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Abstract: Posterolateral corner (PLC) injuries represent a complex injury pattern whose repair is essential for varus and rotational stability of the knee. Several surgical techniques have been described for PLC injuries, which can be divided into 2 main groups: anatomical and nonanatomical. Due to insufficiency of posterior stabilization of nonanatomic procedure, LaPrade represented an anatomical reconstruction. In this Technical Note, we describe and illustrate some modifications in the anatomic reconstruction of the PLC and lateral collateral ligament.

Posterolateral corner (PLC) injuries represent a complex injury pattern, with damage to important coronal and rotatory stabilizers of the knee that leads to chronic lateral and external rotation instability. The PLC unit has complex anatomy. It includes the lateral (fibular) collateral ligament (LCL) and the popliteus complex, which contain the popliteus muscle tendon, popliteofibular ligament, the fabellofibular ligament, and the popliteomeniscal fibers. These structures are essential for varus and rotational stability of the knee.

Several surgical techniques have been described for PLC injuries, which can be divided into 2 main groups: anatomical and nonanatomical. In the nonanatomical procedure, posterior stabilization was not sufficient. Because of this, LaPrade presented an anatomical procedure that has better dorsal stability but, placing tunnel in true anatomical location, may need an extensive surgical approach and therefore more adjacent soft-tissue dissection. Therefore, we describe and illustrate some modifications in the anatomic reconstruction of the PLC and LCL.

Surgical Technique

Patient Positioning

The patient is placed in the supine position on the operating table with the surgical limb hanging in front of the surgeon and other limbs resting on the table. A proximal thigh tourniquet is applied (Fig 1).

Surgical Approach

The femoral lateral epicondyle is identified by palpation, and a 3-cm lateral longitudinal skin incision is made...
made along the lateral epicondyle and extended distally to the lateral joint line. Then, the iliotibial band is split longitudinally. After identification of the lateral epicondyle and exposure of the LCL femoral insertion, the guide pin is drilled to create a femoral tunnel and the pin should exit 5 cm proximal and anterior to the adductor tubercle.

A mini-arthrotomy is performed to identify the exact attachment of the popliteus insertion. The guide pin is inserted parallel to the fibular collateral ligament (FCL) pin (Fig 2).

Then, both tunnels are created with 7-mm reamers to a depth of 25 mm. Passing sutures are placed. The second incision is made extending from 1 cm proximal to the fibular head and extending distally 2 cm below it. At the distal end of the incision and posterior aspect of the fibular neck, the fascia over the common peroneal nerve is palpated, explored, and protected (Fig 3).

The distal FCL insertion on the fibular head is palpated and a horizontal window in the biceps bursa and fascia is made posterior to the FCL with the length of 3 cm (Fig 4). The dissection is made between the lateral gastrocnemius and soleus muscles by an index finger. Both the posterior aspects of the fibular head from the tibiofibular joint and the posterior aspect of the tibial plateau are palpated through the interval between the lateral gastrocnemius and soleus muscles. The fibular head guide pin is inserted anterior to posterior from the FCL attachment on to the posteromedial downslope of the fibular styloid and with a 7-mm reamer. The lateral head of the gastrocnemius muscle is elevated by finger dissection from the biceps window.

Then, the guide pin is inserted from Gerdy’s tubercle and aiming toward the posterior aspect of the tibia 1 cm distal to the joint line and 1 cm medial to the proximal tibiofibular joint, where its position is confirmed by a C-arm (Fig 5). We check the tibial tunnel by finger, but some surgeons create this tunnel by using an anterior cruciate ligament tibial jig, then the tunnel is created with a 9-mm reamer (Fig 6).

We use a Nelaton catheter for passing suture placement. We use an Achilles tendon allograft to prepare two 7-cm allografts. Both are tubularized with whip-stitched sutures. Both grafts are inserted into the femoral tunnels and fixed with 8-mm bioscrews. The popliteus graft is passed to the distal incision beneath the iliotibial band in the popliteal hiatus with a clamp. Then, the FCL graft is inserted in the femoral tunnel and fixed by an 8-mm bioscrew (Arthrex, Naples, FL) and

---

**Fig 2.** After identification of the lateral epicondyle and exposure of the lateral collateral ligament femoral insertion, one pin is inserted in lateral epicondyle and second in popliteal groove.

