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**ABSTRACT**

Ribosome composition, consisting of rRNA and ribosomal proteins, is highly conserved among a broad range of organisms. However, biochemical studies focusing on ribosomal subunit exchangeability between organisms remain limited. In this study, we show that chimeric ribosomes, composed of *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis* or *E. coli* and *Geobacillus stearothermophilus* subunits, are active for β-galactosidase translation in a highly purified *E. coli* translation system. Activities of the chimeric ribosomes showed only a modest decrease when using *E. coli* 30 S subunits, indicating functional conservation of the 50 S subunit between these bacterial species.

**Keywords:**
Ribosome
*Geobacillus stearothermophilus*
*Bacillus subtilis*
In vitro translation
PURE system

1. Introduction

Ribosomes play a central role in cellular gene expression. As evidenced by rRNA and ribosomal protein sequence homology, ribosomes are highly conserved among species [1,2]. The universality and slow substitution rates in rRNA sequences allow for the construction of phylogenetic trees of the three kingdoms of life [3,4]. At a structural level, rRNAs and ribosomal proteins are similar among a broad range of species [5], as shown by the exchangeability of the *E. coli* 16S rRNA gene between distantly related species [6].

In contrast to genetic and structural studies of ribosomes, biochemical studies remain limited. Early studies demonstrated that chimeric ribosomes, composed of *E. coli* and either *B. subtilis* or *G. stearothermophilus* subunits, were active as determined by the poly(U)-dependent poly(Phe) synthesis assay [7,8], in which the incorporation of phenylalanine in an acid-insoluble fraction is measured using poly-uridine as a template. This assay is, however, not reflective of native protein translation as it does not rely on standard initiation and termination processes. In addition, poly(Phe) synthesis activity is detected even if polymer length is too short to produce a functional protein. Therefore, it remains unclear whether chimeric ribosomes between *E. coli* and other bacterial species are able to produce active proteins [7,8]. Furthermore, crude *E. coli* extracts were used for the poly-U assay in the previous studies [7,8]. Therefore, the possible influence of ribosomal proteins and modification enzymes in the extracts cannot be excluded.

In this study, to examine the translation activity of chimeric ribosomes in a controlled environment, we measured the translation of β-galactosidase in a reconstituted *E. coli* translation system. Additionally, the translation activity of the *E. coli* and *B. subtilis* or *E. coli* and *G. stearothermophilus* chimeric ribosomes was determined following further purification.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. The highly purified translation system

This system consists of all *E. coli* translation proteins except for ribosomes, tRNAs, and low molecular-weight compounds. The system, prepared according to the originally reported method [9], still contained β-galactosidase activity, which was removed through gel-filtration chromatography. The composition was shown in Fig. S1. The preparation methods of the components were reported previously [10]. Each component was purified almost homogeneity as shown in SDS-PAGE data (Fig. S2).

2.2. Ribosome purification and subunit preparation

*E. coli* ribosomes were purified as previously described [11], *B. subtilis* and *G. stearothermophilus* ribosomes were purified following previously reported methods [11], with modifications. Briefly, *B. subtilis* SR22 [12] (kindly provided by Dr. Osamu Makino of Sophia University) was cultured by the same method as *E. coli* [11]. Cells were lysed with a Multi-beads shocker (Yasui kiki, Japan) and ammonium...
sulfate was added to the supernatant to precipitate protein. The supernatant was applied to a hydrophobic chromatography column. The eluted ribosome fraction was subsequently ultracentrifuged. *G. stearothermophilus* (NBRC 12550 – provided by the National Institute of Technology and Evolution) was cultured by the same method as *E. coli*, except for an increase of incubation temperature to 50 °C. Cells were lysed with a Multi-beads shocker and the lysate was ultracentrifuged. Ribosomal subunits were prepared according to a previous report [13]. Briefly, we performed three rounds of sucrose gradient ultracentrifugation to isolate each subunit for the respective bacterial species.

2.3. Translation assay

The reaction solution for the translation assay contains the highly purified translation system, 30–100 nM of each respective ribosome subunit, 10 µM CM-FDG (Life Technologies), 1.75 U/µl T7 RNA polymerase (Takara, Japan), 3.5 nM DNA fragments containing lacZ, and 1 U/µl RNase Inhibitor (Promega). The solution was incubated at 37 °C and fluorescence was monitored every 10 min for 15 h with Mx3000P (Agilent Technologies). The maximum rate in fluorescence increase was obtained as the index of translation activity. DNA fragments containing *E. coli* lacZ were prepared by PCR using primers GCGAAATTATAGCAGTCTAGTGGGTAATGTTATTAGCAGG and GGTATCGAATTAGTGGTGCCTAGCGG, and pET-lacZ plasmid [14] as template. All experiments were independently carried out three times.

