Constraining the thermal history of the Warm–Hot Intergalactic Medium
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Abstract. We have identified a large-scale structure traced by galaxies at $z=0.8$, within the Lockman Hole, by means of multi-object spectroscopic observations. By using deep XMM images we have investigated the soft X-ray emission from the Warm-Hot Intergalactic Medium (WHIM) expected to be associated with this large-scale structure and we set a tight upper limit to its flux in the very soft 0.2–0.4 keV band. The non-detection requires the WHIM at these redshifts to be cooler than 0.1 keV. Combined with the WHIM emission detections at lower redshift, our result indicates that the WHIM temperature is rapidly decreasing with redshift, as expected in popular cosmological models.
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1. Introduction

Both observations and cosmological models suggest that most of the baryonic matter is located in the intergalactic medium (IGM). Cosmological models have also shown that the evolution of the baryonic matter is driven by progressive gravitational heating in the potential field of dark matter filaments (Cen & Ostriker 1999;Dave et al. 2001). In particular, at high redshifts ($z>2$–3) the baryonic gas is relatively cold ($T<10^5$ K), and is identified with Lyα absorbers along the line of sight of quasars, while at lower redshifts ($z<1$) the shocks due to the infall of the gas on the dark matter filaments (traced by the regions of high galaxy numbers densities) gradually heat the gas to temperatures in the range $T\sim 10^5$ – $10^7$ K.

The identification of such Warm-Hot Intergalactic Medium (WHIM) in the local universe has received growing interest in the last few years. The detection of absorption lines from highly ionized species (O VI, O VII, O VIII), both in the UV and in the soft X-rays, has allowed to unambiguously identify WHIM along the line of sight of a few bright quasars (Nicastro et al. 2002; Mathur et al. 2003). The detection of emission due to WHIM is quite challenging. Indeed, the WHIM is expected to emit weak and diffuse radiation mostly in the softest X-ray bands ($\lesssim 1$keV), where both the Galactic absorption and the foreground emission from the Local Hot Bubble (LHB) and Milky Way Halo are strong. Nevertheless, several independent detections of WHIM emission were obtained by detailed analysis of soft X-ray maps (ROSAT and XMM) in regions characterized by galaxy overdensities and by the spectral analysis of clusters of galaxies and of their surroundings (Wang et al. 1997;Soltan et al. 2002; Zappacosta et al. 2002, 2004; Kaastra et al. 2003; Finoguenov et al. 2003).

All WHIM detections discussed above have been obtained for gas in the local universe or at low redshift. The most distant WHIM emission detected so far was obtained at $z\sim 0.45$ by Zappacosta et al. (2002). At higher redshift the detection is more difficult because of both technical and physical reasons. Indeed, the lack of bright, high redshift quasars prevents the detection of WHIM features in absorption, while the thermal cutoff is redshifted to lower energies making more difficult to detect the WHIM emission even in the soft X-rays. An additional issue is that, according to cosmological models, the WHIM should be cooler at higher redshift, implying a lower ionization state of the gas (i.e. lower optical depth of high ionization absorbers) and a thermal cutoff further moved to lower energies. Yet, it would be most useful to obtain some constraints on the WHIM properties at high redshift since, when compared with the WHIM detections in the local universe, it would provide contraints on the cosmological models of the evolution of baryons.

To pursue the latter goal we started a detailed investigation of the Lockman Hole, which is one of the fields
where the Galactic absorption is minimum \((N_H \sim 5.6 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2})\) and where deep X-ray observations have been obtained \cite{Hasinger1998, Hasinger2001, Hasinger2003}. We have searched for superstructures by analyzing the redshift distribution of sources already identified in this field and found 8 sources in a narrow redshift range at about \(z \sim 0.8\), located within a region of about 20 arcmin. We obtained multi-object spectroscopy in the same area and, as discussed in Sect. 2, we have confirmed the presence of a superstructure at \(z \sim 0.8\). Then we have analyzed an XMM map of the LH in the softest band and, as discussed in Sect. 3, we have obtained tight constraints on the possible diffuse emission due to WHIM associated with the large-scale structure. In Sect. 4 we discuss these observational constraints on the evolution of the WHIM with redshift.

2. Optical observations: detection of a large-scale structure at \(z \sim 0.8\)

As mentioned in the Introduction, an analysis of the redshift distribution of the sources previously identified in the Lockman Hole (most of which are optical counterparts of X-ray sources detected by ROSAT and XMM) has revealed the existence of 8 sources in the narrow redshift range 0.780–0.807 (\(\sim 74 \text{ Mpc}^1\)). These sources (listed in Table 1) are marked with a circle in Fig. 1 and are located within a region of about 20 arcmin. In the same region we have found another object (marked with a diamond) with a photometrically estimated redshift of 0.8 ± 0.1.

