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Abstract

Colophospermum mopane, commonly known as mopane, is a dominant tree or shrub in the mopane woodland. It is widely distributed in the low-lying areas of southern Africa’s savanna. Despite its wide distribution, ability to survive water stress conditions, and value as a source of browse for herbivores, especially during the dry season, there is limited knowledge on mopane leaf production and phenology as well as its implications to browsers. This knowledge gap is making it difficult for game ranchers and conservationists to fully understand the availability and quality of mopane browse in different seasons. As a result, this paper reviewed and integrated the available information on mopane leaf production and phenology in order to understand the amount and quality of mopane leaf forage during different seasons. This review shows that mopane leaf production and phenology are mainly triggered by rainfall. Mopane leaf flush starts after the first summer rains and reaches optimum productivity between February and March. The leaves begin to shed around May, following the onset of the dry season. Most of the dry or dead leaves remain on the tree until they are blown off by wind, especially in August. The leaves on the ground contribute significantly to the diet of browsers in mopane woodland during the dry season.
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Introduction

Colophospermum mopane (Benth.) J. Léonard, commonly known as mopane [1], is a dominant tree or shrub in the mopane woodland [2]. Mopane woodland is distributed in the low-lying areas of southern Africa’s savannas, between latitudes 9°S and 25°S [2]. The total area covered by mopane woodland in its distribution in southern Africa is 555 000 km² [3], which amounts to about 35% of the 1.5 million km² of savanna in southern Africa [4]. Mopane is distributed in the hot and dry environments of southern Africa’s savanna biome [5]. It is physiologically adapted to tolerate water stress and high temperature conditions [6]. Mopane folds its leaflets together [7], closes the stomata [8], and directs intercellular water to flow towards the inside of cells [9], particularly during the heat of the day [7]. These physiological responses enable mopane leaves to restrict water loss through transpiration [10], which allows mopane to tolerate drought conditions [6,11].

The distribution of mopane ranges from southern Angola and northern Namibia across Botswana and Zimbabwe to central and southern Mozambique, and from the Luangwa valley in Zambia and central Malawi to northern South Africa (Figure 1), with its southern-most distribution in the Klaserie Private Nature Reserve, south of the Olifants River [12]. Mopane is distributed in areas that have a variety of soil types, but mainly survives on alkaline soils [2], receiving low to moderate annual rainfall ranging from 400 mm to 800 mm [7], with mean daily temperatures ranging from 12 °C to 31 °C [13]. Acidic soil [10], a low winter temperature of < 5 °C and frost [14] are limiting factors for mopane distribution [15]. In addition, frequent fires can change the morphology of mopane from trees into shrubs [16].

Mopane is an irregular-shaped deciduous tree or shrub, which can grow up to 20 m high, with an erect...
narrow crown, although it can sometimes be found as an irregular deciduous 1-3 m high shrub [2]. The leaves of mopane are alternate, with a single pair of large triangular leaflets [10]. The two leaflets resemble the wings of a butterfly [17]. Young leaves are glossy and golden brown in colour, changing to green as they mature [18]. Most of the dry or dead leaves remain on the tree until they are blown-off by wind [19].

Mopane leaves are nutritious, containing between 6-13% of crude protein [20]. In addition, mopane maintains its foliage well into the dry season, thus providing important forage for browsers and intermediate feeders [21,22]. Dry mopane leaves are a valuable source of browse for herbivores [17] such as elephants (*Loxodonta africana*) [22], greater kudu (*Tragelaphus strepsiceros*) [23], and other ungulates found in mopane woodland, particularly during the dry season [24]. The nutritional value of mopane browse therefore explains why many rangelands, game reserves and national parks in the southern Africa’s savanna biome are found in the mopane woodland [25].

