Dental and periodontal phenotypes of Dlx2 overexpression in mice
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Abstract. Distal-less homeobox 2 (Dlx2) is a member of the homeodomain family of transcription factors and is important for the development of cranial neural crest cells (CNCCs)-derived craniofacial tissues. Previous studies revealed that Dlx2 was expressed in the cementum and a targeted null mutation disrupted tooth development in mice. However, whether Dlx2 overexpression may impair in vivo tooth morphogenesis remains to be elucidated. The present study used a transgenic mouse model to specifically overexpress Dlx2 in neural crest cells in order to identify the dental phenotypes in mice by observation, micro-computed tomography and histological examination. The Dlx2-overexpressed mice exhibited tooth abnormalities including incisor cross-bite, shortened tooth roots, increased cementum deposition, periodontal ligament disorganization and osteoporotic alveolar bone. Therefore, Dlx2 overexpression may alter the alveolar bone, cementum and periodontal ligament (PDL) phenotypes in mice.

Introduction

Epithelial-mesenchymal interactions are important for tooth development and various molecules, including bone morphogenetic protein 4 (Bmp4), lymphoid enhancer binding factor 1, Wnt family member 10A, distal-less homeobox 2 (Dlx2), Dlx5 and msh homeobox 1 (Msx1) are expressed during these processes and have been demonstrated to be important components of the tooth initiation and odontogenic patterning signaling pathways (1-6). Dlx2 is a member of the vertebrate Dlx gene family, which is composed of six members organized into three convergent pairs of genes on chromosome 2 in mice (7,8). Dlx1 and Dlx2 are expressed in the epithelium and the cranial neural crest cell (CNCC)-derived mesenchyme of the mandibular and maxillary processes, and these genes are important for the development of craniofacial skeleton tissues (9-11). Previous studies revealed that Dlx2-null and overexpressing mutants exhibited malformation of craniofacial tissues (11-16). Thomas et al (17) reported that the development of maxillary molars required regional specification of a population of CNCCs by the Dlx1 and Dlx2 homeobox genes, and newborn mice with null mutations in the Dlx1 and Dlx2 genes had no maxillary molars; however, all other teeth were present (17). This phenotype may be due to a defect in the mesenchyme whereby odontogenic cells are reprogrammed to become chondrogenic, resulting in the replacement of maxillary molar teeth with ectopic cartilage. An ‘odontogenic homeobox code’ for dentition patterning based on the spatially restricted expression of homeobox genes in the first branchial arch mesenchyme was previously proposed. It was also proposed that the Dlx1 and Dlx2 genes were specifically involved in the pattern of molar tooth development (17). Lézot et al (18,19) also reported that Dlx2 expression was evident in the molar and incisor root epithelia during initial root formation and may constitute a landmark for cementoblast subpopulations of epithelial origin involved in root morphogenesis and cementogenesis (18,19). A previous study revealed that the deletion or mutation of Dlx3 may lead to major dentin defects through changes in the regulation of dentin sialophosphoprotein (20).

However, it is clear from previous Dlx2-knockout studies that Dlx2 may contribute to tooth development and whether Dlx2 overexpression may influence the in vivo phenotypes of dental structures in mammals remains to be elucidated. The present study used a transgenic mouse overexpressing Dlx2 in neural crest cells (NCCs) to determine how Dlx2 overexpression influences dental and periodontal tissues in mice. This analysis revealed that the mice exhibited tooth abnormalities, including incisor cross-bite, shortened tooth roots, increased cementum deposition, periodontal ligament (PDL) disorganization and osteoporotic alveolar bone.

