Functionalized Graphene Oxide Mediated Adriamycin Delivery and miR-21 Gene Silencing to Overcome Tumor Multidrug Resistance In Vitro
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Abstract

Multidrug resistance (MDR) is a major impediment to successful cancer chemotherapy. Co-delivery of novel MDR-reversing agents and anticancer drugs to cancer cells holds great promise for cancer treatment. MicroRNA-21 (miR-21) overexpression is associated with the development and progression of MDR in breast cancer, and it is emerging as a novel and promising MDR-reversing target. In this study, a multifunctional nanocomplex, composed of polyethylenimine (PEI)/poly(sodium 4-styrenesulfonates) (PSS)/graphene oxide (GO) and termed PPG, was prepared using the layer-by-layer assembly method to evaluate the reversal effects of PPG as a carrier for adriamycin (ADR) (along with miR-21 targeted siRNA (anti-miR-21)) in cancer drug resistance. ADR was firstly loaded onto the PPG surface (PPGADR) by physical mixing and anti-miR-21 was sequentially loaded onto PPGADR through electric absorption to form anti-miR-21/PPGADR. Cell experiments showed that PPG significantly enhanced the accumulation of ADR in MCF-7/ADR cells (an ADR resistant breast cancer cell line) and exhibited much higher cytotoxicity than free ADR, suggesting that PPG could effectively reverse ADR resistance of MCF-7/ADR. Furthermore, the enhanced therapeutic efficacy of PPG could be correlated with effective silencing of miR-21 and with increased accumulation of ADR in drug-resistant tumor cells. The endocytosis study confirmed that PPG could effectively carry drug molecules into cells via the caveolae and clathrin-mediated endocytosis pathways. These results suggest that this PPG could be a potential and efficient non-viral vector for reversing MDR, and the strategy of combining anticancer drugs with miRNA therapy to overcome MDR could be an attractive approach in cancer treatment.

Introduction

Multidrug resistance (MDR) is a significant obstacle to successful cancer chemotherapy. Traditional chemotherapy or a single therapeutic strategy often fails to achieve expected results in cancer treatment due to MDR. MDR is often mediated by drug efflux transporters such as P-glycoprotein (P-gp, encoded by ABCB1), which are often overexpressed in cancer cells [1,2]. The co-delivery of MDR-reversing agents and anticancer drugs is a promising way to overcome MDR in cancer chemotherapy [3,4,5,6,7]. Various MDR-reversing agents have been explored to enhance the efficiency of chemotherapy [8]. However, due to high inherent toxicity and resulting alterations in the pharmacokinetics of anticancer drugs, these MDR-reversing agents have very limited clinical potential [9]. MicroRNAs (miRNAs, or miRs) are a group of small non-coding RNAs (approximately 22 nucleotides), that regulate the expression of their target genes by degrading target mRNA transcripts or inhibiting target mRNA translation [10]. Distinct miRNA expression patterns are associated with various cancers and anticancer drug resistance [11]. miR-21 is over-expressed in many cancers, and its overexpression is significantly correlated with drug resistance in breast cancer [12,13,14]. The inhibition of miR-21 by small interfering RNA against miR-21 (anti-miR-21) can overcome multidrug resistance and restore the chemosensitivity of anticancer drugs in tumor cells [14,15]. Thus, targeting special miRNAs opens a new avenue for the treatment of drug resistant cancers [16]. The combination of anticancer drugs with miRNA-silencing gene therapy through an effective nanocarrier system is an attractive approach to overcome MDR [1,6,17,18].

