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Aim: To assess the impact of the Summit on Financial Markets and the World Economy held in Osaka City, Japan (G20 Osaka Summit) on the emergency medical services (EMS) system.

Methods: This study used the ORION database with its population-based registry of emergency patients comprising both ambulance and in-hospital records in Osaka Prefecture, Japan. The G20 Osaka Summit was held in Osaka City from 28 to 29 June, 2019. Changes in the EMS system and traffic regulations in Osaka were made during the period from 27 to 30 June, but we focused on the two summit days as the G20 period. The control periods comprised the same calendar days 1 week before and 1 week after the G20 period. We evaluated differences in the number of emergency transports, difficulties in obtaining hospital acceptance of patients, deaths among hospitalized emergency patients, and ambulance transport times between the two periods.

Results: In total, 2,590 cases in the G20 period and 5,152 cases in the control periods were registered. The relative risk of cases during the G20 versus control periods was 1.01 (0.96–1.05). Significant decreases were observed in the number of traffic accidents as ambulance calls (relative risk = 0.77; 95% confidence interval, 0.64–0.91). There were no significant differences in difficulties in obtaining hospital acceptance or deaths among hospitalized emergency patients between the G20 and control periods. In addition, ambulance transport times during the G20 period were not significantly longer than those in the control periods.

Conclusion: The G20 Osaka Summit did not adversely impact the provision of emergency medical care in the Osaka area.
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INTRODUCTION

Mass gatherings often require changes to the emergency medical service (EMS) system and traffic regulations and may adversely affect the provision of emergency medical care (EMC) in the affected area.1 For example, a previous study in the United States reported that major marathon dates delayed ambulance scene-to-hospital transport times, and the mortality of patients hospitalized for acute myocardial infarction (AMI) or cardiac arrest increased.2

International conferences at which leaders from different countries gather in the same place also require changes to the EMS system and strict traffic regulations as a part of the security measures. However, no studies, to our knowledge, have focused on the impact of these actions on the provision of EMC.
methods

This study used the database of the population-based registry of emergency patients that comprises both ambulance and in-hospital records managed by the Osaka emergency information Research Intelligent Operation Network (ORION) system, which is operated by Osaka Prefecture and covers all patients transported to critical care centers and emergency hospitals in Osaka Prefecture, Japan. Details of the ORION database and Osaka EMS system were described previously. This study enrolled emergency patients transported to medical institutions by ambulance, except for those transported between hospitals. In 2019, the G20 Osaka Summit was held in Osaka City from 28 to 29 June. Changes in the EMS system and traffic regulations in Osaka Prefecture were applied during the period from 27 to 30 June, but we focused on the two summit days as the G20 period.

The outcome measures were the number of emergency transports, difficulties in obtaining hospital acceptance (defined as ambulance crews having to make five or more phone calls to hospitals before obtaining hospital acceptance of the patient), deaths among hospitalized emergency patients at 21 days after hospitalization, and ambulance time courses, such as the time intervals from ambulance call to arrival on scene, from arrival on scene to hospital arrival, and from ambulance call to hospital arrival.

On the basis of previous studies, we used the double-control method, which allows for near-perfect temporal symmetry between cases and controls and does not create a time imbalance inside each pair, to assess the differences in emergency transport, difficulties in obtaining hospital acceptance, and deaths among hospitalized emergency patients during the G20 and control periods. In accordance with this method, the following two periods were identified: the exposure period occurring on the event dates and the control periods occurring during the same calendar days 1 week before and 1 week after the event dates. We defined the G20 period to be from 28 to 29 June and the control periods to be 21–22 June and 5–6 July. In addition, we focused on the overall area (Osaka City and other cities). In the subgroup analysis, we divided the subjects according to the reason for the ambulance call (traffic accidents, acute diseases, and others).

To assess the influence of the G20 Osaka Summit on urgent conditions, we also analyzed those patients whose diagnosis at hospital arrival was AMI (I21–I23) or stroke (I60–I64) (International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision codes). Considering the period of changes in the EMS system and traffic regulations in Osaka Prefecture, we also assessed outcomes over 4 days as a sensitivity analysis (the G20 period, 27–30 June; control periods, 20–23 June and 4–7 July).

