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Abstract

The objective of this study was to screen out some hallmarks from diabetic patients with diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN), and conduct some risk assessment on these hallmarks so that diagnosis and intervention can be taken earlier to stop the irreversible process. Total 933 patients were enrolled, and a prospective cross-sectional study method was employed to analyze clinical signs and manifestations that were examined based on guidelines recommended by The American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the Chinese Medical Association of Diabetes Society (CMADS). Those screen and diagnostic methods included (1) Sense of touch (10g monofilament (Nylon) test); (2) Sense of vibration (128 Hz tuning fork vibration to measure the vibration perception threshold (VPT)); (3) Temperature discrimination; (4) Pinprick (a 40g pin to touch); (5) Tendon reflex. The diagnosis of DPN falls into the criteria from the preventive guidelines of Chinese Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) (2017 Version). The results indicated type 2 diabetes mellitus was 96.5%, and the incidence of DPN was 43.7% among T2DM.

The prevalent risk factors were higher in DPN than non-DPN with statistical significance, which included the elder (>45Y) (P<0.01), the lower educational levels (P<0.01), the longer duration of T2DM (>5 Y) (P<0.01), with diabetic retinopathy (P<0.01), with diabetic kidney (P<0.05), with diabetic antipathy of lower extremity (P<0.05), with diabetic foot ulcer (DFU) (P<0.05 and callus (right and left foot) (P<0.05), abnormal sensation (numbness of right and left foot) (P<0.01) the abnormal vibration sense (right foot) (P<0.05), the abnormal temperature sense (right foot) (P<0.05), missing or disappearing Nylon pressure sense (right and left) (P<0.05), abnormal VPT (right and left) (P<0.01) and abnormal CPT (right and left feet) (P<0.05). Our study predicts some risk factors including elder patients with longer 5 years diabetes mellitus, with diabetic retinopathy, diabetic kidney, angiopathy of lower extremity, plus foot ulcer or callus, and abnormal physical signs through five golden standard screening methods, should be alerted for clinicians to be cautious about diabetic peripheral neuropathy.

Keywords: Diabetes Mellitus; Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy; Risk Factor

Introduction

Human living styles and life has been troubled by diabetes for many decades that arises from 451 million patients (ages from 18-99 years) worldwide in 2017. Approximately 85-95 of patients are diagnosed with T2DM in developed countries, and higher proportion in developing countries, which is emerged as an imminent global health crisis [1-5]. In China, the incidence of T2DM reached at 10.4% in 2013, and twice was arisen from fat population. However, un-diagnosed diabetes or threshold diabetes of a large population is an imperative threat that is looming ahead. About 30-50% diabetes is developed and ailed with Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy (DPN) sooner or later [2]. DPN is one of...
the major progressive complications of diabetes mellitus, which is continuously assaulted by hyperglycemia and aggravated by high risk factors, and is defined as the presence of peripheral nerve dysfunction in diabetics after exclusion of other causes. However, those high-risk factors may be varied upon different demographic features and T2DM development. Human DPN is characterized by nerve fiber loss, axonal degeneration, and segmental demyelization with a decrease in nerve conduction velocity due to decreased intraepidermal nerve fiber density and reduced myelin thickness of the nerve sheath, so DPN is one of the most insidious, asymptomatic complications of diabetes, but it could results in irreversible foot ulcer, insensitive foot injury, lower-extremity amputation and mortality in diabetic patients [6-8].

In addition, DPN dramatically change patients’ life style, adding heavy economic burdens. Therefore, it is imperative screening out high-risk factors of DPN be conducted to predict DPN for earlier diagnosis and save patients’ foot and lives. The American Diabetes Association (ADA) recommends the following screening tests for early diagnosis of DPN: 1) Pinprick; 2) Sense of temperature; 3) Sense of vibration; 4) Sense of touch (10g monofilament (Nylon) test) [8]. The Chinese Medical Association of Diabetes Society Advocates recommends both screening tests and clinical symptoms be combined [2]. Our hospital has always been underscoring screening standards of DPN based on accumulation of continuous practical experience and insights into DPN since 2016 by virtue of the screening standards of the Diabetes Branch of Chinese Medical Association. The present study summarized total 933 cases from December 2018 to December 2019 and conducted analysis by a prospective cross-sectional study method, and provided some valuable results for sharing with peers in order to predict the occurrence of DPN for early diagnosis.

