Ileo-colonic delivery of conjugated bile acids improves glucose homeostasis via colonic GLP-1-producing enteroendocrine cells in human obesity and diabetes
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ABSTRACT

Background: The bile acid (BA) pathway plays a role in regulation of food intake and glucose metabolism, based mainly on findings in animal models. Our aim was to determine whether the BA pathway is altered and correctable in human obesity and diabetes.

Methods: We conducted 3 investigations: 1) BA receptor pathways were studied in NCI-H716 enteroendocrine cell (EEC) line, whole human colonic mucosal tissue and in human colonic EEC isolated by Fluorescence-activated Cell Sorting (Ex vivo) from endoscopically-obtained biopsies colon mucosa; 2) We characterized the BA pathway in 307 participants by measuring during fasting and postprandial levels of FGF19, 7αC4 and serum BA; 3) In a placebo-controlled, double-blind, randomised, 28-day trial, we studied the effect of ileo-colonic delivery of conjugated BAs (IC-CBAS) on glucose metabolism, incretins, and lipids, in participants with obesity and diabetes.

Findings: Human colonic GLP-1-producing EECs express TGR5, and upon treatment with bile acids in vitro, human EEC differentially expressed GLP-1 at the protein and mRNA level. In Ussing Chamber, GLP-1 release was stimulated by Taurocholic acid in either the apical or basolateral compartment. FGF19 was decreased in obesity and diabetes compared to controls. When compared to placebo, IC-CBAS significantly decreased postprandial glucose, fructosamine, fasting insulin, fasting LDL, and postprandial FGF19 and increased postprandial GLP-1 and C-peptide. Increase in faecal BA was associated with weight loss and with decreased fructosamine.

Interpretations: In humans, BA signalling machinery is expressed in colonic EECs, deficient in obesity and diabetes, and when stimulated with IC-CBAS, improved glucose homeostasis. ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT02871882, NCT02033876.
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1. Introduction

The enterohepatic circulation of bile acids is a complex pathway that regulates the synthesis, secretion, circulation, reabsorption and excretion of bile acids (BAs) which are essential mainly for lipid digestion and absorption [1,2]. BAs are steroid-derived detergent molecules that form micelles to absorb cholesterol through the brush border membrane of the small intestine. The active BAs transport process in the distal ileum, mainly mediated by the apical Na+-dependent bile salt transporter (ASBT) [1], results in reuptake of 95% of BAs that enter the small intestine [3]; less than 5% of BAs are reabsorbed in the small intestine, some of which undergo deconjugation...
Research in context

1. Evidence before this study
   - The bile acid (BA) pathway plays a role in regulation of food intake and glucose metabolism, based mainly on findings in animal models.
   - After bariatric surgery, bile acids may improve glucose metabolism by increasing bile acid concentrations in the distal gut.
   - Whether the bile acid pathway is altered and correctable in human obesity and type 2 diabetes is unknown.

2. Added value of this study
   - Here we show that human colonic enteroendocrine GLP-1-producing cells express TGR5 and FXR.
   - In obesity with or without diabetes, serum FGF19 is decreased compared to healthy controls.
   - When compared to placebo, delivery of capsules containing conjugated bile acids to the distal gut improves glucose homeostasis, incretins, LDL cholesterol and faecal bile acid levels without changing total cholesterol.

3. Implications of all the available evidence
   - Our study further provides a mechanistic understanding of the effects of bile acids on the human pathophysiology of obesity and diabetes.

and dehydroxylation by colonic bacteria into secondary BAs prior to excretion in the stools. Passive colonic reabsorption of bile acids recovers some of the 5% of the bile salt pool that is not absorbed by the ileal active transport process [4]. In distal ileal enteroctyes, reabsorbed BAs act as endogenous ligands for farnesoid X receptors (FXR) [5], stimulating the production of fibrolast growth factor-19 (FGF19), a marker of this bile acid pathway, which initiates negative feedback on the hepatocytes, to decrease the synthesis of BAs [1,2].

