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Active species for synthetic and catalytic applications are formed from well defined complexes or mixtures of compounds. For group 4 metalloccenes, three pathways for the formation of the reactive complex fragment \([\text{Cp}_2\text{M}]\) are known: (i) reductive mixtures and well defined complexes which are able to form the metallocene fragments either by (ii) addition or (iii) substitution reactions. In this account for each of these systems (i)–(iii) a prominent example will be discussed in detail, (i) the Negishi reagent \(\text{Cp}_2\text{ZrCl}_2/\text{n-BuLi}\), (ii) bis(\(\eta^1:\eta^1\) -pentafulvene) complexes and (iii) metallocene bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene complexes, to show the advantages and the disadvantages for each of these methods for synthetic applications. This account summarizes some main advantages of group 4 metalloccene bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene complexes as metallocene generating agents over other synthetically used systems. For each of the special purposes, all described systems have advantages as well as disadvantages. The aim of this overview is to help synthetic chemists in selecting the most effective system on the basis of \([\text{Cp}_2\text{M}]\) (\(\text{M} = \text{Ti}, \text{Zr}\)) for synthetic or catalytic purposes.

1. Introduction

The chemistry of group 4 metallocenes has been reviewed in the past on several occasions, e.g. by Chirik, who presented a historic overview of titanocene chemistry long with more recent examples\[^{[14]}\] as well as by Xi and Li who focussed on the construction of carbocycles via zircona- and titanacycles.\[^{[15]}\] Sato and coworkers have reviewed the synthesis of organotacontium complexes and their synthetic applications.\[^{[16]}\] Very recently Tonks and coworkers published an overview about novel applications of low-valent early transition metals in synthesis and catalysis.\[^{[17]}\]

To realize such effective stoichiometric and catalytic reactions it is generally very important to form coordinatively and electronically unsaturated complex fragments. For this the group 4 metallocene bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene complexes became more and more interesting during the last years in stoichiometric \([2–22]\) and catalytic\[^{[23–34]}\] reactions. Typical examples for several methods to obtain compounds of this type were published and will be described in detail in this account.\[^{[35]}\]

Most transformations start from bench-stable complexes and form the catalytically active species by different methods of activation. Three groups exist for the formation of reactive metallocenes \([\text{Cp}_2\text{M}]\): (i) reductive mixtures, and well defined complexes which form the metallocene fragments either by (ii) addition or (iii) substitution reactions. In this paper for each of these systems a significant example will be discussed to show the advantages and the disadvantages in synthetic applications.

1.1. General

Several metallocene \([\text{Cp}_2\text{M}]\) generating reactive mixtures are known, including combinations of \(\text{Cp}_2\text{MCl}_2\) and reduction agents like \(\text{Zn}, \text{Al}, \text{Mg}, \text{Sm}\[^{[36]}\] and \(\text{Cp}_2\text{TiCl}_2/\text{EtMgCl}\[^{[37]}\) or the most popular Negishi reagent \(\text{Cp}_2\text{ZrCl}_2/\text{n-BuLi}\[^{[38]}\) for these examples a broad scope of synthetic and catalytical applications was published. Several methods for the preparation of \(\text{Cp}_2\text{Zr(olefin)}\) complexes and of various \(\text{Cp}_2\text{Zr(olefin)(PMe}_3\) complexes exist, for which either resonance hybrids or equilibrating mixtures of \(\text{Cp}_2\text{Zr(olefin)}\) complexes and the corresponding zirconacyclopropanes were discussed. They show a variety of chemical transformations like the alkene substitution, the ring expansion to give five-membered zirconacycles by C–C bond formation, the ring contraction of formed five-membered zirconacycles, several skeletal rearrangements and the stereoisomerization of alkenes.

Additionally, well defined stable precursor complexes were described, in which the coordinatively and electronically unsaturated complex fragments \([\text{Cp}_2\text{M}]\) are generated during the reaction either by addition or substitution. For example Beckhaus and coworkers have developed a broad range of bis (\(\eta^1:\eta^1\) -pentafulvene) metal complexes that undergo substrate addition of element-H bonds (e.g. molecular hydrogen, N–H and C–H bonds), which leads to the formation of metallocene hydride, imide, hydrazide and aziridine complexes.\[^{[39]}\]

