Unique Authigenic Mineral Assemblages and Planktonic Foraminifera Reveal Dynamic Cold Seepage in the Southern South China Sea
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Abstract: Many cold seeps and gas hydrate areas have not been discovered beside the Beikan basin in the southern South China Sea (SCS), and their characteristics and histories also remain poorly known. Here we describe authigenic minerals and the carbon and oxygen isotopic composition of planktonic foraminifera Globigerinoides ruber from sediment core 2PC, recovered from the gas hydrate zone of the Nansha Trough, southern SCS, to elucidate its history of dynamic cold seepage. We infer that the occurrence of authigenic gypsum crystals and pyrite concretions, and anomalously negative δ13C values of Globigerinoides ruber, reflect paleo-methane seepage. Two major methane release events were identified, based on remarkable excursions in foraminifera δ13C at depths of 150–250 cm and 350–370 cm. Euhedral gypsum crystals and tubular pyrite concretions co-occur with extremely negative planktonic foraminifera δ13C values, indicating a shift in the sulfate methane transition zone and a change in the methane flux. Our data suggest that authigenic mineral assemblages and δ13C values of planktonic foraminifera provide a valuable tool in elucidating the characteristics of dynamic methane seepage in a marine environment.
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1. Introduction

The seepage of fluids containing methane associated with gas hydrate dissociation and free gas release is widely observed on the seafloor at a global scale [1–7]. At cold seeps, more than 80% of the methane is oxidized by pore-water sulfate in the sulfate–methane transition zone (SMTZ) in the sediment; this process is well known as the sulfate-driven anerobic oxidation of methane (AOM) is mediated by a consortium of methanotrophic archaea and sulfate-reducing bacteria (Equation (1) CH4 + SO42−→HS− + HCO3− + H2O) [8–11]. Furthermore, bio-irrigation related to the activity of benthic organisms is a key control on the turnover rates of reduced and oxidized carbon and sulfur components between anoxic sediment and oxygenated bottom water [12].
In addition, the organic matter (CH\(_{2}\)O) within sediments can be oxidized by pore-water sulfate via microbial sulfate reduction: the so-called organiclastic sulfate reduction (OSR, Equation (2): \(2\text{(CH}_2\text{O)} + \text{SO}_4^{2-} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{S} + 2\text{HCO}_3^-\)) [13–17]. These processes can induce the precipitation of authigenic carbonate concretions, gypsum (CaSO\(_4\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O}\)), and pyrite (FeS\(_2\)) within sediment [13–16].

Methane seepage activity varies temporo-spatially, leading to significant changes in sedimentary redox conditions [18,19], diagenetic conditions within seepage areas, and the composition of local microbial communities [20–28]. Even though gypsum is a classical evaporite mineral that is undersaturated in seawater, calcium sulfate minerals (e.g., gypsum and bassanite) and pyrite have been found in modern and ancient methane-seep environments (e.g., southwest African Margin, South China Sea (SCS)) [15,17,29–36]. Pyrite usually formed in sulfidic environments, which indicates hydrogen sulfide formed by AOM, and the precipitation of authigenic gypsum at cold seep is caused by an enrichment in sulfate derived from pyrite re-oxidation after cold seepage ceased [12,17,29,37]. Therefore, the occurrence of gypsum indicates the intermittent characteristics of cold seeps.

The δ\(^{13}\)C composition of foraminifera is a common proxy for reconstructing changes in paleoceanographic and paleo-climate conditions, because foraminifera are sensitive to these changes and ubiquitous in marine settings [38,39]. In cold-seep areas, owing to the prokaryote food source for foraminifera and cemented authigenic carbonate or the incorporation of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) with negative d\(^{13}\)C values into the shells, the foraminifera δ\(^{13}\)C values can be depleted extremely in \(^{13}\)C in the stratigraphic record, with more negative δ\(^{13}\)C values (−4%oo to −25%oo) than those found in typical marine settings (0 < δ\(^{13}\)C < 1.5%oo) [38–49]. Hence, it can be interpreted as an indicator of methane seepages over geological time [40,41,50–62]. Furthermore, the abundances and diversities of the foraminiferal assemblages at cold seeps are generally lower than those of typical marine settings (non-seep areas) [42,43,63–66]. Several studies investigated the correlation between benthic foraminiferal communities (composition, abundance, diversity and dominant species) and hydrocarbon emissions [43,59,67–70]. Therefore, it is necessary to combine multiple indices to better describe the active characteristics and histories of cold seeps.

