Probing Spindle Assembly Mechanisms with Monastrol, a Small Molecule Inhibitor of the Mitotic Kinesin, Eg5
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Abstract. Monastrol, a cell-permeable small molecule inhibitor of the mitotic kinesin, Eg5, arrests cells in mitosis with monoastral spindles. Here, we use monastrol to probe mitotic mechanisms. We find that monastrol does not inhibit progression through S and G2 phases of the cell cycle or centrosome duplication. The mitotic arrest due to monastrol is also rapidly reversible. Chromosomes in monastrol-treated cells frequently have both sister kinetochores attached to microtubules extending to the center of the monoaaster (syntelic orientation). Mitotic arrest–deficient protein 2 (MAD2) localizes to a subset of kinetochores, suggesting the activation of the spindle assembly checkpoint in these cells. MAD2 localizes to some kinetochores that have attached microtubules in monastrol-treated cells, indicating that kinetochore microtubule attachment alone may not satisfy the spindle assembly checkpoint. Monastrol also inhibits bipolar spindle formation in Xenopus egg extracts. However, it does not prevent the targeting of Eg5 to the monoastral spindles that form. Imaging bipolar spindles disassembling in the presence of monastrol allowed direct observations of outward directed forces in the spindle, orthogonal to the pole-to-pole axis. Monastrol is thus a useful tool to study mitotic processes, detection and correction of chromosome malorientation, and contributions of Eg5 to spindle assembly and maintenance.
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Introduction

During cell division, replicated DNA is segregated into two daughter cells by a bipolar spindle. Microtubules in the spindle form dynamic polymers along which chromosome movements are directed. These microtubules are nucleated by centrosomes and centrosome-associated proteins and are organized into bipolar arrays by motor proteins and microtubule-associated proteins (for reviews see Inoue and Salmon, 1995). Only after a functional spindle is assembled, with every chromosome correctly attached to microtubules, is sister chromatid segregation allowed by checkpoint proteins and cell division completed (for review see Rieder and Salmon, 1998).

Cell-permeable small molecules that rapidly activate or inactivate the function of their targets can be useful probes of dynamic cellular processes (Mitchison, 1994). For example, the small molecule colchicine led to the discovery of tubulin (Borisy and Taylor, 1967) and has subsequently been used to probe the role of tubulin polymerization in dividing cells (Inoue and Salmon, 1995). Nocodazole, colcemid, and taxol also target tubulin and have been used to perturb microtubule dynamics in living cells. Studies with these small molecules have provided insights into the mechanisms that monitor cell cycle progression (Rieder and Palazzo, 1992; Waters et al., 1998). However, cell-permeable small molecules that target components of mitotic spindles other than tubulin were not known until recently.

We reported the discovery of monastrol, the first known cell-permeable small molecule inhibitor of the mitotic machinery that does not target tubulin (Mayer et al., 1999). Monastrol arrests cells in mitosis with monoastral spindles comprised of a radial array of microtubules surrounded by a ring of chromosomes. We showed that monastrol does not affect microtubules in interphase cells or microtubule polymerization in vitro. Monastrol also does not perturb microtubule-dependent lysosome and Golgi apparatus distribution or chromosome dynamics in cells. The target of monastrol is likely to be the mitotic kinesin, Eg5. This motor protein is the vertebrate member of an evolutionarily conserved family of plus end-directed, bipolar kinesins,
whose founding member is the product of the bimC gene in \textit{S}p\textit{a}riger\textit{l}i\textit{u}lus \textit{n}i\textit{d}ul\textit{i}us (\textit{E}nos and \textit{M}orris, 1990). \textit{M}utations in genes encoding \textit{B}im\textit{C} family members in insect and fungal cells \cite{Hagan and Yanagida, 1992; Hoyt et al., 1992; Roof et al., 1992; Heck et al., 1993}, and inhibition of Eg5 with antibodies in human cells and in \textit{X} enopus egg extracts \cite{Sawin et al., 1992; Blangy et al., 1995} have demonstrated the requirement of this kinesin in bipolar spindle formation.

