Technical challenges in the construction of the steady-state stellarator Wendelstein 7-X
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1. Introduction

The Wendelstein 7-X (W7-X) stellarator, under construction in Greifswald, Germany, will be the first ‘fully optimized’ stellarator [1], which combines a quasi-isodynamic magnetic field at a finite plasma $\beta$, with good confinement of the thermal plasma, improved confinement of the fast ions and an equilibrium configuration which, by minimizing the Pfirsch–Schluter and bootstrap currents, shows little dependence on plasma $\beta$. The latter is required to achieve an equilibrium-independent resonant island divertor configuration. On the basis of a low magnetic shear rotational transform profile and an $\eta = \tau_e/2\tau_T = 1$ resonance at the plasma edge, large magnetic islands, intersected by target plates, form the divertor. Other edge configurations ($\eta = 5/4$ or $5/6$) are possible within the experimental flexibility of W7-X.

The mission of the project is to demonstrate the reactor potential of the optimized stellarator line [2, 3]. For the development of a credible stellarator reactor concept, steady-state operation has to be demonstrated for fully integrated discharge scenarios at a high heating power yielding densities and temperatures relevant for a fusion reactor and with a divertor providing suitable power and particle exhaust. Steady-state operation is of utmost importance in fusion research, for both tokamak and stellarator devices. The development of plasma regimes which combine stability at high $\beta$, high $n T E$ and full control over plasma and impurity densities by means of island divertor operation—all under steady-state conditions—is the chief scientific goal of Wendelstein 7-X.

A prerequisite for operating steady-state plasmas consistent with these physics requirements, however, is the realization of a device involving all the engineering aspects of a steady-state fusion device imposing special technical challenges [4]. After a short description of the basic device (section 2) some of the technical challenges encountered in the design, manufacturing and assembly of Wendelstein 7-X will be discussed (sections 3 and 4).

To demonstrate that reactor-relevant plasma parameters can be achieved in steady state, the W7-X experiment is designed for plasma pulses with 30 min duration at a heating power of 10 MW. For this purpose, the main heating system is an electron cyclotron resonance heating (ECRH) facility consisting of ten 140 GHz gyrotrons with up to 1 MW microwave power each. In addition, 10 s pulses of neutral beam injection (NBI, stepwise upgrading from 3.5 to 20 MW) and ion cyclotron resonance heating (ICRH, 2–4 MW) are foreseen to access beta and equilibrium limits and to study fast-ion confinement as well as fast-ion-driven instabilities (section 4).

Characteristic time scales range from energy confinement time and fast-ion slowing-down time, which are of the order of 100 ms, to the L/R time for reaching an equilibrated magnetic field configuration, which is of the order of 30 s. The thermal equilibration time of actively cooled plasma-facing components and the time constants for plasma–wall interaction processes cover time ranges from seconds to hours or more. The large variety of time scales strongly affects the design of plasma diagnostics, data acquisition and device control [5], which is discussed in sections 5 and 6.

After the completion of the assembly, the start of operation of W7-X is foreseen in 2015. An initial phase of short-pulse operation (first operational phase) will be followed by the completion of the actively cooled in-vessel components including the replacement of the inertially cooled test divertor by actively cooled high heat-flux targets. Afterwards (second and further operational phases) plasma operation can be extended to 30 min at 10 MW of heating power.

2. The Wendelstein 7-X device

The major radius of the W7-X plasma is 5.5 m, and the effective (i.e. averaged) minor radius is 0.55 m. A schematic view of the basic device with its main components [4] is shown in figure 1. The pentagon shape of the torus is clearly visible. The device
Figure 1. Cutaway of a CAD drawing of W7-X showing the plasma (front), the modular (dark blue) and the planar (light blue) superconducting magnetic field coils attached to the central support ring (green) and the superconducting bus-bars (beige) and the cryo-piping (brown) together with part of the outer cryostat vessel (grey, upper left) and the ports (violet, right-hand side).

consists of five nominally identical modules. Each of these is made out of two flip-symmetric parts, so that in fact the device is composed of ten almost identical half-modules.

The magnet system of W7-X is made from 50 non-planar coils for the basic magnetic field and 20 planar coils to allow for a variation of the magnetic field configuration (rotational transform and radial position of the plasma [6]), a bus-bar system to connect these coils electrically with each other and with the power supplies [7], a central support structure (CSS) [8] and a set of support elements fixing the coils to the central ring and supporting them against each other [9]. According to the symmetry described before, each half-module is equipped with five non-planar coils of a different type and two slightly different planar coils.

The total mass of W7-X is 725 tons. The cold mass of the magnet system including the central support ring amounts to 432 tons. The system is placed in a cryo-vacuum space created by the outer cryostat vessel [10], the plasma vessel [11] and 254 ports [12]. The ports allow access to the plasma vessel for heating, diagnostics, cooling of in-vessel components and pumping of the plasma vessel. On the cryo-vacuum side, a thermal shield is installed to minimize thermal radiation to the cold components. To provide the 70 superconducting coils (7 independent circuits) with a current of up to 18 kA, a set of 14 current leads, based on high-temperature superconductors, will be used to connect the power supplies to the bus-bar inside the cryo-vacuum [13].

Most of the major components of Wendelstein 7-X have been manufactured, tested, delivered and assembled. Considerable progress of the device assembly over the last two years can be seen in figure 2, showing the status of September 2012. All five magnet modules have been equipped with bus-bars and cryo-piping, have been installed in the respective cryostat module and equipped with about 50 ports each and positioned finally on the machine base. As of now, four out of the five module separations have been closed, and the assembly of the in-vessel components [14, 15] and of peripheral components has started.

