Performance Analysis of Different Grid-Connected PV technologies under Fez weather conditions
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Abstract. The main objective of this study is to compare the one-year performance of 5.94 KWp grid-connected PV array systems, consisting of three types of mono-Si (2.04KWp), poly-Si (2.04KWp) and a-Si (1.86KWp) photovoltaic solar panels, installed on the roof of the Faculty of Sciences Dhar El Mahraz in Fez. The study is based on data collected in 2017 on energy production. The performance elements evaluated monthly and annually include: energy output, system efficiency, reference yield, final yield, performance ratio, annual capacity factor. The results show that poly-Si technology has the best performance. A comparison between PV Production in different locations in the world and will be presented, also we can conclude that Northern Morocco is a good region for PV production.

INTRODUCTION

Previously published studies show that the energy performance of PV modules depends on the climatic conditions (temperature, solar irradiation, etc...), and on the type of materials used in the production process, which determines the type of PV modules suitable for each the installation site. According to studies that in Morocco under the "propre.ma" project introduced in the framework of renewable energy launched by IRESEN. Amine Haibaoui et al [1], concluded that the mono-Si system has the best performance followed by poly-Si and a-Si. In addition, they established the relationship between efficiency and temperature, performance ratios and radiation, in order to know the behaviour of each technology for each period of the year and for the whole year. El Fathi et al [2], found a significant difference between the two technologies of (mono-Si and poly-Si) and amorphous. This difference is very important and that MPPT inverter is not well adapted to the I (V) curves of the amorphous silicon modules. Allouhi et al [3], found that the PV systems installed in Meknes have better performance indicators than those in other regions in Morocco. In another study, E. Başoğlu et al [4], presents an energy performance analysis of three different photovoltaic (PV) module technologies under İzmit, the weather conditions of Kocaeli in northwest Turkey. Benchmarking analyses were conducted to show the solar energy potential of İzmit, Kocaeli. The main objective of this study is to compare the performance of 5.94 KWp grid-connected photovoltaic installations during one year, consisting of three types of photovoltaic solar panels: mono-Si (2.04KWp), poly-Si (2.04KWp) and a-Si (1.86 KWp) installed on the roof of the Faculty of Sciences Dhar El Mahraz in Fez Morocco. The study is based on data collected on the year 2017 on energy production. The performance elements evaluated monthly and annually include: energy production, system efficiency, benchmark performance, final performance, performance index, annual capacity factor. More by comparing the measured results with results found with previous studies in different locations.

This article is organized as follows. Section 1 and 2 of this paper will focus on the location of the installation and the different behaviors of the PV system and the weather station, the definitions of the performance evaluation measures and their mathematical formulations. Section 3 is devoted to the analysis of the one-year performance of the grid-connected PV installation, consisting of three types of mono-Si poly-Si and a-Si PV panels installed, namely the energy production and performance indicators. Finally by conclusion which summarizes different results.

1 EXPERIMENTAL SET-UP

1.1 Place of study

The 5.94KWp grid-connected photovoltaic systems are installed on the roof of the Dhar El Mahraz Faculty of
Science [FSDM] with a latitude of 34°2' 0" North and a longitude of 4°58' 36" West. Fez is a city in northern Morocco, located 180km east of Rabat, between the Rif massif and the Middle Atlas. Being part of the imperial cities of Morocco with an area of about 424 km2, with a latitude of 34°03'00" North, a longitude of 4°58'59" West, and an altitude of 419 m at sea level.

1.2 Grid-connected PV system

The grid-connected photovoltaic system consists of 12 thin-film amorphous silicon (a-Si) panels, each with 155Wp, 8 polycrystalline panels (poly-Si) and 8 monocrystalline panels (mc-Si), each with 255Wp [5]. The electrical and dimensional properties of these three PV modules are shown in Table 1. The A-Si modular series was installed in double row, while poly-Si and mono-Si in a single row. The PV modules are mounted to the south (Azimuth equal to 0) with a panel tilt angle of 31°, this value close to the optimal value to provide maximum annual efficiency. The position of this PV installation on the roof. Each modular series was connected to its specific Sunny Boy 2000 HF-30 inverter. All monthly or annual production information and the status of different PV system components are followed by software adapted to the inverters [5].