Considering the ability of mopane to survive water stress conditions [6,11], and its value in supplying nutritious forage to browsers within its distribution range in southern Africa’s savanna [17,26,27], knowledge of mopane leaf production and phenology becomes important. The key question is what is the amount and quality of mopane leaves during different seasons? This is an important question to answer because it has implications on browsers foraging behaviour, habitat selection as well as their spatial distribution in mopane woodland. The attainment of knowledge on mopane leaf availability and quality during different seasons will therefore require better understanding of leaf production and phenology. Unfortunately, limited research has been undertaken in these areas and the available information is old and scanty. Studies on mopane leaf production are very limited [28-32]. Limited studies on mopane leaf phenology have also been conducted [19,21,32]. Considering that mopane is widely distributed in southern Africa’s savanna, this knowledge gap makes it difficult for game ranchers and conservationists to fully understand the availability and quality of forage in mopane-dominated areas. It further hinders effective monitoring of the state of health of mopane and the spatial distribution of browsers in the mopane woodland. The objective for this paper is to review and integrate the available and accessible information extensively in order to fully understand mopane leaf production and phenology as well as its implication for browsers in southern Africa’s savanna. The availability of information is crucial in informing game ranchers and conservationists on how mopane woodland can best be managed in order to supply browsers with sustainable, adequate and quality browse. It is also critical for guiding future research and the management of mopane and the herbivores it supports through forage in the mopane woodland.

Figure 1: The distribution of mopane woodlands in southern Africa. This map is an extract from Mucina and Rutherford [33] data on the vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland (VegMap), and White [4] data on vegetation of Africa.
Methodology

Secondary method of data and information gathering was followed through extensive literature review from journal articles, books, academic thesis and dissertations, conference and workshop proceedings as well as from government, technical and scientific reports. The focus of the review was on mopane leaf production and phenology as well as its implications to browsers in the mopane woodland. The review primarily covers the distribution range for mopane woodland within the southern Africa’s savanna. Data and information from literature was synthesised in order to determine the amount of mopane leaves and its phenology during different seasons. ArcView GIS 3.2 was used to produce the distribution map of mopane woodlands in southern Africa’s savanna (Figure 1). The map was produced using Mucina and Rutherford [33] data on the vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland (VegMap), and White data on vegetation of Africa. In addition, based on the synthesis of review findings, a theoretic analysis of mopane leaf production and phenology was produced (Figure 2). Data and information gathered from the literature was further synthesised and integrated in order to determine the implication of mopane leaf production and phenology to browsers in the mopane woodland.

Mopane leaf production

It is important to assess mopane leaf production [29], because it has a significant effect on leaf availability to browsers [34]. However, the optimum productivity of mopane leaves is mostly affected by factors such as defoliation by *Imbrasia belina* [35], fires [16,36], browsing pressure [37,38], and climatic variability [30,36] including frost [14].

The effect of browsing on mopane leaf production was simulated through coppicing and pruning in the Limpopo Province, South Africa [30]. In addition, mopane trees were defoliated at 50%, 75%, 90% and 100% in the Kruger National Park, South Africa to simulate the effect of browsing on mopane leaf production [32]. The results showed that the number of leaves between different defoliation levels were not different [32]. The mean number of leaves per sapling remained highest for the control plants almost continuously. During early summer, the plants from the 100% defoliation treatment produced a larger number of leaves than those subjected to the 50% treatment. At the end of the experiment, the 100% defoliated plants had the smallest number of leaves [32], which suggests that heavy browsing could have a negative effect on mopane leaf production.

Defoliation of mopane leaves by mopane worms (*Imbrasia belina*) significantly reduced the density of mopane leaves as opposed to non-defoliated trees [28]. In addition, the number of mopane leaves on trees pruned by elephants (*Loxodonta africana*) was significantly lower than the number of leaves on non-pruned trees [28,31]. The causes of the reduction in the number and density of leaves in recovered defoliated and pruned mopane trees are uncertain, but it could be due to resources being stored for reproduction instead of regrowth of new leaves. However, pruning by elephants resulted in the production of longer shoots with larger leaves, while defoliation by mopane worms resulted in regrowth with shorter shoots and smaller leaves [28,31].

