Positive and Negative Regulation of Vertebrate Sepase by Cdk1-Cyclin B1 May Explain Why Securin Is Dispensable*
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Background: Sepase, the trigger protease of eukaryotic anaphase, remains regulated in the absence of its inhibitor, securin.

Results: Cdk1-cyclin B1 triggers precipitation of securase by phosphorylation but stabilizes it by inhibitory binding.

Conclusion: Only securase that is first complexed by Cdk1-cyclin B1 can later be activated by cyclin B1 degradation.

Significance: These minimal requirements of securase regulation could explain the faithful execution of anaphase in the absence of securase.

Sister chromatid cohesion is established during replication by entrapment of both dsDNAs within the cohesin ring complex. It is dissolved in anaphase when securase, a giant cysteine endopeptidase, cleaves the Scc1/Rad21 subunit of cohesin, thereby triggering chromosome segregation. Sepase is held inactive by association with securase until this anaphase inhibitor is destroyed at the metaphase-to-anaphase transition by ubiquitin-dependent degradation. The relevant ubiquitin ligase, the anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome, also targets cyclin B1, thereby causing inactivation of Cdk1 and mitotic exit. Although securase is essential, securase knock-out mice are surprisingly viable and fertile. Capitalizing on our previous finding that Cdk1-cyclin B1 can also bind and inhibit securase, we investigated whether this kinase might be suitable to maintain faithful timing and execution of anaphase in the absence of securase. We found that, similar to securase, Cdk1-cyclin B1 regulates securase in both a positive and negative manner. Although securase associates with nascent securase to co-translationaly assist proper folding, Cdk1-cyclin B1 acts on native state securase. Upon entry into mitosis, Cdk1-cyclin B1-dependent phosphorylation of Ser-1126 renders securase prone to inactivation by aggregation/precipitation. Stable association of Cdk1-cyclin B1 with phosphorylated securase counteracts this tendency and stabilizes securase in an inhibited yet activatable state. These opposing effects are suited to prevent premature cleavage of cohesin in early mitosis while ensuring timely activation of securase by anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome-dependent degradation of cyclin B1. Coupling sister chromatid separation with subsequent exit from mitosis by this simplified mode might have been the common scheme of mitotic control prior to the evolution of securase.

Sepase, a giant cysteine endopeptidase, triggers all eukaryotic anaphases (1). It cleaves the Scc1/Rad21 subunit of the ring-shaped cohesin complex, which, up to this point, maintains cohesion by entrapping the two sister chromatids of each chromosome (2, 3). Prior to anaphase, securase is held in check by association with securase (4). When the sister kinetochores of every chromosome have acquired a proper amphitelic attachment to microtubules from opposite spindle poles, securase is unleashed in its proteolytically active form by ubiquitin-dependent degradation of securase (4). The relevant E3 ligase is the anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome, which also sees to the destruction of cyclin B1, the activating subunit of Cdk1 (cyclin-dependent kinase 1) (5). The resulting concomitant activation of securase and inactivation of the master regulatory kinase of mitosis couple sister chromatid separation with mitotic exit.

Securase and securase also influence each other in a positive way. This is illustrated by identical loss-of-function phenotypes for securase and securase in species in which securase is essential and by elevated levels of securase as a result of securase overexpression (6–8). Phosphorylation turns vertebrate securase into a better anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome substrate. This is counteracted by securase, which mediates the PP2A (protein phosphatase 2A)-dependent dephosphorylation and hence stabilization of associated securase (9). Although this provides an explanation for the positive effect of securase on securase, data are lacking that address how vertebrate securase positively affects securase.

