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Abstract

Cell-penetrating peptides (CPPs) have been increasingly used to deliver various molecules, both in vitro and in vivo. However, there are no reports of CPPs being used in porcine fetal fibroblasts (PFFs). The increased use of transgenic pigs for basic research and biomedical applications depends on the availability of technologies for efficient genetic-modification of PFFs. Here, we report that three CPPs (CPP5, TAT, and R9) can efficiently deliver active Cre recombinase protein into PFFs via an energy-dependent endocytosis pathway. The three CPP–Cre proteins can enter PFFs and subsequently perform recombination with different efficiencies. The recombination efficacy of CPP5–Cre was found to be nearly 90%. The rate-limiting step for CPP–Cre-mediated recombination was the step of endosome escape. HA2 and chloroquine were found to improve the recombination efficiency of TAT–Cre. Furthermore, we successfully obtained marker-free transgenic pigs using TAT–Cre and CPP5–Cre. We provide a framework for the development of CPP-based farm animal transgenic technologies that would be beneficial to agriculture and biomedicine.

Introduction

Transgenic technology is important for biomedical and agricultural applications. Traditional transgenic methods include microinjection, electroporation, nucleofection, and the use of liposomes and viral vectors [1–5]. However, these methods can be inefficient and time-consuming, and are associated with cytotoxicity. Recently, “cell-penetrating peptide technology” has been reported to be an efficient and safe approach for gene delivery.

Cell-penetrating peptides (CPPs), also known as protein transduction domain (PTD) peptides, can be used to deliver a large variety of molecules, including drugs, nucleic acids, peptides, and large proteins, into mammalian cells, both in vitro and in vivo, with no apparent toxicity [6–10]. TAT (YGRKKRRQRRR) [11], R9 (RRRRRRRRRR) [12], and CPP5 (KLVPM) [13] are three widely investigated and frequently used CPPs. Although the transduction mechanism of CPPs is not fully understood, it is clear that two types of cellular uptake mechanisms
exist [14–16], namely an endocytosis-dependent mechanism [17] and a direct membrane translocation mechanism [18]. Understanding the transduction mechanism will further improve the efficacy of CPPs in basic research and in potential therapeutic applications. The cell permeable transduction technology has been successfully applied to various mammalian cells, such as fibroblasts [19], hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) [20], and mouse and human embryonic stem cells [21,22]. Moreover, CPPs was demonstrated to deliver "cargo" to immature wheat embryos and plants tissues [23,24]. However, there are no reports on the use of CPPs in porcine fetal fibroblasts and in the generation of transgenic pigs.

Transgenic domestic animals have important applications in agriculture and biomedical research [25,26]. Pigs are considered to be more suitable biomedical models than rodents for studying human diseases because they are similar to humans in their physiology and anatomy, and have similar lifespan [27]. It is becoming one of the most important sources of donor organs for xenotransplantation [28,29]. In the process of generating transgenic pigs, antibiotic-resistance genes are required to select transgenic cells as donor cells for successful somatic cell nuclear transfer (SCNT), which is the most effective method for producing transgenic farm animals [30–32]. The presence of antibiotic-resistance genes in transgenic animals can have side effects: firstly, it might cause changes in the regulation of adjacent genes and might attenuate the expression of the gene of interest [33,34]; secondly, it restricts multiple genetic manipulations in the same host [35]; thirdly, it might arouse public concern about biological safety. It has been reported that the Cre/loxP system can be used to remove selective marker genes in cells of many species [36,37]. Using a cell-permeable Cre protein can induce recombination in nearly 100% of human embryonic stem (hES) cells, without any apparent toxicity [22]. However, the removal of selection marker genes has been poorly investigated in transgenic pigs. It has recently been reported that marker-free transgenic pigs can be obtained using the "Sleeping Beauty transposon system" and the Cre/loxP system [38–40]; however, the Sleeping Beauty transposon system is labor intensive and requires screening for single-copy marker gene integration and breeding. The use of the Cre plasmid or mRNA also requires additional electroporation process and has the risk of unwanted integrations with the use of a Cre expression plasmid. Thus, it is necessary to establish a simple, efficient, and reliable method to remove the selection marker genes.

In this study, we evaluated the actual potency and mechanism of three prominent CPPs, namely TAT, R9, and CPP5, in promoting the translocation of Cre across the plasma membrane of PFFs and in subsequent recombination. We successfully generated marker-free transgenic pigs using these methods. We expect that this method will serve as a powerful tool for the rapid and efficient genetic manipulation of PFFs and will accelerate the development of transgenic pigs for agricultural and biomedical applications.

**Materials and methods**

**Ethics statement**

All the animal work in this study was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the China Agricultural University with approved number SKLAB-2012-04-05. All the procedures were performed in strict accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. We performed all surgeries under sodium pentobarbital anesthesia and tried our best to minimize animals suffering.

