Platelets in chronic liver disease, from bench to bedside

Pierluigi Ramadori,1 Thomas Klag,2 Nisar Peter Malek,2,* Mathias Heikenwalder1,*

Summary
In the last decade, numerous studies revealed physiologic and pathophysiologic roles of platelets beyond haemostasis, a process to prevent and stop bleeding. These include the activation of the immune system and the promotion of inflammation, infection and cancer. Hence, the emerging view on the role of platelets has shifted – platelets are now seen as alert “sentinels” of the immune compartment, rather than passive bystanders. Herein, we review well-established and newly discovered features of platelets that define their natural role in maintaining blood haemostasis, but also their functional relationship with other cells of the immune system. We focus on recent studies underlining functional involvement of platelets in chronic liver diseases and cancer, as well as the effects of anti-platelet therapy in these contexts. Finally, we illustrate the potential of platelets as possible diagnostic and therapeutic tools in liver disease based on recently developed methodologies.
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Introduction
Beyond the well-known classical role of platelets in the regulation of blood haemostasis in physiologic and pathologic conditions, recent studies have shed light on potential roles of platelets that appear to be independent of their main functions, via interactions with the immune system and tumour cells. In the liver, platelets seem to interact with different resident cellular populations and to exert regulatory functions within the immune system in several chronic liver diseases including, for example, viral hepatitis, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and liver cancer. These novel findings prompted the hypothesis that a selective modulation of the activation status of platelets might represent a promising therapeutic approach in the context of several chronic liver diseases and even several liver cancer types.

Considering the active role of the liver in the life cycle of platelets and the complex network of interactions developing within the liver parenchyma, we provide a brief insight into the basic aspects of platelet biology.

The origin of platelets and their life cycle
Two types of blood cells originate from a common hematopoietic progenitor: lymphoid stem cells, from which most of white blood cells originate, and myeloid stem cells, from which red blood cells, platelets and myeloblasts stem. Given their crucial role in blood coagulation and thrombosis, platelets are mainly produced by the liver during foetal life. After birth, the bone marrow becomes the most important source of platelets, where they develop from progenitor cells named megakaryocytes. In this developmental process, a megakaryocyte precursor becomes polyplody by endomitosis and undergoes a maturation phase that results in massive enlargement and elongation of the cytoplasm, from which pro-platelets evaginate and take birth. In detail, mature megakaryocytes lie in proximity to vessels, extend their pseudopods through the vascular endothelium into the lumen of bone marrow vessels and release platelets through a fission-like remodelling of cytoplasm. Platelet production, as well as megakaryocyte maturation, are tightly regulated by the action of thrombopoietin (TPO), a hormone produced by the liver and kidney.

At the end of their lifespan in vessels, or after accomplishing their main function in the bloodstream, they can be removed from the circulation by neutrophils or macrophages and destroyed/ phagocytosed in the spleen and liver. Senescent platelets also undergo a process of cell death resembling intrinsic apoptosis. During their normal life cycle, platelets not only decrease in size but also undergo a process of progressive desialylation that enables their clearance in the liver via the asialoglycoprotein receptor, which is present on the vascular face of hepatocytes and on liver-resident macrophages, Kupffer cells (KCs).

General functions of platelets – mechanism of platelet aggregation and attachment
The natural role of platelets in the circulatory tree is to maintain primary haemostasis and blood
flow within vessels. The process leading to coagulation is initiated by exposure of the subendothelial matrix to platelets, leading to interactions between platelet-receptors and matrix proteins. Hereby, activation of platelets results in release of mediators such as ADP, adrenaline, serotonin (5-HT), thrombin and thromboxane A2 (TXA2), that act in an autocrine and paracrine manner. After this activation process, glycoprotein IIb/IIIa (GPIIb/IIIa) complexes bind fibrinogen enabling platelet aggregation and consequent constitution of the thrombus. Therefore, activation and attachment of platelets is the first step in a closely regulated cascade leading to aggregation (Fig. 1). The understanding of this process led to the development of several drugs that block aggregation, as outlined below.5,6

