Sexual behaviour of women in rural South Africa: a descriptive study
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Abstract

Background: Sexual behaviour is a core determinant of the HIV and sexually transmitted infection (STI) epidemics in women living in rural South Africa. Knowledge of sexual behaviour in these areas is limited, but constitutes essential information for a combination prevention approach of behavioural change and biomedical interventions.

Methods: This descriptive study was conducted in rural Mopani District, South Africa, as part of a larger study on STI. Women of reproductive age (18–49 years) who reported sexual activity were included regardless of the reason for visiting the facility. Questionnaires were administered to 570 women. We report sexual behaviour by age group, ethnic group and self-reported HIV status.

Results: Young women (<25 years) were more likely to visit bars, practice fellatio, have concurrent sexual partners and report a circumcised partner than older women (>34 years); there was no difference for condom use during last sex act (36% overall). Sotho women were more likely to report concurrent sexual partners whereas Shangaan women reported more frequent intravaginal cleansing and vaginal scarring practice in our analysis. HIV-infected women were older, had a higher number of lifetime sexual partners, reported more frequent condom use during the last sex act and were more likely to have a known HIV-infected partner than women without HIV infection; hormonal contraceptive use, fellatio, and a circumcised partner were less often reported.

Conclusions: This study provides insight into women’s sexual behaviour in a rural South African region. There are important differences in sexual behaviour by age group and ethnicity and HIV status; these should be taken into account when designing tailor-made prevention packages.
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Background

Sexual behaviour is the most important determinant of the burden of HIV and sexually transmitted infections (STI) in South Africa [1]. This country has generalized HIV and STI epidemics that rank among the highest in the world; HIV prevalence is estimated to be 17.8% among women of reproductive age [2–4]. These epidemics are largely driven by heterosexual contact but key and vulnerable populations should not be ignored [3, 5].

In recent years many strategies have been employed to reduce the burden of HIV and STIs in Africa including the distribution of free male and female condoms, financial incentives for abstinence programmes, roll-out of antiretroviral therapy (ART) and promotion of voluntary medical male circumcision. Also, the potential impact of mass treatment of STIs, antiretroviral pre-exposure prophylaxis, and topical microbicide use has been explored. However, efforts to modify sexual behaviour, one of the core determinants of these epidemics, have been relatively limited despite the acknowledged importance of a combination approach of behavioural change and biomedical interventions [6–9]. Initiatives to modify sexual behaviour for prevention are important. For example, a review demonstrated that the majority of the countries with a reduction in HIV transmission were accompanied by changes in sexual behaviour [10]. A recent study from sub-Saharan Africa showed the importance of targeting the general sexually active
population and not only HIV serodiscordant couples because of the large contribution of extra-couple transmission to new HIV infections [11].

Sexual behaviour of women varies across the globe and over time, including in Africa [4, 12]. Efforts to monitor the HIV epidemic are complicated by the geographical evolution of many sub-epidemics at provincial and district level, partly associated with differences in geographic characteristics [3, 13]. Important determinants of variation in sexual behaviour include setting (urban or rural), age, socio-economic level, ethnic group and HIV status [14]. A recent review of sexual behaviour of women in sub-Saharan Africa, excluding South Africa, showed that women with lowest education and those living in more rural environments were of younger age at sexual debut and had more pregnancies [14]. A study from Uganda showed significant differences in sexual behaviour of women living in rural compared to urban regions with rural women having more often a partner that is more than 10 years older, reporting less frequent condom use and to know their HIV status [15]. Studies from South Africa show differences in sexual behaviour (i.e. alcohol use, unprotected intercourse and concurrency) between ethnic groups [16, 17]. A recent study showed a higher point prevalence of concurrent sexual partnerships among the ethnic groups most affected by HIV in South Africa [18]. Finally, HIV-status may influence sexual behaviour towards higher or lower risk behaviour: a recent study showed a decline of unprotected sex after HIV-positive diagnosis and a further decline after awareness of HIV discordance, but other studies have shown an increase in risk behaviour associated with HIV-infection [10, 19–21]. Information about sexual behaviour is warranted to inform the design of prevention messages and programmes as one size may not fit all. Information about specific groups is required to build cultural competence in healthcare and therewith different opportunities and entry points for prevention messaging. For example, messages for adolescent discussion groups (age) could be different from those at the HIV clinic (HIV status) and could vary by geographic region (different ethnic groups). In this study we describe sexual behaviour reported by women living in rural South Africa in relation to age, ethnicity and HIV status. Furthermore, associations with condom use are explored.

