Electroluminescence from h-BN by using Al$_2$O$_3$/h-BN multiple heterostructure
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Abstract: Two-dimensional (2-D) hexagonal boron nitride (h-BN) has attracted considerable attention for deep ultraviolet optoelectronics and visible single photon sources, however, realization of an electrically-driven light emitter remains challenging due to its wide bandgap nature. Here, we report electrically-driven visible light emission with a red-shift under increasing electric field from a few layer h-BN by employing a five-period Al$_2$O$_3$/h-BN multiple heterostructure and a graphene top electrode. Investigation of electrical properties reveals that the Al$_2$O$_3$ layers act as potential barriers confining injected carriers within the h-BN wells, while suppressing the electrostatic breakdown by trap-assisted tunneling, to increase the probability of radiative recombination. The result highlights a promising potential of such multiple heterostructure as a practical and efficient platform for electrically-driven light emitters based on wide bandgap two-dimensional materials.
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1. Introduction

Two-dimensional (2D) materials including graphene, hexagonal boron nitride (h-BN) and transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) are crystallized in atomically thin-layered structures held together by strong in-plane covalent bonds and weak out-of-plane van der Waals bonds. The 2D material systems have been under intensive investigation for applications in many fields such as electronics [1], optoelectronics [2,3], photocatalytic [4] and sensing applications [5] owing to their unique and fascinating physical properties [6]. Among the family of 2D materials, h-BN, consisting of $sp^2$-bonded boron and nitrogen atoms, has been proposed as a promising luminescent material for deep ultraviolet (DUV) optoelectronic devices since it exhibits the wide bandgap of approximately 6 eV [7–10].

The emission of photons from h-BN has been studied by utilizing cathodoluminescence (CL) and photoluminescence (PL) spectroscopies. Watanabe et al. [7,11] reported a sharp luminescence peak at 5.77 eV (215 nm) attributed to the direct exciton recombination in the h-BN single crystal and luminescence peaks at 5.63 (220) and 5.46 eV (227 nm) appear as a result of the recombination of trapped excitons at structural defects. On the other hand, Cassabois et al. [10] reported that phonon-assisted optical transitions are responsible for the observed PL emission peaks from h-BN having indirect bandgap of 5.995 eV. A quantitative study of the
CL in h-BN revealed the internal quantum yield of 15%, comparable to that of direct bandgap ZnO, enabled by the strong coupling of excitons with phonons in h-BN [12]. In addition, there have been several studies on the deep-level transitions in ultraviolet (UV) range as well as near-band-edge emission. According to Jiang et al. [13,14], the broad peaks covering from 3.7 to 4.1 eV are ascribed to the donor-acceptor pair transition involving nitrogen vacancy donor states and deep level acceptor states resulting from substitutional carbon impurities on nitrogen sites. Based on the investigations of the luminescence properties, there have been efforts to develop light emitters by utilizing h-BN as an active layer. h-BN has been demonstrated as a fascinating room temperature PL single photon source in visible range (500–700 nm) [15–19], in which the nature of the single photon emission center is unclear though it is likely associated with the deep-level atomic defects such as the nitrogen-anti-site defect (N_{A VN}) [20]. On the other hand, h-BN-based UV CL devices equipped with field-emission cold cathodes have been developed as an alternative to conventional lamps [21,22]. However, the high operating voltage (120-900 V) for field emission [23] and low compatibility with conventional micro-fabrication limit their integrated photonic and optoelectronic applications.

Electrically-driven electroluminescence (EL) light sources would be much more advantageous than PL- and CL-based sources in terms of efficiency, integrability and scalability [24]. While h-BN layers have been typically used as passive dielectric materials for TMD-based (opto)electronic devices, it is challenging to realize an electrically-driven light emitter with h-BN active layer due to its wide bandgap nature. The difficulties in doping, and hence, in the formation of a stable p-n junction and ohmic contacts [25] limit the injection of electrons and holes. In addition, the low mobility-time products for electrons and holes in h-BN (~5.8 × 10^{-8} and ~6.1 × 10^{-9} cm^2 V^{-1}, respectively [26]) decline the transport of charges as well as the formation of excitons, further limiting EL from h-BN.

