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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Patients with aneurysmal SAH and good clinical status at admission are considered at a lower risk for delayed cerebral ischemia. Prolonged MTT may be associated with an increased risk. It is unclear whether this is dependent on clinical status. Our purpose was to determine whether increased MTT within 3 days of aneurysmal SAH compared with baseline is associated with a higher risk of delayed cerebral ischemia in patients with good (World Federation of Neurosurgical Societies I–III) versus poor (World Federation of Neurosurgical Societies IV–V) admission status.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: This prolonged MTT was a multicenter, prospective cohort investigation of 87 patients with aneurysmal SAH. MTT was measured at admission before aneurysm treatment (MTT1) and following repair (MTT2) within 3 days of admission; MTTdiff was calculated as the difference between MTT2 and MTT1. Changes in MTT across time were assessed with repeated measures analyses. Risk of delayed cerebral ischemia or death was determined with multivariate logistic regression analysis.

RESULTS: In patients with a good grade (n = 49), MTT was prolonged in patients who developed delayed cerebral ischemia, with MTTdiff significantly greater (0.82 ± 1.5) compared with those who did not develop delayed cerebral ischemia (−0.14 ± 0.98) (P = .03). Prolonged MTT was associated with a significantly higher risk of delayed cerebral ischemia or death (OR = 3.1; 95% CI, 1.3–7.4; P = .014) on multivariate analysis. In patients with poor grades (n = 38), MTTdiff was not greater in patients who developed delayed cerebral ischemia; MTT1 was significantly prolonged compared with patients with a good grade.

CONCLUSIONS: Patients in good clinical condition following aneurysmal SAH but with increasing MTT in the first few days after aneurysm SAH are at high risk of delayed cerebral ischemia and warrant close clinical monitoring.

ABBREVIATIONS: aSAH = aneurysmal SAH; DCI = delayed cerebral ischemia; GCS = Glasgow Coma Scale; ICP = intracranial pressure; MTT = MTT before aneurysm treatment; MTT2 = MTT after repair; MTTdiff = the difference between MTT before aneurysm treatment and after repair; WFNS = World Federation of Neurosurgical Societies

Identifying patients at high risk of delayed cerebral ischemia (DCI) after aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage (aSAH) is important for guiding medical and endovascular management and could help improve disposition planning. Management algorithms are largely based on admission clinical status and the volume of SAH. The World Federation of Neurosurgical Societies (WFNS) has a commonly used scale to assess presentation clinical status. Higher WFNS grades (IV–V) are associated with a higher risk of DCI and death, while a good grade (WFNS I–III) at admission indicates lower risk.

Early perfusion abnormalities before DCI symptoms could help identify patients at risk. Specifically, delayed MTT measured with CTP may be associated with higher risk. Absolute thresholds have been cited but depend on physiologic variability between individuals and technical aspects of acquisition and post...
processing. Hence, early changes in cerebral perfusion within individuals may be a more accurate marker.

The purpose of this study was to determine whether prolonged MTT within 3 days of aSAH is associated with a higher risk of DCI in patients with good (WFNS I–III) and poor (WFNS IV–V) clinical status on admission. Secondarily, we assessed the pattern of MTT changes before and after aneurysm treatment in patients with good and poor grades.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Population**

This was a prospective multicenter cohort investigation of patients with aSAH between 2015 and 2017. Power analysis performed for logistic regression analysis with DCI as a binary response variable showed that 83 patients were required to achieve 80% power at .05 significance. Inclusion criteria were aSAH and age older than 18 years. Exclusion criteria were the following: no aneurysm, prior aneurysm treatment, poor scan quality, no baseline CTP, severe renal dysfunction, or contrast allergy. Consecutive patients presenting with aSAH at 2 institutions (St. Michael’s Hospital and Sunnybrook Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada) were screened. Institutional ethics approval and informed consent were obtained.

