Integration of body temperature into the analysis of energy expenditure in the mouse
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: We quantified the effect of environmental temperature on mouse energy homeostasis and body temperature.

Methods: The effect of environmental temperature (4–33 °C) on body temperature, energy expenditure, physical activity, and food intake in various mice (chow diet, high-fat diet, Brs3+/−, lipodystrophic) was measured using continuous monitoring.

Results: Body temperature depended most on circadian phase and physical activity, but also on environmental temperature. The amounts of energy expenditure due to basal metabolic rate (calculated via a novel method), thermic effect of food, physical activity, and cold-induced thermogenesis were determined as a function of environmental temperature. The measured resting defended body temperature matched that calculated from the energy expenditure using Fourier’s law of heat conduction. Mice defended a higher body temperature during physical activity. The cost of the warmer body temperature during the active phase is 33% of total daily energy expenditure. Parameters measured in diet-induced obese and Brs3+/− mice were similar to controls. The high post-mortem heat conductance demonstrates that most insulation in mice is via physiological mechanisms.

Conclusions: At 22 °C, cold-induced thermogenesis is ~ 120% of basal metabolic rate. The higher body temperature during physical activity is due to a higher set point, not simply increased heat generation during exercise. Most insulation in mice is via physiological mechanisms, with little from fur or fat. Our analysis suggests that the definition of the upper limit of the thermoneutral zone should be re-considered. Measuring body temperature informs interpretation of energy expenditure data and improves the predictiveness and utility of the mouse to model human energy homeostasis.

Published by Elsevier GmbH. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Keywords Thermoneutrality; Basal metabolic rate; Cold-induced thermogenesis; Body temperature; Energy expenditure; Heat conductance

1. INTRODUCTION

The mouse is a mainstay of obesity research, useful for obtaining mechanistic understanding of clinical observations and for guiding clinical investigation, while allowing experiments not possible in humans. For example, identification of brain regions, neurotransmitters, and connectivity has been determined predominantly in rodents [1] and the mouse is often used to identify pharmaceutical targets [2] and to test candidate drugs. It is crucial to know when human and mouse biology are similar in order to increase the predictive value of mouse models. Humans and mice differ in mass by ~3000-fold. Since body surface area scales to the 2/3 power of mass and surface area is a determinant of heat exchange, thermal biology can be different between mice and humans. Inter-species metabolic rate is proposed to scale to the 3/4 power of body mass and mass-specific metabolic rate therefore to the −1/4 power of body mass, meaning the mouse has a ~7-fold higher mass-specific metabolic rate than a human [3–5]. Adult humans live in or near their thermoneutral zone, so body heat is generated predominantly as a byproduct of metabolic processes with a small contribution from adaptive thermogenesis. Upon cold challenge, large mammals maintain an unchanged core body temperature (Tb), while reducing their surface temperature, increasing the size of a substantial thermal ‘shell’ and further insulating the core. Small mammals, such as mice, have disproportionately greater heat loss, and thus their physiology is oriented more towards heat generation than heat dissipation [6]. Mice are typically studied below their thermoneutral zone [7,8]. Small mammals have a minimal thermal shell and their Tb, while also tightly regulated, varies much more than in large mammals [9]. In general, as a mammal’s body size becomes smaller, the animal will exhibit more variability in Tb [10], greater rates of change
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in Tb, increased sensitivity to a cool environment (for example in the increase in metabolic rate), a warmer lower bound of the thermoneutral zone, and use of Tb reduction for energy conservation. The importance of Tb in energy homeostasis has been recognized since at least 1867 (attributed to Sander-Ezn by Ref. [11]) and is acknowledged in reviews [12–14] but is underappreciated in most studies of mouse energy homeostasis. Previously Tb has been invoked to explain phenotypes, but has not been quantitatively integrated into the analysis. Here we examine the effect of environmental temperature (Ta) on energy homeostasis in mice with continuous measurement of Tb, energy expenditure, physical activity, and food intake. Integration of the Tb data indeed provides new insights into the costs of circadian Tb variation, physical activity, and adaptation to the cold.

2. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

2.1. Animals
Mice were singly housed at 21–22 °C with a 12:12-h dark—light cycle (lights on at 0600 h) in a clean, conventional facility with water and food provided ad libitum. Experiments were approved by the NIDDK Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Two groups of six mice were studied in each of three experiments (Table S1). Experiment 1 studied 16–20-week old male chow-fed littermate C57BL/6J and B6Tb [15] mice. Experiment 2 used 18–19-week old male C57BL/6J mice fed chow (NIH-07, 15 kcal % from fat, Harlan) or a high-fat diet (D12492, 60 kcal % from fat, Research Diets) for the prior 4 weeks. Experiment 3 analyzed 15-week old female chow-fed FVB/N and A-ZIP/F-1 [16] mice.

2.2. Body temperature measurement
G2 E-Mitter transponders (Starr Life Sciences, Oakmont, PA) were implanted iprteritoneally under anesthesia (isoflurane or ketamine 100 mg/kg ip and xylazine 10 mg/kg ip) with flunixin analgesia (2.2 mg/kg sc at operation and for two days). Mice were studied at least one week after surgery. Signals were acquired using ER4000 Energizer/Receivers and the manufacturer’s software. Body weights are reported after subtraction of the weight of implanted E-Mitters. Body composition was measured by time domain Echo MRI 3-in-1 (Echo Medical Systems, Houston, TX) immediately after euthanasia and removal of the E-Mitter.

2.3. Indirect calorimetry
The indirect calorimetry system (CLAMS using Vital View version 5.0, Columbus Instruments, Columbus, OH) was housed in a temperature-controlled enclosure and used to measure O2 consumption, CO2 production, activity (infrared beam break; one beam break is one count), food intake, and Tb (telemetry) from 12 chambers (2.5 L volume, constant flow rate of 0.5 L/min, sampling flow of 0.4 L/min, without bedding or nesting), each sampled every 13 min. Mice were acclimated to the chambers for 3 days at 22 °C, followed by measurements for one day each at 22 °C, 26 °C, 30 °C, 33 °C, 28 °C, 24 °C, 18 °C, 12 °C, and 4 °C, with the chamber temperature changed at 1200. Data during Ta transition and cage maintenance (1200–1300) were excluded. Food and water were provided ad libitum at all times. Protein oxidation was not measured and the RQ was not corrected for protein oxidation. Each experiment yielded ~960 time points per mouse.

2.4. Analysis of energy expenditure components
Each analysis was performed individually for each mouse, at each Ta and each of the light/dark phases (18 data sets per mouse). The total energy expenditure (TEE) was fit by second order regression to the physical activity. Taking the Y-axis intercept as the TEE in the absence of physical activity, the energy expenditure of physical activity (PAEE) was defined as: PAEE = TEE – Y-intercept. Very similar PAEEs were obtained using linear, 2nd, or 4th order regression. The 2nd order regression was used since the fit was slightly better than using linear regression; linear regression is suitable if only the Y-intercept is of interest.

Thermic effect of food (TEF, also known as specific dynamic action) was calculated from the diet manufacturer’s data using the consensus thermic effects of fat (2.5%), carbohydrate (7.5%), and protein (25%) [17]. Note that this method of calculating TEF specifically avoids incorporating changes in energy expenditure due to neurobehavioral adaptations to the fed or fasted state. The TEF for chow diet (7022 NIH-07, Harlan, 3.1 metabolizable kcal/g, 15% calories from fat, 56% calories from carbohydrate, 29% calories from protein) is 11.8% or 0.367 kcal/g. The NIH-07 food quotient was calculated as 0.909 using values of 0.71 for fat, 1.00 for carbohydrate, and 0.835 for protein [17]. Similarly, the TEF for the high fat diet (D12492, Research Diets; 5.24 metabolizable kcal/g, 60% calories from fat, 20% calories from carbohydrate, 20% calories from protein) is 8.0% or 0.419 kcal/g, with a food quotient of 0.793. In humans, TEF peaks sooner after smaller meals than large ones [18]. Since the time course of TEF in mice is not known, TEF was calculated as the average over each light/dark phase, assuming no time delay.