In this study, we present electrically-driven visible light emission from the EL device consisting of a 5-period Al_{2}O_{3}/h-BN multiple heterostructure. While similar PL spectra in DUV and visible wavelength ranges were observed both from the h-BN-only structure and the Al_{2}O_{3}/h-BN heterostructure, visible EL emission with a red-shift under increasing electric field was observed only from the heterostructure device. Based on the electrical properties of the devices, it is believed that the 3 nm-thick Al_{2}O_{3} layers act as potential barriers for an effective confinement of injected carriers within the 9 nm-thick h-BN wells, enhancing the probability of radiative recombination while avoiding the electrostatic breakdown through defect states in the h-BN active layer.

2. Experimental details

2.1 Device fabrication

The 5-period Al_{2}O_{3}/h-BN multiple heterostructure was fabricated on a patterned Pt bottom electrode by repeating the deposition of a 3-nm-thick Al_{2}O_{3} at 300 °C by atomic layer deposition (ALD) followed by the transfer of a ~9 nm-thick multilayer h-BN, grown by chemical vapor deposition (CVD) method on the copper foil (from Graphene Supermarket), using polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) supported wet transfer technique. After the deposition of the 6th Al_{2}O_{3}, a graphene (CVD-grown monolayer graphene from Graphene Square Inc.) top electrode was transferred onto the stack by using the wet transfer method as well, followed by Ti/Au (5/100 nm) deposition for electrical contacts to the top graphene electrodes.

2.2 Microscopic, electrical and optical characterizations

A JEM-ARM2100F scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM) operated at 60 kV with up to 5th order aberration-correction was employed to investigate the cross-sectional image of the vertical devices. The samples were prepared by dual beam focused ion beam (FIB) (FEI, Helios Nano-Lab 600) milling with final milling done at 0.5 kV to minimize sample damage. Core level X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements of ALD-deposited Al_{2}O_{3}
were performed using a Perkin-Elmer PHI 5400 ESCA spectrometer. Optical characterization including absorbance and PL in DUV range were carried out by using the synchrotron radiation at the BL03A high flux VUV beam line at National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center, Taiwan at 10 K. In this work, a monochromator (iHR 320, HORIBA) equipped with a diffraction grating of 1800 lines mm\(^{-1}\) and slit size of 1 × 1 mm\(^2\) and a photomultiplier tube (PMT, Hamamatsu R943-02) were used and the entrance and exit slit widths were set at 300 and 5,000 μm, respectively. To measure PL in visible range, the samples were excited with a continuous wave 532 nm laser, focused through a × 10 objective and attenuated by an ND filter 9. The PL was collected by a monochromater (Acton SP2300) equipped with a charge coupled detector (CCD, PIXIS 100). For EL and electrical measurements, the devices were mounted on identical stage to visible PL setup. Electrical injection was performed using an Agilent B2902A source meter, and EL signals were collected by averaging five acquisitions with integration time of 5 seconds using Acton SP2300 monochromater equipped with PIXIS 100 CCD.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Fabrication of the Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)/h-BN multiple heterostructure

The EL device based on a 5-period Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)/h-BN multiple heterostructure was fabricated on a platinum (Pt) bottom electrode on a sapphire substrate by repeating the deposition of a 3 nm-thick Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) by ALD followed by transferring a 9 nm-thick multilayer h-BN grown by CVD. After the deposition of the 6\(^{th}\) Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), a CVD-grown graphene layer was transferred on top of the multiple heterostructure as a semitransparent top electrode, as schematically shown in Fig. 1(a). For comparison, h-BN-only and Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-only structures in which the thicknesses of h-BN and Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) are equal to the total thickness of the h-BN layer and the Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) layer in the multiple heterostructure, respectively, were fabricated. Figure 1(b) shows the Raman spectrum of the multilayer h-BN with the peak at ~1369.5 cm\(^{-1}\) originating from the E\(_{2g}\) mode of B-N bond in-plane vibration. The full-width-at-half-maximum of the peak is 26.1 cm\(^{-1}\), comparable to previously reported values for the CVD-grown multilayer h-BN [27,28]. The cross-sectional bright field (BF) and high-angle annular dark field (HAADF) STEM images clearly show a well-defined 5-period Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)/h-BN heterostructure as shown in Fig. 1(c) and 1(d), respectively. The contrast is roughly proportional to the square of the atomic number, the dark contrast in the HAADF-STEM image corresponds to the h-BN layers while the bright contrast region is the Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) layers. The h-BN layer with (0002) lattice spacing of ~0.33 nm is observed in the magnified STEM image of the part of multilayer h-BN.

