Abstract

This paper proposes a novel non-oscillatory pattern (NOP) learning scheme for several oscillatory data analysis problems including signal decomposition, super-resolution, and signal sub-sampling. To the best of our knowledge, the proposed NOP is the first algorithm for these problems with fully non-stationary oscillatory data with close and crossover frequencies, and general oscillatory patterns. NOP is capable of handling complicated situations while existing algorithms fail; even in simple cases, e.g., stationary cases with trigonometric patterns, numerical examples show that NOP admits competitive or better performance in terms of accuracy and robustness than several state-of-the-art algorithms.

1 Introduction

This paper concerns oscillatory data defined on a time domain \([0, T]\) of the form:

\[
f(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} f_k(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} a_k(t) s_k(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{n} \hat{s}_k(n) a_k(t) e^{in\phi_k(t)},
\]

(1)

Here \(a_k\) and \(\phi_k\) are the latent instantaneous amplitude and phase functions of the \(k\)th component, which are assumed to be smooth over time. The derivative of a phase function \(\phi_k\) is called an instantaneous frequency function and denoted as \(\omega_k\). \(s_k\) is a periodic shape function with periodicity one satisfying \(\int s_k(t) dt = 0\) and it has a unit L2-norm on \([0, 1]\).

Oscillatory data in Model (1) arise in numerous applications \([36, 25, 1, 44, 22, 18, 46, 43, 5, 32, 45, 19, 3, 21, 20, 16, 4, 28]\) and data analysis of this kind has been an active research field for decades. Usually only \(f(t)\) (and sometimes \(K\)) is available and the goal is to estimate \(a_k, \phi_k\) (or \(\omega_k\)), and \(s_k\) from \(f(t)\). Hence, this is a general problem including and generalizing sub-problems like adaptive time-frequency analysis \([2, 8, 42]\), empirical mode decomposition \([17, 38, 37]\), super-resolution \([21, 20, 16, 4, 28]\), pattern recognition \([47]\), etc. In spite of many successful algorithms for solving these sub-problems, to the best of our knowledge, there is no existing algorithm fulfilling the ultimate goal of estimating \(a_k, \phi_k\) (or \(\omega_k\)), and \(s_k\) when \(f(t)\) is fully non-stationary with close and crossover frequencies, and general shape functions. Many existing algorithms require high sampling rate for better accuracy and robustness, which is not practical due to the limit of battery capacity of mobile devices that collect oscillatory data, e.g. portable health monitors.

Fig. 1 shows a synthetic example of Model (1) when \(K = 2, a_k \equiv 1, \phi_1(t) = 15t - \cos(t), \phi_2(t) = 20t + \sin(t), s_1(t) = s_1^\alpha(t),\) and \(s_2(t) = s_2^\beta(t).\) \(f(t)\) is in fact a superposition of infinitely many deformed planewaves due to the Fourier series expansion of shape functions. Hence, close and crossover frequencies are unavoidable. In terms of frequencies, due to Heisenberg uncertainty principle, time-frequency analysis methods \([2, 8, 42]\) are not able to estimate these instantaneous frequencies; due to the fully non-stationary nature of \(f(t)\), existing super-resolution methods \([21, 20, 16, 4, 28]\) are not able to estimate frequencies \(\omega_k(t)\). Moreover, existing GP based methods \([35, 23]\)
We start with a simple case when the signal \( f \) is given, is not trivial. This motivates us to introduce a new set of auxiliary points, referred to as the non-oscillatory pattern (NOP) learning scheme, the first framework that can estimate \( a_k, \phi_k \) (or \( \omega_k \)), and \( s_k \) simultaneously from \( f(t) \). NOP repeatedly applies a two-stage iteration until convergence. In the first stage, fixing rough estimates of shapes, a novel non-stationary GP regression is proposed to estimate amplitude and phase functions. A novel set of auxiliary points, referred to as the pattern inducing points, are introduced for this purpose. As opposed to traditional stationary kernels, non-stationary kernel functions in our GP model approximately transform a non-stationary data analysis problem into a stationary one, greatly reducing the regression difficulty. Furthermore, we propose to embed the information of rough shape estimates into the GP regression model, reducing a deep GP regression problem \([47, 7, 6]\) with a composition of two latent variables (e.g. the composition of a shape function and a phase function) into a simpler problem with only one latent variable, the phase function. This significantly reduces the computational cost and difficulty in the regression.

In the second stage, fixing rough estimates of amplitudes and phases, a non-stationary GP regression is proposed or other iterative regression methods in \([31, 39, 41]\) is applied to estimate shapes. The main difference of the proposed non-stationary GP regression in the second stage to existing methods is that, the variance of the point estimate of shape functions is simple to derive.

