Gelsolin and Progression of Aortic Arch Calcification in Chronic Hemodialysis Patients

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. **Please share** how this access benefits you. Your story matters

| Citation | Chiou, Terry Ting-Yu, Shang-Chih Liao, Yu-Yin Kao, Wen-Chin Lee, Yueh-Ting Lee, Hwee-Yeong Ng, Po-Shun Lee, and Chien-Te Lee. 2016. “Gelsolin and Progression of Aortic Arch Calcification in Chronic Hemodialysis Patients.” International Journal of Medical Sciences 13 (2): 92-98. doi:10.7150/ijms.13785. http://dx.doi.org/10.7150/ijms.13785. |
|---|---|
| Published Version | doi:10.7150/ijms.13785 |
| Citable link | [http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:26318672](http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:26318672) |
| Terms of Use | This article was downloaded from Harvard University’s DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at [http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA](http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA) |
Gelsolin and Progression of Aortic Arch Calcification in Chronic Hemodialysis Patients

Terry Ting-Yu Chiou¹, Shang-Chih Liao¹, Yu-Yin Kao¹, Wen-Chin Lee¹, Yueh-Ting Lee¹, Hwee-Yeong Ng¹, Po-Shun Lee², Chien-Te Lee¹

¹ Division of Nephrology, Department of Internal Medicine, Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital and Chang-Gung University College of Medicine, Taiwan.
² Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

Corresponding author: Chien-Te Lee M.D., Ph.D. Division of Nephrology, Department of Internal Medicine, Kaohsiung Chang-Gung Memorial Hospital, Taiwan. 123 Ta-Pei Road, Niao Sung District, Kaohsiung 833, Taiwan. TEL: 886-7-7317123 EXT 8306 FAX: 886-7-7322402 E-mail: chientel@gmail.com.

Abstract

Background: Vascular calcification (VC) is a key process associated with cardiovascular mortality in dialysis patients. Gelsolin is an actin-binding protein that can modulate inflammation, correlated inversely with hemodialysis (HD) mortality and involved in bone calcification homeostasis. In this report, we aim to characterize progression in aortic arch calcification (AAC) and investigate its association with gelsolin.

Methods: 184 HD patients were enrolled and their annual posterior-anterior chest X-ray films (CXR) in 2009 and 2013 were examined. The severity of AAC was classified as grade 0 to 3. Blood levels of gelsolin were measured by ELISA kits. Biographic and biochemical data at baseline were analyzed with status of AAC at baseline and changes after 4 years.

Results: At baseline, 60% of the patients had detectable AAC on CXR. After 4 years, 77% had AAC. Patients with grade 1 and 2 AAC had increased risk of progression (Odds ratio [OR] 2~3, P=0.001) compared to those with grade 0 at baseline. Compared to those with no AAC, patients with AAC progression had older age, lower gelsolin, higher waist circumference and prevalence of vascular disease. Regression analysis confirmed baseline gelsolin (odds ratio 0.845, 95% confidence interval [0.734-0.974]) and waist circumference as the independent factors associated with AAC progression. Gelsolin is positively correlated with serum albumin and negatively with tumor necrosis factor-alpha.

Conclusion: Our study demonstrated that HD patients with grades 1 or 2 baseline AAC are at increased risk of further progression compared to those with grade 0. We also found lower blood levels of gelsolin associated with progressive AAC. Further investigation into the mechanistic roles of gelsolin in vascular calcification may provide new understanding of this key process.
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Introduction

Cardiovascular disease is the most common cause of death in patients on chronic dialysis [1]. Vascular calcification (VC) is increasingly recognized as a key process contributing to the high cardiovascular mortality in dialysis patients [2-4]. Our previous study on 712 prevalent hemodialysis (HD) patients showed a strong correlation between aortic arch calcification (AAC) and 10-year mortality [5]. It also substantiated the use of plain chest X-ray films (CXR) as a simple tool to evaluate AAC.

