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Abstract

**Background:** *Flavobacterium columnare* is the causative agent of columnaris disease, a disease affecting many freshwater fish species. Methods for the genetic manipulation for some of the species within the *Bacteroidetes*, including members of the genus *Flavobacterium*, have been described, but these methods were not adapted to work with *F. columnare*.

**Results:** As a first step toward developing a robust set of genetic tools for *F. columnare*, a protocol was developed to introduce the *E. coli – Flavobacterium* shuttle vector pCP29 into *F. columnare* strain C#2 by conjugal mating at an efficiency of 1.5 × 10⁻³ antibiotic-resistant transconjugants per recipient cell. Eight of eleven *F. columnare* strains tested were able to receive pCP29 using the protocol. pCP29 contains the *cfxA* and *ermF* genes, conferring both cefoxitin and erythromycin resistance to recipient cells. Selection for pCP29 introduction into *F. columnare* was dependent on *cfxA*, as *ermF* was found not to provide strong resistance to erythromycin. This is in contrast to other *Flavobacterium* species where *ermF*-based erythromycin resistance is strong. The green fluorescent protein gene (*gfp*) was introduced into *F. columnare* strains under the control of two different native *Flavobacterium* promoters, demonstrating the potential of this reporter system for the study of gene expression. The transposon Tn4351 was successfully introduced into *F. columnare*, but the method was dependent on selecting for erythromycin resistance. To work, low concentrations of antibiotic (1 μg ml⁻¹) were used, and high levels of background growth occurred. These results demonstrate that Tn4351 functions in *F. columnare* but that it is not an effective mutagenesis tool due to its dependence on erythromycin selection. Attempts to generate mutants via homologous recombination met with limited success, suggesting that RecA dependent homologous recombination is rare in *F. columnare*.

**Conclusion:** The conjugation protocol developed as part of this study represents a significant first step towards the development of a robust set of genetic tools for the manipulation of *F. columnare*. The availability of this protocol will facilitate studies aimed at developing a deeper understanding of the virulence mechanisms of this important pathogen.
**Background**

The causative agent of columnaris disease is the bacterium, *Flavobacterium columnare* [1]. This fish disease is common in freshwater environments, affects numerous fish species [2], and is responsible for significant economic losses in the US channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*) industry [3]. Virulence is known to vary between strains of *F. columnare* [4,5] and there is some evidence that strains vary in host preference [6]. Infected fish often exhibit external lesions on the body surface, gills and fins [2], but during some outbreaks bacteria can be isolated from moribund fish that exhibit no external signs of infection. *Flavobacterium columnare* is an opportunistic pathogen and is particularly problematic in commercial aquaculture facilities where high fish densities are required for profitability.

A substantial amount of work has been done to develop methods for the rapid identification of *F. columnare* during outbreaks [7,8] and in distinguishing between more and less virulent strains of the bacterium [6,9-13]. Efforts have also been made to understand the mechanisms of virulence employed by the organism. Several factors have been proposed, including the ability to adhere to surfaces [14-16], extracellular protease activity [17], and chondroitin AC lyase activity [12,18,19]. The bulk of the evidence for these factors playing a role in virulence is suggestive, based primarily on observed symptoms of the disease. Little work has been done to characterize the genetic basis of virulence due, in part, to the lack of a robust genetic system for the manipulation of this important pathogen. The ability to introduce foreign DNA into strains of *F. columnare* would greatly increase our ability to study mechanisms of virulence in this pathogen.

While no reports of the successful introduction of plasmids or transposons into *F. columnare* exist in the peer-reviewed literature, other members of the genus *Flavobacterium* have proven amendable to genetic manipulation. Expression of genes and replication of plasmids in members of the genus *Flavobacterium* required modifications of existing expression and mutagenesis vectors because systems optimized for the better-studied groups such as *Proteobacteria* do not function in Bacteroidetes [20,21]. The first successful mutagenesis of a member of this genus was reported by McBride and Kempf [21] for *Flavobacterium johnsoniae* with the introduction of the *Bacteroides* transposon Tn4351 [22] carrying the erythromycin resistance gene *ermF*. They also constructed an *E. coli-F. johnsoniae* shuttle vector by combining the pCU19-based suicide vector pLYL03 [23] with a cryptic plasmid (pCP1) isolated from *Flavobacterium psychrophilum* strain D12 [21]. The transposon has subsequently been shown to work in one *F. psychrophilum* strain [24] and the shuttle vector has been introduced into both *F. psychrophilum* [24] and *Flavobacterium hibernum* [25].

The successful introduction of these vectors into other *Flavobacterium* species led us to hypothesize that, under the proper conditions, *F. columnare* would be susceptible to genetic manipulation using the vectors and markers described above. The objective of this study was to determine the conditions required for *F. columnare* to accept DNA by conjugal mating and to begin exploring the potential of a green fluorescence protein (Gfp) based reporter system for the study of native *F. columnare* promoters.

**Results**

**Introduction of pCP29 into F. columnare**

The *E. coli—Flavobacterium* shuttle vector pCP29 was introduced into *F. columnare* strain C#2 by conjugation with *E. coli* S17-1 at a frequency of $1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ cefoxitin-resistant transconjugants per recipient cell. Attempts to extract plasmids from *F. columnare* cultures with commercial kits resulted in low yields. As a result, the presence of the plasmids in *F. columnare* strains was confirmed two ways. First, the cefoxitin gene was amplified by PCR with primers pr32 and pr33 using both the low yield plasmid extractions and genomic DNA extracted from cefoxitin resistant *F. columnare* strains as the template. Genomic DNA from the cefoxitin sensitive *F. columnare* parental strain was used as the negative control. In the second approach, the plasmid was reintroduced back into *E. coli* cells by electroporation using the low yield plasmid extractions as the source of the DNA in the transformation protocol. The recovery of the plasmid from these *E. coli* cells, demonstrated its presence in the cefoxitin resistant *F. columnare* strains.

