Effect of the Piperazine Unit and Metal-Binding Site Position on the Solubility and Anti-Proliferative Activity of Ruthenium(II)- and Osmium(II)-Arene Complexes of Isomeric Indolo[3,2-c]quinoline—Piperazine Hybrids
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ABSTRACT: In this study, the indoloquinoline backbone and piperazine were combined to prepare indoloquinoline—piperazine hybrids and their ruthenium- and osmium-arene complexes in an effort to generate novel antitumor agents with improved aqueous solubility. In addition, the position of the metal-binding unit was varied, and the effect of these structural alterations on the aqueous solubility and antiproliferative activity of their ruthenium- and osmium-arene complexes was studied. The indoloquinoline—piperazine hybrids L1–3 were prepared in situ and isolated as six ruthenium and osmium complexes [(η6-p-cymene)M(L1–3)Cl]Cl, where L1 = 6-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-N-(pyridin-2-yl-methylene)-11H-indolo[3,2-c]quinolin-2-N-amine, M = Ru ([1a]Cl), Os ([1b]Cl), L2 = 6-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-N-(pyridin-2-yl-methylene)-11H-indolo[3,2-c]quinolin-4-N-amine, M = Ru ([2a]Cl), Os ([2b]Cl), L3 = 6-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-N-(pyridin-2-yl-methylene)-11H-indolo[3,2-c]quinolin-8-N-amine, M = Ru ([3a]Cl), Os ([3b]Cl). The compounds were characterized by elemental analysis, one- and two-dimensional NMR spectroscopy, ESI mass spectrometry, IR and UV-vis spectroscopy, and single-crystal X-ray diffraction. The antiproliferative activity of the isomeric ruthenium and osmium complexes [1a,b]Cl–[3a,b]Cl was examined in vitro and showed the importance of the position of the metal-binding site for their cytotoxicity. Those complexes containing the metal-binding site located at the position 4 of the indoloquinoline scaffold ([2a]Cl and [2b]Cl) demonstrated the most potent antiproliferative activity. The results provide important insight into the structure—activity relationships of ruthenium- and osmium-arene complexes with indoloquinoline—piperazine hybrid ligands. These studies can be further utilized for the design and development of more potent chemotherapeutic agents.

INTRODUCTION

The search for more effective ruthenium complexes and organoruthenium compounds as chemotherapeutic agents with fewer side effects than currently clinically applied platinum compounds continues to attract attention.1–8 In fact, the use of biologically active ligands in combination with ruthenium is an option to achieve synergistic effects.9 The effect of metal coordination on their antiproliferative activity, alteration of their pharmacological properties, is an area of study that requires further investigation.

Paulones or indolo[3,2-d]/benzazepines (Figure 1, top left and top middle), initially predicted to exhibit a cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) inhibitory profile similar to that of flavopiridol10,11 were later shown to act as protein kinase inhibitors,12,13 targeting glycogen synthase kinase-3β and mitochondrial malate dehydrogenase.14,15 However, their clinical use was hampered by their limited aqueous solubility. One way to potentially overcome this problem was the coordination of these organic compounds to metal ions. Complexes with gallium(III),16,17 ruthenium,18 copper(II),19 as well as organoruthenium(II) and organoosmium(II) compounds have been synthesized, and due to their improved aqueous solubility, their antiproliferative activity has been investigated.20–24 In fact, interesting structure—activity relationships have been established. For example, replacement of the seven-membered azepine ring in modified paulonones by a six-membered pyridine ring has led to another class of biologically...
active compounds, namely, indolo[3,2-c]quinolines (Figure 1, top right and bottom).

Although specific kinase inhibition has not been documented for indolo[3,2-c]quinolines,25 tree extracts containing indolo[3,2-b] and indolo[3,2-c]quinolines have a long history of usage in traditional African medicine.26 The main difference compared to the paullones is the full conjugation of the heterocyclic system, leading to the planarity of the indoloquinoline backbone. This resulted in different physicochemical properties and a marked alteration in the biological activity of these indoloquinolines.27 It was discovered that the modified paullones containing an ethylenediamine binding moiety (Figure 1, top middle) formed ruthenium- and osmium-arene complexes that were more stable compared to their indoloquinoline counterparts23 (Figure 1, top right). However, the complexes with indoloquinolines were approximately 1 order of magnitude more cytotoxic.23

