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Abstract
Fungal endocarditis is a rare and fatal condition. The Candida and Aspergillus species are the two most common etiologic fungi found responsible for fungal endocarditis. Fever and changing heart murmur are the most common clinical manifestations. Some patients may have a fever of unknown origin as the onset symptom. The diagnosis of fungal endocarditis is challenging, and diagnosis of prosthetic valve fungal endocarditis is extremely difficult. The optimum antifungal therapy still remains debatable. Treating Candida endocarditis can be difficult because the Candida species can form biofilms on native and prosthetic heart valves. Combined treatment appears superior to monotherapy. Combination of antifungal therapy and surgical debridement might bring about better prognosis.
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INTRODUCTION
Fungal endocarditis (FE) remains the most serious form of infective endocarditis, with a high mortality rate of about 50%[1,2]. It is fatal, usually being diagnosed postmortem[3]. It is highly challenging to identify the source, to establish a diagnosis, and to carry out the treatment[4]. The etiologic fungi more commonly seen are the Candida and Aspergillus species. They can be isolated from surgically removed emboli, resected valves, or infected foreign bodies[4]. Candida albicans is responsible for 24-46% of all the cases of FE and for 3.4% of all the cases of prosthetic valve endocarditis, with a mortality rate of 46.6-50%. After Candida, the Aspergillus species are the second most frequent pathogens of fungal infection, accounting for approximately 25% of all FE cases in cardiac valve prostheses and the great vessels[4]. With increasing age, the incidence of Candida FE decreases whereas the incidence of Aspergillus FE increases[5]. The affected cardiac sites in neonates significantly differ from those of adults (mitral or aortic valve) with the right atrium being predominant in 63% of neonates[6].

RISK FACTORS
Previous surgery and intravenous drug use were once reported to be the most frequent risk factors for development of FE. Other risk factors included parenteral nutrition, immunosuppression, underlying cardiac abnormalities, prosthetic heart valves, indwelling central venous catheters, prolonged use of broad-spectrum antibiotics, and cardiovascular surgery. Evolving myelodysplastic syndrome, use of steroid and cytotoxic drugs, and bone marrow transplantation with a high dose of immunosuppressive therapy are the major predisposing risk factors[7]. Multifactorial risk factors in a single patient might be more likely to cause FE, and coinfections of fungi and bacterium could be a refractory condition[8].

CLINICAL PRESENTATIONS
Fever was present in all the patients and changing heart murmur was recorded in some of them. Some patients presented with fever of unknown origin and surgical procedures were...
et al.\[1\] reported that polymerase chain reaction was positive in all 3-fold more sensitive than Gram staining and culture \[17\]. Badiee \textit{fumigatus}. The combination of caspofungin with either AMB or \textit{Aspergillus} biosynthesis may result in synergistic interaction against Simultaneous inhibition of fungal cell-wall and cell-membrane tissue samples and in 10/11 blood samples\[1\]. Blood cultures of cell count could be slightly increased to between 4,800 and 12,300 cells/mL\[1\]. Blood cultures of \textit{Aspergillus} negative in over 50% of patients with \textit{Aspergillus} endocarditis\[13\].

**DIAGNOSIS**

The diagnosis of FE is challenging. Diagnosis of prosthetic valve FE is extremely difficult because its clinical manifestations are similar to bacterial endocarditis. In a review of 91 patients with \textit{Candida} endocarditis, 77% of them were diagnosed after autopsy\[18\]. Shokohi et al.\[14\] reported that prosthetic valve FE occurred three years after surgery as a late consequence. Ellis et al.\[15\] reported that the sensitivity of transthoracic and transesophageal echocardiography techniques specifically focused on FE reached 77%. Transthoracic echocardiography provides valuable information for the diagnosis of \textit{Aspergillus} endocarditis, identifying vegetations for 89% and 77% of native and prosthetic valve endocarditis, respectively\[2\]. A histopathological examination of the vegetation tissue demonstrated a large fungal mass widely distributed around the site of vegetation without obvious inflammatory cell infiltration, which appeared to correspond to FE\[16\]. Accurate molecular methods were available for the diagnosis of many infections, which were as much as 3-fold more sensitive than Gram staining and culture\[17\]. Badlee et al.\[1] reported that polymerase chain reaction was positive in all tissue samples and in 10/11 blood samples\[1\].

**TREATMENT**

The optimum antifungal therapy still remains debatable. Treating \textit{Candida} endocarditis can be difficult because \textit{Candida} species can form biofilms on native and prosthetic heart valves that can lead to poor antifungal activity of those agents. Voriconazole is active against a wide spectrum of clinically important fungi, including \textit{Candida}, \textit{Aspergillus} and \textit{Fusarium}. Amphotericin B (AMB) has been used in the management of \textit{Aspergillus} endocarditis. AMB is less toxic than the conventional amphotericin and it can be administered at higher doses, especially indicated for a patient who has impaired renal function or who develops nephrotoxicity while receiving classic amphotericin\[22\], AMB alone cannot effectively penetrate and cure vegetations associated with FE\[18\]. Itraconazole and caspofungin are effective for the treatment of refractory \textit{Aspergillus} infection. Echinocandins have low toxicity and limited drug interactions compared to other antifungal drugs. It is as effective as AMB in non-neutropenic patients with \textit{Aspergillus} infection\[7\].

