First record of the rare freshwater alga Tetrasporopsis fuscescens (Chrysomerophyceae, Ochrophyta) in North America
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Abstract: This study presents the first record of the ochrophyte alga Tetrasporopsis fuscescens in North America, confirmed with light and transmission electron microscopic photomicrographs, cytochemical and molecular phylogenetic analyses. T. fuscescens was recorded rarely, being found in the benthos of only twelve stream sites: nine locations in Southern California and three in Northern California. More than half of the streams were non–perennial, characterized by long dry periods. Tetrasporopsis cells were gold–colored, spherical, with a distinct wall, assembled in the periphery of macroscopical gelatinous colonies, which start as tubular or sac–like structures, but later become membranous. The cells have 1–2 parietal chloroplasts, without a stigma or pyrenoid, and reproduction occurs by longitudinal cell division. Other features of the genus are as follows: cells in the colonies also divide by what appears to be smaller autospores with remnant cell walls remaining, the colonial mucilage consists of cylindrical dichotomously branched tubes radiating from the center of the colony to which attach the peripheral cells, and older cells become filled with large oil droplets. A combined gene tree of sequences from nuclear SSU rDNA, plastid rbcL, psaA, psbA and psbC showed that T. fuscescens specimens from Europe and U. S. A. formed a clade, which clustered with taxa classified in the class Chrysomerophyceae.
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INTRODUCTION

In the last decade, the extensive stream bioassessment sampling of the State of California’s Water Resource Control Board Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP) has provided over two thousand benthic algal samples collected across the entire state. Taxonomic analysis of the data led to the first light microscope (LM) documented record of Tetrasporopsis Lemmerm. ex Schmidle from streams in California in on–line identification guide to over 800 freshwater soft–bodied algal taxa (see Stancheva et al. 2016). The studied stream algal flora had been enriched with many new–to–science species belonging to the green algae Zygnema C.A. Agardh (Stancheva et al. 2012), Spirogyra Link (Stancheva et al. 2013), Ochlochaete Thwaites (Hall et al. 2018) and diatoms Amphora Ehr. ex Kütz., Halamphora (Cleve) Levkov (Štepanek & Kociolek 2013), Rhiocousphonia Grunow (Thomas & Kociolek 2015), Gomphonema Grunow, Gomphoneis Cleve (Stancheva et al. 2016), Fallacia Stickle et D. G. Mann (Stancheva & Manoylov 2018), Coccomies Ehr., Planothidium Round et Bukhtiyarova (Stancheva 2019 a, b), as well as the first record of the freshwater brown alga Pleurocladia lacustris A. Braun (Wehr et al. 2013).

The genus Tetrasporopsis is little known and challenging to identify, due to its rare records from freshwaters ponds or slow–running waters in central Europe (Tschermak–Woess 1979; Tschermak–Woess & Kusel–Fetzmann 1992) and Australia (Entwisle & Andersen 1990; Entwisle et al. 1997). Its thallus consists of gold–colored, spherical cells with a distinct wall assembled in colonies, which start as tubular or sac–like structures, but later become membranous (Entwisle & Andersen 1990; Guiry & Guiry 2019). The cells have 1–2 parietal chloroplasts, without a stigma or pyrenoid. Reproduction occurs by longitudinal division and flagella have not been observed. The records of T. fuscescens from the U.S.A. (e.g., Entwisle et al. 1997) are uncertain due to confusion with the similar genus Dermatochrysis.
(Entwisle & Andersen, personal communication) and are questioned by Nicholls & Wujek (2015).

