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The effect of oscillatory shear flows on turbulent transport of passive scalar fields is studied by numerical computations based on the results provided by E. Kim [Physics of Plasmas, 13, 022308, 2006]. Turbulent diffusion is found to depend crucially on the competition between suppression due to shearing and enhancement due to resonances, depending on the characteristic time and length scales of shear flow and turbulence. Enhancements in transport occur for turbulence with finite memory time either due to Doppler and parametric resonances. scalings of turbulence amplitude and transport are provided in different parameter spaces. The results suggest that oscillatory shear flows are not only less efficient in regulating turbulence, but also can enhance the value of turbulent diffusion, accelerating turbulent transport.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Turbulent transport is an important process, by which various physical quantities are rapidly mixed by the advection of turbulent fluid. Examples include the mixing of chemical species, dissipation of magnetic fields, transport of angular momentum in astrophysical/laboratory plasmas, the mixing of pollution in the terrestrial atmosphere or even the transport of warm water in oceans. In fact, the effect of turbulent transport in our lives is fundamental. Turbulent transport can however have an unwelcoming consequence, such as anomalous heat/energy loss in laboratory plasmas, leading to the degradation of plasma confinement. It is therefore crucial to understand the physics of turbulent transport, especially some means of controlling it.

One of the promising mechanisms for quenching turbulence mixing is flow shear suppression. The basic idea is that shearing of turbulent eddies by flow shear leads to a cascade of eddies into smaller and smaller scales down to the dissipative scale where the molecular dissipation efficiently removes the energy. That is, the shear flow rapidly generates small scales, thereby enhancing the overall dissipation of turbulent eddies which are responsible for turbulent transport. As a result, both turbulence level and transport are reduced. In fact, there has been accumulating experimental evidences for turbulence regulation by flow shear in laboratory plasmas, which is now thought to be indispensable for the formation of transport barriers. A crucial question in understanding the formation of transport barrier in a variety of systems is thus how much of turbulent transport is reduced by a given flow shear compared to the case in the absence of the flow shear. Quantitative theoretical predictions for turbulent transport were provided in different types of turbulence models for steady or random shear flows.

In comparison with a steady shear flow, turbulence regulation by time-varying shear flow is more complex, with its efficiency depending on characteristic time scales of the shear flow and turbulence such as their frequencies, decorrelation times, shearing rate, etc. For instance, if a shear flow oscillates rapidly with its frequency much larger than the turbulent decorrelation rate, its shearing is too incoherent to have any effect on turbulent transport. In contrast, if the flow shear is sufficiently strong such that its shearing rate involves the fastest time scale, it can be considered to be steady and thus has a similar effect on turbulent transport as a steady shear flow. For instance, in this limit, turbulent mixing of passive scalar fields is reduced inversely proportional to the rms shearing rate \( \Omega_m \) as \( \propto 1/\Omega_m \). What happens in between these two extreme limits is far less obvious. While theoretical predictions in a scalar field model are provided by Kim, they are given in terms of multiple integrals which could be reduced to simple analytical forms only in the extreme limits, yielding transparent scalings with \( \Omega_m \) only in these limits. Further quantitative study is thus necessary in order to understand how efficiently an oscillatory shear flow controls turbulent mixing in general.

It is important to emphasize that the aforementioned reduction in turbulent transport by flow shear results from the modification of the turbulence properties (e.g. enhanced dissipation of turbulence/fluctuations) when the flow shear can have no influence on the mean field profile, for instance, when a mean field, being uniform along the shear flow, varies only transverse to the shear flow. This is relevant for the study of turbulent transport where main the interest lies in the transport mediated by turbulence. In the case when a mean field varies along the shear flow as well as transverse to it, the shear flow has a direct influence on mean field since it can distort the distribution of the mean field, thereby rapidly generating small scales which then get efficiently damped by the molecular diffusion (for example, see Refs. ). That is, the same shear distortion that enhances the dissipation of turbulent eddies, leading to the reduction in turbulent transport, can speed up the diffusion of mean field if it directly operates on the mean field. Therefore, in general, when shear flow can modify the mean field as well as turbulence, the overall effect of the shear flow on turbulent transport will be determined by the competi-
tion between these two conflicting effects.

