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Abstract

Objectives: This study investigates the role of motivational factors in second language learning and gender differences between integrative and instrumental orientation among engineering students. Methods/Statistical Analysis: An adopted AMTB (Attitude and Motivation Test Battery) was used to collect data from undergraduate engineering students in the study area. The data were analysed using descriptive statistics, Cronbach’s Alpha, ANOVA, Pearson Correlation coefficient. Findings: The results revealed strong positive correlation observed between desire to learn English and attitude towards learning English. A moderate correlation was found between attitude towards learning English and motivational intensity. Similarly, strong positive correlation was found between integrative and instrumental orientation among male students when compared to female. Applications/Improvements: The teacher must boost their learners’ interest and create awareness about the importance of studying English both for intrinsic and instrumental reasons. Similarly, language instructors could change their teaching method according to the learners’ interest.
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1. Introduction

Motivation is a multifaceted variant among L2 learners. If a person is motivated, he/she involves or engages in relevant activities, attains his task and achieves his goal. Motivated L2 learners have strong desire to attain their language learning goals. An individual strives to learn L2 language because of their desire to achieve satisfaction in their learning activity. In addition, motivation factor is a subset of three different items such as, motivational intensity, desire to learn L2 language and attitude towards learning English. Motivation is considered as the central tendency for the above three subsets. Thus total configuration will be able to identify the learners’ L2 achievement. Numerous studies have been conducted on motivation in second language learning. For the past two decades, the theoretical work on motivation has been examined within the context of learning second language, first language or mother tongue, and in a foreign language. However, no research has been done on motivation factor and gender difference in second language learning in India, especially among the rural students.

Our study focuses on the STAR students at VIT University, Vellore. STARS stands for Support the Advancement of Rural Students. STARS are rich in knowledge but financially poor because they belong to rural and remote regions of Tamil Nadu. STAR students are selected based on their academic performance in higher secondary education; they are district level toppers in senior secondary examinations. These students are psychologically motivated by the University through the provision of free education and their performance is...
The English language rooted into India because of the educational policies of Lord Macaulay who wanted English-educated Indian clerks for the empire. The English language then gradually spread all over India and gained roots in the educational system. In India, the land of diversity, with different people speaking different languages, the English language has been functioning as a link language. In India, English language has been used in the Constitution, the Supreme Court, the High Courts and in all government offices. Hence, English language plays an important role for the integrity of India. The English language is very essential for the better development of the country.

3. Higher Education in India

Higher education has grown very rapidly in India over the past three decades. Universities and their constituent colleges are the main institutes of higher education in India. According to the Census 2011, there are 227 government-recognized Universities in India (20 central universities, 109 deemed universities and 11 Open Universities and the rest are state universities). For the development of higher education, the government of India has taken many steps to increase students’ enrollment and to improve the higher educational institution. Despite that, the higher education in India is facing many problems, such as inadequate infrastructure, low students enrollment rate, outdated teaching methods, unmotivated students, and overcrowded classrooms.

4. Higher and Technical Education in Tamil Nadu

Higher education in Tamil Nadu, a major Indian state, where the population is the age of 18-23, as per 2011 census was 77.7 lakhs. There are 59 Universities functioning in the state, with 31 Public universities and 28 Private Deemed Universities. Tamil Nadu ranks first among all states in India with 59 Universities. The demand increases for technical capability; the government of Tamil Nadu has been promoting the technical education by setting up new technical institutions and develop infrastructure facilities to a great extent. So far, there are 572 engineering colleges functioning in this state, 95% of which are self-financed colleges. Admissions to the undergraduate Engineering courses in these colleges are based on the basis of marks obtained by the students in class XII, through single window counseling system. In most of these colleges, the medium of instruction is English. To develop the infrastructure of a country, the innovative and productive technical education is very important.

