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Simple Summary: With this study, we aimed to investigate the epidemiology and genetic diversity of *Spirometra* tapeworms in snakes in Hunan province. The result showed that the positivity rate among snakes was 89.53%, which is the highest among other regions. Genetic diversity analysis based on concatenated sequences revealed high genetic diversity but no distinct genetic structure among *Spirometra* populations. Phylogenetic analysis supported the division of European and Chinese *Spirometra* isolates and a single species in Chinese *Spirometra* isolates.

Abstract: Sparganosis, caused by the plerocercoid larvae of *Spirometra* tapeworms, is a public health hazard worldwide. The prevalence and genetics of sparganum from snakes remain unclear. In this study, we investigated the prevalence of sparganum infection in wild snakes in Hunan province and compared the prevalence of *Spirometra* tapeworms in snakes worldwide. Furthermore, the genetic diversity of collected isolates was analyzed using mitochondrial cyt b and cox1 genes. The result shows that the sparganum infection rate in wild snakes (89.50%, 402/449) was higher in Hunan than in other regions. Genetic diversity analysis based on concatenated sequences revealed high genetic diversity but no distinct genetic structure among *Spirometra* populations. Phylogenetic analysis supported the division of European and Chinese *Spirometra* isolates and a single species in Chinese *Spirometra* isolates. The prevalence of *Spirometra* tapeworms in snakes is serious, and the risk of sparganosis should be further publicized.
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1. Introduction

The plerocercoid larvae (sparganum) of *Spirometra* tapeworms can parasitize humans and cause an important foodborne parasitic zoonosis known as sparganosis [1,2]. Sparganosis occurs worldwide, especially in eastern and southeastern Asian countries [3]. More than 1300 cases of sparganosis have been reported in China, and the actual number of infections may be far higher because many cases may not be recognized or reported [4].

As the most common intermediate hosts in the life cycle of *Spirometra* tapeworms, snakes and frogs transmit sparganosis to humans in China [5,6]. Humans can be infected by consuming raw or undercooked snake/frog meat or by using raw snake/frog flesh in traditional poultices [3]. Sparganum has been extensively isolated from frogs in different regions of China [6]. However, as a very important host, information on the prevalence of sparganosis in snakes remains scarce [7–9]. Therefore, knowledge regarding the prevalence
of sparganum infection in snakes is valuable for preventing and controlling sparganosis in humans.

By using mitochondrial genes or the complete mitochondrial genome the sparganum isolates collected in different China locations were classified as *S. erinaceieuropaei* [6,10–12]. No other species of *Spirometra* has been reported as a source of human infection in China. However, two *Spirometra* species, *S. erinaceieuropaei* and *S. decipiens*, collected from snakes (*Dinodon rufozonatum* and *Agkistrodon saxatilis*) have been identified as species in China through morphological and genetic methods [7]. Therefore, the precise identification of *Spirometra* species from snakes in China requires further investigation.

Data on *Spirometra* tapeworm infection of snakes in Hunan province were supplemented for the first time in this study. Meanwhile, the prevalence of *Spirometra* tapeworm in snakes worldwide was also analyzed. Two validated markers, mitochondrial cytochrome B (*cyt* B) and cytochrome C oxidase subunit I (*cox* I), which have been verified as suitable markers for inferring genetic population differences of *Spirometra* tapeworms [11,13–15], were sequenced and analyzed to infer an exhaustive genetic diversity analysis of *Spirometra* tapeworms in snakes from different locations in Hunan province.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Collection of Sparganum Isolates from Snakes

Samples were collected from field sites in 15 geographical locations of Hunan province in China from April 2018 to October 2019 (Figure 1). The presence of sparganum was examined according to the method described by Liu et al. [5]. In brief, snakes were euthanized using ethyl-ether anesthesia and skinned. The skin was peeled off from the neck to the tip of the tail, and the visceral mass was measured from the esophagus and trachea to the cloaca. Then, the number of spargana was counted. In addition, all surveys of sparganum infection in snakes from other publications were included the analysis. All collected worms were fixed in 99% ethanol and kept at −20 °C for molecular analysis.
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**Figure 1.** Sampling sites in Hunan province, China. The geographic location is shown in the inset. The sampling sites were added according to GPS data.
2.2. Sequencing of Target Genes

Genomic DNA was extracted using a Wizard® SV genomic DNA purification system (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The expression of two mitochondrial markers (cox1 and cyt/b) was analyzed using the primer combinations listed in Supplementary Table S1. PCR products were purified using an EasyPure PCR purification kit (Transgen, Beijing, China) and sequenced in both directions by Tsingke Company (Beijing, China).

