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ABSTRACT

This empirical study examines the relationship between environmental quality, economic development, renewable and non-renewable energy (RE) consumption in 13 developing countries in Asia. We use panel data in the period from 1980 to 2014 and panel cointegration, fully modified ordinary least squares (OLS) and dynamics OLS estimators are employed to test for cointegration in the long-run. The study confirms the existence of the inverted U-shape Environmental Kuznets Curve hypothesis in 13 Asia countries for both estimators with the increment of GDP per capita and conventional energy consumption decreasing the environmental quality. However, the empirical finding suggests that RE consumption is insignificant in contributing to less pollution regarding CO₂ emissions. This study concludes that to comprehend better the potential factors affecting the CO₂ emissions, the sampled countries can design a strategic plan to mitigate the rate of global warming and climate change, while at the same time stimulating economic development and promoting energy from eco-friendly resources.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The linkages between environmental quality and economic development have been discussed thoroughly. In particular, developing countries in Asia (i.e., Bangladesh, China, India, Iran, Iraq, Indonesia, Malaysia, Myanmar, Nepal, Pakistan, Philippines, Sri Lanka and Thailand) have recorded excellent economic development in the most recent three decades as illustrated in Table 1. However, this impressive performance has also led to environmental issues such as increasing the level of CO₂ emissions. The release of CO₂ emissions is one of the contributors to the greenhouse effect which is largely due to human activities such as burning fossil fuels (FOSS) and deforestation (NASA 2018). As in Figure 1, among the selected 13 Asian countries, in 2014, Iran was the largest emitter of CO₂ emissions with 8.28 metric tonnes per capita followed by Malaysia and China. In the same year, Iran was also the largest country in Asia that consumed energy from FOSS based with 98.98%, closely followed by Iraq (97.27%), Malaysia (96.63%) and China (87.48%). In addition, Nepal was the largest country in Asia that uses energy from renewable sources with 84.37%, followed by Myanmar (66.13%) and Sri Lanka (57.59%) as in Figure 1.

Nevertheless, excellent economic performance requires a lot of energy. The high demand, dependency and consumption of energy from conventional sources mainly generated from natural gas, oil and coal have contributed to environmental problems such as increased level of CO₂ emissions which lead to climate change. Apart from that, the empirical evidence remains scarce in the case of Asian countries. In a recent study by Apergis and Ozturk (2015) which incorporating only CO₂ emissions and gross domestic product in their study found the existence of
the Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) hypothesis 14 Asian countries. The existence of the EKC hypothesis indicates that decreasing environmental quality due to pollution is an inevitable consequence at the beginning of economic improvement, but as income grows, the environmental quality improves over time.

As of July 2018, Bangladesh, China, India, Indonesia, Malaysia, Myanmar, Nepal, Pakistan, Philippines, Sri Lanka and Thailand have ratified the Paris Agreement which committed to reducing pollution to mitigate climate change by keeping a global temperature rise below 2 degrees Celsius (UNFCC 2018). In order to reach this goal, every party involved in developed and developing countries should be ready to take action in terms of financial support, capacity and advanced innovation to achieve their national objectives.

In line with that, one of the targets in the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) is to provide affordable and clean energy by enhancing and promoting the contribution of renewable energy (RE) in the total energy mix, enhance international cooperation for better research on environmentally friendly energy with technologies, innovation and investment by 2030 (SDG, 2018). Enhancing RE consumption in the global energy mix will provide a positive impact on environmental quality. As reported by the Energy Information Administration (2018), increasing contribution of electricity generation from renewable and nuclear sources by 35% has reduced the carbon intensity of the electricity supply in 2016. In addition, the electricity consumption from hydroelectric source shows encouraging improvement mostly in China and India as well as other countries over time (Environmental Impact Assessment, 2018).

