Germline truncating mutations in both MSH2 and BRCA2 in a single kindred
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There has been interest in the literature in the possible existence of a gene that predisposes to both breast cancer (BC) and colorectal cancer (CRC). We describe the detailed characterisation of one kindred, MON1080, with 10 cases of BC or CRC invasive cancer among 26 first-, second- or third-degree relatives. Linkage analysis suggested that a mutation was present in BRCA2. DNA sequencing from III: 22 (diagnosed with lobular BC) identified a BRCA2 exon 3 5′42G>T (L105X) mutation. Her sister (III: 25) had BC and endometrial cancer and carries the same mutation. Following immunohistochemical and microsatellite instability studies, mutation analysis by protein truncation test, cDNA sequencing and quantitative real-time PCR revealed a deletion of MSH2 exon 8 in III: 25, confirming her as a double heterozygote for truncating mutations in both BRCA2 and MSH2. The exon 8 deletion was identified as a 14.9 kb deletion occurring between two Alu sequences. The breakpoint lies within a sequence of 45 bp that is identical in both Alu sequences. In this large BC/CRC kindred, MON1080, disease-causing truncating mutations are present in both MSH2 and BRCA2. There appeared to be no increased susceptibility to the development of colorectal tumours in BRCA2 mutation carriers or to the development of breast tumours in MSH2 mutation carriers. Additionally, two double heterozygotes did not appear to have a different phenotype than would be expected from the presence of a mutation in each gene alone.
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BRCA1 and BRCA2 are the most important susceptibility genes for breast and ovarian cancer, and mutations in these two genes account for >80% of all kindreds with hereditary breast/ovarian cancer and for about 2–3% of breast cancer (BC) cases overall. Hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC) is the most common form of hereditary colorectal cancer (CRC) (Lynch and de la Chapelle, 1999) and is responsible for 0.5 – 3% of all cases of CRC. Mutations in the DNA repair genes MLH1 and MSH2 segregate in up to 90% of HNPCC pedigrees (Peltomaki, 2001).

Several groups have studied the genetic relationship between breast and colorectal cancer, with varying findings. In one large Dutch family with a segregating BRCA1 mutation, there are several mutation carriers who have developed CRC. However, detailed analysis suggested that the BRCA1 mutation was not contributing to the CRCs observed. By contrast, in a large international study, Thompson et al (2002) observed a relative risk of 2.03 (P<0.001) for CRC in BRCA1 mutation carriers, compared with general population cancer incidence. Studies in HNPCC kindreds have shown no excess of BC, or have shown that when BC does occur, microsatellite instability (MSI), a hallmark of HNPCC-related cancer, is usually absent (Aarnio et al, 1995; Caluseriu et al, 2001).

Interestingly, Borg et al reported a family with two missense mutations in MLH1 and a single truncating mutation in BRCA1. The double heterozygote for the missense MLH1 and truncating BRCA1 mutations developed BC but not colorectal or endometrial cancer. The authors proposed a possible protective effect of BRCA1 deficiency against carcinogenic event in the colon (Borg et al, 2000).

In general, studies of female BC in HNPCC families have found little evidence for MSI (Muller et al, 2002), but Boyd and colleagues did find a role for MMR genes in BC susceptibility by performing molecular genetics studies in five patients with BC from HNPCC families. Microsatellite instability was observed in three of the five tumours. In one family member with a 4-bp frame-shift mutation in MLH1, expression of only the mutant allele was observed in the BC tissue (Risinger et al, 1996). It is not uncommon to see pedigrees with several cases of BC and CRC. Given this clinical observation, and the data presented above, the question of whether a single gene that predisposes to...
both BC and CRC exists is pertinent. Here, we describe the investigation of one of the most convincing BC/CRC pedigrees reported. MON1080 is a 26-member kindred that includes five cases of CRC and five cases of BC (Figure 1). The family meets Amsterdam criteria I for the diagnosis of HNPCC (Vasen et al, 1999), and nine of the 10 cases of cancer were diagnosed under the age of 45 years.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

