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We thank the reviewers for their careful reading and helpful suggestions. Their recommendations will improve the manuscript. We summarize the reviews point-by-point, provide responses, and append the new text, tables, and graphs (new text in red, tables and graphs designated “—new”) that will be included to address the suggestions.

Referee 1

Uncertainty analysis. Both reviewers suggest additional presentation of uncertainties. We have added uncertainties as discussed more specifically in the proposed revised text. In brief, the uncertainty of the mean OM/OC is estimated as ± 0.2 based on potential biases in the measured PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentrations and the computed sum of species. A factor of two uncertainty is estimated in the mean computed biomass burning OC (OCbb) based on potential biases in identifying non-soil potassium (nsK) as a biomass-burning tracer (Kbb) and in scaling from Kbb to OCbb (subject to the constraint that OCbb < OC).

Uncertainties in the source apportionment by factor analysis are estimated using the range of results among the two primary versions of principal component analysis (PCA) along with the additional PCA sensitivity analyses and PMF analyses that we had applied to CTR and JST data. The ranges of combustion factor OC across PCAs and PMF, for example, are 0.6 µg m$^{-3}$ at YRK, 0.8 µg m$^{-3}$ at CTR, and 1.2 µg m$^{-3}$ at JST. Taking one-half the range as a measure of uncertainty yields combustion factor OC uncertainties of ± 0.3 to ± 0.6 µg m$^{-3}$. For CTR, for example, 2008 – 2013 mean OCbb is 1.6 µg m$^{-3}$ (0.8 – 2.4 µg m$^{-3}$) compared with the mean PCA1 combustion OC of 1.3 µg m$^{-3}$ (0.9 – 1.7 µg m$^{-3}$).

In addition, Referee 1 recommends making more explicit comparisons of results from the different analytical approaches. Referee 1’s point that when combined, the various analyses tend to present a coherent picture, is important. We have added a summary
comparison (Table 5) and a discussion of the areas of agreement and disagreement among approaches. For CTR, again for example, this comparison adds additional evidence from CMB receptor modeling indicating mean combustion OC of 1.5 \( \mu g \ m^{-3} \) (\( \pm 0.9 \ \mu g \ m^{-3} \)) and area-source (fires) OC of 1.4 \( \mu g \ m^{-3} \).

Comparisons are made with recently published studies, as indicated in the proposed revised text.

Section 3.1 (EC-OC-SO4). Clarifying text has been added. On p. 17055, the ratio of JST EC/CTR EC declined by 25% whereas the ratio of JST OC/CTR OC declined by 20%. While these declines are comparable, the difference suggests a greater mobile-source influence at JST than at CTR. We have noted that OC correlates with both EC and SO\(_4\), but for different reasons (common emission sources in the case of EC and chemistry in the case of SO\(_4\)). Consequently, EC and SO\(_4\) also correlate, but not as strongly and not as consistently across time scales.

Section 3.2 (OM/OC). Citations have been added in the proposed revision. Laboratory RH (38\%) was defined following Equation 1. It is usually less than 38\% as SEARCH tries to maintain filter samples at 33 \( \pm 2\% \) (FRM requirement is 35 \( \pm 5\% \)). As noted above, the OM/OC uncertainty is estimated as \( \pm 0.2 \) and explained in the proposed revised text. Comparison with published studies indicates that our mean OM/OC agrees within error with co-located AMS results when paired in time at some times and places but not others. Since our mean OC concentrations—which are well-supported by the length of the SEARCH record—are sometimes the same and sometimes differ from mean AMS OC (paired by site and time period, usually about one month duration, as calculated from AMS OA using published OM/OC), it isn’t clear that AMS is always measuring the same material as the SEARCH filter-based OC. We think that our site mean OM/OC ratios of 1.5 – 2.0 (\( \pm 0.2 \)) support our previous statement (“POA is a major portion of OA at both urban and rural sites”), since we aren’t claiming that POA is the largest component. However, the “POA” terminology is ambiguous. We have slightly revised this statement to read “The consistency of the mean values in the range of 1.5 to 2.0 (\( \pm \))
0.2) indicates that relatively fresh emissions contribute a major portion of OA at both urban and rural sites.”

Section 3.3 (Biomass burning). We have added a discussion of estimated uncertainty in OCbb and concluded that it is a factor of two. We also added comparison with AMS results. Consistent with recent studies that show loss of levoglucosan and other organic markers of biomass burning on a time scale of roughly one day or even less, Xu et al. (2015a; b) were careful to note that their BBOA component likely represented only fresh biomass burning, with more aged OA from burns perhaps appearing with MO-OOA. The AMS BBOA was lower at CTR during SOAS, ~0.25 µg m\(^{-3}\) OC (using reported OM/OC for BBOA) than at JST, ~0.5 µg m\(^{-3}\) OC (during May and December 2012) and at YRK, ~0.6 µg m\(^{-3}\) OC (during December 2012 and January 2013) (Xu et al., 2015a; b). Whether or not our long-term results differ from available AMS data depends on the unknown ratio of aged biomass-burning OA to BBOA. If it is a factor of two, the sum of AMS BBOA (local--from levoglucosan tracer) and more aged material from burning would fall within our error range. Our summary comparisons across methods (see later table) suggest that our OCbb is overestimated by ~10% or more (but within our factor-of-two uncertainty estimate).

We recognize that potassium is an imperfect tracer of biomass burning. Zhang et al. (2010), for example, showed that water-soluble K and levoglucosan correlate in winter (when more biomass burning occurs in this area) but not in summer. Recent studies indicate that levoglucosan is not conservative (May et al., 2012; Bougiatioti et al., 2014), so we would expect levoglucosan to react with aging and yield a BBOA concentration biased low relative to a total. Levoglucosan will more quickly decay in the atmosphere during warmer months, possibly accounting in part for the seasonal difference. One study (presently in preliminary form) found that levoglucosan loss led to a factor-of-two underestimate of BBOA in air masses over a one-to-two day transport time (Iulia Gensch, “Chemical stability of levoglucosan in laboratory and ambient aerosol studies: an isotopic perspective”, 11\(^{th}\) International Conference on Carbonaceous Particles in the Atmosphere, Berkeley, CA, August 10 - 13, 2015).
Our computation of nsK was intended to remove the crustal component of K, and the data
show that nsK correlates with K ion. Kbb has its limitations as a tracer, but does seem
capable of yielding estimates within an uncertainty estimated as ~ 2x in our case. Our
estimate of the scale factor between OCbb and Kbb is likely to contain a large uncertainty
related to the variability of fire intensity and combustible material properties. This
uncertainty is poorly characterized in the literature, although variability is documented.

The biomass burning contributions may in fact be driven by large events. If SOAS
experienced few such events, some difference between AMS BBOA found in SOAS and
our long-term averages would be expected. The long-term averages are a meaningful
representation of the impact of biomass burning on an annual basis, and should provide a
perspective that is missing from the six-week SOAS sampling campaign.

Figure S9 shows modern TC vs. Kbb. Since we calculate TCbb = 32*Kbb and OCbb =
0.9*TCbb, plotting modern TC vs. OCbb will yield the same scatter. The intent of Figure
9 is to show that the limited carbon isotope data available support our scaling factor
between TCbb and Kbb (32), which is based on the southeastern regional portion of the
National Emissions Inventory (e.g. Blanchard et al, 2013). In our proposed revision, we
use the observed scatter in Figure S9 (slopes ranging from 22 to 82, depending on
possibly unique events) to support our estimate of a factor-of-two uncertainty in scaling
from Kbb to OCbb.

We have added a clarifying statement in the proposed revision that the calculation of nsK
assumes that the ratio of K-to-Si is the same in the coarse and fine modes. This
assumption appears to us to be supported by Figures S7 and S8. Both show a strong
correlation between coarse K and Si. In the fine mode, the relationship is bimodal. One
limb shows that fine K vs. Si falls exactly on the line defined by coarse K vs. Si. A
second limb shows higher fine K concentrations especially occurring at lower-than-
average fine Si concentrations. As originally noted, we excluded high nsK values
occurring July 4 – 5 and Jan 1 – 2, apparently associated with holiday fireworks.

Section 3.4 (PCA). We have added subheadings and the suggested comparisons in the
proposed revision. We also provide additional interpretations to link our factors to AMS
components. We clarify that our combustion factor embodies post-emission shifts in phase and chemistry and is not HOA identified with AMS measurements, nor should it be considered as simply POA. The combustion factor describes origins, not oxidation state or degree of aging. It tends to compare in magnitude to AMS HOA, BBOA, COA (when one or more such factors are found) and portions of MO-OOA, as would be expected to the extent that MO-OOA includes oxidized motor-vehicle exhaust, other anthropogenic combustion emissions, or biomass burning.

Our sulfate OC factor is clearly related to the isoprene OA factor found by AMS. Although our mean contributions do not always agree (possibly because SEARCH and AMS mean OC concentrations sometimes differ), the quantitative relationship of our sulfate OC factor to SO$_4$ is the same as the relationship between isoprene OA and SO$_4$, which Xu et al. (2015a; b) reported as 0.42 µg m$^{-3}$ isoprene OA per 1 µg m$^{-3}$ SO$_4$. Based on their reported OM/OC for isoprene OA (1.97), their result is 0.21 µg m$^{-3}$ isoprene OC per 1 µg m$^{-3}$ SO$_4$. For CTR (2008 – 2013, n = 383 days), we obtain 0.216 (± 0.008, 1 SE) µg m$^{-3}$ sulfate-associated OC per 1 µg m$^{-3}$ SO$_4$ (PCA1), 0.190 (± 0.004, 1 SE) µg m$^{-3}$ sulfate-associated OC per 1 µg m$^{-3}$ SO$_4$ (PCA2), 0.213 (± 0.003, 1 SE) µg m$^{-3}$ sulfate-associated OC per 1 µg m$^{-3}$ SO$_4$ (PMF1), and 0.211 (± 0.001, 1 SE) µg m$^{-3}$ sulfate-associated OC per 1 µg m$^{-3}$ SO$_4$ (PMF2) (PMF1 and PMF2 modeling approaches differ only in the weights used for the fitting species).

One of the differences between PCA1 and PCA2 is that PCA1 uses potassium ion measurements (available beginning 2008), whereas PCA2 uses the calculated $K_{bb}$ (available for the whole record).

The revised text provides further explanation.

Referee 2

Comment “A” (clarify terminology and acknowledge interaction between biogenic and anthropogenic emissions). Our appendix was an attempt to conceptually acknowledge and document methodological and terminological differences. We have now expanded
the introduction and clarified the interpretation of the PCA nomenclature relative to conventional use of OC and EC source terminology (please see proposed revision for new material). Our seasonal (ozone) and sulfate PCA factors correspond within error to previous work that has linked biogenic and anthropogenic emissions. We have prepared a comparison to the manuscripts of Xu et al. (2015a; b), which appear consistent with our results. We link our sulfate factor to the isoprene SOA identified by Xu et al. (2015a; b), and we link our seasonal factor to the LO-OOA factor. The comparisons are provided in the proposed revised text.

Our combustion OC factor is identified through its correlation with CO, EC, and NOx. This factor doesn’t correlate with sulfate. (We aren’t sure why the referee listed sulfate and SO2 as part of the combustion component, as our results do not show either SO4 or SO2 as components of the combustion factor. We hope that our revision of the section on PCA will be clear about these distinctions). As noted, there is a separate sulfate OC factor that is consistent with the AMS isoprene OA factor. Our combustion OC factor is not linked with either isoprene or nitrate SOA, nor is it equivalent to HOA identified by AMS or to POA. It is an emission source-related factor, not a composition-based factor. We conclude that the combustion factor includes both fresh emissions and more aged and oxidized OA, all deriving from sources that co-emit CO, EC, NOx, and, possibly, VOCs. The factor represents an observable association among combustion emissions notwithstanding the evolution of those emissions via atmospheric processes. We hope that the new comparisons and text will clarify this result.

Comment “B” (link calculated OM and biomass burning estimates to other SOAS study results). As indicated in the revised text, we provide an additional comparison of our calculated OM to the OA and OM/OC published by Xu et al (2015a; b). We also compare our biomass burning OC (OCbb) to AMS BBOA estimates and estimate uncertainties for our OCbb.

Comment “C” (context and perspective). We had previously placed most of this material in appendix. We have revised the introduction to summarize historical advances in understanding carbonaceous aerosol and have added to the supplement a table.
summarizing 19 studies in the SEARCH area that provide data from various types of measurements and analyses. We also note in the revised appendix the ambiguities associated with intercomparisons, both in terms of atmospheric processes and emissions, and the methods of measurement of OC components. In the latter case, comparison of observations from different sampling and analytical procedures, as well as differences in time duration from short-term campaigns to long-term averaging, would lead one to expect differences rather than exact correspondence of results.

Comment “D” (PCA exposition). Both reviewers indicated that this section was difficult to follow. We revised the text with subheadings and provided more interpretation of the PCA factors. We could not find a way to shorten this section and still provide insightful description and interpretation of the results. The PCA results are compared with the other methods and the comparison is included in the proposed revision. In the submission, the PCA results were presented in tabular form in the text, rather than as figures. Table 2 identifies the most important species associations and shows the consistency of these associations across the eight sites and two PCA applications. Table 3 lists the mean OC concentrations associated with each PCA factor. We have augmented these two tables with two new tables in the main text. New Table 4 summarizes the range of results obtained across alternative PCA (and PMF) applications. We use these comparisons to assess uncertainty. New Table 5 compares results across methods, so that the PCA apportionments can be compared with other approaches. We appreciate the diagnostic value of good figures and can add more time series to the supplement. For example, we have examined time series of 2013 data and PCA apportionments to identify the dates when network-wide crustal OC appeared along with elevated concentrations of Al, Si, and Fe. We also examined back-trajectories for these dates.

Comment “E” (emissions summary). We are moving this section to the beginning of “Results and Discussion” to better provide the emissions context for the manuscript. We extend previous CMB receptor modeling results to 2013 (and note this in the revised text) and use the CMB results in the summary comparison of methods to show areas of agreement and disagreement. As noted by the reviewer, the CMB receptor model and the correlations of ambient trends with emission trends are inherently linear. Nonetheless,
linearity explains most of the relation between emission trends and ambient trends on an annual-average basis. Even ozone appears linear in relation to ambient NO\textsubscript{2} concentrations when considering the annual 4\textsuperscript{th}-highest ozone in relation to annual-average NO\textsubscript{2} (Hidy and Blanchard, 2015). We agree that nonlinearity should generally prevail between precursors and both O\textsubscript{3} (Blanchard et al., 2010; 2014) and SOA at finer temporal resolution.

**Detailed comments.** Table 1 appears to have suffered in the conversion from Word to PDF – those “x” symbols were “plus-and-minus” symbols. We will correct that problem.

OC correlates with both EC and SO\textsubscript{4}, but for different reasons (common emission sources in the case of EC and chemistry in the case of SO\textsubscript{4}). Consequently, EC and SO\textsubscript{4} also correlate, but not as strongly and not as consistently across time scales. We think our concluding statement in this section is justified (“In summary, the EC and OC measurements indicate influence of multiple emission sources or atmospheric processes affecting all SEARCH sites, though differently at urban and rural locations.”).

We have added uncertainty analyses to both sections 3.2 and 3.3, as discussed above, in the proposed revised manuscript.