Note that 4 of such objects (distributed along the N–S direction) have redshifts in the narrower interval 0.780–0.784. This is strongly suggestive of the existence of a large-scale structure at this redshift in this region of the Lockman Hole.

To confirm this tentative indication we have obtained multi-object spectroscopy of 215 galaxies in the same area. We used the multi-object spectroscopic mode (MOS) of the optical spectrometer DOLORES, at the Telescopio Nazionale Galileo (TNG), with the LR–R grating, which covers the 4470–10360 Å range at a resolution of 11Å. This spectral range allows the identification of Hβ+[OIII] and [OII] at \(z \sim 0.8\). The observations were performed during four nights in March 2003.

We selected two samples of galaxies within the subarea of interest of the Lockman Hole. In particular, we selected a shallow sample, made of galaxies in the magnitude range \(20.0 \leq R < 21.3\), and a deep sample, containing galaxies in the magnitude range \(21.3 \leq R < 22\). The shallow sample was observed with seven masks and with an integration of 1.5 hours for each mask, while the deep sample was observed with three masks and with an integration of 3 hours per mask. Most of the masks (7 of them) were located along the N–S direction traced by the 4 sources at \(z=0.78\), while three masks were located to the west and to the east to check possible extensions of the putative large scale structure. The location of the various masks is shown by the boxes in Fig. 1.

The MOS spectra were reduced following the standard threads (dark and bias subtraction, flat fielding, wavelength calibration). The deep sample was observed using a dithering of 6 arcsec along the slit axis to enable a better subtraction of sky lines and an easiest detection of the weak emission lines. We observed 215 sources in total. We measured redshifts for 103...
Table 1. Objects in the narrow redshift range 0.78 < z < 0.81 located within the Lockman Hole reported in the literature.

| Object            | RA(J2000) | DEC(J2000) | Type    | z       | Reference               |
|-------------------|-----------|------------|---------|---------|-------------------------|
| RDS 117Q          | 10h 53m 48.8s | +57° 30' 34"       | AGN     | 0.780   | Lehmann et al. (2001)    |
| [HGG98] 5         | 10h 53m 44.9s | +57° 35' 15"       | Galaxy  | 0.782   | Hasinger et al. (1998b)  |
| [HGG98] 8         | 10h 53m 42.3s | +57° 35' 41"       | Galaxy  | 0.782   | Hasinger et al. (1998b)  |
| RX J105335.1+572542 | 10h 53m 35.1s | +57° 25' 42"       | QSO     | 0.784   | Mainieri et al. (2002)   |
| RX J105303.9+572925 | 10h 53m 03.9s | +57° 29' 25"       | QSO     | 0.788   | Mainieri et al. (2002)   |
| [MBH2002] 41      | 10h 53m 05.4s | +57° 28' 10"       | X-ray source | 0.792 | Mainieri et al. (2002)   |
| [FFH2002] 105     | 10h 53m 15.80s | +57° 24' 50"0"    | X-ray source | 0.8 (phot) | Fadda et al. (2002) |
| LOCK-6cm J105304+573055 | 10h 53m 04.83s | +57° 30' 55.9"     | Radio source | 0.805 | Ciliegi et al. (2003)    |
| RX J105225.3+572246 | 10h 52m 25.3s | +57° 22' 46"       | AGN     | 0.807   | Mainieri et al. (2002)   |

Objects (see Appendix A for the catalog) with typical random errors of ±0.002, as inferred by the uncertainty on the gaussian fit to the emission lines. For 47 sources the redshift could be determined unambiguously thanks to the detection of two or more emission lines. We assigned unambiguously the redshift also in case of detection of only one strong emission line identified as [OII] line at high redshift (in Appendix A the quality of these redshifts is marked as “high”). For other 56 objects the redshift determination was less secure, based on line detections with low signal to noise ratio (in Appendix A the quality of these redshifts is marked as “low”). For 112 sources the spectrum was too weak and without bright lines, and we could not recover any information on their redshifts.

