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Abstract

Background

It has been shown that the prognosis of malignant tumors was closely related to the composition and function of immune system, which was associated with genomic features. However, the prognostic value of peripheral T lymphocyte subsets and its relationship with genomic features in lung cancer has not been analyzed extensively. Therefore, this study was intended to evaluate the relationship between lymphocyte subsets and the prognosis and genomic features of lung cancer.

Methods

598 lung cancer patients with complete data were included in this study between 2011 and 2018. Kaplan-Meier method and Pearson analyses were conducted to study the prognostic value of CD3+, CD4+, CD8+ T lymphocytes and the rate of CD4/CD8.

Results

Patients with EGFR mutation has lower percentage of CD8+ lymphocytes than patients with EGFR wild-type (24.71 versus 26.62, respectively, \( P = 0.041 \)). Patients with high CD3+ had better OS than those with low (27 versus 14 months, \( P = 0.002 \)). OS of higher CD4+ and CD4/CD8 rate had longer OS than of lower (27 versus 12 months, \( P = 0.002 \); 25 versus 9 months, \( P = 0.008 \), respectively). Patients with high CD8+ had poor PFS than low group (6 versus 11 months, \( P = 0.009 \)). There was a negative correlation between CD3+ and CD4+ cells and OS in smoking stage 2 female lung cancer patients (PCC = 0.626, \( P < 0.05 \); PCC = 0.534, \( P < 0.05 \), respectively). In stage 1 male lung cancer patients, CD8+ T cell is negatively correlated with OS and PFS (PCC = 0.295, \( P < 0.05 \); PCC = 0.280, \( P < 0.05 \), respectively)

Conclusions

Lung cancer patients with EGFR mutation had lower percentage of CD8+ lymphocytes. Lymphocyte subsets might be potential prognostic biomarkers of lung cancer, but they are affected by gender and tumor stage.

Background

Lung cancer has become the most common malignant tumor with the highest mortality rate in the world[1]. It accounts for about a quarter of all cancer deaths[2]. Although the treatment of lung cancer, such as surgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy and targeted therapy, has made great progress in recent years, the prognosis of lung cancer is still poor because most patients have been diagnosed in the locally
advanced stage or in the stage of extensive metastasis[3]. The five-year survival rate for patients with localized lung cancer is about 55%, while for patients with distant metastasis is only 4%[4, 5].

A series of studies have shown that the occurrence, development and prognosis of malignant tumors are closely related to the composition and function of immune system[6, 7]. The systemic effects of tumor can make distant tissues and organs change in favor of metastasis and promote the progress of tumor[8–13]. The metastasis of lung cancer is related to the increase of circulating tumor cells [14], which can be influenced by the change of CD3+, CD4+ cells in peripheral blood[15]. A study about laryngeal squamous cell cancer indicates lower CD4+/CD8 + ratios are related to recurrent disease and a higher level of CD3 + and CD4 + is associated with nodal metastasis[16]. The increased risk of lung cancer is associated with low CD4 cell count and low CD4/CD8 ratio[17]. However, the prognostic value of peripheral T lymphocytes subsets in lung cancer has not been proved by studies with large data.

It has been reported that the genomic features of lung cancer were associated with inflammatory tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes[18]. For example, the infiltrating B lymphocyte abundance was higher in the EGFR-mutated patients[19]. However, the relationship between genomic features and circulating lymphocyte subsets was not clear. Hence, our study was aimed to evaluate the relationship between lymphocyte subsets and the prognosis and genomic features of lung cancer.

Methods

Patients and data collection

We retrospectively reviewed the medical records of patients who diagnosed with lung cancer at West China Hospital, Sichuan University, from January 2011 to January 2018. Inclusion criteria were: lung cancer patients, age > 18 years, with enough clinical and blood test data, no previous treatment for lung cancer before diagnosis. Criteria for exclusion from this study were: individuals with other malignancies or combined with immune system disease, with an infection history or using drugs which could affect their immune system within one month before diagnosis.

Clinical and pathological data of included patients were collected through the medical record management system of West China Hospital. The basic information collected were: age at diagnosis, gender, smoking history, and histology. TNM stage of all patients were restaged according to the 8th International Classification System for Lung Cancer[20]. Peripheral indicators were obtained < 1 week prior to the first treatment, including the proportion of CD3+, CD4+, CD8 + T lymphocyte subpopulations and the ratio of CD4/CD8.

