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**Abstract**

**BACKGROUND**

Approximately 20% of patients with neuroendocrine tumours (NETs) develop carcinoid syndrome (CS), characterised by flushing and diarrhoea. Somatostatin analogues or telotristat can be used to control symptoms of CS through inhibition of serotonin secretion. Although CS is often the cause of diarrhoea among patients with gastroenteropancreatic NETs (GEP-NETs), other causes to consider include pancreatic enzyme insufficiency (PEI), bile acid malabsorption and small intestinal bacterial overgrowth. If other causes of diarrhoea unrelated to serotonin secretion are mistaken for CS diarrhoea, these treatments may be ineffective against the diarrhoea, risking detrimental effects to patient quality of life.

**AIM**

To identify and synthesise qualitative and quantitative evidence relating to the differential diagnosis of diarrhoea in patients with GEP-NETs.

**METHODS**

Electronic databases (MEDLINE, Embase and the Cochrane Library) were
Patients with gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumours (GEP-NETs) often experience diarrhoea, which may have multiple synchronous causes. Although this has a considerable impact on patient quality of life, differential diagnosis of diarrhoea in patients with GEP-NETs is a relatively unexplored topic, and there is currently no formal clinical guidance. This systematic literature review provides valuable insight on the prevalence of causes of diarrhoea in patients with GEP-NETs, evidence on how these cause are diagnosed in this patient population specifically, the consequences if the true cause(s) of diarrhoea are not ascertained, and suggestions for improving differential diagnosis of GEP-NET diarrhoea.

CONCLUSION
Diarrhoea in GEP-NETs can be multifactorial with misdiagnosis leading to delayed patient recovery and inefficient resource use. This systematic literature review highlights gaps for further research on prevalence of non-CS diarrhoea and suitability of diagnostic approaches, to determine an effective algorithm for differential diagnosis of GEP-NET diarrhoea.
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INTRODUCTION

Approximately 20% of patients with non-pancreatic neuroendocrine tumours (NETs) develop carcinoid syndrome (CS), which is characterised by dry flushing and diarrhoea. Carcinoid syndrome diarrhoea (CSD) arises mainly as a result of excess serotonin secretion, usually in the presence of liver metastases, and is the most common and debilitating symptom of CS.

Diarrhoea can be defined as passing three or more loose or liquid stools a day, or more often than is normal for the individual. As the definition and interpretation of diarrhoea can vary between patients and can have a wide range of causes, the differential diagnosis of diarrhoea can be complex, particularly for specialists in other fields with less experience in gastroenterology. Uncontrolled diarrhoea can substantially impact on quality of life (QoL) and be disabling for patients. Although CS is often the cause of diarrhoea among patients with gastroenteropancreatic NETs (GEP-NETs), there are other potential aetiologies including, but not limited to, pancreatic enzyme insufficiency (PEI), bile acid malabsorption (BAM), small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO) and short bowel syndrome (SBS). Patients with NETs could also have concomitant colorectal cancer, which could be causing diarrhoea.

Long-acting somatostatin analogues (SSAs), such as lanreotide and octreotide, are the mainstay of treatment for the symptoms of CS through the inhibition of serotonin secretion, with additional efficacy for tumour growth control. Anti-diarrhoeals such as loperamide (Imodium®) and opioids can be used to assist in managing CSD but do not specifically target serotonin production, which can limit their effectiveness against CSD. Patients experiencing inadequate control of CSD despite the use of long-acting SSA therapy can be treated with telotristat, an inhibitor of the rate-limiting enzyme in serotonin synthesis named tryptophan hydroxylase. Telotristat has proven efficacy in reducing the frequency of bowel movements and levels of 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid in patients with CS. If other causes of diarrhoea unrelated to serotonin secretion are mistaken for CSD, treatments that target the serotonin pathway may be ineffective, leaving diarrhoea uncontrolled.

While studies of symptomatic treatment of patients with CS often exclude patients with other potential causes of diarrhoea, such as SBS, the methods for diagnosing these gastrointestinal (GI) conditions are not reported. Also, the presence of one or more aetiologies of non-CS diarrhoea does not eliminate the possibility that a patient’s diarrhoea is caused, completely or partially, by CS. Diarrhoea is therefore a more complex symptom than normally considered in patients with GEP-NETs, and there is currently no detailed guidance available to clinicians on the differential diagnosis of diarrhoea in this patient population.

The purpose of this systematic literature review (SLR) was to identify and synthesise qualitative and quantitative evidence relating to the differential diagnosis of diarrhoea in patients with GEP-NETs, including the proportion of patients with specific non-CS causes, associated diagnostic approaches, and consequences when the cause of diarrhoea is misdiagnosed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Search strategy

The SLR was conducted in accordance with a pre-specified protocol and reported in line with the Enhancing Transparency in Reporting the Synthesis of Qualitative Research guidelines. A comprehensive search strategy was planned and conducted to identify relevant articles. MEDLINE (including MEDLINE In-Process, MEDLINE Daily and MEDLINE Epub Ahead of Print), Embase, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials and Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effect were searched from database inception to 22nd September 2018. Search terms included combinations of free-text terms and database-specific subject headings related to GEP-NETs, CS and diarrhoea (Supplementary Tables 1-4).