The redshift distribution is shown in the top panel of Fig. 1 where a prominent peak (6σ significant with respect to the mean histogram level) is seen at the expected redshift of z = 0.78, demonstrating beyond any doubt the presence of a large-scale structure at this redshift in this region. As for the archival objects, this spike shows two distinct subconcentrations one at z~ 0.78 and one at z~ 0.807. The former is further splitted into a main peak at z~ 0.784 and a nearby spike at z~ 0.776, as shown in the bottom panel. Fig. 1 shows the distribution projected on the sky of the sources for which the redshift could be determined, and in particular for those in the redshift spikes. Although this redshift survey is not complete, our data suggest that these structures at slightly different redshifts tend also to be distributed in different regions of the sky. In particular, galaxies on the red tail of the main spike, and specifically at z~ 0.776 are located in the southern part of the field, while galaxies in the main spike at z~ 0.784 are preferentially distributed in the northern part. The distribution of the galaxies in the farther spike at z~ 0.807 overlaps with the previous two, but the presence of another source at 0.807 (the one located most to the west in Fig 1), previously identified by Lehmann et al. (2001), suggests that this substructure extends towards the west. We have tentatively encircled the three main substructures with three ellipses in Fig 1. On the whole the superstructure outlined by our survey and the archival objects cover a region of ~ 7.5 Mpc (at the mean redshift z = 0.791). This could be considered as a lower limit to the dimension of the structure because its size is limited by the extent of our observations. However, we note that other spectroscopic surveys covering the entire XMM and ROSAT-HRI fields have not found additional objects in the narrow redshift range outside the area considered by us, suggesting that the large-scale structure is not further extended at least in the XMM and ROSAT-HRI fields.

3. X-ray data: constraints on the WHIM emission

Once demonstrated that large-scale structures at high redshift exist within the Lockman Hole, we have then investigated whether the associated WHIM emission could be detected. Such investigation requires sensitive maps at soft energies E<0.5 keV, both because of the low temperatures typical of WHIM and because of a significant Doppler shift of the emission to lower energies. Several deep X-ray observations have been carried out in the Lockman Hole area, most importantly with ROSAT and XMM-Newton (Hasinger et al. 1998a, 2001).

ROSAT maps would have the required field of view and sensitivity at low energies to properly constrain the presence of diffuse warm gas at high redshifts. However, the region which we are investigating is also characterized by a high density of X-ray point sources (a fraction of which belonging to the large-scale structure at z = 0.78) and by a few clusters of galaxies (Hasinger et al. 1998b). After the subtraction of the instrumental background and the point source removal through the procedures described in Zappacosta et al. (2002), we find diffuse emission coincident with the superstructure. However, the relatively extended wings of the ROSAT PSF from the point sources probably contribute significantly to the diffuse emission. Indeed, this apparently extended emission elongated in the direction N–S is also found in the harder maps (R45 and R67). The spectral shape of such extended emission is the same as for the point sources, associating this emission with residual wings of point sources as well as a possible detection of unresolved AGNs associated with the large-scale structure (e.g. Gilli et al. 2003). The observed hardness of the emission is also at variance with what found in other fields where diffuse emission has a much softer spectrum (e.g. Zappacosta et al. 2002).
The presence of such N-S unresolved AGN emission further suggests the presence of an overdense region of galaxies in this area of the Lockman Hole.

Chandra has a much better angular resolution which allows the removal of the contribution from point sources with a much higher accuracy. However, its sensitivity drops drastically at energies E<0.5 keV, preventing us to study the level of soft diffuse X-ray emission. The small field of view of ACIS-S (the Chandra chip which has higher sensitivity in the soft band than ACIS-I) is also problematic to detect extended emission.

XMM has the appropriate compromise between angular resolution, good sensitivity in the softest X-ray band at E<0.5 keV, and extension of the field of view. The Lockman Hole has been subject of deep observations with XMM Hasinger et al. 2001, Hasinger 2003. In particular, the 100 ksec observation obtained by Hasinger et al. (2001) was performed with the “thin” filter, which allows the detection of photons down to 0.2 keV. Additional 800 ksec of integration (Hasinger 2003) were obtained with the “medium” filter, which absorbs photons with E<0.5 keV. The latter observation cannot be used to constrain the WHIM emission because of its energy cuttoff at 0.5 keV, however it can be efficiently used to subtract spurious contribution to the diffuse emission by hard sources, as we shall discuss later on. In the following we will focus on the analysis of the XMM data taken with the “thin” filter.

