Smoking has no impact on survival and it is not associated with ACE gene I/D polymorphism in hemodialysis patients
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Abstract

Introduction: The relationship between smoking and mortality in patients on hemodialysis is controversial. Earlier studies showed that the insertion/deletion (I/D) polymorphism of the ACE gene might have an effect on mortality. The aim of this study was to test the impact of smoking on survival and whether this association was influenced by ACE gene I/D polymorphism in patients on maintenance hemodialysis.

Participants and methods: In this prospective, multicenter cohort study we analyzed 709 prevalent patients on maintenance hemodialysis. Patients were allocated into groups based on their smoking habit. Outcome data were collected during the 144-month follow-up period. Outcomes of current smokers and lifelong non-smokers were compared. In order to control for interactions between predictor variables, we also identified 160 matched pairs for further sub-analysis.

Results: The vast majority of patients (67%) were non-smokers, followed by current smokers (22.2%) and ex-smokers (9.8%). Smoking had no impact on survival in the matched pair analysis (p = 0.99). After adjustment for ACE I/D polymorphism and other co-variates, smoking had no effect on survival.

Conclusion: Our data suggest that smoking has no impact on survival; neither is it associated with ACE gene I/D polymorphism in hemodialysis patients.
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Introduction

The survival of patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) on renal replacement therapy (RRT) has been improving over the past decade. However, the mortality rate is still high in this population compared to the general population and also to patients living with a less-severe degree of renal impairment. Therefore, identifying risk factors that could contribute to the progression of kidney disease and also development of comorbidities remains one of the priorities of the management of these patients.1 Furthermore, concurrent presence of risk factors may aggravate their single harmful effect.

Several studies suggest that smoking is one of the modifiable risk factors that can accelerate the progression of chronic kidney disease (CKD). Potential mechanisms of this action include promotion of atherosclerosis in renal arteries, excessive formation of free radicals, higher activity of the sympathetic nervous system, and intrarenal hemodynamic changes and influencing the production of
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several cytokines in the renal interstitium. These could lead to tubular dysfunction, interstitial fibrosis, and glomerulosclerosis, and accelerate progression of CKD.\textsuperscript{2-5} Nicotine as a significant part of smoke resulted in activation of inflammatory mediators in the rat model of glomerular injury, whose results could be one explanation of kidney injury by smoke.\textsuperscript{6} Based on the available evidence, nicotine seems to be one of the responsible deleterious factors in a progression of CKD because of its several biological and renal effects including mesangial and glomerular cell proliferation, fibronectin production, inflammation, oxidative stress and reducing number of podocytes. Interestingly, however, some studies showed a protective effect of nicotine in certain conditions.\textsuperscript{7}

The association between smoking and accelerated progression of CKD has been demonstrated in patients with various etiologies of kidney disease.\textsuperscript{8-10} Furthermore, graft survival after kidney transplantation was significantly better in non-smokers compared to patients who smoked.\textsuperscript{11}

According to a recently published meta-analysis, smoking was associated with a significant increase in all-cause mortality in dialyzed patients. However, not all of the examined studies showed a significant association between smoking and mortality.\textsuperscript{12} Soon after this meta-analysis, a post hoc analysis of the Hemodialysis (HEMO) study appeared giving additional evidence on this topic. Comparing data of patients who were current smokers to those of patients who never smoked, the results showed that smokers had significantly greater infection-related and all-cause mortality in dialyzed patients. However, according to the included studies, smoking and mortality could be explained by a potential interaction between smoking and survival on hemodialysis. We hypothesized that this inconsistency in the literature could be explained by a potential interaction between smoking and ACE I/D polymorphism on survival in this population. Therefore, the aim of this study was to test the impact of ACE I/D polymorphism on the association between smoking and survival in patients with ESRD on hemodialysis.

