Critical Temperature of Bose-Einstein Condensation of Hard Sphere Gases
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We determine the critical temperature of a 3-d homogeneous system of hard-sphere Bosons by path-integral Monte Carlo simulations and finite-size scaling. At low densities, we find that the critical temperature is increased by the repulsive interactions, in the form of a power law in density with exponent 1/3: \( \Delta T_C/T_0 \sim (n a^3)^{1/3} \). At high densities the result for liquid helium, namely a lower critical temperature than in the non-interacting case, is recovered. We give a microscopic explanation for the observed behavior.
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The observation of Bose-Einstein condensation in atomic vapors [1], at temperatures of a few hundreds of \( nK \) by evaporative cooling, has revived interest in the theoretical investigation of this phenomenon. In this letter we study bosonic hard-spheres of diameter \( a \) confined in a cubic box of volume \( L^3 \). The hard-sphere diameter \( a \) corresponds to the s-wave scattering length of a real inter-atomic potential in a model which is valid at low densities and even yields adequate results for relatively dense systems such as liquid helium [2].

We determine the critical temperature \( T_C \) of this system for various number densities \( n \) and compare it to the critical temperature \( T_0 \) of the ideal gas [3]. The literature provides contradictory results stemming from analytical studies, even with regard to the sign of \( \Delta T_C = T_C - T_0 \): a negative sign is predicted by Hartree-Fock theory [4], and a renormalization group calculation [5]; higher critical temperatures, but with different low density asymptotic behaviors, were the result of Ref. [6], \( \Delta T_C/T_0 \sim (n a^3)^{1/2} \), Ref. [7], \( \Delta T_C/T_0 \sim (n a^3)^{1/3} \), and a recent renormalization group calculation [8], which goes beyond the one-loop expansion of Ref. [6], and which yields \( \Delta T_C/T_0 \sim (n a^3)^{1/6} \).

We have used a path-integral Monte Carlo method to obtain our results. In 3-dimensional Bose systems both superfluidity, and Bose-Einstein condensation, are believed to occur at the same critical temperature. We determine this transition temperature by making use of the scaling properties of the superfluid fraction \( \rho_S/\rho \) [10,11]. From the hypothesis that – close to the bulk transition point – thermodynamic quantities in finite systems depend on temperature only through the ratio of a characteristic system size, \( L \) in our case, and the correlation length, \( \xi(t) \), the following scaling form can be obtained:

\[
\frac{\rho_S}{\rho(t)} = L^{-\varphi/\nu} Q(L/\xi(t)),
\]

valid for relative temperatures \( t \) very near the critical point:

\[
t = \frac{T - T_C}{T_C} \ll 1
\]

In Eq. (1) \( Q(L/\xi(t)) \) is such a function that — for finite \( L \) — \( \rho_S/\rho \) is analytic in \( t \) close to \( t = 0 \). \( \varphi \) and \( -\nu \) are the bulk critical exponents of the superfluid fraction and the correlation length, respectively; their ratio has been measured in helium [12] and calculated with renormalization group techniques [13]; it is consistent with \( -\varphi/\nu = -1 \).

At the transition point the correlation length diverges, so that \( Q(L/\xi(t)) \) becomes independent of \( L \). This feature allows us to deduce the transition point for the infinite system from simulations of finite size samples: curves corresponding to various values of the scaled superfluid fraction, \( L \rho_s/\rho(t) \), will intersect at \( t = 0 \).

In our path-integral Monte Carlo simulation we measure the “stiffness” of the system against twisting the phase of the wave function, when a particle is displaced across the periodic boundary conditions, by the means of the winding number distribution. From that we deduce the superfluid fraction [14]. We use the high-temperature approximation for the hard-sphere propagator derived in Ref. [15]. (Our simulations converged too slowly when the “image propagator” [16] was employed at a first time.)
For four different particle numbers, $N = 27, 64, 125$ and 216, we plot as a function of temperature the scaled quantity
\[ N^{1/3} \rho S / \rho(T) \]
in which the dimensionless $N^{1/3}$ has replaced the length $L$.

