Vertebrate tracksites in the Obernkirchen Sandstone (late Berriasian, Early Cretaceous) of northwest Germany— their stratigraphical, palaeogeographical, palaeoecological, and historical context
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Abstract The northern German Lower Cretaceous Bückeberg Formation yields numerous dinosaur tracksites, some of which have produced material of impressive quality. Stratigraphically, the localities are concentrated in the Obernkirchen Sandstone, a thin subunit within this formation. The Obernkirchen Sandstone represents mainly a sandy barrier to back-barrier and lagoonal setting within a limnic deltaic facies complex, which was deposited during the late Berriasian (Cypridea alta formosa ostracod subzone) in the southeast of the Lower Saxony Basin, northwest Germany. A few tracksites occur more proximally in coeval fluvial deposits. Dinosaur footprint assemblages were left by ornithopods, theropods, sauropods, ankylosaurs, and small, bipedal ornithischians. Other vertebrate tracks are those of turtles and, possibly, crocodilians. Due to the decrease in sandstone quarrying in recent decades, many old tracksites are inaccessible today. Additionally, historical descriptions of the tracks were of highly variable quality and often published in remote and today nearly unobtainable sources. Here we provide a catalogue of 13 tracksites compiled from the literature and some new observations. Of these 13 tracksites, only five are still accessible and currently under study. Descriptions of each locality are provided, with a comprehensive compilation of existing data on lithofacies, stratigraphy, palaeogeography and palaeoecology of the Obernkirchen Sandstone and equivalent strata. A short review of the track-bearing lithofacies assemblage indicates that the outcrop areas have distinctly different facies and environments, and, therefore, track-bearing horizons can only be correlated stratigraphically between adjacent outcrops. For this reason, the identification of a megatracksite in the Obernkirchen Sandstone is currently regarded as premature and uncertain.
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Kurzfassung Die unterkretazische Bückeberg-Formation Nordwest-Deutschlands ist reich an Fundstellen von Dinosaurierfährten, von denen einige hervorragend erhaltenes Material lieferten. Diese Fundstellen sind stratigraphisch auf ein geringmächtiges Intervall, den Obernkirchen-Sandstein, konzentriert. Der Obernkirchen-Sandstein repräsentiert eine sandige Barriere- und Lagunen-Fazies innerhalb eines limnisch-deltaischen Fazieskomplexes, der während des späten Berriasiums (Cypridea alta formosa Ostrakoden-Subzone) im Südosten des Niedersächsischen Beckens abgelagert wurde. Einige Fährtenfundstellen liegen auch in etwas proximaleren, gleichaltrigen, fluvialen Ablagerungen. Erzeuger der Dinosaurierfährten waren Ornithopoden, Theropoden, Sauropoden, Ankylosaurier.
sowie kleine, bipede Ornithischia. Weitere Wirbeltier-Fährten wurden von Schildkröten und möglicherweise Krokodilen hinterlassen. Aufgrund des Rückgangs im Sandstein-Abbau im Verlauf der vergangenen Jahrzehnte sind viele der alten Fährten-Fundstellen heute nicht mehr aufgeschlossen. Historische Beschreibungen sind von unterschiedlicher Qualität und häufig nur in schwer erhältlichen Quellen publiziert. Hier präsentieren wir einen Katalog mit 13 Fundstellen, zusammengestellt aus Literaturquellen und neuen Untersuchungen. Nur fünf Fundstellen sind heute noch zugänglich und derzeit Gegenstand weiterer Forschungen. Sämtliche Fundstellen werden vorge stellt und der Kenntnisstand über Lithofazies, Stratigraphie, Paläogeographie und Paläoökologie des Obernkirchen Sandsteins zusammengefasst. Lithofazies-Daten zeigen, dass eine feinstratigraphische Korrelation individueller Horizonte innerhalb des Sandstein-Komplexes nur zwischen sehr eng benachbarten Aufschlüssen möglich ist und deutliche Faziesunterschiede zwischen den Fährtenvor kommen bestehen. Daher erscheint die derzeitige Datengrundlage als nicht hinreichend, um den Obernkirchen Sandstein in seiner Gesamtheit als Megatracksite zu charakterisieren.

**Schlüsselwörter** Wirbeltier-Fährten · Dinosauria · Kreide · Berriasium · Obernkirchen · Münchehagen · Deutschland

**Introduction**

The Berriasian Bückeburg Formation is well-known for its wealth of dinosaur tracks (Ballерstedt 1905; Dietrich 1927; Lehmann 1978), but their documentation is mostly confined to isolated specimens or localities. Important discoveries of the last two decades are mostly reported in general overviews or abstracts (Wings et al. 2005a; Lehmann 2006; Lehmann et al. 2006; A. Richter et al. 2007; U. Richter et al. 2007; Richter et al. 2009; Richter 2007; Böhme et al. 2009; van der Lubbe et al. 2009).

Nearly all tracksites from the Bückeburg Formation are located stratigraphically within the Obernkirchen Sandstone, a thin subunit exposed in the northern and western vicinities of Hannover. At least since early medieval times, this sandstone has been a valued dressing stone and building material. Intensive quarrying since the 11th century AD led to the use of the nearly pure quartzose sandstone in hundreds of buildings and monuments across northern Germany, Europe, and in places as far abroad as Jakarta, Indonesia (Hamm 1938; Graupner 1977; Harnack 1989; Broschinski 2004). Since the beginning of the 19th century, this formation became increasingly well-known as a Lagerstaette for rare but well-preserved Cretaceous vertebrates, including turtles, crocodiles, and dinosaurs (e.g. Roemer 1836; von Meyer 1841, 1857, 1859; von Meyer in Dunker 1846).

Although extremely abundant and probably known to quarry workers for centuries, reliable accounts of dinosaur tracks were not reported before the middle of the 19th century. According to Grabbe (1881), the mining supervisor Heidtmeyer reported the occurrence of a trail of consecutive tracks more than 100 m long which was uncovered in a quarry near Wendthagen in the 1850s. Unfortunately, there are no other records of this find.

In 1879, the first dinosaur tracks reported from Germany rather sneaked into the scientific record. At the December meeting of the Deutsche Geologische Gesellschaft (German Geological Society), held on 3 December 1879, in Berlin, Wilhelm Hauchecorne presented a letter and a drawing by mining engineer Fritz F. von Ducker, in which he reported the discovery of “bird tracks” in the Bad Rehburg area. At the same meeting, Wilhelm Dames commented that these tracks were very similar to those from the English Wealden, which had been identified as dinosaur tracks shortly before. Both contributions consisted of a single sentence each, included in the published proceedings of the meeting (Dames in Beyrich et al. 1879; Hauchecorne in Beyrich et al. 1879).

The geologist Carl Struckmann was the first researcher to provide a scientific description of these tracks. During the summer of 1879, more tracks were found at Wölpingerhaus (Rehburg mountains, see locality 4 below) and immediately vividly discussed in local press reports (Anonymous 1879a, b). These early discussions, led mostly by Struckmann, centred around a “bird-like” animal as a potential trackmaker. This view was not left unchallenged—in fact, the ornithologist Wilhelm Pralle suggested the tri dactyl imprints had been made by the mani (!) of giant anurans (Anonymous 1879b). In 1880, Struckmann substantiated his results and published the first papers on the “Ornithoidichnites”, referring them to the dinosaur *Iguanodon* (Struckmann 1880a, b).

To corroborate his identification, Struckmann sent a gypsum cast of one of the tracks to Louis Dollo, in Brussels, who was the most important authority on *Iguanodon* at the time, and was studying the famous “herd” of this dinosaur found at the Bernissart coal mine in 1878. Dollo (1883: 113) reported a perfect match of this track with the pedal skeleton of a specimen he identified as *Iguanodon mantelli*.

At least two specimens of Struckmann’s material are still preserved in the Niedersächsisches Landesmuseum, Hannover. One right pes impression of a large ornithopod (NLMH 105.747, Fig. 1) can be identified as the specimen which was deemed an exception by him, as he believed it was tetradactyl instead of tri dactyl (Struckmann 1880a: 99,
This identification of the specimen seems to be well substantiated, although the figure by Struckmann was quite schematic in some details and the proportions of the footprint and the supposed "hallux" seems not to have been depicted entirely correctly by him. Subsequent studies (e.g. Grabbe 1883; Koken 1887; Ballerstedt 1914; Dietrich 1927) doubted the interpretation of the "hallux". The specimen as preserved today (Fig. 1a) supports the observation by Ballerstedt (1914) that the "first digit" is in fact a poorly preserved footprint over-stepped and deformed by the large, typically tridactyl, ornithopod footprint (Fig. 1c). It is the historically oldest find of a dinosaur track from Germany still preserved in a public collection. Identification of the second specimen (NLMH 105.746) is not as straightforward, because it cannot be directly identified as one of those depicted by
Struckmann. However, he collected far more material than he figured, and the size, proportions, and morphological details of the specimen suggest that it belonged to a trackway from which he figured two other, subsequent footsteps, preserved on a single sandstone slab which is currently lost (Struckmann 1880a: 98–99, pl. IV, fig. 1, 1880b: 127, pl. IV).

Struckmann’s discoveries were followed shortly by those of Grabbe (1881, 1883), who identified dinosaur tracks in many localities in the Bückeburge and Harrl areas.

Over the following decades, numerous reports were published of tracksites in the outcrop area of the Obernkirchen Sandstone. Most of these appeared in hard-to-obtain local journals, some of them even in newspapers, and they are almost exclusively written in the German language. Additionally, in many cases, the original material was considered lost, hampering modern revisions (Haubold 1971, 1974, 1984; Lockley 2000; Thulborn 2001).

The historical collection of the Gymnasium Adolfinum Bückeburg and the Max Ballerstedt private collection are highly important in investigations of Berriasian dinosaur tracks from Germany. Although a few specimens from these collections date back to the 1840s, the bulk of the material was collected between ca 1900 and 1940 by the teacher and amateur palaeontologist Max Ballerstedt (1857–1945). The collection includes a large number of footprints (mostly natural casts), some of which were original specimens of Ballerstedt’s publications (see also Thulborn 2001). However, after Ballerstedt’s death in 1945, the collection suffered from lack of care and attendance shortly after World War II (Probst and Windolf 1993; Hornung and Reich 2007). Most of what survived is stored in the collections of the Geoscience Centre of the University of Göttingen. A detailed inventory or catalogue of the Ballerstedt collection is currently incomplete. In an ongoing project, this gap is to be filled and many of Ballerstedt’s specimens, thought to be lost, have recently been located and are, in fact, not lost (Hornung and Reich 2006, 2007; Hornung et al. 2007).

