Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of Si₃N₄-Fe₃Si Composites Prepared by Gas-Pressure Sintering
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Abstract: Si₃N₄-Fe₃Si composites were prepared using Fe-Si₃N₄ as the source of Fe₃Si by gas-pressure sintering. By adding different amounts of Fe-Si₃N₄ into the starting powders, Si₃N₄-Fe₃Si composites with various Fe₃Si phase contents were obtained. The microstructure and mechanical properties of the composites were investigated. With the increase of Fe-Si₃N₄ contents, the content and particle size of Fe₃Si both increased. When more than 60 wt. % Fe-Si₃N₄ were added, the abnormal growth of Fe₃Si particles occurred and oversized Fe₃Si particles appeared, leading to non-uniform microstructures and worse mechanical properties of the composites. It has been found that Fe₃Si particles could toughen the composites through particle pull-out, interface debonding, crack deflection, and particle bridging. Uniform microstructure and improved mechanical properties (flexural strength of 354 MPa and fracture toughness of 8.4 MPa·m¹/²) can be achieved for FSN40.
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1. Introduction

Si₃N₄ is one of the most promising engineering ceramics with high strength, high hardness, good oxidation, and corrosion resistance—even at high temperature. It is also an irreplaceable material in modern industries [1–4]. Numerous works have been done to lower the price of Si₃N₄ products in order to realize its wide application. However, in some price-sensitive areas, like the Metallurgical industry, the cost of Si₃N₄ is still too high. To tackle this problem, a novel refractory material, ferro-silicon nitride (Fe-Si₃N₄) has been developed and has successfully replaced the relatively expensive Si₃N₄ [5,6]. Using the ferro-silicon alloy FeSi75 as the raw material, Fe-Si₃N₄ powder can be synthesized by direct nitridation [7–9] or self-propagating high-temperature synthesis [10–13] under nitrogen atmosphere. Owing to the catalytic effect of Fe, a lower nitridation temperature and higher reaction rate can be achieved [7,14,15]. FeSi75 is extensively used as a hardener and scavenger in smelting steel, so it can be easily obtained at a low price. And the synthesis process of Fe-Si₃N₄ is concise, cost effective, and can be operated on a large scale. The above factors lead to the low cost of Fe-Si₃N₄ products.

Microstructural analysis has shown that Fe-Si₃N₄ was mainly composed of Si₃N₄ (~75 wt. %) and a small amount of un-nitrided Fe₃Si (~15 wt. %) [16,17]. With the main phase being Si₃N₄, Fe-Si₃N₄ inherits the excellent comprehensive properties of pure Si₃N₄. What is more, the low melting point Fe-containing phase endows Fe-Si₃N₄ with good sinterability which resulted from enhanced particle rearrangement and diffusion in the presence of a more liquid phase [6].

Through the reaction bonding of FeSi75 powder compact or the sintering of Fe-Si₃N₄, porous Fe-Si₃N₄ ceramics and Fe-Si₃N₄ based ceramic composites for the refractory application
can be prepared. Several studies have been done using these methods to fabricate Fe-Si$_3$N$_4$ [6], Fe-Si$_3$N$_4$-SiC [18,19], and Fe-Si$_3$N$_4$-ZrO$_2$ [20] refractories. Results showed that, compared with their traditional rivals, Fe-Si$_3$N$_4$ based refractories exhibit high thermal strength, a higher coefficient of thermal conductivity, and better thermal shock resistance [19].

As the above application of Fe-Si$_3$N$_4$ has attracted much attention, the potential of Fe-Si$_3$N$_4$, which can be regarded as Si$_3$N$_4$-Fe$_3$Si composite ceramics, as a thermal structural material has not been researched yet. For a long time, intermetallic compounds have been added to ceramics materials to toughen the brittle ceramics [21–24]. For instance, using Ni$_2$Al intermetallic compound as a second phase, an Al$_2$O$_3$ composite with high strength and high toughness can be prepared [25]. Toughening effects that result from intermetallic particles can be attributed to crack deflection, crack bridging, plastic deformation [26,27], and residue stress caused by a coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) mismatch [28]. Among the component of Fe-Si$_3$N$_4$, not only Si$_3$N$_4$, but also Fe$_3$Si—which is an intermetallic compound—have good thermal mechanical properties [29,30]. So, one can infer that with both of its main phases having a high performance, the mechanical properties of Fe-Si$_3$N$_4$ are worth studying.

