RAP80 regulates epithelial–mesenchymal transition related with metastasis and malignancy of cancer
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DNA damage response (DDR) is essential to genomic integrity by preventing the accumulation and transmission of mutations.1–3 DDR is frequently found in precancerous lesions and believed to be a barrier to tumorigenesis by induction of senescence or death in cells with excessive DNA damage.2,3 Although the tumor-suppressive roles of DDR in early premalignant lesions are well established,4,5 the function of DDR is unclear in tumor progression during later stages, such as metastasis and tumor malignancy.

Receptor-associated protein 80 (RAP80 or UIMC1) is an ubiquitin interaction motif-containing (UIMC) nuclear protein that facilitates the recruitment of the BRCA1-BARD1-Abraxas/CCDC98-MERIT-BRCC36 complex to DNA damage sites, which initiates DDR.6–13 Although previous studies indicate that defective RAP80 function/expression could lead to genomic instability and subsequent increased early stage cancer risk,14–16 the possible role of RAP80 on tumor progression and malignancy in later stages, including EMT-derived metastasis, has not been investigated.

Recently, the convergence of DDR and epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) in cancer development was reported.17,18 EMT trans-differentiation can generate cells with stem-like properties.18 Cancer stem cells have been known to be resistant against DNA damage through activation of DDR.19,20 Notably, the EMT regulator ZEB1 also promotes DDR and tumor resistance to DNA damage, suggesting that ZEB1 could be a convergent regulator for both EMT and DDR.17

In this study, we investigate the effect of the downregulation of RAP80 expression on EMT and cancer metastasis. We show...
the decrease of RAP80 expression to activate EMT through increasing the protein level of ZEB1, a convergent regulator for DDR and EMT, which drastically promotes pulmonary metastasis of cancer cells. Our results indicate that RAP80 is a critical gatekeeper that can impede EMT-derived metastasis of cancer as well as secure DNA integrity.

Materials and Methods

Cell cultures. The HeLa cells were purchased from American Type Culture Collection and cultured in DMEM (HyClone, SH30243.01) with high glucose and l-glutamine, supplemented with 10% FBS (HyClone, GE Healthcare Life Science, South Logan, USA), 10,000 U/mL penicillin and 10,000 µg/mL streptomycin ( Gibco Invtrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA, 15140-122), and maintained in humidified incubators at 37°C with 5% CO2.

Antibodies and reagents. The following antibodies were used for western blot: RAP80,6 vimentin (#5747; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc. Danvers, MA, USA), E-cadherin (#3195; Cell Signaling Technology) and TCF8/ZEBA1 (#3396; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc. Danvers, MA, USA), c-myc (sc-40; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Texas, USA) and β-actin (sc-477778; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Texas, USA). Indocyanine Green (ICG) was purchased from Dongindang Pharm (Shinseung, Korea).

RAP80 knockdown HeLa cell line generation. To produce lentivirus expressing shRNA for RAP80, pLKO-shRAP80 or pLKO-empty plasmids were co-transfected with the lentivirus expressing shRNA for RAP80, pLKO-shRAP80 or pLKO-empty plasmids, respectively, into 293T cells. The virus containing cell culture supernatant was harvested, filtered through a 0.22-µm pore-size filter and used to infect HeLa cells. To generate stable RAP80 knockdown cells, infected cells were selected by puromycin (2 µg/mL) for 1 week.

Tumor sphere formation assay. Tissue culture dishes were coated with polyhydroxyethylmethacrylate polymer (poly-HEMA; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) to facilitate sphere formation.21 Briefly, polyHEMA was dissolved in 95% ethanol at 12% (w/v). A working solution was made by a further dilution of 1:10 in 95% ethanol and was added to 12-well tissue culture plates at 0.3 mL per well. A hydrophobic surface was formed after the polyHEMA solution dried out at 1 week. The medium was removed, and the cells were incubated with suspended cells for 1 day or 2 days for sphere formation. Tumor sphere were counted at 1 and 2 days.

MTT assays. Cells seeded on 24-well micro-plates at 1 x 104 cells/well were incubated with suspended cells for the indicated time periods. Following incubation with the suspended culture, the medium was removed, and the cells were then incubated with 10-µL MTT (M5655; Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) solution (5 mg/mL MTT in PBS) for 1 h. The samples were then solubilized in DMSO. The purple formazan dye was quantified by absorbance at 540 nm.

Immunoblotting. Total proteins were extracted using a NETN lysis buffer (0.5% NP40, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris) with protease inhibitor cocktail, phosphatase inhibitor cocktail and 1 mM DTT and subjected to western blot analysis with specific antibodies. Fusion Solo ChemiDoc system (Fisher Biotech, Wembley, Australia) and Bio1D software (Fisher Biotech, Wembley, Australia) were used for detecting chemiluminescence.

