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Quality of Life in Patients with Acromegaly before and after Transsphenoidal Surgical Resection
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Objective. We aimed to determine the perioperative changes in the quality of life (QoL) in patients with acromegaly and to reveal the relationship between biochemical indicators and quality of life change after tumor resection. Methods. Patients with acromegaly were enrolled from a tertiary pituitary center. SF-36 scale and AcroQoL scale were used to determine the QoL before and after surgery. We analyzed changes in QoL using a generalized linear model for repeated measurements. We compared the changes in QoL among three groups (remission, active, and discordant group) based on postoperative growth hormone (GH) and insulin-like growth factor-1.

Results. 151 patients (75 males and 76 females) diagnosed with acromegaly were included. The average age was 43.9 ± 12.3 years. The median total SF-36 scale was 65.3% (IQR: 63.2%–69.2%). Overall AcroQoL score at baseline was 59.1% (IQR: 51.8%–71.8%). Nadir GH levels (coefficient −0.08, p = 0.047), T3 levels (coefficient 2.8, p = 0.001), and testosterone levels (coefficient −0.20, p = 0.033) in males were independent predictive factors of the total SF-36 score. During the follow-up, the median overall SF-36 score increased to 66.1% at 3 months and 75.3% at 6 months (p < 0.001) after surgery. The median overall AcroQoL score increased to 74.5% at 3 months and 77.3% at 6 months (p < 0.001) after surgery. At 6-month follow-up, median scores were still less than 70% in appearance, vitality, and mental health dimensions. The QoL after surgery was similar among the three groups, although higher GH and more preoperative somatostatin analogs usage were observed in the active group. Conclusion. In conclusion, acromegalic patients were associated with low QoL, which could be reversed partially by surgery. The improvement was independent of the endocrine remission. Appearance, vitality, and mental health were three major aspects that warrant further attention from physicians and caregivers after surgery.

1. Introduction

Acromegaly, usually caused by a growth hormone-secreting pituitary adenoma, is characterized by increased levels of growth hormone (GH) and insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1). The prevalence of acromegaly in the general population is about 36 to 125 cases per 1 million [1] . The relative risk of death in untreated patients with acromegaly is estimated to be 1.73 compared to the standardized population, which mainly related to cardiovascular, cerebrovascular, and respiratory diseases [2, 3] . Data showed that mortality in patients treated in recent years with modern successful therapies is not different from reference population [4–6] . In addition to that, symptoms of acromegaly include enlargement of face, hands, and feet. Excessive sweat, tiredness, intractable headache, snoring, and sleep apnea are other significant complaints in these patients. Moreover, excessive GH secretion can lead to multiple complications, including diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and osteoporosis. All these together significantly affect the quality of life [7] . Besides, although multimodality treatments can control the disease in most cases, some irreversible changes, such as bone lesions and joint lesions, may still persist [8, 9] .

Although there are a large number of cross-sectional studies assessing the quality of life in patients with acromegaly, prospective studies evaluating the changes in the
quality of life after surgery-induced remission were limited. In this study, we enrolled 154 patients with acromegaly from January 2018 to July 2019, to examine the perioperative changes in the quality of life using the SF-36 questionnaire and AcroQoL scale. We also analyzed the risk factors associated with the quality of life in these patients before surgery and explored the relationship between biochemical changes and quality of life change after tumor resection.

2. Methods

Inpatients diagnosed with acromegaly were enrolled from the neurosurgical department of Huashan Hospital, Fudan University from January 2018 to July 2019. The diagnosis of acromegaly was based on typical clinical features of acromegaly, high GH after oral administration of 75 g glucose (OGTT), high IGF-1 levels [7, 10], pituitary tumor confirmed by MRI, and the pathology. We only included newly diagnosed acromegalic patients who were submitted to transnasal transsphenoidal surgery. We excluded adult patients without sufficient follow-up. The institutional review board approved the study, and all patients gave informed consent.

