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Abstract
We present new explicit exponential stability conditions for the linear scalar neutral equation with two variable coefficients and delays

\[(x(t) - a(t)x(g(t)))' = -b(t)x(h(t)),\]

where \(|a(t)| < 1, b(t) \geq 0, h(t) \leq t, g(t) \leq t,\) in the case when the delays \(t - h(t), t - g(t)\) are bounded, as well as an asymptotic stability condition, if the delays can be unbounded.
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1. Introduction

Investigation of linear neutral delay differential equations has a long history. The term “neutral equation” was introduced by G. Kamenskii, and the first results were obtained by Russian mathematicians in the 60ies, the review of them can be found in [25]. Since then, many papers and monographs on the theory and applications of neutral equations appeared, see, for example, [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 19, 20].

In this paper we consider the equation

\[(x(t) - a(t)x(g(t)))' + b(t)x(h(t)) = 0, \tag{1.1}\]

and call it “the neutral differential equation in the form of Hale”, due to essential results on this class of equations obtained by J. Hale [12]. Another class of neutral equations including several delayed terms with a derivative was studied in [3, 18]. In [12] and many other papers, the authors study linear and nonlinear equations in the Hale form under the assumption that all the parameters of equations and solutions are continuous functions.

In [12], the solution of (1.1) was assumed to satisfy the integral equation

\[x(t) - a(t)x(g(t)) + \int_{0}^{t} b(s)x(h(s))ds = 0, \tag{1.2}\]

which allowed to consider continuous \(a, b, h, g\). We study equation (1.1), where all the functions involved in the equation, as well as solutions, are Lebesgue measurable functions, and (1.2) holds. Such equations were investigated in the recent monograph [9], where in particular existence and
uniqueness results were established. We will use these results without further discussion. The aim of the present paper is to obtain explicit asymptotic stability tests for equation (1.1).

The main method to study stability for neutral equations is the construction of Lyapunov-Krasovskii functions and functionals, see [10, 13, 15, 22]. Propositions 1.1 and 1.2 below are obtained by this method.

The results of [10, Theorem 5.1.1] can be applied to an autonomous neutral equation

\[(x(t) - ax(t - \sigma))' = -b_0 x(t) - bx(t - \tau)\]  

(1.3)

where \(b_0 > 0, \tau \geq 0, \sigma \geq 0, b\tau \neq 0, \sigma \neq 0\).

**Proposition 1.1.** [10, Theorem 5.1.1] Assume that \(b_0 > 0, b + b_0 > 0, |b\tau < 1 - |a|\). Then all solutions of (1.3) satisfy \(\lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = 0\).

Consider (1.3) with variable coefficients

\[(x(t) + a(t)x(t - \sigma))' + b_0(t)x(t) + b(t)x(t - \tau) = 0,\]  

(1.4)

where \(\sigma \leq \tau, a, b_0, b \in C([t_0, \infty), [0, \infty))\).

**Proposition 1.2.** [3] Assume that there exist constants \(p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2, a_0, A\) such that

\[0 \leq p_1 \leq b_0(t) \leq p_2, \quad 0 \leq q_1 \leq b(t) \leq q_2, \quad 0 \leq a(t) \leq a_0 < 1, \quad |a'(t)| \leq A,\]

\(\sigma \leq \tau, a, b_0, b \in C([t_0, \infty), [0, \infty))\), and \(c\) is differentiable with a locally bounded derivative.

If at least one of the following conditions

a) \(p_1 + q_1 > (p_2 + q_2)(a_0 + q_2\tau)\);

b) \(p_1 > q_2 + a_0(p_2 + q_2)\)

holds then every solution of (1.4) satisfies \(\lim_{t \to +\infty} x(t) = 0\).

The next two stability results are based on a deep analysis of neutral equation (1.1) with constant delays

\[(x(t) - a(t)x(t - \sigma))' + b(t)x(t - \tau) = 0,\]  

(1.5)

**Proposition 1.3.** [23] Let \(\tau, \sigma > 0, a, b \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}), b(t) \geq 0\). If

\[\int_{t_0}^{\infty} b(s)ds = +\infty, \quad |a(t)| \leq a_0 < 1, \quad \lim\sup_{t \to \infty} \int_{t-\tau}^{t} b(s)ds < \frac{3}{2} - 2a_0(2 - a_0)\]

then equation (1.5) is asymptotically stable.

Proposition 1.3 is a nice result, since in the non-neutral case \(a(t) \equiv 0\) it leads to a sharp stability test with the famous constant \(\frac{3}{2}\).

There are several improvements and extensions of Proposition 1.3 in particular, the following result from [23].

**Proposition 1.4.** [23] Let \(\int_{t_0}^{\infty} b(s)ds = +\infty\) and \(|a(t)| \leq a_0 < 1\). Assume that at least one of the following conditions holds:
\[
a) \ a_0 < \frac{1}{4}, \ \limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{t-\tau}^{t} b(s)ds < \frac{3}{2} - 2a_0;
\]

\[
b) \ \frac{1}{4} \leq a_0 < \frac{1}{2}, \ \limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{t-\tau}^{t} b(s)ds < \sqrt{2(1 - 2a_0)}.
\]

Then equation (1.5) is asymptotically stable.

