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ABSTRACT
Objective: To explore the factors influencing doctors’ job satisfaction and morale in China, in the context of the ongoing health system reforms and the deteriorating doctor–patient relationship.

Design: Cross-sectional survey using self-completion questionnaires.

Study setting: The survey was conducted from March to May 2012 among doctors at the provincial, county and primary care levels in Zhejiang Province, China.

Results: The questionnaire was completed by 202 doctors. Factors which contributed most to low job satisfaction were low income and long working hours. Provincial level doctors were most dissatisfied, followed by doctors at high-level hospitals. While 41% of doctors at high-level hospitals were satisfied with their work—compared to 43% in primary care—the number of sources illustrates low levels of retention of doctors. Evidence from a number of sources illustrates low levels of morale in the profession. In a study of 933 doctors in 29 public hospitals in Shandong province, 49% said they intended to leave the profession.6 Other studies have shown that only 24% of doctors would choose the profession if they had a second chance2 and 78% would not want their own children to be doctors.3 At Shanghai Jiao Tong University, which is among the top five in the country, 10% of the second year medical students transferred to other majors in 2013.4 These worrying manifestations of discontent come at a time when more doctors are needed, given the pressures of an ageing population5 and a growing non-communicable diseases burden.6 Recruitment and retention of doctors have become major challenges for the health system in China.7

Conclusions: Doctors have low job satisfaction overall. Recruitment and retention of doctors have become major challenges for the Chinese health system. Measures must be taken to address this, in order to ensure recruitment and retention of doctors in the future. These measures must first include reduction of doctors’ workload, especially at provincial hospitals, partly through incentivisation of appropriate utilisation of primary care, increase in doctors’ salary and more effective measures to tackle patient violence against doctors.

INTRODUCTION
The Chinese medical profession is facing serious problems with the recruitment and retention of doctors. Evidence from a number of sources illustrates low levels of morale in the profession. In a study of 933 doctors in 29 public hospitals in Shandong province, 49% said they intended to leave the profession.6 Other studies have shown that only 24% of doctors would choose the profession if they had a second chance2 and 78% would not want their own children to be doctors.3 At Shanghai Jiao Tong University, which is among the top five in the country, 10% of the second year medical students transferred to other majors in 2013.4 These worrying manifestations of discontent come at a time when more doctors are needed, given the pressures of an ageing population5 and a growing non-communicable diseases burden.6 Recruitment and retention of doctors have become major challenges for the health system in China.7

There is evidence that this situation is worsening,8 so urgent measures are needed to reverse this trend. Clearly, such measures need to include addressing the underlying causes of this discontent. The aim of this study was to explore these underlying causes.
by surveying the views of doctors working at three levels of the health system: tertiary, secondary and primary care. Primary level facilities are supposed to provide preventive and basic medical services, while secondary and tertiary hospitals provide specialised care. The study was conducted in 2012, 3 years after the inception of major health system reforms, aiming to provide universal healthcare by 2020 with a focus on strengthening primary care. The reforms have also had impacts on doctors’ working conditions: changes to health insurance have made healthcare more affordable at all levels, resulting in an increased workload for doctors, especially at the secondary and tertiary level hospitals, even for minor illness. The introduction of an essential drug list for primary care, which aims to reduce perverse incentives for overprescribing to forbid profit on drugs, has reduced doctors’ autonomy and reduced their income. This loss of income from the mark-up in primary care has been replaced with a fixed salary and, in some places, a performance-based bonus, which in most cases is lower than previous earnings.

Another important contributor to morale is the recent deterioration in the doctor–patient relationship. The most extreme manifestation of this is a rise in levels of violence against health workers, along with damage and disturbance to health facilities. In China, this phenomenon is known as Yi Nao, which translates as medical or hospital disturbance. This is usually caused by patients or their relatives as a reaction to what may be perceived, rightly or wrongly, as failures or mistakes by hospital staff. Sometimes the situation escalates with aggrieved patients and relatives hiring criminal gangs, prepared to go to extreme lengths, to threaten the hospital to provide compensation. Yi Nao events are not rare. The Ministry of Health reported that the number of ‘major disturbances’ involving physical violence nearly doubled from 9831 in 2006 to 17 245 in 2010. In a 2006 study of 270 hospitals, over 70% reported that they had experienced Yi Nao incidents. A study of 12 hospitals in 2009 revealed that, of 2464 medical professionals, 50% experienced workplace violence over the past 12 months, with 20% encountering physical abuse at least once. A 2012 survey conducted by the Chinese Hospital Association in 316 public hospitals in 30 provinces revealed that the proportion of hospitals which reported incidents of physical violence causing harm had increased from 48% in 2008 to 64% in 2012. Of these, 8% of hospitals reported six or more incidents of physical violence every year. Violence against health personnel is not unique to China. It has been reported from many other countries, including countries as diverse as the UK, the USA, Italy, Saudi Arabia, Pakistan and Japan. Many other countries are facing challenges with the recruitment and retention of doctors. Therefore, lessons from the Chinese experience are relevant for other countries.

