Utility of Computed Tomography in Interval Appendectomy for Actinomycotic Appendicitis.
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Abstract

Background: Appendicitis is common medical emergency requiring surgery although currently some surgeons choose non-operative management based on the patient’s overall health status. After conservative management, surgeons usually obtain a computed tomography (CT) scan particularly when the patient’s clinical manifestations are questionable. Actinomycosis could be a rare cause of an insidious clinical presentation of appendicitis. Case Report: We report a case of appendicitis caused by actinomycosis with follow up using CT scans during non-operative management before surgery. Conclusion: Surgeons should consider actinomycosis in the differential diagnoses during non-operative management of appendicitis.
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Introduction

Actinomycosis is an extremely rare disease [1]. Only a few studies have reported cases of abdominal actinomycosis detected in the appendix [2-7], and all but one patient among the previously reported cases underwent an urgent appendectomy. We initially treated the patient with non-operative management followed by interval appendectomy, although his CT scan showed findings of acute appendicitis, we determined that the patient was in the late course of the disease. Fortunately, using a CT scan before surgery, we could confirm the response to four weeks of antibiotic therapy. We report a case of actinomycosis in a patient with appendicitis whose course was followed with a CT scan, after four weeks of antibiotic therapy.

Case Report

A 20-year-old man presented to the gastroenterology division of our hospital with a 5-day history of vague abdominal pain, which had been intermittent and long standing. Suspected to be suffering from inflammatory bowel disease, he underwent a colonoscopy two weeks later, and was noted to have acute inflammation (hyperemia, edema, erosions) around the appendiceal orifice, but no other abnormal findings including at the terminal ileum [Fig.1].

The patient was transferred to the emergency department for further evaluation to rule out appendicitis. He had no specific medical and family history. Physical examination revealed no obvious abdominal tenderness and rebound tenderness, and he had no other symptoms, such as fever, nausea, diarrhea, or hematochezia. Abdominal pain was seen to have improved from the time of his first visit to the hospital two weeks ago.

Laboratory tests showed leukocytosis (13,830/µL; 89.3%), an elevated C-reactive protein (CRP) level (1.22 mg/dL) and an elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR)
(19 mm/hour). Contrast-enhanced abdominal CT showed a 1.8 cm sized edematous wall thickening of the appendix with a tiny appendicolith and lymph node enlargement in the right lower quadrant [Fig.2A].

Based on the fact that the patient had been seen in the late course of appendicitis, non-operative management with antibiotics was chosen as the treatment strategy. Following intravenous administration of ceftriaxone and metronidazole, he was treated with oral cefpodoxime for four weeks. He did not show any change in symptoms during non-operative management and his laboratory tests were seen to normalize. A follow-up CT to determine the need for an appendectomy after four weeks of antibiotic therapy did not show any significant interval change [Fig.2B].

The patient underwent laparoscopic interval appendectomy after four weeks of non-operative management. Operative findings showed an inflamed pelvic appendix with multiple adhesions between it and adjacent organs. On gross examination, the serosal surface of the appendix, measuring 5.5 cm in length and 0.8 cm in diameter, was covered with fibrinous exudates, and an impacted appendicolith in its lumen. Histologically the specimen revealed granulomatous appendicitis [Fig.3A]. A typical sulfur granule, surrounded by heavy neutrophilic infiltration, was observed in the mucosa [Fig.3B]. Filamentous organisms were identified in the sulfur granule using Grocott’s methenamine silver stain [Fig.3C], confirming a diagnosis of actinomycotic appendicitis. The patient had an uneventful recovery and received long-term antibiotic therapy with penicillin.

Discussion

Actinomycosis is a rare and clinically slowly progressive disease [1,8]. With an incidence rate between 1/300,000 and 1/1,000,000 [9], abdominal actinomycosis (AA) constitutes 20% of all cases of actinomycosis [2]. The appendix and ileocecal region are common sites of involvement[9] and 65% of AA cases are associated with acute appendicitis [3].
The diagnosis of AA is difficult before surgery because it is a slowly progressive disease manifesting with non-specific signs and symptoms, laboratory, and radiological findings [9,10]. Therefore, in most cases diagnosis is possible only after the time of surgery, during which histologically, the specimen typically is characterized by a sulfur granule surrounded by inflamed tissue [8]. Once a diagnosis of AA is made, long-term antibiotic therapy is required to eradicate Actinomycetaceae bacteria and prevent local recurrence. Although there are no specific guidelines, penicillin is known to be effective in AA. If penicillin cannot be used, tetracycline, erythromycin, doxycyline, and clindamycin are other options [1]. The duration of antibiotic therapy depends upon the patient’s baseline intra-abdominal status.

Only a few cases of appendicitis arising from actinomycosis have been reported [2-7]. However, performing an interval appendectomy and tracking with CT examination during the period of non-operative management is where our case differs from previously reported ones. All patients except one among the previously reported cases underwent urgent surgery because of symptoms or radiologic findings of acute appendicitis and peri-appendiceal abscess. One patient among the previously reported cases [2] underwent interval appendectomy after CT-guided peri-appendiceal drainage and was not followed with CT before surgery.

Our case demonstrated that actinomycosis should be considered in patients with appendicitis, and a follow-up CT may be necessary in the presence of non-specific clinical manifestations. Actinomycosis must be considered especially if there is no change noted on CT examination. It is unclear whether initiation of antibiotic therapy affects prognosis, but we demonstrated that if we had recognized the condition early, we could have decided upon early operation to confirm diagnosis. To conclude, we report the case of a patient with appendicitis due to actinomycosis, who underwent interval appendectomy after four weeks of antibiotic therapy.

**Conclusion**

Although rare, surgeons should consider actinomycosis, with a high index of suspicion, in the differential diagnoses during non-operative management of appendicitis.
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