To assess the differences in the numbers of transports, difficulties in obtaining hospital acceptance, and deaths among hospitalized emergency patients between the G20 and control periods, we calculated relative risks (RRs) and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) under the assumption that they followed common Poisson distribution. Details of the calculation method were described previously. Differences in the time intervals between the G20 and control periods were assessed using an unpaired t-test. All of the tests were two-tailed, and \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were implemented using Stata version 14.0MP (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

results

In total, 2,590 cases in the G20 period and 5,152 cases in the control periods were registered. The RR of all cases during the G20 period versus the control periods was 1.01 (0.96–1.05) (Table 1). Significant decreases were observed in traffic accidents as ambulance calls (RR = 0.77; 95% CI, 0.64–0.91). There were no significant differences in difficulties in obtaining hospital acceptance or deaths among hospitalized emergency patients between the G20 and control periods. Especially in other areas except Osaka City, significant decreases were observed in the number of traffic accidents as ambulance calls (RR = 0.74; 95% CI, 0.60–0.91).

Table 2 shows ambulance time courses during the G20 and control periods. Overall, the time interval from ambulance call to arrival on scene was approximately 7.7 min, and the time interval from ambulance call to hospital arrival was approximately 34.8 min. Although the time interval from ambulance call to hospital arrival shortened in other cities, the time courses were not significantly longer during the G20 period than those during the control periods.

Table 3 shows the number of cases and time intervals for patients whose diagnoses at hospital arrival were AMI or stroke between the G20 and control periods. Compared with the control period, the RRs of the G20 period were 1.19 (95% CI, 0.64–2.21) for AMI and 0.96 (95% CI, 0.72–1.28) for stroke. There were no significant differences in time courses between the groups irrespective of cities.
In addition, as shown in Tables S1–S3, results of outcomes measured over 4 days were almost the same as those measured over 2 days.

**DISCUSSION**

THE PRESENT STUDY showed no significant deteriorations in ambulance time courses, difficulties in obtaining hospital acceptance, and deaths among hospitalized emergency patients during the G20 period compared with the control periods, and the G20 Osaka Summit had no apparent adverse impacts on the provision of EMC. The impact of mass gatherings on health services has been insufficiently evaluated, and the ORION database, which covers approximately 8.8 million people, enabled us to evaluate the influence of a large-scale international conference on the EMS system. This is the first such evaluation, and our findings could provide helpful clues for improving health services at mass gatherings.

This study did not find that the changes made to the EMS system and traffic regulations adversely affected the provision of EMC. In addition, we did not observe delays in ambulance time courses or increases in the number of deaths among hospitalized emergency patients whose diagnoses at hospital arrival were AMI or stroke that especially required emergency procedures. A study in 2017 in the United States focusing on large marathons showed that those hospitalized for AMI or cardiac arrest on the marathon dates had longer ambulance transport times (4.4 min longer) and higher 30-day mortality than those hospitalized on non-marathon dates, a finding that was inconsistent with ours. Although the definitive reason for this difference is unclear, it might be explained by the thorough proactive measures taken before the G20 Osaka Summit. With the aim of reducing the traffic volume by 50% during the G20 Osaka Summit, the Osaka prefectural government office and police agency thoroughly notified the public of the alterations in the traffic regulations in advance and asked for their cooperation to reduce traffic volumes. As a result, the traffic volume was reduced by 51.2% and the number of traffic accidents as ambulance calls dropped by 23%, as indicated in this study. Decreases in the number of traffic accidents and shorter hospital arrival times were observed in other areas except Osaka City. Many workers might have hesitated to commute by car to Osaka City, and the decreased traffic volume might have led to the shortening of hospital arrival times. Importantly, various proactive

