Reovirus Forms Neo-Organelles for Progeny Particle Assembly within Reorganized Cell Membranes
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ABSTRACT Most viruses that replicate in the cytoplasm of host cells form neo-organelles that serve as sites of viral genome replication and particle assembly. These highly specialized structures concentrate viral replication proteins and nucleic acids, prevent the activation of cell-intrinsic defenses, and coordinate the release of progeny particles. Despite the importance of inclusion complexes in viral replication, there are key gaps in the knowledge of how these organelles form and mediate their functions. Reoviruses are nonenveloped, double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) viruses that serve as tractable experimental models for studies of dsRNA virus replication and pathogenesis. Following reovirus entry into cells, replication occurs in large cytoplasmic structures termed inclusions that fill with progeny virions. Reovirus inclusions are nucleated by viral nonstructural proteins, which in turn recruit viral structural proteins for genome replication and particle assembly. Components of reovirus inclusions are poorly understood, but these structures are generally thought to be devoid of membranes. We used transmission electron microscopy and three-dimensional image reconstructions to visualize reovirus inclusions in infected cells. These studies revealed that reovirus inclusions form within a membranous network. Viral inclusions contain filled and empty viral particles and microtubules and appose mitochondria and rough endoplasmic reticulum (RER). Immunofluorescence confocal microscopy analysis demonstrated that markers of the ER and ER-Golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC) codistribute with inclusions during infection, as does dsRNA. dsRNA colocalizes with the viral protein σNS and an ERGIC marker inside inclusions. These findings suggest that cell membranes within reovirus inclusions form a scaffold to coordinate viral replication and assembly.

IMPORTANCE Viruses alter the architecture of host cells to form an intracellular environment conducive to viral replication. This step in viral infection requires the concerted action of viral and host components and is potentially vulnerable to pharmacological intervention. Reoviruses form large cytoplasmic replication sites called inclusions, which have been described as membrane-free structures. Despite the importance of inclusions in the reovirus replication cycle, little is known about their formation and composition. We used light and electron microscopy to demonstrate that reovirus inclusions are membrane-containing structures and that the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and the ER-Golgi intermediate compartment interact closely with these viral organelles. These findings enhance our understanding of the cellular machinery usurped by viruses to form inclusion organelles and complete an infectious cycle. This information, in turn, may foster the development of antiviral drugs that impede this essential viral replication step.
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double-shelled viruses that contain a genome of 10 double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) segments (6). Following the entry of reovirus into cells, the outer capsid is removed within the endocytic compartment (7–9), which allows the release of transcriptionally active core particles into the cytoplasm (10–13). These particles initiate a primary round of transcription to produce full-length, message-sense, single-stranded RNAs (ssRNAs) corresponding to each viral gene segment (14, 15). Reovirus ssRNAs can be translated and also serve as templates for minus-strand synthesis to generate nascent genomic dsRNA within replicase particles (16). These particles initiate a secondary round of transcription that fuels most viral protein synthesis (17). Particle assembly is completed by the addition of outer-capsid proteins onto nascent cores.

Viral RNA assortment, genome replication, secondary transcription, and particle assembly occur within specialized virus-derived neo-organelles, termed inclusions, which form in the host cell cytoplasm (14, 16, 18–22). Inclusions can be detected by confocal microscopy as early as 4 h postinfection (hpi) and contain viral proteins and dsRNA, as well as particles at various stages of morphogenesis (23–26). Mature viral progeny are arranged in paracrystalline arrays at late times postinfection prior to viral release (18, 21, 27). It is not thought that reovirus inclusions require membrane for their formation or function.