In recent years, many cold seeps have been discovered in the northern South China Sea, but active cold seeps have been found only in the Beikang basin in the southern South China Sea [71]. Therefore, besides the Beikang Basin, are cold seeps developed elsewhere, such as the Nansha Trough in the southern South China Sea? Additionally, what about the characteristics and histories of cold seeps? Here we describe the stable carbon isotopic composition of planktonic foraminifera *Globigerinoides ruber* and detailed microscopic observations of authigenic gypsum and pyrite in gas hydrate areas of the Nansha Trough in the southern SCS, with the aim of reconstructing methane flux at past cold seeps and their dynamic characteristics.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

The study area lies in the Nansha Trough at the southeastern margin of the Nansha Islands (Figure 1). The Nansha Trough is the largest trough developed along a SW–NE fault depression in the southern SCS. It has a sedimentary thickness of up to 2000 m, and lies on the edge of the NW–SE Nansha compressional belt. It contains NE–SW normal faults, thrust faults and NW–SE secondary strike-slip faults [72]. Since the early Miocene, the trough has undergone complex processes of collisional, tensional and compressional deformation, with hydrocarbon gas formation and migration via leakage forces and transport channels [73], forming and storing rich oil and gas resources. The tectonic background of the trough is also conducive to the movement of biogenic gas to shallow layers, which provide a favorable site for the accumulation and storage of natural gas hydrate. In addition, bottom-simulating reflectors were recognized in the southern SCS, indicating gas hydrate accumulation [74].
2.2. Sampling and Analyses

Sedimentary core 2PC, studied here, was retrieved from the Nansha trough at a water depth of 2796 m by the RV Haiyang-4 of the Guangzhou Marine Geological Survey, Guangzhou, China. The sediment core was 808 cm long, and samples were taken at 2 cm intervals, weighed and freeze-dried. Dry samples were weighed and wet-sieved with a mesh size of 63 µm to separate carbonate, gypsum and pyrite crystals from the sediment. The remaining sediment was dried at 60 °C for carbon and oxygen isotopic analyses of planktonic foraminifera.

The gypsum and pyrite aggregates from the coarse fraction were identified using a high-power stereoscopic microscope and a Hitachi TM3030 Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) in Energy Dispersive Spectrum (EDS) mode at the Guangzhou Marine Geological Survey, Guangzhou, China.

Stable isotopic compositions of planktonic foraminifera Globigerinoides ruber were determined after picking up a 63–250 µm fraction from the sediment and cleaning it by a standard method [75]. The first step cleans the specimens mechanically by rinsing in distilled water and reagent grade methanol repeatedly, and by washing ultrasonically to remove adhering detrital material [75]. The second step removes the organic matter by soaking the specimens in 15% hydrogen peroxide for 15 min, followed by rinsing with methanol [75]. Globigerinoides ruber were broken using a metal probe and then treated with anhydrous phosphoric acid at 73 °C. The carbon and oxygen isotopic compositions of the foraminifera were determined using a Finnigan 253 mass spectrometer equipped with an automatic carbonate preparation device (Kiel III) at SunYat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China. Isotopic compositions were reported as an average of two analyses and normalized to Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite (VPDB), using International atomic energy agency (IAEA) reference materials 18 and 19, with standard deviations of ±0.07‰ for δ18O and ±0.04‰ for δ13C. In addition, we did not analyze the benthic foraminifera due to the lack of benthic foraminifera in the sediment core 2PC.