Hand-searches of abstract books from relevant congresses from the last three years, reference lists of relevant studies and ClinicalTrials.gov were also performed. Google and websites of relevant medical associations were searched for guidelines on the diagnosis and management of NETs.

Study selection

Eligibility for inclusion was defined using the Sample, Phenomenon of Interest, Design, Evaluation, Research type (SPIDER) approach. The sample of interest...
included adults with GEP-NETs who were experiencing diarrhoea and the phenomenon of interest was diagnosis of the cause of diarrhoea in this population. Any study design and article type were eligible if relevant data were presented (full eligibility criteria are presented in Supplementary Table 5). It should be noted that due to an overlap in symptoms with CS, patients with pancreatic NETs are sometimes excluded from studies of CS in the wider literature\(^1\). Since many studies in patients with CS in the literature do not distinguish between gastrointestinal and pancreatic NETs as part of the GEP-NETs classification, patients with pancreatic NETs were also eligible for inclusion in this SLR to ensure that all relevant data on differential diagnosis of diarrhoea were captured.

Titles and abstracts of the search results were screened against the eligibility criteria by two independent reviewers; discrepancies were resolved by consensus, with arbitration by a third reviewer if necessary. Full-text versions of potentially relevant articles were acquired and screened using the same process.

### Thematic framework
Framework synthesis was originally developed as a method for carrying out systematic reviews of qualitative evidence. It has also been reported as a way to facilitate the integration of quantitative and qualitative data from diverse sources\(^{20}\); therefore, in this SLR, framework synthesis was adapted to include both quantitative and qualitative data. Unlike traditional framework synthesis, in which only qualitative research findings (collected and analysed using qualitative methods) are included, the definition of qualitative data was expanded to include all textual data in any section of relevant articles, to ensure that all relevant information was captured. A preliminary framework of themes that were expected to be identified was developed through a scoping search of the literature and discussion with clinical experts, to facilitate data extraction and synthesis.

### Data extraction and synthesis
The preliminary framework was developed as a mind-map within Docear software\(^{21}\). Two reviewers independently coded and indexed only relevant quantitative (proportion of patients with different causes of diarrhoea) and qualitative data against the pre-specified themes using an inductive approach, with data indexed against multiple themes if relevant. All relevant data from each study were synthesised into the framework at the same stage, which has been described as a data-based convergent approach to data synthesis\(^{22}\). While data on the prevalence of different causes of diarrhoea were quantitative, no meta-analysis was planned. Instead, relevant passages of text containing the quantitative data were extracted to allow for understanding of context, to categorise data by whether the cause was inferred or confirmed diagnostically, and to allow for mapping of the data to other relevant themes if applicable. Any new themes or sub-themes that emerged from the literature were added to the framework iteratively, and all extracted data were considered against novel themes as well as those pre-specified. Data on population demographics, recruitment, country, and sample size were also captured. Any discrepancies were resolved by discussion, with arbitration by a third independent reviewer where required. Evidence for each theme was then interpreted, and a narrative synthesis of the available evidence was developed.

### Quality assessment
Multiple study designs and article types were included, and relevant data were permitted to be extracted from any section of each article. As such, assessment of study design may not have been applicable to extracted data. Therefore, the use of a formal quality assessment checklist was not considered feasible. Instead, quality of the relevant data from each included publication was assessed by two reviewers (based on study design, location of data within each article and risk of bias), and was discussed until a consensus was reached. No studies were to be excluded based on quality appraisal.

### RESULTS

#### Included studies
After de-duplication, 1627 unique records were suitable for title and abstract review. Following this, 424 full texts were screened. Supplementary searches of congresses,
Thematic results

An overview of the final framework is presented in Figure 2. In addition to the predetermined themes the SLR identified three novel themes, described as “initial investigations into the cause of diarrhoea”, “approaches for inferring the cause of diarrhoea” and “advice and suggestions for approaching differential diagnosis of diarrhoea in patients with GEP-NETs”.

Proportion of patients with GEP-NETs and diarrhoea due to various causes: Twenty-one articles on 18 unique studies reported quantitative data related to different causes of diarrhoea in patients with GEP-NETs (Table 1). The majority of articles reported on PEI and associated steatorrhoea in patients receiving treatment with SSAs; 9.5% to 84% of patients with NETs in the included studies were reported to have experienced steatorrhoea, the primary symptom of PEI. In four articles in which the management of steatorrhoea was reported, almost all patients with steatorrhoea were treated for PEI (96%-100%) [27,28,31], however, only 62.5% of patients with steatorrhoea may have been treated in one study (14.3% of the overall study cohort) [26]. PEI was inferred from the reporting of steatorrhoea only in patients on SIBO, diagnosed by breath tests, was reported in 23.6% to 62% of NET patients who had been tested for the condition [29-31]. Single cases of colitis (20%) and C. coli infection (7.1%) were reported in two studies of patients with CS diarrhoea [32,33].