Most cell lines are readily infected by numerous reovirus strains (6). However, Madin–Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells are susceptible to infection by reovirus strain type 1 Lang (T1) but not strain type 3 Dearing (T3) (28, 29). The primary determinant of this replication difference is the viral M1 gene (29), which encodes μ2, a multifunctional replication protein. The critical μ2-dependent function in the replication cycle in MDCK cells occurs at a postentry step in the viral life cycle, following primary transcription and translation but prior to dsRNA synthesis (29). Further characterization of T3 replication in MDCK cells revealed that particle assembly is defective (30). These studies indicate that events within the inclusion involving the interaction of viral proteins and cell-type-specific factors promote the development of

FIG 1 Ultrastructure of reovirus inclusions in HeLa cells. HeLa cells were infected with reovirus strain T3-T1M1 (A to C) or T3 (D to F) and fixed at 8, 12, or 24 hpi. Ultrathin (~60- to 70-nm) sections were imaged by TEM. Inclusions are marked with white asterisks, RER cisternae are marked with black arrows, smooth membranes inside inclusions are marked with white arrowheads, and coated microtubules are marked with white arrows. T3-T1M1 inclusions at 8 (A), 12 (B), and 24 (C) hpi and T3 inclusion at 8 (D), 12 (E), and 24 (F) hpi are shown. LD, lipid droplet; mi, mitochondria; N, nucleus. Scale bars: 0.5 μm.
inclusions with the capacity to generate fully assembled infectious virions. Thus, the formation of reovirus inclusions is a determinant of virus cell tropism.

In this study, we used transmission electron microscopy (TEM), three-dimensional (3D) image reconstructions, and immunofluorescence confocal microscopy to study the composition and organization of reovirus neo-organelles. Surprisingly, we found that reovirus inclusions formed in HeLa cells are membranous webs in which viral particles are embedded. Using organelle markers, we found that the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and ER-Golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC) are remodeled during infection and codistribute with inclusions. Membrane recruitment also occurs during reovirus infection of MDCK cells, suggesting a function for cellular membranes in reovirus replication in different cell types. These results suggest that reovirus inclusions are complex structures that recruit cell membranes to house functions required for viral replication.

RESULTS

Reovirus inclusions are surrounded by membranes and mitochondria. To define the organization of reovirus inclusions, we performed ultrastructural studies of infected cells. HeLa cells were infected with reovirus strain T3-T1M1 or T3, each of which establishes productive infection of this cell line (data not shown), and imaged by TEM. We observed that inclusions formed by either strain were partially surrounded by ER membranes (Fig. 1). Mitochondria and rough ER (RER) cisternae surrounded and attached to inclusions at 8 and 12 hpi (Fig. 1A and B and D and E). Quantification of imaging data from 53 randomly selected inclusions showed that RER elements associated with all of them. Smooth membranes were frequently observed to be connected with the interior of inclusions (white arrowheads in Fig. 1A). Thick, coated microtubules were detected inside and adjacent to the inclusions formed by both strains at 12 and 24 hpi but were not observed at 8 hpi (Fig. 1B and C and 2). At 24 hpi, inclusions developed into large structures that occupied extensive areas of the cytosol (Fig. 1C and F and 2A and C) with mitochondria and RER cisternae remaining closely apposed. No significant changes in mitochondrial morphology or integrity were observed during infection. Most of the viral particles within inclusions at early times (8 and 12 hpi) exhibited mature morphology, as demonstrated by dark staining inside the viral particle surrounded by lighter staining (Fig. 1A and B and D and E). However, at 24 hpi, empty particles were more numerous (Fig. 2B and D).

Imaging of cells at higher magnification showed details of the membranes and microtubules contained within reovirus inclusions (Fig. 3). RER elements were observed attached at discrete points to the inclusion periphery, but characteristic RER cisternae were rarely observed inside these structures. However, the smooth membranes associated with cytosolic viral particles and seen inside inclusions appeared to be connected to RER (Fig. 3A and B). Occasionally, RER elements on the periphery of inclusions were joined with cubic membrane sheets (Fig. 3C) reminiscent of the membranous platforms assembled by other viruses (5, 31). Coated microtubules were found to fill the inclusions at 24 hpi (Fig. 3D). Both filled and empty viral particles appeared to attach to coated microtubules but not to uncoated microtubules (Fig. 3E).