Graphene, a type of two-dimensional nanomaterial, has been extensively studied for its excellent physical, chemical and mechanical properties [19]. Recently, its biomedical application has emerged as an interesting field. It is often prepared as nanoelectronics, biosensors and nanocomposites. PEGylated nanoscale graphene oxide (GO) was formulated as a nanocarrier...
to load anticancer drugs, such as Adriamycin (ADR) and SN38 [1,20,21]. High-efficiency loading and controlled release of ADR by GO was also achieved via π-π stacking between the drug and GO [22]. Functionalized nanoscale GO was also able to deliver oligonucleotides into cells and to protect oligonucleotides from enzymatic cleavage [23]. PEI conjugated GO as a gene delivery carrier was reported from other groups [24,25]. Moreover, enhanced chemotherapy efficacy was achieved by sequential delivery of siRNA and anticancer drugs using PEI-grafted GO [26]. However, the combination of miRNA therapy and anticancer drugs by simultaneous delivery of siRNA and anticancer drug into cells to overcome MDR by a functionalized GO generated using the layer-by-layer assembly method as a carrier remains unexplored. As illustrated in Fig. 1, in this study, a multifunctional nanocomplex, composed of polyethyleneimine (PEI)/poly (sodium 4-styrenesulfonates) (PSS)/graphene oxide (GO) and termed PPG, was successfully prepared through a layer-by-layer chemical assembly method. The efficacy of ADR-oxide (GO) and termed PPG, was successfully prepared through PEI/poly (sodium 4-styrenesulfonates) (PSS)/graphene oxide (GO) and termed PPG, as determined by TGA. The concentration of PPG was 0.4 mg/ml. anti-miR-21 was loaded onto PPGADR. The amount of ADR loaded on PPG was determined by HPLC and Fig. S2, the spectrum of the PPG presented the characteristic absorption peak of ADR clearly at 480 nm, indicating the successful formation of PPGADR. The spectrum of the PPGADR showed the characteristic absorption peak of ADR at 480 nm, indicating the successful formation of PPGADR. The amount of ADR loaded on PPG was determined by HPLC which was 0.7 mg/ml. anti-miR-21 was loaded onto PPGADR through static interaction to form anti-miR-21PPGADR, as described in the methods section. anti-miR-21PPGADR had two strong absorption peaks at the range of 200 nm to 800 nm, one was at 480 nm which was the typical peak of ADR, and the other one was at 260 nm which indicated the binding of anti-miR-21 onto PPGADR. The ability of PPGADR to form complexes with anti-miR-21 was further investigated by using a gel retardation assay. 500 pmol anti-miR-21 (100 μM, 5 μl) was added into different volumes of the PPGADR solution. The results showed that significant interaction with anti-miR-21 was achieved starting from a volume ratio of 0.8, and complete complexation was observed at a volume ratio of 1.0 (Fig. 3B). Thus, the volume ratio of 1.0 was chosen in all subsequent experiments. anti-miR-21PPG was prepared by adding anti-miR-21 into PPG at the same volume ratio as used in preparation of anti-miR-21PPGADR.

Co-delivery of ADR and anti-miR-21 by PPG into Cancer Cells

The ability of a carrier to efficiently deliver both siRNA and anticancer drugs into cells is of particular interest for combinational cancer therapy. Herein, the co-delivery of anti-miR-21 and ADR into MCF-7/ADR cells by PPG was investigated. The presence of red fluorescence from ADR in the cells indicated that ADR was successfully delivered into cancer cells by PPG (Fig. 4B), while the green fluorescence in the cytoplasm indicated that PPG could also deliver FAM-labeled anti-miR-21 into MCF-7/ADR cells (Fig. 4C). Both green and red positive cells were observed after the cells were treated with FAM anti-miR-21PPGADR (Fig. 4D), suggesting that the PPG was able to simultaneously deliver ADR and anti-miR-21 into MCF-7/ADR cells, resulting in the colocalization of chemotherapeutic and gene therapy agents in the same cancer cells.