Methods

Design

This study was embedded in a cross-sectional study conducted at 25 of the 100 primary healthcare (PHC) facilities across Mopani District, Limpopo Province, South Africa [22]. Data from all 25 facilities was included in this evaluation with the number of participants ranging from 8 to 52 per PHC facility. Mopani District is one of the most rural and poorest districts in South Africa with high rates of poverty and illiteracy; Shangaan and Sotho are the two largest ethnic groups in this district [23]. In brief, the facilities were selected based on wide geographic location and size and diversity of catchment population. We visited each PHC facility on a random weekday from November 2011 to February 2012. Group information about the study was provided in the waiting area followed by individual counselling of women who were interested in participating, regardless of the reason for visiting the facility that day. Women aged 18–49 years who reported sexual activity during the previous six months were eligible. After obtaining written informed consent, clinical and sexual histories were taken by healthcare workers (through an English paper-based questionnaire translated into the language of the participant). These healthcare workers were carefully selected from the staff of Anova Health Institute, as they had to be all proficient in the English language and at least one local language and were specifically trained to obtain information about sexual characteristics of the participants. This analysis is limited to women of Sotho (n = 310) and Shangaan (n = 260) ethnicity (94 % of participants in the original study; 34 women were of other ethnicity including Venda, Zulu and Shona. The Human Ethics Research Committee of the University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa, approved the study (Ref M110726).

Clinical criteria and definitions

Information collected in this study was self-reported including HIV status (although counselling and testing were offered). HIV-status was classified positive when the participant reported to be HIV-infected, negative in case of a negative HIV test less than six months ago, and unknown in other cases. Sexually active in the last 6 months was defined as a consensual or non-consensual vaginal, or anorectal intercourse, or fellatio in the 6 months prior to the inclusion day. Other data collected included demographic characteristics, general behaviour (e.g. alcohol use, intravaginal cleansing), risk-taking behaviour (e.g. condom use, transactional sex), sexual practices, sexual contacts, and specific questions about the steady sexual partner. Most indicators were self-explanatory; however the following definitions were used. Sexual relationships were considered concurrent if sexual partnerships overlapped in time. Sex with multiple partners was defined as sexual contact with more than one partner at the same time and place. Coercion was considered present when the participant reported social or psychological pressure to have sex; physical force used to have sex was defined as rape. Vaginal cleansing was defined as using a douche or another device to clean the vagina with water and possibly herbs and/or soap. Vaginal scarring practice
was defined by report of vulvar scars that was confirmed on examination. These scars relate to a ritual that may be performed after birth or for treatment purposes by a traditional healer.

**Data analysis**

Data were double-entered in Epi Data (Epi Info™ version 3.5.3) and analysed using SPSS version 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). Determinants of sexual behaviour were compared between women of different age groups, ethnic group, HIV-status, and for condom use. Data are provided as numbers with proportion (%) and as median with range. Dichotomous data are compared between groups using Chi-squared test or Fisher’s Exact test if appropriate, whereas continuous data were compared between two groups using the Mann Whitney U test and between multiple groups using the Kruskal Wallis test. Univariate analysis was performed to examine possible differences in sexual behaviour between age groups (<25 years, 25–34 years, and ≥34 years), for ethnic origin (Sotho and Shangaan) and HIV-status (positive vs. negative), and to determine factors of condom use. A p-value of equal to or less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

**Characteristics of study population**

Three hundred and ten women (54 %) were of Sotho and 260 (46 %) were of Shangaan ethnic origin (Table 1). The median age of participants was 30 years (range 18–49), which was similar for Sotho and Shangaan women. HIV infection was reported by 178 (31 %) participants and was similar for Sotho (29 %) and Shangaan (34 %) women (p = 0.62). Women in the oldest age group were significantly more likely to be HIV-infected (p < 0.001).

