Case Report

Foreign body aspiration in an 11-month-old child; the importance of anamnesis and respiratory examination

Abstract
Foreign body aspiration (FBA) is common in children. Especially in children, the majority of accidental deaths occur due to FBA. Morbidity and mortality rates increase, especially in children between the ages of one and four, and as a result of delay in diagnosis. The most common symptoms in patients with FBA are cough, dyspnea, hemoptysis, stridor and wheezing. In this case report, a patient who presented with sudden respiratory distress in the Pediatric Emergency Department was presented and the importance of anamnesis and respiratory examination in the diagnosis of FBA was emphasized.
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Introduction
Foreign body aspiration (FBA) is common in children. Especially in children, the majority of accidental deaths occur due to FBA. Morbidity and mortality rates increase, especially in children between the ages of one and four, and as a result of delay in diagnosis. The most common location of the foreign body is the right main and distal bronchi, but it is also related to the structure of the patient’s tracheobronchial tree and the person’s posture during aspiration. The most common symptoms in patients with FBA are cough, dyspnea, hemoptysis, stridor and wheezing. With auscultation, a decrease in respiratory sounds, ral and rhoncus can be heard in the diseased area. In addition, aspirated and forgotten foreign bodies can cause chronic cough, bronchiectasis and recurrent pneumonia. Rigid bronchoscopy is generally used in children to remove foreign bodies.

In this case report, a patient who presented with sudden respiratory distress in the Pediatric Emergency Department was presented and the importance of anamnesis and respiratory examination in the diagnosis of FBA was emphasized.

Case report
An 11-month-old boy applied to the Pediatric Emergency Service due to sudden respiratory distress. The patient’s anamnesis was taken, and it was learned that he started to cough after eating cucumber. He had no respiratory complaints or other health problems in the last month. In the respiratory examination of the patient, rhoncus was heard in the bilateral lungs, other system examinations were normal. Chest radiography was not evaluated in favor of FBA in the first evaluation (Figure 1). Pneumonia was considered in the differential diagnosis because of bilateral infiltration on chest radiography and bilateral rhoncus on examination. The COVID-19 PCR test was negative. The patient was given inhaled salbutamol treatment, and respiratory distress did not regress after salbutamol treatment. When the chest radiography of the patient was examined in more detail, an area compatible with air trapping was observed in the right upper lobe (Figure 1). Bronchoscopy was performed on the patient, and a piece of cucumber with a size of 1X0.5 cm was removed (Figure 2). The patient whose complaints regressed after bronchoscopy was discharged with full recovery.
Discussion

Foreign body aspiration is one of the main causes of fatal home accidents in children aged between one and four years between 2000 and 2006, according to a report from the CDC. In this age group, children are constantly in motion to explore their surroundings, and as their fine motor movements begin to develop, they bring everything they find to their mouths, but since their molars are not developed, they cannot fully chew. However, starting hard-grained foods early, eating habits on the move, playing with small toy parts, and small airways increase the risk of foreign body aspiration in children.6

The majority of aspirated foreign bodies settle in the bronchi. It occurs less frequently in the larynx and trachea. Due to the anatomical location of the right bronchus, it is frequently located in the right bronchus.7 In our case, the cucumber piece was located in the right bronchus. The properties of the aspirated object are important in determining the degree of damage. As organic bodies absorb fluid, they swell, increasing the risk of airway obstruction. Symptoms and signs related to the respiratory system are more severe in children who aspirate fatty foods such as hazelnuts and peanuts and require urgent treatment.5,8

Diagnosis requires a good history, physical examination, radiological examination and bronchoscopy. Although there is generally a history of aspiration, classical findings suggesting foreign body aspiration accompanied by a sudden onset of cough, wheezing and decreased respiratory sounds have been reported in only 40-57% of the cases.7 Diagnosis may be completely asymptomatic, and may present with different degrees of respiratory distress, atelectasis, chronic cough and recurrent pneumonia, or even death within minutes. Complaints begin within seconds and sometimes minutes after aspiration. After a while, these complaints may regress completely, which leads to a late diagnosis.5,11-13 When our case came with sudden respiratory distress, it was learned that it happened after eating cucumber as a result of the story. FBA was suspected, as she had bilateral rhonchi in her respiratory examination. In our case, there was no clear FBA finding on chest radiography. The first diagnostic method used in cases with suspected foreign body is chest radiography and chest radiography is reported as normal in 10-40% of cases. For radiopaque bodies, chest radiography is very important in the diagnosis, while radiolucent bodies are seen as segmental or lobar collapse, increased localized aeration, atelectasis, infiltration and bronchiectasis.9 In our case, first FBA finding was not considered on chest radiography, detailed examination was considered to be compatible with air trapping in the right upper lobe. Although it is inexpensive and easily accessible, chest radiography should not be considered reliable in the diagnosis of foreign body aspiration. In our case, chest radiography findings alone were not sufficient for diagnosis.

Patients with a history of aspiration, life-threatening severe respiratory failure, cyanosis and change in consciousness should be intervened immediately and the foreign body should be removed with rigid bronchoscopy. Rigid bronchoscopy is the most reliable method for definitive diagnosis and removal of foreign body when performed with an experienced team.13 By performing rigid bronchoscopy, the diagnosis of FBA was made definite and the cucumber piece was removed and treated in our patient.

Conclusion

Foreign body aspiration in children may present with many different clinical symptoms. It is important to take a detailed anamnesis in terms of FBA and to perform respiratory examination in patients presenting with sudden respiratory distress. Mortality and morbidity can be prevented to a great extent with early diagnosis and treatment. It should be kept in mind that cucumber aspiration may also occur, as in our case. Also, when the diagnosis cannot be made clearly in patients presenting with sudden respiratory distress, FBA may be considered in the foreground if their complaints do not regress after giving bronchodilator, as in our case.
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