An Optimized SYBR Green I/PI Assay for Rapid Viability Assessment and Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing for Borrelia burgdorferi
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Abstract

Lyme disease caused by Borrelia burgdorferi is the most common tick-borne disease in the US and Europe. Unlike most bacteria, measurements of growth and viability of B. burgdorferi are challenging. The current B. burgdorferi viability assays based on microscopic counting and PCR are cumbersome and tedious and cannot be used in a high throughput format. Here, we evaluated several commonly used viability assays including MTT and XTT assays, fluorescein diacetate assay, Sytox Green/Hoechst 33342 assay, the commercially available LIVE/DEAD BacLight assay, and SYBR Green I/PI assay by microscopic counting and by automated 96-well plate reader for rapid viability assessment of B. burgdorferi. We found that the optimized SYBR Green I/PI assay based on green to red fluorescence ratio is superior to all the other assays for measuring the viability of B. burgdorferi in terms of sensitivity, accuracy, reliability, and speed in automated 96-well plate format and in comparison with microscopic counting. The BSK-H medium which produced a high background for the LIVE/DEAD BacLight assay did not affect the SYBR Green I/PI assay, and the viability of B. burgdorferi culture could be directly measured using a microtiter plate reader. The SYBR Green I/PI assay was found to reliably assess the viability of planktonic as well as biofilm B. burgdorferi and could be used as a rapid antibiotic susceptibility test. Thus, the SYBR Green I/PI assay provides a more sensitive, rapid and convenient method for evaluating viability and antibiotic susceptibility of B. burgdorferi and can be used for high-throughput drug screens.

Introduction

Lyme disease is a tick-borne illness caused by the spirochete Borrelia burgdorferi [1,2]. The disease is transmitted by tick vectors that could spread by rodents, reptiles, birds and deer [1,2]. In the United States, Lyme disease is considered the most common tick-borne disease and the number of Lyme disease cases has been increasing in the last 15 years [1,2]. Clinical and experimental studies have observed various morphologic forms of B. burgdorferi: spirochete, spheroplast (or L-form), cystic or round body forms [3–5], and biofilm-like colonies [6]. The currently used frontline drugs such as doxycycline and amoxicillin while showing good activity for growing spirochetal form of B. burgdorferi have limited activity on variant forms or biofilm or persistor forms of B. burgdorferi [6,7], which may underlie persistent symptoms that occur in some patients after standard treatment.

Unlike most bacteria such as E. coli and S. aureus that form clearly visible colonies on agar plates, most B. burgdorferi grows poorly as colonies on agar plates, which makes precise quantification of its growth difficult. In liquid culture, B. burgdorferi cells do not provide adequate light absorption for direct optical density measurement. Lack of a convenient viability testing method for B. burgdorferi has hampered antibiotic susceptibility testing and new drug discovery for this organism. Currently, microscopic counting and quantitative PCR (qPCR) or reverse-transcription PCR (RT-PCR) are the main assays for rapid assessment of B. burgdorferi viability. Manual microscopic counting using counting chamber is mainly used to assay B. burgdorferi viability in vitro, but it is very time-consuming and is hard to perform in microtiter plate. Although quantitative PCR (qPCR) could detect very little DNA and is usually applied for B. burgdorferi viability assay in vivo, it is complex, costly, and not suitable for viability assay of bacteria in high-throughput drug screen format. Several rapid colorimetric assays, mostly used to determine bacterial viability in general: fluorescein diacetate (FDA) assay [8,9], XTT (2,3-bis-(2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium-5-carboxanilide) assay [10], MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assay [11,12], fluorogenic dye SYTO 9 (LIVE/DEAD) assay [13,14], Sytox Green/Hoechst 33342 assay [15], and SYBR Green assay [16,17]. The LIVE/DEAD BacLight assay has been used as a gold standard to measure the viability and antibiotic susceptibility of B. burgdorferi [3,6,18]. However, these assays either have limited sensitivity or are cumbersome and cannot be performed in a high throughput format. In the case of SYBR
Green I assay, it has not been tested on B. burgdorferi as a viability assay.

