A new sawshark, *Pristiophorus laevis*, from the Eocene of Antarctica with comments on *Pristiophorus lanceolatus*
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**ABSTRACT**

The highly fossiliferous Eocene deposits of the Antarctic Peninsula are among the most productive sites for fossil remains in the Southern Hemisphere and offer rare insights into high-latitude faunas during the Palaeogene. Chondrichthyans, which are represented by abundant isolated remains, seemingly dominate the marine assemblages. Eocene Antarctic sawsharks have only been known from few isolated rostral spines up to now, that were assigned to *Pristiophorus lanceolatus*. Here, we present the first oral teeth of a sawshark from the Eocene of Seymour Island and a re-evaluation of previously described *Pristiophorus* remains from Gondwana consisting exclusively of rostral spines. The holotype of *Pristiophorus lanceolatus* represents a single, abraded and insufficiently illustrated spine from the Oligocene of New Zealand. All other Cenozoic rostral spines assigned to this species are morphologically very indistinct and closely resemble those of living taxa. Consequently, we regard this species as dubious and introduce a new species, *Pristiophorus laevis*, based on oral teeth. The combination of dental characteristics of the new species makes it unique compared to all other described species based on oral teeth. Rostral spines from the Eocene of Seymour Island are assigned to this new species whereas those from other Cenozoic Gondwana localities remain ambiguous.
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**Introduction**

The family Pristiophoridae Bleeker, 1859 (Pristiophoriformes Berg, 1958) is an ancient group of squalomorph elasmobranchs with a fossil record extending back into the Early Cretaceous, some 110 Myr ago (Keyes 1982; Kemp 1991). They are characterized by a dorso-ventrally flattened body and hypertrophied rostrum lined laterally by saw-teeth, which evolved convergently to the elongated and toothed rostrum in sawfishes of the family Pristidae Bonaparte, 1838 (Pristiformes Compagno, 1973) that belongs to batomorphs. The saw-teeth of sawsharks and sawfishes are, however, not homologous to oral teeth and are better named rostral spines (Smith et al. 2015). Major differences between sawsharks and sawfishes include non-growing and unequally large rostral spines that are usually arranged in an alternating pattern and only weakly anchored in the tissue rather than being set in sockets of the rostral cartilage, small additional rostral spines on the ventral side of the rostrum, and a pair of long ventral barbels anterior to the nostrils. The rostrum in saw-bearing elasmobranchs both are used to detect electric fields of possible prey and capture prey by stunning or disabling the prey with strikes of the rostral saw (Frazzetta 1994; Wueringer et al. 2012).

Living sawsharks comprise eight valid marine species representing two different genera, *Pliotrema* (Regan 1906) with a single species and *Pristiophorus* (Müller & Henle 1837) with seven species, respectively. While pristiophorids were distributed globally in the past, their modern-day occurrences are seemingly more restricted. They are confined to the western North Atlantic, the south-eastern Atlantic, the western Indian Ocean, the Arabian Sea, and the western Pacific inhabiting continental and insular shelves, and upper slopes in temperate regions and deeper waters in the tropics (Compagno et al. 2005). According to Musick et al. (2004) modern sawsharks have highest diversities in waters around Australia, relict areas in the Atlantic Ocean, but are extinct in the eastern Pacific. No species occurs in polar seas today (Ebert & Winton 2010).

Fossil pristiophorids are mainly known from isolated rostral spines that were used in the past to define species (e.g. Davies 1888; Applegate & Uyeno 1968), whereas oral teeth are very rare as fossils. The only known skeletal remains come from the upper Santonian of Lebanon (Cappetta 1980). A third, extinct pristiophorid genus, *Ikamaius* (Keyes 1979), was erected for isolated rostral spines from the Cenozoic of New Zealand (Keyes 1979). The rostral spines resemble those of the extant *Pliotrema* to some extent. Rostral spines of *Pliotrema*, however, can be easily identified by having strongly notched anterior and posterior cutting edges. Fossil remains of *Pliotrema* also are very rare and only a few records from the Palaeocene of North America and Miocene of South Africa are known (Case 1973;
Fossil remains, especially isolated rostral spines of *Pristiophorus*, conversely, are quite abundant and occur in many Late Cretaceous and Cenozoic marine localities. Rostral spines in extant species provide only few morphological traits and additionally display high degrees of intra-specific and ontogenetic variations rendering any species assignment of fossil taxa based on isolated rostral spines almost impossible. Thus, any species based strictly on these remains should be considered dubious (Underwood & Schlögl 2013; this study). Only five extinct species, *Pristiophorus tumidens* (Woodward 1932) from the Santonian, *P. lacipidinensis* Adnet 2006 from the Eocene, *P. rupeliensis* Steurbaut and Herman 1978 from the Oligocene, and *P. striatus* Underwood and Schlögl 2013 from the Miocene, were erected for teeth and can be considered valid. Records of these five species only are known from the Northern Hemisphere.

