Musashi1 expression cells derived from mouse embryonic stem cells can be enriched in side population isolated by fluorescence activated cell sorter
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Abstract

Background: Purifying stem cells is an inevitable process for further investigation and cell-therapy. Sorting side population (SP) cells is generally regarded as an effective method to enrich for progenitor cells. This study was to explore whether sorting SP could enrich for the Musashi1 (Msi1) positive cells from Msi1 high expression cells (Msi1high cells) derived from mouse embryonic stem cells (ESCs) in vitro.

Results: In this study, Msi1high cell population derived from ESCs were stained by Hoechst 33342, and then the SP and non-SP (NSP) fractions were analyzed and sorted by fluorescence activated cell sorter. Subsequently, the expressions of Msi1 and other markers for neural and intestinal stem cells in SP and NSP were respectively detected. SP and NSP cells were hypodermically engrafted into the backs of NOD/SCID mice to form grafts. The developments of neural and intestinal epithelial cells in these grafts were investigated. SP fraction was identified and isolated from Msi1high cell population. The expression of Msi1 in SP fraction was significantly higher than that in NSP fraction and unsorted Msi1high cells (P < 0.05). Furthermore, the markers for neural cells and intestinal epithelial cells were more highly expressed in the grafts from SP fraction than those from NSP fraction (P < 0.05).

Conclusions: SP fraction, isolated from Msi1high cells, contains almost all the Msi1-positive cells and has the potential to differentiate into neural and intestinal epithelial cells in vivo. Sorting SP fraction could be a convenient and practical method to enrich for Msi1-positive cells from the differentiated cell population derived from ESCs.

Background

Embryonic stem cells (ESCs) are pluripotent cells derived from the inner cell mass of the mammalian blastocyst with self-renewal capacity and multi-developmental plasticity, which makes ESCs a powerful tool for cell-based therapy [1,2]. Several lines of evidence confirmed that under appropriate conditions, ESCs could be induced to differentiate into pancreatic beta-cells, liver cells, myocardial cells, hematopoietic cells, and neural stem cells [3-9]. However, these strategies generally produced the desired cells only within heterogeneous cell populations, including pluripotent stem cells and undesired ESC derivatives. The transplantation of the cell population into tissues is inevitably associated with formation of teratomas, impeding the application of ESC-based therapies in clinic [10-12]. Recent studies revealed that the formation of teratomas was not observed after transplanting purified progenitor cells derived from ESCs [13-17]. These findings indicated that differentiating and purifying ESC-derived cells in vitro could be a strategy that renders ESCs safe and effective in clinic. It has been reported that mouse ESCs had the potential to differentiate into a gut-like structure and neural cells in vitro [18,19]. Musashi1 (Msi1), expressed in the cytoplasm and nucleus of cells, is an important marker for intestinal epithelial stem cells (IESCs) and neural stem cells (NSCs) [20-22]. In our recent study, we had...
found that Msi1 and hairy and enhancer of split 1 (Hes1) high-expression cells (Msi1\textsuperscript{high}Hes1\textsuperscript{high} cells) derived from mouse ESCs could develop into small intestinal epithelial cells, which enhanced the repair of small intestinal injury in vivo [25]. Furthermore, to purify the Msi1-positive cell, we constructed a GFP reporter plasmid vector driven by Msi1-specific promoter (pMsi1-GFP vector) [24]. Although the pMsi1-GFP vector can be used to mark Msi1-positive cells from a cell population, the isolation process is quite complicated and depends on a cell transfection technique, which restricts its application. Therefore, a more convenient and practical separation strategy should be established for the further investigation of Msi1-positive cells.

Side population (SP) analysis, a widely used flow cytometry assay, based on the ability of cells to efflux fluorescent DNA-binding dye Hoechst 33342, can identify stem cells in tissues, and is a method which opens up the potential to further enrich stem cells within heterogeneous populations [25]. SP was first identified and sorted from bone marrow by fluorescence activated cell sorter (FACS) as a distinct cell population highly enriched for hematopoietic stem cells and endowed with long-term repopulating capacity [26]. Since this discovery, an increasing number of studies have shown that an analogous SP fraction has been identified in a variety of tissues with high levels of stem-like gene expression and multipotent differentiation potential [27-35]. The use of SP analysis was also described to isolate a putative stem cell population from mouse small intestine, and Msi1 was highly expressed in the SP fraction [36]. This suggested that SP sorting could be an effective method to enrich for progenitor cells, especially in the absence of definitive cell-surface marker.

