Echinocandin-Induced Microevolution of *Candida parapsilosis* Influences Virulence and Abiotic Stress Tolerance
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**ABSTRACT** *Candida* species are a major cause of life-threatening bloodstream infections worldwide. Although *Candida albicans* is responsible for the vast majority of infections, the clinical relevance of other *Candida* species has also emerged over the last twenty years. This shift might be due in part to changes in clinical guidelines, as echinocandins became the first line of therapeutics for the treatment. *Candida parapsilosis* is an emerging non-*albicans* *Candida* species that exhibits lower susceptibility levels to these drugs. *Candida* species frequently display resistance to echinocandins, and the mechanism for this is well-known in *C. albicans* and *Candida glabrata*, where it is mediated by amino acid substitutions at defined locations of the β-1,3-glucan synthase, Fks1p. In *C. parapsilosis* isolates, Fks1p harbors an intrinsic amino acid change at position 660 of the hot spot 1 (HS1) region, which is thought to be responsible for the high MIC values. Less is known about acquired substitutions in this species. In this study, we used directed evolution experiments to generate *C. parapsilosis* strains with acquired resistance to caspofungin, anidulafungin, and micafungin. We showed that cross-resistance was dependent on the type of echinocandin used to generate the evolved strains. During their characterization, all mutant strains showed attenuated virulence *in vivo* and also displayed alterations in the exposure of inner cell wall components. The evolved strains harbored 251 amino acid changes, including three in the HS1, HS2, and HS3 regions of Fks1p. Altogether, our results demonstrate a direct connection between acquired antifungal resistance and virulence of *C. parapsilosis*.

**IMPORTANCE** *Candida parapsilosis* is an opportunistic fungal pathogen with the ability to cause infections in immunocompromised patients. Echinocandins are the currently recommended first line of treatment for all *Candida* species. Resistance of *Candida albicans* to this drug type is well characterized. *C. parapsilosis* strains have the lowest *in vitro* susceptibility to echinocandins; however, patients with such infections typically respond well to echinocandin therapy. There is little knowledge of acquired resistance in *C. parapsilosis* and its consequences on other characteristics such as virulence properties. In this study, we aimed to dissect how acquired echinocandin resistance influences the pathogenicity of *C. parapsilosis* and to develop
explanations for why echinocandins are clinically effective in the setting of acquired resistance.
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*Candida* species are among the most common fungal pathogens isolated from hospitalized patients. The risk of candidemia is higher in intensive care units (ICUs) than in other hospital units, as the incidence of overall bloodstream *Candida* infections in ICUs is above 50%, and it is increasing relative to non-ICU units (1, 2). *Candida albicans* is the most common species responsible for bloodstream *Candida* infections worldwide, although the prevalence of other non- albicans Candida (NAC) species such as *C. parapsilosis*, *C. glabrata*, *C. tropicalis*, and *C. krusei* has markedly increased in the past two decades (1, 3–8). This phenomenon is most likely due to the widespread and increasingly common utilization of echinocandins, especially as they are currently recommended as the first-line antifungal for treatment of invasive candidiasis (9–12). After *C. albicans*, *C. parapsilosis* is the second or third most common cause of invasive candidiasis depending on the geographical location (5, 13, 14). In fact, previous exposure to echinocandins is a risk factor for *C. parapsilosis* infections, as this species manifests naturally higher MIC values to the three clinically available echinocandins (caspofungin [CAS], anidulafungin [AND], and micafungin [MICA]) compared to other *Candida* species (15, 16). However, patients with *C. parapsilosis* systemic infections respond well to echinocandin treatments, and the efficacy of echinocandin treatments is similar to that obtained with azole treatments (17, 18).

*C. albicans* and *C. glabrata* clinical isolates are usually susceptible to echinocandins, and as mentioned above, significantly lower MIC values are reported for these drugs than for *C. parapsilosis*. Nevertheless, an increasing number of resistant strains from each species have been reported (19, 20). In both *C. albicans* and *C. glabrata*, resistance is mainly attributable to amino acid changes in the hot spot regions (hot spot 1 [HS1] and HS2) of the β-glucan synthase Fks1 as an acquired resistance mechanism. In addition, similar changes have been reported in the hot spot regions of Fks2 in *C. glabrata*, which has also been associated with echinocandin resistance (21–23). Amino acid substitutions at positions Phe641, Ser645 (in HS1), and Arg1361 (in HS2) in Fks1p of *C. albicans* and most of the pathogenic NAC species result in echinocandin resistance. The same substitutions are also present in the Fks2p in *C. glabrata* (21, 22, 24). Additional alterations in the HS1 and HS2 regions of *C. albicans* and *C. glabrata* Fks1p include the occurrence of substitutions at Pro649 and Trp1358. Although these modifications result in caspofungin resistance in laboratory strains in vitro, they are not relevant in terms of resistance development in vivo, as these substitutions have not been found in clinical isolates (21, 22, 24–26). Previous studies have identified a third hot spot region (HS3) in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, where the presence of Trp695 substitution resulted in phenotypic resistance to echinocandins. However, its *C. albicans* equivalent, Trp697, has no clinical significance, as this alteration does not contribute to treatment failure (24, 25, 27). Such acquired mutations are the result of previous exposure to echinocandins for most of the pathogenic *Candida* species. In contrast, *C. parapsilosis* isolates have a naturally occurring polymorphism in Fks1, which results in reduced susceptibility to echinocandins. Specifically, Pro649 in *C. albicans* or the equivalent in other NAC species is substituted to Ala660 in *C. parapsilosis* at the region mentioned, which has been shown to contribute to higher echinocandin MIC values compared to other *Candida* species. This intrinsic substitution in this species has an unclear clinical importance, as *C. parapsilosis* infections generally respond well to commonly applied therapeutic echinocandin concentrations (24, 25, 28).

