A Study of the Reaction between Methylpyropheophorbide a and Hydrazine Hydrate
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The reaction between pyropheophorbide a methyl ester (1) and hydrazine hydrate has been studied. It was shown that the reagents ratio governs the particular reaction product formation. Treatment of 1 by excess of hydrazine hydrate (more than 3 eq.) yielded methylmesopyropheophorbide a hydrazone (2) as a major product. On the other hand, only methylmesopyropheophorbide a azine (4) was formed when the equimolar amounts of the reagents were applied. In order to prevent the C3-vinyl group reduction in 1 by hydrazine hydrate, the reaction was carried out in the presence of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA). It was shown, that the selectivity of the reaction is regulated by the hydrazine hydrate/ TFA ratio. Thus, the optimal conditions for the selective synthesis of both methylpyropheophorbide a hydrazone (3) and azine (5) have been found. The formation of the dimeric structure of azines was determined by the X-ray powder diffraction.
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Introduction

Due to the unique photophysical and photoelectrochemical properties natural chlorins are being intensively studied as photosensitizers (PSs) for diverse fields of science and technology, including solar cells, photodynamic therapy (PDT) agents, chemical sensors etc. In nature these molecules are present in chloroplasts as a part of the antenna complex, functioning as a light harvesting and energy transferring device. Back engineering of the natural photosystems may lead to the creation of the photoactive materials for solar energy conversion. A fine tuning of the chlorophylls optical properties is essential for the efficient energy-harvesting, energy-migrating, and charge-separating processes at the initial stages of natural photosynthesis. The same is also applied for the synthetically derived chlorins acting as photoactive materials in solar cells.

The electronic absorption spectra of the natural chlorins are dependent on the peripheral substituents. The most prominent characteristic of which is the longest wavelength ($Q$) band. The position and intensity of the redmost $Q$, maximum is related to the molecular transition dipole moment along the $y$-axis, which in turn affected by the $\pi$-conjugated functional groups located in the C3- and C13-positions of the macrocycle. A number of synthetic approaches has been reported for the C3-substituents modification, involving different methodologies such as condensation and olefination reactions, Pd-catalyzed cross-couplings, 1,3-cycloadDITION etc. Chemical modification of the 13-carbonyl group has been also reported.

Previously we described an efficient approach towards the 3-vinyl group substitution involving cross-coupling reactions. In continuation of our research for synthesis of the naturally derived chlorins with the enhanced optical properties we focused on the modification of the 13-carboxy group of the chlorophyll a derivatives.

Experimental

The solvents were purified and prepared using standard procedures. All reactions were carried out with protection from direct light. Pyropheophorbide $a$ methyl ester ($\text{aMe}$) was obtained using a known procedure. Column chromatography was carried out on 40/60 silica gel (Merek). Preparative TLC was performed on silica gel 60 (Merek) using 20-20 cm plates with a layer thickness of 1 mm. Analytical TLC was carried out on Kieselgel 60 F245 plates (Merek). Electronic spectra were recorded using a HITACHI U-2900 spectrophotometer. NMR spectra were recorded at 25 °C on Bruker Avance III 600 spectrometer. The signals of carbon atoms and those of residual protons of CDCl$_3$ were used to calibrate the $^1$H and $^13$C scales, respectively. All NMR experiments were based on standard Bruker techniques. The evolution delay in $^1$H-$^13$C gHMBC experiment was 60 ms. Mass spectra were obtained on a Ultraflex II Bruker Daltonics time-of-flight mass spectrometer using the SALDI method.

X-Ray powder diffraction measurements were carried out at 250 K by beam line ID22 of the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF, Grenoble, France). The instrument is equipped with a cryogenically-cooled, double-crystal Si 111 monochromator and Si 111 analyzers. The powder was loaded into a 1-mm-diameter borosilicate thin-walled glass capillary which was rotated during measurements at a rate of 1200 rpm to improve the powder averaging. Calibration of the instrument and refinement of the X-ray wavelength (0.399996(3) Å) were performed via NIST silicon standard 640c (see table I for data collection details).

