Optimization of Copper Stain Removal from Marble through the Formation of Cu(II) Complexes in Agar Gels

Antonio Sansonetti 1, Moira Bertasa 2,*, Cristina Corti 3, Laura Rampazzi 3, Damiano Monticelli 4, Dominique Scalarone 2, Adele Sassella 5 and Carmen Canevalli 3,5,*

Citation: Sansonetti, A.; Bertasa, M.; Corti, C.; Rampazzi, L.; Monticelli, D.; Scalarone, D.; Sassella, A.; Canevalli, C. Optimization of Copper Stain Removal from Marble through the Formation of Cu(II) Complexes in Agar Gels. Gels 2021, 7, 111.

Academic Editor: Vijay Kumar Thakur

Received: 28 May 2021
Accepted: 3 August 2021
Published: 6 August 2021

Publisher’s Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland.
This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Abstract: Copper complexes with different ligands (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, EDTA, ammonium citrate tribasic, TAC, and alanine, ALA) were studied in aqueous solutions and hydrogels with the aim of setting the optimal conditions for copper stain removal from marble by agar gels, with damage minimization. The stoichiometry and stability of copper complexes were monitored by ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectroscopy and the symmetry of Cu(II) centers in the different gel formulations was studied by electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy. Cleaning effectiveness in optimized conditions was verified on marble laboratory specimens through color variations and by determining copper on gels by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). Two copper complexes with TAC were identified, one having the known stoichiometry 1:1, and the other 1:2, Cu(TAC)2, never observed before. The stability of all the complexes at different pH was observed to increase with pH. At pH 10.0, the gel’s effectiveness in removing copper salts from marble was the highest in the presence of ALA, followed by EDTA, TAC, and pure agar gel. Limited damage to the marble surface was observed when gels with added EDTA and TAC were employed, whereas agar gel with ALA was determined to be the most efficient and safe cleaning material.
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1. Introduction

Cleaning procedures are considered the most delicate and irreversible phase in a restoration work and they should fulfil the fundamental requirements of high effectiveness and restrained damage. Several cleaning issues are currently open and metal stain removal is one of the unsolved ones [1–4]. Metal stains occur when bronze or iron components, such as sculptures, clamps, pivots, or plaques are in contact with stone ashes releasing corrosion products, usually showing a greenish or reddish color, respectively. The issue is particularly serious when the stains hinder the correct readability of an artwork [5–7], as in the case of light-colored and/or porous stone materials (limestone, sandstones, calcarenites, travertines, and marbles) used in sculptural artworks (statues, busts, friezes, basements, etc.) and in buildings. Corrosion processes are in turn strictly dependent on the chemical composition, structure, and metallurgical characteristics of the metal artifacts and on surrounding environment [8]. The current practices to clean marbles
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(sandblasting, laser, nebulized water spray) do not show satisfactory effects on metal
stains. In these cases, conservators should attempt a chemical extraction, for instance by
using hydrogels. Hydrogels contain hydrophilic groups capable of adsorbing large quantities of metal
ions and are already used for wastewater treatment [9–11].

Chelating agents have already been successfully used in aqueous solution [8] and in
hydrogels (from now on termed gels) [5,12]. Chelating agents are also used for the re-
move of newly formed calcium compounds, such as black crusts mainly composed of
gypsum and calcite, and white calcite thin layers or encrustations [13].

Understanding and increasing the effectiveness of these materials calls for the study
of metal complex formation in water-based extraction systems and in gels, matrices
that may induce different behavior in the complex formation. As an example, the level of metal
cation detection and the time required for forming metal complexes are at least one order
of magnitude more favorable in silica gel than in solution [14]. Moreover, the formation
of metal complexes in agar gel allows for the effective removal of water soluble copper
salts from marble surfaces [5,15,16]. These examples highlight the interest for the compa-
rison between gels and free aqueous solutions, even if silica gel and Agar gel are very dif-
ferent, being the first a chemical gel and the second a physical gel [17]. Such a difference
involves different gelation mechanisms and different properties, particularly concerning
thermo-reversibility.

The cleaning method, which uses agar gel, is very versatile [15,18], low cost, and al-
 lows for a controlled water release [2,19–22], together with a low impact on the artwork.
Many types of agar powders are commercially available [23] and agar is currently the
most used material by Italian restorers facing specific cleaning problems on delicate art-
work surfaces [1–4,16,24–27]. Agar gels are used pure or with ethylenediaminetetraacetic
acid (EDTA), ammonium citrate tribasic (TAC), or alanine (ALA). Gels with EDTA and
TAC displayed the best cleaning effectiveness for copper stain removal from marble sur-
faces, thanks to metal complexation [5]. ALA showed a high selectivity for copper ion
removal in aqueous solution [8], but it has not yet been tested in gels.

It is known that EDTA, TAC, and ALA form stable metal complexes in free aqueous
solutions. EDTA has six potential sites available for binding with metal cations, composed
of four carboxyl and two amino groups [14], and forms a very stable 1:1 complex, with log
K = 18.78 for Cu(EDTA) [28]. Considering TAC, it is known that metal complexes of citric
acid belong to the group of highly stable 6-membered ring complexes, i.e., log K = 6.03 for
Cu(TAC)2(OH); [29]. As for ALA complexes, their stability is due to the chelating ability
of both carboxyl and amino groups and to the effectiveness of intramolecular interactions
within the complexes [30], i.e., log K = 6.65 for Cu(ALA); [31].

It is known that metal complexes in aqueous solutions increase their stability with
pH [28]. However, a study of metal complex stability within gels remains to be performed.

