A Comparison of Needle Types and Biopsy Techniques used in Liver Biopsies of Chronic Hepatitis B Patients
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: To investigate the effect on pathological evaluation of the biopsy technique used in percutaneous liver biopsies applied with semi-automatic 16 gauge (G) and 18G Tru-cut and Menghini aspiration needles in patients with chronic hepatitis B (CHB).

Materials and Methods: The study included 104 cases diagnosed with CHB applied with liver biopsy between 2013-2018. The pathology results of biopsies were evaluated with the Menghini technique under ultrasound (USG) guidance (n: 26), and with 16G (n: 54) and 18G (n: 24) semi-automatic Tru-cut needles under USG.

Results: The fibrosis score in 5 (9.3%) of the 16G cases and in 3 (12.5%) of the 18G cases, and the ISHAK score in 3 (5.6%) of the 16G and in 1 (4.2%) of the 18G cases could not be determined. There was no significant difference between the methods and needle types in terms of the number of pieces, number of portal sites, fibrosis and ISHAK score (p>0.05). There was a statistically significant difference between the biopsies performed with Menghini method and tru-cut method with 16G and 18G (p<0.0001) in terms of material length. The diagnosis rates for the Menghini technique, and 16G and 18G Tru-cut needles were 100%, 90.7% and 83.3%, respectively, with no statistically significant difference determined (p>0.05).

Conclusion: Although a larger tissue piece is obtained with the Menghini technique, allowing evaluation of a larger portal area, no significant difference was determined between the techniques in the pathological evaluation. Taking patient safety and comfort into consideration, Tru-cut needle biopsy under USG guidance is recommended rather than the Menghini technique as less trauma is created.
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Histopathologic evaluation, number of pieces, rate of diagnosis, sites, and fibrosis stage were determined for the obtained samples. ISHAK scoring, material length, the number of viewed periportal formalin and evaluated by a pathologist experienced in hepatology. The case of a sample length < 0.5 cm. The samples were kept in were used in the real time application. Biopsy was repeated in needles (Geotek Semi-Automatic Biopsy Needle, Ankara, Turkey) technique, while 16G 15 cm or 18G 15 cm semi-automatic tru-cut needles were used in aspiration cases of tru-cut needles. 18G Menghini (Bard Magnum, Bard Peripheral Vascular Inc. AZ, USA) needles were used in aspiration technique performed with with semi-automatic 16 gauge (G) and 18G tru-cut needles and percutaneous liver biopsy carried out with Menghini (aspiration) needles and investigated the effects of methods used and needle selection on pathologic evaluation.

Materials and Methods

A total of 104 patients diagnosed with CHB and undergone liver biopsy in Erzincan Binali Yildirim University Medical Faculty between 2013 and 2018 were retrospectively examined and included in the study. This study was approved by Erzincan Binali Yildirim University Ethics Committee with 104 numbered decision, and informed consent forms were received from the patients. Coagulation tests were studied in all patients before biopsy procedure, and biopsy performed after impaired parameters were improved in patients with a risk for bleeding. The same US device (GE Logiq P5, Korea, Sangdae-won-don; 1.6-4.5 MHz convex transducer) was used for guidance in all procedures. In biopsies performed with Menghini needle, patients were assessed with US before the procedure to determine and mark the most appropriate site of biopsy. Similarly, patients were evaluated with US before the procedure in biopsies performed with tru-cut needles. The most appropriate position and biopsy site for the patients were determined. None of the patients received sedation. In all patient, operation site was cleaned with 10% povidone iodine and after waiting for one minute, skin antisepsis was made with 72% alcohol. Local anesthesia (Pilocain, Citanest, AstraZeneca, Germany) was then applied. A small incision was made in entry site of the needle. The probe was worn a sterile sheath in the cases of tru-cut needles. 18G Menghini (Bard Magnum, Bard Peripheral Vascular Inc. AZ, USA) needles were used in aspiration technique, while 16G 15 cm or 18G 15 cm semi-automatic tru-cut needles (Geotek Semi-Automatic Biopsy Needle, Ankara, Turkey) were used in the real time application. Biopsy was repeated in the case of a sample length < 0.5 cm. The samples were kept in formalin and evaluated by a pathologist experienced in hepatology. ISHAK scoring, material length, the number of viewed portal sites, and fibrosis stage were determined for the obtained samples.