The production and secretion of soluble ligands is an essential process for the formation of the thrombus, as they trigger signals in an autocrine and paracrine manner to sustain activation and attract further circulating platelets. Three distinct types of granules have been identified in platelets: alpha-granules are the most abundant and contain mainly membrane receptor proteins (integrins and P-selectins), and soluble cargo proteins (fibrinogen, von Willebrand factor (vWF), platelet factor 4 (PF4), chemokines and growth factors).7 Dense granules are less abundant and represent a group of lysosome-related organelles that contain bioactive amines, adenine nucleotides and calcium cations. Platelet-lysosomes are the third group of granules and they contain many proteases like carboxypeptidases that modulate inflammatory processes and tissue reactions.8,9 The most well known and investigated molecular activators are TXA2, ADP, epinephrine and thrombin as they enable full activation and interaction with other extracellular components. They induce increases in intracellular Ca^{2+} levels resulting in changes to platelet shape, increased protein synthesis and activation of further adhesion molecules (e.g. GPIIb/IIIa).10 Platelets possess 2 ADP receptors (P2Y1 and P2Y12) which are G-coupled protein receptors, contributing to their initial aggregation and triggering a decrease of intracellular concentrations of cAMP, with consequent changes to platelet shape.11 Similarly, thrombin also represents one of the key mediators of the coagulation process. It also binds to a G-protein coupled class of receptors defined as protease-activated receptors, activating a signalling cascade of events that results in decreased cAMP concentration and increased Ca^{2+} concentration. This intracellular cascade leads to TXA2 production via cyclooxygenase-1 activation (COX-1), ADP release, mobilisation of P-selectin and CD40L, integrin activation and finally platelet aggregation.12

Platelets, dynamic sentinels interacting with immune and non-immune cells

Platelets have been recognised as key players in numerous immunological contexts ranging from
inflammation, bacterial and viral immune reaction, to immunity against tumours and tumour metastases. At the platelet surface, several receptors are able to interact with not only leukocytes, but also other immune and non-immune cells such as endothelial cells. Many of these dynamic multiple interactions commonly occur within the hepatic microenvironment in the context of liver injury and repair.\textsuperscript{13}

It was recently shown that platelets express all toll-like receptor (TLR)-family members, enabling them to recognise molecular motifs like, for instance, pathogen-associated molecular patterns. For instance, TLR-2 and TLR-4 were shown to have a functional role in responses to bacterial endotoxins.\textsuperscript{14,15} This interaction at the site of infection induces the release of microvesicles containing IL-1\textbeta from platelets and the organisation of neutrophil extracellular traps, which act as an antibacterial mechanism alongside the inflammatory process taking place in the liver sinusoids.\textsuperscript{15–17} Direct platelet-microbe interactions are described as well, leading to platelet aggregation and sequestration of bacteria, enhancing removal of bacteria by the reticuloendothelial system.\textsuperscript{18,19} In the liver, platelets seem to adhere to blood pathogens sequestered by KCs, emphasising their supportive role in bacterial clearance.\textsuperscript{20}

Indeed, this interaction mediated by GPIb\textalpha on platelets and vWF on KCs was shown to be a very dynamic and continuous “patrolling” process, occurring specifically in the hepatic sinusoids. This is supported by data showing that platelet depletion and GPIb\textalpha/\textgamma knockout mice are more prone to develop vascular leak damage during bacterial infection, because of the reduced clearance of bacteria from the liver.\textsuperscript{21,22} Elegant work by Gaertner et al. illustrated that platelets are able to migrate independently of the blood stream through a process involving morphological changes, adhesion via GPIb/IIa and increases in intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration.\textsuperscript{23} Fascinatingly, this process allows platelets to behave as mechano-scavengers, facilitating the collection and phagocytosis of bacterial particles by neutrophils along the liver sinusoids. An interesting recent study by Burzynski et al. provided evidence for a direct link between the coagulation and immune systems.\textsuperscript{24}

In this study, the authors showed that thrombin can cleave and activate the production of IL-1\textalpha on platelets and macrophages, therefore contributing to the sustainment of inflammation during haemostasis.

Beside interactions with the innate immune system, platelets also participate in the humoral immune response. It was reported that platelets express specific receptors for protein members of the complement system enabling them to trigger the activation of the classical pathway.\textsuperscript{25} The adherence of platelets to bacteria, via interactions with the opsonising complement factor C3, was shown to enhance the bactericidal activity of CD8\textalpha+ dendritic cells.\textsuperscript{26} In their granules, platelets also contain transforming growth factor (TGF)-\beta, a molecule promoting the development of regulatory T cells or T-helper 17 cells in the context of viral infections and the antitumour immune response.\textsuperscript{27} Thus, platelets also interfere with elements of the adaptive immune response. Indeed, activated platelets were shown to contribute to the maturation process of dendritic cells and to enhance CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cell responses during adeno-viral infection.\textsuperscript{28} Also, in the liver, this process seems to be recapitulated during viral infection, as described in detail later. In fact, platelets’ interaction with cytotoxic T cells was shown to enable the infiltration of these lymphocytes into the hepatic parenchyma in a mouse model of viral hepatitis, a process mediated by hyaluronan-CD44 binding.\textsuperscript{29}