3. Results

3.1. Morphological Characteristics of Authigenic Pyrite and Gypsum Aggregates

Authigenic gypsum crystals and pyrite are two major authigenic minerals in sediment from core 2PC, and are found at depth intervals of 199–200, 221–222, 249–250, and 299–300 cm below...
seafloor (bsf) (Figure 2). There are also small amounts of quartz, plagioclase, K-feldspar, and so on. The morphology of authigenic gypsum and pyrite is shown in Figures 2 and 3. Pyrite may be present alone, but gypsum can only occur with pyrite in the cold seeps [33]. Gypsum is dark-yellow in color, and translucent to opaque. The dark-gray, black, or brown gypsum aggregates partly or completely enveloped pyrite (Figure 2), which is similar to that developed on the slopes of the southwest Atlantic [12]. Gypsum crystals are about 1–2 mm in size, are euhedral, and variable in shape with more or less prismatic or lenticular forms that are generally isolated and rarely twinned (Figure 2A–D). The gypsums are transparent, dark-yellow or dull, with etched surfaces and commonly contain inclusions of sedimentary particles. Pyrite occurs as aggregates of framboids on the surfaces of planktonic foraminifera (Figure 2E), and as micrometer-sized octahedral crystals that form tubular structures of about 10 mm long (Figure 2F).

SEM observations revealed that gypsum crystals are most commonly rhombic and trapezoidal in shape (Figure 3A–E), with some microcrystals occurring in radiating gypsum clusters. The individual crystals in such clusters show a range of morphologies (Figure 3A–C). Euhedral trapezoidal morphologies have enlarged {111} and {110} faces (Figure 3D). Clusters of gypsum crystals are commonly euhedral, with no obvious paragenetic sequence (Figure 3E).

Figure 2. Microscopic observations of authigenic gypsum and pyrite. (A) Gypsum with prismatic, transparent and dull forms (249–250 cm depth); (B) prismatic gypsum crystals enclosing pyrite (249–250 cm depth); (C) prismatic, transparent and dull gypsum (299–300 cm); (D) prismatic gypsum crystals enclosing pyrite (299–300 cm); (E) A planktonic foraminifer coated with framboidal pyrite (299–300 cm); (F) tubular pyrite (221–222 cm).
Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and Energy Dispersive Spectrum (EDS) analyses indicate that gypsum microcrystals adhere to the surface of planktonic foraminifera (Figure 3G, H). Pyrite aggregates have a black appearance, and occur in the shape of straight and irregular tubes of ~40 μm length, or in chambers of foraminifera tests (Figure 3F).

Figure 3. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) observations and Energy Dispersive Spectrum (EDS) analysis of gypsum and pyrite aggregates from core 2PC. (A) Clusters of bladed gypsum microcrystals; (B) clusters of bladed gypsum microcrystals with framboidal pyrite; (C) clusters of bladed gypsum microcrystals; (D) gypsum crystal with trapezoidal shape and with clay minerals on its surface; (E) euhedral gypsum crystals; (F) tubular pyritized structure with secondary gypsum on its surface; (G) gypsum crystal growth on planktonic foraminifera (G. ruber); (H) EDS spectra of the gypsum indicated in (G).
Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and Energy Dispersive Spectrum (EDS) analyses indicate that gypsum microcrystals adhere to the surface of planktonic foraminifera (Figure 3G,H). Pyrite aggregates have a black appearance, and occur in the shape of straight and irregular tubes of ~40 µm length, or in chambers of foraminifera tests (Figure 3F).

