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Abstract

Andrographis paniculata (Burm. f.) Wall. ex Nees (Acanthaceae) with immense medicinal importance lacks information on its biosynthetic pathway genes and their regulatory role in the production of pharmaceutically important andrographolide. Copalyl diphosphate synthase (CPS) is involved in the production of copalyl diphosphate, a precursor for many bioactive compounds with particular reference to diterpene lactone. In this study, we elucidated the structural and functional aspects of A. paniculata CPS (ApCPS). Composition of amino acids and hydrophobic nature of ApCPS were analyzed and identified as non trans-membrane protein. A chloroplast transit peptide and mitochondrial targeting peptide in ApCPS were identified. Protein secondary structure prediction has given insight on the distribution of helix (52.52%), loop (45.91%) and strands (1.56%) in ApCPS. The homology modelling of ApCPS was carried out with SWISS MODEL. The validation of 3D model using PROCHECK revealed that 91.74% of the residues have averaged 3D-1D score >= 0.2 which is structurally reliable. In Ramachandran plot, 90.9% amino acid residues were found in most favoured region. Phylogetic tree was constructed using MEGA 7.0 by taking eudicots, monocots, gymnosperms and fungal species. Among them, ApCPS was clustered within eudicots and closely related to Sesamum indicum in Laminales. Protein-protein interaction study using STRING10 revealed that CPS interacts with gibberillic acid and terpene synthase related proteins. In Arabidopsis thaliana, CPS coexpression was seen with gibberellic acid related proteins. The present in silico analysis will be useful in understanding the structural, functional and evolutionary diversification of ApCPS.
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1. Introduction

Andrographis paniculata (Burm. f.) Wall. ex Nees (Acanthaceae) is an important medicinal herb and a valuable source for important diterpene lactone, andrographolide and its derivatives. It has immense effect on various diseases and considered to be a valuable source in medicine. It has pharmacological effects such as antimicrobial (Singha et al., 2003), anti-inflammatory, anti-cancerous and immune-stimulatory (Kumar et al., 2004; Subramanian et al., 2011; Islam et al., 2018), immuno-modulatory and anti-atherosclerotic (Chao and Lin, 2010). This plant has shown effect on suppression of esophageal cancer and metastasis (Li et al., 2018). The demand for such valuable compound diterpene lactones of this plant is very high. However, the detailed mechanisms and the biosynthetic pathway genes are not yet elucidated clearly (Singha et al., 2011).

All the secondary metabolites (specifically diterpenoids) of the plants have a common origin from IPP and DMAPP (Figure 1). These can be derived either from MEP or MVA pathway which are interlinked and have connection between them (Chen et al., 2013; Vranová et al., 2013). The enzyme copalyl diphosphate synthase (CPS) catalyzes conversion of geranyl geranyl diphosphate, to copalyl diphosphate (CPP) which serves as intermediate for all diterpenoid reactions (Beale, 1990; Su et al., 2016). This CPS belongs to isomerase super family which involves in the synthesis of terpenoids/isoprenoids. CPP is the direct precursor of gibberellic acid synthesis, other phytoalexins and labdane-related diterpenoids in plants (Prisic et al., 2004; Harris et al., 2005).

Figure 1: Biosynthetic MEP and MVA pathway showing CPS enzyme for the biosynthesis of diterpenoids.
The genomic and metabolite studies when combined with various bioinformatic analysis brings out the unknown diterpenoid scaffolds and the enzyme information (Andersen-Ranberg et al., 2016). In our laboratory, we have a comprehensive research programme on *A. paniculata* distribution, enhanced production of bioactive compound andrographolide and study of key enzymes/proteins (Neeraja et al., 2015; Parlapally et al., 2015; Zaheer and Giri, 2015; Zaheer et al., 2017a; Zaheer et al., 2017b; ; Bindu et al., 2017; Srinath et al., 2017). In the present communication, in silico studies such as structural, functional relationships and the evolutionary relationship were elucidated using various bioinformatics tools.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Analysis of biochemical properties in *ApCPS* protein

Amino acid sequence of *A. paniculata* ent-copalyl diphosphate synthase (*ApCPS*) was obtained from NCBI with accession number AEM00024.1. Expasy Compute pI/Mw tool is used for the estimation of pI (isoelectric point) and Mw (molecular weight) for the given protein sequence of *ApCPS* (Kyte and Doolittle, 1982). For the visualization of hydrophobicity for a peptide sequence the hydropathy plots were developed using Kyte and Doolittle (1982) method for each amino acid. The amino acid composition was shown (in %) using ProtParam tool in Expasy online server (Walker, 2005).

