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People’s appreciation needs of Chinese paintings have gradually increased. The research on automatic classification and recognition of Chinese painting artistic style and its authors have great practical value. This study presents a Chinese painting classification algorithm with higher classification accuracy and better robustness. Using a convolutional neural network (CNN) to extract the features of Chinese painting, the image features of Chinese painting are extracted by fine-tuning the pretrained VGG-F model. The mutual information theory is introduced into embedded machine learning, so that the embedded principle is affected by feature selection and feature importance. An embedded classification algorithm based on mutual information is proposed, and Chinese painting is classified.

1. Introduction

In the field of Chinese painting feature extraction and classification, there have been a lot of related research studies [1–7]. With the improvement of living standards, people’s pursuit of spiritual life is getting higher and higher, and the appreciation demand for Chinese painting is also gradually increasing. A large number of digital Chinese paintings have appeared on the Internet or in digital museums, but how to effectively use and manage these paintings has become an urgent problem to be solved [5–8]. Therefore, the research on automatic classification and recognition of Chinese painting artistic style and its authors has great practical value. The existing literature adopts the traditional feature extraction method to extract the features of Chinese painting, and the obtained features are relatively single and one-sided. In addition, the existing literature does not deal with the extracted features and directly uses the features for classification, without considering the relationship between features and categories and the influence of feature importance on the classification results.

The DEFC (data embedding framework for classification) [9–15] takes the relevant features obtained through the selective conversion of data samples as the input of the algorithm. Although it is much improved than the existing algorithm, it does not consider the influence of feature importance on the calculation of similar features between objects in the process of calculating similar features between objects, resulting in the restriction of classification results.

In view of this, this paper uses a convolutional neural network (CNN) to extract the features of Chinese painting, and the obtained features are more comprehensive and detailed. In order to solve the problems mentioned above, this study extracts the image features of Chinese paintings by fine-tuning the pretrained VGG-F model. The mutual information theory is introduced into embedded machine learning, so that the embedded principle is affected by feature selection and feature importance, proposes an embedded classification algorithm based on mutual information, and classifies Chinese paintings.

2. Classification Model of Chinese Paintings

2.1. Fundamental Theory. The DEFC embedded mapping framework [9–15] follows the principle of “friendship is close, hostility is far,” and it introduces two parameters, $C_F$ and $E_D$, to control the embedding process; then,
where $X_i$ and $X_j$ are the $i$th and $j$th objects in the dataset $X$; $C_F$ and $D_E$ represent the intraclass object distance and the interclass object distance in the dataset $X$ under the influence of weight parameters, respectively; $d_F$ is the function of calculating the distance between objects of the same type; $d_E$ is the function of calculating the distance between objects of different types; $W_{ij}$ is the weight parameter obtained by calculating the similarity between objects $X_i$ and $X_j$; $n$ is the total number of objects in the dataset.

The DEFC algorithm mainly includes 3 modules. The first module is a data preprocessing module, including 5 optional processes, namely, scaling, centering, normalization, principal component analysis, and whitening. The second module is the relevant feature calculation module; it calculates the correlation features between objects through the original dataset or the preprocessed dataset and performs the above data preprocessing on the calculated correlation features again to obtain the correlation feature matrix $R$. The correlation feature captures the mutual influence between samples, which is beneficial to further discovering the nonlinear structure between the data. Since the dimension of the correlation feature matrix is related to the number of samples and has nothing to do with the feature dimension, dimensionality reduction is achieved when the number of samples is much smaller than the feature dimension. The third module is the embedded computing module, which solves $C_F$ is the smallest or $D_E$ is the largest to get the mapping matrix $P$, and then, $X_{final} = X_{flat}P$ is calculated by the matrix transformation $X_{final}$, that is, the new dataset after the mapping transformation of the original dataset.

Another fundamental theory in this study is mutual information theory (MIT) [16–26]. In 1948, Shannon proposed that “information is something used to remove random uncertainty.” Information entropy refers to the probability of a certain information appearing, and the greater the uncertainty of the variable, the greater the information entropy. Information can be measured by information entropy. Among them, the self-information and information entropy. Information can be measured by information entropy. Among them, the self-information and $(X, Y)$ can be calculated, where $H$ represents the information entropy of the feature, $P_i$ is the probability when the feature takes the $i$th eigenvalue, and $m$ is the number of all different eigenvalues.