Interestingly, replacement of the sp²-hybridized nitrogen donor atoms with sp³-hybridized nitrogens present in the formylpyridine–azine modified indoloquinolines (Figure 1, bottom left) afforded complexes that do not dissociate with liberation of the indoloquinoline ligand under biological conditions.27,28 These studies enabled elucidation of the effects of substituents at positions 2 and 8 of the indoloquinoline backbone (Figure 1, bottom left) on their biological activity.27,28

Further structural diversity was achieved through synthetic procedures involving the reduction of a nitro group at position 2 of the indoloquinoline backbone. This created a potential metal-binding site via condensation of the emerging NH₂ group with 2-formylpyridine. This, in combination with the preservation of the lactam group, resulted in a new class of paullone analogues (Figure 1, bottom middle).29 This group was of particular interest since the lactam group of the metal-free paullones was shown to be essential for efficient CDK inhibition.11 Their resulting ruthenium and osmium indoloquinoline complexes exhibited lower in vitro antiproliferative activity.29 In fact, their efficacy was 2 orders of magnitude lower when compared to complexes with ligands coordinated via a similar binding site at position 6 of the backbone.29 Furthermore, one osmium complex with the ligand shown in Figure 1 (bottom right) demonstrated antitumor activity in vivo.29 Intriguingly, this complex showed activity not only after intraperitoneal injection, but also when administered orally.29

We were interested in combining bioactive substructures, namely, that of the indoloquinoline backbone with a piperazine heterocycle, to improve the water solubility of the indoloquinoline moiety. Moreover, piperazine is frequently found in the structures of biologically active compounds in a large spectrum of therapeutic areas.30–33 Some of these agents, such as Ciprofloxacin, Ofloxacin, Posaconazole, Enoxacin, Eperozolid, and Piperacillin, are currently used successfully in clinical therapy.34–36 Metal-based derivatives of biologically active compounds containing this heterocyclic nucleus as a pharmacophore have been also reported.37–41 An efficient approach to the synthesis of water-soluble biologically active compounds is the attachment of piperazine or a modified piperazine heterocycle to lipophilic pharmacophore moieties.42–44 Further modulation of hydrophilic/lipophilic balance can be achieved by introducing different substituents to the distant N atom on the piperazine ring.45

Furthermore, it was also of interest to vary the metal-binding unit position and to study the effect of these changes on the aqueous solubility and antiproliferative activity of the ruthenium- and osmium-arene complexes.

Herein, we report the in situ synthesis and characterization of three isomeric indoloquinoline–methyl-piperazine conjugates with the location of the metal-binding site at position 2, 4, or 8 of the indoloquinoline scaffold. These compounds were isolated as six water-soluble ruthenium- or osmium-arene complexes of the general formulas [((η⁶-p-cymene)M(L¹⁻Cl)]⁺
The results obtained provide an important insight into the structure–activity relationships of ruthenium- and osmium-arene complexes with indoloquinoline–piperazine hybrids and can be utilized further for the design and development of more effective chemotherapeutic agents.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

### Materials

2-Aminobenzylamine, phosphorus oxychloride, 1-methylpiperazine, and iron powder were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO), while isatin, 5-nitrosatind, and 2-pyridinecarboxaldehyde were purchased from Acros (Geel, Belgium). All chemicals, as well as the synthesized precursors, were used without further purification. 2-Amino-5-nitrosobenzylamine hydrochloride was synthesized according to a procedure published elsewhere. The free amine was obtained by the dissolution of the hydrochloride in a minimal amount of water, addition of aqueous ammonia and collection of the formed precipitate by filtration. Ethanol was dried over molecular sieves (3 Å), and tetrahydrofuran (THF) was dried by using a standard procedure (Na/benzophenone).

### General Synthetic Procedure (A) for the Synthesis of Complexes [1b][Cl], [2b][Cl], and [3a,b][Cl]

The corresponding 2-, 4-, or 8-amino-6-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-indolo[3,2-c]quinoline (1 equiv) and the respective metal-p-cymene dimer, [RuCl2(p-cymene)]2 or [OsCl2(p-cymene)]2 (0.9 equiv), were suspended in dry ethanol. 2-Pyridinecarboxaldehyde (0.9 equiv) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred under an argon atmosphere, whereupon a clear solution was obtained within a few minutes. After stirring at room temperature for 20 h, the solution was filtered through a GF-3 filter and then added dropwise into dried diethyl ether over sodium sulfate (approximately 400 mL of diethyl ether per 3 mL of solution). The precipitate was formed after drying and dried in vacuo at 45 °C.