A detailed family history was taken from a total of 26 related individuals from kindred MON1080. There were 17 female and nine male subjects. The details are shown in Figure 1, and all cancers were histopathologically confirmed, unless otherwise indicated.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) analysis

Immunohistochemistry for MLH1 and MSH2 proteins was performed as a screening test for DNA mismatch repair-deficient tumours. Mouse monoclonal antibodies G168-728 (MLH1, BD Pharmingen, San Diego, CA, USA) and FE11 (MSH2, Oncogene Research Products, San Diego, CA, USA) were used (Marcus et al, 1999). Surrounding normal mucosa and lymphocytes served as internal positive controls. MLH1 and MSH2 IHC was performed on the villoglandular polyp and the endometrioid adenocarcinoma of patient III: 10; and on the astrocytoma of patient II: 11. Immunohistochemistry was also performed on the anal carcinoma and keratoacanthoma of patient II: 11. Following extraction of DNA from paraffin-embedded tissue, PCRs were performed using primer pairs, one of which was fluorescently labelled with Cy5.5. The products were visualised by fragment size analysis on an OpenGene System (Visible Genetics, Ontario, Canada). Anal carcinoma is not a common tumour in HNPCC kindreds, but we tested the anal and skin tumour of patient II: 10 because skin tumours are a feature of the HNPCC variant, Muir–Torre syndrome (MTS) and keratoacanthoma with MSI-H and loss of expression of MMR genes (MSH2 or MLH1) have been reported to occur in MTS (Honchel et al, 1994; Kruse et al, 1996; Entius et al, 2000).

Microsatellite instability analysis

Microsatellite instability analysis was performed on microdissected tissues using five MSI markers: BAT25, BAT26, D2S123, D5S346 and D17S250. According to international criteria, MSI is defined as high-degree instability (MSI-H) if there is instability at two out of five loci (Boland et al, 1998). Microsatellite instability was performed on DNA extracted from the villoglandular polyp and the endometrial cancer of patient III: 25, on the anal carcinoma and keratoacanthoma of patient III: 10 and on the astrocytoma of patient II: 11. Following extraction of DNA from paraffin-embedded tissue, PCRs were performed using primer pairs, one of which was fluorescently labelled with Cy5.5. The products were visualised by fragment size analysis on an OpenGene System (Visible Genetics, Ontario, Canada). Anal carcinoma is not a common tumour in HNPCC kindreds, but we tested the anal and skin tumour of patient II: 10 because skin tumours are a feature of the HNPCC variant, Muir–Torre syndrome (MTS) and keratoacanthoma with MSI-H and loss of expression of MMR genes (MSH2 or MLH1) have been reported to occur in MTS (Honchel et al, 1994; Kruse et al, 1996; Entius et al, 2000).

DNA and RNA extraction and RT–PCR

Peripheral blood lymphocytes were separated from 16 ml of whole blood using the Accuspin™ System-Histopaque®-1077 from Sigma Diagnostics (St Louis, MO, USA). Total RNA was extracted using Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen Canada, Burlington, Ontario, Canada). DNA was extracted with the PUREGENE® Genomic DNA Isolation Kit from Gentra Systems (Minneapolis, MN, USA). Oligo(dT)-primed cDNAs were synthesised using the SuperScript™ Preamplification System for First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Invitrogen). The complete open reading frame of MSH2 was then amplified as two overlapping segments from 2 µl of the cDNA reaction using primers previously described by Luce et al (1995).

Protein truncation test (PTT) and sequence analysis of MSH2 cDNA

We used the TNT® Quick-Coupled Transcription/Translation kit from Promega (Madison, WI, USA) for PTT. The PCR-amplified cDNA segments were transcribed and translated in vitro in a reaction mixture containing 35S-labelled methionine, and the resultant polypeptides were separated on a 12% polyacrylamide SDS gel, dried and subjected to autoradiography. Sequencing was carried out on PCR-amplified cDNA segments by cycle sequencing using Thermo Sequenase enzyme. PCR products were separated by electrophoresis on low-melt agarose gel. The fragments were

Figure 1 HEREDITARY BREAST AND CANCER FAMILY MON1080. The numbers immediately under the symbols are individual numbers. The abbreviation ‘ut’ indicates untested. Mutations in brackets are inferred. MSH2 deficiency present. L105X = BRCA2*L105X mutation present. END0 = endometrial cancer.
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excised from the gel and purified using the QIAquick Gel Extraction kit (QIAGEN, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada). The purified fragments were then sequenced using the Thermo Sequenase Cy5.5 Dye Terminator Sequencing Kit from Pharmacia (Uppsala, Sweden).