2.3. Genetic Diversity Analysis of Isolates from Snakes

The DNA sequences of cyt/b and cox1 were initially aligned using the program Clustal X v.2.0 [16] and adjusted in MEGA v.7.0 according to their amino acid sequences [17]. The nucleotide composition, conserved sites, variable sites, parsimony-informative sites, and singleton sites were estimated using MEGA v.7.0. The haplotypes were inferred, and genetic diversity values per population were calculated using DnaSP v.6 [18]. The median-joining network representing the relationship among all obtained haplotypes was prepared using PopART v.1.7 [19]. Analysis of molecular variance was completed using Arlequin v.3.5.1 to detect the partitions of genetic diversity within and among populations [20]. Pairwise genetic distances were also estimated using Arlequin v.3.5.1 to explore levels of genetic differentiation among the populations. To test the demographic change of the *Spirometra* population, we performed neutrality tests using Arlequin v.3.5.1 with mismatch distribution using the sum of squared deviations and raggedness index (RI) between observed and expected mismatches and Fu’s FS test and Tajima’s D [21,22].

2.4. Phylogenetic Analysis

All available complete sequences of cox1 and cyt/b in the GenBank database were included to perform a phylogenetic analysis of *Spirometra* tapeworms (Supplementary Table S2). Four *Dibothriocephalus* species—*D. nihonkaiense* (Genbank accession number representing the cyt/b/cox1 genes: AB508837/AB015755), *D. latum* (AB522608/AB511963), *D. dendriticum* (AB522613/KC812045), and *D. ditremum* (AB522617/FM209182)—were used as the outgroup. The phylogeny of all collected sequences was estimated by the maximum likelihood (ML) and maximum parsimony (MP) methods. ML analysis was performed in PhyML v.3.0 [23] using models selected by jModelTest 2 under the Akaike information criterion [24]. The support of each internal branch of the phylogeny was estimated using non-parametric bootstrapping (1000 replicates). MP analyses were performed in PAUP*4b10 using heuristic searches with TBR branch swapping and 10,000 random addition sequences. The confidence of each node was assessed by bootstrapping (2000 pseudo-replicates and heuristic search of 20 random addition replicates with TBR option).

3. Results

3.1. Prevalence of Sparganum Infection in Snakes

A total of 2934 snakes belonging to 28 species were surveyed for sparganum infection. As a result, 1581 (53.89%, 1581/2934) were found to be positive (Table 1). The level of sparganum infection in wild snakes in Korea was the highest (83.04%, 235/283), followed by China (51.93%, 1152/2218) and Indonesia (50.85%, 192/378). In contrast, the infection rate among snakes from Poland was only 3.64%, which is far lower than that among snakes from Asian countries. In China, the highest prevalence was found in Zhejiang province (100%, 5/5), followed by Shanghai (93.22%, 55/59) and Hunan (89.53%, 402/449). In central China’s Hunan province, 449 wild snakes were investigated in 14 representative regions in this study. The prevalence ranged from 65% to 100%, with an infection intensity of 1-70 spargana per snake. The highest infection rate was found in snakes from Shaoyang (100%, 20/20), followed by Zhangjiajie (96.67%, 29/30) and Xiangtan (95.77%, 68/71). Generally, the infection rate in Hunan province is higher than that in other provinces in China, such as Guangdong (44.51%, 353/775), Fujian (78.79%, 25/32), Guangxi (23.17%, 38/160), Guizhou (42.16%, 85/172), Jinlin (30.80%, 134/435), and Hebei (36.90%, 55/149).
Table 1. Prevalence of sparganum infection in snakes.

