Determination of the available energy values and amino acid digestibility of *Flammulina velutipes* stem waste and its effects on carcass trait and meat quality fed to growing-finishing pigs
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**Abstract**

**Background:** *Flammulina velutipes* stem waste (FVS) is the by-product of mushroom industry. The objectives of this study were to determine the available energy and amino acid digestibility of FVS fed to pigs, and to evaluate the effects of dietary FVS inclusion on growth performance, biochemical profile of serum, fecal short chain fatty acid (SCFA) concentration, carcass traits, meat quality, intestinal morphology and microflora of pigs. In Exp. 1, twelve crossbred barrows with initial body weight (IBW) of 37.48 ± 4.31 kg were randomly allotted to 2 dietary treatments, including a corn basal diet and an experimental diet containing 24.35% FVS. In Exp. 2, twelve barrows fitted with an ileal T-cannula (IBW: 32.56 ± 1.67 kg) were randomly allotted to 2 dietary treatments, which included a N-free diet and an experimental diet containing 40.0% FVS. In Exp. 3, ninety growing pigs (IBW: 63.98 ± 6.89 kg) were allotted to 1 of 3 treatment diets for 63 d, including a basal diet and 2 experimental diets with 2.5% and 5% FVS, respectively.

**Results:** The digestible energy (DE) and metabolizable energy (ME) of FVS were 4.58 and 4.06 MJ/kg on dry matter basis, respectively, and the standardized ileal digestibility (SID) of indispensable AAs ranged from 17.50% to 59.47%. Pigs fed diets with 2.5% FVS showed no difference on average daily gain (ADG) and gain to feed ratio (G:F). Although dietary 5% FVS inclusion impaired apparent total tract digestibility (ATTD) of organic matter and gross energy, it elevated the SCFA concentrations \( P \leq 0.04 \) in gut and antioxidant capacity in serum. In addition, dietary FVS inclusion depressed the backfat thickness \( (P = 0.03) \) in pigs. The *longissimus dorsi* muscle of pigs fed FVS revealed higher n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid concentration and optimized fatty acid composition. Dietary 2.5% FVS inclusion also improved the intestinal development and health by increasing the villus height to crypt depth ratio (V/C) in jejunum \( (P < 0.01) \), and promoting microbial diversity and beneficial microbiota proliferation.
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The animal trials of Exp. 1 and 3 were carried out in the FengNing Swine Research Unit of China Agricultural University (Academician Workstation in Chengdejiuyun Agricultural & Livestock Co. Ltd). The animal trial of Exp. 2 was conducted in the Metabolism Laboratory of the Ministry of Agriculture Feed Industry Centre in China Agricultural University (Beijing, China). The crude FVS was provided by Ficus altissima Biotechnology Company (Changchun, China), and was processed in Jilin Green Biological Technology Company (Siping, China). Specifically, FVS was dried using a triple-pass rotary drum dryer machine (65 °C) and then ground in a hammer mill using a 2-mm screen. The same batch of FVS was used for all experiments. The chemical compositions of FVS was shown in Table 1.

Exp. 1: DE and ME contents of FVS
Twelve crossbred barrows (Duroc × Landrace × Yorkshire) with initial body weight (IBW) of 37.48 ± 4.31 kg were assigned to 2 treatment diets in a completely randomized design with 6 replicated pigs per treatment. The treatment diets included a basal diet formulated to contain 97.4% corn and 2.6% of vitamins and minerals, and an experimental diet formulated by replacing 25% of corn in the basal diet by FVS (Table 2).

All pigs were individually placed in stainless steel metabolism crates (1.4 m × 0.45 m × 0.6 m) equipped with a feeder, a nipple drinker and fecal collection trays, and were housed in an environmentally controlled room with the temperature maintained at 23 ± 2 °C. Pigs were provided ad libitum access to water and the daily feed equivalent to 4% of their initial body weight measured at the beginning of the animal trial, and diet was divided into two equal meals supplied at 08:30 and 16:30 h each day [5].

Before the animal trial, pigs were allowed a 5-d period to adapt to metabolic crates and fed a commercial diet. The animal trial lasted for 12 d, including 7 d for adaption to the experimental diets and 5 d for the total collection of feces and urine using a time-based collection procedure. During the collection days, feed refusals and spillage were collected twice daily and immediately dried (65 °C for 8 h) and weighed. Feces were collected from each metabolism crate when appeared in the collection tray and were subsequently stored at −20 °C. Urine was collected separately in a bucket containing 50 mL of 6 mol/L HCl, and all the buckets were placed under the metabolism crates [6]. A
volume of 10% of the total-collected urine per day was stored at −20 °C. At the end of the experiment, feces and urine samples were subsequently thawed, pooled by pig, homogenized, and subsampled. Then fecal subsamples were dried at 65 °C in a drying oven for 72 h. Four mL urine sample was dropped into crucibles with quantitative filter paper and then dried at 65 °C in a drying oven for 8 h for further analysis of gross energy [7].

**Exp. 2: AA digestibility of FVS**

Twelve crossbred barrows (Duroc × Landrace × Yorkshire) with IBW of 32.56 ± 1.67 kg were fitted with T-cannulas at the terminal ileum according to the method of Stein et al. [8]. Pigs were individually housed in stainless steel metabolism crates (1.4 m × 0.45 m × 0.6 m) located in a temperature-controlled room (23 ± 2 °C). All barrows were fed 1 of 2 diets in a completely randomized design with 6 replicated pigs per diet. The treatment diets included a N-free diet containing 76.8% corn starch and 12% sucrose, which was used to evaluate the basal ileal endogenous N and AAs losses [9], and an experimental diet based on corn starch (40.8%) and sucrose (12%) supplemented with 40% FVS as the only source of protein and AA (Table 2). In addition, 0.3% chromic oxide was included in all diets as an indigestible marker. Vitamins and minerals were supplemented in all diets to meet or exceed the recommended nutrient requirements for growing pigs in NRC [10]. All pigs were provided ad libitum access to water and the daily feed equivalent to 4% of their initial body weight, which was divided into two equal meals supplied at 08:00 and 16:00 h each day.

After a 15-d recovery postoperative period, all pigs were allowed a 7-d period to adapt to the environment and fed a commercial diet. Then pigs were fed the treatment diets for a 10-d period, including a 8-d dietary acclimation period and a 2-d digesta collection period, which lasted for 9 h daily beginning at 08:00 h [8]. On d 9 and 10, plastic bag was attached to the barrel of the cannula. The bags were removed whenever they were full of digesta and then stored at −20 °C to prevent bacterial degradation in the digesta. At the end of the collection period, all the samples were thawed, mixed by pig, subsampled, and lyophilized in a vacuum freeze dryer (Tofflon Freezing Drying Systems, Shanghai, China).

**Exp. 3: growth trial of FVS and sample collection**

Ninety growing pigs (Duroc × Landrace × Yorkshire) with IBW of 63.98 ± 6.89 kg were selected from a commercial herd, and then assigned to 3 treatments in a completely randomized design. Each treatment diet was fed to 6 replicated pens, with 5 pigs (3 barrows and 2 gilts or 2 barrows and 3 gilts) per pen. The treatment diets included a control diet and 2 experimental diets formulated by supplementing with 2.5% or 5% FVS, respectively (Table 3). All the diets were formulated based on the DE value estimated from Exp. 1, and the SID AAs concentration derived from Exp. 2. Experimental diets were carried out to meet the nutrient requirements for pigs in different phases recommended by NRC [10]. The DE, SID Lys, SID Met, SID Thr and SID Trp in all 3 diets were kept the same. All diets were supplied with 0.3% chromic oxide as an indigestible marker in the last 2 weeks of each phase.

All pigs were housed in pens with drinkers, feeders and slatted floors, and were given free access to water and feed. The environment temperature of the
Table 2: Ingredients and analyzed AA compositions of the experimental diets used in Exp. 1 and 2 (% as-fed basis)

