Mini-EUSO Mission to Study Earth UV Emissions on board the ISS
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1. Introduction

Mini-EUSO is a telescope observing the Earth in the ultraviolet band from the International Space Station. It is part of the JEM-EUSO program, paving the way to future larger missions, such as K-EUSO and POEMMA, devoted primarily to the observation of ultrahigh-energy cosmic rays from space. Mini-EUSO is capable of observing extensive air showers generated by ultrahigh-energy cosmic rays with an energy above $10^{20}$ eV and to detect artificial showers generated with lasers from the ground. Other main scientific objectives of the mission are the search for nuclearties and strange quark matter, the study of atmospheric phenomena such as transient luminous events, meteors, and meteoroids, the observation of sea bioluminescence and of artificial satellites and man-made space debris. Mini-EUSO will map the nighttime Earth in the UV range (290–430 nm), with a spatial resolution of about 6.3 km and a temporal resolution of 2.5 μs, through a nadir-facing UV-transparent window in the Russian Zvezda module. The instrument, launched on 2019 August 22, from the Baikonur Cosmodrome, is based on an optical system employing two Fresnel lenses and a focal surface composed of 36 multianode photomultiplier tubes, 64 channels each, for a total of 2304 channels with single-photon counting sensitivity and an overall field of view of 44°. Mini-EUSO also contains two ancillary cameras to complement measurements in the near-infrared and visible ranges. In this paper, we describe the detector and present the various phenomena observed in the first months of operations.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Ultra-high-energy cosmic radiation (1733); Meteors (1041); Ultraviolet telescopes (1743); Lightning (2193); Earth atmosphere (437); Earth ionosphere (860)

Eighty years after the first measurement of extensive air showers (EAS; Auger et al. 1939) and almost 60 years after the first report of a particle with energy of $10^{20}$ eV (Linsley 1963), the origin and nature of ultrahigh-energy cosmic rays (UHECRs), particles with $E \geq 10^{19}$ eV, remain unsolved. This is mostly due to the extremely low particle flux—around 1 particle per km² per millennium—reaching the Earth at energies of the order of $10^{20}$ eV. Currently, two ground-based observatories, the Pierre Auger Observatory (PAO; Aab et al. 2020a) and Telescope Array (TA; Abbasi et al. 2018), are observing the sky from the Southern...
and Northern Hemisphere, respectively. In the future, an important step forward in studying UHECRs could come from space-based experiments, which have the potential to look at the whole sky with a much larger equivalent active area (Adams et al. 2013; Panasyuk et al. 2015; Casolino et al. 2017a).

Observation of UHECRs from space is based on the measurement of fluorescence and Cerenkov photons produced in EAS. A UHECR hitting the atmosphere produces secondary particles that, in turn, collide with the air atoms producing a shower largely dominated by electrons and positrons. Crossing the atmosphere, these particles excite metastable energy levels in atmospheric molecules, especially nitrogen. When the electrons in these atoms return to the ground state, they emit characteristic fluorescence light in the ultraviolet (UV) band, with wavelengths between 290 and 430 nm (Arciprete et al. 2006). This light is emitted isotropically, with an intensity proportional to the energy deposited by the shower in the atmosphere. The EAS thus forms a streak of fluorescence light along its path in the atmosphere, depending on the energy and zenith angle of the primary particle. Another detectable component is the Cerenkov light emitted in the forward direction by the charged, relativistic particles of the EAS and reflected into space by the ground or by the clouds. Looking downward at the Earth’s atmosphere from space, a specifically designed telescope can detect the light emitted in the EAS path. At any atmospheric depth, the recorded amount of light is nearly proportional to the shower size at that point. By imaging the motion of the UV track on timescales of microseconds or less, it is possible to define the arrival direction of the primary cosmic ray (Adams et al. 2015a). The integral of recorded light allows a determination of the energy of the primary UHECR. The shape of the shower, especially the position of the shower maximum in the traversed slant depth, gives a hint about the nature of the primary particle.

The most relevant advantage of space-based observations of UHECRs is the extremely large instantaneous observational area that can be monitored from space compared with that of the on-ground arrays. A second relevant feature of this approach is the uniform exposure over the full sky. Space observations can cover a $4\pi$ sky with the same instrument, ensuring identical experimental performance for the Southern and Northern Hemispheres. However, since they detect fluorescence light and are thus operational in moonless nights, they have a low duty cycle of $\sim$10% (Argiro 2003) and have a larger systematic uncertainty compared to ground-based observatories, which can also detect the charged component of the shower (Abbasi et al. 2016).

