CAVE BIOFILMS: CHARACTERIZATION OF PHOTOTROPHIC CYANOBACTERIA AND ALGAE AND CHEMOTROPHIC FUNGI FROM THREE CAVES IN SERBIA
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Abstract: Cyanobacteria, algae (Chlorophyta and Bacillariophyta), and fungi were identified from biofilm samples from three caves in western Serbia: Ribnička, Hadži Prodanova, and Rćanska. Temperature, light intensity, and relative humidity varied from 16.9 °C to 24.9 °C, 61% to 87%, and 215 Lux to 4400 Lux, respectively. In general, the highest number of documented taxa belonged to Cyanobacteria, with chroococcalean taxa prevailing and Gloecapsa species as the most diverse. A large percentage of observed fungi were Ascomycetes or Zygomycetes, while the only representative of Basidiomycetes was Rhizoctonia s.l. However, a redundancy analysis revealed that different taxonomic groups were dominant at different localities: cyanobacteria and fungi in Ribnička and Hadži Prodanova, and Chlorophyta and Bacillariophyta in Rćanska. The statistical analysis showed that relative humidity is an important physical parameter influencing the development of various microbial communities in different caves. Cyanobacteria were mostly found in places with lower relative humidity, while Chlorophyta and Bacillariophyta were found in places with higher humidity. The documented physical parameters did not have a significant impact on the distribution of fungi. Measured chlorophyll-a content was highest on horizontal surfaces, where the highest content of organic/inorganic matter were also recorded. The highest water content was observed in biofilm samples from which many cyanobacteria taxa were identified.

INTRODUCTION

The territory of Serbia is one of the curiosities of the world in terms of the complexity of the geological composition, both related to the number and diversity of lithologic and stratigraphic units, as well as in terms of tectonic structure. The geological heterogeneity of the territory is largely a consequence of magmatic activity accompanied by intense movements of the earth’s crust during the Cretaceous and Tertiary Alpine tectonics. In a small area of 88,000 km², the six major geotectonic regions (Inner Dinarides, Šumadijsko-Kopaonicka Zone, Serbian-Macedonian Mass, Carpatho-Balkan Mountains, Moesian Platform, and the Pannonian Basin) (Dimitrijević, 1974), can be distinguished, along with dozens of lower-order geotectonic areas or units. Carpatho Balkanids and Inner Dinarides of western Serbia are regions where the terrain is built of carbonate sediments with very distinctive karst forms, both on the surface and underground (Filipović et al., 2005). The underground karst forms are characterized by a large number and great diversity of caves and caverns, of which many are protected due to their scientific and cultural relevance and importance.

Caves are not only unique natural monuments in terms of geological structure and complexity, but also represent a unique habitat for a large number of organisms such as viruses, bacteria, fungi, lichen, algae, protozoa, plants and animals (Falasco et al., 2014). Phototrophic microorganisms can easily be found at cave entrances illuminated by direct or indirect sunlight and as lamppenflora in areas near artificial lights, usually associated with various heterotrophic microorganisms, predominantly bacteria and fungi, also common in the inner, non-illuminated parts of the cave (Mulec and Kosi, 2008; Czerwik-Marcinkowska, 2013). Various colorations on speleothems, precipitates, corrosion residues, structural changes, and biofilms represent evidence of a microbial community (Ogorek et al., 2016).

Little is known about the microbiota of Serbian caves (Popović et al., 2015), unlike many other European countries: Spain (Martinez and Asencio, 2010; Roldán and Hernández-Mariné, 2009; Urzi et al., 2010; Busquets et al., 2014), France (Borderie et al., 2011, 2014; Bastian and Alabouvette, 2009), Italy (Cennamo et al., 2012; Giordano et al., 2000), Poland (Czerwik-Marcinkowska and Mrozińska, 2009, 2011; Czerwil-Marcinkowska, 2013; Ogorek et al., 2013; Pusz et al., 2014), Slovenia (Klemenčič and Vrhovšek, 2005; Mulec and Kosi, 2008; Mulec et al., 2008, 2012), Greece (Lamprinou et al., 2009, 2012, 2014; Pantazidou and Roussomoustakaki, 2005), Czech Republic (Pouličková and Hašler, 2007), Turkey (Selvi and Altuner, 2007), and Russia (Mazina and Maximov, 2011). We investigated cyanobacterial, algal, and fungal
diversity in three karst caves in Serbia and related diversity to the environmental factors of light, temperature, and relative humidity and how these factors contribute to colonization by microorganisms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