3. Technical challenges

While manufacturing a superconducting coil system with 70 coils of 7 different types already posed a challenge in itself [6], the largest challenge in the design, fabrication and assembly of Wendelstein 7-X was the required accuracy of the three-dimensional magnetic field created by this coil system at the very end of this process.

Most of the magnetic configurations foreseen for the operation of W7-X will have a rotational transform $\iota/2\pi = 1$ at the boundary. At the plasma edge, i.e. outside the closed flux surfaces, the magnetic configuration of W7-X forms an intrinsic $n/m = 5/5$ island structure, which is used as an island divertor to control the power and particle exhaust from the confined plasma [14, 15]. Such magnetic configurations are very sensitive to symmetry-breaking perturbations resonant with $\iota/2\pi = 1$ at the boundary and violating the toroidal periodicity of the magnetic field, e.g. $n/m = 1/1$ or $n/m = 2/2$. Due to the tolerances in the coil manufacturing process and magnet system assembly it is not possible to avoid all sources of these perturbations. Systematic deviations from the designed coil shapes and positions add only negligible field components and do not perturb the five-fold symmetry of the machine, whilst the statistical deviations lead to a disturbance of the machine periodicity. The most critical consequences of such non-symmetrical deviations are modifications to the island topology. This leads to a small decrease in the volume of the confined plasma, and to a potentially significant redistribution of the power flux to the divertor modules, i.e. to an uneven power load distribution, and hence a potential overload of some of the divertor modules. These constraints create a challenge for the precision of the coil system construction itself, and also for its support structure.

3.1. Accuracy of the superconducting coil system

Magnetic field errors can be represented by a poloidal–toroidal Fourier decomposition of the radial component of the magnetic
field perturbation on a flux surface at the plasma edge, $B_{m,n}$. In order to achieve an accurate magnetic field configuration and to guarantee the proper functioning of the island divertor, the resonant ($m = n$) components of $B_{m,n}$, especially for the low mode numbers, have to be below $10^{-4} \cdot B_{00}$ [16].

Symmetry-breaking perturbations can arise either from non-symmetric deviations in the coil shape during the coil manufacturing or from position displacements and coil deformations during the assembly process. In order to be able to achieve the high precision of coil manufacturing, a soft aluminum conduit has been chosen for the superconductor, which allows for easier bending to the required accuracy [17]. Later, the finished winding pack was heat treated to harden the aluminum and to achieve the required strength of the conductor [6]. The coil winding process and the assembly of the coil have been monitored with an extensive metrology effort. For the 50 fabricated non-planar winding packs, the absolute average deviations of the central filament position from the CAD shape are $<3$ mm, and from the average shape for each coil type, the maximum deviation is of the order of 2 mm [16]. The corresponding resonant magnetic field perturbation was kept at the level of

$$\sqrt{B_{11}^2 + B_{22}^2 + B_{33}^2 + B_{44}^2}/3T \approx 0.8 \times 10^{-4},$$

where $B_{00} = 3$ T is the maximum magnetic field of W7-X.

During the assembly process, the position of each coil was monitored closely and precautions were taken to keep the position also during the welding of inter-coil supports (see below). According to specified assembly tolerances for coil and module positioning, all reference points measured had to be within a sphere with a radius of 1.5 mm with respect to their nominal value. Successive measurements of the reference points accompanied the main steps of the magnet system assembly and served as the basis for a continuous evaluation of the real magnetic configuration of W7-X.

In order to compensate for the impact of the errors accumulated during coil system construction, it was decided to optimize the position of each of the five machine modules individually, based on the up-to-date set of geometric survey data of coil and module alignments. The principle of field error optimization is that small perturbations have an almost linear behaviour, and the compensation is possible by a superposition of Fourier components with the same amplitude but with the opposite sign. One can compensate for a limited number of low-order error-field Fourier components by appropriately shifting and rotating the five magnet modules forming the W7-X magnet system.

This optimization must be performed within the space that is physically available—i.e. the module positioning must be consistent with the boundary conditions set by the surrounding structures. Therefore, the overall target function $T$ consisted of a magnetic ‘quality function’ $Q$ (where a low value of $Q$ represents a high ‘quality’ of the magnetic field) and a function $G$, which is responsible for the engineering restrictions: $T = Q + G$. The geometric part $G$ was chosen in a form to keep the function $T$ continuously differentiable on the one hand, and to grow strongly when the new target coordinates $\mathbf{r}_{\text{target,new}}$ approach a deviation of 5 mm from the old target coordinates $\mathbf{r}_{\text{target,old}}$ on the other hand. This reflects the fact that $\mathbf{r}_{\text{target,new}}$ may not deviate by more than 5 mm from $\mathbf{r}_{\text{target,old}}$ in order to satisfy the geometric boundary conditions [18].

Since the width of magnetic islands generated by the error-field components scales as $\sim 1/m$, the weight of the amplitudes of the individual components should be chosen accordingly in a quality function to be minimized. The (5,5) component does not break the toroidal periodicity and was therefore not considered. The high-order components were not considered since they have less importance. In addition, the relative amplitude of high-$m$ components decreases faster with increasing distance from the coils than that of
lower $m$ components. It was also decided to monitor a number of additional error-field Fourier components during the optimization process and to minimize their increase. Finally, the quality function for the magnetic field was chosen as $Q = Q_0 + Q_1$, $Q_0 = \sum_{k=1}^4 B_{kk}^2 / k$, $Q_1 = \frac{1}{2} B_{22}^2 + \frac{1}{2} B_{33}^2 + \frac{1}{2} B_{44}^2$. The weight factor $q_1$ must be chosen such that the primary goal of the minimization of the edge-resonant part $Q_0$ is granted while still achieving a certain reduction of $Q_1$.

