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**Abstract**

The objective of study is to investigate both the phylogenetic and identification of highly conserved domains of Clostridium perfringens genes (cpa, cpb, etx and iap) isolated from dairy cattle clinically infected with mastitis using bioinformatics approach to explore more information about (cpa, cpb, etx and iap) proteins and applying insilico analysis for designing potential B-epitope subunit vaccine against C. Perfringens type A in dairy cattle farms. Five isolates were confirmed to be C. Perfringens type A in a prevalence of 7.14% (5/70). The multiplex PCR revealed the presence of the CPA gene (α toxin) of a approximate size of 402 bp while the CPB gene (B toxin), ETX gene (ε toxin) and IAP gene (ιota toxin) went undetected. Two conserved domains regions in the nucleotide sequence of C. Perfringens type A (CPA) protein were detected Zn_dep_PLPC (Zinc dependent phospholipase C-alpha toxin) (63-356 bp) and Zn_dep_PLPC (Zinc dependent phospholipase C-alpha toxin) (69-356 bp). Phylogenetic analysis of CPA gene showed a high identity (99-99.5%) for C. perfringens type A ASM strain with C. perfringens type A strains present in GeneBank. The insilico study was used to identify peptide fragments from Alpha toxin of C. perfringens type A that can be efficiently used for the designing and development of B-epitope based vaccine. B-cell epitopes are predicted using integrated computational tools. IEDB server was used to predict B-cell epitopes on the basis of different essential parameters like antigenicity, allergenicity, surface accessibility and flexibility. Based on the results interpretation, the top peptide sequences were (SQKGTAG, NSQKGTIA, QKTGAY, KGTAYGI) obtained as potential B-cell epitopes.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Bovine mastitis is a major disease affecting dairy cattle worldwide. It remains a major global challenge to milk production even in the face of wide spread implementation of mastitis control strategies [1, 2]. Despite the significant advances in understanding the disease, both clinical and sub-clinical mastitis remain a problem in dairy herds. Mastitis is a global problem as it adversely affects animal health, quality of milk and economics of milk production and every country including developed ones suffer huge financial losses [3, 4]. Mastitis usually occurs primarily in response to intramammary bacterial infection, but also to intramammary mycoplasmal, fungal, or algal infections. The severity of the inflammation can be classified into sub-clinical, clinical and chronic forms, and its degree is dependent on the nature of the causative pathogen and on the age, breed, immunological health and lactation state of the animal. Mastitis can be caused by over 250 different contagious and environmental microorganisms such as Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus agalactiae (both of which are contagious), Escherichia coli, Streptococci and Enterococci. Several other pathogens have been isolated in mastitic cattle mammary glands. These include Actinomyces pyogenes, Clostridium perfringens and other organisms, such as Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Klebsiella pneumoniae and Pasteurella haemolytica, Brucella melitensis, Corynebacterium bovis, Enterobacter aerogenes, Klebsiella oxytoca, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Mycoplasma (various species), Proteus spp., Proteotheca wickerhamii (achlorophyllic alga), Protophoca zopfii (achlorophyllic algae), Pseudomonas aeruginosa [5]. Clostridium perfringens...
is an important pathogen known to cause disease in humans and animals [6].

*Clostridium perfringens* type A has been recorded as being associated with many bovine mastitis-related incidents [7]. *C. perfringens* has the potential to produce disease on its own or to predispose the udder to the disease caused by environmental pathogens [7]. Pathogenesis of *C. perfringens*-associated infections is largely attributed to the wide array of toxins this species can produce, with >20 exotoxins currently identified [8, 9]. The pathogenicity of this bacterium is largely attributable to its ability to produce a variety of virulence factors. These virulence factors include well-characterized pathogenic toxins and hydrolytic enzymes [10]. The virulence factors of *C. perfringens* can be classified functionally as membrane damaging enzymes, pore-forming toxins, intracellular toxins, and hydrolytic enzymes [9].

*C. perfringens* strains possess the gene encoding for phospholipase C (plc) (also referred to as alpha toxin α) in combination with differential expression of 3 major toxin-encoding genes (beta β, epsilon ε, and iota i) used to classify strains as toxinotypes A to E [11]. Toxin production varies among *C. perfringens* strains and is the basis for the classification system, which is based on the production of four major toxins, namely *CPA*, *CPB*, *ETX*, and *ITX* that divide *C. perfringens* strains into toxinotypes A to E [12]. The alpha toxin is the major pathogenicity factor in gas gangrene.

