Study on Biodegradation of Mechanic Workshop Polluted Soil Amended with Lime Fertilizer
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Abstract: Biodegradation of mechanic workshop polluted soil amended with 2.4kg lime (Confluence fertilizer) was studied for a period of eight weeks (56 days). This was done by physicochemical analysis of the soil samples and microbiological analysis by spread plate inoculation on Nutrient agar (NA). The results revealed higher bacteria population in amended soil (AS) compared to oil free soil (OFS) and polluted soil (PS). The range of bacterial counts was Oil free soil (1.6×10⁴ – 6.8 ×10⁴ cfu/g), polluted soil (2.2×10⁴ – 9.8×10⁴ cfu/g) and Amended soil (1.62×10⁴ – 1.12×10⁵ cfu/g). The fungi counts range were Oil free soil (2.5×10³ – 3.0×10⁴ cfu/g), polluted soil (2.2×10³ – 4.0×10⁴ cfu/g) and Amended soil (2.1×10³ – 2.7×10³ cfu/g). Eleven (11) organisms were isolated in the course of this study. The bacteria isolated were Pseudomonas putida, Bacillus subtilis, Micrococcus luteus, Proteus mirabilis and Staphylococcus aureus while the fungi isolated include Mucor mucedo, Candida tropicalis, Aspergillus niger, Aspergillus flavus, Aspergillus fumigatus and Rhizopus nigricans. The moisture content (MC), organic carbon, organic matter, pH and nitrate were higher in amended soil compared to unpolluted soil and polluted soil. The result of the study shows that lime (confluence fertilizer) can be used to enhanced biodegradation of oil polluted soil.
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1. Introduction

Ismail [1] defined biodegradation as biological activities resulting in the breakdown of a compound or organic contaminant. The use of these biological activities is low-cost and a sustainable remediation method for contaminated soil [2]. Use of living organisms such as bacteria for degradation of hydrocarbons in the environment is connected to a number of physical and chemical factors including the concentration and chemical structure of contaminant, moisture, oxygen, temperature and pH [3].

Anene and Chika [4] reported that the rate and efficiency of biodegradation depend on the occurrence of adequately numerous and active microflora in the contaminated or polluted environment. Biodegradation of complex molecules usually involves the interactive effect of mixed populations of micro–organisms and relies on the metabolic versatility of bacteria and fungi and the rate of degradation depends on the composition of the molecules [5].

Biodegradation of petroleum hydrocarbons is a complex process that depends on the nature and the number of hydrocarbons. Different factors influencing hydrocarbon degradation have been reported by [6]. One of the important factors that limit biodegradation of oil pollutants in the environment is their limited availability to microorganisms. Petroleum hydrocarbon compounds bind to soil components and they are difficult to be removed or degraded [7]. Hydrocarbons differ in their susceptibility to microbial attack. Bacteria are the most active agents in petroleum degradation and they work as primary degraders of spilled oil in the environment [8, 9]. Several bacteria are even known to feed exclusively on hydrocarbons [10]. Acinetobacter species was found to be capable of utilizing n- alkanes of chain length C₁₀-C₄₀ as a sole source of carbon [11]. Bacterial genera namely, Gordonia, Mycobacterium, Brevibacterium, Aeromicrobium, Dietzia, and Burkholderia isolated from petroleum contaminated soil proved to be the potential organisms for hydrocarbon degradation [12].
According to Stephen et al. [2], microorganisms such as Pseudomonas sp., Acinetobacter sp., Flavobacterium sp., Micrococcus sp., Bacillus sp., Candida sp., Aspergillus sp., Rhizopus sp., Alcaligenes and Trichoderma sp. have the potential to degrade hydrocarbons.

Singh [13] reported a group of terrestrial fungi, namely, Aspergillus, Cephalosporium and Pencillium which were found to be potential degraders of crude oil. The Candida tropicalis species namely, Candida lipolytica, Rhodotulura mucilaginosa, Geotrichum sp., and Trichosporon mucoides isolated from contaminated water were also noted to degrade petroleum compound [14].

In mechanic workshops, there are accidental or deliberate releases or discharge of petrol, diesel, solvents, grease and lubricants on the land. Many of these petroleum products are organic chemicals that can be highly toxic and hazardous to soil fauna and man. The use of automobiles has also led to increase in trace elements and heavy metal contamination in the environment [15]. Contamination of soil environment by hydrocarbons (mostly petroleum hydrocarbons) is becoming prevalent across the globe. This is probably due to heavy dependence on petroleum as a major source of energy throughout the world, rapid industrialization, population growth and complete disregard for the environmental health [16].