**Age and sexual behaviour**

Younger women reported more frequently to visit bars, practice fellatio (but not receptive anal intercourse (RAI)), report concurrent partners and report a circumcised partner than older women (Table 2). Older women were more likely to have a partner more than 10 years older, know that their partner had concurrent partners and know his HIV status. The latter association was only manifested for HIV-infected women (p < 0.01) whereas there was no association for HIV-infected women (p = 0.97). Women in the younger age group (<25 years) reported that their partner did not want to use condoms (29 %), that they trusted their partner (19 %), pregnancy wish (11 %) and non-availability (12 %) as main reasons not to use a condom.

**Ethnic origin and sexual behaviour**

Sotho women were more likely to report concurrent sexual relationships whereas intravaginal cleansing and vaginal scarring practices were more often reported by Shangaan women (Table 3). About the steady partner, Shangaan women reported more often that they knew the HIV status of their partner (29 % for Shangaan vs. 22 % for Sotho; p = 0.028), or that he was HIV-infected (16 % for Shangaan vs. 6.9 % for Sotho; p = 0.001) and a trend was observed for Shangaan women reporting more often that their partner had concurrent sexual partners (29 % for Shangaan vs. 22 % for Sotho; p = 0.07). Sotho women reported more often having a circumcised partner (93 % for Sotho vs. 85 % for Shangaan; p = 0.007).

### Table 1 Characteristics of study participants (n = 570)

| Characteristic                        | Total (570) |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|
| Age                                   | 30 (18–49)  |
| Ethnicity                             |             |
| Sotho                                 | 310 (54)    |
| Shangaan                              | 260 (46)    |
| HIV-status                            |             |
| HIV-infected                          | 178 (31)    |
| HIV-uninfected*                       | 257 (45)    |
| Unknown                               | 131 (23)    |
| On ART if HIV-infected                |             |
| On ART                                | 94 (53)     |
| Not on ART                            | 84 (47)     |
| Marital status                        |             |
| Single                                | 301 (53)    |
| Married/engaged                       | 240 (42)    |
| Divorced/widow                        | 28 (4.9)    |
| Unemployed                            | 424 (75)    |
| Pregnant                              |             |
| Yes                                   | 98 (17)     |
| No                                    | 472 (83)    |

*Data are provided as numbers (%) and median (range). HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; STI, sexually transmitted infection
*less than six months tested negative
### Table 2
Characteristics of sexual behaviour of women by different age-groups (n = 569)