In this study, our objective was to establish a practical process to enrich for the Msi1 positive cells from Msi1 high-expression cell (Msi1\textsuperscript{high} cell) population derived from mouse ESCs in vitro.

**Methods**

**Maintenance of mouse ESCs and embryonic bodies (EBs) formation**

The mouse ESC line, ES-E14TG2a (40, XY) was maintained without feeder cells in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM; high glucose; Gibco BRL, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS; Hyclone, USA), 10 mM HEPES (GIBCO BRL, USA), 0.12% sodium bicarbonate, 0.1 mM nonessential amino acids (HyClone, USA), 0.1 mM 2-mercaptoethanol (2ME; Gibco BRL, USA), 100 U/mL penicillin G, 100 μg/mL streptomycin, and 1000 U/mL leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF; Chemicon, USA). Subsequently, ESCs were cultured by the hanging-drop method (32 μl per drop) to form EBs at a concentration of 1 × 10\(^6\) cells/ml in EB medium that consisted of high glucose DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS, 10 mM HEPES, 0.12% sodium bicarbonate, 0.1 mM nonessential amino acids, 0.1 mM 2ME, 100 U/mL penicillin G, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin. ESC and EB cultures were maintained in a humidified chamber in a 5% CO\textsubscript{2}-air mixture at 37°C.

**Differentiation of Msi1\textsuperscript{high} cells**

Five-day EB cells were dissociated with trypsin (0.25%)/EDTA and seeded on 6-well culture plates (Nunc, USA) with a concentration of 1 × 10\(^5\) cells/well. Subsequently, the cultured EB cells were induced by a serum-free medium (EGF medium) that consisted of high glucose DMEM supplemented with 10% KnockOut™ serum replacement (KSR; Invitrogen Corporation, USA), 40 ng/mL EGF (Chemicon International, USA), 10 mM HEPES, 0.12% sodium bicarbonate, 0.1 mM nonessential amino acids, 0.1 mM 2ME, 100 U/mL penicillin G, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin. The dissociated EB cells synchronously cultured in serum-free control medium without EGF (SF medium) and DMEM medium with 10% FCS (FCS medium) were treated as control groups.

**Real-time quantitative RT-PCR**

Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol® Reagent (Invitrogen Corporation, USA). The concentration of isolated total RNA was calculated from the absorbance at 260 nm obtained using a UV-2450 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan). To generate cDNA, 1 μg of total RNA was reverse-transcribed using a ReverTra Ace-α-® kit (ToyoBio Bio-Technology, Japan). Real-time PCR was performed using a Real-time™ PCR Master Mix kit (Toyobo Bio-Technology, Japan) on a Rotor-Gene 6000 detector (Corbett Research, Mortlake, Australia) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The primers were designed (forward and reverse): mouse Msi1, 5’-TAG TTC GAG GGA CAG GCT CT-3’ and 5’-GTT GAG GGA CAG GCA GTA GC-3’; mouse Hes1, 5’-GGA GAG GCC AAC AAG TT-3’ and 5’-GCA AAT TGG CCG TCA GGA-3’; mouse leucine rich repeat containing G protein coupled receptor 5 (Lgr5), 5’-CAC CAG CTT ACC CCA TGA CT-3’ and 5’-CTG CTC TAA GCC ACC AC-3’; mouse achaete-scute complex homolog 2 (Ascl2), 5’-GGT GAC TCC TGG TGG ACC TA-3’ and 5’-TCC AGA TGA AAG ATG TC-3’; mouse Bmi1, 5’-TGT CCC GGA GCT TCA AAA AC CA-3’ and 5’-TGC AAC TTC TCC TCG TTC TT-3’; mouse Nestin, 5’-CCA GGA TTC TGG TGG AAG TT-3’ and 5’-AGA CCA CCA TGA TCA CAA AAC CA-3’; mouse SRY-box containing gene 2 (Sox2), 5’-AAG GGT TCT TGC TGG GTT TT-3’ and 5’-AGA CCA CCA AAA CGG TCT TG-3’; mouse 18S ribosomal RNA, 5’-GCT AGG AAT AAT GGA ATA GG-3’ and 5’-ACT TTC GTT CTT GAG GAA TG-3’.
Data were analyzed using the ΔΔCt method with 18S ribosomal RNA as the constitutive marker [37].

