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Abstract

Background: The global number of youths has risen with a majority living in Southeast Asia. In Cambodia, rural youths often face difficult barriers to health, which include lack of sexual and reproductive health knowledge, information, and services. Risky behaviors are a threat to the health of many young people in Cambodia.

Methods: We studied a sample of 300 youths to describe sexual and reproductive health characteristics and risky behaviors in two rural provinces of Cambodia. Using a multi-staged sampling method, 30 villages were selected for interviewing. A peer-to-peer interviewing criterion was used that matched interviewer to interviewee based upon sex. Logistic regression models were used to compare risk between sexes and assess for associations between reproductive health variables, gender, youth attitudes, and risky youth social behaviors.

Results: A majority (90%) stated that a boy or girl should defer sex till marriage. A majority of youths (92%) also reported that they may or definitely will seek sexual and reproductive health services in the future. About 5.4% of youth had a prior sexual experience. Only 6.7% of youth reported having they traveled to a local health center, hospital or clinic to seek healthcare for a reproductive health problem. Overall, 27% reported alcohol use in prior 30 days. Relative to girls, boys were more likely to report alcohol use, going out late at night with friends, gambling, pornography use, gambling, and practicing risky behaviors with peers. Living with both parents and current school enrollment, had limited impact on rural youth’s individual and social behaviors.

Conclusion: Although there are favorable findings compatible with traditional Cambodian values and beliefs, the youth in this study are challenged with alcohol use, practicing risky behaviors with peers, and low condom use. Findings have implications for practice and policy to prevent substance abuse and improve outcomes for substance use, sexual and reproductive health.
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Introduction

The world’s adolescent population has increased to the highest level in recent history. In 2012, the world had 1.6 billion persons aged 12–24 year, 721 million of which were adolescents aged 12–17 years, and 850 million were youth aged 18–24 years [1]. In the Asia Pacific region, youth comprise about 712 million people [2] with millions of these young people often lacking adequate education to allow for adequate sustainability [3, 4].

Cambodia has the youngest population in Southeast Asia, with 22% of the population comprising of young people is between 15 and 24 years of age [5, 6]. It is estimated that there will be about 4 million people under the age of 24 year by 2015 [7, 8]. In 2008, the general population census reported that Cambodian youth (10–24 years) represented over 34% of the national population [9]. According to a 2009 report by the Population Council, young people face many concerns in terms of sexual and reproductive health [10] such as sexually transmitted diseases, unwanted pregnancies, unsafe
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abortion, and HIV and AIDS. These health concerns are exacerbated by the lack of information, knowledge, services, and poor education (i.e. low school enrollment, high dropout rates, and high repetition rates) as reported by the World Health Organization [11, 12].

Globally, research of adolescent sexual and reproductive health has been concentrated in western countries. In Cambodia, sexual and reproductive health is a major concern and few investigations have been completed [13–15]. Young people are increasingly exposed to new cultural trends, and yet their parents have difficulty sharing information on sexuality. These issues are a sensitive issue in Khmer culture and especially for the rural young people. Many times the rural youth are ill-informed, and with no parental involvement due to a lack of sexual health knowledge and life-skill training. The lack of valid health information such as HIV transmission and others has led to misconceptions about the nature of the reproductive health diseases, resulting in increased risky health behaviors for this age group [16].

The youth seldom delay marriage past their mid-20s. In Cambodia, the estimated age at first marriage is 23.3 years for girls and 25.5 for boys [17]. Parity is often initiated early with about 23 % of young married girls having their first child by the age of 19 years. In 2004, the Cambodian National Youth Risk Behavior Survey stated that 37 % of girls aged between 15 and 24 years reported that they had an unmet need for family planning, with only about 13 % reporting using contraceptive methods. National rates of HIV infection has decreased since 2001, moreover, it is reported that the bulk of infections occur between 15 and 24 years [18–20]. The reported incidence of HIV infection for this age group, was about 0.2 % [9, 21].

Some young Cambodian boys and girls engage in risky behaviors at a very young age. For example young people out of school reported having sex early in adolescence. Among those who reported using alcohol, many reported consuming alcohol at the age of 12 years [22]. In 2004, the United Nations Children’s Fund and United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization conducted the first survey to assess the risk behavior of Cambodian youth between the ages of 11 and 18 years, and concluded the following: 1) 50 % or more of young people were illiterate, 2) those in school were less at risk as compared to out-of-school youth, 3) one-third of sexually active youths never wore a condom and of those 24 % were unaware about sexually transmitted diseases, 4) 90 % knew about avoidance of HIV/AIDS but only 53 % were educated in HIV transmission and infection, 5) 48 % of youths in rural areas lacked primary education, 6) 33 % of youths knew someone who had participated in gang rapes, 7) 40 % youths who engaged in premarital sex consumed alcohol [23].

