Factors Influencing Willingness to Pay for Multiple Micronutrient Powder (*Virutubishi*) Supplements for Young Children in Arusha, Tanzania

Abstract

Background: Multiple micronutrient powders have shown a positive effect on anaemia prevention in children 6-59 months. For the purposes of uptake and sustainability, we explored “willingness to pay” for these health products at the household level for potential of co-investment in multiple micronutrient powders.

Methods: During the intervention (six months), household surveys were conducted once with mothers of children 6-59 months in the Arusha District regarding willingness to pay for the multiple micronutrient powders.

Results: Results from the survey show that about 66% of the target mothers are willing to pay for multiple micronutrient powders required for feeding of children at 0.068$ per sachet. Willingness to pay was associated with higher paternal education, higher maternal age, and families which do not keep animals.

Conclusion: The results findings help to know the market situation of nutritional products. This information is useful for health policy planners in assessing economic viability and sustainability of the distribution of multiple micronutrient powders to consumers to avert micronutrient deficiencies and their effects on young children.
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Introduction

The most common cause of anaemia worldwide is iron deficiency, resulting from prolonged negative iron balance, caused by inadequate dietary iron intake or absorption [1]. According to the WHO (2001), the relative proportion of anaemia due to iron deficiency increases as the prevalence of anaemia increases and iron deficiency will be 2.5 times that of anaemia [2]. In any population if prevalence rate exceeds 40%, the condition is considered a severe public health risk [3]. Anaemia is a major global public health problem affecting about 50% of children under-five years (U5s) [3]. In Tanzania, anaemia is prevalent among all age groups but children between 6-59 months are highly (58%) affected [4]. This trend is attributed to consumption of lower than the recommended daily iron requirement from complementary foods (CFs), as most of the consumed iron is plant based which, as compared to animal source iron is not as readily absorbed in the body. Further, there is limited access to food in most households a condition that leads to most children experiencing iron deficiency [5]. The alarmingly high prevalence of anaemia among U5s, coupled and associated with adverse health development and economic consequences highlights the need for intensified actions to address this ongoing public health problem.

Home fortification with MNP is a cost-effective intervention, which was developed to tackle the problem of childhood anaemia by delivering iron and a blend of other essential vitamins and minerals that can easily be mixed into available CFs...
before serving [6]. The Home Fortification Technical Advisory Group (HF-TAG) and WHO made a recommendation on MNP availability to target groups including the frequency and duration of supplementation [7]. They recommend when; dietary diversity is low, poor bio-availability of micronutrient in plant-based foods (due to inhibitors in the diet) and low nutrient content of complementary foods. Several trials suggest that iron-containing MNP administered daily [8-11], weekly [12] or according to flexible regimens [13] is effective to reduce anaemia and increase haemoglobin level for children.

For sustainability of nutritional supplementation such as MNP, the government has introduced a private market approach to sell the MNPs to target populations at a controlled cost of about 0.068 US$ per sachet. Given the diversity of families in terms of education level, maternal age and food security it remains unclear whether parents will be willing or able to pay for the micronutrients. The objective of this study was to determine the socio-economic factors affecting willingness to pay for the MNPs and amount of money families are willing to pay for the service.

Methodology

Study area

The study was conducted in Arusha District, which surrounds the Arusha City in Tanzania. The District is primarily rural with socio-economic patterns that are similar to those of most districts in Tanzania. The districts is characterized with cultural diversity and reports childhood anaemia prevalence similar or above national levels [4].

Sample size and sampling technique

Within the Arusha District, there are twenty wards each having at least one reproductive child health (RCH) clinic for mothers and children. Of these, three wards - Oldonyosambu, Oturumeti and Seliani - were randomly selected for the study. At each site, the researcher, with the help of village health workers identified households with children between 6-59 months of age. The sample size was determined using the statistical power analysis formula \( n = \frac{z^2p(1-p)}{d^2} \) [14] for anaemia assessment, where \( n \) =sample size \( p \) =prevalence of anaemia (60%) (TDHS, 2010), \( z \)=value at 95% confidence (=1.96), \( d \)=level of significance (=5%), with an anticipated attrition of 18% to follow-up yielded a total of 436 mother-child pairs.

