Suppression of atom motion and metal deposition in mixed ionic electronic conductors

Pengfei Qiu\textsuperscript{1}, Matthias T. Agne\textsuperscript{2}, Yongying Liu\textsuperscript{1}, Yaqin Zhu\textsuperscript{1}, Hongyi Chen\textsuperscript{1,3}, Tao Mao\textsuperscript{1,3}, Jiong Yang\textsuperscript{4}, Wenqing Zhang\textsuperscript{5}, Sossina M. Haile\textsuperscript{2}, Wolfgang G. Zeier\textsuperscript{6}, Jürgen Janek\textsuperscript{6}, Ctirad Uher\textsuperscript{7}, Xun Shi\textsuperscript{1}, Lidong Chen\textsuperscript{1} & G. Jeffrey Snyder\textsuperscript{2}

Many superionic mixed ionic–electronic conductors with a liquid-like sublattice have been identified as high efficiency thermoelectric materials, but their applications are limited due to the possibility of decomposition when subjected to high electronic currents and large temperature gradients. Here, through systematically investigating electromigration in copper sulfide/selenide thermoelectric materials, we reveal the mechanism for atom migration and deposition based on a critical chemical potential difference. Then, a strategy for stable use is proposed: constructing a series of electronically conducting, but ion-blocking barriers to reset the chemical potential of such conductors to keep it below the threshold for decomposition, even if it is used with high electric currents and/or large temperature differences. This strategy not only opens the possibility of using such conductors in thermoelectric applications, but may also provide approaches to engineer perovskite photovoltaic materials and the experimental methods may be applicable to understanding dendrite growth in lithium ion batteries.
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Superionic conductors are solids in which at least one type of atom forms a rigid sublattice framework and another type of atom forms a "melted" liquid-like sublattice composed of highly mobile charged atoms, i.e., ions. The description of the ensemble of highly mobile ions in terms of a "liquid" sublattice derives from the fact that the entropy change during transition into the superionic phase is comparable to the entropy change derives from the fact that the entropy change during transition perovskite solar cell materials (e.g., CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$) and Cu-based MIECs as "phonon-liquid electron-crystal". A large family of novel Cu-, Ag-, and Zn-based superionic MIECs have been identified satisfying this concept—with typical examples being Cu$_2$X (X=S, Se, Te), Ag$_2$Se, Cu$_2$Se$_2$, Cu$_2$FeS$_3$, Cu$_2$PSe$_6$, and Cu$_2$Sb$_2$S$_3$, et al. In a MIEC, both the ions and electrons (or holes) are mobile such that atom (rather than ion) migration is possible, which may lead to composition changes of various MIECs with a liquid-like ionic sublattice in an electric field or temperature gradient, we reveal the relations of ion migration, metal deposition, and materials performance and the fact that Cu is relatively non-toxic and earth-abundant has attracted much attention to these MIECs for both fundamental studies and industrial applications.

Despite the high performance, the stability and reliability of MIECs are key concerns for long-term service in real applications for batteries, photovoltaics, and TEs. In Li-ion batteries, for instance, Li dendrite growth can result in catastrophic failure leading to combustion or explosion. In CdTe–Cu$_2$Te solar cells, Cu atoms can migrate into the CdTe absorber and CdS window layers to form carrier traps that reduce device performance. In TEs, the added potential risk using MIECs contributed to the discontinuation of Cu$_2$Se development for aeronautics and space applications. The mobile Cu species in Cu-based MIECs are prone to deposit on the surface at the cathode to form Cu metal when an external electric field or temperature gradient is applied (Fig. 1a). If Cu is not supplied at the anode, the Cu metal deposition at the cathode will change the initial material composition and degrade TE performance as the metal deposition caused by ion flux must be restricted to improve the stability and reliability of TE devices consisting of MIECs before the use in any industrial application.

