Fast and Inexpensive self-made Tissue Microarray for Immunohistochemical and in Situ Hybridization Studies: Examples with Bladder Cancer
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ABSTRACT

Background: The tissue microarray (TMA), first described by Battifora and first implemented in 1998 by Kononen et al, is a powerful research tool, allowing simultaneous analysis of specimens from a large number of cases on one slide. Unfortunately, commercial array instruments are very expensive and thus not suitable for laboratories with limited funds. We describe simple and cost-effective method for constructing of manual TMA that can be performed by any anatomic pathology laboratory, requiring minimum skill and time.

Methods: Skin punch biopsy needle of 2-mm diameter was used for extracting cores from 15 positive control breast cancer cases, 15 normal bladder tissue and 30 muscle-invasive bladder cancer and for injecting into recipient block.

Result: We constructed TMA block using skin punch biopsy needle of 2-mm, and successfully performed HER2 immunodetection and Chromogenic In Situ Hybridization study, without substantial tissue loss. 10% of our tumor cases exhibited Her-2 neu overexpression. An open source software programme “TMA-J” was applied to facilitate management, viewing, analysis of tissue microarray images and associated clinico-pathology data.

Conclusion: This method could be done by any pathology laboratory and represents good and reliable alternative for commercially available, expensive devices and software solutions.
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Introduction

The tissue microarray (TMA), first described by Battifora[1] and first implemented in 1998 by Kononen et al.[2], is a powerful research tool, allowing simultaneous analysis of specimens from a large number of cases on one slide[3], thus reducing the use of reagent, time and human resources. It can also be used to study protein markers by immunohistochemistry and to investigate DNA aberrations, messenger RNA and micro RNA expression using in situ hybridization techniques[4-6]. Furthermore, TMA provide uniform experimental conditions to the tissue samples analyzed.[7] Other researchers have adapted TMA technology in the study of frozen tissues, cell lines, and needle biopsies.[8]

The TMA manufacturing is a simple three step procedure that is repeated for each sample placed on the TMA:

1. Making a hole in an empty (recipient) paraffin block.
2. Taking a cylindrical sample from the tissue sample (donor) paraffin block.
3. Placing the cylindrical tissue sample in the premade hole in the recipient block.

Unfortunately, commercial array instruments are very expensive and thus not suitable for laboratories with limited funds.

We describe simple and cost-effective method for constructing of manual TMA that can be performed by any anatomic Pathology Laboratory, requiring minimum skill and time.

Materials and Methods

Skin punch biopsy needle of 2-mm diameter was used for extracting cores from 15 positive control breast cancer cases, 15 normal bladder tissue and 30 muscle-invasive bladder cancer and for injecting into recipient block.

A recipient block was prepared by using modified metal mold, enabling maximal dimensions of 43 x 27 x 11 mm to fit the microtome block holder. (Fig 1a) After paraffin puring, the block was cooled at room temperature to avoid cracks. (Fig. 1b) Before drilling, the recipient block was examined for air bubbles and paraffin cracks. We designed a grid using the drawing software Corel Draw®: 2mm white circles were drawn and alligned at a distance of 1,5mm, on a colorful background; the grid was printed on plain paper.
The adhesive dot-grid paper was attached to the surface of the bare paraffin block, (Fig. 1c,d ) leaving 2.5-3mm space from the margin and used as a guide to make array pores into the recipient block. (Fig.1e ) Sample tissue cores were then inserted into the appropriate holes on the recipient block at defined array coordinates. (Fig.1f,g)

A modified metal wire was used as a stylet to remove sample tissue cores from dermal biopsy punch needle. (Fig.1h) The recipient block (Fig. 1i) was put in an oven at about 59°C for 30 minutes with cutting surface faced down on a glass slide. (Fig.1j) The tissue array was sectioned at 5 microns using a standard microtome (Fig. 1k) and used for Hematoxylin-eosin staining, HER2 immunodetection and Chromogenic In Situ Hybridization, following the standard manual procedures. (Fig.1l)

The H&E images, Immunohistochemical and Chromogenic In Situ images of the tissue cores were captured in digital format (Bresser MicroCam Lab II) and subsequently analyzed. (Fig. 2) An open source software programme “TMA-J” was applied to facilitate management, viewing, analysis of tissue microarray images and associated clinico-pathology data. (Fig. 3).

**Result**

We constructed TMA block using skin punch biopsy needle of 2-mm diameter containing 15 positive control breast cancer cases, 15 normal bladder tissue and 30 muscle-invasive bladder cancer specimens, and successfully performed HER2 immunodetection and Chromogenic in situ hybridization study, without substantial tissue loss.
Fig. 2: H&E slide with 60 tissue cores (a), Hematoxylin-eosin (H&E) stained slides (b (x40), Strong and diffuse HER2 immunostaining surrounding the entire cell membrane (score 3+) (c, x 100) and Chromogenic in situ amplification in a sample scored 2+ with 3+ score area (d x 400).