Hence, this study examines the linkages among CO₂ emissions, economic growth, renewable and non- RE consumption in 13 Asian countries using Fully Modified Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) (FMOLS) and dynamic OLS (DOLS) from 1980 to 2014. It intends to contribute to the research regarding the EKC hypothesis by providing new evidence based on empirical analysis of electricity consumption from FOSS based on a proxy for non- RE consumption. Other than that, this empirical study also examines the potential of electricity consumption from hydroelectric resources as a proxy for RE consumption in 13 Asian countries.

Given the above background, it is crucial to investigate the role of RE consumption within the EKC framework in Asia, which positively impacts on environmental quality by reducing pollution and enhancing economic performance. This study additionally contributes to the literature by using the panel data technique to explore the linkages between environmental quality, economic performance, renewable and non- RE used in 13 Asian countries. The selected 13 countries are developing countries in Asia. They have high consumption levels of energy especially non-renewable sources and are large emitters of CO₂ compared with other Asian members. Fully modified OLS (FMOLS) and Dynamic OLS (DOLS) approaches are employed to support a better understanding of the relationship between CO₂ emissions, real per capita GDP, electricity consumption from hydroelectric and electricity consumption from FOSS. The study also examines the existence of the EKC hypothesis. The outcomes would have policy implications for the region.

The paper is organised as follows. Section 2 reviews the recent literature, Section 3 details the selected data, model and used methodology, Section 4 and 5 reports the empirical results and discussions based on the analysis. It also concludes the study while offering policy recommendations.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Studies of environmental quality and economic performance hypothesised that environmental pollution is an inverted U-shape curved of income per capita. This inverted U-shape curve is widely known as the EKC hypothesis. The EKC hypothesis indicates that
pollution worsens in the early stage of economic growth until it reaches a turning point after which pollution reduces with higher income per capita (Grossman and Krueger 1991). According to Selden and Song (1994), a high level of pollution is inevitable since many technological innovations in various economic sectors is required in the early phase of economic growth.

Along with the rapid economic activities, the abundant demand for energy, especially from conventional resources such as coal, oil and natural gas, also increases every year. A close relationship between energy consumption and per capita income has contributed to the decline in environmental quality. Hence, numerous studies explored the linkages between non-RE consumption, economic growth and environmental quality (Chandran and Tang, 2013; Heidari et al., 2015; Niu et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2016). Regarding the EKC hypothesis, the empirical studies from Chandran and Tang (2013), Heidari et al. (2015) and Zhu et al. (2016) investigated the existence of this hypothesis in ASEAN-5 and failed to validate its presence in those countries. Summary of selected previous literature on the renewable energy consumption, CO2 emissions, GDP per capita and energy consumption published between 2007 till 2018 as presented in Table 2.

With increasing demand for energy in various industrial sectors, energy production from conventional sources is unable to cope with such high demand. In this regard, the emergence of energy from renewable sources is an alternative to reduce dependency and reliance on traditional sources as well as improving economic performance. Hence, the empirical studies by Bölük and Mert (2014), Dogan and Seker (2016a, 2016b) Irandoust (2016), Jebli et al. (2016), Liu et al. (2017) and Sebri and Ben-Salha (2014) incorporated RE consumption as an additional variable to explore the linkages between non-RE consumption, economic growth and environmental quality. However, only Bölük and Mert (2014), Dogan and Seker (2016a, 2016b), Jebli et al. (2016) and Liu et al. (2017) investigated the presence of the EKC hypothesis. On the contrary, the empirical findings by Bölük and Mert (2014), Jebli et al. (2016) and Liu et al. (2017) found that the EKC hypothesis is invalidated in 16 European Union, 25 OECD and ASEAN-4 countries, respectively. Meanwhile, Dogan and Seker (2016a, 2016b) found that the EKC hypothesis is validated in 15 EU and 40 top RE countries. According to Dogan and Seker (2016a, 2016b), Jebli et al. (2016) and Liu et al. (2017), high consumption from RE improves the environmental quality by reducing CO2 emissions, and extensive use of energy from conventional sources increases the emissions. Meanwhile, Bölük and Mert (2014) found that energy used from renewable sources contributes to a 50% reduction in emissions compared to energy from conventional sources.

