EVOLUTION OF MASSIVE STARS WITH PULSATION-DRIVEN SUPERWINDS DURING THE RED SUPERGIANT PHASE
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ABSTRACT

Pulsations driven by partial ionization of hydrogen in the envelope are often considered important for driving winds from red supergiants (RSGs). In particular, it has been suggested by some authors that the pulsation growth rate in an RSG can be high enough to trigger an unusually strong wind (or a superwind), when the luminosity-to-mass ratio becomes sufficiently large. Using both hydrostatic and hydrodynamic stellar evolution models with initial masses ranging from 15 to 40 $M_\odot$, we investigate (1) how the pulsation growth rate depends on the global parameters of supergiant stars and (2) what would be the consequences of a pulsation-driven superwind, if it occurred, for the late stages of massive star evolution. We suggest that such a superwind history would be marked by a runaway increase, followed by a sudden decrease, of the wind’s mass-loss rate. The impact on the late evolution of massive stars would be substantial, with stars losing a huge fraction of their H-envelope even with a significantly lower initial mass than previously predicted. This might explain the observed lack of Type II-P supernova (SN) progenitors having initial mass higher than about 17 $M_\odot$. We also discuss possible implications for a subset of Type IIn SNe.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Mass loss due to stellar winds is one of the governing factors that determine the evolution of massive stars. Great improvements in our understanding of line-driven winds from massive hot stars (i.e., O-Type stars or Wolf-Rayet stars) have been achieved over the last three decades, and they are well reflected in recent stellar evolution models (see Puls et al. 2008, for a recent review). However, the driving mechanism for cool-star winds remains elusive. Note that most of the authors still rely on the empirical mass-loss rate given by de Jager et al. (1998), hereafter, JNH88), which is more than 20 years old, for modeling cool giant stars (see, however, Vanbeveren et al. 1998 and Salasnich et al. 1999 for stellar models that adopt alternative prescriptions). Late stages of massive star evolution during and after core helium burning are therefore relatively difficult to study, which is a major obstacle to theoretical investigation on supernova (SN) progenitors and their environments.

One of the key factors that should be taken into account for the study of the red supergiant (RSG) evolution is pulsation. It is well known that RSGs are unstable to radial pulsations driven by partial ionization of hydrogen in the outermost layers of the envelope (e.g., Li & Gong 1994), and pulsation is one of the most commonly invoked mechanisms for driving winds from cool stars (cf. Bowen 1988; Höfner et al. 2003; Neilson & Lester 2008). Although the detailed mechanisms for driving mass loss by pulsations are not well understood, simple arguments based on the gain of pulsation energy suggest that RSGs might experience a stronger mass loss for a higher growth rate of the pulsational instability (e.g., Appenzeller 1970). In particular, Heger et al. (1997) found that RSG pulsations can achieve large amplitudes that may be comparable to those in asymptotic giant branch stars when the pulsation periods become close to the thermal timescale of the envelope. They therefore suggested that such strong pulsations might lead to a “superwind” if the luminosity-to-mass ratio in an RSG were sufficiently high (see van Loon et al. 2005, 2008 for possibly related observations).

In the present study, we explore possible consequences of such a superwind in the evolution of SN progenitors. Our approach to this topic is as follows. First, we investigate the properties of RSG pulsations using our new stellar evolution models that follow the nonlinear evolution of pulsation (Section 2). This allows us to derive a relation between the pulsation growth rate and the structure of RSGs. Second, we assume that the mass-loss rate due to RSG winds should be significantly enhanced compared to that of JNH88, when the growth rate of pulsation becomes sufficiently large. The consequent evolution of massive stars can be very different from the standard picture given in previous work (Section 3). Then, we conclude the Letter by discussing implications for SN progenitors (Section 4).

2. PROPERTIES OF RSG PULSATIONS

RSG pulsations are not usually found in stellar evolution models, even if one uses a hydrodynamic code, for two reasons. First, in most stellar evolution calculations, the evolutionary time steps are much larger than the pulsation periods in RSGs, which are comparable to the dynamical timescale of the envelope. Second, pulsations tend to be numerically damped out with the implicit method adopted in stellar evolution codes. However, the study by Heger et al. (1997) shows that the predictions of linear stability analysis for basic pulsational properties (i.e., pulsation period and growth rate) can be accurately reproduced in nonlinear evolutionary calculations of RSGs by a hydrodynamic stellar evolution code, if the growth rate is sufficiently large and if the adopted time steps are sufficiently small. On the other hand, nonlinear evolutionary calculations do not require the condition of complete thermal and hydrostatic equilibrium of the star, in contrast to the case of linear stability analysis. We therefore decide to use an existing hydrodynamic stellar evolution code for our analysis of RSG pulsations. Details of our implicit hydrodynamic code are described in Yoon et al. (2006) and references therein.
To start, we construct non-rotating hydrostatic models at solar metallicity for 11 different initial masses (15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 25, 30, and $40 \, M_\odot$). Since the hydrodynamic term in the momentum equation is switched off, pulsations do not appear in these model sequences. The H-R diagrams for some model sequences are shown in Figure 1.

