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Abstract: To develop low-cost and efficient dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs), we designed and prepared three homoleptic Cu(I) complexes with asymmetric ligands, M1, M2, and Y3, which have the advantages of heteroleptic-type complexes and compensate for their synthetic challenges. The three copper(I) complexes were characterized by elemental analysis, UV-vis absorption spectroscopy, and electrochemical measurements. Their absorption spectra and orbital energies were evaluated and are discussed in the context of TD-DFT calculations. The complexes have high V_OC values (0.48, 0.60, and 0.66 V for M1, M2, and Y3, respectively) which are similar to previously reported copper(I) dyes with symmetric ligands, although their energy conversion efficiencies are relatively low (0.17, 0.64, and 2.66%, respectively).
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1. Introduction

Dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) are expected to play an important role as next-generation electricity sources because they have several advantages, such as low production cost and their ability to function under low light intensity [1,2]. However, they also have several disadvantages, such as poor durability and lower conversion efficiency compared to silicon-type solar cells. As a result, research efforts relevant to the improvement of DSSCs have been pursued [3–5]. A typical dye used in DSSCs is a ruthenium complex with a polypyridyl ligand, having a high energy conversion efficiency of over 11% [6]. Ruthenium is a relatively expensive transition metal. Therefore, ruthenium-free dyes, such as zinc(II)-based dyes [7–9], and organic dyes [10–13], have been investigated. In recent years, some examples of alternative dyes have been confirmed as having high conversion efficiency comparable to ruthenium-based dyes [9,13]. Copper-based dyes have been investigated because they are much less expensive than ruthenium [14–28]. Typical copper(I) complexes tend to be stable under ambient atmosphere due to their tetrahedral structures. The presence of bulky substituent groups tends to enhance the stability of these complexes. The photochemical features of copper(I) complexes are similar to those of ruthenium(II) dyes, which have a strong absorbance contributing to a metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) [29]. A copper(I) complex dye with mesityl groups has been employed in a DSSC to provide high energy conversion efficiency of 4.66% [24]. Copper complexes have also been used as electrolytes for DSSCs employing their Cu(I/II) redox couples [30–32]. Furthermore, DSSCs in which both the dye and the electrolyte are composed of Cu complexes have been reported [33,34]. The two main types of DSSCs based on copper(I) complex dyes are classified as the homoleptic type [14,15,17,18], and the heteroleptic type [16,21,22,24].
These two kinds of dyes are mainly composed of symmetric ligands. The homoleptic type is easily synthesized, but the introduction of distinct functional groups is challenging. The heteroleptic type has two different ligands that possess both functional and anchoring groups on the respective ligands. As a result, a high energy conversion efficiency is expected, but the synthesis of heteroleptic complexes in a solution is also challenging. The use of ligands with large substituents such as mesityl groups is essential in the synthesis of heteroleptic complexes. To solve this problem, a ligand with an attached anchor site is fixed to a surface, and then a Cu complex dye is constructed on the surface [19,23,25,26]. Therefore, the use of asymmetric ligands facilitates the synthesis of homoleptic complexes and creates a coordination environment similar to that of heteroleptic ligands without the use of large substituents. However, the conversion efficiency of DSSCs based on Cu(I) complex dyes with asymmetric ligands reported previously is very low [16]. To address the disadvantages of the previously reported copper(I) dyes, we designed and synthesized new asymmetric ligands, potassium I-2-cyano-3-(6,6′-dimethyl-2,2′-bipyridine-4-yl)acrylate (L1), 2-(3-(4-(dimethylamino)phenyl)-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)-6-methylisonicotinic acid (L2), and 6,6′-dimethyl-2,2′-bipyridine-4-carboxylic acid (L3). The use of asymmetric ligands provides several advantages, such as reducing anchoring groups, routine synthesis, and convenient introduction of the functional groups.