Several studies examined the presence of the inverted U-shape between environmental pollution and per capita income for individual countries without taking into account RE in their modelling framework (Ang, 2007; Lau et al., 2014). The empirical findings from Ang (2007) and Lau et al. (2014) found that the EKC hypothesis is valid in France and Malaysia. Later on, several studies added RE consumption as another exogenous variable (Azlina et al., 2018; Azlina et al., 2014; Bölük and Mert, 2015; Sugiantan and Managi 2016; Almulali et al., 2016). The empirical studies by Azlina et al. (2018), Bölük and Mert (2015) and Sugiantan and Managi (2016) provides evidence that the inverted U-shape of the EKC hypothesis is validated and energy production from renewable sources can mitigate pollution by reducing CO2 emissions in the long-run for the case of Malaysia, Turkey and Indonesia. In contrast, previous study by Azlina et al. (2014) found that the inverted U-shape of the EKC hypothesis is invalid, but energy consumption from renewable sources still provides a positive impact on environmental quality in Malaysia. Meanwhile, Aung et al. (2017) found that EKC hypothesis is failed to validate for CO2 emissions but the existence of inverted U-shaped can be observed for methane (CH4) and nitrous oxide (N2O) in Myanmar.

Apart from that, the literature reveals the presence of bidirectional causality between RE consumption and economic growth in the European Union, OECD, Eurasia and G7 countries (Al-Mulali et al., 2013; Alper and Oguz, 2016; Apergis and Payne, 2010a, 2010b; Chang et al., 2015). According to Al-Mulali et al. (2013), higher income countries are more likely to have bidirectional causality between RE consumption and economic growth in the long-run. The findings also reported that the feedback hypothesis also exists in ASEAN countries, namely Malaysia, Indonesia, Philippines and Thailand. However, Alper and Oguz (2016) failed to confirm the presence of the feedback hypothesis in most new EU member countries (Cyprus, Estonia, Hungary, Poland and Slovenia) due to less energy production from renewable sources. Meanwhile, in the case of ASEAN countries, evidence from Chandran and Tang (2013) reported unidirectional causality running from CO2 emissions to conventional energy consumption in the short-run for Indonesia and Malaysia and bidirectional causality between CO2 emissions and energy consumption in the Philippines and Thailand. In another study conducted by Azam et al. (2015) and Wang et al. (2016), the empirical results reveal the existence of unidirectional causality running from conventional energy used to economic growth and CO2 emissions in Malaysia and ASEAN-8 countries.

Table 2: Summary of selected previous literature on the renewable energy consumption, CO2 emissions, GDP per capita and energy consumption published between 2007 till 2018.
Table 2: Summary of selected previous literature on the renewable energy consumption, CO₂ emissions, GDP per capita and conventional energy consumption published between 2007 till 2018