**Clinical Data**

Patient demographics, WFNS grade (good grade = I–III; poor grade = IV–V), Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score, hypertension, and smoking status were recorded at admission. The type of aneurysm treatment (clipping or coiling) was documented. Intracranial pressure (ICP) measurements were obtained at scanning when available.

DCI was defined according to a previously published consensus statement and considered present with new focal neurologic impairment or a decrease of at least 2 points in the GCS score not apparent immediately after aneurysm treatment and not attributed to other causes.9 Death within 2 weeks of admission was additionally noted. Timing of medical and endovascular treatment for DCI was reviewed to confirm occurrence after the onset of symptoms.

**CT**

Each patient had a noncontrast CT, CTA, and CTP on admission (before aneurysm treatment) and within 3 days of admission (after aneurysm treatment). Admission noncontrast CT images were assessed to determine the Modified Fisher Scale grade10 and for hydrocephalus and intraparenchymal hematoma. CTAs were assessed for angiographic vasospasm (the presence of large-artery vessel narrowing at baseline or on a subsequent study compared with baseline).

CTP was performed on either 256-slice or 64-slice scanners (Revolution or VCT; GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, Wisconsin) (256-slice: 14 cm of coverage with 80 kV; 75–150 Smart mA dose modulation; noise index, 8; rotation time, 1 second; and Adaptive Statistical Iterative Reconstruction (ASIR), 50%; 64-slice: shuttle mode, 16 × 5 mm slices [8 cm of coverage] with 80 kV and 100 milliamperes second (mAs)).

CTP cine images were analyzed on CT Perfusion 5 (Prototype of CTP 4D; GE Healthcare) by research assistants with expertise in CTP analysis blinded to clinical data. Arterial input ROIs were chosen to maximize the slope of the arterial time-density curve, usually the anterior cerebral artery or MCA. Venous ROIs were placed on the superior sagittal sinus to maximize the area under the venous time-density curve.11 CTP functional maps for each slice, including MTT, CBF, and an average map (average of all the cine images of the same slice), were generated.

Slices with extensive coil artifacts were excluded. ROIs were drawn to define the anterior cerebral artery, MCA, and posterior cerebral artery vascular territories and the basal ganglia and thalamus on each slice, avoiding hematomas and External ventricular drain (EVD) tracts (Fig 1). Segmentation of gray and white matter was achieved using a threshold range of Hounsfield units. Blood flow and blood volume thresholding was performed to exclude vascular structures by eliminating pixels with blood flow of >100 mL/min/100 g and blood volume of >8 mL/100 g from the segmented gray and white matter masks.12 The ROIs were automatically propagated to MTT maps, and MTT was calculated as the weighted average of the gray matter volume from all ROIs on all slices. MTT on the CTP performed at admission before aneurysm treatment was MTT1, and at 3 days after treatment, it was MTT2.

**Statistical Analysis**

Characteristics of the study population were compared using an independent t test for continuous variables or χ² analysis for categorical variables.

To study the interaction between MTT changes associated with DCI and clinical grade, we dichotomized patients into 2 groups (good grade, WFNS I–III; and poor grade, WFNS IV–V) at presentation. Repeated measures linear regression analysis was performed to assess differences between MTT1 and MTT2 and the interaction between temporal changes in MTT and grade. Post hoc analysis was
Table 1: Characteristics of the study population

