_{\pi\pi}+\pi^{-}} < 3.95$ GeV/$c^2$ are shown in figure 1. The signal yields are determined to be $610 \pm 30$ and $55 \pm 11$ for the $\Lambda_{0}^{b} \to \psi(2S)pK^{-}$ and $\Lambda_{0}^{b} \to \chi_{c1}(3872)pK^{-}$ decay modes, respectively. The statistical significance of the observed $\Lambda_{0}^{b} \to \chi_{c1}(3872)pK^{-}$ signal is estimated to be $7.2\sigma$ using Wilks’ theorem [62] and confirmed by simulating a large number of pseudoexperiments according to the background distributions observed in data.

The background-subtracted $pK^{-}$ mass spectrum [63] for the signal channel is shown in figure 2. The distribution exhibits a clear peak associated with the $\Lambda(1520)$ state. From this distribution the fraction of two-body $\Lambda_{0}^{b} \to \chi_{c1}(3872)\Lambda(1520)$ decays is determined using an unbinned maximum-likelihood fit, which includes two components. The first component corresponds to the $\Lambda_{0}^{b} \to \chi_{c1}(3872)\Lambda(1520)$ decay and is described with a relativistic P-wave Breit–Wigner function. The second component corresponds to the non-resonant decay $\Lambda_{0}^{b} \to \chi_{c1}(3872)pK^{-}$ and is modelled by

$$B(m_{pK^{-}}) = \Phi_{2,3}(m_{pK^{-}}) \times P_{1}(m_{pK^{-}}),$$

where $\Phi_{2,3}(m_{pK^{-}})$ is a two-body ($pK^{-}$) phase space function of the three-body decay of the $\Lambda_{0}^{b}$ baryon and $P_{1}(m_{pK^{-}})$ a first-order polynomial function. The peak position and the natural width are constrained to the known values for the $\Lambda(1520)$ resonance [55].

The fraction of $\Lambda_{0}^{b} \to \chi_{c1}(3872)\Lambda(1520)$ decays obtained from the fit is $(58 \pm 15)\%$, where the uncertainty is statistical only.

The ratio $R$ defined in eq. (1.1) is obtained as

$$R = \frac{N_{\chi_{c1}(3872)pK^{-}}}{N_{\psi(2S)pK^{-}}} \times \frac{\varepsilon_{\psi(2S)pK^{-}}}{\varepsilon_{\chi_{c1}(3872)pK^{-}}},$$

where $N$ represents the measured yield and $\varepsilon$ denotes the efficiency of the corresponding decay. The efficiency is defined as the product of the geometric acceptance and the detection, reconstruction, selection and trigger efficiencies. All efficiencies are determined using corrected simulated samples.
The efficiencies are determined separately for each data-taking period and are combined according to the corresponding integrated luminosities \[64\] for each period and the known cross-section of b-hadron production in the LHCb acceptance \[65–69\]. The ratio of the efficiency of the normalization channel to that of the signal channel is determined to be

\[
\frac{\varepsilon_{\psi(2S)pK^-}}{\varepsilon_{\chi_{c1}(3872)pK^-}} = 0.6065 \pm 0.0035 ,
\]

where only the uncertainty that arises from the sizes of the simulated samples is given. Additional sources of uncertainty are discussed in the following section. The ratio of efficiencies differs from unity mainly due to different dipion mass spectra in the \(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-\) and \(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-\) decays.

### 5 Systematic uncertainties

Since the signal and normalization decay channels have similar kinematics and topologies, a large part of systematic uncertainties cancel in the ratio \(R\). The remaining contributions to the systematic uncertainty are listed in table 1 and discussed below.
Figure 2. Background-subtracted mass distribution for the pK$^-$ system in $\Lambda^0_b \to \chi_{c1}(3872)pK^-$ decays with fit results in the range 1.43 < m_{pK^-} < 1.75$ GeV/c$^2$ superimposed. The background subtraction is performed using the sPlot technique [63].

To estimate the systematic uncertainty related to the fit model, pseudoexperiments are generated according to the mass shapes obtained from the data fit. Each pseudoexperiment is then fitted with the baseline fit and alternative signal models and the ratio $R$ is computed. A generalized Student’s $t$-distribution [70], an Apollonios function [71] and a modified Novosibirsk function [72] are used as alternative models for the signal component. The maximum relative bias found for the ratio $R$ is 2%, which is assigned as a relative systematic uncertainty.

