Altered (neo-) lacto series glycolipid biosynthesis impairs α2-6 sialylation on N-glycoproteins in ovarian cancer cells

Shahidul Alam1,2*, Merrina Anugraham1,*, Yen-Lin Huang1, Reto S. Kohler1, Timm Hettich3, Katharina Winkelbach1, Yasmin Grether1, Mónica Núñez López1, Nailia Khasbiullina4, Nicolai V. Bovin4, Götz Schlotterbeck3 & Francis Jacob1,2

The (neo-) lacto series glycosphingolipids (nsGSLs) comprise of glycan epitopes that are present as blood group antigens, act as primary receptors for human pathogens and are also increasingly associated with malignant diseases. Beta-1, 3-N-acetyl-glucosaminyl-transferase 5 (B3GNT5) is suggested as the key glycosyltransferase for the biosynthesis of nsGSLs. In this study, we investigated the impact of CRISPR-Cas9-mediated gene disruption of B3GNT5 (ΔB3GNT5) on the expression of glycosphingolipids and N-glycoproteins by utilizing immunostaining and glycomics-based PGC-UHPLC-ESI-QTOF-MS/MS profiling. ΔB3GNT5 cells lost nsGSL expression coinciding with reduction of α2-6 sialylation on N-glycoproteins. In contrast, disruption of B4GALNT1, a glycosyltransferase for ganglio series GSLs did not affect α2-6 sialylation on N-glycoproteins. We further profiled all known α2-6 sialyltransferase-encoding genes and showed that the loss of α2-6 sialylation is due to silencing of ST6GAL1 expression in ΔB3GNT5 cells. These results demonstrate that nsGSLs are part of a complex network affecting N-glycosylation in ovarian cancer cells.

Glycosphingolipids (GSLs) have been shown to be essential in a wide variety of biological events - such as cell signalling, modification of insulin and EGF-receptor activities, and modulation of Notch ligand activity in Drosophila1. They also interact with well-known proteins such as EGFR2, TGFβ1R3, and VEGFR4 in various malignancies. GSLs are usually divided into two major families, known as galactosylated or glucosylated ceramides. The latter (glucosylceramide-related glycosphingolipids) is further divided into three major classes based on the action of specific glycosyltransferases; globo- (A4GALT), ganglio- (B4GALNT1 and ST3GAL5), and (neo-) lacto (B3GNT5) -series. Additional elongation of lipid-linked carbohydrate chains is determined by the intracellular localization, which is usually embedded in the endomembrane system and the regulation of specific glycosyltransferases within the GSL-glycan biosynthetic pathway5.

The B3GNT5 gene encodes the glycosyltransferase β-1,3-N-acetylglucosaminyl transferase 5, which attaches N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) to lactosylceramide (Galβ1-4Glcβ1-1Ceramide) resulting in the precursor lactotriaosylceramide (Lc3, GlcNAcβ1-3Galβ1-4Glcβ1-1Ceramide) for synthesis of lacto (Type 1) and neolacto-series (Type 2) GSLs6 (Fig. 1A). This enzyme, together with its associated glycosidic product (Lc3), plays a role in human malignant diseases, embryonic development and cell differentiation. Specifically, Lc3 was shown to be elevated on the cell surface of human pro-myelocytic leukemia HL60 cells6. Moreover, it has also been suggested as a differentiation-associated GSL in the bone marrow of acute myeloid leukemia patients with corresponding elevated B3GNT5 expression6. In mice experiments, the current data on the potential function of B3GNT5 seems rather controversial, possibly due to the use of a multicellular organism and different mutation-generating techniques, thereby limiting biological interpretations regarding its role6-11. Other than
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Figure 1. The heterogeneous expression of (neo-) lacto series glycosphingolipids on normal and cancer cell lines. (A) Depiction of the three major glycosphingolipid series - globo, ganglio, and (neo-) lacto series glycosphingolipids. B3GNT5 attaches GlcNAc to the LacCer synthesizing Lc3, the precursor of all nsGSLs. Glycosidic linkages are displayed next to CFG notated monosaccharides. (B) Representative histograms of flow cytometry data on normal and cancer cell lines stained for paragloboside (nLc4, green) and P1 (red). Grey histogram depicts the negative control. Values within each plot show the mean out of three independent experiments for nLc4 (green) and P1 (red).

La3, it is also known that B3GNT5 is the key enzyme for several GSL structures associated with human diseases such as sialyl-Lewis x 12 and human blood groups ABO and P [based on the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG, http://www.genome.jp/kegg/)]. Nevertheless, the biological function of B3GNT5-mediated GSLs is rather limited in the context of cancer and remains to be explained.

In this study, we successfully created a site-specific and heritable B3GNT5 knockout in human cancer cell lines. By utilizing the CRISPR Cas9 technology, we established an experimental tool for studying the function of B3GNT5-mediated GSLs, namely the entire (neo-) lacto series (nsGSL). In addition, we also performed a glycomics profiling using mass spectrometry to evaluate the effects of this GSL gene knockout on the entire glycome repertoire of membrane proteins and lipids. The specific glycan alterations described in this study are consistent in two ovarian cancer cell lines and seem to be specific for B3GNT5. It is envisioned that this gene-editing technology will serve as a useful platform to facilitate the downstream investigation of B3GNT5 and its regulation of both GSL and protein glycosylation in cancer development and progression.

Results
(Neo-) lacto-series glycosphingolipids are expressed on cancer cells. As part of our initiative to comprehensively characterize nsGSLs, we have recently reported the presence of paragloboside (nLc4, precursor of P1) and P1 pentasaccharide in tumor specimens and immortal ovarian cancer cells using two complementary methods; PGC-LC-ESI-MS/MS and flow cytometry 13–15. In this study, we extended the profiling of nsGSLs into three distinct groups; Normal (HOSE17–1, FT33-Tag, FT190 and FT237 which were suggested as a potential origin of epithelial ovarian cancer 16,17), Ovarian (IGROV1, SKOV3, BG1, and CAOV3), and Non-ovarian cancer cell lines (Ls174T, HeLa, HCT15, and HCT116). The flow cytometry data revealed a generally lower expression of nsGSLs in normal cells (nLc4 2–12% and P1 1–3%), while all four of the ovarian cancer cell lines displayed elevated expression for nLc4 (25–98%). A distinct expression of nLc4 (5–43%) was observed in non–ovarian derived cancer cells (Fig. 1B). P1 expression was observed only in IGROV1 (27%) and Ls174T (23%) cell lines (Fig. 1B).

Based on their nsGSL expression levels, IGROV1 was selected for genome editing to establish a heritable and site-specific B3GNT5 knockout cell line (AB3GNT5), which was then selected to study the influence of nsGSLs on the glycome repertoire.