**MSH2 mutation analysis by real-time PCR**

The real-time PCR was carried out as a duplex PCR amplifying exon 8 MSH2 and CFTR exon 24 as an internal control in a reaction volume of 25 μl using the Brilliant QPCR kit (Stratagene, Integrated Sciences, East Kew Victoria, Australia). TaqMan fluorescent probes were synthesised according to the Applied Biosystems primer express software program, allowing standard amplification thermal cycling conditions (denaturation 95°C for 10 min, and subsequent cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min for 40 cycles). The PCR reaction mixture consisted of 200 nm each of MSH2 exon 8-specific forward 5'-AGAATAATTATTTGTTG-GAGATTTTTGGT-3' and reverse 5'-CATATCTAAAAATGTTTTTC-TATATTTTCCGT-3' primers and the CFTR forward 5'-GAAGAGAACAAAGTGCGGCAG-3' and reverse 5'-TTGAGACCGGAAGGAGGCTCT-3' primers, 100 nM FAM-labelled MSH2 exon 8-specific probe (6FAM-CTCCTCTTACTGATCTTCGTTCTGAC-TTCTCCAAAG) and 400 nM Hex-labelled CFTR exon 24 probe (HEX-AGGATCTCATCGAAAGGTCTGGAAGCA), to a final concentration of 5.0 mM MgCl₂ and 200 ng DNA sample. Amplifications were carried out in the iCycler detection system (Biorad, Regents Park, NSW, Australia) and analyses were performed in triplicate.

**MSH2 genomic DNA sequencing**

The region containing the deletion was first amplified from genomic DNA by long-range PCR using the Expand LT and Expand 20Kb PLUS PCR Systems (Roche, Indianapolis, IN, USA) and the following primers: MSH2 IVS7-5K-F Tm 68 (5'-ACTCTCT-TACTCTCCTTTCTCCTACT-3'), MSH2 IVS8-10K-R Tm 78 (5'-AACAGAGAGAGCCGCTAATAAGATA-3'), TAAA-Bpt (5'-TGCATATTTGCTCTTTGCTATCCT-3') and H2 EX8 ALUYF (5'-AACCTTGGACACCATTTCCTC-3'). The deletion region was also amplified using Platinum Taq (Invitrogen), the PCR Core Kit (Qiagen), and the following primers: H2 EX8 ALUYF (5'-AACCTTGGACACCATTTCCTC-3') and MSH2, IVS8 10318R (5'-TGTGCCGTGATGTGGTGGA-3'). The reaction mixture was prepared according to the manufacturer's protocol. The following thermocycling conditions were used: denaturation 95°C for 2 min, and 35 cycles of 95°C for 10 s, 60°C for 30 s and 68°C for 20–30 min, depending on the expected size of the wild-type product. The PCR products that contained the expected deletion were cut from the agarose gel, purified by QIAquick PCR purification Kit (Qiagen, Chatsworth, CA, USA) and sequenced using the same primers and the Thermo Sequenase Cy5.5 Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit (Pharmacia) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

**BRCA2 mutation analysis**

DNA from individual III: 22 was sent to Myriad Genetics Laboratories for a complete sequencing analysis of BRCA1 and BRCA2. Following this, we used direct sequencing for individuals III: 22 and II: 25. Other family members were subsequently tested by single-strand conformation analysis (SSCA) using primers flanking the mutation: F-5'-CCGGTCATTCAACATCCTCGT-3' and R-5'-GAGACTGATTTGCGCCAGAT-3'. PCR products were amplified using the Taq Gold amplification system (Perkin-Elmer) in the presence of [35S]dATP at 95°C (30 s), 60°C (30 s), 72°C (30 s) for 35 cycles, then separated on a 0.5 × MDE gel for 6 h 30 min (25 W, 4°C). The presence of an additional band was evidence for the presence of the mutation.