Motivational research on the second language is grounded in socio-psychology. For this study, the researchers followed the motivational framework of socio-educational model. Under this model, five affective variables are used to predict the learners’ achievement in second language learning. The first variable is motivation factor, which has been viewed through three sub scales. Firstly, the motivational intensity, “a persistent and consistent attempt” to learn L2, either by doing homework, doing extra work or by seeking out opportunities to learn L2. Secondly, the desire to learn English, in which “individual wants to achieve the goal”, to express the desire to succeed in L2 learning and strive to achieve success in L2. Lastly, attitude towards learning L2, where “individual’s enjoyment of the task of learning the language” is dealt to attain L2 as fun, challenge and enjoyable. The three items mentioned above are essential to L2 learning. The second variable, Integrativeness, reflects the learner’s interest in L2 through the emotional identification with another cultural group and favourable attitude towards L2 learning. It contains four subscales such as Integrative orientation, Interest in foreign languages, and Attitude towards the target language community. It reflects the importance of English language (communicate with different people, able to communicate easily with others, understand the native speakers way of life, more comfortable). The third variable is instrumentality, which contains only one subscale i.e.,
instrumental orientation. L2 learners “pragmatic” or “utilitarian” value has been measured in this subscale. However, Integrative orientation could vary from culture to culture and individual to individual. The fourth variable, ‘attitude towards the learning situation’ refers to the classroom climate, teaching materials, curriculum, and teacher’s behavior. It describes the L2 learning environment with two sub scales such as Language teacher – evaluation and Language course – evaluation. The last variable is language anxiety, which arises in many situations and could differ individually. Language anxiety affects the learning by stimulating the feeling of anxiety in a learner. It has been classified into two subscales such as Language class anxiety and Language use anxiety.

6. Optimal Model in L2 Learning

During 1990s, many researchers emerged into the field of motivation in L2/FL learning. They developed their motivational framework in L2 learning. The researcher framed three different levels of L2 motivation, such as a) language level, b) learner level and c) learning situation level. Later, two factors of motivation in language learning have been framed such as a) internal factor and b) external factor. The extended model of L2 motivation for better achievements includes language attitudes, goal salience, valence, self-efficacy, motivational behavior, foreign language dominance and adaptive attributions. The individual researchers to seek other ways of conceptualizing motivation and suggested that researchers should simply rule out the socio-educational approach. As a result of this statement, the author replied that the socio-educational model is a paradigm that is completely compatible with many of the new research agendas, and it is very useful to devolve new motivational pattern.

Overview of the various frameworks on motivation shows that motivation is a complex aspect, which contains multifactor variant rather than a unifactor variant. When compared to the socio-educational model, most of the other motivational frameworks seem too complicated to measure the L2 learners’ motivational strategies. The main reason for the complication is that there has been no proper construct fixed for each subscale.

7. Motivation in Second Language Learning

To identify L2 learners motivation and attitude, a mixed method approach was carried out amongst petroleum engineering students. The result revealed that most of the learners had positive attitudes towards English language. Especially, female learners have more attitudes towards learning L2 than males. The motivational orientations of Jordanian gifted school students have been investigated, the result showed that the students were motivated towards English language. Eventually, the students with high Grade Point Average had the positive attitudes towards learning English. Bangladeshi students learn English for an instrumental reason rather than integrative one. Similar, there is no difference found between intrinsic and extrinsic motivation, and learners L2 achievement among Jordanian English language learners. Whereas, Pakistani L2 learners were found to be highly instrumentally motivated. Similarly, the engineering students of Tamil Nadu showed high instrumental motivation than integrative motivation.

From the light of the above review, it is found that there is no evident and innovative study on L2 learner’s motivation has been carried out among the Indian students from rural background setting. Thus, initiates the researchers to do investigation on motivation in L2 learning among rural as well as financially backward STAR students in VIT University, India.

