The Endless Wars: Severe Fever With Thrombocytopenia Syndrome Virus, Host Immune and Genetic Factors
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Severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome (SFTS) is an emerging arboviral infectious disease with a high rate of lethality in susceptible humans and caused by severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome bunyavirus (SFTSV). Currently, neither vaccine nor specific antiviral drugs are available. In recent years, given the fact that both the number of SFTS cases and epidemic regions are increasing year by year, SFTS has become a public health problem. SFTSV can be internalized into host cells through the interaction between SFTSV glycoproteins and cell receptors and can activate the host immune system to trigger antiviral immune response. However, SFTSV has evolved multiple strategies to manipulate host factors to create an optimal environment for itself. Not to be discounted, host genetic factors may be operative also in the never-ending winning or losing wars. Therefore, the identifications of SFTSV, host immune and genetic factors, and their interactions are critical for understanding the pathogenic mechanisms of SFTSV infection. This review summarizes the updated pathogenesis of SFTS with regard to virus, host immune response, and host genetic factors to provide some novel perspectives of the prevention, treatment, as well as drug and vaccine developments.
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INTRODUCTION

Severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome (SFTS) is an acute communicable disease caused by severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome bunyavirus (SFTSV), and the population is generally susceptible. The main clinical symptoms of SFTS are acute fever, gastrointestinal symptoms, myalgia, and decreased numbers of platelet and white blood cell, but they are usually self-limited. A
few severe cases are accompanied by impairment of consciousness, skin petechiae, gastrointestinal and pulmonary hemorrhage, etc. Severe cases may develop multiorgan complications such as secondary encephalopathy, fulminant myocarditis, rhabdomyolysis, and hemophagocytic syndrome (Oh et al., 2016; Park et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2018; Imataki et al., 2019; Miyamoto et al., 2019; Akagi et al., 2020). Some patients can suffer with shock, respiratory failure, and diffuse intravascular coagulation, even progress to death from multiple organ failure. SFTS was first reported in the rural areas of Hubei and Henan provinces of China in 2009 (Yu et al., 2011). In the last 10 years, the epidemic area of SFTS is expanding. Until now, SFTS has been reported in 25 provinces in China, and the number of SFTS cases has increased gradually year by year (Miao et al., 2021). Notably, it has also spread to several Southeast Asian countries. Most of the Patients with SFTS are engaged in agricultural activities in forest or hilly areas. SFTSV can be contracted through tick bites, and close contacts with animals such as cats and dogs and interpersonal dissemination have also been reported (Niu et al., 2013; Yoo et al., 2016; Jung et al., 2019b; Kobayashi et al., 2020). SFTS is mostly found from March to November and is popular during the time period between May and October, probably associated with tick activities. Currently, the fatality rate of SFTS in China is around 10%–30% (Li H. et al., 2018; Li et al., 2021; Miao et al., 2021), and there is no available vaccine or specific antiviral drugs. Given these facts, SFTS has now been one of the important infectious diseases jeopardizing public health.

Therefore, the pathogenesis of SFTS needs urgent attention. This review summarizes the latest research progress on SFTS pathogenesis from aspects including virus, host immune response, and genetic backgrounds, so as to provide references for relevant basic and clinical studies.

We searched the related references through the following electronic databases: PubMed and Web of Science. It was restricted to the English-language literatures published during the past decade or so, with particular emphasis on the recent five years articles. The following search terms were used to retrieve the articles: "SFTS", "SFTSV", "severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome", "severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome virus", "pathogenesis", "viral protein", "glycoprotein", "nucleocapsid protein", "nonstructural protein", "Gn", "Gc", "NP", "NSs", "immune response", "antibody", "cytokine", "cytokine storm", "process", "outcome", "genetic background", "single-nucleotide polymorphism", "SNP", etc. In addition, all cross-references were hand-searched and all papers were screened on the basis of the relevance and coverage of their title and abstract content.

VIRUS

SFTSV belongs to the order Bunyavirales, family Phenuiviridae, and genus Phlebovirus (Kuhn et al., 2020) and has a single, negative-strand RNA genome with three segments: large (L), medium (M), and small (S). The L segment is 6,368-nucleotide long, encoding RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp), which is responsible for genome replication and transcription (Bopp et al., 2020). The M segment comprises 3,378 nucleotides, encoding glycoprotein (Gn and Gc) precursor, which is able to mediate virus entry into the host cells (Bopp et al., 2020). The S segment includes 1,746 nucleotides, encoding nucleocapsid protein (NP) and nonstructural protein (NSs) (Bopp et al., 2020). NP serves as a carrier of genomic RNA and provides ribonucleoprotein complexes to participate in viral replication (Zhou et al., 2013), whereas NSs is a virus-encoded interferon (IFN) antagonist (Brennan et al., 2017).

Gn/Gc Mediates Viral Invasion by Multiple Cell Receptors

Cell receptors are important “door locks” in viral entry into cells. The entry of SFTSV into the target cells requires binding of the G/Gc to cell receptors and fusion of viral and cell membrane, which catalyzed by conformational changes of viral proteins, followed by the release of viral RNA into the cytoplasm (Halldorsson et al., 2016; Spiegel et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2019a). In addition, the cleavage of SFTSV Gn/Gc precursors to mature Gn and Gc was found to be a prerequisite for viral entry into cells, and the process was thought to be based on the integrity of the endogenous Gc N-terminal signal peptide (Plegge et al., 2016), a low pH value (associated with the conformational change of viral protein), and the cellular serine protease activation and the reticulin participation (Hofmann et al., 2013).

Several membrane receptors were previously reported to involve in the SFTSV entry into cells. The lectin dendritic cell–specific intercellular adhesion molecule-3-grabbing non-integrin (DC-SIGN, also called CD209), for example, was considered as a responsible receptor for a large number of Phleboviruses, including SFTSV. It is a type of calcium-dependent lectin expressed on dendritic cells (DCs) and some tissue macrophages (Garcia-Vallejo and van Kooyk, 2013). Functionally, it can trigger immune response cascade by promoting T-lymphocyte activation and proliferation via the interactions with mannose or oligosaccharides on pathogen surface (Garcia-Vallejo and van Kooyk, 2013). In addition, the expression of DC-SIGN-related protein (DC-SIGNR, also called CD209L or L-SIGN), a type-C lectin expressed on dendritic cells (DCs) and some tissue macrophages (Garcia-Vallejo and van Kooyk, 2013), was considered to be crucial for the normal function of human platelet and vascular endothelial cell and also acts as a kind of functional viral receptor (Arii et al., 2010). When under SFTSV infection, there were several findings: the disrupted function of non-muscle myosin heavy chain IIA can bind to Gn during viral infection. Non-muscle myosin heavy chain IIA, an actin-binding motor protein on cellular surfaces, was reported to induce actin cross-linking and contraction and involve in cell migration, adhesion, polarization, and morphogenesis (Vicente-Manzanares et al., 2009). It was believed to be crucial for the normal function of human platelet and vascular endothelial cell and also acts as a kind of functional viral receptor (Arii et al., 2010). When under SFTSV infection, there were several findings: the disrupted function of non-muscle myosin heavy chain IIA induced by SFTSV led to thrombocytopenia Sun Y. et al., 2014 the suppression of non-
muscle myosin heavy chain IIA reduced SFTSV infection and, reciprocally, the overexpression of non-muscle myosin heavy chain IIA enhanced the cell susceptibility of SFTSV.

**Roles of NSs in SFTS Pathogenesis**

NSs Antagonize the Generation of Interferons Through Multiple Pathways

IFNs are secreted proteins produced and released by host cells in response to viral infection and play critical roles in provoking antiviral responses. NSs was reported to be able to inhibit the exogenous IFN-α–triggered Jak/STAT signaling pathway (Chen et al., 2017) and the phosphorylation/activation of signal transducer and activator of transcription 1 (STAT1), leading to decreased IFN-stimulated genes expression and further suppressed type I/III IFN signal transduction (Chaudhary et al., 2015).

In addition, NSs can exert its anti-IFN effects via inducing the generation of viral inclusion bodies. That is, an *in vitro* experiment demonstrated that the viral inclusion bodies were based on lipid droplets, because NSs, lipid-coated protein A, and adipose differentiation-related protein were shown to be co-localized (Sun et al., 2016). First, in this way, by binding with TANK binding kinase 1, IkappaB kinase epsilon, IFN regulatory factor 3, and IFN regulatory factor 7, NSs can sequester them in the viral inclusion bodies, thereby inhibiting type I IFN response and finally promoting viral replication (Ning et al., 2014; Hong et al., 2019) (Figure 1A). The underlying mechanism may be the interaction between TANK binding kinase 1 and two conserved N-terminal amino acids of NSs (positions 21 and 23) (Moriyama et al., 2018). Second, likewise, NSs can also confine STAT1 and STAT2 into the viral inclusion bodies, thereby inhibiting the phosphorylation of STAT2 and the nuclear translocation of STAT1/2, ultimately resulting in the blocked type I IFN signaling pathway (Ning et al., 2015) (Figure 1B). Third, NSs can also inhibit pattern recognition receptor RIG-I–induced anti-virus type I IFN response via the specific capture of tripartite motif 25 into the viral inclusion bodies, followed by the subsequent suppression of tripartite motif 25–mediated RIG-I–Lys-63–linked ubiquitination and activation at the initial stage of viral infection, leading to the blocked downstream anti-virus signals (Min et al., 2020) (Figure 1A).

Beyond the inhibition of type I IFN–mediated antiviral innate immunity, type II IFN (IFN-γ with antiviral activity) response
can also be antagonized by NSs with the involvement of the viral inclusion bodies (Ning et al., 2019). Similar to the abovementioned way, STAT1 interacted with NSs was reported to be isolated into the viral inclusion bodies, followed by the decreased STAT1 protein level, resulting in the suppression of IFN-γ production and thereby promoting SFTSV invasion (Ning et al., 2019) (Figure 1B). On the basis of the above studies, it seemed that the viral inclusion body is a unique structure in viral replication and immune evasion. However, the mechanism underlying the formation of viral inclusion bodies involved by NSs and the transfer to lipid droplets remain unclear.

**NSs Show Dual-Effect in Activating and Suppressing Inflammatory Response**

**Activation of Inflammation Response**

It has been reported that NSs can activate NF-κB promoter in the epithelial cells of infected liver and enhance the target genes' expression to trigger NF-κB–dependent inflammatory reactions (Sun Q. et al., 2015). Contrary to the abovementioned inhibitory effect of NSs on type I IFN response, NSs were observed to be able to relieve the inhibitory effect of TANK binding kinase 1 on NF-κB signaling pathway, thereby promoting the activations of NF-κB and its target cytokine or chemokine genes, but which were related to lethal cytokine storm (Khalil et al., 2020) (Figure 2A). These suggest that NSs and NF-κB may serve as a novel potential target for SFTS treatment.

