Larvicidal Activity of a Natural Botanical Biostop Moustiques® and Physiological Changes Induced in Susceptible and Resistant Strains of Anopheles gambiae Giles (Diptera: Culicidae)
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Abstract: The larvicidal activity of Biostop Moustiques® (BM), a botanical biocide, was studied on susceptible and resistant strains of Anopheles gambiae s.s. at the concentrations of 1, 5, 10 and 20 mL/L of water. In addition to mortality and total protein concentration, the effect of BM on the activity of the following metabolic enzymes was evaluated in fourth instar larvae: glutathione-S-transferase (GST), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), superoxide dismutase (SOD), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) and glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PDH). BM caused more than 90% mortality at different larval stages and had median lethal concentration (LC50) of 8.13 mL/L in susceptible Kisumu strain and 8.08 mL/L in resistant Acerkis strain. Protein concentration increased significantly in both strains when BM concentration was higher than 1 mL/L. GST and ALP activities increased significantly in both strains. LDH activity increased in Kisumu strain at 5 mL/L and decreased in Acerkis strain at all concentrations. G6PDH activity significantly increased with a maximum effect at 1 mL/L for Acerkis and 5 mL/L for Kisumu. BM completely suppressed SOD activity at 10 mL/L for Kisumu strain and 1 mL/L for Acerkis strain. This study showed that BM had a high larvicidal activity against both strains of Anopheles gambiae and it elicited a wide range of physiological changes.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Malaria, yellow fever, lymphatic filariasis and others are the most prevalent tropical vector-borne communicable diseases in West African sub-region where malaria is a major public health matter in children under five years and pregnant women [1]. In Togo, a mortality rate of 19% in patients hospitalized for malaria was reported in 2009 [2]. One of the World Health Organization (WHO) control programs on mosquito vectors (Anopheles gambiae s.l) is designed to prevent people against infective malaria mosquitoes by reducing vector longevity, vector density and human-vector contacts [1]. The most powerful and broadly applied interventions in vector control are long-lasting insecticide-treated nets (LLINs), indoor residual sprays (IRS) and the larval source control particularly by environmental management.

The control of larval stages of mosquitoes involves extensive and indiscriminate applications of synthetic insecticides that lead to environmental and health concerns, widespread development of resistance by mosquitoes and unwarranted toxic or lethal effect on non-target organisms [3, 4]. Hence, more attention has been focused on botanicals that are likely not to induce adverse environmental and health effects. A large number of plant-based products has been reported to have larvicidal or repellent activity towards mosquitoes [5-7]. Many studies have demonstrated that they are effective, eco-friendly, easily biodegradable, cheap and seem to be one of the possible alternatives to synthetic insecticides [8, 9].

Botanicals with larvicidal activity such as seeds oils, kernel extracts, leaves, roots and bark of plants have been used against mosquitoes in India, Nigeria, Brazil and many other countries [6, 10-12]. However, in Togo, no report has been yet documented on larvicidal activity of botanicals against mosquito vectors.

This study was designed to investigate the larvicidal activity of a botanical insecticide, Biostop Moustiques® (BM), in susceptible (Kisumu) and resistant (Acerkis, resistant to organophosphate and carbamate insecticides) strains of the mosquito Anopheles gambiae s.s. The mode of action of this biocide was also approached by exploring physiological changes reflected by the modulation of five enzymes, superoxide dismutase (SOD), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), glutathione-S-transferase (GST), glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PDH) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH).

Superoxide dismutase converts the superoxide anion O2− into H2O2 and is considered together with catalase as a pri-
mary defense against oxidative stress [13]. GST contributes to detoxification processes, by conjugating glutathione to xenobiotics, and to the defense against oxidative stress [14-18]. Alkaline phosphatase catalyzes the hydrolysis of phosphate monoesters and is involved in the transport of molecules and cell signaling [19-21]. G6PDH is the first and regulator key enzyme of the pentose phosphate pathway that contributes to the defense against oxidative stress and xenobiotic metabolism by generating NADPH (reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate) [22]. NADPH is a biological reducer and the cosubstrate of glutathione reductase, that regenerates the reduced glutathione, and cytochrome P-450 reductase that regenerates the detoxifying enzymes cytochromes P-450 [23]. LDH is involved in carbohydrate metabolism through conversion of pyruvate into lactate using NADH (reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide) [24].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Insecticide and Tested Organisms

The insecticide used in this study was Biostop Moustiques®, a 100% natural oil of coconut and some additional foodstuffs. It was developed in France in 1992 and was used as cutaneous insect repellent [25]. This biocide was kindly provided by Investegroup Company in Lomé (Togo).

