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The Cenozoic tectonic evolution of the North Qaidam-Qilian Shan fold-thrust belt is an important region for studying the uplift of plateau and deformation of continents (Molnar et al., 1993; Yin and Harrison, 2000; Tapponnier et al., 2001; Royden et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2008; Fang et al., 2020). The North Qaidam-Qilian Shan fold-thrust belt (NQQB) comprises the northern Tibetan Plateau (Figure 1), intensely deformed during the Cenozoic as a result of the remote response to Indian-Asian plate collision (Fang et al., 2005, 2007; Yin et al., 2008; Zheng et al., 2010, 2017; Wang et al., 2017, 2020; An et al., 2018; Pang et al., 2019a; Yu et al., 2019a) and the Eocene deformation is well accepted (Yin et al., 2008; Zheng et al., 2011, 2018; Jia et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019; Cheng et al., 2019; He et al., 2020, 2021). The evolution of Cenozoic deformation in the NQQB is thus crucial for understanding the growth of the Tibetan Plateau and the re-activation of ancient orogenic belts. However, the starting time and the spatial-temporal migration of deformation in the NQQB in Cenozoic is still controversial. Many sedimentology and thermochronology records indicate the deformation in this region commenced at the middle-late Miocene (Zheng et al., 2010, 2017; Wang et al., 2017, 2020; An et al., 2018; Pang et al., 2019a; Yu et al., 2019a), while evidence for the Eocene deformation is well accepted (Yin et al., 2008; Zheng et al., 2011, 2018; Jia et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019; Cheng et al., 2019; He et al., 2020, 2021). The development of deformation in the NQQB is proposed to from the south to north (Zhuang et al., 2011; Qi et al., 2016), from the...
center to the south and north synchronous (Zheng et al., 2017; Pang et al., 2019a), or out-of-sequence deformation (Li et al., 2020; He et al., 2021). One of the main reasons for these controversies is the complicated structure of the NQQB but current age constraints for deformation limited to sparse sites. Therefore, more time records of tectonic deformation at crucial site in the NQQB is imperative.

In this study, we present new apatite fission track (AFT) data from Paleogene strata in the northern Qaidam Basin. Thermal modeling of these partially annealed detrital AFT ages indicates...
the northern Qaidam Basin experienced intensified thrusting and folding since \( \sim 10 \) Ma, which provide ages constraints for tectonism in the southernmost NQQB. Combined with published data, this result permits us discuss the evolution of deformation in the northern Tibetan Plateau in the Cenozoic.

**GEOLOGICAL SETTING**

The NQQB is located in the northern Tibetan Plateau. It is an early Paleozoic collisional orogenic belt and experienced multi-phase tectonic rejuvenation along the ancient structure boundary during the Mesozoic-Cenozoic (Yin and Harrison, 2000). Rocks in the NQQB consist of Proterozoic-Paleozoic plutonic bodies and arc magmatic rocks, ultrahigh-pressure metamorphic and low- to high-grade metamorphic rocks, ophiolitic mélange, oceanic carbonate rocks and flysch sequences, and Mesozoic-Cenozoic nonmarine sedimentary rocks (Gansu Geologic Bureau, 1989; Gehrels et al., 2003). Many Cenozoic sedimentary basins (e.g., the Hexi corridor Basin bounded northeast and the Qaidam Basin bounded southwest) surrounding this fold-thrust belt have a modern altitude difference in 1,500–3,000 m between the source and sinks (Zhuang et al., 2011; Li et al., 2014). Two major faults of the Tibetan Plateau impact the NQQB, the Altyn Tagh Fault that bounded northwest and the Haiyuan Fault that through east of the NQQB (Taylor and Yin, 2009) (Figure 1).

