Reporter PET Images Bortezomib Treatment-Mediated Suppression of Cancer Cell Proteasome Activity

Jin Hee Lee1,2, Kyung-Ho Jung1,2, Cung Hoa Thien Quach1, Jin Won Park1,2, Seung Hwan Moon3, Young Seok Cho1 & Kyung-Han Lee1,2

Proteasomal protein degradation is a promising target for cancer therapy. Here, we developed a positron emission tomography (PET) technique based on the sodium-iodide symporter (NIS) gene fused with the carboxyl-terminal of ornithine decarboxylase (cODC) that noninvasively images cancer cells with inhibited proteasome activity. A retroviral vector was constructed in which the murine cODC degron was fused to the human NIS gene (NIS-cODC). Transiently transduced CT26 and HT29 colon cancer cells and stably expressing CT26/NIS-cODC cells were prepared. In cancer cells transiently transduced with NIS-cODC, NIS expression and transport activity was low at baseline, but NIS protein and 125I uptake was significantly increased by inhibition of proteasome activity with bortezomib. Stable CT26/NIS-cODC cells also showed increased cytosolic and membrane NIS by bortezomib, and four different stable clones displayed bortezomib dose-dependent stimulation of 125I and 99mTc-0 uptake. Importantly, bortezomib dose-dependently suppressed survival of CT26/NIS-cODC clones in a manner that closely correlated to the magnitudes of 125I and 99mTc-0 uptake. CT26/NIS-cODC tumors of bortezomib-treated mice demonstrated greater 124I uptake on PET images and increased NIS expression on tissue staining compared to vehicle-injected animals. NIS-cODC PET imaging may allow noninvasive quantitative monitoring of proteasome activity in cancer cells treated with bortezomib.

Essential tumor-supporting machineries are an attractive target for cancer therapy1, and a key example is regulated protein degradation that occurs predominantly via the 26S proteasome complex2,3. Cancer cells characteristically have elevated proteasome activity4 because it offers a survival advantage by eliminating oncoproteins5. Indeed, treatment with proteasome inhibitors can induce cell cycle arrest and apoptotic death of cancer cells6,7. Therefore, the proteasome system is a promising target for cancer therapy and the ability to image its activity in living bodies could contribute to the development of new anticancer drugs.

An opportunity to identify cells with reduced proteasome activity is provided by specific protein sequences that are promptly recognized and eliminated through the proteasome system8. The C-terminal degron of mouse ornithine decarboxylase (cODC) is promptly recognized by 26S proteasomes for rapid ubiquitin-independent degradation9. Hence, in cancer cells, cODC-fused proteins undergo prompt degradation at baseline but accumulate when proteasome activity is suppressed by treatment with proteasome inhibitors. Vlashi et al. previously engineered cancer cells to stably express cODC-fused ZsGreen10, and cancer cells expressing this reporter were tractable in mice treated with proteasome inhibitors11. However, this technique has limited usefulness for quantitative monitoring of cancer cells in living bodies due to poor depth penetration of fluorescent signals.

The sodium iodide symporter (NIS) reporter can overcome this limitation and offers many additional advantages for in vivo imaging12-14. In human tissues, Expression of this selective iodide carrier is limited to the thyroid, salivary gland, gastric mucosa, and lactating mammary gland15. It does not influence underlying cell biochemistry, and by using species-specific sequences, it can avoid immune responses that are problematic with foreign reporter proteins. Furthermore, NIS imaging tracers do not require radiochemical synthesis, and multiple types of radioisotopes with a wide range of half-lives can be selected for positron emission tomography (PET) or γ-camera imaging. Indeed, our group has previously shown NIS gene imaging useful for tracking various types of cells in living bodies13,16.
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In this study, we constructed a novel reporter system consisting of the human NIS gene fused to the cODC degron. Cancer cells transiently or stably transfected with the construct were assessed for NIS expression and substrate transport activity in response to proteasome inhibition. We further investigated the capacity of the NIS-cODC reporter to image tumors in mice treated with bortezomib with radioiodine PET.

