The single-span membrane protein KCNE3 modulates a variety of voltage-gated ion channels in diverse biological contexts. In epithelial cells, KCNE3 regulates the function of the KCNQ1 potassium ion (K⁺) channel to enable K⁺ recycling coupled to transepithelial chloride ion (Cl⁻) secretion, a physiologically critical cellular transport process in various organs and whose malfunction causes diseases, such as cystic fibrosis (CF), cholera, and pulmonary edema. Structural, computational, biochemical, and electrophysiological studies lead to an atomically explicit integrative structural model of the KCNE3–KCNQ1 complex that explains how KCNE3 induces the constitutive activation of KCNQ1 channel activity, a crucial component in K⁺ recycling. Central to this mechanism are direct interactions of KCNE3 residues at both ends of its transmembrane domain with residues on the intra- and extracellular ends of the KCNQ1 voltage-sensing domain S4 helix. These interactions appear to stabilize the activated “up” state configuration of S4, a prerequisite for full opening of the KCNQ1 channel gate. In addition, the integrative structural model was used to guide electrophysiological studies that illuminate the molecular basis for how estrogen exacerbates CF lung disease in female patients, a phenomenon known as the “CF gender gap.”

INTRODUCTION

KCN3 [MinK-related peptide 2 (MiRP2); Fig. 1] is a widely expressed (1–4) single-span integral membrane protein that modulates the function and trafficking of several voltage-gated potassium channels, including KCNQ1, KCNQ4, hERG, Kv2.1, Kv3.1, and Kv3.2 (2, 4–6). In intestinal and tracheal epithelia, KCNE3 complexes with KCNQ1 and plays a crucial role in potassium ion recycling, which is required for transepithelial chloride ion secretion (Fig. 1) (1, 6, 7). KCN3 converts KCNQ1 into a voltage-independent and constitutively active “leak” channel with enhanced activity (2, 4, 8). KCNE3 has been implicated in disorders associated with salt and fluid homeostasis, such as cystic fibrosis (CF). Its proposed role as a potential modifier of CF is evident in disorders associated with salt and fluid homeostasis, such as cystic fibrosis (CF), cholera, and pulmonary edema.
KCNE3 was nitroxide spin-labeled at both ends of the TMD and probed by DEER to compare the curvature-dependent probe-to-probe distances measured in micelles [lyso-myristoylphosphatidylcholine (LMPC)], bicelles (DMPG/DHPC), and 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-phosphatidylcholine (POPC)/1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-phosphatidylglycerol (POPG) lipid bilayers (vesicles; Fig. 4). The resulting distances for all three media are statistically identical, with the most populated end-to-end distances determined to be 35.8 ± 0.9 Å, 36.0 ± 1.0 Å, and 35.7 ± 1.1 Å, respectively. These results indicate that the curvature of the TMD is an intrinsic property of KCNE3 that is maintained in lipid bilayers, bicelles, and detergent micelles.

Additional insight into KCNE3-membrane interactions was gleaned from an NMR data–restrained molecular dynamics (rMD) simulation of the protein in an explicit bilayer. Analysis of the time-evolved rMD trajectory suggests that the curvature of the KCNE3 TMD helix is stabilized by transient contact with water (Fig. 3), a feature supported by experimental NMR-based backbone hydrogen-deuterium exchange measurements (fig. S8). The backbone amide nitrogen for residues Thr71, Ser74, and Leu75 all experience elevated water access compared to neighboring residues, as can be seen from the backbone hydrogen-deuterium exchange measurements and frequency of water contact throughout the rMD trajectory (fig. S8). The presence of water likely stabilizes the observed curvature by reducing the energetic penalty of breaking backbone hydrogen bonds (22).

The rMD trajectory also offers insight into both the N-terminal and C-terminal helix interactions with the lipid bilayer (Fig. 3). Both helices have an amphipathic sequence that does not deeply embed into the
membrane, allowing for a dynamic interaction with the membrane surface. Experimentally, this dynamic interaction with the membrane is suggested by modest protection from the soluble probe Gd-DTPA for each of these helices (Fig. 2) and by NMR $^{15}$N relaxation profiles for each of these segments (fig. S6). This is in contrast to the flexible linking segments connecting the N- and C-terminal amphipathic helices to the TMD, which are largely unstructured, flexible, and water-accessible (Fig. 2 and figs. S6 and S8).