SAMPLING SITES AND SAMPLING PROCEDURE

Ribnička Cave (RIB) (Fig. 1a) is situated in the northwestern part of Serbia, in the valley of the river Rbnica, south of Mionica (44°12′20.27″N, 20°5′32.59″E). The gorge through which Rbnica River flows is constructed of Lower and Upper Cretaceous and Lower Triassic limestone. The cave entrance is 25 m wide and 12 m high and only 1 m above the riverbed, and the total length of the cave is 127 m. From the main chamber, several short galleries diverge (Duvoči, 1998). Because of the dimensions of the cave entrance and the main hall, the microclimate of the cave is heavily influenced by seasonal and daily fluctuations of outside climatic factors, primarily temperature.

Hadži Prodanova Cave (HP) (Fig. 1b) is located in the upper part of the Rašanska River, 7 km from Ivanjica (43°37′38.78″N, 20°14′25.30″E), in fissured Triassic limestones. This cave is a spacious form of underground karst topography and consists of an entrance channel, the central hall, and radiating lateral canals, with a total length of about 420 m. The cave entrance is narrow and tall, about 5 to 6 m high and approximately 2 m wide at the beginning, then slightly narrows and continues to the spacious central hall. The cave is very dry, and only dripping water makes it hydrologically active (Duvoči, 1998).

The Rćanska Caves (RC) are located on the left side of the Rćanska River, in the Dragačev territory (43°44′2.70″N, 20°14′29.37″E), and these partly explored underground karst forms consist of Velika, Suva, and Slepa Caves and Bezdan Pit, which are composed of mostly massive Upper Cretaceous limestone, with the total length of the canals being about 750 m. The upper part of Velika Cave, with a total length of about 380 m (Fig. 1c), has a cascading elevation, while the lower part is composed of three levels of galleries, the main canyon with a cascading rocky floor, the hydrologically active level that ends in a siphon, and a dry, hydrologically inactive level (Duvoči, 1998). The sampling was conducted at the entrance of the lower part of Velika Cave. This entrance is approximately 13 m wide and 17 m high. Since the investigated caves are still not open for tourists, there are no anthropogenic activities that may have affected the cave’s ecosystems.

For algological and mycological analyses, seven sampling sites were chosen in Ribnička, while five sampling sites were selected in Hadži Prodanova and Rćanska. The locations of each sampling site near the cave entrance are shown in Fig. 1. All samples, with the exception of samples from sampling sites RC2 and RIB6, were collected from the cave walls where variously colored biofilms were formed. Sampling site RC2 was located on the horizontal surface of a large stone in the middle of the cave on which the mud deposits were observed, while sampling site RIB6 was on the cave floor.

Light intensity, temperature, and relative humidity were measured using the DMV 1300 Luxmeter, Velleman, Belgium and Temperature Humidity Meter, Extech, USA. These parameters were measured three times at each sampling site, and for each parameter, the mean values and standard errors were calculated.

ALGOLGICAL AND MYCOLOGICAL ANALYSES

Samples for algological analyses were taken directly from the stone substrata using a non-destructive, adhesive-tape method (Gaylard and Gaylard, 1998; Urzi and de Leo, 2001) and by scraping the biofilm with a flame-sterilized scalpel. Afterward, the samples were stored in labeled sterile polyethylene bags and transported on ice until laboratory processing. The part of the scraped material was mixed with a drop of glycerol, and it and the adhesive strips were directly observed using the light microscope Zeiss Axio-Imager M.1 with software AxioVision 4.8. Algae and cyanobacteria were identified using the appropriate literature: John et al. (2003), Komárek and Anagnostidis (1998; 2005), Komárek (2013), Komárek and Fott (1983), Kiiger and Gerloff (1962), Hofmann et al. (2013), and Starmach (1972).