**Results**

**Proteasome inhibition of transduced cells increases NIS accumulation and substrate transport.** Figure 1 illustrates our pQCXIN retroviral expression vector in which the carboxyl terminus 37 amino acids of the murine cODC degron was fused to the NIS gene (NIS-cODC). The vector was first tested by transient transfection in CT26 and HT29 colon cancer cells. Proteasome activity of these cells was completely abrogated by treatment with bortezomib (Fig. 2A). Transfected CT26 cells showed very low NIS expression at baseline, supporting the rapid degradation of NIS-cODC. However, 16 h inhibition of proteasome activity with 4 μM bortezomib induced a marked increase of NIS accumulation that was 17.5 ± 1.1 fold higher than non-transfected cells (Fig. 2A). Furthermore, whereas NIS-cODC transfected cells displayed no increase or only mild increases in radioiodine uptake at baseline, bortezomib stimulated 125I uptake to 594.8 ± 73.5% of control level for HT29 cells, and 125I and 124I uptake to 272.4 ± 29.4% and 236.3 ± 22.8% for CT26 cells (Fig. 2B). Lower baseline uptake level for HT29 compared to CT26 cells suggesting lower leakiness of expression might be explained by the 66.5 ± 1.4% greater proteasome activity for HT29 compared to CT26 cells (Fig. 2A).

**NIS function in stably expressing CT26/NIS-cODC cells treated by bortezomib.** We next prepared CT26 cells stably expressing NIS-cODC (CT26/NIS-cODC cells) through clonal selection. Exposure of four different CT26/NIS-cODC clones for 48 h with bortezomib caused dose-dependent increases of 99mTc-O4− and 125I uptake in a highly similar pattern (Fig. 3A). 99mTc-O4− and 125I uptake reached 481.3 ± 57.0% and 478.8 ± 64.9% of untreated controls, respectively, by 25 nM bortezomib. Furthermore, 36 h treatment of CT26/NIS-cODC cells (clone #9) induced a 76.3 ± 5.5-fold increase of total NIS protein by 200 nM bortezomib, and 10- and 47-fold increases of membrane-localized NIS protein by 100 and 200 nM bortezomib, respectively (Fig. 3B).

Using CT26/NIS-cODC cells (clone #9), we compared the in-vitro activity of the PET reporter system to proteasome activity during treatment with graded doses of PS341. The results showed that proteasome activity was substantially reduced to 24.8% of untreated level by 16 h treatment with 12.5 nM of PS341, and almost completely inhibited by 25 nM or 50 nM (3.1% and 1.2% of untreated level, respectively). Under these conditions, NIS protein level gradually increased to 303.4 ± 68.4%, 398.9 ± 42.4%, and 951.7 ± 36.4% of untreated control level. Similarly, 125I uptake level gradually increased to 124.1 ± 2.4%, 150.6 ± 13.2%, and 357.9 ± 14.1% of untreated control level (Fig. 4A). Correlation analysis showed that NIS expression and 125I uptake increased exponentially as log proteasome activity decreased. Furthermore, 125I uptake level showed a close linear relation to NIS expression level (r = 0.98; P < 0.0001; Fig. 4B).

**Effects of bortezomib on NIS substrate uptake and survival of CT26/NIS-cODC cells.** We next tested the dose-dependent effects of bortezomib on the survival CT26/NIS-cODC and CT26 cells. After 72 h treatment with 50 nM bortezomib, two CT26/NIS-cODC clones appeared to survive slightly better (52.7 ± 2.0 and 51.7 ± 2.0%) than CT26 cells (44.2 ± 2.8%; both P < 0.01), but two other clones showed no difference (45.5 ± 1.5% and 48.1 ± 2.0%; Fig. 5A).

Importantly, we compared bortezomib’s effect on NIS substrate transport and its effect on cell survival. In four different stable CT26/NIS-cODC clones, there was a close correlation between 125I uptake in cells exposed...
to 25 nM bortezomib for 48 h and the magnitude of cell loss by treatment with 25 nM (r = 0.958, P < 0.05) or 50 nM bortezomib (r = 0.957, P < 0.05; Fig. 5B). 99mTc-O4− uptake in cells exposed to 25 nM bortezomib for 48 h faithfully recapitulated this close correlation with cell loss by treatment with 25 nM (r = 0.959, P < 0.05) or 50 nM bortezomib (r = 0.980, P < 0.05; Fig. 5B).