The type species, Tetrasporopsis fuscescens (A. Braun ex Kütz.) Lemmerm. was first collected from Freiburg, Germany by A. Braun in November 1846, and this specimen was consequently leptotyphied by Entwisle & Andersen (1990), who re-evaluated the protologues and described a new genus Dermatochytris T. J. Entwisle et R. A. Andersen to include algae resembling Tetrasporopsis, but lacking cell walls. T. fuscescens was illustrated with either LM or transmission electron microscope (TEM) images from streams in Portugal (as Chrysocapsa epiphytica Lund) (Mesquita & Santos 1976a, 1976b), Austria (Tschermak-Woess 1979; Tschermak-Woess & Kusel-Fetzmann 1992) and Australia (Entwisle & Andersen 1990; Entwisle et al. 1997). This species is regarded as a rare, early–spring species in cool, slow–running streams and pools in central Europe (Kristiansen & Preisig 2001) and desiccation–tolerant in non–perennial streams in Australia (Entwisle & Andersen 1990). T. fuscescens from a Portuguese study (originally identified as Chrysocapsa epiphytica Lund by Mesquita & Santos 1976a, 1976b) was deposited at the Culture Collection of Algae at Goettingen University, strain SAG 20.88, and consequently used for phylogenetic analyses (Kai et al. 2008; Ichinomiya et al. 2011) and fatty acid profile studies (Lang et al. 2011). The phylogenetic position of Tetrasporopsis is still unclear with placement in Chrysophyceae (Entwisle & Andersen 1990; Guiry & Guiry 2019), Phaeothamniophyceae (Bailey et al. 1998; Kristiansen & Preisig 2001; Ichinomiya et al. 2011), and Chrysomerophyceae (Kai et al. 2008).

The aims of this paper were to: 1) report the distribution and ecological preferences of Tetrasporopsis in streams and rivers in California, 2) to provide morphological (LM), ultrastructural (TEM) and cytochemical characterization of this species, and 3) to determine its taxonomic affinities based on molecular phylogenetic analysis.

Materials and Methods

Field Sampling. The samples came from a major survey of over 2,000 wadeable streams across California that have been sampled for benthic algae and environmental variables under dry season (low–flow) conditions from March to October from 2008 to 2017. Quantitative composite benthic algal samples were collected from whatever substrata were present (e.g., cobble, silt/sand, gravel, bedrock, wood) at 11 objectively selected locations, spaced evenly across a 150 or 250 m long stream reach. In addition, fresh grab algal samples and environmental variables were obtained using a multi–habitat sampling protocol (Ode et al. 2016a). In addition, for the purposes of this study we collected fresh Tetrasporopsis colonies from Cottonwood Creek (902NP9WC on March 20, 2017) and Bell Creek (901BEL0LV on May 12, 2017) in Southern California and from the South Fork of Eel River (August 29, 2017) in Northern California (Table 1).

Water Level Logger Deployment. Stream flow duration and depth were recorded in seven non–perennial streams in Southern California, where Tetrasporopsis was observed, using Onset HOBO® U20 pressure transducer loggers (Onset Computer Corporation, Bourne, MA (Table 2). Loggers were deployed in the fall for 2–4 year period to target the onset and duration of flow prior to sampling. Loggers were deployed in locations indicative of sustained active baseflow (e.g. runs or glides, not pools and riffles) to prevent the over or under–estimation of flows and represent conditions required for the collection of benthic stream algae across multiple in–stream habitats. Loggers were set to record at 6–hour intervals to capture diel variability. Above–water HOBO® U20 pressure transducers were deployed outside the streambed at a subset of sites, selected on a regional basis, to enable for water level correction calculations per manufacturer’s recommendations. Water depth and flow onset and drying times were calculated in HOBOware® at each site after barometric compensation using data from a proximate above–water logger combined with reference water depths measured by field crews during stream sampling, logger deployment, and/or flow reconnaissance. Calculations in HOBOware® were exported to Microsoft Excel (Microsoft 2012) for calculations of stream intermittency calculated as stream dry period between flows. Dry periods were calculated as the number of days the logger continuously recorded no flow without inter–period wetting events, with wetting event being defined as 24 hours of stream flow (e.g. perennial streams would be 0 dry days).

LM. Algal photomicrographs, thallus and cell dimensions in this study were obtained from fresh specimens only. LM analysis and imaging of the specimens was performed using an Olympus® BX41 Photomicroscope and Olympus® SZ61 Stereomicroscope (Olympus America Inc., Center Valley, Pennsylvania) with differential interference contrast optics and attached Olympus® SC30 Digital Camera.

