Parental Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices in Early Childhood Development among Low Income Urban Parents

Taslima Begum

1MPH Program, American International University-Bangladesh (AIUB), Bangladesh
2BRAC Institute of Educational Development, BRAC University, Bangladesh

Received June 21, 2019; Revised August 23, 2019; Accepted September 23, 2019

Abstract The aim of the study was to examine parents’ current level of knowledge, attitudes and practices related to early childhood development (ECD). The findings found that few parents have good knowledge and practices regarding ECD although most of them have good level of attitude. These results indicate gaps among knowledge attitude and practices. Regarding child’s health and nutrition about 55% of infants were exclusively breastfed. Moreover, 45% infants received complementary liquids foods (typically around 4-5 months) and 12% were given infant formula. Interestingly, mothers engaged both controlling and indulgent feeding behaviors toward their child. Moreover, most of the mother used negative disciplinary techniques to guide their children. Finding on parental support for early learning found that 54% of households engaged in early and school readiness activities with their child. Only 25%, 3 to 5 year’s old children attended kindergarten school among them 92% children recognized letter or numbers. Only 8% parents perceived their child had some type of physical or learning difficulty despite most of them (94%) did not consult with others. However, 18% children had been seriously injured when parents leaving their child to someone else.
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1. Introduction

Early Childhood Development (ECD) ‘the key to a full and productive life’[1], is a powerful investment for socially and economically in the future. Investing in early years, it is not only beneficially for children and their families, but also to societies and the entire global community, because they help mitigate the impact of adverse early experiences. If children are not treated well at early years lead to poor health (e.g., non-communicable diseases such as obesity, cardiovascular disease, and diabetes), poor educational attainment, economic dependency, increased violence and crime, greater substance abuse and depression – all of which add to the cost and burden in society [2]. However, 250 million or 43% of children in Low- and middle-income countries (LMIC) are unable to realize their full development potential in 2016 (WHO) [3]. Hence, this was predicted to create a 20 per cent loss of adult productivity during the later stages of life [4]. What was concerning is that 25 per cent of children in LMIC like Bangladesh were exposed to psycho-social risk factors such as poor stimulation, lack of learning opportunities, parent unresponsiveness, and parental inability to understand infant behavior [5]. Each of these risk factors has been linked to caregiving practices and can be prevented using simple public health initiatives like responsive parenting programs that promote health, nutrition and early learning, which affects children’s overall development [6].

Studies have shown that integrated intervention, specifically parenting and family support program can improve cognitive development and school readiness through providing knowledge about ECD, the importance of early stimulation, proper caregiving practices and ensuring the quality of the home environment. Since parents’ knowledge, prevailing attitudes and quality practice or engagement played a positive role in children’s healthy development [7]. Therefore, a better understanding of the parents’ knowledge, attitudes and practices would benefit researcher, public health and ECD professional and policy makers. The understanding of the level of knowledge,
attitude and practice might help us to formulate integrated intervention to promote ECD by increasing parents’ knowledge, attitudes and practice related to ECD. It also helps investor, government, and policy makers to list down priorities for investment, provide evidence-based interventions, and improve the effectiveness of specific policies and programs that provide optimal conditions for success.

However, many children younger than 5 years in developing countries, like Bangladesh are exposed to multiple risks, including poverty, malnutrition, poor health, and un-stimulating home environments, which detrimentally affect their cognitive, motor, and social-emotional development[4]. In this situation, it is vital to examine parental knowledge, attitude, and practices before designing any intervention program. A research cited slum people follow less optimal parenting styles, including harsher parenting [8]. Similarly parents from Kenya, a low income country lack parenting skills[9]. Moreover poor parents spend less time reading to their children, less time talking with them, and less time visiting museums and libraries with them[10, 11, 12] and poor children are also exposed to fewer cognitively stimulating activities in the home[13]. Although many studies examine parental knowledge, attitude, and practices related to ECD, little evidence found in the Bangladeshi context. In addition, it is not clear about the current situation of parental knowledge, attitude, and practices related to ECD. As a result, the present study interested to study parental knowledge, attitude, and practices related to ECD.

The overall purpose of the research is to assess the level of knowledge, the prevailing attitudes and current practices of parents related to ECD and school readiness. Particularity the study has the following specific objectives: to assess parent’s current existing knowledge related to ECD, to assess parent’s prevailing attitude regarding ECD, to identify a gap among knowledge, attitude and practices, to identify the extent and quantity of early learning activities (early stimulation) in the home and parental behaviors related to infant feeding, nutrition, and child protection, to identify underlying socio-demographic factor related to level of knowledge, attitude and practices. The hypothesis of the study was existing knowledge, attitudes and practice related to ECD are poor among parents. Moreover, there is a gap between knowledge and attitude and, attitude and practice.

