Opportunities of prebiotics for the intestinal health of monogastric animals
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1. Introduction

The gastrointestinal tract (GIT) has long been known as a harbor of gut microbiota. The healthy relationship between gut microbiota and animal is important for the GIT. The mammalian GIT microbiota comprises approximately 10^{14} microorganisms and includes a wide diversity of microbial species (Míguez et al., 2016; Yang and Xu, 2018). The GIT is the most heavily colonized organ and comprises various sections with different environmental conditions and microbial profiles. The microbiota in the GIT is associated with a broad range of functions within the host, including the fermentation of complex macronutrients, nutrient and vitamin production, cellulose fermentation, protection from pathogens, maintenance of the balance of the immune system, and physiological metabolism in distal organs or tissues (Han et al., 2018; Li et al., 2020; Yin et al., 2018, 2020).

Within the GIT, the microbes must adapt to the limitations imposed by the environment found along the tract. These include the biochemical pathways available to them, such as those imposed by anaerobiosis. Fermentation and sulfate reduction of dietary and host carbohydrates can supply energy in the gastrointestinal ecosystem (Thursby and Juge, 2017). Growth, development, and physiologic homeostasis of the gut is intricately related to microbial interaction with the gut mucosa and with indirect “cross-talk” between the host and microbial metabolites. Various animal
studies have indicated the crucial contributions of the gut microbiota in the producing of short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) in the colonocytes, which play a crucial role in the regulation of the gene expression in colonocytes that are related to some anti-inflammatory, maintenance of the gut barrier function, water-electrolyte balance, and several effects on intestinal metabolism (Cheng et al., 2018; Pan et al., 2019). In addition, the gut microbiota is involved in the production of different kinds of antibacterial peptides, such as bacteriocins, and the regulation of intestinal mucin production by goblet cells (Wrzosek et al., 2013), which further regulate bacterial adhesion to epithelial cells (Ye et al., 2015).

Recent studies have indicated that a diet has a considerable effect on microbiota modulation and is now regarded as a serious approach to regulate microbiota dysbiosis (Donaldson et al., 2016; Lalles, 2016). Meta-transcriptomic studies showed that the microbiota in the ileum is driven by the capacity of the microbial members to metabolize simple carbohydrates, reflecting the adaptation of the microbiota to the availability of nutrients in the small intestine (Zoetendal et al., 2012). Moreover, some microorganisms in the GIT provide the enzymes and biochemical pathways that are needed to digest the complex nondigestible carbohydrates and protein. In addition, microbiota metabolism is a key factor for the synthesis of vitamin K, absorption of calcium, magnesium and iron, and the biotransformation of bile acids. Short-chain fatty acids are generated after the fermentation cycle, which provide energy for colonocytes. Also, SCFA can stimulate proliferation and differentiation of intestinal epithelial cells in vivo, induction of mucin secretion, and antimicrobial peptide production (Chang and Lin, 2016; Wang et al., 2017). Therefore, the modulation of gut microbiota has become a prominent technique to improve host health, protect against infection and diseases, and produce important vitamins and energy, the latter of which can play a crucial role in physiological regulatory networks. Over the past decades, different nutritional strategies, prebiotics, probiotics, antimicrobial agents, and fecal microbiota transplantation have shown significant potential for shaping the gut microbiota in humans and animals (Azad et al., 2018a, 2018b; Claesson et al., 2012; Ji et al., 2018; Tachon et al., 2013).

In this regard, the outcomes of various studies indicated that dietary prebiotics have the potential to modulate intestinal health infections by altering the gut microbiota population (Gibson et al., 2017; Pham et al., 2018). This review focuses on recent studies of prebiotics and their effects on gut health, particularly in relation to nutrient digestibility and absorption, and immunomodulation.