Indexing. Unit-cell dimensions were determined using three indexing programs: TREVOR,$^9$ TITO$^{20}$ and AUTOX.$^{21}$ Space group C2 was assigned taking into account the systematic extinctions. The unit-cell parameters and space group were tested further with the use of the Pawley fit$^{22}$ and confirmed by crystal structure solution.

Structure Determination. The crystal structure was solved with the use of simulated annealing technique$^{23}$ and program MIRIA.$^{24}$ The model of the molecule used in a direct space search without C-bound H atoms was optimized by density functional theory (DFT) calculations in vacuo using the quantum-chemical program PRIRODA.$^{25}$ Employing the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) and the PBE exchange-correlation function (Perdew et al., 1996).$^{26}$ Taking into account the unit cell volume and space group symmetry an obvious conclusion was made that only two molecules can be situated in the unit cell, i.e. $Z=2$. This condition can be satisfied only if the molecule is situated on a two-fold axis. Therefore, one-half molecule has been used in a direct space search for the crystal structure solution.

Synthesis

Methylmesopyropheophorbide $a$ hydrazide (2). To a solution of 100 mg (0.182 mmol) of methylpyropheophorbide $a$ in 30 mL of CH$_2$Cl$_2$, hydrazine hydrate (90.1 μL, 1.820 mmol) was added. The reaction was heated at 40 °C and the progress was monitored by TLC. Upon completion (3 days) the crude mixture was concentrated in vacuo and purified by column flash-chromatography (CH$_2$Cl$_2$/EtOH=100:1). The product was crystallized from CH$_2$Cl$_2$/hexane to afford 78 mg of 2 (76 % yield). $m/z$ (SALDI) found: 564.4, 565.4, 566.4; caled for C$_{33}$H$_{40}$N$_6$O$_2$: 564.32 [M$^+$], C$_{34}$H$_{41}$N$_6$O$_2$: 565.32 [M$^+$], C$_{35}$H$_{42}$N$_6$O$_2$: 566.33 [M$^+$]. UV-Vis (CH$_2$Cl$_2$) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (A$_\alpha$) nm: 403 (1.00), 504 (0.18), 555 (0.06), 608 (0.10), 661 (0.75).

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ ppm: 9.65 (1H, s, 10-H), 9.65 (1H, s, 5-H), 8.00 (1H, s, 20-H), 5.44 (1H, d, $J=17.9$ Hz, 13'-CH$_2$), 5.30 (1H, d, $J=17.9$ Hz, 13'-CH$_2$), 4.66 (1H, dqd, $J=7.4$ Hz, $J=17.9$Hz, $J=17.9$ Hz, 12-H), 4.48 (1H, m, 17-H), 4.01 (2H, q, $J=7.8$ Hz, 3'-CH$_3$), 3.85 (2H, q, $J=7.8$ Hz, 8'-CH$_2$), 3.71 (3H, s, 12'-CH$_3$), 3.63 (3H, s, 17'-CH$_3$), 3.47 (3H, s, 11'-CH$_3$), 3.24 (3H, s, 16'-CH$_3$), 3.12 (3H, s, 2'-CH$_3$), 2.97 (3H, s, 15'-CH$_3$), 2.84 (3H, s, 20'-CH$_3$).
3.46 (3H, s, 2'-CH3), 3.43 (3H, s, 7'-CH3), 2.79 (1H, m, 17'-CH3), 2.63 (1H, m, 17'-CH2), 2.40 (1H, m, 17'-CH3), 2.26 (1H, m, 17'-CH2), 1.88 (3H, d, J=7.4 Hz, 18'-CH3), 1.83 (3H, d, J=7.8 Hz, 3'-CH3), 1.80 (3H, d, J=7.8 Hz, 8'-CH3), 0.16 and -2.83 (2H, each bs, NH×2). 13C NMR (CDCl3) δ ppm: 173.8 (C17 3), 168.1 (C19), 151.3 (C6), 150.7 (C17), 150.1 (C9), 144.8 (C14), 143.6 (C8), 140.6 (C1), 139.9 (C11), 139.6 (C3), 135.7 (C7), 134.7 (C13), 133.7 (C4), 129.1 (C2), 124.5 (C5), 105.0 (C15), 100.9 (C10), 97.1 (C5), 92.4 (C20), 52.7 (C17), 51.6 (C17), 49.6 (C18), 37.2 (C13), 37.1 (C2), 35.9 (C17), 23.5 (C18), 19.8 (C8), 19.6 (C3). 17.8 (8'). 17.3 (C5), 12.2 (C12), 11.5 (C7), 11.1 (C2).