2. Method

2.1. Study Design

The study followed cross sectional design, in which data were collected through face to face parents’ interview with pre tested structured questionnaire.

2.2. Study Locale and Population

The data collection was carried out from Korial slum, the largest slum area in Dhaka, Bangladesh. The slum is located at the heart of the Dhaka North City Corporation, adjacent to the bustling business area of Gulshan that hold residential zones for the wealthy part of the population and is home to most of the foreign embassy. The perceived land area of the Korial varies between 95 acres (about 4 km²) and 200 acres (about 8 Km²) and the home to more than 200,000 people [14]. The majority of this slum living under the poverty line and working in extremely low income jobs[15]. The target groups of the study were under 5 years’ old children’s parents.

2.3. Sample

In the present study data were collected from 107 parents who have children under 5 years from Korial slum. Participants were selected using multi-stage sampling (purposive and convenience sampling).

2.4. Research Instrument

In the present study, pre tasted structured questionnaire was used to collected data. The content validity of the instrument was maintained by developing the instrument on the basis of UNICEF KAP (Knowledge, Attitude and Practice) Questionnaire and literature review regarding ECD. In addition to that, opinion from ECD experts & researchers were considered. The reliability of the instrument was established by pre-testing the instrument among 15 population. After that necessary modification was made on the basis of pretest and then the final questionnaire (data collection tools) was developed.

The tool was constructed in Bangla language which includes knowledge, attitude and practices regarding ECD. In addition to that, some socio-demographic information e.g., respondent’s age, sex, socioeconomic status, educational qualification, occupation etc. were also collected from parents. In the knowledge part, all items were open ended. However in order to achieve standardization in responses and an efficient data collection process, most items were close ended in the practices and attitude part. Most items in practices section designed to elicit concrete and objective responses (i.e., yes/no; when the child stopped breastfeeding). The items were asked in simple language to limit the risk of bias during translation to the local languages. In designing the questionnaire, personal or sensitive questions were carefully avoided.

Two versions of the KAP questionnaire were used in this study. One version was used for parents of children aged 6 months to 2 years (infants and toddlers) which related to infant and young child feeding practices and another version was used for parents of children aged 3 to 5 years (kindergarten aged children) which related to
children’s school readiness skills, transition to school and participation in kindergarten. The questionnaire mainly focused on three issues (health and nutrition, family support for early learning, and child protection) related to ECD and school readiness. The items in each of the three themes are outlined below:

**Health and Nutrition.** It includes ten items from WHO Indicators of infant and young children feeding practices and six items from UNICEF Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey Disability and disability module. These items measure infant and young child feeding practices.

**Family support for learning.** Items of this part measure children’s school readiness skills, enrollment and transition to primary school and parents’ perception about kindergarten.

**Child protection.** This theme includes five items, issues related to protection such as adequate care, injuries and disciplinary practices.

2.5. Procedure

Data were collected individually from each respondent through face to face interview. At first rapport were built through practicing greetings to inform participants about the purpose of the research. Then verbal consent was taken from parents. Moreover, parents were informed of the study procedures, risks and benefits, protection of the privacy, and parents’ freedom to discontinue participation. One field Researcher Assistant engaged in data collection, crosschecking, and data entry. Each filled questionnaires were re-checked just after completing the interview so that inconsistence responses could be corrected. Data collection was continued until the desired number of sample was met.

2.6. Data Management and Analysis Plan

After collection of data, all interviewed questionnaires were checked and cleaned manually. Then, all incomplete or missing data were discarded as incomplete data. Raw data were checked twice and coded in the same day of data collection.

Data were entered and analyzed through SPSS version 20 (Statistical Package for Social Science). For the test of significance, Chi-square and Multiple liner regression analysis were used to find out the relationship between factors and to find the dependency status between dependent and independent variables.

2.7. Limitation of the Research

This research was conducted by a cross-sectional study and the causality could not be ensured. It is the most important limitation of this research. As the outcome is based on “respondents-report” of the respondents, results are vulnerable to social desirability and recall biases.

3. Findings

The results of the present study are illustrated below, showing parental knowledge, attitude and practices related to ECD.

3.1. Socio Demographic Characteristics of Respondent

Among 107 participants 104 participants were mother and remaining 3 were father. Participants’ age were ranging between 18 to 40 years old, with an average of 25 years old. Among the participants, 47% were illiterate and the remaining 53% were literate. Most of the participants (48%) did not pass any class, 22% completed their primary education, 23% completed secondary education and only 7% completed SSC or equivalent level.

