Reduced Heart Rate Variability Is Associated With Increased Arterial Stiffness in Youth With Type 1 Diabetes

The SEARCH Cardiovascular Disease Study

OBJECTIVE—Reduced heart rate variability (HRV) and increased arterial stiffness (AS) are both present in youth with type 1 diabetes. However, it is unclear whether they are associated and whether their association is independent of cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factors.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS—The SEARCH Cardiovascular Disease Study explored the cross-sectional relationships between HRV and several measures of AS in youth with (n = 344) and without (n = 171) type 1 diabetes. The SphygmoCor device (AtCor Medical, Sydney, Australia) was used to measure HRV using SD of normal R-R interval (SDNN), as well as AS, using pulse wave velocity in the carotid to femoral segment (PWV-trunk) and augmentation index adjusted to a heart rate of 75 bpm (AIx75). Brachial distensibility (BrachD), another index of AS, was measured with a DynaPulse instrument (Pulse Metric, San Diego, CA). Multiple linear regression analyses explored the associations between HRV and each of the three AS measures, after adjusting for demographic characteristics and traditional CVD risk factors (blood pressure, lipids, obesity, microalbuminuria, and smoking) separately, for youth with and without type 1 diabetes.

RESULTS—Among youth with type 1 diabetes, lower SDNN was associated with peripheral AS (lower BrachD, P = 0.01; r² = 0.30) and central AS (higher PWV-trunk, P < 0.0001; r² = 0.37, and higher AIx75, P = 0.007; r² = 0.08). These associations were attenuated with adjustment for CVD risk factors, but remained statistically significant for BrachD and PWV-trunk. While a similar association between HRV and BrachD was present in control youth, lower HRV was not associated with increased central AS or with AIx75.

CONCLUSIONS—Longitudinal studies are needed to understand the pathways responsible for these associations.

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of mortality in persons with type 1 diabetes, and it occurs earlier in life than in the general population (1). The earlier CVD morbidity and mortality among people with type 1 diabetes are attributed primarily to an accelerated and more diffuse atherosclerotic process (2). Small clinical studies using B-mode imaging of carotid arteries have suggested that patients with type 1 diabetes have significant subclinical atherosclerosis as early as age 10–19 years, which is strongly associated with diabetes duration (3).

Arterial stiffness (AS), a marker of subclinical vascular disease that can be measured noninvasively, is an independent predictor of CVD mortality in adults with type 2 diabetes (4). However, little is known about the subclinical stages of vascular disease in individuals with type 1 diabetes. Moreover, increased AS has been documented among youth with type 1 diabetes as early as the second decade of life, as compared with healthy control subjects (5, 6). Recent findings, including data from the SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth study (5), suggest that AS differs by measurement site, although there is still disagreement as to whether increased stiffness is more common in peripheral versus central vascular beds in youth with type 1 diabetes.

Cardiac autonomic neuropathy (CAN) is another complication of type 1 diabetes associated with increased morbidity and mortality (7, 8). Recent studies, including data from the SEARCH Cardiovascular Disease Study (SEARCH CVD), suggest that youth with type 1 diabetes have signs of early autonomic dysfunction, specifically reduced overall heart rate variability (HRV) with parasympathetic loss and sympathetic override (9, 10). The autonomic nervous system is responsible for regulating heart rate and vascular tone and, thus, may contribute to increased AS in individuals with type 1 diabetes. A recent report from the Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications (EDC) study among 144 adults with type 1 diabetes suggested an inverse association between markers of CAN and follow-up measures of AS, independent of traditional CVD risk factors (11). However, these relationships have not been examined among contemporary adolescents and young adults with type 1 diabetes. Moreover, previous studies exploring this association (12–14) have not
Included a healthy comparison group. We aimed to explore the cross-sectional associations between cardiac autonomic function and markers of AS among youth with and without type 1 diabetes participating in the SEARCH CVD study. We hypothesized that altered cardiac autonomic function, specifically reduced overall HRV, will be associated with increased stiffness in both peripheral and central arterial beds, independent of traditional CVD risk factors. We further hypothesized that these associations will be observed in youth with type 1 diabetes but not in nondiabetic control subjects, indicating a common underlying mechanism predisposing individuals with type 1 diabetes to an increased risk of CVD outcomes.

**RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS**

Participants

SEARCH CVD is an ancillary study to the SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth Study, conducted in Colorado and Ohio. SEARCH is a multicenter study that conducts population-based ascertainment of nongestational cases of physician-diagnosed diabetes in youth age <20 years at diagnosis (18). A total of 402 SEARCH participants with type 1 diabetes, residents of Colorado and Ohio, who had a duration of diabetes of at least 5 years, were enrolled in the SEARCH CVD study between 2009 and 2011. During the same time period, a total of 204 frequency-matched (by age, sex, and race/ethnicity) youth without type 1 diabetes (control subjects) were enrolled in the SEARCH CVD study as a healthy comparison group.

Anthropometric and metabolic measurements

Participants were invited for an outpatient research visit after an 8-h overnight fast, and medications, including short-acting insulin, were withheld the morning of the visit until after the blood draw was complete. All participants were asked to refrain from any strenuous exercise, smoking, or consumption of any caffeinated drinks 12 h prior to the visit. Race/ethnicity was self-reported, and the participants were categorized into non-Hispanic white (NHW) and other racial/ethnic group (including Hispanic, African American, and Asian/Pacific Islander racial/ethnic groups). Participants completed standardized questionnaires including medical history, medication inventory, smoking status, physical activity, daily insulin dose, and family history of diabetes and CVD.

Current cigarette smoking was defined as having smoked cigarettes on one or more of the 30 days preceding the survey. Individuals who had tried smoking or smoked regularly (at least one cigarette every day for 30 days) but were not current smokers were considered past smokers. Youth who had never smoked a whole cigarette were considered non-smokers. Participants were asked the average number of days in a typical week that they participated in physical activity for at least 20 min that made them sweat or breathe hard and were then categorized as physically inactive (0–2 days/week) or physically active (3–7 days/week) (17). Height was measured in centimeters using a stadiometer and weight in kilograms using a standardized scale. BMI was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters, and age- and sex-specific BMI z-scores were derived based on the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention national standards (18). Waist circumference was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm with the tape measure around the waistline in the resting supine position. Brachial artery diameter from the lowest portion of the sternal notch to the carotid pressure signals obtained from a standard cuff sphygmomanometer to assess distensibility (% Δ/mm Hg). BrachD was calculated using an empirical model to estimate baseline brachial artery diameter from sex, height, weight, and mean arterial pressure (22). Lower BrachD indicates increased peripheral AS.

Pulse wave velocity (PWV) in the carotid to femoral segment (PWV-trunk) was measured with the SphygmoCor device (AtCor Medical). PWV calculates the speed for the pressure wave generated by cardiac ejection to reach the periphery (m/s) (23). Three electrocardiogram leads were applied to the torso, and the average of three distances from the lowest portion of the sternal notch to the carotid and femoral artery sites was obtained.

**Assessment of vascular function**

Three AS measures were obtained after 5 min of rest in the supine position. Brachial distensibility (BrachD) was measured using a DynaPulse Pathway instrument (Pulse metric, San Diego, CA), as previously described (22). This device derives brachial artery pressure curves using pulse dynamic analysis of arterial pressure signals obtained from a standard cuff sphygmomanometer to assess distensibility (% Δ/mm Hg). BrachD was calculated using an empirical model to estimate baseline brachial artery diameter from sex, height, weight, and mean arterial pressure (22). Lower BrachD indicates increased peripheral AS.

Pulse wave velocity (PWV) in the carotid to femoral segment (PWV-trunk) was measured with the SphygmoCor device (AtCor Medical). PWV calculates the speed for the pressure wave generated by cardiac ejection to reach the periphery (m/s) (23). Three electrocardiogram leads were applied to the torso, and the average of three distances from the lowest portion of the sternal notch to the carotid and femoral artery sites was obtained.
A pressure waveform was obtained for the proximal site (carotid), and a second was recorded from the femoral artery using an applanation tonometer. Waveforms were gated by the R wave on the simultaneously recorded electrocardiogram. PWV-trunk is the difference in the carotid-to-femoral path length divided by the difference in R wave-to-waveform foot times, using an average of at least 10 beats to cover a complete respiratory cycle (23). The average of three recordings of PWV-trunk was used in the analyses. Higher PWV-trunk indicates increased central AS.

