E-cadherin cytoplasmic domain inhibits cell surface localization of endogenous cadherins and fusion of C2C12 myoblasts
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ABSTRACT
Myoblast fusion is a highly regulated process that is essential for skeletal muscle formation during muscle development and regeneration in mammals. Much remains to be elucidated about the molecular mechanism of myoblast fusion although cadherins, which are Ca²⁺-dependent cell–cell adhesion molecules, are thought to play a critical role in this process. Mouse myoblasts lacking either N-cadherin or M-cadherin can still fuse to form myotubes, indicating that they have no specific function in this process and may be functionally replaced by either M-cadherin or N-cadherin, respectively. In this study, we show that expressing the E-cadherin cytoplasmic domain ectopically in C2C12 myoblasts inhibits cell surface localization of endogenous M-cadherin and N-cadherin, as well as cell–cell fusion. This domain, however, does not inhibit myoblast differentiation. Therefore, the E-cadherin cytoplasmic domain inhibits cell fusion by inhibiting cell surface localization of endogenous cadherins and not by inhibiting Wnt/β-catenin signaling.
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INTRODUCTION
The process by which muscle cells interact and fuse to produce syncytia has been studied for decades and yet remains poorly understood. Myoblasts of different species recognize one another, adhere and fuse to form heterokaryon myotubes, yet very rarely spontaneously fuse with cells from other tissues (Blau et al., 1983). A number of proteins have been implicated in myoblast adhesion and fusion, including N-cadherin, M-cadherin, neural cell adhesion molecule (NCAM), vascular cell adhesion molecule (VCAM-1), meltrin, and various integrins (Dickson et al., 1990; Knudsen et al., 1990; Mege et al., 1992; Menko and Boettiger, 1987; Rosen et al., 1992; Yagami-Hiromasa et al., 1995; Zeschnigk et al., 1995).

Cadherins comprise a large family of Ca²⁺-dependent cell–cell adhesion molecules. E-cadherin, a prototypical member of this family, is a transmembrane protein that forms adherens junctions between epithelial cells. The cytoplasmic domain of cadherins interacts directly with either β-catenin or plakoglobin. These two molecules interact with α-catenin, and α-catenin links the cadherin–catenin complex to the actin cytoskeleton through interactions with α-actinin, vinculin, formin, and actin filaments (Meng and Takeichi, 2009).

Wnt/β-catenin signaling is involved in various aspects of skeletal muscle development and regeneration (Cisternas et al., 2014). The canonical Wnt pathway stabilizes β-catenin and activates target genes via TCF/LEF transcription factors. β-catenin most likely acts as a molecular switch that regulates the transition from cell proliferation to myogenic differentiation (Tanaka et al., 2011). Mice subjected to conditional depletion of β-catenin in the muscle precursor Pax7+ cell lineage (Ctnnb1F/F;Pax7-Cre mice) show reduced muscle mass and fewer slow myofibers (Hutcheson et al., 2009). Mice expressing a constitutively active, stabilized β-catenin in the Pax7+ lineage (CtnnbΔex3;Pax7-Cre mice) exhibit reduced myogenesis but more slow myofibers (Hutcheson et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2012). The dysregulation of Wnt/β-catenin signaling can thus lead to severe developmental defects and perturb muscle homeostasis. Blocking Wnt/β-catenin signaling in proliferating cells with an inhibitor decreases proliferation and blocks myoblast fusion during muscle differentiation (Suzuki et al., 2015). Nevertheless, the roles of Wnt/β-catenin signaling during myogenesis remain elusive.

N-cadherin knockout mice die before E10 with disorganized somites, which prevents the analysis of skeletal muscle development (Radice et al., 1997). Explanted, cultured N-cadherin null somites express skeletal muscle specific myosin heavy chain (MHC) but also display normal β-catenin staining at cell–cell contacts (Radice et al., 1997). Similarly, primary N-cadherin null myoblasts can differentiate and fuse normally but express additional classical cadherins, including M-cadherin and cadherin 11 (Charlton et al., 1997). These results indicate that N-cadherin is not essential for myogenesis. Moreover, other cadherins appear to either share redundancy with N-cadherin or compensate for its absence. M-cadherin is also a classical cadherin and is specifically expressed in skeletal muscle and certain neural tissues (Donalies et al., 1991). Numerous observations indicate that M-cadherin is involved in myogenic cell fusion (Zeschnigk et al., 1995; Charrasse et al., 2006). Although these data indicate that M-cadherin is involved in myoblast fusion, mice lacking M-cadherin develop normal skeletal muscle maturation and M-cadherin knockout myogenic cells can fuse normally, suggesting that other molecules can compensate for the lack of M-cadherin in vivo (Hollnagel et al., 2002).

