New Promising Citrus Triploid Hybrids Selected from Crosses between Monoembryonic Diploid Female and Tetraploid Male Parents
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Abstract. The breeding of citrus triploid hybrids started at Istituto Sperimentale per l’Agrumicoltura in Acireale, Italy, in 1978 (Starrantino and Reforgiato, 1981). The strategy used has been to cross a monoembryonic 2x female parent with a 4x male parent. The imbalance between the embryo and endosperm ploidy (3:4) makes seeds from such crossings incapable of germinating in vivo. However, in vitro cultivation has been used to rescue the embryos. In this paper we report the main characteristics of triploid hybrids from 22 different parents, including sweet orange (Citrus sinensis (L.) Osbeck), mandarin (C. reticulata Blanco), clementine (C. reticulata Blanco), grapefruit (C. paradisi Macf.), pummelo [C. maxima], tanger (C. reticulata × C. sinensis), lemon (C. limon (L.) Burm. f.), citron (C. medica L.), and Fortunella hishii (Champ.) Swing. Tetraploid parents are duplex because they originate from the doubling of chromosome number of the nucella or of other somatic tissues. The segregation and recombination process results in triploid hybrids with characteristics that are nearer to the 4x parent than the 2x one. This strategy is important in obtaining seedless hybrids similar to a parent after generations of backcrossing.

Over the last few years the citrus market worldwide has required easy peeling and seedless citrus fruit. Many cultivated varieties of orange, clementine and grapefruit are seedless, whereas the number of seeds in mandarin and mandarin-like fruit often makes them less consumer friendly. Many breeding programmes have isolated mandarin diploid hybrids possessing good characteristics, but the fact they are not seedless has jeopardised their market potential. Recently the popularity of ‘Avana’ mandarin and the ‘Monreal’ clementine in the Italian citrus industry has progressed as a consequence of the seedless clementine selections (‘Comune’, ‘Nules’, ‘Oroval’, ‘Oronules’, etc.). Seedlessness in 2x Citrus is related to male or female gametophyte sterility, self-incompatibility and early embryo abortion. Iwamaso (1966) listed some cases of pollen sterility (asynapsis, translocation and inversion). Temperature during gametogenesis can influence the grade of sterility of many varieties. Seedlessness linked to self-incompatibility is greatly influenced by the presence of allocompetitive foreign pollens. This has become a major problem in clementine plantings when they are grown near other varieties of mandarins (‘Nova’ or ‘Fortune’). Cross-pollination results in seedy fruit and commercialisation is jeopardised.

Triploidy has been used to rescue in vivo. However, in vitro cultivation has been used to rescue the embryos. In this paper we report the main characteristics of triploid hybrids from 22 different parents, including sweet orange (Citrus sinensis (L.) Osbeck), mandarin (C. reticulata Blanco), clementine (C. reticulata Blanco), grapefruit (C. paradisi Macf.), pummelo [C. maxima], tanger (C. reticulata × C. sinensis), lemon (C. limon (L.) Burm. f.), citron (C. medica L.), and Fortunella hishii (Champ.) Swing. Tetraploid parents are duplex because they originate from the doubling of chromosome number of the nucella or of other somatic tissues. The segregation and recombination process results in triploid hybrids with characteristics that are nearer to the 4x parent than the 2x one. This strategy is important in obtaining seedless hybrids similar to a parent after generations of backcrossing.

The odd number of chromosomes means they are completely sterile because they are unable to undergo meiotic pairing in a manner that will produce chromosomally balanced gametes. This system is believed to be reliable because it is not influenced by cross pollination and environmental changes. In some crops (watermelon) the use of triploids seeds hampers germination and calls for the use of a diploid pollinator to stimulate production of seedless fruit. In parthenocarpic crops, such as citrus, parthenocarpic seedlings are completely sterile because they are unable to produce chromosomally balanced gametes. Although polyploidy often occurs naturally in Citrus through spontaneous mutations (Russo and Torrisi, 1951a; 1953), the condition of triploidy has occurred only in one case of cultivated citrus (‘Taihi’ lime). The first examples of selected triploid genotypes achieved by pollination of 4x female and 2x male parents were the pummelo hybrids ‘Oroblanco’ (Soost and Cameron, 1980) and ‘Melogold’ (Soost and Cameron, 1985).