**Plasmid construction**

The pTAT-Cre vector was purchased from Addgene (Plasmid #35619). The pCPP5 and R9 sequences were generated by the single-strand oligo annealing method using primers CPP5-R,
CPP5-F, R9-R and R9-F (S1 Table). The CPP5 and R9 sequences were then inserted into the pTAT-Cre vector at the NcoI and NdeI restriction sites, respectively, and the constructs were named pCPP5-Cre and pR9-Cre, respectively. The pCAGSTOP2 vector was digested with BamHI and SacI, and the three PCR-amplified fragments (mCherry from pmCherry-N1, Neo from pACN, and EGFP from pEGFP-N1) using appropriate primers (S1 Table) were fused together by the In-Fusion method (Clontech, Dalian, China, Code: 639648). The resulting plasmid was named pDFR and was used as the substrate to assay protein activity in vitro.

Prokaryotic expression and purification of CPPs-Cre recombinase

All the CPPs-Cre prokaryotic expression vectors were transformed into BL21 Escherichia coli. Cultured the bacteria for 2.5 h at 37˚C until the OD600 of medium reach to 0.5, and then induced with 0.2 mM IPTG at 16˚C overnight. Cells were harvested and then lysed in lysis buffer (10 mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 10 mM K$_2$HPO$_4$, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM 2-mercaptoethanol and 0.1 mM PMSF). After centrifuging the lysate, the cleared lysate was loaded onto Ni-NTA column and then G25 column to purify and elute the CPPs-Cre protein in DMEM (Gibco, New York, NY, USA) with 10% glycerol (w/v). The amount of protein was quantified using the Bradford assay (Bio- Rad).

Isolation and culture of PFFs

Porcine fetal fibroblasts were isolated from the fetuses of transgenic or wild-type pigs and cultured in DMEM (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco, New York, NY, USA), 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Gibco).

Fluorescently labeled CPPs-Cre proteins actively associate with PFFs

Porcine fetal fibroblasts were seeded overnight onto 96-well plates at a density of 5,000 cells/well and were washed and incubated in serum-free medium for 3 h at 37˚C. The cells were then treated with CPPs-Cre-Alexa Fluor488 (Alexa Fluor 488 Protein Labeling Kit, Molecular Probes, Catalogue: A-10235) proteins for 3 h in serum-free medium at 37˚C or 4˚C as indicated. They were then placed on ice, washed several times with PBS, trypsinized, and placed on ice for FACS. The cell association was quantitated by the moFLo XDP (BECKMAN COULTER) flow cytometer equipped with analysis software (Summit 5.2). The live cell population was gated by forward scatter/side scatter, and 1,000–2,000 live cells were used for each analysis.

MTT assay used to detect the cytotoxicity

About 5,000 PFFs were plated on 96-well tissue culture plate and cultivated for 24h. Then the commercial MTT assay kit (Beyotime Biotechnology, Beijing, china, Code: C0009) were used to investigate the cytotoxicity of different concentration of CPPs-Cre proteins and the cytotoxicity of HA2 and chloroquine in PFFs in different concentration at 37˚C for 3h.

The colocalization of CPPs-Cre and macropinosomes in porcine fetal fibroblast

To visualize CPPs-Cre internalization, the CPPs-Cre were fluorescently labeled with Alexa 488 (Molecular Probes). The PFFs were incubated with 2 μM fluorescent CPPs-Cre-488 and 4 μM FM4-64 (Millipore, Catalogue: 574799) for 3 h, and then were washed by PBS for twice and cultured in DMEM (Gibco) with 10% FBS (Gibco). The images were acquired using a Nikon confocal microscope.
Recombination efficiency of CPPs-Cre estimated by standard curve analysis method

Standard curve analysis was carried out according to Lee et al.39. Briefly, the cloned PCR product (600 bp) corresponding to marker-gene cloned into pMD19-T vector (2.7 kb, TaKaRa, Dalian, China, TaKaRa Code: 6013), and the constructed plasmid (0.11pg, 3.3kb) was mixed with WT pig genomic DNA (100 ng, 3.03 Gbp) such that the mixture contained one marker-gene clone per haploid genome. The WT genomic DNA was assumed as 100% recombination efficiency, therefore, the mixture was serially diluted in the solution containing WT pig genomic DNA to generate a standard curve stood for different recombination efficiency. Then analyzed the sample and the serial mixture by PCR using the primers P1 and P2 (S1 Table) and calculate the recombination efficiency of each sample using the software Bandscan 5.0.

TAT-Cre protein transduction and PCR identification the marker-free cell clones and somatic cell nuclear transfer (SCNT)

TAT-Cre recombinase proteins were introduced into cells by adding to the culture medium from pig heterozygous myostatin gene knockout (MSTN+/−) PFFs, which were generated by our lab in a previous study on myostatin gene knockout pigs. Approximately 1.0×10^5 MSTN+/− PFFs were plated on 6-well tissue culture plates and cultivated. After 24 h, the cells were then treated with 2 μM TAT-Cre recombinase proteins in DMEM (Gibco) without 10% FBS (Gibco) for 2 h and were then washed and cultivated for another 48 h with 10% FBS (Gibco). Then, the cells were trypsinized and reseeded at a density of approximately 100 cells/dish in medium containing no G418 (Sigma) for 6–8 days. Well-separated colonies were isolated and transferred to 96-well plates, the sub-confluent colonies were then selected, and half of them were used for DNA extraction (QIAGEN DNeasy blood and tissue kit, QIAGEN, Germany, Catalogue: 69506) and PCR identification. PCR was performed for 35 cycles at 94˚C for 30 s, 60˚C for 30 s, and 72˚C for 30 s, then held at 72˚C for 10 min, using the primers M-1and M-2 (S1 Table). The other half of the cells was frozen for later use in somatic cell nuclear transfer (SCNT). The positive colonies were then used in SCNT as previously described[41,42].