Finally, a clear implication of platelets in tumour progression and metastasis formation was recently corroborated.\textsuperscript{30} Novel findings illustrate that platelets can aggregate and adhere to tumour cells acting as a “protective shield” from immune-regulated clearance.\textsuperscript{31} Platelets also favour the adhesion of metastatic cells to the endothelium, entrapping them with other immune cells (leukocytes/monocytes) mainly via interactions mediated by selectins.\textsuperscript{32} Interestingly, the interaction between platelets and tumour cells was reported to be essential to avoid detachment-induced apoptosis (anoikis), a major feature of metastasis.\textsuperscript{33} Recently, platelet-derived TXA2 (which is generated by activated COX-1) was reported to promote a pro-metastatic niche involving endothelial cells, myeloid cells and tumour cells.\textsuperscript{34} In this context, platelets can also produce pro-angiogenic and growth factors that facilitate tumour growth and survival, as well as promoting the metastatic potential of tumour cells. In turn, cancer cells can influence platelet activation and shape by releasing growth factors that bind to specific receptors on their surface (tumour “education”). Moreover, the tight communication between platelets and tumour cells, as well as their physical interactions, indicate a possible role for platelets as promising vectors for targeted drug delivery.\textsuperscript{35}

Therefore, platelets take part in an intricate interplay with innate and adaptive immune responses, perpetuating inflammatory and malignant processes via various mechanisms (summarised in Fig. 2).

This brief description of the life cycle and aggregation of platelets, as well as of their interactions with immune cells, is critical to understanding their interactions with different resident and non-resident liver cells, their roles in different liver diseases, and how their modulation can be applied to therapeutic interventions.
Interaction of platelets with liver cells

Platelets and hepatocytes: liver injury and regeneration

As mentioned, the liver is a central regulator of the number of circulating platelets, through TPO production and clearance of aged platelets. Thrombocytopenia is a common complication of chronic liver disease, characterised by decreased TPO synthesis, reduced haematopoiesis and increased platelet destruction in the spleen. Indeed, a direct correlation between liver functionality and platelet count is often reported in patients with chronic liver disease. Conversely, it was also shown that thrombocytopenia could aggravate liver functionality and fibrosis in experimental models of chronic liver injury, as reported here later. Therefore, considering the dynamic interactions with immune cells, the understanding that platelets actively participate in pathophysiological processes in the liver is clearly strengthening. Upon liver damage, platelets are recruited to the site of injury and contribute to liver repair and regeneration partly through a direct effect on hepatocyte proliferation. Platelet-derived serotonin was shown to initiate and promote liver regeneration in mouse models of partial hepatectomy and ischaemia-reperfusion injury. Interestingly, this phenotype was reversed by administration of serotonin agonists, indicating that platelet-derived serotonin plays a central role in the initiation of liver regeneration. A recent elegant work indicates that fibrin contributes to liver regeneration by promoting intrahepatic platelet accumulation. In this work, the authors showed that the tissue factor produced by hepatocytes after partial hepatectomy is critical for activating a coagulation cascade that leads to intrahepatic fibrin deposition and platelet accumulation in the remnant liver. Accordingly, thrombocytopenia was shown to impair hepatocyte proliferation in a surgical murine model of liver regeneration and many studies based on therapeutic enrichment of platelets during partial hepatectomy indicate pro-regenerating beneficial effects. Furthermore, this pro-regenerative activity on hepatocytes seems to be related to the release of various growth factors and cytokines contained in the platelet granules. In fact, platelets were shown to induce direct hepatocyte proliferation in vitro via secretion of growth factors such as hepatic growth factor (HGF) and insulin-like growth factor (IGF).

Platelets, liver sinusoidal endothelial cells and Kupffer cells: organisation of a proinflammatory partnership

The interaction of platelets with non-parenchymal cells turned out to modulate the immune response to liver damage differently. Contact with liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (LSECs) represents the first
way for platelets to access the liver parenchyma. This interaction was shown to be a priming process for the initiation of liver repair upon injury and the orchestration of liver regeneration. The recruitment of platelets at the site of damage seems to be a very dynamic process that consists of a sudden adhesion of platelets to the altered sinusoidal cells, which delimits the site of injury—these interactions are dependent on GPIIb/IIIa and at a later point GPIb receptors.41 Interestingly, in this specific condition, platelets do not occlude the vessels but rather organise in a paved structure that enables neutrophils to crawl to the site of injury. A detailed molecular analysis of this cellular interaction was reported in the regenerative liver following chemical injury or partial hepatectomy.42 In this study, platelets were shown to release stromal derived factor (SDF)-1 and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-1 at the site of injury—those that bound to their respective receptors on LSECs and myeloid cells, thereby stimulating a haematopoietic-vascular niche that induces and sustains liver regeneration. Notably, the regeneration process was impaired in mice lacking the CXCR7 receptor on LSECs or VEGFR1 on myeloid cells.