We compared and evaluated adequacy of biopsy material for histopathologic evaluation, number of pieces, rate of diagnosis, length of the obtained material, number of portal sites, and rate of complications between the methods and needle types used.

Statistical Analysis

Results of continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation, and median (min-max), while categorical variables were given as “n” and percentage (%). Pearson’s Chi-square and Fisher Exact tests were used for the analysis of categorical variables. Normality of the variables was tested when statistically significant difference between the groups was analyzed. T test for independent groups was used in comparisons of two independent groups. One way variance analysis was used to compare more than two groups. Appropriate test was used for post hoc evaluation. p<0.05 values were considered statistically significant. Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS v. 19 (IBM Corp. Released 2010. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows Version 19.0 Armonc, NY, IBM Corp.) package software.

Results

In our study, 104 patients diagnosed with CHB and undergone liver biopsy were evaluated. Biopsies performed with Menghini technique (n=26) by marking with US and those performed with US guidance using 16G (n=54) and 18G (n=24) semi-automatic tru-cut needles were compared. The mean age was 40.16 ± 14.95 (range: 17-78) years. Of all patients 66 were male and 38 female (Table 1). No statistically significant difference was found between the groups in terms of age and gender (p>0.05).

Biopsy procedure was repeated due to the biopsy material length < 5 mm in biopsies performed with 16G (n=1) and 18G (n=1). The mean material length of both methods and procedures performed with three needles was found as 23.03 mm ± 8.91 with Menghini needles, 10.15 mm ± 3.57 with 16G tru-cut needle, and 12.00 mm ± 3.35 with 18G Tri-cut needle (Figure 1). There was a statistically significant difference between the biopsies performed with Menghini method and tru-cut method with 16G, (p<0.0001) and 18G (p<0.0001) in terms of material length. No statistically significant difference was found between 16G and 18G needles in the biopsies performed with tru-cut method in terms of material length (p>0.05).

When number of material pieces were examined; the mean piece number was found as 1.08 ± 0.27 with Menghini needle, 1.09 ± 0.29 with 16G tru-cut needle and 1.17 ± 0.38 with 18G tru-cut needle. No significant difference was found between the methods in terms of the number of pieces (p>0.05).

When the number of portal sites of the material taken in the biopsies performed with both methods and three needles were compared; the number of portal sites was found as ≥ 6 in

| Needle | Sex | Age | | | | | |
|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|        | Female | Male | N   | Mean | SD   | Minimum | Maximum |
| Menghini | 11   | 15  | 26  | 40.27 | 13.75 | 17  | 68  |
| 18G Tru-cut | 9   | 15  | 24  | 36.17 | 15.58 | 18  | 71  |
| 16G Tru-cut | 18  | 36  | 54  | 41.89 | 15.15 | 18  | 78  |
| Total   | 38   | 66  | 104 | 40.16 | 14.95 | 17  | 78  |

G: Gauge, SD: Standard Deviation
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Material sufficient for histopathologic evaluation could be obtained in all patients biopsied with Menghini technique, with a diagnosis rate found as 100%. Whereas, biopsy material was not sufficient for histopathologic evaluation in 7 (8.97%) biopsies performed with 16G and 18G tru-cut needles and diagnosis could not be established. The rate of diagnosis was found as 90.7% and 83.3% in liver biopsies performed with semi-automatic 16G and 18G tru-cut needles, respectively. No statistically significant difference was found between the methods and needles in terms of the rate of diagnosis in histopathologic evaluation with the obtained material (p>0.05).

Discussion

Percutaneous biopsy is a widely used interventional procedure for tissue sampling. Imaging methods is a safe tool in access of the needle to the target (13-15). The first preferred guide method is US guided liver biopsy because of its advantages such as evaluation of the parenchyma, imaging of gallbladder, ability to distinguish intrahepatic main vascular structures with Doppler, not exposing patients to radiation, and being inexpensive, easy to use and portable. In addition, real time imaging is another advantage of US guided biopsy (15, 16). Percutaneous biopsies using imaging methods have largely prevented complications of blind biopsy and unnecessary operations (10, 13). The rate of complication is 1%-5% and the rate of mortality is 0.01%-0.009% in percutaneous liver biopsy (17). There are studies reporting that Menghini and tru-cut biopsy techniques have no superiority on each other in terms of complications, although there are publications reporting more pain with Menghini method (18-20). Negative pressure created by nature of the technique in blind biopsies performed with Menghini method is thought to cause more pain at follow up after biopsy (21). In our study none of the patients developed major complication. This was thought to be resulted from small number of our patients and experience of the practitioner. Comparison of complications among three groups was not included in the study as number of complications was low.