Systems that are more prone to ligand substitution\[^{[18]}\] include those possessing neutral placeholder ligands such as \(\text{Cp}_2\text{Ti(PMe}_3\)\[^{[40]}\), \(\text{Cp}_2\text{Ti(P}2\text{Et}_3\)\[^{[41]}\), \(\text{Cp}_2\text{Ti(N}3\)\[^{[42]}\), and others like \(\text{Cp}_2\text{Ti(CO)}\[^{[43]}\) as well as alkyne complexes of the type \(\text{Cp}_2\text{M}(\text{L})(\text{η}^1-\text{btmsa})\) (btmsa = bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene; with or without \(\text{L} = \text{pyridine or THF}\)\[^{[43]}\]

Generally, most reductive mixture systems are easily prepared from readily available starting materials but show some disadvantages in synthesis and reactions. In contrast to this, well defined complexes are in some cases less easily obtained but show reasonable to good stability at room temperature and generate the metallocene units \([\text{Cp}_2\text{M}]\) more selectively. For well defined complexes some side reactions are prevented, which were often observed for mixtures of precursor complexes. As an important prerequisite, the used substrate must have the ability to substitute the stabilizing ligands. For these widely used systems it is not possible to summarize all known examples within this account. For this reason, for each group of metallocene sources only one example is discussed here (Scheme 1).


Scheme 1. Overview on the formation of [Cp*₂M] by (i) reductive mixtures, and well defined complexes, either by (ii) addition or (iii) substitution reactions.

2. Example for Mixtures

2.1. The Negishi Reagent Cp₂ZrCl₂/n-BuLi

For the Negishi reagent Cp₂ZrCl₂/n-BuLi as the most prominent example for the first group, Dioumaev and Harrod[44] showed that under conditions typically used with this system, no [Cp₂Zr] is present in solution. Instead, a mixture of zirconocene complexes including butylzirconocene(III), zirconocene(III) hydride, butenylzirconocene(IV) hydride dimer and 1,1-bis(cyclopentadienyl)-2-methyl-3-(zirconocenyl hydride)-1-zirconacyclobutane(IV) dimer are formed upon warming of dibutylzirconocene to room temperature.

Considering the frequent use of this system Cp₂ZrCl₂/n-BuLi as the first step the formation of the gaseous product is the corresponding alkane RH. Additionally, the complexes Cp₂ZrCl₂/n-BuLi were used[38] as the most prominent examples to study the mechanism of the formation of alkene complexes. Summarizing the process of the thermal decomposition of zirconocene dibutyl at room temperature Dioumaev and Harrod identified the paramagnetic butylzirconocene(III), zirconocene(III) hydride, the diamagnetic butenylzirconocene(IV) hydride dimer and 1,1-bis(cyclopentadienyl)-2-methyl-3-(zirconocenyl hydride)-1-zirconacyclobutane(IV) dimer. Additionally the crotlyzirconocene(IV) hydride, 1,1-bis(cyclopentadienyl)-2-ethyl-1-zirconacyclop propane(IV) and 1,1-bis(cyclopentadienyl)-3,4-diethyl-1-zirconacyclopentane(IV) were identified by several analytical methods and a mechanistic proposal for the formation of all these products was made (Scheme 3).

Most importantly, no [Cp₂Zr] is present in solution. Only some of the formed products are able to react by substitution with substrates to the desired products whereas the others can open reaction channels for undesirable formation of by-products, thus lowering the selectivity. Despite of these disadvantages, the Negishi reagent Cp₂ZrCl₂/n-BuLi was used very successfully in many synthetic projects.[18] Nevertheless,
in some cases it was described that for example zirconocene bis (trimethylsilyl)acetylene complexes show some advantages compared to the Negishi reagent Cp₂ZrCl₂/n-BuLi (see the details below).

**Advantages** for the Negishi reagent as a mixture are, that the starting materials Cp₂ZrCl₂ and n-BuLi are commercially available and the mixture is simply prepared. This system was used for many examples, giving broad synthetic applications.

**Disadvantages** of the system are the procedure, which is restricted to low temperatures and only THF as a solvent as well as sometimes the problems of selectivity and to realize exact stoichiometric relations. Some functional groups are not tolerated by the strong base and in some cases it is a problem to separate the LiCl by-product from formed products of low solubility.

3. Examples for Substrate Addition

3.1. Beckhaus’ bis(η⁵⁻:η¹⁻-pentafulvene) Complexes

Beckhaus presented in a very recent review a survey of the chemistry of group 4 metal pentafulvene complexes. He described that in these bis(η⁵⁻:η¹⁻-pentafulvene) complexes due to the “Umpolung” of the coordinated pentafulvene ligand the Cexo-atom becomes strongly nucleophilic and many element-H bond activation reactions reactions can be realized, which lead in the first step to the formation of [Cp₂Ti] complexes and in the second to further interesting synthetic applications (Scheme 4).