Methylpyropheophorbide a hydrazone (3). To a solution of 100 mg (0.182 mmol) of methylpyropheophorbide a in 30 mL of CH2Cl2, hydrazone hydrate (18 μL, 0.364 mmol) and TFA (14 μL, 0.182 mmol) were added. The reaction was heated at 40 °C and the progress was monitored by TLC (15 h). The reaction mixture was poured to solution of 0.01M NaHCO3 and extracted with CH2Cl2. The combined extracts were washed with water, dried over Na2SO4, and evaporated in vacuo to dryness. The residue was redissolved in CH2Cl2 and purified by preparative thin-layer chromatography in a CH2Cl2/MeOH system (100:1) to afford 5 (67 mg, 65 %). m/z (SALDI) found: 562.4, 563.5, 564.4; calcd for C28H24N2O2: 563.31 [M]+. UV-Vis (CH2Cl2) λ max (Arel) nm: 407 (1.00), 465 (0.28), 516 (0.19), 544 (0.06), 709 (0.59). 1H NMR (CDCl3) δ ppm: 9.68 (1H, s, 10-H), 9.62 (1H, s, 5-H), 8.85 (1H, s, 20-H), 8.19 (1H, dd, J=11.4 Hz, J=17.9 Hz, 3'-H), 6.37 (1H, d, J=17.9 Hz, 3'-H), 6.21 (1H, d, J=11.4 Hz, J=3.4 Hz), 6.07 (1H, d, J=13.8 Hz, 13'-CH2), 5.99 (1H, d, J=18.8 Hz, 13'-CH3), 4.70 (2H, m, 18-H, 17-H), 4.03 (3H, s, 12-CH3), 3.80 (2H, q, J=7.6 Hz, 8'-CH2), 3.69 (3H, s, 17-CO2CH3), 3.57 (3H, s, 2-CH3), 3.36 (3H, s, 7-CH3), 2.95 (1H, m, 17'-CH3), 2.67 (1H, m, 17'-CH2), 2.56 (1H, m, 17'-CH2), 2.32 (1H, m, 17'-CH2), 2.02 (3H, d, J=7.4 Hz, 18'-CH3), 1.80 (3H, t, J=7.6 Hz, 8'-CH3), 0.12 and -2.60 (2H, each bs, NH×2).

Results and Discussion

The search for the efficient synthetic pathways for introduction of the conjugated substituents along the γ-axis of the chlorin macrocycle is of great interest. The recent findings in hydrazine chemistry related to a palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction involving a tosylhydrazone coupling[34] and Cu(I)-mediated olefination[35,36] have triggered our attention towards the 13-carbonyl group modification in a pyropheophorbide a series via corresponding hydrazones. Hydrazones are also known to possess anticancer activity and the combined PDT and cytostatic effect was achieved for a series of purpurinimide based hydrazones.[37]

In the present communication we describe our initial study of the reaction between methylpyropheophorbide a and hydrazone (Scheme 1). It is known, that chlorophyll a derivatives may provide corresponding mesocompounds upon treatment with hydrazine via reduction by diimide. It was also shown, that the hydrogenation of the 3-vinyl group may be suppressed by carrying out the reaction under air-free conditions.[38] Additionally, unsubstituted hydrazones bearing terminal NH2 group are oxidized in the air or by other oxidizing agents yielding azines, what may complicate handling and purification.[39,40] In order to prevent azine formation a 10-fold excess of hydrazine hydrate was applied for the reaction with pyropheophorbide a methyl ester (1). Under these conditions, the 3-vinyl group was completely hydrogenated and hydrazone of methylmesopyropheophorbide (2) was isolated as a single product in 76 % yield. Decrease of the hydrazine hydrate excess gave rise to the bathochromic shift in the absorption spectrum (701 nm, Figure 1) of the reaction mixture due to formation of hydrogenated azine 4, culminating in 90 % yield of 4 when equimolar amounts of the reagents were subjected to the reaction.

