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Concordance of c-kit Mutational Status in Matched Primary and Metastatic Cutaneous Canine Mast Cell Tumors at Baseline

L. Marconato, E. Zorzan, M. Giantin, S. Di Palma, S. Cancedda, and M. Dacasto

Background: Mutation analysis of proto-oncogene c-kit (c-kit) is advisable before starting treatment with tyrosine kinase inhibitors in dogs with mast cell tumor (MCT), including those with metastatic disease. Testing is usually performed on primary tumors, assuming that c-kit mutation status does not change in metastasis.

Hypothesis/Objectives: To give an insight into the mutational processes and to make a recommendation on the use of c-kit mutational analysis in the clinical setting.

Animals: Twenty-one client-owned dogs with metastatic MCT.

Methods: Dogs undergoing resection or biopsy for both primary and matched metastatic MCT were prospectively enrolled. Total RNA or DNA was extracted from primary MCT and corresponding metastases. Exons 8, 9, and 11 were amplified by PCR and sequenced. Genetic features between primary MCT and metastases were compared. Their correlation with clinicopathologic features was investigated.

Results: Concordance (mutated or wild-type) of mutational status, evaluable in 21 primary and matched metastatic (20 nodal and 1 splenic) MCTs, was 100%. Three new c-kit mutations were identified. No significant correlation was detected between c-kit mutation and clinicopathologic features.

Conclusions and Clinical Importance: Proto-oncogene c-kit mutational status is conserved between any primary and its matched secondary tumor, suggesting that both can be used for c-kit mutational testing. Targeted therapies might be also used to treat metastatic disease.
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The proto-oncogene c-kit (c-kit), which encodes for the transmembrane receptor KIT, is known to play a critical role in mast cell development and tumors.1 In dogs, approximately 9–30% of mast cell tumors (MCTs) show c-kit mutations, including internal tandem duplications (ITDs) in the juxtamembrane domain, resulting in constitutive activation of KIT in the absence of ligand binding.1,2 and activating point mutations in c-kit extracellular domains (eg, exons 8 and 9).3 In general, ITDs are associated with an increased risk of metastasis and local recurrence, higher tumor proliferation index, and aberrant KIT localization.4–6

The importance of the mutational status has been elucidated by 2 clinical trials, which showed a lower objective response rate and a shorter survival time when tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) including toceranib and masitinib, respectively, were administered to dogs with wild-type (WT) tumors.7,8 Although TKI-based therapy is used in dogs with MCT to also treat metastatic disease in the lymph nodes,7 c-kit status is generally evaluated in the primary lesions because metastatic sites are rarely removed or biopsied before treatment. However, it is still unknown whether c-kit status differs in metastases compared with primary tumors. The rationale for using small molecule inhibitors of oncogenic proteins as cancer therapies depends, at least in part, on the assumption that metastatic tumors are primarily clonal with respect to the mutant oncogene. If this is not the case, targeted therapies might only be partially efficacious. Therefore, it is of primary importance to verify the correlation between primaries and related metastases with regard to c-kit status.

In people, controversy exists regarding the stability of mutational status in various tumors throughout the course of the disease, leading to metastases with different mutational status from that of the primary tumor.9–11 In veterinary medicine, there are only 2 studies comparing immunohistochemical phenotypes between primary mammary carcinomas and their related lymph node metastasis.12,13 In cuts, concordance between primary mammary carcinoma and
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matched metastasis was detected in 57.1% of cases, whereas in dogs in 65% of cases.

To the authors’ knowledge, very few studies have been conducted in dogs on the rate of concordance in terms of c-kit mutations. One study showed c-kit ITD heterogeneity in different sites of multiple MCTs in 2 dogs, in another study, c-kit ITDs were used to provide evidence of tumor clonality in multiple MCTs developing over 1–2 years in 2 dogs.