Materials and methods

Mouse strains. Wnt1-Cre transgenic mice were obtained from the Jackson Laboratory for Genomic Medicine (Farmington,
CT, USA). Previous studies used Wnt1-Cre mice crossed with Rosa R26R reporter mice to indicate precisely where and when the Cre recombinase was active during tooth development, including condensed dental mesenchyme, dental papilla, dental pulp, odontoblasts, dentine matrix, cementum and PDL, and used these mice to investigate the functions of genes in CNCCs during tooth development (2,21). Transgenic mice conditionally overexpressing DiIx2 (iZEG-Dlx2) were constructed as described in our previous study (13). Wnt1-Cre transgenic mice were mated with iZEG-Dlx2 transgenic mice to obtain double transgenic offspring (Wnt1Cre::iZEG-Dlx2) that specifically overexpressed Dlx2 in tissues derived from NCCs and the mice were genotyped with polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using primers to detect Cre recombinase (Cre) and enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP), as described in our previous study (13). Mice (including male and female mice) from a C57BL/6J genetic background were used in the current study and non-recombined littermates were used as controls. All mice were housed in a specific pathogen-free laboratory animal room at a temperature of 22°C. The light cycle consisted of 12 h light and 12 h dark. The animal experimental procedures were performed in compliance with the guidelines of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees of the Shanghai Ninth People’s Hospital (Shanghai, China) and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees of the Shanghai Ninth People’s Hospital (Shanghai, China).

Tooth preparation and measurements. Adult (P90) control (n=6) and Wnt1Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 (n=6) mice (body weight, 25.2-28.3 g) were sacrificed using 0.8% pentobarbital sodium via intraperitoneal injection (100 ml/10 g body weight), skinned and eviscerated, then transferred to 95% ethanol for 2 days. The skulls of mice were then stained with Alcian blue and Alizarin red as previously described (13). The stained teeth were then separated from the alveolar bone under an integrated microscope and were transferred to a solution of 50% glycerol and 50% water for imaging. The root lengths and the ratios of crown/root length in both the maxillary and mandibular first molars in six control and Wnt1Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 mice (twelve teeth respectively) were quantified using digital hand calipers, and all were performed in triplicate.

Micro-computed tomography (CT) scans. The skulls of P90 iZEG-Dlx2 and Wnt1Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 mice were collected and fixed with 4% parafomaldehyde (PFA). Subsequently, micro-CT data were collected using an xPlore Locus MicroCT scanner (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Milwaukee, WI, USA), using 0.01-mm-thick slices. The 3D reconstructions of the skulls and bone mineral density calculations were completed using GE MicroView software version 2.2 (GE Healthcare Life Sciences).

Histological analysis. Whole heads of embryonic day 13.5 (E13.5) iZEG-Dlx2 and Wnt1Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 mice (n=6) obtained from pregnant mice following anesthetization with 0.8% pentobarbital sodium via intraperitoneal injection (100 ml/10 g body weight). Jaws of P90 iZEG-Dlx2 and Wnt1Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 mice (n=6) were obtained following anesthetization with 0.8% pentobarbital sodium via intraperitoneal injection (100 ml/10 g body weight) and were dissected and fixed in 4% PFA. P90 jaws were subsequently demineralized in 0.5 M EDTA. The tissues were embedded in paraffin, and 5-µm tissue sections were cut, stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and mounted with resinous mounting medium.

Analysis of cell proliferation and apoptosis. A total of 10 mg/ml 5-bromo-20-deoxyuridine (BrdU; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) solution was injected intra-peritoneally at a dose of 100 µg/g body weight into pregnant mice (n=3) at E13.5. The mice were sacrificed by anesthetization with 0.8% pentobarbital sodium via intraperitoneal injection (100 ml/10 g body weight) 2 h after BrdU injection and the embryos were fixed using 4% PFA, embedded in paraffin and cut into 5-µm-thick sections. Subsequently, BrdU-labeled cells were detected using immunofluorescence staining. Terminal deoxynucleotidyl-transferase-mediated dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) assays were used to determine apoptosis in the E13.5 mice and the FITC In Situ Cell Death Detection kit (KeyGen Biotech Co., Ltd, Nanjing, China) was used according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

