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Abstract. Crouzon syndrome is one of the most common syndromic craniosynostosis. We present complex course of treatment in a child with Crouzon syndrome presented with multisuture craniosynostosis and hydrocephalus. A 3-months-old boy was admitted with clinical signs of hydrocephalus and turribrachycephaly. Firstly, the hydrocephalus was addressed. The ventriculoperitoneal shunt with programmable valve was implanted. Secondly, in May 2017, posterior calvarial remodeling was done. Finally, after the child recovered, anterior calvarial remodeling with fronto-orbital advancement was performed. This completed the calvarial remodeling in this patient. Child is doing well, so far completing milestones of child development in time. This case presents an extremely complex case of syndromic multisuture craniosynostosis, in which it was necessary to perform the entire calvarial remodeling to correct the deformity and to provide a chance to the brain to grow and develop without constrictions.
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Introduction

Crouzon syndrome was described in 1912 by neurologist Louis Crouzon. It is one of the most common syndromic craniosynostosis [1]. It is a genetic disorder with autosomal dominant inheritance, including more than 30 mutation of gene FGFR2 (fibroblast growth factor receptor 2) [2]. Clinically, it is presented with craniosynostosis and facial hypoplasia. The craniosynostoses is usually involves multiple sutures. The facial appearance is caused by underdeveloped maxilla that is responsible for typical phenotype-hypertelorism, exophthalmos, and short nose. Eye closing can be difficult in prominent exophthalmos while breathing difficulties occur due tomaxilla deformity. Interestingly, children with this disorder are usually of normal intelligence [2–4].

We present complex course of treatment in a child with Crouzon syndrome presented with multisuture craniosynostosis and hydrocephalus.

Case presentation

History

He is the second child from third properly administrated pregnancy. There were not earlier congenital anomalies in family. During a routine sonography in the 37th week of pregnancy, dilatation of the cerebral ventricles was noted with no other fetal disturbances. After birth, turribrachycephaly was apparent, but also large bulging anterior fontaneland palpable calvarial defects. No other anomalies were noted, except high-arched palate.

Operation

At that time, the 3-months-old boy was admitted with clinical signs of hydrocephalus and turribrachycephaly. Computed tomography (CT) showed extremely dilated cerebral ventricles, all sutures were wide open and the bone was extremely thin due to the raised intracranial pressure. So, during the same hospitalization, in December 2006, ventriculo-peritoneal shunt (VP shunt) with programmable valve was implanted to treat the hydrocephalus. The child recovered well after surgery. With addressing the hydrocephalus, time has been given for bone ossification and reduction of the size of bone defects so the remodeling can be done (Figs. 1–2).

In May 2017, posterior calvarial remodeling was done. The bone covering the posterior fossa was completely removed followed by bilateral osteotomies 1cm posterior to the coronal suture. The bone band approximately 2cm wide was removed and repositioned to reconstruct the occiput. Following this, the remaining parietal and occipital bone was divided in two pieces and rotated to create adequate cranial shape. All bone pieces were fixed using 2.0 absorbable surgical sutures. With this, decompression of posterior cranial segment was done as well as remodeling of the calvarium. The child recuperated well after surgery.

In October 2017, final surgical procedure was performed, an anterior calvarial remodeling. Using the same incision of the previous surgery, frontal bone was exposed just below supraorbital ridges bilaterally. After bilateral osteotomy at the coronal suture and 1.5 cm
above supraorbital margin of the frontal bone, the frontal bone was elevated in one piece. Then, fronto-orbital bandeau was made followed by fronto-orbital advancement. The bone was advanced for approximately 2 cm and fixed using resorbable plates and screws.

Post-operation period

This completed the calvarial remodeling in this patient (Figs. 3–4). Child is doing well so far, completing milestones of child development in time. Facial advancement is due to be done after the child is approximately 6 years old.

Discussion

In the literature so far, numerous surgical techniques have been described to treat this complex craniosynostosis. Usually, the patient needs several surgeries to attain good results.

To achieve posterior decompression and remodeling, some authors consider that craniectomy of the posterior fossa is enough [5]. Others, expand entire posterior part of the calvarium using springs or distractors [6]. However, using this technique is creating a need for additional surgery, to remove the distracters and springs, thus additionally complicating the treatment course. Design and size of craniotomy are planned according to wanted gain and shape.

Simultaneous forehead remodeling and fronto-orbital advancement is performed to deal with anterior calvarial deformity [8].
Later in life, facial reconstruction needs to be done since the maxilla, nasal complex, and zygomatic body are hypoplastic and the orbits are shallow. These deformities lead to functional problems that may include airway obstruction (up to 50% of these patients may have sleep apnoea) [8], exorbitism with corneal ulceration, and lid dislocation.

Using the distraction osteogenesis the mid-face advancement can be achieved. The technique is carried out with monobloc distraction in younger children (less than 2 years of life) and Le Fort III osteotomies and distraction in age 6–10 [9].

Sometimes the treatment course can be simpler. Thompson et al. described a Crouzon syndrome case, where only occipital cranial vault advancement and decompression was done. Several years later, only the facial correction was necessary [10].

De Jong et al. recommended only fronto-orbital advancement [11]. Jeevanet al. consider a posterior decompression as primary treatment and suggest that the fronto-orbital advancement is recommended for the Crouzon syndrome cases without midface hypoplasia [12].

In our presented case, after dealing with hydrocephalus, we performed firstly posterior decompression and later anterior calvarial remodeling. Decompression was achieved and shape of the skull was satisfactory. Since the patient still has no significant airway obstruction, we decided on the delayed timing for mid-face advancement.

**Conclusion**

This case presents an extremely complex case of syndromic multisuture craniosynostosis, in which it was necessary to perform the entire calvarial remodeling to correct the deformity and to provide a chance to the brain to grow and develop without constrictions.
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