It is also important to note that propagating oscillatory shear flows (waves) have often been invoked as a mechanism for transport \[13\]. Examples include internal gravity waves which can transport momentum, mass, heat, etc in astrophysical and geophysical systems [e.g. see, Ref \[13\]]. The transport by these waves is however a slow process compared to turbulent transport as the former requires non-ideal effect such as molecular dissipation. On the other hand, oscillatory shear flows can potentially mediate fast transport by destabilizing the equilibrium via parametric resonance \[16, 17\]. In the presence of turbulence, the interaction between oscillatory shear flows and turbulent flows could lead to similar parametric resonance, as indicated by Kim \[9\], significantly contributing to the transport. Without this resonance, the effect of oscillatory shear flows on laminar diffusion can be opposite since the shear enhanced mixing becomes negligible as the oscillation frequency of the shear flow increases above the rms shearing rate \[18\].

The purpose of this paper is to perform a detailed quantitative study of the effect of oscillatory shear flow on turbulent transport of passive scalar fields. In particular, we derive the asymptotic scalings of turbulence amplitude and transport with the rms shear strength via numerical integrations of the theoretical results derived by Kim \[9\]. We distinguish three different scaling regimes depending on the characteristic time scales of turbulence and oscillatory shear flow, identify the two types of resonances (Doppler and parametric resonances), and then obtain asymptotic scalings with rms shearing rate valid in each regime by numerical computations. We show that turbulent diffusion depends crucially on the competition between suppression due to shearing and enhancement due to the resonances, thereby suggesting that oscillatory shear flows can enhance the value of turbulent diffusion, accelerating turbulent mixing. We note that our numerical integration has a great advantage of permitting a thorough parameter scan in parameter space, which is not easily accessible by direct numerical computations (e.g. in the limit of small dissipation). The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In §II, we present the time-averaged turbulence amplitude and transport in dimensionless form. In §III, we identify the three scaling regimes depending on characteristic time scales by simple analytical examination. §IV contains the results of numerical computations and scalings of turbulence amplitude and transport with rms shearing rate. Discussions and conclusion are provided in §V.

II. GOVERNING EQUATIONS

We consider a passive scalar field model where a passive scalar \(n\) is advected by a given turbulent flow \(\mathbf{u}\) and shear flow \(\mathbf{U}_0\) while being diffused by molecular dissipation \(D\). By quasi-linear analysis, the fluctuating scalar field \(n'\) evolves according to the following equation:

\[
\{\partial_t + U_0 \cdot \nabla\} n' = -v_x \partial_x N_0 + D \nabla^2 n'.
\]

Here, \(N_0 = \langle n \rangle = N_0(x)\) is the large-scale component. We assume that the shear flow is in the \(y\) direction, varying linearly in \(x\), and is oscillatory in time of the form \(U_0 = -x\Omega_m \sin(\omega t) y\); \(\omega_m\) and \(\Omega_m\) are the frequency and rms shearing rate of the oscillating shear flow. Note that since both \(N_0\) and \(U_0\) depend only on \(x\) while \(U_0\) is in the \(y\) direction, there is no direct effect of the shear flow on the mean field \(N_0\) (i.e. \(U_0 \cdot \nabla N_0 = 0\)). That is, the shear flow influences the mean field only indirectly through its effect on turbulence.

The advection by a linear shear flow \(U(x, t) = -x\Omega(t)\) results in the distortion of an eddy (i.e., wind-up), and its effect can be non-perturbatively captured by employing a time-dependent wavenumber \(k_x(t)\) with the following transformation for \(n'\):

\[
n'(x, t) = \tilde{n}(k, t) \exp \{i(k_x(t)x + k_y y)\},
\]

and similarly for \(v_x\), with \(k_x\) satisfying an eikonal equation

\[
\partial_t k_x(t) = k_y \Omega(t).
\]

It is worth noting that Eq. (3) clearly shows that the shear flow has no influence on the mean field \((k_y = 0\) mode) of our interest. The solution to Eq. (1) can then be expressed as:

\[
\tilde{n}(k, t) = -\partial_x n_0 \int_{-\infty}^t dt_1 d^2k_1 \hat{g}(k, t; k_1, t_1) e^{-DQ(t; t_1)} \hat{v}_x(k_1, t; t)
\]