Previous studies revealed that *C. albicans* isolates with acquired Fks1 amino acid exchanges, which are also frequently associated with antifungal resistance, showed reduced fitness during in vivo and in vitro experiments. This phenomenon was explained by the reduced β-glucan synthetic activity of Fks1, which contributed to an altered cell wall structure and composition and also resulted in attenuated virulence in
mice compared to an isogenic *C. albicans* strain (21, 22, 29). The reduced virulence due to Fks1 deficiency might be the reason for the rare horizontal transmission of echinocandin-resistant *C. albicans* strains between patients; however, *C. parapsilosis* horizontal transmission is a significant problem in ICUs, as this species is frequently isolated from the hands of health care workers (3, 30).

The mechanism and fitness cost of acquired resistance in *C. albicans* have been well investigated. However, our knowledge about acquired resistance mechanisms in *C. parapsilosis* is limited. Therefore, we set out to examine such processes in this species through the generation of in vitro echinocandin-evolved strains, each grown in the presence of a particular echinocandin: CAS, AND, or MICA. These directed evolution experiments were followed by virulence assays and sequence analysis of these evolved strains in order to reveal resistance mechanisms and potential alterations in their virulence.

**RESULTS**

**Generation and altered susceptibility of microevolved strains.** Prior to the directed evolution experiment, MIC values for caspofungin (CAS), anidulafungin (AND), and micafungin (MICA) were determined for *Candida parapsilosis* CLIB 214 strain, which had MIC values of 2 μg/ml, 1 μg/ml, and 1 μg/ml, respectively (Table 1). First, we generated the adapted strains by direct selection, and then evolved strains were derived from the adapted strains by repeatedly culturing them in YPD broth to exclude resistant phenotypes due to transcriptional changes caused by the direct interaction with the different drugs. After the generation of adapted and evolved strains for each of the echinocandins, their susceptibility to azoles was also tested: including fluconazole (FLU), voriconazole (VOR), posaconazole (POS), and itraconazole (ITR). The responsiveness of each strain was further elucidated in the presence of the other echinocandins: CAS, AND, or MICA. These directed evolution experiments were followed by virulence assays and sequence analysis of these evolved strains in order to reveal resistance mechanisms and potential alterations in their virulence.

**TABLE 1 Distribution of MICs determined for the parental, adapted, and evolved *C. parapsilosis* strains**

| Strain    | FLU 24 h | FLU 48 h | VOR 24 h | VOR 48 h | POS 24 h | POS 48 h | ITR 24 h | ITR 48 h | CAS 24 h | CAS 48 h | AND 24 h | AND 48 h | MICA 24 h | MICA 48 h |
|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| CLIB 214  | 1        | 0.031    | 0.031    | 0.031    | 0.031    | 0.031    | 0.062    | 0.125    | 2        | 2        | 2        | 2        | 1        | 1        |
| CASADP    | 2        | 0.031    | 0.031    | 0.031    | 0.031    | 0.031    | 0.062    | 0.125    | >8       | >8       | >8       | >8       | >8       | >8       |
| CASEVO    | 1        | 0.031    | 0.031    | 0.031    | 0.031    | 0.031    | 0.062    | 0.125    | >8       | >8       | >8       | >8       | >8       | >8       |
| ANDADP    | 1        | 0.031    | 0.031    | 0.031    | 0.031    | 0.031    | 0.062    | 0.125    | >8       | >8       | >8       | >8       | >8       | >8       |
| ANDEVO    | 1        | 0.031    | 0.031    | 0.031    | 0.031    | 0.031    | 0.062    | 0.125    | 2        | 2        | 4        | 4        | >8       | >8       |
| MICAADP   | 1        | 0.031    | 0.031    | 0.031    | 0.031    | 0.031    | 0.062    | 0.125    | 2        | 2        | 4        | 4        | >8       | >8       |
| MICAEVO   | 1        | 0.031    | 0.031    | 0.031    | 0.031    | 0.031    | 0.062    | 0.125    | 2        | 2        | 4        | 4        | >8       | >8       |

**Microevolution alters stress response in evolved strains.** During infection, pathogenic microbes have to maintain their homeostasis in order to survive in a new niche. Inside the host, a wide range of stress-inducing factors influences the viability of invading fungi. These factors include oxidative, membrane, wall, and osmotic stressors. Thus, we performed spot plate assays using YPD solid medium complemented with different stress-inducing agents.

Generally, on YPD plates, there were no differences in the growth capabilities between the parental and evolved strains at 30°C and 37°C (Fig. 1B and C). We did not
Growth of echinocandin-evolved strains in the presence of abiotic stressors. (A) Growth kinetics of parental *C. parapsilosis* CLIB 214 and echinocandin-evolved strains (CASEVO, ANDEVO, and MICAEVO). (B) Heat map of stress sensitivity and resistance to oxidative stress and cell wall and membrane perturbants. The asterisks indicate that *C. parapsilosis* CLIB 214 failed to grow in the presence of Congo red at 37°C, which precluded comparisons with evolved strains under this condition at this temperature. w/V, weight/volume. (C) Representative images of growth capabilities on YPD solid medium complemented with SDS, H₂O₂, and calcofluor white.