In addition to classical works and material, new evidence has been gathered from the Obernkirchen Sandstone, beginning with the discovery of sauropod tracks in 1979 (Hendricks 1981; Fischer 1998) and culminating in continuing excavations at several localities, immensely increasing the amount of data (A. Richter et al. 2007; U. Richter et al. 2007; Richter et al. 2009; Höhne et al. 2009; van der Lubbe et al. 2009).

The objective of this paper is to comprehensively survey the known localities of vertebrate tracks in the Obernkirchen Sandstone and to provide an up-to-date account of the stratigraphical and palaeoenvironmental data of this remarkable formation. This should serve as easy access to older locality descriptions and as a basis for further investigation of ichnological issues in this formation. The identification of these occurrences as a megatracksite in the Obernkirchen Sandstone (as proposed by Diedrich 2004) is also discussed.
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Palaeogeographical and stratigraphical abbreviations
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General abbreviations

H: Hochwert (northing, Gauss-Krüger coordinate system), R: Rechtswert (easting, Gauss-Krüger coordinate system).

Geology and environment of the Bückeburg formation

Exposure and stratigraphy

The Bückeburg Formation is buried beneath younger deposits across wide areas of Lower Saxony but is exposed in local uplifts, for example the mountainous areas of the Bückeburge, the Deister, the Rehburg Mountains, the southeastern Sünelt, the Osterwald, and the Hils mountains (Fig. 2). South and west of the Weser Valley, it has been completely eroded. The Obernkirchen Sandstone forms a thin interval within the Obernkirchen Member, the lower member of the Bückeburg Formation, stretching in a SW to NE striking belt between the River Weser in the west and Hannover in the east. In most areas where outcrops of Obernkirchen Sandstone are revealed it was quarried, especially for high-quality building stones. Additionally, thin coal seams are intercalated with the sandstones and have been mined in shallow subsurface mines since the 13th century AD until the 1960s (Falke 1944; Graupner 1980). However, following the decline of both industries, mining has been completely abandoned and quarrying substantially reduced, leading to a decrease in accessible outcrops.

The Bückeburg Formation (Casey et al. 1975, traditionally referred to as the ‘German Wealden’, Hoffmann 1830, Fig. 3) comprises the middle Berriasian through early Valanginian sedimentary infill of the Lower Saxony
Basin (LSB, Fig. 4). It is underlain by evaporitic marlstones of the Tithonian through lower Berriasian Münnder Formation and overlain by Valanginian marine deposits (Kemper 1973; Strauss et al. 1993; Mutterlose 1997a, 2000). The predominantly limnic nature of the Bückeberg Formation hampers exact correlation with the marine Berriasian outside the basin (Mutterlose 1997a). Internal correlation is provided by ostracod biostratigraphy (Wolburg 1949, 1959; Elstner and Mutterlose 1996). Older lithostratigraphical schemes use a subdivision into six units (W[a]elden1 to W6, Wolburg 1949). Current schemes (Elstner and Mutterlose 1996; Mutterlose 1997a, 2000) use subdivision into a basal Obernkirchen Member (corresponding to the W1 to W4) and the overlying Osterwald Member (W5 to W6).

The Obernkirchen Member can be subdivided into a fine-grained argillaceous basin facies and a marginal, sandy facies. The fine-grained basinal facies reaches a thickness of up to 700 m in the basin centre. It consists of siltstones, mudstones, and black shales. In the entire succession, thin carbonate layers are occasionally interbedded, mostly composed of mollusc coquinas (Neomiodon spp. and gastropods).

The marginal facies attains a thickness of up to 200 m along the southeastern basin margin, and accumulates...
especially in two sedimentary wedges (Fig. 4), an eastern one in the Hannover area and a western one in the Osnabrück area (North Rhine-Westphalia, Kauenhowen 1927). The western sediment wedge (Riegel and Lill 1988; Lill and Riegel 1991; Wilde and Schultka 1996) has so far yielded only one tridactyl cast (hypichnium), found in a field near Hilter-Borgloh (Anonymous 2005). This single, yet undescribed record suggests that the southwestern part of the basin margin may also be significant source of dinosaur tracks. However, all other finds are from the eastern wedge, and the discussion herein will be restricted to this region.

The eastern sediment wedge fills a southeastern extension of the LSB, the Hils Embayment (Fig. 4). Its distal-most outreaches consist of two sandstone tongues, a lower one (Unterer Hauptsandstein, UHS, Figs. 3, 5) and an upper one (Oberer Hauptsandstein, OHS, Figs. 3, 5, 6b), separated by 10–25 m of fine-grained sediments. The UHS attains a thickness of 2–5 m, the OHS of 10–20 m. Proximally, along a SE–NW trending depositional axis, an increasing number of thin sandstone layers become intercalated below, between, and above the UHS and OHS. The OHS is equivalent to the Obernkirchen Sandstone sensu stricto and the latter term is used herein exclusively as a synonym of the OHS.

Thin intercalated coal seams are common throughout the Obernkirchen Sandstone and its equivalents, including the Osterwald and Hils mountains (Falke 1944; Graupner 1980; Pelzer et al. 1992). They were used in early attempts at detailed intraformational lithostratigraphy of the sandstone succession across the Rehburg Mountains, Bückeburge, and Harrl hill (Grabbe 1883; Grupe 1933; Falke 1944; Graupner 1980). Up to five superimposed, cm to dm-thick coal seams have been reported intercalated with the sandstones (Figs. 5, 6). However, lateral correlation of these coal seams spanning wider distances is questionable. Only the most important Hauptflöz (main seam, hf in Figs. 5, 6) can be traced below the Obernkirchen Sandstone across the Bückeburge, where a prominent coal layer, up to 80 cm thick, is embedded at the base of the sandstone complex. A similar situation is present at the Rehburg Mountains, where the thickest seam is located at the base of the sandstone succession, suggesting a potential correlation. The coal seams in the outcrops at Harrl hill are intercalated within a different lithofacies succession and previous correlation attempts, for example that by Grupe (1933), are still very weakly supported.

In many outcrops and subsurface logs, the Hauptflöz is separated from the overlying Obernkirchen Sandstone by a
0.5–1.5 m fine-grained interval, the ‘Dachplatte’ (DP, Figs. 5, 6b). This unit is locally very fossiliferous and has yielded especially rich plant and vertebrate remains (Grabbe 1883; Dames 1884a).

The distal sandy complex correlates biostratigraphically with the Cypridea alta formosa ostracod subzone (upper Berriasian, Elstner and Mutterlose 1996) and is nested well within the lower part of W3. Diedrich (2004) assigned the upper part of the Obernkirchen Sandstone at the Obernkirchener Sandsteine GmbH quarry (locality 8) to the W4 (C. jonesi/C. parallela ostracod biozone). However, this is not in agreement with other stratigraphical schemes (Jordan 1979), and because Diedrich (2004) did not provide any data or rationales for his correlation, it is not followed herein.

South of Hannover, the sandy facies increasingly dominates and conglomerate layers are rarely intercalated (Struckmann 1880a). Because of the lack of adequate lithostratigraphical and biostratigraphical marker-beds, exact correlation of the UHS and OHS with the more proximal sandy beds toward the SE is not possible.

The overlying Osterwald Member shows a retrogradational facies trend with a margin-ward onlap of the fine-grained basin facies. A marginal, coarse-grained facies is restricted to the south-easternmost region of the Hils Embayment (Pelzer 1998).

Palaeogeography

The LSB (Fig. 4) is an adjacent subbasin of the North German Basin, which stretches across most of central northern Germany and westwards into the eastern part of The Netherlands (Schott et al. 1967, 1969). Its subsidence began during the Late Jurassic and continued into the early Late Cretaceous, when it was terminated by basin inversion (Betz et al. 1987; Bachmann and Grosse 1989).

Communication of the LSB with the main North German Basin was maintained only through narrow gateways in the SW, W, and E (Schott et al. 1967, 1969). The basin fill facies was governed primarily by eustatic sea level fluctuations and/or local tectonic opening of gateways which connected or unconnected the LSB from the Tethys and Boreal Ocean (Kemper 1973, 1992; Elstner and Mutterlose 1996; Mutterlose and Bornemann 2000). Following disconnection from marine influx, after deposition of the marine-brackish to hyperhaline upper Münster Formation (early Berriasian, Arp and Mennerich 2008), short-time ingressions occurred from the W and SW (Holland) during the latest W2 and throughout W3 (Elstner and Mutterlose 1996; Mutterlose and Bornemann 2000; Berner et al. 2010; Berner 2011) resulting in a W–E-decreasing salinity gradient across the LSB. The eastern part remained oligohaline until the latest Berriasian or earliest Valanginian (W4) when marine microfaunas occur temporarily in the eastern part of the basin also (Martin 1961a; Mutterlose and Bornemann 2000). On a secondary scale, the LSB facies and palaeogeography were controlled by autocyclical processes, including local tectonics (including halotectonics and subrosion of Permian evaporites), differential subsidence, and intra-basinal, climatically controlled base-level fluctuations during phases of disconnection from the global sea-level (Mutterlose and Bornemann 2000). These factors dominated the sedimentation within the LSB from the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary well through the latest Berriasian.

After local highstand and marine flooding of most of the incipient LSB during the Late Jurassic (Gramann et al. 1997; Kästner et al. 2008), a major fall in sea level and
Fig. 5 Lithostratigraphical correlation of outcrops in the Bückeberge and Harrl areas. Note the weakly supported correlation of the track-bearing beds of Harrl hill with those of the central Bückeberge. Encircled numbers refer to locality numbers in text. Lithological data after Dietrich 1927, Grupe 1930, Pelzer 1998, and our own observations.
early basin subsidence led to isolated, shrinking perimarine water bodies in the developing LSB during deposition of the Tithonian through earliest Berriasian Müncher Formation. These beds were regarded as the equivalent of the English Purbeck beds in the 19th and early 20th century literature (Struckmann 1880a). The lower part of the Müncher Formation is dominated by marlstones, locally evaporitic (Katzberg Member) and contains low-diversity faunal assemblages with dominant local biostromes of the sedentary polychaete Serpula coacervata (Blumenbach, 1803) and increasing influx of freshwater in the upper part of the Müncher Formation (Serpulite Member, Borberg Member; Arp and Mennerich 2008).

