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Abstract

Beef sausage was processed by additions of different replacement levels of meat by wheat germ flour (WGF) replacement levels were: 0% (as control) 10% and 15%. The processed beef sausage was packaged in foam trays, overwrapped with polyvinyl chloride (PVC) and stored refrigerated at 4°C ± 1°C for up to 7 days. Several variables were determined using subjective and objective measurements, to evaluate the effects of replacement levels and storage periods on the quality attributes of the processed beef sausage. Proximate composition was carried out. The evaluation was conducted immediately after processing, three and seven days post processing day. Results demonstrated that control sample (0% replacement level) had the lowest (p<0.05), protein, fat, ash and crude fiber content. There were no significant differences (p>0.05) in fat content, ash content and crude fiber content among the samples from the different replacement levels due to the Storage period. Fifteen percent replacement level sample had the highest (p<0.05) on protein, fat, ash and crude fiber contents. Protein content, fat content, ash content and crude fiber content were increase with the increased of replacement levels. WGF act as binder in beef sausage production and could be a good substitute to others plant binders which are used as meat binder or extenders. It is recommended that use of WGF in 15% replacement level in manufacturing of comminuted meat products, to enhance their quality characteristics.
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Introduction

Meat is that edible substance of the many edible food animals, to the trade the term is usually applied to carcass [1]. Sudan has a great meat processing potentiality due to the huge Sudanese livestock resource, according to Pan African Programme for the Control of Epizootics (PACE) Sudanese livestock population for the year 2001 estimated in '000 was 38,325 cattle, 47,034 sheep, 39,952 goats and 3,203 camels. Sausage is one of the old meat products in which fresh comminuted meat are modified by various processing methods to yield desirable organoleptic and keeping properties [2]. Meat and meat products are highly perishable materials, so sanitation and cooling are essentials in handling, marketing and processing of meat. The sanitation in the Sudan, in general is very poor about slaughtering, handling, marketing and processing of meat, except for very few meat plant and slaughter houses.

The demands for the fast meal and readymade food (a sausage is one of the major products used for this meals), is increased in the recent years in worldwide. In Sudan, the strong demands for these types of foods may be due to:

1. Internal migration from rural to urban areas for education, work, etc.
2. Education, governmental and non-governmental universities and good braved schools where usually located in the big cities.
3. Many Sudanese women no longer stay at home for child care or meals cooking but educated and employed in education institutions, factories and other related sectors to improve their social status.

Added to that the external migration, many non-Sudanese labors come from other Asian and African countries to work in Sudan they consumed the fast meals because these meals are international.

Generally, meat products are widely consumed throughout the world; but unfortunately, their cost is high. To reduce this cost there is increasing interest in use of various non-meat proteins especially plant protein. Non-meat protein includes vegetable protein soya beans, cereal and legume protein and are often referred to in the trade name as "meat extenders" or "meat substitutes" [1].

Lin and Zayas [3] reported that increasing cost of animal protein sources has encouraged researchers to study alternative protein sources, to be used in comminuted meat products, because of their lower formulation cost. The objectives of this study are:

i. To evaluate the effects of partial replacement of meat by wheat germ flour on the quality characteristics of beef sausage.

ii. To evaluate the effects of storage periods on the quality characteristics of beef sausage.

Material and Methods

Materials

Food materials: Meat loins and round were obtained from Animal Production Research Center Kuku. The beef meat was stored frozen at -11 ± 1°C in freezer at Regional Training Center for Meat Quality, Grading and Meat Technology, Elkadaro. Wheat germ was obtained from Seen flour mills stored frozen. Spices, salt and sugar were obtained from local market of Khartoum North. The additional fat needed in the formulation was obtained from the local market. Uniform rendered fat free of protein was used.

Chemicals and reagents: Chemicals and reagent used were brought from the central lab stores of Khartoum University, sodium nitrite and ascorbic acid, were obtained from Looly Company, Khartoum.
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Casings: Cellulose casings 23 mm in diameter were obtained from Looly Company, Khartoum.

Methods

Raw materials preparation:

- **Meat preparation:** Stored beef was allowed to thaw and sliced then ground through a 0.75 In, plate using a meat grinder. Ground beef was stored refrigerated at 4°C ±1, for about 20 h, a sample was taken to be analyses for protein fat and moisture content following AOAC method [4] (Table 1).