The coding gene (*cpa*) is chromosomally located, highly-conserved, and therefore present in all *C. perfringens* [13, 14]. The genes *cpb* (encoding CPB-toxin), *cpb2* (CPB2-toxin), *etx* (ETX-toxin), *ia/ib* (ITX-toxin), are located on large plasmids of variable size [15].

*CPA* is encoded by the *cpa* gene that is located on the chromosome and is produced by all strains of *C. perfringens*. **CPA** is an enzyme that breaks down phosphatidylcholine and sphingomyelin which damages membrane phospholipids and leads to the lysis of cells. **CPA** also cause hemolysis, activates the arachidonic cascade and inflammation cascade which induce vasoconstriction. **CPA** is the main toxin believed to cause gas gangrene, a disease involving extensive local tissue damage and necrosis [15].

Biometrics tools have enabled the capability of selecting potential epitopes without running the risks involved in cultivating the pathogens of interest. This kind of methodology represents a huge advantage over conventional vaccinology techniques, including faster outputs and lower costs. The concept of peptide vaccines is based on identification and chemical synthesis of B-cell and T-cell epitopes which are immunodominant and can induce specific immune responses. Well characterized protective epitopes designed from this protein can be a great help for offering consistant, cost effective and quality therapeutics against this pathogen [17].

The main aim of this study is phylogenetic and gene sequence analysis of *Clostridium perfringens* genes (*cpa, cpb, etx and iap*) isolated from clinical mastitis in cattle dairy farms and using bioinformatics approach to explore more information about (*cpa, cpb, etx and iap*) proteins and identification of highly conserved domains in *Clostridium perfringens* (*cpa, cpb, etx and iap*) genes sequences and applying insilico analyses for vaccine design determining potential vaccine targets against *C. Perfringens* type A in cattle dairy farms.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Milk samples and Case definition**

No. of 70 pooled milk samples were collected from 70 cows (each sample collected from 4 quarters of each cow) suffering from clinical mastitis (the most observed clinical manifestations were oedematous swelling of the affected quarter). The affected quarter was bluish-black in colour, with a clear line of demarcation between the necrotic gangrenous tissue and healthy tissue. The affected skin area was cold to the touch and tended to peel off, with oozing of a serous fluid, while the milk was usually bloody and watery. Samples were screened from 3 dairy farms in Giza, Qalubia, Sharkia. The lactating cows were clinically examined for the manifestations of general clinical signs associated with udder were done according to methods described by [18]. The milk samples were collected in accordance to standards milk sampling methods described by [19].

**Isolation and identification of C. perfringens**

The randomly collected milk samples were centrifuged at 3,000 revolutions per minute for 20 min. The cream and supernatant were discarded, and then the sediment of each milk sample was inoculated into cooked meat broth (CMB). The samples were cultured aerobically and anaerobically, as described. After an overnight anaerobic incubation at 37°C, using anaerobic jars containing 95% H2 and 5% CO2, a loopful was streaked onto sheep blood agar plates, containing 150 μg/ml neomycin sulphate, and incubated aerobically at 37°C for a further 24 h [20]. Suspected pure colonies were identified according to morphological characters using Gram’s stain, hemolysis on blood agar (double
zone), lecithinase activity and biochemically using methods described by [21]. Nagler’s reaction and toxin-antitoxin test was performed according to methods described by [22]. Mice lethality test was carried out according to methods described by [23]. Dermonecrotic test was carried out according to methods described by [24].

### Molecular identification by Multiplex PCR

DNA extraction. DNA extraction from samples was performed using the QIAamp DNA Mini kit (Qiagen, Germany, GmbH) with modifications from the manufacturer’s recommendations. Briefly, 200 µl of the sample suspension was incubated with 10 µl of proteinase K and 200 µl of lysis buffer at 56°C for 10 min. After incubation, 200 µl of 100% ethanol was added to the lysate. The sample was then washed and centrifuged following the manufacturer’s recommendations. Nucleic acid was eluted with 100 µl of elution buffer provided in the kit.

