**A Caenorhabditis elegans Wild Type Defies the Temperature–Size Rule Owing to a Single Nucleotide Polymorphism in tra-3**
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**Ectotherms rely for their body heat on surrounding temperatures. A key question in biology is why most ectotherms mature at a larger size at lower temperatures, a phenomenon known as the temperature–size rule. Since temperature affects virtually all processes in a living organism, current theories to explain this phenomenon are diverse and complex and are often from opposing assumptions. Although widely studied, the molecular genetic control of the temperature–size rule is unknown. We found that the Caenorhabditis elegans wild-type N2 complied with the temperature–size rule, whereas wild-type CB4856 defied it. Using a candidate gene approach based on an N2 × CB4856 recombinant inbred panel in combination with mutant analysis, complementation, and transgenic studies, we show that a single nucleotide polymorphism in tra-3 leads to mutation F96L in the encoded calpain-like protease. This mutation attenuates the ability of CB4856 to grow larger at low temperature. Homology modelling predicts that F96L reduces TRA-3 activity by destabilizing the DII-A domain. The data show that size adaptation of ectotherms to temperature changes may be less complex than previously thought because a subtle wild-type polymorphism modulates the temperature responsiveness of body size. These findings provide a novel step toward the molecular understanding of the temperature–size rule, which has puzzled biologists for decades.**

**Introduction**

For many decades biologists have been intrigued by the relation between body size and temperature. It was discovered that ectotherms—animals that maintain their body temperature by absorbing heat from the surrounding environment such as fish and all invertebrates—reproduce later at a larger size when reared at lower temperatures [1–3]. This phenomenon is known as the temperature–size rule, and nearly 90% of ectothermic species studied so far follow this rule [4]. The magnitude of this phenomenon is illustrated by Azevedo et al. [5] who found a 12% increase in wing and thorax size in Drosophila melanogaster when grown at relatively low temperatures. In the case of the nematode C. elegans (strain Bristol N2), an environmental temperature of 10 °C resulted in adults that were ~33% larger than those grown at 25 °C [6].

About 99.9% of all species are ectothermic, and the temperature–size rule is observed in bacteria, protists, plants, and animals, making it one of the most widespread phenomena in ecology. From the perspective of life-history evolution it is not well understood why growing bigger at lower temperatures is beneficial for organisms. Because this thermal plasticity of body size is taxonomically widespread, the reasons are probably diverse and may vary among groups of organisms. It has been suggested that a large body size is advantageous, because it compensates for delayed reproduction by yielding more offspring [7]. Other explanations may be that a larger body size at maturity enables individuals to produce larger offspring or to provide better parental care [2].

Since body size and temperature are the two most important variables affecting fitness [8,9], many experimental and theoretical attempts have been made to explain the mechanism underlying the temperature–size rule. Essentially, an increase in body size can be achieved by increasing cell number, cell size, or by both. Various studies point at the second (cell size) and the third option (cell size and number) as being the most likely explanation for the observed increase in body size at lower temperatures (Drosophila spp. [10–12], yellow dung fly [13], and the nematode C. elegans [6]).

Next to these empirical observations, various models have been proposed that are based on a combination of changes in cell size and number. Biophysical models show that the temperature–size rule is the result of unequal effects of temperature on cell growth and cell division [14]. When the effect of temperature on the rate of division is greater than...
Author Summary

Biologists are fascinated by variation in body size, which is hardly surprising, considering that the range of body sizes spans orders of magnitude from bacteria to blue whales. Even within species, body sizes can vary dramatically. This intraspecies variation is intriguing because it suggests strong associations between body size and environment. Already in 1847, Bergmann noticed that mammals tend to be larger in colder environments. More recently similar relationships were found for ectotherms, which rely for their body heat on the temperature of their surroundings, where more than 85% of the species studied grew larger at lower temperatures. This phenomenon, dubbed the temperature–size rule, has caused a renewed interest to understand how temperature affects body size. Yet the control of the temperature–size rule remains enigmatic, and the hypotheses proposed have been inconclusive. In this paper the authors show that a single nucleic acid change in one gene is required for regulation of the temperature–size rule in the nematode *C. elegans*. Using protein modelling they also show that this subtle change in DNA decreases the function of the encoded protein. The data suggest that temperature adaptation can be simple and far less complex than previously thought.

its effect on the rate of cell growth, the model predicts that a low temperature should lead to a larger body size. Recently, a physiological model was proposed by Atkinson et al. [15], which assumes that temperature induced changes in cell size and number depend on the optimisation of oxygen supply at different temperatures. Yet, these empirical and theoretical findings give little insight into the molecular genetic control of body size at lower temperatures.

