Testicular endothelial cells are a critical population in the germline stem cell niche
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Maintenance of adult tissues depends on stem cell self-renewal in local niches. Spermatogonial stem cells (SSC) are germline adult stem cells necessary for spermatogenesis and fertility. We show that testicular endothelial cells (TECs) are part of the SSC niche producing glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) and other factors to support human and mouse SSCs in long-term culture. We demonstrate that FGF-2 binding to FGFR1 on TECs activates the calcineurin pathway to produce GDNF. Comparison of the TEC secretome to lung and liver endothelial cells identified 5 factors sufficient for long-term maintenance of human and mouse SSC colonies in feeder-free cultures. Male cancer survivors after chemotherapy are often infertile since SSCs are highly susceptible to cytotoxic injury. Transplantation of TECs alone restores spermatogenesis in mice after chemotherapy-induced depletion of SSCs. Identifying TECs as a niche population necessary for SSC self-renewal may facilitate fertility preservation for prepubertal boys diagnosed with cancer.
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Adult mammalian tissues are maintained by stem cell populations that self-renew in specialized organ-specific niches, providing the factors necessary for their maintenance\(^1\). However, for most organs, the niche cells necessary for stem cell self-renewal have not yet been identified. Spermatogonial stem cells (SSCs) are well-characterized adult stem cells necessary for fertility. However, the cellular populations in the SSC niche have not yet been described and although endothelial cells (ECs) in other organs contribute to stem cell niches, a role for testicular endothelial cells (TECs) in the SSC niche has not been examined. Studies have shown that bone marrow ECs are critical in the hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) niche has not been examined. Studies have shown that bone marrow ECs are critical in the hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) niche and self-renewal in the bone marrow\(^3\). Brain ECs are another example of ECs in a stem cell niche as brain endothelium contributes to neural stem cell maintenance via secretion of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) among other factors\(^4\). It is increasingly evident that endothelium functions in an organ-specific manner to both regulate developmental processes and maintain normal organ homeostasis via production of tissue-specific secretomes\(^8\).

SSCs are an adult stem cell population within the testis that self-renew maintaining productive spermatogenesis in the adult male. Previous studies have identified glial-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) as critical for SSC self-renewal with transgenic loss- and gain-of-function mouse models of GDNF confirming the necessity of this factor for the maintenance of SSCs\(^10\). After the observation that GDNF was necessary for spermatogenesis, culture conditions for mouse SSCs were rapidly developed with the addition of GDNF and other growth factors sufficient to maintain mouse SSCs cultured on embryonic fibroblast feeder cells for months\(^11\). SSCs harvested from mice and other animals can now be routinely expanded, and although previously published studies have described conditions for culturing human testicular cells\(^12,13\), expansion of human SSCs for clinical use cannot yet be reproducibly or routinely performed. This roadblock is due in part to our lack of knowledge regarding the identity of the critical SSC niche cells which produce GDNF and other factors. GDNF is expressed by Sertoli cells and peritubular myoid (PTM) cells\(^14\), but there are no definitive studies showing that either of these GDNF-producing populations can support the long-term maintenance and expansion of SSCs. Previous studies suggested that GDNF may be expressed by vascular cells in the testes. GDNF expression was detected by immunohistochemistry in the arterioles and arteries of the testes\(^15\) and transcriptional analysis of testicular endothelium suggest that TECs could be a source of GDNF\(^16\). However, the role of TECs in the SSC niche has not yet been investigated. The inability to maintain human SSCs in culture has detrimental consequences on the quality of life for prepubertal boys diagnosed with cancer. SSCs are particularly sensitive to cytotoxic therapies and these patients lack options to obtain mature sperm, and thus many become permanently infertile after completion of cancer treatment.

Recent estimates suggest that 1 in 530 young adults between the ages of 20 and 39 years is a survivor of childhood cancer\(^17\). While post-pubertal males diagnosed with cancer have fertility preservation options, no options exist for prepubertal boys. In the 1990s, it was demonstrated that spermatogenesis could be restored in mice sterilized after treatment with the chemotherapeutic agent busulfan by injecting germ cells from a syngeneic donor into their seminiferous tubules\(^18,19\). These results suggested that SSCs might be harvested, before the start of chemotherapy and reintroduced into the testis upon treatment completion. However, testicular biopsies from prepubertal boys contain only a minute number of SSCs and, hence, require expansion in vitro prior to subsequent reinjection. Here we show that TECs are a key population in the male germline stem cell niche providing necessary growth factors for self-renewal and expansion of human and mouse SSCs in culture. We show that injection of TECs alone is sufficient to restore spermatogenesis in mice after chemotherapy-induced depletion of SSCs and that TECs, but not other organ endothelium, express growth factors that are sufficient for the maintenance of SSCs in culture and include GDNF, fibroblast growth factor-2 (FGF-2), stromal cell-derived factor-1 (SDF-1), macrophage inflammatory protein 2 (MIP-2) and insulin-like growth factor binding protein 2 (IGFBP-2). Our work reveals that both human and mouse SSCs can be cultured long term under feeder-free conditions by the addition of these five factors to the media. Further, our data demonstrate that GDNF expression is specifically driven by FGF-2 binding to FGF receptor 1 (FGFR1) activating the calcineurin (CaN)—nuclear factor of activated T-cells (NFAT) pathway in TECs. We show that dysregulation of CaN–NFAT signaling in ECs can disrupt spermatogenesis and fertility as evidenced in Down syndrome (DS) male mice. We and others have previously shown that EC activation is impaired in DS due to increased expression of chromosome 21-encoded genes that specifically attenuates the CaN–NFAT pathway\(^20\). A DS mouse model shows defects in SSC self-renewal and/or maintenance and males with DS have significantly reduced sperm counts and are infertile\(^21,22\). Collectively, our data support the conclusion that the SSC niche is created in part by TECs providing the necessary factors for SSC self-renewal and we identify the CaN–NFAT pathway in TECs as regulating the expression of GDNF, the most critical factor for the maintenance of spermatogenesis.

