The Brain Imaging Collaboration Suite (BrICS): A Cloud Platform for Integrating Whole-Brain Spectroscopic MRI into the Radiation Therapy Planning Workflow
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ABSTRACT

Glioblastoma has poor prognosis with inevitable local recurrence despite aggressive treatment with surgery and chemoradiation. Radiation therapy (RT) is typically guided by contrast-enhanced T1-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) for defining the high-dose target and T2-weighted fluid-attenuation inversion recovery MRI for defining the moderate-dose target. There is an urgent need for improved imaging methods to better delineate tumors for focal RT. Spectroscopic MRI (sMRI) is a quantitative imaging technique that enables whole-brain analysis of endogenous metabolite levels, such as the ratio of choline-to-N-acetylaspartate. Previous work has shown that choline-to-N-acetylaspartate ratio accurately identifies tissue with high tumor burden beyond what is seen on standard imaging and can predict regions of metabolic abnormality that are at high risk for recurrence. To facilitate efficient clinical implementation of sMRI for RT planning, we developed the Brain Imaging Collaboration Suite (BrICS; https://brainimaging.emory.edu/brics-demo), a cloud platform that integrates sMRI with standard imaging and enables team members from multiple departments and institutions to work together in delineating RT targets. BrICS is being used in a multisite pilot study to assess feasibility and safety of dose-escalated RT based on metabolic abnormalities in patients with glioblastoma (Clinicaltrials.gov NCT03137888). The workflow of analyzing sMRI volumes and preparing RT plans is described. The pipeline achieved rapid turnaround time by enabling team members to perform their delegated tasks independently in BrICS when their clinical schedules allowed. To date, 18 patients have been treated using targets created in BrICS and no severe toxicities have been observed.

INTRODUCTION

The standard-of-care treatment for glioblastoma, the most common adult primary malignant brain tumor, consists of maximal safe surgical resection of tumor followed by high-dose radiation therapy (RT) with concomitant temozolomide chemotherapy (1–4). The standard high-dose prescription of 60 Gy is delivered over 30 fractions to regions of enhancement on T1-weighted contrast-enhanced (CE-T1w) MRI, in which enhancement represents areas of tumor with leaky neovasculature. A lower dose of RT (typically 46–54 Gy) is delivered to areas of hyperintensity on T2-weighted fluid-attenuation inversion recovery (FLAIR) MRI (5). FLAIR hyperintensity corresponds to a nonspecific combination of tumor and nontumor pathologies, including inflammation and vasogenic edema (6). Despite improvements in maximal resection, concurrent and adjuvant chemotherapy, and RT, the median overall survival still remains poor at 15 months (7, 8), with median progression-free survival at only 4–6 months (9). Recurrent glioblastoma is very difficult to treat, often being resistant to further radiation and inaccessible for secondary surgical resection (10). The location of recurrent disease can also vary: within the original 60-Gy RT target, within the intermediate dose area, or to regions several centimeters away, including crossing the midline (11). Both local and distant recurrences need to be addressed to improve progression-free survival. In a phase II study where glioblastomas were treated with high-dose proton therapy up to 90 cobalt-gray equivalent,
it was observed that almost all disease recurred in regions receiving <70 cobalt-gray equivalent (12). Thus, it appears that dose escalation may provide sufficient tumoricidal doses to achieve local control. However, doses >70 Gy need to be applied selectively to prevent toxicity that could result from excess volumes of normal brain receiving doses of that magnitude.