In this study, through systematically investigating the behavior of various MIECs with a liquid-like ionic sublattice in an electric field with or without a temperature gradient, we reveal the relations of ion migration, metal deposition, and materials formation of conducting paths in dielectrics is the basis for future information storage technologies (atomic switching and memristive devices).

Recently, the application of superionic mixed ionic–electronic conductors (MIECs) with a liquid-like sublattice has been extended to the field of thermoelectrics (TEs). A concept named "phonon-liquid electron-crystal" (PLEC) has been proposed to design and develop high-performance TE materials that conduct phonons like a liquid and electrons like a crystal. A large family of novel Cu-, Ag-, and Zn-based superionic MIECs have been identified satisfying this concept—with typical examples being Cu$_2$X (X=S, Se, Te), Ag$_2$Se, Cu$_2$Se$_2$, Cu$_2$FeS$_3$, Cu$_2$PSe$_6$, and Cu$_2$Sb$_2$S$_3$, et al. In a MIEC, both the ions and electrons (or holes) are mobile such that atom (rather than ion) migration is possible, which may lead to composition changes within the MIECs. Many new and unusual electrical and thermal transport properties, such as the reduced specific heat, ultralow and temperature independent lattice thermal conductivity, and extremely high TE figure of merit $zT>2.0$ have been observed and reported in these MIECs. The high TE performance and the fact that Cu is relatively non-toxic and earth-abundant has attracted much attention to these MIECs for both fundamental studies and industrial applications.

Despite the high performance, the stability and reliability of MIECs are key concerns for long-term service in real applications for batteries, photovoltaics, and TEs. In Li-ion batteries, for instance, Li dendrite growth can result in catastrophic failure leading to combustion or explosion. In CdTe–Cu$_2$Te solar cells, Cu atoms can migrate into the CdTe absorber and CdS window layers to form carrier traps that reduce device performance. In TEs, the added potential risk using MIECs contributed to the discontinuation of Cu$_2$Se development for aeronautics and space applications. The mobile Cu species in Cu-based MIECs are prone to deposit on the surface at the cathode to form Cu metal when an external electric field or temperature gradient is applied (Fig. 1a). If Cu is not supplied at the anode, the Cu metal deposition at the cathode will change the initial material composition and degrade TE performance as the metal deposition caused by ion flux must be restricted to improve the stability and reliability of TE devices consisting of MIECs before the use in any industrial application.

In this study, through systematically investigating the behavior of various MIECs with a liquid-like ionic sublattice in an electric field with or without a temperature gradient, we reveal the relations of ion migration, metal deposition, and materials formation of conducting paths in dielectrics is the basis for future information storage technologies (atomic switching and memristive devices).
degradation in MIECs for TE application. A general model is proposed to reveal the thermodynamic threshold for decomposition of MIECs. With this understanding, we develop a strategy to improve the MIECs’ stability and reliability by adding electronically conducting, but ion-blocking interfaces in the material.

**Results**

**Mechanism of ion transport in mixed conductors.** The physical and chemical processes of atom migration and metal deposition in MIECs are presented in Fig. 1b–f. The mobile ions (Cu\(^{2+}\) in Cu\(_2\)Se and Cu\(_2\)S) possess high diffusivities, as they have relatively low activation energies for migration, \(E_a\) (e.g., 0.19 eV in Cu\(_2\)S and 0.14 eV in Cu\(_2\)Se\(^{20,21}\)). Simply, neighboring sites are energetically close and jumps are frequent (Fig. 1b). If there is no directional force or field applied to the uniform material, the random motion of ions is equal in all directions and there will be no net mass transport in a specific direction (Fig. 1c). In response to an external driving force (an electric field or temperature gradient) the charge carriers (ions and electronic species) flow (Fig. 1d). If the electrodes do not allow for ion transfer, there can be no net ionic current in the stationary state, and a concentration gradient of ions will form within the material. For reasons of electroneutrality, this concentration gradient will lead to an equal concentration gradient of electrons, and in essence, a chemical composition gradient is formed. If the concentration variation remains within the stability limits of the material, i.e., within the homogeneous phase field defined by the phase diagram of the MIEC, the concentration gradient of the mobile component acts as diffusion force opposing the external applied force on the mobile component, and a steady-state condition is achieved, i.e., there is no driving force for further migration of the neutral component (Fig. 1e). In the following, we describe transport of the neutral metal component as transport of atoms for the sake of simplicity. In the steady-state condition with ion-blocking electrodes, the material can still transport a net flux of electric charge (via electrons or holes in the presence of an electric field) and heat (via electrons or holes and phonons in the presence of a temperature gradient), but the net flux of atoms is zero. This steady-state condition of vanishing atom transport, also called the Soret steady state\(^{22}\), is similar to the condition in a conventional TE material (e.g., Bi\(_2\)Te\(_3\), PbTe, and SiGe\(^{23-25}\)).

