Pathogenic *Acinetobacter* species have a functional type I secretion system and contact-dependent inhibition systems
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Pathogenic *Acinetobacter* species, including *Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Acinetobacter nosocomialis*, are opportunistic human pathogens of increasing relevance worldwide. Although their mechanisms of drug resistance are well studied, the virulence factors that govern *Acinetobacter* pathogenesis are incompletely characterized. Here we define the complete secretome of *A. nosocomialis* strain M2 in minimal medium and demonstrate that pathogenic *Acinetobacter* species produce both a functional type I secretion system (T1SS) and a contact-dependent inhibition (CDI) system. Using bioinformatics, quantitative proteomics, and mutational analyses, we show that *Acinetobacter* uses its T1SS for exporting two putative T1SS effectors, an Repeat-in-Toxin (RTX)-serralysin-like toxin, and the biofilm-associated protein (Bap). Moreover, we found that mutation of any component of the T1SS system abrogated type VI secretion activity under nutrient-limited conditions, indicating a previously unrecognized cross-talk between these two systems. We also demonstrate that the *Acinetobacter* T1SS is required for biofilm formation. Last, we show that both *A. nosocomialis* and *A. baumannii* produce functioning CDI systems that mediate growth inhibition of sister cells lacking the cognate immunity protein. The *Acinetobacter* CDI systems are widely distributed across pathogenic *Acinetobacter* species, with many *A. baumannii* isolates harboring two distinct CDI systems. Collectively, these data demonstrate the power of differential, quantitative proteomics approaches to study secreted proteins, define the role of previously uncharacterized protein export systems, and observe cross-talk between secretion systems in the pathobiology of medically relevant *Acinetobacter* species.

Medically relevant *Acinetobacter* species are nosocomial pathogens accounting for ~2% of all healthcare-associated infections in the United States (1). More alarming has been the increasing rate of multidrug resistance (MDR)7 phenotypes associated with *Acinetobacter*-induced infections; so much so that the CDC has listed *Acinetobacter* as a severe threat, and last-line therapeutic options like carbapenems, tigecycline, and colistin are now the recommended treatment options for the majority of *Acinetobacter* infections (2, 3). Furthermore, recent epidemiological data indicate that *Acinetobacter* spp. appear to be acquiring MDR phenotypes faster than almost all other Gram-negative bacteria over the last decade (4).

For decades, the causative agent behind *Acinetobacter* infections was almost exclusively reported as *Acinetobacter baumannii*; however, *Acinetobacter* taxonomy has undergone major modifications over the last several years. Specifically, we now know that medically relevant *Acinetobacter* spp. predominantly come from a single group, designated the *Acinetobacter baumannii* (Ab) group, given their close genetic relatedness and indistinguishability at the phenotypic level when examined in clinical laboratories. Members of the Ab group include *A. baumannii*, *Acinetobacter nosocomialis*, *Acinetobacter pittii*, *Acinetobacter seifertii*, and *Acinetobacter dijkshoorniae*, all of which act as opportunistic pathogens and acquire MDR phenotypes (5–7). Nevertheless, *A. baumannii* still remains the most comprehensively studied member of the Ab group, with *A. nosocomialis* a distant second.
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Pathogenic *Acinetobacter* species have a T1SS and CDI system

Although our understanding of the mechanisms that mediate MDR phenotypes is quite comprehensive (8), those that mediate *Acinetobacter* pathogenesis are only beginning to be defined. The role of secretion systems in *Acinetobacter* virulence has been receiving more and more attention because of their importance in other clinically relevant pathogens. Multiple secretion systems, including a type II secretion system (T2SS), a type V secretion system (T5SS), and a T6SS, have all been characterized and attributed specific roles in the pathobiology of *Acinetobacter* (9–13). Both the T2SS and T5SS have clear roles in pathogenesis, as specific exported factors have been directly linked to virulence, including the type II effector CpaA and the type V autotransporter Ata (11, 14). The T6SS, not yet linked directly to eukaryotic pathology, is responsible for killing bacteria and commonly found to be active in clinical isolates extracted from polymicrobial infections, indicating that it may impart a fitness advantage in vivo (15). Furthermore, plasmid-borne conjugation systems similar to a T4SS have also been identified in *A. baumannii* (16), but detailed molecular analyses describing these systems are lacking. Lastly, type IV pili (T4P), bacterial grappling hooks evolutionarily related to the T2SS, have also been characterized in *Acinetobacter* for their role in natural transformation, motility, and biofilm formation (17, 18).

Given the extensive genetic variation of *Acinetobacter* spp. and our lack of a thorough understanding of their molecular pathogenesis, we hypothesized that other unrecognized secreted factors may be contributing toward *Acinetobacter* fitness and virulence. To this end, we bioinformatically identified, in *Ab* group member genomes, a T1SS and contact-dependent inhibition (CDI) systems. The T1SS of *Acinetobacter* is homologous to the prototypical TolC-HlyD-HlyB system from *Escherichia coli* for export of the hemolysin HlyA (19). This three-component secretion system requires an inner membrane ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter, a periplasmic adaptor protein (PAP), and an outer membrane protein channel (20).