The supplement figures will be improved as needed for readability.

We have revised the discussions of retene and PCA with VOC species as suggested.
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Abstract

Long-term (1999 to 2013) data from the Southeastern Aerosol Research and Characterization (SEARCH) network are used to characterize the effects of anthropogenic emission reductions on fine particle organic aerosol (OA) concentrations in the southeastern U.S. On average, 45\% (range 25 to 63\%) of the 1999 to 2013 mean organic carbon (OC) concentrations are attributed to combustion processes, including fossil-fuel use and biomass burning, through associations of measured OC with combustion products such as elemental carbon (EC), carbon monoxide (CO), and nitrogen oxides (NO\textsubscript{x}). The 2013 mean combustion-derived OC concentrations were 0.5 to 1.4 \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\) at the five sites operating in that year. Mean annual combustion-derived OC concentrations declined from 3.8 \(\pm\) 0.2 \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\) (68\% of total OC) to 1.4 \(\pm\) 0.1 \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\) (60\% of total OC) between 1999 and 2013 at the urban Atlanta, Georgia, site (JST) and from 2.9 \(\pm\) 0.4 \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\) (39\% of total OC) to 0.7 \(\pm\) 0.1 \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\) (30\% of total OC) between 2001 and 2013 at the urban Birmingham, Alabama, site (BHM). The urban OC declines coincide with reductions of motor-vehicle emissions between 2006 and 2010, which may have decreased mean OC concentrations at the urban SEARCH sites by \(> 2 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}\). BHM additionally exhibits a decline in OC associated with SO\textsubscript{2} from 0.4 \(\pm\) 0.04 \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\) in 2001
to 0.2 ± 0.03 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ in 2013, interpreted as the result of reduced emissions from industrial sources within the city. Analyses using non-soil potassium as a biomass-burning tracer indicate that biomass-burning OC occurs throughout the year at all sites. All eight SEARCH sites show an association of OC with sulfate (SO$_4$) ranging from 0.3 to 1.0 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ on average, representing ~25% of the 1999 to 2013 mean OC concentrations. Because the mass of OC identified with SO$_4$ averages 20 to 30% of the SO$_4$ concentrations, the mean SO$_4$-associated OC declined by ~0.5 to 1 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ as SO$_4$ decreased throughout the SEARCH region. The 2013 mean SO$_4$ concentrations of 1.7 to 2.0 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ imply that future decreases in mean SO$_4$-associated OC concentrations would not exceed ~0.3 to 0.5 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$. Seasonal OC concentrations, largely identified with ozone (O$_3$), vary from 0.3 to 1.4 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ (~20% of the total OC concentrations).

1 Introduction

In much of North America, organic aerosol (OA) represents approximately half of average PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentrations in ambient air (Kanakidou et al., 2005). OA derives from primary source emissions and secondary atmospheric processes involving reactions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) of anthropogenic and natural origins (see appendix). The latter is widely recognized in the southeastern U.S. with its potential source of VOCs from dense vegetation (Hand et al., 2012). Initial speculation about secondary organic aerosol (SOA) in the Southeast from natural terpenoid compounds dates back to 1991 (e.g., Pandis et al., 1991). With re-evaluation of particle yields from isoprene acidic-photochemical oxidation in smog chambers, interest in natural SOA focused on this species (e.g., Kroll et al., 2006). The early 2000s investigations involving isoprene and terpenoids identified chemical mechanisms hypothetically applicable in the ambient atmosphere as well as tracers for reaction products (e.g. Hallquist et al., 2009). These hypotheses included accounting for the effect of acidity and photochemical linkages with the gas and condensed phases; a part of this chemistry involves the interactions with inorganic acids in the atmosphere—sulfur and nitrogen oxides, SO$_2$ and NO$_x$. 
In parallel with advances in organic aerosol chemistry, workers explored different indirect means of estimating SOA from VOC sources. In Atlanta, Lim and Turpin (2007) used the carbon tracer method to calculate summertime SOA concentrations from collected filter samples. In the Southeast, Zheng et al. (2002; 2006) used chemical tracers extracted from filters to identify primary OA, noting that an incomplete mass balance could be SOA. Kleindienst et al. (2007; 2010) and Lewandowski et al. (2013) used chemical tracers to estimate SOA from isoprene and terpenes. The carbon tracer method was expanded for natural species using carbon isotopes (e.g., Lewis et al., 2004; Tanner et al., 2004; Zheng et al., 2006; Ding et al., 2008). These empirical approaches were explored further by Blanchard et al. (2008). Identification of water soluble carbon as an SOA indicator also has been used (e.g. Weber et al., 2007). More recently field studies have adopted measurements from aerosol mass spectrometry combined with gas chromatograph and mass spectroscopy to track indicator species for SOA components, including species associated with isoprene- sulfur oxide or nitrogen oxide photochemistry (e.g., Gao et al., 2006; Surratt et al, 2007; Hatch et al., 2011a,b; Budisulistiorini et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2015). The various evolving methods have provided operationally defined OA as indicated schematically in Figure S1.

The characterization of SOA in the Southeast is complicated by OA from open burning of vegetation (e.g., Zhang et al., 2010). Like other combustion sources, wildfires and prescribed burning appear to be important components of OA and SOA (e.g., Zhang et al., 2010; Hidy et al., 2014; Washenfelder et al., 2015). OA composition in the southeastern U.S. provides indications of emission source origins, but results have not been consistent across studies (Table S1new). SOA particularly is known to be a complex description of emissions, followed by evaporation, condensation, and chemical interactions during species aging in the atmosphere.

The ambiguities in accounting for OA sources and the chemistry of SOA helped motivate a major suite of field experiments during the summer of 2013, the Southern Oxidant and Aerosol Study (SOAS) (SOAS, 2014) and associated campaigns that comprised the Southeast Atmosphere Study (SAS) (SAS, 2014). Ground-level measurements were located at rural sites, with many studies situated at a Southeastern Aerosol Research and
Characterization (SEARCH) network monitoring location outside Brent, near Centreville, Alabama (CTR), a site estimated to be regionally representative (Hidy et al., 2014).

CTR and other SEARCH sites offer a long-term record of trace-gas and particle observations (Hidy et al., 2014) that provide insight into the effects of anthropogenic emission reductions on organic aerosol trends in the southeastern U.S. The SEARCH record complements the six-week-long SAS and SOAS investigations of key atmospheric processes and chemical reactions. Specific questions relevant to SOAS and SAS goals that can be addressed using the SEARCH data include:

1. What fraction of measured organic carbon (OC) was emitted by combustion processes, such as motor vehicle exhaust and biomass burning? How has this fraction responded to emission reductions?

2. Over a long period of record, can the fractions of OA directly emitted in the condensed phase (primary organic aerosol, POA) and of SOA formed in the atmosphere via reactions of gaseous or condensed-phase precursors be quantified or constrained based on diurnal, seasonal, and annual variations of OC, elemental (or black) carbon (EC), ozone (O$_3$), sulfate (SO$_4$), and other aerometric measurements? How have inferred SOA concentrations responded to emission reductions?

3. Do the long-term gas and particle measurements indicate how much biogenic SOA is present on daily, seasonal, or annual time scales? How has SOA of biogenic origins been affected by anthropogenic emission reductions?

This paper describes analyses of aerometric data from CTR and the other SEARCH sites that address these questions. We apply five complementary data analysis methods that provide insight into the sources of aerosol carbon in the Southeast relying on the long-term SEARCH data base. Because uncertainties and differences among previous studies have been challenging to resolve due to inconsistent or ambiguous definitions and terminology used to describe carbon measurements, an appendix defines terminology and identifies unresolved questions. We adopt in part the concepts of aerosol evolution from initial emission to multiscale ambient conditions postulated by Robinson et al. (2007), noting accompanying uncertainties (e.g., Murphy and Pandis, 2010).
2 Methods

The data for this study of aerosol carbon derive primarily from long-term SEARCH measurements obtained from up to eight operating sites, comprising four urban-rural or urban-suburban pairs, between 1999 and 2013 (e.g., Hansen et al., 2003; ARA, 2014; Hidy et al., 2014). The dataset includes particle mass concentrations and composition, gases, and meteorological parameters (Atmospheric Research and Analysis, 2014) as previously described in Hansen et al. (2003) and Edgerton et al. (2005; 2006). Special data from ancillary experiments in the SEARCH network supplement the long-term data. We also use emission data derived from the EPA National Emission Inventory (NEI), augmented as described in Blanchard et al. (2013) and Hidy et al. (2014).

Multiple empirical methods are employed to understand OA sources and SOA formation in the southeastern U.S., utilizing the SEARCH data to obtain a multi-year and multi-season interpretation. The methods are: (1) comparison of observations with augmented NEI emission estimates (Hidy et al., 2014) and receptor-model predictions based on the NEI, (2) comparison and correlation of measured OC with EC concentrations and use of the OC/EC ratios as indicators of combustion-related emissions and SOA formation, (3) computation of organic mass (OM)/OC ratios utilizing PM$_{2.5}$ mass and sums of species concentrations as evidence for the presence of oxidized OA, (4) estimation of biomass-burning contributions to measured EC and OC using biomass burning tracers, and (5) application of receptor modeling (principal component analysis, PCA, supplemented with comparisons to positive matrix factorization, PMF) to identify and quantify atmospheric processes affecting OA concentrations. Computational details are described within the results and discussion section and in the supplemental information.
3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Emission sources and the relation of ambient to emission trends

This section incorporates previously published analyses by reference, extends them through 2013, and integrates findings. Results related to emission changes are compared with those obtained using other approaches in Section 3.6 (Synthesis).

Southeastern emissions in 2013 are shown by source category in Table S2new (comparison with 2008 emissions reported in Blanchard et al., 2013, indicates reductions since 2008). Statistically significant (p<0.001) relationships were found between mean annual PM$_{2.5}$ EC and OC concentrations at SEARCH sites and PM$_{2.5}$ EC and OC emissions between 1999 and 2013 (Hidy et al., 2014). Ambient EC trends were significantly related to both mobile-source and total EC emissions, whereas ambient OC trends were significantly related to mobile-source OC emissions but not to total OC emissions (Hidy et al., 2014). PM$_{2.5}$ EC emissions in the southeastern U.S. declined by approximately half between 1996 and 2013 due to reductions of on-road and non-road motor vehicle emissions (Hidy et al., 2014). Corresponding declines occurred in on-road and non-road motor vehicle PM$_{2.5}$ OC emissions, but total PM$_{2.5}$ OC emissions showed little trend due to the dominance of relatively constant biomass burning emissions (Hidy et al., 2014). Mobile-source OC emissions represent less than 10% of OC emissions in the Southeast (Blanchard et al., 2013; Hidy et al., 2014) and only 4% as of 2013 (Table S2new), with biomass burning accounting for ~75% of OC emissions in emission inventories.

Using a receptor modeling approach, Blanchard et al. (2013) showed that PM$_{2.5}$ EC emissions generally account for reported mean annual EC concentrations and trends in the SEARCH network (Figure S2new). Although the receptor model overpredicted EC concentrations at the Jefferson Street (JST) site in Atlanta, Georgia, and underpredicted EC concentrations at other sites, the EC trends predicted by the model from the inventory agreed with observed EC trends. Larger observed ambient EC decreases at SEARCH sites coincided with an EC emission decline occurring between 2005 and 2013 that resulted from new EPA standards for diesel engines and fuels (effective in 2007 for on-
road vehicles, in mid-2010 for non-road mobile sources, and in mid-2012 for rail and marine sources) (Hidy et al., 2014). Mobile-sources account for over 50% of EC emissions in the Southeast prior to 2007 (Blanchard et al., 2013) and decline to ~40% by 2013 (Table S2new), so ambient EC concentrations are expected to decrease with declining mobile-source EC emissions.

Contrasting with results for EC (as well as CO, NO\textsubscript{x}, and SO\textsubscript{x}), greater differences between receptor model-predicted OC and measured OC trends were observed (Figure S3new). These differences occurred even when comparing model predictions to the fraction of measured OC that was not associated with O\textsubscript{3} and SO\textsubscript{4} (inventory OC emissions do not represent SOA deriving from biogenic emissions of isoprene and other gases). Ambient OC trends were more pronounced than trends predicted by the model from the inventory (Figure S3new). However, the receptor model reproduces observed OC trends more readily for sites where the mobile-source contribution is greatest (Figure S3new). Receptor-modeling studies have consistently identified mobile-source contributions to ambient PM\textsubscript{2.5} mass concentrations in Atlanta and Birmingham (e.g., Zheng et al., 2002; 2006; Baumann et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2008); a recent analysis indicated that mobile sources contributed 0.8 to 2.8 \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\) to 2006 – 2010 PM\textsubscript{2.5} mass concentrations (between 6 – 7% and 19 – 21% of PM\textsubscript{2.5} mass) in Atlanta and Birmingham (Watson et al., 2015). Measured ambient concentrations of non-polar PM\textsubscript{2.5} OC species associated with motor vehicles, such as hopanes and steranes, declined substantially (>50 %) at BHM and JST between 2006 and 2010, linking mobile-source emission reductions during those years with observed decreases in urban OC concentrations (Blanchard et al., 2014b). As noted in the appendix, emitted OC is not conservative, but is affected by evaporation and possibly recondensation as secondary species, or by augmention by SOA derived from gas-phase emissions. A possible explanation for the observed OC trends is that diesel SOA concentrations (which were not incorporated in the receptor model predictions) were greater prior to adoption of new diesel emission regulations beginning in 2007. In addition, changes in gasoline-engine SOA concentrations may have occurred. Reductions of SO\textsubscript{2} emissions are also thought to have changed SO\textsubscript{4}-associated SOA concentrations over time (Xu et al., 2015a; b), but the
chemical mass balance (CMB) model is set up to predict OC that is not associated with O$_3$ and SO$_4$ (Blanchard et al., 2013).

Trends in mobile-source VOC emissions paralleled trends in mobile-source PM$_{2.5}$ OC and EC emissions (Hidy et al., 2014; Blanchard et al., 2013). Similar to OC emissions, mobile-source VOC emissions in the southeastern U.S. declined by approximately half between 1996 and 2013 due to reductions of on-road and non-road motor vehicle emissions (Hidy et al., 2014), but total VOC emissions showed little trend due to dominance by relatively constant VOC emissions from vegetation and soils (Table S2new).

In summary, emission trends partially explain observed ambient EC and OC trends. For OC, the link between inventory emissions and ambient concentrations is less definitive than is the case for links between reductions of EC, CO, NO$_x$, and SO$_2$ emissions and observed trends in ambient EC, CO, NO$_y$, SO$_2$, and PM$_{2.5}$ SO$_4$ concentrations (Hidy et al., 2014).