In this study, we designed and synthesized new homoleptic copper(I) complexes with asymmetric ligands containing a cyano group as an electron-withdrawing group, M1, a dimethylaminophenyl group as an electron-donating group, M2, and lacking a special group, Y3 (Figure 1). A cyano group is occasionally included in organic dyes [10–13], to provide DSSCs with high conversion efficiency, accompanied by a red-shifted absorption band [35]. On the other hand, the N, N-dimethylaniline (DMA) group is used as an electron-donating group [36,37]. The introduction of these functional groups was expected to improve charge injection to oxide semiconductors. We synthesized these three asymmetric ligands, L1, L2, and L3 and the copper(I) complexes with an asymmetric ligand, M1, M2, and Y3. Measurements of UV-vis absorption spectra and TD-DFT calculations were carried out to evaluate energy conversion efficiencies. Furthermore, we prepared and evaluated DSSCs based on these complexes.
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**Figure 1.** Schematic structures of ligands, (a) L1, (b) L2, and (c) L3, and their Cu(I) complexes, (d) M1, (e) M2, and (f) Y3.

2. Results and Discussion

**Synthesis and characterization of M1, M2, and Y3.** The reactions of copper(II) sulfate and two equivalents of ligands, L1, L2, or Y3 accompanied by continuous treatment with ascorbic acid [15] gave homoleptic copper(I) complexes with asymmetric ligands,
[Cu(L1)2]Cl (purple crystal), [Cu(L2)2]Cl (deep yellow crystal), and [Cu(L3)2]Cl (dark red crystal), respectively. The preparations of the corresponding copper(I) complexes were characterized by 1H NMR, FT-IR, ESI-MS, and elemental analysis.

The 1H-NMR spectra of M1, M2, and Y3 in CD3OD are shown in Figure S1. The 1H peaks of the complexes are shifted by the coordination to copper(I) compared to their ligand peaks. Some of the hydrogen peaks of the coordinated ligands are shifted to a lower field region, and the methyl and methylene peaks of the ligands move to an upper field region. The former arises from the coordination of ligands to metal, and the latter results from the ring current effect caused by the approach of the ligand molecules during the formation of homoleptic complexes.

Furthermore, M1 has a C≡N stretching vibration at 2221 cm⁻¹ and a C=O stretching vibration at 1703 cm⁻¹, which are not observed in ligand L1. For M2, the C≡N and C=O stretching vibrations are shifted to a low wavenumber region (1611 and 1.716 cm⁻¹, respectively) compared with those of ligand L2. On the other hand, the C=O stretching vibration of Y3 is observed at 1718 cm⁻¹. Additionally, ESI-MS and elemental analysis clearly indicate the generation of M1, M2, and Y3.

**UV-vis absorption spectra of M1, M2, and Y3.** The UV-vis spectra and data of M1, M2, and Y3 are shown in Figure 2a and Table 1, respectively. The UV-vis absorption spectrum of M1 in EtOH has maximum absorption bands at 497 nm (ε = 9330 M⁻¹·cm⁻¹) and 317 nm (ε = 23,200 M⁻¹·cm⁻¹), which are assigned as the MLCT band (Cu→bpy) and the π−π transition band of bpy, respectively. A similar MLCT band was observed for the previously reported copper(I) bipyridine complex [14,18]. The introduction of an electron-withdrawing cyano group will make a strong absorption band shift to the visible region, as expected for a candidate dye for DSSCs.
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**Figure 2.** (a) UV-vis spectra of M1, M2, and Y3 in EtOH and (b) their DR spectra on TiO2.

**Table 1.** UV-vis and DR spectroscopic data for M1, M2, and Y3.

| Dye | in EtOH | Assignment | on TiO2 |
|-----|---------|------------|---------|
| M1  | 317 (23,200) | π−π* (L1) | 491 |
| M2  | 355 (25,500) | MLCT (Cu(I)→L2) | 366 |
| Y3  | 312 (27,500) | π−π* (L3) | 475 |

For M2, the maximum absorption bands are located at 355 nm (ε = 25,500 M⁻¹·cm⁻¹), which overlap with the MLCT band (Cu→py) and π−π transition band (dimethylaminophenyl...
which indicates a sufficient energy difference for efficient dye regeneration [43,44]. The properties of the copper(I) dyes, cyclic voltammetry measurements were carried out in Table 2.

