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The key purpose of this paper is to work on the boundedness of generalized Bessel–Riesz operators defined with doubling measures in Lebesgue spaces with different measures. Relating Bessel decaying the kernel of the operators is satisfying some elementary properties. Doubling measure, Young’s inequality, and Minkowski’s inequality will be used in proofs of boundedness of integral operators. In addition, we also explore the relation between the parameters of the kernel and generalized integral operators and see the norm of these generalized operators which will also be bounded by the norm of their kernel with different measures.

1. Introduction

Suppose we are given \( K_\alpha : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty) \), with \( K_\alpha (t) = t^{-n+\alpha}, 0 < \alpha < n \). Fractional integral operator, one of integral operators, is often studied since early last century. This operator is defined by

\[
T_\alpha f (x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_\alpha (|x - y|) f(y) \, dy,
\]

for every \( f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n) \), with \( 1 \leq p < \infty \). Here, \( K_\alpha \) is called fractional integral kernel or Riesz kernel [1].

Studies about Riesz potentials \( T_\alpha \) were started since 1920’s. Hardy-Littlewood [2,3] proved the boundedness of Riesz potentials on Lebesgue spaces for \( n = 1 \). After 50’s, Hardy-Littlewood and Sobolev [4] proved the boundedness of \( T_\alpha \) for \( n \in N \). [5] (see also D. Edmunds [6], Chapter 6) Kokilashvili had a complete description of nondoubling measure \( \mu \) guaranteeing the boundedness of fractional integral operator \( T_\alpha \) from \( L^p (\mu, X) \) to \( L^q (\mu, X) \), \( 1 < p < q < 1 \). We notice that this result was derived in [7] for potentials on Euclidean spaces. In [4], theorems of Sobolev and Adams type for fractional integrals defined on quasimetric measure spaces were established.

Some two-weight norm inequalities for fractional operators on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) with nondoubling measure were studied in [8].

The boundedness of the Riesz potential in Lebesgue and Morrey spaces defined on Euclidean spaces was studied in Peter and Adams’s paper [9,10]. The same problem for fractional integrals on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) with nondoubling measure was investigated by Sawano in [11]. Eridani ([12], Theorem 4, Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.3) established the boundedness of fractional integral operators and mention the necessary and sufficient conditions for the boundedness of maximal operators.

Since 1930s, some researchers [2,3] have studied the boundedness of \( T_\alpha \) on some function spaces.

**Theorem 1** (Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev) (see [4]). If \( f \in L^p (\mathbb{R}^n) \), with \( 1 < p < n/\alpha \), then there exists \( C_{p,q} > 0 \) such that

\[
\left\| T_\alpha f \right\|_{L^q (\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{p,q} \left\| f \right\|_{L^p (\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}
\]

From now on, \( C, C_{p,q} > 0 \) will be serve as a positive constant, not necessarily the same one.

The purpose of this paper is to work on the boundedness of generalized Bessel–Riesz operators defined in Lebesgue spaces with different measures. Role of Young’s inequality and Minkowski’s inequality will be used in proofs of boundedness of integral operators.
Here, we define

$$\| f : L^p \| := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

and $f \in L^p = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, as a collection of $f$ such that $\| f : L^p \| < \infty$. Next, for a given $K_{a,y}$: $(0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, with

$$K_{a,y}(t) = \frac{\alpha - n}{1 + t}, \quad 0 < a < n, 0 \leq y.$$

We define Bessel-Riesz operator as

$$T_a f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_{a,y}(x - y)f(y)dy,$$ (5)

For every $f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $1 \leq p < \infty$. Here, $K_{a,y}$ is called Bessel–Riesz kernel.

The origin of Bessel–Riesz operators is Schrödinger equation. The Schrödinger equation is a linear partial differential equation that describes the wave function or state of a quantum-mechanical system. In quantum mechanics, the analogue of Newton’s law is Schrödinger’s equation. In 1999, Kazuhiro Kurata, Seiichi Nishigaki, and Satoko Sugano [13] studied boundedness of integral operators on Lebesgue and generalized Morrey spaces and its application to estimate in Morrey spaces for the Schrödinger operator $L_2 = -\Delta + V(x) + W(x)$ with nonnegative $V \in (RH)_{\infty}$ (reverse Hölder class) and small perturbed potentials $W$.

In a recent previous year 2016, Idris et al. [14], Theorem 6, pp. 3 presented the boundedness of Bessel–Riesz operators. They obtained results that were similar to Chiaran–Frasca’s result [15] for the boundedness of fractional integral operators.