2.2 Prediction of signal peptide sequence

ChloroP was used for finding the chloplast transit peptide (cTP) and TargetP (Emmanuelsson et al., 2000), iPSORT (Bannai et al., 2001, 2002) used to find out the subcellular location and signal peptide sequences in *ApCPS*.

2.3 Elucidation of secondary structure of *ApCPS* and prediction of trans-membrane helices

The secondary structure of *ApCPS* representing the families of related proteins was characterised using PredictProtein tool. Solvent accessibility and trans-membrane helix prediction was done by this tool. The analysis of trans-membrane helices in *ApCPS* was done using HMMPROP (Hidden and Markov Model Topology of Proteins) as per Tusnady and Simon (2001) and Tied Mixture Hidden Markov Model (TMHMM). The secondary structure prediction of *ApCPS* was carried out using CFFSP prediction server (Chou and Fasman 1974; Dot et al., 2006).

2.4 Domain and motif analysis of *ApCPS*

The prediction of domain in *ApCPS* was carried out using Interpro and NCBI-CD search also used for identifying the super family of *ApCPS* (Marchler-Bauer et al., 2016).

The Motif analysis was done using multiple Em for motif elicitation (MEME, version 4.10.2). Motif Alignment and Search Tool was used for computation of pairwise correlation between each pair of motifs. Motifs with correlations below 0.60 have little effect on the accuracy of the E-values computed by MAST. DLP-SVM Domain prediction tool was used for identifying the Domain linkers (Ebina et al., 2009).

2.5 Tertiary structure prediction / 3D modelling and validation of *ApCPS*

The tertiary structure (3D) modelling of *ApCPS* enzyme structure was predicted using SWISS MODEL server (Kiefer et al., 2008) and Phyre2 tool (Kelley et al., 2015). PROCHECK analysis (Laskowski et al., 1996) was carried out for the validation and for analysing the stereochemical reliability of the 3D model using Ramachandran plot.

The ligand binding site in the 3D model was predicted using 3D Lig and Site tool (Wass et al., 2010). The 3D model generated by Phyre2 was used for generating ligand binding site.

2.6 Phylogenetic analysis, protein interactions and expression studies

MEGA 7 software was used for phylogenetic tree construction and evolutionary relationship analysis of *ApCPS* with other CPS enzymes (dicots-33, monocots-4, gymnosperms-2 and fungi-2) as per Kumar et al. (2016). The evolutionary history was inferred using UPGMA method. The optimal tree with the sum of branch length = 6.99753738 is shown next to the branches. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Poisson correction method and are in the units of the number of amino acid substitutions per site. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. There were a total of 623 positions in the final dataset, which was employed for construction of phylogenetic tree.

2.6.1 Protein protein interaction and co-expression analysis

STRING10 tool was used for analysing the retrieval of interacting proteins or genes (Szklarczyk et al., 2015). The model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* CPS was taken as reference protein sequence for the co-expression study of CPS.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Biochemical property analysis of *ApCPS* protein

Amino acid sequence analysis in *A. paniculata* using Compute pl/Mw tool revealed that *ApCPS* contained 832 amino acid residues with approximately 2.5 kb size (2496 bp). The theoretical pl value was shown as 7.07 and molecular weight was 95370.83 daltons, i.e., 95 Kda. The similar result obtained earlier in *ApCPS* strengthened our finding in the present study (Garg et al., 2015).

Prot Scale helps in computing and representing the profile produced by any amino acid scale on a selected protein. The hydrophobicity plots for *ApCPS* with a window size of 9 and 21 shown in Figure 2A and 2B, where the relative weight of the window edges compared to the window center is 100%. The linear weight variation model is used for development of hydropathy plots without normalization. The more positive value of amino acids indicated that isoleucine, valine and leucine are highly hydrophobic at that region (Figure 2C).
The amino acid composition and distribution showed that a highest of 11.1% residues of leucine are present in protein which is highly hydrophobic. After leucine, serine (7.5%) and glutamic acid (7.1%) were having major part of the composition (Figure 3A and 3B). The total number of 103 negatively charged residues of (Asp+Glu) and 102 positively charged residues of (Arg + Lys) were present.

3.2 Prediction of signal peptide sequence
A chloroplast transit peptide (cTP) sequence containing 27 amino acids was identified in ApCPS. iPSORT prediction indicated that the protein sequence has no N-terminal sorting signal but having a mitochondrial targeting peptides in it (Figure 4). In an earlier study the presence of chloroplast transit peptide and mitochondrial targeting peptide in CPS was also observed in Salvia miltiorrhiza (Su et al., 2016).

3.3 ApCPS secondary structure elucidation and prediction of trans-membrane helices
Secondary structure elements and solvent accessibility was predicted using evolutionary information from multiple sequence alignments and a multi-level system (Rost and Sander, 1993). Three states of secondary structure were predicted such as helix (H; includes alpha-, pi- and 3_10-helix), (beta-) strand (E = extended strand in beta-sheet conformation of at least two residues length).
and loop (L). Secondary structure is predicted by a system of neural networks with an expected average accuracy of more than 72% (Rost and Sander, 1994).