In 1978 (Starrantino and Reforgiato Recupero, 1981), the Istituto Sperimentale per l’Agrumicoltura, Acireale, Italy, started up the genetic improvement programme aimed at developing triploid hybrids. In this report a strategy based on the use of a female diploid parent and a male tetraploid parent and the successive rescue of the immature embryos recovered by in vitro culture 4 months after pollination is described. The goal of this breeding program is to obtain seedless hybrids, possessing also good characters. Other advantages using this strategy are reported.

Strictly monoembryonic 2x female parents were used to prevent nucellar seedlings in progenies. All the tetraploids used as parent were selected during the breeding programme at the ISA, Acireale, Italy (Russo and Torrisi, 1951b). Most were derived from spontaneous doubling of the chromosome number in the nucellar tissue. Cavone lemon 4x was recovered from a sprout in the bud union. Their status has been cytologically verified. They were consequently duplex tetraploids. Embryonic incapacity to germinate in vivo caused by the ratio of ploidy level (3:4) between embryo and endosperm (Esen et al., 1978) was overcome by in vitro rescue of the embryos recovered 3 to 4 months after pollination (Starrantino and Reforgiato Recupero, 1981). The possibility of recovering embryos removed from mature fruit was assessed later. Table 1 lists the parents used and the number of triploid hybrids growing in the ‘Palazzetti’, ‘Fonte Ciane’ (Syracuse, Italy), and ‘S. Gregorio’ (Reggio Calabria, Italy) experimental fields. All triploids growing in the field have been grafted to sour orange or clementine rootstocks to reduce the risk of Phytophthora infections.

Hybrids Released

‘Tacle’ (Starrantino, 1999) and ‘Clara’ (Starrantino, 1994) are triploids derived from clementine ‘Monreal’ and ‘Tarocco’ tetraploid oranges, whereas ‘Camel’ comes from ‘Nules’ clementine and ‘Avana’ tetraploid mandarin. The tree of ‘Tacle’ is vigorous and thorny, the canopy is like the ‘Tarocco’ orange. The fruit is easy-peeling, like a clementine in shape and has a medium, dark orange peel. Colour and flavour resembles ‘Tarocco’ orange more than clementine but is sweeter. Colour-break occurs in mid-November. Ripening occurs between mid-December and mid-January in Italy, when the pulp develops the characteristic anthocyanin pigmentation.

The tree of ‘Clara’ is not very vigorous and has few thorns. The fruit is large, generally obovoid to slightly oblate to almost subgbose in shape, slightly pigmented and juicy. It ripens between mid-January and February in Italy and tastes like a mix between clementine and orange.

The tree of ‘Camel’ is similar to ‘Avana’ mandarin, but is very thorny, especially on vigorous branches. The fruit is medium in size, obovoid-subgbose in shape, pale-orange in colour and easy-peeling. It tastes like a mixture of ‘Avana’ mandarin and clementine. Ripening period is between mid-December and January in Italy.

‘Reale’ (Fig. 1) is a triploid hybrid derived from ‘Monreal’ clementine × Fortunella hishii 4x and has been propagated for its ornamental value because of its continuous blooming and early fruiting (from the first year after grafting). It is used as an ornamental potted tree. Its leaves are more like those of kumquat than those of clementine, its canopy is compact and its thorns are short and thin. Fruit do not exceed 15 g, are yellowish, obovoid and remain on the tree long after physiological maturity.
Table 1. Triploid hybrids planted in the field of Palazzelli (SR), Fonti Ciane (SR), and S. Gregorio (RC).