**Results**

**TEC transplantation restores spermatogenesis.** Busulfan, a chemotherapeutic agent used as a conditioning regimen prior to bone marrow transplant, is known to cause azoospermia and infertility\(^23,24\). Both SSCs and differentiating spermatogonial cell populations are killed in mice treated with a single dose of busulfan with the duration of infertility dependent on the extent of SSC depletion\(^25-27\). At higher busulfan doses, SSCs are ablated preferentially over differentiating spermatogonia and the long delay until spermatogenesis is restored is likely due to destruction of most of the SSC niche limiting factors necessary for self-renewal of the few remaining SSCs. Previous studies have identified GDNF as critical for SSC self-renewal and demonstrated that Sertoli cells and PTM cells are cellular sources of GDNF in the testes and may comprise the SSC niche\(^10,28-30\). In testis sections, immunostaining for GDNF shows co-localization with TECs and throughout the seminiferous tubules around Sertoli and PTM cells (Supplementary Figure 1a). However, since GDNF is a secreted factor, its localization does not indicate its cellular source. GDNF regulation in the testes is not understood but its expression is dependent in part upon FGF-2\(^31\). FGF-2 has been suggested to support SSC maintenance by inducing GDNF production by Sertoli cells\(^32\). To identify GDNF sources in the testes, we quantified Gdnf mRNA in Sertoli and TECs cells and measured GDNF levels in media conditioned by TECs or Sertoli cells before and after FGF-2 treatment (Fig. 1a). Since there are significantly fewer TECs than Sertoli cells in the testis\(^33\), these data indicate that TECs express more GDNF per cell as compared to Sertoli cells, consistent with the notion that TECs are a major GDNF-producing population in the testes\(^34\). Since GDNF is necessary for the long-term culture of SSCs and Sertoli and PTM cells produce GDNF, we investigated whether Sertoli cells and PTMs could maintain Thy1+ SSCs in culture. Testicular cells (containing Sertoli and PTM populations) were depleted of CD31+ TECs and co-cultured with GFP+Thy1.2+SSCs. In parallel, GFP+Thy1+SSCs were also co-cultured with...
CD31+ TECs. After 3 weeks, SSC colonies were absent from testicular cell co-cultures with Sertoli and PTM cells present. In contrast, numerous GFP+ Thy1.2+ SSC colonies were observed in TEC co-cultures (Fig. 1b).

Studies indicate that Sertoli and Leydig cells are minimally effected by busulfan26 (Supplementary Figure 1b). To examine the direct impact of busulfan treatment on TECs in vitro and in vivo, TECs in culture were treated with busulfan and show decreased proliferation and increased apoptosis (Supplementary Figure 1c, d), while testis sections from busulfan-treated mice were immunostained with the EC marker CD31, revealing a significant decrease in microvessel density (Fig. 1c). A decreased
TEC population post busulfan treatment is consistent with the global decrease in testis GDNF expression following busulfan exposure (Fig. 1d).

Following exposure to busulfan, restoration of spermatogenesis takes approximately 30–36 weeks, a reflection of the slow proliferation and expansion of the residual SSCs.

To test whether introduction of healthy TECs can accelerate SCC reconstitution post busulfan, we transplanted syngeneic wild-type primary GFP+ TECs into the testes of mice 5 weeks after busulfan administration. To ascertain whether there is a specific requirement for TECs in reconstituting spermatogenesis or whether any organ EC would suffice, we isolated and transplanted either TECs or lung endothelial cells (LuECs) into busulfan-treated testes. The EC identity of the transplanted GFP+ TECs and LuECs was confirmed by morphology, immunostaining with EC markers and functionally by tube formation assays (Supplementary Figure 2a, b). By 7 weeks after transplantation (Supplementary Figure 3a), testes harvested from busulfan-treated mice transplanted with TECs were comparable in size to sham injected mice (Fig. 1e). GFP+ channels were obvious in whole testes after transplantation with GFP+ TECs (Fig. 1e). By contrast, testes in busulfan-treated mice injected with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) or reconstituted with LuECs remained appreciably smaller (Fig. 1e). Testis sections from busulfan-treated mice, with and without transplanted GFP+ TECs, were stained with hematoxylin and eosin and examined for green fluorescent protein (GFP) expression, a germine stem cell marker (PLZF) and a germ cell marker (DDX4) (Fig. 1f). We observed significant restoration of spermatogenesis in testes transplanted specifically with TECs, comparable to that in untreated mice as evidenced by increases in PLZF+ and DDX4+ cells (Fig. 1f). We also found a significant increase in TEC proliferation, microvessel density and length (Supplementary Figure 3b) and increased proliferation of germ cells in seminiferous tubules (Supplementary Figure 3c). Notably, GFP+ cells co-expressed CD31 (Supplementary Figure 3c), confirming the EC identity of the transplanted cells and demonstrating integration of transplanted TECs into the endogenous testis vasculature. Functionality of transplanted GFP+CD31+ TECs was confirmed by isolecitin B4 uptake (Supplementary Figure 4). Remarkably, testes from busulfan-treated mice transplanted with LuECs showed nominal restoration of spermatogenesis (Fig. 1f).

Since our data suggest that TECs promote the restoration of spermatogenesis after busulfan treatment, we examined whether TECs could protect SSCs and spermatogonia from busulfan-mediated cell death by injecting syngeneic wild-type TECs into the testes of mice immediately after busulfan treatment at 3, 6 and 9 days post busulfan injection, and it demonstrated significant protection of spermatogenesis 15 weeks after busulfan treatment. While vehicle-injected mice had no detectable differentiating sperm and very few seminiferous tubules, TEC-injected mice had both differentiating sperm and mature sperm in the lumen of seminiferous tubules as detected by the acrosome-specific marker, lectin-peanut agglutinin (Supplementary Figure 5a, b).

TECs maintain mouse SSCs in culture without exogenous GDNF. Since LuECs were unable to restore spermatogenesis in busulfan-treated mice, we compared GDNF production by TECs, LuEC and liver ECs (LiECs). Intriguingly, FGF-2 treatment induced significant levels of GDNF only in TECs, and not in LuECs or LiECs (Fig. 2a), consistent with studies showing ECs exhibit organ-specific gene expression profiles. Currently, in vitro cultures of isolated SSCs utilize STO cells or mouse embryonic fibroblasts as feeder cells plus GDNF and several other growth factors. Our data suggest that ECs within the testicular stem cell niche can produce GDNF, a necessary factor to promote SSC proliferation. To confirm the critical supporting role of TECs in the SSC niche, we compared the efficacy of SSC-enriched cultures in vitro maintained either with STO cells or with TECs. After plating SSC-enriched cultures with either TECs or STO cells and adding GDNF and FGF-2 to the serum-free culture media, we monitored the formation of SSC colony number and size. Co-cultures of GFP+ SSC-enriched cultures with TECs led to a significant increase in both number and size of colonies compared with STO co-cultures (Fig. 2b). After 5 days, SSC-enriched cultures in the absence of feeder cells did not survive (Supplementary Figure 6a).