The simulated $\Lambda^0_b \to \psi(2S)pK^-$ decays are corrected to reproduce the pK$^-$ mass and $\cos \theta_{pK^-}$ distributions observed in data. The uncertainty associated with this correction procedure and related to the imperfect knowledge of the $\Lambda^0_b \to \psi(2S)pK^-$ decay model is estimated by varying the reference kinematic $m_{pK^-}$ and $\cos \theta_{pK^-}$ distributions within their uncertainties. It causes a negligible change of the efficiency $\xi_{\psi(2S)pK^-}$. A similar procedure applied to the $\Lambda^0_b \to \chi_{c1}(3872)pK^-$ channel leads to a systematic uncertainty of 2% on the efficiency $\xi_{\chi_{c1}(3872)pK^-}$.

An additional uncertainty arises from the differences between data and simulation, in particular those affecting the efficiency for the reconstruction of charged-particle tracks. The small difference in the track-finding efficiency between data and simulation is corrected using data [58]. The uncertainties in these correction factors together with the uncertain-
| Source                                    | Uncertainty [%] |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Fit model                                 | 2.0             |
| Decay model of the $\Lambda^0_b \to \chi(c1)(3872)pK^-$ channel | 2.0             |
| Track reconstruction and hadron identification | 0.4             |
| Trigger                                   | 1.7             |
| Selection criteria                        | 1.0             |
| Size of the simulated samples             | 0.6             |
| Sum in quadrature                         | 3.5             |

Table 1. Relative systematic uncertainties for the ratio of branching fractions.

ties in the hadron-identification efficiencies, related to the finite size of the calibration samples [43, 57], are propagated to the ratio of total efficiencies using pseudoexperiments. This results in a systematic uncertainty of 0.4% associated with track reconstruction and hadron identification.

To probe a possible mismodelling of the trigger efficiency, the ratio of efficiencies is calculated for various subsamples, matched to different trigger objects, namely dimuon vertex, high-$p_T$ $\mu^+\mu^-$ pair, two-, three- and four-track secondary vertex, etc. The small difference of 1.7% in the ratio of trigger efficiencies between different subsamples is taken as systematic uncertainty due the trigger efficiency estimation. Another source of uncertainty is the potential disagreement between data and simulation in the estimation of efficiencies, due to effects not considered above. This is studied by varying the selection criteria in ranges that lead to as much as ±20% change in the measured signal yields. The stability is tested by comparing the efficiency-corrected yields within these variations. The resulting variations in the efficiency-corrected yields do not exceed 1%, which is taken as a corresponding systematic uncertainty [36]. The 0.6% relative uncertainty in the ratio of efficiencies from eq. (4.4) is assigned as a systematic uncertainty due to the finite size of the simulated samples.

The systematic uncertainty on the fraction of $\Lambda^0_b$ baryons decaying to the $\Lambda(1520)$ resonance is calculated by varying the parameters of the resonant and nonresonant components in the fit and found to be negligible with respect to the statistical uncertainty.

6 Results and summary

The decay $\Lambda^0_b \to \chi(c1)(3872)pK^-$ with $\chi(c1)(3872) \to J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$ is observed using data collected with the LHCb detector in proton-proton collisions corresponding to 1.0, 2.0 and 1.9 fb$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity at the centre-of-mass energies of 7, 8, and 13 TeV, respectively. The observed yield of $\Lambda^0_b \to \chi(c1)(3872)pK^-$ decays is $55 \pm 11$ with a statistical significance in excess of seven standard deviations. It is found that $(58 \pm 15)$% of the decays proceed via the two-body $\chi(c1)(3872)\Lambda(1520)$ intermediate state.

Using the $\Lambda^0_b \to \psi(2S)pK^-$, $\psi(2S) \to J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$ decay as a normalization channel, the ratio of the branching fractions is measured to be

$$R = \frac{B(\Lambda^0_b \to \chi(c1)(3872)pK^-)}{B(\Lambda^0_b \to \psi(2S)pK^-)} \times \frac{B(\chi(c1)(3872) \to J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-)}{B(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-)} = (5.4 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2},$$

where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second is systematic.
Using the values of $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_{b}^0 \to \psi(2S)pK^{-})$ and $\mathcal{B}(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi\pi^{+}\pi^{-})$ taken from ref. [55] the product of branching fractions of interest is calculated to be

$$
\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_{b}^0 \to \chi_{c1}(3872)pK^{-}) \times \mathcal{B}(\chi_{c1}(3872) \to J/\psi\pi^{+}\pi^{-}) = (1.2 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-6},
$$

where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second is systematic, including the uncertainties on the branching fractions $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_{b}^0 \to \psi(2S)pK^{-})$ and $\mathcal{B}(\psi(2S) \to J/\psi\pi^{+}\pi^{-})$.
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