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Abstract: The agricultural plans in Egypt aim to increase maize production and yield to reduce the importation and to meet the country's need from maize. Currently the crop production is affected by the climatic changes which rise the abiotic and biotic stresses problems. In an attempt to raise the tryptophan level in maize, particle bombardment technique was used with two hybrids maize, namely Sc168 and Sc10. The results of this study showed that both hybrids were transformed efficiently and showed increased levels of tryptophan in the two hybrids. The double shot 1100 psi was higher effective than single shot. It can be concluded that levels of tryptophan increased clearly in the two hybrids which is considered an indicator for ASA2 successful expressing as compared to control. The present study established effective tissue culture protocol for maize hybrids suitable for gene transformation which may used in maize improvement programs in the future.
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1. Introduction

Maize, wheat and rice are the most important cereal crops, their grains considered as the main source of carbohydrates across the world [1]. Sustainable agriculture in Egypt is faced by many constraints like, the climatic changes, waste irrigation water in large quantities, especially in the old cultivated lands, the rise in the level of ground water and the increase in the salinity of agricultural land, which causes the productivity of these lands to decrease [2].

Maize improvement in breeding programs depend on the use of specific molecular markers of important genes govern important traits and genetic engineering of major genes [3]. Many agronomic traits of maize can be improve by introducing genes to its genome. Transformation of monocot crops like cereal was successfully made after the progress of

the particle bombardment technology that uses high speed particles to deliver DNA directly into the cell nucleus [4].

Two popular methods are known to be used for maize genetic transformation Agrobacterium mediated transformation and particle bombardment [5]. Genetically transformed maize have already been made with different traits involving tolerance and resistance to various biotic and abiotic stresses environmental factors [6, 7].

Tryptophan is a natural amino acid has a vital role in plant growth and development, it acts as, ion transport regulator, an osmolyte, controls stomatal opening, initiates the formation of alkaloids and serotonin and detoxify harmful effects of heavy metals [8-11].

Rice and Arabidopsis exhibit abiotic stress-induced regulation of the enzymes of tryptophan biosynthesis pathway, namely anthranilate synthase and tryptophan synthase [12, 13]. The activity of anthranilate synthase and accumulation of
tryptophan-derived metabolites has been observed in the leaves of rice plants in response to biotic stress [12, 13]. The objective of this work was to enhance maize tolerance to abiotic stress through the genetic transformation with Anthranilate synthase (ASA2 gene) using particle bombardment.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Plant Materials

Two maize hybrids (Zea mays L.) namely, Sc168 and Sc10 (Agriculture research center) were used in this study. Ears were harvested between 12-14 days after pollination with 1.2-1.8 mm embryos size. The ears were surface sterilized for 5 min in 70% ethanol then for 20 min in Clorox 10%. This was followed by three times rinse in sterile distilled water. Immature embryos were aseptically isolated by cutting the tips of the kernels with a scalpel without touching the embryo.

2.2. Initiation Medium

The embryos were placed on medium N6 (14). Supplemented with N6 salts, 3% sucrose, 2.76 g proline, 2 mg/l 2,4-D, 0.1 mg/l Casein hydrolysate, 10 ml N6 vitamins, 20 g/l Poly Ethylene Glycol (PEG) and 8g/l Agar. The media was adjusted to pH 5.8. Silver nitrate 25 µM was added after autoclaving. Twenty embryos were placed in each Petri. A randomized complete block design with forty one replicates was used. Cultures were incubated in the dark at 28°C. Percentage of immature embryos forming primary callus was recorded two weeks after culture. The developing callus was sub-cultured after 21 days into the callus maintenance medium as for callus induction medium. Two types of calluses were grown (embryogenic, non-embryogenic).

2.3. Plasmid Structure

The plasmid used in this work, pC2ASA2-NOS-ASB 16.6 kb (MTA, Illinois University), (Figure 1) contains a selectable marker (ASA2 α & β) the coding region of kanamycin, gusA, under control of the cauliflower mosaic virus (CAMV). ANOS polyA (nopaline synthase) terminator sequence.