2. Prebiotics and their mechanisms of action

The term “prebiotic” is defined as “a non-digestible food ingredient that beneficially affects the host by selectively stimulating the growth or activity of one or a limited number of bacteria in the colon, and thus improves host health” (Gibson and Roberfroid, 1995). However, only a few carbohydrate compounds have been considered prebiotics, including fructo-oligosaccharides (FOS), inulin, galacto-oligosaccharides (GOS), and lactulose, which play a role in the enrichment of native Lactobacillus spp. and/or Bifidobacterium spp. At the sixth meeting of the ISAPP (International Scientific Association of Probiotics and Prebiotics) in 2008, the definition of “prebiotics” was updated as “a selectively fermented ingredient that results in specific changes in the composition and/or activity of the gut microflora, thus conferring benefit(s) upon the host health” (Gibson et al., 2010). Recent advanced research techniques for the microbiome (e.g., high-throughput sequencing) have enhanced the knowledge of the microbiota composition and aided in the identification of other substances influencing colonization. However, this understanding of the wide range of beneficial microorganisms is affected by prebiotics and is also effective for extraintestinal sites directly or indirectly (Collins and Reid, 2016). Moreover, prebiotics as a diet supplement have extended to production and companion animal food and categories beyond food. In addition, prebiotics may affect the gut microenvironment and the utilization of other undigested dietary ingredients and compounds, such as antibiotics, minerals, and vitamins. Therefore, the definition has been updated to “a substrate that is selectively utilized by host microorganisms conferring a health benefit” (Gibson et al., 2017). By using high-throughput sequencing technologies, recent studies confirmed the selectivity of prebiotic fermentation on gut microbial ecology. These research studies revealed that bifidobacteria not only selectively responded to specific prebiotic compounds but also modulated other groups of bacteria, including Faecalibacterium prausnitzii in one trial, and increased Anaerostipes spp., decreased Bilophila spp. in another trial (Dewulf et al., 2013; Vandeputte et al., 2017). Therefore, the above-mentioned results indicate that prebiotics can modulate the beneficial gut microbiota and thus impact on host health.

Mechanistically, prebiotics are not digested in the upper GIT and they are thought to be fermented by selective residential bacteria once they reach the colon. The environment in the colon is suitable for fermentation and commensal growth due to its slow transit time, nutrient availability, and pH. The fermentation of carbohydrates in the colon leads to the production of SCFA, mainly acetate, propionate, butyrate, and other metabolites, such as lactate, pyruvate, ethanol, and succinate (Janssen and Kersten, 2015; Sarbin and Rastall, 2011; Slavin, 2013). Fermentation end-products, such as butyrate, can act as an energy source for colonocytes, even when competing substrates (e.g., glucose and glutamine) are available (Zambell et al., 2003). Furthermore, the growth of pathogenic organisms can be inhibited by the production of SCFA. In addition, SCFA production can lower the luminal pH and thus inhibits peptide degradation and the resultant formation of toxic compounds, such as ammonia, amines, and phenolic compounds, and suppresses the activity of undesirable bacterial enzymes (Cummings and Macfarlane, 1991; Jarrett and Ashworth, 2018; Slavin, 2013). On the other hand, the presence of prebiotics such as oligosaccharides in the intestine could also increase the residence probiotic strains by bacterial adhesion properties. Prebiotics have also been found to act as decoy receptors to inhibit the adsorption of some pathogenic bacteria to the intestine as reviewed by Hickey (2012). Furthermore, the butyrate-producing strains in Firmicutes families Lachnospiraceae and Ruminococcaceae were shown different growth profiles in the presence of FOS, GOS, and xylo-oligosaccharides (XOS) (Rawi et al., 2020; Scott et al., 2020). Moreover, colonic fermentation can modulate the gut microbial population, such as the increase in bifidobacteria and lactobacilli in the GIT. In addition, prebiotics have been shown to decrease the number of Bacteroides, proteolytic clostridia, and Escherichia coli (Parnell and Reimer, 2012; Zhang et al., 2015). However, fermentation in the colon and modulation of the gut microbiota are important mechanisms of action for prebiotics. Indeed, prebiotics such as oligosaccharides have also been shown to increase the integrity of intestinal mucosa by enhancing villus height and the release of mucin and mucosal biofilm composition (Wan et al., 2018a; Yasmin et al., 2015). Therefore, a number of factors influence gut microbiota modulation, which can also affect the host physiological conditions and the intestinal health of the host.
3. Prebiotics and intestinal health of monogastric animals

The term “intestinal health” is attracting significant interest among veterinarians, nutritionists, and researchers over the past few years (Celi et al., 2019; Kogut and Arsenault, 2016). This interest arises from the desire to improve gastrointestinal health aimed at animal production, such as growth, survival, and yield (milk, meat and egg quality). However, it is difficult to define “intestinal health”. Celi et al. (2017) recently proposed 6 major domains that may integrate the functionality of intestinal health.