The purpose of this paper is to present the first unambiguous record of an extinct sawfish from the Southern Hemisphere, which is represented by isolated oral teeth and rostral spines from the Eocene of Antarctica. So far, pristiophorid remains from the Eocene of Antarctica only included isolated rostral spines that were assigned to *Pristiophorus lanceolatus* (e.g. Grande & Eastman 1986; Long 1992a, 1992b; Tambussi et al. 2006; Reguero et al. 2012; Kriwet et al. 2016), a species originally based on a very abraded rostral spine from the Oligocene of New Zealand by Davies (1888). The dental material presented here allows a re-evaluation and revision of these previous Antarctic finds. Additionally, the palaeogeographic distribution of *Pristiophorus* spp. is reviewed.

**Locality and stratigraphical setting**

Argentinian-Swedish field parties, as a joint project of the Instituto Antártico Argentino (DNA-IAA) and the Swedish Polar Research Secretary (SPFS), collected the material described herein during three Antarctic summer campaigns in 2011, 2012 and 2013 on Seymour Island off the Antarctic Peninsula in the Weddell Sea.
Highly fossiliferous sediments of the La Meseta and Submeseta formations are exposed in the northernmost part of West Antarctica, which is comprised of several tectonic blocks (Vaughan & Pankhurst 2008; Michaux 2009). These deposits provide a unique opportunity to study taxonomic compositions and the faunal turnover in Eocene ecosystems. Isolated shark teeth are the most abundant fossils on Seymour Island, but also remains of teleosts, whales, terrestrial mammals, penguins and flying birds as well as invertebrate and plant remains have been recovered (e.g. Marenssi et al. 1994; Doktor et al. 1996; Kriwet 2003; Schweitzer et al. 2005; Jadwiszczak & Mös 2011, 2016; Reguero et al. 2012; Bombfleur et al. 2015; Gelfo et al. 2015; Buono et al. 2016; McLoughlin et al. 2016; Schwarzhan et al. 2016).

Fossiliferous sediments on Seymour Island belong to two groups, the lower Marambio Group (Lopez de Bertodano and Sobral formations) spanning from the Late Cretaceous to the Paleocene and the overlying Seymour Island Group (Cross Valley and La Meseta formations), which ranges from the Paleocene to the Late Eocene/earliest Oligocene (e.g. Zinsmeister 1982; Grande & Chatterjee 1987). Montes et al. (2013) recently published a geological map of Seymour Island with improved age information for the Eocene marine horizons and stratigraphic sequences. The sedimentary Palaeogene sequence on Seymour Island is now divided into two geological units, the La Meseta and Submeseta formations. These formations are separated and bounded by a prominent erosional surface (Marenssi 2006). The La Meseta Fm. is an unconformity-bounded unit (Elliot & Trautman 1982; Iavny et al. 2008) and is organized into five erosional based allomembers named Valle de Las Focas (TELM 1), Acantilado (TELMs 2/3), Campamento (TELM 3), Cucullaea I (TELMs 4/5) and Cucullaea II (TELM 5) (Marenssi et al. 1998b; Montes et al. 2013). The recently defined Submeseta Fm. (Montes et al. 2013) corresponds to the uppermost part of the sedimentary sequence and is organized into three allomembers named Submeseta I (TELM 6), Submeseta II (TELM 7) and Submeseta III (TELM 7). The base of the unit is placed at 43.4 Ma (upper Lutetian) and the top at 33.9 Ma (Priabonian/Rupelian) according to Montes et al. (2013).