To determine whether TECs can support SSC self-renewal and maintenance in the absence of additional GDNF, we assayed three-dimensional (3D) colony-forming capacity of Thy-1.2+ SSC-enriched-TEC co-cultures since efficient 3D colony formation is a hallmark of stem cells. GFP+Thy-1.2+ cells were plated either with Matrigel alone or together with primary mouse TECs in the absence of exogenous GDNF (Supplementary Figure 6b). GFP+Thy-1.2+ cells co-cultured with TECs and Matrigel formed colonies with typical SSC morphology after 2 weeks as observed by phase contrast microscopy, while SSC-enriched cultures plated in the absence of TECs or GDNF died within 7 days (Fig. 2c and Supplementary Figure 6b, c). The stem cell identity of these colonies was confirmed by expression of the germ stem cell marker DDX4 (Fig. 2c). Next, we co-cultured an excess of GFP+Thy-1.2+ cells to TECs and Matrigel with these cultures demonstrating significant numbers of large, spheroid colonies as...
early as 2 weeks after plating. Colonies were immunostained for the expression of the germ stem cell markers DDX4 and Oct4 (Fig. 2d and Supplementary Figure 6d). Of note, spheroid colonies generated by co-cultures of GFP\(^+\) Thy-1.2\(^+\) SSC-enriched cells with LuECs were significantly smaller in diameter and fewer in number than colonies formed with TECs (Fig. 2d). The 3D colonies with an SSC-enriched population and TECs were maintained for greater than 3 months in culture in the absence of exogenous GDNF, over which time the colonies continue to proliferate, indicating that TECs may be sufficient to
maintain SSCs in vitro. Moreover, these putative GFP\(^+\) SSC/TEC colonies were dissociated and serially passaged multiple times in the presence of TECs without measurable decline in colony-forming efficiency (Fig. 2e).

Transplanting SSCs co-cultured with TECs restores fertility.

Since murine SSCs can expand and self-renew on STO feeder cells, we compared the function of murine SSCs expanded on TECs versus STO cells. First, we examined colony formation by cultured SSCs in vivo, by transplanting equal number of GFP\(^+\) SSCs co-cultured with TECs or STO feeder cells into testes of busulfan-treated mice (Supplementary Figure 6e). At 12 weeks after transplantation, recipient mice testes were analyzed for colony formation of GFP\(^+\) SSCs co-cultured with either TECs or STO cells (Fig. 3a). We found that testis transplanted with SSCs co-cultured with TECs showed significantly more colonies than testis transplanted with SSCs co-cultured with STO (Fig. 3a).

The ultimate confirmation of SSC function is the ability of infertile mice to give birth to live offspring after SSC transplantation. GFP\(^+\) SSCs co-cultured long term with TECs were transplanted into W/W\(^\text{v}\) mice which lack germ cells and are infertile\(^{38,39}\). At 16 weeks after transplantation, GFP\(^+\) SSC colonization of the testes was observed as well as the birth of GFP\(^+\) pups (Fig. 3b) with GFP expression in pups confirmed by PCR (Fig. 3c). Testis sections from mice transplanted with SSCs after long-term culture were immunostained with the undifferentiated spermatogonia markers PLZF and CD49f as well as the spermatid marker peanut agglutinin (PNA), further demonstrating functional spermatogenesis of transplanted GFP\(^+\) SSCs (Fig. 3d).

GDNF is regulated by an FGF-2–FGFR1–CaN–NFAT signaling axis. The mechanism of GDNF regulation in the testes is not well understood but its expression is known to be, at least in part, dependent upon FGF-2\(^{31}\). FGF-2 has been suggested to promote SSC maintenance by inducing GDNF production by cells in the testes. Quantification of GDNF levels in media conditioned by primary TECs after FGF-2 treatment indicate that TECs produce GDNF. Our data show FGF-2 treatment induced significant levels of GDNF only in TECs, and not in LuECs or LiECs, consistent with studies showing ECs exhibit organ-specific gene expression profiles\(^{36,37}\).

FGF-2 activation of ECs occurs primarily through binding to FGFR\(^{40}\). To determine the requirement for FGFR1 expression on TECs for GDNF production, we isolated TECs from our mouse model of inducible Fgf1\(^{\Delta}\) deletion in ECs, referred to as Fgf1\(^{\Delta}\)/AEC\(^+/\)AEC mice. Fgf1\(^{\Delta}\)/LIEC\(^+/\)AEC TECs were treated with FGF-2 and subsequently GDNF levels were measured in the media. Fgf1\(^{\Delta}\)–/– TECs showed no increase in GDNF expression after FGF-2 treatment as compared to Fgf1\(^{\Delta}\+)/– wild-type TECs (Fig. 4a). To examine the effect of Fgf1 deletion in TECs\(^{8}\) on spermatogenesis in vivo, wild-type male mice were treated with busulfan, and 5 weeks later transplanted with Fgf1\(^{–}\)/– TECs, Fgf1\(^{+/+}\)/– TECs or PBS alone. Testes harvested from mice 12 weeks after transplantation with Fgf1\(^{–}\)/– TECs were comparable in size and weight to testes that were injected with PBS alone and significantly smaller in size and weight than testes transplanted with Fgf1\(^{+/+}\)/– TECs (Fig. 4b). Testis sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and demonstrate a significant number of seminiferous tubules lacking developing sperm (Fig. 4c). We also observed a significant decrease in PLZF\(^+\) cells and ECs as well as a reduction in proliferating germ cells in testes from Fgf1\(^{\Delta}\)/AEC\(^+/\)AEC mice (Supplementary Figure 7a, b). Our data implicate FGF-2 binding to FGFR1 is a key regulator of GDNF expression in TECs, and thus we co-cultured Thy1.2\(^+\) GFP cells with TECs and added FGF-2 alone or with GDNF (Fig. 4d). While colony formation was robust as quantified by both number and size of colonies in the co-cultures supplemented with GDNF and GDNF, the addition of FGF-2 alone to the growth media was sufficient for SSC maintenance and expansion (Fig. 4d) presumably due to the presence of TEC-derived GDNF.