Cell membranes are associated with inclusions during nonproductive T3 infection in MDCK cells. In contrast to HeLa cells,
reovirus strains T3 and T3-T1M1 differ in the capacity to complete a replication cycle in MDCK cells (28, 29). Although T3 can infect MDCK cells and form inclusion-like structures, yields of viral progeny in these cells are markedly less than those produced by T3-T1M1 (29, 30). To determine whether membranes are associated with the inclusions formed by reovirus in another cell type and to gain insight into the elements required for the biogenesis of functional inclusions, we imaged MDCK cells infected with either T3-T1M1 (permissive) or T3 (nonpermissive) reovirus (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). Inclusions formed in MDCK cells infected with T3-T1M1 at 8, 12, and 24 hpi were similar to those observed in HeLa cells. In contrast, inclusion formation was delayed in T3-infected MDCK cells, consistent with previous observations (29; data not shown). Accordingly, inclusions in T3-infected MDCK cells were scarce at 8 and 12 hpi but numerous and large at 24 hpi (data not shown). ER membranes and mitochondria were associated with inclusions formed in cells infected with either virus strain (see Fig. S1A, D, and E). Vacuoles containing fibers and a few viral particles were observed in inclusions assembled by T3 reovirus (see Fig. S1E). However, microtubules were observed only in the inclusions assembled by T3-T1M1 (see Fig. S1A and B). Inclusions formed in T3-T1M1-infected cells contained numerous mature virions and few empty particles (see Fig. S1C), whereas in cells infected by T3, the inclusions contained numerous empty particles and few mature virions (see Fig. S1F). These data corroborate previous TEM studies of reovirus infection of MDCK cells (29, 30). In addition, T3 inclusions were filled with many smaller particles, which appeared to be subassemblies of macromolecules containing nucleic acid, as shown by a density darker than that in empty particles. These particles were irregular in size and did not have a shell (see Fig. S1F). These findings suggest that reovirus recruits membranes to the inclusions formed in HeLa and MDCK cells.

**Reovirus inclusions associate with ERGIC and ER elements.** To elucidate the cellular source of the inclusion-associated membranes, we stained T3-T1M1-infected HeLa and MDCK cells with different organelle markers (Fig. 4; see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material). Viral inclusions were marked with an antibody specific for the αNS protein, which is an essential reovirus inclusion component (23, 25, 32). ER marker protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) distributed with inclusions in both HeLa cells (see Fig. S2A to C) and MDCK cells (data not shown). Golgi compartment markers giantin and wheat germ agglutinin (WGA) were not associated with inclusions during infection, and the staining patterns of each did not differ between infected and uninfected cells (see Fig. S2D to I). These findings make it unlikely that the Golgi compartment serves as a source of membranes associated with reovirus inclusions. Higher-magnification images showed ER marker PDI in close apposition to viral inclusions at early and late times after infection (Fig. 4A to F), whereas the PDI staining was mostly perinuclear in uninfected cells (see Fig. S2A to C). Type I transmembrane protein ERGIC-53, which has been used in previous studies...
to mark the ERGIC (33), localized within inclusion structures in both HeLa and MDCK cells (Fig. 4G to L), as does the KDEL receptor (see Fig. S2J to L), which marks the ERGIC and Golgi compartment. Collectively, these observations suggest that the ERGIC, a membranous system that functionally links the ER and the Golgi compartment, is a source of inclusion-associated membranes, consistent with our TEM studies.

To confirm that inclusions localizing with ERGIC-53 support viral replication, T3-T1M1-infected HeLa and MDCK cells were stained with a dsRNA-specific antibody (Fig. 4G to L). Staining for dsRNA codistributed with inclusion marker σNS (green), ERGIC-53 (magenta), σNS (green), or nuclei (blue); and visualized by confocal microscopy. Arrows indicate viral inclusions that contain dsRNA, ERGIC, and σNS. Scale bars: 10 μm.