![Scheme 4. Reaction of bis(η⁵⁻:η¹⁻-pentafulvene) titanium complexes to [Cp₂Ti] complexes.](image)

Due to the aforementioned strongly nucleophilic character of the exocyclic C atoms the bis(η⁵⁻:η¹⁻-pentafulvene) complexes show compared to the the titanocene dialkyl complexes like Cp₂TiMe₂ no kinetic hindrance in the reactions with electrophilic substrates. By protonation of the nucleophilic exo C atoms of substituted bis(η⁵⁻:η¹⁻-pentafulvene) complexes the complexes (η⁵⁻:C₅H₅⁻:CHR₅)TiX₅ are easily formed for R=Ph, p-Tol, adamantly and X=Cl, Br, I.\[45,47\] In principle, this reaction scheme is possible in a similar manner for other bond activation reactions of molecular hydrogen and N–H as well as C–H bond splitting reactions leading to titanium hydrides, imides and hydrazides as well as titanaaziridines.\[190\]

In reactions of substituted bis(η⁵⁻:η¹⁻-pentafulvene) titanium complexes with molecular dihydrogen dinuclear hydride bridged titanium complexes were formed by a complete conversion of four η⁵⁻:η¹⁻-pentafulvene ligands to the η⁵⁻:Cp' ligands (Scheme 5). For zirconium in a similar reaction also M₂H₂ dinuclear complexes were formed under conversion of only two pentafulvene [C₅H₅⁻:CR₅]₂⁻ to Cp' ligands [C₅H₅⁻:CHR₅]⁻ whereas the other two remain as [C₅H₅⁻:CR₅]²⁻ ligands.\[191\]

Titanocene imido complexes were formed in the reaction of the substituted bis(η⁵⁻:η¹⁻-pentafulvene) titanium complexes with amines. These complexes exist in equilibrium with the monoamoide complexes and were stabilized by coordination of pyridine. They were used synthetically as excellent starting material for reactions with acetylenes, carbodiimides and isocyanates to give heterometallacycles (Scheme 6).\[192\] If 1,1-diphenylhydrazine was used instead of the amines, interesting titanocene hydrazido complexes were obtained.\[190\]

With this complex as starting material several (2 + 2) cycloaddition and bond activation reactions with carbodiimides, nitriles, CS₂, CO and 9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane were realized, giving a broad range of synthetical applications.\[190,193\]

Simultaneous N–H and C–H bond activation occurs in reactions with N-methylaniline to furnish titanocene η¹⁻-imine complexes as titanaaziridines, which are important in the titanium catalyzed hydroaminationklylation of alkenes (Scheme 7).\[191\] The obtained titanocene η¹⁻-imine complexes were further used as starting materials for ring enlargement reactions with acetylenes, carbonyl compounds, nitriles and other unsaturated complexes.\[190\]

The η⁵⁻:η¹⁻-pentafulvene complexes of titanium with secondary allylamines again with simultaneous N–H and C–H bond activations, however, in this case formation of titanocene 1-azabutadiene complexes occurs (Scheme 8).\[192\]

The main features of these reactions is the possibility to selectively obtain in the first step the η⁵⁻:Cp' ligands substituted metalloocene complexes by conversion of η⁵⁻:η¹⁻-pentafulvene ligands which in a second step yield synthetically very interesting metallacycles. Additionally, by other reactions the...
frustrated Lewis pairs in comparison to classical heteroatom containing Lewis pairs.\textsuperscript{[50,51]}

Advantages of \( \eta^1: \eta^1 \)-pentafulvene complexes in reactions with selected substrates are the high yields of products, formed at room temperature (Scheme 5–10) with a very high selectivity. This means that for selected substrates in a two step process the selective reaction of two or even more substrates is possible.

Due to the mild conditions the elementary steps of catalytic cycles can be studied.\textsuperscript{[51a]} In many reactions the high electronic flexibility allowed the primary substrate coordination by a haptotropic shift from \( \eta^1: \eta^1 \rightarrow \eta^4 \) as shown for the coordination of NHCl.\textsuperscript{[51b]}

Disadvantages are, that the synthetic applications are limited in the first step mostly to E–H substrates. By this process only product complexes of \( \text{Cp}_2 \text{M} \) with substituted Cp ligands are formed, which give in one or more subsequent reaction the desired products. The starting complexes are not commercially available and require previous organometallic synthesis.