Immunocytochemistry for Msi1
The immunostaining for Msi1 was performed using an UltraSensitive™ SP kit (Maxim, Fuzhou, China). The fixed cells were treated with normal goat serum for 15 min at 37°C and then were incubated with rabbit anti-mouse Msi1 polyclonal antibody (CHEMICON International, USA) at a 1:200 dilution. Cells were incubated with biotin-conjugated secondary antibody for 20 min at 37°C, and streptavidin-alkaline phosphatase complex was applied for 15 min at 37°C after a wash with PBS. After a 15 min PBS wash, the sections were subsequently incubated in 3,3′-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB; Boster, Wuhan, China) with 0.05% H2O2 for 5 minutes, and counterstained with hematoxylin for 12 seconds.

Analysis and sorting of SP fraction in Msi1high cells
SP analysis of the differentiated cells cultured in SF-EGF medium, FCS medium and SF medium was performed using the Hoechst 33342 staining method by FACS outlined by Goodell et al and Park et al [38,39]. The detected cells were resuspended in 0.1 M PBS containing 2% FCS at a density of 1×10⁶ cells/mL and incubated with 5 μg/mL Hoechst 33342 (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) for 90 minutes at 37°C. To determine the verapamil-sensitive SP cells, partial cells were preincubated with verapamil (5 μM) for 5 minutes before the addition of Hoechst 33342 dye. Immediately after staining, the cells were centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 5 minutes at 4°C and resuspended in ice-cold 0.1 M PBS containing 2% FCS to a concentration of 1×10⁶ cells/100 μL. After resuspending, propidium iodide (PI; Sigma-Aldrich, USA) was added at 2 μg/mL to gate out dead cells, and the cells were kept at 4°C until analysis and sorting. A 350 nm argon laser was used to excite Hoechst 33342 and PI. The cells were analyzed on a Beckman Coulter EPICS ALTRA cytosorter (Beckman Coulter, USA) at 405/30 nm (Hoechst blue) and 670/30 nm (Hoechst red) according to the method described previously by Goodell et al [38]. Cells were then displayed in a Hoechst Blue versus Hoechst Red dot plot to visualize the SP cells. The SP fraction was identified and selected by gating on the characteristic emission fluorescence profile of SP cells. Data were recorded using EXPO32 MultiCOMP v1.1C and analyzed using EXPO32 analysis v1.2B. Sorted SP and non-side population (NSP) fractions were recovered in 0.1 M PBS with 10% FCS for subsequent investigation.

RT-PCR analysis
Total RNA was extracted from tissues or cells using TRIzol® Reagent according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Total RNA (2 μg) was reverse-transcribed into first-strand cDNA with Oligo (dT)18 primers (TaKaRa Bio Inc., Tokyo, Japan) using a PrimeScript™ 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (TaKaRa Bio Inc., Tokyo, Japan). PCR was performed with TaKaRa Ex Taq HS (TaKaRa Bio Inc., Tokyo, Japan) in PCR buffer and 0.5 μM dNTPs. The PCR cycling conditions were as follows: 1 cycle of 94°C for 1 minute; 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 seconds, 59°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for 1 minute; and 1 cycle of 72°C for 10 minutes. β-actin was used as the invariant control.

The sequences of primers used in this study are as follows (forward and reverse): mouse β-actin, 5′-GTC CAC CTT CCA GCA GAT GT-3′ and 5′-CCT GGG CCA TTC AGA AAT TA-3′; mouse ATP-binding cassette transporter G2 (ABC2), 5′-TCG CAG AAG GAG ATG TGT TG-3′ and 5′-TTG GAT CCT TCT TTG CTT CT-3′; mouse Nestin, 5′-GAG AAG ACA GTG AGG CAG CAG ATG AGT TA-3′ and 5′-GCC TCT GTT CTC CAG CTT GCT-3′; mouse Tubulin β III, 5′-CTT CGG GCA GAT CCT CAG AC-3′ and 5′-AGT CAA CCA CCT CTG CTC CAC CT-3′; mouse sucrase-isomaltase (SI), 5′-GGG TCC AGC TTT TAT GGT GA-3′ and 5′-TAT GTG TTC TGT GCC GCT GC-3′; mouse fatty acid binding protein 2 (Fabp2), 5′-CAC AGC TGA GAT GAC TAT GGT ATT GA-3′ and 5′-AGT CAA CCA CCT CTG CTC CAC CT-3′; mouse glycogen phosphorylase (Glyko BandScan 5.0). The data were analyzed using relative intensity with β-actin as the constitutive marker.

