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Abstract
A new activity model for Mg–Al biotites was formulated through an integrated approach combining various experimental results (calorimetry, line-broadening in infrared (IR) spectra, analysis of existing phase-equilibrium data) with density functional theory (DFT) calculations. The resulting model has a sound physical-experimental basis. It considers the three end-members phlogopite (Phl, KMg3[(OH)2AlSi3O10]), ordered eastonite (Eas, KMg2Al[(OH)2Al2Si2O10]), and disordered eastonite (dEas) and, thus, includes Mg–Al order–disorder. The DFT-derived disordering enthalpy, \( \Delta H_{\text{dis}} \), associated with the disordering of Mg and Al on the M sites of Eas amounts to 34.5 ± 3 kJ/mol. Various biotite compositions along the Phl–Eas join were synthesised hydrothermally at 700 °C and 4 kbar. The most Al-rich biotite synthesized had the composition \( X_{\text{Eas}} = 0.77 \). The samples were characterised by X-ray diffraction (XRD), microprobe analysis and IR spectroscopy. The samples were studied further using relaxation calorimetry to measure their heat capacities (\( C_p \)) at temperatures from 2 to 300 K and by differential scanning calorimetry between 282 and 760 K. The calorimetric (vibrational) entropy of Phl at 298.15 K, determined from the low-\( T \) \( C_p \) measurements on a pure synthetic sample, is \( S_{\text{cal}} = 319.4 \pm 2.2 \) J/(mol K). The standard entropy, \( S_o \), for Phl is 330.9 ± 2.2 J/(mol K), which is obtained by adding a configurational entropy term, \( S_{\text{cfg}} \), of 11.53 J/(mol K) due to tetrahedral Al-Si disorder. This value is ~1% larger than those in different data bases, which rely on older calorimetrical data measured on a natural near-Phl mica. Re-analysing phase-equilibrium data on Phl + quartz (Qz) stability with this new \( S_o \), gives a standard enthalpy of formation of Phl, \( \Delta H_{\text{f},\text{Phl}} \), of −6209.83 ± 1.10 kJ/mol, which is 7–8 kJ/mol less negative than published values. The superambient \( C_p \) of Phl is given by the polynomial \([\text{in J/(mol K)}]\) as follows: \( C_p = 667.37(\pm 7) - 3914.50(\pm 258) \cdot T^{-0.5} - 1.52396(\pm 0.15) \times 10^7 \cdot T^{-2} + 2.17269(\pm 0.25) \times 10^9 \cdot T^{-3}. \) Calorimetric entropies at 298.15 K vary linearly with composition along the Phl–Eas join, indicating ideal vibrational entropies of mixing in this binary. The linear extrapolation of these results to Eas composition gives \( S_o = 294.5 \pm 3.0 \) J/(mol K) for this end-member. This value is in excellent agreement with its DFT-derived \( S_o \), but ~8% smaller than values as appearing in thermodynamic data bases. The DFT-computed superambient \( C_p \) of Eas is given by the polynomial \([\text{in J/(mol K)}]\) as follows: \( C_p = 656.91(\pm 14) - 3622.01(\pm 503) \cdot T^{-0.5} - 1.70983(\pm 0.33) \times 10^7 \cdot T^{-2} + 2.31802(\pm 0.59) \times 10^9 \cdot T^{-3}. \) A maximum excess enthalpy of mixing, \( \Delta H_{\text{ex}} \), of ~6 kJ/mol was derived for the Phl–Eas binary using line-broadening from IR spectra (wavenumber region 400–600 cm\(^{-1}\)), which is in accordance with \( \Delta H_{\text{ex}} \) determined from published solution-calorimetry data. The mixing behaviour can be described by a symmetric interaction parameter \( W_{\text{Phl,Eas}}^H \), of 25.4 kJ/mol. Applying this value to published phase-equilibrium data that were undertaken to experimentally determine the Al-saturation level of biotite in the assemblage (Mg–Al)-biotite-sillimanite-sanidine-Qz, gives a \( \Delta H_{\text{f},\text{Eas}}^\text{DFT} \), of −6358.5 ± 1.4 kJ/mol in good agreement with the independently DFT-derived value of \( \Delta H_{\text{f},\text{Eas}}^{\text{DFT}} \), of −6360.5 kJ/mol. Application examples demonstrate the effect of the new activity model and thermodynamic standard state data, among others, on the stability of Mg–Al biotite + Qz.
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Introduction

Biotite is a major rock-forming mineral that occurs in various igneous and particularly metamorphic rocks over a wide range of bulk compositions and metamorphic grades. Its thermodynamic properties are thus required in many petrological applications like phase-diagram calculations and geothermobarometry. One of the most prominent examples in this context is certainly the garnet-biotite geothermometer that has been widely used for several decades in metamorphic petrology and that experienced numerous calibrations and modifications over time. Most natural biotites are dominated by the two endmembers annite (Ann), KFe$_2$(OH)$_2$Al$_2$Si$_3$O$_10$, and phlogopite (Phl), KMg$_3$(OH)$_2$Al$_2$Si$_3$O$_10$, but, depending on their data.

Calorimetric measurements on biotites are rare compared to the numerous phase-equilibrium and crystal-chemical investigations. A comprehensive list of the latter would be too long to be cited here, but see, e.g. Bailey (1984), Hewitt and Wones (1984) and Guidotti (1984) for a review of the earlier works, or Dachs and Benisek (2015) for the Fe-system. In this system, Hemingway and Robie (1990) measured the heat capacity of a natural aluminous ‘annite’ using low-temperature adiabatic calorimetry (low-TAC) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). The $C_p$ of several synthetic members of the Ann-Sid join was measured by Benisek et al. (1999) over the temperature range 143–623 K using DSC. Dachs and Benisek (2015) applied relaxation calorimetry and DSC to provide $C_p$ of a synthetic Ann in the $T$-range from 2 to 363 K and to extract its third law entropy. This Ann contained 10% Fe$^{3+}$, which represents the closest possible synthetic composition to ‘ideal’ Ann.

In the Mg-system, Robie and Hemingway (1984) measured $C_p$ on a natural near-phlogopite mica using low-TAC and DSC that deviated from the ideal Phl formula by the presence of FeO, TiO$_2$ and F impurities. They extracted a calorimetric entropy, $S_{cal}$, of 315.9 ± 1.0 J/(mol K) from their data. $S^o$ values of Phl given in the thermodynamic data base of Holland and Powell (2011) or appearing in Berman et al. (2007) rely on this Robie and Hemingway value adding a configurational entropy contribution.

Circone and Navrotsky (1992) undertook a heat of solution study on synthetic members of the Phl–Eas join and found a considerable positive asymmetric deviation from ideality for the enthalpic mixing behaviour. Their most aluminous Mg–Al biotite synthesized had the composition $X_{Eas} = 0.92$. For representing their excess enthalpy of mixing ($\Delta H_{ex}$) data along the join, where $\Delta H_{ex} = \Delta H_{measured} - (\Delta H_{f,Phl}^o(1 - X_{Eas}) + \Delta H_{f,Eas}^o X_{Eas})$, they derived the interaction parameters $W_{Phl,Eas} = 66.3 ± 17.3$ kJ/mol and $W_{Eas,Phl} = 0.4 ± 43.2$ kJ/mol. As noted by Circone and Navrotsky (1992), a regular mixing model, on the other hand, would give $W_H = 22.8 ± 18.7$ kJ/mol, but does not account for the asymmetry in their data. By treating the most aluminous biotite data point of Circone and Navrotsky (1992) as outlier, Holland and Powell (1998) argued for a symmetric $W_{Phl,Eas} = 10 ± 4$ kJ/mol for the Phl–Eas join. This value was used in the subsequent K$_2$O–FeO–MgO–Al$_2$O$_3$–SiO$_2$–H$_2$O (KFMASH) biotite activity models of Powell and Holland (1999) and Holland and Powell (2006), as well as in the more comprehensive models of White et al. (2000, 2007, 2014) and Tajčmanová et al. (2009). In these models it is assumed that octahedral Al preferentially partitions onto the M1 octahedral site in biotite (trans-coordinated by hydroxyl groups) and not onto the two equivalent M2 octahedral sites (cis-coordinated by hydroxyl groups, Mercier et al. 2005, 2006).

By omitting the same most aluminous sample of Circone and Navrotsky (1992) and noting that the other data are linear with composition up to $X_{Eas} = 0.8$, Berman et al. (2007) argued for ideal mixing along the Phl–Eas join. The Al solubility in biotite in the water-saturated assemblage biotite + sillimanite + sanidine + quartz has been determined in this relatively recent study from reversed phase-equilibrium experiments.

In this study we focus on the Phl–Eas join. We apply an integrated approach combining results from calorimetry, from density functional theory (DFT) calculations, from line-broadening in IR spectra and from evaluation of phase-equilibrium data to provide the following:

1. a revised $S^o$ value for Phl, based on relaxation calorimetric measurements on synthetic pure Phl, and a revised enthalpy of formation value ($\Delta H_{f}^o$) for this end-member, based on its revised $S^o$ and an evaluation of phase-equilibrium data on Phl + Qz stability (Bohlen et al. 1983; Aranovich and Newton 1998; Berman et al. 2007),
2. reliable thermodynamic data for the Eas end-member,
3. a new activity model for Mg–Al biotite based on Mg–Al ordering that is consistent with all information stemming from the physical/experimental branches calorimetry, IR
spectroscopy, DFT calculations and phase-equilibrium experiments.

In a forthcoming paper we extend this new Mg–Al biotite activity model to a more comprehensive one that can then be used in petrological calculations involving biotite in general.

**Methods**

**Sample synthesis and characterisation techniques**

Samples along the phlogopite (Phl)—eastonite (Eas) binary were synthesized from gels in a conventional cold-seal hydrothermal apparatus at a temperature of 700 °C, pressure of 4 kbar and run durations of 3 weeks. Details of the hydrothermal apparatus, the applied gel method and chemicals used in the gel preparation can be found in Dachs (1994) and will not be repeated here. The samples caloriometrically studied will be subsequently referred to as, e.g., Phl50Eas50 for K(Mg2.5Al0.5)(OH)2Al1.5Si2.5O10, or Phl100 for KMg3[(OH)2AlSi3O10]. The nominal compositions synthesized were Phl100, Phl80Eas20, Phl60Eas40, Phl50Eas50, Phl40Eas60, Phl20Eas80 and Eas100.

Synthesis products were examined optically and by XRD using a Bruker D8 advance to check phase purity. Their chemical composition was determined using an energy dispersive electron microscope (Zeiss Ultraplus 55 equipped with an Oxford Instrument 50 mm2 SDD EDX detector) for which the powder samples were prepared by pressing pellets.

Lattice constants were calculated from XRD patterns collected between 5° and 110° 2θ (Cu–Kα radiation) using the software UnitCell (Holland and Redfern 1997). The lattice constants determined in this way were checked by a Rietveld refinement (Fullprof, Rodriguez-Carvajal 2001) for the sample Phl60Eas40.

IR spectra were recorded on a Bruker IFS66v/S spectrometer in the wave number region 399–7500 cm−1 in order to investigate the line broadening as a result of forming the Phl–Eas solid solution.

