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Abstract

Current climate warming is expected to continue in coming decades, whereas high N deposition may stabilize, in contrast to the clear decrease in S deposition. These pressures have distinctive regional patterns and their resulting impact on soil conditions is modified by local site characteristics. We have applied the VSD+ soil dynamic model to study impacts of deposition and climate change on soil properties, using MetHyd and GrowUp as pre-processors to provide input to VSD+. The single-layer soil model VSD+ accounts for processes of organic C and N turnover, as well as charge and mass balances of elements, cation exchange and base cation weathering. We calibrated VSD+ at 26 ecosystem study sites throughout Europe using observed conditions, and simulated key soil properties: soil solution pH (pH), soil base saturation (BS) and soil organic carbon and nitrogen ratio (C:N) under projected deposition of N and S, and climate warming until 2100. The sites are forested, located in the Mediterranean, forested alpine, Atlantic, continental and boreal regions. They represent the long-term ecological research (LTER) Europe network, including sites of the ICP Forests and ICP Integrated Monitoring (IM) programmes under the UNECE Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution (LRTAP), providing high quality long-term data on ecosystem response. Simulated future soil conditions improved under projected
decrease in deposition and current climate conditions: higher pH, BS and C:N at 21, 16 and 12 of the sites, respectively. When climate change was included in the scenario analysis, the variability of the results increased. Climate warming resulted in higher simulated pH in most cases, and higher BS and C:N in roughly half of the cases. Especially the increase in C:N was more marked with climate warming. The study illustrates the value of LTER sites for applying models to predict soil responses to multiple environmental changes.
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Highlights

• VSD+ dynamic soil model was applied at diverse LTER- Europe sites
• We employ data from LTER, UNECE ICP IM and ICP Forest networks
• Soil pH and BS were projected to increase under decrease in S, N deposition
• Simulations with climate warming gave more variable results
• Climate warming led to higher soil C:N at half of the sites, lower at one third

1 Introduction

Current climate warming is expected to continue in coming decades, while high European nitrogen (N) deposition may stabilize, in contrast to the clear decrease in sulphur (S) deposition (Tørseth et al. 2012, Waldner et al. 2014, Fagerli et al. 2016). The long-term impacts of N on vegetation and biodiversity in terrestrial ecosystems have been identified (Bobbink et al. 2010, Dirnböck et al. 2014, Ferretti et al. 2014) and are likely to continue unless deposition rates decline. Impacts of N on leaching water quality continue, while those of S decline with deposition (de Wit et al. 2015, Vuorenmaa et al. 2018). Climate warming and air pollution have distinctive regional patterns and their resulting impact on soil conditions and vegetation is modified by local site characteristics (Jones et al. 2004, Bertini et al. 2011, Pardo et al. 2011, Merilä et al. 2014, Jonard et al. 2015). There is increasing recognition that anthropogenic pressures and consequent environmental responses are best studied in concert in a multidisciplinary setting (e.g., De Vries et al. 2017, Mirtl et al. 2018). The direct effects of air pollution on ecosystems and habitats have been addressed through research and policy development underpinning the UNECE Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution (LRTAP Convention) (e.g., Holmberg et al. 2013, De Wit et al. 2015, Vuorenmaa et al. 2017). Informed use of science to promote sustainable development is advanced by the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES) (e.g., Barnosky et al. 2012, Honrado et al. 2016). Climate change effects on ecosystems and biodiversity have been studied extensively (e.g., McMahon et al. 2011, Corlett et al. 2013, Garcia et al. 2014, He at al. 2016). Air pollution and climate change interact in numerous ways, and can mitigate effects, e.g., through increased CO₂ uptake in N-polluted forests (De Vries et al. 2006), or worsen them, e.g., through increased N₂O fluxes under N pollution, or the combined acidifying effects of N and S deposition (e.g., Forsius et al. 2005, Garmo et al. 2014). Understanding and predicting ecosystem responses to 21st century environmental change requires the capacity to simulate the combined effects of different drivers on soils, vegetation and species diversity. Combined models that include soil and species responses may provide this capacity (De Vries et al. 2010). The coupled biogeochemical and vegetation community model VSD+PROPS has been applied in the United States by McDonnell et al. (2018), who found that historical changes in N deposition had pronounced impacts on simulated HSI, a biodiversity metric (Rowe et al. 2016).
In this study we demonstrate an application of the VSD+ model (Bonten et al. 2016), with its pre-processors MetHyd and GrowUp, to 26 ecosystem study sites throughout Europe. The objectives of this work were i) to compile and report the necessary data to apply the model chain at 26 sites; ii) to evaluate the VSD+ calibration to current observations; iii) to describe future projections of soil solution pH, soil BS, C:N at 26 sites. The presented VSD+ calibrations are intended to be used for further modelling including vegetation responses. This paper provides the first phase for a demonstration of the use of a model chain that may ultimately provide input to policy analysis (Fig. 1). The sites where the models were applied represent the LTER-Europe site network (Haase et al. 2018, Mollenhauer et al. 2018), covering a wide range of environmental conditions within several distinct biomes. By including (partly co-located) sites of the ICP Forests and ICP Integrated Monitoring programmes under the LRTAP Convention (ICP Forests 2018, ICP IM 2018), we were able to make use of high quality long-term data on ecosystem response. We also used data provided by the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP 2018). To our knowledge, this is the first multi-site application of the VSD+ model chain at such a broad regional extent in Europe.

2 Methods
2.1 Modelling approach

We used a systems approach in applying a detailed model chain employing data and services from long-term ecological research infrastructures (Fig. 1). The single-layer soil model VSD+ (Bonten et al. 2016) accounts for processes of organic C and N turnover as well as charge and mass balances of elements, cation exchange and base cation weathering. We used VSD+ Studio (version 5.6.2, 2017) together with its accompanying pre-processors MetHyd (version 1.9.1, 2017) and GrowUp (version 1.3.2, 2017). We applied the soil dynamic model VSD+ to simulate the impacts of N and S deposition on soil solution pH (pH), soil base saturation (BS) and soil organic carbon to nitrogen ratio (C:N) at 26 sites throughout Europe (Fig. 2, Table A1). The simulations were carried out both under future climate conditions close to current climate, and with 24 regional climate scenarios, representing the two greenhouse gas concentration trajectories (RCP4.5 and RCP8.5) with twelve combinations of a modelling chain of global and regional climate models as well as bias adjustment methods (Supplementary Table A2).

Figure 1 (and graphical abstract). Systems perspective on modelling ecosystem impacts of multiple drivers. Circles 1, 2 and 3 show the components that are the focus of this article: The model chain from MetHyd and GrowUp to the dynamic soil model VSD+ simulating soil acidity and nutrient status. The aim is to use the output of VSD+ for further modelling including vegetation responses (e.g., PROPS, circle 4). Box 5 denotes the supporting components: monitoring and data management infrastructures by
the LTER, UNECE ICP IM and ICP Forest networks, and EMEP and EURO-CORDEX-related services for providing data on current and projected deposition, and regional climate. The aim of this study is to demonstrate the use of a model chain that may ultimately provide input to policy assessment (Box 6).

2.2 Models

2.2.1 MetHyd

MetHyd is the meteo-hydrological pre-processor for hydro-meteorological data of VSD+ to calculate daily evapotranspiration, soil moisture, precipitation surplus and parameters related to N processes (Bonten et al. 2016). MetHyd reads daily data on temperature, precipitation and radiation, or, alternatively, derives daily inputs from monthly data. MetHyd input includes information on soil properties such as bulk density, the content of clay, sand and organic C. Also soil hydraulic properties (soil water content at saturation, field capacity, wilting point and at hydraulic tension of -1 bar) can be given as input to MetHyd, or derived in MetHyd from given soil properties. We used MetHyd to calculate annual values of soil moisture \((\text{Theta, m}^3 \text{m}^{-3})\), precipitation surplus \((\text{percol, m yr}^{-1})\), as well as soil- and temperature dependent coefficients for mineralization, nitrification and denitrification \((\text{rf}_{\text{minR}}, \text{rf}_{\text{nib}}, \text{rf}_{\text{denit}})\), used as input to VSD+ to modify the turnover rates of organic matter. Other MetHyd output variables used as VSD+ input are monthly precipitation \((\text{Precip, mm})\) and monthly average air temperature \((\text{TempC, °C})\).

2.2.2 GrowUp

GrowUp is a tool to estimate forest growth, litter fall and nutrient uptake in forest stands (Bonten et al. 2016). GrowUp reads input on (European) region, N deposition, forest growth and management (planting, thinning and clear-cut) and computes time series of uptake of N and base cations (Ca, Mg and K), and C and N in litter fall. We used GrowUp to derive annual values of uptake of N and base cations as well as the amount of C and N in litter fall returning to the soil. GrowUp calculates the uptake of Ca, Mg and K as net values (growth uptake minus litter fall), because the assumption in VSD+ is that cations are available for leaching and cation exchange immediately after root turnover or litter fall and thus only the net fluxes of these elements are needed as input to the model. The total annual litter fall flux of N, however, influences the C and N processes in VSD+, and thus N fluxes in litter fall and growth uptake are reported separately by GrowUp as input to VSD+. In GrowUp, the N content in litter fall is constrained by species-specific limit values and increases within these limits with N deposition. GrowUp uses logistic growth curves to calculate stem growth, or alternatively interpolates the annual stem growth from user-specified yield tables and management scenarios, e.g., low or no management activities in the case of unmanaged forests. The user may specify biomass expansion factors and maximum amount of leaves, or use the default values given by the model for different regions and tree species. Also, turnover rates and nutrient (N, Ca, Mg, K) contents of tree compartments have default values that can be modified by the user (Bonten et al. 2016).

2.2.3 VSD+

VSD+ (Bonten et al. 2016) is an extension of the Very Simple Dynamic (VSD) model (Posch and Reinds 2009), the latter developed to support the assessment of emissions abatements of S and N, e.g., to simulate the recovery from acidification on a European scale (Reinds et al. 2009). VSD+ is used to calculate critical loads for S and N in support of Dutch environmental policies (van Hinsberg et al. 2011, 2014, 2015, 2017). It is subject to extensive quality criteria, and relevant details on model testing,
validation and sensitivity analysis are given in Mol-Dijkstra and Reinds (2017). VSD+ has also been applied to study carbon sequestration in European forest ecosystems (De Vries et al. 2017). It is a single layer model, and its input parameters include thickness of soil layer, soil bulk density, clay content and cation exchange capacity. Options for simulating cation exchange are the Gaines-Thomas or the Gapon model, which are controlled by the values of the selectivity constants for Al – Bc and H – Bc exchange. Cations Ca, Mg, and K are summed as Bc, where two K\(^{+}\) ions are treated as one divalent ion. In case organic acids are included in the simulations, one may use either a constant, or a pH dependent dissociation parameter (Posch and Reinds 2009). Other parameters influencing the calculations are the initial values of soil C pool, the initial C:N ratio, and the weathering rates of Ca, Mg, K and Na. VSD+ reads the results of MetHyd and GrowUp, and provides information (soil solution pH and soil C:N) that may be used as input for vegetation response modelling by, e.g., PROPS (Fig. 1). The output of VSD+ includes the soil solution concentrations of H, Al, SO\(_4\), NO\(_3\), NH\(_4\), Ca, Mg, K, Na, soil base saturation (BS, exchangeable Ca, Mg and K as fractions of cation exchange capacity), and soil C and N pools (Bonten et al. 2016). A sensitivity analysis by Mol-Dijkstra and Reinds (2017) showed that the simulated soil solution pH is to a large extent determined by two parameters – the constant for the equilibrium between H\(^{+}\) and Al\(^{3+}\) in the soil solution (K\(_{Alox}\)) and the weathering rate of Ca (Ca\(_{we}\)). Similarly, the exchange constant between H\(^{+}\) and base cations (K\(_{HBC}\)) and the weathering rate of Ca (Ca\(_{we}\)) are the most important parameters for soil BS, whereas input of C (C\(_{lf}\)) and N (N\(_{lf}\)) in litter fall, and the uptake of N (N\(_{upt}\)) are important influencing factors for the simulated soil C:N ratio.

VSD+ provides input for PROPS, which is an empirical model that predicts the occurrence probabilities of plant species in response to a combination of climatic factors (temperature T, precipitation P), N deposition, soil solution pH and soil C:N ratio (Reinds et al. 2014, 2015). PROPS results allow for the calculation of several biodiversity metrics for the assessment of air pollution abatement measures (Rowe et al. 2016). PROPS has recently been applied in Austria (Dirnböck et al. 2017a) and the Eastern United States (McDonnell et al. 2018) for the assessment of combined effects of air pollution and climate change.

2.3 Data for calibration

The 26 sites of this study are part of the European network for Long Term Ecological Research (LTER Europe), the International Co-operative Programmes on Assessment and Monitoring of Air Pollution Effects on Forests (ICP Forests), and on Integrated Monitoring of Air Pollution Effects on Ecosystems (ICP IM) under the UNECE LRTAP Convention. The data used for the model applications at the sites are produced by the monitoring and data management infrastructures of these networks. The sites are forested, representing deciduous, evergreen or mixed forest, and boreal forests (taiga). They are located in Europe, in Atlantic, continental, Mediterranean, forested alpine and boreal climate regions (Figure 2, Supplementary Table A1 ‘Site characteristics’). The regional distribution of the sites covers the deposition gradients of air pollution in Europe, including some of the sites studied by Vuorenmaa et al. (2017). The soils at the sites include cambisols, podzols, leptosols, luvisols, histosols, regosols, stagnosols, arenosols, rendzinas, lithosols and rankers. The sites are well studied, and observations concerning past and present-day conditions that were used to set up the models and employed in the calibrations were available from previous studies (e.g., Starr et al. 1998, Larssen 2005, Ukonmaanaho et al. 2008, Bertini et al. 2011, Verstraeten et al. 2012, Ferretti et al. 2014, Zetterberg et al. 2014, Monteith et al. 2016, Sier and Monteith 2016, Dirnböck et al. 2017a, 2017b, Vuorenmaa et al. 2017). In selecting sites for the model applications, we considered data availability, both with respect to observed values of key model output variables (Supplementary Table A3 ‘Summary of data’), and with respect to VSD+
input parameters (Supplementary Table A4 ‘Input VSD+ parameter values’).

Figure 2. Location of sites where the model chain (MetHyd, GrowUp, VSD+) was applied.

MetHyd was used with site-specific monthly, or for some sites, daily observed temperature, precipitation, and sunshine or radiation data from local weather stations (e.g., Futter et al. 2011, Tørseth et al. 2012, Neyrinck et al. 2012, Aas et al. 2015). The time periods of observed current climate (Supplementary Table A5 ‘MetHyd input and output’) covered the periods of observed soil chemistry. For example, for the Finnish sites, monthly observations of temperature (T) and precipitation (P) by the Finnish Meteorological Institute from nearby weather stations (FMI 1403 1963 – 2015 for FI01; FMI 3904 1971 – 2015 for FI03) were used. For the early simulation period, i.e., 1880 to the beginning of observed weather data, average values of the first part (until 1999) of the observations period were used, and for the late simulation period, i.e., end of observed weather data to 2100, average values of the latter part (from 2000) of the observation period were used. MetHyd was not calibrated to match observed runoff at the sites.

GrowUp was used with information on forest region, tree species and N deposition. Additional data on litter fall biomass and N concentrations were available (e.g., Fabbio and Amorini 2002, Andreassen et al. 2002, Janssens et al. 2002, Neyrinck et al. 2008, Ukonmaanaho et al. 2008, Bertini et al. 2011, Kobler et al. 2015). Also, more general area and species specific yield tables were utilized; e.g., for the Finnish and
the Polish sites, information derived from the EFISCEN inventory database was used (Schelhaas et al. 2006).

