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ABSTRACT
Lyman-α (Lyα) is, intrinsically, the strongest nebular emission line in actively star-forming galaxies (SFGs), but its resonant nature and uncertain escape fraction limits its applicability. The structure, size, and morphology may be key to understand the escape of Lyα photons and the nature of Lyα emitters (LAEs). We investigate the rest-frame UV morphologies of a large sample of $\sim 4000$ LAEs from $z \sim 2$ to $z \sim 6$, selected in a uniform way with 16 different narrow- and medium-bands over the full COSMOS field (SC4K, Santos et al. in prep.). From the magnitudes that we measure from UV stacks, we find that these galaxies are populating the faint end of the UV luminosity function. We find also that LAEs have roughly the same morphology from $z \sim 2$ to $z \sim 6$. The median size ($r_e \sim 1$ kpc), ellipticities (slightly elongated with $(b/a) \sim 0.45$), Sérsic index (disk-like with $n \lesssim 2$), and light concentration (comparable to that of disk or irregular galaxies, with $C \sim 2.7$) show little to no evolution. LAEs with the highest equivalent widths (EW) are the smallest/most compact ($r_e \sim 0.8$ kpc, compared to $r_e \sim 1.5$ kpc for the lower EW LAEs). In a scenario where galaxies with a high Lyα escape fraction are more frequent in compact objects, these results are a natural consequence of the small sizes of LAEs. When compared to other SFGs, LAEs are found to be smaller at all redshifts. The difference between the two populations changing with redshift, from a factor of $\sim 1$ at $z \gtrsim 5$ to SFGs being a factor of $\sim 2-4$ larger than LAEs for $z \lesssim 2$. This means that at the highest redshifts, where typical sizes approach those of LAEs, the fraction of galaxies showing Lyα in emission should be much higher, consistent with observations.
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1 INTRODUCTION

In the Λ-Cold Dark Matter framework, galaxies form through the coalescence of small clumps of material (see e.g. Somerville & Davé 2015 and references therein). This means that the first objects which can be called galaxies are to be young, small, and with low stellar mass content. The search for these building blocks of current day galaxies has been pursued intensively in the past decades (see e.g. Bromm & Yoshida 2011; Stark 2016).

Because of its intrinsic brightness, this search usually explores the presence of the Lyman-α (Lyα) emission line (e.g. Partridge & Peebles 1967; Schaerer 2003). This line can be observed in the optical and near-infrared when emitted from $2 < z \lesssim 8$ sources and it is proven to be a successful probe to identify and confirm high-redshift galaxies. From narrow-band surveys (e.g. Rhoads et al. 2000; Ouchi et al. ...
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The physical properties of Lyα emitting galaxies (LAEs) have been intensively studied (e.g. Erb et al. 2006; Gawiser et al. 2006, 2007; Pentericci et al. 2007; Ono et al. 2008; Lai et al. 2008; Reddy et al. 2008; Finkelstein et al. 2009; Kornei et al. 2010; Gruel et al. 2011; Nilsson et al. 2011; Acquaviva et al. 2012; Oteo et al. 2015; Hathi et al. 2016; Matthee et al. 2016). Some works find them to be typically young, with low stellar masses, and scarce dust presence (e.g. Erb et al. 2006; Gawiser et al. 2006, 2007; Pentericci et al. 2007; Oteo et al. 2015), while others indicate a more diverse population (e.g. Shapley et al. 2003; Lai et al. 2008; Reddy et al. 2008; Finkelstein et al. 2009; Kornei et al. 2010; Nilsson et al. 2011; Acquaviva et al. 2012; Hathi et al. 2016). The different properties of this population may be due to their particular selection method, as LAEs are similar to other line-emission selected galaxies at $z \sim 2$ and different from colour-selected galaxies at the same redshifts (e.g. Oteo et al. 2015; Hagen et al. 2016). Evolution can also play a role in the different observed properties of LAEs with more evolved galaxies having Lyα emission driven by different mechanisms than those that dominate LAEs at higher redshifts. For example, Santos et al. (in prep.) show a strong increase in the fraction of Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN) in luminous LAEs from $z \sim 4 - 5$ to $z \sim 2 - 3$.

A possible explanation to the diverse nature of LAEs is linked to the complicated nature of the radiative transfer process itself. To escape the region it originated from, Lyα photons are frequently scattered (with random walks up to several kpc) before they escape towards our line of sight (e.g. Zheng et al. 2011; Dijkstra & Kramer 2012; Lake et al. 2015; Gronke et al. 2015, 2016). This recurrent scattering increases the chance of the photon to be destroyed through dust absorption (e.g. Neufeld 1991; Laursen et al. 2013). This picture also means that the particular orientation of the emission path relative to the geometrical distribution of gas and dust in the emitting region is important to consider whether or not we are able to observe the line in emission. Some simulations of isolated disk galaxies have shown that the likelihood of observation of Lyα is correlated with the disk inclination relative to our line of sight (Verhamme et al. 2012; Behrens & Braun 2014). From an observational perspective, the Lyα escape fraction (ratio of observed to intrinsic flux in emission) is loosely correlated with the galaxies’ star formation rate (SFR) and dust attenuation (e.g. Hayes et al. 2010, 2011; Atek et al. 2014; Matthee et al. 2016; Trainor et al. 2016; Oyarzún et al. 2017). The column density of HI seems to be another physical quantity that determines the rate of escape of Lyα photons (e.g. Shibuya et al. 2014a,b; Henry et al. 2015), and it also correlates with equivalent width (EW, Sobral et al. 2017b; Verhamme et al. 2017).

The complex process of Lyα escape naturally means that obtaining a complete census of the galaxy population at a given epoch is challenging. To understand the mechanisms that allow Lyα photons to escape it may be important to correlate the morphology of star-forming regions traced by UV continuum emission of young stars with the observed from Lyα photons. This will allow one to constrain the geometry requirements for Lyα escape from galaxies and further our knowledge of population bias when using selections solely based on this emission line. To gain insight on the mechanisms of Lyα escape it is thus crucial that we characterize the morphology of these sources.