Enhanced Cytotoxicity of MCF-7/ADR Cells Induced by anti-miR-21PPGADR

The cytotoxicities of ADR anti-miR-21PPG, PPGADR and anti-miR-21PPGADR were estimated in MCF-7 (ADR sensitive) and MCF-7/ADR (ADR resistant) cells using MTT assay, and the cells were cultured with the drug treatments for 24 h. As indicated in Fig. 5, the cytotoxicity of free ADR on MCF-7/ADR was much lower than that on MCF-7 which was due to the drug resistance of MCF-7/ADR cells. The anti-miR-21PPG slightly reduced cell survival rate both on MCF-7 and MCF-7/ADR cells because of the reason that miR-21 siRNA could inhibit the cell proliferation.

Results

Fabrication and Characterization of PPG

The thickness of the prepared GO was about 1.2 nm, and the size distribution was within a narrow range from 50 to 300 nm (Fig. 2A left), which was in agreement with previous reports [27]. After PSS and PEI were assembled onto the GO using the layer-by-layer assembly method, many surface protuberances were observed on the surface of PPG, indicating that a large amount of PSS and PEI was immobilized onto the GO sheet (Fig. 2A right). The size of the final carrier PPG was 500±45 nm determined by AFM. The assembly process of PPG was confirmed by zeta-potential analysis. The surface zeta-potentials of GO, PSS/GO and PPG were -27.2±1.3 mV, -42±2.0 mV and 26.6±0.4 mV, respectively (Fig. 2B). The assembly process of PPG was also characterized by FT-IR and NMR analyses. As shown in Fig. S1 and Fig. S2, the spectrum of the PPG presented the characteristic peaks of GO, PSS and PEI, which indicated the successful assembly of the PPG. The concentration of PPG was 0.4 mg/ml as determined by TGA.

Fabrication of PPGADR

Ultrasensitive visible (UV-vis) absorption spectroscopy was employed to confirm the loading of ADR onto PPG. As shown in Fig. 3A, ADR exhibited a strong absorption peak at 480 nm, while PPG barely had any absorption peak after 300 nm. The spectrum of the PPGADR showed the characteristic absorption peak of ADR at 480 nm, indicating the successful formation of PPGADR.

Fabrication of PPGADR

Ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) absorption spectroscopy was employed to confirm the loading of ADR onto PPG. As shown in Fig. 3A, ADR exhibited a strong absorption peak at 480 nm, while PPG barely had any absorption peak after 300 nm. The spectrum of the PPGADR showed the characteristic absorption peak of ADR at 480 nm, indicating the successful formation of PPGADR. The amount of ADR loaded on PPG was determined by HPLC which was 0.7 mg/ml. anti-miR-21 was loaded onto PPGADR through static interaction to form anti-miR-21PPGADR, as described in the methods section. anti-miR-21PPGADR had two strong absorption peaks at the range of 200 nm to 800 nm, one was at 480 nm which was the typical peak of ADR, and the other one was at 260 nm which indicated the binding of anti-miR-21 onto PPGADR. The ability of PPGADR to form complexes with anti-miR-21 was further investigated by using a gel retardation assay. 500 pmol anti-miR-21 (100 μM, 5 μl) was added into different volumes of the PPGADR solution. The results showed that significant interaction with anti-miR-21 was achieved starting from a volume ratio of 0.8, and complete complexation was observed at a volume ratio of 1.0 (Fig. 3B). Thus, the volume ratio of 1.0 was chosen in all subsequent experiments. anti-miR-21PPG was prepared by adding anti-miR-21 into PPG at the same volume ratio as used in preparation of anti-miR-21PPGADR.

Co-delivery of ADR and anti-miR-21 by PPG into Cancer Cells

The ability of a carrier to efficiently deliver both siRNA and anticancer drugs into cells is of particular interest for combinational cancer therapy. Herein, the co-delivery of anti-miR-21 and ADR into MCF-7/ADR cells by PPG was investigated. The presence of red fluorescence from ADR in the cells indicated that ADR was successfully delivered into cancer cells by PPG (Fig. 4B), while the green fluorescence in the cytoplasm indicated that PPG could also deliver FAM-labeled anti-miR-21 into MCF-7/ADR cells (Fig. 4C). Both green and red positive cells were observed after the cells were treated with FAM anti-miR-21PPGADR (Fig. 4D), suggesting that the PPG was able to simultaneously deliver ADR and anti-miR-21 into MCF-7/ADR cells, resulting in the colocalization of chemotherapeutic and gene therapy agents in the same cancer cells.

