Use of nanoadvanced activated carbon, alumina and ferric adsorbents for humics removal from water: isotherm study
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Abstract
The adsorption of humic substances on three different adsorbents was investigated and adsorption isotherms were applied in this research. The three adsorbents studied include granular activated carbon (GAC), ferric oxihydroxide in its beta form (β-FeOOH) and iron-coated activated alumina (AAFS). Physical and chemical characteristics of the adsorbents were also fully investigated. Calcium was added to the HS solution in order to represent water with a hardness equivalent to water hardness typically found in London (UK). The examination of the GAC indicated a large microporous area with lower surface area associated with meso- and macropores. The AAFS and β-FeOOH did not present any microporous area. The overall surface area was high for GAC (980 m² g⁻¹) but lower for AAFS (286 m² g⁻¹) and β-FeOOH (360 m² g⁻¹). The Freundlich isotherm model was fitted to all adsorbent–adsorbate systems. It was shown that GAC offered a large adsorption capacity for removal of low molecular weight humics F1 (MW 0–5 kDa) but not for substances with molecular weight larger than 10 kDa (F3). The β-FeOOH adsorption capacity was only 0.43 mg g⁻¹, compared with 9.11 and 2.55 mg g⁻¹ on GAC and AAFS, respectively. On the contrary, F1 is not well adsorbed and only F2 (5–10 kDa) can be efficiently removed by AAFS and β-FeOOH. It was strongly suggested that precipitation/condensation occurred on the adsorbent surface.
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1 Introduction
In natural waters, humic substances (HS) are normally present as natural organic constituents of aquatic plants and animals [1–8]. HS are the result of microbiological and chemical oxidation, which decompose carbohydrates of vegetal and animal cells into small units. Therefore, HS are expected to be a mixture of aliphatic and aromatic units with functional groups including carboxylic, ester, alcohol, ether, ketone, phenol, amine, amide, pyridine, and pyrrole [9]. In water supply, the presence of HS may cause certain health effects and affect the aesthetics sense of consumers and reduces the water treatment efficiency [10, 11]. It can also have a detrimental effect on fertility of soil, causes water acidity, influence pollutants' transport and mitigation and reduces the efficiency of chemical disinfection. Therefore, it is important to characterize the HS present in water to ensure suitable drinking water treatment and improvement of water quality [12–15]. HS may comprise of various components with different molecular weights. The heterogeneity of HS causes them to have broader distribution of adsorption affinities. It was demonstrated that HS with higher molecular weights and hydrophobic nature possess greater potential for adsorption on mineral adsorbents [12, 16]. On the other hand, enhanced iron oxide mineral–containing carboxylic functions groups were used [17] to adsorb fulvic acid components, pattern of fractionation which is in consistence with surface complexation processes. An example of such surface complexation processes could be ligand exchange [17].
Coagulation/filtration, membrane filtration, adsorption, oxidation and ion exchange are different processes used in order to remove humic acids [3, 4, 18–21]. Among all the physico-chemical processes occurring in the environment that are responsible for the uptake of organic and inorganic substances, the most important process is adsorption [22, 23]. The extent of adsorption depends upon several factors such as pH, ionic strength, pollutant’s nature and concentration [24]. Furthermore, simultaneous occurrence of complex substances and competing ions may also affect the extent of adsorption. Investigation of adsorption isotherms has been carried out for HS as adsorbate and various adsorbents such as iron-coated activated alumina, granular activated carbon and ferric oxihydroxide in its beta form in wastewater treatment helped to provide important information about the pathways of reaction, adsorption mechanisms and behaviour [25, 26]. The adsorption capacity of pollutant removal from aqueous solutions is important to design the adsorption treatment plants [27–29].

Equilibrium (with or without reversibility) represents a thermodynamically stable adsorbent-adsorbate system with a minimum energy level [30, 31]. Given that the humic substances are includes a wide variety of non-biodegradable organic matter, such as, carboxylic and phenolic groups, they cover a large molecular weight range. Accordingly, finding a unique removal mechanism is hard. Hence, the understanding of the numerous physicochemical properties and the surface mechanism such as adsorption are helpful.

New adsorbents of interest for HS removal tend to contain iron or aluminium. This idea comes from the efficiency of coagulation in removing HS (heavy MW range), hence, the interest of applying the destabilisation and complex forming capacities to adsorbents. From these considerations, two adsorbents were tested for these new applications. They were activated alumina coated and iron oxihydroxide β-FeOOH (known as akaganeite). In addition, all studies involved in humics removal are dealing the material without consideration of the impact of the molecular weights on the adsorption process. Here, the different fractions are studied to better understand the effect of the wide molecular weight range on the removal process. In addition, the following physical and chemical characteristic will also be investigated: bulk density, porosity, surface area and the pore size distribution. The current research deals with the investigation and reporting of available micropores and mesopores on the adsorption profile of HS on the adsorbents for the removal and/or concentration minimization of HSS from synthetic water and wastewater samples. Three adsorption models are considered including Langmuir, Henry and Freundlich. Furthermore, the effect of different parameters such as pH and initial concentration of solution on adsorption capacity are considered. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) are employed to investigate the adsorption mechanism and to collect qualitative information about the solute adsorption. Adsorbents are neutral compounds although their surfaces are electrically charged according to the surface functional groups and to the pH of the water they are in contact with. The adsorbents need to present a favourable surface area with a wide pore size distribution such as the wide range of MW is able to penetrate the porous structure. A good adsorbent should also present a favourable zero point of charge and a variety of functional groups able to ionize [32, 33]. Hence, the zero point of charge (pHzpc) that gives the surface charge according to the pH, is an essential piece of information in order to understand and explain the adsorption process.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 The adsorbent and the adsorbate

