ABSTRACT

Six isolates of *Rhizoctonia solani*, i.e. two isolates collected from infected rice plants and four isolates from laboratory collection were studied by using morphological characters and molecular analysis. Un-weighted pair group method with arithmetic mean dendogram constructed based on cluster analysis showed that these isolates were grouped into three clusters at the 0.77 similarity coefficient. Cluster I consisted of BA, BNJ, and NBR isolates with 100% similarity and indicated that those were from AG 1 IA sub group, cluster II consisted of BND, and cluster III consisted of SL1 and SL2. Mycelium was very light brown or whitish with few and moderate sclerotia except SL1 and SL2. Molecular characterization showed that BA, BNJ, and NBR were amplified at 140 bp using Rs1F/Rs2R specific primer for *R. solani* AG1 IA. All isolates were amplified between 350−400 bp using Rhsp1 primer, meanwhile SL1 and SL2 were not amplified using AG2sp and AG22sp2 primers. Based on Maximum Likelihood tree analysis showed that SL1 and SL2 had high similarity based on ITS sequence data.
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is an important criterion after inoculation. The disease level cannot be obtained in humidity under 70%. *R. solani* is one of the most important rice diseases in tropical areas. *R. solani* is the causal agent of rice sheath blight, which has become a major constraint to rice production during the last two decades (Kobayashi *et al.*, 1997).

*Rhizoctonia solani* can infect crops of nearly 50 species, including barley, lettuce, tomato, sorghum, maize, and rice (Jones & Belmar, 1989; Zhang *et al.*, 2009). Rice varieties in Indonesia are generally susceptible to *R. solani*. Different rice variety showed different respond to the pathogen. Cisadane rice variety showed lower disease intensity rather than Ciherang variety and mostly affected lowland area (Nuryanto, 2011).

Variability of *R. solani* has also been reported by Sayler and Yang (2007) using specific primers for *R. solani* from rice, and many attempts have been made to organize isolate into groups based on morphology, physiology, and pathology of this pathogen. Despite of the morphological and physiological character is useful and still acceptable, it is just a laboratory scale and need longer time. To understand about genetic variability of *R. solani* species, DNA-based analysis has been reported all around the world, substantial information is not available on morphological characterization along with molecular analysis in Indonesia. Molecular techniques, such as using specific primers for species identification has quicker result rather than conventional methods (Moni *et al.*, 2016). Molecular characterization gives a real time result and can distinguish isolates from each host and even from different geographic area (Lopez-Olmos *et al.*, 2005; Lübek, 2004; Sneh *et al.*, 1991).This study was undertaken to assess morphological and molecular characters of some *R. solani* isolates using several different primers.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Collection and Isolation of Rhizoctonia solani Isolates**

Isolates of *R. solani* were collected from infected rice field from Sleman of Yogyakarta Special Region. The pathogens were isolated and purified using potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium. Others *R. solani* isolates from another host were collected from the collection of laboratory of Plant Health Clinic, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Gadjah Mada. The basic information of isolates were listed in the Table 1.

| Code | Host (AG) | Origin | Note |
|------|----------|--------|------|
| SL1  | Rice     | Sleman | Cisadane variety |
| SL2  | Rice     | Sleman | IR64 variety |
| BA1  | Rice (AG 1-IA) | Bantul | Lab. Collection |
| NBR  | Corn (AG 1-IA) | Sleman | Lab. Collection |
| BNJ  | Corn (AG 1-IA) | Blora | Lab. Collection |
| BND  | Potato (AG 3) | Bandungan | Lab. Collection |

**Molecular Identification Using Specific Primers**

**DNA isolation.** Actively growing mycelial was put into 50 ml potato dextrose broth (PDB) and placed on electric shaker at room temperature for 3 until 7 days. Mycelia were separated from the broth and DNA was isolated using CTAB 2% method. Isolated DNA was quantified on 0.8% agarose gel.

**DNA amplification using specific primers.** The DNA was amplified on the basis of PCR. A master-mix of 12.5 µl reaction was prepared with PCR components with the total volume 25 µl (master-mix 12.5 µl; ddH₂O or RNase free water 9.5 µl; primer forward and reverse each 1 µl; and 1 µl genomic DNA). Specific primer and PCR programs for these isolates were listed in the Table 2.