Western blots analysis
The samples for Western blots analysis were sorted SP and NSP cells by FACS. All cells were incubated in RIPA lysis buffer: 50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 2 mM EDTA, and protease inhibitors (pH 7.4). Total protein in the supernatant of the cell lysate was measured by BCA Protein Assay Kit (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Haimen, China). Protein (40 μg per sample) was separated by SDS-PAGE with a 12% polyacrylamide gel. The protein was transferred electrophoretically onto a PVDF membrane and incubated primary antibodies diluted in blocking buffer (5% milk powder, 0.1% Tween 20 in TBS) as follows: rabbit anti-mouse Msi1 antibody (1:500, CHEMICON International, USA) and rabbit anti-mouse β-actin antibody (1:1000, Cell Signaling Technology, Haimen, China). Protein (40 μg per sample) was separated by SDS-PAGE with a 12% polyacrylamide gel. The protein was transferred electrophoretically onto a PVDF membrane and incubated primary antibodies diluted in blocking buffer (5% milk powder, 0.1% Tween 20 in TBS) as follows: rabbit anti-mouse Msi1 antibody (1:500, CHEMICON International, USA) and rabbit anti-mouse β-actin antibody (1:1000, Cell Signaling Technology, Haimen, China). Protein (40 μg per sample) was separated by SDS-PAGE with a 12% polyacrylamide gel. The protein was transferred electrophoretically onto a PVDF membrane and incubated primary antibodies diluted in blocking buffer (5% milk powder, 0.1% Tween 20 in TBS) as follows: rabbit anti-mouse Msi1 antibody (1:500, CHEMICON International, USA) and rabbit anti-mouse β-actin antibody (1:1000, Cell Signaling Technology, Haimen, China). Protein (40 μg per sample) was separated by SDS-PAGE with a 12% polyacrylamide gel. The protein was transferred electrophoretically onto a PVDF membrane and incubated primary antibodies diluted in blocking buffer (5% milk powder, 0.1% Tween 20 in TBS) as follows: rabbit anti-mouse Msi1 antibody (1:500, CHEMICON International, USA) and rabbit anti-mouse β-actin antibody (1:1000, Cell Signaling Technology, Haimen, China).
Technology, MA, USA). Secondary antibodies were horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit antibody (1:2000). Msi1 and β-actin protein were detected by ECL chemiluminescence system. The integrated intensity for the protein bands was determined by scanning densitometry and analyzed by Glyko BandScan 5.0. The data were analyzed using relative intensity with β-actin as the constitutive marker.

Grafts
The suspended SP and NSP cells sorted by FACS (1.5 × 10^6 per administration) were hypodermically engrafted into the backs of NOD/SCID mice (Laboratory Animal Center of Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China). All experimental procedures involving mice in this study were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the second affiliated hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University. When the hypodermic grafts were generated, the mice were sacrificed and the grafts were investigated by histological, immunohistochemical, and RT-PCR analysis.

Immunohistochemistry
The grafts were removed from NOD/SCID mice and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde overnight at 4°C, embedded in paraffin, and cut at a thickness of 6 μm. The sections were placed in 0.01 M citrate buffer (pH 6.0) and treated in the microwave oven for 10 min to facilitate antigen retrieval. Following treatment with 3% 
H_2O_2, sections were placed in methanol for 10 min to quench endogenous peroxidase activity, and the immunostaining was performed using an UltraSensitive™ S-P kit (Maxin, Fuzhou, China). All sections were incubated with normal goat serum for 10 min at room temperature, and then were incubated overnight at 4°C with rabbit anti-mouse Tubulin β III (2.5 mg/mL in PBS; Epitomics, USA) and rabbit anti-mouse Fabp2 (5 mg/mL in PBS; Abcam, Cambridge, UK), respectively. Subsequently, the sections were incubated with biotin-conjugated secondary antibody for 12 min at room temperature. After a PBS wash, a streptavidin-peroxidase complex was applied for 10 min at room temperature. After a 15 min PBS wash, the sections were subsequently incubated in DAB (Boster, Wuhan, China) with 0.05% H_2O_2 for 6 minutes, and counterstained with hematoxylin for 30 seconds.