8. Objectives

This study investigated STAR students motivation factor towards second language (L2) learning. The objectives are

- To identify correlation difference between motivational intensity, desire to learn English and attitude towards learning English among ESL learners
- To identify the gender difference between integrative and instrumental motivation towards the L2 learning

9. Research Questions

- Is there is any difference between ESL learners
motivational intensity, desire to learn English and attitude towards learning English?
• Is there is any gender difference between instrumental and integrative orientation?

10. Methodology

10.1 Participants
The participants of this study were undergraduates of STAR (Support the Advancement of Rural) engineering students from various disciplines at VIT University, India. In 2008, VIT University started an innovative STAR (Support the Advancement of Rural) Students program to enrich the rural students through free higher education. Every year VIT University selects sixty-two rural students from all over Tamil Nadu. These students have been the district toppers in the higher secondary examinations conducted by the State Government. Until 2015, 424 students enrolled in the STARS program, so the total population is 424. For this study, the questionnaires were collected amongst 43 undergraduate students from various disciplines, i.e., 10% of the population.

10.2 Instrument
The questionnaire consists of two sections a) Demographic profile and b) adopted AMTB questionnaire. For this study, the researchers adopted five factors from Attitude and Motivation Test Battery (AMTB) questionnaire. The five factors are Motivational Intensity (5 items), Integrative Orientation (4 items), Desire to learn English (5 items), Attitude towards learning English (5 items), and Instrumental Orientation (4 items). Overall, 23 items were used as metric variables in the questionnaire. All the questions, except the demographic profile were placed on five-point Likert scale from “strongly agree” to “strongly disagree.” Table 1 shown the internal reliability of the questionnaire through Cronbach’s Alpha is 0.757, which is acceptable.

| Cronbach’s Alpha | Number of Items |
|------------------|-----------------|
| 0.757            | 25              |

10.3 Data Collection
For this quantitative study, simple random sampling and probability sampling methods were used. The questionnaire was randomly distributed to volunteer STAR students from various disciplines. The researchers had informed the students that this study would be used for research purpose only.

10.4 Data Analysis
The scores were tabulated using software STATA 13. The statistical tools used for this study were Mean, Standard Deviation, Cronbach’s Alpha, ANOVA, Pearson Correlation coefficient.

11. Results
Table 2 shown the descriptive analysis, the mean frequency of overall factor used was found to be 4.23, which was very close to degree value of 5; it shows the highest mean value. The mean and standard deviation results confirmed that the L2 learners were more aware of and used to motivational factors such as instrumental (4.67) and integrative orientation (4.61) and followed by the attitude towards learning English (4.37). A great difference was found between motivational intensity (3.53) and instrumental orientation (4.67). The result also shows that the students learnt English for instrumental orientation when compared with other factors.

| Factors                          | N  | Mean | SD  |
|----------------------------------|----|------|-----|
| Motivational Intensity           | 43 | 3.53 | .553|
| Desire to learn English          | 43 | 3.99 | .502|
| Attitude towards learning English| 43 | 4.37 | .423|
| Integrative orientation          | 43 | 4.61 | .423|
| Instrumental orientation         | 43 | 4.67 | .329|
| Overall                          | 43 | 4.23 | .312|

Table 3 shows the results of ANOVA among gender (male and female). It shows that motivational intensity, instrumental orientation, and desire to learn English differed significantly between the two groups. Moreover, the deviation between male and female is the same in all the factors except in instrumental orientation. The deviation found in instrumental orientation among males was .342, and among the females, it was .182, which indicated that females have a very low deviation than male in instrumental motivation. The relatively small standard deviation indicated that female responses clustered closely around the mean.
Table 3. One-way analysis of variance

| Factors                        | Gender | N  | Mean | SD  | Sig. |
|-------------------------------|--------|----|------|-----|------|
| Motivational Intensity        | Male   | 27 | 3.40 | .517| .044 |
|                               | Female | 16 | 3.75 | .558|      |
| Attitude towards learning     | Male   | 27 | 4.31 | .419| .246 |
| English                       | Female | 16 | 4.47 | .425|      |
| Instrumental orientation      | Male   | 27 | 4.55 | .342| .001 |
|                               | Female | 16 | 4.87 | .182|      |
| Desire to learn English       | Male   | 27 | 3.87 | .517| .038 |
|                               | Female | 16 | 4.20 | .413|      |
| Integrative orientation       | Male   | 27 | 4.59 | .386| .724 |
|                               | Female | 16 | 4.64 | .491|      |

11.1 Pearson Correlation Coefficient

Research question 1: Is there any difference between ESL learners motivational intensity, desire to learn English and attitude towards learning English?