Several samples of LAEs have been studied in terms of their rest-frame UV morphologies at $z > 2$ (e.g. Pirzkal et al. 2007; Taniguchi et al. 2009; Bond et al. 2009, 2011, 2012; Gronwall et al. 2011; Kobayashi et al. 2016). In the local Universe, where rest-frame UV observations are scarce, there is one study based on the Lyα Reference Sample (LARS, Östlin et al. 2014 though the sample is Ha selected), that characterizes the morphology of these sources (Guaita et al. 2015). Observations show that LAEs are typically small, often compact objects (half-light radius around 1 kpc), which undergo no evolution in the first 1 to 3 billion years of the Universe ($z \sim 2 - 6$, e.g. Venemans et al. 2005; Malhotra et al. 2012). This scenario is in stark contrast with the stronger evolution in galaxy sizes observed in other populations observed at similar epochs such as Lyman-break galaxies (LBGs) and other star-forming galaxies (e.g. Ferguson et al. 2004; Bouwens et al. 2004; van der Wel et al. 2014; Shibuya et al. 2016; Paulino-Afonso et al. 2017). This can potentially be explained due to the low stellar mass nature of LAEs when compared to other galaxies. However, most studies on SFGs explore the size evolution in stellar mass bins and find stronger size evolution nonetheless (e.g. van der Wel et al. 2014).

One interesting property of LAEs is that the Lyα emission region is often found to be more extended (in a diffuse halo) than the stellar UV continuum emission (e.g. Rauch et al. 2008; Matsuda et al. 2012; Momose et al. 2014; Matthee et al. 2016; Wisotzki et al. 2016; Sobral et al. 2017b). The process responsible for such observations is thought to be the scattering of photons by neutral HI gas around galaxies at high redshift (e.g. Zheng et al. 2011), but could also be due to cooling, satellites, and fluorescence (e.g. Mac-Ribas et al. 2017). Additionally, there are evidences for a correlation between Lyα line luminosity and galaxy UV continuum size (e.g. Hagen et al. 2014).

It is still unclear whether LAEs are a special subset of galaxies, if they rather just trace an early phase of galaxy formation, or if they are a consequence of different orientation angles from which Lyα photons peer through. To make progress, we have to look at their morphological properties across cosmic time. In addition to that, it is necessary to compare to other galaxy populations (e.g. LBGs, HAEs, SFGs) for an understanding on how these populations are linked.

In this paper, we analyse in a consistent way, from sample selection to analysis, a large sample of LAEs probing the early phases of galaxy assembly, from the end of reionization ($z \sim 6$) to the peak of the cosmic star-formation history ($z \sim 2$). We use data from 16 narrow- and medium-band images in the COSMOS field (Santos et al. in prep.) to quantify the evolution of galaxy structure (sizes, profile shapes, elongation, and light concentration). With this large data set we can investigate with unprecedented accuracy the evolution of LAEs sizes and connect that to the evolution (or lack thereof) in other morphological properties, and contex-
tualize our results within recent results from the literature on morphology of high redshift galaxies.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we describe the data used for the detection and characterization of LAEs that are the object of study in this work. We present our methodology to study the structural parameters of high redshift galaxies in Section 3. The results obtained for the LAEs samples are reported in Section 4. We discuss the implications of our results in the context of early galaxy assembly in Section 5. Finally, in Section 6 we summarize our conclusions.

Magnitudes are given in the AB system (Oke & Gunn 1983). All the results assume a Λ-CDM cosmological model with $H_0=70.0$ km s$^{-1}$Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_m=0.3$, and $\Omega_\Lambda=0.7$.

### 2 THE SAMPLE OF Lyα EMITTERS AT $z \sim 2–6$

The use of narrow-band images to target the Lyα line at specific redshift windows has been widely used in recent years (e.g. Rhoads et al. 2000; Ouchi et al. 2008; Matsuda et al. 2011; Konno et al. 2014, 2016; Trainor et al. 2016; Santos et al. 2016; Matthee et al. 2016; Sobral et al. 2017b). In this paper we use a dataset obtained with the Wide Field Camera at the Isaac Newton Telescope (WFC/INT) and with the Suprime-Cam at Subaru Telescope that cover the full COSMOS field (see Scoville et al. 2007).

We analyse a sample of $\sim$4000 Lyα-selected galaxies spanning a wide redshift range of $z \sim 2–6$ (SC4K, Santos et al. 2016, in prep.; Sobral et al. 2017b). The sources were detected using a compilation of 16 narrow- and medium-band images taken with the Subaru and the Isaac Newton telescopes. Briefly, sources were classified as Lyα emitters if they satisfied all the following conditions: 1) significant detection in a narrow/medium band with equivalent width cuts being of 25/50 ˚Å, respectively; 2) presence of a Lyman break blue-ward of the respective narrow/medium band; 3) no strong red colour in the near-infrared, typical of a red star or lower redshift interlopers. For the full selection criteria we refer the reader to Santos et al. (in prep.). The galaxies in our sample probe around $L_{Ly\alpha}^*$ at all redshifts (Santos et al. in prep.).

#### 2.1 INT/WFC

We use data from the recent CALibrating LYman-α with Hα survey (CALYMHA, Matthee et al. 2016; Sobral et al. 2017b). This survey aims at detecting LAEs at $z=2.23$ (but also allow the study of other emission lines, see e.g. Stroe et al. 2017a,b) combined with new observations at $z=3.1$. The observations were made with specially designed filters (NB392, $\lambda_c=3918$ & $\Delta \lambda=52$ and NB501, $\lambda_c=5008$ & $\Delta \lambda=100$) mounted on the Wide Field Camera (WFC) in INT at the Observatorio Roque de los Muchachos on the island of La Palma. To perform the detection of LAEs we use the $I$- and $B$-band images from COSMOS (Capak et al. 2007) for continuum estimation. Along with the WFC/INT data, we registered the images to the referential frame of HST/ACS survey in COSMOS (Scoville et al. 2007). The images were then matched in both spatial reso-

---

**Table 1.** The full sample of Lyα emitters selected with the 16 narrow- and medium-bands used in this work. The $< z >$ column shows the average redshift for the LAEs that fall in the filter. The $N_{LAE}$ column shows the total number of LAEs detected in the NB/IB images. The $N_{HST}$ column shows those who are covered by the HST/ACS F814W imaging survey. The $N_{HST,IAB<25}$ column shows the number of LAEs with available HST data with brighter than $I_{AB}<25$. The $N_{GALFIT,IAB<25}$ column shows the number of bright LAEs for which GALFIT has converged. The $M_{F814W}$ [stack] column shows the absolute magnitude in the $I$-band of the median stacks (see Section 3.4) which should closely trace $M_{UV}$. The $\log_{10}(L_{Ly\alpha})$ shows the median Lyα luminosity of each sample (values derived by Matthee et al. 2016; Santos et al. 2016, in prep.; Sobral et al. 2017b).

