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Abstract
Conformal blocks form a system of vector bundles over the moduli space of complex curves with marked points. We discuss various aspects of these bundles. In particular, we present conjectures about the dimensions of sub-bundles. They imply a Verlinde formula for non-simply connected groups like $PGL(n, C)$.

We then explain how conformal blocks enter in the construction of conformal field theories on surfaces with boundaries. Such surfaces naturally appear in the conformal field theory description of string propagation in the background of a D-brane. In this context, the sub-bundle structure of the conformal blocks controls the structure of symmetry breaking boundary conditions.
1 Introduction

Two-dimensional conformal field theory plays a fundamental role in the theory of two-dimensional critical systems of classical statistical mechanics, in quasi one-dimensional condensed matter physics and in string theory. Moreover, this field of research has repeatedly contributed substantially to the interaction between mathematics and physics. The study of defects in systems of condensed matter physics, of percolation probabilities, and of (open) string perturbation theory in the background of certain string solitons, the so-called D-branes, has recently driven theoretical physicists to analyze conformal field theories on surfaces that may have boundaries and/or can be non-orientable.

In the present contribution, we would like to describe some mathematical aspects of this recent development and state some conjectures about the sub-bundle structure of the bundles of conformal blocks. In physics, this structure enters in the description of symmetry breaking boundary conditions. In the special case of WZW models our conjectures imply a Verlinde formula for non-simply connected groups like $PGL(n, \mathbb{C})$.

We are grateful to the organizers of the third European Congress of Mathematics for the invitation to present these results.

2 Chiral and full conformal field theory

The investigation of boundary conditions makes it clear that it is indispensable to distinguish carefully between chiral conformal field theory, CCFT, and full conformal field theory, CFT. Although a CFT is constructed from a CCFT, both types of theories are rather different in nature. Mathematicians typically have in mind chiral conformal field theory, CCFT, when they use the term conformal field theory; one goal of the present note is to draw their attention to this difference. In physics, both CCFT and CFT have found applications: CFT in string theory and the study of two-dimensional critical systems, CCFT in the description of quantum Hall fluids (for a recent review see [14]).

A CCFT is defined on a closed, compact complex curve $\hat{X}$; a CFT, in contrast, is defined on a real two-dimensional manifold $X$ that is endowed with a conformal structure, i.e. a class of metrics modulo local rescalings. While $\hat{X}$ is oriented and has empty boundary, $X$ is allowed to have a boundary and/or to be non-orientable.

To any such $X$, one finds a complex curve $\hat{X}$, the double, together with an anti-conformal involution $\sigma$ of $\hat{X}$ such that $X$ is isomorphic to the quotient of $\hat{X}$ by $\sigma$. (When $X$ has empty boundary, $\hat{X}$ is just the total space of the orientation bundle of $X$.) $\hat{X}$ is not necessarily connected; also, $\sigma$ does not necessarily act freely: fixed points of $\sigma$ correspond to boundary points of $\hat{X}$.

A double can also be constructed when $X$ has dimension higher than two, and it will be an oriented conformal manifold. However, only in two dimensions a conformal structure plus an orientation are equivalent to a holomorphic structure; in higher dimensions, additional integrability constraints have to be fulfilled. This simple fact implies that specifically in two dimensions the powerful tools of the theory of holomorphic functions are at one’s disposal.

We will start with a discussion of chiral conformal field theory, exhibiting in particular some aspects of the bundles of conformal blocks. For instance, we will explain conjectures about the
sub-bundle structure of these bundles. Full CFT will be discussed in Section 4. The general idea is to relate full CFT on $X$ to chiral conformal field theory on the double $\hat{X}$.

3 Chiral conformal field theory

3.1 Conformal vertex operator algebras

The definition of a chiral conformal field theory starts with a conformal vertex operator algebra (VOA) $(\mathcal{H}_\Omega, v_\Omega, Y, v_{\text{vir}})$. Here $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$ is a complex vector space, $v_\Omega$ and $v_{\text{vir}}$ are vectors in $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$, and

$$Y : \mathcal{H}_\Omega \to \text{End}(\mathcal{H}_\Omega)[[z, z^{-1}]]$$

is a map into the space of Laurent series in a formal variable $z$ with values in $\text{End}(\mathcal{H}_\Omega)$, called a field-state correspondence. One imposes a weakened version of commutativity, called locality: for each pair of vectors $v, w \in \mathcal{H}_\Omega$, there is an integer $N = N(v, w)$ such that

$$(z - w)^N Y(v, z) Y(w, b) = (z - w)^N Y(w, b) Y(v, z)$$

in the sense of formal power series. For precise definitions and an introduction to VOAs, see e.g. [24].

