Tolerance and Biological Removal of Fungicides by *Trichoderma* Species Isolated From the Endosphere of Wild Rubiaceae Plants
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The transition from conventional to organic agriculture is often challenged by the adaptation of biological control agents to environments heavily exposed to agrochemical pollutants. We studied *Trichoderma* species isolated from living leaf tissues of wild Rubiaceae (coffee family) plants to determine their fungicide tolerance and potential for bioremoval. First, we assessed the *in vitro* tolerance to fungicides of four *Trichoderma* isolates (*T. rifeii* T1, *T. aff. crassum* T2, *T. aff. atroviride* T3, and *T. aff. strigosellum* T4) by placing mycelial plugs onto solid media supplemented with seven different systemic and non-systemic fungicides. After a week, most of the fungicides did not significantly inhibit the growth of the isolates, except in the case of cyproconazole, where the only isolate able to grow was T1; however, the colony morphology was affected by the presence of fungicides. Second, biological removal potential was established for selected isolates. For this experiment, the isolates T1, T2, and T4 were independently inoculated into liquid media with the fungicides azoxystrobin, chlorothalonil, cyproconazole, and trifloxystrobin. After 14 days of incubation, a removal of up to 89% was achieved for chlorothalonil, 46.4% for cyproconazole, and 33.1% for trifloxystrobin using viable biomass. In the case of azoxystrobin, the highest removal (82.2%) occurred by adsorption to fungal biomass. Ecotoxicological tests in *Daphnia magna* revealed that T1 has the highest removal potential, achieving significant elimination of every fungicide, while simultaneously detoxifying the aqueous matrix (except in the case of cyproconazole). Isolate T4 also exhibited an intermediate efficiency, while isolate T2 was unable to detoxify the matrix in most cases. The removal and detoxification of cyproconazole failed with all the isolates. These findings suggest that endosphere of wild plants could be an attractive guild to find new *Trichoderma* species with promising bioremediation capabilities. In addition, the results demonstrate...
INTRODUCTION

The rapidly growing demand for food has put high pressure on the environment through the abuse of pesticides, especially fungicides (Duhamel and Vandenkoornhuyse, 2013). This affects production costs of important crops around the world and threatens the livelihoods of millions of people (Jaramillo et al., 2011; Caffarra et al., 2012; Ramirez-Villalobos et al., 2012). Coffee (Coffea arabica) is currently under great stress because its productivity and quality are affected by pathogenic fungi, causing enormous economic losses. One of the most affected regions is Central America, where coffee farmers are mainly small and low-income (Davis et al., 2012; Paterson et al., 2014; Rikxooort et al., 2014; Avelino et al., 2015; Talhinhas et al., 2017; Verhage et al., 2017). Because the quality of coffee is correlated to adequate management against pathogens, safer environmental practices could help improve coffee cup quality (Feria-Morales, 2002). In addition, organic coffee demand has increased considerably because consumers are preferring food safety and agrochemical-free products (Lee et al., 2015). Therefore, sustainable and environmentally friendly disease management strategies, such as biological control, are urgently needed (Ayalew, 2014; Rice, 2018).

One of the great challenges in the transition from conventional to organic agriculture is the adaptation of introduced beneficial microorganisms (e.g., biological control and bioremediation agents) to a crop field with residual agrochemicals (Gharieb et al., 2004; Shen et al., 2019), or in areas where agrochemicals and antagonistic microorganisms are applied at the same time (Mishra et al., 2014; Nongmaithem, 2015; Palazzini et al., 2018). An alternative and rich guild of microorganisms adapted to these conditions are endophytic fungi, which inhabit the interior tissue of plants without causing apparent disease symptoms, facilitate plant adaptation to the environment, and may act as antagonists against phytopathogens; thus, they can be used as biological control and bioremediation agents (Harman, 2007; Howell, 2007; Stamatiu-Sánchez et al., 2014; Deng and Cao, 2017; Khan and Mohiddin, 2018; Holanda et al., 2019; Pietro-Souza et al., 2020).

Among common fungal endophytes of tropical plants and soil inhabitants, species of the genus Trichoderma have been used as successful biocontrollers in important crops (e.g., see review in Ghazanfar et al., 2018). In addition, some Trichoderma species can transform xenobiotic agents into non-toxic compounds, e.g., pesticides such as dichlorvos, cyanide pollutants, and even heavy metals (He et al., 2014). There are reports of Trichoderma atroviride, T. harzianum sensu lato, Trichoderma koningii, and T. viride sensu lato, capable of degrading e.g., alachlor, endosulfan, methyl-parathion, monochlorobenzene, neonicotinoids, and pentachlorophenol, among others (He et al., 2014; Vacondio et al., 2015; Cheng et al., 2017; Nunes and Malmlöf, 2018; Nykkel-Szymanska et al., 2018). Therefore, fungi that act as biocontrolers may also be capable of degrading residual pesticides in the fields or within plants, representing an important tool, not only for the transition from conventional to organic agriculture, but to complement different disease management strategies and recovery of “healthy” soil microbiomes.

Considering that: (i) tropical plants in natural forests harbor a high diversity of fungal endophytes (including Trichoderma) that generate a protective mutualism and can colonize internal plant tissues and soil (e.g., Evans et al., 2003; Chaverri and Samuels, 2013; Gazis and Chaverri, 2015; Pujade-Renaud et al., 2019); (ii) Neotropics is the Rubiaceae center of biodiversity (Manns et al., 2012); and (iii) Trichoderma possess a toolbox of enzymes and secondary metabolites which enable its species to tolerate and degrade toxic chemicals (Morales-Barrera and Cristiani-Urbina, 2008; Asemoloye et al., 2019); we hypothesize that those fungi will have the ability to tolerate and remove systemic and non-systemic fungicides, and that could be potentially applied in coffee fields. In this work, screening of Trichoderma spp. from the endosphere of wild Rubiaceae was performed to test their fungicide biodegradation potential. The specific aims of this work were to: (i) determine the ability of the isolated Trichoderma spp. to grow in the presence of fungicides in solid phase; and (ii) evaluate their capacity to remove and detoxify different fungicides in liquid medium through analytical and ecotoxicological tests. The results from this study will contribute to the design of disease management strategies that include biocontrol agents that tolerate chemical fungicides and can, at the same time, colonize soil and the plant endosphere. This is particularly critical in Latin American countries, where agricultural practices are characterized by excessive use of agrochemicals, but also an increased demand to transition into more sustainable farming, including organic.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Identification of Trichoderma Isolates

Four isolates of Trichoderma were selected for the present study. The isolates were previously obtained from living leaf tissue of Rubiaceae plants from natural forests of Costa Rica and following protocols used in Gazis and Chaverri (2010). Fungi are preserved in 20% glycerol at –80°C and at room temperature at the Natural Products Research Centre (CIPRONA), University of Costa Rica.