| Country | Geographical Origin | No. Infected/No. Examined (%) | Infection Intensity (Spargana/Snake) | References |
|---------|---------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|
| China   | Hunan              |                              |                                      |            |
|         | HN-YI               | 43/49 (87.76)                | 5-56                                 | This study |
|         | HN-CD               | 18/20 (90.00)                | 5-25                                 | This study |
|         | HN-YZ               | 28/33 (84.85)                | 1-18                                 | This study |
|         | HN-HY               | 13/20 (65.00)                | 4-22                                 | This study |
|         | HN-XT               | 68/71 (95.77)                | 5-70                                 | This study |
|         | HN-SY               | 20/20 (100.00)               | 5-60                                 | This study |
|         | HN-ZZ               | 22/23 (95.65)                | 2-32                                 | This study |
|         | HN-CS               | 60/71 (84.51)                | 3-60                                 | This study |
|         | HN-LD               | 22/24 (91.67)                | 5-49                                 | This study |
|         | HN-CZ               | 18/20 (90.00)                | 7-25                                 | This study |
|         | HN-HH               | 18/20 (90.00)                | 6-35                                 | This study |
|         | HN-ZJJ              | 29/30 (96.67)                | 8-38                                 | This study |
|         | HN-YuY              | 24/28 (85.71)                | 2-22                                 | This study |
|         | HN-XX               | 19/20 (95.00)                | 8-43                                 | This study |
|         | Total               | 402/449 (89.53)              | 1-70                                 |            |
|         | Guangdong           | 353/757 (44.51)              | 1-213                                | [25–27]    |
|         | Fujian              | 25/32 (78.79)                | 1-28                                 | [28]       |
|         | Guangxi             | 38/160 (23.17)               | 1-208                                | [29,30]    |
|         | Guizhou             | 85/172 (42.16)               | 1-121                                | [31]       |
|         | Jilin               | 134/435 (30.80)              | N/a                                  | [32]       |
|         | Zhejiang            | 5/5 (100.00)                 | 2-99                                 | [33]       |
|         | Shanghai            | 55/59 (93.22)                | 1-294                                | [34]       |
|         | Hubei               | 55/149 (36.90)               | 1-23                                 | [8]        |
|         | Total               | 1152/2218 (51.93)            | 1-294                                |            |
|         | Korea               | 235/283 (83.04)              | N/a                                  | [7]        |
|         | Indonesia           | 192/378 (50.85)              | 1-111                                | [9]        |
|         | Poland              | 2/55 (3.64)                  | 1-3                                  | [15]       |
|         | Total               | 1581/2934 (53.89)            | 1-294                                |            |

Among all 28 collected snake species, only 5 species, namely *Naja kaouthia*, *Enhydris bocourtii*, *Elaphe mandarinus*, *E. schrenkii*, and *E. davidi*, showed no sparganum infection, indicating that these snake species were probably insensitive to sparganum infection (Table 2). The highest infection rate was found in *Zaocys dhumnades* (94.24%, 393/417), followed by *Dinodon rufozonatum* (86.89%, 126/145) and *Agristrodax saxatilis* (85%, 51/60). Interestingly, the highest infection intensity of sparganum was also found in the *Z. dhumnades* with infection intensity of 1-294 spargana per snake, followed by *D. rufozonatum*, with an infection intensity of 1-291 spargana per snake; *Ptyas mucosus*, with an infection intensity of 1-208 spargana per snake; and *Naja atra*, with an infection intensity of 1-208 spargana per snake.
Table 2. Prevalence of sparganum infection in different snake species.

