False Prediction of Fundamental Properties of Metals by Hybrid Functionals
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The repercussions of an inaccurate account of electronic states near the Fermi level $E_F$ by hybrid functionals in predicting several important metallic properties are investigated. The difficulties include a vanishing or severely suppressed density of states (DOS) at $E_F$, significantly widened valence bandwidth, greatly enhanced electron-phonon (el-ph) deformation potentials, and an overestimate of magnetic moment in transition metals. The erroneously enhanced el-ph coupling calculated by hybrid functionals may lead to a false prediction of lattice instability. The main culprit of the problem comes from the simplistic treatment of the exchange functional rooted in the original Fock exchange energy. The use of a short-ranged Coulomb interaction alleviates some of the drawbacks but the fundamental issues remain unchanged.

As one of the most successful and extensively implemented theories in electronic structure methods, the importance of density functional theory (DFT) [1, 2] cannot be overstated. However, despite its tremendous triumph in describing many ground state properties, DFT within the local density approximation (LDA) [2, 3] or the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) [4–6] has serious limitations when it comes to describing excited state properties of materials, a problem that is often loosely referred to as the LDA band gap problem.

Strictly speaking, the Kohn-Sham (KS) eigenvalues cannot be interpreted as the quasiparticle energies in solids. Nevertheless, there has been much effort put into the development of better energy functionals within DFT that can also provide a more faithful description of the quasiparticle energies. One class of such functionals, known as hybrid functionals [7], in which the conventional LDA or GGA exchange-correlation functional is mixed with a fraction of the Hartree-Fock (HF) exchange energy, has the promises of providing a better description of a wide range of materials properties [8–15], including the band gap of semiconductors. There are notable exceptions, however. For example, the experimental band gap of FeS$_2$, an earth abundant material with a very high optical absorption coefficient in the visible region, is about 0.95 eV [16, 17], but the Heyd-Scuseria-Ernzerhof (HSE) [18] hybrid functional predicts a band gap of about 2.6 eV. [19]

We show that the application of hybrid functionals to metallic systems gives rise to a range of false or inaccurate results. These include, but not limited to, 1) enlarged valence bandwidth, 2) vanishing or greatly suppressed density of states (DOS) at the Fermi level, 3) significantly overestimated el-ph deformation potentials, 4) false predictions of lattice instability for systems (e.g., MgB$_2$) with a strong el-ph coupling, and 5) enhanced magnetism in transition metals. The electronic structure near the Fermi level is one of the most important properties of metals. Many fundamental response, thermal, and transport properties of metals are controlled or strongly affected by the electronic structure near the Fermi level. The inaccurate prediction of the strength of the el-ph coupling, lattice stability, and magnetism are just a few inherent to hybrid functionals when they are applied to metallic systems. We believe that the aforementioned problems are serious enough to justify a thorough evaluation of the applicability of hybrid functionals to metals and degenerate semiconductors.

Our density functional calculations are performed using the Quantum Espresso [20] package. The GGA functional of Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof (PBE) [21] and hybrid functionals, both the PBE0 [22] and the HSE [18] functionals, are used in this work. Electron-ion interactions are described by norm-conserving pseudopotentials [23]. The plane wave basis has an energy cutoff of 20 Ry for the calculations of Na, 50 Ry for MgB$_2$, and 180 Ry for transition metals. For transition metals, all semicore subshells (i.e., 3s, 3p, and 3d) are included as valence electrons.

We first investigate the electronic structure of metals calculated with hybrid functional PBE0 using Na as an example. Figure 1 compares the DOS of Na calculated using both the PBE (blue) and the PBE0 (red) functionals. The DOS are calculated using a very high $k$-point density of $40 \times 40 \times 40$ with a small gaussian smearing parameter of 0.01 eV. It is well-established that the PBE functional gives a satisfactory description of the electronic structure for a wide range of materials including metals. In fact, the calculated bandwidth (3.15 eV) of Na agrees very well with that obtained from the free-electron model (shown in Fig. 1 with the black curve superimposed on the PBE result). The PBE (and free-electron model) result also compares reasonably well with experiment [24, 25] other than a well-understood quasiparticle renormalization factor. [26] The PBE0 functional, in contrast, gives an overly-exaggerated occupied bandwidth of 4.2 eV. Perhaps a more alarming issue is that the PBE0
FIG. 1: (color online) Calculated DOS of sodium using the PBE (in blue) and PBE0 (red) functionals. The PBE result agrees well with that of the free-electron model (solid black curve), and the PBE0 result can be well understood within the HF theory of free electrons (solid black curve) that shows vanishing DOS at the $E_F$ (shown with the dashed line).

