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INTRODUCTION

Since 2006, it has been mandatory for graduates from Japanese dental schools who have passed the National Board Dental Examination to participate in a 1-year postgraduate training [1, 2]. Therefore, it has become necessary for final-year students in dental schools to select a postgraduate residency facility before taking the national examination. This selection is based on a programme using the Gale–Shapley stable matching algorithm [3] provided by the Japan Dental Residency Matching Programme (hereinafter referred to as ‘matching programme’).

In the field of medicine, a 2-year residency programme has been mandatory since 2004, and the selection of residency facilities was made through the same matching system as that used for dentistry [4, 5]. However, it was pointed out that this new residency system led to the acceleration of a shortage in the number of doctors in rural areas [6]. Furthermore, it was reported that doctors who underwent residency training in urban areas often continued to work in urban areas after completing their residency training [6]. Thus, examining the region where doctors undergo postgraduate training is important for evaluating the geographic distribution of doctors.

In contrast, in the field of dentistry, the problem of community healthcare has been overshadowed by the problem of a surplus of dentists, and the field of dentistry has not attracted public attention compared with the field of medicine [7, 8]. The field of dentistry differs from the field of medicine, except for university hospitals, given that there are few residency facilities designated by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (MHLW), and the results of the matching programme show that the matching rate for university hospitals is higher compared with the rate in the field of medicine [9, 10]. However, after the start of the new dental residency programme, the matching rate for university hospitals in rural areas has continued to be low compared with that for university hospitals in urban areas [10]. Similar to doctors, the geographic distribution of dentists in rural areas has become a potential problem. Outside Japan, it has been reported that in countries such as Australia, there is a shortage in the number of dentists in rural and remote areas [11-13]. In the United States, the majority of the population has sufficient dental care, but residents in remote areas still experience the problem of limited access to dental care [14, 15]. Furthermore, in Canada, it was reported that the proportion of dentists in rural areas was 11% compared with 21% of the general population in the same areas [16]. Thus, many examples showing the problem of inequalities in access to dental care in urban and rural areas have been identified. Ensuring not only efficiency but also fairness is important for access to healthcare in rural and remote areas. Even if there is no issue with the ratio of dentists to the general population,
the overall number of dentists in rural and remote areas is insufficient due to the extended distance from the area of the residents to the clinics. In Japan, dental care is covered by National Health Insurance [17], and in the majority of the cases, dental care is supported by this public assistance. Therefore, to ensure fairness and a system that allows any citizen to receive care and ‘equal treatment based on the latest standards anytime and anywhere’, it is critical to promote the training of dentists who will support healthcare in local communities. With the emergence of the issue of a super-aged society, the promotion of programmes such as home healthcare by the MHLW in Japan has increased the importance of establishing a foundation for local community healthcare, and the supply and geographic distribution of dentists in rural areas have become critical policy issues in the field of social dentistry [17].

Therefore, the principal aim of this study was to elucidate background factors influencing the selection of residency facilities by dental students to construct a practical training platform for dentists who will support healthcare in local communities. A detailed analysis of background factors influencing the selection of residency facilities by dental students will contribute to attracting dental trainees and students who wish to work in regional universities located in provinces as well as contribute to the development of human resources who will support healthcare in local communities in the future.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We used data from the 9-year period from 2005 to 2013 based on the list of students held by the Educational Affairs Section of Kagoshima University Faculty of Dentistry and the list of matching programme results of the Residency Unit of the General Affairs Section of Kagoshima University Medical and Dental Hospital (total number of students, 554; males, 361; females, 193). Items analysed were as follows: gender, age, year of graduation, repeating a school year, failing the national examination, hometown and prefecture where the residency facility was located. Based on these items, multiple logistic regression analysis was performed on students who selected their alma mater for their residency facility, those who selected a facility in their hometown and those who selected a facility in an urban location (i.e. more urban than the area of their alma mater or their hometown). All statistical analyses were performed using the PASW v.21 statistical package (IBM SPSS Statistics, IBM Corporation, Chicago, IL, USA), and statistical significance was set at \( p < 0.05 \).