The overall objectives of this study were: (1) to explore the factors influencing doctors’ job satisfaction and morale, with a special focus on the impacts of health system reforms and the deteriorating doctor–patient relationship, and (2) to compare doctors working at the three levels in the Chinese health system.

METHODS

Sampling and data collection

This cross-sectional survey was conducted from March to May 2012 in health facilities in Zhejiang province, Eastern China. Zhejiang has a population of 55 million and is ranked fourth in terms of GDP per capita among China’s 33 provinces.

A multistage stratified purposive sampling method was adopted (table 1). We first selected four cities or counties which represented high-level (Hangzhou and Yiwu), middle-level (Anji) and low-level (Xianju) economic development in Zhejiang province. In the second stage, 10 health facilities were purposively sampled in the four cities/counties to represent a range of health facilities: in urban areas, a multispecialism provincial hospital (tertiary level) in Hangzhou, the main county hospitals (secondary level) in Anji and Xianju, respectively, and two community health centres (CHCs)/township health centres (THCs) (providers of primary care in urban and rural areas) in each city/county were invited to participate (one in Xianju county refused). In total, four CHCs in urban cities and three THCs in rural counties were selected based on their general representativeness in the city/county.

At provincial level hospitals and county hospitals, participants were internal medical doctors and surgeons, who

| Cities     | Income level | Participating hospitals | Sample size | Total sample size |
|------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------|------------------|
| Hangzhou   | High income  | 1 provincial hospital   | 48          | 60               |
|            |              | 2 CHCs                  | 12          |                  |
| Yiwu       | High income  | 2 CHCs                  | 54          | 54               |
| Anji       | Middle income| 1 county hospital       | 24          | 41               |
|            |              | 2 THCs                  | 17          |                  |
| Xianju     | Low income   | 1 county hospital       | 19          | 47               |
|            |              | 1 THC                   | 28          |                  |
| Total      | Low income   |                         | 10          | 202              |

CHCs, community health centres; THCs, township health centres.
were present in inpatient wards at the time of the survey. At CHCs and THCs, primary care physicians present in clinics at the time of the survey were recruited.

Prospective participants were told that the questionnaire was about job satisfaction, that completion was voluntary, and that respondent anonymity and confidentiality would be strictly protected.

### Measurement methods

We developed the questionnaire based partly on existing questionnaires with some items added and modified to specifically reflect the Chinese setting. Most questions used a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (not satisfied at all or strongly disagree) to 5 (extremely satisfied or strongly agree). The questionnaire included items about job satisfaction in general, perceptions about patients’ health seeking behaviours and experience of patient aggression. Reverse scoring was used for questions phrased in the negative. The questionnaire was piloted, and modifications were made according to the feedback.

### Statistical analysis

The data were analysed using IBM SPSS V.21. Comparisons between three levels of facility were conducted using \( \chi^2 \) tests. We generated an overall job satisfaction score by computing the mean of 19 satisfaction items. The satisfaction score ranges from 1 (the lowest satisfaction) to 5 (the highest satisfaction). Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was performed to compare satisfaction scores by levels of response of associated factors controlling for gender, age and education.

### RESULTS

#### Sample characteristics

Characteristics of the study sample are shown in table 2. Two hundred and two doctors completed questionnaires with a response rate of 81%. Forty-eight were from the provincial hospital, 43 from county hospitals, and 111 from primary care facilities. The mean age was 35.2 (SD=7.6), and 105 doctors were male, with 85 female. Only 29% of primary care doctors had obtained a 5-year formal medical education qualification compared with 93% and 96% at the county and provincial levels, respectively.

