GUM CHEWING DECREASES THE DURATION OF POSTOPERATIVE ILEUS AFTER ILEOSTOMY REVERSAL: A SINGLE CENTER EXPERIENCE.
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ABSTRACT... Objectives: To compare post-operative gum chewing with standard care in cases having elective ileostomy closure in terms of mean length of hospital stay and mean time of passage of first flatus. Study Design: Randomized Control Trial. Setting: Department of Surgery, Allied Hospital Faisalabad. Period: 1st January 2017 to 31st December 2017. Material & Methods: Patients of 20 to 60 years undergoing elective surgery for typhoid ileal perforation were included in the study after informed consent. Two groups with A: allocated to chewing gum three times a day up to discharge; Control group: allocated to standard post-operative care without chew gum. Length of hospital stay and time of passage of first flatus was noted. Results: In this study, mean length of hospital stay in Chewing gum group was 7.73±0.74 days and 10.27±0.87 days in Control group, p value was 0.0001, mean time of passage of first flatus in Chewing gum group was 49.97±1.69 hrs and 89.17±2.07 hrs in Control group, p value was 0.0001. Conclusion: We concluded that post-operative gum chewing with standard post-operative care in patients undergoing elective surgery for ileostomy closure done for typhoid ileal perforation in terms of mean length of hospital stay and mean time of passage of first flatus is significantly better than those without gum chewing.
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INTRODUCTION

Enteric fever can be fatal for all age groups, with a global mortality rate of about 20% if no effective treatment is done, which falls to about 3% of active and effective management is done. Most of the patients having enteric fever have acute or chronic complications, but exact incidence varies among age groups and populations. Most serious complication is Typhoid intestinal perforation (TIP). The incidence of enteric perforation is about 20% to 40% patients.¹ The procedure done in patients with enteric perforation is Ileostomy, i.e opening the small gut on the abdominal wall, which is a safe practice among patients having peritonitis.²

Most often ileostomy is done for temporary reasons; aim of which is reversal within 3 months but varies a lot from hospitals-to-hospitals, as it is simple, short but needs skills.³ It often requires dissection of adhesions and bowel mobilization.⁴ GI disturbance including ileus can lead to serious discomfort and increase morbidity after the procedure.⁵ Generally, this postoperative gastrointestinal dysfunction improves by decompressing the stomach and stopping oral diet along with IV fluids until conditions is improved.⁶ Recently, many studies on gum chewing during the post-operative period have shown improvement in postoperative gastrointestinal dysfunction.⁷

The concept of gum chewing was introduced in 2002 and was proven to be helpful in ileus patients due to gastric stimulation.⁸ Sham feedings promotes digestion through vagal stimulation and hormones secretions in the gut.⁹ Gum chewing is a more convenient method of stimulation.⁸ Results of different studies show controversy regarding the use of post-operative
gum chewing in terms of length of hospital stay and time of passage of first flatus.

As, there is no local data available I designed this study to assess a better method with short length of hospital stay, lesser time of passage of first flatus and lesser time of appearance of bowel sound that will be offered to the patients in future.

**MATERIAL & METHODS**

Patients of 20 to 60 years old undergoing elective surgery for typhoid ileal perforation were included after informed consent in this trial done Allied Hospital Faisalabad after approval from the ethical committee.

Typhoid ileal perforation was defined on clinical grounds with fever (axillary temperature > 99.6 starts low and increases daily) with headache, muscle aches, loss of appetite, abdominal pain and peritonitis (abdominal tenderness with difficulty in flatus), along with radiological grounds (x-ray abdomen erect showing air-under-diaphragm or intra-peritoneal fluid seen on abdominal sonography). Length of hospital stay was defined in days (from the date of surgery till discharge).

Discharge criteria was defined as patient having stable vitals with no fever, tolerating solid food without nausea or vomiting, operation pain controlled with no obvious complications, and can follow routine alone or with attendant care. Time of passage of first flatus was measured from time of completion of surgery to the time when the patient passes intestinal gas after surgery. It was based on history from the patient.

