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ABSTRACT
The invertebrate group is not considered in environmental studies. When they are remembered, the studies are vague, superficial and do not give the due importance that the group has in the ecosystem dynamics. This paper aimed at placing the terrestrial mollusks in the discussion agenda of complex socio-ecological systems and analyzed the interactions of these mollusks with the other components of the socio-ecological subsystems. The analysis was based on the theoretical-methodological framework proposed by Ostrom and discussed how terrestrial mollusks have the potential to support actions for decision-making in biodiversity conservation, public health and local economy. The framework presented four subsystems categorized as ecological, political, social and economic, with the Sooretama Biological Reserve in the Northern state of Espirito Santo as a geographical boundary. The results allow us to perceive that terrestrial mollusks have essential characteristics that reflect into ecosystem health, acting in an integrated way with the dynamics of environmental services and the equilibrium of habitats.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The socio-ecological system approach studies the relationship between ecosystems and society through three channels (COLLINS et al., 2011; BODIN; TENGÖ, 2012). First, it analyses the incidence of ecosystems in the satisfaction of human needs through the services provided by the former. Second, it studies how the social dynamics of demand and catchment of ecosystem services modify and determine the ecological integrity of the ecosystems (BURKHARD et al., 2012).

In these two channels, the consolidation of ecosystem services conceptual framework supports the development of different research areas like identification, evaluation, mapping and economic valuation of ecosystem services (CONSTANZA et al., 1997; Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005). These research areas have provided useful tools for the design of policy instruments for conservation, preservation and management of ecosystems at a regional level (BERROUET et al., 2018).

The third channel addresses the way in which both social and ecological systems respond to endogenous and exogenous drivers of change (BIER et al., 2008; COLLINS et al., 2011; BURKHAND et al., 2012; VAN OUDENHOVEN et al., 2012).

Land snails have an important role in the ecology of the forest floor by providing food for different species, including invertebrates (FREST; JOHANNES, 1995; MARTIN, 2000; NYFFELER; SYMONDSON, 2001), amphibians (DREWES, ROTH, 1981), foraging birds (SOUTH, 1980), snakes (MAIA-CARNEIRO et al., 2012) and small mammals (RUDGE, 1968; WHITAKER; MUMFORD, 1972; CHURCHFIELD, 1984). Once shells of terrestrial mollusks are rich in calcium, they have an important role in the storage, release and cycling of calcium in the ecosystems they inhabit (CALDWELL, 1993).

Shells from terrestrial mollusks are the primary source of calcium for eggs of some bird species, and declines in mollusks abundance in some forest ecosystems have been related to defects in eggshells, which tend to reduce the reproductive success and fall of some birds’ species populations (GRAVELAN et al., 1994; GRAVELAND; VAN DER WAL, 1996). They also participate as intermediate hosts in the reproductive cycle for several parasitic helminths that affect wild animals (RASKEVITZ et al., 1999; BLL et al., 2001; LU et al., 2018).

Different species of terrestrial mollusks have a status of indicators of environmental quality due to their relatively lower dispersibility (SHIMEK, 1930), associated with stable microclimate dependence, susceptibility to the decrease of moisture (which can lead to...
desiccation) and by relatively strict habitat preferences, resulting in high sensitivity to changes in environmental conditions (STRÖM, 2004; KAPPES, 2006; NOWAKOWASKA, 2011).

As they cannot escape quickly from areas subjected to disturbances (STRAYER et al., 1986; DOUGLAS et al., 2013), the impact effects on terrestrial mollusks can be measured through the richness, abundance and diversity in their habitats (HAWKINS, 1997). These characteristics make terrestrial mollusks a good study model for measuring quality parameters and environmental biodiversity (SANTOS; MONTEIRO, 2001; DOUGLAS et al., 2013), and can also subsidize management actions in environmental change assessment processes.