### General Synthetic Procedure (B) for the Synthesis of Complexes [1a][Cl] and [2a][Cl]

2-Amino-6-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-indolo[3,2-c]quinoline (50 mg, 0.15 mmol) and [RuCl2(p-cymene)]2 (0.04 g, 0.07 mmol) were suspended in deionized water (1 mL) in a Schlenk tube. 2-Pyridinecarboxaldehyde (13 μL, 0.14 mmol) was added, and the resulting suspension was stirred under an argon atmosphere at room temperature for 24 h. The resulting precipitate was filtered off and dried in vacuo at 45 °C. Yield: 45 mg, 41%. Anal. Calcd for C36H38Cl2N6Os (M = 777.74), %: C, 56.03; H, 5.62; N, 10.89. Found: %, C, 56.12; H, 5.61; N, 10.87. Solubility in sodium phosphate buffer (20 mM, pH 7.40) ≥ 6.4 mg/mL. The NMR (500 MHz, deuterated dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO-d6)) of (1a)[Cl]: 12.18 (s, 1H, H3′), 9.60 (d, 1H, J = 5.4 Hz, H3), 9.20 (s, 1H, H5), 8.80 (s, 1H, H4), 8.41–8.34 (2H, H2′ and H5′), 8.09–8.05 (2H, H2′ and H5′), 8.01 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz, H7′), 7.96–7.92 (2H, H2′ and H5′), 7.80 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz, H7′), 7.55–7.51 (1H, H1′), 7.45–7.40 (1H, H1′), 7.60 (d, 1H, J = 6.2 Hz, H6′/H8′), 5.79 (d, 1H, J = 6.2 Hz, H6′/H8′), 5.69 (d, 1H, J = 6.2 Hz, H6′/H8′), 3.60 (br s, 4H, CH2), 2.81 (br s, 4H, CH2), 2.50 (m, 1H, H5′, overlapped with DMSO signal), 2.64 (br s, 3H, CH3), 2.25 (br s, 3H, H3′), 1.01–0.96 (6H, 2H, 4H, F3′). 13C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d6): 157.40 (CH, C1′), 158.46 (C6′, C8′), 156.73 (CH, C3), 155.03 (C′/C5′), 147.53 (C5′, C7′), 145.39 (C6′, C8′), 142.64 (C′, C5′), 140.62 (CH, C7), 139.14 (C′, C5′), 130.39 (CH, C3), 129.45 (CH, C5), 129.32 (CH, C3), 125.53 (CH, C8), 121.14 (CH, C3), 121.46 (CH, C3), 121.44 (C′, C5′), 115.71 (C′, C5′), 115.20 (CH, C8), 112.52 (CH, C3), 107.02 (C′, C5′), 105.42 (C′, C5′), 104.56 (C′, C5′), 87.43 (CH, C2′/C4′), 87.10 (CH, C2′/C4′), 85.74 (CH, C3′/C5′), 85.25 (CH, C3′/C5′), 55.0 (CH, CH3), 49.3 (CH, CH3), 46.2 (CH, CH3), 31.01 (CH, CH3), 22.24 (CH, CH3), 21.22 (CH, CH3), 19.18 (CH, CH3) ppm.

### Solubility in sodium phosphate buffer (20 mM, pH 7.40) ≥ 6.4 mg/mL.
Table 1. Crystal Data and Details of Data Collection for [1aH][Cl2EtOH·2H2O], [2aH][Cl2·1.5PrOH·0.5H2O], and [2a][Cl·0.5EtOH·H2O]