|                          | All (n = 87) | Good Grade (n = 49) | Poor Grade (n = 38) | P Value |
|--------------------------|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Age (mean) (SD) (yr)     | 58.5 (13.2) | 57.5 (12.8)         | 59.4 (13.9)         | .554    |
| Female (No.) (%)         | 58 (67)     | 34 (69)             | 24 (63)             | .541    |
| Smoking (No.) (%)        | 28 (32)     | 15 (31)             | 13 (34)             | .722    |
| Hypertension (No.) (%)   | 41 (47)     | 19 (39)             | 22 (58)             | .076    |
| GCS score (mean) (SD)    | 11.2 (4.6)  | 14.5 (0.8)          | 6.8 (3.8)           | <.001   |
| mFisher grade (No.) (%)  |             |                     |                     | <.001   |
| 1                        | 7 (8)       | 7 (14)              | 0 (0)               |         |
| 2                        | 26 (30)     | 21 (43)             | 5 (13)              |         |
| 3                        | 6 (7)       | 6 (12)              | 0 (0)               |         |
| 4                        | 58 (55)     | 15 (31)             | 33 (87)             |         |
| Aneurysm treatment (No.)%|             |                     |                     | .015    |
| Clip                     | 11 (13)     | 7 (14)              | 4 (11)              |         |
| Coil                     | 70 (81)     | 42 (86)             | 28 (74)             |         |
| None                     | 6 (6)       | 0 (0)               | 6 (16)              |         |
| Intracerebral hematoma (No.)%| 64 (74)     | 8 (16)              | 15 (40)             | .03     |
| Hydrocephalus (No.) %    | 57 (66)     | 27 (55)             | 30 (79)             | .02     |
| Intracranial pressure (mean) (SD) | 28.7 (28.0) | 15.3 (7.9)   | 36.8 (31.1)         | .005    |
| Angiographic vasospasm (No.)% | 8.3 (3.0) | 3.1 (1.7) | 6.3 (2.3) | .377 |

Table 2: Mean MTT values

|                      | Good Grade | Poor Grade | All | No DCI | DCI | DCI or Death |
|----------------------|------------|------------|-----|--------|-----|--------------|
|                      |            |            | All | No DCI | DCI | DCI or Death |
| MTT 1 (SD)           | 6.3 (1.4)  | 6.2 (1.3)  | 6.6 (1.9) | 7.7 [2.3]  | 7.3 [1.7]  | 8.3 (3.0)*   |
| MTT 2 (SD)           | 6.3 (1.7)  | 6.1 (1.5)  | 7.4 (2.5) | 6.4 (1.9)  | 6.5 (2.1)  | 6.0 (0.8)    |
| MTTdiff (SD)         | 0.03 (1.2) | -0.14 (0.98)| 0.82 (1.6) | -0.75 (1.7) | -0.75 (1.8) | -0.78 (1.6) |

Note: mFisher indicates modified Fisher Scale; CT 1, before aneurysm treatment; CT 2, after aneurysm treatment.

Table 2: Mean MTT values

|                      | Good Grade | Poor Grade | All | No DCI | DCI | DCI or Death |
|----------------------|------------|------------|-----|--------|-----|--------------|
|                      |            |            | All | No DCI | DCI | DCI or Death |
| MTT 1 (SD)           | 6.3 (1.4)  | 6.2 (1.3)  | 6.6 (1.9) | 7.7 [2.3]  | 7.3 [1.7]  | 8.3 (3.0)*   |
| MTT 2 (SD)           | 6.3 (1.7)  | 6.1 (1.5)  | 7.4 (2.5) | 6.4 (1.9)  | 6.5 (2.1)  | 6.0 (0.8)    |
| MTTdiff (SD)         | 0.03 (1.2) | -0.14 (0.98)| 0.82 (1.6) | -0.75 (1.7) | -0.75 (1.8) | -0.78 (1.6) |

* Significantly greater than MTT in patients with good grades (P < .05).

RESULTS

Characteristics of Study

Eighty-seven patients were included. One hundred two were screened, and 15 were excluded for the following indications: no baseline CTP (n = 6), rebleed of previously coiled aneurysm (n = 2), nonaneurysmal SAH (n = 5), CTP of insufficient quality (n = 1), and no SAH on baseline (n = 1) (Table 1).

Forty-nine of 87 (56%) had good grades on admission, and 38/87 (44%) had poor grades. Patients with poor grades had lower GCS scores, higher Modified Fisher Scale scores, higher ICP, and higher incidences of intraparenchymal hematoma, hydrocephalus, and untreated aneurysm. Death within 2 weeks occurred in 12 patients, all of whom had poor grades. While the rate of DCI was similar between patients with good and poor grades, patients with poor grades had significantly higher rates of death and DCI when considered together.