| Band        | Instrument         | $< z >$ | $N_{LAE}$ | $N_{HST}$ | $N_{HST,IAB<25}$ | $N_{GALFIT,IAB<25}$ | $M_{F814W}$ [stack] | $\log_{10}(L_{Ly\alpha})$ |
|-------------|-------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| NB392       | INT/WFC           | 2.23    | 160       | 109       | 7               | 26                | $-18.3 \pm 0.4$    | 42.6 ± 0.3               |
| NB501       | INT/WFC           | 3.12    | 45        | 41        | 17              | 6                 | $-19.7 \pm 0.2$    | 42.9 ± 0.2               |
| IA427       | Subaru/Suprime-CAM| 2.51    | 748       | 597       | 62              | 83                | $-19.2 \pm 0.2$    | 42.7 ± 0.3               |
| IA464       | Subaru/Suprime-CAM| 2.82    | 313       | 237       | 72              | 45                | $-19.8 \pm 0.1$    | 42.9 ± 0.2               |
| IA484       | Subaru/Suprime-CAM| 2.98    | 713       | 563       | 114             | 73                | $-19.5 \pm 0.1$    | 42.8 ± 0.2               |
| IA505       | Subaru/Suprime-CAM| 3.16    | 484       | 376       | 108             | 65                | $-19.8 \pm 0.1$    | 42.9 ± 0.2               |
| IA527       | Subaru/Suprime-CAM| 3.34    | 642       | 507       | 106             | 54                | $-19.7 \pm 0.1$    | 42.9 ± 0.2               |
| IA574       | Subaru/Suprime-CAM| 3.72    | 98        | 77        | 34              | 20                | $-20.2 \pm 0.1$    | 43.0 ± 0.2               |
| IA624       | Subaru/Suprime-CAM| 4.14    | 143       | 112       | 69              | 10                | $-19.9 \pm 0.1$    | 43.0 ± 0.1               |
| IA679       | Subaru/Suprime-CAM| 4.59    | 80        | 66        | 66              | 16                | $-20.5 \pm 0.1$    | 43.3 ± 0.2               |
| IA709       | Subaru/Suprime-CAM| 4.84    | 63        | 45        | 45              | 10                | $-20.7 \pm 0.1$    | 43.2 ± 0.1               |
| IA738       | Subaru/Suprime-CAM| 5.07    | 79        | 61        | 60              | 10                | $-20.5 \pm 0.1$    | 43.2 ± 0.2               |
| IA767       | Subaru/Suprime-CAM| 5.31    | 33        | 24        | 24              | 3                 | $-20.7 \pm 0.1$    | 43.4 ± 0.2               |
| IA827       | Subaru/Suprime-CAM| 5.81    | 36        | 23        | 23              | 1                 | $-20.0 \pm 0.2$    | 43.4 ± 0.1               |

Total: 3907 3043 785 427
lution (0.33′′/pixel) and their Point Spread Function (PSF - which ranges from 1.8–2.0′′). Fluxes are computed in 3″ circular apertures. Candidate Lyα emitters are selected to have rest-frame equivalent widths (EW0) greater than 25Å (Sobral et al. 2017b; Matthee et al. 2017). We perform an additional colour selection aimed at excluding potential interlopers at the redshifts we are probing (see Sobral et al. 2017b; Matthee et al. 2017 with respect to NB392 and NB501 colour selections, respectively). In the end, our WFC/INT sample consists of 160 LAEs at z = 2.23 and 45 LAEs at z = 3.1 in the COSMOS region (see Table 1).

2.2 Subaru/Suprime-Cam

We explore deep data obtained Subaru Suprime-Cam (Miyazaki et al. 2002) in the COSMOS field. We have reduced and analysed archival data of 2 narrow-band and 12 medium-band filters that are listed in Table 1. The reduction procedure is that described by Matthee et al. (2015) and Santos et al. (2016). The extraction of LAEs from the reduced data follows closely the method described in Section 2.1 using the appropriate broad band filter data corresponding to each filter for continuum estimation (optical and near-infrared images/catalogues described by Taniguchi et al. 2007, 2015 and Capak et al. 2007). We note that the selection criteria for narrow-band detected LAEs impose a rest-frame equivalent widths EW0 > 25Å (Santos et al. 2016). For medium-band filters, the rest-frame equivalent width cut is at EW0 > 50Å. The number of detected LAEs for each processed narrow- and medium-band is shown in Table 1.

3 METHODOLOGY

To quantify the morphological properties of any given source it is common to fit a parametric model to the observed light profile. In the particular case of galaxy modelling, the Sérisc (1968) profile is the most common model assumed (e.g. Davies et al. 1988; Caon et al. 1993; Andrekakis et al. 1995; Moriondo et al. 1998; Simard 1998; Khostovan et al. 2000; Graham 2001; Möllerhoff & Heidt 2001; Trujillo et al. 2001; Peng et al. 2002; Blanton et al. 2003; Trujillo et al. 2007; Wuyts et al. 2011; van der Wel et al. 2014; Shibuya et al. 2016) and which is also used to model LAEs (e.g. Pirzkal et al. 2007; Bond et al. 2009; Gronwall et al. 2011). The Sérisc model can be described as

\[ I(r) = I_e \exp[-\kappa(r/r_e)^{1/n} + \kappa], \]  

(1)

where the Sérisc index n describes the shape of the light profile, \( r_e \) is the effective radius of the profile, \( I_e \) is the surface brightness at radius \( r = r_e \), \( r_e \) is a parameter coupled to \( n \) such that half of the total flux is enclosed within \( r_e \). This profile assumes two characteristic models for specific values of \( n \): exponential disk, if \( n = 1 \), and a de Vaucouleurs (1948) profile, if \( n = 4 \), best suited for elliptical galaxies and galactic bulges.

An alternative method, relying solely on the observed properties of each object, is to use a non-parametric approach to the morphological characterization (see e.g. Abraham et al. 1996; Bershady et al. 2000; Conselice 2003; Lotz et al. 2004). These methods offer reliable estimates even in the case of extremely irregular objects, but fail to account for instrumental effects (such as PSF broadening) and are more susceptible to biases induced by low S/N conditions.

To study the rest-frame UV morphological properties of galaxies at high redshift, the availability of high resolution observations is required. Thus, we limit all our analysis to where HST/ACS F814W images available (COSMOS survey, Scoville et al. 2007; Koekemoer et al. 2007). We use 10′′ × 10′′ cut-outs of the HST/ACS F814W (Scoville et al. 2007; Koekemoer et al. 2007) centred on each LAE. The cut-outs are produced from the COSMOS HST/ACS images available on the COSMOS archive. These images have a typical PSF FWHM of ~ 0.09′′, a pixel scale of 0.03′′/pixel, and a limiting point-source depth AB(F814W) = 27.2 (5σ). These images are probing the near to far UV for the sources in our sample (on average ~ 2000Å rest-frame).