### 3.2 Ambient EC and OC concentrations and trends

Trends and spatial variations are evident for mean annual and seasonal EC and OC concentrations (Table 1 and Figure 1). Mean EC concentrations were 2.0 to 3.5 times greater at JST than at CTR, thereby indicating two- to three-fold greater influence of combustion sources within Atlanta compared to rural CTR because EC is a tracer of combustion (appendix). Mean OC concentrations were 1.0 to 1.8 times greater at JST than at CTR, indicating urban sources of OC possibly superimposed on a relatively high regional baseline. The ratio of JST EC to CTR EC declined from 2.8:1 to 2.1:1 between the first and third five-year periods, while the JST OC to CTR OC ratio decreased from 1.5:1 to 1.2:1 between the first and third five-year periods. Since the ratio of JST EC/CTR EC declined by 25% and the ratio of JST OC/CTR OC declined by 20%, the declines are comparable but the difference is consistent with a greater mobile-source influence at JST than at CTR. Both EC and OC concentrations exhibit decreasing trends at all SEARCH sites (Hidy et al., 2014), particularly after 2007 but with a possible rise between 2009 and 2011 (Figure 1). Higher mean monthly concentrations in 2011 were
followed by further decline in 2012 and 2013 (Figure 1). Whereas long-term ambient EC and OC trends are predicted by EC and OC mobile-source emission reductions (Section 3.1), changes between 2008 and 2013 are predicted from the emission inventory for EC (Figure S2new) but not for OC (Figure S3new).

No season consistently exhibits the highest mean EC and OC concentrations but the CTR mean OC concentrations and OC/EC ratios are highest during summer, interpreted as the influence of aging and SOA formation during warmer months. In contrast, JST mean OC and EC concentrations tend to be higher during autumn and winter (Table 1). During 2013, the ratios of OC to total carbon (TC = EC + OC) in daily-average filter samples were greatest at CTR during the SOAS campaign (Figure S4new). This result suggests that rates of SOA formation at CTR during SOAS could exceed SOA formation rates at other sites in the region and at other times of the year. The differences between JST and CTR mean summer OC concentrations decline from 1.1 µg m\(^{-3}\) in 1999-2003 to less than 0.1 µg m\(^{-3}\) in 2009-2013, interpreted as reductions of urban OC concentrations toward a regional baseline level (Table 1).

Mean OC/EC ratios are higher at CTR than at JST, again consistent with regional-scale aging of ambient aerosol and a relatively greater influence of SOA at CTR. The period mean OC/EC ratios at JST range from 2.3:1 to 4.0:1, suggesting variable contributions from multiple sources. For comparison, typical OC/EC ratios are ~1 in freshly emitted motor vehicle emissions (Chow et al., 2004), with important differences among vehicle types (McDonald et al., 2015), ~5:1 – 20:1 in near-source biomass burning plumes (Andreae et al., 1996; Andreae and Merlet, 2001; Hobbs et al., 1996; Lee et al., 2005), and potentially much greater than unity as oxidation and SOA formation proceed (Robinson et al., 2007).

Temporal trends in ambient EC and OC correlated within individual sites and across the SEARCH domain (e.g., CTR and JST, Figure 1), indicating regional coherence of trends and seasonal variations for both EC and OC. The strong correlation of EC and OC at all SEARCH sites, averaging times (annual, seasonal, monthly, daily), and seasons indicates that combustion processes are a major source of OC (Table S1; Figure S2). However,
significant correlations of SO\textsubscript{4} with both EC and OC during summer suggest the
influence of SO\textsubscript{4} on SOA formation in summer, consistent with results from SOAS (Xu
et al., 2015a,b; Budisulistiorini et al., 2015). OC correlates with both EC and SO\textsubscript{4}, but for
different reasons. Consequently, EC and SO\textsubscript{4} also correlate, but not as strongly and not as
consistently across time scales. In summary, the EC and OC measurements indicate
influence of multiple emission sources or atmospheric processes affecting all SEARCH
sites, though differently at urban and rural locations.

### 3.3 OM/OC ratios

More oxygenated OA has higher ratios of OM/OC, so OM/OC potentially serves as an
indicator of atmospheric aging (Turpin and Lim, 2001). A low value (e.g., OM/OC \~ 1.4
to 1.6) suggests little aging (i.e., POA is a large fraction of OA), whereas a high value
(e.g., > 2) suggests more aging (SOA is a large fraction of OA). For comparison, OM/OC
ratios are 1.2 for pentane (and higher molecular weight alkanes), 1.1 for isoprene, and 2.0
for isoprene epoxydiol (IEPOX) (a gas-phase intermediate of isoprene oxidation, yielding
SOA). The average motor-vehicle OM/OC ratio is \~1.2 to 1.4 (Landis et al., 2007) while
biomass burning OM/OC averages \~1.4 to 1.8 (Reid et al., 2005).

We estimate the OM/OC ratio for the urban and rural SEARCH sites using a mass
balance computation based on particle composition. The sum of species concentrations,
including estimated particle-bound water (PBW) at laboratory temperature and relative
humidity (RH), is:

\[
\text{Sum of species} = f_1 \cdot \text{SO}_4 + f_2 \cdot \text{NO}_3 + f_3 \cdot \text{NH}_4 + \text{EC} + \text{OC} + \text{MMO} + \text{Na} + \text{Cl} \quad (1)
\]

(inorganic species concentrations are from ion measurements). PBW at laboratory RH of
< 38% is represented by the coefficients $f_1$ (1.28), $f_2$ (1.15), and $f_3$ (1.25) (Tombach, 2004,
as derived from Tang et al., 1996). The coefficient $f_1$ is an average of the coefficients for
NH\textsubscript{4}HSO\textsubscript{4} (1.27) and (NH\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} (1.29), $f_2$ is the coefficient for NH\textsubscript{4}NO\textsubscript{3}, and $f_3$ is a
weighted average reflecting higher SO\textsubscript{4} than NO\textsubscript{3} concentrations. MMO is the sum of the
concentrations of six crustal elements (Al, Ca, Fe, Mg, Si, Ti) (XRF measurements),
expressed as oxides (Hansen et al., 2003). This estimate of crustal mass is likely conservative, since it does not include Mn and the assumed Ca mass (as CaO) would be less than the mass of CaCO$_3$ (if present). The carbon components, metals, and chloride are not adjusted for retained water at laboratory temperature and humidity. This creates a potential for uncertainty in the calculation, especially in the case of OC. Atmospheric OC is known to be hygroscopic at elevated humidity, but experimental data suggest that water retention is minimal at $< 38\%$ RH for laboratory filter analysis (e.g., Malm et al., 2005; Taylor et al., 2011). Measurements made during SOAS indicate that organic-associated water was less than $\sim 25\%$ of total particle water in mid-day ambient samples when ambient RH was less than $\sim 50\%$ (Guo et al., 2015). We estimate an OC PBW uncertainty in Eq. (1) by assuming that OC PBW is 10% of OC ($f_{OC} = 1.1$), which would increase the calculated sum of species by 3% and decrease the OM/OC (calculated below) by 0.1 units on average.

The difference between PM$_{2.5}$ mass and the sum of species concentrations is denoted as “non-measured” (NM) mass:

$$NM \ mass = PM_{2.5} \ mass - \text{Sum of species} \tag{2}$$

An upper bound for OM is calculated as OM* = OC + NM mass, which assumes that all NM mass is associated with OA. Any mass that is missing from the computed sum of species would bias NM mass high, thereby also causing OM* to be higher than the true OM. Similarly, underestimation of PBW would bias NM mass and OM* high. We estimate the combined effect of missing species and PBW to result in possible overestimation of OM*/OC by up to 0.2 units on average. An opposing potential bias arises in Equation 2, because the FRM sampler that is used by SEARCH to provide the PM$_{2.5}$ mass measurement is known to lose volatile species (e.g., inorganic particle NO$_3$). We recalculated Equation 1 by replacing the measured NO$_3$ and Cl concentrations (which are the sum of a Teflon front filter and a nylon back-up filter located in the SEARCH PCM sampler) with the Teflon filter concentrations. The effect was to reduce the calculated sum of species, which then increased the calculated OM*/OC by 0.2 units on average. Therefore, we estimate the uncertainty in the calculated OM*/OC ratios as $\pm 0.2$
units. If no PBW is associated with inorganic species (SO$_4$, NO$_3$, NH$_4$), Equation 1 would underestimate OM*/OC by 0.5 units on average. However, inorganic PBW is expected even at RH < 38%, so this potential bias appears less plausible than the documented bias in FRM PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentrations.

At all SEARCH sites, NM mass concentrations averaged 1.5 to 1.9 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ (interquartile range ~0.5 to ~2.5 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ at all except YRK) during the most recent five-year period (2009 to 2013; Na and Cl ions were not measured prior to 2008) (Figure S3). Daily NM mass correlated with daily OC to varying degrees: $r^2$ was 0.2 – 0.3 at Birmingham, Alabama (BHM), Gulfport, Mississippi (GFP), (rural) Oak Grove, Mississippi (OAK), and 0.4 – 0.5 at CTR, JST, (rural) Yorkville, Georgia (YRK), (suburban) Outlying Landing Field, Pensacola, Florida (OLF), and Pensacola, Florida (PNS). The average OM*/OC varied by site from 1.5 (BHM) to 2.0 (YRK) (Figure 2) ($\Delta$OM*/$\Delta$OC regression slopes of 1.6 to 1.9 without intercept terms), which suggests a regionally characteristic but spatially and temporally variable mix of less-oxidized and more-oxidized OA. The consistency of the mean values in the range of 1.5 to 2.0 (± 0.2) indicates that relatively fresh emissions contribute a major portion of OA at both urban and rural sites with variations in the degree of oxidation or SOA mass. However, higher OM*/OC and OM*/EC occur in the warmest months (Figure 2), consistent with seasonal SOA formation and the seasonal variations discussed above. Our mean OM*/OC is lower than reported in SOAS research – for example, mean CTR OM/OC of 2.16 (Xu et al., 2015a). For identical sampling periods, our spring 2012 mean OM*/OC was 1.34 at JST and 1.80 at YRK, which is lower than mean OM/OC of 1.93 at JST and 1.98 at YRK reported by Xu et al. (2015b). Our winter 2012-13 mean OM*/OC was 1.51 at JST and 1.56 at YRK, which is higher than mean OM/OC of 1.40 at JST and 1.31 at YRK reported by Xu et al. (2015b).

### 3.4 Biomass burning

Emission inventories indicate that biomass burning, including prescribed burns, wildfires, agricultural burns, and domestic heating, is the largest source of PM$_{2.5}$ OC emissions in the Southeast on an annual-average basis (Hidy et al., 2014). Prescribed burns are the
largest source of biomass-burning OC emissions, again on an annual basis (Hidy et al., 2014). In the Southeast, prescribed burns are employed to manage roughly 4 million hectares (ha) (~10 million acres) of land every year, primarily between January and April; wildfires may occur year-round but are more frequent in warmer months (Wade et al. 2000; Haines et al. 2001). Nearby (e.g., ~ 10 km) biomass-burning plumes are sometimes evident in CTR hourly data and substantially affect observed concentrations of EC, OA, CO, NOy, NH3, and O3 (Figure S5). However, the cumulative effect of widespread and potentially wide-ranging biomass burning on long-term ambient OA concentrations is more difficult to determine. The available data record does not include organic biomass-burning tracers, such as levoglucosan, except during special studies such as the six-week SOAS campaign. Alternatively, non-soil potassium (K) has been used as an indicator of biomass combustion in previous studies (Calloway et al., 1989; Lewis et al., 1988; Lewis, 1996; Pachon et al., 2010; 2013) and can be determined from K measurements reported in the long-term SEARCH data. Using a single tracer species to identify and quantify biomass-burning contributions to ambient OA is subject to important uncertainties. Potassium is an imperfect tracer of biomass burning. Zhang et al. (2010), for example, showed that water-soluble K and levoglucosan correlate in winter (when more biomass burning occurs in the southeastern U.S.) but not in summer. However, levoglucosan and its associated Aerosol Mass Spectrometry (AMS) markers may persist for less than a day (May et al., 2012; Bougiatioti et al., 2014). Instability of organic marker species could lead to differences in AMS biomass-burning OA compared with estimates made using K as a tracer. Non-soil K (nsK) is estimated from coarse PM (PM_{coarse} or PM_{crs}, PM between 2.5 and 10 μm) and PM2.5 XRF measurements of K and Si following the K tracer approach of Pachon et al. (2013). Briefly, the method regresses measured K against species X concentrations: K = α + β*X (where X derives primarily from crustal material). Si measurements are used to represent the crustal species, X, because Si concentrations are routinely well above the limits of detection and tight correlations of Si with Al and other known crustal elements indicate few or no interfering sources of Si. The correlations of PM_{coarse} XRF K and Si are very strong, with consistent values of the slope β = ΔK/ΔSi of
0.10 to 0.13 and $r^2 \sim 0.8$ at all sites (Figures S6 – S8). These slopes therefore define the expected ratio of K/Si in crustal material in the region. The ratios are lower than, but consistent with, a value of $0.15 \pm 0.01$ reported for data from Phoenix, AZ (Lewis et al., 2003). In contrast to PM$_{crs}$, PM$_{2.5}$ measurements exhibit large excesses of K over the expected K/Si ratios, indicating the presence of one or more non-crustal sources of PM$_{2.5}$ K (Figures S6 to S8). For each plot, fine K vs. Si forms one “branch” that falls on the line defined by coarse K vs. Si, indicating similar relationships between K and Si for fine and coarse PM. High fine K concentrations especially occur at lower-than-average fine Si concentrations. We apply the slopes $\beta$ to compute $n$K = K - $\beta$*Si from PM$_{2.5}$ data (Figure S6). The agreement between computed $n$K and measured water-soluble K (K ion, KI; measured beginning 2008) supports the interpretation of non-soil K as an indicator of biomass-burning K (Kbb) (which is water-soluble) at rural inland sites such as CTR (Figure S6). Possibly, both K ion and computed $n$K could also have a marine origin at some coastal sites (e.g., OLF) or an industrial process origin at some urban sites (e.g., BHM). Detailed review of computed $n$K indicated that all $n$K > 0.4 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ occurred on or one day after the 4$^{th}$ of July and January 1, and only at urban sites (Figure S8). This result appears to indicate fireworks as a source of $n$K on such occasions. Other than samples from January 1 and 2 and from July 4 and 5, we identify $n$K as biomass-burning K (Kbb), recognizing some uncertainty in this identification for BHM and coastal sites. Since $n$K can be computed from the XRF measurements of K and Si for the full SEARCH record (1999 to 2013), whereas K ion measurements commenced in 2008, we use $n$K as our biomass-burning tracer. Even after exclusion of obvious high-K events (holiday fireworks), our identification of $n$K as an indicator of biomass burning could introduce a bias toward overestimation in the calculation of OCbb, discussed further below.