**Suppression of Inflammation Response**

NSs can also target the tumor progression locus 2, thereby inducing the production of interleukin-10 (IL-10) (Choi et al., 2019) (Figure 2B), an anti-inflammatory cytokine with a significant role in suppressing immune response to avoid self-injury (Saraiva et al., 2020). Such suppression of host immune responses can be used by SFTSV as a mechanism of immune escape. In addition, NSs were found to mediate the increased expression of miR-146 in macrophages, whereas miR-146 targeted STAT1 and derived macrophages M2 polarization (Zhang L. et al., 2019). M2 macrophages were reported to reduce the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and hence had anti-inflammatory and immune tolerance effects (Italiani and Boraschi, 2014; Wang et al., 2014), finally facilitating SFTSV replication (Zhang L. et al., 2019).

From the above, NSs might play a dual role both in activation and suppression of inflammatory response at different stages of SFTSV infection and were related to other complicated factors in the immune response. The specific roles of NSs in the pathogenesis of SFTS warrant further investigations.

**FIGURE 2** | The double-edged role of SFTSV: activating or suppressing inflammation. (A) Activation of inflammation: Under physiological conditions, TBK1 attenuates NF-κB activity by inhibiting IKK complex. While under SFTSV-infected conditions, SFTSV NSs sequesters TBK1 into the viral inclusion bodies and then relieves the inhibitory effect of TBK1 on NF-κB signaling pathway, resulting in the activations of NF-κB and its target cytokines or chemokines genes. (B) Inhibition of inflammation: SFTSV NSs binds and relieves the inhibitory effect of ABIN2 on both TPL2 and p105, so as to induce the MEK/ERK signaling, finally resulting in the production of anti-inflammatory factor IL-10 for viral pathogenesis. As mentioned above, SFTSV NSs isolates TBK1 into virus inclusion bodies and eventually reduces downstream production of type I IFN. SFTSV, severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome bunyavirus; NSs, non-structural protein; TBK1, TANK-binding kinase 1; NF-κB, nuclear factor-κB; IKK, IkappaB kinase; ABIN2, A20-binding inhibitor of NF-κB 2; TPL2, tumor progression locus 2; IL-10, interleukin-10.
Other Effects of NSs
NSs targeted tripartite motif 21–regulated p62-kelch–like epichlorohydrin (ECH)-associated protein 1–nuclear factor erythroid 2–related factor 2 antioxidant response signaling pathway to maintain a suitable level of oxidative stress, therefore contributing to SFTSV survival and pathogenic effects (Choi et al., 2020) (Figure 3). NSs increased the expression of macrophage scavenger receptor CD36 through Nuclear factor erythroid 2–related factor 2 pathway (Choi et al., 2020), thus contributing to the enhanced phagocytosis of circulating virus-bound platelets, which might be one of the possible mechanisms of thrombocytopenia in patients with SFTS (Jin et al., 2012), as well as contributing to the increased lipid uptake, which might help to the viral inclusion bodies formation and viral replication (Choi et al., 2020). In addition, NSs interacted with cyclin-dependent kinase 1 and inhibited the formation and nuclear import of the cyclin B1–cyclin–dependent kinase 1 complex, thereby leading to G2/M cell cycle arrest and enhanced viral replication (Liu et al., 2020).

HOST IMMUNE RESPONSE

Innate Immune Response
Decreased Population and Dysfunction of Monocytes
The mononuclear phagocytic system, comprising monocytes, macrophages, and DCs, is the primary target at the early stage of SFTSV infection. It was suggested that the monocytes might be the main target cells during SFTSV infection, because the absolute counts and percentages of monocytes in peripheral blood were significantly lower than those of the patients in convalescence stage or healthy controls, and such reductions were positively correlated to viral load (Peng et al., 2016). In that way, these monocytes not only were induced to cell death by the inside SFTSV replication, but also had function disorders after their surviving from SFTSV infection (Peng et al., 2016). For example, the tumor necrosis factor–α (TNF-α) production of the monocytes with LPS stimulation became impaired regardless of the increased expression of Toll-like receptor 4 on monocytes.
derived from patients with acute SFTS (Peng et al., 2016). Thus, the decreased population and dysfunction of monocytes in SFTS cases were associated with the disease course.

**Dysregulated Functions of Macrophage Populations**

Macrophages were also the primary target cells at the end stage SFTS infection and allowed for active SFTSV replication (Matsuno et al., 2017; Suzuki et al., 2020). Activated CD163+ M2 macrophages caused abnormal, uncontrolled inflammatory regulation by over secreting anti-inflammatory factor IL-10 (Yamaoka et al., 2020). In addition, a phenomenon called “bystander activation” found in the patients with fatal SFTS was characterized as the significantly enhanced phagocytosis in those uninfected macrophages and consequently a systemic, large-scale host inflammatory response to SFTSV infection (Yamaoka et al., 2020). Such abnormal activated macrophages can engulf larger numbers of erythrocytes, leukocytes, and platelets, resulting in severe thrombocytopenia, lymphocytopenia, and, finally, multi-organ dysfunction/damage (Nakano et al., 2017; Jung et al., 2019a). Obvious erythrocyte phagocytosis could be observed in the bone marrow and spleen derived from dead SFTS patients (Takahashi et al., 2014). However, erythrocytopenia could barely be observed in peripheral blood of patients with SFTS. This might be due to an alternative stress erythropoiesis. It was known in the normal case that steady-state red blood cells produced new red blood cells at a constant rate, whereas macrophages in the spleen and liver cleared aging red blood cells to balance this production (Palis, 2014; Klei et al., 2017; Seu et al., 2017). Although, in the case of inflammation caused by infection or tissue injury, the steady-state erythropoiesis was inhibited (Paulson et al., 2020a), an alternative stress erythropoiesis pathway, the bone morphogenetic protein-4–dependent stress erythropoiesis pathway, was integrated into the inflammatory response and generated a new mass of erythrocytes to maintain homeostasis until stable erythropoiesis could be restored (Bennett et al., 2019; Paulson et al., 2020a; Paulson et al., 2020b).

**Defective Maturation of DCs**

DCs are a kind of potent antigen-presenting cells and play a critical role in activating cellular and humoral immunity. High levels of circulating myeloid DCs (mDCs) were observed to be a potential protective factor for patients with SFTS (Zhang et al., 2017). Similarly, Song et al. (Song et al., 2017) also found that mDCs differentiation could be suppressed by decreased Toll-like receptor 3 expression of virus-mediated CD14+HLA-DR+ monocytes. Moreover, it was found that the massive apoptosis in monocytes and the deficiencies in IL-4 and granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor in the early stage of SFTSV infection could cause the maturation defect in mDCs, diminish the antigen presentation by mDCs, impede the differentiation from naïve T cells to T follicular helper cells, and finally result in failure to active specific humoral response and even a risk of immunoparalysis (Song et al., 2018).

**Alterations in the Number and Function of NK Cells**

NK cells are effector cells in innate immune system and can specifically recognize and kill virus-infected cells in the early stage of infections. A previous research found that, when compared with the patients in recovery phase or mild SFTS cases, the number of NK cells in acute phase or severe SFTS cases was significantly increased but decreased to near normal level in the recovery phase (Sun L. et al., 2014). However, in another study, NK cells showed a dramatic loss at the first week of SFTSV infection and then recovered rapidly to the normal level (Lu et al., 2015). The discrepancy seen among different studies might be attributed to the small sample size and the heterogeneity among patients with different complications or clinical outcomes. A further study revealed that, in acute phase of SFTSV infection, there was a negative association between disease severity and the cell counts and percentages of CD56dimCD16+ NK cells that were the dominant NK cell subtype in peripheral blood and could mediate the antibody-dependent cytotoxic effect (Li M. et al., 2020). In addition, CD56dimCD16+ NK cells of patients in the acute phase had the increased expressions of Ki-67 and cytotoxic effector molecule granzyme B, with relatively low expression in inhibitory NK cell receptor, suggesting the enhanced cell proliferation, activation, and effector functions of CD56dimCD16+ NK cells. Together, these findings suggested that CD56dimCD16+ NK cells were involved in the early host defense against SFTSV infection (Li M. et al., 2020).

**γδT Cells**

γδT cells are important components of the innate immune response. The activated γδT cells can exert immunomodulatory effects and mediate inflammation by secreting many kinds of cytokines (Bonneville and Scotet, 2006). A previous study evaluated the peripheral blood γδT cell subsets of patients with SFTS and found that there was a significant increased level of Vδ1 cell and a significant decreased level of Vδ2 cell in patients with SFTS (Xu et al., 2017). In addition, such decrease of Vδ2 cell level was substantially more pronounced in severe SFTS cases, suggesting an underlying relationship between the decreased level of Vδ2 cell and unfavorable disease progression (Xu et al., 2017).

**Adaptive Immune Response**

**Humoral Immunity**

Adaptive humoral immunity is mainly mediated by specific antibodies that are immunoglobulins secreted by plasma cells derived from B cells. It was reported that the absence of NP-specific IgM responses in patients with SFTS at the acute phase was associated with more adverse clinical outcomes and a greater risk of mortality (Wang et al., 2019). Moreover, the abundance of NP-specific IgM responses was negatively correlated with viral load, coagulation disorders, and liver injuries (Wang et al., 2019). As a retrospective study reported, NP-specific IgM and IgG, as well as Gs-specific IgG, were absent in the deceased patients, but specific IgM of NP and Gs was generated at the acute phase of the survived patients, followed by the generation of Gs-specific IgG (Song et al., 2018). In addition, considering a close association between NP-specific IgG antibody and virus clearance, it was believed that humoral immune response was associated with the prognosis of SFTS (Song et al., 2018).
Meanwhile, B-cell subsets in patients with different clinical outcomes were analyzed in this study, and it was also found that the dysregulation of B-cell subsets, the defective maturation in plasmablasts, and the impaired antibody generation ultimately caused failure of humoral immune response (Song et al., 2018). All were key characteristics of the SFTSV death cases. Matsuno et al. (Matsuno et al., 2017) measured B220-positive B cells in spleen and lymph nodes of alpha/beta IFN receptor knockout (IFNAR−/−) mice and noted that Pax5-positive immature B cells were the target cells of SFTSV infection, which might contribute to severe lymphocytopenia. In addition, Suzuki et al. indicated that IgG+ class-switched B cells might be the major target responsible for the death of SFTSV patients by analyzing histopathological samples from 22 corpses of dead patients with SFTS (Suzuki et al., 2020). In addition, Yamaoka et al. (Yamaoka et al., 2020) believed that those IgG+ class-switched B cells might be defective, and could not produce functional antibodies and/or neutralizing antibodies against SFTSV. Therefore, B-cell dysfunction was likely associated with the outcomes of SFTSV infection.