In an attempt to find a more rapid and sensitive viability assay that can be used for antibiotic susceptibility tests and for high throughput drug screens to identify new drugs for B. burgdorferi persisters for improved treatment of Lyme disease, here we compared the above methods for quantification of B. burgdorferi viability in a 96 well microtiter plate format amenable to high throughput screens of drugs and also optimized the SYBR Green I/propidium iodide (PI) assay. We found the optimized SYBR Green I/PI assay to be superior to the other assays including the commercial LIVE/DEAD BacLight assay for rapidly assessing B. burgdorferi viability in a high throughput format. This new SYBR Green/PI assay will be useful for antibiotic susceptibility testing of B. burgdorferi persisters from an FDA-approved drug library in a high throughput format [7].

Materials and Methods

Strain, media and culture

B. burgdorferi B31 strain was obtained from American Type Tissue Collection (ATCC 35210). B. burgdorferi was cultured in BSK-H medium (HiMedia Laboratories Pvt. Ltd.) containing 6% rabbit serum (Sigma-Aldrich). All culture media were filter-sterilized by 0.2 μm filter. Cultures were incubated in sterile 50 ml closed conical tubes (BD Biosciences, California, USA) at 33°C without antibiotics. After 5 days, B. burgdorferi culture (1×10⁶ cells) was transferred into 96-well tissue culture microplate for testing by different methods (see below) for viability measurement.

The method for preparation of B. burgdorferi biofilm using 96-well tissue culture plates was performed as described [4]. Briefly, for generation of B. burgdorferi biofilm, spirochetes B. burgdorferi were cultured in 96-well plates (BD BioCoat Multiwell Plates) for 10 days without shaking. After the 10 days of incubation, biofilm-like colonies were examined by inverted microscope. After the biofilm formation the supernatant was removed and the wells were rinsed with 100 μl fresh BSK-H medium. Subsequently 100 μl fresh BSK-H medium was added to each well for viability measurement (see below).

Microscopy techniques

Specimens of Borrelia cultures or cells were examined on a Nikon Eclipse E800 microscope equipped with differential interference contrast (DIC) and epifluorescence illumination, and recorded with a Spot slider color camera. Biofilm of B. burgdorferi in the 96-well plate was visualized using the Nikon TE2000 inverted microscope equipped with epifluorescence, and recorded by a monochrome camera. Zeiss AxioImager M2 microscope equipped with ORCA-R2 high resolution digital camera (HAMAMATSU, Japan) was used to observe spirochetes stained by Sytox Green/Hoechst 33342 assay (see below).

MTT, XTT, and FDA assays

B. burgdorferi cultures were placed in 96-well microtiter plate followed by addition of 20 μl of 5 mg/ml MTT in PBS or 50 μl XTT solution (1 mg/ml) in phenazine methosulphate (PMS) to each well. The plate was incubated in the dark at 33°C for 2 to 5 hours. The supernatant was removed from the wells and the formazan was dissolved in 100 μl of DMSO for 15 min or in 100 μl 10% SDS in 0.01 M HCl for 2 hours. The absorbance was measured at 565 nm for MTT assay and at 450 nm for XTT assay using HTS 7000 Plus Bio Assay Reader (Perkin Elmer Inc., USA).

For the Fluorescin diacetate (FDA) assay, FDA was dissolved in aceton at a concentration of 10 mg/ml and was used to assess the viability of B. burgdorferi using a modified procedure as described previously [9]. Before each assay, the stock solution was diluted into a 1:10 FDA working solution in MOPS buffer and 10μl FDA working solution was added to each well of the 96-well plate. Plates were incubated in the dark at 33°C for 45 min. Fluorescence at 518 nm was measured with excitation at 494 nm using HTS 7000 Plus Bio Assay Reader (Perkin Elmer Inc.).

