FRICITION STIR WELDING OF LAP JOINTS USING NEW Al-Li ALLOYS FOR STRINGER-SKIN JOINTS
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Abstract

The aeronautic industry is continuously looking for new structural concepts with the aim of reducing dangerous gas emissions as well as reducing manufacturing costs and times. The development of advanced lightweight structures is an effective alternative to achieve the mentioned goals. Reinforced panels produced by the third generation aluminum-lithium alloys and Friction Stir Welding (FSW) can bring new solutions for more efficient aircrafts. This work presents the results obtained in the development and characterization of FSW joints directed to reinforced panel manufacturing. FSW lap joints were produced using aluminum-lithium alloys AA2099-T83 extrusions and AA2060-T8E30 sheets. Several welding parameter combinations and FSW tool designs were used to produce the joints. Joint properties were investigated by metallographic examination, microhardness tests as well as mechanical strength testing. The appropriate FSW conditions to optimize joint properties were established.

Introduction

Riveting has been the dominant joining technology for reinforced panel manufacturing for aircraft structures. However, there are some disadvantages in the riveting processes such as low productivity and lack of potential for weight reduction [1]. Welded integral structures represent benefits such as reductions in the number of necessary parts, weight saving potential as well as significant reductions in manufacturing times and costs. The main welding technologies developed have been Laser Beam Welding (LBW) and Friction Stir Welding (FSW) [2, 3], resulting in the implementation of some applications in real aircrafts [4-6]. Thus FSW technology was proposed and investigated as alternative joining technology to riveting for lap joints in stringer to skin applications [7, 8].

Joining stringers to skin by FSW generally requires welding in the lap joint configuration, which has been investigated and reported by several authors using aeronautic aluminium alloys [9-16]. Probably the most important conclusion of these investigations is the importance of the FSW tool design to minimize the main welding imperfections [17] that are typical in FSW lap joints: Hook features and cold lap defects.

Another important aspect to be considered in aircraft structure innovation is the maturation and launch of third generation aluminium-lithium alloys, which offer high strength, low density and excellent corrosion resistance [18]. These Al-Li alloys such as AA2099 extrusions and AA2060 sheets have been promising candidates for stringer-skin applications and, although some recent investigations have been reported on FSW of these alloys [19], further work is needed to understand the FSW process applied to them.
The work presented in this report is on investigations of the FSW process applied to lap joints using AA2099-T83 extrusions and AA2060-T8E30 sheets, the understanding of the joint formation mechanism and the evaluation of the resulting lap joint properties.

**Experimental details**

Z shaped extrusions of aluminium alloy AA2099-T83 and sheets of alloy AA2060-T8E30 were used in this work as stringer and skin materials to perform FSW joints in the overlap configuration. The chemical composition of these alloys is shown in Table 1. The thickness of the extrusion in the joining zone was 2 mm and the thickness of the sheet was 2.5 mm. Two different tools were employed to produce the lap joints as shown in Figure 1. The general dimensions of both tools were similar having a plain shoulder of 10 mm in diameter, a probe diameter of 4 mm and a probe length of 2.5 mm. The difference between the tools was the probe design, one having a conventional threaded cylindrical probe (Figure 1-a) and the other a probe with 3 flats and a mixed neutral thread (Figure 1-b). Lap joints were produced combining several welding parameters, using rotational speeds between 800-1200 rpm and welding speeds between 150-250 mm/min. All investigated joints were produced in force control using an I-STIR PDS 4 FSW system adjusting the axial force for each welding parameter condition. Thus, FSW lap joints were produced using weld pitches between 0.125-0.31 mm/rev. The type of FSW lap joints produced is shown in Figure 1-c.

**Table 1: Chemical compositions of base materials, wt-%**

| Alloy       | Al  | Si  | Fe  | Cu  | Mn  | Mg  | Zn  | Ti  | Ag  | Li  | Zr  |
|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 2060-T8E30 | Bal.| 0.07| 0.07| 3.4-4.5 | 0.1-0.5 | 0.6-1.1 | 0.3-1.1 | 0.1 | 0.05-0.5 | 0.6-0.9 | 0.05-0.15 |
| 2099-T83   | Bal.| 0.05| 0.07| 2.4-3.0 | 0.1-0.5 | 0.4-1.1 | 0.1-1.1 | -- | 1.6-2.0 | 0.05-0.12 |

Figure 1: FSW tools used to produce the FSW joints; a) Conventional threaded cylindrical tool; b) 3 flats + neutral thread tool; and c) FSW lap joint formed by AA2099-T83 extrusion and AA2060-T8E30 sheet.