**Cellular Immunity**

Adaptive cellular immunity is mediated by CD4+ and CD8+ T lymphocytes, which recognizes HLA II and HLA I molecules, respectively. Helper T cell (Th) belongs to CD4+ T lymphocyte, and cytotoxic T cell (CTL) and regulatory T cell (Treg) are subsets of CD8+ T lymphocyte. It was reported that CD3+ and CD3+CD4+ T-cell numbers in patients with SFTS with poor prognosis were significantly lower than those of healthy controls and recovery patients (Liu J. et al., 2017). Moreover, the counts and percentages of CD4+ T cell and the numbers of Th1, Th2, and Treg were evidently reduced in deaths, along with the aberrant Th1/Th2 and Th17/Treg ratios, which were associated with the severity of SFTS (Li M. M. et al., 2018b). All of the above findings suggested the failure of initiating and maintaining effective responses both in humoral and CTL immunity of mortality patients, resulting in immune suppression (Li M. M. et al., 2018b). In our previous study, three T-cell subsets (including CD69+, HLA-DR+, and CTLA4+) in SFTSV-infected patients were found to show different elevation patterns at different stages of the disease. Specifically, CD69+ T cells were elevated in the acute phase, suggestive of the role in disease onset; HLA-DR+ and CTLA4+ T cells were elevated in the recovery period of surviving patients, indicating the induction of protective immune response (Li et al., 2014). Among which, HLA-DR+ T cells could stimulate B-cell proliferation by mediating antigen-binding and signal transduction (Dendrou et al., 2018), and CTLA4, a kind of T-cell costimulatory molecule on antigen-presenting cells, could transmit an inhibitory signal to T cells (Buchbinder and Hodi, 2015). Therefore, the increased HLA-DR and CTLA4 might predict the effective infection control and recovery of patients. Thus, HLA-DR and CTLA4 might play roles in check and rebalance the lymphocytes in human body.

Research on the T-cell function in surviving patients with SFTS found that the expressions of T-lymphocyte apoptotic markers (annexin V and CD95) were upregulated in early stage of infection, and the reduction of T lymphocytes might be partly caused by Fas/FasL-mediated cell apoptosis (Li M. M. et al., 2018a). In the meantime, T-cell activation, proliferation, and function were also significantly enhanced, which participated in the initiation and maintenance of effective adaptive immune response in those surviving infections (Li M. M. et al., 2018a). A further metabolomics study found that arginine was deficient in patients with SFTS, which was probably associated with the impaired T-cell function (Li M. M. et al., 2018a). Furthermore, supplementation of arginine was helpful for accelerated virus clearance and recovery from thrombocytopenia, implying the associations of arginine catabolism pathway with platelet homeostasis and T-cell dysregulation (Li M. M. et al., 2018a). This finding might provide a new direction for SFTS clinical treatment.

**Cytokines**

Because cytokines play an important role in the pathogenesis of SFTSV and are associated with both innate immune response and adaptive immune response, they cannot be classified into either of them, so we review cytokines separately in this section.

Cytokines are a class of small soluble molecule proteins secreted by immune and tissue cells, which play vital roles in the development, differentiation, immune response, and immunoregulation of immune cells. The cytokine-mediated inflammatory response is important in disease progression during SFTSV infection. Appropriate cytokines can activate immune cells and thus enhance immune response, whereas insufficient or excessive cytokines can cause the imbalance immune response between pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory. The most typical example is cytokine storm, a kind of life-threatening systemic inflammatory syndrome, characterized by elevated levels of circulating cytokines, acute systemic inflammatory symptoms, and secondary organ dysfunction, which is common in severe viral hemorrhagic fever and may lead to death (Fajgenbaum and June, 2020).

As the cytokines involved in the pathogenesis of SFTS are diverse, we summarized the cytokine expression patterns and related disorders in different disease stages. However, at present, no consensus exists on uniform criteria for disease staging of SFTS. According to the most commonly reported disease staging (Liu S. et al., 2014), we assumed the following two stages: acute phase (within 2 weeks after the onset, including fever period in the first week and multi-organ dysfunction period in the second week), and recovery phase (after 2 weeks).
Acute Phase (Within 2 Weeks After the Onset)
Correlation Between Cytokines and Clinical Courses/Outcomes of SFTSV Infection
SFTSV infection continued to progress at the first 2 weeks after the illness onset. Patients with SFTS who had previous multi-organ failure but ultimately survived were found to be self-limiting at the second week, whereas the deceased patients tended to progressively deteriorate following the experience of multi-organ failure (Liu S. et al., 2014). Thus, the period of the first 2 weeks after the onset was also regarded as an "acute phase" in many studies, and the cytokine expression patterns in acute phase were summarized in this part.

In acute phase, multiple serum cytokines significantly increased with a dynamic law of changes, which suggested that the interaction between virus and immune system significantly affected the disease course and clinical outcomes. The cytokines and chemokines of patients with SFTS with different outcomes in acute phase reported in previous studies are listed in Table 1.

Abnormally elevated expressions of 17 inflammatory mediators were found to in patients with SFTS when compared with healthy controls, regardless of disease severity or survival status of SFTS, suggesting the roles of these inflammatory mediators in disease pathogenesis (Hu et al., 2018). Moreover, the low levels of regulated upon activation normally T cell expressed and secreted (RANTES) and platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) were reported to be indicative of a higher risk for death (Hu et al., 2018). In addition, a previous study had revealed the reductions of PDGF-BB and RANTES in patients with SFTS when compared with healthy individuals (Sun et al., 2012). High levels of multiple cytokines, such as IL-6, IL-10, IFN-γ-inducible protein (IP-10), IFN-γ, and TNF-α, were found in acute phase of one survivor (Fujikawa et al., 2019) and of one dead (Nakamura et al., 2019). In addition, the levels of TNF-α, IL-6, IP-10, and granzyme B in the dead case remained elevated regardless repeated plasma exchange (Nakamura et al., 2019). Many studies had reported similar findings (Deng et al., 2012; Ding et al., 2014; Li et al., 2014; Liu M. M. et al., 2017; Hu et al., 2018; Song et al., 2018). These results suggested that inflammatory cytokines expression patterns were prognostic markers for clinical outcomes.

Moreover, heat shock protein 70 level in acute phase was reported as an independent risk factor for the severity of SFTSV infection (Ding et al., 2014); high levels of eotaxin/fractalkine (Hu et al., 2018) and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (Liu M. M. et al., 2017; Hu et al., 2018) were also found to be associated with poor clinical outcomes. By contrast, higher level of granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor was observed at the first week in SFTS surviving patients, and elevated serum IL-1β and IL-4 were detected in SFTS survivors accompanied by alleviation of clinical conditions (Song et al., 2018), suggesting a protective role of granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor, IL-1β, and IL-4. However, a much earlier studies reported that no significant differences of IL-6, transforming growth factor-β, and RANTES in acute stage were found between critical patients with SFTS and non-critical cases (Deng et al., 2012).

A comparative analysis of many serum cytokine levels among mild and severe hospitalized patients (within 7 days of episode), asymptomatic patients, and healthy controls (He et al., 2021) is presented in Table 1. Among them, the levels of IL-6 and IL-8 in asymptomatic cases ranged between those of patients with SFTS and healthy controls, suggesting the roles of IL-6 and IL-8 in the self-limited virus clearance. Most recently, a study based on proteomics and single-cell transcriptomics revealed that the cohorts with different outcomes, including healthy controls, patients with SFTS (both high risk and low risk), recovery cases, and fatal cases, presented different expression patterns of serum inflammatory molecules and B-cell function–related immune molecules (Park et al., 2021). Notably, dead patients with SFTSV presented overactivated inflammatory responses resulted from the release of vast pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, accompanied with the aberrant inactivation of adaptive immune responses (Park et al., 2021). Plasma B cells were speculated to act as a potential viral reservoir in fatal SFTS cases (Park et al., 2021). Thus, the cytokine/chemokines profiles aforementioned have a potential to serve as biomarkers for predicting different clinical outcomes in patients with SFTS.

Altogether, the important role of cytokines in disease progression and fatal outcome in patients with SFTS is identified. Noteworthy, IFN-α, a type I IFN with functions against SFTSV infection, was also mainly involved in cytokine storm rather than in resistance SFTSV infection, suggesting that the high level of IFN-α might fail to alleviate the symptoms, instead induce abnormal inflammatory responses and participate in cytokine storm, ultimately resulting in disease deterioration (Liu M. M. et al., 2017). Nevertheless, contradictory results existed in different studies, and the discrepancy might be attributed to the small sample size and population heterogeneity, sampling time, and experimental approaches varied across studies.

Correlation Between Cytokines and Viral Load
Previous studies elucidated the correlation between the levels of serum cytokines/chemokines and viral load in acute phase (Table 2). As is well known, in acute phase of SFTSV infection, active viral replication stimulates the release of vast cytokines and chemokines, followed by host pathological damage. Moreover, it was found that, compared with survivors, the deaths had higher average viral copy numbers in acute phase, indicating a positive relationship between the viral copy number and patient mortality (Yoshikawa et al., 2014). The adverse outcome was obviously due to the possible uncontrollable pro-inflammatory responses and subsequent significant immunopathological damage triggered by high viral load.

Correlation Between Cytokines and Laboratory Indices
Some studies reported the correlation between the cytokine/chemokine levels and laboratory indices in the acute phase, such as platelet count, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, and lactate dehydrogenase (Table 3). In addition, it was observed that the proportion of low-density neutrophils in peripheral blood mononuclear cells dramatically
### TABLE 1 | Comparison of cytokine and chemokine profiles in different disease processes or outcomes of SFTS patients in previous studies.

