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Abstract

**Background:** Since 2004, Brazil has had a national policy for occupational health and safety. This policy means companies' tax burden is altered according to the numbers of work-related accidents and ill-health amongst their workers. In 2010, a multiplication factor was introduced to this policy, called the Accident Prevention Factor. The idea of this new multiplication factor is to encourage individual employers to take initiatives to prevent accidents and ill health in the workplace. This study was designed to investigate the incidence of work-related accidents and ill-health in Brazil according to their causes, their severity, and the economic activity in which they occur, and to compare the data before and after the introduction of the Accident Prevention Factor.

**Methods:** An ecological study was conducted by analyzing the time series of work-related accidents/ill-health between 2008 and 2014 from the Brazilian social security system (Previdência Social) statistical yearbooks. Incidences were calculated per cause, economic activity, and severity of the accident/ill-health. Data from before and after the introduction of the Accident Prevention Factor were compared using the Mann-Whitney test per cause and per economic activity. Statistical analyses were made using the SPSS software, with significance set at 5%.

**Results:** A reduction in the incidence of work-related accidents/ill-health was found across all the groups of causes analyzed, except for the groups “external causes of morbidity and mortality” and “factors influencing health status or contact with health services.” Greater reductions were found for diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue and diseases of the nervous system. Reductions in work-related accidents/ill-health were found in the different economic activities and in the different severity groups. The highest reduction after the introduction of the Accident Prevention Factor was in manufacturing and production (p< 0.05).

**Conclusions:** Overall, the incidence of accidents/ill-health was found to be on decline, except those with external causes of morbidity and mortality and those involving factors influencing health status or contact with health services. The biggest reduction was found in manufacturing and production. However, generally speaking progress still needs to be made in accident prevention and occupational health across a whole range of work environments.

Background

Work is important for enhancing self-esteem, wellbeing, and social mobility [1]. However, accidents caused by or occurring during work activities are a major cause of impairments to workers' health and have serious social and economic repercussions [2].

Internationally, the cost of work-related accidents and ill-health is estimated at between 1.8% and 6.0% of gross domestic product [3]. Every year, around 2.3 million people die around the world from work-related causes. In Brazil, there are about 2,500 such deaths a year, which corresponds to one death every 3.5 hours [4].

According to the Brazilian social security department (Secretaria de Previdência Social), the country spent around 330 million reais in 2016 on work-related accidents/ill-health. This figure relates to the social security payments alone, excluding associated healthcare costs, which would bring the figure even higher.

Since 2004, Brazil has had a national policy for occupational health and safety (Política Nacional de Segurança e Saúde do Trabalhador) [5]. Developed after the passing of law 10.666 on May 8, 2003, this policy means companies’ tax burden is altered according to the numbers of work-related accidents and ill-health amongst their workers. It is designed to levy extra taxes from companies where occupational accidents/ill-health occur, making them contribute towards the social security payments made to the affected workers [5].

All companies are divided into three brackets according to the risk factor inherent to their line of business: 1% for low-risk activities, 2% for medium-risk activities, and 3% for high-risk activities. In 2010, a multiplication factor was introduced to this policy, called the Accident Prevention Factor. Essentially, the risk factors at which companies are taxed, as described above (1%, 2% or 3%), are multiplied by this new factor, which varies according to the frequency of the accidents/ill-health actually recorded by a company, ranging from 0.5 to 2.0 [5].
The idea of this new multiplication factor is to encourage individual employers to take initiatives to prevent accidents and ill health in the workplace. It aims to reduce accident rates according to the rationale of “bonus malus,” whereby employers responsible for more accidents/ill-health are penalized by paying higher taxes than other companies in the same line of business whose accident/ill-health rates are lower.

Researchers have analyzed the sociodemographic, economic, and cultural aspects of work-related accidents and ill-health [6, 7, 8, 9]. However, little has been done to analyze the effects of policies designed to prevent them [10].

In view of the need to understand in greater depth the scenario of work-related accidents/ill-health in Brazil since the introduction of the Accident Prevention Factor and to help improve policies related to occupational health and safety, the aim of this study was to ascertain the incidences in work-related accidents/ill-health in Brazil from 2008 to 2014, looking at their causes, their severity, and the economic sectors in which they occur, while also comparing the data on incidence rates before and after the introduction of the Accident Prevention Factor.

