Intraoperative radiofrequency ablation and distilled water peritoneal lavage for spontaneously ruptured hepatocellular carcinoma
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INTRODUCTION

With the development of various diagnostic tools and treatment modalities for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), more accurate diagnosis and guideline-based treatment have become possible. However, there is no definite treatment guideline...
for spontaneously ruptured HCC (srHCC). It is because the situation of srHCC is diverse, making it difficult to collect enough data to perform large scale research. The initial goal of srHCC treatment is to establish hemodynamic stability and maintain liver function. Surgery and transarterial embolization (TAE) are the most commonly used methods for hemostasis. Compared to surgery, TAE is easy to perform with lower 30-day mortality (0%–37% vs. 28%–75%) [1]. To achieve long-term survival after performing TAE, definite treatment such as surgical resection is required. Surgery for srHCC can be divided into interventions for hemostasis and hepatectomy for definite treatment. Interventions for surgical hemostasis include perihepatic gauze packing, suture plication, and hepatic artery ligation [1]. If the patient is hemodynamically stable, one stage emergency liver resection is available. Otherwise, hemostasis is performed and staged liver resection is planned because liver resection is a burden on the patient. Radiofrequency ablation (RFA) is a definite treatment modality of HCC. It is frequently used as a percutaneous approach. In the management of srHCC, intraoperative RFA allows hemostasis and complete tumor necrosis [2-4]. From the patient and surgeon’s standpoint, intraoperative RFA is less burdensome and easier than liver resection when treating srHCC. The greatest advantage of surgery including intraoperative RFA in the management of srHCC is its ability to manage peritoneal tumor seedings definitively. Distilled water peritoneal lavage (DWPL) can effectively remove peritoneal tumor seedings in srHCC patients [5-7]. Here, we report the result after application of intraoperative RFA and DWPL to srHCC patients.

METHODS

Patients

From March 1, 2012 to October 31, 2018, 9 patients who underwent surgery among patients who visited the emergency room with srHCC were retrospectively studied. All patients were checked with contrast-enhanced abdominal CT and chest X-ray. When hemodynamically stable, we conducted a chest CT. Patients with multiple tumors, distant metastasis, and vascular tumor involvement in radiologic imaging were excluded from the surgery. Preoperative Child-Pugh score, tumor diameter, operation time, and amount of red blood cell transfusion were measured for all patients. However, data of tumor markers were not available for all patients in urgent situations. Postoperative surveillance was done with contrast-enhanced chest-abdomen CT every 3 months and 6 months intervals after 1 year. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Catholic University Incheon St. Mary’s Hospital (approval number: OC19RESI0067) and exempt from informed consent by IRB approval.

Surgical technique

After making the patient hemodynamically stable, hematoma was removed by laparotomy and hemostasis was achieved with gauze packing. Liver mobilization was minimized to prevent tumor seeding. RFA electrode was directly applied to the tumor in the gauze packing state (Fig. 1). In the gauze packing state, there was no tumor seeding by the RFA. The power generator output was set to 120 W from the beginning and the temperature of the electrode was set to 100°C. The electric pulse-type was set to be continuance type. All patients were ablated for more than 10 minutes to obtain hemostasis. If hemostasis was not obtained, the procedure was repeated. When the bleeding stopped, we used ultrasonography to check that the ablation was sufficient. Ablation was repeated if necessary. However, if hemostasis was not obtained despite repeated ablation, we performed liver resection. After managing the HCC in liver, DWPL was performed for more than 15 minutes using 10 L of distilled water at 35°C–40°C as previously reported [7,8].

Statistical analysis

A comparison between groups was performed using a Mann-Whitney U-test for nonparametric continuous variables and chi-square test for categorical variables. Overall and recurrence-free survival were calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method. Survival differences between groups were assessed using the log-rank test. All statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics ver. 24.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA). A statistical significance was considered at P-value < 0.05.