| Item                        | Exp. 1  | Exp. 2  | Exp. 2  |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
|                             | Basal diet | FVS diet | FVS diet | N-free diet |
| Ingredients                 |         |         |         |             |
| Corn                        | 97.40   | 73.05   | –       | –           |
| Corn starch                 | –       | –       | 40.80   | 76.80       |
| Sucrose                     | –       | –       | 12.00   | 12.00       |
| Cellulose acetate           | –       | –       | –       | 4.00        |
| FVS\(^a\)                   | –       | 24.35   | 40.00   | –           |
| Soybean oil                 | –       | –       | 3.00    | 3.00        |
| Dicalcium phosphate         | 0.90    | 0.90    | 2.00    | 2.00        |
| Limestone                   | 0.90    | 0.90    | 0.80    | 0.80        |
| Sodium chloride             | 0.30    | 0.30    | 0.50    | 0.50        |
| Choline chloride            | –       | –       | 0.10    | 0.10        |
| Chromic oxide               | –       | –       | 0.30    | 0.30        |
| Vitamin and mineral premix\(^b\) | 0.50    | 0.50    | 0.50    | 0.50        |
| Analyzed nutrient levels    |         |         |         |             |
| Dry matter                  | 86.29   | 86.81   | 89.66   | 89.23       |
| Crude protein               | 7.52    | 7.95    | 6.01    | 0.76        |
| Organic matter              | 97.07   | 94.98   | 93.17   | 94.67       |
| Essential amino acids, g/kg |         |         |         |             |
| Lysine                      | –       | –       | 2.20    | 0.08        |
| Methionine                  | –       | –       | 0.50    | –           |
| Threonine                   | –       | –       | 2.00    | 0.19        |
| Tryptophan                  | –       | –       | 0.60    | –           |
| Valine                      | –       | –       | 1.70    | 0.27        |
| Leucine                     | –       | –       | 5.20    | 0.50        |
| Isoleucine                  | –       | –       | 1.40    | 0.06        |
| Phenylalanine               | –       | –       | 7.70    | 0.22        |
| Histidine                   | –       | –       | 0.80    | 0.17        |
| Arginine                    | –       | –       | 1.90    | 0.07        |
| Nonessential amino acids, g/kg |         |         |         |             |
| Tyrosine                    | –       | –       | 7.20    | 0.07        |
| Serine                      | –       | –       | 1.90    | 0.20        |
| Glutamic acid               | –       | –       | 7.10    | 0.69        |
| Proline                     | –       | –       | 1.90    | 0.29        |
| Glycine                     | –       | –       | 1.70    | 0.23        |
| Alanine                     | –       | –       | 2.40    | 0.28        |
| Cysteine                    | –       | –       | 0.50    | –           |
| Aspartic acid               | –       | –       | 3.70    | 0.26        |

\(^a\)FVS: *Flammulina velutipes* stem waste

\(^b\)Vitamin and mineral premix provided the following quantities of vitamins and minerals per kg of diet: vitamin A, 5512 IU; vitamin D\(_3\), 2200 IU; vitamin E, 30 IU; vitamin K\(_1\), 2.2 mg; vitamin B\(_2\), 27.6 μg; riboflavin, 4 mg; D-pantothenic acid, 14 mg; folic acid, 0.7 mg; thiamine, 1.5 mg; pyridoxine, 3 mg; biotin, 44 μg; Mn 40 mg (as manganese oxide); Fe, 75 mg (as iron sulfate); Zn, 75 mg (as zinc oxide); Cu, 100 mg (as copper sulfate); I, 0.35 mg (as potassium iodide) and Se, 0.3 mg (as sodium selenite)
The barn was controlled between 25 and 29 °C, and relative humidity was controlled at 60–70%. The experiment lasted for 9 weeks, including 3 phases: growing phase (d 1 to 17), growing-finishing phase (d 18 to 37) and finishing phase (d 38 to 63). Pigs and feed were weighed at the beginning of the experiment (d 1), and the end of each phase (d 17, 37 and 63) to determine average daily gain (ADG), average daily feed intake (ADFI) and gain to feed ratio (G/F).

The blood and fecal samples were acquired as described by Zhao et al. [11]. From d 16 to 17, d 36 to 37 and d 62 to 63, approximately 100 g of fresh feces was collected from each pen for 2 d, and then the fecal

### Table 3 Ingredients and calculated nutrient levels of the experimental diets used in Exp. 3 (% as-fed basis)a

| Item | Growing phase: 50 to 75 kg | Growing-finishing phase: 75 to 100 kg | Finishing phase: 100 to 135 kg |
|------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|      | Control | 2.5% FVS | 5% FVS | Control | 2.5% FVS | 5% FVS | Control | 2.5% FVS | 5% FVS |
| Corn | 77.89   | 74.24    | 70.65  | 78.74   | 75.08    | 71.35  | 79.49   | 75.86    | 72.24  |
| Soybean meal | 18.00   | 18.00    | 18.00  | 18.00   | 18.00    | 18.00  | 18.00   | 18.00    | 18.00  |
| FVS  | –       | 2.50     | 5.00   | –       | 2.50     | 5.00   | –       | 2.50     | 5.00   |
| Soybean oil | 0.58    | 1.74     | 2.86   | 0.24    | 1.40     | 2.60   | –       | 1.14     | 2.30   |
| Dicalcium phosphate | 1.18    | 1.18     | 1.18   | 0.95    | 0.95     | 0.95   | 0.66    | 0.66     | 0.66   |
| Limestone | 0.73    | 0.69     | 0.66   | 0.70    | 0.70     | 0.70   | 0.67    | 0.65     | 0.60   |
| Sodium chloride | 0.35    | 0.35     | 0.35   | 0.35    | 0.35     | 0.35   | 0.35    | 0.35     | 0.35   |
| L-Lysine·HCl | 0.34    | 0.35     | 0.35   | 0.19    | 0.19     | 0.20   | 0.03    | 0.04     | 0.04   |
| DL-Methionine | 0.02    | 0.03     | 0.03   | –       | –        | 0.01   | –       | –        | 0.01   |
| L-Threonine | 0.09    | 0.10     | 0.10   | 0.03    | 0.03     | 0.04   | –       | –        | –      |
| L-Tryptophan | 0.02    | 0.02     | 0.02   | –       | –        | –      | –       | –        | –      |
| Chromic oxide | 0.30    | 0.30     | 0.30   | 0.30    | 0.30     | 0.30   | 0.30    | 0.30     | 0.30   |
| Vitamin mineral premix b | 0.05   | 0.05     | 0.05   | 0.05    | 0.05     | 0.05   | 0.05    | 0.05     | 0.05   |

### Analyzed nutrient levels

|               | Growing phase: 50 to 75 kg | Growing-finishing phase: 75 to 100 kg | Finishing phase: 100 to 135 kg |
|---------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Dry matter    | 87.04 | 86.89 | 87.41 | 86.68 | 86.88 | 87.16 | 86.68 | 86.88 | 86.87 |
| Crude protein | 13.70 | 14.08 | 13.89 | 13.40 | 13.49 | 11.18 | 11.92 | 13.28 | 13.85 |
| Gross energy, MJ/kg | 16.03 | 16.29 | 16.56 | 15.90 | 16.20 | 16.45 | 15.87 | 16.13 | 16.37 |
| Ash | 4.20 | 4.36 | 4.49 | 3.84 | 4.14 | 4.48 | 3.75 | 3.78 | 4.43 |
| Ether extract | 2.08 | 3.63 | 4.29 | 2.55 | 4.27 | 4.00 | 3.19 | 3.70 | 3.77 |
| Neutral detergent fiber | 10.99 | 11.17 | 13.55 | 11.47 | 10.26 | 11.86 | 10.42 | 12.33 | 13.17 |
| Acid detergent fiber | 3.64 | 4.27 | 5.41 | 3.85 | 4.05 | 5.08 | 3.65 | 4.40 | 4.69 |

### Calculated nutrient levels c

| ME, MJ/kg | Growing phase: 50 to 75 kg | Growing-finishing phase: 75 to 100 kg | Finishing phase: 100 to 135 kg |
|-----------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 14.05     | 14.04 | 14.03 | 14.05 | 14.04 | 14.03 | 14.07 | 14.05 | 14.05 |
| 0.85      | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.61 |
| 0.24      | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 |
| 0.52      | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.43 |
| 0.15      | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| 0.99      | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.98 |
| 0.06      | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| 3.55      | 3.48 | 3.52 | 3.32 | 3.36 | 3.29 | 2.75 | 2.81 | 2.72 |
| 1.63      | 1.62 | 1.63 | 1.58 | 1.59 | 1.58 | 1.40 | 1.42 | 1.43 |
| 0.55      | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.48 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.45 | 0.47 | 0.45 |

aCP Crude protein, FVS Flammulina velutipes stem waste, Lys Lysine, ME Metabolizable energy, Met Methionine, SID Standardized ileal digestible, Thr Threonine, Trp Tryptophan

Vitamin mineral premix provided the following quantities per kg of diet: vitamin A, 6000 IU; vitamin D3, 2400 IU; vitamin E, 20 IU; vitamin K3, 2 mg; vitamin B12, 2 mg; vitamin B1, 0.96 mg; vitamin B2, 4 mg; vitamin B6, 2 mg; vitamin B12, 12 μg; pantothenic acid, 11.2 mg; niacin, 22 mg; choline chloride, 80 mg; folacin, 0.4 mg; biotin, 40 μg; Mn, 12 mg (MnO); Fe, 76 mg (FeSO4·H2O); Zn, 76 mg (ZnO); Cu, 120 mg (CuSO4·5H2O); I, 0.24 mg (KI); Se, 0.40 mg (Na2SeO3)

cThese values were calculated from data provided in Exp. 1 and 2
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samples were immediately stored at −20 °C. All the samples were pooled by pen and then dried at 65 °C for 72 h. Fresh feces were collected directly from a rectum on d 17, d 37 and d 63, and immediately stored in liquid nitrogen until analysis for SCFAs.

Blood samples were collected by venepuncture in the morning (08:00) of d 17, d 37 and d 63 after overnight fasting, and then were injected into a 10-mL vacuum tube. After centrifugation at 3000 × g for 15 min (4 °C), the serum samples were harvested and stored at −20 °C for further biochemical analyses. All samples were collected from pigs with the closest body weight (BW) to average BW of each pen.