The ratio of TC to Kbb (TCbb/Kbb) in biomass burning is known to vary widely among fire types (e.g., wildfires differ from prescribed burns) and among fire stages (e.g., temperature, or flaming vs. smoldering). The variability of emissions among and within fires implies that biomass-burning tracers are more useful for estimating average impacts than for quantifying burn contributions during individual events. We use a single average
scaling factor based on consideration of emissions information (Hidy et al., 2014), which we check using the correlation of modern C with non-soil K (Figure S9). Inventory annual average TCbb/Kbb is in the range 28:1 – 36:1 (Blanchard et al., 2013). Our assumed scaling factor of 32 for TCbb/Kbb is similar to carbon isotope data from CTR winter samples (Figure S9, CTR regression slope ΔTCmodern/ΔKbb = 43), when prescribed burns are more common and SOA formation rates are lower. Our scaling factor of 32:1 introduces a possible bias toward underestimation of OCbb of ~25% relative to the CTR winter ratio of ΔTCmodern/ΔKbb = 43. The higher slope of 71:1 at JST could reflect a different type of biomass burning (e.g., residential wood combustion), while the lesser correlation of modern TC with non-soil K (assumed to represent Kbb) at BHM and higher slope at PNS potentially reflect the confounding influence of industrial (BHM) or marine (PNS) sources of non-soil K. A higher scaling ratio (e.g., ΔTCbb/ΔKbb = 70 rather than 32) would yield higher computed TCbb and therefore higher OCbb. Based on ΔOC/ΔEC in actual biomass-burning events observed at SEARCH sites (Figure S5), we compute OCbb = 0.9*TCbb (the ratio OCbb/TCbb could be higher in some burn events). Considering the range among SEARCH sites of winter ΔTCmodern/ΔKbb (22:1 to 82:1, Figure S9), we estimate the uncertainty in scaling from Kbb to OCbb as -30% to +250%. As noted, Kbb could be overestimated if there are other sources that contribute to nsK. We therefore estimate the uncertainty range for OCbb as -50% to +200% subject to the constraint that OCbb < OC.

CTR monthly-average concentrations indicate a downward trend in OC but not in computed OCbb, so that OCbb has become a larger fraction of OC at CTR since 2007 (Figure 3). The absence of trend in computed OCbb reflects the absence of trend in measured K and computed nsK. OCbb tends to be higher in winter months, when prescribed burns are more common and residential heating needs are greatest, but OCbb is present during all seasons (Figure 3) and at all SEARCH sites (Figure S10). Retene, a tracer of coniferous wood combustion, is evident at the sites where it was measured (urban BHM and JST) with a pronounced seasonal cycle (Figure S11). This seasonality could indicate that the summer OCbb has been overestimated, or it could occur because retene loss rates are greater during warmer months. Retene emissions from prescribed
burning in the Southeast are highly variable and depend largely on the amount of
softwood present in the fuel. Since historical fire suppression has led to the accumulation
of significant amounts of hardwood in a thick midstory of pine-dominated forests (e.g.
Provencher et al., 2001, Varner et al., 2005), retene is not considered a unique indicator
for prescribed burning emissions in the Southeast.

The analysis of K measurements from the SEARCH data reinforces the conclusion that
biomass burning is an important component of combustion-related OA in the SEARCH
domain, at all sites and in all seasons. The contribution is especially important for
regional-scale OA, as suggested by the CTR data. Uncertainties in the estimation
procedure and scaling factors imply that our computed CTR mean OCbb (1.6 \( \mu g \) m\(^{-3}\),
1999 – 2013 average) could be up to twice as high as the actual mean concentration. If
so, OCbb would be 0.8 \( \mu g \) m\(^{-3}\), 1999 – 2013 average, which is still higher than AMS
mean biomass burning OA (10\%, or \(~0.25 \mu g m^{-3} OC\) at CTR during the six-week
SOAS period (Xu et al., 2015a; b). Although the majority of brown carbon aerosol mass
during SOAS is attributed to biomass burning rather than to SOA, biomass burning did
not contribute the majority of OA (Washenfelder et al., 2015). As previously noted, more
biomass burning occurs in the southeastern U.S. during cooler months than during mid-
summer (Zhang et al., 2010), so the SOAS campaign is expected to show less biomass
burning than during other months. Reported AMS mean biomass burning OA
concentrations were higher at JST (~0.5 \( \mu g \) m\(^{-3}\) OC during May and December 2012) and
at YRK (~0.6 \( \mu g \) m\(^{-3}\) OC during December 2012 and January 2013) (Xu et al., 2015a; b).

Due to the loss of organic tracers on a time scale of about a day or less, the biomass
burning OA that is estimated using the AMS with levoglucosan tracers is thought to yield
an estimate of relatively fresh burning as compared to aged regional burning levels (Xu et
al., 2015a; b). Estimates of a regional pool of more aged biomass burning OA are not
available. If the reported AMS biomass burning OA concentrations are ~50\% low, they
would fall within our OCbb uncertainty range. The lack of long-term trend in OCbb
(Figure 3) occurs regardless of scaling uncertainties (assuming constant scaling of OCbb
to Kbb), because no trend exists in either K or Kbb concentrations.
Important insight into the origins of ambient aerosol can be obtained with multivariate statistical methods, such as principal component analysis (PCA), which is a well-established method for PM source apportionment (Dattner and Hopke, 1982). PCA generates mathematically independent groupings of measurements based on the correlations among the measured variables (classically, the groups are geometrically orthogonal to one another). The number of groups reproduces as large a fraction of the total variance of a data set as possible subject to optimization criteria, typically explaining ~75 to 80% of the variance of, e.g., ~20 to 25 air pollutant species concentrations with ~5 to 10 groups, also known as factors or components. Although PCA factors may be identifiable with emission sources in some applications, factors fundamentally represent correlations among species and potentially reflect a variety of aerometric processes (e.g., secondary species formation, meteorological effects). In our application, we interpret PCA factors as associations among species that are indicative of variations in the chemical environment, and refer to such species associations as components for brevity. A related methodology, positive matrix factorization (PMF) (U.S. EPA, 2014), differs in part from PCA in that PMF constrains factors to positive values. This constraint is physically realistic if PCA factors are interpreted as unique emission source contributions. The negative values permitted by PCA are in fact meaningful and informative if, in addition to emissions, factors represent a larger suite of physical and chemical processes (e.g., deposition; chemical loss processes; contrasts between inland versus marine air mass transport) as well as species origins.

3.5.1 Application

We report two main versions of PCA, with additional versions used for sensitivity tests and auxiliary information. PCA1 is applied to measurements made at SEARCH sites from 2008 through 2013. The 23 gas and PM$_{2.5}$ measurements comprise daily-average concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ EC and OC (thermal-optical reflectance, TOR), daily averages of gases NH$_3$ (measured continuously or at 24-hour resolution) and continuous NO$_x$ and NO$_2$, secondary species (daily peak 8-hour O$_3$, plus PM$_{2.5}$ SO$_4$, NH$_4$, and NO$_3$), and PM$_{2.5}$
crustal elements (XRF measurements of Al, Si, and Fe), species associated with salts (PM$_{2.5}$ Na, Cl, Mg, and Ca ions), and trace metals (PM$_{2.5}$ Zn, Cu). Both daily averages and daily 1-hour-maxima of gases (CO and SO$_2$) are included to match the temporal resolution of the other daily data while also potentially capturing shorter-duration plumes. Water-soluble PM$_{2.5}$ K (K ion) is included as a potential indicator of biomass combustion. Because some species used in PCA1 were not measured throughout the 15-year SEARCH program, PCA2 is carried out to interpret long-term OC trends from 1999 through 2013. PCA2 excludes measurements that commenced in 2008 (water-soluble Ca, Mg, K, Na, and Cl). XRF Ca and nsK are used instead of water-soluble Ca and K, respectively. Without Na and Cl in PCA2, salt is not detectable, as will be discussed. NH$_3$ is excluded from PCA2, since those measurements began in 2004. Daily-average O$_3$ is included in PCA2 to complement daily peak 8-hour O$_3$.

The sensitivity of our results to the choice of statistical method is examined by comparing PCA1 and PCA2 and by using additional PCA and PMF applications. As described in Section 3.5.3, the range of results obtained from PCA1, PCA2, other PCAs, and PMF is used to estimate uncertainty. The additional PCA applications are carried out by using special data, different suites of measurements, or different measurement periods. NMOC measurements made every day at JST from 1999 through 2008 are incorporated to generate PCA3 as a modification of PCA2 (no ions and only XRF elements, and shorter time period). Alternate versions of PCA2 are carried out for 2004 – 2013 CTR data to see if factor loadings are robust and relatively insensitive to the choice of seasonal indicators (PCA4 and PCA5). The EPA PMF model (version 5; US EPA, 2014) was applied to the same CTR and JST measurements used in PCA2. PMF requires estimates of measurement uncertainty, which may be species-specific or even sample-specific. Two sets of uncertainty estimates were employed: uniform (10% of species concentrations) (PMF1), and species-specific (incorporating detection limits and species uncertainties of 5 to 25% of measured concentrations) (PMF2).

For PCA applications, the daily OC concentrations at each site are apportioned using daily PCA factor scores. The OC apportionment is carried out by multiple regression of daily OC concentrations against daily factor scores, retaining those that are statistically
Since the PCA components are orthogonal, the regression coefficients are more stable than would be the case for multiple regression against various tracer species, which are typically intercorrelated. The PMF model generates source contributions internally.

### 3.5.2 Components

PCA1 and PCA2 reveal consistent sets of species associations, resulting in 6 – 8 principal components at each SEARCH site (Table 2). For clarity, we designate the components as:

1. combustion,  
2. crustal,  
3. seasonal,  
4. SO\(_2\),  
5. SO\(_4\),  
6. metals,  
7. salt,  
8. other. These names are used as descriptors, rather than as designated emission sources.

Component characteristics are discussed below. The full orthogonal solutions are shown in the supplement (Tables S2 to S9). The values in Tables S2 to S9 are the coefficients of the linear combinations of standardized species concentrations (daily concentration less mean divided by standard deviation); each tabled value is also the correlation (r) between a given species and a particular component. High (~1) or low (~ -1) values indicate high correlation or anti-correlation, respectively; both are meaningful. A value near zero indicates little or no correlation, so values in the range of ~0.5 to 0.5 represent associations ranging from moderate anti-correlation (-0.5) to zero correlation to moderate correlation (0.5).

The OC apportionments indicate statistically-significant relationships between OC and four to seven PCA components (Tables S12 and S13). Mean contributions of each statistically-significant component to daily OC at each site using both PCA1 and PCA2 are summarized in Table 3; these contributions are expressed as percentages of total OC in Table S14. PCA1 and PCA2 each indicate that OC is associated with multiple components at all sites. Except at YRK and OLF (PCA2 only), the overall OC associations are strongest for the combustion component (Tables S2 to S9).

The PMF source profiles varied depending on the choice of uncertainty inputs, but yielded average OC apportionments that were qualitatively comparable to PCA2 (Figure S26). The PMF crustal OC and SO\(_4\)-associated OC concentrations were comparable to PCA (Figure S27). However, PMF source profiles combined CO and O\(_3\), whereas PCA
tended to separate O$_3$ from CO, leading to differences in the apportionment of OC to combustion and seasonal components (Figure S27). Differences between PCA and PMF occur in part because the PCA seasonal component generally comprised contrasts (e.g., positive O$_3$, negative inorganic particulate NO$_3$) whereas PMF forced positive solutions. In these applications, PCA predicted high OC concentrations more accurately than PMF did (Figure S28).

**Combustion.** All sites exhibit a suite of species associated with combustion processes (EC, OC, CO, Kbb or K ion, NO$_x$ or NO$_2$). The variations in combustion associations among sites suggest different source mixes, differences in air mass ages (e.g., fresh emissions at urban sites, more aged emissions at rural sites), or differing transport of polluted air masses. For example, NO$_x$ is more strongly associated than NO$_x$ with the combustion component at the two most rural sites, CTR and OAK. OC associated with the combustion factor could therefore comprise material that would be classified as either POA or SOA by other analytical approaches (e.g., HOA or MO-OOA by AMS).

Mean combustion OC ranges from 0.7 to 1.6 μg m$^{-3}$ for PCA1 (2008 – 2013) and from 1.5 to 2.6 μg m$^{-3}$ for PCA2 (1999 – 2013), except at YRK (Table 3). Daily PCA1 and PCA2 combustion OC concentrations are correlated at all sites (Figure S12). Mean absolute differences between PCA1 and PCA2 computed combustion OC range from 0.1 to 0.7 μg m$^{-3}$ (not tabled). However, the mean PCA1 and PCA2 combustion OC apportionments are averaged over different time periods, so the differences in their averages are partly due to declining EC, CO, and NO$_x$ concentrations (Table 1). Trends in OC components are discussed in Section 3.4.4. Mean PCA2 combustion OC ranged from 25 to 63% of mean OC concentrations (Table 3).

Various combustion processes influence individual SEARCH sites differently. At BHM, multiple regression of OC against NO and non-polar OC compounds (including PAHs and iso/anteisoalkanes, or hopanes and steranes) measured on a daily basis from 2006 to 2010 (Blanchard et al., 2014b) correlates with PCA1 combustion OC (Figure S13). In the urban environment surrounding BHM, NO and non-polar OC compounds likely have a mobile-source origin (Blanchard et al., 2014b). In contrast, the CTR PCA1 combustion-
associated OC closely tracks OCbb during summer 2013 (Figure S13), suggesting that
the combustion component at CTR is more significantly associated with biomass burning
than with motor-vehicle exhaust.

Crustal. A crustal component is present at all sites, associated with Al, Si, Fe, and, to
varying degrees, Ca. At BHM, Fe associates more prominently with a metals component,
consistent with previous studies indicating the impact of industrial facilities (including
metals fabrication) on PM$_{2.5}$ at BHM (Baumann et al., 2008; Blanchard et al., 2014a).
The mean crustal-associated OC concentrations vary from 0.1 to 0.3 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ at inland sites
(Table 3). Coastal sites exhibit non-significant, minor, or inverse associations of OC with
crustal elements (-0.1 to 0.1 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$, Table 3). Inverse associations indicate that OC
concentrations at coastal sites are lower than average when Al, Si, and Fe concentrations
are elevated. PCA1 and PCA2 crustal OC concentrations correlate (Figure S14) and
crustal OC correlates with Si (Figure S15). Crustal-associated OC could derive from
region-wide phenomena (e.g., transport of Saharan dust), but may also stem from
ubiquitous and widely distributed activities that suspend crustal material. Potential
sources include soil-derived OC (e.g., agricultural activities, construction, or road dust),
or biomass burning that lofts crustal material (e.g., through plowing material into debris
piles). Road dust is known to include OC among its constituents (e.g., McDonald et al.,
2013). There are two episodes with high crustal OC at CTR during June 2013. Elevated
concentrations of Al, Si, and Fe co-occurred at all SEARCH sites during June 9 – 13 and
June 23 – 28, 2013, thus suggesting region-wide events. Back trajectories indicate
southerly air flow during these times. Trajectories arrived at CTR and JST after ~24
hours overland transport from the Gulf coast, whereas trajectories arrived at OLF from
overwater transport. At other times, elevated concentrations of crustal elements occur at
single sites, indicating more local events.

Seasonal. A seasonal component is present at all sites, but in two forms: positive O$_3$ and
NH$_3$ (if measured), along with negative inorganic particulate NO$_3$, at BHM PCA2, CTR,
GFP, JST PCA1, OAK, OLF, PNS, and YRK, or with reverse signs (e.g., relatively weak
negative O$_3$) at BHM PCA1 and JST PCA2. As noted, sign reversals represent a change
in coordinate directions and need not have physical significance; however, the association of OC with the seasonal component may differ depending on sign (discussed below). We denote this component “seasonal” rather than “photochemical.” While this factor has photochemical properties, it is comprised of species with seasonality variations that result from multiple processes: emissions (NH$_3$), photochemistry (O$_3$), and temperature- and RH-sensitive thermodynamic equilibrium (inorganic particulate NO$_3$). The seasonal component evidently represents seasonal variations not otherwise described by the seasonal variations of the crustal, SO$_4$, and “other” components. Because of the strong connection of the seasonal component to O$_3$, seasonal OC is plausibly related to the LO-OOA component reported by Xu et al., (2015a; b). LO-OOA exhibits a strong diurnal pattern, with night maxima and day minima (Xu et al., 2015a; b). However, the LO-OOA diurnal variation is opposite to O$_3$ diurnal variations, which exhibit daytime maxima.