BAs also act as signalling molecules through activation of the G protein-coupled bile acid membrane receptor 1 (GPBAR1), known as TGR5 (Takeda G-protein coupled receptor 5) in small intestinal enteroidendocrine cells (EECs) to cause metabolic effects [1,6]. In EECs, BAs activate TGR5, and induce cAMP/PKA signalling to trigger secretion of glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) [7], which, in turn, regulates energy metabolism [1,2]. However, it remains unclear whether human colonic EECs and epithelial cells (colonocytes) express bile acid transporters or the TGR5 receptor.

FGF19 has important biological effects. In rodents, FGF15 (Murine orthologue of FGF19) overexpressing transgenic mice have lower body weight and fat mass along with increased energy expenditure, despite increased food intake [8]. Also, systemic treatment with FGF19 improves glucose homeostasis and induces weight loss by stimulation of beta-klotho in the central nervous system [9]. However, more recent studies have shown that conditional knock-out mice with FXR deficiency in the intestine are protected against diet-induced obesity. Studies using intestinal specific FXR agonists or antagonists have shown contradictory effects [10–12]. Despite these intriguing observations, it remains unclear whether the bile acid pathway and its key components are altered in human obesity compared to healthy subjects.

It has been suggested that BAs play a role in the improvement of diabetes observed after bariatric surgery. Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) doubles the concentration of serum BAs, and increases serum adiponectin and GLP-1 in humans [13,14]. In a rodent model of bariatric surgery, BAs delivered to the ileum induced weight loss and improved glucose homeostasis [15]. These results may be mediated by the BA receptor, TGR5 [15–18]. Stimulation of TGR5 results in a rapid increase in incretins, reduced appetite and improvement in insulin sensitivity [19,20]. Similar improvements in glucose homeostasis and increase in incretins have been seen with transgenic FXR/FGF15 animal models after bariatric surgery [21,22]. These findings suggest that delivering bile acids to the distal ileum and colon may have positive effects on glucose metabolism. In the colon, BAs are deconjugated and then de-hydroxylated by colonic bacteria, mainly Bacteroides species, to secondary BAs (CA to DCA and CDCA to LCA) [2] and different affinities for FXR (CDCA>DCA=LDCA=CA) or alternative (CDCA>CA>DCA=LCA) [23].

In this manuscript we proposed to answer three fundamental questions related to the bile acid pathway in human participants with obesity, with or without type 2 diabetes: 1) are bile acid receptors and downstream signalling molecules expressed in the human colon mucosa? 2) is the bile acid pathway altered in human obesity with or without type 2 diabetes?; and 3) does a composition-specific delivery of bile acids to the ileocolonic region improve glucose homeostasis in humans with obesity and type 2 diabetes?

2. Methods

2.1. Cell culture experiments

The HumanL-cell line, NCI-H716, was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection and maintained at 37 °C, 5% CO2 in suspension culture in T25 flasks (USA Scientific) containing RPMI media supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 IU/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin (1% p/s). Forty-eight hours before experiments, cells were differentiated on matrigel-coated 6-well plates (400,000 cells/well) as previously described [24]. On the day of experiments, media was replaced with either PBS-0.5% BSA (2, 4, and 6 h incubations) or low glucose DMEM (24 h experiments) with, or without test compounds: 1 mM conjugated bile acids (CBAS, mainly conjugated bile acids (35% TCA, 21% GCA, 14% TDCA, 10% GDCA, 2% TCDCA and 2% GCDCA); and a small percentage of unconjugated bile acids (14% CA, 2% DCA and 2% CDCA)] (Satiogen Pharmaceuticals, San Diego, CA), and 1 mM chenodeoxycholic acid (Sigma). Cells were incubated for 2 h increments for duration of 6 h, with media replacements, or for 24-hour duration. Cell viability was measured prior to experiments. Media and cells (collected in RNase-free PBS) were collected for ELISA and RNA analysis.

2.2. Enzyme linked immunosorbent assays

The active GLP-1 and FGF19 concentration of media supernatants was determined using commercially available ELISA kits, (EZGLP1-35 K, EMD Millipore; DF1800, R&D Systems).

2.3. RTqPCR

Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Plus Micro Kit (Qiagen), reverse transcribed into cDNA using the AffinityScript QPCR cDNA Synthesis Kit (Agilent Technologies), and amplified by real-time quantitative PCR with gene-specific primers (Supplemental Table 1) using SYBR green (Bio-Rad) detection. Gene expression was calculated using the 2-ΔΔCt method, and normalized to ACTB expression for each sample.

