Study 1

Supplementary Table 1

Summary Statistics for Multinomial Logistic Regression on Silhouette Choice (Study 1)

| 95% CI for Odds Ratio | b (SE)   | Lower | Odds Ratio | Upper |
|---------------------|---------|-------|------------|-------|
| Largest vs. Smallest |         |       |            |       |
| Intercept           | .22 (.47)| .14   | .48        | 1.67  |
| Aggression Type (AT)| -.73 (.64)| .14   | .48        | 1.67  |
| Attractiveness (A)  | .29 (.64)| .38   | 1.33       | 4.63  |
| AT X A              | .92 (.94)| .40   | 2.50       | 15.73 |
| Second Largest vs. Smallest |         |       |            |       |
| Intercept           | .22 (.47)| .32   | 1.01       | 3.20  |
| Aggression Type (AT)| .01 (.59)| .32   | 1.01       | 3.20  |
| Attractiveness (A)  | .29 (.64)| .38   | 1.33       | 4.63  |
| AT X A              | .17 (.91)| .20   | 1.18       | 7.00  |
| Third Largest vs. Smallest |         |       |            |       |
| Intercept           | .49 (.45)| .14   | .45        | 1.46  |
| Aggression Type (AT)| -.80 (.60)| .14   | .45        | 1.46  |
| Attractiveness (A)  | -.20 (.63)| .24   | .82        | 2.82  |
| AT X A              | .98 (.94)| .42   | 2.66       | 16.70 |

Note: \( R^2 = .36 \) (Cox & Snell). Model \( \chi^2(9) = 6.55, p = .684 \).
Supplementary Table 2

Summary ANOVA Tables for Effects of Aggression Type, Attractiveness, and Participant Sex on Kristin’s Perceived Height and Weight (Study 1)

| Analysis                      | SS    | df | F    | p    | η²   |
|-------------------------------|-------|----|------|------|------|
| **Height (total inches)**     |       |    |      |      |      |
| Aggression Type (AT)          | 8.27  | 1  | 1.86 | .175 | .01  |
| Attractiveness (A)            | 18.69 | 1  | 4.20*| .042 | .02  |
| Participant Sex (PS)          | 17.56 | 1  | 3.94*| .049 | .02  |
| AT X A                        | 7.12  | 1  | 1.60 | .208 | .01  |
| AT X PS                       | 1.40  | 1  | .31  | .576 | < .01|
| A X PS                        | .49   | 1  | .11  | .742 | < .01|
| AT X A X PS                   | 10.40 | 1  | 2.34 | .128 | .01  |
| Error                         | 756.92| 170|      |      |      |
| **Weight (pounds)**           |       |    |      |      |      |
| Aggression Type (AT)          | 987.88| 1  | 4.22 | .041 | .02  |
| Attractiveness (A)            | 81.27 | 1  | .35  | .556 | < .01|
| Participant Sex (PS)          | 514.53| 1  | 2.20 | .140 | .01  |
| AT X A                        | .739  | 1  | < .01| .955 | < .01|
| AT X PS                       | 72.56 | 1  | .31  | .578 | < .01|
| A X PS                        | 271.92| 1  | 1.16 | .282 | < .01|
| AT X A X PS                   | 110.71| 1  | .47  | .492 | < .01|
| Error                         | 39520.00| 169|      |      |      |
Supplementary Table 3

Summary ANOVA Tables for Effects of Aggression Type, Attractiveness, and Participant Sex on Kristin’s Perceived Masculinity and Social Status (Study 1)

| Analysis                      | SS     | df | F     | p    | η²  |
|-------------------------------|--------|----|-------|------|-----|
| **Masculinity**               |        |    |       |      |     |
| Aggression Type (AT)          | 14.43  | 1  | 8.49**| .004 | .05 |
| Attractiveness (A)            | 5.56   | 1  | 3.27† | .072 | .02 |
| Participant Sex (PS)          | 1.30   | 1  | .77   | .383 | < .01 |
| AT X A                        | .46    | 1  | .27   | .603 | < .01 |
| AT X PS                       | .28    | 1  | .17   | .685 | < .01 |
| A X PS                        | .58    | 1  | .34   | .559 | < .01 |
| AT X A X PS                   | .02    | 1  | .01   | .908 | < .01 |
| Error                         | 292.52 | 172|       |      |     |
| **Social Status**             |        |    |       |      |     |
| Aggression Type (AT)          | .26    | 1  | .09   | .767 | < .01 |
| Attractiveness (A)            | .28    | 1  | .09   | .761 | < .01 |
| Participant Sex (PS)          | 16.06  | 1  | 5.40* | .021 | .03 |
| AT X A                        | .04    | 1  | .01   | .912 | < .01 |
| AT X PS                       | .12    | 1  | .04   | .844 | < .01 |
| A X PS                        | 6.83   | 1  | 2.30  | .132 | .01 |
| AT X A X PS                   | .64    | 1  | .22   | .643 | < .01 |
| Error                         | 511.84 | 172|       |      |     |