Inclusion criteria were: the family had to reside to the selected ward; the child must 6-59 months of age, haemoglobin (Hb) levels from 7.0-9.9 g/dL and children who started complementary foods. Exclusion criteria were Hb concentrations ≤ 6.99 g/dL or ≥ 10.0 g/dL, child who does not consume solid foods, chronic conditions such as type 1 diabetes mellitus, some inborn errors of metabolism, HIV infection or known hemoglobinopathies. About 369 children were eligible to participate in the study and those who agreed gave written consent. However, 58 mothers did not gave consent to participate in the study. Thus 310 mothers-child pairs were recruited and participated in the study.

Data collection

A structured pretested questionnaire was used as part of a face to face data collection. During the baseline survey socioeconomic and demographic data, such as education level, age, land ownership, household size and income were collected. During the intervention (six months) the MNP sachets were provided for free to the participants. Therefore, the parents were once asked if they would be willing to pay/buy the sachets at a price of TZS 150 (USD 0.068).

Assessment of willing to pay micronutrient powders

The MNP for which the WTP was explored to mothers-children pairs involved in the intervention was manufactured by Manisha Pharmo Plast Pvt. Ltd. (Umbergaon-396171. Gujarat India.). The components and composition of the multi-micronutrient formula are based on the recommendations by UNICEF/WHO/WFP. The MNP contains 15 vitamins and minerals based on the Recommended Nutrient Intake (RNI) for children [7]. The nutrient content for 1 g of MNP includes vitamin A (RE 400 μg), vitamin D3 (5 μg), vitamin E (TE 5 mg), vitamin B1, B2, B6 each (0.5 mg), folic acid (15 mg), niacin (6 mg), vitamin B12 (0.9 μg), vitamin C (30 mg), iron (10 mg), zinc (4.1 mg), selenium (17 μg), copper(0.56 mg), and iodine (90 μg). The MNP has to be supplied in a single-dose sachet (1 dose =1 sachet) and one pack contained 30 × 1 g sachets.

Data management and analysis

Data management and analysis were accomplished using SPSS version 21 and Chi-square test for a categorical variable. A logistic regression model was performed to estimate the determinant of being willing to pay for the MNP at the price of TZS150 (USD 0.068) based on socioeconomic and demographic characteristics.

Results

The summary of respondent’s demographic characteristics with respect to WTP for MNP is reported in Table 1. There is a significant (P<0.01) association of willing to pay for MNPs and mothers age.

Determinant of willing to pay for MNPs

Table 2 presents estimated coefficient of logistic regression that examines the effect of WTP for micronutrients. In univariate analysis, mother’s age, a family who do not keep animals and parents with low income (<1.14 US$) were found to be determinants of WTP. These results were confirmed in multivariate analysis with mothers aged above 35 years (OR: 4.9 (1.7-14.2) being more likely to pay for MNP compared to younger mothers. Fathers with primary education (OR: 3.1 (1.2-7.9) and parents who do not keep animals (OR: 2.5 (1.4-4.5) were more likely willing to pay for MNP.

Discussion

Little is known about WTP for nutritional supplements like MNP
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what should be eaten, a position that allows them to significantly influence household expenditures on food and health [20]. Women have a higher preference than men to spend a larger share of the household budget on food and health which potentiates positive nutrition and health outcomes for household members, particularly their children [20]. In the current study, the mothers' WTP reflects the perceived health benefit which their children attained during the supplementation period.