**Subjects**

Participants were selected and enrolled in this the study, the inclusion criteria were: (1) diagnosed diabetes patients according to diagnostic criteria for diabetes from the World Health Organization in 1999; (2) acceptance of this study procedure; (3) capable of communication freely; (4) free movement of four limbs with Muscle Strength at Grade 4 or beyond. Written consent was obtained from every participant. The exclusion criteria were: (1) unable to communicate verbally; (2) hemiplegic patients and non-diabetic patients; (3) neuropathies elicited by other causes, such as cervical and lumbar lesions nerve root compression, spinal stenosis, cervical and lumbar degeneration, cerebral infarction, Guillain-Barré Syndrome, Serious arteriovenous diseases like venous embolism, lymphangitis, etc.

**Research Method**

A prospective cross-sectional study was employed to collect clinical data. According to the inclusion criteria above, the diabetic patients who were admitted to the Department of Endocrinology of the First Affiliated Hospital of Jinan University from December 2018 to December 2019 were selected therein. Using systemic random sampling technique to recruit participants who met the inclusion criteria every 20th patient file was taken, and patient was interviewed and examined until the minimal sample size was reached.

**Clinical Repertoire**

A standard questionnaire of demographic data as sex, age, categorized educational levels, duration of diabetes, and Lab results including cholesterol, triglyceride, Glycated hemoglobin, ABI, BMI, medication, plus questioning complaints about numbness, pain, itching, and other symptoms of the lower extremities were available or not.

**Clinical Tests**

According to previous studies and guidance [9,10], the clinical specialist for performing tests received professional training and earned a certificate to complete the screening tests independently. The clinical tests should be performed in a quiet environment, and the participant should close his/her eyes when being tested and then recognize the perception of the pressure or pin stick at right site (indicated in (Figures 1A-1H). These clinical tests were depicted as below

- **Sense of Touch:** Using 10G Nylon monofilament to pressure the standard 10 points as shown in (Figures 1E & 1G). The contact time was 2 seconds with interval of 2-3 seconds between sites. Perception of 10 points is defined as “normal”, but loss of 2 or more of 10 points’ perception was defined as “weaker”, and loss of 10 points’ perception was defined as “missing”.

- **Sense of Vibration:** 128 Hz tuning fork vibration test was used by a sensor meter-VPT made by Beijing Lanxun Times Technology Company, and the scale of the vibrator was 0-8s. The tuning fork was placed on the surface of the first metacarpal joint of the foot toe. The amplitude of vibration was progressively increased from zero to a barely detectable vibration amplitude (threshold) at which the patient was just able to sense the vibration. The best cut-off value was proven to 10.54 V. Thresholds between 0 to 10 volts were considered normal, 11-15 volts slightly abnormal (threshold), 16-25 volts moderately abnormal, and >25 volts severely abnormal.

- **Temperature Discrimination:** The temperature stick was placed on the dorsum of the foot to exam the temperature discrimination. Patients were instructed to lie back, close their eyes, and relax, and then were asked to determine if the temperature stick touched to the back of their feet felt warm or cool. An inability to distinguish cool from warm was considered an “abnormal” test result.

