ROLE OF ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY IN THE MANAGEMENT OF CARDIAC DISEASE IN WOMEN
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The widespread use of echocardiography has contributed to the early recognition of several distinct cardiac diseases in women. During pregnancy, safe monitoring of the disease process, as well as a better understanding of hemodynamics, is possible. During the use of potentially cardiotoxic drugs for breast cancer chemotherapy, echocardiographic patient monitoring is vital. Compared to men, the addition of an imaging modality to routine electrocardiogram monitoring during stress testing is more informative for diagnosing coronary disease in women. This review briefly discusses the role of echocardiography in the management of several women-specific cardiac diseases where echocardiography plays a pivotal role in disease management.
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INTRODUCTION
Cardiovascular disease, as a cause of mortality in women, is becoming more prevalent than before and will continue to increase, partly because of increasing life expectancies among Koreans. In women, the cardiovascular system is exposed to multiple cyclic hormonal changes during the childbearing years, acute hemodynamic burdens during pregnancy, and the sudden deprivation of estrogen at menopause. The cardiovascular problems of women may be divided into two categories. The first involves women-specific cardiac diseases that occur only in women, such as pregnancy-related cardiac problems in previously normal or diseased hearts. The other involves cardiac problems that disproportionately affect women, such as stress-induced cardiomyopathy, or that have different clinical presentations from those occurring in men, such as coronary heart disease and heart failure. For the proper management of heart disease in women, accurate and timely diagnostic procedures are important in the clinic. This review discusses the role of echocardiography in the evaluation of heart disease in women, with a focus on pregnancy-related cardiac disease, chemotherapy-induced cardiotoxicity, and ischemic heart disease.

PREGNANCY-RELATED CARDIAC DISEASE
In pregnant women, the process for diagnosing cardiac disease is challenging. The possible hazards of radiation exposure and intravenous contrast agents limit the use of several diagnostic imaging modalities in pregnant women. Echocardiography is regarded as safe for both the pregnant woman and her fetuses, and it is the most frequently used imaging test for cardiac disease in pregnant women. With echocardiography, several cardiac conditions that might complicate pregnancy can be diagnosed and the cardiac disease severity can also be assessed. The technique also allows safe follow-up monitoring of left and right ventricular function during pregnancy.

During pregnancy, a woman’s heart position is displaced anteriorly by the enlarged uterus. Other cardiovascular changes that occur during pregnancy include increased total blood volume, increased cardiac output and stroke volume, and decreased peripheral vascular resistance. The size of the right ventricle increases by 20% and the left ventricle and left atrium increase by 10% and 12%, respectively. Systolic and diastolic functional parameters, as well as the longitudinal deformation parameters, are diminished during the last trimester of pregnancy and return to normal within a few weeks or months after delivery. Normally, flow velocity through each valve orifice may increase and small atriointerventricular regurgitations may appear due to the enlarged annulus.

PERIPARTUM CARDIOMYOPATHY
Peripartum cardiomyopathy (PPCM) is defined as an idiop-
Pathologic cardiomyopathy that develops at the end of pregnancy or during the months following delivery. Its clinical presentation involves systolic heart failure, without an identifiable cause. Viral infection, genetic factors, abnormal immunologic activities, and malnutrition have been suggested to have a role in the etiology of PPCM, but the etiology is not clearly defined. The role of echocardiography is fundamental to the diagnosis of PPCM. Actually, when pregnant women experience symptoms that may have cardiac origins, echocardiography is often the first test considered. However, symptoms of dyspnea during the last trimester of a normal pregnancy are not regarded as pathologic, in general, and may, therefore, result in a diagnostic delay or misdiagnosis of PPCM. The left ventricular systolic function is almost always diminished in the echocardiograms of patients with PPCM. A mural thrombus inside the poorly contracting left ventricle can be visualized. The left ventricular mechanics can be evaluated by echocardiography, as well. When the baseline left ventricular ejection fraction is ≥ 30%, the chance of an early recovery early is 6.4-fold higher than in women with an ejection fraction of 10–19%. A recently developed echocardiographic technique that uses Doppler tissue imaging or speckle tracking imaging to study left ventricular mechanics has been attempted, but is not widely used, yet.