#### Workload and pay

The workload varied considerably with the level of the hospital. Provincial hospital doctors worked the longest hours; 60% routinely worked more than 60 h/week with 23% working more than 30 h/week in overtime (additional work hours and on a ‘forced voluntary’ basis largely due to heavy workload; table 2). For county level doctors, these figures were 47% and 9%, and primary level doctors reported 21% and 2%, respectively. Sixty-nine per cent of provincial hospital doctors saw over 50 patients in clinic per day with 46% seeing over 100 patients a day. There were 35% of doctors at secondary level facilities who saw over 50 outpatients per day and 33% at the primary level. Not surprisingly, consultation times were reported to be very short. Nearly 38% of provincial hospital doctors spent 4 min or less on average for each outpatient. This was in comparison with 14% in county hospitals, and 7% in primary care. Ninety per cent of doctors at the provincial hospital reported that they did on-call duties (which usually involved being available on site overnight to deal with referrals and problems), followed by the county level (81%) and primary level (48%). Eighty-seven per cent of provincial hospital doctors were required to do research in order to be eligible for promotion. This was in comparison with 42% and 28% in county level and primary care, respectively.

Remuneration consists of two parts: a basic salary and a bonus. For most doctors (74%), their monthly salary was between 1000 and 3000 RMB (1 USD=6.16 RMB in 2012), with only 1% paid more than 5000 RMB per month and 29% paid between 3000 and 5000 RMB. Interestingly, 37% of county hospital doctors were paid less than 1000 RMB monthly and none of them earned over 3000 RMB. However, 19% and 17%, respectively, in primary care and tertiary hospitals were paid between 3000 RMB and 5000 RMB. Up to 94% of junior doctors were paid 3000 RMB or less, compared to 77% of middle-ranked doctors and 65% of senior doctors. Annual bonuses varied mainly by the level of the hospital: 79% in primary care, 91% in secondary hospitals and 38% in the tertiary hospital reported receiving 30 000 RMB or less. Half (51%) in the tertiary hospital received a bonus between 30 000 and 50 000 RMB, while only 15% and 9%, respectively, in primary and secondary hospitals earned this amount. Overall, only 12 doctors (6%) reported receiving 50 000 RMB or more; seven of these were primary care doctors, five tertiary care doctors with none being county hospital doctors. Of those who did overtime, more than 80% were not paid for it.
Table 2  Characteristics of the sample and basic working conditions by level of hospital n (%)  