The input for these calculations were the real coil shapes after completion of their manufacture and the geometrical surveys of the coil positions after fixing the coils within their module and after placing of the module on the machine base. During the optimization procedure the module positions were varied by shifts and rotations, while the coil shapes and positions within the modules stayed unchanged, until a minimum of the target function $T$ was found. The boundary conditions for any repositioning of the modules were that (i) the new target coordinates may not deviate by more than 5 mm from their values as measured at the moment when coils were aligned within a module and (ii) the true relative lateral shift of neighbouring modules may not exceed 10 mm at the CSS, including measurement inaccuracies [18]. In addition, the shifts due to the change of target coordinates of all reference marks on the magnet system and the relative shifts of several positions on neighbouring modules were checked to ensure the geometric boundary conditions. As an output, the optimized individual coordinates for the positioning of each of the five modules on the machine base were generated, which served as new target coordinates for all modules still to be positioned, leaving those untouched which were already located on the machine base. This calculation was performed before the positioning of each module on the machine base followed by the evaluation of the updated magnetic field perturbations after the completion of the module adjustment based on the latest available survey data.

The optimization results are illustrated in figure 3, representing the general evolution of the magnetic field perturbation during the assembly progress. The five modules were placed and positioned on the machine base sequentially. The assembly sequence for the module positioning was M05–M01–M04–M02–M03, where M0n reflects the internal numbering of the five machine modules. The magnetic field quality function $Q$ was designed to minimize the field error for the standard magnetic configuration of W7-X, characterized by equal currents in all non-planar coils and zero current in the planar coils.

This effort helped to avoid an error-field accumulation during the assembly and served to even reduce the magnetic field perturbations by at least a factor $\sim 3$ in comparison with the initial level, which would have resulted from a module positioning according to the as-designed coordinates. The positioning of the magnet system was performed extremely accurately under the specified tolerances of 1.5 mm and worsened the theoretically attainable value insignificantly. For example, the relative magnetic field error after the positioning of the last module on the machine base was $0.34 \times 10^{-4}$ $(\sqrt{B_{11}^2 + B_{22}^2 + B_{33}^2 + B_{44}^2}/3)$, as compared with $0.30 \times 10^{-4}$ for perfect positioning to the optimized target coordinates of the last module.

The value of the magnetic field perturbation was also checked for all other Wendelstein 7-X reference operating cases [19, 20] on the basis of the optimized coordinates, evaluated for the positioning of the last magnet system module. The error fields were also optimized for other reference configurations and are of the same order of magnitude as for the standard case.

3.2. Structural stability of the superconducting coil system

Wendelstein 7-X is designed to operate with a magnetic field of 2.5 T on the plasma axis corresponding to the central absorption second harmonic ECRH at 140 GHz. The maximum magnetic field on the plasma axis is 3 T. At these high magnetic field values the coil system of Wendelstein 7-X, consisting of five types of non-planar coils, will experience large forces: there are not only large centripetal forces (about 4 MN per type-2 coil and more than 12 MN per module) but also huge vertical forces (about 2.5 MN per type-4 coil and more than 7 MN per half-module). Also between the coils the forces are in the several-MN range (about 3 MN per type-3 coil and more than 6 MN per half-module). Therefore, at full current, the assurance of the mechanical integrity of the CSS, coil casings and the inter-coil support system posed a big challenge. This was investigated in detail by finite-element (FE) calculations [21, 22] and a solution was found, consisting of a complex system of different support elements.

All the superconducting coils are attached to a robust (10 m diameter and 2.5 m height) central coil supporting structure. This is divided into five similar modules that are bolted together to form a pentagon-shaped ring, using large radial–vertical flanges in cast steel. Each of these five modules is in turn divided into two (flip-symmetric) half-modules, which are connected by bolts along a step-flange connection. Cooling pipes made of steel are thermally coupled to the CSS using copper stripes in order to keep the structure at cryogenic temperature (3.9 K). For their support all superconducting coils are provided with two extension blocks, which are welded to their casing. These blocks are bolted to the CSS using central support elements (CSEs) consisting of massive supporting blocks welded to the CSS and a special system of high-strength bolts. Huge forces (up to 4.4 MN) and bending moments (up to 450 kN m) have to be taken up by the CSEs. To keep...
the coils firmly but elastically in place, high-strength, long and slender Inconel bolts and cylindrical extension sleeves are used. These even allow opening of the flanges up to 70\% for reduction of stresses [23]. As the coils have to be kept in their precise position also during cool down and operation, these central support fixtures have to be very rigid. The CSS is supported against the machine base with 10 special gravity supports (cryo-legs) including, as a thermal barrier, a part made of a glass-fibre reinforced plastic (GRP) tube, shrink-fitted into stainless steel rings with a thermal anchor in the middle [24, 25].

Large electromagnetic forces between the non-planar coils were supported against each other with an inter-coil support system that can take up the forces and moments and keep their positions to a high accuracy. On the inner side of the torus, where the distance between coils was rather small (a few cm) and accessibility was limited, so-called narrow support elements were used. These are gliding elements that can take up contact forces up to 1.5 MN, sliding distances of up to 5 mm, and tilting up to 1° during magnet energization [26]. On the outboard side of the torus, so-called lateral support elements were installed providing a rigid connection. These steel elements were welded between the neighbouring non-planar coils. The crucial issue here was the proper control of welding shrinkage, cracks and distortion which was essential to comply with the magnet system assembly tolerances. An extensive test program was carried out to optimize the layout and welding procedures for these elements. In addition, all observed cracks were either repaired or assessed and accepted with respect to the number of operation cycles with a specified safety margin [27]. Between magnet modules, these elements were bolted to stainless steel 'bridges', which were difficult to design and manufacture. These complex bridges were machined and installed with an accuracy better than 0.1 mm at every tilted side and 0.3 mm at the bottom. The machining was made according to surface scans of the corresponding coil areas and a photogrammetry of the gap between neighbouring coils. An aluminum dummy was produced first, then trial installation followed, and the fit was measured. With this geometry correction the stainless steel 'bridge' was finally fabricated and the coil transition part underwent a FE structural analysis [28].

