Identification of New Proteins and Potential Mitochondrial F₁F₀-ATPase Inhibitor Factor 1-Associated Mechanisms in Arabidopsis thaliana Using iTRAQ-Based Quantitative Proteomic Analysis
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Abstract: The mitochondrial synthesis of ATP makes a vital contribution to the growth and development of biological organisms, in which the enzyme mitochondrial F₁F₀-ATP synthase plays a pivotal role, in that it can either synthesize or hydrolyze cellular ATP. The finding of our previous study revealed that mitochondrial F₁F₀-ATPase inhibitor factor 1 (IF1) in Arabidopsis thaliana has a conserved function as an endogenous inhibitor affecting cellular energy status and plays an important role in plant growth and reproduction, particularly in fertility. In this study, to gain an insight into IF1-related traits, we performed isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantitation labeling analysis. In total, 67 of 4778 identified proteins were identified as differentially expressed proteins (DEPs; 59 up-regulated and 8 down-regulated) between wild-type and if1 mutant Arabidopsis thaliana seedlings. Gene ontology enrichment analysis revealed that these DEPs were the most significantly enriched in pathways such as “long-day photoperiodism, flowering,” “positive regulation of protein import into chloroplast stroma,” and “pollen sperm cell differentiation,” which are closely associated with reproductive development. Moreover, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes enrichment analysis revealed that photosynthesis was the pathway most significantly enriched with DEPs. Collectively, our results revealed a global shift in protein abundance patterns corresponding to AtIF1 mutation, entailing changes in the abundance of multiple key proteins and metabolic processes, which will provide a valuable proteomic foundation for future studies.
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1. Introduction

In mitochondria, F₁F₀-ATPase not only synthesizes the energy-bearing compound, ATP plays a physiological pH-dependent role in ATP hydrolysis [1,2]. In mammals and yeasts, the endogenous inhibitor mitochondrial F₁F₀-ATPase inhibitor factor 1 (IF1) has been shown to prevent the invalid hydrolysis of ATP by mitochondrial ATPase. Since its discovery, numerous studies have been conducted on IF1 in yeast and mammalian systems, particularly in human systems [3–5]. In yeast, IF1 inhibits the ATP hydrolysis activity of mitochondrial F₁F₀-ATPase when the impaired membrane potential is rectified, and ATP hydrolysis is not needed to ensure an adequate supply of ATP for cell physiological activity, which plays an important role in cell development [6,7]. In plants, IF1 was first isolated in potato (Solanum tuberosum; [8,9]), and subsequently, the sequence and subcellular localization of IF1 have been analyzed in rice (Oryza sativa; [10]). In a previous study, we characterized IF1 function in Arabidopsis thaliana and established that Arabidopsis thaliana IF1 (AtIF1) not only affects the energy status of cells but also plays important roles in
growth and reproductive development, as mutation of this gene results in a decrease in dark-enhanced hypocotyl elongation and seed yield [11]. Although the findings of these studies have advanced our understanding of the physiological functions of IF1 in plants, we are far from clearly elucidating the mechanisms underlying these processes. In contrast to other eukaryotes such as yeasts and mammals, plants derive energy from both mitochondria and chloroplasts. Consequently, it is to be assumed that the molecular mechanisms and networks of IF1 in plant energy regulation are more complex than those in non-photosynthetic organisms.

Fertility encompasses a complex suite of traits regulated by multiple biological processes. In flowering plants, anther and pollen development are vital processes that directly determine the male fertility of the plants [12]. These developmental processes comprise several important steps, and a defect in any of these would interrupt pollen formation or disrupt male gametophytic function [13,14]. Given that pollen formation is a highly energy-consuming process [15], mitochondrial dysfunction in pollen grains was found to drastically affect pollen development [16]. Thus, it is not surprising that reduced fertility has previously been detected in AtIF1 mutant lines [11]. Currently, little is known regarding the mechanisms underlying the activity of IF1 in plant reproductive development.