For each site, observations of soil BS and C:N ratio, soil solution pH and soil solution concentrations \([\text{SO}_4^{2-}], [\text{NO}_3^-], [\text{NH}_4^+], [\text{Bc}^{2+}]\) were available for different time periods (Neirynck et al. 2008, Wu et al. 2010, Jost et al. 2011, Köhler et al. 2011, Verstraeten et al. 2012, Ferretti et al. 2014, Zetterberg et al. 2014, Dirnböck et al. 2016, 2017a, 2017b, Timmermann et al. 2017). The periods and the number of observations used in this study are shown in Supplementary Table A3 ‘Summary of data’. The observations were aggregated to match the VSD+ model resolution: annual time step and one aggregated soil layer. Soil solution concentrations were aggregated over time, and soil layer specific parameters over the profile depth, as volume weighted means. The input parameter values for VSD+ are compiled in Supplementary Table A4.

2.4 Calibration

The graphical user interface of VSD+ Studio provides an automatic calibration routine, which utilizes a Bayesian approach. The probability distribution of the parameter vector is updated based on an initially assumed distribution and a dataset for verification of the model results. A Markov chain Monte Carlo method is used to perform the calibration (Reinds et al. 2008, Bonten et al. 2016). We applied the automatic calibration routine together with manual adjustment of the parameters. The final values for the calibrated parameters were chosen by taking account of both the results of the automatic calibration and visual inspection of the overall performance of the model. The following VSD+ parameters were calibrated at most sites: cation exchange (\(K_{\text{AlBc}}, K_{\text{HBc}}\)), the cation weathering rates (\(Ca, Mg, K, Na\)), the initial C pool; and 4) the initial C:N ratio. Observations of BS were used to calibrate the cation exchange parameters. Soil solution pH was used to calibrate weathering rates, as well as soil solution [Bc], when available. Observations of the C pool and the C:N ratio were used to adjust the initial values of these variables. The parameter for the dissolution of aluminium hydroxides (\(K_{\text{Alox}}\)) was also calibrated at some sites, using observations of pH and soil solution [Al\(^{3+}\)]. The calibration results were evaluated using the normalized mean absolute error (NMAE), the Pearson correlation coefficient, the coefficient of determination (RSqr) and the coefficient of efficiency (CE) evaluation metrics (Dawson et al. 2007).

2.5 Scenarios and projections

2.5.1 Deposition

Site-specific values for deposition of S and N were obtained both for past and future periods (Schöpp et al. 2003). Deposition values for 2005, 2010, 2020 and 2030 are based on the latest EMEP model version (Simpson et al. 2012), using the current legislation scenario (CLE) with revised Gothenburg Protocol emissions and a maximum feasible reduction scenario (MFR). The EMEP model provides receptor-specific deposition, to forest, semi-natural vegetation or as grid-average values at 0.50° × 0.25° resolution. For the sites of this study, deposition values to forests were used. The historic deposition values are based on older EMEP-model versions (Schöpp et al. 2003).

The regional variation in deposition is reflected both in the levels of the historical peak deposition values and those of the cumulative annual deposition values for the period 1880–2100 (Fig. 3). The historical peak deposition occurred in different years at different sites. Expressed as moles of charge or equivalents (eq m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\)), which is the relevant unit for studying the impacts on soil acidification, the peak of S
deposition was higher than peak N deposition at all sites. Only at two sites, however, are the cumulative values of S deposition higher than those of N deposition. Observed deposition fluxes of S have decreased substantially, while N deposition decreases have been less marked (Vuorenmaa et al. 2017). This levelling off of the decrease in N deposition is reflected in the N deposition projections. Simulations with VSD+ were carried out for the period 1880 to 2100 with the CLE deposition.

![Figure 3](image_url)

Figure 3. Historical peak deposition (left) and cumulative (1880–2100) values (right) of S deposition versus that of total N deposition onto forests at the 26 sites.

Projected future soil solution pH, soil BS and C:N were simulated for the 26 sites with the VSD+ model. The CLE scenario for S and N deposition for the period 2010 to 2100 was used as input to the simulation runs with GrowUp and VSD+.

2.5.2 Climate information

Data on future climate change used in this study were taken from an ensemble of regional climate change projections from the World Climate Research Programme’s (WCRP) Coordinated Regional Downscaling Experiment (CORDEX) project, a diagnostic model inter-comparison project for CMIP6 (Giorgi et al. 2009, Gutowski et al. 2016). For this study, daily mean 2m air temperature, daily mean global radiation, and total daily precipitation regional climate model (RCM) outputs on a common 0.11° resolution pan-European grid were used. The RCM data in this study were from the EURO-CORDEX initiative, the European branch of the CORDEX project, available through the data nodes of the Earth System Grid Federation (ESGF) model data dissemination system (Cinquini et al. 2014). Within EURO-CORDEX, CMIP5 GCMs (Taylor et al. 2012) for Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) RCP2.6, RCP4.5, and RCP8.5 (Moss et al. 2010) have been dynamically downscaled through a coordinated multi-model, multi-physics experiment to provide high resolution, regional climate change projections (Jacob et al. 2014). Control simulations, driven by 20th century greenhouse gas concentrations (GHG), cover the time span from 1950 to 2005, from 2006 to 2100 projection simulations based on RCP GHG scenarios are available. Jacob et al. (2014) contains a basic analysis of the climate change in the EURO-CORDEX ensemble, while in evaluation studies such as Kotlarski et al. (2014), ensemble evaluation runs are compared with observations. Prein et al. (2016) investigate the added value of the 12km resolution for the reproduction of precipitation amounts and spatial patterns.
In this study, bias adjusted EURO-CORDEX RCM data, available via the ESGF data nodes were used. With process-based impact modelling, systematic biases in the RCMs as they are also inherent in the EURO-CORDEX data (Kotlarski et al. 2014) are usually corrected using a form of statistical bias adjustment; a comprehensive review on the foundations, application and limits of bias adjustment methods is given in Maraun (2016). To the EURO-CORDEX RCMs, a number of different bias adjustment schemes in combination with different calibration data sets have been applied, as indicated in Supplementary Table A2. At the time of data retrieval in April 2017, overall 69 different combinations of RCP – GCM – RCM – bias adjustment method and calibration dataset were available from the ESGF data nodes. Out of these, we selected a set of 12 combinations per RCP4.5 and RCP8.5, for which model outputs of air temperature, precipitation and radiation for both RCPs were available (see Supplementary Table A2 for an overview of datasets used). Note that only air temperature and precipitation were bias adjusted.

Our 12-member subset per RCP of the overall ensemble takes into account data availability and samples the overall spread of the climate change signals of the ensemble. Figure 4 shows, for each site, the mean climate change signals (future time span minus past time span) per model combination for the 30-year means of annual average air temperature and annual sum of precipitation for the periods 1980–2009 and 2060–2089, averaged for the 12 ensemble members per RCP (Fig. 4). The spatial distribution of changes shown in Fig. 4 resembles patterns of changes as presented, e.g., by Jacob et al. (2014, their Fig. 1 c and d). Their results indicate a temperature increase that is most pronounced in southern and (north-)eastern Europe (cf. “SE” and “FI” sites in Fig. 4) and an annual precipitation decrease in southern (c.f. “IT” sites in Fig. 4) and an increase in eastern and northern Europe. Site specific time series of 2m air temperature, global radiation and precipitation from control simulations and climate projections were extracted from the overall 24 selected ensemble members at daily resolution at the nearest neighbour grid point to the actual site location. Because the actual altitude of a site may not match with the altitude of the closest RCM grid element, the 2m air temperature was height corrected using a hypsometric lapse rate of 0.65K/100m before temporal averaging was applied.
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Figure 4. Scatter plot of changes in 30-yr mean values of precipitation (%) versus changes in temperature (°C) from the period 1980 – 2009 to the period 2060 – 2089. Each data point with error bars represents climate change at one site, as average over 12 ensemble members: blue for RCP4.5 and red for RCP8.5.
Error bars calculated as standard deviation of 12 ensemble members. Codes AT01 etc. refer to the sites (Fig. 2, Supplementary Table A1).

For the climate change simulations at the 26 sites MetHyd was used with monthly temperature, precipitation and radiation data according to the 12 climate change projections representing RCP4.5 and 12 projections representing RCP8.5. Simulations with the VSD+ model at the 26 sites were conducted with the 24 climate change projections according to the procedure by Dirnböck et al. (2017a), which accounts for the effects of air temperature, drought stress, and N deposition on forest growth by scaling the input to VSD+ resulting from GrowUp calculations in a manner comparable to De Vries et al. (2017). Average N and base cation uptake and C and N in litter fall derived from the observation data were scaled according to their respective climate conditions (period 1980 to 2015).

3 Results
3.1 Calibration
The observed values of soil BS, C:N and pH were all well reproduced by the calibrated models (Table 1). The observed and simulated values of BS, C:N and pH are given in Supplementary Tables A6, A7 and A8. The calibrated VSD+ parameter values are given in Supplementary Table A9. The observed and simulated values of [NO₃⁻], [NH₄⁺], [SO₄²⁻] and [Ca+Mg+K] are given in Supplementary Tables A10, A11, A12 and A13. The calibration results are presented also as scatterplots (Fig. 5, Supplementary Fig. A1). Time plots for observed and modelled values of pH, [SO₄²⁻], [NO₃⁻], [NH₄⁺] and [Ca+Mg+K] are given in Supplementary Figures A2, A3, A4, A5 and A6. Simulated values of BS, C:N and pH match the observations better than [NO₃⁻] or [SO₄²⁻]. Especially for [NH₄⁺], the simulated values are far from the observed.

Table 1. Measures of performance

|        | BS (N) | C:N (N) | pH (N) | [H⁺] (ueq L⁻¹) | [NO₃⁻] (ueq L⁻¹) | [NH₄⁺] (ueq L⁻¹) | [SO₄²⁻] (ueq L⁻¹) | [Ca+Mg+K] (ueq L⁻¹) |
|--------|--------|---------|--------|----------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| N sites| 24     | 23      | 26     | 26             | 13               | 8                | 11                | 8                   |
| N observations| 25 | 34   | 224 | 224           | 171              | 97               | 144               | 100                |
| NMAE⁵  | 0.23   | 0.10    | 0.06   | 0.41           | 0.78             | 0.98             | 0.60              | 0.48                |
| Pearson⁵| 0.92   | 0.92    | 0.94   | 0.91           | 0.69             | 0.29             | 0.66              | 0.63                |
| RSqr⁶  | 0.84   | 0.84    | 0.89   | 0.84           | 0.47             | 0.08             | 0.44              | 0.39                |
| CE⁶    | 0.81   | 0.83    | 0.86   | 0.81           | 0.27             | -0.09            | 0.18              | 0.37                |

⁵NMAE: Normalized mean absolute error; ⁶Pearson correlation coefficient; RSqr: Coefficient of determination; CE: Coefficient of efficiency
Figure 5. Modelled versus observed values of present day soil BS, C:N (g g$^{-1}$), soil solution pH and [H$^+$] in soil solution at the 26 sites. Dashed line represents 1:1 line. Number of observations (N) and coefficient of determination ($R^2$) are given in the graphs’ upper left corner. Site labels are shown for BS and C:N. Data given in Supplementary Tables A6, A7 and A8. Time plots of pH are shown in Supplementary Figure A2 for those 20 sites for which more than three years of observations were used in this study.

3.2 Projected pH, BS and C:N under the CLE deposition scenario

Projected future soil conditions improved for about half of the sites. In the simulations with current climate conditions and future deposition according to the CLE scenario, soil BS and C:N for the year 2100 were more than 5% higher than for the year 2000 at 16 and 12 sites, respectively, and soil solution pH improved more than 0.02 pH units at 21 sites from 2000 to 2100 (Fig. 6). Not all the sites showed improvement, however, under the CLE deposition scenario, which represents only moderate, although realistic, deposition reductions.
3.3 Projected soil pH, C:N under the CLE deposition and climate change scenarios

When climate change was included in the scenario analysis, the variability of the results increased (Figs. 7, 8, 9). Climate warming clearly had an impact on soil conditions, yielding increases in simulated soil BS, C:N and pH values from the year 2000 to 2100. Especially the increase in C:N was more marked with the climate warming scenarios than with current climate.
Figure 7. Modelled soil BS for 2100 versus the value for 2000 for 24 climate scenarios. Each dot represents one simulation driven by a specific downscaling model chain at a particular study site. All simulations with deposition scenario CLE. The grey line represents 1:1.

Figure 8. Modelled soil C:N for 2100 versus the value for 2000 for 24 climate scenarios. Each dot represents one simulation driven by a specific downscaling model chain at a particular study site. All simulations with deposition scenario CLE. The grey line represents 1:1.
Although a number of the studied RCP8.5 climate scenarios represent pronounced warming, our set of climate scenarios include also RCP8.5 scenarios with lower projected warming, close to the warmest of the RCP4.5 scenarios (Fig. 4). This similarity is reflected in the projected soil impacts. Soil BS and C:N increased or decreased at roughly the same amount of sites per RCP (Fig. 10). Only few sites showed decreasing pH values (Fig. 10). At twelve sites soil BS values were higher in 2100 than in 2000 for all the RCP4.5 climate scenarios (Fig. 10, left). At eight sites, some of the RCP4.5 scenarios resulted in increasing BS, while other RCP4.5 scenarios gave decreasing BS values from 2000 to 2100. Only at six sites did all the RCP4.5 scenarios lead to lower BS values in 2100 than in 2000. The warmer RCP.85 scenarios yielded decreasing values only at four sites, while 22 sites for some of the scenarios. For RCP8.5, 22 sites had higher BS in 2100 than in 2000. For C:N there was a clearer division between sites: either C:N increased (fourteen or fifteen sites) or decreased (9 sites) for all the climate scenarios. Only at three (or two) sites did some climate scenarios lead to increasing and other to decreasing C:N values. Only one site had decreasing pH values for all climate scenarios (Fig. 10).
Figure 10. Simulated change in soil variables from the year 2000 to 2100. Number of sites with only increase (top), both increase and decrease (middle) or only decrease (bottom) in BS, C:N or pH. Increase/decrease defined as BS or C:N more than 5% or pH more than 0.02 pH units higher/lower than in 2000. Simulations performed with deposition scenario CLE and twelve RCP4.5 and twelve RCP8.5 climate scenarios.

With respect to the mean change in simulated soil conditions, there were only small differences between the impacts of the RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 scenarios. At some sites the simulated mean change in BS was somewhat more pronounced with the RCP4.5 scenarios, while at other sites the RCP8.5 scenarios yielded more change. The RCP8.5 scenarios meant higher C:N at some sites but for most sites the mean changes were almost identical for RCP4.5 and RCP8.5. For simulated mean change in soil solution pH, only one site showed decrease in pH and there was hardly any difference between the two sets of climate scenarios at any of the sites.

4 Discussion and conclusions

In the VSD+ simulations roughly half of the sites showed improved soil conditions in terms of base saturation and pH under the CLE deposition scenario, without accounting for climate change (Fig. 6). The improvement corresponds with observed recovery from acidification in sensitive freshwater ecosystems (Garmo et al. 2014, DeWit et al. 2015, Vuorenmaa et al. 2017) and increased nutrient deficiency in forests (Jonard et al. 2015). Improvements (i.e., increases) in soil total C:N ratio were not evident (Fig. 6). This is to be expected, since the proportional decrease in N pollution has been less than the decrease in S pollution, and since biogeochemical responses to changes in N pollution are more varied than those to changes in S pollution. Although immobilisation of N into soil organic matter will lead to decreases in C:N ratio (Mulder et al. 2015, Cools et al. 2014), in some cases the opposite effect is seen (Jones et al. 2004), presumably due to N stimulating the production of plant litter with high C:N ratio. Site specific effects of N deposition on trends in the soil C:N ratio can further be caused by acidification controlling N
transformation in the soil (Brumme and Khanna 2008) and tree species composition (Lovett et al. 2004). In a study reporting long-term changes in input and output concentrations and fluxes at some of the same sites, Vuoremmaa et al. (2018) found a mixed response to decreasing N inputs, while S output more clearly mirrored the decreasing input.