Spectroscopic magnetic resonance imaging (sMRI) is an evolution of magnetic resonance (MR) spectroscopy (MRS) that enables 3-dimensional (3D) whole-brain volumes of metabolite levels to be obtained in vivo without contrast agents or radioactive tracers (13, 14). Two metabolites of particular interest in patients with glioblastoma include choline-containing compounds (Cho), the building blocks of the cell membrane that increase in proliferating tumor cells, and N-acetylaspartate (NAA), a biomarker found in healthy neurons, which diminishes owing to neuronal displacement and death from glial infiltration (13, 15). It has been previously shown via histological correlation that the ratio of Cho to NAA is significantly elevated in glioblastoma owing to the opposing changes in these metabolites; in particular, a two-fold increase in Cho/NAA compared to healthy tissue in contralateral normal-appearing white matter (NAWM) was able to correctly identify tumor in 100% of cases, even when tissue samples were biopsied from regions outside of contrast-enhancement per CE-T1w or FLAIR hyperintensity (16).

A combination of dose escalation guided by sMRI, including regions of occult tumor normally left untreated by high-dose RT, could potentially delay recurrence of disease by delivering a cytotoxic dose of radiation to regions of metabolically abnormal tumor even if these areas are not detected using standard imaging techniques. However, the use of sMRI in clinical practice has been hampered by data processing requirements and limited integration into the RT planning workflow. In previous studies, several time-intensive manual processing steps were required to import metabolite volumes into clinical imaging software so that they could be used in the operating room or for RT planning (17, 18). To enable integration of sMRI into clinical practice, we have developed a software platform designed specifically for the integration of sMRI into the RT planning workflow. In this paper, we describe its architecture and show its features on several sample cases. We show feasibility of this software for collaborative use in a prospective multi-institutional clinical study to target dose-escalated RT based on sMRI. Several challenges in integrating this imaging modality into the clinical workflow are addressed, and a sample case from the ongoing study is presented to show that RT to high-risk regions can be targeted by quantitative imaging techniques such as sMRI.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Software Architecture
To assist with a collaborative clinical study across institutions, we developed the Brain Imaging Collaboration Suite (BrICS), a web-based software designed specifically to integrate sMRI with clinical MRI volumes, enabling physicians to evaluate relevant metabolite levels and the underlying spectra used for this quantitation, and to delineate target volumes for RT planning based on this information (19). BrICS consists of 2 components: a centralized server and a lightweight browser client (Figure 1A). The server performs computations necessary to analyze and display whole-brain spectroscopy; it consists of modules written in C++ and the PHP server-side scripting language to take advantage of well-established image processing and linear algebra libraries (20, 21). The lightweight browser client written in JavaScript can run on all modern hardware, including thin clients such as laptops and tablets. This browser-based approach offers the following benefits over standalone software clients: (1) improves repeatability and standardization by ensuring data...
are processed on the same hardware; (2) reduces user variability and bias; (3) enables real-time deployment of software updates across all clients; (4) prevents the need for every end-user to download massive sMRI data sets onto a local computer; (5) runs without the need for the user to download any software beyond a web browser, which is of key importance, as physicians often use restricted hospital workstations; and (6) allows information and images to be easily shared with patients who wish to be better informed of their clinical management.

BrICS imports data from spectroscopy processing software, such as the Metabolite Imaging and Data Analysis Software (MDIAS, University of Miami, Miami, FL), and from other imaging systems/software using the Digital Imaging and Communication in Medicine (DICOM) file format. All volumes are coregistered using a rigid transformation and resampled using trilinear interpolation into a high-resolution T1w image space, enabling overlays of metabolic information onto anatomic MRI. Users can then delineate target volumes based on both anatomic and spectroscopic information. These targets can be exported as DICOM RT structure sets (DICOM RT) or binary DICOM masks and imported into RT planning systems to deliver therapy to patients (Figure 1B). A video showing the features of BrICS is available in online Supplemental Video 1, which are described in detail in the following subsections.

Visualization and Contouring

The main interface of BrICS is shown in Figure 2. sMRI volumes—either individual metabolites or metabolite ratios—are overlaid on anatomic volumes (e.g., T1w MRI), enabling visual assessment of metabolic changes in a spatially dependent manner. For MR spectroscopists and radiologists familiar with MRS techniques, selection of a voxel will bring up the corresponding spectrum. Because sMRI is a quantitative imaging technique, voxel intensities can be reliably interpreted across subjects, and decision-making can be based on specified thresholds. This ability is built-in to the contouring module; physicians can make contours based on the values in sMRI maps (Figure 3 and online Supplemental Video 1), which are described in detail in the following subsections.

