High star cluster formation efficiency in the strongly lensed Sunburst Lyman-continuum galaxy at z=2.37* 
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ABSTRACT

We investigate the strongly lensed (μ ≳ x10 – 100) Lyman continuum (LyC) galaxy, dubbed Sunburst, at z = 2.37, taking advantage of a new accurate model of the lens. A characterization of the intrinsic (delensed) properties of the system yields a size of ≳ 3 sq.kpc, a luminosity of L_{UV} = -20.3, and a stellar mass of M ≳ 10^7 M_☉. 16% of the ultraviolet light is located in a 3 Myr old gravitationally bound young massive star cluster (YMC), with an effective radius of ~ 8 pc (corresponding to 1 milliarcsec without lensing) and a dynamical mass of ~ 10^7 M_☉ (similar to the stellar mass) – from which LyC radiation is detected (t < 912 Å). The star formation rate and stellar mass surface densities for the YMC are log_{10}Σ_{SFR}(M_☉ yr^{-1} kpc^{-2}) ≃ 3.7 and log_{10}Σ_{*}(M_☉ pc^{-2}) ≃ 4.1, with sSFR > 330 Gyr^{-1}, consistent with the values observed in local young massive star clusters. The inferred outflowing gas velocity (> 300 km s^{-1}) exceeds the escape velocity of the cluster. The resulting relative escape fraction of the ionizing radiation emerging from the entire galaxy is higher than 6-12%, whilst it is at least 46–93% if inferred from the YMC multiple line of sights. At least 12 additional unresolved star-forming knots with radii spanning the interval 3–20 pc (the majority of them likely gravitationally bound star clusters) are identified in the galaxy. A significant fraction (40-60%) of the ultraviolet light of the entire galaxy is located in such bound star clusters. In adopting a formation timescale of the star clusters of 20 Myr, a cluster formation efficiency Γ ≳ 30%. The star formation rate surface density of the Sunburst galaxy (Log_{10}Σ_{SFR} = 0.5±0.3) is consistent with the high inferred Γ, as observed in local galaxies experiencing extreme gas physical conditions. Overall, the presence of a bursty event (i.e., the 3 Myr old YMC with large sSFR) significantly influences the morphology (nucleation), photometry (photometric jumps), and spectroscopic output (nubular emission) of the entire galaxy. Without lensing magnification, the YMC would be associated to an unresolved 0.5 kpc size star-forming clump. The delensed LyC and UV magnitude m_{Lyc} (at 1600 Å) of the YMC are m_{Lyc} ≃ 30.6 and m_{UV} ≃ 26.9, whilst the entire galaxy has m_{1600} ≃ 24.8. The Sunburst galaxy shows a relatively large rest-frame equivalent width of EW_{rest}(Hβ/ [OⅡ] ≈ [OⅡ] J=4959, 5007) ≈ 450 Å, with the YMC contributing to ~ 30% (having a local EW_{rest} = 1100 Å) and ~ 1% of the total stellar mass. If O-type (ionizing) stars are mainly forged in star clusters, then such engines were the key ionizing agents during reionization and the increasing occurrence of high equivalent width lines (Hβ/ [OⅡ]) observed at z > 0.5 might be an indirect signature of a high frequency of forming massive star clusters (or high Γ) at reionization. Future facilities, which will perform at few tens milliarcsec resolution (e.g., VLT/MAVIS or ELT), will probe bound clusters on moderately magnified (μ < 5 – 10) galaxies across cosmic epochs up to reionization.

Key words. galaxies: high-redshift – galaxies: star formation – galaxies: ISM – galaxies: star clusters: general – gravitational lensing: strong – galaxies: individual: Sunburst galaxy.

1. Introduction

A common morphological property of high redshift star-forming galaxies is the presence of clumps (Elmegreen et al. 2007; Förster Schreiber et al. 2011; Wuyts et al. 2013; Bournaud 2016; Guo et al. 2018; Zanella et al. 2015, 2019; Vanzella et al. 2021). Strong gravitational lensing easily reveals such clumps down to a ~ 100 pc scale (Livermore et al. 2015; Rigby et al. 2017; Cava et al. 2018; Dessauges-Zavadsky et al. 2019, 2017) and the sizes of massive stellar clusters (~ 30 pc) in high magnification regimes, μ > 20 (Vanzella et al. 2019, 2020a; Johnson et al. 2017). Probing the presence of clumps at the smallest physical scales remains crucial to understand the evolution of high-redshift galaxies and it is only recently that observations have
been capable of achieving the resolution needed to detect the predicted substructures and thus understand their formation (Faure et al. 2021; Elmegreen et al. 2020, and the references therein).

Searching for the presence of single star clusters within high redshift clumps represents the next frontier and, certainly, a key science goal of future extreme adaptive optics facilities (XAO, eXtreme Adaptive Optics, e.g., Vanzella et al. 2021). Nowadays, gravitational lensing gives us the opportunity to access such small scales (< 100 – 200 pc). The truth is, however, that even after relatively high amplification, μ < 10 – 20, it is still a challenge to probe scales smaller than a few tens of pc that are key for the identification of single star clusters. Therefore, higher magnification regimes are required, which, in turn, are more rare and difficult to handle as they are generally subject to high modeling uncertainties. Now, new-generation lensing models, based on an unprecedented number of constraints, are providing a significant advance in this direction (e.g., Bergamini et al. 2021), especially in the vicinity of (or on the) critical lines (Vanzella et al. 2020b).