Two laboratory strains of An. gambiae s.s were used for the experiments: Kisumu, a susceptible strain, and Acerkis, a resistant strain. The An. gambiae susceptible reference strain, Kisumu, was collected in Kenya in 1953 and has been maintained for many years under laboratory conditions [26]. The homozygous Acerkis strain, resistant to organophosphorous and carbamate insecticides, was obtained by introgression of the resistant ace-1 G119S allele into the Kisumu’s genome through successive backcrosses. Ace-1 G119S allele was obtained from a sample of resistant An. gambiae population collected in Bobo-Dioulasso (Burkina Faso) in 2002 [27]. Eggs of both strains were provided by the “Laboratoire de lutte contre les insectes nuisibles” of IRD (Montpellier, France). Eggs and larvae were reared under laboratory conditions (27 ± 2°C; 70-75% relative humidity), under 12L:12D photoperiod cycles. The larvae were fed with Tetramin® Baby Fish food (from Tetra, Avignon, France). Second, third and fourth instar larvae were used for the larvicidal bioassay and the surviving fourth instar larvae were used to explore physiological modulations through enzyme activity.

2.2. Chemicals

Antipain, aprotinin, leupeptin, pepstatin A, soybean trypsin inhibitor, Triton X-100, reduced glutathione, 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (DCNB) and 100 mM potassium phosphate pH 7.4 [29]. The conjugation reaction was monitored at 340 nm. Alkaline phosphatase activity was determined in a medium containing 20 μM (MgCl2), 2 mM p-nitrophenol and 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 [30]. The hydrolysis reaction was monitored at 410 nm. Superoxide dismutase activity was determined in a medium containing 25 μM of NBT, 100 μM of xanthine, 0.833 units/mL xanthine oxidase and 100 mM sodium phosphate/carbonate pH 7.8 [31]. The dismutation reaction was monitored at 560 nm. SOD activity corresponded to the difference in NBT reduction between assays performed in the absence and in the presence of tissue extract. Lactate dehydrogenase activity was determined in a reaction medium containing 5 mM EDTA, 0.2 mM β-NADH, 2 mM sodium pyruvate and 50 mM triethanolamine pH 7.6. The reaction was monitored at 340 nm. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase activity was determined in a medium containing 1 mM D-glucose-6-phosphate, 0.5 mM β-NADP+, 10 mM MgCl2 and 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4. The reaction was monitored at 340 nm.

2.6. Protein Assay

Protein concentrations were estimated using the method developed by Bradford [32] with bovine serum albumin (BSA) as the standard.

2.7. Data Analysis

The dose-response relationships obtained from bioassays were subjected to a probit analysis for calculating LC50 and
LC90 at 95% confidence interval for upper and lower limits, according to Finney [33], using PoloPlus version 1.0 (LeOra Software). Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 16.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL). Values were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (one-way ANOVA) and a Tukey’s multiple comparison was used as a post test. A p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data were expressed as means ± standard deviations (SD).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Larvicidal Activity of Biostop Moustiques®

The larvicidal activity of BM was studied on second (L2), third (L3) and fourth (L4) instar larvae. In L2 (Fig. 1), the lowest concentration of 5 mL/L induced 22.2 ± 2.6% and 13.0% ± 0.0 mortality in Kisumu and Acerkis strains, respectively. At 10 mL/L, 52.8 ± 1.9% and 23.9 ± 1.0% mortality were recorded in Kisumu and Acerkis strains, respectively. At these two concentrations, mortalities were significantly higher in Kisumu than in Acerkis (F(9,29) = 2.26,10^3, p < 0.001). At 20 mL/L, 100% mortality was observed in Kisumu strain and no significant difference was registered with Acerkis strain (p = 0.181).

In L3 (Fig. 2), 11.7 ± 1.7% and 7.2 ± 1.0% mortality were respectively observed in Kisumu and Acerkis strains at 5 mL/L (F(9,29) = 3.5, 10^3, p = 0.009). At 10 mL/L, 73.3 ± 2.9% and 53.9 ± 1.9% mortality were observed in Kisumu and Acerkis strains, respectively (F(9,29) = 3.5, 10^3, p < 0.001). At 20 mL/L, 100% mortality was induced in both strains.

