Intermolecular interactions upon carbon dioxide capture in deep-eutectic solvents†
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Herein we report the CO2 uptake in potential deep-eutectic solvents (DESs) formed between hydrogen bond acceptors (HBAs) such as monoethanolammonium chloride ([MEA-Cl]), 1-methylimidazolium chloride ([HMIM-Cl]) and tetra-n-butylammonium bromide ([TBAB]) and hydrogen bond donors (HBDs) like ethylenediamine ([EDA]), diethylenetriamine ([DETA]), tetraethylenepentamine ([TEPA]), pentaethylenehexamine ([PEHA]), 3-amino-1-propanol ([API]) and aminomethoxypropanol ([AMP]) and analyzed the outcome in terms of the specific polarity parameters. Among various combinations of HBAs and HBDs, [MEA-Cl][EDA]-, [MEA-Cl][AP]-, [HMIM-Cl][EDA]- and [HMIM-Cl][AP] showed excellent CO2 uptake which was further improved upon increasing the mole ratio of HBA:HBD from 1:1 to 1:4. The lowest CO2 uptake in [MEA-Cl][PEHA] (12.7 wt%) and [HMIM-Cl][PEHA] (8.4 wt%) despite the highest basicity of [PEHA] infers that the basicity is not the sole criteria for guiding the CO2 uptake but, in reality, CO2 capture in a DES relies on the interplay of H-bonding interactions between each HBA and HBD. The role of HBAs in guiding CO2 uptake was more prominent with weak HBDS such as [TEPA] and [PEHA]. The speciation of absorbed CO2 into carbamate, carbonate, and bicarbonate was favorable in DES characterized by comparable hydrogen bond donor acidity (α) and hydrogen bond acceptor basicity (β) values, whereas the conversion of carbamate to bicarbonate/was observed to depend on α. The addition of water in DES resulted in lower CO2 uptake due to the decreased basicity (β).

Introduction

Carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions due to anthropogenic activities have been constantly increasing over the past century and gaining worldwide attention due to the deleterious action of this notorious greenhouse gas. Subsequently, to develop materials that selectively, efficiently and inexpensively capture CO2 is of great importance.1–3 Among various methods proposed to reduce anthropogenic CO2 emissions, a carbon dioxide capture and sequestration (CCS) process that incorporates both physical and chemical adsorptions and absorption rely heavily on the concept of using alkanolamine-based solvents. Typical solutions involved include monoethanolamine (MEA) and methyl diethanolamine (MDEA) and their aqueous solutions.4 Despite their wide acceptability, several disadvantages linger with MEA- and MDEA-based technologies such as their volatility, high cost, substantial energy consumption and corrosiveness. These shortcomings pose a potential threat to human health and critical mutilations to the environment.5 As a result, the design of alternative materials for CO2 capture is of high importance and has garnered much attention in the last decade.6

Among the many possibilities, ionic liquids (ILs) have been extensively investigated as promising candidates because of their several advantageous properties to substitute the traditional scrubbing agents for reversible CO2 capture.7 In this regard, a variety of ILs containing pyridinium, imidazolium, guanidinium, amino-functionalized cation and inorganic/organic anion have been examined and the basicity of the anion has been identified to play a major role upon CO2 capture8–14 following semimolar or multi-molar mechanism.15,16 However, the multi-molar CO2 absorption in ILs became less attractive when converted to the gravimetric uptake values.15,16,17 For example, dual amino-functionalized cation-tethered IL, capable of absorbing an equimolar amount of CO2 exhibited only 18.5 wt% capacity on the gravimetric scale.18 Moreover, high viscosities, unfavorable energy consumption and the high cost of starting materials still hint that ILs are further inapt for large scale applications.19,20

In quest of the sustainable solvents, deep-eutectic solvents (DESs) has been extensively explored in the last decade. DESs are eutectic mixtures of Lewis or Brønsted acids also called as HBA and bases/HBDs and can contain a variety of anionic and/or cationic species and therefore exhibit properties similar to ILs.21
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DES can be prepared by a single-step atom-economic reaction under solvent-free conditions and does not require further purification thereby simplifying the scale up for various processes.22 The hydrogen bonding strength via charge delocalization between the HBA and HBD component is responsible for the relative difference in the melting point of the eutectic mixture and thus, a variety of potential DES can be easily prepared by varying the strength and molar ratios of the HBD and HBA substituents.23,24

DES’s efficacy in gas absorption was recognized after the pioneering work of Zhu et al., who employed choline chloride (ChCl) + urea as a heterogeneous sorbent and catalyst upon chemical fixation of CO2 to cyclic carbonates.25 Li et al. measured the CO2 solubility at various temperatures and pressures in ChCl + urea-based DESs, at different mole ratios.26 Later, different combinations of HBD (urea, lactic acid, glycerol etc.) and HBA (tetraalkylammonium/phosphonium halides, choline chloride etc.) components were tried to optimize the CO2 uptake capacity in DESs but the molar capability of all the tested DESs remained in the range of 0.02–0.14 mole per mole of DES with the highest value equivalent to 7 wt%.27–30 Mirza et al. measured CO2 solubility in three DESs reline, ethaline and malinine in the temperature range 309–329 K and at pressure up to 160 kPa.31 The modified Peng–Robinson equation of state was used to correlate the experimental data. The thermodynamic parameters derived from the equation suggested CO2 absorption as a non-sponstaneous exothermic process.31 Very recently, Trivedi et al. reported 33.7 wt% of CO2 in [MEA][EDA] formed at 1 : 3 mole ratio, at 30 °C.32 The large CO2 uptake in [MEA][EDA]-based DESs was assumed to depend on the change in polarity and basicity, although a direct correlation between the actual polarity parameters and CO2 uptake was absent.32