Ten μg ml$^{-1}$ of cefoxitin was sufficient to prevent background growth as all cefoxitin resistant colonies tested were found to harbor the plasmid. In total, eight of eleven *F. columnare* strains screened took up pCP29 by conjugal transfer. The efficiency of the transfer was not estimated for any strains other than C#2, but based on the number of colonies seen on the selection plates, two of the strains (1191-B and 94-078) appeared to take up the plasmid at an efficiency lower than that achieved with C#2. The other 6 produced transconjugants at rates similar to C#2 (Table 1). The virulence to channel catfish of 10 of the 11 strains used has been previously reported [13,26]. All 6 of the more virulent strains were capable of taking up pCP29. Of the 4 less virulent strains, 2 took up the plasmid and 2 did not (Table 1). The virulence of Fc14-56 to channel catfish is not known, but it is capable of causing disease in zebra fish (*Danio rerio*) [27].
pCP29 containing transconjugants were also obtained using erythromycin selection, but for growth to occur, the erythromycin concentration had to be lowered to 1 \( \mu \text{g ml}^{-1} \). This resulted in high background growth, indicating that the erythromycin resistance gene \( \text{ermF} \) does not impart strong resistance to \( F. \text{columnare} \). Also, the \( E. \text{coli} \) donor strain was not inhibited by 1 \( \mu \text{g ml}^{-1} \) of erythromycin, necessitating the use of 1 \( \mu \text{g ml}^{-1} \) tobramycin for counter selection against the \( E. \text{coli} \). Filters for conjugation were incubated on \( \text{Flavobacterium columnare} \) Growth Medium (FCGM), Ordal’s, and Modified Ordal’s (MO) plates, and transconjugants were only isolated when FCGM plates were used for this step.

**Expression of gfp in F. columnare**

Introduction of the \( \text{gfp} \) gene into \( F. \text{columnare} \) strain C#2 under control of the \( \text{map} \) promoter on plasmid pAS36 resulted in expression of the gene at levels that could be detected by both a fluorescence plate reader and by epifluorescence microscopy (Figure 1c). This result demonstrates that \( \text{gfp} \) expression can be used to detect and quantify expression of native \( F. \text{columnare} \) genes.

To increase the level of expression, the recently described strong promoter from the \( F. \text{johnsoniae} \) ompA gene [28] was also placed in front of \( \text{gfp} \) in pAS29 creating pAS43. pAS43 was introduced into \( F. \text{columnare} \) strain C#2. The resulting fluorescence was greater in cells containing \( \text{gfp} \) driven by the \( \text{ompA} \) promoter than in cells containing \( \text{gfp} \) driven by the \( \text{map} \) promoter (Figures 1c and 1e). The difference in \( \text{Gfp} \) fluorescence was quantified using the fluorescence plate reader. \( \text{Gfp} \) fluorescence values and standard errors of the mean were 41 ± 0.64, 211 ± 26 and 3,085 ± 22 for strain C#2 containing plasmids pAS29 (no promoter), pAS36 (\( \text{map} \) promoter) and pAS43 (\( \text{ompA} \) promoter) respectively. The significance of the differences in fluorescence levels detected between strains was assessed using paired t-tests on log-transformed data. After adjusting for multiple tests, all differences were found to be significant with p-values less than 0.0001.

**Mutagenesis attempts using Tn4351**

Transposon mediated random mutagenesis was performed using the \( \text{Bacteroides} \) transposon Tn4351 [22]. Tn4351 contains the erythromycin resistance gene \( \text{ermF} \), necessitating the use of erythromycin as the selective marker. As with efforts to use erythromycin to introduce pCP29 into \( F. \text{columnare} \), antibiotic concentrations of 1 \( \mu \text{g ml}^{-1} \) or lower were required for any growth to occur. At these low concentrations, a significant amount of background growth was observed. Transposon mutagenesis was attempted in three strains (C#2, AL-203-94 and Fc14-56) and Tn4351 was successfully introduced into \( F. \text{columnare} \) strain AL-203-94. Only two of ten colonies isolated from plates containing 1 \( \mu \text{g ml}^{-1} \) erythromycin contained the transposon (Figure 2). While the two identified insertions demonstrate that the transposon is capable of integrating into the \( F. \text{columnare} \) genome, the high number of false positives suggests that this \( \text{ermF} \) based transposon is not a useful tool for the generation of mutants in this organism.

**Insertion mutagenesis by homologous recombination**

Several attempts to make mutants by homologous recombination with the \( \text{ermF} \) containing suicide plasmid pLYL03 [23] were unsuccessful. No colonies appeared at erythromycin concentration greater than 1 \( \mu \text{g ml}^{-1} \), and significant background growth occurred below this concentration (data not shown).

A cefoxitin based \( F. \text{columnare} \) suicide vector, pAS42, was created by replacing \( \text{Flavobacterium} \) replicative functions of pCP29 with a truncated \( \text{gldJ} \) sequence as described in Methods. Using the mating protocol described below, pAS42 was introduced into C#2 resulting in the successful isolation of non-motile, cefoxitin resistant colonies (Figure 3). Mutants were isolated at an efficiency of roughly 1 \( \times 10^6 \) cefoxitin-resistant mutants per recipient cell. This is 1,000-fold lower than the rate at which the pCP1 based shuttle vector, pCP29, can be introduced to strain C#2. Disruption of \( \text{gldJ} \) was confirmed by PCR amplification.
Demonstration of **Tn**4351 transposable element integration into the genome of *F. columnare* strain AL-203-94 following conjugative mating

**Figure 2**
Demonstration of **Gfp** expression levels in *F. columnare* strain C#2 containing plasmids pAS29 (A and B) pAS36 (C and D) and pAS43 (E and F) using epifluorescence (A, C and E) and transillumination/phase contrast (B, D and F) microscopy. The same field is shown for epifluorescence and phase contrast micrographs for each strain. Exposure was varied in the pictures using transillumination to optimize each image, but for comparative purposes the excitation energy and image exposure times were held constant in the three epifluorescence images. All six panels are drawn to the same scale.