A special analysis strategy was developed and implemented to study the highly non-linear behaviour of the magnet system including fault scenarios [29, 30]. The approach included intensive numerical studies with multiple parametric runs, taking into account the assembly tolerances achieved. Benchmarking between several independent FE global models was the key factor to eliminate all possible inaccuracies [31] and to define design values for each magnet system element. As a result, a tree of parametric FE models was created and reused to support the assembly process of the machine and to assess proposed minor changes in the design and all reported non-conformities.

All critical support elements were tested, using adequate mock-ups, and benchmarked with local FE models [26, 32–34]. A special conservative analysis procedure, taking into account the structural material degradation at 4 K in the form of serration effects, was developed and implemented to accept local plastification in the support elements [35–37].

The possible influence of stick-slip events in the sliding supports and corresponding flanges on the coil conductor performance was investigated in a specially designed test. A dynamic load was used to impact on one of the non-planar coils, at 4 K operating at its nominal current in its own magnetic field [38]. Thus, the mechanical effect of a stick-slip event was simulated. The required margin against mechanical disturbances of the superconducting wires inside the cable-in-conduit conductor was fully confirmed [39].

In order to monitor the structural behaviour of the complex magnet system during operation, to control the asymmetry and to benchmark the numerical representation, a special system of mechanical sensors was developed and implemented [40, 41]. Several hundred signals would be permanently monitored during operation to assess the safe operation of the magnet system.

3.3. Accuracy of the cryostat

As mentioned in section 2, the cryostat is composed of different components: the plasma vessel containing the plasma, the outer vessel and the ports. The ports connect the two vessels and allow access from the outside into the plasma vessel, e.g. for diagnostics, heating, cooling media and pumping. These three components form the cryo-vacuum in which the cold mass is contained. The magnet system, fixed to the central support ring, stands on 10 cryo-feet, which are connected to the outer cryostat via bellows. Nevertheless, the plasma vessel has very small tolerances because the divertor target plates are attached to it and their position has to match the magnetic island geometry, determined by the magnetic field of the coils, very accurately. The functioning of the island divertor very sensitively depends on an even distribution of the heat loads from the plasma, which in turn relies not only on the aforementioned minimization of resonant field errors, but also on an accurate alignment of the divertor target plates. Also the outer vessel and the ports have very small geometrical tolerances of the order of a few millimetres as the space in the cryo-vacuum is very tightly packed.

With respect to the plasma and the outer vessel modules, which have been welded to the neighbouring modules, these small tolerances required an extended welding development to achieve minimal weld shrinkage. For the 254 ports, which have to be welded into the plasma vessel on one end and to the outer vessel on the other end, the situation was even more challenging. Positioning required rather small tolerances in order to avoid any collisions with components in the cryo-vacuum, e.g. coils and piping. Although there is a bellow in each port, welding deformations had also to be kept to a minimum in order not to overstress the port or to decrease the usable space when tilting both port tubes against each other. Therefore, this assembly process required an extensive metrology effort and the development of specialized metrology [42] and welding procedures.

3.4. Other challenges

Other challenges, specific to Wendelstein 7-X, are the current leads and the space inside the cryo-vacuum. As the power supplies for the superconducting coils are located below the device, the current leads, which are the interface between these
power supplies (at room temperature and ambient pressure) and the bus-bars inside the cryo-vacuum, have to be 'upside-down', i.e. the cold end is on top, opposite of the usual configuration, which makes use of the normal direction of the heat convection. However, this challenge has been met with current leads developed in cooperation with the Karlsruhe Institute for Technology [13, 43], which use a high-temperature superconducting material, minimizing heat diffusion while maintaining excellent electrical conduction.

Cryo-piping and bus-bars [7] to provide the 70 superconducting coils with liquid helium for cooling and with electrical current have posed a specific challenge as the space within the cryostat is very tight. Both pipe and conductor systems are fixed on the central support ring and on the superconducting coils. These fixtures have to take up large forces and, at the same time, allow for movements, as the magnet system as well as the pipes/conductors move and deform during cool-down and energization of the coils. To allow for such movements, which have been calculated from the CAD models with FE calculations [44–46], a demanding design process was necessary, including careful change management and configuration control [47, 48].

3.5. Remaining assembly tasks

As mentioned above (section 2), the Wendelstein 7-X torus is almost closed. However, there are still three major work packages ahead:

(1) The assembly of about 2500 large in-vessel components, including 10 inertially cooled island divertor modules has just started. Most of these components are ready for assembly and the fabrication of the remaining components is running according to plan. Detailed assembly processes and logistics planning have been made over the past few years with emphasis on the assembly efficiency and assembly tolerances, which again are very tight, i.e. of the order of 2–5 mm.

(2) The assembly of the 14 current leads (see above) has commenced in late fall 2012. In the framework of a collaboration with the Oak Ridge National Laboratory, the complicated assembly technology has been developed to install pairs of current leads together with the supporting structure and the corresponding part of the outer cryostat (the so-called dome). This procedure has meanwhile been tested using a 1 : 1 mock-up.

(3) The assembly of the device periphery (work platform, support structures, cable trays and cables, piping), heating units (10 MW ECRH, two NBI boxes together equipped for 8 injectors), and diagnostics (about 20 systems) has started in parallel to the above listed work packages. As the simultaneous work on all these (partially inter-linked) components requires a careful planning of the logistics and the work sequences, the torus hall layout and design principles have to be as consistent as possible. Therefore, about 60 sub-projects for these periphery components have been defined and detailed project specifications have been set up for each of these projects according to a common, well-defined structure. With these specifications, all the necessary information is available to implement the periphery components.