However, the change in the chemical potential of the migrating atom may lead to decomposition of the MIEC and prevent the formation of a steady-state condition. At the electrode interface, a critical chemical potential may be reached where a decomposition (product) phase is favored. For instance, in Cu\(_2\)S\(_2\)Se\(_2\) when the chemical potential of Cu at the cathode is equal to or higher than the chemical potential of Cu metal, the reduction of Cu\(^{2+}\) to Cu metal at the cathode or the oxidation of selenium/sulfur anions to Se/S (solid and/or gaseous) at the anode can occur\(^{16-19}\). The chemical potential beyond which decomposition occurs also corresponds to a “solubility limit” of Cu in the MIEC. The maximum solubility of Cu precedes Cu metal deposition and the minimum solubility of Cu precedes Se/S oxidation. When the Cu concentration increases beyond this “solubility limit”, Cu metal will deposit at the cathode if the kinetics of metal crystallization allows (Fig. 1f). Once this happens, the material and the interface in the device can be permanently altered. We note that the deposition of Cu metal is hindered by a nucleation barrier. Then, for Cu deposition to occur, the chemical potential of Cu needs to be slightly higher than its standard potential, \(\mu^\circ\) (Cu), but all the general conclusions above remain the same.

The thermodynamic threshold for the maximum or minimum solubility in Cu-based MIECs can be reached if there is a sufficient change in the chemical potential of Cu atoms due to applied forces (electric field and temperature difference). In steady state, the net change in chemical potential of Cu atoms can be determined from the sum of the change in electrochemical potentials of the constituent ions and electronic carriers. Because copper atoms are in equilibrium with copper ions and electrons, the electrochemical potentials (\(\mu\)) are related by \(\mu_{\text{Cu}} = \mu_{\text{Cu}^{2+}} + \mu_e\). Thus the change in chemical potential across the material (defined by the electrodes each end is in contact with),

\[
\Delta \mu_{\text{Cu}} = \mu_{\text{Cu}}^\text{anode} - \mu_{\text{Cu}}^\text{cathode} = \Delta \tilde{\mu}_{\text{Cu}^{2+}} + \Delta \tilde{\mu}_e
\]

Consequently, our goal is to relate experimental parameters to \(\mu_{\text{Cu}}\) in order to explain the critical condition of Cu metal deposition in Cu-based MIECs.

From the flux equation of linear nonequilibrium thermodynamics (see Supplementary Note 1\(^{26,27}\), the electronic current density, \(J\), is driven by the gradient of the electrochemical potential of the electronic species and the temperature gradient through the relation

\[
J = -\sigma \left[ \frac{1}{z_e F} \nabla \tilde{\mu}_e + S_e \nabla T \right]
\]