The major difficulty in using the soft energy band is the presence of the electronic noise that dominates the emission Lumb et al. 2002, Read & Ponman 2003. The spectrum of
the electronic noise is very stable, and its statistical noise consists in a number of small-amplitude events occurring during every frame exposure. There are no fluctuations in a number of events, so the removal of these has no major influence on the detection statistics for the X-ray emission. Electronic noise has a similar spatial distribution for the same detector read-out mode, but its spectrum varies as a function of frame time and is subject to an energy offset on the 10 eV scale for each individual pixel. This energy offset applies to all events, resulting in a decrease of the energy resolution for an extended source. Investigations of the electronic noise by the EPIC calibration team at the Max-Planck Institut für Extraterrestrische Physik showed that it is possible, by using the shape of the electronic noise, to actually determine the energy offset in each pixel for each observation and then efficiently and accurately remove the electronic noise from the event lists. The software and processing recipes are made available to a general user as a new task, eproject, within SAS 6.0 release. In this Paper we describe the results obtained for a single Lockman Hole pointing as a part of software testing stage by Konrad Dennerl (see Dennerl et al. (2004) and eproject task description). For further details of the noise removal and a discussion of associated uncertainties see eproject task description. We selected the longest EPIC-pn observation, made with the “thin” filter and detector full frame mode (for a description of EPIC-pn see Strüder et al. 2001), which yields 35 ksec of useful exposure. For purposes of our analysis, a subtraction of out-of-time events (OOTE) is not necessary, given the position of bright sources with respect to the superstructure and an orientation of CCDs in the selected observation. The sensitivity reached by this observation is good enough to set relatively tight constraints on the presence of diffuse soft X-ray emission in the region of the Lockman Hole.

The image in the softest available band 0.2–0.4 keV was extracted and processed through a variable wavelet filter detection algorithm (Vikhlinin et al. 1998) to identify both point and extended sources (see Zappacosta et al. 2002 for details). We set the wavelet peak detection threshold to 4σ, and followed the extension of the detected flux down to 1.7σ. We performed ten iterations at wavelet kernel scales ranging from 4′′ to 4′. We started from the smallest scale and removed the detected sources prior to proceeding with the next larger scale. “Point sources” were identified as sources detected with kernels of size 4′′ (central part of the field of view) and 8′′ (outer region, where the PSF is larger). The resulting wavelet map (the sum of all wavelet orders) is shown in Fig. 3. Several point sources are detected as well as a few known clusters. However, we do not find any evidence for diffuse extended emission down to a limit of 2σ of confidence from the high order wavelet maps, particularly in the region where the large-scale structure at z = 0.78 has been detected.

To place limits on the presence of a soft diffuse component associated with the optical structure, identified above, we have selected a number of circles along the superstructure traced by galaxies (see in Fig. 3 the big circles). We masked out from final analysis all the parts where X-ray emission has been detected in the 0.5–2 keV and in the 2–7.5 keV bands in the full ~ 800 ksec XMM-Newton exposure on the Lockman Hole, obtained with the “medium” filter; in this way we could remove instrumental scattering effect, two clusters located near the superstructure, as well as the contribution by unresolved AGNs (which have a much harder spectrum than the WHIM). We then used the 0.2–0.4 keV band to extract the counts from the observation with the thin filter. We also selected two additional regions, closer to the center of the pointing and at the edge of the CCD to estimate both a level of the foreground and background emission close to the position of our measurement and to estimate the possible contribution of the induced background, as due to the soft protons. To do that, we make a reasonable assumption, based on our best knowledge, that the sky components and X-ray foreground components (such as the Local Hot Bubble, LHB) have flat distribution on the sky, at least within the XMM field of view, and thus are vignette by the telescope, while the induced background components have a flat distribution of their intensity over the detector (e.g. Lumb et al. 2002).

After subtraction of these in-field estimated background components, the residual soft X-ray flux is $F_{0.2-0.4\text{keV}} = 1.5 \pm 1.4 \times 10^{-15}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ arcmin$^{-2}$, that increases by ~ 30% after the correction for HI absorption. This is a very marginal detection and could be explained by statistical fluctuations around the zero value. Therefore, we consider such a flux as an upper limit to the soft diffuse X-ray emission in this region. It should be noted that the Lockman Hole is the clearest window for this kind of X-ray studies, being the region with the lowest Galactic hydrogen column density. This means that a superstructure, as the one we have found in optical, should clearly show X-ray diffuse emission due to the collapsed gas in the dark matter potential well traced by the galaxies, unless the gas temperature is very low. This issue will be discussed more in detail in the next section.

Fig. 4. XMM-Newton EPIC-pn wavelet reconstructed image in the 0.2–0.4 keV band. Crosses, small circles and the diamond are the galaxies belonging to the superstructure detected in optical as in Fig. 3. Big circles are the regions where we measured the residual soft X-ray flux. The thin line shows the position of the EPIC-pn camera.
4. Temperature of the WHIM at z~0.8

In this section we discuss the upper limit obtained for the diffuse X-ray emission and estimate whether it is compatible with the predictions of the cosmological models and/or constraints on the latter can be inferred.