The depositional environment of the La Meseta and Submeseta formations is interpreted as having accumulated in estuarine, deltaic, or shallow marine settings (Marenssi et al. 1998a, 1998b). Geochemical evidence derived from fossil shell material suggests a considerable cooling event (Gazdzicki et al. 1992) during the deposition of the Submeseta Fm. (TELMs 6 and 7). The material that forms the focus of this study was recovered from the Cucullaea I Allomember of TELM 5 (late Ypresian), which occurs in the middle part of the La Meseta Fm. at two fossil sites, IAA 1/90 (GPS data: 64°14′04.67″S; 56°39′56.38″W, Figure 1), which is also named ‘Ungulate Site’ (e.g. Bond et al. 1990; Reguero et al. 2013) and IAA 2/95 (GPS data: 64°13′58″S; 56°39′06″W, Figure 1), informally known as the ‘Marsupial site’. The late Ypresian TELM 5 comprises well-laminated sediments and consists mostly of fine-grained sandstones and silty clays, with interbedded conglomeratic sandstones (Sadler 1988). Stilwell and Zinsmeister (1992) consider the depositional setting of Cucullaea I Allomember to represent a nearshore, ebb-tidal delta-barrier island complex, which was strongly influenced by waves and tidal currents.

**Material and methods**

Bulk samples were collected from both sites, IAA 1/90 and IAA 2/95, to obtain also teeth of small-sized vertebrates. All bulk samples were dry-sieved in the field, separated into different size fractions (2, 0.5, 0.2 mm) and subsequently sorted under a stereo microscope for invertebrate and vertebrate remains. The vertebrate material contains predominantly isolated elasmobranch teeth and placoid scales including the oral and rostral spines of the sawshark described here. Additionally, larger rostral teeth were obtained through surface collecting.

The oral teeth were studied with the help of a JEOL-6400 scanning electron microscope (SEM) at the Department of Palaeontology, University of Vienna. Before sputter coating (Sputter Coater SC 500), the teeth were cleaned with Rewquat® and mounted on stubs. Rostral teeth were photographed with a reflex camera and/or a 3D digital microscope (Keyence VHX-1000D 3D). The morphological terminologies and systematic framework used here follows those of Cappetta (2012).

**Systematic palaeontology**

Supraclass Chondrichthyes Huxley 1880
Class Elasmobranchii Bonaparte 1838
Cohort Euselachii Hay 1902
Subcohort Neoselachii Compagno 1977
Superorder Squalimorphii Compagno 1973
Order Pristiophoriformes Berg 1958
Family Pristiophoridae Bleeker 1859
Genus Pristiophorus Müller and Henle 1837
Type species: *Pristis cirrata* Latham 1794; extant *Pristiophorus laevis* sp. nov.

Figure 2(A1–D4)

1986 *Pristiophorus lanceolatus* Davies; Grande and Eastman, pp. 123, text-fig. 3.
1992a *Pristiophorus lanceolatus* Davies; Long, pp. 20, fig. 3.
1998 *Pristiophorus lanceolatus* Davies; Cione and Reguero, pp. 84, Tab. 1.
2005 *Pristiophorus lanceolatus* Davies; Kriwet, pp. 7, Tab. 1.
2006 *Pristiophorus lanceolatus* Davies; Tambussi et al., pp. 159, Tab. 4.
2012 *Pristiophorus lanceolatus* Davies; Reguero et al., pp. 278, Tab. 1.
2016 *Pristiophorus lanceolatus* Davies; Kriwet et al., pp. e1160911–6 – e1160911–8, fig. 5.

**Etymology**

The species name is derived from the Latin adjective ‘laevis’ meaning ‘smooth’, ‘not rough’ referring to the smooth cusp of the oral teeth and the rostral spines.

*Holotype.* A single oral tooth, NRM-PZ P. 16055 (Figure 2(A1–A4)).
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TELM 3 (Ypresian, Early Eocene): RV-8436, UCMP 322079, one specimen.

TELM 4 (Ypresian, Early Eocene): DPV 2/84 (Whale Jaw Site), one specimen, NRM-PZ P. 16076; NRM 6, one specimen, NRM-PZ P. 16077; IAA 1/11, NRM-PZ P. 16078; UCMP 322081, UCMP 322081, UCMP 322083, UCMP 322196, MOW 8660, (without collection number: 121 specimens); RV-8424, 14 specimens, UCMP 322080 (without collection number: 13 specimens).

Figure 2. SEM photographs of oral teeth of Pristiophorus laevis sp. nov. NRM-PZ P. 16055 A1: labial, A2: lingual, A3: profile, A4: occlusal views. NRM-PZ P. 16056 B1: labial, B2: lingual, B3: profile, B4: occlusal views. NRM-PZ P. 15914: C1: labial, C2: lingual, C3: profile, C4: occlusal views. NRM-PZ P. 16057: D1: labial, D2: lingual, D3: profile, D4: occlusal views. Scale bar equals 1 mm.