Given the essentiality of securase, it is a surprise that mice and cultured human cells are largely unaffected by the knock-out of securase (1, 10, 11). Could it be that, under these circumstances, regulation of the substrate rather than the protease takes center stage? Indeed, Plk1 (Polo-like kinase 1)-dependent phosphorylation of Scc1 enhances its cleavage by securase (12, 13). However, this phosphorylation is unlikely to be restricted to just the small window of metaphase-to-anaphase transition and does not constitute an absolute requirement for the cleavage of mitotic cohesin by securase. Therefore, regulation at the level of the substrate seems unfit to explain how securase-free mammalian cells maintain proper timing and fidelity of sister chromatid separation in mitosis. Instead, it is likely that, in the absence of securase, at least the inhibitory aspect of securase regulation is taken over by Cdk1-cyclin B1 (14).
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This securin-independent inhibition of human separase requires that Ser-1126 and several residues within a Cdc6-like domain (CLD) centered around position 1370 are phosphorylated by Cdk1-cyclin B1 (and possibly other mitotic kinases) (15, 16). Although necessary, these phosphorylations are not sufficient for inhibition of separase, which additionally requires Cdk1-cyclin B1 to stably associate, via its regulatory cyclin B1 subunit, with the phosphorylated CLD of separase (17). Within the Cdk1-cyclin B1-separase complex, Ser-1126 is probably not in direct contact with the kinase because this residue is dispensable for binding of Cdk1-cyclin B1 to separase fragments (16). However, Ser-1126 phosphorylation is absolutely required for Cdk1-cyclin B1 to associate with full-length separase. Interestingly, securin and Cdk1-cyclin B1 bind to separase in a mutually exclusive manner (17). At the level of an individual separase molecule, they therefore represent alternative rather than synergistic inhibitory mechanisms.

Although most of separase is typically controlled by securin, Cdk1-cyclin B1 can compensate for missing or limited securin. This is illustrated by the fact that murine embryonic stem cells with a combined securin knock-out and (heterozygote) knock-in of a Cdk1-cyclin B1-resistant separase allele quickly lose cohesion in a prometaphase arrest, whereas the isolated defects do not cause such a phenotype (18). Similarly, S1126A and ΔCLD variants, but not WT separase, cause premature separation of sister chromatids upon overexpression in HEK293 cells (8). Although Cdk1-cyclin B1 seems able to always compensate for the loss of securin, securin cannot always substitute for Cdk1-cyclin B1 in separase regulation. For unknown reasons, murine early embryonic and post-migratory primordial germ cells express only little securin and fully rely on Cdk1-cyclin B1-dependent control of separase (19, 20). Despite the importance of this securin-independent control of anaphase, it remains enigmatic whether Cdk1-cyclin B1, similar to securin, might exert not only a negative but simultaneously also a positive effect on separase.

Here, we investigated the positive effect of securin on separase and demonstrated that co-translational association of securin with nascent separase coincides with increased solubility of the giant protease, indicating that securin assists separase in achieving a natively folded state. We unraveled two novel and opposing effects of Cdk1-cyclin B1 on separase. Upon entry into mitosis, Cdk1-cyclin B1-dependent phosphorylation of free separase further enhances the protease’s tendency to become insoluble and catalytically inactive. This effect is counteracted by a stabilizing association of Cdk1-cyclin B1 with phosphorylated separase. Thus, much like securin, Cdk1-cyclin B1 is both a positive and negative regulator of separase at the same time. Together, these effects of Cdk1-cyclin B1 ensure that only separase, which has been in complex with its inhibitor in early mitosis, can later be activated at anaphase onset. Our findings help explain how anaphase and mitotic exit might have been coupled prior to the evolution of securin and are still coupled today in a securin knock-out.

Antibodies—The following antibodies were used for immunoblotting according to standard protocols: rabbit anti-separase (15), mouse anti-securin (1:1000; MBL International Corp.), mouse anti-Myc (1:50, clone 9E10, hybridoma supernatant; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), rabbit antiphospho-Ser-10 histone H3 (1:1000; Millipore), mouse anticyclin B1 (1:1000; Millipore), goat anti-Cdc27 (1:1000; gift from Thomas U. Mayer), and mouse anti-α-tubulin (1:200, clone 12G10, hybridoma supernatant; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank). For immunoprecipitation experiments, the following affinity matrices and antibodies were used: mouse anti-Myc antibody-agarose (Sigma-Aldrich) and protein A-Sepharose (GE Healthcare) coupled to rabbit anti-securin antibody (raised against His<sub>6</sub>-tagged full-length human securin).

