Cobaloxime Complex Salts: Synthesis, Patterning on Carbon Nanomembranes and Heterogeneous Hydrogen Evolution Studies
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Abstract: Cobaloximes are promising, earth-abundant catalysts for the light-driven hydrogen evolution reaction (HER). Typically, these cobalt(III) complexes are prepared in situ or employed in their neutral form, for example, [Co(dmgH)(py)Cl], even though related complex salts have been reported previously and could, in principle, offer improved catalytic activity as well as more efficient immobilization on solid support. Herein, we report an interdisciplinary investigation into complex salts [Co(dmgH)(py)Cl]2[Co(dmgBPh2)Cl]2, TBA+Co(dmgBPh2)Cl2− and [Co(dmgH)(py)Cl]2[BArF6]. We describe their strategic syntheses from the commercially available complex [Co(dmgH)(py)Cl] and demonstrate that these double and single complex salts are potent catalysts for the light-driven HER. We also show that scanning electrochemical cell microscopy can be used to deposit arrays of catalysts [Co(dmgH)(py)Cl]2[Co(dmgBPh2)Cl]2, TBACo(dmgBPh2)Cl2TBA− and [Co(dmgH)(py)Cl]2 supported and free-standing amino-terminated ~1-nm-thick carbon nanomembranes (CNMs). Photocatalytic H2 evolution at such arrays was quantified with Pd microsensors by scanning electrochemical microscopy, thus providing a new approach for catalytic evaluation and opening up novel routes for the creation and analysis of “designer catalyst arrays”, nanoprinted in a desired pattern on a solid support.

Introduction

Cobaloximes[1] have been recognized as catalysts for the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) almost half a century ago.[2] Over the past two decades, an increased interest in photocatalytic water splitting[3] has led to a revival of syntheses of various earth-abundant glyoxime-based cobalt complexes. Notable structural variations include BF2-bridged derivatives,[4] modification of the axial pyridine ligand,[5,6] and changes to the equatorial ligand framework,[7] typically for the purpose of catalyst immobilization[8] or the generation of dinuclear[9,10] complexes. To the best of our knowledge, there is only one report of a BPh2-bridged, organometallic cobaloxime complex (axial ligand: CH3)[11] and the immobilization of cobalt complexes on two-dimensional (2D) material is an underexplored challenge.[10,12]

Herein we report the unexpected discovery of asymmetric double complex salt [Co(dmgH)(py)Cl]2[Co(dmgBPh2)Cl]2 (Figure 1a) that we consistently obtained in good yields during attempts to synthesize BPh2-bridged cobaloxime complexes.

---

[a] E. Oswald,* J. Kund, J. Romer, Prof. Dr. C. Kranz Institute of Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry Albert-Einstein-Allee 11, 89081 Ulm (Germany) E-mail: christine.kranz@uni-ulm.de
[b] A.-L. Gaus,* S. Weizenegger, Prof. Dr. M. von Delius Institute of Organic Chemistry I, Ulm University Albert-Einstein-Allee 11, 89081 Ulm (Germany) E-mail: max.vondelius@uni-ulm.de
[c] M. Küllmer, Prof. Dr. A. Turchanin Institute of Physical Chemistry Friedrich Schiller University Jena Lessingstrasse 10, 07743 Jena (Germany)
[d] T. Ullrich Department of Chemistry and Pharmacy Friedrich Alexander University Erlangen-Nürnberg Egerlandstrasse 3, 91058 Erlangen (Germany)
[e] A. K. Mengele, Dr. L. Petermann, Prof. Dr. S. Rau Institute of Inorganic Chemistry I, Ulm University Albert-Einstein-Allee 11, 89081 Ulm (Germany)
[f] R. Leiter, Prof. Dr. U. Kaiser Central Facility Electron Microscopy Materials Science Electron Microscopy, Ulm University Albert-Einstein-Allee 11, 89081 Ulm (Germany)
[g] Prof. Dr. P. R. Unwin Department of Chemistry, University of Warwick Gibbet Hill Road, CV4 7AL Coventry (UK)
[h] Dr. A. Kahnt Leibniz-Institute of Surface Engineering (IOM) Permoserstrasse 15, 04318 Leipzig (Germany)