| Level of hospital | Total N=202 | CHCs N=111 | County N=43 | Provincial N=48 | p Value ($\chi^2$ tests) |
|-------------------|------------|-----------|-------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Age (mean and SD) | 35.2 (7.6) | 36.1 (8.6) | 34.2 (7.4)  | 34.0 (4.1)     | 0.196                  |
| Gender            |            |           |             |                | 0.001                  |
| Male              | 105 (52.0) | 45 (40.5) | 33 (76.7)   | 27 (56.3)      |                        |
| Female            | 85 (42.1)  | 59 (53.2) | 10 (23.3)   | 16 (33.3)      |                        |
| Missing           | 12 (5.9)   | 7 (6.3)   | 0           | 5 (10.4)       |                        |
| Education level   |            |           |             |                | 0.000                  |
| Postsecondary level or less | 78 (38.6) | 75 (67.6) | 3 (7.0)     | 0 (0)          |                        |
| Undergraduate or higher | 118 (58.4) | 32 (28.8) | 40 (93.0)   | 46 (95.8)      |                        |
| Missing           | 6 (3.0)    | 4 (3.6)   | 0           | 2 (4.2)        |                        |
| Position rank     |            |           |             |                | 0.001                  |
| Low               | 81 (40.1)  | 51 (45.9) | 21 (48.8)   | 9 (18.8)       |                        |
| Middle            | 81 (40.1)  | 36 (32.4) | 16 (37.2)   | 29 (60.4)      |                        |
| High              | 18 (8.9)   | 4 (3.6)   | 6 (14.0)    | 8 (16.7)       |                        |
| Missing           | 22 (10.9)  | 20 (18.0) | 0           | 2 (4.2)        |                        |
| Work hours/week   |            |           |             |                | 0.000                  |
| <40               | 16 (8.2)   | 15 (13.5) | 0           | 1 (2.1)        |                        |
| 40–50             | 60 (30.6)  | 42 (37.8) | 13 (30.2)   | 5 (10.4)       |                        |
| 50–60             | 48 (24.5)  | 27 (24.3) | 10 (23.3)   | 11 (22.9)      |                        |
| ≥60               | 72 (36.7)  | 23 (20.7) | 20 (46.5)   | 29 (60.4)      |                        |
| Missing           | 6 (3.0)    | 4 (3.6)   | 0           | 2 (4.2)        |                        |
| Outpatient visits per doctor per day |        |           |             |                | 0.000                  |
| <50               | 67 (33.2)  | 45 (40.5) | 17 (39.5)   | 5 (10.4)       |                        |
| 50–100            | 58 (28.7)  | 34 (30.6) | 13 (30.2)   | 11 (22.9)      |                        |
| ≥100              | 27 (13.4)  | 3 (2.7)   | 2 (4.7)     | 22 (45.8)      |                        |
| Not applicable    | 40 (20.8)  | 24 (21.6) | 9 (20.9)    | 7 (14.6)       |                        |
| Missing           | 10 (5.0)   | 5 (4.5)   | 2 (4.7)     | 3 (6.3)        |                        |
| Average visit time/patient (minutes) |        |           |             |                | 0.001                  |
| ≤4                | 32 (15.8)  | 8 (7.2)   | 6 (14.0)    | 18 (37.5)      |                        |
| 5–9               | 83 (41.1)  | 46 (41.4) | 21 (48.8)   | 16 (33.3)      |                        |
| 10–14             | 31 (15.3)  | 18 (16.2) | 8 (18.6)    | 5 (10.4)       |                        |
| 15–20             | 10 (5.0)   | 7 (6.3)   | 1 (2.3)     | 2 (4.2)        |                        |
| ≥20               | 5 (2.5)    | 4 (3.6)   | 1 (2.3)     | 0 (0)          |                        |
| Not applicable    | 33 (16.3)  | 24 (21.6) | 4 (9.3)     | 5 (10.4)       |                        |
| Missing           | 8 (4.0)    | 4 (3.6)   | 2 (4.7)     | 2 (4.2)        |                        |
| Overtime hours per week |       |           |             |                | 0.000                  |
| <10               | 103 (51.0) | 69 (62.2) | 23 (53.5)   | 11 (22.9)      |                        |
| 10–30             | 74 (36.6)  | 35 (31.5) | 15 (34.9)   | 24 (50.0)      |                        |
| ≥30               | 17 (8.4)   | 2 (1.8)   | 4 (9.3)     | 11 (22.9)      |                        |
| Missing           | 8 (4.0)    | 5 (4.5)   | 1 (2.3)     | 2 (4.2)        |                        |
| On-call duties    |            |           |             |                | 0.000                  |
| Yes               | 131 (64.9) | 53 (47.7) | 35 (81.4)   | 43 (89.6)      |                        |
| No                | 61 (30.2)  | 52 (46.8) | 7 (16.3)    | 2 (4.2)        |                        |
| Missing           | 10 (5.0)   | 6 (5.4)   | 1 (2.3)     | 3 (6.2)        |                        |
| Monthly salary    |            |           |             |                | 0.000                  |
| <1000 RMB         | 20 (10.2)  | 2 (1.8)   | 16 (37.2)   | 2 (4.2)        |                        |
| 1000–3000 RMB     | 146 (74.1) | 84 (75.7) | 27 (62.8)   | 35 (72.9)      |                        |
| 3000–5000 RMB     | 29 (14.7)  | 21 (18.9) | 0           | 8 (16.7)       |                        |
| ≥5000 RMB         | 2 (1.0)    | 1 (0.9)   | 0           | 1 (2.1)        |                        |
| Missing           | 5 (2.5)    | 3 (2.7)   | 0           | 2 (4.2)        |                        |
| Total bonus last year (RMB) |       |           |             |                | 0.000                  |
| <10 000           | 34 (17.4)  | 27 (24.3) | 4 (9.3)     | 3 (6.2)        |                        |
| 10 000–30 000     | 106 (54.4) | 57 (51.4) | 35 (81.4)   | 14 (29.1)      |                        |
| 30 000–50 000     | 43 (22.1)  | 16 (14.4) | 4 (9.3)     | 23 (47.9)      |                        |
| 50 000–100 000    | 9 (4.6)    | 7 (6.3)   | 0           | 2 (4.2)        |                        |
| 100 000 or higher | 3 (1.5)    | 0         | 0           | 3 (6.3)        |                        |
| Missing           | 7 (3.5)    | 4 (3.6)   | 0           | 3 (6.3)        |                        |
| The need to do research |      |           |             |                | 0.000                  |
| Yes               | 88 (44.9)  | 30 (27.0) | 18 (41.9)   | 40 (83.3)      |                        |
| No                | 108 (55.1) | 77 (69.3) | 25 (58.2)   | 6 (6.3)        |                        |
| Missing           | 6 (3.0)    | 4 (3.6)   | 0           | 2 (4.2)        |                        |
doctors were most likely to feel they had high social recognition (58%), compared with 29% at the provincial hospital and 23% at the county hospitals. Work relationships showed high levels of satisfaction across all health facilities. Levels of satisfaction with utilisation of expertise, opportunity to update expertise and support for training showed only small differences by level.

