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Abstract

AIM: Object of this study is to determine effectiveness of this procedure used in this study which is minimally invasive non endoscopic correction for sagittal craniosynostosis in children below 6 months.

METHODS: A prospective study was done in Neurosurgery children unit, Abu Elreash Japanese children hospital, Cairo university, Egypt, for patients with non syndromatic sagittal craniosynostosis. The variables analyzed; OFD, BPD, CI pre. & postoperative, age of patients at time of surgery, sex, duration of surgery and length of hospital stay.

RESULTS: Our results shows statistically significant difference between pre-operative with post-operative after 2 days, post-operative follow-up, and follow-up after 1 year for OFD, BPD and CI.

CONCLUSIONS: Internal helmet technique used in correction of sagittal synostosis in children below 6 months old giving good cosmetic results with the following advantages, (1) short surgery time, (2) small wound, (3) no much blood loss, (4) immediate good cosmetic result, (5) no need to use external devices which reduces the cost, family load, and child suffering, and (6) no need for long-term follow-up.

Introduction

Sagittal synostosis is the most prevalent type of craniosynostosis with an incidence of 1 in 4200 births [1]. Premature closure of the sagittal suture is associated with the poor esthetics of an elongated head shape with frontal and occipital bossing, and has been associated with increased intracranial pressure [2], disruption in blood flow [3], and poor neurologic development. Early treatment of synostosis allows for correction of cosmetic deformities and may have a positive impact on neurological development. The earliest surgical techniques described for the treatment of sagittal synostosis involved removal of fused suture and were performed by Lannelongue [4] followed by Lane in the 1890s. These early approaches, focused on removing the suture, had a high level of failure [5], and soon gave way to more extensive cranial vault remodeling CVR techniques, but there was a rise of massive intraoperative blood loss and long duration of surgery as in open technique, sometimes unacceptable results, and use of post-operative helmet which is irritating to child and parents and costly with long-term follow-up, also spring mediated cranioplasty and external distractor use which have the problems of external device coming out of child’s head.

Then, minimally invasive techniques have been used with and without endoscope giving variable cosmetic results and some improvement in both frontal and occipital bossing, with different degrees of recurrence.

Methods

Patient variables

A prospective study done in Neurosurgery children unit, Abu El Reesh Japanese Children hospital, Cairo University, conducted on 30 patients from 2018 to 2020 all are surgically operated by professor Dr. Khaled Bassim with his assistant Dr. Ayman Farghal using minimally invasive technique, patients clinical assessment was done with comparing the following variables; occipitofrontal diameter (OFD), biparietal diameter (BPD), cephalic index (CI), hemoglobin (HGB), and both pre-operative and post-operative, other variables include patients age at surgery, sex, and duration of surgery. Patients followed after surgery for 12 months and 18 cases followed for periods up to 17 months.
Surgical techniques

• Following induction of general anesthesia, orotracheal intubation was performed with use of supine semi-setting position. A pre-operative 2nd generation cephalosporin given IV 1 h before surgery.
• 3–4 cm skin incision centered over middle one-third of sagittal suture (Figures 1 and 2a), removal of middle one-third of sagittal suture (by applying burr hole then expanding), dissecting dural all over, especially at coronal and lambdoid sutures (important step to prevent dural tear and it’s complication), radial cuts were done on both sides of parietal bone anteriorly crossing coronal suture, and also posteriorly on both sides of the parietal bone Figure 2b (four blue arrows). Then, a piece meal removal of middle one-third of parietal bones bilaterally. Then, using a drill to make four holes in parietal bones anteriorly and posteriorly in a fashion seen in Figure 2b (4 red arrows) then applying double silk suture size 1 on the right side and also double in the left side to approximate parietal bone in fronto-occipital direction (this approximation is done by assistant; applying one hand palm on the forehead and the other palm on the occipital region then gentle gradual approximation is done and the surgeon start to apply sutures) (Figures 1 and 2).
• There is immediate reduction of OFD postoperatively (Figure 2c).
• CT brain bone window with 3D reconstruction was done 2 days post-operative and also at variable periods of follow-up, up to 17 months post-operative for comparing OFD, BPD, and CI (Figures 4–6).