Notwithstanding its importance in restoration practice, the optimization of copper
stain removal from marble by added gels has not yet been systematically considered. In
the present paper, we systematically evaluated the stoichiometry and the stability of cop-
per complexes with EDTA, TAC, and ALA, both in free aqueous solutions and in gels,
with special emphasis on the effect of pH. Here, the stoichiometric ratios of copper com-
plexes was verified by the mole ratio method at maximum wavelength [32] and the sta-
bility of copper complexes was studied by ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectroscopy and
electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy. The cleaning effectiveness of gels in
optimized conditions was assessed by quantifying the amount of removed copper by in-
ductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) and by the color variations of
marble laboratory specimens homogeneously stained with brochantite. Possible damage
to the marble surface was evaluated by the amount of calcium removed by the gels.
2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Characterization of Copper Complexes in Aqueous Solutions and in Gels

2.1.1. UV-Vis Characterization

The stability of copper complexes prepared at the proper metal:ligand (EDTA, TAC and ALA) ratio was investigated at different pH values, firstly in aqueous solutions, then in gels. With this aim, the stoichiometric ratio of the Cu(II)-ligand complexes was first verified using the molar ratio method at maximum wavelength [32]. Complex stability was studied by monitoring the absorption of their metal-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) strong bands in the 190–400 nm range. The spectral positions $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ for all solutions and ligands are summarized in Table 1, together with the attributions to specific chemical species. Finally, in all spectra, bands attributed to the d-d transition of Cu$^{2+}$ were also detected at 800 nm, too weak and broad to be used for diagnostic purposes.

Table 1. pH values and spectral position of the metal-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) bands for precursors and complexes of Cu(II) with ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), ammonium citrate tribasic (TAC), and alanine (ALA) in aqueous solutions, free, and in agar gels, with relative attributions.

| Solute       | Free pH of as Prepared/Modified | $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (nm) | Attribution       | In Agar Gel pH of as Prepared/Modified | $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (nm) | Attribution       |
|--------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| CuSO$_4$     | 5.0/n.d.                        | 198                         | [Cu(H$_2$O)$_6$]$^{2+}$ | 5.0/10.0                             | 233                         | Cu(agar)         |
| CuSO$_4$ + EDTA | 5.0/6.0, 8.0                  | 239                         | Cu(EDTA)          | 6.0/10.0                             | 239                         | Cu(EDTA)         |
| CuSO$_4$ + TAC | 9.0/6.0                      | 225, 260                    | Cu(TAC) or Cu$_2$(TAC)$_2$; Cu(TAC)$_2$ | 5.5/10.0                             | 260                         | Cu(TAC)$_2$      |
| CuSO$_4$ + ALA | 6.0/10.0                      | 234                         | Cu(ALA)$_2$       | 3.4/10.0                             | 234                         | Cu(ALA)$_2$      |

At first, spectra of pure agar gel and of CuSO$_4$ in free solution and in agar gel were recorded to be used as reference, and are shown in Figure 1. The absorbance spectrum of pure agar gel shows no bands, but it consists of a long monotonous rise towards low wavelengths, probably due to light scattering by the agar gel itself. Indeed, we verified that it nicely follows a $\lambda^4$ law (see Supplementary Materials Figure S1). For CuSO$_4$ in gel, a shoulder at about 233 nm is observed, becoming more evident by subtracting the spectrum of pure agar gel; thus, this band at 233 nm (dotted curve) can be attributed to copper centers coordinated by pure agar gel, which shall henceforth be termed Cu(agar), in agreement with the literature [5]. Finally, the spectrum of CuSO$_4$ in free aqueous solution is also reported for comparison (dashed curve), displaying a broad band centered at about 198 nm, attributed to copper aquoion [Cu(H$_2$O)$_6$]$^{2+}$ [33].
Figure 1. Ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) absorbance spectra of pure agar gel and CuSO₄ in agar gel (full curves), spectrum resulting from the subtraction (dotted curve), and spectrum of CuSO₄ in free aqueous solution (dashed line). Gel samples are 1 mm thick and the optical path for solutions in the cuvette is 1 cm.

The assessment of the Cu(EDTA) 1:1 complex stoichiometry was accomplished by the spectrophotometric method [14]. It must be pointed out that the notation from now on used to indicate copper complexes only shows the stoichiometric ratio between the metal and the ligand, this last being indicated by the total concentration of the free ligand in all its forms (i.e., EDTA = Y⁴⁺ + HY⁴⁻ + H₂Y⁵⁻ + …), in agreement with the literature [25]. This notation does not specify either the ligand protonation according to the ligand stability as a function of pH, or the electric charge of the complex. For this purpose, aqueous solutions containing CuSO₄ and EDTA at increasing molar ratios (from 1:1 to 1:10) were prepared and the UV-Vis spectra are reported in Figure 2a. The 1:1 solution displayed a band at 239 nm [34], which remained unchanged by increasing the ligand content up to 1:10 molar ratio (see the arrow in Figure 2a). Zoom lines confirm the negligible difference among the different curves. The band at 239 nm is well separated from those of the precursors in free aqueous solution. Indeed, EDTA shows a maximum at 191 nm (dotted curve), which was experimentally observed to increase in intensity (see Supplementary Materials Figure S2), with a broadening and shift towards higher wavelengths as EDTA concentration increases, while CuSO₄ displays the MLCT band at 198 nm (dashed curve). In free aqueous solution, a Cu(EDTA) = 1:1 complex is therefore demonstrated to form, as indeed reported in the literature [14,28], and it originates in the 239 nm absorption band.

Figure 2. (a) UV-Vis absorbance spectra of free aqueous solutions containing CuSO₄ and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) at increasing M:L molar ratios (1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:4 and 1:10); the spectra of solutions of EDTA (dotted curve) and CuSO₄ (dashed curve) are also reported for comparison. The asterisk * reports the position of the 239 nm band. (b) UV-Vis absorbance spectra of agar gels containing CuSO₄ and EDTA in a molar ratio of 1:1 at increasing pH (6.0 and 10.0), together with the spectrum resulting from their subtraction (dotted curve). The spectrum of agar gel containing
only CuSO₄ is also reported for comparison (dashed curve). Gel samples are 1 mm thick and the optical path for solutions in the cuvette is 1 cm.

In order to study complex stability with pH in a free aqueous solution, the absorbance spectra of Cu(EDTA) 1:1 were recorded, both ‘as prepared’ (pH 5.0), and after the addition of ammonium carbonate in different amounts until pH 6.0 and 8.0 were reached (see Supplementary Materials Figure S3). The spectra confirm that a Cu(EDTA) complex forms in acidic medium, in agreement with the literature [35]. Furthermore, the intensity at λmax =239 nm gradually increases with pH; this matched well with the increase in EDTA complex stability with pH. The absorbance at 191 nm, attributed to the pure ligand, also increases with pH, as observed in solutions of pure EDTA (spectra not shown), probably because of an increase in ligand molar absorptivity originating from the formation of differently protonated EDTA species [28]. The agar gel containing an aqueous solution of CuSO₄ and EDTA (in molar ratio M:L = 1:1) shows a pH value of 6.0 and, after gel formation, a shoulder in the same position (λmax=239 nm) as the band observed in the aqueous solution ([34], see Figure 2b). Such a shoulder increases in intensity at pH 10.0, suggesting an increased stability of the complex in the gel. This result was confirmed by subtracting the spectrum at the lower pH from the spectrum at the higher pH. For our gels it was not easy to detect the spectral shift observed in the literature for the MLCT transitions of metal-EDTA complexes in a sol-gel matrix with respect to solution [14]. Nevertheless, the shoulder can be attributed to the Cu(EDTA) complex, as it increases with the equimolar concentration of both CuSO₄ and EDTA in agar gel.