In this study, we prospectively analyzed matched primary and metastatic MCT specimens for c-kit intronic and intertumor heterogeneity (1) to give an insight into the mutational processes; and (2) to make a recommendation on the use of c-kit mutational analysis in the clinical setting. Moreover, the treatment with TKIs is associated with potential toxicity and high costs; additionally, resistance to certain TKIs is often caused by secondary mutations of c-kit; therefore, it is important to critically review all aspects of the mutational testing to enhance upfront patient selection.

We hypothesized a discordance of c-kit mutational status between matched primary and metastatic MCT, thereby recommending the use of c-kit mutational testing on all involved sites.

Materials and Methods

Case Selection and Tumor Specimens

Inclusion Criteria. Dogs with histologically confirmed MCT undergoing complete clinical staging and total or partial surgical excision of the primary tumor and corresponding metastasis were prospectively recruited. Treatment with neoadjuvant medical treatment (including steroids, chemotherapy, targeted therapy) was not permitted.

Background information recorded for each dog included signalment, body weight, and primary tumor description (location, dimension, presence of ulceration, grade according to Patnaik and Kiupel’s systems). Initial staging included history and physical examination, complete blood cell count with differential, serum biochemistry, coagulation profile, cytological evaluation of the cutaneous nodule and regional lymph node, thoracic radiographs (3 views), abdominal ultrasound, fine-needle aspirates of the cutaneous nodule and regional lymph node, thoracic radiographs (3 views), abdominal ultrasound, fine-needle aspirates of liver and spleen regardless of their sonographic appearance, and cytologic examination of bone marrow obtained from the iliac crest. Lymph nodes or viscera were considered metastatic, if mast cells appeared in clusters or sheets, in very large numbers or tyatypical on morphology, as previously documented. Histologically, nodal metastatic spread was supported by the localization of mast cells in the subcapsular sinuses; special histochemical stains (Giemsa) were used to detect poorly granulated mast cells. Written informed consent was obtained from all owners.

Tumor Specimens. Tumor samples were obtained by partial or total surgical resection from each primary MCT and matched metastasis before starting any medical treatment. To formulate a histologic diagnosis, samples were fixed in 10% buffered formalin, processed, and embedded in paraffin using a standardized protocol. Slides were reviewed by a single board-certified pathologist (SDP), and histopathologic criteria for diagnosis were based on those previously published for canine MCT.

With regard to c-kit sequencing analysis, either 1 tissue core (2-mm diameter) or fine-needle aspirates (FNA) were obtained from each primary MCT sample and matched metastases. Specimens were submersed in a stabilization and storage solution (RNAlater Solution) and refrigerated at −20°C until use. Whenever the primary tumor was surgically excised by other veterinarians, 10-μm sections of the corresponding formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded (FFPE) block were used for nucleic acid extraction.

Molecular Analysis

Nucleic Acid Extraction. Total RNA was extracted from biopsies and FNA with a nucleic acid isolation reagent and a commercial kit (High Pure RNA Isolation Kit), respectively, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Whenever nucleic acids were extracted from FFPE primary tumor sections, another commercial kit was used. In this case, the genomic DNA was preferred to RNA because of the poor quality of the extracted RNA.

Nucleic acids yield and purity (260/280 and 260/230 nm absorbance ratios) were measured with a spectrophotometer, while their quality was checked by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. Two micrograms of total RNA was reverse transcribed with a commercial kit (High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit). Both cDNA and DNA were finally stored at −20°C until use.

c-kit Genotyping. Exons 8, 9, and 11, considered the hot spot regions for activating protein mutations, were screened by PCR and direct sequencing. To amplify either c-kit exons 8, 9, and 11 (starting from cDNA) or exon 11 (from DNA), previously published primers pairs and PCR conditions were used.

