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Abstract
The goal of this research and development is to produce development model training ball handling basketball for basketball athlete Senior High School. This research and development also done to find out in depth about: increased mobility in basketball athlete Senior High School and know the success rate development model training ball handling basketball reviewed from: effective, efficient, traction, productivity of the athlete in doing ball handling basketball for basketball athlete Senior High School. This research and development uses qualitative and uses development research methods Research and Development (R & D) Borg W. R and Gall. M. D. which uses 10 stages of development. The steps in this development research start from the following: (1) Research and information collecting, (2) Planning, (3) Develop preliminary form of product, (4) Preliminary field testing, (5) Main product revision, (6) Main field testing, (7) Operational product revision, (8) Operational field testing, (9) Final product revision, (10) Dissemination and implementation. Subjects in research and development is basketball athlete Senior High School. The results of this effectiveness test model training are uses statistical test with formula before-after (pre-test and post-test). The result of the pre-test calculation resulted in 781 with average 50.39 while the post-test resulted in 818 with average 52.77. Thus it can be concluded that the use of development model training ball handling basketball athlete Senior High School is effective in improving the individual skills of basketball athlete Senior High School.
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INTRODUCTION
Basketball game is a game played by two teams, each team consisting of five players. Where each player's position has generally been determined its position is as the following: player one as point guard (best ball handler), player two as shooting guard (best outside shooting), player three as small forward (versatile inside player), player four as power forward (strong rebounding forward), player five as post/centre (inside score rebounder). The objectives of the basketball game are to produce as many numbers as possible by entering the ball into the opponent's ring and preventing or blocking the opponent's game from scoring. Besides being a team or squad game, basketball games also require good individual skills from each player. Basketball game has basic techniques such as: (1) passing and catching; (2) Dribbling; (3) Shooting; (4) Ball Handling; and (5) Rebounding. One of the basic techniques that must be mastered is ball handling, this is because athletes have the good technique ball handling, then
it will be easy to master the other basic techniques and can be superior to other athletes. Moreover, ball handling is techniques that practice using high speed and do it with the right timing. This is very necessary to break defense from the opponent.

The results of observations by researchers when basketball athlete do the exercises and reviewing videos during practice and matches. So the researchers described that problems that affect basketball ball handling technique divided into two problems, including from the coaches and from the athletes themselves. The coaches include: (1) Lack of knowledge about ball handling technique, so that the coaches cannot develop individual skills from athlete; and (2) Ball handling technique less important than other basic basketball game techniques. Meanwhile, athletes include: (1) The difficulty of learning a ball handling technique, and need a good physique; (2) Training of ball handling techniques is saturating, because must be done repetitively; and (3) Timing in improper ball handling.

Based on the explanation above, it is necessary to use research model training ball handling for basketball athlete senior high school. In addition, this research will be adjusted to the concept and the limit researchers in development model training ball handling for basketball which is still lacking, so a development model training ball handling this basketball is required. development model training ball handling this basketball juga adapted to the stage of growth and development basketball athlete tingkatan senior high school, so that athletes grow and achieves according to his age. As for what needs to be considered in development model training this ball handling as follows: (1) Development individual skill athlete to even out individual skill abilities; (2) Give the opportunity for athletes to train harder at their training; and (3) Give specific tools to make its abilities more effective and progressive.

Development model training ball handling product has the following new things: (1) This model training ball handling made with added speed, so it takes a good physique and error rate in ball handling expected to be minimized; (2) Mastery of correct ball handling techniques in deciding the timing that should be used in the match and there is guarding from opposing players; and (3) This model training ball handling arranged systematically from the easiest to the most difficult.

### METHOD

The research method implemented in Senior High School to be precise at 3 (three) Senior High School in Cirebon Regency. The time of this research refers to research and development from Borg and Gall it takes two months, which is between February - April 2019. Development model training ball handling basketball which will be compiled and developed in the form of modification and effectiveness of movements in form ball handling training drill basketball to be precise 30 (thirty) training drill ball handling basketball for basketball athlete in Senior High School. These research and development steps refer to Borg. W. R & Gall. M. D. The research using qualitative and quantitative approaches, with research design pra-eksperimental which compares with the situation before and after using the new system (before-after), in this case there is an experimental group. Thus the pre-experimental model can be described as follows.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the needs analysis can be seen that: (1) 100% athlete really like basketball training; (2) 68% athlete really like offense movement technique; (3) 27% athlete prefer to basketball training with basketball ball handling concept; (4) 18% athletes never get basketball ball handling training material with effective movement and high speed; (5) 79% athlete are very bored when given repeated ball handling material.