Eridani et al. [12] presented the boundedness of fractional integral operators defined on quasimetric measure spaces. Moreover, Idris et al. [14] have investigated the boundedness of generalized Morrey spaces with weight and presented the boundedness of these operators on Lebesgue spaces and Morrey spaces for Euclidean spaces.

Since Euclidean spaces are the simplest example of measure metric spaces. Kurata et al. [16] have investigated the boundedness of Bessel–Riesz operators on generalized Morrey spaces with weight. The boundedness of these operators on Lebesgue spaces in Euclidean settings will be proved using Young’s inequality and Minkowski’s inequality.

Moreover, we will also find the norm of the generalized Bessel–Riesz operators bounded by the norm of the kernels. Saba et al. [17] used Young’s inequality, to prove the boundedness of Bessel–Riesz operators on Lebesgue spaces in metric measure spaces, which are easy consequences of Young’s inequality. The second consequence after the fact Bessel–Riesz operator is bounded on Lebesgue spaces; we entered to next phenomena of Morrey spaces. In Young’s inequality, we have the best constant known as 1. But at this point, we still have no information about the best constant in Morrey spaces. So in this paper, we move towards generalized Bessel–Riesz operators in Lebesgue spaces.

We will also mention the case when the measure satisfies the doubling condition. The derived conditions are simultaneously necessary and sufficient for appropriate inequalities that were derived in [18,19]. We also have the following result [14] about the boundedness of such an operator as follows:

**Theorem 2** (Idris–Gunawan–Lindiarni–Eridani). If $f \in L^p$ and $K_{a,y} \in L^q$, then there exists $C_{p,q,s} > 0$ such that

$$\| T_{a,y} f : L^p \| \leq C_{p,q,s} \| f : L^q \| : \| f : L^p \|, \quad \frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - 1.$$ (6)

For some functions $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$(f \ast g)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x-y)f(y)dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$ (7)

We know that $f \ast g$ is a generalization of $T_a$ and $T_{a,y}$. Moreover, the above result is a particular case of the following [20].

**Theorem 3** (Young’s inequality). If $f \in L^p$ and $g \in L^q$, then there exists $C_{p,q,s} > 0$ such that

$$\| f \ast g : L^s \| \leq C_{p,q,s} \| g : L^p \| : \| f : L^q \|, \quad \frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - 1.$$ (8)

Kurata et al. [16] have shown that $W \cdot T_{a,y}$ is bounded on generalized Morrey spaces where $W$ is any real functions. For applications of the above operators in Euclidean spaces setting, see [16].

2. The Kernel

For $1 \leq s < \infty, 0 < y < \infty,$ and $\mathbb{R}^+ := (0, \infty)$, we define functions $\rho : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, with the following conditions:

$$(\rho I)_1, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{a}{b} \leq 2 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{C_1} \leq \frac{\rho(a)}{\rho(b)} \leq C_1,$$

$$(\rho II), \quad \int_0^r \rho(t)^\gamma dt + \int_0^\infty \rho(t)^\delta dt < \infty, \quad r > 0;$$ (9)

$$(\rho III), \quad \int_0^1 \rho(t)^\gamma dt + \int_1^\infty \rho(t)^\delta dt \leq C_2 < \infty.$$ (10)

From $\rho I$ we will have

$$\int_r^{2r} \frac{\rho(t)}{t} dt \sim \rho(r)$$ (10)

That is, there exists $0 < c_1 \leq c_2$ such that

$$c_1 \rho(r) \leq \int_r^{2r} \frac{\rho(t)}{t} dt \leq c_2 \rho(r), \quad r > 0.$$ (11)

Since
\[
\frac{1}{r^\eta} \int_0^1 \frac{\rho(t)^s}{t} \, dt \geq \int_0^{r^{\eta}} \frac{\rho(t)^s}{t} \, dt, \quad 0 < r < 1, \\
\int_r^\infty \frac{\rho(t)^s}{t} \, dt \leq \frac{1}{r^\eta} \int_r^{\infty} \frac{\rho(t)^s}{t} \, dt, \quad 1 < r < \infty,
\]
(12)

then (ρII) and (ρIII) are equivalent, with the following explanations.

It is easy to see that (ρII)⇒(ρIII). Suppose (ρIII) is true. Then, for 0 < r < 1, we have

\[
\int_0^r \frac{\rho(t)^s}{t} \, dt \leq \int_0^1 \frac{\rho(t)^s}{t} \, dt < \infty,
\]
and we already prove that both of the conditions are equivalent.