**Fig 3.** The second incision is made extending from 1 cm proximal to the fibular head and extending distally 2 cm below it. At the distal end of the incision and posterior aspect of the fibular neck, the common peroneal nerve is palpated, explored, and protected.
Anatomic LCL and PLC reconstruction is important for normal biomechanical knee function. In the Larson technique, which is a fibulofemoral-based technique, reconstruction is less technically demanding and offers encouraging clinical results, but since it is not anatomical, it has less posterior stabilization and therefore is not suitable for high-grade PLC injuries. In the open anatomic reconstruction by LaPrade, better stabilization can be achieved, but it is technically demanding and detailed exposure can potentially compromise the soft tissue. However, in this modified technique, by making 3 windows, the LCL, popliteus, and popliteofibular ligaments are reconstructed. However, we may encounter some problems, such as preparing the fibular and proximal tibial tunnels, which requires the use of an

Discussion

In this modified surgical technique, by using 2 small separate incisions and making 2 different windows, anatomic reconstruction of the PLC and LCL is achieved.

Fig 4. The fibular attachment of the fibular collateral ligament (FCL) is identified and a horizontal window is made in the biceps.

Fig 5. The guide pin is inserted from Gerdy’s tubercle and aimed toward the posterior aspect of the tibia 1 cm distal to the joint line and 1 cm medial to the proximal tibiofibular joint and then its position is confirmed by the C-arm.
anterior cruciate ligament jig in true anatomic position during surgery, and may require more soft-tissue dissection.\textsuperscript{2,6,13,14}

In this modification, by making 2 small incisions and making 2 windows, by targeting the musculotendinous junction of the popliteus, the proximal tibia tunnel is made, with the pulp of the finger functioning as a protective factor for artery injury. Reaming the posterior aspect of the fibular tunnel also can have the pulp of the finger protect the neurovascular structures as well (Table 2).

In the arthroscopic technique, which was first described by Frosch et al., tenodesis of the popliteus tendon by an arthroscopic technique can be accomplished but in this method, LCL reconstruction was not performed. In a technique described by Frings et al.,\textsuperscript{2} arthroscopic anatomic reconstruction of the PLC and LCL ligament is accomplished, which is less invasive, but this technique requires advanced arthroscopic skill and knowledge of the complex anatomy of the PLC and also is limited by thick soft-tissue layers and patients with obesity.

**Conclusions**

In our modified technique, anatomic reconstruction of the LCL and PLC was performed through 2 small incisions with less soft-tissue dissection.
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**Table 1. Order of Steps With Pitfalls and Pearls**

| Surgical Steps                  | Pitfalls                                               | Pearls                                                                 |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Making femoral tunnel of LCL and popliteus | Nonanatomic site                                       | 1. Palpate the lateral epicondyle inferior to ITB in knee flexion for the LCL tunnel  |
| Exploring propel nerve          | Damage the peroneal nerve during exploration            | 2. Lateral arthrotomy and see popliteal groove for popliteus tunnel    |
| Making fibular tunnel           | The entrance of tunnel is not anatomic in LCL and exit of tunnel is not placed in the posterior of the tibiofibular joint | Open the fascia just inferior to fibular neck and slightly posterior to observe the common propel nerve. |
| Making tibial tunnel            | Not an appropriate place for the tibial tunnel          | Palpating the head of fibula and posterior border of tibiofibular joint with index finger from inferior window |

ITB, iliotibial band; LCL, lateral collateral ligament.
Table 2. Advantages and Disadvantages

| Advantages                          | Disadvantages                             |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Less soft-tissue dissection         | Passage of grafts more complicated        |
| Decreased time of surgery           | Less accuracy for making tibial tunnel    |
| Less risk of infection              |                                           |
| No need for advanced devices        |                                           |
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