2.4. Flow cytometry

Single cell suspensions from human colonic biopsies were prepared for cell sorting as described [25]. Cell suspensions were stained with a fixable violet viability dye (1:1000, Life Technologies), followed by fixation and permeabilization using a Cytofix/Cytoperm kit (BD Biosciences). Cells were stained with an antibody specific for
2.8. Methods for ileocolonic conjugated bile acids and ursodeoxycholic acid. Allocation was concealed. Experimenters were blind to group and all participants gave written informed consent. For complete details refer to supplemental section. Briefly, twelve participants completed a mixed meal tolerance test [30], gastric emptying by scintigraphy [27], appetite and satiation visual analogue scale [27], random stool sample [28] and blood samples were collected at fasting and postprandially [30] at baseline and 28-day of treatment. Participants were instructed to continue on the same diet and exercise routine during the entire therapeutic trial. The IC-CBAS is composed by conjugated bile acids (35% TCA, 21% GCA, 14% TDCA, 10% GDCA, 2% TCDCA and GCDCA each) and a small percentage of unconjugated bile acids (14% CA, 2% DCA and 2% CDCA); packaged in a methacrylated-enteric coating capsule to deliver compounds to the distal ileum and colon. The IC-CBAS dose of 500 mg twice daily was selected based on previously completed single dose pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamics studies using taurocholic acid via rectal enema [31].

2.9. Statistical analysis

The study was powered to detect a difference of 3247 mmol/6hr in glucose area above basal (AAB) in 12 participants per group. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM unless otherwise stated. The primary endpoint for analysis was area above basal (AAB) for glucose during treatment. Secondary endpoints were change in fasting glucose, weight, A/B/AUC of glucose, insulin, c-peptide, GLP-1, and FGF19, gastric emptying, and faecal BA. The effects of IC-CBAS on each variable were assessed using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) models incorporating the corresponding baseline value as a covariate. Parametric (student T-test) and non-parametric (Wilcoxon) analysis was done between the delta (change from baseline) in IC-CBAS compared to placebo. These analyses followed the intent-to-treat (ITT) paradigm, including all subjects randomised.

Similar methodology as described above was done to study the effect of ileo-colonic Ursodeoxycholic Acid delivery (Actigal® 300 mg, Watson Pharmaceutical) (NCT02033876).

2.10. Role of the funding source

The funding source had no involvement in the study design, in collection, analysis, and interpretation of the data, in writing the report, or in the decision to submit the paper for publication. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data, the accuracy of the data analysis, and the decision to submit for publication.

3. Results

3.1. Expression and function of bile acid receptors in human colonic enteroendocrine cells

Previously, FXR receptors have been reported in human ileal and colonic GLP-1 producing EEC [32], but evidence for expression of other important components of the BA pathway in GLP-1 producing EEC, such as TGR5, have been methodologically challenging to validate in human colon. We first sought to investigate the co-expression of GLP-1 with integral components of the bile acid pathway in human...
was stimulated by TDCA in either the apical or basolateral compartment, and GLP-1 was measured over the chambers. Taurodeoxycholic (TDCA) acid was applied to either the mucosa (estimated to be less than 1% of all epithelial cells), we whole gut tissue which represent the heterogeneity of gut epithelial population of GLP-1 positive cells in human colon, as well as surrounding colonocytes (supplemental Figure 2a, b).

To further validate the observation that human colonic EEC have functioning bile acid receptors, we stimulated the in vitro human colonic EEC line NCI-H716, with conjugated bile acids (CBAS, mainly 12α-hydroxylated BA (cholic acid, deoxycholic acid and their conjugated forms), 1 mM), and observed a significant increase of GLP-1 secretion into the media at 2 h intervals over the course of 6 h following incubation, with nonsignificant effects on FGF19 secretion (Fig. 1bc). Furthermore, in differentiated NCI-H716 cells, we compared effects of incubation with CBAS or chenodeoxycholic acid (CDCA) on mRNA expression of bile acid components GCG (GLP-1) (Fig. 1d) and FGF19 (Fig. 1e). After 24-hours, the mRNA expression of FGF19 was increased 5-5-fold after treatment with CDCA compared to treatment with CBAS (p<0.001; t-test) and 8-fold compared to media-only controls (p<0.001; t-test) (p<0.001; ANOVA among three groups). The mRNA expression of GCG (GLP-1) was decreased 3-fold in response to CDCA treatment compared to CBAS (P<0.05; t-test) and control (P<0.01; t-test) in the human cell-line (p<0.001; ANOVA among three groups); consistent with the previously reported inhibitory effect of FXR activation on GCG expression in mice [32].