3.1 Structural parameter estimation

The retrieval of structural parameters based on Sérisc profiles is done using the publicly available GALFIT (Peng et al. 2002, 2010), a stand-alone program aimed at two-dimensional decomposition of light profiles through model fitting. In addition to the parameters described in Equation 1, 2D models need 4 additional quantities: the model central position, \( x_c \) and \( y_c \), the axis ratio of the isophotes, \( b/a \), and respective position angle, \( \theta_{PA} \), i.e. the angle between the major axis of the ellipse and the vertical axis.

To run GALFIT effectively, it is necessary that we provide an initial set of parameters. To speed up convergence and minimize the occurrence of unrealistic solutions, it is important that these first guesses provide a good approximation of the light profile. To do so, we use the source extraction software SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996), which can be tuned to produce the parameter set that will be used as input to GALFIT. To fit our galaxies, we use cut-outs centred on each target. The size of the cut-outs was chosen so that we achieve good speed performance and to allow GALFIT to simultaneously fit the residual sky emission.

To account for the instrumental PSF effects on the observed light profile, we provide PSF images associated with each individual galaxy. We use the HST/ACS PSF profiles that were created with TinyTim (Krist 1995) models and described by Rhodes et al. (2006, 2007). The PSF model accounts for pixel-to-pixel variation inside the CCD and the different telescope focus value for each COSMOS tile observation. We used the segmentation map produced by SExtractor at the time of the estimation of the initial parameters to create a mask image that flagged all pixels belonging to neighbouring galaxies, preventing them to influence the model of the object of interest. We mask all sources at a distance greater than 1.5″ from the target RA, DEC (10-13 kpc). We use a morphological dilation (kernel of 3×3 pixels) to smooth the individual masked regions and include in the same mask lower flux pixels in the outskirts that are below the SExtractor detection threshold.

1 In GALFIT, the \( I_e \) parameter is computed internally. We use instead the model total magnitude as an input parameter.
Irregular, complex, and/or sources detected at low S/N are excluded from the final sample as GAlFIT failed to converge on meaningful structural parameters. Note, however, that we also visually classify all sources; see Section 3.3.

3.2 Light concentration

As not all our sources are well fit with a symmetric model (∼ 45%), we opted to estimate the light concentration of each source by using a non-parametric approach. We have computed their concentration of light parameter, C (Conselice et al. 2000; Conselice 2003). We used SExtractor to directly compute the value as

\[ C = 5 \log_{10} \left( \frac{r_{80}}{r_{20}} \right), \]

where \( r_{80} \) and \( r_{20} \) are the 80% and 20% light radius, respectively. This parameter measures the rate of decay of the light profile of galaxies in concentric elliptical apertures and allows us to understand if galaxies have lower or higher surface density of stellar emission in the near- and far-UV. Such measure can be linked to the type of star-formation occurring in LAEs which would, in turn, shed some light on the mechanisms linked to the formation of new stars that may boost the escape of Lyα photons.

3.3 Visual classification

We complemented the quantification of LAE morphology with the visual classification of the rest-frame UV shapes for all sources with \( i_{AB} < 25 \) and HST coverage. We visually classify galaxies in a simple numerical scheme from 0 to 4 in terms of decreasing compactness: 0) corresponding to faint point-like sources; 1) slightly more extended/bright round/not extended sources; 2) disk-like sources; and 3) irregular/mergers/clumpy sources (see Figure 1). Each object was classified independently by three different team members and we combined the final classification by averaging over all classifications. For simplicity, we group classes 0 and 1 as compact sources, 2 as disky, and 3 as irregular/clumpy/mergers.

3.4 Stacks of Lyα emitters

The major goal of stacking is to get measurements of the typical galaxy, while not being biased by the ones that are brightest in F814W. We have stacked all detected LAEs with available HST/ACS F814W images using the median flux per pixel, centred at Lyα detection. We have also performed an image shift (typically ≤ 0.5″ on the detected sources) since the image coordinates are measured on ground based images and we observe some deviations when seeing them at HST resolution. The resulting stacks, in specific ranges of redshift, Lyα equivalent width, and Lyα luminosity, are shown in Figure 2 (see also A2).

We show in Table 1 the absolute magnitude of these stacks as observed in HST/ACS F814W. These have typical values of ∼ 26 and correspond to absolute magnitudes, in F814W, ranging from \( M_{\text{NB390}} = -18.3 \) (at low redshift) up to \( M_{\text{IAK}27} = -20.0 \) (at high redshift). These magnitudes are typically 1 to 2 magnitudes lower than \( M_{\text{Lyα}} \) at all redshifts (e.g. Reddy & Steidel 2009; Bouwens et al. 2015; Finkelstein et al. 2015; Parsa et al. 2016; Alavi et al. 2016).

One of the quantities that is affected by the uncertainties in the astrometry of LAEs and a possible mismatch between the peak of Lyα emission and the UV emission (see e.g. Shibuya et al. 2014a) is the size of the produced light profiles. As we combine astrometric errors from a large number of sources, the profile tends to enlarge. To correct for this, we have used the subset for which we have UV detections in HST (\( i_{AB} < 25 \)) to compute the difference when using or not a centring algorithm prior to the image stacking. We find that when we do not use a centring algorithm,
we produce stacks with an effective radius \( \sim 1.1-1.5 \) times larger. We have computed individual corrections for each of the stacks and morphological quantities \( r_e \), \( n \), \( C \), and applied to all values reported in this work (see e.g. Figure A1).

4 MORPHOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF LAES

We have full morphological information on 427 galaxies across \( 2 \leq z \leq 6 \) due to GALFIT convergence issues on low S/N galaxies and bright near-point like objects. For visual classification and light concentration parameters, we have results for the 785 galaxies with HST images. In the next subsections we will detail the rest-frame UV morphological properties of each sample and compare it to the strength of contributions at all redshifts, with most galaxies having effective radii smaller than 1.5 kpc, and with \( \sim 20\% \) as extended sources with \( r_e \) from 2-5 kpc. This similarity extends to the evolution on the median population sizes from \( z \sim 6 \) to \( z \sim 2 \), where we observe that LAEs are consistent with little to no evolution scenario in terms of their extent. These results are in agreement with previous results in the literature based on narrow-band selected LAEs (see e.g. Pirzkal et al. 2007; Taniguchi et al. 2009; Bond et al. 2009, 2011; Gronwall et al. 2011; Malhotra et al. 2012; Kobayashi et al. 2016). For the evolution of effective radius we find that \( r_e \propto (1+z)^{-0.21\pm0.22} \). This roughly translates to a growth by a factor of \( \sim 1.2\pm0.2 \) for LAEs from \( z \sim 6 \) to \( z \sim 2 \) (consistent with no evolution within 1\( \sigma \)), which compares to a factor of \( \sim 2.3\pm0.15 \) for a more general star forming population (see e.g. van der Wel et al. 2014; Ribeiro et al. 2016).