We documented baseline characteristics of the cohort, including age, gender, body mass index, medical history, and education history. Endocrinological tests were performed before operation. GH levels were analyzed using a two-site chemiluminescence immunoassay (AutoDELFIA® hGH, PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences, Wallac Oy) with intra-assay variance of 5.3–6.5%, interassay variance of 5.7–6.2%, and sensitivity of 0.01 μg/L. IGF-1 levels were analyzed using Immulite 2000 solid phase, enzyme-labeled chemiluminescence immunoassay analysis (Siemens Medical Diagnostics Ltd., United Kingdom). Normal age-appropriate ranges of IGF-1 for adults are as follows: 19-20 years: 127–483 μg/L; 21–35 years: 115–358 μg/L; 36–50 years: 94–284 μg/L; >50 years: 55–238 μg/L. The intra-assay variance of IGF-1 was 2.3–3.5%, the interassay variance was 7.0–7.1%, and the sensitivity of IGF-1 was 20 μg/L [11]. Hormones of the thyroid axis, the adrenal axis, and the gonadal axis were also tested before surgery.

2.1. Questionnaires. We used SF-36 and AcroQoL questionnaires to assess the quality of life in patients with acromegaly.

The SF-36 scale is currently the most widely used life quality assessment tool in the world. The scale includes nine dimensions, namely, physical functioning, role physical, bodily pain, general health, vitality, role emotional, social functioning, mental health, and health transition. We applied the standardized scale method to scale each dimension and the total SF-36 score. We presented the scores as percentages, and higher score represents better quality of life [12].

The AcroQoL is the only evaluation scale specifically for the health-related quality of life in patients with acromegaly. The scale includes 22 items, mainly evaluating three dimensions: patients’ physical function, appearance, and personal relationship [13]. The scale is suitable for patients with acromegaly between 18 and 70 years old, using a 5-grade scoring method. Similarly, scores were presented as percentages in each dimension and the total AcroQoL score.

2.2. Statistical Analysis. Continuous data were described as mean± standard deviation (interquartile range for non-normalized data), and the count data were described as count and proportion. Correlations among baseline characteristics and scores in quality of life were assessed using Spearman correlation tests. Linear regression was used to identify independent risks for the poor quality of life.

Change in quality of life was analyzed using a generalized linear model for repeated measurements. We further analyzed the change in quality of life among three groups according to the 2010 consensus: remission group (random GH < 1ug/L or nadir GH < 0.4 μg/L during an OGTT, and the IGF-1 index < 1), active group (random GH > 1 μg/L or nadir GH > 0.4 μg/L during an OGTT, and IGF-1 index > 1), and discordant group (GH criteria and IGF-1 criteria were discordant). We used R v3.3.2 to perform statistical analysis and p < 0.05 as the significant level.

3. Results of Baseline Characteristics

151 patients (75 males and 76 females) diagnosed with acromegaly were included, and the average age was 43.9±12.3 years. The most common comorbidity was hypertension (40 patients, 26.5%) and diabetes mellitus (38 patients, 25.2%). 29 patients (19.2%) received somatostatin analogs treatment before surgery. Regarding education history, 97 patients only experienced undergraduate education, 51 patients accepted college or university education, and 3 patients underwent a postgraduate education.

The median total SF-36 scale was 65.3% (IQR: 63.2%–69.2%, Table 1). Role emotional, role physical, and general health were the poorest three dimensions with 33.3% (IQR: 0.0%–100.0%), 50.0% (IQR: 25.0%–75.0%), and 45.0% (IQR: 27.0%–57.0%), respectively. Bodily pain, vitality, and mental health were compromised as well, with 74.0% (IQR: 74.0%–80.0%), 70.0% (IQR: 50.0%–75.0%), and 72.0% (IQR: 52.0%–72.0%), respectively. Physical functioning and social functioning subscales were relatively normal. Overall AcroQoL score at baseline was 59.1% (IQR: 51.8%–71.8%). The median physical subscore was 65.0% (IQR: 47.5%–77.5%), the median appearance subscore was 57.1% (IQR: 48.6%–62.9%), and the median personal relation subscore was 74.3% (IQR: 62.9%–80.0%).