The presence of multiple cadherins in myoblasts has hindered the study of their individual roles in skeletal muscle fusion in vitro. To overcome these problems, we undertook a novel approach that involves selecting for myoblasts that express dominant-negative E-cadherin. This mutant E-cadherin specifically inhibits the cell surface localization of endogenous cadherins (Ozawa and Kobayashi, 2014). In this report, we show that myoblasts expressing dominant-negative E-cadherin fail to fuse in culture, which provides evidence that cadherins play an essential role in myoblast fusion.
RESULTS

Soluble E-cadherin cytoplasmic domain expression in C2C12 cells inhibits cell surface localization of endogenous cadherins

We generated a transgene encoding a chimeric construct (DECT) comprising a red fluorescent protein, DsRed, the E-cadherin cytoplasmic domain (ECT), and a C-terminal FLAG epitope tag (Ozawa and Kobayashi, 2014) (Fig. 1A). The DECT-coding sequence was expressed under the control of the synthetic CAG promoter in mouse C2C12 myoblasts (Fig. 1B). FLAG-tagged DsRed was used as a control in DsRed+ cells. The inclusion of DsRed facilitated the identification of cells expressing the chimeric protein. Cells expressing DsRed-FLAG ectopically displayed a mesenchymal morphology with predominantly polygonal shapes but were sometimes aligned with their neighbors (Fig. 1B). M-cadherin and N-cadherin were detected at the cell surface (Fig. 1C). In contrast, expressing DECT protein ectopically produced dramatic changes in cultured cells. Cells were loosely associated, and M-cadherin and N-cadherin were detected in intracellular compartments including perinuclear regions (Fig. 1C). DECT expression did not change the distribution of NCAM (data not shown). These results were consistent with our previous finding that DECT expression in epithelial MDCK cells specifically blocks the transport of endogenous E-cadherin but not of gp135, an unrelated cell surface protein (Ozawa and Kobayashi, 2014).

To further determine the localization of M-cadherin and N-cadherin at the outer and inner faces of the plasma membrane, we selectively biotinylated the cell surface of DsRed + and DECT+ cells with a membrane-impermeable reagent, sulfoNHS-biotin. Biotinylated proteins were isolated with immobilized streptavidin before being subjected to immunoblot analysis for either M-cadherin or N-cadherin (Fig. 1D). Although M-cadherin and N-cadherin were detected at the plasma membrane of DsRed+ cells, significantly reduced amounts of these cadherins were isolated from the plasma membranes of DECT+ cells (Fig. 1D). These results indicate that DECT expression in C2C12 cells reduced the amounts of M-cadherin and N-cadherin on the cell surface. These findings support our immunohistochemical results.

We were unable to detect β-catenin on the surface membrane of DECT+ cells using immunofluorescence staining. Instead, we observed that β-catenin co-localized with DECT within intracellular compartments (Fig. 1E). Although DsRed was detected in the intracellular compartments of DsRed+ cells, it did not change the distribution of β-catenin. The co-localization of β-catenin with DECT suggests that they form a complex. By immunoprecipitating either DECT or DsRed using anti-FLAG, before immunoblotting with anti-β-catenin, we found that β-catenin co-precipitated with DECT but not with DsRed (Fig. 1F). These findings are consistent with our previous observations that DECT interacts with β-catenin, reduces the level of β-catenin associated with endogenous cadherins, and inhibits cell surface localization of endogenous cadherins when expressed in cells (Ozawa and Kobayashi, 2014). These results support our hypothesis that DECT can be used to analyze the role of cadherins in various cellular phenomena in which multiple cadherins are expressed.

E-cadherin cytoplasmic domain expression inhibits myoblast fusion

C2C12 cells can be induced to differentiate into muscle cells using low serum culture conditions (differentiation medium) (Yaffe and Saxel, 1977). Under such conditions, control C2C12 cells expressing DsRed generated numerous multinucleated myotubes at days 3 and 5 (Fig. 2A), and the morphological transition from myoblasts to myotubes was also verified by immunohistochemical staining of MHC as a marker for mature muscle cells (Fig. 2B). In contrast to DsRed expression, DECT expression inhibited myotube formation in C2C12 cells (Fig. 2A), and cells that remained unfused and mononuclear were not stained for MHC (Fig. 2B).