| Characteristic                                      | <25 years (n = 155) | 25-34 years (n = 210) | >34 years (n = 204) | p-value  |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------|
| **General characteristics**                         |                     |                       |                     |          |
| HIV infected                                        | 20 (17)             | 74 (47)               | 84 (52)             | <0.001   |
| Hormonal contraceptives                             | 63 (41)             | 86 (41)               | 75 (37)             | 0.66     |
| Intravaginal cleansing                              | 34 (22)             | 47 (23)               | 54 (27)             | 0.50     |
| Vaginal scarring practice                           | 6 (3.9)             | 15 (7.1)              | 14 (6.9)            | 0.38     |
| Visits bars*                                        | 33 (22)             | 26 (12)               | 17 (8.4)            | 0.001    |
| Alcohol use [before sexual intercourse]             | 18 (12)             | 26 (12)               | 12 (5.9)            | 0.061    |
| **Sexual practice in past 6 months**                |                     |                       |                     |          |
| Currently has a steady partner                      | 146 (95)            | 199 (96)              | 192 (95)            | 0.92     |
| Currently has occasional partner(s)                 | 16 (10)             | 26 (13)               | 21 (10)             | 0.73     |
| Condom use during last sex act                      | 57 (37)             | 73 (35)               | 76 (37)             | 0.86     |
| Receptive anal intercourse                          | 9 (6.0)             | 10 (5.0)              | 7 (3.7)             | 0.60     |
| Practices fellatio†                                  | 28 (19)             | 34 (17)               | 14 (7.3)            | 0.003    |
| HIV +                                               | 3 (16)              | 8 (11)                | 5 (6.6)             | 0.18     |
| HIV -                                               | 21 (23)             | 19 (24)               | 6 (8)               | 0.021    |
| **Sexual contact in past 12 months**                |                     |                       |                     |          |
| Concurrent sexual partners a                        | 25 (16)             | 45 (22)               | 17 (8.4)            | 0.001    |
| HIV +                                               | 2 (11)              | 17 (24)               | 23 (28)             | 0.18     |
| HIV -                                               | 14 (15)             | 16 (19)               | 3 (3.8)             | 0.048    |
| Sexual partner > 10 years older b                   | 27 (18)             | 40 (19)               | 59 (29)             | 0.014    |
| HIV +                                               | 2 (11)              | 17 (24)               | 23 (28)             | 0.14     |
| HIV -                                               | 23 (24)             | 16 (19)               | 29 (37)             | 0.069    |
| Sex with multiple partners                          | 4 (2.6)             | 6 (2.9)               | 3 (1.5)             | 0.61     |
| Experienced coercion                                | 34 (22)             | 52 (25)               | 50 (25)             | 0.77     |
| Experienced force                                   | 7 (4.5)             | 5 (2.4)               | 4 (2.0)             | 0.33     |
| Sex for money or material benefits                  | 2 (1.3)             | 8 (3.8)               | 2 (1.0)             | 0.096    |
| Commercial sex work                                 | 1 (0.6)             | 0 (0)                 | 1 (0.5)             | 0.54     |
| **About steady sexual partner (n = 538)**           |                     |                       |                     |          |
| Knows that partner has concurrent partners †        | 21 (14)             | 52 (26)               | 61 (32)             | 0.001    |
| Circumcised partner c                               | 136 (95)            | 169 (87)              | 161 (87)            | 0.030    |
| HIV +                                               | 17 (90)             | 55 (82)               | 60 (80)             | 0.38     |
| HIV -                                               | 86 (98)             | 71 (93)               | 68 (91)             | 0.054    |
| Knows partner’s HIV-status                          | 47 (33)             | 53 (27)               | 74 (39)             | 0.035    |
| HIV +                                               | 5 (26)              | 19 (27)               | 43 (35)             | 0.001    |
| HIV -                                               | 32 (36)             | 26 (34)               | 27 (36)             | 0.94     |

---

Data are provided as numbers (%) and median (range)

Some significant variables are further analysed and stratified for HIV infection

*Older women reported significantly less often to have concurrent sexual partners than women of 25–34 years (p < 0.001) and 18–24 years (p < 0.05)

*Partner >10y older was reported more often by older women (>34 years) compared to younger women (p < 0.05)

*Young women (<25 years) reported more often a circumcised partner than older women (p < 0.05)

*The association of women visiting bars of age <25 vs. 25–34 years and <25 vs. >34 years were significant, however no significant association was observed for women 25–34 vs. >34 years

^The association of women practicing fellatio of age <25 vs. >34 years and 25–34 vs. >34 years and were significant, however no significant association was observed for women <25 vs. 25–34 years

†The association of women knowing their partner has concurrent partners of age <25 vs. 25–34 years and <25 vs. >34 years were significant, however no significant association was observed for women 25–34 vs. >34 years
Table 3 Characteristics of sexual behaviour of Sotho and Shangaan women (n = 570)

| Characteristic                  | Sotho women (n = 310) | Shangaan women (n = 260) | p-value |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| General characteristics        |                       |                          |         |
| Age (years)                    | 29 (18–49)            | 32 (18–49)               | 0.19    |
| HIV infected                   | 90 (40)               | 88 (42)                  | 0.63    |
| Hormonal contraceptives        | 124 (40)              | 100 (39)                 | 0.74    |
| Intravaginal cleansing         | 49 (16)               | 86 (33)                  | <0.001  |
| Vaginal scarring practice      | 10 (3.2)              | 25 (9.7)                 | 0.001   |
| Sexual practice in past 6 months|                       |                          |         |
| Currently has a steady partner | 293 (95)              | 245 (95)                 | 0.91    |
| Currently has occasional partner(s) | 40 (13)             | 23 (8.9)                 | 0.13    |
| Days since last sex act        | 8 (0–180)             | 9 (0–180)                | 0.42    |
| Condom use during last sex act | 116 (38)              | 90 (35)                  | 0.49    |
| Practices fellatio             | 45 (15)               | 31 (13)                  | 0.44    |
| Receptive anal intercourse      | 16 (5.4)              | 10 (4.2)                 | 0.52    |
| Sexual contact in past 12 months|                       |                          |         |
| Concurrent sexual partners     | 60 (19)               | 27 (11)                  | 0.003   |
| Sexual partner > 10 years older| 67 (22)               | 59 (23)                  | 0.68    |
| Sex with multiple partners     | 7 (2.3)               | 6 (2.3)                  | 0.97    |
| Sex for money or material benefits| 9 (2.9)             | 3 (1.2)                  | 0.15    |
| Commercial sex work            | 1 (0.3)               | 1 (0.4)                  | 1.0     |

