Chaperonopathies: Spotlight on Hereditary Motor Neuropathies
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Distal hereditary motor neuropathies (dHMN) are a group of rare hereditary neuromuscular disorders characterized by an atrophy that affects peroneal muscles in the absence of sensory symptoms. To date, 23 genes are thought to be responsible for dHMN, four of which encode chaperones: DNAJB2, which encodes a member of the HSP40/DNAJ co-chaperone family; and HSPB1, HSPB3, and HSPB8, encoding three members of the small heat shock protein family. While around 30 different mutations in HSPB1 have been identified, the remaining three genes are altered in many fewer cases. Indeed, a mutation of HSPB3 has only been described in one case, whereas a few cases have been reported carrying mutations in DNAJB2 and HSPB8, most of them caused by a founder c.352+1G>A mutation in DNAJB2 and by mutations affecting the K141 residue in the HSPB8 chaperone. Hence, their rare occurrence makes it difficult to understand the pathological mechanisms driven by such mutations in this neuropathy. Chaperones can assemble into multi-chaperone complexes that form an integrated chaperone network within the cell. Such complexes fulfill relevant roles in a variety of processes, such as the correct folding of newly synthesized proteins, in which chaperones escort them to precise cellular locations, and as a response to protein misfolding, which includes the degradation of proteins that fail to refold properly. Despite this range of functions, mutations in some of these chaperones lead to diseases with a similar clinical profile, suggesting common pathways. This review provides an overview of the genetics of those dHMNs that share a common disease mechanism and that are caused by mutations in four genes encoding chaperones: DNAJB2, HSPB1, HSPB3, and HSPB8.
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CHAPERONES AND CHAPERONOPATHIES

Chaperones (Hartl et al., 2011; Smith et al., 2015) are proteins that, together with the protein degradation machinery (proteasomes, macroautophagy, etc.), contribute to the quality control apparatus and to the proteostasis of a cell. Typically, chaperones recognize other proteins (usually called their clients) to assist in their folding so that they attain their functional conformation at the sites where they must act. Most chaperones are promiscuous and they bind to many clients, although others (dedicated chaperones) restrict their associations to one or a few proteins. However, the information available on the molecules that interact with specific chaperones is still incomplete.
Chaperones also participate in other important processes, such as: (i) the reversion of erroneous folding of newly synthesized proteins; (ii) the prevention of the formation of improper protein aggregates and their disassembly; (iii) the escorting of proteins to their functional sites, including translocation across membranes and the assembly of functional protein-protein, protein-DNA or protein-RNA complexes; and (iv) the sequestering of proteins that are damaged or unable to fold properly to the intracellular protein degradation machinery for destruction. Most of these processes require energy and, therefore, some chaperones have ATP-binding sites and ATPase activity (e.g., Hsp90, Hsp70). By contrast, ATP-independent chaperones must cooperate with the former to carry out such functions. In fact, chaperones tend to assemble into synergistic multi-chaperone complexes of distinct sizes, containing chaperones from the same or different families, as well as other proteins that assist them in their functions, thereby forming an integrated chaperone network in the cell.

Chaperones can either be constitutively expressed, induced by stress (usually but not exclusively, heat shock) or both. Most chaperones induced by heat shock are frequently called heat shock proteins (HSPs). Chaperones, including HSPs, are sometimes classified into six major families according to their molecular mass, although a gross distinction is made between the larger (e.g., the Hsp100, Hsp90, Hsp70, Hsp60, and Hsp40 co-chaperones) and smaller (sHsp, 12–43 kDa, although the vast majority are 30 kDa or less) chaperones. Each group comprises various chaperones and in the human genome, for example, 10 different chaperones have been identified in the sHsp family (HspB1-HspB10). Thus, and although the total number of chaperones in humans is still expanding, an up to date and conservative estimate of their total number would be about 100 genes (Kakkar et al., 2014). Of course, these genes give rise to a much larger number of proteins due to the different transcriptional, translational and post-translational events and modifications they are subjected to. Given the range of activities undertaken by chaperones and the vast number of multimeric complexes that they form with other chaperones, some functional redundancies are likely to exist in their extended networks. Therefore, a single chaperone, or even of a group of dedicated chaperones, would not be expected to be exclusively responsible for a specific task with a particular client, and defects in one chaperone can usually be compensated by others, albeit more or less successfully. Together with the possible lethality associated with the loss of some important chaperones, this redundancy might explain the relatively low number of diseases known to be produced by mutations in genes encoding chaperones (Macario and Conway de Macario, 2007; Kakkar et al., 2014).