Cell Lines and Treatments—for stable inducible expression of Myc<sub>6</sub>-tobacco etch virus (TEV)<sub>2</sub>-separase (WT, S1126A, and ΔCLD (amino acids 1342–1400 deleted), the corresponding transgenes were stably integrated into an HEK293 Flp-In T-REx cell line. Clones were selected with 150 μg/ml hygromycin B (Roth). Induction of transgenic myc<sub>6</sub>-TEV<sub>2</sub>-separase was done using 0.2–1 μg/ml doxycycline (Sigma-Aldrich) for 10–14 h. All cells were cultured in DMEM (GE Healthcare) supplemented with 10% FCS (Sigma-Aldrich) at 37 °C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. For transient overexpression, HEK293T cells were transfected using the calcium phosphate–based method with pC82-based plasmids encoding the following proteins: Myc<sub>6</sub>-TEV<sub>2</sub>-separase (WT and S1126A) and untagged WT separase (see Figs. 1B and 2B). For synchronization at the G<sub>1</sub>/S boundary, cells were treated with 2 mM thymidine (Sigma-Aldrich) for 20 h and released into fresh medium. Synchronization of cells in prometaphase was done by addition of nocodazole (Sigma-Aldrich) or Taxol (Calbiochem) at 0.2 μg/ml each 6 h after release from a single thymidine block or for 14 h to asynchronous cells. Synchronization in interphase was achieved by addition of 10 μM roscovitine (Calbiochem) for 20 h, followed by thymidine treatment for 12 h.

Separase Pelleting and Activity Assays—Mycc<sub>6</sub>-TEV<sub>2</sub>-separase was expressed by transient transfection or induction of a stably integrated transgene in the presence or absence of securin co-overexpression. Securin-dependent solubility of endogenous separase (see Fig. 1B) was studied by comparing securin−/− with the corresponding parental HCT116 cells. Approximately 1 × 10<sup>6</sup> prometaphase–arrested cells were lysed with a Dounce homogenizer in 1 ml of lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.7), 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM NaF, 20 mM β-glycerophosphate, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.1% Triton X-100, and 5% glycerol) supplemented with complete protease inhibitor mixture (Roche Applied Science) and incubated for 10 min at 4 °C. Crude lysate (referred to as input) was ultracentrifuged at 66,000 × g for 35 min and the corresponding supernatant was harvested. The pellet was washed twice with 1× PBS, combined with 1 ml of fresh denaturation buffer (8 mM urea, 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.8), and 2 mM DTT), and resolubilized by sonication (6 min, 20% power, 50% duty cycle; BANDELIN SONOPULS) on ice. For the separase activity assay (see Fig. 3A), crude lysates were
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treated with Benzonase nuclease (30 units/liters; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for 1 h at 4 °C. Crude lysate were incubated with anti-Myc beads for 4 h without prior centrifugation. Beads were washed and incubated three times for 5 min in 10 mM Hepes-KOH (pH 7.7), 100 mM KCl, 0.1 mM CaCl₂, 2 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM sucrose, 5 mM EGTA, and 0.02% Triton X-100 additionally supplemented with 400 mM NaCl (unless specified otherwise) and subsequently equilibrated in cleavage buffer (10 mM Hepes-KOH (pH 7.7), 50 mM NaCl, 25 mM NaF, 1 mM EGTA, and 20% glycerol) before separase was eluted by incubation with TEV protease. Concomitant with elution in a volume of 30 μl for 30 min at room temperature, separase activity was measured by addition of 2 μl of 35S-labeled Scc1-GFP. Samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE, followed by Western blotting or autoradiography.

RNA Interference and Flow Cytometry—For knockdown of human securin or separase, 70 nm siRNA duplex (securin, 5′-UCUUAGUGCUUCAGAGUUUGUGUAU-3′; and separase, 5′-AUAAAGUGCUCGUCUCAACAAACCC-3’) was transfected either with RNAIMAX (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions or following a calcium phosphate-based method. Luciferase siRNA (GL2) was used as a negative control. Cells were grown for at least 24 h before synchronization procedures were applied. Analysis of DNA content by flow cytometry was performed as described (9).