Isolates were grown in Petri plates with potato-dextrose-agar (PDA) (DifcoTM Laboratories, Detroit, Michigan, U.S.A.) for ca. 7 days. Then, the mycelium was harvested from the surface for DNA extraction using the kit PrepManTM Ultra
(Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, U.S.A.). Polymerase Chain Reactions (PCR) were prepared using primers ITS 4 and ITS 5 (Schoch et al., 2012) for internal transcribed spacers nuclear ribosomal DNA (ITS); EF728Mf and EF1R primers (Carbone and Kohn, 1999) for a partial region of translation elongation factor 1-alpha (TEF); and RPB2S-F and RPB2-7CR primers (Liu et al., 1999) for a partial region of RNA polymerase II subunit (RPB2). The 25-mL PCR reaction consisted of 12.5 mL GoTaq® Green Master Mix (Promega Corporation, Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A.), 1 mL forward primer, 1 mL reverse primer, 1 mL of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), 0.5 mL of bovine serum albumin (BSA), 6 mL of UltraPure™ distilled water, and 3 mL genomic DNA template (Herrera et al., 2013; Abreu et al., 2014). PCR products were sent to Macrogen (now Psmogen, Maryland, U.S.A.) for purification and sequencing. Sequence edition and alignment were done in Geneious version 10.2.3 (Kearse et al., 2012). To assign taxonomy, BLASTn algorithm was performed using NCBI GenBank database, comparing the queries to type specimens (Robbertse et al., 2017). The recommended barcode for *Trichoderma* identification is TEF (Chaverri et al., 2015). Therefore, TEF sequences with >98% identity were assigned the matching taxon names. Those with 90–97% identity were given the abbreviation “aff.” (=affinis), which means that it has affinity with but is not identical to the assigned species. Matches using ITS and RPB2 served to support those produced for TEF. Newly generated sequences have been deposited in GenBank (Supplementary Table 1).

**Fungicide Tolerance Test in Solid Phase**

The ability to grow in solid medium containing residual fungicides was assayed for four isolates. Seven fungicides commonly used in coffee plantations were tested: azoxystrobin (systemic), chlorothalonil (non-systemic), cyproconazole (systemic), propineb (systemic), tolclofos-methyl (non-systemic), trifloxystrobin (systemic), and validamycin-A (non-systemic). (Toxicity and accumulation potential for some of those fungicides is discussed in Komárek et al., 2010 and Roman et al., 2021). *Trichoderma* isolates were cultured onto PDA, adding the dose (volume or mass) recommended for coffee: azoxystrobin (1 g/L), chlorothalonil (5 mL/L), cyproconazole (2 mL/L), propineb (5 g/L), tolclofos-methyl (0.5 g/L), trifloxystrobin (0.5 g/L), and validamycin-A (5 mL/L). The concentrations of the active ingredients according to the commercial label are listed in Supplementary Table 2. The fungicides were added to the PDA after media sterilization to avoid their degradation. Mycelial plugs (~5 mm diam.) from 5-day-old cultures were placed 5 mm from the plate’s edge. As fungal tolerance was observed with the full dosages, no additional concentrations or dilutions were done. Radial growth (in mm) was measured every 24 h for 5 days with a photoperiod of 12 h at 25°C ± 2. Light was used only in this experiment to induce sporulation; a large number of conidia are needed to produce the desired concentrations/dilutions. Consequently, for the next assay, only isolates T1, T2, and T4 were used because of their ability to produce abundant conidia for biomass production.

**Fungicide Removal in Liquid Phase With Fungal Biomass**

Biodegradation ability by three *Trichoderma* isolates (T1, T2, and T4) was evaluated against active ingredients of fungicides azoxystrobin, chlorothalonil, cyproconazole, and trifloxystrobin. An independent experiment was performed for each fungicide with three isolates separately (reaction systems, Rxn). Briefly, 1 × 10^6 spores/mL were inoculated into 250-mL flasks with potato-dextrose-broth (PDB) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, U.S.A.). To obtain the desired volume of spore suspension for each flask, spore counting was performed in a Neubauer chamber in triplicate. With the average values, the number of spores per mL in the stock solution was obtained. After obtaining desired spore concentrations in the stock solution, exact amounts (in mL) were calculated to reach the final concentration of 1 × 10^6 spores/mL in a final volume of 50 mL. Commercial fungicides were added to the PDB media according to the recommended dose for coffee (Supplementary Table 2). The experiments were performed in triplicate, including: (i) abiotic controls (uninoculated) to evaluate degradation by abiotic factors; (ii) heat-killed controls (HKC; containing autoclaved biomass previously grown at identical conditions, but lacking the fungicide) to evaluate adsorption; and (iii) growth control (viable fungal biomass without fungicide) to determine the final dry weight. All cultures were grown for 14 days on a rotary shaker (250 rpm) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Dubuque, Iowa, U.S.A.) at 21°C in the dark. These experiments were done in the dark to avoid degradation by photolysis.

To determine the fungicide concentration, 1.6 mL of the supernatant was collected from all the replicates at 2, 4, 7, 9, 11, and 14 days. Finally, on day 14, 20 mL samples were taken to determine biomass dry weight by filter-separating the mycelia and subsequently drying at 60°C (overnight) to reach a constant weight. After fungicide quantification (section Quantification of Fungicides), total removal was defined as percentual difference between final fungicide concentration (14 d in the Rxn treatment) and initial concentration (time “zero” in the abiotic control); this includes abiotic, adsorption, and biological removal. Final biodegradation was calculated by subtracting removal due to adsorption (HKC) to the total removal. In the case adsorption was negligible, the highest removal value (adsorption or abiotic control) was subtracted from total removal to estimate final biodegradation.

**Analytical Procedures**

**Sample Processing**

Samples were diluted in methanol as follows: 25 µL of chlorothalonil sample was mixed with 1,975 µL methanol (70%), 200 µL cyproconazole with 1,800 µL methanol (50%), 900 µL trifloxystrobin with 900 µL methanol (100%), and 100 µL azoxystrobin with 1,900 µL methanol (100%). All the samples were vortexed and filtered with a PTFE (polytetrafluoroethylene) membrane (0.45 µm). The samples were collected in HPLC vials and stored at 4°C.
Quantification of Fungicides
HPLC analysis was performed using an Agilent 1200 system equipped with a 1200 photodiode array detector (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, California, U.S.A.). A volume of 50 μL was injected into a Phenomenex Luna C18 (250 × 4.6 mm, 5 mm) column at a flow rate of 1 mL/min maintained at 35°C. The mobile phase consisted of 0.1% (v/v) formic acid in water (solvent A) and 0.1% (v/v) formic acid in acetonitrile (solvent B). The gradient elution program was as follows: 0–10 min, B increased linearly from 45 to 85%; 10–15 min, isocratic at 85%; 15–20 min, B increased linearly up to 100%, followed by reconditioning of the column. Quantification was performed at a wavelength of 254 nm using calibration curves of analytical standards of each fungicide.