| Year | No. of Samples | Fever Period (the First Week) | Multi-Organ Dysfunction Period (the Second Week) | Fever and Multi-Organ Dysfunction Periods (Within 2 weeks of SFTS Episode) | Convalescent Phase (After 2 Weeks) | References |
|------|----------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| 2012 | SFTS patients: 40 (severe cases: 9, including 6 fatal cases; non-severe cases: 31) Healthy controls: 40 | SFTS patients (vs. healthy controls): elevated: TNF-α, IL-6, and RANTES; decreased: IFN-γ; no difference: TGF-β. Severe cases (vs. non-severe cases): elevated: TNF-α, IP-10, and IFN-γ; no difference: IL-6, TGF-β, and RANTES. | SFTS patients (vs. healthy controls): elevated: IL1-RA, IL-6, IL-10, G-CSF, IP-10, MCP-1, IL-1β, IL-8, MIP-1α, MIP-1β, IFN-γ, and TNF-α; decreased: PDGF-BB and RANTES. | Fatal cases (vs. survivors): elevated: IL1-RA, IL-6, IL-10, G-CSF, IP-10, MCP-1, IL-1β, IL-8, MIP-1α, MIP-1β, and IFN-γ. Severe cases (vs. non-severe cases): elevated: IL1-RA, IL-6, IL-10, G-CSF, IP-10, MCP-1, IL-1β, IL-8, MIP-1α, MIP-1β, and IFN-γ. | Survivors at convalescent period (vs. patients at fever and multi-organ dysfunction periods): elevated: IL-1β, IL-8, MIP-1α, MIP-1β, PDGF-BB, and RANTES; decreased: IL1-RA, IL-6, IL-10, G-CSF, IP-10, and MCP-1. | (Deng et al., 2012) |
| 2012 | SFTS patients: 59 (fatal cases: 15, survivors: 44) Healthy controls: 20 | SFTS patients (vs. healthy controls): elevated: TNF-α, IL-6, IL-10, G-CSF, IP-10, MCP-1, IL-1β, IL-8, MIP-1α, MIP-1β, IFN-γ, and TNF-α; decreased: PDGF-BB and RANTES. Fatal cases (vs. survivors): elevated: IL1-RA, IL-6, IL-10, G-CSF, IP-10, MCP-1, IL-1β, IL-8, MIP-1α, MIP-1β, and IFN-γ. Severe cases (vs. non-severe cases): elevated: IL1-RA, IL-6, IL-10, G-CSF, IP-10, MCP-1, IL-1β, IL-8, MIP-1α, MIP-1β, and IFN-γ. | | | (Sun et al., 2012) |
| 2014 | SFTS patients: 59 (severe cases: 11, including 7 fatal cases; non-severe cases: 48) Healthy controls: 30 | SFTS patients (vs. healthy controls): elevated: TNF-α, IL-6, IL-10, G-CSF, IP-10, MCP-1, IL-1β, IL-8, MIP-1α, MIP-1β, and IFN-γ. Severe cases (vs. non-severe cases): elevated: IL1-RA, IL-6, IL-10, G-CSF, IP-10, MCP-1, IL-1β, IL-8, MIP-1α, MIP-1β, and IFN-γ. | | | (Ding et al., 2014) |
| 2014 | SFTS patients: 33 (fatal cases: 4, survivors: 29) Healthy controls: 32 | SFTS patients (vs. healthy controls): no difference: IL-2, IL-4, TNF-α, and IL-17A. Fatal cases (vs. survivors/healthy controls): elevated: IL-6, IL-10, and IFN-γ. Survivors at convalescent period (vs. patients at fever and multi-organ dysfunction periods): elevated: IL-1, IL-6, IL-10, TGF-β, PDGF-BB, and RANTES; decreased: IL1-RA, IL-6, IL-10, G-CSF, IP-10, and MCP-1. | | | (Li et al., 2014) |
| 2017 | SFTS patients: 50 (mild cases: 36, severe cases:14) Healthy controls: 38 | SFTS patients (vs. healthy controls): elevated: TNF-α, G-CSF, IFN-γ, IFN-α, MIP-1α, IL-6, MCP-1, IL-10, IP-10; decreased: RANTES. Severe cases (vs. mild cases): elevated: G-CSF, IFN-γ, IFN-α, MIP-1α, IL-6, MCP-1, IL-10, IP-10; decreased: RANTES. | | | (Liu M. M. et al., 2017) |
| 2018 | SFTS patients: 33 (mild cases: 7, severe cases: 26, including 11 fatal cases) Healthy controls: 10 SFTS patients (vs. healthy controls): elevated: IL-1RA, IL-6, IL-15, IL-10, TNF-α, IFN-γ, G-CSF, eotaxin, IL-8, IP-10, MCP-1, MIP-1α, MIP-1β, and fractalkine. Fatal cases (vs. non-fatal severe cases): elevated: IL-1RA, IL-6, IL-15, IL-10, TNF-α, IFN-γ, G-CSF, IL-8, IP-10, MCP-1, and MIP-1β. Severe cases (vs. non-fatal severe cases): elevated: IL-6, IP-10, MCP-1, and MIP-1α. Non-fatal severe cases (vs. mild cases): no difference: eotaxin, IL-8, IL-10, MCP-1, and fractalkine. Fatal severe cases (vs. the first week/the second week): decreased: IL-6, IP-10, MCP-1, and MIP-1α. Non-fatal severe cases (vs. the first week): decreased: eotaxin, IL-8, IP-10, MCP-1, MIP-1β; elevated: RANTES. | | | (H-Ju et al., 2018) |

(Continued)
| Year     | No. of Samples | Fever Period (the First Week) | Multi-Organ Dysfunction Period (the Second Week) | Fever and Multi-Organ Dysfunction Periods (Within 2 weeks of SFTS Episode) | Convalescent Phase (After 2 Weeks) | References |
|----------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| 2018     | SFTS patients: |
|          | 27 (fatal cases: 10, survivors: 17) |
|          | Healthy controls: 21 |
|          | SFTS patients (vs. healthy controls): elevated: GM-CSF. |
|          | Fatal cases (vs. survivors): |
|          | elevated: IFN-γ, IL-4, IL-10, IL-12, IL-23, and TNF-α. |
|          | Survivors (vs. fatal cases): |
|          | elevated: GM-CSF. |
|          | Fatal cases (vs. survivors): elevated: IFN-γ, IL-6, IL-10, and TNF-α. |
|          | Fatal cases (vs. survivors): elevated: IL-6, IL-10, and TNF-α. |
|          | Non-fatal severe patients (vs. the second week): decreased: eotaxin, IL-8, IP-10, and MCP-1. |
|          | Fatal cases (vs. survivors): elevated: IL-6, IL-10, and TNF-α. |
| 2018     | SFTS patients: |
|          | 11 |
|          | Healthy controls: 10 |
|          | SFTS patients (vs. asymptomatic SFTSV-infected cases/healthy controls): |
|          | elevated: IL-4, IL-10, IL-12, IL-23, and TNF-α. |
|          | No difference among three groups: TNF-α. |
|          | SFTS patients (vs. healthy controls): elevated: IFN-α, IL-10, MCP-1, and MIP-1α. |
|          | SFTS patients (vs. asymptomatic SFTSV-infected cases): |
|          | elevated: IL-6, IL-10, IL-12, IL-23, and TNF-α. |
|          | No difference among three groups after multiple comparisons: IL-6, IL-8, MCP-1, and TGF-β1; no difference among other controls. |
|          | MIP-1α. |
|          | Non-fatal severe patients (vs. mild cases): no difference: IL-6, IL-10, IL-12, IL-1RA, TNF-α, IFN-γ, G-CSF, eotaxin, IL-8, IP-10, MCP-1, MIP-1α, MIP-1β, and fractalkine. |
|          | SFTS patients (vs. within 2 weeks): elevated: TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-12p40, IL-13, IL-17A, RANTES, and VEGF. |
|          | SFTS patients (vs. asymptomatic SFTSV-infected cases): elevated: TGF-β1. |
|          | Asymptomatic SFTSV-infected cases (vs. SFTS patients): elevated: RANTES. |
|          | Asymptomatic SFTSV-infected cases (vs. healthy controls): no difference: RANTES. |
| 2021     | SFTS patients: |
|          | 100 (mild cases: 78, severe cases: 22) |
|          | Healthy controls: 100 |
|          | SFTS patients (vs. asymptomatic SFTSV-infected cases/healthy controls): |
|          | elevated: IL-6, IL-10, IL-12, IL-23, and TNF-α. |
|          | No difference among three groups: TNF-α. |
|          | SFTS patients (vs. asymptomatic SFTSV-infected cases): |
|          | elevated: IL-6, IL-10, IL-12, IL-23, and TNF-α. |
|          | MIP-1α. |
|          | SFTS patients (vs. healthy controls): elevated: IFN-α, IL-10, MCP-1, and MIP-1α. |
|          | SFTS patients (vs. asymptomatic SFTSV-infected cases): |
|          | elevated: IL-6, IL-10, IL-12, IL-23, and TNF-α. |
|          | No difference among three groups after multiple comparisons: IL-6, IL-8, MCP-1, and TGF-β1; no difference among other controls. |
|          | MIP-1α. |
|          | Non-fatal severe patients (vs. the second week): decreased: eotaxin, IL-8, IP-10, and MCP-1. |
|          | SFTS patients (vs. within 2 weeks): elevated: TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-12p40, IL-13, IL-17A, RANTES, and VEGF. |
|          | SFTS patients (vs. asymptomatic SFTSV-infected cases): elevated: TGF-β1. |
|          | Asymptomatic SFTSV-infected cases (vs. SFTS patients): elevated: RANTES. |
|          | Asymptomatic SFTSV-infected cases (vs. healthy controls): no difference: RANTES. |
|          | Severe cases (vs. mild cases): elevated: IL-6, IL-10, IP-10, MCP-1. |
|          | IFN-γ |

(Continued)
increased in acute phase, with further transformation of low-density neutrophils from normal density neutrophils (Li et al., 2019). In the meantime, low-density neutrophils were capable of engulfing more SFTSV and secreting higher levels of IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-α, which induced the endothelial cell damage in severe cases (Li et al., 2019). All these indicated that low-density neutrophils might have pro-inflammatory actions during SFTSV infection. In the presence of multi-organ dysfunction, high viral load and abnormal serum biochemical indices were also related to disease progression (Gai Z. T. et al., 2012). Combining these findings, the production of cytokines/chemokines in acute phase of SFTSV infection was associated with abnormal laboratory indices and organ dysfunction.

**Correlation Between Cytokines and Hemophagocytic Lymphohistiocytosis**

Patients with severe SFTS might experience hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis, which associated with cytokine storm (Lee et al., 2016; Oh et al., 2016; Nakano et al., 2017; Kaneko et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2018; Jung et al., 2019). Hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis was characterized by persistent activation of CTLs, NK cells, and macrophages and was often accompanied with uncontrolled abnormal secretion of inflammatory cytokines, resulting in systemic inflammatory symptoms and signs (Al-Samkari and Berliner, 2018). The high mortality rate of hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis that was observed up to 75% seriously threatened the life of patients with SFTS (Jung et al., 2019).

**TABLE 1 | Continued**

| Year    | No. of Samples | Multi-Organ Dysfunction Period (the Second Week) | Fever and Multi-Organ Dysfunction Periods (Within 2 weeks of SFTS Episode) | Convalescent Phase (After 2 Weeks) | References |
|---------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| 2021    | SFTSV+ patients (35) | SFTSV+ patients (vs. healthy controls): elevated: IFN-γ, IL-6, IL-8, SIRT2, CXCL3, and CXCL10. High-risk group (vs. low-risk group): elevated: IFN-γ, CASP8, IL-6, MCP-3 (CCL7), SIRT2, IL-10, CXCL9, STAMBP, CCL20, and 4EBP1. Fatality (vs. healthy controls/low-risk group/high-risk group): elevated: CCL20, TNF-α, and CX3CL1. | Recovery (vs. healthy controls): elevated: IL-8, SIRT2, MCP1, CCL4, MMP-1, TNFS14, and MCP4. | (Park et al., 2021) |

**TABLE 2 | Correlation between SFTSV load and serum levels of cytokines/chemokines in SFTS patients at acute phase (within 2 weeks).**

| Year    | No. of Samples | Correlation between SFTSV loads and serum levels of cytokines/chemokines | References |
|---------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| 2012    | SFTS patients: 59 (fatal cases: 15, survivors: 44) | IL-1RA, IL-6, IL-10, MCP-1, G-CSF, IP-10, IL-8, MIP-1α, and MIP-1β. Positive Correlation: PDGF-BB and RANTES. Negative Correlation: IFN-γ, IL-10, and MCP-1. | (Sun et al., 2012) |
| 2014    | SFTS patients: 59 (severe cases: 11, including 7 fatal cases; non-severe cases: 48) | IL-1RA, IL-6, IL-10, MCP-1, G-CSF, IP-10, IL-8, MIP-1α, and MIP-1β. | (Ding et al., 2014) |
| 2018    | SFTS patients: 11 | IL-6, IL-10, and MCP-1. Positive Correlation: RANTES and VEGF. Negative Correlation: IFN-γ, IL-10, and MCP-1. | (Kwon et al., 2018) |
| 2021    | Asymptomatic SFTSV-infected cases: 100 | IL-6, IL-10, and MCP-1. Positive Correlation: RANTES and VEGF. Negative Correlation: IFN-γ, IL-10, and MCP-1. | (He et al., 2021) |