The mutual information between $X$ and $Y$ is

$$I(X; Y) = H(X) + H(Y) - H(X, Y).$$

2.2. Feature Extraction. In recent years, deep learning imitates the mechanism of the human brain, combines low-level features, obtains high-level features that represent the distributed features of data, and is widely used in object recognition, tracking, and other aspects. The traditional CNN is generally used directly for image classification. This study proposes to take advantage of the enormous advantages of the CNN in feature extraction. Compared with explicit feature extraction, more detailed feature data can be obtained for Chinese paintings in extracting digital features.

Based on the CNN theoretical framework, this study fine-tunes the VGG-F model pretrained on the ImageNet dataset to extract the image features of Chinese paintings. The model is a feed-forward neural network with 5 convolutional layers and 3 fully connected layers. The model adjusts the input image to 224 * 224 pixels and obtains a 4096-dimensional feature vector.

Using the VGG-F model pretrained on natural images is feasible to extract features from Chinese paintings. First, art comes from life, and the painter completes the work through the analysis and understanding of all things in nature. Chinese painting is an artistic reprocessing of natural scenes and another embodiment of a natural image. Second, the deep structure of the VGG-F model can extract complex structures from rich perceptual information and build intrinsic representations in the data. More than 10 million natural images are participating in training; the extracted features will directly or indirectly contain feature information similar to Chinese paintings; this way, helpful information for feature extraction of Chinese paintings can be learned. Finally, although, the number of Chinese paintings in the training dataset in this study is not enough to complete the training of the VGG-F model. But this study adds it as a primer to the VGG-F model; the model is fine-tuned so that the features extracted by the model can better express the artistic style of Chinese painting.

2.3. Mutual Information-Based Data Embedded Classification Algorithm. Solve the problem that the DEFC algorithm cannot reflect the influence of feature importance on the relationship between computational objects, resulting in restricted classification results. This study introduces mutual information theory into embedded machine learning. A mutual information-based data embedded classification algorithm is proposed. This algorithm calculates the mutual information between each feature vector and the classification category vector. Determine the feature importance and select features with mutual information value greater than zero to form a new dataset to participate in the
classification calculation. Therefore, the classification of Chinese paintings is affected by feature selection and feature importance under the embedded principle of "friendship is close, hostility is far."

Therefore, formulas (1) and (2) become
\[
C_F = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} d_F(X_i, X_j | W_{ij}, T_{Feature}), \\
D_E = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} d_E(X_i, X_j | W_{ij}, T_{Feature}),
\]
(4)
where \(C_F\) and \(D_E\) represent the intraclass object distance and the interclass object distance of dataset \(X\) under the influence of weight and feature importance, respectively; \(d_F\) is the function of calculating the distance between objects of the same type; \(d_E\) is the function of calculating the distance between objects of different types; \(W_{ij}\) is the weight parameter obtained by calculating the similarity between objects \(X_i\) and \(X_j\); \(T\) feature represents the feature and its feature importance.
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dimension; \( \theta_k \) is the feature weight of the kth feature; \( X_{ik} \) and \( X_{jk} \) are the eigenvalues of the kth feature of the objects \( X_i \) and \( X_j \), respectively.

**Step 7.** Calculate the optimal projection matrix \( P \).

Take the similar feature set \( S \) calculated in Step 6 as the training sample; the set \( Z \) represents the new dataset generated by the mapping projection of \( S \); let \( Z = SP \) represents the mapping transformation from the set \( S \) to \( Z \), where \( P \) is the projection matrix, \( PP^T = I \), and \( I \) is the unity matrix.

Using the category indicator matrix \( L \) and similarity matrix \( W \) calculated in Steps 4 and 5, set the intraclass object distance \( C_F \) and interclass object distance \( D_E \) of set \( Z \) as

\[
C_F = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} \frac{L_{ij}(L_{ij}+1)}{2} W_{ij} \| Z_i - Z_j \|^2,
\]

\[
D_E = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} \frac{L_{ij}(L_{ij}-1)}{2} W_{ij} \| Z_i - Z_j \|^2,
\]

where \( m \) is the feature dimension of the set \( Z \). Set up:

\[
B' = [b'_{ij}],
\]

\[
A' = [a'_{ij}],
\]

where

\[
b'_{ij} = L_{ij}(L_{ij}+1)W_{ij},
\]

\[
a'_{ij} = L_{ij}(L_{ij}-1)W_{ij}.
\]

Reconstructing formulas (11) and (12) in matrix form, then

\[
B' = L \cdot (L + I_{m \times m}) \cdot W,
\]

\[
A' = L \cdot (L + I_{m \times m}) \cdot W,
\]

where \( I_{m \times m} \) is an all-one matrix with \( m \) rows and \( m \) columns.