Redox potentials and \( \Delta G \) values of 

| Dye | \( E_{1/2}/V \) vs. Fe/Fe\(^{+} \) | \( E_{1/2}/V \) vs. NHE | \( \Delta G/V \) | \( E_{1/2}/V \) vs. Fe/Fe\(^{+} \) | \( E_{1/2}/V \) vs. NHE | \( \Delta G/V \) |
|-----|----------------|----------------|--------|----------------|----------------|--------|
| M1  | −0.09          | 0.49           | 0.09   | −0.10          | 0.52           | 0.12   |
| M2  | 0.33           | 0.91           | 0.51   | 0.04           | 0.66           | 0.26   |
| Y3  | −0.03          | 0.55           | 0.15   | −0.05          | 0.57           | 0.17   |

\(^1\) Scan rate: 0.2 V s\(^{-1}\) in DMF. \(^2\) Scan rate: 0.01 V s\(^{-1}\) in MeCN. \(^3\) \( \Delta G \) is defined as the difference in the redox potentials between Cu(I/II) and \( \Gamma^{-}/\Gamma^{3-} \).

Here, the redox potentials originating from the Cu(I/II) couple generally correspond to the HOMO levels of the dyes. We defined the differences between the \( E_{1/2} \) values corresponding to Cu(I/II) of the dyes and the redox potential of \( \Gamma^{-}/\Gamma^{3-} \) vs. NHE as \( \Delta G \), which indicates a sufficient energy difference for efficient dye regeneration [43,44]. The \( \Delta G \)
values estimated for $\text{M1}$ and $\text{Y3}$ are low (0.09 and 0.15 V in DMF, respectively), suggesting that the driving force of $\text{M1}$ and $\text{Y3}$ dyes that are regenerated by triiodide is small. On the other hand, $\Delta G$ for $\text{M2}$ (0.51 V in DMF) is large. This value is similar to that of N719 in DMF ($\Delta G = 0.57$ V) [45]. It was previously reported that a $\Delta G$ value of 0.20–0.25 V is required to provide an efficient dye regeneration process using thiophen-based organic dyes and ferrocene derivatives as redox couples [42]. In the case of the [Co(bpy-pz)$_2$]$^{2+}$ redox couple, the $\Delta G$ value is 0.25 V for triphenylamine-based organic dyes and for the Ru complex dye D35 [46]. The combination of Ru complex dyes and the I$_3^-$ /I$^-$ redox couple provides a $\Delta G$ value $\approx 0.3$ V [44]. Furthermore, it has recently been reported that the HOMO level of dyes for DSSCs is required to be near 0.5 V vs. SCE (0.75 V vs. NHE) to provide an efficient electron transfer process [44]. Therefore, the HOMO levels of the dyes will significantly influence the performance of DSSCs. The $\text{M1}$ and $\text{Y3}$ complexes used as dyes for DSSCs in this study will cause a slow dye regeneration process. For $\text{M2}$, the introduction of pyrazole groups into the ligand might lower the $\text{d}$ orbital energy level of copper. Indeed, the $E_{1/2}$ value corresponding to Cu(I/II) for $\text{M2}$ was found to be lowered to 0.91 V vs. NHE in the DMF solution. Thus, it was expected that the use of $\text{M2}$ as a dye for DSSCs might give an optimal regeneration rate.