Other causes were not reported in detail, including motility disorders, bowel obstruction and bowel resection. It should be noted that resection in itself is not a cause of diarrhoea, rather it can lead to conditions that cause diarrhoea (e.g., SBS or SIBO), but the specific cause of diarrhoea that resulted from bowel resection was not reported [34]. Ruszniewski et al. [35] reported that of 79 patients (30%) who reported another cause of diarrhoea in addition to CS at study initiation, 32% had PEI (9.5% of all patients who provided a cause of diarrhoea), although how PEI had been diagnosed is unclear [36]. Basuroy et al. [37] reported that one-fifth of patients with small bowel NETs or pancreatic NETs met the criteria for irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) with diarrhoea, demonstrating that patients with GEP-NETs can be initially misdiagnosed with IBS or have synchronous NET and IBS diagnoses.

The SLR identified qualitative data reporting several other differential causes of diarrhoea, including laxative abuse [38], dumping syndrome [39], lymphangiectasia [40] and pneumatosis cystoides intestinalis (PCI) induced by sunitinib treatment [41]. Diarrhoea that can develop due to exacerbation or progression of CS was also reported, such as niacin deficiency/pellagra [42,43,44], and adverse events of serotonergic medication [45]. Of five case reports, two reported on infectious diarrhoea [46,47], two on bowel obstruction [48,49] and one on sunitinib-induced PCI [50].

Initial investigations into the cause of diarrhoea: Fourteen articles recommended or described the use of approaches to facilitate identification of patients who could be experiencing diarrhoea due to a cause other than CS [51-57]. Representative quotations for each sub-theme are presented in Figure 3, and full details are available in Supplementary Table 6.

The most commonly reported approach was to assess whether the progression of CS could be contributing to the diarrhoea [52,53,54,55,56]. This was most often conducted by measuring the radiographic progression or urinary 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid levels to assess hormonal production, either in isolation or in combination with the
Somatostatin analogues lead to more diarrhea from exocrine suppression in up to 30% of patients. 13 received PPI concomitantly while 6 started when symptoms did not improve with PER. Nutrition recommended.

Patients with GEP-NETs (Steatorrhoea (faecal elastase NR))

Motility disorders

“More than one-third of these patients had an occult bowel obstruction that was complete or nearly complete because their primary tumour had never been resected.”

“27/141 NET patients (19.2%) starting SSA: 11/12 (92%) patients received enzyme replacement.”

“SSA-induced PEI occurs in 1 out of 4 patients”

“Twelve patients (24%) developed SSA-related PEI (4 clinical diagnosis, 8 FE-confirmed) at a median of 2.9 mo after starting SSA: 11/12 (92%) patients received enzyme replacement. “SSA-induced PEI occurs in 1 out of 4 patients”

“27 patients reported steatorrhea, 26 of whom were prescribed somatostatin analogues. 26 (96%) of these patients were also prescribed Creon.”

“I infer that 5/8 (62.5%) patients with steatorrhoea received supplementation of pancreatic enzymes”

“I3 received PPI concomitantly while 6 started when symptoms did not improve with PER. Nutrition recommended low fat diet, 14 of 19 had improvement in diarrhoea within 4–8 wk. Two were non-compliant and 3 (2.7%) were found to have motility disorders.”

“I95% of patients required treatment with creon or colesevelam for their steatorrhoea or bile acid malabsorption respectively”

“8/35 (22.9%) patients complained about steatorrhoea. 12/35 patients experienced increased stool frequency (1-10 times daily). 5/35 (14.3%) carcinoid patients received supplementation of pancreatic enzymes for steatorrhea.”

“35% of patients with advanced carcinoid present with symptoms of obstruction, ischaemia, or both”

“Note that the whole study population was selected based on a history of diarrhoea at some point prior to the study. Of those patients for whom a reason for diarrhoea was provided (n = 262), 30% (79) had another potential cause of diarrhoea in addition to CS. The most common were small bowel resection [44% (35/79), 13.4% (35/262)], pancreatic insufficiency [32% (25/79), 9.5% (25/262)], and ileocecal valve/colonic resection [24% (19/79), 7.3% (19/262)].”

“20% (8/35) patients complained of steatorrhoea. 26 were prescribed Creon.”

“I35 (50.7%) patients experienced steatorrhea which was controllable by pancreatic enzyme supplementation”

“95% of patients required treatment with creon or colesevelam for their steatorrhea or bile acid malabsorption respectively”

“Seventy-eight (25/32) had been on long-acting SSA therapy and 81% (26/32) had steatorrhea”

“Note that 82% of the patients had never been resected for their primary tumour”

Table 1 Proportion of patients with non-carcinoid syndrome diarrhoea inferred from symptoms/treatment or prevalence estimates

