Incidence of sudden cardiac death in Germany: results from an emergency medical service registry in Lower Saxony
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Aims

Sudden cardiac death (SCD) is among the most common causes of death in western countries including Germany. Whereas risk stratification and primary prevention is still insufficient, we also lack accurate incidence estimates. Current estimates vary widely (18.6–128/100 000/year), but data on SCD incidence in Germany are missing. Depending on SCD definitions, death needs to occur between 1 and 24 h after the onset of symptoms.

Methods and results

In the district of Aurich (190 000 inhabitants, Lower Saxony, Germany), emergency medical service (EMS) is provided by a district government operated single carrier and two hospitals. To evaluate all EMS calls in this district from 2002 to 2009, we obtained EMS protocols, medical records, and death certificates for data analysis and adjudication of SCD. We defined SCD according to the definition of the World Health Organization, considering patients with cardiac arrest within ≤1 h after the onset of symptoms. We also required cardiopulmonary resuscitation being performed by EMS personnel.

The overall mortality rate in the district of Aurich (1060/100 000/year) corresponded well with the average mortality rate in Germany (1030/100 000/year). During the observation period, we adjudicated 1212 SCD cases, equivalent to an annual rate of 151 SCD cases (81 cases/100 000/year). Rates remained remarkably stable over time, and affected a considerable number of individuals of working age (32/100 000/year).

Conclusion

Consistent with prior reports, the SCD incidence in a district of Germany is substantial. Despite an elaborate EMS system and advanced medical care, SCD rates remain stable and necessitate improved, individualized risk stratification.
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Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) defines sudden cardiac death (SCD) as death due to cardiac causes within 1 h of the onset of symptoms in a person with known or unknown cardiovascular disease.1–3 Beyond the WHO definition of SCD, several other definitions are commonly used in scientific studies. Examples include definitions by the European Society of Cardiology and the American Heart Association, which consider SCD as death resulting from abrupt loss of heart function within minutes after the onset of symptoms. In addition, timing and circumstances of death have to be unexpected, and a past medical history of cardiac diseases is not required.4 Recently, a more unified definition for SCD ascertainment in community-based cohort studies and scientific investigations...
incurred in the general population has been recommended. Thereby, established SCD is an unexpected death without obvious extracardiac cause, occurring with a rapid witnessed collapse, or if unobserved, occurring within 1 h after the onset of symptoms. A probable SCD is an unexpected death without obvious extracardiac cause that occurred within 24 h. In any situation, death should not occur in the setting of a prior terminal condition, such as a malignancy not in remission or end-stage chronic obstructive lung disease.5 The International Classification of Diseases [ICD (German version)] defines SCD as ‘cardiac death as described, excluding myocardial infarction or conduction disturbance’.5

Cardiovascular diseases—including SCD—are the leading causes of death in Germany;6 yet, the incidence rate of SCD in Germany remains unknown.7,8 Prior studies in other countries have reported a widely varying SCD incidence rate, ranging from 18.6 to 128 cases/100,000 inhabitants/year.5–18

Here, we assessed the population-based incidence rate of SCD in Germany, applying the WHO definition and the most recent recommendation of the United States National Heart Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI)/Heart Rhythm Society for established SCD using data from a well-characterized emergency medical service (EMS) registry operated in the district of Aurich, Lower Saxony, Germany.

Methods

We conducted our study in the district of Aurich, located in the Weser-Ems area in the northwest of Lower Saxony, Germany. The district covers an area of 1,287 km², and in 2009 was inhabited by 189,961 residents.19 Emergency medical care is provided exclusively by a single, central EMS carrier and two hospitals, all of which are owned and operated by the district government. Emergency medical service calls are dispatched centrally 24 h/day, 7 days a week. To reach all emergency patients district-wide within a maximum of 15 min, EMS operates 9 rescue service posts holding available 10 ambulances. Each ambulance is equipped with pre-hospital intensive care utilities, and is operated by two paramedics. For severe medical emergencies, two additional ambulances are staffed by trained emergency medicine physicians. All ambulances are equipped according to the German industry standard (DIN; paramedic-staffed ambulances: DIN EN 1798, Typ C; physician-staffed ambulances: DIN 75079).

