Tick midgut is the primary infection site required by tick-borne pathogens to initiate their development for transmission. Despite the biological significance of this organ, cell cultures derived exclusively from tick midgut tissues are unavailable and protocols for generating primary midgut cell cultures have not been described. To study the mechanism of *Anaplasma marginale*-tick cell interactions, we successfully developed an *in vitro* *Dermacentor andersoni* primary midgut cell culture system. Midgut cells were maintained for up to 120 days. We demonstrated the infection of *in vitro* midgut cells by using an *A. marginale omp10::himar1* mutant with continued replication for up to 10 days post-infection. *Anaplasma marginale* infection of midgut cells regulated the differential expression of tick α-(1,3)-fucosyltransferases A1 and A2. Silencing of α-(1,3)-fucosyltransferase A2 in uninfected midgut cells reduced the display of fucosylated glycans and significantly lowered the susceptibility of midgut cells to *A. marginale* infection, suggesting that the pathogen utilized core α-(1,3)-fucose of N-glycans to infect tick midgut cells. This is the first report using *in vitro* primary *D. andersoni* midgut cells to study *A. marginale*-tick cell interactions at the molecular level. The primary midgut cell culture system will further facilitate the investigation of tick-pathogen interactions, leading to the development of novel intervention strategies for tick-borne diseases.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Ticks are ectoparasites and pathogen vectors that can transmit a variety of bacteria, viruses, and protozoan parasites to both humans and animals (Brites-Neto et al., 2015). However, transmission prevention measures are limited due to the lack of understanding of the tick-pathogen interface (Rego et al., 2019). The tick midgut epithelium is the target site for the initiation of tick-borne...
pathogen transmission (Sonenshine and Macaluso, 2017). The epithelium of the midgut diverticula of fasting ticks is composed of a monolayer of resting digestive cells, degenerative digestive cells, and stem cells (Starck et al., 2018). In vivo studies of the physiology of the midgut epithelium and the mechanisms of pathogen infection are challenging. To understand the mechanisms of tick-pathogen interaction, studies of the infection at the cellular and molecular levels are needed.

The luminal face of the insect midgut epithelium is coated with a dense array of glycoconjugates that act as a “glycan receptor buffet” for pathogen interactions (Dinglasan and Jacobs-Lorena, 2005). Pathogen carbohydrate-binding proteins utilize arthropod host midgut glycans as attachment receptors for the invasion of midgut epithelial cells (Dinglasan and Jacobs-Lorena, 2005). Unfortunately, little is known regarding the glycobiology of tick cells. Currently, the study of tick glycobiology has been limited to the development of α-gal-specific IgE and hypersensitivity reactions in humans (Cabezas-Cruz et al., 2018; Crispell et al., 2019; Sharma et al., 2021) and the interaction between *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* and *Ixodes* tick cell glycans (Pedra et al., 2010; Seidman et al., 2015).

Invertebrate glycans are made by linking monosaccharides such as glucose, mannose, galactose, N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc), N-acetylgalactosamine (GalNAc), xylose, fucose, N-acetylneuraminic acid (NeuAc), N-glycolylneuraminic acid (NeuGc), glucuronic acid (GlcA), and iduronic acid. Glycans are attached to proteins through an enzymatic process called glycosylation (Zhu et al., 2019). Ninety percent of glycoproteins have N-linked glycans, where the glycan binds to the amino group of asparagine residues in the protein (Apweiler et al., 1999). Fucosylation is the process whereby fucose sugars are incorporated into N-glycans by fucosyltransferases (Becker and Lowe, 2003). A number of pathogens utilize fucosylated N-glycans during colonization of human epithelial cells, including *Helicobacter pylori* (Ilver et al., 1998), Norovirus (Chen et al., 2011), *Vibrio cholera* (Heim et al., 2019), and *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium (Suwandi et al., 2019). *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*, a bacterial pathogen of humans and domestic animals, requires α-(1,3)-fucosylation in its tick vector (*Ixodes* spp.) for colonization (Pedra et al., 2010). *Anaplasma* spp. have a conserved outer membrane protein that interacts with host cell α-(1,3)-fucosylated N-glycans as demonstrated by using both tick embryonic and mammalian cell cultures (Seidman et al., 2015; Hebert et al., 2017).