Ethical considerations were addressed using data from anonymous records, which cannot be linked to individuals; no data contained personally identifiable information. Furthermore, the present study was part of a study approved by the Ethics Committee for Epidemiological Studies of Kagoshima University Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences (Approval No. 375).

RESULTS

Students’ characteristics and the proportion of students selecting the residency facility at Kagoshima University for their respective specialities are shown in Table 1. The male: female ratio was approximately 2:1. The age of the largest group was 25 years, followed by a group with the age of 26 years, with the third largest group being in the age group of 30–34 years. It is required that students should reach the minimum age of 24 years before their final year for admission into graduate school; the proportion of these students was 11.2%. The proportion of students who repeated a school year before graduation was 25.8%, implying that approximately one of four students had repeated a school year. The number of students who failed the national examination and participated in the repeated matching programme was 11.7%. Regarding the hometown of students, the number of students from Kagoshima Prefecture, where Kagoshima University is located, was the greatest at 25.8%, followed by 25.1% being the number of students from the Kyushu region, excluding Kagoshima; the majority of students were from the Kyushu region (the region to which Kagoshima Prefecture belongs). The proportion of students from prefectural capitals with populations smaller and greater than the population of Kagoshima City was 34.7% and 39.4%, respectively.

The results of the multiple logistic regression analysis of the relationship between the selection of the alma mater for their residency facility and background factors are shown in Table 2. An association between the selection of the residency facility and the items concerning repeating a school year and having Kagoshima as their hometown (the location of the alma mater) was observed; particularly, the association with having Kagoshima as their hometown was strong. In contrast, no gender differences were noted. Furthermore, no significant association with age was noted on the basis of 24-year-old students who had neither failed the entrance examination nor repeated a school year. No significant differences were noted in any year when compared with the start of the matching programme in 2005.

The results of the multiple logistic regression analysis of the association with the selection of the residency facility in the hometown of students are shown in Table 3. It was noted that, compared with males, females more often selected a residency facility in their hometown. No association was observed for age, year of graduation or failing the national examination. When the Kyushu region, except for Kagoshima, was used as the point of reference, it was shown that students from Kinki and Kanto regions did not select residency facilities in their hometown.

The multiple logistic regression analysis of the association with the selection of residency facilities in urban areas was performed. The results relating to whether students selected facilities located in prefectures within prefectural capitals that had populations greater than the population of Kagoshima City are shown in Table 4. No significant relationship was observed for gender, age, year of graduation, repeating a school year, failing the national examination or hometown. The results relating to whether students selected facilities located in prefectures within prefectural capitals that had greater populations than the population of the prefectural capital of their hometown are shown in Table 5. Similar to the case of Table 4, no significant relationship was observed for gender, age, year of graduation, repeating a school year or failing the national examination. However, a preference for urban areas was observed for those from Shikoku, Kinki, Kanto, Tohoku and Hokkaido regions.
Table 1. Characteristics of final year students in Kagoshima University Faculty of Dentistry from 2005 to 2013.