Serial sections and 3D reconstructions reveal that reovirus inclusions are membranous webs that contain microtubules. To understand the global architecture of inclusions and the contribution of cell membranes and specific organelles to the construction of these structures, we generated 3D reconstructions of reovirus inclusions. Oriented serial sections of reovirus-infected cells were imaged by TEM and processed for 3D reconstruction (see Fig. S3 and 4 in the supplemental material). The resulting 3D reconstructions showed that inclusions in HeLa cells are membranous webs surrounded by mitochondria (Fig. 5; see Fig. S3A and B and Fig. S5 in the supplemental material). Smooth membranes of the inclusions were observed to contact mitochondria in a variety of orientations (arrows in Fig. 5; see Movie S1 in the supplemental mate-
rial). RER cisternae also were observed to contact the inclusion membranes and adjacent mitochondria (see Fig. S5 and Movie S2). Microtubules and viral particles were embedded in the inclusion membranous webs (Fig. 5; see Fig. S5A, and B). As detected by 3D TEM, viral particles appeared to be attached to microtubules (see Fig. S5C and D). These features were observed in all of the 3D reconstructions prepared from serial sections of reovirus-infected cells (data not shown).

To analyze the morphology of inclusions formed by reovirus strains that vary in the capacity to complete an infectious cycle, TEM images were processed to generate 3D reconstructions of inclusions formed in MDCK cells infected with strain T3-T1M1 or T3 (Fig. 6). Numerous mitochondria and RER cisternae were observed to surround the inclusions formed in T3-T1M1-infected cells (Fig. 6A). RER membranes appeared to contact the smooth membranes of the inclusions at 24 hpi, which are filled with mature virions, empty particles, and microtubules (Fig. 6A; see Movie S3 in the supplemental material). However, inclusions formed in T3-infected cells do not contain microtubules. In addition, most of the viral particles inside the membranous web in T3-infected cells were empty, and fewer filled particles were observed (Fig. 6B; see Movie S4). RER and mitochondria surrounded the inclusions formed by T3 reovirus. Inclusions assembled during T3-T1M1 infection were frequently observed close to the plasma membrane at 24 hpi. Image reconstructions of those inclusions showed viral particles adjacent to the plasma membrane and attached to smooth membranes, RER cisternae, and microtubules (Fig. 6C to E; see Movie S5). Together, our data indicate that reovirus inclusions are associated with ER and ERGIC membranes and mitochondria, highlighting these cellular organelles as important constituents of viral replication factories.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we demonstrated that inclusions formed by reovirus are associated with cell membranes. The participation of cell membranes within inclusions is a new finding for reoviruses, which previously had been thought to form inclusion complexes devoid of membranes. However, other Reoviridae viruses require membranes to replicate. *Hyposoter exiguae* reovirus (a reovirus of the parasitoid wasp *H. exiguae*) is released from infected cells exclusively by budding (34). Orbiviruses are variously described as being membranophilic (35), pseudoenveloped (36), or transiently enveloped (37). Rotavirus morphogenesis requires penetration of the ER to acquire outer-shell proteins VP4 and VP7 (38, 39). Therefore, the use of cell membranes to promote replication, assembly, or release may be a general feature of dsRNA viruses.

Previous studies of the ultrastructure of reovirus inclusions clearly demonstrate the presence of microtubules, but membranes in association with inclusions have not been described (18, 30). The discordance between our results and those obtained previously might be attributable to differences in the time postinfection chosen for analysis and the strategies used for TEM. In conventional TEM, samples must be thin, <100 nm, for electrons to be able to traverse them and generate a projection image. These ultrathin sections of eukaryotic cells are single planes of much larger volumes. Moreover, when analysis is restricted to random sections of cells, scarce or nonrandomly distributed elements can be missed. Oriented serial sections and 3D reconstructions avoid these limitations and allow imaging of whole cells and a comprehensive analysis of intracellular contacts (5, 40, 41). This analysis was instrumental in our finding that reovirus inclusions are embedded in membrane.