The idea to go to space to study UHECRs by observing the fluorescence light produced by EAS in the Earth’s atmosphere was first proposed by John Linsley in 1981 (Benson & Linsley 1981). Since then, different attempts have been made to develop detectors to search for UHECRs from space (Scarsi 1999). Currently, this issue is addressed by the JEM-EUSO (Joint Experiment Missions for Extreme Universe Space Observatory) program (Casolino 2017). This program, implemented by a collaboration of about 300 researchers from 16 countries, includes several missions: EUSO-TA (Abdellaoui et al. 2018) on the ground; EUSO-Balloon (Abdellaoui et al. 2019), EUSO-SPB1 (Wiencke et al. 2017), and EUSO-SPB2 (Adams et al. 2017) on stratospheric balloons; Mini-EUSO and TUS (Klimov et al. 2017) in space; and the medium-size mission K-EUSO (Casolino et al. 2017b) that will measure, for the first time from space, the UHECR flux at energies above $10^{19}$ eV. In the roadmap of future of UHECR observation from space is the planned POEMMA (Olinto et al. 2019), a large NASA project under evaluation. See Figure 1 for an overview of the various projects.

UHECR detectors in space also allow the observation in the UV band of a number of phenomena ranging from the detection of meteors and space debris, to transient luminous events (TLEs) and emissions from terrestrial and marine surfaces.

Mini-EUSO (Multiwavelength Imaging New Instrument for the Extreme Universe Space Observatory or “UV atmosphere” in the Russian Space Program) is a telescope (Figure 2) operating in the UV range (290–430 nm) with a square field of view of $\sim$44° and a ground resolution of $\sim$6.3 $\times$ 6.3 km$^2$ (Capel et al. 2018), depending on the altitude of the International Space Station (ISS). Mini-EUSO was brought to the ISS by the uncrewed Soyuz MS-14, on 2019 August 22. First observations from the nadir-facing UV-transparent window in the Russian Zvezda module took place on 2019 October 7. Since then, it has been taking data periodically, with installations occurring every couple of weeks. The instrument is expected to operate for at least three years. The optical system consists of two Fresnel lenses with a diameter of 25 cm. The focal surface, or photon detector module (PDM), consists of 36 multianode photomultipliers (MAPMTs) tubes by Hamamatsu, 64 pixels each, capable of single-photon detection. Readout is handled by ASICs (application specific integrated circuits) in frames of 2.5 $\mu$s (this is defined as 1 gate time unit, GTU). Data are then processed by a Zynq-based FPGA board, which implements a multilevel triggering, allowing the measurement of triggered UV transients for 128 frames at timescales of both 2.5 $\mu$s and 320 $\mu$s. An untriggered acquisition mode with $\sim$40.96 ms frames performs continuous data taking.

2. Scientific Objectives

Mini-EUSO is capable of addressing a number of different scientific objectives occurring at various timescales. The main topics, in order of decreasing duration of the phenomena, are (Figure 3):

1. Night UV emissions from the Earth: Mini-EUSO will map the Earth in the near-UV range with spatial and temporal resolution of $\sim$6.3 $\times$ 6.3 km$^2$ and 2.5 $\mu$s, respectively, measuring variations of the UV emissions. This allows studying the emissions from different surfaces, such as snow, cloud, grass, savannah, etc., also taking into account seasonal variations, which could be related to human activities. These observations will lead to a better understanding of climatic effects and the presence of hot aerosols in the atmosphere, as well as monitoring volcanic emissions. In particular, the observation of UV emissions over the seas and oceans, caused by algae or plankton bioluminescence (Miller et al. 2005), provides information on marine pollution. Similar studies over land give information about the status of terrestrial vegetation and measure the effects of human activities such as the potential of UV emissions by agricultural crops or the emissions generated by industrial or civilian facilities.

2. Airglow: in the chemistry of the atmosphere, a particular role is played by airglows that, in the wavelength band 330–400 nm that can be detected by Mini-EUSO, are
dominated by the emission from oxygen molecules in the Herzberg I band around the boundary region at an altitude of 95 km between the mesosphere and thermosphere (Koizumi et al. 2009; Iwagami et al. 2005). Mini-EUSO will study the geographical and time variation of airglows, which are possible effects of geomagnetic disturbances in the upper atmosphere and also of tsunami events. It will be possible, for example, to identify and reconstruct patterns of atmospheric gravity waves induced by tsunami waves in airglow light (Horinouchi et al. 2002).