**Figure 2**
Demonstration of **Tn**4351 transposable element integration into the genome of *F. columnare* strain AL-203-94 following conjugative mating. Primers pr54 and pr56 targeting a 435 bp fragment of the tetX gene contained within the transposon were used to screen for the presence of the transposon in *F. columnare* genomic DNA. PCR products were run on a 1% agarose gel at 80 V for 45 min and visualized after staining with ethidium bromide. Lane 1: 1 kb ladder, markers range from 250 to 10,000 bp; Lanes 2–11: PCR product from genomic DNA extracted from colonies that grew on an Ordals agar plate augmented with 1 μg ml⁻¹ of erythromycin and Lane 12: **Tn**4351 containing plasmid pEP4351 (positive control).
and sequencing of the novel junction formed by the insertion of the mutagenesis vector into the \textit{gldJ} gene. PCR was done using primers pr88 and pr93. Sequencing across the novel junction was accomplished from both directions using primers pr88 and pr104 (data not shown).

**Discussion**

**Conditions for conjugal plasmid transfer from \textit{E. coli} to \textit{F. columnare}**

While some members of the genus \textit{Flavobacterium} have proven amenable to receiving plasmids via conjugal mating [21,24,25,29], no reports exist of the introduction of plasmids into \textit{F. columnare}. Here we report the first successful introduction of plasmids into \textit{F. columnare} using vectors developed from the \textit{F. psychrophilum} cryptic plasmid pCP1 [21]. These results extend the host range of pCP1-based shuttle vectors to \textit{F. columnare}.

Several factors appear to contribute to the successful transfer of plasmids from \textit{E. coli} to \textit{F. columnare}. One is the use of culture conditions for the initial growth of \textit{F. columnare} that allow the cells to grow to relatively high cell density with minimal clumping or biofilm formation. Numerous media have been described that support the growth of \textit{F. columnare} [30,31], but MO was chosen for the initial growth step due to the rapid growth and minimal biofilm formation observed with the use of this medium. While transconjugants were obtained from cultures grown in both Ordal's medium and FCGM, MO was deemed superior because of problems with low cell density, cell clumping, and biofilm formation with Ordal's medium. Cell clumping was not a problem with FCGM, but not all strains grew to a high cell density in this medium.

A more important part of the mating protocol was the medium used for the conjugal mating step itself. Ordal's, MO and FCGM plates were all tested for the incubation of the mating filters, but transconjugants were isolated only when FCGM plates were used. In conjugal mating protocols developed for other \textit{Flavobacterium} species, the concentrated mixtures of donor and recipient cells are spotted onto the mating plates directly [21,24,25]. With \textit{F. columnare}, the use of 47 mm diameter 0.45 \(\mu\)m pore size nitrocellulose filters was necessary because the tightly adhering mass of cells was difficult to remove from the agar surface, but could easily be scraped from the surface of the filter.

The conjugation efficiency of \(1.5 \times 10^{-3}\) cefoxitin-resistant transconjugants per recipient cell using pCP29 is greater than what has been reported for \textit{F. psychrophilum} [24] and roughly equivalent to the highest rates reported for \textit{F. johnsoniae} [21]. The fact that eight of eleven \textit{F. columnare} strains screened took up pCP29 suggests that this protocol can be used with many of the virulent strains of \textit{F. columnare} available for study, although rates of uptake varied between strains and two strains did not take up the plasmid under the conditions tested (Table 1). This is in contrast to the method developed for \textit{F. psychrophilum} where only one strain has been shown to be capable of accepting the plasmids, [24] possibly owing to differential DNA methylation mechanisms or plasmid incompatibility.

**Expression of gfp in \textit{F. columnare}**

\textit{Flavobacterium columnare} cells must respond to varying environments over the course of the infection process. These include areas on the external and internal surfaces of the fish as well as the surrounding environment. For
example, studies using mucus scraped from the surface of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.) [32] suggest that F. columnare regulate both biofilm production and extracellular protease activity in response to exposure to fish mucus. The mechanism of dispersal of F. columnare through the host from initial, local sites of infection is also unclear. Studies of the response of F. columnare to changing environmental conditions would be aided by Gfp-expressing strain, which would allow the direct visualization of either biofilm formation or the infectious process by F. columnare.

For such a strain to be useful, Gfp-expression levels must be high enough for easy visualization. Promoters that drive gene expression in other gram-negative bacteria generally do not function well in the Bacteriodetes [33], including Flavobacterium species [21,25]. In Bacteroides fragilis, analysis of housekeeping genes led to the description of two consensus regions -7/-33 with the following motifs: TAnnTTTG/TTTG [20]. Recently, Chen et al. [28] described a strong promoter from the ompA gene of F. johnsoniae that contained these two consensus motifs and led to high levels of fluorescence when used to drive gfp expression. Mutation analysis was also used to describe a putative ribosomal binding site (RBS) consensus sequence: TAAAA found 2 to 12 bases from the gene start codon [28].

The successful introduction of pCP29 into F. columnare led to an evaluation of the shuttle vector as a tool for the study of gene expression. To explore this potential, a promoterless copy of the GFPmut1 gene [34] was cloned into the KpnI-PstI sites of pCP29 creating pAS29. The KpnI restriction site was positioned just upstream of the beginning of the gfp gene. This arrangement allowed for the placement of different promoters upstream of gfp.

In this study, two promoters were assessed. The first was the recently described F. johnsoniae strong promoter PompA [28]. The second promoter evaluated was the region upstream of map, a gene which codes for a membrane associated metalloprotease in F. columnare [35]. The promoter region of this gene was chosen because protease activity is a proposed virulence factor [17] and real-time RT-PCR analysis suggests that the gene is constitutively expressed in F. columnare (Staroscik and Nelson unpublished data).