For rural Cambodian youth, sexual and reproductive health is a major public health concern. Evidence suggests that healthcare services available to youth do not always encompass sexual reproductive health services; and that, services offered to youth are not differentiated from those of infants or adults [24]. These approaches fail to acknowledge increasing trends in delay of marriage among youth and married couples that often delaying their childbearing practices [24, 25]. Key changes in norms which affect all societies, have expanded the gap between puberty, —marriage and childbearing [26]. To the best of our knowledge, we are not aware of any prior studies examining rural youth sexual and reproductive health. We used data collected from the 2009 Rural Cambodian Youth Sexual and Reproductive Health project [27] to examine sexual and reproductive health practices among youths of two rural provinces. These rural regions of Cambodia include communities of ethnic hill tribes and historical sites such as temples from the pre-Angkorian era. Our study objectives were, 1) to examine reproductive health attitudes, beliefs, and values of rural youths, and 2) to examine variables associated with youth sexual and reproductive health attitudes and risky behaviors in rural youths.

**Methodology**

**Sampling and eligibility**

From March through April 2009, we conducted this study in rural areas Kampong Thom and Preah Vihear provinces. We used a multi-stage sampling method to recruit local rural youths for our survey. In the preliminary stages, in each province, we randomly selected a local district, commune and village. In each village, the local leadership was consulted and afterwards the central location of the village was determined. Next, a systematic approach was used to select households for recruitment by selecting each third home from the central location. The study population consisted of 300 rural youths aged 10 to 24 years, from 300 households that comprised 30 villages. In each of the selected villages, 10 households were selected and 5 boys and girls were invited to participate. If a household did not include a youth or declined another household was selected. Our eligibility criteria included: 1) youth aged 10 to 24 years, 2) the consent from parent or guardian and each youth after explanation of study purpose, risks and benefits, 3) youth’s permanent residence of the household selected, and 4) the ability to understand and respond to survey questions.

**Surveyors**

For interviewing, fifteen surveyors and supervisors were recruited and trained in a two-day workshop focused on the administration of the survey questionnaire. Surveyors
from the University of Phenom Penh, Department of Sociology, completed door-to-door interviewing, that included an interviewer-interviewee criterion that matched boy-to-boy and girl-to-girl. Most of our surveyors were from rural areas of Cambodia and had prior experience working in rural communities. All interviewing was completed in a private location inside or outside the home where each youth was assured privacy. This interviewing, criterion resulted in a 62.6% response rate. We obtained ethics approval for our study from the Ministry of Health, Ethics Committee for Human Research, Phenom Penh, Royal Kingdom of Cambodia [28].

**Survey questionnaire**

We constructed our survey questionnaire in English and then translated it into the national Khmer language using our surveyors from the University of Phenom Phen. We made some initial changes and modifications to the survey questions based on review by local public health professionals, and our survey team in Cambodia. Prior to data collection, we pilot tested our survey questionnaire and modified the survey to increase comprehension of questions. We used two separate surveyors to translate the questionnaire into Khmer and back into English to check for any inconsistencies, and make changes to the final survey questionnaire. Data was entered into Microsoft Access * 2007 software using a double-entry method and we used Epi info Data Compare * version 3.5 for identifying inconsistencies.

We collected data regarding demographics, socioeconomics, and migratory practices. We categorized demographic variables that included religious affiliation, marital status and parental residence. To measure socioeconomics, we categorized current grade level (using the Cambodian educational system), occupation, and income earner (s) of the household. An additional item asked to each rural youth was, “who controls your income?” to measure independence level and decision making ability.

The need for income supplementation was assessed by including questions regarding the need to migrate for income, and if their household income was enough. We asked each youth if they ever migrated, and if, where they migrated. We collected information about migratory occupation and abuse, and if any, abuse type.

To measure the adherence to traditional Cambodian (Buddhist) rural youth values, beliefs, and attitudes toward sexual activity, we included survey questions regarding these characteristics. Our survey questionnaire included questions about the ideal status of a youth (unmarried or married) and sexual activity for both sexes. We assessed beliefs about sexual activity by including a survey item that asks, “What is the ideal age for a boy or girl to have sex?” Data of youth attitudes of sexual activity were measured by including items in the questionnaire that included, “Do you ever want to marry someone?” For attitudes toward sexual activity in their remaining adolescence was asked, “Do you expect to have none, one or more sexual partners?” Regarding attitude toward seeking sexual reproductive health services in the future, we asked, “Do you plan to see a provider?” These items have been associated with negative sexual reproductive health outcomes [29].

Romantic relationships in young people often prepare them for future marriage and family for Cambodian rural youth. To measure these characteristics, we included questions in our survey that asked each rural youth if they were ever or currently in a relationship, their commitment level, age at first sex (debut) and type (free choice or forced), and if they presently “feel ready to cause a pregnancy.” Ready to cause a pregnancy is a risk indicator of sexually transmitted diseases HIV and other risky behaviors [29].

We collected information about the rural youth’s healthcare seeking behaviors. We categorized data by provider type that was accessed in prior 6 months. We asked the reason for requiring services to assess the level of services and available information. Categories of healthcare service provider included a hospital, health center, clinic, local pharmacy, traditional healer, shop keeper, or a village support group (VHSG). We asked reasons for seeking care that included curative (i.e. infections), prevention (non-reproductive health), and prevention-specific sexual reproductive health services. Trust is a major reason for seeking care among the youth. Confidence and confidentiality are important to each youth. In this regard, we asked provider type to assess which type the youth had the most confidence in; from a list that included a physician, nurse, pharmacist, village shopkeeper, peer counselor, and a traditional healer.