DFT calculations. To understand the absorption spectra and molecular orbital energies of the copper(I) complexes, TD-DFT calculations were performed for $\text{M1}$, $\text{M2}$, and $\text{Y3}$. The calculated absorption spectra and detailed data are shown in Figure 3 and Table 3, respectively. In these calculations, the counter anion Cl$^-$, was not considered because it has been reported that the absence of the anion does not generally affect calculation results [17]. For the comparison of the calculated results with the experimental data, the molar extinction coefficients were not added. Instead, we focused on the calculated wavelengths and spectral patterns. In the calculated absorption spectra using B3LYP as the functional, the absorption maxima were found at 665 nm, 469 nm, and 543 nm for $\text{M1}$, $\text{M2}$, and $\text{Y3}$, respectively, which differ significantly from the experimental absorption maxima (497 nm, 355 nm, and 475 nm for $\text{M1}$, $\text{M2}$, and $\text{Y3}$, respectively). However, when CAM-B3LYP was used as the functional, plausible absorption maxima were estimated at 471 nm and 334 nm, and 431 nm for $\text{M1}$, $\text{M2}$, and $\text{Y3}$, respectively. The spectral patterns correspond well with the experimental patterns, as shown in Figure 3 and Table 3, respectively. With this confirmation, the spectral data calculated using CAM-B3LYP were employed for the discussion in this study. The molecular orbital diagram and orbital energy levels evaluated by the calculation using CAM-B3LYP are shown in Figures S5–S7 for $\text{M1}$, $\text{M2}$, and $\text{Y3}$, respectively. In the case of $\text{M1}$, HOMO$–1$ and HOMO are localized on the copper atom, and LUMO and LUMO$+1$ are localized on the pyridine ring containing carboxylate groups. A similar trend was also observed in the case of $\text{Y3}$. On the other hand, for $\text{M2}$, HOMO$–3$ and HOMO$–2$ are localized on the copper atom, HOMO$–1$ and HOMO are localized to dimethyl aminophenyl groups, and LUMO and LUMO$+1$ are localized on the pyridine ring containing carboxylate. These observations led us to expect an efficient excited electron transfer from the dyes to TiO$_2$. With this expectation, the ratios of the electron distribution of carboxylic acid groups occupied on LUMO and LUMO$+1$ were estimated. The ratios are 8.8% and 9.3% for $\text{M1}$, 20.0% and 20.9% for $\text{M2}$, and 12.9% and 12.9% for $\text{Y3}$, respectively. This indicates that $\text{M2}$ is more efficient for the electron transfer to the TiO$_2$/FTO electrode than $\text{M1}$ and $\text{Y3}$.
tron distribution of carboxylic acid groups occupied on LUMO and LUMO+1 were estimated electron transfer from the dyes to TiO₂. With this expectation, the ratios of the electron pyridine ring containing carboxylate. These observations led us to expect an efficient escape.

Table 3. UV-vis absorption spectroscopic data for M1, M2, and Y3 estimated by DFT calculations.

| Functional | Dye | \( \lambda_{\text{calc.}} \) nm | \( f \) | Assignment | Character |
|------------|-----|-------------------------------|-------|------------|-----------|
| B3LYP      | M1  | 665                           | 0.37  | HOMO−1→LUMO (44%) HOMO→LUMO+1 (41%) | MLCT      |
|            | M2  | 469                           | 0.23  | HOMO−2→LUMO (44%) HOMO−3→LUMO+1 (35%) | MLCT \( \pi-\pi^* \) |
|            | Y3  | 543                           | 0.26  | HOMO→LUMO (50%) HOMO−1→LUMO+1 (49%) | MLCT      |
| CAM-B3LYP  | M1  | 471                           | 0.43  | HOMO−1→LUMO (23%) HOMO−1→LUMO+1 (21%) HOMO→LUMO+1 (17%) | MLCT      |
|            | M2  | 334                           | 0.79  | HOMO−2→LUMO (20%) HOMO→LUMO (15%) HOMO−3→LUMO+1 (14%) | MLCT \( \pi-\pi^* \) |
|            | Y3  | 431                           | 0.27  | HOMO→LUMO (47%) HOMO−1→LUMO+1 (47%) | MLCT      |

