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Correlation of amniotic fluid index with fetomaternal outcome
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ABSTRACT

Background: Amniotic fluid acts like a protective cover around the baby. Advances in ultrasound have increased early detection of abnormal amniotic fluid volumes. Any variation in the amniotic fluid volume warrants antenatal foetal surveillance.

Methods: 300 pregnant women between 37 to 40 weeks of gestation were included in the study. A detailed history, examination and ultrasound was done. Pregnant women were divided into 3 groups’ i.e. normal liquor, oligohydramnios and polyhydramnios. All the women were closely monitored during labour and puerperium. Follow-up was done till 7 days post-delivery. Maternal and neonatal data were collected.

Results: 300 pregnant women were included in the study, out of which 221 had normal amniotic fluid index (AFI), 64 had oligohydramnios and 15 had polyhydramnios. All baseline characteristics were comparable between the groups except body mass index (BMI). Incidence of meconium stained liquor was significantly higher in oligohydramnios compared to normal AFI and polyhydramnios groups (34.4% versus 10.5% versus 13.3%; p=0.0001). Caesarean section rates were significantly higher in polyhydramnios and oligohydramnios compared to normal AFI group (73.3% versus 70.3% versus 19.9%; p=0.0001). Higher cases of low birth weight were recorded in oligohydramnios compared to normal AFI and polyhydramnios groups (32.8% versus 18.6% versus 13.3%; p=0.011). Neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) admissions were higher in oligohydramnios (35.9%) and polyhydramnios (33.3%) compared to normal AFI group (35.9% versus 33.3% versus 12.7%; p=0.0001).

Conclusions: Abnormal liquor volumes are associated with increased caesarean section rates, NICU admissions and neonatal mortality. Careful assessment of pregnant women is imperative for proper counselling and management.
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INTRODUCTION

Amniotic fluid provides protection and mobility to the growing foetus. It is sustained by a dynamic balance between the foetal urine production, foetal swallowing and intra-membranous absorption. Adequate amniotic fluid is required for normal foetal lung maturation and musculoskeletal growth. Any variation in the amniotic fluid volume indicates difficulty in the foetal maturation or co-morbidities in the mother. With the advent of ultrasound, amniotic fluid volume assessment has become an integral part of antenatal examination. Amniotic fluid volume is assessed by ultrasound in terms of amniotic fluid index (AFI). Any changes in the AFI can cause harm to the growing fetus. Decreased amniotic fluid is termed as oligohydramnios and is associated with conditions like uteroplacental insufficiency, pregnancy induced hypertension, diabetes, congenital anomalies and foetal growth restriction. Similarly, polyhydramnios means increased amniotic fluid is associated with maternal diabetes, congenital anomalies.
and foetal hydrops.\textsuperscript{3,5} Both, oligohydramnios and polyhydramnios is thought to be associated with labour complications, resulting in increased perinatal mortality and morbidity.\textsuperscript{6}

Therefore, the present study was conducted to find out the feto-maternal outcome associated with amniotic liquor volume in a tertiary hospital in Karnataka, India.

METHODS

This is prospective observational study conducted at Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Vijayanagara Institute of Medical Sciences, Ballari from January 2018 to December 2018. Institutional ethical clearance was obtained (VIMS/STD/PG/IEC/18/2018-19).

All pregnant women approaching the labour room screened for eligibility. Single live intrauterine gestations between 37-40 weeks with intact membranes were included in the study. Previous uterine scar and congenital anomalies were excluded. Eligible cases were explained regarding the study and written consent taken. A total of 300 cases were included in the study. Non-stress test was done at admission. Detailed history was taken and ultrasound examination was done to assess the AFI. AFI was measured using Phelan’s four quadrant ultrasound technique. The uterus was arbitrarily divided into four quadrants by the umbilicus transversely and the linea nigra vertically. The largest vertical pocket free of foetal parts and umbilical cord loops in each quadrant was measured. Pregnant women were divided into 3 groups based on AFI i.e. oligohydramnios (AFI<5), polyhydramnios (AFI>25) and normal AFI (AFI=5-25). Close monitoring during labour and puerperium was done. Follow up was done till 7 days post-delivery. Maternal data and neonatal data were collected.

Collected data were entered into statistical package for the social sciences (SPSS) version 25 (IBM Corp., USA). For qualitative variables, data were expressed as number or percentages. Comparison of qualitative variables was done using Chi-square test and Fisher’s exact test. Student t test was used to compare the quantitative data in the study.