EGFR mutation, ALK and ROS-1 rearrangements testing

Tumor specimens used for EGFR mutation, ALK and ROS-1 rearrangements detection were obtained from surgery, bronchoscopy, computed tomography (CT)-guided biopsy, and pleural effusion. EGFR mutation detection was carried out using Amplification Refractory Mutation System technology as the ADx EGFR
Mutations Detection Kit (Amoy Diagnostics, China) had been approved for clinical application by the State Food and Drug Administration in China. ALK and ROS-1 gene testing was conducted on biopsy samples using immunostaining or the fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) method.

**Statistical analysis**

The survival data included overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS). OS was defined as the time from the start of treatment to death from any cause. PFS was the time from start of treatment to radiographic or clinical progression or death from any cause. Patients who had not progressed or died at the last time of follow-up were censored at the date of the last contact.

X-tile software (Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA) was used to determine the optimal cut-off values of CD3+, CD4+, CD8+, CD4+/CD8+, NLR, and PLR for predicting lung cancer prognosis[21]. Kaplan-Meier curves were used for the analysis of OS and PFS. The difference between groups were compared by the log-rank test. Canonical Correlation Analysis (Canoco 5.0) and Pearson analysis were used to evaluate the correlation between CD3+, CD4+, CD8+, CD4+/CD8+, NLR, PLR, and other characteristics of lung cancer and PFS, OS. The comparison of lymphocyte subsets between different genomic features was performed by t-test. Two-tailed $P$ values of < 0.05 were considered to define statistical significance. All statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

**Results**

**Patients characteristics**

597 lung cancer patients with enough clinical and peripheral blood data were included in this study. The baseline characteristics of these patients were shown in Table 1. Median age of this patients was 61 years old. More than half of patients were male (58.96%) and smokers (53.77%). 397 (66.5%) individuals were diagnosed with adenocarcinoma. The majority of patients were diagnosed at late tumor stage (III-IV, 72.53%). The prevalence of EGFR mutation in tumor cell was 45.55%, ALK rearrangement 8.11%, ROS-1 rearrangement 2.56%, PD-L1 expression positive 59.01%.
| Characteristics               | Value                                      |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| **Age, years**               | **median** 61                              |
|                              | **range** 20–87                            |
| **Gender**                   | Male 352 (58.96)                           |
|                              | Female 245 (41.04)                         |
| **Smoking**                  | No 276 (46.23)                             |
|                              | Yes 321 (53.77)                            |
| **Histology**                | Adenocarcinoma 397 (66.50)                |
|                              | Squamous carcinoma 100 (16.75)             |
|                              | Others 100 (16.75)                         |
| **Stage**                    | 1 103 (17.25)                              |
|                              | 2 61 (10.22)                               |
|                              | 3 167 (27.97)                              |
|                              | 4 266 (44.56)                              |
| **CD3 (%)**                  | **mean** 66.69                             |
|                              | **Standard deviation** 10.89               |
| **CD4 (%)**                  | **mean** 36.98                             |
|                              | **Standard deviation** 9.44                |
| **CD8 (%)**                  | **mean** 25.27                             |
|                              | **Standard deviation** 9.19                |
| **CD4/CD8**                  | **mean** 1.76                              |
|                              | **Standard deviation** 1.53                |
| **EGFR mutation**            | positive 169 (45.55%)                      |
|                              | negative 202 (54.45%)                      |
| **ALK rearrangement**        | positive 36 (8.11%)                        |
|                              | negative 408 (91.89%)                      |
| **ROS-1 rearrangement**      | positive 9 (2.56%)                         |
|                              | negative 343 (97.44%)                      |
| **PD-L1 expression**         | positive 95 (59.01%)                       |
The percentage of T-lymphocyte subsets in lung cancer patients with different genomic aberration and PD-L1 expression was shown in Table 2. Patients with EGFR mutation has lower percentage of CD8+ lymphocytes than patients with EGFR wild-type (24.71 ± 8.69 and 26.62 ± 9.23, respectively, $P=0.041$). There was no significant difference in the proportion of T-lymphocyte subsets between PD-L1 positive and negative patients.

| Age, years | median | negative | 66 (40.99%) |
|-----------|--------|----------|-------------|