In *E. coli*, TolC acts as the outer membrane protein and forms a homotrimer that embeds into the outer membrane, extending far into the periplasmic space, where it can interact with the periplasmic adaptor protein HlyD (21). The periplasmic adaptor protein HlyD, anchored by a transmembrane domain within the inner membrane (22), not only interacts with the outer membrane protein TolC and the ABC transporter HlyB but can also interact with the T1SS substrate via an N-terminal cytoplasmic region (23). The ABC transporter HlyB, also embedded within the inner membrane, hydrolyzes ATP to provide the energy required for export of a specific T1SS substrate (22). Together, these proteins act to facilitate the secretion of unfolded effectors directly from the cytoplasm to the extracellular environment.

CDI systems, first discovered in 2005 (24), constitute a tripartite mechanism for inhibiting the growth of neighboring bacteria independent from T6SS. Both CdiB and CdiA are considered to be T5SS, where the outer membrane pore-forming CdiB protein facilitates the export of a CdiA toxin to the cell surface. CdiA toxins are polymorphic, usually containing multiple filamentous hemagglutinin domains in the N-terminal region and a C-terminal toxin domain. The third component, the CdiI protein, acts as an immunity protein preventing autoinhibition. Immunity proteins commonly contain domains associated with the SUKH superfamily (25). A fourth component, the toxin receptor, is also required for CDI killing; however, these are not intuitively identifiable and are not associated with cdiBAI loci. To date, only a handful of other CDI systems (Dickeya dendantii (26), Burkholderia (26), Enterobacter cloacae (27), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (28), and Neisseria meningitidis (29)) have been functionally characterized. Here, utilizing a quantitative, differential proteomics technique paired with bioinformatics, we report the complete secretome of *A. nosocomialis* strain M2 and simultaneously identify two previously unrecognized secretion systems across medically relevant *Acinetobacter* species.

**Results**

**Identification of a T1SS-associated locus and its role in the secreted protein profile of *A. nosocomialis* strain M2**

Previously, we demonstrated that *A. nosocomialis* strain M2 (formerly designated *A. baumannii* strain M2 (30)) has a functioning T2SS that secretes multiple effectors, including the metalloprotease CpaA (10). Furthermore, given that strain M2 also encodes for a functioning T6SS (12), we hypothesized that other secretion systems not yet characterized may also be contributing to the secreted protein profile. Using a BLASTp search, we identified homologs of the T1SS components tolC, hlyB, and hlyD from *E. coli* (Fig. 1A). To determine whether this putative system was contributing toward the secreted protein profile in strain M2, we constructed a tolC-hlyB-hlyD::kan mutant (T1SS mutant). Using one-dimensional SDS-PAGE analysis, we found that the T1SS mutant exhibited an altered secreted protein profile, as evidenced by the absence of high-molecular-weight proteins at 70, 130, and 250 kDa compared with the parent (Fig. 1B). Importantly, the complemented strain displayed a secreted protein profile nearly identical to the parental strain, indicating that the absence of the tolC-hlyB-hlyD gene cluster was responsible for the observed phenotype (Fig. 1B). Next, we sought to further characterize these differences and simultaneously define the complete secretome of strain M2 in minimal medium.

**The complete secretome of *A. nosocomialis* strain M2 reveals T1SS-, T2SS-, T6SS-, and CDI-secreted candidates**

To define the complete secretome and simultaneously identify differentially secreted proteins between strain M2 and the T1SS mutant, we utilized a quantitative proteomics approach. Briefly, we enriched for secreted proteins from each strain using bacteria grown to mid-log in M9 minimal medium supplemented with 0.2% casamino acids. This method eliminated the use of rich medium containing high concentrations of yeast proteins as well as limited cellular lysis contaminants found in stationary-phase bacterial cultures. Using dimethyl labeling of enzymatically digested peptides obtained from the secreted protein fractions of each strain, we were then able to compare the relative quantities of all secreted proteins in pairwise fashions. Four biological samples were prepared for each strain and processed as described under “Experimental Procedures” prior
to LC/MS analysis. Isotopically labeled samples were then pooled, and the four biological dimethylation triplex experiments were analyzed by LC/MS. A sample of each replicate for each strain was run on an SDS-PAGE gel, silver-stained, and found to have similar loadings and reflected the overall secretion trend observed in Fig. 1 (supplemental Fig. 1).

First we compiled a list of the top secreted proteins from the wild-type M2. Our list was ranked based on proteins with the highest number of unique identified peptides (arbitrarily cut off at 20 for Fig. 1C). The complete list of proteins can be found in the supplemental Mass Spectrometry Table. We identified components of the T6SS and the T2SS, which was expected given previous characterizations of these systems in pathogenic *Acinetobacter* spp. Furthermore, we identified proteins putatively associated with the T1SS and a CDI system, both of which are discussed in detail below.

**RTX and Bap are secreted via a T1SS**

Using the Log₂ ratio of high-confidence proteins (defined as proteins with ≥13 unique identified peptides) observed across replicates, we were able to identify multiple proteins that were significantly and differentially secreted by the wild type compared with the T1SS mutant (Fig. 2A). As expected, the top differentially secreted protein between the parent and the T1SS mutant was a predicted T1SS protein based on the presence of the type I secretion C-terminal target domain (VC_A0848) for M215_09430 and M215_01695 and the absence of these proteins in our differential proteomics analysis comparing secreted proteins from the wild type and the T1SS mutant, described in detail in Fig. 2.