**FIG 1** Growth of echinocandin-evolved strains in the presence of abiotic stressors. (A) Growth kinetics of parental *C. parapsilosis* CLIB 214 and echinocandin-evolved strains (CASEVO, ANDEVO, and MICAEVO). (B) Heat map of stress sensitivity and resistance to oxidative stress and cell wall and membrane perturbants. The asterisks indicate that *C. parapsilosis* CLIB 214 failed to grow in the presence of Congo red at 37°C, which precluded comparisons with evolved strains under this condition at this temperature. w/V, weight/volume. (C) Representative images of growth capabilities on YPD solid medium complemented with SDS, H₂O₂, and calcofluor white.
detect any restriction in growth kinetics between the parental and all echinocandin-evolved strains in YPD broth during the 24-hour incubation time in the absence of the examined stressors (Fig. 1A).

We found that the MICA\textsuperscript{EVO} strain was sensitive to the presence of oxidative stressors, as it was unable to grow on CdSO\textsubscript{4}-supplemented media and also showed a strong growth defect when H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} was present at 37°C (Fig. 1B and C). All evolved strains showed decreased growth capabilities on YPD plates containing cell wall-perturbing agents. At 37°C, evolved strains were able to grow, although a mild growth defect was identified in the presence of caffeine (12.5 mM, 15 mM, and 17.5 mM), indicating temperature-dependent alterations in the TOR signaling pathway (31). No such defect was observed at 30°C (Fig. 1A). In the presence of calcofluor white, the strains were unable to grow or showed a severe growth defect at both 30°C and 37°C (Fig. 1B and C). Similar defects were detected in all evolved strains on plates supplemented with ≥25 μg/ml Congo red at 30°C. Notably, at these concentrations, the parental C. \textit{parapsilosis} CLIB 214 strain was also unable to grow at 37°C (Fig. 1B, indicated by asterisks). In contrast with the oxidative agents and cell wall perturbants, CASE\textsuperscript{EVO} and MICA\textsuperscript{EVO} strains showed increased fitness in the presence of the membrane-damaging compound sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) compared to the CLIB 214 strain (Fig. 1B and C).

\textbf{Acquired resistance to echinocandins resulted in attenuated virulence in vivo.}

To investigate potential changes in the virulence properties of the CASE\textsuperscript{EVO}, ANDE\textsuperscript{EVO}, and MICA\textsuperscript{EVO} strains, we utilized \textit{Galleria mellonella} (as a nonmammalian, alternative model) to study disseminated candidiasis. The susceptibility of wax moth larvae to \textit{C. parapsilosis} CLIB 214, CASE\textsuperscript{EVO}, ANDE\textsuperscript{EVO}, or MICA\textsuperscript{EVO} strains was examined by determining larval survival rates. As a result, we found that the survival rates of CASE\textsuperscript{EVO}, ANDE\textsuperscript{EVO}, or MICA\textsuperscript{EVO}-infected larvae were higher than those inoculated with CLIB 214 cells (Fig. 2A). No deaths occurred in the uninfected or PBS-injected larvae during the study period.

To confirm our findings on the attenuated virulence of echinocandin-evolved strains, we also determined fungal burdens in the kidneys, livers, spleens, and brains of BALB/c mice 3 days after infection with the evolved strains and the reference \textit{C. parapsilosis} CLIB 214 strain. The fungal burdens in the kidneys and livers of mice infected with echinocandin-evolved strains were lower than those of CLIB 214-infected mice. Fungal CFU recovered from the spleen were significantly lower in the case of the ANDE\textsuperscript{EVO} and MICA\textsuperscript{EVO}-infected mice than for CASE\textsuperscript{EVO}-infected mice. CFU retrieved from CASE\textsuperscript{EVO}-challenged mice were similar to those obtained with the reference strain. ANDE\textsuperscript{EVO}-infected mice had the lowest fungal burden in the brain compared to all other \textit{C. parapsilosis} strains (Fig. 2B).

All of these results suggest that the CASE\textsuperscript{EVO}, ANDE\textsuperscript{EVO}, and MICA\textsuperscript{EVO} strains are less virulent \textit{in vivo} in both applied animal models.

\textbf{Echinocandin microevolution affects the exposure, but not the ratio, of inner cell wall components.}

To determine the cell wall composition of the \textit{C. parapsilosis} parental and evolved strains, their cell walls were purified and acid hydrolyzed, followed by an analysis using high-performance anion-exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection (HPAEC-PAD). Our results showed that there were no changes in the ratio of cell wall components in the echinocandin-evolved strains compared to the parental strain (Fig. 3A).

To evaluate the exposure of chitin and β-1,3-glucan, cells of the parental and evolved strains were stained with fluorescently labeled Fc-Dectin-1 (binds β-1,3-glucan) and WGA (binds chitin), and the mean fluorescence intensity was determined by microscopy. We found that the exposure of chitin and β-1,3-glucan—present in the inner cell wall layer—was markedly altered in the echinocandin-evolved strains compared to the reference strain. In the CASE\textsuperscript{EVO} and MICA\textsuperscript{EVO} strains, chitin and β-1,3-glucans were significantly more exposed than in the parental strain. Interestingly, in the ANDE\textsuperscript{EVO} strain, chitin was also exposed similarly to the other echinocandin-evolved
strains; however, β-1,3-glucan exposure was similar to that of the reference strain (Fig. 3B).