On the other hand, presence of the unsaturated C3-substituent may be important for the creation of chlorophyll a derivatives with particular optical properties and C3- and C13-bifunctionalized chlorins. Addition of TFA prevented the hydrogenation process and hydrazone 3 and azine 5 of methylpyropheophorbide a were formed in the presence of acid.[41] The amount of TFA was found to be an important factor influencing the outcome of the reaction. The selective azine 5 formation (93 % yield) was achieved by applying 1 eq. of hydrazine hydrate along with 1 eq. of TFA, accompanied by a characteristic change in absorption spectrum where the Q maximum was red-shifted to 709 nm (Figure 1). Further reaction condition optimization allowed us to find the hydrazine hydrate/TFA (2:1) ratio, which
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provided hydrazone 3 formation with reasonable selectivity (Scheme 1).

The obtained compounds have been characterized by electronic absorption spectra, and their structures have been confirmed using mass-spectrometry and NMR spectroscopy.

Crystal structure

The formation of the dimeric azine structure was also determined by the X-ray powder diffraction using a high crystallinity powder sample of 5. In the crystal, the title molecule 5 possesses by a crystallographically imposed rotational symmetry, with the two-fold axis passing through the middle of N5–N5a bond (see Figure 2; prepared with PLATON). All bond lengths and angles in the molecule are normal and comparable with those observed in the Cambridge Structural Database for related compounds. Two mean planes formed by four N atoms from each macrocycle are inclined to each other at 13.4(9)°. Two chlorin macrocycles in the molecule are arranged in such a way, that propionic ester residues are situated at the same side of the molecule plane. Pyrrolic NH are involved in intramolecular N–H...H hydrogen bonds (Table 2). In the absence of classical intermolecular hydrogen bonds, the crystal packing (Figure 3; prepared with Mercury) is stabilized by the weak C–H...O hydrogen bonds (Table 2) and Van der Waals interactions.

Scheme 1. Reaction of methylpyropheophorbide a and hydrazine. The details of the reaction conditions and product yields are listed in the table.

| Reagents, (eq.) | Reaction time | Product yields, % |
|----------------|--------------|-------------------|
| N2H4×2H2O TFA |              |                   |
| 1              | –            | 3 days            | 5 – 90 –          |
| 3              | –            | 3 days            | 40 – 50 –         |
| 10             | –            | 3 days            | 76 – – –          |
| 1 1            | 15 h         |                   | 5 – 93            |
| 3 1            | 15 h         |                   | 29 68 – –         |
| 10 1           | 15 h         |                   | 42 47 – –         |
| 7 5            | 15 h         |                   | 11 45 – –         |
| 3 5            | 15 h         |                   | 30 – 25           |
| 2 1            | 15 h         |                   | 12 65 – <5        |

Table 1. Reaction conditions and product yields for the hydrazone formation.

| Compound | λabs, nm | Soret | Qx (0,1) | Qx (0,0) | Qy (0,1) | Qy (0,0) |
|----------|----------|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 2        | 403      | 504   | 555      | 608      | 661      |          |
| 3        | 407      | 506   | 534      | 612      | 670      |          |
| 4        | 408      | 463   | 517      | 542      | 700      |          |
| 5        | 406      | 465   | 516      | 544      | 709      |          |

Figure 1. Comparison of the electronic absorption spectra of compounds 2–5.
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Conclusions

The interaction between pyropheophorbide a methyl ester (1) and hydrazine hydrate has been studied under different reaction conditions. It was shown, that the reaction outcome is influenced by the hydrazine excess and TFA amount. The presence of acid is essential for preserving the 3-vinyl group of pyropheophorbide a from reduction by diimide. The collected experimental data allowed us to propose the specific reaction conditions for selective formation of each out of possible products, what was confirmed by the targeted synthesis of compounds 2-5.

This preliminary study gave us valuable insights for further employment of pyropheophorbide hydrazones that will be useful for introduction of conjugated substituents along the γ-axis of the macrocycle to form π-extended chlorin systems with tuneable optical properties.
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