To the best of our knowledge, so far no report discussing the role of intermolecular interactions on CO2 capture and speciation of absorbed CO2 in ILs and DESs can be found in open literature. The solvatochromatic polarity parameters include electronic transition energy (E(T30)), hydrogen bond donor acidity (z), hydrogen bond acceptor basicity (β) and, dipolarity/polarizability (π*).33–36 The E(T30) measures various possible directional and non-directional solute–solvent interactions between Reichardt’s dye (30) and solvent molecules and can be determined by using the absorption maxima of Reichardt’s dye 30. The dipolarity/polarizability (π*) parameter denotes the electrolytic strength of the DES and relies on the interaction of probe molecule with its cybotactic environment and can be determined from the spectroscopic shift of N,N-diethyl-4-nitroaniline. The hydrogen bond acceptor tendency (β) exhibits the basicity of the hydrogen bond donor (HBD) component of DES and can be determined by using the spectroscopic shift of 4-nitroaniline with respect to N,N-diethyl-4-nitroaniline. The hydrogen bond donor acidity (z) denotes the donating ability of the hydrogen bond acceptor (HBA) component of DES and can be obtained by employing E(T30) and π*. The empirical equations to obtain various polarity parameters are given in Table 2. These polarity parameters (E(T30), z, β and π*) can be employed as a tool for accounting the influence of intermolecular solute–solvent interactions upon various physical and chemical changes.27

Recently, Shukla and Kumar have used solvatochromic polarity parameters during the Hammet acidity (H_w) measurements to discuss the role of intermolecular interactions on the dissociation of aqueous carboxylic acids in protic ionic liquids.38 The acidity–polarity correlation was further supported by thermodynamic parameters (ΔH° and ΔS°).38 Liu et al. discussed the role of intermolecular interaction upon CO2 capture (0.7551 mol CO2 per mol DES) in the 1,3-butanediol + 1,3-ethanediame system in terms of excess molar volume (V*E) and apparent molar volumes (V*E1 and V*E2), from density and viscosity values measured at different temperatures and compositions.39 Contrary to the excess properties, polarity parameters have the advantage in terms of predicting the nature and specificity of the interactions.

In the present work, we have attempted to investigate the role of intermolecular interactions on CO2 capture and its speciation into the carbamate, carbonate, and bicarbonate in potential DESs. For this purpose, the gravimetric CO2 uptakes in these DESs are analyzed in terms of the E(T30), z, β, and π* values. The adverse effect of viscosity on CO2 absorption is also taken into account while correlating the polarity parameters with CO2 wt%. The structure of HBD and HBA components of DESs are shown in Table 1. The structure of different solvatochromatic dyes involved in the polarity determinations is shown in Fig. 1.

Experimental section

Materials

The spectroscopic indicator dye 2,6-diphenyl-4-(2,4,6-triphenylpyridinium-1-yl)-phenolate (I), 4-nitroaniline (2), N,N-diethyl-4-nitroaniline (3) and monoethanolamine, 1-methylimidazole, tetrabutylammonium bromide, 3-amino-1-propanol, aminomethylpropanol, ethylenediamine, diethylenetriamine, triethylenepentamine, and pentaethylenhexamine were procured from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification. Hydrochloric acid was obtained from Merck.

Synthesis of DESs

Monoethanolamine (MEA)- and 1-methylimidazole(MIM)-based DESs were synthesized by protonation–complexation processes. Protonation involved the drop-wise addition of aqueous hydrochloric acid into the MEA and MIM, maintained at 273 K under vigorous stirring. The resultant protic ionic liquids (PILs) were first placed under rotary-evaporator at 60 °C and later connected to the ultra-high vacuum pump operated at 70 °C to remove all traces of water and other volatile impurities. Complexation comprised addition of various HBDS in PILs at 80 °C for 1 h, to yield the desired DESs. The TBAB-based DESs were prepared by complexing the TBAB with different HBDS at 80 °C for 1 h. The pictorial representation of the DESs synthesis is shown in Scheme 1. All DESs and their precursors were characterized by 1H NMR- and 13C NMR-spectroscopy (see ESI†).
Determination of polarity parameters

All solvatochromic dyes were dissolved in methanol to prepare a stock solution (10⁻² M) prior to the polarity measurements. The stock solution was taken in a glass vial first and methanol was removed by blowing nitrogen gas into the vial followed by the addition of 1 ml of DESs. Consequently, the resultant solution was transferred into a 1.3 ml quartz cuvette under nitrogen atmosphere and sealed with a septum. The wavelength of maximum absorption (λₘₐₓ) was recorded at room temperature using a UV-visible spectrophotometer. Different polarity parameters were derived by employing the empirical equations given in Table 2.