After doing the data collection stage and making draft ball handling training model for basketball athlete in Senior High School, next is to do an expert test for get the feasibility or validity of the model made with direct expert judgment. The conclusions from the expert test conducted in 30 draft ball handling training model, all feasibility / valid to use.

1. Model Effectiveness (trials)
   a. First Stage Results / Small Group Trials

   The results of small group trials conducted on 30 basketball ball handling training models that were evaluated by experts showed that all models were valid, but must be adjusted to the characteristics of students.

   After that, testing the significance of the effectiveness and efficiency of the new training model was carried out through the experimental model (before-after). In this experiment, the researchers used assessment data from 10 respondents / athletes on the effectiveness of the old training model shown in the table below, while the effectiveness of the new basketball ball handling training model is shown in the following table.

   **Results of the Old Training Model Assessment**

   | No | Total Indicator Assessment | Score | x 100% | Category | Meaning |
   |----|---------------------------|-------|--------|----------|---------|
   | 1  | 20                        | 0.63  | 63     | Good     | Pass    |
   | 2  | 21                        | 0.66  | 66     | Good     | Pass    |
   | 3  | 25                        | 0.78  | 78     | Good     | Pass    |
   | 4  | 19                        | 0.59  | 59     | Enough   | Not pass|
   | 5  | 24                        | 0.75  | 75     | Good     | pass    |
   | 6  | 18                        | 0.56  | 56     | Enough   | Not pass|
   | 7  | 19                        | 0.59  | 59     | Enough   | Not pass|
   | 8  | 22                        | 0.69  | 69     | Good     | Pass    |
   | 9  | 23                        | 0.72  | 72     | Good     | Pass    |
   | 10 | 17                        | 0.53  | 53     | Enough   | Not pass|
   | Total Athlete | 208 |
To calculate the average effectiveness of the old training model, we must first determine the ideal score for that training model:
Ideal score: 1 x 4 x 8 x 10 = 320
Information:
1: The highest answer score
4: Four points of assessment indicators
8: Eight stages of movement
10: Ten respondents
Based on the table above, the amount of data obtained = 208. Thus the effectiveness of the old training model as a whole = 208 : 320 = 0.65 or 65% of the expected criteria.

**Results of the Assessment of the Basketball Ball Handling Training Model**

| No | Total Indicator Assessment | Score x 100% | Category | Meaning |
|----|----------------------------|--------------|----------|---------|
| 1  | 26                         | 0.81         | Very good| Pass    |
| 2  | 27                         | 0.84         | Very good| Pass    |
| 3  | 30                         | 0.94         | Very good| Pass    |
| 4  | 26                         | 0.81         | Very good| Pass    |
| 5  | 28                         | 0.88         | Very good| Pass    |
| 6  | 24                         | 0.75         | Good     | Pass    |
| 7  | 25                         | 0.78         | Good     | Pass    |
| 8  | 29                         | 0.91         | Very good| Pass    |
| 9  | 28                         | 0.88         | Very good| Pass    |
| 10 | 21                         | 0.66         | Good     | Pass    |

Total Athlete Score = 264

In order to calculate the average effectiveness of the new training model, we must first determine the ideal score for that training model:
Ideal score: 1 x 4 x 8 x 10 = 320
Information:
1: The highest answer score
4: Four points of assessment indicators
8: Eight stages of movement
10: Ten respondents
Based on the table above, the total data obtained = 264. Thus the effectiveness of the new training model as a whole = 264 : 320 = 0.83 or 83% of the expected criteria.