KCNQ1 structural elements required for KCNE3 modulation

We next exploited differences in the KCNQ1 and KCNQ4 channels using mutagenesis followed by electrophysiology to provide insight into how KCNE3 interacts with KCNQ1. The KCNQ4 potassium channel is homologous with KCNQ1 (fig. S9) but is inhibited by KCNE3 rather than being constitutively activated as for KCNQ1 (Fig. 5, A and B) (2, 4, 8). We expanded upon an earlier study of the interaction of KCNE3 with KCNQ1/KCNQ4 chimeras (23) by swapping each individual transmembrane helix of KCNQ4 into KCNQ1 to identify which segments of KCNQ1 are required for the constitutive activation by KCNE3. Each KCNQ1/4 chimera was studied using whole-cell patch-clamp recording in the absence and presence of KCNE3 (Fig. 5, C to H). The data identify the S4 helix as the critical segment that determines the KCNE3-dependent modulatory differences between KCNQ1 and KCNQ4. Transplanting the S4 segment from KCNQ4 into KCNQ1 yields a channel, KCNQ1[Q4S4], that is KCNQ4-like in the absence of KCNE3 (Fig. 5F, middle panel). Moreover, the channel function of

Fig. 3. Structure of KCNE3 in a bilayer membrane. (A) Representative example from final KCNE3 structure ensemble output from experimentally restrained AMBER molecular dynamics calculations. Only the TMD is shown, as embedded in a dimyristoylphosphatidylcholine (DMPC) bilayer. Residues Thr$^{71}$, Ser$^{74}$, and Gly$^{78}$ are depicted as spheres to highlight the concave face of KCNE3. (B) Same as (A) but with a different view, which includes the surface-associated helices flanking the TMD. (C) Same as (B) but with side chains also shown. The ensemble of 10 final models from the restrained dynamics simulation in a membrane environment is shown in fig. S7.

Fig. 4. DEER EPR–based distance measurements for KCNE3 in different model membrane conditions confirm the persistently curved nature of its TMD. X-band DEER spectroscopy time evolutions are shown for double spin-labeled KCNE3 at the TMD ends (residues Ser$^{57}$Cys and Ser$^{82}$Cys) in different model membranes. (Inset) Distance distributions between the spin labels calculated from the DEER data. The average distances in the different membrane environments are shown with the given uncertainties reflecting the 5Es from fitting of the data with a 95% confidence interval. DEER EPR data show similar TMD distance measurements taken in LMPC micelles, DHPC/DMPG bicelles, or POPC/POPG bilayers.
KCNQ1\[Q4S4\] is inhibited by KCNE3, thereby functionally resembling wild-type (WT) KCNQ4 (Fig. 5F, right panel). We further refined the functional determinants of KCNE3 modulation to two pairs of KCNQ1-to-KCNQ4 mutations, His240Arg/Gln244Arg and Val241Met/Gln244Arg, either pair of which was sufficient to convert KCNQ1 into a KCNQ4-like channel that is inhibited by KCNE3 (Fig. 6). These results strongly suggest that KCNE3 is proximal to KCNQ1 residues His\[^{240}\], Val\[^{241}\], and Gln\[^{244}\] in the channel complex.

With the above results as restraints, preliminary models for the KCNE3-KCNQ1 complex led to two experimentally testable predictions: (i) The extracellular N-terminal end of the KCNE3 TMD interacts with the extracellular end of the KCNQ1 S1 transmembrane segment, and (ii) the C-terminal cytosolic end of the KCNE3 TMD interacts with the intracellular C terminus of the S4 segment in the voltage-sensing domain (VSD) located at the membrane/cytosol interface. We tested the model-predicted proximity between the KCNQ1 and KCNE3 sites by introducing a cysteine into each protein and then testing for disulfide bond formation, a positive result for which would be taken as evidence for interprotein site-site proximity and experimental validation of the

---

**Fig. 5.** The S4 domain of KCNQ4 causes KCNQ1 to be inhibited by KCNE3. Average whole-cell currents recorded from cells transiently expressing KCNQ1/KCNQ4 chimeras alone (+DsRed) or with KCNE3: (A) KCNQ1 + KCNE3, (B) KCNQ4 + KCNE3, (C) KCNQ1 with the S1 domain of KCNQ4, (D) KCNQ1 with the S2 domain of KCNQ4, (E) KCNQ1 with the S3 domain of KCNQ4, (F) KCNQ1 with the S4 domain of KCNQ4, (G) KCNQ1 with the S5 domain of KCNQ4, and (H) KCNQ1 with the S6 domain of KCNQ4.