During early to mid-Berriasian times, precipitation increased and a lacustrine water body began to spread in the basin centre, fed by river systems draining the basin margins and uplands (Pelzer 1987; Pelzer and Wilde 1987; Pelzer et al. 1992; Wilde et al. 1995). The river systems reached substantial dimensions with meandering beds and extensive belts of well-vegetated floodplain deposits, backswamps, and associated lakes, as documented by related deposits in the southeast of the LSB (Pelzer 1984; Pelzer et al. 1992). The relatively undisturbed succession of fine-grained deposits in the basin centre (Kemper 1973) suggests that a central lake was continuously present throughout the Berriasian. However, transgressive-regressive patterns of this lake are not well known. Transgressive sequences can be traced in parts of the succession and an overall expansion of the lake is assumed for most of its existence. The fluvial facies is exposed in the Hils and Osterwald mountains (Pelzer 1984, 1998; Pelzer et al. 1992; Riegel et al. 1986).

It is of importance to note that the vertebrate track-bearing deposits of the Obernkirchen Sandstone are all located within this limnic depositional system, contrary to a few publications (Diedrich 2004; Lockley et al. 2004) which regard this as marginal-marine in origin.

In the Osterwald mountains, fluvial large-scale cross-stratified, up to 12 m thick (channel-fill?) sandstones (Obernkirchen Member) are abruptly overlain by a thin conglomerate, interpreted as a transgressional beach deposit, passing upwards into perideltaic brackish-marine sediments (Osterwald Member; Waldeck 1969; Pelzer 1998). Palynological data suggest that the marginal river systems were still active in Valanginian times (Pelzer 1984) when the basin centre was reoccupied by marine waters because of eustatic sea level rise.

At least one fluvial system apparently occupied the axis of the Hils Embayment. The system terminated downstream in the Bückeburg-Bad Rehburg-Hannover area, where an extensive, shallow-water deltaic system (Kauenhoven 1927; Graupner 1980; Pelzer et al. 1992; Pelzer 1998) became established during the mid- to late Berriasian. This system is represented by the distal reaches of the Hannover sedimentary wedge. The sandstones and intercalated fine-grained sediments preserve a diverse assemblage of sedimentary facies, representing several subenvironments. Pelzer (1998) proposed a barrier-back-barrier setting for the Obernkirchen Sandstone with severe storm events as an important landward transport mechanism for reworked and matured terrigenous sand in this microtidal environment. Current work suggests that the Obernkirchen Sandstone encompasses several facies assemblages, including back-barrier storm deposits in the Rehburg Mountains and a landward deltaic succession in the southern and western Bückeberge (see the discussion below).

On the landward side of the lagoons, swamps existed, passing into peat-bogs and vegetated areas on emergent and stabilised interchannel and interlagoonal islands (Wilde et al. 1995; Pelzer et al. 1992; Pelzer 1998).

The dinosaurs apparently passed across the shore-face, back-barrier, deltaic and alluvial flats, and through shallow lagoonal waters. The preservation of multiple, vertically stacked barrier and lagoonal deposit successions within the Obernkirchen Sandstone and abundant interbedded palaeoosols and authochthonous coal seams indicate a long-term net aggrading sedimentary regime under transgressive conditions, favouring also the preservation of vertebrate tracks. However, erosional discontinuities on a local scale have been reported in some outcrops (Fischer 1998; Pelzer 1998).

Palaeoecology

The only well-studied microfaunal group are the ostracodes, which provide the biostratigraphic framework of the non-marine Berriasian (Martin 1940, 1958, 1961b; Wolburg 1949, 1959, 1962, 1971; Schudack and Schudack 2009).

The invertebrate macrofauna in the lagoonal deposits is dominated by mollusc assemblages (predominantly Unionidae, Neomiodontidae and various gastropods; Dunker 1846, 1849; Struckmann 1880a; Huckriede 1967). Modern detailed taxonomic and diversity studies of these groups are still lacking. Abundant endobenthic invertebrate trace fossils (Schwennicke 1998) indicate normally oxygenated conditions for the lagoonal water bodies in the Münchelhagen area.

Aquatic vertebrates are represented by elasmobranchs (hybodontid, petalodontid, and neoselachian sharks) and several species of actinopterygian fishes, including members of Semionotiformes, Pycnodontiformes, Amiiformes, and Pholidophoriformes (Dunker 1846; Struckmann 1880a; Branco 1887; Martin and Weiler 1954; Schultz 1970; López-Arbarello et al. 2007, Nyhuis and Herbig 2009). A maximum of fish diversity is known from
Concentrated, tempestic bone-beds, deposited in the Osterwald Member in the western, deeper basin (Nyhus and Herbig 2009). In the southeastern, sandy facies of the Osterwald Member, the known fish fauna is so far confined to hybodontoid sharks (fin spines, teeth, and egg capsules) and semionotids (including some splendid specimens of “Lepidotes” mantelli Agassiz, 1833; see Branco 1887; López-Arbarello et al. 2007).

Plesiosaurs also inhabited the LSB, including Brancasaurus brancaei Wegner, 1914 known from a rather complete skeleton from the Osterwald Member of Gronau/ Westphalia (Wegner 1914). Isolated plesiosaur skeletal elements are furthermore known from various localities and facies (Koken 1887, 1896). Semiaquatic vertebrates are mostly represented by the very abundant and diverse turtles (at least seven species of basal cryptodirs; Grabbe 1884; Peitz 1998; Karl et al. 2007a, b) and by the common mesoeucrocodylian crocodile genera Goniopholis Owen, 1842 and Pholidosaurus von Meyer, 1841 (von Meyer in Dunker 1846; Koken 1883, 1886, 1887, 1896; Jaffé 1912; Edinger 1938; Salisbury et al. 1999).

The fauna of fluvial and alluvial environments is less well-known, although mollusc shell-beds and bone-bed layers are present (Pelzer 1984, 1998). Fine-grained over-bank deposits preserved a few terrestrial arthropods, for example insects (Coleoptera) and possibly arachnids (Struckmann 1880a; Pelzer 1984; Pelzer et al. 1992).

The terrestrial vertebrate fauna was mainly composed of dinosaurs, but only the small ornithischian Stenopelix valdensis von Meyer, 1857 is represented by a largely complete, articulated postcranium (Schmidt 1969; Sues and Galton 1982; Butler and Sullivan 2009). Besides the track record, Ornithopoda, Ankylosauria, Theropoda, and Sauropoda have also been identified from isolated bone fragments (Dames 1884a, b; Koken 1887; Struckmann 1894; Sachs 1997; Windolf 1998; Hornung unpublished data).

A single specimen of pterosaur, the holotype of Ctenochasma roemeri von Meyer, 1851, was found in the Deister mountains. Although considered to be Late Jurassic in age, for example by Wellhofer (1991), the information provided by von Meyer (1851) supports an origin from the Serpulite Member of the Münden Formation and therefore a basal Berriasian age, as suggested by Bennett (2007). So far no pterosaurs are known from the limnic mid- to late Berriasian deposits.

The palaeoflora is known from leaf impressions in fine-grained sediments, stem-impressions in sandy sediments, macro- and microremains from lignites, and root-traces, including strongly rooted palaeosol horizons, and, rarely, amber. Palynomorphs and macroremains indicate various floral communities, including pioneer, swamp and flood-plain vegetation, and forested areas (Pelzer 1984, 1998; Pelzer et al. 1992). Aquatic plants are represented by dinoflagellates and charophytes (Schudack 1996; Pelzer 1998). Major terrestrial plant taxa include Coniferae, Equisetales, Ginkgophyta, Cycadophyta, Pteridophyta, Bryophyta, and Fungi (Lipps 1923; Mägdefrau and Rudolf 1969; Hiltmann 1949; Pelzer 1984, 1998; Riegel and Wilde 1984; Wilde and Hennisch 1990; Wilde 1991; Wilde et al. 1995). Various morphotypes of root-traces, rhizomes of Equisetales and log impressions are very common plant remains associated with the track-bearing sandy facies (Pelzer 1998).

Facies and lithology of vertebrate track-bearing horizons

The vast majority of vertebrate (mostly dinosaur) tracks from the Osterwald Member are from the limnic deltaic-estuarine deposits, more precisely from the OHS deltaic-estuarine sandstones. However, some tracks have also been described from the fluvial and alluvial facies in the Osterwald mountains (Naumann 1927).

Dinosaur track horizons in the deltaic-estuarine environment commonly occur in mm- to dm-thick sandstone beds bedlayered with mm- to cm-thick sandy or silty claystones and mudstones. The sandstones are often massive or internally ripple to large-scale cross-stratified, horizontally laminated or flaser-bedded with oscillation or unidirectional ripple-marks at the top. Thick-bedded sandstone layers are often composed of amalgamated sublayers.