We define

\[
K_{\rho, \eta}(|x|) = \frac{\rho(|x|)}{|x|^\eta [1 + |x|]^\eta}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.
\]
(15)

Using (ρII) with 1 ≤ s < \infty, and any R > 0, then

\[
\int_{|x| \leq R} \frac{\rho(|x|)^s}{|x|^\eta [1 + |x|]^\eta} \, dx
\]
\[
= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{2kR \leq |x| < 2k+1R} \frac{\rho(|x|)^s}{|x|^\eta [1 + |x|]^\eta} \, dx
\]
\[
\sim \sum_{k = -\infty}^{-1} \frac{\rho(2^k R)^s}{(2^k R)^n [1 + 2^k R]^\eta} + \sum_{k = 0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(2^k R)^s}{(2^k R)^n [1 + 2^k R]^\eta}
\]
\[
\leq \sum_{k = -\infty}^{-1} \frac{\rho(2^k R)^s}{(2^k R)^n [1 + 2^k R]^\eta} + \sum_{k = 0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(2^k R)^s}{(2^k R)^n [1 + 2^k R]^\eta}
\]
\[
= \int_0^R \rho(t)^s \, dt + \int_R^{\infty} \rho(t)^s \, dt < \infty.
\]
(16)

By (ρII), then \(K_{\rho, \eta} \in L^s\) for 1 ≤ s < \infty.

If \(k \in \{-1, -2, -3, \ldots\}\), then 0 < 2^k < 1 and \([1 + 2^k]^\eta < 2^\eta\). On the other hand, we can also have for \(k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}\), then 1 ≤ 2^k, and 2^k < 1 + 2^k < 2^{k+1}.

Finally, we will have
\[
\int_0^1 \frac{\rho(t)}{t^{n(s-1)\gamma}} \, dt + \int_1^\infty \frac{\rho(t)}{t^{(s-1)\gamma}} \, dt
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} \frac{\rho(t)}{t^{(s-1)\gamma}} \, dt + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} \frac{\rho(t)}{t^{(s-1)\gamma}} \, dt
\]

\[
\sim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \rho(2^k) \frac{2^k}{(2^k)^{s(1+\gamma)} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho(2^k) \frac{2^k}{(2^k)^{s(1+\gamma)}}}
\]

\[
\leq C \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \rho(2^k) \frac{2^k}{(2^k)^{s(1+\gamma)} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho(2^k) \frac{2^k}{(2^k)^{s(1+\gamma)}}} \right)
\]

\[
= C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho(|x|) \frac{1}{|1+|x||^{s \gamma}} \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| K_{r,y} (|x|) \right|^{s \gamma} \, dx.
\]

After the above estimates, we conclude.

**Lemma 1.** For \(1 \leq s < \infty\), we will have

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| K_{r,y} (|x|) \right|^{s \gamma} \, dx \sim \int_0^1 \frac{\rho(t)}{t^{(s-1)\gamma}} \, dt + \int_1^\infty \frac{\rho(t)}{t^{(s-1)\gamma}} \, dt.
\]  

(18)

With the same technique as used to estimate the kernel defined by equation (15) or every \(y \in \mathbb{R}^n\), we also have

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| K_{r,y} (|x-y|) \right|^{s \gamma} \, dx \sim \int_0^1 \frac{\rho(t)}{t^{(s-1)\gamma}} \, dt + \int_1^\infty \frac{\rho(t)}{t^{(s-1)\gamma}} \, dt.
\]  

(19)

As a classical example, we can consider the following:

\[
\int_{|x| \leq R} \frac{\rho(|x|)}{|1+|x||^{s \gamma}} \, d\mu(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \int_{2^k R}^{2^{k+1} R} \frac{\rho(|x|)}{|1+|x||^{s \gamma}} \, d\mu(x)
\]

\[
\leq C \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \rho(2^k R) \frac{2^k R}{(2^k R)^{s(1+\gamma)} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho(2^k R) \frac{2^k R}{(2^k R)^{s(1+\gamma)}}} \leq C \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \rho(2^k R) \frac{2^k R}{(2^k R)^{s(1+\gamma)}}
\]

\[
\leq C \int_{2^k R}^{2^{k+1} R} \frac{\rho(t)}{t^{(s-1)\gamma}} \, dt = C \int_0^R \frac{\rho(t)}{t^{(s-1)\gamma}} \, dt,
\]

and also

\[
\rho(t) = t^{(\alpha + 1)\gamma}, \quad 1 \leq \frac{\alpha + 1}{\gamma} < s < \infty.
\]

(20)

If we consider

\[
T_{r,y} f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_{r,y} (|x-y|) f(y) \, dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,
\]

then as a consequence of Young’s inequality, we also have the following.