The Qaidam Basin connects to the southwest of the NQQB and have Cenozoic sediments with thickness about 12,000 m in the depocenter (Meng and Fang, 2008). Cenozoic strata of the Qaidam Basin consist of nonmarine Lulehe Formation (Fm.), Xiangcanhaigou Fm., Shangganchaigou Fm., Xiayoushashan Fm., Shangyoushashan Fm., Shizigou Fm., and Qigequan Fm., from
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**Figure 2** | Stratigraphy, lithology, and geochronology of the sampled section. (A) Geologic map of the HLG section and its vicinity (modified from Zhang, 2006). Locations of the sampled section (Figure 2C) and the seismic profile (Figure 2B) are labeled. (B) Seismic profile with geological interpretations across the HLG area (Zhang et al., 2020). (C) Stratigraphic column and magnetostratigraphy (Fang et al., 2019) of the sampled strata with detailed AFT sample positions. GPTS: geomagnetic polarity time scale.
the oldest to the youngest (Meng and Fang, 2008; Yin et al., 2008). The northern Qaidam Basin have Cenozoic sediments over 5,000 m, probably sourced from the Qilian Shan (Zhuang et al., 2011; Cheng et al., 2019). In the late Cenozoic, the northern Qaidam Basin deformed intensely and was involved in the Qilian Shan fold-thrust belt (Yin et al., 2008). The studied Hongliugou (HLG) section in the northern Qaidam Basin exposed strata from the Lulehe Fm. to the Shizigou Fm. successively (Figure 2). We focus on the older Lulehe Fm. and Xiaganchaigou Fm., which mainly consist of alluvial fan-fan deltaic conglomerate and sandstone in the Lulehe Fm. and fluvial-lacustrine sandstone and mudstone in the Xiaganchaigou Fm. (Fang et al., 2019; He et al., 2021). The sedimentary source of these two formations is traced to the NQQB (He et al., 2021).

The precise Cenozoic stratigraphic chronology of the Qaidam Basin is controversial. There are two standpoints, one suggests an early Eocene age for the basal Lulehe Fm. (Sun et al., 2005; Meng and Fang, 2008; Yin et al., 2008; Ji et al., 2017), another suggests a late Oligocene or early Miocene age (Wang et al., 2017; Nie et al., 2019). The stratigraphic chronology of the Lulehe Fm. and Xiaganchaigou Fm. in the HLG section were precisely measured by magnetostratigraphy of Fang et al. (2019), given ages of 54–43.5 Ma for the Lulehe Fm. and 43.5–30 Ma for the Xiaganchaigou Fm. (see details in Fang et al., 2019). We accept this age assignment to constrain the depositional ages of our samples because Fang et al. (2019) was sampled in the same section with this study.

**METHODS AND SAMPLING**

The AFT thermochronometer records the age of rocks cooling through its susceptible temperature of about 60–120°C and thus sensitive to thermal variation in the shallow crust (Gallagher et al., 1998). A total of 10 AFT samples were collected from Paleogene strata of the Lulehe Fm. and Xiaganchaigou Fm. in the lower part of the HLG section. The detailed sampling positions are shown in **Figure 2**. The sandstone samples were crushed, washed, and sieved. Apatite grains were extracted using conventional magnetic and heavy-liquid separation techniques. The external detector method and zeta calibration approach were used for AFT dating (Hurford and Green, 1983). To reveal the spontaneous tracks,apatite aliquots were mounted in epoxy, ground, polished, and etched for 20 s in a 5 N HNO₃ solution at 20°C (Barbarand et al., 2003). The experiment use U-poor micas as external detector and IRMM540R glasses as dosimeter. The Fish Canyon Tuff, Durango, and Mt. Dromedary apatites were used as age standards. After samples, age standards, and dosimeter glasses were packed with external detectors, they were irradiated at the Thermal Irradiation Center at Oregon State University. Mica detectors were then unpacked and etched in 40% HF solution for 40 min at 20°C to reveal the induced tracks.

Fission-track counting was performed at the Fission Track Laboratory of the Northwest Institute of Eco-Environment and Resources, Chinese Academy of Sciences, using a fully manual method on an Autoscan fission-track counting system that consists of a Zeiss Axiosimager Z2m microscope, ES16 stage, and Fission-Track Studio software. Track density, track length, and Dpar value were measured on appropriate apatite in each sample. A personal ξ value of 342.60 ± 7.63 was obtained and used to determine sample ages. The χ² test was performed on single grain ages of each sample to quantify AFT age homogeneity; a value of P(χ²) < 5% is indicative of a broad dispersion of single-grain ages that can be decomposed into different grain-age components (Green, 1981).