**Fig. 6.** Pairwise replacement of sites 240 + 244 or 241 + 244 in the S4 domain of KCNQ1 with the corresponding residues of KCNQ4 causes KCNQ1 to be inhibited by KCNE3. Average whole-cell currents recorded from cells transiently expressing KCNQ1 mutants or KCNQ4 chimeras, both alone (+DsRed) and with KCNE3: (A) KCNQ1 with the S4 domain of KCNQ4 (KCNQ1[Q4S4]); (B) Leu239Val,His240Arg,Val241Met,Gln244Arg KCNQ1; (C) Leu239Val,His240Arg,Val241Met,Gln244Arg KCNQ1; (D) His240Arg,Gln244Arg KCNQ1; (E) Val241Met,Gln244Arg KCNQ1; and (F) Gln244Arg KCNQ1. We note that the His240Arg, Gln244Arg KCNQ1, and Gln244Arg KCNQ1 mutants have been previously examined (36), with somewhat different results being obtained. However, our studies were conducted using CHO cells, which are bereft of endogenous expression of KCNE subunits, whereas the previous studies were conducted using KCNQ1 expressed in oocytes, in which the expression of either endogenous KCNE subunits or endogenous K\(^+\) channels can confound studies of potassium channels (75–77).
preliminary KCNE3-KCNQ1 model complex. Double mutations of (i) KCNQ1 Leu142Cys (near the extracellular end of S1) plus KCNE3 Met59Cys (near the N-terminal end of the TMD) and (ii) KCNQ1 Gln244Cys (at the cytosolic end of S4) plus KCNE3 Ser82Cys (near the C-terminal end of the TMD) in both cases led to highly conductive channels under reducing conditions but displayed lower current amplitude under oxidizing conditions (Fig. 7). The most direct explanation of these results is that disulfide bond formation between either pair of residues traps the KCNQ1-KCNE3 channel in a conformation that resembles the fully activated channel state but that is slightly perturbed by disulfide bond formation and therefore has lower conductance. This is consistent with the notion that the WT side chains for these pairs of sites are proximal to each other but have suboptimal geometry for disulfide bond formation.

Development of a data-validated integrative model of the KCNE3-KCNQ1 complex

The above results suggest close proximity of sites in the KCNE3 TMD with His340, Val341, and Gln344 of KCNQ1. They also indicate close proximity between the side chains of KCNQ1 Leu142 and KCNE3 Met56 as well as between the side chains of KCNQ1 Gln244 and KCNE3 Ser82. These results, in conjunction with additional structural data available from the literature (23–28), were used as restraints in developing experimentally supported structural models for the KCNE3-KCNQ1 complex.

We first developed a new ensemble of homology models for the KCNQ1 open state using Rosetta Membrane and Rosetta Symmetry potentials (29, 30) and the open-state Kv1.2/2.1 chimera structure (31) as a template [figs. S10 and S11 and supplemental KCNQ1 Protein Data Bank (PDB)–format atomic coordinate file]. The ensemble of the 10 lowest-energy KCNE3 TMD (residues 56 to 84) NMR structures determined in this work was then docked onto the open-state channel ensemble using Rosetta (32). We focused on the isolated KCNE3 TMD for the rigid-body docking because previous structure-function studies had demonstrated that this domain alone is sufficient to induce the expected constitutive activation of KCNQ1 (33). Model complexes were generated by iterative docking followed by flexible loop reconstruction using Rosetta (figs. S12 to S15; see Methods and the Supplementary Materials for details). The generated structures (fig. S16) satisfied most of the experimental restraints (table S2). The most favorably scoring KCNE3-KCNQ1 structure complex exhibited an overall MolProbity score of 1.5 (95th percentile) and is illustrated in Fig. 8, with its atomic coordinate file included with the Supplementary Materials for this paper. This model was used in the subsequent studies of this work, as described below.

Within the integrative model of the complex (Fig. 8), it is observed that the structure of the channel, even in the vicinity of KCNE3, remains largely similar to the Kv1.2/2.1 template. On the other hand, whereas the KCNE3 TMD retains helicity and transmembrane orientation, the curvature, as observed for the free KCNE3 structure in bicelles, is slightly altered when in complex with KCNQ1, where the curvature at the middle of the TMD is more tempered (fig. S17). This change indicates that upon binding to KCNQ1, KCNE3 adapts to the contours of the KCNQ1 crevice in which it sits. Additional description of the complex is provided in Discussion.

Among the experimental restraints used (table S2), there were three that were not satisfied by the integrative KCNE3-KCNQ1 model shown in Fig. 8. One of these was a minor violation (1 Å) of a tight (5 Å) restraint and was therefore deemed insignificant. The other two involve pairs of residues originally believed to be in direct contact based on double-mutant cycle experiments. One pair is KCNE3 Val372 and KCNQ1 Ser338 (26), and the other is either KCNE3 Asp54 or Asp55 to KCNQ1 Arg237 (27). Asp54 and Asp55 are located just outside of the membrane, N-terminal to the start of the KCNE3 TMD helix. Unlike Arg237 on S4, which is proximal to Asp55 in the KCNE3-KCNQ1 model (Fig. 8), Arg237 is located much further down the S4 segment where it is buried deeply within the four-helix bundle of the VSD in the activated-state KCNQ1 channel model—and is therefore inaccessible to direct contact with KCNE3 Asp54 or Asp55. Similarly, KCNQ1 residue 338 in the activated-state KCNQ1 model is deeply buried and would not be accessible to KCNE3. Satisfying either of the above restraints would require a marked global conformational rearrangement in the structure of KCNQ1 upon formation of a complex with KCNE3. This seems unlikely, suggesting that the energy coupling between the KCNQ1 and KCNE3 residue pairs documented in the double-mutant cycle studies (26, 27) involves allosteric networks rather than direct contact. The allosteric coupling of distal residues is a well-established phenomenon in protein science (34).