In a solution with a 1:1 molar ratio of CuSO₄ and TAC, the UV-Vis absorption spectrum dramatically changes with respect to the spectra of the precursor aqueous solutions and displays a structured broad band, centered at about 240 nm (Figure 3a). By gradually increasing the molar ratio, at first, with M:L = 1:2, two bands at 225 nm and at 260 nm resolved, suggesting the presence of two copper complexes with TAC. Then, further increasing molar ratio up to 1:5, the band at 225 nm was gradually hidden by the increasing ligand band, while the band at 260 nm remains constant. Zoom lines confirm the negligible difference among the different curves. This suggests that the band at 260 nm can be attributed to a second complex having a stoichiometry of 1:2, Cu(TAC)₂, never observed before for Cu(II) complexes with TAC. A similar 1:2 stoichiometry was observed in the literature for TAC complexes with Pb(II) [36] and with In(III) [37]. Finally, the band at 225 nm could be due to the 1:1 complex, monomer Cu(TAC), or dimer Cu₂(TAC)₂ [29].

![Figure 3.](image-url) (a) UV-Vis absorbance spectra of free aqueous solutions containing CuSO₄ and ammonium citrate tribasic (TAC) at increasing M:L molar ratios (1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:4, and 1:5); the spectra of solutions of TAC (dotted curve) and CuSO₄ (dashed curve) are also reported for comparison. (b) UV-Vis absorbance spectra of agar gels containing CuSO₄ and TAC in molar ratio 1:2 at increasing pH (5.5 and 10.0); the spectrum of agar gel containing only CuSO₄ is also reported for comparison (dashed curve). Gel samples are 1 mm thick and the optical path for solutions in the cuvette is 1 cm.
The aqueous solution containing CuSO₄ and TAC at a molar ratio of 1:2 has pH 9.0. It was verified that the intensity at λₘₐₓ = 260 nm for this solution decreases with pH from λₘₐₓ = 0.8 at pH 9.0 to λₘₐₓ = 0.5 at pH 6.0 (spectra not shown). A similar dependence of absorbance on pH is also observed in gel (Figure 3b). The agar gel containing an aqueous solution of CuSO₄ and TAC (in molar ratio M:L = 1:2) has pH 5.5, and shows a shoulder at 260 nm, which increases in intensity by adding NH₃ until pH 10.0; no other modifications were observed in the spectrum with pH. The shoulder at 260 nm is in the same position as the band observed in the corresponding aqueous solution in Figure 3a, suggesting the formation of the complex Cu(TAC)₂ also in the gel. In addition, it cannot be excluded that a second band is present underneath the strong ligand absorption, corresponding to the 1:1 complex. Moreover, the comparison with the Cu(agar) complex spectrum (dashed curve) shows that this latter complex does not form in the presence of TAC. The equimolar aqueous solution containing CuSO₄ and ALA has pH 6.0 and its UV-Vis spectrum displays a broad band (spectrum not shown), which does not match with the response of the precursors aqueous solutions containing separately CuSO₄ and ALA (dashed and dotted curves in Figure 4a, respectively). This band does not vary with the ligand concentration. A strong absorbance band at 234 nm appears at pH 10.0 for M:L 1:1 (Figure 4a), suggesting that such a high pH value favors the formation of the complex, since both the carboxylic and the amino groups of ALA are deprotonated and available for coordinating copper centers at quadrangular sites [38]. By increasing the ligand content from M:L 1:1 to 1:2 (see the arrow in Figure 4a) and maintaining pH at 10.0, the absorbance maximum increases. Then, from M:L = 1:2 it remains constant. Zoom lines confirm the negligible difference among the different curves. This result shows that a 1:2 copper-alanine complex, Cu(ALA)₂₉, forms, in agreement with the literature [31].

![Figure 4](image)

Figure 4. (a) UV-Vis absorbance spectra of free aqueous solutions containing CuSO₄ and alanine (ALA) at increasing M:L molar ratios (1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:4, and 1:5) at pH 10.0; the spectra of solutions of ALA (dotted curve) and CuSO₄ (dashed curve) are also reported for comparison. (b) UV-Vis absorbance spectra of agar gels containing CuSO₄ and ALA in molar ratio 1:2 at increasing pH (3.4 and 10.0); the spectrum of agar gel containing only CuSO₄ is also reported for comparison (dashed curve). Gel samples are 1 mm thick and the optical path for solutions in the cuvette is 1 cm.

The increase of absorbance with pH, already observed in free aqueous solution, is also visible in gels, as shown in Figure 4b. The agar gel containing an aqueous solution of CuSO₄ and ALA (in molar ratio M:L = 1:2) has pH 3.4 and shows a small shoulder centered at about 234 nm, which strongly increases in intensity at pH 10.0. No other modifications were observed with pH. Even if the band almost saturates at pH 10.0 and the spectral position cannot be precisely read, it matches the position of the band observed in the aqueous solution containing CuSO₄ and ALA in a molar ratio of 1:2 and attributed to the Cu(ALA)₂ complex; this clearly supports our attribution. The UV-Vis spectrum of the Cu(agar) complex is also shown for comparison, as a dashed curve.
2.1.2. EPR Characterization

Once verified by UV-Vis spectroscopy, the best conditions for Cu complex formation, the symmetry of Cu(II) centers within the different gel formulations was studied using EPR spectroscopy at 123 K. As expected, the large isotropic signal at g~2 of copper aqueous ions was observable in all aqueous solutions (spectra not shown) [36,37]. In pure gels, a weak sharp isotropic signal was present at g = 2.00 (indicated by the asterisk * in Figure 5), probably due to impurities present in the agar network, none of them attributable to the Cu(II) centers.