**Calorimetric methods**

Low-temperature heat capacities were measured using a commercially designed relaxation calorimeter (the heat capacity option of the Quantum Design® Physical properties measurement system—PPMS) at Salzburg University. The data were collected in triplicate at 60 different temperatures between 2 and 300 K, using a logarithmic spacing so that the data density increased as the temperature decreased. The samples consisted of 11.1–13.6 mg crystallites wrapped in thin Al-foil and compressed to a ~0.5 mm-thick pellet that was then attached to the sample platform of the calorimeter with Apiezon N-grease, in order to facilitate the required thermal contact. The so-called sample coupling is a measure of the quality of the thermal contact between sample and sample platform (see, e.g., Dachs and Bertoldi 2005). It is defined as the ratio 100 Kp/(Kp + Kw), where Kp is the thermal conductance between the sample and the sample platform and Kw is the thermal conductance of the wires that attach the sample platform to the puck frame of the calorimeter. The closer this quantity is to 100%, the better the thermal conductance between sample and sample platform and the more reliable the heat capacity determination. Further details on the calorimetric technique and measuring procedures have already been described several times and will not be repeated here (e.g., Lashley et al. 2003; Dachs and Bertoldi 2005; Kennedy et al. 2007; Dachs and Benisek 2011).

Heat capacities around and above ambient T were collected using a Perkin Elmer Diamond DSC®. Further details on DSC measurement and calibration procedures have been previously published (e.g., Dachs and Benisek 2011; Benisek et al. 2012). All calorimetric data are given in the Supplementary Table S1.

**Evaluation of the calorimetric data**

The calorimetric (vibrational) molar entropy (S_cal) of each compound at 298.15 K was calculated by solving the following integral:

\[ S_{cal} = S^{T=298.15\,K} - S^{T=0\,K} = \int_{0}^{298.15} \frac{C_p}{T} dT, \]

where \( S_{cal} \) corresponds to the standard (third-law) entropy, \( S^0 \), in the case of an ordered end-member (assuming \( S^{T=0\,K} = 0 \)). The DSC \( C_p \) data were combined with the PPMS data around ambient temperature and fitted to a polynomial of the following form (Berman and Brown 1985):

\[ C_p = k_0 + k_1 \cdot T^{-0.5} + k_2 \cdot T^{-2} + k_3 \cdot T^{-3}. \]

The entropy increment 0 K–2 K, not covered by measured \( C_p \) data, is assumed to be insignificant, because absolute \( C_p \) values are so small so that this increment affects \( S_{cal} \) only at the 2nd decimal place. Errors in \( S_{cal} \) were estimated according to Dachs and Benisek (2011).

**Computational methods**

Quantum-mechanical calculations were based on the DFT plane wave pseudopotential approach implemented in the CASTEP code (Clark et al. 2005) included in the Materials Studio software from Accelrys®. The calculations used the local density approximation for the exchange-correlation functional (Ceperley and Alder 1980). To describe the
core-valence interactions, norm-conserving pseudopotentials were used with the 1 s^1, 2s^22p^1, 2s^22p^63 s^2, 3s^23p^1, 3s^23p^2 and 3s^23p^64 s^1 electrons explicitly treated as valence electrons for H, O, Mg, Al, Si and K, respectively. The k-point sampling used a Monkhorst-Pack grid (Monkhorst and Pack 1976) with a spacing of 0.02 Å^{-1} for the energy calculations. Convergence was tested by performing calculations using a denser k-point grid. The structural relaxation was calculated applying the BFGS algorithm, where the maximum force on the atom was within 0.01 eV/Å. The lattice dynamical calculations were performed for the relaxed structures within the linear response approximation implemented in CASTEP using the interpolation approach and a wider k-point grid (spacing of 0.05 Å^{-1}). The enthalpy of mixing was simulated by the single defect method (Sluiter and Kawazoe 2002), which investigates supercells with almost endmember composition having only a single substitutional defect. The energy calculations of the endmembers and such supercells give directly the knowledge of the interaction parameters, because the results can easily be transformed into the slopes of the heat of mixing function (Li et al. 2014).

Results

Sample characterization and biotite end-members

Both X-ray and optical microscope results indicate that the synthesis experiments were successful yielding the 1 M polytype form of biotite as the only phase in all syntheses with X_{Eas} ≤ 0.6 to which all Bragg peaks could be indexed. In syntheses with X_{Eas} > 0.6, corundum was detected in the XRD patterns as an impurity phase with the largest amount of perhaps around 10% in the Al-richest synthesis of nominal Eas100 composition.

A SEM image of Phl100 is shown as an example in Supplementary Fig. S1. The crystals appear as densely packed, several hundred μm sized agglomerates of finely crystallised, often pseudo-hexagonal platelets with diameters not exceeding 10 μm and submicrometer to maximal 1 μm thicknesses. Other phases could not be detected in this sample.

Formula units of all synthetic Phl–Eas micas are given in Table 1. They are the average of 20–30 microprobe analyses measured for each sample. Their scatter is larger than would be obtained with coarse-grained crystals due to the presence

### Table 1

|                  | Phl100 | Phl80Eas20 | Phl60Eas40 | Phl50Eas50 | Phl40Eas60 | Phl20Eas80 | Eas100 |
|------------------|--------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------|
| Si               | 3.01 (3) | 2.80 (2)   | 2.62 (2)   | 2.56 (2)   | 2.45 (3)   | 2.32 (3)   | 2.23 (8) |
| Al               | 1.02 (3) | 1.47 (3)   | 1.87 (3)   | 1.91 (3)   | 2.16 (2)   | 2.39 (3)   | 2.54 (10) |
| Mg               | 2.99 (6) | 2.75 (6)   | 2.47 (3)   | 2.48 (3)   | 2.39 (4)   | 2.30 (3)   | 2.19 (2)  |
| K                | 0.97 (5) | 0.92 (5)   | 0.95 (2)   | 0.95 (2)   | 0.99 (1)   | 0.93 (3)   | 1.00 (4)  |
| Al (tet)         | 0.99 (3) | 1.20 (2)   | 1.38 (2)   | 1.44 (2)   | 1.55 (3)   | 1.68 (3)   | 1.77 (8)  |
| Al (oct)         | 0.03 (3) | 0.27 (4)   | 0.49 (3)   | 0.47 (4)   | 0.62 (4)   | 0.71 (5)   | 0.77 (13) |
| Sum (oct)        | 3.02 (6) | 3.02 (7)   | 2.96 (5)   | 2.95 (5)   | 3.01 (5)   | 3.01 (5)   | 2.96 (6)  |
| X_{Phl}          | 1.00 (1) | 0.77 (2)   | 0.57 (3)   | 0.54 (2)   | 0.42 (2)   | 0.31 (2)   | 0.22 (5)  |
| X_{Eas}          | 0.00 (2) | 0.21 (2)   | 0.39 (2)   | 0.43 (2)   | 0.56 (3)   | 0.69 (3)   | 0.76 (8)  |
| X_{Ms}           | 0.00 (2) | 0.02 (2)   | 0.05 (2)   | 0.03 (2)   | 0.02 (2)   | 0.01 (3)   | 0.01 (5)  |
| Sum (X)          | 1.00 (3) | 1.00 (4)   | 1.00 (3)   | 1.00 (4)   | 1.00 (4)   | 1.00 (5)   | 1.00 (6)  |
| X_{Eas}/(X_{Phl}+X_{Eas}) | 0.00 (2) | 0.22 (2)   | 0.41 (1)   | 0.44 (2)   | 0.57 (2)   | 0.69 (2)   | 0.77 (5)  |
| Molar weight     | 418.4 (8) | 419.6 (15) | 417.3 (7)  | 416.3 (19) | 418.9 (28) | 419.2 (12) | 416.8 (31) |
| S_{cal} [J/(mol K)] | 319.4 (22) | 314.6 (22) | 308.4 (22) | 306.9 (22) | 304.8 (21) | 303.4 (21) | 299.2 (21) |
| Δcorr_{mid}      | 34.31   | 43.46      | 51.59      | 62.79      | 48.78      |            |         |
| ΔH_{mix} (kJ/mol) | 3.02    | 5.15       | 8.22       | 3.78       | 3.78       |            |         |
| Δcorr_{high}     | 57.08   | 58.22      | 52.13      | 52.29      | 39.36      |            |         |
| ΔH_{mix} (kJ/mol) | 1.88    | 1.83       | 3.16       | 1.69       |            |            |         |

Formula units were calculated from wt% of oxides, obtained by electron microprobe analyses, using an oxygen basis of 11. End-members are phlogopite (Phl, KMg_{3}[(OH)_{2}AlSi_{3}O_{10}]), eastonite (Eas, KMg_{2}Al[(OH)_{2}Al_{2}Si_{2}O_{10}]) and muscovite (Ms, KAl_{2}[(OH)_{2}AlSi_{3}O_{10}]). Numbers in parenthesis represent one standard deviation of the mean (the number of analyses for each sample was in the range 20–30).

$^a$Δcorr values obtained from line broadening in IR spectra (Fig. S1) in the wave number regions 400–600 cm^{-1} (Δcorr_{mid}) and 790 – 1330 cm^{-1} (Δcorr_{high})

$^b$ΔH_{mix} computed from Δcorr values based on the correlation given in Etzel and Benisek (2008)
of void space between individual platelets, the large number of
grain boundaries, uneven surfaces, etc. in the agglomerates.
This leads to a relative error of 1–2% in formula units (Table 1),
with a significantly larger error of around 4% for Eas100.

The compositional data indicate that octahedral sums are
3.0 or close to 3.0 within error and thus that no or only small
amounts of octahedral vacancies are present in the synthetic
Mg–Al biotites. Formula units of K range between 0.93 and
1.0. The values < 1.0 are thought to rather represent an ana-
litical artefact than the true presence of interlayer-vacancies
due to the reasons mentioned above (voids, etc. in the fine-
grained mica aggregates). We thus assume that interlayer
sites are completely filled with K. Another mineral-chemical
feature to be mentioned is the presence of excess octahedral
Al in the amount of 0.03–0.11 per formula unit. This amount
of AlVI is thus not balanced by the Tschermak substitution.