In the local universe (z < 1) various detections of emission by WHIM indicate temperatures ranging from ~1 keV down to about ~0.2 keV (Wang et al. 1997; Zappacosta et al. 2002, 2004; Soltan et al. 2002; Kaastra et al. 2003; Finoguenov et al. 2003). The lower temperature limit could be ascribed to observational issues, since the bulk of the emission from gas with the temperatures below 0.1 keV is absorbed even in regions of low Galactic N\textsubscript{H}. Moreover, low Oxygen abundance characteristic of the WHIM (Cen & Ostriker 1999; Finoguenov et al. 2003) precludes WHIM detection through the OVII emission lines. The situation will change, however, with advent of microcalorimeters with ‘large grasp’. The alternative technique of using the OVII and OVIII X-ray absorption lines allowed the detection of Local WHIM at much lower temperatures (Nicasio et al. 2005). For a homogeneous comparison, here we focus on the properties of the WHIM detected in emission.

We take as a reference for the local universe the WHIM emission from the Sculptor supercluster (z=0.1) reported in Zappacosta et al. (2004). In this region the median temperature detected for the WHIM is about T~0.4 keV (extending up to 0.5 keV and also to <0.3 keV). The minimum average WHIM emission (i.e. assuming the maximum possible subtraction of the LHB contribution) is ~240 \times 10^{-6} cts s^{-1} arcmin^{-2} in the 0.14–0.28 keV ROSAT band, corresponding to a flux F_{0.2–0.4keV} = 9 \times 10^{-16} erg s^{-1} cm^{-2} arcmin^{-2}. We assume that these values are roughly representative of the emission by WHIM in the superstructures of the local universe, however we will show that our conclusions are not critically dependent on such assumptions. If we move such a medium to a redshift of 0.8, in absence of evolution its density will increase proportionally to (1+z)^3 due to the Hubble flow, and more precisely by a factor [(1+0.8)/(1+0.1)]^3 =4.4. However, we also have to include the intrinsic density evolution expected for the WHIM. From Davé et al. (2001), therein Fig. 4) we can estimate that the WHIM at high redshift (z~1) should be denser by a factor of ~1.5 than locally\textsuperscript{4}. When combined with the effect of the Hubble flow, the density increases by a factor of \approx 4.6. The thermal emission increases proportionally to n^2, therefore from z=0.1 to z=0.8 the WHIM emissivity is expected to increase by a factor of \approx (n_{0.8}/n_{0.1})^2 = 43.6. Since we are observing (or constraining) the surface brightness, we have also to account for a cosmological dimming proportional to (1+z)^4, i.e. [(1+0.8)/(1+0.1)]^4 = 7.2. Assuming that the temperature does not change (our working hypothesis) the spectral shape remains unchanged, but the redshift moves the thermal spectrum to lower energies, certainly not enough to push the thermal cutoff

\footnote{These predictions refer to the bulk of the WHIM that virtually, having on average lower densities than the WHIM in supercluster environment, should not be detectable with the present day instruments. It is possible that the denser WHIM phase in the supercluster environment evolve in a different way. We are not aware of theoretical works exploring the evolution of this dense WHIM phase.}

off in our 0.2–0.4 keV band, but the consequent K-correction still changes the observed flux significantly, and more specifically by a factor of 1.8. Summarizing all of these effects, in absence of temperature evolution the surface brightness expected for WHIM associated with a superstructure at z=0.8 relative to a superstructure at z=0.1 is

\[ \frac{S_{0.2–0.4keV}(0.8)}{S_{0.2–0.4keV}(0.1)} = \left( \frac{n_{0.8}}{n_{0.1}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{1 + 0.8}{1 + 0.1} \right)^4 (1.8)^{-1} = 3.4 \]

combined with the surface brightness of the WHIM observed in the Sculptor supercluster, we obtain an expected surface brightness for the WHIM at z=0.8 of

\[ S_{0.2–0.4keV}(0.8) \approx 3.1 \times 10^{-12} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ arcmin}^{-2} \]

which is roughly an order of magnitude more (more than a factor of 8) than the upper limit obtained by us for the superstructure in the Lockman Hole.

One possibility could be that filaments are more rare at high redshift, and that none is present in our field. However, cosmological simulations predict that filamentary structures should already be formed by z~1, and that super-structures (traced by overdensity of galaxies) are the locations where filaments are most likely to be present. Since we are clearly investigating one of such super-structures (as demonstrated by the galaxy overdensity), the filaments expected by cosmological models at such redshifts are much more likely to be present in our region than in the field. Another, more likely possibility is that baryonic filaments are indeed present in this large scale structure, but that their temperature at z=0.8 is significantly lower than in the local Universe (z\leq0.1), making them undetectable. Indeed a lower temperature moves the thermal cutoff of the spectrum to lower energies and specifically below the band 0.2–0.4 keV observed by us. We have calculated that the maximum allowed temperature at z=0.8, which would make the observed flux consistent with our upper limit in the 0.2–0.4 keV band, is about 0.07–0.1 keV. It is important to note that this temperature limit is weakly sensitive on the density and emissivity assumptions discussed above. Indeed, the important result is that the expected flux is so much higher than our upper limit that the only way out is to require the temperature to be low enough to move the thermal cutoff below the 0.2–0.4 keV band.