Type locality
Site IAA 2/95 (GPS data: 64°13’58’S; 56°39’06”W), Cucullaea I Allomember, TELM 5, La Meseta Formation, Seymour Island, Antarctica, Ypresian, Early Eocene.

Paratypes
Oral teeth from site IAA 1/90: NRM-PZ P. 16056 to NRM-PZ P.16057 and NRM-PZ P. 15914. Rostral spines from site IAA 2/95: NRM-PZ P. 15934, NRM-PZ P. 16058 to NRM-PZ P.16061 and NRM-PZ P. 16064. Rostral spines from site IAA 1/90 NRM-PZ P. 16062 and NRM-PZ P. 16063 (seven specimens) Figure 3(A1–C4) (depicted). Cucullaea I Allomember, TELM 5, La Meseta Formation, Seymour Island, Antarctica, Ypresian, Early Eocene.

Additional material
All additional material consist exclusively of rostral spines:

TELM 3 (Ypresian, Early Eocene): RV-8436, UCMP 322079, one specimen.

TELM 4 (Ypresian, Early Eocene): DPV 2/84 (Whale Jaw Site), one specimen, NRM-PZ P. 16076; NRM 6, one specimen, NRM-PZ P. 16077; IAA 1/11, NRM-PZ P. 16078; UCMP 322081, UCMP 322081, UCMP 322083, UCMP 322196, MOW 8660, (without collection number: 121 specimens); RV-8424, 14 specimens, UCMP 322080 (without collection number: 13 specimens).

TELM 5 (Ypresian, Early Eocene): IAA 1/90, 347 specimens, NRM-PZ P. 16066; IAA 2/95, 516 specimens, NRM-PZ P. 16067; Natica-Horizon, GPS 31, 45 specimens, NRM-PZ P. 16068; Natica-Horizon, south of IAA 1/90, 1 specimen, NRM-PZ P. 16069; Natica-Horizon, GPS 64, 48 specimens, NRM-PZ P. 16070; NRM 1, two specimens, NRM-PZ P. 16071; Monotreme Site, two specimens, NRM-PZ P. 16072; DPV 6/84 (Rocket Site), one specimen, NRM-PZ P. 16073; Wild North, one specimen, NRM-PZ P.16074; south of Marsupial Site, four specimens, NRM-PZ P. 16075; RV-8200, 131 specimens.
Figure 3. Pictures of rostral spines of *Pristiophorus laevis* sp. nov., NRM-PZ P. 16058: A1: dorsal view, A2: ventral view. NRM-PZ P. 16059: B1: ventral view, B2: basal view. NRM-PZ P. 16060: C1: dorsal view, C2: ventral view. NRM-PZ P. 16061: D1: dorsal view, D2: ventral view. NRM-PZ P. 16062: E1: dorsal view, E2: ventral view. NRM-PZ P. 16063: F1: dorsal view, F2: ventral view, F3: basal view. NRM-PZ P. 16064: G1: dorsal view, G2: ventral view. NRM-PZ P. 15934: H1: dorsal view, H2: ventral view, H3: basal view. Scale bar equals 5 mm.
A new species of *Pristiophorus* defined by the following combination of dental characters: Teeth with low, broad, and rather pointed principal cusp in labial view. Apex of cusp significantly curved lingually. No lateral cusplets. Labial crown face completely smooth, devoid of any ornamentation such as striae or costules. Broad lobed distal and mesial part of crown with distinct cutting edge; not reaching to the apex of the central cusp. Apron broad with semicircular labial edge, not well separated and overhanging root labially and laterally forming a distinct rim. Horizontal or slightly oblique ridges present lingually below crown (depending on jaw position) shoulders and on uvula. Uvula is prominent and rather broad. Tooth root high with few foramina on the lingual side.