The basal metabolic rate (BMR) is calculated at thermoneutrality (33 °C) during the light phase. Under these conditions, BMR = TEE–PAEE–TEF. Cold-induced thermogenesis (GIT) was defined as GIT = TEE–PAEE–TEF–BMR.

2.5. Heat conductance after death
The heat conductance in kcal/h/gradient °C (where gradient °C = Tb – Ta) was calculated in mice with implanted E-mitters each minute from 6 to 35 min after death as Cpsystem*( ΔTb/Δt) / (meanTb – meanTa), where Δt is the 1-min time interval (t2 – t1), ΔTb is the Tb change during the interval (Tb2 – Tb1), meanTb is the mean Tb of the interval (Tb1 + Tb2)/2, and meanTa is the mean Tb of the interval (Ta1 + Ta2)/2 and was constant at 21.6 °C. Thus, the rate of heat loss can also be written: Cpsystem*(ΔTb–Ta)/(t2–t1) / [(Tb2 + Tb1)/2 – (Ta1 + Ta2)/2]. Accounting for the implanted E-mitters, the total heat capacity of the mouse plus E-mitter system, Cpsystem, is Cpsystem + (Mmouse – MEmitter) + (Cpmouse – Cpmf) cal/°C. Where MEmitter is the mass of the E-mitter, 1.111 ± 0.008 g (mean ± SEM, n = 21), Mmouse is the mass of the mouse + MEmitter, and Cpmf = 0.160 ± 0.015 cal/°C (n = 7). The heat capacity of a mouse alone, Cpmouse, was calculated as 0.9100 + 0.5231 * mcal/°C, where m is the fat fraction [19]. Since the implanted E-mitter precludes ml measurement by magnetic resonance spectroscopy, m was taken from historical data of mice matched for body weight, sex, and genotype. Compared to using Cpsystem = Cpmouse, incorporating Cpmf into Cpsystem contributed a correction of ≤4%.

2.6. Statistical analysis
Data points represent mean ± SEM of 5–6 mice, unless indicated otherwise. Standard least squares mixed model analysis with mouse as a random effect was performed using JMP 11.0.0 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Determinants of body temperature
We varied Ta from 4 to 33 °C while continuously measuring Tb, TEE, respiratory quotient (RQ), locomotor activity, and food intake in singly
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housed mice initially acclimatized to 22 °C (Figure S1). Tb was higher in the dark phase, with physical activity, and at 30—33 °C (light phase only), and lower at 4—12 °C (Figure 1A,B). The mild Tb reduction at 4—12 °C was not the large decrease seen in torpor [9]. A statistical analysis demonstrated that Tb variance is explained most by circadian phase and physical activity and less by environmental temperature, with smaller but significant interactions between these factors (Figure 1B; Table S2).

3.2. Partitioning of total energy expenditure
TEE was measured by indirect calorimetry and partitioned into four components: physical activity energy expenditure, thermic effect of food, basal metabolic rate, and cold-induced thermogenesis. Due to the circadian Tb differences, light and dark phase data were analyzed separately. The merged total daily expenditure results are summarized in Figure 2A,B.

PAEE, the energy cost of activity, was calculated as the difference between the EE measured at rest and the TEE (Figure 2C) [20]. The level of light phase physical activity was similar at all environmental temperatures (Figure 2D). Dark phase activity was slightly reduced at 33 °C and more so at 4 °C; in the 12—30 °C range it averaged 72% of the total daily activity.

TEF is the energetic cost of handling and storing ingested food [17], calculated as 12% of the measured caloric intake of the chow diet (see 2.4). Food intake, and thus TEF, increased at cooler Tas (Figure 2E). BMR is the energy expended in a thermoneutral environment, at physical rest, in the postabsorptive state, and in the absence of other metabolic demands such as growth, pregnancy, or lactation [21]. The BMR can be measured in the postabsorptive state, and in the absence of other metabolic processes such as growth, pregnancy, or lactation. The BMR is the energy expenditure at thermoneutrality and is constant during the active circadian phase.