To measure alcohol use and risky behavior in rural youth, our survey categorized alcohol use and frequency of use, in prior 30 days. Furthermore, youths were asked if they ever had sex under the influence of alcohol. We included survey items asking the youth if they ever tried drugs, frequency of drug use, and if they ever had sex under the influence of drugs. Youth risky practices were categorized under out late at night with friends, gambling, pornography use, and practice all risky behaviors. Condom use was assessed by asking youth if they had sex with alcohol or drug use, and if they used a condom.

**Data analysis**

Our survey data was analyzed with SAS 9.4 for Windows (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) allowing for survey design and nonresponse in both estimates, and corresponding standard errors (SE). We constructed survey weights that were derived from the 2008 Cambodia census data. Continuous variables were analyzed using PROC SURVEYMEANS and reported with the corresponding
standard error of the mean (SEM). We used a non-parametric Mann–Whitney test to assess for significant median difference of sexual reproductive health variables. PROC SURVEYFREQ was used to analyze categorical variables and included a test for association using Rao-Scott Chi Square test. Married youths were only included in the analysis of socio-demographics. All other analyses included unmarried rural youths. All tests were two sided with significance level at p < .05.

We conducted an analysis to compare in individual and social behaviors between unmarried boys and girls. The individual behaviors included alcohol and drug use. Social behaviors included going out late at night with friends, pornography use, gambling, and practicing risky behavior with peers. We used the girl category as the reference. We report odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals to assess risk between the sexes.

We used a logistic procedure to assess the effects of current school enrollment and living with both parents on unmarried youth attitudes and risky behaviors. Youth attitudes included seeking sexual reproductive health services, and feeling ready to cause a pregnancy. Risky behaviors included going out late at night with friends, gambling, pornography use, practicing risky behavior with peers. We report crude odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals and estimates adjusted for age and sex.

Results
Socio-demographics
A summary of socio-demographics of the study population is shown in Table 1. The mean age of the study population was 17 years (SD = 0.1). Between the sexes, boys were older than girls. We assessed age categories and found that 40% of rural youths were between 15–19 years and boys represented the older categories and girls younger categories. There were twice as many young girls compared to boys. Youth demographics included the Buddhist religious tradition (98.7%), single marital status (87.7%), and were living with both parents (84.3%). Youths reported their current Cambodian school 3–6 grade level (42.2%) and lower secondary 7–9 grade level (35.7%).

Youths represent a majority of the Cambodian workforce. We found that 71.8% reported their current occupation as a student, with more girls (82.6%) reporting than boys (61%). About 23.3% reported working in a service orientated or laborer occupation. There was 3 times more boys (35.3%) than girls (11.1%) reporting this occupation. Household income was earned by someone else other than the youth was 92.1%. More boys (10.7%) reported earning an income than girls (4.7%). Similarly, 90.8% youths reported that someone else controlled their earned income with more girls (13.1%) than boys (6.9%) reporting control of their income. We noted that more girls (60%) reported that their household income was not enough to sustain their households. Low minimum wages and no employment opportunities are frequent reasons.

We found that about 1 in 5 (or 21%) of rural youth reported they migrated for earned income. There was no difference between sexes. Phenom Phen was the most frequently reported destination, followed by a center inside their province and outside their province. More girls migrated to Phenom Phen (62.3%) while boys migrated to a center within their province (49.6%). A majority of youths reported their occupation during migration as manual-skilled job or a motorup (i.e. taxi) driver (95.2%). Migratory occupational abuse was reported by more boys (33.5%) than girls (11.5%), and included discrimination and fraud of the salary (i.e. incomplete compensation for work).

Sexual and reproductive health characteristics
Table 2 shows a summary of sexual and reproductive health values, beliefs, attitudes and relational characteristics of rural youths. We found that boys and girls equally value the status of sex after marriage for a girl (93.9%) and boy (90.1%). When asked about the age that a boy or girl can have sex; girls reported a younger age (18 years) than boys (20 years). A majority of youths (79.8%) reported the desire for future marriage with more girls (89.6%) reporting than boys (72.5%). We asked about attitude toward future sexual partners and found 78.3% expect to have at least one sexual partner in their remaining adolescence. More girls (83%) reported expecting to have at least one sexual partner than boys (73.8%). Among the rural youths, there was about 18.2% reported a prior relationship with a boy or girl. There were more boys (21.1%) than girls (15.2%) who reported a prior relationship. More boys (16.7%) were in a current relationship than girls (10.5%). Youths relationship commitment level was “very committed” in boys (87.2%) than in girls (53.3%). Only a small number (n = 14) of youth reported their age at first sex (debute) as being 19 years of age. First sex was reported to be a free choice and not forced by 85.2% of youths; however, this represents only fourteen youths. There was about 19.2% of youths reporting that they are currently ready to cause a pregnancy and might be at risk of negative reproductive health outcomes (i.e. unwanted pregnancy).