Given the possible limitations of studying EEC lineages, or using whole gut tissue which represent the heterogeneity of gut epithelial cell lineages, and also given the relative rarity of EECs in the colonic mucosa (estimated to be less than 1% of all epithelial cells), we extended these studies to FACS-isolated EECs obtained from human endoscopic biopsies, as was previously reported from surgical specimens [25,34]. We used a flow cytometric gating strategy (Fig. 2a, b) to identify EE L-cells using antibodies for GLP-1 (as a marker of L-type EEC). The majority of the FACS-isolated, GLP-1 positive EECs expressed TGR5 (73%) compared to the GLP-1 negative cells of which, 16% expressed TGR5 (Fig. 2c, d).

To examine whether bile acids trigger GLP-1 release from the human colon, we mounted human colonic tissue pieces in Ussing chambers. Taurodeoxycholic (TDCA) acid was applied to either the apical or basolateral compartment, and GLP-1 was measured over the following 90 min in the basolateral solution (Fig. 3a). GLP-1 release was stimulated by TDCA in either the apical or basolateral compartment, but the effect was significantly stronger when the bile acid was applied from the basolateral direction (p<0.001; t-test). A similar experimental design applied to mouse colon revealed significant GLP-1 secretion when TDCA was applied basolaterally, but not apically (Fig. 3b). These findings are consistent with previous studies on rodent colon, and suggest that BA must be transported across the epithelium to access TGR5 located on the basolateral membrane [26,33]. Transport of TDCA could occur either following its deconjugation by microbiota, or by a transporter such as the apical sodium-coupled bile acid transporter, ASBT1. To examine whether ASBT1, which is believed to be predominantly located in the terminal ileum, is also found in human colon, we performed immunofluorescence staining of fixed tissue sections. ASBT1 was detectable in a proportion of epithelial cells but did not overlap with GLP-1 staining (Fig. 3c).

Overall, these data suggest that human colonic EEC co-expressed GLP-1 and TGR5, and that bile acids induce GLP-1 secretion in a human enterendocrine cell line as well as human and mouse colonic tissue following their transport across the epithelium. Additionally, these suggest that conjugated bile acids stimulate the TGR5/GLP-1 pathway, while chenodeoxycholic acid triggers the FXR/FGF19 pathway and decreases the expression of GCG (GLP-1). These observations further demonstrate the differential binding affinities of BAs toward their target receptors, and further showcase the significance in considering this concept for clinical applications aimed at utilizing BA as potential modifiers of this pathway. Given the significant biological effects of bile acids in stimulating the incretin hormone GLP-1, it is relevant to assess whether this pathway is deficient in obesity and diabetes.

3.2. Bile acid pathway deficiency in human obesity and diabetes

Previous studies have described contradictory findings regarding the state of the bile acid pathway in obesity and diabetes; some studies described a lower serum BA levels in obesity and diabetes [13,14], while others describe insulin resistance in association with increased serum 12α-hydroxylated BA elevated [35]; however, serum bile acid levels are not validated surrogates of the bile acid pathway and enterohpatic bile circulation. Validated surrogate biomarkers (such as FGF19, 7αC4 [biomarker of hepatocyte synthesis of bile acids] or 48-hour faecal BA) have not yet been studied in obesity and diabetes compared to health. We measured the fasting and postprandial serum concentrations of FGF19, BA and 7αC4 in 307 participants without diabetes [normal weight (n = 37), overweight (n = 89) or obesity (n = 181), age(SE) 37±4.0-7.0, 70% females, predominantly Causasian] and 48 obese participants with type 2 diabetes [age(SE) 53±6.7y, 50% females, all Caucasian]. After adjusting for age and gender, weight group based on BMI is associated with differences in fasting FGF-19 (ANOVA among groups, p<0.005). Fasting FGF19 is reduced in obesity (median 89 [IQR 51 – 142] pg/ml) compared to participants of normal weight (median 118 [IQR 62.9 – 172] pg/ml) or overweight when adjusted for age and gender (median 92.5 [IQR 63.8 – 144] pg/ml); difference in obesity compared to normal weight was −26.5 pg/ml, 95% confidence interval [CI] −53 to −0.008; p<0.01) (Fig. 4a). The FGF19 level was similar in obese individuals with or without diabetes (Fig. 4b). There were no statistical differences in serum fasting 7αC4 or BA among different weight groups (Fig. 4c and e) or diabetes status (Fig. 4d and f). These results suggest that reduced serum FGF19 could be a marker for decreased BA stimulation of FXR receptors in obesity with or without diabetes; which improves after the bariatric surgery [35,36].