Moreover, in vitro, the cross-talk between platelets and endothelial cells in the liver sinusoids, via sphingosine-1-phosphate receptors (S-1-P), results in IL-6 release from endothelial cells, promoting hepatocyte proliferation.43 Whereas during liver regeneration the adhesion to the endothelium represents a rapid and transient event,44 platelet adhesion to the liver sinusoids results in deleterious changes to the microcirculation in the context of hepatic ischaemia-reperfusion injury.53 Furthermore, platelet adhesion to KCs was shown to occur in the early phases of the reperfusion injury, contributing to the recruitment of neutrophils to the liver and to the increased sinusoidal perfusion failure rate after transient ischaemia. Although the effects on hepatocyte proliferation might indicate a role for platelets in promoting liver regeneration, the picture emerging from multiple interactions with different cell populations suggests that caution is warranted in the development of a therapeutic approach for hepatic surgery (e.g. liver resection or transplantation).45

The platelet-KC cooperation is particularly intense in the context of innate immunity. Accumulation of platelets in the liver was reported in the early phases of Klebsiella O3 lipopolysaccharide (LPS) infection which induced anaphylactic shock within minutes of injection.47 Depletion of KCs by clodronate liposomes resulted in reduced platelet accumulation and could prevent LPS-induced shock. Similarly, the contact mediated through the receptor GPIb on platelets and the vWF on the KC surface was shown to be critical for systemic bacterial clearance.20 Therefore, this partnership appears to be mainly responsible for the phenomena of infection-driven thrombosis. Interestingly, a significant increase in aggregates of inflammatory monocytes and platelets was also observed in an experimental model of liver cholestasis, the bile-duct ligation (BDL) model.48 This interaction, apparently occurring via the TLR-4 receptor, was essential for the full activation of macrophages and the establishment of a proinflammatory environment. Cholestatic liver injury was previously shown to induce strong platelet activation via GPVI in the early phases of the mouse BDL model. However, chronic cholestasis induced loss of activation, related to impaired vascularisation and the cytotoxic effects of bile acids on platelets, leading to bleeding complications.49 Accordingly, anti-platelet therapy through administration of anti-GPIb antibodies was shown to improve sinusoidal perfusion and reduce hepatocellular damage in BDL-induced cholestasis.50

**Platelets and hepatic stellate cells: role in hepatic fibrosis?**

Based on clinical evidence, platelet transfusion and anti-platelet therapy were reported to improve liver functionality and reduce liver fibrosis in patients with chronic liver injury.52 Accordingly, TPO administration revealed beneficial effects in a rat model of dimethylnitrosamine-induced cirrhosis, limiting the progression of liver fibrosis.52 These findings led scientists to investigate the cellular mechanisms that underly the interaction between platelets and HSCs. As mentioned, platelets display a wide range of cellular mediators stored in their granule cargo that can be released upon activation and adhesion. S-1-P is one of these mediators that was shown to induce proliferation and activation of rat HSCs in vitro.53 In another study, accumulation of platelets and the platelet-derived chemokine CXCL4 were detected in close proximity to fibrotic areas in patients with chronic liver disease and in mice subjected to models of liver fibrosis.54 In vitro, stimulation with platelet-derived CXCL4 was able to induce HSC proliferation and chemotaxis, whereas genetic deletion of the chemokine in mice significantly reduced liver damage and fibrosis. In line with these findings, platelet-derived growth factor-β (PDGF-B), directly produced by platelets, was shown to activate HSCs and promote liver fibrosis in 2 models of biliary fibrosis in mice.55 Notably, PDFG-B is well known to be one of the most potent mitogens for HSCs.56 In this experimental setting, anti-platelet therapy through anti-CD41 antibodies or low doses of aspirin was able to reduce the progression of fibrosis. Accordingly, co-culturing platelets with HSCs induced activation of pro-fibrogenic genes. Finally, platelets carry significant amounts of TGF-β1 in their cargo; mice genetically lacking this cytokine specifically in platelets exhibited less fibrosis compared to
control mice after chronic CCL4 administration. However, on the contrary, other studies indicate that platelets might contribute to limit or suppress hepatic stellate activation via the cAMP pathway, triggered by direct contact with ATP-enriched granules of adhesive platelets. Moreover, platelet granules also contain large amounts of HGF – at least in rodent models – that can contribute to inhibit HSC activation. In fact, whereas it was reported that platelets might exert an anti-fibrotic effect by inhibiting HSCs through the HGF-c-Met axis, which resulted in reduced expression of type I collagen genes in the same BDL model, in another study, treatment with an anti-coagulant was reported to reduce liver necrosis and neutrophil migration in a model of drug-induced liver cholestasis.