Enhanced Cytotoxicity of MCF-7/ADR Cells Induced by anti-miR-21PPGADR

The cytotoxicities of ADR anti-miR-21PPG, PPGADR and anti-miR-21PPGADR were estimated in MCF-7 (ADR sensitive) and MCF-7/ADR (ADR resistant) cells using MTT assay, and the cells were cultured with the drug treatments for 24 h. As indicated in Fig. 5, the cytotoxicity of free ADR on MCF-7/ADR was much lower than that on MCF-7 which was due to the drug resistance of MCF-7/ADR cells. The anti-miR-21PPG slightly reduced cell survival rate both on MCF-7 and MCF-7/ADR cells because of the reason that miR-21 siRNA could inhibit the cell proliferation.
rate in cancer cells. The cell survival rate of MCF-7 cells was 35% after treated by PPGADR which was similar to the rate after treatment by free ADR, however, the cell survival rate of MCF-7/ADR cells was significantly reduced to 52% after treated by PPGADR. Compared with PPGADR treatment, anti-miR-21PPGADR treatment reduced the cell survival rate from 35% to 28% in MCF-7 cells and from 52% to 30% in MCF-7/ADR cells. The above results indicated that anti-miR-21PPGADR effectively reversed the drug resistance of MCF-7/ADR cells.

In vitro miR-21 and ABCB1 Downregulation

The co-delivery of anti-miR-21 and ADR was expected to enhance the anticancer activity of ADR through efficient inhibition of miR-21 expression and efficient delivery of ADR, leading to resensitization of MDR cells to ADR. qRT-PCR assay was performed to detect the expression of miR-21 in MCF-7 and MCF-7/ADR cells after treatment by miRNA-PGP and anti-miR-21PPG for 24 h. As shown in Fig. 6A, the relative level of miR-21 expression in MCF-7/ADR cell line was about 2.5 fold higher than that in MCF-7 cell line which suggested the important role of miR-21 overexpression in MDR in breast cancer. The miR-21 expression level in MCF-7 cells and in drug-resistant MCF-7/ADR cells were reduced by 40% and 35% respectively after the cells were treated by anti-miR-21PPG compared with negative control indicating that PPG could efficiently deliver anti-miR-21 into cancer cells and inhibit the expression of miR-21 (Fig. 6A). The relative expression of ABCB1 in MCF-7/ADR cell line was about 3.3 fold higher than that in MCF-7 cell line and its expression level in MCF-7 cells and MCF-7/ADR cells were reduced by 30% and 45% respectively.
thus could help overcome MDR (Fig. 6B). Indicating that silencing of miR-21 could downregulate ABCB1 internalization of PPG.

Clathrin-mediated endocytosis pathways are involved in the pretreatments, which demonstrated that both caveolae and indomethacin (a caveolae-mediated endocytosis inhibitor) and sucrose (a clathrin-mediated endocytosis inhibitor) inhibited by sucrose (a clathrin-mediated endocytosis inhibitor) the cellular uptake efficiency of ADR at 37°C, while the cellular uptake efficiency of ADR was obviously decreased at 4°C, indicating that silencing of miR-21 could downregulate ABCB1 expression brought about by anti-miR-21. This combination therapy was able to overcome multidrug resistance due to its ability to partially counteract drug transporter efflux on the cell membrane but also mediated by the reduction in miR-21 and ABCB1 expression brought about by anti-miR-21. This combined therapy was able to overcome multidrug resistance effects and restore the chemosensitivity of anticancer drugs in the tumor cells. The uptake mechanism of PPGADR was further investigated by examining the effects of temperature and endocytosis inhibitors on cellular uptake (Fig. 7B). The cellular uptake efficiency of ADR was obviously decreased at 4°C, while the cellular uptake efficiency of ADR at 37°C was significantly inhibited by sucrose (a clathrin-mediated endocytosis inhibitor) and indomethacin (a caveolae-mediated endocytosis inhibitor) pretreatments, which demonstrated that both caveolae and clathrin-mediated endocytosis pathways are involved in the internalization of PPG.

