Covid-19—a rehearsal to build a greener and healthier society

Maria Nilsson and colleagues argue that reducing the severe health risks from the climate crisis requires political commitment and funding like that mobilised to limit the spread of SARS-CoV-2

The covid-19 pandemic is hopefully a short-lived global crisis adding to existing global challenges arising from human behaviour, particularly the ongoing environmental and climate crises. Strict measures to control SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes covid-19, were introduced rapidly in almost all countries, showing that the global community has the ability to prioritise health. International collaborations set immediate priorities to control SARS-CoV-2, including mobilising large financial resources. National decision makers proved ready to make far reaching decisions about finances and restrictive measures, which were largely accepted by citizens despite initially limited scientific evidence on effectiveness.

This response is in sharp contrast to the insufficient political willingness to act on the climate crisis, with global carbon emissions continuing to rise, despite longstanding international agreement to reduce them. Although the covid-19 pandemic is an acute global health threat that needs immediate action, climate change is likely to be a larger global public health threat in the medium and long run. If the world’s greenhouse gas emissions and anthropogenic pollution follow current trends, each additional unit of warming is projected to have primarily negative consequences for human and natural systems, including the upstream drivers of health. Reflections on the covid-19 pandemic can inform and boost the development of the critical policies and action needed to overcome the existential environmental and climate change crises.

A healthy population is at the heart of most transformations needed to achieve global sustainable development. The guiding principle behind sustainable development is that humanity should meet the needs of today without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their needs. Numerous challenges must be overcome to reach the UN sustainable development goals, which set out the priorities and resources required to facilitate global cohesive action. These commitments for sustainability entail an uncompromising responsibility towards the world’s young people, which will be even more important as countries recover from the effects of covid-19.

Environmental crisis and health in covid-19 context
Although human health improved substantially between 1950 and 2010, this gain was accompanied by global environmental degradation from climate change, loss of biodiversity, and reactive nitrogen and phosphorus being dumped on land and introduced into oceans and waterways. All of these threaten human health and the ecosystem services on which we depend. Among these threaten human health and the ecosystem services on which we depend.5
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Urgent global collaborative action in response to covid-19 must be replicated to improve planetary health and human well-being

KEY MESSAGES

- Reducing greenhouse gas emissions is mandatory for human health and well-being in both the short and long term.
- The investments and resources put into recovery plans for covid-19 must support transformation to renewable energy sources and green development.
- The health implications will depend on the level of investment in trans-disciplinary efforts to build health systems resilient to the prevailing environmental and climate crises.
- Urgent global collaborative action in response to covid-19 must be replicated to improve planetary health and human well-being.

Box 1: Vulnerability to climate change

- Particular individual sensitivity or inadequate operation of health and social systems increase vulnerabilities.
- Among those most vulnerable are older people, children, pregnant women, migrants and other marginalised groups, people on low incomes, and those with pre-existing medical conditions that increase susceptibility.
- The nature and type of hazard, the exposure, and the vulnerability of individuals determines the magnitude of health outcomes.
In the context of covid-19, health challenges of already existing environmental and climatic change means a converging risk for older people, increasing the risk of morbidity and mortality due to cardiovascular and respiratory disease. The increased susceptibility may be explained by a lower reserve capacity physiologically, an immune system with a slower response, and a slower metabolism. Increased vulnerability may be related to poorer physical health, immobility, being in hospitals and nursing homes, and some commonly used medications.

Potential underestimated of health risks related to heat means many more people are likely to be affected. The Lancet Countdown report on climate change and health reported that heatwave exposure among older people was at a record high in 2019. With prevailing policies, the world is projected to be up to 4°C warmer than in pre-industrial times by the end of the century, increasing the risks to health in such a way that they are difficult to predict.

Environmental degradation also has a more direct connection to covid-19. Most recent emerging infectious diseases—and all those responsible for recent pandemics, including HIV/AIDS, SARS, and Ebola—have jumped from wildlife to humans, and the evidence suggests that covid-19 has done the same. The conversion of natural habitats to human influenced ecosystems is recognised to increase the risk of new zoonotic disease in humans. More generally, ongoing human damage to the natural environment creates a global commons challenge: the total damage is no individual’s problem but each individual contributes. There seems to be an appalling lack of understanding of the systemic risks being created in the longer term.

