The benefit of surgery for patients with medically resistant epilepsy is well established. However, extratemporal, neocortical epilepsy predominates in children and is historically difficult to localize. As a result, more extensive investigation is often needed, and surgical outcomes are more variable. Children with normal MRI findings are conventionally less likely to be considered good candidates for epilepsy surgery due to the likelihood of modest surgical outcome. The cornerstone of localization studies at virtually all
centers include video-electroencephalography (EEG) and MRI. These standard, indispensable initial investigations in presurgical epilepsy evaluation enable characterization of laterality, lobar focality, and semiology. More-focal localization is typically accomplished via the use of functional imaging tests. Significant advancements in multimodality neuroimaging include brain FDG-PET scanning,² ictal blood flow studies assessed by SISCOM (subtraction ictal SPECT co-registered to MRI) or ictal SPECT³,15,23,25,27 and magnetoencephalography–magnetic source imaging (MEG-MSI).¹5,17

Due to cost and provider practice preference, there are significant disparities between centers with regard to the use of functional imaging modalities. There are no clear comparative data demonstrating superiority of one modality over another. Furthermore, there is limited information available about the utility of a single localization study versus a limited battery of studies to attain sufficient accuracy of localization to optimize the likelihood of postoperative seizure freedom. Third-party payers are sometimes reluctant to underwrite more than one test that serves the same localization purpose. Furthermore, each of the functional imaging studies involves significant institutional cost commitment, so there is a fiscal incentive to determine the most efficient and cost-effective algorithm of studies. Despite robust literature advocating utility of specific functional imaging modalities, there are limited comparisons of presurgical investigations alone or in combination to select the candidates who are most likely to benefit from epilepsy surgery. The goal of this study was to explore the relative contribution and prognostic capability of each imaging modality utilized at our center in predicting seizure freedom after pediatric epilepsy surgery.

Methods

Cohort and Definitions

Patients who underwent epilepsy surgery at Children’s of Alabama between December 2003 and June 2016 were identified in our prospectively maintained pediatric epilepsy surgery database. We excluded patients who had less than 2 years of follow-up and those who underwent functional hemispherectomy or vagus nerve stimulation device implantation. The duration of epilepsy was stratified at 60 months based on published standards of long-term outcome analysis in pediatric epilepsy surgery analysis.¹⁰

Demographics of the cohort are presented in Table 1.

Preoperative Epilepsy Surgery Evaluation

All patients received a standard presurgical evaluation, including a detailed clinical history, seizure history (including semiology, seizure frequency, and epilepsy duration), prolonged video-EEG monitoring (with scalp electrodes placed according to the International 10–20 system), and brain MRI. Other presurgical investigations, including FDG-PET, ictal SPECT, and MEG-MSI, were performed in selected patients as clinically indicated by the judgment of the attending epileptologist or augmented by the consensus of the patient management conference (PMC). Most patients received a battery of more than one investigation, but there was no firm protocol to direct decisions about functional imaging. Like most centers, important presurgical investigation data, including interictal EEG, ictal EEG, MRI, FDG-PET, ictal SPECT, and MEG-MSI findings were discussed and considered during our PMC. Each of the results of these investigations were independently classified into 3 main categories: localized (lobar distribution); lateralized (hemispheric); and nonlocalizing, including diffuse, multifocal, or nonlateralized results.

To define the relative contribution of each study, we sought to perform logistic analysis of the 6 presurgical investigations (interictal EEG, ictal EEG, MRI, FDG-PET, ictal SPECT, and MEG-MSI) with regard to surgical outcome. This required that we measure the localizing contribution of each study. We chose to define categorical variables in which each study result was retrospectively classified into one of 3 categories that reflected the study result with regard to the defined surgical target. We defined each score as follows: 0, normal findings or abnormal MRI but inconclusive findings (diffusely abnormal or