### Table 1. Ambulance calls during the Summit on Financial Markets and the World Economy (G20 Summit: 2 days) in Osaka, Japan, 2019

| Reason for ambulance call                                  | G20 period | Control periods | RR    | 95% CI      | P-value |
|------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-----------------|-------|-------------|---------|
| Total                                                      | 2,590      | 5,152           | 1.01  | (0.96–1.05) | 0.819   |
| Osaka City                                                 | 1,019      | 1,970           | 1.03  | (0.96–1.12) | 0.378   |
| Other cities                                               | 1,571      | 3,182           | 0.99  | (0.93–1.05) | 0.683   |
| Traffic accidents                                           | 176        | 460             | 0.77  | (0.64–0.91) | 0.003   |
| Osaka City                                                 | 61         | 148             | 0.83  | (0.61–1.12) | 0.215   |
| Other cities                                               | 115        | 312             | 0.74  | (0.60–0.91) | 0.005   |
| Acute diseases                                             | 1,908      | 3,692           | 1.03  | (0.98–1.09) | 0.241   |
| Osaka City                                                 | 765        | 1,471           | 1.04  | (0.95–1.14) | 0.378   |
| Other cities                                               | 1,143      | 2,221           | 1.03  | (0.96–1.11) | 0.426   |
| Others                                                     | 506        | 1,000           | 1.01  | (0.91–1.13) | 0.826   |
| Osaka City                                                 | 193        | 351             | 1.10  | (0.92–1.31) | 0.280   |
| Other cities                                               | 313        | 649             | 0.96  | (0.84–1.10) | 0.602   |
| Number of difficulties in obtaining hospital acceptance     | 61         | 96              | 1.28  | (0.93–1.76) | 0.134   |
| Osaka City                                                 | 39         | 62              | 1.26  | (0.85–1.89) | 0.252   |
| Other cities                                               | 22         | 34              | 1.30  | (0.76–2.23) | 0.333   |
| Number of deaths among hospitalized emergency patients      | 60         | 103             | 1.19  | (0.86–1.63) | 0.295   |
| transported by ambulance                                   | Osaka City | 23              | 47    | 1.00        | (0.61–1.64) | 0.991   |
| Other cities                                               | 37         | 56              | 1.34  | (0.89–2.03) | 0.164   |

G20 period, 28–29 June, 2019; control periods, 21–22 June and 5–6 July, 2019. CI, confidence interval; RR, relative risk.
measures, including changes in the EMS system, were taken by governments, fire departments, and hospitals in Osaka. However, the changes to the EMS system and strict traffic regulations did not adversely affect ambulance time courses, difficulties in obtaining hospital acceptance, or deaths among hospitalized emergency patients.
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### Table 2. Ambulance time courses during the Summit on Financial Markets and the World Economy (G20 Summit: 2 days) in Osaka, Japan, 2019

| Reason for ambulance call: Total | G20 period Mean ± SD | Control periods Mean ± SD | P-value |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Time interval from ambulance call to arrival on scene (min) | 7.69 ± 2.54 | 7.75 ± 2.66 | 0.294 |
| Osaka City | 7.73 ± 2.57 | 7.74 ± 2.59 | 0.928 |
| Other cities | 7.66 ± 2.51 | 7.76 ± 2.70 | 0.206 |
| Time interval from arrival on scene to hospital arrival (min) | 26.93 ± 11.41 | 27.18 ± 11.52 | 0.352 |
| Osaka City | 26.97 ± 12.83 | 26.63 ± 12.59 | 0.492 |
| Other cities | 26.90 ± 10.39 | 27.53 ± 10.79 | 0.057 |
| Time interval from ambulance call to hospital arrival (min) | 34.61 ± 11.91 | 34.94 ± 12.07 | 0.263 |
| Osaka City | 34.70 ± 13.27 | 34.37 ± 13.05 | 0.519 |
| Other cities | 34.56 ± 10.94 | 35.29 ± 11.41 | 0.036 |