Quantitative RT-PCR. Total RNA were isolated and 1 µg of total RNA used for cDNA synthesis. The SYBR green qPCR assay kit (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan) was used with diluted cDNA for each sample. The samples were amplified with the CFX96 (Bio-Rad, Hercules, California, USA). Primers used for qRT-PCR are listed in Table S1.

Animal care and xenograft experiments. Balb/C nude mice (5 weeks, male) were used in all studies. All mice were housed at the Chungnam National University mouse facilities and all procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (CNU-00540). RAP80 knockdown and control HeLa cells were i.v. injected at 1 x 107 cells/mouse. On days 1, 3, 7, 14 and 25 after i.v. injection, lungs were harvested to check metastasis under light microscope. The same lung samples were fixed with 10% formalin and embedded in paraffin. H&E staining was performed using the Leica Jung Autostainer XL (Leica Microsystems, Buffalo Grove, IL, USA).

Labeling and in vivo tracking of cells using near-infrared fluorescence imaging. For the labeling of cells with ICG, control and shRAP80-2 HeLa cells suspended in cell culture medium were incubated with ICG (1 mg/mL) at 37°C for 3 h. Unlabeled ICG was removed by washing the cell mixture with PBS (GIBCO Invtrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA). After washing, the ICG labeled cells were re-suspended into PBS and a fixed number of cells (1 x 106 cells/100 µL) were injected via tail vein. For near-infrared (NIR) fluorescence imaging, lungs were dissected at 1, 2 and 7 days after injection. The lungs were placed in a chamber sealed against light and connected to the cold charge-coupled device (CCD) camera (Tecco ERG; Hamatsu Photonics, Hamamatsu City, Japan). NIR images of lungs were acquired using a 760-nm LED light as the excitation light source and an 845/55 emission filter.

Statistical analysis. Student’s t-tests were applied for comparisons. All data are expressed as mean ± SD. The significance threshold was at a level of 5% (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001). All the experiments were repeated three times.

Results

Downregulation of RAP80 induces epithelial–mesenchymal transition phenotypes. To investigate the role of RAP80 on EMT, RAP80 knockdown cells were generated by the stable expression of RAP80 shRNA in HELa cells using shRAP80 lentivirus to test if EMT signal is induced. In RAP80 knockdowned cells, vimentin and c-myc (mesenchymal specific proteins) are increased; in contrast, E-cadherin (a epithelial specific protein) is markedly decreased (Fig. 1a). We also show that vimentin mRNA transcript is increased by RAP80 knockdown (Fig. 1b). However, N-cadherin is barely detected and not changed by Rap80 knockdown (Fig. 1a). Among four independent Rap80 knockdown cells, we selected two independent Rap80 knockdown cells (shRap80-1 and shRap80-2) for further analysis. First, RAP80 knockdown cell lines were tested for morphological changes induced by EMT. As shown in Figure 2(a), RAP80 knockdown cells tend to shrink and detach from surfaces to become globular, indicating that loss of RAP80 induces EMT-like morphological changes. To find further evidence for the induction of EMT in RAP80 knockdown cells, we tested anchorage independent growth and tumor sphere formation, another characteristic change of EMT.23 Anchorage independent growth and tumor sphere formation were tested with cells grown in poly-HEMA (poly-hydroxyethyl methacrylate)-treated surfaces to prevent cell adhesion. Under non-adhesive culture conditions, the number
and morphology of tumor spheres with control and RAP80 knockdown cells were analyzed. As shown in Figure 2(b,c), the number of tumor spheres is greatly increased in the shRAP80-1 and the shRAP80-2 cell lines, indicating EMT induction in RAP80 knockdown cells. In addition, the morphology of spheres is different in control compared to RAP80 knockdown cells. The control vector-infected cells formed small spheres that were round with tightly connected cells (Fig. 2b, left panel). In contrast, RAP80 knockdown cells (shRAP80-1 and shRAP80-2) formed large spheres that were perfectly round and, instead, were loosely connected and easily dissociated (Fig. 2b middle and right panel). To confirm cell viability under non-adhesive culture conditions, MTT assay was performed. As shown in Figure 2(d), RAP80 knockdown cells showed significant increase of cell viability under non-adhesive culture conditions, indicating that loss of RAP80 induces anchorage-independent cell growth. Together, the loss of RAP80 expression induces EMT-related cellular phenotypes, such as tumor sphere formation and anchorage-independent growth.