Table 2

Circulating T-lymphocyte subsets of different patients with lung cancer (mean ± standard deviation)

|                      | CD3 (%) | $P$-value | CD4 (%) | $P$-value | CD8 (%) | $P$-value | CD4/CD8 | $P$-value |
|----------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| **EGFR mutation**    |         |           |         |           |         |           |         |           |
| positive             | 67.26 (±10.90) | 0.368     | 37.72 (±8.77) | 0.389     | 24.71 (±8.69) | 0.041     | 1.80 (±0.98) | 0.938     |
| negative             | 68.25 (±10.28) |           | 36.92 (±9.11) |           | 26.62 (±9.23) |           | 1.78 (±2.59) |           |
| **ALK rearrangement**|         |           |         |           |         |           |         |           |
| positive             | 67.99 (±9.18) | 0.774     | 35.82 (±8.95) | 0.231     | 27.19 (±8.08) | 0.184     | 1.48 (±0.74) | 0.289     |
| negative             | 66.46 (±10.57) |           | 37.69 (±8.98) |           | 25.18 (±8.74) |           | 1.82 (±1.93) |           |
| **ROS-1 rearrangement** |       |           |         |           |         |           |         |           |
| positive             | 69.02 (±11.85) | 0.768     | 41.90 (±8.33) | 0.177     | 22.64 (±5.95) | 0.334     | 1.96 (±0.65) | 0.816     |
| negative             | 67.96 (±10.58) |           | 37.78 (±9.03) |           | 25.49 (±8.77) |           | 1.80 (±2.04) |           |
| **PD-L1 expression** |         |           |         |           |         |           |         |           |
| positive             | 69.58 (±9.29) | 0.834     | 37.70 (±9.75) | 0.308     | 27.50 (±10.46) | 0.075     | 1.58 (±0.722) | 0.069     |
| negative             | 69.94 (±11.72) |           | 39.19 (±8.64) |           | 24.79 (±8.68) |           | 1.80 (±0.792) |           |

Survival outcomes
The percentage of pretreatment circulating CD3+, CD4+, CD8+ lymphocytes and CD4/CD8 ratio were 67.8%, 37.4%, 24.2%, and 1.54 respectively. Median NLR was 3.39 and PLR was 138.94. The cut-off values of these indicators for predicting prognosis in lung cancer patients were defined by X-tile software. CD3 was 67.25%, CD4 was 42%, CD8 was 33.9%, CD4/CD8 was 1.085, NLR was 1.9, and PLR was 213.8. Patients were stratified into 2 groups (low groups and high groups) by the cut-off value of these indicators, when Kaplan-Meier curves and log-rank test were used for the analysis of OS and PFS.

The median OS of all included lung cancer patients was 23 months (95% confidence interval (CI), 18.56–27.44), and the median PFS was 10 months (95%, 7.76–12.24). The OS rates of patients according to CD3+, CD4+, CD8+, and CD4/CD8 were shown in Fig. 1. The CD3-high group had higher OS than the CD3-low group, with a median OS of 27 versus 14 months ($P=0.002$). Patients with higher CD4+ shown longer OS (CD4-high versus CD4-low, 27 versus 12 months, $P=0.002$). The higher rate of CD4/CD8 also had better OS (CD4/CD8-high versus CD4/CD8-low, 25 versus 9 months, $P=0.008$). However, OS showed no significant difference between CD8-high and CD8-low group (16 versus 25 months, $P=0.1$). Patients with higher CD3+, CD4+, or CD4/CD8 rate had longer PFS (11 versus 8 months, $P=0.017$; 11 versus 5 months, $P=0.003$; 11 versus 5 months, $P=0.002$, respectively). Conversely, the CD8-high group had poor PFS than the CD8-low group (6 versus 11 months, $P=0.009$). The PFS rates of patients according to CD3+, CD4+, CD8+, and CD4/CD8 were shown in Fig. 2. The OS and PFS was significant longer in the NLR-low group (OS, 88 versus 19 months, $P<0.001$; PFS, 26 versus 7 months, $P<0.001$, respectively), which was also found in the PLR-low group (OS, 29 versus 11 months, $P<0.001$; PFS, 13 versus 4 months, $P<0.001$, respectively) (Additional file 1).