The measurement of the fusion index supports our hypothesis that DECT expression inhibits cell fusion and thus myotube formation (Fig. 2C). Almost no myotubes were observed even after 8 days in differentiation medium, indicating that the myoblast to myotube
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The differentiation of cultured skeletal myoblasts activated by growth factor withdrawal is accompanied by transcriptional activation of muscle-specific genes, including MYOD, MYF5, and myogenin (MYOG) (Olson, 1992, 1993). These transcription factors orchestrate the entire expression program of the various muscle-specific genes (Olson and Klein, 1994; Yun and Wold, 1996). In established myoblast lines, including C2C12 cells, MYOD and MYF5 are already expressed before differentiation is induced, and myogenin transcription is upregulated upon myogenic induction (Olson and Klein, 1994). Consistent with these observations, microarray analysis of C2C12 cells expressing either DsRed or DECT revealed that MYOD and MYF5 mRNA are already present before differentiation is induced and did not change in level following induction (Table 1). Myogenin mRNA expression was upregulated upon myogenic induction in DsRed+ and DECT+

Fig. 2. Expressing E-cadherin cytoplasmic domain inhibits myoblast fusion. (A) Morphology of C2C12 cells expressing either DsRed or DECT following induction of differentiation. Cells were shifted to differentiation medium the day after plating and analyzed after a further 3 or 5 days. (B) Expression of DECT in C2C12 myoblasts inhibits myoblast fusion. Cells cultured in differentiation medium for 5 days were stained with antibodies specific for myosin heavy chain. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. (C) Quantitating myoblast fusion. The fusion index was determined as described in the Materials and Methods section. The results are represented as the mean±s.d. of three independent experiments. Scale bars: 25 µm.

transition was effectively blocked and not just delayed (our unpublished data).

**DECT expression does not affect the induction of myogenin expression**

The expression of DECT does not affect the induction of myogenin expression following myogenic differentiation. As shown in Table 1, the expression of other differentiation-regulated genes was either upregulated or downregulated upon induction of differentiation in DsRed+ and DECT+ cells.

**β-catenin signaling activation during myogenic differentiation**

The Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway has been reported to play key roles in myogenic fate determination and differentiation (Cosu and Borello, 1999; Petropoulos and Skerjanc, 2002; Ridgeway et al., 2000). Canonical Wnt signaling is also reportedly involved in myogenic differentiation of mouse myoblasts (Tanaka et al., 2011). Since β-catenin is a critical player in the Wnt signaling pathway (Clevers, 2006), β-catenin sequestration by cadherin’s cytoplasmic domain has been shown to block its nuclear translocation and therefore inhibit β-catenin-mediated transcription activity (Sadot et al., 1998; Orsulic et al., 1999; Sincha et al., 2001). Inhibition of β-catenin signaling by DECT may result in the suppression of myogenic cell differentiation and myotube formation. To determine whether β-catenin signaling is activated during C2C12 cell differentiation, we performed a reporter assay using a transgenic construct that expresses EGFP under the control of tandem repeats of a LEF-1/TCF binding site (TOP-EGFP) (Korinek et al., 1997) (Fig. 4A). The same construct was previously used to successfully monitor LEF-1–dependent β-catenin activity (Arnold et al., 2000). The construct was introduced into C2C12 cells and stable transfectants were isolated. When TOP-EGFP+ cells were cultured in growth medium, they exhibited low levels of EGFP protein as determined by immunofluorescence staining (Fig. 4B). When the cells were induced to differentiate under low serum conditions, they expressed high levels of EGFP (Fig. 4C). Although high levels of EGFP were detected in giant multinucleated cells, the area showing a strong EGFP signal did not coincide with the area showing strong MHC staining signal (Fig. 4C), raising the possibility that the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway becomes inactivated either during the later stages of differentiation or after myoblast fusion. In any case, activation of the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway occurs during the differentiation of C2C12 cells.