**Patients’ help-seeking behaviours, demands and aggression**

Across all levels of facilities, doctors felt patients were becoming more demanding: 84% reported that patients often went to higher level hospitals for simple medical problems which could be solved at primary care facilities, whereas 80% said that patients just want to get drugs or tests rather than medical advice (table 4). Across all levels of facilities, doctors reported that patients were becoming more aggressive in their demands, with perceptions of high and increasing levels of complaints from patients, who are much more likely to sue than previously, with 87% reporting that there was an increasing trend of violence against doctors. County level doctors consistently reported higher levels for all these items.

**Influencing factors of job satisfaction**

Analysis comparing job satisfaction scores among subgroups, adjusted by gender, age and education, are presented in table 5. Doctors in the provincial hospital appeared to be the most dissatisfied group, and primary care physicians were most satisfied with their work (p<0.001). Those who had worked longer hours (p<0.001) did longer overtime hours (p<0.05), took on-call duties (p<0.01) and were more likely to be dissatisfied. Doctors who reported average consultation times of 10–20 min per patient and a higher monthly salary showed higher satisfaction (p<0.01). Doctors who had more negative perceptions of the doctor–patient relationship (thought the patients were more demanding and aggressive) also had lower satisfaction scores.

Finally, 88% (177) of the doctors said they would not want their children to be doctors. Of those 125 who provided a reason, 42 (34%) said poor pay, 22 (18%) said high pressure from work, and 21 (17%) said it was a high-risk profession. Eleven (9%) expressed concerns about personal insecurity or patient violence and conflicts, 11 (9%) cited the poor doctor–patient relationship, and 17 (14%) stated low status and social recognition.

**DISCUSSION**

This study provides some insights into the reasons for the low morale in the medical profession in China. Given the perceived low status, high perceived risk of violence and increasing litigation, it is perhaps not surprising that job satisfaction is low and that the
A GDP growth of 9.3% in 2011 and 7.8% in 2012. Under 5% of GDP before the health reforms in 2009 remains insufficient. More resources are needed, but government investment in health is still not regarded by most as sufficient. Total health expenditure remained unchanged, and having the highest status and the highest income, doctors at the provincial hospital were the most dissatisfied group, followed by county hospital doctors with primary care doctors being the most satisfied. The causes of dissatisfaction fall into three main areas: low income, heavy workload and patient aggression. We will discuss these three factors together with the policy implications.

Income

Low income is a major grievance, mirroring findings in previous studies. Even at the provincial level, 80% earned an annual salary of 36,000 RMB or less. Among senior doctors, 35% earned more than this. This was in comparison with the average annual income of 34,550 RMB in urban Zhejiang in 2012. While bonuses increase this considerably for some doctors, the overall income is still not regarded by most as sufficient compensation for the long hours and the risks incurred.

To better remunerate doctors, of course, demands more resources, but government investment in health remains insufficient. Total health expenditure remained under 5% of GDP before the health reforms in 2009 and saw a slight increase to 5.36% in 2012, compared to a GDP growth of 9.3% in 2011 and 7.8% in 2012. This compares with total health spending of around 10% of GDP in the UK, Germany, France, Norway, Canada and Japan. Government subsidy into these so-called public health facilities accounts for less than 10% of higher-level hospital revenue and 40% of community health centre revenue.