Three different types of adsorbents were used in this study: granular activated carbon (GAC) obtained from Universal Mineral Supplies Ltd., beta form of ferric oxihydroxide akaganeite (β-FeOOH); acquired from Wasserchemie, and iron-coated activated alumina (AAFS 50) purchased from Alcan Chemicals GEH. Initially, the adsorbents were cleaned from dirt and inherent pulp by washing using distilled water. They were subsequently dried at 105 °C for 3 h. After drying, the adsorbents were crushed to a powder form with dark brown colour and with different size distribution (sieves and shaker from Endecotts). Four diameters were measured: d10 (effective diameter), d50 (median diameter), d60 and d90. They correspond to respectively 10%, 50%, 60% and 90% of the adsorbent grains in weight.

Humic acid used as adsorbate was acquired from Aldrich, UK, in a form of powder with wide molecular mass range. Humics have a molecular weight ranging from 20,000 to 50,000 Da [34]. Separation into various ranges of molecular weight is termed fractionation. This is carried out in order to investigate the behaviour of each MW range towards adsorption. Each fraction may be regarded as a component during adsorption enabling a multicomponent adsorption model to be applied [35–37]. For fractionation of humic substances and to prepare solutions with specific molecular mass of humic acid, ultrafiltration membranes (Vivaflow 50 obtained from Sartorius Ltd., UK) were used. The membrane material consists of polyethersulfone (PES).

Such a fractionation system has been used previously by Khraisheh [34]. Three different solutions, i.e. F1, F12 and F123 with variable molecular mass of HS as <5 kDa, <10 kDa and <5 kDa respectively were prepared. The samples were stored at 4 °C. To obtain dissolved organic content of 10 mg/L, each solution was diluted with deionized water accordingly. The pH of the solutions was maintained at 7.
2.2 Characterization of adsorbents

To compare qualitatively the organic functional groups of humic substances adsorbed on the adsorbents, a Fourier transform infrared analysis was carried out. Samples were taken from the column after adsorption of various fractions of MW of HS onto the selected adsorbents. It was carried out as given in [38, 39], on the FTIR Perkin Elmer Spectrophotometer RX I instrument. The samples (dry adsorbent with and without adsorbed humic matter) were dried for 18 h at 65 °C. The disc, which was formed, was placed in the instrument. The disc was scanned in transmission mode through a wavelength range from 400 to 4000 cm⁻¹. Before each measurement, the instrument was run to collect the background spectrum which was then automatically subtracted from the sample spectrum.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Cambridge 90B SEM (Leo, UK) apparatus-Eastman Dental Institute, University College London) was also used for samples morphology analysis.

2.3 Surface area, pore size analysis and porosity

Using BET method, the adsorbents surface area was obtained by assuming the section area of nitrogen molecular as 0.162 nm². Using nitrogen adsorption apparatus (Surface Area Analyser/Micrometrics Instrument Corp., model ASAP 2010), the nitrogen adsorption isotherms were obtained at 77 K nitrogen adsorption isotherms of the adsorbents were determined at 77 K. Before nitrogen adsorption, the sample (0.4 g) was outgassed under vacuum for period of 24 h. From 400 to 4000 cm⁻¹. Before each measurement, the instrument was run to collect the background spectrum which was then automatically subtracted from the sample spectrum.

Using nitrogen adsorption apparatus (Surface Area Analyser/Micrometrics Instrument Corp., model ASAP 2010), the nitrogen adsorption isotherms were obtained at 77 K nitrogen adsorption isotherms of the adsorbents were determined at 77 K. Before nitrogen adsorption, the sample (0.4 g) was outgassed under vacuum for period of 24 h at temperature of 100 °C. Porosity and grain density were also determined (Eq.1 and 2). The adsorbents were soaked and mixed with water overnight, to fill the pores with water. It was then dried at approximately 50 °C.

\[
\text{Porosity} = \varepsilon_p = \frac{V_1-(V_4-V_3)}{V_1}
\]  

(1)

\[
\text{Grain density} = \frac{\text{Bulk density}}{1-\text{poured porosity}}
\]  

(2)

where \(V_1\) is the unpacked volume, \(V_2\) is the packed volume, \(V_3\) (25 mL) is the volume of water added and \(V_4\) is the final volume after elimination of air (\(V_2\) is used instead of \(V_1\) to obtain the packed porosity).

2.4 pH of zero point charge

The surface charge of the adsorbents was estimated by following an alkametric titration method [38]. 24 × 100 mL Erlenmeyer flasks were used, containing 2.5 g of the adsorbent, a known amount of acid HCl (0.25 M) or base NaOH (0.25 M), from 0 to 6 mL, Ca(NO₃)₂ (0.5 mmol Ca L⁻¹) and deionised water to bring the volume to 25 mL. The Erlenmeyer flasks were fixed on an orbital shaker (IKA KS260 control) for 24 h at 200 rpm. The pH of the solutions was then measured. Duplicate experiments were conducted. The largest error was ± 0.13, with a mean of ± 0.05. The surface charge, \(\sigma\) (C m⁻²), was calculated using equations described in [38].