The present study is focused on determining if fucosylated glycan mediates interactions between *A. marginale* and its invertebrate host *D. andersoni*. *Anaplasma marginale* is the primary etiological agent of bovine anaplasmosis which is a significant tick-borne disease of livestock. This bacterium invades its vector tick midgut for initial development during acquisition, which is required for successful tick colonization and ongoing transmission (Kocan et al., 1992). To study *A. marginale* and tick midgut cell interactions at the cellular and molecular levels, an in vitro tick midgut cell culture is required. Most currently available tick cell lines were isolated from embryonated eggs containing multiple cell types which may not include differentiated midgut cells (Bell-Sakyi, 1991; Munderloh et al., 1994; Bell-Sakyi et al., 2018; Lima-Duarte et al., 2021; Salata et al., 2021). Heretofore, the lack of available tick cell culture systems derived from midgut has precluded the in vitro investigation of *A. marginale*-tick midgut cell interactions. In the present study, we first developed primary tick midgut cell cultures derived from male *D. andersoni*. Male ticks have been shown to be epidemiologically relevant for the transmission of *A. marginale* (Eriks et al., 1993; Furse et al., 2003; Ueti et al., 2009). Secondly, we demonstrated that primary tick midgut cells are permissive for *A. marginale* infection, and finally, we demonstrated that fucosylated N-glycans were required for *A. marginale* infection of *D. andersoni* midgut cell cultures. A better understanding of how *A. marginale* utilizes α-(1,3)-fucosylation may lead to the development of novel therapeutic interventions against bovine anaplasmosis.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Primary Tick Midgut Cell Culture**

Specific pathogen-free ticks from the *D. andersoni* Reynolds Creek colony (Scoles et al., 2007) were used to develop primary midgut cell cultures. Nymphs were applied under a cloth patch on the back of uninfected calves and allowed to feed to repletion. Replete nymphs were incubated at 26°C and 94% relative humidity to molt to adults. Adult ticks were maintained in an incubator at 15°C and 94% relative humidity without feeding for approximately one year. This study was approved (protocol # 2020-60) by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Idaho (Moscow, ID, USA).

Male ticks were surface sterilized by immersion in successive one-minute washes of 70% ethanol and 0.1% sodium hypochlorite as previously described for lepidopteran species (Garca et al., 2001). Hemolymph was collected during tick dissection and the pH determined by placing a small volume on pH test strips (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). The ticks were dissected in ice cold wash solution (Hank’s balanced salt solution without Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ (Gibco, Waltham, MA), 1X Antibiotic Antimycotic solution (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), and 50 µg/ml gentamicin (Sigma-Aldrich)). Tick midguts were removed and rinsed twice with wash solution. Tick midguts were then placed in a digestion buffer solution containing 800 CDU/ml collagenase type XI (Sigma-Aldrich), 1% v/v fetal bovine serum (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), and 0.5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in Hank’s balanced salt solution without Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ (Gibco). Digestion took place in a 37°C incubator with shaking at 180 rpm for 90 min. Following digestion, the midgut cells were released from the tissue by gently pipetting with a wide-bore pipette, followed by filtering through a 70 µm cell strainer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The filtrate was centrifuged at 200xg for 10 min and pelleted cells were washed twice with wash solution to remove collagenase. The number of viable cells was determined by the trypan blue exclusion test using a hemocytometer as previously described (Strober, 2001).
Viable midgut cells (3x10⁵ cells/ml) were suspended in 1 ml Hink’s TNM-FH insect medium (Sigma-Aldrich) containing 40 mg/ml AlbuMAX™ II (Gibco), 1 µM 20-hydroxyecdysone (20-HE) (Sigma-Aldrich), 100 units/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin and 250 mg/ml amphotericin B (Sigma-Aldrich), and 50 µg/ml gentamicin (Sigma-Aldrich) with a final pH of 7. The cell suspension was transferred to 24-well cell culture plates (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and placed in a humidified incubator at 34°C with 5% CO₂. Culture medium containing antibiotic and antifungal was replaced twice a week. Observations were made daily to examine midgut cell cultures over a period of 4 months with a Leica IX70 inverted microscope with LAS-X software (Leica Microsystems, Buffalo Grove, IL). To test attachment of cells to culture plates, bovine collagen I solution was diluted in sterile PBS at a concentration of 3 mg/ml and 100 µl added to each well. The plates were allowed to air-dry at room temperature. Dried coated plates were sterilized by rinsing with 70% ethanol before introducing the midgut cell suspension.