ZEB1 is increased in RAP80 knockdown cells inducing epithelial–mesenchymal transition signaling. Epithelial–mesenchymal transition is an initial process of metastasis for malignant cancer progression, governed by a variety of regulators. Among EMT regulators, ZEB1 has been recently identified as an important regulator of EMT but also a regulator of radiosensitivity and DNA damage response. Because RAP80 is a well-known regulator of DNA damage response, ZEB1 may be the intersection between EMT and DNA damage response. As shown in Figure 3(a), the protein level of ZEB1 increases in two independent RAP80 knockdown cell lines (shRAP80-1 and shRAP80-2). ZEB1 is a critical transcriptional regulator for EMT signaling proteins such as E-cadherin, p16 and...
EMT signaling. miR200c-ZEB1 regulatory axis plays an important role in cancer cell line (Fig. S1). Taken together, the RAP80-marker is decreased by RAP80 knockdown in MCF7, a breast cell expressed RAP80. We also show that E-cadherin (epithelial EMT signaling. However, N-cadherin is not affected by ecto-RAP80, indicating that RAP80 plays an inhibitory role on decreased and E-cadherin is increased by ectopic expressed RAP80, showing significant decrease of E-cadherin, p16 and p21 mRNA, correlated with relatively high expression of ZEB1 in RAP80 knockdown cell lines. Importantly, ZEB1 has been recently reported as a reciprocal repressor of miR200c, promoting EMT and cancer cell invasion. As shown in the bottom panel of Figure 3(b), miR200c is also significantly repressed in RAP80 knockdown cell lines. To consolidate negative correlation of RAP80 and ZEB1, we analyze the expression of RAP80 and ZEB1 in 42 lung cancer cell lines using the Body Atlas database from Nextbio Research. As shown in Figure 4(a) and Table S2, RAP80 expression is negatively correlated with ZEB1 expression, suggesting a RAP80-ZEB1 regulatory axis for EMT. To support this axis, we analyze the protein level of RAP80, ZEB1 and vimentin in lung cancer cell lines (H1650, H1975 and H2030). As shown in Figure 4(b), H1975 show the highest expression of RAP80, with lowest expression of ZEB1 and vimentin, indicating that RAP80 would suppress ZEB1 and vimentin expression. However, E-cadherin and N-cadherin expression is not correlated with RAP80 and ZEB1, probably due to cell type variability. To further support the RAP80-ZEB1 regulatory axis for EMT, we test if overexpressed RAP80 could suppress ZEB1 and vimentin expression in H1650 that has the lowest RAP80 protein level. As shown in Figure 4(c), ZEB1 and vimentin are decreased and E-cadherin is increased by ectopic expressed RAP80, indicating that RAP80 plays an inhibitory role on EMT signaling. However, N-cadherin is not affected by ecto-expressed RAP80. We also show that E-cadherin (epithelial marker) is decreased by RAP80 knockdown in MCF7, a breast cancer cell line (Fig. S1). Taken together, the RAP80-miR200c-ZEB1 regulatory axis plays an important role in EMT signaling.

**Downregulation of RAP80 induces metastasis and makes cancer cell malignant.** Metastasis and malignancy of cancer has been closely related with EMT activation. Because we show that EMT is activated by RAP80 knockdown, it is important to determine whether metastasis is also regulated by RAP80. To investigate the role of RAP80 on metastasis, control and RAP80 knockdown cells were labeled with ICG for NIR imaging and injected i.v. into Balb/C nude mice to analyze the amount of metastasis into the lung. As shown in Figure 5, RAP80 knockdown cells largely accumulated in the lung at 1, 2 and 7 days after injection, compared with control vector-infected cell injected mice. These data also indicate that the downregulation of RAP80 activates metastasis to the lung.

To test malignancy of RAP80 knockdown HeLa cells, we i.v. injected control and RAP80 knockdown HeLa cells into Balb/C nude mice, killing mice at 1, 2, 7, 15 and 25 days after injection to analyze cancer malignancy in the lung. As shown in Figure 6(a), the lung of RAP80 knockdown cell-injected mice showed increase in metastatic nodule number at 7, 14 and 25 days after injection and completely collapsed at 25 days after injection. Histological analysis (H&E staining) showed a large accumulation of non-alveolar cells in alveoli structure at 1, 2, 7 and 14 days after injection of RAP80 knockdown cells, indicating that shRAP80-2 cells accumulated in the lung (Fig. 6b).
Importantly, the size and number of metastasized cancer nodules are greatly increased in the lung of shRAP80-2-injected mice (Fig. 6b). To consolidate the conclusion, we performed the same Xenograft experiment and periodically checked body weight for 15 days after injection. As shown in Figure 6(c), shRAP80-2 injected mice showed significant decrease of body weight, indicating health problems. At 15 days after injection, all mice are killed for further analysis. As shown in Figure S2(a), shRAP80-2-injected mice showed a hunchback phenotype, indicating severe muscle weakness, probably due to cancer cachexia. For a statistical analysis of metastatic nodules, we dissected the lungs (Fig. S2b) and counted the number of metastatic nodules. As shown in Figure 6(c), the shRAP80-2-injected group showed a significant increase of metastatic nodules in the lung. Together, the downregulation of RAP80 induces metastasis and makes cancer cells more malignant.