2.5 Equilibrium adsorption isotherms

Adsorption can be (i) a physical process when electrostatic and coulombic attraction forces bind the adsorbate to the adsorbent, (ii) a chemical process when chemical bonds bind the adsorbate to the adsorbent and (iii) both a physical and a chemical process when both (i) and (ii) occur simultaneously. Consequently, it is of interest to describe the adsorbents both physically and chemically. The characteristics of the adsorbents were investigated to provide two aspects that will be useful in understanding, interpreting and explaining the adsorption results obtained from the experiments.

The experimental results were investigated using various adsorption models, such as Langmuir, Freundlich and Henry isotherms [6, 8, 40–45]. The batch studies on single adsorbate isotherm behaviour were then extended to multicomponent adsorption. The isotherm represents the variation of adsorption with concentration of adsorbate in bulk solution; hence, a graph was produced of the dissolved organic carbon (DOC) load (milligramme of DOC per gram of adsorbent) versus the residual DOC (milligramme per litre). The results showed the adsorption isotherms for both DOC and UV absorbance at 254 nm. All experiments were run in duplicate and were found to be reproducible. The results of the kinetic studies [12], indicated that equilibrium is reached in 4 days when the adsorbents are mixed with the HS solution. Therefore, results presented in this paper correspond to equilibrium analyses performed, using a centrifuge at 200 rpm, after 4 days contact between the adsorbate (HS) and the abovementioned adsorbents.

The commercial humic acid acquired from Aldrich was weighed until 10 g and was dissolved in deionized water (2 L). The solution was centrifuged for 2.5 h at 10,000 rpm. The supernatant was obtained from the flasks and was filtered. Various types of filters were used which include Whatman glass microfiber GF/F, GF/B, GF/C of 2.5, 1.2 and 0.7 μm sizes respectively and cellulose acetate of 0.45 μm and Whatman number 3 (6 mm). The filtered solution was used as stock for more fractionation.

The HS solution (250 mL) was mixed with a fixed mass of adsorbent in a conical flask. The investigation of adsorption capacity was done at different doses and contact times (0–168 h). A solution without any adsorbent was used as a control. The mixture was mixed at 200 rpm. After given time, the samples were removed from shaker and were filtered and analysed for UV absorbance. Furthermore, DOC analyser
penetrate micropores, hence, inhibiting adsorption onto GAC. However, the size of the adsorbate may make it unable to βAAFS and θmethods give different results (Table 1); nevertheless, microporosity is most likely to be present on the iron compounds. From Table 1, the area available for adsorption on croporosity is most likely to be present on the iron compounds. From Table 1, the area available for adsorption on AAFS and β-FeOOH is small compared with GAC. However, the size of the adsorbate may make it unable to penetrate micropores, hence, inhibiting adsorption onto GAC.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Surface area and pore size analyses

The different types of HS in wastewaters vary chemical and physical properties, and, as a result, they interact contrarily with different types of adsorbents. Regarding the adsorbents porosity, GAC is mainly microporous, with a very large surface area. The situation for β-FeOOH is unclear, since different methods give different results (Table 1); nevertheless, microporosity is most likely to be present on the iron compounds. From Table 1, the area available for adsorption on AAFS and β-FeOOH is small compared with GAC. However, the size of the adsorbate may make it unable to penetrate micropores, hence, inhibiting adsorption onto GAC.

3.2 Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy

FTIR is as an important technique for the investigation of the interaction between the functional groups on the adsorbent’s surface with the adsorbate. Figure 1 shows the FTIR spectrum of GAC, AAFS and β-FeOOH. It can be noticed that all spectra (GAC, AAFS and β-FeOOH) display a band between 2350 and 2310 cm\(^{-1}\) which is due to adsorption of atmospheric carbon dioxide. For GAC, this band is band (b). Band (a) at 3430 cm\(^{-1}\) is due to OH stretching and the CO stretching of alcohol group is found between 1160 and 1000 cm\(^{-1}\). Band (c) at 1550 cm\(^{-1}\) comes from the stretching of double bonds C=C in aromatic rings and from the C=O and COO\(^{-}\) stretching. Absorption of the C–O stretch and OH deformation is around 1450 cm\(^{-1}\) (band (d)) [46]. According to [46], band shifts are most likely present due to factors such as intramolecular and intermolecular bonding, steric effect and degree of conjugation [46]. This makes difficult the precise assignment of each small band within those regions of the spectrum, which is why the global structure is identified from regional absorption only.

The FTIR spectra of AAFS display bands at identical wavenumbers. Band (a) in the region 3600–3000 cm\(^{-1}\) is attributed to strong hydrogen bonds and hydroxyl groups stretching vibrations. These represent lattice water, M–OH (M represents a metal) and hydroxocomplexes. Adsorption of atmospheric carbon dioxide appears again at 2310 cm\(^{-1}\) (band (b)). Band (c) at 2085 cm\(^{-1}\) is an overtone of band (h) at 1075 cm\(^{-1}\), itself due to Al=O stretching [47].

The small shoulder at 1950 cm\(^{-1}\) (band (d)) could be due to Fe–H bond [48]. Band at 1643 cm\(^{-1}\) could be attributed to the bending of water molecule bonds H–O–H, centred on 1630 cm\(^{-1}\).