Discussion

Chemotherapy is still the first line treatment in many cancers, but the potential effects of many chemotherapeutic agents are undermined by the presence of multiple drug resistance. The development of MDR can be attributed to a reduction in drug concentrations, the activation of DNA repair mechanisms and the inactivation of apoptosis pathways [28]. The combination of two or more therapeutic approaches with different therapeutic mechanisms to overcome multidrug resistance has been proposed as a means of improving the efficacy of currently available chemotherapeutic agents [5]. As a focus of in materials research, graphene has been identified as a promising candidate for potential biomedical application due to its small size, high biocompatibility and versatility of surface functionalization. Various nanomaterials closely related to graphene have been developed for biological sensing and biomedical imaging, as well as drug and gene delivery [29,30,31,32]. In this study, we chose functionalized graphene oxidized to overcome MDR in vitro.

Rather than using a functional inhibitor compound, drug resistance can potentially be overcome by targeting newly discovered small non-coding miRNA [33]. Tumor chemoresistance to certain types of drugs may be influenced by miRNA regulation. The inhibition of certain miRNAs could increase the cell cancer cytotoxicity induced by chemotherapeutics. Inhibition of miR-21 with 5-fluorouracil significantly attenuated the cell growth in glioma and in colorectal cancer [34,35]. On the other hand, the restoration of tumor suppressor miRNAs whose expression are usually downregulated in a MDR cancer cell line compared with their parental cell line can also increase the sensitivity to several cancer chemotherapeutic drugs. For example, miR-15 and miR-16, which are negative regulators of BCL2, sensitized drug resistant cell line SGC7901/Vincristine to Vincristine-induced apoptosis [36].

Advances in nanotechnology have emerged as a new platform in controlled drug delivery and novel combination strategies. Nanoscale particles such as liposomes, polymeric micelles, dendrimers, and mesoporous silica particles between 10 and 200 nm in diameter have been used to carry broad classes of therapeutics including cytotoxic agents, chemosensitizers and small interference RNA (siRNA) [37]. These drug-loaded nanoparticles could prolong systemic circulation lifetime, sustain drug release and increase tumor drug accumulation [38].http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0006295212000330 - Small interference RNA (siRNA) [37]. These drug-loaded nanoparticles could prolong systemic circulation lifetime and sustain drug release and increase tumor drug accumulation [38].}

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0006295212000330 - Small interference RNA (siRNA) [37]. These drug-loaded nanoparticles could prolong systemic circulation lifetime and sustain drug release and increase tumor drug accumulation [38].
to codeliver paclitaxel and Stat3 siRNA to overcome cellular resistance in lung cancer cells [45]. Magnetic Fe3O4 nanoparticle was copolymerized by ADR and MDR1 short hairpin RNA expression vector to reverse multidrug resistance in leukemia cells [46]. In this study, a novel nanocomplex was prepared via noncovalent absorption of the ADR and anti-miR-21 onto PPG

**Figure 4. Characterization by flow cytometry of PPG co-delivering anti-miR-21 and ADR.** MCF-7/ADR cells were incubated with PPG<sub>ADR</sub>, FAM-anti-miR-21<sub>PPG</sub>, FAM-anti-miR-21<sub>PPG<sub>ADR</sub></sub> at 37°C for 4 h and harvested for flow cytometry analysis. Cells treated with blank PPG served as a control. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060034.g004