The proposed domains include diet, digestion and absorption, normal and stable gut microbiota, effective immune status, gut mucosa, and neurosecretion and motor function of the gut. And, all of these may play a crucial role in gastrointestinal physiology, animal health, welfare, and performance. The use of prebiotics has become a topic of great interest because it is thought to have beneficial effects on intestinal health. If these claims for prebiotics are verified, they would be very important tools in pig production, especially as a feed additive.

The GIT of the monogastric animal plays a crucial role in nutrient digestion and absorption, and maintains the barrier function against malignant pathogens and antigens. Therefore, it is necessary to maintain intestinal functions because malfunction of the intestine is directly related to animal health and growth (Lee and Kim, 2018; Wijtten et al., 2011). In pig production, malfunction of the intestine can contribute to intestinal and immune dysfunctions, leading a decreased growth of piglet (Guevarra et al., 2019). Once the piglet is born, the first 4 wk are the most important in developing the GIT because in this period, GIT organs are growing faster than other organs of the body (Pluske et al., 2018). These changes in the newborn piglets are linked with the local GIT blood flow, accumulation of colostral proteins, and epithelial cell turnover, which influence changes in body weight, gastrointestinal structure, and cell apoptosis (Pluske, 2011). Therefore, dietary nutrients are essential for the functional development and growth of the GIT during the early stage of life. On the other hand, weaning is the most significant event in the life of pigs. During the weaning transition, piglets experience a number of stressors, with the most important being the abrupt change in the diet from milk to dry and less digestible solid-based feed, which may significantly reduce energy intake to maintain epithelial structure, reduce transmucosal resistance, and increase the secretory activity of the small intestine. This damage to the epithelial layer may also decrease the nutrient digestibility (Kim et al., 2012). Nutrient digestibility is also a key factor in the growth performance of monogastric animals.

Modification of the gut ecosystem through the utilization of prebiotics affects the intestinal health of the host. Fructooligosaccharides, GOS, inulin, arabinoyloxy oligosaccharides (AXOS) and XOS, chito-oligosaccharides (COS), isomalt-oligosaccharides (IMO), and dietary carbohydrates are among the most studied prebiotics in humans and animals. Table 1 presents the most significant recent results of various studies on the influence of prebiotics on intestinal health. Other less common potential prebiotics, including mannano-oligosaccharides (MOS), inulin, glucocomannan oligosaccharides (GMO), alginate oligosaccharides (AOS), and pectin oligosaccharides (POS), have also been extensively studied as prebiotics in human and animal health improvement (Table 2).

Inulin, FOS, GOS, transgalacto-oligosaccharides, and lactulose are the main prebiotics that have been extensively studied in animal health promotion as feed additives because these prebiotics are easily fermented in the colon and will therefore result in decreased luminal pH and increased SCFA production (Bach Knudsen et al., 2012; Li et al., 2018). Increased SCFA can reduce the fermentation of proteins in the intestinal tract. Short-chain fatty acids products, such as butyrate, regulate cell growth and induc differentiation and apoptosis in the small intestine, resulting in improved cell proliferation and digestion and absorption capacities of the small intestine (Linberg, 2014; van der Aar et al., 2017). A recent study with different concentrations of inulin supplementation (low concentrations: 0.5, 1, 1.5, and 2 g/d and high concentrations: 0.75, 1.5, 2.25 and 3 g/d for wk 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively) in newborn piglets revealed that inulin supplementation increased growth performance during the suckling period. Furthermore, lower concentrations of inulin increased the jejunal and ileal villus height compared to higher concentrations during weaning and postweaning. Short-chain fatty acids production also increased after the addition of inulin during weaning (Li et al., 2018). Supplementation of FOS (5 g/d) to newborns (2 to 14 d of age) showed increased body weight gain but did not change the intestinal structure compared with the respective controls (Schokker et al., 2018).