Three end-members are required to represent the miner-
alsochemical analyses (Table 1). These are phlo-
ogopite (Phl, KMg3[(OH)2AlSi3O10]), eastonite (Eas,
KMg3Al[(OH)2Al2Si2O10]) and a third component that
accounts for the non-negligible amount of excess-AlVI. For explaining excess-AlVI in natural biotites from pelitic
rocks, possible substitutions discussed in the literature are
(e.g., Guidotti 1984; Dymek 1983; Tracy 1978; Fletcher and
Greenwood 1979; Konings et al. 1988):

\[3(\text{Mg}^{2+})_{\text{VI}} = 2(\text{Al}^{3+})_{\text{VI}} + \text{vacancy}_{\text{VI}}.\]  (3)

\[(\text{Mg}^{2+})_{\text{VI}} + (\text{K}^{+})_{\text{XII}} = (\text{Al}^{3+})_{\text{VI}} + \text{vacancy}_{\text{XII}}.\]  (4)

If interlayer vacancies were truly present in our synthetic
biotites, substitution (4), which is a linear combination of
a classical Tschermak and a K-edenite exchange, might
be relevant to explain excess-AlVI, but for the reasons dis-
cussed above and from our experience with microprobe
analyses on synthetic biotites, we think this is unlikely. We
thus follow most workers in assuming that excess-AlVI is
incorporated via a dioctahedral muscovite component (Ms,
KAl2[(OH)2AlSi3O10]) in some of our synthetic biotites.
These are thus strictly not binary Phl–Eas solid solution
members, but some have an additional Ms component in
the order of 1–5 mol%. The calculated end-member mole
fractions have an uncertainty of ±2–3 mol%, as computed
by error propagation from the uncertainties of formula
units. They show that Phl100, Phl80Eas20, Phl60Eas40
and Phl40Eas60 are on-composition within error (Table 1).
The two most Al-rich samples Phl20Eas80 and Eas100, on
the other hand, have considerably less Eas component than
expected from their nominal composition. The Phl20Eas80
gel produced a Mg–Al biotite with \(X_{\text{Eas}} = 0.69\) and the
Eas100 gel a biotite with \(X_{\text{Eas}} = 0.77\), which is the most
Al-rich composition of our study. Hewitt and Wones (1975)
estimated that the maximum AlVI content corresponds to
\(X_{\text{Eas}} = 0.62\) in their synthetic Mg–Al biotites, whereas Cir-
cone et al. (1991) found a corresponding limit of \(X_{\text{Eas}} = 0.92\). As mentioned above, the XRD patterns of these two
samples showed that these are not pure phases, but in fact
a multi-phase assemblage of Mg–Al biotite, corundum and
probably sanidine (though not detected), with the largest
amount of corundum in Eas100. The biotite formula units
in this sample have consequently the largest errors, because
part of the microprobe analyses represent mixed analyses
resulting in a larger data scatter.

End-member thermodynamic properties of Phl and Eas

Low-temperature heat capacity and standard entropy

Phlogopite PPMS-measured heat capacities of Phl100 are
shown in Fig. 1, where they are compared to \(C_p\) data mea-
sured by Robie and Hemingway (1984) on a natural near-
phlogopite mica (Burgess, Ontario) using low-TAC. This
natural Phl deviated from the ideal end-member formula by
the presence of 1–3 wt% FeO, TiO2 and F, and Robie and
Hemingway (1984) have applied a correction procedure to
account for these impurities. A deviation plot of the two C
data sets, i.e. 100(\(C_p^{\text{PPMS}} - C_p^{\text{lit.}}\))/\(C_p^{\text{lit.}}\), compared to the
literature)/\(C_p^{\text{lit.}}\)., where \(C_p^{\text{lit.}}\) is the corrected data set, is shown as an inset to Fig. 1. At
\(T\)’s between ~15 K and 200 K, the PPMS-measured \(C_p\) data of
the synthetic samples are somewhat larger than the adi-
abatic ones reaching a maximum of ~15% deviation at 20 K,

![Fig. 1](image-url)
whereas PPMS measured $C_p$ is slightly lower by 0.8% at room temperature. The lowest temperature segment < 15 K, where absolute $C_p$ values are < 2 J/(mol K), is characterised by negative deviations of a few tens of a percent.

Following the methods described in Benisek and Dachs (2018), we have also computed phlogopite’s low-$T$ heat capacity using DFT. To avoid symbol overlap, the data have not been plotted in the main Fig. 1, but their deviation to the PPMS data is also shown in the inset to Fig. 1. At ambient $T$, the agreement between PPMS-measured and DFT-derived $C_p$ is very good (deviation < 0.3%); at lower $T$’s, the deviation trend is largely similar to that observed for the adiabatic data.

Based on Eq. (1), we have computed a value of $S_{cal} = 319.4 \pm 2.2$ J/(mol K) from our $C_p$ data measured on synthetic pure phlogopite Phl100. This value represents the vibrational entropy and assuming Al-avoidance in the tetrahedral sheet of phlogopite (e.g., Holland and Powell 1990, 1998), implying the end-member formula KMg$_3$[(OH)$_2$(AlSi)$_7$(Si$_2$O$_{10}$)], i.e., splitting the four tetrahedral sites into T1 and T2, a configurational entropy $S_{cfg} = -2R(0.5\ln(0.5)) = 11.53$ J/(mol K) has to be added to $S_{cal}$. This results in a final standard entropy $S^o = 330.9 \pm 2.2$ J/(mol K) for phlogopite. Its $S_{cal}$ is larger by ~1% than the corresponding value of $S_{cal} = 315.9 \pm 1.0$ J/(mol K) as given by Robie and Hemingway (1984). The reasons for that are discussed below. A similar value of $S_{cal} = 315.7$ J/(mol K) was obtained from our DFT-calculated $C_p$ value that agreed within ~1% with the calorimetric data measured on synthetic phlogopite Phl100.

**Easontite**

It is not possible to derive Eas’s heat capacity and thus vibrational entropy directly via calorimetry, because this phase does not exist physically. We can, however, compute Eas’s heat capacity via DFT in a similar manner as done for Phl. In case of Phl, the DFT calculation resulted in a $S_{cal}$ value that agreed within ~1% with the calorimetric value. The same level of accuracy can also be expected for an analogous DFT calculation on Eas. The Eas end-member is even easier to handle computationally, because T1 is completely filled with Al. $S_{cal} = 294.5 \pm 3.0$ J/(mol K) for Eas was derived in this manner from DFT-computed heat capacities at constant volume converting them into $C_p$ following Benisek and Dachs (2018) and using Eq. (1). For completely ordered Eas this value is identical to its standard entropy $S^o$.

**Super-ambient heat capacity**

**Phlogopite**

DSC-measured heat capacities on sample Phl100 are plotted in Fig. S2a as function of temperature, together with data measured by Robie and Hemingway (1984) on natural Burgess-phlogopite applying the same calorigraphic method. Additionally shown is CASTEP-calculated $C_p$. The PPMS data (two data < 300 K), as well as the CASTEP-$C_p$ agree well with the DSC data of this study around ambient $T$ (0.2% deviation). For the CASTEP-derived $C_p$ this agreement holds up to 580 K, whereas $C_p$ data from the uppermost two DSC series become increasingly lower by up to 3% compared to CASTEP calculated $C_p$.

Fitting our lowermost three DSC data series, combined with the CASTEP $C_p$ data, to Eq. (2), yields the following heat capacity polynomial for Phl (in J/(mol K), uncertainty represents 1σ):

$$C_p = 667.37( \pm 7) - 3914.50( \pm 258) \cdot T^{-0.5} - 1.52396( \pm 0.15) \cdot 10^7 \cdot T^{-2} + 2.17269( \pm 0.25) \cdot 10^9 \cdot T^{-3}. \quad (5)$$

Equation (5) reproduces DSC-measured/CASTEP-calculated $C_p$ to within ± 0.2%.

**Easontite**

The $C_p$ behaviour of Eas above 298 K, based on our DFT calculations, is given by the polynomial [in J/(mol K), uncertainty represents 1σ]:

$$C_p = 656.91( \pm 14) - 3622.01( \pm 503) \cdot T^{-0.5} - 1.70983( \pm 0.33) \cdot 10^7 \cdot T^{-2} + 2.31802( \pm 0.59) \cdot 10^9 \cdot T^{-3}. \quad (6)$$

Phl has a higher molar heat capacity than Eas below 1150 K.

**Standard enthalpy of formation of phlogopite and easontite**

Because our calorimetrically derived $S^o = 330.9 \pm 2.2$ J/(mol K) for Phl is larger by 1–1.5% than that used, e.g. in the data base of Holland and Powell (2011) or given by Berman et al. (2007) ($S^o = 326$ J/(mol K) and $S^o = 327.26$ J/(mol K), respectively), we have re-evaluated experimental data on the stability of Phl + Qz based on the reaction

$$2 \text{Phlogopite} + 6 \text{quartz} = 3 \text{enstatite} + 2 \text{sanidine} + 2 \text{H}_2\text{O}. \quad (7)$$

in order to see the effect of this revised entropy value of Phl on its enthalpy of formation value, $\Delta H^o_f$. For that purpose we used 13 experimental brackets determined on reaction (7) either in a pure H$_2$O fluid or in fluids with reduced H$_2$O activity (H$_2$O–CO$_2$ or H$_2$O–KCl fluids) obtained by Bohlen et al. (1983), Clemens (1995), Aranovich and Newton (1998) and Berman et al. (2007). The evaluation of these experimental data (compiled in Table S2) yields $\Delta H^o_{f,\text{Phl}} = -6209.83 \pm 1.10$ kJ/mol, and we use this value, $S^o = 330.9 \pm 2.2$ J/(mol K) and Eq. (5), for $C_p$ in any further computations below involving Phl.

Benisek and Dachs (2018) have calculated standard enthalpies of formation values, $\Delta H^o_f$, for a number of rock-forming end-members based on DFT calculations. Their result for Phl ($\Delta H^o_{f,\text{Phl}} = -6207.55$ kJ/mol) is close to the phase-equilibrium derived value presented above based on
phlogopite’s revised $S_o$ from this study. For end-member Eas, a similar DFT calculation yields $\Delta H^o_f = -6360.5$ kJ/mol, 30–35 kJ more negative than values given in Berman et al. (2007) or appearing in Holland and Powell (2011).

The molar volumes, $V^o$, of the end-members Phl100 and Eas100, as well as of all solid solution members are listed in Table 2 and are shown in Fig. 2 as function of $X_{Eas}$. Our value of 14.958 ± 0.002 J/(mol bar) for $V^o$ of Phl100 agrees closely with published values. The DFT-computed $V^o = 14.732$ J/(mol bar) for disordered Eas, converting the calculated volume at 0 K to 298.15 K using a method outlined in Benisek and Dachs (2018), is identical to that given by Circone et al. (1991). The DFT-calculated $V^o$ of ordered Eas is, on the other hand, somewhat smaller (14.647 J/(mol bar)).

In the following, we present a new activity model for Mg–Al biotites based on Mg–Al order–disorder. It is based on results from DFT calculations (Table 3) showing that an enthalpy of disordering of $\Delta H_{dis} = 34.5 \pm 3$ kJ/mol is associated with the disordering of Mg and Al on the M sites of Eas:

\[
\text{ordered - Eas}
\]
\[
\text{disordered - Eas}
\]

Where $Q^a$ is the octahedral site fraction of Al, $\Delta t^{0K}$ is the enthalpy difference between Eas and dEas, and $\Delta H_{dis}$ is the enthalpy of disordering.