SFTS, severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome; SFTSV, severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome bunyavirus; IL, interleukin; IL-1RA, IL-1 receptor antagonist; MIP, monocyte chemoattractant protein; G-CSF, granulocyte colony-stimulating factor; IP-10, IFN-γ-inducible protein; MIP, macrophage inflammatory protein; PDGF, platelet-derived growth factor; RANTES, regulated on activation and normally T-cell expressed and secreted; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; HSP70, heat shock protein 70; 4EBP1, eIF4E-binding protein; CXCL1 (fractalkine), CX3C chemokine ligand 1; TNFS14, tumor necrosis factor (TNF) superfamily member 14.
### TABLE 3 | Correlation between cytokines and various clinical parameters and virus-specific IgG titers in SFTS patients at acute phase (within 2 weeks).

| Clinical parameters | Year     | No. of Samples | Correlation Between Levels of Cytokines and Various Clinical Parameters and Virus-Specific IgG Titers | References            |
|---------------------|----------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| In acute phase      |          |                | Positive Correlation                                                                                   | Negative Correlation  |
| White blood cells   | 2012     | SFTS patients: 40 (severe cases: 9, including 6 fatal cases) | IFN-γ                                                                  | (Deng et al., 2012)  |
|                     |          | Healthy cases: 40                                      | IL-1β                                                                | (Sun et al., 2012)   |
| Lymphocytes         | 2012     | SFTS patients: 40 (severe cases: 9, including 6 fatal cases) | IFN-γ                                                                | (Deng et al., 2012)  |
|                     |          | Healthy cases: 40                                      |                                                                       |                       |
| Platelets           | 2012     | SFTS patients: 59 (fatal cases: 15, survivors: 44)     | PDGF-BB and RANTES                                                      | G-CSF                 |
|                     | 2014     | SFTS patients: 59 (severe cases: 11, including 7 fatal cases) | sCD40L and PDGF-BB                                                   | IL-10, sIL-2RA and IP-10 |
|                     |          | Healthy cases: 30                                      |                                                                       |                       |
| AST                 | 2012     | SFTS patients: 59 (fatal cases: 15, survivors: 44)     | IL-1RA, G-CSF, and IL-8                                              | (Sun et al., 2012)   |
|                     | 2014     | SFTS patients: 59 (severe cases: 11, including 7 fatal cases) | IL-10, sIL-2RA, HSP70, IP-10, IL-15, IL-4, IFN-γ, and tPAI-1     | (Ding et al., 2014)  |
| ALT                 | 2012     | SFTS patients: 59 (fatal cases: 15, survivors: 44)     | G-CSF                                                                | (Sun et al., 2012)   |
|                     | 2014     | SFTS patients: 59 (severe cases: 11, including 7 fatal cases) | G-CSF                                                                | (Ding et al., 2014)  |
| BUN                 | 2012     | SFTS patients: 59 (fatal cases: 15, survivors: 44)     | IL-1RA, IL-6, IL-10, G-CSF, IP-10, MCP-1, and IL-8                  | (Sun et al., 2012)   |
|                     |          | Healthy cases: 20                                      | PDGF-BB and RANTES                                                      |                       |
| LDH                 | 2012     | SFTS patients: 59 (fatal cases: 15, survivors: 44)     | IL-1RA, IL-6, IL-10, G-CSF, IP-10, MCP-1, IL-8, and MIP-1β        | (Sun et al., 2012)   |
|                     |          | Healthy cases: 20                                      | PDGF-BB and RANTES                                                      | (Ding et al., 2012)  |
|                     | 2014     | SFTS patients: 59 (severe cases: 11, including 7 fatal cases) | IL-10, sIL-2RA, HSP70, IP-10, IL-15, IL-4, and IFN-γ             | (Ding et al., 2014)  |
|                     |          | Healthy cases: 30                                      | sCD40L and sCD40L                                                      |                       |
| CK                  | 2012     | SFTS patients: 59 (fatal cases: 15, survivors: 44)     | IL-1RA, IL-6, G-CSF, MCP-1, IL-8, and MIP-1β                       | (Sun et al., 2012)   |
|                     |          | Healthy cases: 20                                      | PDGF-BB and RANTES                                                      |                       |
|                     | 2014     | SFTS patients: 59 (severe cases: 11, including 7 fatal cases) | IL-10, sIL-2RA, HSP70, IP-10, and IL-15                            | (Ding et al., 2014)  |
|                     |          | Healthy cases: 30                                      |                                                                       |                       |
| CK-MB               | 2012     | SFTS patients: 59 (fatal cases: 15, survivors: 44)     | G-CSF, IP-10, IL-8, and MIP-1β                                     | (Sun et al., 2012)   |
| APTT                | 2012     | SFTS patients: 59 (fatal cases: 15, survivors: 44)     | PDGF-BB and RANTES                                                      | (Sun et al., 2012)   |
|                     |          | Healthy cases: 20                                      |                                                                       |                       |
| IgG titers at con- | 2012     | SFTS patients: 59 (fatal cases: 15, survivors: 44)     | RANTES                                                               | (Sun et al., 2012)   |
| varalescent phase   |          | Healthy cases: 20                                      |                                                                       |                       |

**SFTS, severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; CK, creatine kinase; CK-MB, creatine kinase MB; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; IL, interleukin; PDGF, platelet-derived growth factor; RANTES, regulated on activation and normally T-cell expressed and secreted; G-CSF, granulocyte colony-stimulating factor; sCD40L, soluble CD40 ligand; IFN-γ, interferon-γ; IL, interleukin; MIP, macrophage inflammatory protein; MCP, monocyte chemotactic protein; IP-10, interferon-γ inducible protein; HSP70, heat shock protein 70; tPAI-1, plasminogen activator inhibitor; MCP, monocyte chemotactic protein; MIP, macrophage inflammatory protein.**

et al., 2019a), so that comprehensive examination, timely diagnosis, and prompt treatment were crucial. Further in-depth research is needed to study the underlying mechanism.

**Correlation Between Cytokines and Encephalitis**

Previous clinical investigation revealed that the incidence of encephalitis in patients with SFTS was 19.1%, and the mortality rate of patients with SFTS with encephalitis was 44.7% (Cui et al., 2015). It was also noted that the levels of serum eotaxin, IFN-γ, IL-15, IL-6, IP-10, and TNF-α were significantly elevated before clinical deterioration in the confirmed encephalitis patient, suggesting the roles of these cytokines in the development of encephalitis (Cui et al., 2015). Meanwhile, a study included eight SFTS cases with suspected encephalitis/encephalopathy reported that six cases were positive for SFTSV RNA in cerebrospinal fluid examination on days 3–7 of the disease course, along with high levels of monocyte chemotactic protein 1 (MCP-1) and IL-8 in cerebrospinal fluid when compared with those cytokines of serum (Park et al., 2018). Thus, it could be speculated that SFTSV might invade...
cerebrospinal fluid directly, caused elevated levels of cytokines and pathological damage in the cerebrospinal fluid in patients with SFTS (Park et al., 2018). Short-course glucocorticoids might bring benefit to the critical patients with SFTS complicating encephalopathy and left no neurologic sequelae (Nakamura et al., 2018). Thus, intervention for abnormal secretion of cytokines might be an effective management strategy in patients with SFTS with encephalopathy.

**Correlation Between Cytokines and Cell Death**

SFTSV entry into host cells can be quickly recognized by pattern recognition receptors, followed by the activation of innate and adaptive immune response, as well as the production of a series of cytokines. Such host–virus interactions induce different forms of cell death depending on the cellular context. At present, there are few correlative studies of this issue accessible; only apoptosis, pyroptosis, and autophagy have so far been reported.

**Apoptosis:** Apoptosis is a kind of programmed cell death, characterized by cell shrinkage, nuclear fragmentation and cytoplasmic blistering (Imre, 2020). There are two major apoptotic pathways: the extrinsic and the intrinsic pathways (Imre, 2020). The extrinsic apoptotic pathway is initiated by extracellular stress stimulation triggered by the activation of death receptors, members of TNF receptor family, including TNF receptor 1, Fas (also known as CD95), and TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand receptors (Zhou et al., 2017; Bertheloot et al., 2021). In contrast, the intrinsic apoptosis (also known as mitochondrial apoptosis) can be activated by a wide range of intracellular stresses such as DNA damage, oxidative stress, endoplasmic reticulum stress and so on (Zhou et al., 2017; Bertheloot et al., 2021). From an *in vitro* study, it was found that SFTSV could infect and replicate in human liver epithelial cells and significantly induce the secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines in infected HepG2 cells, including IFN-β, IL-6, IL-8, TNF-α, RANTES, macrophage inflammatory protein-3α, and IP-10 (Sun Q. et al., 2015). Furthermore, SFTSV-induced apoptosis in HepG2 cells was triggered by both extrinsic and intrinsic apoptotic pathways and the truncated bid (tBid) acted as a bridging initiator between the two apoptotic pathways, which might contribute to hepatic pathology in SFTSV infection (Sun Q. et al., 2015). Generally, apoptosis is critical for organism development, tissue homeostasis, immunity regulation, and elimination of virus infected cells, contributing to the overall health, but it may also be related to the pathogenic mechanism. It was reported that SFTSV-infected peripheral monocytes of patients with fatal SFTS underwent severe apoptosis even at the early stage of the infection (Song et al., 2018), which might be associated with the marked inhibition of the gene expression of IL-6 and CD40L in the monocytes (Song et al., 2017), which had been reported to possess anti-apoptotic functions against various stress inducers, including viral infection (Giri et al., 2009). As can be seen, the struggles between SFTSV and hosts exist in the process of apoptosis: SFTSV can induce or antagonize this process through multiple mechanisms, so as to create an optimal environment for viral reproduction, and even affects the clinical outcomes. First, SFTSV could mediate and utilize apoptosis of immune cells through upregulation of death receptors or their ligands on the cell surface of these infected cells (Li M. M. et al., 2018a), contributing to resist the antiviral immunity of the host. A study evaluating the function of peripheral T lymphocyte at the early stage of the disease in surviving patients with SFTS found that in parallel with the absolute counts of T-lymphocyte subsets were decreased with the significantly increased expression levels of T-lymphocyte apoptosis markers (annexin V and CD95), suggesting that apoptosis of T lymphocytes may be partly mediated by the Fas/FasL pathway, eventually impairing the antiviral immune response and contributing to disease progression (Li M. M. et al., 2018a). Second, SFTSV antagonized apoptosis of THP-1 cells by upregulating the expression of anti-apoptosis proteins (such as SOD2, BCL3, CD74, and FAM129B), thereby facilitating viral persistent infection (Qu et al., 2012; Li S. et al., 2020).

**Pyroptosis:** Pyroptosis (also known as highly inflammatory cell death) is one special type of regulated cell death, characterized by osmotic imbalance inside the cell and early membrane rupture of the cells, hereby allowing the release of cell contents and large number of inflammatory cytokines (Imre, 2020). Some pattern recognition receptors, such as nucleotide-binding and oligomerization, leucine-rich-repeat, and pyrin domain containing protein 1 (NLRP1), NLRP3, NLR family C-terminal caspase-recruitment domain containing protein 4 (NLRC4), and absent in melanoma 2, recruit caspase-1 and apoptosis-associated speck-like protein containing a caspase recruitment domain to form the inflammasome and play important roles in regulating inflammatory response and pyroptosis (Sharma and Kanneganti, 2016; Swanson et al., 2019). Among these inflammasomes, NLRP3 inflammasome is the most widely characterized and the activated NLRP3 inflammasome leads to caspase-1–dependent release of the pro-inflammatory cytokines, including IL-1β and IL-18, followed by gasdermin D–mediated pyroptosis (Swanson et al., 2019). A previous study found that SFTSV infection could induce the activation of bcl-2–antigenic killer/Bcl-2–associated X protein, thereby enabling cytosolic release of oxidized the mitochondrial DNA and its subsequent binding to NLRP3 inflammasome, resulting in an excessive inflammatory response (Li S. et al., 2020). Pyroptosis has been shown to contribute to the clearance of SFTSV. A latest study reported that SFTSV infection could induce the activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome and IL-1β/IL-18 secretion, resulting in pyroptosis of SFTSV-infected human peripheral blood monocytes, thereby suppressing viral replication in peripheral blood monocytes (Li et al., 2022).