In this study, results indicate that demographic and socioeconomic characteristics have a positive influence on parents' WTP for MNPs. Older mothers above 35 years and fathers with primary education demonstrated significant interest in purchasing MNPs sachets for their children's health. These results are similar with other studies [17,18,21]. Parents with high education can understand the importance of nutrition counseling as the results they are easy to make a decision on purchasing MNPs and allocate money for nutritious products like micronutrient powder. Results from this study mirror with previous findings that mothers age was determinant factor of willing to pay for products [17,22]. In this study, it was found that not keeping

Table 1 Socio-economic and demographic characteristics on consumer willingness to pay for MNP.

| Variables                  | N    | N (%) | P-value |
|----------------------------|------|-------|---------|
| Child’s gender             |      |       |         |
| Female                     | 130  | 89 (43.8) | 0.48   |
| Male                       | 180  | 114 (56.2) |       |
| Age (months)               |      |       |         |
| 43-410                     | 86   | 52 (25.6) | 0.56   |
| 452-61                     | 114  | 77 (37.9) |       |
| 24-35                      | 70   | 44 (21.7) |       |
| 36-59                      | 40   | 30 (14.8) |       |
| Mother’s age(years)        |      |       |         |
| 15-24                      | 143  | 92 (45.3) |       |
| 25-34                      | 146  | 105 (51.7) | 0.002* |
| 35-49                      | 21   | 6 (3.0)   |         |
| Father’s education         |      |       |         |
| No formal education        | 33   | 25 (12.3) | 0.24   |
| Primary                    | 241  | 152 (74.9) |       |
| Secondary                  | 36   | 26 (12.8) |         |
| Mother’s education         |      |       |         |
| No formal education        | 54   | 33 (16.3) | 0.9    |
| Primary only               | 195  | 124 (61.1) |       |
| Secondary                  | 61   | 46 (22.7) |       |
| Livestock keeping          |      |       |         |
| Yes                        | 207  | 122 (60.1) | 0.00*  |
| No                         | 103  | 81 (39.9)  |       |
| Source of food             |      |       |         |
| Own production             | 85   | 52 (25.6) | 0.18   |
| Buying                     | 225  | 151 (74.4) |       |
| Occupation                 |      |       |         |
| Employed                   | 31   | 22 (10.8) | 0.4    |
| Farmers                    | 175  | 118 (58.1) |       |
| Pastoralist                | 52   | 26 (12.8) |       |
| Casual labour              | 43   | 31 (15.3) |       |
| Business                   | 9    | 6 (3.0)   |         |
| Income (US$ per day)       |      |       |         |
| >1.14                      | 45   | 35 (17.2) | 0.17   |
| <1.14                      | 265  | 168 (82.8) |       |

Table 2 Determinants of willingness to pay for multiple micronutrient powder.

| Variables                  | N    | COR (95% CI) | P-value | AOR (95% CI) | P-value |
|----------------------------|------|--------------|---------|--------------|---------|
| Mother’s age(years)        |      |              |         |              |         |
| 15-24                      | 143  | 1            |         |              |         |
| 25-34                      | 146  | 0.7 (0.4-1.2) | 0.2    | 0.6 (0.4-1.1) | 0.1     |
| 35-49                      | 21   | 4.5 (1.6-12.3) | 0.03  | 4.9 (1.7-14.2) | 0.004*  |
| Father’s education         |      |              |         |              |         |
| No formal education        | 33   | 1            |         |              |         |
| Primary                    | 241  | 1.8 (0.8-4.2) | 0.2    | 3.1 (1.2-7.9) | 0.02*   |
| Secondary                  | 36   | 1.2 (0.4-3.5) | 0.7    | 2.1 (0.6-6.9) | 0.2     |
| Mother education           |      |              |         |              |         |
| No formal education        | 54   | 1            |         |              |         |
| Primary                    | 195  | 0.9 (0.5-1.6) | 0.7    |              |         |
| Secondary                  | 61   | 0.5 (0.2-1.2) | 0.1    |              |         |
| Livestock keeping          |      |              |         |              |         |
| Yes                        | 207  | 1            |         |              |         |
| No                         | 103  | 2.6 (1.5-4.4) | 0      | 2.5 (1.4-4.5) | 0.00*   |
| Source of food             |      |              |         |              |         |
| Own production             | 85   | 1            |         |              |         |
| Buying                     | 225  | 0.7 (0.46-1.29) | 0.3    |              |         |
| Occupation                 |      |              |         |              |         |
| Employed                   | 31   | 1            |         |              |         |
| Farmers                    | 175  | 1.2 (0.5-2.7) | 0.6    |              |         |
| Pastoralist                | 52   | 2.4 (0.9-6.3) | 0.9    |              |         |
| Casual labour              | 43   | 0.9 (0.3-2.6) | 0.8    |              |         |
| Business                   | 9    | 1.2 (0.25-5.9) | 0.5    |              |         |
| Income ($)                 |      |              |         |              |         |
| >1.14                      | 45   | 1            |         |              |         |
| <1.14                      | 265  | 2.0 (1.0-4.30) | 0.05  | 2.0 (0.9-4.5) | 0.08    |