Unravelling the molecular mechanism underlying the temperature–size rule is hampered by the fact that temperature affects nearly all biochemical processes in a cell, and in theory growing bigger at lower temperatures may have numerous causes. However, low temperatures also have been shown to induce a number of specific physiological and genetic responses in ectotherms [16]. In *D. melanogaster* gene expression analysis revealed a senescence marker *smp-30* to be induced by low temperature [17]. Van ‘t Land et al. [18] reported the association of the gene *Hsr-omega* with low temperatures in *D. melanogaster*. Next to these specific gene responses, an early indicator of low temperature is a transient elevation of the cytosolic calcium concentration [Ca²⁺]. Higher cytosolic calcium levels occur not only in response to a rapid cooling but also to more gradual reductions in temperature, and it is a widespread phenomenon observed in plants [19,20] and ectothermic animals [21–24].

Here we aimed to identify and characterize genes underlying the temperature–size rule in a model ectotherm, the nematode *C. elegans*. *C. elegans* is a suitable model for studying the molecular control of temperature–body size responses because of its completely sequenced genome, isomorphic growth, and cell constancy, and because nematode life-history traits are easy to observe [25]. We found that wild-type Bristol N2 (designated as N2) grew bigger at lower temperatures and thus complied with the temperature–size rule, whereas wild-type CB4856 (designated as CB) defied the rule. The natural variation in body size response to temperature between CB and N2 was caused by a single mutation F96L in a calpain-like protease TRA-3 encoded by *tra-3*. Homology modelling predicts that F96L is likely to reduce the ability of TRA-3 to bind calcium.

Results/Discussion

Wild-Type CB Does Not Comply with the Temperature–Size Rule

We studied the thermal reaction norm for body size (TRB), which is a plot of body size at maturity versus temperature, and defined compliance with the temperature–size rule if body size is significantly and negatively related to temperature. To assess differences in the TRB between the two wild-type strains we measured body size at 12 °C and 24 °C. Body-size measurements were taken from Gutteling et al. [26]. We found a marked difference in TRB between the two wild types. The body size of wild-type N2 exhibited a significant negative relationship with temperature, i.e., N2 grew larger at low temperature (*F* = 3.49; *p* = 0.02). In contrast, CB defied the temperature–size rule because body size was not significantly affected by temperature (*F* = 0.8; *p* = 0.47) (Figure 1). The results for N2 are in agreement with previous findings where increased body size was found in *C. elegans* N2 hermaphrodites as well as males at lower temperatures [27,6]. To further study the genetic control of the TRB, we first developed an N2 × CB recombinant inbred panel and performed a quantitative trait locus (QTL) analysis for detecting genomic regions associated with the TRB.

Large Variation in the TRB among Recombinant Inbred Lines

By selfing the CB × N2 F₁ offspring for 20 generations, we obtained a segregating population of recombinant inbred lines (RILs), which were also exposed to 12 °C and 24 °C. We found large differences in TRB slopes among the RILs (Figure 1). As generally observed in recombinant inbred crosses between divergent strains, the mean trait values for many of the RILs exceeded the mean value for either parental strain. Apparently the differences between the N2 and CB phenotypes (the slope of the TRB) capture a great deal of genetic variation. This was evident in the variation exhibited in the RILs for the TRB slope. Such transgressive segregation has been reported for many organisms and indicates that alleles at different loci act in the same direction, and when combined these alleles will result in phenotypes more extreme than either parent [28].

In general RILs matured at 12 °C at a bigger size than at 24 °C, which is in accordance with the temperature–size rule (see Atkinson [4] for an overview). We found strong genetic variation among RILs for body size across the two temperatures (*F* = 40.1; *p* < 0.001). We then sought to determine which loci were associated with the TRB by genotyping the RILs and performing a QTL mapping study using the recombinant inbred panel.

Description of the Genetic Architecture of the RILs

For the QTL analysis we used a dense single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) map. A full description of the genetic architecture of the RILs can be found in [29]. In summary, the overall average distance between two SNP markers was 855 kb or 2.38 cM. The overall average chromosomal coverage was 96% if measured in bp or 95% if measured in cM. Compared to the Wormbase *F₂*‐derived genetic maps (http://www.
wormbase.org, release WS106), the genetic maps showed on average an ample 2-fold expansion. This is common for RILs bred by self-fertilization or sib-mating and can be explained by the multiple rounds of meiosis undergone [30].

Detection of a Specific CB Locus Associated with the TRB on Chromosome IV

Figure 2 shows the detected QTLs associated with the slope of the TRB. Two QTLs on Chromosome IV were associated with a negative effect on the slope of the TRB and were linked to CB alleles. The distal QTL at Chromosome IV showed pleiotropy or linkage for body size at 24 °C (additive effect of 4%). We aimed to identify the gene(s) controlling the QTL at Chromosome IV with a peak at marker pkP4095 at 12 cM, because this QTL was uniquely associated with TRB (hence we named it the TRB-locus) and not with body size itself at 12 °C or 24 °C. This locus had a relatively large additive effect of 34% of the total standard deviation and explained 11% of the among-RIL variance. Intrigression of a CB segment spanning the TRB-locus into an N2 background confirmed the QTL analysis. Phenotyping of NIL WN17–9 carrying an ~6-cM region of the TRB locus revealed no significant body-size difference between low and high temperature (Figure 3). Three other QTLs on Chromosome III increased the slope and each of these QTLs was linked to N2 alleles and showed a pleiotropic or close linkage effect for body size at 12 °C [26].

