Effect of Amount of DNA on Digital PCR Assessment of Genetically Engineered Canola and Soybean Events
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Abstract
Low-level detection and quantification of genetically engineered (GE) traits with polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is challenging. For unapproved GE events, any level of detection is not acceptable in some countries because of zero tolerance. Droplet digital PCR (ddPCR) has been successfully used for absolute quantification of GE events. In this study, reliability of low level quantification of GE events with ddPCR was assessed using a total of 50, 100, 200, 400, and 600 ng DNA spiked at 0.01% and 0.1% concentration levels. Genetically engineered canola (GT73 and MON88302 events) and soybean (A2704-12 and DP305423 events) events were used for the study. For samples spiked at 0.1% level, reliable quantification was achieved for the four GE events using 50 or 100 ng DNA. Few target droplets were generated for 0.01% spiked GE samples using 50 and 100 ng DNA. Increasing the amount of DNA for ddPCR generated more number of target droplets. For GE canola events, the use of 400 and 600 ng DNA for ddPCR resulted in saturation. The use of multiple wells of 200 ng DNA (instead of 400 and 600 ng per well) helped to overcome the saturation problem. Overall, the use of high amount of DNA for ddPCR was helpful for the detection and quantification of 0.01% GE samples.
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Introduction

Accuracy of genetically engineered (GE) trait identification and quantification in non-processed and processed food samples is affected by factors such as sampling/sub-sampling, DNA extraction, DNA quality/purity, DNA amount, and PCR conditions (Alarcon et al. 2019; Demeke and Dobnik 2018). The minimum required performance limit (MRPL), which is the lowest level of GE material for satisfactorily reproducible validation of quantitative methods, has been set at 0.1% (European Commission Regulation No. 619/2011). However, for some countries, any level of detection of unapproved GE events is not acceptable. Unapproved GE events on the European market are reported through the Rapid Alert System for Food and Feed (European Commission, RASFF Portal). For unauthorized FP967 GE flax, sampling and testing protocol was set to achieve a level of detection of 0.01% (Canadian Grain Commission 2018).

One of the challenges for accurate quantification of GE events below 0.1% is obtaining repeatable or reliable results. Transgenic concentrations of 0.1% (m/m) certified reference materials resulted in bias and uncertainty (Cottenet et al. 2019). Concentrations such as 1% and 10% resulted in less bias. Use of high amount of DNA for real-time quantitative PCR enabled reliable detection of GE events at low concentration levels (Mano et al. 2018).

Effect of amount of DNA on quantitative detection of GE events using droplet digital PCR (ddPCR) results has not been investigated. The objective of the study was to determine the effect of amount of DNA on quantification of GE traits using ddPCR. The study will help to determine if high amount of DNA can be used for accurate quantification of low GE concentration using ddPCR. Real-time qualitative PCR assay was also used for comparison.

Materials and Methods

Source of Samples

The sources of canola samples were Legend (non-GE variety), AOCS 1011-A (MON88302 reference material), and Conquest breeder seed (GT73 event). Legend and Conquest
Table 1  List of primers/probes and concentrations used for droplet digital PCR (ddPCR) and real-time qualitative PCR (RT-qPCR)