Ribosome-Nascent Chain Complex Formation and Isolation—The generation of ribosome-nascent chain complexes (RNCs) was based on the translation of truncated synthetic mRNA coding for at least 425 N-terminal amino acids of separase. Plasmids coding for separase or Crm1 (both pCS2-based) were linearized by restriction enzyme digestion at different positions within the coding sequence to create an open reading frame without a stop signal. To create a construct for the transcription of the separase 1–1105 Stop mRNA, a pCS2-based vector containing the coding sequence for full-length separase was linearized with XbaI, filled in with Klenow polymerase (New England Biolabs), and then religated to create a frameshift mutation. For generation of runoff transcripts, plasmids were linearized with a suitable restriction endonuclease, purified by phenol/chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation, and subsequently equilibrated in cleavage buffer (10 mM Hepes-KOH (pH 7.7), 50 mM NaCl, 25 mM NaF, 1 mM EGTA, and 20% glycerol) before separase was eluted by incubation with TEV protease. Concomitant with elution in a volume of 30 μl for 30 min at room temperature, separase activity was measured by addition of 2 μl of 35S-labeled Scc1-GFP. Samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE, followed by Western blotting or autoradiography.

Electrochemical Biochip Analysis of mRNA Levels—Electrochemical biochip analysis of mRNA levels was performed as described previously (22, 23). Capture oligonucleotides were as follows: securin, ACGGTTCCCGAAGGCACATTCTCATTTTCTTTTTT (3′-thiol); and separase, TCTGCCCCCGAAGGGGACGTCCTATTTTTTTTTT (3′-thiol). The detector oligonucleotide was TTTTTTTGTTGCTGCTGTTGGGACATCTAACCCAACACG; Securin_2, GATGGGCAACCTCCACAGACCGC; and Securin_6, GGTTGCGCTGTTGGGAG; Separase_1, GGTGGTAAATCGACTACATAGGTGAATGTTGCCCT; and Separase_6, GTGCTTGGATGACGTCCTCAG. An untreated gold electrode served as a negative control (blank).

Total RNA was isolated from human securin−/− and the parental HCT116 cells using an RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The integrity of total RNA was spectrophotometrically confirmed. Deviating from the described procedure for microarray analysis (22), total RNA was fragmented in the presence of 30 mm magnesium acetate, 100 mm potassium acetate, and 40 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.1) at 95 °C for 15 min before hybridization. Fragmented RNA in 450 mM NaCl, 0.025% Tween 20, 1 mg/ml BSA, 25 mM EDTA, 30 mM NaH₂PO₄ (pH 7.4), 1 μg/ml each complementary RNA probe, and 0.2 μm esterase 2-detector oligodeoxynucleotide conjugate was applied to each electrode. Electrode arrays were then incubated at 65 °C for 20 min in a humidity chamber, and the chip was kept at 20 °C for 5 min. After washing with 75 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.05% Tween 20, and 5 mM NaH₂PO₄ (pH 7.4) for 1 min at 25 °C, the chip was inserted onto a multi-potentiostat. Background current reached steady state after flow through 100 mm NaCl and 10 mm sodium phosphate (pH 7.0) for −1 min. Specific mRNA was detected by Alicyclobacillus acidocaldarius esterase 2 molecules bound to the electrode by hybridization. The enzyme substrate p-aminophenyl butyrate was delivered through the flow chamber at a flow rate of 250 μl/min. After the flow was stopped, the esterase 2 activity was measured as a change in current intensity (ΔI) per time (Δt) within the first 5 s (22, 23).

Immunofluorescence Staining of Pelleted Centrosomes—Stable transgenic HEK293 Flp-In T-Rex cells were transfected with separase or GL2 siRNA 24 h prior to synchronization at
G1/S by addition of thymidine. 20 h thereafter, cells were released into fresh medium, and where indicated, transgene expression was doxycycline-induced 8 h later. 16 h after release into fresh medium, cells were harvested and subjected to immunoblotting, flow cytometry, and centrosome purification. To assess centriole engagement status, centrosomes were isolated from 4 \times 10^6 cells and stained as described previously (24).