Collectively, our data suggest that GDNF production should be impaired in mice with an endothelial-specific deletion of Fgf1 affecting SSC self-renewal after busulfan-mediated injury. Wild-type and Fgf1\(^{\Delta}\)/AEC\(^+/\)AEC mice were treated with busulfan and 4 weeks later testis sections were immunostained for GDNF showing significantly decreased expression in the testes of Fgf1\(^{\Delta}\)/AEC\(^+/\)AEC mice even after low-dose busulfan treatment (Fig. 4e). Since expansion of residual SSCs after low-dose busulfan treatment requires GDNF, 4 weeks after busulfan treatment, we examined the presence of SSCs in testis sections from Fgf1\(^{\Delta}\)/AEC\(^+/\)AEC mice by immunostaining for the SSC markers, Lin28 and Sall4. In contrast to wild-type mice, there was an almost complete absence of both Lin28\(^+\) and Sall4\(^+\) cells in testis sections from Fgf1\(^{\Delta}\)/AEC\(^+/\)AEC mice (Fig. 4f and Supplementary Figure 7c). If FGFR1 expression is necessary for GDNF production, transplanting Fgf1\(^{–}\)/– TECs should not restore spermatogenesis in busulfan-treated mice. Indeed, H&E sections of testes harvested from busulfan-treated mice after transplantation of Fgf1-null TECs showed minimal spermatogenesis and few DDH4- or PLZF-positive cells as compared to mice transplanted with Fgf1 wild-type TECs (Fig. 4g). Immunostaining with markers of differentiating sperm PNA and CD49f further confirmed the inability of Fgf1\(^{–}\)/– TECs to re-establish robust spermatogenesis in busulfan-treated mice (Fig. 4g).

Spermatogenesis defects in DS mice. Our data indicate that GDNF production by TECs is induced by FGF-2 binding to FGFR1. The most common signaling pathway downstream of FGF-2 is the MAP kinase pathway; however, other pathways have been linked to FGF-2–FGFR1 signaling including the calcium activated CaN–NFAT axis\(^{40}\). We and others have previously shown that endothelial activation is impaired in DS due in part to...
Fig. 3 SSCs cultured long term with TECs restore spermatogenesis and fertility in mice. 

**a** Images of testes from busulfan-treated mice 12 weeks after transplantation with GFP⁺ SSCs co-cultured long term with either TECs or STO feeder cells. Quantification of GFP⁺ SSC colonies is shown on right. Data shown are out of three independent experiments. a: Bar = 2 mm, b: bar = 4 mm, c: bar = 200 μm. *P < 0.05.

**b** Images of testes and GFP⁺ pups 16 weeks after transplantation of GFP⁺ SSCs co-cultured long term with TECs into infertile W/Wv mice. Bar = 2 mm.

**c** PCR of gfp expression in GFP⁺ pups (1, 4) non-GFP⁺ pups (WT), negative control (N/C), positive controls (GFP, GFP) and a no DNA template control (NTC).

**d** Images of testis sections immunostained with the undifferentiated spermatogonia markers PLZF and Cd49f as well as the spermatid marker PNA. Testes were from mice transplanted with GFP⁺ SSCs after long-term co-culture with TECs. Data shown are out of six independent experiments. Two-tailed unpaired T-test
chromosome 21-encoded inhibitors of CaN–NFAT signaling\textsuperscript{20}. Other studies show that males with DS have significantly reduced sperm counts and are either subfertile or infertile\textsuperscript{21,22}. The Ts65Dn DS mouse model with segmental human trisomy has many features of DS including male infertility\textsuperscript{41,42}. An almost complete lack of developing sperm throughout the seminiferous tubules and in the lumens along with an overall decrease in tubule size is observed by 8 weeks in these mice (Supplementary Figure 8a). To identify the SSC population, we immunostained testis sections for the germ cell markers DDX4, which labels SSCs...
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Fig. 4 FGF-2 activates GDNF expression in TECs via FGFR1. a Quantification of GDNF levels by ELISA in conditioned media from TECs isolated from Fgfr1+/− or Fgfr1−/− mice. Bar = 1 cm. b Images of testes from busulfan-treated (45 mg kg−1) WT mice 12 weeks post transplantation with PBS (ϕ), Fgfr1+/− or Fgfr1−/− TECs. Testis weights are indicated on the right (n = 4). c H&E images of testis sections from control (Fgfr1+/+) or endothelial-specific deletion of Fgfr1 (Fgfr1ΔEC/ΔEC) mice at 12 weeks after tamoxifen treatment to induce fgfr1 deletion from ECs. Red stars indicate empty seminiferous tubule. Bar = 100 μm. d Representative bright-field and GFP images of GFP+ SSCs co-cultured with TECs with the addition of FGF ± GDNF at the indicated concentrations after 4 weeks. SSC colony number and size over time are shown on right. e Immunofluorescence images of testis sections from Fgfr1+/− or Fgfr1ΔEC/ΔEC mice 5 weeks after busulfan treatment at the indicated concentrations. Sections were immunostained for GDNF with relative GDNF intensity quantified on the right (n = 3). f Representative images of testis sections immunostained for the SSC markers Lin28 and Sall4 from Fgfr1+/− (n = 3) or Fgfr1ΔEC/ΔEC (n = 4) 5 weeks after busulfan treatment (10 mg kg−1). Sall4+ or Lin28+ cells are quantified on the right. g Representative images of testis sections from WT mice (n = 3–4) treated with busulfan and transplanted with PBS (ϕ), Fgfr1−/−/− or TEC or Fgfr1+/+ TEC. Sections were stained with H&E and immunostained for Lectin-PNA, DDX4, CD49f and PLZF. Quantiﬁcation of spermatogenic seminiferous tubules and PLZF+ cells are on the right. All data are presented as the mean ± s.e.m. Bar = 50 μm. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. Data shown are representative of two independent experiments. Two-tailed unpaired T-test.

Differentiating sperm, as well as OCT4 and PLZF, primordial germ cell markers43, and the proliferation marker Ki67. The Ts65Dn testes exhibited decreased DDX4+, PLZF- and OCT4-positive cells over time as compared to euploid littermates (Fig. 5a and Supplementary Figure 8b). Examination of Sox9, a marker of Sertoli cells, revealed moderate decreases in this population in Ts65Dn testes versus euploid controls (Supplementary Figure 8c).

To further investigate the role of TECs and associated spermatogenic defects of DS with a more tractable system, we used human induced pluripotent stem cells (iPS) from Trisomy 21 (DS) and control human subjects to generate ECs. Trisomy 21 spermatogenic defects of DS with a more tractable system, we used human induced pluripotent stem cells (iPS) from Trisomy 21 (DS) and control human subjects to generate ECs. Trisomy 21 individuals displayed a more than 50% decrease in the expression of early growth response protein 1 (EGR-1), a transcription factor that binds the Egr1 promoter but not the Gdnf promoter in TECs, while an EGR-1 ChIP indicates direct EGR-1 binding to the Gdnf promoter in TECs (Fig. 5a and Supplementary Figure 10c). Additionally, an NFATc1 chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) shows that caNfatc1 binds to the Egr1 promoter but not the Gdnf promoter in TECs, while an EGR-1 ChIP indicates direct EGR-1 binding to the Gdnf promoter in TECs (Fig. 5g and Supplementary Figure 10d, e). Collectively, our data support a model whereby FGF-2 binding to FGFR1 on TECs activates a Ca2+/NFAT–EGR1–GDNF pathway regulating GDNF production by TECs (Fig. 5h).