Like antimicrotubule drugs, monastrol arrests cells in mitosis. A nmitocutbule drugs are thought to arrest cells by activating the spindle assembly checkpoint, a surveillance mechanism in cells that ensures the high fidelity of chromosome transmission. Genetic mutations that allowed yeast cells to progress through mitosis in the presence of small molecule inhibitors of microtubule polymerization led to the discovery of the mitotic arrest–deficient (\textit{M A D}) \cite{Li and Murray, 1991} and budding uninhibited by benzimidazole (\textit{B U B}) \cite{Hoyt et al., 1992} genes. The products of these genes are part of the spindle assembly checkpoint pathway. More recently, the homologues of these yeast proteins have also been identified in vertebrates \cite{Chen et al., 1996; Li and Benezra, 1996; Taylor and Mc\textit{K}eon, 1997}. It has been proposed that correct microtubule attachment at kinetochores, polynucleotide-polypeptide complexes on each sister chromatid, regulates the kinetochore association of checkpoint proteins and thereby contributes to checkpoint activation \cite{Waters et al., 1998}. However, checkpoint activation has not been examined with small molecules that do not perturb microtubule polymerization. A monoaxial spindle in which the microtubule organization is perturbed, rather than microtubule dynamics or nucleation, provides a distinct circumstance to unravel mechanisms that activate the spindle assembly checkpoint.

The assembly and maintenance of the bipolar spindle depends on force-generating motor proteins. Systematic deletion of kinesin genes in \textit{S}a\textit{c}charo\textit{my}c\textit{o}s\textit{e} cerevisiae has provided clear evidence for a balance of kinesin-dependent activities along the pole-to-pole axis in bipolar spindles. "Sliding filament" models have been proposed where motor protein–dependent cross-links arrange microtubules in bundles along which opposing forces can be applied \cite{Walczak et al., 1998; Mountain et al., 1999}. These antibodies were used at 1 \mu M monastrol and then released into normal medium. At the different time points, coverslips were processed for immunofluorescence and the cells in interphase or mitosis were counted and categorized.

**Materials and Methods**

**Antibodies and Reagents**

\textit{M}a\textit{bs} against \textit{a}-tubulin (\textit{D M} 1\textit{ac}; Sigma-\textit{A} Ir\textit{d}ich) were used at a 1:500 dilution. Human \textit{C}RE\textit{ST} (calcinosis, \textit{R}aynaud's phenomenon, esophageal dysmotility, sclerodactyly, telangectasia) serum, \textit{pA}bs directed against \textit{M A D} protein 2 (\textit{M a2d}), and pericentrin were obtained as a gift from F. M\textit{c}\textit{K}eon (Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA), E.D. Salmon (University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC), and Y. Zheng (Carnegie Institute of Washington, Washington, D.C.), respectively. For immunofluorescence, the \textit{M a2d} and pericentrin antibodies were diluted 1:100 and 1:2,000, respectively. Human \textit{C}RE\textit{ST} serum was used at a 1:1,000 dilution. A nti-nuclear/mitotic apparatus protein (\textit{N uM A}) antibodies were a gift from D.A. Compton (Dartmouth College, Dartmouth, NH) and C.E. Walczak (Indiana University, Bloomington, IN), and anti-Eg5 antibodies have been described previously \cite{Walczak et al., 1998; Mountain et al., 1999}. These antibodies were used at 1 \mu M FITC- and Texas red-conjugated secondary antibodies (donkey) (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) were used at 15 \mu g/ml. Monastrol was synthesized and purified using published methods \cite{Mayer et al., 1999}.

**Cell Culture**

\textit{B S}-C-1 (monkey epithelial kidney) and Ptk\textit{2} (rat kangaroo) cells were cultured in \textit{D M E M} high glucose medium, supplemented with 10\% FCS and 100 \mu M penicillin and streptomycin. The cells were maintained at 37\°C and 5\% CO\textsubscript{2}. For the double thymidine arrest, exponentially growing BS-C-1 cells were cultured for 16 h in normal growth medium containing 2 mM thymidine (Sigma-A Ir\textit{d}ich). At this time, the cells were released into normal growth medium supplemented with 24 mM deoxycytidine (Sigma-A Ir\textit{d}ich) for 9 h. The second thymidine block was imposed for 16 h during which the cells were maintained in serum-free medium containing 2 mM thymidine. Finally, the cells were released into normal growth medium containing 24 mM deoxycytidine to which was added either 100 \mu M monastrol or 0.1\% D M SO. To assess the reversibility of the effect of monastrol and nocodazole treatment, BS-C-1 cells plated on coverslips were treated for 4 h in normal growth medium containing either 2 \mu M nocodazole or 100 \mu M monastrol and then released into normal medium. A t the different time points, coverslips were processed for immunofluorescence and the cells in interphase or mitosis were counted and categorized.