In this work, Si$_3$N$_4$-Fe$_3$Si composites that contain different amount of Fe$_3$Si with high relative density are successfully prepared by gas-pressure sintering. The microstructure and mechanical properties are studied and the effect of Fe$_3$Si particles on crack propagation behavior is highlighted.

2. Materials and Methods

Fe-Si$_3$N$_4$ powder (Fe content: 12–20 wt. %; Xi’an Aoqin new materials Co., Ltd., Xi’an, China), Si$_3$N$_4$ powder (purity > 99.9%, 0.8 μm, Shanghai Shuitian technology Co., LTD., Shanghai, China), Y$_2$O$_3$ powder (purity > 99.9%, 1 μm, Shanghai Shuitian technology Co., LTD., Shanghai, China), and Al$_2$O$_3$ powder (purity > 99.9%, 1 μm, Shanghai Shuitian technology Co., LTD., Shanghai, China) were used as starting powders. Y$_2$O$_3$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ act as sintering aids. Under high temperature, Y$_2$O$_3$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ react with SiO$_2$ or silicon oxynitride, which are always present on the surfaces of Si$_3$N$_4$ powders, to form a liquid phase that is beneficial for densification. The size distribution of the starting powders are given in Figure 1. In order to adjust the content of Fe$_3$Si in the final composites of the Si$_3$N$_4$-Fe$_3$Si composites, different ratios between Fe-Si$_3$N$_4$ and Si$_3$N$_4$ powders were used. The compositions of the starting powders are shown in Table 1. The powders were balled milled in alcohol using a planetary mill for 24 h at a rotating speed of 300 rpm. After drying and sieving, the powders were pressed uniaxial in a stainless-steel die at a pressure of 70 MPa and were then cold-isostatically pressed at a pressure of 200 MPa. The sintering of the green bodies was conducted under a nitrogen pressure of 10 MPa at 1800 °C for 2 h.

![Figure 1. Size distribution of the starting powders.](image-url)
Table 1. Compositions of the starting powders used to prepare Si\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4}-Fe\textsubscript{3}Si composites.

| Samples | Fe-Si\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} (wt. %) | Si\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} (wt. %) | Y\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} (wt. %) | Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} (wt. %) | Fe\textsubscript{3}Si in Starting Materials (vol. %) |
|---------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| FSN20   | 20                  | 70                  | 5                   | 5                   | 1.9                 |
| FSN40   | 40                  | 50                  | 5                   | 5                   | 3.9                 |
| FSN60   | 60                  | 30                  | 5                   | 5                   | 5.9                 |
| FSN80   | 80                  | 10                  | 5                   | 5                   | 8.1                 |
| FSN90   | 90                  | 0                   | 5                   | 5                   | 9.2                 |

The bulk density and the open porosity of the sintered specimens were measured according to the Archimedes principle and can be calculated from the equation:

\[
\rho = \frac{m_1}{m_3 - m_2}\rho_{\text{water}}
\]

\[
P = \frac{m_3 - m_1}{m_3 - m_2}
\]