**Pre-Existing Cardiac Disease**

As the surgical repair techniques for congenital heart disease progress, the number of pregnant women undergoing congenital heart disease repair is also increasing. Sometimes pregnant women may demonstrate the presence of residua and sequelae from previous cardiac surgery that require cardiological support. As described above, pregnancy is characterized as a high-flow, low-resistance state with increased cardiac output and diminished vascular resistance. The main pathophysiologic mechanisms in pregnant women with congenital heart disease are volume overloads, left-right shunts, pressure overloads and cyanotic right-to-left shunts. Generally, pregnant women with noncyanotic left-to-right shunts are able to tolerate without complications. However, in cases of right-to-left shunts with pulmonary hypertension, the decrease in systemic vascular resistance leads to increased right-to-left shunt flow, causing systemic cyanosis. The presence of right ventricular dysfunction and high hematocrits were identified as poor prognostic factors in pregnant women with intracardiac right-to-left shunts.

In left-heart stenotic valvular disease, the increased blood volume during pregnancy may cause worsened relative valvular obstructions. The degree of valvular stenosis determines the pregnancy outcomes. In pregnant women with mitral or aortic stenosis, a < 2.0 cm² mitral valve area, < 1.5 cm² aortic valve area, and a > 30 mm Hg peak pressure gradient in the left ventricular outflow tract, determined using echocardiography, are predictors of maternal cardiac morbidity and neonatal events. Left ventricular ejection fraction, diminished by 40%, is also considered a risk predictor during pregnancy. Specifically, pregnancy is contraindicated in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension, severe left ventricular dysfunction (< 30% ejection fraction), previous PPCM with residual left ventricular dysfunction, symptomatic severe aortic and mitral stenosis, dilated aorta (> 45 mm in patients with Marfan syndrome, > 50 mm in patients with bicuspid aortic valve), or severe coarctation (Table 1). With echocardiography, prenatal risk quantification can be safely performed and can assist in genetic counseling. Monitoring cardiac and valvular function during pregnancy is also important for selecting patients who need cesarean delivery because of their hemodynamic instability or risk of aortic dissection.

**Chemotherapy Associated Cardiotoxicity**

Cardiovascular complications can arise in significant numbers of patients following cancer therapy. In Korea, the incidence of breast cancer has increased steeply among women. The drugs used to treat breast cancer, including anthracycline, trastuzumab, and tyrosine kinase inhibitors, also have cardiotoxic potential. As the survival rate, after cancer therapy, has improved, the numbers of survivors with treatment-related cardiac morbidity have also increased. Left ventricular dysfunction, with or without heart failure, is the most common type of breast cancer chemotherapy-related cardiotoxicity. Chemotherapy-induced left ventricular dysfunction is defined as a ≥ 5% decline in left ventricular ejection fraction to < 55%, with accompanying symptoms, or a decline in ejection fraction of at least 10% to below 55%, without symptoms, and signs of heart failure (Fig. 1).

Chemotherapy-induced left ventricular dysfunction is classified into two types. Type I refers to cardiotoxicity due to direct myocardial injury, causing myocyte loss and irreversible myocardial dysfunction, such as in anthracycline toxicity. The incidence of cardiotoxicity may reach 25% (18% subclinical and 6% overt) over a 9-year follow-up period, dependent upon the dose of anthracycline. When this type of cardiotoxicity is induced, further drug use is contraindicated. In contrast, myocardial dysfunction is usually reversible in type II cardiac toxicity (mitochondrial and protein alterations), such as in trastuzumab toxicity. However, the distinction between the 2 types does have limitations, and there are overlaps in the patterns of cardiac complications, based on the type of chemotherapeutic agent. In addition to these two types of drugs that directly target the cardiomyocyte, bevacizumab and sunitinib may induce heart failure or hypertension through the targeting of vascular endothelial growth factor.

Because of the potential cardiotoxic hazard of chemotherapeutic agents, monitoring of cardiac function is recommended pre- and post-treatment when these agents are used. Early de-
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Ischemic Heart Disease

The morbidity and mortality associated with ischemic heart disease in women is lower than in men. But, unlike the situation in Western countries, the prevalence and mortality of ischemic heart disease in women has steadily increased over the previous two decades in Korea.

Early identification of women at risk of ischemic heart disease is of the utmost importance because there is a concern about the excessive mortality among women, especially young women, who have had acute myocardial infarctions, even after successful revascularization therapy.