**THE GROWING LIST OF CHAPERONES INVOLVED IN DISTAL HEREDITARY MOTOR NEUROPATHIES**

Distal hereditary motor neuropathies (dHMN) or distal spinal muscular atrophies (dSMA) are a group of rare hereditary neuromuscular disorders characterized by an atrophy that affects peroneal muscles in the absence of sensory symptoms (Harding, 1993). Classically, patients experience progressive distal weakness and atrophy affecting the lower limbs, which subsequently spreads to the proximal muscles and ultimately reaches the upper limbs as the disease progresses, with the possible appearance of foot deformities. Other additional manifestations include ataxia or pyramidal tract signs, although these are unusual. These symptoms contrast with those of Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease (CMT) or hereditary motor sensory neuropathy (HMSN), conditions in which sensory involvement is also evident. However, there are some forms of CMT, in particular in axonal CMT or CMT type 2 (CMT2), in which only minor sensory involvement is recognized, and it is difficult to distinguish dHMN from CMT2 (Harding and Thomas, 1980). In fact, some genetic overlap is observed in CMT and dHMN as both conditions can be caused by mutations in the same gene, and even by the same mutation.

To date 23 genes associated with dHMN have been reported (Neuromuscular Disease Center, http://neuromuscular.wustl.edu/synmot.html), although no molecular diagnosis is available in most dHMN patients (Rossor et al., 2012a). Distinct activities are affected in motor-nerve disease, including: protein folding/misfolding (HSPB1, HSPB3, HSPB8, DNAJB2, and BSCL2), RNA metabolism (IGHMBP2, SETX, and GARS), axonal transport (DYNC1H1, DCTN1), cation channel activity (ATP7A, TRPV4), transcriptional control (FBXO38), etc. Here we will focus exclusively on dHMMs that
involves mutations in the chaperone genes HSPB1, HSPB8, DNAJB2, and HSPB3, all four encoding ATP-independent chaperones. Although, compensatory mechanisms driven by the relationships and redundancies within the chaperone can overcome specific chaperone defects, this does not appear to be the case here, as in other diseases. Indeed, even when this compensation occurs, the chaperone activity associated to the defective chaperones would be modified considerably.

The DNAJB2/HSP1 gene is a member of the HSP40/DNAJ chaperone family, characterized by a highly conserved domain of about 70 amino acids, the J domain. This domain allows proteins of this family to interact with Hsp70, and to regulate its ATPase-dependent activity in protein folding and in protein complex dissociation (Hageman et al., 2010). Moreover, spliced transcript variants have been described for the DNAJB2 gene that encode different isoforms, one of which, DNAJB2a, participates in the resolution of protein aggregates associated with important neurodegenerative diseases (Chen et al., 2016 and references cited therein). Although this protein is mainly expressed in the brain, it has also been localized in normal and diseased skeletal muscle, where it is thought to influence protein turnover through the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway (Claes et al., 2010). DNAJB2 interacts with ubiquitin chains and their fusion proteins, and since the proteasome mediates the degradation of selected proteins, it is possible that some of these proteins are related to the cytoskeleton (microtubules, intermediate filaments, and microfilaments), in accordance with the role of the other chaperones involved in dHMN (see below).