Over the past several years, the rapid development of proteomic technologies and the accumulation of large amounts of plant genome information have provided unprecedented opportunities for the global analysis of differences in the proteomes of diverse plant samples [17]. Different from nucleic acids, proteins are effector molecules, and, therefore, measuring these will contribute to a better understanding of the function and/or interactions of proteins in biological systems [18]. Isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantitation (iTRAQ) is a novel MS-based approach for the relative and absolute quantification of proteins using isobaric tags [19], which has advantages such as high-throughput, high sensitivity, good quantitation, and high accuracy [20]. To date, iTRAQ technology has been widely used in the study of various biological organisms, particularly model and major crop plants, such as Arabidopsis [21–23], tobacco [24], rice [25], maize [26], wheat [27], and cotton [28].

In this study, we applied iTRAQ technology for the first time to identify candidate proteins and potential metabolic processes that are directly associated with AtIF1 in A. thaliana. We identified a total of 4778 proteins, of which 67 (59 up-regulated and 8 down-regulated) were differentially expressed between wild-type and if1 mutant samples. Bioinformation analysis indicated that the up- or down-regulated proteins in if1 mutant samples were mainly involved in energy metabolism, particularly photosynthesis, and fertility-related processes, such as pollen development. These findings not only provided the potential functional proteins but also novel insights into the molecular mechanisms and networks underlying AtIF1-regulated fertility processes, which will constitute a proteomic foundation for future studies.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

As plant materials in the present study, we used wild-type A. thaliana (Columbia-0 ecotype) and if1 mutant lines (SALK_000139) from the Arabidopsis Information Resource. The transgenic functional complementary lines (p35S:AtIF1-if1) were generated through expression of AtIF1 in if1 mutant line. More details of plant materials were shown in our previous study (Chen et al., 2020). Seeds were surface-sterilized for 4–12 h with Cl2 gas produced by the reaction between 5% (v/v) NaClO and concentrated HCl solution, after which they were seeded on 1/2 Murashige and Skoog medium (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) with 0.8% agar and 1% sucrose. Following stratification treatment at 4 °C for 2–4 days under dark conditions, the plates were transferred to a plant growth chamber (Sanyo, Osaka, Japan) under long-day conditions, 16 h day (120 µmol m−2 s−1, 22 °C) and 8 h night (21 °C) at 55–60% relative humidity. Seedlings were grown for 4 weeks before analysis. Having collected whole plants, the samples were immediately frozen in liquid
nitrogen and stored at −80 °C. Seven seedlings were harvested as one biological repeat. In total, 3 independent biological repeats were used for further analysis.

2.2. Extraction, Quantification, and Digestion of Cellular Proteins

Samples were ground into powder in liquid nitrogen and extracted with lysis buffer (7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 4%-3-[3-cholamidopropyl] dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonate (CHAPS), 40 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5) containing 1mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride (PMSF), and 2 mM ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA; final concentrations). After 5 min, 10 mM dithiothreitol (DTT; final concentration) was added, and the suspension was sonicated at 200 Watt (W) power for 15 min, then centrifuged at 4 °C for 15 min at 30,000× g. The resulting supernatant was mixed well with a 5× volume of chilled acetone containing 10% (v/v) trichloroacetic acid and incubated at −20 °C overnight. Following further centrifugation, the supernatant was discarded and the remaining precipitate was washed three time with chilled acetone. After air drying, the pellet was dissolved in lysis buffer (7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 4% NP40, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0–8.5). The suspension was sonicated at 200 W for 15 min and centrifuged at 4 °C for 15 min at 30,000× g with the resulting supernatant being transferred to a fresh tube. To reduce disulfide bonds in the supernatant proteins, 10 mM DTT (final concentration) was added, followed by incubation at 56 °C for 1 h. Subsequently, 55 mM iodoacetamide (IAM; final concentration) was added and incubated in the dark for 1 h. Thereafter, the supernatant was mixed well with a 5× volume of chilled acetone at −20 °C for 2 h to precipitate proteins. Following centrifugation, the supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was air-dried for 5 min, dissolved in 500 µL 0.5 M TEAB (Applied Biosystems, Milan, Italy), and sonicated at 200 W for 15 min. After further centrifugation at 4 °C for 15 min at 30,000× g, the resulting supernatant was transferred to a fresh tube and stored at −80 °C for further analysis. Total protein concentrations were determined using the Bradford assay with bovine serum albumin (BSA) used as a standard [29], and samples were subsequently digested at 37 °C for 16 h using Trypsin Gold (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) at a protein–trypsin ratio of 30:1.