Under climate change, soil solution pH increased for most sites (Figs. 9, 10). Also soil BS increased at many sites on the average (Figs. 7, 10). This corroborates results from others showing that warming can accelerate soil recovery from acidification, because base cation input to the soil increases with an increase in weathering and litter decomposition (Aherne et al. 2012, Gaudio et al. 2015). In some cases the climate warming scenarios resulted in pronounced increase in soil C:N (Figs 8, 10). Especially the RCP8.5 scenarios yielded high mean change in C:N, but also RCP4.5 scenarios increased C:N. At nine sites, however, soil C:N decreased for all climate scenarios. Many of these sites experience high N deposition but are also lowland sites with more severe drought effects in future. Soil water limitations can inhibit tree N uptake and SOM decomposition while N deposition accumulates in SOM causing an increase in soil N.

Our study demonstrates the need for integrated studies considering changes in both deposition and climate variables for studying long-term ecosystem impacts (Wright et al. 2006, Posch et al. 2008, Rask et al. 2014). Further, our study strongly emphasizes the importance of integrated long-term data collection of physical, chemical and biological variables for detecting the variety of impacts of changing environmental conditions on ecosystems, and for providing detailed data for dynamic model applications and scenario assessments. The large gradient in climatic conditions, deposition inputs and site conditions increase the confidence and applicability of the results obtained.

There are several sources of uncertainty involved in the evaluations of complex ecological phenomena at large spatial scales for long time periods, and the model predictions in the present study are subject to considerable uncertainty. Generally, sources of uncertainty include characteristics of the spatial data, methods for spatial interpolation, assumptions behind the scenarios, inclusion of ecosystem processes, and the temporal drivers and the process rate parameters used to derive the results (Beven 1993, Aherne et al. 2012, Mol-Dijkstra and Reinds 2017). In our study, a key source of uncertainty was the aggregation of observed soil BS and C:N to match the one-layer model, especially for sites with highly layered soil profiles. Although the overall performance of the model in reproducing observations was reasonable (Fig. 5) there were inaccuracies in reproducing key measurements at some sites. We did not calibrate MetHyd output percolation to observed runoff, which might have improved the fit of modelled concentrations. Even at sites with detailed forest and vegetation data, uncertainty was introduced by the spatial aggregation, as the estimated litter fall and growth uptake rates represented partly different locations than those for which the soil observations were aggregated. Furthermore, uncertainties in the estimates of weathering rate and the time series of C and N in litter fall and uptake of N that were used in the calibrations are reflected as uncertainties in the projections of soil solution pH, soil BS and C:N.

We found the systems approach useful in addressing the question of future impacts of climate and air pollution on soil conditions. We think this is a promising tool that helps exploring the impacts of different environmental drivers and their interactions. While impact assessments for policy support need to be done at regional and national scales, site-based modelling is helping to increase the reliability in the applied models and to quantify their uncertainties. Our aim is thus to apply the lessons learned in this work as the basis for extending the VSD+ applications to include vegetation impacts using PROPS to study deposition and climate change impacts on biodiversity metrics. This will allow impact assessments for a wider range of policies, such as EU policies on air pollution, nature and biodiversity, LRTAP Convention and IPBES.
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**Supplementary Table A1.** Site characteristics of 26 sites for which the VSD+ model was applied

| Country | Site Code for VSD+ study | Site | Longitude (decimal coord.) | Latitude (decimal coord.) | Altitude (m a.s.l) | Networks$^1$ | ILTER Biome | Biogeographic region | Soils |
|---------|--------------------------|------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|-------|
| Austria | AT01                     | Zöbelboden IP1 | 14.44 | 47.84 | 895 | IM, LTER | Mixed forest | Alpine | Chromic Cambisols and hydromorphic Stagnosols Endostagnic Endoskeletic Luvisol Hyperdystric Endoskeletic Cambisol Moderately wet and sandy Arenosol with distinct horizons of humus and iron, forest floor mor- moder type |
| Austria | AT09                     | Klausen-Leopoldsdorf | 16.05 | 48.12 | 510 | FO, LTER | Deciduous Forest | Alpine |
| Austria | AT16                     | Murau | 14.11 | 47.06 | 1540 | FO, LTER | Evergreen Forest | Subalpine |
| Belgium | BE001                    | Brasschaat | 4.52 | 51.31 | 14 | FO, LTER | Evergreen Forest | Atlantic |
| Germany | DE01                     | Forellenbach | 13.42 | 48.94 | 894 | IM, LTER | Deciduous Forest | Continental | Dystric Cambisol |
| Germany | DE02                     | Neuglobsow | 13.03 | 53.13 | 65 | IM | Mixed forest | Continental | Eutric Cambisol |
| Germany | DE301                    | Lüss | 10.28 | 52.84 | 125 | FO, LTER | Deciduous Forest | Atlantic | Albic Rustic Podzol |
| Germany | DE507                    | Monschau | 6.15 | 50.4 | 445 | FO, LTER | Deciduous Forest | Atlantic | Dystric Cambisol |
| Finland | FI01                     | Valkea-Kotinen | 25.06 | 61.24 | 165 | IM, FO, LTER | Taiga | Boreal | Cambic Podzol |
| Finland | FI03                     | Hietajärvi | 30.68 | 63.15 | 170 | IM, FO | Taiga | Boreal | Haplic Podzol |
| United Kingdom | GB54 | Wytham | -1.33 | 51.77 | 138 | LTER | Deciduous | Atlantic | Eutric Vertic Stagnosols |
| United Kingdom | GB55 | Alice Holt | -0.85 | 51.17 | 125 | IM, FO, LTER | Deciduous | Atlantic | Eutric Vertic Stagnosols |
| Italy | IT05                     | Selva Piana | 13.59 | 41.85 | 1500 | IM, FO, LTER | Deciduous Forest | Alpine | Orthic Rendzinas |
| Italy | IT07                     | Carrega | 10.2 | 44.73 | 200 | IM, FO | Deciduous Forest | Continental | Plano-Gleyic Luvisols |
| Italy | IT08                     | Brasimone | 11.12 | 44.11 | 975 | IM, FO | Deciduous Forest | Continental | Calcaric Regosols |
| Italy | IT09                     | Monte Rufeno | 11.9 | 42.83 | 690 | IM, FO, LTER | Deciduous Forest | Mediterranean | Calcaric Regosols |
| Italy | IT10                     | Val Masino | 9.55 | 46.24 | 1190 | IM, FO, LTER | Evergreen Forest | Alpine | Dystric Lithosols |
| Norway | NO01                     | Birkenes | 8.25 | 58.38 | 190 | IM, FO, LTER | Taiga | Boreal | Podzol and Cambisol |
| Poland | PL01                     | Puszcza Borecka | 22.05 | 54.12 | 170 | IM | Deciduous forest | Continental | Luvisol |
| Country | Code | Site Name         | Latitude  | Longitude | Elevation | Network | Forest Type | Biome          | Soil Type                        |
|---------|------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|----------|-------------|----------------|----------------------------------|
| Poland  | PLSNP| Słowiński National Park | 17.47     | 54.7      | 15         | FO, LTER | Evergreen Forest | Continental | Histo-Humic Gleysol               |
| Poland  | PLTNP| Tatrzanski National Park | 19.99     | 49.27     | 970        | LTER     | Evergreen Forest | Alpine       | Calcaric Lithosol                 |
| Serbia  | RS1  | Kopaonik          | 20.81     | 43.29     | 1700       | FO, LTER | Mixed forest       | Continental | Cambic Podzol, Humic Cambisol       |
| Serbia  | RS2  | Crni vrh          | 21.98     | 44.13     | 940        | FO, LTER | Deciduous forest | Continental | Dystric Cambisol                  |
| Sweden  | SE14 | Aneboda           | 14.53     | 57.12     | 230        | IM, LTER | Taiga            | Boreonemoral | Podzol                           |
| Sweden  | SE15 | Kindla            | 14.9      | 59.75     | 345        | IM, LTER | Taiga            | Boreal       | Podzol                           |
| Sweden  | SE16 | Gammartratten     | 18.1      | 63.86     | 425        | IM, LTER | Taiga            | Boreal       | Podzol and Histosol              |

1 Networks:
FO (UNECE ICP Forests, International Co-operative Programme on Assessment and Monitoring of Air Pollution Effects on Forests under the United Nation's Economic Commission for Europe);
IM (UNECE ICP IM, International Co-operative Programme on Assessment and Monitoring of Air Pollution Effects on Ecosystems under the United Nation's Economic Commission for Europe);
LTER (LTER Europe, International Long Term Ecological Research regional network for Europe)
Supplementary Table A2. List of combinations of RCP – GCM – RCM -bias adjustment and reference data in the 24 ensemble members used in the study. For each model combination air temperature and precipitation are available bias adjusted; global radiation is based on the same RCP – GCM – RCM combination, albeit not bias adjusted. The naming is based on the official CORDEX data protocol data reference syntax controlled vocabulary. Using these identifiers data can be found on any ESGF data node (e.g., https://esgf-node.ipsl.upmc.fr/projects/esgf-ipsl/). The table is sorted according to driving GCM and CMIP5 experiment name. Meaning of the bias adjustment methods: DBS45, distribution based scaling from Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute (SMHI) (Yang et al., 2010); CDFT21, cumulative distribution function from Institut Pierre Simon Laplace (IPSL) (Vrac et al., 2016). Meaning of the bias adjustment calibration datasets: MESAN, regional reanalysis (from EU FP7 EURO4M project) from SMHI (Landelius et al., 2016); WFDEI, WATCH forcing data methodology applied to ERA-Interim (from FP6 WATCH project) (Weedon et al., 2014). Overall six different bias adjustment schemes and three different calibration datasets have been applied to the CORDEX RCMs by different institutions.

| Combination identifier (Fig. 4) | GCM model name, institute identifier and model identifier | CMIP5 experiment name (ensemble member not mentioned) | Institution which ran RCM | RCM model name and version | RCM model run version | Institution that did bias adjustment | Bias adjustment method | Bias adjustment calibration dataset | Time span over which bias adjustment calibrated |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| A                              | CNRM-CERFACS-CNRM-CM5                                  | RCP45 / RCP85                                   | CLMcom                   | CCLM4-8-17               | v1                     | SMHI                               | DBS45                  | MESAN                           | 1989-2010                      |
| B                              | CNRM-CERFACS-CNRM-CM5                                  | RCP45 / RCP85                                   | CNRM                     | ARPEGE51                 | v1                     | IPSL                               | CDFT21                 | WFDEI                           | 1979-2005                      |
| C                              | CNRM-CERFACS-CNRM-CM5                                  | RCP45 / RCP85                                   | SMHI                     | RCA4                     | v1                     | IPSL                               | CDFT21                 | WFDEI                           | 1979-2005                      |
| D                              | ICHEC-EC-EARTH                                         | RCP45 / RCP85                                   | KNMI                     | RACMO22E                 | v1                     | IPSL                               | CDFT21                 | WFDEI                           | 1979-2005                      |
| E                              | IPSL-IPSL-CM5A-MR                                      | RCP45 / RCP85                                   | IPSL-IPSL-INNERIS        | WRF331F                  | v1                     | IPSL                               | CDFT21                 | WFDEI                           | 1979-2005                      |
| F                              | IPSL-IPSL-CM5A-MR                                      | RCP45 / RCP85                                   | SMHI                     | RCA4                     | v1                     | IPSL                               | CDFT21                 | WFDEI                           | 1979-2005                      |
| G                              | MOHC-HadGEM2-ES                                        | RCP45 / RCP85                                   | CLMcom                   | CCLM4-8-17               | v1                     | SMHI                               | DBS45                  | MESAN                           | 1989-2010                      |
| H                              | MOHC-HadGEM2-ES                                        | RCP45 / RCP85                                   | KNMI                     | RACMO22E                 | v2                     | SMHI                               | DBS45                  | MESAN                           | 1989-2010                      |
| I                              | MOHC-HadGEM2-ES                                        | RCP45 / RCP85                                   | SMHI                     | RCA4                     | v1                     | IPSL                               | CDFT21                 | WFDEI                           | 1979-2005                      |
| J                              | MPI-M-MPI-ESM-LR                                       | RCP45 / RCP85                                   | CLMcom                   | CCLM4-8-17               | v1                     | SMHI                               | DBS45                  | MESAN                           | 1989-2010                      |
| K                              | MPI-M-MPI-ESM-LR                                       | RCP45 / RCP85                                   | MPI-CSC                  | REMO2009                 | v1                     | SMHI                               | DBS45                  | MESAN                           | 1989-2010                      |
| L                              | MPI-M-MPI-ESM-LR                                       | RCP45 / RCP85                                   | SMHI                     | RCA4                     | v1                     | IPSL                               | CDFT22                 | WFDEI                           | 1979-2005                      |

Landelius, T., Dahlgren, P., Gollvik, S., Jansson, A., Olsson, E., 2016. A high-resolution regional reanalysis for Europe. Part 2: 2D analysis of surface temperature, precipitation and wind. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 142, 2132–2142. doi:10.1002/qj.2813

Vrac, M., Noël, T., Vautard, R., 2016. Bias correction of precipitation through Singularity Stochastic Removal: Because occurrences matter. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 121, 5237–5258. doi:10.1002/2015JD024511

Weedon, G.P., Balsamo, G., Bellouin, N., Gomes, S., Best, M.J., Viterbo, P., 2014. The WFDEI meteorological forcing data set: WATCH Forcing Data methodology applied to ERA-Interim reanalysis data. Water Resour. Res. 50, 7505–7514. doi:10.1002/2014WR015638

Yang, W., Andréasson, J., Phil Graham, L., Olsson, J., Rosberg, J., Wetterhall, F., 2010. Distribution-based scaling to improve usability of regional climate model projections for hydrological climate change impacts studies. Hydrol. Res. 41, 211. doi:10.2166/nh.2010.004
### Supplementary Table A3. Summary of data used in calibration of VSD+.

| BS  | C:N | pH  | [SO$_4$] | [NO$_3$] | [NH$_4$] | [Be]$^{1)}$ |
|-----|-----|-----|----------|----------|----------|-------------|
|     | N   | years | N years | N years | N years | N years |
| AT01| 1   | 2004  | 1 2004  | 15 1998 - 2012 | 15 1998 - 2012 | 15 1998 - 2012 | -   | -   | -   |
| AT09| 1   | 2008  | 1 2008  | 15 1998 - 2012 | 15 1998 - 2012 | 15 1998 - 2012 | -   | -   | -   |
| AT16| 1   | 2008  | 1 2008  | 14 1998 - 2011 | 14 1998 - 2011 | 14 1998 - 2011 | -   | -   | -   |
| BE001| 1 | 2004 | 1 2004 | 24 1992 - 2015 | 24 1992 - 2015 | 24 1992 - 2015 | -   | -   | 24 1992 - 2015 |
| DE01| 1   | 2010 | 2 1990; 2011 | 22 1991 - 2012 | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| DE02| 1   | 2005; 2010 | 3 2005 - 2013 | 1 2005 | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| DE301| 1 | 2007 | 1 2007 | 6 1996 - 2001 | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| DE507| 1 | 2007 | 1 2007 | 6 1996 - 2001 | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| FI01| 1   | 1988 | 1 2006 | 5 2002 - 2006 | 3 2002 - 2006 | 3 2002 - 2006 | 3 2002 - 2006 | 3 2002 - 2006 |
| FI03| 1   | 1988 | 1 2006 | 5 2002 - 2006 | 5 2002 - 2006 | 5 2002 - 2006 | 5 2002 - 2006 | 5 2002 - 2006 |
| GB54| -   | -   | 5 1993 - 2013 | 5 1993 - 2013 | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| GB55| -   | -   | 5 1994 - 2014 | 5 1994 - 2014 | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| IT05| 1   | 2006 | 1 2006 | 1 1999 | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| IT07| 1   | 2006 | 1 2006 | 1 2006 | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| IT08| 1   | 2006 | 1 2006 | 1 2006 | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| IT09| 1   | 2006 | 1 2006 | 2 2006 - 2008 | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| IT10| 1   | 2006 | 1 2006 | 1 2006 | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| NO01| 1   | 1991 | 1 1991 | 22 1993 - 2014 | -   | -   | 22 1993 - 2014 | 22 1993 - 2014 | -   |
| PL01| 1   | 1985 | 1 2004 | 5 1995 - 2013 | -   | -   | 5 1995 - 2013 | -   | -   |
| PLTPN| 1 | 2004 | 1 2004 | 3 1998 - 2012 | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| PLSPN| 1 | 2004 | 1 2004 | 3 1998 - 2012 | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| RS1 | 1   | 2010 | 1 2010 | 1 2010 | 6 2011 - 2016 | 6 2011 - 2016 | 6 2011 - 2016 | 5 2012 - 2016 |
| RS2 | 1   | 2013 | 1 2013 | 9 2014 - 2016 | 9 2014 - 2016 | 9 2014 - 2016 | 9 2014 - 2016 |
| SE14| 1   | 2007 | -   | -   | 20 1994 - 2013 | 22 1994 - 2015 | 22 1994 - 2015 | 22 1994 - 2015 |
| SE15| 1   | 2007 | -   | -   | 20 1994 - 2013 | 22 1994 - 2915 | 22 1994 - 2915 | 22 1994 - 2915 |
| SE16| 1   | 2007 | -   | -   | 13 2000 - 2013 | 15 2000 - 2015 | 15 2000 - 2015 | 15 2000 - 2015 |

