Association of the matrix metalloproteinase-3 polymorphisms rs679620 and rs3025058 with ischemic stroke risk: a meta-analysis
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Purpose: The relationship of the matrix metalloproteinase-3 (MMP-3) polymorphisms rs679620 and rs3025058 with ischemic stroke has received much attention. The aim of the present study was to perform a meta-analysis of published case–control studies to evaluate the cumulative evidence.

Methods: We performed a search of ISI Web of Science, Embase, PubMed, and China National Knowledge Infrastructure databases. Pooled odds ratios (ORs) were appropriately derived from fixed-effects or random-effects models.

Results: We identified seven eligible studies including 5,204 subjects. The pooled analysis showed that the MMP-3 rs679620 A allele carriers had increased risk of ischemic stroke compared with homozygotes for the G allele in Asians (AA + GA vs GG: OR = 1.42, 95% CI: 1.05–1.91, \( P = 0.022 \)). Concerning the rs3025058 polymorphism, the results did not suggest an association between rs3025058 genotypes and ischemic stroke risk (5A5A + 6A5A vs 6A6A: OR = 1.04, 95% CI: 0.73–1.47, \( P = 0.844 \); 5A5A vs 6A5A + 6A6A: OR = 1.14, 95% CI: 0.74–1.77, \( P = 0.556 \); and 5A5A vs 6A6A: OR = 1.11, 95% CI: 0.68–1.80, \( P = 0.677 \)). In subgroup analysis by ethnicity, no statistically significant associations were demonstrated for rs3025058 in Asians and Caucasians, respectively. There was no evidence for publication bias.

Conclusion: Our findings indicate that the rs679620 A allele carriers have increased risk of ischemic stroke in Asians, but there is no association between rs3025058 and ischemic stroke risk.
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Introduction
Stroke is the third largest cause of death and a leading cause of short-term and long-term disabilities in the developing world.\(^1\) Annually, an estimated 795,000 new and recurrent strokes affect people in the USA.\(^2\) The financial burden of stroke is huge; acute and long-term treatments for stroke patients consume >5% of many countries’ health care budget.\(^3\) The majority (75%–80%) of strokes are ischemic in nature, resulting from an obstruction within a blood vessel supplying blood to parts of the brain.\(^4\) Conventional risk factors including hypertension, obesity, type 2 diabetes, cigarette smoking, and atrial fibrillation fail to explain all stroke risk. Accumulating evidence from genetic studies suggests that genetic factors may account for some of this unexplained risk.\(^5\)

Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) are a family of calcium- and zinc-dependent proteolytic enzymes that cleave molecules from the extracellular matrix and basement membranes.\(^6\) MMP-3 (stromelysin-1) is a key member of the MMP family. It can degrade the major components of the extracellular matrix and is also capable of...
degrading fibronectin, laminin, and cartilage proteoglycans. In situ hybridization analysis showed that MMP-3 was overexpressed in smooth muscle cells and large clusters of macrophages that contained intracellular lipid deposits within atherosclerotic plaques. In addition, increased expression of MMP-3 was observed in human atherosclerotic plaques’ shoulders and regions of foam cell accumulation. Blood levels of active MMP-3 were associated with the extent of carotid atherosclerosis. It is now widely accepted that deregulation of MMP-3 plays a pivotal role in vascular remodeling and carotid atherosclerosis development.

In humans, the MMP-3 gene is located on chromosome 11. Among the variants identified in MMP-3, two polymorphisms have been frequently studied. The rs679620 polymorphism is a guanine (G) to adenine (A) transition at nucleotide position 28 within exon 2. The rs3025058 polymorphism in the promoter region is an insertion/deletion variant characterized by the presence of either five or six adenine residues, with the 5A allele having greater promoter activity than the 6A allele. Previous association studies have evaluated the association of rs679620 and rs3025058 with ischemic stroke risk. However, these studies may have been underpowered to detect the true relationship or varied markedly in the populations studied and the sampling strategies. Our aim was to validate the reported association of these two polymorphisms with risk of ischemic stroke in a meta-analysis of published case–control studies.