One way to think of the field-state correspondence is as a family of products on the vector space $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$ that depend on the formal variable $z$:

$$v *_z w = Y(v, z)w.$$ (3.3)

It is remarkable that "commutativity" (3.2) implies a kind of associativity: one has

$$a *_z (b *_w c) = (a *_{z-w} b) *_w c.$$ (3.4)

for all $a, b, c \in \mathcal{H}_\Omega$. The vector $\Omega$ is called the vacuum vector. Under field-state correspondence, it is mapped to the identity map, $Y(\Omega, z) = \text{id}$. The Virasoro vector $v_{\text{vir}}$ has the property that the modes $L_n$ in the expansion of the corresponding field, the stress energy tensor,

$$Y(v_{\text{vir}}, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_n z^{-n-2}$$ (3.5)

span an infinite-dimensional Lie algebra that is isomorphic to the Virasoro algebra.

At first sight a VOA might seem a rather ad hoc kind of algebraic structure. We would like to stress, however, that it is a very natural concept indeed. Apart from their motivation from physics (for a review see [24]), VOAs can be naturally characterized in a category theoretic framework as singular commutative rings in certain categories [3]. Examples of VOAs can be constructed from various infinite-dimensional Lie algebras like the Heisenberg algebra, the Virasoro algebra or untwisted affine Lie algebras; the latter case gives rise to the so-called WZW models. Moreover, the chiral de Rham complex [25] allows to associate to any complex variety a VOA as an invariant.

For every algebraic structure, it is natural to investigate its representation theory. Thus denote by $I$ the set of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of a given VOA, and $\mathcal{H}_\mu$ a
representative for $\mu \in I$. Elements of $I$ will also be called labels. Any irreducible representation carries in particular a representation of the Virasoro algebra. Usually, one requires that $L_0$ acts by semi-simple operators. The axioms of a VOA then imply that the spectrum of $L_0$ is integrally spaced and bounded from below. The lowest eigenvalue of $L_0$ in $\mathcal{H}_\mu$ is called the conformal weight $\Delta_\mu$.

A special representation is given by the vector space $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$ of the VOA itself; it is called the vacuum representation, and the corresponding label in $I$ will be denoted by $\Omega$. A VOA is called rational iff the set $I$ is finite and every representation is completely reducible. The representation theory of VOAs is a model dependent problem that can be quite intricate; see e.g. [17, 27] for the so-called coset conformal field theories.

### 3.2 Conformal blocks

VOAs formalize aspects of the ‘observables’ of chiral two-dimensional field theories. As a consequence, the algebraic structure of a VOA fits together very well with certain two-dimensional geometries – more precisely, since the theory is chiral, with the geometry of complex curves. To see how this works out, we fix a complex curve $\hat{X} = \hat{X}_g$ of genus $g$, and $m$ distinct smooth points $\vec{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_m)$ on $\hat{X}$, the insertion points. A VOA should be thought of as being associated to a (formal) punctured disc with coordinate $z$; to the data $\hat{X}, \vec{z}$ one can associate a global version of the VOA. In the case of $\hat{X} = \mathbb{C}P^1$ with three marked points, this global version also leads to the coproduct for the VOA that was defined in [12].

When we fix additional data, labels $\vec{\lambda} = \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_m$ and local coordinates $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_m$ for each insertion point, this global algebra acts on the algebraic dual $(\mathcal{H}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \mathbb{C} \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C} \mathcal{H}_{\lambda_m})^*$, of the tensor product of the modules $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda_i}$. The vector space $V_{\vec{\lambda}}(\hat{X}_g)$ of conformal blocks is by definition the invariant subspace under this action. We call a complex curve with the additional data we just described an extended curve.