Structural basis for dissociation of the KCNQ1-KCNE3 channel complex caused by estrogen

It has previously been shown that estrogen stimulates PKCδ-derived inhibition of KCNQ1 function by two mechanisms: a rapid decrease in current caused by disassociation of KCNQ1 and KCNE3 and a sustained reduction in current density due to internalization of KCNQ1 and KCNE3 (13, 14). The decrease in current due to disassociation of KCNE3 from KCNQ1 is dependent on KCNQ3 Ser82, a residue phosphorylated by PKC (12, 35).

The integrative KCNE3-KCNQ1 model developed in this work (Fig. 8) offers insight for how estrogen-stimulated phosphorylation of KCNQ3 Ser82 results in the dissociation of KCNE3 from the channel (14). In this model, KCNE3 Ser82 is proximal to KCNQ1 Gln244 at the cytosolic end of S4 (Fig. 8), a key residue in the activation of KCNQ1 by KCNE3 (Fig. 6). In light of the observed sensitivity of KCNE3-KCNQ1 channel function to the charge on the cytosolic end of the S4 helix [Fig. 6 and the study of Panaghi and Abbott (36)], we tested whether mutating Gln244 to arginine (the corresponding residue in KCNQ4) would reverse the inhibitory effect of estrogen on the channel complex. As shown in Fig. 9A, application of estrogen (4-min exposure) induces a significant decrease in KCNQ1–KCNE3 currents. Figure 9B shows that the KCNQ1 Gln244Arg mutation negates the inhibitory effect of estrogen on KCNQ1–KCNE3 currents. These results suggest that estrogen-stimulated phosphorylation of KCNQ3 Ser82 destabilizes the KCNE3-KCNQ1 complex, an effect that can be reversed by the Gln244Arg mutation, possibly due to favorable electrostatic attraction between the phosphorylserine moiety and the introduced Arg244 side chain (Fig. 9C).

**DISCUSSION**

**Structure of KCNE3: Comparison with KCNE1 and KCNE2 and functional implications**

The structure determined in this work of KCNE3 in bimellar membranes can be compared to previous structures of KCNE1 and KCNE2 (19, 37, 38). Both proteins exhibit a modest degree of amino acid sequence identity with each other and with KCNE3 (figs. S17 and S18) but modulate KCNQ1 channel function very differently. Although...
Fig. 7. Oxidation state–dependent electrophysiology measurements confirm that KCNQ1 Leu142 and KCNE3 Met59 residues are in close contact, as are KCNE3 Ser82 and KCNQ1 Gln244. (A) Average whole-cell currents recorded from cells transiently expressing KCNQ1 Leu142Cys plus KCNE3 Met59Cys exposed to control bath solution, +DTT, or Cu-phenanthroline (Cu-phen.). The solid line between the two traces indicates the zero current. (B) Voltage dependence of activation for currents recorded from cells expressing KCNQ1 Leu142Cys plus KCNE3 Met59Cys exposed to control bath solution (○), +DTT (■), or Cu-phenanthroline (◆). (C) Average current-voltage (I-V) relationships normalized by membrane capacitance measured from cells expressing KCNQ1 Leu142Cys plus KCNE3 Met59Cys exposed to control bath solution (○), +DTT (■), or Cu-phenanthroline (◆). (D) The KCNQ1 Cys122Ser/Gln244Cys + KCNE3 Ser82Cys complex is sensitive to DTT, suggesting that KCNQ1 Gln244Cys and KCNE3 Ser82Cys are in close enough contact with each other to spontaneously form a disulfide bond. (E) Average I-V normalized by membrane capacitance measured from cells expressing WT KCNQ1 plus KCNE3 Ser82Cys exposed to control bath solution (○), +DTT (■), or Cu-phenanthroline (◆). (F) Average I-V normalized by membrane capacitance measured from cells expressing KCNQ1 Cys122Ser/Gln244Cys plus KCNE3 Ser82Cys exposed to control bath solution (○), +DTT (■), or Cu-phenanthroline (◆). I_{\text{tail max}} \text{, tail current maximum.}
KCNE2 also constitutively activates KCNQ1, it reduces current levels to approximately 50% of KCNQ1 alone (39, 40). In contrast, KCNE3 markedly increases the KCNQ1-dependent current density by ~10-fold (Fig. 5A). On the other hand, KCNE1 shares with KCNE3 the property of increasing current density but differs in that KCNE1 delays channel opening in response to membrane depolarization (6, 41, 42).