**Lemma 2.** If \(1/q = 1/p + 1/s - 1\) and \(f \in L^p\), then there exists \(C > 0\) such that

\[
\| T_{r,y} f \| L^q \leq C \| K_{r,y} \| L^p \cdot \| f \| L^q, \quad 1 < p, q, r < \infty.
\]  

(22)

From the above lemma, there exists \(C > 0\) such that

\[
\| T_{r,y} f \| L^q \leq C \| K_{r,y} \| L^p \cdot \| f \| L^q \| T_{r,y} f \| L^p \leq C \| K_{r,y} \| L^p \cdot \| f \| L^q \quad 1 < p < \infty.
\]  

(23)

Suppose \(\mu\) is an arbitrary measure on \(\mathbb{R}^n\). We define \(\mu \in (GC)\) (growth condition), if and only if there exists \(C_2 > 0\) such that

\[
\mu(B(a,R)) \leq C_2 R^n,
\]

(24)

for every open balls \(B(a,R) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - a| < R\}\) in \(\mathbb{R}^n\). For more information about this kind of measure, see [21].

Based on the above definitions, we try to estimate

\[
\| K_{r,y} \| L^q(\mu) := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| K_{r,y} (|x|) \right|^{s \gamma} \, d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{s \gamma}}, \quad 1 \leq s < \infty.
\]  

(25)

For \(1 \leq s < \infty\), and \(R > 0\), we consider the following:
where letter $C > 0$ shall always denote a constant, not necessarily the same one.

At this point, for $1 \leq s < \infty$, and $\mu \in (GC)$, we define

$$\|K_{\rho, \gamma}: L^s(\mu)\| = \sup_{R \geq 0} \left( \int_{R^s} \frac{\rho(t)^s}{t^{s(1-1/n)+1}} dt + \int_{R^s} \frac{\rho(t)^s}{t^{s(1-1/n)+1}} dt \right)^{1/s}. \quad (28)$$

### 3. Main Results

For any measure $\nu$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, any measurable functions $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define

$$T_{\rho, \gamma} f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_{\rho, \gamma}(|x-y|) f(y) d\nu(y). \quad (29)$$

Before we state our main results, about the boundedness of $T_{\rho, \gamma}$, we consider the following simple result [13].

**Lemma 3 (Minkowski’s inequality).** Suppose $1 \leq p < \infty$, and we are given $F: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. For any measure $\nu$ and $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |T_{\rho, \gamma} f(x)|^p d\mu(x))^{1/p} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_{\rho, \gamma}(|x-y|) f(y) d\nu(y) \right|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K_{\rho, \gamma}(|x-y|) f(y) d\nu(y) |^p d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p} d\nu(y) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K_{\rho, \gamma}(|x-y|)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p} |f(y)| d\nu(y) \leq C \|K_{\rho, \gamma}: L^p(\mu)\| \cdot \|f: L^1(\nu)\|. \quad (32)$$

As a corollary of the above result, we also have

**Corollary 1.** Suppose $\mu \in (GC)$ and $\nu$ is any measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

If $f \in L^1(\nu)$ and $K_{\rho, \gamma} \in L^1(\mu)$, then there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|T_{\rho, \gamma} f: L^1(\mu)\| \leq C \|K_{\rho, \gamma}: L^1(\mu)\| \cdot \|f: L^1(\nu)\|. \quad (33)$$

Suppose we have the

$$\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - 1, \text{ or } 1 = \frac{1}{s} + 1 - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}, \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}. \quad (34)$$

It is noted that

$$p = q \left( 1 - \frac{p}{s} \right), \quad q = p \left( 1 - \frac{q}{s} \right). \quad (35)$$

After the above simple calculations, we have

**Theorem 5.** Suppose $\mu \in (GC)$ and $\nu$ any measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that
\[ v(B) \leq C^* \mu(B), \]  

for some \( C^* > 0 \) and for every open balls \( B \) on \( \mathbb{R}^n \).