There are 10 cases where autosomal recessive inheritance has been associated to mutations in the DNAJB2 gene (Table 1). The first mutation was reported in homozygosis, DNAJB2 c.352+1G>A, and it was identified in a Moroccan family with a dHMN phenotype (dHMN5) by genome-wide mapping (Blumen et al., 2012). In this case, the expression of DNAJB2 was dampened in fibroblasts from the patients and overexpression of the protein reduced the formation of inclusions in a neuronal cellular model, suggesting DNAJB2 is active in motor neurons and/or muscle (Blumen et al., 2012). Two additional homozygous mutations were later described in the DNAJB2 gene, c.229+1G>A and c.14A>G (p.Y5C), in a family diagnosed with dHMN (dHMN5) and another with CMT2 (CMT2T), respectively (Gess et al., 2014). More recently, a homozygous large deletion was reported in a family with spinal muscular atrophy and parkinsonism, broadening the clinical spectrum of DNAJB2 related neuropathies (Sanchez et al., 2016).

To date, the remaining known patients with mutations in the DNAJB2 gene carry the c.352+1G>A mutation in homozygosis: 5 families from Spain (Frasquet et al., 2016; Lupo et al., 2016) and one from Brazil (Teive et al., 2015). These Spanish families were investigated by haplotype analysis and they carried the same homozygous haplotype. Hence, the DNAJB2 c.352+1G>A mutation appears to be a founder event (Lupo et al., 2016), and it is shared with a family reported elsewhere (Blumen et al., 2012). The patients in Spain displayed a dHMN or CMT2 phenotype and, in some cases, initial clinical manifestations that were consistent with dHMN and that subsequently evolved to CMT2 (Frasquet et al., 2016). Moreover, the peripheral motor neuropathy recently described in a Brazilian family carrying the DNAJB2 c.352+1G>A mutation was associated with parkinsonism and cerebellar ataxia (Teive et al., 2015). Some patients show parkinsonian symptoms (Frasquet et al., 2016; Sanchez et al., 2016; Teive et al., 2015), which probably are due to the DNAJB2 mutations. Other additional symptoms such as cerebellar ataxia may be coincidental. Further studies of a larger analytical series will be necessary to define the clinical manifestations associated with DNAJB2 mutations in more depth.

HSPB1, HSPB3, and HSPB8 are the three other chaperones associated with dHMNs, and they are all members of the sHsp family. These proteins are characterized by a highly conserved α-crystallin domain that is related to their chaperone activity, which is more closely associated with an 80–100 amino acid domain in the C- rather than the N-terminal region of the protein (Nefedova et al., 2015). These chaperones are normally found as monomers, but under stress, they tend to also interact with each other to form large, labile homo- and hetero-oligomeric complexes of more than twenty identical or different subunits, driving their recognition and interaction with new protein clients (Arrigo, 2013). Certain sHsps are tissue specific, while others are more ubiquitously expressed in function of the tissue and conditions. The main role of sHsps is to carry their denatured clients to ATP-dependent chaperones for renaturation or to the cell’s protein degradation machinery (proteasomes and autophagosomes). In terms of dHMN and HMSN, sHsps stabilize the activities of the cell cytoskeleton, interacting with most of its proteins components, as well as preventing oxidative stress (Nefedova et al., 2015).

Autosomal dominant mutations in the HSPB1/HSP27 gene were first described in four families with dHMN (dHMN2B) and in one family with CMT2 (CMT2F) (Evgrafov et al., 2004). More than 30 different mutations causing dHMN or CMT2 have since been described in the HSPB1 gene, some of which also produce other manifestations (Table 1; Evgrafov et al., 2004; Kijima et al., 2005; Tang et al., 2005; Chung et al., 2008; Houlden et al., 2008; James et al., 2008; Ikeda et al., 2009; Luigetti et al., 2010; Mandich et al., 2010; Solla et al., 2010; Murphy et al., 2012; Rossor et al., 2012b; Sivera et al., 2013; Ylikallio et al., 2014, 2015). An autosomal recessive mutation in the HSPB1 gene was identified in a consanguineous family with a similar clinical profile (Houlden et al., 2008). On the whole, HSPB1 mutations are inherited dominantly and while most involve a change in one codon, they may also produce a frameshift or premature stop codons. The protein encoded by this gene is ubiquitously expressed and it is induced by environmental stress, translocating from the cytoplasm to the nucleus to influence stress resistance and produce other changes. The known mutations are located in all three domains of the protein: N-terminus, α-crystallin and C-terminus. These HSPB1 mutations mostly modify the oligomeric state of the protein, usually negatively but also positively (certain mutations in the α-crystallin domain), altering its chaperone activity and in both cases affecting normal cytoskeletal function. HSPB1 is involved in the organization of the neurofilament network, which is important to maintain the axonal cytoskeleton and transport, and indeed, overexpression of HSPB1 mutants...
### TABLE 1 | Mutations reported in DNAJB2, HSPB1, HSPB3 and HSPB8 involved in hereditary neuropathies.