Recent studies reported that 26~78% of dialysis patients had various degrees of AAC on CXR, and 34~60% had progression after 1~5 years of follow-up [6-10]. These variations in the prevalence of calcification and its progression may be related to the differences in ethnicity, comorbidity, length of observation, etc.
and methods of assessment. Progression in VC has also been associated with mortality [6,7]. Factors contributing to VC include age, comorbidity (diabetes, hypertension, metabolic syndrome, dyslipidemia), dialysis vintage, medications (calcium, vitamin D, coumadin), and uremia-related mineral bone disorder (serum calcium \([\text{Ca}]\), phosphorus \([\text{P}]\), parathyroid hormone \([\text{PTH}]\)) [11-15]. Markers of inflammation and oxidative stress have also been implicated [16,17].

Gelsolin, by regulating intracellular actin filaments, is important in cell morphology, migration and phagocytosis [18]. Its extracellular isoform, plasma gelsolin is secreted by different types of cells and serves as a scavenging system for potentially toxic actin filaments [19]. It can localize inflammation and minimize tissue damage by binding excessive actins released from tissue injury. The phenomenon of plasma gelsolin depletion has been observed in different diseases. Specifically, in patients with acute myocardial infarction and fulminant hepatic failure, plasma gelsolin levels dropped dramatically and recovered if organ function improved from injury [20]. Further research found that in addition to actin, plasma gelsolin may also modulate immune response by binding to key inflammatory mediators, including lipopolysaccharide, lysophospholipids, and platelet activating factor [21,22].

Furthermore, gelsolin interacts with osteopontin and both are involved in bone homeostasis [23]. In HD patients, plasma gelsolin levels were reduced nearly 50% compared to healthy volunteers and correlated with mortality and protein-energy wasting [24,25]. However, whether gelsolin have independent roles in vascular calcification is uncertain, and strategies to reduce inflammation and protect vascular integrity are poorly defined. In this report, we aim to characterize the progression of aortic arch calcification in HD patients and investigate its associations with novel biomarker gelsolin.

**Subjects and Methods**

From January to December 2009, we enrolled 184 stable HD patients from Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital. Inclusion criteria were over 20 years of age and regular 4-hour HD session three times a week for at least 6 months. Exclusion criteria were chronic viral hepatitis, malignancy (within 5 years), acute infection or hospitalization (within three months). This study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards and Ethics Committee in Chang Gung Memorial Hospital (IRB No. 98-2685B), and informed consents were obtained from all participants.

**Laboratory and Clinical Data**

For every study participant, blood samples were collected. The averages of biochemical data were obtained over the three months prior to study enrollment. The adequacy of dialysis was assessed by Kt/V urea using the urea kinetic model of Gotch [26]. Gelsolin levels in blood were measured with ELISA kits from Critical Biologics Corporation (Cambridge, MA, USA). The immunoassay kits for interleukin-6 (IL-6) and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-\(\alpha\)) were from R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA. All measurements were done in duplicate. All patients’ medical records were carefully reviewed. Vascular disease was identified by documented history of ischemic heart disease, cerebrovascular disease or peripheral vascular disease.

**Evaluation of AAC on Chest Radiography**

Our HD patients have chest X-ray examinations (posterior-anterior approach, standing) annually. For each study participant, the routine annual chest X-ray film in 2009 was selected as the baseline. A second follow-up chest X-ray film was selected in 2013 or the year when the patient reached outcomes such as death, kidney transplantation or transfer to other dialysis centers. A simple classification by Symeonidis et al. [27] was used to evaluate AAC in the present study. Briefly, the severity of calcification was classified as grade (Gr.) 0 (no calcification visible), Gr. 1 (single thin or small spots of calcification), Gr. 2 (one or more areas of thick calcification, but \(\leq 50\%\) of the circular area of the aortic knob) and Gr. 3 (circular calcification with \(>50\%\) of circular area of the aortic knob).