The first and second stages of the algorithm are introduced in Sections 2 and 3 respectively. Section 4 summarizes NOP. As we shall see in the numerical examples in Section 5, NOP works for a wide range of signals in Model (1) and the performance is not sensitive to initialization.

### 2 Estimation of the instantaneous information

In this section, we assume the shape functions \( \{s_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq K} \) are known and aim at estimating the phase and amplitude functions \( \{\phi_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq K} \) and \( \{\alpha_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq K} \), respectively.

#### 2.1 Main ideas

We start with a simple case when the signal \( f(t) = s \circ \phi(t) \). Assume a non-stationary GP \( f(t) \sim GP(0, k(t, t')) \), and a stationary GP \( s(t) \sim GP(0, k(t, t')) \), where \( k(\cdot, \cdot) \) is the automatic relevance determination (ARD) \([27]\) squared exponential (SE) kernel:

\[
k(x, x') = \beta_{\text{SE}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{\text{SE}} (x - x')^2 \right),
\]

with kernel parameters \( \beta_{\text{SE}} \) and \( \alpha_{\text{SE}} \). Now we consider the phase function \( \phi \) as the latent variable of the GP \( f \), and claim the resulting GP is periodic and stationary in the domain \( \phi \). This is because \( f(t) = f(\phi^{-1} \circ \phi(t)) = s(\phi(t)) \), by plugging in the new input \( x = \phi(t) \), the corresponding output is \( f(t) = f \circ \phi^{-1}(x) = s(x) \), i.e., any point \( \phi(t), f(t) \) lies on the curve \( s(\cdot) \). \( t \mapsto \phi \mapsto f \) seems to be a deep/hierarchical GP model \([6]\), but in fact this ‘unwarping’ process directly removes the first GP layer while keeping the second layer stationary. This is a crucial idea for success of our NOP. And we write the one layer GP with input \( \phi \) as \( f(\phi) \sim GP(0, k(\phi, \phi')) \) for \( \phi_k = \phi(t) \).

Next, we introduce a point estimate of the phase function \( \phi(t) \) as a latent variable. Suppose \( y \in \mathbb{R}^N \) is the observations (contaminated by white-noise with standard deviation \( \sigma \)) of \( f(t) \) at time locations \( t \in \mathbb{R}^N \). Denote \( \phi = \phi(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \) and \( f = s(\phi) \in \mathbb{R}^N \). As can be seen, a direct inference of the latent \( \phi \), even when \( s \) is given, is not trivial. This motivates us to introduce a new set of auxiliary points for the GP to retrieve the latent input variable \( \phi \), and to reveal the underlining patterns for.

\[\text{\footnotesize(We will use bold font for vectors.)}\]
Model (1) when \(s\) is not known. We refer to this novel set of auxiliary points as the **pattern inducing points**, denoted as \((z, u)\), where \(z \in \mathbb{R}^M\) is the input variable and \(u \in \mathbb{R}^M\) is the respective output evaluated at the inducing locations \(z\). Usually we uniformly discretize and fix \(z\) at \(M\) phase locations in \([0, L]\), generally \(L \geq 1\).

Similar to sparse inducing points \([30, 33]\), the pattern inducing points are treated as variational parameters, and can be updated. They possess the benefits of both sparse inducing points and training points \([27]\), but aim to reveal the true underlying pattern of Model (1) and are endowed with specific meanings. The intuition behind the pattern inducing points \((z, u)\) is that they serve as certain **landmarks**, shown as the green dots (input \(z\) as the green triangles) in Fig. 2 of the true underlying pattern \(s\) (shaded green line). The latent input \(\phi\) (red right triangle in Fig. 2) can drive the dataset \((\phi, y)\) (red dots in Fig. 2) across the phase domain (in Fig. 2a) from (top) the initial wrong \(\phi\) to (middle) to (bottom) the correct \(\phi\), to match the underlying pattern \(s(t)\) (in green) by these landmarks. The \(\phi\) that matches the dataset \((\phi, y)\) with the landmark points \((z, u)\) is the correct \(\phi\) since \((\phi(t), f(t)) = (x, s(x))\) for \(x = \phi(t)\). So this process is also referred to as a **pattern driven method** for Model (1).

Note that setting of \(L > 1\) with the SE kernel, is much more stable than the setting of \(L = 1\) with the periodic kernel \([27]\), which is highly non-convex and can be trapped at much more local minima validated by our numerical result.