3.3. Ileo-colonic delivery of conjugated bile acids improves glucose homeostasis

The finding of TGR5 and FXR expression in human colonic EECs and the decreased levels of FGF19 in obesity demonstrates the therapeutic rationale and potential benefits of developing a mechanism to deliver bile acids into the terminal ileum-colon (where L-EECs are mainly located) to stimulate a TGR5-mediated GLP-1 release and FXR-mediated FGF19 expression. Thus, we encapsulated conjugated bile acids in a delayed-release, methacrylate-coated capsule [37–39] in order to assess the effect of ileo-colonic delivery of conjugated bile acids (IC-CBAS, Satiogen Pharmaceuticals, San Diego, CA) on BA pathways, incretins, glucose metabolism, and lipids.. We completed a 28-day, randomised, double-blind, parallel-group, placebo-controlled, proof of concept study in 23 participants with obesity and type 2 diabetes [Placebo: 58% female, Caucasian, age(±SEM) 54±2.8 years, BMI 40.3±2.2 kg/m², and Hba1C 8.3±0.3%; and IC-CBAS: 46% female, Caucasian, age(±SEM) 59±2.2 years, BMI 36.7±1.5 kg/m², and Hba1C 8.9±0.4%] (Supplemental figure 3 for study design and CONSORT chart, and supplemental Table 3a and 3b).

3.3.1. Effect on blood glucose and fructosamine

IC—CBAS treatment significantly decreased postprandial glucose AUC0–120 min after a standard mixed liquid meal test by 9% (median 27763 [IQR 26398 – 30659]/mg/dl/min vs 32989 [IQR 30,184 – 41883]/mg/dl/min, p<0.001). Serum fructosamine level was significantly lower in IC-CBAS compared to placebo (median 0.46 [IQR 0.31–0.61] mmol/L vs 0.53 [IQR 0.37–0.76] mmol/L, p<0.05) (Supplemental figure 4b). Serum insulin level was decreased in IC-CBAS compared to placebo (median 16.3 [IQR 11.1–21.7] μIU/ml vs 19.7 [IQR 13.0–28.5] μIU/ml, p<0.01) (Supplemental figure 4a). Serum C-peptide level was decreased in IC-CBAS compared to placebo (median 2.3 [IQR 1.7–3.3] ng/ml vs 3.2 [IQR 1.7–4.3] ng/ml, p<0.01) (Supplemental figure 4c).
Fig. 1. Co-Expression of GLP-1 with BA pathway signalling molecules in human colon and in vitro NCI-H716 L-cell model. A) Double immunofluorescence staining of whole human colonic tissue zoomed to GLP-1 (green) positive EECs co-expressing TGR5 (pink), with channel and merged displays of staining. Red bars for all images indicate 10 μm. Differentiated NCI-H716 cells, incubated with 1 mM CBAS in control media or control media alone for 2 h increments over the course of 6 h. Media was replaced in-between time point. Resulting culture supernatants were assayed for protein levels of b) GLP-1 c) FGF19. Differentiated H716 cell mRNA expression of d) GCG and e) FGF19 after 24 h treatments with 1 mM CBAS, 1 mM CDCA, compared to control media expression. Data are presented as mean±SEM. Significance testing used the student t-test for protein secretion studies, and ANOVA for mRNA expression; *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.
34723 [mg/dl] min; difference −3165, 95% CI, −6223 to 862, p < 0.05; Wilcoxon) (Fig. 5a, 5b, 5e and supplemental Table 3b). The postprandial glucose measured with AAB0-120 min after a standard mixed liquid meal test was not significantly different between placebo and IC-CBAS (Fig. 5d). There was no significant difference in fasting glucose between IC-CBAS and placebo (Fig. 5c). To predict long-term glucose changes, we measured the validated-biomarker fructosamine [40], and found IC-CBAS significantly decreased fasting fructosamine compared to placebo (median −20 [IQR −57 − 0] μmol/L vs placebo median −4 [IQR −18 − 12] μmol/L; difference −16.95 umol/L, 95% CI −39.4 to 5.4, p < 0.05 Wilcoxon; Fig. 5f). There was no statistical difference in body weight (supplemental Table 3).

