Investigation of Eigenmode-Based Coupled Oscillator Solver Applied to Ising Spin Problems
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Abstract: We evaluate a coupled oscillator solver by applying it to square lattice \((N \times N)\) Ising spin problems for \(N\) values up to 50. The Ising problems are converted to a classical coupled oscillator model that includes both positive (ferromagnetic-like) and negative (antiferromagnetic-like) coupling between neighboring oscillators (i.e., they are reduced to eigenmode problems). A map of the oscillation amplitudes of lower-frequency eigenmodes enables us to visualize oscillator clusters with a low frustration density (unfrustrated clusters). We found that frustration tends to localize at the boundary between unfrustrated clusters due to the symmetric and asymmetric nature of the eigenmodes. This allows us to reduce frustration simply by flipping the sign of the amplitude of oscillators around which frustrated couplings are highly localized. For problems with \(N = 20\) to 50, the best solutions with an accuracy of 96% (with respect to the exact ground state) can be obtained by simply checking the lowest \(\sim N/2\) candidate eigenmodes.
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1. Introduction

The spin glass model originates from condensed matter physics, where it was applied to physical systems in which magnetic atoms are randomly distributed in a non-magnetic host and induce ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic interactions between neighboring magnetic moments. The physical system is mathematically modeled by a weighted graph where each vertex corresponds to a spin and each edge represents the interaction between spins with positive and negative signs [1,2]. In the Ising spin model, the spin is a binary variable that takes the value \(\pm 1\) [3,4]. The Ising problem is to find a binary spin configuration that minimizes the total energy function (the number of frustrated edges) for a given set of edges. A variety of combinatorial optimization problems, such as sequencing and ordering problems, resource allocation problems, and clustering problems [5,6], can be mapped to the Ising problem [7].

To solve the Ising problem using a brute force combination approach, we need to check \(2^n\) possibilities, where \(n\) is the total number of spins. The branch and bound method, which based on a tree search algorithm, is commonly used to find the exact ground state without an exhaustive search [8]. However, this method still requires a lot of CPU time and memory and is only applicable to instances with a small number of spins. The potential applications of the Ising model to optimization problems have motivated the development of heuristic algorithms for finding high-quality solutions for instances with a large number of spins [9,10]. Although heuristic algorithms generally do not guarantee an optimal solution, they can yield good time-to-solution in practice.

Simulated annealing (SA), one of the most common heuristic algorithms, mimics the physical process of annealing, where a material is slowly cooled to obtain the lowest energy state [11–13]. Its algorithm is based on Monte Carlo simulation. Starting with an initial spin configuration, a new candidate configuration is selected in each iteration...
of the simulation. If the total energy decreases for the new candidate, that candidate is accepted and the iterative process continues. Otherwise, it is accepted with a probability given by the Boltzmann factor, which decreases with temperature. This random acceptance allows the algorithm to escape local minima. The system eventually cools into the global minimum in the spin configuration space.

The growth of data size in Ising problems has spurred interest in physical hardware systems that directly minimize the energy function \([14-21]\). Such systems are called Ising machines. An example of an Ising machine is the quantum annealing machine from D-Wave Systems, which was implemented using superconducting qubits \([22,23]\). The machine operates at a cryogenic temperature. The connectivity between qubits is limited to rather simple structures. Quantum adiabatic optimization inspired a new heuristic algorithm for the Ising problem, called simulated bifurcation, which simulates adiabatic evolution of classical nonlinear oscillators that exhibit bifurcation \([24,25]\).

We recently developed a heuristic algorithm for the Ising problem in which the Ising spin system is replaced by a coupled oscillator system, which is possible owing to the equivalence of their equations of motion \([26]\). We obtained exact ground states for problems with a small number of spins by simply calculating the lowest mode of the coupled oscillators (i.e., the lowest eigenvalue and eigenvector of the matrix representing the equations of motion). We also developed an error correction algorithm that modifies the coupling strength depending on the amplitude of individual oscillators. This heuristic algorithm is a kind of annealing process since the energy landscape in the dipole configuration space is optimized in such a way that the correct configuration is equivalent to the lowest eigenmode. Based on this concept, we proposed an Ising machine composed of plasmon particles with dipole–dipole interaction, whose strength can be modified by a phase-change material inserted between neighboring particles \([27]\).

