Factors determining maximum torque and achievement of the recommended torque for manual implant drivers: A pilot study
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Abstract
When fixing an oral implant superstructure with a screw, operators must be aware of the torque being applied by their fingers to prevent the transmission of excessive or insufficient torque to the implant. In this study, we identified the factors that determine individual maximum attainable torque and those that determine the achievement of the prescribed torque. We evaluated 16 dentists on their use of two types of manual implant drivers (UniGrip by Nobel Biocare and Carrier Hex by Zimmer Biomet) and measured the maximum torque (MT) generated by their fingers. The target torque was set at 15 N. Measurements were taken while the participants were turning the implant screw with or without gloves in both clockwise and counterclockwise directions. The grip and finger strength of each participant were measured, and the data showed that torque values were higher among the male participants during clockwise rotation and when they were wearing gloves (p < 0.05). Positive correlations were found between the MT and grip strength and between the MT and finger strength. These results suggest that dentists should monitor their ability to consistently achieve the recommended torque for implant drivers.
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Introduction
Oral implants are widely used for the treatment of patients with an edentulous jaw or partial loss of teeth1-3. The demand for implant treatment is expected to increase and will become a key part of dental practice as society ages. However, outstanding issues still need to be addressed to increase their success rate. One of these issues is the mechanical force applied to the implant superstructure. Distortions of implant superstructures may loosen or fracture the screws in resin and porcelain veneers, whereas those in the surrounding bone tissue can lead to damage of the bone surrounding the implant4-7. One of the most commonly reported complications in implant treatment is screw loosening or fracture8,9, which is believed to be caused by

implant superstructure incompatibility, repeated bending moments, insufficient initial tightening of the screw, insufficient screw strength, insufficient tightening force applied to the screw, or excessive tightening force applied to the screw10. In this study, we focused on the application of insufficient or excessive tightening force as a risk factor for screw loosening or fracture. The recommended strategy for fixing an implant superstructure is for the screw to first be manually tightened before being tightened with a torque wrench following the manufacturer’s prescribed torque. Several scenarios make the use of a torque wrench difficult, namely, deterioration of the torque wrench11, limited mouth opening in patients, and tightening of cover screws and healing abutments. To prevent the application of excessive or insufficient torque, dentists must know how much torque can be applied by their fingers12,13. Many factors are considered to influence the maximum torque (MT) with manually operated implant drivers, including muscle strength and proficiency of the operator. These specifically refer not only to such intrinsic factors as operator’s grip strength and finger
strength but also to such extrinsic factors as the use or nonuse of gloves.

Research into the correlations between these factors and the consistency with which operators can generate the recommended torque when using their fingers is limited. The purpose of this study was to identify the factors that affect the torque value of manual drivers, which is applied prior to fastening an implant with a torque wrench.

Materials and methods

Participants and study design

Implant treatment has become increasingly common in recent years and is now included in the National Examination for Dentists, highlighting the importance of teaching young dentists how to operate using manual implant drivers. Nine male and 7 female dentists with an average age of 30 years and clinical experience of less than 10 years participated in this study. They joined the study voluntarily after being provided with the details of its procedures and goals. Evaluations were based on the MT and a target torque (TT) of 15 N, and the participants’ consistency in achieving these was assessed. The grip and finger strength of the participants were measured to investigate differences in physical ability among them. The research design was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Showa University School of Dentistry (Approval No. 2014-032).

Measurement of torque and equipment

Feedback on the manual manipulation of an implant driver is influenced by the diameter and shape of the hand grip. We selected two manual implant drivers for this study: the UniGrip Driver (length, 20 mm; Brånemark System; Nobel Biocare, Göteborg, Sweden) and the Carrier Hex Driver (length, 0.050 in; Zimmer Biomet, Tokyo, Japan); these were labeled and hereafter referred to as “Nobel” and “Zimmer,” respectively. Both are used in the Showa University Dental Hospital and have a large share of the worldwide market. The driver hand grip diameter and screw pitch of Nobel were 9 and 1.2 mm, respectively, whereas those of Zimmer were 11 and 1.7 mm, respectively (Figure 1A–D). These were set to a torque gauge (BTG 60CN-S; Tohnichi, Tokyo, Japan), as shown in Figure 2A and B. The participants were asked to hold and tighten the hand grip set to the torque gauge with their thumb and index finger. The torque gauge used in this study is commonly applied for measuring small torques, inspection, and tightening. The size and weight of the torque gauge make it portable, and the gauge can record torque in both clockwise (CW) and counterclockwise (CCW) directions. The participants were able to see the torque they had applied. The torque gauge’s range of measurement was 6–60 N, and its accuracy was high at ±2%. In dental operations, tightening of the implant screw is conducted in two environments: chairside and laboratory treatments. In general, gloves are worn in chairside treatment but not in laboratory treatment. Measurements were therefore taken both when the participants were wearing gloves and when they were not. CLINGLOVES eco gloves (K.O. Dental, Tokyo, Japan) were used throughout the study.