| Gene   | HGVS (nucleotide) | HGVS (protein) | Disease/Phenotype                                                                 | References                                                                 |
|--------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| DNAJB2 | c.352+1G>A        | donor site     | dHMN/CMT2                                                                         | Blumen et al., 2012; Frasquet et al., 2016; Lupo et al., 2016              |
|        | c.229+1G>A        | donor site     | dHMN                                                                              | Gess et al., 2014                                                         |
|        | c.14A>G           | p.Y5C          | dHMN                                                                              | Gess et al., 2014                                                         |
| HSPB1  | c.20C>G           | p.P7R          | CMT2                                                                              | Luigetti et al., 2010                                                    |
|        | c.45C>A           | p.S15R         | Peripheral neuropathy                                                             | Antoniadi et al., 2015                                                  |
|        | c.100G>A          | p.G34R         | CMT2                                                                              | Luigetti et al., 2010                                                    |
|        | c.116C>T          | p.P39L         | dHMN/CMT2                                                                         | Houlden et al., 2008; Muranova et al., 2015; Yavarna et al., 2015         |
|        | c.250G>A          | p.G84R         | CMT2                                                                              | Capponi et al., 2011; Muranova et al., 2015; James et al., 2008; Fischer et al., 2012; Nefedova et al., 2015 |
|        | c.250G>C          | p.G84R         | dHMN                                                                              | James et al., 2008; Fischer et al., 2012; Nefedova et al., 2015           |
|        | c.257C>T          | p.S86L         | dHMN/CMT2                                                                         | Scarlato et al., 2015                                                  |
|        | c.295C>A          | p.L99M         | dHMN/CMT2                                                                         | Houlden et al., 2008; Nefedova et al., 2015; Ylikallio et al., 2014        |
|        | c.380G>T          | p.R136L        | dHMN/CMT2                                                                         | Capponi et al., 2011; Gaeta et al., 2012; Stancanelli et al., 2015         |
|        | c.404C>G          | p.S135C        | CMT2                                                                              | Benedetti et al., 2010; Oberstadt et al., 2016                           |
|        | c.404C>T          | p.S135F        | CMT2                                                                              | Evgrafov et al., 2004; Almeida-Souza et al., 2010, 2011                   |
|        | c.404A>T          | p.S135Y        | CMT2                                                                              | Evgrafov et al., 2004; Almeida-Souza et al., 2010, 2011                   |
|        | c.407G>T          | p.R136W        | CMT2                                                                              | Evgrafov et al., 2004; Almeida-Souza et al., 2010, 2011                   |
|        | c.418C>T          | p.R140G        | CMT2                                                                              | Houlden et al., 2008; Nefedova et al., 2015; Ylikallio et al., 2014        |
|        | c.421A>C          | p.K141Q        | dHMN                                                                              | Ikeda et al., 2009; Nefedova et al., 2013; Maeda et al., 2014             |
|        | c.452C>T          | p.R188W        | CMT2                                                                              | Capponi et al., 2011; Gaeta et al., 2012; Stancanelli et al., 2015         |
|        | c.490A>G          | p.T164A        | CMT2                                                                              | Lin et al., 2011                                                         |
|        | c.523C>T          | p.Q175X        | CMT2                                                                              | Rossor et al., 2012b                                                      |
|        | c.539C>T          | p.T180I        | dHMN/CMT2                                                                         | Luigetti et al., 2010                                                    |
|        | c.545C>T          | p.P173S        | Distal myopathy/dHMN                                                              | Ghaoui et al., 2016                                                      |
|        | c.544C>T          | p.P173S        | dHMN                                                                              | Kijima et al., 2005                                                      |
|        | c.562C>T          | p.R188W        | CMT2                                                                              | Capponi et al., 2011; Gaeta et al., 2012; Stancanelli et al., 2015         |
| HSPB3  | c.21G>T           | p.R7S          | dHMN                                                                              | Kolb et al., 2010                                                        |
|        | c.21G>C           | p.L141N        | dHMN/CMT2                                                                         | Irobi et al., 2004                                                       |
|        | c.423G>A          | p.L141E        | dHMN                                                                              | Irobi et al., 2004                                                       |
|        | c.242A>C          | p.L141T        | CMT2                                                                              | Tang B. S. et al., 2005                                                  |
|        | c.151InsC         | p.P173SfsX43   | Distal myopathy/dHMN                                                             | Nakhro et al., 2013                                                      |