The socio-ecological system is an important tool for monitoring and evaluating ecological and socio-economical aspects of the complex systems and its progress to sustainability target (HAIDER, et al., 2014). To understand the operation of a socio-ecological system is necessary to comprehend their parts and especially how these parts relate. Thus, under a complex socio-ecological systems perspective, based on the framework proposed by Ostrom (2009), the present paper sought to analyze the socio-ecological interactions of the terrestrial mollusk of the Sooretama Biological Reserve, Atlantic Forest domain, and how these interactions can drive actions for decision making in an ecosystem assessment.

2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study area is part of the largest Atlantic Rainforest remaining in the state of Espirito Santo, southeast region of Brazil. The Sooretama Biological Reserve is a natural area with dense biodiversity and a high rate of endemism, which reinforces the need for studies on biodiversity. The region is characterized as a dense ombrophilous forest with trees up to 40m in height. The Reserve together other contiguous natural areas protect around 50,000 hectares of Atlantic Rainforest that contain a large biological diversity still little known by science. In 1999 the UNESCO World Heritage Committee included this unit in the list of areas of exceptional ecological value for humanity, currently integrating the Atlantic Forest biosphere reserve.

The Reserve is located north of the state and is surrounded by rural properties, whose agropastoral activity moves the economic activity of that region. The scenario is marked by extensive pasture areas for production of beef cattle and milk and cultivation of such coffee, eucalyptus, pine, cacao and pineapple. The region is part of an important road corridor that connects the Southeast with the Northeast region. For this, the Governor Mario Covas Highway
(BR-101) crosses the 5 km extension of the Reserve, which causes a lot of impact on the local fauna.

In the present paper, socio-ecological systems are understood as systems that permeate interactions between nature and humanity, with ecosystems and human societies interconnected in a single network. The definition of Folke et al. (2010), the elements of this type of system have reciprocal feedback and interdependence. For Ostrom (2009), socio-ecological systems have multiple subsystems composed of internal variables that interact at multiple levels.

To overcome the challenges created by the Cartesian and linear method of disciplinary sciences which exclude the social sciences from the ecological ones, thus creating simplistic theoretical models, holders of ready and universal solutions to complex problems associated with specific contexts, this analysis applies the framework proposed by (OSTROM, 2009).

The application of the framework consists of analyzing four main subsystems: resource system, resource units, users and governance systems; each of these subsystems being composed of several variables at different levels. From the analysis of these subsystems and their components, as well as the contexts (social, economic, political and ecological) in which the socio-ecological system is inserted, it is possible to identify the interactions and their results, contributing to a deeper understanding on the structure and dynamics of the socio-ecological system (Figure 1).

Figure 1: The core subsystems in a framework for analyzing social-ecological systems Source: Ostrom (2009).
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSS

3.1. The terrestrial mollusks from the perspective of the socio-ecological systems

Practically all the country’s natural regions require detailed studies on terrestrial mollusk’s biodiversity. Faunal surveys, environmental impact studies and related issues rarely considers mollusks faunal. Despite the importance of terrestrial gastropods as indicators of environmental conditions (SANTOS; MONTEIRO, 2001; DOUGLAS et al., 2013), there are no systematized studies on the biology and ecology of these organisms in Brazil, contrary to some other countries.

As they are sensitive to changes in the structural and climatic parameters of the habitat and therefore, good study models, the increase in the knowledge about terrestrial mollusk communities, especially those inhabiting the leaf-litter, may better subsidize conservation strategies and actions of forests and other environments in which they occur. So far, the knowledge on the terrestrial mollusk fauna in natural environments in Brazil is relatively low, with the knowledge available being, in general, restricted to a few records of species that were found in different locations.

Against the lack the knowledge about terrestrial mollusks and their relationship with the socio-ecological aspects and, considering an integrated malacology approach to ecosystem analysis, the network interactions of terrestrial mollusks were mapped (Figure 2).

![Figure 2. Terrestrial mollusks of Sooretama Biological Reserve mapping of interactions in subsystems (ecological, social, political and economic).](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/legalcode)
Applying the key subsystems of the framework, the Shared Resource System (SR) is the use of the Atlantic Forest, whose main Resource Unit (RU) is the terrestrial mollusks. The Reserve acts as the main System of Governance (SG), managing the resources to benefit the entire population of the municipality (U), based on the way in which the terrestrial mollusks respond to the environmental impacts within Reserve and how these answers can subsidize the decision making (I) (Table 1).