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5.** Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectra of agar gels with CuSO₄ (a) and with additives: EDTA (b), ALA (c), TAC (d), all at pH 10.0. The asterisk * indicates a signal probably due to impurities present in the agar network.

The EPR spectra of gels containing aqueous solutions of CuSO₄ and the ligands (EDTA, ALA, and TAC) at the molar ratio requested by the stoichiometry of the complex (1:1 for EDTA; 1:2 for ALA and TAC) at pH 10.0 are reported in Figure 5. Their spectral parameters are reported in Table 2. Agar gel with CuSO₄ shows a weak axial signal (Figure 5a) having values of g and A tensor components (g₁~2.3; A₁~140 G; g₂ = g₃~2.07) consistent with those of Cu(II) centers in a tetragonal symmetry field of oxygen atoms [39]. Accordingly, this signal can be attributed to magnetically diluted Cu(II) centers coordinated by agar gels, Cu(agar). For agar gel with CuSO₄ and EDTA, a strong axial signal is observed, having g₁ = 2.29; A₁ = 141 G; g₂ = g₃ = 2.07 (Figure 5b). These spectral parameters are in agreement with those observed for the same gel at pH 5.0 [5] and attributed to the Cu(EDTA) complex. However, the Cu(agar) signal is no more observable at pH 10.0, meaning that the ligand outcompetes the agar binding sites at higher pH.

**Table 2.** Parameters and attribution of electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) signals observed at 123 K in agar gels.

| Solute      | g₁ ± 0.01 | g₂ ± 0.01 | A₁ (G) ± 5 G | A₂ (G) ± 5 | Attribution          |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|------------|----------------------|
| CuSO₄       | 2.18      | 2.07      | 183          | 12         | Cu(TAC) and Cu(TAC)₂ |
| CuSO₄ + ALA | 2.18      | 2.07      | 183          | 12         | Cu(TAC) and Cu(TAC)₂ |
| CuSO₄ + TAC | 2.19      | 2.05      | 185          | 15         | Cu(TAC) and Cu(TAC)₂ |
| CuSO₄ + EDTA| 2.29      | 2.07      | 141          | n.d.       | Cu(EDTA)             |
| CuSO₄       | 2.29      | 2.07      | 141          | n.d.       | Cu(EDTA)             |

For agar gel with CuSO₄ and ALA, an anisotropic signal is observed (g₁ = 2.18, g₂ = 2.07, g₃ = 2.00, A₁ = 183 G, A₂ = 12 G), which shows a completely different coordination with respect to Cu(EDTA) complex (Figure 5c).
For agar gel with CuSO₄ and TAC, two anisotropic signals were observed (Figure 5d), having the same spectral parameters, except $g_1$ and $A_1$ values. These two signals could be attributed to complexes having molar ratios $\text{Cu:TAC} = 1:1$ and $1:2$, respectively, in agreement with the UV-Vis results. The comparison of the spectra obtained at pH 10.0 and at pH 7.0, this latter reported in the literature [5], suggests that copper centers are far better coordinated by TAC at higher pH values, since at pH 7.0 the signals of both the Cu(agar) complex and the interacting Cu(II) centers were observed. Finally, the presence of the dimer Cu₂(TAC)₂ cannot be confirmed by EPR spectra, since the spin coupling in the binuclear complex leads to the complete disappearance of the signal [29].

The complexes with ALA and with TAC have similar spectral parameters, displaying lower $g_1$ and higher $A_1$ values than Cu(EDTA). These variations can be related to a gradual increase in tetragonal distortion of copper centers in complexes with 1:2 stoichiometry [39,40].

2.2. Marble Specimens Cleaning

2.2.1. ICP-MS Analysis of Gels

The amount of copper and calcium removed by gels from laboratory-stained surfaces was quantified by ICP-MS. Microscopic images of marble specimens before staining, after staining with brochantite, and after treatment with agar gel with EDTA, TAC and ALA for 60 min are reported in the Supplementary Materials (Figures S4, S5 and S6, respectively). All gels, as prepared, showed the presence of negligible copper and calcium amounts. The amount of copper removed from the surface area per gel unit at pH 10.0 is reported in Figure 6 as a function of gel composition (pure, added with EDTA, TAC or ALA) for two different contact times (30 and 60 min), beside the ‘as prepared’ gels (zero minutes). The removal of copper increases with contact time for all the investigated gels, but it also strongly depends on the composition of the gel. After 60 min of contact time, pure agar gel displays the lowest removal (10 μg/cm²), followed by gels with TAC (60 μg/cm²), EDTA (80 μg/cm²), and ALA (120 μg/cm²).

![Figure 6. ICP-MS data showing the total copper content per agar surface area of pure agar gel (a), with TAC (b), with EDTA (c), and with ALA (d) after 0, 30, and 60 min of contact with laboratory stained specimens at pH 10.0. The asterisk * (e) reports the copper content in agar gel with EDTA and with TAC at pH 5.0 and 7.0 respectively.](image)

Interestingly, the copper concentration removed by pure agar gel is the same at pH 10.0 and at pH 5.0 [5], whereas the copper concentration removed by added gels is higher at pH 10.0. In fact, both the gels with EDTA and with TAC removed only 30 μg/cm² at pH 5.0 and 7.0, respectively (Figure 6e), after 60 min contact [5]. These data show that the increase in pH did not affect removal by pure agar gel, while it did affects removal by the additives, in agreement with the UV-Vis results about the formation of complexes.
Moreover, it is worth noting that the removal of copper by gels containing different additives at low pH values (pH 5.0 for EDTA and 7.0 for TAC [5]) showed no significant differences, probably due to the low stability of copper complexes.

Finally, the calcium content in gels, which may be removed due to the possible damage of the marble surface, was also analyzed. Pure agar gel and gel with added ALA removed no calcium from the specimens even after 60 min contact, while gels with EDTA and TAC removed 70 µg/cm² of calcium after 30 min contact, with no further increase with time. These results confirm that copper removal is strongly influenced by the nature of the additive and that ALA shows a peculiar selectivity for copper in gels, as already observed in aqueous solution during travertine basement cleaning [8], with the 80% of copper extracted by both alanine and disodium EDTA, while calcium was only extracted by disodium EDTA. In particular, the best results were obtained using gels with ALA at pH 10.0, which allow a very good removal of copper salts from marble surface, with negligible calcium removal damage of the stone surface.

