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Initial Adhesion Behavior of Fibroblasts onto Hydroxyapatite Nanocrystals

Motohiro Tagaya,1,2 Toshiyuki Ikoma,1 Taro Takemura,1 Satoshi Migita,1 Mitsuhiro Okuda,1 Tomohiko Yoshioka,2 Nobutaka Hanagata,1 and Junzo Tanaka2

1National Institute for Materials Science, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0047, Japan
2School of Science & Engineering, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo 152-8550, Japan
Address correspondence to Motohiro Tagaya, tagaya.motohiro@nims.go.jp

Received 14 January 2011; Accepted 3 February 2011

Abstract The initial adhesion, spreading and cytoskeleton changes of fibroblast NIH3T3 cells onto hydroxyapatite (HAp) and oxidized poly(styrene) (PSox) sensors pre-adsorbed fetal bovine serum were analyzed by using a quartz crystal microbalance with dissipation technique and an atomic force microscopy (AFM). The frequency shift (Δf) and the dissipation shift (ΔD) curves on HAp nanocrystals showed the decrease in Δf with increasing ΔD for 80 min and the subsequent increase in Δf with decreasing ΔD, while those on PSox showed the decrease in Δf for 120 min with increasing ΔD for 50 min and then with subsequent decreasing ΔD. The different adhesion behavior dependent on the surfaces was attributed to the cell-surface interactions; the cells on HAp had rough fibrous pseudopods and those on PSox had dense particulate pseudopods. The different structures indicated that the cytoskeleton changes and the rearrangement of the extracellular matrix at the interface caused the different adhesion behavior.
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1 Introduction

Hydroxyapatite (Ca10(PO4)6(OH)2; HAp) shows a good biocompatibility for fibroblasts; down growth of percutaneous device [1] and catheter [2] is improved by using HAp sintered body and coatings. The biocompatible features are attributed to the surface property of HAp. Therefore, understanding protein adsorption and cell adhesion onto HAp surface is of great importance for controlling cell functions.

To detect the interfacial phenomena, a quartz crystal microbalance with dissipation (QCM-D) technique is one of excellent in situ analytical methods. The HAp sensor applicable for the QCM-D technique was recently fabricated with an electrophoretic deposition method in our group to analyze protein adsorption [3,6]. Although a few studies about the cell adhesion on the surfaces have been reported, no cell adhesion behavior on the HAp surface with the QCM-D technique has been investigated [4,5].

In this study, the QCM-D technique and atomic force microscopy (AFM) were employed for the initial cell adhesion, spreading and cytoskeleton change of fibroblast NIH3T3 cells onto HAp and oxidized poly(styrene) (PSox) sensors.

2 Materials and methods

Gold (QSX-301) and poly(styrene) sensors (QSX-305, film thickness: 40 nm) were purchased from Q-Sense Inc. Fetal bovine serum (FBS, Model number: 12603C, Lot No. 6D0975: SAFC Bioscience Co. Ltd.), Dulbecco’s minimum essential medium (DMEM: Invitrogen Co. Ltd.), phosphate buffer saline (PBS: Dulbecco Co. Ltd.) and formaldehyde (37%, Wako Co. Ltd.) were used. Fibroblast NIH3T3 cells (RCB1862) were provided by Riken BioResource Center.

The HAp sensor was fabricated by the electrophoretic deposition method based on our previous reports [3,6]. The poly(styrene) sensor oxidized by a UV/OZONE treatment (PSox) was used as a reference. The fibroblast cells were cultured in a plastic culture flask (BD Bioscience, USA) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂. The cells washed with 15 mL of PBS and treated with 1 mL of trypsin-EDTA for 5 min were dispersed into in 10% FBS/DMEM.

The cell suspensions in the 10 vol% FBS/DMEM were adjusted at the concentrations of $2.5 \times 10^4$, $5.0 \times 10^4$, $7.5 \times 10^4$ and $10.0 \times 10^4$ cells/mL.

QCM-D (D300, Q-Sense AB) measurements were performed at 37.0 ± 0.5°C by real time in situ monitoring of $\Delta f$ and $\Delta D$ at 15 MHz. The $\Delta f$ was transformed to $\Delta f_{n=3}/3$ as the fundamental frequency of 5 MHz. The viscoelastic property of the FBS adlayers was evaluated by...
Figure 1: AFM topographic images of (a) HAp and (b) PSox sensors.

A saturated $\Delta D/(\Delta f_{n=3}/3)$ value from the $\Delta D - \Delta f_{n=3}/3$ plot. The adsorption of FBS dispersed into DMEM at 10 vol% was measured for 1 hr after stabilizing a baseline of serum-free DMEM. Subsequently, the cell suspensions were seeded at 0.5 mL on the FBS-adlayer on HAp or PSox sensors, and cultured for 2 hr in air, and rinsed with 0.5 mL of 10 vol% FBS/DMEM. The cultured cells on the sensors were fixed with 3.7 vol% formaldehyde in PBS. The fixed cells were soaked into 1 mL of ethanol/ultrapure water series at 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 100 vol% for each 5 min, and were into 1 mL of t-butyl alcohol three times at 37 °C. The samples were kept at 4 °C for 0.5 h and then freeze-dried at 4 °C for 4–5 h.

