Elevated nitrogen allows the weak invasive plant *Galinsoga quadriradiata* to become more vigorous with respect to interspecific competition
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Elevated nitrogen associated with global change is believed to promote the invasion of many vigorous exotic plants. However, it is unclear how a weak exotic plant will respond to elevated nitrogen in the future. In this study, the competitive outcome of a weak invasive plant (*Galinsoga quadriradiata*) and two non-invasive plants was detected. The plants were subjected to 3 types of culture (mixed, monoculture or one-plant), 2 levels of nitrogen (ambient or elevated at a rate of 2 g m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\)) and 2 levels of light (65% shade or full sunlight). The results showed that elevated nitrogen significantly promoted the growth of both the weak invader and the non-invasive plants in one-plant pots; however, growth promotion was not observed for the non-invasive species in the mixed culture pots. The presence of *G. quadriradiata* significantly inhibited the growth of the non-invasive plants, and a decreased negative species interaction was detected as a result of elevated nitrogen. Our results suggest that competitive interactions between *G. quadriradiata* and the non-invasive plants were altered by elevated nitrogen. It provides exceptional evidence that an initially weak invasive plant can become an aggressive invader through elevated nitrogen deposition.
Nitrogen has been suggested as an important limiting factor to fast-growing plants, especially invasive species, including weak invaders (low competitive ability)\cite{18}. In past decades, human activity has greatly increased the amount of biologically available N entering the natural environment in China\cite{15}, and this trend is predicted to continue during the coming decades\cite{19}. Such changes in nitrogen will certainly affect the competition between invasive and native plants. It has been suggested that vigorous invasive plants are usually more aggressive with respect to resource competition and are more effective at resource use compared to native plants\cite{6,17}. Consequently, vigorous invasive plants will be promoted by elevated nitrogen deposition in the context of global change. Indeed, a growing body of evidence shows that elevated N deposition leads to a relative decline in native species biomass and a simultaneous increase in the biomass of vigorous invaders\cite{18,19}. However, with our scope, no reports have dealt with how a weak invader and its competitors respond to elevated nitrogen.

*Galinsoga quadriradiata* is an annual herbaceous plant from Central and South America\cite{20}. Although it has already colonized most abandoned land or farmland in Central, Eastern and Southern China\cite{11,22}, and can decrease agricultural production by approximately 50% according to limited evidence\cite{20}, *G. quadriradiata* is generally considered to be a relatively weak invader or weed due to its lack of high competitive and colonizing ability in natural communities. This species was first recorded in the “Flora of China” in 1979. However, its actual invasion history should be no less than 100 years according to local farmers’ descriptions. After such a long-term expansion, it has already reached almost all suitable climatic areas in China (unpublished material), and the habitat it invades is commonly restricted to farmland. Since China is one of ten mega-biodiversity countries in the world that play important roles in ensuring agriculture and food safety\cite{23}, it is necessary to predict the future expansion of such an invader.

With the abovementioned shortfalls in mind, we conducted a greenhouse experiment to investigate the effect of elevated nitrogen on the competitive relationship between the weak invasive species *G. quadriradiata* and two confamilial competitors (*Heteropappus hispidus* and *Sonchus oleraceus*). Meanwhile, to mimic different light conditions in farmland and abandoned land, light source was also taken into consideration. We hypothesized that elevated nitrogen would allow the weak invader to become more vigorous and would change the relationship with its competitors. The present study addressed the two following questions: (1) How would the weak invasive plant and its competitors respond to elevated nitrogen? (2) Can elevated nitrogen or the synergistic effect of nitrogen and light change the competition outcome?

### Table 1. The dependence of total mass on experimental treatments based on mixed model ANOVA. Fixed factors: Nitrogen (N), Light, Target species (Tsp), and culture (Cul); Random factor: Pot number. Significant results are shown in bold.