Fees for basic medical services, including doctors' consultation, nursing services and surgical procedures, have been kept low ostensibly in order to ensure access to basic care for all. For example, in Beijing, a doctor consultation fee in an outpatient department is 2.5 RMB at a community health centre and 4 RMB at a tertiary hospital. The staff costs (surgeons, nurses, anaesthetists) for an appendectomy are 150 RMB. These low costs are blamed in medical circles for the undervaluation of medical expertise. Since these charges are kept low, facilities operate a market system, making profits from prescribing drugs and tests. The health reforms were meant to address the problem of perverse incentives, partly through the introduction of the zero mark-up essential drug policy in 2009. The government started the policy in primary care level and it is now being rolled out in higher-level hospitals. With no mark-up from drugs now possible, the basic salary for the majority of doctors remains low. A series of experimental initiatives aiming to augment doctors' income are being launched, such as pay-for-performance and raising prices of services, including consultation fees and procedures. However, this may not fill the gap and doctors' income remains low. Some doctors are finding other ways to complement income. For example, a shift is being seen towards prescribing more Traditional Chinese Medicine.

Appropriate measures to address effort-reward imbalance must be taken. First, increasing government funding to increase doctors' salary can help to attract and retain good doctors. Second, increasing charges for healthcare may be useful to increase hospital revenue,

### Table 4

| Items                                                                 | Agree N (%) | 95% CIs of percentage | Agree (percent) | p Value (χ² tests) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Patients often go to higher level hospitals (eg, tertiary hospitals) with simple complaints which could be dealt with at a lower level hospital | 169 (83.7) | 78.0 to 88.1 | CHCs (N=111) | County (N=43) | Provincial (N=48) |
| Sometimes patients just want to get drugs and tests rather than really seeking medical advice from doctors | 162 (80.2) | 74.2 to 85.1 | 84 (79.2) | 38 (88.4) | 40 (85.1) |
| Nowadays patients are better informed about their own medical conditions so that sometimes they demand specific treatments from doctors | 168 (83.2) | 77.4 to 87.7 | 93 (86.9) | 36 (85.7) | 39 (83.0) |
| Patients are becoming more aggressive in their demands | 144 (71.3) | 64.7 to 77.1 | 66 (60.6) | 40 (93.0) | 38 (80.9) |
| The number of complaints by patients has increased in recent years | 153 (75.7) | 69.4 to 81.1 | 77 (72.6) | 41 (95.3) | 35 (72.9) |
| Patients are more likely to sue doctors even when they are trying to do their best | 176 (87.1) | 81.8 to 91.1 | 93 (87.7) | 43 (100.0) | 40 (83.3) |
| Violence against doctors by their own patients is increasing | 176 (87.1) | 81.8 to 91.1 | 92 (86.8) | 43 (100.0) | 41 (85.4) |

Our findings highlight the causes of low job satisfaction among doctors. They also show that despite being the best qualified, and having the highest status and the highest income, doctors at the provincial hospital were the most dissatisfied group, followed by county hospital doctors with primary care doctors being the most satisfied. The causes of dissatisfaction fall into three main areas: low income, heavy workload and patient aggression. We will discuss these three factors together with the policy implications.
Table 5  Influencing factors of doctors’ job satisfaction controlling for gender, age and education