Here, \(Q(t; t_1) = \int_{t_1}^t dt' [k_x^2(t') + k_y^2]\), and \(\hat{g}\) is the Green’s function given by

\[
\hat{g}(k, t; k_1, t_1) = \delta(k_y - k_1y) \delta \left[ k_x - k_1x - k_y \int_{t_1}^t dt' \Omega(t') \right].
\]

The overall effect of enhanced dissipation due to shearing is embedded in the time integral of \(k^2\) in \(DQ\) in Eq. (4). The detailed form of \(Q\) for \(\Omega(t) = -\Omega_m \sin \omega_r t\) was provided by Kim \[9\], to which the readers are referred. We here emphasize that \(Q\) grows at most linearly in time for the oscillatory shear flow as \(k_x\) oscillates in time (see Eq. (3)), with its shearing becoming effective only when it operates coherently before the oscillatory zonal flows change shearing direction, i.e. when \(\Omega_m/\omega_z > 1\) \[9\]. This is distinctively different from the behaviour of \(Q\) in the case of mean shear flows or random zonal flows: (i) in the case of mean shear flows \[4\], \(k_x\) grows linearly in time with \(Q \propto t^3\); (ii) in the case of random zonal flows \[3\], \(k_x\) can be considered as a random process with the time average of \(k_x^2 \propto t\) on a long, diffusive time scale, and thus \(Q \propto t^2\).

The flux and amplitude are obtained by assuming that the statistics of the turbulent flow \(v_x\) are spatially homogeneous and temporally stationary with the following
correlation function:

\[ \langle \tilde{v}_z(k_1, t_1) \tilde{v}_z(k_2, t_2) \rangle = (2\pi)^2 \delta(k_1 + k_2) \phi(k_2, t_2 - t_1), \]  

(6)

where \( \phi \) is the correlation function of \( \tilde{v}_z \) in Fourier space. Further, the random turbulent flow is taken to have characteristic frequency \( \omega \) and correlation time \( \tau_c = 1/\gamma \). Specifically, \( \psi \) is taken to have Lorentzian frequency spectrum centered around \( \omega \) with width \( \gamma \) as \( \phi(k_2, t_2 - t_1) = \psi(k_2) \int (d\omega'/\pi) e^{-i\omega' (t_2 - t_1)} \gamma / [\omega' - \omega]^2 + \gamma^2 \) with \( \omega > \gamma \), where c.c. denotes complex conjugate. It can be readily shown that the velocity amplitude is related to the power spectrum \( \psi \) as \( \langle \tilde{v}_z^2 \rangle = \int d^2 k \psi(k) / (2\pi)^2 \). Since \( k_x \neq 0 \) modes are generated by the shear [see Eq. (3)], \( \psi \) is assumed to be dominated by modes with \( k_x \ll k_y \) for simplicity. Then, the flux and amplitude of fluctuation of scalar fields, averaged over one oscillation of the shear flow \( (2\pi/\omega_z) \) as well as over the statistics of the turbulence follow from Eqs. (4) and (6) (see Eqs. (9)-(10) in [4]) and can be expressed in the following dimensionless form:

\[ \langle n' v_x \rangle_t = -\frac{\partial_x n_0}{(2\pi)^2} \int d^2 k \psi(k) \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} d\tau d\tau_1 A(\beta, \omega, \tau, \tau_1) \times e^{-\tau (\tau - \tau_1) - \tau_1^{-1} B(\alpha, \tau, \tau_1)}, \]

(7)

and

\[ \langle n'^2 \rangle_t = \left( \frac{\partial_x n_0}{(2\pi)^2} \right)^2 \int d^2 k \psi(k) \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} d\tau d\tau_1 d\tau_2 A(\beta, \omega, \tau, \tau_1) A(\beta, \omega, \tau_2, \tau_1) \times e^{-\tau_2 (\tau - \tau_2) - \tau_2^{-1} B(\alpha, \tau, \tau_1) + B(\alpha, \tau, \tau_2)} \]  

(8)

In this section, we identify the three regimes of different scalings depending on the order of the relevant time scales.