As the results shown in Table 4, there was moderate positive correlation observed between the motivational intensity and attitude towards learning English is .379 with p-value of .012 (r=.379, N=43, p<0.05). Whereas, correlation of motivation intensity and desire to learn English is 0.228 with ap-value of 0.141, which is higher than the significant level at 0.05 and 0.01, respectively, it was found that there is no significant difference observed between these two metric variables (r=.228, N=43, p>0.05). There is a strong positive correlation observed between attitude towards learning English and desire to learn English, which is .653 (r=.656, N=43, p<0.01). The result indicated that there is a strong relationship exists between attitude towards learning English and desire to learn English.

Table 4. Motivation Factors

| Sl. No | Factors                               | N  | Pearson (r) | Sig.  |
|--------|---------------------------------------|----|-------------|-------|
| 1      | Motivational Intensity & Attitude      | 43 | .379*       | .012  |
|        | towards learning English              |    |             |       |
| 2      | Desire to learn English & Attitude     | 43 | .653**      | .000  |
|        | towards learning English              |    |             |       |
| 3      | Motivational Intensity & Desire to     | 43 | .228        | .141  |
|        | learn English                         |    |             |       |

Table 5. Gender difference

| Sl. No | Gender | N  | Factors                               | Pearson (r) | Sig.  |
|--------|--------|----|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------|
| 1      | Male   | 27 | Integrative Orientation & Instrumental| 0.686**     | 0.00  |
|        |        |    | Orientation                            |             |       |
| 2      | Female | 16 | Integrative Orientation & Instrumental| 0.395       | 0.13  |
|        |        |    | Orientation                            |             |       |

12. Discussions

The results of this study showed that there was a strong positive correlation between the desire to learn English and attitude towards learning English (r=.653) and a moderated correlation observed between attitude towards learning English and motivational intensity (r= 0.379). Conversely, there is no significant difference found between of motivation intensity with a desire to learn English (r=0.228). Hence, the STAR students were affected by various motivational factors. Based on L2 learners internal and external factor, desire and attitude can be changed. Many factors that affect L2 learner’s motivational intensity, so the learners need to become aware of the importance of L2 in the competitive world. If the English class is enjoyable and attention grabbing, then the L2 learners are more successful in learning. Pakistani learners were highly motivated towards learning L2 language. They have a strong desire and aware of importance L2 language. The students were not motivated enough due to lack of learning strategies and goal setting, which mainly influenced in their English learning. So the L2 teachers play the most influential role in encouraging them in their learning process; therefore, L2 teachers have to apply various motivational activities, especially organizing various extra activities in English classes. If the teacher gives the same task all the time, the learners feel bored, so it affects motivation negatively. Even though non-English major learners feel that learning English is difficult and stressful, they do not think it is a waste of time, and they even consider continuing to
improve their English. It resembles the learners’ strong desire towards to learn English. Web-based projects encourage students’ involvement in challenging and authentic tasks. Similarly, tasks or language games can help students enhancing self-motivation. Here, learners will not to worry about making errors while learning a second language. This would enhance motivational intensity.

According to motivation theory, motivation related directly to the learners set of goal was integrative motivation and the motivation that the learners stimulated for their personal needs as instrumental motivation. In this study, the researchers found a weak relationship between integrative and instrumental motivation among female L2 learners than male. Gender is an important perspective in L2 learning investigations, and females seem to show more interest, positive behavior, and better performance in L2 learning when compared to males.