Methods
Search strategy
We followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA). Because we synthesized data from previously published publications, the meta-analysis was exempt from ethics approval. We searched ISI Web of Science (http://www.webofknowledge.com), Embase (http://www.embase.com), PubMed (http://www.pubmed.com), and China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) (http://www.cnki.net) databases to identify case–control studies containing data on the relationship of rs679620 and rs3025058 with risk of ischemic stroke using the following keywords: stroke, ischemic stroke, cerebral infarction, polymorphism, matrix metalloproteinase-3, rs3025058, rs679620, gene, and risk. Search dates ranged from January 1995 to September 2017. Additional studies were searched through screening reference lists of retrieved studies, but no additional articles were identified using this strategy. A search of the gray area literature was not performed.

Inclusion/exclusion criteria and data extraction
Any study was considered to be eligible for inclusion in the meta-analysis if it met the following criteria: 1) case–control studies reporting data for the association of rs3025058 and/or rs679620 with ischemic stroke risk and 2) studies published in English or Chinese. Experimental studies, reviews, and meeting abstracts were excluded. The following information was abstracted from the study reports: journal name, first author’s last name, year of publication, country, ethnicity of the study populations, the number of subjects in both cases and controls, characteristics of ischemic stroke patients, source of controls, and method by which the polymorphism was investigated. Study quality was assessed using the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) quality assessment scale for case–control studies. No studies were excluded according to NOS scores.

Statistical analyses
Statistical software STATA version 11.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA) was used to conduct meta-analyses. A P-value of <0.05 was assumed to be statistically significant, except for heterogeneity where P<0.10 was used. The combined effect (expressed as the odds ratio [OR] with 95% CI) for the association of rs679620 and rs3025058 with risk of ischemic stroke was calculated using the random-effects (DerSimonian Laird) model or the fixed-effects model (Mantel–Haenszel method). A random-effects model allows both between-study and within-study heterogeneities, whereas a fixed-effects model considers only within-study heterogeneity. To evaluate the association, four different ORs were calculated. At least two studies were required for estimating pooled effect sizes. Meta-analysis was carried out using the “metan” STATA command. Cochran’s Q test was used to assess statistical significance of between-study heterogeneity. Separated analysis was undertaken according to ethnicity. For sensitivity analysis, the potential influence of each individual study on the pooled estimation was examined by excluding each study in turn. Evidence for publication bias was assessed using the Begg’s test. The “metabias” STATA command was used for publication bias evaluation.

Results
Description of studies
The study selection and exclusion process is outlined in Figure 1. Our initial literature search yielded a total of
273 studies using different combinations of keywords, of which 76 from ISI Web of Science, 62 from Embase, 88 from PubMed, and 47 from CNKI. After eliminating duplicates, 144 citations including titles and abstracts were screened for eligibility. Fifteen studies underwent a full text review, and eight studies were excluded because they did not meet the inclusion criteria. Finally, seven studies were included in the quantitative analysis. Among the eligible studies, six studies consisting of 1,840 cases and 1,816 controls assessed rs3025058, while two studies including 382 cases and 442 controls evaluated rs679620. All stroke patients underwent computerized tomography scan or magnetic resonance imaging of the brain. The characteristics of the eligible studies are presented in detail in Table 1. Genotype and allele frequencies of each polymorphism are summarized in Table 2.

Meta-analysis of the MMP-3 polymorphisms and ischemic stroke risk
The rs679620 polymorphism was evaluated in Asian case-control association studies. There was no statistically significant evidence for heterogeneity between the studies (Table 3 and Figure 2). The results showed that the MMP-3 rs679620 A allele carriers had increased risk of ischemic stroke compared with homozygotes for the G allele (AA + GA vs GG: OR = 1.42, 95% CI: 1.05–1.91, \( P = 0.022 \)) (Table 3 and Figure 2). Similar results were found for the allele contrast (A allele vs G allele: OR = 1.24, 95% CI: 1.00–1.54, \( P = 0.047 \)) (Table 3) but not for the recessive (AA vs GA + GG: OR = 1.14, 95% CI: 0.76–1.73, \( P = 0.524 \)) and homozygote (AA vs GG: OR = 1.38, 95% CI: 0.88–2.16, \( P = 0.161 \)) models (Table 3).