**Determining the Viability of Tick Midgut Cells**

Tick midgut cells (1x10⁵ cells/ml) were suspended in PBS containing 0.1% BSA and an equal volume of 10µM 5(6)-cFDA in PBS/0.1% BSA (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) added to the cell suspension. Cell suspensions were gently mixed and incubated in the dark at 37°C for 15 min. The reactions were stopped by adding Hink’s TNM-FH insect medium and centrifuging at 200xg for 10 min. The cells were washed, and pellets suspended in Hink’s TNM-FH insect medium. The 5(6)-cFDA stained cells were stained with a cell-permeant nuclear stain, Hoechst 33342 (NucBlue™ Live ReadyProbes™ Reagent, Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA). Fluorescence images were obtained using a Leica IX70 inverted microscope with LAS-X software (Leica Microsystems).

**Determining Susceptibility of Primary Midgut Cells for A. marginale Infection**

Primary cell cultures were established without antibiotics or antifungal. A tick-cell-free, Virginia strain A. marginale omp10::himar1 (Crosby et al., 2014) inoculum was prepared by passing heavily infected DAE100T cells derived from embryonic D. andersoni (Simser et al., 2001) through a 27-gauge needle to rupture the cells and release the bacteria. The A. marginale omp10::himar1 was stored in Sucrose-Phosphate-Glutamate (SPG) buffer at -80°C. The SPG buffer, pH 7.2, consisted of 3.2 mM sodium phosphate monobasic, 7.2 mM sodium phosphate dibasic, 250 mM sucrose, 5mM L-glutamic acid (Sigma-Aldrich) in culture grade water and sterilized through a 0.22 µm filter. Anaplasma marginale omp10::himar1 stock was thawed and filtered through a 5.0-micron pore size filter and centrifuged at 12,000xg for 7 min. A bacterial pellet of 3.4x10⁵ bacteria as determined by qPCR as previously described (Scoles et al., 2007) was suspended in 100 µl Hink’s TNM-FH insect medium and inoculated into individual culture wells. Culture medium was 1 ml of Hink’s TNM-FH insect medium containing 40 mg/ml of AlbuMAX™ II (Gibco) and 1 µM of 20-HE (Sigma-Aldrich) with a final pH of 7. Cultures were placed in a humidified incubator at 34°C with 5% CO₂ for 10 days. Culture medium without antibiotic and antifungal was replaced with fresh medium every 12-24 h. Infection of primary midgut cells was determined at 0 h, 24 h, 48 h, 72 h, 96 h, 120 h, and 10 days post-infection by fluorescent images obtained using a Leica IX70 inverted microscope with LAS-X software (Leica Microsystems).

**Confirmation of A. marginale Infection in Midgut Cell Culture by Immunofluorescence Assay**

Midgut cells infected with A. marginal omp10::himar1 were harvested and centrifuged at 200xg for 10 min. The cell pellet was washed and suspended in 400 µl of PBS and cells immobilized onto microscope slides (Rite-One™, Waltham, MA) using a Cytospin 4 cytocentrifuge (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 1,000 rpm for 10 min. Slides were air-dried overnight at room temperature and fixed for 10 min in cool acetone. Fixed cells were blocked with 10% (v/v) goat serum (Gibco) for 30 min prior to incubation with monoclonal antibodies (10 µg/ml) raised against A. marginale major surface protein 2 (Msp2), AnaR49A1 (Ueti et al., 2009), or a Trypanosoma brucei surface protein 2 (Msp2), AnaR49A1 (Ueti et al., 2009). Antibodies were diluted in PBS with 0.1% Tween 20 and 50 µl added to slides, and incubated in a humidified chamber overnight at 4°C. After washing in PBST, goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 594 secondary antibody (Invitrogen) (5µg/ml) diluted in PBST/1% BSA was applied for one hour in the dark, at room temperature. The slides were washed three times in PBST. Cells were mounted using ProLong™ Gold Antifade Mountant containing DAPI (Invitrogen). Cells were examined using a Leica IX70 inverted microscope and fluorescence images were obtained using LAS-X software (Leica Microsystems).