$^{1)}$ [Be$^{2+}$] is the sum of Ca, Mg and K, where two K$^+$ ions are treated as one divalent ion.
### Supplementary Table A4. Input VSD+ parameter values.

| Site | Thickness (m) | Bulk density (g cm$^{-3}$) | CO$_2$ pressure | Calcium in parent material (1) | Clay content (%) | CEC (meq kg$^{-1}$) | Exchange model (2) | Organic acids model (3) | Parameters organic acids model (4) | Concentration of organic acids (mol m$^{-3}$) |
|------|----------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| AT01 | 0.5            | 0.611                      | 18              | 0.5                           | 38.0            | 511.2                | -                   | Mono-protic organic acid | 4.5; 0.9; 0.039                        | 0.320                                   |
| AT09 | 0.59           | 1.099                      | 19.2            | 43.0                          | 68.5            | Gaines-Thomas        | Mono-protic organic acid | 4.5; 0.9; 0.039                        | 0.500                                  |
| AT16 | 0.45           | 0.671                      | 15.3            | 21.0                          | 74.6            | Gaines-Thomas        | Mono-protic organic acid | 2.8; 0.9; 0.039                        | 0.066                                  |
| BE001| 0.8            | 1.450                      | 23              | 5.0                           | 15.0            | Gaines-Thomas        | Oliver model          | 0.96; 0.9; 0.039                       | 0.622                                  |
| DE01 | 0.7            | 1.410                      | 15              | 3.0                           | 36.2            | Gapon                | Mono-protic organic acid | 4.5; 0.9; 0.039                       | 0.500                                  |
| DE02 | 0.8            | 1.300                      | 15              | 2.3                           | 30.6            | Gapon                | Mono-protic organic acid | 0; 0.9; 0.039                      | 0.250                                  |
| DE301| 0.8            | 1.293                      | 15              | 5.5                           | 70.3            | Gapon                | Mono-protic organic acid | 0; 0.9; 0.039                      | 0.500                                  |
| DE507| 0.8            | 1.196                      | 15              | 27.2                          | 93.0            | Gapon                | Mono-protic organic acid | 0; 0.9; 0.039                      | 0.500                                  |
| FI01 | 0.435          | 0.954                      | 33              | 5.3                           | 46.8            | Gaines-Thomas        | Oliver model          | 0.96; 0.9; 0.039                       | 0.004                                  |
| FI03 | 0.435          | 1.342                      | 33              | 1.0                           | 6.8             | Gaines-Thomas        | Oliver model          | 0.96; 0.9; 0.039                       | 0.004                                  |
| GB54 | 0.4            | 1.149                      | 33              | 80.0                          | 222.2           | Gaines-Thomas        | Oliver model          | 0.96; 0.9; 0.039                       | 0.004                                  |
| GB55 | 0.4            | 1.149                      | 33              | 60.0                          | 279.7           | Gaines-Thomas        | Oliver model          | 0.96; 0.9; 0.039                       | 0.004                                  |
| IT05 | 0.2            | 0.871                      | 19.5            | 26.0                          | 166.5           | Gaines-Thomas        | Oliver model          | 0.96; 0.9; 0.039                       | 0.010                                  |
| IT07 | 0.6            | 1.063                      | 24.3            | 21.0                          | 125.2           | Gaines-Thomas        | Oliver model          | 0.96; 0.9; 0.039                       | 0.010                                  |
| IT08 | 0.42           | 1.269                      | 22.7            | 30.0                          | 123.8           | Gaines-Thomas        | Oliver model          | 0.96; 0.9; 0.039                       | 0.010                                  |
| IT09 | 0.53           | 1.183                      | 24              | 25.0                          | 178.8           | Gaines-Thomas        | Oliver model          | 0.96; 0.9; 0.039                       | 0.010                                  |
| IT10 | 0.53           | 0.905                      | 20.2            | 15.0                          | 197.5           | Gaines-Thomas        | Oliver model          | 0.96; 0.9; 0.039                       | 0.010                                  |
| NO01 | 0.4            | 0.654                      | 33              | 10.0                          | 311.0           | Gaines-Thomas        | Oliver model          | 0.96; 0.9; 0.039                       | 0.065                                  |
| PL01 | 1              | 1.300                      | 30              | 25.0                          | 250.0           | Gaines-Thomas        | Oliver model          | 0.96; 0.9; 0.039                       | 0.005                                  |
| PLSNP| 0.7            | 1.300                      | 33              | 5.0                           | 30.0            | Gaines-Thomas        | Oliver model          | 0.96; 0.9; 0.039                       | 0.004                                  |
| PLTPN| 0.5            | 1.300                      | 33              | 5.0                           | 60.0            | Gaines-Thomas        | Oliver model          | 0.96; 0.9; 0.039                       | 0.004                                  |
| RS1  | 0.8            | 0.768                      | 20              | 16.7                          | 351.8           | Gaines-Thomas        | Oliver model          | 0.96; 0.9; 0.039                       | 0.050                                  |
| RS2  | 0.8            | 1.190                      | 20              | 13.3                          | 533.9           | Gaines-Thomas        | Oliver model          | 0.96; 0.9; 0.039                       | 0.050                                  |
| SE14 | 0.8            | 0.819                      | 4               | 27.8                          | 21.3            | Gaines-Thomas        | Mono-protic organic acid | 4.5; 0.9; 0.039                       | 0.200                                  |
| SE15 | 0.7            | 0.949                      | 4               | 25.0                          | 9.5             | Gaines-Thomas        | Mono-protic organic acid | 8; 0.9; 0.039                       | 0.050                                  |
| SE16 | 0.8            | 0.819                      | 4               | 21.9                          | 7.1             | Gaines-Thomas        | Mono-protic organic acid | 4.3; 0.9; 0.039                       | 0.500                                  |

(1) For calcareous soils, fraction (0 - 1) of Ca in parent material: if 0 pure calcite; if 1 pure dolomite.
(2) Type of model used for cation exchange in non-calcareous soils.
(3) Type of model used for simulating dissociation of organic acids (Bonten et al. 2016).
(4) Parameters for organic acid dissociation model.
### Supplementary Table A5. MetHyd input and output

| site | Albedo | Theta_Sat | Theta.FC | Theta_1bar | Theta_WP | Sand_ct | OrgC_ct | Period of data | rf_nit | rf_denit | rf_mi | Theta | Percolation | TempC | Precip |
|------|--------|-----------|----------|------------|----------|---------|---------|----------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|-------------|-------|--------|
| AT01 | 0.11   | 0.58      | 0.43     | 0.36       | 0.24     | 8.80    | 2.71    | 1997-2013     | 0.80   | 0.80     | 0.83 | 0.49  | 1.23        | 6.16  | 1.77   |
| AT09 | 0.14   | 0.55      |          |            |          | 6.60    | 1.50    | 1985-2013     | 0.92   | 0.92     | 1.08 | 0.40  | 0.32        | 8.88  | 0.72   |
| AT16 | 0.11   | 0.65      |          |            |          | 43.00   | 7.30    | 1985-2013     | 0.58   | 0.58     | 0.63 | 0.43  | 0.86        | 4.22  | 1.16   |
| BE01 | 1930-2008 |          |          |            |          |         |         |                | 1.10   | 0.003    | 1.10 |        |             |       |        |
| DE01 | 0.14   | 0.56      | 0.31     | 0.21       | 0.08     | 62.00   | 0.20    | 1991-2012     | 0.74   | 0.01     | 0.32 | 0.46  | 5.80        | 0.97  |        |
| DE02 | 0.14   | 0.42      | 0.10     | 0.06       | 0.02     | 90.44   | 0.80    | 1991-2012     | 1.06   | 0.00     | 0.50 | 0.11  | 7.90        | 0.60  |        |
| DE301 | 0.14   | 0.45      | 0.15     | 0.10       | 0.04     | 84.88   | 1.33    | 1991-2012     | 1.01   | 0.00     | 0.20 | 0.13  | 8.58        | 0.70  |        |
| DE507 | 0.14   | 0.50      | 0.35     | 0.30       | 0.20     | 11.75   | 2.12    | 1991-2012     | 1.02   | 0.04     | 0.35 | 0.37  | 8.93        | 0.98  |        |
| FI01 | 0.11   | 0.55      | 0.33     | 0.25       | 0.14     | 65.80   | 0.31    | 1963-2015     |        |          |      |       |             |       |        |
| FI01 | 0.11   | 0.45      | 0.20     | 0.14       | 0.06     | 89.97   | 0.14    | 1971-2015     |        |          |      |       |             |       |        |
| GB54 | 0.14   | 0.52      | 0.46     | 0.40       | 0.28     | 10.00   | 3.31    | 1993-2012     | 0.49   | 0.15     | 0.73 | 0.38  | 0.12        | 9.94  | 0.73   |
| GB55 | 0.14   | 0.52      | 0.46     | 0.40       | 0.28     | 15.00   | 2.64    | 1995-2012     | 0.50   | 0.17     | 0.79 | 0.39  | 0.22        | 10.73 | 0.83   |
| IT05 | 0.14   | 0.59      | 0.41     | 0.35       | 0.23     | 31.00   | 3.54    | 1998-2011     |        |          |      |       |             |       |        |
| IT07 | 0.14   | 0.53      | 0.37     | 0.31       | 0.21     | 37.00   | 3.52    | 1998-2011     |        |          |      |       |             |       |        |
| IT08 | 0.14   | 0.48      | 0.33     | 0.28       | 0.19     | 34.00   | 1.45    | 1999-2010     |        |          |      |       |             |       |        |
| IT09 | 0.14   | 0.50      | 0.35     | 0.30       | 0.20     | 31.00   | 2.56    | 1998-2011     |        |          |      |       |             |       |        |
| IT10 | 0.11   | 0.58      | 0.35     | 0.26       | 0.12     | 55.00   | 1.31    | 1997-2008     |        |          |      |       |             |       |        |
| NO01 | 1993-2014 |          |          |            |          |         |         |                | 0.56   | 0.07     | 0.65 | 0.26  | 1.02        | 4.91  | 1.52   |
| PL01 | 0.14   | 0.38      | 0.26     | 0.24       | 0.08     |        |        | 1993-2013     | 0.76   | 0.01     | 0.62 | 0.19  | 0.12        | 6.64  | 0.66   |
| PLSNP | 0.11 | 0.45 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 85.00 | 1.00 | 1960-2010 | 0.86 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.12 | 0.23 | 7.51 | 0.65 |
| PLTNP | 0.11 | 0.45 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 85.00 | 1.00 | 1960-2010 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 0.14 | 1.03 | 1.46 | 1.33 |
| RS1 | 0.11 | 0.63 | 0.40 | 0.31 | 0.16 | 59.16 | 3.90 | 1990-2014 | 0.52 | 0.0001 | 0.55 | 0.34 | 0.47 | 3.98 | 0.99 |
| RS2 | 0.11 | 0.49 | 0.29 | 0.23 | 0.13 | 43.58 | 1.90 | 1990-2014 | 0.57 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 7.01 | 0.79 |
| SE14 | 0.11 | 0.60 | 0.43 | 0.38 | 0.28 | 38.84 | 7.00 | 1901-2013 |       |          |      |       |             |       |        |
| SE15 | 0.11 | 0.57 | 0.40 | 0.35 | 0.25 | 38.01 | 5.00 | 1901-2013 |       |          |      |       |             |       |        |
| SE16 | 0.11 | 0.60 | 0.42 | 0.36 | 0.26 | 44.43 | 7.00 | 1901-2013 |       |          |      |       |             |       |        |

MetHyd inputs

1. Albedo (0 - 1) defaults: conifer 0.11, deciduous 0.14, grassland 0.22
2. Water content at saturation as a volume fraction (0-1), given or computed in MetHyd from soil properties
3. Water content at field capacity (pF 2.0) as a volume fraction (0-1), given or computed in MetHyd from soil properties
4. Water content at -1 bar (pF 3.0) as a volume fraction (0-1), given or computed in MetHyd from soil properties
5. Water content at wilting point (pF 4.2) as a volume fraction (0-1), given or computed in MetHyd from soil properties
6) Sand content of the soil (%)
7) Organic carbon content of the soil (%)
8) Period of meteorological data (monthly or daily values of air temperature, precipitation and radiation) used as input to MetHyd
In addition, MetHyd inputs include site longitude and latitude (Suppl Table A1), bulk density and clay content (%) of the soil (Suppl. Table A3)
MetHyd outputs read by VSD+, either as period average values, or as annual or monthly values
9) Reduction factor of nitrification rates due to moisture and temperature (-)
10) Reduction factor of denitrification rates due to moisture and temperature (-)
11) Reduction factor of mineralisation rates due to moisture and temperature (-)
12) Water content of the soil (m3 m3)
13) Precipitation surplus (m/yr)
14) Average temperature T (°C)
15) Precipitation P (m/yr)
**Supplementary Table A6.** Observed values (N=25) of soil base saturation (BS) at 24 sites, with corresponding modelled values.

| Site Code | Observation year | Observed BS | Error of observation used in VSD+ Bayesian calibration | Modelled BS |
|-----------|------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| AT01      | 2004             | 1.00        | 0.10                                                  | 1.00        |
| AT09      | 2008             | 0.25        | 0.07                                                  | 0.29        |
| AT16      | 2008             | 0.0034      | 0.001                                                 | 0.01        |
| BE001     | 2004             | 0.08        | 0.01                                                  | 0.10        |
| DE01      | 2010             | 0.48        | 0.05                                                  | 0.42        |
| DE02      | 2005             | 0.29        | 0.18                                                  | 0.45        |
| DE02      | 2010             | 0.48        | 0.05                                                  | 0.46        |
| DE301     | 2007             | 0.10        | 0.03                                                  | 0.23        |
| DE507     | 2007             | 0.09        | 0.01                                                  | 0.08        |
| FI01      | 1988             | 0.37        | 0.03                                                  | 0.34        |
| FI03      | 1988             | 0.40        | 0.04                                                  | 0.35        |
| IT05      | 2006             | 0.85        | 0.11                                                  | 0.78        |
| IT07      | 2006             | 0.43        | 0.08                                                  | 0.37        |
| IT08      | 2006             | 0.83        | 0.20                                                  | 0.67        |
| IT09      | 2006             | 0.36        | 0.13                                                  | 0.19        |
| IT10      | 2006             | 0.25        | 0.13                                                  | 0.23        |
| NO01      | 1991             | 0.40        | 0.04                                                  | 0.22        |
| PL01      | 1985             | 0.51        | 0.05                                                  | 0.63        |
| PLTPN     | 2004             | 0.25        | 0.11                                                  | 0.02        |
| PLSPN     | 2004             | 0.30        | 0.01                                                  | 0.02        |
| RS1       | 2010             | 0.07        | 0.01                                                  | 0.10        |
| RS2       | 2013             | 0.42        | 0.03                                                  | 0.47        |
| SE14      | 2007             | 0.12        | 0.03                                                  | 0.12        |
| SE15      | 2007             | 0.06        | 0.01                                                  | 0.06        |
| SE16      | 2007             | 0.08        | 0.02                                                  | 0.01        |
**Supplementary Table A7.** Observed values (N=34) of soil carbon to nitrogen ration (C:N) at 23 sites, with corresponding modelled values.