3. Space debris: attempts will be made to track space debris to investigate the possibility of using laser ablation for their removal. The maximum detection distance of Mini-EUSO is about 100 km for debris size of 0.1 m. This observation is restricted to the local twilight period of the orbit, about 5 minutes every 90 minutes. Since, from the nadir-observing window, the geometry of observation is not optimal, we are studying the possibility of changing the observation window and inclination, as well as irradiating the detected debris using a prototype low-power laser system. The successful irradiation will be confirmed by the brightening of the debris by the reflected laser photons (Ebisuzaki et al. 2015).

4. Meteors are relatively slow ($v \leq 72$ km s$^{-1}$) and long-lasting (a few seconds) events, which illuminate in sequence several light-sensitive pixels of the Mini-EUSO focal surface. Mini-EUSO will contribute to meteor-hazard estimation by covering a projected surface on the ground of about $320 \times 320$ km$^2$ including inter-pixel dead areas (at 100 km altitude, where meteors burn, the field of view is about $240 \times 240$ km$^2$); it could be considered a precursor for the optimization of future instruments for detecting meteors from space. The maximum observable magnitude is between 4 and 5, depending on background conditions (Abdellaoui et al. 2017; Adams et al. 2015b). The time dependence of the light intensity will be determined for each event. The frequency-intensity distribution of the observation of meteors will allow to make an inventory of the population of near-Earth objects from space with a large field of view and the advantage of not being covered by clouds. In addition, when meteors are observed in coincidence with ground-based telescopes, it will be possible to determine their three-dimensional path and original heliocentric orbit (Adams et al. 2015b).

5. Strange quark matter (SQM) is a theoretically predicted bound state of up, down, and strange quarks (Witten 1984). Thanks to the lowering of the Fermi energy per nucleon by the addition of a third quark species, this matter could be stable (Gilson & Jaffe 1993) and form the true ground state of hadronic matter. SQM could have cosmological origin and might be present at the core of neutron stars (Drago et al. 2014; Alford et al. 2007). In particular, strange stars, made of SQM and bound by the strong interaction, should exist with very different
properties from those predicted for hadronic neutron stars. If SQM nuggets, also called nuclearites, are present in our Galaxy they could encounter the Earth and interact with its atmosphere. The high density of these nuclearites would produce a long and constant signal in the atmosphere (De Rujula & Glashow 1984). Furthermore,
their interstellar origin would result in higher speed than solar system meteors. These two features should permit a clear identification of this class of events (Piotrowski et al. 2020). As mentioned, Mini-EUSO is able to observe meteors down to magnitude 4 and 5, corresponding to an SQM nugget size of $10^{24}$ GeV/$c$ or higher (Adams et al. 2015b).

6. Transient luminous events (TLEs: sprites, blue and gigantic jets, halo, ELVEs, etc.) are upper-atmospheric optical phenomena of electromagnetic nature, connected with thunderstorms (Füllekrug et al. 2006). ELVEs (Emission of Light and Very low frequency perturbations due to Electromagnetic pulse Sources) were predicted (Inan et al. 1991) before observation (Boeck et al. 1992; Fukunishi et al. 1996), and last a few hundred microseconds at most. The global coverage of its measurements allows Mini-EUSO to observe these events and study the so-called far-from-thunderstorm transient atmospheric events, observed previously in a couple of experiments (Klimov et al. 2018; Aab et al. 2020b). Furthermore, it will be possible to make joint observations with other detectors on board the ISS such as Altea-Lidal and ASIM (Østgaard et al. 2019). ASIM has observed several ELVEs and reported the emissions of a Terrestrial Gamma-ray Flash (TGF) in conjunction with an ELVE (Neubert et al. 2020). Altea-Lidal is a cosmic-ray detector devoted to the study of the charged radiation environment on board the ISS. Lidal (Light Ion Detector for Altea; Rizzo et al. 2019) consists of a time-of-flight detector coupled to the detector units (silicon-strip based) of the Altea detector (Narici et al. 2004) to extend its observational capabilities. In this configuration, Altea-Lidal is expected to detect protons and heavy ions with $E > 40$ MeV$/n$, although the threshold energy for single counters is lower ($E \approx 10$ MeV for protons). We will try to correlate particle counts with signals observed by Mini-EUSO in order to study correlations between intense lightning or ELVEs and the increase of particle counts in Altea-Lidal. Usually these correlations have been observed with lower energy gamma-rays and electrons/positrons (Dwyer et al. 2012), so, even in case of no observations, we will be able to place an upper limit on the acceleration of hadrons by lightning.