Health seeking behaviors
In regards to health seeking behaviors, we found that 72.5% of rural youths reported visiting a medical facility such as a local health center, hospital, clinic or pharmacy (Table 3). Boys were more likely to report visiting a local health center facility than girls. More girls (42.2%) reported to visit a non-medical facility for health services
Table 1 Demographics, socioeconomics, and migratory practices

|                                | All       | Boys      | Girls     | p-value   |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|                                | n         | % (SE)    | n         | % (SE)    | n         | % (SE) |
| **Demographics**               |           |           |           |           |           |        |
| Age in years (mean ± SEM)      | 17.0 ± 0.1| 17.9 ± 0.1| 16.2 ± 0.1| t = 9.2,  |
|                                |           |           |           | p < .0001 |
| Age categories                 |           |           |           |           |
| 10-14 years                    | 90        | 30.2 (0.4)| 30        | 20.5 (0.8)| 60        | 40.0 (0.2)| \( \chi^2 = 595.0, \) |
|                                |           |           |           |           |           |           | p < .0001 |
| 15-19 years                    | 122       | 40.5 (0.3)| 62        | 41.0 (0.3)| 60        | 40.0 (0.2)|           |
| 20-24 years                    | 88        | 29.2 (0.5)| 58        | 38.4 (1.1)| 30        | 20.0 (0.7)|           |
| **Religious Affiliation**      |           |           |           |           |
| Buddhist                       | 296       | 98.7 (0.6)| 148       | 98.7 (0.9)| 148       | 98.7 (0.9)| \( \chi^2 = 0.002, \) |
|                                |           |           |           |           |           |           | p = 0.9 |
| Christian                      | 4         | 1.3 (0.5) | 2         | 1.3 (0.7) | 2         | 1.3 (0.8) |
| **Marital status**             |           |           |           |           |
| Single                         | 263       | 87.7 (1.3)| 136       | 90.7 (2.2)| 127       | 84.8 (2.6)| \( \chi^2 = 2.1, \) |
|                                |           |           |           |           |           |           | p = 0.2 |
| Married/Divorced/Widowed       | 37        | 12.3 (1.4)| 14        | 9.3 (2.3) | 23        | 15.2 (2.5)|           |
| **Living with both parents**   |           |           |           |           |
| Yes                            | 252       | 84.3 (2.1)| 129       | 86.7 (2.7)| 123       | 82.0 (3.0)| \( \chi^2 = 1.3, \) |
|                                |           |           |           |           |           |           | p = 0.2 |
| No                             | 47        | 15.7 (2.2)| 20        | 13.3 (2.5)| 27        | 18.0 (3.1)|           |
| **Socioeconomics**            |           |           |           |           |
| Current grade level            |           |           |           |           |
| Grade 3-6                      | 74        | 42.2 (3.8)| 30        | 36.3 (4.7)| 44        | 46.9 (5.6)| \( \chi^2 = 2.8, \) |
|                                |           |           |           |           |           |           | p = 0.2 |
| Lower secondary 7 - 9          | 62        | 35.7 (3.6)| 30        | 36.9 (4.7)| 32        | 34.8 (4.6)|           |
| Secondary 10 - 12              | 39        | 22.1 (4.6)| 22        | 26.8 (6.5)| 17        | 18.3 (5.7)|           |
| **Current occupation**         |           |           |           |           |
| Student                        | 188       | 71.8 (3.3)| 83        | 61.0 (4.3)| 105       | 82.6 (4.3)| \( \chi^2 = 23.8, \) |
|                                |           |           |           |           |           |           | p < .0001 |
| Service oriented or laborer    | 62        | 23.3 (3.4)| 48        | 35.3 (4.4)| 14        | 11.1 (3.1)|           |
| Goods seller or other          | 13        | 4.9 (1.4) | 5         | 3.5 (1.4) | 8         | 6.3 (2.5) |
| Who earns income for your household? |       |           |           |           |
| Myself                         | 23        | 7.9 (1.6) | 16        | 10.7 (2.4)| 7         | 4.7 (2.0) |
| My father/mother/sister/brother| 266       | 92.1 (1.5)| 134       | 89.3 (2.3)| 132       | 95.3 (5.0)| \( \chi^2 = 3.1, \) |
|                                |           |           |           |           |           |           | p = 0.05 |
| Who controls your earned income?|         |           |           |           |
| Me                             | 6         | 9.1 (3.1) | 3         | 6.9 (3.8) | 3         | 13.1 (6.2)| \( \chi^2 = 0.7, \) |
|                                |           |           |           |           |           |           | p = 0.4 |
| Someone else                   | 61        | 90.8 (3.2)| 41        | 93.1 (3.9)| 20        | 86.9 (6.3)|           |
| Does your household have enough income? |       |           |           |           |
| Yes                            | 138       | 46.6 (3.1)| 79        | 53.3 (5.4)| 59        | 39.8 (4.1)| \( \chi^2 = 3.9, \) |
|                                |           |           |           |           |           |           | p = 0.04 |
| No                             | 159       | 53.3 (3.0)| 70        | 46.6 (4.5)| 89        | 60.1 (4.0)|           |
| **Migratory practices**        |           |           |           |           |
| Ever migrated for income       |           |           |           |           |
|                                |           |           |           |           |           |           | \( \chi^2 = 0.001, \) |
|                                |           |           |           |           |           |           | p = 0.9 |
in the prior 6 months, to include a traditional healer, shop keeper, or village support group.

A common reason by the rural youth (78.5 %) for seeking health care services was the need for curative services (i.e. infection, injury or family visit). Other reasons reported were prevention screening (14.4 %) and sexual and reproductive health services (6.7 %). This low percent of youth seeking reproductive health services might be explained by unbelief among youth, that these services do not cater to them. Boys (8.7 %) reported visiting a medical provider for sexual and reproductive health services about twice as much as girls (4.7 %).