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202102778

Part of a Special Issue on Contemporary Challenges in Catalysis.
While double complex salts\textsuperscript{[11]} based on the dmgH framework have been described as (unwanted) reaction by-products,\textsuperscript{[12]} we wondered whether the new salt, which was found to be stable in solution, could offer advantages for photocatalysis in solution or after immobilization.\textsuperscript{[13]} Such a modification of 2D materials with HER catalysts and its characterization in respect to activity, possible degradation during illumination and associated activity losses are of importance for future technologically relevant heterogeneous water splitting devices. CNMs are highly attractive, mechanically stable molecular 2D materials with a thickness of ~1 nm, obtained by low-energy electron irradiation induced crosslinking of aromatic self-assembled monolayers (SAMs),\textsuperscript{[14]} such as 4'-nitro-1,1\textsuperscript{-}biphenyl-4-thiol (NBPT).\textsuperscript{[15]}

Depositing arrays by, for example, microspotting of catalysts for photoelectrochemical water splitting allows fast preparation and in-situ screening experiments, as a pioneering study by Bard and co-workers has shown for water oxidation catalysts.\textsuperscript{[16]} Improved lateral resolution for local surface patterning can be achieved using scanning electrochemical cell microscopy (SECCM) with dual barrel nanopipettes,\textsuperscript{[17]} as the positioning and timing of droplet formation can be precisely controlled. In SECCM, single barrel or double-barrel nanopipettes with a quasi-reference/counterelectrode (QRCE) in each barrel with orifices of the barrels as small as 30 nm are used to form a local electrochemical cell, when the nanopipette is approached to a surface to make meniscus contact.\textsuperscript{[18]} As the distance can be precisely controlled and the status of surface contact of the meniscus monitored, sample damage is omitted,\textsuperscript{[19]} which is important for surface modification of fragile samples such as free-standing CNM on TEM grids. Compared to other surface modification techniques, like microspotting\textsuperscript{[20]} and microcontact printing,\textsuperscript{[21]} SECCM can generate nanoscale deposits which has been demonstrated for potential-controlled electrodeposition of, for example, metals\textsuperscript{[17,22]} or polymers\textsuperscript{[19,23]} on conductive substrates. Surface modification using micro- and nanopipettes can also be obtained without electrochemically driving the deposition. When the droplet is in contact with the surface, molecules are delivered from the pipette to the surface. After retracting the pipette, the solvent evaporates quickly and the molecules are deposited and kept by van der Waals forces at the surface.\textsuperscript{[24]}

Determining the photocatalytic activity at deposited catalyst micro- and nanoarrays by standard H\textsubscript{2} head-space gas chromatography is not suitable due to the low amount of H\textsubscript{2} evolution at such arrays compared to the measurements in homogenous bulk photocatalysis. Scanning electrochemical microscopy (SECM),\textsuperscript{[25]} as a well-established method for studying solid/electrolyte interfaces in situ has been employed to electrochemically map reaction intermediates and final products like H\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{2} at electrocatalysts for photoelectrochemical water oxidation and photocatalytically active materials,\textsuperscript{[16,26]} mainly using generator/collector mode.\textsuperscript{[16,26a,k]} In this work, we therefore investigated the prospect of electrochemically immobilizing HER-active cobaloxime complexes on two-dimensional solid support using SECCM with the ultimate aim of conducting parameter screenings with SECM. As a proof-of-principle, we

**Figure 1.** a) Synthesis of [Co(dmgH)\textsubscript{2}(py)\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{2+} [Co(dmgBPh\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{2+} BA\textsubscript{14}F\textsubscript{2} and TBA\textsubscript{2} [Co(dmgBPh\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{2+} [Co(dmgH)\textsubscript{2}(py)\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{2+} Ba\textsubscript{14}F\textsubscript{2} \textsuperscript{[14]} b) \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (CD\textsubscript{2}CN, 400 MHz, RT). Top: [Co(dmgH)\textsubscript{2}(py)\textsubscript{2}][Co(dmgBPh\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}]; middle: [Co(dmgH)\textsubscript{2}(py)\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{2+} BA\textsubscript{14}F\textsubscript{2}; bottom: TBA\textsuperscript{2} [Co(dmgBPh\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{2+} c) Solid state structure of [Co(dmgH)\textsubscript{2}(py)\textsubscript{2}][Co(dmgBPh\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}]. Space group: P-1, crystal system: triclinic. The average equatorial Co\textsubscript{2+}–N\textsubscript{4} distance is 1.90 Å in the cationic complex [Co(dmgH)\textsubscript{2}(py)\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{2+}, 1.86 Å in the anionic complex [Co(dmgBPh\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{2+} and 1.89 Å in neutral cobaloximes.\textsuperscript{[26]} Solvent and H atoms are omitted for clarity.
investigated the H₂ evolution at microarrays of three catalysts in situ using Pd-microsensors.