3.2. Stable Isotopic Compositions of Planktonic Foraminifera

Stable isotopic compositions (indicated by \( \delta^{18}O \) and \( \delta^{13}C \) values) of planktonic foraminifera *Globigerinoides ruber* are plotted against depth in Figure 4. \( \delta^{13}C \) values vary within a range from \(-4.46\%\) to \(+1.77\%\), with most values being lower than those of the typical marine environment in the late Quaternary SCS \([60–62]\). The \( \delta^{18}O \) values of planktonic foraminifera generally show a trend opposite to the \( \delta^{13}C \) values (Figure 4), with values from \(-2.91\%\) to \(+0.27\%\). Negative \( \delta^{13}C \) values correspond to positive \( \delta^{18}O \) values along the profile (Figure 4).

![Figure 4](image-url)

**Figure 4.** Stable isotopic compositions of planktonic foraminifera *Globigerinoides ruber* in core 2PC. Two major methane emission events (MEEs) were found. The black rectangles indicate three depth intervals of authigenic gypsum crystals and pyrite (199–200, 221–222, 249–250 and 299–300 cm bsf). The gray rectangle indicates the range of planktonic foraminifera \( ^{13}C \) of typical marine settings \([60–62]\).
4. Discussion

4.1. Paleo-Methane Release in the Nansha Trough

Extreme $^{13}$C depletion of planktonic and benthic foraminifera, caused by overgrowths of AOM-derived authigenic carbonate or the assimilation of methane-derived DIC produced by AOM in the sediment core, can be used as an indicator of methane emission events (MEEs) in the geological records [40,41,51–57,76]. Two major MEEs (MEE-1 and MEE-2) are evident in core 2PC, as indicated by the anomalous δ$^{13}$C values that are well beyond the normal marine range (Figures 4 and 5). MEE-1, in the range of 150–250 cm bsf is characterized by a remarkable δ$^{13}$C excursion (Figure 4), while MEE-2 is characterized by negative δ$^{13}$C values at 350–370 cm bsf (Figure 4). Planktonic foraminifera negative δ$^{13}$C values correspond to the negative δ$^{13}$C of sediment DIC at the same depth, indicating that planktonic foraminifera shells inherit the negative δ$^{13}$C values of authigenic carbonate cementation. In addition, the positive δ$^{18}$O excursions of planktonic foraminifera may be affected by several reasons, such as gas hydrate decomposition [52], higher δ$^{18}$O value of the sediment pore water where the carbonate rocks formed than that of the surface seawater [44], or the low temperature of the bottom seawater [77]. Overall, our δ$^{13}$C isotopic records of planktonic foraminifera in core 2PC reveal that the two major MEEs may have distinct characteristics in terms of timing, duration and intensity.

![Figure 5](image-url)  
**Figure 5.** δ$^{13}$C vs. δ$^{18}$O diagram for planktonic foraminifera *Globigerinoides ruber* and sediment inorganic carbon. The white circles represent the stable isotopic records of planktonic foraminifera from core 2PC; black circles represent the stable isotopic records of sediment inorganic carbon from the core [78]. The gray rectangle indicates the range of planktonic foraminifera *Globigerinoides ruber* δ$^{13}$C values of typical marine settings of the South China Sea [60,62].

4.2. Authigenic Gypsum and Pyrite as Indicators of the Paleo-SMTZ

Although seawater is largely undersaturated with respect to gypsum, it is the most common source of Ca$^{2+}$ and SO$_4^{2-}$, which combine to form gypsum when saturation is reached [79]. In modern marine sediment environments with a lack of evaporation of pore fluids, the only way to achieve gypsum saturation is to add Ca$^{2+}$ and/or SO$_4^{2-}$ ions to pore water [79], with several possible sources of Ca$^{2+}$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ ions being available [17]. The most straightforward source is brine fluid arising from the dissolution of evaporite deposits, as in the Eastern Mediterranean [80] and Gulf of Mexico [81].
Another is the alteration of volcanic material, which increases the concentration of Ca\(^{2+}\) in pore solutions to supersaturation levels.