RESULTS

Several publications have proposed roles for securin in transcriptional regulation (25–28). To address the possibility that securin might stimulate transcription of separase, the levels of separase mRNA in human securin−/− and parental HCT116 cells were compared using an electrochemical biochip (22, 23, 29). Here, the complementary binding of the target mRNA fragment stabilizes the hybridization complex between a capture and a detector oligodeoxynucleotide, thereby bringing a reporter enzyme into the vicinity of an electrode to produce an electrochemical signal. This gap hybridization assay unambiguously revealed that separase mRNA levels are the same in both cell lines (Fig. 1A). This result strongly argues against a positive role of securin in transcription of separase.

Immunoblotting consistently showed that the total amounts of separase in securin−/− and HCT116 cells are very similar (Fig. 1B, first and second lanes). However, the two cell lines greatly differ in the separase solubility as determined by simple centrifugation of total cell lysates. Although most separase from securin-containing HCT116 cells stayed in the supernatant, most separase from the securin-free knock-out cells pelleted (Fig. 1B, third through sixth lanes). Similarly, the overall level of separase in transiently transfected HEK293T cells was unaffected by co-

FIGURE 1. Studying the positive effect of securin on separase. A, securin does not influence the mRNA level of separase. The relative amounts of securin and separase mRNAs in securin−/− and parental HCT116 cells were quantified on an electrochemical biochip. B, endogenous separase (Sep.) is prone to precipitation in the absence of securin (Sec.). Lysates (input) of mitotically arrested securin−/− (SEC−/−) and parental HCT116 cells were centrifuged to assess the solubility of separase by Western analysis of the resulting supernatant (Supernat.) and pellet fractions. α-Tubulin (αTub.) and Ser-10-phosphorylated histone H3 (H3 pS10) served as loading controls. C, association with securin prevents precipitation of transiently overexpressed separase. Following its overexpression in HEK293T cells with or without co-overexpression of securin, the solubility of separase was determined by centrifugation. D, co-translational association of securin with nascent separase. mRNAs lacking or containing a stop codon and coding for amino acids 1–425, 1–627, 1–873, or 1–1105 of human separase or amino acids 1–942 of human exportin-1 (Crm1) were translated in vitro in the presence of [35S]methionine and 35S-labeled securin. Input (I) samples were fractionated by ultracentrifugation into supernatant (S) and RNC-containing pellet (P) fractions prior to SDS-PAGE and autoradiography. Crm1 was chosen as a negative control because, similar to the N-terminal half of separase, it contains a superhelical structure.
overexpression of securin, whereas separase solubility was strongly stimulated (Fig. 1C). In summary, we propose that securin has no effect on transcription, mRNA stability, or translation efficiency of separase. Instead, it appears to prevent aggregation/precipitation of separase presumably to keep it in a conformational state, from which it can later be activated by proteasomal degradation of ubiquitylated securin.

To fulfill its dual function as a separase specific chaperone and inhibitor, securin might bind to the protease cotranslationally. To clarify this issue, we tested whether recombinant securin added to in vitro translation mixtures would associate with RNCs (21) if and only if separase was being translated. Indeed, 35S-labeled securin co-purified with ribosomes stalled in the process of translation on an mRNA that codes for the first 1105 amino acids of separase but lacks a stop codon (Fig. 1D). However, it could not be pelleted if ribosomes were stalled on a control mRNA or if a stop codon within the mRNA allowed for dissociation of the separase fragment from ribosomes. The use of stop codon-less mRNAs that encode increasingly shorter N-terminal separase fragments revealed that securin cannot interact with RNCs displaying the first 425 amino acids but starts to bind to nascent separase 627 amino acids in length (Fig. 1D). These observations suggest that co-translational association of securin with separase serves two purposes: assisting the giant protease in achieving a native fold and inhibiting it at the same time.