**Methods**

An ecological study was carried out using a time series from 2008 to 2014 with data from the Brazilian government’s social security platform (http://www3.dataprev.gov.br/aeat/) [11] and statistical yearbooks (http://www.previdencia.gov.br/dados-abertos/sst/) [12]. Data on work-related accidents and ill-health suffered by workers covered by the social security system were collected on October 6, 2016. The frequency of such cases was analyzed according to their causes, their severity, and the economic activities involved.

To analyze the causes of accidents/ill health, the data were classified under the groups with the highest prevalence rates, using the International Statistical Classification of Diseases (ICD-10) to classify the groups. To study the activities undertaken by the workers, the data were grouped according to Brazil's national classification of economic activities (Classificação Nacional de Atividades Econômicas, CNAE 2.0), again identifying the groups with the highest prevalence proportions of work-related accidents/ill-health. Finally, to classify severity, the categories used were injury/illness for which medical attention was required, injury/illness resulting in less than 15 days off work, injury/illness resulting in more than 15 days off work, permanent disability, and death.

The incidence rates for each group were calculated by the number of new cases of work-related accidents/ill-health divided by the number of workers covered by the social security system multiplied by 1000. The incidence rates of each group of analysis at the beginning and end of the time series were compared. Also, the accumulated incidence rates were calculated by dividing the total number of accidents/ill-health by the total number of workers covered by the social security system in the same period. The calculations were done for the periods before and after the introduction of the Accident Prevention Factor.

The incidence rates of work-related accidents/ill-health before (2008 and 2009) and after (2011 to 2014) the introduction of the Accident Prevention Factor were compared using the Mann-Whitney test per cause and per economic activity. This test was used because the data were found not to have normal distribution, according to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (p > 0.05), as the comparison was done considering just the five most prevalent causes and occupations in each group (small n) and the quantity of data was different in the period before (n = 10) and after (n = 20) the introduction of the policy. The analyses were conducted using the Statistical Package for the Social Science (SPSS), version 22, with significance set at 5%.

As this study used secondary data publicly available on the internet, it was not submitted for approval by the research ethics committee.

**Results**

In the analysis of the causes, a reduction was observed in the incidence of injuries, poisoning, and certain other consequences of external causes; diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue; mental and behavioral disorders; and diseases of the nervous system. However, the incidence of cases involving external causes of morbidity and mortality and factors influencing health status or contact with health services rose (Table 1).
The items grouped under injury, poisoning or certain other consequences of external causes had the highest incidence rates, especially injuries to the wrist or hand, which was also the type of injury that was most common compared to the other specific causes. The second most common group of causes was diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue, especially work-related back pain. External causes of morbidity and mortality were the third highest, featuring being bitten or struck by a dog. Mental and behavioral disorders took fourth place, especially reactions to severe stress and adjustment disorders. In fifth place came diseases of the nervous system, where the main causes were mononeuropathies of an upper limb. This group, together with diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue, saw the greatest reductions in the rates of work-related accidents over the period studied. The lowest incidence rates of work-related accident/ill-health were found for factors influencing health status or contact with health services, the highest of which was due to contact with and exposure to communicable diseases. This was the group that witnessed the highest increase in the incidence of work-related injury/ill-health (Table 1).
As for the specific causes of accidents/ill-health, the highest reductions came in synovitis and tenosynovitis; epilepsy; mononeuropathies of a lower limb; assault by being cut or pierced; and depressive episodes. On the other hand, some causes increased, specifically problems related to other psychosocial circumstances; general examination or investigation of persons without complaint or reported diagnosis.
without complaint or reported diagnosis; examination or observation for other reasons; nerve root and plexus compressions in diseases classified elsewhere; and contact with and exposure to communicable diseases.

A comparison of the accumulated incidence rates before and after the introduction of the Accident Prevention Factor revealed a drop in the following macro groups: injury, poisoning or certain other consequences of external causes; diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue; mental and behavioral disorders; and diseases of the nervous system. In the same comparison, an increase was found in external causes of morbidity or mortality and factors influencing health status or contact with health services (Table 2). No statistical difference was identified when it came to the distribution of the accidents in these groups (p > 0.05).