**Identification of Fucosyltransferase Genes**

Nucleotide sequences obtained from the Transcriptome Shotgun Assembly (TSA) database of D. variabilis (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore?term=dermacentor+variabilis+TSA) and the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database of D. andersoni (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra, accession no. SRX841407, SRX841406, SRX841365, SRX841363, SRX841359, SRX841353, SRX841346, SRX841342, SRX841262, SRX841240, SRX841234, SRX841229, SRX841222, SRX841215, SRX841167, SRX841166, SRX841134, SRX841115, SRX841106, SRX608566, SRX608565, SRX608563, SRX608541, SRX608542, SRX608552, SRX608554, SRX608555, SRX608558, SRX608559, SRX608561, SRX608533, SRX608301, SRX608300, SRX608299, SRX608298, SRX608297, SRX608296, SRX608295, SRX608294, SRX608292, SRX608291, SRX608290, SRX608289, SRX599931, SRX599930, SRX599929, SRX540760, SRX540759, SRX495490, SRX174800, SRX174799, SRX174798) in NCBI were assembled and aligned against the Expressed Sequence Tag (EST) database of Rhipicephalus spp (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi?PROGRAM=blastn&PAGE_TYPE=BlastSearch&LINK_LOC=blasthome)
Dermacentor andersoni male ticks were dissected in Hank’s balanced salt solution (Gibco) and midguts collected in RNA Later solution (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Midgut epithelial cells from primary midgut cell cultures were collected in TRIzol™ Reagent (Invitrogen). Midguts or cultured cells were homogenized in 500 µl of TRIzol reagent with 100 µl of chloroform (Invitrogen) for phase separation. The aqueous phase was collected and 1 µl of glycerol (10 µg/ml) added to the samples. The RNA was precipitated with isopropanol alcohol, washed with 75% ethanol, and dissolved in DEPC-treated water. Extracted total RNA was treated with DNase I by using a DNase Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the manufacturer’s guideline. Total RNA (100 ng) was utilized for cDNA synthesis using a Superscript III™ cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Oligonucleotide primer sequences were designed using PrimerQuest™ Tool (Integrated DNA Technologies) (Table 1) to amplify fucosyltransferase genes from cDNA derived from D. andersoni midguts. PCR reactions were performed in 20 µl containing 10 ng of synthesized cDNA, 10 µM of each primer set, 6 µl nuclelease-free water and 10 µl RedTaq (Sigma-Aldrich). The amplification conditions consisted of denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, 35 repeated cycles at 95°C for 30 sec, 57°C-65°C (Table 1) for 30 sec and 72°C for 30 sec, with a final extension at 72°C for 7 min. Amplicons were resolved using 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. The PCR products were cloned into pCR 2.1-TOPO plasmids (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and sequenced as described above (GenBank accession # OL791279). Drosophila melanogaster N-glycan linkage-specific fucosyltransferase sequences were used to identify putative orthologs in D. andersoni using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) of NCBI.

**Determining the Expression of D. andersoni Fucosyltransferase TA1 and TA2 in Uninfected and A. marginale Infected Tick Midgut Cell Cultures**

Uninfected primary cell cultures or cultures infected with A. marginale omp10::himar1 were established and maintained for 2 weeks in medium without antibiotics or antimycotics. Total RNA was extracted from primary midgut cell cultures using TRIzol-chloroform as described above. The RNA samples were treated with DNase I (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the manufacturer’s guideline. Total RNA (1,500 ng) of each sample was used to synthesize cDNA by using SuperScript™ III First-Strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s guideline. Gene specific primers for DaFucTA1 and DaFucTA2 were designed to amplify 115 bp and 148 bp fragments, respectively (Table 1). Midgut cell samples were normalized using qPCR targeting a 127 bp fragment of D. andersoni gapdh gene.

The qPCR reactions were performed in a CFX96™ Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad) using SsoFast™ EvaGreen® Supermix (Bio-Rad). Triplicate reactions were performed in 20 µl using 10 µM of each primer and 30 ng of cDNA as template. The cycling conditions consisted of an initial cycle at 95°C for 3 min, 40 cycles at 95°C for 30 sec, 60°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 30 sec. Gene expression data were obtained by CFX Manager™ Software (Bio-Rad) and analyzed by the 2ΔΔCt method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

**Synthesis of dsRNA**

The nucleotide sequence of DaFucTA2 was analyzed in silico to identify a double stranded RNA (dsRNA) template sequence with the highest number of performing siRNAs using E-RNAi software (German Cancer Research Center). The dsRNA template with opposing T7 promoters at the 5’ ends of each strand was generated by PCR with the T7 promoter appended to both PCR primers. The PCR product (668 bp) was purified using