In the present paper, we reconsider the coupled oscillator solver (COS) described above from the following viewpoints: (1) the lowest mode of the coupled oscillators may not always provide a minimally frustrated spin configuration (exact ground state) for large-sized Ising problems; and (2) it is desirable to replace the time-consuming error correction algorithm with a better algorithm inspired by an analysis of good candidate solutions (i.e., the lowest eigenmodes). We apply the COS to two-dimensional \(N \times N\) oscillators for \(N\) values of up to 50. A map of the oscillation amplitudes (eigenvector components) of lower-frequency eigenmodes enables us to visualize unfrustrated clusters. We found that frustration tends to localize at the boundary between clusters due to the symmetric and asymmetric nature of the eigenmodes. This allows us to reduce frustration simply by flipping the sign of the amplitude of highly frustrated oscillators.

2. Coupled Oscillator Solver Applied to Ising Spin Problems

Here, we consider a square lattice \((N \times N)\) Ising spin glass problem without an external magnetic field. The spin configuration that minimizes the Ising energy is given by

\[ E_{\text{Ising}} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} J_{ij} s_i s_j \]

where \(s_i\) denotes the \(i\)th spin with a value of 1 or \(-1\), and \(J_{ij}\) is the coupling coefficient between the \(i\)th and \(j\)th spins having both positive (+\(J\); ferromagnetic coupling) and negative (−\(J\); antiferromagnetic coupling) values. In this study, only four nearest neighbor couplings are taken into account. For a given spin configuration, when \(J_{ij}s_is_j > 0\), the coupling \(J_{ij}\) is satisfied, otherwise it is frustrated. Minimizing the Ising energy is equivalent to maximizing the number of satisfied couplings.

We start with an instance of \(10 \times 10\) (\(N = 10\)) spins with 200 couplings. Problems were generated by randomly assigning ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic couplings with a number ratio of 1:1. Figure 1a shows the distribution of frustrated couplings (bold lines) for the exact ground state provided by a public domain \([28]\), where an algorithm in \([29]\) is
used. In this algorithm, the original graph underlying the Ising problem is transformed into a dual graph, and minimum weight perfect matching is calculated. For the backward transformation, the matching leads to an Eulerian subgraph, the weight of which is also minimized. Owing to the one-to-one correspondence between the Eulerian subgraphs (cycles) and cuts in the original graph, the optimum Eulerian subgraphs provide the exact ground state for the Ising problem. The red circles and blue diamonds represent up-spin and down-spin states, respectively. The number of satisfied couplings of the exact ground state was found to be $n^E = 166$.

Figure 1. Instance of square lattice (10 × 10) Ising spin problem solved by the COS. (a) Spin configuration of the exact ground state. The red circles and blue diamonds represent up-spin and down-spin states, respectively. Frustrated couplings are indicated by bold lines. (b) Mapping of oscillation amplitude $u_{n,m}$ for the lowest four eigenmodes $k = 1$ to 4. The circles and diamonds respectively represent positive and negative signs of $u_{n,m}$. Frustrated couplings are indicated by bold lines. (c) Plot of the number of satisfied couplings $n^{CO}$ as a function of eigenmode number $k$. (d–f) Results for another instance.

To solve the problem, we converted it to a classical coupled oscillator model by replacing the ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic couplings with positive and negative couplings, respectively, between neighboring oscillators, as illustrated in Figure 2a. A normal attractive spring connecting neighboring masses gives rise to a positive interac-
tion, and the masses tend to move in the same direction at lower frequency. A negative interaction is implemented by a repulsive spring (which does not exist in reality) to allow the masses to move in opposite directions. Due to the mathematical similarity between the inter-spin and inter-oscillator interactions, we anticipate that the sign of the oscillator amplitude for the lowest mode is the same or close to the spin configuration for the exact ground state for the original Ising problem.

Figure 2. (a) Coupled oscillator model that includes both positive (ferromagnetic-like) and negative (antiferromagnetic-like) coupling. (b) Definition of the superscripts and subscripts associated with $\gamma$.