124I PET imaging quantitatively monitors tumor response to bortezomib treatment. To investigate the usefulness of NIS-cODC reporter imaging for monitoring proteasome inhibitor response in vivo, we prepared mice harboring subcutaneous CT26 or CT26/NIS-cODC tumors. PET/CT imaging showed that, without bortezomib treatment, neither type of tumors took up significant amounts of 124I (Fig. 6A,B). However, after treatment with just 2 doses of bortezomib, CT26/NIS-cODC tumors displayed significantly increased 124I uptake (Fig. 6A,B). Immunohistochemistry confirmed high levels of NIS protein in tumors of this group, but very small amounts of NIS in tumors of the other two groups (Fig. 7A). Quantitative PET analysis confirmed greater tumor-to-background SUVmax ratios for bortezomib-treated CT26/NIS-cODC tumors (1.66 ± 0.33) compared to CT26 tumors (1.16 ± 0.07, P = 0.008) or CT26/NIS-cODC tumors without treatment (1.20 ± 0.13, P = 0.008; Fig. 7B). SUVmean ratios of uptake were also significantly greater for bortezomib-treated CT26/NIS-cODC tumors (2.02 ± 0.53) compared to CT26 tumors (1.32 ± 0.14, P = 0.009) or CT26/NIS-cODC tumors without treatment (1.32 ± 0.11, P = 0.012). Ex vivo measured tumor-to-muscle count ratios of extracted tissue reiterated these results (2.99 ± 0.49 vs. 1.73 ± 0.22 and 2.17 ± 0.19, respectively; Fig. 7B). Correlation analysis verified a high correlation between PET image-based SUVmax ratios and ex vivo measured tissue count ratios (rho = 0.864, P < 0.0001; Fig. 7B).

Figure 2. Cancer cells transiently expressing NIS-cODC. (A) Bortezomib (PS341) at 50 nM abrogated proteasome activity in CT26 and HT29 colon cancer cells transiently expressing NIS-cODC (left). Data are mean ± standard deviation (SD) of fluorescent intensity of quadruplicate samples. Western blots for NIS and β-actin (loading control) in CT26 cells at day 3 of NIS-cODC transfection (right). Blots were cropped with single blot parts separated by space. For full length blot pictures, see Supplement Fig. 1. Bars are mean ± SD of duplicate band intensities normalized to β-actin bands expressed as fold of controls. (B) HT29 cell uptake of 125I (left) and CT26 cell uptake of 125I (middle) and 124I (right) at day 3 of NIS-cODC transfection after 16 h treatment with bortezomib or vehicle. Bars are mean ± SD of % uptake of triplicate samples obtained from a single experiment representative of 2 separate experiments.
Discussion

Construction of reporters that contain the cODC sequence provides a unique opportunity to monitor proteasome activity. Although cODC-fused fluorescent reporters are useful for identifying cancer cells with poor proteasome activity in vitro, poor signal penetration limits their value for imaging living bodies. Here, we introduce a non-invasive PET reporter system based on cODC-fused NIS that allows quantitative imaging of cancer cells with suppressed proteasome activity in vivo.

The cODC consists of a 37-residue terminal-sequence that is recognized and rapidly degraded by the 26S proteasome. Because this occurs in a ubiquitin-independent manner, no posttranslational modifications are required for substrate preparation. Hence, cODC-tagged constructs readily act as substrates to probe proteasome-mediated degradation in vitro as well as in vivo. This degradation tag functions autonomously and can be appended to other proteins for proteasome targeting. The cODC sequence is highly conserved, and tagging with the murine cODC leads to efficient degradation of proteins from various species by mammalian proteasomes.

Although CT26 and HT29 cells are derived from colon tumors, they do not show significant endogenous NIS expression. In our study, we transduced cells with a retroviral vector driven by the CMV promoter, which results in constitutive expression of NIS, unaltered by the environment. In our study, CT26 and HT29 cells showed complete abrogation of proteasome activity in response to bortezomib treatment. In accordance with the rapid degradation of cODC-fused proteins under steady-state conditions, cells transiently transduced with NIS-cODC showed very low NIS expression at baseline. However, the cells displayed a substantial increase of NIS protein when proteasome activity was inhibited by bortezomib. The minimal amount of NIS-cODC protein at baseline allowed reporting of low proteasome activity with increases of NIS-mediated uptake of radioiodine and radio-technetium substrates.