**Results and Discussion**

**Complex salts: Synthesis and characterization**

At the outset of this study, we wondered whether equatorial boron-based bridges other than "BF₂" could improve catalytic performance of cobaloximes and allow the fine-tuning of key properties as well as late-stage introduction of functional groups. For these reasons, we treated commercially available [Co(dmgH)₂(py)Cl] with 2.0 equivalents of triphenylborane (BPh₃) and were pleased when we were able to isolate a beige precipitate in a yield of 77% (Figure 1a, left). The presence of two distinct methyl signals in the ¹H NMR spectrum (Figure 1b, top) and the observation of both [Co(dmgH)₂(py)]⁺ (positive mode) and [Co(dmgBPh₃)₂Cl]⁻ (negative mode) fragments by high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) suggested that a double complex salt has been formed. Fortunately, we were able to obtain single crystals of the unknown compound by the slow vapor diffusion method. The X-ray crystallographic data (Figure 1c) confirmed that the product is a double complex salt of the formula [Co(dmgH)₂(py)Cl]²⁺ [Co(dmgBPh₃)₂Cl]⁻, which explains the presence of two signals (with 1:1 integral ratio) for the methyl groups “A” and “A’” in the ¹H NMR spectrum (Figure 1b, purple trace), as well as the observation of only one set of signals for phenyl substituents and pyridine ligands, respectively. A detailed overview of structural features in comparison with neutral complex [Co(dmgH)₂(py)Cl]²⁺ is provided in Table S3 in the Supporting Information.

Before discussing the interesting question of why we were able to obtain this specific onefold BPh₃-bridged double complex salt in such high yield, it is important to note that equilibrium (1) between neutral [Co(dmgH)₂(py)Cl] and the corresponding complex salt has been described before.¹⁴⁻¹⁶

![Equation](image)

In the same report, it has been proposed that this dynamic equilibrium requires the presence of Co³⁺ species and that the equilibrium position is solvent and concentration dependent. In light of a recent report by Verani and co-workers,¹⁷ we propose that BPh₃ could act not only as an electrophile but also bind reversibly to pyridine and in this way assist in the necessary ligand exchange. Additionally, there seems to be a pronounced thermodynamic preference for the formation of the BPh₃-bridged anion [Co(dmgBPh₃)₂Cl]⁻ over the BPh₃-bridged cation [Co(dmgBPh₃)₂(py)]⁺, which we have never observed even in traces (e.g., mass spectrometry). Once formed, the preferred boron-capped anion [Co(dmgBPh₃)₂Cl]⁻ therefore precipitates from the reaction mixture as a salt with counter-cation [Co(dmgH)₂(py)Cl]⁺ until most starting material is consumed.

As shown in Figure 1a, right, we were able to transform [Co(dmgH)₂(py)]⁺ [Co(dmgBPh₃)₂Cl]⁻, into “single complex salts”, in which either a cationic or anionic cobalt complex is paired with weakly coordinating counterions tetrakis[3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]borate (TBAF⁻) and tetrabutylammonium (TBA⁺). The ¹H NMR spectra of the single complex salts [Co(dmgH)₂(py)Cl]²⁺ TBA⁺ and TBA⁻ [Co(dmgBPh₃)₂Cl]⁻ (Figure 1b, middle and bottom) show that these compounds can be obtained in high purity and provide further evidence for our structural assignment, because the spectrum of the double salt is essentially the sum of the spectra of single salts with only minor changes of the chemical shifts. A similar picture emerges from the electrochemical data (in acetonitrile), which is summarized in Table 1. The double complex salt displays four reduction potentials, which correspond to the two reduction potentials observed for the stepwise reduction of Co³⁺ to Co²⁺ in the single salts.

