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It is well known that the sum of points of the period-five cycle of the quadratic polynomial \( f_c(x) = x^2 + c \) is generally not one-valued. In this paper we will show that the sum of cycle points of the curves of period five is at most three-valued on a new coordinate plane and that this result is essentially the best possible. The method of our proof relies on implementing Gröbner-bases and especially extension theory from the theory of polynomial algebra.

1. Introduction

The dynamics of quadratic polynomials is commonly studied by using the family of maps \( f_c(x) = x^2 + c \), where \( c \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( x_{i+1} = f_c(x_i) = x_i^2 + c \). In the article [1] we presented the corresponding iteration system on a new coordinate plane using the change of variables:

\[
\begin{align*}
 u &= x + y = x_0 + x_1 \\
 v &= x + y^2 + y - x^2 = x_0 + x_1^2 + x_1 - x_0^2
\end{align*}
\]  

(1)

to the \((x, y)\)-plane model (see [2]). In this new \((u, v)\)-plane model, equations of periodic curves are of remarkably lower degree than in earlier models. Now the dynamics of the \((u, v)\)-plane is determined by the iteration of the function

\[
G(u, v) = (R(u, v), Q(u, v))
\]

\[
= \left(\frac{-u + v + uv}{u}, \frac{u^2 - u + v - u^2 v - uv + uv^2 + v^2}{u}\right),
\]

(2)

which is a two-dimensional quadratic polynomial map defined in the complex 2-space \( \mathbb{C}^2 \). The new iteration system is defined recursively as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
 (R_0(u, v), Q_0(u, v)) &= (u, v) = (u_0, v_0), \\
 (R_1(u, v), Q_1(u, v)) &= (R(u, v), Q(u, v)) = (u_1, v_1), \\
 (R_{n+1}(u, v), Q_{n+1}(u, v)) &= G(R_n(u, v), Q_n(u, v)) = (u_{n+1}, v_{n+1}),
\end{align*}
\]

\[
= (u_{n+1}, v_{n+1}),
\]

(3)

where

\[
\begin{align*}
 R_{n+1}(u, v) &= Q_n(u, v) - 1 + \frac{Q_n(u, v)}{R_n(u, v)}, \\
 Q_{n+1}(u, v) &= R_{n+1}(u, v) \left(1 + \frac{Q_n(u, v)}{R_n(u, v)}\right)
\end{align*}
\]

(4)

and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \). Now \((u, v)\) is fixed \( G^n \), so \( G^n(u, v) = (u, v) \), if and only if \((R_n(u, v), Q_n(u, v)) = (u, v) \). The set of such points is the union of all orbits, whose period divides \( n \), and the set of periodic points of period \( n \) are the points with exact period dividing \( n \).

In complex dynamics, the sum of period cycle points has been a commonly used parameter in many connections (see, e.g., [2–6]). In the article [5] Giarrusso and Fisher used it for the parameterization of the period 3 hyperbolic components of the Mandelbrot set. Later, in the article [2], Erkama studied the case of the period 3-4 hyperbolic components of the Mandelbrot set on the \((x, y)\)-plane and completely solved both cases.

Moreover, Erkama [2] has shown that the sum of periodic orbit points

\[
S_n = x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_{n-2} + x_{n-1}
\]

(5)
is unique when \( n = 3 \) or \( n = 4 \). Conversely, the sum of cyclic points of periods three and four determines these orbits uniquely. In the period-five case this situation changes and the sum of the cycle points is no longer unique. We can see this property in the articles [3, 6], in which Brown and Morton have formed the so called trace formulas in the cases of periods five and six using \( c \) and the sum of period cycle points as parameters. In this paper we will show that, by implementing the change of variables (1), we obtain a new coordinate plane where the sum of period-five cycle points is at most three-valued and show that no better result is obtainable in this coordinate plane. This is done by applying methods of polynomial algebra (without the classical trace formula), as our proof relies on the use of the elimination theory and especially the extension theorem [7]. The extension theorem tells us the best possible result (which the trace formula does not necessarily do) due to the use of Gröbner-basis. In the next section we present the most central tools and constructions related to these theorems.