Every method used to investigate stability has its advantages and limitations. Some stability tests were obtained by an advanced analysis of specific equations, such as Propositions 1.3 and 1.4. Such results usually have conditions close to the best possible ones, but, generally, this method fails for equations with time-dependent delays.

The method of Lyapunov-Krasovskii functions and functionals works for most known classes of functional differential equations. Unfortunately, it is not easy to apply this method for equations with variable, in particular with unbounded, delays.

Equations with proportional delays \( g(t) = \mu t, \ h(t) = \lambda t \) and, more generally, unbounded delays are usually called pantograph or generalized pantograph equations. One of the first and nice results for this class of equations was obtained in [17].

**Proposition 1.5.** [17] Equation

\[
\dot{x}(t) = ax(t) + bx(\lambda t), \quad 0 < \lambda < 1,
\]

is asymptotically stable if and only if \( a < 0, \ |b| < |a| \).

Various other results on asymptotic stability and asymptotic behavior of solutions for neutral pantograph equations were obtained in [7, 13, 14, 15, 16, 21]. A good review on stability theory for pantograph neutral equations can be found in the monograph [4].

In the present paper, we consider scalar linear non-autonomous pantograph neutral equations.

Using the Bohl-Perron theorem, stability tests for all classes of linear functional differential equations can be obtained. The advantage of this method is that, instead of studying stability, it is sufficient to estimate either the norm or the spectral radius of a linear operator in some functional spaces on the half-line. Explicit stability results were established by this method in [3, 6] and in the monograph [3] for a linear neutral equation which is different from (1.1). To the best of our knowledge, this method is applied to equation (1.1) for the first time. The Bohl-Perron theorem for this class of equations can be found in [3].

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents definitions, assumptions and auxiliary statements. In Section 3, the main stability results for equation (1.1) are justified. Section 4 contains examples and discussion.

### 2. Auxiliary Results

We consider (1.1) under the following assumptions:

(a1) \( a, b, g, h \) are Lebesgue measurable essentially bounded functions on \( [0, +\infty) \);

(a2) \( \text{ess sup}_{t \geq t_0} |a(t)| \leq a_0 < 1 \) for some \( t_0 \geq 0, b(t) \geq 0 \);

(a3) \( g(t) \leq t, \ \lim_{t \to +\infty} g(t) = +\infty, \ \text{mes} \ U = 0 \implies \text{mes} \ g^{-1}(U) = 0 \), where \( \text{mes} \ U \) is the Lebesgue measure of the set \( U \);

(a4) \( h(t) \leq t, \ \lim_{t \to +\infty} h(t) = +\infty, \ \text{mes} \ U = 0 \implies \text{mes} \ h^{-1}(U) = 0 \).
Together with (1.1) we consider for each $t_0 \geq 0$ an initial value problem
\[
(x(t) - a(t)x(g(t)))' + b(t)x(h(t)) = f(t), \quad t \geq t_0, \quad x(t) = \varphi(t), \quad t \leq t_0,
\] (2.1)
where
\[(a5) \quad f : [t_0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is Lebesgue measurable locally essentially bounded, } \varphi : (-\infty, t_0) \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is a Borel measurable and bounded function.}\]

In some of our main results, we assume that the delays are bounded:
\[(a6) \quad t - g(t) \leq \delta, \quad t - h(t) \leq \tau \text{ for } t \geq t_0 \text{ and some } \delta > 0, \quad \tau > 0 \text{ and } t_0 \geq 0.\]

**Definition 2.1.** A Lebesgue measurable function $x : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a solution of problem (2.1) if it is locally essentially bounded on $[0, +\infty)$, $x(t) - a(t)x(g(t))$ is locally absolutely continuous, $x$ satisfies the equation in (2.1) (i.e. (1.2) with the right-hand side $\int_{t_0}^t f(s)ds$) for almost all $t \in [t_0, +\infty)$, and the initial condition in (2.1) holds for $t \leq t_0$.

There exists a unique solution of problem (2.1), see [9] for conditions (a1)-(a4) and [12] for continuous $a, b, g, h$.

Consider the initial value problem for the equation with one non-neutral delay term
\[
x'(t) + b(t)x(h(t)) = f(t), \quad t \geq t_0, \quad x(t) = 0, \quad t \leq t_0,
\] (2.2)
where $b(t), f(t)$ and $h(t) \leq t$ are Lebesgue measurable locally bounded functions.

**Definition 2.2.** For each $s \geq t_0$ the solution $X(t, s)$ of the problem
\[
x'(t) + b(t)x(h(t)) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad x(t) = 0, \quad t < s, \quad x(s) = 1
\] (2.3)
is called a fundamental function of equation (2.2). We assume $X(t, s) = 0$ for $0 \leq t < s$.