**Haplotype analysis**

Haplotyp analysis was performed on each individual using single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and microsatellites within or near BRCA2 or MSH2. Two tetranucleotide repeat microsatellites (TTTA: intron 2; TAAA: intron 7) within MSH2 on chromosome 2 (Desai et al, 2000) were visualised by fragment size analysis on an OpenGene System (Visible Genetics, Ontario, Canada). Five polymorphic markers within or near BRCA2 (D13S1694, D13S1695, D13S1697, D13S260 and D13S1698) were used to distinguish between the chromosomes segregating in the family (Table 1). Primer sequences and PCR amplification conditions can be found in the Genome Database (GDB, http://gdbwww.gdb.org/gdb/). PCR products were separated on denaturing acrylamide gels and visualised by autoradiography.

**RESULTS**

**Immunohistochemistry analysis**

Several pathology samples from patient III: 25 were evaluated by IHC. A moderately well differentiated, endometrioid adenocarcinoma of the uterus showed weak nuclear staining of the carcinoma with both antibodies. The second sample was a small villotubular adenoma that showed strong nuclear staining with the MLH1 antibody, whereas no staining with the MSH2 antibody was observed in the adenoma. Thus, there was no evidence of mismatch repair-deficiency from inactivation of MLH1 in either of these two samples, but the findings suggested MSH2 inactivation in the colonic adenoma. Immunohistochemistry analysis for MLH1 and MSH2 was also performed on the anal squamous cell carcinoma and keratoacanthoma from patient III: 10. Both lesions displayed nuclear staining with the two antibodies, showing no evidence of MLH1 or MSH2 inactivation in these samples. The results for the brain tumour from III: 11 suggested inactivation of MSH2 (Figure 2), as shown by the lack of staining in the astrocytoma, whereas IHC staining with the MLH1 antibody was normal. Immunohistochemistry analysis of the available BCs is shown in Table 2. In particular, III: 25, discussed above, developed an invasive BC, which was ER/PR positive. None of the four breast tumours analysed overexpressed HER2. Loss of E-cadherin expression was noted in both breast cancers, whereas in one case it was overabundant.

**MSI analysis**

Of the five samples subjected to MSI analysis, one (III: 25; DNA from a villotubular adenoma) showed high degree of instability at BAT25, BAT26, D5S346, and the endometrioid adenocarcinoma of the uterus was MSI-L (only BAT-25 was unstable). Interestingly, patient II: 11, from whom brain tumour tissue was available, showed MSI for BAT-25 and D2S123; the other loci were stable (Figure 2). The keratoacanthoma from patient III: 10. Both lesions displayed nuclear staining with the two antibodies, showing no evidence of mismatch repair-deficiency from inactivation of MLH1 in either of these two samples, but the findings suggested MSH2 inactivation in the colonic adenoma. Immunohistochemistry analysis for MLH1 and MSH2 was also performed on the anal squamous cell carcinoma and keratoacanthoma from patient III: 10. Both lesions displayed nuclear staining with the two antibodies, showing no evidence of MLH1 or MSH2 inactivation in these samples. The results for the brain tumour from III: 11 suggested inactivation of MSH2 (Figure 2), as shown by the lack of staining in the astrocytoma, whereas IHC staining with the MLH1 antibody was normal. Immunohistochemistry analysis of the available BCs is shown in Table 2. In particular, III: 25, discussed above, developed an invasive BC, which was ER/PR positive. None of the four breast tumours analysed overexpressed HER2. Loss of E-cadherin expression was noted in both breast cancers, whereas in one case it was overabundant.