Viscosity measurement

The viscosities (η) of different DES systems were measured at room temperature by using a Brookfield rotating viscometer (RVDV1) with a cone and plate arrangement. The viscosity (η) values of DESs were obtained according to the given equation:

$$\eta = \frac{(100/RPM)(TK)(torque)(SMC)}{TK}$$

where, TK (0.09373), RPM and SMC (0.327) are the viscometer torque constant, speed and spindle multiplier constant, respectively.

CO₂ absorption experiment

In the present study, CO₂ absorption measurements were carried out by bubbling CO₂ gas, with a flow rate of 50 ml min⁻¹ into a vial containing 3 g of DESs. The vial was weighed at regular intervals to record the differential weight of absorbed CO₂ and, consequently, the weight percent of absorbed CO₂ in the respective DESs. The electronic balance used for weighing had an accuracy of ±0.1 mg. Desorption experiments were also performed under inert atmosphere by weighing the vial at regular time intervals. The water content in DESs as measured by the Karl-Fisher Coulometer was > 30 ppm.

Speciation of absorbed CO₂ in carbamate, carbonate and bicarbonate

The ¹³C NMR spectroscopy was employed for the speciation of absorbed CO₂ in DESs. Speciation of CO₂ was based on the calibration of the ¹³C NMR spectra of CO₂-treated samples with those of the reference spectrum of K₂CO₃ and KHCO₃, as shown by Mani et al.⁴⁰ The ¹³C NMR spectrum of 1 M K₂CO₃ and KHCO₃ and the weighted mixture of two salts were prepared in D₂O. The speciation results of absorbed CO₂ into carbamate, carbonate and bicarbonate were obtained based on the linear relation between the change of chemical shifts in K₂CO₃, KHCO₃ and their mixtures against the molar compositions as shown in Fig. S1 (ESI†).
Scheme 1 Synthetic route to prepare a typical DES.

Table 2 Empirical equation to determine the $E_T$ and Kamlet–Taft parameters

| Polarity parameters | Empirical equations |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| Electronic transition energy ($E_T$) | $E_T(30) (\text{kcal mol}^{-1}) = \frac{hc\nu_{\text{abs}}\lambda_{\text{max}}}{16.5} = 2.8591\nu_{\text{abs}}\lambda_{\text{max}}$ where $\nu_{\text{abs}}\lambda_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum wavelength of lowest energy band of Reichardt’s dye (1) |
| Polarity index ($\pi^+$) = | $\nu_{\text{max}} - 27.52$ |
| Hydrogen bond donor acidity ($\alpha$) = | $\frac{\nu_{\text{max}} - 0.23 - 30.31}{10.35}$ |
| Hydrogen bond acceptor basicity ($\beta$) = | $\frac{\nu_{\text{max}} + 2.64}{2.8}$ |

Results and discussion

For all DESs CO$_2$ uptake experiments, viscosity and polarity measurements ($E_T(30)$, $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\pi^+$) were carried out at room temperature and values are presented in Table 3. The CO$_2$ uptake values for [MEA-Cl][EDA]-based DESs were observed to be in close agreement with those reported by Trivedi et al.$^{32}$ The small deviation between current and reported values in the CO$_2$ uptake are owing to the difference in the experimental temperatures.$^{32}$ All DESs exhibited the excellent CO$_2$ uptake during the first hour whereas equilibrium was attained in 3–5 h, depending on affinity of the DESs towards CO$_2$. For example, for [MEA-Cl][EDA] at 1:3 and 1:4 ratios, nearly 32.5 wt% and 34.1 wt% of CO$_2$ was recorded in 1 h, respectively. At equilibrium, these values improved to 36.5 wt% and 39 wt% for 1:3 and 1:4 mole ratios, respectively. Therefore, for comparison,

Table 3 CO$_2$ uptake (molar and gravimetric) and polarity parameters in different DESs