| Site Code | Observation year | Observed C:N | Observation error | Modelled C:N |
|-----------|------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|
| AT01      | 2004             | 14.2         | 1.4               | 13.66        |
| AT09      | 2008             | 9.3          | 0.9               | 9.72         |
| AT16      | 2008             | 27.6         | 1.6               | 27.02        |
| BE001     | 2004             | 23.0         | 1.6               | 19.20        |
| DE01      | 1990             | 21.8         | 1.0               | 22.62        |
| DE01      | 2011             | 21.7         | 1.5               | 20.86        |
| DE02      | 2005             | 17.7         | 1.5               | 18.54        |
| DE02      | 2010             | 15.4         | 1.5               | 18.30        |
| DE02      | 2013             | 22.1         | 1.5               | 18.14        |
| DE301     | 2007             | 26.0         | 0.1               | 26.03        |
| DE507     | 2007             | 19.7         | 0.1               | 20.49        |
| FI01      | 2006             | 21.6         | 1.0               | 22.08        |
| FI03      | 2006             | 18.1         | 1.0               | 19.50        |
| GB54      | 1993             | 9.7          | 1.0               | 10.87        |
| GB54      | 1998             | 15.4         | 1.5               | 10.86        |
| GB54      | 2003             | 10.3         | 1.0               | 10.85        |
| GB54      | 2008             | 10.3         | 1.0               | 10.85        |
| GB54      | 2013             | 11.7         | 1.2               | 10.86        |
| GB55      | 1994             | 17.1         | 1.7               | 14.67        |
| GB55      | 1999             | 16.0         | 1.6               | 14.54        |
| GB55      | 2004             | 13.0         | 1.3               | 14.25        |
| GB55      | 2009             | 13.5         | 1.4               | 14.08        |
| GB55      | 2014             | 13.2         | 1.3               | 14.02        |
| IT05      | 2006             | 12.9         | 0.8               | 15.86        |
| IT07      | 2006             | 17.7         | 4.5               | 12.16        |
| IT08      | 2006             | 17.0         | 4.0               | 12.74        |
| IT09      | 2006             | 11.5         | 0.3               | 10.78        |
| IT10      | 2006             | 14.5         | 3.4               | 16.02        |
| NO01      | 1991             | 31.0         | 3.5               | 30.99        |
| PL01      | 2004             | 12.0         | 0.5               | 10.83        |
| PLTPN     | 2004             | 25.0         | 8.0               | 29.84        |
| PLSPN     | 2004             | 22.0         | 9.0               | 19.22        |
| RS1       | 2010             | 15.7         | 1.1               | 17.88        |
| RS2       | 2013             | 11.1         | 0.5               | 11.57        |
**Supplementary Table A8.** Observed values (N=224) of soil solution pH at 26 sites, with corresponding modelled values.

| Site Code | Observation year | Observed annual average pH | Observation error used in VSD+ Bayesian calibration routine | Modelled annual pH |
|-----------|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| AT01      | 1998             | 7.75                        | 1.08                                                       | 7.17              |
| AT01      | 1999             | 7.73                        | 0.44                                                       | 7.17              |
| AT01      | 2000             | 7.50                        | 0.30                                                       | 7.17              |
| AT01      | 2001             | 7.79                        | 0.25                                                       | 7.17              |
| AT01      | 2002             | 7.77                        | 0.26                                                       | 7.17              |
| AT01      | 2003             | 6.78                        | 2.47                                                       | 7.17              |
| AT01      | 2004             | 7.87                        | 0.43                                                       | 7.17              |
| AT01      | 2005             | 7.79                        | 0.20                                                       | 7.17              |
| AT01      | 2006             | 7.85                        | 0.21                                                       | 7.17              |
| AT01      | 2007             | 8.06                        | 0.24                                                       | 7.17              |
| AT01      | 2008             | 8.00                        | 0.18                                                       | 7.17              |
| AT01      | 2009             | 7.84                        | 0.19                                                       | 7.17              |
| AT01      | 2010             | 7.91                        | 0.22                                                       | 7.17              |
| AT01      | 2011             | 7.86                        | 0.17                                                       | 7.17              |
| AT01      | 2012             | 7.93                        | 0.21                                                       | 7.17              |
| AT09      | 1998             | 6.92                        | 0.35                                                       | 7.02              |
| AT09      | 1999             | 7.18                        | 0.31                                                       | 7.02              |
| AT09      | 2000             | 7.26                        | 0.25                                                       | 7.02              |
| AT09      | 2001             | 7.05                        | 0.33                                                       | 7.03              |
| AT09      | 2002             | 7.62                        | 0.37                                                       | 7.02              |
| AT09      | 2003             | 7.64                        | 0.19                                                       | 7.02              |
| AT09      | 2004             | 7.41                        | 0.37                                                       | 7.02              |
| AT09      | 2005             | 6.96                        | 0.19                                                       | 7.02              |
| AT09      | 2006             | 7.06                        | 0.08                                                       | 7.03              |
| AT09      | 2007             | 6.94                        | 0.04                                                       | 7.03              |
| AT09      | 2008             | 7.30                        | 0.10                                                       | 7.03              |
| AT09      | 2009             | 6.80                        | 0.50                                                       | 7.03              |
| AT09      | 2010             | 6.99                        | 0.69                                                       | 7.03              |
| AT09      | 2011             | 6.79                        | 0.33                                                       | 7.03              |
| AT09      | 2012             | 6.88                        | 0.30                                                       | 7.03              |
| AT16      | 1998             | 4.41                        | 0.15                                                       | 5.09              |
| AT16      | 1999             | 4.37                        | 0.11                                                       | 5.04              |
| AT16      | 2000             | 4.58                        | 0.14                                                       | 4.97              |
| AT16      | 2001             | 4.63                        | 0.23                                                       | 4.93              |
| AT16      | 2002             | 4.57                        | 0.25                                                       | 4.88              |
| AT16      | 2003             | 4.46                        | 0.26                                                       | 4.81              |
| AT16      | 2004             | 4.65                        | 0.11                                                       | 4.75              |
| AT16      | 2005             | 4.97                        | 0.02                                                       | 4.70              |
| AT16      | 2006             | 4.99                        | 0.10                                                       | 5.17              |
| AT16      | 2007             | 5.02                        | 0.05                                                       | 5.13              |
| AT16      | 2008             | 4.94                        | 0.02                                                       | 5.08              |
| AT16      | 2009             | 5.23                        | 0.26                                                       | 5.01              |
| AT16      | 2010             | 5.18                        | 0.42                                                       | 4.94              |
| AT16      | 2011             | 5.39                        | 0.26                                                       | 4.90              |
| BE001     | 1992             | 3.62                        | 0.15                                                       | 3.62              |
| BE001     | 1993             | 3.54                        | 0.18                                                       | 3.65              |
| Code  | Year | Value1 | Value2 | Value3 |
|-------|------|--------|--------|--------|
| BE001 | 1994 | 3.56   | 0.18   | 3.71   |
| BE001 | 1995 | 3.54   | 0.16   | 3.76   |
| BE001 | 1996 | 3.55   | 0.14   | 3.64   |
| BE001 | 1997 | 3.63   | 0.16   | 3.59   |
| BE001 | 1998 | 3.72   | 0.49   | 3.78   |
| BE001 | 1999 | 3.93   | 0.57   | 3.75   |
| BE001 | 2000 | 3.78   | 0.09   | 3.77   |
| BE001 | 2001 | 3.83   | 0.09   | 3.86   |
| BE001 | 2002 | 3.91   | 0.11   | 3.88   |
| BE001 | 2003 | 3.83   | 0.09   | 3.75   |
| BE001 | 2004 | 3.86   | 0.09   | 3.71   |
| BE001 | 2005 | 3.92   | 0.10   | 3.70   |
| BE001 | 2006 | 3.84   | 0.07   | 3.68   |
| BE001 | 2007 | 3.67   | 0.11   | 3.73   |
| BE001 | 2008 | 3.76   | 0.05   | 3.79   |
| BE001 | 2009 | 3.81   | 0.12   | 3.83   |
| BE001 | 2010 | 3.92   | 0.07   | 3.93   |
| BE001 | 2011 | 3.95   | 0.06   | 3.91   |
| BE001 | 2012 | 3.95   | 0.06   | 3.94   |
| BE001 | 2013 | 4.02   | 0.19   | 3.89   |
| BE001 | 2014 | 4.08   | 0.27   | 3.91   |
| BE001 | 2015 | 4.07   | 0.12   | 3.95   |
| DE01  | 1991 | 4.68   | 0.10   | 4.28   |
| DE01  | 1992 | 4.74   | 0.10   | 4.30   |
| DE01  | 1993 | 4.61   | 0.10   | 4.31   |
| DE01  | 1994 | 4.50   | 0.10   | 4.35   |
| DE01  | 1995 | 4.42   | 0.10   | 4.37   |
| DE01  | 1996 | 4.45   | 0.10   | 4.37   |
| DE01  | 1997 | 4.37   | 0.10   | 4.40   |
| DE01  | 1998 | 4.70   | 0.10   | 4.42   |
| DE01  | 1999 | 4.57   | 0.10   | 4.43   |
| DE01  | 2000 | 4.57   | 0.10   | 4.44   |
| DE01  | 2001 | 4.70   | 0.10   | 4.45   |
| DE01  | 2002 | 4.63   | 0.10   | 4.45   |
| DE01  | 2003 | 4.70   | 0.10   | 4.45   |
| DE01  | 2004 | 4.81   | 0.10   | 4.43   |
| DE01  | 2005 | 4.76   | 0.10   | 4.47   |
| DE01  | 2006 | 4.80   | 0.10   | 4.50   |
| DE01  | 2007 | 4.73   | 0.10   | 4.49   |
| DE01  | 2008 | 4.83   | 0.10   | 4.51   |
| DE01  | 2009 | 4.84   | 0.10   | 4.53   |
| DE01  | 2010 | 4.81   | 0.10   | 4.49   |
| DE01  | 2011 | 4.89   | 0.10   | 4.53   |
| DE01  | 2012 | 4.86   | 0.10   | 4.53   |
| DE02  | 2005 | 4.93   | 1.30   | 6.14   |
| DE301 | 1996 | 4.15   | 0.26   | 4.08   |
| DE301 | 1997 | 4.13   | 0.22   | 4.10   |
| DE301 | 1998 | 4.14   | 0.22   | 4.12   |
| DE301 | 1999 | 4.19   | 0.21   | 4.14   |
| DE301 | 2000 | 4.24   | 0.18   | 4.17   |
| DE301 | 2001 | 4.22   | 0.21   | 4.18   |
| DE507 | 1996 | 4.15   | 0.26   | 4.12   |
| DE507 | 1997 | 4.13   | 0.22   | 4.12   |
| DE507 | 1998 | 4.14   | 0.22   | 4.13   |
| DE507 | 1999 | 4.19   | 0.21   | 4.14   |
| Country | Year | Value1 | Value2 | Result1 |
|---------|------|--------|--------|---------|
| DE507   | 2000 | 4.24   | 0.18   | 4.15    |
| DE507   | 2001 | 4.22   | 0.21   | 4.16    |
| FI01    | 2002 | 4.69   | 1.50   | 4.60    |
| FI01    | 2003 | 4.19   | 1.50   | 4.70    |
| FI01    | 2004 | 4.62   | 1.50   | 4.78    |
| FI01    | 2005 | 4.27   | 1.50   | 4.73    |
| FI01    | 2006 | 4.43   | 1.50   | 4.76    |
| FI03    | 2002 | 4.57   | 1.50   | 4.31    |
| FI03    | 2003 | 4.41   | 1.50   | 4.38    |
| FI03    | 2004 | 4.46   | 1.50   | 4.53    |
| FI03    | 2005 | 4.25   | 1.50   | 4.31    |
| GB54    | 1993 | 5.84   | 0.58   | 5.35    |
| GB54    | 1998 | 5.57   | 0.56   | 5.45    |
| GB54    | 2003 | 5.24   | 0.52   | 5.54    |
| GB54    | 2008 | 5.69   | 0.57   | 5.62    |
| GB54    | 2013 | 5.44   | 0.54   | 5.69    |
| GB55    | 1994 | 4.63   | 0.46   | 4.21    |
| GB55    | 1999 | 4.66   | 0.47   | 4.32    |
| GB55    | 2004 | 4.34   | 0.43   | 4.44    |
| GB55    | 2009 | 4.25   | 0.42   | 4.55    |
| GB55    | 2014 | 4.56   | 0.46   | 4.67    |
| IT05    | 1999 | 6.38   | 0.47   | 6.16    |
| IT07    | 2006 | 8.30   | 0.87   | 6.25    |
| IT08    | 2006 | 6.21   | 0.33   | 4.42    |
| IT09    | 2006 | 6.05   | 0.53   | 5.43    |
| IT09    | 2008 | 5.15   | 0.76   | 5.45    |
| IT10    | 2006 | 5.75   | 0.32   | 5.35    |
| NO01    | 1993 | 4.20   | 0.20   | 4.12    |
| NO01    | 1994 | 4.40   | 0.20   | 4.15    |
| NO01    | 1995 | 4.30   | 0.20   | 4.18    |
| NO01    | 1996 | 4.30   | 0.20   | 4.18    |
| NO01    | 1997 | 4.30   | 0.20   | 4.19    |
| NO01    | 1998 | 4.40   | 0.20   | 4.19    |
| NO01    | 1999 | 4.30   | 0.20   | 4.20    |
| NO01    | 2000 | 4.30   | 0.20   | 4.20    |
| NO01    | 2001 | 4.30   | 0.20   | 4.21    |
| NO01    | 2002 | 4.40   | 0.20   | 4.22    |
| NO01    | 2003 | 4.40   | 0.20   | 4.22    |
| NO01    | 2004 | 4.40   | 0.20   | 4.23    |
| NO01    | 2005 | 4.40   | 0.20   | 4.23    |
| NO01    | 2006 | 4.40   | 0.20   | 4.24    |
| NO01    | 2007 | 4.40   | 0.20   | 4.24    |
| NO01    | 2008 | 4.40   | 0.20   | 4.25    |
| NO01    | 2009 | 4.40   | 0.20   | 4.26    |
| NO01    | 2010 | 4.40   | 0.20   | 4.26    |
| NO01    | 2011 | 4.40   | 0.20   | 4.27    |
| NO01    | 2012 | 4.50   | 0.20   | 4.27    |
| NO01    | 2013 | 4.50   | 0.20   | 4.27    |
| NO01    | 2014 | 4.40   | 0.20   | 4.28    |
| PL01    | 1995 | 5.10   | 0.30   | 5.48    |
| PL01    | 1996 | 4.70   | 0.30   | 5.50    |
| PL01    | 1997 | 5.30   | 0.30   | 5.52    |
| PL01    | 2012 | 5.60   | 0.30   | 5.70    |
| PL01    | 2013 | 5.70   | 0.30   | 5.70    |
| Region  | Year   | CO2 Emission | Acid Deposition | Total Emission |
|---------|--------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|
| PLTPN   | 1998   | 4.20          | 0.30            | 4.30           |
| PLTPN   | 2004   | 4.50          | 0.30            | 4.30           |
| PLTPN   | 2012   | 4.50          | 0.30            | 4.30           |
| PLSPN   | 1998   | 4.60          | 0.20            | 4.30           |
| PLSPN   | 2004   | 4.80          | 0.20            | 4.09           |
| PLSPN   | 2012   | 4.80          | 0.20            | 4.16           |
| RS1     | 2011   | 6.35          | 0.70            | 4.54           |
| RS1     | 2012   | 5.87          | 0.75            | 4.55           |
| RS1     | 2013   | 4.62          | 0.76            | 4.56           |
| RS1     | 2014   | 5.60          | 0.52            | 4.58           |
| RS1     | 2015   | 5.82          | 1.21            | 4.60           |
| RS1     | 2016   | 5.48          | 0.62            | 4.61           |
| RS2     | 2014   | 6.09          | 1.03            | 4.66           |
| RS2     | 2015   | 6.42          | 0.86            | 5.66           |
| RS2     | 2016   | 6.02          | 0.46            | 5.66           |
| SE14    | 1994   | 4.69          | 0.51            | 4.31           |
| SE14    | 1995   | 4.61          | 0.32            | 4.33           |
| SE14    | 1996   | 4.56          | 0.22            | 4.31           |
| SE14    | 1997   | 4.47          | 0.17            | 4.33           |
| SE14    | 1998   | 4.59          | 0.16            | 4.37           |
| SE14    | 1999   | 4.68          | 0.30            | 4.37           |
| SE14    | 2000   | 4.67          | 0.30            | 4.38           |
| SE14    | 2001   | 4.70          | 0.34            | 4.40           |
| SE14    | 2002   | 4.71          | 0.30            | 4.40           |
| SE14    | 2003   | 4.79          | 0.20            | 4.39           |
| SE14    | 2004   | 4.75          | 0.24            | 4.42           |
| SE14    | 2005   | 4.72          | 0.18            | 4.42           |
| SE14    | 2006   | 4.74          | 0.24            | 4.43           |
| SE14    | 2007   | 4.75          | 0.19            | 4.45           |
| SE14    | 2008   | 4.85          | 0.26            | 4.46           |
| SE14    | 2009   | 4.95          | 0.37            | 4.46           |
| SE14    | 2010   | 4.85          | 0.23            | 4.47           |
| SE14    | 2011   | 4.62          | 0.27            | 4.49           |
| SE14    | 2012   | 4.81          | 0.30            | 4.50           |
| SE14    | 2013   | 4.26          | 0.58            | 4.49           |
| SE15    | 1994   | 4.60          | 0.14            | 4.16           |
| SE15    | 1995   | 4.63          | 0.11            | 4.19           |
| SE15    | 1996   | 4.66          | 0.07            | 4.17           |
| SE15    | 1997   | 4.68          | 0.08            | 4.19           |
| SE15    | 1998   | 4.62          | 0.14            | 4.23           |
| SE15    | 1999   | 4.71          | 0.10            | 4.28           |
| SE15    | 2000   | 4.73          | 0.09            | 4.35           |
| SE15    | 2001   | 4.71          | 0.08            | 4.37           |
| SE15    | 2002   | 4.74          | 0.11            | 4.36           |
| SE15    | 2003   | 4.52          | 0.54            | 4.35           |
| SE15    | 2004   | 4.60          | 0.31            | 4.41           |
| SE15    | 2005   | 4.64          | 0.31            | 4.40           |
| SE15    | 2006   | 4.69          | 0.22            | 4.44           |
| SE15    | 2007   | 4.76          | 0.15            | 4.44           |
| SE15    | 2008   | 4.80          | 0.15            | 4.50           |
| SE15    | 2009   | 4.86          | 0.09            | 4.50           |
| SE15    | 2010   | 4.92          | 0.08            | 4.50           |
| SE15    | 2011   | 4.88          | 0.07            | 4.49           |
| SE15    | 2012   | 4.91          | 0.11            | 4.54           |
| SE15    | 2013   | 4.80          | 0.09            | 4.45           |
| Year | 5.19 | 0.26 | 5.12 |
|------|------|------|------|
| SE16 | 2000 |      |      |
| SE16 | 2001 | 5.24 | 0.30 | 5.12 |
| SE16 | 2002 | 5.18 | 0.27 | 5.12 |
| SE16 | 2003 | 5.13 | 0.51 | 5.12 |
| SE16 | 2004 | 4.97 | 0.55 | 5.12 |
| SE16 | 2005 | 5.18 | 0.42 | 5.12 |
| SE16 | 2007 | 5.14 | 0.44 | 5.13 |
| SE16 | 2008 | 4.87 | 0.72 | 5.13 |
| SE16 | 2009 | 5.16 | 0.72 | 5.13 |
| SE16 | 2010 | 5.44 | 0.21 | 5.13 |
| SE16 | 2011 | 5.11 | 0.44 | 5.13 |
| SE16 | 2012 | 5.15 | 0.52 | 5.13 |
| SE16 | 2013 | 4.94 | 0.76 | 5.13 |
**Supplementary Table A9.** Calibrated VSD+ parameter values.