RESULTS

We studied 300 pregnant women, out of which 221 had normal AFI, 64 had oligohydramnios and 15 had polyhydramnios (Table 1). Mean maternal age was 22.51±3.17, 22.69±3.12 and 24.47±3.76 in normal AFI, oligohydramnios and polyhydramnios groups respectively. Mean BMI was significantly higher in polyhydramnios group (25.52±3.55) compared to normal AFI (23.85±2.66), oligohydramnios (23.31±2.41).

Incidence of primigravida was 59.7%, 71.9% and 46.7% in normal AFI, oligohydramnios and polyhydramnios groups respectively. Preclampsia was the most common comorbidity in normal AFI group (11.8%) and oligohydramnios group (21.9%). Gestational diabetes mellitus was the most common co-morbid condition in polyhydramnios group (26.7%).

Large number of pregnant women from normal AFI group underwent oxytocin induction compared to other groups (Table 2). Incidence of meconium stained liquor was significantly higher in oligohydramnios compared to normal AFI and polyhydramnios groups (34.4% versus 10.5% versus 13.3%; p=0.001). Caesarean section rates were significantly higher in Polyhydramnios and Oligohydramnios compared to normal AFI group (73.3% versus 70.3% versus 19.9%; p=0.0001).

Mean Neonatal body weight was statistically higher in polyhydramnios group compared to normal AFI group and oligohydramnios group (3.03±0.55 versus 2.76±0.39 versus 2.54±0.47; p=0.049) (Table 3). Higher cases of Low birth weight were recorded in oligohydramnios group compared to normal AFI and polyhydramnios group (32.8% versus 18.6% versus 13.3%; p=0.011). Comparing the APGAR scores at 1 and 5 minutes, higher number of neonates had low scores in polyhydramnios group compared to other groups. NICU admissions were higher in oligohydramnios (35.9%) and polyhydramnios (33.3%) compared to normal AFI group (35.9% versus 33.3% versus 12.7%; p=0.0001). Respiratory distress was the most common cause for NICU admission in all the groups. Early neonatal mortality rate was significantly higher in oligohydramnios and polyhydramnios groups compared to normal AFI group (0.5%, 6.25% and 6.7%; p=0.006).

Table 1: Baseline characteristics among different groups.

| Characteristics     | Normal (n=221) | Oligo-hydramnios (n=64) | Poly-hydramnios (n=15) | P value |
|---------------------|----------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Maternal age        | 22.51±3.17     | 22.69±3.12              | 24.47±3.76             | 0.073*  |
| Gestational age     | 38.93±0.96     | 38.96±1.18              | 38.39±1.14             | 0.127*  |
| BMI                 | 23.85±2.66     | 23.31±2.41              | 25.52±3.55             | 0.015*  |
| Hemoglobin (gm/dl)  | 10.4±1.4       | 10.6±1.2                | 10.8±1.2               | 0.541*  |
| <7                  | 4 (1.8)        | 0                       | 0                      |         |
| 7–10                | 88 (39.8)      | 22 (34.4)               | 5 (33.3)               | 0.450#  |
| 10-11               | 45 (20.3)      | 22 (34.4)               | 4 (26.6)               |         |
| >11                 | 84 (38)        | 20 (31.2)               | 6 (40)                 |         |
| Booked status       | 214 (96.8)     | 63 (98.4)               | 15 (100)               | 0.494*  |

Continued.
Table 2: Intrapartum data among the different groups.

| Intrapartum data                      | Normal  | Oligo-hydramnios | Poly-hydramnios | P value |
|---------------------------------------|---------|------------------|-----------------|---------|
| induction by Dinoprostone             | 10 (4.5)| 3 (4.6)          | 1 (6.6)         | 0.931#  |
| Oxytocin augmentation                 | 99 (44.7)| 15 (23.4)    | 3 (20)          | 0.03#   |
| Meconium stained                     | 23 (10.5)| 22 (34.4)     | 2 (13.3)        | 0.0001# |
| Clear liquor                          | 198 (89.5)| 42 (65.6)    | 13 (86.7)       |         |

Mode of delivery

| Indications for LSCS                  | Normal  | Oligo-hydramnios | Poly-hydramnios | P value |
|---------------------------------------|---------|------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Arrest of descent                     | 4 (9.1) | 2 (4.4)          | 2 (18.2)        |         |
| Cephalo-pelvic disproportion          | 7 (15.9)| 2 (4.4)          | 1 (9.1)         |         |
| Deep transverse arrest                | 0       | 1 (2.2)          | 0               | 0.259#  |
| Elective                              | 18 (40.9)| 23 (51.1)   | 4 (36.3)        |         |
| Fetal distress                        | 13 (29.5)| 16 (35.5)    | 3 (27.3)        |         |
| Non progression of labour             | 1 (2.3) | 0               | 1 (9.1)         |         |
| Second stage arrest                   | 1 (2.3) | 1 (2.2)         | 0               |         |