LIVE/DEAD BacLight assay

LIVE/DEAD BacLight assay kit was purchased from Invitrogen and the assay was performed per manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, a working solution was prepared by adding 30 μl SYTO 9 stock solution (5 mM) and 30 μl propidium iodide (20 mM) into 1 ml filter-sterilized dH₂O. The working solution (10 μl) was pipetted into each well and mixed thoroughly by pipetting up and down. The plate was incubated at room temperature in the dark for 15 minutes. With the excitation wavelength at 485 nm, the fluorescence intensities at 535 nm (green emission) and 635 nm (red emission) were measured using HTS 7000 Plus Bio Assay Reader (Perkin Elmer Inc.) and the ratio of green fluorescence to red fluorescence was calculated.

Sytox Green/Hoechst 33342 assay

The Sytox Green/Hoechst 33342 assay was performed as described by Cornell et al. [15]. Briefly, 2 μl of a 1:1 mixture of 5 mM Sytox Green and 10 mM Hoechst 33342 was added to

Table 1. Comparison of data of different methods for assaying B. burgdorferi viability.

| Percent of live cells | MTT (OD₅₆₅) | XTT (OD₄₅₀) | FDA (λ_em) | LIVE/DEAD (Ratio Green/Red) | Sytox/Hoechst (Ratio Green/Blue) | SYBR Green I/PI (Ratio Green/Red) |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 0%                    | 0.131       | 2.894       | 26069      | 10.81                     | 0.947                            | 3.49                             |
| 20%                   | 0.132       | 2.894       | 25938      | 10.13                     | 0.943                            | 4.15                             |
| 50%                   | 0.147       | 3.024       | 26134      | 11.35                     | 0.931                            | 5.48                             |
| 80%                   | 0.161       | 3.051       | 29136      | 10.75                     | 0.927                            | 7.17                             |
| 100%                  | 0.157       | 2.978       | 30158      | 11.63                     | 0.924                            | 8.03                             |

1 Each value is the mean of three replicates.
2 The formazan was dissolved in 100 μl of DMSO for 15 min.
3 Plates were incubated in dark at 33°C for 45 min before being read. λ_em refers to fluorescence emission at 518 nm with 494 nm excitation.
200 μl of *B. burgdorferi* culture in each well of a 96-well plate. After mixing, the plate was incubated at 37°C for 1.5 h and the Sytox Green (excitation 485 nm/emission 535 nm) and Hoechst 33342 (excitation 360 nm/emission 465 nm) fluorescence was measured using HTS 7000 Plus Bio Assay Reader. The blue (465 nm)/green (535 nm) fluorescence ratios were measured for each proportion of live/dead *B. burgdorferi*.

**SYBR Green I/PI assay**

For assaying the live and dead cells in 96-well plates, SYBR Green I and PI were used for double staining of nucleic acids. SYBR Green I (10,000 × stock, Invitrogen) (10 μl) was mixed with 30 μl propidium iodide (20 mM, Sigma) into 1.0 ml of sterile dH₂O and vortexed thoroughly. The staining mixture (10 μl) was added to each well and mixed thoroughly. The plate was incubated at room temperature in the dark for 15 minutes. With excitation wavelength at 485 nm, the fluorescence intensities at 535 nm (green emission) and 635 nm (red emission) were measured for each well of the plate using HTS 7000 Plus Bio Assay Reader (PerkinElmer Inc., USA). Meanwhile the *B. burgdorferi* suspensions (live and 70% isopropyl alcohol killed) in five different proportions of live:dead cells (0:10, 2:8, 5:5, 8:2, 10:0) was mixed in wells of 96-well plate. The SYBR Green I/PI was added to each well and the green/red fluorescence ratios were measured for each proportion of live/dead *B. burgdorferi* using HTS 7000 Plus Bio Assay Reader. With least-square fitting analysis, the regression equation and regression curve of the relationship between percentage of live bacteria and green/red fluorescence ratios were obtained. The regression equation was used to calculate the percentage of live cells in each well.