| Event/reference gene | Names of primers/probes | DNA sequences (5′ – 3′) | Amplicon size (bp) | Primer/probe concentrations (μM) |
|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|
|                      |                          | ddPCR                    | RT-qPCR             |                                  |
| GT73 canola          | RT73 primer 1            | F-CCA TAT TGA CCA TCA TAC TCA TTG CT | 108                 | 0.4                              |
| GT73 canola          | RT73 primer 2            | R-GCT TAT ACG GCA AGA AAA GGA | 0.4                 | 0.4                              |
| GT73 canola          | RT73 probe              | P-FAM-TTC CCG GAC ATG AAG ATC ATC CTC CTT-BHQ1 | 0.2                 | 0.05                             |
| MON88302 canola      | 88302QF                 | F- TCC-TTG AAC CTT ATT TTA TAG TGC ACA | 101                 | 0.40                             |
| MON88302 canola      | 88302QR                 | R- TCA GAT TGT CGT TTC CCG CCT TCA | 0.40                | 0.40                             |
| MON88302 canola      | 88302QP                 | FAM- TAG TCA TCA TGT TGT ACC ACT TCA AAC ACT -BHQ1 | 0.20                | 0.20                             |
| Fat(A)               | 09-0-2824               | F-ACA GAT GAA GTT CGG GAC GAG TAC | 84                  | 0.3                              |
| Fat(A)               | 09-0-2825               | R-CAG GTT GAG ATC CAC ATG CTT AAA TAT | 0.9                 | 0.9                              |
| Fat(A)               | 09-QP-87                | P-HEX- AAG AAG AAT CAT CAT GCT TC-BHQ1 | 1.15                | –                                |
| Fat(A)               | 09-QP-87                | FAM- AAG AAG AAT CAT CAT GCT TC-MGBNFQ | –                   | 0.15                             |
| DP305423 soy         | DP305-f1                | CGT GTT CTC TTT TTG GCT AGC | 93                  | 0.8                              |
| DP305423 soy         | DP305-r5                | GTG ACC AAT GAA TAC A TA ACA AAA ACT A | 0.5                 | 0.5                              |
| DP305423 soy         | DP305-p                 | FAM-TGA CAC AAA TGA TTT TCA TAC AAA AGT CGA GA-BHQ1 | 0.1                 |                                  |
| DP305423 soy         | DP305-p                 | FAM-TGA CAC AAA TGA TTT TCA TAC AAA AGT CGA GA-TAMRA | 0.22                |                                  |
| A2704-12 soy         | KVM175                  | GCA AAA AAG CGG TTA GCT CCT | 64                  | 0.4                              |
| A2704-12 soy         | SMO001                  | ATT CAG GCT GCG CAA CTG TT | 0.4                  | 0.4                              |
| A2704-12 soy         | TM031                   | FAM-CGG TCC TCC GAT CGC CCT TCC-TAMRA | –                   | 0.2                              |
| A2704-12 soy         | TM031                   | FAM-CGG TCC TCC GAT CGC CCT TCC-BHQ1 | 0.2                  | –                                |
| Le1 for 40-3-2        | lec-F                   | CCA GCT TCG CGG CCT TCT TC | 74                  | 0.4                              |
| Le1 for 40-3-2        | lec-R                   | GAA GGC AAG CCC ATC TGC AAG CC | 0.4                  | 0.15                             |
| Le1 for 40-3-2        | lec-P                   | VIC-CTT CAC CCT CTA TGC CCC TGA CAC-BHQ1 | 0.2                  | 0.05                             |

Fat(A) and Le1 (Lectin) are the reference genes used for canola and soybean, respectively.
seeds were received from Oilseeds Program of the Canadian Grain Commission. For soybean, Colby (non-GE variety), PS 2295 LL (A2704-12 variety, Breeder seed), and ERM-BF426d (DP305423 event, certified reference material) were used. Certified seeds of Colby were obtained from WG Thompson & Sons (Ontario, Canada), while certified seeds of PS 2295 LL were obtained from Bayer Crop Science. GE and non-GE seed samples were ground with a RETSCH model ZM 100 Centrifugal Grinding Mill (Fischer Scientific, Ottawa, Canada) using a 1-mm sieve.

DNA Extraction and Quantification

DNeasy mericon Food kit (QIAGEN Life Sciences, LLC, Louisville, KY) was used for DNA extraction. The quality of DNA was checked with agarose gel electrophoresis (1.2%). 1× TAE (Tris-Acetate-EDTA) buffer containing 0.44 mM GelRed dye (Biotium, Cedarlane Laboratories, Hornby, Ontario) was used for gel electrophoresis. The amount of DNA was determined with a Pico Green® assay (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA), and λ DNA was used to generate calibration curve. The Pico Green® dsDNA reagent was added to diluted DNA in a 96-well plate. SpectraMax M5 Microplate Reader (Molecular Devices, Toronto, Canada) was used to measure the fluorescence in duplicates. 0.1% and 0.01% GE samples were prepared by mixing non-GE and GE DNA.