| Species                  | No. Infected/No. Examined | Prevalence (%) | Infection Intensity (Spargana/Snake) |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|
| Deinagkistrodon acutus   | 5/11                     | 45.45          | 1-34                                 |
| Bungarus multicinctus    | 6/49                     | 12.24          | 1-26                                 |
| Bungarus fasciatus       | 1/10                     | 10.00          | 1-1                                  |
| Naja atra                | 46/184                   | 25.00          | 1-208                                |
| Naja naja                | 9/16                     | 56.25          | 1-28                                 |
| Naja kaouthia            | 0/7                      | 0              | N/a                                  |
| Dinodon radzonatum      | 126/145                  | 86.89          | 1-291                                |
| Elaphe carinata          | 170/216                  | 78.70          | 1-172                                |
| Elaphe taeniura          | 70/150                   | 46.67          | 1-121                                |
| Coelognathus radiatus    | 3/15                     | 20.00          | 1-4                                  |
| Enhydris bocourti        | 0/9                      | 0              | N/a                                  |
| Enhydris chinensis       | 3/35                     | 8.57           | N/a                                  |
| Enhydris plumbea         | 11/95                    | 11.58          | 1-28                                 |
| Ptyas korros            | 47/127                   | 37.01          | 1-65                                 |
| Ptyas mucosus           | 67/150                   | 44.67          | 1-208                                |
| Xenochrophis flavipunctatus | 86/132                  | 65.15          | 1-133                                |
| Zaocys dhumnades         | 393/417                  | 94.24          | 1-294                                |
| Sinonatrix annularis     | 11/38                    | 28.95          | 1-14                                 |
| Cryptelytrops albolabris | 3/5                     | 60.00          | 1-8                                  |
| Natrix natrix Linnaeus   | 5/65                     | 7.69           | 1-14                                 |
| Elaphe mandarinus        | 0/2                      | 0              | 1-26                                 |
| Gloydius brevicaudus     | 10/12                    | 83.33          | 1-26                                 |
| Rhhabdophis tigrinus     | 132/162                  | 81.48          | 1-28                                 |
| Elaphe schrenkii         | 0/7                      | 0              | N/a                                  |
| Elaphe davidii           | 0/2                      | 0              | N/a                                  |
| Agkistrodon saxatilis    | 51/60                    | 85.00          | N/a                                  |
| Dendrelaphis pictus      | 192/378                  | 50.79          | 1-111                                |
| Gloydius blomhoffi       | 134/435                  | 30.80          | 1-100                                |
| siniticus                |                          |                |                                      |
| Total                    | 1581/2934                | 53.89          | 1-294                                |

3.2. Genetic Diversity and Phylogenetic Pattern

All amplifications for 67 sparganum isolates were successful, with 1110-bp PCR products for cyt b and 1566-bp products for cox1. These sequences detected 119 polymorphic sites (61 for cyt b and 58 for cox1), with 83 parsimony-informative sites (39 for cyt b and 44 for cox1) and 36 singleton sites (22 for cyt b and 14 for cox1). The concatenated sequences identified 48 haplotypes within the 67 isolates, originating from 15 localities. Both individual and combined sequences for cox1 and cyt b had high Hd, accompanied by low Pi (Table 3), which is consistent with previous analyses of sparganum isolates from frogs from different locations in China and isolates from different hosts in Poland [13,15].

Table 3. Genetic diversity indices of cox1 and cyt b genes in Spiromerta isolates from Hunan province, China, including sampling size (SS), number (n) of haplotypes, haplotype diversity (Hd), and nucleotide diversity (Pi).

| mtDNA Gene | SS | n Haplotypes | Hd  | Pi    |
|------------|----|--------------|-----|-------|
| cox1       | 67 | 30           | 0.899 | 0.0105 |
| cyt b      | 67 | 26           | 0.888 | 0.0117 |
| cox1 + cyt b | 67 | 48           | 0.979 | 0.0110 |

Analysis of molecular variance indicated that most of the observed genetic variation occurred within the 14 endemic populations (70.57%), whereas the difference among the populations contributed 24.96% to the total population (Table 4). The pairwise fixation
index (FST) values between specified regions were estimated to measure the population differentiation (Table 5). Across all estimated 91 pairwise FST values, only 22 exhibited statistical significance. Within these 22 statistically significant FST values, most FST values between CS and other endemic regions were above 0.25. These findings indicate high genetic differences between isolates from CS and isolates from other geographical regions in Hunan province. One reason for this observation might be that samples from CS were isolated from four different host species (Z. dhumnades, Panthera tigris, Prionailurus bengalensis, and Felis silvestris, Cat).

Table 4. Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) based on mtDNA sequences of the populations of *Spirometra* isolates.

| Source of Variation | d.f | Sum of Squares | Variance Components | Percentage of Variation | Fixation Index (FST) | p-Value |
|---------------------|-----|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Among populations   | 13  | 373.415        | 3.755210            | 24.96                  | 0.24961              | 0.0000  |
| Within populations  | 53  | 598.317        | 11.28899            | 75.04                  |                      |         |
| Total               | 66  | 971.731        | 15.04420            |                        |                      |         |

Table 5. Estimates of pairwise FST of concatenated sequences between sparganum populations.