Most of the participant were not employed or homemaker (79%), only 21% were employed. Among the employed participants most were day labor (64%) followed by garment’s worker (14%), 9% were service holder, 9% did handicraft, and only 4% were doing micro business.

However, most participants lived in nuclear family. About 84% participant lived at nuclear family where 16% lived in joint family. Family members ranged from 3 to 12 persons with an average of 4.5 persons. 36% family, most of the family consisted with 3 persons followed by 4 persons (31%), and then 5 persons (17%). Only 1% families had 12 members.

Around 50% family’s monthly family income was 6000 to 10000 taka. On the other hand, only 3% participant’s monthly family income was less than 5000 taka and 47% participants’ monthly family income was more than 10000 taka. However, 98% participants had no land. Interestingly, only 7% participants always faced scarcity of money, and 19% sometimes faced scarcity of money. On the other hand, 42% families’ maintained income and expenditure balance and 32% families can made savings.

3.2. Parental Knowledge Attitude and Practice

The section presents the parents’ current level of knowledge, attitude, and practices. To determine the of parent’s knowledge, attitude and practices the total score was categorized as no, low, moderate and good. The total raw score (separately for knowledge, attitude, and practices) of 0 were considered as no, 1 to 40% of total score was categorized as low, 41 to 80% were considered as moderate and above 80% were considered as good knowledge, attitude or practices (Table 1).
Table 1. Categorization of parents’ knowledge, attitude and practices level

| Instrument                      | Item no | Total score | Score                                      |
|---------------------------------|---------|-------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Knowledge                       | 10      | 28          | No knowledge: 0                             |
|                                 |         |             | Low knowledge: 1-11                         |
|                                 |         |             | Moderate Knowledge: 12-22                   |
|                                 |         |             | Good knowledge: 23-28                       |
| Attitude                        | 10      | 10          | No attitude: 0                              |
|                                 |         |             | Low attitude: 1-4                           |
|                                 |         |             | Moderate attitude: 5-8                      |
|                                 |         |             | Good attitude: 9-10                         |
| Early Learning practices        | 5       | 5           | No practices: 0                             |
|                                 |         |             | Low practices: 1-2                          |
|                                 |         |             | Moderate practices: 3-4                     |
|                                 |         |             | Good practices: 4-5                         |
| Responsive feeding practices    | 8       | 16          | No practices: 0                             |
|                                 |         |             | Low practices: 6                            |
|                                 |         |             | Moderate practices: 7-13                    |
|                                 |         |             | Good practices: 14-16                       |

Table 2. Distribution of parents’ KAP level (%)

| variable                          | 0-2 years (n = 56) | 3-5 years (n =51) |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Knowledge about ECD               |                    |                   |
| No                                 | 0                  | 0                 |
| Low                                | 72.7               | 71.2              |
| Moderate                           | 27.3               | 28.8              |
| Good                               | 0                  | 0                 |
| Attitude on child rearing practices|                    |                   |
| No                                 | 0                  | 0                 |
| Low                                | 9.1                | 0                 |
| Moderate                           | 90.9               | 90.9              |
| Good                               | 0                  | 0                 |
| Early learning practice            |                    |                   |
| 0-2 years                         | 9.1                | 11.5              |
| Low                                | 29.1               | 42.3              |
| Moderate                           | 30.9               | 19.2              |
| Good                               | 30.9               | ns                |
| Responsive feeding practices       |                    |                   |
| 0-2 years                         | 0                  | 0                 |
| Low                                | 2.8                | 3.8               |
| Moderate                           | 44.9               | 42.3              |
| Good                               | 4.7                | 19.2              |
| ns                                 |                    | 26.9              |

Table 2 represents that there were not any parents having no knowledge or good knowledge. Most of the parents had lower level of ECD knowledge (about 73 and 71 percentages for parents having 0-2 years and 3-5 years old child respectively). In contrast above 90% parents had good attitude (about 91% for parents having children under 2 years and 96% parents 3-5 years old child respectively). However, parents did not practice early stimulation/early learning practices (ELP) as much as with older children with compared to the younger one. About 31% parents’ did good and moderate level of practices and 30% parents did lower level of practices with their younger children. On the other hand, 42.3% parents having 3-5 years old child did the lower level of practices. However, about 45% parents practiced responsive feeding (RF) practices with their child.