**Morphological Characterization**

Mycelial disc of 0.5 cm diameter from margin of 3 days old colonies were placed aseptically to potato dextrose agar plates and incubated at room temperature for 12 days. Twenty characters (Moni *et al.*, 2016) were used for morphological characterization. Morphological data were recorded according to the character stage and analyzed by NTSYSpc 2.0 using cluster analysis.

**Molecular Characterization Using Universal Primers**

The DNA was amplifed on the basis of PCR. A master-mix of 12.5 µl reaction was prepared with PCR components with the total volume 25 µl (KAPA 2G Fast ReadyMix PCR Kit 12.5 µl; ddH₂O or RNase free water 9.5 µl; primer forward (ITS1) and reverse (ITS4) each 1 µl; and 1 µl genomic DNA). DNA amplification from denaturation until extension was proceed at 34 cycles using temperature (Nadarajah *et al.*, 2014). Amplification of isolated *R. solani* DNA was performed under the following condition: Pre-denaturation 94°C for 5 min; then 34 cycles of denaturation 94°C for 30 s, annealing 58°C for 30 s, extension 72 °C for 1 min; post-extension 72 °C for 10 min and hold at 4 °C.
| Primer Target (bp) | PCR Program | Sequence |
|-------------------|-------------|----------|
| Rhsp 1 | Pre-Denaturation for 2.5 min at 94ºC then 40 cycles of 94ºC for 15 s, 59ºC for 30 s, 72ºC for 1.5 min | 350−400 (Salazar et al., 2010) |
| Rs1F | Pre-Denaturation 95 ºC for 15 min then 40 cycles of 95ºC for 15 s, 52ºC for 30 s, 72ºC for 1,5 min | 137−140 (Syler & Yang, 2007) |
| Rs2R | Pre-Denaturation for 2.5 min at 94ºC then 40 cycles of 94ºC for 15 s, 62ºC for 30 s, 72ºC for 1,5 min | 322−330 (Salazar et al., 2010) |
| AG22sp2 | Pre-Denaturation for 2.5 min at 94ºC then 40 cycles of 94ºC for 15 s, 50ºC for 30 s, 72ºC for 1,5 min | 322−330 (Salazar et al., 2010) |
| AG1-V | Pre-Denaturation for 2.5 min at 94ºC then 40 cycles of 94ºC for 15 s, 62ºC for 30 s, 72ºC for 1,5 min | 394−400 (Salazar et al., 2010) |

Table 2. List of specific primers for identification of *Rhizoctonia solani* isolate
Electrophoresis and Visualization

DNA fragment was separated using 1% of agarose gel under TBE 1X solution by electrophoresis machine for 50 minutes on 50 V. Gel was stained by Ethidium Bromide (EtBr) solution for 15 minutes. The stained gel was rinsed with distilled water for distaining, illuminated on UV transilluminator and photographed by gel documentation unit for measuring the bands of amplified DNA fragments.

DNA sequencing and Molecular Identification

Samples were sent to 1stBASE Malaysia. Sequence results were analyzed based on GenBank’s data at National Center for Biotechnology International (NCBI) using BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Tools) program and phylogeny analysis constructed by MEGA 6.0 software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Molecular Identification Using Specific Primers

Three specific primers were used to identify collected isolates. Each primer was capable of amplifying DNA fragment. The sizes of the amplified DNA products varied for each primer. The DNA of all isolates amplified with Rhspl primer which is specific primer for R. solani species (Figure 1), SL1, SL2, and BND amplified at ± 350 bp and another samples amplified at ± 400 bp. DNAs of three isolates (BA, BNJ, NBR) were amplified at ±140 bp using Rs1F/Rs2R. Rs1F/Rs2R are specific primers for R. solani AG1-IA (Figure 4). Two primers set (AG2sp and AG22sp2) were used to amplify DNA of SL1 and SL2, but both primers showed that no DNA fragments were amplified. AG2sp and AG22sp2 are specific primer to identify R. solani AG 2 and AG 2 2 IIIB (Figure 2).