Ecotoxicity Assay: Immobilization Test in Daphnia magna
To determine whether the fungal removal process is environmentally relevant, an ecotoxicological assay was employed to confirm detoxification, as some transformation products from pesticide degradation might present higher toxicity than the original compound (Ruiz-Hidalgo et al., 2016). An acute toxicity test was performed with the freshwater microcrustacean D. magna using untreated samples (initial work pesticide solutions in liquid culture media) and samples collected after 14 days for each treatment (abiotic and heat-killed controls, and viable fungus). The immobilization test methodology is described in Ramírez-Morales et al. (2021). Briefly, sets of 10 daphnid neonates (<24 h) were placed in 25 mL vials and exposed to 10 mL of each dilution of the sample (prepared in moderately hard reconstituted water); triplicate sets per dilution were incubated in the dark at 23 ± 1°C for 48 h. After incubation, immobility was determined and assumed as mortality. Daphnia magna was employed as a benchmark organism due to its sensitivity to xenobiotics, wide distribution, short life cycle, and ease of culturing in the laboratory, which makes it one of the most widely used bioindicators (Tkaczyk et al., 2021). Moreover, the fungicides assayed in this work exhibit high toxicity toward D. magna, thus making it an ideal bioindicator to evaluate the detoxification linked to fungicide removal.

Statistics
All the statistics and graphics were performed using R Core Team (2020). The effect of the fungicides over the different Trichoderma isolates in solid phase was analyzed using a one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for each fungicide. Biodegradation data for each fungicide was processed to generate a multiple plot of concentration vs. time and sample variability 

RESULTS

Identification of Trichoderma Isolates
Results of BLAST searches are summarized in Supplementary Table 1. In summary, TEF sequences matched to T. rifaii (T1), T. aff. crassum (T2), T. aff. atroviride (T3), and T. aff. strigosellum (T4), with 99, 95, 90, and 96% identity, respectively. Even though ITS and RPB2 are not the recommended barcodes for Trichoderma, the BLAST results show support for the close affinities resulting from TEF identifications.

Fungicide Tolerance Test in Solid Phase
The solid phase tolerance test performed for each fungicide showed that azoxystrobin (P = 0.0001154), chlorothalonil (P = 0.0016935), cyproconazole (P = 1.558 × 10−19), and tolclofos-methyl (P = 1.72 × 10−5) produced differences among Trichoderma isolates. Meanwhile, propineb (P = 0.704234), validamycin-A (P = 0.7296269), and trifloxystrobin (P = 0.3721598) had similar effect over the fungi. The result obtained with cyproconazole (Figure 1A) was particularly noteworthy because after 5 days of evaluation it produced the highest inhibition on Trichoderma isolates T2, T3, and T4, being T1 the only one able to grow (37 mm) in the presence of this compound. Chlorothalonil followed in inhibition effect, allowing <30% of growth for all isolates (Figure 1B). Azoxystrobin, propineb, and tolclofos-methyl allowed all Trichoderma isolates to grow constantly in PDA supplemented with the fungicide (Figure 2). Finally, when exposed to validamycin A, the four isolates were able to reach a growth like that observed in the control (Figure 1B).

Even though the four isolates were able to tolerate and grow with most fungicides, some changes in the morphology patterns were observed after 15 days (Figure 2). For example, T1, which was able to grow in presence of all fungicides, produced mycelium, but not conidia in some cases. Moreover, occurrence of yellow diffusing pigment in the agar was constant in all T1 treatments. On the other hand, T2 produced concentric rings of mycelium and conidia when exposed to chlorothalonil, while T4 produced abundant green conidia in the medium containing azoxystrobin and validamycin-A, even more notably than the control. The colony surface of T3 was cottony in presence of propineb and validamycin-A, but string-like with tolclofos-methyl. Since conidia were absent from T3 even in the control, this isolate was discarded for the next assay, considering that easy and abundant sporulation for feasible propagation at a large scale is a desirable characteristic of a microorganism intended to be used as a biocontroller or in bioremediation (Hanada et al., 2010).

Fungicide Removal in Liquid Phase With Fungal Biomass
The Trichoderma isolates were able to grow in liquid phase with azoxystrobin, chlorothalonil, and trifloxystrobin. After 14 days of incubation, fungicide removals of up to 89% in chlorothalonil, 46% in cyproconazole, and 33% in trifloxystrobin were obtained. For azoxystrobin, higher removal occurred by adsorption onto fungal biomass (Figure 3).

Chlorothalonil was the fungicide more efficiently removed. Removal in abiotic (20.4%) and HKC controls (18.4–24.2%) were similar; therefore, biomass adsorption was considered negligible. With a total removal of 89.0% after 14 days, T2 showed the highest biodegradation (68.6%) (Figure 3B1,B2). Similar total removal values of 79.6 and 77.7%, with an estimated
FIGURE 1 | (A) Average daily growth of *Trichoderma* replicates during 5 days. Average was calculated using five replicates per fungicide. (B) Fungal growth percentages with respect to the control, in the presence of different fungicides in PDA.
biodegradation of 59.2 and 53.6% were achieved for T1 and T4, respectively. All tested Trichoderma isolates were able to grow in presence of chlorothalonil with a dry weight greater than or equal to the control (Figure 3B3).

Isolate T1 was able to grow in presence of cyproconazole, achieving the highest removal of 46.4% (Figure 3C1,C2). Considering the abiotic removal of 5.7%, final biodegradation was estimated at 40.8% (Figure 3C2). Lower removal was
FIGURE 3 | Fungicide removal profiles and final fungal dry weight of *Trichoderma* in the presence of azoxystrobin (A), chlorothalonil (B), cyproconazole (C), and trifloxystrobin (D). AC, Abiotic control; HKC, Heat-killed control; Rxn, viable fungal biomass treatment. In (A1–D1), numbers in Y axis (g/L) refer to concentration of active ingredient.
observed with T2 and T4, whose growth was severely affected by the fungicide, as the dry weight was notably lower than the respective controls (Figure 3C3). Final biodegradation was 8.5% for T2 and 12.7% for T4. Adsorption to the fungal biomass was only observed at a low extent for T2 (5.3%) (Figure 3C1,C2).

The presence of triflloxystrobin did not hinder fungal growth, as the three isolates were able to produce similar biomass amounts as the control (Figure 3D3). Total fungicide removal ranged from 26.8 to 33.1% for all tested strains. Considering abiotic losses of 8.4% and low adsorption (only in T2, 4.5%), final biodegradation was 22.5%, 20.3% and 18.0% for T1, T2, and T4, respectively (Figure 3D2).