Substitute formula (6) into formula (3). Minimizing \( C_F \), then

\[
\min_{PP^T=1} C_F = \min_{PP^T=1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} \frac{B'_{ij}}{2} Z_i^2 - Z_j^2 = \min_{PP^T=1} \text{tr} \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} Z_i B'_{ij} Z_i^T - \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} Z_i B'_{ij} Z_j^T \right)
\]

\[
= \min_{PP^T=1} \text{tr} \left( Z \text{diag}(B') Z^T - ZB' Z^T \right)
\]

\[
= \min_{PP^T=1} \text{tr} \left( Z(\text{diag}(B') - B') Z^T \right).
\]

Substitute formula (7) into formula (4); maximizing \( D_E \), then

\[
\max_{PP^T=1} D_E = \max_{PP^T=1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} \frac{A'_{ij}}{2} Z_i^2 - Z_j^2 = \max_{PP^T=1} \text{tr} \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} Z_i A'_{ij} Z_i^T - \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} Z_i A'_{ij} Z_j^T \right) = \max_{PP^T=1} \text{tr} \left( Z \text{diag}(A') Z^T - Z A' Z^T \right)
\]

\[
= \max_{PP^T=1} \text{tr} \left( Z(\text{diag}(A') - A') Z^T \right).
\]

where \( \text{diag}(B') \) represents the diagonal matrix of matrix \( B' \), and \( \text{diag}(A') \) represents the diagonal matrix of matrix \( A' \); then, the Laplacian matrices of matrices \( A' \) and \( B' \) are, respectively, as

\[
B = \text{diag}(B') - B',
\]

\[
A = \text{diag}(A') - A'.
\]

Because the matrix \( L \) and the \( W \) are the symmetric matrices, the matrices \( A, B, ZAZ^T \), and \( ZBZ^T \) are also symmetric matrices. According to the definition of symmetric matrices, it can be seen that

\[
\text{tr}(ZBZ^T) = \text{tr}\left( (ZBZ^T)^T \right),
\]

\[
\text{tr}(ZAZ^T) = \text{tr}\left( (ZAZ^T)^T \right),
\]

established.

Substitute \( Z = SP \) and formulas (15) and (16) into formula (13); then

\[
\min_{PP^T=1} C_F = \min_{PP^T=1} \text{tr}(ZBZ^T) = \min_{PP^T=1} \text{tr}(Z^T BZ)
\]

\[
= \min_{PP^T=1} \text{tr}(P^T S^T BSP).
\]

Substitute \( Z = SP \) and formulas (16) and (17) into formula (14); then

\[
\max_{PP^T=1} D_E = \max_{PP^T=1} \text{tr}(ZAZ^T) = \max_{PP^T=1} \text{tr}(Z^T AZ)
\]

\[
= \max_{PP^T=1} \text{tr}(P^T S^T ASP).
\]

The optimal mapping matrix \( P \) is obtained by solving the formula (11) or (12). The experimental results show that although the mapping matrices obtained by solving formula (11) or (12) are different, the classification results of the datasets obtained after the mapping calculation are the same.

**Step 8.** Calculate the new training dataset \( Z \) and test dataset \( Z' \) generated by the embedded mapping.

A new training dataset \( Z \) can be obtained by mapping the set \( S \) calculated in Step 6, and a new test dataset \( Z' \) can be obtained by mapping the set \( S' \), that is, \( Z = SP, Z' = S'P \).
3. Experiments

The number of works created by Chinese painting writers in their lifetime is relatively small; therefore, compared with the classification of ordinary images, the amount of data on Chinese painting works is relatively small. At present, there is no standard dataset of Chinese paintings. Based on the above situation, the experimental dataset in this study contains 100 paintings of 10 Chinese painters from ancient times to the present, and 70% of the paintings are used as the training sample set. The remaining part is used as the test sample set, and the data in the training and test sample sets do not overlap. The ten painters are CDY, CSF, FZ, HYY, HZ, LDZ, LKL, TY, XBH, and BDSR. In this study, SVM [27–30] is used for classification experiments.