2.3. iTRAQ Labeling and Strong Cation Exchange Fractionation

Samples used for iTRAQ analysis were labeled in strict accordance with the instructions provided with the 8-plex iTRAQ reagent (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Strong cation exchange (SCX) chromatography was performed using an LC-20AB HPLC Pump system (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan), as described by Wu et al. [24].

2.4. Liquid Chromatography–Tandem Mass Spectrometry Analysis

Each of the SCX fractions was resuspended in buffer A (5% acetonitrile (Jan), 0.1% formic acid (FA)) and centrifuged at 20,000× g for 10 min, with the final concentration of peptides being, on average, approximately 0.5 µg/µL. Using an autosampler, 10 µL supernatant was loaded onto a 2 cm C18 trap column of an LC-20AD nanoHPLC system (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). The peptides were then eluted onto a 10 cm analytical C18 column (inner diameter 75 µm) packed in-house. The samples were loaded at 8 µL/min for 4 min, after which a 35 min gradient was run at 300 nL/min, starting from 2% to 35% buffer B (95% ACN, 0.1% FA), followed by a 5 min linear gradient to 60%, a 2 min linear gradient to 80%, maintenance at 80% buffer B for 4 min, and, finally, a return to 5% for 1 min.

Data acquisition was performed using a TripleTOF 5600 System (AB SCIEX, Concord, ON) fitted with a Nanospray III source (AB SCIEX, Concord, ON) and a pulled quartz tip as the emitter (New Objectives, Woburn, MA). Data were acquired using an ion spray voltage of 2.5 kV, curtain gas pressure of 30 psi, nebulizer gas pressure of 15 psi, and an interface heater temperature of 150 °C. The MS was with an RP of greater than or equal to 30,000 FWHM for TOF MS scans. For IDA, survey scans were acquired in 250 ms, and as many as 30 product ion scans were collected if they exceeded a threshold of 120 counts per second (counts/s) and with a 2+ to 5+ charge state. The total cycle time was fixed to 3.3 s. Q2 transmission window was 100 Da for 100%. Four-time bins were summed for
each scan at a pulser frequency value of 11 kHz by monitoring of the 40 GHz multichannel TDC detector with a four-anode channel detection ion. A sweeping collision energy setting of 35 ± 5 eV coupled with iTRAQ-adjusted rolling collision energy was applied to all precursor ions for collision-induced dissociation. Dynamic exclusion was set at 1/2 of the peak width (15 s), and then the precursor was refreshed off the exclusion list.

2.5. Data and Bioinformatics Analysis

In the present study, we identified proteins using the modular approach to software construction operation and test (MASCOT) search engine (Matrix Science, London, UK; version 2.3.02), which contains 129,464 sequences from the Arabidopsis UniProt database (http://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/?Query=taxonomy:3701 (accessed on 5 January 2018)). To reduce the identification of pseudopeptides, only peptides with a significant score (≥20) higher than the 99% confidence interval of “identity” were counted as identified proteins through the MASCOT probability analysis. For protein quantification, proteins should contain at least two unique peptides. For the MASCOT probability analysis, the quantitative protein ratio was weighted and normalized to the median ratio. We used a ratio of \( p\)-value < 0.05 and a multiple change of \( p\)-value > 1.2 to indicate significantly differentially expressed proteins (DEPs). The Blast2GO program was used to annotate DEPs in the non-redundant protein database (NR; NCBI), Kyoto Genome and Genome Encyclopedia (KEGG), and COG (the direct homologous group of proteins) databases.