| Ref. | Population | Condition | Diagnosis | Data |
|------|------------|-----------|-----------|------|
| Boudreaux et al[23], 2016 | Patients with NETs and abdominal pain, weight loss, bloating and diarrhoea (n = 100) | Bowel obstruction | NR | “More than one-third of these patients had an occult bowel obstruction that was complete or nearly complete because their primary tumour had never been resected” |
| Boudreaux et al[23], 2016 | NA (Guideline) | Bowel obstruction or ischaemia | Symptoms | “As many as 35% of patients with advanced carcinoid present with symptoms of obstruction, ischaemia, or both” |
| Iyer et al[23], 2016 | NA (Monograph) | PEI | NR | “Somatostatin analogues lead to more diarrhoea from exocrine suppression in up to 30% of patients” |
| Ruszniewski et al[23], 2016 | Adults with NETs receiving lanreotide for at least 3 mo for relief of carcinoid syndrome (n = 272) | PEI | NR | “Note that the whole study population was selected based on a history of diarrhoea at some point prior to the study. Of those patients for whom a reason for diarrhoea was provided (n = 262), 30% (79) had another potential cause of diarrhoea in addition to CS. The most common were small bowel resection [44% (35/79), 13.4% (35/262)], pancreatic insufficiency [32% (25/79), 9.5% (25/262)], and ileocecal valve/colonic resection [24% (19/79), 7.3% (19/262)].” |
| Saif et al[23], 2020 | Patients with GEP-NETs (n = 110) | Motility disorders | NR | “13 received PPI concomitantly while 6 started when symptoms did not improve with PER. Nutrition recommended low fat diet, 14 of 19 had improvement in diarrhoea within 4–8 wk. Two were non-compliant and 3 (2.7%) were found to have motility disorders” |

Inferred from symptoms and treatment

| Chaudhry et al[23], 2017 | Patients with NETs referred to a gastroenterology NET clinic (n = 39) | PEI | Steatorrhea (faecal elastase used, but number of patients diagnosed with PEI using faecal elastase NR) | “78% (25/32) had been on long-acting SSA therapy and 81% (26/32) had steatorrhea” |
| Donnelly et al[23], 2017 | Patients with NETs referred to a NET gastroenterologist service (n = 57) | PEI | Faecal elastase used but number diagnosed with PEI using this test NR | “19 (33.3%) patients were commenced on either creon or colesevelam. “Of the 20 patients who returned questionnaires: “95% of patients required treatment with creon or colesevelam for their steatorrhea or bile acid malabsorption respectively” |
| Fiebrich et al[23], 2010 | Acromegaly and carcinoid patients receiving treatment with SSAs (n = 35) | PEI | Steatorrhea, no tests reported | “8/35 (22.9%) patients complained about steatorrhea. 12/35 patients experienced increased stool frequency (1-10 times daily). 5/35 (14.3%) carcinoid patients received supplementation of pancreatic enzymes for steatorrhea.” It is inferred that 5/8 (62.5%) patients with steatorrhoea received supplementation of pancreatic enzymes |
| Khan et al[23], 2011 | Patients with metastatic midgut NETs and carcinoids syndrome (n = 69 had complete data) | PEI | Steatorrhea, no tests reported | “35 (50.7%) patients experienced steatorrhea which was controllable by pancreatic enzyme supplementation” |
| Lamarca et al[23], 2015 | Patients with NETs receiving treatment with SSAs (n = 50) | PEI | Steatorrhea and/or bloated abdomen | "Twelve patients (24%) developed SSA-related PEI (4 clinical diagnosis, 8 FE-confirmed) at a median of 2.9 mo after starting SSA: 11/12 (92%) patients received enzyme replacement. "SSA-induced PEI occurs in 1 out of 4 patients” |
| Lim et al[23], 2017 | Patients with NETs seen at gastroenterology and endocrinology clinics (n = 141) | PEI | Steatorrhea, no tests reported | “27 patients reported steatorrhea, 26 of whom were prescribed somatostatin analogues. 26 (96%) of these patients were also prescribed Creon.” “27/141 NET patients (19.2%) complained of steatorrhea. 26 were prescribed Creon” |
| Toupanakis | Patients with metastatic NETs of midgut | PEI | Steatorrhea, no tests reported | “Twenty-eight (25.9%) patients developed clinical features of steatorrhea, which resolved after the initiation of |
Proportion of patients with non-CS diarrhoea diagnosed by clinical tests

| Study                        | Patients | Test                              | Results |
|------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Whyand et al. [32], 2018     | Patients with NETs receiving an SSA (n = 176) | Steatorrhoea, no tests reported | “Pancreatic enzyme insufficiency is one cause of fat loss in stools. When the fat is obvious, it causes greasy and frothy loose stools called steatorrhoea. Among the survey respondents, 84% stated they had this to varying degrees” |
| Donnelly et al. [31], 2017   | Patients with NETs referred to a NET gastroenterologist service (n = 57) | Faecal elastase test (< 200 abnormal), although PEI not specifically stated in the abstract or poster | 17% of patients tested (n = 18) had abnormal faecal elastase. Median: 296.0; Range: 14.0-64.0 (approximate) |
| Gorbonova et al. [30], 2016  | Patients with metastatic well-differentiated, functional NETs, on octreotide LAR for 5-6 mo (n = 5) | SeHCAT scan (> 20% = Normal) | 80% of patients tested (n = 20) were diagnosed with BAM |
| Keisewetter et al. [34], 2017| Patients given ondansetron as bridging therapy for refractory CS (n = 14) | CT scan | 1 patient (20%) diagnosed with colitis |
| Lamarca et al. [6,28], 2016  | Patients receiving treatment with SSAs (n = 50) | Stool culture | 1 patient (7.1%) excluded after enrolment for infectious diarrhoea |
| Saif et al. [37], 2020       | Patients with histological diagnosis of NETs (n = 43) | Stool studies for faecal fat | “Twelve patients (24%) developed SSA-related PEI (4 clinical diagnosis, 8 FE-confirmed)” |
| Saif et al. [38], 2020       | Patients with GEP-NETs following SSA therapy (n = 110) | Quantitative measurement of faecal fat and evidence of steatorrhoea | ‘19 (17.3%) had evidence of steatorrhoea and received PER who received PER @ 500 units/kg/meal to a maximum of 10000 units/kg per day. 13 received PPI concomitantly while 6 started when symptoms did not improve with PER’ |
| Whyand et al. [32], 2017     | Patients with NETs undergoing HBT (n = 55) | Hydrogen breath test, using glucose or lactulose substrates | “Twenty-four (24/55, 44%) had prior right hemicolecotomy. Ten (10/24, 42%) of those were SIBO positive. Ten patients were positive for HBT prior to being given the glucose substrate, they all had abdominal surgery in the past. Twelve patients who tested negative for glucose HBT had repeat testing using lactulose and measured both H2 and CH4 production. This led to an additional 3 (25%) positive results”. Overall, 23.6% (13/55) of the overall study population were diagnosed with SIBO |