The most common healthcare provider type reported was a medical professional such as a physician, nurse, or pharmacist (56.7 %). This was followed by a shop keeper or peer counselor (39.5 %). A small number of youths did visit a traditional healer (3.8 %). More boys visited a medical professional than girls.

**Alcohol use and risky practices**

A summary of findings in regards to rural youth alcohol use and risky practices are in Table 4. Self-reported alcohol use in prior 30 days was 27.6 % in rural youths. The frequency of alcohol use between 1–5 times was 89.5 % in youths. A small percent reported using alcohol more than 13 times (7.6 %). More boys used alcohol than girls (74.2 % vs. 57.7 %). We further asked about sex under the influence of alcohol and found that 41.7 % of rural youths reported engaging into sexual activity under the influence. However, there was a significant difference between sexes (p = 0.0006) where girls reported a high percent (77.2 %) relative to boys (29.3 %). In respect to rural youth behavior, we summarize self-reported risky practices in Table 4. The youth reported out late at night with friends (26.5 %), gambling (10.9 %), pornography use (10.9 %), and practicing risky behavior with peers (51.2 %). More boys than girls reported out late at night with friends (41 %), gambling (18.5 %), and pornography use (20.4 %). However, more girls (64.4 %) reported that they practice risky behaviors than boys. Only 5 youths (all males) reported ever trying drugs. No youths reported using a condom while under the influence of alcohol or drugs.

**Individual and social comparisons**

Comparative analysis of individual and social behaviors between sexes is summarized in Table 5. Boys were 4.2 times more likely to consume alcohol relative to girls (OR = 4.2; 95 % CI: 2.3, 7.8). We were unable to perform an analysis of drug use. In regards to social behaviors, boys were 5.2 times more likely to be out late at night with friends relative to girls (OR = 5.2; 95 % CI: 2.8, 9.9). Furthermore, boys were more likely to use pornography (OR = 16.2; 95 % CI: 3.8, 69.6), gamble (OR = 5.5; 95 % CI: 2.0, 14.8), and practice risky behavior with peers (OR = 2.9; 95 % CI: 1.8, 4.9) relative to girls.

**Logistic regression**

In respect to the relation between school enrollment and parental supervision, we report odds ratios on selected rural youth attitudes and risky behaviors in Table 6. We found that current school enrollment had limited impact
Table 2 Sexual reproductive health values, beliefs, attitudes, and relational characteristics

|                                | All n   | Boys n  | Girls n  | p-value |
|--------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|---------|
| **Sexual reproductive health values** |         |         |          |         |
| What is the ideal status for girl to have sex? |     |         |          |         |
| Before marriage                | 2        | 8       | 7        | $\chi^2 = 0.01, p = 0.9$ |
| After marriage                 | 245      | 126     | 119      |         |
| What is the ideal status for boy to have sex? |     |         |          |         |
| Before marriage                | 25       | 15      | 10       | $\chi^2 = 0.5, p = 0.4$ |
| After marriage                 | 237      | 121     | 116      |         |
| **Sexual reproductive health beliefs** |         |         |          |         |
| What is the ideal age for a girl to have sex? (median and range) | 263 | 136 | 127 | $z = 2.8^{a}, p = 0.004$ |
| What is the ideal age for a boy to have sex? (median and range) | 263 | 136 | 127 | $z = 3.8^{a}, p = 0.001$ |
| **Sexual reproductive health attitudes** |         |         |          |         |
| Do you ever want to marry someone? |     |         |          | $\chi^2 = 5.7, p = 0.01$ |
| Yes                            | 145      | 78      | 67       |         |
| No                             | 37       | 29      | 8        |         |
| Expect no sex partners         | 42       | 24      | 18       | $14.6 (3.3)$ |
| Expect one sex partner         | 203      | 100     | 103      | $83.0 (3.2)$ |
| Expect more than one sex partner one at a time | 10 | 7 | 3 | $2.4 (1.3)$ |
| Expect more than one sex partner more than one at a time | 5 | 5 | - | - |
| Attitudes towards seeking SRH services in future | $\chi^2 = 7.2, p = 0.02$ |       |          |         |
| I do not plan to see a provider | 19       | 9       | 10       | $7.8 (2.8)$ |
| I may or definitely will see a provider | 243 | 127 | 116 | $92.2 (2.7)$ |
| **Relational characteristics** |         |         |          |         |
| Ever had sex?                  | 14       | 11      | 3        | $\chi^2 = 5.8, p = 0.01$ |
| Yes                            | 1        | 8.4     | 2.4      | $1.3$   |
| No                             | 243      | 91.6    | 97.6     | $0.4$   |
| Ever had a boy/girlfriend or partner | $\chi^2 = 1.9, p = 0.2$ |       |          |         |
| Yes                            | 48       | 21.1    | 19       | $5.2 (3.4)$ |
| No                             | 215      | 78.8    | 84.7     | $3.5$   |
| Currently has boy/girlfriend or partner | $\chi^2 = 4.2, p = 0.04$ |       |          |         |
| Yes                            | 36       | 16.7    | 13       | $10.5 (2.6)$ |
| No                             | 227      | 83.3    | 89.5     | $2.7$   |
| Commitment level in current relationship | $\chi^2 = 4.9, p = 0.03$ |       |          |         |
| Very committed and want to marry | 28       | 87.2    | 7        | $13.2$  |
| Not committed and do not want to marry | 9     | 12.8    | 6        | $13.2$  |
| Age at sexual debut (median and range) | 14 | 19 (17–24) | 19 (17–24) | $18 (15–21)$ |
| First sex type                 | $\chi^2 = 0.8, p = 0.3$ |       |          |         |
| Free choice and wanted         | 12       | 91.2    | 2        | $64.5 (0.0)$ |
| Forced or coercive             | 2        | 8.7     | 1        | $35.5 (0.0)$ |
| Do you feel you are ready to cause a pregnancy now? | $\chi^2 = 0.9, p = 0.4$ |       |          |         |
| Yes                            | 35       | 21.5    | 12       | $16.2 (4.1)$ |
| No                             | 146      | 83.5    | 63       | $83.8 (4.1)$ |