The PompA region contains all three of the consensus motifs (-33, -7, RBS) described above, while the native F. columnare promoter map contains the RBS and -7 motifs but not the -33 TTGG motif. The substantial increase in Gfp fluorescence driven by the ompA promoter (PompA) relative to the map promoter (Pmap) is consistent with the findings of others that while the -33, TTGG motif is not essential for gene expression, it is necessary for full activity [20]. The presence of a native promoter in F. columnare lacking the -33 consensus sequence suggests that the absence of this motif is a strategy used by the organism to drive low level constitutive expression of some genes. Gene expression studies using constructs such as pAS36 and pAS43 should facilitate the study of gene expression under environmentally relevant conditions and the results with the map promoter suggest that gfp expression can be used in the study of moderately expressed F. columnare promoters. The availability of a plasmid containing the gfp gene linked to a strong promoter should also open the door to studies involving the direct observation of live cells under a variety of conditions such as on the surface of fish or in vivo during the infection process.

**Transposon and site-specific homologous recombination mutagenesis in F. columnare**

Three resistance markers have been used for the genetic manipulation of Flavobacterium species: The erythromycin resistance gene ermF, the tetracycline resistance gene tetQ, and the cefoxitin resistance gene cfxA. The cloning vectors pCP11, pCP23, pCP29, pEP4351 and pLYL03 all contain ermF [21,23,36,37]. In addition to ermF, pCP23 and pCP29 contain tetQ [36] and cfxA [37] respectively. While ermF has been found to impart strong resistance to other Flavobacterium species [21,24,25], the F. columnare strains tested in this study remained sensitive to erythromycin after introduction of ermF containing plasmids. The reason(s) for the poor performance of ermF in F. columnare is not known. It seems unlikely that promoter strength is the issue since the region upstream of the ermF gene contains the strong promoter -7/-33 consensus sequence [21,24,25]. The poor performance of ermF suggests that existing Flavobacterium vectors will need to be modified for use in F. columnare.

The successful introduction of Tn4351 into F. columnare strain AL-203-94 demonstrates that existing transposon-based mutagenesis systems function in F. columnare. Nevertheless, the high level of background growth due to the low erythromycin levels required for growth suggests that the existing transposon will need to be modified by the addition of another resistance marker before it is an effective tool for the study of this organism. The modification of the transposon and the identification of additional antibiotic resistance genes functional in F. columnare should be a high priority for future work.

Difficulty associated with high background growth was also experienced with attempts to use the ermF based site directed mutagenesis vector pLYL03 to knock out specific genes by homologous recombination. This led us to construct a new cfxA based vector by removing the Flavobacterium origin of replication from pCP29. This construct was
used to isolate gldf motility mutants. While this effort was successful, multiple mating attempts were required before cefoxitin resistant, non-motile mutants were identified. Subsequent efforts to disrupt other genes by this approach have been successful, but the process was inefficient, requiring multiple attempts before mutants were isolated (Staroscik and Nelson unpublished data). Given the efficiency with which pCP29 can be introduced into *F. columnare*, these results suggest that homologous recombination events are rare. This is consistent with work in *F. johnsoniae* where insertion mutants of some genes have been made by homologous recombination [36], but the efficiencies have been quite low (Hunnicutt and McBride personal communication) and attempts with some genes have not succeeded [38].

In *E. coli*, the major homologous recombination pathway is dependent on the activity of the genes *recA*, *recB*, and *recC* [39-41]. The recently sequenced genomes of *F. psychrophilum* [42] and *F. johnsoniae* (accession number CP000685; unpublished data) reveal that while both contain *recA*, neither contain *recB* or *recC*. The absence of these genes is not unique to *Flavobacterium* [43], but their absence may be part of the reason homologous recombination events are rare in members of this genus. Complementation of the motility mutant has yet to be accomplished, demonstrating further the need to develop additional selectable markers and cloning vectors for members of the genus *Flavobacterium*.

**Conclusion**

The lack of robust methods for the genetic manipulation of *F. columnare* represents a substantial barrier to understanding virulence mechanisms in this important fish pathogen. The availability of the conjugation protocol described in this study will facilitate work aimed at deepening our understanding of the virulence mechanisms of *F. columnare*. While conditions for efficient random mutagenesis still need to be resolved, the methods described in this report represent a significant first step towards the development of a robust set of genetic tools for *F. columnare*. In addition to the method for introduction of foreign DNA into *F. columnare*, the new Gfp-based reporter constructs should facilitate studies of gene expression and in vivo cell localization.

**Methods**

**Bacterial strains and plasmids**

The bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 2. *Escherichia coli* were routinely grown in LB broth or plates made without the glucose [44] at 37°C. To optimize mating conditions, *F. columnare* strains were grown at 27°C on a variety of media (Table 3). Liquid cultures were shaken at 220 rpm. For *E. coli*, ampicillin was used at a concentration of 200 μg ml⁻¹ and chloramphenicol was used at 10 μg ml⁻¹. For *F. columnare*, cefoxitin was used at 10 μg ml⁻¹, erythromycin at 1 μg ml⁻¹, and tobramycin at 1 μg ml⁻¹ (for counter selection against *E. coli*, when needed).

**Bacterial mating**

The *E. coli* donor strain used for conjugal transfer was S17-1. For bacterial mating, both donor and recipient cells were grown to mid-log phase, concentrated by centrifugation (5,500 × g, 10 min), washed once with modified Ordal’s (MO) and resuspended in either MO (recipient cells) or a 1:1 mixture of MO and 10 mM MgSO₄ (donor cells). Concentrated donor and recipient cells were mixed at a ratio of 1:1 based on OD₆₀₀ readings obtained prior to concentrating. The mixture was vacuum filtered onto a 0.45 μm pore-sized nitrocellulose membrane filter (Fisher Scientific, Suwanee, GA). The filter was then placed face up on an FCGM agar plate and incubated overnight (18–20 h) at 27°C. Following incubation, the cells were scraped off the filter, resuspended in MO broth, and the suspension homogenized with a 1 ml syringe and a 27 gauge needle. The homogenized suspension was spread on Ordal’s plates containing 10 μg ml⁻¹ of cefoxitin to select for transconjugants. Plasmid-containing *F. columnare* colonies became visible after 48 h of incubation at 27°C.