We observed that education history slightly positively correlated with quality of life (Figure 1). Regarding negative factors, age and hypertension negatively correlated with both SF-36 and AcroQoL. Additionally, within the dimensions in AcroQoL, all subscales of AcroQoL correlated with each other. Within the dimensions of SF-36, mental health and vitality slightly negatively correlated with general health. Figure 1 summarizes the correlations among various acromegaly-related parameters and the quality of life scores.
In the multiple linear regression analysis model, we found that nadir GH levels (coefficient \(-0.08, p = 0.047\)), T3 levels (coefficient 2.8, \(p = 0.001\)), and testosterone levels (coefficient \(-0.20, p = 0.033\)) in males were independent predictive factors of total SF-36 score. No factors were observed to be correlated with total AcroQoL score, but age

**Table 1: Quality of life before and after operation in acromegalic patients.**

|                      | Baseline (%) | 3-month follow-up (%) | 6-month follow-up (%) | \(p\) for repeated measure |
|----------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| SF-36                | 65.3 (63.2–69.2) | 66.1 (64.9–72.8) | 75.3 (63.9–83.2) | \(\leq 0.001\)         |
| Physical functioning | 90.0 (90.0–95.0) | 85.0 (85.0–95.0) | 90.0 (80.0–100.0) | 0.001                    |
| Role physical        | 50.0 (25.0–75.0) | 25.0 (25.0–75.0) | 75.0 (75.0–100.0) | \(\leq 0.001\)         |
| Bodily pain          | 74.0 (74.0–80.0) | 84.0 (74.0–100.0) | 72.0 (70.0–84.0) | \(\leq 0.001\)         |
| General health       | 45.0 (27.0–57.0) | 75.0 (52.0–82.0) | 67.0 (50.0–77.0) | \(\leq 0.001\)         |
| Vitality             | 70.0 (50.0–75.0) | 45.0 (40.0–70.0) | 65.0 (55.0–75.0) | 0.669                    |
| Role emotional       | 33.3 (0.0–100.0) | 66.7 (66.7–100.0) | 100.0 (66.7–100.0) | \(\leq 0.001\)         |
| Social functioning   | 100.0 (100.0–100.0) | 87.5 (62.5–100.0) | 100.0 (100.0–100.0) | 0.894                    |
| Mental health        | 72.0 (52.0–72.0) | 56.0 (56.0–68.0) | 68.0 (56.0–80.0) | 0.170                    |
| Health transition    | 50.0 (25.0–50.0) | 50.0 (50.0–75.0) | 75.0 (50.0–75.0) | \(\leq 0.001\)         |
| AcroQoL              | 59.1 (51.8–71.8) | 74.5 (70.0–76.4) | 77.3 (59.1–87.5) | \(\leq 0.001\)         |
| Appearance           | 57.1 (48.6–62.9) | 54.3 (54.3–60.0) | 62.9 (54.3–82.9) | \(\leq 0.001\)         |
| Personal relation    | 74.3 (62.9–80.0) | 91.4 (77.1–91.4) | 82.9 (65.7–91.4) | \(\leq 0.001\)         |
| Physical             | 65.0 (47.5–77.5) | 77.5 (72.5–82.5) | 82.5 (62.5–90.0) | \(\leq 0.001\)         |

**Figure 1:** Correlation plot among baseline characteristics and quality of life scores. Red represents positive correlation and blue represents negative correlation.

was associated with personal subscale (coefficient $-0.11$, $p = 0.008$) and appearance subscale in AcroQoL (coefficient $-0.06$, $p = 0.038$).