| TABLE 1. Demographic data |
|---------------------------|
| Value                     |
| Sex, M/F                  | 65:43 |
| Mean age at seizure onset (range) | 4.2 ± 4.05 yrs (2 wks–16 yrs) |
| Mean age at op (range)    | 10.2 ± 5.1 yrs (4 mos–20.8 yrs) |
| Side of op                |
| Rt                        | 59  |
| Lt                        | 47  |
| Bilat                     | 1 (patient w/ tuberculous sclerosis) |
| Invasive EEG or icEEG*    | 80  |
| No icEEG (lesionectomy)   | 28  |
| Lobe                      |
| Frontal                   | 35  |
| Temporal                  | 18  |
| Parietal                  | 10  |
| Occipital                 | 3   |
| Multilobar                | 42  |
| Etiology                  |
| Cortical dysplasia†       | 85  |
| Low-grade tumor‡ (ganglioglioma, oligodendroglioma, etc.) | 9 |
| Hippocampal sclerosis     | 2   |
| Other§                    | 9   |
| No tissue available/no pathologi-cal diagnosis | 3 |
| Mean duration of postop follow-up (range), yrs | 7.55 ± 2.97 (2–16) |

icEEG = intracranial EEG.
Values represent the number of patients (%) unless stated otherwise. Mean values are presented as mean ± SD.
† Includes subdural grids, strips, and depth electrodes.
‡ Includes 12 cases of tuberous sclerosis.
§ e.g., ganglioglioma, oligodendroglioma.
§ e.g., gliosis, trauma, intraventricular hemorrhage.
multifocal lesions); 1, abnormal lateralized lesion to the side of the surgery; and 2, abnormal localized lesion, concordant with the site of epilepsy surgery. This scoring system was applied across all 6 covariates (interictal EEG, ictal-EEG, MRI, FDG-PET, ictal SPECT, and MEG-MSI).

MRI

All patients underwent MRI with an epilepsy protocol that included diffusion images, axial T2-weighted images, coronal T1-weighted inversion recovery images, coro-nal FLAIR images and a 3D T1-weighted image. Prior to 2010, MRI scans were obtained using a 1.5T scanner; since then, all MRI scans have been obtained using a 3T scanner. All MRI scans were reviewed by neuroradiologists and experienced epileptologists independently and corroborated during the PMC. Similar to EEG results, the MRI results were retrospectively classified according to the consensus of the PMC and with respect to the location of surgery as stated above. We included patients with normal MRI or abnormal inconclusive, diffuse, or multifocal MRI findings in the same group (0) reflective of the fact that MRI did not produce sufficient localizing information to influence surgical decision-making.

FDG-PET

FDG-PET scans were obtained in selected patients on an outpatient basis. A 5- to 10-mCi injection of FDG was performed during the interictal state, with the patient resting with his or her eyes closed or, in cases of uncooperative children, under sedation. Simultaneous EEG recording was also performed during injection of the tracer to assist in the interpretation of the results and ensure that no seizures or excessive interictal discharges occurred at the time of the injection. Visual analysis of FDG-PET scans, co-registered to the patient’s MRI study was performed by reviewers with expertise in the interpretation of FDG-PET scans and independent of other imaging studies. After 2010, FDG-PET image coregistration with MRI was performed using MIM (MIM Software Inc.). A record of group consensus interpretation was achieved with regard to presence and localization of relative focal hypometabolism, and then the results were classified as outlined above with consideration to the side of surgery based on the PMC consensus.

Ictal SPECT

Ictal SPECT was attempted in all patients with normal or nonlateralized MRI results. Ictal SPECT was also attempted in select MRI-lateralized cases where other presurgical evaluations revealed inconclusive findings or findings incongruent with the MRI findings. This decision was made by group consensus at the epilepsy surgery case conference. Ictal and interictal SPECT scans were performed with injections of 20—40 mCi of $^{99m}$Tc hexamethylpropyleneamine-oxime ($^{99m}$Tc HMPAO). Scans were acquired within 1 to 3 hours of injection in all cases. Voxel difference values considered significant were based on the criterion that they exceed 2 standard deviations of the variance computed for all voxels in the difference image. The results were retrospectively classified with respect to PMC localization consensus as outlined above.