**Electron Microscopy**

To examine systematically the kinetochore–microtubule attachment on individual chromosomes, we used two different fixation conditions. In both cases, Ptk\textit{2} cells were cultured on poly-l-lysine–coated A C L A R coverslips (Ted Pella, Inc.). Condition 1 samples were permeabilized in buffer A (100 mM Pipes, pH 6.8, 1 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}, 5 mM EGTA, and 0.1\% Triton X-100) for 30 s at room temperature, then fixed with 1\% glutaraldehyde in buffer A for 30 min followed by two rinses in buffer A and three rinses in 0.1 M cacodylate, pH 7.0. Condition 2 samples were permeabilized in buffer B (80 mM Pipes, pH 6.8, 1 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}, 5 mM EGTA) with 0.1\% Triton X-100 and 10 \mu M Taxol for 3 min at 37\°C, then fixed in 1\% glutaraldehyde in buffer B with 10 \mu M Taxol for 30 min at 37\°C followed by two rinses in buffer B and three rinses in 0.1 M cacodylate, pH 7.0. Electron micrographs of samples prepared with condition 1 are shown in Fig., 4, A, D, and E; condition 2 was used for samples shown in Fig., 4, B and C. Samples processed using condition 1 or 2 were postfixed in 1\% osmium, 0.8\% K\textsubscript{2}Fe(CN)\textsubscript{6} in 0.1 M cacodylate for 15 min, then rinsed two
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Preparation and Imaging of Spindles in Xenopus Egg Ex...
electron microscopy. The higher magnification images show four centrioles, corresponding to two replicated centrosomes, at the center of the monoaster. The centrosomes in the cell shown are separated by 1.0 μm, and the two centrosomes within each centrosome are found in adjacent sections. Similar results were found for five other cells examined by electron microscopy. Untreated cells have two centrosomes, each with two centrioles, at opposite ends of a bipolar spindle (Fig. 2 B). Thus, monastrol does not affect the number of centrosomes or their separation. The structure and size of the centrosomes in monastrol-treated and untreated cells are similar, indicating that monastrol does not interfere with centriole replication or centrosome organization.

To quantitate the separation of the centrosomes in monastrol-treated cells, we immunolocalized pericentrin, a marker for centrosomes (Khodjakov et al., 2000), in mitotic Ptk2 cells treated with different concentrations of monastrol. Fig. 2 C shows two dots of pericentrin in a monastrol-treated cell, indicating that the replicated centrosomes are only slightly separated. In control cells, the average separation of the centrosomes at metaphase was 18.7 (± 1.0) μm. These results are quantitated in Fig. 2 D. Greater than 50% reduction in centrosome separation is observed at 50 μM monastrol. At 100 μM monastrol, the centrosome separation in inhibited mitotic cells is similar to that in untreated interphase cells with replicated centrosomes (data not shown). These observations indicate that monastrol inhibits the activities driving centrosome separation in cells and are consistent with genetic data on Eg5 inhibition (Hagan and Yanagida, 1992; Hoyt et al., 1992; Heck et al., 1993). The influence of these unseparated centrosomes on microtubule organization results in monoastral spindles.
Live images of BS-C-1 cells showed that interphase cells entering mitosis in the presence of 100 μM monastrol do not form bipolar spindles (data not shown). Instead, they proceed directly to the monoastral state. A diploid monastrol to cells that have already established a bipolar spindle did not result in spindle collapse, and anaphase was not inhibited in these cells.

**Immunostaining Kinetochore Microtubules in Monastrol-treated Cells Reveals Syntelic Oriented Chromosomes Attached to Microtubules**

We next examined the microtubule attachment and the orientation of sister kinetochores on chromosomes in monastrol-treated cells. In brief, treating mitotic cells with calcium during permeabilization and before fixation destabilizes nonkinetochore microtubules, leaving behind mainly kinetochore microtubules (Mitchison et al., 1986). Fig. 3 shows a monastrol-arrested Ptk2 cell cleared of its nonkinetochore microtubules, stained for kinetochores and tubulin. Stable kinetochore microtubules are seen in the monoastral structures that result from monastrol treatment. Although the molecular basis of the stability of the kinetochore microtubules to calcium treatment is not known, we find that kinetochore microtubules are stabilized to similar extents in monastrol-treated and untreated cells. Each condensed chromosome in this Ptk2 cell has two sister kinetochores, as expected. The J and V shapes of the chromosomes are indicative of their dynamic attachment to the radial array of microtubules in the monoastral spindle. To our surprise, in most cases both kinetochores on sister chromatid pairs appeared to be attached to kinetochore microtubule bundles that extend to the center of the monaster (see Table I). This orientation, termed syntelic orientation, is distinct from monotelic orientation, where one sister kinetochore is oriented to a spindle pole and the other oriented in the opposite direction, and amphitelic orientation, where two sister kinetochores are oriented to opposite poles in a bipolar spindle (Roos, 1976; Rieder, 1982). Monotelic and amphitelic orientations are typically observed in chromosomes in prometaphase and metaphase cells. Syntelic orientation has been observed for meiotic chromosomes (for reviews see Nicklas, 1971; Rieder, 1982). However, syntelic orientation for mitotic marsupial cells in culture has been documented as a rare event (Roos, 1973; Roos, 1976).