To determine the association among parents’ knowledge, attitude and practice we conducted chi-square test (Table 3 and Table 4). Table 3 reveals that there is no association between early learning practices with knowledge. Similarly, early learning practices had not any significant association with parental attitude. Consistently parent’s responsive feeding practices had not any significant association with knowledge and prevailing attitude (Table 4). From these two tables, we can conclude that there may gaps among parental Knowledge, attitude and practices.

**Correlation of socio demographic factor with parental KAP.** Partial correlation was conducted to see the association of all possible socio demographic variables with parental knowledge, attitude, and practices. Table 5 presented that monthly father’s literacy; family income, income and expenditure balance, and asset index were positively correlated with the parent’s knowledge. However, monthly family income was negatively correlated with the parent’s attitude. The table also represented that literate mother and mothers having higher educational qualification practiced more early learning stimulation. However, employed mother practiced less early learning stimulation with their child. On the other hand, father’s educational qualification, income & expenditure balance, crowding index, and toilet facilities at home were positively correlated with parent’s responsive feeding practices (RF).
### Table 3. Association of Early learning practices with knowledge and attitude

| Variable | level of Early Learning Practice (n = 107) | Chi-square | df | p value |
|----------|------------------------------------------|------------|----|---------|
| Knowledge | Low                                      | 3.13       | 3  | .37     |
|           | Moderate                                 | 4.75       | 3  | .19     |
| Attitude  | Moderate                                 | 3.99       | 2  | .13     |
|           | Good                                     | .92        | 2  | .63     |

### Table 4. Association of responsive feeding practices with knowledge and attitude

| Variable | level of Responsive feeding (n = 55) | Chi-square | df | p value |
|----------|-------------------------------------|------------|----|---------|
| Knowledge | Low                                 | 3.99       | 2  | .13     |
|           | Moderate                            | .92        | 2  | .63     |
| Attitude  | Moderate                            | .131       | .017 | .63     |
|           | Good                                | .211*      | .010 | .74     |

### Table 5. Correlation of knowledge, practices, and attitude with socio-demographic factors

| Variables | Knowledge | Attitude | ELP | RF |
|-----------|-----------|----------|-----|----|
| Child’s Sex (1 is coded as boy and 2 as girl) | -.084 | -.008 | .044 | .084 |
| age       | -.004 | -.073 | -.063 | .130 |
| Mothers age | .122 | -.105 | -.144 | -.017 |
| Mother's literacy | .131 | -.029 | .202* | .262 |
| Mother's educational level | .147 | -.025 | .249** | .221 |
| Mother is employment status | -.042 | .028 | - .416** | -.062 |
| Father's age | .081 | -.084 | -.181 | -.001 |
| Father’s literate | .199* | .154 | .189 | .161 |
| Father's education qualification | -.030 | -.011 | -.130 | .270* |
| type of family (1 is coded as nuclear family and 2 as joint family) | -.105 | -.117 | -.068 | -.068 |
| monthly family income | .211* | -.223* | .010 | .074 |
| income and expenditure balance | .262** | -.027 | .188 | .370** |
| Asset index | .254** | -.092 | .102 | .157 |
| Crowding index | .095 | -.027 | .026 | .320* |
| Toilet facility at house | .027 | -.111 | .062 | .286* |

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01

(Notes. ELP: Early Learning Practices, RF: Responsive feeding)

### Table 6. Correlation among knowledge, practices, and attitude

| Variable | Knowledge | Attitude | ELP | RF |
|----------|-----------|----------|-----|----|
|          | (N=107)   | (N=107)  | (N=107) | (N=56) |
| Knowledge | 1         | ---      | ---  | --- |
| Attitude  | .045      | 1        | ---  | --- |
| ELP       | .131      | .161     | 1    | --- |
| RF        | .284*     | -.001    | .200 | 1   |

*p < 0.05

**Correlation between parental knowledge, attitude, and practice.** Partial correlation was conducted to see the association parental knowledge, attitude, and practices. Table 6 represents that parental knowledge significantly and positively correlated with responsive feeding practices. However, parental knowledge not significantly correlated with early learning practices and attitude. On the other hand, parents’ attitudes not significantly correlated with parents’ early learning practices and responsive feeding practices.

Furthermore, to observe the causal relationship between two variables and the contribution of predictor variables on the criterion variable we conducted multiple regressions (Table 9). Table 7 has shown that knowledge about ECD can explain 8% of the variance in RF practices. However, socio-demographic factors (asset index, crowding index, the mother was employed or not, mother's literacy, income and expenditure balance, monthly family income, mother's educational level) can explain 25 percentage of variance on RF practices. On the
other hand, socio-demographic factors (asset index, crowding index, the mother is employed or not, mother's literacy, income and expenditure balance, monthly family income, mother's educational level) can explain 23 percentage of variance on ELP (Table 7).