Temporal Trends in MTT

There was a significant interaction between MTT and clinical grade (P = .009), indicating that changes between MTT1 and MTT2 are different for patients with poor and good grades. MTT1 was significantly higher in patients with a poor grade compared with patients with a good grade with and without DCI or death (P < .05). There was no difference in MTT2 (Table 2 and Figs 2 and 3).

In patients with a good grade, different trends were observed between MTT1 and MTT2 in patients with and without DCI (P = .03) (Table 2). The mean difference between MTT2 and MTT1 was positive in patients who developed DCI (0.82 ± 1.6), indicating increasing (worsening) MTT in the first few days after aSAH. This difference was significantly greater than the MTTdiff in patients without DCI (−0.14 ± 0.98, P = .03), which showed an average decrease (improvement) in MTT.

Univariate Analysis: Predictors of DCI or Death

Univariate analysis showed that WFNS, ICP, GCS, and intraparenchymal hematoma were significant predictors of DCI or death (Table 3). In patients with a good grade, MTTdiff was associated with a higher risk of DCI (OR = 2.1; 95% CI, 1.0 – 4.1; P = .04). Patients with poor grades had no significantly increased risk of DCI or death with increasing MTT (OR = 0.99; 95% CI, 0.6 – 1.6; P = .97).

Multivariate Analysis: Predictors of DCI or Death

Increasing MTT between MTT1 and MTT2 (higher MTTdiff), intraparenchymal hematoma, and higher WFNS scores remained performed with paired t tests for patients with good and poor grades.

The difference between MTT before aneurysm treatment and after repair (MTTdiff) was calculated. Differences between groups were assessed with independent t test analysis.

Logistic regression analysis was used to assess predictors of DCI or death. First, univariate analysis was used to identify significant clinical predictors. Multivariate analysis was then performed to assess the risk of DCI or death associated with MTTdiff for patients with good and poor grades, in combination with clinical factors identified as significant on independent univariate analysis. Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis with calculation of the Youden Index was performed to determine optimal thresholds of MTT1, MTT2, and MTTdiff. Statistical analysis was performed with the SPSS statistics package, Version 24 (IBM, Armonk, New York). Results were considered significant at P < .05.
significant predictors of DCI or death on multivariate analysis (Table 4). Subgroup analysis of patients with good and poor grades showed that higher $MTT_{\text{diff}}$ (worsening MTT between $MTT_1$ and $MTT_2$) was significantly associated with an increased risk of DCI in patients with a good grade (OR, $3.1; 95\% \text{ CI,} 
0.13–7.4; P=.014$).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we showed that increasing (worsening) MTT in the first few days after aSAH was associated with an increased risk of DCI or death in patients with a good grade. Roughly every 1-second increase in global MTT was associated with a $3\times$ greater risk of DCI in patients with a good clinical status, and this risk remained significant on multivariate analysis. In contrast, patients with a poor grade (WFNS IV–V) tended to show decreasing (improving) MTT in the first few days after aSAH in those with and without subsequent DCI or death.

Some management algorithms rely on clinical status for triaging patients to high or low risk for DCI and poor outcome. Patients in good clinical condition may undergo less frequent neurologic monitoring and may be transferred out of intensive care units earlier. Consensus statements have identified CTP as a potential screening tool, though specific recommendations for its integration are lacking. Our data support the use of CTP in the first few days after aSAH before the typical onset of DCI, to identify patients who, despite good clinical status, remain at an elevated risk of DCI. Multivariate analysis showed that increasing MTT remained a significant risk factor for DCI in patients with a good grade.