**Analysis of Kinetochore Orientation and Microtubule Attachment in Monastrol-treated Cells by Electron Microscopy**

Having observed syntelic oriented chromosomes with both sister kinetochores attached to microtubules in calcium-permeabilized, monastrol-treated cells, we used correlative light and electron microscopy to examine more carefully the kinetochore structure and microtubule attachment in these chromosomes. Fig. 4 A shows a DIC image of a mitotic Ptk2 cell treated with 50 μM monastrol, embedded in plastic. We then used serial section electron microscopic analysis to determine the orientation and microtubule attachment for both sister kinetochores for as many chromosomes as possible, in this cell (summarized in Table I). The ultrastructure of each kinetochore for the chromo-
treated cells. Cultured Ptk2 cells were treated with 50 μM monastrol for 4 h, permeabilized for 90 s in the presence of 0.1 mM calcium, then fixed and immunostained. Calcium treatment selectively removes nonkinetochore microtubules. Each chromosome (blue) has two kinetochores (red) that stain with CREST serum (A). In many cases, microtubule bundles (green) emanating from the pole attach to kinetochores. Several examples with both kinetochores of a sister pair attached to microtubules are observed. B and C show higher magnification micrographs for four pairs of kinetochores attached to microtubules. Bars: (A) 5 μm; (B and C) 1 μm.

Some chromosomes numbered 1, 2, and 3 in the light micrograph of the cell is shown (Fig. 4 A). In each of these chromosomes, both kinetochores were attached to microtubules and were oriented in the same direction towards the center of the monoastral spindle, consistent with our immunofluorescence data (Fig. 3). The organization and ultrastructure of syntelic kinetochores observed in monastrol-treated cells are similar to previously documented structures that resulted from spontaneous mistakes (Roos, 1976; Rieder, 1982).

The orientation and attachment of chromosomes in other monastrol-treated Ptk2 cells are shown in Fig. 4, B–D. In Fig. 4, B and C, are other typical syntelic oriented chromosomes. Fig. 4 D shows an example of a monotelic chromosome (with only one kinetochore attached [k1] to microtubules and the other unattached [k2], and facing in the opposite direction) in a monastrol-treated cell. Monotelic chromosomes were also observed but much less frequently than the syntelic oriented chromosomes, though there may be some bias in our ability to detect syntelic versus monotelic orientation, since kinetochores with no microtubules attached may escape detection by electron microscopy. Completely unattached chromosomes were not seen. Due to the shape of the cell and the limitations of thin section electron microscopy, we were unable to analyze every kinetochore for every chromosome in any one cell. We have been very conservative in categorizing kinetochores for individual chromosomes, and only chromosomes for which we unambiguously identified both kinetochores as distinct plates are listed in Table II. Our analysis indicates that at least 23% of the chromosomes had syntelic orientation with both kinetochores attached to microtubules. Less stringent interpretation of these electron micrographs and analyses of the images from light microscopy after calcium treatment (Table I) suggest that 70% of the chromosomes in monastrol-treated cells can have syntelic oriented sister kinetochores.

**Mad2 Localizes to Microtubule-attached Kinetochores in Monastrol-arrested Cells**

To examine the mechanism of the mitotic arrest induced by monastrol, we asked whether monastrol inhibits mitosis by activating the spindle assembly checkpoint. In marsupial cells, the localization of Mad2 to kinetochores has been used as a marker for those kinetochores that have not satisfied the checkpoint (for review see Rieder and Salmon, 1998). Fig. 5 A shows Mad2 immunolocalized to all unattached kinetochores on condensed chromatin in an early prometaphase Ptk2 cell. Immunolocalization of Mad2 in a monastrol-treated cell is shown in Fig. 5 B. Strong Mad2 staining, at least equivalent to that on prometaphase kinetochores, colocalized with a subset of kinetochores. Further analysis showed that for most of the chromosomes in a monastrol-treated cell, Mad2 localized to one of the two sister kinetochores (see Table III). Typically, though not always, strong Mad2 staining was observed on the kinetochore further from the pole while its sister was almost completely devoid of Mad2 staining.