**Lemma 2.3.** [3] The solution of problem (2.2) can be presented as $x(t) = \int_{t_0}^t X(t, s)f(s)ds$.

**Definition 2.4.** Equation (1.1) is (uniformly) exponentially stable if there are $M > 0, \gamma > 0$ such that the solution of problem (2.1) with $f \equiv 0$ has the estimate $|x(t)| \leq Me^{-\gamma(t-t_0)} \sup_{t \in (-\infty, t_0]} |\varphi(t)|$ for $t \geq t_0$, where $M$ and $\gamma$ do not depend on $t_0 \geq 0$ and $\varphi$.

All our main results are based on the Bohl-Perron theorem which is stated below.

**Lemma 2.5.** [3, Theorem 6.1] Assume that (a1)-(a4),(a6) hold, and the solution of the problem
\[
(x(t) - a(t)x(g(t)))' + b(t)x(h(t)) = f(t), \quad t \geq t_0, \quad x(t) = 0, \quad t \leq t_0
\] (2.4)
is bounded on $[t_0, +\infty)$ for any essentially bounded function $f$ on $[t_0, +\infty)$. Then equation (1.1) is exponentially stable.

**Remark 2.6.** In Lemma 2.5 we can consider boundedness of solutions not for all essentially bounded functions $f$ on $[t_0, +\infty)$ but only for essentially bounded functions $f$ on $[t_1, +\infty)$ that vanish on $[t_0, t_1)$ for any fixed $t_1 > t_0$, see [3]. We will further apply this fact in the paper without an additional reference.
Consider now a linear equation with a single delay and a non-negative coefficient
\[ x'(t) + b(t)x(h_0(t)) = 0, \quad b(t) \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq t - h_0(t) \leq \tau_0, \] (2.5)
and let \(X_0(t, s)\) be its fundamental function.

**Lemma 2.7.** Assume that \(X_0(t, s) > 0\), \(t \geq s \geq t_0\). Then \(\int_{t_0+\tau_0}^{t} X_0(t, s)b(s)ds \leq 1\).

**Lemma 2.8.** Assume that there is \(t_0 \geq 0\) such that \(\int_{h_0(t)}^{t} b(s)ds \leq \frac{1}{e}\) for any \(t \geq t_0\). Then \(X_0(t, s) > 0\) for \(t \geq s \geq t_0\). If in addition \(b(t) \geq b_0 > 0\) then equation (2.5) is exponentially stable.

For a fixed bounded interval \(I = [t_0, t_1]\), consider the space \(L_\infty[t_0, t_1]\) of all essentially bounded on \(I\) functions with the norm \(|y|_I = \text{ess sup}_{t \in I} |y(t)|\). Denote for an unbounded interval
\[ \|f\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} = \text{ess sup}_{t \geq t_0} |f(t)|, \]
by \(E\) the identity operator. Define the operator \(S\) on the space \(L_\infty[t_0, t_1]\) as
\[ (Sy)(t) = \begin{cases} a(t)y(g(t)), & g(t) \geq t_0, \\ 0, & g(t) < t_0. \end{cases} \]

**Lemma 2.9.** Let \(a, g\) satisfy (a1) and (a3), respectively. If \(\|a\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} \leq a_0 < 1\) then \(E - S\) is invertible in the space \(L_\infty[t_0, +\infty)\), and the operator norm satisfies
\[ \|(E - S)^{-1}\|_{L_\infty[t_0, +\infty) \to L_\infty[t_0, +\infty)} \leq \frac{1}{1 - \|a\|_{[t_0, +\infty)}}. \] (2.6)

**3. Stability Results**

Consider initial value problem (2.4) with \(|f|_{[t_0, +\infty)} < +\infty\). First, let us estimate its solution and the expression under the sign of the derivative.

**Lemma 3.1.** Suppose (a1)-(a4) hold. A solution of (2.4) and the derivative of \(y(t) = x(t) - a(t)x(g(t))\) satisfy on any interval \(I = [t_0, t_1]\), \(t_1 > t_0\),
\[ |x|_I \leq \frac{1}{1 - \|a\|_{[t_0, +\infty)}} |y|_I, \quad |y'|_I \leq \frac{\|b\|_{[t_0, +\infty)}}{1 - \|a\|_{[t_0, +\infty)}} |y|_I + \|f\|_{[t_0, +\infty)}. \] (3.1)

Proof. We have for \(t \in I\) by Lemma 2.9,
\[ x(t) = (E - S)^{-1}y(t), \quad |x|_I \leq \|(E - S)^{-1}\|_{L_\infty[t_0, t_1] \to L_\infty[t_0, t_1]} |y|_I \leq \frac{1}{1 - \|a\|_{[t_0, +\infty)}} |y|_I, \]
\[ |y'(t)| \leq |b(t)| |x(h(t))| + |f|_{[t_0, +\infty)} \]
\[ \leq \frac{|b|_{[t_0, +\infty)}}{1 - \|a\|_{[t_0, +\infty)}} |y|_I + \|f\|_{[t_0, +\infty)}. \]
Theorem 3.2. Assume that (a1)-(a4),(a6) hold and there exists \( t_0 \geq 0 \) such that for \( t \geq t_0 \)

\[
0 < b_0 \leq b(t), \quad \int_{h(t)}^{t} b(s) \, ds \leq \frac{1}{e} \tag{3.2}
\]

and

\[
\|a\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} < \frac{1}{2}. \tag{3.3}
\]

Then equation (1.1) is exponentially stable.