Table 1. Some second-level variables under first-level core subsystems (S, RS, GS, RU, U, I, R and ECO) in a framework for analyzing social-ecological systems. The framework does not list variables in an order of importance, because their importance varies in different studies.

| Social, Economic and Political Context (S) |
|-------------------------------------------|
| S1 Economic development | S2 Environmental laws | S3 Agricultural frontier | S4 Biodiversity Policy | S5 Water resource |

| Resource System (SR) |
|----------------------|
| SR1 Sector: Atlantic Forest fragment |
| SR2 System frontier |
| SR3 Size of resource system |
| SR4 Phytophysiognomy type |
| SR5 Primary productivity |
| SR6 Equilibrium properties |
| SR7 Microclimate |
| SR8 Environmental impacts |
| SR9 Land use |
| SR10 Location |

| Resource Unit (UR) |
|--------------------|
| UR1 Terrestrial mollusks species |
| UR2 Communities |
| UR3 Spatial and temporal distribution |
| UR4 Leaf-litter composition |
| UR5 Climate condition |
| UR6 Ecosystem services |
| UR7 Interaction among resource units |
| UR8 Economic value |
| UR9 Biodiversity |
| UR10 Knowledge |

| Interactions (I) |
|------------------|
| I1 Natural bioindicators |
| I2 Ecosystem services |
| I3 Environmental health |
| I4 Small farmers |
| I5 Land use conflicts |
| I6 Biodiversity conservation |
| I7 Water crisis |

| System of Governance (SG) |
|---------------------------|
| SG1 Reserve Management |
| SG1.a Management plain |
| SG1.b Deliberative Council |
| SG1.c Scientific committee |
| SG2 ICMBio |
| SG3 Conservation Policy |
| SG4 State Government |
| SG5 Federal Government |
| SG6 State Concessionaire – BR-101 |

| Users (U) |
|-----------|
| U1 Surrounding population |
| U1.a Producers |
| U1.b Residents |
| U2 Fauna and flora |
| U3 BR-101 users |
| U4 Researchers |
| U5 Location |

| Results (R) |
|-------------|
| R1 Environmental Performance |
| R1.a Immediate responses |
| R1.b Nutrient cycling |
| R1.c Food providing |
| R2 Social Performance |
| R2.a Ecosystem dynamic |
| R2.b Ecological balance |
| R2.c Vectors |
| R3 Economical Performance |
| R3.a Agricultural pests |
| R3.b Medical and veterinary interests |
| R4 Political Use |
| R4.a Make decision |
| R4.b Species conservation |

| Related Ecosystems (ECO) |
|--------------------------|
| ECO1 Biodiversity |
| ECO2 Habitat dynamic |
| ECO3 Environmental impacts |
| ECO4 Atlantic Forest |

3.2. About the Contexts
For the relationships of the socio-ecological system analyzed to make sense it is necessary to understand the social, economic and political contexts in which this system is involved. It is only through the mapping of the structuring factors of the system from the context that it becomes possible to analyze in detail the relations between aspects of interest.

The Sooretama Biological Reserve was created in 1941. It is the oldest protected area of Espirito Santo state and is among the oldest in Brazil. Its 27,858 hectares help preserve an important remaining area of Atlantic Forest in the state.

The basis of the economy of the northern region of the Espirito Santo state is agropastoral, and the municipality of Sooretama is a major contributor of areas for cultivation and pasture. This economic characteristic demonstrates the fragility in the process of protected area management, due an explicit disharmonious relationship between economic development (S1) of areas whose dominance activity is represented by agropastoral and the protection of preserved areas.

The strong pressure for the advance of agricultural production areas (S3) is always a factor of extreme importance in areas bordering on Conservation Units and constitutes an important piece for the understanding of the socioecological system. The economic and political context are provided in legislation by National System of Conservation Units (SNUC) (S2) and contrasts in many ways with the National Biodiversity Policy (S4).