### Table 1 | Primer sequences used in this study.

| Oligonucleotide name | Tm (°C) | Forward (5′-3′) | Reverse (5′-3′) | Product size (bp) |
|----------------------|---------|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| DaFucTA6             | 62      | ATGCTATGCGGTCCGCTGCAAGGC | TCAACTGACGCGGAAAGGCA | 1638 |
| DaFucTA4             | 60      | ATGTGCGCGCAATGAGGTCGCCG | TCAGGTGAGCAGTCAAGCCGAC | 1404 |
| DaFucTA2             | 60      | ATGTGCGCGCAATGAGGTCGCCG | TTAGTAAAGTAGGCACGCACACACG | 1190 |
| DaFucTA1             | 57      | ATGCAGGCACTCCACAAAGGAG | TCAATAAGTGGTGTGTGTGTG | 1206 |
| DaFucTA2             | 60      | ATGTGCGCGCAATGAGGTCGCCG | TTATTTACACACGAGTCGCCAAG | 1134 |
| DaFucTA3             | 60      | ATGTGCGCGCAATGAGGTCGCCG | TTAGTACCTAAAGCTGTCTGTCAAGC | 1080 |
| DaGapdh              | 60      | ATGAGGCTGAAGAGGAGCATGCAACG | TTAGCCCGCTGCCATGACGTCACG | 1005 |
| dsRNA-DaFucTA1       | 60      | CTCACAGCTTTCGCAAGCT | GCTGTAACGCGAGCAGAACTT | 700 |
| dsRNA-DaFucTA2       | 60      | CTCACAGCTTTCGCAAGCT | TGCTGGGTGTGCTGTGGGTG | 668 |
| apPCR-DaFucTA1       | 62      | GCCGACTTGATTCGTCAGTCAAT | GQGCGCTTCTGCTGCTGTG | 115 |
| apPCR-DaFucTA2       | 62      | GCCGACTTGATTCGTCAGTCAAT | TTGACGTGCTTGCTGCTGCTG | 148 |
| apPCR-DaGapdh        | 62      | GGTGTCGACCGCTACACCTA | GACATCGTACGCTGCTGCTG | 127 |
| apPCR-AMmosp5        | 55      | CTTCGCAGTGGTGAAGGTGGA | TTATTCAGCTGAGCTGTGCAAGT | 202 |
a High Pure PCR Purification Kit (Roche Molecular Biochemicals). The purified dsRNA template was used for transcription using a MEGAscript® RNAi Kit (Ambion, Austin, TX) to produce dsRNA. To synthesize the non-tick specific control dsRNA, a 514 bp segment from the Drosophila nautilus gene (GenBank accession # M68897) was employed. One µg of dsRNA samples was analyzed by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis for the integrity and efficiency of duplex formation as well as the elimination of ssRNA and dsDNA following nuclease digestion. Purified dsRNA concentration was quantified by spectrophotometer nd-1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and stored at -20°C until in vitro transfection.

dsRNA Transfection of Primary Midgut Cell Cultures

Primary midgut cells were diluted to a final concentration of 3x10⁵ cells/ml in Hink’s TNM-FH insect medium with AlbuMAX™ II (Gibco) and plated in 24-well cell culture plates (Thermo Fisher Scientific) 24 h prior to use and incubated at 34°C with 5% CO₂. One hundred and fifty µg of dsRNA was incubated in 100 µl of TNM-FH insect (Sigma-Aldrich) medium containing 10µl of FuGENE 6 Transfection Reagent (Promega) at room temperature for 15 min. For dsRNA derived from DaFucTA2, 150 µg of dsRNA corresponded to 2.2x10¹⁴ copies of dsRNAs as previously described (Bifano et al., 2014). Aliquots of 100 µl of transfection mix were added to the wells followed by agitation. The cells were incubated at 34°C for 48 h. Control cells received an equal concentration of dsRNA-Drosophila or transfection reagent and dsRNA elution buffer. Gene knockdown efficiency was determined at 48 h post-knockdown using qRT-PCR targeting DaFucTA2 as described above. At 48 h post-knockdown, cells were exposed to 5.4x10⁴ A. marginale omp10::himar1. At 72 h post-knockdown, gene expression was measured by qRT-PCR targeting DaFucTA1, DaFucTA2 or A. marginale msp5 (Table 1) as described above. Dermacentor andersoni gapdh gene was used for normalization. Gene expression data were obtained by CFX Manager™ Software (Bio-Rad) and analyzed by the 2⁻ΔΔCq method.

Detection of Core α-(1,3)-Fucose

A midgut cell culture was suspended, and cells immobilized onto microscope slides (Rite-One™) by using a Cytospin 4 cytocentrifuge at 1,000 rpm for 10 min. Slides were dried overnight at room temperature and fixed for 10 min in cool acetone. Fixed cells were blocked with 10% (v/v) goat serum (Gibco) for 30 min prior to incubation with anti-HRP antibody (Sigma-Aldrich) at a dilution of 1:500 as described above. Goat anti-mouse IgG1 CF™488A (Sigma-Aldrich) (5µg/ml) was used as the secondary antibody. Cells were mounted using ProLong™ Gold Antifade Mountant containing DAPI (Invitrogen). Cells were examined using a Leica IX70 inverted microscope and fluorescence images were obtained using LAS-X software (Leica Microsystems).