Statistical analysis
All analyses were performed with a statistical software package (SAS 8 for Windows; SAS Institute; Cary, NC, USA). All data in this experiment were presented as the mean ± standard error (SE). Data were evaluated by one-way ANOVA in which multiple comparisons were performed by using the method of least significant difference. Differences were considered significant if the probability of the difference occurring by chance was less than 5 in 100 (P < 0.05).

Results
Differentiation of Msi1^high cells in vitro
ESCs were cultured by the hanging-drop method in EB medium to attain EBs in vitro. On the fifth day of EBs formation, the dissociated EB cells were adhesively cultured in EGF medium to induce the differentiation of Msi1^high cells.

Real-time quantitative RT-PCR analysis suggested that Msi1 was expressed at a low level in ESCs and in 5-day EBs (Figure 1A). During the induction stage of Msi1^high cells, the mRNA expression of Msi1 showed an increasing trend. In the 5-day induction stage under EGF administration, Msi1 mRNA was 66.29 ± 8.38-fold greater compared with ESCs, and was significantly higher than that in the other two control groups (SF and FCS groups; Figure 1A; P < 0.05).

Immunocytochemistry results revealed that a portion of cells in 5-day induction stage were immunostained for Msi1 (Figure 1B). In the EGF group, the positive cells (Msi1^+ cells) were mainly detected in the middle of cell clones. The percentages of Msi1^+ cells in EGF, SF, and FCS groups were 21.1% ± 3.11%, 5.93% ± 1.75%, and 6.3% ± 2.17%, respectively (Figure 1C). The percentage of Msi1^+ cells in the EGF group was significantly higher than that in two control groups (Figure 1C, P < 0.05). These results indicated that 5-day administration of EGF (40 ng/mL) could induce the differentiation of Msi1^high cells in vitro.

SP and NSP portions can be detected in induction stage population
To enrich for the Msi1 positive cells, the Msi1^high cells were stained with Hoechst 33342. Subsequently, the SP fraction was investigated by FACS. The results revealed that a portion of the cells in the Msi1^high cell population and control groups were stained weakly (Figure 2A). Subsequently, prepared cells were analyzed by FACS and the typical results of dual-wavelength FACS of viable cells based on the Hoechst fluorescence are shown in Figure 2B. As can be seen in Figure 2B (left row), a distinct SP and NSP were presented in cells from all three groups. The percentage of SP in the induction stage cells after 5 days of culture was 19.97% ± 3.76% for EGF (Msi1^high cells), 9.33% ± 2.71% for FS, and 5.3% ± 0.5% for FCS medium (Figure 2C). Based on statistical analysis, the SP percentage in Msi1^high cells was significantly higher than that in SF (P = 0.016 compared with EGF group) and FCS control groups (P = 0.003 compared with EGF group). Although the average
percentage of SP in SF group was somewhat higher than that of FCS cell population, there was no statistically difference between them ($P = 0.064$).

Previous reports describing SP fractions from liver, mammary gland, and lung have revealed that the SP phenotype is dependent on efflux of Hoechst 33342 by multidrug resistance-like pumps, such as ABCG2/BCRP1 [32,33,40,41]. To investigate whether or not the SP from differentiated populations derived from ESCs was caused by analogous efflux of Hoechst 33342, we treated the detected cell preparations with 50 μM verapamil to inhibit members of the multi-drug resistance family. This treatment resulted in a 35.9% ± 6.8% reduction of cells sorting to SP position in EGF group (Msi1-high cells), and the reduction percentage in SF and FCS control groups were 49.8% ± 5.9% and 46.5% ± 2.7%, respectively (Figure 2B, right row). The percentage of SP reduced by verapamil administration in Msi1-high cells was significantly higher than that of other two control groups (Figure 2C, $P < 0.05$).

**Msi1 positive cells can be enriched in SP fraction from Msi1-high cell population**

To explore the hypothesis that Msi1 positive cells can be enriched in SP fraction from Msi1-high cells, the SP and NSP cells were synchronously isolated from Msi1-high cell population under a condition without verapamil (Figure 3A).