Finally, in a separate analysis we conducted another multiple liner regression (Table 8) to see the effect of knowledge (predictor variable) on practices related to ECD with controlling all potential confounders. Table 8 represents that variance explained by knowledge on RF increase 25% to 27%. Nevertheless, $R^2$ change (2%) was not significant. Similarly, variance explained by knowledge on ELP increase from 23% to 24%. But $R^2$ change (2%) was not significant.

### Table 7. Regression model for KAP and socio-demographic factor

| Criterion variable | Predictors                                                                 | R   | R square | Adjusted R square | SS  | df | MS  | F    | p   |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----------|-------------------|-----|----|-----|------|-----|
| RF                 | Knowledge                                                                  | .28 | .08      | .064              | 9.647 | 1  | 9.647 | .741 | .03 |
| Knowledge          | Asset index, crowding index, the mother is employed or not, mother's literacy, income and expenditure balance, monthly family income, mother's educational level | .33 | .11      | .48               | 7.773 | 7  | .253 | .759 | .10 |
| ELP                | Asset index, crowding index, the mother is employed or not, mother's literacy, income and expenditure balance, monthly family income, mother's educational level | .48 | .23      | .18               | 1.132 | 7  | .733 | .270 | .00 |
| RF                 | Asset index, crowding index, the mother is employed or not, mother's literacy, income and expenditure balance, monthly family income, mother's educational level | .50 | .25      | .14               | 0.677 | 7  | .668 | .277 | .04 |

### Table 8. Regression model of parental knowledge in the adjusted model

| Dependent variable | Model | R   | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Change Statistics |
|--------------------|-------|-----|----------|-------------------|-------------------|
| RF                 | 1     | .499 | .249     | .140              | .249              | 2.277 | .044 |
|                    | 2     | .522 | .272     | .148              | .023              | 1.483 | .229 |
| ELP                | 1     | .483 | .234     | .179              | .234              | 4.270 | .000 |
|                    | 2     | .490 | .240     | .178              | .007              | .855  | .357 |

*Model 1* income and expenditure balance, mother’s literacy, Mother’s employment status, crowding index, monthly family income, asset index, and mother's educational level

*Model 2* income and expenditure balance, mother's literacy, Mother’s employment status, crowding index, monthly family income, asset index, and mother's educational level, mother’s knowledge

### Table 9. Percentage of parents involved in both responsive and controlling feeding behaviors

| Types of parent child interaction during feeding | Percentage |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Responsive                                       |             |
| Parents talk to the child and encourage him or her during meal | 84%         |
| Parents sit with child during feeding            | 80%         |
| Parents wash hand with soap and water before feeding | 79%         |
| The child let parents know when he/she is full   | 75%         |
| Controlling/indulgent                            |             |
| Parent allows the child to eat sweets to keep him/her happy | 98%         |
| Parents have to control child while eating, such as his or her body or head | 75%         |
| Parents try to get the child to finish all of his/her food during meal | 35%         |
| Parents force the child to eat                   | 30%         |
3.3. Findings on Health and Nutrition

This section presents parents’ knowledge and practices about health and nutrition such as the source of information these parents used on infant and child feeding practices, initiation of breastfeeding, exclusive breastfeeding, complementary feeding practices; responsive feeding practices and child disability.

3.4. Sources of Information on Infant Feeding

The study found that experiences from previous child’s feeding practices (about 50%) and mother/ mother in law (27%) were the two most important source of information for mothers to make decisions about feeding their infant or young child. Interestingly, 11% mother said that husband helped them to make decisions about infant and child feeding practices. However, only 9% mothers received information on infant or young children’s feeding from a health clinic, or community health worker. No mother reported having received infant feeding information from a parenting program.

Early initiation of breast feeding. The study found 98% mothers initiated breastfeeding in the first hour after birth. The few cases where mothers did not breastfeed were due to medical reasons.

Exclusive breastfeeding for six months. The study found that 55% of infants were exclusively breastfed and 45% of infants received complementary liquids prior to 6 months of age (typically around 4-5 months). However, 12% of infants were given infant formula primarily for medical reasons.

Introducing complementary solid foods. Most of the mother (89%) introduced complementary solid foods at 6 months. only 7% mothers started at age 7 months and others’ introduced solid food to infants between 6 and 12 months of age (Figure 1). Among the solid foods, Hopscotch was the single most common first solid food, with 48% of infants receiving this as one of their first foods. Other common first solid foods included rice (28%), Semia/ Payes: kind of vermicelli (14%) and semolina (4%). Some infants also received biscuits, eggs or fish as first foods.

