WRF simulations against sodar measurements of extreme winds and local breeze circulations serial events
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Abstract. Forty percent of the world’s population live within 100 km of the coastal line. Coastal zones are changing because of the interaction between the oceans and the land as well as human activities. The processes in coastal Atmospheric Boundary Layer (ABL) have being continuously studied as they are important for the ecosystems, recreational activities, fishery, economics and renewable energy resources. Remote sensing (RS) measurements of the ABL have being performed with sodar at Ahtopol synoptic station (Southeast Bulgaria) since 2008. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) criteria for “rare” events have been applied to the period August 2008–October 2016 of the RS measurements and a reference extreme wind speed profile has been obtained. In this study, we test the ability of the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model with Mellor-Yamada-Janic ABL scheme to simulate extreme wind events, followed by sea breeze. As a measure of the ability of the used model configuration to reproduce the spatial and temporal structure of these complex weather situations some basic statistical metrics has been used. The results have shown relatively good agreement between measured and modeled wind speed but the sea breeze development has not been reproduced by the model.

1 Experimental site and equipment

The Experimental polygon (EP) Ahtopol is the southernmost synoptic station of the Bulgarian national weather service. The polygon is located at the southeastern Bulgarian Black Sea coast (about 400 m inland and 30 m a.s.l. – above the sea level) 2 km south-east of the town of Ahtopol. The coast line stretches out from NW to SE (with a steep about 10 m). High spatial and temporal resolution measurements of wind and turbulence parameters (data of 20 min running average at every 10 min with 10 m vertical resolution) with monostatic Doppler sounding system (SCINTEC Sodar MFAS) have been started in summer 2008 at the EP Ahtopol. The maximum vertical range of the sodar is 1000 m but the effective range depends on the spatial and temporal resolution setup. The sodar range also depends on the reflected sound waves from the constantly changing turbulent inhomogeneities in the atmosphere, taking into account the pulsations of the amplitude and phase of the sound waves, therefore the sodar data availability varies with height. The sodar measurements reveal the vertical structure of the coastal ABL and the acoustic data has been used for climatological studies in this region (Barantiev et al., 2011, 2013, 2017; Novitsky et al., 2012). Considering the reliability of this instrument and its capabilities to obtain wind field and turbulent parameters within ABL, it is useful tool for the numerical weather prediction (NWP) model performance evaluation (Kirova et al., 2018; Barantiev et al., 2019).

The Doppler effect techniques used in sodars and lidars are powerful method for wind energy production estimates as these RS instruments provide flexibility, accurate and precise data and are cost efficient. In particular, their capabilities are also used to detect extreme wind events for safely back to renewable energy absorption and prevention from significant damage on wind energy infrastructures caused from excessive loads on wind turbine components (Petrović and Bottasso, 2014). That in cases where measurements are not available it is important to have a reliable NWP model not...
Table 1. Model parameters and schemes used in this study.

| Parameter          | Setting                                                                 |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Map projection     | Lambert (centered at 42.084° N and 27.951° E)                           |
| Domains            | horizontal grid space 25, 5 and 1 km; number of grid points 26 × 21, 36 × 36, 111 × 111 |
| Model top          | 50 hPa                                                                  |
| Vertical levels    | 42                                                                      |
| Spin up            | 10 h                                                                    |
| ABL                | 2 = MYJ Mellor-Yamada-Janjic TKE                                      |
| lw radiation       | 1 = RRTM: Rapid Radiative Transfer Model                                |
| sw radiation       | 2 = Goddard                                                             |
| surface layer      | 2 Eta similarity                                                        |
| land surface       | 2 Noah LSM                                                             |
| cumulus convection | 5 (D1 and D2) = Grell3D                                                  |

Figure 1. Reference extreme WS profile (a); ∆WS – difference between REWSP and observed WS during 17–19 March 2012 (b), profiles with extreme WS (red: 17 March – 06:00 EEST, green: 18 March – 00:00 EEST and violet: 18 March – 23:00 EEST line), during SB – black line, the blue line shows the profile at 250 m; model topography of the innermost domain (c).

only for identification but as well as for prediction of extreme wind events. Remote sensing technology is developing fast during last decade allowing high resolution data from sodars and lidars and thus long-term studies and thorough evaluation of numerical models (Gryning et al., 2014, 2016). Such continuous observation data are important for studies of internal boundary layers, breeze circulation and air pollution in coastal areas which were possible only during short-term experiments 20 years ago (Batchvarova and Gryning, 1998).

2 Methods

Based on the sodar data at EP Ahtopol collected in the period August 2008–October 2016 (341 971 data sets - correspond to 78.8 % interval coverage) a reference extreme wind speed profile (REWSP) has been obtained in the framework of the project REPLICA (Extreme Events and wind ProfiLe In a Coastal Area). The REWSP is defined as the 90th percentile of the Weibull wind speed distribution for data up to 600 m (Fig. 1a), based on the criteria for “rare” events of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2011). The “extreme winds” data (about 3.17 % from all measurements) comprises all profiles which are equal or exceed the REWSP values and with duration of the extreme event of 4 h at least.