Proof. We will prove that a solution of (2.1) for any \( \|f\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} < +\infty \) (satisfying in addition \( f(t) = 0 \) for \( t \in [t_0, t_0 + \tau] \)) is bounded on \([t_0, +\infty)\). Let \( Y_1(t,s) \) be the fundamental function of the equation

\[
y'(t) + b(t)y(h(t)) = 0. \tag{3.4}
\]

By (3.2) and Lemma 2.8, \( Y_1(t,s) \) is a solution of (3.1), and \( Y_1(t,s) > 0 \) for any \( t \geq s \geq t_0 \). Also, \( b(t) \geq b_0 > 0 \) implies exponential stability of equation (3.4), and \( Y_1(t,s) \) has an exponential estimate.

Let \( y(t) = x(t) - a(t)x(g(t)) \), then \( b(t)x(h(t)) = b(t)y(h(t)) + b(t)a(h(t))x(g(h(t))) \), and (2.4) can be rewritten in the form

\[
y'(t) + b(t)y(h(t)) = -b(t)a(h(t))x(g(h(t))) + f(t), \quad y(t) = 0, \quad t \leq t_0.
\]

By Lemma 2.3,

\[
y(t) = -\int_{t_0}^{t} Y_1(t,s)b(s)a(h(s))x(g(h(s)))ds + f_1(t),
\]

where \( f_1(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} Y_1(t,s)f(s)ds \). Since \( Y_1(t,s) \) has an exponential estimate and \( f \) is bounded on \([t_0, +\infty)\), \( \|f_1\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} < +\infty \).

Denote \( \tau = \int_{t_0}^{t_0 + \tau} Y_1(t,s)b(s)a(h(s))x(g(h(s)))ds + f_1(t) \).

By (3.3) we have \( |y| \leq M \), where \( M \) does not depend on the interval \( I \). Then, also by the first estimate in (3.1), \( |x| \leq \tilde{M} \), where \( \tilde{M} \) does not depend on the interval \( I \). Hence \( |x(t)| \leq \tilde{M} \) for \( t \geq t_0 \). By Lemma 2.3, equation (1.1) is exponentially stable.

Let \( u^+ = \max\{a, 0\} \).

Theorem 3.3. Assume that (a1)-(a4),(a6) are satisfied, \( b(t) \geq b_0 > 0 \) and for some \( t_0 \geq 0 \) at least one of the following conditions holds:

\[
\left\| \frac{b - \beta}{\beta} \right\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} + \left\| \frac{b}{\beta} \right\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} \frac{\|a\|_{[t_0, +\infty)}}{1 - \|a\|_{[t_0, +\infty)}} < 1, \quad \text{where} \quad \beta(t) = \min\left\{ b(t), \frac{1}{\tau e} \right\}; \tag{3.5}
\]

\[
\left\| b \right\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} \left( t - h(t) - \frac{1}{\|b\|_{[t_0, +\infty]}e} \right) < 1 - 2\|a\|_{[t_0, +\infty)}. \tag{3.6}
\]

Then equation (1.1) is exponentially stable.
Proof. Assume that (3.5) holds. Consider problem (2.4) with \( \|f\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} < +\infty \) and \( f(t) = 0 \) for \( t \leq t_0 + \tau \). Denote \( \beta(t) := \min \left\{ b(t), \frac{1}{\tau e} \right\} \) as in (3.5) and \( b_1 := \min \left\{ b_0, \frac{1}{\tau e} \right\} > 0 \). Then \( 0 < b_1 \leq \beta(t) \leq b(t) \) and \( \int_{h(t)}^{t} \beta(s) ds \leq \frac{1}{e} \). Similarly to the proof of the previous theorem, (2.4) can be rewritten as

\[
y'(t) + \beta(t)y(h(t)) = -(b(t) - \beta(t))g(h(t)) - b(t)a(h(t))x(g(h(t))) + f(t), \quad y(t) = 0, \quad t \leq t_0.
\]

Let \( Y_2(t, s) \) be the fundamental function of the equation

\[
y'(t) + \beta(t)y(h(t)) = 0.
\]

By Lemma 2.8, \( Y_2(t, s) > 0 \) and equation (3.7) is exponentially stable.