4. Examples for Substrate Substitution

4.1. Metalloocene Complexes with bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene \( \text{Cp}_2\text{M}(\eta^1\text{-btmsa}) \)

As mentioned above, the examples for ligand substitutions in the complexes \( \text{Cp}_2\text{M}(\eta^1\text{-btmsa}) \) such as \( \text{Cp}_2\text{Ti}(\text{PMMe}_3)_2 \), \( \text{Cp}_2\text{Ti}(\text{OEt})_2 \), \( \text{Cp}_2\text{Ti}(\text{NMe}_2)_2 \), \( \text{Cp}_2\text{Ti}(\text{CO})_2 \), \( \text{Cp}_2\text{TiR}_2 \) etc.\textsuperscript{[44]} generate the \( \text{Cp}_2\text{M} \) fragment by a ligand exchange reaction. The reactivity for these complexes depends on ability of the system for the substitution of the \( \text{Cp}_2\text{M} \) stabilizing ligands L and the reaction conditions. The disadvantage of these complexes is, that the substitution of L sometimes is not complete or the leaving ligands couple with the substrates to yield undesired by-products. In this context, the complexes \( \text{Cp}_2\text{M}(\eta^1\text{-btmsa}) \) (btmsa = bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene, with or without L like pyridine or THF) are for better suited for many reactions, because the btmsa shows a greater tendency for substitution even at room temperature without the necessity for further activation and mostly no tendency for coupling.

Several methods were described to obtain such bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene complexes \( \text{Cp}_2\text{M}(\eta^1\text{-Me}_2\text{SiC}_2\text{SiMe}_3) \) as starting materials.\textsuperscript{[45]} The compound \( \text{Cp}_2\text{Ti}(\eta^1\text{-Me}_2\text{SiC}_2\text{SiMe}_3) \) as the typical examples for complexes with unsubstituted Cp ligands was first obtained by reduction of \( \text{Cp}_2\text{TiCl}_2 \) with magnesium in THF in the presence of \( \text{Me}_2\text{SiC}_2\text{SiMe}_3 \) later by the reduction of \( \text{Cp}_2\text{TiCl}_2 \) with \( n \)-butyllithium in \( n \)-hexane and adding \( \text{Me}_2\text{SiC}_2\text{SiMe}_3 \) as well as by the reaction of \( \text{Cp}_2\text{TiMe}_2 \) with \( \text{Me}_2\text{SiC}_2\text{SiMe}_3 \) at higher temperature in \( n \)-hexane.\textsuperscript{[51c]} The zirconocene complex \( \text{Cp}_2\text{Zr}(\eta^1\text{-Me}_2\text{SiC}_2\text{SiMe}_3) \) was synthesized from the complex \( \text{Cp}_2\text{Zr}(\text{THF})(\eta^1\text{-Me}_2\text{SiC}_2\text{SiMe}_3) \) which was obtained by reduction of \( \text{Cp}_2\text{ZrCl}_2 \) with magnesium in the presence of \( \text{Me}_2\text{SiC}_2\text{SiMe}_3 \) in THF and the substitution of THF by pyridine.\textsuperscript{[51d]} Later a more simplified alternative procedure by starting from \( \text{Cp}_2\text{ZrCl}_2/2 \text{n-BuLi via the intermediate } \text{[Cp}_2\text{Zr(THF)}(\eta^1\text{-butene})] \) was followed by addition of \( \text{Me}_2\text{SiC}_2\text{SiMe}_3 \) and...
pyridine was used by Tilley and co-workers.\textsuperscript{[13c]} One can understand this procedure on one side as an effective purification of the Negishi system and a simplified procedure to avoid the isolation of the intermediate Cp\_2Zr(THF)(\eta^2\text{-btmsa}). Higher yield of 95% of this alternative method compared to 49% of the procedure via the isolation of Cp\_2Zr(THF)(\eta^2\text{-btmsa}) are remarkable and made the complex a convenient starting material for many selective reactions with high yields.