**Pathogenic Acinetobacter species have a T1SS and CDI system**

Figure 1. *Acinetobacter nosocomialis* strain M2 encodes for a functional T1SS. A, genetic arrangement and locus identifiers for the T1SS locus in strain M2. Boxes below each gene contain the relevant protein family domain information associated with the respective amino acid sequence for TolC, HlyB, and HlyD, as determined by BLASTp. B, Coomassie-stained, one-dimensional SDS-PAGE analysis of the secreted protein profiles from the wild-type M2, the T1SS mutant (tolC-hlyD::kan), and the complemented T1SS mutant. Secreted proteins were enriched from bacteria grown overnight in rich medium. C, the most abundant high-confidence secreted proteins from strain M2 grown to mid-log in minimal medium. High-confidence proteins were defined as proteins with ≥20 unique identified peptides across replicates. The export column details the export/secretion mechanism for each protein. The asterisk beside T1SS denotes putative secretion by the TolC-HlyB-HlyD system, as determined by the presence of the type I secretion C-terminal target domain (VC_A0848) for M215_09430 and M215_01695 and the absence of these proteins in our differential proteomics analysis comparing secreted proteins from the wild type and the T1SS mutant, described in detail in Fig. 2.
Pathogenic Acinetobacter species have a T1SS and CDI system

A.

B.
target domain, M215_01695, which was significantly and differentially secreted between the wild type and T1SS mutant. The M215_1695 locus encodes for a large protein predicted to contain multiple group 3 bacterial Ig-like domains (pfam13754) and a type I secretion C-terminal target domain (VC_A0848). Given its homology to biofilm-associated proteins (Baps) in A. baumannii, this protein was designated the Bap ortholog in A. nosocomialis strain M2.

The second most differentially secreted protein between the wild type and the T1SS mutant was M215_02910 (Fig. 2, A and B), a protein predicted to contain multiple group 3 bacterial Ig-like domains (pfam13754) similar to Bap; however, the protein annotation for M215_02910 lacks the type I secretion C-terminal target domain commonly found in T1SS proteins. Recent molecular, in silico, and PCR analyses of bap genes from A. baumannii demonstrate that the bap repetitive elements are prone to alignment errors in genome sequences, resulting in premature truncations and chromosomal rearrangements (31–33). Together, this may indicate that M215_02910 is part of the same open reading frame as Bap. Conversely, it is also possible that this open reading frame has been incorrectly aligned and is, in fact, part of the RTX protein, given its similarities to large repetitive RTX proteins (34). Regardless, the presence of peptides from this protein in the secreted fraction of both the wild type and complemented strain strongly correlate with its secretion with the T1SS.

**Mutation of the T1SS reveals cross-talk between other secretion systems**

Analysis of our proteomics results indicated that proteins without the type I C-terminal targeting domain were also differentially secreted between the wild type and the T1SS mutant. Specifically, multiple T6SS-associated proteins, including three VgrG orthologs and Hcp, were all found at significantly lower levels in the T1SS mutant. Although not nearly as significant, multiple known T2SS-associated proteins, including CpaA, LipH, a rhombosortase, and a rhombotarget, were also found in lower quantities in the T1SS mutant compared with the wild type. Last, a predicted CDI-associated protein was also identified as significantly reduced in the T1SS mutant (discussed in detail below). The full list of differentially secreted proteins can be found in the supplemental Mass Spectrometry Table. For the majority of instances, including the predicted T1SS-associated proteins described above, the dimethylation ratios comparing the secreted proteins from the complemented strain with the parent strain were quite similar, again indicating that the absence of the tolC-hlyB-hlyD genes was responsible for the differential secretion profile in the mutant.

**Deletion of the T1SS systems represses T6SS activity in minimal medium only**

It has been shown previously that deletion of tolC can have pleiotropic effects on membrane integrity and cellular physiology in E. coli (35). Therefore, we initially hypothesized that the observed differences between the secreted proteins of the parent and T1SS mutant were due to membrane stress associated with the absence of the TolC protein. To gain insight into the molecular mechanism behind the diminished protein profile of the T1SS mutant and more intricately probe the role of tolC, hlyB, and hlyD in the observed phenotypes, we constructed in-frame unmarked mutations in each gene as well as the entire locus.

First we validated the quantitative proteomics results by Western blotting across the entire mutant panel in minimal
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media. As expected, Hcp expression was found to be similar across all strains tested; however, Hcp secretion was not detected in any of the mutants analyzed when secreted proteins were collected from strains grown in minimal medium (Fig. 3A). Interestingly, when the mutant panel was tested for Hcp secretion from strains grown in rich medium, no differences were observed in Hcp expression or secretion (Fig. 3B).

Next we sought to determine whether the cross-talk between the T1SS system and the T6SS was specific or whether disrupting other secretion systems would also abrogate T6SS activity. To this end, we probed for Hcp expression and secretion in a pilD mutant and complemented strain. PilD is the pre-pilin peptidase required for processing of both pilins and pseudopilins for the T4P system and T2SS, respectively. Thus, the absence of pilD results in a T4P- and T2SS-negative phenotype (10). The pilD mutant displayed no differences in Hcp expression or secretion compared with the parental strain and the complemented strain. Furthermore, this phenotype held true for both rich and minimal medium (Fig. 4, A and B).