MTT changes that we observed occurred before DCI symptoms. This “therapeutic window” could allow optimization of therapy in high-risk patients. Effective options for the prevention of DCI are currently limited to nimodipine and maintenance of euvolemia. Once DCI has been established, hypertensive therapy is considered first-line treatment in many centers, though there is a lack of evidence showing clinical benefit. Targeted application of therapies in individuals at higher risk might improve the clinical efficacy, though currently evidence is lacking. In addition, new prophylactic treatment studies might incorporate $MTT_{\text{diff}}$ as a biomarker for imaging selection or for monitoring treatment efficacy.

While MTT on admission was significantly higher in patients with a poor-versus-good grade, it was not a significant predictor of DCI or death in either univariate or multivariate models. This finding may reflect the limitations of a single-measurement MTT compared with temporal changes of MTT in an individual. Technical differences may also have an impact. In our study, CTP data were acquired at 2 different tertiary care centers.
Elevations in MTT during the first few days after aSAH potentially reflect an element of early brain injury, a global brain injury that occurs in the first few days following aSAH and may be associated with DCI. The underlying etiology of early brain injury may involve inadequate physiologic compensation for acute ICP elevations from aneurysm rupture, with cellular injury, edema, blood-brain barrier disruption, and microvascular disturbances. Clinical manifestations may not be clear on early physical examination until abrupt changes in neurologic status are manifested as DCI. We showed a significant interaction between clinical grade and MTT, indicating that MTT changes are different between patients with good and poor grades. While MTT generally worsened in patients with a good grade who subsequently went on to DCI, it improved in patients with a poor grade with DCI. This seemingly paradoxical result might be accounted for by the effects of early brain injury or the effects of untreated ICP/hydrocephalus, both of which could result in prolonged MTT.

In patients with a poor grade, high MTT at admission of >9.6 seconds (as determined by the Youden Index threshold analysis; Table 5) portends increased risk of subsequent DCI or death. Elevated ICP can lead to delayed MTT. As ICP normalizes (for example, following CSF diversion), MTT decreases as cerebral perfusion improves; this change could lead to improvement or reperfusion injury that exacerbates early brain injury.

With respect to early within-individual changes in MTT, there are other limited published data. In a study by Rodriguez-Régent et al., CTP between day 0 and day 4 was analyzed in 47 patients with aSAH. Early deterioration in perfusion parameters was significantly correlated with an increased risk of delayed infarct burden on CT/MR imaging. However, their study focused on imaging outcome, whereas ours focused on clinical deterioration of DCI. Because therapeutic maneuvers may prevent patients with DCI from developing infarction, we think that our study provides important evidence about the relationship of early deterioration in MTT to the development of clinical DCI, which is the current target for clinical intervention. Our study also included a larger

### Table 3: Univariate analysis

|                          | All          | Good Grade | Poor Grade |
|--------------------------|--------------|------------|------------|
|                          | OR 95% CI    | P Value    | OR 95% CI  | P Value    | OR 95% CI  | P Value    |
| Female                   | 1.4 0.5–3.9  | .504       | 0.86 0.18–4.0 | .85       | 2.5 0.61–10.2 | .2         |
| Age                      | 1.0 0.97–1.04 | .512       | 0.96 0.90–1.0 | .19       | 0.1 0.99–1.1 | .09        |
| Smoking                  | 1.74 0.61–5.0 | .303       | 0.86 0.18–4.0 | .85       | 3.6 0.80–16.4 | .096       |
| Hypertension             | 1.5 0.59–3.80 | .4         | 0.75 0.37–3.2 | .7        | 4.44 1.12–17.7 | .03*       |
| Hydrocephalus            | 0.66 0.24–1.8 | .421       | 0.98 0.23–4.2 | .98       | 0.78 0.16–3.9 | .77        |
| Hematoma                 | 0.31 0.31–0.84 | .021*      | 0.065 0.01–0.38 | .002*    | 0.15 0.31–4.3 | .832       |
| GCS score                | 0.88 0.79–0.97 | .01*       | 0.92 0.38–2.2 | .85       | 0.92 0.77–1.1 | .36        |
| WFNS score               | 1.44 1.08–1.92 | .013*     | 1.14 0.74–1.77 | .554     | 0.48 0.21–1.1 | .08        |
| mFisher Scale score      | 1.34 0.74–1.77 | .554       | 0.48 0.21–1.1 | .08       | 1.8 0.58–5.8 | .3         |
| Intracranial pressure    | 1 1.0–1.1     | .013*      | 1.0 1.0–1.1  | .03       | 0.89 0.68–1.2 | .41        |
| MTTI                     | 1.3 1.0–1.6   | .055       | 1.1 0.69–1.8 | .66       | 1.21 0.89–1.6 | .22        |
| MTT2                     | 1.16 0.87–1.55 | .326       | 1.4 0.98–2.1 | .06       | 0.85 0.51–1.4 | .52        |
| MTT diff                 | 1.24 0.82–1.86 | .305       | 2.1 1.0–4.1  | .04*      | 0.99 0.6–1.6 | .97        |