Each posterior-anterior (P-A) chest X-ray (CXR) of these HD patients was read and graded independently by two readers (one nephrologist and one trained nurse practitioner) blinded to the patients’ clinical data. Eight X-rays were graded differently on calcification score (±1). The discrepancies between the two observers were resolved by a third independent reader. The progression of aortic calcification was determined by comparing the grades at baseline and at follow-up. “Progressive AAC” was defined if the second chest X-ray’s grade is greater than the first one. “Stable AAC” was defined if the first CXR showed calcification and the second CXR had the same calcification grade. “No AAC” group was defined if both the first and second CXR’s were grade 0.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 12.0. Results were expressed as mean ± standard deviation or median (interquartile range) for nonparametric data. Comparisons between two
groups were performed using Student t-test or Mann-Whitney test for nonparametric data. We used multiple logistic regressions to examine the independent relationships between AAC progression (progressive vs. no AAC) and other variables. Regression adjusted for age, HD vintage, vascular disease, BMI, waist circumference, Kt/V, parameters of mineral-bone disorder (serum calcium [Ca], phosphorus [P] and intact parathyroid hormone [iPTH]), gelsolin and IL-6. All results were considered significant if P-value was less than 0.05.

Results

Aortic arch calcification and its progression

At baseline, the subjects with and without AAC had similar dialysis adequacy (Kt/V 1.38 ± 0.22 vs. 1.45 ± 0.23, P=0.05) and biochemical control, particularly serum calcium (9.2 ± 0.8 vs. 9.4 ± 0.9 mg/dL, P=0.05) and phosphate (4.8 ± 1.4 vs. 4.9 ± 1.4 mg/dL, P=0.05). 75 (40%) patients had no detectable AAC (grade 0) on plain chest X-ray. 52 (28%) patients had grade 1, 43 (23%) grade 2, and 14 (8%) grade 3 (Figure 1A).

![Distribution of different grades of aortic arch calcification (AAC) at (A) baseline and (B) after 4 years of follow-up.](http://www.medsci.org)

At 4-year follow-up, patients with progressive AAC had significantly older age, higher waist circumference, IL-6, and lower gelsolin than those with no AAC (Table 1). They also had significantly higher rate of vascular disease (51% vs. 31%, P<0.05). There were no significant differences between patients with stable and progressive AAC. Multiple logistic regression (adjusting for age, HD vintage, vascular disease, BMI, waist circumference, Kt/V, serum calcium, phosphorus, iPTH, gelsolin and IL-6) confirmed baseline gelsolin and waist circumference as the independent factors associated with progressive AAC (Table 2). For every 10cm increase in waist circumference, the risk of AAC progression is increased by 47%. For every 100µU/ml increase in gelsolin level, the risk of AAC progression is decreased by 15%.

We also analyzed the associations between gelsolin and important factors. Baseline gelsolin is positively correlated with serum albumin (ρ [Pearson’s coefficient] 0.262, P<0.001) and creatinine (ρ 0.276, P<0.001), and negatively correlated with age (ρ -0.212, P=0.004), TNF-α (ρ -0.148, P=0.044) and white blood cell counts (ρ -0.163, P=0.026).

Discussion

This study on 184 prevalent HD patients had two major findings. First, the severity of AAC on plain chest X-ray confers different risks for AAC progression and vascular disease. Patients with higher grade AAC at baseline had higher risk of progression, and subjects with AAC progression have higher rate of vascular disease. Second, baseline gelsolin level was independently associated with progression in AAC. Other important factors of AAC and progression were serum albumin and waist circumference.
Our study demonstrated that AAC is common in HD patients, 60% at baseline and 77% after 4 years of follow-up. In addition, we showed that progression in AAC is associated with higher prevalence of vascular disease. Sigrist et al. [12], using multislice computed tomography to quantify calcification in superficial femoral artery reported a constant progression rate over the first and second years of observation. Their study examined a heterogeneous group of 101 patients with stage 4 CKD, HD and peritoneal dialysis. However, from our cohort of HD patients, we found that progression in AAC over a longer time period (4 years) is dependent on the initial calcification status. Less than half of those with no evident AAC on baseline CXR developed calcification over 4 years of follow-up. However, 73% of those with baseline grade 1 AAC and 84% of those with grade 2 had more severe calcification over the same period. This observation suggests that when AAC becomes evident on CXR, the risk of progression is much higher. This has several important clinical implications. First, the progression of AAC may not be the same for everyone over 4 years, which makes risk stratification and timing of intervention extremely crucial: the later stage