| Variables                        | Number | %    | Number of matched Kagoshima Univ. | %    | \( \chi^2 \)-test |
|----------------------------------|--------|------|-----------------------------------|------|-------------------|
| **Gender**                       |        |      |                                   |      |                   |
| Male                             | 361    | 65.2 | 187                               | 51.8 |                   |
| Female                           | 193    | 34.8 | 80                                | 41.5 | 0.020*            |
| **Age**                          |        |      |                                   |      |                   |
| 24                               | 62     | 11.2 | 28                                | 45.2 |                   |
| 25                               | 140    | 25.3 | 52                                | 37.1 |                   |
| 26                               | 99     | 17.9 | 41                                | 41.4 |                   |
| 27                               | 54     | 9.7  | 24                                | 44.4 |                   |
| 28                               | 41     | 7.4  | 22                                | 53.7 |                   |
| 29                               | 30     | 5.4  | 20                                | 66.7 |                   |
| 30–34                            | 84     | 15.2 | 53                                | 63.1 |                   |
| 35–39                            | 22     | 4.0  | 13                                | 59.1 |                   |
| 40–69                            | 22     | 4.0  | 14                                | 63.6 | 0.002**           |
| **Year of graduation**           |        |      |                                   |      |                   |
| 2005                             | 75     | 13.5 | 38                                | 50.7 |                   |
| 2006                             | 72     | 13.0 | 41                                | 56.9 |                   |
| 2007                             | 64     | 11.6 | 32                                | 50.0 |                   |
| 2008                             | 61     | 11.0 | 24                                | 39.3 |                   |
| 2009                             | 56     | 10.1 | 22                                | 39.3 |                   |
| 2010                             | 57     | 10.3 | 30                                | 52.6 |                   |
| 2011                             | 55     | 9.9  | 32                                | 58.2 |                   |
| 2012                             | 60     | 10.8 | 24                                | 40.0 |                   |
| 2013                             | 54     | 9.7  | 24                                | 44.4 | 0.217             |
| **Repeat year**                  |        |      |                                   |      |                   |
| No                               | 411    | 74.2 | 175                               | 42.6 |                   |
| Yes                              | 143    | 25.8 | 92                                | 57.4 | 0.000***          |
| **Fail the National Exam.**      |        |      |                                   |      |                   |
| No                               | 489    | 88.3 | 230                               | 47.0 |                   |
| Yes                              | 65     | 11.7 | 37                                | 53.2 | 0.134             |
| **Hometown**                     |        |      |                                   |      |                   |
| Kagoshima prefecture             | 143    | 25.8 | 107                               | 74.8 |                   |
| Kyushu region (except Kagoshima) | 139    | 25.1 | 61                                | 43.9 |                   |
| Shikoku region                   | 31     | 5.6  | 10                                | 32.3 |                   |
| Chugoku region                   | 29     | 5.2  | 8                                 | 27.6 |                   |
| Kinki region                     | 80     | 14.4 | 36                                | 45.0 |                   |
| Chubu region                     | 56     | 10.1 | 18                                | 32.1 |                   |
| Kanto region                     | 60     | 10.8 | 24                                | 40.0 |                   |
| Tohoku region, Hokkaido region,  | 16     | 2.9  | 3                                 | 18.8 | 0.000***          |
| Overseas                         |        |      |                                   |      |                   |
| \(<\)Kagoshima City             | 192    | 34.7 | 38                                | 65.3 |                   |
| \(>\)Kagoshima City             | 218    | 39.4 | 80                                | 36.7 | 0.303             |
| \((\text{Kagoshima City})\)     | (143)  | (25.8) | (107)                        | (74.8) |                    |
| \((\text{Overseas})\)           | (1)    | (0.0) | (0)                              | (0.0) |                   |
| **Total**                        | 554    | 100.0| 267                               | 48.2 |                   |

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001
Table 2. Multiple logistic regression analysis of characteristics associated with selection of Kagoshima University.

| Characteristics               | Odds Ratio | 95% C.I. | P value |
|-------------------------------|------------|----------|---------|
| Gender                        |            |          |         |
| Female                        | 0.80       | 0.54     | 1.19    | 0.269   |
| 24 (as standard)              |            |          |         |
| 25                            | 0.76       | 0.40     | 1.45    | 0.403   |
| 26                            | 0.86       | 0.43     | 1.72    | 0.671   |
| 27                            | 0.96       | 0.43     | 2.14    | 0.927   |
| 28                            | 1.27       | 0.53     | 3.07    | 0.594   |
| 29                            | 2.06       | 0.76     | 5.58    | 0.155   |
| 30 ~ 34                       | 1.94       | 0.89     | 4.22    | 0.096   |
| 35 ~ 39                       | 1.72       | 0.58     | 5.16    | 0.330   |
| 40 ~ 69                       | 1.28       | 0.41     | 4.02    | 0.668   |
| Graduation year               |            |          |         |
| every 1 year from 2005        | 1.01       | 0.94     | 1.09    | 0.809   |
| Repeat year                   |            |          |         |
| Yes                           | 1.68       | 1.00     | 2.80    | 0.049*  |
| Fail the National Exam.       |            |          |         |
| Yes                           | 0.75       | 0.40     | 1.43    | 0.388   |
| Hometown                      |            |          |         |
| Kagoshima prefecture (as standard) | 0.25     | 0.15     | 0.43    | 0.000***|
| Kyushu region (except Kagoshima) | 0.18     | 0.08     | 0.44    | 0.000***|
| Shikoku region                | 0.14       | 0.05     | 0.34    | 0.000***|
| Chugoku region                | 0.31       | 0.17     | 0.56    | 0.000***|
| Kinki region                  | 0.17       | 0.09     | 0.34    | 0.000***|
| Chubu region                  | 0.23       | 0.12     | 0.44    | 0.000***|
| Kanto region                  | 0.06       | 0.01     | 0.23    | 0.000***|
| Tohoku region, Hokkaido region, Overseas | 0.06     | 0.01     | 0.23    | 0.000***|