| Effect                      | df     | F      | p    |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------|------|
| N                           | 1,165  | 242.9  | <0.001|
| Light                      | 1,165  | 74.6   | <0.001|
| N × Light                    | 1,165  | 46.0   | <0.001|
| Tsp                         | 2,165  | 15.9   | <0.001|
| Tsp × N                    | 2,165  | 11.9   | <0.001|
| Tsp × Light                | 2,165  | 2.5    | 0.090 |
| Tsp × N × Light            | 2,165  | 4.5    | 0.012 |
| Cul                         | 2,165  | 43.4   | <0.001|
| Cul × N                    | 2,165  | 10.8   | <0.001|
| Cul × Light                | 2,165  | 1.4    | 0.246 |
| Cul × N × Light            | 2,165  | 5.1    | 0.007 |
| Tsp × Cul                  | 4,165  | 18.8   | <0.001|
| Tsp × Cul × N              | 4,165  | 13.1   | <0.001|
| Tsp × Cul × Light          | 4,165  | 1.3    | 0.291 |
| Tsp × Cul × N × Light      | 4,165  | 3.7    | 0.006 |

Results

**Comparisons of harvested mass.** The result of the mixed model analysis revealed that Nitrogen (N), Light, Target species (Tsp) and Culture had significant effects on plant mass (Table 1). In general, the growth of the plants increased as a result of nitrogen addition but decreased with shade; the mass of the plants in the one-plant pots was generally higher than that in the monoculture and mixed culture. Moreover, interactive effects between or among some factors were also significant. For example, the interactions among Tsp, Culture, and N were significant. Compared with the mass of the individuals in the one-plant pots, the mass of the invader was not decreased by the mixed culture but was decreased in monoculture under elevated nitrogen (N + Shade and N) (Fig. 1). However, under elevated nitrogen, the mass of the native species *H. hispidus* in monoculture was not significantly different from that in the one-plant pots but was significantly higher than that in mixed culture; the mass of the exotic non-invasive species *S. oleraceus* in the one-plant pots was significantly higher than that in mono- and mixed-culture pots with elevated nitrogen. In pots without nitrogen addition (Shade and Control), the masses of both the invasive and non-invasive plants were generally not significantly affected by culture. In other words, the growth of the invader was not significantly inhibited by inter- or intra-specific competitors under...
The presence of invasive *G. quadriradiata* significantly inhibited the growth of the two non-invasive plants, especially when nitrogen was elevated. However, the presence of the two non-invasive species did not inhibit the growth of the invader regardless of whether nitrogen was added (Fig. 1).

Under the one-plant and monoculture scenarios, the total mass of the invader was not greater than those of the two non-invasive species in all treatments. However, in the mixed culture, the total mass of the invader was not lower than those of the two non-invasive species in all treatments (Fig. 1). Nitrogen elevation helped the invader outcompete the non-invasive competitors.

**Inter- and intra-specific competition intensity.** The relative interaction index (RII) values were generally negative (Fig. 2). RII was significantly affected by Light ($F_{(1,188)} = 25.86, P < 0.0001$) and Culture ($F_{(1,188)} = 35.00, P < 0.0001$). RII value was decreased under Shade treatment (Full sunlight, RII = $-0.1187 \pm 0.02392$; Shade, RII = $-0.2907 \pm 0.02392$). The intra-specific RII (monoculture) value of the invader was significantly lower than that of the inter-specific RII (mixed culture) (Fig. 2). There were significant interactive effects between Light and Nitrogen ($F_{(1,188)} = 23.65, P < 0.0001$). Shade treatment strengthened while elevated nitrogen alleviated inter- and intra-specific competition. Elevated nitrogen decreased the negative effect of the non-invasive
plants on *G. quadriradiata* in mixed culture pots under light shaded conditions (mixed culture: Shade + N, RII = −0.07221 ± 0.04398; Shade, RII = −0.2696 ± 0.04248).