Data are provided as numbers (%) and median (range). HIV, Human Immunodeficiency Virus

**Discussion**

HIV-status and sexual behaviour

HIV-status was reported as positive by 178 (31 %) women and negative by 257 (45 %). One hundred and thirty-five women (24 %) were classified as unknown HIV-status and were excluded from this particular sub-analysis. HIV-infected women were older than those without HIV infection, reported a higher number of lifetime sexual partners, and were more likely to report condom use during last sex act and to have a known HIV-infected steady partner (Table 4). Hormonal contraceptives use (28 % for HIV-infected vs. 45 % for HIV-uninfected; p < 0.001), fellatio and a circumcised sexual partner were reported less often by HIV-infected women.

Condom use

Two hundred and six women (36 %) reported male and or female condom use during last sex act: 201 used a male, 2 a female and 3 women used both condoms. The top three reasons given for why a condom was not consistently used were: ‘partner does not want to’ by 184 (39 %), ‘trust partner’ by 73 (16 %) and ‘pregnancy wish’ by 58 (13 %).

Pregnant women and women who reported engaging in vaginal cleansing and RAI were less likely to report condom use during last sex act whereas single, employed women and those with occasional sexual partners were more likely to do so (Table 5). The association of condom use with pregnancy was manifested for HIV infected women (30 % for pregnant vs. 56 % for non-pregnant women; p = 0.011) while a trend was observed for HIV uninfected women (16 % for pregnant vs. 29 % for non-pregnant women; p = 0.057) and manifested for women who did not report concurrent sexual partners (20 % for pregnant vs. 38 % for non-pregnant women; p = 0.002) whereas there was no association observed for women with concurrent sexual partners (35 % for pregnant vs. 45 % for non-pregnant women; p = 0.47).

Also, women who had experienced coercion and those who had sex with a partner more than 10 years older were less likely to use a condom. (p < 0.001 and p = 0.014, respectively).
more likely to report concurrent sexual partners whereas intravaginal cleansing, vaginal scarring and knowledge of HIV-status of the steady partner were more frequent among Shangaan women. This may reflect a bias in recruitment although there was no specific selection and participants were representative of those visiting a primary healthcare facility on a random day. On the other hand, these differences may be an important observation to warrant further research which could, hypothetically, result in a design of prevention efforts to be implemented at the same facilities where our study population was recruited: For example, Sotho women could be targeted to promote safe sex in (concurrent) relationships whereas promoting safe sex to the woman’s steady sexual partner and safe vaginal practices should perhaps feature more dominantly in areas where the predominant ethnic group is Shangaan. Overall, knowledge of the partner’s HIV-status was low among women of both ethnic groups. Discussing safe sex and sexual practice may be taboo within relationships in this region and understanding the influence of cultural practices, social beliefs, financial dependency and local media is required to inform the design of intervention strategies [26–29].

The association of HIV-infected status and sexual behaviour is reasonably well-documented, but information from rural Africa and rural South Africa is limited. Our study shows that HIV-infected women reported a higher lifetime number of sexual partners, were more likely to use a condom during last sexual act, and to have a known HIV-infected partner whereas HIV negative women were more likely to use hormonal contraceptives and practice fellatio. Having a circumcised partner was significantly more frequently reported by HIV-negative women. Most of these associations have been reported before [3, 12, 19, 30]. The lower frequency of practising fellatio by HIV-infected women has been reported once