Human SSCs are maintained in long-term culture. Numerous studies have cultured murine SSCs for long-term and transplanted them into busulfan-treated infertile male mice41. However, studies demonstrating long-term culture of human SSCs have been limited and not easily reproduced47,48. To determine whether human SSCs expanded on ECs retain their stem-like properties, we obtained testicular biopsies from prepubertal boys diagnosed with cancer prior to the onset of treatment. Due to the very small sample size of these biopsies, we plated the entire sample of testicular cells onto a monolayer of human ECs to minimize any loss of SSCs through selection. Because we were unable to isolate TECs from these minute testicular biopsies, we utilized human iPS-derived ECs labeled by Dil-Ac-LDL uptake. Using both fresh and previously frozen testicular biopsies, we examined putative SSC colony formation in vitro over time cultured with either iPS-ECs (Fig. 6a) or without ECs. By day 30, both fresh and frozen testicular cells co-cultured with iPS-ECs displayed classic SSC-like colonies throughout the culture, while freshly isolated testicular biopsies plated in the absence of ECs but supplemented with GDNF and FGF-2 died after 2 weeks. Both freshly isolated and previously frozen SSC-like cells with ECs continued to expand over time with numerous SSC-like colonies throughout the cultures observed at day 150 (Fig. 6a and Supplementary Figure 11a). To validate the presence of SSCs in colonies from our testicular cell/EC co-cultures, we examined expression of human SSC markers. Sections were immunostained for SSEA4 and CD49f/ITGA6, markers expressed predominantly on SSCs49–52.
Immunofluorescence analysis shows that colonies from human testicular cell/EC co-cultures are positive for SSEA4 and CD49f (Fig. 6b), implicating the presence of SSCs in these colonies. To ensure that human SSCs expanded during long-term EC co-cultures retain their stem cell identity, we dissociated SSC-like colonies 150 days after culture in vitro and labeled them with the fluorescent dye PKH67. Our PKH-labeled putative SSCs were transplanted into busulfan-treated Nude mice. The testes were examined after transplantation of our labeled human SSCs (Fig. 6c). At 2 days after transplantation, the PKH67+ cells were...
visible in the seminiferous tubules (Fig. 6c). By 40 days post transplantation, the formation of PKH67+ colonies in the seminiferous tubules were evident (Fig. 6c). Testes were isolated, sectioned and immunostained positively for SSEA4, a SSC marker, indicating the presence of SSCs in PKH67+ colonies (Fig. 6d).

Our data suggest that TECs, but not other organ endothelium, can support SSC self-renewal. Thus, we compared the secretome of TECs to that of LuECs and LiECs during tube formation assays to identify unique factors produced during TEC activation that may be critical for SSC maintenance. Besides GDNF, we identified three other factors that were specifically upregulated in TECs and also upregulated in TECs expressing caNFATc1 implicating their CaN dependence (Fig. 6c). These factors, IGFBP-2, SDF-1 and MIP-2, have been implicated in stem cell biology and hence were added along with GDNF and FGF-2 to human testicular cells or mouse testicular cells (Supplementary Fig. 11b) in vitro in the absence of feeder cells. By day 15, SSC colonies with typical morphology were observed in our feeder-free cultures. By day 25, robust SSC colony formation was observed that were maintained even after 85 days in culture (Fig. 6f). Quantification of SSC numbers in feeder-free cultures show that addition of GDNF, FGF-2, IGFBP2, SDF-1 and MIP-2 supported significant increases in SSC numbers over 9 weeks in comparison to cultures with only GDNF and FGF-2 (Fig. 6g). The ability to culture and expand SSCs under feeder-free conditions has important clinical significance by allowing the expansion of SSCs from testicular biopsies obtained from prepubertal boys prior to cancer treatment for restoration of fertility after a cancer-therapy treatment. For many years, it was assumed that endothelium throughout the body was functionally redundant. However, more recently studies have shown that ECs in different organ environments have distinct properties and roles with organ-specific functions regulated in part by unique secretomes. For example, liver endothelium has been shown to underlie liver regeneration by its production of hepatocyte growth factor, while lung endothelium is required for lung regeneration after injury due to its expression of MMP14,15. It is becoming increasingly evident that ECs from different organs are not interchangeable. Our data clearly indicate specialized roles for TECs in the germ cell niche that cannot be replaced by ECs from other tissues. Further confirmation of the significance of TECs in the SSC niche was observed by the restoration of spermatogenesis observed in DS mice after transplantation of wild-type TECs into the testes of Ts65Dn DS mice. Our data indicate defects in TEC activation in DS due to attenuation of the CaN–NFAT pathway by chromosome 21-encoded inhibitors of this pathway preventing transactivation of the NFAT-dependent target, GDNF.

GDNF has been identified as the single most important factor in SSC self-renewal as its loss leads to impaired spermatogenesis and its overexpression to expansion of undifferentiated spermatogonia in transgenic mouse models. Other cells in the testis such as Sertoli cells and PTM cells are also thought to produce GDNF. However, our data show that the restoration of murine spermatogenesis requires 6-month post-busulfan treatment due to the slow expansion of the few surviving SSCs after transplantation of wild-type TECs into the testes of Ts65Dn DS mice. Further, injection of wild-type TECs into mice immediately after busulfan treatment is sufficient to protect SSC destruction indicating a pro-survival function for TECs. While it is likely that the contribution of GDNF from Sertoli and PTM cells are also necessary for SSC maintenance, the level of GDNF produced from these two populations in the testes may not be sufficient. Since FGF-2 is also necessary for the maintenance of SSCs, we propose that FGF-2 activates TECs to produce GDNF and other factors for SSC self-

Discussion
It has long been established that stem cells, a self-renewing population of cells in most organs, are maintained in specialized tissue niches that require heterotypic supporting cells to provide factors necessary for their maintenance. However, for most organs, including the testis, the accessory cells required for stem cell self-renewal have not yet been conclusively identified. Collectively, our data implicate the testicular endothelium as a key population in the SSC niche producing GDNF and other factors necessary for SSC self-renewal. Here we show that TECs can maintain and expand putative SSCs in long-term culture, restoring spermatogenesis in mice after chemotherapy-induced infertility. SSCs cultured long term with TECs were functional as demonstrated by the birth of live pups after transplanted GFP+ SSCs. Further, we identified five growth factors produced specifically by TECs, but not other organ endothelium that are sufficient to maintain SSC-like colonies in feeder-free cultures. Our studies also provide insight into the mechanisms regulating GDNF expression in TECs by demonstrating GDNF as a key pathway.
renewal and/or maintenance. A critical threshold of GDNF is necessary for SSC maintenance but the source of GDNF may be less critical with TECs, Sertoli cells and PTMs all required to produce sufficient GDNF for SSC self-renewal.