PCR and DNA sequencing identification the marker-free piglets

To detect marker-free piglets, genomic DNA was obtained from ear tissue using phenol-chloroform extraction. Genomic DNA (100 ng per reaction) was subjected to PCR analysis using LA-Taq DNA polymerase (TaKaRa, Dalian, China, TaKaRa Code: RR52A) and appropriate primers (S1 Table). PCR products were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis. For sequencing analysis, PCR products corresponding to marker-free fragments were purified using QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (QIAGEN, Germany, Catalogue: 28704) and cloned into the pMD19T (TaKaRa, Dalian, China, TaKaRa Code: 6013). The cloned plasmids were then sequenced using M13 primers.

The source of the pigs used in the study

The experiment pigs in my study were commercial breeds Duroc which are feeding at the experimental pig farm of China Agricultural University.

The method for obtaining porcine fetal fibroblasts

To isolate the porcine fetal fibroblasts, the Duroc sows were slaughtered at 28–30 days after fertilization and the uterus containing the embryos were excised. Fibroblasts were isolated from
this preparation via trypsin digestion, placed in DMEM medium with 10% FBS (Gibco), and
grown at 37˚C.

The methods used to generate marker-free piglets

TAT-Cre recombinase proteins were introduced into cells by adding to the culture medium
from pig heterozygous myostatin gene knockout (MSTN+/−) PFFs, which were generated by
our lab in a previous study on myostatin gene knockout pigs. Approximately 1.0×10⁵ MSTN+/−
PFFs were plated on 6-well tissue culture plates and cultivated. After 24 h, the cells were then
treated with 2 μM TAT-Cre recombinase proteins in DMEM (Gibco) without 10% FBS
(Gibco) for 2 h and were then washed and cultivated for another 48 h with 10% FBS (Gibco).
Then, the cells were trypsinized and reseeded at a density of approximately 100 cells/dish in
medium containing no G418 (Sigma) for 6–8 days. Well-separated colonies were isolated and
transferred to 96-well plates, the sub-confluent colonies were then selected, and half of them
were used for DNA extraction (QIAGEN DNeasy blood and tissue kit, QIAGEN, Germany,
Catalogue: 69506) and PCR identification. PCR was performed for 35 cycles at 94˚C for 30 s,
60˚C for 30 s, and 72˚C for 30 s, then held at 72˚C for 10 min, using the primers M-1 and M-2
(S1 Table). The other half of the cells was frozen for later use in somatic cell nuclear transfer
(SCNT). The positive colonies were then used in SCNT as previously described 59,60. Briefly,
the positive transgenic cells were transferred to enucleated oocytes to produce reconstructed
embryos, which were then fused and activated simultaneously by application of two direct cur-
pentration of 1.6 kV/cm for 100 ms each at an interval of 1 s using a BTX 2001 Electro Cell
Manipulator (BTX, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) in activation medium (0.3 M mannitol supple-
mented with 0.05 mM CaCl₂, 0.1 mM MgCl₂, and 0.01% polyvinyl alcohol in H₂O). After
chemical activation with 2.5 mg/mL cytochalasin B and 10 mg/mL cycloheximide in porcine
zygote medium-3, day-2 blastocysts were transferred to synchronous recipient sows with hun-
dreds of embryos per recipient.

The fate of the animals used in the study

The animals are normal live and used to breed.

Results

Expression, purification, and in vitro activity of CPP–Cre fusion proteins

To assess the potency of three CPPs (TAT, R9, and CPP5) in promoting the cellular uptake of
Cre recombinase by porcine fetal fibroblasts, we used three expression vectors, pTAT-Cre,
pR9-Cre, and pCPP5-Cre (Fig 1A). Soluble proteins were purified from a bacterial host by a
two-step method (Fig 1B, 1C and 1D) and were subsequently used to analyze the activity in
an in vitro recombination reaction. We constructed the plasmid pDFR (8.3 kb), which was
used as a substrate (Fig 1E). Incubation of linearized pDFR with Cre resulted in a linearized
pDFR-L (5.7 kb) and a recircularized pDFR-C (2.6 kb) (Fig 1E, 1F, 1G and 1H). The in vitro
assay demonstrated that all the three fusion proteins functioned to recombine the substrate
(linearized pDFR, 8.3 kb in size) to generate two bands (2.6 kb and 5.7 kb in size).