Moreover, because of the longevity of detection of cardiotoxicity is critical because asymptomatic cardiotoxicity may persist for long periods before clinical manifestations occur, and the therapeutic benefits of drugs that treat the cardiotoxicity are greatest during the early period of cardiac dysfunction. For this purpose, echocardiographic measurements of the left ventricular ejection fraction remain the most widely used clinical tool. According to the European Society for Medical Oncology practice guidelines, echocardiographic screening before, during, and after cardiotoxic chemotherapy (every 3–6 months for the first year and every year, thereafter) is recommended in conjunction with anthracycline or trastuzumab treatment. But the detection of early, subtle, cardiotoxicity using two-dimensional echocardiography measurements of the left ventricular ejection fraction is not sufficiently sensitive and has high inter-observer variability.

Left ventricular functional evaluations using three-dimensional echocardiography are more accurate and may overcome this shortcoming. Recent studies suggest that post-chemotherapy left ventricular deformation imaging, especially for the detection of reduced global longitudinal left ventricle strain, with or without biochemical analysis, may be promising for diagnosing early-stage, chemotherapy-induced cardiotoxicity. A longitudinal strain reduction of > 10% from baseline may predict future cardiotoxicity with 78–79% sensitivity and 79–82% specificity. However, the clinical implications of deformation imaging for detecting chemotherapy-related cardiotoxicity need to be explored in a large number of patients before it can be clinically applied.

Table 1. World Health Organization (WHO) classification of maternal cardiovascular risk

| WHO class | Maternal mortality | Maternal morbidity | Types of CHD |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| WHO I     | No                | No or mildly ↑     | Mild pulmonary stenosis, Small uncomplicated PDA, Successfully repaired ASD, VSD, PDA, PAPVR |
| WHO II    | Small ↑          | Moderate ↑         | Unoperated ASD or VSD, Repaired tetralogy of Fallot |
| WHO II–III| Depends on individual | Depends on individual | Mild LV impairment, Native or tissue valvular disease, not WHO class I or IV, Marfan syndrome without aortic dilatation, Bicuspid aortic valve with < 45 mm aortic root, Repaired aortic coarctation, Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy |
| WHO III   | Significantly ↑  | Severe             | Systemic right ventricle, Fontan circulation, Unrepaired cyanotic heart disease, Other complex CHD, Marfan syndrome with aortic dilatation of 40–45 mm, Bicuspid aortic valve with aortic dilatation of 45–50 mm |
| WHO IV    | Extremely high   | Pregnancy is contraindicated | Severe pulmonary hypertension of any cause, Severe systemic ventricular dysfunction (EF < 30%, NYHA class III–IV), Previous PPCM with residual LV dysfunction, Severe symptomatic AS or MS, Native severe coarctation, Marfan syndrome with > 45 mm aorta, Bicuspid aortic valve with > 50 mm aorta |

AS: aortic stenosis; ASD: atrial septal defect; CHD: congenital heart disease; EF: ejection fraction; IV: left ventricle; MS: mitral stenosis; NYHA: New York Heart Association; PAPVR: partial anomalous pulmonary venous return; PDA: patent ductus arteriosus; VSD: ventricular septal defect

Fig. 1. Spherically shaped end-systolic left ventricle in a 50-year-old woman, with diminished left ventricular systolic function, after treatment with 360 mg of doxorubicin (A: before treatment, B: after treatment).

ISCHEMIC HEART DISEASE

The morbidity and mortality associated with ischemic heart disease in women is lower than in men. But, unlike the situation in Western countries, the prevalence and mortality of ischemic heart disease in women has steadily increased over the previous two decades in Korea. Early identification of women at risk of ischemic heart disease is of the utmost importance because there is a concern about the excessive mortality among women, especially young women, who have had acute myocardial infarctions, even after successful revascularization therapy. Moreover, because of the longevity of fe-
male patients, the burden of cardiovascular disease is higher than for male patients. The clinical impact and role of prognostic stratification research, focused on the sex-specific diagnostic accuracy of several noninvasive tests designed to detect coronary disease, has been insufficient because women have constituted only about 30% of the clinical trial patients.  

The presentation of ischemic heart disease symptoms in women is frequently atypical, and its pathophysiology appears different from that seen in men. A substantial proportion of women patients with chest pain may not have critical coronary stenosis. However, even without angiographic confirmation of the extent of coronary stenosis, women patients with chest pain have a worse prognosis than age-matched men when they have coronary microvascular dysfunction.  