Normalization of Metabolite Values

Cerebral concentrations of several macromolecules, including Cho and NAA, are known to vary based on a subject’s age, gender, and anatomic location of brain being sampled (22). To account for these variations in baseline metabolism, metrics such as the Cho/NAA abnormality index (16) and the Cho-NAA index (23) take into account relative changes in these metabolites compared to normal tissue, typically contralateral NAWM (24). For this trial, we use the Cho/NAA abnormality index, defined as the Cho/NAA of a given voxel divided by the mean Cho/NAA value in contralateral NAWM. In previous works (16–18), NAWM was manually contoured on a clinical T1w volume by a neuroradiologist using commercial software, then the mask exported and applied to sMRI data to determine the mean Cho/NAA value. To expedite this process, remove reliance on commercial software, and mitigate user bias, we have implemented an algorithm in BrICS to automatically contour the NAWM based on a Gaussian mixture model (25) (Figure 4). First, all voxels from the cerebrum are masked using an anatomic atlas. Next, all cerebral Cho/NAA voxels are modeled as a bimodal Gaussian distribution, with voxels arising from the second, higher-mean Gaussian population representative of tumor pathology. These voxels are then masked, and the side with the largest contiguous abnormal segment is selected as the side of tumor; voxels in the contralateral hemisphere are segmented into gray and white matter based on fractional water content (26) calculated by MIDAS, and then the mean is reported as the normalizing factor for the subject’s abnormality index calculations.
Automated Segmentation of Residual Contrast Enhancement

Additional algorithmic modules can be built into BrICS to assist with other routines that are regularly performed by clinicians. One such module automatically contours residual contrast enhancing tissue (Figure 5), so as to differentiate true unresected tumor with leaky neovasculature from blood products owing to surgical resection (27). The module requires a precontrast T1w MRI, a CE-T1w MRI, and a T2w or FLAIR MRI, all of which are coregistered into the same imaging space and resampled to an axial view. The pre- and postcontrast MR images are histogram normalized and subtracted to generate a difference map; Otsu thresholding with four classes is used to identify residual enhancement (28, 29). Otsu thresholding is applied to the FLAIR map to automatically identify hyperintensity; the single largest connected component is used as a bounding mask for the T1w MRI.
residual volume. Finally, morphological opening and closing filters are applied to the bounded T1w residual volume to remove islets and thin anisotropic components, e.g., blood vessels. The entire algorithm can be run in <10 seconds on the BrICS server and yields a final contour, which can be evaluated and manually edited, if necessary, by a neuroradiologist—saving valuable clinician time and providing a reproducible starting point for all users.

**Patient Enrollment and Imaging**

To assess the feasibility and safety of sMRI-guided RT, a multisite clinical study funded by NCI was initiated (Clinicaltrials.gov NCT03137888). Three institutions are participating in this pilot study—Emory University, the Johns Hopkins University, and the University of Miami—and a total of 30 patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma will be enrolled. Patients are enrolled after undergoing maximal safe surgical resection or biopsy at the discretion of the neurosurgeon. Enrolled patients were ≥18 years of age, had a Karnofsky Performance Score ≥ 60, and were willing to undergo dose-escalated RT to 75 Gy.

An sMRI scan was obtained within 2 weeks prior to starting RT + temozolomide. A 15-minute echo planar spectroscopic imaging (EPSI) pulse sequence combined with GRAPPA [parallel imaging (30)], was performed on a 3 T scanner (Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany) with a 32-channel or a 20-channel head coil array (echo time = 50 milliseconds, repetition time = 1551 milliseconds, flip angle = 71°). During the same session, a high-resolution T1w magnetization prepared rapid acquisition gradient echo (MP-RAGE) sequence was obtained at the same orientation and position as the EPSI. Raw EPSI data were transformed into spatial-spectral data, coregistered with the MP-RAGE volume, and the relative metabolite concentration values were obtained by spectral fitting using MIDAS (22, 30).