To test the algorithm in this study, the experiments will be designed from the following four aspects: to verify the influence of the change in the number of painters on the classification accuracy, the classification experiment was conducted to compare the works of 5 painters and 10 painters, respectively; to verify the effectiveness of the algorithm, it is compared with the existing literature such as fusion, MHMM, and other algorithms; to verify the effectiveness of the feature extraction of the VGG-F model, the algorithm in this study is compared with the traditional feature extraction algorithms based on color (HSV), texture (Gabor), and shape (HOG); and to verify the impact of the embedded learning introducing mutual information on the classification, the algorithm in this study is compared with the DEFC algorithm and the pure SVM algorithm.

This study uses the precision rate \( P \) (precision) and the recall rate \( R \) (recall) to evaluate the execution results of the algorithm, which are defined as \( P = a/(a+b) \) and \( R = a/N \), respectively. Among them, \( a \) is the number of samples that are correctly classified into this class, \( b \) is the number of samples that are wrongly classified into this class, and \( N \) is the number of samples of this class in the test dataset.

The experimental results show that the algorithm in this study has certain robustness.

### Table 1: Comparison of the classification results of the works of five painters by different algorithms.

| Painters | This paper algorithm | Fusion | MHMM | HSV + Gabor | DEFC | SVM |
|----------|----------------------|--------|------|-------------|------|-----|
|          | \( P \)   | \( R \)   | \( P \)   | \( R \)   | \( P \)   | \( R \)   | \( P \)   | \( R \)   | \( P \)   | \( R \)   | \( P \)   | \( R \)   |
| CDY      | 0.9023  | 0.9298  | 0.8323  | 0.7998  | 0.7923  | 0.7698  | 0.8223  | 0.8998  | 0.9023  | 0.8998  | 0.8923  | 0.8298  |
| CSF      | 0.9296  | 0.9004  | 0.8096  | 0.8304  | 0.8296  | 0.8004  | 0.5996  | 0.7004  | 0.8196  | 0.9004  | 0.8496  | 0.9304  |
| LKL      | 0.9423  | 0.9998  | 0.7923  | 0.7698  | 0.8423  | 0.8998  | 0.8423  | 0.8698  | 0.9023  | 0.8998  | 0.9623  | 0.7998  |
| XBH      | 0.9696  | 1.0000  | 0.8396  | 0.9094  | 0.8396  | 0.8704  | 0.7796  | 0.7004  | 0.9996  | 1.0000  | 0.8796  | 0.9704  |
| BDSR     | 1.0000  | 0.8998  | 0.9023  | 0.8698  | 0.9023  | 0.8698  | 0.8823  | 0.6998  | 0.9623  | 0.8698  | 0.8723  | 0.8998  |
| Mean     | 0.9488  | 0.9460  | 0.8352  | 0.8340  | 0.8412  | 0.8420  | 0.7852  | 0.7740  | 0.9172  | 0.9140  | 0.8912  | 0.8860  |

### Table 2: Comparison of the classification results of 10 painters’ works by different algorithms.

| Painters | This study algorithm | Fusion | MHMM |
|----------|----------------------|--------|------|
|          | \( P \)   | \( R \)   | \( P \)   | \( R \)   |
| CDY      | 0.8498  | 0.9302  | 0.7598  | 0.7302  | 0.7498  | 0.7002  |
| CSF      | 0.8503  | 0.9299  | 0.7403  | 0.7699  | 0.7303  | 0.7299  |
| FZ       | 0.7297  | 0.8997  | 0.7197  | 0.7697  | 0.7997  | 0.7997  |
| HYY      | 0.6998  | 0.9302  | 0.7898  | 0.7702  | 0.7398  | 0.7702  |
| HZ       | 0.9103  | 0.3299  | 0.5903  | 0.5299  | 0.6203  | 0.5999  |
| LDZ      | 0.8697  | 0.8997  | 0.7197  | 0.6997  | 0.7397  | 0.7697  |
| LKL      | 0.9698  | 0.9702  | 0.7298  | 0.7302  | 0.8098  | 0.8702  |
| TY       | 0.9703  | 0.9699  | 0.7803  | 0.8299  | 0.8903  | 0.8299  |
| XBH      | 0.9397  | 0.9997  | 0.8097  | 0.8697  | 0.8097  | 0.8297  |
| BDSR     | 0.9598  | 0.7302  | 0.8598  | 0.8302  | 0.8298  | 0.8302  |
| Mean     | 0.8749  | 0.8590  | 0.7499  | 0.7530  | 0.7719  | 0.7730  |
painting. The works are slender and realistic, with a natural look. The art styles of the 2 painters differed the most from the other painters, resulting in the highest accuracy. It can be seen from Table 2 that the recall rate of HZ in this algorithm is 32.99%, which is the lowest recall rate among the 10 painters. This is because HZ copied paintings in the Forbidden City in Beijing for a long time, drawing on the strengths of many families, making his style unpredictable, resulting in a low recognition rate of paintings.