The SNUC was published in 2000 by Federal Law 9,985 and categorizes and establishes the set of Conservation Units with specific objectives for public management at the federal, state and municipal levels. As the creation of the SNUC came long after the creation of the Sooretama Biological Reserve, it is natural that the socio-political context is troubled, since the new legislation, the communication with the surrounding neighborhood became more fragile because, according to category Biological Reserve is not allowed the permanence of dwellings or activities that do not scientific research.

The main threats currently faced by the Reserve are the presence of the Governador Mário Covas Highway (BR-101), the hunting inside the Reserve, the construction of dams in the surrounding area to irrigate the plantations, the use of fire by surrounding communities and the use banned pesticides in the plantations on the edge of the Reserve. Such human activities affect irreversibly the environmental integrity of the Reserve.

Regarding the present socio-ecological system, specifically the relevant impacts on the construction of dams for irrigation of plantations and for the animals for consumption in the
surroundings areas of the Reserve contribute a lot to consolidate the local reality. Recently the state of Espirito Santo suffered the greatest water crisis of the last 100 years and the use of water resources (S5) is vital for the maintenance of the environmental health, social harmony and economic situation of the region.

3.3. Resources, Users and Governance

As already mentioned, terrestrial mollusks (UR1) perform ecosystem services (UR6) of extreme importance for environmental quality. They respond quickly to environmental stressors (SR8), are dependent on stable microclimate (SR7) and favorable environmental conditions (UR5), characteristics that give terrestrial mollusks good environmental bioindicators.

The main idea underlying this study is the possibility of using these characteristics of terrestrial mollusks as a tool for public management of biodiversity conservation (UR9), in an Atlantic Forest domain environment (SR1). In addition to providing data that promote public health actions, since many species of terrestrial mollusks are intermediate hosts of parasites (R2.c) that cause diseases in humans, as well as actions in the economic sphere, since certain terrestrial mollusks are agricultural pests (R3.a).

Knowledge about the bioecology of terrestrial mollusks (UR10) can explain much about how the ecological dynamics in the Reserve (SR10) and, consequently, around the Reserve (U1) interact and consolidate in the local context, favoring the environment for the agricultural production, being a limiting socio-environmental factor.

The Reserve has a phytophysiognomy characterized as a Board Forest (SR4), a type of dense and flat ombrophilous forest with trees of more than 30 meters in height. Having a tool that assists in environmental diagnosis and subsidizes decision-makes (SG1) in biodiversity conservation represents a great leap in the management of Conservation Units (SG3), contributing to the Chico Mendes Institute of Biodiversity Conservation (ICMBio) - environmental department responsible for protected areas - in the prioritization of areas requiring management actions (SG2).

Thus, an integrated approach considering the terrestrial mollusks as integrators of the interactions range from the equilibrium of the primary productivity (SR5) produced in the forest to the changes in the climatic conditions (UR5), passing by the composition of the leaf-litter (UR4), a very important component for forest health. From the integrations between the terrestrial mollusks and the other socio-ecological components of the analyzed system, the interactions between resource units (UR7) can be used by public managers, be it the Federal
Government (SG5), the State Government (SG4) and the direct users of the socio-ecological system, such as the concessionaire that administers the BR-101 in the ES (SG6), which crosses the Reserve, as well as users (U1), the researchers associated with the Reserve (U4) and, inevitably, the components of local fauna and flora (U3), integrating therefore the biophysical, social, economic and political resources.

3.4. Interactions and Results

The authors Anderies et al. (2004) provided many examples of complex interactions between the components of a socio-ecological system around the world. According them, many are farmer-organized irrigation systems, such as those of Bali (LANSING, 1991), the zanjeros of the Philippines (SIY, 1982) and of Spain (MAAS; ANDERSON, 1986). These are examples of long-lived, socio-ecological systems with robust irrigation. Other examples come from managed fisheries, forests, and dike systems.