For mycological analysis, five samples were collected from each of the sampling sites by swabbing the stone surfaces with sterile cotton swabs. After sampling, swabs were put in sterile polyethylene bags until laboratory processing. In laboratory conditions, swabs were diluted in 10 mL sterile, deionized water and shaken steadily for 10 minutes. Aliquots of 1 mL prepared suspension were inoculated onto dichloran 18% glycerol agar (DG18) and malt extract agar (MEA), both with antibiotics added to suppress bacterial growth. Chloramphenicol in the concentration of 0.1 g L⁻¹ was added to DG18 medium, while streptomycin (500 mg L⁻¹) was added to MEA (Samson et al., 2010). Procedures were done in triplicate. The inoculated plates were then incubated in dark conditions for seven days at 25 °C (Memmert Incubator UE500). Pure cultures of each isolate were obtained via single conidial transfer of primary isolates to the following nutrient media: Creatine sucrose agar (CREA), Czapek Yeast extract agar (CYA), DG18, Dichloran Rose Bengal Chloramphenicol agar (DRYES), MEA, Oatmeal agar (OA), and Potato Carrot Agar (PCA). After an incubation period of seven days, fungi were identified based on colony macromorphology and microscopic features of fungal reproductive structures using a stereomicroscope (Stemi DV4, Zeiss) and light microscope (Carl Zeiss Axio Imager M.1 with software AxioVision 4.8). Fungal isolates were identified to the species or genus level using the following dichotomous keys: Bensch et al. (2012), Ellis (1971), Ellis and Ellis (1997), Garcia et al. (2006), Rapper and Fennel (1965), Samson et al. (2010), Samson and Varga (2007), Watanabe (2010), and Woudenberg et al. (2013).
Figure 1. Maps of the three investigated caves with sampling sites near the entrance of each cave: a-Ribnička (RIB1–RIB7), b-Hadži Prodanova (HP1–HP5), and c-Rčanka Caves (RC1–RC5). The sampling sites had the following distances from the cave entrances: RIB1, 8 m; RIB2, 9 m; RIB3, 13 m; RIB4, 13.5 m; RIB5, 14 m; RIB6, 22 m; RIB7, 26 m; HP1, 5 m; HP2, 6 m; HP3, 7 m; HP4, 7 m; HP5, 8 m; RC1, 22 m; RC2, 24 m; RC3, 34 m; RC4, 34 m; RC5, 30 m.
DETERMINATION OF CHLOROPHYLL-A, AND BIOFILM CONTENT

A round metal matrix covering a surface of 3.14 cm² was used to mark the surface on stone substrata from which the two biofilm samples were scraped for chlorophyll-a extraction and determination of the water content and content of inorganic/organic matter in biofilm samples.

Stone surfaces on which the metal matrix was applied were smooth and had minor imperfections. Scraped samples were kept in sterile polyethylene bags, and upon the arrival in the laboratory, samples were immediately prepared for the chlorophyll-a extraction. The biofilm samples were weighted and boiled in 20 mL of 100% ethanol. After homogenization, the samples were filtered, and the absorbance of the filtrate was measured before and after acidification at 665 nm and 750 nm on the spectrophotometer (Cecil CE 2501). The chlorophyll-a content was determined using the formula described in the study by Popović et al. (2015), and was expressed as μg Chl-a cm⁻².

Samples for the determination of the water content were kept in a sealed container to avoid water evaporation until their arrival at the laboratory. The water content and organic/inorganic matter in the biofilm samples expressed in percent and mg cm⁻² were determined based on the difference in sample weight before and after drying at 105 °C and ashing at 550 °C. The difference in biofilm weight between fresh samples and those dried at 105 °C gave the water content of the biofilm, while the difference between the weights at 105 °C and 550 °C was organic matter. The residue remaining at 550 °C was the inorganic part of the biofilm.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Two redundancy analyses were performed using the program CANOCO for Windows, Version 5.0 (Ter Braak and Šmilauer, 2012). The first RDA analysis was performed to examine the potential effects of measured environmental variables on cyanobacterial, algal, and fungal community with the cave used as a supplementary variable. For project data, presence/absence of all recorded taxa was used as a measure. Then each taxon was assigned to a taxonomic group (Cyanobacteria, Chlorophyta, Bacillariophyta, or fungi). In further analysis, we used these groups instead of individual taxa. The measured environmental variables temperature, relative humidity, and light intensity were submitted to the interactive forward selection, in which the statistical significance of each variable was tested by the Monte Carlo permutation test at a cutoff point of P=0.05. RDA with the option ‘center and standardize’ was used. The main goal was to show if some groups are influenced by any of the measured environmental factors. The second RDA analysis, with cave as an explanatory variable, was performed to demonstrate the preference of microorganism groups for a certain cave, as well as the proportion of documented taxa found in every cave.

RESULTS

Light intensity varied from the lowest value of 21.5 Lux, measured at sampling site RC1, to the highest value of 4400 Lux, measured at sampling site HP1. The highest temperature was measured at HP3 (24.9 °C) and the lowest at RIB7 (16.9 °C). The lowest relative humidity was measured at HP3 (61%), and the highest at RC4 (87%) (Fig. 2). The highest
values of light intensity and temperature were measured in Hadži Prodanova. Measured relative-humidity values were obviously lowest in Ribnička, where cyanobacteria prevailed, and some sampling sites in Hadži Prodanova. The differences in the measured physical parameters were easily visible when the data from all localities were compared, but there were no significant differences among the sampling sites in any one cave, except for light intensity.