### A. Short correlation time/period

In the limit of short correlation time \( \tau_c \) of turbulence \( (\tau \rightarrow \infty) \), double integrals of \( e^{-\tau (\tau - \tau_1)} \) in Eqs. (1) and (2) indicate that both the flux and the amplitude decay \( \propto \tau^{-1} \). Similarly, in the large frequency limit \( (\omega \rightarrow \infty), \) both quantities scale as \( \propto \omega^{-2} \). In this regime, there is no possibility of resonance between shear flow and turbulence, with turbulence level and transport decreasing as either \( \tau \) or \( \omega \) increases. This is because the time scales for the shear flow \( (\tau_z = \omega_z^{-1} \text{ and } \Omega_m^{-1}) \) are far too large in comparison with the small correlation time \( (\tau_c = \gamma^{-1}) \) or characteristic period \( (\omega^{-1}) \) of turbulence. Such a turbulent fluid is not able to recognize the subtle changes induced by the shear flow over its correlation time or period and is thus not affected by them. For instance, \( \tau \gg 1 \) implies that \( \gamma \gg \omega_z \if \tau_c \ll \tau_z \), i.e., the turbulence decorrelates too rapidly to be influenced by the oscillation of the shear flow.
B. Medium correlation time

When turbulence has a longer correlation time with a finite value of $1 < \tau \ll \infty$ in the limit of small dissipation $\tau_D \gg 1$, the flux in Eq. (2) and amplitude in Eq. (3) take their maximum values when $A(\beta, \omega, \tau_0) = 1$, i.e. when

$$\overline{\beta} \alpha [\cos(\tau) - \cos(\tau_1)] - \overline{\omega}(\tau - \tau_1) = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

To obtain the condition for the occurrence of maxima, Eq. (9) we note that for $\tau > 1$, most of the contributions to the flux and amplitude in Eqs. (2) and (3) come from the time integral for $|\tau - \tau_1| < 1$. We can thus express $\cos$ in terms of $\sin$ in Eq. (9), expand $\sin$ as a Taylor series, and then factorize the first two leading order terms in the series for $|\tau - \tau_1| \ll 1$ to obtain the following condition:

$$\overline{\omega} = \overline{\beta} \alpha c \iff \omega/k - c\sqrt{(U_0^2)_{\tau}} = 0.$$ \hspace{1cm} (10)

Here, $c = |f(\tau, \tau_1)| < 1$ is a constant of less than unity, whose exact value depends on other parameter values. Since $\omega/k = \omega/k_y$ is proportional to the phase speed of turbulence, it is apparent that resonance occurs when the phase speed is approximately equal to the rms velocity of the shear flow. This is equivalent to the Doppler shifted frequency $\omega_d$ with the rms velocity of the shear flow being zero:

$$\omega_d \approx \omega - ck_y\sqrt{(U_0(x,t)^2)_{\tau}} = \omega - c\overline{\beta} \alpha \Omega \approx 0.$$ \hspace{1cm} (11)

Note that a similar resonance condition in terms of rms velocity also holds in the case of random shear flow when $\beta \neq 0$ while it was overlooked in the analysis of an oscillatory shear flow. In the case of a steady shear flow $U_0 \hat{y}$, the resonance condition (11) becomes exact as $\omega_d = \omega - k_y U_0 = 0$.

C. Large correlation time

When the turbulence correlation time is even longer with the value $\tau \ll 1$, the flux and amplitude in Eqs. (2) and (3) can have considerable contributions from the time integrals for all values of $|\tau - \tau_1|$, leading to the possibility of the parametric type of resonance when $\omega = n\omega_z$ for integer $n$. As indicated by Kim, the parametric resonance can be found by expanding $e^{i\overline{\beta} \alpha \cos \tau}$ in terms of Bessel functions. We confirm numerically that Eqs. (7) and (8) do take maximum values when $\omega = n\omega$ for integer $n$ in this case.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section, we provide the quantitative predictions for turbulence level and turbulent transport in different regimes identified in §III, by numerical computations. The numerical accuracy was checked by benchmarking the code against an exactly integrable function which replicates the behaviour of our actual flux and amplitude. The numerical error was found to be within 0.0001 and 0.001 for the flux and amplitude respectively.

A. Medium correlation time

We consider the medium correlation time in the limit of small molecular dissipation (large $\tau_D$). Specifically, we take the value $\tau = 5$ and $\tau_D = 100$. The scale separation is chosen to be $\overline{\beta} = 10$. By using these parameter values and by varying $\alpha$ and $\overline{\omega}$ within the ranges of $0 \leq \alpha \leq 10$ and $0 \leq \overline{\omega} \leq 100$, we perform numerical integrations of Eqs. (7) and (8) to obtain the scalings of turbulence amplitude and transport with the shearing rate $\Omega_m$. These parameter values remain fixed unless stated otherwise.

