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Abstract
Data mining is the process of discovering patterns in a data set by keyword. Keyword search is the most effective way to discover information in documents. But somewhere, sometimes just searching for a keyword is not enough; with research restricting that keyword has become a necessity. Like in social media abuse of word is increasing. Many systems worked on only detecting an inappropriate word; not on restriction of that word. So here in this paper keyword search method is proposed for social media which not only finds the inappropriate words, but also restrict that word from publishing on the media.
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1. Introduction
The use of internet is increasing day by day, there are so many peoples who use search engine daily and performs about 4 billion searches. As the searching information is increasing day by day the demand for the keyword search is also increasing rapidly. There are many existing relational keyword search systems. Keyword search systems should return whatever answers they can produce fast. (Kaveri and Naoghare, 2015 ) Data mining is nothing but extraction of data from a large data set. At the time of searching user insert a keyword and get a result associated with that keyword after searching. (Pradeep and Ruhi ,2014) Keyword search depends on applications and retrieval system also differs for that purpose. Requirement of applications change as per its use, also vary according to requirement. ( Pradeep and Ruhi ,2014) In this paper the system is being used for social media. As we know that these days Social networks are becoming more and more popular. It is a platform where people can share their thoughts and ideas (Sandeep and Saurabh , 2015 ). Social media is used for communication purpose. So for communication we must know the name of that person to whom we are chatting. Using login authentication we can get the required name of chatting person from user databases. But main aim of this paper is to restrict the inappropriate word used against any person or religion or community, etc. For that purpose we are also using inappropriate words database in which system not only search the keyword but also restrict that word from publishing. As we know that as popularity of the websites increasing, their vulnerability to be attacked is also increasing( Sandeep and Saurabh ,2015). Message posts by the users can contain some kinds of abusive or offensive contents (Krishna and Narendra , 2015). So it is required to prevent the inappropriate word used against any person or religion or community, etc we are using this system in which each post is checked at run time not after publishing the post.
2. Literature Survey

2.1 Keyword search systems

**BANKS: Browsing and Keyword Searching in Relational Databases**

Aditya et al., (Aditya et al., 2002) has proposed “BANKS: Browsing and Keyword Searching in Relational Databases”, in which system enables keyword based search on relational databases. "Banks enable the user to accurate information in without any knowledge of the scheme". The user can get information by typing some keywords and then follow the given hyperlinks and get the results.

**SPARK: Top-k Keyword Query in Relational Databases**

Yi Luo et al., (Yi Luo and Xuemin, 2007) has proposed, "SPARK: Top-k Keyword Query in Relational Databases" in which they concentrate on the viability and effectiveness of keyword query search. They have proposed a ranking formula using previous information retrieval techniques. The main importance of this technique is that it works on real databases on a large scale, such as Customer Relationship Management (CRM).

**Relational Keyword Search System**

Pradeep and Ruhi (Pradeep and Ruhi, 2014) has proposed,” Relational Keyword Search System” that sets the search word category first and then after the user will select the appropriate word meaning which is going to search. They will statically add the database in their project. It also shows the order of the word and does not require knowledge of database queries.

2.2 Following is the systems used to detect an offensive content.

**A System to Detect Inappropriate Messages In Online Social Networks.**

ROHAN SHETTY (ROHAN SHETTY et al., 2015) et al., has proposed A System To Detect Inappropriate Messages In Online Social Networks in which they use Support vector machine and naïve Bayes algorithms. Support Vector Machine (svm) determines “an ideal hyper plane and Naive Bayes implements using a probabilistic approach, Naïve Bayes is known to perform” even highly sophisticated classification methods.

**Detecting Offensive Language in Social Media to Protect Adolescent Online Safety.**

Ying Chen et al., (Ying, 2012) has proposed,” Detecting Offensive Language in Social Media to Protect Adolescent Online Safety” in which they have worked on Lexical Syntactic Feature (LSF) architecture to detect offensive content and identify potentiality of users to send out offensive words in social media.

**The How, When and Why of Sentiment Analysis.**

Mrs. Vijyalaxmi M et al., (Vijyalaxmi, 2013) has proposed,” The How, When and Why of Sentiment Analysis” in which they applied Sentiment analysis On a large scale to classify and summarize the review and forecasting.

**Effectiveness of Social Media as a tool of communication and its potential for technology enabled connections: A micro-level study**

Trisha Dowerah Baruah has proposed a (Trisha, 2012) , “Effectiveness of Social Media as a tool of communication and its potential for technology enabled connections: A micro-level study” in which She has done analysis on the role, the importance and impact of social media as a tool for effective communication. To achieve the
effectiveness of social media, the survey method was used to investigate in order to know the increasing importance.

3. Proposed Methodology

In this paper a system is proposed to perform analysis of a keyword search. This system is being used in social media applications. This system is based on in appropriate languages, words used while chatting on the net in social media. When the user types some matter to be posted on the chat application with or without in appropriate words, any person or religion or community, etc in the post, the content will be blocked before being posted. The post will be checked for in appropriate words, if such word in not found, the post is allowed to be posted, otherwise the post is deleted and not published.

In case of a defaulter user will be logged out and his fault count indicator will be incremented by 1. The suspect user will be required to submit an undertaking that he or she will not commit such mistake in future. Three chances will be given to the user in similar way and will be required to submit the certificate on the mischief and fourth time his account will be blocked permanently. His login credentials will be saved and he or she will not be allowed to be a member of the chat group.

For implementation we are using association rule mining

Classification Based On Association Rule Mining

In associative classification, the focus is to produce association rules that have only a particular Attribute in the consequent. These association rules produced are called Class Association Rules (CARs).