We find systematically higher values of the effective radius or measurements of stacks of LAEs than for individual detections. We believe that this is in part due to the centering errors mentioned above, but for which we have tried to correct. When deriving size evolution from the stacked profiles we find that \( r_e \propto (1+z)^{-0.01\pm0.25} \), perfectly consistent with the lack of evolution we find for the median population evolution.

We have tested the influence of our choice of binning in the derived parameters and we find that when shuffling the bins we get a variation in the slope \( \alpha \) which is always smaller than the reported errors.

![Figure 2. Examples of LAE stacks for each of the bins that we use in this study in terms of redshift. In each panel, the intensity levels range from \(-3\sigma_{sky}\) to \(15\sigma_{sky}\), where \(\sigma_{sky}\) is the sky rms. The red circle in each panel has a physical radius of 1 kpc.](image)

\[ X = \beta(1+z)^\alpha \]  
with \( \alpha, \beta \) being the parameters to be fit and \( X \) the dependent variable, \( n \) in this case. We find that \( n \propto (1+z)^{-0.78\pm0.71} \) for the median of the LAE population, which is consistent (at the \(~ 1\sigma\) level) with a scenario of no evolution in the light profiles of LAEs. We find that for the lower redshift bins, our reported median values for the Sérsic index are in good agreement with those reported by Gronwall et al. (2011). We find systematically lower Sérsic indices for measurements of stacks of LAEs than for individual detections. These differences are related to the smoothing of the central region of the light profile caused by uncertainties on the astrometry (in random directions) and Ly\(\alpha\)-UV offset which dilute the light and make the profile shallower. Nonetheless, the reported trend is also consistent with little evolution with redshift.

We show in Figure 4 the overall properties of the LAE population in 4 bins spanning the redshift range \( 2 \leq z \leq 6 \). One of the first results is that LAEs have similar size distributions at all redshifts, with most galaxies having effective radii smaller than 1.5 kpc, and with \( \sim 20\% \) as extended sources with \( r_e \) from 2-5 kpc. This similarity extends to the evolution on the median population sizes from \( z \sim 6 \) to \( z \sim 2 \), where we observe that LAEs are consistent with little to no evolution scenario in terms of their extent. These results are in agreement with previous results in the literature based on narrow-band selected LAEs (see e.g. Pirzkal et al. 2007; Taniguchi et al. 2009; Bond et al. 2009, 2011; Gronwall et al. 2011; Malhotra et al. 2012; Kobayashi et al. 2016). For the evolution of effective radius we find that \( r_e \propto (1+z)^{-0.21\pm0.22} \). This roughly translates to a growth by a factor of \( \sim 1.2\pm0.2 \) for LAEs from \( z \sim 6 \) to \( z \sim 2 \) (consistent with no evolution within 1\( \sigma \)), which compares to a factor of \( \sim 2.3\pm0.15 \) for a more general star forming population (see e.g. van der Wel et al. 2014; Ribeiro et al. 2016).

We find systematically higher values of the effective radius or measurements of stacks of LAEs than for individual detections. We believe that this is in part due to the centering errors mentioned above, but for which we have tried to correct. When deriving size evolution from the stacked profiles we find that \( r_e \propto (1+z)^{-0.01\pm0.25} \), perfectly consistent with the lack of evolution we find for the median population evolution.

We have tested the influence of our choice of binning in the derived parameters and we find that when shuffling the bins we get a variation in the slope \( \alpha \) which is always smaller than the reported errors.
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4.2 Ellipticities

The ellipticity of a source is defined as $e = 1 - (b/a)$. We show in Figure 5 the results for the derived axis-ratio for the sources in our sample. We find that LAEs have no clear preference for an ellipticity value, with most of our sources lying at intermediate values $0.2 < (b/a) < 0.8$. This implies that the detected LAEs do not have to be of a particular shape, which is expected given the randomness of the line-of-sight alignments that determine the 2D shape of each galaxy when viewed through an image. On a more interesting note, this also tells us that a specific alignment of the source with our line-of-sight is not required for it to be detected as a Ly$\alpha$ emitter. These results are in good agreement with measurements at $3 < z < 5$ by Gronwall et al. (2011). Given the constant Sérsic indices and the small sizes, our results thus hint that the high Ly$\alpha$ escape fractions of our sources are more of a consequence of their sizes and not orientation effects.

In terms of the median population values for this quantity and its evolution with redshift, we show in the bottom panel of Figure 5 that the values of $(b/a)$ are slightly rising with redshift (median value of $(b/a) = 0.40$) and in excellent agreement with those reported by Gronwall et al. (2011). However, there is a large discrepancy with the mean value reported by Kobayashi et al. (2016) at $z \sim 4.86$. We believe that this difference is mostly due to the method used, as...
they use SExtractor to measure ellipticities that does not account for any PSF broadening which in the case of small galaxies, such as is typical of LAEs, it is natural that the shape is dominated by the PSF in its core, artificially lowering the ellipticity. Using the parametrization of Equation 3 we find that $b/a \propto (1 + z)^{-0.46_{-0.16}^{+0.10}}$, which is marginally consistent with a constant ellipticity scenario (within 3σ). This little or no evolution reinforces the idea that the galaxy orientation is not a main factor in driving the escape fraction for LAEs.

### 4.3 Concentration

In Figure 6 we investigate any evolution in terms of the light concentration of galaxies. It is rather stable at $C \sim 2.7$ with the exception of the value at $4 < z < 5$. The fact that this parameter is strikingly similar, in its median evolution, with the Sérsic index is a possible indication that the galaxies we are probing are rather symmetrical in nature. Both parameters provide a measure of the surface brightness concentration and, in the case of a symmetrical Sérsic profile, it can be shown that $C$ has a monotonic relation with $n$ (e.g. Graham & Driver 2005). We find that our results are also in good agreement with the findings by Gronwall et al. (2011). Using the parametrization of Equation 3 we find that $C \propto (1 + z)^{0.04_{-0.09}^{+0.03}}$, fully consistent with a constant light concentration across the entire redshift range. We observe a rise in light concentration for sources at $z \sim 4-5$, which is possibly related to an increase on the number of irregular galaxies that we observe. We note that the value at $4 < z < 5$ is also potentially related to a shallower depth of the images for detection of Lyα (NB711 and IA709), which are more likely to pick sources with higher surface densities and thus higher values of $C$ to be expected.