Increased villus height and villus height-to-crypt ratio are associated with digestion and absorption of nutrients that are related to growth performance. Growth factors, such as the glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1), GLP-2, epidermal growth factor (EGF), insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1), and IGF-1 receptor (IGF-1R) proteins, are also able to increase the proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis of intestinal epithelial cells (Deng et al., 2016; Shawe-Taylor et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2020). For example, oral administration of 10-ml GOS in solution (1 g/kg BW) to suckling piglets upregulated the mRNA expression of IGF-1, IGF-1R, EGF, GLP-1, and GLP-2. In addition, dietary GOS administration increased the small intestinal length (Tian et al., 2018).

As previously mentioned, weaning is the most important event in monogastric animals. A large body of evidence has revealed that prebiotic supplementation can maintain gastrointestinal functionality. For example, dietary supplementation of COS at 150 mg/kg in weaned piglets enhanced growth performance, the nutrient digestibility of crude protein, fat, and calcium, crypt cell proliferation, and intestinal morphology (Suthongsa et al., 2017; Thonsong et al., 2018). The addition of IMO supplementation at 6 g/kg for weaning pigs improved growth performance and increased apparent total digestibility of dry matter, organic matter, and gross energy. The ileum villus height and SCFA concentration in the cecum and colon also increased with IMO dietary supplementation (Wang et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2017). Interestingly, maternal prebiotic supplementation can also affect the gastrointestinal development of offspring by transferring effective metabolites through the placenta. Duan et al. (2016) supplemented dietary MOS from late gestation (d 86 of gestation) until weaning. Pregnant sows were fed MOS (400 mg/kg), and their offspring (from 7 to 28 d of age) received MOS (800 mg/kg). Maternal prebiotic supplementation increased the average daily weight gain during lactation. In another study, maternal dietary short-chain FOS (scFOS) supplementation increased SCFA production, particularly acetate, propionate, valerate, and caproate, in suckling piglets (Le Bourgot et al., 2017).

Moreover, prebiotics have been shown to be suitable for enrichment of the bioavailability of minerals. Minerals such as trace metals, iron, calcium, copper, and zinc are essential for the host organism function (Whisner and Castillo, 2018). Inulin supplementation (1%, 2%, and 3% of standard inulin) to pigs increased plasma zinc and iron concentrations (Samolotwka and Gieła, 2017). Moreover, higher concentrations of XOS (between 0.1 and 0.5 g/kg) have been shown to improve bone mineralization by decreasing the rate of carbonate substitution for phosphate (S Wang et al., 2017).
Table 1
Commonly used prebiotics for intestinal health.