| Causes of Accidents                                      | Accumulated Incidence 2008–2009 | Accumulated Incidence 2011–2014 | Ratio of Accumulated Incidence | Mann-Whitney Test | p   |
|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----|
| Injury, poisoning or certain other consequences of external causes | 0.0128                           | 0.0106                          | 0.8309                         | 0.40              |     |
| Diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue | 0.0033                           | 0.0022                          | 0.6575                         | 0.17              |     |
| External causes of morbidity or mortality                 | 0.4467                           | 0.4575                          | 1.0240                         | 0.95              |     |
| Mental and behavioral disorders                            | 0.0004                           | 0.0003                          | 0.8621                         | 0.78              |     |
| Diseases of the nervous system                             | 0.0002                           | 0.0001                          | 0.6640                         | 0.14              |     |
| Factors influencing health status or contact with health services | 0.0002                           | 0.0004                          | 1.7428                         | 0.11              |     |

As for the economic activities involved, a reduction in work-related accidents and ill-health was found across all the groups studied (Table 3). Higher incidence rates were found in manufacturing and production, especially jobs involved in the slaughter of four-footed livestock (except pigs) (Table 3). The second highest rates were in human health and social services, especially hospital care. This group showed the lowest percentage drop in work-related accidents/ill-health (Table 3). The third highest rate was found in the area of transportation, storage, and mail services, with mail services being the area associated with the highest accident/ill-health rates. The construction sector had the fourth highest rate, with the highest values being encountered in construction work in power generation and distribution and telecommunications. The lowest rates were identified in the trade in and repair of motor vehicles, especially beverage wholesale activities (Table 3).
The specific economic activities that saw the biggest drop in accident/illness rates were clothing manufacture (except underwear), unrefined sugar production, and municipal and metropolitan mass passenger road transportation with fixed itineraries. Meanwhile, the specific activities where accident/ill-health rates rose were: outpatient medical and dental care, mail services, construction work in power generation and distribution and telecommunications, and health care services not specified elsewhere.

When the incidence rates were analyzed per economic activity for the periods before and after the introduction of the Accident Prevention Factor, no statistically significant difference was found in the distribution of the work-related accidents/ill-health (p > 0.05) except in manufacturing and production (Table 4).

### Table 4

- Accumulated incidence of work-related accidents/ill-health in Brazil per economic activity (per 1000)