Treatment of stably transfected CT26/NIS-cODC clones to bortezomib caused dose-dependent increases of $^{99m}$Tc-$\text{O}_4^-$ and $^{125}$I uptakes. In clones 1 and 20, $^{125}$I uptake was higher by treatment with 25 nM of PS341 compared to 12.5 nM, but $^{99m}$Tc-$\text{O}_4^-$ uptake was not. Although the cause for this finding is not clear, it is noteworthy that these 2 clones had apparently lower NIS-cODC transfection efficiency compared to clones 9 and 8. $^{99m}$Tc-$\text{O}_4^-$ has been reported to rapidly efflux out of cancer cells transfected with the NIS gene. It might therefore be possible...
that $^{99m}$Tc-O$_{4}^{-}$ efflux in these cells is more rapid than that of radioiodine, causing less apparent differences in $^{99m}$Tc-O$_{4}^{-}$ accumulation according to NIS expression in cancer cells with low transfection efficiency. The crucial role proteasomes play in degrading regulatory proteins that suppress cancer cell proliferation has led to clinical trials of proteasome inhibitors for cancer treatment. The ability to monitor proteasome activity of tumors in vivo can contribute to the development of new drugs that are more effective and apply to a broader range of malignancies. We investigated this issue in CT26 cells engineered to stably express NIS-cODC. Our results showed that graded concentrations of bortezomib resulted in substantial and dose-dependent reductions of cell number by bortezomib for both CT26 and CT26/NIS-cODC cells. In contrast to a previous study where stable ZsGreen-cODC expression was found to be stressful for cancer cells, we found that NIS-cODC expression by itself did not exert cellular stress. Importantly, the amount of increase of NIS substrate transport in bortezomib-treated CT26/NIS-cODC cells closely correlated to the magnitude of suppression in cell survival. This finding supports the possibility that the level of stimulated radioiodine uptake might help monitor cancer cell response to proteasome inhibitor treatment.

Finally, we investigated the ability of our reporter system to image inhibited proteasome activity in tumors of living mice. For this purpose, CT26 cells conveniently produced a syngeneic tumor model in wild type BALB/c mice. PET/CT imaging of CT26/NIS-cODC tumors revealed significantly increased radioiodine uptake after only 2 doses of bortezomib. This drug is considered a potent and specific proteasome inhibitor compared to the less specific and weaker predecessors, making it suitable for in vivo application. Bortezomib is the first-in-class therapeutic proteasome inhibitor approved for human use, and several clinical studies have established its efficacy in multiple types of refractory cancers. In our experiments, CT26/NIS-cODC tumors of bortezomib-treated animals displayed clearly increased radioiodine uptake on PET and showed strong NIS expression on tissue staining, which demonstrates the capacity of our reporter to noninvasively image tumor response to proteasome inhibition.

In clinical trials, bortezomib is administered at doses of up to 2 mg/m$^{2}$ for a cumulative dose of 40 mg/m$^{2}$. Assuming a body-mass index of 25 kg/m$^{2}$, these doses would amount to approximately 0.08 and 1.6 mg/kg, respectively. Extrapolation of human doses to mice cannot be done based on body weight alone, because species-dependent biochemical and functional systems alter pharmacokinetics. Small animals require larger drug dose compared with humans on a weight basis, and for mice, a multiplication correction factor of 12.3 is recommended. In our case, this yields a single dose of approximately 1 mg/kg, which is the dose that we used. In a previous study, Momose et al. imaged mice harboring HEK293 tumors that stably expressed ZsGreen-cODC after injecting a single dose of bortezomib. In their study, tumor fluorescence was greatest with 4 mg/kg bortezomib and weak with 1 mg/kg. Therefore, it is likely that higher doses of bortezomib would have resulted in higher levels of tumor radioiodine uptake.
In our study, radioiodine uptake assessed in vivo by PET was highly accurate in comparison to uptake confirmed from extracted tissues. Whereas optical imaging of fluorescent signals is limited by depth of light penetration and scatter, gamma rays generated from positron annihilation have high tissue penetrance. Hence,
these issues are not limiting for PET-based reporter imaging. Therefore, our NIS reporter PET system may provide highly accurate quantitative measurements and is expected to work just as well for deep seated orthotopic tumor models as in subcutaneous tumor models. Together, our results demonstrate the potential usefulness of NIS-cODC reporter PET for noninvasive monitoring of tumor response to proteasome inhibitors.

A limitation of this study is that we did not compare radioiodine uptake level stimulated by bortezomib to actual antitumor effects. Although this experiment was beyond the scope of the present study, which was to investigate the capacity of our reporter PET system to image proteasome inhibition, the issue deserves exploration in future studies.

In conclusion, we have developed a novel reporter PET technology based on the NIS gene fused with the cODC degron, which allows robust monitoring of suppressed cancer cell proteasome activity in response to treatment. Accumulation of NIS-cODC protein by low proteasome activity led to increased tumor radioiodine uptake that was noninvasively imaged by PET in a quantitative manner. This technique may therefore be useful for noninvasive monitoring of tumor response to proteasome inhibitors, which could facilitate new drug development.