Evaluation of the cell association activities and toxicities of CPP–Cre
fusion proteins in PFFs

Flow cytometry was used to study the cell association activities of the CPP–Cre fusion proteins
in porcine fetal fibroblasts. PFFs were incubated with different concentrations of CPP–Cre,
fluorescently labeled with Alexa Fluor 488, for 3 h at 37˚C. The cell association activities of the
three recombinant proteins increased with the increasing protein concentration (Fig 2A, 2B and 2C). Interestingly, the cell association activities of TAT–Cre and R9–Cre increased, and then decreased after the concentrations were increased to 1 and 2 μM, respectively (Fig 2A and 2B), whereas the cell association activity of CPP5–Cre continued to increase and did not show a decrease even at 4 μM (Fig 2C). We also used Cre as a negative control and the cell association activities were found to be lower than in the case of TAT–Cre (S1 and S2 Figs). The cytotoxicity of the three recombinant proteins was investigated in PFFs using the MTT (3-(4,5-cimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2, 5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide) assay. None of these proteins showed significant cytotoxic effects, even at a concentration of 10 μM in the cell culture medium (Fig 2D).

**CPP–Cre enters the PFFs by energy-dependent endocytosis**

To investigate the mechanism of internalization of the three Cre recombinase proteins into the PFFs, we incubated the PFFs with different concentrations of fluorescein-labeled Cre recombinant proteins for 1 h at 37˚C and with 2 μM fluorescein-labeled Cre recombinase for 1 h at 4˚C (Fig 3A, 3B and 3C). The protein uptake was inhibited at 4˚C for all three recombinant proteins, indicating that transduction of the proteins is energy-dependent in PFFs (Fig 3A, 3B and 3C). We found that fluorescently labeled recombinant proteins (CPP–Cre-488) colocalized with a fluorescent endocytosis marker, FM4-64, to intracellular endocytic vesicles in live
cells (Fig 3D), as reported in a previous study where the uptake of the TAT-fusion protein was reported to occur by endocytosis in HeLa cells [17]. Our results demonstrated that the three Cre recombinant proteins invaded PFFs by energy-dependent endocytosis.

Identification of the recombination efficiency of CPP–Cre fusion proteins in PFFs

To evaluate the ability of the three CPP–Cre fusion proteins to penetrate PFFs and subsequently perform recombination of a loxP-flanked substrate, a PFF line with a myostatin heterozygous knockout (MSTN+/−) containing a loxP-flanked neomycin-resistance gene (Neo)
was used (Fig 4A). The two loxP-flanked Neo transgenic pigs were generated from the heterozygous MSTN⁺/⁻ pigs generated in our laboratory. If the CPP–Cre fusion proteins invaded the PFFs and subsequently excised Neo, the 600 bp fragment could not be amplified using the primers P1 and P2 (Fig 4A). The standard curve method was used to estimate the recombination frequencies [43]. The MSTN⁺/⁻ PFFs were incubated in media containing different concentrations of TAT–Cre, R9–Cre, and CPP5–Cre for 3 h. R9-Cre and TAT-Cre reached a maximum recombination efficiency of approximately 40% and 55%, respectively, at a concentration of 2 μM (Fig 4B and 4C). However, the recombination frequency of CPP5–Cre increased with the increasing protein concentration and reached nearly 90% at a concentration of 12.5 μM, which was the highest among the three CPPs (Fig 4D). We also using the P3 and P4 primer to perform this assay (S3 Fig). All the three CPP–Cre fusion proteins were able to
induce recombination, suggesting that the CPPs were able to deliver the cargo protein to the porcine fetal fibroblasts and perform cargo protein function, but they exhibited different patterns.

Both HA2 and chloroquine can improve the TAT–Cre recombination efficiency in PFFs with little or no toxicity

The above results showed that the TAT–Cre transduction efficiency was higher than the recombination efficiency and that TAT–Cre was localized to intracellular endocytic vesicles in PFFs (Fig 3D). Thus, we speculated that enhancing the escape from the endosome could increase the recombination efficiency of TAT–Cre in porcine fetal fibroblasts. We chose two small molecules for enhancing the endosome escape: HA2 and chloroquine, which reportedly can promote TAT–Cre release from the macropinosomes [44,45]. The PFFs were incubated for 3 h in 2 μM TAT–Cre medium supplemented with different concentrations of the two small molecules. Both 2.5 μM HA2 and 250 μM chloroquine could increase the recombination

Fig 4. CPP–Cre mediated recombination efficiencies in porcine fetal fibroblasts (PFFs). Structure of the recombination substrate in loxP-Neo-loxP porcine fetal fibroblasts. The loxP-Neo-loxP PFFs, which were generated from the heterozygous MSTN+/− knockout pig generated previously in our laboratory, contains a single copy of Neo flanked by loxP sites, such that Cre-mediated recombination would remove Neo and the 600 bp fragment cannot be amplified using primers P1 and P2.

(B-D) A standard curve for estimating the CPP–Cre recombination frequencies (39). Genomic DNA isolated from cells treated with CPP-Cre were serially diluted in a buffer and subjected to PCR analysis in a reaction volume of 25 μL. A standard curve for the estimation of deletion frequencies was generated. A plasmid containing the sequence of the PCR product corresponding to the genomic recombinant would be amplified and thus were excluded when the standard curve was plotted. Error bars, s.d. (n = 3).
efficiency of TAT–Cre to nearly 90% (Fig 5A and 5B). The cytotoxicity of HA2 and chloroquine were assessed using the MTT assay on PFFs that have been cultured with various concentrations of the small molecules for 3 h. HA2 did not show significant cytotoxic effects even at a concentration of 10 μM in the cell culture medium. However, in PFFs, chloroquine was cytotoxic at a concentration 100 μM, at which about 5% of the cells were dead. These observations indicate that 5 μM HA2 significantly enhanced the efficiency of TAT–Cre recombination by enhancing the endosome escape without significant cytotoxic effect.