**Light-driven HER in solution**

We proceeded with a preliminary study of the new double and single complex salts as catalysts for the light-driven HER. Experiments were carried out in triplicates, using acetonitrile as solvent, irradiation with blue LED light (460 nm), ruthenium trisbipyridine hexafluorophosphate [Ru(bpy)₃]²⁺, as commercially available photosensitizer, and a non-aqueous sacrificial electron donor system (i.e., [HNET₃]⁻ [BF₄]⁻ /NEt₃⁺ previously used by Arteyo and co-workers.¹⁸ To allow a meaningful comparison between double and single complex salts, turnover numbers (TON) were calculated as moles of generated dihydrogen per mole cobalt, and not per mole catalyst. As Figure 2 shows, all three complex salts reached similar TONs of about 60, whereas the neutral benchmark complex only reaches a TON of 35. We therefore conclude that, at least under the conditions investigated herein, all cobaloxime-based complex salts have a higher longevity than the neutral benchmark complex, suggesting that there may be extra stability derived from an electrostatic charge and/or from the BPh₃ bridge.

When inspecting the kinetic profiles for hydrogen generation as well as the turnover frequency (TOF) observed for the first hour of the reaction (Figure 2 and Table 2), the double complex salt [Co(dmgH)₂(py)Cl]⁺ [Co(dmgBPh₃)₂Cl]⁻ represents an obvious outlier. While the two single salts and the neutral complex furnish approximately 20 turnovers at the beginning of the reaction, the double complex salt significantly lags behind and only approaches its plateau for hydrogen produc-

---

**Table 1. Electrochemical data from cyclic voltammetry.**

| Reduction potentials [V] | Co²⁺/Co³⁺ | Co³⁺/Co²⁺ | Co²⁺/Co⁰ | Co⁰/Co²⁺ |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| [Co(dmgH)₂(py)Cl]⁺        | −0.63     | 0.97      | 1.17     | −1.38    |
| [Co(dmgBPh₃)₂Cl]⁻         | −1.04     | 1.46 (irr)|          |          |
| TBA⁺ [Co(dmgBPh₃)₂Cl]⁻    | −0.62     | 1.24      |          |          |
| [Co(dmgH)₂(py)Cl]⁺        | −0.69     | 1.47      |          |          |

(a) CV conditions: acetonitrile at RT, supporting electrolyte: TBAF⁻ (0.1 M), potentials vs. Fe/Fe⁺. Scan rate 50 mV/s. [cobalt salt] = 1.0 × 10⁻⁵ M. See also Figures S2–54.
and/or the turnover-limiting step of HER. Future studies will be directed towards a deeper understanding of these effects.

Deposition on carbon nanomembranes

We further investigated the local deposition of two of the catalysts, ([Co(dmgH)(py)Cl]⁺) and the commercially available [Co(dmgH)(py)Cl] already characterized in this study in respect to bulk photocatalysis (Table 2). We used SECCM to deposit arrays of micro- (Figure S16) or nanospots on NH₂-CNM either directly formed on gold-coated substrates or on NH₂-CNMs transferred to TEM grids (Figure 3a) as schematically depicted in Figure 3a. Deposition of catalysts on bare gold substrates was unsuccessful, it seems that the amino-terminated NH₂-CNM is crucial to obtain spots, a fact that has to be further investigated in a future study. The shape and size of such nanopipette induced electrolese deposition is influenced by various factors such as meniscus size, solute concentration, evaporation of the solvent etc., as recently reviewed. For depositing spots as shown in Figure 3b, a dual barrel nanopipette with an overall orifice of 100 nm (single barrel orifice: 50 nm) was filled with a solution of [Co(dmgH)(py)Cl]⁺ in acetonitrile. After forming the droplet at the surface, the SECCM pipette was kept in contact with the sample surface for 10 s followed by withdrawal and moving to a new area. Catalyst-spot in the size of ~1.5 times of the overall nanopipette orifice remained on the surface for the deposited [Co(dmgH)(py)Cl]⁺ nanospots (a 180 nm) and the corresponding color-coded EDX elemental maps for the Co, Cl, N, and O distributions, as shown in the images; scale bars: 200 nm.

Figure 2. Monitoring of photocatalytic production of dihydrogen in acetone. Proton source/sacrificial electron donor [HNEt][BF₄]/NET₃ (1000 equiv.), [catalyst] = 0.043 mM, [Ru(bpy)₃]PF₆ = 0.043 mM, light source: blue LED (460 nm, 50 mW/cm²). Error bars correspond to standard uncertainty of triplicate experiments.