**MSH2 mutation analysis**

A truncated protein in the MSH2 gene was identified by PTT in one woman (III: 25) who had a colon polyp and endometrial cancer. To precisely locate the underlying cause of the truncation, we used cDNA to amplify a segment spanning exons 5–9. Electrophoretic separation of the PCR products revealed a deletion of exon 8 from the cDNA.
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Table I  Mutation and haplotype results in family MON1080

| Generation/name | BRCA2 genotype | MSH2 genotype |
|-----------------|----------------|---------------|
|                 | D13S1694 D13S1695 D13S1697 D13S1698 D13S260 | PTT TAAA-Rpt TTTA-Rpt |
| I: 1            | [2] [3] [1] [2] [4] | [Positive] [170,186] [292,288] |
| I: 2            | [2] [3] [4] [2] [3] | [Negative] [178,178] [288,292] |
| II: 1           | Positive | 2.3 3.4 3.1 2.3 5.4 | Negative 178,186 288,292 |
| II: 2           | [2] [3] [1] [2] [4] | [Negative] [184,178] [292,292] |
| II: 3           | x | 2.3 3.4 1.1 2.3 3.4 | Negative 178,178 288,292 |
| II: 4           | Negative | 2.3 3.4 1.1 3.3 4.4 | Negative 178,178 288,292 |
| II: 5           | [2] [3] [1] [2] [4] | [Negative] [178,186] [292,292] |
| II: 6           | Positive | 3.3 3.3 1.3 3.2 4.5 | Negative 178,178 288,292 |
| II: 7           | [2] [3] [1] [2] [4] | [Negative] [178,186] [292,292] |
| II: 8           | Negative | 2.3 3.4 1.1 3.3 4.4 | Negative 178,178 292,292 |
| II: 9           | Negative | 2.3 3.4 1.1 3.3 4.4 | Negative 178,178 292,292 |
| II: 10          | [2] [3] [1] [2] [4] | [Positive] [170,178] [288,292] |
| II: 11          | Negative | 3.2 3.4 1.2 3.2 3.2 | Negative 174,184 292,292 |
| II: 12          | [2] [3] [1] [2] [4] | [Negative] [170,178] [292,292] |
| II: 13          | Negative | 3.2 3.4 1.2 3.2 3.2 | Negative 174,178 292,292 |
| II: 14          | [2] [3] [1] [2] [4] | [Negative] [170,178] [288,292] |
| II: 15          | Negative | 3.2 3.4 1.2 3.2 3.2 | Negative 174,178 292,292 |
| II: 16          | Positive | 2.3 3.4 1.1 2.3 4.4 | Negative 178,186 292,292 |
| II: 17          | [2] [3] [1] [2] [4] | [Positive] [170,178] [288,292] |
| II: 18          | Negative | 3.2 3.4 1.2 3.2 4.5 | Positive 170,178 288,292 |
| II: 19          | Negative | 3.2 3.4 1.2 3.2 4.5 | Positive 170,178 288,292 |
| II: 20          | [2] [3] [1] [2] [4] | [Positive] [170,178] [292,292] |
| II: 21          | Negative | 3.2 3.4 1.2 3.2 4.5 | Negative 170,178 292,292 |
| III: 10         | [2] [3] [1] [2] [4] | [Negative] [170,178] [292,292] |
| III: 11         | Negative | 3.2 3.4 1.2 3.2 4.5 | Negative 170,178 292,292 |
| III: 12         | [2] [3] [1] [2] [4] | [Negative] [170,178] [292,292] |
| III: 13         | Negative | 3.2 3.4 1.2 3.2 4.5 | Negative 170,178 292,292 |
| III: 14         | [2] [3] [1] [2] [4] | [Negative] [170,178] [292,292] |
| III: 15         | Negative | 3.2 3.4 1.2 3.2 4.5 | Negative 170,178 292,292 |
| III: 16         | [2] [3] [1] [2] [4] | [Negative] [170,178] [292,292] |
| III: 17         | Negative | 3.2 3.4 1.2 3.2 4.5 | Negative 170,178 292,292 |
| III: 18         | [2] [3] [1] [2] [4] | [Negative] [170,178] [292,292] |
| III: 19         | Negative | 3.2 3.4 1.2 3.2 4.5 | Negative 170,178 292,292 |
| IV: 1           | [2] [3] [1] [2] [4] | [Negative] [170,178] [292,292] |
| IV: 2           | Negative | 3.2 3.4 1.2 3.2 4.5 | Negative 170,178 292,292 |
| III: 20         | [2] [3] [1] [2] [4] | [Negative] [170,178] [292,292] |
| III: 21         | Negative | 3.2 3.4 1.2 3.2 4.5 | Negative 170,178 292,292 |
| III: 22         | [2] [3] [1] [2] [4] | [Negative] [170,178] [292,292] |
| III: 23         | Negative | 3.2 3.4 1.2 3.2 4.5 | Negative 170,178 292,292 |
| III: 24         | [2] [3] [1] [2] [4] | [Negative] [170,178] [292,292] |
| III: 25         | Negative | 3.2 3.4 1.2 3.2 4.5 | Negative 170,178 292,292 |