In the clinic, recognition of coronary heart disease starts with exercise electrocardiogram (ECG) testing in symptomatic patients. Partly because of the lower rate of obstructive coronary disease in women, the sensitivity and specificity of this testing is approximately 65%, which is lower than that reported for men. The Duke Treadmill Score, a calculated index that combines exercise duration, exercise-induced angina, and ST segment deviations may increase the test’s accuracy in symptomatic women. But ischemic ECG changes may be obscured when the baseline ECG is abnormal, and many women cannot perform sufficient treadmill exercise to achieve an optimal stress burden because of their not being physically conditioned. Together, these factors may limit the use of exercise ECGs for diagnosing ischemic heart disease in women, indicating the need for imaging studies in a large portion of symptomatic women.  

Stress echocardiography, using exercise or dobutamine- or adenosine-mediated vasodilatation, has been established as an accurate, noninvasive test for diagnosing coronary heart disease in women. The development of new, abnormal, regional wall motion during or after stress is considered an indication of myocardial ischemia. The reported sensitivity and specificity of stress echocardiography for diagnosing coronary disease ranges from 80–85%. Besides diagnosing coronary artery disease, the prognostic role of stress echocardiography has been well documented by several researchers. The positive and negative results of stress echocardiography can efficiently discriminate future events. The prognostic value of echocardiography is especially comparable in women and men.

When abnormal regional wall motion is not induced after exercise or dobutamine stress, the annual event rate of coronary artery disease is < 1% or 2–4%, respectively. The event rate is lower if the patient can exercise, but if the regional wall motion abnormality develops in multiple vascular territories after dobutamine stress, the event rate increases up to 10%. In addition to providing a myocardial ischemia diagnosis, additional anatomical and functional information can be obtained using imaging, without radiation exposure, during echocardiography. Another interesting finding is the induction of dynamic left ventricular outflow obstruction during dobutamine infusion (Fig. 2). The clinical significance of dynamic left ventricular outflow obstruction is not well elucidated, but it may be related to the degree of left ventricular remodeling and the presenting symptoms of the patient (presented at the 57th annual scientific meeting of The Korean Society of Cardiology).

Specifically, exercise echocardiography is recommended for women with chest pain and an intermediate to high pretest probability of coronary disease. Dobutamine stress echocardiography may also be used for women who cannot perform the exercise test (Fig. 3). As discussed above, more than 50% of women who present for coronary angiography due to chest pain do not have significant coronary stenosis, but they still have increased risks of future cardiovascular events. Coronary microvascular dysfunction has been advocated as a primary pathophysiologic explanation for this specific type of angina, and it is closely related with the risk of atherosclerosis. For this specific type of angina, recommended imaging includes stress echocardiography.
angina, the clinical utility of stress echocardiography, for which the positive criteria is inducible abnormal regional wall motion, is limited. A clinical investigation of microvascular angina, involving the measurement of transthoracic Doppler coronary flow velocities, before and after adenosine infusion, is now ongoing in a large population, and will clarify the significance of coronary microvascular dysfunction. A recent study used speckle tracking echocardiography, layer-specific global longitudinal strain, and strain rate measurements in microvascular angina patients. The study showed that lower increases in the endocardial global longitudinal strain and strain rate measurements in microvascular angina patients. The study showed that lower increases in the endocardial global longitudinal strain and strain rate by exercise in the patient group compared to the controls. However, diagnosing microvascular angina is still difficult and needs further clarification.

**CONCLUSION**

In summary, echocardiography is considered to be an essential test for the evaluation of cardiac structures and hemodynamics in many cardiac disorders. The unique characteristics of the test are specifically suitable for repeated patient screening and monitoring during pregnancy and cardiotoxic chemotherapy. Unlike exercise ECGs, the diagnostic accuracy and ability to assess the prognosis of female coronary artery disease patients using stress echocardiography is comparable with that for men. However, in patients with chest pain, but without significant coronary stenosis, additional imaging information regarding the patient's myocardial perfusion status may be necessary. This information may include coronary flow velocity measurements using Doppler imaging, evaluation of myocardial perfusion using myocardial contrast echocardiography, or deformation imaging of the layered left ventricular wall motion.

---
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