Table 2 Lattice parameters and volumes of synthetic members of the Phl–Eas join

| Phl100 | 0 | 5.3146 | 9.2038 | 10.3089 | 99.883 | 496.77 (8) | 14.958 (2) |
| Phl80Eas20 | 0.22 | 5.3032 | 9.1894 | 10.3009 | 99.886 | 494.54 (8) | 14.891 (2) |
| Phl60Eas40 | 0.41 | 5.2952 | 9.1711 | 10.2963 | 99.864 | 492.63 (8) | 14.833 (2) |
| Phl40Eas60 | 0.57 | 5.2901 | 9.1676 | 10.3028 | 99.866 | 492.26 (8) | 14.822 (2) |
| Phl20Eas80 | 0.69 | 5.2860 | 9.1627 | 10.3078 | 99.870 | 491.86 (8) | 14.810 (2) |
| Eas100 | 0.77 | 5.2787 | 9.1603 | 10.3107 | 99.900 | 491.15 (8) | 14.789 (2) |

Table 3 CASTEP-energies ($\Delta t_{\text{opt}}^o$) of completely ordered eastonite (Eas, $Q = 1$), for completely disordered eastonite (dEas, $Q = 0$) and two intermediate ordered eastonites (Eas-1, Eas-2)

| Eas | 0.625 | 0.250 | 0.750 |
| Eas-1 | 0.625 | 0.250 | 0.750 |
| Eas-2 | 0.625 | 0.250 | 0.750 |
| dEas | 0.750 | 0.250 | 0.625 |

The enthalpy difference between Eas and dEas gives the enthalpy of disordering, $\Delta H_{dis} = 34.5$ kJ/mol.
Note that ‘Eas’ is used in the following for ordered eastonite and ‘dEas’ for disordered eastonite. The third end-member in this model is Phl (site distributions of the end-members are given in Table 4). Similar to published biotite activity models (e.g. Holland and Powell 1998; Powell and Holland 1999; White et al. 2000, 2007, 2014; Holland and Powell 2006; Tajčmanová et al. 2009) tetrahedral sites have been split into T1 and T2 sites to guarantee Al-avoidance.

Mg–Al ordering on the octahedral sites can then be described by the ordering parameter

\[ Q \equiv X_{Mg}^{M2} - X_{Mg}^{M1} = X_{Al}^{M1} - X_{Al}^{M2}, \]

where \( X_{Mg}^{M2} \) and \( X_{Mg}^{M1} \) are the site fractions of Mg on M1 and M2, respectively. As bulk-composition parameter octahedral Al (AlVI) is introduced to describe the compositional variation along the Phl–Eas join.

For the internal reaction (8), equilibrium is given by the following relation:

\[ \Delta G_{\text{dis}} = \Delta H_{\text{dis}} + 2QW_{\text{Eas,dEas}} - W_{\text{Phl,Eas}} + W_{\text{Phl,dEas}} + Al_{\text{VI}} (W_{\text{Phl,Eas}} - W_{\text{Phl,dEas}} - W_{\text{Eas,dEas}}) + RT \ln \left( \frac{3 - Al_{\text{VI}} - 2Q}{3 - Al_{\text{VI}} + 2Q} \right), \]

where \( \Delta H_{\text{dis}} \) is the enthalpy of disordering according to Eq. (8), and \( W_{\text{Phl,Eas}}, W_{\text{Phl,dEas}} \) and \( W_{\text{Eas,dEas}} \) are binary macroscopic symmetric interaction parameters (e.g., Ganguly 2008). The derivation of Eq. (10) is given in the supplementary materials. For known values of \( \Delta H_{\text{dis}} \) and the \( W_i \)'s, eq. (10) can then be solved for the equilibrium degree of order, \( Q_{\text{eq}} \), for given values of \( T \) and \( Al_{\text{VI}} \) in biotite.

**Calibrating the Mg–Al biotite mixing properties**

We determine the Mg–Al biotite mixing properties applying an integrated approach that combines results from low-temperature calorimetry, from DFT calculations, from line-broadening in IR spectra and from evaluating existing phase-equilibrium data.

**Table 4** End-members and site distributions for Mg-Al biotite

| End-member | Formula | Site distribution |
|------------|---------|-------------------|
| Phl        | KMg₃[(OH)₂(AlSi₃)O₁₀] | Mg Mg₂ AlSi Si₂ |
| Eas (ordered) | K(AlMg₂)[(OH)₂(Al₂Si₂)O₁₀] | Al Mg₂ Al₂ Si₂ |
| dEas (disordered) | K(AlMg₂)[(OH)₂(Al₂Si₂)O₁₀] | Al₁₂₃Mg₂₃ Al₂ Si₂ |

**Vibrational excess entropies of mixing**

The calorimetric entropies \( S_{\text{cal}} \) of the studied Mg–Al biotites at 298.15 K are plotted in Fig. 3 as function of composition (the data are listed in Table 1). Using our calorimetrically determined value of 319.4 ± 2.2 J/(mol K) for the vibrational entropy of Phl and the DFT-computed \( S^o \) of Eas of 294.5 ± 3.0 J/(mol K) at 298.15 K, all solid-solution members fall on the line defining ideal mixing within error. If, on the other hand, a value for \( S^o \) of Eas was determined by linear extrapolation, i.e. fitting exclusively the calorimetric data starting from Phl up to Phl20Eas80, a value of 294.0 ± 3.7 J/(mol K) would result. This value agrees excellently with the DFT-calculated \( S^o \) value and thus confirms that our DFT-calculations for the Eas end-member yield reliable results. Estimates of \( S^o \) of end-member Eas, appearing in the literature, are considerably larger by ~8% and need to be corrected [317.4 ± 1.2 J/(mol K); Circone and Navrotsky 1992, 318 J/(mol K); Holland and Powell 2011, 318.59 J/(mol K); Berman et al. 2007]. In summary, our calorimetric data along the Phl-Eas join indicate that there are no vibrational excess entropies of mixing in this binary. The enthalpic mixing parameters of this join have thus no temperature dependence.
dependence, i.e. the Phl–Eas solid-solution can be treated as a regular solution with $W_{G,ij} = W_{H,ij}$, e.g. $W_{G,Phl,Eas} = W_{H,Phl,Eas}$. For simplicity, we drop the 'H' subscript in the following, so that $W_{H,Phl,Eas} = W_{Phl,Eas}$. Our finding that the vibrational entropy of the Mg–Al biotites behaves ideal is similar to another Tschermak substituted binary, the diopside–CaTs pyroxenes, where ideal vibrational mixing was obtained as well (Etzel et al. 2007).

Excess enthalpies of mixing: $\Delta H_{ex}$ and $W_{Phl,Eas}$

$\Delta H_{ex}$ from DFT calculations ($\Delta H_{ex}^{DFT}$) Binary join ordered eastonite (Eas)–disordered eastonite (dEas) Figure 4 is a plot of CASTEP-energies at 0 K ($\Delta U^{0K}$), listed in Table 3, calculated for completely ordered Eas (all Al$^{VI}$ on M1), disordered Eas (one Al$^{VI}$ distributed over M1 and M2 so that $X_{Al}^M = X_{Al}^M = 1/3$), and two partly (dis-)ordered configurations as function of the order parameter $Q$. For completely ordered Eas, $\Delta U^{0K}_{Eas} = -925452.7$ kJ/mol. In order to determine $\Delta U^{0K}_{ex}$ for disordered Eas ($X_{Al}^M = X_{Al}^M = 1/3$), we have computed CASTEP energies for four different configurations distributing Mg–Al over the three M sites (fully ordered and disordered structures are shown in the supplementary materials, Figs. S3, S4). The mean gives $\Delta U_{Eas, disordered}^{0K} = -925,418.2$ kJ/mol (Table 3). The uncertainty of this value is ± 2.4 kJ/mol, due to the spread of the data resulting from the different octahedral Mg–Al configurations.

As the energies calculated for the two intermediate (dis-) ordered states fall on the line representing ideal mixing within error (Fig. 4), $W_{Eas,dEas} \sim 0$ and can be set to zero in Eq. (10). A similar ideal mixing behaviour was observed for MgAl$_2$O$_4$–Al$_{2/3}$O$_4$ spinels, which could be described as an excellent approximation with a constant value of the Gibbs energy of the ordering reaction alone (Sack 2014).

The enthalpy of disordering of Eas is given by the difference: $\Delta H_{dis} = \Delta U_{dEas}^{0K} - \Delta U_{Eas}^{0K}$ = 34.5 kJ/mol with an uncertainty of ca. ± 3 kJ/mol.

Binary join phlogopite (Phl)–ordered eastonite (Eas). In order to determine $W_{Phl,Eas}$ and $W_{dEas}$ from DFT calculations, we have applied the single-point defect method (e.g., Sluiter and Kawazoe 2002). In the case of the ordered Eas end-member, this means that one Mg$^{2+}$ defect atom is introduced into the octahedral sheet, replacing one Al$^{3+}$ atom there, coupled with a Si$^{4+}$ defect replacing one adjacent tetrahedral Al$^{3+}$. For maintaining local charge balance, the placement of this tetrahedral Si$^{4+}$ defect atom was chosen in such a way that the Mg$^{2+}$–Si$^{4+}$ distance was 3.2 Å in all cases. This is the shortest structurally possible Mg$^{2+}$–Si$^{4+}$ distance and there are exactly four possible configurations of this kind in the Eas end-member. In a cell with $Z = 12$ this means that $X_{Eas} = 11/12 = 0.917$. Similar ‘inverse Tschermak-defects’ were incorporated into ordered Eas cells with $Z = 8$ and $Z = 6$, as well as one double defect in a cell with $Z = 6$. The calculated CASTEP energies for all structures of the Phl–Eas join are given in Table 5. On the Phl-side we have constructed a phlogopite with $Z = 8$ containing one classical ‘Tschermak-defect’, i.e. (Al$^{3+}$)$_{oct}$ (Al$^{3+}$)$_{tet}$ (Mg$^{2+}$)$_{oct}$ (Si$^{4+}$)$_{tet}$ in a similar manner as described above for ordered Eas. The value given for $\Delta U^{0K}_{Phl}$ of Phl in Table 5 is the mean of seven separate CASTEP calculations, each with a different tetrahedral Al-Si distribution representing Al-avoidance leading to $\Delta U^{0K}_{Phl} = -1,078$, 178.5 ± 2.1 kJ/mol. The uncertainty of ± 2.1 kJ/mol reflects the energetic response of the structure to this variation in the tetrahedral layer. The excess enthalpy, $\Delta H_{ex}$ of the solid-solution members was then calculated from:

$$\Delta H_{ex}^{DFT} = \Delta H_{ex} = \Delta H_{solution}^{DFT} - \Delta H_{mech}^{DFT} = \Delta U_{solution}^{DFT} - [\Delta U_{Phl}^{0K} (1 - X_{Eas}) + \Delta U_{Eas}^{0K} X_{Eas}].$$ \ (11)

Based on the relation $\Delta H_{ex}^{DFT}$ = $\Delta H_{ex} + P \Delta V_{ex}$, the identity $\Delta H_{ex}^{DFT} = \Delta H_{ex}$ holds, because the $P \Delta V_{ex}$-term is zero (see below).

The resulting $\Delta H_{ex}^{DFT}$s (Table 5) are shown in Fig. 5, plotted vs. $X_{Eas}$, and indicate positive deviation from ideality in all cases. Using a symmetrical mixing model, values for $W_{Phl,Eas}$ can be computed from the general relation:

$$W_{Phl,Eas} = \Delta H_{ex}^{DFT} [(1 - X_{Eas}) X_{Eas}].$$ \ (12)

The mean value and standard deviation of these data give $W_{Phl,Eas} = 9.1 \pm 1.2$ kJ/mol and a positive $\Delta H_{ex}^{DFT}$ of at maximum 2.3 kJ/mol for the Phl–Eas join. This $W_{Phl,Eas}$ represents the structural situation with the shortest possible Mg$^{2+}$–Si$^{4+}$ distance of 3.2 Å (four different realisations). The corresponding extent of non-ideality should be considered as minimum non-ideality along the Phl–Eas join.