2.6. RNA Extraction and Real-Time Quantitative PCR

Total RNA was extracted using an RNAprep Pure Plant Kit (TIANGEN, DP432) and was subsequently reverse transcribed into cDNA using a ReverTra Ace qPCR RT Kit (TOYO-OBO, Osaka, Japan). Real-Time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) reactions were carried out using an ABI StepOne Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), with fold changes of the selected genes being normalized to that of the actin 2 reference gene. The relative expression levels of target genes were determined using the \( 2^{-\Delta\Delta CT} \) method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001), and the primers used for amplification are listed in Supplementary Table S1. All PCR experiments were performed using three independent biological replicates, and significant differences (\( p < 0.05 \)) in the data were analyzed using Student’s \( t \)-test.

3. Results

3.1. Analysis of A. thaliana Protein Profiles Using iTRAQ

To examine total protein changes in the protein profiles and to gain a global view of the cellular processes occurring in response to \( AtIF1 \) mutation, we performed comparative proteomics analysis using a multiplex iTRAQ technique in \( A. thaliana \) wild-type (WT) and T-DNA knockout \( if1 \) mutant 4-week-old seedlings. At this stage, both lines are in the transitional period and show similar vegetative organs (Supplementary Figure S1). The peptide and quality information for the identified proteins are shown in Figure 1. We obtained a total of 234,930 spectra. To identify the proteins from the mass spectrometry data, we employed MASCOT, a powerful database retrieval software, to generate a total of 83,411 spectra matched to in silico peptide spectra, which revealed 46,477 unique spectra, 28,203 peptides, 15,380 unique peptides, and 4778 proteins from 3 independent biological repeats (Figure 1A). Additionally, among the proteins identified, 160 had one unique peptide, 320 had two, 373 had three, and 1465 had more than 11, with the remainder having 4–10 (Figure 1B). The peptide information validated that many unique peptides are shared with different proteins (the identified peptides were compared with protein databases). Upon examination of the statistics of all identified proteins, based on the relative molecular mass (Figure 1C), it was revealed that the relative molecular mass of the identified proteins was primarily concentrated in the 20–80 kDa range, although more than 15% of the proteins had molecular masses greater than 100 kDa. Furthermore, we
identified a total of 3335 proteins with 0–25% sequence coverage, whereas only 4.35% of the identified proteins had a sequence coverage of 35–40% (Figure 1D).

3.2. Identification of Proteins Differentially Expressed between *A. thaliana* Wild-Type and the if1 Mutant Lines

In total, we identified 4778 proteins involved in a wide range of metabolic and signaling pathways, including post-translational modification, protein turnover, chaperones, general function prediction only, translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis, carbohydrate transport and metabolism, energy production and conversion, amino acid transport and metabolism, and so on (Supplementary Figure S2). Based on the level of protein abundance, the proteins were screened using the criteria of a fold-change value >1.2 or <0.8333 and a \( p \)-value < 0.05 as the DEPs. In total, 67 DEPs in response to *AtIF1* mutation were obtained, of which 59 DEPs were up-regulated and 8 DEPs were down-regulated in the *A. thaliana* if1 mutant compared with that in the WT (Table 1). These results indicated that the *AtIF1* mutation had a marked effect on the *A. thaliana* proteome, resulting in the differential accumulation of these proteins.

3.3. Functional Annotation of Identified Proteins with Differential Accumulation in *A. thaliana* Wild-Type and if1 Mutant Plants