Some of these are long-lived and remain robust, e.g., the Dutch water boards (KAIJSER, 2002), the lobster fisheries in Maine (ACHESON, 2003), or the Hatfield Forest (RACKHAM, 1988), but others were long-lived and yet eventually collapsed, e.g., early Mesopotamian civilization, the lowland Mayas (TAINTER, 1988), Chacoan culture (MILLS, 2002), Mesa Verde (LIPE, 1995), the northern cod fisheries (FINLAYSON; McCAY, 1998), and the customary marine system of the Tonga (MALM, 2001).

The framework proposed by Ostrom (2009) is useful in providing a common set of potentially relevant variables and their subcomponents to use in the design of data collection instruments, the conduct of fieldwork, and the analysis of findings about the sustainability of complex socio-ecological systems. It helps identify factors that may affect the likelihood of particular policies enhancing sustainability in one type and size of resource system and not in others.

In this study, I analyzed the complex network of the terrestrial mollusks socio-ecological system to understand how the interactions act as a tool for ecosystem management (UR7). The terrestrial mollusks interact with environmental, social and economic agents that might reflect useful instruments for creation of public policies.

The key aspect of the complex network of interactions of terrestrial mollusks in the socio-ecological system of the Reserve is the condition of being good natural bioindicators (I1). Mollusks, in general, are indicators, not only of environmental quality, but are also social
indicators. The presence or absence of certain species of mollusks translates into a socio-environmental condition that explains a history that may be natural or anthropogenic.

In the public health field (R3.b), different species of terrestrial mollusks can act as intermediate host (R2.c) of two nematodes of medical importance, *Angiostrongylus cantonensis* (CHEN, 1935) and *Angiostrongylus costaricensis* (MORERA; CÉSPEDES, 1971), etiological agents of eosinophilic meningoencephalitis and abdominal angiostrongyliasis, respectively, among others of medical and veterinary interest (THIENGO; FERNANDEZ, 2010; COURA, 2013; RODRIGUES et al., 2016).

The presence of each species of terrestrial mollusk indicates its own reality in the specific context of the system unit analyzed. The presence of natural vectors of parasites of medical and veterinary interest reinforces the need for a systemic approach, with actions of public health surveillance in the areas where the mollusks were found. The context of the analyzed geographical area (SR10), the Sooretama Biological Reserve is located in a poorly developed municipality.

A strictly rural area that presents favorable conditions for the proliferation of populations of terrestrial mollusks. The rural area where the Reserve is located favors the advance of the populations of terrestrial mollusks to the plantations (R3.a). The plantations provide food for many species of terrestrial mollusks, which have dense populations, causing economic damage to farmers (I4).

Terrestrial mollusks are sensitive to moisture and they have been responding very well to a specific problem of the region, which is the water crisis (I7) in the state of Espirito Santo. Too much use of water resources by rural properties around the Reserve can drastically reduce populations of terrestrial mollusks. The interaction between land snails with natural resources must be used in public management. This response of mollusks means that the misuse of water resources by the productive sector (SR8) is affecting the local biodiversity richness (I6), not only in terrestrial mollusks biodiversity, but in all fauna and flora groups (U2). However, the response of the water crisis (I7) is easily observed through land snails due their bioindicator characteristic.

The set of interactions and results allow to evaluate the gears that move the complex socio-ecological system analyzed. From the perspective of the socio-ecological system of the terrestrial mollusks of the Sooretama Biological Reserve, it is possible to verify that the presence or absence of certain species of land snails can indicate the occurrence of
environmental and social impacts (SR8) that reflect the use and occupation of areas (SR9) necessary for the understanding of public order problems. It is important to note that the analysis of a particular socio-ecological system is unique to the contexts associated with it. However, some similarities can be replicated to socio-ecological systems of the same characteristics.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In an environmental context, land snails are good environmental bioindicators, responding rapidly to microclimate changes and playing important ecosystem services. Regarding public health, the occurrence of certain species of land snails indicate risks to human and animal health due to the transmission of zoonoses. In economic activity, some species may be agricultural pests, indicating the need for control actions and environmental management to avoid economic losses.