If \( f \in L^p(v) \) and \( K_{\rho^2} \in L^q(\mu) \), then there exists \( C > 0 \) such that

\[ \|T_{\rho,y} f\|_{L^q(\mu)} \leq C \|K_{\rho^2} f\|_{L^q(\mu)} \cdot \|f\|_{L^p(v)} \cdot \frac{1}{q'} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - 1. \]  

**Proof.** With Hölder’s inequality, we start with the following:

\[
|T_{\rho,y} f(x)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)|^{p/(p-q)} |K_{\rho^2} f(x-y)|^{q/(p-q)} \, dv(y)
\]

\[
\leq \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)|^p \, dv(y) \right]^{1/p} \cdot \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K_{\rho^2} f(x-y)|^q \, dv(y) \right]^{1/q}
\]

\[
\times \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K_{\rho^2} f(x-y)|^{q/(1-p/q)} \, dv(y) \right]^{1/(1-p/q)}
\]

\[
= \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K_{\rho^2} f(x-y)|^q \, dv(y) \right]^{1/q}
\]

\[
\times \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K_{\rho^2} f(x-y)|^{p/q} \, dv(y) \right]^{1/p'}
\]

\[
\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} K_{\rho^2}(2^k R)^q \, v(B(x, 2^{k+1} R))
\]

\[
\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho(2^k R)^q \cdot \int_{R^{(q-1)n+1}} dt
\]

\[
\leq C \|K_{\rho^2} f\|_{L^q(\mu)} \cdot \|f\|_{L^p(v)} \cdot \|T_{\rho,y} f(x)\|.
\]

Up to now, for every \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \), we already have

\[
\int_{|x-y| \geq R} \rho(2^k R)^q \, v(B(x, 2^{k+1} R))
\]

\[
\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho(2^k R)^q \cdot \int_{R^{(q-1)n+1}} dt
\]

\[
\leq C \|K_{\rho^2} f\|_{L^q(\mu)} \cdot \|f\|_{L^p(v)} \cdot \|T_{\rho,y} f(x)\|.
\]

By the previous fact, we know that

\[
\|T_{\rho,y} f\|_{L^q(\mu)} \leq C_i \|K_{\rho^2} f\|_{L^q(\mu)} \cdot \|f\|_{L^p(v)} \cdot \|T_{\rho,y} f(x)\|.
\]

and after this, we come to

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |T_{\rho,y} f(x)| \, d\mu(x) \leq C_i \|K_{\rho^2} f\|_{L^q(\mu)} \cdot \|f\|_{L^p(v)} \cdot \|T_{\rho,y} f(x)\|
\]

\[
= C_i \|K_{\rho^2} f\|_{L^q(\mu)} \cdot \|f\|_{L^p(v)}.
\]

And finally,
\[ \| T_{\rho \gamma} f : L^s (\mu) \| \leq C \| K_{\rho \gamma} : L^q (\mu) \|^{q/p} \]
\[ \cdot \| f : L^p (\nu) \|^{|q/p|} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \| T_{\rho \gamma} f (x) \| d\mu (x) \]
\[ \leq C \| K_{\rho \gamma} : L^q (\mu) \|^{q/p} \cdot \| f : L^p (\nu) \|^{|q/p|} . \]

(47)

Since \( q + qs/p' = s = p + sp/q' \), then we are done.

As a corollary, we also have:

Corollary 2. Suppose \( \mu \in (GC) \) and \( \nu \) any measure on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) such that

\[ \nu (B) \leq C^* \mu (B), \]

for some \( C^* > 0 \) and for every open balls \( B \) on \( \mathbb{R}^n \).

If \( f \in L^1 (\nu) \) and \( K_{\rho \gamma} \in L^1 (\mu) \), then there exists \( C > 0 \) such that

\[ \| T_{\rho \gamma} f : L^s (\mu) \| \leq C \| K_{\rho \gamma} : L^q (\mu) \| \cdot \| f : L^p (\nu) \|, \quad 1 \leq s < \infty. \]

(49)

4. Pointwise Multiplier Operators

Suppose \( f \in L^p (\mu) \) and \( g \in L^q (\mu) \), with \( 1 < p < \infty \) and \( 1 = 1/p + 1/p' \). Then, by Hölder inequality, we will have

\[ \| f \cdot g : L^1 (\mu) \| \leq \| f : L^p (\mu) \| \cdot \| g : L^q (\mu) \|. \]

Next, we consider a pointwise multipliers operator \( W \) by

\[ W : f \mapsto W \cdot f, \text{ with } [W \cdot f] (x) = W (x) \cdot f (x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \]

(50)