| Table 1. Comparison between 3 groups of patients (no AAC, stable AAC and progressive AAC) after 4 years of follow-up. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **No AAC** (N = 43)                                                                                      | **Stable AAC** (N = 35)                                        | **Progressive AAC** (N=106)                                    |
| Age, year                                                                                                   | 56 ± 12                                                      | 61 ± 8*                                                       | 60 ± 10**                                                   |
| HD vintage, month                                                                                            | 52 (28-113)                                                 | 68 (37-121)                                                  | 62.0 (36.0-102.0)                                           |
| BMI, kg/m²                                                                                                  | 22.1 ± 4.5                                                  | 23.5 ± 4.1                                                   | 22.8 ± 3.5                                                   |
| Waist circumference, cm                                                                                     | 81.2 ± 12                                                   | 86.7 ± 13.7                                                  | 85.7 ± 11.7**                                                |
| Vascular disease                                                                                             | 31%                                                        | 46%                                                         | 51%**                                                       |
| Kt/V                                                                                                        | 1.4 ± 0.2                                                   | 1.4 ± 0.2                                                   | 1.4 ± 0.2                                                   |
| nPCR, g/kg/day                                                                                               | 1.22 ± 0.3                                                  | 1.18 ± 0.3                                                  | 1.19 ± 0.28                                                 |
| Hemoglobin, g/dL                                                                                            | 10.4 ± 1.0                                                  | 10.5 ± 1.5                                                  | 10.6 ± 1.2                                                   |
| Serum albumin, g/dL                                                                                          | 4.0 ± 0.2                                                   | 3.9 ± 0.2                                                   | 3.9 ± 0.3                                                   |
| BUN, mmol/L                                                                                                 | 69.7 ± 10.2                                                 | 70.8 ± 15.0                                                  | 68.6 ± 15.1                                                 |
| Serum creatinine, mg/dL                                                                                     | 10.8 ± 1.9                                                  | 11.2 ± 2.1                                                  | 10.8 ± 2.1                                                   |
| Calcium, mg/dL                                                                                               | 9.2 ± 0.8                                                   | 9.1 ± 0.9                                                   | 9.4 ± 0.8                                                   |
| Phosphorus, mg/dL                                                                                            | 4.8 ± 1.5                                                   | 4.9 ± 1.3                                                   | 4.9 ± 1.4                                                   |
| iPTH, pg/dL                                                                                                 | 259 (98-687)                                                | 231 (110-542)                                               | 238 (86-627)                                                |
| Total cholesterol, mg/dL                                                                                     | 183.6 ± 40.7                                                | 189.7 ± 38.3                                                | 188.2 ± 39.3                                                |
| Triglyceride, mg/dL                                                                                          | 164.0 ± 105.7                                               | 144.7 ± 94.8                                                | 159.4 ± 106.5                                               |
| HDL, mg/dL                                                                                                  | 46.6 ± 12.9                                                 | 54.2 ± 16.3                                                 | 45.1 ± 14.9                                                 |
| LDL, mg/dL                                                                                                  | 101.0 ± 42.2                                                | 110.4 ± 23.3                                                | 110.2 ± 34.2                                                |
| hsCRP, mg/L                                                                                                 | 2.3 (1.0-5.1)                                               | 3.1 (1.5-6.8)                                               | 2.9 (1.3-7.4)                                               |
| Gelsolin, μU/ml                                                                                              | 925.5 ± 277.4                                               | 846.9 ± 235.7                                               | 827.6 ± 266.8**                                             |
| TNF-α, pg/mL                                                                                                 | 5.7 (4.1-8.5)                                               | 7.1 (4.1-9.7)                                               | 6.7 (3.9-11.3)                                              |
| IL-6, pg/mL                                                                                                 | 2.0 (1.4-3.8)                                               | 3.0 (1.7-6.4)*                                              | 2.7 (1.5-4.5)**                                             |
| *No AAC* means no detectable aortic arch calcification on CXR at baseline and at follow-up. *Stable AAC* means same AAC on CXR at baseline and at follow-up. * P<0.05 Stable AAC vs. No AAC. ** P<0.05 Progressive AAC vs. No AAC. | | | |
| Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; nPCR: normalized protein catabolic rate; iPTH: intact parathyroid hormone; HDL: high density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL: low density lipoprotein cholesterol; hsCRP: high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; TNF-α: tumor necrosis factor-alpha; IL-6: interleukin-6. | | | |