Responsive Feeding Practices. Responsive feeding (RF) refers to a reciprocal relationship between an infant or child and his or her caregiver that is characterized by the child communicating feelings of hunger and satiety through verbal or nonverbal cues, followed by an immediate response from the caregiver. It includes caregiver responsiveness and a belief in infant/toddlers’ ability to self-regulation. Hence, infants’ and young children should be feed with patients and slowly. In addition to that, young children should be encouraged to eat, but not forced to eat.

The study found that most of the parents’ use both responsive and controlling behavior during feeding their child (Table 9). Most mothers reported that they engaged in responsive feeding behaviors including sitting with their child during meals and talking to their child during meals. At the same time, mothers also reported engaging in controlling and indulgent feeding behaviors including physically controlling their child while eating and allowing them to eat sweet to keep him/ her happy. Mothers reported that they started to give their child small finger foods between the ages of 3 and 18 months and, on average, at 10 months of age.

![Figure 1. Introduction of complementary solid food by age](image-url)
Child disability. The study found that 8% of parents perceived that their child had some type of physical or learning difficulty. The most common disability concerns amongst parents of kindergarten-aged children were walking difficulties followed by speaking or seeing (Table 10). Interestingly, parents had infant or toddler did not perceive that their child had any types of physical or learning difficulties, suggesting that earlier physical or learning difficulties may not be identified.

Table 10. Parental reports of child disability, diagnosed and undiagnosed (N=107)

| Reports of child disability by type | Aged 2-3 | Aged 3-5 | Total |
|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|-------|
| Seeing                            | 0%       | 2%       | 2%    |
| Hearing                           | 0%       | 0%       | 0%    |
| Speaking                          | 0%       | 2%       | 2%    |
| Walking                           | 0%       | 4%       | 4%    |

In terms of health seeking behaviors, 94% of mothers reported that they did not speak to anyone about their concern related to perceived child disability. Other mothers reported that they either spoke with the local nurse, family members or doctor regarding their concerns.

3.5. Findings on Parental Support for Early Learning

Children’s development was facilitated by the active involvement of parents in learning activities. Parents foster cognitive development by reading or looking at picture books, telling stories or spending time naming, counting and drawing with their child. Parents foster socio-emotional development by playing with children, singing them songs or taking them out of the home.

The study measured parental support for early cognitive and socio-emotional development, which was facilitated by the active involvement of parents in learning by reading books, showing picture books, singing song, play with children, taking child outside the home, counting or drawing. This chapter presents findings on the following issues:

Parental support for learning. In most of the household (54%) at least one parent/caregiver engaged in three or more early and school readiness activities with their child in the past three days. The five possible activities included: reading or looking at picture books, storytelling, playing, singing, and counting or drawing. Parents on average, engaged in 2.6 early learning activities with their children.

Story telling. Story telling was the least common practice among early learning activities: at least one parent engaged in story telling with their child in 41% households in past three days. However, both parents engaged in story telling with their child in 1% households in past three day. Moreover, neither parents nor any other family members engaged in story telling with their child in 36% households in past three days.

Reading book with children. Reading book or showing picture book with children was most common and early learning and school readiness activities that parents engaged in with their child (Fig 2). At least one parent reads or looked at picture books with their child in 77% of households during the past three days. However, both parents read with their child in 1% of households. Around 55% mothers, 18% father and 11% sibling older than 15 years old read with their child. Parents reported that they read the following types of book or reading materials to their child:

- Children’s’ books including picture books, text books, religious book (79%)
- Magazine or newspaper (2%)
- Poster or wall calendar (1%)

![Figure 2](image-url) Percentage of households in which at least one parent engage in activities to promote early learning and school readiness in the past three days
Neither parent reads or looked at picture books in the past three days in 23% households. Parents in households where parents or any other family members did not read with their child reported that the main reasons were that they were too busy to read (45%), the child was too young to read (20%), they did not have access to books (15%), parents could not read (15%), and other reasons (5%).

**Counting or drawing with children.** At least one parent counted or drew with their child in 73% of households during the past three days (and both parents counted or drew with their child in 1% of households). Neither parents nor any other family member counted or drew with their child in 19% of households. This was one of the most common early learning and school readiness activity that parents engaged in with their child.