**TABLE 1** | AFT dating results.

| Sample | Depositional age (Ma) | Number of grains | Age range (Ma) | Central age ± σ (Ma) | P(χ²) | Dispersion (Ma) | Mixture model peaks ± σ (Ma) | Mean track length ± SE (μm) | Number of lengths | Average Dpar ± SE (μm) |
|--------|-----------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------------|-------|----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| HLG2000 | 31 | 61 | 17.7–22.2 | 48.3 ± 2.6 | 0 | 32 | 34.1 ± 2.3 | 63.4 ± 3.7 | - | 11.93 ± 0.32 | 14 | 1.22 ± 0.02 |
| HLG2025 | 33.9 | 81 | 16.6–109.2 | 43.7 ± 1.9 | 0 | 31 | 33.6 ± 1.6 | 62.6 ± 3.5 | - | 13.24 ± 0.07 | 122 | 1.64 ± 0.01 |
| HLG1760 | 36 | 82 | 18.2–115.5 | 46.3 ± 1.9 | 0 | 30 | 32.9 ± 1.7 | 52.2 ± 2.4 | 94.4 ± 9.5 | 8 ± 11% | 13.28 ± 0.08 | 91 | 1.62 ± 0.02 |
| HLG1522 | 38.8 | 75 | 16.3–133.8 | 45 ± 1.9 | 0 | 29 | 21.2 ± 2.9 | 42.6 ± 1.9 | 72.4 ± 5.6 | 7.3 ± 9.1% | 13.10 ± 0.09 | 94 | 1.51 ± 0.01 |
| HLG1350 | 40.9 | 66 | 20.8–171.4 | 58.8 ± 2.9 | 0 | 32 | 32.6 ± 3.15 | 65 ± 9.4% | 10.20 ± 12% | 87.7 ± 6.3 | 13.25 ± 0.06 | 87 | 1.54 ± 0.01 |
| HLG1100 | 42.3 | 90 | 29.3–141.7 | 60.9 ± 2.5 | 0 | 27 | - | 49.9 ± 2.3 | 67.3 ± 8.3% | 52.7 ± 8.3 | 13.12 ± 0.08 | 112 | 1.65 ± 0.03 |
| HLG970 | 44.1 | 80 | 19.8–102.9 | 57 ± 1.9 | 0 | 21 | - | 41.8 ± 3.2 | 65.3 ± 2.9 | 68 ± 11% | 12.94 ± 0.09 | 91 | 1.63 ± 0.01 |
| HLG800 | 45.7 | 91 | 30.6–180.5 | 78.0 ± 2.8 | 0.01 | 17 | - | 32 ± 11% | 77.5 ± 2.8 | 68.0 ± 5.3 | 100 ± 0% | no data no data no data |
| HLG410 | 49.4 | 21 | 26.1–158.4 | 68.1 ± 6.3 | 0.18 | 22 | - | - | 100 ± 0% | no data no data no data |
| HLG100 | 53 | 45 | 14.6–211.2 | 50.8 ± 3.3 | 0 | 28 | 30.9 ± 5.3 | 58.8 ± 4.1 | 72 ± 14% | 12.83 ± 0.13 | 39 | 1.60 ± 0.03 |

**Note.** Single-grain ages are statistically decomposed into components or populations (P1–P3) using DensityPlotter (Vermeeij, 2012). The modeled peak ages (with estimated standard deviations) and proportions of age components are given. The depositional ages of samples were correlated to the magnetostratigraphic ages by Fang et al. (2019). Mean track lengths are presented after c-axis correction. A hypen indicates no data.
RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION

AFT Ages

Results for AFT dating are presented in Table 1. Most samples yielded 60–90 single grain ages. Central ages ranged from 43.7 ± 1.9 Ma to 78.0 ± 2.8 Ma. The sample in the bottom of the section (HLG100) has an AFT age younger than its depositional age (53 Ma). All other upper samples have central ages older than corresponding depositional ages. AFT central ages do not show regular changes with depositional ages (Table 1). Most samples fail the \( \chi^2 \) test with \( P(\chi^2) < 5\% \), indicating heterogeneous age components (Green, 1981). This can occur if grains in these samples were not totally reset during post-depositional burial and still record mixed cooling signals of a variety of source terranes. For samples with heterogeneous grain ages, the observed age distributions of each sample were decomposed into grain age components using RadialPlotter and DensityPlotter programs (Vermeesch, 2012). The dispersion of single-grain ages are shown in Figure 3 as radial plots. The single grain age-density distributions and mixture model peak ages for all samples are plotted in Figure 4. In total, 21 age components were obtained, which have peak ages ranging from 21.2 ± 2.9 Ma to 98 ± 10 Ma (1σ). These decomposed age components were divided into three age populations (P1, P2, and P3) based on correlation of their peak ages (Table 1). A lag-time plot shows the relationship between decomposed AFT component peak ages and depositional ages of corresponding samples is present in Figure 5. Notably some components have peak ages younger than corresponding depositional ages and show negative lag-time. Both indicate these samples may be partially annealed after deposition (Figure 5).