Since PCA was applied to daily-resolution data, it is not possible to directly compare the PCA seasonal OC to time-resolved LO-OOA. We note that meteorological conditions that result in high peak daily O$_3$ concentrations (with higher seasonal OC concentrations) are also conducive to nitrate radical formation, which exhibits night-time maxima and is associated with LO-OOA (Xu et al., 2015a; b). Further comparisons are provided in Section 3.5.3.

The mean PCA1 seasonal-component OC (OC associated with higher O$_3$, higher NH$_3$, lower NO$_x$, or lower PM$_{2.5}$ inorganic NO$_3$) ranges from 0.4 to 0.6 μg m$^{-3}$ at all sites (e.g., 23% of OC at CTR, 13% at BHM and JST, 28% at OLF), except at YRK where the average is 1.0 μg m$^{-3}$. The positive association with O$_3$ suggests that this OC component represents SOA formation from either or both anthropogenic and biogenic precursors. PCA2 seasonal OC correlates with PCA1 seasonal OC, except at JST. The JST PCA2 seasonal OC shows an inverse correlation (Figure S16), indicating that the seasonal component represents higher winter (lower O$_3$, higher NO$_3$) OC concentrations, possibly pointing to an influence from domestic wood combustion for heating. The positive association of OC with O$_3$ is quantified within the JST PCA2 SO$_4$ component. The mean absolute differences between PCA1 and PCA2 seasonal-component OC concentrations range from 0.2 to 0.5 μg m$^{-3}$. 
Sulfate. SO\textsubscript{4} and NH\textsubscript{4} are always associated and usually represented by a single component, denoted “SO\textsubscript{4}.” However, SO\textsubscript{4} and NH\textsubscript{4} are part of the seasonal component for PNS and YRK PCA2, suggesting that differentiation of the SO\textsubscript{4} and seasonal components is subject to uncertainty. O\textsubscript{3} is associated with both seasonal and SO\textsubscript{4} components.

All SEARCH sites show an association of OC with SO\textsubscript{4} ranging from 0.3 to 0.6 \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\) on average for PCA1 and from 0.5 to 1.0 \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\) on average for PCA2 (Table 3), with PCA2 SO\textsubscript{4} OC representing 15 to 44% of the 1999-to-2013 mean OC concentrations (15% at BHM; 22 – 25% at CTR, GFP, JST, and OAK; 44% at OLF). Mean PCA1 associations of OC with SO\textsubscript{4} were 14% of OC at CTR, 15% at BHM, 18% at OLF, 10% at JST, and 11% at YRK. PCA1 and PCA2 SO\textsubscript{4} OC concentrations are correlated (Figure S17) with mean absolute differences in PCA1 and PCA2 SO\textsubscript{4}-associated OC concentrations of 0.2 to 0.5 \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\); PCA2 did not separate the seasonal and SO\textsubscript{4} components at PNS and YRK (Tables S8 and S9). The mass of OC associated with SO\textsubscript{4} averages 20 to 30% of the SO\textsubscript{4} concentrations (Figure S18), so that SO\textsubscript{4}-associated OC concentrations decline over time along with decreasing SO\textsubscript{4} concentrations. The presence and relative importance of SO\textsubscript{4}-associated OC is consistent with research indicating the role of SO\textsubscript{4} in transferring isoprene gas-phase reaction products to the condensed phase (e.g., Surratt et al., 2007; Xu et al., 2015a; b). Seasonal variations, discussed below, also support biogenic origins of SO\textsubscript{4}-OC. The quantitative relationship of our SO\textsubscript{4}-associated OC factor to SO\textsubscript{4} is the same as the relationship between isoprene OA and SO\textsubscript{4}, which Xu et al. (2015a; b) reported as 0.42 \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\) isoprene OA per 1 \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\) SO\textsubscript{4}. Based on their reported OM/OC for isoprene OA (1.97), their result is 0.21 \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\) isoprene OC per 1 \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\) SO\textsubscript{4}. For CTR (2008 – 2013, \(n = 383\) days), we obtain 0.216 (\(\pm 0.008\), 1 SE) \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\) SO\textsubscript{4}-associated OC per 1 \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\) SO\textsubscript{4} (PCA1), 0.190 (\(\pm 0.004\), 1 SE) \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\) SO\textsubscript{4}-associated OC per 1 \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\) SO\textsubscript{4} (PCA2), 0.213 (\(\pm 0.003\), 1 SE) \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\) SO\textsubscript{4}-associated OC per 1 \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\) SO\textsubscript{4} (PMF1), and 0.211 (\(\pm 0.001\), 1 SE) \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\) SO\textsubscript{4}-associated OC per 1 \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\) SO\textsubscript{4} (PMF2).
The SO$_2$ component, present at all sites, identifies influences of relatively fresh plumes, whether from electric generating units (EGUs), industrial, or other SO$_2$ sources. At CTR, NO$_x$ is more strongly associated with the SO$_2$ component than with the combustion component, consistent with relatively less aged plumes and more aged general combustion influence. Differences between urban and rural sites are evident; for example, OC at CTR and YRK is not significantly related to the SO$_2$ factors, but OC is related to the SO$_2$ factors at urban sites. This difference indicates the influence of SO$_2$ emission sources within urban areas, consistent with visual observations and measurements made near emission sources in Birmingham (Blanchard et al., 2014a).

OC associated with SO$_2$, indicative of fresh emissions, accounted for 0.07 to 0.37 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ on average (12% of OC at BHM, 2% at JST, 7% at GFP, 20% at OAK, and 10% at OLF, none at other sites using PCA1) (Tables 3 and S12). PCA1 and PCA2 SO$_2$ OC concentrations are correlated (Figure S19).

Salt. A salt (or saltlike) component (of marine or other origins) is evidenced by Na, Cl, and Mg in PCA1. Na appears as a separate “other” component for JST PCA1, suggesting multiple urban sources of one or more of these species, while JST PCA1 “salt” is defined by K, Cl, and Mg. These species are not necessarily unique marine tracers; various combustion processes generate Cl emissions, for example.

Coastal sites show an inverse association of OC with Na and Cl (sea salt) (Table S13) and a negative mean OC contribution from salt (Table 3). We interpret this result as evidence that OC concentrations are lower at coastal sites when marine salt species concentrations are higher (i.e., anti-correlated), indicating that marine air masses are not an important source of OC. In contrast, mean salt-associated OC ranges from 0.14 to 0.15 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ (BHM and YRK) to 0.64 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ (JST). The species associations for the BHM and JST salt components suggest urban influences precluding identification of the salt component with marine air masses. Because K is associated with the JST “salt” component (and not with the JST combustion component) and Na is associated with the JST “other” component, it is possible that JST “salt” OC represents biomass combustion while the JST combustion component primarily represents motor-vehicle exhaust.
Metals. Cu and Zn appear on a metals component at six sites (BHM, CTR, GFP [PCA2], JST [PCA2], OAK, and OLF [PCA2]); otherwise, Cu and Zn are associated with combustion or are split between the metals and “other” components. The Cu and Zn correlations range from $r = 0.1$ to $0.3$ in the full 1999 to 2013 data set, which does not suggest a simple or strong association between these two species. At JST, Cu correlates with Pb.

Other. A component designated as “other” is present for BHM PCA1, GFP PCA1, JST PCA1, PNS PCA2, and YRK PCA1 and PCA2, indicating variability at urban and near-urban (YRK) sites not otherwise represented by the major components (Table 2).

3.5.3 Intercomparisons and uncertainty

For PCA3 (Table S10), the sum of alkanes, sum of aromatics, and $\alpha$-pinene are associated with the combustion component, whereas isoprene is associated predominantly with the SO$_4$ component. The measured alkane and aromatic species are known constituents of motor vehicle exhaust (Blanchard et al., 2010), consistent with a mobile-source contribution to the JST combustion component. Correlations between $\alpha$-pinene and CO, EC, and NO$_x$ range from $r = 0.5$ to $0.6$, mathematically associating these species, but the physical processes underlying the correlation are ambiguous (e.g., seasonal or meteorological versus common source emissions). Isoprene and pinenes can be factors in O$_3$ formation, and the association of isoprene with SO$_4$ could arise from a common seasonality or from atmospheric chemical processes generating SOA from isoprene (Surratt et al., 2007; Xu et al., 2015a;b). Additional work is needed to more fully interpret VOC species associations.

PCA4 and PCA5 yield consistent results when NH$_3$ or daily-average O$_3$ are either included or excluded from the analysis (Table S11).

The ranges of mean OC concentrations associated with each PCA component as obtained from the various applications are listed for CTR, JST, and YRK in Table 4. Uncertainties in the mean OC concentrations associated with each PCA component are estimated as one-half the ranges for CTR and JST (comprising both PCA and PMF applications) and
the full ranges for YRK (PCA applications only), which generally yield comparable
uncertainties.

A summary of our PCA1 results compared to the 2012 – 2013 source apportionments
reported by Xu et al. (2015a; b) is shown in Tables S18 through S20. For these
comparisons, we determined the PCA1 means by matching days to each of the Xu et al.
(2015a; b) multi-week study periods. The PCA1 combustion OC tends to compare in
magnitude to AMS HOA, BBOA, COA (when one or more such factors are found) or to
MO-OOA. The last correspondence would be expected to the extent that MO-OOA
includes oxidized motor-vehicle exhaust, other anthropogenic combustion emissions, or
biomass burning (Xu et al., 2015a; b). As previously noted for CTR, PCA SO$_4$-associated
OC concentrations and AMS isoprene OA concentrations exhibit nearly identical
regression relationships with SO$_4$ concentrations. Some differences between mean PCA
SO$_4$-associated OC and mean AMS isoprene OA (converted to OC) percentage
apportionments are evident in Tables S18 through S20, however. Such differences appear
to result from ambiguities in linking PCA elements with AMS designations, different
numbers of factors (affecting the percentages), and differences in mean observed OA
(OC) concentrations. The SEARCH and AMS mean OC concentrations are comparable
for the CTR (SOAS) and YRK (winter) data. For JST (summer), JST (winter), and YRK
(summer), the mean AMS OC concentrations exceed the mean SEARCH OC
centrations by 40%, 49%, and 85% respectively. The reasons for these differences are
unknown, but operationally could be related to sampling and analytical methods adopted
for the studies. The SEARCH mean OC concentrations during the multi-week
comparison periods are consistent with longer-term averages from 2012, 2013, and 2008
– 2013 (Tables S18 – S20). Since SEARCH reports PM$_{2.5}$ size fractions and AMS is
based on PM$_{1}$ size fractions, higher AMS PM mass concentrations are not expected. No
AMS component appears to correspond to the PCA crustal OC, which could relate to the
difference in size fractions sampled. The PCA crustal OC concentrations are generally
small except during occasional events, as previously noted.
3.5.4 Temporal variations

Temporal variations of the 1999-to-2013 PCA2 results are described here primarily for CTR and JST, representing (as in Table 1) one rural and one urban location having extensive SEARCH data records. At JST, day-of-week variations are evident for the combustion-derived OC and for the OC associated with crustal species (Figure S20), consistent with the occurrence of weekly activity cycles for driving, construction, and other anthropogenic emission sources. Day-of-week variations are not apparent for other OC associations at JST or for any OC factors at CTR. Seasonal and SO$_4$–associated OC exhibit pronounced monthly variations at both CTR and JST, with higher values of SO$_4$–associated OC and of CTR seasonal OC occurring during warmer months (Figures S21 and S22). The patterns for CTR SO$_4$–associated OC (highest in July and August) and seasonal OC (higher in spring and autumn than in July) are not independent.

Mean annual combustion-derived OC concentrations decline from 3.8 ± 0.2 to 1.4 ± 0.1 µg m$^{-3}$ between 1999 and 2013 at JST (Figures 4, S23) and from 2.9 ± 0.4 to 0.7 ± 0.1 µg m$^{-3}$ between 2001 and 2013 at BHM (not shown). Declining combustion OC concentrations at the urban JST and BHM sites coincide with reductions of motor-vehicle emissions during this time period (Section 3.1), though these urban sites may also be affected by industrial emissions. BHM additionally benefits from a decline in OC associated with SO$_2$ from 0.4 ± 0.04 µg m$^{-3}$ in 2001 to 0.2 ± 0.03 µg m$^{-3}$ in 2013, probably as a reflection of declining emissions from industrial sources within Birmingham. In contrast, combustion-derived OC at CTR does not exhibit a statistically significant decline, equaling 1.5 ± 0.1 µg m$^{-3}$ in 1999 and 1.3 ± 0.1 µg m$^{-3}$ in 2013 (Figures 4, S24). At CTR, downward OC trends are evident only for SO$_4$ and seasonal OC (mean decreases of 0.6 µg m$^{-3}$ and 0.7 µg m$^{-3}$, respectively) (Figure S24). The OC associated with SO$_4$ at CTR exhibits declines during all seasons, with the weakest such change in winter (Figure S25).

The trend results are consistent with the combined effects of (1) regional-scale reductions of ambient SO$_4$ and O$_3$ concentrations, (2) reductions of urban OC due to declining mobile-source OC and VOC emissions, and (3) likely predominance of biomass burning
OC at CTR (Hidy et al., 2014). Carbon-isotope measurements from 2004 show that fossil carbon represented ~20% of CTR TC that year (Blanchard et al., 2011), indicating that mobile-source or other fossil-fuel emissions affect CTR to some extent. Enhanced hourly concentrations of EC, OC, and CO at CTR are associated with winds from the directions of Birmingham, Tuscaloosa, and Montgomery (Hidy et al., 2014). EC declined by ~0.3 µg m⁻³ at CTR between 1999 and 2013 (Figure 1), suggesting an influence of mobile-source emission reductions that is possibly too modest to detect using our PCA methods or is masked by annual variability in biomass-burning emissions. For comparison, mean EC concentrations at JST decrease by ~1.4 µg m⁻³ (Figure 1), and the overall mean EC at JST (1.35 µg m⁻³) is ~4 times the overall mean EC at CTR (0.35 µg m⁻³).

The trends in mean annual OC from each identified species association indicate that anthropogenic emission reductions decreased mean annual urban combustion OC concentrations by 2.4 µg m⁻³ at JST and at BHM (and, by inference, other metropolitan areas in the Southeast), and indirectly decreased SO₄ and seasonal OC by ~1.1 to 1.3 µg m⁻³ throughout the southeastern U.S. between 1999 and 2013 (Figure 4). As of 2013, the overall mean annual combustion-derived OC is 1.3 to 1.4 µg m⁻³ at CTR and JST, whereas the sum of the mean annual SO₄ and seasonal component OC is 0.4 to 0.8 µg m⁻³ at CTR and JST (Figure 4).