4. Plasma heating

The design of W7-X and in particular the layout of the ports include access for three different heating systems: NBI, ICRH and ECRH. The port allocation with the injection geometries is shown in figure 4. At the beginning of the first operational phase ECRH and NBI will be available. The expected minimum power levels at this stage are 7.5 MW of ECRH (considering that not all gyrotrons achieve 1 MW) and 3.5 MW of NBI (hydrogen injection). The plan for ICRH foresees an installation of one antenna during the first operational phase (OP1), delivering about 2 MW, and possible upgrades and further antennas for the second operational phase (OP2).

4.1. Steady-state heating with ECRH

Steady-state plasma heating on W7-X will be provided by ECRH. The resonant coupling of microwaves to the gyromotion of the electrons is a well-established technique for electron heating and—by collisional transfer of their energy to the ions—also of the whole plasma. Since the gyro-frequency depends on the magnetic field, for a given frequency the wave coupling and hence the power deposition depends on the magnetic field. Owing to the spatial gradients of the magnetic field the power deposition usually exhibits a strong radial localization.

4.1.1. ECRH facility. The steady-state heating system for W7-X is an ECRH facility prepared for ten gyrotrons. Two spare installation positions exist for further upgrades. The design frequency of the gyrotrons is 140 GHz, corresponding to the second harmonic gyro-frequency of the electrons at 2.5 T [49]. Each gyrotron is designed for a steady-state output power of 1 MW. The specified acceptance criterion is a minimum of 900 kW in the linearly polarized fundamental Gaussian mode over 30 min. At present five gyrotrons have passed final acceptance tests and, hence, are ready for operation. At least three further gyrotrons will be manufactured before W7-X begins operation, yielding an initial total output power of
about 7.5 MW. The gyrotrons can also be operated at 103 GHz producing about half the output power and making ECRH possible also at 1.8 T.

Although the gyrotron prototype development was successful, problems with meeting the performance requirements for the series gyrotrons led to several modifications, which had to be implemented during series production [50]. This includes a new beam tunnel design to avoid spurious oscillations before the electron beam reaches the cavity and an improved power handling in the collector using a rotating transverse magnetic field [51]. Since the rotating transverse magnetic field reduces the peak loading in the turning points of the vertically swept electron beam inside the single-stage depressed collector, the overall power to the collector can be increased significantly. The principle of the rotating magnetic field is illustrated in figure 5(a). The effect on the output power of the gyrotron is illustrated in figure 5(b). Owing to the improved collector sweeping the 1 MW output power has been achieved despite efficiencies of approximately 40%. In fact values slightly above 1 MW could be sustained for 5.5 min, limited by the temperature increase due to the absorption of microwave radiation by the shaft inside the gyrotron. Additional measures, which have been taken to improve the gyrotron performance, comprise a reduction of the microwave radiation absorption of the gyrotron shaft, an improved electrical insulation of the gyrotron body and a replacement of the water cooling of the gyrotron diamond window by oil cooling to prevent long-term corrosion.

The microwave power from the gyrotrons is collected and relayed (through air) by a quasi-optical system to the W7-X device [49]. Starting from the gyrotrons, individual optics produce a Gaussian beam shape. Subsequently, the individual beams are combined and transmitted by a simple set of large mirrors towards W7-X, where they are separated again and fed into the launchers. For the coupling into the plasma four launchers are located at the low-field side (LFS) of two neighbouring magnet modules of W7-X where the magnetic field profile is tokamak like with approximately vertical iso-mod B contours. Each launcher is equipped with three front steering mirrors permitting for each gyrotron to individually change the poloidal and toroidal launch angles. At a given resonance layer this means that for each gyrotron the vertical position of the deposition (in the poloidal plane) can be selected, and by modifying the toroidal angle also the amount of current drive. All the transmission components are fabricated and tested. The quasi-optical transmission line has a very high efficiency, limiting the losses to about 7%.

In addition to these main launchers which inject the microwave power from the LFS, the construction of two high-field side (HFS) launchers has started, each designed to take
up one gyrotron beam (i.e. up to 2 MW in total). Because of the limited port size the remote steering concept is used, which is the preferred solution for a power plant. While ECRH from the LFS mainly heats the bulk electrons, ECRH from the HFS couples preferably to fast electrons. Hence, a comparison of the confinement properties and the current drive efficiency of the two different electron energy distribution functions becomes possible, which is directly related to the optimized confinement properties of W7-X.

4.1.2. Plasma heating scenarios. In the absence of an inductive plasma current, the plasma start-up requires plasma generation by an external heating method. For plasma start-up and at low plasma densities second harmonic extraordinary mode (X2) heating will be applied. The dependence of the coupled power on the plasma density is shown in figure 6. This schematic figure is based on long experimental experience on ECRH at different heating schemes. As soon as the plasma density rises the initially low absorption will increase rapidly. Above the cut-off density of about $1 \times 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$ the X2-mode can be changed to the second harmonic ordinary mode (O2-mode) by modifying the polarization and launch angle of the microwave radiation. In particular during this transition, and also during O2-heating, the non-absorbed power increases, generally requiring multi-pass absorption. The level of non-absorbed power strongly decreases with increasing electron temperature. Beyond the O2-cut-off in principle, Bernstein wave heating (at the second harmonic) is possible. These waves can be excited by the two-step O–X–B mode conversion process from obliquely launched O-waves to X-waves and finally to Bernstein waves.