Droplet Digital PCR

Digital PCR was carried out using the QX200 ddPCR system (Bio-Rad, Pleasanton, CA). The primer and probe DNA sequences for the target and reference genes as well as the concentrations used for ddPCR and RT-qPCR assays are listed in Table 1. Duplex ddPCR (mixing of target and reference primers and probes in the same reaction well) was used to generate target and reference droplets at the same time. 12.5 μL Bio-Rad ddPCR Supermix for Probes (no dUTP) and 50, 100, 200, 400, and 600 ng total template DNA were used for the ddPCR assays. The DG-32 cartridge for automated droplet generator (Cat. No. 186-4108) was used to produce droplets of 20 μL volume. The generated droplets were transferred to semi-
PCR amplification of the generated droplets was carried out using a MJ Thermal Cycler (PTC 200). The thermal cycling conditions used were an initial denaturation step of 95 °C for 10 min, followed by 50 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s, 60 °C for 1 min, and a final step of 98 °C for 10 min. A ramp rate of 0.6 °C/s was used between the cycling steps, and a ramp rate of 0.3 °C/s was used at the last step to maintain the reaction at 15 °C. The droplets were counted using the droplet reader on the QX200 system. QuantaSoft version 1.7.4.0917 and automatic threshold were used for ddPCR data analysis.

Real-Time Qualitative PCR

Real-time qualitative PCR (RT-PCR) was carried out using the ABI 7500 PCR instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The RT-PCR contained DNA template (50, 100, 200, 400, and 600 ng), primers, and probes (concentrations provided in Table 1), and 1× (12.5 μL) TaqMan Universal Master Mix II with UNG (Applied Biosystems). The thermal profile used for the real-time PCR had an initial hold for 2 min at 50 °C, 10 min at 95 °C, and then 40 cycles of 15 s at 95 °C and 1 min annealing at 60 °C.

Results and Discussion

Table 3 Effect of amount of soybean DNA on ddPCR results

| Event     | Spiking level | Amount of DNA | % obtained | ANTD | ANRD |
|-----------|---------------|---------------|------------|------|------|
| A2704-12  | 0.01%         | 50a           | 0.009 ± 0.008 | 1.0 ± 1.0 | 5235 ± 4752 |
|           |               | 100           | 0.012 ± 0.005 | 2.7 ± 1.2 | 13,554 ± 194 |
|           |               | 200           | 0.009 ± 0.007 | 3.7 ± 2.0 | 14,828 ± 2133 |
|           |               | 400           | 0.009 ± 0.002 | 9.0 ± 1.7 | 19,004 ± 502 |
|           |               | 400b          | 0.009 ± 0.003 | 11.3 ± 3.1 | 29,466 ± 1191 |
|           |               | 600           | 0.008 ± 0.003 | 11.7 ± 4.0 | 16,072 ± 1266 |
|           |               | 600c          | 0.007 ± 0.001 | 14 ± 3.5 | 48,573 ± 2841 |
|           | 0.1%          | 50            | 0.07 ± 0.02 | 8.3 ± 1.5 | 8757 ± 309 |
|           |               | 100           | 0.12 ± 0.03 | 24.0 ± 6.1 | 12,283 ± 180 |
|           |               | 200           | 0.09 ± 0.01 | 39.0 ± 2.7 | 16,424 ± 780 |
|           |               | 400           | 0.08 ± 0.01 | 78.0 ± 9.9 | 18,534 ± 976 |
|           |               | 600           | 0.08 ± 0.01 | 117 ± 14.11 | 17,761 ± 2042 |
| DP305423  | 0.01%         | 50a           | 0.006 ± 0.006 | 0.7 ± 0.6 | 8303 ± 853 |
|           |               | 100           | 0.006 ± 0.002 | 1.3 ± 0.6 | 12,942 ± 2899 |
|           |               | 200           | 0.009 ± 0.002 | 3.3 ± 1.5 | 14,032 ± 1400 |
|           |               | 400           | 0.019 ± 0.007 | 11.3 ± 4.5 | 14,683 ± 806 |
|           |               | 400b          | 0.006 ± 0.001 | 8.7 ± 2.5 | 30,444 ± 5644 |
|           |               | 600           | 0.009 ± 0.002 | 9.7 ± 1.5 | 15,017 ± 499 |
|           |               | 600c          | 0.006 ± 0.002 | 15.3 ± 5.5 | 49,624 ± 1809 |
|           | 0.1%          | 50            | 0.12 ± 0.01 | 15.3 ± 2.1 | 9582 ± 135 |
|           |               | 100           | 0.07 ± 0.05 | 27.7 ± 4.6 | 14,361 ± 45 |
|           |               | 200           | 0.11 ± 0.00 | 58 ± 2.7 | 18,580 ± 140 |
|           |               | 400           | 0.12 ± 0.01 | 139 ± 10.4 | 19,639 ± 594 |
|           |               | 600           | 0.11 ± 0.00 | 186 ± 9.9 | 18,566 ± 1020 |