- **Pinpricking Pain (Needle Tingling Test):** The examiner uses a 40-g pin to touch the abdomen of the patient’s first, third and fifth toes. Patients who did not feel being touched in any position are considered an “abnormal” result.
American Diabetic Association [2,8]. First, the patient has been diagnosed with diabetes. Secondly, the neuropathies caused by other diseases should be excluded. Finally, five physical exams should be positive:

1. There are clinical symptoms (pain, numbness, etc.), and any one of the five tests (pressure, vibration, needle pain, temperature, and ankle reflexes) is abnormal and will be diagnosed DPN;
2. Though without significant clinical symptoms, any two of the five tests are abnormal and will be diagnosed DPN;
3. Vibration perception threshold test (VPT): More than 15 volts;
4. CPT: Quantitative measurement of sensory nerve (Neurotron’s Neurometer CPT / CYZB / USA3886-2013): determine the sensory nerve conduction threshold (SNCT) of the superficial peroneal and deep peroneal nerves of the lower extremities by measuring the current perception threshold (CPT) of the skin / mucous membrane of the thumb. This is a rapid and painless test to objectively and fairly analyze and quantify the function of sensory nerve fibers with or without myelin sheath. The severity of neuropathy will be classified from 0 to 12 grades. “0” grade was set up as normal.
5. Peripheral vascular diagnostic system (Vista AWS from Summit Doppler systems company, USA): patients were instructed into a supine position, and 12cm x 40cm deflated cuffs were separately placed on both ankle and upper arms. Doppler stethoscope was used to measure the systolic pressure of the dorsal is pedis artery, the posterior tibial artery and brachial artery. The ankle-brachial Index (ABI) is the ratio of the pressure of the dorsal is pedis artery or the posterior tibial artery (whichever is higher) to the pressure of the brachial artery. The ankle-brachial Index (ABI) is useful for early diagnosis and screening of Diabetic Peripheral Vascular Disease (PAD). The sensitivity of PAD is 95% when ABI ≤0.9. The range of ankle-brachial index was 0.91-1.39 in normal subjects.

Statistical Analysis
SPSS19.0 software was used for statistical analysis. The quantitative data were expressed as ± and statistical differences were conducted by t test used between groups. The counting data were expressed as an example number (percentage)[ n (%)] and Chi-square test (X2) was used to analyze the risk factors of peripheral neuropathy, the level of test was 0.10, the cut-off value was 0.15, and the difference was statistically significant (p < 0.05).

Results
Effect of Demographic Profile and Clinical Symptoms on Diagnosis of DPN
A total of 1000 patients with diabetes were included in this study, of which 67 patients who lost some data were excluded.

Tendon Reflex: The patient was directed to take the supine position, one knee flexion at 90 degrees after the natural prolapse abduction. A percussion hammer was used to gently tap the quadriceps femoris tendon (knee reflex) and calcaneus tendon (ankle reflex) with moderate strength. The results of muscle contraction and plantar flexion were assessed as either present or absent and then compared.

The diagnostic criteria of DPN is based on the Chinese guidelines for the prevention and treatment of Type 2 diabetes (2017 edition) [2], with reference to the diagnostic method proposed by the
As shown in (Table 1), there were 933 effective cases collected in this study, including 511 males and 422 females. The mean age was \((58.72 \pm 14.43)\) years, and the mean duration of disease was \((8.36 \pm 7.47)\) years. There were 901 cases of T2DM, 24 cases of T1DM and 8 cases of special type diabetes. DPN 394 cases, non-DPN 539 cases. The incidence of DPN was 42.2%. As shown in (Figure 2), if factors of DPN and Non-DPN were put together in parallel, the four highest percentages of incidence or factors were put in order: Age \((>40Y)\) > Duration \((10Y)\) > Education level > Diabetic retinopathy. However, if risk factors of DPN were subtracted by those of Non-DPN, the four highest percentages of incidence or factors were put in order: Diabetic retinopathy > Duration \((10Y)\) > Diabetic angiopathy of low extremity > Diabetic kidney. These four risk factors were closely associated with the occurrence of DPN (Figure 3).

| Risk factors                | No DPN(n=539) (%) | DPN(n=394) (%) | P*   |
|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------|------|
| Sexuality                  |                   |                |      |
| Male,n(%)                  | 310 (57.5)        | 201 (51)       | 0.049|
| Female,n(%)                | 229 (42.5)        | 193 (49)       |      |