Conversely, exons 8 and 9 primers for genomic DNA amplification were designed ex novo, and forward and reverse primers as well as the expected amplicon sizes are reported in Table 1. Amplifications were carried out in a thermocycler, with a commercially available PCR kit. Two microliters of 5-fold diluted DNA was used as template, while primer concentrations were 16.5 pmol each. Amplicons were visualized in 1.5% agarose gel.

Whenever the presence of additional bands of different length (roughly 30 bp) was noticed, these ones were at first individually excised from the agarose gel and, then, purified with a commercial kit (High Pure PCR Cleanup Micro Kit), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Hence, PCR products were sequenced, using the same PCR primers, with either a capillary electrophoresis machine (ABI Prism 3100 Genetic Analyzer) or an automatic sequencer (ABI 3730XL DNA Analyzer).

Table 1. Primers for genomic DNA amplification and sequencing of c-kit exons 8 and 9.

| Exon | Primer Sequence (5′–3′) | Expected Amplicon Size (bp) |
|------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| F: AACTCAATGSGTTCATGGCTC | R: CCCCCTAAAGGACGACATGGA | 408 |
| F: CACCCCTTGGTGGGAAAAAAGGA | R: ATATGGCAAGGAGCAGCTAA | 458 |

bp, base pairs; F, forward; R, reverse.
Treatment and Response Criteria

The type of treatment was at the investigator’s personal discretion, and included surgery, radiation therapy, chemotherapy, TKI, or a combination of these. Response was determined by RECIST criteria. Briefly, disappearance of all lesions was defined as complete response (CR); a decrease of at least 30% in the diameter of a lesion was defined as partial response (PR); the appearance of new MCTs or at least a 20% increase in the diameter of a lesion was defined as progressive disease (PD); <30% reduction or 20% increase in the diameter of a lesion was defined as stable disease (SD).

Statistical Analysis

To evaluate the relationship between \( c\text{-kit} \) mutations and clinicopathologic features, data were analyzed by Fisher’s exact test and Pearson \( \chi^2 \) test. For this purpose, the following clinicopathologic features were taken into account: sex (male or female), reproductive status (intact or neutered), breed (purebred or crossbred), predisposition to biologically aggressive MCTs [meaning advanced grade or clinical stage], eg, Shar-Pei and Labrador Retriever), age (< or ≥10 years), weight (< or ≥10 kg), dimension of the primary lesion (< or ≥3 cm), clinical stage (II or III or IV), stage (a or b), and histologic grading (both Patnaik and Kiupel’s systems). The anatomic site was categorized as benign or malignant, as some locations have been described as biologically aggressive (eg, inguinal/perineal, head and neck, digit). Survival time was defined as the time interval between the initiation of treatment and death. Dogs dead from disease or MCT-related causes were classified as events; those dead for unrelated causes or lost to follow-up at the time of the study closure were censored. Statistical calculations were performed by a commercial software package. For all statistical analysis, significance was set at \( P < .05 \).

Results

Dogs and MCT Demographics

Between July 2011 and August 2013, 21 dogs met the inclusion criteria and were enrolled. There were 6 Labrador Retrievers, 5 crossbred dogs, 3 Boxers, and 1 each of the following: Breton, Shih-Tzu, Shar-Pei, Beagle, American Staffordshire Terrier, German Hound, and Dogue de Bordeaux. Twelve dogs were spayed females, 3 intact females, 4 intact males, and 2 castrated males. Median age was 8 years (range, 3–14 years), and median body weight was 26.7 kg (range 7.4–50.2 kg).

Eighteen (86%) dogs had single lesions, and 3 (14%) had concurrent multiple tumors. In these latter ones, the biggest MCT was sampled for both histopathology and mutational analysis. MCTs were in various locations, including 6 (29%) dogs with tumors on distal limbs; 4 (19%) dogs with tumors on the head; 3 (14%) dogs with digital MCTs; 2 (10%) dogs with tumors on proximal limbs; 2 (10%) dogs with vulvar tumors; and 1 (5%) dog with a MCT on the abdominal wall. All dogs with multiple tumors had them in the same regional areas (axillary region, head, and abdominal wall).