| compound | [1aH][Cl2EtOH·2H2O] | [2aH][Cl2·1.5PrOH·0.5H2O] | [2a][Cl·0.5EtOH·H2O] |
|-----------|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| empirical formula | C36H38Cl2N6Ru | C36H38Cl2N6Ru | C36H38Cl2N6Ru |
| fw | 845.25 | 862.30 | 876.74 |
| space group | P2/n | P21/c | P |
| α, Å | 19.2712(14) | 15.2896(5) | 10.0291(4) |
| b, Å | 7.6885(7) | 19.5424(6) | 9.4460(4) |
| c, Å | 25.5459(19) | 15.3917(5) | 19.2958(7) |
| β, deg | 91.724(4) | 113.677(2) | 93.479(5) |
| V (Å³) | 38720(5) | 42118(2) | 18246(13) |
| Z | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| µ, mm⁻¹ | 0.7103 | 0.7103 | 0.7103 |
| μ(α, deg) | 1.450 | 1.360 | 1.397 |
| ρ, g cm⁻³ | 1.1267 | 1.1267 | 1.1267 |
| cry size, mm³ | 0.12 × 0.08 × 0.02 | 0.25 × 0.13 × 0.03 | 0.15 × 0.10 × 0.04 |
| T [K] | 150(2) | 100(2) | 100(2) |
| R₁ | 0.0519 | 0.604 | 0.615 |
| wR₁ | 0.1137 | 0.1422 | 0.0922 |
| GOF | 1.084 | 1.058 | 1.036 |

*"R₁ = Σ(|F₀|−|F_c|)/Σ|F₀|, b wR₁ = (Σ(|wF₀|^2−|F_c|^2)^2)/Σ(|wF₀|^2)"*/1/2. GOF = (Σ(|wF₀|^2−|F_c|^2)^2)/(|n−p|)"*/1/2, where n is the number of reflections and p is the total number of parameters refined.

847.39, %: C, 51.03; H, 4.94; N, 9.92. Found: %: C, 50.98; H, 4.94; N, 9.88. Solubility in sodium phosphate buffer (20 mM, pH 7.40): ≥ 7.0 mg/mL. 1H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): 1.23 (s, 1H, H1), 9.76 (s, 1H, H11), 9.64 (d, 1H, J = 5.6 Hz, H11), 8.64 (d, 1H, J = 7.4 Hz, H1), 8.56 (d, 1H, J = 7.6 Hz, H10), 8.38–8.33 (m, 1H, H7), 8.15 (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz, H9), 7.99 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz, H7'), 7.93–7.89 (m, 1H, H6), 7.81 (d, 1H, J = 8.1 Hz, H10'), 7.75–7.70 (m, 1H, H8), 7.58–7.52 (m, 1H, H7'), 7.45–7.41 (m, 1H, H6), 6.45 (d, 1H, J = 5.6 Hz, H10'), 5.95 (d, 1H, J = 5.6 Hz, H9'), 5.69 (d, 1H, J = 5.6 Hz, H10''), 5.64 (d, 1H, J = 5.6 Hz, H10'''), 3.60–3.20 (br s, 4H, CH2, in part overlapped with H2O signal), 2.80–2.40 (br s, 4H, CH2, in part overlapped with DMSO signal), 2.38–2.20 (m, 7H, H9 + CH3 = C6H5 + H2), 0.91 (d, 3H, J = 6.7 Hz, H3), 0.87 (d, 3H, J = 6.7 Hz, H3'), 0.54 (s, 3H, CH3, in part overlapped with DMSO signal), 0.39 (s, 3H, CH3, in part overlapped with DMSO signal), 0.28 (s, 3H, CH3, in part overlapped with DMSO signal), 0.26 (s, 3H, CH3, in part overlapped with DMSO signal), 0.24 (s, 3H, CH3, in part overlapped with DMSO signal).
Reagents and conditions: (i) POCl₃, Ar, 110 °C, 24 h; (ii) Fe (powder), AcOH, EtOH, 35 °C, 3−4 h, ultrasound bath; (iii) 1-methylpiperazine, Ar, 130 °C, 24 h; (iv) MeOH, 10% Pd/C, H₂, RT, 24 h.