MEG-MSI

MEG-MSI was performed in most patients with normal or nonlateralized MRI and some selected MRI-positive cases where other presurgical evaluations revealed inconclusive findings or findings incongruent with the MRI findings. Between 2003 and 2006, MEG was performed in most of the cases under an NIH NINDS grant (no. K23 NS02218 to R.K.). After 2007, magnetic source images were obtained in select cases or based on the group consensus at the PMC. Because insurance coverage was variable, not all patients underwent MEG-MSI. Continuous recordings of spontaneous cerebral activity were recorded using a whole-head, 148-channel biomagnetometer system (4D Neuroimaging). MEG-MSI data were analyzed using an equivalent current dipole model. MEG-MSI results were classified with respect to localization as outlined above.

Seizure Outcomes

Seizure outcomes were classified using Engel classification: class I, free of disabling seizures; class II, rare disabling seizures; class III, worthwhile improvement; and class IV, no worthwhile improvement. Each patient was followed periodically at 1-, 3-, 6-, and serial 12-month intervals after surgery. If the patient’s last follow-up was longer than 12 months at the time of closing the study for statistical analysis, a telephone follow-up was made by either an epilepsy clinical nurse practitioner or pediatric epileptologist to verify the seizure outcome status.

Statistical Analysis

Postoperative Short-Term Surgical Outcomes

We defined the short-term surgical outcome as the outcome 1 year after surgery and classified the patients into two groups: completely seizure free (Engel class I) and not seizure free (Engel classes II–IV). Logistic regression analysis was used to model the short-term surgical outcomes and the prognostic capacity of each of the 6 presurgical investigations (interictal EEG, ictal EEG, MRI, FDG-PET, ictal SPECT, and MEG-MSI) to target the surgical investigation and subsequent resection. We assessed the predictive ability using the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (AUC), which evaluates the sensitivity and specificity of the model. ROC association statistics of each variable (MRI, ictal EEG, interictal EEG, FDG-PET, ictal SPECT, and MEG-MSI) was performed both individually and sequentially combined to compare the predictive value of each variable based on the AUC. Since not all patients underwent all investigations, logistic regression analyses were separately performed for each individual investigation model and all investigations.

In the next step, we tried to develop an algorithm to guide the use of different presurgical modalities that also can be used to predict seizure freedom. We created a model, with the base model being MRI. We built on this model by adding other modalities to examine how having additional presurgical modalities could improve the model. We calculated the Akaike information criterion, which is an index of model fit.
Postoperative Long-Term Outcomes

We defined the longest available clinic visit (2-year minimum) as the long-term outcome. As detailed in Table 1, the mean long-term follow-up was 7.5 years. We analyzed these outcomes using survival analysis, in particular, Kaplan-Meier curves and the Cox proportional hazards model. Kaplan-Meier curves for each variable were stratified into a scoring system (0, normal/nonspecific; 1, abnormal and lateralized to the hemisphere in relation to the side of surgery; and 2, abnormal lobar distribution, concordant to the location of surgery).

Statistical significance was tested using the log-rank test and comparison of 95% confidence intervals. We also examined the role of covariates, including sex, race, age at operation, age at onset, and operation side. Statistical significance was determined at p < 0.05.

Results

Patient Population

A total of 108 patients who underwent resective epilepsy surgery for medically refractory epilepsy and fulfilled all inclusion and exclusion criteria were included in this study. Patient age at seizure onset ranged from 2 weeks to 16 years (mean 4.2 ± 4.05 years [± SD]). The age at the first operation ranged from 4 months to 20.8 years (mean 10.2 ± 5.1 years). The follow-up duration ranged from 2 to 16 years (mean 7.55 ± 2.97 years). Normal MRI findings were seen in 29 patients, whereas the MRI findings were abnormal in the remaining 79 patients. Among the group with normal MRI findings, 9 of 29 patients were seizure free at 12 months; in the MRI abnormal group, 40 of 79 patients were seizure free at the 12-month follow-up.