*p<0.05, ***p<0.001

**DISCUSSION**

A significant relationship was observed between selecting the alma mater for their residency facility and having Kagoshima Prefecture as their hometown (hereinafter referred to as ‘prefectural students’). Concerning the selection of Kagoshima University by prefectural students, the factors of alma mater and hometown were noted. First, an examination of alma mater was performed. There are 11 national university dental schools in Japan, and eight of these are located in cities designated by government ordinance (those with a population of 700,000 or more, hereinafter referred to as ‘urban areas’), and three are located in provincial cities (hereinafter referred to as ‘provincial areas’), including Kagoshima University (Fig. 1). Japan is divided into eight regions: Hokkaido, Tohoku, Kanto, Chubu, Kinki, Chugoku, Shikoku, and Kyushu/Okinawa. One national university dental school is located in each of the regions of Hokkaido, Tohoku, Kanto, Chubu, Kinki, Chugoku, Shikoku, and Kyushu/Okinawa. The mean matching of students from the same school in national universities from 2005 to 2013 (the ratio of students from the same school for the matching quota) was 64.2%; the mean of those from urban areas was 62.2%, and the mean of those from provincial areas was 71.4% [9]. The mean rate was 74.2% for students from Kagoshima University, showing the same trend as the mean of those from provincial areas. It has been reported that dental students prefer to pursue their education in the area in which they live [18]; similarly, in Japan, the rate of students who selected their alma mater was high. However, the reason why the same school ratio was higher for provincial areas compared with that for urban areas was that the quotas for matching were not met in provincial facilities compared with those met in urban facilities [9]; it appears that the same school ratio was higher. Concerning department quotas, the proportion of
students who selected their own school for the residency facility, for example, in 2011 was 77.6% (319/411) in urban areas and 71.3% (102/143) in rural areas [9]. This is probably due to the combined factors of alma mater and the preference for urban areas in the urban area group. Therefore, the alma mater factor greatly contributed to facility selection; however, it was thought that the contribution of this factor was not as great in the provincial area group when compared with that in the urban area group.

Next, the selection of hometown was examined. According to an investigation made by the MHLW Health Policy Bureau, one reason for the selection of residency facility in their hometown was being near the parental home [10]. This can be also applied to the reason for which the prefectural students select Kagoshima University Medical and Dental Hospital as their residency facility, where those from other prefectures (hereinafter referred to as ‘non-prefectural students’) return to their hometowns. Previous studies have shown a trend for doctors to find employment in their hometown [19], and it can be assumed that a similar trend was shown for dentists. However, prefectural students were strongly inclined to select Kagoshima University when compared with non-prefectural students selecting their hometown. There could be two reasons for this. First, although there are 47 prefectures in Japan, there are only 19 prefectures having dental schools (with 11 prefectures having national universities); thus, there are many cases in which students have no dental school-affiliated hospital in their hometown. A medical school with a department of oral and maxillofacial surgery exists in each prefecture (in Japan, dentists can select oral and maxillofacial surgery for their initial residency training), but the number of students admitted is small (during the period from 2005 to 2013, the number of seats for dental school-affiliated hospitals was 27,999, while the number of seats for medical schools was 2,785 [9.9%]) [9]. The second reason is thought to be the coexistence of the

Table 3. Multiple logistic regression analysis of background factors associated with selection of hometown.

