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Abstract

Lensless Fourier transform holography has been developed. By treating Bragg diffraction waves as object waves and a transmitted spherical wave as a reference wave, these two waves are interfered and recorded as holograms away from the reciprocal plane. In this method, reconstruction of holograms requires only one Fourier transform. Application of this method to analyze vortex beams worked well and their amplitude and phase distributions were obtained on the reciprocal plane. By combining the conventional holography with the developed lensless Fourier transform holography, we can reconstruct and analyze electron waves from the real to reciprocal space continuously.
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Electron holography [1,2] is one of the most powerful tools to detect wave properties of electron beams in terms of amplitudes and phases. When electron beams pass through specimens, electron holography can analyze properties of specimen materials, such as inner potentials [3], magnetization [4] and electric charges [5]. In an ordinary image holography method, electron holograms are characterized by specimen images and interference fringes superimposed on the images. Here, observations and analyses of the specimen images are performed in the real space. On the other hand, electron holography in the reciprocal space is rare and only one example has been realized in the Fraunhofer region by using an asymmetric double slit [6].

Fourier transform holography [7] is another technique using the reciprocal space, where a reference wave is a spherical wave whose source is positioned in the same plane as that of observation targets or specimens. Then, interferograms with the object and reference waves are recorded in the angular patterns created by these two waves. This method has an advantage that reconstructions from the interferograms can be realized by only one Fourier transform step. Since recording interferences between the object and reference waves are realized without using a lens system, this technique is called lensless Fourier transform holography. Only one application has been reported in electron microscopy where an amorphous carbon thin film was used as diffusion plate [8]. Even in the X-ray field, only the idea of using a zone plate with a hole has been introduced [9].

In the present study, for realization of the lens-less Fourier transform holography, we used vortex beams generated by using a fork-shaped grating [10] in the reciprocal plane as object waves and a transmission wave propagating along the optical axis as a reference wave. These waves were superimposed and interfered as holograms at displaced positions above the reciprocal plane as underfocus holograms and below the reciprocal plane as overfocus holograms. Figure 1 shows a schematic diagram of the optical system of lensless Fourier transform holography for electron vortex-beam experiments. A fork-shaped grating [10], which is not shown in Fig. 1, for generating vortex beams is irradiated by an incident electron wave. In conventional vortex-beam observations, one of the crossover positions as the reciprocal plane in the imaging optical system is chosen, and diffraction patterns with typical annular ring-shaped spots are observed [11]. In the electron holography for the vortex beams, electron waves passed around the fork-shaped grating are used as reference waves. The 0th order transmission wave spreads out along the optical axis and Bragg diffraction waves from the grating propagate in their flowing direction. Then, the transmission wave and diffraction waves are superimposed above and below the
reciprocal plane [12,13]. The two-wave interference patterns are recorded as holograms, such as underfocus holograms and overfocus holograms. This is the holography system in the reciprocal space in which diffraction waves correspond to object waves, and the 0th order wave corresponds to a reference wave. Furthermore, the source of the reference wave is positioned in the same plane as that of the objects, indicating the annular ring-shaped diffraction waves as vortex beams. The interference occurs between vortex beams and spherical waves from the reference wave source. Then, the interference patterns are recorded with the angular relation between the waves. This simple interference method is suitable for reconstruction of the holograms of the vortex beams in the reciprocal plane.

We explain the angular relation shown in Fig. 1; the red and blue arrows are vortex beams in the respective diffraction spot, and green arrows are reference waves for vortex beams above and below the reciprocal plane. For example, in the underfocus holograms, the reference wave is superimposed on the left annular diffraction spot from the left-hand side, and the reference wave is superimposed on the right annular diffraction spot from the right-hand side. On the contrary, in the overfocus holograms, the reference wave is superimposed on the left annular diffraction spots from the right-hand side, and the reference wave is superimposed on the right annular diffraction spots from the left-hand side. In this way, their angular relations are exchanged.