where \(z_e\) defines the charge (–1 for electrons or +1 for holes), \(F\) is Faraday’s constant, and \(\sigma\) is the specific electrical conductivity. \(S_e\) which has the sign and units of the electronic Seebeck coefficient, captures the effect of thermodiffusion due to the temperature gradient \(\nabla T\). Using the analogous flux equation for ions, considering the case when ion-blocking electrodes are used (i.e., there is no ion flux, \(J_{\text{i}}(\text{anode}) = 0\)), then the electrochemical potential driving force exactly cancels the thermal driving force for ion migration. Explicitly,

\[
V\tilde{\mu}_{\text{Cu}^{2+}} = -z_e F \cdot S_{\text{Cu}^{2+}} \nabla T
\]

and \(S_{\text{Cu}^{2+}}\) similarly relates to the thermodiffusion of Cu ions. When the gradients in Eqs. (2) and (3) only apply in the \(x\) direction they can be integrated, which for linearly varying systems (such as MIECs\(^{18}\)) is equivalent to being multiplied through by \(\Delta x = L\) (\(L\) is the effective length between electrodes), and used in the relation defined by Eq. (1). Upon rearranging, we arrive at

\[
V = \frac{J L}{\sigma} = -\frac{1}{z_e F} \Delta \mu_{\text{Cu}} - S^\star \Delta T
\]

where \(S^\star\) accounts for the net effect of thermodiffusion and \(V\) is the experimental parameter (in units of voltage, calculated from \(J\)) of this study.

Because there are bounds to the chemical potential range over which the material can exist, there must be some critical chemical potential difference (\(\Delta \mu_{\text{Cu}}^\text{crit}\)) at which Cu metal deposition occurs. From Eq. (4), it is straightforward to find the voltage corresponding to this critical chemical potential difference:

i. in the isothermal case,

\[
V_c = -\frac{1}{z_e F} \Delta \mu_{\text{Cu}}^\text{crit}
\]

where \(V_c\) is a critical voltage that results from a critical applied current density \(J_c\), and,

ii. in a temperature difference,

\[
V_c = -\frac{1}{z_e F} \Delta \mu_{\text{Cu}}^\text{crit} - S^\star \Delta T
\]

where it is important to note that the sign of \(\Delta T(=T_{\text{anode}} - T_{\text{cathode}})\) is important, as will be discussed.
From this analysis it is expected that a voltage difference, not current density, is the critical parameter for Cu deposition. We also note that in open circuit conditions (no electronic or ionic current) the thermodynamics predicts a critical $\Delta T$ resulting in Cu deposition at the cathode (when $V_c = 0$ in Eq. 6).

Further consideration of the isothermal condition (Eq. 5) reveals that $V_c$ only depends on the composition of the compound relative to the “solubility limit”. This allows for the use of a microscopic defect model to relate off-stoichiometry, $\delta$, (in $\text{Cu}_2X$, $X = S$, Se) to the critical chemical potential in Cu-based MIECs. A parameter named as the critical off-stoichiometry ($\delta_c$) is introduced here, corresponding to the “solubility limit” of Cu concentration at the cathode of the MIEC. Based on the theory proposed by Yokota and Korte et al.\textsuperscript{28,29},

$$V_c = -\frac{RT}{F} \left( \text{Arsinh} \left( \frac{\delta}{2\sqrt{K_e}} \right) - \text{Arsinh} \left( \frac{2\delta - \delta_c}{2\sqrt{K_e}} \right) \right) \quad (7)$$

where $K_e$ is the equilibrium constant for electrons and holes that is independent of stoichiometry, $R$ is the gas constant, and $T$ is the temperature. The thermodynamic theory developed herein predicts that a given off-stoichiometry and temperature difference will result in limitations on the electrical potential difference that is stable across the material. Using this knowledge, the latter part of this paper will address possible ways to engineer stability in these materials for TE applications.