where \(\rho\) is the bulk density, \(m_1\) is the dry mass of the samples in air, \(m_2\) is the mass of the specimen when fully impregnated with the water, and \(m_3\) is the impregnated mass whilst suspended in the water. Phase compositions of the samples were identified by X-ray powder diffraction analysis (XRD, Rigaku-D/max-2400; Tokyo, Japan). Microstructures were observed by back scattered electron images (BSE, S-4700, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) on the polished surfaces so as to reveal the morphologies and distribution states of the \(\beta\)-Si\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} grains, the grain boundary phases, and the Fe\textsubscript{3}Si particles. Image analysis was conducted to determine the phase content and the particle size with image analysis software that analyzed ten different back scattered electron (BSE) images. The microstructures of the fracture surfaces of the ceramics were observed using SEM (S-4700, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). The elemental composition was analyzed with an energy dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDS). Indentations were placed on the polished surfaces by a Vickers indenter with a load of 9.8 N holding for 15 s to measure the hardness of the sintered samples, and then the indented surfaces were observed by SEM to examine the crack/microstructure interactions. Flexural strengths of the composites were tested by three-point bending on bars that were 40 mm long, 4 mm wide, and 3 mm thick according to the ASTM-D790 standard, using a 30 mm span and a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/min. Fracture toughness was evaluated by single-edge notched beam (SENB) according to the ASTM-C1421-01b standard at a span of 20 mm and a crosshead speed of 0.05 mm/min using bar samples that were 30 mm long, 2 mm wide, and 4 mm thick. Fracture toughness was also calculated by the Vickers indentation technique through the following equation:

\[
K_{IC} = 0.043H\sqrt{a}\left(\frac{E\phi}{H}\right)^{2/5}\left(\frac{c}{a}\right)^{-3/2}
\]

where \(K_{IC}\) is the fracture toughness, \(H\) is the hardness, \(E\) is Young’s modulus, \(\phi\) is the constraint factor (~3), and \(c\) and \(a\) are half length of the diagonal of the indentation and the average crack length that was introduced by the indentation.

3. Results and Discussion

The density and open porosity of the Si\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4}-Fe\textsubscript{3}Si composites are listed in Table 2. It can be found that the dense ceramic composites with high densities (\(\geq 3.2\) g/cm\(^3\)) and low open porosities (\(\leq 2.06\%\)) were prepared successfully by gas-pressure sintering.

Figure 2 shows the X-ray diffraction patterns of the sintered samples. The crystalline phases that were identified in these spectrums include \(\beta\)-Si\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} (ICDD PDF Card No. 33-1160), Y\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{7} (ICDD PDF Card No. 38-0440), Fe\textsubscript{3}Si (ICDD PDF Card No. 35-0519), and Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} (ICDD PDF Card No. 23-1009).
It is obvious that in all of the samples, the main phases were $\beta$-$\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$ and no $\alpha$-$\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$ could be detected, indicating a fully $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ phase transformation during the liquid phase sintering. Diffraction peaks around the $2\theta$ of 29.4° indicate the existence of a $\text{Y}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7$ phase, which was formed at the grain junction of $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$ by the reaction between sintering aid $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3$ and $\text{SiO}_2$ at the surface of $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$ powder, which crystallized when it was cooled [31]. Studies have shown that the crystalline $\text{Y}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7$ secondary phase is beneficial for high temperature oxidation resistance and thermal mechanical properties of $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$ based materials [32,33]. $\text{Fe}_3\text{Si}$ was identified in all of the samples, suggesting that $\text{Fe}_3\text{Si}$ was stable at the sintering condition and was successfully introduced into the composites by adding Fe-$\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$ to the raw materials.

Table 2. Density, open porosity, and mechanical property of the $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$-$\text{Fe}_3\text{Si}$ composites.

| Samples | Density (g/cm$^3$) | Open Porosity (%) | Vickers Hardness (GPa) | Flexural Strength (MPa) | Fracture Toughness (MPa·m$^{1/2}$) |
|---------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| FSN-20  | 3.20               | 0.67              | 11.21                  | 293 ± 37               | 8.2 ± 0.6 9.6 ± 0.9               |
| FSN-40  | 3.28               | 0.46              | 9.91                   | 354 ± 44               | 8.4 ± 0.4 10.1 ± 0.8              |
| FSN-60  | 3.28               | 0.65              | 9.43                   | 261 ± 12               | 6.5 ± 0.8 8.1 ± 0.8               |
| FSN-80  | 3.29               | 2.06              | 9.19                   | 235 ± 21               | 5.7 ± 0.5 7.0 ± 0.5               |
| FSN-90  | 3.37               | 1.17              | 8.79                   | 229 ± 15               | 3.8 ± 0.5 4.6 ± 0.7               |

Figure 2. X-ray diffraction patterns of FSN20, FSN40, FSN60, FSN80, and FSN90. Peak positions of $\alpha$-$\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$ (ICDD PDF Card No. 41-0360) are denoted as the vertical lines at the bottom of the coordinate system.