We also defined the ratio of cells exposing chitin; β-1,3-glucan or both using WGA-FITC and Fc-Dectin-1/Alexa Fluor 647-labeled anti-human IgG1Fc staining. The proportions of β-1,3-glucan-exposing cells were 22.71%, 30.24%, 27.44%, and 42.32%, while the rates of chitin-exposing cells were 1.64%, 3.3%, 2.6%, and 3.34% in CLIB 214, CAS\textsuperscript{EVO}, AND\textsuperscript{EVO}, and MICA\textsuperscript{EVO} strains, respectively. These data suggest that the number of cells exposing inner cell wall layers was significantly higher in the CASEVO and MICAEVO strains than in the parental strain. Although chitin exposure of AND\textsuperscript{EVO} cells
Altered cell wall structure of *C. parapsilosis* echinocandin-evolved strains. (A) Relative amount of chitin (N-acetylglucosamine), glucan, and mannose to the total cell wall weight (dry weight). Statistical analysis was performed using two-way ANOVA. (B) Semiquantitative analysis of exposed β-1,3-glucans and chitin levels. Exposure is expressed in mean fluorescence intensity/fungal cell. Microscopic images represent examples of β-1,3-glucan and chitin exposure (bars, 5 μm). Significance is determined by unpaired t test. (C) Flow cytometric analysis of proportions of inner cell wall component.

**FIG 3** Altered cell wall structure of *C. parapsilosis* echinocandin-evolved strains. (A) Relative amount of chitin (N-acetylglucosamine), glucan, and mannose to the total cell wall weight (dry weight). Statistical analysis was performed using two-way ANOVA. (B) Semiquantitative analysis of exposed β-1,3-glucans and chitin levels. Exposure is expressed in mean fluorescence intensity/fungal cell. Microscopic images represent examples of β-1,3-glucan and chitin exposure (bars, 5 μm). Significance is determined by unpaired t test. (C) Flow cytometric analysis of proportions of inner cell wall component. (Continued on next page)
was higher than in CLIB 214 cells, β-1,3-glucan exposure of these cells was similar to that observed in the parental strain (Fig. 3C).

Echinocandin microevolution does not affect phagocytosis or phagolysosome colocalization. To determine the ratio of actively phagocytosing human peripheral blood mononuclear cell-derived macrophages (PBMC-DMs) and the extent of phagolysosome colocalization after 2 h of incubation, C. parapsilosis CLIB 214 and echinocandin-evolved strains were stained with Alexa Fluor 647 (phagocytosis) and pHrodo red (phagolysosome fusion). Stained strains were then coincubated with PBMC-DMs. Our results revealed no significant differences in terms of phagocytosis or in phagosome maturation between the parental CLIB 214 strain and echinocandin-evolved strains (Fig. 4A and B).

FIG 4 Flow cytometric analysis of phagocytosis and phagosome maturation in PBMC-DMs after infection with C. parapsilosis CLIB 214, CASEVO, ANDEVO, or MICAEVO strain. (A) Phagocytosing PBMC-DMs normalized to the phagocytic activity were measured and compared to the parental CLIB 214 strain. (B) Ratio of cells with phagolysosome colocalization, normalized to the proportion of phagosome maturation after the uptake of CLIB 214 cells. For each experiment, PBMC-DMs were utilized from five healthy human donors. A total of 2 x 10^4 PBMC-DMs were analyzed per donor for each strain. Significant differences were determined using Wilcoxon signed rank tests.

FIG 3 Legend (Continued)
exposing cells of CLIB 214, CASEVO, ANDVO, and MICAEVO strains. A total of 2 x 10^4 cells were analyzed for each strain. WGA + cells exposing chitin and Fc-Dectin-1 + cells exposing β-glucan were studied. Gates for data analysis were defined by excluding the unstained control cells of each strain. **, P ≤ 0.005; ***, P ≤ 0.0005; ****, P ≤ 0.0001.
Microevolution in the presence of echinocandins is possibly due to acquired amino acid substitutions in *C. parapsilosis* Fks1. Whole-genome comparison was performed on the genomic DNA of the parental and echinocandin-evolved strains. SNPs identified in the whole-genome sequence analysis are listed in Table S1 in the supplemental material. During whole-genome analyses, we identified point mutations in the CPAR2_106400 gene of echinocandin-evolved strains at the contig positions 1374083, 1376082, and 1376225, where the following amino acid substitutions occurred: W1370R (tryptophan to arginine), L703F (leucine to phenylalanine), and S656P (serine to proline), respectively (Fig. 5A). The analyzed genomes presented other nonsynonymous mutations (134, 96, and 153, total number of nonsynonymous mutations in CAS_S3, AND_S2, and MIC_S1, respectively), CPAR2_106400 was one of 38 genes that accumulated nonsynonymous mutations in parallel in the three evolved strains and harbored an average of 1.2% of the nonsynonymous mutations observed in the three experiments. Only seven other genes had a higher relative number of nonsynonymous mutations, these genes coded for two proteins of unknown function (CPAR2_101640, CPAR2_402490, 1.4%, 1.7%), one putative transporter (CPAR2_301640, 1.4%), and three putative cell membrane or cell wall proteins (CPAR2_600430,

| FKS1 | Hot Spot 1 | Hot Spot 2 | Hot Spot 3 |
|------|------------|------------|------------|
| *C. albicans* | E667SYFNLTLSSLRDFIR | D1369WIRRYTLS | I699DTYMWWYICN |
| *C. parapsilosis* CLIB | E668SYFNLTLSSLRDFIR | D1369WIRRYTLS | I703DTYLYWCN |
| *C. parapsilosis* CAS<sup>EVO</sup> | E668SYFNLTLSSLRDFIR | D1369WIRRYTLS | I703DTYLYWCN |
| *C. parapsilosis* AND<sup>EVO</sup> | E668SYFNLTLSSLRDFIR | D1369WIRRYTLS | I703DTYLYWCN |
| *C. parapsilosis* MICA<sup>EVO</sup> | E668SYFNLTLSSLRDFIR | D1369WIRRYTLS | I703DTYLYWCN |