Crystallographic Structure Determination. X-ray diffraction measurements were performed on a Bruker X8 APEXII CCD diffractometer. Single crystals were positioned at 35, 40, and 35 mm from the detector, and 969, 790, and 2330 frames were measured, each for 60, 90, and 20 s over 1° scan width. The crystal structural analysis was performed using the SHELXT software package (Bruker AXS, Madison, WI) with the software tools for structure solution, refinement, and visualization. The crystal data and refinement details are given in Table 1. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques. Non-hydrogen atoms were repositioned at calculated positions and refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. H atoms were inserted in calculated positions and refined with a riding model. The following crystallographic studies were performed on a Bruker Eos diffractometer. The data were processed using SAINT software.48 Crystal data, data collection parameters, and structure refinement details are given in Table 1. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques. Non-hydrogen atoms were repositioned at calculated positions and refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. H atoms were inserted in calculated positions and refined with a riding model. The following crystallographic studies were performed on a Bruker Eos diffractometer. The data were processed using SAINT software.48 Crystal data, data collection parameters, and structure refinement details are given.

Cell Culture. Human SK-N-MC neuroepithelioma cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA). The SK-N-MC cell type was grown as described previously.51

MTT Assay. The effect of complexes [1a,b]Cl−[3a,b]Cl on the cellular proliferation of SK-N-MC cells was examined using the 1-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-tetrazolium (MTT) assay by standard techniques.52,53 Human SK-N-MC cells were chosen for these studies as the antiproliferative effect of metal complexes has been extensively examined in this cell type.52−54 MTT reduction is proportional to viable cell counts using SK-N-MC cells.53 SK-N-MC cells were seeded at 1.5 × 10⁴ cells/well in 96-well microtiter plates in a medium containing the compounds (0−50 μM) and human dfferic transferrin (Tf) at 1.25 μM [Fe] = 2.5 μM. Control samples contained Tf (1.25 μM) in the absence of the compounds. Notably, Tf is utilized as it is the physiological iron donor and is required for cellular proliferation.53 It is essential to add to the media when assessing the antiproliferative activity of the ligands used as positive controls, namely, desferrioxamine (DFO), di-2-pyridylketone 4,4-dimethyl-3-thiosemicarbazone (Dp44mT), and Doxorubicin.61 The cells were incubated at 37 °C for 72 h, after which 10 μL of MTT solution (stock solution: 5 mg/mL) was added to each well and incubated for 2 h at 37 °C. After cell solubilization using 100 μL of 10% SDS−50% isobutanol in 0.01 M HCl, the plates were read at 570 nm using a scanning multwell spectrophotometer. The inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) was defined as the concentration of the compound necessary to reduce the absorbance to 50% of the untreated control.

Statistical Analysis. Results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical comparisons were performed using Prism v6 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA) implementing a one-way ANOVA with a Bonferroni posthoc test and were considered statistically significant when p < 0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

These studies examined the effects of attachment of the piperazine heterocycle to the indoloquinoline scaffold and of varying the position of the same metal-binding site on the indoloquinoline backbone. This resulted in the generation of three different structural isomers of the indoloquinoline−piperazine hybrid. The effect of the metal-binding site position on the antiproliferative activity of the resultant ruthenium and osmium complexes [1a,b]Cl−[3a,b]Cl was examined. The ruthenium and osmium complexes were assembled in situ by reacting the corresponding indoloquinoline−piperazine hybrid precursors 7a−c containing an amino group at the 2-, 4-, or 8-position of the indoloquinoline scaffold with 2-pyridinecarboxaldehyde.

Attempts to isolate the pure indoloquinoline−piperazine hybrids in the absence of ruthenium(II) or osmium(II) failed. Thus, the compounds L¹−³ and their resultant ruthenium and...
osmium complexes $[1a,b]Cl−[3a,b]Cl$ were formed in a single reaction mixture in situ. This suggests that the indoloquinoline–piperazine hybrids were stabilized through their coordination with the ruthenium(II)–or osmium(II)–arene moieties. The combination of the indoloquinoline and piperazine substructures and the introduction of amino groups at the three different positions were accomplished as shown in Scheme 1.