*Values were calculated by the reviewers from the available data. BAM: Bile acid malabsorption; CH4: Methane; CS: Carcinoid syndrome; FE: Faecal elastase; CT: Computed tomography; GEP: Gastroenteropancreatic; H2: Hydrogen; HBT: Hydrogen breath test; LAR: Long acting release; NA: Not applicable; NET: Neuroendocrine tumour; NR: Not reported; PEI: Pancreatic enzyme insufficiency; PER: Pancreatic enzyme replacement; PPI: Proton pump inhibitor; SeHCAT: Tauroselcholic [75 selenium]; SIBO: Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth; SSA: Somatostatin analogue.

assessent of patient history, presence of other symptoms of CS or physical examination. Four articles reported assessment of patient history as an important first step in investigating diarrhoea, for example, Gregersen et al. ruled out prior resection of the small intestine or colon as a cause for diarrhoea in patients with CS, as the diarrhoea was present before the surgery.
Table 2 A summary of clinical tests used to confirm cause of diarrhoea

| Condition or cause | Diagnostic test | Diagnostic criteria | Evaluation or opinion on accuracy of diagnostic test |
|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Pancreatic enzyme insufficiency (PEI) | Faecal elastase | Donnelly 2017 defined an abnormal test result as “< 200” but units were not specified. | Chaudhry 2017: 22/32 patients had steatorrhoea with a normal faecal elastase, sensitivity of FE test for detecting steatorrhoea in patients with NETs was 15.4%. The authors concluded that there is a lack of association between FE and steatorrhoea in patients with NETs. Donnelly 2017 reported that only 17% of patients with NETs and steatorrhoea had abnormal faecal elastase. Lamarca 2018 acknowledged that there is a risk of false positives from diarrhoea, but concluded that faecal elastase testing is feasible, accessible and recommended for patients who develop symptoms of PEI, and report it was the basis for diagnosis in 67% of patients who developed PEI. |
| | Faecal fat: 72-h stool fat testing, Sudan stain of a spot stool measurement | | |
| | SeHCAT scan | SeHCAT < 20% retention | Faecal fat quantification is the cheapest and easiest way to confirm a diagnosis of PEI. Sudan stain of a spot stool measurement is easier but a quantitative 72-h collection is more reliable (no clear evidence is provided to support this). Faecal fat test could be utilised for assessing response to PERT. |
| Bile acid malabsorption | SeHCAT scan | | |
| | | | |
| Colitis | CT scan | | |
| | | | |
| Dumping syndrome | Provocative meal test | | |
| | | | |
| Infectious diarrhoea | Bacterial: Stool culture for Salmonella, Campylobacter, Shigella and Yersinia, as well as Clostridium difficile, enteropathogenic Vibrio species, or Escherichia coli strain | | |
| | Viral: Stool analyses for cytomegaly virus | | |
| | Parasitical: Stool analysis for Entamoeba histolytica or Giardia lamblia | | |
| Intestinal ischaemia | Angiography (type of angiography was not further specified) | | |
| | | | |
| Laxative abuse | KOH stool preparation, intestinal secretion | | |
| PCI (induced by sunitinib) | CT scan | | |
| SBS | Urinary sodium (undetectable) | | |
| SIBO | Breath tests: Hydrogen breath test, with glucose or lactulose substrate; Methane breath test | | |

A reduction in faecal elastase value by ≥ 21% was applied by the authors in this study, but is not a standard definition in clinical practice. BAM: Bile acid malabsorption; CS: Carcinoid syndrome; CT: Computed tomography; FE: Faecal elastase; FF: Faecal fat; GEP-NET: Gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumour; HBT: Hydrogen breath test; KOH: Potassium hydroxide; LAR: Long acting release; MBT: Methane breath test; PEI: Pancreatic enzyme insufficiency; PERT: Pancreatic enzyme insufficiency.
Another reported approach involved assessing response to dose escalation of any treatment the patient was receiving for symptom or disease control; if GEP-NET diarrhoea fails to respond, this may be an indication that there may be another contributing cause. One article reported increasing the dose of octreotide long-acting release, observing that the diarrhoea did not improve, which partly contributed to the ultimate PEI diagnosis\(^{33}\). By contrast, Carmona-Bayonas et al\(^{31}\) emphasised that other causes of diarrhoea must first be ruled out before escalating the dose of SSAs\(^{31}\).