A Promising Candidate Gene within the TRB Locus Is tra-3

The 2.5-cM genome segment covered by the confidence interval (CI) of the TRB locus harbours a number of mutationally mapped genes of which only one (dpy-4) [31] is known to affect body size. To identify promising candidate genes, we reasoned as follows. Previous studies have shown that body size in C. elegans is controlled by genes that affect cell size and not cell number [32,33]. It is also known that this is one of the main mechanisms, next to cell number, by which ectotherms grow bigger in colder environments [7]. Furthermore, we sought to identify and characterize genes that encode a calcium-activated protein because [Ca\(^{2+}\)] is a key signal of low temperature. Lower temperatures lead to an increase of [Ca\(^{2+}\)] [21–24].

Given these two facts (increased [Ca\(^{2+}\)], and cell size) we searched for genes that are activated by [Ca\(^{2+}\)] and that play a role in increased cell size. Among the few genes with known function in the TRB locus, the most likely candidate gene was tra-3. TRA-3 has a high homology with mammalian calpains [34], which are known to be activated by [Ca\(^{2+}\)], and have been reported to regulate cell size during oncosis (cell swelling) [35]. dpy-4 is not known to be activated by [Ca\(^{2+}\)] [31]. We therefore selected tra-3 as a candidate gene that might

---

**Figure 1.** Slope of TRB for 80 RILs and Parental Strains

We calculated the slope (10⁰ μm²/degree) from a plot of body size versus temperature (12 °C and 24 °C) where: slope = (size at 24 °C – size at 12 °C)/(12 °C – 24 °C). The variance of body size calculated for all the RILs was small and differed only 7% between 12 °C and 24 °C. Most of the lines had a negative slope meaning that at low temperatures individuals grew bigger. Yet 17.5% of the RILs had a positive slope, and a few RILs were nearly nonplastic (i.e., slopes were relatively small). At 12 °C N2 was 35% larger than CB. The TRB for parental strains are designated by arrows: N2, open arrow; CB, solid arrow.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0030034.g001

**Figure 2.** Positions of QTL Associated with Body Size and the Slope of the TRB

QTL for body size at different temperatures (12 °C [dotted line] and 24 °C [dashed line]) [26] and the slope of the TRB (solid line) at Chromosomes III and IV. Triangles are the peak of the QTL and the horizontal bars are CIs. Values near the bars represent the likelihood ratio values. Only those parts of the chromosomes are shown where QTLs were detected.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0030034.g002
explain the difference in temperature responsiveness between N2 and CB. The gene \( \text{tra-3} \) seems to be important for the TRB slope because a significant difference was found between \( \text{tra-3} \) allelic variants (using the linked marker pk4095) and the TRB slope (\( t \)-test, \( p = 0.03 \)). RILs with the N2 allele had a larger slope than RILs with a CB allele.

**Sequencing of \( \text{tra-3} \) in CB and Phenotyping of Artificial \( \text{tra-3} \) Mutants**

To investigate the hypothesis that \( \text{tra-3} \) controlled the TRB, we first sequenced this region in CB. One SNP was found within the coding region where phenylalanine-96 in N2 was mutated into leucine-96 in CB. To see whether other \( \text{tra-3} \) mutants displayed the same phenotype as observed in CB, we selected two homozygous artificial allelic mutants in an N2 background, \( \text{tra-3(e1107)} \) carrying a nonsense mutation [34] and \( \text{tra-3(e2333)} \). We also sequenced \( \text{tra-3(e2333)} \) in the ORF \( \pm 1 \) kb and found a nonsense mutation at nucleotide position 1,779 (G to A) of the spliced \( \text{tra-3} \) transcript. This resulted in a premature stop (W to stop) at position 593 of the \( \text{TRA-3} \) protein. Both mutants were phenotyped for body size at 12 °C and 24 °C and compared to the wild-type N2. Like CB, body size was not affected by temperature in both mutants (Figure 4). The N2 phenotype was rescued by the fully suppressed mutant \( \text{tra-3(e1107)/sub-24(s3544)IV} \), which promotes translational readthrough of the \( \text{tra-3(e1107)} \) mutation (Figure 4).