Morphological Characterization

After 12 days of incubation on potato dextrose agar, isolates of R. solani showed diversity in their growth (Figure 3). Isolates BA, BNJ, and NBR sclerotia tended to be brown to dark brown. Some isolates produced a moderate quantity of aerial mycelium on the colony surface as well as on the lid and produced at least some sclerotia on the lid. Combined morphological data set of 20 characters with UPGMA resulted 3 clusters with 0.77 similarity coefficient using NTSYSpe 2.0 (Figure 4). Three isolates (BA, BNJ, NBR) were constellated in cluster I with 100% similarity, which indicate that those were the same isolates. The isolate BND was grouped in cluster II, the isolates SL1 and SL2 were in cluster III. Mycelium was usually very light brown or whitish, with moderate aerial mycelia. The number of sclerotia was few to abundant except for SL1 and SL2.

Molecular Characterization Using Universal Primers

Universal primers (ITS1/ITS4) were used for SL1 and SL2 (Figure 5). From PCR amplification, each sample was amplified at 600 bp. Analysis of sequence data showed that SL1 and SL2 had high statistical similarity (100%). ML phylogenies generated in this study also indicated that there was a close phylogenetic association between SL1 and SL2 with R. solani AG3 and R. solani AG 1 IA in a monophyletic clade (Figure 6).

Variation between isolates from different hosts and geographical regions has previously been studied for R. solani for many years. It is already recognized that R. solani consists of a lot of races, groups or sub-groups, morphology in culture and even the sclerotial distribution (Bonman, 1992). The result of this study also showed that R. solani isolates showed morphological from rice had a variation among the isolates. Two isolates from rice (SL1 and SL2) were genetically similar based on sequence analysis and its showed difference between another rice isolate (BA). R. solani AGs 1, 2, 3, and 4 are the biggest pathogen groups, meanwhile group 5 to 13 are less important as plant disease agent (Lehtonen, 2009). Evolution analysis of sequenced Agaricomycete fungi including R. solani AG 1 IA provides important evidence to molecular characterization and confirms the divergent
Figure 2. DNA amplification of *Rhizoctonia solani* isolates with primer: Rs1F/Rs2R (A); AG2sp/5,8KhotR and AG22sp2/5,8KhotR (B); 100 bp DNA ladder (M)

Figure 3. Morphological variation of *Rhizoctonia solani* isolates on PDA

Figure 4. Un-weighted pair group method with arithmetic mean dendogram of *Rhizoctonia solani* isolates constructed with NTSYSpc 2.0 using cluster analysis based on morphological characters
placement of *R. solani* AG 1 IA. As only sequenced plant fungal pathogen in the subphylum, the genome will undoubtedly provide valuable information about the genetic features that distinguish pathogenic and saprophytic lifestyles among Basidiomycetes. In addition, comprehensive comparisons with forthcoming genome data from other fungal taxa may provide important clues about the origins and influences on other organisms. Based on this study, three isolates (BA, BNJ, NBR) collected from different locations and hosts. BA isolate was collected from rice in Yogyakarta, BNJ was collected from corn in Binjai, and NBR was collected from corn in Blora. Molecular identification showed that isolates come from same sub-group and morphological characterization showed that isolates had high similarity. Toda *et al.* (1999) reported that the isolates originated from the same geographical or host plants were not always genetically related.