Histopathology was available for all primary MCTs: 14 (66%) dogs had Patnaik’s grade 2 MCTs, 6 (29%) dogs had grade 3 MCTs, and 1 (5%) dog had a grade 1 MCT. Regarding the Kiupel’s grading system, 11 (52%) tumors were classified as low-grade MCTs and 10 (48%) as high-grade MCTs.

All dogs had metastatic disease: 20 (95%) dogs had regional lymph node involvement and, among these: 2 also had hepatic metastasis; 2 had splenic and hepatic metastasis; 1 had hepatic, splenic, and marrow metastasis; 1 had splenic metastasis; and 1 had cutaneous metastasis. One (5%) dog had involvement of liver and spleen without regional lymph node metastasis. Lymph node metastases were confirmed in all 20 dogs by means of histopathology; the remaining dog without lymph node metastasis had only cytologic diagnosis of liver and spleen involvement.

Overall, 11 (52%) dogs had stage II disease; 8 (38%) dogs had stage IV disease; and 2 (10%) dogs had stage III disease. Sixteen (76%) dogs were asymptomatic (substage a), and 5 (24%) dogs had signs of systemic effects of MCT (vomiting, diarrhea, pruritus, and regional edema).

c-kit Mutation Status

All specimens of primary tumors and paired metastases were suitable for \( c\text{-kit} \) genotyping. Mutations of \( c\text{-kit} \) sequence were detected in 3 (14%) MCTs: 1 in exon 8 (Fig 1); and 2 in exon 11 (Fig 2). Two of them were noticed in dogs with grade 3 MCTs, and 1 in a dog with a grade 2 MCT.

A new 28 amino acids (AAs) deletion affecting 10 AA codons, namely from Histidine-421 (H421) to Glutamine-430 (Q430), coupled with a contemporary insertion of 10 base pairs (bp) coding for four AAs (Leucine-Threonine-Phenylalanine-Methionine, LTFM), was detected in exon 8 (Fig 1). This mutation was named 1262_1289delinsTGACTTTCAT, in agreement with the nomenclature for human sequence variations. Moreover, 2 new ITDs were found in exon 11 (Fig 2): a first one, consisting in the insertion of 12 AAs at the residue 571 (ITD571–583); and a second one based on an addition of 14 AAs at the residue 574 (ITD574–588).

Furthermore, 2 already known silent SNPs were detected in exon 8 (1275G > A) and in exon 11 (1759C > T). The relative frequencies were 33% (7/21) and 5% (1/21), respectively.

Noteworthy, the comparison of \( c\text{-kit} \) mutations and SNPs in primary tumors and corresponding metastases showed a concordance rate of 100%. Likewise, all dogs with a primary WT \( c\text{-kit} \) genotype showed a WT \( c\text{-kit} \) in their matched metastases.

Treatment and Clinical Follow-up

Eleven (52%) dogs (including the 3 dogs with \( c\text{-kit} \) mutation) underwent surgical excision of their MCT. Four of these animals also received systemic chemotherapy (vinblastine and prednisone) as front-line treatment; 3 dogs received vinblastine and TKIs; 1 dog...
was treated with curative radiation therapy and TKIs; and one with curative radiation therapy and vinblastine. Two (10%) dogs received systemic chemotherapy (vinblastine and prednisone) as their only treatment. Six (28%) dogs were treated with palliative radiation therapy; 4 of these 6 also received vinblastine and prednisone, and 4 other ones TKI. Finally, 2 (10%) dogs were only treated with TKIs.