Maximum attainable torque

Each participant was asked to apply their maximum force using Nobel and Zimmer. Both manual implant drivers were used to tighten the screw in the CW and CCW directions with or without the use of gloves. Measurements were conducted every 3 min under these eight conditions (Type of Driver [Nobel or Zimmer] × Rotational Direction [CW or CCW]- With and Without Gloves), giving 32 measurements in
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A Latin square design was adopted to remove the influence of participant fatigue.

**TT of 15 N**

The participants were asked to manually tighten the implant using Nobel and to stop when they believed they had achieved a torque of 15 N. Measurements were made four times at intervals of 3 min under two conditions (CW and CCW directions), resulting in a total of eight measurements.

**Measurement of grip and finger strength**

A digital hand grip meter (MCZ-5041; Macros, Tokyo, Japan) was used to determine the participants’ grip and finger strength. Grip strength was measured with the meter gripped by the hand, whereas finger strength was measured with the meter gripped by the thumb and index finger. Measurements were repeated four times at intervals of 3 min.

**Statistical analysis**

The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to determine the normality of MT, after which, a three-way analysis of variance was run using the following factors: the type of manual implant driver (Nobel or Zimmer), rotational direction (CW or CCW), and gloves (with or without). Two-way analysis of variance was conducted using the baseline data and data at one day, week, and month after training to confirm the effectiveness of the training. Pearson’s analysis was used to investigate the correlation between MT and grip strength and that between MT and finger strength. All analyses used a significance level of 0.05 and were performed using Statistical analysis software (PASW Statistics 23; IBM, Tokyo, Japan).

**Results**

**Maximum attainable torque**

A graphical representation of the maximum attainable torque under the eight conditions described above is given in Figure 3. The MT observed with the use of Zimmer, rotation in the CW direction, and use of gloves was significantly higher \( (p < 0.05) \) than that detected with the use of Nobel, rotation in the CCW direction, and nonuse of gloves. The highest MT was 31.5 N, which was recorded for a male participant performing a CW rotation using Zimmer with gloves; the lowest MT was 7.0 N, which was recorded for a female participant performing a CCW rotation using Nobel without gloves.

**TT of 15 N**

Measurements of the 15 N TT are shown in Figure 4. The average torque of the male participants was greater than 15 N in both rotational directions, whereas that of the female participants was less than 15 N.

**Grip strength and finger strength**

The average grip and finger strength of the male participants were 42.4 and 10.3 kg, respectively, whereas those of the female participants were 22.4 and 6.7 kg, respectively. All measured grip and finger strength data, as well as the correlations between them, are shown in Figure 5. Significant positive correlations were found between grip strength and MT \( (r = 0.79, p < 0.01) \) and between finger strength and MT \( (r = 0.64, p < 0.01) \).
Factors related to MT

The MT was measured taking the following factors into account: type of the manual implant driver (Nobel or Zimmer), use or nonuse of gloves, rotational direction (CW or CCW), as well as each participant’s sex (male or female), grip strength, and finger strength. When gloves were not worn by the participants, the MT applied with Zimmer was significantly higher \((p < 0.05)\) than that applied with Nobel. On the other hand, when gloves were worn by the participants, the MT applied with Nobel was significantly higher \((p < 0.05)\) than that applied with Zimmer.

Discussion

Factors related to MT

The MT was measured taking the following factors into account: type of the manual implant driver (Nobel or Zimmer), use or nonuse of gloves, rotational direction (CW or CCW), as well as each participant’s sex (male or female), grip strength, and finger strength. When gloves were not worn by the participants, the MT applied with Zimmer was significantly higher \((p < 0.05)\) than that applied with Nobel. On the other hand, when gloves were worn by the participants, the MT applied with Nobel was significantly higher \((p < 0.05)\) than that applied with Zimmer.
Zimmer. For measurements conducted with the use of gloves, the thickness of the glove material was added to that of the fingers and grip. The effect of wearing gloves was greater with Nobel because the diameter of its grip is smaller than that of the Zimmer grip. Except for when Zimmer was used without gloves, the MT was higher in all rotational directions. Furthermore, the MT applied by the male participants, who had greater finger and grip strength, was significantly higher than that applied by the female participants. The positive correlations found between MT and finger strength and between grip strength and MT suggest that MT is strongly influenced by the operator’s muscles.

Measurement of the TT
In both rotational directions, the average torque of the male participants greatly exceeded 15 N, whereas that of the female participants was below the TT of 15 N. Some reports have indicated that manual drivers produce inconsistent closing torque values\(^{10-15}\). In this study, the comparison between the male and female participants revealed a substantial standard deviation, which suggested that the female participants found it difficult to gauge their own torque.

In clinical situations, the prosthetic screw is fastened first with a manual driver and then with a torque wrench at the specified torque value. Therefore, this study focused on the torque value obtained by manual fastening, which is performed first. Future studies should examine the factors that affect the torque value when a torque wrench is used.

Conclusions
In this study, we investigated the MT applied with manual operation of an implant driver and the consistency with which the recommended torque could be achieved. Our findings indicate that (1) the MT is influenced by the diameter of the manual implant driver, use of gloves, rotation direction, finger strength, and grip strength and (2) dentists should monitor their ability to consistently achieve the recommended torque for implant drivers.
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