Immunohistochemistry. Heads (E10.5) of iZEG-Dlx2 and Wnt1Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 mice (n=6) obtained from pregnant mice, and jaws of P90 iZEG-Dlx2 and Wnt1Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 mice (n=6) were obtained after sacrifice with 0.8% pentobarbital sodium via intraperitoneal injection (100 ml/10 g body weight), following sacrifice with 0.8% pentobarbital sodium via intraperitoneal injection (100 ml/10 g body weight) and were embedded in paraffin and sectioned at a thickness of 5 µm. A bone antigen restoration liquid kit (Sunteam Biotech, Shanghai, China) was used for antigen retrieval. Slides were then washed with PBS and blocked for 60 min with 3% bovine serum albumin (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) in PBS containing 0.2% Triton X-100. The sections were subsequently incubated with primary antibodies for anti-Dlx2 (1:100; cat. no. ab18188; Abcam, Cambridge, UK), anti-osteopontin (1:100; cat. no. ab84448; Abcam), anti-BrdU (1:200; cat. no. ab6326; Abcam), anti-Msx2 (1:150; cat. no. ab69058; Abcam), anti-SMAD family member 4 (Smad4; 1:100; cat. no. BS2050; Bioworld Technology, Inc., St. Louis Park, MN, USA), sex determining region Y-box 9 (Sox9; 1:100; cat. no. BS1597; Bioworld Technology, Inc.), anti-transforming growth factor β receptor 1 (TGFB1R1)/TGFB1R2 (1:100; cat. nos. BS2357 and BS1696, respectively; Bioworld Technology, Inc.) or anti-runx related transcription factor 2 (Runx2; 1:100; cat. no. MAB2006; R&D Systems, Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA) overnight at 4°C. The donkey anti-rabbit AlexaFluor 488 and donkey anti-rat AlexaFluor 568 (cat. nos. A21206 and A11077, respectively; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc., West Grove, PA, USA) secondary antibodies were diluted at 1:300 and incubated with sections for 60 min at room temperature. Finally, slides were mounted with Vectashield mounting medium containing DAPI (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and images were captured using a fluorescence microscope.

Statistical analysis. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. The differences between experimental and control
groups were compared with an independent Student's t-test using SPSS version 18.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Overexpression of Dlx2 in the teeth of Wnt1-Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 double transgenic mice. A schematic diagram of the Wnt1-Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 transgene is presented in Fig. 1A, and the mice were genotyped via PCR using primers specific for EGFP and Cre. Cre-mediated NCC-specific recombination was also detected by PCR analysis using a pair of primers spanning the CAG promoter and the Dlx2 coding sequence (CAG-Dlx2 primers), as previously described (13). Immunofluorescence confirmed that Dlx2 expression was higher in the NCCs derivative tissues, including periodontal tissue, cementum and alveolar bone in embryonic and adult Wnt1Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 mice (Fig. 1B-E) when compared with iZEG-Dlx2 control mice, whereas Dlx2 expression exhibited similar level in others tissues, including long bone and liver, between the Wnt1Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 mice and iZEG-Dlx2 control mice.

Overexpression of Dlx2 leads to tooth dysmorphia. P90 Wnt1Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 mice exhibited cross-bite malocclusion (Fig. 1F-I). General observation under an integrated microscope demonstrated that the mandibular and maxillary teeth exhibited shortened root lengths and root morphology dysmorphia (Fig. 2A-H). Measurements of teeth from Wnt1Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 mice and iZEG-Dlx2 mice revealed that root length was significantly reduced (P<0.01; Fig. 2I and J) and the ratios of crown/root length were significantly increased (P<0.01; Fig. 2K and L) in both the maxillary and mandibular first molars in Wnt1Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 mice compared with iZEG-Dlx2 mice.

Overexpression of Dlx2 leads to increased cementum deposition and osteoporotic alveolar bone. The present study performed detailed analyses of the maxillary first molar of Wnt1Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 mice at P90. H&E staining of sagittal sections revealed bone loss and bone defects in the interdental septum and root furcation region in alveolar bone compared with control iZEG-Dlx2 mice (Fig. 3A-H). Notably, increased acellular cementum deposition in Wnt1Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 mice was observed and the molars had sparse and disorganized PDL compared with iZEG-Dlx2 mice. Although the alveolar bone-PDL attachments were defective in Wnt1Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 molars, the cementum-PDL attachments had a normal appearance (Fig. 3E and F). Immunofluorescence results confirmed that the deposition of osteopontin, an osteogenic marker, on the alveolar bone and periodontal tissues was reduced in
Wnt1Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 mice compared with iZEG-Dlx2 mice (Fig. 3 I and J). The 3D reconstructed images from micro-CT analysis at P90 also confirmed these results (Fig. 4 A-F). At P90, tissue mineral density (TMD), trabecular thickness 3D (Tb.Th 3D) and structure model index (SMI) were quantified using micro-CT examination, which indicated a significant reduction in TMD and Tb.Th 3D (P<0.05; Fig. 4 G and H), and a significant increase in SMI (P<0.05; Fig. 4 I) in the surrounding alveolar bone of Wnt1Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 mice compared with iZEG-Dlx2 mice (Fig. 4), which clearly demonstrated the osteoporosis of the alveolar bone tissue.