**Autophagy:** Autophagy includes macroautophagy, microautophagy, and chaperone-mediated autophagy, among which macroautophagy is the most intensively studied form of autophagy characterized by the formation of double membrane vesicles named autophagosomes, which engulf cytoplasmic materials and fuse with lysosome for destruction (Keller et al., 2020; Mizushima and Levine, 2020). The term autophagy usually refers to macroautophagy. Autophagy is an intracellular process of elimination of unnecessary cytoplasmic materials, such as
denatured cytoplasmic proteins, destroyed organelles, and intracellular pathogens that reduces cellular stress and promotes cell survival (Keller et al., 2020). It is a highly conserved cell degradation and essential to maintain cell homeostasis, recycle damaged organelles, overcome nutrient deprivation (Mizushima and Levine, 2020). In addition, autophagy can be activated by viral infection, followed by viral selective hijacking for survival or cellular selective degradation of viral proteins, potentiation of viral antigen presentation, and participation in the regulation of inflammatory and non-inflammatory responses for prevention of viral pathogenesis (Choi et al., 2018; Liang et al., 2021). Microtubule-associated protein light chain 3 (LC3) is widely used to monitor autophagy. The conversion from soluble LC3-I protein to membrane-bound LC3-II protein is a key hallmark of autophagy (Runwal et al., 2019). SFTSV infection was found to promote the accumulation of LC3-II protein in Vero cells, supporting the presence of autophagy. Further, such accumulation could not be inhibited by lysosomal protease inhibitor, which suggested that the autophagy pathway was hijacked by SFTSV (Sun et al., 2018). Furthermore, a most recent study confirmed that SFTSV nucleoprotein could trigger RB1CC1/FIP200-BECN1-ATG5-dependent classical autophagy flux by disrupting the binding of BECN1 to BCL2, resulting in BECN1-dependent autophagy (Yan et al., 2021). Moreover, SFTSV exploited autophagy, which not only assembled virus particles in autophagosomes but also utilized autophagic vesicles exocytosis to promote SFTSV propagation (Yan et al., 2021).

All above findings reveal the viral and host complex strategies to take advantage of host immune response. They can intervene the host cells life cycle using different mechanisms, battle for control of “key nodes”, lead to the balance or imbalance of cell homeostasis, and thus influence the survival of virus and host cell and even associate with clinical outcomes of patients with SFTS. Therefore, greater insights into the complex regulation of cell death signaling networks during SFTSV infection could contribute to refine the clinical outcomes of the disease through appropriate clinical intervention.

Correlation Between Cytokines and Immunopathologic Injuries

During SFTSV infection, excessive cytokines/chemokines may induce overactivation of overexpression of immune cells, followed by immunopathology and adverse clinical outcomes. This part summarized the histological and immunopathological features and the mechanisms of various organs observed in SFTSV infection.

Vascular endothelial cell injury: Hemorrhage is a prominent manifestation of SFTSV infection. Of note, 35% patients with SFTS had bleeding symptoms (Li H. et al., 2018) and severe cases were generally expired from systemic bleeding caused by disseminated intravascular coagulation (Gai Z. T. et al., 2012). Gross pathological findings in patients with fatal SFTSV reported the subcutaneous hemorrhage in multiple sites, including anterior chest, neck, elbow, groin area, abdomen, and limbs (Hiraki et al., 2014; Uehara et al., 2016; Kaneko et al., 2018; Iwao et al., 2021), also reported hemorrhage in the gastrointestinal tract and lungs (Hiraki et al., 2014; Uehara et al., 2016; Kaneko et al., 2018; Iwao et al., 2021), as well as bilateral kidney swelling with subepithelial hemorrhage in the renal pelvis (Takahashi et al., 2014). Coagulation dysfunction caused by platelet depletion was a risk factor for bleeding. Moreover, SFTSV was found to have an ability to disrupt endothelial integrity and vascular homeostasis during various mechanisms, which was considered as a crucial factor (Xu et al., 2021). First, SFTSV could inhibit the proliferation of vascular endothelial cells and delay the endothelial cell migration and tubule formation, thus affecting angiogenesis (Xu et al., 2021). Second, high viral load could directly induce apoptosis of vascular endothelial cells (Xu et al., 2021). Third, the significant and abrupt release of multiple cytokines triggered by SFTSV could not only aggravate the hemorrhage by destabilizing the endothelial cell–cell interactions but also induce the internalization of vascular endothelium cadherin (Xu et al., 2021), which was expressed specifically in endothelial cells and was essential for maintaining endothelial barrier integrity (Lampugnani et al., 2006; Lampugnani and Elisabetta, 2007). In addition, a study on animal mouse model found the vascular leak and high pro-inflammatory cytokines levels in blood and tissues of SFTSV-infected IFNAR<sup>−/−</sup> mice (Westover et al., 2019). Another animal study observed the disorganization of vascular endothelium in the lungs, the significantly damaged continuity and integrity of endothelial cells in lung sections, and the leakage of red blood cells around disintegrated pulmonary vessels, spleen, and glomerulus in SFTSV-infected HuPBL-NCG mice, indicating that SFTSV infection would impair the barrier function of vascular endothelium (Xu et al., 2021). Taken together, SFTSV possesses the ability to act directly or indirectly (through multiple cytokines) on the vascular endothelial in multiple organs such as lung, gastrointestinal tract, and kidney, which may contribute to the increased extravasation of fluid and large molecules from the intravascular to extravascular spaces, followed by severe tissue edema, hypotension, shock, and death.

Liver damage: Patients with SFTS often show symptoms of impaired liver function and the degree of hepatic impairment was correlated with the severity of the clinical course (Gai Z. T. et al., 2012; Cui et al., 2014; Nakano et al., 2017; Gong et al., 2021). An in vitro study found that SFTSV could replicate efficiently in HepG2 and induce significant secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines in HepG2 cells, such as IL-6, IL-8, TNF-α, as well as CC chemokine ligand-5, macrophage inflammatory protein-3α, and IP-10, promoting virus replication and further infection-induced apoptosis (Sun Q. et al., 2015). In animal studies, both C57/BL6 mice and humanized HuPBL-NCG mice infected with SFTSV could present with liver pathological changes, such as ballooning degeneration of hepatocytes and scattered necrosis (Jin et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2021). Moreover, an aberrant induction of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, including IL-6, IL-8, CC chemokine ligand-5, and IP-10, was also observed in the liver in SFTSV-infected C57/BL6 mice (Sun Q. et al., 2015). Similarly, the autopsy reports of patients with SFTS have noted that the livers in deceased patients showed single cell necrosis, focal necrosis, multiple lobular necrosis, mild infiltration of
lymphocytes and macrophages around the portal vein and mild portal fibrosis (Hiraki et al., 2014; Takahashi et al., 2014; Uehara et al., 2016; Nakano et al., 2017; Kaneko et al., 2018), and SFTSV nucleoprotein antigen-positive atypical lymphoid cells were also detected in livers (Hiraki et al., 2014; Takahashi et al., 2014; Uehara et al., 2016; Kaneko et al., 2018; Li S. et al., 2018). Furthermore, activated macrophages with hemophagocytosis were found in the liver of some deceased patients (Uehara et al., 2016; Nakano et al., 2017). However, no certain evidence of SFTSV replication in hepatocytes was found in any of the autopsy report of patient with fatal SFTS (Hiraki et al., 2014; Uehara et al., 2016; Kaneko et al., 2018; Nakamura et al., 2019). It was contrary to the results of the in vitro experiment, which might be due to the different types of liver cells used among the studies, especially the significantly distinct local microenvironment. To date, no SFTSV receptors had been reported or identified in liver cells surfaces. Nonetheless, as a key, frontline immune tissue, the liver contains the largest collection of phagocytes in human body, allowing a quickly switch from immune hyporesponsiveness to a strong inflammatory response to generate efficient antiviral effect and potentially have a concurrent pathological injury to liver when the level or context of microbial products or microenvironment changes (Kubes and Jenne, 2018). Therefore, it was speculated that the liver damage in patients with SFTS might be mainly due to the pathological processes (such as hemophagocytosis, hypercytokinemia, and shock state) caused by immune response rather than the direct destroy on hepatocytes by SFTSV (Hiraki et al., 2014; Uehara et al., 2016). More research about the mechanisms is warranted.

Lung injury: It was found that around 33.7% of the patients with SFTSV infection developed pneumonia as evident on chest radiography or chest computed tomography (CT) and severe patients might die due to respiratory failure (Deng et al., 2013). The abovementioned animal research also noted the obvious peribronchiolar inflammation with bronchiolar cell structure changes and significant interstitial infiltration with perivascular cuff and extensive alveolar thickening in humanized SFTSV-infected mice (Xu et al., 2021). In addition, the increased level of chemokine IP-10 is one of the features of the viral infection related to pulmonary pathology (Lev et al., 2021). Similarly, the significantly increased serum IP-10 levels were also found in patients with pneumonia at the acute phase of SFTSV infection when compared with those of patients with SFTS (Deng et al., 2012), thus allowing for the speculation that IP-10 might play a role in the pathogenesis of SFTS-related lung injury. Furthermore, the autopsy studies of SFTS cases reported increased lung weight, alveolar hemorrhage, pulmonary edema, and diffuse alveolar injury (Hiraki et al., 2014; Uehara et al., 2016; Kaneko et al., 2018; Iwao et al., 2021), indicating acute lung injury. SFTSV nucleoprotein antigen-positive atypical lymphoid cells were also detected in the lung (Hiraki et al., 2014; Li S. et al., 2018). However, the lung parenchymal cells were negative for SFTSV nucleoprotein antigen (Hiraki et al., 2014; Uehara et al., 2016; Nakamura et al., 2019). Combined with the abovementioned findings (see the section of “Host immune response–Cytokines–Acute phase–Correlation between cytokines and clinical courses/outcomes of SFTSV infection” for details), it is speculated that the increased secretion of cytokines and chemokines induced by SFTSV and the infiltration of inflammatory cells may together mediate the immunopathological injury of the lung during SFTSV infection. One point worthy of noting was the fact that invasive fungal infections were detected in lung tissue, trachea and bronchus of a minority of patients with SFTS (Hiraki et al., 2014; Uehara et al., 2016; Iwao et al., 2021), which might be related to the infection of host immune response by SFTSV through several different mechanisms as follow. First, SFTSV induced the production of anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10, which suppressed the host immune system (Choi et al., 2019), and the elevated IL-10 level in patients with SFTS was confirmed to be correlated strongly with the fatal outcomes (Yoo et al., 2021). Second, the apoptosis of monocytes in the early stage of fatal SFTSV infection diminished antigen presentation by DCs, impeded the differentiation and function of T follicular helper cells, and contributed to the failure of the SFTSV-specific humoral response (Song et al., 2018). Third, the reduction of CD3+ and CD4+ T cells induced by SFTSV infection might lead to the weakening of cellular immune responses (Liu Q. et al., 2014; Sun L. et al., 2014; Weng et al., 2014). Finally, thrombocytopenia and leukopenia could be induced by a SFTSV-related cytokine storms (Saijo, 2018). On the one hand, the reduction of neutrophils, which was the most abundant cell type among circulating white blood cells, was one of the classic risk factors of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis (Aller-Garcia et al., 2017). On the other hand, considering that fungus-induced platelets activation could inhibit fungal germination and hyphal elongation, the characteristic platelet deficiency caused by SFTSV infection would make patients more susceptible to invasive Aspergillus (Speth et al., 2013). Taken together, the various immunopathological injuries in lungs of SFTSV patients were tightly associated with SFTSV itself and the immune imbalance induced by SFTSV.

