Drug utilization study in the paediatric department of a tertiary care teaching hospital
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ABSTRACT

Background: Rational drug use is one of the main concerns of the health care system in India. Paediatric population being more vulnerable require additional focus to achieve this goal. Objectives of the study were to evaluate the prescription patterns according to WHO guidelines and the diseases, for which they are being prescribed, to identify the common diseases and common medications used.

Methods: A cross sectional study was done in the paediatrics department for a period of 2 months. All the paediatric prescriptions were evaluated using WHO core indicators like average number of medicines per encounter, percentage of medicines from the essential drug list and also complimentary indicators like utilization of different dosage forms and diagnostic patterns. Statistical Analysis: Data was analyzed and represented as frequency (n) and percentage (%).

Results: 89 out of 302 prescriptions had 2 medicines per encounter, 100% of medicines were included from the Essential Drug List (EDL), 59.4% of the medicines were in generic format of prescription.

Conclusions: This study gives a positive outlook at the utilization pattern of drugs with all the indicators specifically Essential Drugs List. Regular auditing, continuous medical education and evidence-based medicine can help in improving the health care.
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INTRODUCTION

According to WHO, Drug utilization studies can be defined as “marketing, distribution, prescription and use of the drug in the society with special emphasis on the resulting medical, social and economic consequences.” Rational drug use is one of the main concerns of the health care system in every country. Irrational use of antimicrobials is one of the main contributing factors for the developments of antimicrobial resistance, increased economic and health burden to the society. In order to achieve this goal, WHO promoted the concept of essential drug program and selection of essential drugs in 1997. Study of prescribing practices can be considered as a reflection of the abilities of health professional to determine the choice of drug and the choice for the benefit of the patient. Drug utilization studies can describe the situation of drug use in individual health care facilities. They are important tools to evaluate the utilization of drugs in terms of safety, efficacy, convenience and economic aspects at all the levels in the chain of drug use.

Various indicators have been developed by the International Network for the Rational Use of Drugs
(INRUD) in collaboration with WHO, which help us in assessing the practices of drug use and remedial measures. These studies can critically analyze the current hospital drug policies to make recommendations based on various guidelines to improve drug usage pattern. The assessment of drug utilization is important for clinical, educational and economic purposes. Importance of drug utilization studies in pharmacoepidemiology has also been increasingly associated with public health, pharmaco-economics and pharmacogenetics. Drug utilization studies which are conducted periodically can favour the auditing and provide feedback to the prescribers, pave for critical analysis of the health policies and make recommendations.

There are many potential difficulties involved with prescribing to children especially the pharmacokinetic changes which make them categorize under the vulnerable population. Infections which depend on many factors are one of the largest causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide. The recognition of drug toxicity following inappropriate drug selection and dosing predominantly in paediatric patients is exceedingly important. Thoughtful drug therapy strategies need to be established for the safe and effective use of drugs in sick neonates, infants, children and adolescents.

This study was undertaken to evaluate the prescription patterns according to WHO guidelines and the diseases, for which they are being prescribed, to identify the common diseases and common medications used.

METHODS

A cross sectional drug utilization study was carried out in the paediatric department of Dr. Pinnamaneni Sidhartha Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Foundation, Chinnavutapally as a part of STS (Short Term Studentship) ICMR approved project 2017. The study was conducted after obtaining the approval of Institutional Ethical Committee (IEC). Informed consent of the parent/guardian was obtained. Confidentiality of the patient and the prescriber was maintained. All the prescriptions of the paediatric patients (newborn to 14yrs) in the outpatient, inpatient and critical care departments were included in the study.

Study period was from July 2017 to August 2017, 2 months.

The various prescription indicators recommended by WHO (2,10) used in the study were:

- Average number of medicines per encounter.
- Percentage of medicines prescribed from essential drug list.
- Percentage of medicines prescribed by generic name.
- Percentage of encounters with an antibiotic prescribed.
- Percentage of encounters with an injection prescribed.

Apart from the prescribing indicators other complimentary indicators also were analyzed and were:

- Top ten category of medicines.
- Diagnostic characteristics of the patients.
- Utilization of different dosage forms.

Statistical analysis

Data was analyzed and represented as frequency (n) and percentage (%).

RESULTS

Table 1 describes the sex distribution and age distribution among the study population (302). The demographics of the prescriptions of the patients which were analyzed include 157 males and 145 females. The maximum numbers of prescription analyzed (41.72) were in the age group (1-6yrs). 24.83% of the prescriptions were prescribed to the neonates (4.3%).

Table 1: Pediatric patient related parameters.

| Parameter       | Number of patients | (%)  |
|-----------------|--------------------|------|
| Sex             |                    |      |
| Males           | 157                | 51.9 |
| Females         | 145                | 48.1 |
| Age             |                    |      |
| Neonate (upto 4wks) | 13               | 4.30 |
| Infants (4wks-1yr) | 88               | 29.13|
| Children (6-14yrs) | 75                | 24.83|

Table 2 depicts the number of medicines per prescription. The prescriptions were analyzed for the total number of medicines in each prescription and belonging to any category. About 89 prescriptions included only 2 medicines whereas only 2 prescriptions included 9 medicines. About 15.2% of the prescriptions analysed contained 4 medicines per prescription.