| Male parent 4x x Female parent 2x | ‘Bionda’ orange | ‘Tarocco’ orange | ‘Sanguinello’ orange | ‘Avana’ mandarin | ‘Ovaletto’ ‘Sanguigno’ mandarin | ‘Cavone’ lemon | ‘Monachello’ lemon | ‘Doppio Lentini’ lemon | Fortunella hindsii |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| ‘Comune’ clementine               | 191            | 11              | 10                  | 35               |                                |                |                     |                     |                    |
| ‘Monreal’ clementine              | 67             | 7               | 7                   | 36               | 11                             |                |                     |                     | 4                  |
| ‘Nules’ clementine                | 146            | 37              | 14                  | 1                | 3                              | 36             | 1                   | 36                  | 1                  |
| ‘Oroval’ clementine               | 102            | 3               | 22                  | 1                | 18                             |                |                     |                     | 2                  |
| ‘Fortune’ mandarin                | 52             | 1               | 31                  |                 |                                |                |                     |                     |                    |
| ‘Meyer’ lemon                     | 5              |                 |                     |                  |                                |                |                     |                     | 5                  |
| ‘Diamante’ citron                 | 2              |                 |                     |                  |                                |                |                     |                     | 2                  |
| 1952-36B-69 hybrid                | 27             |                 |                     |                  |                                |                |                     |                     |                    |
| ‘S. Teresa’ lemon                 | 9              | 6               |                     |                 |                                |                |                     |                     |                    |
| 1964-R1-1A                        | 10             |                 |                     |                  |                                |                |                     |                     |                    |

*Common’ clementine = ‘Sanguinello’ orange. ‘C. lemon’ × ‘Pera del Commendatore’.
‘Femminello’ lemon × ‘Cardinale’ lemon × ‘S. Teresa’ lemon.

Grafting on alemow (C. macrophylla Wester) achieves the best results for canopy growth with a significantly higher number of fruit than obtained on the other rootstocks (Recupero et al., 2001).

New Hybrids Recently Patented or Considered Promising

Recently 31 promising hybrids were selected (Table 2) (Russo et al., 2002). Sampling data revealed information on the recommended picking date even if in some cases (C5951 and C6925) total soluble solids and acidity content indicated that the fruit may be ready for picking earlier than the sampling date adopted in the study. However, it must be stressed that the results are preliminary and that long term data observed in different environments and using more replications will allow better assessment and reduce the number of hybrids released.

The fruit of the selected clementine × ‘Tarocco’ hybrids are generally large with a pigmented pulp due to the presence of anthocyanins. Ripening date, peel thickness, texture and anthocyanin content in peel and pulp in these hybrids differ from ‘Tacle’ and ‘Clara’. Hybrid C2191 (Fig. 2), patented as ‘Alkantara’, and C1732 (Fig. 3), patented as ‘Mandared’, have fruit with high anthocyanin content, are easy peeling like clementine but are larger fruit (Table 2). Fruit of C1829 are distinguished by the fleshy pulp and absence of anthocyanin, whereas C1732 has anthocyanin in the rind.

Tables 1 and 2 show that Tarocco is the only orange to produce promising or patented hybrids. This underlines the importance of the male genotype compared with the female (clementine) as tetraploidy enhances character transmissibility. Hybrids obtained using other sweet oranges usually produce small fruit, or poor aroma or low TSS to acidity ratio, when pulp firmness is acceptable.

Some hybrids obtained using clementine and ‘Fortune’ mandarin crossed with ‘Avana’ mandarin 4x were very similar to ‘Avana’ mandarin. Recently, the willow leaf mandarin has lost market favor and the more pigmented and sweeter, seedless clementine selections have become more popular. Some triploids preserve the aroma of ‘Avana’ but are sweeter and more pigmented and ripen over a long interval. For example, D8811 (Fig. 4) patented as ‘Mandale’, is noteworthy because it ripens even later than late ripening ‘Ciaculli’ mandarins, and it is feasible that the former can be picked as late as April in Italy.