3.3. Cost of physical activity
Multiple regression of TEE vs light phase physical activity and vs Ta shows that PAEE increases with increasing activity at all Tas, with apparently greater cost at 4 °C (Figure 3A). The energy cost of activity was greater in the light phase than in the dark phase (Figure 3B). In general, the energy cost per unit of activity was higher when the resting Tb was lower. The PAEE is 11%, 13%, and 23% of TEE at 4 °C, 22 °C, and 30 °C, respectively.

3.4. Energy cost of the active circadian phase
Energy must be expended to maintain the warmer Tb during the active circadian period (i.e., dark phase for mice, Figure 3C). We calculated this cost to be 0.109 ± 0.007 kcal/h/°C of Tb (in chow-fed C57BL/6J mice, weighing 26.9 ± 0.5 g). The cost of the higher active phase Tb is 4.4%, 11%, and 16% of TEE at 4 °C, 22 °C, and 30 °C, respectively. The extra heat is derived chiefly from the increased dark phase locomotion (PAEE) and food intake (TEF) (Figure 3D).

3.5. Heat conductance and the lower critical temperature
The thermoneutral zone is the Ta range over which EE is at a minimum and Tb is constant [7,21]. The lower boundary of the thermoneutral zone is the lower critical temperature (LCT), the point at which heat conservation mechanisms (e.g., vasoconstriction, minimization of evaporative heat loss) are maximally recruited. Below the LCT, energy-expending mechanisms (CIT) are activated to maintain Tb, and the EE vs Ta line indicates the heat required to maintain Tb [22,23]. Figure 4A illustrates the dependence of EE on Ta only the 18—28 °C data are used for the regression, since Tb is reduced at cooler Tas, see Figure 1A). The LCT is 29.1—29.6 °C in the light phase (Table 1). From Fourier’s law of heat conduction, the extrapolated X-intercept is the point where net heat transfer is zero and the Ta equals the apparent defended Tb (dTb). The slope in this graph is the heat conductance, which mathematically is identical to 1/insulation. The slope (conductance) was similar when calculated from TEE, TEE-PAEE, or TEE-PAEE-TEF (Table 1). However, the dTbs differ, being highest for TEE, then TEE-PAEE, and the lowest for TEE-PAEE-TEF (38.1 ± 0.3, 36.2 ± 0.3, and 35.4 ± 0.2 °C, respectively; light phase data). The dTbs calculated from the TEE-PAEE and TEE-PAEE-TEF agree remarkably well with the measured Tbs (Table 1), an internal check of the data [24]. The dTbs calculated from the TEE are ~2 °C higher (Table 1), suggesting that the Tb set point is increased in the physically active state.

The measured Tb can be used to calculate the conductance (−EE/(Ta — Tt)) [25], and this method is applicable even when the Tb changes, such as at Ta = 4—12 °C. The plot of the heat conductance vs Ta is flat at cooler Tas, then abruptly increases with increasing Ta,
with the transition point $T_a$ being the LCT (Figure 4B). This method of determining the LCT is less variable and less dependent on the BMR measurement and source of the EE data (i.e., TEE vs TEE-PAEE vs TEE-PAEE-TEF) (Table 1).

### 3.6. Energy balance

There was no net change in body weight over the 9-day experiment (0.05 ± 0.20 g). Consistent with the unchanged weights, total food intake was not significantly different from energy expenditure (−1.1 ± 2.5 kcal; this is −1.6 ± 2.5% of the 9-day caloric intake). However, daily food intake differed from daily TEE (Figure S2A), suggesting that body weight changed at intermediate points. Food intake was greater than TEE during the active dark phase at $T_a$ of 21–33 °C and was below TEE during the light phase from 4–26 °C. Daily food intake was 15–26% below TEE at 4–18 °C and was 2–27% greater than TEE at 22–33 °C.

Changes in RQ tracked well with the net energy changes (Figure S2B). At warm $T_a$, the RQ was greater than the food quotient, consistent with net lipogenesis and weight gain. At cool $T_a$ in the light phase, the RQ was below the food quotient, indicating net fatty acid oxidation, consistent with weight loss. In a subsequent experiment we measured body weight daily and the expected weight gain at warm $T_a$ and loss at low $T_a$ was observed (not shown).

