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Abstract

Jhon Harianto Hutapea, Gunawan, Ananto Setiadi and Bedjo Slamet. 2019. The inner wall of the tank painted in black is good for larval rearing of Yellowfin tuna *Thunnus albacares*. *Aquacultura Indonesiana, 20*(2) : 102-108. Institute for Mariculture Research and Fisheries Extension have been able to spawn yellowfin tuna in the floating nets cage (FNC) since 2015. However, the number of juveniles produced is still low due to the high mortality of larvae at the first ten days of rearing and when metamorphoses into juveniles. The inner wall color of tank is suspected to affect the survival of early larvae stage. The purpose of the study is to get suitable inner wall color of the tank to increase growth and survival rate. Eggs were harvested from FNC and stocked 20 eggs/L in 5 pieces of Fiberglass Reinforced Plastic tanks, volume of 6 m³ each. Treatments were: A. Gray, B. Blue, C. Yellow, D. Black, and E. White, 3 replication. Hatching rate of eggs at treatment (A) was 60.39%, blue (B) 76.64%, yellow (C) 61.74%, black (D) 71.08% and white (E) 69.19%. Daily observation of Yellowfin tuna larvae kept in blue, yellow and white inner wall tanks patched in the corner near the surface of the tank. While the larvae in the gray and black inner wall tanks distributed evenly either in the surface layer and water column. The survival rate of juvenile in black inner wall tank is better than other color treatments, although statistically does not significantly different.
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Introduction

Success of *Institute for Mariculture Research and Fisheries Extension* (IMRAFE) in develop technology on culture and breeding of yellowfin tuna nearly completes. This achievement is based on a series of experiment such as captured and transported alive tuna, growth, gonad maturation and spawning both in concrete tank and in floating net cage. Due to the discontinuity of research, the larval rearing is still left behind. The limiting factor in seed production and nursery is still need to be solved intensively.

The research on the yellowfin tuna in IMRAFE has actually begun since 2003. Cultivation, maturation and spawning in a concrete tank has been successful since 2004. In 2006 has managed to produce one hundred seed at total length of 3-5 cm. Several scientific papers were published such as captured and transportation of candidate broodstock (Hutapea, *et al.* 2010), broodstock rearing (Hutapea and Permana, 2006), Broodstock DNA mapping (Permana *et al.* 2007), spawning, eggs and larvae handling (Zafran *et al.* 2006; Hutapea, 2007; Hutapea *et al.* 2007).

During 2008 to 2010, effort to revitalize the existing broodstock and collecting some broodstock candidates was done under collaboration research with Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research (ACIAR) (Hutchinson, *et al.* 2010).

In 2013, the tuna research activities are re-implmented by the installation of a floating net cage (FNC). In the same year there were captured, transported and rearing of the yellowfin tuna candidate broodstock in the FNC (Hutapea, *et al.* 2014) and in 2015 some fishes maturated and spawned (Hutapea, *et al.* 2015). Based on larval rearing trials throughout the year of 2015, there were three periods of larval mortality, i.e. early stage, after 10 days old and approaching metamorphose to Juvenile (Hutapea, *et al.* 2015) and the same phenomenon is also found in other countries that doing tuna breeding (Kjorsvik *et al.*, 2004; Nakagawa, *et al.* 2011). Mortality in this early stage is related to specific factors such as the low ability of...
larvae to capture prey and the availability of feed (Reglero et al. 2014). Other factors importance in larval rearing may be tank size, tank color, turbidity, food quality, and prey densities (van der Meerena, et al. 2007). 

Mortality in larval rearing of tuna could be divided into three phase. Firstly, high mortality in the first ten days after hatch (10 DAH) is related to eggs quality, feed nutrition and environmental condition such as light intensity, dissolved oxygen, ammonia, nitrite and osmosis pressure in water media (Kaji, 1998). Feed size also affects on growth rate of larvae (Miyashita et al. 2001). The second high mortality happens in around 20 DAH, where larvae tend to chase and prey the other larvae (cannibalism) (Reglero et al. 2014). The third high mortality happens when the larvae metamorphoses to juvenile with its swimming ability nearly to completely develop, its behavior to chase other juvenile, hit the tank wall or jump out the tank and cause the increase of mortality (Kaji,1998).