We then tested whether a larger body size could also be obtained by mimicking a low temperature environment through an artificial increase of \( [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i \) at 24 °C. Although \( \text{TRA-3} \) does not have a specific EF calcium-binding site in \( \text{C. elegans} \), a well-conserved region has been shown to bind calcium [36,37]. We used thapsigargin (TG) to increase \( [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i \) [38,39]. We found a clear dose–response relationship between TG and body size, showing that N2 grew larger at 24 °C at increased levels of TG (Figure 4). A significant increase in size was found at 0.015 μM TG compared to a positive control that included the solvent dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Calpain activity was required for the TG-induced body-size enlargement because treatment with 0.015 μM TG did not result in a larger body size in homozygous \( \text{tra-3(e1107)} \) mutants (Figure 4). These results indicate that calcium activation of TRA-3 may be controlling body size at different temperatures.

**No Quantitative Difference in Expression of \( \text{tra-3} \) between N2 and CB**

In addition to the F96L mutation, the observed phenotypic differences could be due to differential expression of \( \text{tra-3} \). Therefore, we performed quantitative RT-PCR experiments on cDNA obtained from N2 and CB at 12 °C and 24 °C. It was found that expression was slightly enhanced at 24 °C in both wild types. There was no significant difference in \( \text{tra-3} \) expression across temperatures between N2 and CB (results not shown). Based on these findings we hypothesised that observed TRB differences between N2 and CB were the result of a polymorphism in \( \text{tra-3} \).

**Complementation Analysis Confirmed that F96L Resulted in a Flat TRB in \text{C. elegans} Wild-Type CB**

To further investigate the role of \( \text{tra-3} \) in the wild-type CB, we performed a complementation analysis by crossing the near-isogenic line (NIL WN17–9) with \( \text{tra-3(e1107)} \). Heterozygous \( \text{F}_1 \) from a cross between NIL WN17–9 and N2 revealed the recessive nature of the CB–TRB allele (Figure 3). The body size for the \( e(1107)/+ \) \( \text{F}_1 \) offspring exhibited increased size at 12 °C indicating that \( \text{tra-3(e1107)} \) was recessive (Figure 3). Complementation analysis in which NIL WN17–9 was crossed with \( \text{tra-3(e1107)} \) showed no differences in body size of \( \text{F}_1 \) between high and low temperature (Figure 3). These results show that \( \text{tra-3} \) is required for regulating body size in response to changing environmental temperatures and that an SNP in \( \text{tra-3} \) is able to reduce this ability. We did not attempt to perform a complementation test between NIL WN17–9 and \( \text{tra-3(e2333)} \) because of the dominant nature of \( \text{tra-3(e2333)} \) over other \( \text{tra-3} \) mutants. Homozygous \( \text{tra-3(e1107)} \) worms show partial masculinisation whereas homozygous \( \text{tra-3(e2333)} \) animals are wild-type hermaphrodites. Heteroalleles of these two mutants are also wild-type.

---

**Figure 3.** Complementation Analysis: The Effect of Temperature on Body Size in \text{C. elegans} Strains and Mutants

To test for complementation of F96L we measured body size of the homozygous introgression line NIL WN17–9 (abbreviated as NIL/NIL) and heterozygote \( \text{F}_1 \) obtained from the complementation crosses. Two independent crosses between NIL and N2 (NIL/+), whereas three other independent crosses of the heteroallelic \( \text{tra-3(e1107)/NIL} \) revealed no complementation. Bars are standard deviation. Significance testing was performed using nested analysis of variance (see Materials and Methods). NIL/NIL: \( \text{F}_{(1,51)} = 1.54 \), NIL/+: \( \text{F}_{(1,2)} = 21.94 \), e1107/+: \( \text{F}_{(1,6)} = 59.28^* \), e1107/NIL: \( \text{F}_{(1,6)} = 4.00 \), and \( *= p > 0.05, ** = 0.001 < p < 0.01 \).

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0030034.g003
We measured body size in the mutants tra-3[e1107] (size of pseudomales is comparable to normal males but smaller than hermaphrodites) exposed to temperature and TG (including DMSO control). Also shown are the body sizes of the suppressed tra-3 mutant tra-3[e1107]sup-24(st354)IV, and tra-3[e2333] exposed to temperature, and wild-type N2 (+/+ ) exposed to TG and DMSO control. * = significant at 0.01 < p < 0.05 and *** = significant at p < 0.001. Bars are standard deviation, +/– F0.05, 5.25, CB(+) = 0.67, tra-3[e1107]F0.05, 1.38 = 1.43, tra-3[e1107] 24 °C DMSO /TG versus TG F0.05, 1.14 = 1.77, tra-3[e1107]sup-24(st354) F0.05, 1.22 = 14.44, tra-3[e2333]F0.05, 1.27 = 1.52, and +/– 24 °C DMSO versus TG F0.05, 1.8 = 6.65. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0030034.g005