Although growing evidence indicates that platelets can influence the progression of liver fibrosis through cellular interaction with HSCs, the cellular mechanisms and the biologic effects of this interaction are still unclear.

**Role of platelets in liver diseases and potential therapeutic interventions**

**Platelets in chronic viral hepatitis**

In relation to the loss of liver functionality, blood platelet counts progressively decrease and thrombocytopenia becomes an important feature of chronic viral hepatitis and cirrhosis. Many factors were reported to contribute to the reduced number of circulating platelets, such as increased splenic clearance (often in association with portal hypertension), reduced production in the bone marrow, sequestration of platelets in the liver and generation of anti-platelet antibodies. In patients with HCV infection, in whom platelet count decreased in relation to the severity of fibrosis, achieving a sustained antiviral response positively correlated with a recover in platelet number and a reduction in spleen size. Interestingly, similar findings were confirmed in a recent retrospective analysis of patients with chronic HCV infection treated with antiviral therapy, in which a significant increase in platelet count was observed after viral elimination. However, in this case, changes in platelet count were independent of changes in liver fibrosis. A therapeutic approach aimed at stimulating bone marrow production using a thrombopoietin receptor agonist (Eltrombopag) was shown to increase platelet counts in a group of patients with HCV and advanced fibrosis, permitting antiviral pegylated-interferon therapy and reducing the interferon-mediated decrease in platelet count. Currently, the use of thrombopoietin receptor agonists is emerging as a common therapeutic strategy in patients with chronic liver disease presenting with thrombocytopenia. In this direction, new drugs like avatrombopag were recently shown to restore platelet count without affecting their activation status.

An interesting clinical study by Kondo et al performed on liver biopsies from patients with HCV-induced HCC revealed an increase of infiltrating platelets in the peritumoral area of cirrhotic liver tissue compared to healthy tissue, despite a systemic decrease of circulating platelets. Of note, infiltrating platelets were mainly located in necrotic periportal areas of inflammation, along with CD68 positive cells, indicating possible co-localisation with KCs. However, the number of platelets and KCs was significantly decreased in the tumour compared to the peritumoral tissue. Finally, anti-platelet antibodies, most likely targeting antigens like GPIIb/IIIa and GPIIIa, were detected in the circulation of HCV-positive patients.

A reduction of platelet count is also observed frequently in the blood of patients with chronic HBV infection, whereas increased platelet count seems to be significantly related to restored liver functionality and decreased liver fibrosis upon antiviral therapy. Experimentally, it has been shown that platelets play a pivotal role in the pathophysiology of HBV, mainly by enhancing the infiltration of virus-specific T-cells. In this specific case, Guidotti et al. showed that platelets are essential for arresting CD8+T cells within the liver sinusoids; these CD8+T cells then crawl along the sinusoids before exerting their antiviral activity via antigen recognition. By using genetic and pharmacological lack of function approaches, the authors demonstrated that platelets adhere to hyaluronan on sinusoids via CD44 molecules, favouring lymphocyte arrest and transmigration.

Regarding pharmacological interventions in this context, aspirin, the most common anti-platelet drug used in clinic to prevent cardiovascular events, seems to represent a valid complementary therapy. Aspirin is able to selectively inactivate COX-1 at very low doses, inhibiting platelet aggregation. Indeed, repeated low doses result in permanent enzyme inactivation and reduced TXA2 production with consequent anti-aggregation effects. However, indirect effects of aspirin are also known, such as its anti-inflammatory and oxygen radical scavenger properties. A more selective drug, clopidrogel, was specifically designed to inhibit aggregation of platelets and to limit unwanted side effects. Clopidrogel is a thienopyridine that irreversibly inhibits the ADP receptor P2Y12. In the same murine model of viral hepatitis mentioned earlier, administration of these platelet-activation inhibitors, aspirin and clopidrogel, resulted in a reduction of CD8+T cells and attenuation of viral infection-derived hepatocyte damage, without causing bleeding effects. In a similar study, the same research group showed that, whereas the same pharmacological treatment was able to reduce the development of HCC in an HBV murine model, neither aspirin nor clopidrogel revealed
antitumour effects in a non-immunological chemically induced model of hepatocarcinogenesis.\textsuperscript{75}