- **Wheat germ preparation:** Stored wheat germ was ground, to form wheat germ flour (WGF). Then a sample was taken and analyzed for protein, fat and moisture content, following AOAC method [4] (Table 1).

Calculation for sausage formulation

The experiment designed to produce sausage with the following specification, protein 15%, fat 20% moisture, 58.3% added starch 4.7%, salt 1.5%, and spices 0.5% (Tables 2 and 3). Three batches with three replacements of meat by wheat germ were used every batch weight 2000 g.

Therefore,

\[
\text{Protein required} = \frac{15 \times 2000}{100} = 300 \text{ g}
\]

| Material          | Protein % | Fat % | Moisture content % | Ash % | Cured Fiber % | Carbohydrate | pH  |
|-------------------|-----------|-------|--------------------|-------|---------------|--------------|-----|
| Beef meat         | 22.6      | 3.2   | 71                 | 0.98  | -             | 0.3          | 6.29|
| Wheat germ        | 27.2      | 9.3   | 10.35              | 2.17  | 2.53          | 48.3         | 6.17|

Table 1: Proximate analysis and pH of beef meat and wheat germ.

| Component       | %          | Weight in grams |
|-----------------|------------|-----------------|
| Protein         | 15         | 300             |
| Fat             | 20         | 400             |
| Starch          | 4.7        | 94              |
| Water           | 58.3       | 1160            |
| Salt            | 1.5        | 30              |
| Spices          | 0.5        | 10              |

Table 2: Sausage formula.

| Ingredient      | Replacement level of meat* |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|
|                 | 0% protein | 10% protein | 15% protein |
| Beef meat       | 1327.43    | 1194.7      | 1128.32     |
| Wheat germ      |             |             |
| Starch          | 94         | 94          | 94          |
| Fat             | 357.52     | 355.15      | 94          |
| Water           | 217.53     | 300.34      | 341.3       |
| Salt            | 30         | 30          | 30          |
| Sugar           | 10         | 10          | 10          |
| Black pepper    | 3          | 3           | 3           |
| Nutmeg          | 2          | 2           | 2           |
| Cinnamon        | 2          | 2           | 2           |
| Garlic          | 2          | 2           | 2           |
| Sodium nitrite  | 0.13       | 0.12        | 0.11        |
| Vitamin C       | 0.62       | 0.55        | 0.52        |

*Replacement level of meat by wheat germ on the protein to protein basses

Table 3: Sausage formulation for all treatments.
Third replacement: Wheat germ 15% so the required protein in 85% from beef 15% from wheat germ. Therefore,

\[
\text{Beef required} = \frac{300 \times 85}{22.6} = 112.32 \text{ g}
\]

\[
\text{Wheat germ required} = \frac{300 \times 15}{27.2} = 165.44 \text{ g}
\]

Fat in 1128.32 g beef = \(\frac{3.2 \times 1128.32}{100} = 36.11\) g

Fat in 165.44 g wheat germ = \(\frac{6 \times 165.44}{100} = 9.95\) g

Fat to be added = 400 – 46.04 = 353.96

Moisture in 1128.32 g beef = \(\frac{71 \times 1128.32}{100} = 801.11\) g

Moisture in 165.44 g wheat germ = \(\frac{10.35 \times 165.44}{100} = 17.12\) g

Moisture in 100 g starch = 6.

Total moisture = 824.23

Moisture to be added = 1166 – 824.23 = 341.8

Sodium nitrate = 0.11 g

Vitamin C to be added = 0.52 g

Sausage preparation: Minced meat, salt, sugar, minced fat, spices, vitamin C, sodium nitrate and half of calculated ice water were introduced to a Hobart Chopper; the Chopper was then started for about 4 min. The added materials were dispersed uniformly. Then the ground wheat germ, starch was added together with the remainder of the calculated water. The entire mass was chopped for about 5 min. then transferred to manual stuffer to be stuffed into cellulose casing of 23 mm in diameter and linked at lengths of 15 cm. The framed sausage was heated in water at 98°C for about 40 min, followed by immediate cooling in ice water, for 15 min. The cooled processed sausage was peeled and packed in foam trays over-wrapped with polyvinyl chloride (PVC) and stored refrigerated for up to 7 days. The WGF replacement levels in beef sausage formulation and processing were performed following the same procedures explained above.