**DNA isolation, amplification, and electrophoresis**

Kits and enzymes were used following the manufacturer’s instructions. Genomic DNA was extracted from 10 ml of *F. columnare* cultures grown for 16 h in MO using the Qiagen DNeasy tissue kit (Qiagen, Valencia CA). Plasmids were isolated from the relevant *E. coli* strains with QIAprep Spin Miniprep kit. PCR was performed with the Qiagen Taq PCR Master Mix Kit. A typical PCR reaction contained the Qiagen kit components plus 50 to 100 ng of template DNA and 100 nM of each primer. PCRs were run for 25 cycles. Elongation time was calculated as 1 min per kilobase of amplification product length. Annealing temperatures were varied according to the primer melting temperatures. Primers used in this study are listed in Table 4. Agarose gel electrophoresis was performed using standard techniques [45]. DNA sequencing was performed at the University of Rhode Island Genomics and Sequencing Center.

**Construction of the pCP29 gfp expression vector**

A promoterless copy of the green fluorescent protein gene (gfp) was amplified from the plasmid pCE320 [46] with the forward primer pr37 containing a KpnI site and the reverse primer pr38 containing a PstI site. The PCR fragment was cloned into pCR4-TOPO vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) using electrocompetent TOP10 cells, creating plasmid pAMSTA39. pAMSTA39 was cut with KpnI and PstI and the gfp fragment gel purified using the Qia-
gen QIAEX II Gel Extraction Kit. The KpnI/PstI fragment was ligated into pCP29 which had been cut with the same enzymes creating plasmid pAS29 (Table 2). All ligations were performed using T4 DNA ligase (Promega, Madison, WI) according to the instructions of the manufacturer. The promoter region of the membrane associated protease gene map [35] was PCR amplified from genomic DNA isolated from F. columnare strain C#2 using primers pr26 and pr35 both containing KpnI sites. Primer pr35 also contained an XhoI site to allow restriction analysis of the promoter orientation in the final construct. The PCR fragment was cleaned using the Qiagen QIAquick PCR Purification Kit and ligated into plasmid pAS29 that had also been cut with KpnI and treated with calf intestinal alkaline phosphatase (CIAP; Promega), according to the instructions of the manufacturer, creating plasmid pAS36. This construct contains gfp driven by the map promoter.

A second pCP29 based gfp construct was created by placing the ompA promoter from F. johnsoniae [28] in front of the gfp gene in pAS29. This was done using the primers pr44, pr45, genomic DNA from F. johnsoniae strain UW101 (NCBI Taxonomy ID 376686) and the procedure described above. This construct, pAS43, contains gfp driven by the ompA promoter. The nucleotide sequence of the promoter regions of pAS36 and pAS43 was confirmed by sequencing with primer pr56.

**Construction of a pCP29 based suicide vector**

The E. coli-Flavobacterium shuttle vector containing the cefoxitin resistance gene cfxA was converted into a homologous recombination-insertional mutagenesis vector by the removal of the pCP1 fragment containing the origin that allows the plasmid to replicate in Flavobacterium species. This was accomplished by cutting pCP29 with the restriction enzymes SmaI and SphI and isolating the 8,100 bp pCP29 fragment. The pCP29 fragment containing the cfxA gene was then ligated into pCP11 which had been cut with the same enzymes creating plasmid pEP351. This plasmid was then used to transform E. coli strain C91-20 and the transformants were screened for resistance to cefoxitin.

---

**Table 2: Strains and plasmids used in this study**

| Strain or plasmid | Genotype or description | Source or reference |
|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| **Bacterial Strains** |                         |                    |
| E. coli           |                         |                    |
| S17-1             | hsdR17 (r5c-m5c)recA RP4-2(Tc-:Mu-Km-:Tn7 Str-) |                    |
| TOP10             | F' mcr A Δ(mir-hsdRMA-mcrBC) e80lacAM15 ΔlacX74 recA1 araD139 Δ(ara-leu)7697 gafU galK rpsL (Str- endA1 nupG) | Invitrogen |
| **F. columnare**  |                         |                    |
| C9#2              | Wild Type               | [13]               |
| AL-203-94         | Wild Type               | [13]               |
| Fc14-56           | Wild Type               | [26]               |
| 94-060            | Wild Type               | [26]               |
| 1191-B            | Wild Type               | [26]               |
| 94-078            | Wild Type               | [26]               |
| 94-081            | Wild Type               | [26]               |
| 90-059            | Wild Type               | [26]               |
| L90-659           | Wild Type               | [26]               |
| 92-002            | Wild Type               | [26]               |
| C91-20            | Wild Type               | [26]               |
| FcAS44            | gldJ knockout mutant of C9#2 | This Study |
| **Plasmids**      |                         |                    |
| pAMSTA39          | PCR cloning vector with promoter-less gfp; Ap' Km' | This Study |
| pAS29             | Promoter-less gfp containing E. coli-Flavobacterium shuttle vector; Ap' (Em', Cf') | This Study |
| pAS36             | P_map-gfp containing E. coli-Flavobacterium shuttle vector; Ap' (Em', Cf') | This Study |
| pAS42             | 1400-bp fragment of gldJ in pCP29; Ap' (Em', Cf') | This Study |
| pAS43             | P_ompA-gfp containing E. coli-Flavobacterium shuttle vector; Ap' (Em', Cf') | This Study |
| pCE320            | gfp-containing E. coli-Borrelia burgdorferi shuttle vector; Ap' | [46] |
| pCR4-TOPO         | PCR cloning vector; Ap' Km' | Invitrogen |
| pCP11             | E. coli – Flavobacterium shuttle plasmid; Ap' (Em') | [21] |
| pCP29             | E. coli – Flavobacterium shuttle plasmid; Ap' (Cf Em') | [37] |
| pEP351            | λpir dependent R6K oriV; RP4 oriT; Cm' Tc' (Em'); Tn435 I mutagenesis vector | [53] |
| pCR4-TOPO         | PCR cloning vector; Ap' Km' | Invitrogen |
bp fragment by gel purification. The gene chosen for insertion mutagenesis by homologous recombination was the motility gene \textit{gldJ} [47]. Primers were designed using Genbank sequences with accession number AAV52895. A 1,400 bp fragment of the \textit{gldJ} gene was amplified by PCR from \textit{F. columnare} strain C#2 genomic DNA using primers pr46 and pr47 containing SmaI and SphI sites respectively (Table 4). The PCR fragment was cleaned and cut with SmaI and SphI sites and ligated into the 8,100 bp fragment isolated from pCP29. This resulted in the plasmid pAS42 (Table 4).