The comparison of the old training model with the new basketball ball handling training model is shown in the table below:
Old Training Model | Aspects of Psychomotor Assessment of Basketball Ball Handling | New Training Model
--- | --- | ---
65,00 | Total Movement Indicator | 82,50
65,00 | Average | 82,50

To prove the significance of the differences between the old and new training models, it was necessary to test statistically with a correlated $t$-test. The formula used is as follows:

$$t = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2} - 2r\left(\frac{s_1}{\sqrt{n_1}}\right)\left(\frac{s_2}{\sqrt{n_2}}\right)}}$$

**Correlated Model Performance Values**

| No | X1 (Old Training Model) | X2 (New Training Model) |
|----|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1  | 20                      | 26                      |
| 2  | 21                      | 27                      |
| 3  | 25                      | 30                      |
| 4  | 19                      | 26                      |
| 5  | 24                      | 28                      |
| 6  | 18                      | 24                      |
| 7  | 19                      | 25                      |
| 8  | 22                      | 29                      |
| 9  | 23                      | 28                      |
| 10 | 17                      | 21                      |
| $\sum X$ | 208                  | 264                      |
| $\bar{X}$ | 20,8                | 26,4                    |
| $S$  | 2,66                   | 2,63                    |
| $S^2$ | 7,07                   | 6,93                    |
| $r$  | 1,00                   | 1,00                    |

H0 : The effectiveness of the new training model is less or the same as the old training model
Ha : The effectiveness of the new training model is better than the old training model
H0 : $X_1 \leq X_2$
Ha : $X_1 > X_2$

Testing used in this study was $t$-test on right-side test. This right side test used because the alternative hypothesis (Ha) was "better".
To make a decision whether the comparison is significant or not, then the calculated t value needs to be compared with the t table value using df = n - 2 = 8. Based on table II regarding the values in the t distribution, if df = 8, for one-party test with an error level of 5%, then the value of t table = 1.86. If the t value lies in the receiving area of Ha, then Ha which states that the new training model is better than the old training model can be accepted. Based on the calculation, it turns out that the t count was 5.6 which indicates that the value lied in the area of acceptance for Ha or rejection of H0. Thus it can be concluded that there was a significant difference (can be generalized) in the effectiveness of the new and old training models, where the new training model was better than the old training model regarding ball handling material for high school basketball athletes.

The next step after the model underwent a stage II revision from the expert was testing the model to a large group using research subjects as many as 60 high school basketball athletes in Cirebon Regency consisting of 30 basketball athletes in the experimental group and 30 basketball athletes in the control group.

Assessment data from 60 respondents / basketball athletes on the effectiveness of the basketball ball handling training model is shown in the following table:

| Name | Pre-Test (O1) | Name | Post-Test (O2) |
|------|--------------|------|---------------|
| 1    | 27           | 1    | 28            |
| 2    | 26           | 2    | 27            |
| 3    | 25           | 3    | 26            |
| 4    | 27           | 4    | 27            |
| 5    | 29           | 5    | 30            |
| 6    | 25           | 6    | 26            |
| 7    | 29           | 7    | 30            |
| 8    | 24           | 8    | 26            |
| 9    | 25           | 9    | 25            |
| 10   | 29           | 10   | 30            |
| 11   | 24           | 11   | 25            |
The results of the pre-test showed that the total score of the ball handling technique for high school basketball athletes was 781 and the average was 50.39. After that, the treatment was given to basketball athletes using the ball handling basketball models that have been developed. After the treatment was given, the subject was tested again with the same test as the previous basketball ball handling technique test. Post-test or final test was measured to determine whether there was an increase in the ability of basketball ball handling techniques in basketball athletes after giving treatment in the form of basketball ball handling training models. The results of the post test showed that the total score of respondent was 818 and the average was 52.77.

|   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|
| 12| 27| 12| 28|
| 13| 27| 13| 27|
| 14| 25| 14| 25|
| 15| 29| 15| 30|
| 16| 26| 16| 28|
| 17| 25| 17| 25|
| 18| 26| 18| 27|
| 19| 24| 19| 29|
| 20| 26| 20| 26|
| 21| 27| 21| 28|
| 22| 27| 22| 30|
| 23| 28| 23| 29|
| 24| 24| 24| 25|
| 25| 24| 25| 28|
| 26| 24| 26| 25|
| 27| 28| 27| 29|
| 28| 23| 28| 24|
| 29| 24| 29| 26|
| 30| 27| 30| 29|
| Total| 781| Total| 818|
| Average| 50.39| Average| 52.77|
CONCLUSION

Based on the data obtained, from the results of small group trials and large group trials as well as discussion of research results, it can be concluded that:

1. With the development of a basketball ball handling technique training model, it can improve the ability of high school basketball athletes.
2. Through the development of this training model, it can help basketball coaches to provide basketball ball handling exercises effectively and efficiently for high school basketball athletes.
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