### Oligonucleotide Primers

Primers used were supplied from Metabion (Germany) are listed in table (1) [25].

| Target gene | Primers sequences (F-3') | Amplified segment (bp) | Secondary domain | Annealing | Extension | Final extension |
|-------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|
| Alpha toxin | GTGATAGGCAGCAGATAATG1AAG | 402                    | 94°C            | 5 min.    | 94°C      | 4°C           | 72°C          | 72°C 5 min. |
| Beta toxin  | CA5ATGAGCATCTG1CCAGCAATC | 236                    | 94°C 30 sec.    | 40°C      | 72°C      | 72°C 10 min.  |
| Epsilon toxin | ACAATGCACTACATCTACATCTC   | 541                    |                 |           |           |               |
| Iota toxin  | GGATATCCACAGCCACACATATGTC | 317                    |                 |           |           |               |

### Multiplex PCR amplification

Primers were utilized in a 50-µl reaction containing 25 µl of EmeraldAmp Max PCR Master Mix (Takara, Japan), 1 µl of each primer of 20 pmol concentrations, 11 µl of water, and 6 µl of DNA template. The reaction was performed in an applied biosystem 2720 thermal cycler.

### Analysis of the PCR Products

The products of PCR were separated by electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gel (Applichem, Germany, GmbH) in 1x TBE buffer at room temperature using gradients of 5V/cm. For gel analysis, 40 µl of the products was loaded in each gel slot. A gelpilot 100 bp ladder (Qiagen, Gmbh, Germany) was used to determine the fragment sizes. The gel was photographed by a gel documentation system (Alpha Innotech, Biometra) and the data was analyzed through computer software.

### Phylogenetic, amino acids and nucleotide sequence analysis of CPA gene of *C. Perfringens*

PCR products were purified using QIAquick PCR Product extraction kit. (Qiagen, Valencia). Bigdyne Terminator V3.1 cycle sequencing kit (Perkin-Elmer) was used for the sequence reaction and then it was purified using Centriscip spin column. DNA sequences were obtained by Applied Biosystems 3130 genetic analyzer (HITACHI, Japan), a BLAST® analysis (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) [26] was initially performed to establish sequence identity to GenBank accessions. The phylogenetic tree was created by the MegAlign module of LasergeneDNASTar version 12.1 [27] and Phylogenetic analyses was done using neighbour joining in MEGA6 [28].

### Conserved Domain analysis of CPA protein sequence

NCBI Search Tool was performed conserved domain analysis of the CPA protein sequence. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi?RID=BUR8F8WK01R&mode=all.

### The physico-chemical parameters

Expasy Prot Param (http://us.expasy.org/tools/protparam.html/) was used for evaluation of physico-chemical parameters of CPA protein as molecular weight, extinction coefficient, half-life, instability index, theoretical isoelectric point (pI), grand average of hydrophathy (GRAVY) and total number of positive and negative residues.

### Secondary and tertiary structure prediction

Garnier-Osguthorpe-Robson (GOR) secondary structure prediction server (https://npsa-prabi.ibcp.fr/cgi-bin/secpre_dor4.pl) was used for prediction of CPA protein secondary structure. 2D topology model of CPA was predicted by TMBBPred server (http://bioinformatics.biol.oua.gr/PRED-TMBB/). Swiss-model on line software (https://swissmodel.expasy.org/interactive/GZWxdt/models/) was used for modeling the three-dimensional structure of CPA protein. Ramachandran plot in Swiss-model was used to validate the modeled structure to see
the quality of the resulting stereochemical of structure of CPA protein.

**Antigenic protein identification**

Assessment of protein and proposed epitopes antigenicity was carried out according to VaxiJenv 2.0 server (http://www.ddg-pharmfac.net/vaxijen/) [29].

**Assessment of protein Allergenicity**

The allergenicity of protein sequences and proposed epitopes for vaccine development was carried out according to AllerTOP (http://www.ddg-pharmfac.net/AllerTop/) [30].

**B-cell epitope identification**

Was carried out using IEDB tools (http://tools.iedb.org/main/). IEDB tools were utilized to predict B-cell epitopes were identified on the basis of parameters like antigenicity, flexibility, hydrophilicity, prediction of linear epitope and accessibility of surface. BepiPredlinear epitope prediction analysis [31], Kolaskar and Tongaonkar antigenicity scale was applied according to methods described by [32], Emini surface accessibility prediction was conducted according to methods described by [33], Chou and Fasman Beta-Turn Prediction method was performed according to method described by [34], Karplus and Schulz flexibility prediction were carried out according to methods described by [35] and hydrophilicity were identified according to methods described by [36].