2. A Brief Introduction to the Elimination Theorem

We start with the Hilbert basis theorem: Every ideal \( I \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \) has a finite generating set. That is, \( I = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_s \rangle \) for some \( g_1, \ldots, g_s \in I \). Hence \( \langle g_1, \ldots, g_s \rangle \) is the ideal generated by the elements \( g_1, \ldots, g_s \); in other words \( g_1, \ldots, g_s \) is the basis of the ideal. The so called Gröbner-basis has proved to be especially useful in many connections [7], for example, in kinematic analysis of mechanisms (see [8, 9]). In order to introduce this basis we need the following constructions.

Let \( f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \) be the polynomial given by

\[
f = \sum a_\alpha x^{\alpha},
\]

where \( a_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \alpha = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \), and \( x^{\alpha} = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \) is a monomial. Then the multidegree of \( f \) is

\[
\text{mdeg}(f) = \max \{\alpha \mid a_\alpha \neq 0\},
\]

the leading coefficient of \( f \) is

\[
\text{LC}(f) = a_{\text{mdeg}(f)},
\]

the leading monomial of \( f \) is

\[
\text{LM}(f) = x^{\text{mdeg}(f)},
\]

and the leading term of \( f \) is

\[
\text{LT}(f) = \text{LC}(f) \text{LM}(f).
\]

To calculate a Gröbner-basis of an ideal we need to order terms of polynomials by using a monomial ordering. A Gröbner-basis can be calculated by using any monomial ordering, but differences in the number of operations can be very significant. An effective tool to calculate the Gröbner-basis is the software Singular, which has been especially designed for operating with polynomial equations. Next we will define a monomial ordering of nonlinear polynomials.

Relation \( \prec \) is the linear ordering in the set \( S \), if \( x \prec y \), \( x = y \), or \( y < x \) for all \( x, y \in S \). A monomial ordering in the set \( \mathbb{N}^n \) is a relation \( \prec \) if

(1) \( \prec \) is linear ordering,

(2) implication \( x^\alpha \prec x^\beta \Rightarrow x^\alpha y^\gamma \prec x^\beta y^\gamma \) holds for all \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n \),

(3) \( x^\alpha > 1 \).

To compute elimination ideals we need product orderings. Let \( \succ_A \) be an ordering for the variable \( x \), and let \( \succ_B \) be ordering for the variable \( y \) in the ring \( \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_m, y_1, \ldots, y_n] \). Now we can define the product ordering as follows:

\[
x^\alpha y^\beta > x^\gamma y^\delta \text{ if } x^\alpha > x^\gamma \text{ or } x^\alpha = x^\gamma \text{ and } y^\beta > y^\delta.
\]

There are several monomial orders but we need only the lexicographic order \( \prec_{\text{lex}} \) in the elimination theory. Let \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n \). Then we say that \( x^\alpha \prec_{\text{lex}} x^\beta \) if \( x^\alpha_1 = \ldots = x^\alpha_{k-1} = x^\beta_1 \), \( x^\alpha_k > x^\beta_k \) and \( x^\alpha_{k+1} \geq x^\beta_{k+1} \). One of the most important tools in the elimination theory is the Gröbner-basis of an ideal: Fix a monomial order. A finite subset

\[
G_I = \{ g_1, \ldots, g_s \} \subseteq I
\]

of an ideal \( I \) is said to be a Gröbner-basis (or standard basis) if

\[
\langle \text{LT}(g_1), \ldots, \text{LT}(g_s) \rangle = \langle \text{LT}(I) \rangle.
\]

Based on the Hilbert basis theorem we know that every ideal \( I \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \) has a Gröbner-basis \( G_I = \{ g_1, \ldots, g_s \} \) so that

\[
\langle G_I \rangle = I.
\]

It is essential to construct also an affine variety corresponding to the ideal. Let \( f_1, \ldots, f_s \) be polynomials in the ring \( \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \). Then we set

\[
V(f_1, \ldots, f_s) = \{ (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : f_i(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 0 \ \forall 1 \leq i \leq s \},
\]

and we call \( V(f_1, \ldots, f_s) \) as the affine variety defined by \( f_1, \ldots, f_s \). Now if \( I = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_s \rangle \), \( V(I) = V(f_1, \ldots, f_s) \) and naturally we obtain the variety of the ideal as the variety of its Gröbner-basis: \( V(I) = V(G_I) \).