However, we rarely observe this group being considered in studies or environmental analyses. The experience of the Sooretama Biological Reserve case through the theoretical-methodological framework used in this analysis shows that terrestrial mollusks have great potential in subsidizing measures and actions of public management for ecosystem assessment and decision making for the construction of environmental policies, municipal strategic health surveillance guidelines and ecological approach for agricultural pests control.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior – Brasil (CAPES) – Finance Code 001.

REFERENCES

ACHESON, J. M. (2003) Capturing the commons: devising institutions to manage the Maine lobster industry. University Press of New England, Hanover, New Hampshire, USA.

ANDERIES, J. M.; JANSSEN, M. A.; OSTROM, E. (2004) A framework to analyze the robustness of social-ecological systems from an institutional perspective. Ecology and Society v. 9, n. 1, p. 18.

BERROUET, L. M.; MACHADO, J.; VILLEGAS-PALACIO, C. (2018) Vulnerability of socio—ecological systems: A conceptual Framework. Ecological Indicators, v. 84, p. 632–647. DOI: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.07.051.

BODIN, O.; TENGÖ, M. (2012) Disentangling intangible social-ecological systems. Global Environmental Change, n. 22, p. 430–439. DOI: 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2012.01.005.
BURKHARD, B.; KROLL, F.; NEDKOV, S.; MÜLLER, F. (2012) Mapping ecosystem service supply, demand and budgets. Ecological Indicators, n. 21, p. 17–29. DOI: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.06.019.

Caldwell, R. S. (1993) Macroinvertebrates and their relationship to coarse woody debris: with special reference to land snails. in: J. W. McMINN, D.A. CROSSLEY (eds.) Biodiversity and Coarse Woody Debris in Southern Forests. Proceedings of the workshop on coarse woody debris in southern forests: effects on biodiversity. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report SE-GTR-94.

Churchfield, S. (1984) Dietary separation in three species of shrew inhabiting water-cress beds. Journal of Zoology, n. 204, p. 211-228. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-7998.1984.tb02371.x.

Collins, S. L.; Carpenter, S. R.; Swinton, S. M.; Orenstein, D. E.; Childers, D. L.; Gragson, T. L.; Grimm, N. B.; Grove, J. M.; Harlan, S. L.; Kaye, J. P.; Knapp, A. K.; Kofinas, G. P.; Magnuson, J. J.; McDowell, W. H.; Melaick, J. M.; Ogdén, L. A.; Robertson, G. P.; Smith, M. D.; Whitmer, A. C. (2011) An integrated conceptual framework for long-term social-ecological research. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, n. 9, p. 351–357. DOI: 10.1890/100068.

Constantza, R.; D’ARGE, R.; De Groot, R.; Farbes, S.; Grasso, M.; Hannon, B.; Limburg K.; Naeem, S.; O’Neill, R. V.; Paruelo, J.; Raskin, R. G.; Sutton, P.; Van Den Belt, M. (1997) The value of the world’s ecosystem services and natural capital. Nature, n. 387, p. 253–260. DOI: 10.1038/387253a0.

COURA, J. R. (2013) Dinâmica das doenças infecciosas e parasitárias. In: Dinâmica das doenças infecciosas e parasitárias. Rio de Janeiro: Guanabara Koogan, p. 131-140.

Douglas, D. D.; Brow, D. R.; Pederson, N. (2013) Land snail diversity can reflect degrees of anthropogenic disturbance. Ecosphere, v. 4, n. 2, p. 1-14.

Drewes, R. C.; Roth, B. (1981) Snail-eating frogs from the Ethiopian highlands: a new anuran specialization. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, v. 72, n. 3, p. 267-287. DOI: 10.1111/j.1096-3642.1981.tb01573.x.

Finlayson, A. C.; McCay, B. (1998) Crossing the threshold of ecosystem resilience: the commercial extinction of northern cod. In: F. Berkes, C. Folke, AND J. Colding, (Eds). Linking social and ecological systems: management practices and social mechanisms for building resilience. Cambridge University Press, New York, USA.