### Table 3: Media used in this study

| Ingredients (g L⁻¹)                          | Ordals/Cytophaga (Ord)⁺ | Modified Ordals (MO)⁵ | FCGM⁺ |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| Tryptone                                   | 0.5                      | 0.5                   | 8.0   |
| Beef extract                                | 0.2                      | 0.2                   |       |
| Yeast extract                               | 0.5                      | 0.5                   | 0.8   |
| NaCl                                        | 1.76⁺                    | 5.0                   |       |
| Na₂SO₄                                      | 0.147⁺                   |                       |       |
| NaHCO₃                                      | 0.008⁺                   |                       |       |
| KCl                                         | 0.025⁺                   |                       |       |
| KBr                                         | 0.004⁺                   |                       |       |
| MgCl₂ × 6 H₂O                               | 0.187⁺                   |                       |       |
| MgSO₄ × 7 H₂O                               | 1.0                      |                       |       |
| CaCl₂ × 2 H₂O                               | 0.041⁺                   |                       | 0.74  |
| SrCl₂ × 6 H₂O                               | 0.0008⁺                  |                       |       |
| H₃BO₃                                       | 0.0008⁺                  |                       |       |
| Sodium acetate                              | 0.2                      | 0.2                   |       |
| Sodium citrate                              | 10                       | 10                    |       |
| Agar (for plates)                           |                          |                       |       |
| Sodium citrate                              |                          |                       |       |
| Tryptone                                    | 0.5                      | 0.5                   | 8.0   |
| Beef extract                                 | 0.2                      | 0.2                   |       |
| Yeast extract                               | 0.5                      | 0.5                   | 0.8   |
| NaCl                                        | 1.76⁺                    | 5.0                   |       |
| Na₂SO₄                                      | 0.147⁺                   |                       |       |
| NaHCO₃                                      | 0.008⁺                   |                       |       |
| KCl                                         | 0.025⁺                   |                       |       |
| KBr                                         | 0.004⁺                   |                       |       |
| MgCl₂ × 6 H₂O                               | 0.187⁺                   |                       |       |
| MgSO₄ × 7 H₂O                               | 1.0                      |                       |       |
| CaCl₂ × 2 H₂O                               | 0.041⁺                   |                       | 0.74  |
| SrCl₂ × 6 H₂O                               | 0.0008⁺                  |                       |       |
| H₃BO₃                                       | 0.0008⁺                  |                       |       |
| Sodium acetate                              | 0.2                      | 0.2                   |       |
| Sodium citrate                              | 10                       | 10                    |       |
| Agar (for plates)                           |                          |                       |       |

⁺Ordal and Rucker [54]
⁵This study. ¹Farmer [30]
⁺⁺Salts were mixed in a 10× stock as NSS after Marden et al. [51]

### Table 4: Primers used in this study

| Primer | Sequence⁺ |
|--------|-----------|
| pr26   | 5'-GCTAGGTACCACATTTTTACTTTTTTAGTGTTCCTATAAAAG-3' |
| pr32   | 5'-CCCCAGGACGTATTATGCAGCGGAAGAAATT-3' |
| pr33   | 5'-GCGGATGCGACCTGGTTCAGGAGAGCT-3' |
| pr35   | 5'-GCTAGTATCTCGAGCCTGTAACCATATAATTATGTTAATAAT-3' |
| pr37   | 5'-GCTAGGTACCATTAGGTAAGAGGAGAAAGCTTTAC-3' |
| pr38   | 5'-GCTAGCTGAGCAGATCTATTTGTATAGGTCATCAA-3' |
| pr44   | 5'-GCTAGCTGAGCAGATCTATTTGTATAGGTCATCAA-3' |
| pr45   | 5'-GCTAGGTACCTTTTAAAAATTCTAAATTAGTTAATTACAG-3' |
| pr46   | 5'-GCTAGGTACCTTTTAAAAATTCTAAATTAGTTAATTACAG-3' |
| pr47   | 5'-GCTAGGTACCACACTAGGAGAGACATGCACATC-3' |
| pr54   | 5'-TTGTGCTGGCAAGCGGTTG-3' |
| pr55   | 5'-GCTGTCTGCTCGGCTGTTG-3' |
| pr56   | 5'-ATCACCTTACCTTACCTC-3' |
| pr88   | 5'-TTAACAGCTGGCGAGAGGTT-3' |
| pr93   | 5'-AACATTTCCCTCCTATT-3' |
| pr104  | 5'-ACCTACTGAAAAGTATGAAAGTAAACTAGAG-3' |

⁺restriction sites on primers are underlined

### Microscopy

For phase contrast microscopy, wet mounts using 5 to 10 μl of cultures were photographed using the ZEISS Axioplan 2 Imaging System at the University of Rhode Island Genomics and Sequencing Center [48]. Epifluorescence microscopy was performed using the same system with the FITC filter set. Micrograph images were processed using the open source programs ImageJ [49] and The GIMP [50].