Italic: married to MON1080 family member; Bold number: distinguish the phase of the haplotypes; [Brackets and grey text]: result inferred (not from direct testing, II10 -PTT not done, status determined by real-time PCR). Since all results regarding the parents are inferred, the BRCA2 mutation was assigned arbitrarily to one individual from generation I. Linked haplotype: BRCA2: 3, 4, 1, 3, 4 MSH2: 170, 288.

Segment (Figure 2). The deletion is out of frame, and results in a stop codon 12 nucleotides downstream of exon 9 (at nucleotide 1398). DNA sequencing of the exon 8 and the flanking intronic regions did not reveal any alteration of the consensus splice sites, indicating that the exon 8 deletion was most likely caused by a large genomic deletion of the region encompassing exon 8.

In the quantitative real-time PCR analysis, normalised values for nondeleted patients and normal controls are equal to one; the normalised values for positive controls and deleted patients are equal to zero. Real-time PCR analysis confirmed the presence of a germline intragenic deletion encompassing exon 8 and flanking intronic sequences in the patients showing a truncation in MSH2 by PTT (Table 1). DNA from II: 20 was not available for testing.
but the absence of the mutation in his widow’s (data not shown) cDNA (II: 21) (as well as haplotype analysis, see below) were sufficient to confirm that II: 20, who died of CRC at 36 years of age, was an obligate carrier.

**MSH2 genomic DNA sequencing**

Long-range PCR on genomic DNA was used to confirm the deletion and to locate the region containing the breakpoint. Different sets of primers were used to exactly characterise the deletion at the junction site. A 1.9 kb fragment containing the deletion breakpoint was then amplified from genomic DNA with the following primers: H2 EX8 ALUYF and MSH2, IVS8 10318R.

The exon 8 deletion was identified as a 14.9 kb deletion occurring between two Alu sequences. The localisation of the breakpoint lies within a sequence of 45 bp that is identical in both Alu sequences that had been sequenced (Figure 3).

**BRCA2 mutation analysis**

DNA sequencing performed by Myriad Genetic Laboratories on patient III: 22, who had lobular breast cancer, uncovered a *BRCA2* exon 3 542G>T mutation, predicted to result in a truncated protein (L105X). Patient III: 25 was shown to carry the same mutation by DNA sequencing. Subsequently, SSCA analysis was used to test all available family members for the 542G>T
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Table 2  Female breast cancer; pathological analysis and immunohistochemistry (IHC) results of selected markers in family MON1080

| Family ID | BRCA2/MSH2 | Age at diagnosis | Vital status | Breast cancer histopathology | IHC | ER | PR | CDH-1 | MIB-1 | p53 | HER2/ErbB-2 |
|-----------|------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-------|-------|-----|-------------|
| III: 5    | mut/wt     | 42               | Alive        | LCIS with six foci of infiltrating lobular carcinoma | +++ | +++ | – | + | – | – | – |
| III: 17   | mut/wt     | 33               | Deceased     | DCIS with infiltrating ductal carcinoma (2.5 cm). Extensive lobular cancerisation | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | – |
| III: 18   | mut/wt     | 33               | Deceased     | Two foci (1.6 and 1 cm) of infiltrating lobular carcinoma | +++ | + | +++ | + | ++ | – | – |
| III: 22   | mut/wt     | 34               | Deceased     | Multicentric infiltrating lobular carcinoma | +++ | +++ | – | – | – | – | – |
| III: 25   | mut/mut    | 32               | Alive        | LCIS with infiltrating lobular carcinoma (1.5 cm). Separate foci of DCIS | + | + | ND | ND | ND | ND | – |