The motivation field with roots in social psychology, which investigates how an individual is affected and formed by the environment, he or she exists within, and how other persons influence us. Motivation depends on the social interaction between the teacher and the learners. Language teacher could identify the learners’ individual difference, and the teacher could be skilled and willing enough to help the learners to use their advantage in the process of second language acquisition.

Eventually, the teacher should know the importance of gender difference, the characteristics of the male and female students, and their state of mind. So it is advocated that the cooperative learning group of boys and girls in language learning helps for better understanding of L2 learning. The results revealed that the male students reported higher instrumental motivation and integrative motivation when compared to female students.

13. Conclusion

For the success of L2 learning, motivation is one of the essential and personal factors. Hence, this study empirically investigated the L2 learners motivation factors and gender difference in instrumental and integrative orientation. Socio-educational model is very useful to construct the results of this study. The result showed that favorable attitude and desire towards learning English can be found among the STAR students, but they do not have motivational intensity towards the English language. Thus, the language instructors should modify their methodology according to the learners’ interest. Instrumental and integrative motivations were found to be high among male students but to enhance motivation among the female students. The female students are not concerned about qualification and employability, thus, the teacher must boost their interest and create awareness about the importance of studying English both for intrinsic and instrumental reasons. There is no doubt that motivation and language learning can be a complex process because of the ‘multifaceted nature’ of language. He defines language as a) communication coding system, b) a part of individual’s identity and c) an important channel of social organization deeply rooted in the culture of the community who use it.

This paper highlights the importance of motivation factors among the rural engineering college students in the regional context of India. It would be useful, in any future study, to incorporate more qualitative through interviews with the respondents. In broader range, the research findings reported might be useful for policymaking for regional rural students in India.

14. Acknowledgement

We would like to thank the STAR students for taking part in this Study, VIT University for their explicit support for this study.