The MIC (minimum inhibitory concentration) test with the SYBR Green I/PI assay was performed in 96-well microtiter plate with 10^7 bacteria of *B. burgdorferi* in fresh BSK-H medium containing doubling concentrations (0.2–50 μg/ml) of various antibiotics doxycycline, amoxicillin, metronidazole, and vancomycin, followed by incubation at 34°C for 6 days when the degree of growth inhibition was measured by the SYBR Green I/PI assay in HTS 7000 Plus Bio assay reader as described above.
Results and Discussion

The major impetus for this study is because the current viability assay for *Borrelia burgdorferi* including LIVE/DEAD BacLight test cannot be used for high throughput drug screens to identify drugs that target non-growing *Borrelia* persisters. Thus there is a need to develop such a rapid viability that is capable of this purpose. To identify an optimal method for assaying the viability of *B. burgdorferi*, we evaluated several commonly used viability assays for bacteria including MTT assay, XTT assay, fluorescein diacetate (FDA) assay, and the commercial SYTO 9 (LIVE/DEAD BacLight) assay, and the SYBR Green I/PI assay using live and dead cells mixed in known proportions (see Method for

| Assay          | Microscope counting | Error          | Time (h) | Background signal | Number of cells per 100 μl culture | Microscope counting |
|----------------|---------------------|----------------|----------|------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|
| MTT            | > 10⁶               | ND             | 3−5      | Low              | ND                                | ND                 |
| XTT            | ND                  | ND             | 1.5      | Very strong      | ND                                | ND                 |
| FDA            | 10⁷                 | ND             | 2.5      | Near detection limit | ND                                | ND                 |
| LIVE/DEAD      | 5×10⁶              | ND             | 20 min   | Very strong      | ND                                | ND                 |
| SYBR Green I/PI| > 8×10⁵            | ND             | 48 h     | Very strong      | ND                                | ND                 |

1Number of cells per 100 μl culture. Linear regression analysis (least squares regression method) was used to determine the detection limit of the assays. No linear relationship (r² < 0.9) between cell numbers and corresponding measurement value was observed when the cell number is less than the detection limit. The values for linear regression analysis are the mean of three replicates.

2Percentage of relative error from three time measurements.

3Test time required for completing a 96-well plate assay.

4ND: Not determined, due to weak signal of cells and/or strong background signal from BSK-H medium.

5BSK-H medium was removed by washing prior to LIVE/DEAD BacLight assay.

6Samples were stained with LIVE/DEAD BacLight assay.

Figure 2. Linear relationship between the *B. burgdorferi* viability and Green/Red fluorescence ratio of the SYBR Green I/PI assay. (A) The linear relationship between the number of spirochetes and Green/Red fluorescence ratio. (B) Emission spectra of suspensions of various proportions of live and isopropyl alcohol-killed *B. burgdorferi* were obtained, and the Green/Red fluorescence ratios were calculated for each proportion of live/dead cells. The line is a least-square fit of the relationship between percentage of live bacteria and Green/Red fluorescence ratio.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0111809.g002

Results and Discussion
The results showed that except the SYBR Green I/PI assay, each of the other method had its own defect and could not quantitatively assay the viability of *B. burgdorferi* culture (Table 1).

**MTT, XTT, and FDA assays**

For the MTT assay, after 5 hour incubation, the amount of purple formazan produced was still too little to produce a significant reading for colorimetric detection (Table 1). For the XTT assay, the XTT seemed to be reduced by BSK-H medium itself and produced a very strong false positive background, and the absorbance at 450 nm had no linear relationship with the percentage of live bacteria (Table 1). For the FDA assay, although the relationship between the fluorescence signals and the number of live cells could be obtained, this assay showed low reproducibility (Table 2). In addition, the linear range of the FDA assay was only between 6 × 10^7 and 10^8 cells/ml and incubation with FDA dye in the BSK medium produced strong background signal (Figure 1C). Thus the FDA assay was judged unsuitable and not sensitive enough for assessment of viability for *B. burgdorferi*.