| Authors               | Study area                        | Period       | Variables                      | Methodology              | Result                                                                 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Apergis and Ozturk    | ASEAN-5 countries                 | 1990–2011    | GDP, CO₂                        | GMM                      | EKC is validated                                                        |
| Alper and Oguiz       | 8 new EU member countries          | 1990–2009    | RE, GDP, C, L                   | ARDL                     | RE has positive impact on GDP                                           |
| Apergis and Danaulettu | 80 countries                      | 1990–2012    | RE, GDP, C, L                   | Caming and Pedroni       | RE→GDP in long-run                                                     |
| Irandoust             | 4 Nordic countries                | 1975–2012    | RE, GDP, CO₂, TI                | VAR                     | RE↔CO₂ (Denmark, Finland) RE↔CO₂ (Sweden, Norway) GDP, TI↔RE (all countries) |
| Jehli et al.          | 25 OECD countries                 | 1980–2010    | RE, GDP, CO₂, EC, IM, EX        | FMOLS, DOLS              | EKC is validated with increases in EC increases CO₂, and increases RE and TR reduces CO₂ |
| Menegaki and Sebri    | 27 European countries             | 1997–2007    | RE, GDP, CO₂, EC               | Random effect model      | RE↔GDP                                                                |
| Bard and Ben-Salha    | BRICS countries                   | 1997–2010    | RE, GDP, CO₂, TR               | ARDL, VECM               | EKC is validated                                                       |
| Shabaz et al.         | Pakistan                          | 1972Q1–2011Q4| RE, GDP, C, L                  | ARDL, VECM               | RE, C and L have positive impact on GDP                                |
| Chang et al.          | G7 countries                      | 1990–2011    | RE, GDP                         | Granger causality        | RE↔GDP (overall panel)                                                |
| Azam et al.           | ASEAN-5 countries                 | 1990–2012    | GDP, EC, C, EX                  | Granger causality        | EC→GDP (Malaysia)                                                      |
| Chandran and Tang     | ASEAN-5 countries                 | 1971–2008    | GDP, CO₂, EC, FDI              | VECM                     | EKC is invalid with GDP and EC significant on CO₂ GDP↔CO₂ (Indonesia, Thailand) |
| Liu et al.            | ASEAN-4 countries                 | 1970–2013    | RE, GDP, CO₂, EC, AGR           | Panel VECM granger causality | EKC is invalid with increasing RE and AGR reduces CO₂ while high EC increases CO₂ |
| Niu et al.            | 8 Asian-Pacific countries         | 1971–2005    | GDP, CO₂, EC                   | Panel VECM granger causality | EC↔CO₂ (general)                                                       |
| Wang et al.           | ASEAN-8 countries                 | 1980–2009    | CO₂, EC, URB                   | Panel Granger causality, FMOLS | CO₂, EC and energy efficiency lower in developing countries URB has negative impact on CO₂ |
| Zhu et al.            | ASEAN-5 countries                 | 1981–2011    | CO₂, GDP, EC, FDI              | Panel quantile regression | EKC is invalid with high TR reduces CO₂                                |
| Bölük and Mert        | 16 EU countries                   | 1990–2008    | RE, GDP, CO₂, EC               | Panel data (OLS)         | EKC is invalid with RE contribute half emissions compared to EC        |
| Dogan and Seker       | 40 top renewable energy countries  | 1985–2011    | RE, GDP, CO₂, EC, TR, FDI      | FMOLS, DOLS              | EKC is validated with high RE, TR and FD reduces CO₂ while high EC increases CO₂ |
| Dogan and Seker       | 15 EU countries                   | 1980–2012    | RE, GDP, CO₂, EC, TR           | DOLS, Dumitrescu-hurlin non-causality | EKC is validated, with high RE and TR reduces CO₂ while EC increases CO₂ RE↔CO₂, GDP, TR↔CO₂, CO₂↔EC |
| Dong et al.           | BRICS countries                   | 1985–2016    | RE, GDP, CO₂, NG               | AMG panel co-integration | EKC is validated, with high RE and NG reduces CO₂                       |
| Heidari et al.        | ASEAN-5 countries                 | 1980–2008    | GDP, CO₂, EC                   | PSTR                     | EKC is validated                                                       |
| Ang                   | France                            | 1960–2000    | GDP, CO₂, EC                   | ARDL, VECM               | EKC is validated                                                       |
| Azlina et al.         | Malaysia                          | 1980–2013    | RE, GDP, CO₂, EC, TR           | ARDL, VECM               | EKC is validated                                                       |
| Azlina et al.         | Malaysia                          | 1975–2011    | RE, GDP, CO₂, EC               | VECM                     | EKC is invalid with high RE reduces CO₂ while EC increases CO₂          |
| Lau et al.            | Malaysia                          | 1970–2008    | GDP, CO₂, TR, FDI              | ARDL, granger causality  | EKC is validated with high TR and FDI reduces CO₂                       |
| Bölük and Mert        | Turkey                            | 1961–2010    | RE, GDP, CO₂                   | ARDL                     | EKC is validated with high RE reduces CO₂                              |
| Sugiawan and Managi   | Indonesia                         | 1971–2010    | RE, GDP, CO₂, EC, TFP          | ARDL                     | EKC is validated with high RE and TFP reduces CO₂ while EC increases CO₂ |
| Azam and Khan         | Low, lower middle, upper middle, high income countries | 1975–2014    | GDP, CO₂, EC, TR, URB          | Johansen co-integration   | EKC is validated in low and lower middle income countries               |