| Site  | Initial C pool (g m⁻²) | Initial C:N (g g⁻¹) | lgK_AlBc (1) | lgK_HBc (2) | lgK_Alox (3) | Initial BS (4) | Ca weathering (eq m⁻³ yr⁻¹) | Mg weathering (eq m⁻³ yr⁻¹) | K weathering (eq m⁻³ yr⁻¹) | Na weathering (eq m⁻³ yr⁻¹) |
|-------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| AT01  | 6015                   | 5                   | -            | -           | 6.15         | -              | 10.000                     | 10.000                     | 0.309                       | 0.304                       |
| AT09  | 9000                   | 8                   | 16.43        | 2.57        | 8.90         | -              | 0.468                      | 0.304                      | 0.100                       | 0.100                       |
| AT16  | 28000                  | 23                  | 6.82         | 3.47        | 8.00         | -              | 0.010                      | 0.010                      | 0.100                       | 0.100                       |
| BE001 | 14657                  | 35                  | -0.89        | -1.09       | 7.46         | -              | 0.010                      | 0.001                      | 0.001                       | 0.003                       |
| DE01  | 7000                   | 28                  | 0.17         | 2.03        | 7.90         | 0.60           | 0.150                      | 0.200                      | 0.150                       | 0.150                       |
| DE02  | 27516                  | 21                  | 0.14         | 2.00        | 7.89         | 0.67           | 0.200                      | 0.010                      | 0.093                       | 0.004                       |
| DE301 | 10000                  | 34                  | 0.10         | 2.67        | 7.90         | 0.26           | 0.006                      | 0.006                      | 0.011                       |                             |
| DE507 | 10370                  | 22                  | 0.75         | 2.08        | 7.90         | 0.20           | 0.108                      | 0.053                      | 0.116                       |                             |
| FI01  | 1200                   | 5                   | 0.50         | 6.30        | 6.00         | 0.35           | 0.060                      | 0.070                      | 0.020                       | 0.020                       |
| FI03  | 300                    | 2                   | -5.00        | 1.00        | 7.00         | 0.45           | 0.025                      | 0.008                      | 0.008                       | 0.008                       |
| GB54  | 11850                  | 10                  | 0.16         | 6.73        | 7.90         | -              | 0.173                      | 0.035                      | 0.025                       | 0.025                       |
| GB55  | 15032                  | 18                  | 0.16         | 6.73        | 7.90         | -              | 0.294                      | 0.148                      | 0.025                       | 0.025                       |
| IT05  | 4480                   | 10                  | 8.50         | 2.00        | 8.00         | 0.70           | 0.014                      | 0.007                      | 0.004                       | 0.002                       |
| IT07  | 2000                   | 15                  | 12.00        | 3.00        | 8.00         | 0.60           | 0.055                      | 0.022                      | 0.014                       | 0.024                       |
| IT08  | 8000                   | 15                  | -5.00        | 0.21        | 8.00         | 0.60           | 0.011                      | 0.004                      | 0.005                       | 0.049                       |
| IT09  | 14000                  | 8                   | 6.97         | 3.77        | 8.00         | 0.33           | 0.032                      | 0.013                      | 0.008                       | 0.014                       |
| IT10  | 3033                   | 20                  | 4.26         | 2.53        | 8.00         | 0.34           | 0.023                      | 0.009                      | 0.006                       | 0.010                       |
| NO01  | 10453                  | 52                  | 0.10         | 1.98        | 6.22         | 0.29           | 0.050                      | 0.004                      | 0.004                       | 0.012                       |
| PL01  | 7000                   | 8                   | 3.00         | 7.00        | 6.00         | 0.40           | 0.800                      | 0.100                       |                            |                             |
| PLSNP | 5093                   | 25                  | 0.001        | 0.07        | 7.90         | 0.10           | 0.005                      | 0.001                      | 0.001                       |                             |
| PLTNP | 6650                   | 20                  | 0.003        | 0.003       | 7.90         | 0.20           | 0.020                      | 0.001                      | 0.001                       |                             |
| RS1   | 6358                   | 10                  | 5.02         | 2.21        | 6.00         | 0.07           | 0.083                      |                            |                            |                             |
| RS2   | 5793                   | 10                  | 0.30         | 2.08        | 8.00         | 0.46           | 0.100                      |                            |                            |                             |
| SE14  | 8000                   | 25                  | 0.16         | 3.80        | 7.90         | 0.20           | 0.020                      | 0.030                      | 0.010                       | 0.010                       |
| SE15  | 10000                  | 25                  | 0.16         | 3.80        | 7.90         | -              | 0.020                      | 0.012                      | 0.002                       | 0.010                       |
| SE16  | 5000                   | 25                  | 0.16         | 4.00        | 7.90         | 0.10           | 0.020                      | 0.010                      | 0.001                       | 0.010                       |

(1) Log10 of selectivity constant for Al-Bc exchange
(2) Log10 of selectivity constant for H-Bc exchange
(3) Log10 of Al equilibrium constant ((mol/l)⁻²)
(4) Initial base saturation. If missing, its value is determined by the model assuming equilibrium conditions at the start.
**Supplementary Table A10.** Observed values (N=171) of soil solution $[$NO$_3^-$] at 11 sites, with corresponding modelled values.

| Site  | Observation year | Observed annual average $[$NO$_3^-$] (µeq L$^{-1}$) | Modelled annual $[$NO$_3^-$] (µeq L$^{-1}$) |
|-------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| AT01  | 1998             | 66.68                                               | 7.80                                        |
| AT01  | 1999             | 100.45                                              | 7.63                                        |
| AT01  | 2000             | 66.87                                               | 7.48                                        |
| AT01  | 2001             | 69.20                                               | 7.40                                        |
| AT01  | 2002             | 37.35                                               | 7.34                                        |
| AT01  | 2003             | 69.14                                               | 7.28                                        |
| AT01  | 2004             | 48.56                                               | 7.21                                        |
| AT01  | 2005             | 47.75                                               | 7.14                                        |
| AT01  | 2006             | 45.66                                               | 7.24                                        |
| AT01  | 2007             | 41.11                                               | 7.34                                        |
| AT01  | 2008             | 65.24                                               | 7.43                                        |
| AT01  | 2009             | 56.74                                               | 7.53                                        |
| AT01  | 2010             | 39.99                                               | 7.64                                        |
| AT01  | 2011             | 51.82                                               | 7.63                                        |
| AT01  | 2012             | 61.44                                               | 7.63                                        |
| AT09  | 1998             | 60.00                                               | 7.06                                        |
| AT09  | 1999             | 70.00                                               | 6.90                                        |
| AT09  | 2000             | 60.00                                               | 6.72                                        |
| AT09  | 2001             | 30.00                                               | 6.65                                        |
| AT09  | 2002             | 40.00                                               | 6.60                                        |
| AT09  | 2003             | 80.00                                               | 6.52                                        |
| AT09  | 2004             | 90.00                                               | 6.46                                        |
| AT09  | 2005             | 40.00                                               | 6.39                                        |
| AT09  | 2006             | 40.00                                               | 6.32                                        |
| AT09  | 2007             | 20.00                                               | 6.22                                        |
| AT09  | 2008             | 20.00                                               | 6.15                                        |
| AT09  | 2009             | 50.00                                               | 6.07                                        |
| AT09  | 2010             | 30.00                                               | 6.00                                        |
| AT16  | 1998             | 140.00                                              | 1.37                                        |
| AT16  | 1999             | 160.00                                              | 1.37                                        |
| AT16  | 2000             | 140.00                                              | 1.35                                        |
| AT16  | 2001             | 60.00                                               | 1.28                                        |
| AT16  | 2002             | 40.00                                               | 1.22                                        |
| AT16  | 2003             | 40.00                                               | 1.16                                        |
| AT16  | 2004             | 20.00                                               | 1.08                                        |
| AT16  | 2005             | 30.00                                               | 1.02                                        |
| AT16  | 2006             | 20.00                                               | 0.95                                        |
| AT16  | 2007             | 20.00                                               | 0.85                                        |
| AT16  | 2008             | 20.00                                               | 0.78                                        |
| AT16  | 2009             | 0.01                                                | 0.71                                        |
| AT16  | 2010             | 40.00                                               | 0.65                                        |
| AT16  | 2011             | 0.01                                                | 0.63                                        |
| BE001  | 1992            | 1060.00                                              | 582.54                                      |
| BE001  | 1993            | 1140.00                                              | 464.84                                      |
| BE001  | 1994            | 840.00                                               | 284.64                                      |
| BE001  | 1995            | 730.00                                               | 48.42                                       |
| Code | Year | Value 1 | Value 2 |
|------|------|---------|---------|
| BE001 | 1996 | 1260.00 | 105.27  |
| BE001 | 1997 | 970.00  | 128.34  |
| BE001 | 1998 | 650.00  | 34.13   |
| BE001 | 1999 | 260.00  | 57.72   |
| BE001 | 2000 | 430.00  | 238.81  |
| BE001 | 2001 | 380.00  | 39.76   |
| BE001 | 2002 | 360.00  | 92.86   |
| BE001 | 2003 | 410.00  | 178.49  |
| BE001 | 2004 | 190.00  | 287.97  |
| BE001 | 2005 | 430.00  | 238.81  |
| BE001 | 2006 | 380.00  | 39.76   |
| BE001 | 2007 | 360.00  | 92.86   |
| BE001 | 2008 | 410.00  | 178.49  |
| BE001 | 2009 | 190.00  | 287.97  |
| BE001 | 2010 | 430.00  | 238.81  |
| BE001 | 2011 | 380.00  | 39.76   |
| BE001 | 2012 | 360.00  | 92.86   |
| BE001 | 2013 | 410.00  | 178.49  |
| FI01  | 2002 | 0.00    | 2.94    |
| FI01  | 2003 | 1.40    | 3.49    |
| FI03  | 1993 | 2.86    | 3.88    |
| FI03  | 1994 | 1.52    | 7.09    |
| FI03  | 1995 | 1.95    | 7.50    |
| FI03  | 1996 | 1.02    | 7.40    |
| FI03  | 1997 | 0.87    | 7.30    |
| FI03  | 1998 | 1.59    | 7.20    |
| FI03  | 1999 | 2.14    | 7.11    |
| FI03  | 2000 | 1.43    | 7.01    |
| FI03  | 2001 | 1.43    | 6.92    |
| FI03  | 2002 | 2.14    | 6.82    |
| FI03  | 2003 | 2.14    | 6.73    |
| FI03  | 2004 | 2.14    | 6.63    |
| FI03  | 2005 | 2.14    | 6.54    |
| FI03  | 2006 | 2.14    | 6.39    |
| FI03  | 2007 | 2.14    | 6.24    |
| FI03  | 2008 | 2.14    | 6.09    |
| FI03  | 2009 | 2.14    | 5.94    |
| FI03  | 2010 | 2.14    | 5.79    |
| FI03  | 2011 | 2.50    | 5.68    |
| FI03  | 2012 | 2.14    | 5.58    |
| FI03  | 2013 | 2.14    | 5.48    |
| FI03  | 2014 | 2.14    | 5.37    |
| NO01  | 1993 | 2.86    | 8.30    |
| NO01  | 1994 | 1.52    | 7.90    |
| NO01  | 1995 | 1.95    | 7.50    |
| NO01  | 1996 | 1.02    | 7.40    |
| NO01  | 1997 | 0.87    | 7.30    |
| NO01  | 1998 | 1.59    | 7.20    |
| NO01  | 1999 | 2.14    | 7.11    |
| NO01  | 2000 | 1.43    | 7.01    |
| NO01  | 2001 | 1.43    | 6.92    |
| NO01  | 2002 | 2.14    | 6.82    |
| NO01  | 2003 | 2.14    | 6.73    |
| NO01  | 2004 | 2.14    | 6.63    |
| NO01  | 2005 | 2.14    | 6.54    |
| NO01  | 2006 | 2.14    | 6.39    |
| NO01  | 2007 | 2.14    | 6.24    |
| NO01  | 2008 | 2.14    | 6.09    |
| NO01  | 2009 | 2.14    | 5.94    |
| NO01  | 2010 | 2.14    | 5.79    |
| NO01  | 2011 | 2.50    | 5.68    |
| NO01  | 2012 | 2.14    | 5.58    |
| NO01  | 2013 | 2.14    | 5.48    |
| NO01  | 2014 | 2.14    | 5.37    |
| PL01  | 1995 | 163.00  | 28.63   |
| PL01  | 1996 | 141.00  | 27.70   |
| PL01  | 1997 | 19.00   | 26.81   |
| PL01  | 2012 | 5.00    | 23.38   |
| PL01  | 2013 | 11.00   | 23.14   |
| Code | Year | Value 1 | Value 2 |
|------|------|---------|---------|
| RS1  | 2011 | 316.00  | 12.426  |
| RS1  | 2012 | 1058.00 | 12.158  |
| RS1  | 2013 | 149.00  | 11.901  |
| RS1  | 2014 | 128.00  | 11.612  |
| RS1  | 2015 | 58.00   | 11.354  |
| RS2  | 2014 | 225.00  | 12.928  |
| RS2  | 2015 | 95.00   | 12.936  |
| RS2  | 2016 | 77.00   | 12.95   |
| SE14 | 1994 | 0.33    | 7.71    |
| SE14 | 1995 | 1.09    | 7.38    |
| SE14 | 1996 | 3.12    | 9.02    |
| SE14 | 1997 | 0.87    | 7.91    |
| SE14 | 1998 | 0.15    | 5.94    |
| SE14 | 1999 | 0.16    | 6.52    |
| SE14 | 2000 | 0.17    | 6.78    |
| SE14 | 2001 | 0.23    | 6.44    |
| SE14 | 2002 | 0.25    | 7.02    |
| SE14 | 2003 | 0.37    | 7.10    |
| SE14 | 2004 | 0.15    | 5.22    |
| SE14 | 2005 | 0.38    | 6.28    |
| SE14 | 2006 | 0.68    | 5.30    |
| SE14 | 2007 | 0.16    | 4.39    |
| SE14 | 2008 | 0.21    | 3.72    |
| SE14 | 2009 | 0.22    | 4.30    |
| SE14 | 2010 | 4.24    | 3.61    |
| SE14 | 2011 | 8.18    | 3.77    |
| SE14 | 2012 | 20.97   | 3.28    |
| SE14 | 2013 | 42.16   | 4.26    |
| SE14 | 2014 | 7.93    | 4.14    |
| SE14 | 2015 | 10.03   | 4.00    |
| SE15 | 1994 | 0.55    | 3.16    |
| SE15 | 1995 | 0.40    | 2.79    |
| SE15 | 1996 | 1.32    | 3.25    |
| SE15 | 1997 | 0.28    | 3.02    |
| SE15 | 1998 | 0.60    | 2.49    |
| SE15 | 1999 | 0.10    | 2.22    |
| SE15 | 2000 | 0.19    | 1.83    |
| SE15 | 2001 | 0.38    | 2.45    |
| SE15 | 2002 | 0.41    | 2.33    |
| SE15 | 2003 | 0.25    | 2.40    |
| SE15 | 2004 | 0.27    | 1.74    |
| SE15 | 2005 | 0.31    | 1.92    |
| SE15 | 2006 | 0.50    | 1.56    |
| SE15 | 2007 | 0.14    | 1.44    |
| SE15 | 2008 | 0.19    | 1.06    |
| SE15 | 2009 | 0.09    | 1.14    |
| SE15 | 2010 | 0.15    | 1.11    |
| SE15 | 2011 | 0.09    | 1.20    |
| SE15 | 2012 | 0.09    | 0.98    |
| SE15 | 2013 | 0.12    | 1.53    |
| SE15 | 2014 | 0.11    | 1.49    |
| SE15 | 2015 | 0.23    | 1.43    |
| SE16 | 2000 | 0.14    | 1.41    |
| SE16 | 2001 | 0.31    | 1.59    |
| SE16 | Year | Value1 | Value2 |
|------|------|--------|--------|
|      | 2002 | 0.22   | 1.89   |
|      | 2003 | 0.24   | 2.08   |
|      | 2004 | 0.27   | 1.77   |
|      | 2005 | 0.34   | 1.56   |
|      | 2007 | 0.07   | 0.99   |
|      | 2008 | 0.09   | 0.82   |
|      | 2009 | 0.10   | 0.99   |
|      | 2010 | 0.09   | 1.03   |
|      | 2011 | 0.06   | 0.87   |
|      | 2012 | 0.11   | 0.77   |
|      | 2013 | 0.15   | 0.90   |
|      | 2014 | 0.12   | 0.87   |
|      | 2015 | 0.11   | 0.83   |
**Supplementary Table A11.** Observed values (N=97) of soil solution $[\text{NH}_4^+]$ at 6 sites, with corresponding modelled values.