Overall, 11 (52%) dogs achieved CR, 7 (33%) dogs PR, 2 (10%) dogs SD, whereas 1 (5%) dog did not respond to the treatment and experienced PD. At the end of the study, 10 (48%) dogs were still alive with a median follow-up of 205 days (range 41–473 days), and 11 (52%) dogs died or were euthanized because of progression of their MCT (n = 10) or for tumor-unrelated causes (n = 1). The overall median survival was 51 and 149 days for dogs harboring c-kit mutations and with WT c-kit gene, respectively.

**Relationship between c-kit Mutational Status and Clinicopathologic Features**

No significant correlation was found between primary c-kit mutation and the considered clinicopathologic characteristics (Table 2).
Table 2. Relationship between c-kit mutational status and clinicopathologic features in 21 primary mast cell tumors (MCTs).

| Variables                          | c-kit Mutation |   |   | P-Value |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|---|---|---------|
|                                   | Positive | Negative | Fishers exact test |
| Age (years)                       |          |          | 1.000a |
| <10                               | 2        | 9        |        |
| >10                               | 1        | 9        |        |
| Sex                               |          |          | .184a |
| Male                              | 2        | 4        |        |
| Female                            | 1        | 14       |        |
| Breed                             |          |          | .549a |
| Pure breed                        | 3        | 13       |        |
| Crossbred                         | 0        | 5        |        |
| Breed predisposition to aggressive MCTs |          |          | .247a |
| Yes                               | 2        | 5        |        |
| No                                | 1        | 13       |        |
| Weight (kg)                       |          |          | .271a |
| <10                               | 1        | 1        |        |
| >10                               | 2        | 17       |        |
| Primary lesion, anatomic site     |          |          | .909a |
| Benign                            | 0        | 11       |        |
| Malignant                         | 3        | 7        |        |
| Primary lesion, dimension (cm)    |          |          | 1.000a |
| <3                                | 1        | 9        |        |
| >3                                | 2        | 9        |        |
| Metastatic lymph node             |          |          |        |
| Yes                               | 3        | 17       |        |
| No                                | 0        | 1        |        |
| Stage                             |          |          | .997b |
| I-II                              | 0        | 11       |        |
| III                               | 1        | 1        |        |
| IV                                | 2        | 6        |        |
| Substage                          |          |          | .128a |
| a                                 | 1        | 15       |        |
| b                                 | 2        | 3        |        |
| Histologic grade (Patnaik)        |          |          |        |
| I                                 | 0        | 1        | .283b |
| II                                | 1        | 13       |        |
| III                               | 2        | 4        |        |
| Histologic grade (Kiupel)         |          |          |        |
| Low                               | 1        | 10       | .586a |
| High                              | 2        | 8        |        |

*aFisher exact test.
*bPearson χ² test.

Discussion

In this study, we compared c-kit mutational status of exons 8, 9, and 11 between primary MCT and matched metastasis, and found a perfect (100%) concordance.

Metastatic MCT represents a major health problem in the canine population, but the introduction of a novel class of targeted antineoplastic agents directed against KIT, TKI, has significantly changed the therapeutic options available for these dogs.7,8 Indeed, the important role of targeted therapy against molecules contributing to tumor development, progression, and metastasis has attracted considerable attention.31

Because the identification of the mutational status of c-kit could help to select dogs that have a high probability of benefiting from TKI,7 it is of primary importance to verify the degree of correlation between primaries and related metastases with regard to c-kit status. Indeed, mutations are mainly evaluated at the primary site and there are little data available regarding the possible concordance in mutational status between the primary tumor and the corresponding metastases.7,8 However, the death of metastatic cells is the main goal of treatment in a metastatic setting. These cells might be biologically different from the primary tumor, which has implications for the clinical management of MCT.