Augmentation index (Alx) provides information concerning both wave reflections and AS (24). The applanation tonometer was placed over the right radial artery, and three radial pulse waves were obtained, as described previously (5). The pressure waves were calibrated using mean arterial pressure and DBP obtained in the same arm. The device then analyzed the pulse wave using a generalized transfer function (24). Wave forms collected over a 10-s period were averaged to produce peripheral and corresponding central (ascending aortic) pressure waveforms. Ascending aortic pressure and Alx were derived from the central pressure waveform. The Alx was calculated as the difference between the main outgoing wave and the reflected wave of the central arterial waveform, expressed as a percentage of the central pulse pressure. Because Alx is affected by heart rate, values were adjusted to a standard heart rate of 75 bpm (Alx75) (25). An average of three measures was used in the analyses. A higher Alx75 was used as surrogate for increased AS.

All cardiac autonomic and vascular function tests were conducted fasting to prevent the possible influence of a surge in acute ambient glucose levels after a meal (26).

Statistical analyses
Statistical analyses were performed using SAS for Windows (version 9.2; SAS Institute, Cary, NC). SDNN, TG, and AER were log-transformed to better meet model assumptions (e.g., homogeneity of variance). Comparisons of demographic and clinical characteristics between youth with and without type 1 diabetes were examined using $\chi^2$ tests for categorical variables and t tests for normally distributed continuous variables. The associations between SDNN (main predictor variable) and AS outcomes were initially explored in a combined model, including both youth with and without type 1 diabetes, to test for effect modification by presence of type 1 diabetes by including an interaction term between SDNN and diabetes status. Since there was no suggestion of effect modification by presence of type 1 diabetes, subse- quent analyses were stratified according to diabetes status. Multiple linear regression models were built separately for youth with and without type 1 diabetes to assess the relationships between SDNN (independent variable) and each of the three AS measures (dependent variables): peripheral (BrachD), central (PWV-trunk), and Alx75. Separate models for each AS outcome were constructed. A base model (Model 1) examined the relationship between SDNN and each AS measure after adjustment for demographic factors—age, sex, and race/ethnicity—and was the model used for our primary test of association between SDNN and each of the AS measures. Then, sequentially adjusted models explored the effect of adjustment for traditional CVD risk factors: DBP (Model 2), lipid (LDL-c, HDL-c, TG: Model 3), BMI (Model 4), microalbuminuria (Model 5), smoking status (Model 6), the combined model with all CVD risk factors (Model 7), and a final model with all covariates and HbA1c (Model 8). Finally, Model 9 explored potential mediation of the association between HRV and AS by heart rate. Similar analyses were conducted to assess the associations between RMSSD and each measure of AS.

RESULTS—A total of 344 youth with type 1 diabetes and 171 healthy control subjects with complete measures of HRV and AS were included in these analyses. The clinical characteristics of study participants are presented in Table 1. Youth with and without type 1 diabetes had a similar age and sex distribution, though youth with diabetes were more likely to be NHW than control subjects (87 vs. 77%; $P = 0.004$). BMI, BMI z-score, and BP were similar among youth with and without type 1 diabetes. However, youth with type 1 diabetes had a worse metabolic profile with higher HbA1c ($P < 0.0001$) and LDL-c ($P = 0.01$) levels and a higher prevalence of microalbuminuria (10 vs. 2%; $P = 0.007$) as compared