**LIVE/DEAD BacLight assay**

The commercial SYTO 9/PI (LIVE/DEAD BacLight) stain is commonly used for microscopic counting of live *B. burgdorferi* cells [3,6,13] and measuring the viability of environmental bacteria in water samples [14]. However, we found the SYTO 9/PI assay was not suitable for direct quantification of *B. burgdorferi* culture, due to the presence of large amounts of Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) and phenol red in the BSK-H medium which strongly interfered with the BacLight assay (Figure 1A). When SYTO 9/PI was added to blank BSK-H medium or 50 mg/ml BSA solution (the same as the BSA concentration in BSK medium), the background green fluorescence intensity was even higher than that of the *B. burgdorferi* culture (Table 1). This problem suggests that the SYTO 9/PI assay could not quantify *B. burgdorferi* biomass directly in 96-well microtiter plate when cultured in the BSK-H medium. When the BSK-H medium was removed by washing prior to the actual analysis the viability assay worked better but a large number of *B. burgdorferi* cells were lost during the washing step, which severely compromises the utility of the assay for measuring the viability of *B. burgdorferi* when there is a large of number of samples to assay.

**Sytox Green/Hoechst 33342 assay**

Recently, Cornell et al. developed a Sytox Green/Hoechst 33342 assay for assessing the viability of *B. burgdorferi* cells [15]. This assay was used to detect the dead *B. burgdorferi* by directly measuring fluorescence ratio using a microplate reader. We
evaluated the Sytox Green/Hoechst 33342 assay in terms of sensitivity of detection and ability to measure live and dead cells. We found that the Sytox Green/Hoechst 33342 assay performed poorly in the 96-well plate format using known percentages of live and dead bacteria for ability to distinguish live and dead \textit{B. burgdorferi} (Table 1). In addition, the linear ranges for detection were found to be between $3 \times 10^5$ (detection limit) and $10^6$ spirochetes/ml for \textit{B. burgdorferi} (Table 2). This linear range is higher than the spirochetes number of antibiotic-treated \textit{B. burgdorferi} culture ($< 5 \times 10^6$ spirochetes/ml in stationary phase culture) and thus may not be sensitive enough to measure the effect of antibiotic treatment. Another drawback of this assay was weak signal of the blue fluorescence of Hoechst 33342 dye, with the signal/noise ratio of Hoechst 33342 staining being 3.8, which is considerably lower than that of Sytox Green (17.7), PI (11.0) and SYBR Green I (25.0). The fluorescence was too weak to be recorded by the Spot slider color camera (Figure 1F). We could only capture the image of signal channel using the ORCA-R2 high resolution camera (Figure 1F). This weakness could not be overcome by increasing the concentration of Hoechst 33342 dye (data not shown), since the unbound Hoechst 33342 would emit weak green fluorescence at 510–540 nm which could produce false signal for Sytox Green (emission at the 523 nm) stain. The weak signal of live cells with this assay limited the minimum detectable level to $8 \times 10^6$ spirochetes/ml with a significant error rate (30%) (Table 2).

**SYBR Green I/PI assay**

SYBR Green I dye is commonly used for staining nucleic acids in gels in molecular biology techniques and is rarely used for bacterial viability assessment. Although SYBR Green I/PI assay has been used for viability assessment for other bacteria [16,17], it has not been evaluated on \textit{B. burgdorferi} as a viability stain. The mechanism of SYBR Green I/PI assay is similar to the SYTO 9/PI (BacLight) assay where SYBR Green I as a green permeant dye stains all cells whereas propidium iodide (PI) as an orange-red impermeant dye stains only dead or damaged cells with a compromised cell membrane. Thus live or viable cells with intact membrane will be stained only by SYBR Green I as green cells, while damaged or dead cells with a compromised membrane will be stained orange-red by PI. After trying various dyes including etidium bromide (EB), PI, SYBR Green I, DAPI, and their combinations, we found that the SYBR Green I/PI combination was the best reagent for rapid viability assessment of \textit{B. burgdorferi} (Table 1). It is interesting to note that in contrast to LIVE/DEAD BacLight viability assay which produced strong background (Figure 1A), the SYBR Green I/PI stain did not produce obvious background fluorescence with BSK-H medium (Figure 1B). In addition, we also optimized the SYBR Green I/PI viability assay with a range of concentrations (from 0.1 to $100 \times$) of SYBR Green I dye, each in combination with varying concentrations of PI (from 0.1 mM to 5 mM) (data not shown). We found that the best ratio was $10 \times$ SYBR Green I dye with 2 mM PI for viability assessment of \textit{B. burgdorferi}.