AFT Lengths

Most of our samples yielded 80–120 confined track length measurements. The c-axis corrected mean track lengths range from 12.83–13.28 μm (with an exception of 14 measurements giving a length of 11.39 μm). Average Dpar values of these length-measured grains range between 1.22 and 1.65 μm. Histogram plots of the track length distribution of each sample are presented in Figure 6. Integrating the heterogeneity of grain ages and the relatively long track lengths of our samples, their post-depositional burial annealing may not intensity.

The mean track lengths of our samples are gradually shortening with sampling position down in the section (Figure 7). It is more obvious when integrated with published track length data in strata overlying the sampled section (He et al.,...
The mean track lengths were nearly constant in the upper half of the section, but become shortened in the lower half of the section (Figure 7). The lower sample has shorter lengths suggest the shortening of track length in the lower half of the section should be caused by burial annealing. Analogous to track length variation, the component ages show younger trend down-section in the lag-time plot (Figure 5), which conform to the law of burial annealing. Therefore, AFT ages and length data together illustrate that samples experienced post-depositional partial annealing.

**Thermal History Modeling of AFT Data**

Detrital rocks can be complex sedimentary mixtures derived from a variety of source terranes with variable cooling histories. During post-deposition burial, grains were reset by partial annealing. The apparent AFT ages and lengths in our data are the partially reset cooling signals of mixtures of different sedimentary sources. Broadly, grains in one detrital sample in this study experienced the same thermal history after deposition. This coherence permits the modeling of the post-depositional thermal history of our samples based on the AFT age and length data. Our samples experienced deposition, burial, and exhumation, we attempted to decipher the time constraints of these processes.

We used the HeFTy program (Ketcham, 2005) to model the thermal history. Our data of AFT ages, lengths, and Dpars are included in the annealing model of Ketcham et al. (2007). Eight of ten samples with numerous confined track lengths were modeled. We defined prior constraints for the thermal modeling as follows: each sample, using their corresponding depositional age with ±5 Ma error, was included in a 20 ± 20°C palaeo-surface temperature; each sample experienced the upper AFT partial annealing zone at 70 ± 10°C after deposition; each sample, an incipient condition of one to two times the AFT age, was included in a temperature of partial or full annealing (60–140°C). We give each constraint large ranges in time and temperature to model freely depend on the program. All models run with 500,000 iterations.
Because thermal evolutions of grains in a detrital sample are a mixture of pre-depositional conditions and a unity of post-depositional conditions, only the post-depositional thermal history is credible. The inversion results show thermal histories with two stages during post-deposition with a thermal transition in age ranges from 10–3 Ma (Figures 8, 9). After deposition, samples experienced a gradual heating phase in the early Cenozoic up to 10–3 Ma. By this time, samples reached the upper range of the AFT partial annealing zone (60–80°C). After 10–3 Ma, samples experienced a rapid cooling phase and were exhumed to the surface (Figures 8, 9). Modeled thermal histories are in accord with the inferred deposition-burial-exhumation process for the samples, as constrained by geological framework. The heated phase corresponding to the gradually buried, and the thermal condition converted to cooling at ∼10–3 Ma indicated the commenced exhumation. The exhumation since ∼10 Ma may be caused by intensified deformation of the sampled strata (Yin et al., 2008), which finally inclined and exposed the section at the surface by the present.