| Prebiotics | Subjects | Dosage | Duration | Outcomes | References |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|----------|------------|
| FOS        | Methionine-choline deficient C57BL/6J mice | 5% | 3 wk | Villus height, small intestine length, ZO-1, SCFA | Matsumoto et al. (2017) |
| FOS        | 7-wk-old male C57BL/6J mice | 5% and 25% | 4 wk | Bifidobacterium, Coprococcus, Enterococcus, and Blautia, Firmicutes | Mao et al. (2018) |
| FOS        | 3-wk-old male Sprague–Dawley rats | 10% | 2 wk | Cecum Bifidobacterium | Yamaguchi et al. (2018) |
| FOS        | 10-wk-old C57BL/6J mice | 0.3 g/mouse | 8 wk | Propionate, n-butyrate and total SCFA | Everard et al. (2014) |
| FOS        | 3-wk-old female C57BL/6J mice | 8 g/kg BW | 2 wk | Intestinal expression of IL-23, IL-1β, mucosal mast cell, SCFA production | Chen et al. (2017) |
| FOS        | Salmonella challenged laying hens | 0.5% and 1.0% | 3 wk | IL-1β, IL-18, and IFN-γ | Adhikari et al. (2018) |
| FOS        | Dogs | 1.5 g/kg | 4 wk | Bifidobacteria, acetic-to-propionic acid ratio | Pinna et al. (2018) |
| FOS        | Weaned piglets | 0.6% | 7 d | Bifidobacteria, Lactobacillus in jejunum | Chang et al. (2018) |
| scFOS      | 18-wk-old obese male C57BL/6J mice | 10% | 4 wk | Cecal and colonic crypt depth, transmural resistance | Liu et al. (2016) |
| scFOS      | Humanized Gnotobiotic diet induced obese mice | 10% | 7 wk | Bifidobacteria, full cecum weight, empty cecum weight | Respondek et al. (2013) |
| scFOS      | Adult pigs and offspring piglets | Adult pigs (10 g/d), weaning piglets (0.15%) | 4 wk | Bifidobacteria, Prevotella, Bacteroidales, Ruminococcaceae, Firmicutes | Le Bourgot et al. (2018) |
| GOS        | Humans | 0 to 10 g/d | 16 wk | Bifidobacteria, Firmicutes | Davis et al. (2011) |
| FOS, GOS   | Humans | 16 g/d | 2 wk | Total concentration of SCFA | Liu et al. (2017) |
| α-GOS      | Fifty-six-wk old male CD-1 (ICR) IGS mice | 0.083, 0.42, and 0.83 g/(kg d) | 6 wk | Bifidobacteria, lactobacilli, Clostridium leptum | Dai et al. (2017) |
| GOS        | 4-wk-old male Wister rat | 1% | 2 wk | Bifidobacteria in large intestine, Bifidobacterium animalis, Enterococcus rectale/Clostridium cocoides in cecum and colon | Marin-Manzano et al. (2013) |
| GOS        | SPI mice | 1% | 2 wk | Clostridium spp | Monteguado-Mera et al. (2016) |
| scGOS      | Humans | 1.5 to 15 g/d | 36 d | Bifidobacterium, Faecalibacterium, Lactobacillus | Azcarate-Peril et al. (2017) |
| GOS        | Suckling piglets | 1 g/kg BW | 3 wk | Intestinal length, ZO-1, TGFB, GLP-2 | Tian et al. (2018) |
| High-purity GOS | In vitro and in vivo | 1% | 5 wk | Bifidobacterium bifidum, Bifidobacterium longum, Lactobacillus acidophilus, Lactobacillus casei | Hong et al. (2016) |
| scGOS/LCFOS | IL-1Ra deficient mice | 1% to 5% (90% scGOS and 10% LCFOS) | 100 mg/kg | Lachnospiraceae and Lactobacillus | Rogier et al. (2019) |
| COS        | Weaned piglets | 30 mg/kg | 2 wk | Villus height, small intestine length, ZO-1, SCFA | Matsumoto et al. (2017) |
| COS        | E. coli K88+ challenged piglets | 400 mg/kg | 2 wk | Bifidobacterium, Bacteroides | Wan et al. (2017) |
| COS        | ICR male mice | 1 to 100 mg/(kg d) | 2 wk | Villus height, small intestine length, ZO-1, SCFA | Xiong et al. (2015) |
| COS        | Wild-type male C57BL/6J mice | 200 mg/(kg d) | 3 mo | Tight junction ZO-1, TNF-α, MCP-1 (a macrophage biomarker) | Van den Abbeele et al. (2018) |
| AOX and inulin | In vitro | 5 g/L | 48 h | Villus height, villus height-to-crypt depth ratio | Aliku et al. (2017) |
| AOX, XOS   | In vitro | 5 g/L | 48 h | Villus height, villus height-to-crypt depth ratio | Aliku et al. (2017) |
| XOS        | Humans | 1.2 g/d | 6 wk | TNF-α, MCP-1 (a macrophage biomarker) | Mathew et al. (2018) |
| XOS        | Pigs | 200 mg/kg | 4 wk | Acetate, propionate, butyrate, IL-6, IL-10 | Lin et al. (2016) |
Table 1 (continued)

| Prebiotics | Subjects | Dosage | Duration | Outcomes | References |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|----------|------------|
| XOS        | Pigs     | 100 to 500 g/t | 70 d | ↓ Proteobacteria, Firmicutes, Lactobacillus, SCFA | Pan et al. (2019) |
| XOS        | Pigs     | 0.01% | Weaned | ↓ Streptococcus, Turricibacter, ZO-1 | Yen et al. (2019) |
| XOS        | Laying hens | 0 to 0.05% | 8 wk | ↑ Lactobacillus, IFN-γ | Ding et al. (2018) |
| XOS, MOS   | Arbor Acres male broiler chickens | XOS at 2 g/kg, MOS at 1 g/kg | 5 d | ↑ Coprococcus, Ruminococcus, Enterococcus, Clostridium, Lactobacillus, Roseburia, TNF-α, Salamonella | Pourabedin et al. (2017) |