Method of analysis: Sausage were assessed at 0 day (i.e., immediately after processing) after three and seven days post processing.

Moisture content: The moisture content was determined according to the method of AOAC [4]. Calculated as shown below:

\[
\text{Moisture content } \% = \frac{(W_1 - W_2) \times 100}{W_1}
\]

Where,

\(W_1\) = Original weight of sample.

\(W_2\) = Weight of sample after drying.

Protein content: The protein content of the samples was determined by the microkjedahl technique according to the AOAC method [4]. 0.2 g of sample was weighed accurately into micro-kjedahl flask, two hundred milligrams of catalyst mixture and 3.5 ml of concentrated sulphuric acid were added, the sample content were heated on an electric heater for 2 h and cooled, then the contents were placed into the distillation apparatus.

Twenty milliliters of 40% NaOH were added the ammonia evolved was received in 10 ml of 2% boric acid solution. The trapped ammonia was titrated against HCl (0.02 N) using universal indicator (methyl red + brooms cresol green), the total nitrogen and protein were calculated using the following formula:

\[
N\% = \frac{\text{Volume of HCl} \times N \times 14 \times 100}{\text{Weight of sample} \times 1000} \quad \text{P\% = N\%} \times 6.25
\]

Where,

\(N\%\) = crude nitrogen.

\(P\%\) = crude protein.

\(N\) = normality of HCl.

14 = equivalent weight of nitrogen.

Fat content: Total fat was determined according to the AOAC method [4]. Two grams sample was extracted with petroleum ether BP 60°C to 80°C for 8 h in Soxhlet apparatus. The fat content was calculated according to the following equation.

\[
\text{Fat } (\%) = \frac{(W_2 - W_1)}{W_1} \times 100
\]

Where:

\(W_1\) = Weight of empty flask.

\(W_2\) = Weight of flask with oil.

Ash content: The ash content of sample was measured according to the AOAC method [4] using muffle furnace (model tipoforno ZA No. 18203 Gef Ran 1001), two grams of sample was weighed into porcelain crucible and placed in a temperature controlled furnace controlled furnace at 600°C for complete ashing, the crucible with ash was transferred directly to a desiccator, cooled, weight and calculated as percent of original weight of sample.

\[
\text{Ash content } (\%) = \frac{(W_1 - W_2)}{W_2} \times 100
\]

Where,

\(W_1\) = Weight of crucible with ash.

\(W_2\) = Weight of empty crucible.

Crude fiber: The crude fiber content was carried out by the method of AOAC [4] two grams of dried and defatted sample, were transferred to a 600 ml beaker with a few anti-bombing granules. The sample was digested with 200 ml of 0.255 N sulphuric acids for exactly 30 min, and the beaker was periodically swirled. The contents were removed and filtered through butcher funnel, and washed with boiling water. The digestion was repeated using 200 ml of 0.313 N sodium hydroxide for 30 min and treated similarly as above. After that the fiber was washed with 1% hydrochloric acid to neutralize the sodium hydroxide and then rinsed with distill water, after the last washing dish, and dried in an oven at 103°C for one hour then cooled and weighted. The dried residue was ignited in muffle furnace at 500°C overnight, cooled and weighed. The crude fiber was calculated using the following equation.

\[
\text{CF } (\%) = \frac{(W_1 - W_2)}{W_1} \times 100
\]

Where:

\(W_1\) = Crude fiber.

\(W_2\) = Weight of sample.

\(W_2\) = Weight of crucible with sample.
fat and ash will increase carbohydrate content. In 10% and 15% WGF replacements levels forming of greasy surface not observed and fat caps rarely observed in 10% WGF replacements level that may be due to present of WGF which act as binder, Pearson and Gillett [8] showed that plant protein used as binders and extender because they absorb large amount of water and when water added became sticky causing the ground meat to adhere to each other. The later characteristic gives name of binders.

**Conclusion**

Protein content, fat content, ash content and crude fiber content were increased with the increased of replacement levels. WGF act as binder in beef sausage production and could be a good substitute to others plant binders which are used as meat binder or extenders. It is recommended that use of WGF in 15% replacement level in manufacturing of comminuted meat products, to enhance their quality characteristics.
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