Photovoltaic performance. Under AM 1.5 sunlight, the photocurrent density-photovoltage measurements were performed for M1, M2, and Y3. Figure 4 shows I-V curves under light and dark conditions. The details of obtained parameters are given in Table 4. When the DSSC based on N719 was investigated under the same conditions, we obtained 7.83% as the conversion efficiency. Unfortunately, the energy conversion efficiencies for both dyes are very low. Although M1 has strong absorption in the visible region, the energy conversion efficiency is very low (0.17 %). This is reflected in the incident photon to current conversion efficiency (IPCE) spectrum (Figure S8). In particular, M1 has a lower energy conversion (EQE = 0.7% at 480 nm) around the maximum absorption band region (497 nm). This may be due to a smaller driving force for dye regeneration, because
it has a small contribution of the molecular orbital at the carboxylic acid site on LUMO and LUMO+1. M2 also has a low energy conversion efficiency (0.64%). This is rationalized by the fact that it does not have a large absorption band in the visible region. In addition, the amount of M2 adsorbed on TiO2/FTO electrode is quite large (Table S1) and the adsorption structure of M2 is probably different. These cause a low conversion efficiency of the DSSC based on M2. On the other hand, Y3 has a large absorption band in the visible region, and its conversion efficiency is relatively high (2.66%). The IPCE data show that the conversion efficiency of the visible area is also relatively high (EQE = 27% at 470 nm) around the maximum absorption wavelength (475 nm). It was also confirmed that the molecular orbitals of the carboxylic acid moiety in Y3 as the adsorption site on TiO2 surface are localized on LUMO and LUMO+1 (Figure S7), resulting in a higher conversion efficiency than other dyes. Unfortunately, the DSSCs based on these dyes might be too inefficient. However, it is interesting that these DSSCs have high Voc values (0.48, 0.60, and 0.66 V for M1, M2, and Y3, respectively) in open circuit voltage measurements, which are at the top level among the copper complex-based DSSCs reported hitherto [14–16,18,21,22,24]. Provided that for these dyes, the anchoring groups are connected to TiO2, the structural change induced by the light excitation will be restrained, which will accelerate the electron transfer to the TiO2/FTO electrode and inhibit the reverse electron transfer. FT-IR diffuse reflectance (DR) spectra of copper(I) dyes with asymmetric ligands that were adsorbed on TiO2 indicate the absence of free carboxylic acids. However, in the dye portion, it is expected that the two anchoring carboxylic acids are connected to TiO2. Therefore, regulation of structural changes may induce promotion of the electron transfer process and inhibition of the backward electron transfer to result in a high Voc. Among the homoleptic Cu complex dyes with asymmetric ligands, the conversion efficiency of solar cells with Y3 is relatively high, and it is possible to develop dyes with high Voc. Since heteroleptic copper complexes are difficult to synthesize, the development of homoleptic copper complexes with high efficiency using asymmetric ligands will be important.

Figure 4. Current-voltage characteristics of dye-sensitized solar cells based on M1, M2, and Y3 (a) under irradiation and (b) in the dark.

Table 4. Photovoltaic performances of M1, M2, and Y3.

| Dye | Voc/V  | Jsc/mA cm⁻² | FF    | η%   |
|-----|--------|-------------|-------|------|
| M1  | 0.48   | 0.61        | 0.58  | 0.17 |
| M2  | 0.60   | 1.64        | 0.65  | 0.64 |
| Y3  | 0.66   | 6.08        | 0.66  | 2.66 |
| N719| 0.69   | 16.5        | 0.69  | 7.83 |

1 The electrolyte composed of 0.1 M LiI, 0.05 M I₂, 0.6 M 1,2-dimethyl-3-propylimidazolium iodide, and 0.5 M 4-tert-butylpyridine in MeCN was adopted.
3. Materials and Methods

**General.** $^1$H NMR spectra were measured on a Varian Gemini-2000 XL-300 MHz FT spectrometer with TMS as an internal standard. Electronic absorption (UV-vis) spectra were recorded on a JASCO V-550 UV/vis spectrophotometer and diffuse reflection (DR) UV-vis spectra were recorded on a JASCO V-570 spectrophotometer. In the case of DR measurements, the absorption at 800 nm (a region without absorption bands) was subtracted from each spectrum to avoid the effect of differences in reflection coefficients. Infrared absorption spectra were measured on a JASCO FT/IR-410 instrument. Electrospray ionization mass spectra (ESI-MS) were measured using a Micromass LCT ESI-TOF mass spectrometer. Electrochemical measurements in solution were carried out in a three-electrode cell for complexes (0.3 mM) dissolved in dry DMF containing 0.1 M TBAP (tetrabutylammonium perchlorate), a Pt wire used as a counter electrode, and an Ag/Ag$^+$ electrode as a reference electrode. After the measurement, ferrocene (Fc) was added as an internal reference. Electrochemical measurements on TiO$_2$ were carried out using dyes on TiO$_2$/FTO as working electrodes. The dyes on TiO$_2$/FTO were prepared by immersing the TiO$_2$ electrodes in 0.3 mM EtOH solutions of M1, M2, Y3, and N719 for about 24 h.