### Table 1. Changes in relative expression levels of marker genes in C2C12 cells expressing either DsRed or DECT upon myogenic differentiation

| Gene          | Accession | DsRed | DECT |
|---------------|-----------|-------|------|
| Myogenin      | NM_031189 | 5.06  | 4.50 |
| MYOD          | NM_010866 | No change | No change |
| MYF5          | NM_08656  | No change | No change |
| Troponin T1   | AK160226  | 4.12  | 4.17 |
| Ca2+ATPase    | NM_009722 | 4.31  | 3.64 |
| Laminin α4    | NM_010681 | 4.90  | 4.44 |
| NCAM1         | NM_010875 | 2.41  | 2.12 |
| Cadherin 18   | AK137430  | −3.01 | −2.03 |

The gene expression profiles of control (DsRed) and DECT+ C2C12 cells before and after induction of differentiation in D medium were compared using an Agilent Whole Murine Genome microarray. Data are presented as the relative intensities of signals from DsRed+ cells after induction of differentiation to those from DsRed+ cells before induction, and the relative intensities of signals from DECT+ cells after induction of differentiation to those from DECT+ cells before induction.
**Inhibiting β-catenin signaling is not sufficient to prevent myoblast fusion**

The cytoplasmic domain of E-cadherin sequesters β-catenin and prevents it from binding to LEF/TCF, thereby inhibiting β-catenin–dependent LEF/TCF transcription activity (Conacci-Sorrell et al., 2003). The N-terminal half of the cytoplasmic domain contains the p120-binding site and the C-terminal half of the domain encodes the β-catenin-binding site. The latter domain and even the shorter (30 amino acid) fragment have been shown to effectively inhibit β-catenin-mediated signaling (Simcha et al., 2001). These domains were independently fused to DsRed to generate two chimeras: the N-terminal (DECTN) and C-terminal (DNCTC) fusion proteins (Fig. 1A). We expressed both chimeras in C2C12 cells (Fig. 5A). Immunoprecipitation with anti-FLAG antibody revealed that although DECT and DECTC co-precipitated with β-catenin, DECT co-precipitated with plakoglobin but not DECTC (Fig. 5B). The result is consistent with our previous observation that the C-terminal half of the E-cadherin cytoplasmic domain, when expressed in MDCK epithelial cells, is able to bind to β-catenin but not to plakoglobin (Ozawa and Kobayashi, 2014). Hence, the construct (DECTC) cannot inhibit cell surface localization of endogenous cadherins (Ozawa and Kobayashi, 2014). Importantly, DECTC expression had no effect on myoblast fusion (Fig. 5C).

The β-catenin-engrailed chimera (β-EngMT) (Montross et al., 2000) was generated by replacing the activation domain of β-catenin with the transcription repression domain of Engrailed. This chimera was shown to specifically repress the transcription activity of β-catenin (Montross et al., 2000). C2C12 cells expressing β-EngMT were established (Fig. 5D), and induced to differentiate by culturing in differentiation medium. Although ELA expression did not increase the extent of myoblast fusion, ELA protein. To determine the capacity for cell fusion, these cells were allowed to differentiate by culturing in differentiation medium. Although ELA expression did not increase the extent of myoblast fusion, ELA protein increased the fusion index by almost four times (Fig. 6D and E).

**A chimeric E-cadherin-α-catenin molecule that does not require β-catenin for its cell surface transport restores myoblast fusion**

To confirm that the failure of myoblast fusion was due to disruption of cell–cell junctions by depletion of the β-catenin/plakoglobin required for the correct localization of endogenous cadherins, we performed rescue experiments using chimeric E-cadherin-α-catenin molecules (Fig. 6A). When the chimera, composed of C-terminal truncated E-cadherin and the C-terminal third of the α-catenin polypeptide (residues 612–906, EoC), is expressed in cells, it is transported to the cell surface and is active in aggregation assays (Ozawa, 1998). To improve the chimera’s cell surface expression in cells, two leucine residues (587 and 588) in the juxtamembrane cytoplasmic domain were substituted with alanines, yielding ELAαC (Fig. 6A). Leu 587 and 588 are required for the efficient endocytosis of E-cadherin (Miyashita and Ozawa, 2007a) and the intracellular retention of β-catenin–uncoupled E-cadherin (Miyashita and Ozawa, 2007b). We used E-cadherin with the same leucine to alanine (LA) substitutions as a control (Fig. 6A, ELA).