The T1SS system is required for biofilm formation

Given that Bap was one of the most differentially secreted proteins between the wild type and the T1SS full locus mutant, we hypothesized that our unmarked individual T1SS mutants would have impaired ability to form biofilms. Using a microtiter plate biofilm assay, we observed that mutants lacking any or all components of the T1SS have severely reduced ability to form biofilms, as determined by crystal violet staining both 3 and 6 h after inoculation (Fig. 5, A and B, respectively). Importantly, we performed biofilm formation assays in rich medium to avoid confounding results from altered secretion of non-T1SS components, like Hcp secretion, as shown in Fig. 3. Nevertheless, the attenuated biofilm phenotype was observed in both rich and minimal medium (data not shown). The biofilm defect was not due to alterations in Csu pili or T4P expression and surface presentation either. Specifically, the major pilin subunits PilA of the T4P and CsuA/B of Csu pili were equally expressed in whole cells and on the surface of all mutants and complemented strains (supplemental Fig. 2). Last, the biofilm phenotype was not due to differences in the second most abundantly secreted protein, M215_12375 (CdiA), as a cdi locus mutant had the same level of biofilm as the parent strain (supplemental Fig. 3).

T1SS mutants appear to have attenuated virulence phenotypes in a Galleria mellonella infection model

The greater wax moth, G. mellonella, is a commonly used model system for measuring Acinetobacter virulence and correlates well with in vivo virulence in mammalian systems (36). To assess the role of the T1SS in virulence, we individually infected larvae with the wild type, a T1SS mutant, or its respective complemented strain and monitored larva viability over 24 h. Larvae were injected with 10 μl of a bacterial suspension determined previously to be the LD₅₀ for the wild-type M2 (10). Although not statistically significant, a clear virulence attenuation trend was observed in all T1SS mutants compared with the parental or complemented strains, indicating that the T1SS contributes to the pathogenicity of medically relevant Acinetobacter spp. (Fig. 6, A–D). Although the T1SS mutant has an altered T6SS phenotype in minimal medium only, we have demonstrated previously that T6SS mutants are equally as virulent as wild-type A. baumannii (13).

Pathogenic Acinetobacter spp. utilize a contact-dependent inhibition system for bacterial killing

Two of the most abundantly secreted proteins, based on observed peptides within our datasets, were the RTX protein and M215_12375. Both proteins show profound alterations in their secretion between the T1SS mutant and the wild-type strain. As mentioned above, the RTX protein is an RTX serralysin-like protein, a common class of toxins secreted by T1SS (37), whereas the M215_12375 locus encodes for a polymorphic toxin similar to those found in CDI systems (Fig. 7A). Given its homology to CDI systems and the data presented below, we have designated this gene cluster the cdiBA₄² system (Fig. 7A).
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To determine whether the cdiBAI\textsuperscript{M2} system was active in strain M2, the entire locus was replaced with a kanamycin cassette, generating the M2Δcdi mutant. Furthermore, we generated a variant of the cdi mutant expressing just the cdi immunity gene in trans. Next we performed inhibition assays in pairwise fashions. As seen in Fig. 7B, M2 is able to inhibit the growth of the cdi mutant by ~1 log compared with co-incubations between the wild-type strain and the cdi mutant expressing just the immunity protein. Furthermore, M2 is not able to inhibit the growth of the cdi mutant expressing just the immunity protein, indicating that CdiI is indeed the immunity protein for this cdi system. Last, CDI killing was found to be T6SS-independent, as an hcp mutant co-incubated with a double hcp/cdi mutant had an indistinguishable phenotype from wild-type M2 co-incubated with the cdi mutant (Fig. 7B).

CDI systems are conserved in medially relevant Acinetobacter

To expand our findings to the majority of medically relevant Acinetobacter spp., we bioinformatically identified CDI systems in A. baumannii 19606 and 1225, two clinical isolates representing a type strain as well as newer, more relevant clinical isolate, respectively. Interestingly, both A. baumannii 19606 and 1225 encode for two predicted cdi loci, a trait common to P. aeruginosa (28). CDI loci from 19606 and 1225 were similar in gene architecture to A. nosocomialis strain M2 with a putative transporter protein, the polymorphic toxin, and an immunity protein. For both strains, each cdi locus was individually mutated, generating cdi\textsubscript{1} and cdi\textsubscript{2} mutants for both 19606 and 1225. Subsequently, the cdi\textsubscript{1} and cdi\textsubscript{2} mutants were individually co-incubated with their respective isogenic parent strains for determination of growth inhibition. Similarly to the strain M2 co-incubations, 19606 and 1225 mutants lacking their respective cdi systems had their growth inhibited by ~1 log compared with co-incubations between the wild-type strain and a cdi mutant complemented with the respective immunity protein (Fig. 7, C and D). In each case, the difference between the parent strain and a cdi mutant was statistically significant, whereas co-incubations between a parent strain and a cdi mutant expressing the immunity protein closely reflected the growth of the cdi mutant in the absence of an inhibitor strain (Fig. 7, C and D, last columns).