1.3 Meteorological station

In order to collect meteorological data, we have installed a meteorological station developed as part of the PROPRE.MA project [6], funded by the Institute for Research on Solar Energy and New Energies (IRESEN). This meteorological station measures horizontal and inclined solar irradiations at 31°, ambient temperature, wind speed and direction. Total irradiation is the measurement of the total amount of solar energy available for a PV system according to the location of the system and the direction of the modules. The solar collector used in our metrology system is a Poly-Si silicon module. [7]

The ambient temperature varies according to the medium, so that the measurements are valid, the sensor is in direct contact with the air, also protected from sun and rain. These temperature sensors are based on analog-to-digital converters that convert the output signals of the sensors before being transmitted to the memory card in analog or digital form through different bus protocols. [8]

### Table 1. Technical characteristics of PV modules.

| Trademark          | System 1 | System 2 | System 3 |
|--------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Model              | STT60M   | SUNNODUL plus 255 poli | SUNNODUL plus 255 monoc |
| Photovoltaic cell  | Thin film (a-Si) | Poly crystalline | Mono crystalline |
| Maximum power at STC | 155Wc    | 255Wc    | 255Wc    |
| Maximum power point voltage (Vmp) | 60.9V   | 56.9V    | 53.4V    |
| Maximum power point current (Imp) | 2.43A   | 8.32A    | 8.15A    |
| Open circuit voltage (Voc) | 105.5V  | 38V      | 37.8V    |
| Short circuit current (Isc) | 2.56A   | 8.85A    | 8.66A    |
| Module Efficiency  | 14%      | 15.7%    | 15.2%    |
| Length             | 1412mm   | 1680     | 1680mm   |
| Width              | 1120mm   | 952mm    | 1060mm   |
| Weight             | 19.3kg   | 18.5kg   | 21.3kg   |
| Temperature coefficient (αmax) | -0.38%/°C | -0.4%/°C | -0.49%/°C |

2 PARAMETERS EVALUATION OF THE PERFORMANCE SYSTEM

Generally the conversion efficiency of PV systems is very low. As their available power depends on weather conditions such as solar radiation, temperature and other environmental conditions. Then the performance evaluation of PV modules becomes important. In order to study and evaluate the performance of the grid-connected photovoltaic installation, some indicators proposed by IEC 61724, NREL and SMA [9] can be used.

The electricity energy delivered to the grid is the energy delivered by the inverter in alternating current over a period of time, expressed in kWh. [10]

\[ E_{AC} = \tau_r \times \sum_t P_{AC} \]  
(1)

Where: \( P_{AC} \) : The output power of the inverter. \( \tau_r \) : The time.

The conversion efficiency or effectiveness to the STC, i.e. under a reference radiation quantity equal to \( G_0=1kW/m^2 \) at \( T=25°C \) and air mass AM=1.5. [11]

\[ \eta_{STC} = \frac{P_{STC}}{G_0S_m} \]  
(2)

Where: \( P_{STC} \) : Peak power of a PV panel (W) and \( S_m \) : Effective area of the PV panel (m²).

The overall efficiency of the system includes all losses caused by its components, from the modules to the point of injection of alternating current into the distribution network. [11]

\[ \eta_{Syst} = \frac{E_{AC}}{E_{sat}} \]  
(3)

The photovoltaic field yield is defined as the ratio between the total energy produced (kWh) by the PV panels for a defined period (day, month or year) and the \( P_{ref} \) nominal power (kW) of the panels at the STC: [12]

\[ Y_A = \frac{E_{DC}}{P_{ref}} \]  
(4)
The final efficiency is the total energy produced by the PV installation, $E_{AC}$ (kWh) in relation to the $P_{ref}$ installed nominal power (kWp). [13]

$$Y_f = \frac{E_{AC}}{P_{ref}}$$

(5)

The reference efficiency is the ratio between the total solar radiation $H_t$ (kWh/m²) on the surface of the PV solar panels and the reference amount of radiation $G_0$ (1kW/m²). [14]

$$Y_r = \frac{H_t}{G_0}$$

(6)

The performance ratio is a key parameter used in the performance studies of grid-connected photovoltaic installations. [14-9] It is described as a quality factor, and describes the relationship between actual and theoretical production, during a reference period:

$$PR = \frac{Y_f}{Y_r}$$

(7)

The capacity factor compares the actual production of a PV installation with the energy it would produce if it operated at full capacity all the time. It measures the ratio between the energy actually produced by a photovoltaic installation during a period (kWh) and the electricity it could have supplied by constantly operating at its peak power during the same period [10] :

$$FC = \frac{E_Y}{P_{ref}^* \tau}$$

(8)

Where : is the number of hours in the period considered (The period can be days, months or years).

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of the actual performance of the three silicon technologies (a-Si, mono-Si, poly-Si) connected to the network located at FSDM were performed using all the steps motioned in Part I. Graphs showing weather data, production and monthly average performance indicators of our systems were performed using measurements obtained 2017.

In order to study different irradiations and the choice of an ideal tilt angle. Figure 1 shows the monthly evolution of the solar radiation intensity on a south-facing plane with a fixed tilt angle of 31°, that the tilted irradiation is higher compared to the horizontal irradiation in this period. The monthly average horizontal irradiation in January is the lowest compared to that of August, because the path of the sun. Thus, the inclination is intended to increase the irradiation received by the sensors during the winter period. For this purpose, the inclined irradiation will be used for performance analysis.