PROFsec method in PredictProtein tool was helpful in finding the percentage of amino acid residues covered by helix, loops and strands in the protein. Secondary structure analysis revealed that 611 amino acids are possible for α-helix, 369 residues for extended sheets and 110 residues for turns (Figure 5). About 52.52% of amino acid sequence was covered with helix, 45.91% with loop and 1.56% region was covered with strand (Figure 6). This shows that α-helices and extended sheets were abundant structures in ApCPS. The coils and turns were found to be distributed intermittently in the protein. There were no disulphide bridges and trans-membrane helices found in the ApCPS amino acid sequence.

Figure 5: Secondary structure of ApCPS showing helix, sheet, turn and coil.

Further, analysis of protein revealed, a total of 10 protein binding regions were present in ApCPS (Figure 7). These 10 protein binding regions were at amino acid positions and residues 91(1), 139-141 (3), 189 (1), 213(1), 232 (1), 323(1), 455 (1), 469 (1), 747 (1), 827 (1), 832 (1), respectively (shown in red color: Figure 7). The helices present in the amino acid sequence were shown as brown colored boxes. Amino acid residues were categorized into buried (yellow), intermediate (light colored) and exposed portions (blue) along the sequence. The buried and exposed amino acids covered most of the amino acid composition. There were 4 disorder regions found in the sequence at positions 1(1), 56 (1), 82-99 (18), 744-747 (4) respectively, where the length/size of residues is given in the parenthesis.

There were no trans-membrane helices and surface globular proteins observed in ApCPS based on the results of HMMP and TMHMM. Hence, ApCPS is considered as non-trans-membrane protein which is being translocated from nucleus to mitochondria and chloroplast. Although, surface globular proteins were not identified in the present study with ApCPS but these tools are also used in identifying the surface globular proteins in other plant species.

Figure 6: Graphical representation of secondary structure of ApCPS.

3.4 Classification of ApCPS protein and prediction of domains and motifs

ApCPS protein belongs to isoprenoid synthase superfamily (Figure 8). The results with NCBI conserved domain search also revealed that ApCPS belongs to the isoprenoid superfamily and showed a specific hit with terpene synthase (Figure 9). Two domains, one terpene synthase N- terminal domain at 284-489 and one metal binding domain at 533-681 were identified (Figure 8).

Figure 7: Protein binding, disorder and other regions present in ApCPS.

Figure 8: Prediction of domains by Interpro

Figure 9: NCBI-CD search of ApCPS showing its superfamily

3.4.1 Predicting domain linkers by loop-length-dependent support vector machine (DLP-SVM) tool

The prediction of structural domains in novel protein sequences is of practical importance. DLP-SVM is a loop-length-dependent support vector machine (SVM) for prediction of domain linkers, which are loops separating two structural domains. A longer domain linker (QQLYIPAASPFPRTSVVAG) was identified at position 15-33 and a short domain linker (GSPPSPPPQ) at position 8-16 (Figure 10). The longer linkers are used when it is necessary to ensure that two adjacent domains do not sterically interfere with one another (Ebina et al., 2009).
The domain linkers were found to be rich in proline residues which contributes for structural confirmation, and flexibility of two domains. They also acted in the prevention of unfavourable communication between the domains. Further, depending on length of the linkers the interaction between the domains varied (Bhaskara et al., 2013). This study is also helpful in protein targeted drug development and other proteomic studies (Shatnawi et al., 2014).

Figure 10: Domain linkers (short and long) identified in ApCPS.

3.4.2 Motif analysis of ApCPS

There were 3 motifs found using MEME with Mast Alignment and Search Tool (Figure 11). The detail analysis of three motifs was characterized and the repetition in the ApCPS sequence detected Figure 12. Each of the sequence has an e value less than 10. The motif YIPAASPF occurred twice at positions 18-25 and 674-689 in the sequence. Motif MHRDWTDKGICW also repeated twice at positions 189-200 and 369-380. The third motif WQKWLRSW occurred at positions 554-561 and 674-689 along the sequence.

Figure 11: MEME results showing motifs in the ApCPS.

Figure 12: Different motifs and their positions on the sequence of ApCPS (The boxes on the line representing the motifs).

3.5 Elucidation of tertiary structure of ApCPS by 3D homology modelling, validation and ligand binding site prediction

The 3D structure was predicted using Swiss Model which showed 713 amino acid coverage and with 56.81% identity (Figure 13). The elucidated 3D model was structurally validated with SAVES PROCHECK where 91.74% of the residues have averaged 3D-1D score >= 0.2 (Figure 14). This 3D model has shown a overall quality factor (OQF) of 92.1986 (Figure 15).