Discussion

Pathogenic Acinetobacter spp., including A. baumannii and A. nosocomialis, are opportunistic pathogens with an unmatched ability to acquire MDR phenotypes. Although the mechanisms behind drug resistance are well documented, the full slate of virulence factors that mediate virulence are not yet defined. In this work, we applied quantitative proteomics techniques to identify previously uncharacterized, secreted Acinetobacter proteins. This powerful approach, coupled with previous secretion system characterizations, facilitated the assignment of the complete secretome of A. nosocomialis strain M2 in minimal medium. Importantly analysis of the top secreted candidates revealed the presence of a functional T1SS and identified two putative T1SS effectors. Last, we found functional CDI systems in both A. nosocomialis strain M2 and two A. baumannii clinical isolates, 19606 and 1225.

Bacterial interactions with the extracellular environment are commonly mediated through secreted proteins; however, the full arsenal of Acinetobacter secretion systems compared with other classical pathogens like P. aeruginosa is significantly undercharacterized. Nevertheless, a broad collection of publicly available sequenced Acinetobacter genomes has allowed the in silico identification of previously characterized secretion systems important for pathogenesis in other bacteria. Using this approach, we were able to identify a T1SS locus in A. nosocomialis strain M2, homologous to the E. coli prototype T1SS containing tolC, hlyB, and hlyD genes (19). Unlike E. coli, however, the tolC, hlyB, and hlyD genes of strain M2 are in a three-gene cluster and are most likely in an operon, given that the open reading frame for hlyB overlaps with both tolC and hlyD. Furthermore, this gene arrangement is commonly found across medically relevant Acinetobacter spp., including A. baumannii, with 88% identity conserved to the nucleotide level for commonly used laboratory strains like A. baumannii ATCC 17978, AB5075, AYE, ACICU, and AB307-0294. Furthermore, homo-
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Figure 6. T1SS have an attenuated virulence phenotype in the G. mellonella infection model. A–D, groups of G. mellonella larvae were injected with 10 μl of either the parent strain, the tolC mutant (A), the hlyB mutant (B), the hlyD mutant (C), the full locus mutant (D), or the respective complemented strains at an inoculum previously determined to be the equivalent of the LD₅₀ for wild-type M2. Larvae were checked for viability as determined by melanin accumulation and motility. Although not statistically significant as determined by Mantel-Cox comparison of survival curves, a clear attenuation trend was observed for all mutants tested.

Figure 7. Pathogenic Acinetobacter species encode for functional CDI systems. A, genetic arrangement and locus identifiers for the CDI locus in strain M2. Boxes below each gene contain the relevant protein family domain information associated with the respective amino acid sequence for CdiB, CdiA, and CdiL, as determined by BLASTp. B, growth inhibition assays for strain M2 cdi mutants. Strain M2 is able to inhibit the growth of the cdi mutant at a statistically significant level; however, co-incubation between the cdi mutant and the cdi mutant complemented with the immunity protein alone, or between the wild type and the cdi mutant complemented with the immunity protein, did not show statistically significant differences. CDI-mediated growth inhibition was independent of the T6SS, as shown in the last column. C and D, growth inhibition assays in A. baumannii 19606 (C) and the A. baumannii 1225 (D) mutant panel. Both 19606 and 1225 encode for two cdi loci, and both are able to inhibit the growth of mutants lacking the cognate immunity proteins. For statistical analyses, one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s correction for multiple comparisons was performed for B and C, whereas a non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s correction for multiple comparisons was utilized for D. The CDI loci for 19606 can be found with the locus tags F911_RS14340 to F911_RS14350 for cdi₁ and F911_RS17415 to F911_RS17425 for cdi₂.

logs of both bap and the RTX protein are also found in these strains, highlighting their probable secretion by the T1SS.

The Acinetobacter T1SS is related to other ABC transporters, like the resistance-nodulation-cell division (RND) efflux pumps. Many RND pumps, such as AdeABC, AdeFGH, and AdeIJK, have been extensively characterized in A. baumannii; however, their roles in the pathobiology of Acinetobacter have been linked directly with the efflux of small molecules, partic-
ularly antibiotics, and not protein substrates (38–40). However, Yoon et al. (41) demonstrated that overexpression of the RND pumps AdeABC and AdeIJK alters the composition of the Acinetobacter outer membrane, as evidenced by the repression of Csu pili, OmpA, and some metabolism-associated proteins, demonstrating the importance of ABC transporters in outer membrane homeostasis.

In a related fashion, we observed that mutations in the T1SS altered the secretion profile compared with wild-type M2. Specifically, our analysis identified that the T6SS is affected by alterations to the T1SS, but only under nutrient limitation. Furthermore, this abrogation is not due to membrane stress associated with mutations in tolC, as mutants lacking the periplasmic adaptor protein HlyD or the ATPase HlyB also displayed the same phenotype. Even more intriguing is that this reduction in T6SS activity is specific for mutations in the T1SS, as mutations that alter both T2SS and T4P functionality had no effect on Hcp secretion. The fact that T6SS is only abrogated under nutrient limitation in T1SS mutants suggests a regulatory cross-talk that favors the functional activity of these two systems simultaneously, which has yet to be fully explained. These results have parallels with cross-talk between secretion systems in other bacteria. Specifically, others have shown that the T2SS and T6SS of P. aeruginosa are co-regulated by quorum sensing (42, 43), and transcription factors co-regulate the T3SS and T6SS of Burkholderia cenocepacia (44). The regulatory mechanism behind the Acinetobacter Hcp secretion repression in T1SS mutants has yet to be determined but is not dependent on the previously described plasmid regulation system found in A. baumannii 17978 (15), given that M2 does not carry a homologous plasmid. Nevertheless, the levels of Hcp expression in whole cells were equivalent across strains. Further, hcp is predicted to be co-transcribed with the complete T6SS biogenesis machinery in a single operon. Based on these observations, we hypothesize that the down-regulation of T6SS in the T1SS-deficient strains takes place at the posttranscriptional level. Several other Acinetobacter isolates also express Hcp but do not constitutively secrete Hcp (13). Additional work is needed to understand T6SS regulation in Acinetobacter and its connections with other cellular processes.