The period 17–19 March 2012 from the extreme winds data base is presented in this study. The selected period is characterized with SW flow with recorded extreme winds and includes 3 sea breeze cells within the sodar range (Fig. 2a). The studied case is simulated with Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model (Skamarock et al., 2008), initialized with US National Center for Environmental Prediction Final Analyses (FNL) with 1 km spatial and 6 h temporal resolution. The numerical simulation is performed on the Supercomputer System “AVHTOHOL” at Institute of Information and Communication Technologies – BAS (Atanassov et al., 2016). Model parameters and schemes used in this study are listed in Table 1. The choice of parametrisations is based on our previous experience with the application of WRF in the region (Kirova et al., 2018; Barantiev et al., 2019).

The studied parameters wind speed (WS), wind direction (WD) and vertical wind speed (W) are linearly interpolated to the sodar levels of measurements. The relationship between measured and modelled parameters is studied using statistical metrics. The strength of correlation is considered as very weak if |r| ≤ 0.2, as weak if the 0.2 < |r| ≤ 0.4, as moderate if the 0.4 < |r| ≤ 0.6, as strong if the 0.6 < |r| ≤ 0.8 and very strong if 0.8 < |r| ≤ 1.
3 Results

The studied period 17–19 March 2012 includes four nocturnal periods with low-level jets (LLJ) located up to 300 m above the ground with maximum WS of about 15 m s\(^{-1}\) and followed by closed sea breeze (SB) cells with small spatial scales (up to 400 m including the calm zone), Fig. 2a. The period started with extreme winds from SW quarter which direction is reproduced by the model within 30° and magnitude within 3 m s\(^{-1}\) with a positive bias of the WS. The W is underestimated during the periods with extreme winds and overestimated during SB events.

As a quantitative measure of the ability of the model to reproduce the vertical and temporal structures of WS (Fig. 3a) and WD (Fig. 3b) the correlation coefficients are calculated between observed and interpolated model data. Considering that the WD is a circular variable, the correlation coefficients are calculated using the approaches described in Berens (2009) and Jammalamadaka and Sengupta (2001). The vertical structure is studied using dataset including up to 58 values of \(r\) (Fig. 3, blue lines referring to each sodar measurement level from 30 to 600 m at every 10 m), calculated by using up to 432 pairs (time series at every 10 min for 72 h). The temporal structure is studied using dataset including up to 432 values of \(r\) (Fig. 3, red lines referring to each sodar
time series – every 10 min for 72 h), calculated by using up to 58 pairs (sodar measurement level from 30 to 600 m at every 10 m). The data availability involved in calculations of \( r \) on both graphs in Fig. 3 is presented by coloured symbols (triangles for vertical structure where 100 % corresponds to 52 values and dots for temporal structure where 100 % corresponds to 432 values). The vertical structure of WS is satisfactory reproduced by the model – positive strong correlation for most of the heights except the first 50 m and the layer between 300 and 500 m where \( 0.4 < |r| \leq 0.6 \) and very strong correlation above 550 m except 590 m where the correlation is strong. The temporal structure of the WS is reproduced with very week and weak correlation in 19 % of all observational hours. The negative \( r \) values (15 % of all observational hours) associated with a sharp change in the wind direction around the onset and ceasing of the SB and with strong (18 %) and very strong (44 %) of all observational hours. The values of \( r \) for the WD are within range \( 0.4 < |r| \leq 0.6 \) for 35 % of all measurements levels; with positive values of \( r \) in 74 % of all observational times, in 18 % the correlation is moderate, strong in 17 % and very strong in 20 %.

In addition to the vertical and temporal correlations coefficients of the studied parameters some basic statistical metrics are given in Table 2 for all data (number of data - COUNT; mean values of observations and model – OBS, MOD; the standard deviation of observations and model – SD\(_{OBS}\), SD\(_{MOD}\); the standard deviation of error – SDE; the root mean square error – RMSE; the correlation coefficient – \( r \)). The WRF model overestimates the observed WS with about 52 % (Normalized Mean Bias) with about 3.5 m s\(^{-1}\), \( W \) is underestimated with about 23 % and negative bias in WD is 18.4°. The lack of SB events in the model simulations leads to the most significant difference in SD of WD compared to the WS and \( W \). For this simulation, WS has strong correlation (0.62) while \( W \) has weak correlation (0.25).

### 4 Conclusions

The presence of extreme winds in combination with local circulations in coastal region is investigated using sodar data and numerical simulations. The simulations with MYJ ABL scheme capture the observed durations of the nocturnal extreme winds closely but the sea breeze events are not reproduced by the model. In general, the model overestimates the WS (probably due to overestimated values of WS in the FNL data) which could be a reason for the lack of SB events considering the predominant synoptic SW flow during the studied period. The temporal (44 % of all observations) and vertical (31 levels) structure of the WS is simulated by the model respectively with very strong and strong correlation. The simulated WD vertical structure has the lowest correlation coefficients. The highest value of correlation coefficient from all data is obtained for WS while the lowest – for \( W \).

Evaluation of the model results was possible using comprehensive sodar data which is a powerful tool for revealing the potential of meso meteorological models for air quality and wind energy proposes.
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