1. For a given $\overline{\omega}$

For a given turbulence frequency $\overline{\omega}$, we normalize turbulence amplitude and transport by using values provided by background turbulence in the absence of shear flow (i.e. for $\alpha = 0$). Specifically, we choose the frequency ratio $\overline{\omega} = 10$ and plot in Fig. 1 the flux and amplitude normalized to the $\alpha = 0$ case as a function of the rms shearing rate within the range of $\alpha \in [0, 10]$. We note that as a consequence of our normalization, the value of
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unity for the flux and amplitude at $\alpha = 0$ corresponds to that given by background turbulence in the absence of shear flow, with smaller or larger values describing the suppression or enhancement of turbulent diffusion, respectively. It is clear from Fig. 1 that as $\alpha$ increases from zero, the flux increases, indicating the enhancement relative to the case without a shear flow until it reaches its maximum, roughly at the Doppler resonance point, as noted in §III B. As the shearing rate increases further beyond this resonance point, the flux becomes quenched by strong shear. Similar behaviour, correlating well with the flux maximum, is also found in the amplitude although it is far less obvious.

2. Flux for different $\overline{\omega}$

In the absence of shear flow ($\alpha = 0$), the flux due to background turbulence depends on the turbulence frequency. Thus, in order to examine the flux for different values of $\overline{\omega}$ whilst varying $\alpha$, we normalize the flux by its value in the case without a shear flow ($\alpha = 0$) and for zero turbulence frequency $\overline{\omega} = 0$. With this normalization, we plot the flux as a function of the frequency ratio $\overline{\omega}$ for different $\alpha \in \{0, 0.2, ..., 4\}$ in Fig. 2. Fig. 3 shows the corresponding log plot. From Figs. 2 and 3, one can see the two regimes of clearly different scalings. First, in the limit of large turbulence frequency, the flux always eventually decays $\propto \overline{\omega}^{-1.96 \pm 0.06}$. This is consistent with the analytically predicted behavior $\propto \overline{\omega}^{-2}$ in §III A. On the other hand, the maximum value of the flux at the Doppler resonance point decays $\propto \overline{\omega}^{-0.486 \pm 0.01}$, much slower with increasing $\overline{\omega}$. Fig. 4 shows similar behavior as rms shearing rate $\alpha$ increases. Specifically, in the strong shear limit, flux eventually decays proportionally to $\propto \alpha^{-1.015 \pm 0.01} \propto \Omega_m^{-1.015 \pm 0.01}$, in agreement with Kim [8], while the resonant flux decays proportionally to $\propto \alpha^{-0.534 \pm 0.01} \propto \Omega_m^{-0.534 \pm 0.01}$. Therefore, the scaling of the flux with $\Omega_m$ is weaker for maximum resonance flux with roughly $-1/2$ power-law dependence. Furthermore, the absolute value of the flux at the resonance is larger than that due to background turbulence, manifesting the resonance enhanced transport. In other words, oscillatory shear flow can enhance the overall transport above the value given by background turbulence due to resonance while it quenches transport for sufficiently strong rms shear. The resonance enhanced transport becomes more apparent if the flux is normalized to the case $\alpha = 0$ case for all possible values of turbulence frequency $\omega$ in which case turbulent diffusion scales as $\propto \alpha^{1.420 \pm 0.1} \propto \Omega_m^{1.420 \pm 0.1}$. That is, turbulent diffusion increases because of the oscillatory shear flow!

3. Amplitude for different $\overline{\omega}$

Fig. 5 shows turbulence amplitude as a function of $\overline{\omega} \in [0, 40]$ for different values of $\alpha \in \{0, 0.2, ..., 4\}$, again normalized to the $\alpha = \omega = 0$ case. In the limit of
large turbulence frequency, the amplitude decreases as \( \propto \frac{1}{1 + 0.25 \pm 0.05} \). On the other hand, Fig. 6 is a log plot of the amplitude as a function of \( \alpha \), showing the two regimes with clear scalings with \( \alpha \). In the strong shear limit, amplitude \( \propto \frac{1}{1 + 0.25 \pm 0.05} \), while the resonant amplitude \( \propto \frac{1}{1 + 0.25 \pm 0.05} \). Therefore, maximum amplitude has a slower decrease as shearing rate increases compared to asymptotic value of amplitude in the limit of strong shear.