Two of the most abundantly secreted proteins, the RTX protein and Bap, relied on the T1SS for export, as evidenced by their relative absence in the T1SS mutant and the presence of a type I C-terminal target domain (VC_A0849) in both proteins. Little is known about the role of RTX domain-containing proteins in the pathobiology of Acinetobacter; however, De Gregorio et al. (33) have bioinformatically found that some Acinetobacter RTX domain-containing proteins also have bacterial Ig-like domains found in Bap orthologs. These proteins, like ABAYE0821 from A. baumannii AYE, also contain the same peptideidase M10 serralysin C-terminal domain found in the M2 RTX protein. Interestingly, all or some of these domains are also commonly found in other well studied large repetitive RTX proteins, like LapA from Pseudomonas fluorescens and Pseudomonas putida as well as SiiE from Salmonella enterica (34). As shown in our proteomics data, the second most differentially secreted protein between the wild type and the T1SS mutant was the M215_02910 protein, which also contained multiple bacterial Ig-like domains but not a type I C-terminal target domain. It is tempting to speculate that these two proteins (RTX and M215_02910) are actually a single protein constituting a new member of the large repetitive RTX protein family; however, multiple unsuccessful attempts were made to clone and sequence the RTX gene. We hypothesize that, because of the presence of extensive repetitive sequences in these genes, the original genome sequence may be incorrect. Resequencing the genome of this strain will be necessary to properly assemble these genes.

Although little is known about Acinetobacter large repetitive RTX proteins, Bap orthologs have been studied in detail for their role in Acinetobacter virulence and biofilm formation (45–47). From a molecular standpoint, Bap has been shown to be localized on the surface of A. baumannii (45) and, as we have shown, was readily identified in the culture supernatant. We have also shown that Bap is likely secreted by the T1SS. These results are congruent with those found for secretion of LapA, whereby a T1SS-like system, designated LapEBC, is responsible for its secretion (48). Nevertheless, molecular tagging experiments are required to definitively link Acinetobacter Bap secretion with the T1SS. From a phenotypic perspective, though, it is likely that the attenuated biofilm formation was due to the absence of Bap, given its previously characterized role in higher-order biofilm structure and maintenance (33).

During the course of our characterization of the T1SS, we identified a CdiAM2 polymorphic toxin. CDI systems, composed of an outer membrane pore-forming protein, the polymorphic toxin, and an immunity protein, deliver toxic effectors to neighboring bacteria to inhibit growth. CdiA toxins are usually large, repetitive proteins with multiple N-terminal hemagglutinin repeats and a polymorphic C-terminal toxin domain (49). To date, CDI systems in Acinetobacter have been mentioned minimally in published reports, essentially just in bioinformatics comparisons of other previously well characterized systems (50–52), and none have shown any functional demonstration of activity. Although we demonstrated that CDI systems are functional, we did not identify the toxin receptor or the proposed mechanism of killing. However, the CdiAM2 toxin contains domains associated with DNase/tRNase activity, indicating that it may function as a cytoplasmic toxin degrading nucleic acids. Aside from the assigned toxic activities, novel signaling roles have been assigned to CDI systems, including signaling to coordinate cooperation between bacteria that carry the same cdI alleles (53). Given that CDI systems appear widespread in Acinetobacter and that Acinetobacter is commonly associated with polymicrobial infections, these systems may also function as signaling molecules to coordinate killing activity in vivo.

Recently, a new contact-dependent killing system, designated the Cdz system, was discovered in Caulobacter crescentus and was also bioinformatically identified in A. baumannii (54). These systems share similarities with CDI systems but rely on a T1SS for export of the surface-associated toxins. Further, the authors were able to identify the putative toxins in A. baumannii. Unfortunately, a BLASTp analysis revealed that there are no orthologs in A. nosocomialis M2 for these putative A. baumannii Cdz toxins. Nevertheless, it is likely that, in other Acinetobacter strains encoding for Cdz toxins, a T1SS is responsible for their secretion.
Collectively, we have demonstrated the functionality of two previously uncharacterized secretion systems in pathogenic Acinetobacter: a T1SS that secretes two putative effectors and a CDI system that mediates bacterial growth inhibition of sister cells. Furthermore, using quantitative proteomics, we were also able to define the secretome of M2 in minimal medium. These findings provide the foundation for future work aimed at deciphering the role of each system and other uncharacterized secreted proteins in the pathobiology of Acinetobacter, ultimately providing a framework of Acinetobacter virulence.