**Figure 5. In vitro cellular cytotoxicity.** Relative survival rate of MCF-7 cells (A) and MCF-7/ADR cells (B) after being treated with ADR, anti-miR-21<sub>PPG</sub>, PPG<sub>ADR</sub> and anti-miR-21<sub>PPG<sub>ADR</sub></sub> for 24 h. Untreated MCF-7 and MCF-7/ADR cells were served as control in each experiment. Data are means ± SD for three separate experiments, *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060034.g005
which could keep the structures and properties of ADR and anti-miR-21, and could facilitate the release of these agents in cells [20]. The functionalized graphene oxide was able to co-deliver miR-21 targeted siRNA and ADR into cancer cells to overcome MDR in vitro which further supported the use of multifunctional nanoformulations in the treatment of MDR. Furthermore, the use of nanocarriers to deliver ADR could decrease the toxicity and side effects of ADR [47].

Small molecule drugs that enter cells through either passive diffusion or membrane translocators are susceptible to transmembrane multidrug transporter and are rapidly pumped out before they can take effect. However, nanoparticles can partially bypass the efflux pumps as they are internalized in an endocytosis-based pathway which may be mediated by clathrin, caveolae, macropinocytosis, or phagocytosis [48,49]. After nanoparticles being engulfed by membrane proteins, these drug molecules are released far away from the membrane-bound drug efflux pumps and therefore are easy to reach and interact with their targets. Thus nanoparticle endocytic transport is a viable strategy to circumvent multidrug transporter mediated MDR effects. In our study, the uptake mechanism of PPG was also investigated in MCF-7/ADR cells. The results demonstrated that the uptake of PPG might be through energy-dependent endocytosis processes by clathrin- and caveolae-mediated pathways because the cellular uptake efficiency of PPG was significantly inhibited by low temperature, sucrose (clathrin-mediated endocytosis inhibitor) and indomethacin (caveolae-mediated endocytosis inhibitor).

Figure 6. *In vitro* miR-21 and ABCB1 expression. Real time PCR analysis of relative miR-21 expression (A) and ABCB1 expression (B) in MCF-7 and MCF-7/ADR cells treated with miRNA-PPG and anti-miR-21-PPG. The expression of miR-21 and ABCB1 in MCF-7 cells treated with miRNA-PPG were arbitrarily set as 1. Data are means ± SD for three separate experiments, ***P<0.001. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060034.g006

Figure 7. ADR accumulation and uptake mechanism in MCF-7/ADR cells. (A) ADR accumulation in MCF-7/ADR cells incubated with ADR, PPGADR or anti-miR-21-PPGADR for 24 h. The ADR concentration was determined by HPLC and normalized to total cell protein. Data are shown as the mean ± SD, n = 3. (B) Cellular uptake efficiency of ADR in MCF-7/ADR incubation with anti-miR-21-PPGADR at 37°C after treated with sucrose, at 37°C after treated with indomethacin and at 4°C. The uptake efficiency of ADR in MCF-7/ADR incubation with anti-miR-21-PPGADR at 37°C without any treatment was set as control. Data are means ± SD for three separate experiments, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060034.g007
Conclusions