**Chemicals.** All reagents and organic solvents were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, TCI, Kanto Chemical, MERCK, and Sigma-Aldrich, and were used without further purification. Distilled water was obtained from an EYELA SA-2100E automated water distillation apparatus. N719 was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

**Synthesis.** The ligands L1, L2, and L3 were synthesized as carboxylate salts. Homoleptic copper(I) complexes with their ligands, [Cu(L1)$_2$]Cl (M1), [Cu(L2)$_2$]Cl (M2), and [Cu(L3)$_2$]Cl (Y3) were prepared by the reaction of copper(II) sulfate and two equivalents of ligands (L1, L2, or L3), in the presence of ascorbic acid. Detailed synthetic methods for preparing the ligands and their Cu(I) complex dyes are described in the Supplementary Materials.

**Fabrication of Solar cells.** An FTO glass plate was washed in MeCN with an ultrasonic cleaning machine for 30 min. The TiO$_2$ paste (PST-18NR) was deposited as an adsorption layer of dye chromophore on the FTO glass plate, which was heated at 100 °C for 10 min. The TiO$_2$ paste (PST-400C) was coated as a light scattering layer, and the electrode was sintered at 530 °C for 1 h and then immersed in a 0.3 mM EtOH solution of M1 and Y3 for 24 h. In the case of M2, TiO$_2$ electrode was immersed in a 0.3 mM MeOH solution for 24 h. The reference cell was prepared by immersing the plate in a 0.3 mM EtOH solution of N719 for 24 h. The platinum electrode was prepared by incubating the FTO plate coated with 30 mM 2-propanol solution of H$_2$PtCl$_6$·6H$_2$O at 385 °C for 30 min. The TiO$_2$ electrodes covered with dye and Pt electrode were pasted to each other using an epoxy-based adhesive and a UV cured resin. The electrolyte composed of LiI (0.1 M), I$_2$ (0.05 M), 1,2-dimethyl-3-propylimidazolium iodide (0.6 M), and 4-tert-butylpyridine (0.5 M) in MeCN was adopted.

**Photovoltaic measurements.** The photovoltaic performance of the DSSCs was assessed using an Asahi Spectra IVP-0605 current-voltage (I–V) curve measurement recorder using an Asahi Spectra HAL-302 solar simulator, which was focused on giving 100 mWcm$^{-2}$, the equivalent of one sun at 1.5 AM at the surface of the test DSSCs. Incident photon-to-current conversion efficiency (IPCE) spectra for DSSCs were recorded with a Newport benchtop optical power meter model 1936-R using an Asahi Spectra PVL-4000 EX3 wavelength-tunable light source. To unify the irradiation area, each DSSC was covered with black tape with a hole (area: 0.07 cm$^2$) during measurements to minimize the influence of stray light.

**Evaluation of the amount of each dye on TiO$_2$/FTO.** After immersing the TiO$_2$/FTO electrode in the EtOH solution of each dye, the adsorbed dye was desorbed as follows. For N719: by dipping the electrode into an EtOH/H$_2$O (1/1) solution containing 0.1 M NaOH; for M1 and Y3: by dipping the electrode into an EtOH/H$_2$O (1/1) solution containing 1 mM NaOH; for M2: by dipping the electrode into a MeOH/H$_2$O (1/1) solution containing 1 mM NaOH. For Cu complex dyes, 1 mM NaOH aqueous solution was used because of
these dyes are unstable under strongly basic conditions. The amount of dye on TiO$_2$/FTO was estimated from UV/vis spectra of solutions containing desorbed dye.