Genome editing of B3GNT5 for depletion of nsGSLs and validation using flow cytometry. B3GNT5 is the key glycosyltransferase involved in synthesis of nsGSLs. We utilized the genome editing technology, CRISPR-Cas9, to homozgyously delete an 898 bp genomic region, including the translation start site of B3GNT5 (Fig. 2A). The plasmid pSpCas9(BB)-2A-GFP encoding two specific sgRNA sequences (Supplemental Table S1), was transiently transfected into IGROV1 and tested after 72 h incubation for Cas9 activity, in which an additional band at 309 bp (∆B3GNT5) observed below 1208 bp (wildtype B3GNT5) indicates an active genome editing. Next, Cas9-active cell pools were subjected to single cell sorting (Supplemental Fig. S1) and incubated until further genotyping of single cell clones. The presence of homozygous ∆B3GNT5 was verified by three independent PCRs, which showed the additional band at 309 bp in knockout (PCR_1) and no visible band at 617 bp and 329 bp, respectively for wildtype B3GNT5 (PCR_2 and PCR_3) (Fig. 2B). We identified two homozygous B3GNT5-deleted clones from a total of 320 clones profiled (0.625% efficiency). Despite equal mRNA expression of B3GNT5 transcripts (B3GNT5_1), a truncated transcript length was equally expressed in ∆B3GNT5 cells as compared to wildtype (B3GNT5_2, Fig. 2C). The homozygous deletion was confirmed by Sanger DNA sequencing, showing knockout cells with alleles in varying lengths; (indel) 898 bp and 899 bp (Fig. 2D).

In regards to potential off-target effects, both sgRNAs used for genome-editing did not show off-target effects (Supplemental Fig. S2).
The established ∆B3GNT5 cell line was further investigated for GSL expression using flow cytometry, in which the human anti-P1 IgM P3NIL100 antibody, previously validated by printed glycan array, was utilized to detect the binding specificities to P1 epitope on these cells (Supplemental Fig. S3). The GSL pathways affected by the genetic disruption of B3GNT5 is hypothesized (according to the scheme presented in Fig. 1A) and the binding results were in full concordance with the expression levels for nLc4 and P1 (p < 0.001, Fig. 2E and F). In conclusion, the absence of nLc4 and P1 epitopes confirmed our hypothesis that the nsGSLs were depleted in these cells, whereas ganglio- and globo- series GSLs were not affected by B3GNT5-editing (Fig. 2E and F). In addition, the absence of nLc4 and P1 in ∆B3GNT5 cells was confirmed by confocal fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 2G and H).

Validation of altered GSL-glycans in ∆B3GNT5 cells by PGC-UHPLC-ESI-QTOF-MS/MS. We also utilized mass spectrometry (MS) to investigate the expression of cell surface glycans, which were subsequently altered due to the genome-editing of B3GNT5 in IGROV1 cells. This glycomics-based approach was performed to confirm the absence of nsGSLs due to genetic disruption of B3GNT5. Glycans were enzymatically released from extracted GSLs of parental and ∆B3GNT5 IGROV1 cells and analyzed using negative mode UHPLC-ESI-QTOF-MS/MS. The assignment of glycan structures was facilitated using diagnostic MS2 fragment ions previously described for the analysis of N-glycans released from glycoproteins as well as GSL-derived glycans using negative mode LC-ESI-MS/MS.

The MS profiling revealed three neutral GSLs comprising of globo (Gb3), paragloboside (nLc4) as well as neolactopentaosylceramide (nLc-penta). All three neutral GSL species differed in chromatographic retention...
time, as well as MS² fragmentation patterns facilitating the structural assignment of the GSL-glycans based on the fragment ions arising from various glycosidic and cross-ring cleavages. Table 1 shows the list of GSL-glycans and their relative intensities that were observed in both cell lines. The globo series glycosphingolipid, Gb3, was detected as [M−H]⁻ at m/z 505.1729⁻ in wildtype and ΔB3GNT5 cells and was shown to elute at 12.16 min (Fig. 3A(i) and B(i)). The representative MS² spectra of the precursor ion at m/z 505.1729⁻ showed prominent glycosidic-type fragment ions (B, at m/z 161.0438⁻, C, at m/z 179.0541⁻) corresponding to the Gal-Gal-Glc trisaccharide sequence. The presence of the 4-linked terminal Gal to the inner (Gal-Glc) disaccharide was further confirmed by the characteristic cross ring fragment ion corresponding to Δ⁴A₂ at m/z 221.0644⁻. The second glycan structure, paragloboside (nLc4), detected as [M−H]⁻ at m/z 708.2518⁻ was found to be present only in the wildtype IGROV1 cell line but not in the ΔB3GNT5 cell line. This glycan was shown to elute at 22.60 mins (Fig. 3A(ii)) and the MS² spectra comprised of a mixture of B and Y ions (B₁ at m/z 181.0693⁻, Y₁ at m/z 343.6653⁻) as well as C and Z ions (Z₁ at m/z 528.1928⁻, C₂/Z₂, at m/z 202.0696⁻) that corresponded to the tetrasaccharide sequence, Hex-HexNAc-Gal-Glc (Fig. 3C(i)). The absence of the...
Cross ring cleavage ions characteristic of 4-linked GalNAc corresponding to \(^{2,4}_{2,4}A_3\) at \(m/z\) 484.1672\(^{1-}\) and \(m/z\) 424.1460\(^{2-}\), respectively, further confirmed the presence of the 3-linked GlcNAc residue to the inner Gal-Glc of the tetrasaccharide. The late elution time observed for this glycan structure has been reported in our previous study demonstrating a similar retention time for nLc4 identified from IGROV1 cell lines using PGC-ESI-IT-MS/MS (20). We also identified a third glycan structure at \([M-H]\)^{-} \(m/z\) 911.3309\(^{1-}\) in wildtype IGROV1 which was shown to elute at 22.10 min (Fig. 3A(iii) and B(iii)). The MS2 spectra comprised of mainly B-, C- and Y-type fragment ions (B1 at \(m/z\) 202.0696\(^{1-}\), C2/Y4 at \(m/z\) 179.0537\(^{1-}\), B3/Y4 at \(m/z\) 364.7817\(^{1-}\) and Y3 at \(m/z\) 546.2020\(^{1-}\)) that corresponded to the tentative pentasaccharide sequence, HexNAc-Gal-HexNAc-Gal-Glc corresponding to a poly-LacNAc-type pentaosylceramide (Fig. 3C(iii)). The presence of the \(^{1,3}_{1,3}A_2\) at \(m/z\) 262.0874\(^{1-}\) further confirmed the terminal GlcNAc linked to the internal tetrasaccharide. However, this structure was not

Table 1. Proposed GSL-glycan structures detected on the glycolipid membranes of ovarian cancer cells, IGROV1 (wildtype) and \(\Delta B3GNT5\) cells. Structures were assigned based on MS/MS fragmentation (where possible) and known biological GSL synthetic pathway constraints. All structures were depicted according to the CFG (Consortium of Functional Glycomics) notation with linkage placement. Specific linkages corresponding to Gal-GlcNAc (Type 1/Type 2) lactosamine linkages are also indicated (where possible). Values represent mean relative ion intensities \(\pm\) s.d. of three replicates based on their extracted ion chromatograms (EIC). GSL-glycan structures derived from P1-enriched IGROV1 cell line previously analyzed with PGC-ESI-IT-MS (20) are also shown for comparative profiling using two different MS platforms. Gb3 (Pk), \(\square\) Glucose \(\bigcirc\) Galactose \(\Box\) N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) \(\square\) N-acetylgalactosamine (GalNAc) \(\bigtriangleup\) N-acetylneuraminic acid (NeuAc) Monosaccharide Linkage:

| Type | No | Glycan Mass [M-H]^- | Glycan Structures |
|------|----|---------------------|------------------|
| 1    | 505.2 (Pk) | 6.34 ± 0.31 | 4.72 ± 2.38 | 0.00 |
| 2    | 546.3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3a   | 708.3 (Globo) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3b   | 708.3 (Asia-GM1) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3c   | 708.3 (Neo-lacto) | 4.52 ± 1.58 | 0.00 | 7.64 |
| 4    | 870.3 (P1) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.43 |
| 5    | 911.3 | 1.25 ± 0.48 | 0.00 | Trace |
| 6    | 634.2 (GM3) | 14.18 ± 0.61 | 23.40 ± 4.32 | 12.86 |
| 7    | 837.3 (GM2) | 73.71 ± 2.40 | 71.88 ± 8.13 | 73.11 |
| 8a   | 999.3 (GM1) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.68 |
| 8b   | 999.3 (α2-3 sialyl Paragloboside) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.15 |
| 8c   | 999.3 (LSTc) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.14 |
observed in the MS spectra of the ∆B3GNT5 cell line. Whilst we were able to confirm the absence of nLc4 in the ∆B3GNT5 cell line, the presence of the P1 epitope was not readily detected in both the IGROV1 and ∆B3GNT5 cells analyzed in this study. This could be due to the low expression of the P1 epitope, coupled with the use of different MS instrumentation in this study (as compared to our previous study using PGC-LC-ESI-IT-MS/MS that was performed on P1 – enriched cell populations of IGROV113). Moreover, this study used a larger PGC column inner diameter on a conventional LC platform performed which resulted in less sensitivity as opposed to that was performed on P1 – enriched cell populations of IGROV113). Furthermore, this study used a larger PGC column inner diameter on a conventional LC platform performed previously using PGC-ESI-IT-MS/MS. Apart from the neutral GSLs, two gangliosides were also detected in the MS spectra of both the wildtype and ∆B3GNT5 cells. Both GM3 and GM2 were detected as [M-H]-917 bp including translation start site located at exon 2. (B) Verification of ∆B4GALNT1 cells by DNA sequencing. (C) Representative flow cytometry zebra blot for parental IGROV1 and ∆B4GALNT1 cells. (D) Bar chart summarizing three independent flow cytometry experiments. (E) SNA-staining remains unaffected in ∆B4GALNT1 cells compared to IGROV1 (B4GALNT1). Data are represented as mean ± s.d.

Figure 4. Loss of gangliosides by B4GALNT1-editing does not affect α2–6 sialylation in IGROV1 cells. (A) Depiction of CRISPR-Cas9-mediated B4GALNT1 editing in IGROV1 cells using two different sgRNAs [red; PAM sequence (green)]. In silico analysis revealed a deletion of 917 bp including translation start site located at exon 2. (B) Verification of ∆B4GALNT1 cells by DNA sequencing. (C) Representative flow cytometry zebra blot for parental IGROV1 and ∆B4GALNT1 cells. (D) Bar chart summarizing three independent flow cytometry experiments. (E) SNA-staining remains unaffected in ∆B4GALNT1 cells compared to IGROV1 (B4GALNT1). Data are represented as mean ± s.d.

Isomeric N-glycan profiling reveals concomitant loss of α2-6 sialylation on membrane proteins in B3GNT5-mediated depletion of nsGSL. In addition to the profiling of the GSLs, we also compared the N-glycan profiles of the wildtype and ∆B3GNT5 cell lines to gain an insight into the glycan structural changes, which could potentially be affected as a result of the GSL-related B3GNT5 genome-editing. We have previously reported an increased relative abundance of α2-6 sialylation as compared to α2-3 sialylation on membrane N-glycans of IGROV119. The presence of these isomeric structures in N-glycans can be differentiated based on their retention time on the porous graphitized carbon (PGC)-LC column, whereby glycans bearing the α2-6 sialic acid isomer are less strongly retained on the column and thus elute much earlier as compared to the α2-3 sialylated N-glycans. Likewise, in this study, a similar glycan elution pattern was observed in the wildtype IGROV1 cell lines confirming our previous results (which used another mass spectrometry setup), in which all five N-glycans comprising of the sialylated complex ([M-H]-917 bp including translation start site located at exon 2. (B) Verification of ∆B4GALNT1 cells by DNA sequencing. (C) Representative flow cytometry zebra blot for parental IGROV1 and ∆B4GALNT1 cells. (D) Bar chart summarizing three independent flow cytometry experiments. (E) SNA-staining remains unaffected in ∆B4GALNT1 cells compared to IGROV1 (B4GALNT1). Data are represented as mean ± s.d.

Isomeric N-glycan profiling reveals concomitant loss of α2-6 sialylation on membrane proteins in B3GNT5-mediated depletion of nsGSL. In addition to the profiling of the GSLs, we also compared the N-glycan profiles of the wildtype and ∆B3GNT5 cell lines to gain an insight into the glycan structural changes, which could potentially be affected as a result of the GSL-related B3GNT5 genome-editing. We have previously reported an increased relative abundance of α2-6 sialylation as compared to α2-3 sialylation on membrane N-glycans of IGROV119. The presence of these isomeric structures in N-glycans can be differentiated based on their retention time on the porous graphitized carbon (PGC)-LC column, whereby glycans bearing the α2-6 sialic acid isomer are less strongly retained on the column and thus elute much earlier as compared to the α2-3 sialylated N-glycans. Likewise, in this study, a similar glycan elution pattern was observed in the wildtype IGROV1 cell lines confirming our previous results (which used another mass spectrometry setup), in which all five N-glycans comprising of the sialylated complex ([M-H]-917 bp including translation start site located at exon 2. (B) Verification of ∆B4GALNT1 cells by DNA sequencing. (C) Representative flow cytometry zebra blot for parental IGROV1 and ∆B4GALNT1 cells. (D) Bar chart summarizing three independent flow cytometry experiments. (E) SNA-staining remains unaffected in ∆B4GALNT1 cells compared to IGROV1 (B4GALNT1). Data are represented as mean ± s.d.

In order to confirm the reduction of α2-6 sialylation on membrane glycoproteins, we performed a staining using Sambucus nigra agglutinin lectin (SNA), which has been shown to bind preferentially to sialic acid attached to terminal galactose in via α2-6 linkage20. The parental IGROV1 revealed positive staining (37% ± 6% FITC+).
for α2-6 Neu5Ac whereas for both ∆B3GNT5 cells, the expression of α2-6–linked Neu5Ac was significantly reduced (KO_1 = 2% ± 1.7% and KO_2 = 6% ± 2.6% FITC+) (p < 0.01; Fig. 3F), thereby confirming the loss of membrane protein α2-6 sialylation.