For the vertex operator algebra of the WZW model based on an untwisted affine Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{(1)}$ at level $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the vector spaces $V_{\vec{\lambda}}(\hat{X}_g)$ also appear naturally in algebraic geometry. The space $V_{\Omega}(\hat{X}_g)$, e.g., can be identified with the space of holomorphic sections in the $k$-th power of a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ over the moduli space of holomorphic $G$-connections over $\hat{X}$, where $G$ is the simple, connected and simply connected complex Lie group with Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

One can show that the vector spaces $V_{\vec{\lambda}}(\hat{X}_g)$ with fixed $\vec{\lambda}$ and $g$ fit together into a vector bundle $V_{\vec{\lambda}, g}$ over the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,m}$ of complex curves of genus $g$ with $m$ marked points. There is no reason why this vector bundle $V_{\vec{\lambda}, g}$ should be irreducible. Later, we will describe some sub-bundles which enter in the description of symmetry breaking boundary conditions.

The Virasoro element $v_{\text{vir}}$ can also be used to endow this bundle with a projectively flat connection, the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov connection. As a result, the dimension of the vector space $V_{\vec{\lambda}}(\hat{X}_g)$ depends only on the genus $g$ and on $\vec{\lambda}$. The Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov connection
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1 This requirement is weakened in so-called logarithmic conformal field theories.
2 This algebra has been named chiral algebra in [4]; unfortunately this does not agree with terminology in physics, where a chiral algebra is a VOA.
implies a projective action of the fundamental group of $\mathcal{M}_{g,m}$, the mapping class group, on $V_\lambda(\hat{X}_g)$. In the particular case of $g=1$ and with one insertion of the vacuum $\Omega$, we obtain a projective representation of the modular group $PSL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ on a complex vector space of dimension $|I|$. In a natural basis, the generator $T: \tau \mapsto \tau + 1$ of $PSL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ is represented by a unitary diagonal matrix $T_{\lambda,\mu}$ and $S: \tau \mapsto -1/\tau$ by a unitary symmetric matrix $S_{\lambda,\mu}$.

Up to this point, the structures we discussed are mathematically essentially under control (see e.g. [13]). There is, however, one further crucial aspect of conformal blocks, known as factorization. The curve $\hat{X}$ is allowed to have ordinary double points. Blowing up such a point $p$ yields a new curve $\hat{X}'$ with a projection to $\hat{X}$ under which $p$ has two pre-images $p'_\pm$. By factorization one means the existence of a canonical isomorphism

$$V_\lambda(\hat{X}) \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \in I} V_{\lambda\cup\{\mu,\mu^+\}}(\hat{X'})$$

between the blocks on $\hat{X}$ and $\hat{X}'$. This structure tightly links the system of bundles $V_{\lambda,g}$ over the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{g,m}$ for different values of $g$ and $m$. One consequence is that one can express the rank of $V_{\lambda,g}$ for all values of $m$ and $g$ in terms of the matrix $S$ that we encountered in the description of the action of the modular group. This results in the famous Verlinde formula, which reads

$$\text{rank} V_{\lambda,g} = \sum_{\mu \in I} \prod_{i=1}^m S_{\lambda_i,\mu} S_{\Omega,\mu} |S_{\Omega,\mu}|^{2-2g}. \quad (3.8)$$

The matrix $S$ can be computed explicitly for concrete models; in the case of WZW models, $S$ is given by the Kac-Peterson formula. The combination of the Kac-Peterson formula for $S$ with the general Verlinde formula (3.8) then gives the Verlinde formula in the sense of algebraic geometry [1, 8].

### 3.3 Sub-bundles

We now discuss sub-bundles of the bundles $V_{\lambda,g}$. To this end, we introduce the fusion rules $N_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3}$, which are dimensions of the three-point blocks at genus 0:

$$N_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3} = \text{rank} V_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3}. \quad (3.9)$$

It turns out that $N_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\Omega}$ describes a permutation of order two on $I$ which we denote by $\mu \mapsto \mu^+$. Consider the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Z}^{|I|}$ with a basis $\{\Phi_\mu\}$ labelled by $\mu \in I$. The definition

$$\Phi_{\mu_1} \star \Phi_{\mu_2} = \sum_{\mu_3 \in I} N_{\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3} \Phi_{\mu_3} \quad (3.10)$$

turns $\mathcal{R}$ into a ring, the fusion ring. Factorization and Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov connection imply that this ring is commutative, associative and semi-simple. Notice that by construction a fusion ring comes with a distinguished basis labelled by $I$.