Figure 5. The tra-3 N2 Allele Makes CB Grow Larger at Low Temperature

We measured body size in transgenic CB strains carrying the N2 allele of tra-3. Average values are shown for four to five independently derived strains of CB[gfp and tra-3(+) ] and CB[gfp]. The transgenic strains grew significantly larger at low temperature (t-test, n = 39, p < 0.0001). Bars are standard deviation. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0030034.g005

Figure 4. Effect of Temperature and TG on Body Size in C. elegans

We measured body size in the mutants tra-3[e1107] (size of pseudomales is comparable to normal males but smaller than hermaphrodites) exposed to temperature and TG (including DMSO control). Also shown are the body sizes of the suppressed tra-3 mutant tra-3[e1107]sup-24(st354)IV, and tra-3[e2333] exposed to temperature, and wild-type N2 (+/+ ) exposed to TG and DMSO control. * = significant at 0.01 < p < 0.05 and *** = significant at p < 0.001. Bars are standard deviation, +/– F0.05, 5.25, CB(+) = 0.67, tra-3[e1107]F0.05, 1.38 = 1.43, tra-3[e1107] 24 °C DMSO /TG versus TG F0.05, 1.14 = 1.77, tra-3[e1107]sup-24(st354) F0.05, 1.22 = 14.44, tra-3[e2333]F0.05, 1.27 = 1.52, and +/– 24 °C DMSO versus TG F0.05, 1.8 = 6.65. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0030034.g005
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distance between the α-carbons of F96 and E68, G69, and A70 reduces to 8–10 Å, as compared to ~10–14 Å corresponding to the “closed” configuration. In addition, the side chain of F96 is oriented toward the Ca-1 loop making their atoms to come frequently in van der Waals contact (<3.0 Å) (Figure 6). As the length of a leucine side chain is ~1.5 Å smaller than that of a phenylalanine, F96L will introduce a void in this region. Therefore, F96L can make a small but important difference by increasing the conformational space that the “opened” Ca-1 loop can sample during its dynamics. As the number of configurations increases this might reduce the probability to find the loop in its “closed” configuration and consequently reduce the ability for calcium binding.

Role of Calcium-Activated TRA-3 in Modulating Body Size

The genetic control of the C. elegans body size has been extensively studied. Mutants such as sma-2, 3, 4, and daf-4 have a small body size and are defective in the TGF-β signalling pathway, which underlies body growth and development [42]. The lon mutants have been found to grow longer but not larger in volume [32,43]. It was shown that egl-4 mutants, defective in a gene encoding a cGMP-dependent protein kinase, have a much larger body size than N2 [32].

Here it is shown that TRA-3 has a prominent role in regulating the thermal plasticity of body size in C. elegans. Homology modelling shows that the F96L mutation in CB4856 attenuates the ability to grow bigger at lower temperatures by destabilizing the calcium-binding site in TRA-3. These data indicate that calcium signalling in response to temperature changes may lead to the activation of TRA-3. This mechanism to control the temperature-size rule is supported by various reports on the elevation of the free cytosolic calcium concentration in response to lower temperatures. Increase of cytosolic calcium levels in response to lower temperatures by destabilizing the calcium-binding site in TRA-3. These data indicate that calcium signalling in response to temperature changes may lead to the activation of TRA-3. This mechanism to control the temperature-size rule is supported by various reports on the elevation of the free cytosolic calcium concentration in response to lower temperatures. Increase of cytosolic calcium levels in response to a gradual reduction of temperature is widely observed in plants [19,20] and ectothermic animals.

Many studies in other organisms have shown the impor-
tance of calpains in oncrosis showing calpain-mediated cell swelling and disruption of plasma membrane permeability followed by cell death [35]. In C. elegans calcium-activated TRA-3 is known to be involved in the sex determination pathway by activating TRA-2A, a membrane protein that indirectly activates the zinc finger transcriptional regulator TRA-1A by binding and inhibiting a masculinising protein FEM-3 [44]. Current insights are insufficient to link aforementioned findings and to infer a putative pathway by which calcium activation of TRA-3 results in larger cell sizes in C. elegans.

Do Our Results Corroborate with Existing Theories on the Temperature–Size Rule?

Many different theories have been proposed to unravel the underlying mechanism of the temperature–size rule [2,7,45,46]. Our results partly fit the theory by Van der Have et al. [14] who suggested that the temperature–size rule is regulated by two distinct processes underlying temperature effects on body size: growth rate (which is the biomass increase per time unit) and differentiation rate (which is the reciprocal of development time). Their model presupposes that the temperature–size rule depends on a wide range of alleles differing in sensitivity to temperature. Our results show that a polymorphism in a single gene may attenuate the TRB in C. elegans.

CB was originally isolated in Hawaii while N2 originates from the UK. Whether the F96L mutation in CB reflects adaptive change or a fortuitous event is unknown. Both parental strains have been isolated decades ago and kept in the laboratory ever since, and additional field research is needed to establish whether this polymorphism and/or others in tra-3 are typical for strains isolated from tropical regions.