3.3.2. Effect on GLP-1, insulin and C peptide

The improvement in glucose and fructosamine was further interrogated by measuring GLP-1, insulin and c-peptide changes post-treatment. With 28-day treatment with IC-CBAS, there was significantly increased postprandial GLP-1 AUC0-360 min (IC-CBAS median 2330 [IQR 382 − 3927] vs Placebo: 46 [IQR −3660 − 1318] [mg/dl] min; difference 3223 mg/dl, 95% CI 1113 to 5332, p < 0.01; Wilcoxon) (Fig. 5g, h, i). There was also a significant increase in postprandial c-
peptide AAB0-360 min (Difference 108 mg/dl, 95% CI 34.5 to 193.1, p < 0.05; Wilcoxon) (Fig. 5j) and a significant decrease in fasting insulin (Fig. 5k) (difference /C0 5.3 mg/dl, 95% CI, /C0 11.6 to 1.1, p < 0.05; Wilcoxon). The postprandial insulin levels did not reach a statistically significant difference (Difference 1651 mg/dl, 95% CI /C0 283.2 to 3583, p < 0.05; ANCOVA, Fig. 6a, deltaFig. 6b). Percentage of primary bile acids were increased in the IC-CBAS group by 3¢ 5% relative to placebo (p < 0.05, Fig. 6c). IC-CBAS increased the faecal concentration of conjugated BA as expected (difference 296, 95% CI 94.83 to 498.2, p < 0.05; Wilcoxon; Fig. 6e). Faecal concentrations of the BAs, cholic acid (CA) and chenodeoxycholic acid (CDCA) were minimally altered with IC-CBAS treatment. Faecal deoxycholic acid (DCA), a secondary bile acid and product of bacterial deconjugation and dehydroxylation of CA, was increased in the IC-CBAS compared to placebo (difference 120 umol/L, 95% CI 40.30 to 201.2, p < 0.01, Wilcoxon; Fig. 6d). Also, CA was increased after the 28-days treatment (difference 28.9 umol/L, 95% CI 8 to 65.8, p < 0.01; Wilcoxon). There was no significant difference in fasting serum BA, 7aC4, FGF19, and total cholesterol with IC-CBAS compared to placebo (Fig. 6f, g, h, i).

3.3.4. Effect of IC-CBAS on gastric motility, weight loss, and stool diaries
There was no difference in gastric emptying measured by scintigraphy, daily stool diaries, medication compliance, and no side effects.

3.4. Ileo-colonic delivery of ursodeoxycholic acid on glucose homeostasis
To test whether the effect of IC-CBAS was bile acid specific, we completed a similar trial, in a similar population with ileo-colonic ursodeoxycholic acid (IC-UDCA), a secondary bile acid with weak affinity for TGR5 and FXR. (See more details, study design and
The IC-UDCA treatment group showed no significant difference in glucose, insulin, C-peptide, body weight, bowel movements or gastric motility. However, IC-UDCA significantly reduced fasting FGF19 when compared to placebo (IC-UDCA: 52 IQR [33-8-100]; placebo: 90¢ 2 IQR [56¢ 8-143¢ 5]mg/dl ANCOVA p < 0.01) (Supplemental Table 4).

4. Discussion

Bile acids are signalling molecules playing major roles in glucose metabolism and energy intake [41]. Despite significant progress, mainly from transgenic animal models and studies of bariatric surgery, our understanding of the bile acid pathway in human obesity and diabetes remains incomplete and controversial. Here, we aimed to further clarify the role of the bile acid pathway in the development and maintenance of human obesity and diabetes, and its potential for therapeutic modulation by bile acid supplementation. This study was predominantly focused on humans since rodents have discernible differences in the bile acid pathways [42,43].