Invertible elements of $\mathcal{R}$ that are elements of the distinguished basis are called simple currents. In the WZW case, simple currents correspond (with one exception, appearing for $E_8$ at level 2), to elements of the center of the Lie group $G$ [15]. The group $\mathcal{G}$ of simple currents
acts on $\mathcal{R}$, and also on $I$. This action is in general not free, and to each element $\mu \in I$ we associate its stabilizer $S_\mu := \{ \Phi_J \in \mathcal{G} \mid \Phi_J \star \Phi_\mu = \Phi_\mu \}$. Given an $m$-tuple of labels $\vec{\lambda}$, consider the subgroup $S_{\vec{\lambda}}^1$ of $S_{\lambda_1} \times \cdots \times S_{\lambda_m}$ that consists of those elements $(J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_m)$ whose product equals the unit element of the fusion ring, $\prod_i J_i = \Omega$.

Henceforth we will for simplicity assume that $H^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}^*) = 0$; for results in more general situations see [18, 20]. One expects that then the group $S_{\vec{\lambda}}^1$ acts by bundle automorphisms on $\mathcal{V}_{\vec{\lambda}, g}$; for WZW models and $g = 0$, see [20]. The invariant subspaces under this action form sub-bundles; once an action of $S_{\vec{\lambda}}^1$ is fixed, they are characterized by their eigenvalues, i.e. by a character of $S_{\vec{\lambda}}^1$.

A conjecture for the ranks of these sub-bundles was presented in [18, 20]. It is actually more convenient to give the Fourier transforms of these ranks which can be interpreted as traces of the action of $S_{\vec{\lambda}}^1$ on the conformal blocks. For the trace of $(J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_m) \in S_{\vec{\lambda}}^1$ we obtain

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}_{\vec{\lambda}, g}} \Theta_{J_1, \ldots, J_m} = \sum_{\mu \in I} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{S_{\lambda_i, \mu}}{S_{\Omega, \mu}} |S_{\Omega, \mu}|^{2-2g}. \quad (3.11)$$

Here $S^J$ is the matrix that describes the transformation under the modular group of the one-point blocks on an elliptic curve with insertion $J$. In particular, for $J = \Omega$, $S^J$ equals the ordinary matrix $S$ and we recover the Verlinde formula (3.8) for $\Theta = \text{id}$.

In the case of WZW models, there is a conjecture [18] for the explicit form of the matrix $S^J$: it is given by the S-matrix of Kac-Peterson form for another Lie algebra, the so-called orbit Lie algebra [7, 14] associated to the data $(g, J)$. With this conjecture, formula (3.11) is as concrete as the Verlinde formula and can be implemented in a computer program, see http://www.nikhef.nl/~t58/kac.html. In the case of WZW models, these sub-bundles also enter in a Verlinde formula, in the sense of algebraic geometry, for non-simply connected groups like $PGL(n, \mathbb{C})$, see [2].

### 4 Full conformal field theory

Having discussed some structures of CCFT, our next goal is to construct full CFT on a real two-dimensional manifold $X$ with conformal structure, using CCFT on the double $\tilde{X}$ of $X$. To this end, we have to prescribe some data for each insertion point, which can lie either in the interior of $M$ or on the boundary. For each point on the boundary of $M$, we choose a label and a local coordinate $\xi$ such that the lift to the double $\tilde{X}$ gives a local holomorphic coordinate. We also choose an orientation for each component of the boundary.

For each point in the interior of $M$, a so-called bulk point, we choose a local coordinate such that on the double we obtain local holomorphic coordinates around the two pre-images. Notice that possible choices of such coordinates come in two disjoint sets which are related by a complex conjugation of the coordinate. We call them a local orientation of the coordinate.

To specify the labels of the bulk fields, we need to fix one more datum: an automorphism $\hat{\omega}$ of the fusion ring that preserves conformal weight modulo integers, $T_{\hat{\omega} \lambda} = T_\lambda$. The labels for bulk
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3 Orbit Lie algebras also enter in the study of moduli spaces of flat connections with non-simply connected structure group on elliptic curves [26], and in the related problem of determining almost commuting elements in Lie groups [3].
fields are now defined as equivalence classes of triples \((\lambda, or', or)\), where \(\lambda\) is a label of the chiral CCFT, \(or'\) is a local orientation of the coordinate, and \(or\) is a local orientation of \(X\) around the insertion point. The triples \((\lambda, or', or)\) and \((\dot{\omega}\lambda, -or', -or)\) with opposite orientations are identified. We call a surface with this structure a labelled surface (cf. \([11]\), where the notion was introduced in the category of topological manifolds for the case when \(\dot{\omega}\) is the conjugation).