Based on similar fungicide losses observed in abiotic and HKC (Figure 3B1,C1,D1), chlorothalonil, cyproconazole, and triflloxystrobin removal was mainly due to biodegradation, with a negligible role of adsorption. However, in the case of azoxystrobin, high removal occurred by adsorption (Figure 3A1). Biomass adsorption in the HKC ranged from 59.3 to 82.2%, while removal in Rxn treatments varied from 57.3 to 66.9% for all isolates (Figure 3A1,A2). This compound also exhibited the highest abiotic losses (43.3%), thus revealing estimated adsorption values of 29.2, 16.0, and 38.9% for T1, T2, and T4, respectively. Nonetheless, as suggested below by ecotoxicological assays, biodegradation, and not only adsorption, took place in the Rxn system. As in chlorothalonil and triflloxystrobin, azoxystrobin did not impair fungal growth in any isolate (Figure 3A3).

### Ecotoxicological Evaluation of the Fungal Treatment With Immobilization Tests in D. magna

The results from the detoxification assays are shown in Table 1. Initial chlorothalonil working solution showed an EC\(_{50}\) value of 0.0050% (corresponding to 0.15 mg/L). After 14 d, both abiotic control and the HKC for every isolate revealed a slightly lower toxicity, similar to initial values (EC\(_{50}\) = 0.011–0.015%). However, toxicity clearly decreased in Rxn treatments with isolates T2 (EC\(_{50}\) = 0.16%), and especially for T1 (EC\(_{50}\) = 6.4%); detoxification was less evident for T4 (EC\(_{50}\) = 0.041%).

In cyproconazole, toxicity in the abiotic control decreased after 14 d (EC\(_{50}\) = 3.6%) with respect to the initial solution (EC\(_{50}\) = 1.09%). Additional detoxification was only slightly observed in HKC (increase in EC\(_{50}\) values from 1.1 to 2.4-fold, compared to 14-d abiotic control). However, for every isolate, toxicity was the same or higher in Rxn treatments (EC\(_{50}\) = 0.20–3.02%).

A 5-fold decrease was observed in triflloxystrobin abiotic control toxicity, compared to the initial solution (EC\(_{50}\) = 0.0067% vs. EC\(_{50}\) = 0.0013%). Nonetheless, HKC for every isolate showed similar toxicity to that achieved in the initial solution (EC\(_{50}\) = 0.0014–0.0020 %). On the contrary, Rxn treatments for T1 (EC\(_{50}\) = 0.077%) and T4 (EC\(_{50}\) = 0.058%), but not T2 (EC\(_{50}\) = 0.0024%), significantly decreased toxicity with respect to abiotic controls.

Finally, an increase in toxicity was determined for azoxystrobin in abiotic control after 14 d (EC\(_{50}\) = 0.015%), with respect to the initial solution (EC\(_{50}\) = 0.046%). Detoxification was achieved in HKC for all isolates, revealed as a two-order of magnitude increase in EC\(_{50}\) values (1.1–1.7%). Except for T2 (EC\(_{50}\) = 0.088%), whose toxicity was lower than the abiotic control, but slightly higher than that of the respective HKC, further detoxification was obtained in Rxn treatments T1 (EC\(_{50}\) = 7.4%) and T4 (EC\(_{50}\) = 5.1%).

### DISCUSSION

Ideally, microorganisms with biocontrol potential should tolerate agrochemicals to successfully prevail in transitional agricultural landscapes and provide benefits to plants against diseases (Sun et al., 2019). In addition, healthy soil microbiomes and ecosystems could be enhanced if biocontrol microorganisms aided in bioremoval or biodegradation of accumulated fungicides (Komárek et al., 2010; Roman et al., 2021). The results of our study show that all Trichoderma isolates tested have some level of fungicide tolerance and removal or biodegradation potential. Cyproconazole and chlorothalonil were the most toxic to Trichoderma, and propineb and validamycin-A the least. Tolerance to pesticides by Trichoderma species related to the T. harzianum complex recovered from soil have been previously reported for compounds such as pentachlorophenol (Rigot and Matsumura, 2002) or glyphosate (Levesque and Rahe, 1992). Recently, the tolerance and conidial survival in soil of several isolates of T. asperellum and T. koningiiopsis to azoxystrobin and chlorothalonil, among other eleven fungicides, was demonstrated, resulting in a suggested use of T. asperellum in Integrated Pest Management strategies (Widmer, 2019). Moreover, its mycoparasitism mechanism remained even in the presence of azoxystrobin (da Silva et al., 2018).

Other mycoparasitic Trichoderma species, e.g., T. inhamatum, besides its reported use as a biocontrol agent, is also capable of transforming a highly toxic chromium into a less harmful form (Morales-Barrera and Cristiani-Urbina, 2008). Efforts to provide successful fungal biocontrol species resistant to agrochemicals has led to modification attempts of already-known biocontrol fungal species using different techniques, for example, UV-induced T. harzianum and T. atroviride mutants resistant to carbendazim and tebuconazole (Hatvani et al., 2006).

Our results indicate a high activity in chlorothalonil biodegradation by the selected Trichoderma isolates. In previous studies, chlorothalonil biodegradation was reported by some bacteria such as Sphingobium spp., Stenotrophomonas spp., and Ochrobactrum lupini, among others (Motonaga et al., 1996; Van Eeden et al., 2000; Shi et al., 2011; Man-yun et al., 2014; Hu et al., 2020). This action is possible due to the bacterial enzyme glutathione S-transferase (GST) that can biotransform chlorothalonil (Kim et al., 2004). In addition, this enzyme is responsible for herbicide detoxification (Scarponi et al., 1991; Neuefeind et al., 1997; Scarponi and Del Buono, 2009; Wang et al., 2010). However, in Trichoderma, the chlorothalonil biodegradation mechanism through the enzymatic action of GST has not been reported. Nonetheless, this enzyme is present in T. harzianum (Shibu et al., 2012; Bernal-Vicente et al., 2015), which is a member of the Harzianum clade; T. rifaii (T1) also belongs in