Fig. 3: Web interface of the TMAJ Software Project.
Table 1. Overview of simple and inexpensive methods for manual construction of TMAs

| Preparation of recipient block/Array methods | Extraction of paraffin tissue cores from donor block | Capacity of TMA block | Time required for TMA construction | Spot loss on TMA section (%) | Initial cost ($) | References |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|------------|
| Boring holes in bare paraffin blocks using mechanical pencil tip | Mechanical pencil tip | 1 mm, 72 (9x8) | 1 hr | 2 | <5USD | Shebl et al. [12] |
| No recipient block (alignment of tissue cores on hand-made paper mold) | BM biopsy needle | 2 mm, 40 (8x5) | Not-specifed | No | Cost negligible | Wang et al. [13] |
| No recipient (alignment of tissue cores on the bottom of mold with a thin layer of soft wax) | BM biopsy needle | 2 mm, 88 (11x8) | Not-specifed | <2 | Cost negligible | Pan et al. [14] |
| Boring holes in bare paraffin block using needle or small screwdriver | BM biopsy needle | 1 mm, 56 (8x7) 1 mm, 108 (12x9) 2 mm, 77 (11x7) | 1 hr for 56 cores | <1 | 30 USD | Singh et al. [15] |
| No recipient block (alignment of tissue cores in the dot-grid paper attached to the bottom of microcompound table) | Hypodermic needle with lateral opening, attached to hand-press grommet insert machine | 0.6 mm, 325 (25x13) | 7.5 hr | <1 | 100 USD | Pathak et al. [16] |
| Boring holes in bare paraffin block using mini hand drill and microcompound table | Hypodermic needle, cannula piercing needle, BM biopsy needle, skin biopsy punch, equipped with retractable stylet | 0.6 mm, 558 (31x18) 0.43mm, 1.363 (47 x 25) | Not specified | 6 hr | 30 | Nocito et al. [17] Vogel [18] |
| Boring holes guided by dot-grid paper directly attached to the bare paraffin block | Cannula piercing needle, BM biopsy needle, skin biopsy punch, equipped with retractable stylet | 0.6 mm, 320 (16x20) 1 mm, 140 (14x10) 1.8 mm/2 mm, 70 (10x7) | 6 hr 3 hr 1.5 hr | 2-3 1-2 Rare | Cost negligible | Choi et al. [19] |

HER2 evaluation, according to ASCO–CAP HER2 Test Guideline Recommendations for breast cancer, [9] revealed overexpression in 10% of tumor samples which is in concordance with results reported by Lae et al. [10] who has documented an incidence of 9.2% in a series of 1005 cases. An open source software tool was implemented for automated preprocessing, organization, storage, and display of TMA images.

**Discussion**

The TMA is one of the essential tools used in biomedical research techniques such as immunohistochemistry, in situ hybridization, and in situ reverse transcription.
polymerase chain reaction.\textsuperscript{[11]} On the other hand, the high cost of array machines of several thousands of dollars, or, even a manual tissue array system that costs several hundred dollars, are a strong deterrent to its routine use in institutions with limited budgets.

Several publications have reported alternative, low-cost methods for array construction.\textsuperscript{[12-19]} However, in most cases, the development of the techniques is related to personal laboratory technicians’ skillfulness or require of specialized instruments, making the production of TMAs more laborious. Author’s objective was to overcome this problem by offering both an inexpensive and flexible method that could be modified according to the requirements of the lab, with respect to the number of cores in one block. The whole array set we used had a total price of 5 USD. When compared to commercial machines, the authors acknowledge the significant lower number of maximal tissue cores in the recipient block and the bigger timeframe of about 10 minutes needed to construct the array. One possible solution for increasing the tissue core density is using 1 mm or 1.5 mm skin biopsy punch needles. Conversely, they are regarded as of considerable importance compared to the advantages that it offers when finances are important concerns.

Furthermore, differing from those that have been described in the literature, we provide ‘open-source’ free software solutions available over the Internet for academic use. This still requires an image-capturing system to create an archived database of images for further analysis. In the first of these, an open-source java-based software called “TMAJ” is available from the website of the John Hopkins University TMA core facility (http://tmaj.pathology.jhmi.edu/). A licence is however, required for users with potential commercial interests.

Another noteworthy database for TMA analysis has been described using TAMEE: data management and analysis for tissue microarrays available at http://genome.tugraz.at/Software/TAMEE.

For managing high-density TMAs, the “Cluster” and “TreeView” software tools, developed at Stanford university, analyze the relatedness within tumor subsets depending on the immunohistochemical biomarker profile. Free access is possible at the Stanford TMA website (http://genome-www.standfors.edu/TMA), two other software programs are available at the website of Michaen B. Eisen’ lab (http://rana.lbl.gov/EisenSoftware.htm).

**Conclusion**

We successfully performed, HER2 neu immunohistochemical and Chromogenic In Situ Hybridization studies on a self-made tissue microarray, without any substantial tissue loss. 10% of tumor cases exhibited HER2 overexpression. An open source software tool was implemented for automated preprocessing, organization, storage, and display of TMA images. This method could be done by any pathology laboratory and represents good and reliable alternative for commercially available, expensive devices and software solutions.
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