Patients with inflammatory bowel disease, abdominal radiation exposure, pregnant females, those admitted in ICU for >24 hrs postoperatively were excluded from study.

Sample size of 60 calculated using WHO sample size calculator for 2 mean, test value of population mean =7.63, anticipated population mean =9.47, with pooled deviation =2.15 power of study 80% and level of significance =80%. Objective was explained to all and Two groups with A: allocated to chewing gum three times a day up to discharge; Control group: allocated to standard post-operative care without chew gum. Length of hospital stay and time of passage of first flatus was noted.

Data was recorded on predesigned performa. Data was analyzed using SPSS 21. Descriptive statistics like mean and standard deviation was calculated for variables like age, length of hospital stay and time of passage of first flatus. Frequency was calculated for qualitative variables like gender. Independent sample t-test was used to compare length of hospital stay, time of passage of first flatus between two groups. P value <0.05 was taken as significant. Effect modifiers like age and gender was controlled by stratification. Post stratification independent sample t-test was applied.

**RESULTS**

60 patients (30 in chewing gum group and 30 in control group) were included in the study strictly following the inclusion and the exclusion criteria to compare post-operative gum chewing with standard post-operative care in patients undergoing elective surgery for ileostomy closure after perforation in terms of mean length of hospital stay and mean time of passage of first flatus.

Age distribution showed that 53.33%(n=16) in Chewing gum group and 50%(n=15) in Control group were between 20-40 years of age whereas 46.67%(n=14) in Chewing gum group and 50%(n=15) in Control group were between 41-60 years of age, mean+sd was calculated as 39.1+8.57 years in Chewing gum group and 40.63+9.40 years in Control group. (Table-I)

| Age (in Years) | Chewing Gum Group(n=30) | Control Group(n=30) |
|---------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| n            |            | n | % |
| 20-40        | 16         | 15 | 50 |
| 41-60        | 14         | 15 | 50 |
| Total        | 30         | 30 | 100 |

Table-I. Age distribution (n=60)
Gender distribution of the patients was done, it shows that 56.67% (n=17) in Chewing gum group and 53.33% (n=16) in Control group were male cases whereas 43.33% (n=13) in Chewing gum group and 46.67% (n=14) in Control group females. (Table-II)

| Gender | Chewing Gum Group (n=30) | Control Group (n=30) |
|--------|--------------------------|----------------------|
|        | n | %  | n | %  |
| Male   | 17 | 56.67 | 16 | 53.33 |
| Female | 13 | 43.33 | 14 | 46.67 |
| Total  | 30 | 100  | 30 | 100  |

Table-II. Gender distribution (n=60)

Mean length of hospital stay in Chewing gum group was 7.73±0.74 days and 10.27±0.87 days in Control group, p value was 0.0001. (Table-III)

| Length of Hospital Stay (in days) | Chewing Gum Group (n=30) | Control Group (n=30) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
|        | Mean | SD   | Mean | SD   |
|        | 7.73 | 0.74 | 10.27| 0.87 |

Table-III. Comparison of length of hospital stay (n=60) P value=0.0001

Mean time of passage of first flatus in Chewing gum group was 49.97±1.69 hrs and 89.17±2.07 hrs in Control group, p value was 0.0001. (Table-IV)

| Time of Passage of First Flatus (hrs) | Chewing Gum Group (n=30) | Control Group (n=30) |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
|                                     | Mean | SD   | Mean | SD   |
|                                     | 49.97| 1.69 | 89.17| 2.07 |

Table-IV. Comparison of time of passage of first flatus (n=60) P value=0.0001

Effect modifiers like age and gender was controlled by stratification. Post stratification independent sample t-test was applied. (Table-V to VIII)

Age: 20-40 years

| Time of Passage of First Flatus (hrs) | Chewing Gum Group (n=30) | Control Group (n=30) |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
|                                     | Mean | SD   | Mean | SD   |
|                                     | 50.19| 1.87 | 88.53| 1.73 |

Table-VII. Stratification for comparison of time of passage of first flatus with regards to age (n=60) P value=0.0001