*Mann-Whitney test for difference in medians, Sexual Reproductive Health*
on the youth attitudes seeking sexual and reproductive health services (adjusted OR = 1.5, 95 % CI: 0.5, 4.6) and feeling ready to cause a pregnancy (adjusted OR = 1.7, 95 % CI: 0.7, 3.9). There were increased odds of both these attitudes in the youth; however, neither of these attitudes were significantly related to school enrollment.

We examined the association between school enrollment and risky behaviors, and found that gambling, pornography use, and practicing risky behaviors with peers were not significantly associated. Only out late at night with friends was significantly associated with school enrollment (adjusted OR = 2.2, 95 % CI: 1.1, 4.4); however, the effects were negative or at increased odds, suggesting no impact of school enrollment on risky behavior.

Next, we related living with both parents to rural youth attitudes and risky behaviors. We found that living with both parents did increase odds of seeking sexual and reproductive health services (adjusted OR = 3.1, 95 % CI: 3.1, 9.2) but decreased odds of feeling ready to cause a pregnancy (adjusted OR = 0.8, 95 % CI: 0.3, 2.5). Risky behaviors gambling, pornography use and practicing risky behaviors with peers were not significantly associated with living with both parents. Only out late at night with friends was significantly associated with living with both parents. The direction of the association was unexpected and remained after adjustment (OR = 3.3, 95 % CI: 1.0, 10.6).

**Discussion**

We report findings of a reproductive health survey of youth in two rural provinces of Cambodia. Rural Cambodian youth, who represent a substantial proportion of the national population, are the nation’s future both economically and socially. We found that rural youths adhered to traditional Cambodian (Buddhist) family values, attitudes and beliefs about sexual health. Although many rural youths initially responded that they would answer questions related to their sexual health, many declined to respond to questions about their personal sexual practices. However, our findings do confirm previous studies, that rural youth do value Cambodian family traditions, values, and beliefs regarding deferment of sexual activity until after marriage [30].

As expected, we found a majority of youths (79.8 %) want to marry. We found that over 90 % of youth reported the value of the ideal for both a boy and girl’s deferment of sexual activity until after marriage. Moreover, both boys and girls reported similar values when asked by our interviewer based peer-to-peer interviewer criterion. The data indicate that traditional values are prominent in a sample of rural youth.

Contrary to above findings, we found that a majority of youths (>84 %) plan to have at least one single sexual partner in their remaining adolescent years. However, this may indicate that most youths may not plan premarital intercourse with a boy/girlfriend or partner but rather with a future spouse since many have reported that they value their Khmer cultural practices [31]. Our data supports existing sexual reproductive health programs that are available and supports new intervention programming in rural communities where the youth lack any health information or life-skill training.

Ever had sex was reported by only 14 rural youths (or 5.4 %), which is lower than prior estimates of 12.7 % in high school youths [13]. This highlights the deferment of sexual activity in rural youths that might be influenced by traditional values about marriage and sexuality. Since a majority of youth did not report having sexual intercourse, this may indicate either potential underreporting (since premarital intercourse is discouraged) or

| Table 3 Health seeking behaviors | All  | % (SE) | Boys | % (SE) | Girls | % (SE) | p-value |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| In the prior 6 months, where did you go for health care services? | | | | | | |
| Health center/hospital/clinic | 184 | 72.5 (2.9) | 112 | 87.7 (3.1) | 72 | 57.8 (4.7) | |
| Traditional healer/shop keeper/VHSG | 69 | 27.5 (3.0) | 16 | 12.3 (3.1) | 53 | 42.2 (4.7) | |
| Reason for seeking health services in prior 6 months | | | | | | |
| Prevention screening | 38 | 14.4 (2.6) | 24 | 17.5 (3.0) | 14 | 11.3 (3.2) | |
| Curative screening | 202 | 78.5 (3.1) | 98 | 73.8 (3.6) | 104 | 83.2 (3.8) | |
| Sexual reproductive health services | 18 | 6.7 (1.5) | 12 | 8.7 (2.2) | 6 | 4.7 (2.0) | |
| Treatment for family member | 1 | 0.4 (0.4) | - | - | 1 | 0.9 (0.9) | |
| Health provider type | | | | | | |
| Physician/Nurse/Pharmacist | 149 | 56.7 (4.0) | 88 | 64.7 (4.0) | 61 | 48.6 (5.6) | |
| Village shop keeper/peer counselor | 103 | 39.5 (3.7) | 43 | 31.6 (3.7) | 60 | 47.4 (5.5) | |
| Traditional healer | 10 | 3.8 (1.3) | 5 | 3.7 (1.5) | 5 | 3.9 (2.0) | |
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### Table 4 Alcohol use and youth risky behaviors