| Table 4 | Characteristics of sexual behaviour for HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected women (n = 435) |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Characteristic | HIV-infected (n = 178) | HIV-uninfected (n = 257) | p-value |
| General characteristics | | | |
| Ethnicity (Sotho vs. Shangaan) | 90 (51)/88 (49) | 136 (53)/121 (47) | 0.63 |
| Age | 34 (20–49) | 28 (18–49) | <0.001 |
| Hormonal contraceptives | 50 (28) | 116 (45) | <0.001 |
| Intravaginal cleansing | 42 (24) | 73 (28) | 0.29 |
| Visits bars | 20 (11) | 36 (14) | 0.41 |
| Alcohol use (before sex intercourse) | 15 (8.6) | 26 (10) | 0.58 |
| Lifetime number of sexual partners | 4 (1–30) | 3 (1–15) | <0.001 |
| Sexual practice in past 6 months | | | |
| Currently has a steady partner | 167 (95) | 243 (95) | 0.99 |
| Currently has occasional partner(s) | 24 (14) | 25 (9.8) | 0.21 |
| Condom use during last sex act | 91 (51) | 68 (27) | <0.001 |
| Practices fellatio | 16 (9.5) | 46 (19) | 0.010 |
| Receptive anal intercourse | 6 (3.6) | 15 (6.1) | 0.26 |
| Sexual contact in past 12 months | | | |
| Concurrent sexual partners | 33 (19) | 33 (13) | 0.095 |
| Sexual partner > 10 years older | 42 (24) | 68 (27) | 0.59 |
| Sex with multiple partners | 3 (1.7) | 6 (2.3) | 0.74 |
| Experienced coercion | 42 (24) | 76 (30) | 0.18 |
| Experienced force | 4 (2.3) | 7 (2.7) | 1.0 |
| Sex for money or material benefits | 4 (2.3) | 7 (2.7) | 1.0 |
| Commercial sex work | 1 (0.6) | 1 (0.4) | 1.0 |
| About steady sexual partner (n = 538) | | | |
| Knows that partner has concurrent partners | 46 (28) | 55 (23) | 0.26 |
| Circumcised partner | 132 (82) | 225 (94) | <0.001 |
| Knows partner’s HIV-status | 67 (40) | 85 (35) | 0.30 |
| Known HIV-infected partner | 55 (33) | 2 (0.8) | <0.001 |

Data are provided as numbers (%) and median (range). HIV, Human Immunodeficiency Virus
## Table 5 Factors associated with condom use during last sex act

| Characteristic                          | Condom use | No condom use | OR (95 % CI) | p-value |
|-----------------------------------------|------------|---------------|--------------|---------|
| Age 30 (18–49)                          | 30 (18–49) | –             | –            | 0.66    |
| Ethnicity                               |            |               |              |         |
| Sotho 116 (38)                          | 193 (63)   | –             | –            | 0.49    |
| Shangaan 90 (35)                        | 169 (65)   | –             | –            |         |
| HIV-status                              |            |               |              |         |
| HIV-infected 91 (51)                    | 86 (49)    | 2.9 (2.0–4.4) | <0.001       |
| HIV-uninfected* 68 (27)                 | 189 (74)   | –             | –            |         |
| Marital status                          |            |               |              |         |
| Single 127 (42)                         | 174 (58)   | *             | –            | 0.001   |
| Married/engaged 66 (28)                 | 173 (72)   | –             | –            |         |
| Divorced/widow 12 (44)                  | 15 (56)    | –             | –            |         |
| Employed                                |            |               |              |         |
| Yes 62 (43)                             | 81 (57)    | 1.5 (1.0–2.2) | 0.040        |
| No 143 (34)                             | 280 (66)   | –             | –            |         |
| Pregnant                                |            |               |              |         |
| Yes 22 (22)                             | 76 (78)    | 0.46 (0.28–0.76) | 0.002 |
| No 180 (39)                             | 285 (61)   | –             | –            |         |
| Hormonal contraceptives                 |            |               |              |         |
| Yes 76 (34)                             | 147 (66)   | –             | –            | 0.41    |
| No 129 (38)                             | 215 (63)   | –             | –            |         |
| Intravaginal cleansing                  |            |               |              |         |
| Yes 36 (27)                             | 99 (73)    | 0.57 (0.37–0.87) | 0.009 |
| No 168 (39)                             | 263 (61)   | –             | –            |         |
| Alcohol use [before sex intercourse]    |            |               |              |         |
| Yes 18 (32)                             | 38 (68)    | –             | –            | 0.51    |
| No 186 (37)                             | 322 (63)   | –             | –            |         |
| Lifetime number of sexual partners      |            |               |              |         |
| Yes 3 (1–15)                            | 3 (1–30)   | –             | –            | 0.16    |
| No 168 (35)                             | 312 (65)   | –             | –            |         |
| Concurrent sexual partners              |            |               |              |         |
| Yes 38 (44)                             | 49 (56)    | –             | –            | 0.12    |
| No 168 (35)                             | 312 (65)   | –             | –            |         |
| Currently has a steady partner          |            |               |              |         |
| Yes 193 (36)                            | 344 (64)   | –             | –            | 0.61    |
| No 11 (41)                              | 16 (59)    | –             | –            |         |
| Occasional partner(s)                   |            |               |              |         |
| Yes 29 (46)                             | 34 (54)    | –             | –            | 0.086   |
| No 175 (35)                             | 325 (65)   | –             | –            |         |
| Receptive anal intercourse              |            |               |              |         |
| Yes 3 (12)                              | 23 (89)    | 0.22 (0.064–0.73) | 0.007 |
| No 192 (38)                             | 318 (62)   | –             | –            |         |
| Fellatio                                |            |               |              |         |
| Yes 29 (38)                             | 47 (62)    | –             | –            | 0.72    |
| No 166 (36)                             | 295 (64)   | –             | –            |         |

