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Abstract

With the increased complexity in mobile applications, many challenges and issues emerged for the software project management team to develop mobile application effectively and accurately. Effort estimation is one of the most critical issues the Software management project team faces in general, and the mobile application development team in specific. Effort estimation helps to approximate the cost required for successful software application development. The mobile application is distinct in various aspects from the traditional software and web-based applications. There is a need for a specific methodology to be followed for accurate estimation of size and efforts. This research aims to review the effectiveness of COSMIC and Machine Learning techniques in performing mobile effort estimation and propose a hybrid approach for efficient mobile effort estimation. This research work's main's represent the methodology followed to achieve the input parameters and mobile applications' efforts using a tailor-made approach. The significance of this research work is to propose a framework that will help both researchers and mobile application estimators approximate the efficient efforts precisely.
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1. Introduction

The usability of mobile phones-based communication has increased rapid life. The increasing diversity and heterogeneity in mobile phone communication have redefined mobile and its various deliverability's fundamental principles. The range of applicability provided through mobile phones has increased the tradition of mobile-based software known as mobile applications. Most of the services and operations delivered through software applications or web-based applications are presented using mobile-based applications.

Thus, software companies try to maintain their reputation in the market by better planning and estimating the software. Software Estimation is defined as how much cost, time, efforts are required to build a specific product by time and within budget. Inaccuracy in estimation may lead to overestimation or underestimation (over budgeting or under budgeting), which can be disastrous for any software company. As earlier, it was reported that the product might go 150% to 200% high if a proper estimation process is not followed [1]. According to World mobile application market estimates, more than 2 million mobile applications are currently available for mobile users, mainly in communication, games, social networks, multimedia, travels, and utility [2]. The ITU (International Telecommunication Union) estimates that there are more than 6 billion mobile clients worldwide. According to Gartner, 1.75 billion people own mobile phones with advanced capabilities [3]. According to a forecast by International Data Corporation’s (IDC), a mobile application installed on client devices will increase to 210 billion annually from 2016 to 2020, adding more than 55 billion dollars to the total revenue [4]. Hence, there is a need for smart applications development with smartphones as the users demand smart functionality with time.
For software estimation, one should know the size of that software, which means size depends on the system’s functionality provided to the user. There are internationally accepted standards for measuring the surface area of a wall, the volume of a bottle, weight of a person; likewise, we need to express standards for measuring the size of a software or application. There are two ways to measure the size of software or an application, i.e., Code Size: It measures Source Lines of Code (SLOC) in software and Functional Size: It is measured in functional points using a technique called Functional Size Measurement (FSM). FSM is used to measure the size of software by quantifying the functionality delivered to the user. FSM is used to overcome the limitations imposed by the Code Size technique having the following advantages:

- Based on Functional User Requirements.
- Independent of technology, Language, tools, and technique used.

In this paper, we propose a methodology to carry out this research work to get precise efforts. This paper discusses the proposed framework where mobile application development parameters that influence mobile applications’ size are collected. This paper explains the pre-processing approach carried to derive the mobile application parameters called Input Factors. These factors are used as input parameters in the tailor-made approach to get the size and, hence, mobile application development efforts. The approach utilises the input factors with the proposed approach to estimate mobile application development’s approximate efforts. Our proposed framework includes applying Machine Learning techniques and CPEEM to get the proposed model’s performance in terms of the efforts calculated [5]. This research paper is organised in various segments, starting with the introduction followed up by a Literature review. Section 3 represents the methodology followed in this research work. Section 4 represents a pre-processing approach for collecting input parameters. Section 5 presents the proposed framework for building a robust approach for predicting accurate efforts for developing mobile applications.

2. Related Literature

Huang [6] used regression trees, case-based trees, and artificial neural networks for feature selection. Multiple data processing techniques were suggested. This study predicts the successful use of techniques mentioned above on used datasets. In this study, three cross-validations were used to calculate the accuracy in the prediction of efforts. It was suggested to use 10-fold cross-validation for better software effort estimation.

Li et al. [7] carried out a research study for feature selection-based model MICBR where feature selection algorithm and wrapper-based techniques were used. The results showed the space for improvement for MICBR. This study further suggested the usage of ISBUG datasets for evaluations of software effort estimation approaches.