Two isolates from rice (SL1 and SL2) were genetically similar based on sequence analysis and it showed difference between another rice isolate (BA). *R. solani* AGs 1, 2, 3, and 4 are the biggest pathogen groups, meanwhile group 5 to 13 are less important as plant disease agent (Lehtonen, 2009). Evolution analysis of sequenced Agaricomycete fungi including *R. solani* AG 1 IA provides important evidence to molecular characterization and confirms the divergent placement of *R. solani* AG 1 IA. As only sequenced plant fungal pathogen in the subphylum, the genome will undoubtedly provide valuable information about the genetic features that distinguish pathogenic and saprophytic lifestyles among Basidiomycetes. In addition, comprehensive comparisons with forthcoming genome data from other fungal taxa may important clues about the origins and influences on other organisms. It complex multiple AGs and its multi-nuclear nature have made understanding *R. solani* evolution previously very difficult (Zheng *et al.*, 2013). *Rhizoctonia solani* AG 3 commonly infected potatoes, but has been found in several crops and weed hosts worldwide (Tsror, 2010). Based on pathogenicity tests, maize has been found to be susceptible to AG3 infection along with other cereal crops such as oat.
and wheat (Carling et al., 1986), meanwhile *R. solani* AG 1 IA infected rice and also maize crops (Gonzalez-Vera et al., 2010). This study showed that SL1 and SL2 had closer relationship to AG3 and AG 1 IA based on ITS sequence, it means that *R. solani* had diverse genetic compound and also broad range of host, the characteristics of high genetic diversity, high gene flow, and the mixed reproductive system place hosts infecting populations of *R. solani* among pathogens with a very high evolutionary potential. For these pathogens, major resistance genes and fungicides that target a single protein or biochemical pathway should be used with caution. Measures to minimize gene flow among populations (e.g. by reducing the spread of sclerotia via shared irrigation systems or contaminated machinery) are also strongly recommended.

The impact of molecular characterization on fungal classification and identification has been profound. Indeed phylogenies based on the sequence of ribosomal RNA genes contributed significantly to the diversity of unknown *R. solani*. There have been concerns about the utility of the ITS regions of the rDNA as a phylogenetic marker in molecular systematic studies. Despite all intricacies of the ribosomal DNA gene, it is still quite reliable in assessing generic placement of many unidentified fungal species (Jeewon et al., 2013).

The quickly developing area of host and genomics of pathogen continues to provide insights into the processes of pathogenesis, host defense responses, and changes to genome structure and composition associated with diversifying host-pathogen interactions. Genome-based information for phytopathogenic *Rhizoctonia* is pivotal identification of candidate genes, pathways and molecular markers for phenotypes and pathosystems of interest. Advances in sequencing and sequence assembly technology have enabled comparative genomics at the individual laboratory as well as broader level (Okubara et al., 2014).

**CONCLUSIONS**

The morphological character and molecular analysis of *R. solani* from rice and another hosts using few specific primers was studied. Morphological characters showed diversity between isolates from different hosts. Molecular analysis showed that each isolate from rice, corn, and potato come from different sub-group based on specific primers. These results suggested the presence of different sub-group within the same host. Our finding may be helpful for the molecular identification and phylogenetic classification of this complex species and may provide knowledge about *R. solani* isolates. For better understanding of the pathogen population of this important pathogen, further study is needed with more number of isolates and another primer for the diversity.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

This research was funded by Koppert Biosystem Netherlands in collaboration with Central Study for Biotechnology Universitas Gadjah Mada and Wagningen University & Research.

**LITERATURE CITED**

Aye, S.S & M. Matsumoto. 2012. Characterization of Antagonistic Soil Microbes against *Rhizoctonia* spp. and *Sclerotium hydrophilum*. *Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection* 20: 2465−2473.

Bonman, J.M. 1992. Durable Resistance to Rice Blast Disease: Environmental Influences. *Euphytica* 63: 115−123.

Carling, D.E., R.H. Leiner, & K.M. Kebler. 1986. Characterisation of *Rhizoctonia solani* and Binucleate *Rhizoctonia*-like Fungi Collected from Alaskan Soils with Varied Crop Histories. *Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology* 8: 305−310.

Gonzalez-Vera, A.D., J. Bernardes-de-Assis, M. Zala, B.A. McDonald, F. Correa-Victoria, J. Graterol-Matute, & P.C. Ceresini. 2010. Divergence between Sympatric Rice- and Maize-infecting Populations of *Rhizoctonia solani* AG 1 IA from Latin America. *American Phytopathological Society* 100: 172−182.

Jones, R.K. & S.B. Belmar. 1989. Characterization and Pathogenicity of *Rhizoctonia* spp. Isolated from Rice, Soybean, and Other Crops Grown in Rotation with Rice in Texas. *Plant Disease* 73: 1004−1010.