| Pesticide/sample | EC<sub>50</sub> (%)<sup>a</sup> | Confidence interval (%)<sup>a</sup> |
|------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
|                  | Lower                           | Higher                            |
| **Azoxystrobin** |                                 |                                    |
| AC; T = 0        | 0.046 (0.14 mg/L)<sup>b</sup>   | 0.038 (0.12 mg/L) 0.056 (0.23 mg/L) |
| AC; T = 14 d     | 0.015                           |                                    |
| T1 HKC; T = 14 d | 1.7                             | 1.05 2.33                         |
| T2 HKC; T = 14 d | 1.1                             | 0.11 2.09                         |
| T4 HKC; T = 14 d | 1.1                             | 0.88 1.29                         |
| T1 Rxn; T = 14 d | 7.4                             | 6.5 8.3                           |
| T2 Rxn T = 14 d  | 0.088                           | –0.09 0.10                        |
| T4 Rxn T = 14 d  | 5.1                             | 4.47 5.76                         |
| **Chlorothalonil** |                               |                                    |
| AC; T = 0        | 0.0050 (0.15 mg/L)<sup>b</sup>  | 0.0042 (0.12 mg/L) 0.0056 (0.16 mg/L) |
| AC; T = 14 d     | 0.011                           | 0.0061 0.016                      |
| T1 HKC; T = 14 d | 0.014                           | 0.012 0.016                       |
| T2 HKC; T = 14 d | 0.015                           | 0.013 0.017                       |
| T4 HKC; T = 14 d | 0.014                           | 0.012 0.016                       |
| T1 Rxn; T = 14 d | 6.4                             | 4.34 8.46                         |
| T2 Rxn T = 14 d  | 0.16                             | 0.12 0.21                         |
| T4 Rxn T = 14 d  | 0.041                           | 0.034 0.049                       |
| **Cyproconazole** |                               |                                    |
| AC; T = 0        | 1.09 (3.16 mg/L)<sup>b</sup>    | 0.92 (2.66 mg/L) 1.27 (3.66 mg/L) |
| AC; T = 14 d     | 3.60                             | 3.1 4.1                           |
| T1 HKC; T = 14 d | 8.67                             | 7.43 9.92                         |
| T2 HKC; T = 14 d | 5.63                             | - 6                           |
| T4 HKC; T = 14 d | 4.02                             | 3.38 4.66                         |
| T1 Rxn; T = 14 d | 3.02                             | 2.44 3.60                         |
| T2 Rxn T = 14 d  | 1.29                             | 1.06 1.52                         |
| T4 Rxn T = 14 d  | 0.20                             | - 4                            |
| **Trifloxystrobin** |                               |                                    |
| AC; T = 0        | 0.0013 (0.0057 mg/L)<sup>b</sup> | 0.00098 (0.0044 mg/L) 0.0015 (0.0069 mg/L) |
| AC; T = 14 d     | 0.0067                           | 0.0055 0.0081                     |
| T1 HKC; T = 14 d | 0.0015                           | 0.00095 0.0021                    |
| T2 HKC; T = 14 d | 0.0020                           | 0.001 0.003                      |
| T4 HKC; T = 14 d | 0.0014                           | 0.0008 0.002                      |
| T1 Rxn; T = 14 d | 0.077                            | 0.062 0.09                      |
| T2 Rxn T = 14 d  | 0.0024                           | 0.001 0.0088                    |
| T4 Rxn T = 14 d  | 0.058                            | 0.05 0.07                      |

<sup>a</sup> EC<sub>50</sub> values are reported as a percentage of the relative concentration of the sample (considering the pure sample as 100%).

<sup>b</sup> For samples corresponding to initial working concentrations, the EC<sub>50</sub> values are also expressed in parenthesis as pesticide concentrations (mg/L).

that clade (Chaverri et al., 2015). Therefore, the biodegradation mechanism used by isolate T1 could be related to the expression of GST due to their close phylogenetic affinity (Chen and Zhuang, 2017). In addition, *T. harzianum* has been reported to achieve complete biotransformation of pentachlorophenol (Vacondio et al., 2015), a halogenated substituted monocyclic aromatic fungicide which exhibits a strong similarity to chlorothalonil at the chemical structure level (Gupta, 2017). High removal of this fungicide was observed for three isolates, including biodegradation estimated between 53.6 and 68.6%. Nonetheless, important detoxification was achieved only with isolates T1 (582-fold lower toxicity) and T2 (15-fold lower toxicity); residual toxicity observed in treatment with T4 was only 4-fold lower than the control, suggesting that transformation products of similar toxicity are released by the fungus during chlorothalonil metabolism.

The removal of cyproconazole by the fungi was not that successful. The isolate T1 was able to grow in its presence, while partially degrading it. It is known that species within the *T. harzianum* complex have the capacity to metabolize and tolerate tebuconazole, another triazole (Obanda and Shupe, 2009). For example, the major pathway for tebuconazole biodegradation
consists in triazole ring cleavage and oxidation reactions (Obanda and Shupe, 2009; Wu et al., 2016, 2018). As cyproconazole has the same triazole ring, this pathway might be also used by T1.

Conversely, the same cyproconazole concentration resulted in toxic effects on T2 and T4. The growth of these two isolates was compromised, and consequently, their ability to remove the fungicide was low. In general, triazole fungicides are highly persistent in the environment (Bromilow et al., 1999; Murillo-Zamora et al., 2017), and cyproconazole exhibits one of the longest half-lives, making it very stable (Angioni et al., 2003). Biodegradation of azoles has been demonstrated for fungi such as *Trametes versicolor* and *Fomitopsis palustris* (Woo et al., 2010). Nonetheless, in one study, biodegradation of four triazoles with *T. versicolor* could not be achieved, even after fungal re-inoculation (Murillo-Zamora et al., 2017). This finding agrees with our results on low cyproconazole biodegradation efficiency observed with T2 and T4. Ecotoxicological evaluation revealed that, even though removal took place at some extent with the viable fungi, matrix detoxification did not occur. Moreover, residual toxicity was in every case higher than the control, suggesting formation of metabolites of higher toxicity than the parental compound, as it has been demonstrated in fungal degradation processes (Cruz-Morató et al., 2013; Cambrerro-Heinrichs et al., 2018). Interestingly, slight but non-significant detoxification was achieved in HKC, supporting that in this case, the fungal-mediated reactions play a non-desirable role in final ecotoxicological outcome of cyproconazole transformation.

No growth inhibition was observed for strobilurins. *Trichoderma* resistance to trifloxystrobin has been previously reported (Kosanović et al., 2015); however, according to our results, efficiency ascribed to biodegradation was quite low for this fungicide. Despite low removal, detoxification was achieved by isolates T1 (11-fold lower toxicity than the abiotic control after 14 d) and T4 (9-fold lower), exhibiting a higher bioremediation potential than isolate T2, which produced a more toxic residue, at the same level as the HKC. For azoxythronin, removal due to biodegradation could not be properly estimated, as that in the HKC surpassed the achieved with the viable biomass. At first sight, it could be inferred that all removal takes place by adsorption for all isolates, and that adsorption process is enhanced in thermally-inactivated biomass compared to viable fungi. This has been demonstrated for different kinds of biomass, including fungal, due to surface area modification (Bayramoglu and Arica, 2008; Legorreta-Castañeda et al., 2020). Nonetheless, when ecotoxicological analyses are considered, not only adsorption, but also biodegradation, is possibly taking place in Rxn systems using T1 and T4, in which significant detoxification was achieved (493 and 340-fold lower toxicity than abiotic control for T1 and T4, respectively). The extent of this detoxification was higher than that estimated in HKC by adsorption (and abiotic transformation), which accounted for 73–113-fold lower toxicity values than abiotic control, or for the Rxn isolate T2 (6-fold lower). The occurrence of adsorption does not imply a lack of degradation; on the contrary, degradation may be enhanced by physical proximity after adsorption has taken place, particularly when intracellular enzymes are involved in the process (Lucas et al., 2018; Tormo-Budowski et al., 2021).