### 3.7. Application to mutant mice

We next applied this analysis to mutant mice. $Brs3^{-/-}$ mice are mildly hypometabolic and hyperphagic and develop obesity [15,26]. The $Brs3^{-/-}$ mice had the expected increased fat mass, while activity was similar to controls (Figure S3A–C). Interestingly, the light phase $T_b$ was reduced (in agreement with [27]) at 18–26 °C, but was comparable to controls at 4–12 °C (Figure S3D). Compared to wild type mice, the $Brs3^{-/-}$ mutants tended to eat less and burn more fat at 4–
12 °C (Figure S3E,F). The EE vs Ta plot and heat loss were remarkably similar to wild type mice (Figure S3G,H).

We also studied chow-fed fatless (lipodystrophic) female A-ZIP/F-1 mice on the FVB/N genetic background [16]. The A-ZIP/F-1 mice have virtually no fat and an increased lean mass (Figure S4A). The female wild type FVB/N mice, compared to male wild type C57BL/6J mice, were more active and had a higher Tb at 30 °C (Figure S4B,C,E vs Figure S5A). Possibly due to the different sexes or higher sympathetic tone [28]. Tb varied more in the A-ZIP/F-1 mice, with higher Tbs at warm Tas and lower Tbs at cold Tas (Figure S4E). The Tb reduction and loss of light—dark variation in activity and food intake in the A-ZIP/F-1 mice (Figure S4D) presumably result from the animals’ efforts to ensure an energy supply despite greatly reduced caloric stores [29]. Unlike the C57BL/6J mice, wild type FVB/N mice did not have a low RQ or reduced food intake at 12 °C. At the warmer temperatures, the wild type FVB/N mice had an increased RQ and food intake and gained weight (Figure S4F,G; Table S1). The LCT of wild type FVB/N mice is similar to C57BL/6J mice; the dTb may be higher than in C57BL/6J mice (Figure S4H,I; Table 1). The variability of the A-ZIP/F-1 Tb and energy expenditure data precluded calculation of LCT and dTb.

Figure 3: Energy cost of physical activity and of the dark phase. A. Energy cost of physical activity at various environmental temperatures during the light phase. PAEE = 0.0470 + (0.0000381 × activity); SE are 0.00272, 0.000111, and 0.000000730, respectively, adjusted R² = 0.57. B. Mean light and dark phase apparent PAEE normalized for activity, illustrating the consistently higher normalized cost during the light phase and at Ta = 4 °C (*, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001). C. Light and dark phase TEE. D. Cost of maintaining the warmer dark phase Tb. The Y axis is the difference in TEE of the dark and light phases, divided by the differences in mean Tb of the dark and light phases. The EE is attributed to PAEE (green), TEF (red), and residual (blue). Data are mean ± SEM, n = 11, chow-fed male C57BL/6J mice (in C the error bars fall within the symbols).