ARDL: Auto-regressive distributed lag, DOLS: Dynamic ordinary least squares, EX: Exports, FD: Financial development, FMOLS: Fully modified ordinary least squares, IM: Imports, L: Labour, C: Capital, TI: Technological innovation, FDI: Foreign direct investment, AGR: Agricultural value added, URB: Urbanization, PSTR: Panel smooth transition regression, TFP: Total factor productivity, GMM: Generalized method of moment, NG: Natural gas consumption, AMG: Augmented mean group.
The significance of conventional energy used for economic development and environmental quality creates an argument whether consumption from RE is significant in the EKC hypothesis. Thus, the present paper examines the linkages between per capita CO₂ emissions, economic growth, electricity consumption from FOSS and electricity consumption from renewable sources to validate the existence of the EKC curve hypothesis for a panel of 13 Asian countries.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Specification Models
Numerous studies by Ang (2007), Azam and Khan (2016), Chandran and Tang (2013), Heidari et al. (2015), Niu et al. (2011) and Zhu et al. (2016) investigated the environment quality, economic development and energy consumption within the EKC framework with CO₂ emissions as the dependent variable. In addition, the studies Azlina et al. (2014), Bölük and Mert (2014), Dogan and Seker (2016a, 2016b), Dong et al. (2017), Liu et al. (2017) and Sugiawan and Managi (2016) disaggregated energy consumption into renewable energy consumption and non-RE consumption, environment quality and economic development within the EKC framework in which CO₂ emissions are regressed on the real income per capita (GDP), the quadratic real income per capita (GDP), RE consumption and non-RE consumption (FOSS).

To investigate the long-run relationships between per capita CO₂ emissions (CO₂), economic growth (GDP) and squared economic growth (GDP²), the multivariate framework is established following the model from Dogan and Seker (2016a, 2016b) and Jebli et al. (2016). Each selected variable is converted into a natural logarithm. The standard EKC model can be shown as:

\[
\ln CO_{2it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln GDP_{it} + \beta_2 \ln GDP_{it}^2 + \mu_{it}
\]  (1)

By introducing electricity consumption from FOSS and electricity consumption from renewable sources as another independent variable into the Equation. (1), the modified EKC model is as follows:

\[
\ln CO_{2it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln GDP_{it} + \beta_2 \ln GDP_{it}^2 + \beta_3 \ln FOSS_{it} + \beta_4 \ln RE_{it} + \mu_{it}
\]  (2)

Where i and t stands for country and the time; while denotes normally distributed error term; \( \beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \) and \( \beta_4 \) are the coefficient estimates on the selected variables, respectively. Equation. (2) employs both GDP and the quadratic of GDP as exogenous variables. Thus, the expected coefficients of \( \beta_1 > 0 \), and \( \beta_2 < 0 \) validated the existence of the EKC hypothesis. A threshold of EKC is when per capita income is low risk on the pollution, and the relationship between these two indicators resembles an inverted U-shaped curve. According to Stern (2017), the value of the turning point (τ) of the EKC can be formulated as \( \tau = \exp \left( -0.5 \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} \right) \). First, as the economy grows in the early phase of economic development, lots of energy is required to fulfill the energy demand from various sectors and industries. Accordingly, heavy dependence on conventional energy sources from natural gas, oil and coal is inevitable because of its reliability and cheaper compared to RE. As consequences, the level of environmental quality reduced as CO₂ emissions increases which contribute to pollution. The positive impact of environmentally friendly energy on environmental quality can be achieved by promoting its consumption and contribution in the total energy mix.