Expression vectors for these constructs, ELA, and ELAαC, were introduced into DECT+ C2C112 cells by transfection. Following selection with blasticidin, stable transfectants were isolated by immunostaining and immunoblotting with anti-HA (Fig. 6B). Although ELA expressed in MDCK cells was localized exclusively to the cell surface (Miyashita and Ozawa, 2007a), the same molecule expressed in DECT+ C2C12 cells was detected in intracellular compartments (Fig. 6C). The unavailability of β-catenin/plakoglobin to complex with ELA, despite the LA substitution, may be responsible for ELA’s observed intracellular localization. The E-cadherin–α-catenin (ELAαC) chimera was detected at the cell surface when it was expressed in DECT+ cells (Fig. 6C). This protein cannot interact with β-catenin/ plakoglobin because it lacks catenin-binding sites. Therefore, it did not change the subcellular distribution of β-catenin (Fig. 6C). Neither ELA nor ELAαC changed the subcellular distribution of M-cadherin (Fig. 6C).

The cell surface localization of ELAαC in DECT+ cells suggests that cell–cell adhesions are established by expressing ELAαC, despite the presence of DECT, which sequestered β-catenin and prevented the cell surface localization of endogenous cadherins as well as exogenously introduced ELA protein. To determine the capacity for cell fusion, these cells were allowed to differentiate by culturing in differentiation medium. Although ELA expression did not increase the extent of myoblast fusion, ELAαC expression increased the fusion index by almost four times (Fig. 6D and E).
This result indicates that DECT+ cells failed to fuse because of a failure to establish proper cell–cell contact, and also that ELAoxC expression can restore the capacity for myoblast fusion.

**Cre/loxP site-specific recombination system for controlled DECT expression**

DECT expression alters the cell adhesion of a variety of cells (Ozawa and Kobayashi, 2014), including embryonic stem cells (our unpublished observations). Therefore, it is desirable to express DECT in a strictly controlled fashion for future in vivo studies. The Cre/loxP system (Sauer, 1987) has become an important tool for designing post-integrational switch mechanisms for transgenes in mice. We constructed an expression vector that expresses the lacZ reporter gene before Cre-mediated excision and expresses DECT following Cre excision, which removes the lacZ gene (see Fig. 7A). This vector introduced into C2C12 cells by transfection. A lacZ/neo (neomycin resistance) fusion gene was provided by a visual reporter and a drug selection marker for cells carrying the expression construct. The β-geo was followed by a triple repeat of the polyadenylation signal (Lobe et al., 1999) to stop transcription, and the transgene was flanked by loxP sites. The DECT-coding sequence followed the loxP-flanked region. DECT was expected to not be expressed until after Cre excision of β-geo (Fig. 7A). The selected cell clones were tested for β-galactosidase activity and DECT protein expression. These clones were found to be positive for β-galactosidase activity and negative for DsRed fluorescence (Fig. 7B, left panels). After transfection with the Cre expression plasmid, pCAX2-Cre-IRES2-Puro, which contains the baculovirus P1 cre gene driven by the CAG promoter and followed by IRES, as well as the puromycin-resistance gene (puro). Transfected cells were selected for puromycin. The selected cell clones were again tested for β-galactosidase and DECT expression. This time, these clones were found to be negative for β-galactosidase activity and positive for DsRed fluorescence (Fig. 7B, right panels). Immunoblot analysis of these clones with anti-FLAG antibody revealed that FLAG-tagged DECT was expressed only after Cre-mediated excision of β-geo gene (Fig. 7C). Thus, Cre excision resulted in β-galactosidase expression being replaced by DECT expression.

When these cells were induced to differentiate by culturing in differentiation medium, cells expressing β-galactosidase (β-geo) fused to make myotubes but cells expressing DECT (Δβ-geo) underwent decreased myoblast fusion (Fig. 7D,E). Thus, β-geo excision resulted in DECT expression and the inhibition of myoblast fusion.

**DISCUSSION**

In this report, we demonstrated that the expression of DsRed-tagged E-cadherin cytoplasmic domain (DECT) in C2C12 myoblasts inhibits the transport of endogenous cadherins, including N-cadherin and M-cadherin, as well as myoblast fusion. The former findings are consistent with our previous observations that DECT is expressed in cells, it interacts with β-catenin and plakoglobin, reduces the levels of these proteins associated with endogenous cadherins, and inhibits the cell surface localization of endogenous cadherins. These results support our hypothesis that DECT can be used to analyze the role of cadherins in various cellular phenomena in which multiple cadherins are expressed. Under these circumstances, knocking out or knocking down a single cadherin species is not sufficient to abrogate cadherin function.