**RAP80 expression level is positively correlated with survival rate in breast and lung cancer patients.** To investigate the prognostic significance of RAP80 in human cancer, a survival analysis was performed with large clinical datasets from The Cancer Genome Atlas. For survival analysis, the samples were divided into two groups (low and high) according to the expression of RAP80. By Kaplan–Meier analysis, the group expressing low levels of RAP80 showed significantly worse outcomes for survival than the high-expressing groups in breast cancer (Fig. 7a) and lung adenocarcinoma patients (Fig. 7b). Together, the expression level of RAP80 is positively associated with overall survival in breast cancer and lung adenocarcinoma patients.

**Discussion**

For several decades, radiation therapy and DNA-damaging drugs have been used as an effective treatment for many different cancers, which cause single-strand and double-strand DNA breaks leading to cancer cell death. Although DNA
damage-based cancer treatments are effective, after treatment, resistant tumor cells arise and show metastatic properties with more malignant phenotypes. Despite the close association of DNA damage response and EMT-induced metastasis, the converging molecular mechanism of DDR and EMT is largely unknown.

In the present study, we show that EMT is induced by the downregulation of the well-known DNA damage response regulator, RAP80. Although RAP80 is a critical regulator for DNA damage response, RAP80 knockdown itself did not cause a detectable amount of DNA damage response in previous studies (27) and our result (Fig. 3a, γ-H2AX) suggests another regulatory mechanism. Of note, RAP80 has been reported as the nuclear transcriptional regulator (28) and we confirmed the nuclear localization of RAP80 (Fig. S3). ATM was found to stabilize ZEB1, in response to ionizing radiation-induced DNA damage, promoting DNA damage response and radioresistance. (17) Of note, ZEB1 has been extensively studied as a critical transcriptional regulator for EMT development. (29,30) Here, we first show that ZEB1 is increased and its reciprocal inhibitor miR200c is decreased in RAP80 knockdown cells, indicating that RAP80 regulates the miR200c-ZEB1 regulatory axis to activate the EMT signaling pathway (Fig. 3).

Epithelial–mesenchymal transition has often been associated with invasive metastasis and cancer malignancy. Along this line, we show that RAP80 knockdown cells invasively metastasize to the lung and generate more malignant tumors compared with control vector-infected cells (Figs 5 and 6).

Importantly, the patient group with low level RAP80 expression showed poor prognosis in clinical datasets of lung adenocarcinoma and breast cancer, suggesting that the downregulation of RAP80 is correlated with progression and malignancy of cancer (Fig. 7).

In summary, we demonstrate the downregulation of RAP80 activating EMT through reciprocal regulators, miR200c and ZEB1, promoting pulmonary metastasis of cancer cells. Of note, the level of RAP80 was found to negatively correlate with the survival rate in lung adenocarcinoma and breast cancer patients. These findings indicate that RAP80 is a critical gatekeeper to impede metastasis of cancer as well as to secure DNA integrity.
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Supporting Information

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article:

- **Fig. S1.** The effects of RAP80 in MCF7, a breast cancer cell line. MCF7 cells were transfected with shRAP80-1 and Sh-RAP80-2 and subjected to western analysis using anti-RAP80, E-cadherin and β-actin.

- **Fig. S2.** RAP80 knockdown cells generate malignant tumor in lung at 15 days after i.v. injection. (a) The image of mice after 15 days injection of control and shRAP80-2 Hela cells ($1 \times 10^5$ cells/injection). (b) The bright field image of mouse lungs at 15 days after injection of control and shRAP80-2 Hela cells ($1 \times 10^5$ cells/injection).

- **Fig. S3.** RAP80 localized in nuclear. HeLa cells were immunostained with anti-RAP80 antibody (green) and DAPI (blue).

- **Table S1.** Primer sequences for RT-qPCR.

- **Table S2.** RAP80 and ZEB1 expression in various lung cancer cell lines from Body Atlas expression database of Nextbio.