4. Cross-phase results

The flux \( \langle n'v_x \rangle \) involves not only the phase relation between \( n' \) and \( v_x \) but also turbulence amplitude. We quantify the flux due to phase shift between \( n' \) and \( v_x \) only, independent of turbulence level, by defining the normalized flux (the so-called cross-phase) \( \cos \theta \) as

\[
\cos \theta = \frac{\langle n'v_x \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle n'^2 \rangle \langle v_x^2 \rangle}}.
\]

By using the results obtained in the previous subsections, we obtain the scaling of cross-phase with \( \omega \) in the large frequency \( \omega \) limit and at the Doppler resonance points as:

\[
\cos \theta \propto \omega^{-1.009 \pm 0.035},
\]

\[
\cos \theta \propto \omega^{-0.248 \pm 0.035},
\]

respectively. Similarly, in the strong shear limit and at the resonance points, the cross-phases scale with \( \alpha (\propto \Omega_m) \) as:

\[
\cos \theta \propto \Omega_m^{-0.315 \pm 0.035},
\]

\[
\cos \theta \propto \Omega_m^{-0.267 \pm 0.11},
\]

respectively. The integration accuracy is always less than 0.0001 and 0.001 for the flux and amplitude accordingly, consequently errors in the scalings were found and related to the cross-phase.

In comparison with a steady shear flow case where \( \cos \theta \propto \Omega^{-1/6} \) \([3, 4]\), \( \cos \theta \) in Eq. (12) have stronger dependence on \( \Omega_m \), suggesting that oscillatory shear flow is more efficient than a steady shear flow at reducing normalized transport by affecting the phase relation between \( n' \) and \( v_x \). In particular, \( \cos \theta \propto \Omega_m^{-0.315 \pm 0.035} \) in the strong shear limit, with its value decreasing rapidly compared to the steady shear flow case \([4]\). Therefore, oscillatory shear flows are more efficient in reducing normalized flux by quenching flux more than amplitude.

5. Behaviour of the Doppler resonance

Figure 6 shows us the relation between the rms shearing rate of the oscillatory shear flow and turbulence fre-
memory time of turbulence (i.e. no stochasticity), these peaks as further harmonics appear. The height of dissipation (to \( \tau \)) to explore this resonance, we choose sufficiently small fixed values § III within the numerical error caused by finite computing time.

### B. Long correlation time limit

For sufficiently long correlation time of turbulence, parametric type resonance between oscillatory shear flow and turbulence can occur where \( \omega = n \omega_z \) for integer \( n \), as discussed previously in §III C (see also [3]). In order to explore this resonance, we choose sufficiently small \( \gamma \) with a specific value of \( \gamma = 0.01 \) whilst taking the dissipation time to be \( T_D = 10^3 \). Furthermore, we change our non dimensional variables so that \( \omega \) and \( \omega_z \) are the only free parameters and alter the time average from being over \([0, 2\pi]\) to \([0, 2\pi/\omega_z]\).

Figure 8 is the plot of the flux as a function of \( \omega \) for \( \omega_z = 0.5 \) (dotted line) and \( \omega_z = 0.125 \) (solid line) when \( \gamma = 0.01 \), showing multiple harmonic resonance in addition to Doppler resonance parameter instability [10]. Parametric resonance can be physically understood since the equilibrium provided by a large-scale shear flow with frequency \( \omega_z \) requires fluctuations to be invariant under the time translation by \( 1/\omega_z \), thereby supporting the excitation of modes of frequencies of \( \omega = n \omega_z \). It is worth noting that a classical example of parametric resonance is a vertically oscillating pendulum [24]. The pendulum sways side to side and the frequency of these oscillations are integer multiples of the driving frequency, depending on the magnitude of the oscillations. For instance, the case when the driving frequency and the pendulum oscillation frequency match is simply explained by the driving frequency trying to force the pendulum into step.

### V. CONCLUSION

We have performed a detailed study of the effect of oscillatory shear flow on turbulent transport and amplitude in passive scalar field model. Specifically, we have identified the three distinct scaling regimes with rms shearing rate, depending on the value of turbulence decorrelation time.