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics of patients and surgical outcomes

All 9 patients were hepatitis B related. Their main symptoms
at the emergency room were syncope for 5 patients, abdominal pain and distension for 3 patients, and right shoulder pain for 1 patient. All patients were diagnosed with srHCC on contrast-enhanced abdominal CT. All patients were transferred to the operating room within 4 hours after arrival at the emergency room. We attempted hemostasis using RFA for all 9 patients. However, only 6 patients whose tumor diameter within 7 cm achieved hemostasis with RFA (RFA group). The remaining 3 patients who had tumors larger than 10 cm could not achieve hemostasis using RFA alone. They underwent liver resection (hepatectomy only group). In the RFA group, 2 patients whose tumor was located in segment 3 underwent left lateral sectionectomy sequentially because the tumor was too close to the glissonean pedicle based on ultrasonography. In the hepatectomy only group, 1 patient underwent nonanatomical tumorectomy and the other 2 underwent right hemihepatectomy. After RFA or hepatectomy, all patients underwent DWPL for 15–20 minutes (Table 1). The mean age of the RFA group was 59.3 ± 8.0 years. There were 4 males (66.7%) in the RFA group. For the RFA group, mean Child-Pugh score of 5.3 ± 0.5, average tumor diameter of 4.4 ± 2.0 cm (range.

### Table 2. Patient characteristics of successful versus failed radiofrequency ablation

| Characteristic | RFA group (n = 6) | Hepatectomy only group (n = 3) | P-value |
|---------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Age (yr)      | 59.3 ± 8.0       | 70.7 ± 9.2                    | 0.068   |
| Sex, male:female | 4:2              | 3:0                           | 0.316   |
| Child score   | 5.3 ± 0.5        | 5.0 ± 0.0                     | 0.285   |
| Tumor size (cm) | 4.4 ± 2.0        | 12.3 ± 2.5                    | <0.05   |
| Operation time (min) | 148.3 ± 31.7     | 251.7 ± 20.2                  | <0.05   |
| RBC transfusion (pack) | 5.8 ± 2.5        | 24.0 ± 11.5                   | <0.05   |
| In hospital mortality (%) | 0               | 33.3                          | 0.170   |

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation or number.
RFA, radiofrequency ablation; RBC, red blood cell.

### Table 1. Background features of patients who underwent surgery for spontaneously ruptured hepatocellular carcinoma

| Patient No. | Sex/age (yr) | Maximum tumor diameter (cm) | Involved segment | Operation method | Operation time (min) | In hospital mortality |
|-------------|--------------|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 1           | M/59         | 2.0                         | 1                | RFA + DWPL       | 120                  | No                   |
| 2           | F/70         | 3.0                         | 7                | RFA + DWPL       | 135                  | No                   |
| 3           | M/66         | 4.0                         | 4                | RFA + DWPL       | 180                  | No                   |
| 4           | F/57         | 4.0                         | 8                | RFA + DWPL       | 120                  | No                   |
| 5           | M/47         | 6.5                         | 3                | RFA + LLS + DWPL | 140                  | No                   |
| 6           | M/57         | 7.0                         | 3                | RFA + LLS + DWPL | 195                  | No                   |
| 7           | M/76         | 10.0                        | 7                | Tumorectomy + DWPL | 240                  | Yes                  |
| 8           | M/76         | 12.0                        | 7, 8             | RH + DWPL        | 275                  | No                   |
| 9           | M/60         | 15.0                        | 7, 8             | RH + DWPL        | 240                  | No                   |

RFA, radiofrequency ablation; DWPL, distilled water peritoneal lavage; LLS, left lateral sectionectomy; RH, right hemihepatectomy.