Presurgical Evaluation

MRI and video-EEG were performed in all patients. Other investigations were performed according to the preference of the attending epileptologist, which was augmented by consensus from our weekly PMC during the phase 1 presurgical evaluation. Only 36 patients in this cohort had undergone all investigations consisting of MRI, video-EEG, FDG-PET, ictal SPECT, and MEG-MSI. FDG-PET scanning of the brain was performed in 92 patients, 75 patients had ictal SPECT, and 51 patients had MEG-MSI studies. Twenty-one patients with normal MRI findings had all 3 presurgical modalities performed (FDG-PET, MEG-MSI, and ictal SPECT).

Postoperative Outcome

ROC Curve Comparison: Short-Term Outcome

None of the ROC individual-model results was successful in predicting short-term seizure freedom. For full-model analysis, we included the 36 patients who underwent all investigations (MRI, video-EEG, MEG-MSI, FDG-PET, and ictal SPECT). Similarly, no single modality alone was successful in predicting seizure freedom (Engel class I) at the 1-year follow-up (Fig. 1). We then tested the model in the MRI-negative or nonlocalizing group only (n = 21). None of the presurgical modalities alone was predictive of seizure freedom at 1 year; however, concordant localized results of all 3 presurgical modalities were highly predictive of seizure freedom at the 1-year follow-up (specificity 93% and sensitivity 33%, Table 2).

Long-Term Seizure Outcome

Localized ictal EEG was the only variable predictive of long-term good surgical outcome (p = 0.0031; Fig. 2B). All other individual variables (interictal EEG, MRI, FDG-PET, ictal SPECT, and MEG-MSI) did not yield any statistically significant difference in Kaplan-Meier analysis (Fig. 2A and C–F).

Effect of Other Clinical Variables on Long-Term Outcomes

Comparison of Kaplan-Meier curves of other clinical variables, including duration of epilepsy prior to operation (within 60 months of seizure onset vs after 60 months of seizure onset), utilization of invasive EEG (with subdural grids vs without subdural grids), history of secondarily generalized convulsive seizures (presence vs absence of generalized convulsive seizures), and an abnormal pathological finding of balloon cells (presence vs absence of balloon cells), were analyzed using the log-rank test and comparison of 95% confidence intervals. Only two clinical variables, early epilepsy surgery (within 60 months of seizure onset, p = 0.0098) and the absence history of secondarily generalized convulsive seizures, were statisti-
cally significant in predicting long-term seizure-free outcomes (p = 0.0193; Fig. 3).

Discussion

Selection of patients for epilepsy surgery is a complex decision-making process and depends on the concordance between clinical data and different modalities used in the presurgical workup. MRI and video-EEG are critical, and both play an important role in the decision-making process.\(^5\)\(^{-}\)\(^{6}\),\(^{16}\)\(^{-}\)\(^{18}\),\(^{23}\) Other functional imaging studies are utilized either individually or in combination to support the decision-making process.\(^2\)\(^{-}\)\(^{4}\),\(^{6}\),\(^{16}\)\(^{-}\)\(^{18}\),\(^{23}\) However, few centers have all these presurgical investigations available. Hence, the process of presurgical investigation is usually dependent on the availability of different modalities in each center as well as individual provider preference and experience.\(^8\),\(^{13}\) In this study, we aimed to review our experience to help identify which of the noninvasive functional imaging tests we used had the best ability to regionally inform the surgical investigation and result in the best surgical outcome.