Previous studies using small molecules to perturb microtubule dynamics and polymerization have shown that the kinetochore localization of Mad2 is sensitive to microtubule attachment (Waters et al., 1998). Based on our analysis of the microtubule attachment of chromosomes (see Tables I and II), we expected as many as 70% of the chromosomes to have both kinetochores attached to microtubules.
However, on average, 85% of the chromosomes in a cell have Mαd2 immunolocalized to one or both sister kinetochores on a chromosome (Table III). Based on this statistical analysis, we inferred that some kinetochores must be both Mαd2 positive and also attached to microtubules. To examine this, we stained a monastrol-treated cell for chromatin, tubulin, kinetochores, and Mαd2 after using calcium to selectively remove nonkinetochore microtubules (Fig. 6 A). The insets show two kinetochores (red) on two different chromosomes in the monaster, attached to microtubules (green). Both these kinetochores stain for Mαd2 (blue in the inset). For four cells, we examined the localization of Mαd2 and the attachment of sister kinetochores to microtubules for every chromosome for which we could unambiguously identify both sister kinetochores. Of the chromosomes that have syntelic orientation and have both kinetochores attached to microtubules (64%), 84% had at least one kinetochore positive for Mαd2. This indicates that the localization of Mαd2 cannot be sensitive only to microtubule attachment.

Monastrol Inhibits Bipolar Spindle Assembly in Xenopus Egg Extracts

To examine the roles of kinesin-dependent forces in bipolar spindle formation and maintenance, we used monastrol to inhibit Eg5 in Xenopus egg extracts. This cell-free extract system can assemble bipolar spindles in vitro and re-
capitulate several aspects of mitotic processes, making it a useful system to probe the function of spindle proteins, including Eg5 (Sawin et al., 1992; Walczak et al., 1998). First, we examined the effect of monastrol on bipolar spindle formation. Bipolar spindles were assembled in extracts where the sperm nuclei have been cycled through interphase to allow for chromosome and centrosome replication (Fig. 7) (Desai et al., 1999). Addition of monastrol at the time of CSF addition inhibited the formation of bipolar spindles in a dose-dependent manner. The IC_{50} (median inhibitory concentration) was 20 μM and is similar to that observed for intact BS-C-1 cells. The morphological effect of monastrol is similar to that seen for antibody inhibition of Eg5 in Xenopus egg extracts.

We next compared the localization of Eg5 in control bipolar spindles and monoastral spindles assembled in the presence of monastrol (Fig. 8). In untreated bipolar spindles, Eg5 is localized throughout the spindle with an enrichment at the poles (Fig. 8 B), as reported previously (Sawin et al., 1992) and consistent with data from other

Figure 5. Monastrol activates the MAD-dependent spindle assembly checkpoint. Immunofluorescence staining was used to localize Mad2 (green) on chromosomes (blue) in fixed Ptk2 cells. Kinetochore are immunolabeled with CREST serum (red). (A) Condensed chromosomes in a prometaphase cell have two kinetochores, one on each replicated sister chromatid. The overlay and the insets show Mad2 localized to each kinetochore. (B) Ptk2 cells treated with 50 μM monastrol show Mad2 localized to only one of the two kinetochores on sister chromatid. The insets show a chromosome with Mad2 localized to a kinetochore further from the center of the monoastral spindle: top, kinetochore alone; middle, Mad2 alone; bottom, overlay. Table III provides an analysis of the Mad2 staining for five monastrol-treated cells. Bars: (A and B) 5 μm; (A and B insets) 1 μm.

Figure 6. Mad2 localized to microtubule-attached kinetochores in monoastral spindles. (A) Monastrol (50 μM) treated Ptk2 cells permeabilized in the presence of 0.1 mM calcium before fixation were stained with Hoechst 33342, antitubulin (mouse), antikinetochore (CREST serum), and anti-Mad2 (rabbit). A single optical section of a monoastral spindle is shown with DNA (blue), tubulin (green), and kinetochores (red). The insets show two kinetochores on two different chromosomes from the cell showing the tubulin (green), kinetochores (red) (left), tubulin and Mad2 (blue) center, and a three color overlay (right) indicating the presence of Mad2 on two microtubule-attached kinetochores. (B) Four quadruple stained cells were optically sectioned, and chromosomes in these cells were sorted into the following categories: those with both kinetochores attached to microtubules and both kinetochores Mad2 positive; those with both kinetochores attached to microtubules and only one kinetochore Mad2 positive; those with one kinetochore attached to microtubules and Mad2 negative, and the other kinetochore unattached and Mad2 positive; and those with both kinetochores attached to microtubules and both kinetochores Mad2 negative. Bars: 1 μm.
systems (Hagan and Yanagida, 1990; Blangy et al., 1995). In the presence of monastrol, Eg5 is still localized primarily at the poles of the now monoastral spindle. Some punctate Eg5 staining is also observed along the microtubules in the monoasters (Fig. 8 D). It is difficult to quantitatively compare Eg5 localization in the bipolar and monoastral spindles. In in vitro assays, monastrol inhibits the Xenopus Eg5-dependent microtubule gliding. Using microtubule pelleting assays, we find that monastrol does not inhibit the microtubule binding of recombinant Eg5 in high ATP and high salt (see Online Supplementary Material). Thus, microtubule binding and the localization of Eg5 at spindle poles are not sufficient for bipolar spindle formation and probably require the motility of the kinesin.