|                | Odds Ratio | 95% C.I. | P value |
|----------------|------------|----------|---------|
|                |            | Lower    | Upper   |
| Gender         |            |          |         |
| Female         | 1.82       | 1.02     | 3.22    | 0.041* |
| Age            |            |          |         |
| 24 (as standard) |          |          |         |
|                | 0.54       | 0.21     | 1.38    | 0.199  |
|                | 0.63       | 0.23     | 1.71    | 0.365  |
|                | 0.37       | 0.11     | 1.19    | 0.096  |
|                | 1.74       | 0.40     | 7.50    | 0.458  |
|                | 0.89       | 0.11     | 6.96    | 0.913  |
|                | 0.34       | 0.10     | 1.21    | 0.095  |
|                | 0.40       | 0.07     | 2.37    | 0.313  |
|                | 0.72       | 0.10     | 5.05    | 0.737  |
| Graduation year|            |          |         |
| 2005 (as standard) |      |          |         |
|                | 0.97       | 0.87     | 1.09    | 0.625  |
| Repeat year    | Yes        | 1.68     | 0.72    | 3.94   | 0.229  |
| Fail the National Exam. | Yes | 1.54 | 0.52 | 4.54 | 0.434 |
| Hometown       | Kyushu region (except Kagoshima) (as standard) |   |         |
|                | Shikoku region | 0.90 | 0.32 | 2.56 | 0.849 |
|                | Chugoku region | 0.87 | 0.30 | 2.46 | 0.789 |
|                | Kinki region | 0.25 | 0.11 | 0.59 | 0.002** |
|                | Chubu region | 1.00 | 0.43 | 2.34 | 0.993 |
|                | Kanto region | 0.34 | 0.14 | 0.81 | 0.015* |
|                | Tohoku region, Hokkaido region, Overseas | 0.51 | 0.14 | 1.85 | 0.307 |

*p<0.05, **p<0.01
Table 4. Multiple logistic regression analysis of background factors associated with selection of cities with a population greater than that of Kagoshima City.

| Gender          | Odds Ratio | 95% C.I. | P value |
|-----------------|------------|----------|---------|
| Female          | 1.47       | 0.55     | 3.93    | 0.44   |
| 24 (as standard)|            |          |         |        |
| 25              | 0.35       | 0.06     | 1.93    | 0.23   |
| 26              | 0.51       | 0.09     | 3.00    | 0.45   |
| 27              | 0.74       | 0.10     | 5.36    | 0.77   |
| 28              | 0.19       | 0.01     | 2.41    | 0.20   |
| 29              | 0.11       | 0.01     | 1.58    | 0.11   |
| 30~34           | 0.35       | 0.04     | 2.88    | 0.33   |
| 35~39           | 0.69       | 0.04     | 11.86   | 0.80   |
| 40~69           | 0.41       | 0.02     | 6.73    | 0.53   |

| Age             | Odds Ratio | 95% C.I. | P value |
|-----------------|------------|----------|---------|
| 20~23           | 1.15       | 0.97     | 1.37    | 0.11   |
| 24              |            |          |         |        |

| Graduation year | Odds Ratio | 95% C.I. | P value |
|-----------------|------------|----------|---------|
| every 1 year from 2005 | 1.15 | 0.97 | 1.37 | 0.11 |

| Repeat year | Odds Ratio | 95% C.I. | P value |
|-------------|------------|----------|---------|
| Yes         | 1.56       | 0.37     | 6.61    | 0.55   |

| Fail the National Exam. | Odds Ratio | 95% C.I. | P value |
|-------------------------|------------|----------|---------|
| Yes                     | 1.35       | 0.25     | 7.45    | 0.73   |

| Hometown | Odds Ratio | 95% C.I. | P value |
|----------|------------|----------|---------|
| Kagoshima prefecture (as standard) |            |          |         |        |
| Kyushu region (except Kagoshima) | 0.51 | 0.14 | 1.88 | 0.31 |
| Shikoku region | 0.31 | 0.06 | 1.65 | 0.17 |
| Chugoku region | 1.87 | 0.18 | 19.68 | 0.60 |
| Kinki region | 0.60 | 0.16 | 2.27 | 0.45 |
| Chubu region | 0.88 | 0.17 | 4.52 | 0.87 |
| Kanto region | 0.70 | 0.17 | 2.86 | 0.62 |
| Tohoku region, Hokkaido region | 3.07x10⁸ | 0.00 | 1.00 |        |