Ultimately, the surgical result arises from the accuracy of defining the region of seizure onset or disrupting a critical network essential for the propagation of the seizure.\(^9\),\(^{12}\) Neocortical epilepsy predominates in children and is difficult to localize.\(^7\),\(^{8}\),\(^{12}\) The neocortex has robust projections, which make rapid and diffuse spread of epileptiform discharges common. The characteristic pathologic substrate is cortical dysplasia, which is often MRI occult.\(^17\),\(^{10}\),\(^{12}\),\(^{19}\),\(^{24}\)\(^{-}\)\(^{23}\) Staged procedures in which a noninvasive evaluation (stage I) informs placement of intracranial electrodes (stage II) are common. Results of intracranial EEG findings from invasive electrodes allow confirmation of the precise region of ictal onset and propagation and the critical relationships with adjacent eloquent cortex.\(^12\),\(^{18}\) Surgical success or failure is dependent on the accuracy of the entire process as well as the complexity of the pathological network and its inherent propensity for reorganization and recurrence.\(^10\)\(^{-}\)\(^{12}\),\(^{14}\),\(^{19}\),\(^{24}\) As such, it is unlikely that any single factor or test is capable of informing the entirety of surgical success. However, the practical issue remains as to the choice of functional imaging modalities. This study takes a large clinical experience with a prolonged follow-up time to address the potential capability of commonly available and widely utilized noninvasive functional imaging studies to predict good surgical outcome. An ROC curve analysis was chosen due to its capability to provide information about the benefit of a given investigation. The AUC in ROC curve analysis provides an assessment of the utility of a given test.

Functional imaging contributes to the initial stage of localization to corroborate early findings in semiology, EEG, and MRI.\(^13\),\(^{23}\),\(^{27}\) Stage II intracranial EEG investigations characteristically closely refine the focus of the resection and avoid injury to eloquent tissue but rarely fundamentally contribute to lobar localization or lateralization. Quantification of the role to which functional imaging defines placement of intracranial electrodes is elusive, and no standardized metric for this analysis has been reported. Our group, like many others, uses a standardized and practical approach in which functional imaging is used to corroborate EEG, semiology, and MRI findings in an individually tailored manner. Regions of interest are defined at conference by consensus and are covered broadly at surgery with sufficient margin so as to define borders of hyperexcitability. The focused question here pertains to the capacity of each noninvasive element of the preoperative evaluation to predict and inform good surgical outcome as part of a standardized overall surgical paradigm. For this reason, the contribution of stage II electrodes was not incorporated in this analysis. Stage II electrodes refine the margins and define the extent of the resection but do not primarily and initially inform the targeted regions of brain under investigation.

Classification of the preoperative tests was designed to be practical and straightforward. Normal and widely diffuse onset was combined because neither is contributory

| Rule | AUC | Index | Estimate | 95% Lower Limit | 95% Upper Limit |
|------|-----|-------|----------|----------------|----------------|
| MEG-MSI, iSPECT &/or FDG-PET w/ results localized | 0.51667 | Specificity | 0.13333 | 0.01658 | 0.4046 |
| &/or FDG-PET w/ results localized | 0.56667 | Specificity | 0.53333 | 0.26566 | 0.78733 |
| 3 modalities | 0.63333 | Specificity | 0.93333 | 0.68052 | 0.99831 |
| MEG-MSI results localized | 0.56667 | Specificity | 0.53333 | 0.26566 | 0.78733 |
| iSPECT results localized | 0.61667 | Specificity | 0.66667 | 0.3838 | 0.88176 |
| FDG-PET results localized | 0.61667 | Specificity | 0.33333 | 0.04327 | 0.77722 |

iSPECT = ictal SPECT.
Concordant localized had the highest specificity in predicting long-term seizure freedom.
to focal localization of partial epilepsy. It is recognized that these EEG signatures arise from different processes, but, from a practical localization perspective, they lack localizing value and thus were combined. Grade I indicated adequate lateralizing data to confirm laterality, and grade II refined a lobar region where subsequent resection ensued. It is also recognized that significant refinement to the resection occurs from the results of intracranial EEG but that accurate lobar localization is the essential objective of the noninvasive investigations.