Oterveria [8], in this study author, proposed a genetic algorithm for software effort estimation. This study used three Machine Learning techniques, e.g., Model Trees, Multi-Layer Perception (MLP) neural networks and Support Vector Regression (SVR). This study showed promising results by using combined input of feature selection and optimised parameters of machine learning.

Attarzadeh [9] proposed a hybrid approach using a novel neural network and COCOMO model to estimate cost. This study used 63 projects for evaluation and suggested using a new neural network to check its accurate estimates. Kumar [10] uses Wavelet Neural Network to predict accurate software efforts. In this study, three-fold cross-validation was used on datasets, but it was suggested to use ten-fold cross-validation to get precise results. The results were validated with the help of MMRE. This study suggested WNN somehow produced valuable results.

Shin and Goel [11] revealed a Neural Network method where Linear Regression analysis was used to estimate software. The proposed model was used on the NASA database, where several software projects were evaluated to check its efficiency.

Burgees [12] used genetic programming and compared the results with Linear Square Regression, K-Nearest Neighbour (KNN) and MLP neural network and showed the results of genetic programming quite satisfactory to the rest of the three methods. The authors suggested using another method as genetic programming takes much time in experimentation.

Huang et al. [13] proposed a Neuro-fuzzy constructive cost model for effort estimation of software projects. The author compared the proposed method with the COCOMO approach and showed better results for the proposed approach.

Oliveira [14] used SVR (Support Vector Regression) for software effort estimation. In this study, Linear and RBF kernels were used for simulation with SVR. The author used software projects from the NASA database for experimentation. The study showed better results for SVR in comparison to the SG algorithm and Linear Regression.

Table 1. Tabular form of literature review with corresponding findings

| Author          | Technique used                  | Findings                                      |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Huang [6]       | Regression Trees, Artificial Neural Networks, and case-based trees | In this study, the authors suggested Multiple data processing techniques and a 10-fold cross-validation technique to get the precise output. |
| Li et al. [7]   | Feature selection techniques and wrapper-based techniques | This study suggested the usage of ISBUG datasets for the evaluation of methods. |
| Oterveria [8]   | Model Trees, Multi-Layer Perception neural networks, Support Vector | Promising results were found by using hybrid approaches |
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| Name          | Method                          | Description                                                                 |
|---------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Attazadeh     | Regression (SVR)                | This study suggests using a new novel neural network to get more accurate results. |
| [9]           | Neural Network and COCOMO approach |                                                                               |
| Kumar         | Wavelet Neural Network          | In this study, 10-fold cross-validation was suggested to get precise results.  |
| [10]          |                                 |                                                                             |
| Shin and Goel | Neural Network                  | This study suggested using another method as genetic programming takes much time in experimentation. |
| [11]          |                                 |                                                                             |
| Burgeses      | Genetic programming, KNN, MLP and LSR | This study showed positive outcomes for the proposed approach.               |
| [12]          |                                 |                                                                             |
| Huang et al.  | Neuro-Fuzzy Constructive Cost Model | This study showed better results for SVR used for software effort estimation. |
| [13]          |                                 |                                                                             |
| Oliveria      | SVR, Linear and RBF kernel      | This study showed better results for SVR used for software effort estimation. |
| [14]          |                                 |                                                                             |

After the literature review (Table 1), we observed that there is still room for precise cost estimation method development to get accurate results. Hence in this research, we will overcome the drawbacks of the previous research that are often ignored and still hope for improvement.

### 3. Research Methodology

A methodology is followed to derive a tailor-made approach to precise and accurate estimates in this research work. Below given figure 1 shows the pictorial representation of the methodology followed. This research is an empirical research type where the IFPUG dataset is used to obtain distinct features of mobile application projects like the name of the project, Actual size, Actual efforts, Techniques used etc. In this research work, 16 mobile applications were examined and used to check the proposed model's appropriateness. The step of activities starts with the requirement analysis, where mobile application requirements are elicited. The user selects these requirements, commonly termed as Function User Requirements (FUR). These FUR’s are the functionality being delivered to the user through mobile applications. Once requirement elicitation is done, the activity follows towards the collection of data.