Then, we turn on the hydrodynamic term and restart the calculation from the reference models chosen at various evolutionary epochs during the supergiant phase for each model sequence. In total, 71 reference models are selected as starting points. In Figure 1, some of the starting points are shown, marked by filled circles and open diamonds. To guarantee enough time resolution for describing pulsations in the hydrodynamic calculations, we limit the time steps such that

$$\Delta t \leq 0.01 \left[ \frac{R}{(1000 \, R_\odot)} \right]^2 \left[ \frac{(15 \, M_\odot)}{M} \right] \text{yr}.$$ 

This choice is based on the fact that pulsations of an RSG with $M_{\text{init}} > 15 \, M_\odot$ have a period on the order of 1000 days (e.g., Heger et al. 1997), and obey the relation $P \propto R^2/M$, where $P$ is the pulsation period, $R$ is the radius, and $M$ is the total mass of an RSG (Gough et al. 1965).

An example of such calculations is given in Figure 2. As the pulsation amplitude grows gradually, the surface velocity eventually reaches the sound speed. Since our code cannot describe shock waves, we investigate the pulsation properties only with the subsonic results. Figure 1 shows the pulsation period $P$ and the growth rate $\eta$ of the fundamental mode at different reference points on the H-R diagram. Here, the growth rate is defined as $\eta = |v(t_0 + P)/v(t_0)|$, where $v(t_0)$ denotes a local maximum of the surface velocity located at a certain time $t = t_0$. According to this definition, pulsational instability means $\eta > 1$.

The growth rate obtained here should be considered only indicative, given the limitations of our adopted numerical method. For example, in our calculations, no pulsation is detected from some reference points. This does not mean that the considered RSGs are stable against the pulsational instability, but that the growth rate is too small for our code to follow. Also, in our calculations, instant adjustment of convective flux during pulsation is assumed, which is not physical given the similar timescales of convection and pulsation.\(^3\) Despite these uncertainties, our results are qualitatively in good agreement with those of previous studies: the growth rate is generally higher for a larger luminosity-to-mass ratio ($L/M$) and/or for a smaller thermal timescale of the envelope. Specifically, as shown in Figure 3, we find the following relation between the pulsation growth rate and the stellar structure from our results with the hydrodynamic model sequences:

$$\log \eta = C_1 \left( \frac{R}{R_\odot} \right)^2 \left( \frac{M}{M_\odot} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{\tau_{\text{KH,env}}}{\text{yr}} \right)^{-0.315} - C_2, \quad (1)$$

where $\tau_{\text{KH,env}} := G M_{\text{init}} M_{\text{env}}/L R$ denotes the Kelvin–Helmoltz timescale of the hydrogen-rich envelope, $C_1 = 9.219 \times 10^{-6}$, and $C_2 = 0.039284$.\(^3\)

\(^3\) Note, however, nonlinear calculations by Heger et al. (1997) give quite consistent results with those of their linear stability analysis that assumes a frozen-in convective flux.
Figure 3. Pulsation growth rate vs. some physical quantities of RSGs. The solid line gives the best fit, as expressed in Equation (1). See the text for more details.

We confirm that RSGs are generally more susceptible to the pulsation instability for larger initial masses. Figure 1 indicates that for $M_{\text{init}} > 20 M_\odot$, pulsations can become strong enough to be detected with our code even before the star reaches the Hayashi line, while pulsations are only found after neon burning for $M_{\text{init}} = 17 M_\odot$. We do not find any signature of pulsation for $M_{\text{init}} = 15 M_\odot$. Therefore, if a superwind could be induced by strong pulsations, it would occur in an earlier evolutionary epoch for a higher mass star.

3. THE EVOLUTION OF SUPERGIANTS WITH SUPERWINDS

If the mass-loss rate were dramatically enhanced due to strong pulsations, how would it affect the evolution of supergiants and what would be the observational consequences?

To address this issue in more detail, we perform an experiment as the following. We assume that the mass-loss rate of a supergiant is significantly enhanced compared to the rate of JNH88, if pulsations are strong enough to be detected with our hydrodynamic stellar evolution code, i.e., if $\eta > 1$ in Equation (1):

$$\dot{M} = \eta^2 \dot{M}_{\text{JNH88}},$$

where $\dot{M}_{\text{JNH88}}$ is the mass-loss rate of JNH88 and $\alpha$ is a free parameter. New evolutionary model sequences are constructed with this modified mass-loss rate. Here, the hydrodynamic term is switched off again, and normal evolutionary time steps are used.