To gain an overall understanding of the proteomic changes in the absence of AtIF1, we conducted GO annotations of the DEPs screened between *A. thaliana* WT and if1 mutant plants (\( p \)-value ≤ 0.05) (Figure 2). DEPs were categorized by biological process (BP), cellular component (CC), and molecular function (MF). The results showed that 30, 12, and 23 GO terms were enriched for biological processes, cellular components, and molecular functions, respectively (Supplementary Table S2). With respect to biological processes, the three functional categories with the highest number of DEPs were “oxidation-reduction process”, “response to salt stress”, and “embryo development ending in seed
dormancy”. Among the cellular component functions, the identified DEPs were mainly related to “chloroplast”, “nucleus”, and “cytoplasm”. For molecular functions, most DEPs were found to be associated with “protein binding”, “RNA binding”, and “metal ion binding” (Figure 2A). We subsequently performed GO enrichment analysis based on proteins with p-values < 0.05, which revealed that the categories “long-day photoperiodism, flowering”, “positive regulation of protein import into chloroplast stroma”, “pollen sperm cell differentiation”, “phenylalanyl-tRNA aminoacylation”, and “chromatin organization” were the most significantly enriched (Figure 2B).

Table 1. Detailed information of the DEPs between A. thaliana WT and if1 mutant lines.

| Accession | Protein Description | Gene Name | Fold Change (if1/WT) |
|-----------|---------------------|-----------|---------------------|
| A0A178W6T5_ARATH | HIP1.3 | At1g09240 | 1.21 |
| A0A0U5CL7_9BRAS | Photosystem II D2 protein | psbD | 1.29 |
| A0A178V4M1_ARATH | RBP31 | At4g28660 | 1.29 |
| JAL28_ARATH | Nitrile-specifier protein 1 | NSP1 | 1.21 |
| BGL37_ARATH | Myrosinase 2 | TGG2 | 1.34 |
| A0A178W5I1_ARATH | L-ascorbate peroxidase | At1g07590 | 1.24 |
| D7KH7_ARALL | L-ascorbate peroxidase | ARALYDRAFT_470824 | 1.24 |
| A0A178V395_ARATH | PAP_fibrillin domain-containing protein | At4g25850 | 1.22 |
| D7L023_ARALL | Alanine-glyoxylate aminotransferase | ARALYDRAFT_480305 | 1.23 |
| D7M155_ARALL | Plastid lipid-associated protein 1, chloroplast | ARALYDRAFT_911682 | 1.22 |
| LOX2_ARATH | Lipoygenase 2, chloroplastic | LOX2 | 1.25 |
| JAL30_ARATH | PYK10-binding protein 1 | PBP1 | 1.2 |
| A0A178VSK5_ARATH | Uncharacterized protein | At2g41150 | 1.25 |
| A0A178VBA5_ARATH | Glutaredoxin-dependent peroxiredoxin | At3g05790 | 1.21 |
| RL61_ARATH | L-ascorbate peroxidase | At1g12090 | 1.25 |
| A0A178V6D3_ARATH | Phenylalanyl-tRNA synthetase | At3g52700 | 1.21 |
| A0A178WET2_ARATH | - | - | 1.22 |
| A0A178VVV5_ARATH | THF1 | At2g16340 | 1.21 |
| D7MSA7_ARALL | Uncharacterized protein | ARALYDRAFT_918349 | 1.47 |
| A0A178V4T2_ARATH | HMA domain-containing protein | At4g33520 | 2.02 |
| A0A178UEX5_ARATH | CDF1 | At5g22550 | 1.25 |
| D7M1M2_ARALL | Uncharacterized protein | ARALYDRAFT_489157 | 1.25 |
| Q1H5F7_ARATH | Histone H2B | - | 1.24 |
| A0A178WJ12_ARATH | TLL1 | At1g08460 | 1.26 |
| A0A178UTL8_ARATH | XYL1 | At5g64200 | 1.21 |
| A0A178VST0_ARATH | JAL22 | At2g36220 | 1.26 |
| A0A178UDT2_ARATH | SAG15 | At5g49860 | 1.28 |
| BGL18_ARATH | Beta-D-glucopyranosyl abscisate beta-glucosidase | BGLU18 | 1.24 |
| FAX2_ARATH | Protein FATTY ACID EXPERT 2, chloroplastic | FAX2 | 1.21 |
| A0A178WJL7_ARATH | Phenylalanyl-tRNA synthetase beta subunit | At1g66730 | 1.2 |
| A0A178VZH7_ARATH | Histone H2B | At2g24830 | 1.27 |
| A0A178UK8_ARATH | OPT4 | At5g64020 | 1.26 |
| A0A178UG56_ARATH | Uncharacterized protein | At5g46060 | 1.31 |
| A0A178WH47_ARATH | Uncharacterized protein | At1g74710 | 1.22 |
| A0A178B4J4_ARATH | - | - | 1.21 |
| A0A178WIZO_ARATH | Lipase_GDSL domain-containing protein | At1g48430 | 1.21 |
| A0A178WEL1_ARATH | ATP-dependent Clp protease proteolytic subunit | At1g12090 | 1.25 |
| A0A178WD65_ARATH | ATARP4 | At1g19380 | 1.23 |
| D7KG2_ARALL | Uncharacterized protein | ARALYDRAFT_472063 | 1.23 |
| A0A178V8P6_ARATH | Methionine aminopeptidase 2 | At3g54390 | 1.2 |
| D7KBN6_ARALL | Uncharacterized protein | ARALYDRAFT_472926 | 1.21 |
| A0A178W3R8_ARATH | Uncharacterized protein | At1g27300 | 1.21 |
| A0A178W7M1_ARATH | Uncharacterized protein | At1g29860 | 1.24 |
| A0A178UX37_ARATH | SGT1B | At4g12690 | 1.25 |
Table 1. Cont.