Data presented in n (%), #Kruskal Wallis test

Table 3: Neonatal data among different groups.

| Neonatal data                      | Normal  | Oligo-hydramnios | Poly-hydramnios | P value |
|------------------------------------|---------|------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Body weight (kg)                   |         |                  |                 |         |
| Mean±SD                            | 2.76 ± 0.39| 2.54 ± 0.47     | 3.03 ± 0.55     | 0.049*  |
| Normal (≥2.5)                      | 179 (80.9)| 42 (65.6)       | 12 (80)         |         |
| LBW (1.5-2.4)                      | 42 (19.1)| 21 (32.8)       | 2 (13.3)        |         |
| VLBW (<1.5)                        | 0       | 1 (1.5)          | 0               | 0.011#  |
| Macrosomia (>4)                    | 0       | 0                | 1 (6.7)         |         |
| APGAR score at 1 min               |         |                  |                 |         |
| Normal                             | 196 (88.7)| 51 (79.7)       | 10 (66.7)       |         |
| Low                                | 25 (11.3)| 13 (20.3)       | 5 (33.3)        | 0.019#  |
| APGAR score at 5 min               |         |                  |                 |         |
| Normal                             | 208 (94.1)| 55 (85.9)       | 11 (73.3)       |         |
| Low                                | 13 (5.9) | 9 (14.1)        | 4 (26.7)        | 0.005#  |
| NICU admission                     |         |                  |                 |         |
| Total                              | 31 (14.1)| 23 (35.9)       | 5 (33.3)        | 0.0001# |
| Respiratory distress               | 19 (61.3)| 13 (56.5)       | 2 (40)          |         |

Continued.
Various studies have shown a relationship between increased perinatal morbidity and mortality in pregnancy with abnormal liquor volume. Various studies have shown a relationship between increased perinatal morbidity and mortality in pregnancy with abnormal liquor volume. Various studies have shown a relationship between increased perinatal morbidity and mortality in pregnancy with abnormal liquor volume. Various studies have shown a relationship between increased perinatal morbidity and mortality in pregnancy with abnormal liquor volume. Various studies have shown a relationship between increased perinatal morbidity and mortality in pregnancy with abnormal liquor volume. Various studies have shown a relationship between increased perinatal morbidity and mortality in pregnancy with abnormal liquor volume. Various studies have shown a relationship between increased perinatal morbidity and mortality in pregnancy with abnormal liquor volume. However, in a study by Tajinder et al, 10.3% of oligohydramnios mothers delivered very low birth weight (VLBW) babies. This was higher compared to our study. This may be due to the exclusion of anomalous babies in our study.

DISCUSSION

In our study, majority of the patients had normal AFI. Frequency of normal liquor volume, oligohydramnios and polyhydramnios were 73.7%, 21.3% and 15%, respectively. All the baseline characteristics were comparable between the groups (Table 1) except BMI. BMI was higher in polyhydramnios group compared to oligohydramnios group. In concordance to our study, C. Choudary et al (33) documented that BMI was higher in polyhydramnios mothers compared to oligohydramnios mothers. In a study by Mathuriya et al, 10.3% of oligohydramnios mothers delivered very low birth weight (VLBW) babies. This was higher compared to our study. This may be due to the exclusion of anomalous babies in our study.

Early detection of abnormal liquor helps in decreasing the perinatal risks. In our study, Majority of the patients were booked at the hospital. 98.4% of oligohydramnios, 96.8% of normal AFI and 100% of polyhydramnios were booked cases. Better awareness and availability of hospital services may be the reason for higher booked cases.

Lower segment caesarean section (LSCS) rates were high for both oligohydramnios (70.3%) and polyhydramnios (73.3%) groups. In both the groups, elective LSCS was the most common indication followed by foetal distress. Similar findings were found in other studies for oligohydramnios mothers. However, many studies had lower rates of caesarean section. Our study had a higher number of elective caesarean section, which may be the reason for this conflicting finding. Comparison between the indications of LSCS was not possible, because different studies had diverse set of indication. Overall LSCS rate in our study was 33.3%.

Meconium liquor is recognized as potential for birth asphyxia. Only cases with thick meconium was documented, has it has association with perinatal outcome compared to thin meconium. In our study, 34.4% in oligohydramnios group had meconium stained liquor as compared to 10.4% in normal AFI group and 13.3% in the polyhydramnios group. In concordance to our study, Ravi et al (27%) and Choudary et al (33%) had similar observation of meconium stained liquor in oligohydramnios group (11,12).