It is well known that the progression of cancer develops from a single mutated cell, followed by malignant clonal expansion secondary to additional genetic and genomic alterations. As a consequence, the ongoing acquisition of these alterations can result in the emergence of neoplastic subclones with varying genotypes and, consequently, phenotypes, leading to discordance between the primary tumor and its metastases. In people, several tumors including melanoma,33 gastrointestinal stromal tumor,34 and lung cancer35 show intratumor and intertumor heterogeneity, indicating the presence of more than one clone of cancer cells within a given neoplastic mass, and the presence of different genetic alterations in different metastatic sites from a single patient, respectively. Therefore, determining if there is homogeneous mutational status between primary tumor and its metastatic sites has important clinical implications, overall to select the appropriate treatment. To our knowledge, the question of mutational status in metastases versus primary MCT has not been addressed so far.

Compared to previously published studies, the mutational status of our case series, including both primary and secondary metastatic tumors, showed a similar proportion of c-kit mutations.23 Two already known SNPs were found in exon 8 and 111–30; furthermore, 3 novel mutations (1 in exon 8 and 2 in exon 11), with unknown clinical relevance, were found.

The data presented in this study provide evidence that the WT or mutated c-kit genotype is conserved in primary MCTs and their matched, concurrent metastases. Although a similar behavior has been reported in human melanomas,22 this result is somewhat surprising, in the light of genomic instability and heterogeneity that characterize most malignant tumors. In fact, it is generally accepted as true that loss of primary mutation, gain of secondary mutation, or both might occur in patients regardless of the use chemotherapy or targeted therapy; such a phenomenon can be explained by the fact that cells with different mutations coexist within the primary tumor, and clonal selection for mutations during tumor progression might lead to different c-kit mutations status in metastatic sites from that of the primary tumors.14,36

In the present analysis, discordant cases were not observed, pointing out that in canine MCTs, c-kit status is maintained in all cases unchanged during the metastatic process.

Another question, still matter of debate, is whether activating c-kit mutations might be related to a poor
prognosis in canine MCTs.\textsuperscript{23,37} Based on our results, dogs with \textit{c-kit} mutations had a shorter survival time when compared with dogs with WT MCTs. However, because of the different treatments and the limited number of mutated cases, conclusions on the prognostic relevance of \textit{c-kit} mutations cannot be drawn. Also, a number of variables, including sex, reproductive status, breed, age, weight, dimension of the primary lesion, clinical stage, substage, and histologic grading, were evaluated to determine whether they were correlated with \textit{c-kit} status. None of these variables was found to be significantly associated with the presence of \textit{c-kit} mutations, although the small population might have led to an insufficient power to detect differences between subgroups.

Although the current report is limited by the small sample size, our observations indicate that \textit{c-kit} mutation in the primary tumors might predict \textit{c-kit} mutated metastases with a reasonably high probability, suggesting that \textit{c-kit} mutation represents a very early mutational step in MCT pathogenesis and plays a central role in tumor progression. The implication of these results for general oncology practice is that both tissues of primary tumor or metastasis can be used for \textit{c-kit} mutation testing. However, the low number of mutated cases analyzed at the present time does not allow drawing any definitive conclusions about the \textit{c-kit} asset in synchronous and metachronous metastases, as well as their association with response to treatment.

Clearly, further molecular studies, carried out on dogs with metastatic MCT and receiving chemotherapy, TKI, or both, are needed to clarify whether \textit{c-kit} genotype might be somewhat affected by anticancer drugs.

Finally, it must be stressed that the results of our study are valid for lymph node metastases and cannot be extrapolated to other metastatic locations, as only 1 dog with splenic involvement was evaluated here. The lymph node is the predominant site of metastases in the majority of dogs with metastatic MCT; therefore, the results of our study of 20 lymph node metastases provide a reference for clinical decision-making as to TKI therapy. Nevertheless, as the molecular patterns might differ between metastatic sites,\textsuperscript{14,38} and because \textit{c-kit} secondary mutations are likely to occur after TKI administration,\textsuperscript{16,39,40} more results need to be obtained by testing additional metastatic sites, including spleen and liver, before and after targeted therapies. Also, the identification of new \textit{c-kit} ITDs underscores the need of further molecular investigations on their prognostic significance.