Thus, by applying the panel cointegration approach, this empirical study examines the relationship between environmental quality, economic development, RE and non-RE consumption for a panel of 13 Asian countries during the 1980-2014 period. The empirical analysis is started by examining the stationarity of each variables using common and individual unit root tests. This study applies panel cointegration tests; namely Pedroni cointegration test Pedroni (1999, 2004) and Kao cointegration test Kao (1999) to test the existence of a long-run relationship among variables.

After confirming the presence of cointegration, this study uses the fully modified OLS (FMOLS) and dynamic OLS (DOLS) estimators to show long-run coefficient estimates of the real income per capita, the square of real income per capita, renewable and non-RE consumption for CO₂ emissions. The FMOLS approach and the DOLS estimators have been proposed by Pedroni (2001, 2004) and Kao and Chiang (2000) and Mark and Sul (2003).

This study uses the FMOLS and the DOLS estimators in order to show long-run coefficient estimates of the CO₂ emissions, GDP per capita, squared of GDP per capita, squared of GDP per capita, energy consumption from conventional sources and energy consumption from renewables.

3.2 Data and Variables
CO₂ emissions denoted as CO₂ as a proxy for environmental quality in metric tonnes per capita concerning the independent variables, while independent variables, GDP per capita (constant 2010 US$) as a proxy for low economic growth and squared of GDP per capita as a proxy for high economic growth. FOSS is electricity consumption from FOSS measured in million Kw based as a proxy for energy consumption from conventional sources, and RE is electricity consumption from renewable sources measured in million Kw as proxy for energy consumption from renewable sources. The dataset was obtained from 1980 to 2014. The panel data on CO₂ emissions and GDP per capita are taken from the World Development Indicators (WDI), and the data on FOSS and RE retrieved from the U.S. Energy Information Administration. This study selected 13 countries in Asia based on the availability of data extracted from WDI and Energy Information Administration including Bangladesh, China, India, Iran, Iraq, Indonesia, Malaysia, Myanmar, Nepal, Pakistan, Philippines, Sri Lanka and Thailand. The data used in this study are transformed into the natural logarithm in order to interpret the coefficient estimates as the elasticities of the response variable.

The magnitude of coefficients for EC and RE, \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_3 \) are predicted to be positive and negative respectively as shown by Dogan and Seker (2016b). Table 3 reports a summary of descriptive statistics with means, standard deviation, maximum
and minimum of each selected variables before transforming into the logarithm form from 1980-2014.

4 EMPIRICAL RESULTS

4.1 Panel Unit Root Tests

This empirical work uses four different panel unit root tests developed by Levin-Lin-Chu (LLC) Levin et al. (2002), Breitung (2000), Im-Pesaran-Shin (IPS) Im et al. (2003) and Fisher-Phillips-Perron (PP) Phillips and Perron (1988) tests to examine the stationarity of each series. As in Table 4, the panel unit root tests can be classified into common and individual unit root. The first group of common unit root consists of the t-statistics of Breitung (2001) and LLC’s test by Levin et al. (2002). Next, another group of individual unit root tests includes IPS-W-statistic (Im et al., 2003) and PP-Fisher Chi-square (Phillips and Perron, 1988). The assumption for the null hypothesis is that the series is not stationary with a unit root, while the alternative hypothesis is that the series is stationary with no unit root. All panel unit root tests are estimated with intercept and deterministic trends.