Statistical Analyses

Gene expression is displayed as means ± standard errors. Standard error for the 2⁻ΔΔCq values were calculated using Microsoft Excel (2019). Unpaired t-test was performed using the GraphPad QuickCalcs software (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA) to compare the gene expression and A. marginale infection.

RESULTS

Primary Midgut Cell Culture

A combination of collagenase, DTT, and fetal calf serum resulted in dissociation of D. andersoni midgut tissues into individual cells. In culture, the freshly dissociated cells contained two major cell types (Figure 1A) resembling digestive cells that were 18 µm to 30 µm in diameter and non-digestive like cells that were 6 µm to 10 µm in diameter. The larger cells (solid arrow) contained a dense dark, granular cytoplasm which appeared to consist of hemosomes and digestive vesicles. The smaller cells (dotted arrow) had a large nucleus with little cytoplasm. Following the dissociation procedure, viable midgut cells were visualized under fluorescence microscopy (Figure 1B).

To test the compatibility of the culture medium, the pH of D. andersoni unfed male tick hemolymph was determined and found to be between 6.5-7. Isolated cells were suspended in culture medium with a pH of 7 and distributed into culture plates. Isolated tick midgut cells loosely adhered to the cell culture plate. These cells varied in size with an agranular cytoplasm containing a large irregular nucleus. Aggregates of midgut cells were often observed as flat sheets. Treating cell culture plates with bovine collagen I promoted the attachment of midgut cells to the bottom of the wells. We noticed that the smaller non-digestive- and large digestive-like cells remained alive in in vitro cultures for up to four months.

Determining the Susceptibility of Primary Midgut Cell Cultures to A. marginale Infection

Primary midgut cell cultures were inoculated with an mCherry-expressing transformant of A. marginale omp10::himar1 and maintained without antibiotic/antimycotic throughout all experiments. Colonies of A. marginale omp10::himar1 were observed as early as 24 h and up to 10 days post-infection, indicating that A. marginale replicated in cultured primary midgut cells (Figure 2). Both the non-digestive like and
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**FIGURE 1** | Isolated Dermacentor andersoni midgut cells in culture. **(A)** Midgut cells in culture immediately after dissociation; N, Nucleus; C, Cytoplasm; solid arrow indicates a digestive cell and dotted arrow indicate a non-digestive-like cell. Boxed area on the right depicts the non-digestive-like cell at a higher resolution. **(B)** Viable digestive cell. A cell stained with 5(6)-cFDA and Hoechst 33342. Green: viable cytoplasm, Blue: nucleus. Scale bar: 20µm.
digestive cells were susceptible to *A. marginale* infection. Colonization of *A. marginale* within primary midgut cells was confirmed using monoclonal antibody (mAb) AnaR49A1 against *A. marginale* Msp2 (Figure 3, panel A). No reactivity was observed in infected cells probed with isotype control, mAb Tryp 1a against a *T. brucei* protein, or in uninfected midgut cells probed with mAb AnaR49A1 (Figures 3, panels B and C).

**Identification of *D. andersoni* Fucosyltransferases**

To determine if fucosylated glycans play an important role in *A. marginale* infection of *D. andersoni* midgut cells, fucosyltransferase genes were identified *in silico*. Using TSA database of *D. variabilis* and SRA database of *D. andersoni*, six potential N-glycan modifying fucosyltransferase genes were identified. DaFucT6 is a putative α-(1,6)-fucosyltransferase, DaFucTA1 and DaFucTA2 are putative core α-(1,3)-fucosyltransferases, and DaFucTC1, DaFucTC2, and DaFucTC3 are putative α-(1,3/4)-fucosyltransferases. The GenBank accession numbers for the *D. andersoni* fucosyltransferase sequences are listed in Table 2.

The amino acid sequence identity of *D. andersoni* fucosyltransferases to previously described enzymes for *Aedes aegypti*, *Apis mellifera*, *D. melanogaster*, and *Ixodes scapularis* was determined (Table 2). Phylogenetic comparison of fucosyltransferases of *D. andersoni* demonstrated the relationship with other arthropod fucosyltransferases (Figure 4).

**Anaplasma marginale** Infection Impacted the Expression of Core α-(1,3)-Fucosyltransferases, DaFucTA1 and DaFucTA2

The expression of core α-(1,3)-fucosyltransferases, DaFucTA1 and DaFucTA2, in primary midgut cells was quantified in uninfected and infected cells at 24 h post *A. marginale omp10::himar1* infection. In uninfected primary midgut cells, the relative expression of DaFucTA1 was significantly lower than DaFucTA2 (Figure 5). During *A. marginale omp10::himar1* infection, the relative expression of DaFucTA1 was upregulated by 7.6X fold (*p*<0.05) while DaFucTA2 was downregulated by 1.9X fold (*p*<0.01) (Figure 5).