Figure 6. PET/CT imaging of tumor response to Bortezomib treatment. (a,b) In vivo maximum intensity projection (MIP) views (A), and coronal (B, top) and transaxial (b, bottom) tomographs of $^{124}$I PET/CT images of mice harboring CT26 (left, arrow) or CT26/NIS-cODC tumors (arrows, middle and right). Animals with CT26/NIS-cODC tumors were injected with 1 mg/kg bortezomib (PS341; right) or vehicle (DMSO; middle) at 24 h and 12 h prior to PET imaging. Boxes in MIP views show ROIs placed on tumor and contralateral background regions.
Materials and Methods

Construction of the NIS-cODC expression cassette and retroviral vector. The cODC sequence directs the recognition site of the proteasome. A retroviral expression vector containing the cODC degron fused to the ZsGreen gene (pQCXIN-ZsGreen-cODC) was a kind gift from Dr. Frank Pajonk (David Geffen School of Medicine at University of California, LA). We removed the ZsGreen gene sequence from this vector by digestion with BamHI and EcoRI restriction enzymes (Takara, CA). The human NIS gene sequence cut out with identical restriction enzymes was then cloned into the vector to produce pQCXIN-NIS-cODC, which expresses the NIS-cODC fusion protein. The wild type human NIS that we used efficiently transports radioiodine in various in vitro and in vivo systems\(^{13,14,16}\). This gene sequence is comprised of 2490 nucleotides that encode a protein of 643 amino acids as previously described\(^{26}\). pQCXIN-NIS-cODC was transfected into PT67 packaging cells (Clontech, CA) in high-glucose DMEM media (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) to produce retroviral vectors.

Cell culture and transient transfection. CT26 mouse colon cancer cells and HT29 human colon cancer cells from the American Type Culture Collection were maintained in RPMI (Lonza) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Serana, Germany) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco Laboratories, Gaithersburg, MD) at 37 °C and 5% CO\(_2\) in a humidified atmosphere. Cells were sub-cultured twice a week. To prepare transient transfectants, 5 × 10\(^4\) cells were seeded into 24-well plates and transduced with pQCXIN-NIS-cODC or empty pQCXIN plasmids (control) by adding 2 μg DNA per well mixed with 2 μl lipofectamine LTX (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) in opti-MEM (Gibco). Proteasome inhibition was performed by adding bortezomib (PS341; Calbiochem, MA) to the culture media.

Stably expressing cell lines. Stably-expressing CT26 cells were prepared because, unlike HT29 tumors that require immune-deficient mice, CT26 tumors are conveniently generated in wild-type mice. CT26 cells were infected with retroviral particles and selected 72 h later under 200 μg/ml geneticin (Gibco). Single-cell clones expressing NIS-cODC (CT26/NIS-cODC cells) or ZsGreen-cODC (CT26/ZsGreen-cODC cells) were isolated by plating dilute suspensions, and surviving clones were picked up, amplified in media containing geneticin, and stored in liquid nitrogen.

Immunoblotting for NIS proteins. Cells were lysed with cold protein extraction solution (PRO-PREP; Intron, Korea) containing a protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). Membrane protein was also prepared to assess membrane localized NIS. For this, cells were scrapped and homogenized in lysis buffer containing sucrose (0.0856 g/mL), 10 mM N-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperazine-N’-(2-ethanesulfonic acid),
1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 10 μg/mL aprotinin, and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonylfluoride. Following removal of cell debris, the supernatant was incubated with 0.1 M Na2CO3 at 4 °C for 1 h. The samples were filled with buffer containing sucrose (0.0856 g/mL), 10 mM HEPES, and 1 mM MgCl2 and then centrifuged at 42,000 rpm for 1 h at 4 °C. After centrifugation, the membrane fraction pellet was suspended in phosphate buffered saline (PBS).

Cell lysate (30 μg) or membrane fraction protein (10 μg) were separated by electrophoresis on a 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel, followed by transfer to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Bio-RAD, CA). The membrane was blocked with 5% nonfat milk in Tris-buffered saline and polysorbate-20 for 1 h at room temperature and incubated overnight at 4 °C with primary antibodies against NIS or β-actin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, TX). Membranes were then incubated at room temperature for 1 h with secondary anti-rabbit IgG antibody for NIS and anti-mouse IgG antibody for β-actin (Cell Signaling, MA). Immune reactive proteins were detected by chemiluminescence, and band intensities were quantified on a GS-800 densitometer using Quantity One software (Bio-Rad Laboratories).