5. The thermal history of the WHIM

A summary of the constraints on the observed WHIM temperatures as a function of redshift is given in Fig.\textsuperscript{5}. We include results by other works listed in Table\textsuperscript{2} (both emission and absorption detections). The points give either the median value or the best fitting value. As expected, imaging and statistical measurements, which tend to detect the brightest WHIM emissions, obtain higher temperature values. This is a consequence of the WHIM emissivity per unit energy being proportional to the temperature\textsuperscript{5}. On the contrary, absorption systems sample

\footnote{The thermal emissivity per unit energy is related to the temperature T and the density n as T^{–0.5} n^2 (at energies below the thermal cutoff). On the other hand Davé et al. (2004) showed that the WHIM temperature and density are directly proportional, and therefore $\epsilon \propto T^{1.5}$.}
random regions of the WHIM, including the very low temperature regions. Soft excess around clusters also tend to be biased against high temperatures, because the latter would be confused with the cluster emission itself. Moreover the WHIM origin of cluster soft excesses have recently been partly brought into question by cosmological simulations (Mittaz et al. 2004; Cheng et al. 2004).

A homogeneous comparison should be limited to detections (and upper limits) made with the same technique. In this paper we have searched for WHIM emission, and therefore our upper limit must be compared with the other detections and upper limits obtained with imaging or statistical detections of WHIM emission (full symbols in Fig. 5). Such measurements indicate that the observed WHIM temperature decreases with redshift (Fig. 5), just as predicted by cosmological models. The number of observational data is small and with large errorbars, however the plot in Fig. 5 is the first attempt of constraining the evolution of the WHIM temperature with redshift, with the currently available data.

It is possible to go beyond this qualitative statement and investigate the quantitative agreement with the model predictions. Cen & Ostriker (1999) presented in their paper a simple argument that can reproduce representative values for the temperature of the WHIM (see also Fig. 5 in Davé et al. 2001). They infer the postshock temperature of a cosmic gas collapsing inside a slightly nonlinear large-scale structure of size $L$ as $T \propto c_s^2 \approx K(L_{10}^2(z))^2$, where $c_s$ is the gas sound speed and $t_H(z)$ the Hubble time at the redshift of interest and $K$ is constant (see equation 4 in Cen & Ostriker 1999). Such simple estimate is shown to correctly reproduce the results from numerical simulations (Cen & Ostriker 1999; Davé et al. 2001). The same approach was used by Davé et al. (2001) who obtain similar results. In Fig. 5 we show the trends of the WHIM temperature as a function of redshift obtained by Cen & Ostriker (1999) and Davé et al. (2001) by using the argument discussed above (the shaded area indicates the interval of temperatures which includes ~50% of the WHIM in the Davé et al. (2001) distributions). Although the uncertainties of the theoretical models...
are large, both predictions fit reasonably well the data points, and in particular the temperatures inferred from the imaging and statistical methods.

6. Conclusions

We have identified a large-scale structure of galaxies in the Lockman Hole at redshift $z \sim 0.79 \pm 0.015$ by means of an optical spectroscopic survey. The superstructure extends over a region of more than 7.5 Mpc (in projection) and is structured in three sub-concentrations at median redshifts of 0.776, 0.784 and 0.806. In this superstructure the WHIM predicted by cosmological models should have already formed. By analysing ROSAT and XMM pointings we could set a tight upper limit on the WHIM emission associated with the superstructure. From this flux limit we could estimate an upper limit of $0.1\text{ keV}$ on the WHIM temperature at $z \sim 0.8$. The combination of this tight upper limit with other previous WHIM temperature measurements (at lower redshifts) strongly suggests that the WHIM temperature must be rapidly decreasing with redshift, as expected by the cosmological models. The agreement of the redshift distribution of the observed WHIM temperatures with the cosmological predictions ([Cen & Ostriker 1999, Davé et al. 2001]) is reasonably good even from a quantitative point of view. However further work is required to improve the statistics on the WHIM temperature measurements (or constraints) at high redshift.
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**Appendix A: Catalog of Objects**

