Heat Transfer Enhancement in Absorber Tube of Parabolic Trough Concentrators Using Wire-Coils Inserts
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Abstract Heat transfer enhancement technology provides many advantages in heat exchanger applications. In the present paper, the heat transfer characteristics and the pressure drops of the absorber tube of Parabolic Trough Concentrators with wire-coils inserts are investigated. Water is used as a heat transfer fluid and simulations are carried out in COMSOL Multiphysics® 4.4, for various flow rates of water as well as for different pitch values of wire-coils insert. It is observed that introduction of wire coils increases the turbulence inside the tube, thereby increasing the Reynolds number and hence the Nusselt number. Value of Nusselt number is observed to be increased by 104% to 330% which indicates the increase in the value of convective heat transfer coefficient and hence the heat transfer rates.
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1. Introduction

Tube inserts are the devices which are commonly used in Heat Exchangers for heat transfer augmentation. The advantage of using tube inserts is reduction of heat exchanger size which results into less capital cost. In addition, heat transfer enhancement enables heat exchanger to work at smaller velocity, but still achieves the same or even higher heat transfer coefficient. Considering all these advantages, experiments for checking performance of Solar Water Heaters (Thermosyphon or Tube on sheet) using tube inserts in collector tube started in 80s. These experiments successfully showed that, the use of tube inserts in Solar Water Heaters indeed causes heat transfer augmentation with improved thermal efficiency and hence performance of the system without appreciable cost increase. Till this date there is very extensive research has been done in Solar Water Heater systems using tube inserts. Parabolic Trough Concentrator (PTC) is the main technology currently in use for solar thermal electric power generation plants. Most of the work done on these systems is related to reduction of heat losses occurring from absorber tubes. Very little work has been carried out related to the heat transfer augmentation and that work is done using internally finned absorber tubes (Refer [2]). Till date no significant work has been published related to heat augmentation using tube inserts in PTCs.

Tube insert devices include twisted tape inserts, wire coils inserts, extended surface inserts, mesh inserts, and etc. out of which the use of twisted tapes and wire coils inserts is more common. Most of the work available today is based on the different twisted tape geometries. But, wire coils are the type of inserted devices which present some unique advantages compared to other enhancement techniques viz. they may be easily installed and that to with low cost in an existing smooth tube of heat exchanger and it also keeps the mechanical strength of the smooth tube.

2. Literature Review

Jaisankar et al. [1] in their work, using twisted tapes with different twist ratios in Solar Water Heater system did, the detail study of effect of friction factor on the thermal efficiency and heat transfer augmentation. They found that, the performance of solar water heater using twisted tape inserts is better than that of plain one and there can be 8-24% of reduction in area. Martin et al. [7] in their work used wired coils inserts instead of twisted tapes with water and propylene glycol as fluids. They found that there is about 400% increase in heat transfer for water and 44% for propylene glycol with the average increase of efficiency of 4.5%. Kumar and Prasad’s [3] work is considered to be very detail in terms of heat transfer, friction factor and thermal performance of solar water heater using twisted tapes. They found that the heat transfer due to twisted tape inserts increases by 18-70%, whereas the pressure drop increases by 87-132%, compared to plane collectors. Jaisankar et.al [9] in their another work on Thermosyphon solar heaters using twisted tapes with spacer at the trailing edge explained in detail, the effect of different twisted tapes geometries on thermal performance and other related parameters. Eck et al. [6] in their work gave Dynamics and control of parabolic trough collector loops with direct steam
are carried out for different pitch values and for different tube inserts, and makes comprehensive comparison proposed.

common tube inserts: twisted tape insert and wire coils on the thermal and hydraulic performance for the two most popular.

Ji [13] in their work did the numerical and experimental investigations of thermal performance of glass evacuated tube solar collectors with different shapes of absorber tube. Wang & Sunden [14] in their paper discusses the selection of different tube inserts, and makes comprehensive comparison on the thermal and hydraulic performance for the two most common tube inserts: twisted tape insert and wire coils insert.

3. Materials and Methods

Performance of PTC completely depends on the absorber tubes through which working fluid flows and on which all the solar energy is focused. In the present research COMSOL Multiphysics® 4.4 is used to carry out all the CFD simulations. Model consists of a hollow absorber tube through which water is flowing. Simulations for absorber tube, both with and without wire coils inserts, are carried out.

Wire coils are used for full length of tube and simulations are carried out for different pitch values and for different mass flow rates and optimum range of pitch value is proposed.