Absorption band f at 1500 cm\(^{-1}\) is due to carbonyl compounds, and band (g) at 1385 cm\(^{-1}\) is attributed to carbonate ions in the presence of iron [48]. OH stretching and H bonds absorb in the range of 3600 to 3000 cm\(^{-1}\) (band (a), very broad). Fe–H bonds absorb around 2000–1900 cm\(^{-1}\) (band (b)) and the H–O–H bending mode absorbs at 1610 cm\(^{-1}\) (band (c)). Bands, (d) at 1480 cm\(^{-1}\) and band (e) at 1340 cm\(^{-1}\) are attributed to carbonyl compounds and carbonate ion CO\(_3\)^{2−}. Absorption bands (f) (1100 cm\(^{-1}\)) and (g) (1060 cm\(^{-1}\)) are attributed to aquo- and hydroxocomplexes absorbing between 1200 and 600 cm\(^{-1}\) [48]. Usual characteristics of the akaganeite structure are two absorption bands around 690 cm\(^{-1}\) (band (h)) and 430 cm\(^{-1}\). However, absorption bands below 700 cm\(^{-1}\) are not clear, and these bands are difficult to identify, most likely due to the weak transmittance in the presence of water in the hydrophilic ferric oxihydroxide. For this akaganeite β-FeOOH, the hydroxyl OH is the dominant absorbing group.

Table 1  Summary of the physical characteristics of the adsorbents determined using nitrogen adsorption at 77 K

| Characteristic                        | GAC  | AAFS | β-FeOOH |
|--------------------------------------|------|------|---------|
| S\(_{BET}\) (m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\))     | 980  | 286  | 360     |
| Micropore area (m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\))  |      | 812  | 0       |
| Micropore volume (cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\)) | 168  | 0    | 0       |
| External area (m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\))  | 0.37 | 286  | 360     |
| BJH method                           |      |      |         |
| Pore diameter at peak value (nm)     | 3.5 (very weak) | 3.5 (medium) | 3.5 (narrow and strong) |
| Median pore width (nm)               | 1.13 | 4.55 | 2.38    |
| Maximum pore volume (cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\)) | 0.48 | 0.34 | 0.30    |
| Micropore volume (% < 2.5 nm)       | 94   | 36   | 51      |
Fig. 1 FTIR spectra of GAC, AAFS and B-FeOOH.
3.3 Point of zero charge, pH_{zpc}

Adsorbents are neutral compounds although their surface can be electrically charged according to the surface functional groups and to the pH of the water with which they are in contact. Figure 2 shows the surface charge with pH for GAC, AAFS and β-FeOOH.

When calcium nitrate is used, the pH_{zpc} are at pH 10.6, 5.0 and 5.4 for GAC, AAFS and β-FeOOH, respectively. These values are very close to the pH values before any addition of acid or base, which were 10.8, 5.4 and 5.8 for the same compounds, particularly, when taking into account the error on pH to be ± 0.1. The pH_{zpc} values of both iron compounds are very close. This could be attributed to the presence of iron, since in general, alumina have higher pH_{zpc} values. Boehmite is part of the activated alumina, and, as an aluminium oxihydroxide, it has some similarities with iron oxihydroxide (β-FeOOH); Fe^{3+} can actually replace Al^{3+} in the boehmite crystal lattice [49] which explains why their pH_{zpc} values are very close. The hydroxyl groups of oxihydroxide metal-OOH provide both acidic and basic functionalities to the media. As for the GAC, its basic functionality is dominant. When adding the GAC to deionised water only, the pH was raised from 5.5 to 10.9. This pH increase is evidence of the adsorption either of the protons on the GAC surface or of the release of the hydroxyl groups from the surface. Comparatively, the addition of AAFS to deionised water only slightly decreased the pH, which was attributed to the deprotonation of AlOH. The pH slightly increased for β-FeOOH under the same conditions. Since the surface was also negative at pH 5.8, it could be interpreted that some FeO^{−} sites re-associated to become FeOH. The equations below (3, 4 and 5) show the
chemisorption of calcium on AAFS and β-FeOOH [49].
Hence, calcium replaces the protons on the surface, and aluminium (AAFS) could be swapped for iron (β-FeOOH):

\[
\text{AlOH} + \text{Ca}^{2+} \leftrightarrow \text{AlO}Ca^{+} + \text{H}^+ \quad (3)
\]

The possibly displacement of two protons:

\[
\text{AlOH} + \text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{AlO}CaOH + 2\text{H}^+ \quad (4)
\]

\[
2\text{AlOH} + \text{Ca}^{2+} \leftrightarrow (\text{AlO})_2\text{Ca} + 2\text{H}^+ \quad (5)
\]

Therefore, it could be concluded that calcium ions have been adsorbed on the surface, shielding the negative charges. Ca\(^{2+}\) was adsorbed on the medium; it can either screen the adsorption site and inhibit HS adsorption, or act as a ligand between the organic matter and the adsorbent, thereby, increasing the adsorption. The reported pH\(_{\text{zpc}}\) values for GAC vary from 2.1 to 9.7 according to the activation treatment and origin of the GAC [50]. The GAC used in this study has a higher pH\(_{\text{zpc}}\) and is more basic, confirmed by a domination of OH groups detected from the FTIR spectroscopy. To confirm the results, the surface charge of the adsorbents was measured using a different electrolyte at a higher concentration, namely potassium chloride KCl at 0.001 mol/L. This new concentration brings the same amount of charges since K\(^{+}\) is monovalent. Comparison brings the same amount of charges since K\(^{+}\) is monovalent and Ca\(^{2+}\) is divalent. However, using KCl instead of Ca(NO\(_3\))\(_2\) has only brought a slight change in pH\(_{\text{zpc}}\) values. The pH\(_{\text{zpc}}\) shifted from 5.0 to 5.3 for AAFS and from 5.4 to 5.9 for β-FeOOH. This confirms the role of calcium in decreasing the apparent surface charge of the iron compounds due to its affinity for the surface. The pH\(_{\text{zpc}}\) of GAC has shifted from 10.6 with Ca(NO\(_3\))\(_2\) down to 10.4 with KCl, showing a different behaviour from the other two adsorbents. The GAC surface was also slightly negative initially (pH = 10.8). However, in this case, the surface has the same sensitivity towards calcium nitrate and potassium chloride. During the equilibrium adsorption studies, all experiments were carried out at pH 7.0. Therefore, the GAC surface charge is positive with a value of +0.04 C/m\(^2\). An estimation of the iron compound surface charge was made using a four degree polynomial function (\(R^2 = 0.996\)), and the results at pH 7.0 were -0.5 C/m\(^2\) for AAFS and -0.1 C/m\(^2\) for β-FeOOH.