Let \(q(u)\) be the variational distribution to approximate the posterior distribution of the inducing variable \(u\). For the purpose of computational efficiency, we adopt \(q(u) \sim \mathcal{N}(\alpha_u, \Sigma_u)\), where \(\alpha_u \in \mathbb{R}^M\) is the mean of \(u\) and the variance matrix \(\Sigma_u \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times M}\) of \(u\) is diagonal. In this section since \(s(t)\) has been observed, \(\alpha_u = u\) and \(\Sigma_u = 0\). When the auxiliary pattern inducing points are ready, we design the following data-pattern matching process in a standard GP setting by encoding the latent variable \(\phi\) in the GP kernel. Conditioning on \(u\) and \(\phi\), the likelihood of \(f\) becomes

\[
p(f|u, \phi) = \frac{p(f, u|\phi)}{p(u)} = \mathcal{N}(K_{NM}K_{MM}^{-1}u, K_{NN} - K_{NM}K_{MM}^{-1}K_{MN}),
\]

By further marginalizing out \(f\) and \(u\) out, we have

\[
p(y|\phi) = \mathcal{N}(K_{NM}K_{MM}^{-1}\alpha_u, K_{NN} - K_{NM}K_{MM}^{-1}K_{MN} + \Sigma_u + \sigma^2I_N). \tag{4}
\]

Here, \(I_N\) is an identity matrix of size \(N \times N\), \(K_{NN}\) is an \(N \times N\) covariance matrix, \((K_{NM})_{ij} = k(\phi_i, \phi_j)\) for \(\phi \in \mathbb{R}^N\), and similarly, \((K_{MM})_{ij} = k((z)_i, (z)_j)\) for \(z \in \mathbb{R}^M\). Hence, a point estimate of the latent variable \(\phi\) conditioning on \(q(u)\) can be computed by Bayesian approach using Eqn. (4).

In the case of multi-components as in Model (1), we denote \(\phi_k \in \mathbb{R}^N,\ u_k \in \mathbb{R}^M\) for the \(k\)th component, and \(f = \sum_{k=1}^K f_k = \sum_{k=1}^K k(\phi_k) \in \mathbb{R}^N\). Assuming the independence among variables of the same type, e.g., among \(\{\phi_k\}\), among \(\{u_k\}\), etc., Eqn. (4) can be generalized to

\[
p(y|\Phi) = \mathcal{N} \left( \sum_{k=1}^K K_{NM,k}K_{MM,k}^{-1}\alpha_{u_k} + \sum_{k=1}^K (K_{NN,k} - K_{NM,k}K_{MM,k}^{-1}K_{MN,k}) + \Sigma_{u_k} \right) + \sigma^2I_N \tag{5}
\]

where \(\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times K}\) consists of latent variables \(\phi_k\) as the \(k\)th column, \(K_{NN,k}\), \(K_{NM,k}\), \(K_{MM,k}\), and \(K_{MN,k}\) are defined for covariance matrices of the \(k\)th component similarly to the case of one component.

When we have time-varying smooth amplitude functions \(a_k(t)\) as in Model (1), let \(\alpha_k = a_k(t)\), then \(\{\alpha_k\}\) are a new set of latent variables of \(f\). In this case, Eqn. (5) takes the form:

\[
p(y|A, \Phi) = \mathcal{N} \left( \sum_{k=1}^K a_k \odot K_{NM,k}K_{MM,k}^{-1}\alpha_{u_k} + \sum_{k=1}^K a_k a_k^T \odot (K_{NN,k} - K_{NM,k}K_{MM,k}^{-1}K_{MN,k} + \Sigma_{u_k}) + \sigma^2I_N \right) \tag{6}
\]

---

2Denote \((\cdot)_i\) as the \(i\)th entry of a vector, and \((\cdot)_{ij}\) as the \(ij\)th entry of a matrix.
where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times K}$ consists of $a_k$ as its $k$-th column.

To accelerate the computation, instead of applying the Bayesian approach with Eqn. (6), we directly fit the mean value of the Gaussian process with the observations $y$ via a least square (LS) problem:

$$
\min_{A,\Phi} \left\| y - \sum_{k=1}^{K} a_k \odot \hat{K}_{NM,k} K_{M,k}^{-1} \alpha_k \right\|_2^2,
$$

where $\Phi$ has been encoded in the kernel matrices in the minimization objective function.

### 2.2 Adaptive local estimation

The optimization problem in (7) is non-convex and there is no strong prior of $\phi_k$ and $a_k$ to provide a good initialization. Note that in most applications, $\phi_k$ and $a_k$ usually smoothly vary in time. This motivates us to generate signal patches and locally parametrize the phase and amplitude functions with low-degree polynomials in Eqn. (7). Within each patch, the non-stationary signal becomes much more stationary. As we shall see later, our numerical experiments show that degree $d \leq 2$ is sufficiently good.

For each observation patch (also denoted as $y$), let $B$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}^{(d+1) \times K}$ be the matrices consisting of the coefficients of the $d$-degree polynomials representing the $K$ amplitude and phase functions, respectively. Then we can specify the amplitude and phase functions with $B$ and $C$ by the following LS problem:

$$
\min_{B,C} \left\| y - \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{d} (B)_{jk} t^j \right) \odot \hat{K}_{NM,k} K_{M,k}^{-1} \alpha_k \right\|_2^2,
$$

where $\odot$ is the entry-wise dot product, and $C$ has been absorbed in the covariance matrices.