| Id | RA (J2000) | DEC (J2000) | z | Quality |
|----|------------|-------------|---|---------|
| 1  | 10^52°41.77′ | +57°21′29.56″ | 0.281 | low |
| 2  | 10^52°45.41′ | +57°21′40.96″ | 0.085 | high |
| 3  | 10^52°43.71′ | +57°22′01.01″ | 0.608 | low |
| 4  | 10^52°28.84′ | +57°22′05.78″ | 0.776 | low |
| 5  | 10^52°36.44′ | +57°22′17.45″ | 0.482 | low |
| 6  | 10^52°33.12′ | +57°22′34.99″ | 0.735 | high |
| 7  | 10^52°30.17′ | +57°22′41.48″ | 0.863 | low |
| 8  | 10^52°30.86′ | +57°22′48.10″ | 0.634 | low |
| 9  | 10^52°19.95′ | +57°22′51.48″ | 0.528 | low |
| 10 | 10^52°34.39′ | +57°23′06.16″ | 0.405 | low |
| 11 | 10^52°38.38′ | +57°23′06.04″ | 0.419 | high |
| 12 | 10^52°44.53′ | +57°23′26.43″ | 1.017 | high |
| 13 | 10^52°37.79′ | +57°23′28.09″ | 0.436 | low |
| 14 | 10^52°41.86′ | +57°23′53.08″ | 0.892 | low |
| 15 | 10^52°45.87′ | +57°24′10.48″ | 0.777 | low |
| 16 | 10^52°38.60′ | +57°24′08.85″ | 0.484 | high |
| 17 | 10^52°47.71′ | +57°27′15.48″ | 0.785 | high |
| 18 | 10^52°43.83′ | +57°26′59.82″ | 0.729 | low |
| 19 | 10^52°54.44′ | +57°26′11.21″ | 0.644 | low |
| 20 | 10^52°40.40′ | +57°26′36.23″ | 0.821 | low |
| 21 | 10^52°45.22′ | +57°26′25.45″ | 0.808 | high |
| 22 | 10^52°26.84′ | +57°26′30.70″ | 0.354 | high |
| 23 | 10^52°45.09′ | +57°25′56.43″ | 0.783 | high |
| 24 | 10^52°23.87′ | +57°27′29.10″ | 0.807 | low |
| 25 | 10^52°44.91′ | +57°26′03.48″ | 0.619 | high |
| 26 | 10^52°39.80′ | +57°25′05.50″ | 0.807 | low |
| 27 | 10^52°39.41′ | +57°25′30.62″ | 0.335 | high |
| 28 | 10^52°44.89′ | +57°27′27.74″ | 0.594 | high |
| 29 | 10^52°32.13′ | +57°25′07.74″ | 0.479 | high |
| 30 | 10^52°44.77′ | +57°24′45.67″ | 0.917 | low |
| 31 | 10^52°27.98′ | +57°27′53.20″ | 0.146 | low |
| 32 | 10^52°42.73′ | +57°27′51.53″ | 0.684 | high |
| 33 | 10^52°48.68′ | +57°28′33.26″ | 0.279 | low |
| 34 | 10^52°58.52′ | +57°28′37.64″ | 0.751 | low |
| 35 | 10^52°30.46′ | +57°28′35.05″ | 0.531 | low |
| 36 | 10^52°30.22′ | +57°28′55.08″ | 1.011 | low |
| 37 | 10^52°48.84′ | +57°29′17.08″ | 0.889 | high |
| 38 | 10^52°56.29′ | +57°29′25.65″ | 0.580 | high |
| 39 | 10^52°50.98′ | +57°29′33.65″ | 0.776 | low |
| 40 | 10^52°40.91′ | +57°29′42.15″ | 0.919 | low |
| 41 | 10^52°44.08′ | +57°30′17.99″ | 0.490 | low |
| 42 | 10^52°26.96′ | +57°31′10.83″ | 0.377 | low |
| 43 | 10^52°56.19′ | +57°31′14.36″ | 0.701 | low |
| Id | RA (J2000) | DEC (J2000) | z  | Quality |
|----|------------|-------------|----|---------|
| 44 | 10^53m32.85^+ | +57°31'52.09'' | 0.422 | high |
| 45 | 10^53m48.02^+ | +57°32'05.64'' | 0.783 | low |
| 46 | 10^53m40.31^+ | +57°32'09.61'' | 0.692 | low |
| 47 | 10^53m42.17^+ | +57°32'25.60'' | 0.321 | high |
| 48 | 10^53m49.23^+ | +57°35'39.75'' | 0.231 | low |
| 49 | 10^53m49.31^+ | +57°34'28.74'' | 0.391 | low |
| 50 | 10^53m40.12^+ | +57°36'19.28'' | 0.784 | high |
| 51 | 10^53m33.68^+ | +57°36'10.40'' | 0.500 | high |
| 52 | 10^53m37.67^+ | +57°36'04.33'' | 0.405 | low |
| 53 | 10^53m40.23^+ | +57°35'50.81'' | 0.933 | low |
| 54 | 10^53m44.73^+ | +57°36'08.73'' | 0.591 | low |
| 55 | 10^53m01.68^+ | +57°35'34.23'' | 0.256 | low |
| 56 | 10^53m41.03^+ | +57°35'12.77'' | 0.493 | low |
| 57 | 10^53m44.76^+ | +57°35'15.24'' | 0.093 | low |
| 58 | 10^53m42.62^+ | +57°34'03.58'' | 0.784 | high |
| 59 | 10^53m41.48^+ | +57°34'25.55'' | 0.256 | low |
| 60 | 10^53m46.09^+ | +57°34'11.09'' | 1.084 | low |
| 61 | 10^53m42.87^+ | +57°34'03.80'' | 0.784 | high |
| 62 | 10^53m36.94^+ | +57°33'57.55'' | 0.420 | high |
| 63 | 10^53m36.32^+ | +57°33'13.74'' | 0.706 | high |
| 64 | 10^53m36.32^+ | +57°33'12.91'' | 0.784 | high |
| 65 | 10^53m45.19^+ | +57°33'42.05'' | 0.701 | high |
| 66 | 10^53m03.72^+ | +57°33'29.03'' | 0.662 | low |
| 67 | 10^53m37.33^+ | +57°32'52.90'' | 0.562 | low |
| 68 | 10^53m38.25^+ | +57°32'49.74'' | 0.785 | high |
| 69 | 10^53m29.13^+ | +57°36'41.92'' | 0.427 | high |
| 70 | 10^52m50.23^+ | +57°28'25.09'' | 0.536 | low |
| 71 | 10^52m49.71^+ | +57°28'41.56'' | 0.342 | high |
| 72 | 10^52m39.67^+ | +57°28'57.53'' | 0.669 | low |
| 73 | 10^52m48.20^+ | +57°29'54.99'' | 0.291 | low |
| 74 | 10^52m41.79^+ | +57°30'53.26'' | 0.206 | high |
| 75 | 10^52m42.14^+ | +57°31'23.02'' | 0.121 | high |
| 76 | 10^52m55.15^+ | +57°31'47.06'' | 0.343 | low |
| 77 | 10^52m53.80^+ | +57°33'11.11'' | 0.669 | high |
| 78 | 10^52m45.50^+ | +57°28'05.49'' | 0.666 | high |
| 79 | 10^52m41.35^+ | +57°27'21.95'' | 0.552 | high |
| 80 | 10^52m49.02^+ | +57°26'39.76'' | 0.322 | low |
| 81 | 10^52m42.18^+ | +57°26'02.02'' | 0.343 | high |
| 82 | 10^54m20.31^+ | +57°24'02.86'' | 0.778 | low |
| 83 | 10^54m29.59^+ | +57°24'11.82'' | 0.776 | high |
| 84 | 10^54m23.22^+ | +57°24'46.59'' | 0.809 | low |
| 85 | 10^54m17.46^+ | +57°25'02.44'' | 0.138 | high |
| 86 | 10^54m27.92^+ | +57°26'14.55'' | 0.578 | high |
| 87 | 10^54m14.04^+ | +57°27'24.94'' | 0.913 | low |
| 88 | 10^54m29.28^+ | +57°27'44.63'' | 0.306 | low |