We applied the SYBR Green I/PI assay to test serial dilutions of \textit{B. burgdorferi} culture. It showed a linear relationship between the fluorescence ratio and the number of \textit{B. burgdorferi} spirochetes when the concentration of spirochetes is higher than the $1 \times 10^5$ spirochetes/ml (Figure 2A). The green and red fluorescence ratio of $1 \times 10^5$ spirochetes/ml (5.04) was near the ratio of BSK medium (4.82), therefore we determined the quantitation limit of SYBR Green I/PI assay to be about $1 \times 10^4$ spirochetes/ml in 96 well plate. To further validate the SYBR Green I/PI viability assay for \textit{B. burgdorferi}, we used a mixture of live and dead \textit{B. burgdorferi}
cells in known proportions as standards. We initially attempted to prepare the known proportions of live and dead cells using heat-killed \textit{B. burgdorferi} cells, but noted the heat-killed \textit{B. burgdorferi} suspensions gelled or precipitated due to large amounts of serum or albumin in the BSK medium and could not be used as a source for known dead organisms for viability assessment. Then, we found that 70% isopropyl alcohol killed dead cells worked well and thus used such cells with live cells mixed in five different proportions (10^8 bacteria/ml) in wells of the 96-well plate for the SYBR Green I/PI assay. The ratios of the integrated intensity of the portion of each spectrum at 535 nm (green) and 635 nm (red) for each bacterial suspension were calculated. The results are consistent with the results of fluorescence microscope counting, showing that the percentages of live bacteria correlated well with the ratio of green fluorescence to red fluorescence in a linear relationship for the SYBR Green/PI assay (Table 1, Figure 2B).

Although it is not known whether the \textit{B. burgdorferi} biofilm exists in vivo, a recent study has shown that \textit{B. burgdorferi} could form biofilm in vitro [4]. We therefore assessed the utility of the SYBR Green I/PI assay to measure the viability of the \textit{B. burgdorferi} biofilm (Figure 1D, Figure 3). The fluorescence signals obtained in the biofilm assay showed good reproducibility, just as the signals obtained in the planktonic cultures (Figure 3). Using the SYBR Green I/PI assay, we could clearly plot the progression of \textit{B. burgdorferi} biofilm formation overtime (Figure 5). It is worth noting that the biofilm growth (Figure 3) is distinct from regular planktonic growth which could not form morphological structure on the well bottom and could not be observed under 20× magnification used to view biofilm structures. Furthermore, the aggregated biofilm structure on the well bottom was hard to be dispersed in contrast to planktonic growth.

Comparison of the performance of the SYBR Green/PI assay with other viability assays

Table 2 summarizes the comparison of the different viability assays. As can be seen, the MTT and XTT assays and the FDA assay had poor sensitivity or high background and could not be used as an efficient rapid method for viability assessment of \textit{B. burgdorferi}. The commercial LIVE/DEAD BacLight kit worked poorly with very high background. The Sytox Green/Hoechst 33342 assay had lower sensitivity, higher error rate and was more time-consuming (2 hours) than the SYBR Green/PI assay. It is also difficult for microscope counting in that the weak blue fluorescence of live cells were hard to observe with triple filter (Figure 1F), which makes it inappropriate for high throughput screens. In contrast, the SYBR Green I/PI assay was overall the best assay for rapid viability measurement for \textit{B. burgdorferi}