The first example of a group 4 metallocene alkyn complex without additional ligands Cp\_2Ti(\eta^2\text{-btmsa}) with bis(trimethylsilyl)aceteylene and a series of similar complexes Cp\_2M(\eta^2\text{-btmsa}) (M=Ti, Zr, Hf; Cp\_2=Cp, Cp\_*=\eta^1\text{-pentamethycyclopentadienyl} and Cp\_*\_Z=C\_\text{rac-c(ethbith)} as rac-1,2-ethylen-1,1'-bis(\eta^3\text{-tetryahydroindenyl}) and others were intensively investigated (Scheme 10).\textsuperscript{[12–22]}

The first investigated reaction of Cp\_2Ti(\eta^2\text{-btmsa}) with tolane PhC\_\text{Ph} unexpectedly gave no coupling of Me\_3SiC\_\text{Ph}=CSiMe\_3 with PhC\_\text{Ph} and via the assumed alkyn complex Cp\_2Ti(\eta^2\text{-PhC\_\text{Ph}Ph}) another C-C coupling reaction only of two molecules of tolane to the tetraphenylsubstituted titanacyclopentadiene.\textsuperscript{[35a]} Based on this simple substitution reaction, Cp\_2Ti(\eta^2\text{-btmsa}) and similar complexes were applied as excellent sources for the generation of the very reactive coordinately and electronically unsaturated complex fragments [Cp\_2M]. These were used in many synthetic and catalytic reactions, summarized before in several papers and some reviews.\textsuperscript{[13–16]} Nevertheless, some very view examples exist in which the substitution of the bis(trimethylsilyl)aceteylene ligand did not work and a coupling of the alkyn with other substrates was found.\textsuperscript{[15–17,21–22]}

The main advantages of one complex of this group, Cp\_2Zr(py)(\eta^2\text{-btmsa}) compared to other [Cp\_2Zr] generating systems, were summarized by Tilley et al. and discussed below in detail. All these advantages are not only restricted to the zirconocene complex Cp\_2Zr(py)(\eta^2\text{-btmsa}) but were described in a similar manner for other group 4 metallocene bis(trimethylsilyl) acetylene complexes for several synthetic and catalytic reactions. Because it is impossible to present here all these results, only some impressive examples for typical uses are summarized in the following chapter.

Reactions of Group 4 metalloocene bis(trimethylsilyl) acetylene complexes with alkynes and 1,3-butadiynes were described in detail. In particular 1,3-butadiynes gave high yields of different products by complexation, cleavage and coupling reactions (Scheme 11).\textsuperscript{[13a,16,14,18]}

Group 4 metallocene bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene complexes were investigated in reactions with different alkynes to more special metallacyclopentadienes.\textsuperscript{[21]} For example, the formation of macrocycles by zirconocene mediated C–C coupling reactions of many di- and oligo-diynes to large polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH’s) was described by Tilley et al.\textsuperscript{[35g–i]} by using in addition to the Negishi system consisting of Cp\_2ZrCl\_2/2 n-BuLi the complex Cp\_2Zr(py)(\eta^2\text{-btmsa}) as a well-defined source of “Cp\_2Zr”.

The reaction of Cp\_2Zr(py)(\eta^2\text{-btmsa}) with 4,4’-bis(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)benzophen for example gave the trinuclear macrocycle in 99% isolated yield whereas by using Cp\_2ZrCl\_2/2 n-BuLi the yield was only 90% after separation of the product from the formed LiCl. Protolysis of the zirconacyclopentadiene gave the corresponding substituted butadiene (Scheme 12).

Scheme 10. Examples for group 4 metallocene bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene complexes.

Scheme 11. Products of reactions of group 4 metallocene bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene complexes with 1,3-butadiynes.

Scheme 12. Reaction of Cp\_2Zr(py)(\eta^2\text{-btmsa}) with 4,4’-bis(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)benzophen and acidolysis of the product.
Such selective zirconocene mediated C–C bond formations were described for many other examples. In most cases very high yields and clean products were obtained. For these macrocycles reversible C–C bond formation was investigated as well (Scheme 13). Starting from these macrocycles by protolysis many compounds with butadiene subunits were described.

![Scheme 13](image)

Scheme 13. Examples for products obtained from coupling of bis(trimethylsilyl)ethynylsubstrates at Cp₂Zr(py)(η⁵-btmsa).

In more recent investigations as substrates phenanthrene substituted diynes and oligodiynes were used for the intramolecular reductive cyclization by Cp₂Zr(py)(η⁵-btmsa). These gave several examples of zirconacyclopentadiene-annulated PAH's with up to 16 fused rings and five zirconacyclopentadiene rings. Using this concept, several PAH's with exocyclic diene functional groups were obtained involving a general strategy to obtain expanded helicene molecules of this type (Scheme 14).

![Scheme 14](image)

Scheme 14. Intramolecular reductive cyclization of phenanthrene substituted diynes by Cp₂Zr(py)(η⁵-btmsa) as a general synthetic strategy.