| Occupation                                                                 | 2008  | 2009  | 2010  | 2011  | 2012  | 2013  | 2014  | Difference between 2008 and 2014 (%) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------------------------|
| Manufacturing and production                                              | 39.29 | 34.87 | 30.91 | 30.09 | 28.64 | 28.40 | 23.74 | -39.59                              |
| -- Unrefined sugar production                                             | 72.63 | 62.47 | 54.27 | 47.67 | 43.49 | 36.73 | 29.92 | -58.80                              |
| -- Slaughter of pigs, poultry, and other small livestock                  | 58.69 | 59.15 | 47.99 | 42.84 | 47.37 | 41.21 | 39.48 | -32.74                              |
| -- Manufacture of parts and accessories for automotive vehicles not specified elsewhere | 59.34 | 46.60 | 39.46 | 38.59 | 34.84 | 34.85 | 28.57 | -51.86                              |
| -- Slaughter of four-footed livestock, except pigs                        | 76.22 | 66.85 | 59.49 | 56.11 | 51.32 | 51.79 | 40.95 | -46.27                              |
| -- Manufacture of clothing, except underwear                              | 19.29 | 19.16 | 13.65 | 12.66 | 10.56 | 10.58 | 6.03  | -68.75                              |
| Trade in and repair of motor vehicles                                     | 13.51 | 12.61 | 11.55 | 11.26 | 10.53 | 10.63 | 8.33  | -38.31                              |
| -- Retail of goods in general, especially foodstuffs - hypermarkets and supermarkets | 23.60 | 22.97 | 20.98 | 20.95 | 19.35 | 19.34 | 15.52 | -34.24                              |
| -- Retail of hardware, timber, and construction materials                 | 16.04 | 14.97 | 13.98 | 13.05 | 12.55 | 12.28 | 9.32  | -41.88                              |
| -- Trade in parts and accessories for motor vehicles                      | 15.60 | 14.44 | 13.16 | 12.58 | 11.48 | 11.80 | 9.15  | -41.35                              |
| -- Retail in other new products not specified elsewhere                   | 10.04 | 9.22  | 8.25  | 7.80  | 7.14  | 7.66  | 6.14  | -38.83                              |
| -- Wholesale of beverages                                                 | 40.50 | 40.72 | 38.19 | 35.56 | 34.08 | 32.04 | 24.22 | -40.19                              |
| Human health and social services                                          | 36.76 | 37.27 | 35.98 | 35.68 | 35.04 | 35.42 | 32.47 | -11.67                              |
| -- Hospital care activities                                               | 54.79 | 56.19 | 54.45 | 53.64 | 53.28 | 52.85 | 48.35 | -11.75                              |
| -- Auxiliary diagnostics and therapeutic services                         | 23.22 | 22.01 | 18.45 | 17.17 | 17.54 | 17.37 | 16.46 | -29.11                              |
| -- Outpatient medical and dental care                                     | 6.40  | 7.51  | 9.04  | 10.17 | 9.61  | 10.94 | 10.41 | 62.66                                |
| -- Health care services not specified elsewhere                            | 20.94 | 19.92 | 21.15 | 21.04 | 19.87 | 23.42 | 23.45 | 11.96                                |
| -- Community care services                                                | 16.49 | 16.57 | 15.02 | 15.53 | 14.86 | 16.08 | 13.33 | -19.15                              |
| Civil construction                                                        | 26.59 | 25.06 | 21.23 | 20.77 | 21.28 | 20.17 | 16.78 | -36.89                              |
| -- Building construction                                                  | 24.62 | 22.63 | 18.96 | 19.46 | 19.60 | 18.81 | 13.90 | -43.55                              |
| -- Construction work in power generation and distribution and telecommunications | 38.05 | 36.00 | 33.52 | 30.65 | 31.44 | 33.07 | 42.78 | 12.43                                |
| -- Road and railroad building                                             | 33.79 | 29.27 | 28.48 | 26.75 | 29.30 | 25.49 | 22.85 | -32.39                              |
| -- Civil construction not specified elsewhere                              | 24.39 | 25.72 | 22.77 | 17.37 | 19.94 | 16.05 | 14.71 | -39.72                              |
| -- Real estate development                                                | 23.45 | 25.96 | 20.78 | 24.53 | 27.60 | 27.47 | 20.54 | -12.41                              |
| Transportation, storage, and mail services                                | 28.50 | 27.37 | 24.83 | 23.53 | 23.51 | 23.38 | 18.57 | -34.86                              |
| -- Road freight transportation                                            | 27.06 | 25.68 | 22.94 | 21.30 | 20.59 | 19.83 | 14.73 | -45.55                              |
| -- Mail services                                                          | 83.00 | 85.71 | 89.71 | 90.34 | 105.39| 110.49| 98.66 | 18.86                                |
| -- Inter-municipal, inter-state, and international mass passenger road transportation with fixed itineraries | 70.34 | 69.88 | 58.99 | 55.80 | 51.97 | 52.20 | 33.53 | -52.34                              |
| -- Storage                                                                | 31.59 | 31.10 | 28.41 | 25.58 | 23.47 | 22.20 | 20.00 | -36.67                              |
| -- Municipal and metropolitan mass passenger road transportation with fixed itineraries | 5.33  | 5.08  | 4.20  | 3.93  | 3.51  | 3.62  | 2.20  | -58.66                              |
| Economic Activities                     | Accumulated Incidence 2008–2009 | Accumulated Incidence 2011–2014 | Ratio of Accumulated Incidence Rates | Mann-Whitney Test p |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Manufacturing and production           | 0.0370                           | 0.0277                           | 0.7475                               | 0.005              |
| Trade in and repair of motor vehicles  | 0.0130                           | 0.0101                           | 0.7782                               | 0.15               |
| Human health and social services       | 0.0370                           | 0.0345                           | 0.9340                               | 0.62               |
| Civil construction                     | 0.0257                           | 0.0197                           | 0.7657                               | 0.12               |
| Transportation, storage, and mail services | 0.0279                           | 0.0221                           | 0.7944                               | 0.40               |

The incidence rates were also analyzed according to the different levels of severity (Table 5). A reduction was found in the incidence in all the categories of severity, with the biggest reduction being found in accidents/ill-health resulting in over 15 days off work.

| Consequence                          | 2008  | 2009  | 2010  | 2011  | 2012  | 2013  | 2014  | Difference between 2008 and 2014 (%) |
|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------------------------------------|
| Medical attention                    | 2.67  | 2.50  | 2.20  | 2.19  | 2.30  | 2.24  | 2.16  | -19.14                              |
| Less than 15 days off work           | 8.06  | 7.45  | 6.81  | 6.69  | 6.69  | 6.85  | 7.01  | -13.02                              |
| More than 15 days off work           | 8.51  | 7.89  | 6.95  | 6.52  | 6.07  | 5.75  | 5.08  | -40.35                              |
| Permanent disability                 | 0.33  | 0.35  | 0.32  | 0.32  | 0.36  | 0.35  | 0.28  | -15.96                              |
| Death                                | 0.07  | 0.06  | 0.06  | 0.06  | 0.06  | 0.06  | 0.06  | -21.40                              |