The rs3025058 polymorphism was assessed in Asian and Caucasian studies from 2002 to 2017. For all studies combined, no significant associations were found between rs3025058 genotypes and ischemic stroke risk (5A5A + 6A5A vs 6A6A: OR = 1.04, 95% CI: 0.73–1.47; 5A5A vs 6A5A + 6A6A: OR = 1.14, 95% CI: 0.74–1.77; 5A5A vs 6A6A: OR = 1.11, 95% CI: 0.68–1.80) (Table 3 and Figure 3). Similar results were found for the allele contrast (OR = 1.06, 95% CI: 0.79–1.41) (Table 3). We further performed subgroup analyses based on ethnicity to evaluate the association. We did not find a significant association between rs3025058 and ischemic stroke risk in Asians and Caucasians, respectively (Table 3 and Figure 3). Between-study heterogeneity was identified \( (P < 0.10) \), as shown in Table 3.
Table 1  Characteristics of individual studies included in the meta-analysis

| Journal | First author | Year | Country | Ethnicity | Cases/controls | Cases Controls | Stroke diagnosis | Source of controls | NOS | Genotyping |
|---------|--------------|------|---------|-----------|----------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------|-----|------------|
| (A) Case–control studies assessing the MMP-3 rs679620 polymorphism and ischemic stroke risk | | | | | | | | | | |
| Journal of Interferon & Cytokine Research | Kim et al | 2012 | Korea | Asian | 104/267 | 63.3±1.7 | 55.2 | 62.7±9.4 | 50.2 | CT/MRI | Hospital-based | 7 | DNA sequencing |
| Chinese Journal of Medical Genetics | Ma et al | 2013 | China | Asian | 278/175 | 67.0±1.0 (LAA) | 59.5 | 66.1±1.3 | 53.1 | CT/MRI | Hospital-based | 7 | PCR |
| (B) Case–control studies assessing the MMP-3 rs3025058 polymorphism and ischemic stroke risk | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stroke | Ghilardi et al | 2002 | Italy | Caucasian | 41/133 | 68±7 | NA | 64±7 | NA | CT | Hospital-based | 6 | DNA sequencing |
| Stroke | Flex et al | 2004 | Italy | Caucasian | 237/223 | 76.2±9.4 | 55.7 | 76.1±6.8 | 48.0 | CT/MRI | Hospital-based | 8 | NA |
| Chinese Journal of Medical Genetics | Ma et al | 2013 | China | Asian | 270/170 | 67.0±1.0 (LAA) | 59.5 | 66.1±1.3 | 53.1 | CT/MRI | Hospital-based | 7 | PCR |
| International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Pathology | Hao et al | 2015 | China | Asian | 317/317 | 62.1±1.03 | 56.8 | 62.5±9.9 | 56.8 | CT/MRI | Hospital-based | 7 | PCR-RFLP |
| Genetics and Molecular Research | Zhao et al | 2016 | China | Asian | 335/336 | 63.7±9.4 | 57.9 | 64.5±9.2 | 57.9 | CT/MRI | Hospital-based | 7 | PCR-RFLP |
| Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases | Huang et al | 2017 | China | Asian | 640/637 | 64.6±1.24 | 59.4 | 64.9±1.24 | 59.8 | CT/MRI | Hospital-based | 7 | PCR-RFLP |