7. UHECR: Mini-EUSO can measure fluorescence and Cerenkov light emitted by UHECR-initiated showers. The diameter of the lens system, constrained by the size of the ISS window, places the threshold energy for UHECR detection around $10^{21}$ eV. We estimate a yearly exposure, after commissioning and selecting observations close to new moon and in regions of low background, to be on the order of $1000$ km$^{2}$ sr yr. The absence of events with energy above $3 \cdot 10^{20}$ eV (Bird et al. 1995), obtained with detectors of total exposures of $8 \cdot 10^{4}$ km$^{2}$ sr yr of Auger in 2019 (Verzi 2019; Abraham et al. 2008, 2010) and $8 \cdot 10^{3}$ km$^{2}$ sr yr of TA in 2017 (Tsunesada et al. 2017; Abu-Zayyad et al. 2013) as well as joint Auger-TA analysis (Deligny 2019; Dawson et al. 2017), make it unlikely for Mini-EUSO to observe any event at these energies. However, we note that Mini-EUSO exposure is
of the same order of magnitude of the fluorescence detector of Telescope Array, so it can contribute to search for exotic events that would not give a signal in the surface detectors. In order to pave the way to future larger systems and optimize their design, a UV ground flasher and a UV ground laser will be operated during fly-by Adams et al. (2014, 2015). Moreover, Mini-EUSO should shed light on the nature of the extreme energy EAS-like event recently reported by TUS (Khrenov et al. 2017), by observing several of these events thanks to its larger field of view (Khrenov et al. 2020).

8. Exposure for future UHECR and neutrino observations from space: Mini-EUSO will provide a large variety of essential data to estimate the exposure of space-based detectors for the detection of UHECRs and neutrinos from space, namely the intensity of UV terrestrial emissions and its variation as a function of time and geographic location; the role of anthropogenic lights, the lightning frequency, their contribution to the increase of the UV light intensity as well as the possibility of localizing them in UV. The effect of these factors was originally taken into account in the calculation of the exposure for JEM-EUSO (Adams et al. 2013, 2015a, 2015b). Mini-EUSO data will provide fundamental information to evaluate to evaluate the exposure more accurately.

3. Instrument Overview

Mini-EUSO has been designed to be installed in the interior of the ISS on the UV-transparent window located in the Zvezda module. The dimensions (37 × 37 × 62 cm³) are thus defined by the size of the window and the constraints of the Soyuz spacecraft. Furthermore, the design accommodates the requirements of safety (no sharp edges, low surface temperature, robustness, etc.) to the crew. Coupling to the window is done via a mechanical adapter flange; the only connection to the ISS is via a 28 V power supply and grounding cable. The power consumption of the telescope is ~60 W and the weight is 35 kg, including the 5 kg flange. For each observation session, taking place about every two weeks and of the duration of about 12 hours, the instrument is removed from storage and installed on the UV window. Data are stored on 512 GB USB solid state disks (SSD) that are inserted in the side of the telescope by the astronauts. No direct telecommunication with ground is present, but each session samples of data (about 10%, usually corresponding to the beginning and the end of each session) are copied by the crew and transmitted to ground to verify the correct functioning of the instrument and optimize its working parameters. Conversely, before each session, working parameters, patches in software and hardware are uplinked to the ISS and then copied on the SSD disk to fine-tune the acquisition of the telescope. Pouches with 25 SSDs are returned to Earth every 6 months.

The telescope can be divided into three main systems: the optics, the focal surface, and the data acquisition module.

3.1. Optical System

The optics (Figure 4) consists of two, 25 cm diameter, Fresnel lenses with a wide field of view (44° seen from the PDM). Poly(methyl methacrylate), PMMA, is used to manufacture the lenses with a diamond bit machine. In this way, it is possible to have a light (11 mm thickness, 0.87 kg/lens), robust, and compact design well suited for space applications. The effective focal length of the system is 300 mm, with a point-spread function (PSF) of 1.2 pixels, of the same dimension as the pixel size of the MAPMTs.

The measured photon collection efficiency (PCE) of the optical system, defined as the number of photons that arrive in one pixel divided by the number of photons incident upon the front lens, is plotted in Figure 5. The figure shows the good transmittance and uniformity of response of the system, exhibiting some vignetting effect only at large angles, corresponding to the borders and corners of the focal surface.
3.2. The Focal Surface

The Mini-EUSO focal surface (PDM) consists of a matrix of 36 MAPMTs (Hamamatsu Photonics R11265-M64), arranged in an array of 6 × 6 elements. Each MAPMT consists of 8 × 8 pixels, resulting in a total of 2304 channels (Figure 6). The MAPMTs are grouped in elementary cells (ECs), each with 2 × 2 units. Each of the nine ECs (Figure 7) of the PDM shares a

Figure 5. The photon collection efficiency (PCE) of the Mini-EUSO lens system. The PCE has been measured with a 405 nm laser as a function of the angle at which photons enter the first lens, and is defined as the light collected in a square of 5 mm size.