4.2. Ion cyclotron resonance heating

The resonant heating of ions by electromagnetic waves in the radio frequency range (several tenths of MHz) is a long established technique in magnetic confinement fusion experiments. Depending on the magnetic field, chosen radio frequency and plasma composition, ICRH can be applied to heat the ions of the bulk plasma (majority absorption), a minority population of ions (minority absorption) producing a fast-ion population, which slows down on the plasma electrons, or to directly heat the electrons which even offers the possibility of current drive if the waves are launched asymmetrically. The ICRH system will not be designed for steady-state heating. Aiming at about 10 s heating pulses such a system provides a heating and current drive tool complementing the steady-state ECRH for short periods. During periods when no ICRH is applied the antenna can be withdrawn into the port to avoid plasma aperture limitations to any of the magnetic configurations and to reduce the heat loads from the plasma and thus the steady-state cooling requirements.

For the dedicated ICRH system in W7-X two versions for antenna housings and strap assemblies are proposed. A first version covering the frequency band 25–38 MHz and allowing $^3$He minority heating at $\omega = \omega_{ci}$ and a second version for operation near 76 MHz for $\omega = 2\omega_{ci}$ minority heating. For the first version, the TEXTOR generators can be used. The second version can be implemented depending upon the availability of the necessary generator at 76 MHz. The geometry of these antennas in the available space of the dedicated port has been optimized for the best coupling conditions to a reference plasma density profile. The first antenna version consists of a pair of single straps, each of them being tuned by a vacuum capacitor at the rear of the antenna housing and fed at a tap (figure 7(a)). The second version consists of a pair of strap triplets fed by a tunable five-port junction (figure 7(b)). The five-port junction with its vacuum capacitor is also placed at the rear of the antenna box in the antenna port, similar to the four-port junction proposed for the ICRF antenna for ITER [52]. The matching systems to the power source (or sources)
allow $(0,\pi)$ toroidal phasing for fast particle generation/plasma heating, $(0,0)$ phasing for wall conditioning. Also $(0, \pm \pi/2)$ phasing for current drive is possible if externally the two pairs are connected by a de-coupler to neutralize the mutual coupling between the two straps (or triplets) of each pair.

The antenna and vacuum feeding lines are supported by an external linear motion table and installed in a rectangular port close to the equatorial plane of W7-X (see figure 8). The table is designed to allow a radial movement of the antenna by 330 mm to optimize the RF power coupling to the plasma at different plasma configurations. For impedance matching of the 25–38 MHz version, an adjustable capacitor is connected to each of the two straps. The coaxial transmission lines also serve as the main mechanical support for the antenna housing box, strap assembly and capacitors. The straps, antenna box and capacitors are water cooled. Various diagnostics for detection of temperatures, RF power, electrical field and plasma parameters are foreseen in the antenna box.

### 4.3. Neutral beam heating

The neutral beam heating system [53] for W7-X is a positive ion based system similar to the one currently operational on the ASDEX Upgrade Tokamak (AUG). The number of ion sources and injector boxes to be initially installed is still under discussion (most likely configuration at start is one injector with two sources), but with all eight sources (in two injector boxes) installed injection of 19 MW (deuterium) into the stellarator will be possible. The injector boxes can deliver beams for up to 10 s and require a 5 min pause between beam injections. The beams are injected through the duct with the more radial beams at $3^\circ$ and the more tangential beams at $12^\circ$ with respect to the beam duct axis (see figure 9). This essentially radial injection produces a plasma interaction length of approximately 60 cm. The beam power can be used to explore plasma stability and the equilibrium properties of W7-X at high $\beta$.

The W7-X NBI will use state-of-the-art, RF-driven, large extraction area hydrogen ion sources identical to those developed for the second AUG injector. For the first operational phase of W7-X, the NBI sources will have an acceleration voltage of 55 kV for hydrogen or 60 kV for deuterium. At a later date, the acceleration voltage can be increased to 100 kV for deuterium (72 kV for hydrogen) by re-gapping the grids of the sources.

Figure 9 shows the dependence of the shine-through on the plasma density for deuterium injection at 60 kV (a) and 100 kV (b). As the shine-through is independent of whether co- or counter-injection is used these results are valid for both injector boxes. In the case of 100 kV the power load to the inner wall is about a factor of 2 higher at medium densities ($\sim 0.8 \times 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$) than for 60 kV. For higher densities ($\geq 1.5 \times 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$) the difference of the shine-through is negligible, as in both cases for these densities plasma absorption is strong. In this high-density range the 100 kV acceleration voltage has the advantage of a greater penetration depth. For 100 kV, the
power deposition profile is still centrally peaked, while the power deposition profile for 60 kV becomes hollow; resulting in off-axis heating above $1.5 \times 10^{20} \text{m}^{-3}$.

In W7-X the field of the machine will always be present during the experimental day; this field makes it impossible to use titanium sublimation pumps heated by direct current (dc) as is currently done at AUG. For injector operation, a pumping system capable of dealing with the gas from the four ion sources and neutralizers ($\sim 20000 \text{Pa l s}^{-1}$) is required. At a test stand currently entering commissioning at IPP Garching it will be investigated whether it is possible to develop a titanium sublimation pump heated by alternating current (ac) that can operate reliably in the field of W7-X. The alternative is a conventional cryogenic pump system; but it still has to be determined whether the pumps can be used in conjunction with the existing W7-X cryogenic plant or if a smaller satellite plant will be necessary.

A collaboration with the National Centre for Nuclear Research (NCBJ) in Poland was started in 2011. They will be responsible for the support structures of the injector boxes, torus gate valves, bending magnets of the residual ion removal system and the cooling water plant. All of these projects are well underway and delivery of all items is planned to be completed in 2013. Construction of the overall heating system is well advanced with the injector boxes entering the final assembly phase before being moved into the torus hall in the summer of 2013. The boxes should be connected to the machine in early 2014. Secondary services (cooling water, vacuum, gas and RF generators) will be installed on site in 2013 and 2014. Commissioning of the ion sources will begin late 2014 or early 2015 and the first injection should occur in the summer of 2015.