The KCNE3 structure shares several important structural features with the previously determined structures of KCNE1 and KCNE2 (fig. S17) (19, 37, 38). First, all three proteins have an N-terminal amphipathic helix that is flexibly linked to the TMD. In the absence of a channel partner, this helix sits on the membrane surface and is only loosely tethered to the TMD of each KCNE family member [this work and previous studies (38, 43)]. When complexed with KCNQ1 or another Kv channel, the N-terminal amphipathic helix present in these three KCNE family members almost certainly binds to the extracellular face of the channel, involving a structural rearrangement that is enabled by the length and flexibility of the tether between this N-terminal helix and the start of the TMD. The N-terminal domains of KCNE1, KCNE2, and KCNE3 are glycosylated (44) and likely contribute to the assembly and stabilities of their KCNQ1 complexes and their drug sensitivities as well as proper trafficking (45). However, this domain does not seem to be critical for modulating channel gating.

The TMDs of the KCNE1, KCNE2, and KCNE3 proteins are composed of a curved/kinked helix, although the curvature of KCNE2 is reduced compared to KCNE1 and KCNE3 (fig. S17). For both KCNE3 (this work) and KCNE1 (19), it has been confirmed that this curvature is an intrinsic conformational property that is present even in lipid bilayer membranes. The fact that these three proteins bind to the open channel state through their TMDs, which are critical to their functions, indicates that this curvature is likely an evolutionarily conserved trait and important for binding to the activated-state channel. In this context, the observation that the curvature measured for free KCNE3 differs from the one calculated for KCNE3 as part of the KCNE3-KCNQ1 channel complex model (Fig. 8 and fig. S17) suggests that the TMD curvature of free KCNE3 may be important for the kinetics of initial binding of KCNE3 to KCNQ1, not for the stability of the complex, once formed. This idea agrees with a previous study, which suggests that the energetic cost associated with shifting hydrogen bonds in flexible transmembrane helices is surprisingly low (22).

The highest degree of sequence identity between these three KCNE proteins occurs at the beginning and ends of their TMDs (fig. S18), suggesting that these segments play a critical role in anchoring these subunits to the KCNQ1 channel. The fact that these same residues are conserved in KCNE4, which inhibits channel function and likely binds preferentially to the closed channel state, suggests that these residues may be critical for KCNE subunit association to both active and resting KCNQ1 channel states. The significantly different patterns seen for the locations of the helices in the C-terminal cytosolic domains of these three KCNEs likely reflect variations in the roles played by this domain in the varying function of each KCNE family member (46–49).

**Working model for the KCNE3-KCNQ1 complex and implications for how KCNE3 modulates KCNQ1 function**

A series of elegant studies of KCNE1 and KCNE3 have converged on an appreciation that KCNE3 acts by stabilizing the voltage-sensor domain of KCNQ1, especially the S4 helix, in its fully activated configuration [see previous studies (27, 28, 36, 50, 51)]. At physiologically relevant potentials, association of KCNE3 with the channel results in constitutive
Fig. 9. Reversal of estrogen-induced inhibition of KCNQ1-KCNE3 association by Q244R KCNQ1 mutation and structural model to explain this effect. (A) (Left) Average whole-cell currents recorded from cells transiently expressing KCNQ1 WT plus KCNE3 exposed to control solution (ethanol) or estrogen (17β-estradiol, 100 μM). The solid line between the two traces is at zero current. (Right) Average I-V normalized by membrane capacitance measured from cells coexpressing KCNQ1 WT plus KCNE3 WT in the absence (▪, n = 16) or presence of estrogen (▲, n = 14). ***P ≤ 0.001, *P ≤ 0.05. (B) (Left) Average whole-cell currents recorded from cells transiently coexpressing KCNQ1 Gln244Arg and KCNE3 WT exposed to control solution or to estrogen (right). (Right) Average I-V normalized by membrane capacitance measured from cells coexpressing KCNQ1 Gln244Arg with KCNE3 WT in the absence (▪, n = 13) or presence of estrogen (▲, n = 13). Note that Fig. 6F provides key control data showing that the Q244R mutation in KCNQ1 induces a marked loss of current when KCNE3 is not present. (C) Cartoon representation of the channel, less one voltage sensor, set on the background of the complete channel shown in translucent spheres. KCNE3 WT, shown as a yellow ribbon, forms an energetically favorable complex with KCNQ1 WT (top). Phosphorylated KCNE3 Ser82 reduces the affinity to the WT channel (middle). When the KCNQ1 Gln244Arg mutation is introduced, the binding affinity to phosphorylated KCNE3 Ser82 is restored (bottom).
activation. However, if the transmembrane potential is shifted to supra-hyperpolarizing potentials, the channel closes (28). Therefore, KCNE3 stabilizes the activated state of the voltage sensor but does not lock it in this state at all potentials. It is now thought that a key interaction within the fully activated configuration of the KCNQ1 voltage sensor is ion pairing between Glu160 on the S2 segment and Arg228 of the S4 segment (52–54). This interaction is seen in the activated-state KCNQ1 model developed as part of this work (atomic coordinate file is included with the Supplementary Materials).