Mut = heterozygous mutation; Wt = wild-type; LCIS = lobular carcinoma in situ; DCIS = ductal carcinoma in situ; ER = oestrogen receptor; PR = progesterone receptor; CDH-1 = E-cadherin.

Common Alu Y sequence: breakpoint of the MSH2 exon 8 deletion

![Common Alu Y sequence](image)

Intron 7:15 kb Intron 8:17.4 kb Deletion: 14.9 kb

Figure 3  Characterisation of the MSH2 exon 8 deletion: the intron 7 Alu Y shares 83% identity with the intron 8 Alu Y. There are 17 Alu family sequences in intron 7 and 18 Alu family sequences in intron 8. The MON1080 deletion is the result of the possible recombination between identical 45 bp sequences found in both AluY sequences.

Mutation. We confirmed that II: 20, the father of III: 22 and III: 25, is an obligate carrier of the BRCA2 exon 3 542G>T mutation and an obligate double heterozygote because his widow (II: 21), the mother of III: 22 and III: 25, does not carry the BRCA2 mutation or the MSH2 mutation. Nine individuals were found to carry the L105X mutation: five of them are affected by BC and one was diagnosed with an ovarian papillary serous adenocarcinoma. Three mutation carriers are not affected, II: 1 (a 74 years-old male), III: 4 (a 53-year-old female) and III: 7 (a 42-year-old female) (Figure 1 and Table 1).

Haplotype analysis

Haplotype analysis using polymorphic markers spanning MSH2 and BRCA2 was performed on 26 individuals. The MSH2 linkage results were based on two markers, while the haplotype associated with the BRCA2 exon 3 542G>T mutation was assessed using five markers (Table 1). For both MSH2 and BRCA2, we identified a common haplotype shared by all mutation carriers, consistent with the inferred common ancestry. The five MSH2 exon 8 deletion carriers (III:10, III:15, II:18, III:19 and III:25, Table 1) shared an allele of identical size for two different MSH2 markers: TTTA-Repeat (intron 2) and TAAA-Repeat (intron 8) (alleles 170 and 288). All carriers of the cDNA deletion of the exon 8 are heterozygous for these two markers. A further four individuals were classified as obligate mutation carriers on the basis of reconstructed haplotypes and/or their position in the pedigree. We used somatic cell hybrids containing a maternally derived or a paternally derived chromosome 2 obtained from GMP Genetics (Waltham, MA, USA) from individual II: 18 to confirm the phase of the haplotype.

By direct genotyping, eight individuals in the MON1080 family (II: 2, III: 4, III: 5, III: 7, II: 16, III: 18, III: 22, III: 25) were found to share a common allele for five different BRCA2 markers (3, 4, 1, 3,
In seven of eight cases, we were able to rule out double heterozygosity for the exon 8 deletion. Patient III: 17 was only tested for three markers, but the result is consistent with the presence of a BRCA2 mutation and she was diagnosed with breast cancer at age 33 years. She is not a carrier of the MSH2 mutation. In contrast, her cousin, III: 25, is a double heterozygote, and her father is an obligate double heterozygote (Table 1). Three other individuals are obligate mutation carriers.