Subsequently, as a gene marker for IESCs and NSCs, the expression of Msi1 was respectively detected in SP and NSP fractions on mRNA and protein levels. The results of quantitative RT-PCR revealed that the relative

---

**Figure 1** Specification of Msi1-high cells in vitro derived from mouse ESCs. (A): Relative abundance of mRNA of Msi1 in 5-day EBs (EB, red bar) and induction stage cells at 5-day in EGF medium (EGF, solid bar), SF medium (SF, gray bar), and FCS medium (FCS, blank bar) compared with ESCs (ESC, blue bar). Bars show mean ± SE (n = 3) measured by real-time RT-PCR. The red bar indicates that its abundance is 1.0 on these two scales. (*$P < 0.05$ compared with all other samples) (B): The immunostaining for Msi1 by immunocytochemistry was detected in partial cells at the 5-day differentiation cultured in EGF, SF, and FCS medium. (arrowheads; Bar indicates 20 μm in this panel) (C): Percentage of Msi1+ cells at the 5-day differentiation cultured in EGF, SF, and FCS medium. (Bar shows mean ± SE in this panel; n = 3 individual experiments; *$P < 0.05$ compared with all other samples).
Msi1 mRNA abundance in SP and NSP was 6.34 ± 1.58-fold and 0.05 ± 0.02-fold greater compared with unsorted Msi1high cells (Figure 3B). Western blot analysis was used to compare band intensities of Msi1 with β-actin as a constitutive marker. Msi1 protein was detected in SP and NSP fractions (Figure 3C). Integrated intensity of Msi1 protein in SP fraction was 0.329 ± 0.091, and the Msi1 expression band was not detected in NSP fraction (Figure 3D). The expression of Msi1 in SP fraction was significantly higher than that in NSP fraction and unsorted Msi1high cells (P < 0.05). Taken together these results indicated that sorting SP fraction by FACS could be a valuable method to isolate Msi1+ cells.

### Expressions of other marker genes for IESCs and NSCs

The expressions of other marker genes for IESCs (Lgr5, Hes1, Bmi1, and Ascl2) and NSCs (Sox2 and Nestin) in SP and NSP fractions were further investigated [42-46]. The results of quantitative RT-PCR revealed that the relative mRNA abundance compared with unsorted Msi1high cells in SP and NSP fractions were 4.42 ± 1.38-fold and 0.25 ± 0.06-fold greater for Lgr5 (Figure 4A); 26.05 ± 3.08 folds and 0.09 ± 0.02-fold for Hes1 (Figure 4B); 1.98 ± 0.68-fold and 0.52 ± 0.17-fold greater for Bmi1 (Figure 4C); 1.34 ± 0.58-fold and 0.92 ± 0.32-fold greater for Ascl2 (Figure 4D); 1.21 ± 0.38-fold and 0.89 ± 0.22-fold greater for Sox2 (Figure 4E); and 10.62 ± 2.49-fold and 0.22 ± 0.05-fold greater for Nestin (Figure 4F).
Subsequently, total RNA was extracted from SP, NSP, and unsorted Msi1high cells, and analyzed by RT-PCR for ABCG2 (Figure 5A). The relative abundance of ABCG2 mRNA expression was 0.3093 ± 0.0579 in Msi1high cells, 0.2844 ± 0.0726 in SP group, and 0.3397 ± 0.0938 in NSP group (Figure 5B). There was no statistical difference among these three groups in ABCG2 expression. These results indicated that a similar expression of ABCG2, a multidrug resistance-like pump, was detected in SP and NSP groups. The statistical analysis revealed that the mRNA relative abundance was 0.681 ± 0.108 for Nestin, 0.703 ± 0.112 for Tubulin β III, 0.357 ± 0.061 for SI, 0.634 ± 0.091 for Fabp2, 0.077 ± 0.017 for Tff3, 0.218 ± 0.034 for Lyz1, and 0.068 ± 0.016 for ChgA in SP grafts (Figure 6D, E). The mRNA abundance in NSP grafts was 0.101 ± 0.027 for Lyz1 and 0.072 ± 0.017 for ChgA (Figure 6E). The expressions of Nestin, Tubulin β III, SI, Fabp2, and Tff3 were not detected in NSP grafts. The statistical analysis revealed that the mRNA expressions of Nestin, Tubulin β III, SI, Fabp2, Tff3, and Lyz1 in SP grafts were significantly higher than that in NSP grafts (P < 0.05). These data indicated that more neural tissues and small intestinal epithelial cells were developed in the grafts from SP cells.