**FIG 5** Predicted topology of *C. parapsilosis* Fks1p and summary of all amino acid alterations found in the three echinocandin-evolved strains. (A) Schematic topology of the Fks1 protein in the plasma membrane. The N terminus (N) and C terminus (C) of Fks1p are indicated. Transmembrane segments of Fks1 protein (green rectangles) and hot spot regions of Fks1 protein (red squares) are shown. The black lines indicate the positions of all amino acid substitutions in all three examined echinocandin-evolved strains. (B) Aligned protein sequences of HS1, HS2, and HS3 regions in *C. albicans*, *C. parapsilosis* CLIB 214, CAS<sup>EVO</sup>, AND<sup>EVO</sup>, and MICA<sup>EVO</sup> strains. Acquired amino acid substitutions in the echinocandin-evolved strains are shown on red squares. Intrinsic amino acid changes naturally present in all *C. parapsilosis* clinical isolates are shown on blue squares.
Although mutations in those other genes may also be related to adaptation to the exerted selective pressure, given the known involvement of CPAR2_106400 in echinocandin resistance, we focused further on this gene. CPAR2_106400 is an orthologous gene of C. albicans FKS1 gene (alias GSC1) encoding the main component of the β-1,3-glucan synthase complex. There was no nucleic acid sequence variation in any of the echinocandin-evolved strains in the CPAR2_109680 and CPAR2_804030 genes, which are orthologues of C. albicans GSL1 and GSL2 and bearing β-1,3-glucan synthase activity. The identified substitutions occurred at different positions of Fks1 in the echinocandin-evolved strains. The W1370R amino acid substitution occurred at the HS2 region in the CASEVO and ANDEVO strains in a homozygous form. Further, the ANDEVO strain was also found to harbor a S656P substitution in a heterozygous form at the HS1 region. In the MICAEVO strain, only L703F was identified at HS3 as a homozygous substitution (Fig. 5B).

The topology of Fks1p in the plasma membrane of C. parapsilosis is shown in Fig. 5A. To assess the relative locations of conserved hot spot (HS) regions and defined amino acid substitutions, we used TMHMM and PRO-TMHMM as online bioinformatic tools. Using the given algorithms, we predicted 16 transmembrane helices with extracellular N and C termini (Fig. 5A). According to the prediction, HS2 and HS3 are localized at the extracellular region of the 7th and 6th transmembrane helices, respectively. The HS1 region is located at the intracellular half of the 5th transmembrane helix. The S656P substitution present in the ANDEVO strain appeared inside the HS1 region of Fks1. Notably, this exchange occurred close to the intrinsic P660A substitution that is a characteristic of C. parapsilosis (Fig. 5A, blue star). In the Fks1p of the MICAEVO strain, the L703F amino acid exchange occurred at the N-terminal region of HS3. Further, the W1370R substitution, identified in both ANDEVO and CASEVO strains, was found to be located in the extracellular part of HS2, outside the 7th TM helix (Fig. 5A).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we aimed to generate three independent echinocandin-evolved C. parapsilosis strains, each adapted to the presence of one of the three most clinically used echinocandins. Using a series of growth steps in complex media supplemented with CAS, AND, and MICA in stepwise elevated concentrations, we successfully generated C. parapsilosis CASEVO, C. parapsilosis ANDEVO, and C. parapsilosis MICAEVO strains with acquired and stable resistance to the corresponding echinocandins. Previous studies have shown that C. parapsilosis is able to acquire resistance to echinocandins and exposure to these antifungals also influencesazole susceptibility (32). Interestingly, in this study, we were not able to show cross-resistance between echinocandins and azoles upon examination of the generated echinocandin-microevolved strains. This could be explained by the restricted number of amino acid substitutions in the evolved strains. Only the CASEVO strain showed slightly elevated MIC values to fluconazole and itraconazole; however, it was still considered susceptible to theazole antifungals, as the obtained values did not reach the cutoff values for resistance set by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). However, similar to other studies (33), we were able to detect cross-resistance between echinocandins, specifically in the case of CASEVO and ANDEVO strains. These strains were resistant to all three echinocandins. However, the CASEVO strain showed an increased MIC value to AND, but according to Rosenberg et al., this elevated MIC value of CASEVO strain is most probably tolerant toAND rather than resistant (34). The MICAEVO strain showed resistance to MICA only.

During the characterization of the microevolved strain, MICAEVO showed the most divergent phenotype in response to abiotic stress, being the most sensitive to oxidative stress and cell wall-perturbing agents and resistant to SDS-driven membrane damage. Notably, the CASEVO and ANDEVO strains were also shown to be sensitive to the presence of cell wall stressors.

Previously, Ben-Ami et al. (29) demonstrated that acquired resistance to echinocandins results in fitness costs that ultimately lead to attenuated virulence in C. albicans.
clinical isolates. Similarly, in this study, we showed that echinocandin-evolved strains also displayed decreased virulence in vivo, as represented by higher survival rates of G. mellonella larvae and the low number of CFU recovered from mice after challenge with the generated strains compared to the parental strain.