Abbreviations: CT, computed tomography; HWE, Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; LAA, large artery atherosclerotic; MMP-3, matrix metalloproteinase-3; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; NA, not available; NOS, Newcastle–Ottawa Scale; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PCR-RFLP, PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism; SAO, small artery occlusion.
### Table 2: Genotypic and allelic distributions of the MMP-3 polymorphism in ischemic stroke patients and controls

| Polymorphism | Stroke patients | Controls |
|--------------|----------------|----------|
|              | Total | GG (%) | GA (%) | AA (%) | G allele (%) | A allele (%) |
| rs679620     |       |        |        |        |             |             |
| Kim et al\(^\text{18}\) | 104   | 32 (30.8) | 58 (55.8) | 14 (13.5) | 122 (58.7) | 86 (41.3) |
| Ma et al\(^\text{19}\) | 278   | 118 (42.4) | 114 (41.0) | 46 (16.5) | 350 (62.9) | 206 (37.1) |
| Total         | 6A6A (%) | 6A5A (%) | 5A5A (%) | 6A allele (%) | 5A allele (%) |
| rs3025058     |       |        |        |        |             |             |
| Ghilardi et al\(^\text{16}\) | 41    | 18 (43.9) | 17 (41.5) | 6 (14.6) | 53 (64.6) | 29 (35.4) |
| Flex et al\(^\text{17}\) | 237   | 76 (32.1) | 115 (48.5) | 46 (19.4) | 267 (56.3) | 207 (43.7) |
| Ma et al\(^\text{19}\) | 270   | 162 (60.0) | 91 (33.7) | 17 (6.3) | 415 (76.9) | 125 (23.1) |
| Hao et al\(^\text{10}\) | 317   | 46 (14.5) | 48 (15.1) | 223 (70.3) | 140 (22.1) | 494 (77.9) |
| Zhao et al\(^\text{11}\) | 335   | 50 (14.9) | 53 (15.8) | 232 (69.3) | 153 (22.8) | 517 (77.2) |
| Huang et al\(^\text{12}\) | 640   | 482 (75.3) | 151 (23.6) | 7 (1.1) | 1,115 (87.1) | 165 (12.9) |
| Total         | 6A6A (%) | 6A5A (%) | 5A5A (%) | 6A allele (%) | 5A allele (%) |

Abbreviation: MMP-3, matrix metalloproteinase-3.

### Table 3: Meta-analysis for the association of the MMP-3 polymorphisms rs679620 and rs3025058 with ischemic stroke risk

| Polymorphism | Overall association | Association by ethnicity |
|--------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
|              | OR (95% CI) | P-value for pooled estimation | Test for heterogeneity, P-value | OR (95% CI) | P-value for pooled estimation | Test for heterogeneity, P-value | OR (95% CI) | P-value for pooled estimation | Test for heterogeneity, P-value |
| rs679620     |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| AA + GA vs GG | 1.42 (1.05–1.91) | 0.022 | 0.417 | 1.42 (1.05–1.91) | 0.022 | 0.417 | Not available | Not available | Not available |
| AA vs GA + GG | 1.14 (0.76–1.73) | 0.524 | 0.608 | 1.14 (0.76–1.73) | 0.524 | 0.608 | Not available | Not available | Not available |
| AA vs GG     | 1.38 (0.88–2.16) | 0.016 | 0.991 | 1.38 (0.88–2.16) | 0.016 | 0.991 | Not available | Not available | Not available |
| A allele vs G allele | 1.24 (1.00–1.54) | 0.047 | 0.834 | 1.24 (1.00–1.54) | 0.047 | 0.834 | Not available | Not available | Not available |
| rs3025058    |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 5A5A + 6A5A vs 6A6A | 1.04 (0.73–1.47) | 0.844 | 0.001 | 1.21 (0.81–1.79) | 0.356 | 0.006 | 0.74 (0.43–1.27) | 0.277 | 0.170 |
| 5A5A vs 6A6A | 1.14 (0.74–1.77) | 0.056 | 0.008 | 1.05 (0.69–1.58) | 0.832 | 0.103 | 1.01 (0.24–4.25) | 0.985 | 0.008 |
| 5A allele vs 6A allele | 1.11 (0.68–1.80) | 0.677 | 0.016 | 1.17 (0.68–2.00) | 0.568 | 0.072 | 0.82 (0.18–3.80) | 0.797 | 0.010 |
| 5A5A vs 6A6A | 1.06 (0.79–1.41) | 0.710 | <0.001 | 1.16 (0.80–1.69) | 0.433 | <0.001 | 0.83 (0.42–1.66) | 0.604 | 0.016 |

Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; MMP-3, matrix metalloproteinase-3.
**Sensitivity analysis and publication bias**

We did not perform sensitivity analysis for the rs679620 polymorphism, since there were only two eligible studies. Sensitivity analysis for the rs3025058 polymorphism (six eligible studies) showed that the significance of pooled ORs was not influenced excessively by omitting any single study (Figure 4). The Begg’s test did not reveal any evidence of publication bias ($P>0.05$).

**Discussion**

There have been inconsistencies in the results of case–control studies on the association of the MMP-3 polymorphisms rs679620 and rs3025058 with ischemic stroke. In order to verify the genetic contributions of these variants to ischemic stroke, we conducted a comprehensive literature search and pooled the results of independent association studies for meta-analysis. Our results showed that the rs679620 A allele

### Figure 2

**Forest plot for included studies examining the association between rs679620 and ischemic stroke risk under the dominant model (AA + GA vs GG).**

**Abbreviation:** OR, odds ratio.

| Study ID | OR (95% CI) | % weight |
|----------|-------------|----------|
| Asian    |             |          |
| **Kim et al**$^{18}$ | 1.65 (1.02–2.67) | 36.17 |
| **Ma et al**$^{19}$ | 1.28 (0.88–1.87) | 63.83 |
| **Subtotal (I²=0.0%, P=0.417)** | 1.41 (1.05–1.90) | 100 |
| **Overall (I²=0.0%, P=0.417)** | 1.41 (1.05–1.90) | 100 |

### Figure 3

**Forest plot for included studies examining the association between rs3025058 and ischemic stroke risk (AA + GA vs GG).**

**Note:** Weights are from random-effects analysis.

**Abbreviation:** OR, odds ratio.

| Study ID | OR (95% CI) | % weight |
|----------|-------------|----------|
| **Caucasian** |             |          |
| Ghilardi et al$^{16}$ | 0.51 (0.25–1.05) | 11.62 |
| Flex et al$^{17}$ | 0.91 (0.61–1.35) | 17.68 |
| **Subtotal (I²=46.9%, P=0.170)** | 0.74 (0.43–1.27) | 29.30 |
| **Asian** |             |          |
| **Ma et al**$^{19}$ | 1.43 (0.96–2.15) | 17.50 |
| Hao et al$^{20}$ | 0.85 (0.54–1.34) | 16.48 |
| Zhao et al$^{21}$ | 0.86 (0.55–1.33) | 16.85 |
| Huang et al$^{22}$ | 1.82 (1.38–2.42) | 19.87 |
| **Subtotal (I²=76.1%, P=0.006)** | 1.21 (0.81–1.79) | 70.70 |
| **Overall (I²=76.1%, P=0.001)** | 1.04 (0.73–1.47) | 100 |
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carriers had increased risk of ischemic stroke compared with homozygotes for the G allele in Asians, but there was no association between rs3025058 and ischemic stroke risk.