Lithofacies data indicate that vertebrate tracks were left in various subenvironments of the deltaic-estuarine system. In the Münchehagen area (localities 1–3, Fig. 7a), the tracks were left on top of dm-thick sandstone beds, which have a slightly erosive base and a predominantly massive or diffusely horizontally stratified texture. The uppermost centimetres are wave-reworked and have well-preserved oscillation-ripple cross-lamination and intensive bioturbation, overlain by 1–10 cm thick drapes of siltstones to mudstones. The thick bedding and massive texture indicates rapid deposition from voluminous highly concentrated density flows with limited turbulence at the flow base. The best preserved dinosaur tracks and ripple-marks occur at the contact between the top of sandstone beds and the base of relatively thick (2–5 cm) siltstones to claystones. These boundaries contain sub-millimetric, smooth, carbonaceous films covering the surface of the oscillation ripple-marked sandstones. The films are
Fig. 7 Typical dinosaur track-bearing lithofacies of the Obernkirchen Sandstone. 

a Thick-bedded, massive sandstone beds with oscillation ripple-marks at the top, intercalated by mm to cm-thick sandy siltstone to claystone layers. In the foreground a large ornithopod track. The sandstone beds in the background have a thickness of 70–100 cm. Münchehagen/F. Wesling GmbH quarry (ch) (locality 1), 2005.

b Medium-bedded, partly amalgamated and channelised (ch) fine-grained sandstone beds, intercalated by mm to cm-thick siltstone to claystone layers. Note the overall thinning-upward trend. The surface at the base of the image is the so-called “chicken yard” track horizon (Fig. 15). “Upper” and “lower unit” refer to a lithological subdivision, which can be traced between adjacent quarries at a local scale (see locality description for further details). Scale: 2 m. Obernkirchen/Obernkirchener Sandsteinbrüche quarry (locality 8), 2009.

c Channelised (ch), medium to thick-bedded sandstone, “lower unit”, Obernkirchen/Obernkirchener Sandsteinbrüche quarry (locality 8), 2009.

d Thin to medium-bedded, scoured, massive sandstone beds, overlain by a soft succession of sandy siltstone to mudstone and capped by a thin coal-seam (cs). Pelzer (1998) tentatively identified a track horizon (TH) in this succession. Bübeckburg/abandoned quarry at the W’ side of Harrl hill (locality 5), 2009.
probably the remnants of microbial mats (Eriksson et al. 2007) which grew on the freshly deposited sand grounds before being covered by the subaqueous deposition of pelitic sediments. They probably helped to prediagenetically stabilize ichnia and sedimentary structures by providing increased cohesion. Stacked and partly amalgamated sandstone layers are in places separated by wavy-irregular, often laterally discontinuous boundary surfaces, often covered by very thin clay vails. Seen from above, these surfaces have a reticulated pattern of mm-wide grooves separated by sharp ridges. Similar structures have been described to be formed by desiccated microbial mats in ephemeral pools on supratidal sandbars in extant environments (Bose and Chafetz 2009). Together with extremely rare desiccation cracks these structures are indicative of temporary emersion of the sandy deposits.

These deposits are interpreted to be associated with storm-reworked barrier sands, splayed into back-barrier lagoons (Pelzer 1998). Such an event-based sedimentation is concordant with the presence of cluster-like colonies of fully grown, gregarious, infaunal unionoid bivalves (Fig. 8, see also Schwennicke 1998). Growth to several centimetres in shell-length required years to decades before the population was extinguished or forced to relocate by rapid burial. The $10^4$ to $10^5$ yr frequency of high-energy deposition supports an association with severe storm events.

The lacustrine setting, in concordance with the absence of clear indicators of regular rhythmic tidal currents (e.g. herring-bone cross-stratification) and rarity of signs of sustained unidirectional currents, precludes astronomical tides as sediment-transport mechanism. However, storm-induced tides, piling water in shallow littoral regions as the Hils Embayment may explain temporal inundation of intertidal to supratidal areas after the deposition of storm-mobilized sediments. Subsequent desiccation of such isolated supratidal water bodies can explain the occurrence of features such as the reticulated microbial mat structures (compare Bose and Chafetz 2009).

The relative abundance of interspersed channel- and scour-fill sandstones, often containing root-traces and

Fig. 8 Lockeia isp. (NLMH 105.745A), resting traces of unionid bivalve colony at the underside of a dm-thick, massive sandstone layer indicating sudden burial under rapidly deposited sediment after prolonged period of undisturbed growth. Bückeburg Formation, Obernkirchen Member, Münchehagen/F. Wesling GmbH quarry (locality 1). Scale bar 5 cm
separated by knobby-irregular, clay-draped boundary surfaces at Obernkirchen (Bückeberge, locality 8, Fig. 7b, c) indicate a facies setting rather different from that at the Rehburg Mountains. The knobby surfaces are always associated to rooted horizons. These surfaces, which were first described as stacked palaeosols by Grupe (1931), are very similar to structures formed by desiccated microbial mats on vegetated, supratidal sandbars (Bose and Chafetz 2009). They indicate subaerial exposure which lasted long enough to enable the growth of a rather dense vegetation cover. Channel-fill deposits occasionally have large-scale trough cross-stratification and coarser-grained basal lags. Together with the diffusely horizontally stratified or structureless, laterally extensive sandstone beds, these observations suggest a shallow-water deltaic mouthbar complex, in which the tops of mouthbars became emergent and vegetated following the drop of the local water-table after periods of very strong, probably seasonal discharge. Dinosaur tracks occur on the top of channel complexes and on rootetknobby surfaced and plain sandstone layers. The quality of track preservation varies substantially, but is generally inferior to that in the Münchehagen area. Track-covered surfaces were probably at least partially subaerially exposed and degraded because of the lack of a protective mud layer, vegetation growth, and surface modification by desiccating microbial mats (compare Marty et al. 2009).

At Harrl hill (localities 5 and 6, Fig. 7d), at least one track horizon is situated near the top of a thinning-upward, scoured sandstone succession, passing upwards into several dm of ripple cross-laminated siltstones to mudstones and capped by a coal seam (Pelzer 1998). The facies assemblage suggests an aggrading mouthbar under transgressive conditions, overlain by proximal shallow-lagoon sediments, when the base-level rise forced retrogradation of the system or the mouthbar became inactive by avulsion. Finally, a peat-bog swamp became established under highstand conditions. The dinosaur tracks were left on top of the shallowly submerged mouthbar.

The dinosaur tracks from fluvial deposits at the Osterwald mountains (localities 12 and 13) are preserved at the base of medium-bedded, partly current-ripple cross-laminated sandstones, overlying partly coal-bearing clay- and mudstones rich in plant macrofossils (Naumann 1927). Crevasse-splay deposited sand probably rapidly covered the fine-grained overbank mud in which the tracks were made.

Tracks are commonly preserved as hyporeliefs on the lower surface of sandstone beds. The fine-grained intervals are poorly inundated and the trackway impressions (positive epireliefs) are often destroyed during exposure if not preserved by artificial casting (Grabbe 1881; Hamm 1938; Lehmann 1978). However, this type of preservation may be over-represented in historical collections as it was logistically easier to collect blocks with isolated hypichnia than to remove the track-bearing layer. Thin mudstone intercalations support the preservation of epireliefs on an underlying sandstone surface either by penetration of the mud layer by the foot or by undertracks. Thinly bedded sandstones with intercalating mudstone laminae also favour the preservation of undertracks.

Vertebrate track inventory of the Bückeberg Formation
(Table 1)

As this work is not intended as a thorough ichnotaxonomical revision of the vertebrate ichnofauna, which will be given elsewhere, only a short overview and

| Region              | Rehburg Mountains | Harrl hill | Bückeberge | Osterwald Mts. |
|---------------------|-------------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| Locality            | 1     | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9   | 10  | 11  | 12  | 13  |
| SAUROPODA           |       | +/- | +   | +   |       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| THEROPODA           |       |     |     |     | +   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| ‘Bueckeburgichnus’ maximus |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| ‘Megalosauripus’ isp. |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Didactyle morphotype |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| ORNITHOPODA         | ?     | ++  | ++  | ?   | ++  | ++  | ++  | ++  | ++  | +   | +   | +   | +   |
| ANKYLOSauria        |       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Metatetrapous valdensis |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| UNID. TRIDACTYLE    |       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| DINOSAUR TRACKS    | +     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| CHELONIA            | +     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| CROCODYLIA          |       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |

Abundance: (+) rare to very rare, (++) abundant; (?) identification uncertain

Table 1 Distribution, taxonomy, and abundance of dinosaur tracks across the localities discussed herein
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terminological framework for the locality section will be given here. Several dinosaur ichnotaxa have been formally established on the basis of material from the Bückeburg Formation; however, virtually none of these are correctly diagnosed or undisputed.

Sauropoda (Fig. 9a)

Sauropod trackways (Fig. 9a) are known only from the “Dinosaurier-Park Münchehagen” open-air museum (locality 2; Hendricks 1981; Lockley et al. 2004). A new ichnotaxon, *Rotundichnus muenchehagensis* Hendricks, 1981, has been established for these tracks, but this has not found wide acceptance, because the tracks do not preserve many morphological details (Lockley et al. 1994, 2004; Wright 2005). Their general morphology is similar to that of the wide-gauge ichnogenus *Brontopodus* Farlow, Pittman and Hawthorne, 1989. Despite confirming its status as nomen dubium, Wright (2005) proposed characters to distinguish *Rotundichnus* from *Brontopodus* and denied the referral of the Münchehagen tracks to the latter. The main differences pointed out by Wright (2005) were subcircular...
manus impressions (narrowly arc-shaped in Brontopodus) and a manus width circa two times the manus length (nearly equal in Brontopodus). However, the manus impressions are more crescent-shaped in Rotundichnus than illustrated in Wright (2005: fig. 9.5J and 9.5G respectively, compare with Lockley et al. 2004: figs. 4–5 and to Fig. 9a herein).

An occurrence of Brontopodus isp. sauropod tracks at Harrl hill as indicated by Diedrich (2004: fig. 1) is not supported by previous reports or the original material.

Theropoda (Figs. 9b, c, 16b, c, 18a, b)

Theropod tracks (Fig. 9b, c) are common in the LSB but have been misidentified as ornithopod tracks on various occasions. The first description of theropod tracks from the Obernkirchen Sandstone were given by Grabbe (1883: 20), who noted moderately sized tridactyl footprints (footlength ca 25–30 cm) with an asymmetric metatarsal region and distinct claw marks. Unfortunately, he did not provide figures or a detailed locality (?Harrl hill). The first indisputable figures of theropod tracks were published by Ballerstedt (1905); these were from Harrl hill.

Theropod footprints occur in various size classes and morphotypes. One distinct morphotype is represented by large, functionally tridactyl footprints, which often preserve the small digit I on deep casts and show a broad, robust digit II (Fig. 9b). This morphotype has been named Bueckeburgichnus maximus Kuhn, 1958 (see Lockley 2000; Lockley et al. 2004). However, Thulborn (2001) reasonably argued that the ichnogenus Megalosauripus Lessertisseur, 1955 is available as a valid senior synonym of Bueckeburgichnus. We tentatively retain here the name ‘Bueckeburgichnus’ for the sake of distinction between those theropod tracks which have been included in the ichnotaxonomic concept of the ichnogenus Megalosauripus Lockley, Meyer and dos Santos, 1998 by Lessertisseur, 1955. Because a second morphotype of theropod tracks (Figs. 9c, 16b, 18a, b) is also consistent with the current definition of the latter (Lockley et al. 1998), we use here the name ‘Megalosauripus’ to distinguish these from ‘Bueckeburgichnus’. However, both designations are unsatisfactory in the long term, and this plexus of theropod ichnotaxa is in desperate need of revision.