2.2.2. Colorimetric Characterization of Marble Specimens

Color parameters of stained marble surfaces were measured before (t₁) and after (t₂) cleaning for different contact times (30 and 60 min) with gels at pH 10.0. The parameters extracted from the analysis are summarized in Table 3 and the calculated ΔE* values are also shown in Figure 7.

Table 3. Global color variations (ΔL*, Δa*, Δb*, ΔE*) before (t₁) and after (t₂) cleaning of stained laboratory specimens after 30 and 60 min contact.

| Cleaning Material | Time (min) | ΔL*  | Δa*  | Δb*  | ΔE*  |
|-------------------|------------|------|------|------|------|
| Pure gel          | 30         | −2.59| −1.18| −0.29| 2.61 |
|                   | 60         | 1.1  | 5.11 | −0.43| 5.25 |
| Gel with EDTA     | 30         | 3.34 | 2.81 | 4.22 | 6.06 |
|                   | 60         | 3.56 | 3.02 | 3.87 | 6.07 |
| Gel with TAC      | 30         | 4.08 | 2.92 | 4.45 | 6.61 |
|                   | 60         | 4.92 | 4.22 | 4.03 | 7.64 |
| Gel with ALA      | 30         | 6.22 | 9.9  | 0.8  | 11.72|
|                   | 60         | 6.22 | 10.57| 2.68 | 12.55|

![Figure 7](image.png)

**Figure 7.** Global color variations ΔE* for stained marble samples after 30 and 60 min contact with gels with ALA (a), with TAC (b), with EDTA (c), and of pure agar (d).

For pure gels, the smallest global color variations ΔE* were measured (ΔE* 2.61 and 5.25 after 30 and 60 min, respectively). These values are significant, since ΔE* > 2 corresponds to a cleaning action perceivable by naked eye [41]. After cleaning with the gels with additions, higher ΔE* values were measured, increasing in the order EDTA < TAC <
ALA. This result confirms that added agar gels are more effective in removing copper compounds. In particular, the highest $\Delta E^*$ value ($\Delta E^* = 12.55$) was achieved by the gel with ALA after 60 min contact, in agreement with the ICP-MS data on copper removal. Considering the differences in single color values (Table 3), it is possible to highlight that the highest contribution was given by $\Delta a^*$ (red/green variation), which reaches 10.57 after 60 min contact. This corresponds to a surface with less negative $a^*$ values, hence to the removal of green components. Finally, the action of the gels lightened the tested surfaces ($\Delta L^* = 6.22$ after 30 min contact with gel-ALA), even if this effect did not increase with application time.

3. Conclusions

The present paper describes the analysis of copper complex stoichiometry in free aqueous solutions and in gels with CuSO$_4$ and different ligands (EDTA, TAC, ALA). The stability of the complexes was also studied, in view of their use for copper stain removal from marble and of process efficiency maximization with minimum damage. Complexes were prepared from the chelating agent and copper sulphate, the latter being chosen due to the need of having high concentrations of copper in water, which is not guaranteed if brochantite is used as a source of copper centers. The complexes were prepared at the proper metal:ligand ratio (1:1 for EDTA, 1:2 for TAC and ALA) and at different pH values (in all cases lower than neutrality and 10.0).

The stoichiometry of the Cu(EDTA) and of Cu(ALA) complexes was confirmed. Furthermore, two copper complexes with TAC were identified both in free aqueous solutions and in gels, one of them having the known stoichiometry 1:1, monomer Cu(TAC) or dimer Cu$_2$(TAC)$_2$, and the other 1:2, Cu(TAC)$_3$, which has never been observed before.

The stability of all the complexes with the ligands increased with pH, both in free aqueous solutions and in gels. Indeed, copper centers were better coordinated by gels and/or by additives at pH 10.0 than at lower pH values. Accordingly, the gel effectiveness in removing copper salts from marble is the highest in the presence of ALA, followed by EDTA, TAC and pure agar gel at pH 10.0. Color variations of marble laboratory specimens stained with brochantite and cleaned under well-controlled and reproducible conditions, fully confirmed these results. Damage of marble was observed only after cleaning with gels with added EDTA and TAC, so that agar gel with ALA at pH 10.0 can be deduced to be the most efficient agent for copper stain removal from marble, with minimum damage in terms of calcium removal. We believe that the obtained results are extremely useful for restorers interested in using more efficient gels for metal extraction.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Materials

AgarArt powder (CTS S.r.l., Milan, Italy) was used for gel preparation. Disodium EDTA (Merck-Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany), TAC (Bresciani S.r.l., Milan, Italy) and L-ALA (Sigma Aldrich, CAS n. 56-41-7, Darmstadt, Germany) were used as chelating agents. CuSO$_4$·5H$_2$O (Sigma Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany) was used as a copper source. For laboratory specimen staining, Na$_2$CO$_3$ (Merck-Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) was also used. For pH solution modification, (NH$_4$)$_2$CO$_3$, H$_2$SO$_4$ 97% and NH$_3$ 33% (Sigma Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany) were used. HNO$_3$ (70%, Carlo Erba, Cornaredo, Italy) was used for gel dissolution. MQ water® and ultra-pure water were used when necessary.

4.2. Preparation of Solutions

Aqueous solutions at different metal:ligand molar ratios were prepared as follows: a solution of CuSO$_4$·5H$_2$O ($5 \times 10^{-4}$ M, i.e., 0.012% w/w) was added with increasing amounts of the ligand (EDTA, TAC, or ALA) to obtain the desired metal:ligand molar ratio.

In all cases, the concentration of solutions was chosen to observe intense, however not saturated, bands.
In order to compare all solutions at the same pH values, the pH values of the ‘as prepared’ solutions were varied in different ways (Table 1). For copper solutions containing EDTA and ALA, the initial pH values of 5.0 and 6.0, respectively, were increased to 6.0 and 8.0 for EDTA and to 10.0 for ALA by adding the proper amount of (NH₄)₂CO₃. The copper solution containing TAC had pH = 9.0, which was decreased to 6.0 by adding the proper volume of H₂SO₄.