| Site  | Observation year | Observed annual average $[\text{NH}_4^+]$ ($\mu$eq L$^{-1}$) | Modelled annual $[\text{NH}_4^+]$ ($\mu$eq L$^{-1}$) |
|-------|------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| FI01  | 2002             | 2.00                                           | 0.23                                            |
| FI01  | 2004             | 3.00                                           | 0.07                                            |
| FI01  | 2006             | 1.00                                           | 0.42                                            |
| FI03  | 2002             | 10.00                                          | 0.20                                            |
| FI03  | 2003             | 20.00                                          | 0.18                                            |
| FI03  | 2004             | 10.00                                          | 0.06                                            |
| FI03  | 2005             | 20.00                                          | 0.37                                            |
| FI03  | 2006             | 4.00                                           | 0.23                                            |
| NO01  | 1993             | 3.01                                           | 0.41                                            |
| NO01  | 1994             | 2.41                                           | 0.39                                            |
| NO01  | 1995             | 2.66                                           | 0.37                                            |
| NO01  | 1996             | 1.53                                           | 0.37                                            |
| NO01  | 1997             | 4.12                                           | 0.37                                            |
| NO01  | 1998             | 2.62                                           | 0.37                                            |
| NO01  | 1999             | 2.94                                           | 0.37                                            |
| NO01  | 2000             | 3.81                                           | 0.37                                            |
| NO01  | 2001             | 4.08                                           | 0.37                                            |
| NO01  | 2002             | 5.51                                           | 0.37                                            |
| NO01  | 2003             | 5.10                                           | 0.37                                            |
| NO01  | 2004             | 4.59                                           | 0.37                                            |
| NO01  | 2005             | 6.63                                           | 0.37                                            |
| NO01  | 2006             | 5.00                                           | 0.37                                            |
| NO01  | 2007             | 4.46                                           | 0.36                                            |
| NO01  | 2008             | 2.02                                           | 0.36                                            |
| NO01  | 2009             | 2.50                                           | 0.36                                            |
| NO01  | 2010             | 4.08                                           | 0.35                                            |
| NO01  | 2011             | 6.16                                           | 0.35                                            |
| NO01  | 2012             | 2.32                                           | 0.35                                            |
| NO01  | 2013             | 5.35                                           | 0.35                                            |
| NO01  | 2014             | 3.30                                           | 0.35                                            |
| RS1   | 2012             | 250.00                                         | 0.80                                            |
| RS1   | 2013             | 243.00                                         | 0.80                                            |
| RS1   | 2014             | 308.00                                         | 0.81                                            |
| RS1   | 2015             | 133.00                                         | 0.82                                            |
| RS1   | 2016             | 148.00                                         | 0.82                                            |
| RS2   | 2014             | 190.00                                         | 0.66                                            |
| RS2   | 2015             | 24.00                                          | 0.66                                            |
| RS2   | 2016             | 45.00                                          | 0.66                                            |
| SE14  | 1994             | 2.70                                           | 0.13                                            |
| SE14  | 1995             | 2.34                                           | 0.16                                            |
| SE14  | 1996             | 2.67                                           | 0.27                                            |
| SE14  | 1997             | 1.36                                           | 0.15                                            |
| Year | SE14 | SE15 | SE16 |
|------|------|------|------|
| 1998 | 0.51 | 0.26 | 0.35 |
| 1999 | 1.64 | 0.97 | 0.39 |
| 2000 | 1.56 | 1.09 | 0.40 |
| 2001 | 1.45 | 1.16 | 0.46 |
| 2002 | 1.50 | 1.02 | 0.49 |
| 2003 | 2.62 | 1.33 | 0.50 |
| 2004 | 7.50 | 1.01 | 0.41 |
| 2005 | 2.26 | 1.01 | 0.47 |
| 2006 | 2.29 | 1.01 | 0.44 |
| 2007 | 1.61 | 1.07 | 0.37 |
| 2008 | 1.48 | 0.97 | 0.39 |
| 2009 | 1.97 | 1.05 | 0.39 |
| 2010 | 3.99 | 1.11 | 0.51 |
| 2011 | 5.19 | 1.07 | 0.54 |
| 2012 | 3.43 | 1.08 | 0.50 |
| 2013 | 5.55 | 1.06 | 0.50 |
| 2014 | 3.13 | 1.07 | 0.50 |
| 2015 | 2.64 | 1.06 | 0.50 |
| 1994 | 0.58 | 1.20 | 0.39 |
| 1995 | 0.56 | 1.10 | 0.39 |
| 1996 | 0.90 | 1.37 | 0.41 |
| 1997 | 0.25 | 1.21 | 0.40 |
| 1998 | 0.41 | 1.19 | 0.40 |
| 1999 | 1.22 | 1.05 | 0.40 |
| 2000 | 0.39 | 0.90 | 0.39 |
| 2001 | 0.36 | 1.16 | 0.40 |
| 2002 | 0.27 | 1.01 | 0.40 |
| 2003 | 0.89 | 1.04 | 0.40 |
| 2004 | 0.97 | 0.75 | 0.40 |
| 2005 | 0.97 | 0.77 | 0.40 |
| 2006 | 0.79 | 0.58 | 0.40 |
| 2007 | 0.50 | 0.62 | 0.40 |
| 2008 | 0.78 | 0.46 | 0.40 |
| 2009 | 0.55 | 0.51 | 0.40 |
| 2010 | 1.39 | 0.51 | 0.40 |
| 2011 | 0.73 | 0.50 | 0.40 |
| 2012 | 1.19 | 0.44 | 0.40 |
| 2013 | 1.15 | 0.64 | 0.40 |
| 2014 | 0.70 | 0.64 | 0.40 |
| 2015 | 1.75 | 0.63 | 0.40 |
| 2000 | 0.35 | 0.08 | 0.36 |
| 2001 | 0.39 | 0.09 | 0.36 |
| 2002 | 0.30 | 0.06 | 0.36 |
| 2003 | 0.49 | 0.08 | 0.36 |
| 2004 | 0.50 | 0.09 | 0.36 |
| 2005 | 0.33 | 0.07 | 0.36 |
| 2007 | 0.30 | 0.04 | 0.36 |
| 2008 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.36 |
| 2009 | 0.28 | 0.04 | 0.36 |
| 2010 | 0.18 | 0.04 | 0.36 |
| SE16 | 2011 | 0.07 | 0.02 |
|------|------|------|------|
| SE16 | 2012 | 0.56 | 0.04 |
| SE16 | 2013 | 0.22 | 0.03 |
| SE16 | 2014 | 0.38 | 0.03 |
| SE16 | 2015 | 0.30 | 0.03 |
Supplementary Table A12. Observed values (N=144) of soil solution $[SO_4^{2-}]$ at 9 sites, with corresponding modelled values.

| Site | Observation year | Observed annual average $[SO_4^{2-}]$ (µeq L$^{-1}$) | Modelled annual $[SO_4^{2-}]$ (µeq L$^{-1}$) |
|------|------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| AT01 | 1998             | 26.9                                             | 44.3                                        |
| AT01 | 1999             | 41.8                                             | 36.8                                        |
| AT01 | 2000             | 43.5                                             | 29.4                                        |
| AT01 | 2001             | 52.2                                             | 28.2                                        |
| AT01 | 2002             | 29.8                                             | 28.0                                        |
| AT01 | 2003             | 39.8                                             | 28.0                                        |
| AT01 | 2004             | 30.0                                             | 28.0                                        |
| AT01 | 2005             | 30.2                                             | 28.0                                        |
| AT01 | 2006             | 35.3                                             | 26.4                                        |
| AT01 | 2007             | 26.0                                             | 24.4                                        |
| AT01 | 2008             | 25.9                                             | 22.4                                        |
| AT01 | 2009             | 25.4                                             | 20.5                                        |
| AT01 | 2010             | 32.5                                             | 18.5                                        |
| AT01 | 2011             | 32.0                                             | 18.1                                        |
| AT01 | 2012             | 36.5                                             | 18.1                                        |
| AT09 | 1998             | 640.0                                            | 147.9                                       |
| AT09 | 1999             | 600.0                                            | 128.0                                       |
| AT09 | 2000             | 610.0                                            | 108.1                                       |
| AT09 | 2001             | 500.0                                            | 97.9                                        |
| AT09 | 2002             | 600.0                                            | 91.9                                        |
| AT09 | 2003             | 720.0                                            | 87.6                                        |
| AT09 | 2004             | 630.0                                            | 84.0                                        |
| AT09 | 2005             | 520.0                                            | 80.8                                        |
| AT09 | 2006             | 430.0                                            | 76.8                                        |
| AT09 | 2007             | 480.0                                            | 72.6                                        |
| AT09 | 2008             | 510.0                                            | 68.3                                        |
| AT09 | 2009             | 350.0                                            | 63.9                                        |
| AT09 | 2010             | 310.0                                            | 59.4                                        |
| AT09 | 2011             | 330.0                                            | 56.3                                        |
| AT09 | 2012             | 370.0                                            | 53.6                                        |
| AT16 | 1998             | 170.0                                            | 27.4                                        |
| AT16 | 1999             | 130.0                                            | 25.5                                        |
| AT16 | 2000             | 130.0                                            | 23.6                                        |
| AT16 | 2001             | 100.0                                            | 22.5                                        |
| AT16 | 2002             | 110.0                                            | 21.7                                        |
| AT16 | 2003             | 110.0                                            | 20.9                                        |
| AT16 | 2004             | 120.0                                            | 20.0                                        |
| AT16 | 2005             | 120.0                                            | 19.2                                        |
| AT16 | 2006             | 110.0                                            | 16.9                                        |
| AT16 | 2007             | 100.0                                            | 14.3                                        |
| AT16 | 2008             | 110.0                                            | 11.7                                        |
| AT16 | 2009             | 70.0                                             | 9.1                                         |
| Region | Year | Value | Percentage |
|--------|------|-------|------------|
| AT16   | 2010 | 110.0 | 6.4        |
| AT16   | 2011 | 80.0  | 5.7        |
| BE001  | 1992 | 1270.0| 1365.9     |
| BE001  | 1993 | 910.0 | 1176.5     |
| BE001  | 1994 | 550.0 | 859.9      |
| BE001  | 1995 | 440.0 | 757.3      |
| BE001  | 1996 | 880.0 | 1495.6     |
| BE001  | 1997 | 990.0 | 2057.1     |
| BE001  | 1998 | 1260.0| 746.2      |
| BE001  | 1999 | 520.0 | 861.1      |
| BE001  | 2000 | 510.0 | 660.8      |
| BE001  | 2001 | 370.0 | 518.8      |
| BE001  | 2002 | 350.0 | 410.5      |
| BE001  | 2003 | 340.0 | 807.8      |
| BE001  | 2004 | 430.0 | 801.4      |
| BE001  | 2005 | 610.0 | 877.0      |
| BE001  | 2006 | 670.0 | 1001.1     |
| BE001  | 2007 | 910.0 | 798.0      |
| BE001  | 2008 | 500.0 | 589.4      |
| BE001  | 2009 | 620.0 | 511.0      |
| BE001  | 2010 | 470.0 | 301.2      |
| BE001  | 2011 | 360.0 | 327.2      |
| BE001  | 2012 | 310.0 | 291.3      |
| BE001  | 2013 | 340.0 | 323.2      |
| BE001  | 2014 | 360.0 | 292.1      |
| BE001  | 2015 | 300.0 | 233.7      |
| FI01   | 2002 | 280.0 | 107.6      |
| FI01   | 2004 | 250.0 | 38.3       |
| FI01   | 2006 | 230.0 | 57.9       |
| FI03   | 2002 | 80.0  | 88.4       |
| FI03   | 2003 | 60.0  | 48.2       |
| FI03   | 2004 | 70.0  | 25.5       |
| FI03   | 2005 | 100.0 | 61.3       |
| FI03   | 2006 | 60.0  | 48.9       |
| RS1    | 2011 | 1174.0| 310.57     |
| RS1    | 2012 | 1671.0| 292.52     |
| RS1    | 2013 | 807.0 | 271.94     |
| RS1    | 2014 | 789.0 | 250.45     |
| RS1    | 2015 | 991.0 | 228.61     |
| RS1    | 2016 | 317.0 | 206.66     |
| RS2    | 2014 | 821.0 | 323.28     |
| RS2    | 2015 | 721.0 | 322.87     |
| RS2    | 2016 | 173.0 | 322.67     |
| SE14   | 1994 | 493.9 | 151.7      |
| SE14   | 1995 | 308.7 | 130.6      |
| SE14   | 1996 | 247.2 | 149.2      |
| SE14   | 1997 | 257.8 | 134.3      |
| SE14   | 1998 | 231.6 | 95.7       |
| SE14   | 1999 | 209.7 | 87.7       |
| SE14   | 2000 | 223.4 | 84.6       |
| Year | SE14  | SE15     |
|------|-------|----------|
| 2001 | 193.4 | 230.0    |
| 2002 | 162.0 | 191.8    |
| 2003 | 155.8 | 193.9    |
| 2004 | 211.2 | 186.1    |
| 2005 | 175.6 | 171.2    |
| 2006 | 154.0 | 160.7    |
| 2007 | 106.9 | 150.7    |
| 2008 | 117.6 | 123.1    |
| 2009 | 110.7 | 129.2    |
| 2010 |  99.1 |  98.1    |
| 2011 |  84.1 |  97.1    |
| 2012 |  66.0 |  93.6    |
| 2013 | 103.4 |  88.9    |
| 2014 | 159.0 |  92.8    |
| 2015 | 165.3 |  90.1    |