**Figure 1. Stepwise actions of research methodology**

Sample Data collection and organisation: Both the Primary and Secondary data is collected during this research work. For secondary data, 16 mobile applications of the IFPUG dataset are used for statistical evaluation. At the same time, primary data is collected in the form of a questionnaire from industry people having the same expertise. The primary data is filtered to collect the selected mobile application parameters for mobile application development. These input factors act as input parameters for the proposed approach, where, with the help of a tailor-made approach, the final output is calculated. The output is the overall efforts for various mobile application developments. Below given figure 2 show the categorisation of sample data collection. These obtained efforts for various mobile applications are validated through some statistical techniques, e.g., MRE, MMRE, Pred(x), and standard deviation. The validated result is again evaluated with industry people’s help through a procedure that again involves stepwise activities.

**Figure 2. Categorisation of Data collection**
4. Data Pre-Processing approach and Input Factor selection for Mobile application development

This step involves the collection of input factors of mobile applications. These input factors are the Mobile application parameters that are quite distinct from traditional software and web-based applications. These parameters influence the size of an application. Hence, they directly impact the accuracy in functionality delivered to the user through mobile applications, which depends on the customer’s requirements. This pre-processing approach is a set of activities influenced by previous research [15]. The author has used a tool “Questionnaire” to collect the Mobile application development parameters for mobile application development. Various parameters were analysed and listed as mobile application development parameters from previous studies [15]-[19]. These listed parameters were sent to different respondents having expertise in this field. A total number of 64 parameters were collected from previous research and framed in a questionnaire (Shown in Appendix-A). Each parameter has given five options with it. The scale used is a 5-Point rating scale where 5 distinct options were provided [20]-[25]. Respondents were asked to rate the particular parameter according to the given rating scale [26]-[28].

4.1.1 Input factor collection

Based on the respondents’ feedback, 34 parameters were collected that directly impacted the size and efforts of Mobile application development. It was observed that there were duplicates of parameters that share the comparable interpretation. Therefore, these parameters were filtered to get the second list containing a non-ambiguous set of parameters where only 21 parameters were finalised. The approach (shown below, given in figure 3) was followed to achieve the parameters set.

4.1.2 Mobile Functional Factors (MFF)

A list of 21 parameters was framed, which were analysed to check these specific parameters' appropriateness in line with the modern-day mobile application development. Therefore, a Questionnaire (Shown in Appendix A) listing 21 parameters were framed. A 5-point rating scale is used to collect different opinions from software industry experts to check which parameter is most suited nowadays and contributes to mobile application development. Table 2 shows the list of final parameters that constitute part of Mobile Function Factors (MFF) for modern Mobile application development. The respondents' responses are collected and are graphically shown in the figure below (see figures 4 to 10). As shown in table 3, six parameters are selected parameters out of 10 listed parameters based on the responses received. Responses received were based on the ranking scale values from 1-5, where 1 occupies the lowest ranking value, and 5 occupies the highest-ranking value on the scale, as shown in the table below (See Table 2). These parameters are here named Mobile Function Factors as they calculated the functionality of a mobile application delivered to the users.

| Value | Description   |
|-------|---------------|
| 1     | Less Impact   |
| 2     | Unimportant   |
| 3     | Standard      |
| 4     | Significant   |
| 5     | Powerful      |

Table 2. Different values for the 5-Point ranking scale

Graphical representation of responses:
Table 3. List of parameters that constitutes Input parameters.

| Serial No | Parameters                        |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|
| 1         | Number of the Input process       |
| 2         | Number of the Output process      |
| 3         | Number of Animations              |
| 4         | Total number of Screens           |
| 5         | Navigation structures             |
| 6         | change of context                 |
| 7         | Multimedia components             |
| 8         | Third-party integration           |
| 9         | Number of Links                   |
| 10        | Processing Points                 |

To refine these ten parameters called Mobile Function Factors (MFF), as shown in the table above (see Table 3), a revision of these parameters was carried out. A new questionnaire was prepared, carrying 10 questions. Each question was scaled from 1 through 5, as shown in a table (see table 2). Out of these 10 parameters, 6 were received as such parameters having a huge impact on mobile application development functionality and were in line with the modern development of mobile applications. The responses are shown in the graphical representation in the below-mentioned figures (see Figure 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10), as shown in table 4.

