INTRODUCTION

Ankylosis means stiffness of a joint. Temporomandibular joint (TMJ) ankylosis leads to hypomobility of the said joint and a resultant decrease in mouth opening due to fibrous or bony fusion between the mandibular condyle and the glenoid cavity.

The cause of TMJ ankylosis or hypomobility may be within or external to the joint. Cases of intra-articular ankylosis usually occur unilaterally and are most frequently secondary to trauma. They mainly occur after untreated or mal-treated childhood trauma leading to decreased mouth opening, facial disfigurement, and social awkwardness [1,2].

TMJ ankylosis is managed by surgical modalities including gap and interpositional arthroplasty. However, recurrence remains a challenge [3,4].

Condylectomy with gap arthroplasty is the basic technique for TMJ ankylosis. The cause of TMJ ankylosis or hypomobility may be within or external to the joint. Cases of intra-articular ankylosis usually occur unilaterally and are most frequently secondary to trauma. They mainly occur after untreated or mal-treated childhood trauma leading to decreased mouth opening, facial disfigurement, and social awkwardness [1,2]. TMJ ankylosis is managed by surgical modalities including gap and interpositional arthroplasty. However, recurrence remains a challenge [3,4]. Condylectomy with gap arthroplasty is the basic technique for...
Condylectomy has been performed (A) and a reshaped T-plate is fixed to the mandible for positioning between it and the glenoid cavity (B) as illustrated on this anatomical model of the temporomandibular joint.

Fig. 1. Anatomical model of condylectomy and T-plate interpositional arthroplasty

Condylectomy has been performed (A) and a reshaped T-plate is fixed to the mandible for positioning between it and the glenoid cavity (B) as illustrated on this anatomical model of the temporomandibular joint.

A 4-hole T-plate is shown being bent to 90˚ and reshaped such that its vertical limb can be fixed on the mandible after condylectomy and its bent limb can be interposed between the glenoid cavity and mandible.

Fig. 2. Four-hole T-plate bent to 90˚

A preauricular incision is made to access the temporomandibular joint and then the reshaped T-plate is interposed after performing condylectomy.

Fig. 3. Preauricular incision and modified T-plate arthroplasty

The study included 28 consecutive patients with TMJ ankylosis who were admitted to the department of plastic surgery of our hospital over a period of five years. All these patients were evaluated by detailed history-taking and clinical examination and investigation. Ankyloitic TMJ arthroplasty included the following: condylectomy gap arthroplasty in 7, temporalis muscle flap interpositional arthroplasty in 8, and modified T-plate interpositional arthroplasty in 13 cases.

METHODS

The comparative study was conducted at our institution over a period of five years. The hypothesis made was that the modified T-plate interpositional arthroplasty we devised would be comparable to temporalis muscle interposition and condylectomy gap arthroplasty because the metal interposition prevents bony fusion. The study included 28 consecutive patients with TMJ ankylosis who were admitted to the department of plastic surgery of our hospital over a period of five years. All these patients were evaluated by detailed history-taking and clinical examination and investigation. Ankyloitic TMJ arthroplasty included the following: condylectomy gap arthroplasty in 7, temporalis muscle flap interpositional arthroplasty in 8, and modified T-plate interpositional arthroplasty in 13 cases.

Surgical technique

The surgical technique of modified T-plate interpositional arthroplasty devised by the first author used pre-auricular incision (Figs. 2, 3) in all cases. After exposure of the TMJ, condylecto-
my (measuring 1–1.5 cm) was carried out. A 4-hole T-plate was used, and it was fixed with screws to the ramus after bending it by 90° at the upper end (Figs. 1–4). Then after achieving hemostasis, the skin flaps were closed.

Physiotherapy and assessment method: Active physiotherapy was started beginning on the first postoperative day. The patients were advised to insert wooden spoons between the upper and lower incisors. The number of the spoons was to be increased at regular intervals. The thickness of one wooden spoon used was around 1 mm. This was an easy method to measure the mouth opening in millimeters as well as the effectiveness of the physiotherapy (Fig. 5). On each follow-up visit, a record was made of the amount of mouth opening in terms of the number of spoons the mouth could accommodate.

RESULTS

There was no statistical significance in the differences among the three groups of patients in terms of age (Table 1), sex (Table 2), and etiology of TMJ ankylosis (P > 0.05) (Table 3), but improvement in mouth opening was significant (Table 4), and the rate of complications and re-ankylosis after modified T-plate TMJ interposition arthroplasty was significantly lower (Table 5) as compared to condylectomy gap arthroplasty and temporalis muscle TMJ interpositional arthroplasty.