Fig. 4 CASTEP-energies ($\Delta U^{0K}$) as function of the order parameter $Q$, for completely Mg–Al ordered eastonite (Eas, $Q = 1$), for completely disordered eastonite (dEas, $Q = 0$) and two intermediate ordered eastonites (data from Table 3). Error bars are ± 2σ.
and would apply for biotites with the ‘strictest’ local charge balance (LCB) in their structures. The placement of the Si\(^{4+}\) defect atom in the ordered structure of Eas can, however, be done in two more ways, with larger distances of 4.43 Å and 5.33 Å away from the octahedral Mg\(^{2+}\) defect atom. We have performed similar DFT calculations with these 2nd and 3rd next nearest Mg\(^{2+}\)–Si\(^{4+}\) distances. The resulting larger W\(^{\text{DFT}}\)\(_{\text{Phl,Eas}}\)’s are listed in Table 6 and the correlation between Mg\(^{2+}\)–Si\(^{4+}\) distance, \(d\) (in Å), and these \(W\)’s is shown in Fig. 6. It is given by:

\[
W_{\text{Phl,Eas}}^{\text{DFT}} \text{ (kJ/mol)} = -112.3 + 49.8 \cdot d - 3.7 \cdot d^2.
\]

### Table 6

CASTEP-energies (\(
\Delta U_0^K\)) of the binary phlogopite (Phl)–ordered eastonite (Eas) as a function of the distance \(d\) between the octahedral and the tetrahedral defect

| \(d\) (Å) | \(X_{\text{Eas}}\) | \(\Delta U_0^K\) (kJ/mol) | \(\Delta H_{\text{ex}}\) (kJ/mol) | \(W_{\text{Phl,Eas}}^{\text{DFT}}\) (kJ/mol) | Comment |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Eas | 1 | \(-925,452.69\) | 0 | End-member |
| Phl | 0 | \(-1,078,178.28\) | 0 | end-member |
| Eas92 | 3.20\(^a\) | 11/12 | \(-938,179.13\) | 0.69 | 9.06 | sd in cell with \(Z = 12\) |
| Eas92 | 4.43\(^b\) | 11/12 | \(-938,177.10\) | 2.72 | 35.58 | sd in cell with \(Z = 12\) |
| Eas92 | 5.33\(^c\) | 11/12 | \(-938,176.16\) | 3.66 | 47.90 | sd in cell with \(Z = 12\) |

\(\Delta H_{\text{ex}}\) calculated from Eq. (11) and \(W_{\text{Phl,Eas}}^{\text{DFT}}\) calculated from Eq. (12) are also given. The tetrahedral Si-Al distribution is that of Al-avoidance.

\(sd\) single point defect, \(dd\) double defect

\(^a\)Mean gives \(W_{\text{Phl,Eas}} = 9.1 \pm 1.2\) kJ/mol as appearing in the text and termed \(W_{\text{Phl,Eas}}^{\text{DFT}}\)

\(^b\)Structures used in the CASTEP calculations are shown in Figs. S3 and S4

\(\Delta H_{\text{ex}}\) from line broadening of IR spectra (\(\Delta H_{\text{ex}}^{\text{corr}}\)) The line-broadening of IR spectra as result of solid-solution formation has been established as a method to determine qualitatively (e.g., Boffa Ballaran et al. 1999; Carpenter and Boffa Ballaran 2001) and quantitatively (e.g., Dachs et al. 2014) the enthalpic mixing behaviour of solid-solutions. The IR spectra in the mid wave number region 400–600 cm\(^{-1}\) are shown in Fig. S5 for Phl100, Phl80Eas20, Phl60Eas40, Phl40Eas60 and Eas100. The peak in the wave number range 460–500 cm\(^{-1}\) appearing in all spectra was subject to autocorrelation analysis (Salje et al. 2000) using a self-written Mathematica program. The resulting \(\Delta\text{corr}\)-values are given in Table 1 and were fitted to the following equation:

\[
\delta\Delta\text{corr} = \Delta\text{corr}_{\text{Phl}} (1 - X_{\text{Eas}}) + \Delta\text{corr}_{\text{Eas}} X_{\text{Eas}} + (1 - X_{\text{Eas}}) X_{\text{Eas}} \Delta\text{corr}_{\text{Eas}}.
\]
with the two unknowns \( \Delta \text{corr}_{\text{Eas}} \) and \( W_{\text{corr}} \), which corresponds to a symmetrical interaction parameter. Their values are: \( \Delta \text{corr}_{\text{Eas}} = 37.1 \pm 0.7 \) and \( W_{\text{corr}} = 86.0 \pm 1.4 \). The line-broadenings in the IR spectra of the Phl–Eas binary thus indicate positive deviations from ideality characterised by a \( \delta \Delta \text{corr} \) value of 21.5 ± 0.3 (\( \delta \Delta \text{corr} = W_{\text{corr}}/4 \)). This \( \delta \Delta \text{corr} \) gives the maximal deviation from ideality in terms of \( \Delta \text{corr} \). In order to translate the \( \delta \Delta \text{corr} \) into corresponding \( \Delta H_{\text{ex}} \), we used the correlation between the two established by Etzel and Benisek (2008):

\[
\Delta H_{\text{ex}}^{\delta \Delta \text{corr}} = (d + k n \text{V}_{\text{exc}}) n, \tag{15}
\]

with \( n = 22 \) (number of atoms per formula unit). The values \( d = 13.4 \text{ J cm}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1} \) and \( k = 308 \text{ J cm}^{-2} \text{ mol}^{-1} \), valid for the intermediate wave number region, were taken from Etzel and Benisek (2008). The integrated excess volume of mixing normalised to one atom per formula unit \( (\text{V}_{\text{exc}}) \) is zero for this binary (see below). The values are given in Table 1 and are plotted in Fig. 7. This results in a maximum \( \Delta H_{\text{ex}}^{\delta \Delta \text{corr}} \) of 6.4 kJ/mol, giving a symmetrical interaction parameter \( W_{\text{Phl,Eas}} = 25.4 \text{ kJ/mol} \) for the Phl–Eas join. Evaluating the line broadening of IR spectra from the high wave number region of 790–1330 cm\(^{-1} \) yields a similar positive \( \Delta H_{\text{ex}}^{\delta \Delta \text{corr}} \), which is, however, smaller (Table 1) and would give a \( W_{\text{Phl,Eas}} = 10.3 \text{ kJ/mol} \).

**Fig. 6** DFT-derived interaction parameter \( W_{\text{Phl,Eas}}^{\text{DFT}} \) as function of the distance \( d \) between the octahedral and the tetrahedral defect

**Fig. 7** Excess enthalpies of mixing, \( \Delta H_{\text{ex}} \), along the Phl–ordered Eas binary. Experimental high-temperature solution calorimetry data of Circone and Navrotsky (1992) are shown as open squares (with error bars of ± 2\( \sigma \)), the asymmetric curve is their fit to their data. Dots is \( \Delta H_{\text{ex}} \), derived from line broadening in IR spectra (data from Table 1, mid wave number region), the curve labelled ‘\( \Delta \text{corr} \)’ is a symmetric fit to these data yielding \( W_{\text{Phl,Eas}} = 25.4 \text{ kJ/mol} \). Curves labelled ‘LCB’, ‘2nd’ and ‘3rd’ are \( \Delta H_{\text{ex}} \), based on DFT-calculations, in which defect-atom pairs in the biotite structure have 1st (lowest curve representing strict local charge balance, LCB), 2nd and 3rd next nearest distances to each other (Table 6). Dot-dashed curve is \( \Delta H_{\text{ex}} \) as used in published biotite activity models, i.e., \( W_{\text{Phl,Eas}} = 10 \text{ kJ/mol} \) (e.g., Holland and Powell 2006; White et al. 2000, 2007, 2014; Tajčmanová et al. 2009). Ideal mixing for the binary Phl–disordered Eas (dEas) is shown as dashed straight line

The Al-saturation level of biotite to a value of 1.60 ± 0.04 in the assemblage (Mg–Al)-biotite-sillimanite-sandine-quartz under the presence of water starting from mixes with different Al-contents in biotite. The equilibrium relation for this case can be rearranged to

\[
\frac{\Delta H_{\text{ex}}}{3} = \frac{T}{298.15} \chi \Delta C_{\text{p,R}} dT - T \left( \Delta S_{\text{r}} + \frac{\Delta C_{\text{p,R}}}{T} dT \right) + \int_{P}^{P_{0}} \Delta V_{\text{r}} dP - \frac{\ln a_{\text{Phl}} - 3 \ln a_{\text{Eas}}}{3}, \tag{17}
\]

where \( \Delta H_{\text{r}} = 2 \Delta H_{\text{f,Phl}} + 3 \Delta H_{\text{f,Sil}} + \Delta H_{\text{f,Eas}} + 2 \Delta H_{\text{f,H2O}} - 6 \Delta H_{\text{f,QZ}} \), \( \Delta S_{\text{r}} \) and \( \Delta V_{\text{r}} \) are the entropy- and volume change at standard conditions, \( \Delta C_{\text{p,R}} \) is the change in heat capacities. The left-hand side of Eq. (17) was computed from known thermodynamic data \( (\chi, \Delta H_{\text{r}}) \) from the study, all other thermodynamic data and functions from Holland and Powell (2011). The activity term on the right-hand side of Eq. (17) contains the interaction parameters \( W_{\text{Phl,Eas}} \) and \( W_{\text{Phl,Eas}}^{\text{DFT}} \) as unknowns in the activity model. Based on Eq. (17) and setting \( W_{\text{Phl,Eas}} = 0 \text{ kJ/mol} \) (the justification for this choice is given below), optimised values of \( \Delta H_{\text{ex}}^{\text{Phl,Eas}} \) and \( W_{\text{Phl,Eas}} \) were then determined from the phase-equilibrium data using the Mathematica function ‘FindMinimum’. This gives \( \Delta H_{\text{ex}}^{\text{Phl,Eas}} = -6362.7 \text{ kJ/mol} \) and \( W_{\text{Phl,Eas}} = 29.8 \text{ kJ/mol} \). We note, however, that these data are

\[
3 \text{ Eastonite} + 6 \text{ quartz} = 2 \text{ phlogopite} + 3 \text{ sillimanite} + \text{ sanidine} + \text{ H}_2\text{O}, \tag{16}
\]

they determined four composition-brackets over the \( P-T \) range ~650–750 °C and 1.1–3.2 kbar which define the
uncertain due to the small temperature range of the experiments and other combinations of $\Delta H^0_{f,\text{Eas}}$ and $W_{\text{Phl,Eas}}$ would equally well reproduce the experimentally determined Al-saturation level of biotite. For that reason we use the experimental data to establish a correlation between $\Delta H^0_{f,\text{Eas}}$ and $W_{\text{Phl,Eas}}$ by solving Eq. (17) for $\Delta H^0_{f,\text{Eas}}$ and inserting predefined values of the interaction parameter $W_{\text{Phl,Eas}}^\ast$ ranging between 10 and 30 kJ/mol. This results in the following quadratic equation:

$$
\Delta H^0_{f,\text{Eas}} (\text{kJ/mol}) = -6348.5 - 0.34 \cdot W_{\text{Phl,Eas}}^\ast - 0.002 \cdot W_{\text{Phl,Eas}}^\ast^2.
$$

(18)

where $W_{\text{Phl,Eas}}^\ast$ is in kJ/mol. Pinning $W_{\text{Phl,Eas}}^\ast$ to the $\delta \Delta \text{corr}$ value of 25.4 kJ/mol (mid wave number region), gives $\Delta H^0_{f,\text{Eas}} = -6358.5 \pm 1.4$ kJ/mol, in good agreement with $\Delta H^0_{f,\text{Eas}}$ derived independently from DFT ($\Delta H_{f,\text{Eas}}^0 = -6360.5 \pm 0.5$ kJ/mol). Using, alternatively, $W_{\text{Phl,Eas}} = 10.3$ kJ/mol (high wave number region), gives $\Delta H^0_{f,\text{Eas}} = -6352.0 \pm 1.4$ kJ/mol.