Physics used for the simulations is Non-isothermal turbulent flow, which is generally used for modeling of heat transfer in fluids, but it also provides the flexibility for heat transfer in solids. For both, the bare tube and the tube fitted with the inserts, three dimensional geometries are considered.

Geometry of wire coils can be built in COMSOL Multiphysics® using helix command. In helix one can control number of turns of wire coil, major diameter and coil diameter, radial pitch and axial pitch between two turns of coil.

The boundary conditions are set to be logarithmic wall function for all walls, constant mass flow rate for the inlet and an open boundary for the outlet. The simulations are carried out for various mass flows in the range of the experimental conditions from 0.01388 kg/s to 0.099 kg/s. Inlet temperature of water is kept 313 K.

Water is used as fluid by considering Direct Steam Generation (DSG) Solar Collector. To avoid complications we have assumed only single phase flows. On the outer surface of absorber tube two heat fluxes are provided, one on three fourth of the surface on which maximum of reflected sunlight light from Parabolic Trough is concentrated and on remaining surface where direct sunlight falls.

Geometry of the model is as following:

| Table 1. Model geometry |
|------------------------|
| Parameter | Dimensions (mm) |
| D_0 | 26.50 |
| D_i | 19.50 |
| d_m | 17 |
| d | 1.2 |
| l | 250 |

Where-
D_0= Outer diameter of the tube; D_i= Inner diameter of the tube; d_m= Mean diameter of the wire coil; d= wire diameter of the coil; l= Length of the tube.

For comparison of data between bare tube and tube with inserts simulations are carried out. Simulations are carried out for pitch values 6mm, 7mm, 8mm, 9mm, 10mm, 12mm, 15mm, 17mm & 20mm for given range of mass flow rates.

In both the cases, values of Reynolds number, pressure drop & temperature variation are obtained and accordingly values of Nusselt number and friction factors are calculated using appropriate co-relations.

Mesh size for COMSOL model is kept normal for larger areas and fine for smaller areas to reduce the computational times, still it takes quite a time for simulation as wire coils are used over complete length of tube so, the number of elements of computation are large. Heat flux values are considered 1000 W/m² and 900 W/m² for third and remaining surfaces respectively which are taken from standard references.

4. Results & Discussion

CFD simulation results are quite positive in terms of heat augmentation. The area where wire coils are provided, increase in turbulence is observed. This is resulting into the increase of Reynolds number (Re) thereby increasing the Nusselt number (Nu). Prandtl number (Pr) for all the simulation cases was found to be almost constant (Pr= 4.355).
For calculation of Reynolds number, temperature difference and pressure drop in turbulent flow, k-ε turbulent model is used in COMSOL Multiphysics® in which, Reynolds-Averaged Navier–Stokes equations (RANS) are used. The idea behind these equations is Reynolds decomposition, so that an instantaneous quantity is decomposed into its time-averaged and fluctuating quantities. These equations can be used with approximations based on the properties of flow turbulence to give approximate time-averaged solutions to the Navier–Stokes equations.

For our case, the heat augmentation in absorber tube is measure of the value of heat transfer coefficient, which is directly proportional to Nusselt number. For calculation of Nusselt number in case of fluid flow through circular pipes following co-relations are considered:

In case of smooth tube, the value of friction factor can be analytically calculated using

\[ f = \frac{16}{Re} \]

Now, local Nusselt number for tube side forced convection in smooth tubes for given heat flux condition can be calculated by the co-relation given by Churchill and Ozoe [7]

\[
Nu = 4.36 \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{Gz}{29.6} \right)^{1.6} \right] \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{Gz}{19.4} \right) \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{Pr}{0.0207} \right) \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{Gz}{29.6} \right)^{2} \right]^{2} \right] \right]^{1/3}
\]

Where Gz and Pr stand for Graetz number and Prandtl number respectively and can be given as

\[
Pr = \frac{\mu \cdot C_p}{k}
\]

\[
Gz = \frac{\pi}{4} \left( \frac{x}{D_l} \right) \left( \frac{Re}{Pr} \right)
\]

Above co-relations which are used in simultaneously developing laminar flow, the value of local Nusselt number depends upon Graetz number and Prandtl number. For fluids with high Prandtl number hydrodynamic entry length is quite smaller compared to thermal entry length so it can be neglected.

For the flow through tube with wire coils friction factor can be evaluated using

\[
f = \frac{16.8}{\left( Re \right)^{0.96}}
\]

This is valid for a very large range of Reynolds number.