ANTD average number of target droplets, ANRD average number of reference droplets

* There was no call for one of the three replications
** Multiple wells were used
*** Results were for 2 wells of 200 ng PCR from the same mixture and 3 replications
**** Results were for 3 wells of 200 ng PCR from the same mixture and 3 replications

skirted 96-well Eppendorf plates (Cat. No. 12001925) and sealed with a pierceable foil heat seal (Cat. No. 1814040). PCR amplification of the generated droplets was carried out using a MJ Thermal Cycler (PTC 200). The thermal cycling conditions used were an initial denaturation step of 95 °C for 10 min, followed by 50 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s, 60 °C for 1 min, and a final step of 98 °C for 10 min. A ramp rate of 0.6 °C/s was used between the cycling steps, and a ramp rate of 0.3 °C/s was used at the last step to maintain the reaction at 15 °C. The droplets were counted using the droplet reader on the QX200 system. QuantaSoft version 1.7.4.0917 and automatic threshold were used for ddPCR data analysis.

Effect of Amount of DNA on ddPCR Quantification of GE Canola and Soybean Events

Reliable quantification of GE events in cereals, grains, and food samples is important. Fifty to 100 ng DNA is generally used for the detection, identification, and quantification of GE.
Fig. 1  Distribution of droplets for 0.1% DP305423 soybean. A, target droplets; B, dual droplets; C, negative droplets; and D, reference droplets. I, II, III, IV, and V indicate 50, 100, 200, 400, and 600 ng DNA
events by PCR. Accurate quantification of GE events is challenging for concentrations below 0.1% (European Commission Regulation No. 619/2011; Kay and Van den Eede 2001). For this study, 0.01% and 0.1% spiked GE canola and soybean DNA were prepared for ddPCR analysis using total DNA concentrations of 50, 100, 200, 400, and 600 ng. For 100 ng DNA samples of 0.1% GT73 and MON88302 canola, an average of 45 and 69 target droplets were observed, respectively (Table 2). The number of target droplets generated steadily increased as the amount of DNA sample was increased. The haploid genome weight of *Brassica napus* (canola) is 1.13 pg (Johnston et al. 2005). Thus, 100 ng DNA spiked at 0.1% will have approximately 90 copies of canola target DNA; and the chance of PCR detection of GE materials at 0.1% level is generally high. The measured concentrations were mostly within the expected range (Table 2). Overall, reliable ddPCR detection was achieved for the two GE canola events at 0.1% level using 50 or 100 ng input DNA, and there is no need to use higher amount of DNA.