**Computational calculations.** The calculations were performed with the Gaussian 09 program package [47]. We carried out all geometry optimizations in a vacuum state by density functional theory (DFT) [48–51] with B3LYP functional [52]. The following basis sets were used for the respective atoms; 6-311G for Cu, augmented with two p-type polarization functions for the 4p orbitals [53,54], 6-31G(d) [55–57] for the other atoms. To assign the absorption bands of electronic transitions in the complexes, time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) [58] calculations were performed using the polarization conductor calculation model (CPCM) [59,60] with B3LYP and the long-range corrected version of B3LYP using the Coulomb-attenuating method (CAM-B3LYP) [61]. In the TD-DFT calculations, the 70 lowest singlet-singlet excitation energies were adopted. We estimated the ratio of carboxylate occupied with any orbitals by using overlap integral [62–65] and molecular orbitals. The isosurfaces of the molecular orbitals were drawn using MOPLOT and MOVIEW programs [66,67] on the Fujitsu CX400 system at the Nagoya University Information Technology Center. The electronic absorption spectra were calculated using AOMix-package-light [68] on the Windows 7 operating system.

4. Conclusions
In our efforts to synthesize Cu(I) complexes that have both advantages of homoleptic- and heteroleptic-type complexes and to overcome their disadvantages using substitution-active copper(I) ion, we recognized that novel homoleptic complexes with asymmetric ligands should be synthesized. We designed and prepared three homoleptic copper(I) complex dyes with asymmetric ligands, M$_1$, M$_2$, and Y$_3$, which have a small number of anchor groups. Although M$_1$ has a strong absorption band in the visible region, the energy conversion efficiency of the DSSC based on M$_1$ was found to be quite low. This might be explained by a small driving force and small contributions of LUMO and LUMO+1 on the carboxylic acid. The energy conversion efficiency of M$_2$ was also found to be low, which might be due to low light harvesting capability. Otherwise, the introduction of pyrazole groups into the ligand in place of pyridine rings in M$_1$ (0.62 V vs. NHE), as shown in the CV of M$_2$ (1.04 V vs. NHE), causes the redox potential of Cu(I/II) to shift to a positive region. This is important from the viewpoint of the dye regeneration process. In the case of Y$_3$, its energy conversion efficiency is relatively high (2.66%). We expect that the simple design of the L$_3$ ligand causes delocalization of LUMO and LUMO+1 at the carboxylic acid as the anchor group, resulting in smooth electron transfer from the dye to the TiO$_2$ electrode. It is very interesting that the DSSC based on copper(I) dyes with asymmetric ligands has high $V_{OC}$ values (0.48, 0.60, and 0.66 V for M$_1$, M$_2$, and Y$_3$, respectively), although their energy conversion efficiencies are low. This may be caused by the introduction of asymmetric ligands. These findings provide useful insights into the design of new DSSC dyes in the future.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following materials are available online, Additional information for the detailed synthetic methods of all compounds, Figure S1: $^1$H NMR spectra of (a) M$_1$, (b) M$_2$, and (c) Y$_3$ in CD$_3$OD, Figure S2: ESI-MS spectra of (a) M$_1$, (b) M$_2$, and (c) Y$_3$ in MeOH, Figure S3: Cyclic voltammograms of (a) M$_1$ and (b) M$_2$, and Y$_3$, Figure S4: Cyclic voltammograms of (a) M$_1$ and (b) M$_2$, and Y$_3$ on the TiO$_2$/FTO electrode, Figure S5: Isodensity surface plots of M$_1$ in EtOH as calculated using CAM-B3LYP, Figure S6: Isodensity surface plots of M$_2$ in EtOH as calculated using CAM-B3LYP, Figure S7: Isodensity surface plots of Y$_3$ in EtOH as calculated using CAM-B3LYP, Figure S8: IPCE spectra of M$_1$, M$_2$, and Y$_3$, Table S1: Amount of each dye adsorbed on the TiO$_2$/FTO electrode. Table S1. Amount of each dye adsorbed on the TiO$_2$/FTO electrode.
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