The purpose of the study is to know the appropriate inner wall color of the tank that suitable for the larval rearing of yellowfin tuna to promote growth and survival rate of the juvenile.

**Material and Method**

This trial was conducted in the hatchery of IMRAFE. Viable yellowfin tuna eggs were collected from natural spawning in FNC, then introduced into five pcs of Fibreglass Reinforced Plastic (FRP) tanks, with volume of 6 m³ each, and the density was 20 eggs/L. Each inner wall and bottom tank was painted with different color and use as treatment: A. Brown, B. Blue, C. Yellow, D. Black, and E. White, with three replication. At the first day sampling was conducted in order to obtain the hatching rate at each tank then larval rearing was continued following the feeding regime and water management as shown in Table 1 and 2.

Table 1. Feeding regime of yellowfin tuna larva rearing

| Feed species          | Day After Hatch |
|-----------------------|-----------------|
|                       | 1   2  3        |
|                       | 8   12 15       |
|                       | 20  25          |
| **Nannochloropsis sp.** |                |
| **Rotifer**           |                |
| **Copepod nauplii**   |                |
| **Yellowfin tuna larvae** |            |
| **Milkfish Larvae**   |                |
| **Milkfish fry**      |                |

Table 2. Fish oil dispersion on water surface, Water management, and bottom cleaning during yellowfin tuna larval rearing

| Dispersion of fish oil | Day After Hatch |
|------------------------|-----------------|
|                       | 1   2   3   4   5   7   8   10   12   15  20   25 |
|                       | 5----10------20-----50------100-- >100      |
| **Water exchange rate(%)** |                |
| **Bottom cleaning**    |                |

Daily observations such as larval behaviour, sampling number of the remaining rotifera in the larval tank, counting the number of eaten rotifers, measurements and observations of the development of larvae morphology and periodic sampling for histological preparations. The water quality parameters measured were dissolved oxygen, salinity, temperature and pH and a regular bacterial monitoring using Triptic Soy Agar (TSA) method.

Ten pieces of larvae were taken daily from D-1 to D-10, and every three days from D-13 to D-20 to measure the growth and morphological development of larvae. For measurement, the larvae were observed under a microscope that was connected to the camera and computer application Image capture ACT-1 and measurement application WinRoof. After measurement and observation, samples were pressed with a glass cover and count the rotifer and other feeds in the stomach of larvae.
Results and Discussion

The results showed that hatching rate of eggs on each treatment of different inner wall tank color was, gray color 60.39%, blue 76.64%, yellow 61.74%, black 71.08% and white inner wall tank color was 69.19% and there is no significantly different among the treatments (P > 0.05), as shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Results of larval rearing of yellowfin tuna using different inner wall color