| DESs | Mol. wt | wt% CO$_2$ | Mole CO$_2$/mole DESs | $E_T(30)$| $\pi^+$ | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\eta^{\text{cP}}$ |
|------|---------|------------|------------------------|----------|------|-------|------|---------|
| [MEA-Cl][EDA] (1:1) | 78.82 | 23.5 (20.5)$^a$ | 0.38 | 59.0 | 1.18 | 0.94 | 0.73 | 128.0 |
| [MEA-Cl][EDA] (1:2) | 72.58 | 30.9 (24.4)$^a$ | 0.47 | 55.8 | 1.17 | 0.76 | 0.60 | 17.3 |
| [MEA-Cl][EDA] (1:3) | 69.46 | 36.5 (31.5)$^a$ | 0.54 | 54.0 | 1.15 | 0.65 | 0.82 | 9.6 |
| [MEA-Cl][EDA] (1:4) | 67.59 | 39.0 (30.8)$^a$ | 0.57 | 52.7 | 1.13 | 0.57 | 0.86 | 7.0 |
| [MEA-Cl][DETA] (1:1) | 102.00 | 25.5 | 0.57 | 51.3 | 1.06 | 0.54 | 0.90 | 19.2 |
| [MEA-Cl][TEPA] (1:1) | 136.50 | 16.6 | 0.63 | 50.4 | 1.00 | 0.52 | 0.87 | 109.4 |
| [MEA-Cl][PEHA] (1:1) | 205.40 | 12.7 | 0.59 | 50.2 | 0.83 | 0.63 | 1.03 | 222.0 |
| [HMIM-Cl][EDA] (1:1) | 89.33 | 9.0 | 0.19 | — | — | — | — | 80.0 |
| [HMIM-Cl][EDA] (1:2) | 79.39 | 25.0 | 0.45 | 53.7 | 1.11 | 0.66 | 0.77 | 14.1 |
| [HMIM-Cl][EDA] (1:3) | 74.72 | 26.7 | 0.45 | 52.8 | 1.13 | 0.58 | 0.56 | 7.7 |
| [HMIM-Cl][EDA] (1:4) | 71.80 | 30.8 | 0.50 | 52.4 | 1.13 | 0.56 | 0.86 | 5.8 |
| [HMIM-Cl][DETA] (1:1) | 106.25 | 22.8 | 0.55 | 51.3 | 1.08 | 0.53 | 0.90 | 17.3 |
| [HMIM-Cl][TEPA] (1:1) | 175.15 | 9.9 | 0.39 | 50.3 | 0.98 | 0.53 | 0.92 | 100.5 |
| [HMIM-Cl][PEHA] (1:1) | 209.61 | 8.4 | 0.40 | 49.9 | 0.91 | 0.55 | 0.94 | 213.0 |
| [MEA-Ap][AP] (1:1) | 86.33 | 15.8 | 0.28 | 59.7 | 1.15 | 1.02 | 0.58 | 126.7 |
| [MEA-Ap][AP] (1:2) | 82.56 | 21.0 | 0.37 | 58.0 | 1.13 | 0.92 | 0.68 | 67.0 |
| [MEA-Ap][AP] (1:3) | 80.72 | 24.3 | 0.42 | 57.2 | 1.09 | 0.89 | 0.74 | 64.0 |
| [MEA-Ap][AP] (1:4) | 79.60 | 26.3 | 0.46 | 56.6 | 1.07 | 0.87 | 0.47 | 55.0 |
| [HMIM-Ap][AP] (1:1) | 96.84 | 2.0 | 0.04 | 59.2 | 1.11 | 1.01 | 0.65 | 130.6 |
| [HMIM-Ap][AP] (1:2) | 89.39 | 9.5 | 0.21 | 58.0 | 1.11 | 0.93 | 0.67 | 57.0 |
| [HMIM-Ap][AP] (1:3) | 85.97 | 13.9 | 0.30 | 57.2 | 1.11 | 0.88 | 0.76 | 49.9 |
| [HMIM-Ap][AP] (1:4) | 83.81 | 19.4 | 0.37 | 56.7 | 1.08 | 0.88 | 0.72 | 39.0 |
| [TBAB-AP] (1:1) | 157.53 | 11.1 | 0.43 | 47.3 | 1.08 | 0.27 | 0.88 | 243.0 |
| [TBAB-AP] (1:2) | 136.93 | 15.6 | 0.49 | 48.1 | 1.08 | 0.32 | 0.85 | 51.2 |
| [TBAB-AP] (1:3) | 124.56 | 18.1 | 0.51 | 48.5 | 1.02 | 0.39 | 0.90 | 38.4 |
| [TBAB-AP] (1:4) | 147.45 | 10.5 | 0.35 | 48.9 | 0.99 | 0.43 | 0.94 | 199.7 |
| [TBAB-AP] (1:5) | 135.79 | 12.2 | 0.38 | 49.2 | 0.98 | 0.46 | 0.89 | 252.2 |

$^a$ Ref. 32. $^b$ Error associated with wt% CO$_2$ is ±0.004. $^c$ Polarity values are reproducible within ±0.004. $^d$ Viscosity values are reproducible within precision of ±0.003.
CO₂ uptake measured during the first hour in DESs is used in all graphical representations whereas the equilibrium CO₂ wt% are given in Table 3.

CO₂ capture in DESs can be improved (1) by optimization i.e. by varying the molar ratio of HBD component and (2) by altering the strength of HBD and HBA components. Optimization experiments are carried out for [MEA·Cl·EDA]₁, [HMIM·Cl·EDA]₁, [MEA·Cl·AP]₁, [HMIM·Cl·AP]₁, [TBAB·AP]₁ and [TBAB·AMP]₁-based DESs while the influence of various HBA and HBD components on CO₂ uptake is examined for the DESs prepared by mixing [MEA·Cl] and [HMIM·Cl] with [EDA]₁, [DETA]₁, [TEPA]₁ and [PEHA]₁ at 1 : 4 mole ratio. Compared to [MEA·Cl]₁ (m.p. 72 °C) and [HMIM·Cl]₁ (m.p. 75 °C) which formed DES even at 1 : 1 mole ratio with HBDS, [TBAB]₁ (m.p. 103 °C) formed DES at higher mole ratio with [AP]₁ (1 : 2) and [AMP]₁ (1 : 3) due to high melting point.