The values we find for the concentration of the stacked profiles are consistent with those we find for the median of the population. At the highest redshift, we find much lower concentrations which is potentially related to the higher number of undetected sources that populate this bin allied to the fact that this is also the bin with the fewer galaxies in the stack.

### 4.4 Morphological classes

Of the 1092 galaxies with $i_{AB} < 25$ only 631 had good quality images from the HST/ACS archive available. We summarize in Figure 7 the resulting distribution in terms of their
visual classification. We find that the majority of our bright LAEs (~67%) are found to be compact (point-like+elliptical class). Of the other classes, we find that irregular LAEs are ~26% of our sample while disky galaxies amount to only ~7% of the observed LAEs. These fractions are roughly constant, but we observe only a slight rise in the fraction of irregulars towards higher redshifts which can be expected of young galaxies in the earlier Universe (e.g. Buitrago et al. 2013; Jiang et al. 2013; Huertas-Company et al. 2015; Bowler et al. 2017).

### 4.5 The lack of evolution in LAE morphologies

We have shown in the previous sections the general properties of LAEs in the sample we are studying and find that the morphology of this population of galaxies is rather stable in this ~3 Gyr period. Since we find that there is not any strong evident evolution in all presented parameters, we opt to study the dependence of Lyα emission properties on the rest-frame UV morphology using the entire sample without discriminating between redshifts (with the majority of our sources being at $z \sim 2 - 3$). This hypothesis will boost the number of sources to inspect such relations and thus uncover more effectively any underlying correlations that may exist.

We are aware that our sample selection is not done in any absolute quantities (such as in Lyα luminosity or $M_{UV}$) and thus we may introduce some biases in our interpretation of the redshift evolution of the presented quantities. We have tested our hypothesis of selection by comparing our results using selections on $\log_{10}(L_{Ly\alpha}) > 43$ and $M_1 < -20.5$, ($M_1$ is the absolute magnitude of the observed I band) independently. We can report that the lack of evolution in the reported morphological quantities is observed in these smaller subsets from our main sample, thus we opt to keep the apparent magnitude cut as our main selection.

#### 4.6 Morphology dependence on Lyα luminosity and equivalent width

After summarizing our findings on the morphological properties across cosmic time for the LAEs in our sample, we now turn to the influence of morphology on the observed properties of the Lyα emission itself (line equivalent width and luminosity).

We show in Figure 8 the fraction of each morphological class as a function of line equivalent width and line luminosity. We find that we have no disky galaxies at the lowest equivalent widths and that the irregular galaxies are less common at higher equivalent widths. These trends are accompanied by a slight rise in the fraction of compact galaxies with line equivalent width. We also find that the brightest emitters are tendentiously more likely to be compact than their lower luminosity counterparts. We observe a decline in the fraction of irregular galaxies with line luminosity and a rather stable fraction of disky galaxies at all luminosities that we are probing.

Our results on the relations between morphological quantities and Lyα emission properties are summarized in Figure 9 and in Table 3.

In Figure 9 (first panel, left column), we show the dependence of the equivalent width of Lyα on the observed extent of the UV emission. We observe a trend where higher equivalent width LAEs tend to have smaller sizes. This sort of correlation is seen in other studies (see e.g. Taniguchi et al. 2009; Law et al. 2012; Kobayashi et al. 2016), where they find that there is a lack of large galaxies with large equivalent widths and thus the median sizes are naturally smaller at higher equivalent widths. For low equivalent width galaxies, the dispersion on galaxy sizes is larger, spanning the entire interval of measured sizes in the sample. Since Lyα emitters are selected typically with an EW cut, then this is likely related with the small sizes of LAEs. Interestingly, this relation may be connected to the physics of Lyα escape...
since studies have shown that Lyα equivalent width traces the Lyα escape fraction (e.g. Sobral et al. 2017b; Verhamme et al. 2017). We highlight that the trend is also observed for the stacked profiles.

We plot in Figure 9 (second panel, left column) the relation between Sérsic index and Lyα equivalent width and find that there is a slight sign of a correlation between these two quantities. Our data suggests that at higher equivalent widths ($EW_0(Ly\alpha) > 200$ Å) we are more likely to have shallower profiles. This trend is also seen from the stacked profiles albeit at systematically lower values of $n$ (see Section 4.1).

A stronger correlation that we find is between the axis-ratio of the emission and the measured line equivalent width. In Figure 9 (third panel, left column) we find that the strongest emitters (the ones with the largest equivalent width) tend to have rounder shapes (higher axis-ratios, lower ellipticities). These findings are in agreement with those reported by Kobayashi et al. (2016) at $z \sim 4.86$. If we assume that the axis-ratio is a good proxy for galaxy inclination, we can explain the observed trend as a simple effect of geometry due to the inclination of the disk with respect to our line of sight (see e.g. Verhamme et al. 2012; Behrens & Braun 2014). However, one must be cautious when comparing observations directly with simulations since the latter assume that the galaxy is a perfect flat disk and the former assumes that galaxies are symmetrical enough to be well fit by a parametric model, and either assumption has its drawbacks.

We finally explore the correlation between light concentration and Lyα in Figure 9 (fourth panel, left column). Much like the case we presented for the Sérsic index, we cannot infer conclusively about any correlation between these two quantities. We may tentatively say that galaxies with higher equivalent widths ($EW_0(Ly\alpha) > 200$ Å) are to be more concentrated in term of the rest-frame UV emission when compared to their lower equivalent width counterparts. The higher concentration value we have for the lower equivalent width bin is explained due to the lower number statistics of that bin. As stated in Section 2, galaxies with $25 < EW_0(Ly\alpha) < 50$ Å are only from narrow band data.

We attempt at a similar exercise as above and explore the possible correlations between the galaxy morphology and its observed Lyα line luminosity.

Concerning galaxy sizes there is an apparent downward trend for galaxies with $10^{42.5} \leq L_{Ly\alpha} \leq 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$ with galaxies being smaller at higher luminosities (see Figure 9, first panel, right column). This trend is not clear since there are some bin-to-bin variations that are mainly due to our small number of objects as well as the loose correlation that exists between these two quantities (for any luminosity bin there is a large spread in galaxy sizes). Interestingly we find an opposite trend when considering the sizes of the stacked profiles. We find this can be explained by an underlying $i_{AB} - Ly\alpha$ line luminosity where the brightest galaxies on our sample in rest-frame UV are also the ones with the highest Lyα line luminosity. When stacking a large number of bright galaxies we are more likely to pick up extended lower surface brightness regions and thus get larger sizes.