For 0.01% GE concentration, an average of two to eight target droplets were observed for 50, 100, and 200 ng DNA for the two GE canola events (Table 2). Bio-Rad recommends at least three positive droplets in order to call a sample positive. The three positive droplets can be either in a single well or across merged wells (Droplet Digital™ PCR Applications Guide, Bio-Rad). Even though the measured concentrations were close to the 0.01% expected value (Table 2), repeatability can be an issue with the generation of few number of target droplets.

For 0.1% GE soybean DNA, the number of target droplets increased as more amount of DNA was used for ddPCR (Table 3). Dual-positive target and reference droplets were more common than single droplets, due to high occupancy of the reference gene (Fig. 1). The measured target concentrations of GE samples were similar to the expected values. The number of positive target droplets proportionally increased up to 600 ng DNA. For 0.01% GE DNA, less than four positive target droplets were obtained on average for 50, 100, and 200 ng DNA for both GE soybean events. In comparison, relatively higher number of target droplets were generated for 0.01% spiked 400 and 600 ng soybean DNA samples (Table 3).

### Saturation Problem with the Use of 400 and 600 ng Canola DNA

The use of 400 and 600 ng canola DNA for ddPCR resulted in saturation of the system for both 0.1% and 0.01% concentrations. Excess number of reference droplets was generated, whereas no negative droplets were present. When there are
no negative droplets. Poisson correction cannot be applied, and it is not possible to calculate concentration (Digital™ PCR Applications Guide, Bio-Rad). To overcome the saturation problem, multiple wells (2 wells of 200 ng for 400 ng total DNA and 3 wells of 200 ng for 600 ng total DNA) were used, and the droplets were pooled. For pooled results of 400 ng and 600 ng DNA, 21 to 30 and 30 to 47 target droplets were obtained for 0.01% spiked GT73 and MON88302 GE canola DNA, respectively (Table 2). The use of multiple wells of 200 ng canola DNA and pooling the droplets enabled reliable ddPCR detection at 0.01% level. In contrast to canola, droplet saturation with soybean reference gene was not observed when 400 and 600 ng DNA was used for ddPCR. The use of multiple wells of 200 ng soybean DNA and pooling the results (for total of 400 and 600 ng DNA) slightly increased the number of target droplets compared with single well results with the exception of 400 ng DP305423 soybean DNA (Table 3).

Qualitative PCR Results for 0.01% Spiked Samples

For qualitative RT-PCR, amplification was observed for most samples with the exception of low amount of DNA for A2704-12 and DP305423 soybean. Decreasing average threshold (Ct) values were observed as the DNA concentration was increased for 0.01% spiked samples for three of the four GE events (Table 4). Generally, reliability of detection increases if the Ct values are lower than 40. For DP305423 soybean event, the decrease in Ct value was not consistent. Mano et al. (2018) reported that a tenfold increase in sensitivity was achieved as a result of using 500 ng DNA instead of 50 ng DNA for real-time PCR. According to Fernandes et al. (2016), the amount of template DNA used in the PCR may be increased when the number of available gene copies is not sufficient for PCR amplification. However, there can be PCR inhibition because of using high amount of DNA.

Conclusions

Absolute quantification of GE canola (GT73 and MON88302 events) and soybean (A2704-12 and DP305423 events) events was achieved at 0.1% level using 50 and 100 ng DNA. For 0.01% spiked samples, the addition of 400 and 600 ng DNA in ddPCR generated meaningful data points for absolute quantification of GE canola and soybean events. The use of 400 and 600 ng canola DNA for ddPCR resulted in saturation of the system because of generation of excessive number of reference droplets and absence of negative droplets. The saturation problem was overcome by the use of multiple wells of 200 ng DNA. For qualitative PCR of 0.01% spiked samples, lower threshold values were obtained for 400 and 600 ng DNA compared to 50 or 100 ng DNA.
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