**Effect of molar ratio of HBD on CO₂ capture**

In case of [MEA·Cl·EDA]₁, [HMIM·Cl·EDA]₁, [MEA·Cl·AP]₁, [HMIM·Cl·AP]₁, [TBAB·AP]₁ and [TBAB·AMP]₁-based DESs, CO₂ uptake was observed to improve with the increasing mole ratio of HBD components i.e. optimization as shown in Table 3. The CO₂ uptake in [MEA·Cl·EDA]-class of DESs was increased by ~6% from 1 : 1 to 1 : 3, whereas only 3% increment was observed at 1 : 4 ratio (Fig. 2(a)). The lower increment in CO₂ uptake at 1 : 4 indicates dissipation of the capability of the [MEA·Cl·EDA]-based DESs formed beyond 1 : 4 mole ratio. Therefore, CO₂ uptake in DESs prepared beyond 1 : 4 was not considered. However, in case of [MEA·Cl·EDA]₁, an increase in the CO₂ uptake from 23.5 wt% to 39 wt% was less than two-folds despite increasing the [EDA]₁ ratio by four-folds; this clearly indicates the role of intermolecular interactions upon CO₂ absorption and reflects in terms of polarity parameters (x and β values).

Compared to the [MEA·Cl·EDA]-based DESs, [HMIM·Cl·EDA]-class of DESs exhibited lower CO₂ uptake during optimization from 1 : 1 to 1 : 4 with identical HBD component (Fig. 2(b)). The lower CO₂ uptake in [HMIM·Cl·EDA]₁ than [MEA·Cl·EDA]₁ can be attributed to the strong H-bonding interactions between former than later. [HMIM·Cl] contains more acidic protons than [MEA·Cl] and therefore coordinates strongly with [EDA]₁ at all mole ratios and brings early dissipation upon CO₂ uptake. In [MEA·Cl·AP]-based DESs CO₂ uptake was found to increase with the mole ratio of [AP]₁ from 1 : 1 to 1 : 2 but decreased beyond 1 : 2 (Fig. 2(c)). Surprisingly, in case of the [HMIM·Cl·AP]-based DESs, a significant improvement in the CO₂ uptake was observed upon increasing [AP]₁ at all mole ratios (Fig. 2(d)). The lower bonding aptitude of [MEA·Cl] towards HBDS makes it efficient HBA than [HMIM·Cl] and [TBAB]. The higher CO₂ uptake in [MEA·Cl·AP]₁ = 1 : 1 than [HMIM·Cl·EDA]₁ = 1 : 1 despite the lower basicity of [APA]₁ than [ED]₁ also confirms the potential of [MEA·Cl] in CO₂ absorption. Beyond 1 : 1, [HMIM·Cl·EDA]₁ surpassed [MEA·Cl·AP]₁ in CO₂ uptake because of the increased basicity.

The TBAB-based DESs demonstrated a significant improvement in the CO₂ uptake upon optimization (Fig. S2(a), ESI†). Surprisingly, the CO₂ absorption kinetics in case of [TBAB·AMP]₁, at a molar ratio of 1 : 3, was faster than that at 1 : 4 in the initial phase but improved later and went through a crossover point (Fig. S2(b), ESI†).

This abnormal change in the behavior of [TBAB·AMP]-based DESs might be caused by the changes in viscosity. The CO₂ uptake kinetics in DESs at 1 : 4 mole ratios are following the order:

\[
[\text{MEA·Cl·EDA}]₁ > [\text{HMIM·Cl·EDA}]₁ > [\text{MEA·Cl·AP}]₁ > [\text{HMIM·Cl·AP}]₁ > [\text{TBAB·AMP}]₁
\]

In-depth analysis of the CO₂ uptake values in DESs in terms of the change in intermolecular interactions is presented by employing the \( E(30) \) and Kamlet–Taft parameters (\( x, \beta \) and \( \pi^* \)). For [MEA·Cl·Cl·EDA]-based DESs an inverse relation \( (r^2 = 0.99325) \) between \( E(30) \) values and CO₂ wt% was obtained (Fig. 3(a)) suggesting involvement of non-polar interactions during CO₂ capture. The polarity index value \( \pi^* \), which reflects the electrolytic strength of a medium, was found decreasing during optimization in [MEA·Cl·EDA]₁ (Fig. 3(b)). The polarity \( E(30) \) of a medium depends on the relative magnitude of \( x \) and \( \beta \).

In general, the polarity of a medium decreases with the increasing value of \( \beta \) over \( x \) and vice versa.⁴¹ During the optimization of the [MEA·Cl·EDA]₁ system, \( \beta \) successively increases over \( x \) as shown in Fig. 3(c). A positive \( \beta-x \) values for [MEA·Cl·EDA]-based DESs at 1 : 2, 1 : 3 and 1 : 4 indicate basic nature of DESs. Thus,
CO₂ capture in [MEA-Cl][EDA]-based DESs is guided by the screened basicity.

The [HMIM-Cl][EDA]-based DESs also exhibited the inverse relation between \( E_T(30) \) and CO₂ uptake during optimization. Unfortunately, the polarity of the [HMIM-Cl][EDA] could not be measured at 1:1 as the DES turned solid upon addition of the dye. During optimization in [HMIM-Cl][EDA], \( \beta \) was noted to guide the course of CO₂ capture as suggested by the relative \( \beta-\alpha \) values from 1:2 to 1:4. A decrease in the \( E_T(30) \) value is, however, not supported by a decrease in the \( p^* \) as was the case with other classes of DESs. The opposite trend observed for \( E_T(30) \) and \( p^* \) might arise from the higher electrolytic dissociation of [HMIM-Cl][EDA] upon increasing mole ratios of [EDA].