Reduced cellular proliferation and increased apoptosis within the dental germ and alveolar bone of E13.5 Wnt1Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 embryos. A marked decrease in cellular proliferation was detected using BrdU-labeling of the dental germ and alveolar bone in E13.5 Wnt1Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 embryos (Fig. 5A and B). TUNEL assays revealed increased apoptosis in the dental germ and alveolar bone in E13.5 Wnt1Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 embryos compared with iZEG-Dlx2 embryos (Fig. 5C and D).

Expression of genes associated with tooth development in Wnt1Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 mice. To identify whether the expression of certain genes that are involved in tooth development was altered by Dlx2 overexpression, the present study used immunofluorescence staining to detect the expression levels of TGFβR1, TGFβR2, Smad4, Msx2, Sox9 and Runx2. The expression levels of TGFβR1, TGFβR2, Smad4 and Msx2, which have previously been demonstrated to contribute to tooth development, were upregulated in the dental germ of E13.5 Wnt1Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 embryos (Fig. 6A-H). Msx2 was also upregulated in the epithelium (Fig. 6G and H). A previous study revealed that a null mutation of Dlx2 may lead to the reprogramming of odontogenic cells to become chondrogenic and express Sox9 (17). The present study revealed that...
Dlx2-overexpression may also increase Sox9 expression in the dental germ of E13.5 Wnt1-Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 mice (Fig. 6I and J). By contrast, the expression of Runx2, an osteogenic and odontogenic marker, was downregulated in the dental germ and alveolar bone of E13.5 Wnt1-Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 mice compared with iZEG-Dlx2 mice (Fig. 6K and L).

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge the present study is the first to describe the effects of Dlx2 overexpression on the formation of dental and periodontal tissues. The current findings suggest that the Dlx2 may be involved in the control of cementum formation. Previous studies revealed that during root cementum formation, cementoblasts exhibited similar characteristics of bone formation to osteoblasts and contribute to matrix deposition in cooperation with periodontal ligament cells (22, 23). Molar roots contain two types of cementum: The acellular cementum that is initially deposited, and the cellular cementum that progressively increases in thickness towards the root apex. By contrast, continuously erupted incisors only have acellular cementum (22). A previous study demonstrated
that Dlx2 may be continuously present in the epithelial root sheath, from tooth initiation and morphogenesis to the last stages of dental tissue formation and implied that Dlx2 may
be involved in the control of cementogenesis (19). The present study determined that Dlx2 overexpression may result in an increased deposition of acellular cementum, indicating that Dlx2 is crucial for the regulation of cementum formation.

Dlx1 and Dlx2 were the first genes to be identified to contribute to odontogenic patterning and their null mutations solely disrupt the development of the maxillary molar, indicating that there are distinct genetic pathways directing the development of different teeth (17,24). However, the present study revealed that the overexpression of Dlx2 disrupted cementogenesis in maxillary and mandibular molars and incisors. It is possible that the expression of other Dlx genes, such as Dlx5 and Dlx1, may compensate for the absence of Dlx2; however, that cannot inhibit the effects of Dlx2 overexpression.

Unlike cementum deposition, Dlx2 overexpression may lead to osteoporotic alveolar bone and downregulation of Runx2 expression, which is consistent with our previous study, which determined that Dlx2 overexpression may impair craniofacial bone development (13). One potential explanation for this discrepancy is that alveolar bone and cementum develop via different molecular mechanisms.

Previous studies have revealed that the development of maxillary molars requires the regional specification of a population of CNCCs by Dlx1 and Dlx2, indicating that the ectoderm mesenchyme may influence tooth morphogenesis (17,24). In the current study, the overexpression of Dlx2 in the neural crest led to disrupted tooth development, confirming this model. Notably, the present study identified that the overexpression of Dlx2 resulted in an ectopic patch of Sox9-expressing cells in the dental germ, whereas a previous study revealed that a null mutation of Dlx2 may also reprogram