Yet unpublished material includes other types of theropod tracks which will be listed as “theropod tracks” generally. These include recently discovered didactyl trackways at Obernkirchen, apparently left by theropods with a specialised sickle-shaped claw on digit II (probably troodontids, van der Lubbe et al. 2009, Fig. 16c).

Ornithopoda (Figs. 1, 9d, e, f, 11, 12, 14, 16e, 17a, 18a, b, c, 19)

Ornithopods are by far the most abundant dinosaurs in the Bückeberg Formation ichnofauna (Fig. 9d, e, f). Even their earliest discoveries have been associated with the genus Iguanodon Mantell, 1825 from the Barremian through Aptian of southern England (Struckmann 1880a, b). Although Struckmann used the descriptive term “Ornithoidichnites” coined by Beckles (1852, 1854) for similar tracks from England, most subsequent authors addressed them simply as “Iguanodon tracks” or even as “Iguanodon” (Stechow 1909; Ballerstedt 1914; Lehmann 1978; Richter 2007). It had already been noted by Kuhn (1958) and others that such a designation is taxonomically incorrect, because:

1. it cannot be demonstrated positively that the (geologically younger) orthotaxon Iguanodon had produced the tracks; and
2. naming tracks by the same formal taxonomic group as their producer is only admissible for extant tracks.

Alternatively, the term “iguanodontid tracks” was also used (first by Grabbe 1881). However, this spelling is problematical too, because a monophyletic family Iguanodontidae was not recognised by recent analyses of ornithopod relationships (Norman 2004; Paul 2008; Butler et al. 2008). Nonetheless, attribution of the tracks to (a) relatively large-sized, basal member(s) of Iguanodontia (in the sense of crown-group Ornithopoda, e.g. Norman 2004) is, of course, indisputable.

More recent papers (Lehmann 2003, 2006; Diedrich 2004; U. Richter et al. 2007; Hornung and Reich 2007) recognised the ichnogenus Iguanodontipus Sarjeant, Delair and Lockley, 1998, however without a proper rationale for this assignment. As this ichnogenus was introduced by Sarjeant et al. (1998) for a distinct morphotype of tridactyl ornithopod tracks and not intended as a catch-all ichnotaxon for Lower Cretaceous iguanodontian tracks, its general use for the LSB large ornithopod tracks is rejected herein. Indeed the morphological diversity suggests the presence of at least 2–3 morphotypes among the large ornithopod tracks from the LSB (Hornung unpublished data). These include a new, recently discovered morphotype with two additional metapodial pad impressions at the foot base from Obernkirchen (Böhme et al. 2009, unpublished data).

Because of these complications, ornithopod tracks will not be further differentiated at ichnogenus level in the locality descriptions. Several observations on well-preserved trackways have shown that some of the large ornithopod trackmakers were quadrupedal and various ontogenetic stages are present (Lockley et al. 2004; Diedrich 2004; A. Richter et al. 2007; Böhme et al. 2009; Figs. 9e, f, 16e).

Ballerstedt (1905, 1921a) reported the existence of a didactyl ornithopod track which he named Struthopus schaumburgensis Ballerstedt, 1921. The location of the
type material of this ichnotaxon is currently not known, but from the published figures it can be inferred that it is not identical to the newly discovered didactyl theropod tracks from Obernkirchen. Given the variation observed in ornithopod tracks from the Obernkirchen Sandstone, it is highly probable that *Sc. schaumburgensis* is an extramorphologically modified tridactyl track or one left by a pathological individual (as proposed, for example, by Abel 1935). In the latter case, Ballerstedt’s ichnotaxon would be justified and valid; however, this can only be decided with the original material at hand.

Small bipedal ornithischians (Fig. 16d)

Recently, small trackways, possibly left by bipedal cursorial ornithischians have been found at Obernkirchen (Fig. 16d). Their generalised morphology potentially agrees with various groups of ornithischians, including derived ornithopods, basal thyreophorans, and marginocephalians. Detailed studies of these tracks are in preparation.

Ankylosauria (Fig. 9g)

The first trackway of a quadruped dinosaur from the Bückeberg Formation was described by Ballerstedt (1921b, 1922) from Harrl hill near Bückeburg (Fig. 9g). It was named *Metatetrapus valdensis* and identified as the trackway of a thyreophoran ornithischian by Nopcsa (1923). The validity and identity of this ichnotaxon were long doubted (Schmidt 1969; Haubold 1974), as the only known documentation of the trackway was a sketch by Ballerstedt (1922). However, recently some parts of the ichnologotype have been identified from the Ballerstedt collection confirming the observations by Ballerstedt and Nopcsa and supporting its description as an ankylosaurian trackmaker (Hornung et al. 2007, unpublished data).

Non-dinosaurian vertebrate tracks

Non-dinosaurian vertebrate tracks are quite rare in the Bückeberg Formation. Recently, a well-preserved cast of a manus imprint from a large turtle (possibly *Hylaeochelys menkei*) from the Harrl hill locality was identified in the Ballerstedt collection (Hornung et al. 2008). Diedrich (2004) noted scratch-marks (?swimming-track) made by a crocodilian from the Dinosaurier-Park Münchehagen open-air museum quarry but gave no further details or figures. A strong discrepancy in diversity and composition exists between the vertebrate fauna represented by tracks and by body fossils from the same lithological unit (Table 2). Crocodiles and turtles are very abundant (with a very scarce track record), whereas large ornithopods and theropods (for which an extremely abundant track record exists) are only represented by a few teeth and isolated bones (Dames 1884a, b; Koken 1887; Struckmann 1894; and unpublished material). This suggests high taphonomical control of both body and trace fossil preservation. The dinosaur tracks represent a local fauna at least temporarily autochthonous to the delta and shoal system (large ornithopods, theropods, rare sauropods, and ankylosaurs). Some body fossils (e.g. *Stenopelix valdensis*) are allochthonous components from other habitats, which must have existed in the catchment area of local fluvial systems.

### Vertebrate tracksites in the Bückeberg formation

In the sections below, all data about the published dinosaur tracksites from the Obernkirchen Sandstone are compiled. Some emphasis is given to those localities which are currently not accessible and for which data are compiled from the dispersed literature. Localities with current excavation and research coverage are only rather briefly introduced, because their detailed description will be the objective of future work. The locality numbers refer to the map in Fig. 2.

It must be stressed that the rather deliberate use of locality names in the past may have led to confusion. For example, Diedrich (2004) distinguished between two tracksites in the Rehburg Mountains, “Bad Rehburg” (based on Struckmann 1880a, b and Stechow 1909) and “Münchehagen” (based upon Fischer 1998). In fact, Struckmann (1880a, b) referred to specimens found at Wölpingeusen (here locality 4) and Stechow (1909) and Fischer (1998) to material from Münchehagen. Bad Rehburg, situated 1.5 km E of Münchehagen, has not yielded any tracksite yet. Its use in the older references was
because of its status as an administration centre (community of Rehburg-Loccum).

Localities 1 to 4: Rehburg Mountains

Three of the four known tracksites from the Rehburg Mountains (localities 1–3) are clustered in the immediate vicinity E of the town of Müchhagen. They expose nearly the same stratigraphical interval. At all three outcrops, the succession begins ca 1 m below the outcrop base with the Hauptfloß coal seam, which is overlain by a sandstone layer with a rugged, eroded surface on top. This discontinuity is found at the same level in all three outcrops (Wilde et al. 1995), and the boundary surface bears dinosaur tracks in two of them (localities 2 and 3). The overlying sandstones are laterally discontinuous and cannot be correlated with certainty.

1. Müchhagen/F. Wesling GmbH quarry (Figs. 6, 7a, 10, 11)

Coordinates  N 52°26′37.39″, E 9°12′05.90″; R: 3513680, H: 5812380; 1:25000 German Topographical Map reference grid sheet 3521 Rehburg.

Stratigraphic position  Lower part of Obernkirchen Sandstone, ca 3–5 m above Hauptfloß coal seam.

Track inventory  Large and medium-sized ornithopods and theropods.

Remarks  The quarry of the F. Wesling GmbH company exposes ca 6–7 m of 0.1–1 m thick sandstone, intercalated by 0.05–0.2 m thick mudstone and siltstone layers. Two horizons with well-preserved trackways are present, separated by ca 2 m of sandstone. Abundant (>15) ornithopod trackways left by bipedal and quadrupedal individuals in various ontogenetic stages were present on both track levels. Several of the animals walked subparallel to each other. One ornithopod trackway consisted of at least 57 steps (Fig. 11). Medium-sized theropods are represented by several trackways on both track horizons. The quarry is still in production; contemporaneous to the quarrying activities, systematic rescue excavations, surveys and casting have been carried out by the Dinosaurier-Park Müchhagen, the NLMH, and collaborating institutions since 2004. As not all track-bearing layers could be preserved, contemporaneously to the documentation of the track-site, a ca
20 m²-sized slab of the lower track horizon was removed in 2005 for a future exhibit at the GZG. Casts from the 2004–2005 excavation campaigns are stored at the NLMH. Other original material from this locality, already found before systematic excavation at the site began, is on exhibition at the nearby Dinosaurier-Park Münchehagen open-air museum (locality 2) and was partly figured by Lockley et al. (2004). Since 2010, newly excavated at locality 1, excellently preserved theropod and ornithopod trackways from the lower track horizon are reassembled
and permanently exhibited in the Dinosaurier-Park Münchehagen protection hall, including currently (spring 2011) a >15 m long consecutive trackway of a medium-sized theropod.

References Athen et al. (2005); Wings et al. (2005a, b); Lehmann (2006); Lehmann et al. (2006); A. Richter et al. (2007); U. Richter et al. (2007); Richter (2007).

2. Münchehagen/Dinosaurier-Park Münchehagen open-air museum (Figs. 6, 10)

Coordinates N 52°26′33.20″, E 9°12′02.51″; R: 3513720, H: 5812190; 1:25000 German Topographical Map reference grid sheet 3521 Rehburg.

Stratigraphic position Lower part of Obernkirchen Sandstone, ca 1 m above the Hauptflöz coal seam.

Track inventory Sauropods, unidentified tridactyl, bipedal dinosaur, ?crocodile swimming-track.

Remarks The outcrop exposes 7–8 m of thin- to thick-bedded sandstone, interbedded with cm-thick mudstone to siltstone. The Hauptflöz coal seam was found in a core well ca 1 m below the sandstone layer forming the floor of the quarry (Fischer 1998).