Our findings support the hypothesis that human colonic EEC express TGR5 and FXR, as previously reported in the ileum of mice and humans [32,33], and we showed that both pathways previously described in GLUTag cells [26,32] are also present in NCI-H716, an enteroendocrine cell line originating from human colon cancer [44] and routinely used as a surrogate for EECs [45]. Using a novel technique to sort enteroendocrine L-cells from human colonic mucosal biopsies, we showed staining for TGR5 and FXR in GLP-1 positive cells. Expression of TGR5 is enriched in GLP-1 positive EEC of the colon. Furthermore, in an ex-vivo model of human colonic mucosal function, GLP-1 release was stimulated by TDCA with the effect significantly stronger when the bile acid was...
applied from the basolateral direction. These findings are consistent with previous publications in mice and rat colon and suggest the importance of the colon for bile acids sensing [33].

In comparing the bile acid pathway in obesity and health, we found that fasting FGF19 was decreased in obesity with or without diabetes when compared to healthy controls. FGF19 is a well-
established biomarker for the bile acid pathway, enterohepatic circulation and bile acid-related diseases [46–48], as it largely arises from the distal ileum where its expression is dependent on bile acid absorption and FXR activation. Given that there is minimal absorption of bile acids before they reach terminal ileum, these results could suggest a reduction of luminal bile acids, or a reduction in FXR expression or activity in ileal enterocytes in obesity. This is consistent with previous findings from smaller sample-size studies showing decreased serum BAs [13,17,18,49–51] in obesity compared to lean subjects. Although we did not detect differences in serum bile acid concentrations in our large sample size study, it is important to note that we reported fasting values while the previously mentioned studies reported postprandial changes which would be more likely to influence FGF19 production in the terminal ileum. Moreover, the reduced effect of endogenous BA on the synthesis of FGF19 in obesity is concordant with reports that in obesity there are lower levels of GLP-1 [52,53] and PYY [27,54], which are released after EEC stimulation by BAs and other stimuli [55].

We delivered conjugated bile acids to the ileo-colonic region as a proof of concept study to investigate whether this would result in improvements in blood glucose homeostasis. Thus, the consumption of oral capsules of IC-CBAS twice daily for 28 days in participants with obesity and diabetes exerted a small lowering of post-prandial glucose concentrations, improved LDL cholesterol, and altered faecal bile acid levels; but IC-CBAS did not correct the FGF19 deficiency. The results of this medium-term study with an oral ileo-colonic (delayed released) capsule are similar to those from two acute, short term studies involving acute infusion of intrarectal taurocholic acid (TCA).

Fig. 6. Effect of ileocolonic delivery of conjugated bile acids (IC-CBAS) on bile acid pathways in patients with obesity and type 2 diabetes. (a) Total faecal bile acids (circles, baseline; and squares, treatment); (b) delta total faecal bile acids and (c) delta primary faecal bile acids; (d) delta total faecal bile acids by group (circles, placebo; and squares, IC-CBAS); (e) delta conjugated faecal bile acids, (f) delta postprandial serum bile acids, (g) delta C4, (h) delta fasting FGF19, (i) delta fasting total cholesterol, (j) delta fasting cLDL, (k) correlation between Δ weight and Δ faecal bile acids; (l) correlation between Δ fructosamine with Δ faecal bile acids after 1-month treatment with IC-CBAS, and (m), correlation between Δ postprandial GLP-1 at 45 min with Δ faecal bile acids after 1-month treatment with IC-CBAS. Data are presented as mean±SEM. Wilcoxon, *p<0.05, **p<0.01. ANCOVA, ^p<0.05, (Figs. a–j).
intrajejunual infusion of TCA [19]. However, both previous studies used higher concentrations of TCA, which could have resulted in side effects or bile acid toxicity if administered in longer-term trials [2]; in contrast, our participants receiving IC-CBAS reported no side effects of IC-CBAS 500 mg twice daily.