Table 4 of panel unit root tests of LLC, Breitung, IPS and PP reports the results of panel unit root statistics tests at the level and after the first difference. The p-values of variables of CO$_2$, GDP, GDP$^2$, FOSS and RE accept the null hypothesis of unit root at level. The four unit root tests reject the null hypothesis of non-stationary at 1% level of significance, after the first difference. In other words, we can conclude that CO$_2$, GDP, GDP$^2$, FOSS and RE are all I(1).

4.2 Panel Co-integration Test

First and foremost, this study employs the Pedroni panel cointegration test (Pedroni, 1999) to find a possible cointegration relationship between the analysed variables in Equation (2). According to Pedroni (1999), there are seven tests statistics as shown in Table 5. The results from the Pedroni panel cointegration test indicate that majority of the test statistics provide evidence with the presence of cointegrating relationship between the analysed variables in Equation (2).

The second panel cointegration test is the Kao panel cointegration test (Kao 1999). According to the results in Table 5, the analysed variables in Equation (2) are cointegrated and have long-run relationships since we have enough evidence to reject the null hypothesis of no cointegration in favour of the alternative hypothesis of cointegration at 5% level of significance.

As reported in Table 5, results from Pedroni cointegration tests (Pedroni, 2001, 2004) can be categorised into within-dimension and between-dimension parts. Two out of four panel statistics reject the null hypothesis of no cointegrating relationship among selected variables at the 1% and 5% significance level in the within-dimension part. Meanwhile, for the between-dimension part, two out of three group statistics reject the null hypothesis. Thus, this result indicates a long-run cointegrating relationship between these selected variables in 13 Asian countries. The Kao cointegration test developed by Kao (1999) is applied to confirm the accuracy and reliability of the previous result.

Table 3: Summary of descriptive statistics for each series

| Variable | Unit of measurement | Mean | Standard deviation | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------|---------------------|------|-------------------|---------|---------|
| CO$_2$   | Metric tonnes per capita | 1.8758 | 2.0479 | 0.0284 | 8.2830 |
| GDP      | Per capita constant 2010 US$ | 2230.647 | 2039.022 | 190.9119 | 10398.23 |
| FOSS     | Million Kw | 37.3311 | 108.5518 | 0.0250 | 923.6300 |
| RE       | Million Kw | 12.1814 | 39.3258 | 0.0530 | 415.0570 |

* * * indicates significant at 0.10, 0.05 and 0.01 level

Table 4: Panel unit root tests

| Variables | Common unit root | Individual unit root |
|-----------|-----------------|----------------------|
|           | LLC              | Breitung              | IPS | PP |
| CO$_2$    | −0.0112          | 0.4446               | −0.1289 | 28.4697 |
| GDP$^2$   | −1.1480          | 3.1186               | 0.7920 | 15.9335 |
| GDP$^3$   | −0.6391          | 3.1128               | 2.0139 | 13.0426 |
| FOSS      | −0.1241          | 0.2816               | −1.2245 | 25.8391 |
| RE        | 0.2471           | −1.7336**            | 06781  | 35.6593 |

Table 5: Results from Pedroni cointegration test

| Pedroni | Alternative hypothesis: Common AR coefs. (within-dimension) | Alternative hypothesis: Individual AR coefs. (between-dimension) |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|         | Statistic | Weighted statistic | Statistical | Statistic | Kao |
| Panel v-statistic | −0.4752 | −1.3229 | | | |
| Panel rho-statistic | −0.2501 | 0.7128 | | | |
| Panel PP-statistic | −3.5092*** | −2.8625*** | | | |
| Panel ADF-statistic | −2.1334*** | −1.8634** | | | |

* ** *** indicates significant at 0.10, 0.05 and 0.01 level
As expected, FOSS is positively statistically significant in explaining carbon dioxide emissions for FMOLS and DOLS estimators. Therefore, a 1% increase in energy consumption from electricity consumption from FOSS contributes to carbon dioxide emissions by 38.7% and 36.4% in the long-run for 13 Asian countries. Our result is similar to those of Dogan and Seker (2016a, 2016b) and Liu et al. (2017) for the European Union, top RE and ASEAN-4 countries. Economic development requires extensive consumption of energy, but its impact on environmental quality is inevitable. With the high demand for energy, the level of pollution also increases due to most energy supply coming from non-renewable sources. Energy from conventional sources is favourable due to its reliability and is cheaper compared to RE.