A significant increase in the interincisal distance of 32 mm was seen in 12 (92.31%) patients in the T-plate interposition group (Figs. 5–7) and in 2 (25%) cases of the temporalis muscle flap interposition group and 1 case (14.28%) of the condylectomy group at 12, 24, and 36 months. Re-ankylosis was observed in 1 case (9.69%) of the T-plate interposition group, while as it was observed in 4 (50%) of the cases in the temporalis muscle flap

Table 1. Age distribution of patients

| Age group (yr) | No. of patients | Percentage (%) | Condylotomy gap arthroplasty (case) | Temporalis muscle flap interpositional arthroplasty (case) | T-plate interpositional arthroplasty (case) |
|---------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| 1–5           | 3              | 10.71          | 1                                   | 1                                                         | 1                                         |
| 6–10          | 7              | 25             | 2                                   | 2                                                         | 3                                         |
| 11–15         | 18             | 64.29          | 4                                   | 5                                                         | 9                                         |
| Total         | 28             | 100            | 7                                   | 8                                                         | 13                                        |
Table 2. Gender distribution of patients

| Sex      | No. of cases | Percentage (%) | Condylectomy gap arthroplasty (case) | Temporalis muscle flap interposition (case) | T-plate interposition (case) |
|----------|--------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Male     | 16           | 57.14          | 4                                    | 5                                           | 7                           |
| Female   | 12           | 42.86          | 3                                    | 3                                           | 6                           |
| Total    | 28           | 100            | 7                                    | 8                                           | 13                          |

Table 3. Etiological profile of temporomandibular joint ankylosis

| Etiology     | No. of cases | Percentage (%) | Condylectomy gap arthroplasty (case) | Temporalis muscle flap interposition (case) | T-plate interposition (case) |
|--------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Trauma       | 19           | 67.86          | 4                                    | 5                                           | 10                          |
| Infection    | 3            | 10.71          | 1                                    | 1                                           | 1                           |
| Re-ankylosis | 6            | 21.43          | 2                                    | 2                                           | 2                           |
| Total        | 28           | 100            | 7                                    | 8                                           | 13                          |

Table 4. Mouth opening after the procedures

| Interincisal distance (≥ 32 mm) at follow-up | (A) Modified T-plate interpositional arthroplasty (n= 13) | (B) Temporalis muscle interpositional arthroplasty (n= 8) | (C) Condylectomy gap arthroplasty (n= 7) | P-value |
|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------|
| At 12 months                                | 12 (92.31)                                              | 2 (25)                                                   | 1 (14.28)                               | A vs. B = 0.003 |
| At 24 months                                | 12 (92.31)                                              | 2 (25)                                                   | 1 (14.28)                               | A vs. C = 0.001 (very significant) |
| At 36 months                                | 12 (92.31)                                              | 2 (25)                                                   | 1 (14.28)                               | -        |

Values are presented as number (%).

Table 5. Complications related to the procedures

| Complication | (A) Modified T-plate interpositional arthroplasty (n= 13) | (B) Temporalis muscle interpositional arthroplasty (n= 8) | (C) Condylectomy gap arthroplasty (n= 7) | P-value |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------|
| Hematoma     | 1 (9.69)                                               | 4 (50)                                                   | 4 (57.14)                               | A vs. B = 0.047 |
|              |                                                        |                                                          |                                         | A vs. C = 0.030 (significant) |
| Infection    | 1 (9.69)                                               | 4 (50)                                                   | 4 (57.14)                               | -        |
| Re-ankylosis | 1 (9.69)                                               | 4 (50)                                                   | 4 (57.14)                               | -        |

Values are presented as number (%).

Fig. 6. Preoperative mouth opening

This figure shows slight mouth opening.

Fig. 7. Mouth opening after modified T-plate TMJ interpositional arthroplasty

In this figure, there is significant gain in the extent of mouth opening after performing modified T-plate temporomandibular joint (TMJ) interpositional arthroplasty.
interposition group and 4 (57.14%) of the cases in the condylectomy group; the differences among the groups were statistically significant.

DISCUSSION

TMJ ankylosis is more common in developing countries than in the developed world since there is better health care and health awareness in the people of the developed world [5]. It is most often post-traumatic in etiology during childhood, and leads to decreased mouth opening, facial deformity, and consequences of decreased mouth opening such as malnutrition [1,2]. The effective management of TMJ ankylosis depends on surgical therapy and compliance with physiotherapy [3]. There are three basic procedures for the management of TMJ ankylosis, namely, gap arthroplasty, interpositional arthroplasty, and joint reconstruction, which are associated with a higher incidence of recurrence compared to modified T-plate interpositional arthroplasty. Many authors have modified the procedures in order to decrease the rate of re-ankylosis [4]. Most authors tend to agree that recurrent ankylosis is less likely if material is interposed between the divided bone ends. The operative time and sophistication of the procedure along with morbidity at the donor and recipient sites have been reported after autogenous interpositional arthroplasty [6]. Alloplastic materials like Vitallium, tantalum, and Teflon, as well as acrylic and silastic or silicone rubber have also been used from time to time. Most studies have reported that the use of medical grade silicone has good long-term results, but infection, extrusion, and displacement have also been reported as complications of silicone implants [7,8]. Acrylic alloplastic material has been used as interposition material with encouraging results, but infection, extrusion, foreign body reactions, and problems of securing the graft in place have been noted, along with an additional procedure needed to prepare the acrylic graft [9-11].

Itanium meshes have also been used for reconstruction of TMJ [12]. We used a modified T-plate made of titanium as an interposition material and evaluated it against temporals muscle interpositional arthroplasty and condylectomy gap arthroplasty. Statistically, a significantly greater change in mouth opening was seen in our cases treated with modified T-plate interpositional arthroplasty. We observed a significantly lower rate of recurrence compared to the above advantages, the modified T-plate arthroplasty procedure avoids the donor site morbidity associated with temporals muscle interpositional arthroplasty.
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