In summary, this study investigated the reversal of drug resistance in MCF-7/ADR cells using PPG as the carrier for ADR and miR-21 siRNA. PPG showed great superiority in co-delivering miR-21 siRNA and ADR to cancer cells, and effective miR-21 silencing and enhanced ADR accumulation were achieved. Furthermore, the uptake of PPG might be through energy-dependent endocytosis processes by clathrin- and caveolae-mediated pathways. The results suggested that use of PPG as a carrier of chemotherapeutic drugs and siRNA is favorable for the treatment of drug resistant cancers, and further investigations are still needed in future work.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Poly (sodium 4-styrenesulfonate) (PSS) and polyethylenimine (PEI, 25K), 3,4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2, 5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT), dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), penicillin/streptomycin solution, sucrose and indomethacin were obtained from Sigma (Sigma-Aldrich, ST. Louis, MO, USA). Adriamycin (ADR) was obtained from Calbiochem (Calbiochem, China). RPMI-1640, fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 0.25% trypsin/EDTA were purchased from Gibco (Gibco-BRL, Gaithersburg, MD, USA). Opti-MEM was purchased from Invitrogen (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Small interference RNA against miR-21 (anti-miR-21) and FAM-labeled anti-miR-21 (FAM-anti-miR-21) were supplied by Shanghai GenePharma Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China). The primers for real-time PCR were synthesized by Sangon Biological Engineering Technology & Co. Ltd (Shanghai, China).

Fabrication and Characterization of PPG

GO was synthesized from graphite powder according to Hummer’s method with some modifications [27,50]. 2 mL of GO (4 mg/mL) was mixed with 2 mL PSS solution (1 mg/mL), and the mixture was sonicated for 30 min. The suspension was then centrifuged at 15,000 g for 1 h to remove large GO and impurities, and excess PSS was removed via filtration through a Millipore Microcon 50 KDa MWCO Amicon filters (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) and washed away with water until there was no noticeable color in the filtrate solution. The product was characterized by ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) absorption spectroscopy, as recorded with a SHIMADZU UV2450 spectrometer (SHIMADZU, Japan). The loaded ADR concentration was determined by HPLC (LC-20A, SHIMADZU, Japan; Agilent Eclipse XDB-C18 reverse phase column, USA). The sample solution was injected through a 20 µL sample loop, and a mixture of acetonitrile and KH2PO4 (0.02 mol/L) (v/v = 25/75) was used as the mobile phase at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. The column temperature was maintained at 25 °C, and the column effluent was detected at 254 nm by a UV detector (SPD-20A, SHIMADZU, Japan). PPG was simply obtained by gently mixing desired amount of RNA solution and PPG aqueous solution together for 0.5 h at room temperature, while anti-miR-21PPG was prepared by adding desired amount of RNA solution into PPGADR and mixing for 0.5 h at room temperature. The amount of anti-miR-21 solution loaded onto PPG was the same as that loaded onto PPGADR. A gel retardation assay was employed to investigate the loading of anti-miR-21 onto the PPGADR. Briefly, constant quantity of the anti-miR-21 was added into different volumes of PPGADR with gentle pipetting. The nanocomplex was allowed to stand at room temperature for 0.5 h before use. Then the nanocomplex was gently mixed with RNA loading buffer (Takara, Dalian, China) and was electrophoresed on a Urea-PAGE gel (20% PAGE with 7M Urea) in 1x TBE buffer at 110 mV for 0.5 h. The gel was analyzed on a gel documentation system (ChemiDoc XRS, Bio-rad, USA) to study the extent of anti-miR-21 complexation.

Cell Culture

The ADR resistant breast cell line MCF-7/ADR and its parent cell line MCF-7 were purchased from Nanjing KeyGen Biotech. Ltd, Co. (China). The cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin at 37 °C, in a 5% humidity atmosphere containing 5% CO2. The cells were subcultured routinely using trypsin/EDTA digestion upon reaching 80%-90% confluence. For the maintenance of the MDR phenotype, 1000 ng/mL ADR was added to the medium and was removed two weeks before the experimental use of the cells.