It has been found that ferrous metals (Fe, Ni, Co, etc.) have a high affinity for Si, so that SiC and $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$ are reactive to Fe and some of its alloys [34,35]. T. Shimoo and K. Okamura [36] studied the reactions between silicides of Fe and $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$. In their work, they found that the silicides with a low Si/metal ratio react with $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$ to produce those with a high Si/metal ratio. At 1250 °C under Ar atmosphere, FeSi can be generated through the following overall reaction:

$$3\text{Fe}_3\text{Si} + 2\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 = 9\text{FeSi} + 4\text{N}_2$$  (4)

However, in our system, the sintering was conducted under a high N$_2$ pressure (10 MPa). Furthermore, in the process of gas-pressure sintering, with an increasing temperature, the pores of the ceramic became closed pores so that the N$_2$ that was produced through the above reaction could not be released, resulting in a local environment with an even higher N$_2$ pressure which meant that the
reaction could be suppressed, making Fe$_3$Si stable to survive the sintering process. Thermodynamic analysis was done to calculate the Gibbs free energy of the reaction, and the results are present in Figure 3. It can be seen that with an increasing pressure of N$_2$, the Gibbs free energy drops gradually. When N$_2$ pressure was above 13.7 MPa, the Gibbs free energy was greater than 0 kJ·mol$^{-1}$, indicating that the reaction could no longer continue. In our sintering condition, the external pressure of N$_2$ was 10 MPa, therefore when the reaction occurred and N$_2$ was produced, the local pressure of N$_2$ was much higher than 10 MPa, meaning the reaction could be suppressed or even stopped.

![Image of Gibbs free energy graph](image)

**Figure 3.** Gibbs free energy of reaction (4) at 1800 °C under different N$_2$ pressures.

Figure 4 shows the BSE images and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) analysis of the composites. It can be seen from Figure 4a–f that all of the samples were mainly composed of three phases which are indicated by arrows in Figure 4f: the dark-gray columnar grains, the light-gray phases, and the white dispersive particles. EDS analysis (Table 3) confirmed that they are Si$_3$N$_4$, grain boundary phases, and Fe$_3$Si, respectively. It can be clearly noted that, with the increase of Fe-Si$_3$N$_4$ content in the raw materials, the content of the Fe$_3$Si phase in the composites arises. More importantly, the particle size of Fe$_3$Si grows remarkably. In Figure 4a, the particle size of Fe$_3$Si is smaller than 5 μm. However, in Figure 4e, Fe$_3$Si particles that are bigger than 20 μm can be found. This phenomenon was confirmed by particle size measurements through image analysis (Figure 5). In Figure 5a, it can be found that the volume content rose in approximate linearity with the increase of Fe-Si$_3$N$_4$ content. The volume fraction of Fe$_3$Si for FSN20, FSN40, FSN 60, FSN80, and FSN90 after sintering are 0.7, 1.6, 2.5, 3.3, and 4.1 vol. %. Assuming that Fe-Si$_3$N$_4$ contains 18 wt. % Fe$_3$Si and the density of Fe$_3$Si is 6.34 g/cm$^3$ [37], the content of Fe$_3$Si for each sample before sintering are calculated and the results (see Table 1) are 1.9, 3.9, 5.9, 8.1, and 9.2 vol. % respectively. So, we can estimate that about 60% of Fe$_3$Si are sacrificed. There may be two reasons for the loss of Fe$_3$Si. Firstly, Fe$_3$Si dissolves into the grain boundary phase and EDS results showed that Fe exists in it. Secondly, since our method was based on the image analysis of BSE images, Fe$_3$Si particles that were too small to recognize would have been neglected.