Once the polynomial coefficients $B$ and $C$ of the amplitudes and phases in Eqn. (8) have been specified, they provide a local point estimate of the amplitude and phase functions, which can be used to obtain a global point estimate via a robust curve fitting algorithm [10, 11]. A standard moving average can be applied to estimate the variance of the point estimate.

### 3 Estimation of shape functions

In this section, we assume the phase and amplitude functions $\{\phi_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq K}$ and $\{a_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq K}$ are known and estimate the shape functions $\{s_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq K}$. We do not aim at closed formulas for $s_k(t)$. As introduced in Section 2, it is sufficient to estimate the pattern inducing variables $z_k$ and $u_k \in \mathbb{R}^M$ to represent $s_k(t)$.

When amplitude and phase functions are given, shape function estimation methods have been studied previously in [31, 32, 33]. These methods achieve high accuracy when amplitude and phase function estimates are close to the ground truth. There is no quantitative criteria to measure how well the shape function estimate performs when the amplitude and phase function estimate is not very good. This motivates us to apply variational inference, following [33], that explicitly expresses the distribution of the pattern inducing variables in a joint form. Please refer to Supplemental Material (SM) Section A and Section B for details of these three well-established approaches.

### 4 Overview of NOP

NOP repeatedly applies a two-stage iteration until convergence. We have introduced the first stage in Section 2, given rough estimates of shapes, a non-stationary GP regression is applied to estimate amplitude and phase functions. The second stage has been introduced in Section 3: given rough estimates of amplitudes and phases, several regression methods can be adopted to estimate shapes. Hence, a complete algorithm description can be summarized in Algorithm 1 below. The respective prediction formulation of Algorithm 1 is derived in SM Section C.

In many applications, e.g. ECG and photoplethysmogram data analysis, heuristic properties of the physical system are available and we know the rough range of instantaneous frequencies $\omega_k$. Hence, we can apply a band-pass filter to $f(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} a_k(t) s_k(\phi_k(t))$, and then estimate $a_k$ and $\phi_k$ of $\hat{s}_k(1) a_k(t) e^{i \phi_k(t)}$ in a certain frequency band using traditional time-frequency analysis methods [8, 32] to initialize $\{a_k\}$ and $\{\phi_k\}$ following the method in [40].
Another simple initialization is to let \( \mathbf{u}_k = \sin(\mathbf{z}_k) \). Since we adopt local patch analysis in Section 2 components after Fourier series expansion, \( \{\tilde{s}_k(1)\alpha_k(t)e^{j\phi_k(t)}\}_k \), become approximately orthogonal to each other in a short time domain. Hence, the LS in (7) is able to recover the amplitude and phase functions corresponding to \( \{\tilde{s}_k(1)\} \) since they usually have the largest \( K \) magnitude.

The LS problems are non-convex and hence we cannot guarantee convergence to the global minimizer. However, the iterative scheme seems to provide very good results and the algorithm generally converges after only a few iterations. The good performance of NOP might come from the fact that once the amplitude and phase function estimates are roughly good (might not be the global minimizer of LS problems), the shape estimation step can quickly provide very good estimate of shape functions, which can be guaranteed if we adopt methods in [31, 39, 41]. \( h \) in Algorithm 1 specifies whether we update shape functions first or not; if the initialization of shape functions are better than those of amplitude and phase functions, we choose \( h = 1 \); otherwise, we choose \( h = 0 \). The global convergence analysis would be an interesting future work.

### 5 Experiments

In this section, we provide numerical examples to demonstrate the performance of NOP, especially in the case of super-resolution and adaptive time-frequency analysis. LS problems in all examples are solved by Adam [14] aiming at better local minimizers. We choose degree-1 (or degree-2 when specified) polynomials to approximate local amplitude and phase functions in these LS problems. The hyperparameters of NOP are set as follows: noise level \( \sigma = 10^{-0.8} \), \( \alpha^{SE} = 2 \times 10^3 \), and \( \beta^{SE} = 1 \). In the local patch analysis, we generate signal patches such that each patch contains approximately 3 to 10 periods. In the tests for super-resolution, we repeat the same test with 10 noise realizations for the purpose of using the expectation and variance of estimation error to measure the performance of different algorithms. \( \Delta \omega \) and \( \Delta \phi \) denote the point-wise estimation error.