In clinically relevant Candida species, increased chitin content in the cell wall is a short-term adaptation strategy of fungal cells upon exposure to echinocandins prior to FKS1-2-mediated resistance mechanisms (25). Alterations in the chitin content of certain strains of different Candida species also contribute to increased MIC values to echinocandins (35). Interestingly, in the echinocandin-evolved strains, we did not observe any significant changes in the amounts of major cell wall components compared to those of the reference strains. However, the inner cell wall layers were significantly more exposed on the cell surfaces of microevolved strains, and the ratio of chitin and β-1,3-glucan-exposing cells was also higher than in the parental strain. Notably, β-1,3-glucan exposure was not distinguishable between the ANDEVO and the reference strain, although the strain’s attenuated virulence remained similar to, if not more prominent, than those of the other evolved strains in vivo.

A previous study showed that the lack of mannose in the outermost layer of the fungal cell wall increases the velocity of phagocytosis of C. albicans cells (36). According to a recent study, exposure of β-1,3-glucan on the surfaces of C. parapsilosis cells does not affect the phagocytic activity of human PBMC-DMs in vitro; however, it does in vivo. In their study, Perez-Garcia et al. showed that och1Δ cells, exposing larger amounts of β-1,3-glucan on their surfaces, are eliminated more efficiently than wild-type cells in a mouse model of invasive candidiasis (37). Our results are in accordance with both the in vitro and in vivo findings of Perez-Garcia et al., as altered chitin/β-1,3-glucan exposure of the microevolved strains does not affect the strains’ virulence in vitro, which is confirmed by the phagocytosis and phagolysosome maturation results, although attenuated virulence occurs in vivo, proven by decreased mortality rates in G. mellonella larvae, as well as the lower CFU recovered from mice after injection.

In other Candida species and S. cerevisiae, hot spot regions of Fks1 are highly conserved; however, in C. parapsilosis, a species-specific intrinsic substitution is present at amino acid position 660, which is occupied by alanine in C. parapsilosis (25). In certain C. parapsilosis clinical isolates, additional substitutions have recently been reported in Fks1 (V595I and F1386S), but these changes are localized outside the well-known hot spot regions (38). The echinocandin-evolved strains, generated in this study, revealed additional, yet unidentified amino acid substitutions in the HS1, HS2, and HS3 regions of the corresponding protein in this species. A W1370R (tryptophan-to-arginine) amino acid exchange was detected in the CASEVO and ANDEVO strains that might be equivalent to substitutions at Trp1358 in C. albicans and C. glabrata, although in these species, the amino acid exchanges cause only weak resistance. In this study, in 2 out of the 3 C. parapsilosis strains, the corresponding substitution may be responsible for a strong cross-resistance to echinocandins (21, 22). Although similar mutations in other Candida species suggest that this is the cause of resistance, confirmation with directed mutation experiments is still required to confirm this in C. parapsilosis. In the ANDEVO strain, the S656P substitution was present only in a heterozygous form; however, it appeared relevant in AND resistance, as the MIC value of this strain increased markedly compared to the MIC of the CASEVO strain, despite the fact that both of these strains harbor a W1370R substitution. In C. albicans, the same amino acid exchange results in a strong echinocandin-resistant phenotype in vitro, which has also been reported to result in attenuated virulence of the corresponding clinical isolates (29). The MICA EVO strain harbored a L703F substitution within the HS3 consensus region, which is another region in the Fks1 protein (27). This substitution is a relatively novel finding, as in C. albicans, only Trp697 has been identified as an exchange event in the HS3 region (27).

We further predicted the topology of C. parapsilosis Fks1p in the plasma membrane using in silico tools and found that the loop connecting the 5th and 6th TM segments is probably intracellular and the loop connecting the 6th and 7th TM segments is possibly extracellular in this protein. Interestingly, in S. cerevisiae Fks1p, these loops are
TABLE 2 C. parapsilosis strains used in this study

| Strain  | Origin               | Reference |
|---------|----------------------|-----------|
| CLIB 214| Laboratory type strain | 40        |
| CASADP  | CLIB 214             | This work |
| ANDADP  | CLIB 214             | This work |
| MICAADP | CLIB 214             | This work |
| CASEVO  | CASADP               | This work |
| ANDADO  | ANDADP               | This work |
| MICAADO | MICAADP              | This work |

localized in the opposite direction (27). Our findings indicate that the resistance pattern of the echinocandin-evolved strains supports the predicted topology of C. parapsilosis Fks1p: W1370R caused the most abundant echinocandin cross-resistant phenotype, and it is located in the extracellular part of the 6th and 7th TM segment connecting loop. Additionally, the L703F substitution resulted in resistance only to MICA but not to AND and CAS. Even so, further investigations are required to generate the exact topology and structure of C. parapsilosis Fks1p.

Taken together, in this study, we have revealed a direct connection between acquired resistance and attenuated virulence in C. parapsilosis. These data provide exciting information that supports the pursuit of further studies aiming to explore and further exploit the relevance of amino acid substitutions in β-1,3-glucan synthase proteins during echinocandin resistance development and their effect on virulence regulation in other clinically relevant Candida species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Generation of C. parapsilosis echinocandin-evolved strains. We generated echinocandin-evolved strains as described previously (39), with minor modifications. First, we determined the MIC values of caspofungin (CAS), anidulafungin (AND), and micafungin (MICA) for the C. parapsilosis CLIB 214 strain (40). We used CLIB 214 as the parental strain for both microevolution and later characterization studies. Three individual cultures of the CLIB 214 strain were adjusted to a final absorbance of 0.1 (λ = 640 nm) in 10-ml Sabouraud glucose broth (SGB) (4% glucose, 1% peptone). For microevolution, we aimed to apply echinocandin concentrations according to the determined MIC values. In each case, half of the MIC determining concentrations were applied at the first step of adaptation.