256 individual footprints belong to eight sauropod trackways, seven of which are oriented roughly subparallel. 19 additional footprints form a single trackway of a large tridactyl biped on a single bedding surface. All tracks were pre-diagenetically eroded and further worn by postexcavational damage on the quarry floor before protection. Because of the poor preservation, the producer of the tridactyl track cannot be determined (Lockley et al. 2004). Diedrich (2004) reported “crocodile scratch-marks” from this locality but gave no further details or figure.

On discovery of these tracks in 1979, this tracksite enjoyed great public interest, because it was the first time since the 1920s that long and numerous in situ trackways of dinosaurs had been revealed. It was also the first time sauropod tracks, apparently left by a ‘herd’, had been identified from the Obernkirchen Sandstone (for overviews see Hendricks 1981; Probst and Windolf 1993; Fischer and Thies 1993, 2000; Fischer 1998). The tracks are protected by conservation law and form the centrepiece of the Dinosaurier-Park Münchehagen open-air museum. Some areas of the tracksite are sheltered and publicly accessible (see also Töneböhn and Kulle-Battermann 1989a, b, c). The tracks have been studied extensively by Hendricks (1981), Kleinschmidt (1986), Fischer (1998) and Lockley et al. (2004). Production in the quarry ceased in 1972.

References Hulke (1980); Hendricks (1981); Staesche (1981); Look (1986); Look et al. (1988); Kleinschmidt (1986); Fischer (1987, 1998); Meyer (1987); Fischer et al. (1988); Töneböhn and Kulle-Battermann (1989a, b, c), Fischer in Boenigk (1990); Probst and Windolf (1993); Fischer and Thies (1993, 2000); Haubold in Lockley (1993); Wilde et al. (1995); Mutterlose (1997b); Lockley and Meyer (2000); Lockley et al. (2004); Wright (2005); U. Richter (2007).

3. Münchehagen/Stadtländer quarry (Fig. 6)

Coordinates N 52°26′32.57″, E 9°11′51.37″; R: 3513540, H: 5812160; 1:25000 German Topographical Map reference grid sheet 3521 Rehburg.

Stratigraphic position Lower part of Obernkirchen Sandstone, ca 4 m above Hauptflöz coal seam.

Track inventory Large ornithopods(?).

Remarks The quarry exposed ca 9 m of medium- to thick-bedded sandstone, interbedded infrequently by cm-thick mudstone layers. Layers with accumulated shark-teeth, preserved as impressions, have been reported from this site.

Large tridactyl hypichnia, “probably made by Iguanodon”, were observed by Wilde et al. (1995) and Pelzer (1998) at the basal horizon (corresponding to the track horizon in locality 2) and in a second horizon ca 3 m above the base of the outcrop. The quarry is abandoned, overgrown by vegetation, and partly water-filled.

References Wilde et al. (1995); Pelzer (1998).

4. Wölpinhausen/Wölpinhäuser Berg (Figs. 1, 12)

Coordinates Wölpinhäuser Berg (Wilhelmsturm), approx. N 52°25′44.22″, E 9°13′12.28″; R: 3515120, H: 5810660; 1:25000 German Topographical Map reference grid sheet 3521 Rehburg.

Stratigraphic position Obernkirchen Sandstone, exact level unknown.

Track inventory Large and medium-sized ornithopods, theropods.

Remarks The first scientifically described record of any dinosaur track from Germany was reported from this locality (Struckmann 1880a, b; Fig. 1). Struckmann reported the presence of ca 40 tridactyl, “bird-like” tracks...
of a biped at the quarry of A. Spörl, close to the Wilhelmssturm, ca 1.5 km NW to the town of Wölpinghausen. For some of them the footlength was ca 60 cm. Struckmann (1880a, b) provided depictions of isolated hypichnia casts corresponding to large ornithopod tracks, which he associated with the genus *Iguanodon* (Fig. 1b).

Unfortunately, geological information about the tracksite provided by Struckmann is very sparse. He noted the footprints from two 40–60 cm thick sandstone beds near the base of the quarry, exposing ca 6 m of Obernkirchen Sandstone. Today the quarry is abandoned and inaccessible.

The GZG houses a large sandstone slab from “Wölpinghausen” (donation of the Principality of Schaumburg-Lippe; mentioned in Schmidt 1959), lacking more precise locality information. The lower surface is densely covered with hypichnia of mid-sized to large ornithopods and theropods, heading in various directions (Fig. 12).

**References** Struckmann (1880a, b); Schmidt (1959).

Localities 5 and 6: Bückeburg/Harrl hill

5. Bückeburg/Abandoned quarry on the W’ side of Harrl hill (Fig. 7d)

**Coordinates** N 52°15′03.70″, E 9°03′50.71″; R: 35044670 H: 5790870; 1:25000 German Topographical Map reference grid sheet 3720 Bückeburg, ca 1.4 km SE of Bückeburg.

**Stratigraphic position** Upper part of Obernkirchen Sandstone.

**Track inventory** Tridactyl, bipedal dinosaurs.

**Remarks** The quarry exposed a ca 7.5 m thick succession showing a tripartite subdivision (Pelzer 1998; Fig. 7d). The lower, >4.7 m thick succession consists of medium-bedded, scoured, and partly cross-stratified sandstone with root-traces in the upper part, overlain concordantly with ca 0.8 m of sandy siltstone and mudstone with ripple-mark cross-lamination and root horizons, topped by a thin coal-seam. The upper, ca 2 m thick unit consists of thin to medium-bedded, cross-stratified, scoured, fine-grained sandstone, rich in molluscs (Neomiodonidae and unidentified gastropods), beginning with a basal coarse-grained horizon with intraformational rip-up clasts, wood impressions, and abundant large bivalve shallow-burrowing traces (*Lockeia* isp., personal observation).

Pelzer (1998) reported cross-sections of hypichnia in the quarry wall, near the base of the second unit, ca 1.3 m below the coal seam (Fig. 7d). The track-bearing horizon is probably equivalent to the track layer in nearby locality 6, excavated by Ballerstedt (e.g. 1905, 1921b). The quarry is abandoned and over-grown by vegetation, but still accessible (personal observation 08/2009).

**References** Pelzer (1998).

6. Bückeburg/Hexenteich quarry

**Coordinates** N 52°15′01.16″, E 9°04′07.62″; R: 3504770 H: 5790734; 1:25000 German Topographical Map reference grid sheet 3720 Bückeburg, ca 1.6 km SE of Bückeburg.

**Stratigraphic position** Upper part of Obernkirchen Sandstone.

**Track inventory** Large ornithopods (including *Struthopus schaumburgensis* Ballerstedt, 1921), theropods (*Bueckeburgichnus* maximus Kuhn, 1958), ankylosaurs (*Metatetrapos valdensis* Nopcsa, 1923), turtle footprint.

**Remarks** During the 19th century, this locality has yielded important body fossils, for example the holotypes of the crocodylian *Pholidosaurus schaumburgensis* and the dinosaur *Stenopelx valdensi*. First discoveries of tracks in the Harrl hill area date back to Grabbe (1881, 1883), but these lack detailed locality information. Around 1900, an extensive track horizon was discovered by Ballerstedt (1905). The material includes large ornithopods and large theropods, among them the type material of *Bueckeburgichnus* maximus Kuhn, 1958 and *Struthopus schaumburgensis* Ballerstedt, 1921. Isolated hypichnia from this track horizon survived in the Ballerstedt collection at the GZG and in other places.

In 1921, Ballerstedt reported a trackway of a quadruped from the same locality and horizon (the first definite evidence of a quadruped known from the Obernkirchen Sandstone at the time). This trackway is, historically, the earliest named trackway attributed to an ankylosaur (*Metatetrapos valdensis* Nopcsa 1923; Hornung et al. 2007).

The strata exposed in this outcrop follow the strike direction of the strata from locality 5, which is located only 350 m to the W. The beds can be partly correlated between the two outcrops. The lower part of the exposed section consists of >8 m of scoured, medium-bedded, partly cross-stratified, fine- to medium-grained sandstone, overlain by ca 0.6 m of thin-bedded sandstone to mudstone, capped by a cm-thick coal seam. The coal is truncated erosively and overlain by ca 1.7 m of thin- to medium-bedded, fining-upward, cross-stratified sandstone that forms very gently dipping foresets. Above the sandstone, ca 1 m of siltstone to mudstone is followed by sandstone 0.5 m thick.

According to Grupe (1933), the succession is underlain by another thin coal seam and the base of the sandstone...
above the coal-seam is rich in stem-fragments of the pteridophyte Tempskya schimperi Corda, 1845 and contains rip-up coal clasts. The dinosaur tracks were confined to the section below the upper coal seam. The central section, including the coal seam and the underlying fine-grained deposits, correlates with the exposure at locality 5. The lithology of the track casts preserved at the GZG suggests that they were found in this subunit below the coal seam, from were Pelzer (1998) also reported track casts at locality 5.

The quarry near the Bükeburg Castle was owned by the Count of Schaumburg-Lippe in the 19th century. Therefore it was cited in older literature as “Fürstlicher Steinbruch” (“comital quarry”) or simply as “the large quarry on the Harrl (hill)” (von Meyer 1841, 1857; Ballerstedt 1905, 1914, 1921a, b, 1922). Regular operations in the quarry ceased around 1870, and it was exploited only occasionally (on demand) into the first half of the 20th century (Ballerstedt 1921). Today the quarry is partly overgrown by vegetation and occupied by the ‘Hexenteich’ (‘witch pond’) but is still accessible (personal observation 08/2009).

References  von Meyer (1841, 1857, 1859); Ballerstedt (1905, 1914, 1921a, b, 1922); Wegner (1913, 1926); Grupe (1933).

Localities 7 to 11: Bükeberge

7. Obernkirchen/Schauenstein glass factory quarry (Figs. 13, 14)

Coordinates  N 52°15’37.93”, E 9°08’36.80”; R: 3509887 H: 5791918, 1:25000; German Topographical Map reference grid sheet 3720 Bükeburg, ca 1.5 km SE of Obernkirchen.

Stratigraphic position  Upper part of Obernkirchen Sandstone.

Track inventory  Large ornithopods (tridactyl and tetradactyl(?) tracks).