Heart dysfunction: As reported, SFTSV infection could cause reversible myocardial dysfunction (Kawaguchi et al., 2016; Miyamoto et al., 2019), and the serum IL-6 and TNF-α levels at the acute phase was significantly increased (Kawaguchi et al., 2016). However, the underlying pathogenesis remains unclear. Cardiomyocyte structural disorder with vacuolar degeneration and a positive staining of SFTSV nucleoprotein antigen in the heart with a cytoplasmic pattern was observed in a patient with SFTS died of multiple organ failure (Li S. et al., 2018). An autopsy on another patient with SFTS who died of respiratory failure observed sporadic ventricular tachycardia and right-sided heart failure at the end course of the disease, but neither histopathological manifestations of pericarditis and ischemic heart disease nor the infiltration of inflammatory cells or SFTSV-infected cardiac muscle cell was found in the decedent’s heart with the complete cardiac conduction system (Iwao et al., 2021). Furthermore, reviewing the autopsy reports of patients with SFTS between 2014 and 2021, only two studies reported that SFTSV nucleoprotein antigen-positive atypical lymphocytes were detected
in the hearts (Hiraki et al., 2014; Kaneko et al., 2018), whereas no evidence of SFTSV replication in heart parenchymal cells was noted in any of the autopsy report (Hiraki et al., 2014; Uehara et al., 2016; Kaneko et al., 2018; Nakamura et al., 2019; Iwao et al., 2021). It was known that the cardiomyocytes could be damaged by virus directly or indirectly (immune responses) (Yajima, 2011), and it was reasonable to associate the multiple organ dysfunction with the cytokine storm characterized by an overwhelming and imbalanced cytokines profile during SFTSV infection (Sun et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2016). Therefore, it was speculated that SFTS-related myocardial dysfunction might be mainly due to imbalanced immune, rather than the result of direct injury by SFTSV (Kawaguchi et al., 2016; Iwao et al., 2021).

Central nervous system dysfunction: Multiple studies had confirmed found that the disturbance of consciousness during SFTSV infection was one of the risk factors for a fatal outcome (Gai Z. T. et al., 2012; Cui et al., 2014), but the exact pathogenesis of central nervous system involvement remained unknown. Much had been written about the encephalitis and encephalopathy resulting from SFTSV infection in clinical investigation. A clinical study found that the serum levels of eotaxin, IFN-γ, IL-15, IL-6, IP-10, and TNF-α in the confirmed encephalitis patients were elevated remarkably before clinical deterioration, which might be related to a potential association with the development of encephalitis (Cui et al., 2015).

Another study analyzed the cerebrospinal fluid profiles during the 3~7 days of the course of disease in eight patients with SFTS with suspected acute encephalitis/encephalopathy and found that six patients were positive for SFTSV RNA in cerebrospinal fluid, and MCP-1 and IL-8 levels in cerebrospinal fluid were significantly higher than those in serum (Park et al., 2018) (see the section of “Host immune response–Cytokines–Acute phase–Correlation between cytokines and encephalitis” for details). In addition, an autopsy study on a patient with SFTS with hemorrhagiccytosis syndrome and neurological involvement reported the elevated levels of IP-10, IFN-γ, IL-8, and MCP-1 during the late stage of the disease, the focal neuronal cell degeneration in the pons, the detected hemosiderin-laden macrophages around the extended microvessels, perivascular inflammatory cells infiltration, and intravascular fibrin deposition, indicating the vascular endothelial injury with inflammation (Kaneko et al., 2018). Furthermore, SFTSV nucleoprotein antigen-positive immunoblasts were found in the vascular lumina of the various brain tissues, including the midbrain, pons, medulla, basal ganglia, cerebellum, and cerebrum (Kaneko et al., 2018). However, no evidence of direct SFTSV infection of brain parenchymal cells was found in any autopsy reports (Hiraki et al., 2014; Uehara et al., 2016; Kaneko et al., 2018; Nakamura et al., 2019; Iwao et al., 2021). Therefore, it is reasonable to speculate that the brain immunopathological injury in patients with SFTS may be caused by the increased levels of cytokines, the infiltration of inflammatory cells, and the injury of vascular endothelium induced by SFTSV.

Secondary lymphoid organs (lymph nodes and spleen) injury: It was observed that the immature B cells in the spleen and lymph nodes were the target cells of the terminal stage in SFTSV-infected IFNAR−/− mouse model, and the spleen and lymph nodes showed histiocyctic and necrotizing lymphadenitis lesions with pyknosis and karyorrhexis of lymphocytes (Matsumo et al., 2017). Meanwhile, a large number of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-6, MCP-1, TNF-α, IFN-γ, RANTES, and IL-1β, were found to be present at high concentrations in the serum and spleen of SFTSV-infected IFNAR−/− mice (Westover et al., 2019). Such elevations of many of these cytokines were significantly related with human SFTS severity (Deng et al., 2012; Sun et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2012; Ding et al., 2014; Liu M. M. et al., 2017). Many autopsy studies recorded the necrotizing lymphadenitis in the lymph nodes, surrounded by infiltrative lymphocytes, immunoblasts and histiocytes and a large number of nuclear fragments (Hiraki et al., 2014; Takahashi et al., 2014; Uehara et al., 2016; Kaneko et al., 2018; Nakamura et al., 2019). Moreover, the spleen showed congestion and focal hemorrhage (Li et al., 2018), as well as ischemic lesions according to several autopsy series (Uehara et al., 2016; Li S. et al., 2018). An autopsy report of 22 deceased patients with SFTS confirmed that IgG+ class-switched B cells and macrophages in secondary lymphoid organs such as lymph nodes and spleen were the target cells at the end stage of fatal SFTSV infection (Suzuki et al., 2020), and these IgG+ class-switched B cells might be defective and fail to produce functional antibodies and/or neutralizing antibodies against SFTSV, resulting in the failure of humoral immunity in lethal SFTSV infection (Yamaoka et al., 2020). Moreover, the infiltration of activated macrophage and significant hemophagocytosis were also observed in the bone marrow, spleen, and lymph nodes of some patients with SFTS (Takahashi et al., 2014; Nakano et al., 2017; Kaneko et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2018; Iwao et al., 2021), which might be one of the manifestations of hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis secondary to SFTS. Remarkably, hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis and a series of clinical diseases in patients with SFTS could be the results of hyperactivated T cells and macrophages stimulated by excessive productions of pro-inflammatory cytokines (Deng et al., 2012). Thus, it could be seen that the direct attack of SFTS, the deficient host humoral responses, and the cytokine storms might be involved in the immunopathological damages of secondary lymphoid organs during SFTSV infection.

Other organs injury: At present, only a few studies on pathological injury of other organs or tissues have been reported. Autopsies on deceased patients with SFTS noted renal ischemic lesions (Uehara et al., 2016), swollen renal tubular epithelial cells (Li S. et al., 2018), adrenal and testicular ischemic lesions (Uehara et al., 2016), gastrointestinal mucosa eroded with focal necrosis, markedly edematous and congested, severely hemorrhagic (Uehara et al., 2016), as well as pancreatic mild congestion without necrosis in the parenchyma, fat, or vessels in the pancreatic interstitium or peripancreatic space (Uehara et al., 2016). However, no evidence of SFTSV-infecting parenchymal cells of these tissues was found in any autopsy reports (Hiraki et al., 2014; Uehara et al., 2016; Kaneko et al., 2018; Nakamura et al., 2019).

To summarize the immunopathology findings of the above research, both over activation and inhibition of immune response during SFTSV infection could cause immunopathological damage, thus leading to severe disease and even fatal outcomes. Currently,
no specific treatment is clinically available. Thus, maintenance of remission and preventing deterioration through inhibiting the host excessive inflammatory response (such as steroid pulse therapy or plasma exchange removing redundant cytokines/chemokines and toxins) are the primary treatment strategies, so as to help patients with SFTS to reduce the immune pathological damage and improve the prognosis. Obviously, more research studies are needed to elucidate the mechanisms in the future to eventually find a specific therapy or drug for affected patients.

**Recovery Phase (After 2 Weeks)**

As shown in Table 1, the level of RANTES, a cytokine known to have capabilities of recruiting and activating T cells, of patients with SFTS elevated in recovery phase (Hu et al., 2018). Correspondingly, low level of RANTES predicted a high risk of death in patients with SFTS. Moreover, RANTES along with PDGF was significantly reduced and kept at low levels during the whole disease course in deaths, both of which were positively associated with platelet count (Hu et al., 2018). Furthermore, IL-1β of survived patients was found to increase in recovery phase (Sun et al., 2012; Song et al., 2018). As a case report not listed in Table 1, one SFTS survivor complicating with hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis and encephalopathy received steroid pulse therapy on day 7 of onset for consecutive 3 days, and higher levels of serum IL-1β, IL-12p40, IL-17, and vascular endothelial growth factor were found to increase from days 23 to 43 (Fujikawa et al., 2019). Similarly, IL-1β, IL-12p40, TNF-α, IL-13, IL-17A, RANTES, and vascular endothelial growth factor were also reported to increase in recovery phase of SFTS survivor (Kwon et al., 2018). Among them, IL-13 and IL-17A could trigger immune responses specific to Th2 and Th17 cells, respectively. In addition, the levels of IL-8, Sirtuin 2, MCP-1, CC chemokine ligand 4, matrix metalloproteinase-1, TNF superfamily member 14, and MCP-4 in three SFTS convalescent cases detected after their discharge for at least 2 weeks were found to significantly higher than those of healthy controls, implying the presence of persistent inflammation despite of complete virus clearance (Park et al., 2021) (Table 1). It had been proven that inflammatory mediators remained at high levels at the time of death in patients who died within 3 weeks but returned to normal in survivors within 3 weeks (Hu et al., 2018). Therefore, some increased specific cytokines in recovery phase of SFTS survivors were associated with the activation and differentiation of T cells, whereas other cytokines with persistent high levels in deaths suggested dysregulated inflammatory responses and a high risk of death.