| Variable | Type 1 diabetes | Control subjects | P value |
|----------|-----------------|------------------|---------|
| N        | 344             | 171              |         |
| Race, NHW, n (%) | 300 (87) | 132 (77) | 0.004 |
| Sex, male, n (%) | 158 (46) | 89 (52) | 0.2 |
| Age (years) | 18.6 (3.3) | 19.3(3.3) | 0.02 |
| Diabetes duration (years) | 10.1 (3.6) | NA | |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 24.3 (4.6) | 24.8 (6.2) | 0.3 |
| Alx, z-score | 0.6 (0.9) | 0.5 (1.0) | 0.6 |
| Smoking status, n (%) | 0.7 |
| Current smokers | 72 (21) | 32 (19) | |
| Former smokers | 79 (23) | 45 (26) | |
| Nonsmokers | 193 (56) | 94 (55) | |
| Physical activity status, n (%) | 0.4 |
| Inactive (0–2 days/week) | 141 (41) | 63 (37) | |
| Active (3–7 days/week) | 203 (59) | 108 (63) | |
| SBP (mmHg) | 110.8 (9.9) | 110.7 (10.7) | 0.9 |
| DBP (mmHg) | 70.2 (8.7) | 69.1 (8.8) | 0.2 |
| HR (bpm) | 67.3 (11.3) | 62.0 (9.2) | <0.0001 |
| HbA1c, n (%) | 8.9 (1.8) | 4.9 (0.3) | <0.0001 |
| Percent microalbuminuria (≥20 µg/min/1.73m²) | 29 (10.0) | 3 (2.0) | 0.001 |
| HDL-c (mg/dL) | 53.7 (13.5) | 49.9 (13.9) | 0.003 |
| TG (mg/dL) | 94.8 (56.3) | 101.2 (55.9) | 0.2* |
| LDL-c (mg/dL) | 98.7 (28.7) | 92.2 (23.7) | 0.01* |
| SDNN (msec) | 69.7 (34.9) | 79.4 (31.9) | 0.002* |
| RMSSD (msec) | 63.7 (41.5) | 79.7 (41.5) | 0.0003* |

Data are mean and SD or percent unless otherwise indicated. HR, heart rate; NA, not applicable; SBP, systolic blood pressure. *P value based on log-transformed variables. ‡Missing values for microalbuminuria (n = 61).
with healthy control subjects. The mean duration of diabetes was 10.1 ± 3.6 years. The heart rate was significantly higher among youth with type 1 diabetes as compared with their healthy counterparts (P < 0.0001). The prevalence of smoking and physical activity was similar in the two groups. Finally, SDNN and RMSSD were significantly lower among youth with type 1 diabetes (69.7 vs. 79.4 ms, P = 0.002, and 63.7 vs. 79.7 ms, P = 0.0003, respectively) as compared with their healthy counterparts.

Figure 1 displays graphically the association between SDNN and each of the vascular stiffness measures, BrachD (Fig. 1A), PWV-trunk (Fig. 1B), and AIx75 (Fig. 1C), in youth with and without type 1 diabetes. Among youth with type 1 diabetes, reduced HRV (marked by lower SDNN) was significantly associated with all vascular stiffness outcomes: increased peripheral stiffness (lower BrachD, P = 0.01; Fig. 1A), increased central stiffness (higher PWV-trunk, P < 0.0001; Fig. 1B), and higher AIx75 (P = 0.007; Fig. 1C). In contrast, among control youth, the only significant association was between reduced HRV and peripheral stiffness (BrachD, P = 0.004; Fig. 1A). A formal test for effect modification by diabetes status of the association between SDNN and AS measures was marginally significant for central AS (PWV-trunk, P = 0.06; Fig. 1B).

Table 2 shows results of multiple linear regression analyses exploring the association between SDNN and the three AS outcomes, stratified by diabetes status, in sequentially adjusted models.