The synthesis of 2-amino-6-chloroindolo[3,2-c]quinoline 6a was performed as described previously. Briefly, 5-nitrosatin and 2-aminobenzylamine were reacted in glacial acetic acid in a manner similar to the unsubstituted isatin. The resulting 2-nitroindolo[3,2-c]quinoline-6-one 4a was chlorinated using POCl₃ to give 5a, again under conditions similar to those applied for the synthesis of the unsubstituted congener. 5b

Reduction of the nitro group was carried out using an adaptation of the procedure published by Gamble et al. to afford 6a. 7-Nitrosatin was easily obtained by adaption of the procedure used for the synthesis of 2-nitroaniline (see Supporting Information for detail). The 4-amino isomer 6b was prepared by following the same synthetic route used for the synthesis of 6a. Application of the same synthetic scheme failed to yield 6c in acceptable yield and purity. However, starting from isatin and 2-amino-5-nitrobenzylamine, obtained when condensation reactions in the presence of $[RuCl(μ-Cl)(η^6-p-cymene)]_2$ afforded the chelating bidentate ligands isolated as metal-arene complexes $[1a,b]Cl−[3a,b]Cl$, showed intense peaks with aqueous ammonia, resulted in the synthesis of 2-nitro-indolo[3,2-c]quinoline-6-one 4c in low yield but acceptable purity.

Subsequent chlorination of 4c yielded 6-chloro-8-nitro-indolo[3,2-c]quinoline 5c. In the final step, the imidoyl chloride 6a or 6b was reacted with 1-methylpiperazine, yielding the corresponding 2- or 4-amino-6-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-indolo[3,2-c]quinoline 7a or 7b, respectively. To obtain 7c, compound 5c was allowed to react with 1-methylpiperazine to yield 6c, followed by the reduction of the nitro group at position 8 by using H₂ and Pd/C as shown in Scheme 1.

Further condensation reactions of the indoloquinoline–piperazine hybrids 7a–7c with 2-pyridinecarboxaldehyde in the presence of $[RuCl(μ-Cl)(η^6-p-cymene)]_2$, or $[OsCl(μ-Cl)(η^6-p-cymene)]_2$, afforded the chelating bidentate ligands isolated as metal-arene complexes $[1a,b]Cl−[3a,b]Cl$ shown in Chart 1. Note that, because of the enhanced aqueous solubility of two series of isomeric complexes $[1a]Cl−[3a]Cl$ and $[1b]Cl−[3b]Cl$, the purification method had to be modified. Microcrystalline osmium complexes $[1b]Cl−[3b]Cl$ as well as ruthenium complex $[3a]Cl$ could be obtained with high purity by dropwise addition of the reaction mixture to large amounts of diethyl ether. Prior drying of diethyl ether over Na₂SO₄ was required to avoid the formation of a sticky oil. In the case of the ruthenium complexes $[1a]Cl$ and $[2a]Cl$, this procedure led to the coprecipitation of small amounts of side products. Pure compounds, obtained when condensation reactions in the presence of $[RuCl(μ-Cl)(η^6-p-cymene)]_2$ were carried out in water, were isolated from concentrated aqueous solutions. All complexes were characterized by elemental analysis, ESI mass spectrometry, and spectroscopic methods. The compounds are moderately hygroscopic; water, which had to be included in the elemental formulas, is a property common to nearly all metal complexes with modified indoloquinolines reported so far.

The in situ formation of the ligands during the complex syntheses of $[1a]Cl−[3a]Cl$ and $[1b]Cl−[3b]Cl$ was confirmed by the presence of strong peaks at m/z 691 and m/z 781, respectively, attributed to the $[M−Cl]^+$ ion.

The 1D and 2D NMR spectra showed chemical shifts typical for this class of compounds, in particular, the rather upfield-shifted resonances for carbon atom C₆ for (the atom numbering scheme used for the assignment of resonances see Supporting Information, Scheme S1) at approximately 106–107 ppm. Although a weak resonance for quaternary carbon atom C₆ could be seen in complexes $[1a]Cl$ and $[1b]Cl$, this was not detected in the remaining four metal complexes. Note that such isolated quaternary carbons are generally hard to detect, as they do not display in standard 2D ¹H–¹³C NMR experiments like HSQC or HMBD. They also have much longer relaxation times compared to those with protons in close proximity, leading to weakening or even suppression of the signal in standard ¹³C NMR experiments. However, the shift of approximately 158.5 ppm for C₆ in the ¹³C NMR spectra of $[1a]Cl$ and $[1b]Cl$ is in good agreement with that featured by related indoloquinolines, in which the piperazine ring is substituted by an ethylenediamine moiety. Due to the presence of the stereogenic metal center, the adjacent cymene protons showed diastereotopic splitting, leading to four distinct signals for the aromatic protons. In addition, two resonances were observed for the protons of the isopropyl groups. This pattern is typical for racemic ruthenium-arene complexes, in which bulky ligand(s) hinder the free rotation of the arene. Furthermore, because of the flexibility of the piperazine ring, the unequivocal assignment of the resonances observed was not possible. Therefore, the CH₂ resonances present as broad singlets were assigned as CH₂(μ).
studies of showing no signs of ligand cleavage. 