In L4 (Fig. 3), no difference was observed between strains at each concentration (F(9,29) = 247.35, p = 1.0) (Fig. 3). The highest effects were elicited at 20 mL/L and were 91.0 ± 6.5% and 91.0 ± 1.7% mortality in Kisumu and Acerkis strains, respectively.

The LC50 values were assessed in larvae of the three developmental stages (Table 1). In Kisumu strain, LC50 values were 9.8, 7.82 and 8.13 mL/L for L2, L3 and L4, respectively. In Acerkis strain, LC50 values were 13.9, 9.15 and 8.08 mL/L, for L2, L3 and L4, respectively. In Kisumu strain, LC90 values were 17.82, 12.46 and 20.34 mL/L for L2, L3 and L4, respectively. In Acerkis strain, LC90 values were 19.3, 14.74 and 20.02 mL/L for L2, L3 and L4, respectively. It is noteworthy that the dead larvae showed necrosis of tissues.

3.2. Physiological Effects of Biostop Moustiques®

In Kisumu, GST activity increased at 10 and 20 mL/L. It was 47.43 ± 3.92 AU/min/mg of tissue for controls, and 50.17 ± 3.57, 65.61 ± 5.71 and 72.40 ± 10.84 AU/min/mg of tissue at 1, 5 and 20 mL/L, respectively (Fig. 4A). ALP activity increased at all doses. It was 13.77 ± 0.95 AU/min/mg of tissue for controls, and 17.20 ± 0.70, 20.28 ± 1.55, 20.13 ± 2.38 and 20.68 ± 2.29 AU/min/mg of tissue at 1, 5, 10 and 20 mL/L, respectively (Fig. 4B). SOD activity did not change at 1 and 5 mL/L but completely disappeared at 10 and 20 mL/L. LDH increased only at 5 mL/L with an activity of 124.18 ± 9.69 AU/min/mg of tissue (Fig. 4D). G6PDH activity increased at all concentrations except at the highest. It was 3.67 ± 0.86 AU/min/mg of tissue for controls.
Table 1. Larval toxicity of Biostop moustiques® to *Anopheles gambiae* s.s.

| Strain | Larval stage | LC50 / LC90 (mL/L) | 95% Confidence interval | Chi-square ($\chi^2$) |
|--------|--------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
|        |              | LC50               | LC90                    |                      |
| Kisumu | L2           | 9.8 / 17.82         | -                       | -                    |
|        | L3           | 7.82 / 12.46        | 7.40 - 8.26             | 11.53 - 13.73        | 1.26                 |
|        | L4           | 8.13 / 20.34        | 5.58 - 11.11            | 14.01 - 53.43        | 4.89                 |
| Acerkis| L2           | 13.9 / 19.3         | -                       | -                    |
|        | L3           | 9.15 / 14.73        | 6.98 - 12.19            | 11.32 - 31.16        | 7.05                 |
|        | L4           | 8.08 / 20.02        | 7.39 - 8.78             | 17.53 - 23.70        | 1.99                 |

Fig. (4). Physiological changes induced by Biostop Moustiques®. Fourth instar larvae of susceptible and resistant strains of *An. gambiae* s.s. were exposed for 24 h to BM. After 24 h, surviving larvae were sampled and physiological modulations were explored by investigating tissue activities of GST (A), ALP (B), SOD (C), LDH (D) and G6PDH (E). Data corresponded to means ± SD of tissue activities from three repetitions performed in hexaplicates. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between control and exposed larvae: (* Kisumu, $p < 0.001$ for all the enzymes except for GST: $p = 0.001$ at 10 mL/L, G6PDH: $p = 0.005$ at 1 mL/L and 0.003 at 10 mL/L, ** Acerkis, $p < 0.001$ for all enzymes except for LDH: $p = 0.003$ at 5 mL/L, G6PDH: $p = 0.003$ at 5 mL/L).
and 5.35 ± 0.48, 8.17 ± 1.09, 5.45 ± 0.18 and 4.64 ± 0.75 AU/min/mg of tissue at 1, 5, 10 and 20 mL/L, respectively (Fig. 4E).