**Isothermal ion transport in mixed conductors.** Taking the family of Cu-based TE MIECs as an example, the determination of $V_c$ is introduced for the isothermal case. When an externally applied electric field does not raise $V$ between the two ends of the sample to the critical $V_c$ value, the Cu atoms simply redistribute inside the sample to form a steady-state concentration gradient of Cu without metal deposit at the cathode (Fig. 1e). After removing the electric field, the Cu concentration gradient gradually returns to the initial homogeneous state (Supplementary Fig. 1). Thus, for $V < V_c$, the Cu redistribution under the external electric field is temporary, with no lasting effect on the MIEC. However, when the external electric field reaches $V_c$ (Fig. 1f), Cu metal can deposit. Although metallic Cu is not thermodynamically stable after the electric field is removed, many of the metallic deposits cannot immediately diffuse back into the sample (kinetically limited). In this case, the average Cu concentration inside the MIEC is reduced (Supplementary Fig. 1), which causes measurable changes of the resistivity (Fig. 2a).

The $V_c$ values for several Cu-based TE MIECs, including $\text{Cu}_2X$ ($\delta = 0$, 0.01, 0.03, 0.04, 0.06, and 0.1), $\text{Cu}_2\text{Se}$, and $\text{Cu}_2\text{Se}_{0.5}\text{S}_{0.5}$, are experimentally determined at a constant temperature of 750 K (Supplementary Table 1) by using the apparatus and method shown in Supplementary Figs. 2, 3. The details can be found in the Supplementary Methods. The maximum $V_c$ value for all samples is only 0.11 V (Fig. 2b). Such small values are consistent with the ease of observing Cu-metal deposition in these TE MIECs\textsuperscript{16,17}. Notice that $V_c$ is constant for a series of $\text{Cu}_{1.97}S$ samples with various material lengths, $L$, whereas $J_c$ decreases with increasing $L$ (Fig. 2c). This agrees well with Eqs. (4–7) in which $V_c$ is not dependent on length but $J_c$ is. Thus, although it may seem natural to be concerned about metal deposition due to
high current density in a TE generator device, it is the voltage and not the current density that defines the critical quantity, which is in agreement with the insight of Eq. 4.

Furthermore, we found experimentally (Fig. 2d) that \( V_c \) gradually increases with increasing Cu off-stoichiometry (\( \delta \) in Cu\(_{2}\)-S). Intuitively, this coincides with a reduction in the chemical potential of Cu as the off-stoichiometric material is more willing to accept Cu atoms. This trend can be well explained by Eq. (7). According to the Cu–S binary equilibrium phase diagram\(^{30}\), Cu\(_{2}\)-S has a wide composition range (0 < \( \delta < 0.27 \)) at 750 K. Thus, for these Cu\(_{2}\)-S (\( \delta = 0, 0.01, 0.03, 0.04, 0.06, \) and 0.1) samples, constant values for \( \delta \) (\( \approx 0.03 \)) and \( K_f (\approx 2.24 \times 10^{-3}) \) fit the experimental data well using Eq. (7) (Fig. 2d). In theory, \( \delta \) should correspond to the Cu-rich phase boundary composition found on the phase diagram, but here it is used as a phenomenological constant. Since \( K_f = x_p x_p = \exp(-\Delta G_f/RT) \), where \( x_p \) and \( x_p \) are the molar fractions of intrinsic electrons and holes, respectively\(^{29}\), the electron–hole pair free energy of formation, \( \Delta G_{fi} \) is estimated to be 0.4 eV with consistent with the band gap of cubic Cu\(_2\)S reported by Lukashev et al.\(^{31}\) In addition, it was found experimentally that the \( V_c \) values for both Cu\(_2\)-S and Cu\(_2\)-Se increase with increasing temperature (Supplementary Fig. 4), which corresponds to an increase in \( \Delta G_{fi} \) associated with the increase in phase width of these MIECs with temperature\(^{30,32}\).