The average particle size of Fe$_3$Si increased gradually from 1.19 μm to 2.75 μm. Figure 5b gives the particle size distribution of Fe$_3$Si, from which it can be discovered that with the increase of Fe-Si$_3$N$_4$, although the average particle size of Fe$_3$Si rose mildly, the abnormal growth of the particles occurred, and the number of big Fe$_3$Si particles grew rapidly. In samples of FSN80 and FSN90, although the average size of Fe$_3$Si remains relatively small, many Fe$_3$Si particles that were bigger than 10 μm can be found frequently, indicating that with the increase of Fe-Si$_3$N$_4$ content in the starting powder, the degree of microstructure inhomogeneity would rise.
The difference in particle sizes and their distributions of Fe$_3$Si in different samples can be explained by the flow of liquid Fe$_3$Si in porous Si$_3$N$_4$ during the sintering process. Since the melting point of Fe$_3$Si is about 1280 °C [38], at sintering temperature, the Fe$_3$Si is in liquid state and can flow easily. When the content of Fe-Si$_3$N$_4$ was low and the Si$_3$N$_4$ content was high, the frameworks that were formed by the Si$_3$N$_4$ particles were relatively dense. Hence, the melting Fe$_3$Si droplets were separated from each other and existed discretely. With the increase of the content of Fe-Si$_3$N$_4$ and the decrease of the content of Si$_3$N$_4$, the Si$_3$N$_4$ frameworks were weakened, and when the porosity of Si$_3$N$_4$ and the content of Fe$_3$Si reached a critical point, percolation occurred and Fe$_3$Si droplets joined each other and flowed to form bigger droplets and solidified into solid particles upon cooling.

**Figure 4.** Back scattered electron (BSE) morphologies and (energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy) EDS analysis of the Si$_3$N$_4$-Fe$_3$Si composites. (a–e) BSE morphologies of FSN20—FSN90. (f) High magnification of the BSE images and EDS analysis of FSN60.

| Element | Spot A | Spot B | Spot C |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| Si      | 55.4   | 22.5   | 23.0   |
| N       | 44.6   | 18.0   | 0      |
| Y       | -      | 8.1    | 0      |
| Al      | -      | 11.0   | 0      |
| O       | -      | 38.9   | 0      |
| Fe      | -      | 1.5    | 77.0   |

**Table 3.** EDS analysis of spots in Figure 4f.
The mechanical properties of the Si₃N₄-Fe₃Si composites are presented in Table 2 and Figure 6. From Table 2, we can see that the Vickers hardness of the samples decreased with the increase of Fe₃Si content, which is as expected because the hardness of Fe₃Si is much lower than that of Si₃N₄. Figure 6 shows the dependence of the flexural strength and fracture toughness of the Si₃N₄-Fe₃Si composites on the content of Fe-Si₃N₄ in starting powders. It can be seen that the flexural strength and fracture toughness of FSN20 are 293 MPa and 7.9 MPa·m¹/², respectively. The highest flexural strength and fracture toughness were obtained (354 MPa and 8.4 MPa·m¹/²) when the content of Fe-Si₃N₄ increased to 40 wt. %. However, a further increase in Fe-Si₃N₄ resulted in a gradual degradation of the mechanical properties of the composites. The above results showed that by carefully adjusting the composition of the raw materials, a Si₃N₄-Fe₃Si composite with improved mechanical properties can be obtained. Dense monolithic Si₃N₄ ceramics that are fabricated using Si₃N₄ powder with high purity typically show good mechanical properties (three point bending strength of 400–900 MPa and fracture toughness of 3.4–8.2 MPa·m¹/² [39]). Our results show that by replacing 40 wt. % Si₃N₄ powder with the cost-effective Fe-Si₃N₄ powder, composites can obtain mechanical properties—especially fracture toughness that is at the same level with dense monolithic Si₃N₄ ceramics.
The toughening effect of Fe₃Si was further studied by Vickers indentation (Figure 8). Four typical cracks (Figure 8a–d) in sample FSN60 were selected for detailed analysis. The propagation paths of the cracks shown in Figure 8a,b were free of Fe₃Si particles, and although crack deflection by Si₃N₄ crystals can be seen (Figure 8a), the crack lengths was relatively long (24.0 µm and 18.9 µm, respectively). In Figure 8c,d, where the cracks interacted with Fe₃Si in the form of interface debonding, particle bridging, and crack deflection, the crack length was smaller (15.3 µm and 14.7 µm, respectively), which indicates an improved toughness. Since Fe₃Si has better plasticity than the brittle Si₃N₄, when the crack propagated to the vicinity of the Fe₃Si particle, the stress concentration around the crack tip can be somewhat reduced, thus the tendency to the ripping of the material was inhibited. The CTE of...
Fe₃Si (14.4 × 10⁻⁶ K⁻¹ [41]) was much bigger than that of Si₃N₄ (2.9 × 10⁻⁶ K⁻¹ [39]), so the interface between Fe₃Si and Si₃N₄ was under tensile stress at room temperature, resulting in a weak interfacial bonding strength. When under stress, the weak interface between Fe₃Si and the surrounding phase debonded (Figure 8c,d). This led to a tortuous crack path (Figure 8d) or particle bridging (Figure 8d).  