Let \( I = [t_0, t_1] \). We have

\[
y(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} Y_2(t, s) \left[-(b(s) - \beta(s))y(h(s)) - b(s)a(h(s))x(g(h(s)))\right] ds + f_2(t),
\]

where \( f_2(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} Y_2(t,s)f(s)ds \) and \( \|f_2\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} < +\infty \). Then

\[
|y(t)| \leq \int_{t_0}^{t} Y_2(t, s) \beta(s) \left[ \left| \frac{b(s) - \beta(s)}{\beta(s)} \right| |y(h(s))| + \left| \frac{b(s)a(h(s))}{\beta(s)} \right| |x(g(h(s)))| \right] ds + \|f_2\|_{[t_0, +\infty)}.
\]

Hence, first by Lemma 2.7 and then by (3.1),

\[
|y|_I \leq \left( \left| \frac{b - \beta}{\beta} \right|_{[t_0, +\infty)} \right) |y|_I + \left( \|a\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} \left| \frac{b}{\beta} \right|_{[t_0, +\infty)} \right) |x|_I + M_1
\]

\[
\leq \left( \left| \frac{b - \beta}{\beta} \right|_{[t_0, +\infty)} + \frac{\|a\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} \left| \frac{b}{\beta} \right|_{[t_0, +\infty)}}{1 - \|a\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} \left| \frac{b}{\beta} \right|_{[t_0, +\infty)}} \right) |y|_I + M_2
\]

for some finite \( M_1 > 0, M_2 > 0 \). Condition (3.5) implies \( |y|_I < M \), where \( M \) does not depend on the interval \( I \). Hence \( |y|_{[t_0, +\infty)} < +\infty \), therefore by (3.1), \( |x|_{[t_0, +\infty)} < +\infty \). Thus by Lemma 2.5, equation (1.1) is exponentially stable.

Next, assume that (3.6) holds. Denote

\[
h_0(t) = \max \left\{ h(t), t - \frac{1}{\|b\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} e} \right\}.
\]

Then

\[
\int_{h_0(t)}^{t} b(s) ds \leq \frac{1}{e}, \quad h_0(t) \geq h(t), \quad |h(t) - h_0(t)| = \left( t - h(t) - \frac{1}{\|b\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} e} \right)^+.
\]

Problem (2.4) can be rewritten as

\[
y'(t) + b(t)y(h_0(t)) = b(t) \int_{h(t)}^{h_0(t)} y'(s) ds - b(t)a(h(t))x(g(h(t))) + f(t), \quad y(t) = 0, \quad t \leq t_0.
\]
Let $Y_3(t,s)$ be the fundamental function of the equation

$$y'(t) + b(t)y(h_0(t)) = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.8)

where by Lemma 2.8 $Y_3(t,s) > 0$ and equation (3.8) is exponentially stable.

For $I = [t_0, t_1]$, we have

$$y(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} Y_3(t,s)b(s) \left( \int_{h(s)}^{h_0(s)} y'(\xi)d\xi - a(h(s))x(g(h(s))) \right) ds + f_3(t),$$

where $f_3(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} Y_3(t,s)f(s)ds$ and $\|f_3\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} < +\infty$. Lemma 2.7 and (3.1) imply

$$\|y\| \leq \|h_0 - h\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} \|y'\|_I + \|a\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} \|x\|_I + \|f_3\|_{[t_0, +\infty)}$$

$$\leq \left( \frac{1}{\|b\|_{[t_0, +\infty)}} e \right)^{+} \left\| \frac{\|b\|_{[t_0, +\infty)}}{1 - \|a\|_{[t_0, +\infty)}} \right\| \|y\|_I + M_3$$

for some $M_3 > 0$. Inequality (3.6) yields that $\|y\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} \leq M$, where $M$ does not depend on the interval $I$, thus $\|x\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} < +\infty$, and therefore equation (1.1) is exponentially stable. \hfill \Box

**Corollary 3.4.** Assume that (a1)-(a4),(a6) are satisfied, and at least one of the following conditions holds for $t \geq t_0$:

a) $b(t) \geq \frac{1}{\tau e}$ and $\tau \|b\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} < \frac{2}{e} \left( 1 - \|a\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} \right);$ 

b) $b(t) \geq b_0 > 0$, $t - h(t) \geq \frac{1}{\|b\|_{[t_0, +\infty)}}, \tau \|b\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} < 1 + \frac{1}{e} - 2\|a\|_{[t_0, +\infty)}$.

Then equation (1.1) is exponentially stable.

**Proof.** Conditions in a) of the corollary yield that, in the proof of Theorem 3.3

$$\beta(t) = \frac{1}{\tau e}, \hspace{1cm} \|b - \beta\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} = \|b\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} - \frac{1}{\tau e}.$$ 

Hence, after some simple calculations, condition a) of the corollary implies (3.5) of Theorem 3.3.

Next, assume that $t - h(t) \geq \frac{1}{\|b\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} e}$. Then

$$\left\| \left( t - h(t) - \frac{1}{\|b\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} e} \right)^{+} \right\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} \leq \|t - h(t)\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} - \frac{1}{\|b\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} e} \leq \tau - \frac{1}{\|b\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} e}.$$ 

The inequality $\|b\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} \left( \tau - \frac{1}{\|b\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} e} \right) < 1 - 2\|a\|_{[t_0, +\infty)}$ in (3.6) is equivalent to the last inequality in b). \hfill \Box
Considering $b(t) \equiv b$ with the cases $t - h(t) \geq \frac{1}{eb}$ and $b \geq \frac{1}{te}$ only, we get the following result.