| Accession | Protein Description                        | Gene Name                      | Fold Change (if1/WT) |
|-----------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| D7LBM5_ARALL | tRNA pseudouridine synthase family protein | ARALYDRAFT_481943              | 1.83                |
| A0A178VSZ1_ARATH | JAL23                                     | At2g36240                      | 1.27                |
| A0A178UZA3_ARATH | SSR16                                     | At4g39620                      | 1.23                |
| A0A178UP34_ARATH | Epimerase domain-containing protein         | At5g58490                      | 1.25                |
| A0A178W827_ARATH | KTI1                                       | At1g67490                      | 1.39                |
| A0A178WQG3_ARATH | RZ-1b                                      | At1g53730                      | 1.23                |
| Q6NPL0_ARATH | Uncharacterized protein                    | At4g90400                      | 1.22                |
| A0A178VFH3_ARATH | Uncharacterized protein                   | At5g12290                      | 1.24                |
| A0A178V9V7_ARATH | FTM4                                       | At5g12170                      | 1.35                |
| A0A178WNQ1_ARATH | Plastocyanin                              | At1g70550                      | 1.25                |
| D7MQE2_ARALL | Cinnamoyl-CoA reductase family             | ARALYDRAFT_495968              | 1.29                |
| A0A178WC08_ARATH | SRZ21                                     | AXX17_At1g25030                | 1.33                |
| Y455_ARATH | Uncharacterized protein At4g15545         | At4g15545                      | 1.24                |
| D7KZQ1_ARALL | Leucine-rich repeat protein FLM1           | ARALYDRAFT_897426              | 1.26                |
| A0A178V6Y8_ARATH | BSD domain-containing protein              | AXX17_At3g43960                | 1.22                |

**Down-regulated DEPs**

| Accession | Protein Description                                      | Gene Name                      | Fold Change (if1/WT) |
|-----------|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| A0A178WA55_ARATH | Uncharacterized protein                                    | At1g50990                      | 0.8                 |
| A0A068CL28_ARALY | At4g21450p-like protein                                 | -                              | 0.8                 |
| A0A178V1L3_ARATH | PDE332                                              | At4g10860                      | 0.82                |
| A0A178V0A8_ARATH | Nodulin-like domain-containing protein                   | At4g39990                      | 0.68                |
| A0A178UJR2_ARATH | DegP10                                               | At5g33920                      | 0.79                |
| A0A178VP21_ARATH | ANK_REP_REGION domain-containing protein                  | At3g03550                      | 0.25                |
| D7MFW8_ARALL | Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase               | ARALYDRAFT_354208              | 0.73                |
| A0A178WAG2_ARATH | Uncharacterized protein                                  | AXX17_At1g08440                | 0.77                |