To further characterize the developed potential of SP cells from Msi1high cells, grafts were immunohistochemically stained with Tubulin β III and Fabp2 to detect the differentiation of neural and small intestinal epithelial tissues in vivo. The results showed that the Tubulin β III positive cells were located in some of non-specific structures and nest-like structures (Figure 6F). The results revealed that more Fabp2 positive cells were detected in the grafts from SP cells than in grafts from NSP cells (Figure 6F). Fabp2 is a marker protein for intestinal absorptive cells. The results revealed that more Fabp2 positive cells were detected in the grafts from SP cells (Figure 6F). Partial Fabp2 positive cells formed sack-like structures. These special structures, mostly constructed of monolayer cells, were similar to the intestinal crypt structure of fetal mice (Figure 6F). These results
indicated that SP cells sorted from Msi1 high cells had similar differentiated potentials with Msi1 positive cells, which could develop into neural and intestinal epithelial tissues in vivo [24].

**Discussion**

The therapeutic potential of ESC-derived stem cells has been hindered by the formation of teratomas. Purifying ESC-derived stem cells is a potential approach to overcome this barrier. SP cells are identified and isolated in many different tissues, tumors, and cell lines, and are generally accepted as a unique character for stem cells [49]. The percentages of SP cells derived from ESCs range from 1% to 16% of total viable cells, depending on the stage of ESC development [50]. In the current study, SP cells were identified and sorted in the induction stage cells from mouse ESCs cultured in EGF, SF, and FCS medium, and the SP percentage in EGF group was significantly higher than that in control groups. Consistent with our previous findings showing that EGF can induce the differentiation of ESCs into Msi1 high cells and increase the percentage of Msi1 positive cells, 5-day EGF administration enhanced the percentage of SP cells (Figure 2C), suggesting that the SP fraction probably contains a large proportion of stem or progenitor cells derived from ESCs, including the Msi1 positive cells [23].

Until recently, it was impossible to isolate IESCs and NSCs based on identifying any single marker expressed on the cell surface. As a protein in the cytoplasm and nucleus required for asymmetric cell division, Msi1 is expressed in NSCs as well as in IESCs [51]. In our previous study, the Msi1-positive cells sorted from ESC-derived cells after a pMsi1-GFP vector transfection had the potential to differentiate into neural and intestinal epithelial cells in vivo [24]. However, the isolation process is complicated and completely depends on cell transfection, which restricts its application. It was reported by Dekaney et al that the SP fraction sorted from mouse jejunum had the stem-like characters and highly expressed Msi1 [36]. SP cells sorted from colon also expressed Msi1, β-integrin, and CD133. Consist with these previous studies, our results demonstrated that SP fraction contained almost all Msi1-positive cells (Figure 3B, D), indicating that sorting SP fraction by FACS, which is regarded as an effective and convenient
method to enrich for stem or progenitor cells, could be a reliable method to enrich for Msi1-positive cells from the differentiated cell population derived from ESCs.

Zhou et al found that ABCG2, a subtype member of the ATP binding cassette (ABC) transporter, is a molecular determinant of the SP phenotype in mouse bone marrow [52,53]. Furthermore, ABCG2 expression was also identified in SP cells sorted from other tissues, such as skeletal muscle, liver, mammary gland, lung, and skin [27,30,32,33,41]. These studies demonstrated that ABCG2 plays an important role in the SP phenotype. However, it had become clear that the expression of ABCG2 was not detected in all SP cells. The NSP cells sorted from mouse ESCs expressed Bcrp1 at a level equivalent to that from the SP fraction [53]. It was reported by Alt et al that ABCG2 expression was not detected in the SP cells from human umbilical cord blood [54]. This discrepancy was also reported in mammary gland cells and haemopoietic cells [55,56]. In our study, the SP phenotype is partially caused by ABCG2 activity, as evidenced by the marked reduction in SP cells with the administration of verapamil, an inhibitor of the ABCG2, which can block the formation of the SP fraction. The results showed that a similar expression of ABCG2 between SP and NSP fraction sorted from Msi1high cells was detected, indicating that ABCG2 expression is not sufficient to confer the SP phenotype (Figure 5A, B). Because there is a significant overlap in the substrate specificity of ABC transporters, with each of the commonly studied family members ABCG2, MDR1, and MRP1 being capable of effluxing Hoechst 33342 dye, the mechanism contributing to SP phenotype sorted from Msi1high cells should be further investigated [55,56].