**Discussion**

The reduction in the incidence of work-related accidents and ill-health in Brazil in recent years may be related to public policies designed to protect workers’ health and safety [13, 14, 15]. However, the reduction was not homogeneous across all the main causes of accidents and ill-health. A larger reduction can be seen in the groups related to injury, poisoning or certain other consequences of external causes; diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue; mental and behavioral disorders; and diseases of the nervous system.

The causes of accidents with the highest incidence rates over the period in question are injury, poisoning or certain other consequences of external causes. These include traffic accidents involving people engaged in work activities, as well as assaults and attacks, reflecting the overall upsurge in urban violence [16].

Back pain was the most common item in the group of work-related accidents/illness associated to diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue. This indicates the existence of a serious public health issue caused by this kind of ill-health, which can impair the well-being of the economically active population at some time in their lives [17]. Meanwhile, work-related synovitis and tenosynovitis was found to be common. Although its incidence dropped in the period under study, this could be associated with underreporting [18].

The results for work-related mental disorders were found to diverge from the numbers reported in the literature, where an upward trend has been found [19, 20]. Brazilian legislation already addresses the subjectivity of workplace analyses, but the findings cannot yet be seen in the analysis of the incidence of cases of mental health issues [21]. This situation could contribute to the higher rates of reactions to severe stress and adjustment disorders encountered in this study.

As for the incidence of work-related ill-health affecting the nervous system, the most common are mononeuropathies of an upper limb. This finding is consistent with the literature [22]. Interestingly, the increased incidence of nerve root and plexus compressions in diseases classified elsewhere could be related to the introduction of a new method in 2007 by the social security system.
designed to identify which diseases and accidents are related to which kinds of occupations, thereby helping to improve the identification and notification of such cases [23].

An increase was observed in the incidence rates of external causes of morbidity and mortality and factors influencing health status or contact with health services.

Among the external causes of morbidity and mortality, the biggest cause of work-related accidents was being bitten or struck by a dog. This kind of accident is common amongst workers who work in public places, such as mail carriers, community health agents, etc., demonstrating the need for preventive measures for these kinds of activities [24, 25].

Work-related accidents relating to factors influencing health status or contact with health services saw the highest growth. This was found for all the specific causes contained in this group. Constant exposure to biological risks due to contact with bodily secretions and the handling of potentially contaminated material could play a part in this trend. Increasingly inadequate work environments associated with the fear of contamination arising from accidents mean that the reporting of this kind of accident is more consistent [6]. The increased incidence of accidents taking place during outpatient medical and dental care seems to be related to this. Another question observed in this group was the increased incidence of problems related to other psychosocial circumstances. Failure to observe standard procedures to prevent psychosocial risks in the workplace could have contributed to the increased incidence [26].

The results demonstrate a higher frequency of accidents in manufacturing and production, corroborating the findings of other authors [27, 28]. Financial incentives offered to workers to increase their productivity run directly counter to accident prevention policies. This is borne out in the high rates of accidents in industrial activities [29]. However, manufacturing and production were also the group with the greatest reduction in work-related accidents/ill-health.

In manufacturing and production, one of the workplaces where most accidents occur is at abattoirs. This could have to do with the working conditions and work relations in this sector, indicating the need to make consistent improvements to workers' health and safety [30].

Jobs in human health and social services were the ones that had the second highest rate of occupational accidents and ill-health, topped by hospital care. High levels of stress are common in such activities, related to emotional ties to patients and their relatives, working night shifts, working more than one job, and working excessively long hours [31, 32, 33]. These factors not only increase the likelihood of accidents, but also impair workers’ quality of life [34, 35, 36]. Jobs involving the provision of human health care could be improved if actions included more effective standard practices for accident/disease prevention and if these were designed with the active participation of the workers in question [37].

In transportation, storage, and mail services, mail services were the activity with the highest rates and an upward trend in the period under study. Adequate preventive policies and measures are needed to deal with this kind of activity, which is often undertaken in unfavorable social and environmental conditions and involves repetitive solitary actions over long periods of time, increasing the likelihood of accidents [25].