The [MEA-Cl][AP]-based DESs possessed higher \( E_T(30) \) than [MEA-Cl][EDA]-class of DESs because of the acidic proton (–OH) of [AP]. In spite of low basicity (\( \beta \)), the [MEA-Cl][AP]-based DESs showed high CO₂ uptake capacity which indicates that the system acidity (\( \alpha \)) plays a crucial role in CO₂ capture. In [AP], the –OH group at \( \alpha \)-position to the –NH₂ seems stabilizing CO₂ at the carbamic acid state and, thus facilitate CO₂ absorption in DESs. A pictorial representation of the involvement of intermolecular interactions in the CO₂ uptake is shown as Scheme 2. Similar behavior was observed in ILs where an acidic group (–OH, –COOH) in the close vicinity of the amine is attributed for the multi-molar CO₂ absorption. In case of [MEA-Cl][AP], a decreasing trend in \( \pi^* \) upon optimization was similar as in case of \( E_T(30) \).

Unlike [MEA-Cl][AP]-class of DESs, [HMIM-Cl][AP]-based DESs possess lower CO₂ uptake capacity further hinting to the stronger interaction in the [HMIM-Cl][AP]. The inferior CO₂ uptake in [HMIM-Cl][AP] in comparison to [MEA-Cl][AP] arises due to the high \( \beta \) values for comparable \( \alpha \) values. It seems that higher \( \beta \) values results in the closer vicinity of HBD to HBA and thus lower polarity and decelerate CO₂ uptake. Similar conclusions were drawn by Welton et al. during the kinetic study of Diels–Alder reaction in ILs. All [TBAB][AP]- and [TBAB][AMP]-based DESs are prepared from aprotic HBA ([TBAB]) thus possess lower \( E_T(30) \) and consequently showed moderate CO₂ uptake. Small increase in the CO₂ uptake during optimization of the [TBAB][AP]- and [TBAB][AMP]-based DESs is thus due to the acidic-stabilization of CO₂ as proposed in case of [MEA-Cl][AP]. This notion is further supported by increasing \( \alpha \) value upon optimization.

Based on the above correlation it can be inferred that the CO₂ uptake in DESs does not solely depend on the basicity of the HBD component but principally on the strength of the intermolecular H-bonding interactions between the HBD and HBA components as suggested by the equilibrium between \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) values. Higher CO₂ uptake in all classes of DESs is also favored by a drop in the viscosity (\( \eta \)) during optimization. At lower viscosity, the CO₂ molecules diffuse faster in the DES continuum and therefore, improves the probability of chemisorption. It is noted that a large difference in the \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) values

![Scheme 2](image)

**Scheme 2** Pictorial representation of involvement of intermolecular interaction in CO₂ capture.
results in multi-center bonding and hence, result in high viscosity whereas small differences counter-act such interactions and result in lower viscosity and high CO₂ absorption.

Effect of HBD on CO₂ uptake

To investigate the role of HBD components on the CO₂ uptake [EDA], [DETA], [TEPA] and [PEHA] were complexed with potential HBAs like, [MEA-Cl] and [HMIM-Cl] at 1:4. As shown in Fig. 4, CO₂ uptake in both [MEA-Cl]- and [HMIM-Cl]-based DESs was noted to decrease with the increasing number of imine (–NH) groups in the HBD components. The comparison demonstrated that among both classes, [MEA-Cl][EDA] and [HMIM-Cl][EDA] were the most efficient DES while [MEA-Cl][PEHA] and [HMIM-Cl][PEHA] had the lowest capacity in terms of the CO₂ capture, despite the higher basicity of [PEHA] (β = 1.027) than [EDA] (β = 0.857). The drop in the CO₂ uptake capacity of [PEHA]-containing DESs than [EDA]-based DESs might arise due to the higher viscosity of former than later. The high viscosity retards the diffusion of CO₂ molecules towards the active sites and, consequently, impede the rapid CO₂ uptake (Fig. S3, ESI†).

Effect of HBA on CO₂ capture

Similar to HBDs, the acidity of the HBA components also affects the CO₂ uptake significantly. It is evident from Fig. 5 that [MEA-Cl] and [HMIM-Cl] impose strong control over the CO₂
uptake process when complexed with [TEPA] and [PEHA] whereas, a small difference was observed with [EDA], [DETA] and [AP], at 1:4 mole ratio. However, in all cases [MEA-Cl] based DESs showed higher potential in CO2 capture than the [HMIM-Cl]-based DESs. The higher aptitude of [MEA-Cl]-based DESs can be accounted to the nature of chemical environment which in turn depend on $\alpha$-$\beta$ values. The relative $\alpha$-$\beta$ difference is comparatively lower for [MEA-Cl]-based DESs than [HMIM-Cl]-class of DESs. Similar conclusions were drawn by Sharmad et al. and Yuan et al. during CO2 absorption in DESs and in aqueous piperazine/2-methylpiperazine, respectively.44,45 In general, if $\alpha$ has an edge over $\beta$, it gives acidic or polar characteristic to the active site, whereas in reverse scenario a stable chemical environment is formed for the CO2 capture due to the abundance of the apolar sites in the medium. Therefore, smaller differences in the $\alpha$-$\beta$ value indicate the prevalence of stable interacting sites in DES for CO2 stabilization. This view is further supported by the viscosity data as [MEA-Cl]-based DESs have higher CO2 uptake than [HMIM-Cl]-class of DESs despite their higher viscosities. Thus, the most proficient DES possess nearly similar $\alpha$ and $\beta$ values. The equilibration between donor and acceptor sites lowers polarity and thereby increase non-directional forces in the CO2 capture.