The two methods of biofilm sampling for cyanobacterial and algological analyses, non-destructive adhesive tape and scraping the biofilm with flame-sterilized scalpels, were found to support each other and contributed to a more detailed identification of taxa in biofilm. During the survey in the investigated caves, Cyanobacteria (Table 1) and algae (Chlorophyta and Bacillariophyta) (Table 2) were documented. The highest number of documented taxa belonged to Cyanobacteria, with chroococcalean taxa prevailing and species of the genus Gloeocapsa being the most diverse. Oscillatoriales and Nostocales were present to a lesser extent. Most of the cyanobacteria that were documented in these three caves were aerophytic taxa, while Chlorophyta and Bacillariophyta had aerophytic and freshwater representatives. Some of the documented taxa are shown in Figure 3. Many cyanobacterial and algal taxa were documented only in one cave, except for light intensity.

In all investigated samples, 27 different fungal morphotypes, including filamentous fungi, yeasts (Fig. 4a), and microcolonial fungi (Fig. 4c) were isolated. A list of identified fungi is presented in Table 3. The majority of documented fungi were Ascomycetes or Zygomycetes. However, the plant pathogen Rhizoctonia s. l. (teleomorph: Thanatephorus sp.) was the only member of Basidiomycetes documented in this study; it was isolated from walls of Hadži Prodanova. Microcolonial fungi,
Table 1. Cyanobacterial taxa from Ribnička (RIB1–RIB7), Hadži Prodanova (HP1–HP5) and Rćanska (RC1–RC5) caves (+ indicates sampling sites where specific taxa were documented).

| Cyanobacterial Taxa                          | RIB1 | RIB2 | RIB3 | RIB4 | RIB5 | RIB6 | RIB7 | HP1 | HP2 | HP3 | HP4 | HP5 | RC1 | RC2 | RC3 | RC4 | RC5 |
|----------------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Chroococcales                                 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| *Aphanocapsa fusco lutea* Hansgirg           | +    |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| *Aphanocapsa muscicola* (Meneghini) Wille    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| *Aphanocapsa parietina* (Nägeli ex Kützing)  | +    | +    | +    |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Nägeli                                       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| *Aphanocapsa rivularis* (Carmichael) Rabenhorst | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| *Aphanocapsa* Nägeli sp. 1                   | +    |      |      | +    | +    | +    | +    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| *Aphanocapsa* Nägeli sp. 2                   |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | +   | +   | +   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| *Asterocapsa* H.-J. Chu sp.                  | +    |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| *Aphanthece caldariorum* P.G.Richter         |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| *Aphanthece saxicola* Nägeli                 | +    |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| *Aphanthece* Nägeli sp.                     |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | +   | +   | +   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| *Chroococcidiopsis kashayi* Friedmann        |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| *Chroococcidiopsis* Geitler sp.              |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | +   | +   | +   |     |     |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| *Chroococcus ercegovicicii* Komárek          | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| & Anagnostidis                               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| *Chroococcus* Nägeli sp.                    | +    |      |      |      | +    | +    | +    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| *Chroococcus turgidus* (Kützing) Nägeli      | +    |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| *Cyanothece aeruginosa* (Nägeli) Komárek     | +    |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| *Eucapsis* F.E.Clements & H.L. Shantz sp.    | +    |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| *Gloeocapsa aeruginosa* Kützing               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     | +    | +    | +    | +    |
| *Gloeocapsa alpina* Nägeli                   |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| *Gloeocapsa atrata* Kützing                   |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| *Gloeocapsa biforis* Ercegovic               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| *Gloeocapsa compacta* Ercegovic               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| *Gloeocapsa fusco lutea* Kirchner            |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| *Gloeocapsa haematodes* (Kützing) Kützing     |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| *Gloeocapsa novacekii* Komárek & Anagnostidis|      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| *Gloeocapsa reicheltii* P.G.Richter          |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| *Gloeocapsa rupestris* Kützing                |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| *Gloeocapsa sanguinea* (C.Agardh) Kützing     |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| *Gloeocapsa violacea* Kützing                 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| *Gloeocapsa* Kützing spp.                    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| *Gloeothecia rupestris* (Lyngbye) Bornet      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| *Microcrocis* P.G.Richter sp.                 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| *Pseudocapsa dubia* Ercegovic                 |      |      |      |      | +    | +    | +    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |      |
| Oscillatoriales                               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| *Leptolyngbya foveolarom* (Gomont) Anagnostidis |
| & Komárek                                    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
well-known as rock-inhabiting fungi, were frequently encountered in all studied caves (Isola et al., 2016).