In Acerkis, GST and ALP exhibited profiles close to those observed in Kisumu. GST activity increased at 5, 10 and 20 mL/L. It was 44.24 ± 1.77 AU/min/mg of tissue for controls, and 76.55 ± 3.05, 79.97 ± 5.77 and 81.67 ± 10 AU/min/mg of tissue at 5, 10 and 20 mL/L, respectively (Fig. 4A). ALP activity increased at all concentrations. It was 12.07 ± 1.35 AU/min/mg of tissue for controls, and 16.53 ± 1.63, 21.21 ± 1.40, 20.11 ± 0.84 and 20.89 ± 1.56 AU/min/mg of tissue at 1, 5, 10 and 20 mL/L, respectively (Fig. 4B). Exposure to BM elicited the complete repression of SOD at all concentrations (Fig. 4C). LDH was 73.57 ± 3.21 AU/min/mg of tissue for controls and decreased at all concentrations. It was 40.39 ± 7.07, 58.27 ± 9.04, 35.21 ± 1.88 and 44.64 ± 7.69 AU/min/mg of tissue at 1, 5, 10 and 20 mL/L, respectively (Fig. 4D). G6PDH activity globally increased with an effect particularly marked at 1 mL/L. It was 3.58 ± 0.09 AU/min/mg of tissue for controls, and 7.26 ± 0.72, 4.61 ± 0.23, 3.84 ± 0.17 and 5.07 ± 0.56 AU/min/mg of tissue at 1, 5, 10 and 20 mL/L, respectively (Fig. 4E).

In both strains, the tissue protein contents increased at 5, 10 and 20 mL/L (F(9,59) = 46.21, p < 0.001) (Fig. 5). Compared to the respective controls, this increase was more important in Acerkis than in Kisumu (p < 0.001). This general increase of protein contents resulted in modulation profiles of specific activity that were very similar to those of tissue activity (Fig. 6).

![Fig. (5). Effect of Biostop Moustiques® on protein concentration. Fourth instar larvae of susceptible and resistant strains of An. gambiae s.s. were exposed for 24 h to BM. After 24 h, surviving larvae were sampled and analysed for protein contents. Data corresponded to means ± SD of protein concentrations standardized to tissue mass from three repetitions performed in hexaplicates. Asterisks ( * and **) indicate statistically significant differences (p < 0.001) between control and exposed (*) and **: p < 0.001) except for Kisumu, p = 0.027 at 10 mL/L and Acerkis p = 0.021 at 1 mL/L.](image)

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

4.1. Larvicidal Activity of Biostop Moustiques®

In this study, the BM oil showed a larvicidal effect against different stages of Anopheles gambiae. It exhibits the same effect on L2, L3 L4 at 20 mL/L both in susceptible and resistant strains of the malaria vector An. gambiae. At dose < 20 mL/L, it induces different effects in the two strains with a higher toxicity in Kisumu strains. In a preliminary bioassay, more than 90% mortality in fourth instar larvae of a wild population of An. gambiae s.l was elicited at BM concentration of 20 mL/L. BM presents different toxicity profiles in L2 and L3, with a higher toxicity at low concentrations in Kisumu. In L4, BM presents similar toxicity profiles and the LC50 obtained confirmed that L4 of both strains are similarly susceptible to BM. Besides, microscopic observation of the dead larvae showed necrosis of tissues. Some plant extracts that have insecticidal effect on mosquitoes are even used for water purification, as it is the case for Moringa oleifera [34]. The insecticidal activity of different plant extracts could have important implications in mosquito larvae control. However, further researches are needed to state on the effectiveness of the biocide on natural breeding mosquito strains as far as this study was only done on laboratory susceptible/resistant strains of An. gambiae. BM derived from coconut oil can be supposed to have non-toxic effect on human being and then need to be considered in search of alternatives to conventional insecticides.

Plants extracts contain secondary metabolites that have insecticidal, antifeedant or repellent activity in pests of agricultural and medical importance [7, 35, 36]. However, extracts from certain plants such as Persea americana or Azadirachta indica can elicit cell and tissue lysis and impairment of hormonal secretion in mosquitoes [37, 38].

Some studies seem to indicate a low toxicity of plant extracts to non-target species. It has been demonstrated that some plant extracts exhibit larvicidal properties but an absence of toxicity to vertebrates such as fishes or mice [7, 39].