### Speciation of absorbed CO2 in DESs

CO2 absorption in different DESs was also monitored by recording the FT-IR and $^1$H- and $^{13}$C-NMR spectra before and after the experiments. FTIR spectra of DESs showed a broad peak at $\sim 2900$ cm$^{-1}$ due to N–H-mediated H-bonding (Fig. S4, ESI†). The presence of carbamate species was revealed by the absorption bands at $\sim 1559$, 1293 and 851 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, owing to the asymmetric, symmetric and bending vibrations. In [AP]-based DESs, the additional absorption band at $\sim 1350$–1500 cm$^{-1}$ indicates the presence of HCO$_3^-$ and CO$_3^{2-}$. The enhanced peaks at $\sim 1098$ and 1190 cm$^{-1}$ correspond to the stretching modes of C-N and C-O groups, respectively, and fortify CO2 absorption.

CO2 uptake in solvent results in carbamate (NH$_2$COO$^-$), carbonate (CO$_3^{2-}$) and bicarbonate (HCO$_3^-$) depending on the strength of basicity and acidity. Under the acidic condition, NH$_2$COO$^-$ hydrolyzes to CO$_3^{2-}$/$\text{HCO}_3^-$.$^46,47$ The $^1$H NMR spectrum of CO2 treated DESs show carbamate peak at 3.13 ppm, along with the downfield shifting of other peaks due to the conversion of –NH$_2$ to –NH (Fig. 6). On $^{13}$C NMR scale, NH$_2$COO$^-$ appears at $>164$ ppm whereas CO$_3^{2-}$/HCO$_3^-$ resonate below 162 ppm as exhibited in Fig. 7. The fast equilibration of a proton between HCO$_3^-$ and CO$_3^{2-}$ results in a single peak in $^{13}$C NMR whose chemical shifts depend on the relative concentration of the carbonate and bicarbonate species.

The $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of different CO2-absorbed DESs revealed the presence of NH$_2$COO$^-$ and CO$_3^{2-}$/HCO$_3^-$. Both [MEA-Cl][EDA] and [HMIM-Cl][EDA]-based DESs showed only NH$_2$COO$^-$ signal upon CO2 bubbling (Fig. S5, ESI†) because of

---

**Fig. 6** $^1$H NMR spectra of [HMIM-Cl][EDA] at 1:4 before (lower) and after (upper) CO2 uptake.

**Fig. 7** $^{13}$C NMR spectra of [HMIM-Cl][EDA] (lower) and [HMIM-Cl][AP] (upper) at 1:4 after CO2 uptake.

**Fig. 8** $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of (a) [MEA-Cl][AP]- and [HMIM-Cl][AP]-based DESs at 1:1 (●), 1:2 (◆), 1:3 (▲) and 1:4 (▼) mole ratios.
high $\beta$ which grows further upon optimization (Table 3). In [MEA-Cl][AP]- and [HMIM-Cl][AP]-classes of DESs, $\text{CO}_3^{2-}/\text{HCO}_3^-$ peak appeared at $\sim 160-161$ ppm upon CO$_2$ capture but with a different pattern of intensity change during optimization. For [MEA-Cl][AP]-class of DESs, $\text{CO}_3^{2-}/\text{HCO}_3^-$ peak was noticed only at 1:1 and 1:2, whereas, in [HMIM-Cl][AP]-based DESs, $\text{CO}_3^{2-}/\text{HCO}_3^-$ peak appeared at all mole ratios (1:1 to 1:4) as shown in Fig. 8. This unusual behavior of [MEA-Cl][AP]-class of DESs might arise because of the strong hydrogen bonding interaction between Cl$^-$ and –OH group in [AP] which strengthen upon optimization.

In [HMIM-Cl][AP], Cl$^-$ is weakly coordinated with –OH because of the strong interaction with acidic hydrogens on [HMIM$^+$] cation. Further addition of [AP] acts as a “dilutant” for the interacting components [HMIM-Cl] and [AP] and therefore [AP] becomes more available in the super-crystalline lattice of DESs during optimization. This enables the –OH group of [AP] to participate in the hydrolysis of NH$_2$COO$^-$ to $\text{CO}_3^{2-}/\text{HCO}_3^-$ upon optimization. The distribution of different carbon species in [HMIM-Cl][AP]-based DESs and their dependence on polarity are shown in Fig. 9(a).

The speciation outcome of CO$_2$ in different DESs are enlisted in Table 4.

Table 4 Speciation profiles of CO$_2$ in different DESs

| DESs          | Mol% carbamate$^a$ | Mol% bicarbonate$^a$ | Mol% carbonate$^a$ |
|---------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| [HMIM-Cl][AP] (1:1) | 46.2               | 50.8                  | 3.0                 |
| [HMIM-Cl][AP] (1:2) | 64.7               | 31                    | 4.3                 |
| [HMIM-Cl][AP] (1:3) | 74.4               | 22.2                  | 3.4                 |
| [HMIM-Cl][AP] (1:4) | 76.9               | 20.1                  | 3.0                 |
| [MEA-Cl][AP] (1:1) | 75.8               | 20.2                  | 4.0                 |
| [MEA-Cl][AP] (1:2) | 78.9               | 16.9                  | 4.2                 |
| [TBAB][AP] (1:3)  | 66.9               | 27.6                  | 5.5                 |
| [TBAB][AP] (1:4)  | 72.7               | 23.6                  | 3.7                 |

$^a$ Error associated with mol% of different species are $\pm 0.003$.