Although we adopt the stratigraphic chronology of Fang et al. (2019) in the HLG section as depositional age constraints, another rather younger stratigraphic chronology assignment of Wang et al. (2017) in the Honggou section (see section Geological Setting for details) is tried for thermal modeling. We changed the prior constraints of depositional ages to Wang et al. (2017) for modeling the representative samples (Figure 10). The modeled post-depositional thermal histories show transition from heating...
FIGURE 8 | Inferred thermal histories for samples in the northern Qaidam Basin modeled by HeFTy program (Ketcham, 2005). Good paths (GOF > 0.55) are shown as magenta envelopes and acceptable paths (GOF > 0.05) as green envelopes. The dark blue lines represent the weighted mean thermal paths for all models, and black lines are the best fit thermal paths. GOF = goodness of fit.
to cooling after ~10 Ma (Figure 10), which are nearly the same with that using constraints of Fang et al. (2019). This result suggests that the different depositional age constraints of the two schemes do not affect the post-depositional thermal histories of our samples significantly.

**DISCUSSION**

**Cenozoic Deformation of the NQQB**

The early Cenozoic tectonic deformation of the northern Qaidam Basin is demonstrated by growth strata in many seismic profiles (Yin et al., 2008; Yu et al., 2017; Cheng et al., 2019). This syndepositional and post-depositional tectonic deformation, which inclined the sampled strata, resulted in the burial depth much shallower than the strata thickness. Thus, our AFT samples, even that in the ~5,000 m thickness, experienced moderate annealing after deposition. The early Cenozoic deformation has been observed across the NQBB (Qi et al., 2016; Jian et al., 2018; Zhuang et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020; He et al., 2020, 2021). The AFT thermal modeling results indicate the northern Qaidam Basin experienced exhumation since ~10 Ma. The exhumation should be caused by intensified thrusting and folding in this region (Yin et al., 2008; Cheng et al., 2019). Although the deposition continued through ~10 Ma across the northern Qaidam Basin, the strata considered in this study was gradually exhumed. This exhumation-related tectonic deformation possibly resulted from the enhanced activity of thrust faults in the Lulehe anticline (Figure 2B) since ~10 Ma. As the HLG section locates between the Saishiteng Shan and Luliang Shan, which is the outmost thrust belt of the NQQB, we suggest tectonism transmitted to this structure belt at ~10 Ma and caused persistent deformation since then.

Published in situ thermochronology data revealed widespread middle-late Miocene rapid exhumation in the NQBB (Figure 1A). The intense tectonic deformation of the northern Qaidam Basin that commenced at ~10 Ma is also supported by synchronous tectono-sedimentary records in surrounding basins. Several tectonic traces at ~10–8 Ma both recorded in the northern Qaidam Basin and Jiuquan Basin that surrounding the NQQB, such as: the beginning accumulation of coarse clasts (Fang et al., 2005, 2007; Li et al., 2014); the syndepositional deformation shown in seismic or exposure profiles (Yin et al., 2008; Li et al., 2014); abruptly increased accumulation rates (Fang et al., 2005, 2007; Ji et al., 2017); initiation of sedimentary recycling in basins margin (Wang et al., 2017; Zheng et al., 2017; Pang et al., 2019a). In addition, the cease of rotation of the Jiuquan Basin suggests that the domination of shortening and uplift since then (Yan et al., 2012).
Thermochronology data together with the tectono-sedimentary data suggest the sedimentary source uplifted synchronous with the marginal basin. The southernmost Qilian Shan (Qaidam Shan), which locates close to the HLG section, have deformed at 15–10 Ma (Meng et al., 2020). The appearing of tectonic deformation in the northern Qaidam Basin since ~10 Ma delayed the deformation of the southern Qilian Shan, indicating a southward extension of deformation in the southernmost Qilian Shan-northern Qaidam Basin. However, the spatial and temporal distribution of the Miocene tectonic events in the NQQB does not shows any regular migration (Figure 1A). We suggest the NQQB experienced intensified tectonic deformation since the late Miocene and the basin-ward migration of intense deformation is limited to the marginal basin.

CONCLUSION

In this study, we present new AFT thermochronology data from Paleogene strata in the northern Qaidam Basin to investigate the time of tectonic deformation of this site. All the AFT samples experienced partial annealing during sedimentary burial. Thermal history modeling of these samples show an early stage of heating before the middle Miocene and a later stage of cooling since ~10 Ma. This transition at ~10 Ma should be caused by the initiated exhumation of the sampled strata. Thus indicates intensified tectonic deformation since ~10 Ma. We suggest the northern Qaidam Basin experienced intense tectonism since the late Miocene.
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