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**Abstract**

**Background:** Three extracts were prepared from the leaves of *Accacia salicina*; ethyl acetate (EA), chloroform (Chl) and petroleum ether (PE) extracts and was designed to examine antimutagenic, antioxidant potency and oxidative DNA damage protecting activity.

**Methods:** Antioxidant activity of *A. salicina* extracts was determined by the ability of each extract to protect against plasmid DNA strand scission induced by hydroxyl radicals. An assay for the ability of these extracts to prevent mutations induced by various oxidants in *Salmonella typhimurium* TA102 and TA 104 strains was conducted. In addition, nonenzymatic methods were employed to evaluate anti-oxidative effects of tested extracts.

**Results:** These extracts from leaf parts of *A. salicina* showed no mutagenicity either with or without the metabolic enzyme preparation (S9). The highest protections against methylmethanesulfonate induced mutagenicity were observed with all extracts and especially chloroform extract. This extract exhibited the highest inhibitory level of the Ames response induced by the indirect mutagen 2-aminoanthracene. All extracts exhibited the highest ability to protect plasmid DNA against hydroxyl radicals induced DNA damages. The ethyl acetate (EA) and chloroform (Chl) extracts showed with high TEAC values radical of 0.95 and 0.81 mM respectively, against the ABTS+.

**Conclusion:** The present study revealed the antimutagenic and antioxidant potency of plant extract from *Accacia salicina* leaves.

1. **Background**

Exposure to genotoxic chemicals present in food, in the environment, and used in medical treatment can alter the genetic material permanently, and thus may lead cancer [1]. On the other hand, oxidative stress, caused by reactive oxygen species (ROS), is known to cause the oxidation of biomolecules, leading to cellular damage. The tissue injury caused by ROS may include DNA protein and lipids damage [2,3]. Antigenotoxic plant can counter or prevent the adverse effect caused by DNA-damaging chemicals [4]. Drugs obtained from plants have been investigated for the possible presence of mutagenic and/or carcinogenic substances, following the criteria and norms established for synthetic medicines. Fortunately, numerous defense systems protect the cellular macromolecules against oxidation. DNA repair systems take charge of the oxidized bases, the basic site, and the single strand breaks generated by oxidative process. However, cell defences against oxidative stress are also known to decrease through changes in gene expression in response to oxidative stress [5]. The detection and evaluation of the cytotoxic, mutagenic and carcinogenic effects of plant compounds are of fundamental importance in order to reduce the possible risks of these damaging effects. There is an increasing interest in the natural antioxidants contained in the medicinal and dietary plants, which are candidates for the prevention of oxidative damages. Antioxidants from dietary and medicinal plant sources, particularly those containing phenolic compounds, have a significant antioxidant activity [6]. Modern pharmaceutical industries largely take profit of the diversity of secondary metabolites from vegetables for new drug research. This is the case of *Accacia salicina*, the genus *Acacia* is frequently used for the treatment of various illnesses because of their reputed pharmacological effects; published information indicates that *Acacia* has hypoglycemic effects [7].
antibacterial, [8] anti-inflammatory activity [9], cestocial [10], spasmodic and vasoconstrictor activities [11], antihypertensive and antispasmodic activities [12], antiaggregation platelet effect [13], as well as an inhibitory effect against hepatitis C virus [14]. The present study was designed to examine antimutagen, antioxidant potency and oxidative DNA damage protecting activity of plant extract from *Accacia salicina* leaves in relation to their total polyphenol, tannin, sterol and flavonoid content.

2. Methods

2.1. Chemicals

6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman carboxylic acid (Trolox). Xanthine oxidase (XOD) and 2,2'-Azino-bis-(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) diammonium salt (ABTS) were obtained from Wako (Osaka, Japan). The mutagen 2- aminoanthracene (2-AA) was purchased from Acros Organics (New Jersey, USA), hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) and Methylmethanesulfonate (MMS) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (PO. St Louis, USA). Histidine, biotine and Agar-Agar from Difco (Paris, France), Aroclor 1254 was purchased from Supelco (USA).

2.2. Plant materials

*A. salicina* was collected from the Arid Region Institute (IRA) situated in the south east of Tunisia in October, 2003. Botanical identification was carried out by Pr. M. Chaib [15] (Department of Botany, Faculty of Sciences of Sfax). A voucher specimen (AS-10.03) has been kept in the Laboratory of Pharmacognosy, Faculty of Pharmacy of Monastir for future reference. The leaves were shade-dried, powdered, and stored in a tightly closed container.