In another study based on an experimental model of viral hepatitis, infection resulted in platelet recruitment to the liver with consequent activation and impairment of the sinusoidal microcirculation. This process turned out to delay clearance of the virus and increased liver damage. Lack of serotonin in tryptophan hydroxilases-1-deficient mice resulted in improvement of the sinusoidal circulation, reduction of CD8\(^+\) T cell recruitment and acceleration of hepatic viral clearance, highlighting a deleterious effect of platelet-derived serotonin.\textsuperscript{76}

Beyond obvious considerations regarding haemostasis in the establishment of anti-platelet therapies, the studies performed so far in the context of liver injury indicate that platelets interact with different hepatic and immune cell populations at different stages of the disease. Based on experimental data, anti-platelet therapy might become a therapeutic possibility in the treatment of chronic viral hepatitis characterised by immune-mediated liver damage. It is therefore necessary to understand the biological meaning and the dynamics of this intracellular communication in order to offer valid and safe approaches for the development of new therapeutic strategies.

**Platelets in alcohol-related and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis**

Although recent prospective clinical studies suggest that patients diagnosed with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) are at an increased risk of developing thrombocytopenia, a real correlation seems to be reproducible only in the advanced fibrotic stages of the disease.\textsuperscript{77} However, data in this regard are still quite controversial.\textsuperscript{78}

Patients diagnosed with NAFLD/NASH commonly display increased mean platelet volume, an indicator of platelet activation, which was shown to correlate directly with the severity of inflammation and the grade of fibrosis,\textsuperscript{79} whereas patients with alcohol-related liver disease seem to display reduced platelet activation and aggregation capacity.\textsuperscript{80} However, in another study, patients with alcohol-related liver cirrhosis were reported to display a decreased platelet count but a significantly increased mean platelet volume compared to control patients or patients with simple alcohol-related fatty liver disease (AFLD).\textsuperscript{81}

Recent data from our laboratories indicated an increase of infiltrating platelets in hepatic tissue of pre-clinical models of diet-induced NASH and of NASH-diagnosed patients.\textsuperscript{82} Interestingly, this increase was not observed in livers displaying simple steatosis. However, genetic thrombocytopenia or pharmacological inhibition of platelet activation (aspirin/clopidogrel) not only reduced NAFLD activity score and inflammatory infiltrate but also turned out to improve steatosis, possibly by ameliorating mitochondrial functionality and lipid catabolism. Interestingly, the incidence of NASH-induced hepatocellular carcinoma was dramatically reduced in mice treated with anti-coagulant therapy. Notably, aspirin is considered to be a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug and COX-inhibitor rather than an anti-coagulant. In this study, the use of another general non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug, sulindac, failed to improve the metabolic phenotype and the NAFLD activity score observed after choline-deficient-high-fat diet feeding. Instead, the use of another platelet inhibitor, ticagrelor, a cyclopentyltriazolo-pyrimidine reversible inhibitor of P\(_2\)Y\(_{12}\) receptors, with faster onset of platelet inhibition compared to other anti-coagulants, was able to reproduce faithfully the protective effects observed after aspirin/clopidogrel treatment even on NASH-induced HCC. Furthermore, a detailed 3D morphological analysis of cellular localisation revealed a direct interaction of platelets with KCs. More precisely, anchoring of platelets to the extracellular matrix, in particular to hyaluronan via their CD44 receptor, was essential for establishing a direct contact with KCs and triggering the inflammatory response associated with metabolic stress. Indeed, genetic and pharmacologic inhibition of CD44 and hyaluronidase reduced the hepatic accumulation of KCs and platelets, improving the NAFLD activity score and ameliorating inflammation. The precise mechanisms of interaction between CD44 and hyaluronan are not fully understood yet, but their expression could increase in NASH-related liver injury. Hepatic stellate cells are a possible source of hyaluronan that could possibly be activated in this specific context.\textsuperscript{83} Similarly, liver endothelial cells could actively participate in hyaluronan remodelling and production.\textsuperscript{84} Finally, genetic impairment of platelets’ ability to release α-granules (in Nbea1\(^{-/-}\) mice) resulted in amelioration of liver damage and inflammation, whereas simple inhibition of platelet aggregation did not reveal any beneficial effect. Interestingly, the receptor responsible for this interaction with the immune system and therefore for platelet activation and granule release turned out to be the glycoprotein GPIb (Fig. 3). This set of data raises hopes for the development of valid therapeutic strategies in the context of NASH-induced HCC which do not alter the haemostatic functionality. Along these lines, preliminary analyses on human biopsies from our laboratories indicate that NASH-diagnosed patients display many more infiltrating platelets in peritumoural areas than patients with other aetiologies of liver disease, like alcohol-related steatohepatitis or chronic hepatitis. However, the number of adhering platelets is always lower in tumoural tissue than in non-tumoural tissue. Interestingly, the number of platelets appears to be associated with the number of CD68\(^+\) cells, as observed in the context of HCV.\textsuperscript{66}
Thus, platelets might represent a valid therapeutic target even in metabolically driven liver diseases. An associational study conducted in patients with cardiovascular disease with or without NAFLD, revealed a protective relationship between the use of acetyl salicylic acid (active substance in aspirin) in combination with clopidrogel and the degree of liver fibrosis. However, whereas the increase in platelet levels significantly correlated with serum concentrations of PDGF-B in fibrotic patients, anti-platelet therapy did not affect the levels of the growth factor in the blood. A further recent clinical study performed on a cohort of patients diagnosed with NAFLD indicates that aspirin administration is associated with an improvement of NAFLD features and a reduced risk of fibrosis progression.