During laboratory cultivation, microscopic analyses revealed the presence of atypical fungal structures, such as aberrant conidial apparatus in Aspergillus sp. sect. Nidulantes (Fig. 4d, g) and microcyclic conidiation in one Penicillium isolate (Fig. 4h).

Interactive forward selection revealed that relative humidity was the only measured environmental variable that was statistically significant (Fig. 5A). The redundancy analysis including humidity as an explanatory variable and Cyanobacteria, Chlorophyta, Bacillariophyta, and fungi as response data showed that relative humidity was positively correlated with the first RDA axis ($r = 0.7067$), which explained 23.99% of the total variance in our data. Thus, the first axis represented the variation in cyanobacterial, algal, and fungal assemblage explainable by the humidity variable, and the second vertical axis represented a part of residual variation that was not explained by that variable, which suggested that there might also have been other environmental factors influencing the distribution of these groups of microorganisms. Still, the effect of humidity was significant, as confirmed by the result of the Monte Carlo permutation test ($F = 4.6$, $p = 0.0075$). Bacillariophyta and Chlorophyta showed positive correlations with the first RDA axis, which showed that most preferred places are those with higher levels of air humidity. On the other hand, cyanobacteria showed a negative correlation with the first RDA axis, as they were mostly found in places with lower humidity. Fungi showed a slightly negative, almost non-existent correlation with the first RDA axis, but a highly positive correlation with the second RDA axis, meaning that other factors affected the appearance and development of fungi in a certain locality. Cyanobacteria also showed a correlation with the second axis, but it was negative.

The second redundancy analysis (Fig. 5B) showed that the caves were separated along the first axis, as were taxonomic groups. Cyanobacteria and fungi were placed on the left side of the ordination diagram (they were mostly found in Hadži Prodanova and Ribnička), while Chlorophyta and Bacillariophyta were placed on the right side of the ordination diagram (mostly found in Rčanska). In addition, each group is represented as a pie symbol, in which the proportion of documented taxa found in every cave can be seen. Cyanobacterial taxa, with the most numerous being from the order Chroococcales, were predominant in Ribnička and Hadži Prodanova, while in Rčanska smaller number of taxa were recorded. The fungi showed the same pattern. On the other hand, Chlorophyta and Bacillariophyta were mostly documented in samples from Rčanska.

The lowest values of chlorophyll-a content, expressed as $\mu$g Chl-a cm$^{-2}$, were documented at sampling sites RIB2 and RIB5, where few cyanobacterial and algal taxa were found. Two sampling sites that were on a horizontal substrate (RIB6 and RC2) had the highest concentrations of chlorophyll-a (Fig. 6). The content of organic matter expressed as mg cm$^{-2}$ was also the highest at RIB6 and RC2, and the highest content of
Table 2. Algal taxa (Chlorophyta and Bacillariophyta) from Ribnička (RIB1–RIB7), Hadži Prodanova (HP1–HP5) and Rčanska (RC1–RC5) caves (+ indicates sampling sites where specific taxa were documented).

| Algal Taxa                        | RIB1 | RIB2 | RIB3 | RIB4 | RIB5 | RIB6 | RIB7 | HP1 | HP2 | HP3 | HP4 | HP5 | RC1 | RC2 | RC3 | RC4 | RC5 |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| **Chlorophyta**                   |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Apatococcus F.Brand sp.           |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Coccomyxa Schmidle sp.            |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Cosmarium parvulum var excavatum  |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Insam & Krieger                   |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Cosmarium rectangulum Reinsch     |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Desmococcus olivaceus (Persoon ex Acharius) J.R.Laundon |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Klebsormidium flaccidum (Kützing) P.C.Silva, K.R.Mattox & W.H.Blackwell |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Klebsormidium subtile (Kützing) Tracanna ex G.Tell |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Pediastrum simplex var echinulatum Wittrock |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Stichococcus bacillaris Nägeli    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Trochícia granulata (Reinsch) Hansgirg |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| **Bacillariophyta**               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Hantzschia amphioxis (Ehrenberg) Grunow |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Luticola nivalis (Ehrenberg) D.G.Mann |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Navicula Bory de Saint-Vincent spp. |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Nitzschia linearis W.Smith         |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Nitzschia Hassall spp.             |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
inorganic matter was found at RC2 and RIB1. The water content was high at RC2, RIB3, RIB6, and RC4. In general, the highest biomass was observed at RIB6 and RC2. The lowest values of all three biofilm parameters were recorded at RIB5, HP4, and RC3. The table depicting the measured biofilm parameters in percentages was included to display the relationship of every measured component in each biofilm sample (Table 4). The water was the main biofilm constituent at RIB3, RIB7, HP3 and RC4.