Petroleum products such as lubricating oil, petrol, and diesel are used in various forms in mechanic workshops. These products tend to harden and change the colour of the soil. It may also have adverse effect on the soil microbiota as well as the physicochemical properties such as pH of the soil [3] and create an imbalance in the Carbon-Nitrogen (C/N) ratio at the spill site (mechanic workshop). This may result in nitrogen deficiency in the soil, retard the growth of bacteria and the utilization of carbon sources [17]. Furthermore, a large amount of biodegradable organics in the top layers of soils depletes oxygen reserves in the soil and slows down the rate of oxygen diffusion to the deeper layer [17].

2. Related Work

Bioremediation is considered to be a more economical and safe method for the treatment of oil contaminated sites. According to [18] bioremediation is an alternative to conventional methods such as use of chemicals in reclaiming oil polluted sites by the addition of specific microorganisms (bacteria, cyanobacteria, algae and fungi) or enhancement of metabolic activities of micro-organisms already present in polluted soil.

Liming is the use of calcium and magnesium to enrich soil by the addition of different substances such as limestone, hydrated lime, and chalk. These substances help neutralize the soil acidity and improve the soil activity suitable for microorganisms [19]. Soil pH is affected by several factors such as decomposition of organic matter, precipitation, native vegetation, nitrogen fertilization and flooding. Lime reduces soil acidity with respect to Ca²⁺ ion and lime replaces two H⁺ ions on the cations exchange complex. The lime dissolves to form calcium, bicarbonate, and hydroxide ions. The hydroxide neutralizes soil acidity by combining with hydrogen ions to form water [20].

Oil polluted soil is acidic and the oil alters microbiological and physicochemical properties of the soil negatively and it also affects soil fertility. If polluted soil is not amended, it will be unproductive for long period of time and consequently, affect agricultural productivity. Mechanic workshop soil cannot be put to agricultural use unless it is reclaimed. The aim of this study is to reclaim mechanic workshop soil polluted with petroleum product (diesel, petrol, lubricating oil etc.) using lime fertilizer which is readily available in Anyigba as a result of the Confluence Lime Fertilizer Company in Anyigba, Kogi State.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Study Area

Anyigba is located in Dekina Local Government Area (L.G.A) of Kogi State, Nigeria. It has a total population of 130,000 [21]. It has many automechanic workshops and it is heavily patronized especially in the area of automobile body works, spraying and engine fitting. All the sites have typical characteristics of automobile work sites such as patches of waste engine oil on the ground, discarded engine oil containers and paint cans.

3.2. Sample Collection

Soil sample was collected at surface depth of 0-10 cm from a mechanic workshop opposite Kogi State University, Anyigba. The soil is characterized by its hardened surfaces and blackish colour. Soil sample which served as control was also collected from unpolluted and hydrocarbon free soil behind Faculty of Natural Sciences Laboratory, Kogi State University, Anyigba, Nigeria.

3.3. Experimental Design

The soil samples were transferred into three perforated plastic pots. The pots were arranged in rows and labeled OFS, PS, and AS. The pot labeled OFS contained 8kg (8000g) of oil free soil which served as control, PS contained 8kg (8000g) unamended mechanic workshop polluted soil and the third pot AS contained 8kg (8000g) mechanic workshop polluted soil amended with 30% (2.4kg) lime fertilizer. The pots were perforated at the sides and bases to increase aeration and to avoid water logging. The soil samples were watered twice a week.

3.4. Sample Analysis

Sampling was conducted bi-weekly for a period of 56 days (8 weeks) to determine the microbiological components and physicochemical properties of the soil. Soil samples were taken from two different parts of each bowl and homogenised. The soil samples from the three pots were analyzed microbiologically using serially diluted 1gram measurements.
and spread plate inoculated on Nutrient agar (NA) as described by [22]. pH was determined as described by [23] in 1:1 water to soil ratio. Nitrate was determined by the micro Kjeldahl method [24]. The phosphorus content and moisture were determined using the Survey Laboratory [25] method. The ignition method [26] was used to determine the organic matter content. Descriptive statistics and analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed using SPSS version 16. Experimental precision achieved was reported at p≤0.05 level.