After incubation at 30°C for 10 h without any supplements, cells were incubated for an additional 14 h in the presence of CAS, AND, or MICA (1-μg/ml, 0.5-μg/ml, or 0.5-μg/ml concentrations, respectively). Henceforth, cells were cultured three times in fresh SGB medium containing the appropriate drugs at 1-μg/ml, 0.5-μg/ml, and 0.5-μg/ml concentrations for 24 h in the above-mentioned concentrations. After the last 24 h of incubation, absorbance of the cultures was adjusted to 0.1 in 10 ml SGB containing the appropriate echinocandin in the same concentrations mentioned above and incubated for 10 h at 30°C with the appropriate drugs (with the same concentrations). Then, CAS, AND, or MICA was added to the appropriate culture with elevated concentrations (2-fold increase for each), and cultures were grown for an additional 14 h. After that, cultures were collected and inoculated three times into fresh SGB medium containing the mentioned increased amounts of drugs and incubated for an additional 24 h. The concentration of each echinocandin was doubled on every fourth day, until reaching the final concentration of 16 μg/ml. Aliquots containing 50 μl each culture were plated to yeast peptone dextrose (YPD) (0.5% yeast extract, 1% peptone, 1% glucose) plates complemented with 16 μg/ml caspofungin, anidulafungin, or micafungin, respectively. As a result, we obtained C. parapsilosis CAS-adapted (CASADO), AND-adapted (ANDADO) and MICA-adapted (MICADO) strains. Single colonies of CASADO, ANDADO, and MICADO strains were subcultured 10 times in SGB without echinocandins each time for 24 h. Henceforth, these strains were referred to as echinocandin-evolved strains (CASEVO, ANDVO, and MICAVO, respectively). Figure S1 in the supplemental material summarizes the directed evolutionary process.

Strains and culture conditions. All strains used in this study are listed in Table 2. All C. parapsilosis strains were cultured in YPD broth, and on the next day, 200-μl aliquots were inoculated into fresh YPD medium before every experiment. C. parapsilosis CLIB 214 was maintained on YPD agar plates (supplemented with 1.5% agar), and the CASEVO, ANDVO, and MICAVO strains were maintained on YPD solid medium supplemented with 16 μg/ml CAS, AND, and MICA, respectively.

Antifungal susceptibility testing. MIC values were determined according to the M27-A3 protocol (41), and interpretation of MIC values was defined by the M27-S4 supplementary document (42). MICs were measured in RPMI 1640 with MOPS with L-Gln and without NaHCO3 (catalog no. 04-525F; Lonza) after 24 h and 48 h. MIC values for echinocandins were defined as the lowest concentrations that resulted in at least 50% growth reduction. Azoles (fluconazole [FLU], voriconazole [VOR], posaconazole [POS], and itraconazole [ITR]; Sigma-Aldrich) and echinocandins (CAS from Sigma-Aldrich; AND and MICA from MedChem Express) were used to test the susceptibility of C. parapsilosis strains.
Human PBMCs were isolated from buffy coat (Hungarian National Blood optical density (OD) of wells for 24 h to determine the growth kinetic without stressors. Aldrich) every other day for 7 days. Supplemented with 10 ng/ml granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) floating cells were removed by changing the culture medium to AIM-V cell culturing medium (Gibco) to pH 7.4. Isolated PBMCs were suspended in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 1% 100 ml/L penicillin-streptomycin solution (Pen-Strep; Sigma-Aldrich) and the concentration of cells had been adjusted to 2 × 10^6/ml; 2 × 10^5, 10^4, or 5 × 10^3 PBMCs were transferred to 12-, 24-, or 96-well plates, respectively. After 1.5 h of incubation (5% CO₂, 37°C, 100% relative humidity), floating cells were removed by changing the culture medium to AIM-V cell culturing medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10 ng/ml granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) (Sigma-Aldrich) every other day for 7 days.

**Phagocytosis and phagolysosome colocalization (flow cytometry).** In order to analyze the phagocytic activity and phagolysosome colocalization of PBMC-DM cells by flow cytometry, fungal cells were labeled with Alexa Fluor 647 succinimidyl ester and pHrodo red succinimidyl ester (Invitrogen) as follows. First, 22 ml Na₂CO₃ (1 M, pH 10), 4 μl Alexa Fluor 647 (1 mg/ml in DMSO), and 4 μl pHrodo red (100 μg/ml in DMSO) were added to 200-μl fungal cell suspensions in Hanks’ balanced salt solution (HBSS) (Lonza) and incubated for 1 h in the dark at room temperature. pHrodo red stains the fungal cell wall and emits fluorescent light only in a highly acidic environment such as the phagolysosome. Fungal cells were then washed four times with HBSS, and cell concentrations were adjusted to the appropriate concentration of cells had been adjusted to 2 × 10^6/ml; 2 × 10^5, 10^4, or 5 × 10^3 PBMCs were transferred to 12-, 24-, or 96-well plates, respectively. After 1.5 h of incubation (5% CO₂, 37°C, 100% relative humidity), floating cells were removed by changing the culture medium to AIM-V cell culturing medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10 ng/ml granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) (Sigma-Aldrich). Samples were measured in PBS with a FlowSight instrument (Amnis), and data were analyzed with the IDEAS 6.2 software.

**In vivo infection of mice and fungal burden.** For determination of fungal burden, 8- to 12-week-old female BALB/c (BRC, Szeged, Hungary, XVI./2015) mice were infected via the lateral tail vein with 2 × 10^7 yeast cells in 100 μL PBS (N = 11 per C. parapsilosis strain). Three days postinfection, animals were euthanized, and the livers, spleens, kidneys, and brains were collected surgically, weighed, and homogenized in an Ultra-Turrax T25 homogenizer (Sigma). Organ homogenates were plated to YPD agar supplemented with 1% Pen-Strep, and the numbers of colony-forming units (CFU) were determined after 48-h incubation at 30°C.