The correlation between lymphocyte subsets and prognosis

Canonical Correlation Analysis was used to analysis the correlation between lymphocyte subsets, clinical characteristics and prognosis of lung cancer. As shown in Fig. 3, gender and stage had potential impacts on PFS and OS. Smoking had an impact on the prognosis of female patients. According to clinical characteristic, the patients were divided into different groups to explore the relationship between lymphocyte and prognosis. In non-smoking stage 2 female lung cancer patients, CD8+ T cell is positively correlated with OS and PFS (Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC) = 0.587, $P<0.05$; PCC = 0.586, $P<0.05$, respectively) (Additional file 2). There was a negative correlation between CD3+ and CD4+ cells and OS in smoking stage 2 female lung cancer patients (PCC = 0.626, $P<0.05$; PCC = 0.534, $P<0.05$, respectively) (Additional file 3). In stage 1 male lung cancer patients, CD8+ T cell is negatively correlated with OS and PFS (PCC = 0.295, $P<0.05$; PCC = 0.280, $P<0.05$, respectively) (Additional file 4). Indicators related to the prognosis of patients with lung cancer are listed in Fig. 4.

**Discussion**

This study indicated that the high level of peripheral CD3+, CD4+ T cell and CD4/CD8 ratio were associated with longer OS in lung cancer patients. However, the high baseline of CD8+ T cells was
significant predictors of poor PFS. Lung cancer patients with EGFR mutation had lower percentage of CD8+ lymphocytes.

Previous study observed that the risk of lung cancer was associated with low CD4 cell count and low CD4/CD8 ratio\[17\]. Peripheral CD8+ T cells was higher in lung cancer patients compared to the health, while CD3+ and CD4+ T cells, and CD4/CD8 ratio was significantly lower\[22\]. The relationship of lower CD4+/CD8+ ratios and recurrent disease and higher level of CD3+/CD4+ and nodal metastasis was found in laryngeal squamous cell cancer\[16\].

In our study, the prevalence of EGFR mutation in tumor cell was 45.55%, ALK rearrangement 8.11%, ROS-1 rearrangement 2.56%, which was similar to the results of other studies\[23–25\]. The expression of PD-L1 in tumor cell was consistent with previous studies\[26, 27\]. We found patients with EGFR mutation had lower percentage of circulating CD8+ T cells. A precious study found there was no significant difference in the proportion of tumor infiltration CD8+ T cell between EGFR mutation and EGFR wild-type patients\[28\]. Future researches are need to clarify the relationship of T lymphocytes and EGFR mutation in lung cancer.

The survival curve of this study showed that peripheral CD4+ T cells but not CD8+ T cells were associated with OS in lung cancer. A previous research also indicated that tumor infiltrating CD4+ T cells, not CD8+ T cells, were associated with favorable prognosis in human non-small cell lung cancers\[29\]. In contrast, an meta-analysis found that CD4+ T cells in tumor compartment had no influence on OS, while CD8+ T cells were associated with better prognosis in terms of OS in non-small cell lung cancer patients\[30\]. They also found that elevated CD3+ T cells was associated with improved OS, which was constant with our results. Another meta-analysis clarified that high level of CD3+, CD4+, and CD8+ T cells infiltration showed better OS in lung cancer patients\[31\]. The distant prognosis value of T cells in different sites was needed to be explored by more researches. Increased CD8+ CD28+ T cells independently predicted favorable OS and PFS in lung adenocarcinoma, while high levels of CD8+ CD28-T cells predicted unfavorable OS and PFS in lung squamous cell carcinoma\[32\]. The prognosis value was associated with the subtypes of CD8+ T cells. Therefore, the prognostic value of different subtypes of CD4+/CD8+ T cells in lung cancer were needed to be studied by more researches.

**Conclusion**

Lung cancer patients with EGFR mutation had lower percentage of CD8+ lymphocytes. High level of peripheral CD3+ T cells predict longer OS in lung cancer patients, while high level of peripheral CD8+ T cells are associated with poor PFS. Lymphocyte subsets might be potential prognostic biomarkers of lung cancer, but they are affected by gender and tumor stage.

**Abbreviations**

 EGFR
Epidermal growth factor receptor; OS:Overall survival; PFS:Progression-free survival; ROS-1:Proto-oncogene receptor tyrosine kinase; ALK:Anaplastic lymphoma kinase; HR:Hazard ratio; CI:Confidence interval; PCC:Pearson correlation coefficient.
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