Cytochemistry. Lugol’s and Methylene Blue staining was used to display the structure of extracellular colonial mucilage (Krishnamurthy 1999). Intracellular phenolic compounds were stained with toluidine blue O staining (O’Brien et al. 1964). Toluidine blue O (0.05%) pH 4.5 stains polysaccharides rich in carboxyl or sulfate groups pink to reddish purple, and phenolic compounds green to bright blue (O’Brien and McCully 1981). Nile red fluorescence stain was applied to confirm the presence of intracellular lipid droplets (Greenspan et al. 1985). Nile red fluorescence of lipids (yellow–gold) was observed with an Olympus IX50 inverted fluorescence microscope using blue excitation (420–490 nm filter).

All cytochemistry observations were conducted on fresh Tetrasporopsis colonies from Bell Creek, and Lugol’s staining was applied to all Tetrasporopsis specimens studied. All chemicals used were from Fisher Scientific, Pittsburg, PA, USA.

TEM. Fresh Tetrasporopsis colonies from Eel River were used for TEM observations. Colonies were fixed with 2% gluteraldehyde in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer and postfixed in 1% OsO₄ in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer for 1 hr on ice. The colonies were dehydrated in graded series of ethanol (50–100%) while remaining on ice. They were then subjected to one wash with 100% ethanol and 2 washes with acetone (10 min each) and embedded with Durcupan. Sections were cut at 60 nm on a Leica UCT ultramicrotome, and picked up on 300 mesh copper grids. Sections were post–stained with 2% uranyl acetate for 5 minutes and Sato’s lead stain for 1 minute. Grids were viewed using FEI Tecnai Spirit G2 BioTWIN TEM and photographed with a bottom mount Eagle 4k (16 mega pixel) camera (Hillsboro, OR) at the Department of Cellular and Molecular Medicine at University of California San Diego.
Molecular phylogenetic analysis. Fresh *Tetrasporopsis* samples from Bell Creek were sent to Charles University in Prague for sequencing. Additionally, strain SAG 20.88 *Tetrasporopsis* spec. *as Chryscoca psa ephiphytica* (Mesquita & Santos 1976a, 1976b) was sequenced for comparison.

DNA isolations were carried out as described in Škaloudová & Škaloud (2013) with the only difference in using of 30 ml of InstaGene matrix (Bio–Rad Laboratories). Five molecular markers were amplified by PCR: nuclear SSU rDNA, plastid rbcL, psaA, psbA and psbC. The amplification of SSU rDNA was performed as described by Škaloud et al. (2013), using the primers 18SF and 18SR (Katana et al. 2001) and newly designed primers ChrysoSSU_F2 (5´–TGT CTC AAA GAT TAA GCC AT–3´) and ChrysoSSU_R2 (5´–CTA CGG AAA CCT TGT TAC GA–3´), annealing at 47 °C. The amplification of the rbcL marker was performed according to Jo et al. (2011) using newly designed primers Chryso_rbcL_F4 (5´–TGG ACD GAY TTA TTA ACD GC–3´) and Chryso_rbcL_R7 (5´–CCW CCA CCR AAY TGT ARW A–3´), annealing at 46 °C. The amplifications of the psaA and psbA markers were performed according to Yoon et al. (2002) using primers psaA 130F (5´–AAC WAC WAC TTG GAT TTG GAA–3´) and psaA 1760R (5´–CCW CTW CWW GGWCCA TCR CWG–3´) for psaA and primers psbA F (5´–ATG ACT GCT ACT TTA GAA AGA CG –3´) and psbA R2 (5´–TCA TGC ATW ACT TCC ATA CTA A –3´) for psbA. The amplification of the psbC marker was performed according to Yang et al. (2012) using primers psbC 31F (5´–TAC CAC GTG GAA ACG CVCT–3´) and psbC 1160R (5´–TCT TGC CAH GGY TGD ATA CTA–3´). The PCR products were purified and sequenced at Macrogen Inc. in Seoul, Korea or in Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