### 3.6 Synthesis

Various apportionments of PM₂.₅ OC concentrations are presented in Sections 3.1, 3.4, and 3.5. These apportionments are compared and contrasted in this section. Although the apportionments utilize different methods, there is overlap of inputs. For example, Kbb is used as an input in the multivariate regressions that generate “POC” and “SOC” (Blanchard et al., 2008, not discussed here), and “POC” is a fitting species used in the CMB receptor modeling. As shown in Table 5, the apportionments exhibit areas of agreement as well as certain differences. Both are summarized using ratios of the values listed in Table 5. We report averages and ranges across the sites.
Computed “POC” represents 72% (64% - 76%) of mean OC concentrations, whereas “SOC” represents 29% (25% - 38%). As noted, “SOC” is the OC that is associated with O₃ and SO₄, which constitutes a portion of SOA. “POC” is associated with EC, CO, and Kbb, but may include oxidized OC that would be identified as SOA in other analyses. For the CMB analysis, OC derived from area sources (primarily biomass burning), mobile sources, and point sources is summed to generate combustion OC. CMB combustion OC is 97% (73% - 118%) of “POC”; this level of agreement presumably is because the CMB receptor model of Blanchard et. al. (2013) used “POC” as a fitting species. The largest PCA1 and PCA2 OC components are combustion, seasonal, and SO₄-associated OC. The sum of these three components is, for PCA1, 87% (60% - 139%) of mean measured OC (the overestimate, at PNS, is balanced by negative crustal and salt components there). For PCA2, the sum of combustion, seasonal, and SO₄-associated OC is 81% (58% - 101%) of mean measured OC. Other PCA OC components contribute smaller amounts (Table 5).

PCA1 and PCA2 combustion each represent 57% (8% - 103% and 33% - 85%, respectively) of CMB combustion. Other PCA factors, including SO₂, metals, and salts (possibly denoting biomass burning when represented by K) may be related to specific types of combustion sources.

Additional comparisons suggest that the OCbb concentrations are likely biased high by ~10% or more, with less evident biases at inland sites. Specifically, OCbb is 99% (66% - 121%) of “POC” and 109% (79% - 142%) of CMB area-source OC concentrations. At inland sites, OCbb is 96% (79% - 111%) of CMB area-source OC concentrations, indicating approximate agreement. Although multiple analyses (OCbb, “POC”, PCA2) used Kbb as an input variable, OCbb is calculated using a fixed scaling factor between OC and Kbb. As described, uncertainty in this scaling factor is estimated to generate a factor-of-two uncertainty in OCbb.

Conclusions

Fifteen years of measurements of an extensive suite of gas and particle species at eight SEARCH sites offer important insights into the sources of OA and the effects of anthropogenic emission reductions on OA concentrations in the southeastern U.S. Five
analytical methods indicate that a major component (~45% on average, 1999 to 2013, all sites; intersite range 25% to 63%) of OA derives from combustion sources, including motor-vehicles and biomass burning, at all urban and rural sites and throughout the year. Reductions of emissions from combustion sources decreased overall mean annual OC concentrations by 2.4 μg m⁻³ at JST and BHM (and, by inference, throughout the Atlanta and Birmingham metropolitan areas) between 1999 and 2013. OA is identified partly with an SO₄-OA relationship (~25% of OC, on average), which is consistent with hypothesized isoprene oxidation pathways. OA is also partly identified with other seasonal atmospheric processes, including atmospheric photochemical reactions (~20% of OC, on average). Reductions of anthropogenic emissions of SO₂, NOₓ, and VOC suggest a decrease in SO₄-associated OC and seasonal-component OC concentrations by ~1.1 – 1.3 μg m⁻³ between 1999 and 2013 throughout the SEARCH region, implying that reductions of anthropogenic emissions affect SOA concentrations.

As of 2013, the SEARCH mean annual combustion-derived OC concentrations are 1.3 to 1.4 μg m⁻³ at CTR and JST (~60% of total OC), while the mean annual OC concentrations associated with the SO₄ and seasonal components are 0.4 to 0.8 μg m⁻³ at CTR and JST (~35% and ~22%, respectively). Additional attention to OC from combustion emissions could yield further reductions of PM₂.₅ OC concentrations, now averaging ~2.5 μg m⁻³ in the southeastern U.S. Since biomass burning is a major source of OC emissions in the southeastern U.S., minimizing the stated extent and timing of these emissions could help improve regional air quality.

Additional work could improve quantitative assessments of source contributions. Carbon-isotope measurements of archived SEARCH samples are in process, and will provide further insight into the observed OA trends. Future research could also help define the sensitivity of the SO₄-associated OC and seasonal OC to ongoing reductions of anthropogenic SO₂, NOₓ, and VOC emissions. Current research by many investigators is better defining the role of naturally occurring VOCs, including isoprene. The SOAS and SAS campaigns of June – July 2013 helped resolve uncertainties and ambiguities in OA chemistry specific to that time period. Extrapolation of the short-term results to seasonal...
and interannual time periods can be achieved through further analyses of long-term EC and OC monitoring data.

**Appendix: Measurement conventions and issues**

Carbonaceous aerosol is conventionally divided into EC and OC, operationally defined by measurement protocol, either by thermal differentiation or by light absorption (for clarity, protocols based on light absorption typically report data as light-absorbing carbon [LAC] or black carbon [BC], and sometimes as brown carbon [BrC], rather than as EC). EC is comprised of extended aromatic rings, and is characteristically refractory, insoluble, chemically inert, and light absorbing (Cappa, 2011). EC derives from combustion and is believed to be exclusively from primary emission sources, including motor vehicles, other transportation sources, industrial processes, and vegetation burning (Chow et al., 2010; Watson et al., 2011). OC is the carbonaceous component of OA and refers here to specific measurements, such as filter-based measurements made by thermal-optical reflectance (TOR) (Chow et al., 2005; 2007a; 2007b). Combustion sources that emit EC also emit OC.

Organic compounds that are directly emitted in the condensed phase are typically identified as POA, whereas SOA commonly refers to organic material transferring from gases to the condensed phase through chemical transformation (Kanakidou et al., 2005). Gases of varying degrees of volatility may be oxidized and incorporated into the condensed phase (Robinson et al., 2007; Huffman et al., 2009). Chemical reactions may take place in the condensed phase in the presence of water, and partitioning by phase are key elements of uncertainty in describing SOA (e.g., Carlton and Turpin, 2013; Nguyen et al., 2015; Isaacman-VanWertz et al., 2015). Atmospheric chemical reactions involving VOCs (Hallquist et al., 2009), especially including compounds of intermediate volatility (de Gouw et al., 2011), are known to generate oxygenated reaction products on time scales of minutes to days. Secondary organic species may be associated with other secondary species, such as O₃ or SO₄, either through a common driver of photochemical
oxidation processes or due to direct chemical relationships; this is an active area of
research.

The initial aging of fresh, concentrated emissions begins with turbulent dilution seconds
after hot exhaust effluent enters into the cooler atmosphere. Fine particle evolution then
takes place more slowly over nominal 5-7 day lifetimes as particles are mixed and
transported, and lost by deposition. These processes are often referred to as “aging” of a
freshly emitted aerosol. The aging processes can be chemical in nature, or may involve
physical processes as well, including absorption in clouds or precipitation followed by
hydrometeor evaporation.

The exceptions to the definition of SOA as material transferring from gas to condensed
phases through chemical transformation include: (1) volatile or semi-volatile material that
condenses into aerosol without undergoing chemical transformation (Kanakidou et al.,
2005), (2) gases absorbed into hydrometeors, leaving residual aerosol on evaporation,
which might be understood as either POA or SOA depending on absence or occurrence of
chemical transformation (Kanakidou et al., 2005), and (3) material emitted in the
condensed phase that undergoes chemical transformation, possibly shifting multiple times
between gas and aerosol, and that appears as oxidized compounds on analysis of aerosol
samples (Donahue et al., 2009). The last exception is especially ambiguous: such material
may be classified as POA in an emission inventory, but be identified as SOA according to
measurements designated as “more oxygenated aerosol (OOA)” by aerosol mass
spectrometry (AMS).

Dilution sampling is routinely used to characterize exhaust emissions because it yields
estimates of EC and OC at temperatures characteristic of the ambient atmosphere, but
further phase exchange of POA may be expected in the real world with ongoing dilution.
Photochemical chamber studies demonstrate that organic aerosol from hot exhaust
emissions (e.g., diesel engine exhaust) shifts from POA to SOA dominance typically
within one or more hours of photo-oxidation (Presto et al., 2014). The comparability of
POA measurements from such studies to emission inventory estimates of mobile-source
PM is poorly characterized. For modeling, a volatility basis set (VBS) provides more
realistic diluted emission estimates by recognizing that POA spans a range of volatilities, and cannot be treated as entirely nonvolatile (Robinson et al., 2007; Donahue et al., 2009; Donahue et al., 2012).

The mass concentrations of EC are approximately conserved from emission sources to receptor sites, whereas losses due to volatilization of certain PM$_{2.5}$ organic compounds readily occur. OA concentrations may increase as SOA forms not only from POA vaporization, subsequent reactions and condensation, but also, perhaps predominantly, from atmospheric reactions of gas-phase precursors. Organic mass (OM), which includes not only carbon but also other atoms (e.g., oxygen and hydrogen) that are components of OA, is not conserved. There is no accepted measure of aging in atmospheric aerosols, but some workers have adopted OM/OC as an indicator. As POA ages, the ratio of oxygen-to-carbon typically increases, increasing the mass of OM. Aerosol aging can, therefore, increase both the OM/EC ratio and the OC/EC ratio (by definition, EC concentrations are not expected to increase with the formation of species during aging). A graphical depiction of various categorizations of OA is shown in the supplement (Figure S1).

Receptor-modeling methods have identified POA source types using measurements of conservative organic tracer species (Schauer et al., 1996), indicating that motor vehicles contribute ~ 2 to 4 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ to annual-average OC concentrations in Atlanta (e.g., Zheng et al. 2002; 2006). SEARCH thermal desportion-gas chromatograph mass spectrometer (TD-GC/MS) measurements suggest that 30 to 50% of the observed 2006 to 2010 OC trend in Atlanta, Georgia and Birmingham, Alabama could be due to changes in mobile-source emissions (Blanchard et al., 2014). These trends need not be entirely from changes in POA emissions; diesel SOA, for example, is an important component of mobile-source OA (Presto et al., 2014), and is linked to EC and POA emissions. Aside from motor vehicle exhaust, biomass burning is a major source of EC and the largest source of OC emissions in the southeastern U.S. according to emission inventories, with little evidence for substantial trend between 1999 and 2013 (Hidy et al., 2014). Carbon-isotope ($^{14}$C) measurements at SEARCH sites indicate that on average 2 to 4 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ of OC is modern in origin (rural and urban sites), with ~40% fossil in Atlanta and ~60% fossil in Birmingham during 2004 and 2005 (Blanchard et al., 2011). Together, the measurements
suggest the presence of a large modern-carbon contribution added to downward-trending mobile-source contributions (Hidy et al., 2014).

Significant emissions of VOC from vegetation, including isoprene and terpenes, occur in the southeastern U.S. and represent a major, and possibly a dominant, source of SOA (Goldstein et al., 2009). Although incompletely quantified, SOA derived from anthropogenic and biogenic VOC products has been estimated to be ~20 to 60% of the OA observed in the southeastern U.S. (Table S1new), varying among samples and especially by season (Lim and Turpin, 2002; Zheng et al., 2006; Saylor et al., 2006; Blanchard et al., 2008). Field and laboratory work over the years has refined the chemical pathways, with evidence for both aqueous and gas-phase chemistry. Ground-level filter samples from southeastern sites have yielded expected tracers of SOA-formation chemistry from biogenic precursors (Gao et al., 2006; Surratt et al., 2007; Chan et al., 2010; Hatch et al., 2011a; 2011b). The presence of naturally occurring VOCs, modulated by temperature and solar radiation, is expected to be roughly constant over a period of years, suggesting a near constant level of biogenic SOA. However, isoprene concentrations appear to have increased at Atlanta-area sites between 2002 and 2012 (Hidy et al., 2014); the reason for, and significance of, this trend for SOA trends in the Southeast is unclear. Interaction of biogenic and anthropogenic emissions potentially affect SOA formation (Weber et al., 2007; Shilling et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2015), so biogenic SOA trends could result from anthropogenic emission reductions.

Determination of the fraction of OC not directly attributed to sources is complicated by both the influence of atmospheric processes on emissions and the methods of measurement of OC or its components. The processing of atmospheric aerosols is exceedingly complex as a result of the chemistry of volatile and non-volatile carbon emissions and interactions between chemical and meteorological processes on multiple time and space scales. Advancing knowledge about the SOC component has been inhibited by the lack of chemical detail in long-term observations and the short-term application of more recent measurement methods. Measurements of atmospheric organic carbon as POC and SOC refer to operational definitions, including those in Figure S1. Historically, measurements of OC and EC have relied on filter sampling and subsequent
analysis for OC constituents in the laboratory. The filter sampling and recently introduced continuous methods provide different data for EC and OC as well as some identification of constituents resolved in space in time. However, their quantitative comparison remains problematic as indicated in this study. Continuing research, including method comparisons, and expanded detailed atmospheric observations will be required to resolve these uncertainties.
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Table 1. Five-year seasonal mean EC and OC concentrations at CTR and JST with mean OC/EC.\(^a\)

| Period  | CTR EC       | CTR OC       | CTR OC/EC | JST EC       | JST OC       | JST OC/EC |
|---------|--------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|-----------|
| 1999-03W | 0.490 ± 0.025 | 2.615 ± 0.154 | 5.34      | 1.725 ± 0.068 | 4.801 ± 0.153 | 2.78      |
| 1999-03Sp | 0.607 ± 0.030 | 3.411 ± 0.154 | 5.62      | 1.410 ± 0.037 | 4.465 ± 0.096 | 3.17      |
| 1999-03Su | 0.537 ± 0.020 | 3.541 ± 0.100 | 6.59      | 1.439 ± 0.035 | 4.664 ± 0.090 | 3.24      |
| 1999-03A  | 0.684 ± 0.026 | 3.814 ± 0.145 | 5.58      | 1.808 ± 0.060 | 5.264 ± 0.150 | 2.91      |
| 2004-08W  | 0.538 ± 0.036 | 2.348 ± 0.167 | 4.37      | 1.319 ± 0.050 | 4.099 ± 0.125 | 3.11      |
| 2004-08Sp | 0.556 ± 0.029 | 3.269 ± 0.199 | 5.88      | 1.173 ± 0.034 | 4.283 ± 0.135 | 3.65      |
| 2004-08Su | 0.528 ± 0.030 | 3.267 ± 0.151 | 6.19      | 1.292 ± 0.032 | 4.114 ± 0.077 | 3.19      |
| 2004-08A  | 0.551 ± 0.024 | 2.850 ± 0.120 | 5.17      | 1.375 ± 0.049 | 3.852 ± 0.093 | 2.30      |
| 2009-13W  | 0.402 ± 0.027 | 2.066 ± 0.136 | 5.14      | 0.859 ± 0.060 | 2.828 ± 0.155 | 3.29      |
| 2009-13Sp | 0.354 ± 0.018 | 2.243 ± 0.117 | 6.34      | 0.699 ± 0.039 | 2.774 ± 0.128 | 3.97      |
| 2009-13Su | 0.357 ± 0.017 | 2.818 ± 0.112 | 7.89      | 0.723 ± 0.024 | 2.870 ± 0.095 | 3.97      |
| 2009-13A  | 0.437 ± 0.024 | 2.579 ± 0.105 | 6.31      | 0.926 ± 0.042 | 2.934 ± 0.110 | 3.05      |

\(^a\) Uncertainties are one standard error of the means. OC/EC is computed as ratios of means. Propagation of errors yields one standard error of OC/EC ranging from 0.30 to 0.49 for CTR (mean 0.41) and 0.10 to 0.29 for JST (mean 0.16).