Data for analysis were available from 1 January 2002 to 31 December 2009. We retrospectively included all patients who experienced preclinical SCD in the district of Aurich, and where EMS was called to the scene. We broadly screened all available EMS records for dispatch diagnoses compatible with SCD; keywords included ‘resuscitation’, ‘unconscious person’, ‘non-responsive person’, ‘collapse’, or similar paraphrases. We also used EMS claims data to identify resuscitation events that were not recognized by dispatch information. For all (100%) EMS operations suggestive of SCD by our screening approaches, we assessed the original EMS protocols and emergency medicine physician documentations. Only if a patient died at the scene, in addition we investigated the official death certificates (n = 56; 4.6%). In no case, death certificates were the only source of information. Hospital medical records were used occasionally to adjudicate SCD in the context of comorbid disease. No autopsy information was available for SCD adjudication. To stratify SCD patients in inhabitants and tourists, we searched for zip codes of residence. All patients with zip codes of residence from the district of Aurich or from one of the neighboring districts (i.e. the districts of Wittmund, Leer, and Emden) were considered inhabitants. All those with different zip codes were considered tourists. All patient records were de-identified prior to analysis, and all study procedures conformed to the principles outlines in the declaration of Helsinki.

Based on the medical information and documentation, we adjudicated cases of established SCD. We included patients with both witnessed and unobserved events. In line with the WHO definition and NHLBI/Heart Rhythm Society 2010 recommendations of SCD, the onset of symptoms had to occur ≤1 h prior to the SCD event. We excluded patients without circulation for >1 h prior to the event. We further excluded patients with non-cardiac causes of death. Such non-cardiac events were assumed if resuscitation occurred in the context of major trauma including injuries obviously not compatible with life, or evident intoxications including smoke inhalation. We also excluded patients with known end-stage neoplastic diseases and other apparently moribund conditions. No age restrictions for inclusion were applied. All cases of established SCD based on our criteria were adjudicated independently by two physicians.

We calculated the annual incidence rate of SCD per 100,000 inhabitants. The count of inhabitants in the district of Aurich per year was derived from the annual official publication by the county government,19 and averaged over the observation period. For patients who experienced SCD, we describe baseline characteristics and compare differences by sex using a χ² test or t-test as appropriate. We also present SCD incidence rates by age groups and describe the circadian and perennial distributions of SCD events. Poisson regression was used to formally compare perennial differences in SCD incidence by month. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (version 16) and Microsoft Excel 2003.

Results

From 2002 to 2009, the mean mortality rate in the district of Aurich was 1060/100,000/year,19 which is well in accordance with the mean mortality rate in Germany during this period: 1030/100,000/year.6 The district of Aurich was also similar to the entire German population with respect to the age and sex distributions. Aurich was inhabited by 51.2% women and 48.8% men, whereas in Germany the proportions were 50.9% women and 49.1% men. Their age distributions by age groups were as follows in the district of Aurich vs. Germany: 0–20 years: 20.9 vs. 19.0%; 20–45 years: 29.1 vs. 31.9%; 45–65 years: 29.4 vs. 28.9%; >65 years: 20.6 vs. 20.2%.7 During
the observation period, 228 592 EMS operations occurred. Applying our screening strategy, 5760 EMS operations were due to potential resuscitations. In 3487 of these operations, the patient was already responsive when EMS arrived at the scene, thus disproving SCD. In further 1061 EMS operations, death occurred due to clearly non-cardiac causes including overt suicide, surgical, or neurological emergencies. Finally, we identified 1212 EMS operations due to established SCD.

In 152 (12.5%) of all 1212 SCD cases, patients were declared dead at the scene without transport to one of the hospitals. All other patients were stabilized or transported under ongoing resuscitation conditions. Of these primarily successfully resuscitated patients, 887 (73.2%) were delivered to one of the district hospitals. The remaining 173 (14.3%) patients were transported to hospitals outside the district of Aurich, and were thus lost to follow-up. The latter were, however, included in our primary analysis by EMS records to calculate the incidence of SCD.