At the end of the trial, 12 pigs close to the average BW from each pen were selected (2 gilts and 2 barrows per treatment). After 12 h fasting, the pigs were electronically stunned (250 V, 0.5 A, for 5-6 s), exsanguinated and eviscerated by the standard commercial procedure.

After the pigs were killed, the gastrointestinal tract of each pig was ligated, jejunal mucosa were scraped by a glass slide and then stored in liquid nitrogen until western blot analyses were done. Then, duodenal, jejunal and ileal samples of approximately 3 cm in length were stored in paraformaldehyde solution (4%) for a microscopic assessment of the mucosal morphology. Finally, colonic and caecal digesta were collected and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen for later microbial population determination.

About 10 g of longissimus dorsi muscle (LDM) on the left half of each carcass were sampled and then stored at −20 °C for measurements of intramuscular fat concentration, amino acid profile and fatty acids profile.

**Chemical analysis**

All the diets, feces and digesta samples collected in animal trials were ground to pass through a 1-mm screen and mixed thoroughly before chemical analysis. All the diets, feces and digesta samples were analyzed for crude protein (CP, procedure 984.13; AOAC) [12], dry matter (DM, procedure 930.15; AOAC) [12], ether extract (EE, procedure 920.39; AOAC) [12], ash (procedure 942.05; AOAC) [12], neutral detergent fiber (NDF) and acid detergent fiber (ADF) [13]. The concentrations of NDF and ADF were analyzed using fiber bags and fiber analyzer equipment (200, Ankom Technology Corp., Macedon, NY, USA). In addition, all the diets, feces, and urine samples collected were analyzed for gross energy (GE) using an Oxygen Bomb Calorimeter (6300, Parr Instruments, Moline, USA). In Exp. 2, the 18 AA concentrations in diets, feces and digesta samples were determined following the standard methods in AOAC. Specifically, with the exception of methionine, cysteine and tryptophan, the AA concentrations were determined after hydrolysis with 6 mol/L HCl at 110 °C for 24 h using an AA analyzer (Hitachi L-8900, Tokyo, Japan).

Methionine and cysteine were determined as methionine sulphone and cysteic acid using an AA analyzer (Hitachi L-8900, Tokyo, Japan) after cold performic acid oxidation overnight and hydrolyzing with 7.5 mol/L HCl at 110 °C for 24 h. Tryptophan was determined using High Performance Liquid Chromatography (Agilent 1200 Series, Santa Clara, CA, USA) after LiOH hydrolysis for 22 h at 110 °C. The chromium concentration in diets used in Exp. 2 and ileal digesta were determined using a polarized Zeeman Atomic Absorption Spectrometer (Hitachi Z2000, Tokyo, Japan) after nitric acid-perchloric acid wet ash sample preparation according to the procedure of Williams et al. [14]. In Exp. 3, fresh fecal samples were thawed at 4 °C and processed according to the procedure of Wu et al. [15], and then the concentrations of SCFA were determined via ion chromatography (ICS 3000, Thermo Crop., CA, USA).

**Biochemical index assay in serum**

The concentrations of serum immunoglobulin A (IgA), immunoglobulin G (IgG) and immunoglobulin (IgM) were determined using the ELISA test kits (immunoglobulins quantitation kit; Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China). The levels of antioxidant parameters including superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px), total antioxidant capacity (T-AOC) and malondialdehyde (MDA) were determined using assay kits following the manufacturer’s instructions (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China). The interleukin-2 (IL-2) concentration was determined using commercially available porcine ELISA kits (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China). The levels of low-density lipoprotein (LDL), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), growth hormone (GH), triglyceride (TG) and total cholesterol (TC) in serum were determined using automatic biochemical analyzer (7170, Hitachi Corp., Tokyo, Japan) with corresponding kits (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China).

**Carcass characteristics determination**

After slaughter, hot carcass weight was recorded immediately, and the dressing percentage of the individual pig was calculated through dividing the carcass weight by the live body weight. Carcass straight length was measured from the first rib to the end of the public bone. Carcass oblique length was measured between the first rib and sternum and the pubic symphysis midline [16]. Backfat thickness and loin-eye area at the 10th rib was measured according to the Chinese Guidelines on Performance Measurement Technology and Regulations for Pigs [17]. Briefly, backfat thickness was measured using a vernier caliper, and three points were recorded: the first rib, last rib and last lumbar vertebra. Loin eye height and width were measured, and then the loin eye
area was calculated following the equation: loin eye area (cm²) = 0.7 × loin eye height (cm) × loin eye width (cm).

Meat quality assessment
The LDM on the left half of each carcass between the 10th and 12th ribs was sampled for further assessment on meat quality, including the following parameters: the muscle color, pH, shear force, drip loss, cooking loss and marbling score. Meet color, including L*, a* (lightness), b* (redness) and ∆b* (yellowness) was measured three times at 24 h postmortem using a tristimulus colorimeter (CR-410, Konica minolta, Tokyo, Japan). At 45 min postmortem, an incision was made on the LDM and initial muscle pH_{45min} was measured with a glass penetration pH electrode (pH-star, DK 2730, Herlev, Denmark), and the pH_{24h} was detected 24 h postmortem in the chilling room (4 °C). The shear force of LDM was measured according to the procedures described by Ciobanu et al. [18]. Specifically, each sample was previously cooked in a water bath at 70 °C for 20 min, and then ten cylindrical samples (10 mm diameter × 10 mm length) were obtained from cutting the meat parallel to the fiber orientation, and determined by cutting the sample vertically to the myofiber axis using a digital-display-muscle tenderness meter (C-LM3B, Tenovo, Harbin, China). Drip loss was measured as described previously by Straadt et al. [19]. Briefly, approximately 30 g of meat slice was hung in a plastic bag at 4 °C for 24 h, and the meat was kept out of contact with the bag. Drip loss was calculated as a percentage of the amount of drip compared to the initial weight. Lastly, marbling score of LDM was determined according to the NPPC Guidelines [20], in which a 1 to 10-point scale (1 = devoid, 10 = abundant) was applied to evaluate the subjective marbling score.

Cooking procedures were according to Aaslyng et al. [21]: Each steak was weighed alone just before placing them in bags, then put them in oven at 80 °C. When the temperature reached 80 °C in the center of each steak, the roast ras removed from the oven, and the liquid in the bag was removed. The processed roast was weighed, then the cooking loss was calculated.

Intramuscular fat, amino acids and fatty acids profile of LDM
About 20 g of each meat sample was cut into thin slices (2 to 3 mm), weighted in an aluminum box, and then put into a vacuum frozen dryer (Tofflon Freezing Drying Systems, Shanghai, China) to freeze-dry for 72 h. Lyophilized meat was subsequently crushed into powder, and the intramuscular fat concentration was measured by Soxhlet petroleum ether extraction (XT15 Extractor, Ankom Technology Corp., Macedon, NY, USA) as described by Zhang et al. [22]. Moreover, the AA concentrations in LDM samples were determined using the same procedure as determining the AA concentrations in samples of Exp. 2. The fatty acids profile was determined using classical gas chromatography (6890 series, Agilent Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA) as described by Sukhija et al. [23].

Western blot assay
Western blot assay was conducted to determine the relative expression of occludin, zonula occludens-1 (ZO-1) and claudin-1 in ileal samples of the individual pigs (n = 3) following the procedures described by Kansagra et al. [24] and Zhang et al. [25]. Briefly, frozen ileal mucosal tissues were homogenized in 2 mL protein lysis buffer, and the protein concentration of the supernatant fractions was quantified by a bicinchoninic acid protein assay kit (02912E, Cowin Biotech Co., Ltd., Beijing, China). Then equal protein amounts were separated on SDS-PAGE gels, and separated proteins were transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes. After blocking in tris-buffered saline (containing 3% non-fat dry milk), blots were incubated overnight at 4 °C with specific primary antibodies, including rabbit polyclonal anti zonula occludens-1 (NB1–85047, Novus Biologicals, CO, USA), rabbit polyclonal anti occludin (Ab216327, Abcam company, UK) and rabbit polyclonal anti claudin-1 (NB1–77036, Novus Biologicals, CO, USA). After three times washes with tris-buffered saline (containing 0.1% Tween 20), blots were reacted for 1 h with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (goat anti rabbit IgG, HRP 111–035-003, Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc. PA, USA), washed, and incubated in detection reagent, and the images were captured. Blots were also probed with anti-actin antibodies.

Duodenal, jejunal and ileal morphology
The duodenal, jejunal and ileal samples were douched with physiologic saline and then stored in parafomaldehyde solution (4%). The preserved segments were prepared after staining with hematoxylin-eosin (HE) solution according to the standard paraffin-embedding procedures. Morphometric variables, including villus height and crypt depth were measured with an image processing and analysis system (Image Pro Plus 7.0, Media Cybernetics Inc., Bethesda, MD, USA), and then the V/C was calculated.