**Platelets in liver cancer and metastasis**

Although data concerning the number of circulating platelets in patients with NASH-induced and virus-induced HCC are still sparse and controversial, an emerging body of evidence supports a pro-carcinogenic environment driven by platelets. However, it is interesting to note that thrombocytopenia is a hallmark of cirrhosis, a condition considered as a risk factor for HCC development. Despite this apparent contradiction, the presence of small HCC, normally in the context of liver cirrhosis, was reported to be associated with reduced platelet counts. In cirrhotic patients with AFLD or NAFLD-related HCC, a low platelet count was recently included among the parameters considered to be reliable predictors of HCC development. Conversely, increased platelet count has often been associated with HCC aggressiveness and size, tumour recurrence and increased metastatic risk. Elevated platelet distribution width, reflecting changes in platelet size and therefore activation status, was shown to be significantly associated with poor prognosis in patients with HCC. These observations could indicate different immunological scenarios affecting the carcinogenic process in different ways. The interplay between platelets and the tumour microenvironment certainly deserves deeper investigation, as increased platelet count and/or activation often correlates with a sustained inflammatory response. Notably, anti-platelet therapy turned out to repress HCC formation in a mouse model.

Fig. 3. Schematic cartoon illustrating the proposed cellular mechanisms through which platelets contribute to the activation of the immune responses in NASH. Interaction of the CD44 receptor on platelets with HA present in the extracellular matrix favours the entrapment and accumulation of platelets in the injured liver. Therefore, KCs bind to the GPIbα receptor on the surface of platelets and become polarised toward a pro-inflammatory phenotype, producing chemokines and cytokines that in turn activate T cells that infiltrate the liver parenchyma. GPIbα, glycoprotein Ibα; HA, hyaluronan; KCs, Kupffer cells; NASH, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis.
model of chronic hepatitis B, through modulation of CD8+ T cell-mediated hepatic necro-inflammation.\textsuperscript{70} Similarly, as described in detail earlier, anti-platelet therapy prevented NASH-induced HCC mainly through modulation of the immune response.\textsuperscript{82}

However, platelet cargo has been shown to contain mediators and growth factors that can directly promote growth and invasion of HCC cell lines.\textsuperscript{95} Increased levels of serotonin, stored in platelet granules and critical for liver regeneration, were detected in association with early disease recurrence in patients undergoing surgical resection for liver tumours.\textsuperscript{96} He et al. showed that the release of TGF-\(\beta\) from platelet granules exerts a proliferative effect on HCC by inhibiting the expression of Klf6 on cancer cells.\textsuperscript{97} Apparently, platelets can directly enhance HCC growth and proliferation mainly through activation of a TGF-\(\beta\)-dependent pathway. Zhang et al. reported that patients with poorly differentiated HCC display increased platelet activation. Local accumulation of platelets was observed in poorly differentiated tissues where they bind to tumour cells mainly via P-selectin interactions. Clopidrogel therapy was able to induce hepatoma cell differentiation, thus limiting tumour progression in a xenograft model of tumour implantation on NOD/SCID mice.\textsuperscript{98} Accordingly, a very recent study showed that low dose aspirin administration reduces the incidence of HCC and improves survival in patients with hepatitis-related cirrhosis after splenectomy.\textsuperscript{99}