4.3. Preparation of Laboratory Specimens

Specimens sized 5 cm × 5 cm × 2 cm of white statuary Carrara marble were used. The most homogeneous surface (5 cm × 5 cm) of each specimen was selected and processed as previously described in the literature [5]. This surface was stained by in situ synthesis of brochantite, Cu₅(SO₄)(OH)₆₆. For this purpose, marble specimens were immersed in 0.05 M (1.2% w/w) solutions of CuSO₄·5H₂O in water at 55°C, then an equimolar solution (i.e., 0.53% w/w) of Na₂CO₃ was added, dropwise. After the addition was complete, marble specimens remained immersed overnight into the reaction solution and were successively left to dry in the air. This procedure guaranteed reproducible staining in the light green palette, homogeneous on the whole specimen surface (Supplementary Materials Figure S4–S6).

4.4. Preparation and Application of Agar Gels

Gels were prepared using the following method [7]: AgarArt powder (3% w/w) and CuSO₄·5H₂O (3 × 10⁻³ M, i.e., 0.075% w/w) were dissolved in water at boiling temperature, to form a colloidal solution. Additives (EDTA or TAC or ALA, each at 1%, w/w) were added to the aqueous solutions containing AgarArt powder and CuSO₄·5H₂O, when required, before heating. The colloidal solution gellifies when cooling at 35 °C, forming a rigid thermo-reversible gel that can be re-liquefied by heating it to about 80 °C. Thus, the formulation underwent a double heating, which ensured the formation of the double helix network in the gel, improving its homogeneity and transparency. At the end, the warm solutions (around 50 °C) were poured in Petri capsules (Ø = 115 mm) up to 0.5 cm thickness. When cooled, gels sized 2.5 × 2.5 × 0.5 cm were cut, applied to stained surfaces displayed horizontally, and left for 60 min. This procedure allowed treatment under well-controlled and reproducible conditions.

The concentration of the agar gel in solution was chosen according to the one giving good results in cleaning procedures according to the literature [1], where four different concentrations of agar in water were tested (1, 2, 3, and 4 w/w%). The additive concentration was chosen according to the conservators’ practical experience, which highlighted the improved cleaning action of the added gels at low ligand concentration [1]. Concentrations of metal and ligands in gels were chosen to observe intense, however not saturated, bands.

The pH values of the ‘as prepared’ solutions were 5.0 (pure agar), 6.0 (in the presence of EDTA), 5.5 (in the presence of TAC), and 3.4 (in the presence of ALA) (Table 1). To study the effect of pH on complexes in gel, solutions were added with the proper volume of NH₃ 33% until reaching pH = 10.0. The maximum pH value was chosen at 10.0, since damage to the marble surface may occur above this value.

4.5. Solution and Agar Gel Characterization

The UV-Vis transmittance spectra of solutions and gels were recorded on an Agilent Cary 100 spectrophotometer in the spectral range from 190 to 900 nm, at 25 °C, in a thermostatic cell. Solutions were characterized in quartz cuvettes with 1 cm optical paths, then properly normalized to cuvette and pure solvent spectra. In the case of gels, UV-Vis transmittance spectra were recorded on gel layers ≈ 1 mm thick. The stoichiometric ratio of the Cu(II)-ligand complexes was assessed by using the mole ratio method at the maximum wavelength [32].
Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectra were recorded at the X-band frequency on a Bruker EMX EPR spectrometer equipped with a BVT 2000 (Bruker, Mannheim, Germany) variable temperature unit. Both solutions and gels were inserted into quartz tubes having an internal diameter of 3 mm. Since it was not possible to compact gels at the bottom of the EPR tubes, any quantitative comparison among different samples was avoided. Unless otherwise indicated, a modulation frequency of 100 kHz, modulation amplitude of 1 G, microwave power of 5 mW and temperature of 123 K were used. The g values were determined by standardization with α,α’-diphenyl-β-picryl hydrazyl (DPPH) radical.

In order to determine the amount of metal extracted from the specimens, gels (5 cm × 5 cm × 0.5 cm) were removed after 60 min contact, weighed, dissolved in HNO₃, diluted, and analyzed using an inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometer (ICP-MS, ThermoFisher iCAP Q). Ultra-pure water produced by a Sartorius arium mini system was used to dilute the samples and to prepare the standard solutions, and ultrapure nitric acid, produced via sub-boiling distillation with DuoPUR-Milestone equipment [42], was added to a final concentration of 2% w/w. Procedural blanks and control standards were also analyzed during each analysis batch; quantification was performed by external calibration. Data (+2% error) were normalized according to each sample weight.

4.6. Marble Characterization

Color measurement data were acquired using a Konica Minolta Chromometer CM-700d with D65 source and d/8° analytic geometry in the CIE L*a*b* system, measuring a circular area corresponding to a 6 mm diameter. The parameter L* represents the lightness from 0 (black) to 100 (white); a* denotes the red/green values and b* the yellow/blue values, both ranging from +60 to −60. For each specimen, 25 measures were acquired. Given ΔL*, Δa*, Δb*, the total color difference can be stated as a single value, expressed as

\[ \Delta E^* = [(\Delta L^*)^2 + (\Delta a^*)^2 + (\Delta b^*)^2]^{1/2}, \]  

(1)

The ΔE* value represents the distance of two color points on the CIE L*a*b* color space [41, 43].