Figure 6. Mini-EUSO focal surface. The photo detector module (PDM) is composed by 36 MAPMTs, each with 64 independent channels (2304 total pixels) and arranged in groups of four (an elementary cell, EC). On top of the PDM is a 64 channel silicon photomultiplier, at the bottom of the PDM are two light sensors and a single-pixel SiPM.
common high-voltage power supply and a board connecting the dynodes and anodes of the four photomultipliers. The whole system (250 g each EC, including filters and MAPMTs) is potted with Arathane and located in the shadow of the photosensors. Similar PDM units have been used in the ground telescope of EUSO-TA (Abdellaoui et al. 2018) and in the first two balloon flights, EUSO-Balloon (Adams et al. 2015; Abdellaoui et al. 2019) and EUSO-SPB1 (Bacholle & JEM-EUSO Collaboration 2017). A more complex setup, involving three PDMs side-by-side, will be used in the upcoming EUSO-SPB2 flight (Adams et al. 2017).

UV bandpass filters (2 mm of BG3 material) with antireflective coating are glued in front of the MAPMTs to select mostly wavelengths between 290 nm and 430 nm. Figure 8 shows the various contributions to the overall detector efficiency. The detection efficiency of the MAPMTs has been obtained rescaling the quantum efficiency curve provided by Hamamatsu by a typical collection efficiency of 80%. The result is consistent with the detection efficiency measured in laboratory at 398 nm.

The array of MAPMTs in the Mini-EUSO PDM is powered by a low-power consumption Cockroft-Walton high-voltage power supply (HVPS). The system has an internal safety circuit, which removes the electric potential difference between the photocathode and the first dynode in case of high current drain due to bright light (more than 100 counts in a given GTU on more than three pixels of a given EC). If the high current drain persists due to very bright sources (e.g., strong lightning), the HV for that EC unit is turned off.

In this way, the system allows Mini-EUSO to observe phenomena with brightness varying several orders of magnitude: from a UHECR showers (a few counts/pixel/GTU) to bright ELVEs (≈80 counts/pixel/GTU) and lightning (up to and even beyond \(10^4\) counts/pixel/GTU).

### 3.3. The Data Acquisition Module

Spaciroc-3 ASICs (Blin et al. 2018) are used as front-end electronics. Each Spaciroc-3 handles in parallel 64 independent channels and thus preamplifies and digitizes photoelectron signals from a single MAPMT. The MAPMTs are operated in photon-counting mode to minimize the contribution of the integrated noise. When a UV photon hits the photocathode, a photoelectron is produced with a probability depending on the quantum efficiency of the cathode. After being amplified with a gain of the order of \(10^6\) in the dynode cascade in the photomultiplier, the signals are discriminated (with a threshold for each PMT) and integrated in a 2.5 μs period (defined, as mentioned, to be 1 GTU). Single photon discrimination is 5 ns. Every GTU each ASIC sends the counts from the corresponding MAPMT to the PDM data processing (PDM-DP) system for readout. The PDM-DP is based on a Zynq board containing a Xilinx FPGA and an embedded dual-core ARM9 CPU processing system. The board is responsible for the majority of the data handling, from data reception, buffering, configuration of the Spaciroc-3 ASICs, implementation of the trigger algorithms, and interfacing with the CPU. The HVPS board is also controlled by this module in order to have a real-time response to high light signals as a second safety level against bright light. The PDM-DP stores the 2.5 μs data stream (D1) in a running buffer on which runs the trigger code. The algorithm searches for a signal above 16 standard deviations from the average in any pixel of the focal surface. Both the rms and the average are calculated in real time to take into account varying illumination conditions. In case of a trigger,
the 128-frame buffer (64 frames before the trigger and 64 after it) is stored in memory. Independently from the trigger, sums of 128 frames (320 μs, D2) are continuously calculated and temporarily stored in another buffer where a similar trigger algorithm (at this timescale) is run. See Belov et al. (2018) for a more detailed description of the trigger logic. Similarly, sums on 128 D2 frames (40.96 ms, D3) are also stored in real time. Every 5.24 s, 128 packets of D3 data and up to four D2 packets and four D1 packets (if triggered) are sent to the CPU for storage.
Key parameters of the trigger algorithm, such as the threshold and integration period, are configurable and can be changed in flight by modifying the contents of the SSD.