Deposition on carbon nanomembranes

Figure 3. a) Scheme of the SECCM for the deposition of cobaloxime catalysts on NH₂-CNM-modified substrates. A bias voltage (25 mV) was applied between the quasi reference/counter electrodes (QRCEs) located in the barrels of the nanopipette, and the resulting ion conductance current (iₜ₉) across the meniscus was used as input for the positional feedback. Deposits on b) and c) NH₂-CNM/Au substrate and d) e) immobilized on a NH₂-CNM modified TEM grid. b) four 100–150 nm diameter [Co(dmgH)(py)Cl]⁺ spots; inset: zoomed view of a single spot. d) two spots on a modified TEM grid. One of them is on the free-standing NH₂-CNM area. c) Four 500 nm diameter [Co(dmgH)(py)Cl]⁺ nanospots, and e) two spots on a modified TEM grid. f) A HAADF STEM image of a [Co(dmgH)(py)Cl]⁺ [Co(dmgBPh₂)(py)Cl]⁺ nanopipette (a 180 nm) and the corresponding color-coded EDX elemental maps for the Co, Cl, N, and O distributions, as shown in the images; scale bars: 200 nm.

Table 2. Preliminary photocatalytic hydrogen evolution studies.

| Catalyst | TON | TOF [h⁻¹] |
|----------|-----|-----------|
| 1        | 61  | 8 ± 1     |
| 2        | 56  | 23 ± 2    |
| 3        | 65  | 19 ± 1    |
| 4        | 35  | 18 ± 2    |

[a] Turnover numbers (TON) and turnover frequencies (TOF) derived from the data shown in Figure 2.
formed structures with larger irregular shapes as shown Figure 3c (4 spots) and 3e (2 spots). TEM diffraction patterns of both deposited catalysts indicate amorphous nature (data not shown). The four [Co(dmgH)$_2$(py)Cl]$^+$ spots obtained by the same overall tip orifice (100 nm) gave spots with diameter in the range of 500 nm.

**Stability studies of the immobilized catalyst spots**

We investigated the stability of the immobilized spots on NH$_2$-CNM/Au substrates under photocatalytic conditions. The samples were immersed in an aqueous solution containing [Ru(tbbpy)$_2$(mmip)]Cl$_2$ (tbbpy = 4,4'-di-tert-butyl-2,2'-bipyridine; mmip = 1,3-dimethyl-1-H-imidazol[4,5-f][1,10]phenanthroline, abbreviated “Ru(mmip)”) as photosensitizer and ascorbic acid as sacrificial electron donor at pH 4. Ru(mmip) was chosen, as it was reported to give high catalytic activity in light-driven sacrificial electron donor at pH 4. Ru(mmip) was chosen, as it was reported to give high catalytic activity in light-driven homogeneous photocatalysis with [Co(dmgH)$_2$(py)Cl]$^+$ as catalyst.[33] High-resolution AFM images of the spots were recorded before and after illumination with a blue LED (470 nm) two times for a period of 15 h (total 30 h). AFM images of the same spots were recorded prior, after 15 and 30 h.

Figure 4 shows exemplarily the AFM topography images of a [Co(dmgH)$_2$(py)Cl]$^+$ spot (Figure 4a) and a [Co(dmgH)$_2$(py)Cl]$^+$ spot (Figure 4b), which were deposited with a dual barrel nanopipette (overall opening of ca. 400 nm) prior to the illumination experiments. As already visible in the SEM images, [Co(dmgH)$_2$(py)Cl]$^+$ has a more circular shape which approximates the pipette opening, in contrast to [Co(dmgH)$_2$(py)Cl]$^+$ that forms irregular structures with larger sizes. An overlay of line scans derived from the single spot for both catalysts (before and after the two illumination steps) (shown in Figure 4c and d, respectively) reveal no significant height change for the [Co(dmgH)$_2$(py)Cl]$^+$ spot after 30 h illumination. Whereas the height of the [Co(dmgH)$_2$(py)Cl]$^+$ catalyst spots decreased by approximately 40 nm after 30 h of illumination. Figure S17 shows the topography and deflection images of the [Co(dmgH)$_2$(py)Cl]$^+$ spots and in Figure S19b, a bar diagram is depicted comparing the average height of the spots after illumination (b, e) and 30 h of illumination (c, f). In total, we investigated eight spots for each catalyst; whereby 4 spots from two different samples were investigated. Figure 4e and f show the bar diagrams reflecting height changes. A mean change in height of only 3 ± 2% (n = 7) after 30 h illumination was determined for [Co(dmgH)$_2$(py)Cl]$^+$ with a maximum observed height change of 12 nm. Given experimental uncertainties in the measurements, we conclude that the catalyst spots maintained their original height and shape, as no trend in height change could be observed in respect to the illumination time. Small variations visible for some of the spots are within the experimental error. For the [Co(dmgH)$_2$(py)Cl]$^+$ spots, a decrease in height after 15 and 30 h was recorded for all investigated spots with an average height decrease of 21 ± 5% (n = 8) after 30 h illumination and a maximum observed height change of 98 nm. We hypothesize that either higher solubility of the deposited neutral Co complex [Co(dmgH)$_2$(py)Cl]$^+$ in solution (pH 4) or structural changes of the catalyst under photocatalytic conditions lead to the observed changes.