**Figure 2:** Comparison of demographic factors and clinical symptoms between DPN and Non-DPN (n=933).

**Figure 3:** Net of demographic factors and clinical symptoms by DPN minus Non-DPN (n=933).

**Table 1:** Risk factors of demographic features, physical signs and clinical symptoms between DPN and non-DPN. (n=933).
| Age | <41 years old,n(%) | 41～65 years old,n(%) | ≥66 years old,n(%) | p-value |
|-----|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------|
|     | 79 (14.7)         | 294 (54.5)            | 166 (30.8)      | 0.001   |

| Educational level | Illiteracy,n(%) | primary school,n(%) | secondary school,n(%) | junior college or above,n(%) | p-value |
|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|---------|
|                   | 17 (3.2)       | 155 (28.8)          | 206 (38.2)            | 161 (29.9)                   | 0.000   |
|                   | 14 (2.7)       | 104 (18.9)          | 137 (25.2)            | 115 (20.1)                   |         |

| Marriage | Single,n(%) | Married,n(%) | p-value |
|----------|------------|-------------|---------|
|          | 15 (2.8)   | 524 (97.2)  | 0.001   |

| duration of disease (year) | <5,n(%) | 5-10,n(%) | ≥10,n(%) | p-value |
|---------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|                           | 238 (44.2) | 122 (31.0) | 189 (40.0) | 0.000   |
|                           | 96 (17.8)  | 83 (16.6)  | 188 (38.0) |         |

| Family history | No,n(%) | Yes,n(%) | p-value |
|----------------|---------|---------|---------|
|                | 404 (75.0) | 273 (69.3) | 0.055   |
|                | 135 (25.0)  | 121 (30.7)  |         |

| Smoking | No,n(%) | Yes,n(%) | p-value |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|         | 427 (79.2) | 310 (78.7) | 0.841   |
|         | 112 (20.8)  | 84 (21.3)   |         |

| Diabetic retinopathy | No,n(%) | Yes,n(%) | p-value |
|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|
|                      | 427 (79.2) | 263 (66.8) | 0.000   |
|                      | 112 (20.8)  | 131 (33.2) |         |

| Diabetic Kidney | No,n(%) | Yes,n(%) | p-value |
|----------------|---------|---------|---------|
|                | 466 (86.5) | 315 (79.9) | 0.008   |
|                | 73 (13.5)   | 79 (20.1)   |         |

| Diabetic angiopathy of lower extremity | No, n(%) | Yes,n(%) | p-value |
|--------------------------------------|----------|---------|---------|
|                                      | 476 (88.3) | 319 (81.0) | 0.002   |
|                                      | 63 (11.7)   | 75 (19.0)   |         |

| DFU | No,n(%) | Yes,n(%) | p-value |
|-----|---------|---------|---------|
|     | 528 (98.0) | 374 (94.9) | 0.011   |
|     | 11 (2.0)   | 20 (5.1)   |         |

| Callus (left) | No,n(%) | Yes,n(%) | p-value |
|---------------|---------|---------|---------|
|               | 532 (98.7) | 380 (96.4) | 0.022   |
|               | 7 (1.3)     | 14 (3.6)    |         |

| Callus (right) | No,n(%) | Yes,n(%) | p-value |
|----------------|---------|---------|---------|
|                | 534 (99.1) | 382 (97.0) | 0.017   |
|                | 5 (0.9)     | 12 (3.0)    |         |
### Abnormal sensation (left)

| No,n(%) | Numbness,n(%) | Pain,n(%) | Numbness + pain,n(%) | Itching,n(%) |
|--------|--------------|----------|----------------------|-------------|
| 447 (82.9) | 70 (13.0) | 6 (1.1) | 10 (1.9) | 6 (1.1) |
| 251 (63.7) | 124 (31.5) | 6 (1.5) | 10 (2.5) | 3 (0.8) |