![Figure 8](image-url)  

**Figure 8.** Crack/microstructure interactions in FSN60. Four typical cracks (a–d) were selected for detailed analysis. Red points stand for the diagonal apexes of the indentations. Red arrows stand for the propagation direction of the cracks.  

With the above-revealed toughening mechanism of Fe₃Si, the dependence of the flexural strength and fracture toughness of the Si₃N₄-Fe₃Si composites on the content of Fe-Si₃N₄ in starting powders can be explained. The inherent brittleness of ceramics is determined by its poor plasticity, so that when under stress, little energy can be consumed by the plastic flow. In order to improve the fracture toughness of ceramics, other energy dissipation mechanisms like crack deflection, bridging, or particle pull-out are often utilized in ceramic composites. Compared with the sample of FSN20, FSN40 contains more Fe₃Si particles and the particle size of Fe₃Si in it remains small (Figure 5). Large amounts of fine dispersed Fe₃Si particles improved the strength and toughness of FSN40. When the content of Fe-Si₃N₄ in starting powders was more than 60 wt. %, despite the fact that the phase content of Fe₃Si increased, the Fe₃Si particles suffered severe growth, and large particles that were bigger than 15 µm emerged (Figure 5b). Large Fe₃Si particles result in a non-uniform microstructure and may serve as crack origins when the samples are loaded, so the mechanical properties of FSN60, FSN80, and FSN90 are damaged. Narisco [42,43] studied the coefficient of the thermal expansion (CTE) properties of several metal-ceramic composites, and it was found that metals usually have CTE one magnitude higher than that of ceramics. In our study, the interface of Fe₃Si and Si₃N₄ are under residue tensile stress due to the mismatch of CTEs. The residue tensile stress may result in cracks and voids between Fe₃Si and Si₃N₄, which can act as a crack origin when under stress, and this may be one reason for the low mechanical properties of the composite with a high Fe₃Si content.
4. Conclusions

In this study, Si$_3$N$_4$-Fe$_3$Si composites with a different content of the Fe$_3$Si phase were fabricated using starting powders of different compositions. The microstructure and mechanical properties of the composites were investigated by various methods. Special attention was placed on the particle size distribution of Fe$_3$Si and their effect on the mechanical properties of the composites. The main conclusions can be summarized as follows.

The sintered composites were mainly composed of Si$_3$N$_4$, Fe$_3$Si, and the grain boundary phase. With the increase of Fe-Si$_3$N$_4$ powder from 20 wt. % to 90 wt. % in the starting powder, the Fe$_3$Si phase content increased from 0.7 vol. % to 4.1 vol. %, and the particle size increased from 1.2 $\mu$m to 2.8 $\mu$m. When more than 60 wt. % Fe-Si$_3$N$_4$ was added to the starting powders, the abnormal growth of Fe$_3$Si particles occurred and particles bigger than 15 $\mu$m were commonly seen, leading to non-uniform microstructures and poor mechanical properties. The dispersive Fe$_3$Si particles had a toughening effect on the composites through mechanisms such as particle pull-out, interface debonding, crack deflection, and particle bridging. Owing to the uniform microstructure and Fe$_3$Si toughening, FSN40 showed the highest flexural strength and fracture toughness of 354 MPa and 8.4 MPa·m$^{1/2}$, respectively, indicating great potential as thermal structural materials.
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