### 3.4 Physical characteristics of the adsorbents

Table 2 shows the bulk density, grain density, porosity and median size of the adsorbents.

| Adsorbent | GAC | AAFS | β-FeOOH |
|-----------|-----|------|---------|
| Bulk density (kg L\(^{-1}\)) | 0.44 | 0.89 | 1.32 |
| Grain density (kg L\(^{-1}\)) | 0.90 | 1.78 | 2.26 |
| Packed porosity | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.34 |
| Effective diameter (\(d_{10}\)) (mm) | 0.63 | 0.33 | 0.36 |
| Median diameter (\(d_{50}\)) (mm) | 0.91 | 0.41 | 0.56 |
| \(d_{90}\) (mm) | 1.15 | 0.5 | 0.94 |

FeOOH is much less porous than GAC and AAFS (Table 2). Porosity on activated media comes from heating the material at high temperatures. Artificial preparation of β-FeOOH does not involve such an activation step [51]. Instead, it was precipitated from FeCl\(_3\) solution, which when left for a few hours, produces rod shape crystals. Therefore, the absence of activation is considered responsible for the less porous structure. However, it is interesting to compare it with the packed porosity. Packed porosity is much smaller than poured porosity for β-FeOOH, and this is likely to bring a large variation in the porosity of the adsorbent bed and on the adsorption capacity, particularly when submitted to the weight of the water column.

#### 3.5 Scanning Electron microscopy

Figure 3 shows the SEM images of the adsorbents. The torn edges of the grains of GAC also showed the internal “holes”. Its internal structure could be recognised as graphite type layering of carbon sheets. Pores created from the activation process and the extensive debris account for the friability of this adsorbent. Grains of AAFS showed identical angled shape with a surface that appeared smooth with a uniform coating. On the bigger scale, only few cracks or holes are visible which suggests the surface area to be mainly external. The surface of β-FeOOH appeared smooth with an abundance of debris due to its high friability. The porous structure agreed well with the SEM photographs (Fig. 3) which illustrate the sheet structure of GAC and its numerous cavities in contrast with the iron compounds, which appear smooth with only a few cracks. Pores created from the activation process and the extensive debris account for the friability of this adsorbent. Considering the scale, mesopores and macropores are seen on the photographs (sizes above 2.5 \(\mu\)m). The surface of β-FeOOH appears smooth with an abundance of debris due to its high friability. The scale does not allow one to distinguish the characteristic rod-cigar shape of akaganeite crystallites (β form of FeOOH).
3.6 Equilibrium adsorption isotherms and mechanisms of adsorption

It is necessary to take into account the ionic surrounding of humic substances since charge effects, make the molecules expand due to intramolecular charge repulsion. On the other hand, intermolecular repulsion, together with dragging action of the counter ions, also alters the molecules’ movement. Aquatic humic substances were found to have 22.9% of the molecular weight distribution above 10,000 Da and 46.3% below 1000 Da. An aerated groundwater was also found to have a large proportion of its DOC (61%) falling within the range of molecular weight between 4000 and 10,000 Da, although this was justified since groundwater contains more soil-like humic substances than superficial waters [35–37].

3.6.1 Fraction F1 (< 5 kDa)

Figure 4 shows the adsorption of fraction F1 for UV$_{254}$ and DOC. GAC largely adsorbed F1 whereas iron compounds did not. The two striking features of the adsorption isotherms in UV and DOC are (i) the difference in the first region (where residual concentration is low) and (ii) the low loads on AAFS and β-FeOOH compared with GAC. The first region indicates how strong the interactions are between the adsorbate and the adsorbent. The high load on GAC from a very low residual
concentration showed the strong affinity of the lowest MW on the GAC surface. It was shown that F1 could be classified as a hydrophilic fraction. However, fulvic acids are generally part of the hydrophobic substances, and hydrophilic matter refers to the substances with a MW below 500 Da. The tendency of F1 to adsorption is equivalent to F1 "escaping" from the water, which confirms its dominant hydrophobic aspect. Cook and Langford [52] showed the fulvic acids to be much functionalised with many carboxylic groups and aliphatic structures. At pH 7, they lose their protons, and, since GAC is highly positive (pHzpc is 10.7), strong links are favoured. At neutral pH, GAC is positive, and complexation might occur more through the inner sphere corresponding to a high affinity of F1 for the surface, favouring a strong adsorption.

