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Abstract

We provide a more informal explanation of two results in our manuscript *Tilings of quadriculated annuli*. Tilings of a quadriculated annulus $A$ are counted according to *volume* (in the formal variable $q$) and *flux* (in $p$). The generating function $\Phi_A(p, q)$ is such that, for $q = -1$, the non-zero roots in $p$ are roots of unity and for $q > 0$, real negative.

There is an unexpected rigidity for the $-1$-counting of tilings of quadriculated disks, as described in [1]:

**Theorem 1** Let $D$ be a quadriculated disk. Then the determinant of the adjacency matrix of the squares of $D$ equals -1, 0 or 1.

In [4] we prove Theorem 3 below which, in a sense, extends this rigidity to annuli. In this shorter text, we present a more informal proof of this result.

1 Connectivity

We assume that the squares in a quadriculated annulus $A$ are colored in a checkerboard pattern and that the numbers of black and white squares are equal. Without loss, $A$ is embedded in the plane.

Let $T_A$ be the set of domino tilings of $A$. Two tilings are *adjacent* if they differ by a *flip*, a $90^\circ$ rotation of two dominoes filling a $2 \times 2$ square. Assign the counterclockwise (resp. clockwise) orientation to the boundary of white (resp. black) squares. A flip is *positive* (resp. *negative*) if in the original $2 \times 2$ square the two sides of squares from center to boundary not trespassing dominoes point outwards (resp. inwards), as in Figure 2. The attribution of signs to flips is *exact* in the sense any closed sequence of flips contains the same number of positive and negative flips (this follows easily from properties of height functions and sections as discussed in [5]; height functions were introduced by Thurston in [6]).
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When are two tilings joined by a sequence of flips? They clearly must have the same flux across a cut: in Figure 2, the two tilings have different fluxes. Indeed, flips don’t alter flux.

Something else may happen to prevent connectivity: the presence of ladders as in Figure 3, where annuli have been sliced open. Flips don’t change ladders.

Boundaries of ladders are walls. Tilings don’t trespass walls. Thus, for the intent of studying tilings, walls decompose annuli into independent disks and narrow annuli (as in Figure 4). There is no real loss in considering, for the purposes of this paper, wall-free annuli. Fortunately, there are no other obstructions to connectivity:

\textbf{Theorem 2 (5)} Let \( A \) be a wall-free quadriculated annulus. Two tilings of \( A \) can be joined by flips if and only if they have the same flux.
2 The $q$-flux polynomial

We will define the volume $\nu(t)$ of a tiling $t \in T_A$; volume increases by one when applying a positive flip. The $q$-flux polynomial $\Phi_A(p, q)$ will $(p, q)$-count tilings with respect to flux and volume.

Open the annulus $A$ by a cut $\xi$ in order to obtain a track segment $\Delta$ as in Figure 4; the annulus is obtained by identifying the vertical sides, which both correspond to the cut $\xi$. Draw a graph $G_A$ whose vertices are centers of squares of $A$ and whose edges join vertices of squares sharing a side. In $G_\Delta \subseteq G_A$ choose a maximal tree and assign weight 1 to its edges (solid segments in the figure). Assign weight $p$ to an arbitrarily chosen edge in $G_A \setminus G_\Delta$ (i.e., an edge crossing the cut). Now consider a $2 \times 2$ square contained in $A$: say a positive flip on the square takes edges $e_0, e_1$ to edges $e_2, e_3$. The weights $w_0, w_1, w_2, w_3$ assigned to these four edges must satisfy $w_2w_3 = -qw_0w_1$: these requirements uniquely determine weights on all edges of $G_A$.

For each tiling $t \in T_A$, the Kasteleyn weights above obtain a signed monomial $\pm p^{\phi(t)}q^{\nu(t)}$ by multiplying the weights of the edges associated to dominoes in $t$. By
construction, a positive flip preserves the flux $\phi$ and increases the volume $\nu$ by one. This also shows how to define the volume of a tiling of a quadriculated disk (there is no flux variable).