Dominant negative cadherin not only inhibits the cell surface localization of endogenous cadherins but also inhibits β-catenin signaling (Simcha et al., 2001). β-catenin is a component of the cadherin–β-catenin complex and serves as a linking protein at cadherin-mediated adherens junctions. At adherens junctions, transmembrane cadherins bind to the central portion of β-catenin, which contains Armadillo repeats, while actin-bound α-catenin binds to the amino-terminal domain. Similarly, plakoglobin also interacts with cadherins and α-catenin. In addition to its role as a component of the cadherin–β-catenin adhesion complex, β-catenin plays a critical role in canonical Wnt signaling as it is the central, non-redundant component of the pathway. Both DECT and DECTC have the ability to bind β-catenin and sequester it from LEF-1/TCF.
transcription factors, thus inhibiting Wnt/β-catenin signaling; however, only DECT expression, and not DECTC expression, inhibits myoblast fusion. Although DECT can bind to β-catenin and plakoglobin, DECTC interacts with β-catenin but its interaction with plakoglobin is significantly reduced. Therefore, DECT can deplete β-catenin and plakoglobin from endogenous cadherins, and consequently inhibit the cell surface localization of endogenous cadherins. In contrast, DECTC cannot bind plakoglobin strongly. Hence, it cannot inhibit the cell surface localization of endogenous cadherins and the formation of cell–cell contacts (Ozawa and Kobayashi, 2014). DECTC, when expressed in C2C12 cells, also failed to bind plakoglobin and did not inhibit cell–cell fusion (Fig. 5). Therefore, the inhibition of myoblast fusion by DECT seems to be due to its ability to inhibit the cell surface localization of endogenous cadherins in C2C12 myoblasts. More importantly, expressing an E-cadherin and α-catenin chimera in C2C12 cells that also express dominant-negative cadherin, rescued the cells from the inhibition of myogenesis and induced cell fusion. This E-cadherin–α-catenin chimera functions as a cell–cell adhesion molecule independently of β-catenin/plakoglobin. It seems, therefore, that the inhibition of cadherin function, and not the inhibition of β-catenin signaling, causes myoblast fusion to fail.

To exclude the possible involvement of Wnt/β-catenin signaling in myoblast fusion, we expressed a dominant negative β-catenin mutant, namely the β-catenin/engrailed chimera (Montross et al., 2000). Consistent with the above observation, we found that it has no effect on myoblast fusion. It has previously been shown that the same construct inhibits skeletal muscle development in pluripotent embryonal carcinoma P19 cells (Petropoulos and Skerjanc, 2002). Thus, the nuclear function of β-catenin is essential for skeletal myogenesis in P19 cells. We do not know why this apparent discrepancy exists between these results and ours. It has been shown that β-catenin is required to express transcription factors upstream of MYOD in P19 cells (Petropoulos and Skerjanc, 2002). In the absence of Wnt/β-catenin signaling, MYOD expression was suppressed and skeletal muscle development was inhibited. In C2C12 cells, MYOD and MYF5 are already expressed even before differentiation is induced (Olson and Klein, 1994). Therefore, Wnt/β-catenin signaling is not necessary to initiate MYOD expression in C2C12 cells. We believe that these differences could be the reason for the apparent discrepancy. Recently, it was shown that an inhibitor of Wnt/β-catenin signaling, IWR1-endo, blocks myoblast fusion (Suzuki et al., 2015). Since the inhibitor not only blocks Wnt/β-catenin signaling but also disrupts cadherin/β-catenin/actin complex formation (Suzuki et al., 2015), our observation that the formation of the cadherin–β-catenin complex is required for myoblast fusion is consistent with the results of these experiments.

M-cadherin is a member of the classical cadherin family and is specifically expressed in skeletal muscle and certain neural tissues (Donalies et al., 1991). Numerous observations indicate that M-cadherin is involved in myogenic cell fusion. For example, synthetic peptides that bind to the extracellular domain of M-cadherin and block homophilic interactions are able to block myoblast fusion in a dose-dependent manner (Zeschnik et al., 1995). The importance of M-cadherin for the fusion of cultured myoblasts was verified by the RNA interference method (Charrasse et al., 2006). Using RNAi to downregulate M-cadherin expression inhibited cell fusion, whereas upregulation of M-cadherin expression enhanced fusion (Charrasse et al., 2006). Although these data indicate that M-cadherin is involved in myoblast fusion, mice lacking M-cadherin develop normal skeletal musculature. Moreover, M-cadherin knockout myogenic cells can fuse normally.
suggested that other molecules can compensate for the lack of M-cadherin in vivo (Hollnagel et al., 2002). Consistent with this hypothesis, we found that E-cadherin/β-catenin chimera expressed in DECT+ C2C12 cells, in which the transport of endogenous M-cadherin and N-cadherin to the cell surface was significantly inhibited, can rescue cells from failed cell fusion and induce proper fusion. These observations suggest that no one specific cadherin is required for myoblast fusion.