α2-6 sialylation is not affected in B4GALNT1 ganglioside-depleted IGROV1 cells. Our current data demonstrate that the deletion of B3GNT5 and consequently, the loss of nsGSLs, have unexpectedly led to the observation that α2-6 sialylation is reduced on N-glycosylated proteins. To investigate whether this effect can be also observed when other GSL series are genome-edited, we utilized the CRISPR-Cas9 to homozygously delete the B4GALNT1 gene encoding for the beta-1,4-N-acetyl-galactosaminyltransferase-1 glycosyltransferase involved in the synthesis of gangliosides. IGROV1 cells were transfected with two sgRNA Cas9-encoding constructs to delete exon 2 of B4GALNT1, resulting in a 917 bp deletion (Fig. 4A). Clones identified as homozygously edited B4GALNT1 knockout cells (∆B4GALNT1) were confirmed by DNA sequencing based on the deletion of 916 bp (Fig. 4B). Following measurement of GSL expression, as expected, we observed a significant reduction for α2-6 neuraminidase (with SNA) and nLc4; unstained (white), neuraminidase treated (dark gray) and untreated cells (light gray). (E) Histogram for IGROV1 cells treated with neuraminidase and stained for α2-6 neuraminic acid (with SNA) and nLc4; unstained (white), neuraminidase treated (dark gray) and untreated cells (light gray). (F) Relative expression of genes encoding glycosyltransferase known to attach α2-6 neuraminic acid to glycoproteins and glycosphingolipids. Bar chart shows the mean and standard deviation of three independent experiments of target genes (ST6GALNAC1-6, ST6GAL1, ST6GAL2, and B3GNT5) in wildtype (IGROV1 and SKOV3) and corresponding ∆B3GNT5 cells. Data are represented as mean ± s.d.
GM1 (p < 0.01) and no change in the expression of globoside Gb3 (Fig. 4C and D). In line with a previous report showing appearance of nLc4 after sialidase treatment in ganglioside-depleted mice21, we found that nLc4 and P1 are significantly elevated in ΔB4GALNT1 cells (p < 0.01, Fig. 4D). In regards to α2-6 sialylation, we did not observe a difference in SNA staining to IGROV1 cells compared to ΔB4GALNT1 cells (p > 0.05, Fig. 4E). Taken together, CRISPR-Cas9 mediated disruption of B4GALNT1 leading to loss of gangliosides did not subsequently alter α2-6 sialylation on glycosylated proteins in contrast to that observed for ΔB3GNT5 cells.

Loss of α2-6 sialylation is a result of silenced ST6GAL1 expression in ΔB3GNT5 cells. The reduction of α2-6 sialylation in ΔB3GNT5 IGROV1 cells, prompted us to investigate whether this observation is constrained to a specific cell line or reproducible in another ovarian cancer cell line. Thus, we applied the genome-editing strategy as reported for IGROV1 (Figs 2A and 5A) in SKOV3 cells, which were chosen based on their expression of nLc4 and α2-6 sialic acid (Figs 1B and 5B). In contrast, Ls174T being positive for nLc4 and P1, did not show α2-6 sialylation and was therefore not selected for genome-editing (Supplemental Fig. S4A). Analysis of the GSL expression in parental SKOV3 and corresponding ΔB3GNT5 cells revealed only marginal changes for Gb3 and nLc4, whereas SNA staining was significantly reduced (p = 0.0232). This confirms what was previously observed in ΔB3GNT5 IGROV1 cells, and further indicates that the disruption of B3GNT5 leads to a reduction in α2-6 sialylation and may be a cell line-independent phenomenon (Fig. 5B and C).

It is interesting to note, however, that we did not observe any reduction of nLc4 in SKOV3 ΔB3GNT5 cells (Fig. 5C). Thus, we hypothesized that besides GSLs, the antibody may also recognize the terminal nLc epitope (Galβ1-4GlcNAc) on N- and O-glycoproteins carrying Type II LacNAc (Galβ1-4GlcNAc) terminated antennae and therefore, the binding levels in SKOV3 ΔB3GNT5 cells remained unchanged. To analyze whether the antibody-binding epitope is also carried on cell surface proteins, we treated SKOV3, SKOV3 ΔB3GNT5, and IGROV1 cells with Proteinase K and performed staining for nLc4, SNA, and CD44. The latter was used as a control antigen (sensitive to Proteinase K treatment). As shown in Fig. 5D, cells subjected to Proteinase K treatment showed a complete loss of CD44 epitope, an ubiquitously expressed membrane protein, indicating that proteins on the cell surface are fully digested. In contrast, SKOV3 and SKOV3 ΔB3GNT5 cells showed reduction of nLc4 staining after Proteinase K treatment whereas IGROV1 cells remained positive after nLc4 staining. This suggests that the nLc4 epitope was potentially present on cell surface proteins on SKOV3 as well as Ls174T cells (Supplemental Fig. S4B). In regards to α2-6 sialylation, Proteinase K treated cells showed only marginal reduction in SNA staining (Fig. 5D). Finally, IGROV1 cells (no reduction upon Proteinase K treatment for nLc4) were also treated with a broad-specificity neuraminidase to remove α2-6/2-3-linked Neu5Ac, resulting in the reduction of SNA staining (Fig. 5E). This observation corroborated with a further increase in nLc4 staining, indicating that nLc4 on GSLs is terminated with Neu5Ac to form sialyl-3 or 6-paragloboside GSLs in IGROV1 cells and is further exposed on the cell surface upon de-sialylation. Taken together, our results provide evidence that apart from nLc4 expression on GSLs, the anti-nLc4 antibody epitope is also preferentially expressed on glycoproteins in SKOV3 cells, as indicated by the sustained presence of nLc4 in SKOV3 ΔB3GNT5 cells and terminal nLc4 in GSLs may further be modified to form sialo-paraglobosides, as observed in IGROV1 cells.

Next, we investigated whether mRNA expression of genes encoding sialyltransferases involved in the synthesis of α2-6-sialoglycans is altered upon deletion of B3GNT5. RT-qPCR was established in concordance with MIQE guidelines22 and glyco-gene expression was normalized to the geometric mean of three independent reference genes (Supplemental Table S2). We profiled ST6GALNAC1-6 and ST6GAL1-2 in parental IGROV1 and SKOV3 as
| Type                        | No | Glycan Mass [M-H] \(^{-}\) | [M-2H] \(^{2-}\) | Glycan Structures | PGC-ESI-QTOF-MS/MS IGROV1 | PGC-ESI-IT-MS/MS IGROV1 (Anugraham M, et al, 2014) |
|-----------------------------|----|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| **N-glycans -High Mannose**|    |                             |                   |                  |                          |                                                  |
| 1                           | 1  | 1235.4                      | 617.2             | ![image]         | 4.15 ± 0.11              | 5.54 ± 0.16                                      |
| 2                           | 2  | 1397.6                      | 698.3             | ![image]         | 5.31 ± 0.24              | 4.88 ± 0.64                                      |
| 3                           | 3  | 1559.6                      | 779.3             | ![image]         | 9.13 ± 0.27              | 9.48 ± 1.21                                      |
| 4                           | 4  | 1721.6                      | 860.3             | ![image]         | 19.19 ± 1.15             | 20.85 ± 1.57                                     |
| 5                           | 5  | 1883.8                      | 941.4             | ![image]         | 23.48 ± 2.02             | 22.29 ± 0.79                                     |
| 6                           | 6  | 2045.6                      | 1022.3            | ![image]         | 1.88 ± 0.21              | 1.73 ± 0.52                                      |
|                            | 7  | 1567.6                      | 783.3             | ![image]         | 0.00                     | 0.00                                             |
|                            | 8  | 1584.6                      | 791.8             | ![image]         | 0.00                     | 0.00                                             |
|                            | 9  | 1600.6                      | 799.8             | ![image]         | 0.63 ± 0.01              | 0.83 ± 0.12                                      |
|                            | 10 | 1713.6                      | 856.3             | ![image]         | 0.00                     | 0.00                                             |
|                            | 11 | 1729.6                      | 864.3             | ![image]         | 0.00                     | 0.00                                             |
|                            | 12 | 1746.6                      | 872.8             | ![image]         | 0.00                     | 0.00                                             |
|                            | 13a| 1875.6                      | 937.3 (α2-6)      | ![image]         | 0.65 ± 0.03              | 0.00                                             |
|                            | 13b| 1875.6                      | 937.3 (α2-3)      | ![image]         | 0.42 ± 0.05              | 0.74 ± 0.07                                      |
|                            | 14a| 1891.6                      | 945.3 (α2-6)      | ![image]         | 1.34 ± 0.09              | 0.00                                             |
|                            | 14b| 1891.6                      | 945.3 (α2-3)      | ![image]         | 0.67 ± 0.05              | 1.33 ± 0.17                                      |