#### 5.1 Super-resolution spectral estimation

There has been substantial research for the super-resolution problem that aims at estimating time-invariant amplitudes and frequencies in a signal \( f(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} a_k e^{j\omega_k t} \) with \( a_k > 0 \), \( \omega_k > 0 \), and \( \{\omega_k\} \) are very close. Among many possible choices, the baseline models might be MUSIC [29], ME [4, 12, 13], and ESPRIT [28]. Hence, we will compare NOP with these methods\(^4\) to show the advantages of NOP. Although the Fourier transform usually fails [29] to identify \( \{a_k\} \) and \( \{\omega_k\} \), we use its results as the initialization for NOP.

**Accuracy and robustness with different spectral gaps** In this experiment, we use \( f^{(1)}(t) = s_1(2\pi\omega_1 t) + s_2(2\pi\omega_2 t) + \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2) \), where the two instantiations of \( s_1(\cdot)/s_2(\cdot) \) are (i) \( s_1 = \cos/s_2 = \sin \)

\(^4\)Code to be appear in [https://github.com/david-dunson](https://github.com/david-dunson)
\(^5\)Code from [http://people.ece.umn.edu/~georgiou/files/HRTSA/SpecAn.html](http://people.ece.umn.edu/~georgiou/files/HRTSA/SpecAn.html)
In this section, we show the capacity of NOP for estimating close and crossover time-varying instantaneous frequencies. An adaptive time-frequency analysis algorithm, ConceFT [9], is used as a comparison. And local approximation degree is set to \( d = 2 \) in this section.

Accuracy and robustness with different sampling rates In this experiment, we set \( f^{(2)}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{2} a_k \sin(\omega_k t) \) with \( a_1 = 0.5, a_2 = 1, \omega_1 = 0.1, \) and \( \omega_2 = 0.15 \). The sampling rate of this signal is still 1 Hz and the numbers of samples are \( N = 64, 128, 256, \) and 1024 to generate four sets of test data. There are two different kinds of noise to generate noisy test data: 1) white Gaussian noise \( \mathcal{N}(0, 0.35) \) is directly added to \( f(t) \); 2) a stochastic process in \( t \) with i.i.d. uniform distribution in \([0, 2\pi]\) is added to phase functions \( \{\omega_k t\}_{1 \leq k \leq 2} \). Fig. 4 summarizes the results of frequency estimates in this experiment. ESPRIT and MUSIC lose accuracy in all tests. NOP and ME achieve high accuracy when the number of samples is large and NOP is slightly better than ME in terms of accuracy and estimation bias.

5.2 Estimation of time-variant frequencies

In this section, we show the capacity of NOP for estimating close and crossover time-varying instantaneous frequencies. An adaptive time-frequency analysis algorithm, ConceFT [9], is used as a comparison. And local approximation degree is set to \( d = 2 \) in this section.
Close frequencies and phase estimation error We use \( f^{(3)} (t) = s_1 (2 \pi (10/10.24 t^2) + 230/10.24 t^2) + s_2 (2 \pi ((10/10.24 + \delta_0) t + 250/10.24 t^2)) \), where the two instantiations of \( s_1 / s_2 (\cdot) \) are (i) \( s_1 = \cos \omega_2 = \sin \) (Fig. 5(b)) and (ii) \( s_1 = \sin \omega_2 = \cos \) as in Fig. 1 and Fig. 5(c). \( \delta_0 \) varies from \(-5/10.24 \) to \( 5/10.24 \). The white noise \( \sigma_0 \) has standard deviation \( \{0, 0.2, 0.5, 1\} \). We apply short-time Fourier transform [15] to identify rough estimates of instantaneous frequencies and use them as the initialization in this test. When instantaneous frequencies are very close, the initialization is very poor; however, NOP still can identify instantaneous frequencies and phases with a reasonably good accuracy. Result is summarized in Fig. 5.

Fig. 5(a) is the ground truth time-frequency representation of ten tested signals with different value of \( \delta_0 \) on \( \omega_2 (t) \). The difference between \( \omega_2 (t) \) (green line) and \( \omega_1 (t) \) (red line) are pretty difficult to be detected by existing time-frequency methods. The log error of the point-wise averaged frequency estimate is shown in the first row of of Fig. 5(b) and (c) on different noise levels \( \sigma_0 \). The log error of point-wise averaged phase estimate (bottom row) is consistently small as \( \delta_0 \) changes. Under large noise case with \( \sigma_0 = 1 \), NOP controls the phase error approximate or below the level of 0.05. Existing time-frequency analysis methods usually estimate instantaneous frequencies first and then integrate them to obtain instantaneous phases, which suffers from accumulated error. However, NOP has no accumulated error.

Close and crossover frequencies In this experiment, we generate a signal consisting of two components with close instantaneous frequencies and a signal with two crossover instantaneous frequencies. Fig. 6 visualizes the ground truth instantaneous frequencies, the time-frequency distribution by ConceFT, the initialization and the estimation results of NOP. ConceFT cannot visualize the instantaneous frequencies even if in the noiseless case. We average out the energy distribution of ConceFT to obtain the initialization of NOP. Although the initialization is very poor, NOP is still able to estimate the instantaneous frequencies with a reasonably good accuracy no matter in clean or noisy cases. However, when the number of components \( K \) is known, we can average out the energy band to obtain one instantaneous frequency function and initialize all instantaneous frequencies in NOP using this function. We will show how to handle this special initialization in SM Section D.