3.1 Total $E_{AC}$ energy of the three PV systems

The evolution of the monthly average AC energy per day (in kWh / day) generated by the three grid-connected PV systems (from January 2017 to December 2017), which AC energy is transferred to the utility is shown in Figure 2. Poly-Si silicon modules always give the highest AC power production. The months with the highest AC power production are the summer months. When the period of sunshine that is important in summer. A comparison of the annual energy production shows that the a-Si plant generated 3153.579kWh, the second poly-Si plant generated 3558.975kWh, and the third mono-Si plant generated 3476.866kWh per year. Consequently, the total energy produced by the three plants is approximately 10189.42 kWh per year.
produced by the three systems of the installation in the month of August.

3.2 Conversion efficiency or system efficiency

According to Figures 3, the conversion efficiency under actual operating conditions of the three technologies is close to that under standard test conditions in the winter months, but is lower in the summer months. The environmental conditions (solar irradiance, ambient air temperature, wind speed and direction) affect the conversion efficiency. The energy efficiency relative to irradiance and average monthly ambient air temperature for the three technologies was 8.43%, 13.67% and 13.03% respectively for a-Si, poly-Si and mono-Si PV panels.

Figure 3 shows that the efficiency increases as the ambient temperature decreases. The cell efficiency depends on the temperature: the higher the temperature increases, the lower the efficiency due to thermal agitation inside the material. The current increases slightly, but the voltage decreases more. This reduces the power and energy produced. In the month of February the efficiency decreases although the temperature drops, as well as the decrease in the amount of radiation reaching the PV panels, which leads to a low conversion of poly-Si and mono-Si systems. On the other hand a stabilization of the conversion efficiency for a-Si technology due to their characteristic of working with a lower amount of radiation.

3.3 Performance Ratio PR

The performance ratio is a means of assessing the relative efficiency of a PV system. It is calculated using either equation 7 or 9 for 12 months for each technology as shown in Figure 4. The winter months have the highest PR values, with monthly average values reaching almost 0.91 in April for the poly-Si grid. Due to their lower temperature coefficient, the a-Si technology had lower PR values in the summer. In addition, the PR values were higher for poly-Si technology than for other PV systems [15].

The monthly average performance ratio ranged from: 0.82 in the winter to 0.88 in the summer for a-Si installation, 0.75 in the summer to 0.90 in the winter for mono-Si installation, 0.78 in the summer to 0.91 in the winter for poly-Si installation. Therefore, the average monthly performance index varies with the seasons. When the performance values are low in the summer for both mono-Si and poly-Si technologies. This drop in performance is explained by the increase in cell temperature, which causes a proportional drop in voltage.

Moreover, the increase in temperature does not only result in a drop in performance. Indeed a high temperature can also damage the cells. This damage is characterized by micro-breaks between the cells, possible corrosion of the conductive parts of the cells and the interconnections, not forgetting that the temperature itself contributes to the acceleration of the ageing of the cells. This has a direct effect on the performance of the PV panels.

3.4 Capacity factor

The annual capacity factor of the PV system was calculated using equation 10, another indicator used to show how much PPS capacity occurs in a certain period of time [16]. It does not take into account environmental factors such as year-to-year irradiation variation and panel decommissioning or degradation. In other words [17], the CF is the ratio of the operating time under STC to the theoretical maximum operating time in a certain period of time. When considering the demand for electricity and meeting that demand on a continuous basis, a system or power plant is expected to have a CF of 100 per cent.
The annual results for the system capacity factor show that the Poly-Si facility has a slightly higher value (CF = 19.92%) than the Mono-Si facility (CF = 19.46%) and the a-Si facility (19.35%). To summarize, the monthly average of the capacity factors CF ranged from 14.54% to December as the minimum value and 22.47% to September as the maximum value for the a-Si plant. 14.63% to February as the minimum value and 22.71% to April as the maximum value for the Mono-Si plant. 15.21% to February as the minimum value and 22.97% to April as the maximum value for the Poly-Si plant.