On average, we identified 151.5 SCD cases per year in the district of Aurich, corresponding to 81/100 000/year (Table 1). The incidence rate of SCD peaked in those of age 70–80 years; the median age at event was 69.5 years (Figure 1). In children and younger adults ≤35 years of age, the incidence of SCD was lower. This age group accounted for 113 (9.4%) SCD cases in the observation period. Incidence rates started to rise markedly after the age of 40 years. The annual incidence rate in those ≤35 years was 7.4/100 000/year. For patients 20–75 years of age (as used in the analysis of de Vreede-Swagemakers et al.9), it was 48/100 000/year (59% of SCD events in our cohort). In persons of usual employment age (15–65 years), we found an incidence rate of 32/100 000/year (39% of SCD events in our cohort). Sudden cardiac death occurred more frequently in men than in women: 836 (69%) men vs. 376 (31%) women (Figures 1 and 2). Only in the youngest (0–18 years) and oldest (>80 years) age groups, the rate of SCD was similar across sexes (Figure 1).

The district of Aurich is visited by tourists; per year, ~7.5 million nights are spent by visitors, particularly during the summer months. Based on their zip code of residence, 8.5% of SCD patients (67100 000/year) were tourists. To quantify the possible maximum confounding effect of tourists on the overall incidence of SCD, a sensitivity analysis revealed an annual SCD incidence of 74.3/100 000/year when restricted to county inhabitants only. The circadian distribution suggested the highest incidence of SCD between 8:00 a.m. and 12:00 p.m. for both men and women. The rate then slowly decreased until ~12:00 a.m. The fewest SCD events were registered between 12:00 and 7:00 a.m., with a nadir around 4:00 a.m. (Figure 3). Perennially, most SCD events were observed in the months of August and September (see Supplementary material online, Figure S1). Yet, the differences in SCD incidence by month did not vary significantly (P = 0.09).

## Discussion

In our study, we investigated the incidence of SCD in Germany, using data of well-characterized EMS and hospital medical records from the district of Aurich, Lower Saxony. Applying a conservative and consistent definition of established SCD and subsequent adjudication of all SCD cases, we report an SCD incidence rate of 81/100 000/year, which is largely in keeping with prior results from Europe and the USA. Even though the average overall annual mortality rates and the age and sex distributions, of the district of Aurich and Germany were similar, we assessed a circumscribed area of Germany.

Compared with other studies, our SCD incidence estimate is in the upper range of the published spectrum, yet with very stable annual rates for over 8 years (149–154 cases per year) (see Supplementary material online, Table S1). Analyses in the region of Maastricht9 and an US investigation17 suggested even higher incidence rates for SCD, whereby the underlying populations and the overall mortality rates were comparable. Importantly, the authors of the Maastricht study applied a different definition of SCD; patients were included who were seen alive within 24 h before the SCD event; analyses were then extended to medical information derived from the patients’ family doctors. Patients <20 years and >75 years were not included.9 Our definition of established SCD required an onset of symptoms ≤1 h prior to the event. As a critical difference, we required actually performed cardiopulmonary resuscitation measures as an indication of the acuteness of the index event. All ages were included.

Whereas studies from Ireland, Canada, or the USA might have an underlying population comparable with Germany and the Netherlands, their EMS systems are functioning differently.13,17,23 Studies in these countries reported SCD incidence rates between 50 and 60/100 000/year.15,14,16 Byrne et al. (Ireland) calculated the incidence of SCD based on emergency room and autopsy protocols.