Continued
| Type | No | Glycan Mass [M-H]⁻ | [M-2H]²⁻ | Glycan Structures | PGC-ESI-QTOF-MS/MS | PGC-ESI-IT-MS/MS | IGROV1 (Anugraham M, et al., 2014) |
|------|----|-------------------|----------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
|      | 15 | 1463.6            | 731.2    |                  | 1.25 ± 0.20       | 1.36 ± 0.35      | 0.74 ± 0.03                 |
|      | 16 | 1625.6            | 812.3    |                  | 0.00              | 0.14 ± 0.25      | 0.64 ± 0.14                 |
|      | 17 | 1641.6            | 820.3    |                  | 0.62 ± 0.01       | 1.16 ± 0.18      | 1.59 ± 0.62                 |
|      | 18 | 1666.4            | 832.8    |                  | 0.00              | 0.00             | 1.22 ± 0.40                 |
|      | 19 | 1682.6            | 840.8    |                  | 0.00              | 0.00             | 0.12 ± 0.05                 |
|      | 20 | 1771.8            | 885.4    |                  | 0.00              | 0.00             | 0.00                        |
|      | 21 | 1787.6            | 893.3    |                  | 1.99 ± 0.05       | 3.48 ± 0.47      | 3.50 ± 0.22                 |
|      | 22 | 1812.8            | 905.9    |                  | 0.00              | 0.00             | 0.24 ± 0.08                 |
|      | 23 | 1828.8            | 913.9    |                  | 0.00              | 1.30 ± 0.34      | 0.66 ± 0.12                 |
|      | 24 | 1844.8            | 921.9    |                  | 1.25 ± 0.06       | 2.01 ± 0.09      | 1.37 ± 0.19                 |
|      | 25 | 1869.8            | 934.4    |                  | 0.00              | 0.19 ± 0.33      | 0.51 ± 0.20                 |
|      | 26 | 1933.6            | 966.3    |                  | 1.23 ± 0.78       | 1.15 ± 0.13      | 0.00                        |
|      | 27 | 1974.8            | 986.9    |                  | 0.18 ± 0.31       | 0.00             | 0.79 ± 0.28                 |
|      | 28 | 1990.8            | 994.9    |                  | 6.29 ± 1.36       | 8.23 ± 0.73      | 2.82 ± 0.60                 |
|      | 29 | 2006.8            | 1002.9   |                  | 1.84 ± 0.39       | 1.75 ± 0.61      | 0.31 ± 0.15                 |
|      | 30 | 2015.8            | 1007.4   |                  | 0.00              | 0.00             | 0.14 ± 0.06                 |
|      | 31 | 2079.8            | 1039.4   |                  | 0.00              | 0.00             | 0.00                        |
|      | 32 | 2120.8            | 1059.9   |                  | 0.00              | 0.00             | 0.56 ± 0.19                 |
|      | 33 | 2136.6            | 1067.8   |                  | 2.32 ± 0.27       | 3.44 ± 0.35      | 0.31 ± 0.15                 |
|      | 34 | 2152.8            | 1075.9   |                  | 0.77 ± 0.40       | 1.47 ± 0.95      | 1.18 ± 0.16                 |
|      | 35 | 2162              | 1080.5   |                  | 1.22 ± 0.22       | 0.25 ± 0.15      | 0.39 ± 0.09                 |
|      | 36 | 2236.8            | 1175.7   |                  | 0.84 ± 0.07       | 1.13 ± 0.14      | 0.23 ± 0.02                 |
|      | 37 | 2518              | 1258.5   |                  | 1.88 ± 0.24       | 1.20 ± 0.22      | 0.37 ± 0.10                 |

Continued
| Type | No | Glycan Mass [M-H]⁻ | [M-2H]²⁻ | Glycan Structures | PGC-ESI-QTOF-MS/MS | PGC-ESI-IT-MS/MS |
|------|----|-------------------|----------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|      |    |                   |          |                  | IGROV1           | IGROV1 (Anugraham M, et al. 2014) |
| N-glycans | |                        |          |                  | B3GNT5-edited IGROV1 | |
| Complex Sialylated Hybrid Complex Sialylated | |                        |          |                  |                  | |
| 38   | 1916.6 | 957.8 |          |                 | 0.00            | 0.21 ± 0.06 |
| 39a  | 1932.8 | 965.9 (α2-6) |          | 1.41 ± 0.11 | 0.00 | 1.38 ± 0.38 |
| 39b  | 1932.8 | 965.9 (α2-3) |          | 0.86 ± 0.05 | 1.28 ± 0.24 | 1.86 ± 0.49 |
| 40a  | 2078.8 | 1038.9 (α2-6) |          | 4.96 ± 0.35 | 0.00 | 1.91 ± 0.23 |
| 40b  | 2078.8 | 1038.9 (α2-3) |          | 1.68 ± 0.16 | 3.94 ± 0.27 | 3.23 ± 0.14 |
| 41   | 2119.8 | 1059.4 |          | 0.00            | 0.00 | 0.36 ± 0.15 |
| 42   | 2223.8 | 1111.4 |          | 0.00            | 0.00 | 0.41 ± 0.07 |
| 43   | 2265.8 | 1132.4 |          | 0.00            | 0.00 | 0.06 ± 0.02 |
| 44a  | 2282.0 | 1140.5 (α2-6) |          | 1.35 ± 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.35 ± 0.14 |
| 44b  | 2282.0 | 1140.5 (α2-3) |          | 1.20 ± 0.34 | 2.30 ± 0.06 | 0.30 ± 0.17 |
| 45   | 2297.8 | 1148.4 |          | 0.00            | 0.00 | 0.23 ± 0.02 |
| 46   | 2370.0 | 1184.5 |          | 0.00            | 0.00 | 1.33 ± 0.36 |
| 47   | 2411.0 | 1205 |          | 0.00            | 0.00 | 0.06 ± 0.02 |
| 48   | 2444.0 | 1221.5 |          | 1.30 ± 0.46 | 0.00 | 0.65 ± 0.07 |
| 49   | 2589.0 | 1294.0 |          | 0.00            | 0.00 | 0.03 ± 0.00 |
| 50   | 2735.0 | 1367.0 |          | 0.00            | 0.00 | 0.03 ± 0.00 |
| 51   | 3026.0 | 1512.5 |          | 0.00            | 0.00 | 0.19 ± 0.08 |

Continued
| Type     | No | Glycan Mass [M-H] | [M-2H]^2 | Glycan Structures                      | PGC-ESI-QTOF-MS/MS | PGC-ESI-IT-MS/MS |
|----------|----|------------------|---------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
|          |    |                  |         |                                       | IGROV1             | B3GNT5-edited IGROV1 | IGROV1 (Annugraham M, et al, 2014) |
| O-glycans| 1a | 675.3            | NA      | α2-6                                 | 9.10 ± 2.32        | 13.08 ± 2.43       | NA                |
|          | 1b | 675.3            | NA      | α3-3                                 | 31.55 ± 4.19       | 27.59 ± 2.21       | NA                |
|          | 2  | 966.3            | NA      |                                       | 52.11 ± 2.60       | 52.25 ± 0.59       | NA                |
|          | 3  | 749.3            | NA      |                                       | 0.00               | 0.00              | NA                |
|          | 4  | 1040.5           | NA      |                                       | 7.24 ± 1.26        | 7.08 ± 0.44        | NA                |
|          | 5  | 1331.5           | NA      |                                       | 0.00               | 0.00              | NA                |