Other studies put forth that rainfall [30] and stem basal area [39] also have an influence on leaf production. This suggests that above average rainfall and trees with large basal stem areas could increase leaf production. However, mopane leaf production does not follow any consistent pattern during the growing season [30], but...
is greatly triggered by rainfall [34,40]. The production of mopane leaves starts after the first rain [18], normally during late October to early November [19,41]. The production of new leaves did not cease during the wet season, but continued to a lesser degree during the late wet season into the early dry season [23]. Mopane trees and shrubs reach optimum leaf production between February and March (Figure 2). The area of mature mopane leaflets ranges from 1507 mm² to 2778 mm² on average [30]. Growth season cumulative leaf production reaches its maximum in June and starts to decline in August (Figure 2), possibly because of a decline in soil moisture, following the decline in rainfall.

Plant thinning seems to increase mopane leaf biomass [34], but the quantity varies annually following rainfall patterns [40]. Estimates show that mopane leaf biomass in the northern Limpopo, South Africa is 1.1 tonnes/ha [42], which is lower than the 1.6 tonnes/ha estimated in south eastern Zimbabwe [43]. However, the total leaf dry matter of mopane in the Limpopo mopane woodland was 1.4 tonnes/ha [41], which is within the 0.6 tonnes/ha to 1.7 tonnes/ha estimated in the same locality [44]. An important point is that mopane contributes substantially to the total leaf dry matter in all communities in the Limpopo mopane woodland [41]. Therefore, it is the high leaf production of mopane [41] and its nutritional content [20] that makes it an essential species in providing forage for browsers, especially during the dry season in mopane-dominated areas.

Mopane leaf phenology

Phenology has been defined as the timing of biological events and their relationship to seasonal climatic changes [45]. Leaf phenology is thus defined in this review paper as the timing of leaf flush, growth and fall (Figure 2). Leaf flush refers to the production of new leaves, which grow until they shed or fall. Leaf flush and fall are attributed to changes in environmental conditions and also the effect of fire and browsers on the plant. Leaf phenology is thus an essential indicator of plant health and environmental stresses such as soil moisture, soil nutrients and solar radiation [46]. Phenology assists in monitoring and predicting vegetation responses to interannual climatic variability [4]. Changes in leaf phenology also indicate modifications in the environment. For instance, leaf flush indicates the availability of moisture in the soil, while leaf fall indicates a deficiency of soil moisture. Phenology is therefore recognised as one of the simplest and most effective indicators to study the impact of climate change [47,48], fires and herbivores on plants [49,50].

There are five main phenological stages in southern Africa’s savannas, which are the pre-rain (September-October), early rainy (November-December), mid-rainy (January-February), late rainy (March-April) and dry seasons (May-August) [19]. The dry (May-September) and wet (October-April) seasons are critical periods for determining leaf phenology and production. Mopane leaf flush occurs after the first summer rain [51], usually during October and November [7]. However, a delay in the first rain does not totally stop mopane from flushing its leaves. In countries such as Namibia and Botswana mopane leaf flush may be independent of rain [21]. It is suggested that the ability of mopane to flush its leaves before the first summer rain is mainly due to its ability to store energy, nutrients and water, which are used to flush new leaves even before the first rain.

Newly emerged mopane leaves are soft [52], appear at the beginning of November [41] and become leathery when they mature [52]. The mature green mopane leaves remain on the tree until they turn yellowish or red-dish-brown with the onset of the dry season, particularly around May. However, most of the dry or dead leaves remain on the tree until they are blown off by wind [19], especially in August [41] to early September. Mopane leaves are shed in an irregular fashion at the onset of the dry season [51] and the trees are generally leafless from August to early October [41], though some of the leaves may remain on the tree until the beginning of summer. The leaves may be shed early in the dry season when rainfall is below average, but during above average rainfall, the leaves may remain on the plant until late into the dry season [53]. It thus appears that mopane leaf’s phenological characteristics enable it to have a prolonged growing season [46]. The growing season may last for 10 months (Figure 2), giving mopane longer leaf carriage than most other species in the mopane woodland.