Table 4: Validation of SYBR Green I/PI assay in comparison to microscopic counting for determining antibiotic susceptibility of \textit{B. burgdorferi}.\textsuperscript{1,2}

| Antibiotics     | LIVE/DEAD (Ratio green/red) | SYBR Green I/PI (Ratio green/red) | Microscope counting (live %)\textsuperscript{2} |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Doxycycline     | 9.83                        | 4.35                             | 35%                                           |
| Amoxicillin     | 10.18                       | 5.76                             | 66%                                           |
| Metronidazole   | 10.34                       | 7.12                             | 81%                                           |
| Drug-free control | 10.32                      | 8.85                             | 95%                                           |

\textsuperscript{1}A 5-day \textit{B. burgdorferi} culture was treated with 1 mM each of doxycycline, amoxicillin or metronidazole for 5 days, followed by staining with LIVE/DEAD assay and SYBR Green I/PI assay, or microscope counting (as a gold standard) to assess their capability for antibiotic susceptibility testing. The green/red ratios were obtained for LIVE/DEAD and SYBR Green/PI assays respectively in fluorescence measurement (see Methods). Each value is the mean of three replicates.

\textsuperscript{2}The percentage of live (green) spirochetes.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0111809.t004

Validation of the SYBR Green I/PI assay in antibiotic susceptibility testing

To assess if the SYBR Green I/PI assay could be used for antibiotic susceptibility testing, the \textit{B. burgdorferi} was subjected to standard microdilution MIC determination with different concentrations of commonly used Lyme disease antibiotics doxycycline, amoxicillin, metronidazole and vancomycin in 96-well microtiter plate by plate reader HTS 7000 to determine the green to red fluorescence ratio (see Methods). As can be seen from Table 3, the MIC values determined by the SYBR Green I/PI assay were concordant with the MIC values reported in the literature [19].

In addition, we assessed the ability of the SYBR Green I/PI assay to determine the viability of the \textit{B. burgdorferi} stationary phase culture after antibiotic exposure to 1 mM each of doxycycline, amoxicillin, and metronidazole, in comparison with LIVE/DEAD BacLight assay and microscopic counting (used as a gold standard). We found that the SYBR Green I/PI assay showed good concordance with microscopic counting for measuring viability of \textit{B. burgdorferi} after antibiotic exposure. In contrast, the LIVE/DEAD BacLight assay did not show correlation with the microscopic counting (Table 4). These findings suggest the SYBR Green I/PI assay can be used for both MIC testing for growing \textit{B. burgdorferi} and viability assessment for non-replicating bacteria after antibiotic exposure. Indeed, using this validated SYBR Green I/PI assay, we recently screened an FDA-approved drug library and successfully identified top 27 drug candidates from the 165 hits, including daptomycin, clofazimine, carbomycin, sulfamethoxazole, and cefoperazone that had excellent activity for \textit{B. burgdorferi} persisters [7].

In summary, we have evaluated several bacterial viability assays and found the optimized SYBR Green I/PI assay to be the best method for viability assessment of \textit{B. burgdorferi}. This study is the first to demonstrate the superiority of SYBR Green I/PI assay for \textit{B. burgdorferi} viability assessment to all other current methods including the commercially available LIVE/DEAD BacLight assay in terms of accuracy, convenience, low background, and
speed. The SYBR Green I/PI assay can be used as a convenient and sensitive test for rapid viability assessment in automated format for *B. burgdorferi* antibiotic susceptibility testing and for high-throughput drug screens.

**Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: YZ JF. Performed the experiments: JF TW SZ WS. Analyzed the data: JF WS YZ. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: WS SZ. Contributed to the writing of the manuscript: JF YZ.