**The Expression of DaFucTA1 Was Not Affected by dsRNA Mediated Gene Knockdown of DaFucTA2**

To determine if upregulation of DaFucTA1 expression observed during *A. marginale* infection was associated with the reduction of DaFucTA2 expression, dsRNA mediated gene knockdown of DaFucTA2 was performed. DaFucTA2 expression was significantly lower in cells silenced with dsRNA-DAFucTA2.
Silencing of DaFucTA2 Reduced the Cellular Display of Core α-(1,3)-Fucose of N-glycans and A. marginale Infection

Silencing the expression of DaFucTA2 by 1.8X fold (Figure 7A) reduced the display of core α-(1,3)-fucose by primary midgut cells as compared to control groups, dsRNA-Dro and No-dsRNA treated cells. Immunofluorescence demonstrated a reduction in the display of core α-(1,3)-fucose by primary midgut cells silenced with dsRNA-DaFucTA2 (Figure 7B). In contrast, the display of core α-(1,3)-fucose by control groups, dsRNA-Dro and No-dsRNA, were unchanged. The A. marginale omp10::himar1 infection of midgut cells following DaFucTA2 silencing was investigated. Live imaging of DaFucTA2 silenced cells at 18 h post-infection showed reduced A. marginale omp10::himar1 replication with fewer infected midgut cells containing smaller A. marginale colonies as compared to control cells (Figure 7C). The reduction of A. marginale omp10::himar1 replication was confirmed by qPCR. The relative fold change of total A. marginale omp10::himar1 in DaFucTA2 silenced cells at 24 h post-infection was significantly lower (1.3X fold) than controls (Figure 7D).

DISCUSSION

Tick vectors and pathogens have co-evolved molecular mechanisms for interactions (Kazimirová and Štibrániová, 2013). Successful establishment of infection by the pathogen requires adhesion to the midgut cell which is key for subsequent cellular invasion, intracellular multiplication, and dissemination to other tick organs, including salivary glands for the successful transmission of the pathogen. A cell culture system derived from tick midgut tissue is crucial to address the role of tick fucosylated glycans for the infection of midgut cells (Salata et al., 2021). Attachment to the host cell via glycans is a common strategy employed by bacteria and viruses during the establishment of an infection within the host (Ilver et al., 1998; Pedra et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2011; Varki, 2017; Heim et al., 2019; Suwandi et al., 2019). Multiple carbohydrate-protein interactions have been demonstrated for vector-borne pathogens (Dinglasan and Jacobs-Lorena, 2005). Using in silico approaches, we identified genes encoding fucosyltransferases in D. andersoni ticks named DaFucT6, DaFucTA1, DaFucTA2, DaFucTC1, DaFucTC2, and DaFucTC3. DaFucT6 contained an α-(1,6)-fucosyltransferase domain which is the catalytic domain containing GDP-fucose binding sites and the Src homology 3 (SH3) domain whose function is unknown. DaFucTA1, DaFucTA2, DaFucTC1, DaFucTC2, and DaFucTC3 each contained a fucosyltransferase domain.
domain and a glycosyltransferase family 10 domain. DaFucTA1 contained an additional domain, DNA polymerase III subunits gamma and tau, the functionality of which is unclear.

The isolated midgut cells were morphologically similar to the cell types previously described in the whole tick midgut (Sonenshine, 1993). The midgut cell suspension obtained following dissociation steps was used to establish primary cultures. We utilized Hink's TNM-FH insect medium which was previously used to culture *Pseudaletia unipuncta* midgut cells (Garcia et al., 2001). We used Hink's TNM-FH insect medium, pH 7, that mimicked the pH of unfed male *D. andersoni* hemolymph. While disrupting intercellular connections, it was difficult to balance cell viability with cell dissociation. In previous studies, collagenase was used to disrupt tissue integrity (Garcia et al., 2001; Mosqueda et al., 2008). Collagenase type XI functions by cleaving triple-helical bonds in collagen (Chung et al., 2004). The DTT was used to gain single cells by disrupting disulfide bonds (Gracz et al., 2012). We used fetal bovine serum to stabilize the cell viability during the enzyme digestion (Feng et al., 2018). Previous studies used 20-HE to promote embryonic or differentiated insect cell growth and differentiation (Sadru-Din et al., 1994, Hakim et al., 2009). Also, it has been documented that 2 and 20 μM significantly suppressed growth of RAE25 and ANE58 cell lines derived from *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus* and *Dermacentor nitens*, respectively (Kurtti and Munderloh, 1983). The suppression of growth for the young tick cell line ANE58 was determined to be 20-HE dose-dependent. In the ANE58 cell line, the suppression of growth by 20-HE was less evident than for RAE25. Further investigation is required to test the optimum concentration of 20-HE. Additionally, growth promoters such as heparin (Flint et al., 1994), epithelial growth factor, platelet-derived growth factor (Booth et al., 1995; Loeb et al., 2003), retinoic acid (Loeb et al., 2003), and transferrin (Ali and Reynolds, 1996) should be tested for their ability to stimulate cell proliferation in primary cultures of *D. andersoni* midgut cells.