2.4. Maize Transformation and Regeneration

Friable callus was used for particle bombardment. Callus was transferred to osmoticum medium (N6 medium + 36.4 g/L sorbitol and 36.4 g/L mannitol) for 4 hours prior to bombardment. The gold particles (Bio-Rad) (1µg) was used to precipitate DNA onto the microparticles 1µL plasmid DNA (stock 1µg µL⁻¹). Then, 220 µL (stock 2.5 M) and 50µL spermidine (stock 0.1M) were added and homogenized. The mixture was kept on ice for 5 min and vortexed for 5 min, micro centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 1 min, rinsed...
carefully with 250 µl of ethanol and suspended in 40 µL 100% ethanol. 10 µl of the DNA –coated particles were pipetted onto each macrocarrier (washed in absolute EtOH, dried before uses). Bombardments were performed on Petri dishes containing friable callus clump in the middle. Different treatments were designed to test the pressure of the accelerating helium pulse 1100 psi single and double shott).

2.5. Histochemical Detection of GUS Activity

After bombardment calli were incubated for 24h-48h in the dark at 28°C. The best substrate available for histochemical localization of β-glucuronidase activity in calli is 5-bromo-4-chloro-3- indolyl glucuronide (X-Gluc). Some samples of tissue and cells were put in wells of microtiter plate. X-Gluc solution were added which contains 380 µl 1M Na, 620 µl 1M NaH₂PO₄, 200 µl 0.5 mM EDTA, 1000 µl 0.5 mM K ferricyanid 16), e, 1000µl 0.5 mM K ferrocyanide, X-Gluc (10 mg in 40 µl of DMF) and 6760 µl water. Vacuum for 5-10 min, avoid air bubbles and floating samples, incubate on 37°C overnight, sealed with parafilm and then photographed.

2.6. Selection and Regeneration of Transformants

After bombardment, explants were kept in dark at 28°C for 7-10 days then transferred to selection medium with inhibitor 6- methyl D-L-tryptophan with concentration 100 µM. After three cycles of selection, transformed calluses were transferred for regeneration medium. The surviving callus was transferred to regeneration medium MS medium [15]. Supplemented with 1ml/L (1000X) MS vitamin stock, 100mg/Lmyo-inositol, 60 g/Sucrose, 3g/L gelrite, pH 5.8, after autoclaving 100 mg/mL of 6MT was added. The calluses were incubated for 2 weeks at 25°C in the dark. After 2 weeks, transformed green calluses were transferred to the light on Regeneration medium (II) which is the same for MSI except MSII supplemented with 30 g/L sucrose.

2.7. HPLC Analysis

Tissue samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −70°C until analyzed. Samples were ground frozen into a coarse powder and approximately 100 mg of tissue was homogenized with 0.1 n HCl (2 mL g⁻¹ tissue) in a microfuge tube using a plastic pellet pestle (Kontes Glass). The sample was then frozen in liquid nitrogen, thawed, and microfuged to sediment debris. A portion of the supernatant was deproteinated using an Ultra Free-MC (10,000) filter unit (Millipore, Bedford, MA) according to the manufacturer's directions. The filtrate was further diluted with 0.1 n HCl as necessary (1:10 for most samples) and 10 µL was analyzed by HPLC by methods similar to that of Berardino et al [16], using a 250- × 4.6-mm Adsorbosil C18 column (Alltech Associates, Deerfield, IL), an isocratic buffer system (85% [v/v]: 140 mmsodium acetate, 17 mm triethylamine, adjusted to pH 5.05 using phosphoric acid, and 15%: 60% [v/v] acetonitrile in water at 1 mL min⁻¹), and fluorescence detection (Kratos FS970; excitation, 215 nm; emission, band pass filter > 375 nm). All free amino acids were measured as described in Brotherton et al. [17].