Among youth with type 1 diabetes, lower SDNN was significantly associated with lower BrachD (P = 0.01), higher PWV-trunk (P < 0.0001), and higher AIx75 (P = 0.007) independent of demographic variables (Model 1). These associations were unchanged on additional adjustment for DBP (Model 2), lipid levels (Model 3), obesity-related parameter (BMI, Model 4), microalbuminuria (AER, Model 5), and smoking (Model 6). In the fully adjusted model (Model 7), with all the covariates included, the relationships between SDNN and PWV-trunk/BrachD among youth with type 1 diabetes were virtually unchanged, but the association with AIx75 was reduced (P = 0.1). Above and beyond the variability included in the base model, blood pressure and obesity-related variables explained most of the variability in BrachD (change in adjusted R² of 13 and 11%,
respectively, from base model) and PWV (change in adjusted $R^2$ of 7 and 4%, respectively, from base model). Addition of traditional CVD risk factors did not improve prediction of AIx75. Addition of HbA1c (Model 8) resulted in minimal improvement from the model with all CVD risk factors. Finally, on additional adjustment for resting heart rate in Model 9, the associations between SDNN and markers of AS became nonsignificant, with resting heart rate remaining significantly associated with AS in all models (data not shown).

Among healthy control subjects, lower SDNN was significantly associated with BrachD ($P = 0.004$) and remained unchanged and statistically significant in the sequentially adjusted and final models ($P = 0.01$). Obesity-related variables explained most of the variability in BrachD in youth without diabetes, with an increase of 26% in adjusted $R^2$ from the base model.

Supplementary Table 1 shows results of multiple linear regression analyses exploring the association between RMSSD and the three AS outcomes, stratified by diabetes status, in sequentially adjusted models. Among youth with type 1 diabetes, a significant inverse association was found between RMSSD and all measures of AS (PWV-trunk, BrachD, and AIx75). No such associations were found in the healthy control group.

CONCLUSIONS—We found that cardiac autonomic dysfunction, as measured by lower HRV, is associated with increased vascular stiffness in both central and peripheral vascular beds, among youth with type 1 diabetes. These associations are independent of traditional CVD risk factors, including blood pressure, lipid levels, obesity-related parameters, microalbuminuria, and smoking. No associations between HRV and measures of AS were found in the nondiabetic control group, except for an association between SDNN (though not RMSSD) and peripheral stiffness (as measured by BrachD).

Our data extend the findings from previous studies that have demonstrated an association between cardiac autonomic function and various measures of AS in adults with type 1 diabetes (12–14). Ahlgren et al. (12) found that heart rate variation during deep breathing, the expiration/inspiration ratio, correlated with increased aortic stiffness in 40 women ($r = -0.49; P = 0.002$) but not in 38 men ($r = -0.14; P = 0.4$) with type 1 diabetes, independent of duration of diabetes. The Stockholm Diabetes Intervention Study found, in a group of 59 adults with type 1 diabetes, that HRV correlated with arterial wall stiffness of the right common carotid artery (13). Of note, all these studies were cross-sectional and did not examine the relationship independent of the traditional CVD risk factors. However, in the Pittsburgh EDC Study, lower baseline expiration/inspiration ratio correlated strongly with both greater AS (measured by both AIx and augmentation pressure) and with reduced estimated myocardial perfusion (measured by subendocardial viability ratio) in 144 adults with type 1 diabetes some 18 years later (11). Moreover, these associations were independent of other CVD risk factors. Although EDC did not measure AIx and augmentation pressure at baseline, the authors suggested, based on their findings, that cardiovascular autonomic neuropathy may play an important pathophysiological role in the development of arterial stiffening in adults with type 1 diabetes. Similarly, an association between lower HRV and progression of coronary artery calcification in both adults with type 1 diabetes and nondiabetic control subjects was recently reported by the Coronary Artery Calcification in Type 1 Diabetes study (27).

Our finding of a strong correlation between reduced HRV and increased central AS in youth with type 1 diabetes, but not among healthy control subjects, provides indirect support to the hypothesis of a pathophysiological role for CAN in the development of AS. However, given the cross-sectional design of our study, we cannot directly test this hypothesis. Data from a limited number of animal (28) and human studies (29,30) suggests that the amplified sympathetic tone present in the early stage of CAN, also identified previously in our youth with type 1 diabetes (9), could potentially increase the vascular tone and thus contribute to increased vascular stiffness, thus providing biologic plausibility for the above hypothesis. Indeed, on additional adjustment for resting heart rate (elevated in youth with type 1 diabetes versus control subjects), the associations between SDNN and markers of AS became nonsignificant, with resting heart rate remaining significantly associated with AS in all models. This suggests that increased resting heart rate may be on the causal pathway linking the reduced HRV with altered sympathovagal balance (parasympathetic loss with sympathetic override) in youth with type 1 diabetes to increased AS. This hypothesis needs to be further tested in longitudinal studies.