We also observed morphological changes after 30 h illumination in SEM images (data not shown). To exclude that higher solubility of the neutral Co complex [Co(dmgH)$_2$(py)Cl]$^+$ was responsible for the observed height changes of the catalyst, the sample was immersed for the same period of time in 0.5 mM Ru(mmip) and 0.1 M ascorbic acid solution (pH 4) under dark conditions. Figure S19a shows the height profiles of eight [Co(dmgH)$_2$(py)Cl]$^+$ spots and in Figure S19b, a bar diagram is depicted comparing the average height of the spots after illumination (H$_2$ measurements) and after immersing the sample for 30 h under dark conditions. A mean change in height of only 2 ± 3% (n = 8) after 30 h in the reaction solution was determined with a maximum observed height change of 12 nm. We conclude that the [Co(dmgH)$_2$(py)Cl]$^+$ spots are stable in the reaction solution and the immobilized [Co(dmgH)$_2$(py)Cl]$^+$ catalyst is more stable than the immobilized [Co(dmgH)$_2$(py)Cl]$^+$ under photocatalytic conditions.

**In-situ hydrogen measurements on NH$_2$-CNM immobilized Co catalyst spots**

For the in situ determination of the photocatalytic activity of such catalyst arrays, we used amperometric H$_2$ microsensors which
were positioned approx. 30 μm above the catalyst array as schematically shown in Figure 5a. For this proof of principle studies, larger arrays (9×9 spots) were deposited, which consisted of 81 spots (diameter of the spots and spacing between the spots: 1 μm) covering an area of 17×17 μm as shown in Figure S16. Larger arrays in the size of the active electrode area of the microsensor were initially chosen to ensure that the produced H₂ amount was not below the detection limit of the sensors. For in-situ H₂ measurements at the catalyst arrays, we used Pd-modified microelectrodes (diameter of 25 μm), as Pd forms a Pd hydride adsorbing H₂ into its lattice, leading to a change in its electronic properties. The Pd layers were deposited onto roughened gold microelectrodes (active surface area 5.0×10² μm²) and calibrated towards their H₂ response as shown in Figure Sb). The limit of detection of the H₂ microsensors is in the range of 10−30 μM (determined for the three microsensors used in the presented experiments). After positioning the H₂ microsensor above the catalyst array, measurements were performed in solution with Ru(mmip) as sensitizer and ascorbic acid as electron donor. H₂ measurements were recorded for a period of 1 h, which reflects the first measurement point in the bulk photocatalytic measurements (Table 2). Based on the calibration data, a hydrogen concentration of 22.33±4.71 mmol L⁻¹ (n = 3) for the [Co(dmgH)₂(py)₂][Co(dmgBPh₂Cl)]⁻ catalyst array was obtained, where the triplicate was performed at two different samples (one sample was measured twice). The same experiments were performed at [Co(dmgH)₂(py)Cl] arrays (Figure S16b), again on two different samples, where one array was illuminated twice, as well as at an array of TBA⁺[Co(dmgBPh₂Cl)]⁻ (SEM image not shown). The measurements at the [Co(dmgH)₂(py)Cl] arrays resulted in a hydrogen concentration of 1.27±0.46 mmol L⁻¹ (n = 3) (Figure 5c). Hydrogen measurements at the TBA⁺[Co(dmgBPh₂Cl)]⁻ catalyst array resulted in a concentration of 15.36±4.40 mmol L⁻¹ (n = 2). The [Co(dmgH)₂(py)₂][Co(dmgBPh₂Cl)]⁻ and the TBA⁺[Co(dmgBPh₂Cl)]⁻ array revealed a significant higher HER activity with 18 and 12 times higher H₂ concentration, respectively compared to [Co(dmgH)₂(py)Cl]. Interestingly, measurements at the same [Co(dmgH)₂(py)Cl] array for a second time resulted in significantly reduced H₂ evolution, which may be associated with the degradation of the [Co(dmgH)₂(py)Cl] spots. It is reported in the literature that the [Co(dmgH)₂(py)Cl] catalyst is less stable at pH values below pH 5. Therefore, we repeated the H₂ measurements at the [Co(dmgH)₂(py)Cl] array in a solution of 0.5 mM Ru(mmip) and 0.1 M ascorbic acid solution adjusted to pH 5 (n = 3). The obtained H₂ concentration obtained in pH 5 is only slightly higher (1.69±0.49 mmol L⁻¹, n = 3) compared to pH 4, as shown in Figure S21. There is apparently no statistical difference between the measurements carried out at pH 4 and at pH 5. Based on this finding as well as the dark control experiment described above (Figure S19), we believe that ligand degradation is highly unlikely to occur during the short time periods (60 min) of the in-situ HER experiments.