| Variables                                           | Overall job satisfaction |        | p Value* |
|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------|----------|
|                                                     | Mean                     | SD     |          |
| Level of hospital                                   |                          |        |          |
| Primary (CHCs and THCs)                             | 3.23                     | 0.06   | 0.000    |
| Secondary (county hospitals)                        | 2.83                     | 0.08   |          |
| Tertiary (provincial hospital)                      | 2.82                     | 0.09   |          |
| Position rank                                       |                          |        | 0.064    |
| Low                                                 | 3.12                     | 0.06   |          |
| Middle                                              | 2.91                     | 0.06   |          |
| High                                                | 2.97                     | 0.15   |          |
| Work hours per week                                 |                          |        | 0.000    |
| <50                                                 | 3.23                     | 0.06   |          |
| 50 or more                                          | 2.92                     | 0.05   |          |
| Outpatient visits per doctor per day                |                          |        | 0.102    |
| <50                                                 | 3.14                     | 0.07   |          |
| 50–100                                              | 2.99                     | 0.07   |          |
| ≥100                                                | 2.85                     | 0.11   |          |
| Not applicable                                      | 3.12                     | 0.08   |          |
| Average visit time per patient (minutes)            |                          |        | 0.004    |
| <10                                                 | 2.92                     | 0.05   |          |
| 10–20                                               | 3.23                     | 0.08   |          |
| ≥20                                                 | 2.97                     | 0.25   |          |
| Not applicable                                      | 3.22                     | 0.09   |          |
| Overtime hours per week                             |                          |        | 0.020    |
| <10                                                 | 3.15                     | 0.05   |          |
| 10–30                                               | 2.95                     | 0.06   |          |
| ≥30                                                 | 2.83                     | 0.13   |          |
| On-call duties                                      |                          |        | 0.001    |
| Yes                                                 | 2.94                     | 0.05   |          |
| No                                                  | 3.26                     | 0.08   |          |
| Monthly salary                                      |                          |        | 0.004    |
| <1000 RMB                                           | 2.72                     | 0.12   |          |
| 1000–3000 RMB                                       | 3.05                     | 0.04   |          |
| ≥3000 RMB                                           | 3.24                     | 0.10   |          |
| Patients’ help-seeking behaviours and aggression    |                          |        |          |
| Patients often go to higher level hospitals (eg, tertiary hospitals) with simple complaints which could be dealt with at a lower level hospital | 0.718 | 3.07 | 0.10 |
| Disagree                                            | 3.04                     | 0.04   |          |
| Agree                                               | 3.22                     | 0.09   |          |
| Sometimes patients just want to get drugs and tests rather than really seeking medical advice from doctors | 0.040 | 3.01 | 0.04 |
| Disagree                                            | 3.22                     | 0.09   |          |
| Agree                                               | 3.01                     | 0.04   |          |
| Nowadays patients are better informed about their own medical conditions so that sometimes they demand specific treatments from doctors | 0.586 | 2.99 | 0.11 |
| Disagree                                            | 3.05                     | 0.04   |          |
| Agree                                               | 3.22                     | 0.08   |          |
| Patients are becoming more aggressive in their demands | 0.008 | 3.04 | 0.04 |
| Disagree                                            | 3.22                     | 0.08   |          |
| Agree                                               | 2.98                     | 0.04   |          |
| Patients are more likely to sue doctors even when they are trying to do their best | 0.532 | 3.12 | 0.13 |
| Disagree                                            | 3.04                     | 0.04   |          |
| Agree                                               | 3.00                     | 0.04   |          |
| The number of complaints by patients has increased in recent years | 0.052 | 3.19 | 0.09 |
| Disagree                                            | 3.00                     | 0.04   |          |
| Agree                                               | 3.00                     | 0.04   |          |
| Violence against doctors by their own patients is increasing | 0.063 | 3.27 | 0.13 |
| Disagree                                            | 3.02                     | 0.04   |          |
| Agree                                               | 3.02                     | 0.04   |          |

*p Values for analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) controlling gender, age and education.
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to reflect the value of doctors’ expertise and to improve their self-value and morale. This increase should be covered by governmental insurance schemes. Third, involving doctors in proper evaluation and modifications of essential drug list policy is necessary, especially in deciding which drugs are on the list. There are known to be grievances about the content of the list and doctors want more autonomy in this regard. Also, it is important to note the socioeconomic disparities across China. It is extremely difficult to prescribe a national strategy, and exploration of local policies tailored to local socioeconomic conditions is warranted.

Workload

As long working hours appear to be a major contributor to dissatisfaction, especially at provincial and county hospitals, the huge volume of outpatients makes it difficult to spend sufficient time with patients, affecting the quality of care and the doctor–patient relationship. With no gatekeeping systems in primary care, many patients bypass lower levels to go to where they think they will get the best care, that is, provincial level hospitals. Inappropriate use of higher level care was commented on by 84% of our respondents. The health reform measures taken to strengthen primary care aimed partly to address this problem of massive overutilisation of secondary and tertiary facilities for mostly minor conditions. However, the reforms have probably made no difference. This is because improvements in health insurance reimbursement have improved access, especially to higher-level facilities. Around 96% of the population now have health insurance. The outpatient throughput from 2009 to 2012 increased by 50% from 305 million to 455 million.

With 46% of all outpatient consultations occurring at the county level and above in 2012, the sheer volume of outpatient visits necessitates a very short consultation, inevitably jeopardising the quality of care. The health reforms have failed to discourage patients from inappropriately using higher-level care for minor conditions and this was a major goal of the reforms.