**Participants and methods**

All data for the current retrospective analysis were extracted from the database of the B. Braun Avitum Hungary-DialGene Study. This was a cross-sectional genetic study that was conducted in the dialysis units of the B. Braun Avitum Hungary CPLC Dialysis Network. The contributors to the study are listed in the acknowledgment of this article. Data collection started in 11 dialysis centers of the B. Braun Avitum Hungary CPLC Dialysis Network in 1997.

Inclusion criteria were more than 90 days on dialysis at the time of cross-sectional data collection and written informed consent to the study. A total of 746 patients were eligible for baseline data collection. During the follow-up period of 12 years, only outcome data were collected. Thirty-seven patients had an incomplete data set; they were therefore excluded from the analysis.

Patients were assigned to three groups according to their smoking status: “current smokers,” “ex-smokers” and “non-smokers,” who never smoked habitually. For further analysis, we excluded patients in the “ex-smokers” groups.

Because of the significant differences in baseline parameters between the patient groups, we identified 160 matched pairs based on smoking status (smokers and non-smokers) in order to explore the impact of smoking on survival. Matching variables were gender, age ($\leq 10$ years difference), time on dialysis at baseline (according to the following categories: less than 12 months, 12–35 months,
Table 1. Baseline characteristics of dialyzed patients by smoking habit.

| Smoking status | Current smoker | Ex-smoker | Non-smoker | Whole study cohort | p       |
|----------------|----------------|-----------|------------|--------------------|---------|
| Number of patients % (n) | 22.2% (159) | 9.8% (70) | 67.0% (480) | 100.0% (709) | –       |
| Age, mean±SD (years) | 48.1 ±14.9 | 58.5±12.9 | 56.6±15.4 | 54.9±15.5 | I vs II and I vs III, p < 0.001 |
| Male % (n) | 71.7 (114) | 84.3 (59) | 42.3 (203) | 53.2 (381) | I vs II, p = 0.04; I vs III and II vs III, p < 0.001 |
| Female % (n) | 28.3 (45) | 15.7 (11) | 57.7 (277) | 46.8 (335) | –       |
| Cause of ESRD % (n) | 32.2 (66) | 11.7 (24) | 56.1 (115) | 100 (205) | I vs III, p < 0.001 |
| Glomerulonephritis | 18.0 (31) | 6.4 (11) | 75.6 (130) | 100 (172) | II vs III, p = 0.04 |
| Tubulointerstitial | 9.4 (11) | 11.1 (13) | 79.5 (93) | 100 (117) | I vs II and I vs III, p < 0.001 |
| Diabetes mellitus | 28.6 (16) | 10.7 (6) | 60.7 (34) | 100 (56) | NS       |
| PKD | 47.8 (76) | 44.3 (31) | 40.8 (196) | 42.6 (305) | NS       |
| Hypertension | 32.7 (52) | 35.7 (25) | 39.4 (189) | 37.7 (270) | NS       |
| Other and unknown causes | 36.2±34.2 | 31.6±29.9 | 33.6±31.7 | 34.0±32.0 | NS       |
| Dialysis vintage, mean±SD (months) | 19.5 (31) | 20.0 (14) | 19.8 (95) | 19.7 (141) | NS       |
| ACE-genotype % (n) | 14.3 (138) | 42.1 (59) | 40.2 (386) | 41.0 (587) | NS       |
| ID | 19.5 (31) | 20.0 (14) | 19.8 (95) | 19.7 (141) | NS       |
| DD | 47.8 (76) | 44.3 (31) | 40.8 (196) | 42.6 (305) | NS       |
| Frequency of allele % (n) | 32.7 (52) | 35.7 (25) | 39.4 (189) | 37.7 (270) | NS       |
| I | 56.6 (180) | 57.9 (81) | 59.8 (574) | 59.0 (845) | NS       |
| D | – | – | – | – | – |

*pK: polycystic kidney disease; ESRD: end-stage renal disease; ACE: angiotensin I converting enzyme; I: insertion; D: deletion.

36–59 months, more than 60 months) and diabetes mellitus. Variables were compared using the paired t-test and McNemar test. Kaplan-Meier estimate with log-rank test was used in this sub-cohort of patients to compare survival of patients who smoked vs never smoked.