Why are the weights signed? So that we can construct a Kasteleyn matrix $M_A$ whose determinant, the $q$-flux polynomial, $(p, q)$-counts tilings, i.e.,

$$
\Phi_A(p, q) = \sum_{t \in T_A} p^{\phi(t)} q^{\nu(t)} = \pm \det(M_A).
$$

Rows and columns of $M_A$ correspond to black and white squares in $A$ and entries are given by weights. The reader should recognize the construction above as an extension of Kasteleyn’s ([3]). For the example in Figure 5, $
\Phi_A(p, q) = q^{-18} p^{-2} (q^{36} p^4 + (q^{36} + 3 q^{35} + 3 q^{34} + 4 q^{33} + 6 q^{32} + 6 q^{31} + 7 q^{30} + 6 q^{29} + 6 q^{28} + 7 q^{27} + 6 q^{26} + 6 q^{25} + 7 q^{24} + 6 q^{23} + 6 q^{22} + 4 q^{21} + 3 q^{20} + 3 q^{19} + q^{18}) p^3 + (q^{30} + 3 q^{29} + 3 q^{28} + 4 q^{27} + 9 q^{26} + 12 q^{25} + 16 q^{24} + 24 q^{23} + 33 q^{22} + 41 q^{21} + 45 q^{20} + 51 q^{19} + 57 q^{18} + 51 q^{17} + 45 q^{16} + 41 q^{15} + 33 q^{14} + 24 q^{13} + 16 q^{12} + 12 q^{11} + 9 q^{10} + 4 q^{9} + 3 q^{8} + 3 q^{7} + q^{6}) p^2 + (q^{18} + 3 q^{17} + 3 q^{16} + 4 q^{15} + 6 q^{14} + 6 q^{13} + 7 q^{12} + 6 q^{11} + 6 q^{10} + 7 q^{9} + 6 q^{8} + 6 q^{7} + 7 q^{6} + 6 q^{5} + 6 q^{4} + 4 q^{3} + 3 q^{2} + 3 q + 1) p + 1)
$$

We are ready to state our main result.

**Theorem 3** Let $A$ be a balanced bicolored wall-free quadriculated annulus.

(a) All non-zero roots of the polynomial $\Phi_A(p, -1)$ are roots of unity.

(b) Let $q > 0$ be fixed: all non-zero roots of the $q$-flux polynomial $\Phi_A(p, q)$ are distinct, negative numbers.

In the example above, $\Phi_A(p, -1) = p^{-2} (p^4 + p^3 + p^2 + p + 1)$ and $\Phi_A(p, 1) = p^{-2} (p^4 + 91 p^3 + 541 p^2 + 91 p + 1)$ (with roots approximately equal to $-84.619$, $-6.2077$, $-1.6109$ and $-0.011818$).

### 3 The connection matrix

A cut $\xi$ transforms an annulus $A$ into a track segment $\Delta$ with left and right attachments. The $n$-th cover $A^n$ of $A$ can be obtained by juxtaposing $n$ copies $\Delta_0, \ldots, \Delta_{n-1}$ of $\Delta$ and then closing up, i.e., identifying extreme attachments. There are copies $\xi_{i+0.5}$ of the cut between $\Delta_i$ and $\Delta_{i+1}$, $i = 0, \ldots, n - 1$ where
$\Delta_n = \Delta_0$. A tiling of $A^n$ restricts to $\Delta_i$ yielding a *tiling of the track segment* $\Delta_i$: notice that such tilings include half-dominoes belonging to dominoes trespassing the cuts $\xi_{i\pm 0.5}$ across a certain set of sides of squares.

A *shape* at an attachment is a set of sides contained in the attachment. Tilings of a track segment $\Delta$ thus induce shapes on both attachments. In particular, shape determines flux. A pair of shapes on the attachments of $\Delta$ describes a *pruning* of $\Delta$ (a smaller disk or a union of disks) by removing the squares with sides belonging to either shape, as in Figure 6. If two sides of the same square are selected, pruning is not defined. Tilings of the track segment with prescribed shapes are in natural bijection with tilings of the pruned segment. Pairs of shapes for which pruning is not defined are not induced by any tilings of $\Delta$.

![Figure 6: Pruning a track segment to obtain a disk](image)

We now construct the connection matrix $C_{\Delta}$. Order shapes so that flux is non-decreasing. Rows and columns of $C_{\Delta}$ correspond to shapes at the left and right attachments. Given a pair of shapes, the associated entry $q$-counts tilings of the pruned segment (the entry is 0 if pruning is not defined). We do not discuss how to compare volumes of tilings on different prunings—this introduces a certain ambiguity, given by possible multiplication of entries of the matrix by different powers of $q$; the issue is resolved via height functions in [4]. Thus, the matrix $C_{\Delta}$ is block diagonal with blocks $C_{\Delta,f}$ labeled by $f$, the value of the flux. Also,

$$\Phi_{\Delta}(p, q) = p^*q^* \sum_f (\text{tr}(C_{\Delta,f}))p^f.$$  

Let $\Delta^n$ be the juxtaposition of $n$ copies of $\Delta$, i.e., $\Delta^n$ is obtained by cutting $A^n$ across any $\xi_{i+0.5}$. The connection matrix of $C_{\Delta^n}$ is $(C_{\Delta})^n$: essentially, entries of the $n$-th power of the adjacency matrix of a graph count paths of length $n$ between two vertices.