For β-FeOOH, the pH_{zpc} is between 5.0 and 5.5; hence, it acquires a negative surface at pH 7. Ionised fulvic acids face repulsion when approaching the surface. In this case, Vermeer et al. [53] mentioned the specific interactions such as ligand exchange, accompanying possible coulombic forces on the surface. The AAFS grains were initially brown with white spots where β-FeOOH coating was not complete and left the Al₂O₃ surface bare. By the end of the experiments, the whole surface of the grains was dark brown due to the HS adsorption. From such observations, it can be concluded that the adsorption is mainly external. A suggested cause of the low adsorption on AAFS is that the low microporosity is not accessible for low MW of F1. Gyration radius R_G of a molecule
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represents the radius of the sphere swept by the same molecule when turning on itself. R_G of F1 molecules is between 1.5 and 2 nm. GAC is mainly microporous with most pores smaller than 2.2 nm and a median pore size of 1.13 nm. Conversely, AAFS and β-FeOOH are mainly mesoporous (> 2.5 nm) but present little microporosity. At these sizes, F1 is able to enter most pores of the three adsorbents under study, but the smallest pores of GAC remaining inaccessible. For GAC, this corresponds well with the second region of adsorption on the isotherm. Figures 4 shows a slow approach to a plateau; this level corresponds to saturation. It indicates that, when the media is mainly microporous, a favourable monolayer adsorption is completed [54]. For β-FeOOH, adsorption did not take place immediately, although the pore size is not a limiting factor. Therefore, only a few adsorption sites are favourable to adsorption of low MW (different energy of adsorption involved). At pH 7 for AAFS, the surface presented positive charges. Consequently, it can attract HS, and this explains the high loads on AAFS compared with β-FeOOH. Low adsorption on β-FeOOH is also likely to be due to relatively few sites being favourable for adsorption of low MW HS [53]. As the pH increased to 8, the slope decreased and the adsorbent–adsorbate interactions, initially of coulombic nature, became more and more specific (ligand exchange).

Since the concentration range is wide (residual concentration up to 250 mgL−1), the strong intermolecular repulsion, within the solution, causes the HS to reach for the surface. This is probably the reason for the adsorption observed on β-FeOOH occurring only at high residual concentration. Therefore, the electrostatic effect did not control the adsorption under the conditions of this work. However, strong adsorption via ligand exchange, by inner sphere coordination, can also take place where positive charges are available on the iron mineral. The second interesting feature on Fig. 4 is the difference between the adsorption load on β-FeOOH when comparing UV254 and DOC. A residual UV254 beyond 10 m−1 is necessary before adsorption takes place on AAFS whereas, on β-FeOOH, residual UV254 needs to be approximately 2.5 m−1 only. This is attributed to the SUVA value (depicts the ratio of UV absorbance (m−1) to DOC (mg L−1) values. It is a good indicator of organic matter composition and reactivity changes. SUVA was 0.9 for F1 used on β-FeOOH versus 3.4 for F1 used on AAFS, due to the difficulty in making solutions with identical characteristics for consecutive experiments. It indicates that the solution has a high DOC but a low UV absorbance, as seen from the residual concentrations on both graphs. It particularly showed that F1 may be subdivided much further in more MW ranges. As a conclusion, it appeared necessary to have a positive surface for adsorption of low MW HS, which occurred only for GAC. In addition, the high microporosity of GAC provided a large surface for adsorption, as long as heavy MW HS do not block the pores. This did not happen for β-FeOOH. It is then only from a high solution concentration, that adsorption is observed since the deprotonated HS repel each other. In this situation, the most likely mechanism for adsorption was the outer-sphere complexation by the long-range Van der Waals forces. This is the case here since β-FeOOH was a negative surface at neutral pH. Therefore, it seems likely that the interaction involved the non-ionised groups of β-FeOOH with the functional group of F1 and, to a lesser extent, the inner-sphere coordination via positive charges on the mineral surface. The Langmuir model was not applicable since no trend of linearity was observed between C_c/q_e vs C_c. The Henry model was applied only to the GAC, though the fitting was not good. On the range of the concentration studied, only the Freundlich model could be applied to the three adsorbents, although the fitting for β-FeOOH was not good either. Parameters corresponding to the three models are given in Table 3. A high residual DOC must be reached in the solution to observe a low adsorption on those media. The delay in adsorption was more obvious for DOC than for UV254 giving evidence that only the higher molecular weights of F1 (close to 5 kDa) are adsorbed on β-FeOOH. In this case, since the initial DOC was high (16.17 mg L−1), adsorption would be expected to be high under the accumulation of the HS on the surface. The β-FeOOH adsorption capacity was only 0.43 mg g−1, compared with 9.11 and 2.55 mg g−1 on GAC and AAFS, respectively, when the initial DOC was much lower (approximately 10 mg L−1).