In addition, Cox proportional hazard models were created to examine the effect of smoking and ACE I/D polymorphism on survival in the whole study cohort. Since a significant association was found between age and smoking status, the Cox models were stratified by age groups (18–44 years, 45–64 and ≥ 65 years). All multivariate models were adjusted for age, gender and diabetes.

Continuous variables were presented with mean ± standard deviation (SD) and categorical variables with absolute and relative frequencies (%). Differences in characteristics of groups were compared with the use of analyses of variance (ANOVA) (comparisons of group Tukey-test) or Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney test for continuous variables, chi-square test for categorical variables. A p value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using STATISTICA v.10 software (Tulsa, OK, USA).

Results

Baseline characteristics of all patients in the study and also in groups according to their smoking status are presented in Table 1. A total of 709 patients were enrolled in the analysis. The majority of the patients were non-smokers (n = 480), followed by current smokers (n = 159) and ex-smokers (n = 70). The mean age was 54.9±15.5 years and current smokers were younger than ex-smokers and non-smokers (p < 0.001 for each). The proportion of male gender was 53.2%. Males were more prevalent in the current and ex-smoker groups, but female patients were more prevalent among non-smokers. There were significantly more male patients, who were also significantly younger, in the current smokers group.

The most frequent cause of ESRD in the whole cohort was glomerulonephritis (28.9%), followed by tubulointerstitial disease (24.2%).

Glomerulonephritis was the most prevalent cause of ESRD in the current smoker and ex-smoker groups, whereas tubulointerstitial disease was more prevalent in patients who never smoked. The prevalence of diabetes was 16.5% in the whole cohort and it was the least prevalent in current smokers.

The duration of dialysis treatment (dialysis vintage) before the cross-sectional data collection was 23.8 (interquartile range, IQR: 11.2–47.1) months. Dialysis vintage and distribution of ACE gene I/D genotypes were well balanced among the three groups. There were no associations between ACE gene I/D polymorphism or allele frequency and smoking status (Table 1). Similarly, when patients were re-allocated into aggregated groups (current smokers plus ex-smokers vs non-smokers or current smokers vs
non-smokers plus ex-smokers), we did not find any associations between ACE gene polymorphism or allele frequency and smoking habit (data not shown).

In the matched pair analysis, mean age was 48.0±14.8 years in the smoker group and 48.9±13.8 years in the non-smoker patients (p = 0.64); the proportion of male patients (71.9%), the prevalence of diabetes (7.5%) and also dialysis vintage (36.1±34.1 vs 37.8±35.4 months; p = 0.97) were similar. There were no differences in the distributions of ACE gene I/D genotypes (p = 0.27) between the two groups. The 12-year cumulative mortality was also similar (50.0% vs 51.9%; p = 0.80) in the two groups. The mean survival time was also similar in the two groups: 93.6±3.8 months (95% confidence interval (CI): 86.1–101.1) and 93.7±4.1 months (95% CI: 85.7–101.7) for non-smokers and smokers, respectively. In the Kaplan-Meier analysis, there was no survival difference between patients who smoked or never-smoked (log-rank test: p = 0.99) (Figure 1).

The multivariate Cox regression models were stratified to three age groups and further adjusted for age, gender and diabetes, as described. Neither smoking nor ACE I/D polymorphism proved to be a significant predictor of mortality (Table 2). Additional multivariate models created for comparing current-smokers vs ex-smokers showed similar results (data not shown).

**Discussion**

In this multicenter, retrospective cohort study, we analyzed the potential effect of ACE I/D polymorphism on the association between smoking and survival among chronic hemodialysis patients. We found that irrespective of ACE gene I/D polymorphism, smoking had no effect on mortality in our cohort of end-stage kidney disease patients on hemodialysis.