The integrative model for the KCNQ1–KCNE3 complex developed in this work (Fig. 8) offers a structurally explicit model for how KCNE3 stabilizes the activated state of the KCNQ1 channel. The extracellular end of the KCNE3 TMD interacts with the cleft between the extracellular end of the S1 domain helix in one subunit and the “turret” (S5/P-loop/helix) of the channel domain of an adjacent subunit (52). Several constitutive gain-of-function mutations that result in atrial fibrillation have previously been localized to this cleft, where it has been proposed that they promote the activated state by stabilizing direct interactions between S1 and the turret (52). Placement of KCNE3 in this cleft suggests that it may resemble such mutations by also stabilizing S1-turret interactions. There is precedence for this type of modulation in other channels by peptide toxins that link separate subunits in the TRPV1 (55) and ASIC1a channels (56). Possibly even more important, this configuration locates an anionic KCNE3 residue, Asp55, in a position where it can favorably interact with the positively charged side chain of Arg228 located at the extracellular end of S4 in the fully activated VSD state (Fig. 8). This interaction has previously been identified on the basis of structure-function electrophysiological data (28) and was invoked as a restraint in the algorithms used to generate this integrative model. The role of this interaction in stabilizing the activated state is very reasonable because the Arg228 side chain will be buried from KCNE3 interaction in both the intermediate-activated and resting (“down”) state VSD conformations.

Within the integrative model, the central segment of the KCNE3 TMD interacts with sites in S1 and S5 from different KCNQ1 monomers, whereas the cytosolic end sits in a cleft that allows it to make contacts with S6 in one subunit, at the end of the S4–S5 linker of a second subunit, and with the beginning of the S4–S5 linker, as well as with S1 and S4 helices from a third subunit (Figs. 8 and 10). The intimate interaction of the C-terminal end of KCNE3 with several elements of the KCNQ1 channel that must undergo large amplitude movement for the channel to return to the resting state—the S6 gate helix, S4–S5 linkers, and S4—seems well suited to help stabilize the fully activated channel state.

Our electrophysiology experiments identified three mutations—His240Arg, Val241Met, and Gln244Arg—in the KCNQ1 S4 helix that converted the KCNE3-modulated KCNQ1 channel to a KCNQ4-like phenotype (Fig. 6). The KCNQ3–KCNQ1 model shows that these S4 residues are surface-accessible and in the KCNQ3 binding cleft (Fig. 10). Previous studies have shown that KCNE3 stabilizes the KCNQ1 S4 helix in an active (“up”) state, leading to constitutive activation at all physiological potentials (28, 50).

In validating the model, we showed that KCNE3 Ser52 is close in space to KCNQ1 Gln244 (Fig. 7). The integrative model predicts a hydrogen bond between KCNE3 Arg83 and KCNQ1 Gln244 as indicated in the bottom right panel of Fig. 10. This feature and alignments of the KCNQ1 and KCNQ4 S4 helices suggest a possible mechanism for the differential modulation by KCNE3 of KCNQ1 and KCNQ4, resulting in either channel activation or inhibition, respectively. Specifically, the residue corresponding to Gln244 in KCNQ4 is Arg220. Residue Arg83 of KCNE3 cannot form a hydrogen bond to this KCNQ4-equivalent site in the Gln244Arg mutant form of KCNQ1. We suggest that the KCNE3 Arg83/KCNQ1 Gln244 hydrogen bond is likely to be replaced by ion pairing of KCNE3 Arg83 with KCNQ4 Asp218 in the KCNQ3–KCNQ4 complex, which would shift the KCNQ4 S4 helix down toward the cytosol by two residues relative to its position in the KCNQ3–KCNQ1 complex. Given the features of α helices, a two-residue shift in an S4 helix would result in a backbone translocation of ~3.0 Å. Coupling this shift with the relatively long length of arginine side chains (~6 to 7 Å), this shift would be close in magnitude to the structural biology–determined movements of the S4 helix between an active (up) state and a resting (down) state of the voltage sensor of a voltage-regulated phosphatase (57). In that work, it was shown that in the VSD resting (down) state, the S4 helix shifts intracellularly (down) by about 5 Å. Therefore, the integrative models of the KCNQ1–KCNE3 complex also suggest a structural mechanism whereby KCNE3 may suppress KCNQ4 conductance by stabilizing the S4 helix in a resting/closed state.