In a methane-rich, cold-seep environment, biogeochemical processes that control the cycling of reduced and oxidized carbon and sulfur components can significantly increase pore-water SO\(_{4}^{2-}\) concentrations to enable the precipitation and dissolution of authigenic minerals in anoxic sediment. Examples include the oxidation of sedimentary sulfide minerals [82], the anerobic re-oxidation of authigenic sulfides [83], and the disproportionation reactions of sulfide oxidation intermediates [84,85] in interstitial waters, as observed in the porewaters of a giant cold-water coral mounds on the Pen Duick Escarpment, Gulf of Cadiz [30,85]. The dissolution of calcium carbonate minerals (calcite or aragonite) precipitated by AOM or the oxidation of sulfide can also increase Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations in pore-water [15,17,30,86].

The morphology of gypsum crystals in core 2PC indicates an authigenic origin. Differences in the crystal morphology of authigenic gypsum may be controlled by precipitation rates or chemical variations in the pore-water environment [86–88]. In SEM and stereoscopic images, all gypsum crystals appear euhedral, with sizes of a few millimeters and smooth surfaces (Figures 2 and 3), suggesting in-situ formation in a burial setting, similar to authigenic gypsum in the northern South China Sea and the southwest African Margin [12,17]. Furthermore, gypsum encloses pyrite (Figure 2), indicating its formation was related to the oxidation or re-oxidation of sedimentary sulfide minerals. Therefore, the presence of gypsum in the sediment core indicates the intermittency of cold seeps and the dynamic characteristics of methane activity.

Sedimentary pyrite is generated from dissolved sulfide ions reacting with reactive detrital iron minerals [89], as in the northern Gulf of Mexico continental slope [32]. The formation of tubular pyrite is related to hydrogen sulfide diffusion in sediments, bioturbation, or tubeworms in the sediment [16,17,90]. Framboids including tube pyrite in core 2PC were generated from sulfide ions in the pore-water by rapid nucleation and crystal growth via the pyrite precursors mackinawite (FeS) or greigite (Fe\(_3\)S\(_4\)) [16,89–94].

Euhedral gypsum crystals are usually produced directly from supersaturated solutions in hypersulfidic and anoxic conditions within the sediment [7]. Authigenic gypsum is abundant in three intervals (221–222, 249–250 and 299–300 cm bsf), each of which is also a pyrite enrichment zone (Figure 4). Furthermore, the enrichment zones of authigenic gypsum and pyrite correspond to extreme \(^{13}\)C depletions of planktonic foraminifera, indicating that gypsum is only formed after pyrite is formed, and the establishment of more oxidizing conditions, probably caused by waning seepage [17]. However, no gypsum or pyrite occurs at 350–370 cm bsf, although the negative \(\delta^{13}\)C value of planktonic foraminifera is beyond the normal marine range. The occurrence of authigenic gypsum in the sediment core thus indicates that the past methane-releasing events ceased.

5. Conclusions

In this study, authigenic minerals (gypsum and pyrite) and stable carbon and oxygen isotopes of planktonic foraminifera from cold-seep sediments of the Nansha Trough were used to identify dynamic methane-release events. Two extremely negative \(\delta^{13}\)C values of planktonic foraminifera, lower than those of the typical marine environment, were found in sediment core 2PC. Such anomalous \(\delta^{13}\)C values are caused by the assimilation of methane-derived DIC produced by AOM with a very low \(\delta^{13}\)C signature, which can be interpreted as representing historic MEEs. Authigenic gypsum and pyrite occur at three levels in core 2PC, and are the products of biogeochemical redox reactions. Their profile positions correspond to those of the extremely negative \(\delta^{13}\)C values of planktonic foraminifera, indicating that the decreased methane-release flux can cause the SMTZ to migrate downward. MEE-1, characterized by extreme \(^{13}\)C depletion relative to MEE-2, is likely the stronger and longer-lasting MEE. Overall, our results provide evidence of dynamic methane emissions, with the depth of the SMTZ and methane-emission fluxes varying in the past.
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