The equation of motion for the masses on the square lattice is given by

$$\ddot{x}_{n,m} = \alpha^2 (\gamma^T_{n,m} x_{n-1,m} + \gamma^L_{n,m} x_{n,m-1} + \gamma^T_{n+1,m} x_{n+1,m} + \gamma^L_{n,m+1} x_{n,m+1})$$

(2)

where $x_{n,m}$ is the displacement of a mass at the $(n, m)$ lattice site and $\alpha$ is the square root of the spring constant divided by the mass, which represents the strength of the coupling between neighboring oscillators. Here, $\gamma_{n,m}$ is +1 for positive interaction and −1 for negative interaction, and “T” and “L” indicate the transverse and longitudinal directions, respectively. The definition of the superscripts and subscripts associated with $\gamma$ is given in Figure 2b. The eigenmodes of the collective motion of oscillators can be calculated by substituting $x_{n,m} = u_{n,m} \exp(-i\omega t)$ into Equation (2) to obtain

$$\omega^2 u_{n,m} = \alpha^2 (\gamma^T_{n,m} u_{n-1,m} + \gamma^L_{n,m} u_{n,m-1} + \gamma^T_{n+1,m} u_{n+1,m} + \gamma^L_{n,m+1} u_{n,m+1})$$

(3)

By assuming a periodic boundary condition for $\gamma_{n,m}$, Equation (3) for the $N \times N$ system can be reduced to the problem of calculating the eigenvalue (frequency) $\omega$ and eigenvector (amplitude) $u_{n,m}$ for $N^2$ elements. Figure 1b shows a map of the oscillation amplitude $u_{n,m}$ of the lowest four eigenmodes (eigenmode number $k = 1$ to 4) for the original Ising problem. The circles and diamonds respectively represent positive and negative signs of $u_{n,m}$. The distribution of $u_{n,m}$ is not localized; it covers the entire system. If two neighboring oscillators connected by positive (negative) coupling move in opposite (the same) directions, they are considered to be frustrated, analogous to the Ising spin model. Frustrated couplings are indicated by bold lines in Figure 1b. For instance, the two oscillators enclosed by the dotted ellipse in Figure 1b move in opposite directions, as indicated by $u_{n,m} < 0$ for the upper oscillator and $u_{n,m} > 0$ for the lower oscillator. Since the coupling is positive, which is evident by the frustrated coupling (connected by the bold line) of the two corresponding spins with opposite signs shown in Figure 1a, the oscillators are also frustrated for the eigenmode with $k = 1$. A lower frequency (eigenmode number) typically yields a smaller number of frustrated couplings (but not strictly, as demonstrated in Figure 1c).
The number of satisfied couplings $n_{CO}$ as a function of eigenmode number $k$ is plotted in Figure 1c. $n_{CO}$ is maximized at the second lowest mode ($k = 2$) and tends to decrease with $k$. The maximum $n_{CO}$ ($n_{CO_{max}}$) is 164, which corresponds to 98.7% of $n_{E}$ (=166). Figure 1d–f show the results for another instance of the system. Also in this case, the distribution of the amplitude $u_{n,m}$ is delocalized. $n_{CO}$ is a maximum at $k = 3$, for which $n_{CO_{max}}$ is 166 or 97.6% of $n_{E}$ (=170). Table 1 summarizes the values of $k$ that maximize $n_{CO}$ and $n_{CO_{max}}/n_{E}$ for nine different instances. For all cases, $n_{CO}$ is a maximum at $k \leq 3$ and $n_{CO_{max}}/n_{E}$ is larger than 94.1%.

Table 1. Values of $k$ required to maximize $n_{CO}$ and $n_{CO_{max}}/n_{E}$ for nine instances of problem with $N = 10$.

| Sample# | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  |
|---------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| $k$     | 1  | 2  | 2  | 1  | 1  | 2  | 1  | 1  | 3  |
| $n_{CO_{max}}/n_{E}$ (%) | 94.2 | 95.3 | 98.8 | 96.4 | 96.6 | 96.5 | 96.4 | 98.8 | 97.6 |

3. Eigenmode Mapping to Visualize Frustration Localization

Next, we increase the problem size to $20 \times 20$ ($N = 20$). The distribution of frustrated couplings for the exact ground state is shown in Figure 3a. The problem was converted to the coupled oscillator model and the eigenvalues and eigenvectors were calculated. Figure 3b shows a map of the oscillation amplitude and frustrated couplings for eigenmode numbers of $k = 1$ to 5. Figure 3d,e show the results for another instance. In contrast to the smaller problem with $N = 10$, the collective oscillation is spatially localized and clusters form depending on $k$. It is reasonable that the distribution of the signs of $u_{n,m}$ in each cluster is in complete agreement with that of the spin configuration in the exact ground state. The formation of such unfrustrated clusters can specify the region where frustration occurs with high probability since the oscillator system lowers the eigenfrequency by reducing the amplitude of the oscillators around which frustrated couplings are localized. In particular, for lower eigenmodes, the unfrustrated clusters tend to extend as widely as possible, making frustrated couplings as localized as possible at the boundary between unfrustrated clusters. In Figure 3b, there are many oscillators around which three of the four couplings are frustrated. For these oscillators, the number of frustrated couplings can be reduced from three to one by flipping the sign of the amplitude. Figure 3c shows $n_{CO}$ before and after the flipping process as a function of $k$, demonstrating the effectiveness of flipping in reducing frustration. $n_{CO_{max}}$ is obtained for $k = 4$ after flipping and $n_{CO_{max}}/n_{E}$ reaches 97.7%. For the other problem (Figure 3f), $n_{CO_{max}}/n_{E}$ is a maximum (97.6%) at $k = 3$.