Abnormal liquor volume has been recognized as a clinical hallmark of impending severe perinatal compromise.

| Neonatal data       | Normal | Oligo-hydramnios | Poly-hydramnios | P value |
|---------------------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Birth asphyxia      | 8 (25.8) | 6 (26.1) | 2 (40) | 0.346# |
| Fetal hypoglycaemia | 0      | 0                | 1 (10)         |         |
| Sepsis              | 4 (12.9) | 4 (17.4) | 0               |         |
| Total               | 1 (0.45) | 4 (6.25) | 1 (6.7)        | 0.006# |
| Birth asphyxia      | 0      | 1 (25)           | 1 (100)        |         |
| Meconium aspiration syndrome | 1 (100) | 1 (25) | 0               | 0.458# |
| Sepsis              | 0      | 2 (50)           | 0               |         |

Data presented in n (%) and mean±SD, *ANOVA, #Kruskal Wallis test

Neonatal mortality

| Neonatal mortality       | Normal | Oligo-hydramnios | Poly-hydramnios | P value |
|--------------------------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Total                    | 1 (0.45) | 4 (6.25) | 1 (6.7)        | 0.006# |
| Birth asphyxia           | 0      | 1 (25)           | 1 (100)        |         |
| Meconium aspiration      | 1 (100) | 1 (25) | 0               | 0.458# |
| syndrome                 |        |                  |                 |         |
| Sepsis                   | 0      | 2 (50)           | 0               |         |

DISCUSSION

In our study, majority of the patients had normal AFI. Frequency of normal liquor volume, oligohydramnios and polyhydramnios were 73.7%, 21.3% and 15%, respectively. All the baseline characteristics were comparable between the groups (Table 1) except BMI. BMI was higher in polyhydramnios group compared to oligohydramnios group. In concordance to our study, C. Choudary et al (33) documented that BMI was higher in polyhydramnios mothers compared to oligohydramnios mothers. In a study by Mathuriya et al, 10.3% of oligohydramnios mothers delivered very low birth weight (VLBW) babies. This was higher compared to our study. This may be due to the exclusion of anomalous babies in our study.

Early detection of abnormal liquor helps in decreasing the perinatal risks. In our study, Majority of the patients were booked at the hospital. 98.4% of oligohydramnios, 96.8% of normal AFI and 100% of polyhydramnios were booked cases. Better awareness and availability of hospital services may be the reason for higher booked cases.

Lower segment caesarean section (LSCS) rates were high for both oligohydramnios (70.3%) and polyhydramnios (73.3%) groups. In both the groups, elective LSCS was the most common indication followed by foetal distress. Similar findings were found in other studies for oligohydramnios mothers. However, many studies had lower rates of caesarean section. Our study had a higher number of elective caesarean section, which may be the reason for this conflicting finding. Comparison between the indications of LSCS was not possible, because different studies had diverse set of indication. Overall LSCS rate in our study was 33.3%.

Meconium liquor is recognized as potential for birth asphyxia. Only cases with thick meconium was documented, has it has association with perinatal outcome compared to thin meconium. In our study, 34.4% in oligohydramnios group had meconium stained liquor as compared to 10.4% in normal AFI group and 13.3% in the polyhydramnios group. In concordance to our study, Ravi et al (27%) and Choudary et al (33%) had similar observation of meconium stained liquor in oligohydramnios group (11,12).

Abnormal liquor volume has been recognized as a clinical hallmark of impending severe perinatal compromise.
Despite some strong associations demonstrated with abnormal liquor volume, the prediction for at an individual level is generally poor. But, the association can be used as a prognostic factor for counselling and planning the management of the pregnant women. Caesarean section have become ever more common in both developed and developing countries. The reason for this can be varied. Medicolegal issues in obstetric practice are increasing. In addition, majority of the study have concluded that oligohydramnios and polyhydramnios is associated with increased perinatal complications. All these factors have lead to a negative trend of increased caesarean section rates. Conducting a randomized control trial on the optimal delivery route for oligohydramnios or polyhydramnios conditions is the best solution, but has ethical issues. Long term complications of caesarean section should also be kept in mind to prevent unnecessary surgeries.

CONCLUSION

In our study, both oligohydramnios and polyhydramnios were found to be associated with increased caesarean section rates, NICU admissions and neonatal mortality. Antenatal detection of abnormal liquor volume is imperative for proper counselling and management. Abnormal liquor volume warrants close monitoring of pregnant women during labour to prevent complications.
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