When we consider ideals and their algebraic varieties we are sometimes just interested about polynomials \( f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \), which belong to the original ideal \( f \subseteq I \) but contain only certain variables of the ring variables of \( \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \). For this purpose we need elimination ideals. Let \( I = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_s \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \). The \( k \)-th elimination ideal \( I_k \) is the ideal of \( \mathbb{C}[x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_n] \) defined by

\[
I_k = I \cap \mathbb{C}[x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_n].
\]

Next we give an important elimination theorem which we use in our proof.
Theorem 1 (the elimination theorem). Let \( I \subset \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \) be an ideal and let \( G \) be a Gröbner-basis of \( I \) with respect to lexicographic order, where \( x_1 > x_2 > \cdots > x_n \). Then, for every \( 0 \leq k \leq n \), the set

\[
G_k = G \cap \mathbb{C}[x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_n]
\]

is a Gröbner-basis of the \( k \)-th elimination ideal \( I_k \).

The elimination theorem is closely related to the extension theorem, which tells us the correspondence between varieties of the original ideal and the elimination ideal. In other words, if we apply this theorem to a system of equations we see whether the partial solution \( V(I_k) \) of the system of equations is also a solution of the whole system \( V(I) \).

Theorem 2 (the extension theorem). Let \( I = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_s \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \) and let \( I_1 \) be the first elimination ideal of \( I \). For each \( 1 \leq i \leq s \) write \( f_i \) in the form

\[
 f_i = g_i \ (x_2, \ldots, x_n) \ x_1^{N_i} + \text{terms in which } \deg(x_1) < N_i,
\]

where \( N_i \geq 0 \) and \( g_i \subset \mathbb{C}[x_2, \ldots, x_n] \), \( g_i \neq 0 \). Suppose that we have a partial solution \( (a_2, \ldots, a_n) \in V(I_1) \). If \( (a_2, \ldots, a_n) \notin V(g_1, \ldots, g_s) \), then there exists \( a_1 \subset \mathbb{C} \) such that \( (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \in V(I) \).

3. On Properties of Points Sums of Periods 3−5 Cycles

In this section we first prove the uniqueness properties of points sums of cycles of period three and four by using methods from polynomial algebra in a new way. After this we concentrate on the period-five case and show that the sum of period-five cycle points is at most three-valued. The next result shows the relation between the sums of cycle points of the \((x, y)\)-plane \([2]\) and the \((u, v)\)-plane \([1]\).

Theorem 3. Let \( x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1} \) be the period-\( n \) orbit points. If

\[
 S_n = x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_{n-2} + x_{n-1},
\]

then by transformation of (1) and (3)

\[
 S_n = \frac{1}{2} S^1_n = \frac{1}{2} S^2_n,
\]

where

\[
 S^1_n = u_0 + u_1 + u_2 + \cdots + u_{n-2} + u_{n-1},
 S^2_n = v_0 + v_1 + v_2 + \cdots + v_{n-2} + v_{n-1}.
\]

Proof. By writing out both components we obtain

\[
 S^1_n = u_0 + u_1 + u_2 + \cdots + u_{n-2} + u_{n-1}
 = x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + \cdots + x_{n-2} + x_{n-1} + x_n
 = x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + \cdots + x_{n-2} + x_{n-1}
 + x_{n-1} + x_0
 = 2 \ (x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + \cdots + x_{n-2} + x_{n-1})
\]

and similarly

\[
 S^2_n = v_0 + v_1 + v_2 + \cdots + v_{n-2} + v_{n-1}
 = x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + \cdots + x_{n-2} + x_{n-1}
 + x_{n-1} + x_{n-1}
 = 2 \ (x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + \cdots + x_{n-2} + x_{n-1}).
\]