4. Results

Figure 1 shows the total bacteria counts in the oil free soil (OFS), polluted soil (PS), and amended soil (AS). Higher bacteria counts was observed in amended soil than OFS and PS. The bacteria counts ranged from $1.62 \times 10^4$ – $1.12 \times 10^5$ cfu/g for AS, $1.6 \times 10^4$ – $6.8 \times 10^4$ cfu/g for OFS, $2.2 \times 10^4$ – $9.8 \times 10^4$ cfu/g for PS. The highest count ($1.12 \times 10^5$ cfu/g) was recorded at day 28 in amended soil while the lowest count ($1.6 \times 10^4$ cfu/g) was recorded in OFS at day 56.

Figure 2 shows the total fungi count in OFS, PS and AS. The fungi counts ranged from $2.1 \times 10^3$ – $2.7 \times 10^3$ cfu/g for AS, $2.5 \times 10^3$ – $3.0 \times 10^4$ cfu/g for OFS and $2.2 \times 10^4$ – $4.0 \times 10^4$ cfu/g for PS. The highest fungi count ($4.0 \times 10^4$ cfu/g) was observed at day 0 in PS while the lowest fungi count ($2.1 \times 10^3$ cfu/g) was observed in AS at day 14 and at day 42.

Table 1 shows the frequency and occurrence of bacteria and fungi isolates from OFS, PS and AS. *Mucor spp* had the highest frequency of occurrence with 22.41% followed by *Bacillus subtilis* with 18.97%, *Pseudomonas putida* 10.34%,
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**Fig. 1.** Total bacteria count obtained from mechanic workshop soil amended with lime.
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**Fig. 2.** Fungi count obtained from mechanic workshop soil amended with lime.

OFS: Oil free soil, PS: Polluted soil, AS: Amended soil
Staphylococcus aureus and Aspergillus niger had 8.62%, Proteus mirabilis, Rhizopus nigricans and Aspergillus fumigatus 6.90%, Micrococcus luteus had 5.17%, Aspergillus flavus 3.45% while Candida tropicalis had the least frequency with 1.72%.

Table 2 shows the results of the physicochemical parameters of the soil samples. The pH in all samples were weakly acidic. It ranged from 6.22±0.22 to 6.59±0.29. There were no significant differences in the pH of the soil samples at 5% probability level.

The electrical conductivity was low in all samples. The highest electrical conductivity value was recorded in the amended soil, AS (1.58±1.24Ms/cm) followed by the unamended polluted soil, PS (0.49±0.07Ms/cm) while the least value was recorded in oil free soil, OFS (0.46±0.11Ms/cm). There were no significant differences (p>0.05) between OFS, PS and PS.

The moisture content was relatively high in all treatments. The moisture content ranged from 6.55±2.00 to 8.11±1.66%. The least moisture content was observed in AS while the highest value was recorded in PS. There were no significant differences (p>0.05) in the moisture contents between the treatments.

The organic matter content and organic carbon increased linearly from OFS to AS. In both parameters, the highest values were recorded in AS followed by PS and OFS. The organic matter content ranged from 1.29±0.33 -5.43±2.85%. There was no significant difference in the organic matter content at 5% probability level. In the organic carbon, the values ranged from 0.75±0.13-1.84±0.45%. Significant differences (p<0.05) exist in the organic carbon between OFS, PS and AS.

Nitrate content was low in all treatments. The nitrate content ranged from 0.09±0.02- 0.60±0.32%. There was no significant difference in the nitrate content of the soil samples at 5% probability level.

The level of phosphorus in the soil samples was high in all treatments. The phosphorus ranged from 6.97±1.65 – 10.39±2.24%. There was no significant difference in the nitrate content of the soil samples at 5% probability level.

**5. Discussion**

Higher bacteria and fungi counts were observed in amended soil (AS) compared to polluted soil (PS) and oil free soil (OFS). This could be due to the presence of high organic matter, moisture content, organic carbon and nitrate present in amended soil. This is in agreement with the findings of [27, 28, 29]. They reported increase in microbial population in contaminated soil undergoing bioremediation. The organisms isolated were Bacillus subtilis, Pseudomonas putida, Staphylococcus aureus, Micrococcus species, Proteus species, Candida tropicalis, Mucor species, Aspergillus niger, Aspergillus flavus and Aspergillus fumigatus. These organisms were reportedly isolated by other researchers [2, 28, 30].

Weakly acidic pH was observed in the soil samples. This could be attributed to active biodegradation process in the mechanic workshop polluted soil. The amended soil had a higher pH compared to the oil free soil and the polluted control soil (PS). This may be due to the addition of the lime fertilizer. The pH range observed in this study has been reported to favour biodegradation of hydrocarbon products [31].