| Youth alcohol use | All | Boys | Girls | P-value |
|-------------------|-----|------|-------|---------|
| Alcohol use in prior 30 days | | | | $X^2 = 22.3, p = <.0001$ |
| Yes | 74 | 56 | 18 | |
| No | 189 | 80 | 109 | |
| Frequency of alcohol use in prior 30 days | | | | |
| Only 1 time | 25 | 14 | 11 | 57.7 (11.6) |
| 2 - 5 times | 42 | 34 | 8 | 57.7 (11.6) |
| 6 - 12 times | 2 | 2 | - | - |
| 13 or more times | 6 | 2 | - | - |
| Number of intoxications in prior 30 days | | | | $X^2 = 13.6, p = 0.001$ |
| Only 1 time | 30 | 16 | 14 | 77.1 (9.2) |
| 2 - 5 times | 41 | 38 | 3 | 17.3 (7.8) |
| Do not remember | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5.4 (5.5) |
| Sex under influence of alcohol | | | | $X^2 = 14.7, p = 0.0006$ |
| Yes | 30 | 16 | 14 | 77.2 (9.3) |
| No | 41 | 38 | 3 | 17.4 (7.9) |
| Don't remember | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5.4 (5.6) |
| Youth risky behaviors | | | | |
| Out late at night with friends | | | | $X^2 = 35.8, p < .0001$ |
| Yes | 71 | 56 | 15 | 11.9 (3.9) |
| No | 192 | 80 | 112 | 88.0 (3.8) |
| Gambling | | | | $X^2 = 12.2, p = 0.0005$ |
| Yes | 30 | 25 | 5 | 3.9 (1.7) |
| No | 233 | 111 | 122 | 96.1 (1.6) |
| Pornography use | | | | $X^2 = 25.1, p = <.0001$ |
| Yes | 30 | 28 | 2 | 1.5 (1.1) |
| No | 233 | 108 | 125 | 98.5 (1.1) |
| Practice risky behaviors with peers | | | | $X^2 = 12.3, p = 0.004$ |
| Yes | 134 | 52 | 82 | 64.4 (6.9) |
| No | 129 | 84 | 45 | 35.6 (6.9) |

### Table 5 Individual and social comparisons

| | Boys | Girls | OR 95 % CI |
|-------------------|------|-------|------------|
| Individual behaviors | | | |
| Alcohol use | 56 (40.9) | 18 (14.1) | 4.2 (2.3, 7.8) |
| Ever tried drugs | 5 (3.6) | - | - |
| Social behaviors | | | |
| Out late at night with friends | 24 (25.4) | 16 (48.9) | 5.2 (2.8, 9.9) |
| Pornography use | 28 (20.4) | 2 (1.5) | 16.2 (3.8, 69.6) |
| Gambling | 25 (18.5) | 5 (3.9) | 5.5 (2.0, 14.8) |
| Practicing risky behaviors with peers | 52 (38.1) | 82 (64.4) | 2.9 (1.8, 4.9) |

*Female referent, *includes alcohol and drug use, out late with friends, pornography use
Table 6 Effects of school enrollment and living with both parents on youth attitudes and risky behavior

| Youth attitude                        | Currently enrolled in school | Adjusted OR\(^1\) |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| Will seek SRH\(^2\) services        | 1.1 (0.4, 3.1)              | 1.5 (0.5, 4.6)   |
| Feel ready to cause pregnancy        | 2.5 (1.2, 5.2)              | 1.7 (0.7, 3.9)   |
| Youth risky behavior                 |                             |                  |
| Out late at night with friends       | 3.5 (2.0, 6.2)              | 2.2 (1.1, 4.4)   |
| Gambling                             | 1.2 (0.5, 2.6)              | 0.9 (0.4, 2.3)   |
| Pornography use                      | 2.2 (1.0, 4.7)              | 1.2 (0.5, 3.1)   |
| Practice risky behaviors with peers  | 1.2 (0.7, 2.1)              | 0.78 (0.42, 1.4) |

\(^{2}\)Sexual reproductive health, \(^{1}\)Adjusted for age and sex

Findings

an adherence to their traditions. Supporting this preliminary hypothesis is the reported age of sexual debut. We found that boys reported a higher age of sexual debut than girls. Overall, the mean age of sexual debut was about 20 years. Yi et al. [15] found similar findings in a larger compatible sample and support our findings.

However, we cannot rule out an underreporting of boy’s age of sexual debut since peer pressure from family and friends encouraging boys and discouraging girls from sexual activity. Cambodian family values encouraging a girl’s adherence to chastity more often than a boy’s that suggests a double standard. A sexual encounter prior to marriage for Cambodian boys is sometimes expected prior to their marriage [32].

Finally, we found that a small number of youths (all boys) did report having more than one sexual partner in the prior six months, with five boys reporting that their previous sexual partner was a commercial sex worker. These findings may suggest that although many youth report adherence to their traditional values, this may not be enough to discourage some youths from engaging in risky sexual behaviors.