**References**

1. CDC (2014) Lyme Disease. Available: http://www.cdc.gov/lyme/.
2. Bacon RM, Kugeler KJ, Mead PS (2008) Surveillance for Lyme disease—United States, 1992–2006. MMWR 57: 1–9.
3. Bronson O, Bronson SH, Seythes J, MacAllister J, Wier A, et al. (2009) Destruction of spirochete Borrelia burgdorferi round-body propagules (RBs) by the antibiotic tigecycline. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106: 10563–10566.
4. Sapie E, Bastian ML, Mpyo CM, Scott S, Ratcliffe A, et al. (2012) Characterization of biofilm formation by Borrelia burgdorferi in vitro. PLoS One 7: e49277.
5. Dierich I, Rauter G, Kirschning CJ, Hartung T (2003) Borrelia burgdorferi—induced tolerance as a model of persistence via immunosuppression. Infect Immun 71: 3979–3987.
6. Sapie E, Kaur N, Anyanwu S, Luecke DF, Datar A, et al. (2011) Evaluation of in-vitro antibiotic susceptibility of different morphological forms of Borrelia burgdorferi. Infect Drug Resistance 4: 97–113.
7. Feng J, Wang T, Shi W, Zhang S, Sullivan D, et al. (2014) Identification of Novel Activity against Borrelia burgdorferi Persistors Using an FDA Approved Drug Library. Emerg Microb Infect July 2, 2014: 3, e49; doi:10.1038/emi.2014.1033.
8. Taylor JP, Wilson B, Mills MS, Burns RG (2002) Comparison of microbial numbers and enzymatic activities in surface soils and subsoils using various techniques. Soil Biol Biochem 34: 387–401.
9. Sun Z, Zhang Y (1999) Spent culture supernatant of Mycobacterium tuberculosis H37Ra improves viability of aged cultures of this strain and allows small inocula to initiate growth. J Bacteriol 181: 7626–7628.
10. Gabrielson J, Hart M, Jarelov A, Kuhn I, McKenzie D, et al. (2002) Evaluation of redox indicators and the use of digital scanners and spectrophotometer for quantification of microbial growth in microplates. J Microbiol Methods 50: 63–73.
11. Wang H, Cheng H, Wang F, Wei D, Wang X (2010) An improved 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT) reduction assay for evaluating the viability of Escherichia coli cells. J Microbiol Methods 82: 330–333.
12. Mshana RN, Tadesse G, Abebe G, Miorner H (1998) Use of 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide for rapid detection of rifampin-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis. J Clin Microbiol 36: 1214–1219.
13. Preeters E, Nelin HJ, Corno T (2008) Comparison of multiple methods for quantification of microbial biofilms grown in microtiter plates. J Microbiol Methods 72: 157–165.
14. Boulos L, Prevost M, Barbeau B, Coallier J, Desjardins R (1999) LIVE/DEAD BacLight: application of a new rapid staining method for direct enumeration of viable and total bacteria in drinking water. J Microbiol Methods 37: 77–86.
15. Cornell KA, Primus S, Martinez JA, Parveen N (2009) Assessment of methylthioadenosine/S-adenosylhomocysteine nucleosidases of Borrelia burgdorferi as targets for novel antimicrobials using a novel high-throughput method. J Antimicrob Chemother 63: 1163–1172.
16. Barbetti S, Czernio S, Lahra M, Baroni MD, Neri MG, et al. (2000) Two and three-color fluorescence flow cytometric analysis of immunoidentified viable bacteria. Cytometry 40: 214–218.
17. Cerca E, Trigo G, Correia A, Cerca N, Azeredo J, et al. (2011) SYBR green as a fluorescent probe to evaluate the biofilm physiological state of Staphylococcus epidermidis, using flow cytometry. Can J Microbiol 57: 850–856.
18. Zambrano MC, Beklemisheva AA, Bryksin AV, Newman SA, Cabello FC (2004) Borrelia burgdorferi binds to, invades, and colonizes native type I collagen lattices. Infect Immun 72: 3139–3146.
19. Hundfeld KP, Brade V (2006) Antimicrobial susceptibility of Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato: what we know, what we don’t know, and what we need to know. Wien Klin Wochenschr 118: 659–668.