Table 2

| Prebiotics | Subjects | Dosage | Duration | Outcomes | References |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|----------|------------|
| AOS        | Pigs     | 100 mg/kg | 2 wk | ↑ Villus height, villus height-to-crypt depth ratio, goblet cells | Wan et al. (2018b) |
| AOS        | Pigs     | 100 mg/kg | 2 wk | ↑ Intestinal occludin, intestinal catalase activity, IL-1β | Wan et al. (2018a) |
| MOS        | Wister rats | 1 mg/kg | 1 mo | ↑ Villus height, villus height, goblet cells | Levi et al. (2018) |
| MOS        | Laying hens | 0 to 2 g/kg | 11 wk | ↑ IL-10, IFN-γ, IL-1β, ileal nutrition digestibility | Ghaseeman and Jahanian (2016) |
| Konjac MOS | Mice CS7BL/6J | 2 to 8 g/(kg-d) | 5 wk | ↑ Bifidobacterium, Akkermansia, Allobaculum spp. | Zheng et al. (2018a) |
| GMO and inulin | Wistar rats | — | 2 wk | ↑ Bifidobacteria, lactobacilli, Acetate, propioniec, butyric, and total SCFA in cecal content, E. coli | Harmayani et al. (2014) |
| MOS        | Broilers | 0.2% or 0.5% | 38 d | ↑ Bifidobacterium, Lactobacillus, E. coli, Campylobacter | Baurhoo et al. (2009) |
| MOS        | Salmonella challenged broilers | 0.1% to 0.3% | 24 d | ↑ Villus height, villus height-to-crypt depth ratio, villus surface area | Rajani et al. (2016) |
| Konjac oligosaccharides | Mice | 0.5 to 2 g/kg BW | 35 d | ↑ Bifidobacteria, lactobacilli, Bacteroidetes, Proteobacteria, Verrucomirobia, Actinobacteria, Fibrobacteres | Zeng et al. (2018) |
| Inulin     | Pigs     | 1.5% | 110 d | ↑ Acetic acid, butyric acid, total SCFA, IL-10, IL-2, IL-6, GLP-1, SCFA | Zhou et al. (2017) |
| Inulin     | Pigs     | 3% | d 21 gestation to d 14 lactation | ↑ Enterococci, Escherichia coli, L. reuteri, L. amylovorus, L. johnsonii, L. mucosae, C. leptum, C. coccoides | Passlack et al. (2015) |
| Inulin     | Arbor Acres SPE chickens | 2.5 to 20 g/kg | 3 wk | ↑ Acetate, propionate, villi height, mucin-2, claudin-1 | Song et al. (2018) |
| Pectin     | Dynamic gastrointestinal stimulator model | 30 g/L | 14 d | ↑ Bifidobacterium spp., Bacteroides spp., Faecalobacterium prausnitzii, SCFA | Ferreira-Lazarte et al. (2019) |
| POS, AX    | Pigs     | 6 g/kg | 4 wk | ↑ Acetate, propionate, butyrate, total SCFA, Lactobacillus, Lachnospiraceae, Bacteroidetes | Tian et al. (2016) |
| IMO        | Swiss albino mice | 1 g/kg BW | 12 wk | ↑ Streptococcus, Clostridium, Lactobacillus spp., Bifidobacterium, Akkermansia muciniphila, Roseburia spp., TNF-α, IL-10, SCFA, goblet cells, villus height, ZO-1, GLP-1 | Singh et al. (2017) |
| Resistant potato starch | Weaned piglets | 5% | 12 d | ↑ Terrisporobacter, Sarcina, Clostridium sensu stricto I, butyrate, and lactate | Trachsel et al. (2019) |
| Saccharomyces-derived prebiotics | Broiler chickens | 50 and 100 g/t | 6 wk | ↑ Camphylobacter | Froebel et al. (2019) |

AOS – alginate oligosaccharides; TNF – tumor necrosis factor; IL – interleukin; MOS – mannan-oligosaccharides; GMO – glucosamann oligosaccharides; SCFA – short-chain fatty acids; POS – pectin oligosaccharides; AX – arabinoxylans; IMO – isomalt-oligosaccharides; ZO – zonula occludens; GLP-1 – glucagon-like peptide-1.