Here, we explain procedures of the Fourier transform holography by using equations. One of the vortex beams generated by the fork-shaped grating as the objective wave at the reciprocal plane is described by \( \varphi_{\text{obj}}(x, y) \) given by

\[
\varphi_{\text{obj}}(x, y) = \phi_{\text{obj}}((x, y) + \exp[i\eta_{\text{obj}}(x, y)]) \quad (1)
\]

where \( \phi_{\text{obj}} \) is an amplitude distribution and \( \eta_{\text{obj}} \) is a phase distribution at the reciprocal plane, and \((x, y)\) indicates coordinates in the reciprocal plane. The object wave propagates a large optical distance from the reciprocal plane to the hologram-recording plane whose coordinates are described by \((x_h, y_h)\), where the wave can be described as a Fraunhofer diffraction wave \( \Psi_{\text{obj}}(x_h, y_h) \) through Fourier transformation given by

\[
\Psi_{\text{obj}}(x_h, y_h) = F[\varphi_{\text{obj}}(x, y)](x_h, y_h) = F[\phi_{\text{obj}}(x, y) \exp[i\eta_{\text{obj}}(x, y)]](x_h, y_h) \quad (2)
\]

We consider a plane wave slightly tilted to the \( x \) direction as the reference wave \( \Psi_{\text{ref}}(x_h, y_h) \) in the hologram-recording plane given by

\[
\Psi_{\text{ref}}(x_h, y_h) = \phi_{\text{ref}}(x_h, y_h) \exp[iR_h x_h] \quad (3)
\]

where \( R_h = (\sin(\alpha)/\lambda) \) is the spatial frequency in terms of the tilt angle of the reference wave \( \alpha \) and the wavelength \( \lambda \), and \( \phi_{\text{ref}}(x_h, y_h) \) is the amplitude of the reference wave taken to be unity. When the object and reference waves are superimposed and interfered in the hologram-recording plane, their intensity distribution \( I_{\text{holo}}(x_h, y_h) \) is recorded as the Fourier transform hologram described by the following equation:

\[
I_{\text{holo}}(x_h, y_h) = |\Psi_{\text{obj}}(x_h, y_h) + \Psi_{\text{ref}}(x_h, y_h)|^2 = 1 + |\Psi_{\text{obj}}(x_h, y_h)|^2 + 2|\Psi_{\text{obj}}(x_h, y_h)\Psi_{\text{ref}}(x_h, y_h)^*| \exp[iR_h x_h] + 2|\Psi_{\text{ref}}(x_h, y_h)^*\Psi_{\text{obj}}(x_h, y_h)| \exp[-iR_h x_h] \quad (4)
\]

The first term of Eq. (5) represents the background intensity distribution; the second and third terms correspond to the two
reconstructed waves, i.e., the object wave and its conjugate wave. Equation (5) explains that the two reconstructed waves are displaced from the position of the optical axis depending on the tilt angle \( \alpha \) of the reference wave in Eq. (3). We can use both waves for analyses of wave properties.

Fork-shaped gratings made of Si\(_2\)N\(_4\) membrane 150 nm thick including the third-ordered edge dislocations were fabricated using a focused ion beam instrument (NB-5000, Hitachi High-Technologies Corp.). The size of the grating opening was 5 \( \mu \)m in diameter, and the irradiation area by the incident electron beam was about 50 \( \mu \)m in diameter, which is about 10 times larger than the grating opening. Since the beam irradiation area is large, the transmitted beam around the grating was spread out quickly after passing through the reciprocal plane and superimposed with the diffracted waves as the vortex beams on both sides of the 0\( ^\text{th} \) order beam. Therefore, the obtained hologram is of a wavefront splitting type, which is the same as that of the conventional electron holography. The optical system for the experiment was the same as that for observation of small angle electron diffraction (SmaED) patterns [14] at the camera length of about 710 m. The vortex beams and their holograms were observed with a 300-kV field emission transmission electron microscope (HF-3300S, Hitachi High-Technologies Corp.).