A portion of rural youths (27.6 %) reported that they had consumed alcohol in the prior 30 days. A prior study by Yi S. et al. [15] reported a higher prevalence (47.4 %) of alcohol use among Cambodian youth. The mean number of alcoholic drinks reported by youths (2–5 drinks) may be indicative of frequent or even regular consumption of alcohol in our sample population. This is disturbing since alcohol is associated with anti-social behavior, increased risk of sexual transmitted diseases, domestic violence, and illicit drug use. Alcohol use has been reported as an antecedent for premartial intercourse and the use of commercial sex workers [13, 33–35]. More alarmingly, the frequency of alcohol use exceeded 13 or more times in a single 30 day period among some of the youth. Moreover, over 41.7 % of drinking youths reported to have sex under the influence of alcohol. This supports the need for culturally based initiatives that prevent and educate rural youths regarding alcohol use and addiction.

Similar to previous studies, relative to girls, boys were more likely to engage in risky behaviors [14, 15]. There might be a double standard in cultural expectations between boys and girls, which prohibits any risky behaviors among girls while allowing risk taking for boys. This cultural norm is very prominent in rural areas of Cambodia where male dominance over the household is frequently encountered. Most disturbing is the use of pornographic products that might influence a boy’s impression of girls and their role in the household. Previous studies indicate that alcohol use is associated with pornography materials [36] and migratory practices [34]. Pornography has been reported to have a severe negative impact on the sexual reproductive health of the youth [37].

Our study highlights some major gender-related issues that predispose boys to less than ideal reproductive health outcomes than girls. The prevailing double standard which allows men to have multiple partners while women are expected to be virgins until they marry places boys at greater risk of contracting sexually transmitted infections [32].

Current school enrollment did not increase odds of youth attitudes of seeking sexual and reproductive health services and feeling ready to cause a pregnancy. Our findings are confirmed by previous studies [13, 38, 39] indicating that a youth’s enrollment in school has limited impact on the likelihood of risky behaviors such as feeling ready to cause a pregnancy which could lead use to a number of negative sexual health outcomes.

Previous studies indicate that a youth’s closeness to adults such as teachers or their parents plays a central role in their lives as they progress through adolescence and into adulthood [13, 40, 41]. In addition, it is reported that among the youth, a teacher may be important in early orientation toward peers [42]. Educational attainment can have a dramatic effect on the life of a youth since health knowledge and life-skills can be acquired by continuous school enrollment that has been associated with positive sexual health outcomes [12].

Current living with both parents did increase odds of going out late at night with friends. These are unexpected findings since previous studies report that youths who have a harmonious relationship with their family are less...
likely to be associated with delinquent peers and to engage in risky practices [43]. Moreover, parents are important to youth since they provide social norms related to appropriate behavior, as well as having an important function in the supervision and monitoring of youth’s inclination toward risky behaviors.

We did observe non-significant increased risks of other risky behaviors among rural youths. This might be explained by the reported under-preparedness of rural adults in promoting and explaining sexual and reproductive health biology and health issues. Many times the parents of rural youths lack the educational background to explain issues of sexual reproductive health, contraception use, negative health outcomes, and the planning for a family, to their children [12]. However, we did observe an increase in odds of seeking sexual and reproductive health services among the rural youth. This might be explained by alternative sources of sexual and reproductive knowledge such as a teacher or peer counselor. This is noteworthy and encouraging.

Limitations

The presented study has limitations that warrant some discussion. First, as with any self-reported measures, there are inherent biases that are potential for both underreporting and over reporting. We could not rule out that some youth might by under reporting their sexual practices and risky behaviors. Cambodian culture does not allow free discussion of sexual issues and disapproves of girls engaging in premarital sex while tolerating men’s engaging in premarital sex. This might explain our low response rate. We piloted our questionnaire and in addition reviewed the questionnaire with local public health professionals. However, it might be possible that not all questions were presented in an acceptable form to each youth. There is a potential for a lack of key and culturally appropriate phrases not included in our questionnaire.

Second, our sampling scheme only included rural youths; therefore, our findings may not be generalizable to youths living in urban or semi-urban centers where the lifestyle of such youths might be different. Third, the cross-sectional design did not allow for the assessment of causal relationships between risk factors and sexual and reproductive health indicators in rural youth. Our small study population had twice as many young girls as boys and might have had an effect in sex comparisons of youth attitudes and risky behaviors.

Finally, although we used a multi-stage sampling design, it is still possible that sampling error might explain some of our results. Furthermore, our small sample size limited our evaluation of relationships in sexual and reproductive health characteristics of the rural youth. We were unable to examine several predictors of risky sexual behavior using regression modeling. We were not able to evaluate other reproductive health practices such as contraception (i.e. condom use) due to low response.

Conclusion

Our study of rural Cambodian youths contributes to previous studies indicating that reproductive health is a public health concern in developing countries such as Cambodia. Although there were positive findings compatible with protective traditional Cambodian values and beliefs, we found youth were challenged with social problems like alcohol use. The reported prevalence of migratory practices might present an opportunity for exposure to risk factors such as HIV infection, occupational abuse, the commercial sex industry, and risky behaviors. Our findings support the current need for sexual and reproductive health prevention in rural areas of Cambodia. This includes the need for school based alcohol and drug use prevention and strengthening or promoting family and community support interventions for young people.
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