Functional predicts a vanishing DOS at $E_F$ as shown in Fig. 1. Note that the singularity is not clearly shown in the figure because of a finite smearing parameter used in our calculation. Nevertheless, the significant dip in the DOS at the $E_F$ can be clearly seen. The abnormal DOS calculated with the PBE0 functional is a direct result of the HF exchange energy incorporated in the functional. A simple free-electron calculation using the HF theory (with the HF exchange-energy rescaled by a factor of 0.25 as it is used in the PBE0 functional) gives nearly identical results as shown with the black curve superimposed on the red curve (PBE0 results).

The Fermi-surface property is perhaps one single most important property of metals. A wrong description of the Fermi-surface properties, including the DOS and the electron energy dispersion near the Fermi level, will have serious consequences when it comes to predicting other fundamental properties of metals. In order to demonstrate the repercussion of the incorrect description of the electronic structure of metals near the Fermi level, we investigate some electron-phonon coupling related aspects of metals using MgB$_2$, a well-established multi-gap phonon-mediated superconductor [27–31], as an example. Quantitative predictions of the superconductivity in MgB$_2$, including the precise calculation of the superconducting transition temperature $T_C$, is one of the celebrated [29–31] successes of modern electronic structure methods. This success would not be possible without an accurate description of the electronic structure near $E_F$. Figure 2 shows the DOS of MgB$_2$ calculated using the PBE0 (shown in red) and PBE (in blue) functionals. Similar to the case of Na, the PBE0 functional gives a significantly widened occupied bandwidth and a greatly suppressed DOS near $E_F$. In fact, a fully-converged PBE0 calculation (with respect to the $k$-grid density) should give a precisely zero DOS at $E_F$.

It is now well-established that the strong coupling between the $E_{2g}$ phonons (shown in Fig 3) and the boron-derived $p\sigma$ states is largely responsible for the enormously high $T_C$ of MgB$_2$. Figure 4 shows the band structure of MgB$_2$ calculated with boron atoms displaced by 0.05 a.u. from their equilibrium position along one of the $E_{2g}$ phonon modes shown in Fig. 3. The PBE results are shown with blue solid curves and the PBE0 results are shown with red dashed curves. The degeneracy of the $p\sigma$ states along the $\Gamma \rightarrow A$ direction is lifted by the $E_{2g}$ phonon distortion. An and Pickett [29] used this energy shift (splitting) to calculate the el-ph deformation potential of the $E_{2g}$ phonons and successfully estimated the $T_C$ to be in the range of 32 $\sim$ 46 K using the PBE functional. Our calculated $E_{2g}$ deformation potential $D_{E_{2g}}$ using the PBE functional is about 12.5 eV/Å, which agrees well the result of An and Pickett [29]. The PBE0 functional, on the other hand, predicts a deformation potential of 26.3 eV/Å which nearly doubles that predicted by the

FIG. 2: (color online) Density of states of MgB$_2$ calculated using the PBE (blue) and PBE0 (red) functionals. The dashed line indicates the Fermi level $E_F$.

FIG. 3: Schematics of the polarization vector of one of the $E_{2g}$ phonon modes in MgB$_2$. 
FIG. 4: Band structures of MgB$_2$ calculated with a frozen-phonon distortion along one of the E$_{2g}$ modes using the PBE (blue solid line) and PBE0 (red dashed line) functionals. The magnitude of the distortion is 0.05 a.u.

FIG. 5: Total energy of MgB$_2$ as a function of the magnitude of a frozen-phonon distortion along one of the E$_{2g}$ phonon modes calculated using the PBE (red), HSE06 (green) and PBE0 (blue) functional.