Generally, dienes with the ortho-quinodimethane structure exhibit high reactivity but are here stabilized by incorporation into the formed PAH framework. Modification by selective hydrogenation gave highly alkylated PAH's or by the Diels-Alder reaction the fusion to additional rings. By in situ protodemetalation of the zirconacyclopentadienes without a dienophile other products were formed, compared to the protodemetalation in the presence of N-ethylmaleimide. Several multifold couplings of tris(diyne) and pentakis(diyne) substrates were realized with Cp₂Zr(py)(η⁵-btmsa). The intermediate zirconacyclopentadiene produced with an excess of benzoic acid further products of protodemetalation in high yields (Scheme 15).

![Scheme 15](image)

Scheme 15. Examples for multifold couplings of tris- and pentakis(diynes) with Cp₂Zr(py)(η⁵-btmsa) to PAH's and protodemetalation.

These and the other reported examples present a highly efficient method to produce new PAH's via fused zirconacyclopentadienes and subsequent protodemetalation. The authors published very selective reactions and high yields with Cp₂Zr(py)(η⁵-btmsa) and suggested its suitability for the preparation of even larger PAH's and graphene-type nanostructures.

Going from the C=C to the C≡N triple bonds of substrates, the reactions of the complexes Cp₂M(η⁵-Me₃SiC₃SiMe₃) with nitriles including PhCN, o-tolCN, p-tolCN and FcCN (Fc = ferrocenyl) resulted in the formation of 1-metalla-2,5-diaza-cyclopenta-2,4-dienes which were isolated in high yields (Scheme 16).

![Scheme 16](image)

Scheme 16. Reactions of Cp₂M(η⁵-Me₃SiC₃SiMe₃) (M = Ti, Zr) with nitriles to 1-metalla-2,5-diaza-cyclopenta-2,4-dienes.

Similar reactions were found when using Cp₂Ti(η⁵-Me₃SiC₃SiMe₃) and 1,2- or 1,3-dicyanobenzene in a selective intermolecular nitrile-nitrile C–C coupling in which depending on the substrate tri- or tetranuclear macrocycles were formed (Scheme 17).

Such coupling reactions were later described for 2,6-dicyanopyridine and very recently by Reiß, Beveries and coworkers for 2-cyano furan as well as 2-cyanothiophene, giving several mixed tri- and tetranuclear complexes by homo- and heterocoupling using Cp₂M(η⁵-btmsa) (M = Ti, Zr). When changing from aryl-dinitriles to dicyanoalkyl adiponitrile, by a nitrile-nitrile C–C coupling and subsequent protonation no 1-titana-2,5-diaza-cyclopenta-2,4-diene but a 1,4-diazadiene complex was formed in a yield of 46% (Scheme 18).

![Scheme 17](image)

Scheme 17. Examples for product obtained from coupling of bis(trimethylsilyl)ethylene at Cp₂Zr(py)(η⁵-btmsa).

Tilley and co-workers used the concept of C–C-coupling reactions of nitriles for a new general synthetic strategy to obtain large PAHs (N-containing polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons) by using Cp₂Ti(η⁵-Me₃SiC₃SiMe₃) as a cheaper and more sustainable alternative to Cp₂Zr(η⁵-btmsa). They described a general procedure to synthesize a wide range of PAH's with up to 30 fused rings using a combination of nitriles and Cp₂Ti(η⁵-Me₃SiC₃SiMe₃) as a general synthetic strategy.

![Scheme 18](image)

Scheme 18. Examples for products obtained from coupling of bis(trimethylsilyl)ethylene at Cp₂Zr(py)(η⁵-btmsa) to PAH's and protodemetalation.
more readily accessible reagent compared to the permethyltitanocene Cp*₂Ti(η²-Me₃SiC₂SiMe₃). Phenanthrene substituted dinitriles gave di(aza)titanacyclopentadienes by titanocene-mediated reductive cyclization. Subsequent reactions of these products gave compounds with one or more o-quinone, diazole, or pyrazine units (Scheme 19).

Such investigations on the reductive coupling of two nitrile units to 2,5-di(aza)metallacyclopentadienes were not reported for the synthesis of N-containing polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons before. The above mentioned basic reaction to several 2,5-di(aza)metallacyclopentadienes by coupling using Cp*₂M (Me₃SiC₂SiMe₃) (M=Ti or Zr, Scheme 16) was modified here by using the complex Cp*₂Ti(Me₃SiC₂SiMe₃) in combination with phenanthrene substituted dinitriles. Subsequent reactions of the obtained compounds with aqueous acid, main-group dihalides and acetylene dicarboxylates gave π-extended o-quinones, diazoles or pyrazines by very effective metallacycle transfer (Scheme 20).[19]

Also by using phenanthrene substituted dinitriles with functional groups 7,10-substituted dibenzo[f,h]quinoxalines were synthesized as well as examples for larger PAHs with two or more quinone, pyrazine, or diazole units (Scheme 20).