### 3.4. KEGG Pathway Analysis of the DEPs

To evaluate the role of the DEPs detected based on iTRAQ analysis in the different pathways, we mapped these proteins to KEGG pathways for enrichment analysis. We accordingly assigned the DEPs to 28 pathways, among which, “Linoleic acid metabolism”, “Photosynthesis”, and “Systemic lupus erythematosus” constituted the three highest enriched pathways with p-values < 0.05 (Figure 3A). The identified DEPs were found to be primarily involved in metabolic processes, including “carbohydrate metabolism” (five proteins), “energy metabolism” (four proteins), “metabolism of terpenoids and polyketides” (one protein), “amino acid metabolism” (three proteins), “metabolism of other amino acids” (two proteins), “biosynthesis of other secondary metabolites” (two proteins), and “lipid metabolism” (one protein) (Figure 3B).

### 3.5. Analysis of the Transcriptional Expression of the DEPs

To verify the changes in protein accumulation determined using iTRAQ analysis, we performed Real-Time qPCR analysis for selected genes in WT, if1, and p35S:AtIF1-if1 A. thaliana plants. Since AtIF1 was identified in our previous study to affect cellular energy state, we selected some genes related to energy metabolism for qPCR verification. As shown in Figure 4, the transcripts of three genes (At1G52400/BGLU18, AT3G43960, and AT1G66730/ATLIG6) showed elevated accumulation in the if1 mutant, which is consistent with the trends of changes in protein patterns based on iTRAQ analysis. Only a single gene (AT3G12290/MTHFD1) showed minor differences in transcriptional abundance between WT and if1 mutant plants, which we assume to be attributable to post-transcriptional regulation, as documented in other proteomic studies [30]. The transcription levels of these genes in p35S:AtIF1-if1 plants also verified the iTRAQ result again.
Figure 2. Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of the differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) in Arabidopsis thaliana. (A). GO categories for DEPs in the proteome. (B). GO enrichment analysis of DEPs.
proteins), "energy metabolism" (four proteins), "metabolism of terpenoids and polyketides" (one protein), "amino acid metabolism" (three proteins), "metabolism of other amino acids" (two proteins), "biosynthesis of other secondary metabolites" (two proteins), and "lipid metabolism" (one protein) (Figure 3b).

Figure 3. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment of differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) in Arabidopsis thaliana. (A). Bubble chart of the top 20 pathways in KEGG pathway analysis of DEPs. (B). KEGG enrichment analysis of DEPs.
4. Discussion

4.1. Proteomic Changes between A. thaliana Wild-Type and if1 Mutant Seedlings

Proteomic analysis is a powerful tool that provides high-throughput information relating to the protein-level mechanisms underlying different biological processes, such as autophagy, anther and pollen development, and chloroplast development [12,22,30–32]. In this study, we conducted a comparative whole-plant proteomics analysis of A. thaliana WT and if1 seedlings to identify potential AtIF1-related proteins and mechanisms. The relative quantitative changes in protein abundance determined using an iTRAQ-based method and 67 identified DEPs may be regulated to a greater or lesser extent by AtIF1 (Table 1). The limited number of DEPs detected is likely due to the healthy growth status of the examined A. thaliana seedlings under normal conditions. Nevertheless, 86.57% of the DEPs in the if1 mutant seedlings were found to be significantly up-regulated, which is indicative of the fact that a range of metabolic activities are negatively regulated by AtIF1.