We find a similar scenario for the Sérsic index (see Figure 9, second panel, right column), with higher luminosity LAEs hinting at a higher value of a Sérsic index. We believe again that the large dispersion on the observed data is likely an indication of the loose correlation between these
Figure 9. Morphology as a function of line equivalent width (left column) and line luminosity (right column) of LAEs at $2 \lesssim z \lesssim 6$. From top to bottom we show the results for galaxy size, Sérsic index, axis-ratio, and light concentration. The median values are shown as blue squares and the stacked LAEs properties are represented by the black diamonds. We note that for galaxies with $25 < EW_0(L_{\alpha}) < 50$ we only have LAEs detected in the narrow band surveys which severely impacts our statistics and give us higher uncertainties in that bin. Black dashed lines show the best linear fits, which have their best parameters shown in Table 3.
two quantities. Nevertheless, it is remarkable that the brightest LAEs have such high Sérsic index ($n \sim 3.5$), corresponding to more classical elliptical profiles. This is a consequence of bright, small, and compact objects that are more likely to possess such profiles. We find the same response when looking at the values of the stacked LAEs, with high luminosity LAEs ($L_{\text{Ly}\alpha} \sim 10^{43.75} \text{erg s}^{-1}$) having higher values of $n \sim 4$. We find the same trend when considering the stacked profiles, albeit at a shallower slope and systematically lower values of $n$ (see Section 4).

When estimating the median axis ratio as a function of Ly$\alpha$ line luminosity (see Figure 9, third panel, right column) we find the same trend as compared to the relation with line equivalent width. In this case, galaxies at higher luminosities show less elongated shapes than their lower luminosity counterparts.

Finally, we show in Figure 9 (fourth panel, right column) that there is a small but steady increase of the light concentration for our luminosity bins. This trend is less broken that what is reported for the equivalent width of the Ly$\alpha$ line, but still points to a scenario where the brightest Ly$\alpha$ emitters are more likely to have high light concentration in their profiles (as also seen in the Sérsic index).

5 DISCUSSION

5.1 The lack of evolution in LAE morphology between $z \sim 2 - 6$

Our results regarding galaxy morphology as a function of redshift (see Section 4) indicate that LAEs have the same typical shape across the period we probe ($z \sim 2 - 6$). This is reflected by the little to no variation in size, Sérsic index, axis-ratio, and light concentration parameters which is seen both in the median of the population as well as in the stacked profiles.

5.2 LAE sizes at $z \sim 2 - 6$

Finally, in Figure 10 we show our results for the evolution in rest-frame UV sizes of LAEs across cosmic time and compare our findings to previous studies (e.g. Taniguchi et al. 2009; Bond et al. 2011, 2012; Gronwall et al. 2011; Guaita et al. 2015; Kobayashi et al. 2016). Our median effective radius are in agreement with other size estimates of LAEs in the literature. At $z \geq 4$ we find typical sizes of $r_e \sim 0.9$ kpc and at $z \sim 2.2$ we find slightly larger galaxies with average sizes of $r_e = 1.1$ kpc. We have attempted to fit a relation to our data points and find that $r_e \propto (1 + z)^{-0.21 \pm 0.22}$ (see Section 4.1). This scenario, however, predicts slightly larger sizes at $z \sim 0$ than what have been reported for the LARS sample in the local Universe (Guaita et al. 2015), but within their reported dispersion. This scenario points to a lack of evolution on the sizes of LAEs since $z \sim 6$. However, this reasoning hinges on the single point that we have at $z \sim 0$ and which is derived from a heterogeneous sample of 14 galaxies only. To fully understand if LAEs evolve in size as hinted by the data at $z \sim 2.5$ one would need larger samples between $z \sim 0 - 2$, which are currently out of the scope of any instrument apart from HST/COS.

5.3 Relations between LAEs, HAEs, and UV-selected galaxies

When compared to the typical sizes of star-forming galaxies (selected as Hz emitters, HAEs) that have been studied in a previous work (Paulino-Afonso et al. 2017), we immediately see that the two populations are not alike in terms of their extent. Despite having only one common period with observations of both populations (at $z = 2.23$), where HAEs are almost two times larger than LAEs, our prediction of LAEs sizes at lower redshifts are consistently lower that what we report for the HAE population. This is even more contrasting if we include the sample at $z \sim 0$, where almost no evolution is expected for the LAEs population. This is potentially corroborated by the existence of green pea galaxies (Cardamone et al. 2009; Izotov et al. 2011) which are compact in nature and found to have Ly$\alpha$ detections and high Ly$\alpha$ escape fractions (e.g. Henry et al. 2015; Yang et al. 2016; Verhamme et al. 2017).

We also use two recent and comprehensive studies on the evolution of UV-selected star-forming galaxies (van der Wel et al. 2014; Ribeiro et al. 2016, see also Shibuya et al. 2015) that overlap both HAEs and LAEs that we have studied to complement our observations. These confirm our findings that at $z \sim 2$ the typical star-forming population is larger in size than the LAEs population (by a factor of $\sim 3$). However, we see that this difference fades away and, by $z \sim 5$, the two populations are indistinguishable from one another, in what their median extent is concerned. These results are in agreement with previous findings where both populations are compared (e.g. Malhotra et al. 2012).

Our results are consistent with a scenario where a Ly$\alpha$ emitter is a phase through which galaxies may go through in the early stages of their life. From the size evolution perspective, this means that at some point in a galaxy life, when the star-formation is confined to $\lesssim 1$ kpc, there are conditions to boost the escape of Ly$\alpha$ photons to our line-of-sight so that we observe the galaxy as a LAE. As time progresses, each galaxy grows in size (along with stellar mass, dust con-
Figure 10. Size properties of LAEs at $2 \lesssim z \lesssim 6$. We plot the evolution of the median size of the distribution (our results in large green circles) and compare our values to those reported in the literature (in light green): square (Pirzkal et al. 2007); hexagon (Taniguchi et al. 2009); triangles (Bond et al. 2009, 2011); circles (Malhotra et al. 2012); diamond (Kobayashi et al. 2016); and inverted triangle (Guaita et al. 2015). We show as blue squares the median size for a sample of HAEs selected at lower redshift using the same narrow band technique (Paulino-Afonso et al. 2017). We complement this figure with results for UV-selected star-forming galaxies from the literature (in light blue): large diamond (van der Wel et al. 2014) and left-facing triangle (Ribeiro et al. 2016). Finally, we show the derived size evolution of LAEs (green solid line) and SFGs (blue dashed line). The inset plot shows the estimated size ratio between SFGs and LAEs. Estimates point to SFGs being $\sim 5$ times larger at $z \sim 0$ and of the same size as LAEs at $z \sim 5.5$. We hypothesize that Ly\(\alpha\) selected galaxies are small/compact throughout cosmic time likely linked with the physical processes that drive Ly\(\alpha\) escape. At higher and higher redshifts, typical SFGs start to have the typical sizes of Ly\(\alpha\) emitters, which can be seen as an alternative explanation for the rise of the Ly\(\alpha\) emitting fraction of SFGs/LBGs into $z \sim 6$.