**Differential diagnosis**

The character combination of the low and strongly lingually inclined principal cusp with completely smooth labial crown face, lingual horizontal ridges on lower portion of crown shoulders and on uvula, a rather broad and completely unornamented enameloid spanning from both sides of the lingual uvula, and a high root with few foramina readily distinguish *Pristiophorus leavis* sp. nov. from extant and extinct taxa. The Late Cretaceous *Pristiophorus tumidens* Woodward, 1932 is characterized by an erect principal cusp, with a tumid base bearing delicate vertical striae. The Oligocene species, *Pristiophorus rupeliensis* (Steurbaut & Herman, 1978); has a smooth labial and lingual crown face, but possesses on both sides of the apron small irregularly shaped costules and the uvula is strongly protuberant on the lingual face. The labial crown face of the Eocene species *Pristiophorus lacipidinensis* Adnet, 2006 and the Miocene species *Pristiophorus striatus* Underwood and Schlögl, 2013 bears irregular striations unlike to the new species described here. Teeth of *Pristiophorus striatus* are also far wider than high and have a weakly rhombic and short labial crown face and a very low principal cusp. Teeth of the extant *Philotrema warreni* Regan, 1906 are characterized by an irregularly shaped apron, which is well detached and separated from the labial crown face and the mesial and distal crown base parts curve strongly towards the apex of the crown forming a sharp notch with the uvula.

**Description**

A total of four oral teeth and numerous rostral spines are assigned to the new pristiophorid species described here. All teeth display a low and basally rather broad principal cusp. The labial crown face lacks any ornamentation. Laterally, the crown shoulder is sub-rhombic in labial view with tapering lateral edges. The labial apron is not demarcated from the labial face but broad and convex to almost straight in labial view. It overhangs the root laterally and labially with a rather broad rim that has an almost horizontal lower surface. The cutting edges are prominent and continuous between cusp and crown shoulder but do not reach the apex in any of the four preserved specimens. Lingually, a more or less developed salient bulge is present at the lower portion of the tooth and on the uvula. The upper part of the crown shoulders are concave and tapering upwards. Vertical striae or costules are absent. The mesial and distal portions of the lingual crown shoulders are slightly curved towards the apex forming a rather blunt notch with the uvula. The root is high and possesses only a few foramina on the lingual side of the root.

The holotype, NRM-PZ-P. 16055, is as high as wide. The crown shoulder makes up half of the total height of the crown. The crown overhangs the root on three sides (labial, mesial, distal). The principal cusp is low and triangular in labial view with straight lateral edges (Figure 2(A1)). The apex is very acute and extremely inclined lingually in lateral view giving the crown a hook-shaped appearance. The labial face is more or less horizontal in profile view (Figure 2(A3)). It is completely devoid of any ornamentation such as striae or costules. Some faint scratches due to abrasion are present on the middle portion of the crown. The labial face is only very slightly convex in profile view. Compared to the other described teeth, the apron is not that prominent and protruding. It is convex in profile view (Figure 2(A3)), rounded in labial view (Figure 2(A1)) and slightly overhangs the crown-root junction, but is not individualized from the labial crown face.

In occlusal view the crown shoulder appears heart-shaped, the cusp is very slender and pointed. The mesial and distal portions of the crown shoulder are tapering upwards. The cutting edges of the tooth start in the middle of the principal cusp and close to the edges of the broadened lateral crown shoulder portions (Figure 2(A3)). In occlusal view the cutting edge at the transition from principal cusp to the lateral parts of the crown is rather straight and acute compared to the other oral teeth of this species. Lateral cusplets are absent.

The lingual face of the crown shoulder is almost flat but slightly convex from side to side at the level of the cusp, which is expanded basally to form a short and narrow, slightly tapering uvula (Figure 2(A3)). Lingually, a faint horizontal ridge is present on the lower portions of the lateral crown shoulders and on the uvula close to the basal edge (Figure 2(A2)). The lingual crown face is smooth without any ornamentation. When observed in lingual view the main cusp appears heavily worn (Figure 2(A2)).

The root is high with a hemialulacorhize vascularisation pattern, but partly damaged. It is less wide than the crown. The basal face is flat. The lateral lobes are not well separated with a laterally displaced and narrow groove separating the root lobes anteriorly. The root lobes are slightly flared laterally in labial view. In profile view, two pairs of drop-shaped margino-lingual foramina are present, of which the anterior one is smaller than the posterior one. The lingual foramen is small and basally placed on the lingual face of the root. The basal face of the root is eroded, only the sub rectangular cavity is clearly present.

NRM-PZ-P. 16056 is very damaged missing most of the root. The crown is wider than high, with a low and broad, triangular shaped principal cusp. In labial view the apex of the principal cusp shows some indications of abrasion (Figure 2(B2)). No lateral cusplets are present. In profile view (Figure 2(B3)) the labial crown face is slightly concave in the middle of the crown, but very convex where the apron begins to protrude. The cusp is less...
The cusp is asymmetric in labial view and therefore, the cusp is rather broad in profile view and curved lingually. Is only slightly convex whereas the lingual crown face is concave. The labial crown face is devoid of any ornamentation, only one shallow scratch is present in the middle of the crown. The cutting edge continuously runs across the mesial and distal lateral parts of the crown but does not reach the apex of the principal cusp and the lateral edges of the crown shoulder (Figure 2(B2)).