Lehtonen, M.J. 2009. *Rhizoctonia solani as a Potato Pathogen Variation Isolates in Finland and Host Response*. Thesis. University of Helsinki, Helsinki. 18 p.

Lopez-Olmos, K., S. Delgado-Hernandez, & N. Perez-Mayek. 2005. AFLP Fingerprinting for Identification of Anastomosis Groups of *Rhizoctonia solani* Isolates from Common Bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) in Mexico. *Revista Mexicana de Fitopatologia* 23: 147−151.
Lübeck, M. 2004. Molecular Characterization of *Rhizoctonia solani*. *Applied Mycology and Biotechnology* 4: 205–224.

Menzies, J.D. 1970. Survival of Microbial Plant Pathogens in Soil. *Botany* 29: 79–122.

Moni, Z.R., Ali, M. Salam, M.A. Rahman, M.R. Bhuiyan, M.S. Mian, K.M. Ifterkharuddaula, M.A. Latif, & M.A.I. Khan. 2016. Morphological and Genetic Variability among *Rhizoctonia solani* Isolates Causing Sheath Blight Disease of Rice. *Rice Science* 23: 42–50.

Nadarajah, K., N.S. Omar, M.M. Rosli, & S.T. Ong. 2014. Molecular Characterization and Screening for Sheath Blight Resistance Using Malaysian Isolates of *Rhizoctonia solani*. *BioMed Research International* 2014: 1–18.

Nuryanto, B. 2011. Varietas, Kompos, dan Cara Pengairan sebagai Komponen Pengendali Penyakit Hawar Upih. Disertasi Program Pasca Sarjana, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta. 113 p.

Okubara, P.A., M.B. Dickman, & A.E. Blechi. 2014. Molecular and Genetic Aspects of Controlling the Soilborne Necrotrophic Pathogens *Rhizoctonia* and *Phytophthora*. *Plant Science* 228: 61–70.

Salazar, O., M.C. Julian, & V. Rubio. 2000. Primers Based on Specific Rdna-ITS Sequences for PCR Detection *Rhizoctonia solani*, *R. solani* AG 2 Subgroups and Ecological Types, Binucleate *Rhizoctonia*. *Mycology Research* 104: 281–285.

Sayler, R.J. & Y. Yang. 2007. Detection and Quantification of *Rhizoctonia solani* AG1-IA, the Rice Sheath Blight Pathogen, in Rice Using Real-Time PCR. *Plant Disease* 12: 1663–1668.

Sneh, B., L. Burpee, & A. Ogoshi. 1991. Identification of *Rhizoctonia* Species. *The Journal of Agricultural Science* 120: 273–278.

Suparyono & Sudir. 1999. The Role of Sclerotia and Other Propagules of *Rhizoctonia solani* as the Primary Inoculums of Rice Sheath Blight. *Entomology and Plant Pathology Journal* 1: 7–12.

Toda, T., M. Hyakumachi, & D.K. Arora. 1999. Genetic Relatedness among and within Different *Rhizoctonia solani* Anastomosis Groups as Assessed by RAPD, ERIC and REP-PCR. *Microbiological Research* 154: 247–258.

Tsror, L.J. 2010. Biology, Epidemiology and Management of *Rhizoctonia solani* on Potato. *Journal of Phytopathology* 158: 649–658.

Zhang, C.Q., Y.H. Liu, X.Y. Ma, Z. Feng, & Z.H. Ma. 2009. Characterization of Sensitivity of *Rhizoctonia solani*, Causing Rice Sheath Blight to Mepronil and Boscalid. *Crop Protection* 28: 381–386.

Zheng, A., R. Lin, D. Zhang, P. Qin, L. Xu, L. Ding, Y. Wang, Y. Chen, Y. Liu, Z. Sun, H. Feng, X. Liang, R. Fu, C. Tang, Q. Li, J. Zhang, Q. Deng, S. Li, S. Wang, J. Zhu, L. Wang, H. Liu, & P. Li. 2013. The Evolution and Pathogenic Mechanisms of the Rice Sheath Blight Pathogen. *Nature Communication* 4: 1–10.