Table 4. List of parameters that constitutes MFF as per the responses from respondents

| Serial No. | Parameters                        |
|------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1          | Number of the Input process       |
| 2          | Number of the Output process      |
| 3          | Total number of Screens           |
| 4          | Multimedia components             |
| 5          | Number of Links                   |
| 6          | Processing Points                 |

Figure 5. Responses for the parameter “Number of Input Process.”

Figure 6. Responses for the parameter “Number of Output Process.”

Figure 7. Responses for the parameter “Total number of screens”

Figure 8. Responses for the parameter “Multimedia.”
5. Proposed Framework

The proposed framework is based on machine learning technique and COSMIC Plus Effort Estimation Model (CPEEM) [5]. Where size is a primary means wherefrom efforts can be calculated for mobile application development. The size of a mobile application depends on the total number of functionalities an application can deliver to users. The functionalities of mobile applications depend on the user requirements calculated from the Mobile Function Factors. Mobile Function Factors calculates the size of a mobile application that can be used to get its overall efforts. The best suited Machine Learning technique in synchronisation with the CPEEM approach will be prepared so that the proposed tailor-made approach can provide efficient efforts for real mobile application projects. The diagram below (See Figure 11) gives the basic idea of a tailor-made approach where Mobile Function Factors (MFF) can be applied to calculate the overall efforts to carry out mobile applications.

6. Conclusion and Future work

The work carried out in this paper contributes to the field of software engineering to calculate the overall efforts of mobile application development. An efficient approach can be used to provide effective results for the software project management team. This research work uses a hybrid approach that imbibes the concepts of CPEEM and Machine learning technique. In this research, we have utilised sixteen mobile application projects of the IFPUG repository as a dataset to use in our research for model evaluation and
validation. A descriptive statistic will be applied to the available dataset to check the performance of the tailor-made approach. The framework uses Mobile Functional Factors as input parameters for the proposed approach where CPEEM and Machine learning technique is used to calculate the size and efforts of mobile application development. These efforts are then compared with the real mobile applications’ actual efforts to see whether the proposed approach is efficient or not. Therefore, this study attempts to propose a model that can conquer the challenges posed by other existing software estimation models and reduce the gap between actual efforts and mobile application development’s estimated efforts. Future work is to design a model based on the framework given in this paper.
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### Appendix

Questionnaire to review the relevance of various parameters with Mobile application effort estimation

The relevance of parameters with Mobile application Effort Estimation

Description for Rating scale:
1: Less Important
2: Unimportant
3: Standard.
4: Significant.
5: Powerful.

Based on your experience, please suggest whether the existing parameters listed below have relevance with modern-day Mobile application development to perform Mobile application effort estimation. **You are requested to put rating against parameter (P1-P21) given below kindly:**

| Label | Mobile Application Development Parameters | Rating (1-5) |
|-------|------------------------------------------|--------------|
| P1    | Limited energy                           |              |
| P2    | Small screen                             |              |
| P3    | Bandwidth                                |              |
| P4    | Change of context                        |              |
| P5    | Reduced memory                           |              |
| P6    | Connectivity                             |              |
| P7    | Interactivity                            |              |
| P8    | Usability                                |              |
| P9    | Security                                 |              |
| P10   | Reliability                              |              |
| P11   | Efficiency                               |              |
| P12   | Interoperability                         |              |
| P13   | Response time privacy                    |              |
| P14   | Data integrity                           |              |
| P15   | screens                                  |              |
| P16   | The constant interruption of activities  |              |
| P17   | Functional area                          |              |
| P18   | Input interface factor and Navigation    |              |
| P19   | Graphic interface factor                 |              |
| P20   | Context factor                           |              |
| P21   | User interface                           |              |

Keeping your expertise in mind, which new parameters will you suggest for inclusion to improve the effectiveness of mobile effort estimation

Write comments: (please mark corrections if any)

| Expert Name: | Designation: |
|--------------|--------------|
| Organisation: | E-mail:      |

Please return the filled form to:
Ziema Mushtaq
E-mail: sheikhz123@gmail.com