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Five *C. perfringens* type A strains were recovered from 70 clinical mastitic cattle and confirmed phynotypically in prevalence of (5/70, 7.14%). All suspected *C. perfringens* strains were identified for their biochemical reactions. The results employing Nagler’s reaction, toxin-antitoxin test, Mice lethality test, all the five tested *C. perfringens* isolates were recorded as toxigenic. Using the dermo-necrotic test in guinea pigs to type the *C. perfringens* strains revealed in all the five *C. perfringens* isolates were identified as *C. perfringens* type A (100%). Our results agree with [7].

The multiplex PCR amplification of *C. perfringens* *cpa, cpb, etx and iap* genes using specific primer sequences revealed an approximate size of 402 bp (Fig.1). *Cpa* (α toxin) gene was found in 5 (100%) isolates. *perfringens* type A. The multiplex PCR detected the (α /cpa) gene while the (B /cpb) ,(ε/etx) and *iap* genes went undetected. *C. perfringens* has the potential to produce cattle gangerous mastitis on its own or to predispose intramammary infections caused by major mastitis and environmental pathogens, our results agree with [7].

![Fig.1: Agarose gel showing multiplex polymerase chain reaction (MPCR) amplified product of 402 bp of CPA virulence gene for C. Perfringens type A, lanes (1, 2, 3, 4, 6): samples positive for CPA gene, lane (5): samples negative for CPA gene, Lane (pos.): positive control, Lane (Neg.): negative control, Lane (L): MW 100bp ladder (DNA marker)](image-url)

Putative conserved domains of CPA gene of *C. perfringens* type A have been detected (Fig. 2). Most of the sequences belonged to ZnPC_SIPI super family Protein. The two conserved domains region in the nucleotide sequence are Zn_dep_PLPC (Zinc dependent phospholipase C-alpha toxin) (63-356 bp) and Zn_dep_PLPC (Zinc dependent phospholipase C-alpha toxin) (69-356 bp).
Phylogenetic and partial gene sequence analysis of CPA gene of C. perfringens type A that was generated using neighbor joining in MEGA6 (Fig. 3, 4), showed clear clustering and (99-99.5%) identity with MH900556 C. perfringens CP400, GQ071566 C. perfringens LF_4a, AU605736 C. perfringens 22, DQ184152 C. perfringens NRRLB-2351, DQ184134 C. perfringens NRRLB-2351, DQ184130 C. perfringens NRRLB-2350.

Fig-2: The 2 conserved domains region in the nucleotide sequence are Zn_dcp_PLPC (Zinc dependent phospholipase C-alpha toxin) (63-356 bp) and Zn_dcp_PLPC (Zinc dependent phospholipase C-alpha toxin) (69-356 bp)

Fig-3: Phylogenetic tree of CPA virulence gene for C. Perfringens type A and partial nucleotide sequences that was generated using neighbor joining in MEGA6. It showed clear clustering of the Egyptian isolated strain and different C. Perfringens type strains uploaded from GenBank
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Conventional techniques for vaccine development are laborious and time consuming. As a result, computational methods [17, 37] for predicting epitopes have attracted attention of the researchers to reduce the cost and time of vaccine development to fight with the rapidly growing devastating organisms. Current immuno-informatics tools are able to predict B-cell and T-cell epitopes with high accuracy. These tools are playing a vital role in understanding the molecular basis of immunity and, notably in the development of epitope based-peptide vaccines [38]. In comparison to the conventional vaccines, peptide or epitope based vaccines are also easy to develop, chemically stable, more specific, and free of any infectious or oncogenic potential hazard [39].
Physical and chemical properties of the selected sequence of CPA protein using Expasy ProtParam software were predicted. CPA consists of 7 negatively charged residues (Asp+Glu), and 5 positively charged residues (Arg+Lys). Parameters such as molecular weight (6136.76), theoretical pI (isoelectric point) (5.39), instability index (16.67), aliphatic index (thermal stability) (70.91), and grand average of hydropathicity (-0.491) which indicate the solubility of the proteins (GRAVY) are summarized in (Table 2). When we were analyzed the physico-chemical parameters of our CPA gene by Expasy ProtParam software, the pI value (PI value 5.39) amino acids are acidic in nature. Expasy ProtParam classifies the CPA protein as stable on the basis of instability index (instability index, 16.67). Extinction coefficient of CPA protein at 280 nm was 16,960 /M/cm (high). The biocomputed half-life was 30 hours. Aliphatic index and Grand average of hydropathicity (GRAVY) of CPA protein were 70.91 and -0.491, respectively.