### Quantitative analysis of Gfp production

Gfp expression was measured in 50 ml cultures of \textit{F. columnare} grown at 27°C shaking for 20 hr in MO. Cul-
ture were concentrated 20-fold by centrifugation (5,500 x g, 10 min) and resuspended in a 10% concentration of nine-salt solution, (NSS; a carbon-, nitrogen-, and phosphorus-free salt solution) [51]. Fluorescence was measured in 200 µl aliquots in a Spectra Max M2 plate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale CA) with an excitation wavelength of 485 nm and an emission wavelength of 538 nm. All experiments were performed with four replicates. The significance of differences in expression levels between strains were assessed with paired t-tests on log transformed data. Significance levels were adjusted for multiple tests using the Bonferroni method [52].

Authors’ contributions

AMS, DWH and DRN conceived of the study. AMS developed the mating protocol; designed and constructed the plasmids generated for the study; performed the microscopic analysis; and drafted the manuscript. DWH participated in the development of the mating protocol and edited the manuscript. KEA participated in the design and construction of the plasmids generated for the study and screened multiple Fl. columnare strains for the ability to accept pCP29 by conjugal mating. DRN supervised the work and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank Andrew Goodwin, David Gilmore and Mark Lawrence for providing the Fl. columnare strains used in this study. The comments by six anonymous reviewers on a previous draft of the manuscript are greatly appreciated. This project was supported by a USDA Cooperative State Research, Education and Extension Service Award (grant no. 2006-34438-17306) and by the National Research Initiative of the USDA Cooperative State Research, Education and Extension Service (grant no. 2005-35204-16294) awarded to D.R.N. and by a grant from the Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture (ME No.44567) awarded to D.W.H. This research is based in part upon work conducted using the Rhode Island Genomics and Sequencing Center, which is supported in part by the National Science Foundation/EPSCoR (grant no. 0555448). This research was also made possible in part by use of the RI-INBRE Research Core Facility supported by Grant # P20 RR16457 from NCRR, NIH.

References

1. Bernardet J-F, Segers P, Vancanneyt M, Berthe F, Kersters K, Vandamme P: Cutting a Gordian knot: emended classification and description of the genus Flavobacterium, emended description of the family Flavobacteriaceae, and proposal of Flavobacterium hydatis nom. nov. (basonym, Cytophaga aquatilis Strohl and Tait 1978). Int J Syst Bacteriol 1996, 46(1):128-148.

2. Austin B, Austin DA: Bacterial fish pathogens: diseases in farmed and wild fish. 3rd edition. London, United Kingdom: Springer; 1999.

3. USDA: Catfish 2003 Part II: Reference of Foodsize Catfish Health and Production Practices in the United States, 2003. USDA, National Animal Health Monitoring System; 2003:92.

4. Decostere A, Haesebrock F, Devriese LA: Characterization of four Flavobacterium columnare (Flexibacter columnaris) strains isolated from tropical fish. Vet Microbiol 1996, 28(1):35-45.

5. Shoemaker CA, Olivas-Fuster O, Arias CR, Klesius PH; Flavobacterium columnare genovar influences mortality in channel catfish (Ictalurus punctatus). Vet Microbiol 2008, 127(3-4):353-359.

6. Olivas-Fuster O, Baker J, Terhune J, Shoemaker C, Klesius P, Arias C: Host-specific association between Flavobacterium columnare genovars and fish species. Syst Appl Microbiol 2007, 30(3-4):632-633.

7. Bader JA, Shoemaker CA, Klesius PH: Rapid detection of columnaris disease in channel catfish (Ictalurus punctatus) with a new species-specific 16-S rRNA gene-based PCR primer for Flavobacterium columnare. J Microbiol Meth 2003, 52(2):199-220.

8. Welker TL, Shoemaker CA, Arias CR, Klesius PH: Transmission and detection of Flavobacterium columnare in channel catfish Ictalurus punctatus. Dis Aquat Organ 2005, 63(2-3):129-138.

9. Klesius PH, Turner JF, Charlier G: Genetic fingerprinting of Flavobacterium columnare isolates from cultured fish. J Appl Microbiol 2004, 97(2):421-428.

10. Darwish AM, Ismael AA: Genetic diversity of Flavobacterium columnare examined by restriction fragment length polymorphism and sequencing of the 16S ribosomal RNA gene and the 16S-23S rRNA spacer. Mol Cell Probes 2005, 19(4):267-274.

11. Schneck JL, Caslake LF: Genetic diversity of Flavobacterium columnare isolated from fish collected from warm and cold water. J Fish Dis 2006, 29(4):245-248.

12. Joronen M-R, Virtanen M, Valtonen ET: Chondroitin AC lyase activity is related to virulence of fish pathogenic Flavobacterium columnare. J Fish Dis 2006, 29(12):757-763.

13. Thomas-Jinu S, Goodwin AE: Morphological and genetic characteristics of Flavobacterium columnare isolates: correlations with virulence in fish. J Fish Dis 2004, 27(1):29-35.

14. Atkinson I, Grizzle JM: Effects of low salinities on Flavobacterium columnare infection of euryhaline and freshwater stenohaline fish. J Fish Dis 2001, 24(6):361-367.

15. Bader JA, Shoemaker CA, Klesius PH: Production, characterization and evaluation of virulence of an adhesion defective mutant of Flavobacterium columnare produced by beta-lactam selection. Lett Appl Microbiol 2005, 40(2):123-127.

16. Decostere A, Haesebrock F, Turnbull JF, Charlier G: Influence of water quality and temperature on adhesion of high and low virulence Flavobacterium columnare strains to isolated gill arches. J Fish Dis 1999, 22(1):1-11.

17. Newton J, Wood T, Hartley M: Isolation and partial characterization of extracellular proteases produced by isolates of Flavobacterium columnare derived from channel catfish. J Aquat Anim Health 1997, 9:75-85.