4.2. Identification of AtIF1-Regulated Proteins That Involved in Energy Metabolism

In our previous study, we found that AtIF1 influences the energy status of A. thaliana cells [11]. Not surprisingly, in the present study, a number of DEPs were identified by iTRAQ-based proteomics analyses, which are closely involved in energy metabolism, such as pathways of photosynthesis and oxidative phosphorylation (Figure 3). Photosystem II is a large membrane protein complex that catalyzes the light-driven electron transfer from water to plastoquinone, thereby generating electrons for the entire photosynthetic electron transport chain [33]. Among the identified DEPs, a chloroplast-encoded photosystem II reaction center protein D (PsbD/ATCG00270; [34]) and a distinct hydrophilic photosystem II assembly protein (Psb28/AT4G28660; [35]) were found to be significantly up-regulated in if1 mutant seedlings. Moreover, KEGG enrichment analysis similarly revealed that photosynthesis, with the highest number of associated DEPs, was the most significantly enriched pathway (Figure 3A). These findings indicate that mutations in AtIF1 may affect the cellular energy status of A. thaliana via its involvement in both photosynthesis and respiration pathways. Indeed, the findings of previous studies have indicated that IF1...
inhibits the invalid hydrolysis of ATP by the mitochondrial F$_{1}$F$_{0}$-ATPase in other eukaryotes, such as yeasts and mammals, to regulate cellular energy status [11]. To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first to demonstrate a tight association between photosynthesis and IF1-mediated energy processes in A. thaliana, which will advance our current understanding of the molecular mechanisms and networks of plant IF1s in energy regulation and warrants further study.

4.3. Identification of AtIF1-Regulated Proteins Involved in Reproductive Development

We have previously established that the if1 mutant of A. thaliana is characterized by reduced fertility [11]. However, no significant difference could be observed in the pollen production and its viability between two lines (Figure S3). Since silique length is also decreased in the mutant, the reduced seed yield in the if1 mutant [11] may not be, at least not solely, due to the decreased pollen number or activity. We supposed that the altered protein pattern, resulting from IF1 mutation, might already start to affect the incoming reproductive development before the formation of the floral organ. Consistently, our comparative iTRAQ protein profiling and the subsequent GO functional analyses in the present study revealed that the GO terms such as “long-day photoperiodism, flowering”, “pollen sperm cell differentiation”, and “regulation of timing of transition from vegetative to reproductive phase” were significantly enriched (Figure 2, Supplementary Table S2). Among these proteins, BRI1-EMS-SUPPRESSOR 1 (BES1), a key transcription factor for brassinosteroid signaling, has been found to be involved in plant reproduction and seed development [36,37]. Using chromatin immunoprecipitation assay (ChIP) analysis, Ye et al. [38] demonstrated that BES1 can bind directly to the promoter regions of several key genes required for anther and pollen development in A. thaliana, including SPOROCYTELESS/NOZZLE (SPL/NZZ), TAPETAL DEVELOPMENT AND FUNCTION 1 (TDF1), MALE STERILITY 1 (MS1), and MS2. Thus, the down-regulation of the BES1 proteins in if1 mutant A. thaliana seedlings is likely to contribute to the observed reduction in fertility. It is known that phenylpropanoid is very important for cell wall formation in pollen grains and is crucial for normal anther development [39]. Additionally, the biosynthesis of sporopollenin, the main component of the pollen exine, is also closely related to phenylpropanoid metabolism [40,41]. In this study, we found the phenylpropanoid biosynthesis pathway to be significantly enriched with the DEPs in WT and if1 seedlings (Figure 3A). The overaccumulation of several key proteins of phenylpropanoid biosynthesis in if1 mutants would appear to be indicative of either an abnormality in pollen cell wall formation, which may lead to a reduced fertility, or a feedback regulation on phenylpropanoid synthesis due to defects in pollen development. Overall, these findings provide new insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying the AtIF1-regulated fertility.

5. Conclusions

Collectively, the findings of this study provided a global profiling of proteins regulated by AtIF1. We established that numerous key DEPs were significantly enriched in the pathways associated with energy metabolism and reproductive development, which we presume contributes to changes in cellular energy status and a significant reduction in the seed yield of the A. thaliana if1 mutant [11]. Our findings provide novel insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying AtIF1-regulated energy and fertility processes, as well as laying a valuable proteomic foundation for future studies on IFs in plants.
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