Our results do not provide insight into how natural selection modifies the temperature–size rule, yet they provide the basis for a more mechanistic understanding of the evolutionary outcomes. Like C. elegans the increased body size at lower temperatures in flatworms, Drosophila spp., and protists [47–49] is caused primarily by increased cell size. Because tra-3 shows a high homology with other ectothermic calpains [34,37], our findings may imply a possible role of calpain in the control of the temperature–size rule in other organisms as well.

Concluding Remarks

We have presented genetic and structural evidence that an SNP in the gene tra-3 encoding a calpain-like protease is required for the regulation of the temperature–size rule in wild-type C. elegans. First, we found that the wild-type N2 complied with the temperature–size rule, whereas wild-type CB4856 defied it, and demonstrated that the genetic variation in the temperature–size response mapped to a single QTL on Chromosome IV harbouring tra-3. Second, we showed similar expression levels in tra-3 between the two wild types. Third, transgenic CB carrying an N2 allele of tra-3 defied the temperature–size rule. Fourth, we found that F96L in TRA-3 attenuates the ability of wild-type CB4856 to grow larger at low temperatures. Finally, we showed that, based on homology modelling, the CB4856 mutation decreased the calcium-binding activity of TRA-3 rendering it less active. Because TRA-3 shows a high homology with other ectothermic calpains, our findings imply a possible role of tra-3 in the control of the temperature–size rule in other organisms as well. Together our data show that the response of a quantitative trait to temperature changes can be simple and far less complex than previously thought.

Materials and Methods

Strain culturing. Both N2 and CB parental strains were homozygous. Strains were grown in 9-cm petri dishes at 15°C or 20°C on standard nematode growth medium with Escherichia coli strain OP50 as food source [50] and transferred to new dishes by a chunk of agar once a week. RILs were constructed according to [29].
Development of near-isogenic line by introgression. NIL WN17–9 was constructed by crossing a single L4 hermaphrodite of RIL WN17 with five males generated from N2 on a 6-cm petri dish. The proportion of males in the offspring was approximately 0.5 indicating a successful cross. Subsequently, 12 crosses were set up, each with the use of single L4 hermaphroditic offspring of the former cross and five males generated from N2. Backcrossing to RIL WN17 yielded two L4 hermaphroditic offspring per successful cross. After described three generations backcrossing, six L4 hermaphrodites were picked from each successful cross and placed individually on a 3-cm petri dish to self. Selling was continued for ten generations for each of the lines. All derived lines were subsequently genotyped at seven marker positions (including marker pkP4095) distributed equally over the fragments that were identified in RIL WN17 to be of CB origin. A total of five lines that appeared to have N2 alleles in all genotyped positions except for the marker pkP4095 were used for detailed genotyping. A total of five lines that appeared to have N2 alleles in all genotyped positions except for the marker pkP4095 were used for detailed genotyping. The results for one of the genotyped lines (NIL WN17–9) showed at all genotyped markers N2 alleles except for CB allele at marker pkP4095, and three neighbouring marker positions at Chromosome IV indicating a single 6-cM DNA fragment of CB origin introgressed into N2 background. Genotyping was according to Li et al. [29].

Phenotypic experiments of the RILs. Prior to an experiment, all lines (80 RILs and two parental) were synchronised at room temperature by transferring five adult nematodes to fresh 6-cm petri dishes. Every day, the eggs transferred to the dishes were removed. Eggs were allowed to develop at 20°C, and three days later synchronisation was repeated to get double-synchronised lines.

Body-size reaction norms. Measurements for parental and RIL body size at maturation were taken from Guttel et al. [26]. Maturation was defined as the moment that the first few eggs are laid and can be easily observed. Because of this, body size at maturity can be precisely measured. For each RIL, three replicate experiments were performed using double-synchronised lines as a start. In each replicate, four adult nematodes per RIL were transferred to a fresh 6-cm dish, allowed to reproduce at room temperature for 2-4 h (average 2.5 h), and removed. Dishes were then stored at 12°C and 24°C climate chambers (Elbanton, http://www.elbanton.nl). Temperature was recorded with Tinytag Transit temperature loggers (Gemini Data Loggers, http://www.geminidaloggers.com). After 1 d (24°C) or 4 d (12°C), 12 juvenile nematodes were transferred to room temperature to individual dishes (3 cm diameter). Dishes were randomised and put back at the appropriate temperature. After 38 h (24°C) or 145 h (12°C) dishes were scanned at room temperature at regular intervals (1.5 h for 24°C and 4 h for 12°C) for the presence of eggs. If one or more eggs were observed, the time and number of eggs were noted and the dish was put at −20°C to prevent further development; a pilot study (unpublished data) showed that freezing did not affect body size.