**DISCUSSION**

We initially investigated the MON1080 family because of concern regarding BC risk, but examination of the pedigree showed that the incidence of CRC was just as high as that of BC (Figure 1). This family seemed an appropriate kindred in which to look for a novel breast–CRC predisposition gene. Linkage and molecular genetic analyses, however, revealed two independent mutations: a mutation in one MMR gene (MSH2) and in one BC susceptibility gene (BRCA2). There is no evidence that women in this pedigree were susceptible to BC solely because they carried an MMR gene mutation, or that CRC was associated with BRCA2 mutations, as has been previously suggested (Risch et al., 2001). Two individuals (II: 20 and his daughter III: 25) are double heterozygotes. The BRCA2 exon 3 542G>T mutation was present in nine family members, among whom five women were diagnosed with BC and one was diagnosed with ovarian cancer. Three carriers are unaffected; two women had preventive mastectomies, and the third was a male, who recently died of a noncancer-related condition. In addition, eight MSH2 mutation carriers were identified in the MON1080 family. Mutation status was inferred for three individuals: two died of CRC and one died of an astrocytoma following a rectal cancer. Three additional individuals are affected by invasive cancer, while two remain unaffected by an HNPCC-related cancer. However, among the unaffected individuals, one had two colon polyps removed that were not available for IHC or MSI testing and the other had both an anal squamous cell carcinoma and a keratoacanthoma that were MSS and did not show loss of MSH2 by IHC. The family history did not reveal additional cases of keratoacanthoma or other skin cancers. In patients with sebaceous gland adenocarcinoma (SGC) and a personal or family history of CRC, the diagnosis of MTS is clear. However, lack of a positive family history of SGC, absence of MSI and normal expression of MSH2 in tumours of patients indicate that the skin tumour can be considered a sporadic SGC (Entius et al., 2000). In this case, we suspect the keratoacanthoma is a sporadic occurrence and therefore a diagnosis of MTS is not sustained.

The histopathology of the breast tumours in the BRCA2 exon 3 542G>T mutation carriers is interesting (Table 2). Of the five female mutation carriers affected with breast cancer, one had a ductal carcinoma in situ, with foci of microinvasion, but the other four affected women had lobular invasive BC. Two of these had areas of lobular carcinoma in situ as well. Our finding of four cases of lobular breast cancer in this family is consistent with results from our previous hospital-based series of breast cancers from Montreal, where we identified four BRCA2 mutation carriers among 127 women with first primary invasive BCs (Chappuis et al., 2001). Two of the four BRCA2 mutation carriers had lobular BC, and lobular BCs were statistically significantly overrepresented in BRCA2 mutation carriers compared with noncarriers. Invasive lobular BC is seen in 4% of BRCA1-related breast cancer and 4% of sporadic controls, whereas 11% of BRCA2-related breast cancer is of this subtype (Chappuis et al., 2000). Taken together, these findings suggest that lobular BC is more commonly a feature of germline BRCA2 mutation carriers than it is of BRCA1 mutation carriers, and its presence could be an indication to commence molecular studies of BRCA1/2 with BRCA2 analysis.
nonmedullary thyroid cancer (and breast cancer) carried a BRCA2 mutation. There were also two individuals with lung cancer, one of whom (II:7) is known to carry the BRCA2 mutation on the basis of the reconstructed haplotype and the presence of this mutation in his children. By reconstruction, he does not carry the MSH2 mutation. We could not establish the mutation status of the other male subject with lung cancer (II:9) at either locus (Figure 1 and Table 1).

Our results support the notion that early-onset BC in HNPCC may be due to mutations in other genes, such as BRCA2, and that early-onset CRC in BRCA1/2 may be due to mutations in MMR genes, such as MSH2. This report describes the phenotype of the first two individuals in whom truncating mutations in both genes have been identified. Clearly, it is not possible to draw firm conclusions from only two cases, but the double heterozygotes in this kindred do not appear to have an earlier age of onset than carriers of a single mutation, and the cancers appear to have independent genetic aetiologies. Moreover, based on the data presented here and other recent results (Muller et al., 2002), we do not consider that a single highly penetrant BC – CRC cancer susceptibility gene is likely to exist. Rather, in agreement with the most recent epidemiological reports, our findings argue that the two conditions (CRC and BC) are independent of each other (Newschaffer et al., 2001). Kindreds with the phenotype reported here are not frequently identified, but this detailed report demonstrates how a single family can contribute more generally to the understanding of the development of cancer in unusual situations such as double heterozygosity.
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