**Corollary 3.5.** Assume that (a1)-(a4),(a6) are satisfied, $b(t) \equiv b > 0$, and for some $t_0 \geq 0$, for $t \geq t_0$, either $\frac{1}{e} \leq b\tau < \frac{2}{e^2} \left(1 - \|a\|_{[t_0, +\infty)}\right)$ or $\frac{1}{e} \leq b(t - h(t)) \leq b\tau < 1 + \frac{1}{e} - 2\|a\|_{[t_0, +\infty)}$.

Then equation (1.1) is exponentially stable.

In the following theorem, the delays in equation (1.1) are not assumed to be bounded. Instead of exponential stability, we deduce integral asymptotic stability conditions.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let (a1)-(a4) hold, $b(t) \geq 0$, $\int_0^{+\infty} b(s)ds = +\infty$, $b(t) \neq 0$ almost everywhere,

\[
\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \int_{g(t)}^t b(\xi)d\xi < +\infty, \quad \limsup_{t \to +\infty} \int_{h(t)}^t b(\xi)d\xi < +\infty
\]  

(3.9)

and at least one of the following conditions holds for $t \geq t_0$:

a) $\int_{h(t)}^t b(\xi)d\xi \leq \frac{1}{e}, \quad \|a\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} < \frac{1}{2};$

b) $\frac{1}{e} < \int_{h(t)}^t b(\xi)d\xi < 1 + \frac{1}{e} - 2\|a\|_{[t_0, +\infty)}$.

Then equation (1.1) is asymptotically stable.

**Proof.** Let $s = p(t) := \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau)d\tau$, $z(s) = x(t)$, where $p(t)$ is a strictly increasing function. Then we introduce $\tilde{a}(s), \tilde{h}(s)$ and $\tilde{g}(s)$ as follows:

\[
\tilde{a}(s) = a(t), \quad x(h(t)) = z(\tilde{h}(s)), \quad \tilde{h}(s) \leq s, \quad \tilde{h}(s) = \int_{t_0}^{h(t)} b(\tau)d\tau, \quad s - \tilde{h}(s) = \int_{h(t)}^t b(\tau)d\tau,
\]

\[
\tilde{g}(s) = \int_{t_0}^{g(t)} b(\tau)d\tau, \quad s - \tilde{g}(s) = \int_{g(t)}^t b(\tau)d\tau, \quad \tilde{g}(s) \leq s.
\]

Then

\[
\frac{d}{dt}\left(x(t) - a(t)x(g(t))\right) = \frac{d}{ds}\left(z(s) - \tilde{a}(s)z(\tilde{g}(s))\right) \frac{ds}{dt} = b(t) \frac{d}{ds}\left(z(s) - \tilde{a}(s)z(\tilde{g}(s))\right).
\]

Equation (1.1) can be rewritten in the form

\[
(z(s) - \tilde{a}(s)z(\tilde{g}(s)))' = -z(\tilde{h}(s)).
\]  

(3.10)

By inequalities (3.9), equation (3.10) involves bounded delays. If $x(t)$ is a solution of (1.1) then $z(s) = x(t)$ is a solution of (3.10).

Theorem 3.2 and condition a) of the theorem, as well as Part b) of Corollary 3.5 and condition b) of the theorem imply that equation (3.10) is exponentially stable. Hence (1.1) is stable and

\[
\lim_{s \to +\infty} z(s) = \lim_{t \to +\infty} x(t) = 0, \quad \text{i.e. (1.1) is asymptotically stable.}
\]
As an application of Theorem 3.6 consider the pantograph version of equation (1.1)

\[ (x(t) - a(t)x(\mu t))' = -b(t)x(\lambda t), \quad \mu, \lambda \in (0, 1). \]

(3.11)

**Corollary 3.7.** Assume that (a1)-(a2) hold, \( b(t) \geq 0 \), \( \int_0^{+\infty} b(s)ds = +\infty \), \( b(t) \neq 0 \) almost everywhere, and at least one of the following conditions holds for \( t \geq t_0 \):

a) \( \int_{\lambda t}^t b(\xi)d\xi \leq \frac{1}{e}, \|a\|_{[t_0, \infty)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \);

b) \( \frac{1}{e} < \int_{\lambda t}^t b(\xi)d\xi < 1 + \frac{1}{e} - 2\|a\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} \).

Then equation (1.1) is asymptotically stable.