\(b\) W = Dec, Jan, Feb; Sp = Mar, Apr, May; Su=Jun, Jul, Aug; A = Sep, Oct, Nov
Table 2. Species associated with each PCA factor (component). Component names are keyed to the species. Three species are listed in decreasing order of association for associations of 0.6 or greater (or –0.6 or smaller). Negative values indicate anti-correlation. CO^x and SO_2^x are 1-hour daily maximum CO and SO_2, respectively. O_3^x is 8-hour daily maximum O_3. PCA1, 2008 – 2013; PCA2, 1999 – 2013. N = number of days.

| PCA | Site | N  | Combustion | Crustal | Seasonal | SO_2 | SO_4 | Metals       | Salt  | Other |
|-----|------|----|------------|---------|----------|------|------|--------------|-------|-------|
| 1   | BHM  | 364| CO, NO_x, OC| Al, Si  | NO_3    | SO_2^x, SO_2| NH_4, SO_4| Zn, Cu, Fe | K     | NO_2  |
| 1   | CTR  | 383| EC, OC, CO  | Si, Fe, Al| NH_3   | SO_2, SO_2^x| SO_4, NH_4| Cu, Zn    | Na, Cl, Mg|
| 1   | GFP  | 100| CO, CO^x, NO_x| Si, Fe, Al| O_3^x, NH_3| SO_2, SO_2^x| NH_4, SO_4| Cl, Na, Mg| Ca    |
| 1   | JST  | 516| CO, NO_x, EC| Si, Al, Fe| O_3^x, NH_3, -NO_3| SO_2^x, SO_2| NH_4, SO_4| K, Cl, Mg | Na    |
| 1   | OAK  | 100| CO^x, CO  | Fe, Al, Si| NH_3, O_3^x| SO_2^x, SO_2| SO_4, NH_4| NO_x, Cu, NO_x, Zn| Na, Cl, Mg|
| 1   | OLF  | 327| NO_x, CO, EC| Si, Al, Fe| NH_3, O_3^x| SO_2, SO_2^x| SO_4, NH_4| Cu       | Na, Mg, Cl|
| 1   | PNS  | 44 | CO, NO_x, EC| Si, Al, Fe| O_3^x | SO_2, SO_2^x| NH_4, SO_4| Na, Mg, Cl|
| 1   | YRK  | 426| NO_x, NO_3, CO| Si, Fe, Al| O_3^x, OC, EC| SO_2^x, SO_2| SO_4, NH_4| Cu       | Na, Cl, Mg, Zn|
| 2   | BHM  | 1513| CO, CO^x, NO_x| Al, Si| O_3, O_3^x, -NO_3| SO_2^x, SO_2| NH_4, SO_4| Zn, Cu, Fe|
| 2   | CTR  | 1258| OC, EC, CO^x| Si, Fe, Al| O_3^x | SO_2, NO_x, SO_4, NH_4| Cu| |
| 2   | GFP  | 376| CO^x, CO, NO_x| Si, Fe, Al| O_3, O_3^x| SO_2^x, SO_2| NH_4, SO_4| Cu, Zn|
| 2   | JST  | 2593| CO, CO^x, NO_x| Si, Al, Fe| NO_3, -O_3, -O_3| SO_2^x, SO_2| NH_4, SO_4| Cu| |
| 2   | OAK  | 707| CO^x, CO, EC| Si, Fe, Al| O_3, O_3^x| SO_2^x, SO_2| SO_4, NH_4| Cu, Zn|
| 2   | OLF  | 948| CO^x, CO, NO_x| Si, Fe, Al| O_3, O_3^x| SO_2^x, SO_2| NH_4, SO_4, NO_x| Zn, Cu|
| 2   | PNS  | 445| EC, CO, NO_x| Si, Al, Fe| O_3, O_3^x, SO_4, NH_4| SO_2^x, SO_2| Cu| NO_2|
| 2   | YRK  | 1435| CO^x, NO_x, NO_3| Si, Fe, Al| O_3, SO_4, O_3, NH_4| SO_2^x, SO_2| Cu| Zn|
Table 3. Mean OC concentrations associated with components identified by PCA1 (2008–2013) and PCA2 (1999–2013). NS = not statistically significant, NA = not applicable (component not present in PCA). Units are $\mu$g m$^{-3}$. Standard errors of the means ranged from 0.003 to 0.09 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ (up to 0.25 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ for PNS PCA1).

| PCA | Site | N  | Combustion | Crustal | Seasonal | SO$_2$ | SO$_4$ | Metals | Salt | Other |
|-----|------|----|------------|---------|----------|--------|--------|--------|------|-------|
| 1   | BHM  | 364| 1.36       | 0.09    | 0.40     | 0.35   | 0.45   | 0.15   | 0.14 | 0.10  |
| 1   | CTR  | 383| 1.28       | 0.26    | 0.56     | NS     | 0.33   | NS     | NS   | NA    |
| 1   | GFP  | 100| 0.95       | NS      | 0.45     | 0.15   | 0.25   | NS     | -0.41| 0.62  |
| 1   | JST  | 516| 1.09       | 0.16    | 0.49     | 0.07   | 0.27   | NA     | 0.64 | 0.11  |
| 1   | OAK  | 100| 0.40       | NS      | 0.50     | 0.37   | 0.53   | 0.32   | -0.27| NA    |
| 1   | OLF  | 327| 0.74       | -0.08   | 0.52     | 0.16   | 0.27   | NS     | -0.09| NA    |
| 1   | PNS  | 44 | 1.95       | NS      | 0.33     | NS     | 0.56   | NA     | -0.63| NA    |
| 1   | YRK  | 426| 0.14       | 0.14    | 1.09     | NS     | 0.26   | 0.16   | 0.15 | 0.47  |
| 2   | BHM  | 1513| 1.60      | 0.19    | 0.47     | 0.38   | 0.57   | 0.48   | NA   | NA    |
| 2   | CTR  | 1258| 1.50      | 0.12    | 0.69     | NS     | 0.66   | NS     | NA   | NA    |
| 2   | GFP  | 376| 0.72       | 0.14    | 0.37     | 0.21   | 0.50   | 0.25   | NA   | NA    |
| 2   | JST  | 2593| 2.58     | 0.32    | 0.06     | NS     | 1.01   | NA     | NA   | NA    |
| 2   | OAK  | 707| 1.50       | NS      | 0.47     | NS     | 0.59   | NS     | NA   | NA    |
| 2   | OLF  | 948| 0.81       | -0.06   | 0.25     | 0.09   | 1.02   | 0.20   | NA   | NA    |
| 2   | PNS  | 445| 1.55       | NS      | 0.45     | 0.17   | NA     | NS     | NA   | 0.36  |
| 2   | YRK  | 1435| 0.76     | 0.20    | 1.40     | 0.05   | NA     | 0.39   | NA   | 0.29  |

a. OLF PCA1 and PCA2 crustal and PCA1 salt OC mean concentrations are negative due to inverse associations of OC with crustal and salt components at OLF (Tables S7 and S12).
b. JST PCA2 seasonal OC is associated with NO$_3$; JST PCA2 SO$_4$ component includes OC associated with O$_3$ (Table 2).
c. PNS and YRK PCA2 seasonal components include OC associated with SO$_4$ (Table 2).
Table 4. Ranges of mean OC concentrations associated with each PCA component. The time period is 2009 – 2013. For each site, multiple methods were compared using a common set of days. For CTR (6 methods), both the standard deviations and one-half the range of component mean concentrations are shown. For JST (3 methods), one-half the range of component mean concentrations is shown. For YRK (2 PCA methods), ranges are shown. YRK ranges are smaller than ranges for CTR and JST because no PMF analyses were carried out for YRK. The ranges for CTR and JST reflect larger differences between PCA and PMF.

| Component | CTR<sup>a</sup> | JST<sup>b</sup> | YRK<sup>c</sup> |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|           | Range/2 (µg m<sup>3</sup>) | Range/2 (% of mean) | Std Dev (µg m<sup>3</sup>) | Std Dev (% of mean) | Range/2 (µg m<sup>3</sup>) | Range/2 (% of mean) | Range (µg m<sup>3</sup>) | Range (% of mean) |
| Combustion | 0.44 | 18 | 0.30 | 12 | 0.34 | 12 | 0.34 | 14 |
| Crustal | 0.09 | 4 | 0.07 | 3 | 0.11 | 4 | 0.09 | 4 |
| Sulfate | 0.15 | 6 | 0.11 | 4 | 0.19 | 7 | 0.26 | 11 |
| Seasonal | 0.36 | 15 | 0.25 | 10 | 0.43 | 15 | 0.12 | 5 |
| SO<sub>2</sub> | | | | | 0.03 | 1 | 0.03 | 1 |
| Metals | | | | | 0.07 | 2 | 0.04 | 2 |
| Salt | | | | | 0.33 | 12 | 0.16 | 7 |
| Other | | | | | 0.05 | 2 | 0.16 | 7 |

a. Mean OC = 2.43 µg m<sup>3</sup>, n = 383 days, number of methods = 6 (4 PCA, 2 PMF)
b. Mean OC = 2.85 µg m<sup>3</sup>, n = 398 days, number of methods = 3 (2 PCA, 1 PMF)
c. Mean OC = 2.40 µg m<sup>3</sup>, n = 426 days, number of methods = 2 (2 PCA)
Table 5. Mean OC concentrations determined for the period 2008 – 2013 using four analytical approaches: (1) multivariate regression (“POC” and “SOC”, Blanchard et al., 2008), (2) calculation of OCbb from Kbb tracer, (3) PCA and PMF analysis, and (4) CMB receptor-modeling (Blanchard et al., 2013, updated). Row indentations indicate subcategories. Units are µg m⁻³ unless specified as %.

| Component                      | BHM  | CTR  | GFP  | JST  | OAK  | OLF  | PNS  | YRK  | Unca |
|--------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| OC (mean measured)             | 2.91 | 2.41 | 1.91 | 2.86 | 1.84 | 1.81 | 2.06 | 2.33 | 0.05 |
| “POC”b                         | 1.85 | 1.78 | 1.40 | 2.12 | 1.35 | 1.36 | 1.57 | 1.61 | 25%  |
| OCbb                           | 1.58 | 1.60 | 1.64 | 1.40 | 1.63 | 1.62 | 1.77 | 1.37 | 2X   |
| PCA2 Combustion                | 1.36 | 1.28 | 0.95 | 1.07 | 0.40 | 0.85 | 1.95 | 0.13 | 0.3 - 0.6 |
| PCA2 Combustion Total          | 1.09 | 1.47 | 0.45 | 1.83 | 0.87 | 0.60 | 1.26 | 0.49 | 0.3 - 0.6 |
| PMF Combustion                 | NA   | 1.03 | NA   | 1.22 | NA   | NA   | NA   | NA   | 0.3 - 0.6 |
| CMB Combustion Total           | 2.54 | 1.52 | 1.33 | 2.16 | 1.42 | 1.47 | 1.89 | 1.49 | 0.87 |
| CMB Area Sources               | 2.01 | 1.44 | 1.15 | 1.50 | 1.35 | 1.34 | 1.68 | 1.35 | 20 - 33% |
| CMB Mobile Diesel              | 0.20 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.27 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 13 - 31% |
| CMB Mobile Gas                 | 0.29 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.34 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 17 - 41% |
| CMB Point Sources              | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 5 - 6% |
| PCA1 Crustal                   | 0.09 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.00 | -0.14 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.09 - 0.11 |
| PCA2 Crustal                   | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.35 | 0.00 | -0.06 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.09 - 0.11 |
| PMF Crustal                    | NA   | 0.09 | NA   | 0.17 | NA   | NA   | NA   | NA   | 0.09 - 0.11 |
| CMB Dust                       | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 9 - 22% |
| “SOC”b                        | 1.10 | 0.66 | 0.56 | 0.75 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.77 | 25%  |
| PCA1 Seasonal+Sulfate          | 0.85 | 0.90 | 0.70 | 0.76 | 1.03 | 1.00 | 0.90 | 1.26 | 0.3 - 0.5 |
| PCA1 Seasonal                  | 0.39 | 0.57 | 0.45 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.71 | 0.33 | 1.00 | 0.1 - 0.4 |
| PCA1 Sulfate                   | 0.45 | 0.33 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.53 | 0.29 | 0.56 | 0.26 | 0.2 - 0.3 |
| PCA2 Seasonal+Sulfate          | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.81 | 0.76 | 0.99 | 0.93 | 0.41 | 0.86 | 0.3 - 0.5 |
| PCA2 Seasonal                  | 0.51 | 0.53 | 0.40 | 0.05 | 0.40 | 0.28 | 0.41 | 0.86 | 0.1 - 0.4 |
| PCA2 Sulfate                   | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.41 | 0.71 | 0.58 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.2 - 0.3 |
| PMF Seasonal+Sulfate           | NA   | 0.77 | NA   | 1.32 | NA   | NA   | NA   | NA   | 0.3 - 0.5 |
| PMF Seasonal                   | NA   | 0.49 | NA   | 0.86 | NA   | NA   | NA   | NA   | 0.1 - 0.4 |
| PMF Sulfate                    | NA   | 0.28 | NA   | 0.46 | NA   | NA   | NA   | NA   | 0.2 - 0.3 |
| N days (2008 - 2013, varies by analysis) | 366 - 383 - 100 443 - 100 - 327 - 44 - 426 - |

a. Uncertainty for mean measured OC is 1 standard error of the mean. Uncertainties for PCA and PMF are from the uncertainty analysis in Section 3.5.3. Uncertainty for CMB combustion total is RMSE across sites and years, where error is the difference between predicted and observed concentrations. Uncertainty for CMB components is based on uncertainties in inputs and across alternative versions of the model expressed as 1-sigma % of prediction (Blanchard et al., 2013).

b. “POC” is the sum of OC associated with EC, CO, and Kbb. “SOC” is the sum of OC associated with O₃, and SO₄. “POC” is used as a fitting species in CMB.
Figure Captions

Figure 1. Seasonal mean EC and OC concentrations at CTR and JST. All correlations among the four time series are statistically significant (p < 0.05): CTR EC and OC, r = 0.68 (95% CI 0.52 – 0.80); JST EC and OC, r = 0.87 (95% CI 0.79 – 0.92); CTR EC and JST EC, r = 0.76 (95% CI 0.62 – 0.85); CTR OC and JST OC, r = 0.68 (95% CI 0.51 – 0.79).

Figure 2. Statistical distributions of the ratio OM*/OC computed for daily-average measurements at SEARCH sites, 2009 – 2013. The distributions show the 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, and 90th percentiles. OM* is the sum of measured OC and the computed difference of PM$_{2.5}$ mass minus the sum of measured species concentrations.

Figure 3. Monthly-average measured OC (solid blue line) and computed biomass-burning OCbb (solid green line with surrounding shaded area indicating estimated uncertainty) at CTR. Trends in OC (dashed blue line) are statistically significant (p < 0.05); trends in OCbb (dashed green line) are not statistically significant.