3. Results

3.1. Highly purified translation assay assessment

A highly sensitive translation assay was first established since the translation activity of a chimeric ribosome under controlled conditions is expected to be too low to detect by standard methods. β-galactosidase was used as the reporter gene as its activity can be measured at single molecule level [15], and the *E. coli* reconstituted translation system [9]. Because the translation system prepared according to the original purification method [9] was contaminated with β-galactosidase activity, we further purified all the components by additional gel-filtration chromatography [10]. The sensitivity of this highly purified translation system was tested by adding small amounts of purified 70S *E. coli* ribosome, a DNA fragment containing the β-galactosidase gene, T7 RNA polymerase, and a fluorescent substrate. We measured fluorescence in real-time during incubation at 37 °C for 15 h (Fig. 1A) and obtained the maximum rate in fluorescence increase as an index of translation activity (Fig. 1B), which is reflective of the maximum concentration of β-galactosidase translated. Translation activity in the highly purified system was detected using as little as 1 nM ribosome.

The relationship between ribosome concentration and translation activity is nonlinear at low ribosome concentrations, as shown by reploting the data from Fig. 1B against ribosome concentration (Fig. S2). This is likely to be caused by ribosome adsorption onto tubes or tips during manipulation, which is an issue at very low concentrations of ribosome.

3.2. Translation activity of *E. coli* and *B. subtilis* chimeric ribosomes

To examine the translation activity of *E. coli* and *B. subtilis* chimeric ribosomes, we purified each 70S ribosome and then separated them into their respective 30S and 50S subunits by sucrose gradient ultracentrifugation. The *E. coli* 30S and *B. subtilis* 50S subunits were combined and the translation activity of the chimeric protein was measured (Fig. 2). The translation activity of the native *B. subtilis* 70S ribosome in the highly purified *E. coli* translation system (Fig. 2, lane 2) is approximately 1/50th of the native *E. coli* 70S ribosome (Fig. 2, lane 1). This indicates that *B. subtilis* ribosome has a very minor activity in the *E. coli* translation system, which is consistent with a previous report [16]. Translation activity marginally increased when the *B. subtilis* 50S subunit was substituted by the *E. coli* homolog (Fig. 2, lane 4), while it increased significantly (more than 20-fold) when the 30S subunit was substituted with the *E. coli* homolog (Fig. 2, lane 3, p < 0.001). Importantly, the activity levels of the lanes 2 and 4 were higher than the detectable background levels (Fig. 2, lane 5–8, p < 0.05). These results demonstrate that chimeric ribosomes, consisting of *E. coli* 30S and *B. subtilis* 50S subunits, are active for β-galactosidase translation in the highly purified *E. coli* translation system.

The translation activity of ribosomes consisting of *E. coli* 30S and *E. coli* 50S subunits was significantly lower than that shown in Fig. 1. This is because the translation activity significantly decreases during the dissociation and re-association process of subunits.

3.3. Translation activity of *E. coli* and *G. stearothermophilus* chimeric ribosomes

The translation activity of *E. coli* and *G. stearothermophilus* chimeric ribosomes was subsequently measured. We purified *G. stearothermophilus* 70S ribosomes and separated them into 30S and 50S subunits by sucrose-gradient ultracentrifugation. The subunits were separately combined with *E. coli* ribosomal subunits and their translation activities
respectively.
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The results of this study can be applied to the complete in vitro

reconstruction of ribosomes, one of the large challenges in minimal cell

synthesis or in vitro synthetic biology [19,20]. The ability of self-

reproduction is one of the characteristics of life and has been a target in

reconstituting life-like systems [21,22]. To achieve self-reproduction,

all components in the translation system must be reproduced from their

corresponding genes. One of the largest challenges is the in vitro

reconstitution of ribosomes, especially the 50S subunit, which has not

been successful in reconstitution from its rRNA gene [13]. The result of

the present study indicates that instead of utilizing the E. coli 50S

subunit, we can use the G. stea

thermophilus 50S subunit, which has been successfully reconstituted from in vitro-transcribed rRNA [23]. This study thus provides another option to achieve the complete in vitro

reconstitution of ribosomes by utilizing subunits from another bacterial

species.
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