**Ethic statement.** Animal experiments were performed according to the Hungarian national (1998. XXVIII; 40/2013) and European (2010/63/EU) animal ethic guidelines. Procedures were licensed by the Animal Experimentation and Ethics Committee of the Biological Research Centre of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences and the Hungarian National Animal Experimentation and Ethics Board (clearance number XVI./03521/2011) with the University of Szeged granting permission XII/00455/2011 and XVI./3652/2016 to work with mice.

For isolation of PBMCs, blood samples were taken from healthy donors. This procedure and the respective consent documents were approved by the Institutional Human Medical Biological Research Ethics Committee of the University of Szeged. All healthy donors provided written informed consent. All experiments were performed in accordance with the guidelines and regulations of the Ethics Committee of the University of Szeged, and experimental protocols were approved by this institutional committee.
Analysis of cell wall composition. Cells were mechanically broken in a fastprep homogenizer, cell debris were removed by centrifuging at 20,000 × g for 5 min at 4°C, and the walls were collected and cleared by centrifuging at least six times with deionized water. Then, the walls were cleaned by serial incubations with hot SDS, β-mercaptoethanol, and NaCl and hydrolyzed with hot 2 M trifluoroacetic acid as described previously (44). Acid-hydrolyzed samples were analyzed by high-performance anion-exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection (HPAEC-PAD) in a Dionex system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) as described earlier (45).

Fluorochrome staining for chitin and β-glucan exposure (microscopy, flow cytometry). Chitin labeling was performed by incubating cells with 1 mg/ml WGA-FITC (Sigma) for 1 h at room temperature, as reported earlier (46). For fluorescent detection of β-1,3-glucan, cells were first incubated with 5 μg/ml IgG Fc-Dectin-1 chimera (47) for 40 min at room temperature and then further incubated with 1 μg/ml donkey anti-Fc IgG-FITC (Sigma) for 40 min at room temperature (48). Cells were examined by fluorescence microscopy using a Zeiss Axioscope-40 microscope and an Axiocam MRc camera. From the pictures acquired, the fluorescence associated with 300 cells was calculated using the software program Adobe Photoshop CS6 and the following formula: [(total of green pixels − background green pixels) × 100]/total pixels.

Genome sequencing. Genomic DNA sequencing libraries were prepared using Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation kit (Illumina) with Nextera XT Index kit adapters following the manufacturer’s recommendations for sequencing runs ≥ 2 × 250 cycles. Sequencing libraries were validated and quantified using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer capillary electrophoresis instrument with Agilent DNA 1000 kit. Whole-genome sequencing was performed with an Illumina MiSeq sequencing system using MiSeq reagent kit v3 (600 cycles) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The read length was 2 × 300 bp, and the final per base sequencing depth ranged from 46 to 130× (CLIB_S4: 51; AND_S2: 56; MIC_S1: 130; CAS_S3:46).

Genome analysis of C. parapsilosis strains. Paired-end fastq reads files were first trimmed using Trimmomatic version 0.36 (49). The parameters employed were as follows. We removed leading and trailing nucleotides with quality below 10 (“LEADING” and “TRAILING” parameters, respectively). We used 4-nucleotide sliding windows and cut when average quality per nucleotide in a window was below 15 (“SLIDINGWINDOW” parameter), and we dropped any reads that were less than 40 nucleotides after this trimming (“MINLEN” parameter). Then we mapped the trimmed reads with the bwa-mem tool from BWA software version 0.7.12-r1039 (50). The parameters employed were as follows. We removed leading and trailing nucleotides with quality below 10 (“LEADING” and “TRAILING” parameters, respectively). We used 4-nucleotide sliding windows and cut when average quality per nucleotide in a window was below 15 (“SLIDINGWINDOW” parameter), and we dropped any reads that were less than 40 nucleotides after this trimming (“MINLEN” parameter). Then we mapped the trimmed reads with the bwa-mem tool from BWA software version 0.7.12-r1039 (50). The reference genome against which the reads were mapped was the CDC317 strain fasta file obtained in April of 2018 from the Candida Genome Database (51). We generated BAM files from this output using the SortSam and MarkDuplicates commands from Picard version 2.15.0 (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/). Finally, we called variants from these reads using Freebayes version 1.1.0-9-g09d4ecf (52) to jointly genotype all the strains involved. We filtered the SNPs using the vcffilter tool from vcflib (Garrison, https://github.com/vcflib/vcflib). We removed any SNPs for which the mean quality mapping (MQM) was below 30, the QUAL value was below 20 (indicating a probability of a false variant call greater than 0.01), and/or the read depth (DP) was below 30.

Other computational methods. Transmembrane helix predictions were calculated using the TM-HMM (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM/) and PRO-TMHMM servers (http://topcons.cbr.su.se/) (53, 54). Sequences were aligned using the NCBI Protein BLAST online bioinformatic tool (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

Statistical analysis. All statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism v 6.0 software using parametric t tests or nonparametric Mann-Whitney tests. The values for the groups examined were considered statistically significantly different at P < 0.05.

Accession number(s). Raw sequencing data are available under the BioProject ID PRJNA493002. Accession numbers for strains are as follows: wild-type CLIB 214, BioSample accession no. SAMN10120406; CAS141, SAMN10120407; AND34, SAMN10120408; MICA10, SAMN10120409.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL
Supplemental material for this article may be found at https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00547-18.
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