3.1. The Uniqueness of Cycle Points Sums of Periods Three and Four Orbits. The sums of points of the periods three and four cycles is obtained in \([2]\) as

\[
 S_3 = x_0 + x_1 + x_2,
 S_4 = x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3.
\]

According to Theorem 3 and by using the formula (3) we obtain on the \((u, v)\)-plane

\[
 S_3 \ (u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \left( u_0 + u_1 + u_2 \right) = \frac{u^2 - u + v + 2uv}{2u},
 S_4 \ (u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \left( u_0 + u_1 + u_2 + u_3 \right)
 = \frac{u^2 - v + \frac{2uv - v^2}{u}}{u}
\]

Based on article \([1]\), the equations of periodic orbits of period three and four are \( P_3(u, v) = 0 \) and \( P_4(u, v) = 0 \), where

\[
 P_3 \ (u, v) = uv + 1 + v,
 P_4 \ (u, v) = -u^2 v + u^3 v^2 - u + uv + uv^2 - v^2 - v^3
 - v^3
 = u^2 (v^3 - v^2 - v + 1) + v^3
 + v^2.
\]

Now we form polynomials \( B_3(u, v, S_3) = 0 \) and \( B_4(u, v, S_4) = 0 \) based on formulas (25) as

\[
 B_3 \ (u, v, S_3) = 2uS_3 - \left( u^2 - u + v + 2uv \right)
 = -u^2 + (2S_3 + 1 - 2v)u - v,
 B_4 \ (u, v, S_4) = uS_4 - v + u - u^2 v - uv^2 - v^2
 = (-1 + v)u^2 + (S_4 - v^2 + 1)u - v - v^2.
\]
Based on the previous equations we can form the pair of equations
\[ P_3(u, v) = 0 \]
\[ B_3(u, v, S_3) = 0, \]
\[ P_4(u, v) = 0 \]
\[ B_4(u, v, S_4) = 0 \] (28)
and obtain the ideals
\[ I_3 = \langle P_3(u, v), B_3(u, v, S_3) \rangle = \langle uv + 1 + v - u^2 \]
\[ + (2S_3 + 1 - 2v) u - v \rangle, \]
\[ I_4 = \langle P_4(u, v), B_4(u, v, S_4) \rangle = \langle u^2 (-v^2 + v) \]
\[ + u (v^3 - v^2 - v + 1) + v^3 + v^2, (-1 + v) u^2 \]
\[ + (S_4 - v^2 + 1) u - v - v^3 \rangle. \]
We eliminate from these ideals the variable \( u \) and obtain the Gröbner-basis of the eliminated ideals \( I_{3u} \) and \( I_{4u} \) to calculate the Gröbner-basis of the ideals \( I_3 \) and \( I_4 \) using the Singular program ([10]). Gröbner-bases of the ideals \( I_3 \) and \( I_4 \), by using the ordering \( \prec_{\text{lex}} \) where \( S_3 \prec_{\text{lex}} v \prec_{\text{lex}} u \) and \( S_4 \prec_{\text{lex}} v \prec_{\text{lex}} u \), are
\[ G_3 = \{ g_{31}, g_{32} \}, \] (30)
where
\[ g_{31} = v^3 - 2v^2 S_3 - 2v S_3 - 3v - 1, \]