Table 2 shows the results for nine instances, including the value of $k$ required to maximize $n_{CO}$ and $n_{CO_{max}}/n_{E}$ before and after flipping, to evaluate the effectiveness of flipping. For all cases, $n_{CO}$ is a maximum at $k \leq 8$ and $n_{CO_{max}}/n_{E}$ after flipping is larger than 96.7%. The eigenmode calculation is useful for visualizing unfrustrated clusters to find a fairly good solution, in which frustrated couplings are strongly localized around specific oscillators. The solution is effectively improved by flipping the sign of the amplitude to reduce frustration. It should be mentioned that it is relatively less probable for the lowest eigenmode ($k = 1$) to provide the highest $n_{CO_{max}}/n_{E}$. This might be due to the fact that the amplitude distribution has more nodes between unfrustrated clusters for a few higher eigenmodes and the frustration is more localized in the vicinity of the nodes. To summarize, what the COS does before flipping is to find the eigenmode consisting of clusters without nodes (locally symmetric), and simultaneously localizing nodes at the cluster boundaries (globally asymmetric).
Figure 3. Instance of square lattice (20 × 20) Ising spin problem solved by the COS. (a) Spin configuration of the exact ground state. The red circles and blue diamonds represent up-spin and down-spin states, respectively. Frustrated couplings are indicated by bold lines. (b) Mapping of oscillation amplitude $u_{n,m}$ for the lowest five eigenmodes $k = 1$ to 5. The circles and diamonds respectively represent positive and negative signs of $u_{n,m}$. Frustrated couplings are indicated by bold lines. (c) Plot of the number of satisfied couplings $n^{CO}$ before and after flipping as a function of eigenmode number $k$. (d–f) Results for another instance.

Table 2. Values of $k$ required to maximize $n^{CO}$ and $n^{CO\text{ max}}/n^{E}$ before and after flipping for nine instances of problem with $N = 20$.

| Sample# | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  |
|---------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| $k$     | 2  | 6  | 8  | 5  | 6  | 4  | 2  | 8  | 3  |
| $n^{CO\text{ max}}/n^{E}$ before flipping (%) | 94.7 | 96.2 | 96.2 | 95.0 | 95.3 | 95.6 | 94.7 | 95.3 | 95.5 |
| $n^{CO\text{ max}}/n^{E}$ after flipping (%) | 96.7 | 97.9 | 97.4 | 97.4 | 97.6 | 97.7 | 96.7 | 97.1 | 97.6 |

4. Application to Larger Problems and Benchmark

To demonstrate the performance of the algorithm, we applied it to larger problems. Tables 3 and 4 summarizes the value of $k$ required to maximize $n^{CO}$ and $n^{CO\text{ max}}/n^{E}$ before and after flipping for nine instances of problems with $N = 30$ and 40, respectively. It is
confirmed that lower eigenmodes provide good candidates and that the flipping process effectively improves the candidates. For \( N = 30 \), \( n_{\text{CO}} \) is a maximum at \( k \leq 9 \) and \( n_{\text{CO}}^{\text{max}}/n^{E} \) after flipping is larger than 96.6%. For \( N = 40 \), \( n_{\text{CO}} \) is a maximum at \( k \leq 13 \) and \( n_{\text{CO}}^{\text{max}}/n^{E} \) after flipping is larger than 96.7%. Overall, for \( N = 10 \) to 40, the best solution can be found by checking the lowest \( ~N/2 \) candidates.