### 3.5 Comparative studies at different sites

The data acquired in this study are useful for making comparisons with studies in other countries. Morocco is one of the most favorable places in Africa for the use of solar photovoltaic systems due to the high level of solar radiation. Although lignite is the main source of electricity generation in Morocco, solar photovoltaic systems can play a key role in the future in reshaping the energy supply system for a more sustainable, clean and reliable energy system.

| Location               | Type of module | YF (kWh/day) | RP (%) | PFC (%) | System Efficiency (%) |
|------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|
| Fez, Morocco           | a-Si           | 4.08         | 95.25  | 19.35   | 8.42                  |
|                        | Mono-Si        | 4.69         | 90.70  | 19.86   | 13.03                 |
|                        | Poly-Si        | 4.78         | 82.72  | 19.92   | 13.67                 |
| Casablanca, Morocco    | a-Si           | 4.33         | 73.11  | 18.05   | 7.21                  |
|                        | Mono-Si        | 4.55         | 76.71  | 18.66   | 11.7                  |
|                        | Poly-Si        | 4.17         | 75.60  | 18.64   | 11.1                  |
| Marrakech, Morocco     | a-Si           | 4.78         | 80.80  | -       | -                     |
|                        | Mono-Si        | 4.69         | 83.88  | -       | -                     |
|                        | Poly-Si        | 4.85         | 79.60  | -       | -                     |
| Meknes, Morocco        | a-Si           | 4.85         | 79.60  | 20.70   | 12.1                  |
|                        | Mono-Si        | 4.98         | 81.70  | 20.52   | 12.1                  |
|                        | Poly-Si        | 4.58         | 80.80  | -       | -                     |
| Kocaeli, Turkey        | a-Si           | -            | 89.29  | 17.78   | -                     |
|                        | Mono-Si        | -            | 82.05  | 16.07   | -                     |
|                        | Poly-Si        | -            | 85.80  | 15.75   | -                     |
| Pristina, Kosovo       | a-Si           | 3.84         | 84.80  | -       | 12.78                 |
|                        | Mono-Si        | 3.53         | 80.86  | -       | 11.67                 |
|                        | Poly-Si        | 2.4         | 81.5   | -       | 12.6                  |
| Dublin, Ireland        | a-Si           | 4.9         | 84.38  | -       | -                     |
| Eastern Cape, South Africa | Poly-Si    | 4.0         | 84.38  | -       | -                     |
| Khatian-Kalan, India   | Poly-Si        | 2.23         | 74.0   | -       | 8.3                   |

Table 2. Performance of different grid-connected PV systems in different locations.

The performance parameters evaluated for the Moroccan grid-connected photovoltaic systems installed in Fez are compared to the reported performance parameters of solar photovoltaic installations in various geographical locations and climatic conditions around the world, as shown in Table 2.

It is clear that the performances obtained in the present study are well positioned among the other installations, notably because of the region's abundant solar potential. Comparison between the results of this study and other international studies has revealed that the average annual average daily final PV system yield of 4.65kWh/kWp/day, 4.68kWh/kWp/day and 4.78kWh/kWp/day for a-Si, mono-Si and poly-Si, respectively, is one of the highest annual yields. It is higher than those reported in Casablanca [5], Khatkar-Kalan, India [18], Pristina, Kosovo [19] and Northern Ireland [20]. But it is lower than the yields reported in South Africa [21] and most of Morocco Meknes [22] with values of 4.85kWh/kWp/day and 4.98kWh/kWp/day for mono-Si and poly-Si, respectively. And in the region of Marrakech [23] with values of 4.96kWh/kWp/day and 4.78kWh/kWp/day for a-Si and mono-Si, respectively. The measured annual performance rate of 85.25%, 86.70% and 88.72% for a-Si, mono-Si and poly-Si, respectively. This indicates the vast solar potential in the East Fez region suitable for solar power generation.

### CONCLUSION

The performance of photovoltaic installations depends on climatic conditions such as temperature, irradiation. For this reason, their energy performance becomes an
important subject of analysis for different locations of PV installations.

In this study, the overall performance of the three different PV technologies (a-Si, mono-Si and poly-Si) was examined. AC electricity from the inverter output, final yield, performance index and capacity factor were evaluated under the same weather conditions with the same orientation angle. Using 2017 measurements taken and a comparison had been presented between 2017 measurement and other data in the literature.

This study showed that the poly-Si module has the best performance under the Fez weather conditions. The overall annual efficiency of the PV system was found equal to 8.42%, 13.67% and 13.03% for a-Si, poly-Si and mono-Si installations respectively. The annual average daily efficiencies were found equal to 4.64h, 4.78h and 4.67h for a-Si, poly-Si and mono-Si installations, respectively. The average performance index for a-Si, poly-Si and mono-Si panels was calculated to be 85.25%, 88.72% and 86.70%, respectively. The annual capacity factor of the PV system was 19.35% for a-Si, 19.92% for poly-Si, and 19.46% for mono-Si, respectively. The systems studied in Fez have superior performance indicators to those of other installations in different locations in the world.

This work is part of the ‘Propre.Ma’ project which has been sponsored by IRESEN (Morocco) since February 2014. In the name of all the rest of the consortium members, authors would like to thank IRESEN Board and Scientific Committee for financing this through the INNO’PV Program as well as its General Manager, Badr IKKEN, and the rest of the staff for their daily efforts. Authors are grateful to all the other members of the ‘Propre.Ma’ consortium.
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