Remarks  Dietrich (1927) gave a short description of the locality as of June 1926 (Fig. 13): The succession shows a subdivision into two superimposed lithofacies. The upper, track-bearing lithofacies consists of thin- to medium-bedded, laterally irregular-continuous or lenticular, partly cross-stratified sandstone, intercalated with thin-bedded sandy mudstone. This succession was underlain by thick-bedded sandstone and overlain by a thin, discontinuous coal-seam. Dietrich (1927) reported a thickness of 12 m, implying that this thickness referred to the upper, cross-stratified horizon. However, comparison with other data from surrounding outcrops and the schematic log by Grupe (1933: fig. 1), suggest that this corresponded rather to the total exposed thickness in the quarry. The cross-stratified lithofacies (below the coal-seam) therefore accounts for >3–4 m and the underlying thick-bedded facies for ca 8–9 m, of which only the upper ca 5 m were exposed in the quarry. The underlying strata down to the UHS were identified from a well in the quarry area (Grupe 1933).

The quarry exposed at least three track horizons, one of which (from the upper lithological unit) was mapped in detail by Dietrich (1927). He identified approximately 40–45 individual tridactyl tracks but was unable to identify longer trackways of consecutive footsteps (Fig. 12). Hamm
(1957) published a contemporary photograph, apparently showing a part of Dietrich’s track horizon, and some measurements (Fig. 14).

The tracks were identified as having been produced by a single taxon of large ornithopods but their shape and quality strongly depends on the local thickness of the sandy mud in which the tracks were left. In some areas they were impressed through the mud-layer into relatively clean sand, where only subtle marks were left. From isolated hypichnia, Dietrich (1927) also figured an allegedly tetractyl ornithopod pes print, which was named *Wealdenichnites iguanodontoides* by Kuhn (1958; Fig. 9d). The existence of this morphotype was questioned by Haubold (1974, 1984).

Based upon the vertical succession of lithofacies, the track-bearing section can be correlated with the upper part of the exposure in the nearby active quarry of the Obe-

Horningen Sandsteinbru¨che GmbH (locality 8, Fig. 5). The Schauen-

stein quarry was operated by the F.C.H. Heye glass manufacturing company from 1827. It is now abandoned and re-filled as an earth dump.

References Dietrich (1927); Grupe (1933); Hamm (1957).

8. Obernkirchen/Obernkirchener Sandsteinbrüche GmbH quarry (Figs. 7b, c, 15, 16)

Coordinates Main site: N 52°15′45.02″, E 9°12′24.16″; R: 3514120 H: 5792220; nearby small, inactive quarry: N 52° 15′37.22″, E 9°12′00.34″; R: 3513720, H: 5791940; 1:25000 German Topographical Map reference grid sheet 3721 Auetal, ca 5.3 km ESE of Obernkirchen.

Stratigraphic position Middle to upper part of Obernkirchen Sandstone.

Track inventory Small, bipedal ornithischians, several morphotypes of large ornithopods and theropods (*Bueckeburgichnus* maximus, *Megalosaurus* isp., and didactyl tracks).

Remarks The active quarry of the Obernkirchener Sandsteinbrüche GmbH is currently the most important production site for the Obernkirchen Sandstone. The exposed section comprises more than 8 m and can be subdivided into two vertically stacked lithofacies units (Fig. 7b). The lower, at least 6 m thick, unit consists of massive, locally channelled (Fig. 7c), thick bedded sandstone with irregular bedding surfaces, abundant rooted palaeosol horizons, and few cm-thick mudstone intervals. The upper unit comprises more than 2 m of scoured or channelled, lenticular, or planar-bedded, laterally discontinuous sandstone, interbedded with mm- to cm-thick mudstone layers.

At least six to seven track horizons are present: five to six in the lower lithofacies unit and one in the upper. The lowermost track level, ca 1.5–2 m above the base of the exposure, comprises hundreds of tri- and didactyl theropod and tridactyl ornithopod tracks of different sizes and morphologies (Figs. 7b, 16a), some still showing long, superimposed trackways. This horizon, which was
nicknamed ‘chicken yard’ during excavation (Richter et al. 2009; van der Lubbe et al. 2009), can be traced throughout wide areas in the northern, deepest part of the quarry. Circa 1 m above the “chicken yard” level, another track horizon, with large ornithopod tracks, has been recognised in a few places.

The uppermost track horizon within the lower unit at the active quarry contains large ornithopod tracks of a new morphotype yet to be described in detail (Bohme et al. 2009). The stratigraphically youngest track level at Obernkirchen is located within the upper unit. Finally, at least one horizon with ornithopod tracks has recently been found in the southern part of the active quarry. The exact stratigraphic position of this horizon has not yet been established, because this area is affected by some faulting, but it seems to be situated in the lower unit.

The track levels reported by Diedrich (2004) are not exposed in the main quarry but in a small, currently inactive quarry ca 500 m to the SW. We suppose that the bipartite lithofacies subdivision observed by Diedrich (2004: figs. 2–3) at this site corresponds to the lower and upper lithofacies units in the main quarry. Only his “track bed I” was found at the site, as indicated in Diedrich (2004), ca 2.5 m below the top of the lower lithological unit. A second track layer occurs ca 1 m lower in the succession than indicated in Diedrich (2004: fig. 3) and nearly 2 m below the position indicated in Diedrich (2004: fig. 2). Diedrich’s “track bed II” could not be found in any of the positions given. In the same locality, isolated large ornithopod tracks were found at the top bed of the lower unit, indicating a third track level.

The gross lithostratigraphic succession in the Obernkirchener Sandsteinbrüche GmbH quarry is very similar to that in the Schauenstein glass factory quarry (locality 7, Dietrich 1927; Grupe 1933), and the boundary between the lower and upper subunits may correlate. Unfortunately, no coal seam is exposed in the former in direct succession with the track horizons. However, a 10–30 cm thick seam (probably the Hauptfloß seam) is tectonically uplifted in the southeastern area of the quarry in relation to the main part, suggesting that it underlies the lower facies unit. The presence of the Hauptfloß coal seam ca 11 m below the ground level (ca 2–4 m below the quarry base level) is also confirmed by various wells drilled in the vicinity of the quarry (LBEG 2009).

In recent years, especially since 2006, the dinosaur track inventory (Fig. 16b–e) of this location has been shown to be of enormous richness in quantity and diversity. U. Lehmann (1978) provided the first extensive description of ornithopod tracks from the Obernkirchen Sandstone since Ballerstedt’s era based upon a large track slab from this locality (now at the GPMH). Diedrich (2004) described a large track slab with ornithopod and theropod (‘Megalosaurus’ isp.) tracks, discovered in 1987. Haderer and Neef (2004) reported new material of ‘Bueckeburgichnus maximus’ from this locality, for the first time since the
Fig. 16 Obernkirchen/
Obernkirchener
Sandsteinbrüche GmbH quarry
(locality 8). The ‘chicken yard’
track horizon as excavated in
2008–2009. a Overview of the
bedding surface with hundreds
of dinosaur footprints, preserved
as epichnial impressions;
b–e Examples of dinosaur
footprint morphotypes
preserved on the ‘chicken yard’
track surface; b cf.
‘Megalosaurus’ isp.,
moderately sized, tridactyl
theropod pes track. Light shed
from the right; c Small, didactyl
theropod pes track, probably
from a troodontid. Light shed
from the right; d Small, biped
ornithischian pes track. Light
shed from the lower right;
e Large ornithopod track,
manus/pes-couple. Light shed
from the left. Coin diameter in
all figures b–e is 24 mm.
discovery of Ballerstedt’s (1905) original material found near Bückeburg. However, the specimen, kept at the NLMH, is very poorly preserved, and its theropod assignment is disputable (personal observation, TvdL, AR). Isolated large slabs at the quarry dump area also preserved large theropod imprints referable to ‘Bueckeburgichnus’ (personal observation, JJH, MR).

Systematic excavations of the NLMH since 2006 have continuously yielded new finds, among others spectacular mass occurrences of theropod tracks, including didactyl footprints, presumably of troodontids (van der Lubbe et al. 2009). At least four to six ichnotaxa occur at Obernkirchen, partially in the same track layers, therefore providing the highest known diversity of any tracksite in the Obernkirchen Sandstone. Large ornithopods are represented by several trackways (Böhme et al. 2009) including those that indicate quadrupedal individuals (adult and subadult).

A proposal for the partial conservation and public exhibition of this site is under development.

References Broschinski (2004); Diedrich (2004); Haderer and Neef (2004); Lehmann (2003, 2006); Richter and Stratmann (2007); Böhme et al. (2009); van der Lubbe et al. (2009); Richter et al. (2009).

9. Nienstädt/”Dreier’s quarry"

Coordinates Exact locality unknown. Probably SE to the town of Nienstädt, 1:25000 German Topographical Map reference grid sheet 3721 Auetal.

Stratigraphic position Unknown position within Obernkirchen Sandstone.

Track inventory Tridactyl, bipedal dinosaurs.

Remarks Grabbe (1881) reported moderately sized (footlength 32.9–37.9 cm) apparently tridactyl tracks of a biped of “distinct habitus”. They were characterised by a “very broad ‘toe base’” and “triangular toes” of which the middle one was the shortest. Although he provided some measurements, his description–lacking an illustration–is not sufficient to gain a clear picture of this type of tracks. The allegedly short digit III is incongruent to mesaxonic, tridactyl dinosaur pes anatomy and suggests either misinterpretation or an epigenetic modification of the track.

The small, now probably vanished quarry could no longer be located with certainty but was situated SE of the vicinity of Nienstädt.

References Grabbe (1881).

10. Nienstädt: Liekwegen/Brandshof quarry (Fig. 17)

Coordinates Approx. N 52°17’06.50”, E 9°11’25.70”; R: 3512950 H: 5794670; 1:25000 German Topographical Map reference grid sheet 3721 Auetal, ca 1.8 km SE of Nienstädt.

Stratigraphic position Unknown position within Obernkirchen Sandstone.

Track inventory Large ornithopods.

Remarks Grabbe (1883) reported large ornithopod tracks (footlength 47–48 cm) from this locality, but did not provide any details on the geology or stratigraphy of this, now inaccessible, site. He excavated more that 10 consecutive footprints forming a trail.