The characteristics of hybrid D2238 are similar to those of grapefruit. Its seedlessness is not unexpected as seedless varieties of grapefruit are very common (‘Marsh Seedless’, ‘Star Ruby’), whereas its early ripening feature is innovative. A previous study on grapefruit (Pennisi, 1974-75) showed that TSS to TA ratio of ‘Marsh Seedless’ grown in the Palazzelli experimental field (Lentini, Italy) was 4.8 in January and 5.9 in February. D2238 had a TSS to TA ratio of 8.9 at the end of January in the same experimental farm and a low naringin content, and consequently did not have the typical sour taste. Its fruit weighed on average 180 g and were suitable for the fresh fruit market. Juice percentage was 45%. This hybrid seems to be very promising for cultivation as a grapefruit substitute in subtropical climatic areas.

Some Aspects Concerning the Use of Autotetraploid Male Parents

Our results emphasize the importance of this breeding program whereby numerous characteristics of the 4x parent are transferred to the progeny in a single cross, as occurs in some generations of backcrossing diploid species.

The tetraploids used are originated by doubling the chromosome number of the nucella (Russo and Torrisi, 1951) or other somatic tissues. This can lead to duplex autotetraploids.
where the heterozygote AAaa separates in a 1AA: 4Aa: 1aa ratio, supposing casual separation of chromosomes, so that the recessive homozygote is present in only 16.6% of the microspores. This explains why the triploid progenies we obtained present lower variability than diploids and why many of the triploid hybrid characteristics are more like those of the male tetraploid parents than the female diploid ones.

Our observations regarding inheritance of traits are limited to crosses using autotetraploid parents. The use of allotetraploid parents obtained by somatic hybridization in interploid crosses (Grosser et al., 2004) will probably induce a different behavior in the progeny. The advantages of our strategy over one using 4x female and 2x male parents (Esen et al., 1978) is that the former utilises a monoembryonic diploid female parent and obtains hybrids without the interference of nucellar embryos.

In our workploidy analysis on a limited number of hybrids by chromosome number account and from the trees growing in the field, only triploid level has been observed. However in some years very few hybrids have produced seedy fruit. For instance Tacle and Clara (2000) in the experimental plot produced some seedy fruit. But these hybrids have been verified cytologically as triploids.

Table 2. Qualitative characteristics of 31 selected triploids.