**Trait reaction norms under elevated nitrogen.** The specific leaf area (SLA) of the plant was significantly influenced by N, Light, Tsp and Culture, the root shoot ratio (R:S) of the plant was significantly influenced by Light and Tsp, and the leaf chlorophyll concentration (Chl) by N, Light, Tsp and Culture (Table 2, and see Supplementary Figs S1–S3). In general, *G. quadriradiata* exhibited lower R:S (G. *quadriradiata*, 0.087 ± 0.020; *H. hispidus*, 0.673 ± 0.023; *S. oleraceus*, 0.494 ± 0.023; *F*<sub>(2,165)</sub> = 220.0, *P* < 0.001) and Chl (*G. quadriradiata*, 32.349 ± 0.437; *H. hispidus*, 34.533 ± 0.477; *S. oleraceus*, 37.663 ± 0.476; *F*<sub>(2,84)</sub> = 36.1, *P* < 0.001) but higher SLA (*G. quadriradiata*, 0.042 ± 0.001; *H. hispidus*, 0.027 ± 0.002; *S. oleraceus*, 0.025 ± 0.001; *F*<sub>(2,31)</sub> = 47.5, *P* < 0.001) compared with the two non-invasive species. There were significant interactive effects between N and Tsp on the R:S and Chl (except for SLA) (Table 2). Elevated nitrogen significantly decreased the SLA of the invader but did not significantly affect those of the native species (Fig. 3a). Elevated nitrogen did not change the R:S of the invader, but increased and decreased those of *H. hispidus* and *S. oleraceus*, respectively (Fig. 3b). Elevated nitrogen significantly increased Chl of all species. The Chl of the invader was significantly lower than those of the other two species in the pots without nitrogen addition. However, in pots with elevated nitrogen, the Chl of the invader was not lower than that of *H. hispidus* (Fig. 3c).

**Table 2.** The dependence of SLA, R:S, Chl, LCC, LNC, or C:N on experimental treatments based on mixed model ANOVA. SLA, specific leaf area (m² g⁻¹); R:S, root-shoot ratio; Chl, leaf chlorophyll concentration (a unitless index from 0 to 100); LCC, leaf carbon concentration (%); LNC, leaf nitrogen concentration (%); C:N, leaf carbon-nitrogen ratio. Fixed factors: Nitrogen (N), Light, Target species (Tsp), and culture (Cul); Random factor: Pot number. Significant results are shown in bold.

**Figure 3.** The specific leaf area (SLA, m² g⁻¹), root-shoot ratio (R:S) and leaf chlorophyll concentration (Chl, a unitless index from 0 to 100) of the three species under nitrogen treatments. Species: *G. quadriradiata*, *H. hispidus*, and *S. oleraceus*. Values are means ± SE. Means with the same letter were not significantly different at an alpha level of 0.05.
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**Discussion**

Our study found that elevated nitrogen altered the competitive relationship between the weak invader *G. quadriradiata* and the two non-invasive plant species. It indicates that elevated nitrogen deposition may strongly influence the invasion processes of weak invaders as well as vigorous invasive plants in natural ecosystem. We should be especially cautious of the expansion of weak invasive plants in response to elevated nitrogen deposition in the context of global change. The results showed that the competitive interactions between *G. quadriradiata* and the non-invasive plant species were not altered by light treatment but by nitrogen enrichment. In the Control and Shade treatments, when we planted the weak invader together with the two non-invasive species, no competitive advantage was exhibited. However, in the treatments with elevated nitrogen (N and N + Shade), the weak invader obtained a considerable advantage and outcompeted the non-invasive plants (Fig. 1). The shade treatment suppressed the growth of both the invader and non-invasive species, especially in pots with elevated nitrogen (Fig. 1). However, it did not change the competitive pattern between *G. quadriradiata* and the non-invasive species. The results indicate that initially weak invasive plants can become more vigorous under elevated nitrogen deposition associated with global change. As suggested by numerous studies, the invasion of vigorous invasive plants can usually be accelerated by elevated nitrogen3,19,24. Such vigorous invasive plants are commonly characterized by a conspicuous and strong competitive ability with respect to resources6. However, *G. quadriradiata* is not a vigorous, but rather weak, competitor under ambient conditions (Fig. 1). Although *G. quadriradiata* is a worldwide invasive plant in farmland, it is generally considered to be a relatively weak invader or weed due to its lack of high competitive and colonizing ability in natural communities which is usually characterized by relatively low soil nitrogen resource and high competition from close relatives. Thus, our results suggest that competition relationships between some originally weak exotic species and their competitors can be changed by elevated nitrogen. Such changes of competition outcome caused by nitrogen resource were also occasionally reported by some researchers11. This suggests that necessary attention should be paid to the expansion of invasive plants which are weak under low nitrogen but powerful under rich nitrogen conditions in the context of global change.