| Sample | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
|--------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 1 YiY  | 0.00 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 2 CD   | −0.19 | 0.00 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 3 YZ   | −0.04 | −0.03 | 0.00 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 4 HY   | 0.24 | 0.27 * | 0.29 * | 0.00 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 5 XT   | 0.17 * | 0.17 | −0.03 | 0.20 | 0.00 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 6 SY   | 0.32 | 0.35 | 0.28 | −0.00 | 0.14 | 0.00 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 7 ZZ   | 0.43 * | 0.47 * | 0.43 * | 0.07 | 0.32 * | −0.17 | 0.00 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 8 CS   | 0.37 * | 0.39 | 0.35 | 0.11 | 0.21 | −0.13 | −0.07 * | 0.00 |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 9 LD   | 0.16 * | 0.27 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.32 | 0.43 * | 0.40 * | 0.00 |    |    |    |    |    |
| 10 CZ  | −0.10 | −0.04 | −0.08 | 0.31 | 0.11 | 0.36 | 0.51 | 0.41 * | 0.31 | 0.00 |    |    |    |    |
| 11 HH  | −0.24 | −0.13 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.29 | 0.29 * | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0.00 |    |    |    |
| 12 ZJJ | 0.30 * | 0.33 | 0.17 | −0.01 | −0.08 | −0.21 | −0.05 | −0.11 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.17 | 0.00 |    |    |
| 13 YuY | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.36 * | 0.18 | 0.42 * | 0.54 * | 0.44 * | 0.26 * | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.40 | 0.00 |    |
| 14 XX  | −0.04 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.12 | 0.36 | 0.49 * | 0.41 * | 0.27 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.31 | 0.03 | 0.00 |

Significance of $\chi^2$: * $p$-value < 0.05.

Analysis of the concatenated sequences showed no distinct genetic structure across the sampled *Spirometra* populations in Hunan province. In the median-joining network, all 67 sequences united in a star-like shape (Figure 2). Although the haplotypes were high (48 haplotypes), no segregation was detected by population, region, or host species. Haplotype 26 was the most prominent, represented by eight samples from two regions (CS and ZZ) and isolated from all five host species. Neutrality tests of Tajima’s D and Fu’s FS for the whole Hunan dataset showed a significant negative value of Fu’s FS (FS = −7.7694, $p = 0.043$) but a non-significant positive value of Tajima’s D (D = 0.6439, $p = 0.798$). Mismatch distribution analyses revealed multimodal frequency distributions, which did not support a demographic expansion of *Spirometra* populations in Hunan province (Figure 3). In addition, low values of the sum of squared deviation and RI under the demographic expansion model were found. The results of Bayesian skyline plot analyses also rejected sudden population expansion.
In this study, we collected 67 sparganum isolates from 15 geographical locations in Hunan province to explore the phylogenetic diversity of Spirometra isolates and compare the genetic variance between the isolates collected in frogs from other geographical locations. The basic identical tree topologies were generated through phylogenetic inference based on ML and MP methods. The phylogenetic pattern based on the MP analysis is shown in Figure 2.

**Figure 2.** Median-joining network of 67 sequences of cyt b and cox 1 genes in sparganum isolates colored by sampling sites (a) and host species (b) in Hunan province, China. The area of circles represents the number of individuals with that haplotype. Perpendicular short lines on the branches indicate unsampled intermediate haplotypes.

3.3. **Phylogenetic Pattern**

In this study, we collected 67 sparganum isolates from 15 geographical locations in Hunan province to explore the phylogenetic diversity of Spirometra isolates and compare the genetic variance between the isolates collected in frogs from other geographical locations. The basic identical tree topologies were generated through phylogenetic inference based on ML and MP methods. The phylogenetic pattern based on the MP analysis is shown in Figure 2.
Figure 4. All collected isolates were grouped into two distinct clades (Clade 1 and Clade 2) with high support values (bootstrap values = 100). Clade 1 and Clade 2 were isolates from Poland and China, respectively. However, no clear phylogenetic structure was found within both clades. Within Chinese isolates (Clade 2), although several isolates in snakes and frogs were grouped to form subclades; the support values of these subclades were too low to confirm the phylogenetic patterns, indicating that the Chinese isolates should be considered a single species.