Bacterial DNA extraction, PCR amplification, and Illumina MiSeq sequencing
Microbial community genomic DNA was extracted from colon and cecum digesta using the Fast DNA® Spin Kit (MP Biomedicals, Irvine, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s recommendations. The bacterial DNA extract was checked using 1% agarose gel, and DNA concentration and purity were determined with UV-vis spectrophotometer (NanoDrop 2000, Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, USA). The V3 hypervariable region of 16S rRNA gene were amplified with primer pairs 338F (5’ – ACTCCTACGGAGGCAGCAG – 3’), 806R (5’ –
GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT - 3’ by a PCR thermocycler (GeneAmp 9700, ABI, CA, USA). The PCR amplification conditions included: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 3 min, followed by 27 cycles of denaturing at 95 °C for 30 s, and then annealing at 55 °C for 30 s, extension at 72 °C for 45 s, single extension at 72 °C for 10 min, and ended at 4 °C. The PCR product was excised from 2% agarose gel and purified by AxyPrep DNA Gel Extraction Kit (Axygen Biosciences, Union City, CA, USA). Purified amplicons were pooled in equimolar and paired-end sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq platform (Illumina Company, San Diego, USA) following the standard protocols. Sequences with an average quality score lower than 20, the truncated reads shorter than 50 bp, and containing ambiguous bases were discarded. Only overlapping sequences longer than 10 bp were assembled according to their overlap. Subsequently, data of the sequences was conducted for the cluster analysis of operational taxonomic units via Usearch (Version 8.1.1861, http://www.drive5.com/usearch) and Qiime (Version 1.8, http://qiime.org) [26].

Calculation

In Exp. 1, the DE and ME values in FVS was calculated based on the difference method and following the equation described by Adeola [27]: DE (MJ/kg, as-fed basis) = [(GE1 – GEf) / DMf]; ME (MJ/kg, as-fed basis) = [(GE1 – GEf) / DMf], where GE1, GEf, GEU and DMf are gross energy intake, output in feces, output in urine, and matter intake respectively. The ATTD of GE, CP, DM, OM, EE, NDF and ADF in FVS were calculated according to the equation obtained from Kong et al. [5]:

\[
D_{ii} = SD_{i} \times \frac{1 - P_{ii}}{P_{ii}}
\]

where \( D_{ii} \), \( SD_{i} \) and \( D_{out} \) are the concentration of the nutrients in the test ingredient, test diet and basal diet, respectively, and \( P_{ii} \) is the proportion of the test ingredient in the experimental diet.

In Exp. 2, the AID of AAs of FVS was calculated using the following the equation described by Stein et al. [28]:

\[
AID(\%) = \frac{\left[(\text{AA}_{\text{digesta}}/\text{AA}_{\text{diet}}) \times (\text{Cr}_{\text{diet}}/\text{Cr}_{\text{digesta}}) \times 100\right]}{\left[(\text{AA}_{\text{end}}/\text{AA}_{\text{end}}) \times (\text{CC}_{\text{out}}/\text{CC}_{\text{in}}) \times 100\right]}
\]

where \( \text{AA}_{\text{digesta}} \) and \( \text{Cr}_{\text{digesta}} \) are the concentrations of AA and chromium in the digesta, respectively, and \( \text{AA}_{\text{diet}} \) and \( \text{Cr}_{\text{diet}} \) are the concentrations of AA and chromium in the experimental diet, respectively. The basal ileal endogenous loss of each AA (\( \text{AA}_{\text{end}} \)) was calculated following the equation: \( \text{AA}_{\text{end}} = \left[(\text{AA}_{\text{digesta}}) \times (\text{Cr}_{\text{diet}}/\text{Cr}_{\text{digesta}})\right] \). The SID of AAs of FVS was calculated using the following equation: SID (\( \% \)) = \( \left[AID + (\text{AA}_{\text{end}}/\text{AA}_{\text{end}}) \times 100\right] \).

In Exp. 3, the ATTD of nutrients was calculated according to the equation described by Kong et al. [5]:

\[
\text{ATTD}(\%) = 100 - \left[(\text{CI}_{\text{in}} \times \text{CC}_{\text{out}})/\left(\text{CI}_{\text{out}} \times \text{CC}_{\text{in}}\right)\right] \times 100,
\]

in which \( \text{CI}_{\text{in}} \) and \( \text{CI}_{\text{out}} \) are the concentrations of chronic oxide in diets and feces, respectively, and \( \text{CC}_{\text{in}} \) and \( \text{CC}_{\text{out}} \) are the nutrient contents in diets and feces, respectively.

Statistical analysis

Data of growth performance, nutrient digestibility, SCFA concentrations, serum biochemical indices, carcass traits, meat quality, intestinal morphological indexes and microflora data were checked for normality and outliers using the PROC UNIVERIATE procedure of SAS 9.2 (SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Outliers were identified using cook’s distance and abandoned when analyzing data. Then data were analyzed using the PROC GLM procedure of SAS. The treatment diet was the only fixed effect and each pig was treated as the experimental unit (for growth performance data, each pen was treated as the experimental unit). The LSMEANS statement was used to separate treatment means, with Tukey’s test for adjustment. Significant differences were declared at \( P < 0.05 \).

Results

Energy concentration and AA digestibility of FVS

The DE and ME values of FVS were 4.11 and 3.64 MJ/kg (as-fed basis), respectively. The ATTD of DM, OM, GE and CP in FVS were 73.3%, 77.2%, 71.5%, and 61.4%, respectively, while the ATTD of NDF and ADF in FVS were 36.4% and 32.2%, respectively (Table 4). The AID and SID values of the indispensable AAs and CP in FVS ranged from 14.9% to 59.0% and 17.50% to 59.47%, respectively (Table 5).

Growth performance and apparent total tract digestibility (ATTD) of nutrients of pigs fed FVS diets

Pigs at 50 to 75 kg fed a diet with 5% FVS revealed markedly decreased ADG \( (P < 0.01) \), ADFI \( (P < 0.01) \) and ATTD of OM \( (P = 0.04) \) compared with the control diet and 2.5% FVS diet (Tables 6 and 7). ATTD of GE \( (P < 0.05) \) were higher in pigs fed a diet with 2.5% FVS than those fed the 5% FVS diet. Pigs fed diet with FVS enhanced ATTD of EE \( (P < 0.01) \), NDF \( (P < 0.01) \) and ADF \( (P < 0.01) \) compared with pigs fed the control diet. However, there was no difference in G/F \( (P = 0.99) \) during this period.

Dietary FVS inclusion decreased the ADFI \( (P = 0.01) \) in pigs at 75 to 100 kg. Apparent total tract digestibility of DM \( (P = 0.01) \), OM \( (P = 0.02) \), GE \( (P = 0.01) \) and CP \( (P < 0.01) \) were less with 5% dietary FVS inclusion compared with control and 2.5% FVS diet. The ATTD of EE in pigs fed diet with 2.5% FVS was higher \( (P < 0.01) \) compared with the control diet and 5% FVS diet during this phase. In addition, no significant changes on ADG and G/F was noted during this period.

For pigs at 100 to 135 kg, there were no effect of dietary FVS inclusion on ADG \( (P = 0.32) \), ADFI \( (P = 0.13) \), G/F \( (P = 0.39) \), and ATTD of CP \( (P = 0.71) \) and ADF
Moreover, compared with the control diet, the concentration of butyrate (P < 0.01) was increased (P = 0.02) and unchanged ADG (P = 0.26) compared to pigs fed the control diet.

Short chain fatty acid (SCFA) concentration in fresh feces
The concentrations of acetate (P < 0.01), formate (P < 0.01), butyrate (P < 0.01), isovalerate (P < 0.01) and total SCFA (P < 0.01) in feces of pigs consumed the FVS diet were elevated on d 17 compared with those fed the control diet (Table 8). The propionate (P = 0.01) concentration of pigs fed the 5% FVS diet and valerate (P = 0.02) concentration of pigs fed the 2.5% FVS diet were higher than the other two treatments on d 17.

On d 37, pigs fed the 5% FVS diet showed increased concentrations of acetate (P < 0.01) and propionate (P < 0.01) compared with the control and the 2.5% FVS diet, increased concentration of isobutyrate (P = 0.04) compared with the control diet, and decreased concentration of butyrate (P < 0.01) compared with the 2.5% FVS diet. Moreover, compared with the control diet, the concentration of formate (P < 0.01), butyrate (P < 0.01), isovalerate (P < 0.01) and total SCFA (P < 0.01) on d 37 and the concentration of lactate (P = 0.04), formate (P = 0.03), butyrate (P < 0.01) and total SCFA (P = 0.02) on d 63 all markedly increased with the inclusion of dietary FVS.

Serum biochemical profiles
As shown in Table 9, no significant changes on concentrations of IgA, IgG, IgM, SOD, MDA, IL-2, HDL, LDL, TC, TG and GH in serum of pigs fed the FVS diet were observed relative to the control diet in all phases. However, dietary FVS inclusion improved the GSH-Px activity in d 17 (P < 0.01) and d 37 (P = 0.01), and also increased (P = 0.03) the T-AOC level in d 63.

Carcass characteristics and meat quality
Pigs fed the 5% FVS diet revealed decrease in backfat thickness (P = 0.03; Table 10) and tended to decrease the dressing percentage (P = 0.06) compared with those fed the control diet. The other carcass traits including the hot carcass weight, carcass length and loin-eye area, and the meat quality including meat color, pH, shear force, and meat tenderness were unchanged among all treatments.