2.8. Statistical Analysis

Data for callus formation on the initiation were statistically analyzed using the analysis of variance procedures by SAS 9.2 (SAS v9.2; Cary, NC, USA) for a randomized complete design as follows:

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \tau + \varepsilon$$

Where: $Y_{ij}$ is the jth observation of the ith treatment, $\mu$ is the population mean, $i\tau$ is the treatment effect of the ith treatment, and $ij\varepsilon$ is the random error.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Callus Formation on Induction Medium

This study is carried out to enhance maize abiotic stress tolerance by taking the advantage of modern biotechnology tools such as genetic engineering and plant tissue culture. Analysis of variances for the alive calli revealed that there were significant differences between the two hybrids $p \leq 0.01$, while, there were non-significant differences for dead calli (Table 1).

The obtained results showed that the hybrid Sc168 produced 267 alive calli while the other hybrid Sc10 produced 225. The number of dead calli was less in Sc168 (101) compared with Sc10 which was 109 (Figure 2B, 3 and 4). Genetic differences between two hybrids had a different response on the callus formation media.
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After bombardment calli were incubated for 24h-48h in the dark at 28°C. The best substrate available for histochemical localization of β-glucuronidase activity in calli is 5-bromo-4-chloro-3- indolyl glucuronide (X-Gluc). Some samples of tissue and cells were put in wells of microtiter plate. X-Gluc solution were added which contains 380 µl 1M Na, 620 µl 1M NaH₂PO₄, 200 µl 0.5 mM EDTA, 1000 µl 0.5 mM K ferricyanid 16), e, 1000µl 0.5 mM K ferrocyanide, X-Gluc (10 mg in 40 µl of DMF) and 6760 µl water. Vacuum for 5-10 min, avoid air bubbles and floating samples, incubate on 37°C overnight, sealed with parafilm and then photographed.

2.6. Selection and Regeneration of Transformants

After bombardment, explants were kept in dark at 28°C for 7-10 days then transferred to selection medium with inhibitor 6- methyl D-L-tryptophan with concentration 100 µM. After three cycles of selection, transformed calluses were transferred for regeneration medium. The surviving callus was transferred to regeneration medium MS medium [15]. Supplemented with 1ml/L (1000X) MS vitamin stock, 100mg/Lmyo-inositol, 60 g/Sucrose, 3g/L gelrite, pH 5.8, after autoclaving 100 mg/mL of 6MT was added. The calluses were incubated for 2 weeks at 25°C in the dark. After 2 weeks, transformed green calluses were transferred to the light on Regeneration medium (II) which is the same for MSI except MSII supplemented with 30 g/L sucrose.

2.7. HPLC Analysis
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3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Callus Formation on Induction Medium

This study is carried out to enhance maize abiotic stress tolerance by taking the advantage of modern biotechnology tools such as genetic engineering and plant tissue culture. Analysis of variances for the alive calli revealed that there were significant differences between the two hybrids $p \leq 0.01$, while, there were non-significant differences for dead calli (Table 1).

The obtained results showed that the hybrid Sc168 produced 267 alive calli while the other hybrid Sc10 produced 225. The number of dead calli was less in Sc168 (101) compared with Sc10 which was 109 (Figure 2B, 3 and 4). Genetic differences between two hybrids had a different response on the callus formation medium.

Figure 2. The different growth stages for embryo cultured on hybrids Sc10 and Sc168 as follow: A1, A2: planting of immature embryo for Sc10 and Sc168 respectively; B1, B2: alive and dead callus; C1, C2: calli on selection media; D1, D2: calli on regeneration media.
Optimizing tissue culture protocols for maize is considered as the starting point for any genetic engineering program. It is important to detect the performance of plant genotypes on culture media in order to select the tissue culture media of high potential to plant regeneration in vitro. Maize genetic transformation basically determined by the capability of transformed tissues to proliferate and regenerate into whole plants [18]. Obtaining regenerated plant from the tissue culture of maize crop was reported earlier [19]. Existing efforts were made towards successful medium ingredients for explant regenerated into whole plant [20, 21] used an artificial medium for the generation and growth of monocotyledonous plants in vitro. Previous studies showed that immature embryos of maize and other cereals have been the preferred explant for in vitro culture and plant regeneration [22, 23].