As shown in Table 1, compared with the HSV + Gabor + HOG + MIDE algorithm, the precision and recall of the algorithm in this study are increased by 17% and 18%, respectively. It is proved that the features extracted by the VGG-F model can more effectively reflect the different artistic styles of painters.

As shown in Table 1, the precision and recall of the algorithm in this study are higher than those of the pure SVM and DEFC algorithms, which prove that the introduction of mutual information and embedded can further improve the classification accuracy.

It is known from experiments that for Chinese paintings with different themes and styles that are similar in style and with the same theme and different styles, the algorithm in this study has good robustness in classification.

4. Conclusions

Most of the existing classifications of Chinese paintings only consider the correlation between paintings and class labels, ignoring the correlation between paintings and the influence of feature importance. In this study, the image features of Chinese painting are extracted by fine-tuning the pretrained VGG-F model. Based on the DEFC algorithm, the mutual information theory is introduced, and an embedded classification algorithm based on mutual information is proposed, which makes the embedded principle affected by feature selection and feature importance. Experiments show that this algorithm has strong robustness.

In addition to analyzing the style characteristics of the painting itself, the identification of Chinese painting artists should also include the analysis of paper color, ink color, color components, style identification, and seals. Adding other factor analysis can help overcome the decline of recall and precision, which will be part of the future work. In addition, the existing image emotion research mainly focuses on natural images and face images, lacking the digital description system of Chinese painting emotion, so the next work will also be carried out on the emotional classification of Chinese paintings.

Data Availability

The dataset used to support this study can be accessed upon request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

[1] B. Zhu and J. Zhu, “Application of Intelligent Image Color Technology in Teaching Chinese Painting Color,” Security and Communication Networks, vol. 2022, 2022.
[2] J. C. Sheng and Y. Z. Li, “Classification of traditional Chinese paintings using a modified embedding algorithm,” JOURNAL OF ELECTRONIC IMAGING, vol. 28, no. 02, p. 1, 2019.
[3] G. Yang and Y. Jiang, “Adaptive Clustering Algorithm for Teaching Resources of Chinese Flower and Bird Painting Practice and Theory Course,” Mobile Information Systems, vol. 2022, 2022.
[4] D. Yang, X. Ye, and B. Guo, “Application of multitask joint sparse representation algorithm in Chinese painting image classification,” Complexity, vol. 202111 pages, 2021.
[5] X. Shi, “Quantitative Analysis Model of Chinese Folk Painting Art Inheritance and Protection Based on Data Mining,” Mathematical Problems in Engineering, vol. 2022, 2022.
[6] J. Bian and X. Shen, “Sentiment analysis of Chinese paintings based on lightweight convolutional neural network,” Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing, vol. 2021 pages, 2021.
[7] J. Zhang, “Oil painting art appreciation platform based on mobile information system,” Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing, vol. 2022, pp. 1–11, 2022.
[8] T. Tian and F. Nan, “A Multitask Convolutional Neural Network for Artwork Appreciation,” Mobile Information Systems, vol. 2022, 2022.
[9] Z. Yang, W. Nai, D. Li, and Y. Xing, “Water Quality Classification for Inland Lakes and Ponds with Few Color Image Samples Based on Triple-GAN and CSNN,” Mathematical Problems in Engineering, vol. 2022, 2022.
[10] N. O. Ogwara, K. Petrova, M. L. Yang, and L. Tan, “Towards the development of a cloud computing intrusion detection framework using an ensemble hybrid feature selection approach,” Journal of Computer Networks and Communications, vol. 2022, pp. 1–16, 2022.
[11] S. Chen, D. Yuan, S. Huang, and Y. Chen, “Link Prediction and Node Classification Based on Multitask Graph Autoencoder,” Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing, vol. 2021, 2021.
[12] S. Sengan, V. Subramaniyaswamy, R. H. Ihaveri, V. Varadarajan, R. Setiawan, and L. Ravi, “A Secure Recommendation System for Providing Context-Aware Physical Activity Classification for Users,” Security and Communication Networks, vol. 2021, 2021.
[13] N. Eiamkanitchat, N. Theera-Umpon, and S. Auephanwiriyakul, “On feature selection and rule extraction for high dimensional data: a case of diffuse large B-cell lymphomas microarrays classification,” Mathematical Problems in Engineering, vol. 2015, pp. 1–12, 2015.
[14] N. Ramesh, T. Liu, and T. Tasdizen, “Cell detection using extremal regions in a semisupervised learning framework,” Journal of Healthcare Engineering, vol. 2017, pp. 1–13, 2017.
[15] H. Luo, Y. Y. Tang, C. Li, and L. Yang, “Local and global geometric structure preserving and application to hyperspectral image classification,” Mathematical Problems in Engineering, vol. 2015, pp. 1–13, 2015.
[16] Y. Chen, D. Li, and M. Ye, “A Multi-Label Propagation Algorithm for Community Detection Based on Average Mutual Information,” Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing, vol. 2022, 2022.
[17] L. H. Li, J. C. Hang, Y. Gao, and C. Y. Mu, “Using an integrated group decision method based on SVM, TFN-RS-
AHP, and TOPSIS-CD for cloud service supplier selection,” *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, vol. 2017, pp. 1–14, 2017.