### 3.4. CPU

The CPU (CMX34BT, 1.33 GHz single core Atom) is devoted to the task of controlling the instrument, handling the data management and storage on SSD cards, housekeeping, switching between operational modes, and collecting data from the NIR (Near InfraRed) and VIS (Visible) cameras (in 4 s frames) as well as the ancillary systems (Capel et al. 2019). The data acquisition system is summarized in Figure 9.

### 3.5. Ancillary Systems

Mini-EUSO houses two cameras, one in the near-infrared (NIR; 1500–1600 nm) and one in the visible (VIS; 400–780 nm) band, to provide additional information in different frequency ranges. These cameras are located in the corners of the plane facing the window and are read directly by the CPU (USB bus).

The data is acquired independently of the PDM (Turritiziani et al. 2019) in 4 s exposure frames. Mini-EUSO sensors also include a 64 channel multipixel photon counter SiPM (Hammatsu C14047-3050EA08) array, a single-pixel SiPM (Hammatsu C13365), and two UV sensors (Analog Devices AD8304ARUZ, Lapis Semiconductor ML8511) for day/night information. These detectors are located in the focal plane of the PDM (see Figure 6) and read by the CPU.

### 4. Engineering and Flight Models

Two copies of the detector have been realized: the engineering model (EM) and the flight model (FM). In the EM, only the four central MAPTMs are present and the other tubes are replaced with mass dummies. Both copies have been subjected to a series of qualification and acceptance tests, more severe for the EM. The EM was used in the vibration, electric and electromagnetic interference and compatibility (EMI-EMC), and thermal-vacuum/environmental tests. The EM is now being used as a training model for the various crews who will operate it on the ISS. The FM underwent electric and vibration (at a reduced level) tests. See Belov et al. (2020) for a detailed description of the qualification tests.

#### 4.1. Preflight Tests

The mass of the telescope required it to be launched in a hard-mounted configuration inside the Soyuz capsule. This translates in higher vibration loads on the hardware. Shock and random-vibration launch load tests, reflecting the corresponding requirements, took place in 2019 February and May. The EM was subjected to random-vibration tests along the three axes in the frequency range from 20–2000 Hz, and shock acceleration tests up to 40 g along the three axes. After each vibration sequence, visual inspection and pre/post-resonance comparison to search for displacement of natural frequencies due to internal damage or loosening of parts was carried out.

EMI-EMC tests are performed to verify that the Mini-EUSO instrument does not produce any undesired electromagnetic radiated emissions and that, conversely, it is capable of withstanding external electromagnetic interference. The EM has thus been subjected to emission and susceptibility tests in an anechoic chamber to verify its electromagnetic compatibility requirements: low and high frequency, conductive interference, electrical field intensity produced by high frequency emissions, pulse interference, and inrush current.

Temperature and humidity conditions that might be experienced during the cargo transportation to the launch site...
and within the Soyuz capsule have been reproduced in a thermal-vacuum chamber. During transportation to the Baikonur launch site, temperature excursions can range between ±50°C, depending on the time of the year, while the humidity can reach a level up to 90%. In the Soyuz, atmospheric conditions (450–970 mm Hg) are maintained during launch. Several thermal cycles inside a thermal chamber were made at low and high temperatures, ±55°C, and with humidity levels up to 95%. After each test, the instrument was switched on for a functional run.

4.2. Tests on Trigger

Trigger tests were conducted in 2018 at the TurLab facility (Miyamoto et al. 2017) of the Department of Physics of the University of Turin, which hosts a ~5 m diameter rotating tank used to perform analysis with moving light sources. The facility is located in a dark environment where the intensity of background light can be adjusted and controlled. The Mini-EUSO EM, with a classical plano-convex lens of 2.5 cm diameter, was hung on the ceiling above the TurLab tank and tested there.

Figure 10 shows the setup for the TurLab measurement. Other pictures in the figure show the light sources and materials reproducing the various phenomena that Mini-EUSO can observe from space, such as rocks, desert, glacier ice, cloud, forest, lightning, and city light as well as meteors and cosmic rays. Each phenomenon is, respectively, reproduced by means of bricks, sand, smashed glass, clusters of particles floating in the water, moss, LED light through the holes of a model of the city of Turin, Lissajous tracks on an oscilloscope, and an Arduino-driven LED strip. All these materials were illuminated by diffused background light which was placed on the ceiling above the tank, to reproduce the diffused airglow in the atmosphere at the level of the expected photon counts of ~1 count/pixel/GTU. A Mini-EUSO observation along the ISS orbit is reproduced by the rotation of the tank with the various light-emitting or reflecting materials.