In sum, abnormal cytokine/chemokine secretion in different phase of patients with SFTS could cause organ pathological damages and dysfunction, resulting in disease progression and death outcome. Although the results of cytokine secretion patterns in the acute and recovery phase of patients with SFTS among studies were not completely consistent, unique and clinically verified cytokines patterns could be used as biomarkers for predicting disease severity or survival outcome. Moreover, blocking cytokine storm may alleviate disease severity to some extent, and the application of antiviral drugs directly targeting viral replication cycle and the management of decreasing or reversing vascular leakage may help to SFTS treatment. Appropriate timing of an intervention is particularly important.

**HOST GENETIC FACTORS**

As is well known, SFTSV infection is self-limited. Only a few infected people requiring hospital station, whereas most of the infected can spontaneously clear the virus. It may be related to the difference of genetic background. In China, SFTS-epidemic area, several epidemiological studies on SFTSV-specific antibodies had been performed previously among healthy people during the epidemic season. A survey included 754 healthy residents from Hefei during January 2011 to December 2018 reported a SFTSV-specific IgG positive rate as 20.16% (You et al., 2021). In a cohort containing 1,463 healthy individuals from 14 natural villages of Henan province during April to May 2016, the rate of seropositivity for SFTSV-specific IgG was 10.46% (Du et al., 2019). Moreover, SFTSV-specific IgM was detected in 12 individuals, and SFTSV RNA was concurrent in six of them (Du et al., 2019). Another study devoted to the miss rate of SFTS in high endemic areas of China by Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, a high rate as 8.3% was reported according to the examination results of SFTSV-specific IgM and IgG (Huang et al., 2018). Combining the findings, there was a large number of asymptomatic/mild sufferers of SFTSV infection in endemic areas of China during the epidemic season. Detection of SFTSV-specific IgM/IgG and research on the risk for SFTSV spread of asymptomatic subjects are thus required, which are of special significance in the prevention and control of SFTS. In particular, it is worthy of exploring the potential factors that lead to different outcomes of SFTSV infection in same endemic areas, such as host genetic background.

It is generally known that host immunogenetics influences the outcomes of infectious disease. During SFTSV infection, viral proteins bind with cell receptors, participate in signaling regulation, and affect downstream gene transcription, which impact viral replication, immune escape, and disease outcomes. On the contrary, host relevant genes’ variations also have different effects on human susceptibility to SFTSV, disease progression and clinical outcomes. Many studies had reported family cluster SFTSV infection in China (Bao C. J. et al., 2011; Bao C. et al., 2011; Gai Z. et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2013; Tang et al., 2013; Sun J. et al., 2015). A case report described that all three full sisters still decreased unfortunately, suggesting their highly familiality. Similarly, among studies were not completely consistent, unique and clinically verified cytokines patterns could be used as biomarkers for predicting disease severity or survival outcome. Moreover, blocking cytokine storm may alleviate disease severity to some extent, and the application of antiviral drugs directly targeting viral replication cycle and the management of decreasing or reversing vascular leakage may help to SFTS treatment. Appropriate timing of an intervention is particularly important.
despite the underlying interpersonal transmission through contact with blood among family members. Moreover, the infections of a variety of viruses, such as hantavirus, dengue virus, influenza virus, and hepatitis C virus, had also been verified to correlate with genetic factors (Xu-Yang et al., 2016; Kenney et al., 2017; Di Pucchio and Sekaly, 2020; Li Y. et al., 2020; Fu et al., 2021).

To date, there have been a few studies reporting the association between human genetic background and SFTSV infection. Human leukocyte antigens (HLAs) are major histocompatibility complex proteins that are located on the cell surface in human and important in antigen presentation and regulation of immune response. HLAs are highly polymorphic and polygenic. HLA alleles are highly in antigen presentation and regulation of immune response. HLAs proteins that are located on the cell surface in human and important factors (Xu-Yang et al., 2016; Kenney et al., 2017; Di Pucchio and Sekaly, 2020; Li Y. et al., 2020; Fu et al., 2021).

A Chinese case control study involving more than 2,000 subjects reported that the carriers with platelet-derived growth factor B (PDGF-B) gene rs1800818 G allele were more likely to get infected with SFTSV, and rs1800818 G allele was associated with decreased serum PDGF-BB (the name of cytokine encoded by platelet-derived growth factor B gene) levels in early SFTSV infection (Zhang et al., 2016). PDGF, a type of growth factors released in response to platelet aggregation and activation, controls platelet aggregation through autocrine feedback secretion (Razmi et al., 2018). PDGF family includes four subtypes, including PDGF-A, B, C, and D (Fredriksson et al., 2004; Papadopoulos and Lennartsson, 2018). PDGF molecules must form homodimers or heterodimers to be active, such as PDGF-AA, BB, AB, CC, and DD dimers (Papadopoulos and Lennartsson, 2018). In case of SFTSV infection, SFTSV adhesion resulted in the increased release of PDGF-BB from platelets, and this further led to the enhanced clearance of infected platelets by macrophages during infection, representing host active clearance of virus (Zhang et al., 2016). Yet, the individuals who carried rs1800818 G allele might had the deficiency in expression and release of PDGF-BB and ultimately resulted in active virus replication and high SFTSV susceptibility (Zhang et al., 2016).

TNF-α is one of the major regulators of the inflammatory response, with an important role for triggering pro-inflammatory factors, chemokines, and adhesion factor cascades. Research studies revealed that TNF-α level was significantly higher in SFTS cases versus healthy individuals, and the increase was much more evident in patients with fatal SFTS (Ding et al., 2014; Liu M. M. et al., 2017; Hu et al., 2018; Fujikawa et al., 2019). In a cohort study involving 987 hospitalized patients with SFTS and 633 asymptomatic/mild SFTS-infected subjects, five single-nucleotide polymorphisms located in the TNF-α promoter region were analyzed to evaluate their correlations with SFTS among Chinese Han population, and it was found that the presence of rs1799964 C//rs361525 A alleles and multiple haplotypes were associated with a significant reduced risk of death in patients with SFTS, and the serum TNF-α levels were related to rs361525 A allele (Xing et al., 2018). However, studies on the relationship between host genetic background and SFTS infection are limited, requiring more in-depth research studies.

OTHER HOST FACTORS RELATED TO SFTS PATHOGENESIS

Uridine 5’-Diphosphate-Glucose Ceramide Glucosyl-Transferase (UGGG)

It was reported that the efficient SFTSV entry into cells depended on the expression and enzymatic activity of UGGC, a Golgi transmembrane protein, which the inhibition of UGGC could hinder the post-internalization stage of SFTSV entry and thus led to impairment of viral transport and/or viral fusion with host cell membranes, although such inhibition of UGGC did not affect neither the binding nor the endocytosis of SFTSV to host cells (Drake et al., 2017).

Sorting Nexin 11 (SNX11)

A previous study based on a whole-genome clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat (CRISPR) knockout screen strategy (Liu et al., 2019b) suggested that SNX11 was also an essential host factor for SFTSV entry, because it participated in the virus intracellular transport and mediated in the synthesis and maturation of viral envelope glycoprotein in endoplasmic reticulum during SFTSV infection (Liu et al., 2019b).

Endothelial Cells

In vitro SFTSV infection model indicated that the direct SFTSV infection of endothelial cells could cause the interruption of intercellular connections and significantly increased vascular permeability and the level of angiojenin-2, an inducer of inflammation (Li X. K. et al., 2018). In the meantime, S1001a8 and matrix metalloproteinase-9 levels increased, and inflammatory reaction of endothelial cells was induced, leading to the dysfunction and impaired barrier integrity of vascular endothelial cells, ultimately triggering a series of clinical lesions of SFTS infection (Li X. K. et al., 2018).

Intercellular Adhesion Molecule 1 (ICAM-1) and Serum Amyloid A1 (SAA-1)

SFTSV can replicate in host endothelial cells. It was possible that the endothelial activation/dysfunction was a part of the pathogenesis for SFTS, and the elevated levels of serum intercellular adhesion molecule 1 and serum amyloid A1 could be used to predict severe or death outcome in patients with SFTS (Li et al., 2017). Because intercellular adhesion molecule 1 and amyloid A1 could activate of intrinsic coagulation cascade by mediating endothelial injury/activation and subsequent platelet aggregation/degranulation, thus led to hemostasis failure (Ozturk et al., 2010). All of these might be associated with occurrence of disseminated intravascular coagulation at late stage of severe and fatal SFTS cases and suggested that therapeutic interventions in endothelial dysfunction may be a strategy for SFTS treatment.
Platelet Function and Phenotype

In a retrospective study including 1,538 confirmed patients with SFTS, it was shown that enhanced activation of cytokine/vascular endothelial cells and the disorder of coagulation function were associated with thrombocytopenia, which was involved in a variety of platelet phenotypes (Li X. K. et al., 2020). All these host responses influence SFTS outcomes in some synergistic manner, suggesting that platelet supplementation alone may be ineffective, and novel interventions to host responses are in demand.

Complications

A study comprising 2,096 patients with SFTS assessed the associations between clinical outcomes and multiple comorbid conditions, including hyperlipidemia, hypertension, chronic viral hepatitis, diabetes mellitus, cerebral ischemic stroke, heart diseases, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, pulmonary tuberculosis, and cancer, and found that patients complicated with diabetes mellitus, chronic viral hepatitis, or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease tended to have fatal outcomes, probably due to the impairment of immune function (Zhang S. F. et al., 2019). Moreover, diabetes mellitus was linked to vascular complications of patients with SFTSV, which characterized by an activation of the inflammatory cascade and endothelial dysfunction (Zhang S. F. et al., 2019). In the meantime, the levels of multiple cytokines were increased evidently in patients with SFTS complicated with diabetes mellitus, which might also cause endothelial dysfunction, cytokine storm, and even a fatal outcome (Zhang S. F. et al., 2019). It was worth noting that insulin therapy significantly reduced the disease severity and might be applied as a treatment strategy (Zhang S. F. et al., 2019).

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, SFTSV infection can lead to various clinical manifestations ranging from asymptomatic infection, mild fever to severe hemorrhage or multi-organ failure, and even death. The underlying mechanisms of SFTS pathogenesis are highly complicated and have not been completely clarified. SFTSV infection starts from viral invasion, which is supported by a subcellular environment suitable for virus replication created by virus–host interactions. During this process, the virus stimulates host innate and adaptive immune responses, with the release of vast cytokines/chemokines, and induces immunopathological damage with varying degrees. Meanwhile, host genetic factor, in turn, plays a non-negligible role in regulating functional proteins and influencing SFTSV susceptibility and disease severity; thus, further research is required. In addition, strengthened measures should be taken to educate residents in SFTS epidemic area to improve the prevention and recognition of disease. Most asymptomatic and mild SFTSV-infected individuals should be followed with surveillance to the prevention and control during the epidemic season. Because there is no SFTS specific therapy strategy, clinicians should comprehensively observe the disease phase and take timely appropriate immunotherapy by inducing, enhancing, or suppressing patients’ immune responses according to the different stages of the disease and the body’s own immune state, preferably based on evidence-based medicine. The key to improving the prognosis of patients with SFTS is to individualize treatment to maximize effectiveness and minimize risks in different patients. More in-depth studies on SFTS pathogenesis are urgently needed to screen more effective therapeutic targets and intervention strategies, as well as to provide enabling opinions to vaccine and SFTS specific drugs.
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