**Cellular radiiodine and radio-technetium uptake measurements.** Cells were incubated for 1 h with 74 kBq 125I (Perkin Elmer, MA), 110 kBq 124I, or 740 kBq 99mTc-O4− added to the culture media in 5% CO2 at 37 °C. Cells were rapidly washed twice with cold PBS, lysed with 0.1 N NaOH, and measured for cell-bound radioactivity on a high energy γ-counter (Perkin-Elmer) for 125I or 99mTc-O4− and γ-counter (Wallac) for 124I. Uptake levels were normalized to protein content for each sample.

**Proteasome activity assay.** To measure proteasome activity, 1.2 × 106 cells in 6-well plates were washed and collected by scraping with 0.5% NP-40 in distilled water. After incubation for 30 min at ~20 °C and centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C, supernatants were measured for proteasome activity with a fluorometric assay kit (Bio Vision, CA). Briefly, cell extracts were mixed with 100 μl assay buffer and 1 μl Suc–LLVY–7-amino-4-methylcoumarin (Suc–LLVY–AMC) substrate. Fluorescence was measured at 350 nm excitation and 440 nm emission wavelengths in a microplate reader at 37 °C for 30 min. Proteasome activity was measured as rate increase of fluorescent signals from Suc–LLVY–AMC degradation.

**Sulforhodamine B (SRB) survival assay.** Surviving cell content was measured by SRB assays. Briefly, cells seeded overnight on a 96-well plate were treated for the indicated durations of time, and cell monolayers were fixed with 10% (wt/vol) trichloroacetic acid at 4 °C. After cells were stained with SRB dye (Sigma-Aldrich) for 30 min, excess dye was removed by repeated washing with 1% (v/v) acetic acid. Protein-bound dye was finally dissolved in 10 mM Tris base solution and subject to spectrophotometric measurement of absorbance at 510 nm using a microplate reader.

**In vivo 124I PET imaging and ex vivo tumor uptake.** Animal experiments were performed in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Samsung Medical Center. Three groups of tumor-bearing animals were prepared in 8-week-old wild type male BALB/c mice by subcutaneous injection of 1 × 106 cancer cells into the right shoulder region. The control group had tumors formed by non-transduced CT26 cells (n = 5). The second and third groups had CT26/NIS-cODC tumors and were intraperitoneally injected with DMSO vehicle (n = 6) or 1 mg/kg bortezomib (n = 8), respectively, at 12 and 24 h prior to PET imaging. When tumor size reached approximately 1.5 cm in diameter, animals were injected with 4 MBq of 124I through the tail vein. Imaging was performed 1 h later under isoflurane anesthesia, using a small-animal PET/CT scanner (Inveon; Siemens, Germany) without respiratory gating. PET imaging was followed by non-enhanced CT without attenuation correction. Care was taken to maintain an environment of 23–25 °C.

A square ROI was placed on the tumor region with highest activity on coronal PET, and a mirrored ROI was placed on the contralateral shoulder as background. Tumor-to-background ratios of mean and maximum standardized uptake values (SUVmean and SUVmax) were used as indices of uptake. Immediately after PET imaging, animals were sacrificed by cervical dislocation. Tumor and background tissues were extracted, weighed, and measured for 124I radioactivity on a high-energy γ-counter. Ex vivo measurements were expressed as weight-corrected tumor-to-muscle count ratios.

**Immunohistochemistry staining of tissue sections for NIS expression.** After micro-sectioning formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissues at 4 μm thickness, heat-induced antigen retrieval was performed for 3 min in pH 9.0 EDTA buffer (Dako). Sectioned slides were then incubated overnight with an anti-human NIS rabbit polyclonal antibody (1:100 dilution; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at 4 °C. This was followed by incubation with HRP-labeled polymer-conjugated secondary antibodies against rabbit IgG (Dako, CA) for 30 min at room temperature. A color reaction was induced using the ready-to-use 3,3′-diaminobenzidine substrate chromogen solution (Dako) for 5 min, followed by washing with distilled water. Sections were lightly counterstained with hematoxylin for 30 seconds before dehydration and mounting.

**Statistical analysis.** Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Significance of difference between groups was analyzed by two-tailed unpaired Student’s t-tests for two groups and ANOVA with Tukey’s post-hoc tests for three or more groups. Correlation was evaluated by linear regression or Spearman’s rank-order analysis. P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Data Availability.** All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.
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