Samples for metallographic examination were cut perpendicular to the welding direction, polished to a mirror like finish, etched using Keller’s reagent, rinsed in water and dried in a warm airflow. Weld cross-sectional features of the FSW lap joints were examined by optical microscopy using an Olympus GX51 light optical microscope. Microhardness tests were performed using a Vickers indenter, a load of 500 g and load application time of 15 seconds. Scans of indentations of approximately 20 mm in length were carried out, investigating the extension of about 10 mm from the weld centerline in both directions. The spacing between indentations was 0.5 mm. The scans were located in the mid-
thickness of the AA2099-T83 extrusion as well as at a distance of 0.5 mm from the joint interface of the AA2060-T8E30 sheet. The microhardness tests were performed in the as welded condition and allowing a time of approximately 60 days between the production of the welds and the measurements.

The static mechanical strength of the FSW lap joints was investigated by pull-out tests, using a special fixture to hold the AA2060-T8E30 sheet firmly and pulling from the AA2099-T83 extrusion in the vertical-perpendicular direction to the sheet surface. All tests were performed at room temperature using a Zwick Roell Z100 tensile testing machine at a constant speed of 1.6 mm/min.

Results and discussion

The quality of the FSW lap joints produced using different tools and welding parameters was evaluated based on their as welded surface quality, severity of welding imperfections as well as mechanical properties. The following sections summarize the main results obtained in this work:

Surface quality

The surface appearance of FSW lap joints produced by the two tools and different welding parameters are shown in Figure 2. As a general result, the joints produced by the tool with 3 flats presented a superior surface quality than the those produced with a conventional threaded tool. This can be concluded seen in the images shown in Figure 2-a) and Figure 2-b) which contain a minimal amount of toe flash in comparison with Figure 2-c) and Figure 2-d), which were produced with the conventional threaded tool and presented a larger amount of flash. Thus, it could be concluded that the implementation of flats on the probe produced favorable material flow and consolidation capacity of the FSW tool. This conclusion is in agreement with previous results obtained in FSW lap joining [12, 13], showing that the weldability window and the quality of the lap joints can be higher for tools featuring flats.

Metallographic examination

Cross-sections of FSW lap joints produced under different welding parameters with both tools are presented in Figure 3, Figure 4 and Figure 5. No volumetric defects were observed in the welds performed within the range of investigated welding parameters. However, significant
differences were observed in typical FSW imperfections [17] of lap joints such as hooks and cold lap defects. Relatively large hook features were observed in both the advancing and the retreating side in joints produced by the conventional threaded tool as it is shown in Figure 3. The magnified images of the sides show the hook features in the thermo-mechanically affected zone (TMAZ) regions in the advancing side (or H1) in the left as well as the hook in the retreating side (or H2) in the right. The highly deformed grain orientations of AA2060-T8E30 sheet material of the TMAZ regions are indicative of the vertical material flow induced by the conventional threaded tool, which is the main formation mechanism of the hook features. In addition to this, a cold lap defect feature was observed in the retreating side progressing from the tip of the hook towards the stir zone (SZ).

A reduction on the severity and size of the hook features was observed in FSW lap welds performed by the tool with 3 flats as shown in Figure 4 and Figure 5. In addition to that, the hook size remained practically the same regardless the rotational speed used to perform the joints. This effect can be observed comparing the hook features in Figure 4 and Figure 5, which show equivalent FSW lap joints performed at 1200 rpm and 800 rpm respectively. Thus, it is feasible to increase the rotational speed, without increasing the hook size, in order to produce a higher deformation at the interface, promoting a more extensive mixing of material and limiting the cold lap defect formation. Similar conclusions were obtained in previous works carried out with other aeronautic aluminium alloys [12].

Figure 3: Cross-section of FSW lap joint produced at 1200 rpm and 250 mm/min by a conventional threaded tool.

Figure 4: Cross-section of FSW lap joint produced at 1200 rpm and 250 mm/min by a tool with 3 flats.

Figure 5: Cross-section of FSW lap joint produced at 800 rpm and 250 mm/min by a tool with 3 flats.

The hooks (H1, H2) of FSW lap joints performed using the two tools previously described herein. Several welding parameters are represented as a function of the weld pitch in Figure 6. It is clearly shown that the hook features produced by the conventional threaded tool are significantly larger, especially when low weld pitch values are employed. The hook size decreases as the weld pitch increases for the conventional threaded tool due to the less intensive
vertical material flow induced at lower rotational speeds and higher welding speeds. This is not the case for the tool with 3 flats + neutral thread as the hook remains equivalent for all the investigated weld pitch range. The globally neutral nature of the 3 sections of threads present on the probe eliminates a preferential vertical flow of plasticized material resulting in limited hook formation.

Figure 6: Representation of the hook size measured in FSW lap joints produced using different welding parameters and weld pitches.