Age: 41-60 years

| Time of Passage of First Flatus (hrs) | Chewing Gum Group (n=30) | Control Group (n=30) |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
|                                     | Mean | SD   | Mean | SD   |
|                                     | 49.71| 1.49 | 88.90| 2.24 |

Table-VIII. Stratification for comparison of time of passage of first flatus with regards to gender (n=60) P value=0.0001
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| Female | Chewing Gum Group (n=30) | Control Group (n=30) |
|--------|--------------------------|----------------------|
|        | Mean | SD | Mean | SD |
| Time of Passage of First Flatus (hrs) | 50.31 | 1.65 | 90.14 | 2.03 |

P value = 0.0001

DISCUSSION

Studies have reported that gums chewing after abdominal surgeries decrease postoperative ileus (POI); but very few studies are available on role of chewing gum after ileostomy reversal cases. This study was aimed to assess a better method with short length of hospital stay, lesser time of passage of first flatus and lesser time of appearance of bowel sound that will be offered to the patients in future.

In this study, mean length of hospital stay in Chewing gum group was 7.73±0.74 days and 10.27±0.87 days in Control group, p value was 0.0001, mean time of passage of first flatus in Chewing gum group was 49.97±1.69 hrs and 89.17±2.07 hrs in Control group, p value was 0.0001. These findings are comparable with a study, where the length of hospital admission and treatment in gum chewing group was 7.63±1.47 days while in control group it was 9.47±2.67 days (p-value < 0.05), time of passage of first flatus in gum chewing group was 51.07±19.63 hours while in control group it was 87.83±25.89 hours (p-value < 0.05).10

In another study, length of hospital stay in gum chewing group was 6.31±5.47 days while in control group it was 6.26±5.83 days (p-value > 0.05), time of passage of first flatus in gum chewing group was 71.64±78.42 hours while in control group it was 82.11±52.31 hours (p-value > 0.05).11 These findings do not match with our study.

In a Dutch study done on the role of gum chewing after abdominal surgery requiring gut mobilization, showed that about 27% cases improved and had lesser complications like ileus versus 48% control patients (P=0.02). Most of the cases in the experimental group passed their first stool in 4 days (P=0.006) and also most of the cases had their first flatus in the post-operative (in 2 days (P=0.044), thus concluding that it is a safe practice to use chewing gum to prevent ileus in post-op patients. Duk YH, et al12 also showed almost similar results in his study in which they studied laparoscopic colorectal cancer surgery patients.

Sanjay M et al.13 also studied the role of gum chewing on patients of small bowel anastomosis i.e ileostomy closure in cases of typhoid perforation and reported that even in cases requiring breaking up of adhesions with further bowel handling also improved with the use of gum chewing technique. The positive results were also got in some other researches.

Similarly, Shin YJ, et al.14 reported, after studying the role of chewing gum in cases of open-liver resection and liver cancer patients, an active recovery in the bowel movement and also decreased the xerostomia grade.

Kazuyoshi T et al.15 reported that in the post-op bowel movement and activity improved with gum chewing in patients of abdominal aortic surgery. Flatus was passed on earlier in experimental group compared to the control group (P=0.0004) and oral intake started earlier in cases versus controls (P=0.023).

Gum chewing enhanced early recovery of bowel function following transperitoneal abdominal aortic surgery. Finally, the results of our study in accordance to the above supported studies justifying the hypothesis that “Gum chewing is better than standard post-operative care in patients undergoing elective surgery for ileostomy closure done for typhoid ileal perforation in terms of mean length of hospital stay and mean time of passage of first flatus”.

CONCLUSION

We concluded that post-operative gum chewing with standard post-operative care in patients undergoing elective surgery for ileostomy closure done for typhoid ileal perforation in terms of mean length of hospital stay and mean time of
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passage of first flatus is significantly better than those without gum chewing.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST
All authors have declared that there is no conflict of interest.

LIMITATION OF STUDY
This study was conducted in a single tertiary hospital in one city. This trial should be done on a larger scale and larger data is required. Further controlled trials should be done to see any complications related to chewing gum.
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