Table 3. Values of \( k \) required to maximize \( n_{\text{CO}} \) and \( n_{\text{CO}}^{\text{max}}/n^{E} \) before and after flipping for nine instances of problem with \( N = 30 \).

| Sample# | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9   |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| \( k \) | 7   | 2   | 2   | 7   | 9   | 2   | 5   | 2   | 6   |
| \( n_{\text{CO}}^{\text{max}}/n^{E} \) before flipping (%) | 95.9 | 94.9 | 95.3 | 95.4 | 95.8 | 95.1 | 95.7 | 95.4 | 95.2 |
| \( n_{\text{CO}}^{\text{max}}/n^{E} \) after flipping (%) | 97.2 | 96.6 | 97.4 | 97.6 | 97.1 | 97.1 | 97.5 | 97.3 | 96.9 |

Table 4. Values of \( k \) required to maximize \( n_{\text{CO}} \) and \( n_{\text{CO}}^{\text{max}}/n^{E} \) before and after flipping for nine instances of problem with \( N = 40 \).

| Sample# | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9   |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| \( k \) | 7   | 1   | 13  | 6   | 1   | 10  | 10  | 4   | 10  |
| \( n_{\text{CO}}^{\text{max}}/n^{E} \) before flipping (%) | 95.0 | 94.9 | 95.4 | 94.8 | 95.0 | 95.6 | 94.8 | 94.9 | 94.9 |
| \( n_{\text{CO}}^{\text{max}}/n^{E} \) after flipping (%) | 97.1 | 97.3 | 97.3 | 97.6 | 97.2 | 97.5 | 97.3 | 96.7 | 97.1 |

As a benchmark study, the computation time is compared between the COS and a standard SA algorithm for ten instances of problems with \( N = 50 \). All trials were performed on a MacBook Pro with a 2.6-GHz Intel\textsuperscript{®} Core i7 processor (6 cores) and 16 GB of RAM. The COS generated \( n_{\text{CO}}^{\text{max}} \) in 2.74 s, including the time required for the flipping process. Figure 4 shows the evolution of the number of satisfied couplings with iteration number in SA for a given problem. \( n_{\text{CO}}^{\text{max}} \) and \( n^{E} \) are also indicated. After 27 iterations, SA provides a better solution than that provided by the COS. The computation time required to reach \( n_{\text{CO}}^{\text{max}} \) (27 iterations) was 8.63 s. Table 5 compares the computation time required to reach \( n_{\text{CO}}^{\text{max}} \) between the COS and SA for ten instances. Assuming that an \( n_{\text{CO}}^{\text{max}}/n^{E} \) value of 97% is satisfactory, the COS is three times faster than SA in generating the solution.

Table 5. \( n_{\text{CO}}^{\text{max}}/n^{E} \) and computation time required to reach \( n_{\text{CO}}^{\text{max}} \) with the COS (\( T_{\text{CO}} \)) and SA (\( T_{\text{SA}} \)).

| Sample# | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9   | 10  |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| \( k \) | 15  | 12  | 13  | 4   | 25  | 19  | 2   | 5   | 21  | 7   |
| \( n_{\text{CO}}^{\text{max}}/n^{E} \) (%) | 97.2 | 97.5 | 97.0 | 97.0 | 96.8 | 97.5 | 96.9 | 97.0 | 97.4 | 97.3 |
| TCO     | 2.74| 2.71 | 2.74 | 2.73 | 2.75 | 2.74 | 2.73 | 2.73 | 2.76 | 2.74 |
| TSA     | 8.89| 9.50 | 7.60 | 8.20 | 8.21 | 8.40 | 7.37 | 7.65 | 8.83 | 8.60 |
5. Conclusions

We evaluated the COS for Ising spin problems based on eigenmode characterization. After a square lattice \((N \times N)\) Ising problem was converted to a coupled oscillator model that includes positive and negative coupling, the equation of motion, which was reduced to an eigenmode problem, was solved. For smaller problems \((N = 10)\), the oscillation amplitude (eigenvector) was delocalized (i.e., it covered the entire oscillator lattice) and a fairly good solution was obtained. For larger problems, the oscillation became localized, forming oscillator clusters with low frustration density (unfrustrated clusters). From a map of unfrustrated clusters for lower eigenmodes, we found that frustration tends to localize at the boundary between clusters. Frustration localization, where three of the four couplings are frustrated, is useful for reducing frustration by flipping the sign of the amplitude. Localization and the flipping method were applied to problems with \(N = 40\). Good solutions with an accuracy of 97.2% in average (with respect to the exact ground state) were obtained simply by checking the lowest 13 \((\leq N/2)\) candidate eigenmodes. A benchmark study demonstrated that the computation time required to reach a fairly good solution \((n^{CO}_{\text{max}})\) for the COS is three times shorter than that for SA.
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