All these reactions were possible only by using the complex Cp*₂Ti(η²-btmsa) as an excellent starting material giving reactions with high selectivity and surprisingly high tolerance of several functional groups in multistep reactions.

Staubitz and coworkers presented for the zirconocene complex Cp₂Zr(py)(η²-btmsa) another example to synthesize tin containing conjugated heterocycles that can be used for the introduction of stannole units in the main chain of main group polymers. In an intermolecular coupling reaction the bis (thiophenyl)substituted octadiyne was converted into the zirconacyclopentadiene. In this reaction the iodide substituents remain intact and the obtained products reacted to the stannole compounds. The thiophene substituted stannole monomer gave with the diiodide the desired polymer by Stille-cross-coupling in very high selectivity (Scheme 21).[74,75]

Very recently Staubitz and coworkers[76] compared reactions of Negishi’s reagent and Cp₂Zr(py)(η²-btmsa) with several disubstituted alkynes and octadiynes R=C≡C-(CH₂)$_n$C≡R (R=SnMe₃, Bpin, 4-thiophenyl, 2-metoxy-, 2-bromo- and 2-iodo-4-thiophenyl etc.) to zirconacyclopentadienes. Most of the
published reports before described the synthesis of such zirconacyclopentadienes by using Negishi’s reagent. The efficiency of both reagents toward substituted diynes was evaluated and compared by kinetic studies on the basis of by 1H NMR measurements. As a result, Cp2Zr(py)(Me3Si-C≡CSiMe3) was faster, more reliable and led to higher yields. This complex was described to be more efficient for the synthesis of zirconacyclopentadienes with respect to yield and reaction time when compared to Negishi’s reagent (Scheme 21 and 22). Additionally, it is a very functional group tolerant reagent as even aryl-iodides are not attacked in the reaction. Worth to mention that these investigations are the first systematically conducted experiments to compare the efficiency of both systems.

Very recently Sindlinger and Heitkemper obtained similar results by comparison of the in situ generated Negishi reagent Cp2Zr(η5-butene) (51 % yield) with Rosenthal’s complex Cp2Zr(py)(η5-p-btm) (97 % yield) in the formation of a zirconacyclopentadiene by coupling of Ph3C≡CPh= (Ph= = 3,5-(t-Bu)C6H3) .[75]

Self-assembly reactions to multinuclear complexes using group 4 bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene complexes are possible and were described in detail.[16–24] Some older examples of C–C coupling reactions with or without C–H bond activation to give tri- or tetranuclear complexes were published.[76–79] Beckhaus and co-workers reported coupling reactions of various N-heterocycles by Cp2Ti(η5-p-btm) and Cp2*Ti(η5-p-btm) (Scheme 23). Pyrazine and Cp2*Ti(η5-p-btm) gave by threefold C–C coupling a trinuclear and Cp2Ti(η5-btm) with pyrimidine an tetranuclear complex.[80] Other aromatic N-heterocycles like pyrazine,[81] 4,4′-bipyridine and tetrabenzene were investigated, too. The interaction of Cp2Ti(η5-btm) with quinoxalines resulted in a C–H bond activation/dehydroaromatisation reaction along with C–C-coupling.[76] The obtained hexaazatriphenylene (HATN) titanium complexes and similar products were investigated in detail.

The hexaphenyl substituted derivative (Cp2Ti)(η5-HATNHph) was formed by dehydrogenative coupling of 6,7-diphenylquinoxaline in the presence of Cp2Ti(η5-p-btm).[83] By dissociation of the alkynyl the highly reactive and reductive Cp2Ti(II) fragment is formed, giving the dehydrogenative C–C coupling reaction to the same product (Cp2Ti)(η5-HATNHph) which was also obtained by direct coordination of the Cp2Ti(II) fragment to the HATNHph ligand (Scheme 24).