2.3. Extraction procedure and preliminary phytochemical analysis

One hundred twenty grams of powder, from dried leaves, were sequentially extracted in a Soxhlet apparatus (6 h) (AM Glassware, Aberdeen, Scotland, United Kingdom) with petroleum ether, chloroform and ethyl acetate. We obtained the correspondent extracts for each solvent. These types of extracts, with different polarities, were concentrated to dryness and the residues were kept at 4°C. Then, each extract was resuspended in the adequate solvent.

Plant materials were screened for the presence of tannins, flavonoids, coumarins and sterols using the methods previously described by Boubaker *et al.* [16].

The polyphenol content of *A. salicina* leave extracts was quantified by the Folin-Ciocalteau reagent as described by Yuan *et al.* [17]. The Gallic acid (0.2 mg/mL) was used as a standard.

The polyphenol content was expressed according to the following formula:

\[
\% \text{Polyphenols} = \left( \frac{\text{DO extract} \times 0.2}{\text{DO Gallic acid}} \right) \times \text{Extract concentration} \times 100
\]

However, flavonoid content was determined according to the modified method of Zhishen *et al.* [18]. The Quercetin (0.05 mg/mL) was used as a standard compound. The flavonoid content was expressed according to the following formula:

\[
\% \text{Flavonoids} = \left( \frac{\text{DO extract} \times 0.05}{\text{DO Quercetin}} \right) \times \text{Extract concentration} \times 100
\]

The total sterol content was expressed as described by Skandrani *et al.* [19]. The sterol content was expressed according to the following formula:

\[
\% \text{Sterols} = \left( \frac{P_{\text{steroids}}}{P_{\text{extract}}} \right) \times 100
\]

Where

\[
P_{\text{steroids}} = (M_f - M_O) \times 0.25
\]

MO: Weight filter (mg), Mf: Weight of filter and precipitate (mg).

The method described by Pearson [20], was used for the determination of tannin content of samples which is evaluated according to the following formula:

\[
\% \text{Tannins} = \left( \frac{\text{DO extract} \times 1}{\text{Extract concentration}} \right) \times 100
\]

where \( \varepsilon \) molar extinction coefficient (= l g-1 cm-1) of tannic acid (= 3.27 L g-1 cm-1).

2.4. Radical-scavenging activity on ABTS**

An improved ABTS radical cation decolorization assay was used. It involves the direct production of the blue/green ABTS+ chromophore through the reaction between ABTS and potassium persulfate. Addition of antioxidants to the preformed radical cation reduces it to ABTS, to an extent and on a timescale depending on the antioxidant activity, the concentration of the antioxidant and the duration of the reaction. ABTS was dissolved in water to a 7 mM concentration. ABTS** was produced by reacting ABTS stock solution with 2.45 mM potassium persulfate (final concentration) and allowing the mixture to stand in the dark at room temperature for 12-16 h before use. The ABTS** solution was diluted with ethanol to an absorbance of 0.7 (± 0.02) at 734 nm. In order to measure the antioxidant activity of extracts, 10 μl of each sample at various concentrations (0.5, 2.5, 4.5, 7.5 and 9.5 mg/ml) was added to 990 μl of diluted ABTS** and the absorbance was recorded every 1 min. We stop the kinetic reaction after 30 min. Each concentration was analysed in triplicate. The percentage decrease of absorbance at 734 nm was calculated for each point and the antioxidant capacity of the test compounds was expressed as percent inhibition.
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mutagen by the following formula: percent inhibition (% = \[1 - ((\text{number of revertants on test plates} - \text{number of spontaneous revertants})/(\text{number of revertants on positive control plates} - \text{number of spontaneous revertants}))\] × 100.

Each dose was tested in triplicate.

2.10. Statistical analyses
Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation from three replicates. The statistical analyses were performed with STATISTICA edition 99 France. Duncan test was used to compare tested compounds vs. positive control. Difference was considered significant when P < 0.05.