Generating marker-free live transgenic pigs using TAT–Cre and CPP5–Cre

Based on the above results, we speculated that CPP–Cre could remove the selective marker-gene in transgenic PFFs, which could subsequently be used to generate marker-free transgenic pigs. As a proof-of-principle experiment, we incubated MSTN+/− PFFs with TAT–Cre protein to remove Neo in the cell genome (S4 Fig), and then used the marker-free cells as nucleus donors. We obtained 12 piglets, which we used to confirm that the marker gene had been removed by PCR. The PCR was able to distinguish between the three potential MSTN alleles: wild-type, Neo, and loxP (Fig 6A and 6B). The PCR analysis of piglet tail DNA samples with P3 and P4 primers showed that both the 788-bp and 370-bp fragments could be amplified from the marker-free pigs. The 788-bp fragment could only be amplified from the WT and MSTN+/−.
pigs using a 30 s extension time (Fig 6B), and the 370-bp PCR fragments were sequenced to further confirm the removal of the marker (Fig 6C). Currently, the marker free MSTN$^{+/−}$ pigs are growing normally (Fig 6D). Moreover, we also obtained marker free transgenic pigs using CPP5–Cre protein from BAC (bacterial artificial chromosome) transgenic pigs (S5 Fig), which carry Neo (pBAC-hLF-hLZ-Neo) and were generated in our lab previously. These results show that both TAT–Cre and CPP5–Cre can remove the marker gene in different transgenic pigs.

**Discussion**

CPPs are one of the most promising tools for delivering various biologically active molecules into mammalian and plant cells both in vitro and in vivo [46–50]. They play key roles in basic and biomedical research [51–53]. However, there are very few studies on the application of CPPs in large animals [37,54], particularly pigs. To our knowledge, this study is the first to show that three cell-penetrating peptides, TAT, R9, and CPP5 (KLVPM), can deliver the Cre recombinase protein into fetal porcine fibroblasts and subsequently perform recombination with no apparent toxicity. Consistent with previous observations in HeLa or CHO cells [55–57], the cellular uptake mechanism of the three CPPs involved energy-dependent endocytosis;
however, we did not study the transduction mechanism of the three CPPs in detail or determine whether it involves specific endocytic pathway or coexists with direct membrane translocation [58].

We found that all the three cell-penetrating peptides promoted transduction of the Cre protein in a concentration-dependent manner, and the transduction efficiencies of all the three proteins reached over 90% at higher concentrations. The CPP5 (KLVPM)–Cre fusion protein could be transduced into fetal porcine fibroblasts and could induce recombination with nearly 90% efficiency at 12.5 μM, but the maximum recombination efficiencies of TAT–Cre and R9–Cre were 55% and 45%, respectively. The data presented here suggested that the rate-limiting step of TAT–Cre and R9–Cre-mediated recombination is the endosome escape, but higher concentrations CPP5–Cre is not as limited. Interestingly, all the three fluorescently labeled fusion Cre recombinase proteins at 2 μM localized to the intracellular endocytic vesicles in the live cells, and both HA2 and chloroquine improved the recombination efficiency of TAT–Cre. These results indicate that the cellular uptake mechanisms of the three CPPs in pig primary cells are different, that the uptake mechanisms of TAT–Cre and R9–Cre might involve the endocytosis pathway, and that the uptake mechanism of CPP5–Cre protein might also involve other pathways, including direct membrane translocation, clathrin- and caveolin-mediated endocytosis, macropinocytosis, or nonclathrin-non caveolae-dependent endocytosis [59,60]. The recombination efficiency of TAT–Cre in hES cells was reported to be nearly 100% [22], but it was only 55% in pig primary cells, which indicates that the recombination efficiency of CPP–Cre is cell type-dependent and concentration-dependent, which is consistent with the results of previous studies [61,62].

The removal of antibiotic-selectable marker genes is a challenging problem for transgenic animal research, especially in pigs. Although marker-free genetically modified pigs can be obtained by pronuclear microinjection (PNI) [63], which has a very low transgenic efficiency and requires extensive waiting period for establishment of the transgenic animal lines, especially in the case of pigs. Recently, two groups have efficiently generated transgenic pigs by cytoplasmic injection of the Sleeping Beauty systems [64,65]. These studies provide strong technological support for the production of marker-free transgenic pigs.

It has been reported that marker-free pigs can be obtained using the Sleeping Beauty transposon system [66], but this method is labor-intensive and requires screening for integration of a single-copy marker gene and breeding. The marker gene can also be removed by introduction of Cre-recombinase into target cells, which is currently achieved by transfection and expression of plasmid DNA or mRNA or by virus-mediated transduction. However, the efficiency of non-viral DNA transfection is consistently very low in many cell types, and the use of viral vectors for transduction, although more efficient, involves a complex and laborious manipulation associated with safety issues.