We studied two fucosyltransferases, DaFucTA1 and DaFucTA2 that are accountable for the addition of core α-(1,3)-fucose to the N-glycans. Phyllogenetic analysis showed that both genes have high amino acid sequence identity with other arthropod species. Homologs of these two fucosyltransferases found in *Ixodes* spp. were previously studied and recognized as enhancing *A. phagocytophilum* infection in whole *I. scapularis* ticks and an *I. ricinus* IRE/CTVM19 cell line (Pedra et al., 2010). We demonstrated the display of core α-(1,3)-fucose by *D. andersoni* midgut primary cell culture by using antibody staining. We used polyclonal antibodies raised against a plant glycoprotein, horseradish peroxidase (HRP), that cross-react with core α-(1,3)-fucosylated N-glycans of arthropod tissues (Wilson et al., 1998; Fabini et al., 2001). Both DaFucTA1 and DaFucTA2 are responsible for the addition of core α-(1,3)-fucose to N-glycans. The expression level of DaFucTA1 by uninfected cells was negligible compared to DaFucTA2 suggesting the latter normally plays the prominent role in the addition of core α-(1,3)-fucose. However, the expression of both genes was inversely affected during *A. marginale* infection indicating changes to tick cell gene regulation in response to the bacterium. The upregulation of DaFucTA1 expression may be compensation for the downregulation of DaFucTA2. Nevertheless, silencing of DaFucTA2 did not increase the expression of DaFucTA1, suggesting that DaFucTA1 expression is independent of DaFucTA2 or that the timing or level of silencing was not sufficient to trigger a response.

Genes in tick cell lines are easily silenced using dsRNA, often not requiring any assistance from transfection reagents or electroporation (Blouin et al., 2008; Barry et al., 2013). In this study, we used dsRNA to silence gene expression in primary tick midgut cell cultures and achieved a knockdown efficiency of ~45%. This knockdown efficiency is comparable to a previous study using a tick cell line that showed knockdown efficiencies between 31-100% for 10 different genes (Kurscheid et al., 2009). The reduction of intracellular *A. marginale* replication at 18 h post-infection during the knockdown of DaFucTA2 was well documented using live microscopic images. The reduction of
A. marginale levels measured by qPCR at 24 h post-infection during DaFucTA2 knockdown was significantly greater than in the control. We recognize that A. marginale utilizes core α-(1,3)-fucose of midgut cells for infection. There is a need to identify midgut surface proteins containing core α-(1,3)-fucosylated N-glycans. These surface proteins should be tested as potential vaccine candidates to prevent A. marginale transmission by the tick vectors. There is a possibility that gene knockdown affected cell viability which may have resulted in a reduction of pathogen infection. In this study cell viability was not directly measured after DaFucTA2 knockdown. Instead, we used gapdh transcript levels as a surrogate readout for cell viability. Previous studies have used mRNA to estimate cell viability within a population in vitro (Wong et al., 2020; Collins et al., 2022). We showed that there were no significant differences (p>0.05) in the gapdh cycle threshold value between mRNA obtained from an equal number of DaFucTA2 silenced or control cells (Supplementary Figure 2) indicating a similar number of viable cells was present in each treatment group.

In conclusion, we developed a primary cell culture system derived from D. andersoni tick midgut cells and investigated the molecular interaction between tick midgut cells and A. marginale. Primary tick midgut cells were permissive for A. marginale infection and required surface proteins containing core α-(1,3)-fucosylated N-glycans for infection. We also identified D. andersoni fucosyltransferases and demonstrated that, upon A. marginale infection, DaFucTA2 expression was upregulated while DaFucTA1 was downregulated. This is the first step in understanding the importance of sugar moieties for A. marginale interaction with midgut cells of biological tick vectors, which may address future application for the development of new interventions for pathogen transmission.
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