Figure 4: Heat conductance and energy expenditure. A. Energy expenditure as a function of environmental temperature during the light phase. TEE is total energy expenditure (open symbol, dashed line), TEE-PAEE is resting energy expenditure (black symbol, black line), and TEE-PAEE-TEF is basal energy expenditure (grey symbol, grey line). Regression lines were calculated using the 18–28 °C Ta range. The X intercept is the defended body temperature, dTb. The LCT is the Ta at which the regression line meets the thermoneutral metabolic rate. B. Effect of environmental temperature on heat conductance, calculated as EE/Tb – Ta) during light phase. The LCT is the point above which the conductance increases. Data are mean ± SEM, n = 11, chow-fed male C57BL/6J mice (some error bars are within the symbols).
To investigate the effect of body composition, we studied mice on a high-fat diet (HFD). The HFD mice had a 7-fold greater fat mass but similar fat-free mass as chow-fed controls (Figure 5A). HFD mice also had slightly less locomotor activity than control mice, but similar PAEE, presumably due to the higher cost of moving the heavier body mass (Figure S5A,B). Tb was similar in HFD and control mice; notably the increased adiposity did not protect Tb at lower Tas (Figure 5C). At warmer Tas, both control and HFD mice were in positive energy balance, with an RQ greater than the food quotient, indicating net lipogenesis. At cooler temperatures both groups were in net negative energy balance (food intake less than TEE and RQ less than food quotient), with the HFD group having a more negative balance (Figure S5D,E). At the end of the experiment, body weight was unchanged in the chow-fed mice, while the HFD mice lost weight (~5.95 ± 0.51 g, p < 0.001). The EE vs Ta graph showed an apparent difference in slope, which disappeared when EE was expressed relative to BMR (Figure 5B–D). The heat conductance correlates best with body weight (not shown); the greater range of fat masses causes fat mass to correlate with body weight (and conductance) better than lean mass does (Figure 5E). In contrast, the BMR is much more dependent on the lean mass (or body weight) than the fat mass (Figure 5F).

### 4. DISCUSSION

1. **Effect of environmental temperature on energy expenditure**

We examined the dependence on environmental temperature of the four main components of energy expenditure: basal metabolic rate, thermic effect of food, physical activity, and cold-induced thermogenesis (Figure 2A,B). We are unaware of similar integrated analyses. At thermoneutrality these components comprise approximately 60%, 12%, 25%, and 0%, respectively, of total energy expenditure, which is similar to the percentages in humans with a low activity level. However, at a vivarium Ta (22 °C), CIT increases to ~120% of BMR in mouse, while it is ≤5% of BMR in humans [32,33]. At 4 °C, these components are approximately 20%, 12%, 10%, and 60%, respectively, of TEE.

BMR can be difficult to measure in mice due to confounding effects of physical activity, ongoing adaptation to the postabsorptive state, and variation in Tb. BMR is typically measured by selecting an interval of minimum EE during a short fast [13] (but see Ref. [34]). The method introduced here has the advantages of using a larger interval for sampling, avoiding the dynamic changes caused by fasting, and omitting the need to pick one interval at the extreme of a distribution, which can introduce variation. Using our approach, BMR is ~60% of TEE at thermoneutrality, ~30% at vivarium temperatures, and only ~20% at 4 °C.

Cold-induced energy expenditure is the most variable component of TEE, ranging from none at thermoneutrality, to ~40% at vivarium temperatures.
temperatures and ~60% at 4 °C. These percentages slightly underestimate the caloric demand of the cold, since the energy cost of physical activity is higher in the cold, the increased food intake increases the TEF, and the lower Tb may reduce the BMR. A major source of heat for CIT is brown/beige/brite adipose tissue [35,36]. Since mice lacking Ucp1 (the thermal engine in brown/beige/brite adipose tissue) are able to defend Tb, non-adipose tissues, such as muscle, likely also contribute heat [37–39]. Small mammals must be able to cope with the cold — otherwise they would be confined to tropical niches. Indeed, after adaptation, C57BL/6J mice can live and breed indefinitely at ~3 °C [40]. The food intake response in lean mice promptly matched or nearly matched the energy expenditure at each of the Ta conditions. This rapid coupling of intake and expenditure is similarly an important feature of energy homeostasis. The huge role for CIT in mice means that an obesity drug that increases energy expenditure may be more effective in mice at thermoneutrality than at typical vivarium temperatures, where a compensatory reduction in CIT can offset the drug-induced increase [41–43]. This suggests that treating mice at or near thermoneutrality might better predict drug efficacy in humans.