Table 1: Training Data

| Row# | AT1 | AT2 | Class |
|------|-----|-----|-------|
| 1    | Z1  | W1  | P1    |
| 2    | Z1  | W2  | P2    |
| 3    | Z1  | W1  | P2    |
| 4    | Z1  | W2  | P1    |
| 5    | Z2  | W1  | P2    |
| 6    | Z2  | W1  | P1    |
| 7    | Z2  | W3  | P2    |
| 8    | Z1  | W3  | P1    |
| 9    | Z2  | W4  | P1    |
| 10   | Z3  | W1  | P1    |

Let T be the training data set with m attributes AT1, AT2, … , ATm and |T| rows. "Let P be a list of class labels. An item is defined by the association of an attribute and its value" (ATi, ai), or "a combination of between 1 and m different attributes values. A rule r for classification is represented in the form": ( ATi1 = xi1 ) ∧ ( ATi 2   = x i 2 ) ∧ ... ∧ ( ATin = xin ) → pi1 where the antecedent of the rule is an item and the consequent is a class.

This algorithm uses a set of relevant rules to make a prediction decision by evaluating the correlation between them
3.1 Block Diagram
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**Fig 1: Block Diagram**

**Modules**

User involvement, User checking, Chat application, Classification of Content, Checking of statements, User blocking

1. **User involvement:** In User involvement login of an authorized person is done by using user name and password received after properly enrolling into the chat room.

2. **User checking module:** On login user checking module checks the database of users. If the defaulter variable count for that particular person is three then user is not allowed to chat, and for new user or the users who has a count less than three is allowed to chat.

3. **Chat application:** To use the chat application first user has to login with their user name and password. By checking the authentication and the database of that particular user, chat application will be open for them in which user is able to send data, receive data.

4. **Classification of Content:** In content filter, it first takes input statement written by the user on chat room. Then make a tree with the words of post. Tree search is efficient searching method and it required less time.

5. **Checking of the statement:** In this module remaining words are checked with database of inappropriate words if there is any inappropriate word found, then count for that person will be incremented by one and after formalities a chance is allotted for that person to use the application. This chance will be incrementing his or her fault count by one and his or her chance to do mistake will be decreased by one.

6. **User blocking:** In this module person is blocked when the frequency of using inappropriate word by that person becomes three.

3.2 Algorithm

Step 1: User login
Step 2: Allocating chat environment to the authorized user.
Step 3: User input into chat application
Step 4: On clicking post button statement will be transferred to the filter.
Step 5: Filter will make a tree of words for smooth check-in.
Step 6: Transfer of this tree to statement checking module.
Step 7: If content doesn’t match with database, statement is allowed to move out; else if content matched them
a) User data are checked from the user database.
b) Necessary action will be taken.

4. Proposed Prototype
1. **User involvement:** User involvement contains all the details of users like user name, date of birth, Email address, city country, password etc. First user has to register here, after successfully registration he can enter into chat room with mail id and password.

2. **User checking:** In user checking module on login it checks the database of users. If the defaulter variable count for that particular person is three then user is not allowed to chat, and for the new user or the users who has a count less than three is allowed to chat.

3. **Chat application:** To use the chat application first user has to login with their user name and password. By checking the authentication and the database of that particular user, chat application will be open for them in which user is able to send data, receive data.

4. **Keyword search:** In this module each and every word is checked with database of inappropriate words if there is any inappropriate word found then defaulter count for that person will be incremented by one and after formalities a chance is allotted for that person to use the application. This chance will be incrementing his or her fault count by one and his or her chance to do mistake will be decrease by one.

5. **User blocking:** In this module person is blocked when the frequency of using inappropriate word by that person become three.
5. Data Analysis

Table 2: Processing Data & Chart

| Comment                          | Abusive word | Execution time |
|----------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| you are so dumb                  | dumb         | 0.13           |
| Ravi is a thief                  | thief        | 0.15           |
| how funny you are looking in pic | funny        | 0.13           |
| Happy birthday                   |              | 0.12           |
| How mean she is                  | mean         | 0.12           |
| She is a vampire                 | vampire      | 0.13           |
| priyankalooks sexy in a film     | sexy         | 0.11           |
| You bastard, I will kill you     | bastard      | 0.13           |
| Looking beautiful                |              | 0.12           |
| you ugly woman                  | ugly         | 0.16           |
| You bitch                        | bitch        | 0.13           |
| I called him piggy               | piggy        | 0.15           |
| Hey looser                       | looser       | 0.14           |
| Happy diwali                     |              | 0.13           |
| deepika is looking dull in movie | dull         | 0.14           |

On the contents of table 2, we see the chart that shows tics required for searching and blocking of any user who has written in his post any kind of word which is banned or is a bad word. The key word search using this prototype model gives results at par with the latest results as per the Joel Coffman and Alfred C. Weaver (Joel and Alfred, 2014). In their research they discussed the keyword search algorithm which gave good results in terms of time complexity. Our results at Intel(R) Core (TM)2 Duo CPU T5550 @ 1.83GHz, with 2GB internal RAM machine on 32bit Windows OS environment and Dot Net platform, are more positive. This can be further enhanced by replacing variable parameters. This can be done as a future work.

6. Conclusion

In existing systems, we have seen that all modules have worked on searching the keyword of databases with an additional restriction of keyword being used in the chat on social media. In this paper a keyword is searched; condition checked; if not favourable then restricted to be posted. Hence this system can be termed as unique. This system can be further improved by using self-learning approach or neural network to understand a “Taunt” or a double meaning word. Even punctuations in a sentence also plays a greater role, which is also needed to be understood.
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