**RT Planning**

An outline of the workflow for patients in this study is shown in **Figure 6**. The EPSI/GRAPPA and MP-RAGE volumes, in addition to the most recent clinical CE-T1w and FLAIR MRI, were imported into BrICS. Automated contours for Cho/NAA abnormality index of 2.0 and residual contrast-enhancing tissue were generated using the algorithms described above. Using BrICS, 2 MR spectrosopists from different institutions collaboratively reviewed the underlying raw and fitted spectra within the Cho/NAA abnormal contour and removed voxels with poor spectral quality. Meanwhile, a neuroradiologist reviewed and edited the residual contrast-enhancing volume to ensure accurate delineation of the target volume. The 2 contours were then merged to form a single target volume for high-dose RT. Next, an external radiation oncologist (from a nontreating site) edited and approved the volume based on anatomy and dose safety concerns. Finally, the treating-site radiation oncologist made final edits based on his/her discretion and validated the volume for RT treatment. To ensure patient safety and to enable retrospective review of this study, all user edits were tracked in BrICS in a digital audit trail.

The final contour generated in BrICS was defined as gross tumor volume 3 (GTV3). The clinical target volume 3 (CTV3) was defined as equal to GTV3 with no margin. In this pilot feasibility study, a maximum volume of 65 cm³ was allowed for CTV3, approximately adhering to the 5-cm-diameter boost volume limit used in the NRG Oncology BN001 phase II trial on RT dose escalation for glioblastomas (31). The CTV3 contour was exported from BrICS as a DICOM RT structure set on the high resolution T1w MP-RAGE volume into additional contouring or treatment planning software such as VelocityAI (Varian Medical Systems, Palo Alto, CA), MIM Maestro (MIM Software Inc, Cleveland, OH), Eclipse (Varian Medical Systems, Palo Alto, CA), and VelocityAI (Varian Medical Systems, Palo Alto, CA).
Pinnacle (Philips Healthcare, Best, Netherlands), etc., per the routine of the treating site. Additional standard treatment volumes were generated including GTV2, defined as the surgical cavity with residual contrast enhancement, and GTV1, defined as hyperintensity on FLAIR MRI. Five millimeter of anatomically constrained margins were added to GTV2 and GTV1 to produce CTV2 and CTV1, respectively. A 3-mm margin was added to all 3 CTVs to produce the planning target volumes (PTV3, PTV2, and PTV1). A simultaneous in-field boost IMRT plan was generated to treat PTV3, PTV2, and PTV1 to 75 Gy, 60 Gy, and 50.1 Gy, respectively, respecting standard organs-at-risk constraints (Table 1).

RESULTS

A demo of BrICS is available at https://brainimaging.emory.edu/brics-demo with a few curated and deidentified data sets. A video describing the platform and its features is presented in the online Supplemental Video 1. In addition to the dose-escalated RT study described above, BrICS is currently being used for the following clinical projects internally at Emory University: targeting of biopsies in patients with nonenhancing low-grade gliomas, monitoring therapeutic response of patients with glioblastoma receiving a histone-deacetylase inhibitor in addition to standard chemoradiation, identification of metabolite abnormalities associated with melanoma brain metastasis, and a pilot study evaluating the benefit of sMRI for patients with mild traumatic brain injury. In addition, BrICS served as the platform for testing new imaging processing algorithms such as a neural network for identifying spectral artifacts (32) and autoencoder-based spectral fitting (unpublished data).