Table 2. Proposed N- and O-glycan structures detected on the membrane proteins of IGROV1 (wildtype) and ΔB3GNT5 cells. N- and O-glycan structures were separated by PGC-UHPLC-ESI-QTOF and their structures were assigned based on MS/MS fragmentation (where possible), retention time differences and biological pathway constraints. Structures were depicted according to the Consortium of Functional Glycomics (CFG) notation with linkages (α2, 3 and α2, 6) indicated for sialic acid (where known). Values represent mean relative ion intensities ± SD of three replicates based on their extracted ion chromatograms (EIC). N-glycan structures derived from IGROV1 cell line previously analyzed with PGC-ESI-IT-MS^13,14 are also shown for comparative profiling using two different MS platforms. ○ Galactose ▲ Mannose ▲ Fucoxyla N-acetylgalactosamine (GlcNAc) ▬ N-acetylgalactosamine(GalNAc) ▬N-acetylmuramic acid (NeuAc; sialic acid).}

Discussion

The advent of novel nuclease-based precision genome-editing techniques is starting to revolutionize the field of glycobiology, enabling stable gene editing and potentially unravelling the roles of glyco-related genes and their corresponding glycosyltransferases in mammalian cell lines. This technology was first demonstrated by Henrik Clausen and his colleagues, through the introduction of the ‘Simple Cell’ strategy, to study the role of truncated O-glycans in malignant diseases^15,16, specificity of anti-glycopeptide antibodies^17, profile and map the human O-GlcNAc glycoproteome^26,27, and produce novel antibodies to defined glycopeptides^28. Whilst a majority of this work is based on O-glycans, there is very limited information on the use of genome-editing for glycosphingolipids (GSLs) and in particular, the nsGSLs. Here, we utilized CRISPR-Cas9 nuclease genome-editing to explore the role of B3GNT5, the key glycosyltransferase responsible for the synthesis of precursor Lc3 that is extended to form the lacto (Type I) and neo-lacto (Type II) series GSLs^8. For the first time, using a combination of genomic-level editing and cell surface membrane protein and GSL-glycan profiling, we provide valuable insights into the complex interplay regulating the expression of linkage-specific α2-6 sialo-N-glycans on proteins as a consequence of GSL disruption. We demonstrate that by mutating B3GNT5, the depletion of nsGSLs consequently affects α2-6 sialylation on N-glycoproteins, not only at the cellular level, but also through the silencing of the corresponding ST6GAL1 gene.

As evidenced in this study, the genomic deletion of B3GNT5 resulted in the loss of the (neo-)lacto paragloboside (Lc4, Galβ1-3Galβ1-4GlcNAcβ1-3-Galβ1-4Glcβ1-1Ceramide) as well as P1 (Galα1-3Galβ1-4GlcNAcβ1-3-Galβ1-4Glcβ1-1Ceramide), a pentasaccharide moiety of which has not been annotated in the KEGG database so far. We recently reported the presence of the P1 glycan in tissue samples^14 as well as on P1-enriched IGROV1 ovarian cancer cell lines^33, serving as a cell-recognition molecule through its binding to naturally occurring anti-glycan antibodies^14,29. The presence of Lc4 and P1 was detected on IGROV1 cells using flow cytometry, in which P1 was confirmed that the levels of nLc4 were reduced, while the globo (Gb3) and ganglio (GM3, GM2 and GM1)-series...
GSL glycans remained unaffected in ΔB3GNT5 cells. The presence of P₁, however, was not detected on the parental IGROV1 cells by the mass spectrometry approach applied, possibly due to the low detection levels of P₁ on non-P₁ enriched IGROV1 cells used in this study, as well as the use of a different mass spectrometric platform. Nevertheless, we also observed that apart from IGROV1, the colon cancer cell line, Ls174T, showed detectable levels of P₁, providing further evidence that P₁ is not exclusively present on erythrocytes30–32. The results obtained herein support that the pentasaccharide P₁ is indeed exclusively present as an nsGSL-glycan on membrane glycolipids and not on glycoproteins.

To date, it remains unclear if nLc4- and P₁-GSL glycans are functionally relevant molecules in diseased states such as cancer and infection or if they are ubiquitously expressed. In regards to pathogenic infections, it has been previously shown that Helicobacter pylori induces B3GNT5 expression and that the ABO(H)/Lewis blood group antigens expressed in H. pylori-infected individuals act as receptors for BabA, thereby facilitating colonization of the gastric niche33. Likewise, the Shiga toxin from urinary tract infection-causing enterohemorrhagic E. coli was shown to recognize the terminal epitope of P₁, Galα1-4Galβ1-4 following internalization of the toxin by receptor-mediated endocytosis34. Another study also showed that the Shiga-like toxin binds to P₁ trisaccharide on core 2 O-glycoproteins in overexpressed CHO cells35. These findings indicate that this toxin may recognize P₁ pentasaccharide. It is evident that the successful B3GNT5 deletion performed in this study may be further applied to investigate the lectin binding specificities (e.g. Shiga toxin) in other human cancer cell lines expressing GB3, nLc4 and P₁.

Perhaps, the most intriguing finding from this study is the unexpected loss of α₂-6 sialylation observed using mass spectrometry in ΔB3GNT5 cells which was further substantiated by SNA lectin staining on cultured cells and reduced ST6GAL1 mRNA expression. We have previously shown in two separate studies, respectively, that ovarian cancer cells, IGROV1 and SKOV3, have higher levels of glycoprotein α₂-6 sialylation as compared to non-cancer ovarian epithelial cells19 and nLc4 and P₁ are both expressed on IGROV1 and ovarian cancer tissue-derived GSL-glycans. Hence, the reduction of α₂-6 sialylation as a direct consequence of the B3GNT5 deletion in ovarian cancer cells is indicative of their complex associations within the glycan-processing pathway. A recent publication reported a similar finding in the context of the rare autosomal recessive salt and pepper syndrome36. In this study, a homozygous transition mutation in the gene encoding sialyltransferase ST3GAL5 (GM3 synthase) was described. More importantly, in addition to the reduced GSL complexity, the comprehensive glycomics analysis performed using mass spectrometry also revealed altered N- and O-glycans on proteins due to the point mutation in the ST3GAL5 gene. In another study on respiratory chain disorders, the authors observed significant increases of Gb3 and Gb4 and a decrease of LacCer in fibroblasts37,38. Similarly, the appearance of unexpected gangliosides in homozygously deleted ST3GAL5 murine primary embryonic fibroblast cells was also reported38. Despite the limited number of publications, our current study provides another example on how a loss of a specific glycosyltransferase interferes with glycomic changes, as observed in the reduction of α₂-6 sialylation on N-glycoproteins, thereby recognizing the contribution of altered GSL expression in cell development and function. It is not known whether the loss of α₂-6 sialylation is thought to provide a compensation phenotype for the depleted nLcSLs in these cells or enables them to survive despite the glycan changes. It is also unclear as to how the ST6GAL1 is regulated in the context of their translocation within the Golgi membrane or their accessibility to the membrane proteins. It will be useful to further characterize membrane proteins bearing these sialylated N-glycans and observe corresponding changes in the proteome profiles of B3GNT5-edited ovarian cancer cells.