**ALS**, Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; CMT2, Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease type 2 or axonal; dHMN, Distal hereditary motor neuropathy; HMSN, hereditary motor and sensory neuropathy.

produces protein aggregates and altered neurofilament transport in the axon (Evgrafov et al., 2004; Ackerley et al., 2006; Zhai et al., 2007). Thus, an increased interaction with tubulin and an enhanced stability of the microtubule network has been observed for some mutants (Almeida-Souza et al., 2011). Moreover, there are severe defects in axon transport in transgenic mice expressing human mutant HSPB1 in neurons due to a decrease in acetylated α-tubulin (d’Ydewalle et al., 2011). As a result, inhibitors of histone deacetylase 6 (HDAC6, a client of HSPB1 that acetylates α-tubulin) have successfully reversed the axonal loss in a mouse model of CMT2F that expresses mutant HSPB1 (d’Ydewalle et al., 2011). HSPB1 is also involved in a variety of human diseases, such as cancer, Alzheimer’s disease and heart disease (Sun and MacRae, 2005).

At present, only one family is thought to carry clinical mutations in the HSPB3/HSPL27 gene: a missense mutation c.21G>T (p.R7S) described in two affected sisters who suffer from dHMN (dHMN2C) (Table 1; Kolb et al., 2010). The function of HSPB3 is not fully understood, although replacing the positively charged R7 residue with a neutral polar amino acid produces protein aggregates and altered neurofilament transport in the axon (Evgrafov et al., 2004; Ackerley et al., 2006; Zhai et al., 2007). Thus, an increased interaction with tubulin and an enhanced stability of the microtubule network has been observed for some mutants (Almeida-Souza et al., 2011). Moreover, there are severe defects in axon transport in transgenic mice expressing human mutant HSPB1 in neurons due to a decrease in acetylated α-tubulin (d’Ydewalle et al., 2011). As a result, inhibitors of histone deacetylase 6 (HDAC6, a client of HSPB1 that acetylates α-tubulin) have successfully reversed the axonal loss in a mouse model of CMT2F that expresses mutant HSPB1 (d’Ydewalle et al., 2011). HSPB1 is also involved in a variety of human diseases, such as cancer, Alzheimer’s disease and heart disease (Sun and MacRae, 2005).
would affect its structure and therefore, its proprieties. In contrast, the ubiquitinous expression and function of HSPB1 and HSPB8, HSPB3 is more tissue specific (heart, brain, skeletal and smooth muscle) and it is expressed strongly in muscle (Sugiyama et al., 2000). HSPB3 interacts with HSPB2 and these two proteins in turn both interact with HSPB8, potentially contributing to maintain myofibril integrity (Fontaine et al., 2005). Finally, HSPB3 and HSPB2 are upregulated in a mouse model for spinal and bulb muscular atrophy (SBMA), an inherited motoneuron disease (Rusmini et al., 2015).