Excess volumes of mixing $\Delta V_{\text{ex}}$

The molar volumes of our synthetic Mg–Al biotites (Table 2) are displayed in Fig. 2. Despite some scatter, their variation with $X_{\text{Eas}}$ follows a linear trend between $V^0$ of disordered Phl ($14.96 \text{ J/mol-bar}$) and disordered Eas ($14.73 \text{ J/mol-bar}$, DFT calculated). There are thus no significant excess volumes of mixing along the Phl–Eas join. The DFT-calculated $V^0$ of ordered Eas is somewhat lower ($14.65 \text{ J/mol-bar}$).

Discussion

End-member thermodynamic data

Phlogopite

In our study we calorimetrically derived a vibrational entropy $S^0_{\text{cal}} = 319.4 \pm 2.2 \text{ J/(mol K)}$ at 298.15 K for synthetic pure Phl and, including a $S^0_{\text{cont}} = 11.53 \text{ J/(mol K)}$, we obtained its revised standard entropy as $S^0 = 330.9 \pm 2.2 \text{ J/(mol K)}$. Our $S_{\text{cal}}^0$ value is to be preferred over that determined by Robie and Hemingway (1984) on a natural near-phlogopite mica, because the latter deviated from the ideal phlogopite formula by the presence of 1–3 wt% FeO, TiO$_2$ and F, requiring a $C_p$-correction for these impurities. Taking the uncorrected $C_p$ data of Robie and Hemingway would give, on numerical integration, $S_{\text{cal}} = 312.9 \pm 1.0 \text{ J/(mol K)}$. This value rises to $S_{\text{cal}} = 315.9 \pm 1.0 \text{ J/(mol K)}$ using their corrected $C_p$ data. This value is still 3.5 J/(mol K) lower than the PPMS-derived $S_{\text{cal}}$, showing that the correction procedure applied by Robie and Hemingway was not sufficiently accurate based on the data available at that time. Our $S^0$ value is 1–1.5% larger than that given in Holland and Powell (2011) ($S^0 = 326.0 \text{ J/(mol K)}$) or Berman et al. (2007) ($S^0 = 327.3 \text{ J/(mol K)}$), which rely on the Robie and Hemingway vibrational entropy value of Phl adding $S_{\text{cfg}}$. From the revised $S^0$ of Phl from this study we extracted $\Delta H^0_{f,\text{Phl}} = -6209.83 \pm 1.1 \text{ kJ/mol}$ by evaluating phase-equilibrium data on the stability of Phl + Qtz (reaction (7), Table S2). Published values of $\Delta H^0_{f,\text{Phl}}$, derived from enthalpies of solution data, agree with this revised value within error, i.e. $\Delta H^0_{f,\text{Phl}} = -6214.1 \pm 6.1 \text{ kJ/mol}$ (Clemens et al. 1987), and $\Delta H^0_{f,\text{Phl}} = -6211.7 \pm 5.6 \text{ kJ/mol}$ (Circone and Navrotsky 1992). The phase-equilibrium derived $\Delta H^0_{f,\text{Phl}}$ values of Holland and Powell (2011) and Berman et al. (2007) ($\Delta H^0_{f,\text{Phl}} = -6214.95 \pm 2.90 \text{ kJ/mol}$, $\Delta H^0_{f,\text{Phl}} = -6215.86 \text{ kJ/mol}$) are 5–6 kJ/mol more negative than our revised $\Delta H^0_{f,\text{Phl}}$. The reason for this difference is that the above mentioned $S^0$ of Phl based on Robie and Hemingway’s vibrational entropy value was used by Holland and Powell (2011) and Berman et al. (2007) in extracting $\Delta H^0_{f,\text{Phl}}$. If we would re-evaluate the phase-equilibrium data on Phl + Qz stability, given in Table S2, with that standard entropy value (i.e., $S^0 = 326 \text{ J/(mol K)}$), we would get a similar $\Delta H^0_{f,\text{Phl}} = -6215.1 \text{ kJ/mol}$.

This shows that a difference of only 1–1.5% in entropy can cause a 5–6 kJ/mol different enthalpy of formation value of a phase. Our new $C_p$-polynomial for Phl (Eq. 5) was fitted from the lowermost three DSC data series (282 K–564 K), combined with CASTEP-computed $C_p$ above ambient T, whereas the two DSC-series at higher T’s were disregarded. As high-T DSC data are usually less accurate than intermediate-T ones (Benisek et al. 2009), this procedure seems reasonable and should give more reliable heat capacities of phlogopite, especially at high T’s around and above 1000 K. At this temperature, the $C_p$-polynomial of Eq. (5) yields a 1–1.5% larger $C_p$ for Phl compared to $C_p$ calculated from published polynomials (Robie and Hemingway 1984; Circone and Navrotsky 1992; Berman et al. 2007; Holland and Powell 2011) (Fig. S2b).

Eastonite

An important aspect of our study is to provide a reliable value for the standard entropy of the Eas end-member. This is $S^0 = 294.5 \pm 3.0 \text{ J/(mol K)}$ based on our DFT calculations, in close agreement with the calorimetrically determined value of $S^0 = 294.0 \pm 3.7 \text{ J/(mol K)}$, derived by linear extrapolation from $S_{\text{cal}}$ of the studied solid-solution members (Fig. 3). Presently used values for $S^0$ of Eas in the more recent literature are ~ 8% larger ($S^0 = 318.59 \text{ J/(mol K)}$), which rely on the Robie and Hemingway vibrational entropy value of Phl adding $S_{\text{cfg}}$. Adopting a similar estimation scheme, Circone and...
Navrotsky (1992) derived $S^o = 317.4 \pm 1.2$ J/(mol K). This shows that the use of estimation schemes to come up with unknown entropies of phases and widely used in the derivation of internally consistent thermodynamic data sets (e.g., Holland and Powell 2011), may bear serious errors.

For the enthalpy of formation of Eas we obtained $\Delta H^o_{f,Eas} = -6360.5$ kJ/mol from DFT calculations following the procedure outlined in Benisek and Dachs (2018). The anticipated uncertainty of this value, based on the results obtained in that study, compared to reference values, is ca. $\pm 7$ kJ/mol. Our preferred value for $\Delta H^o_{f,Eas}$ is, however, $-6358.5 \pm 1.4$ kJ/mol, which results from the correlation between Powell (1998) and estimated mol. We note that in the earlier data set issue of Holland and Powell (1998) an estimated $\Delta H^o_{f,Eas}$ of 25.4 kJ/mol (mid wave number region). This $W_{Phl,Eas}$ arising from the mid wave number region of IR spectra, is preferred, because it is in accordance with that resulting from the calorimetric data of Circone and Navrotsky (1992). If the lower value from the high wave number region was chosen instead (10.3 kJ/mol), $\Delta H^o_{f,Eas}$ would be $-6352.0 \pm 1.4$ kJ/mol based on Eq. (18). The evaluation of experimental data complementing those of the MASH system will be required to fix final values of $\Delta H^o_{f,Eas}$ and $W_{Phl,Eas}$ unequivocally. Circone and Navrotsky (1992) derived $\Delta H^o_{f,Eas} = -6358.2 \pm 8.8$ kJ/mol from an extrapolated heat of solution value for Eas of 281.4 $\pm 2.6$ kJ/mol and corrected by $-6$ kJ for the revised enthalpy of sanidine in Robie and Hemingway (1995). Values in the recent data-base literature for $\Delta H^o_{f,Eas}$ are, on the other hand, more endothermic by 22–35 kJ ($\Delta H^o_{f,Eas} = -6324.95$ kJ/mol, Berman et al. 2007; $\Delta H^o_{f,Eas} = -6330.48$ kJ/mol, Holland and Powell 2011). This difference is of roughly similar magnitude as $\Delta H_{dis}$ (34.5 kJ/mol), so that, based on the thermodynamic analysis of this study, the data-base values in fact represent the $\Delta H^o_{f,Eas}$ of disordered Eas. The reason for this is simply the use of the by 8% wrong $S^o$ of Eas in published work intended to extend $\Delta H^o_{f,Eas}$ from phase equilibrium data obtained on reactions like Eq. (16). As both quantities are linked via Eq. (18), a change in $S^o$ of Eas will affect its $\Delta H^o_{f,Eas}$. If we would set arbitrarily $S^o_{Eas} = 318$ J/(mol K) and reevaluate these phase-equilibrium data of Berman et al. (2007), we would get $\Delta H^o_{f,Eas} = -6338$ kJ/mol. We note that in the earlier data set issue of Holland and Powell (1998) an estimated $S^o_{Eas} = 306$ J/(mol K) was used, more close to the value of this study. With a value of $\Delta H^o_{f,Eas} = -6348.94 \pm 4.70$ kJ/mol, the $\Delta H^o_{f,Eas}$ extracted there is not surprisingly in better agreement with our derived values for $\Delta H^o_{f,Eas}$. Concerning the reliability of the thermodynamic data of phases with estimated entropies in various data set issues published over time, the above consideration shows that the general belief ‘newer = better’ may be illusory.

### Features of the new activity model for Mg–Al biotite

#### Choice of biotite end-members: does Al order on M1 or on M2?

A first step in formulating an activity model is to define appropriate end-members and their site-distributions, which then also defines the ideal activity expressions. As Mg–Al biotites are formed by the Tschermak substitution $\text{Al}^{\text{tet}}\text{Al}^{\text{tet}}\text{Mg}^{\text{tet}}\text{Si}^{\text{tet}}$, mixing of Al and Si on the four tetrahedral (T-) sites is coupled with mixing of Mg and Al on the three octahedral (M-) sites (one M1, two M2-sites, where M1 is slightly larger than M2, e.g., Brigatti and Guggenheim 2002). In published biotite activity models it is generally assumed that T-site mixing is restricted to only two of the four T-sites (T1), in order to maintain Al-avoidance (Holland and Powell 1990, 1998, 2006; Powell and Holland 1999; White et al. 2000, 2007, 2014; B07; Tajčmanová et al. 2009). The site occupancy for end-member Phl is thus straightforward with Mg fully occupying M1 and M2, and Al and Si with equal site-fractions $= \frac{1}{2}$ on the two T1-sites (Table 4). In the Eas end-member, one Al-atom is distributed over the three M-sites and T2 is fully occupied by Al. For a complete disordered arrangement this means that Al occupies the M1 and M2 sites with equal site fractions of $\lambda_{\text{Al}}^{\text{M1}} = \lambda_{\text{Al}}^{\text{M2}} = \frac{1}{3}$. An ordered Eas end-member can be defined in two possible ways: one with Al on M1 (Eas-M1–Kal(Mg$_2$) [(OH)$_2$Al$_2$Si$_2$O$_{10}$]) and one with Al on one of the two M2 sites (Eas-M2–KMg(Al,Mg) [(OH)$_2$Al$_2$Si$_2$O$_{10}$]) and it is a priori not clear which one is the better choice. Whereas Eas-M2 was used as end-member in Holland and Powell (1990), all later formulations of the ideal activity of the Eas component appearing in the literature are based on end-member Eas-M1. Structural data on octahedral site-preferences in synthetic biotites seem to confirm this choice. Whereas structural refinements of Fe–Al biotite point to Al$^{\text{tet}}$ occupying the two M2 sites (Redhammer et al. 2000; Redhammer and Roth 2002), the IR and Raman spectra of Mg–Al biotite indicate that Al$^{\text{tet}}$ orders onto the M1 site (Circone and Navrotsky 1992). Structural data obtained on natural biotites are controversial and do not yield a clear picture on the octahedral site occupancy of Al. Cruciani and Zanazzi (1994) and Brigatti et al. (2000) argue for high-charge cations like Al$^{3+}$ occupying the M2 site. Ventruti et al. (2009), on the other hand, found a preference of Al for the M1 site in a volcanic Fe–Ti bearing phlogopite.