The 1/n value (multiplied by 100) corresponds to the percentage of sites having a similar energy (the Freundlich model assumes the existence of a distribution of the sites energy) [38]. It could be interpreted that at a higher 1/n value, the same reasoning is applicable and all sites are similar. This corresponds to a homogeneous surface. For GAC, 1/n is equal to 0.5 indicating a wide range of sites corresponding to different energies of adsorption. However, the 1/n value would be higher if the delay in adsorption were not taken into account since initially the load increases sharply. The short delay showed that adsorption is observed from a residual DOC of approximately 0.7 mg L−1. This DOC is attributed to the non-adsorbable portion of fraction F1. The high 1/n value on AAFS (5.75), on the other hand, is due to a slow increase in adsorption, followed by a very sharp increase for the solutions with a high DOC. Although the 1/n value for β-FeOOH is not very high (0.71), the similar shape might lead to a similar conclusion. The very long delay in the adsorption behaviour showed that, whatever the energy of the adsorption sites, they did not present any affinity to the HS molecules below 5 kDa until a high solution concentration took place.
3.6.2 Fraction F2 (5–10 kDa)

Figure 5 shows the adsorption isotherms of F2 for UV254 and DOC onto AA, AAFS and β-FeOOH. It is noticeable that the isotherms shapes are very different from F1. Examining the first region of adsorption, it is clear that UV254 is adsorbed immediately on β-FeOOH. However, a slight delay is observed for the DOC, and the isotherm resembles more closely to type IV. This indicated that the heavier molecules of F2 (the one which absorb UV) are removed more efficiently on β-FeOOH than the lighter ones of F2. For both UV and DOC, a sharp start of the isotherms on AAFS and β-FeOOH contrasts with the low slope for GAC.

Since GAC has mainly micropores, it could be inferred that GAC would adsorb F2 better if it had a higher proportion of mesopores and perhaps some macropores. It also becomes apparent that for efficient adsorption, interaction of the HS with AAFS and β-FeOOH at pH 7 is possible only for MW above 5 kDa. Fraction F2 tends to escape from the water more than fraction F1 due to less ionisable groups (less carboxylic groups than in F1). The HS in fraction F2 are too big to diffuse into micropores and need meso- or macropores, present in iron compounds, to be adsorbed efficiently. However, it is also possible that the number of sites used for adsorption is the same as for F1. In such a situation, having one heavy MW humic molecule adsorbed has more consequence on the residual concentration than having a small MW molecule adsorbed. Two possibilities are suggested: (i) one heavy molecule occupies one site and, as a consequence, adjacent humic molecules are repulsed towards the solution and (ii) the humic molecule occupies several sites.

For both AAFS and GAC, type V with a sharp rise of the load when the residual DOC increases was observed. It indicates that adsorption took place beyond a monolayer. In the third region of the adsorption (high residual DOC), the high concentration of the HS brought a change in their behaviour. Since the pH of the solution was 7, the HS tended to lose their protons and therefore can strongly repel each other and escape onto the positive surface of GAC. In turn, GAC becomes saturated, and the load increased steeply. This also indicated that the heavier MW either displaced lighter MW or blocked the pores. The greater adsorption of heavier MW also corresponded with the higher content of phenolic groups. The external surface of GAC is much less than AAFS and β-FeOOH (168m² g⁻¹ compared with 286 and 360m² g⁻¹ for AAFS and β-FeOOH, respectively). Therefore, the adsorption of bigger molecules on AAFS and β-FeOOH is more favoured than it was for F1.

At pH 3.8, half of the carboxylic acid is dissociated and increasingly so as pH increases, whereas the pH needs to reach 10.0 to have half of the phenol dissociated. At pH 7, the carboxylic groups of the HS are mostly ionised as hardly any phenolic groups are dissociated. Therefore, on the

| Table 3 Adsorption isotherm parameters for F1 on GAC, AAFS and β-FeOOH |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Adsorbent | Initial DOC (mg L⁻¹) | K_F (mg g⁻¹) | 1/n | Adsorption Capacity (mg L⁻¹) | b (L mg⁻¹) | Q_mon (mg g⁻¹) | K_H (L g⁻¹) |
|-----------|----------------------|--------------|-----|---------------------------|------|-------------|-----------|
| F1        |                      |              |     |                          |      |             |            |
| GAC       | 10.48                | 5.55         | 0.51| 9.11                      | <0   | <0          | 2.121     |
| AAFS      | 10.96                | <10⁻²        | 5.75| 2.55                      | <0   | <0          | NA        |
| B-FeOOH   | 16.99                | <10⁻²        | 0.71| 2.73                      | <0   | <0          | NA        |
| F2        |                      |              |     |                          |      |             |            |
| GAC       | 11.25                | 1.44         | 0.81| 5.66                      | 0.08 | 19.57       | 0.993     |
| AAFS      | 10.93                | 1.08         | 1.23| 7.18                      | <0   | <0          | 1.718     |
| B-FeOOH   | 7.08                 | 2.50         | 1.05| 5.02                      | <0   | <0          | 2.322     |
| F3        |                      |              |     |                          |      |             |            |
| GAC       | 13.65                | 0.54         | 0.48| 1.86                      | 0.15 | 2.77        | NA        |
| AAFS      | 13.61                | 2.55         | 0.41| 5.45                      | 0.29 | 8.71        | NA        |
| β-FeOOH   | 13.61                | 1.60         | 0.55| 4.64                      | 0.16 | 9.56        | 0.665     |
| F123      |                      |              |     |                          |      |             |            |
| GAC       | 10.64                | 0.30         | 1.02| 2.72                      | 0.007| 41.49       | 0.300     |
| AAFS      | 5.43                 | 0.60         | 1.20| 2.75                      | <0   | <0          | 0.750     |
| β-FeOOH   | 8.44                 | 1.04         | 0.86| 3.49                      | 0.06 | 18.18       | 1.010     |

*Experimental load (DOC mg/g of adsorbent) after 96 h, in experiments where the dose was of 1 g adsorbent L⁻¹
negative surface, less repulsion occurs as more phenolic groups are involved. In addition, the adsorption of the high MW HS is more likely to result in a higher load since only part of the molecule could be adsorbed, leaving free sites for others but contributing to a high proportion of UV and DOC removal.