Figure 4. Micro-CT examination. 3D images of alveolar bone absorption (arrow) in (A) P90 Wnt1-Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 mice and (B) iZEG-Dlx2 mice. Sagittal sections of 3D images revealed alveolar bone absorption (arrow) in (C) P90 Wnt1-Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 mice and (D) iZEG-Dlx2 mice. 2D images of increased cementum deposition (arrow) in (E) P90 adult Wnt1-Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 mice and (F) iZEG-Dlx2 mice. (G) TMD, (H) Tb.Th 3D and (I) SMI analyses based on micro-CT examination revealed a reduction in TMD and Tb.Th 3D, and an increase in SMI in the maxillary alveolar bones of P90 Wnt1-Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 mice. *P<0.05. micro-CT, micro-computed tomography; Dlx2, distal-less homeobox 2; iZEG-Dlx2, transgenic mice conditionally overexpressing Dlx2; Wnt1-Cre::iZEG-Dlx2, double transgenic iZEG-Dlx2 mice expressing Wnt1-Cre; TMD, bone mineral density; Tb.Th 3D, trabecular thickness 3D; SMI, structure model index.

Figure 5. Cell proliferation and apoptosis in the teeth of E13.5 Wnt1-Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 mice. Immunofluorescence staining revealed that there are fewer BrdU-positive cells in the teeth and alveolar bone regions of (A) E13.5 Wnt1-Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 embryos compared with (B) iZEG-Dlx2. TUNEL assays revealed an increase in apoptotic cells (arrows) in the teeth and alveolar bone regions of (C) E13.5 Wnt1-Cre::iZEG-Dlx2 embryos compared with (D) iZEG-Dlx2 embryos. Scale bars, 50 µm. BrdU, 5-bromo-2-deoxyuridine; TUNEL, terminal deoxynucleotidyl-transferase-mediated dUTP nick end labeling; E13.5, embryonic day 13.5; Dlx2, distal-less homeobox 2; iZEG-Dlx2, transgenic mice conditionally overexpressing Dlx2; Wnt1-Cre::iZEG-Dlx2, double transgenic iZEG-Dlx2 mice expressing Wnt1-Cre.
odontogenic cells to express Sox9 (17). The upregulation and downregulation of Dlx2 expression have similar effects on the expression of Sox9, and the mechanism that leads to these expression patterns remains to be elucidated.

Mice overexpressing Dlx2 exhibited short molar tooth roots, and certain maxillary and mandibular incisors had long crowns and an over-cross bite or curved shape. Potentially, the continuously erupting mouse incisors may have acellular cementum on the lingual root analog, revealing a weaker effect of Dlx2 overexpression when compared with cellular cementum. Additionally, due to the deviation of the maxilla, no natural tooth wear was observed through the consumption of hard foodstuffs and gnawing behavior.

Numerous genes have been reported to be involved in tooth development, including Bmp4, Msx2 and Dlx5, which have also been demonstrated to interact with Dlx2 (1,25). The immunofluorescence results in the present study revealed that Dlx2 overexpression may alter the expression of TGFβR1, TGFβR2, Smad4 and Mxs2, the four genes that are crucial for tooth development (1,26,27), in tooth tissue, indicating that Dlx2 may disrupt tooth development by interaction with these genes. However, there are various candidate genes that may interact with Dlx2 to regulate tooth development, the exact molecular associations among these genes remain to be elucidated, and require investigation in the future using bioinformatics analysis and experimental verification of their functions.

In conclusion the present study demonstrated that Dlx2 overexpression in mouse CNCCs resulted in incisor cross-bite, shortened tooth roots, increased deposition of cementum, periodontal ligament disorganization and osteoporotic alveolar bone. Additionally, Dlx2 overexpression increased the expression levels of odontogenesis-associated genes, including TGFβR1, TGFβR2, Smad4, Mxs2 and Sox9 in tooth regions. Therefore, the present study suggested that Dlx2 overexpression may alter the alveolar bone, cementum and periodontal ligament phenotypes in mice. It is of note that that the present study was limited in that the dental and periodontal phenotypes were assessed in adult mice, whereas the genetic modification usually occurs during embryogenesis; thus, the primary effects of Dlx2 overexpression may occur early in development. Therefore, it is unclear whether the phenotypes observed due to developmental defects or postnatal degradation secondary to craniofacial deformity and malnutrition. The exact molecular mechanisms underlying the effects of Dlx2 overexpression on dental and periodontal tissue phenotypes require further investigated in the future.
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