| Items                              | Treatment- Inner wall color |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                                    | Gray | Blue | Yellow | Black | White |
| Total number of eggs (pcs)         | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| HR (%)                             | 60.39±25.09 <sup>a</sup> | 76.64±16.88 <sup>a</sup> | 61.74±20.47 <sup>a</sup> | 71.08±17.05 <sup>a</sup> | 69.19±19.49 <sup>a</sup> |
| Total length of newly hatch larvae (mm) | 2.71±0.70 <sup>a</sup> | 2.71±0.70 <sup>a</sup> | 2.71±0.70 <sup>a</sup> | 2.71±0.70 <sup>a</sup> | 2.71±0.70 <sup>a</sup> |
| Total length of juvenile harvested (mm) | 33.32±2.66 <sup>a</sup> | 31.06±1.99 <sup>a</sup> | 31.21±2.31 <sup>a</sup> | 28.20±1.87 <sup>a</sup> | 32.54±2.21 <sup>a</sup> |
| Total number of juvenile harvested (pcs) | 313±254 <sup>a</sup> | 288±279 <sup>a</sup> | 171±148 <sup>a</sup> | 426±353 <sup>a</sup> | 217±233 <sup>a</sup> |
| Water temperature range (°C)       | 27.00-30.50 | 27.00-30.80 | 27.00-30.00 | 27.00-30.80 | 27.00-30.50 |
| Light intensity range (Lux)        | 615-2,160 | 642-2,920 | 655-3,690 | 541-2,220 | 955-5,290 |
| pH                                 | 7.99-8.12 | 8.00-8.15 | 8.00-8.17 | 8.01-8.12 | 8.00-8.15 |
| DO (mg/L)                          | 6.80-7.60 | 6.75-7.50 | 6.90-7.60 | 6.80-7.60 | 6.90-7.60 |
| Salinity (ppt)                     | 33-34 | 33-34 | 33-34 | 33-34 | 33-34 |
| Ammonia (mg/L)                     | 0.23-0.89 | 0.14-0.69 | 0.21-0.75 | 0.24-0.82 | 0.12-0.67 |
| Nitrite (mg/L)                     | 0.08-0.21 | 0.05-0.21 | 0.05-0.21 | 0.14-0.21 | 0.03-0.17 |
| Phosphate (mg/L)                   | 0.07-0.30 | 0.07-0.29 | 0.09-0.28 | 0.09-0.33 | 0.03-0.25 |
| Total bacteria (CFUx10<sup>3</sup>/mL) | 0.89-9.70 | 0.87-28.00 | 1.60-12.00 | 2.00-14.00 | 0.94-10.00 |

Note: Hatching rate based on sampling at 1 DAH
Harvest/Count the survival juvenile was at 25 DAH
Number followed by the same letter is not significantly different

It is known that since 3 day after hatch (DAH), the yellowfin tuna larvae had been actively swimming to search for feed. The introduction of rotifer and copepod nauplii as the initial feed for yellowfin tuna larvae was proved effective. Based on the observation, the stomach of the larvae starting from D-3 has already contained rotifers and nauplii copepods on all treatments. The number of rotifers and nauplii copepods in the stomach of the most samples of yellowfin tuna larvae increases in accordance with the age increase, but in some samples also found the stomach content decrease (Figure. 1).
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Figure 1. The number of rotifers and nauplii copepods in the stomach of the yellowfin tuna larvae

Stomach content after 8 DAH was not presented because the number were too high and the species were not been able to identified related to the addition not only rotifer and nauplii copepods but also addition of Artemia instar and newly hatch larvae of tuna.

Based on daily observation, larval swimming behavior up to 13 DAH, gray, yellow, and white inner wall tanks tended to gather in the corners of the tank near the surface layer. While the larvae reared in a blue and especially those in a black tank, larvae spread evenly in the water surface layer and spread into the water column. In contrary, milkfish (Chanos chanos) larval visibility enhancement in solar illuminated yellow tank act synergistically to perform necessary foraging to acquire nutritional energy for metamorphosis to fry (Bera et al, 2019). While Takeshita and Soyano (2008) reported that color of the rearing tank affect the occurrence on cannibalism in the juvenile orange-spotted grouper and found that in the red tank group, mortality was significantly lower than that in the green tank. According to Iina et al. (2017), Pacific blue fin tuna Thunnus orientalis larvae, after 3 DAH (3.7 ± 0.1 mm BL) start develop photo taxis positive when the eyes of the larvae were pigmented and most fish moved into the 7.0 × 10^4 lux section in the illumination gradient tank. Some possible causes of a decrease in the amount of feed in the stomach content of the larva and juvenile is the transition type and size of feed, increase the digestive rate or due to deterioration of environmental conditions that that cause larvae stress. It is estimated that the decrease of stomach contents of larvae at seven days old coincided with the feeding transition from the plankton feeder into the piscivorous mode. The larvae of yellowfin tuna that enters the piscivorous mode seeks to get the smaller fish larvae as feed, so at this period, it is necessary to provide enough number of newly hatch larvae in the rearing tank.