Climate and other environmental changes are consequences of past development pathways. Five years ago, when publishing the planetary boundary framework, Steffen and colleagues set out a safe operating space for humanity, stressing the need for a new model for continued development of human societies that maintains a resilient and sustainable earth system. If such a model is accompanied by changes in ecosystems and socioeconomic pathways, it may allow us to identify interactions that could substantially alter the burden of climate sensitive health outcomes, burdens for which health systems will have to be prepared.

The development choices made today will affect how the future looks. Covid-19 is an opportunity to reflect on pathways for moving forward to be better prepared for the next pandemic and build the resilience needed to be able to make a real difference for current and future generations. In healthcare, there is a willingness and readiness to take large and rapid steps to cure acute illness. But there is less willingness for the slower work of preventing illness, even when it is supported by robust evidence and the human and economic benefits would be much greater over both the short and long term. This is illustrated by the slow progress in efforts to control the epidemic of tobacco related disease (box 3).

Natural experiment
The covid-19 pandemic can be viewed as a natural experiment, showing that social change is possible with rapid cohesive international action, priority setting, and mobilisation of financial resources. Government lockdown policies to prevent the spread of covid-19 reduced travel, industrial production, and energy generation, which in turn decreased the greenhouse gas emissions that drive climate change and the presence of air pollutants. In April 2020, daily global CO₂ emissions were 17% below the mean level in 2019, although levels rose again once restrictions were released. A recent estimate from the Global Carbon project suggested a 7% fall in global CO₂ emission from fossil fuel and industry for 2020. Despite this, Earth overshoot day—the date when nature’s budget for the year is exhausted by humanity—was reported on 22 August 2020.

After the global financial crisis in 2008-09, CO₂ emissions rapidly rebounded, mainly from large emission growth in emerging economies, a rapid return to earlier levels of emission growth in developed economies, and an increase in fossil fuel carbon intensity. The global community should learn from this and design covid-19 recovery plans that protect vulnerable groups, mitigate climate change, focus on meeting temperature targets set in the Paris agreement, reduce air pollution, increase the resilience of health systems, and strengthen opportunities for green development.

With regard to climate change, solid evidence is available to guide a planned social change with “green” innovations and use of green technology as part of economic recovery. An immediate increase in transport and travel can be expected after the pandemic, but this needs to be based on energy sources that do not contribute to accelerated climate change. This will not happen without better public policy. Global subsidies for fossil fuel consumption increased in 2016-18 by 50%, wasting taxpayers’ money and driving up air pollution and carbon emissions. A substantial change can be achieved by governments switching subsidies to support the consumption and development of green energy and technology.

During the covid-19 pandemic researchers from many disciplines quickly joined forces to build knowledge and
find solutions. Scientific journals from a wide range of disciplines have given high priority to publishing articles related to COVID-19. A similar combination of forces will be important to deal with future global challenges.

Critical global health leadership and action at all levels

Global health challenges require global leadership in which nations exchange information and strengthen cooperation. The COVID-19 pandemic has once again shown the importance and need for global governance in maintaining health challenges.

WHO has had a vital role in the COVID-19 pandemic. The organisation also had an important role in the eradication of smallpox. WHO now needs the support of member states to strengthen its ability to deal with future pandemics and health crises, giving it a broader mandate in collaboration with other UN environmental and climate change institutions.

WHO’s leadership in counteracting the environmental and climate crises is essential because, like COVID-19, it requires short and long term policies, monitoring systems, and coordinated responses from national governments, the business sector, and the society at large.

The International Monetary Fund (IMF) has also had a key role during the pandemic, supporting financial order in member countries. Raising funds has also had a key role during the crisis, giving it a broader mandate to strengthen its ability to deal with future pandemics of member states to strengthen its capacity to respond to pandemic crises.

The COVID-19 pandemic has once again highlighted weaknesses in health systems and put a spotlight on inequities that have long persisted but received insufficient attention. Without prompt and effective climate change action, taking vulnerabilities and inequities into consideration, the problems are projected to worsen considerably.2,10

Throughout the pandemic, national decision makers have proved willing to make decisions with far reaching consequences. We now have to make use of this crisis and implement actions to protect our planet and the people. The commitments for sustainable development are a responsibility for decision makers at all levels. Action now will improve the health of current and future generations.
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