We found an association between lower HRV and increased central stiffness in youth with type 1 diabetes but not in control subjects, and this association was independent of traditional CVD risk factors (lipids, blood pressure, microalbuminuria, obesity, and smoking). These results may indicate, rather than a causal relationship, a common pathway, possibly hyperglycemia, leading in parallel to both cardiac autonomic dysfunction and increased AS in individuals with type 1 diabetes. There is ample biologic plausibility for the role of hyperglycemia as a common mediator of both abnormalities (31,32). Hyperglycemia has been shown to promote accumulation of advanced glycation end products, causing cross-linking and polymerization of collagen molecules within the vessel wall (33), thus resulting in loss of elasticity with subsequent reduction in arterial and myocardial compliance. Moreover, hyperglycemia was also shown to induce abnormal signaling of the autonomic neurons via accumulation of advanced glycation end products and microangiopathy, causing ischemic atrophy of the autonomic nerve fibers innervating cardiac and vascular tissue (34).

Other mechanisms, in addition to hyperglycemia, are likely to be involved. Similar to EDC, we also found an association between lower HRV and increased AIx75. However, in our study, the association was attenuated on adjustment for traditional CVD risk factors. This is not unexpected since, although cardiac autonomic dysfunction and arterial stiffening are both accelerated in the hyperglycemic environment, they likely share additional CVD risk factors. For example, elevated blood pressure levels and smoking were shown to be associated with both AS and cardiac autonomic dysfunction in subjects with type 1 diabetes (11,35–37).

Finally, we found a significant association between HRV and peripheral AS, independent of demographic and CVD risk factors, in both youth with and without type 1 diabetes. Further research is needed to understand the potential pathways responsible for this association. Similar findings were observed by Nakao et al. (38) among 382 young healthy Japanese men, with a significant negative association between brachial-ankle PWV and HRV parameters for parasympathetic nervous activity.
### Reduced HRV and arterial stiffness

#### Table 2—Associations between SDNN and measures of AS (BrachD, PWV-trunk, and AIx75) in sequentially adjusted linear regression models