During beam injection a fraction of the injected ions will be lost with appreciable energy and largely localized. To see what effect this would have on the machine a theoretical investigation via a computer simulation using the ANTS code [54] determined where these particles would impact the inner surfaces of the machine. The power loads are as high as $2 \text{MW m}^{-2}$, which is acceptable for the divertor elements but considerably above the $\sim 500 \text{kJ m}^{-2}$ that stainless steel wall elements can tolerate for 10s. Additionally, a fraction of the ions enter the ducts at very shallow angles due to the ions following the magnetic field of W7-X. These impacts result, in some cases, in a heat load of $\sim 500 \text{kW m}^{-2}$ at the weld area of the duct. Further theoretical work is ongoing to improve both the simulation results and to better determine the location of the strike points of the fast ions. Clearly, changes to some of the inner components of the machine (thickening of tiles, or introducing new tiles to block ions from hitting sensitive components) will need to be done. In addition, a comprehensive optical survey system (cameras both visible and IR) should be in place to monitor the machine for hot spots. Finally, a careful and conservative commissioning of the neutral beam heating system for each magnetic configuration of W7-X needs to be performed.

5. Plasma diagnostics

5.1. Diagnostics overview

Wendelstein 7-X will go into operation with a range of plasma diagnostics [55]. The plan foresees subsequent upgrades, extensions and further diagnostics during the scientific exploitation of W7-X. The present priorities with respect to the implementation of the diagnostics are determined by the requirements of W7-X commissioning, first plasma operation and the initial experimental programme. The commissioning of W7-X includes a verification of the vacuum magnetic field by flux surface measurements. The first plasma operation requires measurements of the neutron production (neutron counters), the plasma energy (diamagnetic loop) and net current (Rogowski coils), the plasma density (single-channel interferometer), in-vessel observation (video diagnostic) and an impurity monitor (vacuum ultraviolet spectrometers). At the start of the experimental programme further diagnostics are required, bolometers for the measurement of the plasma radiation, a Thomson scattering system measuring the radial profiles of electron temperature and density, and a measurement of the effective charge by bremsstrahlung. According to the present design and construction activities these diagnostics will be augmented step by step by another 21 diagnostic systems covering plasma parameters from the plasma core to the edge and divertor, and including information about the plasma equilibrium and symmetry.
5.2. Steady-state challenges

5.2.1. Convective and radiative loads from the plasma. Steady-state plasma operation adds a completely new level of complexity to the diagnostic requirements (for a comprehensive overview see [59]). These range from convective and radiative heat loads from the plasma [56], and ECRH stray radiation, to maintaining the properties of optical diagnostics and many other diagnostic specific issues [57]. While only a few diagnostics are exposed to convective loads, radiative loads are a more prominent issue for all those diagnostics which have parts located close to the plasma. Calculations show that heat loads of up to 80 kW m$^{-2}$ have to be expected near the plasma. These loads can be dissipated by water cooling, typically implemented as stainless steel structures. In addition, observation windows, mirrors and apertures have to be water cooled. The heat fluxes onto windows and mirrors can be significantly reduced by restricting the apertures as far as possible, even down to pinhole size. Diagnostics, which do not have to observe the plasma continuously, are being protected by cooled shutters.

5.2.2. Stray radiation protection. Although multi-pass absorption is foreseen for those plasma heating scenarios, which have lower absorption, it is assumed that a significant level of stray radiation will remain. Due to multiple reflections in the plasma chamber the stray radiation becomes nearly isotropic behaving like a gas of photons. The local level of stray radiation depends on the source strength, the plasma absorption which, depending on the heating scenario, is a function of electron density and temperature, and the absorption by in-vessel components. Generally, the expected stray radiation level decreases with increasing distance from the ECRH launchers [58, 59], and is expected to vary by a factor of ten in W7-X. All in-vessel components, including diagnostics, cabling, etc, are required to withstand up to 50 kW m$^{-2}$ of continuous microwave power flux, corresponding to an operational limit set at a total non-absorbed microwave power of 1 MW. Critical in-vessel components, e.g. diagnostics and cabling, are tested inside the microwave stray radiation launch (MISTRAL) facility, which is a large vacuum chamber made of aluminum for a high reflection coefficient and connected to one of the gyrotrons [60].

The general protection against the 50 kW m$^{-2}$ stray radiation comprises a combination of several measures. First, materials with a high absorption coefficient for microwave radiation should be avoided if possible. Where impossible, e.g. for electrically insulating materials or ceramics, the components need to be shielded by metallic covers with a low absorption coefficient and thermally connected to cooled structures to dissipate the remaining heat. This, however, can be in conflict with the requirements for efficient pumping inside the vacuum or basic diagnostic requirements. Examples are fast magnetic flux probes that cannot afford thick metal shields or bolometers that need a direct view onto the radiating plasma. In the latter case, the bolometer foils are contained in a practically closed detector housing with a pinhole, forming a pinhole camera, measuring the line-integrated plasma radiation with metal resistive bolometer foils. In order to reduce the impact on the measurements by the microwave stray radiation, the bolometer foils are covered by a metal mesh and the inside of the detector housing is coated with a microwave absorbing mixture of aluminum oxide and titanium oxide [61]. The coating reduces the stray radiation level inside the housing and the metal mesh screens the detectors from the remaining radiation. Together the two measures reduce the stray radiation signal by a factor of 300. Finally, some optical windows are transparent to microwaves. To avoid stray radiation escaping from the plasma vessel through these windows, they will be coated with microwave absorbing coatings. While in the IR region a satisfactory solution has not yet been found, a thin (1 µm) indium tin oxide coating forms a highly microwave absorbing layer, which is transparent to visible light [57].