Factors of hometown and alma mater as well as the fact that prefectural students selected their hometown, and non-prefectural students selected a location other than their hometown at the time of starting dental school. That is, non-prefectural students had already selected a location other than their hometown; therefore, it can be concluded that they had no reluctance in selecting another location outside their hometown. On the other hand, for prefectural students, because the obstacle of ‘leaving hometown’ exists, the ratio of prefectural students who selected ‘alma mater’ was notable. Furthermore, concerning the relationship between alma mater and repeating a school year, similar to the results of this study, another study from Akita (a provincial city located in the Tohoku region) also reported that students who had repeated a school year were significantly more likely to select the residency facility in the area in which their university was located [20]. Because the matching rate for the first choice during the period from 2005 to 2013 ranged from 70.7 to 78.0% [9], it was assumed that under the unfavourable condition of repeating a school year, the first choice could not be matched, and their last choice became their alma mater, or that they avoided popular facilities and selected the alma mater that was not meeting their quotas as their first choice. The probability of matching one of the top three choices was 94.2% during the period from 2005 to 2013 [9].

Next, an analysis of the significance of females returning to their hometown in comparison with males was performed. In an investigation of the employment of students from Japanese national universities in provincial areas, it was reported that 36.7% and 28.7% of females and males, respectively, had a preference for their hometown [21]. Furthermore, the preference for the local region to which their hometown prefecture belongs was 75.5% for females.
Table 5. Multiple logistic regression analysis of background factors associated with selection of cities with a population greater than that of the hometown area.

|                | Odds Ratio | 95% C.I.     | P value |
|----------------|------------|--------------|---------|
|                |            | Lower        | Upper   |
| Gender         |            |              |         |
| Female         | 1.47       | 0.55         | 3.930   | 0.44    |
| 24 (as standard)|           |              |         |
| 25             | 2.615      | 0.623        | 10.974  | 0.189   |
| 26             | 0.884      | 0.187        | 4.173   | 0.876   |
| 27             | 1.172      | 0.218        | 6.316   | 0.853   |
| 28             | 8.354      | 0.646        | 108.026 | 0.104   |
| 29             | 1.695      | 0.089        | 32.247  | 0.726   |
| 30～34         | 1.296      | 0.211        | 7.971   | 0.779   |
| 35～39         | 0.000      | 0.000        | 0.999   |         |
| 40～69         | 1.462      | 0.089        | 24.146  | 0.791   |
| Age            |            |              |         |
| Graduation year|            |              |         |
| every 1 year from 2005 | 1.076 | 0.905 | 1.280 | 0.407 |
| Repeat year    |            |              |         |
| Yes            | 1.095      | 0.241        | 4.971   | 0.907   |
| Fail the National Exam.| 0.851 | 0.153 | 4.729 | 0.854 |
| Hometown       |            |              |         |
| Kagoshima prefecture (as standard) |         |              |         |
| Kyushu region (except Kagoshima) | 2.335 | 0.447 | 12.198 | 0.315 |
| Shikoku region | 10.987     | 1.664        | 72.547  | 0.013*  |
| Chugoku region | 0.784      | 0.060        | 10.186  | 0.853   |
| Kinki region   | 11.678     | 2.629        | 51.870  | 0.001*  |
| Chubu region   | 3.799      | 0.668        | 21.603  | 0.132   |
| Kanto region   | 7.115      | 1.543        | 32.811  | 0.012*  |
| Tohoku region, Hokkaido region, Overseas | 10.684 | 1.325 | 86.177 | 0.026* |