Microhardness testing

The microhardness distribution of different microstructural regions of FSW lap joints in the extrusion AA2099-T83 and the sheet AA2060-T8E30 are presented in Figure 7 and Figure 8 respectively. The obtained results are in agreement with the conclusions reported by Huang et. al. [19], where FSW lap joints with AA2099-T83 and AA2060-T8E30 were investigated. A significant hardness reduction in the HAZ, TMAZ and SZ regions was observed which is typical in FSW of precipitation hardening aluminium alloys [13]. Microstructural phenomena such as dissolution, coarsening and precipitation of the precipitates, which are induced by the complex thermo-mechanical cycle by the FSW process, directly influence the hardness. Therefore, the hardness distribution usually depends on the FSW parameters used to produce the joints.

A non-symmetric hardness distribution was observed in the scans performed in the AA2099-T83 extrusion as shown in Figure 7. A HAZ extension of approximately 9 mm was observed at the advancing side, while the retreating side showed a larger HAZ. The heat accumulation at the edge of the stringer in the retreating side could be the reason for a larger HAZ, producing a more severe overaging effect at this region. In addition to that, the FSW lap joint produced using a weld pitch of 0.21 mm/rev also presented a larger HAZ in comparison with the one performed at 0.31 mm/rev. The higher temperatures and heat accumulation produced by the weld pitch of 0.21 mm/rev could be again the reason for that. These effect was not observed at the advancing side of these FSW lap joints. A maximum hardness reduction of 74 HV0.5 was measured, from 168 HV0.5 of the base material to 94 HV0.5 of the minimum hardness at the HAZ at the advancing side, which represents a ~44% drop.

Figure 8 shows the hardness distribution of the AA2060-T8E30 sheet, where a symmetric HAZ of approximately 16 mm was observed. A maximum hardness drop of ~38% was estimated from 172 HV0.5 of base material to 106 HV0.5 measured at the SZ region boundaries. No
significant differences were observed between FSW lap joints performed at 0.21 and 0.31 weld pitches.

**Figure 7**: Microhardness value distributions in the AA2099-T83 extrusion of FSW joints performed using 0.21 mm/rev and 0.31 mm/rev weld pitches and a tool with 3 flats.

**Figure 8**: Microhardness value distributions in the AA2060-T8E30 sheet of FSW joints performed using 0.21 mm/rev and 0.31 mm/rev weld pitches and a tool with 3 flats.

**Mechanical strength testing**

Pull-out tests were performed with the aim of evaluating the static mechanical strength of the FSW lap joints produced using several welding parameters and both tools. Figure 9 shows two limit cases that represent the critical influence of the FSW lap joint quality on the joint strength. A maximum pull-out load of 2.8 kN was observed for the FSW lap joint produced by the tool with 3 flats + neutral thread and welding parameters of 1200 rpm and 250 mm/min. The small hooks and the effective reduction of the cold lap defect shown in Figure 4 are representative of an appropriate stirring and mixing of materials, producing a good quality weld that presented a failure in the stringer outside the weld. On the other hand, a FSW lap joint produced by the conventional threaded tool at 800 rpm and 150 mm/min presented an interfacial failure, as shown in the top-right image in Figure 9, with an ultimate pull-out load of 1.95 kN. In this case the larger size of the hooks and the presence of the cold lap defect in the weld were found to be the main factors that reduced the joint quality and load carrying capacity.

In general, FSW lap joints produced by the tool with 3 flats + neutral thread presented superior mechanical strength in comparison with the conventional threaded tool, with average ultimate
pull-out load values of 2.62 kN and 2.18 kN respectively. Thus it could be concluded that superior weld quality and load carrying capacity can be obtained by the tool with 3 flats + neutral thread.

![Graph showing pull-out strength and failure mode of FSW lap joints.](image)

**Figure 9:** Pull-out strength and failure mode of FSW lap joints produced by different tools and welding parameters.

**Conclusions**

In this work, FSW lap joints were performed with AA2099-T83 extrusions and AA2060-T8E30 sheet materials, using different tools and welding parameters, and the joint properties were investigated. The following conclusions could be obtained:

- The tool with 3 flats + neutral thread can produce FSW lap joints with superior surface quality than the conventional threaded tool.
- The FSW lap joints produced by the tool with 3 flats + neutral thread present superior weld quality (reduced hooks and cold lap defects) than the ones produced by the conventional threaded tool.
- The tool with 3 flats + neutral thread allows to increase the rotational speed without promoting vertical flow of plasticized material nor increasing the hook size.
- Hardness drops of approximately 44% and 38% were observed for AA2099-T83 extrusion and AA2060-T8E30 respectively.
- An average ultimate pull-out load of 2.62 kN with a failure outside the joint was achieved in FSW lap joints produced by the tool with 3 flats + neutral thread. This is a ~20% higher than the values obtained with the joints performed by the conventional threaded tool.

Thus, the main conclusion is that the tool with 3 flats + neutral thread has the capability to produce FSW lap joints of superior quality and higher load carrying capacity, showing a larger weldability window. Within this window, 1200 rpm and 250 mm/min were identified as the best welding parameters that resulted in the highest weld quality.
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