5.2.3. Diagnostic specific issues. During long plasma operation, contamination of optical elements, such as mirrors or windows, is expected to be a major problem. In W7-X, the main effect will be the build-up of hydrogen-rich soft hydrocarbon layers. This not only influences the calibration of a diagnostic, but can lead to a complete loss of transmission. Already a few 30 min plasmas correspond to one year of short-pulse plasma operation. Specific solutions to the contamination problem include cleaning techniques for mirrors [62] and, where applicable, pinhole observation optics to reduce the carbon deposition. Moreover, applying a small hydrogen gas flow between the vacuum window or the first optical element and the pinhole during plasma operation will be used to reduce the build-up of coatings [63].

Other issues concern the operational stability of diagnostics and their way of signal processing over long time scales. Examples are the interferometer for measuring the line-integrated density and the integration of the voltage signal of magnetic probes to determine the change in the magnetic flux. For the application on W7-X, a digital integrator has been developed which compensates for the amplifier drifts and has an output stage which is not limited by the dynamic range [64]. For the measurement of the plasma density it has been decided to use a so-called dispersion interferometer which, on the one hand, is insensitive to vibrations and capable of following fast density changes and, on the other hand, provides a stable density measurement, which is insensitive to slow temperature changes [65]. The interferometer uses a single CO$_2$ laser (10.6 µm) and a frequency doubler so that two phase-coupled laser beams (first and second harmonic) travel through the plasma. After travelling through the plasma also the frequency of the first harmonic beam is doubled. The advantage of using the superposition of two harmonics of the same laser is that the measured phase shift is directly proportional to the line-integrated density. Phase shifts of the order of 2π allow the reconstruction of the signal by simple interpolation, should the signal be lost during operation. In addition, the superposition of the two harmonics significantly reduces the sensitivity of the instrument to vibrations as no separate reference arm is needed and both signals stem from identical geometrical path lengths.
6. Steady-state control and data acquisition

6.1. Experiment control

Long-pulse or steady-state operation also requires new approaches to device and plasma control. For W7-X a segment-based control framework has been developed [66]. Experiment scenarios making use of the capabilities of W7-X and its subsystems (heating systems, diagnostics, etc.) will be handled by the control system. Thereby the flexibility of the control system allows running short pulses, steady-state plasmas and arbitrary sequences of phases with different characteristics in one plasma pulse. Technically, this is implemented by scenarios which are subdivided into segments. In practice, this means that a W7-X experiment programme can consist of a sequence of scenarios, each consisting of one or more segments. The segments describe the tasks for the various components (e.g. coil configuration, type of heating) involved in the experiment during that particular segment. The execution of the segments is performed dynamically depending on a variety of transition conditions, which also can depend on plasma properties (adaptive control segment switch conditions) [67]. The editing of the programme uses, as far as possible, high-level parameters such as on-axis magnetic field, magnetic shear, magnetic island position rather than asking for the programming of individual coil currents [68].

6.2. Data acquisition

Long plasma pulse operation and the increasing number of measurement channels cause a significant increase in both data rates and the amount of data to be stored in the experiment database. A prominent example is the monitoring of in-vessel components to protect them from overheating or elevated localized particle fluxes. Ten infrared cameras are foreseen to observe the actively cooled high heat-flux divertor with a high spatial resolution. In addition, ten wide-angle cameras will cover the entire in-vessel components in the visible range [57]. Altogether, data rates of the order of 30 Gbyte s\(^{-1}\) have to be handled. For a 30 min plasma experiment this means 50 Tbyte have to be collected and stored.

These huge amounts of data and the long plasma pulses demand special efforts for real-time plasma control, and for continuous data acquisition and data archiving. The intended solutions comprise consequent data streaming and horizontally scalable network and storage systems. The data acquisition systems write the acquired data immediately onto network devices, one data stream for online data analyses and monitoring purposes and a second one for data archiving. The underlying network is based on 10 Gigabit s\(^{-1}\) technology and will be prepared for 40 and 100 Gigabit s\(^{-1}\) redundant uplinks to the core switching systems. The storage is a multi-tier system consisting of a highly available storage for, e.g. radiation protection data (SAN with mirrored disks), highly scalable storage for most of the experiment data (IBM parallel file system GPFS) and a long-term archive system (tape library with IBM's high-performance storage system HPSS). The data acquisition software development is particularly demanding with respect to the reliability of the software for steady-state operation, since the likelihood of failing plasma experiments increases with plasma operation duration. For this reason,
much effort has been spent on the ability to perform tests and quality assurance in the software development process.

7. Summary and outlook

The remaining assembly steps described above are scheduled to be finished during the second half of 2014. The initial physics programme of Wendelstein 7-X will start after the commissioning phase of about one year. In the first operational phase, the discharge duration will be limited to 6–10 s at 8–15 MW heating power. This limit is given by the inertially cooled island divertor installed for the first operation phase, which is robust against thermal overloads but allows for relatively short discharge pulses only [72]. It is the main purpose of the first operational phase to develop an integrated high-performance scenario, which prepares the safe operation of the actively cooled island divertor with heating power in the 10 MW range.

Regarding plasma heating, diagnostics, experiment control and data acquisition, most systems are designed and constructed for steady-state operation right from the start. The gyrotron development has shown that new technologies require continuous efforts to achieve the specified parameters and maintain the quality during production. For most of the diagnostics the steady-state capability has been included \textit{ab initio} in the design requirements, as retrofitting would be very difficult. For the operation of a steady-state experiment, a new control system has been devised and the data acquisition is designed to meet the real-time and steady-state requirements.

© Euratom 2013.
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