In occlusal view, the cutting edge is convex at the transition from principal cusp to the lateral parts of the crown. The lateral parts of the crown shoulder are wide and broadly lobed with rounded lateral edges in labial view (Figures 2(B1) and (B3)). The apron is short and broadly united to the labial crown face, semi-circular and not well individualized. It overlaps the root labially (Figure 2(B3)). The lingual crown face is concave with a slightly inclined apex. In lingual view, a well-developed straight and slightly obliquely arranged bulge at the lower parts of the crown shoulders is present, as well as on the base of the uvula (Figure 2(B2)). The lingual crown face is completely smooth, almost flat at the level of the crown shoulder and more convex at the level of the cusp. Basally, the cusp extends into a short and slightly tapering uvula.

NRM−PZ P. 15914 is wider than high, with an almost rhombic crown shoulder in labial view. The lateral edges of the crown shoulders are tapering slightly and have rounded distal and mesial edges. The cusp is broad at its base and triangular shaped and low principal cusp. It is inclined lingually, but to a lesser degree than the holotype. The apex is additionally bent lingually (Figure 2(C3)). It shows some signs of abrasion on the upper part in labial view (Figure 2(A3)). The lower part of the crown makes up half of the total crown height. The labial crown face is unornamented. It forms a broad, semi-circular and protruding apron, which overlaps the root linguually and is not separated from the principal cusp (Figure 2(C1) and (C3)). The cutting edges are prominent but do not reach the apex of the principal cusp or the lateral edges of the crown shoulder. In occlusal view, the cutting edge is convex at the transition from the principal cusp to the lateral parts of the crown. No lateral cusplets are present (Figure 2(C1)). The lingual crown face is devoid of any ornamentation and is strongly concave in profile view. The cusp is lingually inclined. The additional ridge close to the basal edge of the lingual face on the crown shoulders is very prominent almost forming a terrace-like structure in occlusal view. The mesial additional ridge is straight whereas the distal one is slightly concave. An additional short but also distinct ridge is present on the uvula (Figure 2(C2)). The median lingual uvula is prominent, not that long and covered with enameloid at the upper part and devoid of enameloid at the lower region. The root is heavily damaged and does not provide any additional or detailed information regarding the nutritive foramina.

NRM−PZ P. 16057 is heavily damaged lacking the root completely and one lateral crown shoulder (Figure 2(D1)). The crown is distinctly mesio-distally elongated indicating that the crown was wider than high. There is a low, triangular principal cusp, with a rounded but abraded apex. The labial crown face is devoid of any ornamentation. In profile view the labial face of the crown is only slightly convex whereas the lingual crown face is concave. The cusp is rather broad in profile view and curved lingually. Additionally, the cusp is asymmetric in labial view and therefore, slightly inclined distally. The basal rim of the labial face is almost straight without any individualized apron (Figure 2(D1)). Lateral cusplets are absent. The preserved lateral crown shoulder is very low compared to the cusp with a tapering lateral edge. The cutting edges are short and hardly visible, compared to the other specimens (Figure 2(D3)). In occlusal view the cutting edge makes a slightly concave curve at the junction of the principal cusp to the lateral parts of the crown.

Rostral spines are more abundant in fossil sites and present throughout the La Meseta and Submeseta formations. Smooth enameloid caps (or cusps) and basal peduncles (or roots) characterize the rostral spines of this species. The enameloid cap is laterally elongated, slender and markedly dorso-ventrally compressed (Figure 3). The cap is horizontal to slightly bend ventrally, depending on the position of the rostral spine on the rostrum. The anterior and posterior edges of the cap are slightly tapering laterally and the apex is very pointed (Figure 3(C) and (G)). The dorsal and ventral surfaces of the cap are completely smooth and devoid of any ornamentation. The anterior and posterior cutting edges are dull and without serrations or crenulations. The anterior and posterior margins of the cap are very thin at the apex but becoming thicker towards the base of the cap. The cap is wider than the peduncle and overlaps the latter on all sides. Where the cap and the peduncle meet, the basal edges of the cap are semi-circular and bulging outwards. The anterior part of the cap is longer than the posterior part.