Figure 4. Phylogenetic relationships among the examined sparganum isolates from different locations in China and Poland were inferred by maximum parsimony (MP) analysis based on the concatenated sequences of cyt b and cox1. The numbers along branches indicate bootstrap values, and bootstrap values above 60 are shown. Circled numbers represent the main clades discussed in the text.
4. Discussion

China is home to the most sparganosis, accounting for 80% of the worldwide population. Sparganosis infection generally occurs in humans as a result of eating raw or undercooked frogs and snakes with sparganum [3]. In this study, we conducted a large-scale survey of sparganum infection in wild snakes from 14 geographical locations in Hunan province to understand the prevalence of Spirometra tapeworms in wild snakes. Our results showed that the average prevalence of sparganum infection in snakes in Hunan province was higher than that in Guangdong, Fujian, Guangxi, Guizhou, Jinlin, and Hebei and lower than that in Zhejiang and Shanghai. Unsurprisingly, snake infection rates are higher than frog infection rates in Hunan province (20.20%, 59/292) [12]. As a paratenic host in the life cycle of Spirometra tapeworms, snakes can enrich sparganum by preying on other infected animals, such as frogs. The prevalence of Spirometra tapeworms in snakes worldwide is generally high, suggesting that sparganum infection in snakes may be an important mode of transmission. A total of 28 species of snakes were surveyed, and Z. dhumnades showed the highest infection rate and intensity, which might be because Z. dhumnades is a large species and can therefore be parasitized by more sparganum. In the south and southwest regions of China, snakes are considered a delicacy. Notably, this study showed that the infection rate of Z. dhumnades and E. taeniura, the main edible snakes in China, is high.

The distinct genetic separation of the Polish and Chinese populations of Spirometra isolates was identified by Kołodziej-Sobicinska, who suggested that Polish and Chinese should be two species [15]. Using a global full-length DNA sequence dataset of cox1, Kuchta performed a comprehensive phylogenetic analysis of Spirometra tapeworms [14] and suggested that there are at least six distinct lineages of the genus:

1. S. mansoni lineage (corresponding to most of the isolates from Asia, Australia, Romania, and a single sequence from Tanzania);
2. Spirometra sp. 1 lineage (corresponding to a few specimens from Korea and Japan);
3. Spirometra folium lineage (corresponding to most specimens from Africa, such as Sudan and Ethiopia and the remaining specimens from Tanzania);
4. S. erinaceieuropaei lineage (corresponding to the majority of European specimens);
5. S. decipiens complex 1 lineage; and
6. S. decipiens complex 2 lineages.

Yamasaki re-examined Spirometra samples from Asia based on cox1 DNA sequence data and suggested two distinct Spirometra species [35]. Type I is genetically diverse and widely distributed; however, Type II has only been found in Japan and Korea. In this study, phylogenetic analysis supported the division of Polish and Chinese Spirometra isolates, which supports the findings of Kołodziej-Sobicinska et al. and Kuchta et al. Regarding Chinese Spirometra isolates, Zhang explored the genetic diversity of sparganum isolates in frogs from eastern, central, southern, and southwest China [36]. They found that the Chinese Spirometra population probably comprises two subgroups. However, in this study, we found no distinct genetic structure of cyt b and cox1 genes among Spirometra populations in snakes from Hunan province. One possible reason could be that the geographic distances between populations studied here and the sampling size were relatively small. In addition, the results also show that all isolates in snakes and frogs belong to one species, which provides support for a single species in Chinese Spirometra isolates. Until now, definitive morphological criteria for distinguishing Spirometra species, including sparganum and adult worms, have not been established [35]. Therefore, the taxonomy of Spirometra and their phylogenetic relationships remain ambiguous, and more morphological and molecular studies are warranted to clarify the systematics of the genus.

5. Conclusions

The survey results showed that sparganum infection rates in wild snakes in Asian countries were higher than in Europe. Most snake species (82.14%, 23/28) were sensitive to sparganum infection, and the most frequently infected species was Z. dhumnades, followed
by *D. rufozonatum* and *A. saxatilis*. The sparganum infection rates in wild snakes in several regions of China were still high, especially in Zhejiang, Shanghai, and Hunan. Genetic diversity analysis based on *cytb* and *cox1* genes revealed no distinct genetic structure among *Spirometra* populations in Hunan province. Phylogenetic analysis supported the division of European and Chinese *Spirometra* isolates and a single species in Chinese *Spirometra* isolates.
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