In Ramachnadr plot, 90.9% amino acid residues were observed in favoured region with 8.4% additional allowed regions (Figure 16). Only 0.2% disallowed regions were found in the plot. This validation studies showing that the predicted 3D model of ApCPS enzyme was structurally reliable. The distribution of main chain bond angles and length were found to be within the limits. Homology modelling and validation of antioxidant proteins were also shown in similar way in Spinach (Sahay and Shakya, 2010). A single ligand binding site was identified in validated 3D structure of ApCPS (Figure 17). It consists of GLY, ASP, VAL residues at (510-528).
Figure 16: Ramachandran Plot of ApCPS 3D structure. The most favoured regions are represented in red color; additional allowed regions in yellow color.

Figure 17: Predicted ligand binding site showed in blue color.

Figure 18: The phylogenetic tree showing the evolutionary relation of ApCPS with other SQS from diverse organisms constructed by neighbour-joining method.
3.6 Phylogenetic analysis of ApCPS

The phylogenetic tree mainly divided into eudicots, monocots, gymnosperms and fungi. Among the 41 sequences taken for the phylogenetic construction, the ApCPS was clustered within the eudicots (Figure 18). ApCPS was shown close relationship with *Sesamum indicum* and *Olea europaea* plants belongs to the same order Lamiales. After Lamiale plants, it was closer to the order Solanales. In an earlier study, comparable result was found with this CPS enzyme by Garg *et al.* (2015).

The evolutionary divergence study of this plant showed close relation to Laminales, followed by Solaneles in *ApHMGR* and *ApDXS* enzymes related to the diterpenoid pathways (Bindu *et al.*, 2017; Srinath *et al.*, 2017). After the relation with eudicots, ApCPS was closely linked to monocots particularly *Zea mays* and *Triticum aestivum* and extended to gymnosperms and fungal species.

3.7 Protein interaction study

*Arabidopsis thaliana* CPS also called as GA1 was used for the protein interaction study (Figure 19). The protein interaction study shown that CPS mainly involved in gibberellic acid mediated signalling pathway. Further, it also involves proteins response to oxygen-containing compound which includes the enzymes such as GA1, RGL1, RGL2, and GA20OX1, etc. The molecular functions such as transcription factor activity and binding included interaction with RGAl, RGL1, RGL2, RGL3 enzymes, iron ion binding included interaction with CYP88A3, KA02. Terpene synthase activity mainly involved the interaction within GA1, GA2, TPS04 enzymes. Most of the interacted proteins shown to be involved in inter cellular and intra cellular membrane bounded organelles. The CPS also shown the relation to proteins involved in diterpene biosynthesis pathway which includes GA1, TPS04, CYP 82G1, GA2, GA3OX1, GA3, GA20OX1, CYP88A3, KA02 enzymes. These were also involved in plant hormone signal transduction and biosynthesis of secondary metabolites (Figure 20).

![Figure 19: Protein-protein interactions using Arabidopsis CPS as reference sequence using STRING 10.](image)

Gene expression and co-expression studies will provide outline about CPS protein where the exchange of molecules and their interaction is high or low (Figure 20). CPS found to be involved in biosynthesis of gibberellin and diterpenoids in various studies (Keeling *et al.*, 2010; Yamamura *et al.*, 2018). This finding strengthens our result which showed the CPS co-expression mainly with gibberellin and terpenoid synthase biosynthesis genes such as Gibberellin 3-oxidase 1, Gibberellin 20 oxidase 1 and Terpene synthase 04, etc.

![Figure 20: Co-expression analysis of different proteins with reference plant CPS (Arabidopsis thaliana CPS). GA1-Gibberellic acid requiring1; GA3 - GA requiring 3; GA3OX1- Gibberellin 3-oxidase 1; GA1- DELLA protein GA1; GA2- GA REQUIRING 2; GA20OX1- Gibberellin 20 oxidase 1; RGL2- RGA-like 2; RGL1- RGA-like 1; SPY- SPINDLY; TPS04- Terpene synthase 04; SLY1- SLEEPY1.](image)

4. Conclusion

The present in silico analysis has given structural, functional and physicochemical aspects of protein ApCPS. The secondary structure prediction showed the alpha helices and beta sheets distribution of ApCPS protein folding. The reliable 3D modelling of this enzyme gives information about the protein folding and can be exploited for various docking and drug targeting studies. The protein- protein interaction study will be helpful for understanding the protein at molecular level in various biosynthetic mechanisms. Evolutionary relation will give a scope for molecular biology and gene isolation studies where the primer designing for genes would be easy.
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