The peduncle is cylindrical in its upper portion but become antero-posteriorly elongated in its basal region. It is rather high and prominent in most specimens. The basal face of the peduncle is flat and characterized by an elliptically and deeply set elongated foramen. The size of the rostral spines varies (minimum size of about 3 mm and a maximum size of about 18 mm in height) according to the position on the rostrum or ontogenetic stage (Figure 3(F3) and (H3)).

**Remarks**

Sawshark remains assigned to *Pristiophorus* were frequently recorded from Seymour Island in the past (e.g. Grande & Eastman 1986; Long 1992a; Otero et al. 2014; Kriwet et al. 2016). However, these records have been based exclusively on rostral teeth up to now. The oral teeth of the new described species, *Pristiophorus laevis* sp. nov. display all characteristic traits of teeth of *Pristiophorus* but differ from all known species based on teeth in a suite of characters outlined. Teeth of *Pristiophorus* can easily be distinguished from teeth of the extant *Pliotrema warreni* (Regan 1906) by the lack of a distinctive apron, which is clearly separated from the labial crown face by a very deep notch. Also the outline of the basal edge of the crown differs from *Pristiophorus* in not being furrowed. Furthermore, the cutting edges are less marked. The mesial and distal crown shoulder portions are curved strongly towards the apex of the crown to form a sharp notch with the uvula in *Pliotrema*. Teeth of *Pristiophorus laevis* sp. nov. slightly differ from teeth of the extant *Pristiophorus cirratus* (Latham 1794) in having a shorter central cusp and a very short if not absent apron, which is not individualized from the labial crown face. The new species differs from the extant *Pristiophorus Schroederi* (Springer & Bullis 1960) in lacking a vertical enameloid fold in the middle of the labial face of the
principal cusp and the lack of short costules at the base of the labial crown face. It also differs from the extant *Pristiophorus* sp. (Pollerspöck & Straube 2016) in having a regular and convex outline of the apron, a shorter main cusp and a smooth enameloid surface. Teeth of *Pristiophorus rupelensis* (Steurbaut & Herman 1978) also are characterized by a smooth crown face but costules can appear on both labial and lingual crown face bases and the apron is at least slightly individualized from the labial crown face.

Rostral sawshark spines are very abundant throughout the Eocene La Meseta and Submeseta formations of Antarctica (see below) and almost all specimens have been assigned to *Pristiophorus lanceolatus* Davies 1888; This species was originally erected for several rostral spines from different localities in the Oamaru Formation, was assigned to *Lamna* by Davies (1888, p. 20). The single specimen depicted on his plate 3 in figure 12 clearly is a rostral spine. Keyes (1982) described additional rostral spines of *Pristiophorus* from the Cenozoic of New Zealand that he identified as *P. lanceolatus*. However, the figure and the description of Davies (1888) do not provide any detailed morphological information to support any species identification. Moreover, most if not all fossil rostral spines assigned to *Pristiophorus* are indistinguishable from those of extant species. Therefore, we agree with Underwood and Schlögl (2013) and consider all fossil *Pristiophorus* spp. based on rostral spines alone as *nomina dubia* until autapomorphic characters can be established. Consequently, the New Zealand material described by Keyes (1982) should be considered as *Pristiophorus* sp. We consider the rostral sawshark spines from TELM 5 (Ypresian) of the La Meseta Fm. that co-occur with the oral teeth described by Keyes (1982) should be considered as ambiguous because they were extinct at the K/Pg boundary event (e.g. Kriwet & Benton 2004). Extinct sawsharks had much wider distributions in the past. Figures 4 and 5 summarize the fossil distribution of *Pristiophorus*, which is known from the late Early Cretaceous (Albian) to the Upper Oligocene of Japan (e.g. Nishimoto & Morozumi 1979; Applegate & Uyeno 1968).

Rostral spines of extinct sawsharks are known from many places worldwide, e.g., Upper Paleocene of Morocco (Herman 1973; Noubhani & Cappetta 1997), Upper Eocene of Oregon (Welton 1972) and France (Adnet 2000, 2006), Oligocene of Belgium (Steurbaut & Herman 1978), Miocene of Southwest Germany (Jaekel 1890) and Pliocene of New Zealand (Chapman 1918). Some unidentified taxa were recovered from the Campanian-Maastrichtian boundary of the Upper Cretaceous of Japan (Nishimoto & Morozumi 1979), Miocene of South America (Cione & Exposito 1980; De Muizon & De Vries 1985; Cione 1988; Arratia & Cione 1996; Suárez & Marquardt 2001; Suárez et al. 2006) and the Pliocene of Italy (Lawley 1876).