So, from Hölder inequality, if \( W \in L^{p'/p} (\mu) \), then \( W \) is a bounded operators from \( L^p (\mu) \) to \( L^1 (\mu) \), with

\[ \| W \cdot f : L^1 (\mu) \| \leq \| W : L^{p'/p} (\mu) \| \cdot \| f : L^p (\mu) \|, \quad 1 < p < \infty. \]

Another example is the following equation. Suppose, we consider a fractional integral operators \( T_{\alpha} \), and we define

\[ W \cdot T_{\alpha} : f \mapsto W \cdot T_{\alpha} f, \text{ with } [W \cdot T_{\alpha} f] (x) \]

\[ = W (x) \cdot T_{\alpha} f (x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \]

(51)

Again, since \( 1 < p < n/\alpha \) and \( 1/q + \alpha/n = 1/p \), in view of Hölder inequality, then

\[ \| W \cdot T_{\alpha} f : L^p \| \leq \| W : L^{n/\alpha} \| \cdot \| T_{\alpha} f : L^p \| \]

\[ \leq C \| W : L^{n/\alpha} \| \cdot \| f : L^p \|. \]

(52)

So, if \( W \in L^{n/\alpha} \), then \( W \cdot T_{\alpha} : L^p \longrightarrow L^p \) is a bounded operator.

From our main results, we also have:

Corollary 3. Suppose \( \mu \in (GC) \) and \( \nu \) is any measure on \( \mathbb{R}^n \).

If \( f \in L^1 (\nu) \), \( W \in L^{1'} (\mu) \), and \( K_{\rho \gamma} \in L^1 (\mu) \), then

\[ W \cdot T_{\rho \gamma} : L^1 (\nu) \longrightarrow L^1 (\mu), \]

is a bounded operator. That is, for every \( s \in [1, \infty) \), there exists \( C_s > 0 \) such that

\[ \| W \cdot T_{\rho \gamma} f : L^1 (\mu) \| \leq C \| W : L^1 (\mu) \| \cdot \| K_{\rho \gamma} : L^1 (\mu) \| \cdot \| f : L^1 (\nu) \|. \]

(54)

Corollary 4. Suppose \( \mu \in (GC) \) and \( \nu \) any measure on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) such that

\[ \nu (B) \leq C^* \mu (B), \]

for some \( C^* > 0 \) and for every open balls \( B \) on \( \mathbb{R}^n \).

If \( f \in L^1 (\nu) \), \( W \in L^{1'} (\mu) \), and \( K_{\rho \gamma} \in L^1 (\mu) \), then

\[ W \cdot T_{\rho \gamma} : L^1 (\nu) \longrightarrow L^1 (\mu), \]

is a bounded operator. That is, for every \( s \in [1, \infty) \), there exists \( C_s > 0 \) such that

\[ \| W \cdot T_{\rho \gamma} f : L^1 (\mu) \| \leq C \| K_{\rho \gamma} : L^1 (\mu) \| \cdot \| W : L^1 (\mu) \| \cdot \| f : L^1 (\nu) \|. \]

(57)

Next, this will be served as our last corollary.

Corollary 5. Suppose \( \mu \in (GC) \) and \( \nu \) any measure on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) such that

\[ \nu (B) \leq C^* \mu (B), \]

for some \( C^* > 0 \) and for every open balls \( B \) on \( \mathbb{R}^n \).

If \( f \in L^1 (\nu) \), \( W \in L^{1'} (\mu) \), and \( K_{\rho \gamma} \in L^1 (\mu) \), then

\[ W \cdot T_{\rho \gamma} : L^1 (\nu) \longrightarrow L^1 (\mu), \]

is a bounded operator. That is, there exists \( C_s > 0 \) such that

\[ \| W \cdot T_{\rho \gamma} f : L^1 (\mu) \| \leq C \| K_{\rho \gamma} : L^1 (\mu) \| \cdot \| W : L^1 (\mu) \| \cdot \| f : L^1 (\nu) \|. \]

(60)

5. Conclusions

From the result of this study, we have seen the boundedness of the generalized Bessel–Riesz operators in Lebesgue spaces, in the framework of different measures (Theorem 3.1, Theorem 5) by using, as tools, an estimate of the Lebesgue norm of the Bessel–Riesz kernel (Theorem 2), the Young inequality (Theorem 3), and functions with doubling conditions. In the future, we shall continue this study to prove the boundedness of generalized Bessel–Riesz operators on Morrey spaces and generalized Morrey spaces.
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