\[ g_{32} = u + v^2 - 2v S_3 - 2S_3 - 2, \] (31)
and
\[ G_4 = \{ g_{41}, g_{42}, g_{43}, g_{44} \}, \] (32)
where
\[ g_{41} = v^4 - v^3 S_4 + v^3 - v^2 S_4 - v^2 - v, \]
\[ g_{42} = u v^2 - u v S_4 - u, \]
\[ g_{43} = u^2 S_4 - u v^3 + u v S_4 - u v^2 + u S_4 + u v - u S_4^2 \]
\[ + u - v^3 + v^2 S_4 - 2v^2 + v S_4 - v, \]
\[ g_{44} = u^2 v^2 - u v^2 - u^2 S_4 + u + u - v^2 - v. \] (33)
Thus \( g_{31} \) and \( g_{41} \) depend only on the variables \( v \) and \( S_3 \). Based on the elimination Theorem 1 the set
\[ G_{3u} = G_3 \cap \mathbb{C}[v, S_3] = \{ g_{31} \} \] (34)
is the Gröbner-basis of the elimination ideal \( I_{3u} \) and so \( V(I_{3u}) = V(g_{31}) \). At the same way the set
\[ G_{4u} = G_4 \cap \mathbb{C}[v, S_4] = \{ g_{41} \} \] (35)
is the Gröbner-basis of the elimination ideal \( I_{4u} \) and so \( V(I_{4u}) = V(g_{41}) \). In the case \( g_{31} = 0 \) it follows that
\[ S_3 = \frac{-1 - 3v + v^3}{2v(v + 1)}. \] (36)
If \( g_{41} = 0 \) we have
\[ S_4 = \frac{-v^3 - v^2 + v + v}{-v^3 - v^2 + v} = \frac{v^2 - 1}{v}. \] (37)
As we can see, in both cases the sum of the points of cycles of the given period is unique. In other words, the orbit sums \( S_3 \) and \( S_4 \) uniquely determine the orbit. If we eliminate in the first case the variable \( v \) instead of the variable \( u \), we obtain the Gröbner-basis
\[ G_{3v} = u^3 - 2u^2 S_3 - 2u S_3 - 3u - 1, \] (38)
which gives the same result as (36). However, the same procedure in the period four case produces the Gröbner-basis
\[ G_{4v} = u^5 S_4 - 2u^4 S_3^2 + u^3 S_4^3 - u^3 S_4^2 - 2u^3 S_4 - 4u^3 \]
\[ + u^2 S_4^2 + 2u S_4^2 + 4u^2 S_4 + u S_4 \]
\[ + (u^3 + u^2) S_4^3 + (-u^3 + 2u^2 - 2u^4) S_4^2 \]
\[ + (u^5 - 2u^3 + u + 4u^2) S_4 - 4u^3 \] (39)
and this is of higher degree than (37).

3.2. On the Uniqueness of the Cycle Points Sum of Period-Five Orbits. Next we prove that, in the case of period-five cycles, the sum of period-five points is at most three-valued. We use in this proof the Gröbner-basis of an ideal, like before in periods three and four cases, which produce for us the Gröbner-basis of the elimination ideal. Because this method relies on bases, the following result is optimal.

