Impact of Solid Content in the Electrospinning Solution on the Physical and Chemical Properties of Polyacrylonitrile (PAN) Nanofibrous Mats

Vpliv koncentracije elektropredilne raztopine na fizikalne in kemijske lastnosti polikrilonitrilnih (PAN) nanovlaknatih kopren

Abstract
Polyacrylonitrile (PAN) belongs to the group of polymers that are often used for electrospinning, as it can be applied as a pre-cursor for carbon nanofibers and is spinnable from the low-toxic solvent dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). While the influence of different spinning parameters on fibre morphology and mass per unit area was investigated in a previous study, here we report on the impact of the spinning solution, using DMSO as a solvent and wire-based (needleless) electrospinning. Our results show that a broad range of solid contents can be applied, providing the opportunity to tailor the fibre diameter distribution or to optimize the areal weight of the nanofibrous mat by changing this parameter, while the chemical composition of the fibres remains identical. Keywords: needleless electrospinning, polyacrylonitrile (PAN), nanofibrous mat, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy

1 Introduction
Electrospinning is a simple method used to prepare nanofibrous mats with fibre diameters in a typical range of several ten nanometres to a few micrometres [1, 2]. While many research groups apply a needle-based technique [3–5], needleless technologies are often easier to upscale to industrial production [6–8]. In such needleless techniques, a wire, a rotating cylinder or other free surfaces can be used as electrodes. In all technologies, a strong electric field concentrates electric charges along the polymer surface, resulting...
in the formation of so-called Taylor cones, and finally pulls drops of solution towards the counter electrode [9, 10]. During this movement, the drop is severely stretched and thus forms a thin fibre when impinging on the substrate. From this description, it is already clear that not only spinning parameters – such as the voltage and the distance between the electrodes – influence the fibre formation process, but also environmental parameters, in particular the humidity in the spinning chamber, and finally the spinning solution itself [11–14].

An electrospinning technique facilitates the creation of nanofibers from a broad range of polymers and polymer blends [15–17], as well as combinations with non-polymeric materials [18–20]. Polyacrylonitrile (PAN) belongs to a group of highly interesting polymers, as it can be electrospun from the low-toxic solvent dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and is often used as a precursor for carbon nanofibers [14, 19].

Such nanofiber mats can be used in a variety of applications, such as filters [21–23], tissue engineering and cell growth [24–26], catalysts [27, 28] and other technologies that are usually based on a large surface-to-volume ratio. For this reason, it is often important to tailor fibre diameters and possibly even fibre orientations according to the experimental necessities [29–31].

While the influence of the spinning parameters on fibre morphology and areal weight of PAN nanofibres produced using wire-based electrospinning was investigated in a previous study [32], here we report on the influence of the polymer content in the spinning solution on nanofibre diameter distribution, mass per unit area and the chemical composition of the resulting nanofibrous mat. In combination with the previous study, our findings facilitate the tailoring of these physical parameters by optimizing spinning and solution parameters.

2 Materials and methods

Samples were prepared using a “Nanospider Lab” needleless electrospinning machine (Elmarco Ltd., Liberec, Czech Republic). The spinning parameters were: voltage of 75 kV, nozzle diameter of 0.8 mm, carriage speed of 100 mm/s, distance from bottom electrode to substrate of 240 mm, distance from ground electrode to substrate of 50 mm, temperature in the chamber of 21–22 °C, relative humidity in the chamber of 33%, and a spinning duration of 10 minutes. The substrate is a static polypropylene nonwoven fabric, enabling a comparison of the areal weights of the electrospun nanofibrous mats with solid contents of the spinning solutions.