For both complexes, the chlorido species concentration ( ) steadily decreases with an estimated half-lifetime of approximately 6 h. In the case of [2b]Cl, only one hydrolysis product is formed ( ), whereas in the case of [2a]Cl, a third species ( ) is formed to a minor extent, exhibiting an area under curve (AUC) of approximately 12% compared to the staring material after 24 h. Data were recorded every hour over 24 h using HPLC with UV detection at 300 nm (see Experimental Section for details). Quantification was done by normalizing the AUC to the AUC at 0 h.

could be attributed to the doubly charged fragment [M–2Cl]2+, whereas the second peak at m/z 736.2786 was assigned to the hydroxido species [M–2Cl+OH]+, clearly stemming from hydrolysis of the chlorido ligand. The fraction containing the peak with the longer retention time showed mainly the parent ion [M] at m/z 781.2442, and, to a lesser extent, the doubly charged [M–2Cl]2+ ion. These data suggest that the N,N-bound ligand remained coordinated to the metal center, showing no signs of ligand cleavage.

X-ray Crystallography. The results of the X-ray diffraction studies of ([η⁶-p-cymene]Ru(HL¹)Cl]Cl₂-EtOH-2H₂O ([1aH]Cl₂-EtOH-2H₂O), ([η⁶-p-cymene]Ru(HL²)Cl]Cl₂-1.5PrOH-0.5H₂O ([2aH]Cl₂-1.5PrOH-0.5H₂O), and ([η⁶-p-cymene]Ru(L²)Cl]Cl₂-0.5EtOH-H₂O ( [2aCl]0.5EtOH-H₂O) are shown in Figures 3–5, respectively. The complexes [1aH]Cl₂-EtOH-2H₂O, [2aH]Cl₂-1.5PrOH-0.5H₂O, and [2aCl]0.5EtOH-H₂O crystallized in the monoclinic centro- symmetric space groups P2₁/n, P2₁/c and noncentrosymmetric Pc, respectively. All complexes studied contain a stereogenic ruthenium center and crystalize as racemates. The asymmetric units of the first two compounds consist of a complex dication, two chlorides as counteranions, and cocrysalized solvent, while that of the third complex consists of a complex monococation, one chloride as counteranion, and cocrysalized solvent molecules.

All three complexes have a typical “three-leg piano-stool” geometry of ruthenium(II)-arene complexes, with a η⁶-p-bound p-cymene ring forming the seat and three other donor atoms (two nitrogens, N19 and N26, of indolo[3,2-c]quinoline and one chloride ligand) as the legs of the stool. In the first two
complexes, the ligand is protonated at N15, while in the last complex the ligand is neutral. The protonation is corroborated by the presence of hydrogen-bonding interactions of the type N15–H···Cl2 in [1aH]Cl, and N15–H···Cl3 in [1bH]Cl. Hydrogen-bonding interactions of the type N–H···Cl, in which N11 acts as a proton donor and Cl– as proton acceptor, are evident in all three crystal structures (for hydrogen bond geometries see Supporting Information, Table S1).

The piperazine ring in all three compounds adopts a chair conformation. The conformations of the neutral ligand L3 in the cation [(η6-p-cymene)Ru(L3)Cl]+ and the protonated ligand (HL3)2 in [(η6-p-cymene)Ru(HL3)Cl]2+ are similar, as can be seen in Supporting Information, Figure S5 showing a model fitting plot for the two cations. Of note is the slightly different orientation of the metal-binding site in both cations, which can be described by the torsional angle ΘC4a–C4–N19–C20 that differs by 9.2° and by the more divergent orientation of the six-membered piperazine heterocycle in two compounds. In addition, protonation of the piperazine ring led to counter-clockwise rotation of the p-cymene ring by approximately 39° around p-cymene ring centroid-Ru vector in [(η6-p-cymene)-Ru(L3)Cl]+ (see Supporting Information, Figure S5).