3. Results
3.1. Phytochemical study
The results of our assay on the tested extracts are shown in Table 1. The EA extract showed the presence of significant quantities of tannins, flavonoids and polyphenols. Chl extract showed the presence of coumarins. Whereas, the sterols are detected in a very high quantity in the PE and Chl extracts.

3.2. Determination of Total Polyphenol, Flavonoid, tannins and sterols Contents
The phytochemical study of A. salicina extracts showed the presence of various quantities of polyphenols, sterols, tannins and flavonoids (Table 2). The significant content of polyphenols was recorded in EA and Chl extracts. In fact the percentage of total polyphenolic compounds content EA and Chl extracts were 3.31 and 3.62% respectively. The EP extract showed the presence of an important quantity of sterols 12.5%. The percentage of tannin and flavonoid content in EA extract, were respectively 1.9% and 2.2%.

3.3. ABTS-scavenging activity
The antioxidant activity of a given compound depends not only on its chemical structure but also on the type of the generated radical it can neutralize. For this reason, we tested the antioxidant potential of the A. salicina extracts against more than one radical type. The antioxidant activity measurements of the A. salicina extracts, against ABTS++, was expressed as Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC). Since TEAC is a quantification of the effective antioxidant activity of the extract, a higher TEAC would translate a greater antioxidant activity of the tested sample.

The results obtained are summarized in Table 3. EA and Chl extracts exhibited a high antioxidant potential with TEAC values of and 0.81 ± 0.007 0.95 ± 0.004 mM, respectively. EP extract antioxidant capacity were less potent with TEAC values of 0.24 ± 0.008 mM.

3.4. Effect of Accacia salicina extracts on pKS plasmid DNA scission induced by hydroxyl radicals
In order to evaluate the ability of the extracts to generate breaks in the phosphodiester bands of DNA, or unlike to protect DNA against the effect of hydroxyl radicals generated by the photolysis of hydrogen peroxide exposed to UV light, plasmid DNA was treated with different concentrations of each extract.

DNA derived from pKS plasmid showed two bands on agarose gel electrophoresis (lane A) the faster moving prominent band which corresponded to the native supercoiled circular DNA and the slower moving band was the open circular form. The UV irradiation of DNA in the presence of H₂O₂ resulting the cleavage of native supercoiled circular DNA to give prominent open circular form and a faint linear DNA indicating that OH generated from UV-photolysis of H₂O₂ produced DNA strand scission.

The results showed that the treatment with all extracts doses, did not result in changes in plasmid DNA conformation. These observations suggest that if the extracts cause DNA damage, it is not through direct DNA chain breakage.

In the same way, protective effect of the extracts against OH induced DNA cleavage, was also studied (Figure 1). All extracts effectively inhibited OH induced DNA cleavage at the all tested doses.

3.5. Mutagenic activity of extracts
No one of the tested extracts induced significant increase of the revertant number of S. typhimurium TA102 and S. typhimurium TA104 strains, as well with

| Table 2 Quantitative phytochemical screening of extracts from Accacia salicina leaves |
|---------------------------------------------|
| Extract content (%) | PE extract | Chl extract | EA extract |
|---------------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| Tannins(%)          | -          | -           | 1.9 ± 0.01 |
| Flavonoids(%)       | -          | 3.62 ± 0.008| 3.31 ± 0.12|
| Sterols(%)          | 12.5 ± 0.02| 5 ± 0.007   | 2 ± 0.01   |

(results are represented by the means ± SD of three experiments)
as without metabolic activation (S9) (table 3). It was inferred that neither A. salicina extracts nor their metabolites exhibit a mutagenic effect.

### 3.6. Antimutagenicity assay

Doses of 5 and 10 μg/plate of (2-AA), 325 and 130 μg/plate of (MMS) were chosen for the antimutagenicity studies with respectively TA104 and TA102 strains. Since these doses were not toxic and induced 1149 ± 15 (5 μg/plate of 2-AA), and 2144 ± 23 (325 μg/plate of MMS) revertants in S. typhimurium TA104. (2-AA) at the concentration of 10 μg/plate induced 652 ± 10 revertants and (MMS) at the concentration of 130 μg/plate induced 1721 ± 24 revertants, in TA102 strain. Table 4 showed that Chl extract was the most effective in reducing the mutagenicity caused by the direct mutagen MMS, in the TA 104 assay system with respectively inhibition percentages of 64.35% (at a dose of 25 μg/plate) and 55.07% (at a dose of 10 μg/plate). The addition of Petroleum ether extract and Ethyl acetate extract decreased the mutagenicity caused by MMS with respectively 37.66% and 44.54% (at a dose of 25 μg/plate). The inhibition percentage of Petroleum ether extract and Ethyl acetate extract decreased at the different other tested doses. Chl extract showed the most important antimutagenic effect against MMS in the TA102 assay system, in a reverse dose dependent manner (48.75% at a dose of 25 μg/plate).