### Abnormal sensation (right)

| No,n(%) | Numbness,n(%) | Pain,n(%) | Numbness + pain,n(%) | Itching,n(%) |
|--------|--------------|----------|----------------------|-------------|
| 449 (83.3) | 68 (12.6) | 6 (1.1) | 10 (1.9) | 6 (1.1) |
| 249 (63.2) | 127 (32.2) | 7 (1.8) | 7 (1.8) | 4 (1.0) |

### Vibration Sense (left)

| Normal,n(%) | Abnormal,n(%) |
|-------------|---------------|
| 492 (91.3) | 47 (8.7) |
| 345 (87.6) | 49 (12.4) |

### Vibration Sense (right)

| Normal,n(%) | Abnormal,n(%) |
|-------------|---------------|
| 499 (92.6) | 40 (7.4) |
| 344 (87.3) | 50 (12.7) |

### Temperature Sense (left)

| Normal,n(%) | Abnormal,n(%) |
|-------------|---------------|
| 487 (90.4) | 40 (9.6) |
| 343 (87.1) | 51 (12.9) |

### Temperature sense (right)

| Normal,n(%) | Abnormal,n(%) |
|-------------|---------------|
| 490 (90.9) | 49 (9.1) |
| 341 (86.5) | 53 (13.5) |

### Ankle reflex (left)

| Normal,n(%) | Abnormal,n(%) |
|-------------|---------------|
| 500 (92.8) | 35 (6.5) |
| 371 (94.2) | 23 (5.8) |

### Ankle reflex (right)

| Normal,n(%) | Abnormal,n(%) |
|-------------|---------------|
| 503 (93.3) | 36 (6.7) |
| 370 (93.9) | 24 (6.1) |

### Pricking pain (left)

| Normal,n(%) | Abnormal,n(%) |
|-------------|---------------|
| 490 (90.9) | 49 (9.1) |
| 351 (89.1) | 43 (10.9) |

### Pricking pain (right)

| Normal,n(%) | Abnormal,n(%) |
|-------------|---------------|
| 493 (91.5) | 46 (8.5) |
| 354 (89.8) | 40 (10.2) |

### Nylon pressure sense (left)

| Normal, n(%) | Missing,n(%) | Disappearing,n (%) |
|--------------|-------------|-------------------|
| 501 (92.9) | 35 (6.5) | 3 (0.6) |
| 345 (87.6) | 48 (12.1) | 1 (10.3) |
### Effect of Physical Exams or Tests on Diagnosis of DPN

The five gold standard tests (Sense of touch, sense of vibration, temperature discrimination, tendon reflex) were recommended be propitious to predict early high risks, though VPT, CPT and Peripheral vascular diagnostic system or palpation of dorsal plantar artery or posterior tibial artery were also important to help diagnose DPN quantitatively. As shown in (Figure 4), if the test results of DPN and Non-DPN were listed in parallel, the four highest percentages of test results were put in order: VPT > CPT > Numbness > Pinpricking pain. However, if test results of DPN were subtracted by those of Non-DPN, the four highest test results were put in order: Numbness > VPT > Pinpricking pain > Sense of Nylon pressure (sense of touch disappearing) (Figure 5). Those four tests may be useful to alert clinicians to bear in mind and diagnose DPN earlier. Some factors were assumptive and deemed as keys in T2DM, like HbA1c, hyperlipidemia, FBG, but they were not as important as in DPN except hyperglycemia medication (Insulin + oral anti-diabetic medications) (Table 2).