The dataset consisted of concatenated 35 SSU rDNA, 35 rbcL, 26 psaA, 21 psbA, and 16 psbC sequences, selected to encompass all lineages within the Stramenopiles SI and SII clades (Yang et al. 2012). The SSU rDNA sequences were aligned and cured using MAFFT, version 6, applying the Q–INS–i strategy (Katoh et al. 2002). Then, poorly aligned positions were eliminated using the program Gblocks, version 0.91b (Talavera & Castresana 2007). The final alignment was 1506–bp long. The remaining sequences were manually aligned using MEGA 5 (Tamura et al. 2011). The final alignments were 1323–bp (rbcL), 1365–bp (psaA), 855–bp (psbA) and 1020–bp (psbC) long, respectively. Prior concatenating the genes into final datasets, NJ analyses were performed on separate loci in MEGA 5 to check for possible topological incongruencies.

The most appropriate partition–specific substitution models were selected by jModelTest 2.1.4. The BIC–based model selection procedure selected the following models: (1) SYM + 1 + Γ for SSU rDNA, (2) TrN + 1 + Γ for the 1st rbcL and 3rd psaA codon partitions, (3) JC + 1 + Γ for the 2nd rbcL codon partition, (4) TVM + 1 + Γ for the 3rd rbcL and 2nd psaA codon partitions, (5) GTR + Γ for the 1st psaA and psbC codon partitions, (6) TVM + 1 for the 1st and 3rd psaA codon partitions, (7) JC + I for the 2nd psbA codon partition, (8) K80 + I for the 2nd psbC codon partition, and (9) TIM1 + Γ for the 3rd psbC codon partition. The phylogenetic trees were inferred with Bayesian inference (BI) using MrBayes version 3.2.6 (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck 2003), carried out on partitioned dataset to differentiate among both genes and codon partitions. The GTR substitution model was applied instead of SYM, TrN, TVM and TIM1, given that it was the best matching model available in MrBayes. All parameters were unlinked among partitions. Two parallel Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) runs were carried out for 8 million generations each with one cold and three heated chains. Trees and parameters were sampled for every 100 generations. Convergence of the two cold chains was checked and “burnin” was determined by use of the “dump” command. Bootstrap analyses were performed by ML and weighted parsimony (wMP) criteria by using GARLI, version 2.0 (Zwickl 2006) and PAUP, respectively. ML analyses consisted of 100 replicates, using default settings and the automatic termination set at 500,000 generations. The analyses were run on partitioned dataset to differentiate among both genes and codon positions, using the substitution models as described above. The wMP bootstrapping (1,000 replicates) was performed using heuristic searches with 1000 random sequence addition replicates, TBR swapping, and random addition of sequences (the number was limited to 10,000 for each replicate). The weight to the characters was assigned using the rescaled consistency index on a scale of 0–1.00. New weights were based on the mean of the fit values for each character over all of the trees in memory.

RESULTS

*Tetrasporopsis* was rarely recorded during the course of this multi–year extensive state–wide study of California. We identified this genus in twelve sites from nine streams located in Southern California, and three in northern California (Table 1).

Seven of the streams in Southern California were documented as non–perennial with long dry periods recorded (Table 2), ranging from a few months per year, typically from late spring to early winter, to up to three continuous years for sites 902NP9WC and 911NP9SC, preceding the development of *Tetrasporopsis*. The water parameters in *Tetrasporopsis* sites were pH 7–8, temperature 10–23.8 °C, low salinity (0–0.1 ppt) and conductivity (30.9–183.1 µS.cm⁻¹) in sites in Northern California, and elevated salinity (0.2–0.76 ppt) and conductivity (444–1495 µS.cm⁻¹) in sites in Southern California (Table 1). *Tetrasporopsis* colonies were growing in quantities along the shaded areas of the stream reach attached to rocks, wood, roots, water plants.