**Ion transport of mixed conductors in temperature difference.**

In the non-isothermal case, the relative directions of the electric current and the heat flux are expected to have dramatic effects on \( V_c \) (Eq. 6). If the current direction is the same as the heat flux direction (i.e., \( T_{\text{anode}} > T_{\text{cathode}} \)), the electrical potential and temperature difference work together to drive atom migration to the cathode. Conversely, when the direction of the electric current is opposite to the heat flux (i.e., \( T_{\text{cathode}} > T_{\text{anode}} \)), the current and temperature difference have opposed driving forces for atom migration. Although the necessary condition for metallic Cu to plate out is that the chemical potentials of Cu in the MIEC are that of Cu metal at the cathode, this is a necessary but not sufficient condition because some degree of overpotential may be required to initiate and drive the electrodeposition reaction. The rate of deposition is not addressed in the thermodynamics analysis here.

Nevertheless, irrespective of overpotential effects, \( \Delta \mu_{\text{crit}}^{\text{Cu}} \) is expected to be constant for a given temperature difference, regardless of the relative flux directions. This is because the range of chemical potentials corresponds to the range in compositional phase space, which is negligibly impacted by the electric field. For engineering applications, this allows us to contrast the critical current densities that can be applied relative to the temperature difference. For a constant magnitude of |\( \Delta T \)| and \( \Delta \mu_{\text{crit}}^{\text{Cu}} \) in Eq. (6), we can write

\[
V_{c,\text{same}} = J_{c,\text{same}} L / \sigma_{\text{avg}} = -\frac{1}{F} \Delta \mu_{\text{crit}}^{\text{Cu}} - S^* |\Delta T| \tag{8}
\]

when the current is applied in the same direction as the temperature gradient (\( J_{c,\text{same}} \)), or

\[
V_{c,\text{opposite}} = J_{c,\text{opposite}} L / \sigma_{\text{avg}} = -\frac{1}{F} \Delta \mu_{\text{crit}}^{\text{Cu}} + S^* |\Delta T| \tag{9}
\]

when the current is applied in the opposite direction as the temperature gradient (\( J_{c,\text{opposite}} \)). Here, \( L \) and \( \sigma_{\text{avg}} \) are the effective length and average electrical conductivity across the superionic phase on the sample, respectively. Put another way, \( V_{c,\text{same}} \) and \( V_{c,\text{opposite}} \) are different from the isothermal case due to the additional potential that results from the thermodiffusion of charged species (generalized Seebeck effect).

Taking Cu\(_{1.95}\)S as an example, the critical current density in the non-isothermal case is measured by using the apparatus and method shown in Supplementary Fig. 5. The details can be found in the Supplementary Methods. At a constant |\( \Delta T \)| (\( \approx 763–300 \) K), a significant difference in critical current density is required depending on the relative flux directions (Fig. 3a). If the current direction is the same as the heat flux direction, \( J_{c,\text{same}} \approx 0.3 \) A cm\(^{-2} \) is required to obtain metallic Cu deposition near the cold side. However, if the current direction is reversed, \( J_{c,\text{opposite}} \approx 20 \) A cm\(^{-2} \) is required to obtain metallic Cu deposition at the hot side. This observation is in agreement with the thermodynamic expectations, although overpotential effects may contribute. To further demonstrate the trend predicted by Eqs. 8 and 9, \( V_{c,\text{same}} \) and \( V_{c,\text{opposite}} \) were evaluated as a function of increasing |\( \Delta T \)| by using the \( \sigma_{\text{avg}} \) data shown in Supplementary Table 2 (Fig. 3b). As expected, \( V_{c,\text{opposite}} \) is found to increase with |\( \Delta T \)| and \( V_{c,\text{same}} \) is found to decrease with |\( \Delta T \)| (Fig. 3b).