As far as we know, this is the first meta-analysis evaluating the association of rs679620 with ischemic stroke risk. Our results suggested that this polymorphism may be inherently involved in the pathogenesis of ischemic stroke and the A allele may be a possible risk allele. Concerning the rs3025058 polymorphism, our results were not consistent with those from a previous meta-analysis. In 2014, the study by Wen et al. reported an association between rs3025058 and ischemic stroke risk using a meta-analytic approach. However, there were several limitations in their results. First, patients with other diseases were included in the pooled estimation. The meta-analysis by Wen et al. included eight studies for assessing the relation of rs3025058 with ischemic stroke risk. Besides studies on stroke patients, they included three irrelevant studies in the pooled analysis by mistake. The study by Djuric et al. assessed the association between rs3025058 and carotid atherosclerosis in a Serbian population. The study by Buss et al. evaluated the association between rs3025058 and cervical artery dissection, whereas the study by Fu et al. investigated rs3025058 and the middle cerebral artery stenosis. None of the three studies provided data for assessing the association between rs3025058 and ischemic stroke risk. Obviously, it was not correct to include them as eligible studies. Second, data from the included studies were not carefully evaluated. The study by Ghilardi et al. assessed the relationship of rs3025058 with carotid artery stenosis in 91 cases and 133 controls. Among the 91 patients with carotid artery stenosis, only 41 patients were suitable for the meta-analysis. However, Wen et al. included all of the 91 carotid artery stenosis patients as stroke patients. Third, no subgroup analysis according to ethnicity was performed in the previous meta-analysis. Wen et al. only evaluated the relationship of rs3025058 with ischemic stroke by combining all included studies. In contrast, in our meta-analysis, we not only assessed the overall association but also performed subgroup analysis in Caucasians and Asians, respectively. Because of the abovementioned limitations, the results of the previous meta-analysis were not accurate.

MMP-3 is a key member of the MMP family, with wide substrate specificity. It is capable of degrading many of the extracellular matrix components that are found within healthy and diseased blood vessels. It can also activate other enzymes in the MMP family, including MMP-1, MMP-8, MMP-9, and MMP-13. Human atheroma specimens have shown increased MMP-3 expression in atherosclerotic plaques’ shoulders and regions of foam cell accumulation.

A growing body of evidence suggests that increased expression of MMP-3 in atherosclerotic plaque results in increased plaque vulnerability and rupture, which is the main cause of

---

**Figure 4** Sensitivity analysis for the association between rs3025058 and ischemic stroke risk (5A5A + 6A5A vs 6A6A).
ischemic stroke. In this meta-analysis, we found an association between rs679620 and ischemic stroke risk. rs679620 is located in exon 2 of the MMP-3 gene, leading to an amino acid change (Glu > Lys) at residue 45. It has been suggested that the amino acid change at this residue could have an effect on MMP-3 activation and function by modifying its interaction with other amino acids in this region. Given the importance of MMP-3 in atherosclerotic plaque inflammation and carotid atherosclerosis development, changes in MMP-3 activation and function induced by rs679620 may influence susceptibility to ischemic stroke.

This study has potential limitations. First, because of the limited availability of published results, the number of studies included in the meta-analyses for each polymorphism was relatively small. More well-designed case–control studies using larger sample sizes are needed in the future. Second, we did not have original data for all studies to evaluate gene–environment interaction. Although the meta-analysis did not find an association between rs3025058 and ischemic stroke risk, we did not exclude the possibility that an interaction between this polymorphism and other stroke risk factors including diabetes, smoking, and hypertension may affect ischemic stroke pathogenesis. Third, in our study, between-study heterogeneity was found in the comparisons for rs3025058. Differences in sample size, gender distribution, and genetic structure may contribute to the disparity in results. Other factors, such as environment and epigenetic change, may play roles in these differences as well. Fourth, the relation of the MMP-3 polymorphisms with ischemic stroke subtype was not assessed due to the paucity of data. Ischemic stroke has a number of subtypes with the most common being large-vessel atherosclerotic stroke, small-vessel disease, and cardioembolism. Evaluating the association of the MMP-3 polymorphisms with stroke subtypes may provide additional information. Unfortunately, the paucity of data precluded us the possibility to perform a pooled analysis for stroke subtypes.

**Conclusion**

The results of our meta-analysis suggest that the rs679620 A allele carriers have increased risk of ischemic stroke compared with homozygotes for the G allele in Asians, whereas there is no association between rs3025058 and ischemic stroke risk.
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