In the area of civil construction, the high and growing incidence of accidents in the areas of power generation and distribution and telecommunications indicate a reality that needs to be addressed. The outsourcing of construction work for big projects of this kind has contributed to a rationale whereby the employer gains financially, while worker safety issues are underplayed [38]. Also, construction work in power generation and distribution and telecommunications is associated with the most serious accidents, resulting in death, pointing to the need for greater attention on the part of government inspectors in these areas [39].

In the trade in and repair of motor vehicles, the highest accident/ill-health rates were found in workers engaged in the wholesale of beverages. According to the literature, this is an activity that involves the transportation of goods. The high rates call not just for preventive actions for workers' health, but also inter-sectoral policies to help prevent traffic accidents [40].

In view of the limitations of the method used in this study, it would be worthwhile doing new research to ascertain what the most frequent causes of the work-related accidents in each economic activity are. This information could be used by policymakers to improve occupational accident prevention policies in a more targeted manner.
If we compare the rates of work-related accidents before and after the introduction of the Accident Prevention Factor, the only significant difference can be found in manufacturing and production. This suggests investments are still required to reduce work-related accidents in most sectors of the economy.

Brazil does not seem to be keeping pace with the trends in health and safety in developed countries, where occupational ill-health is on the rise, but accidents are on the decline – a situation that is typical of structural change and preventive actions targeting the workplace [3]. One way accident rates could be improved, as reported in the literature, would be to bring about increased integration between the stakeholders, including employers, workers, and government institutions [41].

It should be pointed out that the Accident Prevention Factor targets the frequency and severity of accidents, but does not discuss issues intrinsically related to occupational health and safety, which could lead to the underreporting of accidents and limitations in tackling specific preventive measures in certain occupations [14, 42]. Furthermore, investigations of work-related accidents in Brazil are conducted using a variety of methods. The quality of these investigations needs to be improved, with the adoption of standard forms and procedures for recording accidents so as to build up a better picture of how they happen and thus to plan more effective prevention measures, resulting in reduced incidence rates [43, 44].

As for the severity of the accidents and illnesses, an overall reduction was observed. In the figures on accidents that require medical attention, underreporting could be at play, with employers choosing not to report minor injuries. Furthermore, since the Accident Prevention Factors mean they are liable to pay higher taxes if a workplace injury occurs, this may reinforce their inclination not to report [33].

When it comes to more serious accidents, including those resulting in death, underreporting is less likely given the broader repercussions and the investigations triggered in such cases [16, 31, 32]. We would therefore suggest that the Accident Prevention Factor has had the effect of reducing cases of more serious accidents because of the financial implications for employers. The decline observed in the incidence of accidents resulting in death is also supported by the literature [14].

The falling rates of work-related accidents/ill-health resulting in time off work and permanent disability are indicative of measures being taken to improve working conditions. However, more studies are needed to identify more precisely which components are responsible for bringing about this change [15].

The limitations of this study include the potential for underreporting of accidents given the composition of the database. Also, the time series was limited to 2008–2014. Data from before 2008 were not included because of the way work-related accidents/ill-health are reported, which changed in May 2007. Data from after 2014 had not yet been consolidated by the social security system when the data were collected for this study. Another limitation is that the database does not include people in informal employment and the analysis covered only accidents/ill-health in the groups associated with the highest accident/illness rates (both cause of accident/ill health and economic activity). In each group analyzed, the study also only presented the causes and economic activities with the highest incidence rates. As such, ongoing studies are recommended to get a better understanding of how work-related accidents and ill-health evolve over the years.

**Conclusion**

In the period under study, the incidence of accidents and ill-health mostly diminished, except for those involving external causes of morbidity and mortality and factors influencing health status or contact with health services. In the analysis, the severity of the accidents/ill-health was found to be on the decline in all the categories evaluated. However, a significant reduction in work-related accidents after the introduction of the Accident Prevention Factor was only found for manufacturing and production activities. This could well be related to the fact that this policy is based on broad principles that do not take into account particularities linked to each type of cause and economic activity in each working environment. In this respect, the policy could be improved. Progress could be made in the quality of accident investigations, in the way accidents are recorded and analyzed, and in identifying the specific root causes behind each activity so as to pinpoint actions according to each causal agent. This could help reduce accidents and ill-health in general.
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