Dishes were defrosted and nematodes were transferred to new dishes with NGM-agar. Digital pictures were taken with a CoolSnap camera (Roper Scientific Photometrics, http://www.photomet.com). Each worm was measured automatically with ImageJ Software 4.0 (Media Cybernetics, http://www.mediacy.com). Using a measurement ocular we calibrated 10,000 pixels as 753.516 μm. We assumed a rod-like shape of a worm where volume $V_{SW} = \pi/6 D^2 L$ (14), $A = \pi D L$, and $A = L D$. Because perimeter $P$ = $2L$ + $2D$ − $2L$, we get:

$$V_{SW} = \frac{\pi A^2}{2P}$$

Area (A, pixels²) and perimeter (P, pixels) of each worm were measured digitally. In subsequent analyses $V_{SW}$ was used as input value for body size [55]. We studied the TRB, which is a plot of body size versus temperature, and used the slope of the reaction norm as a value for body size [26]. We studied the TRB, which is a plot of body size versus temperature, and used the slope of the reaction norm as a value for body size [26].

For mutant phenotyping the following strains were used for body-size measurements at 24°C (italics) and 12°C (bold): tra-3(e1107) 24, 16; tra-3(e1107) 24°C, DMSO 16; tra-3(e1107) 24°C, 10; tra-3(e1107) supp-24(st354) 11; tra-3(e2333) 19; DMSO control 5; ++ 0.004 μg Tg 6; ++ 0.0075 μg Tg 6; ++ 0.015 μg Tg 6; e116; ++ 0.015 μg Tg 6; e116 15; 16 11; e1107 9; 8, 8, 9, 8; e1107NL 16, 10, 16, 8, 4; NIL/NIL 22, 31.

For transcription study of four RILs, two N2 and CB were bleached (0.5 M NaOH, 1% hypochlorite) to collect synchronized eggs, which were then inoculated into fresh dishes. For each wild-type strain, four replicate dishes of synchronized eggs were kept in each of the two temperatures until maturity was reached. The nematodes were then collected and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Three independent samples were used for each strain (30 nematodes per dish), and individual nematodes were sequenced. Sample RNA was extracted using the Trizol method. RNA was subsequently purified (with genomic DNA digestion step) with the RNasey Micro Kit from Qiagen (http://www.qiagen.com). RNA concentration and quality were measured with Nano Drop (http://www.nanodrop.com). From each sample 2 μl of RNA were used to obtain cDNA using Superscript II reverse transcriptase from Invitrogen (http://www.invitrogen.com) and oligo d(T) primers from Genisphere (http://www.genisphere.com). cDNA was diluted 200× and used for RT-PCR with IQ Sybr Green Supermix from Bio-Rad in 20 μl reactions (http://www.bio-rad.com). Standard curves for each sample were generated by serial dilutions of the cDNA to select for primer efficiencies of 90%–110% and correlation coefficients greater than 0.99. We selected two reference genes (rp2-20 and rpj-3) using geNorm on the basis of Vandesompele et al. [53]. All primers were designed with Beacon Designer avoiding secondary structures and cross homology. RT-PCR runs were done with MyQ from Bio-Rad, and expression levels were calculated with the Bio-Rad Gene Expression Mcro version 1.1 using the selected reference genes for normalization. Expression levels are presented relative to the lowest expression of the gene. At least two independent experiments were carried out for each gene.

Transgenic strains. Transgenic worm strains containing tra-3(+) from the Bristol N2 wild-type strain in the CB background were obtained from the Umeå WormFly Transgenic Facility (http://www3.umu.se/utcf/index_eng.html). Standard microinjection methods were used [54]. A DNA fragment spanning the entire tra-3 locus and containing the endogenous tra-3 promoter was injected at a concentration of 25 μg/ml. The coinjection marker was pCC [55], a plasmid containing gfp under the control of the unc-122 promoter, which is active in coelomocytes. pCC was coinjected with a concentration of 50 μg/ml. Body size was measured as described above for five independently derived strains of CB(gfp) (control strains) and CB(gfp and tra-3[+]).

Statistical analysis. For RIL analysis a randomised block design was used (three blocks per RIL). Statistical analyses were performed in SAS. All data were found to be normally distributed according to the Box-Cox method. Comparison between treatments was tested with one-way ANOVA using PROC MIXED. In case of crossing experiments, replicate crossings were performed, and the data were analysed with a nested model, where each crossing was within the experiment (cross(temperature)). In PROC MIXED we define cross(temperature) as a random factor. The number of replicates was optimal to obtain the mean to be within the 95% CI. ANOVA was performed to study the effect of temperature, RIL, block, and interaction on body size measured digitally when worms started laying eggs.