**Strategy to improve stability in mixed conductors.**

In real TE generators the direction of the current flowing through the p-type legs is always the same as the direction of the heat flux (Supplementary Fig. 6). Consequently, the thermodynamic understanding validated through the temperature difference experiments is very helpful to design stable TE devices based on these high performance MIECs. Eq. 4 and Fig. 2c clearly show that \( V_c \) is length independent; thus, \( V_{c,\text{same}} \) in Eq. 8 is length independent as well. Changing the geometry cannot change the
critical chemical potential—it is fixed for a given temperature, temperature gradient, and off-stoichiometry. However, the total voltage across a TE leg can be increased by using a series connection of several segments of MIEC material, in which electrically conducting, but ion-blocking interfaces are used between the individual segments. The reason for this is simple: the total voltage across the series-segmented leg, \( V_{\text{seg}} \), is the sum of voltages across each segment, \( V_{\text{seg},i} \). When \( n \) segments are approximately the same length, and each segment has the same critical voltage, \( V_{\text{seg},c} \), the critical voltage for the entire leg is \( V_{\text{seg},c} = n \cdot V_{\text{seg},c} \).

The schematic of this strategy is shown in Fig. 4a. These interfaces limit the ion movement but allow the free movement of the electrons or holes. Schematically, the ion concentration distribution rises linearly (as in Fig. 1e) in each segment, but because the chemical potential is reset by the ion-blocking interfaces a saw-tooth like pattern can be obtained. Engineering the number of segments \( n \) can allow for high voltages (and corresponding current densities) without reaching the critical chemical potential that results in the degradation of the material.

Initially, we test this strategy at a constant temperature of 750 K. The unsegmented \( \text{Cu}_{1.97}\text{S} \) leg exhibits a critical applied current density of \( \approx 11 \text{ A cm}^{-2} \). However, for a three-segment \( \text{Cu}_{1.97}\text{S} \) leg, the critical applied current density is \( \approx 30 \text{ A cm}^{-2} \) (Fig. 4c). This is in excellent agreement with the thermodynamic theory. The critical chemical potential for \( \text{Cu} \) at 750 K is then equivalent to \( V_{\text{seg},c} = 0.09 \text{ V} \), as determined from the unsegmented leg. Because \( V_{\text{seg},c} \) is geometry independent, each segment has this same critical voltage. When the three segments are connected in series the critical voltage across the leg rises to \( V_{\text{seg},c} = 0.27 \text{ V} \), exactly as expected.

Series segmentation is also effective in the non-isothermal case. As before, the critical voltage for the leg is the sum of critical voltages for each segment. However, the temperature dependencies of \( \Delta \mu_{\text{Cu}}^{\text{crit}} \) and \( S^* \) may lead to different critical voltages for each segment. Nevertheless, the unsegmented leg can only substantiate a small current density of \( J_{\text{c,same}} = 0.3 \text{ A cm}^{-2} \) (Fig. 4d). A three-segment, leg, was found to sustain a significantly larger current density, \( J_{\text{c,same}} = 3.0 \text{ A cm}^{-2} \), about one order of magnitude higher than the unsegmented \( \text{Cu}_{1.97}\text{S} \) leg (Fig. 4d). Correspondingly, the critical voltage across the leg is increased from \( V_{\text{c,same}} = 0.002 \text{ V} \) to about 0.018 V. If more \( \text{Cu} \)-atom blocking layers are added, the critical total voltage across the leg can be expected to continue to increase. Therefore, the present data strongly suggests that MIECs can indeed sustain large current densities and achieve high stability whenever the local chemical potential is engineered to be lower than the corresponding critical chemical potential.

**Discussion**

By understanding the thermodynamic principles behind ion and atom migration and metal deposition in TE MIECs, we derive a thermodynamic model to understand the critical electrical potential difference for decomposition of non-stoichiometric...
Methods

Materials synthesis. The detailed preparation process of the Cu-based TE MIECs used in the present study can be found elsewhere\textsuperscript{44}.