### Table 1  Sudden cardiac death incidence by year and sex

| Year | SCD cases | Men | Women |
|------|-----------|-----|-------|
|      | n         | n/100 000/year | n (%) | n/100 000/year | n (%) |
| 2002 | 151       | 79  | 103 (68%) | 54  | 48 (32%) | 25 |
| 2003 | 149       | 78  | 97 (65%)  | 51  | 52 (35%) | 27 |
| 2004 | 152       | 80  | 108 (71%) | 57  | 44 (29%) | 23 |
| 2005 | 153       | 81  | 110 (72%) | 58  | 43 (28%) | 23 |
| 2006 | 154       | 81  | 105 (68%) | 55  | 49 (32%) | 26 |
| 2007 | 151       | 79  | 106 (70%) | 56  | 45 (30%) | 24 |
| 2008 | 150       | 79  | 99 (66%)  | 52  | 51 (34%) | 27 |
| 2009 | 152       | 80  | 109 (72%) | 58  | 43 (28%) | 22 |
| 2002–09 | 151.5 | 81  | 104.6 (69%) | 55  | 46.9 (31%) | 25 |
Consequently, patients declared dead out of hospital who did not undergo autopsy were excluded systematically, resulting in an underestimation of SCD. In our study, up to 12.5% of patients died at the scene and were not transported to the hospital but were included in the analysis for SCD incidence. This number seems to be low, given the high lethality of ventricular arrhythmias necessitating resuscitation. Yet, in the German EMS system it is a common practice to transport patients to the hospital once a normal rhythm has been restored, even if the outcome with respect to survival is likely to be fatal. Vaillancourt et al. evaluated questionnaires regarding resuscitations that were sent to EMS organizations and county governments in Canada. The incidence of SCD was calculated based on these questionnaires, but no information about missing questionnaires is provided. Since their methodology is distinctly different from ours, a direct comparison of the results appears unreliable. In the USA, Chugh et al. conducted a comparison between a prospective and a retrospective analysis of SCD cases. In the prospective analysis of protocols from EMS organizations, family doctors, and hospitals, the authors captured all available information about a patient, and subsequently adjudicated SCD. Using this approach,
they found a SCD incidence rate of 53/100,000/year. In their retrospective analysis based on the interpretation of death certificates, the authors reported an incidence rate of 153/100,000/year. This three-fold difference highlights the importance of detailed patient information for the accurate estimation of SCD incidence.

To overcome the limitations of insufficient information of death certificates, we aimed to make use of as many more detailed sources as possible. Emergency medical service protocols with additional information and hospital medical records turned out to be most informative. Death certificates were only used in single cases (n = 56), when no other source of information besides EMS protocols was available. All clinical data adjudication was made by trained cardiologists to most accurately evaluate the presence of SCD. Despite methodological differences across studies, the adjudication of a specific SCD definition, such as in ‘established SCD’ used in our study, is likely to provide a most accurate estimate of SCD incidence and thereby reduces both over- and under-diagnosis. Despite methodological differences across studies, the adjudication of a specific SCD definition, such as in ‘established SCD’ used in our study, is likely to provide a most accurate estimate of SCD incidence and thereby reduces both over- and under-diagnosis.

In addition, the geographical setting proved to be suitable for our evaluation: a well-defined geographical region, a single EMS carrier, and two district hospitals, all owned and operated by the district government, were an advantageous constellation making all EMS protocols and medical records available centrally and without major loss of follow-up. Further, all information was adjudicated by trained physicians.

Regarding the age distribution, we found the incidence of SCD to be highest from 70 to 80 years. This observation is explained most likely by a high prevalence of comorbidities predisposing to SCD in this age group. However, we also found a relevant proportion of SCD cases to be young; 7.4 SCD cases/100,000/year occurred below age 35 years. This observation is somewhat in contrast with other studies. Exemplarily, a Danish report by Winkel et al. only found 2.8/100,000/year. This discrepancy cannot be finally resolved by our study. Extensive and long past medical histories, and systematic autopsy information would be required to address the questions. Close to 40% of all SCD cases in our study occurred in individuals of working age (15–65 years). This high proportion is particularly relevant from a socioeconomic perspective. To reduce such a loss of work force in the future, further studies are warranted to improve prediction and prevention of SCD.

The circadian variation of SCD incidence showed a distribution similar to other studies, with a peak between 8:00 a.m. and 12:00 p.m. An explanation of this phenomenon in the context of our study remains open. Possible considerations include the sudden changes in heart rate, activity, and autonomic tone after wake up. Our definition of established SCD required SCD to occur within 1 h after the onset of symptoms. Therefore, we may have underestimated those cases occurring at night during sleep and recognized only in the morning limiting our observations on circadian incidence of SCD.