### Table 3 TEAC of ABTS radical formation by Acacia salicina leaf extracts

| Extracts b | Concentrations (mg/mL) | Inhibition percentage a (%) | IC50 (mg/mL) | TEAC values mM |
|------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| PE extract | 0.05                   | 1.86 ± 1.11                 | -            | 0.24 ± 0.008  |
|            | 0.5                    | 10.5 ± 2.23                 | -            | -             |
|            | 2.5                    | 16.7 ± 2.36                 | -            | 0.81 ± 0.007  |
|            | 4.5                    | 28 ± 1.11                   | -            | 1.2 ± 0.04    |
|            | 7.5                    | 41.5* ± 2.18                | 1.91 ± 0.08  | 0.81 ± 0.007  |
| Chl extract| 0.05                   | 2.52 ± 2.03                 | -            | 0.26 ± 0.03   |
|            | 0.5                    | 19.2 ± 2.53                 | 1.2 ± 0.04   | 1.00          |
|            | 2.5                    | 57.8* ± 2.81                | 1.2 ± 0.04   | 0.95 ± 0.004  |
|            | 4.5                    | 72.20* ± 3.82               | 1.2 ± 0.04   | 0.95 ± 0.004  |
|            | 7.5                    | 91* ± 1.65                  | 1.2 ± 0.04   | 0.95 ± 0.004  |
| EA extract | 0.05                   | 5.52 ± 2.06                 | -            | 0.26 ± 0.03   |
|            | 0.5                    | 28 ± 1.68                   | -            | -             |
|            | 2.5                    | 90.2* ± 12.2                | -            | -             |
|            | 4.5                    | 99.28* ± 1.92               | -            | -             |
|            | 7.5                    | 100                        | -            | -             |
| TRolox c   |                        | 0.26 ± 0.03                 | -            | 1             |

* a Inhibition of absorbance at 734 nm relative to that of standard ABTS solution
  b Values were expressed as means ± standard deviation of three experiments
  c positive control
  * P < 0.05 compared to negative control without the tested extract by ANOVA followed by student test.

**Figure 1** Electrophoretic pattern of DNA after UV photolysis of H2O2 in the presence of different extracts. A: DNA, B: DNA + hydrogen peroxide + UV, C: DNA + PE extract (25 μg/assay) + H2O2, D: DNA + PE extract (10 μg/assay) + UV+ H2O2, E: DNA + PE extract (2 μg/assay) + UV+ H2O2, F: DNA + Chl extract (25 μg/assay) + UV+ H2O2, G: DNA + Chl extract (10 μg/assay) + UV+ H2O2, H: DNA + Chl extract (2 μg/assay) + UV+ H2O2, I: DNA + EA extract (25 μg/assay) + UV+ H2O2, J: DNA + EA extract (10 μg/assay) + UV+ H2O2, K: DNA + EA extract (2 μg/assay) + UV+ H2O2. A: supercoiled form (Sc DNA), B: circular-relaxed form (Oc DNA), C: linear form (Lin DNA).
μg/plate) (Table 5). Whereas EA and PE extracts exhibited a maximum inhibition of the (MMS) induced mutagenicity of respectively 41.15% and 32.41% at the tested dose of 25 μg/assay.

Chl extracts were highly effective in reducing the mutagenicity caused by the indirect mutagen 2-AA, with 62.77% in the S. typhimurium TA104 assay system and 89% in the S. typhimurium TA102 assay system at a dose of 25 μg/plate (table 6). EA extract was significant effective in reducing the mutagenicity caused by the indirect mutagen 2-AA, with 37% in the S. typhimurium TA104 assay system and 42.2% in the S. typhimurium TA102 assay system at a dose of 25 μg/plate. Whereas no antimutagenic significant effect is detect at the low tested doses in respectively the S. typhimurium TA102 and S. typhimurium TA104 assay systems.

