Surgical Delay of the Nipple–Areolar Complex in High-risk Nipple-sparing Mastectomy Reconstruction
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Summary: As nipple-sparing mastectomy gains increasing popularity, minimizing the risk of nipple necrosis continues to be of critical importance to patients and surgeons. Patients with large or ptotic breasts, scars from previous cosmetic and/or oncologic breast surgery, or previous irradiation have often been denied nipple-sparing mastectomy (NSM) because of increased risk of nipple necrosis. A variety of interventions have been suggested to minimize the ischemic insult to the nipple–areolar complex (NAC). This article presents our experience in 26 high-risk patients with surgical delay of the NAC. (Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open 2016;4:e760; doi: 10.1097/GOX.0000000000000716; Published online 28 June 2016.)

The NAC is the geometric and aesthetic focal point of the breast, and its presence has been linked to increased patient satisfaction in the reconstructive population.1–3 The oncologic safety of NSM in specific circumstances has been established and expanded in recent years.4 It is natural that its popularity continues to grow as women and their surgeons strive to obtain optimal aesthetic outcomes. In NSM, more of the inherently ischemic mastectomy skin envelope is preserved; therefore, a common and dreaded complication is necrosis of the NAC. The incidence of nipple necrosis in the literature varies from as high as 48% to as low as 4.4%.4–6

Beyond oncologic criteria, anatomic considerations have played a large role in patient selection. In the past, women with extensive breast scars from cosmetic and oncologic conservation procedures or concomitant radiation, as well as large or ptotic breasts, have often been discouraged from undergoing an NSM procedure because of ischemic considerations.3,7

More women are undergoing NSM today,8,9 and a number of solutions have been proposed by various authors to minimize the risk of NAC necrosis. Spear et al10 described a staged approach for patients with significant ptosis or macromastia in which a mastopexy or reduction was performed at least 4 weeks (average, 3.4 months) before the mastectomy. Follì et al11 reported successful immediate reconstruction for ptotic breasts using a Wise pattern and bipedicle dermal flap to preserve the NAC. Other procedures include free nipple grafting at the time of NSM.12 Some advocate intraoperative injection of indocyanine green and laser angiography to assess areas of jeopardized perfusion, so that ischemic tissue may be excised.13,14 Zenn15 described a staged immediate reconstruction for women with previous breast surgery, irradiation, or large-volume breasts in which
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patients underwent an NSM and then returned 2 weeks later to complete their reconstruction.

Surgical delay of the NAC was introduced in the Surgical Oncology literature in 2012. As described by Jensen et al., it consists of radially undermining 5 cm from the nipple in a circumferential fashion using sharp dissection to dissect the skin and subcutaneous tissue from the underlying breast parenchyma. This was performed on average 21 days before NSM in 30 patients with no postoperative nipple loss noted. This intervention gained attention in the media as the “Angelina Jolie procedure.” Recently, Martinez et al. described their experience with placement of a silicone sheet at the time of nipple delay in 20 patients. We would like to present our results with original technique described by Jensen et al. in high-risk NSM patients.

A surgical delay was performed by 1 of the 5 oncologic surgeons on 51 breasts in 26 high-risk patients without sedation if no sentinel lymph node biopsy was indicated or general anesthesia. On average, the delay was performed 16.9 days before therapeutic or prophylactic mastectomy (See video, Supplemental Digital Content 1, which displays a surgical delay of the NAC. This video is available in the “Related Videos” section of the full-text article on PRSGlobalOpen.com or available at http://links.lww.com/PRSGO/A223). Patients were identified as high risk for nipple necrosis based on previous Wise pattern mastopexy or reduction (3), augmentation (1), or oncologic surgery (12, including 4 irradiated patients), significant ptosis (sternal notch to nipple distance greater than 26 cm), and/or macromastia (10) (Figs. 1 and 2). The access point for the delay was based on proposed future mastectomy incision. For patients with previous breast surgery, an incision was often made through an existing scar, such as the vertical limb of a Wise pattern mastopexy or reduction or lateral extension of a lumpectomy scar. Patients without existing breast scars but an extensive skin envelope had a variety of incisions: inframammary fold (IMF), lateral radial, and superolateral or inferolateral to the NAC to allow for tailoring of skin excess. An IMF incision was preferred when feasible to allow for the most concealed scar. Our patient population had 33 breast incisions placed at the IMF, 4 through an existing vertical limb, 8 lateral radial, 3 superolateral, and 3 inferolateral to the NAC. In addition, in all but 1 breast, efforts were made to undermine any previous lumpectomy scars. A retroareolar biopsy and/or sentinel lymph node biopsy was performed if indicated at the time of the delay procedure. Immediate breast reconstruction with placement of a tissue expander (25 patients) or direct implant (1 patient) and cadaveric acellu-
The obvious aesthetic advantages of NSM are offset by increased risk related to more ischemic mastectomy flaps. We would like to illustrate that the technique described by Jensen et al\textsuperscript{16} of nipple delay is a powerful tool to make NSM a viable option in patients who would not otherwise be considered candidates for NSM. The delay procedure is of low risk and includes subareolar tissue sampling to assure oncologic feasibility and simultaneous axillary node procedures. In our series, the delay procedure was performed by the oncologic surgeon, but theoretically, it could be performed by the plastic surgeon as well. We have found that placement of silicone sheeting is unnecessary. Our results suggest the importance of undermining previous lumpectomy scars at the time of the delay especially in irradiated patients. The advantages of a nipple delay over other interventions are simplicity, potentially shorter delay to definitive mastectomy, and less ischemic insult to the skin envelope. The nipple delay procedure improves mastectomy flap perfusion and reduces the risk of nipple necrosis in high-risk patients who may not have previously been considered candidates for NSM.
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