### Table 4: Multivariate analysis

|                          | All          | Good Grade | Poor Grade |
|--------------------------|--------------|------------|------------|
|                          | OR 95% CI    | P Value    | OR 95% CI  | P Value    | OR 95% CI  | P Value    |
| WFNS grade               | 3.08 1.03–9.22 | .04       | 0.57 0.17–1.9  | .36       | 1.1 0.88–1.4 | .38        |
| GCS score                | 1.2 0.87–2.8  | .31        | 0.03 0.003–0.34 | .005    | 1.2 0.18–7.6 | .87        |
| Hematoma                 | 0.35 0.03–0.72 | .02        | 0.31 1.3–7.4  | .04       | 0.91 0.52–1.6 | .73        |
| MT diff                  | 1.67 1.01–2.8 | .04        | 3.1 1.0–4.1  | .04*      | 0.99 0.6–1.6 | .97        |

### Table 5: Optimal MTT thresholds and sensitivity and specificity (determined by the Youden Index) for DCI/death*

| Clinical Grade | MTT1 | MTT2 | MTT diff |
|----------------|------|------|---------|
| All            | 9.5  [26.95] | 5.5  [67.48] | 0.06 [47.75] |
| Good           | 6.5  [56.73] | 5.0  [100.35] | 0.2 [73.37] |
| Poor           | 9.6  [64.90] | 5.4  [88.46] | −0.33 [43.65] |

*MTT values in seconds with corresponding sensitivity and specificity (%).
cohort of patients and explored the influence of clinical grade on early perfusion changes.

Limitations include a relatively low percentage of DCI in this cohort compared with the literature, which, in the context of the sample size, could influence the power of the results. In addition, the clinical teams were not blinded to the results of CTA/CTP; however, they did not have access to quantitative CTP data, only qualitative color maps. This is mitigated by the fact that this study included strict clinical definitions of DCI, which were not influenced by imaging results. There was a relatively high rate of patients with poor grades in this study, likely due to the prospective study design in which patients with poor presentation of clinical status were not excluded. Clinical detection of DCI in patients with poor grades is challenging, and this issue could introduce errors in the diagnosis of DCI in these patients. We chose to include death in combination with DCI in patients with a poor grade because this is a measurable outcome in patients with a comatose or nearly comatose state, but this could also introduce error. Finally, CT perfusion includes iodinated contrast (risks for renal injury and allergic reaction) and ionizing radiation (risk of radiation injury and induced cancer); however, these risks are low using optimal imaging protocols.

CONCLUSIONS

In patients with a good grade (WFNS I–III), increased MTT in the early period after SAH (around day 3) compared with a baseline study performed at presentation is associated with a significantly higher risk of subsequent development of DCI. Patients with a poor grade (WFNS IV–V) did not show an increased risk of DCI with increased MTT. Early changes in MTT may be a useful imaging biomarker for the risk of DCI in patients with a good grade.
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