Crosses with the mutants and NIL WN17–9 were conducted by transferring J2 stage worms on small agar dishes (3 cm diameter) to three to five males. The worms were allowed to mate at 24°C after which the females were transferred to new plates thus allowing them to lay eggs for three to 4–5 h. The plates were then scored. The ratio of males/hermaphrodites was approximately 1:1 in the F1 hybrids. After this period females were removed and eggs allowed to develop at subsequent high or low temperature. When reproduction started body size was measured as described above.

The number of replicate worms measured for their body size were at 24°C (italics) and 12°C (bold): tra-3(e1107) 24, 16; tra-3(e1107) 24°C, DMSO 16; tra-3(e1107) 24°C, 10; tra-3(e1107) supp-24(st354) 11; tra-3(e2333) 19; DMSO control 5; ++ 0.004 μg Tg 6; ++ 0.0075 μg Tg 6; ++ 0.015 μg Tg 6; e116; ++ 0.015 μg Tg 6; e116 15; 16 11; e1107 9; 8, 8, 9, 8; e1107NL 16, 10, 16, 8, 4; NIL/NIL 22, 31.
powerful tool; it has a better resolution of QTL peaks compared to interval mapping and is able to control for a number of background markers [36]. QTL analyses were performed with the software package QTL Cartographer version 2.0 [57] using forward regression, a maximum of five background parameters, and the default window size of 10 cM. The experiment-wise likelihood-ratio threshold significance level was determined by limiting 10,000 permutations of each trait [58] as implemented by QTL Cartographer. These permutations can account for non-normality in marker distributions and trait values. A peak in the likelihood ratio LR was taken to indicate a significant QTL if $LR > 10$. Composite interval mapping is sensitive to the number of background markers included in the analysis. The relatively low number of five background markers was used because too many background markers can over-parameterise the model. However, in order to assess whether detected loci were close to one another also suggested one QTL, we examined the inclusion of ten background markers. The results show the significant QTL based on this ten-marker correction. CIs for QTL were taken based on a 1-LOD support interval corresponding to 95% CI [59].

**Homology modelling**. Template identification was performed with 3D-PSSM [60]. Results show that the first part of TRA-3 sequence corresponding to domains I–III best matches rat calpains M and $\mu$ with E-values of 0.0142 and 0.0332 respectively, corresponding to over 95% fold recognition confidence. By contrast, the last part corresponding to the T domain matches the C2 domain fold with a best hit to protein kinase C alpha C2, E-value: 0.583, corresponding to 90% confidence.

Restricting to only the TRA-3 DIII domain where the F60L mutation occurs (Figure S1), best templates were searched for the “open” and “closed” configurations—corresponding to calcium free and bound states respectively.

For the "open" configuration the best match is with human M-calpain (pdb code –1kfu) with an E-value of 0.000686, 36.5% identity, and 67.5% similarity. However this calpain was not crystallized in its “closed” configuration as well, and further search for templates was needed to model this state.

Structural analysis of the existing M and $\mu$ calpains crystallized in “closed” state (1i9f, 1mdw, 1kxr, 1tlo, 1zcm, 2ary) showed that all of these are practically identical from a structural point of view, with main chain rms deviations of only 0.731-1.124 Å. Consequently all are equally good templates for TRA-3 DIII and the closest sequence homologe can be used. This was found to be the rat $\mu$-calpain (pdb code – i9f, identity: 38.6%; similarity: 67.5%, but an E-value of only 0.0553).

In building the models, target and template sequences were first aligned using MULTALIN [61]. This alignment was further optimised manually in several steps by incorporating information on secondary structure, accessibility, contacts, and functionality of important residues. Secondary structure profile of the target was raised by a consensus based on the top five prediction methods according to CASP6 (Critical Assessment of Structure Prediction Methods 6): JPRED [62], HNN [63], SSPro [64], PROF [65], NN_PREDICT [66]. The alignment was further refined by moving the gaps to correct for unfavourable exposures in the 3D model.

The 3D models were then built by coordinate transfer in the sequence-observed conserved regions. Loops with insertions or deletions were generated ab initio, then subjected to multiple rounds of conformational search by simulated annealing and local minimization. Packing of long insertions was investigated using Modeller [67] by generating large number of loop conformers and subjecting them to statistical analysis. Simulated annealing was then used to optimise the top contenders followed by extensive rounds of energy minimisation. In the end, the entire model was subjected to repeated rounds of minimization to relieve unfavourable contacts.

Model building, refinement, and analysis were performed using the Accelrys programs: Insight II, Discover, Homology, Modeller, Charmm, Discover, and the free-ware 8v1 version of Modeller on an Silicon Graphics, Octane 2 station.

**Supporting Information**

Figure S1. The Refined Sequence Alignment of the TRA-3 DII with the Two Templates Used for Modelling the “Open” and “Closed” States Respectively.

Found at doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0030034.s001 (41 KB DOC).
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