| Model | Type 1 diabetes (n = 344) | Control subjects (n = 171) |
|-------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
|       | BrachD | PWV-trunk | AIx75 | BrachD | PWV-trunk | AIx75 |
| Model 1 |          |          |       |          |          |       |
| β (SE) | 0.28 (0.1) | -0.33 (0.07) | -2.8 (1.0) | 0.6 (0.2) | -0.1 (0.1) | -1.3 (1.8) |
| P value | 0.01 | < 0.0001 | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Adjusted R² | 0.08 | 0.29 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.14 |
| Model 2 |          |          |       |          |          |       |
| β (SE) | 0.29 (0.1) | -0.31 (0.07) | -1.9 (1.0) | 0.6 (0.2) | -0.06 (0.1) | -0.6 (1.8) |
| P value | 0.01 | < 0.0001 | 0.05 | 0.006 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| Adjusted R² | 0.09 | 0.32 | 0.22 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.18 |
| Model 3 |          |          |       |          |          |       |
| β (SE) | 0.33 (0.1) | -0.23 (0.08) | -1.9 (1.1) | 0.6 (0.2) | -0.1 (0.1) | -1.2 (1.8) |
| P value | 0.01 | 0.0008 | 0.08 | 0.003 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Adjusted R² | 0.10 | 0.30 | 0.10 | 0.25 | 0.32 | 0.11 |
| Model 4 |          |          |       |          |          |       |
| β (SE) | 0.27 (0.1) | -0.33 (0.07) | -2.8 (1.0) | 0.4 (0.1) | -0.02 (0.1) | -1.0 (1.8) |
| P value | 0.01 | 0.0001 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| Adjusted R² | 0.19 | 0.33 | 0.11 | 0.44 | 0.32 | 0.11 |
| Model 5 |          |          |       |          |          |       |
| β (SE) | 0.22 (0.1) | -0.29 (0.08) | -2.3 (1.4) | 0.6 (0.2) | -0.06 (0.1) | -1.2 (1.8) |
| P value | 0.03 | 0.003 | 0.04 | 0.0006 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Adjusted R² | 0.09 | 0.29 | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.15 |
| Model 6 |          |          |       |          |          |       |
| β (SE) | 0.28 (0.1) | -0.33 (0.07) | -2.8 (1.0) | 0.6 (0.2) | -0.09 (0.1) | -1.5 (1.8) |
| P value | 0.01 | < 0.0001 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Adjusted R² | 0.08 | 0.28 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.11 |
| Model 7 |          |          |       |          |          |       |
| β (SE) | 0.29 (0.1) | -0.24 (0.08) | -1.7 (1.2) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.06 (0.1) | -1.0 (1.8) |
| P value | 0.01 | 0.002 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Adjusted R² | 0.30 | 0.37 | 0.08 | 0.55 | 0.38 | 0.19 |
| Model 8 |          |          |       |          |          |       |
| β (SE) | 0.31 (0.1) | -0.21 (0.08) | -1.6 (1.2) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.06 (0.1) | -0.3 (1.8) |
| P value | 0.01 | 0.007 | 0.1 | 0.02 | 0.5 | 0.8 |
| Adjusted R² | 0.30 | 0.38 | 0.08 | 0.55 | 0.38 | 0.27 |
| Model 9 |          |          |       |          |          |       |
| β (SE) | -0.18 (0.16) | -0.12 (0.10) | 2.2 (1.5) | 0.45 (0.2) | 0.16 (0.16) | 3.1 (2.3) |
| P value | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Adjusted R² | 0.29 | 0.35 | 0.13 | 0.47 | 0.35 | 0.25 |

Significant associations are in boldface. Model 1, adjusted for age, race, and sex; Model 2, Model 1 + DBP; Model 3, Model 1 + LDL-c, HDL-c, and TG; Model 4, Model 1 + BMI; Model 5, Model 1 + AER; Model 6, Model 1 + smoking; Model 7, age, race, sex, DBP, LDL-c, HDL-c, TG, BMI, AER, and smoking; Model 8, Model 7 + A1c; and Model 9, Model 7 + resting HR.

Our study has several limitations. First, the cross-sectional nature of the study limits our ability to evaluate causality and order of events. We therefore intend to prospectively follow this cohort to better understand the progression of cardiac autonomic dysfunction and vascular stiffening over time. Second, our sample of healthy control subjects was approximately half of that of youth with type 1 diabetes. However, the nonsignificant associations between HRV and AS measures (PWV-trunk and AIx75) were not equivocal among our control sample and likely not the result of a type II error (lack of power). Finally, the HRV measure used in our study was derived from a 10-min recording of the baseline electrocardiogram. While this is a relatively short length of recording, it is considered standard practice for clinical and research purposes, and it is advocated by the Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and the North American Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology (21), as opposed to the HRV measures derived from the 24-h Holter recordings. Our study also has several unique strengths: the setting of this study in a young adult contemporary population, the large and diverse sample of patients with type 1 diabetes, inclusion of a healthy control sample, and the simple noninvasive, bedside assessment of multiple measures of AS, including both central and peripheral arteries.

In summary, we found a strong association between cardiac autonomic dysfunction and both central and peripheral AS in youth with type 1 diabetes, independent of traditional CVD risk factors. While lower HRV was also associated with increased peripheral stiffness in nondiabetic control youth, the association with central stiffness may be unique to individuals with type 1 diabetes. Regardless of the
mechanisms responsible for this association, which need to be further explored in longitudinal studies, this association may contribute to the increased and premature cardiovascular disease burden in people with type 1 diabetes.
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