Figure 1(e) shows the ideal energy-band diagrams before making contact between the Pt bottom electrode, the single Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)/h-BN/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) heterostructure, and the graphene top electrode, constructed by using reported values of electron affinity (2 and 1.2 for h-BN [29] and Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) [30]) and dielectric constant (3.12 and 9 for h-BN [31] and Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) [32]) and experimental values of optical band gap, 5.9 eV for the h-BN layer and 7.1 eV for the Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) barrier layer estimated by the Tauc’s plots. Stacking Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) and h-BN layers results in the formation of the h-BN wells having the barrier heights of 0.8 and 0.4 eV for electron and hole, respectively, with the Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) potential barriers. Under a sufficient forward bias (Fig. 1(f)), the quasi-Fermi level of the graphene layer shifts above defects-related sub-band states or the conduction band edge of the h-BN layer, thus, the injection of electrons and holes into available energy states in the h-BN active layer through the thin Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) barriers via tunneling can occur. The injected carriers can be effectively confined within the h-BN wells enclosed by the Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) potential barriers, consequently can be recombined radiatively.
3.2 Photoluminescence from the Al₂O₃/h-BN multiple heterostructure

Figure 2 shows PL spectra of the h-BN-only structure and the Al₂O₃/h-BN multiple heterostructure with same total h-BN thickness of ~45 nm. Both samples exhibit two near-band-edge emission lines at around 5.420 and 5.571 eV under synchrotron radiation excitation with the photon energy of 7.09 eV (175 nm) at 10 K as shown in Fig. 2(a). Two emission peaks coincide with the donor-acceptor-pair transition and its one E₂g phonon replica, respectively [33]. It is noticeable that the PL intensity from the Al₂O₃/h-BN heterostructure is higher than that from the h-BN-only sample even though the h-BN layers in the heterostructure likely absorbs less excitation photons due to the reflection at multiple interfaces consisting of Al₂O₃ and h-BN with refractive indices of 1.9 [34] and 1.7 [35], respectively. It can be attributed to the spatial confinement of photo-generated excitons in the h-BN active layers of the
heterostructure that prevents a long-distance diffusion to non-radiative recombination centers and consequentially enhances the probability of radiative recombination [36,37]. Figure 2(b) shows PL spectra in visible wavelength range under laser excitation with the photon energy of 2.33 eV (532 nm) at 77 K. Broad features covering relatively large spectral range appear from both samples. Note that no luminescence was observed from the Al₂O₃-only sample, indicating that the possibility of the emission from defect states in the Al₂O₃ layer can be excluded. (The abrupt reduction of the intensity around 2.30 eV originates from the 2.33 eV (532 nm) laser notch filter.) According to Koperski et al. [19], the origin of the broad band emission in visible wavelength region is likely related to the ensembles of optical transitions between discrete electronic states located deep in the bandgap derived from structural defects in h-BN. Slightly different shape of the PL spectra of two samples can be attributed to inhomogeneous distribution of emitting defects and unintentional curvatures [38] formed by wrinkles during wet-transfer within ~20 μm-diameter laser excited region.

![Fig. 2. Photoluminescence spectra. Comparison of the PL spectra of the h-BN-only and the Al₂O₃/h-BN multiple heterostructure samples: (a) PL spectra in DUV wavelength range under 175 nm excitation source at 10K and (b) normalized PL spectra in visible wavelength range under 532 nm excitation source at 77 K. Note that total thickness of h-BN in the Al₂O₃/h-BN multiple heterostructure is identical to that in the h-BN-only sample.]