4. Discussion
Cellular mechanisms and external factors involved in the production of oxidative stress include the inflammatory

### Table 4 Mutagenic effect of different *Accacia salicina* leaf extracts in *S.typhimurium* TA104 and TA102 assay systems in the presence and absence of an exogenous metabolic activation system (S9)

| Extracts                | Doses (μg/plate) | TA 104 | TA 102 |
|-------------------------|------------------|--------|--------|
|                         |                  | -S9    | +S9    | -S9    | +S9    |
| Spontaneous             | -                | 312 ± 8| 335 ± 11| 244 ± 18| 265 ± 25|
| PC                      | -                | 2144 ± 23| 1149 ± 15| 1721 ± 24| 652 ± 10|
| Petroleum ether extract | 25               | 352 ± 21| 375 ± 18| 289 ± 11| 204 ± 14|
|                         | 10               | 348 ± 14| 369 ± 17| 260 ± 14| 284 ± 15|
| Chloroform extract      | 5                | 336 ± 16| 361 ± 19| 249 ± 13| 270 ± 17|
|                         | 25               | 336 ± 10| 359 ± 21| 299 ± 13| 304 ± 12|
|                         | 10               | 328 ± 11| 353 ± 13| 249 ± 13| 289 ± 15|
| Ethyl Acetate extract   | 5                | 318 ± 10| 344 ± 9 | 242 ± 15| 275 ± 19|
|                         | 25               | 381 ± 15| 363 ± 16| 254 ± 22| 304 ± 14|
|                         | 10               | 333 ± 17| 356 ± 20| 246 ± 12| 294 ± 11|
|                         | 5                | 322 ± 25| 340 ± 09| 242 ± 18| 272 ± 19|

Positive control (PC): *S. typhimurium* TA104/-S9, MMS (325 μg/plate); *S. typhimurium* TA104/+S9, 2-AA (5 μg/plate); *S. typhimurium* TA102/-S9, MMS (130 μg/plate); *S. typhimurium* TA102/+S9, 2 AA (10 μg/plate). MMS: Methylmethane sulfonate, 2-AA: 2-amino anthracene.

### Table 5 Effect of different extracts from *Accacia salicina* leaves on the mutagenicity induced by MMS in *Salmonella thyphimurium* TA104 and TA102 assay systems without S9

| Extracts               | Doses (μg/plate) | Nb revertants (TA104) | % inhibition of mutagenesis | Nb revertants (TA102) | % inhibition mutagenesis |
|------------------------|------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
|                       |                  | -S9                   | +S9                        | -S9                   | +S9                        |
| Spontaneous           | -                | 312 ± 8               | -                          | 234 ± 18               | -                          |
| PC                    | -                | 2144 ± 23             | -                          | 1721 ± 24              | -                          |
| Petroleum ether extract | 25             | 1454 ± 95             | 37.66                      | 1239 ± 73              | 32.41                      |
|                        | 10              | 1712 ± 45             | 23.58                      | 1266 ± 42              | 30.66                      |
|                        | 5               | 2002 ± 43             | 7.75                       | 1325 ± 56              | 2.63                       |
| Chloroform extract     | 25              | 965 ± 99              | 64.35                      | 996 ± 68               | 48.75                      |
|                        | 10              | 1135 ± 64             | 55.07                      | 1016 ± 82              | 47.41                      |
|                        | 5               | 1266 ± 59             | 47.92                      | 1099 ± 47              | 41.82                      |
| Ethyl acetate extract  | 25              | 1328 ± 58             | 44.54                      | 1109 ± 73              | 41.15                      |
|                        | 10              | 1475 ± 98             | 36.51                      | 1195 ± 42              | 35.37                      |
|                        | 5               | 1813 ± 54             | 18.06                      | 1235 ± 56              | 32.68                      |

Positive control (PC): *S. typhimurium* TA104/-S9, MMS (325 μg/plate); *S. typhimurium* TA104/+S9, 2-AA (5 μg/plate); *S. typhimurium* TA102/-S9, MMS (130 μg/plate); *S. typhimurium* TA102/+S9, 2 AA (10 μg/plate). MMS: Methylmethane sulfonate, 2-AA: 2-amino anthracene.
response, auto-oxidation of catecholamine, xanthine oxidase activation, pro-oxidants activities of toxins. Scavengers counteract the damaging effects of reactive oxygen species [28]. However, when the balance between these reactive species and antioxidants is altered, a state of oxidative stress results, possibly leading to permanent cellular damage.