4.2. Physical activity increases the body temperature set point

Physical activity increased the measured Tb. The Tb increase could be a byproduct of muscle metabolism, since muscle physical work is only ~20% efficient [44]. We are not aware of prior comparisons of active and resting dTb in mice. Most previously reported dTbs are high, in the

Figure 5: Effect of environmental temperature and light—dark phase on body temperature and energy homeostasis. A. Body composition. B. Energy expenditure as a function of environmental temperature during the light phase. Regression lines were calculated using the 16–28 °C Ta range. C. Energy expenditure as a function of environmental temperature during the light phase. Data as in B, but normalized with BMR set to 1. D. Effect of environmental temperature on the conductance, calculated as EE/(Tb − Ta) during light phase. E. Dependence of the conductance on lean and fat weight. Conductance = 0.0304 + (0.000884 × Fat) − (0.0000339 × Lean); SE are 0.00114, 0.000191, and 0.000501, respectively, adjusted R2 = 0.71; F. Dependence of BMR on lean and fat weight. BMR = −0.00626 + (0.00113 × fat) + (0.00694 × lean); SE are 0.0082, 0.00114, and 0.00299, respectively, adjusted R2 = 0.58. In B–D, chow-fed data are circles and solid lines; HFD data are squares and dashed lines. Data are mean ± SEM of 6 chow-fed and 5 high-fat-fed male C57BL/6J mice (*, p < 0.05; ***, p < 0.001).

Figure 6: Contributors to insulation in mice. A. Heat conductance after death. Mice were euthanized by cervical dislocation, Tb was monitored by E-mitter, and the mean heat conductance from 6 to 35 min after death was calculated for each mouse. To obtain a range of body weights, male and female chow-fed C57BL/6J, male and female Mc3r−/−; Mc4r−/− (DKO, raw data from Ref. [71]), and male high fat diet-fed C57BL/6J mice were studied, as indicated. B. Heat conductance summary. Heat conductance during life (Control and dashed reference line, from Figure 5D), after death (Dead, from A, using a body weight of 29 g), and of shaved (Shaved) and nude (Nude) living mice, both approximated based on data in Ref. [31]. The contribution of fur is the difference between the nude/shaved and control groups. The non-mechanical (“physiology”) component of insulation is the difference between the dead and nude/shaved groups.
The increased energy cost per unit of activity at 4 °C was likely due to increased heat loss from the less compact body position and disruption of the unstimulated air layer. The higher energy cost per unit of activity at lower Tbs may also include heat generation, such as by brown/beige/brindle adipose tissue, to reach the higher Tb set point. The apparent PAEE ranged from ~20% of TEE at thermoneutrality to ~10% at 4 °C. Others have reported PAEE values of 5% and 27% of TEE [53,54]. A recent paper noted that the cost of physical activity was not independent of other contributors to energy expenditure, particularly below thermoneutrality [54]. Here we show how the confounding can be minimized and the cost of physical activity be measured at cooler Tas. We have not corrected the PAEE values for the non-activity thermogenesis required to reach the higher Tb set point, so the PAEE percentage at 4 °C is likely a slight overestimate. These results suggest that measuring the true energy cost of physical activity in the mouse might be better done during the active (dark) phase, at a Ta within the thermoneutral zone near the LCT, where the animal can increase Tb passively and yet have sufficient heat dissipation reserve available to avoid overheating.

4.3. Circadian variation in body temperature and energy expenditure

In our experiments, the mice at 4 °C did not enter torpor [9], but did reduce Tb slightly. It is advantageous for a mouse to use hypothermia for energy conservation. The Tb reduction at 4 °C may not be an inability to defend a higher Tb, since the circadian variation is preserved. Circadian variation in Tb occurs in all mammals due to regulation of the Tb set point [10]. One could define a separate category for the energy expenditure needed to achieve the warmer active phase. We chose not to do so since the extra heat comes from increased dark phase locomotion (PAEE) and food intake (TEF). However, if these were insufficient, the additional heat generation would be attributed to CIT in our accounting. The warmer Tb of the active phase costs 4%–16% of daily TEE. While the biological rationale for circadian variation in Tb is unclear, its evolutionary conservation despite the significant energetic cost suggests that a fundamental benefit is achieved by circadian thermal cycling.