Table 4 Apparent total tract digestibility of nutrients and available energy concentration (MJ/kg) in Flammulina velutipes stem waste (Exp. 1) \(^a\)

| Item                                | FVS |
|-------------------------------------|-----|
| Apparent total tract digestibility, % |     |
| Dry matter                          | 73.3|
| Gross energy                        | 71.5|
| Crude protein                       | 61.4|
| Organic matter                      | 77.2|
| Neutral detergent fiber             | 36.4|
| Acid detergent fiber                | 32.2|
| Available energy, MJ/kg, as-fed basis |   |
| Gross energy                        | 15.88|
| Digestible energy                   | 4.11 |
| Metabolizable energy                | 3.64 |
| Available energy, MJ/kg, dry matter basis | |
| Gross energy                        | 17.70|
| Digestible energy                   | 4.58 |
| Metabolizable energy                | 4.06 |

\(^a\)Values are the means of 6 observations. FVS Flammulina velutipes stem waste

(P = 0.11). However, decreased ATTD of DM (P < 0.01), OM (P < 0.01), GE (P = 0.01) and EE (P < 0.01) and increased ATTD of NDF (P < 0.01) was observed in pigs fed the FVS diets. During the overall growing-finishing phase, pigs consumed the 5% FVS diet showed markedly decreased ADFI (P = 0.03), increased G/F (P = 0.02) and unchanged ADG (P = 0.26) compared to pigs fed the control diet.

Table 5 Apparent ileal digestibility and standardized ileal digestibility of crude protein and amino acids in Flammulina velutipes stem waste (%), dry-matter basis, Exp. 2 \(^a\)

| Item                                | AID value | SID value |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Crude protein                       | 25.4      | 48.7      |
| Indispensable amino acids           |           |           |
| Lysine                              | 19.0      | 21.3      |
| Methionine                          | 38.7      | 41.0      |
| Threonine                           | 24.5      | 28.5      |
| Tryptophan                          | 37.9      | 44.1      |
| Valine                              | 30.9      | 34.4      |
| Leucine                             | 44.8      | 46.0      |
| Isoleucine                          | 14.9      | 17.5      |
| Phenylalanine                       | 59.0      | 59.5      |
| Histidine                           | 26.7      | 29.9      |
| Arginine                            | 47.9      | 50.8      |
| Dispensable amino acids             |           |           |
| Tyrosine                            | 56.6      | 56.8      |
| Serine                              | 26.0      | 29.5      |
| Glutamic acid                       | 59.1      | 60.5      |
| Proline                             | -201.6    | -195.5    |
| Glycine                             | -28.5     | -19.7     |
| Alanine                             | 53.9      | 56.4      |
| Cysteine                            | -13.4     | -7.2      |
| Aspartic acid                       | 35.6      | 38.1      |

\(^a\)AID Apparent ileal digestibility, SID Standardized ileal digestibility. AID values of crude protein and amino acids were calculated using the exogenous indicator method, and values for SID were calculated by correcting the AID values with the basal endogenous losses. In the current study, the averaged basal endogenous losses for crude protein, lysine, methionine, threonine, tryptophan, valine, leucine, isoleucine, phenylalanine, histidine, arginine, tyrosine, serine, glutamic acid, proline, glycine, alanine, cystine and aspartic acid measured through animal trials were 14.00, 0.50, 0.12, 0.81, 0.37, 0.60, 0.63, 0.36, 0.26, 0.54, 0.16, 0.66, 0.90, 1.16, 1.50, 0.60, 0.31 and 0.90 g/kg dry matter intake, respectively, all within the reseanable ranges reported previously [29].
drip loss, cooking loss, marbling score and intramuscular fat were not influenced by dietary FVS inclusion.

Amino acids and fatty acids profiles in the LDM
Diets supplemented with FVS did not change the AA concentrations in LDM, but 5% dietary FVS addition increased the concentrations of alpha-linolenic acid ($P = 0.02$) and $\Sigma$ n-3 PUFA ($P = 0.02$) as well as the PUFA / SFA ratio ($P = 0.03$) in LDM compared with control diet and 2.5% FVS diet (Tables 11 and 12). In addition, the ratio of n-6/n-3 was markedly decreased ($P = 0.02$) in LDM of pigs fed the FVS diets.

Western blot assay
Dietary FVS inclusion increased the protein expression of occluding ($P < 0.01$), ZO-1 ($P < 0.01$) and claudin-1 ($P < 0.01$) in the ileal mucosal tissues compared with the control diet (Fig. 1).

Intestinal morphological indexes
Diets supplemented with 2.5% FVS increased ($P < 0.01$) the ratio of V/C in jejunum in comparison with the control and 5% FVS diets (Table 13 and Fig. 2).

### Table 6 Effects of dietary *Flammulina velutipes* stem waste inclusion on growth performance of growing-finishing pigs (Exp. 3)

| Item                  | Control | 2.5% FVS | 5% FVS | SEM | $P$-value |
|-----------------------|---------|----------|--------|-----|-----------|
| BW 0, kg              | 63.9    | 64.0     | 64.0   | 0.4 | 0.970     |
| Body weight 50 to 75 kg|         |          |        |     |           |
| ADG, g                | 987.5$^a$| 930.2$^a$| 834.9$^{b}$| 27.3| 0.009     |
| ADFI, g               | 2479.6$^a$| 2347.9$^{b}$| 2113.5$^{b}$| 51.7| 0.002     |
| G/F                   | 0.40    | 0.40     | 0.40   | 0.01| 0.987     |
| Body weight 75 to 100 kg|       |          |        |     |           |
| ADG, g                | 954.0   | 873.2    | 895.3  | 23.7| 0.089     |
| ADFI, g               | 2990.7$^{a}$| 2792.8$^{b}$| 2724.4$^{b}$| 53.5| 0.014     |
| G/F                   | 0.32    | 0.31     | 0.33   | 0.01| 0.190     |
| Body weight 100 to 135 kg|      |          |        |     |           |
| ADG, g                | 823.5   | 885.4    | 864.6  | 33.5| 0.322     |
| ADFI, g               | 2914.4  | 2918.7   | 2827.7 | 85.0| 0.134     |
| G/F                   | 0.28    | 0.30     | 0.31   | 0.01| 0.386     |
| Overall growing-finishing phase | | | | |
| ADG, g                | 909.2   | 893.6    | 866.4  | 17.9| 0.259     |
| ADFI, g               | 2821.3$^{a}$| 2724.7$^{ab}$| 2602.2$^{b}$| 55.1| 0.025     |
| G/F                   | 0.32$^{b}$ | 0.33$^{ab}$| 0.34$^{b}$ | 0.01| 0.024     |

*Least squares means within different superscripts differ ($P<0.05$)

ADFI Average daily feed intake, ADG Average daily gain, BW Body weight, FVS *Flammulina velutipes* stem waste, G/F Gain to feed ratio, SEM Standard error of the mean

### Table 7 Effects of dietary *Flammulina velutipes* stem waste inclusion on apparent total tract digestibility of nutrients of growing-finishing pigs (%; Exp. 3)

| Item                  | Control | 2.5% FVS | 5% FVS | SEM | $P$-value |
|-----------------------|---------|----------|--------|-----|-----------|
| Body weight 50 to 75 kg|         |          |        |     |           |
| Dry matter            | 83.6    | 84.0     | 82.2   | 0.5 | 0.067     |
| Organic matter        | 87.1$^{a}$ | 87.3$^{a}$ | 85.7$^{b}$ | 0.4 | 0.035     |
| Gross energy          | 83.6$^{ab}$ | 84.2$^{a}$ | 82.2$^{b}$ | 0.5 | 0.048     |
| Crude protein         | 74.4    | 75.2     | 72.2   | 0.8 | 0.066     |
| Ether extract         | 160$^{b}$ | 50.8$^{a}$ | 49.6$^{a}$ | 2.8 | <0.001    |
| Neutral detergent fiber| 45.2$^{b}$ | 56.6$^{a}$ | 62.9$^{a}$ | 2.3 | 0.001     |
| Acid detergent fiber  | 36.8$^{b}$ | 55.4$^{a}$ | 61.9$^{a}$ | 2.4 | <0.001    |
| Body weight 75 to 100 kg|       |          |        |     |           |
| Dry matter            | 85.2$^{a}$ | 84.9$^{a}$ | 82.2$^{b}$ | 0.6 | 0.010     |
| Organic matter        | 88.3$^{a}$ | 88.1$^{a}$ | 85.8$^{b}$ | 0.5 | 0.015     |
| Gross energy          | 85.1$^{a}$ | 85.2$^{a}$ | 82.3$^{b}$ | 0.6 | 0.014     |
| Crude protein         | 78.4$^{a}$ | 77.7$^{a}$ | 68.7$^{b}$ | 1.4 | 0.001     |
| Ether extract         | 34.4$^{a}$ | 61.4$^{a}$ | 49.4$^{a}$ | 1.6 | <0.001    |
| Neutral detergent fiber| 55.9    | 52.9     | 55.1   | 2.7 | 0.728     |
| Acid detergent fiber  | 53.0    | 55.8     | 57.7   | 3.2 | 0.594     |
| Body weight 100 to 135 kg|      |          |        |     |           |
| Dry matter            | 86.6$^{a}$ | 85.1$^{b}$ | 85.1$^{b}$ | 0.3 | 0.003     |
| Organic matter        | 89.5$^{a}$ | 88.2$^{b}$ | 88.4$^{b}$ | 0.2 | 0.003     |
| Gross energy          | 86.3$^{a}$ | 84.9$^{b}$ | 85.0$^{b}$ | 0.3 | 0.009     |
| Crude protein         | 78.1    | 78.9     | 78.3   | 0.7 | 0.709     |
| Ether extract         | 88.4$^{a}$ | 51.1$^{b}$ | 51.1$^{b}$ | 1.2 | <0.001    |
| Neutral detergent fiber| 57.8$^{c}$ | 61.9$^{b}$ | 66.8$^{a}$ | 1.2 | <0.001    |
| Acid detergent fiber  | 59.3    | 61.9     | 63.0   | 1.1 | 0.111     |