Mutations are important early steps in carcinogenesis, therefore, a short term genetic test, such as the Salmonella/ reversion assay and DNA strand scission assay, have been successfully used for the detection of mutagens/carcinogens, as well as of antimutagens/anticarcinogens [29]. The absence of mutagenicity for PE, Chl and EA extracts of Acca salicina in the two Salmonella tested strains TA102 and TA104, with and without (S9) activation system, as well as the absence of phosphodiester band breaks in plasmid DNA at any tested concentration of the different extracts, indicate that DNA does not seem to be revelant target for these extracts [30,31].

In the present experiment we have first investigated the protective role of A. salicina extracts against the ABTS⁺. EA extract revealed a best antiradical activity against ABTS radicals. This should be correlated to their chemical constituents as they are composed by polyphenols and flavonoids in EA extract against ABTS radical. This finding is supported by previous studies reported by Orhan et al. [32] who revealed that the sage polyphenols, including flavone glycosides, were found to display potent antioxidant activities free radicals. In the same context, Hirano et al. [33] and Engelmans et al. [34] demonstrated the flavonoids are able to directly capture the radical species, thus interrupting the radical step of propagation.

This highly activity exhibited by Chl and EA extracts may be correlated to another chemical content. The polyphenolic content appears to function as potent electron and hydrogen atom donors, and therefore should be able to terminate the radical chain reaction by converting the free radicals and the reactive oxygen species to more stable products. Similar observation about the polyphenolic constituents has been reported for several plant extracts such as tea [35,36].

DNA strand scission induced by hydroxyl radicals. Hydroxyl radical is the most reactive radical known in chemistry. It can abstract hydrogen atoms from biological molecules, including thiols, leading to the formation of sulphur radicals capable to combine with oxygen to generate oxysulfur radicals, a number of which damage biological molecules [37]. Althought, both (O2⁻) and H₂O₂ are potentially cytotoxic, most of the oxidative damage in biological systems is caused by the OH⁻, which is generated by the reaction between (O2⁻) and H₂O₂ in the presence of metal ions [38]. The UV irradiation of DNA in the presence of H₂O₂ resulting the cleavage of Sc DNA to give a prominent Oc DNA and a faint linear DNA indicated that OH⁻ generated from UV photolysis of H₂O₂ produced DNA strand scission. The tested extracts showed a significant inhibiting activity against hydroxyl radicals, with the different doses tested. Sterols which are the main constituents of PE and Chl extracts, and which are described as possessing significant antioxidant activity [39,40] are likely candidates for providing the antigenotoxic effect of these extracts. It is possible that these compounds inhibit the free radicals and ROS produced by oxidation and redox-cycling. We hypothesize that the sterols present in the PE extract, possess different antioxidant properties than those present in the Chl extract, and exhibited a weak scavenging effect than Chl extract against some free radicals. The molecules in the two extracts should have different polarities. These types of compounds; were reported, by

### Table 6 Effect of different extracts from Acca salicina leaves on the mutagenicity induced by 2-AA in Salmonella typhimurium TA104 and TA102 assay systems in the presence of S9

| Extracts                | Doses (μg/plate) | Nb revertants | % inhibition of mutagenesis | Nb revertants | % inhibition of mutagenesis |
|-------------------------|------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| Spontaneous             | 335 ± 11         | -             | -                           | 235 ± 12      | -                           |
| PC                      | 1149 ± 15        | -             | -                           | 652 ± 10      | -                           |
| Petroleum ether extract | 25 925 ± 46      | 27.51         | 520 ± 11                    | 31.65         |
|                         | 10 934 ± 14      | 26.41         | 582 ± 8                     | 16.78         |
|                         | Chloroform extract | 5 1105 ± 28 | 5.4                         | -             |
|                         | 25 638 ± 47      | 62.77         | 281 ± 16                    | 89            |
|                         | 10 764 ± 38      | 47.3          | 426 ± 17                    | 54.2          |
| Ethyl acetate extract   | 5 881 ± 22       | 32.9          | 506 ± 7                     | 35            |
|                         | 25 847 ± 34      | 37            | 476 ± 5                     | 42.2          |
|                         | 10 865 ± 52      | 34.88         | 584 ± 17                    | 16.3          |
|                         | 5 1077 ± 19      | 8.84          | 652 ± 6                     | -             |
many authors, to exhibit an inhibitory effect against some radical systems [41,39,40,42].