In general, information regarding strobilurins biodegradation is limited. So far, it is known that the major biological pathway for their degradation is methyl ester group hydrolysis in the toxophore (Clinton et al., 2011), which has been previously described in bacteria for azoxythronin and trifloxystrobin (Clinton et al., 2011; Howell et al., 2014; Feng et al., 2020). Among fungi, two species of *Aphanoascus* are reported to biotransform azoxythronin (Baigmaga et al., 2015).

Overall, the highest removal potential among isolates was observed for T1, which achieved considerable elimination of every fungicide, while simultaneously detoxifying the aqueous matrix (except in the case of cyproconazole). Isolate T4 also exhibited an intermediate efficiency, although with lower detoxification capacity than T1. Further research is needed to optimize their application in bioremediation of pesticides. On the other hand, isolate T2, despite being able to remove the fungicides at some extent, in most cases was unable to detoxify the matrix, making this fungus an unsuitable choice for biodegradation.

Lastly, three *Trichoderma* isolates were not confidently identified to species level, i.e., T2, T3, and T4, even though BLAST queries using ITS and RPB2 resulted in high similarity to known species. It has been shown that those two markers alone should not be used for identification (Chaverri et al., 2015; Cai and Druzhinina, 2021). We thus hypothesize that T2, T3, and T4 are new species because TEF sequences match to known species between 90 and 96% identity, below the recommended threshold for that marker (e.g., Chaverri et al., 2015; Cai and Druzhinina, 2021). On the other hand, we cannot confidently conclude that they are new species because additional evidence is needed (i.e., more isolates of the putative new species), as recommended for fungal taxonomy (e.g., see Seifert and Rossman, 2010).

**CONCLUSIONS**

In this study, endophytic *Trichoderma* isolates were able to tolerate and prevail under the presence of fungicides, generating more questions related to the mechanisms and effects of agrochemicals for the microorganisms living in agroecosystems. Assuming that these *Trichoderma* species can colonize soil and the endosphere of coffee plants (see Bailey et al., 2008; Pujade-Renaud et al., 2019 for examples on *Theobroma* and *Hevea* plants, respectively), and prevail in scenarios of conventional coffee production, then, their combined use with some low-toxicity fungicides (e.g., strobilurins and other compounds derived from microorganisms) could represent an alternative if transitioning to organic agriculture. Our *Trichoderma* isolates were not able to tolerate cyproconazole and chlorothalonil. Therefore, other compounds related to these groups of fungicides should be avoided when developing a strategy to migrate to organic or pesticide-free agriculture, as the same inhibition effect might occur on other beneficial fungi inhabiting the rhizo- or endosphere. Finally, considering its ability to remove fungicides while simultaneously decreasing their toxicity, isolate T1 seems the best candidate for fungicide bioremediation purposes. On the contrary, due to its poor removal and
detoxification capacities, precautions should be taken with the isolate T2, as its use suggests a risk of transforming some fungicides into more hazardous metabolites. The combination of pesticide tolerance, removal, and ecotoxicity assays with biocontrol or bioremediation microorganisms, and interactions between agrochemicals, should be considered in the development of sustainable agriculture strategies.

**DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

PC, EE-L, and MG-M did the tolerance experiments. PA-V, EE-L, RM-A, CC-A, KV-M, MP-V, MM-R, CR-R, and JM-V conducted the bioremoval experiments. MP-V, MM-R, and CR-R conducted the ecotoxicity assays. EE-L, PA-V, and CR-R analyzed the data. EE-L, PA-V, JM-V, CR-R, and PC wrote the manuscript. PC conceived the study. JM-V and PC lead the study. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

**FUNDING**

Funding was provided by Universidad de Costa Rica (grants 802-B8-510, 809-C1-604, and B1776), CONARE (grant FEES-809-B9-662), CENAT-CONARE Scholarships 2018 and 2019, U.S. National Science Foundation (DEB-1638976), CENIBiot (grant FP-022-2019), and MICITT (Costa Rica) (grants FI-048B-19 and FI-197B-17).

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors thank CoopeTarrazú for providing the fungicide formulations.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fagro.2021.772170/full#supplementary-material

**REFERENCES**

Abreu, L. M., Moreira, G. M., Ferreira, D., Rodrigues-Filho, E., and Pfenning, L. H. (2014). Diversity of Clonostachys species assessed by molecular phyllogenetics and MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. *Fungal Biol*. 118, 1004–1012. doi: 10.1016/j.funbio.2014.10.001

Angioni, A., Aguiler De Real, A., Russo, M., Melis, M., Cabitza, F., and Cabras, P. (2003). Triazole fungicide degradation in peaches in the field and in model systems. *Food Addit. Contam.* 20, 368–374. doi: 10.1080/0265203032000069094

Asemoloye, M. D., Jonathan, S. G., and Ahmad, R. (2019). Degradation of 2, 2-Dichlorovinyl dimethyl phosphate (dichlorvos) through the rhizosphere interaction between Panicum maximum faq and some selected fungi. *Chemosphere* 221, 403–411. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.01.058

Avelino, J., Cristancho, M., Georgiou, S., Imbach, P., Aguilar, L., Bornemann, G., et al. (2015). The coffee rust crises in Colombia and Central America (2008–2013): impacts, plausible causes and proposed solutions. *Food Secur. 7*, 303–321. doi: 10.1007/s12571-015-0446-9

Ayalew, T. (2014). Characterization of organic coffee production, certification and Avelino, J., Cristancho, M., Georgiou, S., Imbach, P., Aguilar, L., Bornemann, G., et al. (2015). The coffee rust crises in Colombia and Central America (2008–2013): impacts, plausible causes and proposed solutions. *Food Secur. 7*, 303–321. doi: 10.1007/s12571-015-0446-9

Ayalew, T. (2014). Characterization of organic coffee production, certification and marketing systems: Ethiopia as a main indicator: A Review. *Asian J. Agric. Res.* 8, 170–180. doi: 10.3923/ajar.2014.170.180

Bačmaga, M., Kucharski, J., and Wyszkowska, J. (2015). Microbial and enzymatic activity of soil contaminated with azoxystrobin. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 187,4827. doi: 10.1007/s10661-015-4827-5