**Fig. 2.** Cumulative recurrence-free survival (A) and overall survival (B) after spontaneous ruptured hepatocellular carcinoma in the radiofrequency ablation (RFA) and hepatectomy only groups. There was no significant difference in recurrence-free survival between these 2 groups (P = 0.186). However, there were significant differences in overall survival between these 2 groups (P < 0.05).
2–7 cm), mean operation time of 148.3 ± 31.7 minutes, RBC transfusion of 5.8 ± 2.5 packs (range, 2–9 packs) were found. There was no immediate postoperative mortality in the RFA group. The mean age of the hepatectomy only group was 70.7 ± 9.2 years. All patients were men. Mean Child-Pugh score of 5.0 ± 0.0, average tumor diameter of 12.3 ± 2.5 cm (range, 10–15 cm), mean operation time of 251.7 ± 20.2 minutes, and mean RBC transfusion of 24.0 ± 11.5 packs (range, 15–37 packs) were found for this group. One patient died of posthepatectomy liver failure (Table 2).

Long-term outcomes
Four patients in the RFA group had recurrent HCC. Three of them recurred in the liver and the other was diagnosed with lung metastasis. However, there was no peritoneal metastasis. The 5-year survival of the RFA group was 85.3%. All patients in the hepatectomy only group had multiple liver recurrence during the follow-up period and 1 patient had peritoneal metastasis despite DWPL. All patients in the hepatectomy only group died within 13 months (Fig. 2).

DISCUSSION
Until the early 2000s, acute phase mortality of srHCC was as high as 25% to 75% [1,9]. The reason for such a result was that surgery was applied as an initial treatment to patients with srHCC. The survival rate was improved by selecting TAE as the initial treatment. Staged liver resection after TAE showed better long-term survival rate (1-year survival, 54.2%–100%; 3-year survival, 21.2%–48%; 5-year survival, 15–21.2%) [1]. Most centers currently use this method. However, it remains unclear whether this is the best method. Even in the European Association for the Study of the Liver and the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer clinical practice guideline, the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases guideline, and the Korean Liver Cancer Association guideline for HCC treatment, there is no definite treatment guideline for srHCC [10–12]. The problem in TAE with initial treatment and subsequently staged hepatectomy is that it cannot completely prevent peritoneal tumor seedings. TAE is a good method to stop bleeding in the acute phase with a high success rate of 53%–100% [1,13-16]. However, if hemostasis cannot be achieved by TAE, emergent surgical intervention is needed. In addition, it is hard to manage abdominal distension and infection caused by hemoperitoneum. Hepatic failure by TAE has also been reported in 12%–34% of cases [1,16,17]. In the management of srHCC, intraoperative RFA can be an alternative to liver resection because it can obtain hemostasis and direct tumor necrosis [2–4]. RFA is easier than liver resection. It has a relatively low burden on patients and surgeons. To prevent peritoneal tumor seeding, DWPL after srHCC should be performed as soon as possible. Our center decided to perform intraoperative RFA and DWPL to patients who were Child-Pugh classification A, single HCC, no distant metastasis, and no vascular tumor involvement on radiologic imaging after a multidisciplinary consensus meeting. Intraoperatively, compression of the tumor mass with gauze made hemostasis easy using RFA when the tumor size was less than 7 cm. However, if the tumor diameter was more than 10 cm, hemostasis was not possible even with several times of ablation for every 10 minutes. In addition, tumor spillage was further increased by several ablations. We have used a straight single type RFA electrode. If we used another electrode later, for example, multitined radiofrequency electrode, it might be possible to ablate larger diameter HCC. The 5-year survival rate of the RFA group was 83.3%. It was similar to that of nonruptured HCC. Because all our patients with srHCC were nodular type HCC, presumably their survival rate was not worse. In addition, since srHCC usually occurs in nodule type HCC, the prognosis is not worse than that of the same size HCC when the treatment method is well selected. All patients in the hepatectomy only group had a worse prognosis. They all died within 13 months. This result was similar to those of other studies [1,18]. Therefore, until the development of an electrode capable of ablation of a larger diameter srHCC, we think that a staged hepatectomy after emergent TAE is reasonable for a srHCC of larger than 10 cm.

In conclusion, intraoperative RFA and DWPL might be an ideal treatment for managing relatively small size srHCC, although we cannot confirm this because only a limited number of patients were enrolled in this study.
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