**Fig. 10. Location of key KCNQ1 functional determinants of KCNE3 modulation.** The integrative models of the KCNE3–KCNQ1 complex are useful to visualize the S4 functional determinants of KCNE3 modulation in KCNQ1. The upper left panel highlights His240, Val241, and Gln244 in cyan that are key to KCNE3 modulation. The upper right panel shows the same residues, zoomed in, with both a cartoon and transparent surface representation of the same region of KCNQ1. A surface representation (lower left) of these KCNQ1 residues (cyan) shows that these residues are surface-accessible in the KCN3 binding cleft. The lower right panel shows the predicted hydrogen bond between KCNE3 Arg83 and KCNQ1 Gln244, which suggest a potential mechanism for the distinct KCNE3 modulation of KCNQ1 and KCNQ4.
**Structural basis for modulation of KCNE3-KCNQ1 interactions by estrogen**

The results of this work support a model in which estrogen-stimulated phosphorylation of KCNE3 Ser$^{82}$ results in disruption of its complex with KCNQ1 and reduced channel activity. The negatively charged phosphorylated KCNE3 Ser$^{82}$ has a reduced affinity to the pocket-containing KCNQ1 Gln$^{244}$, which causes dissociation of KCNE3 from KCNQ1 (Fig. 9C). This is structurally reasonable because Ser$^{82}$ occupies a central location on the cytosolic C-terminal end of the KCNE3 TMD, where it interacts with functionally significant segments located on multiple subunits of KCNQ1. We hypothesize that estrogen-induced phosphorylation of KCNE3 resulting in disruption of the KCNE3-KCNQ1 complex is a factor contributing to the gender difference in CF patients, in which the average age of death is significantly lower for females than for males (9–11). A central etiological component of CF is a reduction in normal chloride secretion in airway epithelial cells, the normal functioning of which depends on KCNQ3-KCNQ1 channel function in potassium ion recycling for transepithelial chloride, the normal functioning of which depends on KCNQ3-KCNQ1 channel function in potassium ion recycling for transepithelial chloride (37). When coupled with a deleterious cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator mutation, an estrogen-stimulated reduction in potassium efflux would lead to an exacerbated susceptibility to lethal infection in the affected epithelial tissues.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The channel complex model we present in this work was developed by integrating experimental data, molecular dynamics, homology modeling, and state-of-the-art protein structure predictive modeling. This atomically explicit integrative model provides a structural framework for explaining how KCNE3 exerts its distinctive modulation of KCNQ1 channel function and illuminates how estrogen causes the dissociation of the KCNE3-KCNQ1 channel complex. This modeling approach was validated by the ability to predict interactions between KCNE3 and KCNQ1 at the juxtamembrane regions for both the intracellular and extracellular sides of the membrane. The KCNE3-KCNQ1 model can be used to explain previous experimental and physiological observations at atomic-level detail. In this context, the channel complex model successfully predicted the proximity between KCNE3 Ser$^{82}$ and KCNQ1 Gln$^{244}$, identifying the region of KCNQ1 that plays a role in estrogen modulation (that is, subunit disassociation) of the channel complex. These two results point to a major strength of this type of integrative approach, which is the ability to inspire testable hypotheses while refining and updating the models as additional structural and functional data emerge. This work also highlights the utility of hybrid functional-structural approaches to address vexing structural biological problems. These approaches are likely to be of increasing importance as personal exome/genome sequencing unveils millions of new protein-impacting gene variants of unknown significance, many of which will beg structural and functional illumination for the purposes of precision medical care (58).

**METHODS**

Fully detailed materials and methods are presented in the Supplementary Materials. Summaries are presented as follows.
measure heteronuclear single-quantum coherence (HSQC)–based T1, T2, and 13N-(1H)-NOE parameters on a 15N-labeled KCNE3 sample at 600 MHz (67, 68). KCNE3 backbone amide site accessibility to lipophilic and aqueous probes was determined in a site-directed manner for KCNE3 in bicelles at 800 MHz by comparing parameter-matched pairs of two-dimensional 1H-15N TROSY-HSQC diamagnetic/paramagnetic samples, in which the broadening of amide peaks due to protein site access to either water-soluble (Gd-DTPA) or lipophilic (16-DSA) probes was measured. The access of backbone amide sites of KCNE3 in bicelles to water was probed in a site-specific manner by using 1H-15N NMR to quantitate amide hydrogen-deuterium exchange, which was probed by examining the time-dependent disappearance of TROSY-HSQC peaks following dilution of a fully protonated KCNE3 sample in D2O.

Four-pulse DEER assays to determine the distances between spin labels on opposite ends of the KCNE3 TMD in various model membranes

Double spin-labeled KCNE3 was prepared by chemically conjugating MTS to a double-cysteine mutant form of KCNE3 (Ser57Cys/Ser82Cys) via disulfide bonds using the same spin-labeling procedure as described above for PRE experiments. The protein was then reconstituted into three sets of conditions: bicelles (same as NMR conditions), 5% LMPG micelles, and POPC/POPG vesicles (3:1 POPC/POPG). Four-pulse DEER experiments were performed using an X-Band (9.5 GHz) Bruker spectrometer (ELEXSYS E580) equipped with a Bruker split ring resonator (ER 4118X-MD5) at 80 K using an Oxford CF935 cryostat coupled with an Oxford ITC4 temperature controller. A standard four-pulse sequence was used with a 32-ns π pulse and a 16-ns π/2 pulse (69). All DEER data were analyzed to determine interprobe distances using GLADD (70).