**Experimental procedures**

**Strains, plasmids, and growth conditions**

All strains used in this study are listed in supplemental Table 1. Bacteria were grown at 37 °C on L-agar, in LB broth, or in M9 salts supplemented with 0.2% casamino acids, 2 mM magnesium sulfate, and 100 μM calcium chloride. When appropriate, the following antibiotic concentrations were used: for *E. coli*, 100 μg of ampicillin/ml and 20 μg of kanamycin/ml. For *Acinetobacter* species, 200 μg of carbenicillin/ml, 7.5 μg of kanamycin/ml, and 12.5 μg of chloramphenicol/ml. Sucrose was used at 10% for counterselection.

**Mutant and complemented strain construction**

Both marked and unmarked mutants were constructed according to our protocols published previously (55). The complete list of primers utilized for mutagenesis can be found in supplemental Table 2. Briefly, for strain M2 derivatives, kanamycin-sacB-interrupted gene cassettes were generated using In-Fusion HD EcoDry cloning kits (Takara Bio). Kanamycin-sacB cassettes were introduced into strain M2 via natural transformation, and transformants were selected on L-agar supplemented with 7.5 μg of kanamycin/ml. Unmarked mutants were generated by transiently introducing and expressing the flp recombinase from pFLP2 via a triparental mating strategy described previously (55). For *A. baumannii* 1225 and 19606, unmarked in-frame mutations were generated according to the protocol of Tucker et al. (18).

Complemented mutants in the M2 background were generated by introducing a mini-Tn7 element via a four-parental mating strategy described previously with the following modification (55): exconjugants were selected on L-agar supplemented with 200 μg of carbenicillin/ml and 12.5 μg of chloramphenicol/ml. Complemented mutants in 19606 and 1225 were generated by cloning the respective immunity gene into the respective complement were grown overnight in 5 ml of M9 minimal medium supplemented with 0.2% casamino acids at 37 °C and 225 rpm. The following morning, each strain was inoculated into 50 ml of minimal medium at $A_{600} = 0.05$. Bacteria were grown at 37 °C with 225 rpm for 3 h (approximately mid-log). Cultures were removed from the incubator and centrifuged at 15,000 × g for 2 min, and supernatants were removed. Supernatants were filter-sterilized with Steriflip vacuum-driven filtration devices (Millipore) and concentrated using an Amicon Ultra (Millipore) concentrator with a 10-kDa molecular weight cutoff. Supernatants were concentrated to ~150 μl, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and then lyophilized. Lyophilized protein samples were then processed for mass spectrometry analysis as described below. For each strain, four individual 50-ml cultures were prepared, providing four biological replicates for each strain.

**Digestion and dimethyl labeling of secretomes**

Lyophilized secretomes from identical culture volumes were resuspended in 6 M urea, 2 M thiourea, and 40 mM NH$_4$HCO$_3$ and reduced/alkylated prior to digestion with Lys-C (1/200 w/w) and then trypsin (1/50 w/w) overnight as described previously (56). Digested samples were acidified to a final concentration of 0.5% formic acid and desalted using C$_{18}$ stage tips (57, 58) prior to reductive dimethylation labeling. C$_{18}$ stage tips were conditioned with buffer B (80% ACN, 0.1% formic acid) and washed with 10 volumes of buffer A*, with bound peptides were eluted with buffer B and then dried. Dimethylation was performed according to the protocol of Parker et al. (59). Briefly, peptide samples were resuspended in 30 μl of 100 mM triethylammonium bicarbonate by bath sonication and 30 μl of 200 mM formaldehyde, followed by addition of 3 μl of 1 M cyanoborohydride to initiate amine labeling. For secretome samples derived from wild-type strains, “light” combinations of formaldehyde and cyanoborohydride were utilized (CH$_3$O and NaBH$_3$CN), whereas the T1SS mutant and complemented strain were labeled with “medium” (CD$_2$O and NaBH$_3$CN) and “heavy” (CD$_3$O and NaBD$_3$CN) reagents, respectively. The samples were then incubated at room temperature in the dark for 1 h, and two rounds of dimethyl labeling were undertaken to ensure complete labeling. Labeling reactions were quenched with 3 M NH$_4$Cl and acidified with buffer A* prior to mixing. Combined dimethylated samples were desalted with C$_{18}$ stage tips prior to LC/MS analysis. A total of four biological replicates of dimethylated secretomes were analyzed by LC/MS.

**Secreted protein one-dimensional SDS-PAGE analysis**

Secreted proteins for one-dimensional SDS-PAGE analysis were enriched from bacteria grown in LB medium overnight (~16 h) at 37 °C and 225 rpm. Briefly, overnight cultures were normalized to $A_{600} = 5.0$, and 1.5-ml aliquots were removed and pelleted. One milliliter of supernatant was removed, and TCA was precipitated according to methods published previously (15). Precipitated protein was resuspended in 25 μl and used for SDS-PAGE analysis followed by Coomassie staining.
LC/MS