Of note, despite similar observations in two independent ovarian cancer cell lines and due to our carefully designed sgRNAs in a way to minimize the probability of off-target activity; we cannot exclude unintended changes in the genome of our genome-edited ovarian cancer cell lines. Here, an unbiased approach would be necessary to exclude mutations anywhere in the genome8. Therefore, the most reliable method would be whole genome sequencing80. A direct in situ breaks labeling, enrichment on streptavidin, and next-generation sequencing also referring to BLESS44 as well as linear amplification-mediated high-throughput genome-wide translocation sequencing (HTGTS)42 can also be applied as less expensive alternatives to track genomic alterations caused by off target Cas9-activity.

In conclusion, the investigation of CRISPR-Cas9-mediated deletion of glycosyltransferase in ovarian cancer cells, coupled with the use of MS-based glycomics profiling, serve as a useful model for future studies to elucidate the biological roles of nGSLs, an important group of GSLs which may be involved in specific cell physiology functions. More importantly, the prominent changes in N-glycoprotein sialylation detected in the B3GNT5 genome-edited cells are reflective of global alterations in one or more regulatory components essential for glycan biosynthesis, thereby warranting further research efforts into the complex and overlapping roles of glycosyltransferases involved in the downstream glycan biosynthesis pathways.

**Methods**

**Cell culture.** Cell lines (HOSE17.1, IGROV1, SKOV3, BG1, CAOV3, Ls174T, HeLa, HCT115, and HCT116) were grown in RPMI1640 media supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin (100 U/ml) and streptomycin (100 μg/ml) (Sigma-Aldrich, Buchs, Switzerland). Fallopian tube cell lines FT33 Tag, FT190, and FT237 (kind gifts by Dr. Drapkin) were cultured in DMEM F12 (without HEPES) supplemented with 2% (v/v) Ultroser (USG, Pall Corporation, USA) and penicillin/streptomycin. All cell lines were cultured at 37 °C in a 95% humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. Cell lines were short tandem repeat (STR) profiled and routinely tested for mycoplasma infection41.

**Antibodies and reagents.** Primary antibodies to detect the cell surface-associated GSLs were applied as described previously44. Briefly, the following primary antibodies were utilized: rat IgM anti-Pk (Gb3) antibody (ABDserotec, Germany); mouse IgM anti-nLc4 (paragloboside) antibody (clone 1B2 kindly provided by Prof.
Mandel and Prof. Clausen, Denmark)[44], human IgM anti-P1 antibody (Millipore, Germany), and Cholera toxin B subunit (Sigma-Aldrich, Switzerland) to detect GM1. All secondary antibodies (mouse anti-rat IgM antibody conjugated to biotin, rat anti-mouse IgM conjugated to biotin and mouse anti-human IgM conjugated to biotin) were obtained from BD Pharmingen. Cell surface GSL expression was visualized with FITC conjugated to streptavidin (BD Pharmingen). Non-viable cells were positively stained with 7-amino-actinomycin D (BD Pharmingen). Isotype controls for each primary antibody used included the following: purified rat IgM (BD Pharmingen), purified mouse IgM and chrompune human IgM (Jackson ImmunoResearch, USA). Alpha 2-6 sialylation on the cell surface was investigated using *Sambucus nigra* lectin (SNA) conjugated to biotin (Vector Laboratories, Reactolab, Servion, Switzerland). Lectin staining was visualized with streptavidin conjugated to FITC. Anti human CD44-PE antibody was purchased from Miltenyi Biotec (Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) for use as a positive control in Proteinase K treatment.

**CRISPR-Cas9 sgRNA design and construction.** The design of sgRNAs was carried out using the online program available from Zhang's laboratory[45]. This program provides additional information about the quality of sgRNA by giving it a score value of up to 100 and additionally, the number and sites of potential off targets. Designed and processed sgRNAs to edit either *B3GNT5* or *B4GALNT1* (Supplemental Table S1) were cloned into pSpCas9(BB)-2A-GFP (addgene PX458) via BsmBI restriction site using T4 DNA Ligase (Promega, Dübendorf, Switzerland).

IGROV1 and SKOV3 cells were transfected using ViaFect Transfection reagent (Promega, Dübendorf, Switzerland). A total of 4–5 × 10⁵ cells were seeded into 6-well plates, cultured for 24 h and transfected with 2.5 μg of pSpCas9(BB)-2A-GFP. Cells were harvested after 72 h and subjected to single cell sorting.

**Flow cytometry-based single-cell sorting.** IGROV1 transfected with pSpCas9(BB)-2A-GFP targeting either *B3GNT5* or *B4GALNT1* were grown for 72 h, washed twice in PBS and harvested using non-enzymatic cell dissociation buffer (Sigma-Aldrich, Buchs, Switzerland). Cells were then resuspended in RPMI containing 10% FCS before single cell sorting was performed on a BD FACSVantage SE DiVa Cell Sorter (BD Biosciences). Cells sorted for single DAPI' and GFP' cells were seeded into 96-well flat-bottom plates with pre-warmed RPMI containing 10% FCS. Plates were incubated for 2 to 3 weeks following transfer to 48-well plates, prior to genomic DNA isolation for genotyping PCR to characterize single cell clones.

**Genotyping PCR.** Selected clones were characterized by three to four PCRs using appropriate primer pairs (Supplemental Table S1). PCR was performed using 1x GoTaq Green Master Mix (Promega, Dübendorf, Switzerland), 200 nM primer (Supplemental Table S1) and 30 ng gDNA and carried out on a Biometra Professional Trio cycler. PCR was performed under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 94°C for 1 min followed by 33 cycles consisting of denaturation at 94°C for 15 sec, annealing at 59°C for 15 sec, and elongation at 72°C for 15–45 sec depending on the amplicon length. The PCR products were separated on a 1.7% agarose gel.

**Sanger DNA Sequencing.** PCR products were cloned into pGEM®-T Easy Vector System (Promega) according to the manufacturer's protocol. DNA sequencing was performed by Source Bioscience Life Sciences (Berlin, Germany). Samples were shipped at a concentration of 100 ng/μl with specific primer (if required) concentration of 3.2 pg/μl.

**Off target screening.** Potential off target sites were amplified (Supplemental Table S1), cloned into pGEM®-T Easy Vector System (Promega) and sequenced. A total of three colonies per clone were selected for sequencing.

**Reverse transcription semi-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) using total RNA.** Total RNA was extracted from 80% confluent 6-well plates seeded initially with 1 × 10⁶ cells. Cells were then washed twice with sterile PBS and total RNA was extracted using the ReliaPrep RNA Cell Miniprep System (Promega, Dübendorf, Switzerland). RNA was eluted in 60 μl RNase free water and RNA concentration was measured using NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Roskilde, Denmark).

Total RNA (500 ng) was reverse-transcribed using the iScript Reverse Transcription Supermix for RT-qPCR (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Zurich, Switzerland) in a total volume of 10 μl according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RT-qPCR was performed on target and reference genes (*HSPCB, SDHA, and YWHAZ*) in 10 μl reactions containing 10 ng cDNA (initial total RNA), 400 nM forward and reverse primer (Supplemental Table S2), nuclelease free water and 1x GoTaq® qPCR Master Mix with low ROX as the reference dye (Promega, Dübendorf, Switzerland) on a ViiA™ 7 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Reinach, Switzerland). Quantitative PCR was performed on three independent experiments, in triplicates, and analyzed as recently described[46]. Each quantitative PCR was further established with a series of six 10-fold dilutions to calculate the efficacy of each reaction and to determine the optimal range of initial mRNA concentration for analysis (Supplemental Table S2).