The polynomial $\Phi_{\Delta^n}(p, q)$ admits two useful descriptions. From the previous paragraph,

$$\Phi_{\Delta^n}(p, q) = p^*q^* \sum_f (\text{tr}(C_{\Delta,f})^n)p^f.$$
The second relates the roots $\lambda_i$ of $\Phi_A(p, q)$ (in $p$ for fixed $q$) with the roots $\lambda_{i,n}$ of $\Phi_{A^n}(p, q)$:

$$\lambda_{i,n} = (-1)^{n+1} \lambda_i^n.$$ 

This follows from constructing a Kasteleyn matrix $M_{A^n}$ from $M_A$ and diagonalizing both matrices by exploiting the obvious action of $\mathbb{Z}/(n)$ over $A^n$.

4 The proof

We begin with item (a). Suppose that $\Delta$ is a track segment obtained from cutting $A$. Consider, for a fixed value $f$ of the flux and $q = -1$, the blocks $C_{\Delta^n, f} = (C_{\Delta, f})^n$ of the connection matrix $C_{\Delta^n}$ of the juxtaposition $\Delta^n$. From the left hand side of the equality, the block entries $-1$-count the numbers of tilings of (unions of) disks obtained by pruning, or are equal to zero in case pruning is not defined. From Theorem 1, these entries, for all $n$, take very few values. Thus, for all $n$, there are only finitely many possible values for the matrices $C_{\Delta^n, f}$. In particular, there are powers $n_0$ and $n_1$ such that, for all values $f$ of the flux, we have $C_{\Delta^n_0, f} = C_{\Delta^n_1, f}$, implying in turn the equality of the polynomials $\Phi_{A^{n_0}}(p, -1) = \Phi_{A^{n_1}}(p, -1)$. Without loss, $n_0$ and $n_1$ can be taken to be powers of 2 so that $n_1 = n'n_0$ for a natural number $n' > 1$. Combine this fact with the relationship $\lambda_{i,n} = (-1)^{n+1} \lambda_i^n$ to learn that, up to signs, raising to $n'$ induces a permutation on the set of roots of $\Phi_{A^{n_0}}(p, -1)$. For a sufficiently high power $n''$ of $n'$, raising to $n''$ keeps all roots of $\Phi_{A^{n_0}}(p, -1)$ fixed: the nonzero roots are therefore roots of unity, and item (a) is proved.

The proof of (b) takes a different route. Take $q > 0$ fixed throughout the proof. The first step is to prove that each block $C_{\Delta, f}$ has a simple eigenvalue $\Lambda_f > 0$ of absolute value larger than that of any other eigenvalue.

A shape is bi-active if a tiling of the bi-infinite band $\Delta^\infty = \cdots \Delta_{-1}\Delta_0\Delta_1\cdots$ exists with the prescribed shape at the cut $\xi_{0.5}$. The bi-active submatrix $C_{*,\Delta, f}$ is the intersection of rows and columns of $C_{\Delta, f}$ associated with bi-active shapes. We leave it to the reader to check that the corresponding submatrix of $(C_{\Delta, f})^n$ equals $(C_{*,\Delta, f})^n$ and that the spectra of $C_{\Delta, f}$ and $C_{*,\Delta, f}$ coincide, up to null eigenvalues; details are given in [4].

We now show that sufficiently large powers of $C_{*,\Delta, f}$ have only positive entries, i.e., that for a given track segment $\Delta$ and a value $f$ of the flux, there exists an integer $N$ such that for all $n > N$ and any two bi-active shapes $\ell$ and $r$, there exists a tiling of $\Delta^n$ with these prescribed shapes at the left and right attachments. We proceed to join $\ell$ and $r$ by a tiling of a long track segment $\Delta^n$. By hypothesis, $\ell$ extends as a tiling to the right of $\xi_{0.5}$ in $\Delta^\infty$: since there are only finitely many
shapes, two cuts $\xi_{I_L+0.5}$ and $\xi_{I_L+P_L+0.5}$ see the same shape. By repeating this chunk of tiling, $\ell$ also extends as an eventually periodic tiling with period $P_L$ after an initial stretch of length $I_L$. Similarly, $r$ also extends as an eventually periodic tiling with period $P_R$ and final stretch of length $F_R$, say, to the left of $\xi_{n+0.5}$.