Data are provided as numbers (%) and median (range). OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; HIV, Human Immunodeficiency Virus

*p < 0.001 for single vs. married (OR 1.9; 95 % CI 1.3–2.8); p = 0.82 for single vs. divorced and p = 0.07 for married vs. divorced*
before [20]. This could relate to a difference in age as HIV-infected women were older and young women were more likely to engage in fellatio or a change, e.g. reduction, in sexual activity following a positive HIV-test. The findings in our study suggest an essential difference in sexual behaviour related to HIV-status, but the study design did not allow determining temporality of this relationship.

We determined factors associated with (non-)use of condoms to support the development of prevention tools [31]. Women reporting condom use during the last sex act were more likely to be single, employed, HIV-infected and have occasional sexual partners while non-users were more likely to be pregnant, engage in RAI and intravaginal cleansing, experience coercion and have a partner more than 10 years older. These factors are well known in African populations where condom use within the marriage is unusual and pregnancy frequently associated with lower uptake of condom use [19, 32]. While alcohol use before intercourse is a known factor of sexual risk behaviour, there was no difference between condom uptake between women using alcohol before intercourse or not [33, 34]. Men in age-disparate relationships, i.e. young woman with substantially older male partner, are known to use their age-related power to undermine young women’s preferences for safer sex [35]. Efforts aimed at reducing age mixing are complicated, but may decrease the risk of HIV and STIs among young women in a traditional setting such as ours [36]. In summary it appears that non-use of condoms is related to a more traditional lifestyle and such areas may be the first target of safe sex promotion and HIV/STI prevention campaigns.

This study has several limitations. First, this study design does not allow for assessment of temporality of the observed associations and the results should be interpreted with caution. Sexual behaviour is a complex entity and we can only provide some degree of insight. Most importantly, the groups compared in this analysis could provide insight into different target groups and entry points of prevention efforts. Second, we used self-reported age, HIV-status and ethnic group. We tried to limit the effect of self-report by using strict criteria for classification of HIV-status. Third, reporting and desirability bias may have occurred although we tried to create as safe as possible environment. This could play a role in particular when considering the positive association of condom use with HIV-positive status: women are reminded regularly when collecting antiretroviral therapy to use condoms. Selection bias may have occurred and extrapolation of information should be done cautiously as we only included women visiting PHC facilities. These women are of low socio-economic status, demonstrated by the high unemployment rate reported in our study compared to the average in this area, and cannot afford private healthcare. However, the study was conducted at a representative sample of PHC facilities in the district, which provide prominent locations to implement prevention campaigns.

Conclusions
More information about heterosexual sexual behaviour in Africa is warranted to determine the relevance and potential impact of efforts aimed at modifying sexual behaviour for HIV and STI prevention. In this regard, prevention efforts at a local level should take the population’s composition of age, ethnicity and HIV-status into account when designing a tailor-made package that combines biomedical approaches with behavioural modification efforts.
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