From Eq. (3) follows that the four graphs should intersect at one point which is to be identified as the transition point. However, because of the statistical noise in our data, an accurate estimate of this point is difficult (see Fig. 1). (The same reason prevented us from using for an estimator the scaling of the twist free energy as in Ref. [11].) According to the scaling hypothesis our data, an accurate estimate of this point is difficult

Further we obtain an quantitative estimate for $T_C$. In this way we can check the results by comparing our values for $T_C$ and the critical exponent $\nu$ to results obtained elsewhere. Assuming that the interacting Bose gas falls into the same universality class as the XY model, we expect that

\[ \frac{T_0}{T_C} \frac{\zeta(\frac{3}{2})^{2/3}}{2\pi} \cdot Q(0) = \text{universal constant} \]

We find values between 0.29 and 0.33 for the system densities we considered. This is different from the value of $0.49 \pm 0.01$ obtained in [17]. For the critical exponent of the correlation length our calculations yield an estimate $-\nu = -0.68 \pm 0.28$, which is in good agreement with analytical results [18] and simulations [19] for the 3-d XY model, as well as experimental data which give $-\nu = -0.67$. As a matter of fact, the values for $T_C$ only depend slightly on $\nu$: results obtained with $\nu$ fixed to the experimental value differ at most about 0.2\% from the ones obtained with letting $\nu$ be a free parameter. Fig. 2 shows an exemplary fit.

Fig. 3 shows the results of our calculations, the relative change of the critical temperature as a function of the density. We distinguish two regimes: at low densities the critical temperature for the interacting gas is higher than that for the non-interacting system. With increasing density the critical temperature attains a broad maximum (near $na^3 \approx 0.01$) before decreasing and coming to its minimum value for the highest densities we have used in our simulations. For comparison we include in our diagram at higher densities experimental and simulation results obtained for liquid helium [20,11]. This system is well described by an hard-sphere model with an effective hard-sphere diameter of $a = 2.203 \AA$ [21].

Superfluid helium exists only for a limited range of densities before it freezes at a density of $na^3 = 0.24$ [2].

We have fitted the values for densities between $na^3 = 5 \cdot 10^{-6}$ and $5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ against a power law in density

\[ \frac{\Delta T_C}{T_0} = \frac{T_C - T_0}{T_0} = c_0 (na^3)^\gamma \]

and obtain $c_0 = 0.34 \pm 0.06$ and $\gamma = 0.34 \pm 0.03$. Assuming $\gamma = 1/3$, the enhancement of $T_C$ is linear in the scattering length $a$. This exponent is also the result of the analytical study in Ref. [11], which yields, however, a value of $c_0$ which is about 14 times larger. When mass renormalization is included, it is possible that the RG calculation in Ref. [2] may also yield this exponent [22].

In order to understand our findings on a microscopic level, recall the Feynman picture of superfluidity [23]. The partition function $Z$ of the system can be written as a sum over all states accessible to distinguishable particles:

\[ Z = \text{Trace}_{\text{Boltzmann states}} \{ S e^{-\beta H} \} \]

where $S$ is the symtrizer with respect to the particle labels. We develop the symtrizer $S$ into a sum over exchange cycles. At high temperatures the only significant contribution comes from the identity operator (cycle length 1) and $Z$ reduces to the partition function for a classical system. At lower and lower temperatures, however, the importance of the contribution of exchange cycles of macroscopic length grows. The statistical correlations extend over longer and longer distances, until – at the transition point – a macroscopic structure, identified as superfluid component, is established.

A necessary condition for these exchange cycles to appear is that the particles must be close together (separated by distances of the order of the thermal wavelength $\lambda_T = 2\pi \hbar^2 / (mk_BT)$). In an ideal gas, spatial density fluctuations are important, and particle clusters are likely to appear. This creates regions of lower density at other places in the system, which obstruct the formation of macroscopic exchange cycles. On the other hand, in the case of an moderately dense interacting gas, the particles tend to be more homogeneously distributed throughout the whole volume of the system. Analogously to percolation problems, it is in this case more likely for every atom to find a neighbor at a suitable distance, so that the exchange cycles can more easily propagate from one particle to the next. Superfluidity is hence “easier” to achieve, meaning that it can occur at higher temperatures.