Assume without loss that $P_L$ and $P_R$ are both even, $I_L \equiv 0 \pmod{P_LP_R}$ and $F_R \equiv n \pmod{P_LP_R}$; call $t_I$ and $t_F$ the restrictions of the infinite tilings above to $\Delta I_L$ and $\Delta F_R$. Both infinite tilings restricted to periodic stretches (i.e., tilings of $\Delta P_L$ and $\Delta P_R$) by replication give rise to tilings $t_L$ and $t_R$ of the annulus $A^{P_LP_R}$ (and thus of $\Delta^{P_LP_R}$). It is not too hard to see that if $A$ is wall-free than so is $A^{P_LP_R}$ (see [4] for details). From Theorem 4 there exists a sequence of flips connecting these tilings. Call the tilings in this sequence $t_L = t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_M = t_R$.

We would like to join shapes $\ell$ and $r$ by a tiling obtained by juxtaposing $t_I, t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_M, t_F$: this is not quite correct since $t_i$ and $t_{i+1}$ may differ at the common cut. This difficulty is circumvented by constructing tilings $t_{i+0.5}$ of $\Delta P_L P_R$ with the same left shape as $t_i$ and the same right shape as $t_{i+1}$ and then juxtaposing $t_I, t_{0.5}, t_{1.5}, \ldots, t_{M-0.5}, t_F$. More precisely, if $t_i$ and $t_{i+1}$ have the same left shape take $t_{i+0.5} = t_i$; otherwise, take $t_{i+0.5}$ to coincide with $t_i$ on the left $\Delta P_L P_R/2$ subsegment and with $t_{i+1}$ on the right subsegment. This obtains a tiling in $\Delta(I_L + (M-1)P_LP_R + F_R)$: since this number is congruent to $n$ modulo $P_LP_R$, the desired tiling can be obtained, for sufficiently large $n$, by inserting copies of $t_M$ before $t_F$.

The Perron–Frobenius theorem (2) applied to $C_{*,\Delta,f}$ then completes the proof of the first step.

Label the nonzero roots of $\Phi_A(p, q)$ as $|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \ldots \geq |\lambda_m| > 0$. Assume by induction that $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{k-1}$ are real negative and that

$$|\lambda_1| > \cdots > |\lambda_{k-1}| > |\lambda_k| = \cdots = |\lambda_{k'}| > |\lambda_{k'+1}|,$$

with $k' \geq k$. We must prove that $k = k'$ and that $\lambda_k$ is real negative. Consider the usual symmetric function

$$\sigma_k(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq m} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k}.$$  

From what we have seen above,

$$\text{tr } C_{\Delta,f_{\text{max}}-k} = a^n \sigma_k((-\lambda_1)^n, \ldots, (-\lambda_m)^n) = (1 + o(1))\Lambda_{f_{\text{max}}-k}$$

when $n$ goes to infinity. Here $a P_{f_{\text{max}}}$ is the leading monomial of $\Phi_A(p, q)$.

The expression $\sigma_k((-\lambda_1)^n, \ldots, (-\lambda_m)^n)$ is the sum of $k' - k + 1$ terms of the form $((-1)^k \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_{k-1} \lambda_k)^n$, $\ell = k, \ldots, k'$ and other terms which grow at exponentially smaller rates. Thus

$$(-1)^{kn} \lambda_1^n \lambda_2^n \cdots \lambda_{k-1}^n (\lambda_k^n + \cdots + \lambda_m^n) = (1 + o(1))(\Lambda_k/a)^n$$
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whence
\[ b_k^n + \cdots + b_{k'}^n = 1 + o(1) \]
where \( b_\ell = -\lambda_\ell/|\lambda_\ell| \). The upshot is that \( b_k, \ldots, b_{k'} \) belong to the unit circle and we can take arbitrarily large \( n \) such that \( 2(k' - k + 1)|b_k^n - 1| < 1 \) for all \( \ell \) and therefore
\[ |(k' - k + 1) - (b_k^n + \cdots + b_{k'}^n)| < 1/2, \]
a contradiction unless \( k = k' \). Finally, \( b_k = 1 \) and \( \lambda_k \) is real negative. This concludes the proof of item (b).

As an application of (b), we state the result below. A sequence \( a_k \) of non-negative real numbers is log-concave if
\[ a_k^2 \geq a_{k-1}a_{k+1} \]
for all \( k \). In particular, log-concave sequences are either monotone or unimodal.

**Corollary 4** Let \( q \) be a fixed positive real number and let \( a_f \) be the coefficient of \( p^f \) in \( \Phi_A(p, q) \). Then the sequence \( a_f \) is log-concave.
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