![Figure 4](image-url)

*Figure 4: Comparison of risk factors by physical exams between DPN and Non-DPN (n=933).*
Table 2: Insignificant factors of Lab results between DPN and non-DPN. (n=933).

| Risks               | Non-DPN (n=539) | DPN (n=394) | P value |
|---------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------|
| HbA1c (%)           |                 |             |         |
| <7,n(%)             | 112 (20.8)      | 84 (21.3)   | 0.841   |
| ≥7,n(%)             | 427 (79.2)      | 310 (78.7)  |         |
| TC (mmol/L)         | 4.80±1.38       | 4.79±1.32   | 0.977   |
| HDL (mmol/L)        | 1.02±0.40       | 1.01±0.28   | 0.985   |
| LDL (mmol/L)        | 2.77±0.90       | 2.78±0.96   | 0.912   |
| TC (mmol/L)         | 4.80±1.38       | 4.79±1.32   | 0.977   |
| BMI※ (kg/m^2)       |                 |             |         |
| <18.5,n(%)          | 21 (3.9)        | 12 (3.1)    | 0.912   |
| 18.5—23.9,n(%)      | 199 (37.1)      | 143 (37.1)  |         |
| 24—27.9,n(%)        | 232 (43.3)      | 166 (43.1)  |         |
| ≥28,n(%)            | 84 (15.7)       | 64 (16.1)   |         |
| FBG (mmol/L)        |                 |             |         |
| <7,n(%)             | 214 (39.7)      | 189 (48.0)  | 0.012   |
| ≥7,n(%)             | 325 (60.3)      | 205 (52.0)  |         |
| Hypoglycemic agent  |                 |             |         |
| No,n(%)             | 87 (16.1)       | 36 (9.1)    |         |
| Insulin,n(%)        | 50 (9.3)        | 28 (7.1)    |         |
| oral medication,n(%)| 281 (52.1)      | 210 (53.3)  |         |
| insulin + oral med N| 121 (22.4)      | 120 (30.5)  | 0.001   |

Prevailing Risk Factors in Predicting and Diagnosis of DPN

The single factor analysis with statistical significance was used as the independent variable, and the multiple factor non-conditional logistic regression analysis was performed with the peripheral neuropathy of diabetes as the dependent variable, and odds ratios (ORs) were obtained between the comparison groups of DPN and Non-DPN with 95% confidence interval (CI). The results were illustrated in (Table 3 and Figure 6). The prevailing risk factors of percentages for DPN were ranked by order: clinical abnormal sensation (25.2%) > sense of Nylon pressure (10.3%) > pinpricking pain (9.9%) > sense of vibration (9.6%) > sense of temperature > tendon reflex, which was in line with the analysis in (Figure 5).
Discussion

With the increasing incidence of diabetes, the peripheral neuropathy of diabetes has been attracting more and more attention. However, the incidence of diabetic peripheral neuropathy varies from different studies due to different screening methods and standards. The prevalence of DPN was 42.2% in the present study, which was higher than the prevalence of 30% from a previous system review study [4,9-11]. The reason for such a difference might be ascribed to the difference by the diagnostic approaches and in different countries. However, a big variation of the prevalence of painful DPN from 35.7% to 65.3% was observed in the Middle East and North Africa region [12-14]. Among the 5 physical tests, the abnormal rate of temperature discrimination and vibration perception was higher than others. It suggests that we should pay attention to these two high risk factors from screening work. Among the clinical symptoms of DPN, pain received much more attention than necessary [13]. However, our results demonstrated that numbness accounted for the largest proportion of abnormal sense, and diabetic retinopathy held a great proportion as well, both which should drag people’s attention and alert. Furthermore, if the patient complains of numbness, pain or itching of the lower limbs, he or she may have been troubling in the quality of life, pain disturbances, and decreased sleep quality [14-17]. As regards to patients without clinical symptoms, 24.4% (170/698) patients had been diagnosed with DPN in this study.