In the other hand, the scavenging potential for hydroxyl radicals of Chl extract may also be correlated to its polyphenol content. In fact, polyphenols are an important group of pharmacologically active compounds. They are considered to be the most active antioxidant derivatives in plants [43,44]. However, it has been shown that the phenolic content does not necessary follow the antioxidant activity. Antioxidant activity is generally the result of the combined activity of a wide range of compounds, including phenolics, peptides, organic acids and other components [45].

The chemical components of EA extracts should be better scavenger free radicals. In fact both of them contain flavonoids which are described by Rice-Evans [46] and Kumar and Chattopadhyay [47], as effective hydroxy donors, making extracts potent antioxidants. These compounds should also act through a variety of mechanisms including scavenging of ROS [48]. We believe that the presence of such chemicals in the EA extract explain the important O2• scavenging effect of both extracts. In a study employing a non-enzymatic system to generate superoxide radicals [49], it was shown that flavonoids are able to scavenge O2• [50].

As far as antioxidants has attracted much interest with respect to their protective effect against free radical damage that may be the cause of many diseases including cancer, antimutagenic activity of A. salicina extracts was investigated in the present study.

In the present experimental conditions Chl extract was an effective antimutagen against two different types of genotoxic compounds direct and indirect acting mutagens suggesting that the extracts can act through various mechanisms. They reduced frameshift mutagenicity induced by (2-AA) and (MMS), an direct-indirect compound or complex [63]. The P-450 enzyme system catalyzes the formation of N-hydroxy derivatives, such as N-hydroxy-2- aminoanthracene (a metabolite that interacts with DNA). Thus, an alteration in the function of the enzyme may result in altered reaction rates and differential pathways of the metabolism of mutagens and carcinogens. In some cases, this modification provides protection against chemically induced mutagenesis. In fact, this effect is known to play a role in the antimutagenicity of some plant extracts [51,52]. These data agree with the knowledge that anticarcinogenicity of polyphenols contributes to block the formation of carcinogen [53]. However, the Chl extract may also directly protect DNA from the electrophilic metabolites of the mutagen given that flavonoids provide strong nucleophilic centers, which enables them to react with electrophilic mutagens and form adducts that may result in the prevention of genotoxic damage [54]. The observed antimutagenic activity of the Chl extract in the TA102 strain (sensitive to oxidative damage) and TA104 strain is congruent with its strong antioxidant capacity. This result suggests that consumption of the studied plants could be an alternative for reducing genotoxic damage induced by free radicals. The observed antioxidant potential could be related to the presence of polyphenolic compounds [55-57]. Polyphenols, which are widely distributed in the plant kingdom and are present in considerable amounts in fruits, vegetables, spices, medicinal herbs, and beverages, have been used to prevent many human diseases, such as diabetes, cancers, and coronary heart diseases [58]. The biological activities of polyphenols in different systems are believed to be due to their redox properties, which can play an important role in absorbing and neutralizing free radicals, quenching singlet and triplet oxygen, or decomposing peroxides [59].

Sterols, wich are the main constituents of PE extract, seem to be most likely candidates for providing the observed antimutagenic activity of this extract [41].

Protective effect of PE, Chl and EA extracts against the tested mutagens may probably adsorb the mutagen in a way similar to the carcinogen adsorption which has been associated with pyrrole pigments, such as hemin and chlorophyllin [60,61].

The different antimutagenic activity of Chl than EA and PE extracts could be explained by the antioxidant activity is often the result of the combined activity of a wide range of compounds, including phenolics, peptides, organic acids and other components [62] and to the different sensibilities of the two strains towards a given compound or complex [63].

5. Conclusion
In conclusion, the present study demonstrates that extracts of A. salicina possesses potent antioxidant and antimutagenic activities. These extract is capable of protecting against oxidative DNA damage. Further investigations on testing their in vivo activities and on isolation and characterization of the active compounds responsible for the antioxidant capacity of A. salicina leaf extracts are under way in our laboratory.
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