LM observations

Colonies were up to 4 cm long, gelatinous, brownish, and started as sac–like structures (Fig. 1A–B), but later became lobate, tubular or membranous, but not perforated. Cells were mostly concentrated in a layer within 3–15 µm from the outer surface of the monostromatic thallus, but the inner part of the mucilage also contained a few cells. Cells were randomly spaced in the periphery, more or less spherical, and 6.3–12 µm in diameter (Fig. 1C–D), whereas the cells in the inner part of the colony were ovoid and up to 16 µm long. Each cell has a distinct wall (Fig. 1D), two to four yellow–brown parietal chloroplasts, several chrysolaminarin granules and oil droplets (Fig. 1E). The cell wall was clearly visible in cells with degraded protoplasts (Fig. 1C). Contractile vacuoles, stigma and pyrenoids were not observed. In the young colonies from Cottonwood Creek, the common
mucilage was diffuse and colorless, and small refractive particles with Brownian motion were visible in actively dividing cells with diameter up to 10 µm. In the older larger colonies from Bell Creek, in some of the colonial lobes, many cells were larger than 10 µm in diameter and were embedded in the lower gelatinized part of the older cell wall in connection with mucilage production and condensation. The cell wall remnants were visible on the top of the cell. The oil droplets in these cells were enlarged and often merged into large single drop occupying large portions of the protoplast (Fig. 1E). These non–dividing cells were distributed among few actively dividing cells. Many spherical cells with diameter about 13 µm which were almost completely filled with large vacuole containing with gelatinous putative chrysolaminaran. The refractive particles with Brownian motion were missing from the enlarged cells with increased amount of storage products.

Fig. 1. *Tetrasporopsis fuscescens* field–collection: (A) Two globular colonies attached to an aquatic moss (Bell Creek); (B) Several benthic colonies in situ (Eel River); (C) Spherical cells with 2 or 3 parietal chloroplasts and situated in the outer colonial mucilage; (D) Remnant cell walls (arrows) scattered among vegetative cells; (E) Older cells in which much of the cell volume is filled with oil droplets (arrows). Scale bars 1 cm (A–B), 20 µm (C–E).
Reproduction was by longitudinal cell division to two daughter cells producing new cell walls. The daughter cells departed from each other in a characteristic way, starting from one pole, and the other poles stayed connected for some time with remnants of the mother cell wall. The walls of the mother cells disappeared shortly after division by gelatinization. The morphology of the specimens from California completely agree with the description of *T. fuscescens* from Australia (Entwisle and Andersen 1990) and Austria (Tschermac–Woess and Kusel–Fetzmann 1992). The capacity of producing zoospores was mentioned by Tschermac–Woess and Kusel–Fetzmann (1992), but not observed in our material; stomatocysts were also not present.

**Cytochemical observations**

Lugol’s and Methylene Blue staining showed that extracellular colonial mucilage consists of cylindrical tubular dichotomously branched structures radiating from the center of the colony (Fig. 2A). Each cell was...
situated on the outer layer of a mucilaginous tube, and the cell division resulted in tube bifurcation. Toluidine blue O stained many small granular structures located in the cell periphery between the chloroplast and cell wall, possibly containing phenolic compounds (Fig. 2B). The number of these blue–greenish stained structures was variable depending on cell development stage. Nile red fluorescent staining confirmed the presence of variable amount of lipids in the cells, depending on cell physiological condition (Fig. 2C–D).

**TEM observations**
The cells were unevenly spaced in the outer mucilage with the chloroplasts occupying 2/3 or more of the cell volume (Fig. 3A). The nucleus was situated near one end of the cell and contained a prominent nucleolus and condensed chromatin scattered within the nucleoplasm (Fig. 3B–C). The chloroplast envelope had multiple layers and connects with the cytoplasmic endoplasmic reticulum. The chloroplasts had scattered chains of plastoglobuli, which were presumably lipoidal. The elongate thylakoids were in stacks of three throughout their length (Fig. 3D). The mitochondria were often localized near the nucleus and they had lobe–like cristae (Fig. 3C). The cell contained vacuoles of various sizes which may be part of a larger network (Fig. 3C). The cell wall was thick and composed of multiple layers of fibrils (Fig. 3D).