The IC-CBAS administered in our study consisted of conjugated BAs when delivered into the intestinal tract, but they were efficiently altered by bacterial deconjugation and dehydroxylation as evidenced by the percentages of different bile acids recovered in the stools. A number of previous studies have concluded that the stimulation of GLP-1 secretion by bile acids involves TGR5 receptors located on the basolateral surface of L-cells; this would suggest that bile acid absorption is an obligatory step prior to cell activation. In the small intestine, the predominant bile acid absorptive pathway involves apical ASBT, and likely occurs predominantly through enterocytes, thereby building up high local bile acid concentrations in the basolateral space surrounding L-cells [26]. Colonocytes, by contrast, have relatively low expression of ASBT, and rely on alternative pathways for absorption of unconjugated bile acids. Recent results also suggest the existence of an ASBT-independent pathway for conjugated bile acid uptake in the rodent colon, linked to the secretion of GLP-1 [33].

The responsiveness of colonic GLP-1 release is bile acid–specific, reflecting the specificity of TGR5, since the study with ileo-colonic delivery of ursodeoxycholic acid (3α, 7β cholic acid, which is a 7β epimer of chenodeoxycholic acid) showed no benefit on glucose homeostasis. Participants treated with IC-CBAS had a significant increase in postprandial GLP-1 which is likely related to the time when the oral capsule reached the ileum and the colon (approximately 4 h post capsule ingestion) and consistent with small bowel transit of a tablet [56]. The release of GLP-1 was likely responsible for the observed increase in secretion of c-peptide (and non-statistically significant increase of insulin), which may explain the improvement of glucose and fructosamine. Further studies are needed to assess whether ~3 months treatment with IC-CBAS would improve haemoglobin A1c. The observed improvement in fructosamine is comparable to previous studies with FDA-approved anti-diabetic medications [57–59]. Additionally, this proof of concept study used a single dose (500 mg twice daily); a dose titration study should be pursued in future to identify the appropriate dose to maximize benefits on glucose control.

Interestingly, IC-CBAS increased faecal bile acids without altering serum bile acids or FGF19; in addition, it significantly decreased LDL cholesterol. These observations are important as treatment with bile acids has been previously associated with dyslipidaemia by increasing lipid absorption [2]. On the other hand, delivery of bile acids into the ileo-colonic region likely bypasses the lipid digestion effect of bile acids, whilst allowing them still to modulate glucose metabolism. A similar pattern of improved glycaemic control is seen when bile acid sequestrants are used to treat dyslipidaemia, diabetes and bile acid diarrhoea [30]. The mechanism of action of bile acid binding on glucose homeostasis may differ from that of the IC-CBAS, since bile acid binders do not increase the postprandial GLP-1 concentration in some studies [30]; one potential reason is because they prevent bile acids from reaching the basolateral membrane surface of L-cells [60]. However, studies in rodents have shown an increase in postprandial GLP-1 concentration with bile acid binders [61,62].

IC-CBAS were not able to restore the FGF19 deficiency seen in obese humans. Our findings support the importance of considering the bile-acid specific composition profile needed to stimulate FXR/FGF19 compared with TGR5/GLP-1. Thus, CDCA induced expression of FGF19 but inhibited the expression of GLP-1, consistent with an active FXR pathway in NCI-H716 [32], whereas the bile acid mixture used in our human study had the opposite effect, likely reflecting the lack of CDCA or other strong PXR ligands in the bile acid mix in the IC-CBAS. Furthermore, our findings of IC-UDCA reducing FGF–19 supports the recent evidence seen in rodents, in which conjugated (Taurine or glycine) UDCA behaves as an FXR antagonist [63]. It is also possible that the bile acids delivered by capsules in humans and by infusion in rats did not raise bile acid concentrations to high levels in the terminal ileum, which is believed to be the major site of FXR-dependent FGF15/19 production.

In conclusion, our results demonstrate that FXR and TGR5 are co-expressed with GLP-1 in human colonic enteroendocrine L cells. Furthermore, FGF19 is reduced in obesity, suggesting a defect in bile acid signalling. In an attempt to correct this, bile acid delivery selectively to the human ileum and colon by ingestion of capsules twice daily resulted in a small but significant improvement in glucose homeostasis, although it did not restore FGF19 levels. Our study further provides a mechanistic understanding of the effects of bile acids on the human pathophysiology of obesity and diabetes.
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