in Tanzania and East Africa. Willingness to pay is the maximum amount an individual is willing to spend to procure a product or service [15] and the price of the transaction will be at a point somewhere between a buyer's WTP and a seller's willingness to accept. Studies in Tanzania report on WTP for safety inspected tomatoes, organic products, and health services [16,17].

The results from this study provide insight into society’s use of their financial assets for nutritional products like MNP as part of their strategies for addressing their children’s health. These results also indicate that micronutrient supplementation is highly valued by parents. Results show that more than half of the mothers (65.5%) involved in the intervention were WTP for MNP at the given price of 150 Tanzanian Shillings (USD 0.068) after observing effectiveness in improving children’s health. These findings align with other studies in developing countries [18,19], where women are the main/primary caretakers of their households. They plan
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animals was positively associated with WTP. It appears that non-
animal rearing families believe that their children’s diets will lack
the nutrients accessed from animal products (i.e., eggs, milk, and
meat), hence increasing their WTP for MNPs. This was similar to
a study in Iran where socioeconomic factors positively influenced
WTP on an organic micronutrient product [23].

The child’s age may influence mothers’ WTP, with 63.5% of
mothers with children below 2 years showing WTP for MNPs
although statistically there is no significant difference from those
who did not show WTP. Mothers with young children in the
transition period from exclusive breastfeeding to CFs potentially
have more demand to use nutritional products for child’s health.
However, the study did not show a significant association
between child age and WTP. A study done in Ethiopia found that
parents with children below 2 years were WTP for lipid-based
nutrient supplements than parents with older children [24].
Family income was positively associated with WTP for MNPs in
univariate analysis, but when combined with other factors in
multivariate analysis, shows a weak association. Willingness to
pay for nutritional products is beyond the financial ability of the
parents, it can be influenced by many other factors. National
health policy states that “children under five should receive
health services free” but this does not apply when it comes to the
provider of MNPs. Non-governmental organizations are dealing
with distributing micronutrients through the dealers who sell them,
as the services may be less than optimal in government
hospitals where capacities focus on meeting basic health services
for the majority of Tanzanian children. The limitation of this study
is that, the assessment of WTP was performed once. The result
can be further validated by assessing seasonally, twice per year
as the purchasing power varies.

Conclusion
The socio-economic and demographic factors were found to
contribute to WTP for MNPs. The findings help to understand
market implications of nutritional products by investigating
potential consumer factors on WTP for MNPs for sustainable
marketing and distribution considerations. The factors found to
have significant associations with WTP were age, education, non-
livestock keeper, and income. Information on WTP can be useful
for designers and planners in assessing the economic viability of
projects, setting consumer cost parameters, evaluating policy
alternatives, assessing financial sustainability, and designing
socially equitable subsidies. It also provides useful information
for developing marketing strategies for MNPs and assisting in
the formulation of policies and education programs to ensure
that families make the best possible choices for the health of
their children. Based on the findings, it is possible to inform
the national health policies to include nutritional supplementation
considerations, such as the provision of MNPs to children, as a
vector to enhancing future health and catalyzing social capital for
the national.

Key points
• The majority (66%) of families in our study were willing to pay
for multiple micronutrient powders at a given price of 150 TZS
(USD 0.068).
• Socioeconomic and demographic characteristics have a positive
influence on parents’ WTP for MNPs.
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