C$_{18}$ stage tip-purified peptides were resuspended in buffer A* and loaded onto an in-house-packaged 35-cm, 75-µm inner diameter, 360-µm outer diameter, 1.7-µm, 130-Å CSH C$_{18}$ (Waters, Manchester, UK) reverse-phase analytical column with an integrated HF-etched nano-electrospray ionization (nESI) tip. Samples were loaded directly onto the column using an Acquity ultra performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) M-class system (Waters) at 400 nL/min for 35 min with buffer A (0.1% formic acid) and eluted at 300 nL/min using a gradient altering the concentration of buffer B (99.9% ACN, 0.1% formic acid) from 0% to 32% B over 100 min, then from 32% to 40% B in the next 10 min, then increased to 80% B over an 8-min period, held at 100% B for 2 min, and then dropped to 0% B for another 20 min. reverse phase (RP)-separated peptides were infused into a Q-Exactive (Thermo Scientific, San Jose, CA) mass spectrometer, and data were acquired using data-dependent acquisition. One full precursor scan (resolution, 70,000; 350–2000 m/z; automatic gain control (AGC) target of 3 $\times$ 10$^6$), followed by 10 data-dependent higher-energy collisional dissociation (HCD) MS-MS events (resolution, 17.5 k automatic gain control (AGC) target of 1 $\times$ 10$^6$ with a maximum injection time of 200 ms, NCE 28 with 20% stepping), were allowed, with 35-s dynamic exclusion enabled.

Data analysis

MaxQuant (v1.5.3.1) (60) was used for identification and quantification of the experiments, with the resulting biological replicates searched together to ensure a global false discovery rate of less than 1% in accordance with the work of Schaab et al. (61). Database searching was carried out against the A. nosocomialis M2 database (NCBI whole genome sequence (WGS) entry AWOW00000000, downloaded July 3, 2016) with the following search parameters: carboxamidomethylation of cysteine as a fixed modification, oxidation of methionine, acetylation of protein N termini, trypsin/P cleavage with a maximum of two missed cleavages. A multiplicity of three was used, with each multiplicity denoting one of the dimethylation labeling combinations (light, medium, and heavy, respectively). The precursor mass tolerance was set to 50 ppm for the first search and 10 ppm for the main search, with a maximum false discovery rate of 1.0% set for protein identifications. To enhance the identification of peptides between fractions and replicates, the Match between Runs option was enabled, with a precursor match window set to 2 min and an alignment window of 10 min. A minimum of two unique peptides was required for protein quantification. The resulting protein group output was processed within the Perseus (v1.4.0.6) (62) analysis environment to remove reverse matches and common protein contaminants prior to visualization of the data with Matlab R2016a. The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD005881 (63).

Western blotting for Hcp secretion

Hcp Western blotting was performed as described previously (15). Briefly, cells were grown to mid-log and then normalized to $A_{600} = 0.5$. One milliliter of culture was then centrifuged to collect supernatants. Secreted proteins were precipitated using TCA. Precipitated proteins were resuspended in 50 µl of Laemmli buffer, and 10 µl was loaded onto polyacrylamide gels. The anti-Hcp (13) and anti-RNA polymerase (Biogend; San Diego, CA) antibodies were both used at a concentration of 1:1000. Secondary IRDye antibodies from Licor were used at 1:10,000. All blots were blocked in TBS blocking buffer (Licor).

Biofilm assay

96-well plate biofilm/crystal violet retention assays were performed according to the following protocol. Bacteria were grown overnight in 5 ml of LB medium at 37 °C and 225 rpm. The following day, each culture was normalized to $A_{600} = 1.0$ and diluted 1:100 into 200 µl of fresh LB broth. Plates were statically incubated at 37 °C for 3 or 6 h. After the designated time, an aliquot of the bacterial culture was removed for $A_{600}$ readings. Wells were subsequently washed and stained for 10 min with 0.1% crystal violet. Excess crystal violet was removed by washing and solubilized by addition of 30% acetic acid. Biofilm formation was assessed by comparing the absorbance ratio at 550 nm for crystal violet and 600 nm for bacteria. Biofilm assays were performed three separate times with three technical replicates per biological replicate.

G. mellonella infection model

The G. mellonella infection experiment was performed as described in Harding et al. (10). Three groups of 10 larvae were injected with the same LD$_{50}$ established previously, and larva viability was scored approximately every 5 h based on response to physical stimuli and melanin accumulation.

CDI assay

Bacterial inhibition assays were performed utilizing a modified protocol from Weber et al. (15) for killing associated with type VI secretion systems. Briefly, CDI assays were set up using overnight cultures normalized to $A_{600} = 1.0$. Strains grown with antibiotics or 0.2% arabinose were washed prior to co-inoculation. Inhibitor and target strains were mixed at a 1:10 ratio, with antibiotics or 0.2% arabinose were washed prior to co-inoculation. After 4 h, the spot was cut out, resuspended in 1 ml of LB, and serially diluted on LB plates with the appropriate antibiotics for colony-forming units (CFU) enumeration (either kanamycin, 20 µg/ml for M2 cdI mutants and complemented strains, or rifampicin, 150 µg/ml for A. baumannii 19606 and 1225 cdI mutants and complemented strains).

Statistical analyses

GraphPad Prism7 software was used for statistical analyses of biofilm formation, G. mellonella infection experiments, and CDI assays. For normally distributed datasets, parametric one-way ANOVA was performed with Tukey’s correction for multiple comparisons. For non-normally distributed datasets, non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis test with Dunn’s correction for multiple comparisons was used.
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