DECT expression in cells results in β-catenin and plakoglobin sequestration from endogenous cadherins and inhibition of cadherin cell surface localization, which causes cell dissociation. Therefore it is desirable to express DECT in a strictly controlled fashion in in vivo studies. We constructed an expression plasmid for DECT, which expresses the lacZ reporter gene before Cre-mediated excision but removes lacZ after Cre excision, thereby permitting DECT expression (pcALL-DECT) (see Fig. 7A) and found that it worked well as expected. When this plasmid was introduced into C2C12 cells by transfection, the selected cell clones were positive for β-galactosidase activity and negative for DECT expression before Cre-mediated excision. After the excision, the clones became negative for β-galactosidase activity and positive for DECT expression. Thus, Cre-mediated excision resulted in the expression of DECT in place of β-galactosidase. When these cells were induced to differentiate by cultivating in differentiation medium, cells expressing LacZ (β-geo) fused to make myotubes but cells expressing DECT (Δβ-geo) showed decreased myoblast fusion (Fig. 7D and E). Thus, β-geo excision resulted in DECT expression and the inhibition of myoblast fusion. These experiments demonstrated that the system relying on Cre-mediated excision of the β-geo reporter prior to DECT expression can be used as a highly controlled method to express DECT, and should be used in future in vivo experiments.

Fig. 7. The Cre/loxP site-specific recombination system for DECT expression. (A) The β-geo/DECT expression construct (pcALL-DECT) is depicted. The CAG promoter, comprising the CMV enhancer and chicken β-actin promoter, drives the expression of downstream transgenes. The first transgene, β-geo, followed by three copies of the SV40 polyadenylation signal (pA) is flanked by loxP sites and is removed by Cre excision. The second transgene, DECT, is expressed only after Cre excision, encodes the DsRed-tagged E-cadherin cytoplasmic domain, and is followed by the rabbit β-globin polyadenylation sequence (pA). (B) The β-geo/DECT expression before and after Cre excision. (Left) β-geo/DECT C2C12 cells (β-geo) were positive for β-galactosidase (LacZ) expression but negative for DECT expression. (Right) β-geo/DECT C2C12 cells after Cre-mediated excision (Δβ-geo) became negative for β-galactosidase activity but at the same time tested positive for DECT expression. (C) Immunoblot detection of DECT protein in β-geo/DECT C2C12 cells before (β-geo) or after (Δβ-geo) Cre-mediated excision. DECT protein was detected using anti-FLAG. (D) Excision of β-gene in β-geo/DECT C2C12 myoblasts abolishes myoblast fusion. Cells cultured in DM for 5 days were stained with anti-myosin heavy chain (MHC). Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. (E) Quantitation of myoblast fusion. The fusion index was determined with DAPI. The results are represented as the mean±s.d. of three independent experiments. Scale bars: 25 µm.

Materials and Methods

Plasmids

The plasmid containing the E-cadherin cytoplasmic domain construct with an N-terminal DsRed tag and a C-terminal FLAG tag (pc-DECT), and the control plasmid containing DsRed with a C-terminal FLAG tag (pc-DsRed) have previously been described (Ozawa and Kobayashi, 2014). pc-DECT and pc-DECTC, the chimeric constructs composed of DsRed and the N-terminal or C-terminal half of ECT, respectively, have also been previously described (Ozawa and Kobayashi, 2014). The plasmid encoding β-catenin–engrailed chimera (β-EngMT) (Montross et al., 2000) was provided by Pierre D. McCrea, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center.

An expression plasmid for DECT that expresses the lacZ reporter gene before Cre-mediated excision but expresses DECT following the removal of lacZ by Cre-mediated excision (pcALL-DECT) (see Fig. 6A) was constructed as follows: cDNA encoding DsRed, the E-cadherin cytoplasmic domain, and the FLAG tag in a pC-DECT vector were amplified by PCR using the following primer pairs: 5′-GGTTGCCCAACCATG GACAAG-3′ and 5′-CAGGCCGCTAGACGCCCTTGCGT-3′, digested with EagI, and cloned into the ZfoI and NotI sites of the pcALL vector (Lobe et al., 1999), pCAGGSneo, pCAGGGSpur, and pCAGGSpHyg, which confer EagI resistance, puromycin resistance, and hygromycin resistance, respectively, have previously been described (Ozawa and Kobayashi, 2014). The Cre expression plasmid, pSR016 (pCAX2-Cre-IRES2-Puro), containing the bacteriophage P1 cre gene driven by the CAG promoter and followed by IRES (internal ribosome entry site) as well as the puromycin resistance gene, was provided by Rolf Kemler, Max-Planck Institute of Immunobiology and Epigenetics, Freiburg, Germany.