Spinning solutions were prepared with 12–22% PAN dissolved in DMSO (min. 99.9%; S3 Chemicals, Bad Oeynhausen, Germany). All percentages of PAN concentration given here refer to weight percentage. Lower solid contents (10% or less) resulted in pure electro-spraying without fibre formation, while higher solid contents (24% or more) were no longer spin-nable. For lower solid contents (12–14% in the case of relative humidity of just 31–32% in the spinning chamber and 16% in the case of relative humidity of 33–34%), beads can be expected to form during electrospinning [14]. It should be mentioned that these values differ significantly from those that can be applied in the needle-based electrospinning of PAN, for which Wang et al. found values from 4% to 10% to be spin-nable from the solvent dimethylformamide (DMF) [33].

Images of the nanofibrous mats were taken with a VK-8710 confocal laser scanning microscope (CLSM) (Keyence, Neu-Isenburg, Germany). Fibre diameters were evaluated using ImageJ 1.51j8 (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA), while fast Fourier transform (FFT) evaluations were performed using the same software. Such FFT images can be used to identify fibre alignment, which becomes visible as single lines in certain directions [31, 34].

Chemical investigations were performed using an Excalibur 3100 Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer (Varian Inc., USA). Solution viscosities were measured using a Brookfield Viscometer DV-II+ Pro dynamic viscometer. An LWT-01 conductivity test pen (Voltcraft) with a resolution of 10 µS/cm was used for conductivity measurements of the spinning solutions.

3 Results and discussion

The morphologies of the nanofibrous mats under investigation are depicted in Figure 1. For the three lowest solid contents (12%, 14%, and 16%), the images look very similar, showing a relatively regular nanofiber mat with some thick, long fibres on top that do not seem to be fully connected with the main part of the mat. Interestingly, under the environmental conditions used here, there is no strong bead formation visible.
However, increasing the solid content to 18% clearly changes the morphology of the nanofibrous mat. Here, relatively long, thick and straight fibres are visible, apparently less connected and with larger pores than in the main part of the previously described samples. Such clearly visible fibres can also be derived by reducing the voltage to approximately 55–65 kV for a solid content of 14–16%, as previous experiments showed, but at the cost of a reduced areal weight [32].

Increasing the solid content further to 20% unexpectedly resulted in even thicker fibres with strong conglutinations. Such a highly connected net could, for example, be useful for data transport through magnetic nanofibers [19] or in applications in which mechanical stability is essential, while the large pores and the reduced surface-to-volume ratio can be neglected.

Finally, for the highest solid content in the solution, it is obvious that only a few fibres are electrospun from

Figure 1: Representative CLSM images of PAN nanofiber mats, electrospun with identical spinning parameters from DMSO solutions with varying solid content. Scale bars indicate 10 µm.
the solution with 22% PAN. This could also be observed during the spinning process where nearly no flying fibres were observed in the spinning chamber. It should be mentioned that additional FFT investigations (not shown here) supported the optical impression that the fibres do not show any angular orientation, corresponding to the expectation for a nanofiber mat electrospun on a static, fully non-conductive substrate.

Next, Figure 2a depicts the dependence of the areal weight on the solid content of the spinning solutions. While the curve increases sharply from 12% to 14% and almost linearly until 20%, it drops suddenly towards a solid content of 22%, as could already be expected from Figure 1. This is due to an increase in viscosity that exceeds the spinnability limit for the needleless spinning technique and no longer flows through the spinning nozzle properly. Viscosity values are given in Figure 2b for comparison. It should be mentioned that solutions with solid contents of 12–20% behaved nearly fully Newtonian in the measured range of rotational speeds, which is why the error bars are too small to be visible. Only for the spinning solution with 22% PAN, is a slight increase of the dynamic viscosity with increasing rotational speed visible, i.e. the fluid becomes shear-thickening.