In this study, we established a safe, simple, and efficient method to remove the marker gene in transgenic pigs using the CPP–Cre protein. This method has many advantages. Firstly, it is very safe because no other foreign nucleic acids are introduced, unlike in previous approaches in cattle and mice that used plasmid DNA to express the Cre protein [67,68], and constitutive expression of Cre has been shown to be toxic in several cell types [69,70]. Secondly, this technique is simple and easy to perform, involving only the addition of fusion Cre recombinant proteins into the medium during cell culture. Thirdly, it is highly efficient; the transduction efficiency of the three CPPs was over 90%, and the recombination efficiencies of TAT–Cre and R9–Cre obtained were 55% and 45%, respectively. Moreover, the recombination efficiency of CPP5–Cre reached 90% at high concentrations. Because the purification of R9–Cre was difficult, the TAT–Cre and CPP5–Cre were used to obtain marker-free MSTN+/− pigs. We also obtained marker-free live MSTN+/− pigs using TAT–Cre and CPP5–Cre-treated MSTN+/− PFFs.
as nucleus donors in nuclear transfer experiments. The pigs are growing normally and breeding the next generation, which provides evidence that there was no severe damage to the host cells during the process of protein transduction.

In summary, we expanded the CPP technology to transgenic pig research field and established a simple, efficient, and cost-effective method to remove the marker gene in transgenic pigs using CPP–Cre proteins. We expect that this technology can be applied in a variety of experimental protocols, many of which can be evaluated in vitro or in vivo in pig models. This technology provides an important basis for modifying livestock genomes, which are important for both biomedical and agricultural applications.

Conclusions

In this study, we report that three CPPs (CPP5, TAT, and R9) can efficiently deliver active Cre recombinase protein into PFFs via an energy-dependent endocytosis pathway. Moreover, we found that 12.5 μM CPP5–Cre protein could invade pig primary cells and subsequently perform recombination with almost 90% efficiency. HA2 and chloroquine could improve the recombination efficiency of the TAT–Cre. We suggest that the effect of the CPPs is type-dependent and concentration-dependent. The normal live marker-free genetically modified pigs were also obtained using TAT–Cre and CPP5–Cre. All these results suggest that the application of the CPPs for generation of transgenic pigs is feasible.
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S1 Table. Summary of the primers. (DOCX)

S1 Fig. FACS analysis of TAT mediated transduction efficiency in pig fibroblast cells. Purified Cre and TAT-Cre protein is labeled with Alexa 488 fluorescent group, and diluted to 2 μM with DMEM. Pig fibroblast cells are incubated with Alexa 488 Cre or TAT-Cre for 2 hours in 37˚C, then washing these cells with heparin solution and trypsinizing them for FACS sorting analysis. A. FACS sorting gate is sent by cells treated with DMEM solution; this FACS histogram shows negative (non-fluorescent) events. B-C FACS sorting analysis of Alexa 488 labeled Cre and TAT-Cre treated pig fibroblast cells. D. Statistical analysis of Alexa 488 positive cells percentage (n = 3, p<0.01). (TIF)

S2 Fig. Sorting profile for the Alexa 488 labeled PTD-Cre treated pig fibroblast cells. After purification, PTD-Cre protein is dissolved in PBS, and conjuncted with fluorescent group by Alexa Fluor 488 Protein Labeling Kit (Molecular Probe, A10235). Pig fibroblast cells is incubated with Alexa 488 labeled PTD-Cre protein for 2 hours in 37˚C, then cells are washed by heparin solution and trypsinized for FACS sorting analysis. A-C.Histograms of flow cytometry for cultured pig fibroblast cells. The negative/positive gate is determined using vehicle control (PBS and DMEM) treated PFFs (left figure). After treatment of Alexa 488 PTD-Cre, pig fibroblast cells show significant distribution in Alexa 488 positive group. (JPG)

S3 Fig. CPPs–Cre mediated recombination efficiencies in porcine fetal fibroblasts (PFFs). (A) Structure of the recombination substrate in loxP-Neo-loxP porcine fetal fibroblasts. The loxP-Neo-loxP PFFs, which were generated from the heterozygous MSTN<sup>+/−</sup> knockout pig that our laboratory previously produced, contains a single copy of a Neo flanked by loxP sites such that Cre-mediated recombination would remove the Neo, therefore a 370 bp fragment from marker-free allele and a 788 bp fragment from WT allele can be amplified using primers P3.
and P4. (B-D) A standard curve for estimating the CPPs-Cre recombination frequencies. Genomic DNA isolated from cells treated with CPP-Cre were serially diluted in a buffer and subjected to PCR analysis in a reaction volume of 25 μL. Standard samples for the estimation of deletion frequencies. A plasmid containing a PCR product corresponding to the genomic recombination induced by Cre was serially diluted in a solution containing genomic DNA isolated from WT pig fibroblast cells and the diluted samples were subjected to PCR analysis. Intensities of DNA bands corresponding to the recombination event were showed and plotted against dilution factors.

**S4 Fig. Excision of selectable gene from MSTN+/- PFFs by TAT-Cre.** (A) Diagrams of obtain maker free MSTN+/- PFFs by TAT-Cre mediated method. The primordial MSTN+/- PFFs genome include MSTN targeted locus and WT locus. The 1102 bp and 664 bp fragments can be amplified in 30 s extension time in marker free MSTN+/- PFFs, using primer M1 and M2. (B) PCR analysis of the marker free MSTN+/- PFFs clones treated by TAT-Cre. Twenty cell clones were identified by genomic PCR, the positive clones can amplify 1102 bp and 664 bp fragment in 30 s extension time.