*Note: ** p < .01, * p < .05, †p < .10.*
## Study 2

### Supplementary Table 4

*Summary ANOVA Tables for Effects of Aggression Type on Kristin’s Perceived Height and Weight (Study 2)*

| Analysis                      | SS     | df | F    | p     | η²  |
|-------------------------------|--------|----|------|-------|-----|
| **Height (total inches)**     |        |    |      |       |     |
| Aggression Type (AT)          | 55.90  | 2  | 5.95** | .003  | .08 |
| Participant Sex (PS)          | .01    | 1  | < .01 | .960  | < .01|
| AT X PS                       | 5.97   | 2  | .64  | .532  | < .01|
| Error                         | 662.58 | 141|      |       |     |
| **Weight (pounds)**           |        |    |      |       |     |
| Aggression Type (AT)          | 2421.91| 2  | 3.01¹ | .052  | .04 |
| Participant Sex (PS)          | 267.31 | 1  | .67  | .416  | < .01|
| AT X PS                       | 603.04 | 2  | .75  | .474  | .01 |
| Error                         | 57082.05| 142|      |       |     |

*Note:* **p < .01, ¹ p < .10
Supplementary Table 5

*Summary ANOVA Tables for Effects of Aggression Type and Participant Sex on Kristin’s Perceived Masculinity, Attractiveness, and Social Status (Study 2)*

| Analysis                  | SS    | df | F      | p       | η²  |
|---------------------------|-------|----|--------|---------|-----|
| **Masculinity**           |       |    |        |         |     |
| Aggression Type (AT)      | 39.03 | 2  | 10.17**| < .001  | .12 |
| Participant Sex (PS)      | 3.58  | 1  | 1.87   | .174    | .01 |
| AT X PS                   | 1.57  | 2  | .41    | .665    | < .01 |
| Error                     | 274.42| 143|        |         |     |
| **Social Status**         |       |    |        |         |     |
| Aggression Type (AT)      | 19.54 | 2  | 4.66*  | .011    | < .01 |
| Participant Sex (PS)      | 1.85  | 1  | .88    | .350    | < .01 |
| AT X PS                   | 3.11  | 2  | .74    | .478    | .02 |
| Error                     | 300.00| 143|        |         |     |

*Note:* **p < .01, *p < .05.*
### Study 3

**Supplementary Table 6**

**Summary ANOVA Tables for Effects of Aggression Type, Target Sex, and Participant Sex on Perceived Height and Weight (Study 3)**

| Analysis            | SS    | df | F     | p       | $\eta^2$ |
|---------------------|-------|----|-------|---------|----------|
| **Height (total inches)** |       |    |       |         |          |
| Aggression Type (AT) | 39.12 | 3  | 2.37  | .072    | .02      |
| Target Sex (TS)     | 618.16| 1  | 112.55** | < .001 | .36      |
| Participant Sex (PS)| 11.39 | 1  | 2.07  | .152    | < .01    |
| AT X TS             | 45.72 | 3  | 2.78* | .043    | .03      |
| AT X PS             | 10.93 | 3  | .66   | .575    | < .01    |
| TS X PS             | 7.86  | 1  | 1.43  | .234    | < .01    |
| AT X TS X PS        | 8.09  | 3  | .49   | .689    | < .01    |
| Error               | 988.61| 180|       |         |          |
| **Weight (pounds)** |       |    |       |         |          |
| Aggression Type (AT) | 3537.14| 3 | 2.24  | .086    | .02      |
| Target Sex (TS)     | 87493.52 | 1 | 166.02** | < .001 | .45      |
| Participant Sex (PS)| 121.13| 1 | .23   | .632    | < .01    |
| AT X TS             | 426.62| 3 | .27   | .847    | < .01    |
| AT X PS             | 2980.99| 3 | 1.89  | .134    | .02      |
| TS X PS             | 104.08| 1  | .20   | .657    | < .01    |
| AT X TS X PS        | 3689.63| 3 | 2.33  | .076    | .02      |
| Error               | 94336.74| 179|       |         |          |

*Note:* **$p < .01$, *$p < .05$.**
Supplementary Table 7

Summary ANOVA Tables for Effects of Aggression Type, Target Sex, and Participant Sex on Perceived Masculinity, Attractiveness, and Social Status (Study 3)

| Analysis                  | SS    | df | F     | p      | η2  |
|---------------------------|-------|----|-------|--------|-----|
| **Masculinity**           |       |    |       |        |     |
| Aggression Type (AT)      | 29.52 | 3  | 5.83**| < .001 | .06 |
| Target Sex (TS)           | 156.76| 1  | 85.75**| < .001 | .29 |
| Participant Sex (PS)      | .250  | 1  | .14   | .712   | < .01|
| AT X TS                   | 9.34  | 3  | 1.70  | .168   | .02 |
| AT X PS                   | 4.57  | 3  | .83   | .478   | < .01|
| TS X PS                   | .02   | 1  | .02   | .908   | < .01|
| AT X TS X PS              | 2.79  | 3  | .51   | .677   | < .01|
| **Error**                 | 332.74| 182|       |        |     |
| **Social Status**         |       |    |       |        |     |
| Aggression Type (AT)      | .47   | 3  | .06   | .979   | < .01|
| Target Sex (TS)           | 2.35  | 1  | .96   | .328   | < .01|
| Participant Sex (PS)      | .66   | 1  | .27   | .604   | < .01|
| AT X TS                   | 4.87  | 3  | .66   | .575   | .01 |
| AT X PS                   | 8.52  | 3  | 1.16  | .326   | .02 |
| TS X PS                   | .11   | 1  | .86   | .830   | < .01|
| AT X TS X PS              | 6.29  | 3  | .86   | .465   | .01 |
| **Error**                 |       |    |       |        |     |

*Note: **p < .01*