The result from FMOLS and DOLS long-run estimates indicates that RE is insignificant in explaining environmental quality. The empirical finding is contradicted with the studies by Dogan and Seker (2016b), Dong et al. (2017) and Liu et al. (2017) in top RE, BRICS and ASEAN-4 countries, respectively. This indicates that consumption of energy from renewable sources is insufficient in reducing the impact of climate change in selected 13 Asian countries. Meanwhile, high dependency on energy from FOSS compared to RE in the total energy mix is dominant. According to a report from the Energy Information Administration (2018) issued in September 2017, energy consumption by OECD countries exceeds the non-OECD countries by 2015.

Nevertheless, by 2030, non-OECD countries are expected to utilise energy beyond OECD countries due to excellent and promising economic development. Additionally, world energy consumption from petroleum and other liquid resources is expected to continue to grow until 2040. However, RE consumption is predicted to grow rapidly between 2015 and 2040 and reduce consumption and dependency on consumption from coal sources. Serious actions taken by promoting RE consumption to contribute as much as possible to the total energy mix and reduce dependency on energy from FOSS will be beneficial for the quality of the environment in the future.

### 5. CONCLUSION

This study investigates the linkages of environmental quality, economic development, renewable and non-RE consumption in selected 13 developing countries in Asia from 1980 to 2014. Previously, empirical evidence concerning the relationship between environmental quality, economic development and energy consumption did not include energy from renewable sources in Asia countries. Hence, this study contributes to the literature by examining the relationship between carbon dioxide emissions, economic growth, hydroelectricity consumption and electricity consumption from FOSS within the EKC framework. This study used the panel unit root cointegration, fully modified (OLS) and dynamic (OLS) approach to analyse the linkages between carbon dioxide emissions and its determinants in Asian countries.

The main empirical findings suggest that the EKC hypothesis (inverted U-shaped) is validated in both FMOLS and DOLS estimators in the long-run. The positive relationship between the release of carbon dioxide and GDP per capita postulates that environmental quality is decreasing in the early stage of economic development. However, as income grows with advanced technology and innovation as well as better understanding and awareness, the level of pollution decreases over time. From empirical findings, increased energy consumption from conventional sources has resulted in an increment in the level of pollution as expected. The extensive consumption of energy from conventional sources such as FOSS has negatively impacted on environmental quality by increasing the level of carbon emissions. Unfortunately, consumption from RE which is environmentally friendly is insignificant in explaining carbon dioxide emissions in Asian countries. This may happen because the share of energy consumption from renewable sources is relatively low and thus insufficient contribution in total energy supply for countries like Iran, Iraq, Malaysia and China failed to have a beneficial effect on environmental quality. Although this empirical study has failed to prove that RE is capable of contributing positively to the environment in 13 Asia’s developing countries, it is undeniable that the energy efficiency of this resource is more environmentally friendly and has minimal risk compared to conventional resources.

Last but not least, the findings are critical to enable the Asia countries to design and structure suitable policies regarding RE including enhancing its consumption and reducing heavy reliance on non-renewable sources without risking economic development.
In line with the aim of the parties ratified in the Paris Agreement to mitigate climate change and to support the SDGs, future studies can investigate the importance of technology and innovation in RE development to enhance and promote the consumption of this alternative energy in the total primary energy supply.
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