**Approaches for inferring the cause of diarrhoea:** Characteristics of diarrhoea associated with specific causes were described by 14 articles\(^{32-45,48-63}\), and five articles described concomitant symptoms that may be present and may assist in a differential diagnosis (Supplementary Table 7)\(^{33,36,40,44,45}\). Characteristics and symptoms described in the literature were as follows: Diarrhoea due to PEI was described as greasy, foul-smelling, floating stools\(^{44,45,46}\), known clinically as steatorrhoea; patients with PEI may also have bloating, weight loss and signs of malabsorption\(^{44,45,46}\); BAM diarrhoea may be choleric\(^{31}\); patients with SIBO may experience a change from initially intermittent diarrhoea (caused by CS) to continuous diarrhoea, with associated flatulence, bloating and borborygmic sounds\(^{31}\); characteristics of SBS diarrhoea were not reported, but other symptoms may include significant weight loss, electrolyte disturbance and hydration issues\(^{31}\).

**Approaches for confirming the cause of diarrhoea:** A total of 19 articles reported on 13 unique tests used to investigate diarrhoea for nine specific causes (Supplementary Table 8)\(^{32,33,36,40,44,45,48-60}\). Of these, 12 studies had used a test for diagnosis of a condition in a population of patients with NETs\(^{32,33,36,40,44,45,48-60}\), whereas seven articles only described or recommended a test (Table 2)\(^{33,36,40}\).

In one study, a symptom-based diagnosis was considered acceptable for PEI in the absence of the faecal elastase test\(^{45}\). Other general approaches included a full GI workup including upper and lower endoscopy\(^{44,45}\), and discontinuation of the treatment suspected to be causing increased diarrhoea e.g., sunitinib or serotonergic medication\(^{44,45}\). Lee et al\(^{61}\) described the use of colonoscopy, chest and abdominal X-ray, abdomino-pelvic computed tomography (CT) scan and discontinuation of sunitinib as approaches used to reach the diagnosis of PCI induced by sunitinib.

**Consequences if the cause of diarrhoea is not properly ascertained:** Fourteen articles described four main consequences if the cause of diarrhoea is not adequately ascertained (Supplementary Table 9)\(^{32,33,36,40,44,45,48-60}\); Patients or clinicians may perceive CS treatment as ineffective, leading to discontinuation of treatments targeted at CS and/or addition of inappropriate interventions (which may inadverently worsen steatorrhoea); the underlying cause of diarrhoea remains undiagnosed and is
Therefore prolonged, and patients’ nutritional status may deteriorate. For example, Pavel et al.[67] reported that a patient discontinued telotristat due to “insufficient efficacy” as a result of persistent diarrhoea; the authors noted that there were indications that the cause of diarrhoea was PEI.

No studies directly compared outcomes in patients with and without differential diagnosis of diarrhoea. However, one study reported that 15 out of 20 (75%) patients with NETs who had systematic GI investigation and management of their diarrhoea experienced an improvement on the “impact of bowel symptoms on QoL” scale after 6 mo; among the 10 patients who completed the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer GI.NET21 questionnaire at baseline and 6 mo, there was a significant improvement in overall QoL scores[33].

Flaherty et al.[51] described an outcome that could arise when a contributing cause of diarrhoea is successfully identified. In a patient undergoing chemotherapy, worsening chronic diarrhoea was diagnosed as a Clostridium difficile infection. In order to treat this infection, chemotherapy was halted for four months during which the patient’s CS progressed, causing diarrhoea that was “difficult to control” along with weight loss and decline in performance status[51]. Representative quotations are presented in Figure 3, and full study details are available in Supplementary Table 9.

Advice and suggestions for the differential diagnosis of diarrhoea in patients with GEP-NETs: Six articles suggested ways to approach differential diagnosis of diarrhoea in GEP-NETs, including improving patient and clinician awareness of SSA-related diarrhoea or steatorrhoea[6,38,39], directly asking patients about diarrhoea[31], regular screening for malnutrition[52,66], and involvement of a multidisciplinary team of specialists, particularly gastroenterologists[24,33,38]. Representative quotations are presented in Figure 3, and full study details are available in Supplementary Table 10.
Figure 2  Overview of final framework of themes from included studies. This figure presents the thematic framework of evidence identified from the 47 included articles. It does not include all possible causes of diarrhoea or diagnostic tests that could be used to investigate diarrhoea in gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumours (GEP-NET) patients, and does not take into account the quality of the evidence for each theme. *Bowel resection can lead to conditions that cause diarrhoea - these were not specified by the included studies. The source of bowel obstruction e.g., the NET itself, was not confirmed. †One-fifth of patients with small bowel or pancreatic NETs met the criteria for irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) with diarrhoea demonstrating how patients with GEP-NETs can be initially misdiagnosed with IBS, or could have synchronous NET and IBS diagnoses 41. BAM: Bile acid malabsorption; CSD: Carcinoid syndrome diarrhoea; CT: Computed tomography; HBT: Hydrogen breath test; MBT: Methane breath test; PERT: Pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy; SeHCAT: Tauroselcholic [75 selenium] acid.
Figure 3 Representative findings for qualitative themes. CS: Carcinoid syndrome; GEP-NET: Gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumour; GI: Gastrointestinal; PEI: Pancreatic enzyme insufficiency; u5-HIAA: Urinary 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid; 5-HT: 5-hydroxytryptamine.