The perennial analysis suggested only slightly higher SCD rates during the summer. The county of Aurich is a touristic region with ~7.5 million nights per year spent by visitors, particularly during summer. A slight increase of SCD incidence during the months of July through September might therefore be attributed to a higher percentage of visitors. Conversely, the numbers on county inhabitants experiencing SCD out of county are missing. Since other studies suggested a decrease of incidence during the summer, our results might be biased in this respect. Importantly, the perennial variation was not statistically significant.

Limitations

The strength of our investigation is the conservative and consistent definition of established SCD adjudicated by cardiologists based on emergency medical records from a well-defined area with homogeneous and standardized medical support and record systems. This strength is reflected by stable SCD incidence rates over a long time period of 8 years. However, several limitations need to be acknowledged. Most importantly, uncertainties remain regarding the incidence of SCD in patients who were found dead at the scene and in whom no EMS operation was initiated, as well as patients, in whom

Figure 3  Circadian distribution of SCD event, averaged from 2002 to 2009.
the onset of symptoms occurred >1 h before the initiation of the EMS operation. We also cannot exclude that among the 3487 individuals who were spontaneously responsive upon arrival of EMS personnel, there were single patients that experienced a rare event of spontaneous aborted SCD.

Yet, all of these circumstances, are pertinent to many other comparable studies,9,11,13–15,17,20,30 and result in an under- rather than an overestimation of the true incidence of SCD. Still, we acknowledge that single SCD cases might have been missed by our approach. Another limitation is the lack of information on long-term past medical history, systematic autopsy, or SCD cases without EMS involvement. More general, we can only comment on the underlying population, which is primarily of German descent. It therefore remains unclear from our data, if the incidence of SCD is different in individuals of other ethnic backgrounds. Despite similarities in mortality rates and age and sex distributions, it remains to be shown, if the results from the district of Aurich can be extrapolated to the rest of Germany. Whereas there is no effect on our primary objective to assess the incidence of established SCD cases, we cannot comment on the outcome of the subgroup of patients not transported to one of the district hospitals.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our retrospective analysis of a well-defined EMS registry in the district of Aurich for the first time describes an incidence estimate for established SCD in a district of Germany. Unlike other recent investigations,15,20 we employed a systematic evaluation of original EMS protocols and medical records by trained cardiologists with a focus on established SCD cases to minimize under- or over-estimation of incidence rates. Importantly, a considerable number (39%) of SCD events affect individuals of working age. Despite advances in medical care and a modern European EMS system, we observed stable incidence rates of SCD. A systematic assessment of the actual incidence of SCD in defined regions and countries might be a prerequisite for improved risk stratification and prevention of SCD. Prospective registries for representative regions of Europe that will include a range of variables for risk stratification in individuals of other ethnic backgrounds. Despite similarities in mortality rates and age and sex distributions, it remains to be shown, if the results from the district of Aurich can be extrapolated to the rest of Germany. Whereas there is no effect on our primary objective to assess the incidence of established SCD cases, we cannot comment on the outcome of the subgroup of patients not transported to one of the district hospitals.
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Exercise-induced right bundle branch block progressive resolution

Andres Enriquez1, Pablo A. Chiale2, and Adrian Baranchuk*  

A 67-year-old male was presented to the consultant with atypical chest pain. A stress test was performed. Panel A shows a 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG) immediately before the test, depicting a normal sinus rhythm at 83 b.p.m. and right bundle branch block (RBBB). Panel B shows the heart rate increasing to a maximum of 117 b.p.m. with complete resolution of RBBB.

What are the possible mechanisms? First, one should speculate that a rapid and progressive increment of plasmatic catecholamines occurred, leading to a progressive shortening of the right bundle branch refractory period facilitating 2:1 conduction first, and then complete normalization of conduction. Secondly, possible supernormality of conduction (SNC) over the right bundle branch may be occurring given that the PR interval remains stable. This is very difficult to prove without a complete screening of the diastolic period at different heart rates. Finally, Phase 4 RBBB was ruled out by observing several prior ECGs at lower heart rates, depicting RBBB identical to the one observed during the stress test.

The full-length version of this report can be viewed at: http://www.escardio.org/communities/EHRA/publications/ep-case-reports/Documents/exercise-induced-right-bundle.pdf.