5.3 Estimation of amplitudes, phases, and shapes simultaneously

Finally, we apply NOP to estimate amplitudes, phases, and shapes simultaneously from a single record. First, we generate a synthetic example \( f^{(6)} (t) = \sum_{k=1}^{2} s_k \cos (\omega_k t) + N (0, 0.2) \), where \( \omega_1 = 3.88/1.024, \omega_2 = 4.88/1.024 \), and the shapes are visualized in Fig. 7. The sampling rate for this signal is 100 Hz and we sample it at 100 locations. The shape estimates are initialized as \( \cos \) and \( \sin \) for the first and second components, respectively (see Fig. 7(b) and (c)). The frequency estimates are initialized as one constant centered in the peak spectrogram by ConceFT (see Fig. 7(a)). As we can see in Fig. 7(b) and (c), NOP is able to estimate shape functions with a reasonably good accuracy and the reconstructed components match the ground truth components very well.
6 Conclusion

This paper proposes a novel non-oscillatory pattern (NOP) learning scheme for several oscillatory data analysis problems including signal decomposition, super-resolution, and signal sub-sampling. To the best of our knowledge, the proposed NOP is the first algorithm for these problems with fully non-stationary oscillatory data with close and crossover frequencies, and general oscillatory patterns. Numerical examples have shown the advantage of NOP over several state-of-the-art algorithms and NOP is able to handle complicated examples for which existing algorithms fail. NOP could be a very useful tool for pattern analysis for oscillatory data. Although we cannot prove the global convergence of NOP, NOP seems to provide very good results in all of our tests. It is interesting to study the global convergence of NOP in the future.

Figure 6: Instantaneous frequency estimates for signals with close and crossover frequencies. (a) ground truth instantaneous frequencies and initialization of NOP. (b) estimated instantaneous frequencies for clean signals. (c) estimated instantaneous frequencies for noisy signals. (d) time-frequency distribution by ConceFT.

Figure 7: NOP is applied to estimate the amplitude, phase, and shapes of a synthetic signal $f^{(6)}(t)$ consisting of two components. (a) the time-frequency distribution of $f^{(6)}(t)$ by ConceFT in two different frequency ranges. ConceFT cannot reveal the ground truth instantaneous frequencies (in red and green). But we can initialize NOP by averaging out the distribution (see the dash pink line). (b) and (c) the ground truth shape functions and their estimates. (d) the noisy signal $f^{(6)}(t)$ and the reconstructed components by NOP.

In the second example, we apply NOP to a real signal from photoplethysmogram (PPG) (see Fig. 8 (b)). The shape estimates are still initialized as $\cos$ and $\sin$ for the two components, and $N = 100$ samples are involved. The PPG signal contains two components corresponding to the health condition of the heart and lung in a human body.

Figure 8: (a) PPG signal. (b) reconstructed components of the PPG signal. These two components were reconstructed from only a small portion of the samples of the original PPG raw data as visualized in the bottom figure.
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A  Joint pattern describing points

Figure 9: Pattern inducing points of a signal containing two shape functions $s_1(t)$ and $s_2(t)$ with $z$ supported and arranged in the one $\mathbb{R}^2$ block. (ab): are two views of these rearranged auxiliary points.

For method (iii), when the influence of the amplitude function is negligible, another natural approach is to apply the variational inference following [33]. We can explicitly express the distribution of the pattern inducing points in a joint form $(Z, u)$, where $u \in \mathbb{R}^M$, $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times K}$ and $M = \prod_{k=1}^{K} M_k$ with $u, z \in \mathbb{R}^{M_k}$. The $m$th row of $Z$ is denoted as $Z_m = ((z_1)_{(m,1)},\ldots,(z_K)_{(m,K)})$, and the respective $m$th entry of the joint $u = \sum_{k=1}^{K} u_k$ is expected to approximate $\sum_{k=1}^{K} s_k((z_k)_{(m,k)})$. One exemplified $(Z, u)$ is shown in Fig. 9. The goal is to maximize a lower bound of $p(y|\Phi)$ (\Phi is fixed and thus dropped for now) given as

$$\log p(y) = \log \int p(y, f, u)dfdu = \log \int q(f, u) \frac{p(y|f)p(u)}{q(u)} dfdu \quad (9)$$

$$\geq \int q(u) \log \frac{p(u)N(y; K_{NM}K_{MM}^{-1}u, \sigma^2I_N)}{q(u)} du - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \text{Tr}(K_{NN} - K_{NM}K_{MM}^{-1}K_{MN}). \quad (10)$$