In fact, elevated nitrogen significantly promoted the growth of both the weak invader and the non-invasive plants in the one-plant pots; however, such a promotional effect was not observed for the non-invasive species in the mixed culture pots (Fig. 1 and Table 1). Moreover, a decreased negative species interaction was detected as a result of elevated nitrogen, especially in Shade treatment (Fig. 2). The interactions between the invader and the two competitors were generally negative, and the intra-specific RII values of the invader were significantly lower than the inter-specific RII values in most of the treatments (Fig. 2). Taken together, the results suggest that, the negative competitive effect of *G. quadriradiata* counteracts the positive effect of nitrogen elevation on the growth of the non-invasive plants, and that elevated nitrogen alleviated the negative effects of the non-invasive plants on *G. quadriradiata*. However, the high intra-specific RII value suggested intense intra-specific competition in the invader’s population. Previous studies suggested that increased nitrogen deposition alleviated, enhanced or even had no effect on the competitive effects of invasive plants on their competitors3,25,26. Our results revealed that elevated nitrogen enhanced the competitive effect of *G. quadriradiata* on its competitors. Many cases have underlined the roles of competition for nutrient resources during plants' invasion process27. It is indeed crucial
to examine resource competition dynamics and the impact of global environmental change on competitive interactions between invasive and native species. The conflicting results suggest that the response of invaders and native competitors may be species-specific.

Changes in the availability of resources associated with plant invasions may thus create conditions that may either increase or decrease the competitive ability of invasive species in contrast to those of native or other alien species. How these changes will affect resource competition between alien and native species is key to improving our understanding of the long-term effects of plant invasions on native communities. Global change is believed to alter the competitive relationship between invasive and native species in many ways, such as through land cover change, elevated CO2, and the nitrogen fertilization effect. All these factors can enhance environmental resource availability, and nitrogen is especially important for invasive plants. This is probably because nitrogen is crucial for fast-growing plants and is usually difficult to obtain under natural conditions (except for nitrogen-fixing species). However, as a result of global change, nitrogen may be captured more easily by plants than before. In fact, elevated nitrogen can occur as a result of atmospheric nitrogen deposition as well as anthropogenic activities, such as agricultural fertilization of farmland. The nitrogen resource of wild field can directly or indirectly be enhanced by such agricultural activities. In China, the large area of farmland and frequent agricultural fertilization may unintentionally further accelerate the colonization and expansion of G. quadriradiata into natural plant communities.

Morphological traits are suggested to be directly associated with plant competitive ability. The R:S of the invader was significantly lower than that of the non-invasive competitor, and it was not changed by nitrogen, light or culture type (Fig. 3b and Table 2). This indicates that the weak competitive ability of G. quadriradiata in the Control treatment may be attributed to its lower root allocation, which has been suggested as a main cause for failure in soil nutrient competition. However, under the scenario of elevated nitrogen, a low R:S may help plants to increase biomass accumulation of aboveground, hence resulting in a competitive advantage. Other species with a low R:S have also been reported to successfully invade into nutrient-rich habitats. Although nitrogen addition increased the SLA of all species, that of G. quadriradiata was still higher than those of the native competitors (Fig. 4a). Elevated nitrogen increased the Chl of the invader as well as those of the non-invasive plants (Fig. 4c). It should be noted that the invader obtained highest rate of increase compared to the two native species (G. quadriradiata, H. hispidus and S. oleraceus increased by 53.96%, 30.76% and 28.76% respectively). Higher SLA species invest less dry matter per leaf, and have shorter leaf life spans. These are associated with lower leaf construction cost, higher nitrogen allocation to photosynthesis, and higher photosynthetic nitrogen use efficiency. The advantages associated with SLA and photosynthetic traits (e.g., chlorophyll concentration) subsequently account for the vigorous invasiveness of exotics. In the present case, G. quadriradiata maintained its R:S at lower level and SLA at higher level, and increased chlorophyll concentration in response to elevated nitrogen. Moreover, the invasive plant had higher LNC but lower C:N than the other two species (Fig. 4). Higher leaf nitrogen content is usually related to higher assimilation rate, and is subsequently associated with higher growth rate of plant shoot and higher competitive ability on aboveground resource. The C:N balance, is also important for regulation of plant growth and development. For example, C:N plays an important role in regulating leaf senescence. Plants with lower C:N ratio are usually characterized by higher growth rate, and subsequently successful invasive plants are commonly characterized by lower C:N compared to non-invasive plants. In sum, these attributes may help G. quadriradiata successfully outcompete the two competitors. However, it should be acknowledged that the present one-year experiment can not definitively uncover the invasion process of G. quadriradiata in the future. Further studies involving multiple generation under field conditions are necessary to reach a solid conclusion about this issue.