| Table 2: Physico-chemical parameters of C. Perfringens type A (CPA) |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Number of amino acids    | 55              |                 |
| Molecular weight         | 6136.76         |                 |
| Theoretical pI            | 5.39            |                 |
| Amino acid composition    |                 |                 |
| Ala (A)                   | 5               | 9.1%            |
| Arg (R)                   | 1               | 1.85%           |
| Asn (N)                   | 3               | 5.5%            |
| Asp (D)                   | 5               | 9.1%            |
| Cys (C)                   | 0               | 0%              |
| Gln (Q)                   | 1               | 1.8%            |
| Glu (E)                   | 2               | 3.6%            |
| Gly (G)                   | 4               | 7.3%            |
| His (H)                   | 2               | 3.6%            |
| Ile (I)                   | 2               | 3.6%            |
| Leu (L)                   | 3               | 5.5%            |
| Lys (K)                   | 4               | 7.3%            |
| Met (M)                   | 1               | 1.8%            |
| Phe (F)                   | 1               | 1.8%            |
| Pro (P)                   | 1               | 1.8%            |
| Ser (S)                   | 6               | 10.9%           |
| Thr (T)                   | 3               | 5.5%            |
| Trp (W)                   | 2               | 3.6%            |
| Tyr (Y)                   | 4               | 7.3%            |
| Val (V)                   | 5               | 9.1%            |
| Pyl (O)                   | 0               | 0%              |
| Sec (U)                   | 0               | 0%              |
| Total number of negatively charged residues (Asp +Glu) | 7 |
| Total number of positively charged residues (Arg +Lys) | 5 |
| Atomic Composition        |                 |                 |
| Carbon (C)                | 274             |                 |
| Hydrogen (H)              | 410             |                 |
| Nitrogen (N)              | 72              |                 |
| Oxygen (O)                | 87              |                 |
| Sulfur (S)                | 1               |                 |
| Formula/Total number of atoms | C_{274}H_{410}N_{72}O_{87}S_{1} |
| Extinction coefficients at 280 nm measured in water | 16960 |
| Estimated half-life       | 30 hours (mammalian reticulocytes, in vitro). |
|                          | >20 hours (yeast, in vivo). |
|                          | >10 hours (Escherichia coli, in vivo). |
| Instability index         | This classifies the protein as stable. |
|                          | The instability index (II) is computed to be 16.67 |
| Aliphatic index/Grand average of hydropathicity (GRAVY) | 70.91/-0.491 |

The secondary structure prediction of the CPA protein was 16.36 % alpha helix, 27.27% extended strand, beta turn (0.00%) and 56.36% random coil (Fig. 5a). Spoctopus servers were applied for determining membrane protein topology. No transmembrane helix found in CPA selected sequence (Fig. 5b). These results proved that our selected protein can be cloned in E.Coli host or any other bacterial host.
Fig-5a: Graphical results for secondary structure prediction of CPA protein composition was 16.36 % alpha helix, 27.27% extended strand, beta turn (0.00%) and 56.36% random coil. (Purple, red, and blue colors indicate extended strand, coil, and helix, respectively)

Fig-5b: SPOCTOPUS servers were applied for determining membrane protein topology. No transmembrane helix found in CPA selected sequence.