We also compared the obtained results from the in-situ H₂ measurements with the results obtained by GC headspace from the homogeneous catalysis experiments, where a lower maximal H₂ concentration of 5.23 mmol L⁻¹ for the [Co(dmgH)₂(py)₂][Co(dmgBPh₂Cl)]⁻ catalyst and 2.40 mmol L⁻¹ for the TBA⁺[Co(dmgBPh₂Cl)]⁻ catalyst was derived from the TON values reported in Table 2. It should be noted that this comparison is only a rough estimate as the experimental parameters (e.g., used sacrificial electron donor, sensitizer, pH value, solvent, etc.) were different between the homogeneous and heterogeneous photocatalytic experiments. Comparing the results of the [Co(dmgH)₂(py)Cl] catalyst, the bulk measurements yielded a maximal H₂ concentration of 1.50 mmol L⁻¹, which is slightly higher than the obtained values from the in-situ measurements.

We performed the following control experiments (Figure S20a) for the same time period (60 min) to ensure that the observed cathodic response at the Pd microsensor was related to the HER. Control experiments included measurements under dark conditions (dotted) and illumination (solid), where only ascorbic acid (black solid and dotted line) or only Ru(mmip) (red solid and dotted line) was added to solution. In these cases, no HER was detected with or without illumination (Figure S20a). A further control experiment was done at a bare gold substrate with donor and sensitizer in solution under dark condition and under illumination, leading under illumination to a shift in the stable current response due to the re-oxidation of the sacrificial donor but no observable H₂ detection, as expected. Only if the solution contains both components and is illuminated at the immobilized Co-catalyst arrays, an increase in cathodic current over the period of illumination is observed, indicating HER (see example i–t curves in Figure S20b,c).

Figure 5. a) Schematic of the SECM illumination experiment. b) Example calibrations curve for a Pd microsensor. c) Bar diagram of the H₂ concentration yield after 1 h of illumination of three catalysts. Abbreviations of the x-coordinate labelling is as follows: [Co(dmgH)₂(py)Cl]−, [Co(dmgH)₂(py)Cl], [Co(dmgBPh₂Cl)]− = [Co]−, and TBA⁺[Co(dmgBPh₂Cl)]⁻ = [Co]−. All experiments were performed under argon. Error bars reflect three measurements for [Co]−, [Co]− and Co(dmgH), and two measurements for [Co]−.
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Conclusion

In conclusion, we have developed straightforward syntheses of cobalt-based double and single complex salts with a BPh₃ bridge. Photocatalytic HER experiments in solution showed that all three complex salts described herein outperform the neutral benchmark complex [Co(dmgH₂)(py)Cl] in terms of TON. Characteristic differences in TOF suggest that the reported salts might open the door to further uses of electrostatic effects in order to modulate catalytic activity. We further demonstrated that complementary scanning probe microscopy techniques – SECCM, AFM, and SECMM – are attractive methods for producing tailored photoelectrocatalysts for heterogenous light-driven water splitting and assessing their performance. By using SECCM, cobaloxime catalyst arrays were successfully immobilized on supported and freestanding 1 nm thick NH₂-CNM (on Au substrates or TEM grids). Catalyst deposition on bare Au substrates was unsuccessful. We could show in situ measurements of H₂ due to the HER activity of immobilized [Co(dmgH₂)(py)Cl]⁰, [Co(dmgBPh₃)Cl]⁰, TBA-[Co(dmgBPh₃)Cl] and [Co(dmgH₂)(py)Cl] catalyst arrays for the first time. We correlate the difference in HER activity among other effects to the stability of the deposited catalysts, under illumination, which was investigated by AFM. The [Co(dmgH₂)(py)Cl]⁰, [Co(dmgBPh₃)Cl]⁰ catalyst spots remained stable under the photocatalytic conditions, while the [Co(dmgH₂)(py)Cl] nanospots showed a clear decrease in size (amount) after 30 h of illumination.