Electrical conductivity was significantly higher in the amended soil compared to the polluted soil unamended soil and the oil free soil. This could be attributed to increased ions present in the amended soil as a result of the presence of lime fertilizer. The lime dissolves to form calcium, bicarbonate, and hydroxide ions. The hydroxide neutralizes soil acidity by combining with hydrogen ions to form water [20].

The moisture content was high in all the soil samples. This could be as a result of the oil in the mechanic workshop soil and insufficient aeration of the soil as a result of the displacement of air in the soil. This is in line with [32] who reported higher moisture content in crude oil contaminated soils.

The organic carbon and organic matter content were low in all soil samples. Carbon and organic matter are utilized by degrading bacteria and fungi for their growth. Carbon serves as source of nutrients and also required for metabolism by microbes. Stephen and Temola [31] reported similar results in spent lubricating oil polluted soil amended with poultry litter.

**Table 1. Frequency and Occurrence of Isolates obtained from mechanic workshop soil undergoing bioremediation.**

| Organisms         | OFS | PS | AS | Total | % Total |
|-------------------|-----|----|----|-------|---------|
| Bacillus subtilis | 5   | 4  | 2  | 11    | 18.97   |
| Pseudomonas putida| 1   | 3  | 6  | 10.34 |
| Staphylococcus aureus | 1 | 1  | 3  | 8.62  |
| Proteus mirabilis | -   | 3  | 1  | 6.90  |
| Micrococcus luteus | 1   | 1  | 3  | 5.17  |
| Rhizopus nigricans | 1   | 2  | 1  | 4.90  |
| Aspergillus niger | 2   | 1  | 5  | 8.62  |
| Aspergillus flavus | 1   | -  | 1  | 3.45  |
| Aspergillus fumigatus | - | 2  | 4  | 6.90  |
| Mucor mucedo     | 5   | 4  | 13 | 22.41 |
| Candida tropicalis | -  | 1  | 1  | 1.72  |
| Total            | 17  | 21 | 20 | 58    | 100     |

OFS: Unpolluted soil, PS: Mechanic workshop soil, AS: Mechanic workshop soil Amended.

Table 2. Physicochemical qualities of mechanic workshop polluted soil (M±SE).

| Parameter | OFS       | PS        | AS        |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| pH        | 6.49 ± 0.27 | 6.22 ± 0.22 | 6.65 ± 0.29 |
| EC (Ms/cm)| 0.46 ± 0.11 | 0.49 ± 0.07 | 1.58 ± 1.24 |
| Moisture (%) | 7.36 ± 3.05 | 8.11 ± 1.66 | 6.55 ± 2.00 |
| Organic matter (%) | 1.29 ± 0.23 | 3.60 ± 0.78 | 5.45 ± 0.79 |
| Organic carbon (%) | 0.75 ± 0.19 | 1.04 ± 0.42 | 1.84 ± 0.45 |
| Nitrate (%) | 0.09 ± 0.02 | 0.20 ± 0.03 | 0.60 ± 0.32 |
| Phosphorus (%) | 8.23 ± 0.96 | 10.39 ± 2.24 | 6.97 ± 1.65 |

a,b,c: means denoted by different superscripts along the same row are significantly (p<0.05) different. Values are mean of five replicates.

OFS: Unpolluted soil, PS: Polluted control soil, AS: Amended soil, EC: Electrical conductivity.
Nitrate concentration was higher in amended soil (AS) than in polluted soil (PS) and oil free soil (OFS). The reason could be due to higher organic matter content observed in AS compared to PS and OFS. The nitrate level of AS in this study is higher than the report of [33].

The phosphorus concentration was higher in PS than in OFS and AS. This may be due to the inability of the microbes to immobilize it in the unamended soil compared to the amended soil where the addition of the lime might have improved the metabolism of the phosphorus in the mechanic workshop soil.

6. Conclusion

The results of this study showed that higher microbial counts were recorded in mechanic workshop amended soil (AS) than in unamended mechanic polluted soil (PS) and oil free soil (OFS). The nitrate, moisture content, organic carbon, organic matter, electrical conductivity and pH were also higher in the amended soil than those of unamended polluted soil (PS) and oil free soil. Hence, it is suggested that lime fertilizer can be used to amend soil polluted with petroleum products such as petrol, diesel and spent lubricating oil.
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