Given that vertebrate securin is dispensable for life, a sufficient amount of separase apparently reaches a natively folded state even in the absence of securin. But who controls separase under these conditions to prevent premature separation of sister chromatids? An obvious candidate is Cdk1-cyclin B1 because, next to securin, this kinase constitutes the only other known inhibitor of vertebrate separase (15, 17). Interestingly, the tendency of overexpressed separase to be spun out from a cell lysate by centrifugation is enhanced in mitosis compared with interphase, consistent with the idea that mitotic phosphorylation renders separase particularly prone to aggregation/precipitation (Fig. 2A). On the basis of this observation and our previous work (16), we speculated that Ser-1126 phosphorylation by Cdk1-cyclin B1 induces a conformational change in native state separase with two opposing consequences. On the one hand, it favors misfolding/aggregation, but on the other hand, it renders the CLD accessible to association with cyclin B1, and this complex formation stabilizes the native fold of separase. This suggestion was easily testable because it predicted that changing Ser-1126 to Ala should prevent the conformational change, thereby rendering separase resistant to precipitation in mitosis. In contrast, preserving Ser-1126 but deleting the CLD should still allow for the conformational switch to occur but abrogate the downstream association with Cdk1-cyclin B1, thereby enhancing the tendency of separase to precipitate.

HEK293T cells were transiently transfected to overexpress WT separase or an S1126A variant and then arrested in mitosis. As before, corresponding lysates were fractionated by centrifugation into a soluble supernatant and insoluble pellet. Subse-
quent immunoblotting revealed that the profound aggregation of separase in mitosis was indeed greatly suppressed by changing Ser-1126 to Ala (Fig. 2B). Conversely, a ΔCLD variant exhibited aggravated insolubility relative to WT separase as revealed by analysis of the corresponding transgenic HEK293 lines by the same pelleting assay (Fig. 3A). As expected, siRNA-mediated depletion of securin enhanced the insolubility of overexpressed separase in general and of the ΔCLD variant in particular (Fig. 3A). Using transiently transfected, nocodazole-arrested HEK293 cells, we also directly compared the aggregation tendencies of WT separase and mutants S1126A and ΔCLD after removal of the securin-associated pool. To this end, securin was immunodepleted prior to centrifugation of the lysates. Confirming the previous results, the insolubility of S1126A was again reduced relative to the WT protease, whereas that of the ΔCLD variant was again increased (Fig. 3B).

The centrifugation of cell lysates quickly determined solubility of separase but did not allow us to draw conclusions about its proteolytic activity. To clarify this issue, Myc-tagged WT separase and mutants S1126A and ΔCLD were transiently overexpressed in HEK293 cells with or without simultaneous overexpression of securin. Following cell synchronization in prometaphase, the corresponding lysates were subjected to anti-Myc immunoprecipitation without prior centrifugation to avoid removal of insoluble separase fractions. Finally, the immunoaffinity-purified separase variants were assayed for their ability to cleave cohesin (Fig. 4A). When produced under conditions of limiting amounts of securin, WT separase and S1126A exhibited proteolytic activity toward 35S-labeled Scc1, but ΔCLD did not (Fig. 4A, lanes 1, 4, and 6). In the case of WT separase, cohesin cleavage activity became apparent only upon displacement of co-purified Cdk1-cyclin B1 by washing with a high ionic strength buffer (compare lanes 1 and 2) (17). As expected, none of the protease variants cleaved Scc1 when securin had been co-overexpressed (lanes 3, 5, and 7). In combination with the pelleting assays, this experiment demonstrates good accordance of solubility and proteolytic activity for securin-less separase. In summary, we interpret our observations as follows. Under conditions of limiting securin, WT separase and S1126A are switched by Ser-1126 phosphorylation into a precipitation-prone conformation. Although WT separase is protected by association with Cdk1-cyclin B1 and stays soluble and activatable, the ΔCLD variant, which cannot form this complex, aggregates/misfolds and quickly becomes inactive. S1126A also cannot bind to Cdk1-cyclin B1, but for another reason. It is already protected from the destabilizing conformational change and hence retains proteolytic activity despite the lack of any binding partner.