Some thought shows that these data precisely allow to give the double, with the pre-images of the insertion points, the structure of an extended curve, so that we can define conformal blocks. While the moduli space \(\mathcal{M}_X\) of a labelled surface cannot be embedded into the moduli space \(\hat{\mathcal{M}}_X\) of its double as an extended curve, an embedding of the corresponding Teichmüller spaces \(\mathcal{T}\) does exist. This is sufficient to give us conformal blocks as vector bundles \(V_{\lambda, g}\) over \(\mathcal{M}_X\). The involution \(\sigma\) on \(\hat{X}\) induces an involution \(\sigma_*\) on \(\mathcal{T}_{\hat{X}}\). The subgroup of the mapping class group of \(\hat{X}\) that commutes with \(\sigma_*\) is called relative modular group \([5]\). (In the case of a closed and oriented surface, \(\hat{X}\) has two connected components of opposite orientation each of which is isomorphic to \(X\). The relative modular group is then isomorphic to the mapping class group of \(X\).)

The central problem in the construction of a CFT from a CCFT is to specify, for all choices of \(\lambda\) and all genera \(g\), correlation functions of the CFT as sections in \(V_{\lambda, g}\). For fixed labels \(\lambda\) and fixed \(g\), these sections depend on the positions of the insertion points and on the moduli of the conformal structure. We impose the locality requirement: these sections have to be genuine functions (rather than multivalued sections) of these parameters. This includes in particular invariance under the relative modular group. Moreover, we require compatibility with factorization (for a formulation of the latter requirement in the category of topological manifolds, see \([11]\)).

Due to factorization, the calculation of any correlation function can be reduced to four basic cases: the sphere with three points, the disc with three boundary points, the disc with one boundary point and one interior point, and the real projective plane with one point. Except for the last case, they involve three marked points on each connected component of the double.

Constraints on these particular correlators follow by considering situations where four marked points appear on each connected component of \(\hat{X}\). In particular, from the consideration of four points on the boundary of the disc one can deduce that the correlator of three boundary fields is proportional to the so-called fusing matrix \([3, 9]\). We stress that this is a model independent statement. Indeed, the construction of a CFT from a CCFT can be formulated in a completely model independent way; see \([11]\), where a general prescription for the correlators has been given for the case when \(\dot{\omega}\) is charge conjugation.

Similarly, one can consider two bulk fields on a disc to derive constraints on the correlator of one bulk field and one boundary field on a disc with boundary condition \(a\). To be more explicit, introduce a basis \(\{e^{(a)}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}\}\) for the space \(V_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}\) of three-point blocks on the sphere; we are looking for a linear combination

\[
N_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{a} R_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}^{a} e^{(a)}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}
\]

that is compatible with factorization. It turns out that, with suitable normalizations, the
factorization constraints imply that

\[ R_{\lambda_1, \omega \lambda_1, \Omega}^a R_{\lambda_2, \omega \lambda_2, \Omega}^a = \sum_{\lambda_3} \tilde{N}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{\lambda_3} R_{\lambda_3, \omega \lambda_3, \Omega}^a. \]  

(4.13)

This equation shows that the reflection coefficients \( R_{\lambda, \omega \lambda, \Omega}^a \) form one-dimensional representations of a certain algebra, called the classifying algebra \[19\]. The problem of classifying boundary conditions is therefore reduced to the representation theory of the classifying algebra.

It turns out that, in the case when \( \omega \) is charge conjugation, the structure constants \( \tilde{N}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{\lambda_3} \) are just the fusion rules, i.e. the dimensions of the spaces of three-point blocks. This result has been generalized both to more general choices of the fusion rule automorphism \( \omega \) \[19\] and to the case when the boundary conditions break some of the symmetries of the theory \[21, 22, 23\]. The structure constants of the classifying algebra can be expressed in terms of the modular transformation matrices \( S^J \) that appear in (3.11) and of characters of simple current groups \[22, 23\]. The resulting expressions can be shown to coincide with traces of the form (3.11) for appropriate twisted intertwiner maps on the spaces of three-point blocks. Via this relationship, the sub-bundle structure of the bundles of conformal blocks controls boundary conditions in these more general cases, in particular boundary conditions that break some of the bulk symmetries. Solitonic solutions in field and string theory typically do not respect all symmetries, and D-brane solutions are no exception to this. The sub-bundle structure of conformal blocks is therefore an essential ingredient for the CFT approach to D-brane physics.
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