**S5 Fig. Generate marker free live pig using the CPP5-Cre.** (A) Structure of identify the un-marker free and marker free hLZ-BAC transgenic pigs. The 509 bp fragments can be amplified from the marker free transgenic pigs using P5 and P6 primers, and the 2306 bp fragments could be amplified from the un-marker free transgenic pigs. (B) Identification of marker free hLZ-BAC transgenic piglets by genomic PCR. 1–4 are marker free transgenic piglets; H2O, Negative control; B-N, Un-marker free hLZ-BAC transgenic piglets. (C) PCR sequencing analysis of the four-marker free hLZ-BAC transgenic piglets. The 509 bp marker free fragment including one loxP site between the P5 and P6 sequence. (D) The live marker free hLZ-BAC transgenic pig. Fig 2d was taken by Z.S. and Q.K.

**Acknowledgments**

We wish to thank Pro. Sen Wu for providing the pCAG-STOP2 and pACN plasmid and for helpful discussion. Special thanks are due to Zaihu Zhang, Jiuming Zhang, Dan Lu, and Xiao Wen for their proficient technical assistance.

**Author Contributions**

**Conceptualization:** Ning Li.

**Data curation:** Qianqian Kang.

**Formal analysis:** Zhaolin Sun.

**Funding acquisition:** Xiaoxiang Hu, Ning Li.

**Investigation:** Ming Wang.

**Methodology:** Qianqian Kang, Zhiyuan Zou, Ming Wang.

**Project administration:** Zhaolin Sun, Qiuyan Li, Xiaoxiang Hu, Ning Li.

**Resources:** Zhiyuan Zou.

**Software:** Qianqian Kang.
Supervision: Zhaolin Sun, Qiuyan Li.

Validation: Zhaolin Sun, Xiaoxiang Hu, Ning Li.

Visualization: Xiaoxiang Hu, Ning Li.

Writing – original draft: Zhaolin Sun.

Writing – review & editing: Zhaolin Sun.

References

1. Liu CP, Slate DL, Gravel R, Ruddle FH (1979) Biological detection of specific mRNA molecules by microinjection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 76: 4503–4506. PMID: 291982

2. Neumann E, Schaeferreider M, Wang Y, Hofschneider PH (1982) Gene-Transfer into Mouse Lyoma Cells by Electroporation in High Electric-Fields. Embo Journal 1: 841–845. PMID: 6329708

3. Gresch O, Engel FB, Nesic D, Tran TT, England HM, et al. (2004) New non-viral method for gene transfer into primary cells. Methods 33: 151–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2003.11.009 PMID: 15121170

4. Felgner PL, Gadek TR, Holm M, Roman R, Chan HW, et al. (1987) Lipofection—a Highly Efficient, Lipid-Mediated DNA-Transfection Procedure. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 84: 7413–7417. PMID: 2823261

5. Egillis MA, Anderson WF (1988) Retroviral Vectors for Introduction of Genes into Mammalian-Cells. Biotechniques 6: 608–614. PMID: 3078718

6. Wadia JS, Dowdy SF (2005) Transmembrane delivery of protein and peptide drugs by TAT-mediated transduction in the treatment of cancer. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews 57: 579–596. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2004.10.005 PMID: 15722165

7. Mae M, Langel U (2006) Cell-penetrating peptides as vectors for peptide, protein and oligonucleotide delivery. Current Opinion in Pharmacology 6: 509–514. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coph.2006.04.004 PMID: 16860608

8. Brasseur R, Divita G (2010) Happy birthday cell penetrating peptides: Already 20 years. Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta-Biomembranes 1798: 2177–2181.

9. Milletti F (2012) Cell-penetrating peptides: classes, origin, and current landscape. Drug Discovery Today 17: 850–860. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2012.03.002 PMID: 22465171

10. Bechara C, Sagan S (2013) Cell-penetrating peptides: 20 years later, where do we stand? Febs Letters 587: 1693–1702. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2013.04.031 PMID: 23669356

11. Vives E, Brodin P, Lebleu B (1997) A truncated HIV-1 Tat protein basic domain rapidly translocates through the plasma membrane and accumulates in the cell nucleus. Journal of Biological Chemistry 272: 16010–16017. PMID: 9188504

12. Futaki S, Suzuki T, Ohashi W, Yamagi T, Tanaka S, et al. (2001) Arginine-rich peptides—An abundant source of membrane-permeable peptides having potential as carriers for intracellular protein delivery. Journal of Biological Chemistry 276: 5836–5840. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M007540200 PMID: 11094031

13. Gomez JA, Chen J, Ngo J, Hajkova D, Yeh IJ, et al. (2010) Cell-Penetrating Penta-Peptides (CPP5s): Measurement of Cell Entry and Protein-Transduction Activity. Pharmaceuticals (Basel) 3: 3594–3613.