“↑” and “↓” mean respectively “increased” and “decreased” after prebiotic supplementation.
4. Prebiotics and immunomodulation of monogastric animals

The GIT is considered the largest and most important organ of the immune system, where more than 70% of the cells of the immune system are located (Vighi et al., 2008). The relationship between the GIT and immune system is attaining increasing significance, not only in terms of health and diseases, but also in terms of intestinal functionality (Celi et al., 2017). Physiologically, the GIT plays a pivotal role as a barrier function against pathogens and antigens. The immune system of the GIT has a distinctive capability to distinguish between beneficial and potentially high-risk materials. Prebiotics can stimulate or modulate the immune system by promoting several components of the intestinal immune system. Some probiotics can modulate the immune system by binding the G protein receptors within gut-associated lymphoid tissue. Immune function can be modulated by prebiotics both directly or indirectly (Khangwal and Shukla, 2019). The primary layer of the intestinal barrier is composed of a mucus layer, which is formed by an inner layer with high concentrations of secretory immunoglobulin A (sIgA) and mucin and by an outer layer associated with the microbiota. Prebiotics are productive weapons by changing expression of cytokines impacted by prebiotics on the immune system has been concluded that SCFA production in the intestine changed played a higher concentration of SCFA being produced. Finally, it has been concluded that SCFA production in the intestine changed cytokine profiles (Le Bourgot et al., 2017). In another study, a low dosage of COS supplementation upregulated the expression of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 but did not change the pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1β and IL-2 in the intestines of weaned piglets (Xiong et al., 2015). Therefore, these investigations suggest that prebiotics can assist in the improvement of the immune system by direct or indirect approaches through the production of SCFA. However, the immune system of monogastric animals needs further research to fully understand its immunomodulatory effects.

5. Prebiotics and intestinal microbiota modulation of monogastric animals

The GIT is a prominent and robust area for microbial colonization, and maintains not only the intestinal health but also the overall health of the host. The gut microbiota of the GIT plays an important role in processing signals and cues from the environment and delivering them to the host (Celi et al., 2019; Dietert and Silbergeld, 2015). However, a number of factors influence the diversity and activity of the intestinal microbiota, such as colonization and associated succession of inhabitation, dietary composition, feeding methods, feed processing, feed additives, antimicrobial agents, disease load, season, environment, stress, and genetics (Guevarra et al., 2019; Ji et al., 2019; Rinninella et al., 2019; Ruczikza et al., 2019). Furthermore, the gut microbiota displays a compromise between supportive barrier functionality, synthesis of beneficial nutrients and proteins, and improved energy accumulation from diets, and the deleterious effects of inflammatory and clinical or subclinical pathologies (Celi et al., 2017; Pluske et al., 2018).

Microbial fermentation of prebiotics by intestinal gut bacteria in the colon leads to the production of a range of metabolites, including SCFA (primarily butyrate, acetate, and propionate), lactate, succinate, ethanol, and gases. Thus, the acidic environment in the colon can change the microbiota composition, which helps to suppress the growth of some potential pathogens such as E. coli, Clostridium, Streptococcus faecalis, and Proteus, and enhances the growth of some beneficial bacteria, including bifidobacteria, lactobacilli, and Eubacterium (Morrison and Preston, 2016; O’Callaghan and van Sinderen, 2016; Zhang et al., 2015). For
example, in a mouse colitis model, gastrointestinal inflammation occurred because of an increased population of pathogenic bacteria Enterobacteriaceae and E. coli and a reduction in the number of Lactobacillus johnsonii (Jang et al., 2018). A number of studies have revealed that dietary prebiotics can increase lactobacilli and reduce pathogenic bacteria in the GIT by changing the gut environment (Ahmadi et al., 2019; Lockyer and Stanner, 2019; Zhang et al., 2018). In addition, prebiotics have also been shown to increase some other beneficial bacteria, such as Verrucomicrobia, Erysipelotrichaceae, Akkermansia, and Faecalibacterium, and reduce pathogenic bacteria, such as Salmonella, Proteobacteria, and Firmicutes (Monteagudo-Mera et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2018).