Critical voltage determination. The experimental parameter, \( V_{\text{c}} \), was ascertained by monitoring the electronic properties (e.g., electrical resistance \( R \) and Seebeck coefficient \( S \)) of the material after applying different electric currents or temperature gradients. Because the TE properties of the MIEC, especially the electrical resistance \( R \) and (electronic) Seebeck coefficient \( S \), are very sensitive to the chemical composition, by monitoring the variation of the relative electrical resistance (\( R/R_0 \), where \( R_0 \) is the initial electrical resistance) or relative Seebeck coefficient (\( S/S_0 \), where \( S_0 \) is the initial Seebeck coefficient) under different current densities, the critical \( V_{\text{c}} \) can be determined. The critical current density, \( J_{\text{c}} \), corresponds to the point where \( R/R_0 \) (or \( S/S_0 \)) begins to decrease is shown in Fig. 2 a.

In the isothermal case, the chemical potential of Cu atoms is determined by the voltage on the sample generated by the electric current (see Eq. 4), which is determined experimentally as \( V = \frac{\sigma}{\rho} \) where \( \sigma \) is the applied electric current density, and \( \rho \) and \( L \) are the electrical conductivity and effective length of the MIEC, respectively. In the evaluation of \( V_{\text{c}} \), the temperature is set 750 K. The leg was set to 473, 523, 573, 623, and 673 K. The length of the measured sample is 10 mm. The critical 4-point electrical conductivity at the experimental temperature is used for resistance measurement. More measurement details can be found in Supplementary Methods.

In the non-isothermal case and \( T_{\text{node}} > T_{\text{cathode}} \) (Eq. 6), the electrical potential and temperature difference work together to drive atom migration to the cathode. In this case, the Cu metal deposition will occur at the superionic-phase/normal-phase interface if \( T_{\text{node}} \) is lower than the superionic phase transition temperature of the MIEC; or, at the cathode if \( T_{\text{cathode}} \) is above the superionic phase transition temperature. When the relative fluxes are opposed (\( T_{\text{cathode}} \) is the hot side), Cu metal deposits at the cathode so long as \( T_{\text{cathode}} > T_{\text{node}} \) is above the superionic phase transition temperature. This was accounted for in the effective length, \( L \), used to calculate \( V_{\text{c}} \). In the evaluation of \( V_{\text{c,ann}} \), the cathode temperature \( T_{\text{cathode}} \) was fixed at 300 K, but [\( \Delta T \)] was calculated relative to the superionic phase transition temperature of \( Cu_{1.97}S \) (350 K). The anode temperatures, \( T_{\text{node}} \), were 473, 523, 573, 623, and 673 K. To determine \( V_{\text{c,ann}} \) and \( T_{\text{cathode}} \) was fixed at 300 K and \( T_{\text{node}} \) was set to 473, 523, 573, 623, and 673 K. The length of the measured sample is 6 mm.

Segmented leg construction. The \( J_{\text{l}} \), and \( V_{\text{c,ann}} \), values for a \( n = 3 \) segmented \( Cu_{1.97}S \) leg (total length is \( L_{\text{total}} = 10 \) mm) are experimentally obtained. The leg is made by bonding three \( L_{\text{seg}} = 3.3 \) mm pieces together by using conductive carbon paste as the Cu-atom blocking layer. The data of this three-segment \( Cu_{1.97}S \) leg are compared with those of the unsegmented \( Cu_{1.97}S \) leg (\( L_{\text{total}} = 10 \) mm). Figure 4 d shows the relative Seebeck coefficient ratio \( S/S_0 \) values for a three-segment \( Cu_{1.97}S \) leg with \( L_{\text{seg}} = 2 \) mm, \( T_{\text{cathode}} = 673 \) K, and \( T_{\text{node}} = 300 \) K. The leg was fabricated in the same manner previously described. The data for the unsegmented \( Cu_{1.97}S \) leg (\( L_{\text{seg}} = 6 \) mm) is included for comparison.

Data availability. All data are available from the authors upon reasonable request. The raw data contained in Figs. 2, 3, and 4 and Supplementary fig. 4 are available upon request from X.S.
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