Although murine SSCs can be expanded in culture, the ability to reproducibly culture human SSCs in the long term has not yet been achieved. The lack of fertility preservation options for prepubertal boys diagnosed with cancer has been attributed to the
inability to reproducibly expand the minute SSC population in testicular biopsies obtained from these patients prior to the onset of cancer treatment. Here our data indicate that human SSCs can be maintained in co-culture with human TECs in the long term. Functional confirmation of long-term cultured human SSCs was observed by migration to the basement membrane of the seminiferous tubules of Nude mice after transplantation. We show that TECs may be sufficient for the self-renewal and expansion of SSCs; however, the clinical application of stem cells is often hindered by a requirement for feeder cells. Since supplementation of GDNF alone is not sufficient for SSC survival when cultured on STO cells, we screened the secretome of activated TECs in comparison to liver and lung ECs. We identified IGFBP-2, SDF-1 and MIP-2 as specifically produced by TECs and previously implicated in stem cell biology25,26. Our work shows that the addition of IGFBP-2, SDF-1 and MIP-2 along with GDNF and FGF-2 is sufficient for the expansion and long-term culture of both murine and human SSCs in the absence of feeder cells.

Collectively, our data provide evidence for TECs as a key population in the germ cell niche providing GDNF, IGFBP-2, SDF-1 and MIP-2 for the maintenance of SSCs. Delineating the contribution of the CaN–NATF pathway in regulating GDNF production in TECs presents therapeutic targets for male infertility. Of great clinical significance is the identification of five growth factors that permit feeder-free expansion of SSCs in vitro removing the risk of transmitting feeder cell-derived viruses to SSCs. These data will allow us to expand human SSCs obtained from testicular biopsies of prepubertal boys diagnosed with cancer prior to the onset of cancer treatment with the possibility of preserving fertility in this patient population by eventually reintroducing SSCs upon treatment completion and a cancer-free diagnosis.

**Methods**

**Cell viability assay.** 1 × 10⁶ TECs or Sertoli cells (Lonna) were plated in 96-well plates and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. The 96-well plates were coated with 0.1% gelatin prior to seeding. BSA/1% FBS was added in 100 μl of DMEM with 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). BSA/1% FBS with MIP-2 and MIP-2 + GDNF was added to each well followed by incubation at 37 °C for 2 h. The MTT working solution was then added to each well followed by incubation at 37 °C for 2 h. The MTT working solution was removed and 100 μl of DMSO used at the indicated concentrations and incubated for 96 h. Media were removed and 100 μl of MTT working solution (ScienCellTM) was then added to each well followed by incubation at 37 °C for 2 h. The MTT working solution was removed and 50 μl of DMSO was added to each well. Samples were read at 590 nm wavelength. Each condition was performed in triplicate with n = 3 experiments. Statistics were analysed with GraphPad Prism.

**TEC proliferation and apoptosis assays.** 5 × 10⁵ testicular ECs per well were plated on 8-chamber slide (LabTek) coated with 0.1% gelatin. BSA (800 μM) or DMSO were added to each well 24 h after seeding and cultured for 72 or 96 h. Then, 10 μM BrdU (BD Pharmingen) was added to media 72 h after buserilin treatment and then incubated for 2 h. Samples were stained with anti-BrdU (1:30; Invitrogen), anti-α-2H3 (1:200; Millipore) and anti-cleaved caspase 3 (1:200; Cell Signaling). BrdU+ cells in 10 random high-powered fields were counted and statistics were analyzed using GraphPad Prism. Each condition was performed in triplicate.

**Human testicular cells.** Human testicular cells from prepubertal boys were obtained through open testicular biopsies performed by an urologist during a procedure when the patient is under general anesthesia for another purpose, i.e., antenatal line placement, bone marrow aspirations/biopsies. This procedure occurs before any cancer therapy is initiated. A small incision is made in the superior pole of the testis and an approximately 80 mm³ portion of the extruded seminiferous tubules is excised (about 2 mm × 4 mm × 10 mm). The size varies depending on the size of the patient. Consent was obtained prior to obtaining testicular biopsies. Given the young age of these patients, their parents signed the informed consent form and assent was obtained from the patients over the age of 12 years. All procedures were approved by the institutional review board at the Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia.

**Isolation of TECs.** To isolated TECs, testes from 3–4-week-old mice were harvested and minced as fine as possible. Testes tissues were digested in Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBBS) supplemented with 10 mg ml⁻¹ of type collagenase (Worthington) and 20 μg ml⁻¹ of DNase I (Sigma) for 35 min at 37°C with shaking over 250 rpm. Digested tissues were collected by spinning down 1500 rpm for 5 min at 4°C and tissue pellets were resuspended in 0.25% trypsin added with 7 mg ml⁻¹ of DNase I (Worthington), then incubated at 37°C for 5 min. Separated cells were then strained sequentially through a 100μm and a 40 μm strainer and BSA (2 mg ml⁻¹) was added and spun down in a centrifuge. Isolated cells were washed once with 10 ml of HBBS and spun down at 1000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. The cells were resuspended in 100–200 μl of MACS buffer and mixed with 10–20 μl of CD31 microbead (Miltenyi Biotec: Cat: 130-097-418) and 10–20 μl of mouse Fc receptor blocker and then incubation for 15 min at 4°C. Antigen-bound cells were then removed by MACS cell separation following the manufacturer's instruction. Purified cells were confirmed by immunostaining with CD31 (1:50; BD; Cat: 553370), VEGFR2 (1:100; Cell signaling; Cat: 55B11) and VEGFR1 (1:100, Santa Cruz; Cat: SC-9989), which were specifically expressed on endothelial cells (EBS). Isolated cells were washed with 1 ml of HBBS and suspended in 1000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. The cells were resuspended in 100–200 μl of MACS buffer and mixed with 10–20 μl of CD31 microbead (Miltenyi Biotec: Cat: 130-097-418) and 10–20 μl of mouse Fc receptor blocker and then incubation for 15 min at 4°C. Antigen-bound cells were then removed by MACS cell separation following the manufacturer's instruction. Purified cells were confirmed by immunostaining with CD31 (1:50; BD; Cat: 553370), VEGFR2 (1:100; Cell signaling; Cat: 55B11) and VEGFR1 (1:100, Santa Cruz; Cat: SC-9989), which were specifically expressed on EBS.