Over several years, growing evidence has shown a cross-talk between the host immune system and the intestinal microbiota. There is a large body of evidence from several species that shows the intestinal microbiota drives the improvement and function of the immune system by regulating the interaction between the host and intestinal microbiota (Brown et al., 2013; Kamada et al., 2013; Stokes, 2017). For example, certain intestinal commensal bacteria produce SCFA, which can reduce the intestinal pH to inhibit the growth of certain intestinal pathogens (Parada Venegas et al., 2019). Prebiotic supplementation has been shown in various studies to increase certain beneficial bacteria and reduce pathogenic bacteria along with the production of SCFA (Kong et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2017; Lin et al., 2016). Lipopolysaccharide is another powerful inflammatory mediator that is naturally released during the bacterial life cycle. In elevated intestinal permeability due to gastrointestinal complications, the elevated lipopolysaccharide levels in fecal matter or serum were accompanied by an increase in the population of E. coli and a decrease in the number of lactobacilli (Adewole et al., 2016; Jang et al., 2018). Dietary supplementation of prebiotic XOS (200 mg/kg) in pigs significantly decreased the fecal E. coli, but increased the number of lactobacilli (Li et al., 2018). Furthermore, supplementation of prebiotic MOS in broilers (0.08% to 0.5%) has been found to potentially increase beneficial bacteria such as Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium and decrease harmful bacteria such as Salmonella, E. coli, Clostridium perfringens, and decrease potential pathogens such as Campylobacter (Baurhoo et al., 2009; Corrigan et al., 2015).

Enterotoxigenic E. coli (ETEC) is one of the main causes of diarrhea and can cause lower production performance in intestinal health. Adherence of ETEC to the epithelium colonizes the small intestine and releases enterotoxin and accounts for more gastrointestinal disorders (Aluko et al., 2017; Guan et al., 2019; Lin et al., 2016). To minimize the effects caused by ETEC, antimicrobial growth promoters are used for an extended period of time, with the aim of minimizing gastrointestinal disorders and promoting growth. However, several studies have shown that prebiotics can act as alternative sources for antimicrobial growth promoters. For example, prebiotics such as COS can bind to the anionic cell surface of Gram-negative bacteria (E. coli) to change the outer membrane permeability and leakage of cell enzymes and thus prevent the growth and spread of E. coli (Aluko et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018).

Salmonella is a food-borne pathogen that can cause serious illness in monogastric animals. Antimicrobial growth promoters have also been used extensively to minimize infection in swine and poultry. Several studies have shown that increasing Lactobacillus population and SCFA production are associated with the reduction in Salmonella (Adhikari et al., 2018; Bouwhuys et al., 2017). However, in addition to promoting mucosal barrier functions, the gut microbiota can also enhance the abovementioned pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines. Therefore, the identification and characterization of more potential prebiotics in the gut microbiota alteration is necessary for future prebiotic studies.

6. Conclusions

A healthy GIT ecosystem is in a state of equilibrium, with its gut permeability, intestinal barrier function, and gut microbiota populations. Gastrointestinal health is influenced by a balanced gut ecosystem through the homeostasis of nutrient digestibility and absorption, immunomodulation, and gut microbiota alteration. Dietary supplementation with prebiotics in different stages has confirmed beneficial effects of prebiotics on host health, particularly in terms of protection against pathogenic bacteria (such as Salmonella, E. coli, and Clostridia) and increased levels of beneficial bacteria (such as bifidobacteria and Lactobacillus), thus inhibiting pathogen colonization and improving epithelial cell barrier functions. However, the observation of gut ecosystem variation throughout life can be a key strategy for host health. Recent studies revealed that prebiotic supplementation enhanced the probiotics in the gut ecosystem by creating favorable living conditions through colonic fermentation. In addition, cross-feeding approaches are gaining interest because a number of studies have found that some single probiotic strain does not grow as expected in co-culture and fecal inoculum with prebiotics (Rawi et al., 2020; Sanders et al., 2019). With this knowledge, researchers will be able to further understand how gut microbes respond by different prebiotic substances to maintain the intestinal health of monogastric animals. In addition, it is also crucial to elucidate the effects of prebiotic on gut metabolite alterations during fermentation, bacterial gene expression, and their underlying mechanism. Furthermore, the identification and characterization of novel potential prebiotics would be a key pathway for future studies to promote host health. And, improving the precision and repeatability of the measures of microbial composition such as high-throughput techniques, which lead to actual, not misleading interpretations, are necessary in this field.
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