In order to quantify this understanding of the shift of the critical temperature, we have determined the two-body distribution function $g(r)$. In Fig. 4 we have plotted $g(r)$ for different densities at the bulk superfluid transition temperature for a system of 125 particles. It can be
seen easily that at higher densities the “exchange bump” of the ideal gas decreases and, because of the hard-sphere boundary condition, the distribution tends to become homogeneous. Another way to see that the interactions suppress the spatial density fluctuations is to integrate $g(r)$ over sub-volumes of the simulation cell which gives the fluctuation of the particle number in these sub-volumes \[24\]. We find that the number of particles in sub-spheres of radius comparable to $\lambda_T$ fluctuates about 30% more in the case of an ideal gas than for an interacting gas of density $5 \cdot 10^{-2} a^{-3}$.

At higher densities the atoms cannot exchange with each other without dragging other particles along. The effective mass becomes greater than unity, and the critical temperature is decreased as $T_C \sim 1/m^*$. \[12\]

The results obtained in our case of an isotropic system are different from the ones obtained in an harmonic external potential \[22\], where the repulsive interactions decrease the critical temperature at low densities. In a trap, interactions give rise to two competing effects. The critical temperature is increased by the suppression of density fluctuations (even if those are already smaller than in the isotropic case because of the confinement). On the other hand, the central density is lowered as the condensate wave function is broadened. Both terms are linear in the scattering length; hence, whether $T_C$ is suppressed or increased depends on details of the system such as the number of particles, the trapping frequency $\omega$ and the particle mass \[27\].

To conclude, the effect of repulsive interactions is different for low and high densities: at low densities, the “density homogenization” effect prevails so that $T_C$ is increased; at high densities, the exchange motion of the atoms – which are behind the Bose-Einstein condensation phenomenon - are hindered by the hard cores so that the critical temperature is decreased. Since, in the experiments on alkali gases, the value of $na^3$ in the center of the trap does not exceed $10^{-3}$, the shifts in $T_C$ remain small (about 1%), but may be observable in larger traps.
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Figure captions

Figure 1: Scaled superfluid fraction $N^{1/3} \rho_S/\rho$ in function of temperature $T$ as determined by our simulations for number density $na^3 = 5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ and $N = 27, 64, 125, 216$. $T_0$ is the critical temperature of the non-interacting system. The temperature where the four lines are crossing is to be identified with the critical temperature. A quantitative estimate for this temperature is obtained by fitting the values for every $N$ with a straight line and determining the point of intersection for the fitted curves.

Figure 2: Result of our fit to the data from Fig. 1. $t = (T - T_C)/T_C$, $T_C$ is the critical temperature for the interacting system, $-\nu$ the critical exponent of the correlation length, both as obtained by our fit. We find a critical temperature of $T_C/T_0 = 1.057 \pm 0.002$.

Figure 3: Critical temperature $T_C$ of an interacting Bose gas versus density: $a$ is the hard-sphere diameter, $T_0$ the critical temperature of the non-interacting gas. Two different scales are used for the vertical axis: for temperatures above $T_0$ the left scale, for values below $T_0$ the right scale apply. For comparison, experimental and simulation results [2, 11] obtained for helium (at densities $na^3 = 0.235$ and $0.25$) are also included. The dashed curve at low densities presents a fit of the data points between $na^3 = 5 \cdot 10^{-6}$ and $5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ to $1 + a_0(na^3)^\gamma$, yielding $\gamma = 0.34$, the dotted curve is guide to the eye (note the change of scale at $T_C = T_0$). At zero temperature the hard-sphere system freezes at a density of about $na^3 = 0.25$.

Figure 4: Two-body distribution function $g(r)$ versus particle distance $r$ in units of the thermal wavelength $\lambda_T$ for different densities $na^3$ at the bulk critical temperature. For the sake of visibility the single curves are shifted vertically by an amount of $0.5$. The hard-sphere boundary condition changes the short-distance ($r \to 0$) behaviour dramatically. However, for the “percolation”-like theory of superfluidity the behaviour for $r \approx \lambda_T$ is more important, and here the functions for the ideal and the low density gas both feature the appearance of the “exchange bump” for $r \lesssim \lambda_T$: in the non-interacting gas or gases of lower density, many particles cluster together at distances less than the thermal wavelength. In denser systems the interactions are compensating this statistical attraction and the particles fill the system volume homogeneously. This allows for superfluidity to appear at higher temperatures.
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\caption{Data points for different values of $N$.}
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