### Table 1. Analysis of variance for alive and dead calli under selection of two maize hybrids; Sc168 and Sc10.

| S.O.V. | D.F | Mean of Squares | Alive calli | Dead calli |
|-------|-----|-----------------|-------------|------------|
| Hybrids | 1   | 48.80*          | 15.84ns     |            |
| Error   | 80  | 10.55           | 20.05       |            |

ns: not significant.
*: significant at level (0.5)

3.2. Maize Genetic Transformation with Micro Projectile Bombardment

A total of 32 plates containing maize somatic embryogenic calli type II were subjected to particle bombardment with a transformation vector C2ASA2-NOS-ASB (Figure 1). Single and double shot were used on embryogenic calli with 1100 psi. Calli of the two hybrids were transferred to selective medium with 100µM 6MT for selections as shown in Figure 2C. Regarding response of calli under selection, calculation of dead and alive calli were performed every 21 days for four times. The number of alive calli were 133 and 63 in Sc168 and Sc10, respectively. On the other hand, dead calli were 123 in Sc168 and 70 in Sc10 (Figure 5 and 6). Calli on regeneration media of the two hybrids, Sc168 showed more regeneration efficiency than Sc10 (Figure 2D).

It is possible to introduce and express DNA stably in nearly 150 different plant species [24]. Microprojectile bombardment was reported to be the most suitable techniques for maize transformation [25, 26]. Protocol improvement for maize transformation to increase the maize transformation efficiency and regeneration was performed [27]. It is important to highlight that transgenic maize tools has developed an important techniques to improve food and agronomic quality [28].

3.3. Transgenic Plants and Gus Activity

Gus gene is selectable marker introduced into a cell in culture, that act as a trait appropriate for selection. In the present study the histochemical analysis was made in obtained calli. The GUS activity Gus expression was detected after 48 h in bombardment calli. The visualized blue spots were observed and photographed to confirm the insertion of the constructs (Figure 7). The degree of efficiency of Gus expression was higher in maize hybrid Sc 168 calli, which received double projectile shots at 1100 psi (95%) than in calli in hybrid Sc10 subjected to only one shot (82%). Within hybrid Sc10 calli subjected to double shots showed strong Gus expression than the single shot ones. The findings were with agreement with many authors [29-31].
3.4. Amino Acid Profile for Green Calli

The green calli for two hybrids were tested for tryptophan content by amino acid analyzer. Green calli for hybrid Sc168 showed increase in the amount of tryptophan which were 29.210 for single shot and 29.309 for double shot respectively compared with hybrid Sc10 was 29.174 for single shot and 29.265 for double shot while control showed low level of tryptophan content with 29.162 (Table 2). Wakasa et al. [32] found high level of tryptophan in rice transgenic plants 143 nmol trp g⁻¹ with AS gene α –subunit compared with control was 33 nmol trp g⁻¹.

Table 2. Tryptophan content in green calli for two Varieties.

| Varieties   | Single shot | Double shot |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Variety Sc168 | 29.210      | 29.309      |
| Variety Sc10  | 29.174      | 29.265      |
| Control              | 29.162      | 29.162      |

In the last few years, developments in genetic transformation technologies have made it possible to alter, modify and insert foreign genes at specific sites in chromosomes of the Zea maize [33-35]. Plant breeding programs needs to establish optimal tissue culture protocols for maize and other crops to assist genetic improvements using traditional and modern biotechnology tools.

4. Conclusion

Genetic differences in response to the tissue culture media and genetic transformation between the two studied maize hybrids were shown. For callus formation on induction media hybrid Sc168 produced more alive calli and less dead calli than the hybrid Sc10. Green calli for hybrid Sc168 showed increase in the amount of tryptophan using double shot compared with hybrid S10. Enhancing maize abiotic stress tolerance by taking the advantage of modern biotechnology tools such as genetic engineering and plant tissue culture are recommended in maize breeding programs.
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