To reduce the unnecessary computational complexity, the periodic kernel takes the form of

$$k(x, x') = \beta^{\text{Period}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k^{\text{Period}} \sin^2(\pi |x_k - x'_k|) \right). \quad (11)$$

By applying an inverse Jensen equality on Eqn. (10), we obtain the variational maximizer of the lower bound as

$$q(u) \propto N(\Sigma^{-2}K_{MM}\Sigma K_{MN}y, K_{MM}\Sigma K_{MM}), \quad (12)$$

where $\Sigma = (K_{MM} + \sigma^{-2}K_{MN}K_{NN})^{-1}$. Then we can further get $\alpha_u = \sigma^{-2}K_{MM}\Sigma K_{MN}y$ and $\Sigma_u = K_{MM}\Sigma K_{MM}$. The mean field approximation and a $K$-dimensional ANOVA is applied to retrieve $q(u_k) = N(\alpha_{u_k}, \Sigma_{u_k})$ for $k = 1,\ldots,K$, in closed forms from the joint estimation of $q(u)$. The separated estimates of $q(u_k)$ can be approximated for the respective shape functions $s_k$.

Computational details are listed in the following section.

B  Update pattern inducing points

We start from (iii) under the situation that the influence of the amplitude function $a_k(t)$ is very weak and a point estimate of the latent input $\Phi$ is given.

One natural approach is to apply the variational inference following [33], which explicitly expresses the distribution of inducing points $(Z, u)$ by maximizing a lower bound of $p(y|\Phi)$ ($\Phi$ is dropped in
which is

\[
\log p(y) = \int q(u) \log \mathcal{N}(y; \mathbf{K}M^{-1} \mathbf{u}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}) \, du - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \text{Tr}(\mathbf{K}_{NN} - \mathbf{K}M^{-1} \mathbf{K}_{MM}).
\]

By applying the inverse Jensen Inequality on the first term, the variational maximizer of the lower bound is derived as

\[
q(u) \propto p(u) \exp \mathcal{N}(y; \mathbf{K}M^{-1} \mathbf{u}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})
\]

\[
\propto \mathcal{N}(\sigma^{-2} \mathbf{K}M \Sigma \mathbf{K}M \mathbf{y}, \Sigma \mathbf{K}M \Sigma \mathbf{K}M\mathbf{y})
\]

In the above equations, \(\Sigma = (\mathbf{K}M \Sigma + \sigma^{-2} \mathbf{K}N \mathbf{M}^{-1})^{-1}\), \(\alpha_u = \sigma^{-2} \mathbf{K}M \Sigma \mathbf{K}M \mathbf{y}\) and \(\Sigma_u = \mathbf{K}M \Sigma \mathbf{K}M\). The mean field approximation is applied to \(\mathbf{K}M \Sigma \mathbf{K}M\mathbf{y}\). Then, we optimize \(\mathbf{K}M \Sigma \mathbf{K}M\mathbf{y}\) and \(\mathbf{K}M \Sigma \mathbf{K}M\mathbf{y}\) by

\[
\alpha_u = \text{argmin}_{\alpha_u} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \| (\alpha_u)_m - \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\alpha_u)_k \|_2,
\]

and

\[
\sum_{u_1}^{\text{diag}}, \ldots, \sum_{u_K}^{\text{diag}} = \text{argmin}_{\sum_{u_1}^{\text{diag}}, \ldots, \sum_{u_K}^{\text{diag}}} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \| (\sum_{u_1}^{\text{diag}})_m - \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\sum_{u_k}^{\text{diag}})_k \|_2.
\]

The above optimization problem is essentially a \(K\) dimensional ANOVA and can be solved in closed form.

The separated estimates of \(q(u_k)\) serve as an approximation for the respective shape functions \(s_k\).

Method (i) can be implemented by a nonlinear band truncation on the respective time-frequency domain obtained by the ConcekFT, STFT, etc. Fig. 10 shows an example of such nonlinear truncation. As can be seen, after this adaptive frequency filtering, only \(K\) respective low frequency part of each mode is kept. \(f_k\) is transformed from the original \(\hat{f}_k = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{s_k}{\sqrt{m}}(\phi_k(t))\) to \(f_k \approx \sum_{k=1}^{K} \cos(\phi_k(t) + \phi_k^0)\), or \(f_k \approx \sum_{k=1}^{K} \cos(\phi_k(t) + \phi_k^0 + \pi/2)\). \(\phi_k^0\) denotes for unknown initial value of the phase function.

The general idea of the approaches in Method (ii) is to apply a nonlinear diffeomorphism on the signal according to the updated latent phase function \(\phi_k\). Then apply adaptive regression to further guarantee certain properties of the underlying pattern. We refer the readers to [59, 41, 31].