| Year | SE15     |
|------|----------|
| 1994 | 230.0    |
| 1995 | 191.8    |
| 1996 | 193.9    |
| 1997 | 186.1    |
| 1998 | 171.2    |
| 1999 | 160.7    |
| 2000 | 150.7    |
| 2001 | 123.1    |
| 2002 | 129.2    |
| 2003 | 133.5    |
| 2004 | 119.1    |
| 2005 | 106.8    |
| 2006 | 106.3    |
| 2007 |  98.1    |
| 2008 |  97.1    |
| 2009 |  93.6    |
| 2010 |  88.9    |
| 2011 |  92.8    |
| 2012 |  90.1    |
| 2013 |  99.4    |
| 2014 |  84.6    |
| 2015 |  96.7    |
| 2000 |  41.1    |
| 2001 |  31.9    |
| 2002 |  30.7    |
| 2003 |  31.6    |
| 2004 |  30.0    |
| 2005 |  25.6    |
| 2007 |  26.8    |
| 2008 |  21.9    |
| 2009 |  22.0    |
| 2010 |  19.2    |
| 2011 |  16.7    |
| 2012 |  15.9    |
| 2013 |  16.9    |
|     |     | 2014 | 23.2 | 10.3 |
|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| SE16|     | 2015 | 25.4 | 10.0 |
Table A13. Observed values (N=100) of soil solution $[Bc^{2+}]$ at 6 sites, with corresponding modelled values. $Bc$ is the sum of Ca, Mg and K, where two K$^+$ ions are treated as one divalent ion.

| Site | Observation year | Observed annual average $Bc$ ($\mu$eq L$^{-1}$) | Modelled annual $Bc$ ($\mu$eq L$^{-1}$) |
|------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| BE001| 1992             | 720.0                                         | 524.7                                     |
| BE001| 1993             | 730.0                                         | 450.5                                     |
| BE001| 1994             | 470.0                                         | 340.6                                     |
| BE001| 1995             | 530.0                                         | 265.4                                     |
| BE001| 1996             | 590.0                                         | 453.2                                     |
| BE001| 1997             | 530.0                                         | 563.2                                     |
| BE001| 1998             | 520.0                                         | 230.4                                     |
| BE001| 1999             | 320.0                                         | 257.3                                     |
| BE001| 2000             | 330.0                                         | 298.6                                     |
| BE001| 2001             | 220.0                                         | 199.4                                     |
| BE001| 2002             | 200.0                                         | 186.6                                     |
| BE001| 2003             | 150.0                                         | 332.6                                     |
| BE001| 2004             | 160.0                                         | 384.9                                     |
| BE001| 2005             | 190.0                                         | 415.9                                     |
| BE001| 2006             | 210.0                                         | 451.6                                     |
| BE001| 2007             | 260.0                                         | 353.0                                     |
| BE001| 2008             | 200.0                                         | 276.0                                     |
| BE001| 2009             | 200.0                                         | 228.8                                     |
| BE001| 2010             | 130.0                                         | 142.5                                     |
| BE001| 2011             | 80.0                                          | 156.4                                     |
| BE001| 2012             | 140.0                                         | 133.3                                     |
| BE001| 2013             | 130.0                                         | 168.1                                     |
| BE001| 2014             | 140.0                                         | 153.8                                     |
| BE001| 2015             | 100.0                                         | 130.4                                     |
| FI01 | 2002             | 180.0                                         | 77.3                                      |
| FI01 | 2004             | 160.0                                         | 58.0                                      |
| FI01 | 2006             | 160.0                                         | 59.4                                      |
| FI03 | 2002             | 80.0                                          | 3.9                                       |
| FI03 | 2003             | 170.0                                         | 1.7                                       |
| FI03 | 2004             | 70.0                                          | 0.9                                       |
| FI03 | 2005             | 90.0                                          | 2.4                                       |
| FI03 | 2006             | 130.0                                         | 1.8                                       |
| RS1  | 2011             | 2001.0                                        | 337.0                                     |
| RS1  | 2012             | 566.0                                         | 320.7                                     |
| RS1  | 2013             | 423.0                                         | 301.3                                     |
| RS1  | 2014             | 239.0                                         | 280.8                                     |
| RS1  | 2015             | 26.0                                          | 260.4                                     |
| RS1  | 2016             | 223.0                                         | 239.6                                     |
| RS2  | 2014             | 875.0                                         | 449.1                                     |
| RS2  | 2015             | 68.0                                          | 448.8                                     |
| RS2  | 2016             | 368.0                                         | 448.7                                     |
| SE14 | 1994             | 323.0                                         | 174.3                                     |
| SE14 | 1995             | 173.0                                         | 159.8                                     |
| SE14 | Year | Value1 | Value2 |
|------|------|--------|--------|
| SE14 | 1996 | 190.0  | 175.0  |
| SE14 | 1997 | 193.0  | 164.0  |
| SE14 | 1998 | 145.0  | 134.9  |
| SE14 | 1999 | 107.0  | 129.8  |
| SE14 | 2000 | 117.0  | 127.9  |
| SE14 | 2001 | 95.0   | 130.3  |
| SE14 | 2002 | 105.0  | 133.6  |
| SE14 | 2003 | 100.0  | 136.1  |
| SE14 | 2004 | 104.0  | 118.8  |
| SE14 | 2005 | 97.0   | 122.2  |
| SE14 | 2006 | 65.0   | 115.9  |
| SE14 | 2007 | 74.0   | 108.2  |
| SE14 | 2008 | 70.0   | 101.4  |
| SE14 | 2009 | 65.0   | 103.9  |
| SE14 | 2010 | 96.0   | 99.3   |
| SE14 | 2011 | 156.0  | 103.4  |
| SE14 | 2012 | 163.0  | 99.0   |
| SE14 | 2013 | 290.0  | 104.3  |
| SE14 | 2014 | 203.0  | 105.7  |
| SE14 | 2015 | 242.0  | 105.7  |
| SE15 | 1994 | 75.0   | 37.7   |
| SE15 | 1995 | 50.0   | 32.7   |
| SE15 | 1996 | 59.0   | 36.6   |
| SE15 | 1997 | 48.0   | 34.8   |
| SE15 | 1998 | 41.0   | 29.4   |
| SE15 | 1999 | 41.0   | 24.4   |
| SE15 | 2000 | 34.0   | 18.3   |
| SE15 | 2001 | 32.0   | 42.4   |
| SE15 | 2002 | 32.0   | 43.3   |
| SE15 | 2003 | 33.0   | 45.9   |
| SE15 | 2004 | 28.0   | 34.4   |
| SE15 | 2005 | 28.0   | 34.9   |
| SE15 | 2006 | 26.0   | 29.0   |
| SE15 | 2007 | 30.0   | 29.1   |
| SE15 | 2008 | 27.0   | 23.1   |
| SE15 | 2009 | 32.0   | 23.3   |
| SE15 | 2010 | 25.0   | 22.9   |
| SE15 | 2011 | 33.0   | 24.3   |
| SE15 | 2012 | 30.0   | 19.6   |
| SE15 | 2013 | 30.0   | 30.2   |
| SE15 | 2014 | 28.0   | 34.5   |
| SE15 | 2015 | 33.0   | 36.1   |
| SE16 | 2000 | 37.0   | 41.2   |
| SE16 | 2001 | 26.0   | 40.0   |
| SE16 | 2002 | 23.0   | 44.6   |
| SE16 | 2003 | 30.0   | 50.7   |
| SE16 | 2004 | 31.0   | 53.3   |
| SE16 | 2005 | 32.0   | 54.4   |
| SE16 | 2007 | 30.0   | 51.4   |
| SE16 | 2008 | 30.0   | 50.5   |
| SE16 | Year | Value1 | Value2 |
|------|------|--------|--------|
| SE16 | 2009 | 37.0   | 53.7   |
| SE16 | 2010 | 26.0   | 56.7   |
| SE16 | 2011 | 35.0   | 54.8   |
| SE16 | 2012 | 51.0   | 50.9   |
| SE16 | 2013 | 24.0   | 52.1   |
| SE16 | 2014 | 29.0   | 52.8   |
| SE16 | 2015 | 21.0   | 53.3   |
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Figure A1. Modelled versus observed values of soil solution concentrations of base cations (BC = Ca + Mg + K) (meq L⁻¹), sulphate SO₄²⁻ (meq L⁻¹), nitrate NO₃⁻ (meq L⁻¹) and ammonium NH₄⁺ (µeq L⁻¹).

Figure A2. Time plots of observed (blue broken line) and modelled (red solid line) values of soil solution pH at those 20 sites for which there are at least three years of observations.

Figure A3. Time plots of observed (blue broken line) and modelled (red solid line) values of soil solution sulphate concentrations [SO₄²⁻] (meq L⁻¹) at those 11 sites for which there are at least three years of observations.

Figure A4. Time plots of observed (blue broken line) and modelled (red solid line) values of soil solution nitrate concentrations [NO₃⁻] (meq L⁻¹) at those 13 sites for which there are at least three years of observations.

Figure A5. Time plots of observed (blue broken line) and modelled (red solid line) values of soil solution nitrate concentrations [NH₄⁺] (µeq L⁻¹) at those 8 sites for which there are at least three years of observations.

Figure A6. Time plots of observed (blue broken line) and modelled (red solid line) values of soil solution base cation concentrations (sum of calcium, magnesium and potassium) [BC²⁺] (meq L⁻¹) at those 8 sites for which there are at least three years of observations.

Figure A7a. Time plots of simulated soil base saturation (BS, fraction 0 – 1) with 24 climate change scenarios, twelve representing climate forcing level RCP4.5 (light blue) and twelve RCP8.5 (orange).

Figure A7b. Time plots of simulated soil base saturation (BS, fraction 0 – 1) with 24 climate change scenarios, twelve representing climate forcing level RCP4.5 (light blue) and twelve RCP8.5 (orange).

Figure A8a. Time plots of simulated soil carbon to nitrogen ratio (C:N g g⁻¹) with 24 climate change scenarios, twelve representing climate forcing level RCP4.5 (light blue) and twelve RCP8.5 (orange).

Figure A8b. Time plots of simulated soil carbon to nitrogen ratio (C:N g g⁻¹) with 24 climate change scenarios, twelve representing climate forcing level RCP4.5 (light blue) and twelve RCP8.5 (orange).

Figure A9a. Time plots of simulated soil solution pH with 24 climate change scenarios, twelve representing climate forcing level RCP4.5 (light blue) and twelve RCP8.5 (orange).
Figure A9b. Time plots of simulated soil solution pH with 24 climate change scenarios, twelve representing climate forcing level RCP4.5 (light blue) and twelve RCP8.5 (orange).
Supplementary Figure A1. Modelled versus observed values of soil solution concentrations of base cations (BC = Ca + Mg + K) (meq L⁻¹), sulphate SO₄²⁻ (meq L⁻¹), nitrate NO₃⁻ (meq L⁻¹) and ammonium NH₄⁺ (µeq L⁻¹). Dashed line represents 1:1. Number of observations (N) and coefficient of determination (R²) shown.
Supplementary Figure A2. Time plots of observed (blue broken line) and modelled (red solid line) values of soil solution pH at those 20 sites for which there are at least three years of observations.
Supplementary Figure A3. Time plots of observed (blue broken line) and modelled (red solid line) values of soil solution sulphate concentrations \([\text{SO}_4^{2-}]\) (meq L\(^{-1}\)) at those 11 sites for which there are at least three years of observations.
**Supplementary Figure A4.** Time plots of observed (blue broken line) and modelled (red solid line) values of soil solution nitrate concentrations [NO$_3^-$] (meq L$^{-1}$) at those 13 sites for which there are at least three years of observations.
Supplementary Figure A5. Time plots of observed (blue broken line) and modelled (red solid line) values of soil solution nitrate concentrations $[\text{NH}_4^+]$ (µeq L$^{-1}$) at those 8 sites for which there are at least three years of observations.
Supplementary Figure A6. Time plots of observed (blue broken line) and modelled (red solid line) values of soil solution base cation concentrations (sum of calcium, magnesium and potassium) \([BC^{2+}] \text{ (meq L}^{-1}\text{)}\) at those 8 sites for which there are at least three years of observations.
**Supplementary Figure A7a.** Time plots of simulated soil base saturation (BS, fraction 0 – 1) with 24 climate change scenarios, twelve representing climate forcing level RCP4.5 (light blue) and twelve RCP8.5 (orange). BE01 is BE001, DE03 is DE301 and DE04 is DE507.
Supplementary Figure A7b. Time plots of simulated soil base saturation (BS, fraction 0 – 1 ) with 24 climate change scenarios, twelve representing climate forcing level RCP4.5 (light blue) and twelve RCP8.5 (orange). PL02 is PLSNP and PL03 is PLTNP.
Supplementary Figure A8a. Time plots of simulated soil carbon to nitrogen ratio (C:N g g\(^{-1}\)) with 24 climate change scenarios, twelve representing climate forcing level RCP4.5 (light blue) and twelve RCP8.5 (orange). BE01 is BE001, DE03 is DE301 and DE04 is DE507.
Supplementary Figure A8b. Time plots of simulated soil carbon to nitrogen ratio (C:N g g\(^{-1}\)) with 24 climate change scenarios, twelve representing climate forcing level RCP4.5 (light blue) and twelve RCP8.5 (orange). PL02 is PLSNP and PL03 is PLTNP.
Supplementary Figure A9a. Time plots of simulated soil solution pH with 24 climate change scenarios, twelve representing climate forcing level RCP4.5 (light blue) and twelve RCP8.5 (orange). BE01 is BE001, DE03 is DE301 and DE04 is DE507.
Supplementary Figure A9b. Time plots of simulated soil solution pH with 24 climate change scenarios, twelve representing climate forcing level RCP4.5 (light blue) and twelve RCP8.5 (orange). PL02 is PLSNP and PL03 is PLTNP.