Swiss model was recruited for homology modeling prepared 3 different models (Fig. 6a). The identity score was 100%. Our 3D structure revealed a protein with full stability based on Ramachandran plot predictions. The 3D model validity was evaluated by Ramachandran blot (Fig. 6b) where it was seen 98.11% of the residues are in favoured region, and 1.89% of the residues were in allowed region of the plot and 0.0% of residue in outlier region. The more number of residues in favoured region the more stable is the protein [40]. QMEAN is a composite scoring function for the estimation of the global and local model quality (Fig. 6c). The protein model has a Z-score of -1.78, this value denote higher quality of the model. GMQE (The global quality estimation of the model) is 0.98. GMQE is a quality estimation which combines properties from the target–template alignment and the template search method. The resulting GMQE score is expressed as a number between 0 and 1, reflecting the expected accuracy of a model built with that alignment and template and the coverage of the target. The QMEAN Z-score in the figure provides an estimate of the “degree of nativeness” of the structural features observed in the model on a global scale. QMEAN Z-scores around zero indicate good agreement between the model structure and experimental structures of similar size. Scores of -4.0 or below are an indication of models with low quality. The “Local Quality” plot (Fig. 6c) shows, for each residue of the model (reported on the x-axis), the expected similarity to the native structure (y-axis). Typically, residues showing a score above 0.6 are expected to be of high quality.
Fig-6a: The final 3D structure model of CPA (phospholipase C- protein) obtained after homology modeling by Swiss-Model

Fig-6b: Validation of protein structure using Ramachandran plot. The Ramachandran plot shows that 98.11% of amino acid residues from modeled structure were incorporated in the favored regions of the plot. 1.89% of the residues were in allowed regions of the plot and 0.0% of residue in outlier region

Fig-6c: Model validations. Both global and local estimation of the quality of the obtained model are reasonable. QMEAN is a composite scoring function for the estimation of the global and local model quality. The score of a model is also shown in relation to a set of high-resolution PDB structures (Z-score). Our model has a Z-score of -1.78 which referred to higher quality of the model

VaxiJen server was used to predict antigenic properties of the protein. The threshold value for those models provided by VaxiJen server was 0.4. The server predicted CPA protein of C. perferingens type A as antigenic having an overall prediction score of 0.8. The overall prediction score of predicted top 4 B-cell Epitopes were antigenic (more than 0.4) (Table 3). In silico analysis revealed that all selected protein sequence and all 4 predicted top B-cell epitopes in this study were non-allergenic in nature. Allergenicity is one of the prominent obstacles in vaccine development since today most vaccines stimulate the immune system into an "allergic" reaction [17]. Allergenicity prediction of selected protein sequence of all 4 predicted top B-cell epitopes was done using Allertop (Table 3) and it was predicted that there were no evidences about the specific peptide sequences arousing any allergic reactions into the host body. This confirmed our selected conserved sequence CPA protein and peptides to be potentially immunogenic.

| Epitope sequence | Residue | Start | End | Vaxijen Score | Allertop |
|------------------|---------|-------|-----|---------------|----------|
| SQKGTAG          | G       | 19    | 25  | 2.5           | Non Allergen |
| NSQKGTAK        | K       | 18    | 24  | 1.97          | Non Allergen |
| QGTKTAGY        | T       | 20    | 26  | 1.59          | Non Allergen |
| KGTAGY1         | A       | 21    | 27  | 1.26          | Non Allergen |
In order to predict a peptide that can be used in vaccine development to prevent *C. perfringens* type A infection in cattle dairy farms, this study focused on CPA protein of *C. perfringens* type A. Multiple prediction methods were applied to determine a potential B-cell epitope considering several criteria like Bepipred Linear Epitope Prediction (Fig. 11), antigenicity (Fig. 7), beta turn prediction (Fig. 9), allergenicity, surface accessibility (Fig. 8), hydrophilicity (Fig. 12) and flexibility (Fig. 10). The proposed four epitopes (SQKGTAG, NSQKGTA, QKGTAGY, KGTA1) have met all the criteria of the above B-cell prediction methods.

![Fig 7](image1.png)  
**Fig 7: Graph obtained from Kolaskar and Tongaonkar Antigenicity method**

![Fig 8](image2.png)  
**Fig 8: Graph obtained from Emini Surface Accessibility Prediction Results**

![Fig 9](image3.png)  
**Fig 9: Graph obtained from Chou & Fasman Beta-Turn Prediction method**

![Fig 10](image4.png)  
**Fig 10: Graph obtained from Karplus & Schulz Flexibility Prediction method**
Fig-11: Graph obtained from Bepipred Linear Epitope Prediction 2.0 through IDEB tool

Fig-12: Graph obtained from Parker Hydrophilicity Prediction
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