Our 3D image reconstructions have revealed the complex organization of reovirus inclusions and the participation of cell membranes (most likely derived from the ERGIC), mitochondria, and microtubules in inclusion structure. Confocal microscopy studies confirmed an association of the inclusions with the ER and ERGIC and suggest that the Golgi complex does not contribute to inclusion formation. Viral particles appear to attach to coated microtubules and membranes inside the inclusion and remain attached when reaching the plasma membrane. Microtubule coats are likely to contain the viral μ2 protein, which associates with...
microtubules (42), as well as other viral and perhaps cellular proteins. When a complete analysis is performed with serial sections and 3D reconstructions, all of the inclusions identified in both HeLa and MDCK cells are associated with mitochondria, ER elements, and membranous webs. We think that the cell membranes within inclusions serve as a physical scaffold for inclusion formation and organize the reovirus nonstructural and structural proteins required for viral genome replication and progeny particle assembly. In this regard, RER cisternae make numerous contacts with reovirus inclusions but do not surround them completely. The association of RER with reovirus inclusions is reminiscent of the structures of rubella virus replication organelles (43). In rubella virus factories, RER cisternae provide a framework for newly synthesized viral proteins to incorporate into viral replication complexes (43).

Mitochondria are recruited to factories assembled by many viruses (5). In keeping with this general feature of viral replication, our 3D image reconstructions showed numerous contacts between reovirus inclusion membranes and mitochondria. Moreover, new interorganelle contacts have been visualized within reovirus factories. For example, attachment of mitochondria to ERGIC, the Golgi compartment, or lysosomes is not observed in uninfected mammalian cells (5). Mitochondria may be used as an energy source to power viral replication and also supply host factors required for viral genome synthesis and particle assembly, as reported for rubella virus and tombusviruses (5, 43). In reovirus-
infected cells, the transfer of energy and host factors likewise might be mediated by the physical contacts between mitochondria and the inclusion membranous webs. RER also might serve as the source of viral and cellular proteins required for inclusion activities.

The inclusions assembled in MDCK cells infected with strain T3, which enters these cells but does not complete an infectious cycle (28, 29), contain membrane but lack microtubules. This finding suggests that microtubules are required for the functional organization of inclusions and assembly of progeny particles. Moreover, this finding provides a potential explanation for the genetic linkage between the replication differences displayed by reovirus strains in MDCK cells and the μ2-encoding M1 gene (28, 29). The μ2 protein interacts with microtubules and dictates inclusion morphology (42). Concordantly, microtubules are observed in inclusions assembled by both T3 and T3-T1M1 in HeLa cells, which support the replication of both strains (data not shown). Microtubule function might be required to transport essential components to inclusions, organize inclusion content, or compartmentalize inclusion activities. Microtubules also might be required to transport progeny particles to the plasma membrane for subsequent release. It is not known whether microtubules incorporate into small inclusions and enhance inclusion coalescence and growth, as reported for some other viruses (44, 45). The delay in inclusion formation during T3 infection of MDCK cells also could point to a defect in the recruitment or remodeling of cell membranes. We observed membranes in association with inclusions from the initial stages of inclusion assembly in HeLa cells infected with either T3 or T3-T1M1, suggesting that membranes could potentiate steps required for inclusion biogenesis.

An important question left unanswered by our study is the mechanism by which progeny viral particles migrate from inclusions to the cell periphery and exit infected cells. Reovirus exits some cells by lysis (28, 46, 47). However, egress of virus from polarized endothelial cells (48) and epithelial cells (49) is nonlytic. The mechanism by which nonenveloped viral particles are released from infected cells without inducing cell lysis is not known. ER membranes could be used by reovirus to traffic to the cell periphery through some type of vesicular transport pathway that bypasses the Golgi apparatus, as suggested by our 3D reconstructions (Fig. 6). Unconventional vesicular trafficking has been suggested for rotavirus egress, which also bypasses the Golgi apparatus to reach the cell periphery (50). The rotavirus spike protein VP4 is particularly important for this process. Despite not having transmembrane domains, VP4 interacts with lipids and is enriched in lipid rafts (51). VP4 is essential for rotavirus release, as it interacts with actin filaments in infected cells (52). A homologous reovirus protein responsible for interaction with lipids is not known. Reovirus proteins lack transmembrane domains, and posttranslational modifications are not completely defined, although the μ1 protein contains a myristoyl moiety (53). Lipidation of reovirus proteins, specifically at late times after infection, might be required for membrane recruitment and particle transport to the cell periphery.