Reactions of Cp2M(L)(η5-btm) (M = Ti, no py and M = Zr, L = py) and the corresponding permethylmetallocene complexes Cp2*M(η5-p-btm) (M = Ti, Zr) with imidazole and 4,5-diphenylimidazole gave trinuclear complexes. This is a new type of self-assembly reactions to multinuclear titanium(III)- and zirconium (III)-complexes in which the metals are bridged by imidazolate ligands. Tetranuclear complexes were only obtained with the Cp complexes Cp2M(L)(η5-3-Me3SiC≡CSiMe3) (M = Ti, no py and M = Zr, L = py) and imidazole and 4,5-dimethylbenzimidazole. Starting from Cp2Ti(η5-Me3SiC≡CSiMe3) and benzimidazole or 5,6-dimethylenbenzimidazole tetranuclear complexes were formed. The reason could be that with an increased sterical demand of the

Scheme 21. Reaction of the bis(thiophenyl)substituted octaadiyne to the zirconacyclopentadiene and conversion to the stannol compounds as well as the Stille-cross-coupling of the diiodide to the polymer.

Scheme 22. Compared efficiency of Negishi’s and Rosenthal’s reagent Cp2Zr(py)(Me3Si-C≡CSiMe3) in the formation of zirconacyclopentadienes from substituted alkynes and diynes.

Scheme 23. Typical products of C–C-coupling reaction without and with C–H activation (dehydroaromatisation).

Scheme 24. Reactions of Cp2Ti(η5-btm) with 6,7-diphenylquinoxaline by dehydrogenative coupling to (Cp2Ti)(η5-HATNHph).
ligands Cp* and the substituents R=Ph only tri- and with the smaller Cp-ligands and the substituents H or Me the tetranuclear complexes were obtained (Scheme 25).\cite{84}

Advantages of group 4 bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene complexes are, that these can be readily prepared in large quantities directly from commercially available compounds. They are stable compounds at room temperature, allow a control of the stoichiometry, make the reactions possible in nonpolar solvents at room temperature and allow to remove the formed side products Me3Si=CSiMe3 and (in some cases pyridine) easily from the reaction products. Additionally, they tolerate several functional groups giving a higher selectivity for the obtained products. Disadvantages are the sometimes complicated special synthesis of these complexes under strictly anaerobic conditions. These problems were reduced for the case of Cp2Zr(py)(η1-btmsa) by the alternative synthesis via Negishi’s reagent. Nevertheless, there is the need to use anaerobic conditions, but in principle this is the case for the other presented examples, too.

5. Conclusions

All the presented examples make group 4 bis(trimethylsilyl) acetylene complexes to the most favoured systems compared to the other here mentioned systems. The disadvantage of the sometimes complicated synthesis of these complexes was compensated for the case of Cp2Zr(py)(η1-btmsa) by the alternative synthesis via the Negishi-system as described by Tilley and coworkers. The main advantages of group 4 metallocene bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene complexes were summarized before for Cp2Zr(py)(η1-btmsa) as one example.\cite{35f}

- This complex can be readily prepared in large quantities directly from commercially available Cp2ZrCl2 by different methods.
- It is stable at room temperature, can be stored under an inert atmosphere, and allows a precise control of the stoichiometry.
- In contrast to the reagent Cp2ZrCl2/n-BuLi for which the formed LiCl is not easily removed after reactions and its limited to tetrahydrofuran as solvent only at low temperatures, this complex allows to remove the formed side products pyridine and Me3Si=CSiMe3, which are soluble and volatile. The solubility of this complex allows reactions in nonpolar solvents like pentane.
- The complex Cp2Zr(py)(η1-btmsa) tolerates several functional groups giving a higher selectivity for the obtained products.

This picture is more or less empirically supported by many examples, but was promoted by recent kinetic studies from Staubitz et al. In all cases, the route using Rosenthal’s reagent Cp2Zr(py)(η1-btmsa) was faster, more reliable and led to higher yields. It is more efficient for the synthesis of zirconacyclopentadienes with respect to yield and reaction time when compared to Negishi’s reagent. Additionally, it tolerates functional groups like aryl-iodides which were not attacked during the reaction.

All these advantages mentioned here are not only restricted to the zirconium complex Cp2Zr(py)(η1-btmsa) but were described in a similar manner for other group 4 metallocene complexes with bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene in several synthetic and catalytic reactions as well as the examples presented herein. In addition to these advantages one can directly influence the reactions of these complexes Cp2M(L)(η1-btmsa) by variation of the ligands Cp’ (Cp, Cp* etc.), the metals M (Ti, Zr etc.), the used L and S as the substituents of the substrates. Changing of these different influences makes a fine-tuning of the reactivity and products of reactions possible.
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