Figure 4. Trends in source contributions to OC at CTR and JST determined from PCA2 for 1999 - 2013.
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Table S1new. Aggregated estimates of composite OC and SOA (SOC) from various regional and local studies in the southeastern US using different methodologies. Unless otherwise stated, SOA (SOC) includes isoprene products and other products from reactions involving terpenoids and anthropogenic VOCs; averages reported are shown for time periods listed. Investigators have used different terminologies with analytical methods (e.g., Figure S1), and analyses over different time periods, so that comparison of the OC percentages is necessarily qualitative to illustrate a range of SOC fractions, including natural components. A detailed comparison by method and times, and analysis of limitations, is beyond the scope of the study.

| Investigators          | Time Period | Methoda | Rural | Urban | Comments and Notes |
|------------------------|-------------|---------|-------|-------|-------------------|
|                        |             |         | SOC or SOA (µg m⁻³) | %OC | SOC or SOA (µg m⁻³) | %OC |                       |
| Lim and Turpin (2002)  | Summer 99   | EC Tracer | -- | 8.3 | 46 | Regression by Deming method—ATL (JST) |
| Saylor et al. (2006)   | 02          | EC Tracer | 1.1 | 30 | 1.8 | 32 | Regression by York method—ATL, BHM; CTR, YRK |
| Zheng et al. (2006)    | Sept 03-Jan 04 | CMB-MM OA-POA | 5.7 | 34 | 6.5 | 36 | BHM, ATL; CTR SOC may include POA |
| Gao et al. (2006)      | June 04     | Filter MS-GC tracer; LCMS | 0.3 | 9.1 | 0.2 | 6.3 | JST, BHM; CTR total identified OA as SOA; mostly terpene derivatives |
| Weber et al. (2007)    | June 04     | Filter WSOC | -- | 2.8 | 58 | ATL; (SOA=WSOC, estimated 70-80% biogenic) |
| Yu et al. (2007)       | <06         | Semi-empirical regional EC tracer | -- | 1.01 | 35 | semi-empirical continental, Southeast 7 sites GA, AL, SouthTN |
| Ding et al (2008)      | 04-05       | CMB-MM; ¹⁴C (SOCf) | 0.6 | 78 | 2.3 | 66 | SOC=fossil (SOCf) + contemporary (SOCc); BHM, ATL, CTR |
|                        |             | (SOCc) | 2.5 | 2.2 | |
| Blanchard et al. (2008)| 01-04       | EC tracer; | -- | 36-41 | -- | 15-48 | Annual 01-04, |
| Year          | Method                          | Technique | Isoprene Range | SOA Fraction | Notes                                                                 |
|--------------|---------------------------------|-----------|----------------|--------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2008         |                                 | Regression; mass balance; $^{14}$C<sub>b</sub> |                |              |                                                                       |
| Kleindienst et al. (2010) | 05                              | Filter GCMS tracer; SOC mass fraction from lab. study | 2.37<sup>d</sup> | 42           | 20 Berkeley, CTR (reported as SOA)                                   |
| Chan et al. (2010) | Aug-Sept 08                     | Filter GCMS GCToFMS/tracers | 0.1-1.4 (10.7 isoprene) | 0.1-0.9 (7.4 isoprene) | ATL YRK; estimates from sum of isoprene products or from Kleindienst et al. tracer (day night separation) |
| Zhang et al. (2010) | 07                              | Filter WSOC | --             | --           | -- 15 sites in Southeast (light absorbing WSOC=SOC)                  |
| Blanchard et al. (2013) | 02-11                           | Integrated gas particle CMB | --             | --           | 1.8 ATL composite OA = 4 ug/m3 exclude unaccounted for mass         |
| Budusulistiorini et al. (2013) | Summer 11 | ACSM (AMS) | --             | --           | 9 ATL. Mostly correlations between identified components of aerosol mass from PMF (33 isoprene)<sup>f</sup> |
| Lin et al. (2013) | Summer 10                       | Filter GC-EI-MS | --             | (12-19 isoprene)<sup>f</sup> | -- YRK: OM% isoprene derivatives only; both low and High NOx contributions |
| Lewandowski et al. (2014) | May-Aug 05                      | Filter GCMS tracers; SOC mass fraction from lab study | 2.37<sup>d</sup> | 36           | 1.8<sup>d</sup> ATL, BHM; CTR; differentiates biogenic SOC from anthropogenic SOC |
| Xu et al. (2014) | June-July 13 (SOAS); ~ 1 yr (12-13-SCAPE) | HRTToFMS and ACSM | 4.5            | 89           | 9.1 69 ATL, CTR, (summer); PM1: OA—segregated with SOA sum of LO-OOA, MO-OOA; seasonal isoprene OA only in summer; particle nitrate OA discussed |
| Liao et al. | May- (NOAA) PALMS               | --        | (2.2)          | --           | -- Aircraft near |
| (2015)       | June 12 | IEPOXSO4 | ground values IEPOX-SO4 only |
|--------------|---------|----------|-----------------------------|
| Hu et al (1025) | June-July 2013 | AMS low NOx (Quant. PMF of AMS signal) | -- | (17 IEPOX SO4) | -- | -- | Includes details of IEPOX SO4 estimation, notes biomass burning ambiguity. |
| Kim et al. (2015) | Summer-fall 13 | Various air-ground meas./modeling | -- | 60 | -- | -- | Integration of ground and aircraft obs. in SE. Values represent 1.5-3 km altitude; biogenic includes isoprene and terpenoid derivatives—anthropogenic SOA excluded |
| This study (2015) | 00-13 | Tracer/mass balance/PCA using SEARCH carbon and associated data | 2.9 | 39 | 3.9 | 26 | CTR, ATL; SOC based on PCA1; SOC seasonal and SO4 contributions |

*Methods include analytical techniques, air quality modeling and data analysis and interpretation. Instrumentation for analysis includes thermal differentiation for OC and BC, gas chromatography-mass spectroscopy (GCMS), high resolution time of flight mass spectroscopy (HRToFMS), water extraction and liquid chromatography-mass spectroscopy, aerosol mass spectroscopy (AMS), carbon isotope analysis, particle analysis laser mass spectrometer (PALMS), and aerosol chemical speciation monitor (ACSM).*

*Data set included SEARCH public archives.

Southeastern region (15 monitoring sites for OC and EC) stated in urban category, but includes rural sites.

*Reported as µgC/m³; estimates of SOC mainly isoprene and monoterpene derivatives

The SEARCH network sites included in studies were Jefferson Street, Atlanta, GA (JST or ATL), Centreville, AL (CTR), Yorkville, GA (YRK) and Birmingham, AL (BHM). A site locator map and description is found in Hidy et al. (2014).

Parentheses isoprene derivative component of OC or OA (OM) only from AMS assignment.

%OC is calculated as sum of fossil and contemporary SOC; values average over four seasons.
Table S2new. Primary air pollutant emissions within AL, GA, MS, and NW FL in 2013. Units are 1000 metric tons per year. PM$_{2.5}$ species were determined from NEI emissions of PM$_{2.5}$ mass using SPECIATE or from the EPA MOVES model (EC and OC from on-road diesel and gasoline). Zero values indicate emissions less than one-half the smallest reported significant figure (1 or 0.1 thousand metric tons). Source categories are defined in Blanchard et al. (2013).

| Sector                  | CO   | NO$_3$ | EC  | OC  | K   | Al  | Ca  | Fe  | Si  | SO$_2$ | VOC |
|-------------------------|------|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------|-----|
| Agriculture             | 0    | 0      | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 9.1 | 0      | 0   |
| Area                    | 294  | 11     | 3.9 | 16.4| 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0      | 246 |
| Vegetation & soil       | 526  | 43     | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0      | 5008|
| Commercial              | 5    | 7      | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4      | 0   |
| Dust                    | 0    | 0      | 0.3 | 6.3 | 1.6 | 4.5 | 6.6 | 4.0 | 14.5| 0      | 0   |
| EGU                     | 25   | 98     | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 247    | 2   |
| Fires                   | 1996 | 39     | 8.1 | 89.0| 2.7 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 16     | 314 |
| Industrial              | 155  | 162    | 1.3 | 4.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 110    | 132 |
| Nonroad                 | 686  | 147    | 5.2 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3      | 107 |
| Residential             | 35   | 10     | 0.3 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0      | 6   |
| On-road diesel          | 43   | 125    | 4.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0      | 10  |
| On-road gas             | 1129 | 133    | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1      | 60  |
| Sum                     | 4893 | 775    | 24  | 125 | 8   | 9   | 8   | 7   | 27  | 382    | 5886|
Table S18new. Comparison of PCA1 CTR source apportionment to Xu et al. (2015a; b).

| PCA Factor     | OC from each PCA factor (% of mean OC) | Unc (%) | AMS OC (%) | AMS OA (%) |
|----------------|----------------------------------------|---------|------------|------------|
| Combustion     | 52 53 38 18                             | MO-     | 34 39      |            |
|                |                                        | BBOA    | 11 10      |            |
| Sulfate        | 11 13 13 6                              | Isop    | 20 18      |            |
| Seasonal       | 14 22 23 15                             | LO-     | 35 32      |            |
| Crustal        | 23 13 20 4                              |         |            |            |
| Fitted sum     | 100 100 94                              |         |            |            |

|                      | AMS Factor | SOAS | SOAS |
|----------------------|------------|------|------|
| MO-OA                |            |      |      |
| BBOA                 |            |      |      |
| Isop                 |            |      |      |
| LO-OA                |            |      |      |

Mean OA<sup>a</sup> NA NA NA 5.0
Mean OC<sup>a</sup> 2.41 2.26 2.63 2.31
Mean OC in PCA subset<sup>a</sup> 2.43 2.32 2.61 NA
OM/OC 1.58 1.66 1.36 2.16
N days for mean OC 606 156 40
N days in PCA subset 383 105 29

- a. MO-OA (MO-), biomass burning OA (BBOA), isoprene OA (Isop), and LO-OA (LO-)
- b. \(\mu g\) m\(^{-3}\)
Table S19new. Comparison of PCA1 JST source apportionment to Xu et al. (2015a; b).\(^a\)

| PCA Factor     | OC from each PCA factor (\% of mean OC) | Unc (%) | AMS Factor\(^b\) | AMS OC (\%) | AMS OA (\%) |
|----------------|----------------------------------------|---------|------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                | 2008-13                                | 2012    | ND 2012          |             |             |
| Combustion     | 38                                     | 41      | 29               | 57          | 12          | H0A         | 14 | 25 | 10 | 19 |
|                |                                        |         |                  |             |             | MO-         | 22 | 26 | 27 | 31 |
| Salt\(^c\)     | 23                                     | 21      | 20               | 7           | 11          | BBOA        | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9  |
| Other\(^d\)    | 4                                      | 4       | 2                | 12          | 2           | COA         | 14 | 23 | 11 | 20 |
| Sulfate        | 10                                     | 6       | 9                | 1           | 7           | Isop        | 19 | 21 |
| SO\(_2\)       | 3                                      | 1       | 1                | 1           | 1           |             |     |     |     |    |
| Seasonal       | 17                                     | 18      | 25               | 11          | 15          | LO-         | 21 | 17 | 21 | 19 |
| Crustal        | 6                                      | 5       | 3                | 2           | 4           |             |     |     |     |    |
| Fitted sum     | 100                                    | 95      | 89               | 91          |             |             |     |     |     |    |

| Mean OA\(^e\)  | NA                                     | NA      | NA               | NA          | 9.1         | 7.9         |
| Mean OC\(^e\)  | 2.88                                   | 2.98    | 3.36             | 3.65        | 4.70        | 5.45        |
| Mean OC in PCA subset\(^e\) | 3.78 | 2.90 | 3.36 | 3.65 | NA | NA |
| OM/OC          | 1.51                                   | 1.37    | 1.34             | 1.51        | 1.93        | 1.40        |
| N days for mean OC | 904 | 114 | 8 | 8 |
| N days in PCA subset | 516 | 109 | 8 | 8 |

\(^a\) Sample periods are May 10 - Jun 2, 2012 (MJ2012) and Nov 6 - Dec 4, 2012 (ND 2012)

\(^b\) MO-OOA (MO-), biomass burning OA (BBOA), isoprene OA (Isop), and LO-OOA (LO-)

\(^c\) Associated with K, Mg, Cl

\(^d\) Associated with Na

\(^e\) µg m\(^{-3}\)
Table S20new. Comparison of YRK PCA1 source apportionment to Xu et al. (2015a; b).\textsuperscript{a}

| PCA Factor          | OC from each PCA factor (\% of mean OC) | AMS OC (\%) | AMS OA (\%) |
|---------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                     | 2008-13 JJ 2012 DJ 2012 Unc AMS Factor\textsuperscript{b} | JJ 2012 DJ 2012 JJ 2012 DJ 2012 | JJ 2012 DJ 2012 JJ 2012 DJ 2012 |
| Combustion          | 5 4 2 14 14 MO- 25 42 30 49 |
| Metals\textsuperscript{c} | 21 20 11 27 2 |
| Salt\textsuperscript{d} | 7 5 2 11 7 BBOA 35 30 |
| Other\textsuperscript{e} | 6 7 7 8 5 |
| Sulfate             | 11 6 5 8 11 Isop 38 36 |
| Seasonal            | 42 46 47 27 5 LO- 37 23 34 22 |
| Crustal             | 6 6 11 6 4 |
| Fitted sum          | 97 95 84 102 |

| Mean OA\textsuperscript{f} | NA NA NA NA 11.2 3.23 |
| Mean OC\textsuperscript{f} | 2.33 2.36 3.06 1.78 5.66 1.73 |
| Mean OC in PCA subset\textsuperscript{f} | 2.40 2.35 2.97 1.78 NA NA |
| OM/OC                | 1.78 1.77 1.8 1.56 1.98 1.31 |
| N days for mean OC   | 585 119 9 11 |
| N days in subset     | 426 97 7 10 |

\textsuperscript{a} Sample periods are June 26 - July 20, 2012 (JJ2012) and December 5, 2012 - January 10, 2013 (DJ2012)

\textsuperscript{b} MO-OOA (MO-), biomass burning OA (BBOA), isoprene OA (Isop), and LO-OOA (LO-)

\textsuperscript{c} Associated with Cu

\textsuperscript{d} Associated with Na, Cl, Mg, K

\textsuperscript{e} Associated with Zn

\textsuperscript{f} \(\mu g\ m^{-3}\)
Figure S2new. Apportionment of EC trends from chemical mass balance (CMB) receptor model predictions compared with observed mean annual EC concentrations at SEARCH sites. Model predictions were extended to 2012 and 2013 by using model parameters previously fit to data from 2000 – 2011 (Blanchard et al., 2013) along with regional emissions from 2012 and 2013 (Hidy et al., 2014).
Figure S3: New. Apportionment of OC trends from chemical mass balance (CMB) receptor model predictions compared with observed mean annual OC concentrations at SEARCH sites. Model predictions were extended to 2012 and 2013 by using model parameters previously fit to data from 2000 – 2011 (Blanchard et al., 2013) along with regional emissions from 2012 and 2013 (Hidy et al., 2014).
Figure S4new. Ratio of OC/TC in daily-average PM$_{2.5}$ filter samples collected during 2013 vs. date. The highest OC/TC ratios occur at CTR during the SOAS campaign.