Our results concerning no relationship between smoking and survival in unselected hemodialysis patients are in contrast to the results of a recently published meta-analysis by Liebman et al. and the post hoc analysis of the HEMO study data set. There might be numerous reasons for this contradictory result. Among the 10 studies included in the above meta-analysis, only six reported significant associations between smoking and all-cause mortality and only two out of five between smoking and cardiovascular mortality. The heterogeneity of the results is remarkable.

Interestingly, in studies in which smoking was the primary variable of interest, it was not associated with mortality, whereas in other studies, smoking as a covariable in multivariate models was a significant predictor of mortality. In these latter studies, significant associations between smoking and other predictor variables (such as age, gender and comorbidities) could have obscured the observed relationship. Particularly, age and gender were highly related to smoking in our analysis as well. In order to control for these correlations of predictor variables, we used the matched pair analysis in the study. In addition, patients with current acute medical conditions were generally excluded from the above studies, which could result in excluding high-risk patients and might lead to further biases. Our cohort was not selected in this regard and therefore we believe that it was more representative of the chronic hemodialysis population.

The low number of patients in our analysis could also explain why we failed to detect a very strong potential association between all-cause mortality and smoking. The above cited post hoc analysis of the HEMO study included more than 1800 patients, allowing the detection of a 44% greater adjusted risk (with 95% CI 1.16–1.79) of mortality in current smokers vs lifelong non-smokers. Although one could observe a trend for increased mortality in smokers aged between 45 and 64 years in our data set,
our cohort did not allow the detection of a potential asso-
ciation of this magnitude with confidence.

The I/D polymorphism of the ACE gene was found to be an important mortality risk factor among patients on 
hemodialysis in a previous analysis. Although rarely 
examined, this polymorphism could also have an effect on 
associations between other predictors, such as smoking 
and mortality. We hypothesized that this polymorphism 
could stand behind the conflicting results regarding the 
association between smoking and mortality. Our current 
analysis failed to demonstrate this modifying effect.

Our study has some major limitations that could also 
bias the results. The cross-sectional nature of data collect-
did not allow for potential temporal changes in smoking 
habit. Consequently, we had to assume that this factor 
remained stable in time. However, some patients in our 
cohort might have started or stopped smoking during the 
follow-up period, and this could have reduced the mortal-
difference between the two patient groups.

The assessment of smoking habit was based on patient 
self-report. Although this adds some uncertainty to our 
variable of main interest, it could rather affect mis-classifi-
cation between current and former smokers only. Since we 
decided to exclude former smokers from our analysis, this 
resulting potential bias was rather small, if any. It is very 
unlikely that lifelong non-smokers were reported as smok-
ers or contrariwise.

The quantity of smoking was not assessed in our study, 
which could lead to great heterogeneity among our patients in 
the current smoker group. Consequently, it could lessen the 
mortality difference between the examined patient groups.

Conflicting results were reported about the associations 
between mortality and former smoking. Some authors 
found that there was no difference in mortality between 
these patients, whereas others found that smoking cessa-
tion even after one year resulted in a lower risk of mortal-
ity compared to current smokers. For clarity and to prevent 
mis-classification bias, we decided to exclude former 
smokers from our analysis.

Our cohort included only patients of Caucasian origin, 
and therefore our results might be difficult to generalize to 
patients of other races. ACE I and D allele frequencies might 
well be different in other races, as was shown among Han 
Chinese ESRD patients in a recent report by Yang et al.19

Interestingly, the authors of this report found significant 
interaction between ACE I/D polymorphism and smoking 
habit. ESRD patients who ever smoked more likely had the 
D allele compared to patients who never smoked. We 
could not observe a similar association between ACE I/D 
polymorphism and smoking habit. This lack of association 
was reported in a representative cohort of Caucasian 
patients without kidney disease, as well.

We believe that the above limitations might explain to 
some extent why we did not observe a significant asso-
ciation between smoking and mortality in our cohort.

However, our data clearly demonstrate that ACE I/D pol-
ymorphism has no impact on this potential association. 
Furthermore, we do not suggest that smoking has no 
impact on mortality in this population.
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