Msi1, a marker for NSC and IESC, plays key roles in the maintenance of the stem cell state and its differentiation, which had been shown by several scholars and us [20-22,57]. The Msi1 protein can also be found in tissues from patients with endometriosis and endometrial carcinoma, photoreceptor cells, retinal stem cells, and the hair follicle stem cell niche [58,59]. To confirm that the SP cells sorted from Msi1high cells had the phenotype of NSC and IESC, the expressions of other markers for IESCs (Lgr5, Hes1, Bmi1, and Ascl2) and NSCs (Sox2 and Nestin) in SP and NSP fractions were detected, respectively (Figure 4) [42-46]. The results revealed that sorting SP fraction could enrich for Lgr5, Hes1, Bmi1, and Nestin high expression cells, further indicating that SP cells could have the developed potentials of IESCs and NSCs. Recent studies showed that IESCs could be grouped into two different phenotypes [22]. One group presented with Msi1 and Hes1 expression resides in intestinal crypts near the transit-amplifying cells. Another marked with Lgr5 and Ascl2 resides between Paneth cells at the small intestinal crypt base. However, Ascl2 expression in SP fraction was similar with NSP fraction and not consistent with the expression of Lgr5 (Figure 4A, D). The reason for the inconsistent expression between Lgr5 and Ascl2 is not clear and should be further investigated.

As mentioned above, the strong expression of Msi1 and other markers for IESCs and NSCs were detected in SP fraction. SP cells sorted from Msi1high cells were engrafted into the NOD/SCID mice to illuminate their developed profiles compared with NSP cells in vivo. The results showed that the SP grafts tended to differentiate into AD-like, NT-like, and sack-like structures (Figure 6A). This pathological profile suggested that SP graft contained more tissues and structures developed from NSCs and IESCs. Consistent with this observation, the expression of neural tissue markers (Nestin and Tubulin β III) and intestinal epithelial cells markers (Tubulin β III, Sl, Fabp2, Tff3, and Lyz1) were significantly higher in SP grafts compared with NSP grafts (Figure 6B-6D). In addition, immunochemistry showed that more Tubulin β III positive cells partially constructed with a nest-like profile and more Fabp2-positive cells were observed in the SP grafts (Figure 6E). These results provided
strong evidence that SP cells sorted from Msi1<sup>high</sup> cells had the similar potential of Msi1 positive cells, which could develop into mature neural and intestinal epithelial tissues in vivo. Because forming grafts in NOD/SCID mice is a method for investigating the developed potential of the Msi1-positive cells in vivo, we have not yet performed the experiments necessary to prove that the cells isolated from Msi1<sup>high</sup> cells will not form teratomas in the mice with normal immune function.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, SP was identified and isolated from Msi1<sup>high</sup> cell population derived from ESCs in vitro in this study. Furthermore, the sorted SP fraction contains almost all the Msi1 positive cells and has the potential to differentiate into neural and intestinal epithelial cells in vivo. Therefore, sorting SP fraction could be a convenient and effective method to enrich for Msi1-positive cells from the differentiated cell population derived from mouse ESCs.

**Abbreviation**

(ESC): embryonic stem cell; (Msi1): Musashi1; (IESC): intestinal epithelial stem cell; (NSCs): neural stem cells; (Hes1): hairy and enhancer of split 1; (SP): side population; (FACS): fluorescence activated cell sorter; (EB):
embryonic body; (DMEM): Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium; (FCS): fetal calf serum; (LIF): leukemia inhibitory factor; (KSR): Knockout™ serum replacement; (Lgr5): leucine rich repeat containing G protein coupled receptor 5; (Ascl2): achaete-scute complex homolog 2; (Sox2): SRY-box containing gene 2; (P1): propidium iodide; (NSP): non-side population; (ABCG2): ATP-binding cassette transporter G2; (SI): sucrase-isomaltase; (Fabp2): fatty acid binding protein 2; (Tff3): trefoil factor 3; (Lyz1): lysozyme 1; (Chga): Chromogranin A; (DAB): 3,3′-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride; (SE): standard error; (AD): adenoid; (NT): neural tube-like; (ABC): ATP binding cassette.
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