RT plans from 1 patient who underwent dose escalation as per this study’s protocol are presented in Figure 7. The patient is a 21-year-old woman diagnosed with glioblastoma with a near-total resection of the tumor (A). However, the Cho/NAA map indicates metabolically active tumor expanding outward from the resection cavity (B). A boosted dose of 75 Gy (PTV3) was successfully planned and delivered to this patient (C).

| Target Name | Definition | CTV Margin (mm) | PTV Margin (mm) | Dose (Gy) |
|-------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|
| GTV3        | Cho/NAA abnormality index ≥ 2 + residual contrast enhancement | 0               | 3               | 75        |
| GTV2        | Contrast enhancing tissue + resection cavity, per standard of care | 5               | 3               | 60        |
| GTV1        | FLAIR hyperintensity, per standard of care | 5               | 3               | 50.1      |

In addition to standard chemoradiation (GTV1 and GTV2), a boost is given to areas of sMRI abnormality and residual contrast enhancement (GTV3). All doses are delivered over 30 fractions.
resection of her tumor. sMRI volumes were obtained and processed in MIDAS and in BrICS per the protocol. The neuroradiologists, MR spectroscopists, and radiation oncologists accessed BrICS remotely for several minutes each, when time was available during their busy schedules. Segmentation of residual contrast enhancement by the automated algorithm, followed by neuroradiologist review, identified a 1.6-cm³ nodular residual contrast-enhancing lesion on the posterior border of the surgical cavity remaining after surgery, typical of patients who underwent near total resections (Figure 7A). However, the Cho/NAA abnormality was much greater with a volume of 50.6 cm³, expanding laterally, anteriorly, and posteriorly from the surgical cavity (Figure 7B). GTV3 was planned on the union of these 2 contours, and targeted for a 75-Gy boost. The contour for GTV3 was exported as a DICOM RT structure and imported into Eclipse for dose planning (Figure 7C). Dose constraints based on RTOG guidelines to all organs at risk were met, with >95% of the prescribed dose delivered to each PTV.

**DISCUSSION**

Current treatments for glioblastoma are insufficient in achieving local control. This is felt to be due in part to limitations of standard imaging methods in identification of infiltrating tumor margins, which show no contrast enhancement, potentially leaving these high-risk regions undertreated. Improvements in treatment options, such as with higher radiation doses, can only be beneficial if all high-risk tumor regions (both enhancing and nonenhancing) are properly targeted. In this work, we develop a software platform that successfully enables sMRI integration into the RT planning workflow. The EPSI/GRAPPA sequence can be used on standard 3 T instrumentation, and the current version of the sequence is available for several different Siemens models (eg, PRISMA, Trio, and Skyra); expansion to other vendors is an ongoing project. The data can then be sent to a centralized server for processing. Because it is web-based, BrICS can be used by multiple users and institutions without the need for additional software, data, or processing. BrICS was successfully used as the infrastructure for an ongoing multi-institutional clinical study assessing the feasibility of dose-escalated radiation guided by sMRI in patients with glioblastoma; to date, 18 patients have been treated on this protocol, and no toxicities have been observed. Thus, there is an urgent need for improved quantitative imaging biomarkers that can not only identify these regions but also be readily incorporated into clinical practice.

sMRI has been shown to delineate infiltrating tumor beyond standard MRI but has thus far been used in only retrospective analyses owing to the complexity of integrating it with clinical volumes, the requirement for an on-site MR spectroscopist to manually review spectra in individual voxel, and variability in acquisition and processing across institutions. A web platform such as BrICS provides solutions for these challenges by enabling centralized data storage and analysis, allowing clinicians from multiple institutions to use sMRI without the need for local experts or software. Users will always have the latest version of BrICS without needing to download additional software or data sets and can access BrICS from any computer browser. BrICS is currently being used in a multisite clinical study assessing the feasibility of sMRI guidance for RT and will continue to be developed as infrastructure for future consortium-level trials.

**Supplemental Materials**

Supplemental Video 1: http://dx.doi.org/10.18383/j.tom.tom.2018.00028.vid.01
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