The phenology of species in mopane woodland seems to be related to rainfall and temperature, but rainfall seems to be the main factor influencing variation in leaf phenology [54]. Studies in the savannas also confirm that soil moisture, as triggered by rainfall, is the major influence on the phenology of savanna species [55,56]. In addition to environmental influences on mopane leaf phenology, browsers such as mopane worms, greater kudu and elephants also have an influence on mopane leaf phenology. For instance, mopane worms browse 90% of mopane leaves [57,58], which disturbs leaf growth and phenology [35]. The leaves later regrow after defoliation [19], but leaf development and phenological stages are disturbed. The regrowth of leaves after trees have been defoliated could explain why mopane has a prolonged growing season, as illustrated in Figure 2.

Defoliation of mopane leaves by mopane worms seems to be a more significant disturbance of leaf phenology than browsing by mammalian herbivores. This is due to the high numbers of mopane worms on a tree,
against the one or two browsing mammals, which feed on a few leaves and then move to the next tree. In addition, browsing of mopane leaves by mammalian herbivores during the growing season is limited by high production of secondary metabolites such as tannins and phenols [59]. This suggests that the impact on mopane phenological stages by browsing mammals could be minimal, but is significant for defoliation caused by mopane worms.

Implication of leaf production and phenology for browsers

Mopane leaf production and phenology have great implications for browsers because they determine the availability and quality of forage [60]. Browsers such as the greater kudu are affected by a shortage in forage supply [61], as well as the quality of the forage. The distribution and habitat selection of many browsers is therefore determined, among others, by forage availability [60] and quality in a particular season.

Seasonal differences in the relative contribution of woody plants to browsers’ diet are also related to pheno- logical changes during certain seasons and between sea- sons. However, seasonal variations in diet composition are not entirely attributable to variations in forage availability, but also to the accessibility of preferred species for browse [23]. Shortage of forage supply and quality can result in mortality of browsers such as the greater kudu, especially in fenced protected areas [34]. However, during the dry season (May to September), the average crude protein values in mopane leaves declined from 11.4% in May to 9.4% in September. The average concentra- tion of phosphorus and calcium in mopane leaves de- clined from 0.20% and 2.01% in May to 0.12% and 1.38% in September, respectively [62]. Though nutritional con- tent in mopane leaves is low during the dry season, high litter fall of mopane leaves during that season provides browsers with an alternative source of forage to survive [40] when forage is scarce, and most savanna species are leafless [22].

Leaf production therefore influences the time that browsers can stay in a particular habitat, while phenol- ogy influences the diet selection of browsers, as deter- mined by forage quality, during different phenological stages. The selection of diet by browsers such as the greater kudu may vary between seasons, with species being favoured in one season but rejected in another [23]. For instance, mopane leaves are highly browsed during the dry season [27], but not preferred during the wet sea- son, though the quality is higher [20], mainly because of a high concentration of secondary metabolites such as tannins and phenols [59].

During winter, fallen dry mopane leaves constitute a significant amount of leaves on the ground in the mopane woodland [41]. They thus add to a sustainable supply of forage to browsers in mopane-dominated areas and con- tribute immensely to the diet of browsers [27] such as the greater kudu [20,23], elephants [21,22,38] and other ungulates. High mopane leaf biomass on the ground during the dry season can therefore influence many browsers to survive in the mopane woodland during the dry season, as opposed to the wet season, because then there will be enough palatable forage in other areas. In addition, the ability of mopane to carry its leaves longer into the dry season [41] (Figure 2), when most savanna species are leafless [22], makes mopane the most influential fodder resource for many browsers in mopane woodland.

Conclusion

Leaf production and phenology are important param- eters to measure the temporal and spatial changes in the leaves, which is critical in determining the availability and quality of forage for browsers in a particular season. It is also important in determining leaf physiology and plant stress. The availability and quality of leaves seems to be triggered by rainfall, while browsers disturb the growth and quality of leaves. Leaf production and phenology therefore play a significant role in determining the sea- son in which mopane will be highly preferred by brows- ers. Mopane leaves are preferred by mammalian browsers during the dry season, when the leaves are dry, available on the ground, and secondary metabolites are relatively low. In addition, the high leaf production and the ability of mopane to carry its leaves longer into the dry season than most savanna species make it one of the important species in providing essential browse in the mopane woodland, and it is thus highly browsed during that period.
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