Table III. Statistics of Mad2 Localization at Kinetochores in Monastrol-treated PtK2 Cells

| Cell | Kinetochores detected | Mad2-positive kinetochores | Chromosomes with Mad2 staining one kinetochore | Chromosomes with Mad2 staining two kinetochores | Chromosomes with Mad2 staining no kinetochores |
|------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 1    | 28                    | 14                        | 12                                            | 1                                             | 1                                             |
| 2    | 28                    | 14                        | 12                                            | 0                                             | 2                                             |
| 3    | 28                    | 14                        | 11                                            | 0                                             | 3                                             |
| 4    | 28                    | 13                        | 9                                             | 2                                             | 2                                             |
| 5    | 26                    | 14                        | 10                                            | 2                                             | 2                                             |

78 (± 8)%, 7 (± 7)%, 15 (± 8)%

SD is in parentheses.

Figure 7. Monastrol inhibits the assembly of bipolar spindles in Xenopus egg extracts. Tetramethyl rhodamine-labeled tubulin and Hoechst 33342 were added to CSF-arrested Xenopus egg extracts to visualize the microtubules (red) and the chromatin (blue). Calcium was added to drive the extract into interphase after which monastrol and CSF-arrested extract were added. (A) The different structures observed around chromatin were categorized as normal bipolar spindles (NBS), spindles with misaligned chromosomes (MAC), monoasters (MA), and miscellaneous (MISC). (B) Monastrol inhibits the formation of bipolar spindles in a dose-dependent manner. The different structures observed in spindles assembled at different concentrations of monastrol are shown. Greater than 200 structures were counted in two independent experiments and the averages are shown (± SE). Bars: 5 μm.

Spindle Poles Stay Organized in the Presence of Monastrol

We next examined the organization of spindle poles in structures formed in Xenopus egg extracts treated with monastrol. NuMA is a structural protein that localizes to the spindle pole and interacts with the microtubule motor, dynein (Merdes et al., 1996). Fig. 9 A shows the localization of NuMA at the poles of a control spindle assembled in Xenopus egg extracts. Addition of 50 μM monastrol at the start of the spindle assembly in cycled extracts leads to monoaasters that have NuMA localized at their centers (Fig. 9 B), indicating that the microtubule minus ends are focused at the center of these structures. Concentrations of monastrol higher than 100 μM result in asters with a cleared center (holey asters) (data not shown), reminiscent of structures observed in Eg5-specific antibody inhibition of aster formation in cell extracts (Sawin et al., 1992; Mountain et al., 1999).

We find that addition of monastrol to previously assembled bipolar spindles leads to their disassembly in Xenopus egg extracts. An image of a disassembling spindle fixed 20 min after monastrol addition to preformed spindles is shown in Fig. 9 C. The configuration of the microtubules and the chromatin correlates well with the real time imaging experiments described below. There is a remnant of the original bipolar spindle still present in this structure with NuMA localized to its poles. The NuMA staining and microtubule organization show the poles to be focused even at late stages of spindle disassembly. This indicates...
that monastrol concentrations that inhibit the formation of bipolar spindles in *Xenopus* egg extracts do not inhibit activities that maintain the localization of structural proteins at the poles and the focusing of the minus ends of the microtubules.

**Real Time Observations of Monastrol-induced Spindle Disassembly**

To examine the forces required for maintenance of bipolar spindles in *Xenopus* egg extracts, we imaged the effect of monastrol on preassembled bipolar spindles. Monastrol (50 μM) rapidly disassembles bipolar spindles assembled in *Xenopus* egg extracts. If spindles were trapped between a closely opposed coverslip and slide, the rate of disassembly was slower than that observed in test tubes or in thick sample preparations (see Materials and Methods and supplemental video at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/150/5/975/DC1). Under imaging conditions that allowed spindle disassembly to proceed uninhibited by physical constraints, spindles moved around, requiring continuous adjustment of the focus and the X and Y coordinates of the microscope stage throughout the video. Fluorescently labeled tubulin and DNA staining dye were added to the extract to visualize the microtubules and chromatin. A montage of a representative time lapse video is shown in Fig. 10A. It takes ~5 min after mixing in the inhibitor to find and focus on a bipolar structure and acquire the first image. The video is 22.3 min in length.