| Reason for ambulance call: Traffic accidents | G20 period Mean ± SD | Control periods Mean ± SD | P-value |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Time interval from ambulance call to arrival on scene (min) | 7.97 ± 3.12 | 7.88 ± 3.05 | 0.748 |
| Osaka City | 8.13 ± 3.23 | 8.01 ± 3.67 | 0.818 |
| Other cities | 7.88 ± 3.07 | 7.82 ± 2.71 | 0.843 |
| Time interval from arrival on scene to hospital arrival (min) | 26.66 ± 11.22 | 27.29 ± 11.36 | 0.534 |
| Osaka City | 27.77 ± 13.37 | 26.18 ± 13.27 | 0.432 |
| Other cities | 26.08 ± 9.91 | 27.82 ± 10.32 | 0.119 |
| Time interval from ambulance call to hospital arrival (min) | 34.63 ± 11.62 | 35.17 ± 11.91 | 0.609 |
| Osaka City | 35.90 ± 13.08 | 34.18 ± 13.60 | 0.402 |
| Other cities | 33.96 ± 10.77 | 35.63 ± 11.01 | 0.161 |

| Reason for ambulance call: Acute diseases | G20 period Mean ± SD | Control periods Mean ± SD | P-value |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Time interval from ambulance call to arrival on scene (min) | 7.66 ± 2.42 | 7.69 ± 2.58 | 0.639 |
| Osaka City | 7.69 ± 2.43 | 7.68 ± 2.44 | 0.953 |
| Other cities | 7.64 ± 2.41 | 7.70 ± 2.67 | 0.524 |
| Time interval from arrival on scene to hospital arrival (min) | 26.32 ± 10.86 | 26.55 ± 10.94 | 0.446 |
| Osaka City | 25.82 ± 11.77 | 25.63 ± 11.24 | 0.718 |
| Other cities | 26.66 ± 10.21 | 27.17 ± 10.70 | 0.185 |
| Time interval from ambulance call to hospital arrival (min) | 33.98 ± 11.36 | 34.25 ± 11.53 | 0.407 |
| Osaka City | 33.50 ± 12.24 | 33.31 ± 11.80 | 0.721 |
| Other cities | 34.30 ± 10.71 | 34.86 ± 11.30 | 0.160 |

| Reason for ambulance call: Others | G20 period Mean ± SD | Control periods Mean ± SD | P-value |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Time interval from ambulance call to arrival on scene (min) | 7.70 ± 2.73 | 7.93 ± 2.73 | 0.132 |
| Osaka City | 7.78 ± 2.86 | 7.87 ± 2.67 | 0.691 |
| Other cities | 7.66 ± 2.66 | 7.96 ± 2.76 | 0.112 |
| Time interval from arrival on scene to hospital arrival (min) | 29.30 ± 13.06 | 29.45 ± 13.25 | 0.830 |
| Osaka City | 31.27 ± 15.53 | 31.01 ± 16.21 | 0.854 |
| Other cities | 28.08 ± 11.12 | 28.61 ± 11.26 | 0.492 |
| Time interval from ambulance call to hospital arrival (min) | 37.00 ± 13.64 | 37.38 ± 13.69 | 0.612 |
| Osaka City | 39.05 ± 16.07 | 38.88 ± 16.46 | 0.910 |
| Other cities | 35.74 ± 11.74 | 36.57 ± 11.87 | 0.309 |

G20 period, 28–29 June, 2019; control periods, 21–22 June and 5–6 July, 2019. SD, standard deviation.
If a mass gathering such as the G20 summit had caused negative impacts on the provision of EMC, it would be necessary for us to reconsider the allocation of emergency medical resources, such as by increasing the number of ambulances and medical staff. However, our results showed that by carefully enacting proactive measures for mass gatherings, the provision of EMC can remain effective. As of April 2021, the preparation of EMS and disaster medical response system for the 2020 Tokyo Olympic and Paralympic Games (due to start 23 July, 2021) has been steadily underway, and our results suggested that careful measures, like those implemented for the G20 Osaka Summit, would help maintain the EMS system during mass gatherings such as the Olympics.

The present study has some limitations. First, details of the changes made to the EMS system during the G20 period in Osaka Prefecture were not available because they have not been disclosed due to national security interests. Second, our results might not be generalized to other countries because this study focused solely on the G20 Summit in Osaka, Japan.

CONCLUSION

The G20 Summit held in Osaka did not adversely affect the provision of EMC. Our results could be helpful as fundamental material for improving health services during mass gatherings.
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