| Table 2. Multiple logistic regression analysis showing the independent factors associated with progressive aortic arch calcification after 4 years of follow-up. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Age (per 1-year increase)**                                                                           | 1.022 (0.985-1.062)                                         | NS                                                            |
| **HD vintage (per 1 year increase)**                                                                     | 1.007 (0.999-1.015)                                         | NS                                                            |
| **Vascular disease (yes vs. no)**                                                                          | 1.348 (0.586-3.100)                                         | NS                                                            |
| **BMI (per 1kg/m² increase)**                                                                             | 0.892 (0.756-1.088)                                         | NS                                                            |
| **Waist circumference (per 10cm increase)**                                                               | 1.475 (1.050-2.074)                                         | 0.025                                                         |
| **Kt/V (per 1 unit increase)**                                                                            | 0.601 (0.127-3.452)                                         | NS                                                            |
| **Calcium (per 1 mg/dL increase)**                                                                         | 1.008 (0.621-1.636)                                         | NS                                                            |
| **Phosphorus (per 1 mg/dL increase)**                                                                       | 0.976 (0.973-1.238)                                         | NS                                                            |
| **iPTH (per 1 pg/dL increase)**                                                                            | 1.000 (0.999-1.001)                                         | NS                                                            |
| **Gelsolin (per 100μU/ml increase)**                                                                       | 0.845 (0.734-0.974)                                         | 0.02                                                          |
| **IL-6 (per 1 pg/ml increase)**                                                                            | 1.116 (0.986-1.262)                                         | NS                                                            |
| *Regression (progressive vs. no AAC) adjusted for age, HD vintage, vascular disease, BMI, waist circumference, Kt/V, serum calcium, phosphorus, iPTH, gelsolin and IL-6. | | | |
| Abbreviations: CI, Confidence Interval; BMI, body mass index; BM1: body mass index; iPTH: intact parathyroid hormone; IL-6: interleukin-6; NS, non-significant. | | | |
we intervene, the less time we may have to deliver an effective therapy. Second, routine assessment of AAC will help identify patients at increased risk of progression in vascular calcification. Third, plain chest X-ray is an easily attainable yet powerful tool in the assessment of vascular calcification and its risk of progression.

The independent association between plasma gelsolin and progression in aortic arch calcification has not been reported in the literature before. Gelsolin is involved in bone homeostasis through interaction with osteopontin, an inhibitory protein in vascular calcification. In osteoclast, osteopontin binds to integrin αvβ3 and stimulates gelsolin-associated polyphosphoinositides, leading to alterations in cytoskeleton and bone resorption [28]. Response to osteopontin stimulation was absent in gelsolin-deficient osteoclast [23]. In gelsolin-deficient adult mice, bone resorption was diminished, resulting in increased bone mass. Gelsolin, together with osteopontin promotes resorption, and modifies the process of calcification.

In patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD), the diminishing gelsolin levels may contribute to the imbalance between the activators and inhibitors of vascular calcification. In normal bone homeostasis, osteoblasts promote the recruitment and activation of osteoclasts, resulting in bone resorption and remodeling. However, in vascular calcification, the trans-differentiated vascular smooth muscle cells with an osteoblast phenotype do not promote resorption of calcification by osteoclast-like cells [29]. In patients with CKD, a gelsolin depleted state may promote the propagation of calcification by exacerbating the osteoclast-like cells’ inability to resorb hydroxyapatite crystals. It is tempting to speculate that correction of gelsolin deficiency may reactivate osteoclasts, leading to resorption of vascular calcification.

Our findings also complement previous work demonstrating the connections between gelsolin, atherosclerosis, inflammation and oxidative stress, which are also important mediators of vascular injury and calcification (Figure 2).

**Figure 2.** Possible mechanisms for gelsolin depletion, vascular injury and calcification in chronic kidney disease. Gelsolin depletion may impair modulation on lysophospholipids, macrophage receptor and nitric oxide system which are involved in the maintenance of vascular integrity, development of foam cells, plaque and atherosclerosis. Blood levels of gelsolin have also been correlated inversely with oxidative stress and inflammatory cytokines (i.e. TNF-α). In addition to an impaired resorption of calcification by osteoclast-like cells, gelsolin depletion may also exacerbate the imbalance between calcification inhibitors and activators.
Macrophage is a key player in the process of inflammation and atherosclerosis. Gelsolin can alter macrophage function by triggering nitric oxide synthase, leading to reduced inflammation [30]. In animal models of sepsis, supplementation with recombinant human plasma gelsolin (rhu-pGSN) to restore its depleted level can provide favorable inflammatory modulation and survival benefits [31]. Gelsolin can also modulate cellular responses to lysophospholipids which interact with macrophage receptors and mediate atherosclerosis [21,32]. Indeed, gelsolin expression was reduced in the media layer of human atherosclerotic coronary arteries [33], and its secretion into the ex vivo culture medium was also decreased [34]. This depletion of gelsolin may have consequences in vascular functions. In atherosclerosis and CKD, depletion of gelsolin may impair ligand interaction with macrophage receptors, the modulation on nitric oxide system, and the buffering capacity for inflammatory mediators. Ours and other studies also support the connections between alterations in gelsolin levels and inflammation, oxidative stress, and production of reactive oxygen species [35-37]. In addition to its role as a novel biomarker, gelsolin has therapeutic potential. In mouse models of sepsis and multiple sclerosis where gelsolin levels were depleted, administration of recombinant gelsolin proteins improved survival and brain inflammation [31,40]. In a recent preliminary clinical trial, the safety of rhu-pGSN was established in humans [38].

In addition to the characterization of AAC and its progression over 4 years, our study also explored the important factors associated with these phenomena. In our study, markers of mineral bone metabolism (Ca, P, iPTH) were not associated with AAC and its progression. This is likely because our patients were in good control from this perspective. However, despite keeping their serum Ca, P, PTH within the guideline recommendations, these patients still have high rate of AAC and progression. It should be emphasized that other important factors must be involved. Gelsolin, the novel protein may be one of these key players in AAC. Our analysis also showed that lower serum albumin is associated with baseline AAC. Moreover, higher waist circumference is associated with AAC progression. Increased waist circumference suggests increased abdominal adiposity, which is also associated with coronary artery calcification in CKD patients [39].

Our study has several limitations. First, using plain CXR and 4-grade scoring method to evaluate the severity of vascular calcification may underestimate or miss some subtle calcification and changes. CT scan would offer a much higher resolution, a finer differentiation of calcification, but more costly. Secondly, our study does not have detailed accounts of patients’ medications because they may have various sources of medications outside of our dialysis center. Lastly, our study results may not be generalizable to patients of different ethnicity or other modality of renal replacement therapy.

In conclusion, our study found that AAC based on plain CXR was common in HD patients. Patients with initially higher grades of AAC are at increased risk of further progression, which is associated with higher risk of vascular disease. Our identification of plasma gelsolin as a novel protein associated with progression in VC warrants further confirmation in larger population and may offer new understanding and therapeutic strategies toward vascular injuries and calcification.
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