| Id | RA (J2000) | DEC (J2000) | z  | Quality |
|----|------------|-------------|----|---------|
| 89 | 10^54m11.02^+ | +57°31'42.79'' | 0.396 | high |
| 90 | 10^54m22.84^+ | +57°31'12.17'' | 0.343 | high |
| 91 | 10^54m05.16^+ | +57°31'20.91'' | 0.977 | low |
| 92 | 10^54m05.16^+ | +57°31'22.56'' | 1.062 | low |
| 93 | 10^54m10.99^+ | +57°30'56.14'' | 0.226 | low |
| 94 | 10^54m30.51^+ | +57°29'57.57'' | 0.619 | high |
| 95 | 10^54m01.59^+ | +57°28'13.58'' | 0.890 | low |
| 96 | 10^54m03.72^+ | +57°29'15.01'' | 0.806 | high |
| 97 | 10^54m23.93^+ | +57°29'10.58'' | 0.410 | low |
| 98 | 10^54m16.76^+ | +57°29'03.93'' | 0.589 | low |
| 99 | 10^54m03.14^+ | +57°28'57.45'' | 0.793 | low |
| 100 | 10^54m22.93^+ | +57°28'42.62'' | 0.787 | high |
| 101 | 10^54m29.85^+ | +57°28'17.79'' | 0.711 | high |
| 102 | 10^54m21.11^+ | +57°30'14.17'' | 0.965 | low |
| 103 | 10^54m33.97^+ | +57°29'52.14'' | 0.276 | high |