**DISCUSSION**

This SLR is the first formal synthesis of qualitative or quantitative data related to the...
The differential diagnosis of diarrhea in patients with GEP-NETs. The different causes of diarrhea that can affect patients with GEP-NETs have been previously discussed briefly in the 2018 European Society for Medical Oncology guidelines for diarrhea in cancer patients, and by Clement et al in the context of malnutrition. Naraev et al has also suggested the importance of a differential diagnosis in a narrative review on the management of CSD, highlighting PEI, BAM and SBS as a few causes to consider. However, evidence on the prevalence of these causes, the diagnostic tests that have been used to identify these causes in patients with GEP-NETs specifically, and other factors related to differential diagnosis had not yet been explored using systematic methods.

PEI-associated steatorrhea was the most common condition reported in patients with GEP-NETs; steatorrhea is a known side-effect of SSA therapy and the majority of study cohorts comprised patients who were on or had received SSA therapy. This emphasises that PEI should be considered in all patients undergoing SSA therapy as a cause of new or worsening diarrhea (or after pancreatic resection), so that initiation of pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy can be considered in order to improve management of NET diarrhea or steatorrhea. Not all patients with steatorrhea identified in this review were treated for PEI, suggesting that the presence of steatorrhea without consideration of severity or duration may not necessarily confirm that a patient has PEI, or impact on patients QoL sufficiently to warrant intervention. Furthermore, malabsorptive steatorrhea can also be caused by dietary factors, coeliac disease, SIBO or infections, among others. It is important to acknowledge that diarrhea can be a short-term side effect of initiating SSAs and often resolves spontaneously; study authors emphasised the importance of patient and clinician awareness of SSA side effects, suggesting that in clinical practice, patients may not report these events without direct prompts, which may facilitate differentiation between diarrhea and steatorrhea.

Evaluation of test accuracy for the detection of PEI was lacking. While faecal fat testing was used or recommended for the diagnosis of PEI in three identified studies, this test is no longer common in clinical practice as it is unpleasant for both patients and laboratory staff to perform. There were conflicting opinions on the efficacy of the faecal elastase test in patients with GEP-NETs, which is an issue that has also been raised by Clement et al. While Lamarca et al used and subsequently recommended the faecal elastase test for investigation of PEI in GEP-NET patients, other evidence identified in this SLR suggests that this test may not be sufficiently accurate, with poor sensitivity for the detection of steatorrhea and a high rate of false negative test results. Similar results have been reported previously in patients without NETs, such as patients with chronic pancreatitis or patients who have undergone pancreatic resection. While suggested by only one study included in this review, empiric treatment with pancreatic enzyme therapy represents a more practical approach that is often taken in clinical practice; alleviation of symptoms may be indicative of PEI as a contributing cause to the diarrhea.

Data on other causes of diarrhea were limited, suggesting that these causes were not considered by clinicians or study personnel, potentially due to a lack of awareness or gastroenterologist input into NET management. Randomised controlled trials that reported diarrhea as an adverse event of treatment were not eligible for inclusion since it was unclear how many (or which) patients with GEP-NETs had diarrhea at baseline, and methods of confirming diarrhea as “treatment-related” were usually not reported. For example, one study reported treatment-related diarrhea in 26% of patients with non-functional NETs receiving lanreotide; however, the same study also reported treatment-related diarrhea in 9% of patients receiving placebo, suggesting that some diarrhea attributed to treatments may have other underlying causes. Furthermore, the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events definitions for diarrhea are not validated in patients with NETs, and do not consider relevant factors such as stool urgency or consistency.

Important approaches used in clinical practice for investigation into the cause of diarrhea were rarely reported in patients with GEP-NETs, particularly endoscopy. Upper and lower gastrointestinal endoscopy can be used to investigate unexplained diarrhea and to diagnose coeliac disease, colitis of different causes, colorectal cancer and is also useful in the work up for IBS. However, the use of endoscopy was only reported by two studies identified by this review. This could be due to use of colonoscopy as an index investigation for diagnosis of tumours in patients presenting with diarrhea, rather than in patients with an existing GEP-NET diagnosis in alignment with the scope of this review.

Clinical misdiagnoses can lead to inefficient use of clinical resources and funding, or to progression of the true cause, thereby potentially risking the health and QoL of the
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This review used systematic methods in line with established guidelines to conduct an

Strengths and limitations

This review used systematic methods in line with established guidelines to conduct an
exhaustive search of the literature\[9\]. Although methods for combining quantitative and qualitative evidence are still under development, the novel adaptation of framework synthesis and the comprehensive inclusion approach allowed for the extraction and synthesis of heterogeneous data from diverse sources in this review. However, searches were both language- and date-limited which may have neglected relevant information and possibly limits the global applicability of the findings.