**Theorem 4.** The sum of period-five cycle points is at most three-valued.

**Proof.** By article [1], the equation for period-five orbit on the \((u, v)\)-plane is of the form \( P_5(u, v) = 0 \), where
\[ P_5(u, v) = u^7 (-v^4 + 2v^3 - v^2) \]
\[ + u^6 (3v^5 - 8v^4 + 5v^3 + v^2 - v) \]
\[ + u^5 (3v^6 + 14v^5 - 12u^4 - 5v^3 + 7v^2 - v) \]
\[ + u^4 (v^7 - 12u^5 + 18v^5 + 6v^4 - 16v^3 + 3v^2 + 2v) \]
\[ + u^3 (4v^7 - 16u^6 + 19u^4 + 5v^3 - 4v^2 + 2v + 1) \]
\[ + u^2 (6v^7 - 6u^6 - 12v^5 + 6v^4 + 4v^3 - 2v^2) \]
\[ + u (4v^7 + 3v^6 - 4v^5 - 2v^4 + v^3) + v^7 + 2v^6 \]
\[ + v^5. \]
According to the Theorem 3, the sum
\[ S_5 = x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 \]  
(41)
of the period-five points satisfies
\[ S_n = \frac{1}{2} s_n^1 = \frac{1}{2} S_n^2 \]  
(42)
and based on the formula (3) we obtain
\[ S_5 (u, v) = \frac{1}{2} (u_0 + u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + u_4) \]
\[ = -\frac{3 u^2 + 4 u^2 v + 3 u v - 4 u^3 v^3}{2u^2} \]
\[ + \frac{2u^2 v^4 + 4u^4 v + u^3 - 2u^4 v - 2u^3 v}{2u^2} \]
\[ + \frac{2u^2 v^2 - 2u^2 v + 2u^3 + 2u^4 v^2}{2u^2} \]
\[ + \frac{6u^3 v^2 - 8u^3 v^2 - 2u v^3 + 2v}{2u^2} \]
(43)
on the (u, v)-plane. We form from this the polynomial
\[ B_5 (u, v, S_5) \]
\[ = u^3 (2v^2 - 2v) + u^2 (-4v^3 + 6v^2 - 2v + 1) \]
\[ + u^2 (-2S_5 + 2v^4 - 8v^3 + 2v^2 + 4v - 3) \]
\[ + u (4v^4 - 2v^3 - 2v^2 + 3v) + v^4 + 2v^3 \]  
(44)
Now we can form the pair of equations
\[ P_5 (u, v) = 0 \]  
\[ B_5 (u, v, S_5) = 0, \]
(45)
and the two polynomials \( P_5 (u, v) \) and \( B_5 (u, v, S_5) \) form an ideal
\[ I_5 = \langle P_5 (u, v), B_5 (u, v, S_5) \rangle = \langle a_0 u^7 + a_4 u^4 + a_3 u^5 \]
\[ + a_4 u^4 + a_3 u^3 + a_3 u^2 + a_1 u + a_0, b_5 u^4 + b_3 u^5 \]
\[ + b_2 u^4 + b_1 u + b_0 \rangle, \]  
(46)
where
\[ a_0 = v^7 + 2v^6 + v^5 \]
\[ a_1 = 4v^7 + 3v^6 - 4v^5 - 2v^4 + v^3 \]
\[ a_2 = 6v^7 - 6v^6 - 12v^5 + 6v^4 + 4v^3 - 2v^2 \]
\[ a_3 = 4v^7 - 16v^6 + 19v^5 - 5v^4 - 4v^3 + 2v + 1 \]
\[ a_4 = v^7 - 12v^6 + 18v^5 + 6v^4 - 16v^3 + 3v^2 + 2v \]
\[ a_5 = 3v^6 + 14v^5 - 12v^4 - 5v^3 + 7v^2 - v \]
\[ a_6 = 3v^5 - 8v^4 + 5v^3 + v^2 - v \]
\[ a_7 = -v^4 + 2v^3 - v^2 \]
\[ b_0 = 2v^3 \]
\[ b_1 = 4v^4 - 2v^3 - 2v^2 + 3v \]
\[ b_2 = -2S_5 + 2v^4 - 8v^3 + 2v^2 + 4v - 3 \]
\[ b_3 = -4v^3 + 6v^2 - 2v + 1 \]
\[ b_4 = 2v^4 - 2v^2. \]  
(47)
We eliminate from this the variable \( u \) by forming the Gröbner-basis \( G_{5u} \) of the elimination ideal \( I_{5u} \) in order to calculate the Gröbner-basis \( G_5 \) of the ideal \( I_5 \) using Singular program. We obtain the Gröbner-basis of the ideal \( I \) as
\[ G_5 = [g_{51}, g_{52}, g_{53}, g_{54}, g_{55}, g_{56}] \]  