Methods
Experimental design. Three species of the Asteraceae family, i.e., the invasive species G. quadriradiata and the native species H. hispidus and S. oleraceus (which is native to Eurasia, although some researchers take it as a naturalized species in China), were chosen for comparison. All seeds we used in this study were collected from the Qinling-Bashan Mountains in 2014, and were stored in a 4°C refrigerator.

In early May 2015, the seeds of the three species were sown in nursery pots. Two weeks later, when the seedlings were approximately 3 cm in height, they were transplanted into plastic pots (diameter 12 cm; height 10 cm). The pots were filled with sand and soil in a 1:1 proportion by volume. Two types of culture (Mixed and Monoculture) were conducted. For the mixed-culture, one invasive plant and one of the two non-invasive species were planted in the same pot. For the monoculture, two G. quadriradiata, two H. hispidus, or two S. oleraceus seedlings were planted together. Additionally, to compare the inter- and intra-specific competition intensity, we established a one-plant-culture treatment for each species, i.e., one seedling of each species was transplanted into one pot. After transplanting, all pots were subjected to two environmental treatments based on a factorial design: nitrogen (ambient or elevated) and light (shade or full sunlight) with 10 replicates. For the nitrogen treatments, each pot was watered with 5 ml deionized water (ambient) or 3.783 g L−1 NH4NO3 solution (elevated). The nitrogen addition simulated the current nitrogen deposition rate of 2 g m−2 yr−1 in China. For the Shade treatment, the radiation intensity was controlled at 65% of the natural level. There were 320 pots and 520 individuals in total. All pots were randomly arranged in an 80 m2 greenhouse to avoid the influence of herbivores, and were watered daily to keep the soil wet.

Two months later, when the invasive plants were almost mature, the leaf chlorophyll concentration (Chl) of the invasive species was measured using a portable chlorophyll meter SPAD-502 ( Spectrum Technologies, Inc., Plainfield, IL, U.S.). The mean of three readings from the chlorophyll meter was calculated from 3 fully expanded leaves. In mid-September 2015, all parts of the plants were harvested and leaf areas were measured immediately. The dry weight of the root, leaf, and stem of each individual was separately measured after the samples had been dried to a constant weight in an oven at 60°C. Elemental analysis for leaf carbon concentration (LCC, %)
leaf nitrogen concentration (LNC, %) was performed on Vario EL cube CHNOS elemental analyzer (Elementar Analysen Systeme, Hanau, Germany). Five individuals’ leaf sample of each species under each treatment were randomly chosen, and thus a total of 200 sample were measured.

**Relative interaction index (RII).** The relative interaction index (RII) between *G. quadriradiata* and the native or exotic competitors was calculated according to the following equation:

\[
RII = \frac{(M_1 - M_0)/(M_1 + M_0)}
\]

where *M_1* is the mass of *G. quadriradiata* under mixed culture or monoculture conditions, and *M_0* is the mass of *G. quadriradiata* in the one-plant pots. When calculated using data from the mixed culture treatments, the RII represents the intensity of inter-specific competition between the invasive and non-invasive species. When calculated using data from the monoculture treatments, it represents the intensity of intra-specific competition of *G. quadriradiata*. RII ranges from −1 to 1. If RII < 0, the plant experiences a negative impact from its competitor; if RII > 0, the plant experiences a positive impact from its competitor; if RII = 0, no impact is observed.

**Data analyses.** R:S was calculated as the ratio between root dry mass and aboveground dry mass. Specific leaf area (SLA) is defined as the ratio of leaf area to dry mass. C:N was calculated as the ratio between LCC and LNC. A mixed model was used to evaluate the effects of the independent variables of Nitrogen (ambient or elevated N), Light (Shade or Full sunlight) Culture (one-plant, mixed or monoculture), and Target species (*G. quadriradiata*, *H. hispidus* or *S. oleracea*) on the dependent variables mass, R:S, SLA, Chl, LCC, LNC and C:N respectively. Pot number was used as the random factor of the model. All analyses were conducted using SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

**Data availability statement.** All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.
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