Additional outdoor observations were performed from the roof of the Department of Physics (latitude 45°03′08″ N, longitude 7°40′53″ E) and at the Astronomical Observatory of Pino Torinese (latitude 45°02′25″ N, longitude 7°45′53″ E), where the sky conditions allowed observation of faint sources. During these tests, flashers, building lights, stars with apparent magnitude up to 4, and Jupiter have been observed. Four possible meteors have been detected, and from the comparison with the brightness of stars, they have apparent magnitudes of about 4.

Artificial lights from the urban area were also used to test the detector. Lighted signs and flashes of skyscrapers and towers located in the Turin area, as well as airplane flashes, were detected with D1 and D2 data streams. Light curves with time sampling of 320 μs show an alternating signal due to the ~50 Hz signal from the electrical grid of the town. Light curves
with time bins of 40.96 ms show high pulses due to flashers of skyscrapers pulsating with a frequency of \( \sim 0.7 \) Hz.

An orbiting rocket body that transported a telecommunication satellite was also detected and later identified as the “Meteor 1–31 Rocket”. It is a 2.6 \( \times \) 2.8 m large-size space debris orbiting at an average height of \( \sim 550 \) km and an angular velocity of 0.78° s. Figure 11 shows the satellite as a bright pixel moving from the top-left corner toward the bottom-right corner of the frame in three data frames integrated over 40.96 ms.

4.3. Field Tests

The instrument was field-tested in the Apennine Mountains, close to the town of Paganico Sabino (latitude 42°09’38” N, longitude 13°00’33” E), and in Rome from the roof of the Physics Department of the University of Rome Tor Vergata (latitude 41°51’15” N, longitude 12°36’15” E).

In Figure 12(a), a night-sky frame acquired in zenith position in the Apennine Mountains during ground tests is shown. From the observation of the various stars in the field of view, it is possible to obtain a first estimation of the PSF of the instrument of about 1.2 pixels, in agreement with the theoretical estimate (Figure 4).

4.4. Acceptance and Launch

Finally, the detector passed several acceptance tests, first in Rome, subsequently in Moscow, and finally in the Baikonur cosmodrome. It was then integrated in the uncrewed Soyuz capsule and launched on 2019 August 22. The first docking attempt on the Pirs module docking port, on 2019 August 24, was unsuccessful. After relocation of the Soyuz MS-13 capsule, a second, successful, docking attempt on the Zvezda docking port took place on 2019 August 28.

5. In-flight Operations

The telescope was first turned on 2019 October 7 (Figure 13). As already mentioned, the detector is designed to operate in nighttime conditions. The CPU handles cycling between day and night based on the measurements performed by the UV sensors located in the focal surface. The ML8511 sensor is used for this purpose, although, for redundancy reasons, all three sensors (two photodiodes and one SiPM) can be used. To avoid spurious fluctuations between the two states at the night/day terminators, two thresholds are used. Figure 14 shows the light measured by the UV sensor as a function of time during one session of data taking. It is possible to see the transition between day and night every \( \sim 45 \) minutes. 27

At the start of each session, the detector is taken from storage, the lens cover removed and the instrument is placed in position on the UV-transparent window in the Zvezda module. Power and ground cables are connected, an SSD card is installed, and power is switched on. Time is kept internally with a real-time clock as there are no other connections with the ISS. The daily drift of the clock has been measured on the ground and is periodically checked with data taken on board. Upon startup, the system checks whether specific operational parameters that override the existing ones are present on the SSD card. The initialization program also checks if software and/or firmware upgrades are present in the SSD and, in that case, it uses them. This flexible approach allows for continuous improvement of operations.

At the end of each session, the detector is stored and the log file and a few data files are transmitted by telemetry for analysis and verification of the correct functioning of the system. The first session involved operation in safe mode, with only one EC unit active and the HVPS set to last dynode voltage mode, corresponding to a sensitivity of about 1% compared to the normal HVPS mode. Gradually, along the course of the following sessions, the subsequent acquisitions have used the full PDM in normal voltage mode.

6. First Observations

Raw data are processed and analyzed with the ROOT (Brun & Rademakers 1997) framework.

Figure 15 shows the observed total signal of the focal surface as a function of time for signals of various timescales, from the

---

27 The illumination period depends on the Beta angle of the ISS, the angle between the orbital plane of the station and the Sun–Earth vector. When \( \beta \) is close to 90° (for ISS \( \beta_{\text{max}} = 75° \)) the station is almost always illuminated by the Sun and operations are not possible. When \( \beta = 0° \) the duration of the local night is the longest.
Figure 16. Nighttime emissions of the Earth. Top: Hokkaido, Bottom: East coast of India. The green lines show the ground-water boundary, the purple lines show the emissions in the visible range (arbitrary units, NOAA 2018). The square region shown in the coast of India shows the field of view of one D3 frame of Mini-EUSO and corresponds to the region shown in Figure 17.