Folke, C.; Carpenter, S. R.; Walker, B.; Scheffer, M.; Chapin, T.; Rockström, J. (2010) Resilience thinking: integrating resilience, adaptability and transformability. Ecology and Society, v. 15, n. 4, p. 20.

Frest, T. J.; Johannes, E. J. (1995) Interior Columbia Basin mollusk species of special concern. Deixis Consultants, Seattle, WA. 274 pp. + appendices.

Graveland, J.; Van Der Wal, R. (1996) Decline in snail abundance due to soil acidification causes eggshell defects in forest passerines. Oecologia, n. 105, p. 351-360. DOI: 10.1007/BF00328738.

Graveland, J.; Van Der Wal, R.; Van Balen, J. H.; Van Noordwijk, A. J. (1994) Poor reproduction in forest passerines from decline of snail abundance on acidified soils. Nature, n. 368, p. 446-448. DOI: 10.1038/368446a0.
HAIDER, L. J.; IRIBARREM, A.; GARDNER, T.; LATAWIECK, A. E.; ALVES-PINTO, H.; STRASSBUG, B. (2014) Understanding Indicators And Monitoring For Sustainability In The Context Of Complex Social-Ecological Systems. *Sustainability Indicators in Practice*, p. 271.

HAWKINS, J. W.; LANKESTER, M. W.; LAUTENSCHLAGER, R. A.; BELL, F. W. (1997) Effects of alternative conifer release treatments on terrestrial gastropods in northwestern Ontario. *The Forestry Chronicle*, n. 73, p. 91–98.

KAJISER, A. (2002) System building from below: institutional change in Dutch water control systems. *Technology and Culture*, v. 43, n. 3, p. 521-548.

KAPPES, H. (2006) Relations between forest management and slug assemblages (Gastropoda) of deciduous regrowth forests. *Forest Ecology and Management*, n. 237, p. 450-457.

LANISING, J. S. (1991) *Priests and programmers: technologies of power in the engineered landscape of Bali*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, USA.

LIPE, W. D. (1995) The depopulation of the northern San Juan: conditions in the turbulent 1200s. *Journal of Anthropoligical Archaeology*, v. 14, n. 2, p. 143-169.

LU, X. T.; GU, Q. Y.; LIMPANONT, Y.; SONG, L. G.; WU, Z. D.; OKANURAK, K.; LV, Z. Y. (2018) Snail-borne parasitic diseases: an update on global epidemiological distribution, transmission interruption and control methods. *Infectious Diseases of Poverty*, v. 7, p. 28. DOI: 10.1186/s40249-018-0414-7.

MAASS, A.; ANDERSON, R. L. (1986) *And the desert shall rejoice: conflict, growth, and justice in arid environments*. R. E. Krieger, Malabar, Florida, USA.

MAIA-CARNEIRO T.; DORIGO T. A.; GOMES, S. R.; SANTOS, S. B.; ROCHA C. F. D. (2012) *Sibynomorphus neuwiedi* (Ihering, 1911) (Serpentes; Dipsadidae) and *Potamojanarius lamellatus* (Semper, 1885) (Gastropoda; Veronicellidae): a trophic relationship revealed. *Biotemas*, v. 25, n. 1, p. 211-213. DOI: 10.5007/21757925.2012v25n1p211.

MALM, T. (2001) The tragedy of the commoners: the decline of the customary marine tenure system of Tonga. *SPC Traditional Marine Resource Management and Knowledge Information Bulletin*, n. 13, p. 3-13.

MARTIN, S. M. (2000) Terrestrial snails and slugs (Mollusca: Gastropoda) of Maine. *Northeastern Naturalist*, v. 7, n. 1, p. 33-88. DOI: 10.2307/3858431.

MILLENIUM ECOSYSTEM ASSESSMENT. (2005) *Ecosystems and Human Well-being*: Synthesis. Report.

MILLS, B. J. (2002) Recent research on Chaco: changing views on economy, ritual, and society. *Journal of Archaeological Research*, v. 10, n. 1, p. 65-117.