| Hybrid | Cross | Sampling date | Fruit size (g) | Juice (%) | Total soluble solids (%) | Acidity (%) | S/A | Epicarp color index (a/b) | Adherence of albedo to pulp |
|--------|-------|---------------|----------------|-----------|-------------------------|-------------|-----|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| A 145  | A I   | 147.6         | 46.9           | 14.24     | 1.23                    | 11.59       | 0.48| Medium                  |                           |
| D10841 | A II  | 235.5         | 40.9           | 12.29     | 1.34                    | 9.15        | 0.33| Weak                    |                           |
| D1469  | A III | 129.2         | 59.9           | 12.94     | 1.33                    | 9.72        | 0.51| Weak                    |                           |
| D2273  | A     | 202.7         | 53.9           | 12.24     | 1.27                    | 9.66        | 0.44| Medium                  |                           |
| D2281  | A II  | 169.1         | 52.6           | 13.81     | 1.05                    | 13.15       | 0.51| Strong                  |                           |
| D2348  | A III | 147.2         | 53.9           | 13.85     | 1.27                    | 10.93       | 0.34| Strong                  |                           |
| D2397  | A     | 136.1         | 47.2           | 14.34     | 1.52                    | 9.42        | 0.47| Strong                  |                           |
| D2414  | A III | 175.6         | 35.7           | 13.95     | 1.13                    | 12.38       | 0.50| Medium                  |                           |
| D3681  | A     | 238.0         | 46.6           | 11.35     | 1.41                    | 8.06        | 0.43| Medium                  |                           |
| D3755  | A     | 134.0         | 53.4           | 14.14     | 1.92                    | 7.37        | 0.49| Medium                  |                           |
| D871   | A III | 151.2         | 50.5           | 13.85     | 1.79                    | 7.73        | 0.45| Strong                  |                           |
| E522   | A II  | 213.9         | 43.9           | 12.69     | 0.99                    | 12.98       | 0.37| Medium                  |                           |
| C641   | B III | 226.0         | 48.5           | 12.94     | 1.13                    | 11.49       | 0.44| Weak                    |                           |
| C1829  | B I   | 188.2         | 46.5           | 12.99     | 1.19                    | 10.91       | 0.09| Weak                    |                           |
| C1867  | B I   | 175.1         | 56.1           | 12.79     | 1.11                    | 11.49       | 0.05| Medium                  |                           |
| C2191  | B II  | 197.6         | 46.3           | 13.21     | 1.13                    | 11.72       | 0.47| Weak                    |                           |
| C2575  | B I   | 196.6         | 55.4           | 12.54     | 1.18                    | 10.65       | 0.29| Strong                  |                           |
| C2710  | B III | 108.7         | 59.9           | 14.64     | 1.97                    | 7.43        | 0.61| Strong                  |                           |
| C2858  | B III | 139.3         | 53.1           | 13.25     | 1.27                    | 10.45       | 0.43| Medium                  |                           |
| C2899  | B II  | 233.3         | 37.7           | 12.78     | 1.66                    | 7.69        | 0.24| Weak                    |                           |
| E7003  | C II  | 211.1         | 45.5           | 14.29     | 1.43                    | 9.97        | 0.42| Weak                    |                           |
| E968   | C II  | 168.9         | 57.6           | 12.49     | 1.47                    | 8.49        | 0.16| Strong                  |                           |
| C1732  | D III | 166.0         | 61.0           | 13.84     | 1.97                    | 7.02        | 0.52| medium                  |                           |
| C5282  | E I   | 85.0          | 42.1           | 11.94     | 1.10                    | 10.85       | -0.05| Weak                    |                           |
| C5951  | E I   | 101.7         | 40.0           | 13.24     | 0.93                    | 14.17       | 0.12| Weak                    |                           |
| C6925  | E I   | 98.1          | 41.9           | 14.54     | 1.00                    | 14.57       | 0.30| Weak                    |                           |
| D9518  | F I   | 68.5          | 30.5           | 11.35     | 1.11                    | 10.19       | 0.54| Weak                    |                           |
| D8811  | G IV  | 98.0          | 42.0           | 13.9      | 1.38                    | 10.07       | 0.41| Weak                    |                           |
| D9055  | G III | 85.8          | 43.4           | 12.05     | 1.09                    | 11.07       | 0.39| Medium                  |                           |
| D2238  | H III | 186.9         | 45             | 11.9      | 1.3                     | 8.93        | 0.31| Strong                  |                           |
| C3869  | I V   | 142.0         | 31             | 9.1       | 6.1                     |             |     |                         |                           |

* A = (Comune clementine × Tarocco orange 4x); B = (Oroval clementine × Tarocco orange 4x); C = (Monreal clementine × Tarocco orange 4x); D = (Nules clementine × Tarocco orange 4x); E = (Oroval clementine × Avana mandarin 4x); F = (Monreal clementine × Avana mandarin 4x); G = (Fortune mandarin × Avana mandarin 4x); H = (Monreal clementine × grapefruit 4x); I = 1952-36B-69 (C. limon × Pera del Commendatore) × Doppio Lentini lemon 4x.

Fig. 2. Fruit of ‘Alkantara’ (‘Oroval’ clementine × ‘Tarocco’ orange).

Our observations regarding inheritance of traits are limited to crosses using autotetraploid parents. The use of allotetraploid parents obtained by somatic hybridization in interploid crosses (Grosser et al., 2004) will probably induce a different behavior in the progeny.