**Discussion**

Cyanobacteria and algae (with green algae and diatoms as the most important (Falasco et al., 2014)), are the most...
common phototrophic constituents of cave ecosystems (Mulec et al., 2008). Cyanobacteria and green algae are considered the pioneer colonizers of many exposed surfaces, followed by various heterotroph such as bacteria and fungi. These organisms play an important role in biofilm genesis (Falasco et al., 2014). Cyanobacteria prevail compared to other microorganisms (Czerwik-Marcinkowska, 2013; Mulec et al., 2008; Selvi and Altuner, 2007; Mulec and Kosi, 2008; Mazina and Maximov, 2011), especially in cave entrances (Mulec and Kosi, 2008). Most of the documented cyanobac-

Figure 5. A - Redundancy analysis biplot ordination on the basis of the measured environmental variable relative humidity and cyanobacterial, algal (Chlorophyta and Bacillariophyta), and fungal community with cave as a supplementary variable. B - Redundancy-analysis biplot ordination of cyanobacteria, algae (Chlorophyta and Bacillariophyta), and fungi with locality as an explanatory variable. Each group was represented as a pie symbol, in which the proportion of documented taxa found in every cave can be seen. Caves: Ribnička RIB, Hadži Prodanova HP, and Rčanska RC.

Figure 6. Chlorophyll-a content (Chl-a) expressed as µg cm⁻² water content (WC) and content of organic/inorganic matter (OM/IM) expressed as mg cm⁻² determined in biofilm samples from Ribnička (RIB1–RIB7), Hadži Prodanova (HP1–HP5), and Rčanska (RC1–RC5) caves.
teria from the caves we investigated were typical aerophytic taxa. Coccolid forms of cyanobacteria were the most common, followed by Oscillatoriales and then Nostocales (Lamprinou et al., 2009, 2012, 2014; Martinez and Asenjo, 2010; Pantazidou and Roussomoustakaki, 2005). Most coccolid cyanobacteria produce thick mucilaginous sheaths by which they attach to the substrates to help further colonization by other microorganisms. However, Oscillatoriales, which are usually less present, can form hormogonia that help them colonize new sites in the caves (Pantazidou and Roussomoustakaki, 2005). The most common genus found during this survey, *Gloeocapsa*, has been reported in various habitats with many different ecological characteristics, indicating its tolerance to a wide range of environmental conditions (Cennamo et al., 2012). Chlorophyta and Bacillariophyta are usually found together with cyanobacteria (Czerwik-Marcinkowska, 2013; Lamprinou et al., 2012; Cennamo et al., 2012; Selvi and Altunier, 2007; Mazina and Maximov, 2011; Klemenčič and Vrhovšek, 2005). Among green algae, unicellular forms tend to dominate (Roldán and Hernández-Marinó, 2009). These groups are represented by both aquatic and freshwater taxa. In addition, aquatic taxa of Bacillariophyta can be present, but they usually show some morphological modifications (Falasco et al., 2014).

Even though cyanobacteria prevail in general, they are not always predominant; in our case, Cyanobacteria, Chlorophyta and Bacillariophyta were not equally distributed.

The temperature and relative humidity did not show large differences among the sampling sites in one cave due to the sampling sites’ proximity to each other, but light intensity showed differences among all three examined caves; even small differences can have an immense impact on the ecosystem. The light intensity depended on factors like the distance from the entrance, exposure of the sampling site, rock depressions, and the presence of bigger cavities. Light intensity and other factors are also affected by the size and orientation of the cave entrance, as well as by the presence or absence of vegetation in front of the entrance. The highest temperature was usually measured at sampling sites that were closest to the cave entrance or at the entrance. The highest measured values of temperature and light intensity were recorded in Hadži Prodanova, which was probably due to the specific shape of the narrow and tall entrance facing south, allowing more light to reach cave walls. The highest humidity values were mostly measured at sampling sites that were farthest from the cave entrances, at places that were well shaded, and, as was the case with Rčanska, at sampling sites where running and dripping water was present. Furthermore, sampling sites in Rčanska were farther from the entrance and more isolated from external conditions compared to the other two investigated localities.