*Least squares means within different superscripts differ ($P<0.05$). FVS *Flammulina velutipes* stem waste, SEM Standard error of the mean

Intestinal microbiome composition
Diets with 2.5% and 5% FVS addition strikingly improved the microbiota diversity compared with the control diet in caecum ($P = 0.03$) and colon ($P = 0.01$), respectively (Fig. 3). There was no difference on microbial populations between different diets in caecum of finishing pigs. However, in the colon, a decrease in the *Clostridium-sensu-stricto-1* populations ($P = 0.02$), and an increase in the *Lactobacillus* ($P = 0.02$), *Rikenellaceae-RC9-gut-group* ($P < 0.05$) and *Ruminococcaceae-UCG-002* ($P < 0.05$) populations (Fig. 4) were found in pigs fed FVS diet compared with those fed the control diet.

Discussion
Available energy values and amino acid digestibility
The NDF and ADF concentrations of FVS were greater than those of corn bran (NDF: 32.96%; ADF: 9.23%) and wheat bran (NDF: 32.28%; ADF: 11.00%), but much less than those of soybean hulls (NDF: 59.39%; ADF:...
41.55%), indicating that FVS is a fibrous ingredient. The DE and ME values in FVS were less than some other fibrous ingredients, such as wheat bran (DE: 10.13 MJ/kg, ME: 9.70 MJ/kg), and soybean hulls (DE: 8.40 MJ/kg, ME: 8.11 MJ/kg) [10]. Moreover, the digestibility of CP and AAs of FVS were also much less than other fibrous ingredients, such as wheat bran (AID of CP: 69%, AID of AAs: range from 51% to 84%, SID of CP: 78%, SID of AAs: range from 56% to 90%), and soybean hulls (AID of CP: 44%, AID of AAs: range from 34% to 74%, SID of AAs: range from 54% to 82%) [10].

| Item          | Control  | 2.5% FVS | 5% FVS | SEM | P-value |
|---------------|----------|----------|--------|-----|---------|
| Lactate       | 224      | 698      | 110.1  | 25.6| 0.099   |
| Acetate       | 3941.0   | 4790.8   | 5353.8 |    | <0.001 |
| Propionate    | 3069.6   | 3219.2   | 4021.2 | 188.3| 0.011   |
| Formate       | 73.4     | 161.9    | 165.3  | 16.8| 0.005   |
| Isobutyrate   | 2348.8   | 2285.4   | 2533.2 | 306.1| 0.841   |
| Butyrate      | 67.6     | 278.2    | 351.3  | 49.2| 0.006   |
| Isovalerate   | 175.3    | 387.6    | 392.2  | 35.1| 0.002   |
| Valerate      | 355.8    | 721.8    | 305.4  | 90.6| 0.017   |
| Total         | 10,055   | 11,914.6 | 13,232.4| 476.4| 0.003   |

**Table 8 Effects of dietary Flammulina velutipes stem waste inclusion on short chain fatty acid concentration measured in fresh feces of pigs in different growing-finishing phases (mg/kg) (Exp. 2)**

| Item          | Control  | 2.5% FVS | 5% FVS | SEM | P-value |
|---------------|----------|----------|--------|-----|---------|
| IgA, g/L      | 17.48    | 20.51    | 25.32  | 2.91| 0.220   |
| IgG, g/L      | 9.23     | 11.01    | 14.77  | 1.98| 0.191   |
| IgM, g/L      | 9.76     | 10.67    | 12.82  | 1.91| 0.535   |
| GSH-Px, U/mL  | 125.75   | 168.73   | 173.27 | 6.87| 0.002   |
| SOD, U/mL     | 48.64    | 45.06    | 47.20  | 1.13| 0.142   |
| T-AOC, U/mL   | 13.19    | 14.62    | 14.00  | 0.77| 0.458   |
| MDA, nmol/mL  | 1.10     | 1.22     | 1.28   | 0.12| 0.588   |
| IL-2, pg/mL   | 30.64    | 33.12    | 29.91  | 2.17| 0.572   |
| HDL, mmol/L   | 1.10     | 1.01     | 0.99   | 0.05| 0.274   |
| LDL, mmol/L   | 1.05     | 1.09     | 1.13   | 0.07| 0.720   |
| TC, mmol/L    | 2.40     | 2.41     | 2.45   | 0.11| 0.939   |
| TG, mmol/L    | 0.56     | 0.69     | 0.74   | 0.07| 0.216   |
| GH, ng/mL     | 2.10     | 2.03     | 2.21   | 0.15| 0.689   |

**Table 9 Effects of dietary Flammulina velutipes stem waste inclusion on serum profile of pigs in different growing-finishing phases (Exp. 3)**

| Item          | Control  | 2.5% FVS | 5% FVS | SEM | P-value |
|---------------|----------|----------|--------|-----|---------|
| IgA, g/L      | 18.49    | 17.56    | 16.87  | 1.95| 0.844   |
| IgG, g/L      | 9.83     | 9.88     | 8.54   | 0.88| 0.505   |
| IgM, g/L      | 10.52    | 10.02    | 9.37   | 1.08| 0.759   |
| GSH-Px, U/mL  | 165.65   | 192.32   | 183.65 | 4.72| 0.012   |
| SOD, U/mL     | 49.38    | 49.40    | 48.08  | 0.59| 0.253   |
| T-AOC, U/mL   | 14.88    | 13.42    | 12.78  | 0.64| 0.120   |
| MDA, nmol/mL  | 1.11     | 1.10     | 1.10   | 0.02| 0.859   |
| IL-2, pg/mL   | 41.09    | 55.01    | 46.41  | 6.87| 0.396   |
| HDL, mmol/L   | 0.91     | 0.94     | 1.08   | 0.09| 0.534   |
| LDL, mmol/L   | 1.02     | 2.22     | 2.41   | 0.09| 0.178   |
| TC, mmol/L    | 1.66     | 1.71     | 1.82   | 0.15| 0.515   |
| GH, ng/mL     | 1.96     | 1.71     | 1.82   | 0.15| 0.515   |

*Least squares means within different superscripts differ (P<0.05)

**FVS Flammulina velutipes stem waste, SEM Standard error of the mean**

FVS Flammulina velutipes stem waste, SEM Standard error of the mean
The low energy and nutrients digestibility of FVS may partly due to its high dietary fiber concentration, which had a negative effect on energy and nutrients digestibility [30]. There were negative SID and AID values of cysteine (Cys), proline (Pro) and glycine (Gly) in pigs fed the FVS diet, which indicated a higher endogenous flow of those AAs at the distal ileum compared to the absorbed contents from the diet. Because FVS was the only AA source in the experimental diet in Exp. 2, the tissue of pigs had to release AAs to synthesize protein when the protein required for maintenance cannot be obtained from FVS [31]. Moreover, the high levels of Gly and Pro in ileal digesta of pigs fed FVS may also be related to the secretion of bile and saliva, considering the large amounts of Gly and Pro in saliva and bile, which was consistent with the previous studies [32]. In addition, FVS contains high NDF and ADF concentrations, and the dietary fiber inclusion also led to higher endogenous losses of Gly and Pro in pigs [33].

Effects of dietary FVS inclusion on growth performance and nutrient digestibility of pigs

Liu et al. [2] demonstrated that 2.5% FVS inclusion in diets had no negative effects on growth performance but impaired ATTD of nutrients in piglets, which were similar to the results in growing-finishing pigs in the current study except for the ADFI results. Song et al. [34] reported that dietary inclusion with fermented oyster mushroom by-products decreased ADG in finishing pigs. Our study also showed that pigs at 50 to 75 kg fed higher level of FVS (5%) significantly impaired the ADG which was consistent with previous study, and there was no effect for diet with 2.5% FVS inclusion compared with control diet.