3.3 Electroluminescence and electrical properties of the Al₂O₃/h-BN multiple heterostructure

Figure 3(a) shows the EL emission from the heterostructure, the h-BN-only, and the Al₂O₃-only samples at maximum measured current densities of 2 × 10⁻⁴, 8, and 5.7 × 10⁻³ A cm⁻², respectively, according to the current density-electric field (J-E) curves shown in Fig. 3(b) under 77 K. The 5-period Al₂O₃/h-BN multiple heterostructure shows a broad spectral feature similar to the PL spectra with maximum intensity at ~1.9 eV, while no luminescence was observed from the devices composed of 45 nm-thick h-BN-only and 18 nm-thick Al₂O₃-only structures in spite of higher current density than that of the heterostructure. As discussed with the PL spectra in visible wavelength range, the broad EL emission can be attributed to the defect-mediated emission from the h-BN wells of the Al₂O₃/h-BN multiple heterostructure.

The Al₂O₃/h-BN multiple heterostructure device shows the onset electric field of ~9.5 MV cm⁻¹ while the h-BN-only device shows abruptly increased current density below 1 MV cm⁻¹. The current density for the h-BN-only structure (Fig. 3(c)) is much higher than that for the heterostructure (Fig. 3(d)), as well as shows abrupt multiple jumps at low electrical fields, which is attributed to layer-by-layer breakdown by tunneling [39–41] through defects in the h-BN layer. The logarithm scale J-E curves shown in Fig. 3(c) and 3(d) are further investigated to figure out the carrier transport mechanism of each sample. It has been reported that the transport of charge carriers in both multilayer h-BN and high-quality Al₂O₃ dominantly follows Fowler-Nordheim (F-N) tunneling model [42,43] whereby the carriers tunnel through a
triangular barrier in the presence of a high electric field. However, each step in the $J-E$ curve for the h-BN-only structure fits well with trap-assisted tunneling (TAT) model, $J = A \exp(-B \Phi^{3/2}/E)$, rather than F-N tunneling, $J = (CE^2/\Phi_\text{t}) \exp(-D \Phi_{\text{t}}^{3/2}/E)$, where $A$, $B$, $C$ and $D$ are constants, $E$ is the applied electric field, $\Phi_{\text{t}}$ is the trap energy level, and $\Phi_{\text{t}}$ is the tunneling barrier height. The values of $\Phi_{\text{t}}$ are ranging from 0.11 to 0.18 eV estimated from slopes of the TAT plot, much lower than the reported tunneling barrier height of 1.5 eV for few layer of defect-free h-BN sandwiched by graphene electrodes [44] due to the higher density of defects such as nitrogen vacancies [45] in CVD-grown multilayer h-BN than in exfoliated h-BN from a single crystal. On the other hands, the $J-E$ curves of both the heterostructure and the Al$_2$O$_3$-only structure under high external electric field fit well with the F-N tunneling model as shown in Fig. 3(d) and the inset of Fig. 3(b). The effective $\Phi_{\text{t}}$ of the heterostructure is estimated to be 0.89 eV, which is higher than that for the h-BN-only structure, with assumed effective mass of 0.5m$_0$ [46] (m$_0$ is the free electron mass). Based on the distinct difference in the $J-E$ curve and the EL emission between the h-BN-only and the Al$_2$O$_3$/h-BN heterostructure, we believe that the Al$_2$O$_3$ layers play an important role as potential barriers that suppress the carrier leakage by trap-assisted tunneling process in the h-BN layers that resulted in the abrupt multiple jumps in current density by layer-by-layer breakdown at low electric fields for the h-BN-only device. In addition, the Al$_2$O$_3$ layers form potential barriers with the h-BN wells in the heterostructure, leading to an enhanced confinement of injected carriers, increasing the probability of radiative recombination of the carriers.