[18] L. H. Li, J. C. Hang, H. X. Sun, and L. Wang, “A conjunctive multiple-criteria decision-making approach for cloud service supplier selection of manufacturing enterprise,” *Advances in Mechanical Engineering*, vol. 9, no. 3, 2017.

[19] F. Xin, B. Wang, S. Li, X. Song, and C.-H. Wang, “Adaptive radar waveform design based on weighted MI and the difference of two mutual information metrics,” *Complexity*, vol. 2021, 12 pages, 2021.

[20] L. Li and C. Mao, “Big data supported PSS evaluation decision in service-oriented manufacturing,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 154663–154670, 2020.

[21] L. Li, C. Mao, H. Sun, Y. Yuan, and B. Lei, “Digital twin driven green performance evaluation methodology of intelligent manufacturing: hybrid model based on fuzzy rough-sets AHP, multistage weight synthesis, and PROMETHEE II,” *Complexity*, vol. 2020, no. 6, pp. 1–24, 2020.

[22] W. Zhang, V. Muravina, R. Azencott, Z. D. Chu, and M. J. Paldino, “Mutual information better quantifies brain network architecture in children with epilepsy,” *Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine*, vol. 2018, pp. 1–9, 2018.

[23] Y. Pan and X. Deng, “Incentive mechanism design for distributed autonomous organizations based on the mutual insurance scenario,” *Complexity*, vol. 202116 pages, 2021.

[24] L. Li, B. Lei, and C. Mao, “Digital twin in smart manufacturing,” *Journal of Industrial Information Integration*, vol. 26, no. 9, p. 100289, 2022.

[25] L. Li, T. Qu, Y. Liu et al., “Sustainability assessment of intelligent manufacturing supported by digital twin,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 174988–175008, 2020.

[26] M. Nagarajan, M. Rajappa, Y. Teekaraman, R. Kuppusamy, and A. R. Theikar, “Renovated XTEA encoder architecture-based lightweight mutual authentication protocol for RFID and green wireless sensor network applications,” *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, vol. 2022, 2022.

[27] Z. Song, S. Liu, M. Jiang, and Y. Suling, “Research on the Settlement Prediction Model of Foundation Pit Based on the Improved PSO-SVM Model,” *Scientific Programming*, vol. 2022, 2022.

[28] W. Wang, “A Classification Method of Network Ideological and Political Resources Using Improved SVM Algorithm,” *Security and Communication Networks*, vol. 2021, 2021.

[29] X. Li, “Chinese Language and Literature Online Resource Classification Algorithm Based on Improved SVM,” *Scientific Programming*, vol. 2022, 2022.

[30] Le Cao, W. Zhang, X. Kan, and W. Yao, “A Novel Adaptive Mutation PSO Optimized SVM Algorithm for sEMG-Based Gesture Recognition,” *Scientific Programming*, vol. 2021, 2021.