**Playing with children.** At least one parent played with their child in 72% of households during the past three days (and both parents played with their child in 3% of household). The study found that children generally played with store brought toys and household objects:
- Toys from a store or market (37%)
- Household objects such as bowls, cups, pots (26%)
- Homemade toys (9%)
- Things for writing and drawing (6%)
- Outside objects such as sticks and rocks (1%)
- Things that Make or play music (1%)

Neither parents nor any other family member played with their child in 16% of households. Parents reported that the main reason they did not play with their child because they were too busy to play with (80%), and they did not have access to play materials (13%). A few mother reported that they did not think it was important to play.

**Singing song.** Singing song with their child is one of the less common early learning activities that parents engaged with. Parents sang the following types of songs with their child:
- Popular songs or songs they heard on the radio (70%)
- Religious songs (14%)
- Songs that help children to learn such as counting or naming colors (6%)

**Is child’s gender or age associated with parental support for learning?** Child’s gender was not significantly correlated with parental engagement in early learning activities in the home (Table 5). This suggests that parents of girls engaged in a similar number of early learning activities as parents of boy.

Children’s age was not significantly correlated with the number of early activities that parents engaged in with their child. This suggests that parents engaged in similar early learning activities with infants and toddlers and with kindergarten aged children. However, mothers were much more likely to play with infants/toddlers than with kindergarten aged children. On the other hand, mothers were more likely to count or draw with their kindergarten aged children than with toddler and infant (Fig 3).
Kindergarten participation. The study found that around 25% 3 to 5 year’s old children attended kindergarten school. The most common reason that a child did not attend kindergarten was that they were perceived the kindergarten was too expensive (47%), or it would not benefit the child (33%). Other reasons included: the child is too young (10%) or that the kindergarten was too far from home (8%). The study found that only 10% of parents had met with the kindergarten teacher to discuss their child’s learning. Children who participated in kindergarten had significantly higher scores on the assessment of school readiness milestones. However, mothers were not as positive about the benefits of kindergarten: 50% mothers reported that their child had learned ‘a lot’ from kindergarten and 33% reported that their child did not learn much at all, while only 12% of mothers reported that their child had learned ‘a little’.  

Children’s school readiness. A measure of children’s school readiness was developed for this study that included five key early learning milestones: letter recognition, reading, number recognition, independence, fine motor ability and getting along with other children. Parents provided estimates on whether or not their child was able to complete these tasks. The results show that children’s scores on school readiness milestones were significantly correlated with child’s age and not with child’s gender but not with child’s gender. Scores were also significantly and positively associated with the number of household assets and with maternal level of education. Scores were not significantly associated with participation in kindergarten. However, parents reported that among the kindergarten group 92% children recognized letter or number whether it was 50% for non-kindergarten children.  

Nearly all parents reported that their child got along well with other children, could follow simple instruction and their child was able to do things independently. Many also reported that the child was not distracted easily. The lowest score was related to early reading: only 26% of children in kindergarten and 15% of children not in kindergarten were able to read four simple and popular words 51% of parents also reported that their child hits, and their child was able to do things independently. Many parents approached child discipline with both negative and positive verbal strategies. In addition to that 12% parents reported that they used physical punishment (shake, spank, slap or pull ear, pinch) as disciplinary strategy. However, 12% mother did nothing, when their child did something wrong. The study found that 18% of mothers had regular activities outside the home that involved leaving their child in the care of someone else typically a grandmother or father and sometimes aunt. On average mothers 1.26 hours per week of children were occasionally left in the care of another child (less than 10 years old) for more than an hour. Furthermore, 58% (on average 2.12 days per week) of children were sometimes left alone for more than an hour.

Leaving children in inadequate care. The study found that 18% of mothers had regular activities outside the home that involved leaving their child in the care of someone else typically a grandmother or father and sometimes aunt. On average mothers 1.26 hours per week of children were occasionally left in the care of another child (less than 10 years old) for more than an hour. Furthermore, 58% (on average 2.12 days per week) of children were sometimes left alone for more than an hour.  

Child injuries. The study finds that 18% of children had been seriously injured. Parents reported that injuries were related to wounds (16%), accidents (2%), or burn (1%).  

Child discipline. Parents reported that when their child did something wrong, the most common discipline strategy they used was to shout, yell or scream (34%) and explain why something is (30%) wrong. Therefore, parents approached child discipline with both negative and positive verbal strategies. In addition to that 12% parents reported that they used physical punishment (shake, spank, slap or pull ear, pinch) as disciplinary strategy. However, 12% mother did nothing, when their child did something wrong.