According to Oriol et al. [35], dietary fiber inclusion was an important factor that could affect the palatability and feed intake of pigs. Obviously, except for pigs at 100 to 135 kg, diets supplied with FVS affected the ADFI of pigs in the current study, which is consistent with most previous studies that have shown the negative effects of high levels of dietary fiber inclusion on voluntary feed intake and growth performance in growing pigs [36, 37]. There was no effect for ADFI of pigs at 50 to 75 kg fed diet with 2.5% FVS compared with control diet, but a significantly decreased ADFI was observed when pigs fed diet with 5% FVS, which indicated that higher level of FVS (5%) negatively affected the palatability during this period. In addition to the fiber components, a more likely explanation of the negative effects of FVS on pig growth performance is the palatability of FVS. Gromwell et al. [38] showed that pigs fed diets with 45% corn distillers dried grains with solubles (DDGS, with more than 20% NDF) had minimal effects on growth performance.

| Item                                      | Control | 2.5% FVS | 5% FVS | SEM | P-value |
|-------------------------------------------|---------|----------|--------|-----|---------|
| Carcass traits                            |         |          |        |     |         |
| Hot carcass weight, kg                    | 95.38   | 92.45    | 89.43  | 1.55| 0.081   |
| Dressing percentage, %                    | 73.02   | 71.60    | 71.86  | 0.38| 0.060   |
| Carcass straight length, cm               | 105.00  | 102.50   | 103.88 | 0.91| 0.205   |
| Carcass oblique length, cm                | 92.88   | 90.38    | 90.63  | 1.04| 0.229   |
| Backfat thickness, mm                     | 15.75^a | 16.50^ab | 12.75^b| 0.86| 0.030   |
| Loin-eye area, cm^2                       | 35.18   | 36.97    | 35.77  | 3.67| 0.946   |
| Meat quality                              |         |          |        |     |         |
| L* (lightness)                            | 55.86   | 55.02    | 56.88  | 1.16| 0.550   |
| a* (redness)                              | 19.58   | 18.75    | 18.42  | 0.52| 0.318   |
| b* (yellowness)                           | 7.10    | 7.12     | 7.46   | 0.44| 0.814   |
| pH45min                                   | 6.12    | 6.22     | 6.28   | 0.04| 0.126   |
| pH24h                                     | 5.74    | 5.81     | 5.67   | 0.05| 0.237   |
| Shear force, kg                           | 32.25   | 23.55    | 27.42  | 2.53| 0.143   |
| Drip loss, %                              | 3.81    | 3.19     | 5.36   | 0.88| 0.252   |
| Cooking loss, %                           | 25.43   | 24.27    | 24.67  | 0.99| 0.714   |
| Marbling score (1–10 scale)               | 1.75    | 1.50     | 1.25   | 0.24| 0.367   |
| Intramuscular fat, %                      | 2.92    | 2.81     | 2.40   | 0.41| 0.656   |

*Least squares means within a row with different superscripts differ (P<0.05)

Values are means with pooled SEM, n=4. FVS Flammulina velutipes stem waste, pH45min pH at 45 min after postmortem, pH24h pH at 24 h after postmortem, SEM Standard error of the mean.
of pigs. In the current study, FVS was included up to 5% and the dietary NDF levels ranged from 10.99% to 13.55%, much less than the NDF level used in the previous corn DDGS study. As a result, the paratability of FVS may be the major challenge for the wide utilization of this ingredient in swine diets.

Wenk [39] and Berrocoso et al. [40] indicated that high fiber diets had a negative effect on digestibility of nutrients and energy. In this study, with dietary FVS inclusion, the ATTD of OM and GE significantly decreased during every phase, while the ATTD of DM and CP in pigs at 75 to 100 kg and the ATTD of DM and EE in pigs at 100 to 135 kg also significantly decreased. However, the nutrient digestibility in pigs fed the 2.5% FVS diet were not different from the control diet, which indicated that less inclusion of FVS (2.5%) did not affect these nutrient digestibility during those periods. These results were partly agreed with some previous studies, e.g. Wilfart et al. [37] found that increased dietary fiber level significantly decreased the ATTD of DM, OM, CP and GE, but the ATTD of dietary fiber was unaffected. In addition, Bindelle et al. [41] reported that when the inclusion level of dietary fiber increased from 9.6 to 25.4%, the ATTD of DM, OM and CP of growing pigs linearly decreased, but the ATTD of NDF linearly increased. The different basal diets and fiber sources may lead to different reports. Högb erg [42] also pointed out that the effects of dietary fiber levels on nutrient digestibility may differ with the properties of the fiber. As Choi et al. [43] reported, NDF rather than the other dietary fiber types as an independent variable could increase the accuracy of digestible energy prediction in feeds for growing pigs.

Effects of dietary FVS inclusion on SCFA concentration and serum profile of pigs

The SCFA concentrations in feces of pigs fed the FVS diet significantly increased during the growing-finishing phase. Previous studies indicated that the carbohydrate profiles of mushrooms include different types of low-digestible and non-digestible carbohydrates, such as β-glucans, chitin, oligosaccharides and resistant starch, suggesting that they may stimulate the SCFA concentration and impact gut microbial populations [44]. Chitin concentrations in Flammulina velutipes were 7.7%, and was greater than that in the other mushrooms [45]. The carbohydrate such as chitin in Flammulina velutipes may play a key role in increasing the SCFA concentrations in hindgut of pigs.

Glutathione peroxidase is a group of selenoenzymes in which selenium is an integral part [46]. The dietary selenium intake is essential for the antioxidant enzyme defenses, and FV has been found to contain 2.8 μg/kg selenium [47], which may play an important role in elevating the GSH-Px concentrations. In addition, increased T-AOC was observed in pigs fed the FVS in the current study, which further suggested the antioxidant-promoting effect of dietary FVS. Therefore, FVS may be a potential candidate to enhance the antioxidant capacity of growing-finishing pigs according to our results.

Effects of dietary FVS inclusion on carcass characteristics, meat quality and LDM chemical composition of finishing pigs

Stahly et al. [48] showed that dietary fiber addition resulted in depressed dressing percentage, and we observed that ingestion of the fibrous FVS tended to decrease the dressing percentage compared with pigs fed the normal diet, which was also in accordance with the reports by Chu et al. [49], who indicated that the dressing percentage significantly decreased in pigs consumed the fermented mushroom by-product diets. It was highly likely that greater gut fill of finishing pigs fed high fiber diets led to the reduced dressing percentage [50].

Li et al. [51] showed that dietary ramie, an ingredient with high fiber concentration, decreased backfat depth in finishing pigs, which is consistent with our study. Our study provided the evidence that dietary FVS inclusion
markedly reduced the backfat depth of pigs, which demonstrated the role of FVS in decreasing subcutaneous fat accumulation and improving carcass characteristics. Previous study has found that finishing pigs fed high fiber caused less backfat [52], which may also explain part of our results.

The fatty acid profiles of pork have been a primary area of consumer concern. Adequate intake of n-3 PUFA, a balanced n-6/n-3 ratio, even a proper PUFA/SFA ratio, may reduce the risk of life-style diseases such as inflammatory and immune disorders [53], hypertension [54], coronary artery disease [55] and diabetes [56].

Table 12 Effects of dietary *Flammulina velutipes* stem waste inclusion on fatty acids profile in the *longissimus dorsi* muscle of finishing pigs (mg/g, of fresh tissue) (Exp. 3)

| Item                              | Control | 2.5%FVS | 5%FVS | SEM   | P-value |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|-------|-------|---------|
| Saturated fatty acid              |         |         |       |       |         |
| Caproic acid (C6: 0)              | 0.16    | 0.14    | 0.15  | 0.01  | 0.246   |
| Capric acid (C10: 0)              | 0.16    | 0.16    | 0.15  | 0.02  | 0.861   |
| Lauric acid (C12: 0)              | 0.09    | 0.09    | 0.07  | 0.01  | 0.747   |
| Myristic acid (C14: 0)            | 1.34    | 1.24    | 1.09  | 0.23  | 0.751   |
| Pentadecanoic acid (C15: 0)      | 0.02    | 0.03    | 0.03  | 0.01  | 0.063   |
| Palmitic acid (C16: 0)            | 23.89   | 21.44   | 20.00 | 3.97  | 0.788   |
| Heptadecanoic acid (C17: 0)      | 0.35    | 0.13    | 0.16  | 0.14  | 0.529   |
| Stearic acid (C18: 0)             | 12.41   | 12.28   | 10.72 | 2.34  | 0.853   |
| Icosanoic acid (C20: 0)           | 0.24    | 0.21    | 0.19  | 0.05  | 0.777   |
| Heneicosanoic acid (C21: 0)       | 0.33    | 0.29    | 0.37  | 0.04  | 0.345   |
| Docosanoic acid (C22:0)           | 0.06    | 0.08    | 0.08  | 0.01  | 0.561   |
| Tetracosanoic acid (C24:0)        | 0.03    | 0.04    | 0.04  | 0.01  | 0.689   |
| Monounsaturated fatty acid        |         |         |       |       |         |
| Myristoleic acid (C14: 1)         | 0.03    | 0.02    | 0.02  | 0.01  | 0.582   |
| Palmitoleic acid (C16: 1)         | 3.38    | 2.68    | 2.42  | 0.45  | 0.364   |
| Oleic acid (C18: 1n-9c)           | 39.84   | 32.66   | 33.36 | 6.17  | 0.680   |
| Eicosenoic acid (C20: 1)          | 0.76    | 0.55    | 0.55  | 0.15  | 0.525   |
| Nervonic acid (C24:1)             | 0.08    | 0.05    | 0.06  | 0.02  | 0.684   |
| Polyunsaturated fatty acid        |         |         |       |       |         |
| Linoleic acid (C18: 2n-6c)        | 7.16    | 7.39    | 9.64  | 0.81  | 0.132   |
| Alpha-linolenic acid (C18: 3n-3)  | 0.22b   | 0.29b   | 0.45* | 0.04  | 0.025   |
| Dihomo-γ-linolenic (C20: 3n-6)    | 0.22    | 0.18    | 0.21  | 0.01  | 0.230   |
| Arachidonic acid (C20: 4n-6)      | 1.49    | 1.32    | 1.59  | 0.10  | 0.273   |
| Eicosatrienoic acid (C20: 3n-3)   | 0.05    | 0.05    | 0.08  | 0.01  | 0.156   |
| Eicosapentanoic acid (C20: 5n-3)  | 0.05    | 0.06    | 0.05  | 0.02  | 0.843   |
| Erucic acid (C22: 1n-9)           | 0.03    | 0.03    | 0.02  | 0.01  | 0.657   |
| Docosadienoic acid (C22: 2)       | 0.05    | 0.04    | 0.03  | 0.01  | 0.107   |
| Docosahexaenoic acid (C22: 6n-3)  | 0.10    | 0.09    | 0.06  | 0.02  | 0.314   |
| Σ SFA                             | 39.09   | 36.13   | 33.02 | 6.63  | 0.816   |
| Σ MUFA                            | 44.09   | 35.96   | 36.42 | 6.76  | 0.654   |
| Σ PUFA                            | 9.36    | 9.45    | 12.17 | 0.88  | 0.108   |
| Σ n-6 PUFA                        | 8.87    | 8.89    | 11.44 | 0.83  | 0.114   |
| Σ n-3 PUFA                        | 0.41b   | 0.49b   | 0.67a | 0.05  | 0.021   |
| n-6/n-3                           | 21.93a  | 18.44b  | 17.29b| 0.81  | 0.016   |
| PUFA/SFA                          | 0.26b   | 0.26b   | 0.38a | 0.03  | 0.025   |