It should be kept in mind that the empirical mass-loss rate of RSGs of JNH88 might already reflect the role of pulsations. However, as implied by the growth rates shown in Figure 1, only very unstable massive stars with $M_{\text{init}} > 19 M_\odot$ are significantly affected by this modification. Given the paucity of RSGs with $M_{\text{init}} > 20-25 M_\odot$ in our Galaxy and nearby galaxies (e.g., Massey et al. 2009), our assumption that the mass-loss history of such very unstable RSGs can be qualitatively different from that of most RSGs in the sample of JNH88 does not necessarily cause an inconsistency.

Figure 4 shows the evolutionary tracks and the mass-loss rates of model sequences with $\alpha = 1.75$ (this choice for $\alpha$ is rather arbitrary), compared to the corresponding ones with $\alpha = 0$, for two different masses ($M_{\text{init}} = 20$ and $25 M_\odot$). Two important points are worthy of note here.

First, as the star gradually loses mass, the $L/M$ ratio and the pulsation growth rate increase accordingly. If the value of $\alpha$ is large enough (as adopted here), this leads to a runaway increase in the mass-loss rate as shown in the lower panel of the figure. Second, the mass-loss rate decreases very rapidly when the star moves away from the pulsationally unstable regime on the H-R diagram. The peak of the mass-loss rate is reached when the helium mass fraction at the center decreases to 0.54 (0.031) and the total mass to 9.35 $M_\odot$ (0.54) and the total mass to 9.35 $M_\odot$ (0.54), for $25 M_\odot$ ($20 M_\odot$) model sequence. As shown for the $20 M_\odot$ sequence, such a mass-loss history of runaway increase followed by sudden decrease can be repeated as the star moves in and out the unstable regime. The $20 M_\odot$ star finally ends its life as a yellow supergiant of $M_{\text{tot}} = 6.1 M_\odot$, with only a small amount of hydrogen of about 0.5 $M_\odot$. The most likely outcome of the death of such a star would be a Type IIb SN. The $25 M_\odot$ star has a hydrogen envelope.
of 0.22 $M_\odot$ at core helium exhaustion and would produce either a Type Ib or a IIb SN depending on the subsequent history of mass loss.

When the mass-loss rate reaches the maximum, the growth rate given by Equation (1) becomes as high as 11.3 and 10.6 for 25 and 20 $M_\odot$ models, respectively. We checked if nonlinear evolutionary calculations also give such high growth rates, given that the relation of Equation (1) is only based on the result with $\eta \lesssim 8.0$ (Figure 3). We find that, at the reference points marked by the filled circles in Figure 4, the hydrodynamic calculations give $\eta = 9.9$ and 9.0 for 25 and 20 $M_\odot$ models, respectively, which are comparable to the values given by Equation (1).

4. DISCUSSION

If a pulsationally driven superwind (PDSW) phase could be induced by strong pulsations, this would have very important implications for SN progenitors. As implied by our model sequences presented above, such a PDSW can significantly reduce the critical zero-age main-sequence mass ($M_{\text{crit}}$) above which a huge fraction of the stellar envelope is removed before core collapse, thus producing no Type II-P SN. Based on our results, we suggest $M_{\text{crit}} \sim 19–20 M_\odot$ with a PDSW (see Figures 1 and 4), while the models with the JNH88 mass-loss rate predict SN II-P progenitors up to $\sim 25 M_\odot$. Note that $M_{\text{crit}}$ could be even further reduced by rotation, as implied by the result of Heger et al. (1997).

This might provide a plausible solution to the so-called RSG problem, i.e., the observed lack of Type II-P progenitors with $M_{\text{init}} \gtrsim 16.5 \pm 1.5 M_\odot$ (Smartt et al. 2009). Such observation could also result from the presence of dusty circumstellar material around the stars, as an obscured progenitor would be expected to have a lower initial mass in pre-SN images. However, Smartt et al. (2009) also noted that the number of SNe Type II-P in their sample is consistent with the expected number of stars in the range 8.5–16.5 $M_\odot$, assuming a Salpeter initial mass function. This could be a possible indication of the fact that stars with higher initial mass do actually lose most of their hydrogen envelope, which cannot be easily understood with the canonical mass-loss rate of JNH88.

The PDSW is expected to significantly affect the circumstellar medium around an RSG (B. van Veelen 2011, in preparation). When the star dies, the shock produced by the collision between the SN ejecta and the circumstellar material transforms kinetic energy into thermal energy. This energy can be radiated away at different wavelengths, resulting in brightening the SN remnant for long times (Chevalier 1977). If the collision occurs directly at core helium exhaustion and would produce either a Type IIn or a IIb SN depending on the subsequent history of mass loss. However, it might be interesting for extreme environments. However, it might be interesting for extreme environments. It could also result from the presence of dusty circumstellar material around the RSG VY CMa. The stellar surrounding medium around the RSG VY CMa.
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