If in addition there exist \( \nu_1, \nu_2 \), \( \nu_2 > \nu_1 > 0 \) such that for \( t \geq t_0 > 0 \),

\[ \ln(\nu t) \leq \int_{t_0}^t b(\xi)d\xi \leq \ln(\nu_2 t) \]

(3.12)

then there are \( t_1 \geq t_0 \), \( M_1 > 0 \) and \( \gamma > 0 \) such that

\[ |x(t)| \leq M_1 t^{-\gamma}, \quad t \geq t_1. \]

(3.13)

**Proof.** The only assumption that we have to check is that under either a) or b), (3.9) holds. Both a) and b) imply \( \int_{\lambda t}^t b(\xi)d\xi < 1 + \frac{1}{e} < +\infty \) for \( t \geq t_0 \). The only inequality that we have to justify is the first inequality in (3.9). If \( \mu \geq \lambda \) then it is obvious. Let \( \mu < \lambda \); as \( \mu, \lambda \in (0, 1) \), there is an integer \( k \) such that \( \lambda^k < \mu \). Instead of \( t_0 \), consider \( t_0^* = t_0\lambda^{-k} \). Then for \( t \geq t_0^* \),

\[ \int_{\lambda t}^t b(\xi)d\xi \leq \int_{\lambda^k t}^t b(\xi)d\xi = \int_{\lambda^k t}^{\lambda^{k-1} t} b(\xi)d\xi + \int_{\lambda^{k-1} t}^{\lambda^{k-2} t} b(\xi)d\xi + \cdots + \int_{\lambda t}^t b(\xi)d\xi \leq k \left( 1 + \frac{1}{e} \right), \]

which immediately implies the first inequality in (3.9).

Let in addition (3.12) hold. Then there exists \( t_1 \geq t_0 \) such that

\[ \ln(\nu t) \leq t, \quad t \geq t_1. \]

(3.14)

The assumptions of the corollary imply that \( z(s) = x(t) \), with \( s = p(t) := \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau)d\tau \), is uniformly exponentially stable, see the proof of Theorem 3.6 Note that \( p(t_0) = 0 \). Thus there are \( M > 0 \), \( \gamma > 0 \) such that

\[ |x(t)| \leq Me^{-\gamma p(t)}. \]

(3.15)

Since \( p(t) \) is monotone increasing and the expression in the right-hand side is decreasing in \( t \), inequality (3.15) holds for \( x(r), r \geq p(t) \) instead of \( x(p(t)) \) in the left-hand side. By (3.12) and (3.14),

\[ p(t) \leq \ln(\nu_2 t) \leq t, \quad t \geq t_1. \]

Thus

\[ |x(t)| \leq Me^{-\gamma p(t)} \leq Me^{-\gamma \ln(\nu_1 t)} = M(\nu_1 t)^{-\gamma} = M_1 t^{-\gamma}, \]

where \( M_1 = M\nu_1^{-\gamma} \), which concludes the proof.

**Remark 3.8.** Note that (3.12) implies boundedness of \( \int_{\lambda t}^t b(\tau)d\tau \).
4. Examples and Discussion

First, we illustrate the results of the present paper with three examples: one for an equation with constant delays and variable coefficients, one for a pantograph equation and one for an equation, where one of the delays is growing faster than for any pantograph equation.

Example 4.1. Consider the equation

\[(x(t) - a(t)x(t - \sigma))' = -\alpha(1 + 0.1 \cos t)x(t - \pi), \quad (4.1)\]

where \(|a(t)| \leq a_0 < \frac{1}{2}\). Let \(a_0 = 0.49\). Then in Part b) of Proposition \[1.4 \quad [23],\]

\[
\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \int_{t-\pi}^{t} b(s)ds = \alpha(\pi + 0.2) < 0.2,
\]

or \(\alpha < 0.05985\), while Theorem \[3.2 \implies\] exponential stability whenever

\[
\int_{t-\pi}^{t} b(s) \, ds \leq \alpha(\pi + 0.2) \leq \frac{1}{e},
\]

or \(\alpha \leq 0.11\). For \(\alpha \in (0.05985, 0.11)\), Theorem \[3.2 \implies\] exponential stability, while Proposition \[1.4 \text{ fails.}\]

Next, let \(a_0 = 0.46\), then the condition in Proposition \[1.4 \implies\] becomes \(\alpha(\pi + 0.2) < 0.4\), or \(\alpha < \alpha_0 \approx 0.1197\). Part b) of Corollary \[3.4 \implies\] exponential stability for \(\alpha > (1.1\pi e)^{-1} \approx 0.1065\), \(\alpha < (1 + \frac{1}{e} - 2a_0)/(1.1\pi) \approx 0.1296\). Thus, for \(\alpha \in (0.1197, 0.1296)\), Corollary \[3.4 \implies\] works and Proposition \[1.4 \text{ fails.}\]

In addition, let us note that Theorem \[3.2 \implies\] and Corollary \[3.4 \implies\] can be applied to the equation

\[(x(t) - a(t)x(g(t)))' = -\alpha(1 + 0.1 \cos t)x(h(t)), \quad t - \pi \leq h(t) \leq t, \quad t - \sigma \leq g(t) \leq t, \quad (4.2)\]

leading to the same estimates as above, while Proposition \[1.4 \text{ deals with constant delays only.}\]

Most known stability results were obtained for pantograph equations involving a non-delay term. For example, the equation

\[(x(t) - a(t)x(\mu t))' = -c(t)x(t) + b(t)x(\mu t), \quad \mu \in (0, 1), \quad (4.3)\]

where \(0 \leq \frac{c(\mu t)}{c(t)} a(t) \leq a_0 < 1, c(t) \geq c_0 > 0\), is asymptotically stable if \(\frac{b(t)}{c(t)} \leq \alpha < 1\) for some \(\alpha > 0\), as follows from \([4, \text{P.286-287}]\), where the vector case was considered. It means that the non-delay term dominates over the delay term. This result partially generalizes Proposition \[1.5 \text{ for neutral equation (4.3).}\]

In this paper we considered equation \[3.11 \text{ without a non-delay term (in (4.3) \(c(t) \equiv 0\).}\) Hence the results of the present paper and known stability tests for pantograph equations are independent.