14. Morris MC, Deshayes S, Heitz F, Divita G (2008) Cell-penetrating peptides: from molecular mechanisms to therapeutics. Biology of the Cell 100: 201–217. https://doi.org/10.1042/BC20070116 PMID: 18341479

15. Heitz F, Morris MC, Divita G (2009) Twenty years of cell-penetrating peptides: from molecular mechanisms to therapeutics. British Journal of Pharmacology 157: 195–206. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1476-5381.2009.00057.x PMID: 19093962

16. Wang FH, Wang Y, Zhang X, Zhang WJ, Guo SR, et al. (2014) Recent progress of cell-penetrating peptides as new carriers for intracellular cargo delivery. Journal of Controlled Release 174: 126–136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2013.11.020 PMID: 24291335

17. Wadia JS, Stan RV, Dowdy SF (2004) Transducible TAT-HA fusogenic peptide enhances escape of TAT-fusion proteins after lipid raft macropinocytosis. Nature Medicine 10: 310–315. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm996 PMID: 14770178

18. Hirose H, Takeuchi T, Osakada H, Pujals S, Katayama S, et al. (2012) Transient Focal Membrane Deformation Induced by Arginine-rich Peptides Leads to Their Direct Penetration into Cells. Molecular Therapy 20: 984–993. https://doi.org/10.1038/mt.2011.313 PMID: 22334015
40. Bi YZ, Hua ZD, Liu XM, Hua WJ, Ren HY, et al. (2016) Isozygous and selectable marker-free MSTN knockout cloned pigs generated by the combined use of CRISPR/Cas9 and Cre/LoxP. Scientific Reports 6.

41. Zhang Y, Pan D, Sun X, Sun G, Wang X, et al. (2006) Production of porcine cloned transgenic embryos expressing green fluorescent protein by somatic cell nuclear transfer. Sci China C Life Sci 49: 164–171. PMID: 16704120

42. Wei HX, Zhang K, Ma YF, Li Y, Li QY, et al. (2009) Stage-dependent effect of leptin on development of porcine embryos derived from parthenogenetic activation and transgenic somatic cell nuclear transfer. J Reprod Dev 55: 99–104. PMID: 19008650

43. Lee HJ, Kim E, Kim JS (2010) Targeted chromosomal deletions in human cells using zinc finger nucleases. Genome Research 20: 81–89. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.099747.109 PMID: 19952142

44. Schwarze SR, Dowdy SF (2000) In vivo protein transduction: intracellular delivery of biologically active proteins, compounds and DNA. Trends Pharmacol Sci 21: 45–48. PMID: 10664605

45. Mäe M, Langel U (2006) Cell-penetrating peptides as vectors for peptide, protein and oligonucleotide delivery. Curr Opin Pharmacol 6: 509–514. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coph.2006.04.004 PMID: 16860608

46. Fischer PM (2007) Cellular uptake mechanisms and potential therapeutic utility of peptide cell delivery vectors: progress 2001–2006. Med Res Rev 27: 755–795. https://doi.org/10.1002/med.20093 PMID: 17019680
62. Duchardt F, Fotin-Mleczek M, Schwarz H, Fischer R, Brock R (2007) A comprehensive model for the cellular uptake of cationic cell-penetrating peptides. Traffic 8: 848–866. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0854.2007.00572.x PMID: 17587406

63. Hammer RE, Pursel VG, Rexroad CE Jr., Wall RJ, Bolt DJ, et al. (1985) Production of transgenic rabbits, sheep and pigs by microinjection. Nature 315: 680–683. PMID: 3892305

64. Garrels W, Mates L, Holler S, Dalda A, Taylor U, et al. (2011) Germline Transgenic Pigs by Sleeping Beauty Transposition in Porcine Zygotes and Targeted Integration in the Pig Genome. Plos One 6.

65. Ivics Z, Garrels W, Mates L, Yau TY, Bashir S, et al. (2014) Germline transgenesis in pigs by cytoplasmic microinjection of Sleeping Beauty transposons. Nature Protocols 9: 810–827. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2014.010 PMID: 24625780

66. Carlson DF, Garbe JR, Tan W, Martin MJ, Dobrinsky JR, et al. (2011) Strategies for selection marker-free swine transgenesis using the Sleeping Beauty transposon system. Transgenic Res 20: 1125–1137. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11248-010-9481-7 PMID: 21221779

67. Abuin A, Bradley A (1996) Recycling selectable markers in mouse embryonic stem cells. Mol Cell Biol 16: 1851–1856. PMID: 8657161

68. Kuroiwa Y, Kasinathan P, Matsushita H, Sathiyaselan J, Sullivan EJ, et al. (2004) Sequential targeting of the genes encoding immunoglobulin-mu and prion protein in cattle. Nat Genet 36: 775–780. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1373 PMID: 15184897

69. Silver DP, Livingston DM (2001) Self-excising retroviral vectors encoding the Cre recombinase overcome Cre-mediated cellular toxicity. Mol Cell 8: 233–243. PMID: 11511376

70. Loonstra A, Vooijs M, Beverloo HB, Allak BA, van Drunen E, et al. (2001) Growth inhibition and DNA damage induced by Cre recombinase in mammalian cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98: 9209–9214. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.161269798 PMID: 11481484