New technologies now offer access to the analysis of viruses in cells in unprecedented detail. The complexity of the interaction networks established in these contexts is changing our concept of viruses from that of inert chemicals to living entities capable of performing a wide variety of intracellular functions. Reovirus inclusions appear to assemble as membranous replication organelles that are usually associated with mitochondria. However, it is not known how the cellular organelles are recruited, how macromolecular transport operates inside the inclusions to connect viral replication and morphogenesis, and how nonenveloped viruses like reovirus exit the membranous scaffolds and leave the cell. With the information provided by this study, we now can initiate functional and mechanistic studies to identify the signals that regulate the biogenesis and activities of viral inclusions. This work will enhance our knowledge of the cell biology of virus replication and provide potential new therapeutic targets to ameliorate diseases caused by pathogenic viruses.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cells and viruses.** Spinner-adapted murine L929 fibroblast cells were grown in either suspension or monolayer cultures as previously described (54). HeLa CCIL2 cells and MDCK cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium containing 4.5 g/liter glucose, l-glutamine, and sodium pyruvate (Mediatech) supplemented to contain 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 U/ml penicillin G (Gibco), 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Gibco), and 0.25 μg/ml amphotericin B (Sigma). Reovirus strains T3 and T3-T1M1 were recovered by using plasmid copies of gene segment cDNAs as previously described (29). Virus was purified by cesium gradient centrifugation as previously described (55). Viral titers were determined by plaque assay with L929 cells (36).

**TEM.** Virus was allowed to adsorb to monolayers of HeLa and MDCK cells at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 20 PFU/cell. Following incubation at 37°C for 8, 12, or 24 h, cells were fixed at room temperature for 1 h with a mixture of 4% paraformaldehyde and 1% glutaraldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.4), postfixed with 1% osmium tetroxide, dehydrated in increasing concentrations of acetone, and processed for embedding in epoxy resin EMI-812 (TAAB Laboratories) as previously described (57, 58). Osmium tetroxide is a lipid-staining agent used in TEM to provide image contrast. Osmium (VIII) oxide binds phospholipid head groups, thus providing contrast and allowing ultrastructural identification of membranes, which are apparent as dark, flexible lines with a thickness of ~5 nm (59, 60). Ultrathin (~60- to 70-nm) sections were collected on uncoated 300-mesh copper grids (TAAB Laboratories), stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate, and imaged by TEM. Images were acquired with a JEOL JEM 1011 electron microscope operating at 100 kV.

**Confocal microscopy.** Virus at an MOI of 20 PFU/cell was allowed to adsorb to HeLa CCIL2 cells cultivated on untreated glass coverslips and MDCK cells cultivated on polycl.-l-lysine (Sigma)-treated glass coverslips in 24-well plates. Following incubation at 37°C for 12 or 24 h, cells were fixed with ice-cold methanol (−20°C), permeabilized with 1% Triton X-100, and stained with rNS-specific antibody (25) and ERGIC-53-specific antibody H-245 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or KDEL receptor-specific antibody FL-212 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for ERGIC staining, anti-giantin antibody a24586 (Abcam) or WGA conjugated with Alexa Fluor 555 (Invitrogen) to mark the Golgi compartment, PDI-specific antibody DL-11 (Sigma) to mark the ER, or K2 antibody (40) to mark dsRNA. ToPro3 or 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Invitrogen) was used to stain nuclei. Alexa Fluor-conjugated antibodies (Invitrogen) were used as secondary antibodies. Images were acquired with Zeiss LSM 510 Meta and 710 Meta inverted confocal microscopes.

**3D image reconstructions.** Consecutive ultrathin (~60- to 70-nm) sections were collected on Formvar-coated copper slot grids (TAAB Laboratories), stained, and imaged by TEM. A total of eight series of 15 were selected and processed for 3D reconstruction as previously described (40, 61) (see Fig. S4 in the supplemental material). Photographs of reovirus inclusions were taken at a nominal magnification of ×10,000 or ×12,000. Plates were digitized as 8-bit images with a 3.39-nm final pixel size and a 600-dpi resolution with an Epson Perfection Photo 3170 scanner. Digital images were aligned by selected tracers between two consecutive sections with the free editor for serial section microscopy Reconstruct (62) (http:}
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