There are many studies in the literature evaluating liver biopsy related complications and sufficiency of establishing diagnosis with

| Needle   | N  | Mean | SD  | Minimum | Maximum | p   |
|----------|----|------|-----|---------|---------|-----|
| Menghini | 26 | 6.00 | 0.00| 6       | 6       | >0.05|
| 16G Tru-cut | 54 | 5.80 | 0.92| 2       | 8       |     |
| 18G Tru-cut | 24 | 5.92 | 0.88| 3       | 8       |     |
| Total    | 104| 5.88 | 0.78| 2       | 8       |     |

| Needle   | Not determined | Determined | Total | p   |
|----------|----------------|------------|-------|-----|
| Menghini | 0              | 26         | 26    | >0.05|
| 16G Tru-cut | 5              | 49         | 54    |     |
| 18G Tru-cut | 3              | 21         | 24    |     |
| Total    | 8              | 96         | 104   |     |

G: Gauge
different techniques and needles of different thickness. However, we could not find a study comparing diagnostic material sufficiency specifically between Menghini and tru-cut biopsy needle in patients with CHB (6,22-25).

Quality of liver biopsy is usually determined with length, width, fragmentation and complete portal routes (26). Quality of a liver biopsy sample plays an important role in evaluation of grade and stage of liver disease in patients with CHB. Length of the material obtained with biopsy is thought to be one of the most important factors affecting success chance in establishing the diagnosis (11, 23, 27). Today, number of portal sites is thought to be important for a reliable grading and staging. In general, it is accepted that the most appropriate liver biopsy sample must be 20-25 mm in length and must have more than 11 portal sites (28, 29). Whereas some studies have reported that materials of 15 mm in length with at least 6-8 portal sites are sufficient for the evaluation (30). In the present study, we considered 6 and more portal sites as sufficient for evaluation.

In our study, material length was statistically significantly higher in the biopsies performed using Menghini technique compared to those performed with tru-cut method. However, no significant difference was found in comparisons made for determination of portal sizes, ISHAK scoring or fibrosis.

Although there are studies stating that it is possible to grading chronic hepatitis with 19G and thinner needles, the use of thick cutting needles is recommended in diffuse liver diseases (24, 31). Since we considered that the risk of obtaining insufficient tissue with thin needles in biopsies, we preferred 16-18G. In our study, rates of diagnosis with 16G and 18G needles were 90.7% and 83.3%, respectively, and no statistically significant difference was found between the needles in terms of establishing the diagnosis. However, our rate of diagnosis was lower compared to the studies in the literature (25, 32).

Percutaneous biopsies have become safer and more efficient with innovations in needle designs and technological advancements in imaging modalities (15).

Persons without experience on liver aspiration biopsy are more likely to obtain high-quality samples with fully automatic tru-cut biopsy needles (15, 33, 34). Higher-quality tissue samples are obtained with automatic biopsy needles compared to aspiration needles in patients with advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis. Therefore, it has been stated that automatic needles should be preferred in terms of suspicious advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis (35).

Study Limitation

Data about biopsy complications were insufficient because of the retrospective design of the study, and relatively lower number of patients compared to the similar studies.

Conclusion

In our study rates of diagnosis in liver biopsies performed with 16G and 18G tru-cut biopsies was partially lower compared to the literature. Much more portal sites could be evaluated with Menghini technique compared to the other method since longer tissue pieces were obtained with this technique. Therefore, there was no insufficient sample in biopsies performed with this technique. However, no statistically significant difference was found between this method and the other two methods in terms of pathologic evaluation. Given safety and comfort of the patient, we recommended to use US guided tru-cut biopsy rather than Menghini technique, which causes more traumas.
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