The advantages of our strategy over one using 4x female and 2x male parents (Esen et al., 1978) is that the former utilises a monoembryonic diploid female parent and obtains hybrids without the interference of nucellar embryos.

In our workploidy analysis on a limited number of hybrids by chromosome number account and from the trees growing in the field, only triploid level has been observed. However in some years very few hybrids have produced seedy fruit. For instance Tacle and Clara (2000) in the experimental plot produced some seedy fruit. But these hybrids have been verified cytologically as triploids.

Tetraploidy usually produces seedy fruit. Even if we cannot exclude the presence of some...
tetraploid genotypes, most of the hybrids tested show characteristics of triploidy. In previous papers (Cameron and Soost, 1969; Esen and Soost, 1972) using monoembryonic seed progenitors, the hybrids were often tetraploid in diploid × tetraploid crosses. The frequency of tetraploids varied from 6% to 94% depending on the pistillate parents used. Differences observed in our crosses may be attributed to the nutrient media or to loss of tetraploid genotypes. After seedlings were transferred to soil, the loss of 10-20% of hybrids occurred.

Figure 6 shows the distribution of mean weight of the two diploid and the three triploid progenies of clementine x sweet orange. Mean fruit size is 101 and 124 g, respectively in ota and omo diploid crosses, and 194, 201, and 214 g in triploid crosses N × T, O × T and C × T, respectively. Some findings (unpublished data) on crosses between various clementine selections with ‘Avana’ 4x mandarin revealed that the fruit of the progenies weighs between 100 and 120 g. The possibility of obtaining large fruit is very advantageous in citrus breeding as market demand has swung towards large fruit. Consequently, smaller fruit are losing ground on the fresh fruit market and are not particularly commercialised even if they possess other positive features.

Conclusions

In triploid progenies the codified characteristics of many genes (fruit size, flavor) that are key factors for breeding programs seem to be strongly influenced by the tetraploid parent. Overall assessment revealed that the only negative features frequently observed during assessment of the triploid progenies were thorniness together with thicker and harder septa segments compared with diploid genotypes. Moreover, other nucellar selections (‘Tarocco’ 57/1E/1) or diploid hybrids were thorny, but the number of thorns progressively reduced as the tree aged. Cameron and Frost (1968) reported that tendency to low fruit yield was a serious problem in triploid progenies. Our results do not rule out the possibility that some hybrids have a low yield, but revealed the triploids and diploids generally gave overlapping yields. Commercial plantations of adult ‘Tacle’ trees produced 130 kg yield/tree. The genotype of the male and female parents may greatly influence progeny production.

The main dissimilarity during the evaluation of diploid and triploid progenies is the reduced phenotypic variability of the latter. Therefore, in a genetic breeding programme...
of the same weight have a reduced quantity of and good anthocyanin peel and pulp colour. Selection of promising hybrids was not always evident and easy to determine. Studies focussed on hybrids that produced fruit with a balanced TSS to acidity ratio, good skin and pulp texture to ensure adequate shelf-life, with a balanced TSS to acidity ratio, good skin and pulp texture to ensure adequate shelf-life, and good anthocyanin peel and pulp colour. Thick peel is a negative factor because fruit of the same weight have a reduced quantity of pulp. Likewise overly thin peel makes peeling difficult and leads to pulp damage during peeling. Anthocyanin pigmentation in peel and pulp is an indicator of quality as it makes the fruit more attractive and antioxidants are more beneficial to health. However, the presence of excessive concentrations of anthocyanins is correlated with the presence of hydroxycinnamic acids that impart an off-flavor to fruit. The breeding programme carried out by the Istituto Sperimentale per l’Agrumicoltura, Acireale, Italy has selected nearly 900 hybrids (Starrantino, 1992). Other hybrids, besides those described in this paper, show potential. The Istituto Sperimentale per l’Agrumicoltura has agreed to allow promising triploids to be evaluated in diverse environmental areas in South Africa, Spain, California, Chile and Australia.
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