Expression of KCNE3 and KCNQ channels in mammalian cells

The complementary DNAs for KCNQ1-KCNQ4 TMD chimeras were generated by megaprimer polymerase chain reaction of whole plasmids (MEGAWHOP). Chinese hamster ovary (CHO-K1) cells were transiently transfected with plasmids encoding WT or mutant human KCNE3 (pIRE2-DSRed-MST) and either full-length human KCNQ1 (WT or mutant), KCNQ4, or a KCNQ1-KCNQ4 chimera (pIRE2-EGFP) (37, 71, 72). After transfection, cells were incubated for 48 hours before use in electrophysiological or biochemical experiments.

Analysis of KCNQ1 protein in CHO-K1 cells

Biochemical experiments to analyze KCNQ1 protein at the plasma membrane, including the preparation of cellular lysates, immunoprecipitation, and Western blotting, were completed as previously described (71, 73). Cell surface membrane proteins were biotinylated and then solubilized with detergent. Biotinylated proteins were then pulled down with streptavidin and probed using an anti-KCNQ1 antibody (compare with fig. S19).

Electrophysiological analysis of KCNQ channel function

Whole-cell currents were recorded at room temperature using Axopatch 200B amplifiers (Molecular Devices Corp.) in the whole-cell configuration of the patch-clamp technique (74). Pulse generation was conducted with ClampEx 8.0 (Molecular Devices Corp.), and currents were filtered at 1 kHz and acquired at 5 kHz. Whole-cell currents were not leak-subtracted. Whole-cell currents were measured from −80 to +60 mV (in 10-mV increments) 1.99 s after the start of the voltage pulse from a holding potential of −80 mV.

Data were collected for each experimental condition from at least three transient transfections and analyzed and plotted using a combination of Clampfit (Molecular Devices) and SigmaPlot 2000 (Systat Software). Statistical analyses were carried out using SigmaStat 2.03. Whole-cell currents were normalized for membrane capacitance, and results are expressed as means ± SEM.

Homology/Rosetta modeling of KCNQ1

A model for the open-state structure of the human KCNQ1 channel TMD (S0 to S6, residues 100 to 370) was developed using a combination of homology modeling based on the template provided by the Kv1.2/2.1 chimera crystal structure [PDB 2R9R (66)] combined with Rosetta modeling to define parts of the protein that homology modeling does not directly illuminate. An ensemble of structures deemed to be energetically reasonable based on the Rosetta scoring function was the output. The lowest-energy structure from the final ensemble of structures was chosen as the “representative structure” used in this study. The overall MolProbity score is 1.18 (upper 99th percentile).

Docking of KCNE3 to KCNQ1

The transmembrane spanning segment of the 10 lowest-energy NMR-derived KCNE3 structures was placed near the transmembrane region of the 23 representative homology models of KCNQ1. Each of the 230 starting complexes was docked 100 times. The resulting models were filtered on the basis of a sum of experimental distance-restraint violations squared. The 1000 best-scoring structures were then used to reseed a subsequent iteration of docking to generate additional 25,000 model complexes. The docking-reseeding steps were iterated until the change in interface energy from iteration to iteration converged to less than 5% (fig. S13). The Rosetta scores of the interface between KCNQ1 and KCNE3 were used to evaluate model complexes. Once the convergence criterion was met, flexible extramembrane regions of KCNE3 and KCNQ1 were rebuilt, refined, and energy-minimized, with restraints applied, within the LoopRebuild module of Rosetta. Representative structures were chosen by clustering the 1000 best-scoring, loop-rebuilt/relaxed structures, followed by selection of the lowest-energy member from each family cluster with more than 20 members.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/2/9/e1501228/DC1

Supplementary Materials and Methods

fig. S1. Integrative structural biology to generate experimentally restrained structural models of the KCNE3-KCNQ1 channel complex.

fig. S2. Chemical shift index analysis for KCNE3 in bicelles.

fig. S3. RDC NMR data for KCNE3 in bicelles.

fig. S4. Dipolar wave analysis of bicellar KCNE3 1H-15N RDCs.

fig. S5. Examples of PRE NMR data for KCNE3 in bicelles.

fig. S6. 15N NMR relaxation measurements for KCNE3 in bicelles.

fig. S7. Representative structures of KCNE3 amphipathic and transmembrane helices from AMBER restrained molecular dynamics (MD) simulations.

fig. S8. Water access to the TMD of KCNE3.

fig. S9. Sequence conservation between KCNQ1 and KCNQ4.

fig. S10. Homology modeling of the open state of KCNQ1.

fig. S11. Homology/Rosetta modeling of the KCNQ1 channel open state.

fig. S12. Flowchart displaying the process used to dock KCNE3 to open-state KCNQ1.

fig. S13. Calculated binding energies (ddG) versus interface root mean squared deviation (rmsd) of interface x-carbon positions compared to the lowest-scoring KCNE3-KCNQ1 (open) complex.
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