Example 4.2. The pantograph-type neutral equation

\[
\left(x(t) - \frac{1}{3}x(0.25t)\right)' = -\frac{1}{t}x(0.5t), \quad t \geq 1 \quad (4.4)
\]

is asymptotically stable, since all the assumptions of Part b) of Corollary \[3.4 \text{ hold.}\) In fact,

\[
\int_{0.5t}^{t} \frac{ds}{s} = \ln 2 \approx 0.693 > \frac{1}{e}, \quad \ln 2 < 1 + \frac{1}{e} - \frac{2}{3} \approx 0.701.
\]
Example 4.3. For the equation with unbounded delays

\[ (x(t) - (0.1 + 0.1 \sin t)x(t - \sqrt{t}))' = -\frac{\alpha}{t \ln t} x\left(\sqrt{t}\right), \quad t \geq 4, \quad \alpha > 0, \]  

we have \( \int_{\sqrt{t}}^{t} \frac{\alpha}{s \ln s} ds = \alpha [\ln(\ln(t)) - \ln(\ln(\sqrt{t}))] = \alpha \ln 2 \approx 0.693\alpha. \) Since \( t > t - \sqrt{t} \geq \sqrt{t} \) for \( t \geq 4 \), also

\[ \int_{t - \sqrt{t}}^{t} \frac{\alpha}{s \ln s} ds \leq \int_{\sqrt{t}}^{t} \frac{\alpha}{s \ln s} ds = \alpha \ln 2 < +\infty, \]  

so (4.5) is satisfied. As \( \|0.1 + 0.1 \sin t\|_{[4, +\infty)} = 0.2 \), a) in Theorem 3.6 holds for \( \alpha \ln 2 \leq \frac{1}{e} \), while b) is fulfilled for \( \frac{1}{e} \leq \alpha \ln 2 < 0.6 + \frac{1}{e}. \) Overall, (4.5) is asymptotically stable for \( \alpha < \frac{0.6e + 1}{e \ln 2} \approx 1.396. \) To the best of our knowledge, all known stability tests fail for this equation.

Let us discuss now both known results and new stability tests presented in the paper. Proposition 1.1 assumes existence of a non-delay term and thus cannot be applied to equation (1.1). Proposition 1.2 contains easily verifiable conditions but implies several unnecessary restrictions, such as non-negativity and differentiability of \( a \).

Propositions 1.3 and 1.4 in the non-neutral case \( a(t) \equiv 0 \) give the best possible asymptotic stability condition \( \limsup_{t \to +\infty} \int_{t-a}^{t} b(s)ds < \frac{3}{2} \) but only for constant delays. We consider variable delays \( t - h(t), \ t - g(t) \) which, moreover, can be unbounded.

In all stability results of Propositions 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, it was assumed that all the parameters of considered neutral equations are continuous functions, and the proofs were based on this assumption. Thus all these results are not applicable to equations with measurable parameters.

Note that Theorem 3.3 for the case \( a \equiv 0 \) implies the best possible known stability condition \( \tau \|b\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} < 1 + \frac{1}{e} \) for delay differential equations with one delay and measurable parameters.

Finally, let us suggest several directions in which future research is possible.

1. An interesting question is whether in Theorem 3.2 the condition \( \|a\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} < \frac{1}{2} \) (as in Proposition 1.2) can be relaxed to a less restrictive inequality \( \|a\|_{[t_0, +\infty)} < \lambda \), where \( \lambda \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right) \). For a neutral equation in a different form than (1.1), such a result was obtained in [6], under the assumption that \( a(t) \geq 0 \).

2. Extend the stability result obtained in the paper to equations with several delays, integro-differential equations and equations with distributed delays.

3. There are many papers on asymptotic formulas for solutions of neutral equations, including pantograph equations, see, for example, [4]. However, most results are concerned with autonomous equations or equations with constant delays. It would be interesting to obtain similar estimates for non-autonomous equations using the Bohl-Perron theorem or another approach.

4. Extend the results on the algebraic decay rate for pantograph equations to some other types of equations with unbounded delays, for example, to \( h(t) = \alpha \sqrt{t} \) or \( h(t) = t - \alpha \sqrt{t}, \ t \geq 1, \) \( \alpha \in (0, 1] \) and give an explicit estimate of this rate.
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