**Molecular phylogenetic analysis**
The combined gene tree of taxa belonging to Stramenopiles

---

Fig. 3. TEM of *Tetrasporopsis fuscescens*: (A) Portion of a colony with scattered cells; (B, C) Vegetative cell with two tubular chloroplasts (C), nucleus at one end (N) with a prominent nucleolus (Nu), and condensed chromatin (Ch), several scattered mitochondria (M) and portion of vacuoles (V); (D) Periphery of cell with a chloroplast with several layers of envelope and endoplasmic reticulum (E) and a cell wall with multiple layers of fibrils (W). Scale bars 5 µm (A), 1 µm (B), 0.5 µm (C, D).
SI and SII clades (sensu Yang et al. 2012) included *Tetrasporopsis* in the clade SI (Fig. 4). The two *Tetrasporopsis* specimens from Europe and U.S.A. formed a distinct clade, which clustered sister to taxa classified in the class Chrysomerophyceae. *Tetrasporopsis* was separated from the rest of Chrysomerophyceae taxa with quite a long branch.

**DISCUSSION**

This study provided evidence for the distribution of desiccation–tolerant, macroscopic stream alga *Tetrasporopsis fuscescens* in streams in California, U.S.A. *Tetrasporopsis* was recorded in seven non–perennial stream sites in southern California, with streams being dry for several months prior to collection, or running only in extremely wet years (e.g. 2017, Table 2). While water level loggers were not deployed at the other two southern California sampling locations, stream flow surveys during summer dry periods found the locations to be non–perennial (C. Loflen, personal observation; R. Barabe, personal communication). Similarly, Entwisle & Andersen (1990) recorded *T. fuscescens* in non–perennial streams in Australia tolerating dry conditions. This alga could tolerate desiccation for long periods, although resting cells with modified cell walls, such as akinetes or sili
cious statospores, nor sexual reproduction are unknown (Entwisle & Andersen 1990, this study). The desiccation tolerance could be attributed to high amounts of phenolic compounds deposited in the periphery of the cell.
Table 1. Selected environmental variables in *Tetrasporopsis* stream localities in California. Legend: (BDL) below detection limits, (NA) not available, (NM) not measured.