Bailey, B. A., Bae, H., Streem, M. D., Crozier, J., Thomas, S. E., Samuels, G. J., et al. (2008). Antibiosis, mycoparasitism, and colonization success for endophytic *Trichoderma* isolates with biological control potential in *Trichoderma harzianum* T-78 supplementation of compost. *Mycologia* 107, 558–590. doi: 10.3852/14-147

Bayramoglu, G., and Arica, M. Y. (2008). Removal of heavy mercury(II), cadmium(II) and zinc(II) metal ions by live and heat inactivated *Lentinus edodes* pellets. *Chem. Eng. J.* 143, 133–140. doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2008.01.002

Bernal-Vicente, A., Pascual, J. A., Tittarelli, F., Hernández, J. A., and Daza-Vivancos, P. (2015). *Trichoderma harzianum* T-78 supplementation of compost stimulates the antioxidant defense system in melon plants. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 95, 2208–2214. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.6936

Bromilow, R. H., Evans, A. A., and Nicholls, P. H. (1999). Fungal diversity in two soil types: 2 field studies. *Pestic. Sci.* 55, 1135–1142. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1096-9063(199912)55:12<1135::AID-PS73>3.0.CO;2-1

Carbone, I., and Kohn, L. M. (1999). A method for designing primer sets for speciation studies in filamentous Ascomycetes. *Mycolgia* 91, 553–556

Chaverrí, P., Branco-Rocha, F., Jaklitsch, W., Gazis, R., Degenkolb, T., and Samuels, G. J. (2015). Systematics of the *Trichoderma harzianum* species complex and the re-identification of commercial biocontrol strains. *Mycolgia* 107, 558–590. doi: 10.3852/14-147

Chaverrí, P., and Samuels, G. J. (2013). Evolution of habitat preference and nutrition mode in a cosmopolitan fungal genus with evidence of interkingdom host jumps and major shifts in ecology. *Evolution* 67, 2823–2837. doi: 10.1111/evo.12169

Chen, K., and Zhuang, W. (2017). Discovery from a large-scaled survey of *Trichoderma* in soil of China. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, 1–37. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-07807-3

Cheng, Z., Li, C., Kennes, C., Ye, J., Chen, D., Zhang, S., et al. (2017). Improved biodegradation potential of chlorobenzene by a mixed fungal-bacterial consortium. *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad.* 123, 276–285. doi: 10.1016/j.ibiod.2017.07.008

Clinton, B., Warden, A. C., Haboury, S., Easton, C. J., Kotsoris, S., Taylor, M. C., et al. (2011). Bacterial degradation of strabulisin fungicides: a role for a promiscuous methyl esterase activity of the strabulisin proteases? *Biocatal. Biotransformation* 29, 119–129. doi: 10.3109/10242422.2011.578740

Coffa, A., Rinaldi, M., Eccl, E., Rossi, V., and Pertot, I. (2012). Modelling the impact of climate change on the interaction between grapevine and its pests and pathogens: European grapevine moth and powdery mildew. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 148, 88–101. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2011.11.017

Cai, F., and Dziuzhina, I. S. (2021). In honor of John Bissett: authoritative guidelines on molecular identification of *Trichoderma*. *Fungal Diversity* 107, 1–69. doi: 10.1007/s13225-020-00464-4

Cambronero-Heinrichs, J. C., Masis-Mora, M., Quirós-Fournier, J. P., Lizano-Fallas, V., Mata-Araya, L., and Rodríguez-Rodríguez, C. E. (2018). Removal of herbicides in a biopurification system is not negatively affected by oxytetracycline or fungally pretreated oxytetracycline. *Chemosphere* 198, 198–203. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.01.012

Caffara, A., Rinaldi, M., Eccel, E., Rossi, V., and Pertot, I. (2012). Modelling the impact of climate change on the interaction between grapevine and its pests and pathogens: European grapevine moth and powdery mildew. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 148, 88–101. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2011.11.017

The authors thank CoopeTarrazú for providing the fungicide formulations.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fagro.2021.772170/full#supplementary-material
in a fluidized bed bioreactor: Identification of transformation products and toxicity assessment. Biochem. Eng. J. 75, 79–85. doi: 10.1016/j.bej.2013.03.020

da Silva, M. A. F., de Moura, K. E., de Moura, K. E., Salomão, D., and Patricio, F. R. A. (2018). Compatibility of Trichoderma isolates with pesticides used in lettuce crop. Summa Phytopathol. 44, 137–142. doi: 10.1590/0100-5405/178673

Davis, A. P., Gole, T. W., Baena, S., and Moat, J. (2012). The impact of climate change on indigenous arabica coffee (Coffea arabica): Predicting future trends and identifying priorities. PLoS ONE 7:e0047981. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0047981

Deng, Z., and Cao, L. (2017). Fungal endophytes and their interactions with plants in phytoremediation: A review. Chemosphere 168, 1100–1106. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.10.097

Duhamel, M., and Vandenkoonhuyse, P. (2013). Sustainable agriculture: Possible trajectories from mutualistic symbiosis and plant neodomestication. Trends Plant Sci. 18, 597–600. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2013.08.010

Evans, H. C., Holmes, K. A., and Thomas, S. E. (2003). Endophytes and mycorparasites associated with an indigenous forest tree, Theobroma gilera, in Ecuador and a preliminary assessment of their potential as biocontrol agents of cocoa diseases. Mycol. Progress 2, 149–160. doi: 10.1023/A:1011557-006-053-4

Feng, Y., Zhang, W., Pang, S., Lin, Z., Zhang, Y., Huang, Y., et al. (2020). Kinetics and new mechanism of azoxystrobin biodegradation by an Ochrobactrum anthophili strain SH14. Microorganisms 8,625. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms8050625

Feria-Morales, A. M. (2002). Examining the case of green coffee to illustrate the implications of climate change on indigenous arabica coffee (Coffea arabica) of climate change on indigenous arabica coffee (Coffea arabica). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0047981

He, X., Wubie, A. J., Diao, Q., Li, W., Xue, F., Guo, Z., et al. (2014). Biodegradation of neonicotinoid insecticide, imidacloprid by restriction enzyme mediated integration (REMI) generated Trichoderma mutants. Chemosphere 112, 526–530. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.01.023

Herrera, C., Rossman, A. Y., Samuels, G. J., Lechat, C., and Chaverri, P. (2013). Revision of the genus Corallomycetella with Corallonectria gen. nov. for C. jatrophae (Nectriaceae, Hypocreales). Mycosistema 32, 518–544.