Co-delivery of ADR and anti-miR-21 by PPG into Cancer Cells

Dual-color flow cytometry was used to characterize the PPG co-delivering ADR and anti-miR-21. The MCF-7/ADR cells (1 x 10^5 cells/well) were seeded in a 6-well plate until 70% confluence was reached. FAM-anti-miR-21PPGADR was prepared the same as anti-miR-21PPG. Untreated cells were used as controls. Untreated cells were used as controls. The final concentrations of anti-miR-21, PPG and ADR were kept at 0.25 µM, 1 µg/mL and 1.75 µg/mL. PPG did not
show any obvious cellular cytotoxicity until 0.1 mg/ml in the preliminary study (data not shown). After 24 h, the treatments were removed, and fresh growth medium was added. MTX reagent (5 mg/ml) was then added to each well and incubated for a further 4 h. The culture medium was removed and DMSO (150 µl) was added. The plate was shaken for 20 s and the absorbance measured immediately at 570 nm using an ELX800 absorbance microplate reader (Bio-teko EPOCH, Winooski, VT, USA).

In vitro miR-21 and ABCB1 Expression Assay

MC-7 and MCF-7/ADR cells (1×10⁵ cells/well) were seeded in a 6-well culture plate until 70% confluence was reached. Then the cells were incubated with fresh medium containing negative control RNA (ncRNA) loaded PPG termed ncRNA-PPG and anti-miR-miR-21PPG for 24 h. The concentration of PPG and RNA were 1 µg/ml and 0.25 µM respectively. The cellular levels of mature miR-21 were then assessed using quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR). The total RNA was extracted from cells using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, CA). The expression of mature miR-21 was detected by the SYBR Green miRNA assay and normalized using the 2⁻^ΔCt method relative to human U6. Briefly, 1 µg of total RNA was reverse-transcribed to cDNA using AMV reverse transcriptase (TaKaRa, Dalian, China) and looped antisense primers. miRNA cDNAs were generated by incubating the mixture at 16°C for 15 min, 42°C for 60 min and 85°C for 5 min. Real-time PCR was then performed on Applied Biosystems 7500 according to the standardized protocol. In each assay, 1 µl of cDNA was used for amplification. The reactions were incubated in a 96-well optical plate at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles consisting of a 15 s interval at 95°C and a 1 min interval at 60°C. The expression of ABCB1 was detected by the SYBR Green assay and normalized using the 2⁻^ΔCt method relative to human GAPDH. The reverse transcription was conducted with 2 µg of total RNA using AMV reverse transcriptase (TaKaRa, Dalian, China) and real-time PCR was performed using Applied Biosystems 7500. The primers are available upon request. All reactions were performed in triplicate.

ADR Accumulation and Uptake Mechanism in MCF-7/ADR Cells

The MCF-7/ADR cells were seeded in 6-well plates at a density of 1×10⁵ cells/well until 70% confluency, at which point they were incubated with ADR, PPGADR and anti-miR-21PPGADR for 24 h. The cells were then washed thrice with ice-cold PBS, lysed in 100 µl MiliQ water and homogenized using an Omni Sonic Ruptor 250 (Omni, USA). 20 µl of the homogenate was processed for HPLC analysis. The ADR concentration in the cell lysates was determined using a standard curve and was normalized to the cell protein concentration, as determined using a Pierce protein assay kit. To investigate the underlying endocytotic mechanism that was responsible for the internalization of anti-miR-21PPG, uptake inhibition experiments were carried out with MCF-7/ADR cells. The cells were treated with 0.4 M sucrose or 100 µM indomethacin at 4°C, prior to incubation with anti-miR-21PPGADR. The relative uptake efficiency of ADR uptake was calculated by comparing the ADR concentration in cell lysates of different treatment groups to the ADR in the lysates of untreated cells.

Statistical Analysis

All experiments were run in triplicate, and the data are expressed as the mean ± SE. Statistical significance was determined using Student's t-test. Significant differences between values are designated as follows: *P<0.05, **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 FT-IR spectra of GO, PSS, PEI and PPG. (TIF)

Figure S2 Characterization of PPG by ¹H and ¹³C MAS NMR spectra. Liquid-state ¹H MAS NMR spectra of GO (A), PSS (B), PEI (C) and PPG (D). Liquid-state ¹³C MAS NMR spectra of GO (E), PSS (F), PEI (G) and PPG (H). (TIF)
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