It suggests that more details and attention should be paid to asymptomatic patients to reduce the rate of missed diagnosis of peripheral neuropathy. In our study, the risk of developing DPN increases with age and a longer history of the disease higher clinical symptoms of pain or numbness, and higher positive rates of VPT, CPT and nylon stress perception than patients without DPN, which is in line with previous reports [12,18]. Age is an independent risk factor for peripheral neuropathy [19]. It is widely believed that aging is driven by the accumulation of molecular and cellular damage in the body over time. As with peripheral neuropathy, both large and small fibers can be damaged by axonal injury or demyelization [19,20]. The high proportion of women in the DPN group compared to the non-DPN group suggests that women are more likely to develop DPN than men; this finding is consistent with previous studies [20]. In the level of education, the patients in the DPN group

Table 3: Prevailing risk factors between DPN and non-DPN (n=933).

| Parameter                          | OR      | 95%CI          | P    |
|------------------------------------|---------|----------------|------|
| Educational level                  | 0.805   | 0.682 ~ 0.950  | 0.010|
| FBG                                | 0.974   | 0.945 ~ 1.004  | 0.091|
| Diabetic retinopathy               | 1.801   | 1.320 ~ 2.457  | 0.000|
| Diabetic angiopathy of lower extremit | 1.724   | 1.173 ~ 2.533  | 0.006|
| Callus (right)                     | 3.002   | 1.001 ~ 9.007  | 0.050|
| VPT(left)                          | 1.019   | 1.008 ~ 1.031  | 0.001|
| Abnormal sensation(right)          | 2.259   | 1.631 ~ 1.20   | 0.000|
| Hypoglycemic agent                 | 1.301   | 1.031 ~ 1.642  | 0.026|

OR: Odds ratio, CI: Confidence interval.
were mainly at middle school level or below, and the patients in the non-DNP group were mainly at middle school level or above. In medication, the proportion of DPN group was higher than that of non-DPN group in oral medication and Combined Control Regimen. Previous studies reported that patients treated with a combination of insulin and metformin who developed DPN were twice more than patients treated with metformin alone [14,21].

There were no significant differences in smoking and drinking between DPN and no DPN group, which is consistent with previous studies [19-24]. Higher Glycated hemoglobin strongly indicated that it is associated with DPN in T2DM, which might be considered as a reliable biomarker for screening for DPN [22].Kiyokazu et al suggested that hyperglycemia combined with dyslipidemia could induce mild peripheral motor and sensory nerve injury, but had no significant effect on sensory nerve conduction velocity [1]. In the literature, the risk of DNP was found to be associated with smoking [23]. However, the current study did not find the above coherence and association but was aligned with a previous study by Sendi et al [24]. The presence of Proteinuria, especially above 500 MGG, should alert clinicians to medicate or manage neuropathic pain in DPN patients [25]. Studies by Pan et al. have shown that retinopathy is an independent risk factor for DPN [26,27], and this conclusion has also been confirmed in our results.

DPN is the primary risk factor for the development of diabetic foot ulcers [28] and is implicated in 50–75% of no traumatic Amputations [29]. It is important to note that in general, foot loss of sensation is latent and could not be felt by the patient in time. Patients with DPN often have larger shoe sizes, calluses, crevices, or skin changes [3]. The degree of pathological severity and clinical symptoms are often inconsistent and mismatched, and up to 50% of DPN patients remain asymptomatic [30]. In this study, there were about 43% (170/394) of DPN patients who didn’t have sensory abnormalities. Therefore, so early diagnosis of DPN presents a great challenge. The major problem with the development of DPN is that the process of pathogenesis is subtle and gradually progressed. Elder patients are inclined to be oblivious to the symptoms of pain, numbness or other paresthesia and take it for granted for old process [30,31]. Therefore, we should educate patients how to prevent diabetes from DPN.

Conclusion

The incidence of DPN and PAD in hospitalized patients was 42% and 5.6% respectively. Most of the patients with peripheral neuropathy are over 40 years old, prevailing risk factors including clinical abnormal sensation, abnormal sense of Nylon pressure, higher pinpricking pain, abnormal sense of vibration, loss sense of temperature discrimination, loss of tendon reflex, with longer than 10 years of diabetes, with diabetic retinopathy, kidney disease and lower limb vascular disease, accompanied by abnormal itching, numbness or pain, paresthesia without obvious cause. These higher risks should alert clinicians to reinforce the details in screening and take timely intervention in DPN.
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