(48)
using ordering \( \prec_{lex} \), where \( S_5 \prec_{lex} v \prec_{lex} u \). Here \( g_{51}, g_{52}, g_{53}, g_{54}, \) and \( g_{55} \) depend on the variables \( u, v, S_5, \) and \( g_{56} \) depends only on the variables \( v \) and \( S_5 \). By the elimination theorem the set
\[ G_{5u} = G \cap \mathbb{C} [v, S_5] = \{ g_{56} \} \]  
(49)
is the Gröbner-basis of the elimination ideal \( I_{5u} \), and so \( V(I_{5u}) = V(g_{56}) \). Now the Gröbner-basis of the elimination ideal \( I_{5u} \) is of the form
\[ G_{5u} = v^6 (v + 1)^2 \left( c_0 v^{15} + c_1 v^{14} + c_2 v^{13} + c_3 v^{12} + c_4 v^{11} \right. \]
\[ + c_5 v^{10} + c_6 v^9 + c_7 v^8 + c_8 v^7 + c_9 v^6 + c_{10} v^5 + c_{11} v^4 \]
\[ + c_{12} v^3 + c_{13} v^2 + c_{14} v + c_{15} \), \]  
(50)
where
\[ c_0 = 27 \]
\[ c_1 = -162S_5 \]
\[ c_2 = 252S_5^2 - 432S_5 - 684 \]
\[ c_3 = 280S_5^3 + 2592S_5^2 + 4128S_5 + 556 \]
\[ c_4 = -1264S_5^4 - 5760S_5^3 - 8712S_5^2 + 236S_5 + 4002 \]
\[ c_5 = 1440S_5^5 + 5888S_5^4 + 6864S_5^3 - 8440S_5^2 - 19596S_5 \]
\[ - 4336 \]
\[ c_6 = -704S_5^6 - 2816S_5^5 + 320S_5^4 - 8380 + 19584S_5^3 \\
+ 37536S_5^2 + 11528S_5 + 11020S_5^2 + 39192S_5 + 14868 \\
c_7 = 128S_5^7 + 512S_5^6 - 3328S_5^5 - 18112S_5^4 - 30144S_5^3 \\
- 1120S_5^2 + 39192S_5 + 14868 \\
c_8 = 1664S_5^6 + 7488S_5^5 + 7824S_5^4 - 21520S_5^3 \\
- 64076S_5^2 - 38238S_5 + 4003 \\
c_9 = -256S_5^7 - 1152S_5^6 + 1952S_5^5 + 19360S_5^4 \\
+ 44040S_5^3 + 22980S_5^2 - 29970S_5 - 19924 \\
c_{10} = -1216S_5^6 - 6336S_5^5 - 11216S_5^4 + 5848S_5^3 \\
+ 46108S_5^2 + 43516S_5 + 5736 \\
c_{11} = 128S_5^7 + 640S_5^6 - 160S_5^5 - 8208S_5^4 - 25384S_5^3 \\
- 25368S_5^2 + 3504S_5 + 10380 \\
c_{12} = 256S_5^7 + 1664S_5^6 - 16730S_5^5 + 4432S_5^4 + 2056S_5^3 \\
- 11160S_5^2 - 4909 \\
c_{13} = 96S_5^5 + 1104S_5^4 + 4240S_5^3 + 6396S_5^2 + 2070S_5 \\
- 1934 \\
c_{14} = 216S_5^6 + 1068S_5^5 + 1974S_5 + 1347 \\
c_{15} = -27.
\]

By (50), \( G_{5u} \) is formed as a product of three terms. We denote the last of these terms in (50) by \( \mathcal{V}(I_{5u}) \). For each \( \nu \neq 0 \) and \( \nu \neq 1 \) we have \( (v, S_5) \notin \mathcal{V}(g_1, g_2) \) and in that case by the extension theorem there exists \( u \in C \) so that \( (u, v, S_5) \in \mathcal{V}(I_{5u}) \). From this we obtain the variety \( \mathcal{V}(I_{5u}) = \mathcal{V}(g_1, g_2) \). Consequently the sum of period-five cycle points attains the same value at most three times.

We obtain also the same result if we eliminate the variable \( v \) from the pair of equations (45) using the ordering \( \preceq \).
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