We have performed DFT calculations both on Eas-M1 and Eas-M2 configurations and have found that the CASTEP energy computed for Eas-M1 is lower than most Eas-M2 energies. From the energetic point of view, Eas-M1 should thus be preferred. There is, however, one Eas-M2 configuration that has an equally low energy as Eas-M1. So, also
from the CASTEP energies, no clear distinction can be made which of the two Eas end-members is the better choice.

In order to model Mg–Al ordering in biotite, Eas-M1 with Al\textsuperscript{VI} on M1 is, however, the appropriate obvious choice, whereas Eas-M2 with Al and Mg on M2 would require a splitting of the M2 sites in order to constitute an ordered end-member. The relatively large value that we obtained for the disordering enthalpy (ΔH\textsubscript{dis} = 34.5 ± 3 kJ/mol) may be taken as an indication that Mg–Al ordering in biotite is to be expected as an important crystal-chemical mechanism during cooling, e.g. along a retrograde metamorphic PT-path.

**W\textsubscript{Phl,Eas} compared to literature data**

In this study we determined W\textsubscript{Phl,Eas} of the Phl–Eas (ordered) binary from two independent sources, (a) DFT calculations and (b) line broadening in IR spectra. Both methods indicate positive deviation from ideality characterised by the interaction parameters W\textsubscript{Phl,Eas}\textsuperscript{DFT} = 9.1 ± 1.2 kJ/mol and W\textsubscript{Phl,Eas}\textsuperscript{corr} = 10.3 kJ/mol (high wave number region), or W\textsubscript{Phl,Eas}\textsuperscript{corr} = 25.4 kJ/mol (mid wave number region) (Table 7). The latter value is preferred, because it is in accordance with W\textsubscript{Phl,Eas} resulting from the calorimetric data of Circone and Navrotsky (1992) (Fig. 7) and reproduces, in combination with ΔH\textsubscript{Phl,Eas} = −6358.5 kJ/mol, the experimentally determined Al-saturation level of 1.6 (Al\textsuperscript{VI} = 0.3) of Mg–Al biotite in the assemblage biotite-sillimanite-sanidine-quartz (Berman et al. 2007). If W\textsubscript{corr} = 10.3 kJ/mol (high wave number region) was chosen instead, ΔH\textsubscript{Phl,Eas} would amount to −6352.0 ± 1.4 kJ/mol based on Eq. (18). Such a W\textsubscript{Phl,Eas} around 10 kJ/mol, as used in the biotite activity models of Powell and Holland (1999), or Holland and Powell (2006), and adopted in White et al. (2000, 2007, 2014) or Tajčmanová et al. (2009), represents local charge balance.

As noted above, such a W\textsubscript{Phl,Eas} should be considered as minimum non-ideality along the Phl–Eas join. It represents the structural situation in which defect pairs having only 1st next nearest distances of 3.2 Å to each other. Counting the number of placing defect-atom pairs with 1st, 2nd and 3rd next nearest distances, one finds that there are four different realisations of pairs with 1st next nearest, four of 2nd and eight of 3rd next nearest distances. For the disordered state it is reasonable to expect that all these possibilities are realised. Assuming that the correlation shown in Fig. 6 (Eq. 13), relating distance to W\textsubscript{Phl,Eas}\textsuperscript{DFT} applies as a general energetic model for Mg–Al biotites, we compute a mean W\textsubscript{Phl,Eas} of 35.1 kJ/mol, a value that is identical to ΔH\textsubscript{dis} = 34.5 ± 3 kJ/mol. This implies to set W\textsubscript{Phl,Eas} = 0, i.e., the line representing ideality in the Phl–dEas binary is a tangent at the Phl-side of the Phl–Eas binary to a ΔH\textsubscript{mix} curve constructed using a W\textsubscript{Phl,Eas} that equals ΔH\textsubscript{dis} (Fig. 7). In other words, a thermodynamic description of the Phl–Eas binary with a W\textsubscript{Phl,Eas} in the order of the magnitude of ΔH\textsubscript{dis} is equivalent to one of the Phl–dEas binary with W\textsubscript{Phl,Eas} = 0 kJ/mol in the Phl-rich part of the system. With increasing Tschermak substitution, Mg–Al ordering becomes successively relevant, which can be simulated using the two Eas end-members, ordered and disordered, in our ternary Mg–Al biotite activity model. Our proposed W\textsubscript{Phl,Eas} value of 25.4 kJ/mol is considerably larger than 9.1 ± 1.2 kJ/mol—which value corresponds to a strict local charge balance structural situation—and is consistent with the use of MOS ideal activities, as it represents not only 1st next nearest distances between octahedral and tetrahedral defects, but also the contribution of defects with 2nd and 3rd next nearest mutual distances.

### Activity: composition relationships

The proportions of the Phl, Eas and dEas end-members are plotted in Fig. 8 for 400 °C, 700 °C and 1000 °C, respectively, as function of Al\textsuperscript{VI}. In this temperature range, the proportion of dEas is always < ca. 0.16 with a weak maximum

| Table 7 Mixing properties of Mg–Al biotite as determined in this study |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| **Join**        | **Parameter** | **W\textsubscript{Hi,j}\textsuperscript{corr} (kJ/mol)** | **DFT (kJ/mol)** | **W\textsubscript{Si,j} calorimetry [J/(mol K)]** |
| i − j           |            |            |            |
| Phl–Eas         | 25.4\textsuperscript{a} | 9.1 ± 1.2\textsuperscript{c} | 0           |
| Phl–dEas        | 0\textsuperscript{b}   | 0           | 0           |
| Eas–dEas        | 0           | 0           | 0           |

End-members are phlogopite (Phl), ordered eastonite (Eas) and disordered eastonite (dEas), as defined in Table 4. Parameter values used in the activity model are in bold face

\(a\) For simplicity, the subscript ‘H’ has been omitted in the text, i.e., W\textsubscript{Hi,Phl,Eas} in the table corresponds to W\textsubscript{Phl,Eas} of text

\(b\) Derived from Δcorr values given in Table 1 for the mid/high wavenumber regions of 400–600 cm\(^{-1}\) and 790–1330 cm\(^{-1}\)

\(c\) Minimum value for local charge balance (see text)

\(d\) See text for further details

The enthalpy change from ordered to disordered Eas amounts to ΔH\textsubscript{dis} = 34.5 ± 3 kJ/mol
at low $T$’s at Phl-rich compositions. Ternary $\Delta H_{\text{ex}}$, given by the relation (e.g., Ganguly 2008):

$$\Delta H_{\text{ex, ternary}} = p_{\text{Phl}}p_{\text{Eas}}W_{\text{Phl,Eas}} + p_{\text{Phl}}p_{\text{dEas}}W_{\text{Phl,dEas}} + p_{\text{Eas}}p_{\text{dEas}}W_{\text{Eas,dEas}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

is shown in Fig. 9 for $400$ °C, $700$ °C and $1000$ °C, computed using $W_{\text{Phl,Eas}} = 25.4$ kJ/mol and $W_{\text{Phl,dEas}} = W_{\text{Eas,dEas}} = 0$ kJ/mol (Table 7). Depending on temperature, $\Delta H_{\text{ex, ternary}}$ reaches maximal values between ca. 6.3 kJ/mol (at $400$ °C) and 5.1 kJ/mol (at $1000$ °C), whereby this maximum shifts slightly from $Al^{VI} < 0.5$ towards Al-richer compositions. The predicted $\Delta H_{\text{ex}}$-$Al^{VI}$ behaviour is in good agreement with the solution calorimetric data of Circone and Navrotsky (1992), especially at $Al^{VI} < 0.5$.

In order to illustrate the extent of Mg–Al ordering in biotite, the order parameter $Q$ and site fractions of Mg and Al on the M1 and M2 sites of biotite are shown in Fig. 10 as function of temperature for a bulk $Al^{VI}$-content of 1.0 (Eas composition) and 0.5. For pure Eas, the Mg–Al distribution is close to being completely ordered at $400$ °C, i.e. $Q$, $X_{\text{M1}}^{\text{Al}}$ and $X_{\text{M2}}^{\text{Al}}$ are close to unity, whereas the counterparts $X_{\text{Mg}}^{\text{M1}}$ and $X_{\text{Mg}}^{\text{M2}}$ are close to zero (Fig. 10). An increase in temperature leads to a moderate Mg–Al disorder over M1 and M2, characterised by an order parameter $Q \approx 0.83$ at $1000$ °C and a $X_{\text{M1}}^{\text{Al}}$ that has dropped from $\sim 1.0$ to $\sim 0.9$ and vice versa $X_{\text{Mg}}^{\text{M2}}$ that has raised from $\sim 0$ to $\sim 0.1$. To achieve complete disorder, unrealistically high $T$’s would be required. As another example, the change in site fractions as function of temperature is shown in Fig. 10 for an $Al^{VI}$-content of 0.5.

The Mg–Al order/disorder behaviour as function of the $Al^{VI}$-content in Mg–Al biotite is plotted in Fig. 11 for $T$’s of $400$ °C, $700$ °C and $1000$ °C. At low $Ts$ around $400$ °C, our activity model converges to these presently used that...
assume complete Mg–Al order at all temperatures, i.e., all AlVI resides on M1 and M2 is completely filled with Mg so that \( Q = X_{\text{Al}}^{M1} - X_{\text{Al}}^{M2} = \text{AlVI} \). With increasing temperature, disordering of Al over M1 and M2 leads to a drop of \( X_{\text{Al}}^{M1} \) and \( X_{\text{Mg}}^{M2} \) (half that of \( X_{\text{Al}}^{M1} \), because two M2 sites are involved), as well as of \( Q \) and a corresponding increase in their counterparts \( X_{\text{Mg}}^{M1} \) and \( X_{\text{Al}}^{M2} \). An inspection of Fig. 11 shows that this change in site fractions due to an increase in temperature from 400 to 1000 °C is relatively moderate and is around 0.1 for M1 and 0.05 for M2 sites at maximum for the Eas composition (i.e., \( X_{\text{Al}}^{M1,400 \, ^\circ \text{C}} = 0.986, X_{\text{Al}}^{M1,1000 \, ^\circ \text{C}} = 0.888, X_{\text{Al}}^{M2,400 \, ^\circ \text{C}} = 0.007, X_{\text{Al}}^{M2,1000 \, ^\circ \text{C}} = 0.056 \)). With decreasing AlVI content in the Mg–Al biotite, these relative changes in site fractions decrease accordingly.