Figure 2 shows relationships between spherical wavefronts and helical wavefronts of vortex beams and the direction of the azimuthal rotation of the vortex structures around the vortex beams; (a) the left-hand side circular spot above the reciprocal plane, (b) the right-hand side spot above the reciprocal plane, (c) the left-hand side spot below the reciprocal plane and (d) the right-hand side spot below the reciprocal plane. The upper parts in Fig. 2 show overlaps of helical wavefronts of vortex beams and spherical wavefronts above and below the reciprocal plane. Phase gradients of vortex beams are shown at the upper parts as the intersections of two wavefronts, and intersections represent interference fringes in the holograms. When the shapes of both wavefronts, the vortex beam and the 0\( ^\text{th} \) order transmitted wave, are inverted, the spatial relationship between the two wavefronts does not change, and as a result, the interference fringes represented by the intersection of the two wavefronts show the same pattern. The lower parts indicate directions of azimuthal rotations of the vortex structure around the vortex beam, showing vortex-like interference behavior seen from center to outer area: (a) clockwise, (b) counter clockwise, (c) counter clockwise and (d) clockwise. These relations can well explain the reconstructed wavefronts in each hologram as we discuss later.

Figure 3 shows diffraction patterns of vortex beams under five focusing conditions in the optical setup. Figure 3c shows a diffraction pattern on both sides with the first and second order diffraction waves from the fork-shaped grating. The annular ring-shaped spots are typical in the vortex beam observation, and the ring diameter increases with the order of diffraction. The topological numbers of the generated vortex beams are \(-6, -3, 0, +3\), and \(+6\), from left to right. The 0th order Bragg diffraction spread out along propagation directions and then is superimposed with the first and/or second order Bragg diffraction waves; Fig. 3b and d show results for the first-order case, and Fig. 3a and e show results for both the first- and second-order case. We used defocused diffraction pattern in (a) as underfocus holograms and in (e) as overfocus holograms for the lensless Fourier transform holography. The faint ripple-like images on these figures are Fresnel images of carbon fine particles adhered on the fork-shaped grating. Since the positions of the faint Fresnel images on the hologram are spatially separated from the diffraction spots, the reconstructed images of the vortex beams are not affected.

Figure 4a shows the same underfocus hologram in Fig. 3a with categorized diffraction spots in red broken squares for Fig. 4b-e. The upper parts in Fig. 4b-c show amplitude images, and the lower parts show phase images. These amplitude and phase images were reconstructed by only one Fourier transformation. Figure 4f and g show composite images of amplitude and phase images for the first and second order diffraction spots. The phase distributions were shown in the color-code representation and combined with the amplitude distributions shown in the luminance representation. The each amplitude image in Fig. 4 corresponds to the vortex beams of the first or second order spots in the reciprocal plane shown in Fig. 3c. The phase image in Fig. 4c shows the fan-like structures with three wings corresponding to the topological number 3 of the vortex beam and is consistent with the results obtained by the conventional electron holography [12,13]. This image, however, shows the phase distribution of the vortex beam in the reciprocal space, which has never been observed before. The relation between the object and conjugate images in Fig. 4c is explained by the angular setup in Fig. 1. Since in the underfocus holograms on the left-hand side, the reference wave (green arrow) is superimposed with the object wave of the vortex beam (blue arrow) irradiated from upper-left, we define the
Fig. 3. Diffraction patterns of vortex beams with five focusing conditions $\Delta f$: (a) $\Delta f = 39.7$ m in underfocus condition, (b) $\Delta f = 23.0$ m, (c) $\Delta f = 0$ m corresponds to the diffraction patterns, (d) $\Delta f = -16.7$ m in overfocus condition and (e) $\Delta f = -35.5$ m. The defocusing value $\Delta f$ is defined as the difference from the camera length of 710 m when observing the diffraction pattern in (c) just on the reciprocal plane.

right-hand side of the reconstructed images as object image and left-hand side images as conjugate images.

The phase gradient and the azimuthal rotation of the vortex structures around the vortex beam of the object image in Fig. 4c can be explained from Fig. 2a and d; the phase distribution in Fig. 4c increases helically in the counter clockwise direction seen from black to white and the helical wavefront moves up in the counter clockwise direction shown in the upper figure of Fig. 2a. The vortex structure around the fan-like reconstructed images shows a clockwise behavior just the same as that of the interference pattern in the lower figure of Fig. 2a. On the other hand, the conjugate image of Fig. 4c corresponds to that of Fig. 2d, which is inverse of image in Fig. 2a. Both the object and conjugate images in Fig. 4c are consistent with the drawings in Fig. 2a and d. Similarly, the phase gradient and the azimuthal rotation of the vortex structures around the vortex beam of the object and conjugate images in Fig. 4d can also be explained from Fig. 2b and c.