The constrained analysis of measured ecological parameters showed that only relative humidity was statistically significant. The highest humidity values were documented in Rčanska, where most of Chlorophyta and Bacillariophyta were recorded. On the contrary, the lowest humidity was documented in Ribnička and Hadži Prodanova, where Cyanobacteria were dominant. This suggests that humidity is likely an important factor in the development of specific communities in a given location. The presence of periodically available water in forms such as rain, dew, or condensation is important for the microbial colonization of rock surfaces (Whitton, 2012). However, cyanobacteria are known to produce extracellular polymeric substances (polysaccharides, proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids) that, aside from having many beneficial roles such as chelating toxic substances, serving as a nutrient reservoir, regulating calcification processes, and protecting from UV radiation, can also retain water, which is of great importance (Whitton, 2012; Falasco et al., 2014). For that reason, many cyanobacteria are very desiccation-tolerant and are able to inhabit places that are more arid compared to many other algal groups (Pouličková and Hašler, 2007).

Chlorophyta and Bacillariophyta were recorded mainly in places with higher humidity. They dominated the entrance walls of Rčanska, especially at sampling sites RC2, 3, and 4. As mentioned, sampling site 2 was located on a horizontal plane, where mud and water accumulated. Sites 3 and 4 were characterized by the presence of dripping water, where algae from the genus *Klebsormidium* were mostly present. *Desmococcus olivaceus*, one of the most common aerophytic algae (Rindi, 2007), was one of the most frequently found algal taxa in this cave. On the other hand, Bacillariophyta were unequally distributed in all three caves. In Ribnička, they were documented only on the cave floor, inhabiting accumulated soil and mud (sampling site RIB 6). In Hadži Prodanova, most of the diatoms were found at the sampling site with highest illumination (HP1) while, in Rčanska they were present in association with Chlorophyta at the sampling site where mosses were present (RC5). The appearance of diatoms and changes in their composition were related to humidity fluctuations. In general, surfaces that are illuminated, wet, and

---

**Table 4. Water content (WC) and content of organic/inorganic matter (OM/IM), represented as percentages, determined in biofilm samples from Ribnička (RIB1–RIB7), Hadži Prodanova (HP1–HP5) and Rčanska (RC1–RC5) caves.**

| Biofilm Content | RIB1 | RIB2 | RIB3 | RIB4 | RIB5 | RIB6 | RIB7 | HP1 | HP2 | HP3 | HP4 | HP5 | RC1 | RC2 | RC3 | RC4 | RC5 |
|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| WC              | 44.2 | 32.3 | 62.4 | 25.9 | 11.1 | 27.6 | 68.3 | 49.0| 40.0| 80.8| 9.1 | 40.3| 36.1| 41.7| 2.0 | 78.8| 40.9|
| OM              | 10.6 | 27.7 | 14.3 | 10.7 | 33.3 | 66.2 | 11.3 | 12.9| 17.6| 6.7 | 50.0| 27.0| 37.5| 7.4 | 2.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| IM              | 45.2 | 40.0 | 23.3 | 63.3 | 55.6 | 6.2  | 20.5 | 38.2| 42.4| 12.5| 40.9| 32.7| 26.4| 50.9| 96.0| 17.2| 55.0|

---

Cave biofilms: characterization of phototrophic cyanobacteria and algae and chemotrophic fungi from three caves in Serbia
characterized by the presence of mosses are richer in diatoms. *Luticola nivalis* and *Hantzschia amphioxys* are typical aerophilous diatoms that can be found in the majority of caves, and they can be considered cosmopolitan taxa. *Hantzschia amphioxys*, common as an epiphytic diatom, often occurs on mosses (Falasco et al., 2014).

Aerophytic cyanobacteria and algae are significantly influenced by temperature, light, and moisture conditions (Pouličková and Hašler, 2007), but many other factors such as the input of nutrients, type and physicochemical substrate properties (pH, rock substance, porosity), cave morphology (size, location, dimension, orientation), and water availability affect the composition of the microbial communities and can explain the variation in species composition (Czerwink-Marckinkowska, 2013; Lamprinou et al., 2012; Pantazidou and Roussomoustakaki, 2005). The importance of the substrate can be seen from the fact that the calcareous, alkaline nature of the substrate favors the proliferation of cyanobacteria where the light is adequate (Pantazidou and Roussomoustakaki, 2005).