To complete the description of our new activity model for Mg–Al biotite, the configurational entropy, \( S_{\text{cfg}} \), along the Phl–Eas join, is compared in Fig. 12 to that proposed earlier by Circone and Navrotsky (1992) and that resulting from the biotite activity model of Powell and Holland (1999) and subsequent versions (White et al. 2000, 2007, 2014; Holland and Powell 2006). As disorder increases with temperature, \( S_{\text{cfg}} \) gets larger. This temperature dependence vanishes at Phl-rich compositions where the solid-solution is highly Mg–Al disordered.

In terms of activity–composition relationships (Fig. 13a, b), Phl and Eas activities are generally larger compared to existing activity models. Below ca. 650 °C, minima develop in the Phl and Eas activity curves (Fig. 13b) meaning that there are two compositions with equal activity, which results in a symmetric miscibility gap with an apex at 650 °C at AlVI = 0.49. At 500 °C, a Phl-rich biotite with 12 mol % Eas component coexists with an Eas-rich one having the same amount of Phl component. Our activity model thus predicts unmixing of a homogenous Mg–Al biotite below ca. 650 °C. This is consistent with all of our synthesis runs, performed at 700 °C, in which no peak splitting or broadening in XRD patterns as indication of the presence of two micas could be observed. This fact may also serve to constrain an upper limit of \( W_{\text{Phl,Eas}} \). Values of this mixing parameter larger than ~28 kJ/mol would produce a gap with a crest at temperatures higher than 750 °C and the synthesis runs Phl60Eas40, Phl50Eas50 and Phl40Eas60 would lie well within the miscibility gap. As no signs of unmixing could be found in these experiments, this limits \( W_{\text{Phl,Eas}} \) to ~28 kJ/mol.

**Application**

**Recalculating the Al-saturation level of Mg–Al biotite in the assemblage (Mg–Al)-biotite-sillimanite-sanidine-quartz**

From their experimental brackets, Berman et al. (2007) defined an Al-saturation level of 1.60 ± 0.04 over the \( P–T \) range 650–750 °C and 1.1–3.4 kbar for biotite in the assemblage (Mg–Al)-biotite-sillimanite-sanidine-quartz (+ H2O). This corresponds to AlVI = 0.3 ± 0.02 (i.e., (1.6 – 1)/2). Recalculating this experimentally determined AlVI with the above discussed values \( \Delta H^o_{T,Eas} = -6358 \, 5 \, \text{kJ/mol and} \)
Phl, Eas = 25.4 kJ/mol, we recalculate an Al-saturation level of 1.58 at 700 °C (AlVI = 0.29). With increasing temperature, the Al-saturation level decreases in accordance with experimental evidence to values of 1.31 and 1.23 at 800 °C and 900 °C, respectively. Repeating the same calculation with all thermodynamic data and functions according to Holland and Powell (2011), with the similar biotite activity model as described, e.g. in White et al. (2000, 2007, 2014), we obtain an Al saturation level of 1.80 (AlVI = 0.4) at 700 °C.

**Mg–Al ordering during cooling of a volcanic phlogopite**

Ventruti et al. (2009) investigated the cation partitioning in a natural Fe- and Ti-bearing volcanic phlogopite by in-situ neutron powder diffraction and FTIR spectroscopy. This phlogopite contained 0.17 ± 0.01 formula units AlVI, and from a Rietfeld refinement of their neutron data Ventruti et al. (2009) derived octahedral site occupancies of $X_{\text{Al}}^{\text{M1}} = 0.17 \pm 0.03$ and $X_{\text{Al}}^{\text{M2}} = 0.00 \pm 0.02$. Applying our activity model to this case yields the M1 site fractions $X_{\text{Al}}^{\text{M1},400 \text{ °C}} = 0.15$, $X_{\text{Al}}^{\text{M1,1000 \text{ °C}}} = 0.12$ and the M2 site fractions $X_{\text{Al}}^{\text{M2,400 \text{ °C}}} = 0.01$, $X_{\text{Al}}^{\text{M2,1000 \text{ °C}}} = 0.03$. The values at 400 °C agree with those of Ventruti et al. (2009) within 2σ-error and can be interpreted on the basis of our activity model to have developed from the high-$T$ site fractions due to Mg–Al ordering on cooling.

**Eas + Qz and Phl + Qz stability**

The dehydration of Eas in the presence of Qz is given by the following reaction:

$$2 \text{ Eastonite} + 4 \text{ quartz} = \text{ enstatite} + 2 \text{ sanidine} + 2 \text{ spinel} + 2 \text{ H}_2\text{O}. \tag{20}$$

Its $\Delta S^o_R$ and $\Delta H^o_R$ are 348 J/(mol K) and 250.2 kJ/mol, respectively, based on the revised $S^o_R$ and $\Delta H^o_R$ values of Eas(ordered) of this study, as summarised in Table 8, and taking all other thermodynamic data from Holland and Powell (2011). If also the data for end-member Eas were used from this data base, $\Delta S^o_R$ and $\Delta H^o_R$ would amount to 301 J/m mol.

| $\Delta H^o_R$ (kJ/mol) | $\Delta S^o_R$ [J/(mol K)] | $\Delta V^o$ [J/(mol bar)] | $k_0$ | $k_1$ | $k_2 \times 10^{-7}$ | $k_3 \times 10^{-9}$ |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|-------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Phl                     | $-6209.83 \pm 1.10$       | $330.9 \pm 2.2^a$           | 14.96 | 667.37 | -3914.50           | -1.52396            |
| Eas                     | $-6358.50 \pm 1.40^b$     | 294.5 \pm 3.0               | 14.65 | 656.91 | -3622.01           | -1.70983            |

$c_p = k_0 + k_1 T^{-0.5} + k_2 T^{-2} + k_3 T^{-3}$ [J/(mol K)]

$a$The calorimetric entropy of Phl at 298.15 K is 319.4 ± 2.2 J/mol K to which $S_{\text{cfg}} = 11.5$ J/mol K is added

$b$Based on $W_{\text{Phl,Eas}}^\text{corr} = 25.4$ kJ/mol (IR spectra, mid wave number region) and the correlation between $\Delta H^o_R$ and $W_{\text{Phl,Eas}}$ (Eq. 18). $W_{\text{Eas}}^\text{corr} = 10.3$ kJ/mol from the high wave number region would yield $\Delta H^o_{\text{Eas}} = -6352.0$ kJ/mol
(mol K) and 194.0 kJ/mol, respectively. The $P$–$T$ position of reaction (20) is shown in Fig. 14 for activities of the Eas component at AlVI contents of 1.0, 0.8 and 0.5, respectively, using both sets of eastonite’s $S^o$ and $\Delta H^o$ values and computing Eas activity with either the model of this study with biotite mixing properties from Table 7 (case-1), or setting $W_{Phl,Eas} = 10$ kJ/mol (e.g., Powell and Holland 1999; Holland and Powell 2006—case-2). Due to the larger $\Delta S^o_R$, case-1 curves have steeper slopes. As a response to lowering the AlVI–content in eastonite by dilution with Phl-component, the stability field of such a Mg–Al biotite is less expanded to higher temperatures, because Eas activity is generally larger here compared to case-2 (Fig. 13).

For phlogopite + quartz stability, thermally limited by reaction (7), we find an analogous situation, as shown in Fig. 15. Here, break-down reaction (7) was computed for pure Phl and for Tschermak-substituted phlogopites with AlVI = 0.2 and 0.5. As a consequence of the new activity model and phlogopite’s revised $S^o$ and $\Delta H^o$ of this study (Table 8), the stability field of Mg–Al biotite + quartz is less expanded to higher $T$s compared to its shape using the data base values of, e.g. Holland and Powell (2011) and $W_{Phl,Eas} = 10$ kJ/mol in the phase-equilibrium calculations.

Conclusions

In this contribution, we have applied an integrated approach to construct a new activity model for biotite in the KMASH system. This approach combines all information available for this solid solution from branches like calorimetry, line-broadening in IR spectra, DFT calculations and evaluation of phase-equilibrium data. The resulting model (with mixing parameters given in Table 7) thus has a sound physical basis.

The application of DFT allowed us to derive a value for the disordering enthalpy associated with the disordering of Mg and Al on the M sites of eastonite ($\Delta H_{dis} = 34.5 \pm 3$ kJ/mol). This value is reliable, as from our experience so far, DFT computations yield reasonable results in agreement with experimental evidence, especially in cases of phase-transition enthalpies like, e.g. $\alpha$–$\beta$ quartz (Dachs et al. 2018). Additionally, DFT calculations are a promising new tool in geosciences for deriving standard state thermodynamic properties of mineral end-members (Benisek and Dachs 2018). By applying the single-defect method (Sluiter and Kawazoe 2002), we constrained a minimum value of $W_{Phl,Eas} = 9.1$ kJ/mol, corresponding to strict local charge balance, for the Phl–Eas join and it will be demonstrated in a forthcoming paper that mixing properties of solid solutions can be surprisingly well predicted applying this technique (Benisek and Dachs, in prep.). Based on the extent of line-broadening in IR spectra, $W_{Phl,Eas}$ is of comparable magnitude considering line-broadening in the high wave number region (10.3 kJ/mol), or larger amounting to 25.4 kJ/mol (mid wave number region). The latter value is preferred at the moment, because it is in accordance with $\Delta H_{ex}$ from the solution-calorimetric data of Circone and Navrotsky (1992), Applying it to KMASH phase-equilibrium data of Berman et al. (2007), leads to a $\Delta H'_{Eas} = -6358.5 \pm 1.4$ kJ/mol, 2 kJ different to the DFT-derived $\Delta H'_{Eas}$ (Table 8). The evaluation of phase-equilibrium data complementing those available for the KMASH system will be required to fix values of $\Delta H'_{Eas}$ and $W_{Phl,Eas}$ unequivocally.
Low-temperature heat capacity measurements on synthetic pure Phl enabled us to provide a revised value for $S^o$ of this end-member $(330.9 \pm 2.2 \text{ J/(mol K)}$ including $C_{\text{egf}} = 11.5 \text{ J/(mol K)}$). Evaluating phase-equilibrium data on Phl + Qz stability, the 1% larger Phl entropy causes a $\Delta H^o_{f,\text{Phl}}$ that is larger by $7$–$8 \text{ kJ/mol (} \Delta H^o_{f,\text{Phl}} = -6209.83 \pm 1.1 \text{ kJ/mol)}$ than tabulated values.

Calorimetric entropies at 298.15 K change linearly with composition along the Phl–Eas join, there are thus no excess entropies of mixing in this binary. The linear extrapolation of these calorimetric data yields the standard entropy of $S^o = 294.5$ $\pm 3.0 \text{ J/(mol K)}$ for Eas in excellent agreement with the DFT-derived $S^o$, but ~8% smaller than estimated values as appearing in thermodynamic data bases like Holland and Powell (2011).

In a subsequent contribution, we extend our KMASH biotite activity model to include Fe$^{2+}$/$^{3+}$ and Ti in order to provide a physically based model for general applicability in petrology.
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