In the earliest stages of the disassembly, the metaphase plate rapidly falls apart and chromosomes are ejected orthogonal to the pole-to-pole axis. Even in the first frame of the video, the chromatin at the metaphase plate is not as tightly centered within the spindle as in control spindles.
During the disassembly, spindle microtubules splay out of the spindle midzone, but remain attached to the spindle poles. Microtubule attachment to the chromatin is not lost at any time during the disassembly process. Significant reduction in the distance between poles is not discernible until ~11 min after the addition of monastrol. After that, the poles slowly move together at an overall rate of ~1 μm/min until the structure becomes monoastral. As observed for disassembling bipolar spindles at fixed time points (Fig. 9 C), the spindle poles stay focused through the disassembly process. Once the structures became radially symmetrical, no further major changes were observed. Control (untreated) bipolar spindles imaged for similar lengths of time under identical conditions did not show any loss of bipolarity or chromosome mislocalization. During real time observations of monastrol-induced disassembly of bipolar spindles, we are unable to image the structure before or at the time of inhibitor addition. To compare the chromosome movements relative to the pole-to-pole distance, we took samples from disassembly reactions at fixed time points and measured two parameters, the pole-to-pole distance and the diameter of the smallest circle enclosing all the chromosomes in a spindle, and the pole-to-pole distance was measured (n = 10 for each time point). The increase in the chromosome index is completed by 10 min, while the reduction in pole-to-pole distance is only 35% complete. Bar: 5 μm.
linked at the spindle midzone, extrusion of chromosomes and attached microtubules out of the spindle, and spindle collapse by slow movements of the poles towards each other.

Discussion

Monastrol Is a Reversible and Specific Probe for Eg5-dependent Mitotic Processes

The usefulness of small molecules in cell biology depends on their specificity. We have examined the specificity of the inhibition of mitotic processes by monastrol in different ways. Unlike commonly used antimitotic agents such as nocodazole and taxol, monastrol does not affect the organization of microtubules in interphase cells or tubulin polymerization in vitro (Mayer et al., 1999). We have previously shown that monastrol does not disrupt lysosome and Golgi apparatus organization in interphase cells or chromosome dynamics in mitotic cells. We now show that monastrol does not inhibit S phase, G2 phase, or mitotic entry and that the reversal of the mitotic arrest due to monastrol is faster than the release of cells arrested by the microtubule poison, nocodazole. These fast kinetics of reversal should add to the usefulness of monastrol as a reagent for mitosis research in general.

Light and electron microscopy show that monastrol does not inhibit the centrosome duplication cycle. We have previously shown that monastrol inhibits the in vitro motility of Eg5, but does not inhibit conventional kinesin in vitro or other cellular processes dependent on other kinesin superfamily members (Mayer et al., 1999). Motor proteins such as dynein have been implicated in maintaining the localization of centrosomes and centrosomal proteins at spindle poles (M erdes et al., 1996; Mountain et al., 1999). A deletion of monastrol to bipolar spindles assembled in vitro results in the formation of monoaasters, but during the disassembly reaction, the spindle poles stay focused and organized, indicating that dynein- and pole-associated activities are not inhibited by monastrol. We have also examined several structural analogues of monastrol and find that every analogue that inhibits cells in mitosis with monoastral spindles also inhibits Eg5 motility in vitro (Maliga, Z., T.M. Kapoor, T.U. Mayer, and T.J. Mitchison, manuscript in preparation). Together, these observations underscore the specificity of monastrol, a small molecule that arrests cells in mitosis by inhibiting the kinesin Eg5.

The Role of Eg5 in Spindle Assembly

We have used monastrol to probe the function of Eg5 and its contribution to the organization and maintenance of the bipolar spindle assembled in Xenopus egg extracts. Our in vitro data (see Online Supplemental Material and Figure S1 at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/150/5/975/D.C1) and observations suggest that monastrol inhibits Eg5 motility and not its microtubule binding. Also, monastrol does not prevent the localization of Eg5 to monoastral spindles. Therefore, we can conclude that Eg5 localizes to spindles in part by mechanisms that do not require it to be an active motor. Furthermore, localization of Eg5 at spindle poles is not sufficient for bipolar spindle formation and probably requires the motility of the kinesin.