*p<0.05

and 64.8% for males; a strong preference for hometown was previously shown [21], and similar studies from the United States were reported [22]. Moreover, in Japan, parents hope that their children will select employment in their hometown, but in terms of gender, it has been reported that this hope is stronger for women than for men [23]. Furthermore, this hope was more prevalent in fathers than in mothers [23]; hence, the fact that fathers want their daughters to remain close by can be considered as a sociocultural factor. That is, it is assumed that the synergistic effect of the preference of female students and parents, particularly the father, led to the higher rate of women having a preference for their hometown. Concerning the selection of hometown, compared with students from Kyushu, excluding Kagoshima Prefecture, students from Kanto or Kinki regions showed a significantly lower correlation; therefore, the cause was examined. These two regions are the eastern and western centres of economic activity in Japan [24]. The regions contain many cities forming single economic blocs, with Tokyo as the centre of Kanto and Osaka as the centre of Kinki; both areas possess highly developed transportation networks [25]. Thus, it can be inferred that it is common for residents of these areas to work in locations differing from their place of residence; thus, the rate of students selecting residency facilities in other prefectures that are easy to commute to led to this higher rate. Therefore, it is difficult to state that the preference for the hometown of students from Kanto or Kinki was lower compared with the preference of students from other regions.

Finally, the analysis of the preference for urban areas was performed. A relationship with the preference for urban areas was observed among students from Shikoku, Kinki, Kanto, Tohoku and Hokkaido regions. Concerning Kinki and Kanto regions, there are many residency facilities located in such urban areas, but as previously mentioned in the section
dealing with hometown, this is thought to be because of the highly developed transportation networks, and it is possible for residents to commute to work from their hometown. For example, in the case of students from the neighbouring prefectures of Tokyo in the Kanto region (Kanagawa, Chiba and Saitama Prefectures), these students have a preference for a region with their hometown prefecture but also have a preference for urban areas. The same is applicable to the Kinki region for students from Nara, Hyogo and Kyoto Prefectures with regard to commuting to Osaka. The reason for the preference for urban areas in Shikoku, Tohoku and Hokkaido is unclear, but it may be because there is only one dental school-affiliated hospital in Shikoku, and it is located in the prefectural capital of Tokushima, which has the lowest population of the four prefectures in Shikoku. In Canada, it has been reported that there is a strong association between the population of hometown and selection of work location [26]. Concerning Tohoku and Hokkaido, because the overall number of students was small, it was not possible to independently calculate their number; therefore, we could not discuss the reason for the preference for urban areas in these groups.

LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

First, the results of matching may not have been the first choice of students; therefore, our analysis did not necessarily and accurately reflect the intentions of students. The mean matching rate for the first choice from 2005 to 2013 was 74.8% [9]. Second, it was highly likely that students who failed the national examination participated in matching until they passed; because the names of these students appeared multiple times in the list of students, it was possible that they influenced the results of this study. Third, because no analysis of students in universities located in government ordinance-designated cities has been performed, the difference in the behaviour of students from urban and provincial areas remains unclear. Fourth, although it has been reported that for students in a relationship, their partner was a background factor that influenced the selection of the residency facility [22], no investigation regarding the same has yet been performed.

Thus, further investigation is needed concerning the abovementioned issues pointed out in the present study.

CONCLUSION

In this study, it was clear that the hometown was an extremely strong factor in the selection of residency facilities by dental students in national universities located in provincial areas. It was reported that in Japan, in the field of medicine, a high ratio of resident doctors who underwent residency training in urban areas remained in those areas after the end of their residency training [6]. However, students undergoing residency training in provincial areas and continuing clinical practice in the same areas were also reported [27]. Unlike medical departments, national or prefectural departments of dentistry are not in each prefecture in Japan [9], which has led to an imbalance in quotas for local students at the prefectural level. Therefore, it is difficult to establish quotas. However, among those who had a preference for their hometown, there were some who had a preference for their home prefecture, while others had a preference for a region with their home prefecture, and as already shown, both male and female students of national universities in rural areas had a high preference for a region with their home prefecture [21]. Thus, the establishment of local quotas (such as a quota for students from Southern Kyushu) in national universities located in provincial areas, where possible, is one of the most effective policies. The establishment of deliberate policies to ensure the appropriate number of students and dental trainees who will support dental healthcare in the local community in the future is essential. It is the authors’ opinion that in future studies, it will be necessary to examine...
the selection of employment location by dentists who have completed their residency training.
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