Effect of water

With the aim of simulating CO$_2$ uptake under more realistic conditions, uptake kinetics was measured by mixing water in DESs at 1:4. The inferior uptake kinetics was noted with the increasing wt% of water in DES. These observations are in contradiction to the previous reports where improved CO$_2$ uptake is reported due to the reduced intermolecular interactions in the presence of water. In contrast to the 39 wt% of CO$_2$ by pure [MEA-Cl][EDA] at 1:4, 35.7 wt%, 35 wt% and 34.4 wt% of CO$_2$ was obtained in [MEA-Cl][EDA] at 1:4 containing 10%, 20% and 30% of water, respectively (Fig. 10(a)). This deterioration in CO$_2$ uptake entails that water competes with CO$_2$ molecule for the active sites in DES. This contest increases...
with wt% of water and lowers CO₂ uptake of aqueous DES. Goodrich et al. presented similar views during CO₂ capture in an aqueous system.⁴⁸ To comprehend the dependence of CO₂ uptake on wt% of H₂O in DESs more clearly, we measured the polarity parameters and viscosity for [MEA-CI][EDA] at 1:4 with 10, 20 and 30 wt% of H₂O and tabulated the results in Table 5. Addition of 10 wt% water in [MEA-CI][EDA] at 1:4 (x = 0.573 and β = 0.857) causes an increase in z to 0.632 while β decreases to 0.815. Similarly, the addition of 20 wt% and 30 wt% water in DES further results in lower β values whereas z increase. The parallelism between CO₂ wt% and β in aqueous [MEA-CI][EDA] at 1:4 thus overrules control of viscosity on CO₂ uptake during dilution. The decrease in viscosity of aqueous DES should facilitate the CO₂ uptake due to the ease of diffusion; however, the inferior CO₂ kinetics observed upon dilution confirms the importance of β in terms of CO₂ capture in aqueous systems.

![Fig. 10](image)

**Table 5** Polarity parameters for aqueous [MEA-CI][EDA] at 1:4

| Aqueous DESs                          | E_(0)(30)°/ kcal mol⁻¹ | π⁺⁺⁺ | α⁺⁺⁺ | β⁻⁻⁻ | η⁺⁺⁺cP | wt% CO₂ |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|------|------|------|-------|---------|
| 10 wt% H₂O + [MEA-CI][EDA] (1:4)      | 54.0                    | 1.17 | 0.632| 0.815| 12.2  | 35.7    |
| 20 wt% H₂O + [MEA-CI][EDA] (1:4)      | 55.4                    | 1.22 | 0.688| 0.731| 9.6   | 35.0    |
| 30 wt% H₂O + [MEA-CI][EDA] (1:4)      | 57.4                    | 1.27 | 0.781| 0.578| 8.3   | 34.4    |

* Polarity and viscosity values are reproducible within ±0.004 and ±0.003, respectively.

Recyclability experiment

The feasibility of recycling DESs was monitored by performing the sequential absorption–desorption cycles with [MEA-CI][EDA] at 1:4 (Fig. 10(b)). A 30 wt% of ethylene glycol in [MEA-CI][EDA] is taken as a reference solution for recyclability test and the cut-off limit was set around 18 wt%. CO₂ desorption in each step was achieved by heating the solution at 70 °C for 2 h under inert environment until complete desorption.⁴⁹,⁵⁰ Contrary to the report where loss in mass of task-specific DES was noted, the initial mass of solvent was preserved after each desorption cycle in our study.⁵¹ The stability of the [MEA-CI][EDA] post consecutive absorption–desorption cycles was verified by ¹³C NMR analysis (Fig. S8, ESI†). The recycling experiments are consistent with the other studies performed with ILs and DESs.⁵²

Conclusions

In conclusion, CO₂ uptake in potential DESs is observed to depend on the nature of HBD substituents and their mole ratio relative to the HBA. Both these changes reduce polarity and thereby affect the strength of intermolecular interactions and consequently regulate CO₂ uptake. In the light of Kamlet–Taft parameters, it is observed that the CO₂ uptake capacity of DESs does not depend solely on the basicity of the HBD but is rather controlled by the strength of the intermolecular interactions between the components. The strong H-bonds in DESs result in high viscosity because of the large difference between z and β value of constituents. In the most effective DESs, HBA and HBD were found least associated by the H-bonding as suggested by the equilibrating z and β values. The dominating role of HBAs in CO₂ capture comes into play only with strongly basic HBDs such as, [TEPA] and [PEHA]. The speciation of absorbed CO₂ into the carbamate, carbonate, and bicarbonate was observed only in [AP]- and [AMP]-based DESs and it favored in DES with z value. Rest other DESs absorbed CO₂ as carbamate following semi-molar mechanism as suggested by their ¹³C NMR spectra. Addition of water decelerate CO₂ uptake in DESs due to the increasing z and decreasing β. These observations are expected to open discussion about the enriched chemistry involved in establishing DESs as a strong and viable candidates for the CO₂ capture.
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