Combined treatment appears to be superior to monotherapy. Simultaneous inhibition of fungal cell-wall and cell-membrane biosynthesis may result in synergistic interaction against \textit{Aspergillus fumigatus}. The combination of caspofungin with either AMB or voriconazole may exert a synergistic effect\[19\]. The combination of voriconazole with caspofungin can be another alternative approach for FE\[7\]. Furthermore, the addition of flucytosine, or 5-fluorocytosine, synergistically improves the antifungal efficacy of AMB\[20\]. Miconafungin in combination with ravuconazole against experimental invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in persistently neutropenic rabbits led to significant reductions in mortality, residual fungal burden and serum galactomannan antigenemia\[21\]. The resistance occurs through multiple mechanisms, including decreasing the content of ergosterol in the cell membrane\[22\]. The Infectious Diseases Society of America 2009 Candidiasis Guidelines recommended a combined medical and surgical approach for treatment of \textit{Candida} endocarditis\[23\]. Current endocarditis guidelines recommend initial or induction therapy with AMB with or without flucytosine combined with surgical removal of vegetation, followed by chronic suppressive therapy with oral fluconazole\[22\].

Indications of surgical intervention are the risk of disseminated infected emboli, increased mobility of the mass, progressive enlargement of mass while on treatment and the hemodynamic instability of the neonate\[24-26\], congestive heart failure, valve dehiscence, perivalvular abscess, and declined surgery\[22\]. Prolonged broad spectrum antibiotic therapy is necessary\[27\]. Concerning the 100% mortality rate among those who receive medical treatment alone, an early and aggressive surgical approach is recommended before the onset of valvular destruction, fatal embolic attacks, or chordae rupture causing acute mitral valve insufficiency\[28\]. Successful treatment of \textit{Aspergillus} endocarditis requires the combination of antifungal therapy and surgical debridement\[29\]. There is conflicting data on outcomes associated with the combined medical and surgical approach versus medical therapy alone\[10\]. According to the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) guidelines for the diagnosis and management of \textit{Candida} diseases, adult patients with native valve \textit{Candida} endocarditis should undergo surgical treatment within one week combined with antifungal treatment consisting of liposomal AMB or caspofungin for 6-8 weeks, with or without additional flucytosine, followed by fluconazole\[31\].

**OUTCOMES**

Early detection and prompt initiation of appropriate treatment could reduce mortality from FE\[31\]. The early experiences of management protocol and the outcomes of FE summarized by Seelig et al.\[32\] showed a negative correlation between the severity of FE and the patients’ prognoses (Figure 1). \textit{Aspergillus} endocarditis is more commonly associated with embolic phenomena than bacterial endocarditis. The organs most frequently involved are the brain, kidneys, spleen and lungs. Myocardial infarction due to \textit{Aspergillus} embolism often complicates the differential diagnosis of common myocardial infarction\[31\]. The use of recombinant tissue plasminogen activator is based on the standpoint that FE vegetations represent a complex and heterogenic mass, consisting not only of colonizing fungus but also of platelets and fibrin\[33\]. After surgical debridement and antifungal treatment with AMB or voriconazole, the 12-month survival rate was 82%\[1\]. Kalokhe et al.\[29\] conducted a literature review including 53 case reports of \textit{Aspergillus} endocarditis and
found that only 4% of these cases were treated successfully with antifungal therapy alone. Even with surgical therapy, the survival rate was 32%. This poor outcome might be in part due to the immunocompromised status of the host, delayed diagnosis, and rapidity of embolization\[28\]. The recurrence rate was very high, with fatal prognosis. An early diagnosis using various diagnostic procedures and early therapy became very important in immunosuppressed patients. For this reason, empirical use of AMB should be initiated if an immunosuppressed patient has a persistent fever and antibiotics are ineffective\[34\].

**CONCLUSION**

FE is rare, but fatal. The diagnosis and management are challenging. Molecular methods are the most sensitive way of diagnosing the pathogens. In high-risk patients presenting prolonged fever, empiric antifungal therapies are necessary and should be given with sufficient term and dose. Combined treatment shows superior results to monotherapy. Combination of antifungal therapy and surgical debridement is the preferred treatment of choice in selected patients.

**Fig.1 - Management protocol for fungal endocarditis based on the data summarized by Seelig et al.\[32\].**

FE=fungal endocarditis; MIC=minimum inhibitory concentration; pt=patients
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