### C Prediction

In this part, we introduce how to make predictions on the signals or to generate new samples by NOP with updated output \(A, \Phi, \) and \((Z, u)\) or \(q(u)\) or \(q(u)\). Since the amplitude function \(a_k\) and the frequency function \(f_k\) are assumed to be smooth, we can put a GP prior on both of them and treat the updated \(A, \Phi\) as respective learning points. The updated patterning inducing points \((Z, u)\) are directly plugged in for inference.

If we want to predict on \(d\) new time points \(t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d\), the respective predicted value of \(f_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d\) can be obtained as following. The first sample of \(\Phi_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times K}\) with respect to \(t_0\) is drawn from \(p(\Phi|t_0, y)\). \(A_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times K}\) of \(t_0\) from \(p(A|t_0, y)\) is drawn by the following equation
Figure 10: Applying an adaptive filter to change $\sum_{k=1}^{K} s_k(\phi_k(t))$ into $\sum_{k=1}^{K} \cos(\phi_k(t) + \phi_0^k)$.

(a) ConceFT

(b) Truncated ConceFT

Considering the robust local minimum of $\Phi_0$ when the initialized instantaneous frequency of different components are set to a same function.

This actually works pretty well when no crossover happened for $\omega_1(t)$ and $\omega_2(t)$, which is shown in Fig. 11 (a). However, when the crossover happens, as with the second row in Fig. 7, this simple clustering method will fail, as shown in Fig. 11 (b).

In this section, we introduce an ad hoc approach to tackle the non-distinguishable problem arises when the initialized instantaneous frequency of different components are set to a same function. For the estimated frequencies $(t, \omega_1)$ and $(t, \omega_1)$, where $t, \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we want to distinguish components with instantaneous frequency $(\omega_1)_i$ or $(\omega_2)_i$ for each time point $t_i$.

The naive method is to sort $(\omega_1)_i$ and $(\omega_2)_i$ firstly. Then we cluster components by their values. This actually works pretty well when no crossover happened for $\omega_1(t)$ and $\omega_2(t)$, which is shown in Fig. 11 (a). However, when the crossover happens, as with the second row in Fig. 7, this simple clustering method will fail, as shown in Fig. 11 (b).

One simple way to tackle this situation is stated as following, based on the smoothness assumption placed on the frequency functions $\omega_k(t)$. First we identify all the possible crossover points of $\omega_k(t)$, which is the robust local minimum of $|\omega_1 - \omega_2|$. Then the initial clustering obtained from the simple sorting, as shown in Fig. 11 (a)(b), is switched whenever $t_i$ passes a potential crossover point, in the order of increasing time. This simple reorder trick will output the new clustering as shown in Fig. 11 (c), which is satisfactory for a further refinement to get a point estimate of $\omega_k(t)$.

**D Non-distinguishable Problem**

In this section, we introduce an ad hoc approach to tackle the non-distinguishable problem arises when the initialized instantaneous frequency of different components are set to a same function. For the estimated frequencies $(t, \omega_1)$ and $(t, \omega_1)$, where $t, \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we want to distinguish components with instantaneous frequency $(\omega_1)_i$ or $(\omega_2)_i$ for each time point $t_i$.

The naive method is to sort $(\omega_1)_i$ and $(\omega_2)_i$ firstly. Then we cluster components by their values. This actually works pretty well when no crossover happened for $\omega_1(t)$ and $\omega_2(t)$, which is shown in Fig. 11 (a). However, when the crossover happens, as with the second row in Fig. 7, this simple clustering method will fail, as shown in Fig. 11 (b).

One simple way to tackle this situation is stated as following, based on the smoothness assumption placed on the frequency functions $\omega_k(t)$. First we identify all the possible crossover points of $\omega_k(t)$, which is the robust local minimum of $|\omega_1 - \omega_2|$. Then the initial clustering obtained from the simple sorting, as shown in Fig. 11 (a)(b), is switched whenever $t_i$ passes a potential crossover point, in the order of increasing time. This simple reorder trick will output the new clustering as shown in Fig. 11 (c), which is satisfactory for a further refinement to get a point estimate of $\omega_k(t)$.
Figure 11: Solution for the non-distinguishable problem. (a)(b) are obtained by the simple ordering clustering. (c) is obtained by the corrected ordering clustering based on the cross-over points identification.

E  Signals Plots

This section gives the plots of signals used in the main text.
Figure 12: cos version of $f^{(1)}$. 
Figure 13: Shape version of $f^{(1)}$. 
Figure 14: 5 of the 100 random signals in form of $f^{(2)}$. 
Figure 15: $\cos \psi^{(3)}$. 
Figure 16: Shape version of $f^{(3)}$. 
Figure 17: Top three for $f^{(4)}$ and bottom three for $f^{(5)}$. 