| Station code | Sampling date | Stream name           | Latitude   | Longitude  | AFDM (g m\(^{-2}\)) | Chlorophyll a (mg.m\(^{-2}\)) | Temperature (°C) | pH       | Alkalinity as CaCO\(_3\) (mg.l\(^{-1}\)) | Turbidity (NTU) | Oxygen Saturation (%) | Oxygen Dissolved (mg.l\(^{-1}\)) | Specific Conductivity (µS.cm\(^{-1}\)) | Salinity (ppt) | Ammonia as N (mg.l\(^{-1}\)) | Chloride (mg.l\(^{-1}\)) | Dissolved organic carbon (mg.l\(^{-1}\)) | Nitrite + Nitrate as N (mg.l\(^{-1}\)) | Nitrogen, Total (mg.l\(^{-1}\)) | OrthoPhosphate as P (mg.l\(^{-1}\)) | Phosphate as P (mg.l\(^{-1}\)) | Silica as SiO\(_2\) (mg.l\(^{-1}\)) |
|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------|---------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| 901BELOLV    | 3/26/2015     | Eel River             | 33.64060   | –117.55310 | 6.28                  | 4.45                        | 15.2            | 7.72    | 117                                           | 0.23           | 68.5                     | 6.8                          | 907                      | 0.36         | BDL                         | 28               | 0.77                        | 0.0063                      | 0.0511                   | 0.0441                  | 0.066                      | 32                        |
| 901HP9HC     | 4/18/2016     | Bell Creek            | 33.64060   | –117.51474 | 5.8                   | 11.8                        | 23.8            | 7.83    | 177                                           | 0.03           | 72.5                     | 7.38                         | 850                      | 0.42         | BDL                         | 17.9             | 0.77                        | 0.003                        | 0.111                    | 0.029                   | 0.0086                     | 22.8                     |
| 902NP9CWC    | 4/11/2017     | Cottonwood Creek      | 33.41925   | –116.86102 | NM                    | NM                          | 13.69           | 8.11    | 169                                           | 0.39           | 115                     | 14.73                        | 1,495                    | 0.36         | 0.19                        | 71               | 1.17                        | 0.019                       | 0.68                      | 0.029                   | 0.0036                     | 11.7                     |
| 905DGCC1x    | 4/6/2017      | Carney Canyon         | 33.15997   | –116.6940 | NM                    | NM                          | 12.63           | 7.51    | 99                                            | 1.37           | 115                     | 14.77                        | 650                      | 0.32         | BDL                         | 74.6             | 3.01                        | 0.001                       | 0.499                     | 0.0046                  | 0.001                      | 43.3                     |
| 911TJKC1x    | 5/10/2016     | Kitchen Creek         | 32.76012   | –116.55123 | NM                    | NM                          | 10              | 7.59    | 132                                           | 0.81           | 138.2                    | 14.77                        | 725                      | 0.36         | 0.19                        | 120              | 2.54                        | 0.01                        | 0.12                      | 0.0466                  | 0.012                       | 12.8                     |
| 911KCKCRx    | 3/18/2013     | Sweetwater River      | 32.78747   | –116.45161 | NM                    | NM                          | 11.1            | 7.7     | 181                                           | 1.17           | 147.4                    | 14.77                        | 444                      | NA           | 0.19                        | 120              | 2.54                        | 0.02                        | 0.002                     | 0.0046                  | 0.012                       | 12.8                     |
| 911NP9SCC    | 4/7/2015      | Secret Canyon         | 32.81349   | –116.63814 | BM                     | NA                          | 11.9            | 8.86    | 222                                           | 2.3             | NA                      | 8.79                         | 850                      | 0.2          | BDL                         | 755              | 3.02                        | 0.02                        | 0.12                      | 0.02                    | 0.0025                      | 44.3                     |
| 909M24925    | 5/10/2016     | Hayward Creek         | 32.83952   | –115.5789  | BM                     | NA                          | 11.9            | 8.86    | 140                                           | 1.9             | NA                      | 8.79                         | 28.26                    | NA           | 0.003                       | 755              | 3.02                        | 0.02                        | 0.12                      | 0.0046                  | 0.012                       | 12.8                     |
| 909WE0662    | 6/27/2017     | Garcia River          | 38.33520   | –120.28330 | BM                     | NA                          | 11.9            | 8.79    | 88                                            | 0.9             | NA                      | 8.79                         | 33                      | NA           | 0.003                       | 755              | 3.02                        | 0.02                        | 0.12                      | 0.0046                  | 0.012                       | 12.8                     |
| 532NS0419    | 8/15/2017     | Mad Creek             | 38.8719    | –123.57    | BM                     | NA                          | 11.9            | 8.79    | 25                                            | 0              | NA                      | 8.79                         | 33                      | NA           | 0.003                       | 755              | 3.02                        | 0.02                        | 0.12                      | 0.0046                  | 0.012                       | 12.8                     |
| 113NC0204    | 8/30/2017     | Mod Creek             | 39.64578   | –123.61599 | BM                     | NA                          | 11.9            | 8.79    | 96                                            | 0              | NA                      | 8.79                         | 33                      | NA           | 0.003                       | 755              | 3.02                        | 0.02                        | 0.12                      | 0.0046                  | 0.012                       | 12.8                     |
Table 2. Water level logger data indicating time periods with no water flow in seven non-perennial stream in Southern California, where *Tetrasporopsis* was recorded.