Holanda, F. H., Birolli, W. G., Morais, E. S., Sena, I. S., Ferreira, A. M., et al. (2019). Study of biodegradation of chloramphenicol by endophytic fungi isolated from Bertholletia excelsa (Brazill nuts). Biocatal. Agric. Biotechnol. 20:101200. doi: 10.1016/j.bcab.2019.101200

Howell, C. C., Semple, K. T., and Bending, G. D. (2014). Isolation and characterisation of azoxystrobin degrading bacteria from soil. Chemosphere 95, 370–378. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.09.048

Howell, C. (2007). Mechanisms employed by Trichoderma species in the biological control of plant diseases: the history and evolution of current concepts. Plant Dis. 87, 4–10. doi: 10.1094/pdis.2003.87.1.4

Hu, S., Cheng, X., Liu, G., Li, Y., Qiao, W., Chen, K., et al. (2020). International biodiversity & biodegradation degradation of chlorothalonil via thiolation and nitrile hydration by marine strains isolated from the surface seawater of the Northwestern Pacific. Int. Biodeterior. Biodegradation 154:105049. doi: 10.1016/j.ibiod.2020.105049

Jaramillo, J., Muchug, E., Vega, F. E., Davis, A., Borgemeister, C., and Chabiolay, A. (2011). Some like it hot: The influence and implications of climate change on coffee berry borer (Hypothemus hampei) and coffee production in East Africa. PLoS ONE 6:e24528. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0024528

Kearse, M., Moir, R., Wilson, A., Stones-Havas, S., Cheung, M., Sturrock, S., et al. (2012). Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. Bioinformatics 28, 1647–1649. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199

Khan, M. R., and Mohaldin, F. A. (2018). “Chapter 13 - Trichoderma: Its Multifarious Utility in Crop Improvement,” in Crop Improvement Through Microbial Biotechnology, eds. R. Prasad, S. S. Gill, and N. Tuteja (Elsevier), 263–291. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-444-63987-5.00013-X

Kim, Y. M., Park, K., Joo, G. E., Jeong, E. M., Kim, J. E., and Rhee, I. K. (2004). Glutathione-dependent biotransformation of the fungicide chlorothalonil. J. Agric. Food Chem. 52, 4192–4196. doi: 10.1021/jf040047u

Komárek, M. E., Cadková Chrastný, V., Bordaš, F., and Bollinger, J. C. (2010). Contamination of vineyard soils with fungicides: A review of environmental and toxicological aspects, Environ. Int. 36, 138–151. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2009.10.005

Kosanović, D., Potočni, I., Vukovek, J., Stajić, M., Rekanović, E., Stepanović, M., et al. (2015). Fungicide sensitivity of Trichoderma spp. from Agaricus bisporus farms in Serbia. J. Environ. Sci. Heal. - Part B Pestic. Food Contam. Agric. Wastes 50, 607–613. doi: 10.1080/03061231.2015.1028849

Lee, K. H., Bonn, M. A., and Cho, M. (2015). Consumer motives for purchasing organic coffee: The moderating effects of ethical concern and price sensitivity. Int. J. Contemp. Hosp. Manag. 27, 1157–1180. doi: 10.1108/IJCHM-02-2014-0060

Legorreta-Castañeda, A. J., Lucho-Constantino, C. A., Beltrán-Hernández, R. L., Coronel-Olivares, C., and Vázquez-Rodríguez, G. A. (2020). Biosorption of water pollutants by fungal pellets. Water 12:1155. doi: 10.3390/W12111155

Lesquesve, C. A., and Rahe, J. E. (1992). Herbicide interactions with fungal root pathogens, with special reference to glyphosates. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 30, 579–602.

Liu, Y. J., Whelen, S., and Hall, B. D. (1999). Phylogenetic relationships among Ascomycetes: evidence from an RNA polymerase II subunit. Mol. Biol. Evol. 1999, 1799–1808.

Lucas, D., Castellet-Rovira, V., Villagrasa, M., Badia-Fabregat, M., Barceló, D., Vicent, T., et al. (2018). The role of sorption processes in the removal of pharmaceuticals by fungal treatment of wastewater. Sci. Total Environ. 610–611, 1147–1153. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.08.118

Manns, U., Wikström, N., Taylor, C.W., and Bremer, B. (2012). Historical biogeography of the predominantly neotropical subfamily cinchonoideae (Rubiaceae): Into or Out of America? Int. J. Plant Sci. 173, 261–286. doi: 10.1086/663971

Man-yun, Z., Ying, T., Ye, Z. H. U., Jun, W., Yong-ming, L. U. O., Christie, P., et al. (2014). Isolation and characterization of chlorothalonil-degrading bacterial strain H4 and its potential for remediation of contaminated soil. Pedosphere. An Int. J. 24, 799–807. doi: 10.1016/S1002-0160(14)60067-9

Mishra, G., Kumar, N., Giri, K., Pandey, S., and Kumar, R. (2014). Effect of fungicides and bioagents on number of microorganisms in...
Van Eeden, M., Potgieter, H. C., and Van Der Walt, A. M. (2000). Microbial degradation of chlorothalonil in agricultural soil: A laboratory investigation. *Environ. Toxicol.* 15, 533–539. doi: 10.1002/1522-7278(2000)15:5<533::AID-TOX25>3.0.CO;2-D

Verhage, F. Y. F., Anten, N. P. R., and Sentelhas, P. C. (2017). Carbon dioxide fertilization offsets negative impacts of climate change on Arabica coffee yield in Brazil. *Clim. Change* 144, 671–685. doi: 10.1007/s10584-017-2068-z

Wang, J., Jiang, Y., Chen, S., Xia, X., Shi, K., Zhou, Y., et al. (2010). The different responses of glutathione-dependent detoxification pathway to fungicide chlorothalonil and carbendazim in tomato leaves. *Chemosphere* 79, 958–965. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.02.020

Widmer, T. L. (2019). Compatibility of *Trichoderma asperellum* isolates to selected soil fungicides. *Crop Prot.* 120, 91–96. doi: 10.1016/j.cropro.2019.02.017

Woo, C., Daniels, B., Stirling, R., and Morris, P. (2010). Tebuconazole and propiconazole tolerance and possible degradation by Basidiomycetes: A wood-based bioassay. *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad.* 64, 403–408. doi: 10.1016/j.ibiod.2010.01.009

Wu, H., Shen, J., Jiang, X., Liu, X., Sun, X., Li, J., et al. (2018). Bioaugmentation strategy for the treatment of fungicide wastewater by two triazole-degrading strains. *Chem. Eng. J.* 349, 17–24. doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2018.05.066

Wu, H., Shen, J., Wu, R., Sun, X., Li, J., Han, W., et al. (2016). Biodegradation mechanism of 1H-1,2,4-Triazole by a newly isolated strain *Shinella* sp. NJUST26. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 1–10. doi: 10.1038/srep29675

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher’s Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Escudero-Leyva, Alfaro-Vargas, Muñoz-Arrieta, Charpentier-Alfaro, Granados-Montero, Valverde-Madrigal, Pérez-Villanueva, Méndez-Rivera, Rodríguez-Rodríguez, Chaverri and Mora-Villalobos. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.