Fig. 17 Liekwegen/Brandshof quarry. a Tridactyl pes track, exact size unknown. Historical photography, ca 1940-1945, GZG museum archive; b Present exposure of the quarry. The base of the quarry consists of sideritic shales with bivalve coquinas, overlain by medium-bedded sandstone. Height of exposure ca 6–7 m, 2009.
An unpublished historical photograph from the 1940s (Fig. 17), labelled “Liekwegen-Wendthagen quarry”, shows a tridactyl track of a biped consisting of two pes imprints, preserved as an epichnial relief on an irregular sandstone surface. The morphology and proportions of the track suggest an ornithopod trackmaker. The photograph from the Ballerstedt archive was probably taken at the Brandshof quarry, because of this was the largest quarry in the area and still in production in the mid-20th century. Only a small part of the former quarry is still accessible, exposing ca 4–5 m of thinning-upward, medium to thinly bedded sandstone. In some places the floor of the quarry consists of sideritic claystone with neomiodontid coquinas underly the sandstone.

References Grabbe (1883).

11. Wendthagen/“Maier’s quarry”

Coordinates Exact locality unknown. In the vicinity of the town of Wendthagen, 1:25000 German Topographical Map reference grid sheet 3721 Auetal.

Stratigraphic position Lower or middle part of Obernkirchen Sandstone.

Track inventory Large bipedal dinosaurs.

Remarks Grabbe (1881) recorded an oral report by mining supervisor Heidtmeier that a ca 100 m long trail of large footprints was found “well below the top” of the Obernkirchen Sandstone ca 1850–1860. The tracks were preserved as an epirelief. This is the oldest rather detailed and reliable account of dinosaur tracks from Germany. The tracks were no longer preserved at the time of Grabbe’s investigations.

References Grabbe (1881).

Localities 12 and 13: Osterwald

Track sites in the Osterwald mountains are located in the more proximal, fluvial and alluvial deposits of the Obernkirchen Member (Pelzer et al. 1992; Pelzer 1998). These deposits cannot be correlated in detail with those of the Obernkirchen Sandstone sensu strictu or dated more precisely than middle or late Berriasian. They are overlain by Valanginian track deposits (Osterwald Member).

12. Osterwald/Quarry 450 m south of Ahrensberg mountain (Fig. 18)

 Coordinates N52° 7’30.27”; E 9° 38’58.70”; R: 3544640 H: 5777050; 1:25,000 German Topographical Map reference grid sheet 3823 Coppenbrügge (formerly Eldagsen as in Naumann 1927), ca 2 km NE to the town of Osterwald.

Stratigraphic position Upper (?) Obernkirchen Member.

Track inventory Large ornithopods, theropods.

Remarks Naumann (1927) indicated an unspecified number of large, tridactyl hypichnia at the base of a 2.1 m thick sandstone layer interbedded within a ca 23 m thick series of alternating sandstone, mudstone, and claystone rich in plant macrofossils and minor coal seams. The succession is located well below the boundary to the Osterwald Member and probably slightly older than that in nearby locality 13. The track horizons in both localities cannot be correlated lithostratigraphically. The lithofacies and flora suggest a peri-fluvial environment with fine-grained sediments and coal settled in oxbow-lakes and back-swamps, while coarser-grained sedimentary bodies represent channel-fills, natural levees, and crevasse-splay fans (compare Pelzer 1984).

Naumann (1927: 29) explicitly associated the tracks with Iguanodon and reported the recovery of two individual footprints, one with a foot-length of 52 cm and a foot-width of 42 cm. The quarry is abandoned and over-grown by vegetation.

A ca 2.10 m × 1.62 m large slab (Fig. 18) of thinly bedded, fine-grained, bioturbated, current ripple-marked sandstone was recovered from the Osterwald/Ahrensberg area (exact provenance unknown) during the 1970s and is now kept at the Bundesanstalt für Geowissenschaften und Rohstoffe, Hannover (BGR). The slab shows three mid-sized ornithopod footprints (footlength ca 30 cm), forming the section of a trackway, and a single well-preserved left footprint of a mid-sized theropod (footlength ca 31 cm). The tracks are preserved as epichnia. The small fragment of a second (right) theropod footprint is probably preserved at the upper margin of the slab.

References Naumann (1927).

13. Osterwald/Kaiserblick (Fig. 19)

 Coordinates N52° 7’38.08”; E 9° 39’16.00”; R: 3544950 H: 5777260; 1:25000 German Topographical Map reference grid sheet 3823 Coppenbrügge (formerly Eldagsen as...
in Naumann 1927), ca 2.4 km NE to the town of Osterwald.

Stratigraphic position Upper(?) Obernkirchen Member.

Track inventory Large tridactyl tracks (?Ornithopoda).

Remarks From an abandoned quarry, ca 500 m ESE of the Ahrensberg, Naumann (1927) noted “Iguanodon-tracks” near the base of a sandstone package, embedded in a ca 20 m thick succession of sandstone, mudstone, and claystone, and minor coal seams. It is probably slightly younger than that in nearby locality 12. The lithofacies data provided by Naumann are scarcer than in locality 12 but suggest a similar environmental setting. The quarry is abandoned and over-grown by vegetation.

Barner (1931) published a photograph from the tracksite, taken around 1925 (Fig. 19).

References Naumann (1927); Barner (1931).

The Obernkirchen Sandstone dinosaur track localities—a megatracksite?

Diedrich (2004) listed several of the above mentioned dinosaur tracksites (localities 2, 4, 6, 8) as forming a “central European Berriasian megatracksite”. This argument was mainly based on the (rough) stratigraphical and lithofacial correlation of these localities and the assumed lateral connection of the track-bearing lithological units.

Generally, a megatracksite is strictly defined as a regionally extensive, single track-bearing horizon, or a very thin package of horizons over a large area in the order of hundreds or thousands of square kilometres (Lockley and Pittman 1989; Lockley 1991a). Almost all of the established megatracksites are formed by track-bearing strata not exceeding 1 m in thickness (Lockley 1997). An exception is provided by the Dakota Sandstone megatracksite (the “dinosaur freeway”, Lockley et al. 1992), which consists of several track horizons, encompassing a total thickness of ca 10 m. Regional correlation of the track horizon(s) requires very sound stratigraphic control, as provided, for example, by vertical relationships to major sequence boundaries in clastic sequences or laterally extensive bed-by-bed correlation in carbonate platform successions (Lockley 1991b; Meyer 1993; Kvale et al. 2001).

Such tight stratigraphic control is not available for the Obernkirchen Sandstone tracksites. Although in many locations several trackway horizons are present, these cannot be correlated laterally over more than a few square kilometers at best (Figs. 5, 6b). The sandstone beds on
which the tracks were preserved are often laterally discontinuous, sometimes even at outcrop scale, and lithofacies assemblages differ among the outcrop regions.

Truncated channel-fill deposits indicate the presence of intraformational discontinuities above the track-level in the Münchehagen quarry (Fischer 1998). A discontinuity at regional scale was also reported from the western Obernkirchen area by Dietrich (1927) and Grupe (1933) and observed in the Obernkirchener Sandsteinbrüche GmbH quarries (personal observation).

As already discussed, major differences exist between the lithofacies assemblages at the Rehburg Mountains and the Bückeberge. Although both systems were probably genetically coupled on a wider time scale, a strict isochrony of the barrier deposits in the northwest and the deltaic succession in the southwest—and especially of the dinosaur track horizons within both—cannot be demonstrated at present. Although similar in facies, again such a correlation cannot be made between the occurrences at Harrl hill and the Bückeberge. Therefore, identification of the Obernkirchen Sandstone as a megatracksite currently seems premature and rather uncertain.

Conclusions

13 dinosaur tracksites have been identified in the Obernkirchen Sandstone (Obernkirchen Member, Bückerberg Formation, Late Berriasian) in the southeastern part of the Lower Saxony Basin, eight of which are no longer accessible. A survey of available geological and ichnological data on these localities shows a database of quite inhomogeneous quality.

Correlations to current facies models (Pelzer 1998) for the Obernkirchen Sandstone and equivalents show that eleven tracksites are located in a distal coastal barrier/deltaic facies assemblage.
The presence of dinosaur tracks spans a number of facies types, indicating a range of local environments. Track occurrences in the Münchehagen area are linked to heterolithic successions, reflecting re-occurring high-energy depositional events interrupting long-term calm suspension setting. These events have a local reoccurrence frequency of at least $10^1$ to $10^2$ yrs, and are related to storm events carrying matured littoral barrier sands into back-barrier lagoons. Dinosaurs must have frequently passed through shallow areas of these lagoons and along barriers and shoals.

At Obernkirchen (Bückeberge), many of the track levels occur at the top of sandbars, which show indications of subaerial exposure and plant coverage. The laterally continuous beds are vertically and laterally intercalated with channel-fill sandstones in places, suggesting a net aggrading, temporarily emergent mouthbar complex. At Harrl hill, well-preserved dinosaur tracks occur on what is interpreted as a submerging mouthbar surface.

Because of association of various facies assemblages, lateral inconsistency, and a lack of stratigraphic correlation of the track-bearing horizons in the Obernkirchen Sandstone, its characterisation as a megatracksite ( Diedrich 2004) is currently regarded as speculative.

Two tracksites occur at the Osterwald mountains in more proximal equivalents of the Obernkirchen Sandstone, represented by perifluvial overbank deposits further inland.

Vertebrate clades represented by tracks include Ornithopoda, small, bipedal ornithischians, Ankylosauria, Theropoda, Sauropoda, turtles, and possibly crocodiles. Large ornithopods are most abundant and probably present in all localities, followed in abundance by theropods (five localities), sauropods, ankylosaurs, turtles and crocodiles (one locality each). Several ichnotaxa have been established for these tracks, but, with the exception of Meta-tetratrapous valdensis Nopcsa, 1923 (Ankylosauria; Hornung et al. 2007), none is properly defined at present. There are two or three morphotypes (partly related to different ontogenetic stages) of large ornithopod tracks and at least three morphotypes of theropods (corresponding to different clades). Ornithopod and theropod tracks occur in large numbers in several localities.

A strong discrepancy in diversity and composition exists between the vertebrate fauna represented by tracks and by body fossils from the same lithological unit. This suggests high local vicariance and taphonomical control of both body and trace fossil preservation. The dinosaur tracks represent a local fauna at least temporarily autochthonous to the estuary and shoal system. The nearly total absence of articulated or associated material from these groups suggests that their presence in this environment was probably non-permanent and migratorial.
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