Anti-Proliferative Activity Against Tumor Cells. The ability of the isomeric ruthenium- and osmium-arene complexes of the indoloquinoline–piperazine hybrid ligands to inhibit cellular proliferation was examined using human SK-N-MC neuroepithelioma characterized.52 Hydrogen bond geometries see Supporting Information, Table S1).

Of the ruthenium and osmium complexes that contain the metal-binding site at the position 4 of the indoloquinoline scaffold, complexes [2a]Cl (IC50: 18.41 ± 2.22 μM; Table 2) and [2b]Cl (IC50: 19.40 ± 1.28 μM; Table 2) demonstrated significantly (p < 0.001) greater antiproliferative effects than the other ruthenium and osmium complexes examined. However, the anticancer activity of [2a]Cl and [2b]Cl was significantly (p < 0.001) less potent than that of the positive controls, Dp44mT, DFO, or DOX. Although [1a]Cl and [3b]Cl demonstrated comparable IC50 values (39.81 ± 2.07 μM cf. 41.24 ± 2.37 μM, respectively), both [1b]Cl and [3a]Cl did not exhibit marked antiproliferative effects with IC50 values > 50 μM.

Interestingly, these data illustrated the important role of the position of the metal-binding site on the anticancer activity of these isomeric Ru- and Os-arene complexes with indoloquinoline–piperazine conjugates. Those complexes containing the metal-binding site located at the 4 position of the indoloquinoline scaffold, namely, ([2a]Cl and [2b]Cl) demonstrated the most potent antiproliferative effects. In contrast, the Ru and Os complexes that contained the metal-binding site located at the 2 or 8 positions exhibited significantly (p < 0.001) decreased anticancer effects. Importantly, the position of the metal-binding site played a more critical role than the identity of the complexed metal in the antiproliferative activity of these agents.

Table 2. IC50 (μM) Values of [1a,b]Cl–[3a,b]Cl at Inhibiting the Growth of SK-N-MC Neuroepithelioma Cells As Determined by the MTT Assay

| compound       | IC50 (μM)±  
|----------------|----------------
| DFO            | 9.83 ± 0.39   
| Dp44mT         | 0.004 ± 0.003 
| DOX            | 0.15 ± 0.05   
| [1a]Cl         | 39.81 ± 2.07  
| [1b]Cl         | >50           
| [2a]Cl         | 18.41 ± 2.22  
| [2b]Cl         | 19.40 ± 1.28  
| [3a]Cl         | >50           
| [3b]Cl         | 41.24 ± 2.37  

*Assays conducted for 72 h. Results are mean ± SD (three experiments).

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, the two bioactive substructures, namely, that of the indoloquinoline backbone with a piperazine heterocycle, were combined to improve the aqueous solubility of the resulting products, which can act as ligands to form ruthenium and osmium complexes. In addition to incorporating the piperazine heterocycle, these studies also examined the possibility of varying the position of the same metal-binding site on the indoloquinoline backbone. This resulted in the generation of three different structural isomers of the indoloquinoline–piperazine hybrid ligands. The effect of this structural combination on the antiproliferative activity of the resulting ruthenium- and osmium-arene complexes [1a,b]Cl–[3a,b]Cl was studied.

The data obtained illustrate the important role of the position of the metal-binding site on the anticancer activity of these isomeric ruthenium- and osmium-arene complexes with indoloquinoline–piperazine hybrids. Whereas the position of the metal-binding site does not have a marked effect on the solubility of [1a,b]Cl–[3a,b]Cl in phosphate buffer, the complexes containing the metal-binding site located at the position 4 of the indoloquinoline scaffold ([2a]Cl and [2b]Cl) demonstrated the most potent antiproliferative effects. In contrast, the ruthenium and osmium complexes that contain the metal-binding site located at the 2 or 8 position exhibited decreased antiproliferative activity. Importantly, the position of the metal-binding site played a more critical role than the identity of the complexed metal in the antiproliferative efficacy of these agents. These data highlight important structure–activity relationships that can be further utilized in the design of more potent anticancer ruthenium and osmium complexes based on indoloquinoline–piperazine hybrids.
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