NOWAKOWASKA, A. (2011) Land snails as bioindicators of environmental pollution: mini review. In: *Urban fauna: Studies of animal biology, ecology and conservation in European cities*. INDYKIEWICZ, P., JERZAK, L., BÖHNER, J., KAVANAGH, B. (eds.). UTP Bydgoszcz, 2011, p. 317-323.

NYFFELE, M.; SYMONDSON, W. O. P. (2001) Spiders and harvestmen as gastropod predators. *Ecological Entomology*, n. 26, p. 617-628.

OSTROM, E. (2009) A General Framework for Analyzing Sustainability of Social-Ecological Systems. *Science*, v. 325, n. 5939, p. 419–422. DOI: 10.1126/science.1172133.
RACKHAM, O. (1988) The last forest: the fascinating account of Britain’s most ancient forest, ecology and institutions of the last remaining royal forest in England. J.D. Dent, London, UK.

RASKEVITZ, R. F.; KOCAN, A. A.; SHAW, J. H. (1991) Gastropod availability and habitat utilization by wapiti and white-tailed deer sympatric on range enzootic for meningeal worm. Journal of Wildlife Disease, v. 27, n. 1, p. 92-101.

RODRIGUES P. S.; FERNANDEZ, M. A.; THIENGO, S. C.; SALGADO N. C.; GOMES, S. R. (2016) Terrestrial mollusces associated to the invasive African snail Achatina fulica Bowdich, 1822 in urban areas of Rio de Janeiro State. Tentacle, The Newsletter of the IUCN/SSC Mollusc Specialist Group, Hawaii, Ed.24.

RUDGE, M. R. (1968) The food of the common shrew Sorex araneus L, (Insectivera: Soricidae) in Britain. Journal of Animal Ecology, n. 37, p. 565-581.

SANTOS, S. B.; MONTEIRO, D. P. (2001) Composição dos gastrópodes terrestres em duas áreas do Centro de Estudos Ambientais e Desenvolvimento Sustentado (CEADS), Vila Dois Rios, Ilha Grande, RJ, Brasil - um estudo piloto. Revista Brasileira de Zoologia, n. 18, p. 181-190.

SHIMEK, B. (1930) Land snails as indicators of ecological conditions. Ecology, v. 11, n. 4, p. 673-686. DOI: 10.2307/1932328.

SIY, R. Y. JR. (1982) Community resource management: lessons from the Zanjera. University of the Philippines Press, Quezon City, Philippines.

SOUTH, A. (1980) A technique for the assessment of predation by birds and mammals on the slug Deroceras reticulatum (Muller, 1774) (Pulmonata: Limacidae). Journal of Conchology, n. 30, p. 229-234.

STRAYER, D.; PLETSCHER, D. H.; HAMBURG, S. P; NODVIN, D. S. C. (1986) The effects of forest disturbance on land gastropod communities in northern New England. Canadian Journal of Zoology, n. 64, p. 2094-2098.

STRÖM, L. (2004) Long-term effects of riparian clear-cutting- richer land snail communities in regenerating forests. M.S. Thesis. Umeå, Sweden: Umeå University. 23p.

TAINTER, J. A. (1988) The collapse of complex societies. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

THIENGO, S. C.; FERNANDEZ, M. A. (2010) O caramujo gigante africano Achatina fulica no Brasil. In: FISCHER, M. L.; COSTA, L. C. M. Achatina fulica: um problema de saúde pública? Curitiba: Champagnat, p. 189-202.

VAN OUDENHOVEN, A. P. E.; PETZ, K.; ALKEMADE, R.; HEIN, L.; DE GROOT, R. S. (2012) Framework for systematic indicator selection to assess effects of land management on ecosystem services. Ecological Indicator, n. 21, p. 110–122. DOI: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2012.01.012.

WHITAKER, J. O.; MUNFORD, R. E. (1972) Food and ectoparasites of Indiana shrews. Journal of Mammalogy, v. 53, n. 2, p. 329-335.