Results

Statistical analysis

Recorded data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, version 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Quantitative data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Qualitative data were expressed as frequency and percentage.

The following tests were done

• Paired sample t-test of significance was used when comparing between related samples.
• The confidence interval was set to 95% and the margin of error accepted was set to 5%. Hence, the p value was considered significant as the following
• Probability (p-value)
  • p < 0.05 was considered significant.
  • p < 0.001 was considered as highly significant.
  • p > 0.05 was considered insignificant.
The results of the present study are demonstrated in the following Tables 1-4 and figures.

This table shows that the female percentage is 23.3% and male percentage is 76.7% of sex, also mean age is 4.11 ± 0.92, while mean of operation time is 48.00 ± 5.00 and mean post-operative hospital stay is 3.53 ± 6.22.

Table 2 shows statistically significant difference between pre-operative with post-operative after 2 days, post-operative follow-up, and follow-up after 1 year for OFD.

Table 3 shows statistically significant difference between pre-operative with post-operative after 2 days, post-operative follow-up, and follow-up after 1 year for BPD.

Table 4 shows statistically significant difference between pre-operative with post-operative after 2 days, post-operative follow-up, and follow-up after 1 year for CI.

There is male predominance in this study 76.7% (23 cases) and female percentage was 23.3% (seven cases).

Mean age of patients 4.1 months ranging from 2.7 to 5.7, age limit not exceeding 6 months because this technique used thought to be not suitable above 6 months due to increased bone thickness which
requiring more calvarial bone remodeling. Mean operation time (started from skin incision to end of wound closure) 48 min ranging from 35 to 57 min. Mean post-operative hospital stay 3.53 ranging from 2 to 35 days, 25 cases of total 30 cases discharged after 2 days, and three cases discharged after 3 days.

Discussion

In our study, results showing reduction in OFD immediately post-operative with mean ± SD of pre-operative OFD of 13.23 ± 1.01, post-operative mean ± SD of OFD after 2 days 12.89 ± 0.86, p-value is highly significant of < 0.001, OFD follow-up in periods ranging from 45 to 150 days (mean ± SD 107.24 ± 28.24) is 12.98 ± 0.86, p-value is highly significant of < 0.001, and OFD follow-up after 1 year in periods ranging from 12 to 17 months (mean ± SD 14.52 ± 2.76) is 13.41 ± 0.91 (Figures 7 and 8).

Furthermore, there is increase in BPD immediately post-operative with mean ± SD of pre-operative BPD 8.27 ± 0.57, post-operative mean ± SD of BP after 2 days 9.94 ± 0.57, p-value is highly significant of < 0.001, Mean ± SD BPD follow-up in periods ranging from 45 to 150 days (mean ± SD 107.24 ± 28.24) is 9.99 ± 0.54, p-value is highly significant of < 0.001, and BPD follow-up after 1 year in periods ranging from 12 to 17 months (mean ± SD 14.52 ± 2.76) is 10.32 ± 0.42 (Figure 9).

Figure 7: (a) Postoperative front view for child who underwent minimally invasive nonendoscopic sagittal synostosis correction 4 months after surgery shows some improvement in frontal bossing. (b) Postoperative back view shows restoring of normal CI, adequate skull shape, good cosmetic results in reduced AP diameter, widening of BPD. (c) Postoperative side view shows improved occipital bossing.

Figure 8: Line shows the extent of the difference over the periods through occipitofrontal diameter in the study group

Figure 9: Line shows the extent of the difference over the periods through biparietal diameter in the study group

Figure 10: Line shows the extent of the difference over the periods through cephalic index in the study group
There is increase in CI in immediately post-operative with mean ± SD of pre-operative CI 0.63 ± 0.02, post-operative CI after 2 days 0.77 ± 0.04, p-value is highly significant of < 0.001, and CI follow-up in periods ranging from 45 to 150 days (mean ± SD 107.24 ± 28.24) is 0.77 ± 0.04, p-value is highly significant of < 0.001, and OFD follow-up after 1 year in periods ranging from 12 to 17 months (mean ± SD 14.52 ± 2.76) is 0.77 ± 0.09, in which you notice that CI remains same in follow-up which reflects that cosmesis is still acceptable (Figure 10).