Table 2: Number of medicines per prescription.

| Drugs per prescription | Number of prescriptions | Percentage (%) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| 1                      | 71                      | 23.5           |
| 2                      | 89                      | 29.4           |
| 3                      | 76                      | 25.1           |
| 4                      | 46                      | 15.2           |
| 5                      | 16                      | 5.2            |
| 6                      | 2                       | 0.62           |
| 9                      | 2                       | 0.62           |

Table 3 evaluates the percentage distribution of medicines that were prescribed from essential drug list (EDL),
containing generic names and injections. Among 781 medicines that were prescribed from 302 prescriptions, 464 included generic names, 67 were injections and all the medicines (100%) were from the essential drug list.

Table 4 describes the diagnostic pattern of the diseases among the study population. The table represents the frequency of the diseases among neonates, infants, children (1-6yrs and 7-14 yrs). About 33 children (1-6yrs) were diagnosed with respiratory tract infections (URTI and LRTI). The most common disease among all the age groups was a combination of fever with either jaundice, diarrhea or cold and cough (32.3%).

| Diagnosis               | Neonates | Infants | Children (1-6yrs) | Children (7-14yrs) | Total |
|-------------------------|----------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|
| URTI and LRTI           | 5        | 21      | 33                | 7                 | 66(22.4%) |
| Gastroenteritis         | 7        | 21      | 25                | 8                 | 61(20.7%) |
| Seizures                | 0        | 2       | 4                 | 7                 | 13(4.4%) |
| Asthma                  | 0        | 4       | 11                | 5                 | 20(6.8%) |
| Undiagnosed             | 2        | 6       | 5                 | 2                 | 15(5.1%) |
| Others                  | 3        | 2       | 11                | 8                 | 24(8.2%) |
| Combination             | 1        | 17      | 33                | 40                | 95(32.3%) |

(URTI- upper respiratory tract infection, LRTI- lower respiratory tract infection, others- snake bite, neurocysticercosis, acute pancreatitis, hypothyroidism, Combination- fever with jaundice, fever with cold and cough, fever with diarrhoea and vomiting.

Figure 1 represents the percentage of antimicrobials prescribed. About 34.5% of medicines were in the category of pencillins, 16% belonged to the macrolide group and 1% of medicines from other than aminoglycosides, pencillins, cephalosporins, macrolides.

Figure 2 shows the medicines prescribed from various classes including the antimicrobials. About 48% of the medicines belonged to NSAIDS (Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs) category. Only 3% of the 302 prescriptions analysed and 781 medicines prescribed belonged to the antimicrobial category.

Figure 3 represents the distribution of various routes of drug administration. Highest percentages of medicines (74.5%) were prescribed by oral route, 33.4% by topical route and 9.6% of the medicines prescribed were of parenteral route. The distribution contained oral, parenteral, topical and inhalational routes of drug delivery.

Figure 4 shows the various dosage forms prescribed in the study. 173 of the medicines prescribed were in syrup form,
of India (MCI), all the prescriptions should include generic names. It is also known to reduce the cost of drug treatment and rationalizing drug therapy. In this study about 59.4% of the prescriptions included generic names. These results were diverse compared to the study done by Akhtar MS where only 2.63% of drugs were prescribed by generic name.4

From (Table 3), 100% of medicines were included from the Essential Drug List (EDL) recommended by WHO. This indicates the knowledge and awareness of the prescriber regarding the EDL and also motivate the young doctors to adhere to the guidelines. Only about 8.5% of the medicines included injections. From (Table 4), about 22.4% of the study population were diagnosed with URTI and LRTI. 32.3% were diagnosed with a combination of fever with cold and cough, fever with jaundice, fever with diarrhea. This gives us a comprehensive view about the pattern and will enable us to train the future prescribers about the common diseases.

From (Figure 1), it was evident that about 34.5% pencillins, 20.9% macrolides, 16% included drugs like antifungals, antihelminthics, cotrimoxazole. This study can aid in keeping a check on the irrationality and also encourage the faculty in the department to maintain uniformity. From (Figure 2), 48% of the medicines included NSAIDS like paracetamol, 33% cold and cough medications. The top ten category of medicines prescribed in present study included paracetamol, nasal drops, salbutamol, montelukast, ORS, vitamin D3, pencillins, cephalosporins, azithromycin and amikacin. Only 3% of 781 medicines prescribed included antibiotics. Irrational use of antibiotics was not observed in our study. This indicates that the antibiotic policy was adhered to by all the prescribers in the paediatric department. These results were different to the observations in the study done by Thiruthopu NS et al.5

From (Figure 3), it was observed that 74.5% of the medicines prescribed were by oral route, 9.6% of medicines prescribed by parenteral route. This can also be attributed to the fact that the outpatient population was higher than the inpatient or the critical care patients during the study period. From (Figure 4), 173 out of 781 medicines were in syrup dosage form, 81% as tablets, 50% as powders. These results were similar to the study done by Kumar AM.2

Limitations of the study were that the study only focused on the prescription indicators. Facility indicators and patient care indicators were not taken into consideration. Pharmacoeconomic evaluation of the medicines was not done. Seasonal variations in the disease pattern were not considered. The study was restricted to only one hospital.

CONCLUSION

Drug utilization studies are important tools to highlight the prescribing trends in the hospital and drugs prescribed from the EDL will give a positive outlook to the prescribers and...
the patient outcome. This study gives a positive outlook at the utilization pattern of drugs with all the indicators specifically Essential Drugs List, minimal use of antimicrobials and generic prescriptions. The assessment of WHO core indicators and complementary indicators can help us decrease the cost burden on the patient. Continuous medical education with particular focus on rational drug usage and evidence based medicine can further increase the understanding. Regular clinical auditing can also help in improving the health care policies by the regulatory authorities. The prescribers can let go of the inertia and hesitance to conduct these studies and contribute to the societal well being.
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