The wettability of the sensors was evaluated in air by a sessile drop method of distilled water with a contact angle meter (CA-W200, Kyowa Interface Science Inc.). The morphology of the cells cultured on the sensors was observed with a confocal laser scanning microscope (CLSM: OLS-3000, OLYMPUS Inc.). The number, area, and volume of the adherent cells were calculated from the 2-D and the 3-D images ($n=10$) obtained with scanning Z-range at a z-step of 10 nm. The sensor surfaces before and after cell adhesion were observed with an atomic force microscope (AFM: SPM-9500, Shimazu Inc.). Silicon nitride probe mounted on cantilever (OMCL-AC160TS, OLYMPUS Inc.) was employed for the dynamic mode. The surface roughness was calculated by root mean squares (RMS) in the Z-range images.

3 Results and discussion

Figure 1 shows the AFM topographic images of HAp and PSox sensors. HAp, the viscoelastic property, judging from the saturated $\Delta D/(\Delta f_{n=3}/3)$, was almost same on HAp and PSox.

Figure 2 shows $\Delta f_{n=3}/3$ and $\Delta D$ curves against the acquired times of fibroblasts adhesion onto the adlayer of FBS on HAp and PSox sensors. The $\Delta f_{n=3}/3$ and $\Delta D$ curves of the cell adhesion on HAp showed the decrease in the $\Delta f_{n=3}/3$ with increasing the $\Delta D$ for 80 min and the increase in the $\Delta f_{n=3}/3$ with decreasing the $\Delta D$ (Figures 2(a) and (b)). On the contrary, the cell adhesion on PSox showed the monotonic decrease in the
$\Delta f_{n=3}/3$ for 120 min with increasing the $\Delta D$ for 50 min and subsequently decreasing the $\Delta D$ (Figures 2(c) and (d)). These results clearly indicate the different adhesion process depending on the surfaces. The decrease in mass and viscoelastic property for fibroblast adhesion onto the PSox and Ta has been reported [4,5]; the cell spreading and cytoskeleton changes depending surface properties would lead to an increase in rigidity of the adherent cells and result in the decrease in the $\Delta D$. Therefore, the different adhesion process depending on the surface was successfully monitored by the QCM-D technique, and the cell-surface interactions through the protein adsorption were distinguished.

The $\Delta f_{n=3}/3$ values at 120 min for the concentrations at $2.5 \times 10^4$, $5.0 \times 10^4$, $7.5 \times 10^4$, $10.0 \times 10^4$ cells mL$^{-1}$ were $-1.2$ Hz, $-2.9$ Hz, $-4.5$ Hz and $-10.5$ Hz on HAp and $-1.7$ Hz, $-3.9$ Hz, $-6.5$ Hz and $-9.1$ Hz on PSox, and the $\Delta D$ values were $+4.9 \times 10^{-6}$, $+8.0 \times 10^{-6}$, $+11.1 \times 10^{-6}$ and $+13.2 \times 10^{-6}$ on HAp and $+1.9 \times 10^{-6}$, $+5.2 \times 10^{-6}$, $+10.2 \times 10^{-6}$ and $+12.8 \times 10^{-6}$ on PSox. The $\Delta f_{n=3}/3$ and $\Delta D$ values at 120 min show the linear relationship with the seeding concentration (Figure 2(e)). The numbers of adherent cell ($N$) at 120 min, counted with the light microscopy, was $1.2 \times 10^3$, $1.8 \times 10^3$, $2.1 \times 10^3$ and $2.6 \times 10^3$ cells/cm$^2$ on the HAp, and $1.2 \times 10^3$, $1.5 \times 10^3$, $1.7 \times 10^3$ and $1.9 \times 10^3$ cells/cm$^2$ on the PSox for the corresponding cell concentrations. The $\Delta f$ showed linear relationship with the $N$: the $\Delta f/N$ is $-6.3 \times 10^{-3}$ ($R = 0.93$) and $-10.8 \times 10^{-3}$ ($R = 0.93$) Hz · cell$^{-1}$ · cm$^{-2}$. The previous report on the fibroblasts onto the serum-adsorbed gold surface showed $-1.3 \times 10^{-3}$ Hz · cell$^{-1}$ · cm$^{-2}$ of $\Delta f/N$ at 5 hr [7]. The $\Delta f/N$ values were smaller than a real mass of cells, which could be attributed to (1) the height of cell nucleus in micrometer order, (2) the penetration depths of the resonating waves of the quartz crystal, and (3) the slip of the FBS adlayer attenuating the $f$-shift from adherent cells.

Figure 3 shows the CLSM images of the cells cultured on HAp and PSox, and the AFM topographic images of pseudodia. The CLSM images showed that the cell morphology on PSox was more anisotropic than that on HAp; the cells on HAp expanded the planar and fibrous pseudodia, while those on PSox expanded the fibrous pseudodia (Figures 3(a) and 3(b)). The cell volume was $45\pm15 \mu m^3$ on HAp and was $61\pm20 \mu m^3$ on PSox at 2 h. The AFM images showed that the cells on HAp had rough pseudodop at the height of 15–20 nm and those on PSox had dense particulate pseudopods at the height of 10–15 nm (Figures 3(c) and 3(d)). The different structures of the pseudodop on the cell adhesion points indicated that the cytoskeleton changes and the rearrangement of extracellular matrix at the interfaces caused the different binding behavior.

4 Conclusions

In this study, the QCM-D technique was employed for evaluating the initial adhesion, spreading and cytoskeleton changes of fibroblast on HAp and PSox sensors. The $\Delta f$ and $\Delta D$ curves on HAp and PSox showed the different
behavior on the surfaces, indicating the adhesion process affected by cell-surface interactions through the protein adsorption. The $\Delta f$ and $\Delta D$ showed the linear relationship against the number of adherent cell. The AFM images showed the different pseudopod structures depending on the cell adhesion places. Therefore, these results indicate that the cytoskeleton changes and the rearrangement of extracellular matrix at the interfaces caused the different binding behavior.
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