Table 2: The extent of the difference over the periods through OFD in the study group

| OFD               | Range | Mean ± SD | Mean diff | Paired t-test | p-value |
|-------------------|-------|-----------|-----------|---------------|---------|
| Pre-operative     | 11.1–14.4 | 12.89 ± 0.86 | -0.34 | 4.851 | <0.001** |
| Post-operative after 2 days | 11.3–14.4 | 12.98 ± 0.86 | -0.25 | 5.674 | <0.001** |
| Post-operative follow-up | 11.6–14.7 | 13.41 ± 0.91 | 0.18 | 2.196 | 0.032** |

Table 3: The extent of the difference over the periods through BPD in the study group

| BPD               | Range | Mean ± SD | Mean diff | Paired t-test | p-value |
|-------------------|-------|-----------|-----------|---------------|---------|
| Pre-operative     | 7.9–9.2  | 8.27 ± 0.57  | 1.67 | 22.517 | <0.001** |
| Post-operative after 2 days | 8.9–11.1 | 9.94 ± 0.57 | 1.72 | -21.934 | <0.001** |
| Post-operative follow-up | 9–11.1 | 9.96 ± 0.54 | 1.72 | -21.934 | <0.001** |
| Follow-up after 1 year | 9.4–11.5 | 10.32 ± 0.42 | 2.05 | 24.683 | <0.001** |

Although there is decline in eight cases in post-operative CI follow-up compared to immediate post-operative CI and four cases showed same CI both pre- and post-operative, still there is improvement of the other cases observed in CI and clinically.

Table 4: The extent of the difference over the periods through CI in the study group

| CI                | Range | Mean ± SD | Mean diff | Paired t-test | p-value |
|-------------------|-------|-----------|-----------|---------------|---------|
| Pre-operative     | 0.57–0.68 | 0.63 ± 0.02 | 0.04 | -21.570 | <0.001** |
| Post-operative after 2 days | 0.70–0.86 | 0.77 ± 0.04 | 0.14 | -20.884 | <0.001** |
| Post-operative follow up | 0.69–0.83 | 0.77 ± 0.04 | 0.14 | -14.173 | <0.001** |

Three cases showed repeated vomiting postoperatively up to 4 times then in the 2nd post-operative day vomiting stopped which is explained by partial elevation of ICP clinically and is thought to be caused by effect of shorting AP diameter.

The effect from shorting the skull by the approximation sutures (internal helmet sutures) on ICP and the possible potential rise of ICP thought to be neutralized by removed middle parts of the parietal bone on both sides, and simply, we can say that the decreased AP diameter effect on ICP is thought to be compensated by simultaneous increase in BPD, in which the room (skull cavity) for the brain is almost still same.

Furthermore, there is no much blood loss in these minimally invasive techniques with Mean ± SD of pre-operative HGB 12.38 ± 0.33 mg/dl and mean ± SD of post-operative HGB 10.78 ± 0.85 mg/dl.

One case showed post-operative hemodynamic instability then stabilization reached and patient improved and discharged after 12 days from surgery and one case developed fever and upper respiratory tract symptoms after surgery then acquired chest infection and died after 35 days from surgery and it's follow-up CT brain was satisfactory.

Regarding the frontal bossing around 19 cases showed post-operative improvement with acceptable cosmesis, five cases show partial improvement and six cases remained the same pre- and post-operative, while occipital bossing improved in 24 cases and shows partial improvement in 6 cases.

It is not seems that approximation suture to cause sutural diastasis at coronal or lambdoid sutures as observed in follow-up.

Furthermore, it is noticed all over the study there are no wound complications such as infection or gaping though to be due to relatively small wound utilized in the technique encountered in this study.

We have faced some challenges in our study caused by COVID-19 pandemic announcement during our study in which the new regulations provided by our health authorities and conducted on WHO makes follow-up of elective cases is challenging.

Conclusion

Internal helmet technique used in correction of sagittal synostosis in children below 6 months old giving good cosmetic results with the following advantages, (1) short surgery time, (2) small wound, (3) no much blood loss, (4) immediate good cosmetic result, (5) no need to use external devices which reduces the cost, family load, and child suffering, and (6) no need for long-term follow-up.
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