Figure 17. Left: Frame taken with a 2.5 μs (D1) time sampling. Center: Frame taken with a 320 μs (D2) time sampling. Right: Frame taken with a 40.96 ms (D3) time sampling. In all frames, color denotes counts/GTU (2.5 μs). The statistical fluctuations of D1 are averaged in D2 (128 frames) and D3 (128 × 128 frames) images so that they appear to differ very little. The sequence refers to approximately the same time of acquisition from the passage over India shown in Figure 16.
faster 2.5 μs sampling (D1) to the 128-frame average for D2 (320 μs) to the 128 × 128 frame average for D3 (40.96 ms). In the longer time frames, the gradual increase is due to the passage over a clouded area, whereas the sharp spikes are due to lightning. Large lightning triggers the safety system of the detector, resulting in the temporary deactivation of the HVPS of the EC unit which is overexposed by lightning.

The various signals detected by Mini-EUSO can be distinguished according to their temporal and spatial profile. The main types of events are:
1. Earth emissions depend on the surface visible, e.g., ground, sea, or clouds. They move in the field of view with an apparent speed close to the orbital velocity of the ISS\(^{28}\), \(\approx 7.7 \text{ km s}^{-1}\). A given point is thus visible for about 42 s (\(\approx 1000\) frames in D3 acquisition) as it moves on the focal surface; it is thus possible to derive ground maps with good spatial resolution and reduced statistical fluctuations. Typical maps of the Earth emissions in the UV are shown in Figures 16 and 17. Towns and other anthropogenic lights appear to move at the speed of the ISS. The signal on a given pixel depends on the size of the town and its neighborhood. The typical time profile of a single pixel consists of a gradual growth of light lasting for some seconds, according to the size of the town (Figure 15).

2. Lightning are transient events with duration of \(\approx 1\) s and that can illuminate the whole focal surface, with passage over high lightning activity lasting \(\approx 100\) s. As already mentioned, large events can temporarily switch off one or more EC units. Figure 15 shows the time profile of some lightning events as seen in D2 and D3 modes.

3. Light modulation. Artificial lights can also be identified in D2 timescale in the 50 or 60 Hz light modulation. This is visible better in small towns and villages, which are all connected to the same transformer and which emit light

---

\(^{28}\) Since the Earth is also rotating, the apparent speed is slightly lower and closer to 7.4 km s\(^{-1}\).
in phase. This is more difficult to observe in larger cities, which have different sections connected to different transformers and with varying phases. Figure 15 shows the light modulation from Canada and India with 120 and 100 Hz frequency (double of the AC frequency), respectively.

4. Meteors can have varying signals depending on mass, velocity, and angle of incidence. Meteors are identified offline in the D3 timescale looking for straight tracks moving in the field of view. The rate of observed meteors is ≈0.4 meteors per minute; that will be the subject of a future paper. Figure 18 shows the time and spatial profile of a meteor.

5. Ground flashers are used as obstruction lights (usually with xenon) to warn aircraft of the presence of buildings or towers. They have different brightness and duration (Adams et al. 2014) but usually last a few hundred microseconds (Figure 15) and are usually observed several times as they move in the field of view of the instrument.

6. ELVEs are observed as large ring-like upper-atmospheric emissions that appear to be expanding at superluminal speed. Figure 19 shows the pictures of one ELVE observed on 2019 December 5) entering the field of view.

7. Direct hits on the focal surface are due to cosmic rays that directly interact with the photocathode or the BG3 filter, either with direct ionization or emitting Cerenkov light. Most of the events cross one or a few pixels, releasing a high signal that lasts a few GTUs and exhibits a sharp increase and an exponential decrease due to the de-excitation of the elements hit. Figure 20 shows a direct hit co-planar to the focal surface with an exponential decrease lasting about three to five frames, depending on the energy deposited by the primary ion. As was to be expected due to the short exposure, the search for UHECR has so far yielded no results.

7. Conclusions

In this work, we have described the Mini-EUSO telescope and its main characteristics. The detector is currently on board the ISS performing periodic observations of the Earth. Operations are expected to continue for at least three years. Initial analysis of the data received in the first six months of operations confirm the correct functioning of the instrument and the possibility to fulfill its scientific goals. We have observed events in all the operational time frames, from the fast ELVEs (2.5 μs sampling), to meteors (40.96 ms readout), lightning, and terrestrial emissions. Analysis of the data is in progress and will be the subject of future publications.
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