Figure 4 reveals that percentage (29% and 39% respectively) of mothers who reported using verbal aggression was high even amongst infant and toddlers.
4. Discussion

The aim of this study was to assess the level of knowledge, prevailing attitudes and current practices of parents and to investigate whether there is a gap between knowledge, attitude, and practices related to ECD and school readiness. The study investigates key issues that influence children’s health, learning, psychosocial functioning in the first five years of life. Specially, the study investigates optimal breastfeeding and complementary feeding practices, family support and opportunities for early learning in the home environment, and issues related to child protection including exposure to violent discipline and children left in inadequate care. Reviewing the relevant literature in detailed, it was hypothesized that, existing knowledge and attitude and practice related to ECD are poor among parents and there might be a gap between knowledge and attitude and practice.

The findings of the study partially supported the hypotheses. Results presented in Table 2 indicates knowledge and practices (both early learning and responsive feeding) were lower among parents. Comparing parents between low and high socio-economic status, the research found that parents in higher socio-economic group had greater knowledge about child development [16]. On the other hand parents' lower lever of knowledge reflected on parents' poor practice regarding child care. These findings were also similar to previous findings [10, 11, 12]. However, present study found that the prevailing attitude toward ECD is good among parents. And, table 3 and 4 reveals that there was a gap between knowledge and attitude, and practices and attitude. These results indicate parents’ feel importance of stimulation, nutrient food and other factors that accelerate child development but they had not specific information why these factors are important and how these factors affect development.

Regression model (Table 7) indicates that some socio-demographic factor (e.g., asset index, crowding index, mother is employed or not, mother's literacy, income and expenditure balance, monthly family income, mother's educational level) have an effect on mother’s practices. Moreover, mother knowledge has some effect ($p=.03$) on mother’s responsive feeding practices. However, table 8 reveals that after controlling the socio-demographic factor there is no effect of knowledge on practices. Similar to other findings, these results indicate that socio-demographic factors have a strong influence on parents’ knowledge and practices [16].

Findings on child’s health and nutrition around 45% of infants received complementary liquids prior to 6 months (typically around 4-5 months) and 12% of infants were given infant formula primarily for medical reasons. Consistently, UNICEF (2008) estimated that 40 to 50 percent of young children in developing countries are with similar negative consequences [17]. Finding on parental support for early learning found that 54% of households engaged in three or more early and school readiness activities with their child in the past three days. Story telling is the least common and reads books is most common practices among parents. The study found that around 25% 3 to 5 year’s old children attended kindergarten school. However, parents reported that among the kindergarten group 92% children recognized letter or number whether it was 50% for non-kindergarten children which are similar to Wallander et al. study[18].

Although the present study tried to maintain a sound methodology and analysis of data, nerveless it is not free from certain draw backs and limitations. Following may be said to be the major limitations of the study. The study was conducted on a limited number of respondents. In this study, the non-probability sampling technique was also used. In addition some situational factors (e.g., child birth order, number of siblings, etc.) were not introduced.
Therefore, further studies considering these factors are necessary to get a better picture of this matter. Moreover, low income parents high income parent in urban and rural can be studied combinedly.

### 5. Conclusions and Recommendations

In line with the purpose and objectives of the KAP study, this report has established a current picture of the sample population. The current KAP study examined parental knowledge, attitudes, and practices related to early childhood development in Bangladesh. Specifically, the study investigated trends in child rearing and child-giving practices related to child health and nutrition, family support for early learning, and child protection.

According to finding of this study, the overall knowledge regarding ECD among the parents was not good enough. However, parents had a good level of attitude toward child rearing issues. On the other hand, few parents practiced higher level of early learning stimulation. However, practices regarding child’s responsive feeding were very low. Therefore, it suggested that there was some gap between knowledge, attitude, and practices. In addition to that, regression analysis reveals that parents’ socio economic status and home environment are good predictors of parental knowledge and practices. As a result, the following interventions are recommendations to translate the findings into action.

**Recommendation 1**

ECD knowledge should be stated at the community level. Programs should be taken to promote the importance of ECD and encourage parents to practices more activities that enhance their children’s development.

**Recommendation 2**

The strategy should promote parents engagement with their children through makes them aware of the benefits of kindergarten, preprimary school, and the importance of play based learning.

**Recommendation 3**

Focus future parenting activities on improving knowledge, attitude, and practices related to the child early stimulation, in particular, cognitive benefits associated with early stimulation such as play with child read book.

Efforts to promote sustainable change related to the child early stimulation and development should include Family member

**Recommendation 4**

Ensure target parenting and ECD programs to reach lower income and slum people. Efforts should focus on ensuring low educative parents have access to kindergarten and interventions that focus on ECD including psychosocial stimulation.
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