Fig. 3. Electroluminescence and electrical characterization. (a) EL spectra from the Al$_2$O$_3$/h-BN multiple heterostructure, the h-BN-only, and the Al$_2$O$_3$-only samples measured at maximum current densities of $2 \times 10^{-4}$, $8$, and $5.7 \times 10^{-3}$ A cm$^{-2}$, respectively. (b) $J-E$ curves of the Al$_2$O$_3$-only, the h-BN-only and the Al$_2$O$_3$/h-BN multiple heterostructure based device in logarithmic scale. The inset shows Fowler-Nordheim (F-N) plots of the Al$_2$O$_3$-only sample at high electric field region. The extracted tunneling barrier height of 3.15 eV is close the difference between the CB edge and graphene Fermi-level. A cross-sectional TEM image shows the 18 nm-thick Al$_2$O$_3$ deposited by ALD on Pt. Scale bar is 2 nm. $J-E$ curves of (c) the h-BN-only and (d) the heterostructure based devices in logarithmic scale. Each inset shows linear data fitting for trap assisted tunneling (TAT) and F-N tunneling, respectively.
Figure 4(a) shows the change of EL spectra with increasing electric field to the Al₂O₃/h-BN heterostructure device at 77 K. The intensity of the EL spectra increases, accompanying a red-shift of the peak energy from 2.02 eV to 1.92 eV with increasing the electric field from 11.91 to 12.54 MV cm⁻¹. This indicates that the possibility of a black-body radiation by Joule’s heating and a hot carrier mechanism, which result in a blue-shift of emission peak with increasing bias, can be excluded to explain the electrically-driven emission in visible range from the Al₂O₃/h-BN multiple heterostructure.

The red-shift in the EL spectra with increasing electrical field could be elucidated by Stark effect. According to Chakraborty et al. [47], Stark effect of individual defects has been demonstrated by applying a vertical electric field to the defects in van der Waals heterostructures consisting of atomically thin WSe₂, resulting in an efficient spectral tunability up to 21 meV. Stark tuning of single-photon emission from atomic defects in h-BN as large as 5.4 nm per GV m⁻¹ has been observed as well [48] owing to dipole moment of various defects in h-BN ranging from −0.9 to 0.9 D (1 D = 3.33 × 10⁻³⁰ Cm) under external electric field. Dipole moment of 29.31 D, much higher than the reported values, was extracted from the linear fit of the photon energy shift versus local electric field curve of the Al₂O₃/h-BN multiple heterostructure, as shown in Fig. 4(b). This indicates that, together with Stark effect, other additional effects including activation of new atomic defect states by electrical stress [49] may be responsible for the red-shift in the EL spectra. The inset of Fig. 4(b) shows the image of the Al₂O₃/h-BN heterostructure device overlaid with discrete EL emission spots on the bottom electrode region observed by a charge-coupled device. Multiple emission spots vary in location under different applied electric field, which can be elucidated by generation and annihilation of defect-related recombination centers during external electrical stress. Moreover, bandgap shrinkage induced by heat generation [50], which is also observed in other solid-state emitters at the high injection current level [51,52] can be one of the possible reasons considering the large bandgap shrinkage parameter of h-BN due to strong electron-phonon interaction [33].

4. Conclusion

We demonstrated an electrically-driven visible light emitter based on a 5-period Al₂O₃/h-BN multiple heterostructure. PL spectra with similar peak positions in DUV and visible wavelength ranges were observed both from the h-BN-only structure and the Al₂O₃/h-BN heterostructure, while the intensity of the DUV PL from the heterostructure is larger than that from the h-BN only structure. On the other hand, electrically-driven photon emission, EL, was observed only from the heterostructure device. Based on the investigation of the electrical properties of the
devices, the 3 nm-thick Al₂O₃ layers play an important role as potential barriers for an effective confinement of injected carriers within the 9 nm-thick h-BN wells, enhancing the probability of radiative recombination as well as suppressing the trap-assisted tunneling process, and hence, the electrostatic breakdown in the h-BN layers. The broad visible EL spectrum with peak intensity at ~1.9 eV is ascribed to the ensemble of optical transitions via structural defects in the h-BN layers. The red-shift in the EL spectra by 0.102 eV under increased bias from 11.92 to 12.54 MV cm⁻¹ was observed, which is possibly owing to the combination of multiple effects including Stark effect, change of active defect states by electrical stress, and bandgap shrinkage at high current injection. We believe that further intensive works utilizing a high quality h-BN with well-controlled defects and precisely manipulated potential barrier will allow to realize an electrically-driven light emitter with intended EL spectra such as excitonic emission in DUV range and wavelength-controlled single photon emission from h-BN active layers.
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