We nonetheless reinforce our findings that LAEs are clearly the most compact population of the two, which is consistent with their naturally higher escape fraction of Ly\(\alpha\) with respect to an average SFG. At the highest redshifts, the conditions in the Universe were markedly distinct, with most galaxies being very small ($r \lesssim 1$ kpc) which in turn
renders them more likely to be observed as a LAE, as a consequence of Lyman escaping more easily in smaller galaxies. In the early Universe, typical SFGs have sizes comparable to Lyα emitters, which offers an alternative explanation for the rising fraction of the Lyα emitting SFGs/LBGs up to $z \sim 6$ (e.g. Hayes et al. 2011; Stark et al. 2011; Mallery et al. 2012; Cassata et al. 2015).

5.4 Visual morphology of LAEs

We show in Figure 8 that bright LAEs and high line equivalent width LAEs are more likely to be found with a compact shape. By relating the visual morphology with the structural parameters that we have computed (see Section 3) we can find some corroborating signs. Galaxies at the bright end of our LAE sample are found to be smaller, with higher Sérsic indices, rounder (higher axis-ratio) and with higher light concentrations. These characteristics are relatable to a classical small and round elliptical galaxy, which would be classified as compact given our classification scheme. Apart from the discrepancy on the Sérsic index, we see the same aforementioned trends in the relation of structural parameters with line equivalent width.

5.5 The geometric nature of Ly-$\alpha$ emission

We found some evidence to support that there are some geometric requirements for the successful escape of Ly$\alpha$ photons. In summary, compact and rounded objects are more likely to act as a LAE. The correlation between light concentration and galaxy axial ratio with Ly$\alpha$ emission is potentially linked to physical escape mechanisms of Ly$\alpha$ photons. In the early Universe, typical SFGs have sizes comparable to Ly$\alpha$ emitters, which offers an alternative explanation for the rising fraction of the Ly$\alpha$ emitting SFGs/LBGs up to $z \sim 6$.

- UV sizes of LAEs are constant from $z \sim 2$ to $z \sim 6$ with sizes of $r_e \sim 1.0 \pm 0.1$ kpc. We observe a rise in sizes towards lower redshifts ($z \sim 2$), but the trend is shallow. The little to no evolution seems to hold even down to $z \sim 0$.
- At redshifts $z \leq 5$, LAEs have sizes that are consistently smaller than those reported for normal SFGs. The difference between the two populations gets more pronounced as we move towards lower redshifts, going from a factor of $\sim 1$ at $z \gtrsim 5$ to SFGs being a factor of $\sim 2 - 4$ larger than LAEs for $z \lesssim 2$. We hypothesize that the small/compact nature of LAEs is potentially linked to physical escape mechanisms of Ly$\alpha$ photons. In the early Universe, typical SFGs have sizes comparable to Ly$\alpha$ emitters, which offers an alternative explanation for the rising fraction of the Ly$\alpha$ emitting SFGs/LBGs up to $z \sim 6$.
- The profiles of LAEs as seen from the rest-frame UV are remarkably constant from $z \sim 2$ up to $z \sim 6$ with $n \sim 1.7$ being slightly steeper than a pure exponential disk. The same is seen in the evolution of the light concentration and axis ratio of LAEs.

• We find that most LAEs in our sample are compact in their morphology. The fraction of compact LAEs is larger at high line equivalent widths and also at high Ly$\alpha$ luminosity.

Ly$\alpha$ equivalent width seems to correlate stronger with the axis ratio and size of galaxies than any other morphological parameter we have tested. Strong LAEs are found more likely in small and rounder galaxies ($r_e \sim 0.8$ kpc and $b/a \sim 0.5$).

- The results that we report as the median properties of the population are corroborated by the morphological properties of the stacked profiles of LAEs. This means that even when the image depth is increased, we find no difference with respect to the detected LAEs and discard the existence of an extended lower surface brightness region around UV-bright LAEs.

In broad terms, our results provide a global picture on the rest-frame UV morphology of LAEs in the early Universe. We find that this particular population of galaxies does not evolve significantly in the first 3 Gyr of the Universe and that it departs from the evolution of normal star-forming galaxies for $z < 4$, in what galaxy sizes is concerned.
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To correct for the possible biases on morphological parameters induced by combining astrometric errors and Ly-UV mismatch (see Section 3.4), we have computed image stacks using only a subset of galaxies with $i_{AB} < 25$ and compare that to the full sample. Then we compute the corrections to be applied to the measured stack values as the ratio between these two quantities. We show in Figure A1 the values we

Figure A1. LAE values for different stack samples at $2 \lesssim z \lesssim 6$. From top to bottom we show the derived values for the stack of the full sample (in blue) and the stack of the $i_{AB} < 25$ sample (in red).
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APPENDIX A: Lyα EMITTERS STACKS

To correct for the possible biases on morphological parameters induced by combining astrometric errors and Ly-UV mismatch (see Section 3.4), we have computed image stacks using only a subset of galaxies with $i_{AB} < 25$ and compare that to the full sample. Then we compute the corrections to be applied to the measured stack values as the ratio between these two quantities. We show in Figure A1 the values we
Figure A2. Examples of LAE stacks for each of the bins that we use in this study in terms of Ly$\alpha$ luminosity (top) and Ly$\alpha$ equivalent width (bottom). In each panel, the intensity levels range from -3$\sigma_{\text{sky}}$ to 15$\sigma_{\text{sky}}$, where $\sigma_{\text{sky}}$ is the sky rms. The red circle in each panel has a physical radius of 1 kpc.

get for three different morphological quantifiers in the case of the full sample and the $I_{\text{AB}} < 25$ sample.
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