PBE functional. This overestimated splitting between the two $p\sigma$ bands with the presence of the E$_{2g}$ phonon distortion is consistent with the understanding that hybrid functionals tend to enhance the separation between occupied and unoccupied electronic states. Note that the PBE0 band structure is constructed from a self-consistent calculation on a $24 \times 24 \times 24$ uniform $k$-grid.

It should be mentioned that the strength of the el-ph coupling in MgB$_2$ is so strong that the E$_{2g}$ phonons in MgB$_2$ are greatly renormalized as compared to the E$_{2g}$ phonons in AlB$_2$. [32] In fact, the E$_{2g}$ phonons become so soft that the system appears to be close to a structural instability. The greatly exaggerated el-ph deformation potential calculated with hybrid functionals suggests that these functionals might actually predicts a structural instability for MgB$_2$. To this end, we have calculated the total energy of MgB$_2$ as a function of the E$_{2g}$ phonon displacement. As shown in Fig. 5, the potential curve calculated using the PBE functional (shown in red) shows a familiar third-order anharmonicity. The potential curve calculated using the HSE functional (green) suggests a greatly softened E$_{2g}$ phonon compared with the PBE result (red), and the result from the PBE0 functional shows a double-well structure, indicating an instability against the E$_{2g}$-like distortions.

The combination of an inaccurate description of the electronic structure near the Fermi level and the wrong predictions of el-ph coupling and lattice stability suggests that hybrid functionals, especially the PBE0 functional, should probably never be used for metal systems. The inaccurate or false results for simple systems such as Na or MgB$_2$ predicted by hybrid functionals, however, may not seem to be disturbing enough since these functionals are usually not used for simple systems. However, one can also argue that a reliable energy functional should work equally well for both simple and more complex (e.g., strongly correlated) systems. It should be pointed out that hybrid functionals have been unbiassedly applied to metallic systems, and the aforementioned issues are general and will be present in other metallic systems as well.

Finally, we examine further into the limitations and applicability of hybrid functionals to the understanding of magnetic metals. One of the advantages of hybrid functionals (compared with the LDA or GGA functionals) is that they improve the exchange energy functional and reduces the self-interaction error, which helps to open the band gap for semiconductors. This advantage should be examined with great caution since it also produces erroneous predictions for a range of metallic properties as discussed above. Another consequence of including a fraction of the HF exchange energy (either in the original form such as that in the PBE0 functional or in the screened form as in the case of HSE functional) is that it enhances the localization of electronic states and the exchange splitting between the occupied (or majority spin) and unoccupied (or minority spin) states. This enhancement, however, does not guarantee a better description of magnetism.

To illustrate this issue, we have performed spin polarized calculations for iron and nickel using the PBE and the HSE hybrid functionals. Table I compares the calculated magnetic moments of these two systems with experiment. The HSE06 functional overestimates the spin magnetic moment by about 29% and 61% for Fe and Ni, respectively. On the other hand, the magnetism predicted by the PBE functional is in a reasonable agreement with experiment [33]. We mention that similar problem has also been discussed recently [34, 35] that hybrid functionals predict wrong magnetic ground states for Co, Ni, Pd and Pt.

In conclusion, we have shown that there are serious
limitations of hybrid functionals in predicting fundamental properties of metals. The inaccurate description of the electron structure of metals, including a vanishing DOS at the Fermi level, exaggerated occupied bandwidth, and enhanced splitting between occupied and unoccupied states, have profound and adverse effects on the prediction of other important properties of metals. These difficulties can be traced back to the overly simplified HF-like exchange energy included in hybrid functionals which is known to give an inaccurate description of electronic structure near the Fermi level. Introducing a short-ranged Coulomb potential as in the case of the HSE functional does alleviate some of the problems, but major difficulties remain unchanged. Although computationally demanding, hybrid functionals are becoming increasingly popular with the implicit assumption that they will give a more faithful description of the underlying electronic structure. Our results raise a serious question regarding the applicability of hybrid functionals to the study metallic systems.
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