Experimental Section

Catalyst deposition via SECCM: SECCM experiments were performed on a home build set-up. Samples were placed on a x-y piezo table (P-541.2CD, Physik Instrumente, Karlsruhe, Germany) while the nanopipette was attached to a z-piezo positioner (P-753.2CD, PI) mounted on a stepper motor (MTS25-Z8, Thorlabs GmbH, Bergkirchen, Germany) and connected to a digital piezo controller (E-727, Physik Instrumente, Karlsruhe, Germany). Current measurements were performed with a low-noise current preamplifier (SR570, Stanford Research Systems, USA). The instrumentation was controlled, and data was collected by a FPGA card (PCIe-7852R, National Instruments, Austin, USA). The experiments were controlled using the Warwick Electrochemical Scanning Probe Microscopy (WEC-SPM) software. The setup was built on a vibration isolation table (Benchmate 2210, Kinetic Systems, Boston, USA) The instrument was set up in a faraday cage. Further a digital camera (PL-8776 U, Pixelink, Ottawa, Canada) and a cold light source (MI-150, Edmund Optics, Mainz, Germany) were used to aid the initial positioning of the nanopipette tip around 10–20 μm above the substrate. Nanopipettes with orifices in the range of 100 nm to 1.0 μm (measured accurately by SEM) were made from quartz theta capillaries (1.2 mm OD, 0.9 mm ID, Sutter Instruments, Novato, USA) using a laser pipette puller (LP-2000, Sutter Instruments). The nanopipettes were back-filled with either [Co(dmgH₂)(py)Cl]⁰, [Co(dmgBPh₃)Cl]⁰, TBA-[Co(dmgBPh₃)Cl] or [Co(dmgH₂)(py)Cl] catalyst (0.4 mM solved in acetonitrile). Ag wires were inserted in the back opening of each barrel to serve as QRCEs. The nanopipette was then approached via a piezo positioner to the surface (50 nm s⁻¹) while a bias of 25 mV was applied between the two QRCEs. The DC ion current, measured between the QRCEs in the two barrels, was used as feedback for detecting meniscus contact.[37] The nanopipette was kept for 10 s at the surface before withdrawing the nanopipette and moving to the next position where it was again approached towards the surface in an approach, hold, withdraw, move protocol.

In-situ H₂ measurements via SECM: For HER measurements, Pd-modified Au/Ni (etched) microelectrodes were used as H₂ micro-sensors. The SECM studies were performed in a three-electrode setup with a Pd-modified microelectrode as working electrode, an Ag/AgCl QRE and a Pt wire as counter electrode. For the electrochemical experiments, a PalmseS4 potentiotstat (Palmms, Houten, Netherlands) was used. The Pd microsensor was positioned at a distance of 30 μm above the catalyst array, by recording approach curves in 5 mM 1,1′-ferrocendimethanol/0.1 M KCl solution. To measure the photocatalytic activity, the solution was removed, and the sample was washed 3 times with high purity water. Then the electrochemical cell was filled with 0.5 mM [Ru(bbbpy)₃(mmip)][Cl] in 0.1 M ascorbic acid solution (pH 4 or pH 5) and purged with argon for at least 15 min to remove dissolved oxygen. A constant tip potential of ~600 mV vs. Ag/AgCl was applied to the Pd-modified microelectrode. All measurements were performed under argon atmosphere. A 400 μm optical fibre (MT-28L01, Thorlabs GmbH, Bergkirchen, Germany) connected to a 21.8 mW blue LED (M470F3, Thorlabs GmbH) was used for illumination.

Additional Information

Deposition Number 1958254 (for [Co(dmgH₂)(py)Cl]⁰, [Co(dmgBPh₃)Cl]⁰) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service.
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We report the synthesis of a new cobaloxime-based double complex salt and its use as a catalyst for the homogeneous and heterogeneous, light-driven hydrogen evolution reaction (HER). We successfully deposited these catalysts by scanning electrochemical cell microscopy (SECCM) on supported and free-standing 2D amino-terminated carbon nanomembranes (CNMs) and quantified hydrogen by using Pd microsensors.