Figure 5 shows the model fitting for fraction F2 onto GAC, AAFS and β-FeOOH. Corresponding parameters are correlated in Table 3. The three models, Langmuir, Freundlich and Henry can be applied successfully to GAC. However, none of the models represented the complete range of data, which is likely to be due to additional surface creation and a precipitation on the surface beyond adsorption phenomena [48, 55]. As for F1, a slight delay is observed, and adsorption is obvious from a residual DOC of 0.7 mg L\(^{-1}\). For AAFS, the Langmuir model did not fit the experimental data while the Freundlich and Henry models were successfully applied. As for fraction F1, the adsorption delay (due to the non-adsorbable fraction) is not well represented by any of the models. The Freundlich model showed that it is the best model to describe the adsorption of fraction F2 and it can represent a complete range of MW if taking into account a non-absorbable part of the HS. For β-FeOOH, results are
much dispersed. On the range of DOC studied, Freundlich and Henry’s model fitting are similar but become distinct as the residual DOC increased.

Comparing $K_F$ and $1/n$ values for F1, it is clear that $K_F$ decreased for GAC and the slope $1/n$ increased, indicating that the energy of adsorption is more uniform. For AAFS and β-FeOOH, $K_F$ and the adsorption capacity increased. This indicated that the adsorption is favourable on these adsorbents and the mechanisms might be the mechanisms (i) and (ii) of Fig. 6. On the other hand, $1/n$ approached unity which agrees with the fact that the Henry model could be applied, particularly for β-FeOOH. Various mechanisms of adsorption simultaneously take place on this path, each of which has a specific resistance to adsorption. The overall kinetics of the process are limited by the largest resistance. Therefore, it is essential to know which mechanism is dominant since the slowest mechanism imposes the kinetics of adsorption.

It also becomes apparent that for efficient adsorption, interaction of HS with iron compounds at pH 7 is possible only for MW above 5 kDa. Fraction F2 tends to “escape” from the water more than fraction F1 due to less ionisable groups (less carboxylic groups than in F1). Humic substances in fraction F2 are too big to diffuse into micropores and need meso- or macropores, present in iron compounds, to be adsorbed efficiently. However, it is also possible that the number of sites used for adsorption is the same as for F1. In such a situation, having one heavy MW humic molecule adsorbed has more consequence on the residual concentration than having a small MW molecule adsorbed. Two possibilities are suggested: (i) one heavy molecule occupies one site, and as a consequence, adjacent humic molecules are repulsed towards the solution, and (ii) the humic molecule occupies several sites.

3.6.3 Comparisons of model parameters between F1, F2, F3 and F123

The parameters estimated from Freundlich, Langmuir and Henry models for F1, F2, F3 and F123 are represented in Table 3. The Freundlich model, which allows for a distribution of energy of adsorption, is the only model applicable to each adsorbent/adsorbate system. The adsorbents are most likely to present a heterogeneous surface, a high porosity in GAC and uneven distribution of the local charges on the iron compounds. In addition, variations of natural organic matter in weight and organic functions are expected to adsorb with different energies. These two aspects justify the applicability of the Freundlich model. However, heterogeneity of the surface becomes less obvious as the MW increases, and the adsorption energy is then more uniform ($1/n$ is closer to 1). The possibility of using $K_F$ as an indicator of adsorption capacity is confirmed with both parameters following similar trends. It is also clear that the presence of F1 influenced the behaviour of F123. The affinity of F1 for GAC became clear when observing the small difference between the original DOC and the adsorption capacity. For β-FeOOH, the very large difference indicated the low affinity of F1 for this iron compound (Fig. 7).

![Fig. 6 Adsorption mechanism](image-url)
The Langmuir model is generally not suitable; hence, the confirmation that adsorption of the HS required a heterogeneous surface with a distribution of sites energy. F1 is particularly noncompliant to such a model, which is probably due to being the closest in MW to the non-absorbable fraction (very low MW). Henry’s model applied to most cases and broadly follows the trend of the Freundlich model. F2 showed regular behaviour on each adsorbent, and the influence of F1 and F3 appeared in the $K_H$ values for F123 (Fig. 7).

4 Conclusions

The following can be concluded:

- Although the FTIR showed that the hydroxyl group was the main surface group on the three adsorbents, the $pH_{ZPC}$ showed that GAC is basic and positively charged at pH 7.0, whereas AAFS and β-FeOOH are more acidic and slightly negatively charged at neutral pH.
- The β-FeOOH adsorption capacity was only 0.43 mg g$^{-1}$, compared with 9.11 and 2.55 mg g$^{-1}$ on GAC and AAFS, respectively.
- F1 is not well adsorbed and only F2 (5–10 kDa) can be efficiently removed by AAFS and β-FeOOH. It was strongly suggested that precipitation/condensation occurred on the adsorbent surface.
- It was shown that calcium forms complexes with the HS. Two interactions might have occurred: (i) the number of sites occupied by one molecule of the HS for adsorption can be decreased allowing more sites for others, and (ii) by cancelling some of the negative HS charges, intermolecular repulsion might decrease and allow HS molecules to be closer to one another near the adsorbent surface.
- The Freundlich model fitted all the adsorbent/adsorbate systems. The Langmuir model fitted in a minority of cases.
and was applicable in the second region of adsorption (i.e. neither for low nor high coverage). The Henry model was applicable in most cases in the low range of concentration.
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