**SSC cultures with TECs or TEC-negative testicular cells.** C57BL/6 mice were obtained from Harlan Laboratories (Indianapolis, IN, USA). All mice were used at 2–3 weeks of age. For the isolation of TECs and TEC-negative testicular cells, magnetic-activated cell sorting (Macs) with anti-CD31 and anti-Thy-1 microbeads was conducted (Miltenyi Biotec, Auburn, CA, USA). Briefly, fresh testes were placed in Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (DBS; Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA) and decapsulated. Seminiferous tubules were then incubated in a 1:1 solution of collagenase type II (Worthington) 10 mg ml⁻¹ and 0.5 mg ml⁻¹ DNase I (Worthington) in DPBS at 37°C for 30 min. Cells were centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 5 min at 4°C and then incubated in a 1:1 solution of 0.25% trypsin-EDTA (Invitrogen) and 7 mg ml⁻¹ DNase I (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) in DPBS at 37°C for 5 min. Enzyme digestion was inactivated by the addition of FBS (Biotechnics research, INC. USA) equivalent to 10% of the initial volume. After digestion, testis cell suspension was filtered through 100 μm and 40 μm nylon mesh (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA), and centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 5 min at 4°C. TECs were isolated by MACS with anti-CD31 antibody microbeads. To separate TEC-negative population excluding germ cells, CD31− cells were then used for MACS with anti-Thy-1 microbeads and Thy-1− testicular cells were collected, resulting in CD31− Thy-1− testicular cells. The 2D co-cultures were generated by plating 3.0 × 10⁵ cultured GEP+ SSCs on top of 200 μl of solid Matrigel mixture containing TEC or TEC-negative testicular cells in serum-free medium.

**Western blot analysis.** Testes harvested from vehicle or busulfan-treated wild-type mice were homogenized in RIPA buffer and protein concentration quantified by the BioRad DC Protein Assay. Per sample, 25 μg of protein was separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS–PAGE), probed with anti-GDNF rabbit polyclonal antibody (1:500; Santa Cruz, Cat: 13147) or anti-cleaved Caspase 3 rabbit polyclonal antibody (1:1000; Cell Signaling, Cat: 9664)
and detected by chemiluminescence (ECL, Amersham). Sections were blocked in normal donkey serum and then incubated with primary antibody overnight. Secondary antibody to Carpinteria, CA) at 99 °C for 20 min. Sections were blocked in normal donkey serum albumin in 0.1% phosphate-buffered saline with Tween-20 (PBS-T)) for 1 h at room temperature (RT), then incubated with either anti-mouse Alexa 594 (1×1000), Thermofischer, Cat: A11032) or anti-rabbit Alexa 488 (1×1000). Thermofischer, Cat: A32723) at RT for 30 min. Sections were stained for 1 min to detect nuclei. Immunofluorescence images of testsis regular sections were captured with Axio- Vision software (Zeiss) mounted on a Zeiss Imager M2 microscope or 30 μm thickness sections were captured Z-stack with Zeiss LSM 710 confocal, then all Z-stack images were reconstructed as a projection images by ImageJ (National Institutes of Health). Digital images were analyzed for the area and density of endothelial cell markers, germline stem cell markers and GDNF by counting 5 random 20× fields per testis section.

Mice were killed 5–6 weeks after busulfan treatment and tissues were fixed in paraformaldehyde and then embedded in paraffin. Slides were cut in 5 μm sections. For antigen retrieval, the sections were baked at 60 °C for 60 min and subjected to antigen retrieval using DAKO target antigen retrieval solution (Dako, Carpinteria, CA) at 99 °C for 20 min. Sections were blocked in normal donkey serum and then incubated with primary antibody overnight. Secondary antibody to the appropriate species antibodies followed by amplification with streptavidin-horseradish peroxidase was used. Slides were stained with AEC+ substrate. Bright-field images were captured by Olympus BX51 (Olympus) with an AxioCam digital camera (Zeiss). A random section of testsis was examined under 200× and the field searched for complete tubules seen in cross-section without evidence of obvious fixation artifacts. At least 2 cross-sectional areas were scored for each section, and the fields were randomly moved and all cross-sectional tubules counted in that field. This was continuing until the 10–20 tubules were counted per slide.

**GDNF ELISA and mRNA.** Testis, lung, or liver ECs were plated (10,000 cells/ml) on 12 well dishes coated with 0.1% gelatin and cultured for 24 hours. Conditioned media was harvested at 24 and 48 hours after FGF-2 (2 ng/ml), 20 ng/ml and 50 ng/ml) treatment and analyzed by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for GDNF (Promega GDNF Emax immunoassay system, #G7621) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism. For quantification of Gdnf mRNA, total RNA was isolated from the cells using Directzol RNA MiniPrep (Zymo Research) and reverse transcribed using High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biology Systems), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All gene expression levels were normalized to Gapdh mRNA levels.

**Testicular cell proliferation.** 1×104 total testicular cells were plated on gelatin-coated 8-well LabTek chamber slides and cultured overnight. TEC-derived GDNF in the media was neutralized by the addition of 2 μg/ml of GDNF antibody or IgG at 35 °C for 1 h as indicated by the added monoclonal antibody. Gαq and GDNF depletion was confirmed by GDNF ELISA, conditioned media were added to testicular cells for 24 h. Testicular cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde followed by blocking in 5% normal goat serum and permeabilization in 0.1% bovine serum albumin in 0.1% PBS-T. Proliferation was detected by immuno- staining with anti-Ki67 rabbit polyclonal-FITC Ab (1:50; Abcam) or isotype- matched control antibodies. Primary antibodies were added for 1 h. Cells were washed with PBS-T before the addition of anti-mouse-Alexa 594 (1×1000; Molecu- lar Probes) for 30 min and protected from light. Nuclei were stained with 1% w/v 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) for 1 min. Cells were washed, mounted and imaged as described above. Ki67+ and SSC− cells were counted in 10 random fields and the percent positive cells were analyzed by software prisma (GraphPad Prism).