In case of Nusselt number we are varying the pitch of the wire coils so, the most general equation valid for this condition is

\[
Nu = 0.132 \left( \frac{Pr}{Re} \right)^{0.372} Re^{0.72} Pr^{0.37}
\]

which is valid for Re = 80-90000 & Pr = 2-160

Following figures shows the Reynolds number, pressure drop and temperature distribution results of simulation in COMSOL Multiphysics® for mass flow rate \( \dot{m} = 0.0333 \) kg/s without wire coils:

![Figure 1. Reynolds number plot without wire-coil](image1)

![Figure 2. Pressure drop plot without wire-coil](image2)

![Figure 3. Temperature distribution plot without wire coil](image3)

For mass flow rate \( \dot{m} = 0.0333 \) kg/s with wire coils having pitch \( p = 7 \) mm:

![Figure 4. Reynolds number plot](image4)
Reynolds number plot shown in figure 4 clearly indicates the disturbance i.e. the turbulence occurring in the flow because of wire coils which is evident from Reynolds number values when compared to the smooth tube flow in figure 1. Wire-coils, besides creating the turbulence also increase the overall area of heat transfer hence there is increase in convective heat transfer rate which can be observed from increased value of Nusselt number later explained in the paper.

For the mass flow rate ranging from 0.01388 kg/s to 0.0999 kg/s simulations for flow without wire-coils and for flow with wire-coils with variation of pitch are carried out from that, using appropriate co-relations mentioned above, the values of Nusselt number and friction factor are calculated and results are plotted graphically. For comparison the values of Reynolds number are plotted as following:

Values of Nusselt number calculated from co-relations are plotted in following two graphs (figure 8 & figure 9). First graph shows variation of Nusselt number for various pitch values & second graph compares Nusselt number values in both the cases i.e. with and without wire coi. Also, the flow through tube is always associated with pressure drop whether it’s a flow with wire-coils or flow without wire coil. Figure 10 below is comparing the variation of pressure drop between flow with wire coi and flow without wire coi. Figure 11 is indicating variation of friction factor (f) variation with flow rate, with and without wire coi.

![Figure 5. Pressure drop plot](image)

![Figure 6. Temperature distribution plot](image)

![Figure 7. Variation of Reynolds number with mass flow rate for all pitch values& flow without wire-coil](image)

![Figure 8. Variation of Nusselt number with mass flow rate for all pitch values](image)

![Figure 9. Variation of Nusselt number with mass flow rate for all pitch values& flow without wire-coil](image)
5. Conclusions

1. Reynolds number variation plot with mass flow rate for smooth tube without wire-coils and with wire-coils (figure 6 and figure 7) shows increase of Reynolds number with pitch from 6mm to 8mm where it becomes maximum and then it starts decreasing. All the values of Reynolds number for the flow without wire coils are observed to be less than all the values of flow with wire coils for all pitch values. But, Reynolds number values for pitch 20mm are quite close to the values of Reynolds number without wire coils, so pitch value 20mm is not preferable for heat augmentation purpose.

2. Nusselt number calculated from co-relations using the values of Reynolds number and Prandtl number when plotted graphically (figure 8 and figure 9) shows that, value of Nusselt number for lower flow rates remains almost constant up to 8mm pitch and then decreases after that up to 20mm pitch. For higher flow rates value of Nusselt number decreases continuously from 6mm pitch, where maximum value of Nusselt number is obtained. So, for higher mass flow rates 6mm pitch is suitable & for lower mass flow rates pitch values range 6mm to 8mm is advisable. Again, it is clearly observed from above graphs that Nusselt number values for flow without wire coils are quite smaller than the flow with wire coils. The observed increase of Nusselt number with wire coils when compared with the values of tube without wire coils is minimum 104% to maximum 330 % which is nothing but increase in convective heat transfer rate, so it can be clearly concluded that wire-coils inserts are indeed helping for heat transfer enhancement.

3. Pressure drop increase is always present when tube inserts are used. Pressure drop plot (figure 10) above shows this increasing trend. Maximum pressure drop is observed in case of wire-coils with pitch 8mm from 55.23 Pa to 1311.79Pa. This increase of pressure drop is associated with pumping power which will also increase.

4. Friction factor values decreases with increase of pitch. In case of tube without wire-coils friction factor values are less than all the values of friction factor with wire coils inserts. Maximum increase of friction factor is observed to be 12.6% which is acceptable.

5. Considering all the factors discussed above we can conclude that wire-coils inserts with pitch value ranging from 6mm to 8mm when working with lower flow rates and pitch 8mm when working with higher flow rate is advisable for better results of heat transfer enhancement.
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