- Short correlation time limit \( (\gamma \rightarrow \infty) \): there is no resonance due to too rapid change in turbulence characteristics, with the flux decaying as \( \gamma^{-1} \).
- Medium correlation time \( (1 < \gamma \ll \infty) \): turbulent transport is enhanced around the rms Doppler resonance where the wave phase speed matches the rms velocity of oscillatory shear. Increasing either the shear or the characteristic frequency from Doppler resonance point acts to quench turbulent transport. Our numerical results with \( \gamma = 5 \) show that if the flux is normalized to the case of \( \alpha = 0 \) and \( \gamma = 0 \), the flux, thereby turbulent diffusion, scales as, \( \alpha \propto \Omega_m^{-0.5333} \) at resonance points. The depen-
ence on $\Omega_m$ at resonance point is thus weaker than that in the strong shear limit ($\propto \Omega_m^{-1.015}$). Furthermore, the value of flux at resonance point is larger than that in the absence of the shear flow, manifesting the enhancement of turbulent transport due to the oscillating shear flow. If the flux is normalized to the case $\alpha = 0$, the flux scales as $\propto \Omega_m^{0.831}$ for all possible values of turbulence frequency $\omega$, highlighting the increase in turbulent diffusion due to oscillatory shear flow.

- Long correlation time limit ($0 < \tau \ll 1$): there is parametric (harmonic) resonance of the form $\omega = n\omega_z$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in addition to Doppler resonance. At these resonance points, the numerically computed flux and amplitude show significant enhancements, with their maximum values provided by Doppler resonance peaks.

These results are summarised in Table 1. Our results suggest that oscillatory shear flows can enhance the value of turbulent diffusion, speeding up turbulent mixing, depending on the characteristics of shear flow and turbulence. Enhancement of turbulent mixing can then have either welcoming or unwelcoming consequences in turbulent mixing. A typical example of the latter can be found in laboratory plasmas where the confinement is a critical issue. These results thus suggest that for the understanding and predictive modelling of turbulent transport in plasmas, it is necessary to determine frequency and power spectra of shear flows and turbulence. Our results have implications for turbulent mixing in many other fields such as geophysics, oceanography, atmospheric physics, solar physics, and magnetohydrodynamics where shear flows and turbulence are main players in transport. In particular, similar results obtained in the passive scalar fields model are expected to be valid for the transport of magnetic fields in the 2D magnetohydrodynamic turbulence as long as the backreaction of magnetic fields is negligible (i.e. in the kinematic regime). An interesting question is then what happens to the transport/diffusion of large-scale magnetic fields when the backreaction is sufficient to modify the characteristics of turbulence. In particular, it would be interesting to study whether oscillatory shear flows can weaken the severe quenching in the amplification of magnetic fields (the so-called dynamos) in 3D and their diffusion rate in 2D due to the magnetic backreactions. Furthermore, the backreactions of turbulence on shear flows will also have an important consequence on the evolution of shear flows, for instance, leading to complex temporal and spatial dynamics [13], thereby dynamically determining the frequency and power spectra of shear flows, which have been assumed to be given in this paper and the previous works [4, 6, 7, 9]. These problems will be addressed in future publications.
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\[\gamma \rightarrow \infty\]  No resonance \[\langle n'^2 \rangle \propto \omega^{-2}, \propto \gamma^{-1}\]
\[\langle n'v_x \rangle \propto \omega^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \propto \gamma^{-1}\]

\[1 < \gamma < \infty \gg 1\]  
\[\Omega > 1\]  
Doppler resonance  
\[\langle n'^2 \rangle \propto \omega^{-1.901}\]
\[\langle n'^2 \rangle \propto \omega^{-1.015}\]
\[\langle n'^2 \rangle \propto \omega^{-1.402}\]

\[0 < \gamma < 1\]  Parametric & Doppler resonance  
\[\langle n'^2 \rangle \propto \omega^{-0.476}, \propto \alpha^{-0.533}\]
\[\langle n'^2 \rangle \propto \omega^{-0.486}, \propto \Omega^{-0.534}\]

**TABLE I:** Summary of results with \(0 < \gamma < 1\), \(1 < \gamma < \infty\) and \(\gamma \rightarrow \infty\) describing the long, medium and short correlation times respectively.