**Transplantation of GFP+ SSCs.** Five to six-week-old male C57Bl/6 mice were treated with one dose of busulfan (45 mg kg−1, Sigma) by intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection to deplete SSCs. After 6 weeks, busulfan-treated C57Bl/6 mice were used as recipients. Because of their lack of endogenous spermatogenesis, W/Wv mice were used as recipients for transplantation experiments to produce offspring. To quantify donor-derived spermatogenesis, donor cells were transplanted into busulfan-treated C57Bl/6, resulting in approximately 80% filling of the seminiferous tubules. To conduct progeny generation transplantation, approximately 2 μl (70 × 106 cells per ml) of donor cells were transplanted into W mutant mice through efferent ducts and then 1.5 μl (50 × 106 cells per ml) of TEs were directly injected into inner space of testis. At 3 months after transplantation, recipient mice were analyzed for donor-derived spermatogenesis under fluorescent microscope. All animal procedures were performed according to the approved guidelines of the Animal Care and Use Committee of Chung-Ang University in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health (IAUC assurance no. 11-0308) and the University of Pennsylvania (Philadelphia, PA) Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC protocol no. 80423).

**Mice.** C57Bl/6 mice were obtained from Jackson Laboratories. VE-cadherin-Cre-ER mice were provided by Ralf Adams. Fgrfl/fl mice were generated as previously described. All mice were used at 5–6 weeks of age. All animal experiments were performed under the guidelines set by the University of Pennsylvania IACUC and approved by the same committee. WBB6F1-W/Wv mutant mice (W mutant mice), obtained from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME, USA), were used as recipients for transplantation.

**SSC-enriched cultures.** The 2D co-cultures were generated by plating 2.7×105 cultured GFP+ SSCs on STO feeder cells28 or on top of 200 μl of solid matrigel mixture containing TEC (BD Bioscience) in serum-free medium32 with exogenous GDNF 10 ng ml−1 (R&D System), GFPFl 75 ng ml−1 (R&D system) and FGFl-2 1 ng ml−1 (BD Biosciences). Average GFP+ SSC colony area was measured and GFP+ SSC cell number were counted 8 days after plating. Each condition was performed in triplicate.

The 3D spheroid colonies were generated by isolation of Thy-1.2+ GSCs from the testes of 6–8-day-old mice. Next, 50,000 freshly isolated Thy-1.2+ germ stem cells were mixed with 25,000 TEs or LoECs in a 2:1 mixture of Matrigel and Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium/F12 (Gibco) containing 10% FBS, 2 mM l−1-glutamine, 100 μl−1 penicillin-streptomycin and 1 ml of ITS universal (BD Bioscience) and plated into 8-well LabTek chamber slides (Thermo Scientific). Cultures were incubated at 37 °C for 40 min to solidify followed by the addition of germ stem cell media to the top of the solid Matrigel mixture. Cultured Thy-1.2+ SSCs were dissociated into single cell colonies and the average diameter of colonies were measured on the indicated days after plating.

**Transplantation of TEs into Ts65Dn mice.** Nine-week-old Ts65Dn male mice were anesthetized with Avertin (250 mg kg−1) by i.p. injection for surgical cell transplantation. At transplantation, 10 μl (0.5 × 106 cells per ml) of TEs from C57Bl/6 wild-type GFP-ubiquitin transgenic mice were microinjected into the testes of Ts65Dn mice. After transplantation, the surface tubules of the testes were filled about 50%, and Trypan blue was used to examine cell death.

**iPSC differentiation.** To generate iPSC lines, either human fibroblasts/stromal cells were transduced with PMXs-based retroviral supernatant with human OCT4, SOX2, KLF4, or MYC as previously described30, or mononuclear cells were infected with pHage-CMV-RTTA-W and pHage-Tet-STEMMCA-loxP virus as previously described31. All cells were cultured on 0.1% gelatin-coated dishes in human endothelial cell medium (Lonza; ECM® MV Bulletkit) with 50 ng/ml αVEGF. Then, 500,000 disaggregated single embryonic bodies from human iPSCs were cultured for 48 h. Non-adherent cells were gently removed and adherent cells were cultured for 1–2 passages. Cells at 80–90% confluence were dissociated with enzyme free cell dissociation solution (Millipore) for 30 min and isolated with a human CD34 microbead kit (Miltenyi Biotec) following the manufacturer’s instruction. Isolated CD34+ cells were cultured until cells were confluent. CD34+ cells were selected with human CD31 microbead kit (Miltenyi Biotec) and CD34+CD11b+ cells were characterized by Ac-CDL uptake, immunostaining with CD31, VEGFR2 and VE-cadherin, and matrigel tube formation assay.

**ChIP.** ECs were transfected with cnaNAT135 and cultured in endothelial cell growth medium. Cells were washed with PBS, crossinked for 10 min in 1% formaldehyde, quenched with 0.125 M glycine, washed with PBS, then lysed (100 μl Tris pH 8.0, 10 mM NaCl, 0.2% NP-40, protease inhibitors, H2O) and cytoplasmic contents removed. Nuclei were then lysed (50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 10 mM EDTA, 1% SDS, protease inhibitors, H2O) and sonicated. Samples were precleared with protein G and 50 μg of mouse IgG for 2 h at 4 °C. Samples were centrifuged, pellets discarded and supernatant and eluate mixed with protein G slurry. Antibodies used were anti-NFAT1 (Sc-7294; Santa Cruz) and mouse IgG. Crosslinking was reversed, DNA eluted, and qPCR performed for the region between –1000 and –830 on the E1 promoter, using primers F: 5′-ACCTAGAAACACTAGGTCCCGCA and R: 5′-AGTGTCCCGAGCACCAGTGGC. The negative control primers cover the region from –548 to –420 on the E1-promoter, and the sequences are primers F: 5′-AGACCT- TATTGGGCAAAGGCTTTA and R: 5′-GCCAAGTGTGCTGTTCACACTACTA.
GFP<sup>+</sup> Thy-1<sup>+</sup> germline stem cell and TEC transplantation. The 5–6-week-old male C57Bl/6 mice were treated with one dose of busulfan (45 mg kg<sup>−1</sup>, Sigma) by i.p. injection to deplete SSCs<sup>+</sup>. After 6 weeks, busulfan-treated mice were anesthetized with 2–4% isoflurane and microinjected with either 10<sup>6</sup> of 3D Matrigel cultured GFP<sup>+</sup>Thy-1<sup>+</sup> SSCs (5 × 10<sup>6</sup> cells per ml) or GFP<sup>+</sup> testicular or luminal endothelial cells (5 × 10<sup>5</sup> cells per ml) isolated from C57Bl/6 GFP-ubiquitin transgenic mice into the testes of recipient mice. All animal procedures were performed according to the approved guidelines of the Animal Care and Use Committee of Chung-Ang University in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health (IACUC assurance no. 11–0038) and the University of Pennsylvania (Philadelphia, PA) IACUC (IACUC protocol no. 804423).

**Statistical analysis.** Prism (GraphPad) was used for graphing and statistical analysis of data. Statistical significance was determined by T-test (two-tailed unequal) and two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test between two groups.
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