At both sampling sites with the highest content of chlorophyll-a, a thick green biofilm containing densely packed cells of cyanobacteria and algae was present. Biofilm at RIB6 was mostly made of densely entangled *Leptolyngbya* sp. According to Knott et al. (2004), horizontal surfaces collect more algae than do vertical surfaces. Certain parts of biofilms from cave walls can be washed with water that periodically flows over the rocks, or it can just “fall off” from time to time. In addition, these sampling sites contained the highest amount of organic matter. Sampling sites RIB7 and HP3, which had the highest water content expressed as a percentage, also had the highest number of cyanobacterial taxa, for which extracellular polymeric substances are responsible for retaining water. The sampling site RC4 also had a high water content percent because of the presence of seeping water. The correlation between chlorophyll-a content and light intensity was not observed ($r = -0.061$).

Fungal spores and hyphal fragments are introduced into caves through the air and water flow (Hsu and Agoramoorthy, 2001). Likewise, troglobiontes, animals that live within caves but periodically come out to feed, are known carriers of plant and animal remains, organic debris, and fungal propagules. The majority of fungi isolated and identified in this survey are typical rock-inhabiting fungi (Ruibal et al., 2009). So this phylogenetically diverse group of melanized ascomycetes can be thought of as an autochthonous or residential fungal community in caves.

The establishment of fungal communities in cave habitats is mostly dependent on the availability of nutrients. The highest number of culturable fungi were isolated from the sampling sites where very developed biofilms were observed and high biomass was documented, such as RIB1. On the other hand, our recorded micro-environmental conditions of temperature, light intensity, and relative humidity were shown to not influence the distribution of fungi at sampling sites. However, Sterling and Lewis (1998) reported that these micro-environmental conditions were critical for the secondary release of spore and fungal growth within the caves and heavily influenced differences in fungal communities inside and outside of caves.

During the microscopic analyses of fungal isolates, the presence of atypical structures, such as the aberrant conidiogenous apparatus in *Aspergillus* sp. sect. *Nidulantes* and microcycle conidiation in one *Penicillum* culture, was observed. Microcycle conidiation, the phenomena of the direct production of conidia from asexual spores without hyphal growth, bypassing the somatic phase in the normal fungal life cycle, has been described in a broad range of fungi, including the genera *Acremonium*, *Aspergillus*, *Cercospora*, *Neurospora*, *Paecilomyces*, *Penicillium* and *Trichoderma* (Hanlin, 1994). Presumably, morphological variations typical of microcycle conidiation and aberrant conidiophore formation are a key mechanism for survival and proliferation of mold spores of the aforementioned genera in adverse environmental conditions (Lapaire and Dunkle, 2003). Furthermore, microcycle conidiation encompasses a normal phase in the life cycle of several fungal groups, among which are rust and smut fungi, as well as other plant (e.g., Taphrinales and Calvicipitales) and insect pathogens (Entomophthorales).

**Conclusions**

Cyanobacteria, algae (Chlorophyta and Bacillariophyta), and fungi were examined from biofilm samples taken from the entrances of Ribnička, Hadži Prodanova, and Rčanska caves. Cyanobacteria, with chroococcalean taxa prevailing and *Gloeocapsa* species as the most diverse, had the highest number of documented taxa. The majority of identified fungi were Ascomycetes or Zygomycetes, with *Rhizoctonia* s. l. as the only representative of Basidiomycetes. Physical parameters temperature and relative humidity did not show such big differences among sampling sites as did light intensity, which was dependent on the distance from the entrance and rock position. According to redundancy analysis and interactive forward selection that were performed on all measured environmental parameters, only relative humidity was a physical parameter that was statistically significant, meaning that it is likely an important factor influencing the development of microbial communities at different localities. Most of...
Bacillariophyta and Chlorophyta were found at places with higher relative humidity, while many cyanobacteria were found in places where lower air humidity was measured. Measured physical parameters did not have a significant influence on the distribution of fungi. The second redundancy analysis that was performed confirmed that different taxonomic groups were dominant at different caves, cyanobacteria and fungi in Ribnička and Hadži Prodanova and Chlorophyta and Bacillariophyta in Čanská cave. Chlorophyll-a content did not show correlation with light intensity. It was highest on a horizontal surfaces where the highest content of organic and inorganic matter were recorded. Higher water content in biofilm was found in samples from which many cyanobacterial taxa were identified.

It is known that many microorganisms from biofilms, through various known mechanisms of biodeterioration, can cause substantial damage to the stone surfaces. The exploration of their diversity, especially of phototrophic components, represents a contribution to the flora of Serbia, and is also the basis for further research that will include more experimental studies in terms of the conservation of these protected sites.
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