**Flow cytometry.** Immuno staining and flow cytometry was performed as previously described[14,15]. Biotinylated SNA lectin (1:500) containing 10 mM CaCl₂ was applied for detection of α2-6 neuraminic acid using flow cytometry (BD Accuri C6, BD Bioscience).

**Membrane protein extraction and N- and O-glycan release of parental and ΔB3GNT5 IGROV1 cell lines.** Membrane protein extraction and glycan release were carried out as previously described[19].
Briefly, approximately 2 × 10^7 IGROV1 and ΔB3GNT5 IGROV1 cells were washed with PBS and homogenized in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA and protease inhibitor, pH 7.4). Unlysed cells were pelleted through centrifugation and cellular membranes in the supernatant was subjected to ultracentrifugation at 120,000 g prior to Triton X-114 phase partitioning of membrane proteins. Membrane proteins were acetone-precipitated, solubilized in 8 M urea and spotted onto polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane spots placed in a 96-well microtiter plate. Protein spots were treated with PNGase F enzyme and released N-glycans were treated with 100 mM ammonium acetate (pH 5.0) and reduced to alditols with 2 M NaBH₄ in 50 mM KOH. For O-glycans, the remaining PVDF spots in the 96-well microtiter plate were further subjected to reductive β-elimination by treatment with 0.5 M NaBH₄ in 50 mM KOH to chemically release the O-glycans. Both the released N- and O-glycans were desalted by cation exchange chromatography as previously described and re-suspended in 15 μl of MilliQ water prior to mass spectrometry analysis.

**GSL extraction and enzymatic release of GSL-glycans from cell lines.** Lipid extraction and release of GSL-glycans were performed as previously described. Briefly, GSLs were extracted from approximately 2 × 10⁷ parental and ΔB3GNT5 IGROV1 cells using a modified Folch extraction method. Cell pellets were treated with chloroform: methanol (2:1) and subjected to centrifugation. The supernatants were evaporated to dryness and crude GSL fractions were re-dissolved in 50 μl of chloroform: methanol (2:1). Approximately 10 μl of GSL standard (neutral glycosphingolipids: LacCer, Glc3 and Glc4) and 50 μl of extracted GSLs were spotted onto the PVDF membrane and treated with 4 μM (2 μl) of Endoglycoceramidase I. GSL-glycans released from the immobilized GSL spots were reduced to alditols with 0.5 M NaBH₄ in 50 mM KOH and desalted by cation exchange chromatography as previously described for N- and O-glycans.

**PGC-UHPLC-ESI-QTOF-MS/MS profiling of released GSL- and N- and O-glycan alditols.** The separation of glycan alditols was performed using an Agilent UHPLC modular system consisting of a degasser, binary pump, auto-sampler, thermostat and column oven (Agilent Series 1290, Agilent Technologies, Germany). The conventional flow LC system was connected to a mass spectrometer (MS) (Agilent Series 6540 Q-TOF, Agilent Technologies, USA) in negative mode and coupled to a jet stream electrospray ion source (ESI) with chloroform: methanol (2:1) and subjected to centrifugation. The supernatants were evaporated to dryness and crude GSL fractions were re-dissolved in 50 μl of chloroform: methanol (2:1). Approximately 10 μl of GSL standard (neutral glycosphingolipids: LacCer, Glc3 and Glc4) and 50 μl of extracted GSLs were spotted onto the PVDF membrane and treated with 4 μM (2 μl) of Endoglycoceramidase I. GSL-glycans released from the immobilized GSL spots were reduced to alditols with 0.5 M NaBH₄ in 50 mM KOH and desalted by cation exchange chromatography as previously described for N- and O-glycans.

**Confocal fluorescence microscopy.** Cells were grown on polylysine glass slides attached to an 8-well chamber, fixed with 4% para-formaldehyde for 15 min and blocked with 5% (w/v) BSA fraction V (Sigma) dissolved in PBS for 1 h. Cells were then stained with either anti-P1 IgM15 (1:5 diluted with incubation buffer (1% BSA in PBS)) or anti-nLc4 (paragloboside) antibody (1:5) for overnight at 4 °C. Cells were then stained with either anti-P1 IgM15 (1:5 diluted with incubation buffer (1% BSA in PBS)) or anti-nLc4 (paragloboside) antibody (1:5) for overnight at 4 °C. Following extensive washing and 1 h incubated with secondary antibodies (Biotin-anti-human IgM (1:100), Biotin- anti-mouse IgM (1:100)) were added to each chamber and incubated for 2 h at 4 °C. Afterwards, cells were washed with PBS and incubated with Streptavidin-FITC (1:200) for 1 h at 4 °C and counterstained with DAPI (Cell Signaling Technology). Fluorescence images were taken with a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscope (Zeiss, Feldbach, Switzerland).

**Printed Glycan Array.** The printed glycan array was performed as previously described with modifications. Briefly, glycan arrays were prepared by covalent attachment of amino-spacered synthetic glycans to N-hydroxysuccinimide-activated Schott-Nexterion slides. Chemically synthesized carbohydrates with 95–98% purity were used for printing and obtained from Lectinity Holdings (Moscow, Russia) and the Consortium for Functional Glycomics (CFG). The slides were washed with 15 min with 0.1 M PBS (0.01 M NaH₂PO₄, 0.01 M Na₂HPO₄, 0.138 M NaCl, and 0.0027 M KCl, pH 7.4) containing 0.1% Tween-20. Anti-P1 antibodies were diluted 1:50 in PBS containing 1% BSA. The slides with antibody solution were shaken for 1 h and incubated under relative humidity and temperature of 80% and 37 °C, respectively. The slides were washed with PBS and labeled with secondary antibodies consisting of goat anti-human IgM conjugated with Alexa647 (Invitrogen, USA), which was diluted with PBS (1:250). After incubation, the slides were washed with PBS containing 0.001% Tween 20.
Proteinase K treatment. Proteinase K treatment was carried out as previously described. Briefly, single-cell suspension of 2 × 10^6 IGROV1, LS174T, SKOV3 and B3GN75 - edited SKOV3 cells were treated with PBS containing 100 μg/mL proteinase K enzyme (Promega) for 1 h at 37 °C with intermittent shaking. At the same cell density the control cells were also resuspended in PBS without proteinase K. The enzymatic reaction was stopped by adding phenyl methyl sulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) at a concentration of 1 mM/mL and incubated on ice for 10 min. Cells were then fixed with 1% PFA on ice for 15 min and washed twice with 5 mL PBS and pelleted at 1200 rpm for 7 min at 4 °C. Finally, fixed cells were stained with SNA, nlc4 or anti-human CD44 antibody for flow cytometric analysis as previously described.

Neuraminidase treatment. To remove the cell surface neuraminic acids, neuraminidase treatment was performed with α2,3-6-, 8,- neuraminidase (New England BioLabs, UK) according to manufacturer's instructions. Brieﬂy, IGROV1 cells (1 × 10^6) were ﬁxed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS at room temperature for 15 min, and then washed with PBS twice. Cells were resuspended in 200 μL of glycobuffer (1x) containing 25 Units of the enzyme and incubated at 37 °C for 2 h. Cells were washed with PBS and followed by SNA staining and flow cytometry.

Statistical analysis. Experiments were performed in triplicates and comparisons were statistically evaluated with two-tailed Student's t-test. P values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant (**p < 0.001, *p < 0.01, *p-value < 0.05, p-value < 0.1).
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