4.2. Physiological Effects

Generally, insect resistant to insecticides often exhibits elevated GST activity regardless of the class of insecticides [40, 41]. GST has been revealed as a useful biomarker to detect exposures to metals, organic pollutants [18] and pesticides [42]. In this study, BM induces an increase of GST activity in both strains, which could be interpreted as a biological response to detoxify BM components. GST is also involved in the detoxification of lipid peroxides caused by oxidative stress [17]. However, exposure to BM results in a complete repression of SOD in both strains although SOD, with catalase, represents primary defenses against reactive oxygen species [43, 44]. Thus, an increase of GST could be a response against oxidative damages induced by BM components and increased by SOD repression elicited by BM.

Plant extracts may cause disorganization of midgut epithelium [37]. The insecticidal lectins from Galanthus nivalis and Canavalia ensiformis significantly increased the level of alkaline phosphatase activity in Laccanobia oleracea larvae [45]. In the present study, BM increases ALP activity in both mosquito strains regardless of the concentration, which could be a protective physiological response against the action of BM. However, this contrasts with the decrease elicited by azadirachtin in the larvae of two insect pests, Cnaphalocrocis medinalis and Spodoptera litura [46, 47]. Thus, the modulation of ALP could be interpreted rather in terms of a specific response towards a given stressor than in terms of a non-specific protective response.
Fig. (6). Physiological changes induced by Biostop Moustiques®. Fourth instar larvae of susceptible and resistant strains of *An. gambiae* s.s. were exposed for 24 h to BM. After 24 h, surviving larvae were sampled and physiological modulations were explored by investigating specific activities of GST (A), ALP (B), SOD (C), LDH (D) and G6PDH (E). Data corresponded to means ± SD of specific activities from three repetitions performed in hexaplicates. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between control and exposed larvae: [(* Kisumu, *p* < 0.001 for all the enzymes, except for GST: *p* = 0.01 at 10 mL/L, ALP: *p* = 0.024 at 1 mL/L, 0.016 at 5 mL/L and 0.002 at 10 mL/L, G6PDH: *p* = 0.002 at 1 mL/L and 0.002 at 10 mL/L), (** Acerkis, *p* < 0.001 for all the enzymes, except for GST: *p* = 0.003 at 5 mL/L, ALP: *p* = 0.43 at 5 mL/L and 0.047 at 20 mL/L, G6PDH: *p* = 0.012 at 10 mL/L)].

LDH is an important glycolytic enzyme present in animal tissues [48]. LDH is widely used in toxicology and in clinical chemistry to diagnose cell, tissue and organ damages [49]. Its activity in insects treated with insecticides, either conventional or botanical, has been widely studied [50-52]. In the present study, LDH activity only increases at 5 mL/L of BM and does not change at the other concentrations in Kisumu strain. In Acerkis strain, LDH strongly decreases at all concentrations. This result is consistent with the large LDH decrease observed in *Schistocerca gregaria* exposed to neem limonoids, such as azadirachtin [49]. Because LDH is involved in energy production, the high decrease of LDH activity after treatment with BM could explain tissue necrosis observed in dead larvae.

The involvement of G6PDH in defense against oxidative stress has been investigated in mammals [53-55]. G6PDH is involved in the production of the reducer/antioxidant coenzyme NADPH by catalyzing the transformation of glucose-6-phosphate into 6-phosphogluconolactone in the pentose phosphate pathway [56]. This reaction is increased in oxidative conditions by an inhibition of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate-dehydrogenase (GAPDH) by reactive oxygen species [57]. This results in the shift of glucose-6-phosphate from glycolysis towards the pentose phosphates pathways. Thus, the increase of G6PDH is consistent with an oxidative stress induced by BM because the complete repression of SOD deprives larvae from one of the most efficient antioxidant defense.

BM elicits tissue necrosis and more than 90% mortality in larvae of susceptible and resistant strains of *An. gambiae*. BM also induces drastic physiological changes that sign, among other phenomena, an oxidative stress. The induction
of an oxidative stress is particularly significant in A. gambiae resistant strain because this latter is supposed to be better equipped to fight against oxidative conditions.

In this study, we have tested BM, a coconut oil derived biocide, and have used only laboratory strains of *A. gambiae*, a malaria vector. Thus, taking into account the very encouraging results, testing BM with wild strains appears to be particularly relevant.
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