15. References

1. Gardner RC. Social psychology and second language learning: the role of attitudes and motivation. London: Edward Arnold; 1985.
2. Gardner RC, Lambert WE. Attitudes and motivation in second-language learning. Rowley, MA: Newbury House. 1972; 316 pp.
3. Dornyei Z. Motivation in second and foreign language learning. Language Teaching.1998; 31(3):117–35.
4. Dornyei Z. Attitudes, orientations, and motivations in language learning: Advances in theory, research, and applications. Oxford: Blackwell. 2003; 53(1):3–32.
5. Masgoret AM, Gardner RC. Attitudes, motivation, and second language learning: A meta-analysis of studies conducted by Gardner and associates. Language Learning. 2003; 53(1):123–63.
6. Dornyei Z. Motivation and motivating in the foreign language classroom. Modern Language Journal. 1994; 78(3):515–23.
7. Williams M, Burden R. Psychology for language teachers. Cambridge University Press; 1997.
8. Tremblay PF, Gardner RC. Expanding the motivation construct in language learning. Modern Language Journal. 1995; 79(4):505–20.
9. Crookes G, Schmidt RW. Motivation: Reopening the research agenda. Language Learning. 1991; 41(4):469–512.
10. Gardner RC. Integrative motivation and second language acquisition. Canadian Association of Applied Linguistics/Canadian Linguistics Association Joint Plenary Talk, London, Ontario. 2007; 29(1):143–44.
11. Al-Tamimi A, Shuib M. Motivation and attitudes towards learning English: A study of petroleum engineering undergraduates at Hadhramout University of Sciences and Technology. GEMA: Online Journal of Language Studies. 2009; 9(2):29–55.
12. Kesgin N, Arslan M. Attitudes of Students towards the English Language in High Schools. ANTHROPOLOGIST. 2015; 20(1-2):297–305.
13. Al-Khasawneh FM, Al-Omari MA. Motivations towards Learning English: The Case of Jordanian Gifted Students. International Journal of Education. 2015; 7(2):306–21.
14. Al-Samadani AH, Iblin S. The relationship between Saudi EFL students’ attitudes towards learning English and their academic achievement. International Journal of Education and Social Science. 2015; 2(1):92–102.
15. Rahman S. Orientations and motivation in English language learning: A study of Bangladeshi students at undergraduate level. Asian EFL Journal. 2005; 7(1):29–55.
16. Kreishan LJ, Al-Dhaimat Y. Intrinsic and extrinsic motivation, orientation and achievements in L2 of Arab learners of English, French and German: a study from Jordan. International Education Studies. 2013; 6(12):52.
17. Rehman A, Bilal HA, Nawaz AS. The role of motivation in learning English language for Pakistani learners. International Journal of Humanities and Social Science. 2014; 4(1):254–8.
18. Saranraj L, Meenakshi K. Influence of Motivation Factor and Anxiety in L2 Learning among Engineering Students in Dharmapuri, India. Indian Journal of Science and Technology. 2016; 9(18):1–7.
19. Gardner RC. Attitude/Motivation Test Battery: International AMTB research project. Canada: University of Western Ontario. 2004; 1–12.
20. Rukh S. Students’ attitude towards English language learning and academic achievement: A case of business students in Punjab. European Academic Research. 2014; 2(4):5596–612.
21. Dhindsa HS, Chung G. Attitudes and achievement of Bruneian science students. International Journal of Science Education. 2003; 25(8):907–22.
22. Osborne J, Simon S, Collins S. Attitudes towards science: A review of the and its implications. International Journal of Science Education. 2003; 25(9):1049–79.
23. Tuan LT. An empirical research into EFL learners’ motivation. Theory and Practice in Language Studies. 2012; 2(3):430–9.
24. Ming TS, Ling TS, JAAFAR NM. Attitudes and motivation of Malaysian secondary students towards learning English as a second language: A case study. 3L: Language, Linguistics and: The Southeast Asian Journal of English Language Studies. 2011; 17(1):40–54.
25. Zafar S, Feleciya JN, Khan ZA. Using Website Building As a Project Based Learning (PBL) Tool to Enhance Engineering Students’ Technical Writing Skills. Proceedings of the International Conference on Trends and Innovations in Language Teaching; Chennai. 2014. p. 186–8.
26. Saranraj L, Zafar S, Khan ZA. Teachers’ Use of Motivational Strategies in Tertiary Level ESL Classrooms. Proceedings of the International Conference on Trends and Innovations in Language Teaching; Chennai. 2014; 462–6.
27. Shoaib A, Dornyeyi Z. Affect in lifelong learning: Exploring L2 motivation as a dynamic process. In: Benson P, Nunan D editors. Learners’ Stories: Difference and Diversity in Language Learning. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 2005; 1–12.
28. Abidin MJZ, Pour-Mohammadi M, Alzwari H. EFL students’ attitudes towards learning English language: The case of Libyan Secondary school students. Asian Social Science. 2012; 8(2):119–34.
29. Henry A. L3 Motivation. (Diss.). Acta Universitatis Gothoburgensis. 2012; 1–165.
30. Zafar S, Meenakshi K. Individual learner differences and second language acquisition: A review. Journal of Language Teaching and Research. 2012; 3(4):639–46.
31. Mat CS, Yunus M. Attitudes and motivation towards learning English among FELDA school Students. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences. 2014; 8(5):1–8.
32. Choosri C, Intharaksa U. Relationship between motivation and students’ English learning achievement: A study of the second year vocational certificate level Hatyai Technical College students. 2015; 1–15.
33. Zafar S, Manjurekar B, Kumar NP, Khan ZA. Effects of FFCS (Fully Flexible Credit System) on Learning Experience and Academic Performance. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences. 2014; 143:4–7.
34. Dornyeyi Z Ushioda E. Motivation, Language Identity and the L2 Self. Bristol: Multilingual Matters. 2009.