Finally, given their well-known pro-metastatic role, platelets contribute to the spreading of HCC from the site of origin via direct adhesion to cancer cells. Morimoto et al. reported that high platelet count, high tumour number and the presence of high vascularisation were significantly associated with extrahepatic metastasis in patients with liver cancer.\textsuperscript{100} This process also seems to be regulated by adhesion with endothelial cells and interactions mediated by molecules such as P-selectin or C-type lectin-like receptor 2 (CLEC-2).\textsuperscript{100,101} It was also proposed that an interaction between TLR-4 on platelets and HMGB-1 released by tumour cells might mediate platelet-tumour-cell interactions.\textsuperscript{102} Using a murine model of melanoma metastasis and a lack of function 7\(\beta\)4 knockout mouse, the authors showed that the interaction between TLR-4 and HMGB-1 induced the activation of platelets, leading to the production of TGF-\(\beta\)-1 and enhancing metastatic spreading in the lung and liver. In this line, treatment with the platelet aggregation inhibitor ticagrelor led to a reduction in liver metastases in experimental mouse models of cancer.\textsuperscript{103} In contrast, Kurokawa et al. reported that a TPO receptor agonist could exert antitumour activity independent of restoring platelet counts. In fact, the cytostatic effect of eltrombopag seems to be mediated mainly by an alteration of iron metabolism in cancer cells.\textsuperscript{104} Regarding patients with cholangiocarcinoma, only a few clinical studies based on the platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio indicate a possible increase in platelet counts related to poor prognosis and survival.\textsuperscript{105} Therefore, in the context of liver cancer, a better comprehension of the cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying the interactions between platelets, cancer cells and immune cells is urgently required to validate the efficacy of a potential anti-platelet therapeutic approach.

**Future perspectives**

Whereas the immunomodulatory functions of platelets during chronic liver diseases are starting to be widely recognised, the precise cellular dynamics and the interactions relevant for disease outcomes are still poorly understood. Pre-clinically, more in vivo functional studies are required to understand if the “polarisation/activation” status of platelets might be important to delineate selective or complementary therapeutic strategies. The effects of anti-platelet therapy in relation to the immune system should be carefully analysed, starting from several available models of liver cancer. Data from the clinic are sparse and still quite controversial. Therefore, there is a need for studies with larger and stratified patient cohorts, which will allow researchers to determine the correlation between platelet profile and disease stage, particularly with respect to liver cancer.

Platelets contain all types of RNA molecules, mostly unspliced immature forms of mRNA, which they can translate into proteins or transfer to neighbouring cells, thereby modulating their biological functions.\textsuperscript{106} Analyses of the processes regulating protein synthesis, storage and release of pre-stored peptides could be the key to understanding platelet functions and the development of selective therapeutic interventions. For many years, the proteomic and transcriptomic arsenal of platelets has been considered static. Instead, it was recently shown that platelets display a functional spliceosome with spliceosome factors enabling signal-dependent splicing, giving birth to mature peptides.\textsuperscript{107} In this way, external stimuli that activate platelets through contact with surface receptors induce splicing of specific pre-mRNAs in circulating platelets. Considering that platelets can be shaped and reprogrammed according to the genetic and immune environment, a detailed analysis of RNA expression patterns (even at the single cell level) might become a valid tool to identify specific biomarkers of disease. The combination of specific splice events in response to external signals and the capacity of platelets to ingest directly (spliced) circulating mRNAs can provide these cells with a highly dynamic
mRNA repertoire, with potential applicability to cancer diagnostics. In fact, platelet RNA can be easily isolated from cell pools and subjected to gene-expression analysis. Promisingly, a transcriptomic approach based on next-generation RNA sequencing was shown to be able to offer interesting insights into the platelet transcript profile. 108

A transcriptomic/proteomic approach might offer information on how different environmental stimuli or toxicants (e.g. high caloric food, alcohol, exercise, drugs, etc.) affect the platelet mRNA repertoire and thereby influence the responsiveness to therapeutic regimens or immunosuppressants. Finally, it will be important to identify differences in the RNA signature of circulating platelets and activated platelets in the hepatic tissue within the same pathological context. Considering the less invasive and more accessible nature of liquid biopsies, platelet transcriptome analysis might become a useful tool for the diagnosis of chronic liver disease.
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