The discrepancy in workload and pressure between primary and higher level care partly explains the differences in job satisfaction. In primary care, doctors are not subject to the same pressures of long working hours, short and rushed consultations, and often unpaid overtime. In addition, primary care doctors mainly manage patients who are not seriously ill, and hence are less likely to be the target of patient complaints or aggression. To tackle the underlying problem of inappropriate use of higher level facilities, the primary care system needs to be further strengthened with the addition of a gate-keeping role. As we found in our study, primary care doctors have a much lower educational attainment, and this may contribute to the long-standing mistrust among the public. It has been 15 years since the introduction of community health services as a new primary healthcare model in urban areas. Despite the increasing government support, the general public still lack trust in these urban primary care physicians. The medical education curriculum needs to include more primary care and thus attract more well-qualified doctors into primary care. This would help to reduce patient flow to high level hospitals, and be far more cost-effective. However, the potential impact of a gate-keeping policy on primary care is not clear. Although it would make financial sense, a shift in workload to primary care may reduce job satisfaction for doctors at this level, creating new problems. A number of ongoing pilots in limited forms of gate-keeping may provide some insights into the effects on job satisfaction across the three levels.

Patient aggression

Patients’ aggressive demands and violence are having a serious impact on doctors’ job satisfaction. The situation is compounded by the fact that many of these violent events take place not only with impunity of the legal authorities, but also with the tolerance of the general public. In addition, while many receive scant media publicity, the internet spreads news of these events rapidly and widely. This has bred fears and insecurity, contributing to low morale in the profession.

The causes of this patient aggression are complex. First, perverse incentives and doctors’ profit-seeking behaviours have compromised quality of care, and led to erosion of professional ethics and higher medical costs. Certain areas of the media have taken to criticising doctors for their ‘irresponsible and wrong’ advice and occasional cases of extremely high medical expenses, which make patients feel exploited. In addition, patients are better informed about medical problems due to the increasingly accessible health information, leading them to be more demanding.

Second, in a commoditised healthcare system, despite the high coverage of medical insurance, patients are still paying a large portion of their medical expenses out of pocket. Together with the long waiting times and short consultation times, poor communication between doctors and patients can easily trigger tension between the two parties when doctors fail to meet patients’ high expectations. Third, as doctors are the ones who dictate patient care, they are an easy target for patients’ complaints and frustration.

Measures to prevent patient aggression against doctors are necessary. National measures to strengthen hospital security and criminalise any acts causing hospital disturbance were taken soon after a doctor was killed by a 17-year-old patient in 2012. However, these have been poorly enforced and critics argue that this does not solve the underlying systemic issues. More radical solutions are needed to prevent violence in health facilities. Policies of ‘zero tolerance’ towards violence in healthcare sectors are recommended by the most influential medical associations in China. However, the medical associations have no enforcement powers and are very rarely actually involved in medical disputes. Education
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programmes assisting doctors to prevent and manage patient violence may also be beneficial. An emphasis on doctor–patient communication skills in the medical school syllabus may help improve the doctor–patient relationship, and reduce patient aggression.

Limitations
The study has some limitations. First, we sampled only four cities and counties in the province and only one provincial hospital. So the results have limited generalisability. The sample size was relatively small and doctors’ participation was voluntary, leading to potential bias. However, we did sample across three levels of health institutions in four places with different economic levels. Second, as there are almost no studies on this topic, comparisons could not be made. Third, the job satisfaction score was developed for the paper and has not been formally validated. Nevertheless, it enabled us to compare the job satisfaction of doctors across different levels of hospital. However, as a first study comparing job satisfaction at three levels of facility and exploring associated systemic factors, we have provided a starting point for further research into exploring related issues in China.

CONCLUSION
Doctors in Zhejiang province, China have low job satisfaction overall. Measures must be taken to address this in order to address future problems of recruitment and retention of doctors. These measures must first include reduction of doctors’ workload, especially at provincial hospitals, partly through incentivisation of appropriate utilisation of primary care, increase in doctors’ salary and more punitive measures against individuals who commit violent acts against doctors. More research is needed to explore in depth the underlying causes of job satisfaction and discontent in Chinese doctors. There may be lessons from other countries and systems, where job satisfaction among doctors is generally high.
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