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/gels7030111/s1, Figure S1: UV-Vis absorbance spectrum of pure agar gel (dotted curve), compared with a curve representing the λ⁴ dependence of the intensity of scattered light; Figure S2: UV-Vis absorbance spectra of free aqueous solutions containing EDTA at increasing concentrations (5 × 10⁻⁴, 1.5 × 10⁻³, 2 × 10⁻³ M, corresponding to 0.015, 0.044, 0.058% w/w, respectively). The optical path in the cuvette is 1 cm; Figure S3: UV-Vis absorbance spectra of free aqueous solutions containing both CuSO₄ and EDTA in molar ratio 1:1 at increasing pH (5.0, 6.0 and 8.0); Figure S4: Microscopic image of a marble specimen (a) before staining, (b) after staining with brochantite, and (c) after treatment with agar gel-EDTA for 60 min (magnification 10×; scale bar 5 mm). The cleaning action could not be considered complete; Figure S5: Microscopic image of a marble specimen (a) before staining, (b) after staining with brochantite, and (c) after treatment with agar gel-TAC for 60 min (magnification 10×; scale bar 5 mm). The cleaning action could not be considered complete; Figure S6: Microscopic image of a marble specimen (a) before staining, (b) after staining with brochantite, and (c) after treatment with agar gel-ALA for 60 min (magnification 10×; scale bar 5 mm). The cleaning action could not be considered complete.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, C.C. (Carmen Canevali) and A.S. (Antonio Sansonetti); methodology, C.C. (Carmen Canevali), A.S. (Antonio Sansonetti) and A.S. (Adele Sassella); validation, L.R. D.S. and A.S. (Adele Sassella); formal analysis, L.R. and D.M.; investigation, M.B., C.C. (Cristina Corti) and D.M.; writing—original draft preparation, C.C. (Carmen Canevali); writing—review and editing, M.B., D.S., C.C. (Carmen Canevali) and A.S. (Adele Sassella); visualization, C.C. (Cristina Corti); supervision, C.C. (Carmen Canevali). All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.
Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data contained within the article or Supplementary Material.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Sansonetti, A.; Casati, M.; Strivova, J.; Canevali, C.; Anzani, M.; Rabolli, A. A cleaning method based on the use of agar gels: New tests and perspectives. In Proceedings of the 12th International Congress on the Deterioration and Conservation of Stone, New York, NY, USA, 22–26 October 2012.
2. Angelova, L.V.; Ormsby, B.; Townsend, J.; Wolbers, R. Gels in the Conservation of Art; Archetype Publications Ltd, London, UK: 2017.
3. Casoli, A.; Cremonesi, P.; Isca, C.; Groppetti, R.; Pini, S.; Senin, N. Evaluation of the effect of cleaning on the morphological properties of ancient paper surface. Cellulose 2013, 20, 2027–2043.
4. Bertasa, M.; Bandini, F.; Felici, A.; Lanfranchi, M.; Negrotti, R.; Riminesi, C.; Scalarone, D.; Sansonetti, A. Soluble salts extraction with different thickeners: Monitoring of the effects on plaster. In Proceedings of the IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 13–14 August, 2018; p. 012076.
5. Canevali, C.; Fasoli, M.; Bertasa, M.; Botteon, A.; Colombo, A.; Di Tullio, V.; Capitani, D.; Proietti, N.; Scalarone, D.; Sansonetti, A. A multi-analytical approach for the study of copper stain removal by agar gels. Microchem. J. 2016, 129, 249–258.
6. Borrelli, E. Standardization of a removal salts meted from porous support and its use as diagnostic tool. In Proceedings of the III International Symposium on the Conservation Monuments in the Mediterranean Basin, Venice, Italy, 1994; pp. 163–167.
7. Young, M.E.; Urquhart, D.; Laing, R. Maintenance and repair issues for stone cleaned sandstone and granite building façades. Build. Environ. 2003, 38, 1125–1131.
8. Macchia, A.; Sammartino, M.; Tabasso, M.L. A new method to remove copper corrosion stains from stone surfaces. J. Archaeol. Sci. 2011, 38, 1300–1307.
9. Akter, M.; Bhattacharjee, M.; Dhar, A.K.; Rahaman, F.B.A.; Haque, S.; Rashid, T.U.; Kabir, S.M.F. Cellulose-Based Hydrogels for Wastewater Treatment: A Concise Review. Gels 2021, 7, 30, doi:10.3390/gels7010030.
10. Sharma, B.; Thakur, S.; Mamba, G.; Prateek; Gupta, R.K.; Gupta, V.K.; Thakur, V.K. Titania modified gum tragacanth based hydrogel nanocomposite for water remediation. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 2021, 9, 104608, doi:10.1016/j.jece.2020.104608.
11. Verma, A.; Thakur, S.; Mamba, G.; Gupta, R.K.; Thakur, P.; Thakur, V.K. Graphite modified sodium alginate hydrogel composite for efficient removal of malachite green dye. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 2020, 148, 1130–1139.
12. Bertasa, M.; Canevali, C.; Sansonetti, A.; Lazzari, M.; Malandrino, M.; Simonutti, R.; Scalarone, D. An in-depth study on the agar gel effectiveness for built heritage cleaning. J. Cult. Herit. 2021, 47, 12–20.
13. Bonino, V.E.S.; Tegoni, M.; Mucchino, C.; Predieri, G.; Casoli, A. Model study of the constituents of wall painting degradation patinas: The effect of the treatment with chelating agents on the solubility of the calcium salts. Microchem. J. 2015, 118, 62–68.
14. Zaitoun, M.A.; Lin, C. Chelating behavior between metal ions and EDTA in sol–gel matrix. J. Phys. Chem. B 1997, 101, 1857–1860.
15. Sansonetti, A.; Bertasa, M.; Canevali, C.; Rabbolini, A.; Anzani, M.; Scalarone, D. A review in using agar gels for cleaning art surfaces. J. Cult. Herit. 2020, doi:10.1016/j.culher.2020.01.008.
16. Gulotta, D.; Saviello, D.; Gherardi, F.; Toniolo, L.; Anzani, M.; Rabbolini, A.; Goidanich, S. Setup of a sustainable indoor cleaning methodology for the sculpted stone surfaces of the Duomo of Milan. Herit. Sci. 2014, 2, 1–13.
17. Fratini, E.; Carretti, E. CHAPTER 10 Cleaning IV: Gels and Polymeric Dispersions. In Nanoscience for the Conservation of Works of Art; The Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, UK: 2013; pp. 252–279.
18. Bertasa, M.; Dodero, A.; Alloisio, M.; Vicini, S.; Riedo, C.; Sansonetti, A.; Scalarone, D.; Castellano, M. Agar gel strength: A correlation study between chemical composition and rheological properties. Eur. Polym. J. 2020, 123, 109442, doi:10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2019.109442.
19. Bonelli, N.; Montis, C.; Mirabile, A.; Berti, D.; Baglioni, P. Restoration of paper artworks with microemulsions confined in hydrogels for safe and efficient removal of adhesive tapes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2018, 115, 5932–5937, doi:10.1073/pnas.1801962115.
20. Baglioni, M.; Domingues, J.A.; Carretti, E.; Fratini, E.; Chelazzi, D.; Giorgi, R.; Baglioni, P. Complex fluids confined into semi-interpenetrated chemical hydrogels for the cleaning of classic art: A rheological and SAXS study. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2018, 10, 19162–19172.
21. Angelova, L.V.; Ormsby, B.; Richardson, E. Diffusion of water from a range of conservation treatment gels into paint films studied by unilaterial NMR: Part I: Acrylic emulsion paint. Microchem. J. 2016, 124, 311–320.
22. Bertasa, M.; Poli, T.; Riedo, C.; Di Tullio, V.; Capitani, D.; Proietti, N.; Canevali, C.; Sansonetti, A.; Scalarone, D. A study of non-bounded/bounded water and water mobility in different agar gels. Microchem. J. 2018, 139, 306–314, doi:10.1016/j.microc.2018.03.016.
23. Bertasa, M.; Botteon, A.; Brambilla, L.; Riedo, C.; Chiantore, O.; Poli, T.; Sansonetti, A.; Scalarone, D. Cleaning materials: A compositional multi-analytical characterization of commercial agar powders. J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis 2017, 125, 310–317.