| Station code | 901BELOLV | 901NP9HJC | 902NP9CWC | 905DGCC1x | 911NP9SCC | 911TJKC1x | 911KCKCRx |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Time period with no water flow recorded (=stream depth 0 m) | 9 May 2013 to 14 Feb 2014 | 5 Nov 2013* to 27 Nov 2013 | 6 Nov 2013* to 2 Mar 2014 | 27 Nov 2013* to 31 Jan 2014 | 7 Nov 2013* to 20 Jan 2017 | 15 Apr 2015 to 23 Apr 2015 | 15 Jun 2015 to 20 Dec 2015 |
| 22 Feb 2014 to 27 Feb 2014 | 4 Dec 2013 to 11 Dec 2013 | 3 Mar 2014 to 20 Jan 2017 | 1 Feb 2014 to 7 Feb 2014 | 8 Feb 2014 to 27 Feb 2014 | 30 Apr 2015 to 8 May 2015 | 9 Jun 2016 to 8 Aug 2016** |
| 5 May 2014 to 24 Dec 2014 | 18 Dec 2013 to 23 Dec 2013 | 1 Feb 2014 to 7 Feb 2014 | 8 Feb 2014 to 27 Feb 2014 | 30 Apr 2015 to 8 May 2015 | 31 May 2015 to 5 Jan 2016 |
| 7 April 2015 to 5 Jan 2016 | 28 Dec 2013 to 7 Feb 2014 | 5 Mar 2014 to 5 Jan 2016 | 1 Jun 2016 to 21 Dec 2016 |
| 10 May 2016 to 24 Dec 2016 | 17 Feb 2014 to 28 Feb 2014 | 7 Jan 2016 to 22 Dec 2016 |
| 3 May 2014 to 7 Dec 2014 | 29 Dec 2016 to 30 Dec 2016 |
| 7 Feb 2015 to 26 Feb 2015 | 20 Jun 2017 to 25 Sep 2017** |
| 11 March 2015 to 23 Dec 2015 |
| 19 April 2016 to 16 Dec 2016 |
| 22 Jun 2017 to 25 Aug 2017** |

*Note that first date represents the date of deployment for streams where there was no flow during deployment  
**Logger removed during dry conditions
between parietal chloroplasts and cell walls, potentially protecting the intracellular organelles and DNA from UV radiation (Holzinger & Pihrtová 2016). Also, the formation of multilayered mucilaginous walls, in combination with storing large amounts of lipids. The cells of Tetrasporopsis contain plentiful amounts of reserve products, particularly large lipid globules in older cells. Entwisle & Andersen (1990) called these large structures in their electron micrographs chrysoscleraminar vacuoles, but our Nile Red staining in similar structures shows that they are lipoidal, not carbohydrate in content. Storage of lipid bodies is common in a variety of members of Stramenopiles as cells approach stationary phase of growth (Bigelow et al. 2013).

Tetrasporopsis fuscescens distributed in California is an indicator of good water quality stream conditions based on the nutrient data (Table 1) as well of the fact that it co–exists with red algae Sheathia Salomaki et M. L. Vis and Sirodotia Kylin, and N2–fixing cyanobacterium Nostoc Vacher ex Bornet et Flahaut, which occur in low nutrient waters (Stancheva et al. 2016). In addition, the seven logged non– perennial sites in southern California were screened as part of the State of California’s Reference Condition Management Program, which targets sites for sampling that meet criteria for a lack of anthropogenic activity upstream (e.g. roads, agriculture, Ode et al. 2016b).

One of the key questions that we had at the onset of this study is its classification among fucoxanthin– dominated algal groups in Stramenopiles, was answered by our combined gene tree (Fig. 4). Supplemeting the dataset by three newly–sequenced plastid–encoded genes (i.e. psaA, psbA and psbC) corroborated the placement of the genus in a sister position to Chrysomereophyceae as already proposed by Kai et al. (2008). For any conclusion concerning the taxonomic placement of Tetrasporopsis, the type species of the genus Chrysosomeris, C. ramosa N. Carter, need to be isolated in culture and sequenced, along with comparative ultrastructural, molecular and pigment analyses of both genera.

The genus Tetrasporopsis is a monospecific. However, Guiry & Guiry (2019) consider two more species for the genus, that is, T. perforata (Whitford) Bourrelly (conspecific with T. reticulata K. I. Meyer according to Whitford 1976) and T. pseudofenestrata J.W.G. Lund. Indeed, both species were transferred to Dermatochrysis by Entwisle & Andersen (1990) and listed as currently accepted Dermatochrysis species by Guiry & Guiry (2019). All of our specimens resemble morphologically the type species T. fuscescens.
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