Overall, the evidence was limited and of low quality; this was largely due to the source of qualitative data (statements sourced from narrative reviews or discussion sections, and therefore not collected through robust methods) and study heterogeneity. Moreover, the use of a standardised quality assessment checklist was not feasible. Finally, it should be noted that the purpose of this review was to synthesise published literature on this topic rather than to develop any recommendations for clinical practice; therefore, no formal instrument was used to grade the identified evidence.

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, this review employed framework synthesis to synthesise heterogeneous data on differential diagnosis of diarrhoea in patients with GEP-NETs, identified by a comprehensive search of the literature. It is clear from the findings that there is a need for increased awareness and further research on the prevalence of non-CS diarrhoea aetiologies and on the suitability of diagnostic approaches, to determine the most effective algorithm for differential diagnosis of GEP-NET-related diarrhoea. Improved diagnosis of the cause(s) of diarrhoea, and the involvement of gastroenterology expertise alongside oncologists and endocrinologists, would improve the management of patients with NETs and provide opportunities for improving patients' QoL.

**ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS**

**Research background**

Although carcinoid syndrome (CS) is often the cause of diarrhoea among patients with gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumours (GEP-NETs), other causes to consider include pancreatic enzyme insufficiency (PEI), bile acid malabsorption (BAM) or small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO). If other causes of diarrhoea unrelated to serotonin secretion are mistaken for CS diarrhoea, these treatments may be ineffective against the diarrhoea, risking detrimental effects to patient quality of life.

**Research motivation**

CS diarrhoea has a considerable impact on patient quality of life, but the differential diagnosis of causes of diarrhoea in patients with GEP-NETs is a relatively unexplored area of research, and there is currently no formal guidance for clinicians.

**Research objectives**

The objective of this research was to synthesise evidence on the differential diagnosis of diarrhoea in patients with GEP-NETs, including: (1) The prevalence of different non-CS causes of diarrhoea in patients with GEP-NETs; (2) The diagnostic approaches for diarrhoea in patients with GEP-NETs, including initial investigations and clinical testing for specific gastrointestinal conditions; (3) The potential consequences for patients if the true cause(s) of diarrhoea are not ascertained; and (4) Suggestions and advice for improving differential diagnosis of diarrhoea.

**Research methods**

Electronic databases were searched from inception to 12th September 2018 using terms for NETs and diarrhoea. Congresses, systematic literature review bibliographies and included articles were also hand-searched. Any study design and publication type were eligible for inclusion if relevant data on a cause(s) of diarrhoea in patients with GEP-NETs were reported. Framework synthesis was adapted to synthesise quantitative and qualitative data.

**Research results**

Forty-seven publications (44 studies) were included. Twenty-one articles (18 studies)
reported on the prevalence of specific causes of diarrhoea; 9.5%–84% of patients with GEP-NETs had experienced steatorrhoea or PEI. Other causes of diarrhoea included BAM (80% of patients), SIBO (23.6%–62%), colitis (20%) and infection (7.1%). Initial approaches for investigation primarily included assessing possible progression of CS and patient history. Characteristics of diarrhoea or concomitant symptoms of such causes were also described. Diagnostic approaches for diarrhoea included faecal elastase or faecal fat testing (PEI), hydrogen and/or methane breath tests (SIBO), tauroselcholic (selenium-75) acid (SeHCAT) scan (BAM) and stool culture (infectious causes). Evidence on the effectiveness or diagnostic accuracy of these tests in patients with GEP-NETs was limited. Fourteen articles described consequences if the cause of diarrhoea is not correctly diagnosed: Patients or clinicians may perceive CS treatment as ineffective, may discontinue treatment targeted at CS and/or may use inappropriate interventions; also, diarrhoea is prolonged, and patients’ nutritional status may subsequently deteriorate. Improving patient and clinician awareness, directly asking patients about diarrhoea, and involving a multidisciplinary clinical team, including gastroenterologists, were reported as approaches to facilitate effective diagnosis of the underlying cause(s) of diarrhoea.

Research conclusions

PEI has been found to be relatively frequent in patients with GEP-NETs undergoing somatostatin analogues therapy, with other reported occurrences of SIBO, BAM and infectious diarrhoea. While author recommendations were available, evidence or opinion on the accuracy of diagnostic approaches in patients with GEP-NETs specifically were either contradictory or lacking completely. Furthermore, no specific guidance for distinguishing between two synchronous causes of diarrhoea was identified. Observational and/or interventional research in patients with GEP-NETs experiencing persistent diarrhoea would be beneficial, in order to investigate the most effective diagnostic and management algorithms and the subsequent impact on patient outcomes, to facilitate development of clinical guidance.

Research perspectives

There is a need for increased awareness and further research on the prevalence of non-CS diarrhoea aetiologies and on the suitability of diagnostic approaches, to determine the most effective algorithm for differential diagnosis of GEP-NET-related diarrhoea. In clinical practice, involvement of gastroenterology expertise alongside oncologists and endocrinologists would improve the management of patients with GEP-NETs and provide opportunities for improving quality of life.
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