The center spots in Fig. 4b–e are modified Airy discs caused by Fraunhofer waves from the opening of the fork-shaped grating, such as the phase difference in each disc is $\pi$ [6,15], and the diameter of the centered discs is about half of the ordinary circular opening. We confirmed that this change is caused by the annular ring-shaped holograms. In addition, a phase profile of the center spot is similar to that of the Bessel beams [16].

Figures 4b and e show amplitude and phase images from the second order diffraction spots. The phase gradients and the azimuthal rotation of the vortex structures around the vortex beams are explained similarly by using Fig. 2 with twice the amplified phase gradients, so that the topological number is $\pm 6$.

In the overfocus holograms in Fig. 5a, which is the same as Fig. 3e, the same arguments used in the explanation of Fig. 4 can be applied in terms of the lensless Fourier transform holography. In Fig. 5a, categorized diffraction spots in red broken squares are drawn for Fig. 5b–c. The upper parts in Fig. 5b–c show reconstructed amplitude images, and the lower parts show reconstructed phase images. Figures 5f and g show composite images of amplitude and phase images for the first and second order diffraction spots. All figures shown in Fig. 5 were recorded and reconstructed in the same procedure as those in Fig. 4. The positions of object and conjugate images in Fig. 5b–c are exchanged from those in Fig. 4, because the angular relation between the vortex beams and the reference wave was exchanged. The phase gradients and the vortex structures of the object and conjugate images in Fig. 5c are explained by using Fig. 2b and c, and the phase gradients and the vortex structures in Fig. 5d are explained by using Fig. 2b and c.

Figure 5b and e show amplitude and the phase images from the second order diffraction spots. The phase gradients and the vortex structures are similarly explained by using Fig. 2 with twice the amplified phase gradients.

Through the above analyses, we have succeeded in recording the lens-less Fourier transform holograms under the underfocus and overfocus conditions. Both holograms are mathematically identical to each other except for defocusing distances in optical conditions. Therefore, the same reconstructed images should be obtained from both holograms as observed in the same reconstructed amplitude images, Fig. 4c and d and Fig. 5c and d.

The upper figures in Fig. 6a–d show the reconstructed phase distributions of the object images in fan-like structures from Figs. 4 and 5, and the lower figures show phase profiles along the red broken circles in the counter clockwise direction. Figure 6a corresponds to the right-hand side of Fig. 4c; Fig. 6b corresponds to the left-hand
Fig. 4. Lensless Fourier transform holograms and reconstructed images under the underfocus condition: (a) is the same as Fig. 3a with categorized diffraction spots; (b)–(e) show reconstructed amplitude and phase images, and (f) and (g) show composite images of amplitude and phase distributions with the first and second order diffraction waves. The amplitude images are the same as those of the diffraction patterns shown in Fig. 3c. The phase images show fan-like structures of contrast, indicating gradient of the phase distributions.
Fig. 5. Lensless Fourier transform holograms and reconstructed images under the overfocus condition: (a) is the same as Fig. 3e; (b)-(e) show reconstructed amplitude and phase images, and (f) and (g) show composite images of amplitude and phase distributions with the first and second order diffraction waves. The phase images show fan-like structures of contrast, indicating gradient of the phase distributions.
Fig. 6. Reconstructed phase distributions of the object images from Figs. 4 and 5 and phase profiles along the circular red broken lines: (a) the right-hand side of the underfocus hologram of Fig. 4b; (c) the left-hand side of the underfocus hologram of Fig. 4c; (d) the left-hand side of the overfocus hologram of Fig. 5c; (d) the right-hand side of the overfocus hologram of Fig. 5d. Both underfocus and overfocus holograms lead to the same reconstruction images.

In conclusion, we have developed a lensless Fourier transform holography for reconstructing electron vortex beams in the reciprocal plane. Fourier transform as a reconstruction procedure has been performed for four Bragg diffraction waves of both holograms; then, both vortex beams can be reconstructed in amplitude and phase distributions in terms of object and conjugate images. We have confirmed that the developed lens-less Fourier transform holography has an advantage of reconstructing wave properties in the reciprocal space. With this development together with the conventional reconstruction method, electron holography can systematically cover the whole space ranges from the real space to the reciprocal space.
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