4.4. Thermoneutral zone definition

The upper end of the thermoneutral zone is often defined by an increase in energy expenditure (e.g., due to increased activity from looking for a cooler location) or as the start of evaporative heat loss [8,13,36,55]. There are also alternative thermoneutral zone definitions, including the thermal region of active vasomotor thermoregulation [56]. In the FVB mice, hyperthermia occurred without an increase in resting energy expenditure (Figure S4E,H), which is inconsistent with using an increase in energy expenditure as the definition. Indeed, when resting energy expenditure was measured, it did not increase, even at Ta > dTb [49], where Tb and evaporative heat loss were likely increased. These considerations suggest that the upper limit of the thermoneutral zone should not be defined by an increase in resting energy expenditure and might better be defined by an increase in Tb.

4.5. Limitations and variables affecting measurement

Metabolic rate and related parameters are notoriously dependent on the state of the mouse and measurement details, including Ta, Tb, activity level, type, and measurement method, circadian phase, food status, body weight, body composition, acclimatization, stress, enrichment, humidity, air circulation, single housing, and bedding [12,13]. We accelerated the mice to the chambers for three days and then exposed them to each Ta for one day. The measurements were not at steady state with weight gain at warm temperatures and weight loss at cool temperatures, particularly in mice with higher adiposity. Increasing the time at each Ta would introduce different confounders, since brown/beige/brindle adipose tissue adapts to the cold [35] and adiposity increases at thermoneutrality [57,58]. TEF was assumed to be constant, however it is possible that TEF is modulated by gut microbiota, energy demand, or other factors. We also did not measure the energy content of the feces. We measured BMR at thermoneutrality and made the simplifying assumption that it is constant at lower Tas. However, if one postulates a Q10 (van’t Hoff coefficient) of 2.5, a typical value for biological systems [59], a 1 °C Tb reduction would lower the BMR by 9%. A significant technical advance would be a calorimetry system with a high sampling frequency and small time constant [60], allowing finer analysis of the effects of physical activity and TEF on energy expenditure. There is much discussion of how to compare the BMR of mice with different body weights and composition [12,13]. The dependence of PAEE, CIT, and TEF on body weight and composition may be similarly complex. Despite the acknowledged limitations of indirect calorimetry [54,61], reliable, reproducible observations are possible and do yield biological insights.

4.6. Insulation in mice

The insulation/conductance is a function of Ta, Tb, insulator thickness, and surface area [62]. In mice, lack of fur causes a 40–70% increase in light phase metabolic rate [31]. However, mouse fur is only 0.13 cm thick and has a thermal conductivity of 0.0000959 cal/s/cm°C [63], meaning that it is a relatively poor insulator [64]. For perspective, in humans a constant temperature is not reached until a tissue depth of 2.5–7 cm [65]. Thus our observation that changing adiposity from 6% to 30% did not alter the heat conductivity is reasonable — in mice even a large increase in adiposity does not contribute significantly to insulation. This implies that ‘insulation’ function in the mouse includes a large physiological contribution, such as by minimization of perfusion near the body surface and recovery of heat by counter current circulation. The remarkable linearity of the EE vs Ta slope (when Tb is constant) might seem surprising, given the multiple mechanisms contributing to heat loss (conduction, radiation, convection, and evaporation). However, the first three mechanisms have an almost linear dependence on Tb-Ta [66] and evaporative heat loss depends greatly on air circulation and humidity, but is minimized at cool Tas (only 7% of heat loss at 15 °C [67]).

4.7. Application to mutant mice

Having established a system to study the interactions of Ta, Tb, activity, and metabolic rate, we applied it to mutant mice, but were disappointed by the results. In A-ZIP/F-1 mice, Tb varied greatly, likely due to the severity of the adipose deficiency. The Tb variation invalidates some of the assumptions in the analyses of energy
4.8. Conclusions
Mice can regulate Tb within a relatively wide range, so measuring Tb improves the analysis and interpretation of energy expenditure data. The large fraction of energy expenditure used to defend Tb in the mouse contrasts with human thermal biology. Thus, mouse energy expenditure phenotyping is hugely affected by Tb. This exaggerated thermal biology in mice is useful; it can be harnessed to study physiology that is subtle in humans. Understanding the species differences also guides use of the mouse to model human energy homeostasis, for example by studying obesity drugs at thermoneutrality to improve predictiveness.
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