18. Stringer-Roth KM, Yunghans W, Caslake LF: Differences in chondroitin AC lyase activity of Flavobacterium columnare isolates. J Fish Dis 2002, 25(1):687-691.

19. Tkalcec AL, Fink D, Blais F, Zhang Sun G, Laliberte M, Bennett DC, Gu K, Zimmerman JJF, Su H: Isolation and Expression of Escherichia coli csiA and csiB, Genes Coding for the Chondroitin Sulfate-Degrading Enzymes Chondroitinase AC and Chondroitinase B, Respectively, from Flavobacterium heparinum. Appl Environ Microbiol 2000, 66(2):504-507.

20. Bayley D, Rocha E, Smith C: Analysis of cepA and other Bacteroides fragilis genes reveals a unique promoter structure. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2000, 193(1):149-154.

21. McBride MJ, Kemp FF: Development of techniques for the genetic manipulation of the gliding bacterium Cytophaga johnsonae. J Bacteriol 1996, 178(3):583-590.

22. Shoemaker NB, Getty C, Gardner JF, Salyers AA: Tn4351 transposes in Bacteroides spp. and mediates the integration of plasmid R751 into the Bacteroides chromosome. J Bacteriol 1986, 165(3):792-796.

23. Li L, Shoemaker N, Salyers A: Location and characteristics of the transfer region of a Bacteroides conjugative transposon and regulation of transfer genes. J Bacteriol 1995, 177(17):4992-4999.

24. Alvarez B, Secades P, McBride MJ, Guirardo JA: Development of genetic techniques for the psychrotrophic fish pathogen Flavobacterium psychrophilum. Appl Environ Microbiol 2004, 70(1):581-587.

25. Chen S, Bagdasarian M, Kaufman MG, Walker ED: Characterization of strong promoters from an environmental Flavobacterium hibernum strain by using a green fluorescent protein-based reporter system. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007, 73(4):1089-1100.
26. Soto E, Mauel MJ, Karsi A, Lawrence ML: Genetic and virulence characterization of Flavobacterium columnare from channel catfish (Ictalurus punctatus). J Appl Microbiol 2008, 104(5):1302-1310.

27. Moyer TR, Hunicutt DW: Susceptibility of zebra fish Danio rerio to infection by Flavobacterium columnare and F. johnsoniae. Dis Aquat Organ 2007, 76(1):39-44.

28. Chen S, Bagadasarian M, Kaufman M, Bates A, Walker E: Mutational analysis of the ompA promoter from Flavobacterium johnsoniae. J Bacteriol 2007, 189(14):5108-5118.

29. McBride M, Baker S: Development of techniques to genetically manipulate members of the genera Cytophaga, Flavobacterium, Flexibacter, and Sporocytophaga. Appl Environ Microbiol 1996, 62(8):3017-3022.

30. Farmer B: Improved methods for the isolation and characterization of Flavobacterium columnare. Louisiana State University; 2004.

31. Song Y, Fryer J, Rohovec J: Comparison of six media for the cultivation of Flexibacter columnaris. Fish Pathology 1988, 23:197-202.

32. Staroswki A, Nelson D: The influence of salmon surface mucus on the growth of Flavobacterium columnare. J Fish Dis 2008, 31(1):59-69.

33. Smith C, Rogers M, McKee M: Characterization of two membrane-associated protease genes obtained from screening out-membrane protein genes of Flavobacterium columnare G4. J Fish Dis 2004, 27(12):719-729.

34. Agarwal S, Hunicutt DW, McBride MJ: Cloning and characterization of the Flavobacterium johnsoniae (Cytophaga johnsonae) gliding motility gene, gldA. PNAS 1997, 94(22):12139-12144.

35. Kemp MJ, McBride MJ: Transposon insertions in the Flavobacterium johnsoniae ftsX gene disrupt gliding motility and cell division. J Bacteriol 2000, 182(6):1671-1679.

36. Hunicutt DW, McBride MJ: Cloning and Characterization of the Flavobacterium johnsoniae Gliding Motility Genes gldD and gldE. J Bacteriol 2001, 183(14):4167-4175.

37. Sonnhaber A, Smith G: A new class of Escherichia coli recBC mutants: implications for the role of RecB enzyme in homologous recombination. PNAS 1984, 81(24):7850-7854.

38. Clark A: Recombination deficient mutants of E. coli and other bacteria. Annu Rev Genet 1973, 7:67-86.

39. Kostic K: RecFOR function is required for DNA repair and recombination in a RecA loading-deficient recB mutant of Escherichia coli. Genetics 2003, 163(2):485-494.

40. Duchaud E, Boussaha M, Lous V, Bernardet J, Michel C, Kerouault B, Mondot S, Nicolas P, Bossy R, Caron C, Bessières P, Gibrat JF, Claverol S, Dumetz , Le Hénaff M, Benmansour A: Complete genome sequence of the fish pathogen Flavobacterium psychrophilum. Nat Biotechnol 2007, 25(7):763-769.

41. Rocha E, Cornet E, Michel B: Comparative and evolutionary analysis of the bacterial homologous recombination systems. Plos Genet 2005, 1(2):e15.

42. Bertani G: Studies on lysogenesis. I. The mode of phage liberation by lysogenic Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 1951, 62(3):293-308.

43. Ruddle FH, Vitetta ES, Grunwaldt T: Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. 2nd edition. Cold Springs: Cold Springs Harbor Press; 1989.

44. Eggers C, Caimano M, Radolf J: Analysis of promoter elements involved in the transcriptional initiation of RpoS-dependent Bordetella burgdorferi genes. J Bacteriol 2004, 186(21):7390-7402.

45. Braun T, McBride M: Flavobacterium johnsoniae Gldj is a lipoprotein that is required for gliding motility. J Bacteriol 2005, 187(8):2628-2637.

46. Image [http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij]

47. The GIMP [http://www.gimp.org]