*a-b* Least squares means within a row with different superscripts differ (*P*<0.05)

Values are means with pooled SEM, *n* = 4. FVS *Flammulina velutipes* stem waste, MUFA Monounsaturated fatty acid, PUFA Polyunsaturated fatty acid, SEM Standard error of the mean, SFA Saturated fatty acid
Alpha-linolenic acid is an essential omega-3 fatty acid, and is very important for a wide range of functions in the immune system, cardiovascular system and endocrine system of humans. Enser et al. [57] indicated that the alpha-linolenic acid (18:3n-3) are more easily influenced by diets compared with the saturated and monounsaturated fatty acids in pigs, because the alpha-linolenic acid cannot be synthesized in vivo. Therefore, the significantly improved alpha-linolenic acid concentration in the current study may reflect the dietary change caused by 5% dietary FVS inclusion.

The main components of adipose tissue are fatty acids, and the essential fatty acids including n-6 and n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) cannot be converted into each other in animal’s body. Therefore, PUFA are crucial components of the food [58]. Both n-6 and n-3 PUFA can regulate the relative gene expression: n-6 PUFA can increase the concentrations of inflammatory mediators, while n-3 PUFA exert suppressive effects on chronic diseases [59, 60]. Previous studies suggested that diets with high ratios of n-6/n-3 ratio may increase the inflammatory mediators’ production and lead to the metabolic syndrome, such as Alzheimer’s disease, cognitive impairment and type 2 diabetes [61–63]. Therefore, a higher n-6/n-3 ratio in diets is harmful for the health of humans. In this study, 5% dietary FVS inclusion not only elevated the value of n-3 PUFA, but also decreased the ratio of n-6/n-3. Less dietary FVS addition level (2.5%) could only reduce the n-6/n-3 ratio. Thus, moderate dietary FVS inclusion could partly change the PUFA compositions of the pork, which is beneficial for the health of humans. In addition, the ratio of PUFA / SFA obviously increased with 5% dietary FVS inclusion in the current study, which means that the SFA concentration declined while the PUFA concentration improved with the increased level of dietary FVS. Meat with a less n-6/n-3 PUFA ratio and rich in n-3 PUFA is also beneficial for human consumption. Therefore, we suggested that dietary FVS inclusion in pigs may be a good practice to improve the nutritional quality of lipids in pork products.

**Effects of dietary FVS inclusion on intestinal tight junction proteins expression, morphology and microflora of finishing pigs**

Lallès [64] disclosed that the gastrointestinal tract plays an important role as a physiological barrier between the body and the outer environment, and in process of food digestion and nutrient absorption. Intestinal permeability had been considered as an important indicator of the intestinal epithelial barrier function, and this barrier function was primarily regulated by a system of an epithelial junctional complex, which is referred to as the tight junction [65, 66]. The paracellular barrier function of
Fig. 2 Intestinal morphology of growing pigs fed the control diet or diets with 2.5% or 5% *Flammulina velutipes* stem waste (FVS) inclusion. 

- **a** Representative duodenum morphology of growing pigs fed the control diet.
- **b** Representative duodenum morphology of growing pigs fed diet supplied with 2.5% FVS.
- **c** Representative duodenum morphology of growing pigs fed diet supplied with 5% FVS.
- **d** Representative jejunum morphology of growing pigs fed the control diet.
- **e** Representative jejunum morphology of growing pigs fed diet supplied with 2.5% FVS.
- **f** Representative jejunum morphology of growing pigs fed diet supplied with 5% FVS.
- **g** Representative ileum morphology of growing pigs fed diet supplied with 2.5% FVS.
- **h** Representative ileum morphology of growing pigs fed diet supplied with 5% FVS.
- **i** Representative ileum morphology of growing pigs fed diet supplied with 5% FVS. T1-T12 represents the villus height or crypt depth measured.

Fig. 3 Alpha-diversity of microbiota measured by Shannon index observed in the caecal digesta (**a**) and colonic digesta (**b**) of finishing pigs fed diets with 0, 2.5% and 5% *Flammulina velutipes* stem waste (FVS). (*n* = 4 for each treatment). Error bars are shown as SEM and *P*-values are from Student’s t-test. *P* < 0.05.
the intestinal epithelia is considered to be regulated by several types of tight junction (TJ) proteins, such as occludin, ZO-1 and claudin-1 [67, 68]. In Fanning’s point of view [69], occludin, ZO-1 and claudin-1, the main structural barrier proteins, are the most critical and important components in the functional and structural
organization of the tight junctions. As shown in our study, FVS-treated pigs revealed a significant increase in the expression of those three TJ proteins. Considering the fact that TJ proteins play a critical role in intestinal epithelial barrier integrity [70], we suggested that dietary FVS inclusion could improve intestinal integrity in pigs, which was evident from the increased expression of occludin, ZO-1 and claudin-1.

Montagne et al. [71] suggested that the villus height to crypt depth ratio represents the absorption capacity of the small intestine. In this study, the ratio of V/C in the jejunum of pigs in 2.5% FVS group was increased relative to those in the control and 5% FVS groups, which suggested that 2.5% FVS inclusion in diets could influence the intestinal absorption capacity of pigs by regulating the morphological structures of the intestine.

Mosca et al. [72] revealed that many human diseases are associated with the loss of microbial diversity in the gut microbiota. Our results showed that finishing pigs consumed diets with 2.5% and 5% FVS inclusion increased the microbiota diversity compared with control diet in caecum and colon, respectively. Dietary FVS addition could partly improve the healthy status of finishing pigs by enhancing microbial diversity in hindgut.

Our study indicated that Clostridium_sensu_stricto_1 was the predominant genus in the colon of pigs, but its proportion depressed with the dietary FVS inclusion. Previous study [73] showed that the proportion of Clostridium_sensu_stricto_1 sharply reduced when the dietary crude protein level decreased, which may be due to the shortage of protein substrate for fermentation. In that case, in this study, the reduction of the proportion of Clostridium_sensu_stricto_1 may due to the less digestible CP contents by dietary FVS inclusion.

Lactobacillus is one of the major bacterial groups in porcine gastrointestinal tract, and is a most commonly used probiotic agent. Lactobacillus could benefit intestinal health by stimulating the growth of the healthy microbiota, and preventing the colonization of enteric pathogens in intestine, thus improving the digestive ability of pigs [74]. We observed that the Lactobacillus proportion was significantly increased by the dietary FVS inclusion, and may suggest that dietary FVS could promote the finishing pigs’ gut health to some extent by elevate the abundance of Lactobacillus.

As described by Huang et al. [75], Rikenellaceae and Ruminococcus are the predominantly SCFA-producing genus, which could ferment carbohydrates and produce acetate and propionate. Dietary FVS inclusion enhanced the proliferation of the relative SCFA-producing bacteria, which is in accordance with our results that FVS could increase the SCFA concentration in fresh feces.

In general, these results demonstrated that moderate FVS inclusion can partly promote the development of the small intestine, microbiota diversity, and optimize the beneficial intestinal microbiota in growing-finishing pigs.

Conclusions
Although FVS-treated pigs showed impaired growth performance to some extent, dietary FVS inclusion could improve gut health, antioxidant capacity in serum, carcass trait and meat quality. Overall, despite its low available energy and AA digestibility, FVS could be served as a promising alternative fibrous ingredient in diets fed to growing-finishing pigs.
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