Gheber et al. (1999) have compared mutants of Cin8, the S. cerevisiae homologue of Eg5, in vivo and in vitro. For cin8-3, the microtubule gliding was impaired but not the microtubule binding. However, this mutant was capable of generating bipolar spindles more efficiently than another mutant form, cin8-F467A, whose in vitro microtubule binding was compromised but not its motility. Based on these observations, the authors propose that the ability of the motor to bind microtubules is more important for establishing bipolar spindles than the motor’s motility. The disparity between these observations in yeast and our data in Xenopus extracts is likely to represent differences between the spindles in these two systems. Alternatively, Eg5 function may not be essential to maintain spindle bipolarity or its function may be masked by other activities that complete mitosis. Consistent with this explanation, Blangy et al. (1995) have shown that injection of Eg5-specific antibodies into HeLa cells that already have a bipolar spindle does not lead to spindle collapse or inhibit anaphase. Saunders and Hoyt (1992) have also shown that...
in S. cerevisiae, deletion of Cin8 does not inhibit anaphase. Alternatively, the ongoing requirement for Eg5 may be system dependent. For example, in tissue culture cells, cortical dynein might help keep the poles apart once the spindle is built (Busson et al., 1998). While the generality of our observations in Xenopus egg extracts is not yet clear, we do think that these observations reveal a set of forces that act in spindles, whose significance is likely to be general.

Detection and Correction of Syntelic Orientation

Using light and electron microscopy, we observed that many, perhaps most, of the chromosomes in monastrol-treated Ptk2 cells show syntelic orientation, with both kinetochores attached to the center of the monoaasters, by parallel, calcium-stable kinetochore fibers. The ability of sister chromatids to adopt this orientation demonstrates a surprising flexibility in the linkage between sister kinetochores in Ptk cells. Syntelic orientation could result from collapse of a bipolar spindle, but our real time observations suggest that monastrol-treated cells entering mitosis gain this syntelic orientation by de novo capture of microtubules from a single pole by both kinetochores in a sister pair. We propose that the orientations of the chromosomes we have documented are a manifestation of the robust microtubule–kinetochore attachment possible in the presence of monastrol. By this argument, syntelic chromosome orientation is not observed in monoastatic structures such as “chromosome spheres,” that form when centrosome separation is inhibited in Ptk1 cells treated with low concentrations of colcemid, a microtubule depolymerizer (for review see Rieder, 1982), because this agent either weakens the kinetochore–microtubule interactions or reduces the ability of microtubules to dynamically explore space and become captured by kinetochores (Rieder and Salmon, 1998).

Syntelic orientation is a common error early in meiotic spindles (Nicklas, 1971), but its prevalence in early mitosis is less clear. A systematic analysis of the frequency at which syntelic orientation of chromosomes occurs by spontaneous mistakes during mitosis has not been documented. Roos has described this form of chromosome malorientation as a rare event during prometaphase in Ptk cells (Roos, 1973; Roos, 1976; Rieder, 1982). In spontaneous monopolar spindles in newt cells, syntelic orientation of chromosomes has not been observed (Cassimeris, L., and E.D. Salmon, personal communication). However, Ault and Rieder (1992) propose that syntelic orientation of chromosomes is likely to be a common error in mitosis, and that a specific mechanism must exist for correcting it, as has been demonstrated in meiosis. However, it has been difficult to study syntelic orientation in mitosis because it is normally transient, and presumably rapidly corrected. In monastrol-treated cells, as many as 70% of the chromosomes can be syntetically oriented at steady state. Monastrol treatment may thus provide a tool to systematically study how syntelic orientation is corrected.

Our observations suggest that Mad2 localization at kinetochores cannot simply be a sensor for microtubule attachment. It is more likely that the Mad2 localization at kinetochores senses a subtler aspect of microtubule attachment, for example, the exact number of microtubules at the kinetochore or even the dynamic behavior of kinetochores. In some systems, tension at the kinetochore has been proposed to be an important signal for checkpoint activation (Nicklas et al., 1995; Nicklas, 1997). Monastrol-treated cells have condensed chromosomes that maintain dynamic attachments with microtubules. During oscillations, chromosomes in monoaasters should experience forces that fluctuate and even change directions. It is likely that tension at either of these kinetochores would be variable. We anticipate that combining immunoelectron microscopy with a detailed analysis of microtubule number at different kinetochores will facilitate the characterization of the signal that regulates Mad2 localization to kinetochores. Correlating these observations with the dynamics of chromosomes in monastrol-treated cells should allow the mechanism of checkpoint activation to be clarified.
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