FIG. 2. Kaplan-Meier curves for each variable were stratified into a scoring system of 0, normal/nonspecific; 1, abnormal and lateralized to the hemisphere in relation to the side of surgery; and 2, abnormal lobar distribution, concordant to the location of surgery and then compared. Correlation with good surgical outcome was found only in ictal EEG (p = 0.0031).
Predictors of Short-Term Seizure Freedom

None of the presurgical modalities alone was predictive of short-term (12-month) seizure freedom. However, concordance of multiple presurgical modalities yielded the highest predictive value (AUC = 0.7646). In the MRI-negative group, concordant localized results in all 3 presurgical modalities was highly specific (specificity 93%) in predicting seizure freedom at 12 months. Figure 4 illustrates how the full utilization of presurgical noninvasive multimodalities help with placement of invasive intracranial electrodes. The question of outcome 1 year after epilepsy surgery is rarely addressed separately in the literature but can be inferred from studies with a relatively short duration of postsurgical follow-up. The most likely reason for recurrent seizures shortly after epilepsy surgery is incomplete resection of the epileptogenic lesion or zone.6,19 Incomplete resections can occur as a result of 1) overlap of the epileptogenic zone with eloquent cortex; 2) an extensive epileptogenic zone beyond visible imaging changes in lesional epilepsy; and 3) inadequate sampling of the epileptogenic network during invasive monitoring secondary to imprecise presurgical workup.19 Hence, a single localized presurgical workup modality might not be predictive of short-term surgical seizure freedom in patients with normal or nonlocalizing MRI. Concordance of different presurgical workup modalities is more predictive, as it allows better selection of patients and adequate estimation of the spatial extent of the epileptogenic zone and more targeted invasive monitoring.

Presurgical Workup in Pediatric Epilepsy: Less Is Not Always More

An important question in pediatric epilepsy surgery evaluation is how many investigations are needed and whether these presurgical investigations should be acquired sequentially or together to achieve the highest predictive ability in the most cost-effective fashion. In order to tackle this, we examined an algorithm with the base model has a normal MRI and then added each variable into the base model. No single modality was successful in
predicting postoperative seizure freedom. However, con-
cordant results from all 3 presurgical modalities (FDG-
PET, ictal SPECT, and MEG-MSI) were highly specific in
predicting seizure freedom.

Study Limitations
This study does not investigate the role of stage II in-
vasive electrodes in seizure outcome. Rather, it focuses
on the contribution of noninvasive functional imaging to
attain lateralization or lobar localization and thus guide
either resection in the setting of an MRI abnormality or
implantation of electrodes in cases of normal findings on
MRI. Lobar localization is the desired objective for staged
cases, and functional imaging serves to guide and inform
selected regions and lobes for electrode placement. The
relative contribution of imaging to guide placement of
electrodes is elusive to quantify and is accomplished in a
practical standardized fashion shared by most surgical ep-
ilepsy teams. A region of interest is defined by noninvasive
studies and refined by discussion at conference. Sufficient
safe coverage is accomplished at surgery to define mar-
gins of hyperexcitability and relationships with adjacent
eloquent cortex.

Not all patients received all modalities of presurgi-
cal investigations. This is due to differences in provider
preference and health insurance coverage. We included
patients with normal MRI or abnormal inconclusive find-
ings or diffuse or multifocal MRI findings in the same
group, reflective of the fact that MRI does not result in
sufficient localizing information that will influence clinici-

cal decision-making. Patients were not stratified by age,
and there are some indications of relatively better contribu-
tions for semiology in age-specific subcohorts. While
these issues are not ideal from a study design perspective,
they accurately reflect a typical cohort at most pediatric
epilepsy referral centers. The retrospective nature of the
study would make it subject to variability in clinical prac-
tice over time.

Conclusions
A large pediatric surgical cohort with extended follow-
up was reviewed with regard to the utility and relative
contribution of commonly utilized functional imaging
tests. No single test reliably predicted long-term seizure
freedom. The contribution of invasive electrodes to re-
fine the resection cannot be determined from this analy-

sis. The best predictor of long-term seizure freedom was
concordant ictal EEG. However, the results of this study
underline the importance of the central concept of study
concordance. When more than 3 tests implicated the
same lobe of ictal onset, the positive predictive value for
resective surgery in that lobe exceeded 93%. As such, the
utilization of multiple presurgical investigations is not inherently redundant and could improve surgical outcome in pediatric focal epilepsy with normal or nonlocalizing MRI.
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