Fossil oral teeth are known from the Early to Middle Eocene of France, Belgium and North Africa (Hovestadt & Hovestadt-Euler 1995; Adnet 2006; Underwood et al. 2011), from the Miocene of Slovakia and Germany (Jaekel 1890; Underwood & Schlögl 2013), and from the Oligocene of Belgium (Steurbaut & Herman 1978). Figure 5 shows the modern distribution of the genus *Pristiophorus* and *Pliotrema* (Figure 4). This enumeration implies that the genus *Pristiophorus* also was common in the Atlantic Ocean, unlike to the distribution today.

*Pliotrema* is scarcely known in the fossil record e.g. Case (1973) figured a rostral spine of *Pliotrema* sp. from the Miocene Cape Town Formation, Milteron of South Africa and Welton (1974) recovered *Pliotrema* rostral spines from the Paleocene Lodo Formation of California. The Cenozoic distribution of that species stands in strong contrast to the recent distribution (see Figure 5).

This listing demonstrates that records of sawsharks from the Southern Hemisphere are very scarce. The oldest Southern Hemisphere come from the Early Cretaceous of Australia (Kemp 1991) but should be considered as ambiguous because
Figure 4. Stratigraphic ranges of all extinct *Pristiophorus* species known to date. The oldest known representative of sawsharks is *Pristiophorus tumidens* from the Cretaceous. One species of *Pristiophorus*, *P. lanceolatus* has the widest range and is known from the Ypresian until the Pleistocene. From the Oligocene two species, *P. rupeliensis* and *P. lineatus* are known. *P. striatus* is known from the Miocene of the Vienna basin of Slovakia. *P. suevicus* is a Miocene species. *P. lapicidinensis* is known from the Eocene in France.
no description and figures were provided. Recently Otero et al. (2014) reported the first fossil occurrence of *Pristiophorus* in the Late Cretaceous (late Maastrichtian) of Seymour Island (Lopez de Bertodano Formation).

In the Eocene La Meseta and Submeseta formations, rostral spines occur in all TELMs but are unequally distributed. The majority of rostral sawshark spines is found in TELMs 4 and 5 (Long 1992a; Kriwet 2005; Reguero et al. 2012; Kriwet et al. 2016), when sea surface temperatures were about 10–11 °C (e.g. Ivany et al. 2008). Conversely, sawshark remains are more rare in TELMs 6 and 7. The last records come from the uppermost parts of TELM 7, which are Priabonian (Late Eocene) in age (Grande & Eastman 1986; Tambussi et al. 2006; Kriwet et al. 2016). The decline in abundance from TELMs 4 and 5 to TELM 7 as expressed by raw specimen numbers correlates with a drop in sea surface temperature to about 7–8 °C shortly before the onset of West Antarctic glaciation and the final disappearance of chondrichthyan sharks from Antarctic waters (Kriwet et al. 2016).

**Conclusion**

Pristiophorid sawshark remains from the Eocene of Seymour Island, Antarctica are well known but consisted exclusively of isolated rostral spines. Bulk sampling of shell lenses in the *Cucullaea* I Allomember of TELM 5, which is late Ypresian in age, at two fossil sites, IAA 1/90 and IAA 2/, yielded four isolated oral and seven rostral spines of a new pristiophorid sawshark. This new material allows a re-evaluation of all previous pristiophorid accounts from Antarctica and from Gondwana in general. The dental material allows establishing a new pristiophorid species, *Pristiophorus laevis*.

---

**Figure 5.** Distribution of extinct and extant Pristiophoridae. Fossil pristiophorid taxa are marked with symbols, and extant taxa are characterized with capital letters.
sp. nov. Rostral spines from the Eocene of Seymour Island are assigned to this species, whereas all other Gondwanan records of *Pristiophorus lanceolatus* are considered to be undiagnostic. The highest abundance of *Pristiophorus laevis* sp. nov. occurs in TELMs 4 and 5 (Ypresian), when sea surface water temperatures were 10–11 °C. The abundance of sawfish remains decreases from TELM 5 to 7 when the sea surface temperatures dropped to 7–8 °C. Pristiophorid sawsharks seemingly vanished from Antarctic waters when extensive Antarctic glaciation started.
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