Cells and transfection

C2C12 cells (provided by Naotoshi Mimura, Osaka University, Japan) were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (referred to as growth medium). Cells were transfected using the calcium phosphate precipitation method, and subjected to selection using either G418 (1 mg/ml), blasticidin (8 µg/ml), puromycin (5 µg/ml), or hygromycin (300 µg/ml). Stable transfecants were identified by fluorescence microscopy combined with immunohistochemistry, and were isolated as previously described (Ozawa and Kobayashi, 2014). At least three independent clones were selected for each construct to ensure that any observed effects were not due to phenotypic variability introduced by clonal selection. Muscle differentiation was induced, after 24 h in growth medium, by incubating cells in DMEM supplemented with 0.5% FBS (referred to as differentiation medium).
Transfection of C2C12 cells (5×10^4) with 10 µg Scalel-linearized pcALL-DECT was performed with the Amaxa nucleofector system (Amaxa GmbH, Cologne, Germany) as previously described (Ozawa and Kobayashi, 2015). Two days after transfection, cells were incubated in medium containing 100 µg/ml G418 for an additional 5–7 days to isolate drug-resistant colonies. G418-resistant clones were analyzed for their ability to differentiate in differentiation medium, before they were expanded and frozen. The clones were transfected with pSR016 using the calcium phosphate precipitation method, and subjected to selection using puromycin (5 µg/ml). Clones that became positive for DECT expression after Cre introduction were used for further studies. β-galactosidase (LacZ) activity was assayed using a kit, X-Gal Staining (Gelantis, San Diego, CA, USA).

Antibodies
Mouse monoclonal anti-myogenin and anti-myosin heavy chain (MHC) were obtained from Abcam Japan (Tokyo, Japan) and R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN, USA), respectively. Mouse monoclonal anti-T and anti-vinculin, along with FITC-labeled phalloidin, were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Japan (Tokyo, Japan). Rat monoclonal anti-HA and anti-NCAM (MAB310) were purchased from Roche Diagnostics GmbH (Mannheim, Germany) and Merck Millipore (Billerica, MA, USA), respectively. Other mAbs were purchased from Transduction Laboratories (Lexington, KY, USA). All secondary antibodies were obtained from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories (West Grove, PA, USA).

Immunoblotting
Immunoblot analysis was carried out as previously described (Ozawa and Kobayashi, 2014). Cells were boiled for 5 min in SDS sample buffer. Cellular proteins were subsequently separated by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. Membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat milk before incubating with a primary antibody for either 2 h at room temperature or overnight at 4°C. Primary antibody incubation was followed by incubation with a peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody. Bound antibody was visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL; Amersham International, Little Chalfont, UK). Western blot signals were quantitated using the ImageJ software, and relative intensities were calculated after normalization against the corresponding vinculin signals.

Cell surface biotinylation
Cells (5×10^5) were incubated twice with 0.5 mg/ml sulfo-NHS-biotin (Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, IL, USA) at 4°C. Cells were washed with 50 mM NH₄Cl in PBS at 4°C before they were stripped with 20 mM Tris-(Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, IL, USA) at 4°C. Cells were washed with 1434

Gene expression microarray and data analysis
Total RNA was prepared from DsRed+ cells. DsRed+ cells allowed to undergo myogenic differentiation for 5 days, DECT+ cells, and DECT+ cells allowed to undergo myogenic differentiation for 5 days. RNA was isolated using TRZol Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and purified as previously described (Ozawa and Kobayashi, 2014). cRNA was amplified and labeled using a Quick Amp Labeling Kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and hybridized to a 44K Agilent 60-mer oligomicroarray (Mouse Oligo Microarray Kit). The hybridized microarray slides were scanned using an Agilent scanner. The relative hybridization intensities and background hybridization values were calculated using Agilent Feature Extraction Software (version 9.5.1.1). Microarray data analysis was supported by Cell Innovator (Fukuoka, Japan).
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