24. Mazzuca, C.; Micheli, L.; Carbone, M.; Basoli, F.; Cervelli, E.; Iannuccelli, S.; Setti, S.; Palleschi, A. Gallen hydrogel as a powerful tool in paper cleaning process: A detailed study. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2014, 416, 205–211, doi:10.1016/j.jcis.2013.10.062.

25. Prati, S.; Volpi, F.; Fontana, R.; Galletti, P.; Giorgini, L.; Mazzeo, R.; Mazzucchelli, L.; Samori, C.; Sciutto, G.; Tagliavini, E. Sustainability in art conservation: A novel bio-based organogel for the cleaning of water sensitive works of art. Pure Appl. Chem. 2018, 90, 239–251.

26. Bertasa, M.; Chiantore, O.; Poli, T.; Riedo, C.; Tullio, V.d.; Canevalli, C.; Sansonetti, A.; Scalarone, D. A Study of Commercial Agar Gels as Cleaning Materials. In Proceedings of the Gels in Conservation, London, UK, 16–18 October 2017; pp. 11–18.

27. Di Tullio, V.; Sciutto, G.; Proietti, N.; Prati, S.; Mazzeo, R.; Colombo, C.; Cantisani, E.; Romè, V.; Rigaglia, D.; Capitani, D. 1H NMR depth profiles combined with portable and micro-analytical techniques for evaluating cleaning methods and identifying original, non-original, and degraded materials of a 16th century Italian wall painting. Microchem. J. 2018, 141, 40–50.

28. Harris, D.C. Quantitative Chemical Analysis; Macmillan, London, UK: 2010.

29. Zabiszak, M.; Nowak, M.; Taras-Goslinska, K.; Kaczmarek, M.T.; Hnatejko, Z.; Jastrzab, R. Carboxyl groups of citric acid in the process of complex formation with bivalent and trivalent metal ions in biological systems. J. Inorg. Biochem. 2018, 182, 37–47.

30. Kunimoto, M.; Sadaoka, Y.; Nakanishi, T.; Osaka, T.; Homma, T. Theoretical Study on the Formation Mechanism of Amino Acid–Cu(II) Complexes on an Enantio-Sensing Device Interface. J. Phys. Chem. C 2016, 120, 15722–15728.

31. Irving, H.; Williams, R. 637. The stability of transition-metal complexes. J. Chem. Soc. 1953, 3192–3210, doi.org/10.1039/JR9530003192

32. Karaderi, S.; Bakla, M.; Mazì, C. Determination of UV-Vis Spectrophotometric Method of Metal Complexes Stoichiometry between Cu(II), Ca(II), Cd(II), Mg(II) and Zn(II) with Bupropion Hydrochloride. Int. J. Pharm. Res. Allied Sci. 2019, 8, 1–9.

33. Sharma, S.; Rahman, N.; Azmi, S.N.H.; Iqbal, B.; Amburk, M.I.B.B.; Al Barwani, Z.M.H. UV spectrophotometric determination of Cu(II) in synthetic mixture and water samples. J. Chin. Chem. Soc. 2010, 57, 622–631.

34. Zhang, Y.; Yan, Y.; Wang, J.; Huang, J. Lamellar supramolecular materials based on a chelated metal complex for organic dye adsorption. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 33295–33301.

35. Thuy, T.T.; Herman, G.; Lommens, P.; Driessche, I.V. Complexation behavior in aqueous edta sol-gel systems for the synthesis of YBa2Cu3O7-x high-temperature superconductors. J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 2012, 23, 1289–1297.

36. Ferreira, S.; Andrade, M.; Lebo, I.; Costa, A.S. 2-(2-Thiazolylazo)-p-cresol (TAC) as a reagent for the spectrophotometric determination of lead (II). Anal. Lett. 1991, 24, 1675–1684.

37. Ferreira, S.L.C.; Costa, A.S.; Andrade, H. 2-(2-Thiazolylazo)-p-cresol (TAC) as a reagent for the spectrophotometric determination of indium (III). Microchem. J. 1991, 44, 63–66.

38. Fujimoto, M.; Tomkiewicz, Y. EPR Studies of Copper-Doped L-Alanine Crystals: Ultraviolet-Induced Conversion in Cu2+ Complexes. J. Chem. Phys. 1972, 56, 749–754.

39. Peisach, J.; Blumberg, W. Structural implications derived from the analysis of electron paramagnetic resonance spectra of natural and artificial copper proteins. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 1974, 165, 691–708.

40. Canevalli, C.; Morazzoni, F.; Scotti, R.; Cauzzi, D.; Moggi, P.; Predieri, G. Electron paramagnetic resonance characterisation of silica-dispersed copper molybdate obtained by sol-gel and impregnation methods. J. Mater. Chem. 1999, 9, 507–513.

41. Stiles, W. Color Science: Concepts and Methods, Quantitative Data and Formulas; Wiley-Interscience, New York NY, USA: 2000; Volume 40.

42. Monticelli, D.; Castelletti, A.; Civati, D.; Recchia, S.; Dossi, C. How to efficiently produce ultrapure acids. Int. J. Anal. Chem. 2019, doi.org/10.1155/2019/5180610.

43. Anania, L.; Badalà, A.; Barone, G.; Belfiore, C.M.; Calabró, C.; La Russa, M.F.; Mazzoleni, P.; Pezzino, A. The stones in monumental masonry buildings of the “Val di Noto” area: New data on the relationships between petrographic characters and physical-mechanical properties. Constr. Build. Mater. 2012, 33, 122–132.