3.1. Postoperative Changes in Quality of Life. During the follow-up, the median overall SF-36 score increased to 66.1% at 3 months and 75.3% at 6 months ($p \leq 0.001$) after surgery. The median overall AcroQoL score increased to 74.5% at 3 months and 77.3% at 6 months ($p < 0.001$) after surgery. We found that all AcroQoL subscales and SF-36 subscales increased, except for the SF-36 vitality, social functioning, and mental health subscales, in which no differences were found at follow-up compared with baseline. At 6-month follow-up, median scores were still less than 70% in appearance, vitality, and mental health dimensions.

We found that the baseline quality of life was associated with the quality change after surgical resection (coefficient $-1.28$, $p = 0.020$ for SF-36 and coefficient $-1.20$, $p = 0.003$ for AcroQoL), suggesting that patients with low quality of life at baseline were more likely to recover after surgery.

Further analyses were performed among the remission group, active group, and discordant group (Table 2). The quality of life after surgery was similar among the three groups, although higher GH and more preoperative somatostatin analogs usage were observed in the active group.

4. Discussion

We prospectively enrolled patients with acromegaly and investigated their quality of life in this study. We found that the quality of life was compromised in patients with acromegaly, with growth hormone, triiodothyronine, and testosterone in males as risk factors for low quality of life. Quality of life increased after surgery, but subscores of appearance, vitality, and mental health dimensions were still low after surgery. The change was not dependent on the endocrine remission of the disease.

Quality of life assessment is an important patient-reported outcome measurement in clinical practice. It reflects the overall disease course and remains pertinent to many other aspects such as mental state and social activities. The relationship between growth hormone excess and quality of life is complicated, due to the limitations of our current understanding of acromegaly [14]. The understanding of quality of life improving in patients after transnasal pituitary tumor resection can potentially improve physicians’ clinical care and patients’ well-being.

Biermasz et al. found that the disease course and age negatively correlated with the AcroQoL score, which was similar to our findings [15]. Dimopoulou et al. found that headache, GH levels, depression, and the decline in quality of life were related. Treatment for depressive symptoms may improve the patients’ overall quality of life [16]. Miller et al. found that patients with musculoskeletal pain had poorer quality of life compared with those without pain in a cross-sectional study of patients with chronic acromegaly [17]. In regard of endocrine functions, Geraedts et al. found endocrine functions are not associated with quality of life [14]. However, in our study, we found that pituitary insufficiency was an independent risk factor for poor quality of life.

Concerning the subscales, Kyriakakis et al. found that physical function was the most significant subscale in SF-36, which might be related to irreversible complications of the joint disease [18]. Webb et al. found that the appearance dimension weakly correlated with the GH level. Appearance and physiological function were the most affected areas in AcroQoL [19], which corresponds to our findings.

Patients had a significant improvement in the quality of life after surgery, according to several studies. Badia et al. found that the quality of life in patients who achieved biochemical control was better than those who did not achieve biochemical control [20, 21]. However, in another study conducted by Webb and Badia [19], biochemical control does not necessarily translate into the patient’s perception of normal quality of life [22, 23]. Our study concluded similarly that although biochemical parameters were not always normal, successful surgery could improve the overall health of the patient even if he/she remained active after surgery. Similarly, Webb and Bandia found no difference in the quality of life scores between active and inactive patients [19].

In this study, we did not find sufficient evidence to support the benefit of biochemical control on improving patients’ quality of life, which suggested that biochemical control was not the only choice in quality of life measurement. The dimensions that had most significant impact on the patient’s quality of life at 6-month follow-up were appearance, mental health, and vitality. Further microplastic surgeries, treatment of depressive symptoms, and sufficient endocrine replacement have a promising strategy for improving quality of life [14]. Physicians and caregivers should pay more attention to the long-term mental and psychological problems of acromegalic patients and to ensure their effective management of comorbidities.