Separase also has a chromosome-independent function within the centrosome cycle (30). By cleaving centrosomal cohesin and kendrin/pericentrin B, separase triggers centriole disengagement, which represents a licensing step for subsequent centriole duplication (24, 30–32). In the absence of separase function, centriole disengagement is profoundly delayed,
but eventually still occurs by an unresolved mechanism that requires Plk1 activity (33). Because centriole disengagement normally takes place after sister chromatid separation at the end of mitosis or in early G1 phase and because the proteolytic activity of ∆CLD seems to exhibit a short half-life, centriole disengagement might be delayed upon replacement of endogenous separase by this deregulated variant. To test this prediction, stable transgenic HEK293 cells depleted of endogenous separase by RNAi were analyzed by immunofluorescence microscopy for their centriole engagement status 16 h after release from G1/S arrest and 8 h after induction of WT separase or ∆CLD expression by addition of doxycycline. Mock siRNA (GL2)-transfected, uninduced cells served as an additional control. All separase-expressing cultures exhibited highly similar cell cycle distributions as judged by flow cytometry and immunoblotting (Fig. 4 B and data not shown). However, although centrioles were disengaged in 55% of all WT separase-containing cells, only 28% of ∆CLD-expressing cells displayed centriole disengagement on average (Fig. 4 C). The above loss-of-function phenotypes do not contradict the observation that overexpression of ∆CLD causes premature separation of sister chromatids in prometaphase-arrested cells (8, 16). ∆CLD is a hypermorph in that it can no longer be bound and inhibited by Cdk1-cyclin B1, but at the same time, it is a hypomorph because...
Ser-1126 phosphorylation renders it aggregation-prone, thus limiting its half-life as an active protease.

**DISCUSSION**

Considering its central role in chromosome segregation, it is not surprising that hypo- and hyperactivity of separase both cause aneuploidy and cancer (34–38). Thus, activation of this essential but dangerous protease must be exactly controlled and timed. Here, we found that, in vitro, securin associates with nascent separase polypeptides while they are still on ribosomes and that securin-less separase tends to aggregate precipitate as determined by pelleting assay. Based on these results, the co-translational association of securin with separase both assists the giant protease in achieving a natively folded state and inhibits it at the same time. Interestingly, caspase-activated DNase, another dangerous enzyme that needs tight controlling, also misfolds and aggregates during translation if it does not associate co-translationally with its inhibitor (39, 40). Merging in one protein (securin or caspase-activated DNase inhibitor) the functions of a specific inhibitor and of a specific chaperone therefore appears ideally suited to prevent the unscheduled unleashing of enzymatic activities that would otherwise threaten genome integrity.

We also analyzed the effects of Cdk1-cyclin B1 on separase. By phosphorylating Ser-1126, this chief mitotic kinase increases the tendency of securin-free separase to aggregate and become inactive. Interestingly, this inactivation mechanism involves a conformational change as a result of cis/trans-isomerization at Pro-1127, which is catalyzed by the phospho-Ser/Pro-specific peptidyl-prolyl isomerase Pin1.3 However, although Ser-1126 phosphorylation limits the half-life of the proteolytic activity of separase, Cdk1-cyclin B1 simultaneously counteracts this inactivation mechanism by stable association with the protease in a second step (Fig. 5). Thus, like securin, Cdk1-cyclin B1 also unites the functions of a specific inhibitor and a specific chaperone. Unlike securin, however, it does not assist in folding but rather protects from a “phosphorylation shock” (by analogy to “heat shock”) that it inflict on separase as the cell enters mitosis (15). In this manner, it is ensured that, even in the absence of securin, any separase, which can later be activated, is first held inactive by association with Cdk1-cyclin B1. This mechanism, plus the fact that chromosomes become accessible to separase only when the nuclear envelope breaks down at the onset of mitosis (41), could explain why vertebrate securin is not essential (10). Our findings furthermore suggest that, in a primordial eukaryotic cell, the control of sister chromatid separation and mitotic exit might have been both mastered by Cdk1-cyclin B1. Then, with the invention of securin, sister chromatid separation was gradually handed over to this folding helper and superior inhibitor but stayed linked to mitotic exit via the simultaneous anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome-mediated degradation of securin and cyclin B1. In some organisms such as yeast, the Cdk1-cyclin B1-dependent separase regulation appears to have been totally lost during evolution. However, as mouse genetics teach us, this form of anaphase control remains essential in mammals until today (19, 20).
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