In conclusion, the mutational status seems to be stable during MCT metastasis, which is encouraging for TKI use in the clinical setting.

\textbf{Footnotes}

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{a} Life Technologies, Foster City, CA
  \item \textsuperscript{b} TRIzol Reagent; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA
  \item Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN
  \item AllPrep DNA/RNA FFPE kit, Qiagen, Milan, Italy
  \item Nanodrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer, Nanodrop Technologies, Wilmington, UK
  \item Primer3 software, http://primer3.sourceforge.net
  \item tPersonal, Biometra GmbH, Goettingen, Germany
  \item GoTaq Flexi DNA polymerase; Promega Corp., Madison, WI
  \item Eurofins MWG Operon, Ebersberg, Germany
  \item FinchTV Software, Geospiza Inc., Seattle, WA
  \item Multalin, http://multalin.toulouse.inra.fr/multalin
  \item GraphPad Prism 5, San Diego, CA
\end{itemize}

\textbf{Acknowledgments}

Part of the financial support for the study (60A08-1591/13) as well as Dr Zorzanos PhD fellowship was provided by the Universit\`a degli Studi di Padova, Italy.

\textit{Conflict of Interest Declaration:} Authors disclose no conflict of interest.

\textbf{References}

1. Ma Y, Longley BJ, Wang X, et al. Clustering of activating mutations in \textit{c-kit}’s juxtamembrane coding region in canine mast cell neoplasms. J Invest Dermatol 1999;112:165–170.
2. London CA, Galli SJ, Yuuki T, et al. Spontaneous canine mast cell tumors express tandem duplications in the proto-oncogene \textit{c-kit}. Exp Hematol 1999;27:689–697.
3. Letard S, Yang Y, Hanssens K, et al. Gain-of-function mutations in the extracellular domain of KIT are common in canine mast cell tumors. Mol Cancer Res 2008;6:1137–1145.
4. Downing S, Chien MB, Kass PH, et al. Prevalence and importance of internal tandem duplications in exons 11 and 12 of \textit{c-kit} in mast cell tumors of dogs. Am J Vet Res 2002;63:1718–1723.
5. Webster JD, Yuzbasiyan-Gurkan V, Kaneene JB, et al. The role of \textit{c-KIT} in tumorigenesis: Evaluation in canine cutaneous mast cell tumors. Neoplasia 2006;8:104–111.
6. Webster JD, Yuzbasiyan-Gurkan V, Miller RA, et al. Cellular proliferation in canine cutaneous mast cell tumors: Associations with \textit{c-KIT} and its role in prognostication. Vet Pathol 2007;44:298–308.
7. London CA, Malpas PB, Wood-Follis SL, et al. Multi-center, placebo-controlled, double-blind, randomized study of oral toceranib phosphate (SU11654), a receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor, for the treatment of dogs with recurrent (either local or distant) mast cell tumor following surgical excision. Clin Cancer Res 2009;15:3856–3865.
8. Hahn KA, Ogilvie G, Rusk T, et al. Masitinib is safe and effective for the treatment of canine mast cell tumors. J Vet Intern Med 2008;22:1301–1309.
9. Ganecberg D, Di Leo A, Cardoso F, et al. Comparison of \textit{HER-2} status between primary breast cancer and corresponding distant metastatic sites. Ann Oncol 2002;13:1036–1043.
10. Scartozzi M, Bearzi I, Berardi R, et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) status in primary colorectal tumors does not correlate with EGFR expression in related metastatic sites: implications for treatment with EGFR-targeted monoclonal antibodies. J Clin Oncol 2004;22:4772–4778.
11. Italiano A, Vandembos FB, Otto J, et al. Comparison of the epidermal growth factor receptor gene and protein in primary non-small-cell-lung cancer and metastatic sites: Implications for treatment with EGFR-inhibitors. Ann Oncol 2006;17:981–985.