Total length measurements at the end of the study, the yellowfin tuna juvenile from the grey tank provide better growth compared to other treatments. But statistical analysis of the five treatments, the growth rate was not significant different (P > 0.05) as seen in Table 3. The daily total length growth rate of the yellowfin tuna larvae in this study was presented in Figure 2.

Figure 2. Daily growth of yellowfin tuna larvae total length
In order to reduce cannibalism, tuna juvenile from hatchery must be harvested, selected by size and moved into the nursery tanks. The number of juvenile produced from each treatment was seen in Table 4. The survival rate of juvenile from the black tank is better compared to other color treatments, but the statistical analysis does not show a significant different from the five treatments (P > 0.05), as seen in the Table 3. This occurs because there was a very large difference between repetitions (Table 4). This difference leads to a high standard of deviation which further affected on statistical analysis. The low final result of this study occurs because cannibalism was so high, especially in black inner wall color of tank.

Table 4. Number of yellowfin tuna juvenile produced in research conducted using different inner wall color of the tank

| Replication | Gray | Blue | Yellow | Black | White |
|-------------|------|------|--------|-------|-------|
| I           | 31   | 4    | 3      | 35    | 8     |
| II          | 525  | 299  | 228    | 721   | 174   |
| III         | 384  | 562  | 283    | 524   | 217   |

Cannibalism in tuna juvenile is different from that of grouper fish. In tuna, predators are attracted to the color of the prey, when it was captured but cannot be swallowed because the width of the juvenile body does not fit to its mouth width. Then released it and again look for the other prey. While in grouper fish, generally cannibalism occurs because it needs as feed and once it can prey on other juvenile, cannibalism will cease for a while. If it is not able to swallow the prey, both juvenile will dead. While in tuna juvenile, the death of prey increased is not because it is eaten but because it is bitten but cannot be swallowed. The level of cannibalism in tuna fish is much higher than the grouper as it will continue to attack other smaller tuna juvenile.

Measurement of light intensity is done morning and afternoon, on the surface layer, mid-water column and near the bottom of each tank. It is very clear that the light intensity in the white is much higher than in the others tanks, especially in the gray and black inner wall tank color. The nature of light penetrating into the water media in a black inner wall color was not reflected, on the contrary the light penetrating into the white inner wall was reflected more compare to other inner wall color. One direction of light makes larvae easier to see and determine feed position. Conversely in a white inner wall where light is scattered and causing larvae have difficulty on seeing and determining feed position.

In order to understand the effect of different inner wall tank color to the ability of larvae to adjust its eyes, histology preparations are displayed in figure 3 as shown below.

Figure 3. Preparat histological section, Haematoxylin and Eosin staining of five day after hatch (5 DAH) yellowfin tuna larvae reared at different inner wall color (from left to the right: White, Black, Yellow, Blue and Gray color; Magnification 400 X)

It is clearly shown the distance from retinal pigment epithelium to the outer limiting membrane of larvae eye reared in white inner wall tank was wider compare to larvae eye reared in black inner wall tank.
Conclusions

The yellowfin tuna larvae is able to well utilize rotifers and copepod nauplii as the initial feed at all the treatments of different inner wall color.

The distribution of yellowfin tuna larvae from 3 DAH to nearly the metamorphous to juvenile, in the tank with blue inner wall color and especially in the black inner wall tank spreads evenly both horizontally and vertically.

The distribution of yellowfin tuna larvae from 3 DAH to nearly the metamorphous to juvenile, in the tank with white, gray, yellow, and gray inner wall color of the tank is likely to be patched around the corner of the tank near the surface water layer.

The best color for yellowfin tuna larval rearing is a tank with black inner wall color, but a modification is still needed to prevent a tendency the increase of cannibalism starting from 15 DAH.
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