Mutations in the HSPB8/HSP22 gene were first associated with dHMN (dHMN2A) (Irobi et al., 2004) and later, with CMT2 (CMT2L) (Table 1; Tang B. S. et al., 2005). Four mutations have been described and they all affect position K141: c.423G>T/c.423G>C (p.K141N), c.421A>G (p.K141E), and c.422A>C (p.K141T). These mutations are all transmitted in an autosomal dominant fashion (Irobi et al., 2004; Tang B. S. et al., 2005; Nakhro et al., 2013), and this hot-spot residue is located in a hydrophobic stretch of the α-crystallin domain. The mutations eliminate the positive charge of the K41 amino acid, which will affect the interactions of HSPB8 with other sHsps like HSPB7, HSPB3, and HSPB2 (Irobi et al., 2004; Fontaine et al., 2006; Kasakov et al., 2007; Nakhro et al., 2013). Mutational screening in a large clinical series revealed additional patients but no novel mutations associated with dHMN or CMT2 (Dierick et al., 2008; Sivera et al., 2013; Fridman et al., 2015). However, two mutations, c.421A>G (p.K141E), and c.151insC (p.P173SfsX43) were recently described in two unrelated families with a new distal neuropathy phenotype, expanding the clinical phenotype associated with HSPB8 (Ghaoui et al., 2016).

HSPB8 is ubiquitously expressed (particularly strongly in the spinal cord, and especially in motor and sensory neurons), and it acts as a chaperone and a regulator of apoptosis (Shemetrov et al., 2008). HspB8 acts as a chaperone in association with the co-chaperones Bag3 and Stub1, stimulating chaperone-assisted selective macroautophagy in muscle to maintain the actin cytoskeleton (Arndt et al., 2010). Expression of HSPB8 mutants in cell models promotes the formation of intracellular aggregates and it augments cell death (Benn et al., 2002; Irobi et al., 2004). These protein aggregates are also observed in fibroblasts from patients who carry HSPB8 mutations, and they are coupled to a decrease in mitochondrial membrane potential and a reduction in cell viability (Irobi et al., 2012; Vicario et al., 2014). Although the pathological mechanisms underlying these conditions remain enigmatic, specific motor neuron degeneration is associated with HSPB8 mutations (Irobi et al., 2010). In addition, expression of this protein can be induced by estrogen in estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer cells, indicating a role in carcinogenesis, and suggesting the possible involvement of HspB8 in regulating cell proliferation and apoptosis.

Since mutations in these four chaperones, as well as those in other genes, produce a similar pathological phenotype, it would seem obvious that they must share some pathogenic pathways. It has been proposed that most, if not all, of the proteins affected in dHMN/CMT2 are related with the impaired axonal trafficking of cell components (Bucci et al., 2012; Gentil and Cooper, 2012). Considering the activity of all the chaperones described above, it appears that mutations in all these genes could affect the cytoskeleton, either by interacting with relevant proteins (e.g., in the case of the sHsps) or by regulating their specific degradation (e.g., in the case of DNAJB2 and HspB8). Since the cytoskeleton participates in axonal transport, as well as in the dynamics of various organelles and plasma membrane receptors, there are clear potential relationships with other mutations that cause dHMN/CMT2. To date there are no effective treatments for these diseases and therefore, much more research is needed to understand the consequences of each specific mutation that provokes them. However, one potential therapy to be considered, at least in certain cases of these chaperonopathies, could be to overexpress the chaperone to rescue its defective functions. Indeed, the overexpression of HspB8 ameliorates the accumulation of aggregates associated with the p.P182L mutation in HspB1 (Carra et al., 2010), or the effects on its clients, as illustrated by the use of inhibitors of histone deacetylase 6 to treat CMT2F (d’Ydewalle et al., 2011).
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