Combining both figures suggests that a solid content of 12–20% behaved nearly fully Newtonian in the measured range of rotational speeds, which is why the error bars are too small to be visible. Only for the spinning solution with 22% PAN, is a slight increase of the dynamic viscosity with increasing rotational speed visible, i.e. the fluid becomes shear-thickening. Combining both figures suggests that a solid content of 16–18% should be ideal for the fiber-based electrospinning of PAN from DMSO, thus giving relatively high material yields while still allowing for the modification of the nanofiber diameter distribution. It should be mentioned that the conductivity of the solutions was in the range of 30–50 µS/cm for all solutions, well below the maximum suggested conductivity of 10 mS/cm for the Nanospider, and thus can be expected to have no influence on the results of the spinning process.

The nanofiber diameter distribution is depicted in more detail in Figure 3. For the lower concentrations of 12–16%, only a slight increase of the nanofiber diameter distribution is visible, with similar standard deviations, i.e. similar distribution widths. For a PAN content of 18%, as could already be seen in Figure 1, the diameter distribution is significantly shifted, while at the same time the standard deviation increases. Some fibres with larger diameters of around 750–1000 nm indicate that this PAN concentration is near to or slightly above the threshold below which a reliable fibre diameter distribution can be reached. This finding suggests further tests with solid contents of 17.0–17.5%, which could possibly lead to a compromise between the clearly differentiated, long, straight fibres prepared with an 18% PAN concentration and the narrower diameter distribution achieved with smaller concentrations.

Finally, for concentrations of 20% and 22%, the distributions become very broad, with large standard deviations that are unacceptable for many applications, clearly showing that the highest areal weight, achieved with a concentration of 20%, comes at the cost of an undefined morphology of the nanofibrous mat.

The chemical composition of the nanofiber mats under investigation can be derived from the FTIR graphs in Figure 4. The typical PAN peaks represent the bending and stretching vibrations of CH$_2$ at 2938 cm$^{-1}$, 1452 cm$^{-1}$ and 1380 cm$^{-1}$, stretching vibrations of the C≡N nitrile functional group at 2240 cm$^{-1}$, and the carbonyl (C=O) stretching peak at 1732 cm$^{-1}$ [35].

![Figure 2: (a) Solid content dependence of the areal weight of the PAN nanofibrous mats under investigation; (b) dynamic viscosity of the corresponding spinning solutions](image-url)
The peaks not marked here in the ranges of 1250–1230 cm⁻¹ and 1090–1030 cm⁻¹ belong to C–O and C–O–C (ester) vibrations of co-monomers, such as itaconic acid or methyl acrylate, which are often added to PAN [35]. Around 2360–2320 cm⁻¹, double-peaks are visible, which can sometimes be observed in FTIR measurements and are usually based on CO₂ in gaseous and aqueous form [36], i.e. an artefact.

Besides these undesired effects, all PAN peaks look identical in all samples, which could be expected as the composition of the solid content of the spinning solutions remained unaltered. Small deviations between the heights of the peaks can be attributed to thickness deviations and air inclusion in the thin nanofiber mats, in this way varying the overall signal. This shows that the chemical composition of the PAN nanofiber mats remains unaltered when the solid content in the solution is varied.
4 Conclusion and outlook

Needleless electrospinning of PAN from DMSO can be performed for a broad range of solid contents in the solution. Our investigations reveal that concentrations of between 14% and 18% are ideal for most applications, resulting in high material yields and narrow fibre diameter distributions, while facilitating the tailoring of the diameter to a certain extent. Higher concentrations of 20% result unexpectedly in conglutinated fibres, which may be interesting for certain applications in which mechanical strength is more important than the high surface-to-volume ratio of the nanofibrous mats produced at lower concentrations. A solid content of 22% is no longer spinnable, while a PAN concentration of 12% also resulted in a low material yield. For all samples under examination, the chemical composition remained unaltered.

Our study facilitates the identification of the optimum PAN concentrations for wire-based electrospinning, which are quite different from the optimal values for the needle-based technique. Because PAN nanofibrous mats are often used as a precursor for carbon nanofibers, future investigations are necessary to examine the influence of the PAN concentration on the morphology and mechanical properties of carbonized nanofibrous mats, and on the carbon yield.
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