The study’s major limitation lies in that we only evaluated patients in a relatively short period; the long-term quality of life in acromegalic patients was unknown. Different treatment modes have different effects on the quality of life, and we were unaware of the quality of life after medical or radiosurgical therapy. Occupational information and financial information were not available. Questionnaire response behavior can also be biased by current mood or context effects; for example, patients’ quality of life may be compromised by the complications of surgery (e.g., nasal congestion) during the short term after surgery. Though similar results have been published in previous studies, our proof-of-concept result suggested that further microplastic surgeries, treatment of depressive symptoms, and sufficient endocrine replacement might have a promising strategy for improving quality of life in these patients. Future studies should focus on intervention.

In conclusion, low quality of life in acromegalic patients could be reversed partially by surgery. The improvement was independent of the endocrine remission. Appearance, vitality, and mental health were three major aspects that warrant further attention from physicians and caregivers after surgery.
The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.
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Table 2: Difference of quality of life change in remission, discordant, and active patients.

|                         | Remission (N = 71) | Discordant (N = 36) | Active (N = 44) | P    |
|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------|------|
| Baseline characteristics |                   |                     |                |      |
| Age (years)             | 45.3 (12.5)       | 41.5 (11.2)         | 43.6 (12.9)    | 0.318|
| Gender (male)           | 34 (47.9%)        | 17 (47.2%)          | 24 (54.5%)     | 0.743|
| BMI (kg/m²)             | 25.4 (2.9)        | 25.5 (3.3)          | 26.7 (4.2)     | 0.122|
| Preoperative medication | 8 (11.3%)         | 7 (19.4%)           | 14 (31.8%)     | 0.025|
| Diabetest mellitus      | 17 (23.9%)        | 11 (30.6%)          | 10 (22.7%)     | 0.687|
| Hypertension            | 22 (31.0%)        | 4 (11.1%)           | 14 (31.8%)     | 0.056|
| Nadir GH (ng/mL)        | 15.7 (13.6)       | 20.3 (14.9)         | 27.8 (24.6)    | 0.004|
| IGF index               | 2.5 (1.0)         | 2.3 (0.8)           | 2.7 (0.9)      | 0.178|
| T3 (nmol/L)             | 2.1 (1.3)         | 2.1 (1.7)           | 2.2 (1.7)      | 0.949|
| T4 (nmol/L)             | 94.8 (23.4)       | 94.0 (24.2)         | 97.0 (25.1)    | 0.857|
| Free T3 (pmol/L)        | 5.3 (1.6)         | 5.2 (1.9)           | 8.0 (17.5)     | 0.307|
| Free T4 (pmol/L)        | 18.4 (12.6)       | 18.7 (17.5)         | 18.1 (8.8)     | 0.979|
| Testosterone (nmol/L)   | 7.8 (3.8)         | 14.5 (25.3)         | 6.1 (7.2)      | 0.111|
| SF36                    | 65.6% [63.9%, 72.1%] | 65.3% [62.1%, 66.6%] | 65.4% [63.2%, 67.7%] | 0.521|
| AcroQoL                 | 58.2% [51.8%, 71.8%] | 67.7% [51.8%, 71.8%] | 64.1% [51.8%, 71.8%] | 0.982|
| 6-month follow-up       |                   |                     |                |      |
| SF36                    | 76.1% [61.4%, 81.9%] | 78.2% [63.7%, 88.9%] | 75.3% [70.1%, 82.3%] | 0.431|
| AcroQoL                 | 75.0% [59.3%, 87.3%] | 76.4% [57.3%, 89.3%] | 82.7% [74.1%, 88.6%] | 0.335|
| Change of QoL after surgery |               |                     |                |      |
| SF36                    | 11.1% [-1.5%, 16.1%] | 18.7% [-0.7%, 35.7%] | 11.0% [2.5%, 20.7%] | 0.384|
| AcroQoL                 | 7.0% [2.2%, 31.0%] | 14.5% [5.8%, 24.4%] | 15.4% [2.4%, 39.6%] | 0.647|
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