The system described in this work (dubbed Sunburst in the following) belongs to the category of the super-lensed arcs, subject to amplification values spanning the range of 15 < μ < 100. Sunburst is a strongly magnified galaxy at redshift 2.37 discovered by Dahle et al. (2016), gravitationally lensed by the Planck cluster PSZ1 G311.65-18.48 (at z = 0.44) and split over four very bright arcs (with the brightest arc having magnitude R ~ 18). Several multiple images (more than 50 in total) of the same galaxy and internal star-forming knots populate the giant arcs. Among them, a compact star-forming region, identified 12 times and showing a structured Lyα profile with multi-peaks and with non-zero emission at the systemic redshift, was identified as a candidate region with Lyman continuum leakage (optically thin to ionizing photons, LyC, λ < 912Å, Rivera-Thorsen et al. 2017). Subsequent observations with Hubble confirmed the LyC emerging from the same 12 multiple regions, in agreement with the exceptionally high image multiplicity (Rivera-Thorsen et al. 2019). Chisholm et al. (2019) accurately estimated an age of 3 Myr, sub-solar metallicity of 0.5 Z⊙ and E(B-V)=0.15, while Vanzella et al. (2020b) derived the dynamical age from the limits on the stellar mass and size of the system (as a function of magnification), recognizing it as a plausible massive young star cluster with a stellar mass of ~ 10^7 M⊙, an effective radius smaller than 25 pc, and showing evident spectral signatures of massive O-type and Wolf-Rayet stars from the VLT/MUSE spectrum. The resulting initial constraints on the star formation rate and stellar mass surface densities of the LyC emitting knot also resemble those of local gravitationally bound star clusters (Vanzella et al. 2019, see their Sect. 4.1.1). Sources like Sunburst are unique laboratories for improving our understanding of the physical mechanisms related to escaping radiation at cosmological distances. This makes them very useful in the search for ionizing sources at earlier epochs during cosmic reionization (z > 6), when the LyC radiation cannot be observed directly due to high cosmic opacity rapidly increasing in the first ~ 1.5 Gyr (Worseck et al. 2014; Romano et al. 2019). They are also key for making comparisons with LyC emitters identified in the Local Universe (including candidates), considered analogs of those with high redshift (Izotov et al. 2021a; Schaerer et al. 2016; Jaskot et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2021).

An accurate model of the lens is required to unveil the intrinsic properties of such sources; this type of model is described in a companion paper (Pignataro et al. 2021). Here, we present refined estimates of the physical quantities of the LyC star cluster, including the other knots identified in the surrounding, and we derive the global properties of the host galaxy.

This work is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the data used and Sect. 3 presents the Sunburst lensed system, the lens model, the emergence of stellar clusters, and an estimate of the dynamical mass for the stellar cluster showing Lyman continuum emission. Section 4 describes the galaxy hosting the aforementioned star clusters, along with its intrinsic appearance. In Sect. 5, we compute the cluster formation efficiency and in Sect. 6, we compute its global (along line of sight) escape fraction of ionizing photons. Section 7 summarizes our results and describes the implications drawn from possible analogies with sources at z > 6.5 that are likely responsible for reionization (Sect. 7.1). We also present the current limitations and future prospects in that section (Sect. 7.2).

We assume a flat cosmology with ΩM = 0.3, ΩΛ = 0.7 and H0 = 70 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹. With this assumption, one arcsec at z = 2.37 corresponds to a projected physical scale of 8200 parsec. Unless specified, all magnitudes are given in the AB system.

2. Data

The galaxy cluster PSZ1 G311.65-18.48 was observed in several bands with the Hubble Space Telescope between 2018-2020 under the programs 15101 (PI Dahle), 15949 (PI Gladders), and 15377 (PI Bayliss). We retrieved the WFC3/F390W (total integration time 5.8 ks), WFC3/F410M (13 ks), WFC3/F555W (5.6 ks), WFC3/F606W (5.9 ks), ACS/F814W (5.3 ks), WFC3/F90W (1.4 ks), WFC3/F105W (1.3 ks), WFC3/F140W (2.7 ks), and WFC3/F160W (1.3 ks) exposures from the MAST archive. We processed them with the grizzly software1 following the procedure described by Williams et al. (2021). Each of the groups of exposures in a given filter and epoch (a “visit” in the Hubble observation nomenclature) was aligned to the GAIA DR2 absolute astrometric frame (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016, 2018) and accounting for proper motions. The PSZ1 G311.65-18.48 field has a high density of GAIA sources and the absolute astrometric precision of all image mosaics is ~10 milliarcsec (1σ). The morphological analyses below are performed on mosaics in each filter drizzled to a common 30 milliarcsec pixel grid with the DrizzlePac software (Gonzaga et al. 2012).

Additional ground-based observations obtained with the VLT/X-Shooter (PI Vanzella) are used in this work and presented in Appendices A and B. A comprehensive analysis of the full spectrum of Sunburst, from Lyα to Hα wavelengths, will be presented in a forthcoming work. VLT/X-Shooter data acquisition and reduction on Sunburst have already been presented in Vanzella et al. (2020c) and described in Appendix A, where we focus on key observables useful for the analysis described in this work.

MUSE observations in the narrow field mode configuration of a portion of the lensed system are also presented in this work (PI Vanzella, see Appendix C), by highlighting the exceptional performances of the extreme adaptive optics, as well as some limitations in cases such as the lensed systems described here.

1 https://www.github.com/grammer/grizli
3. Anatomy of the Sunburst: Detecting star clusters at $z = 2.37$

3.1. Lensing model: A very amplified system

The work by Pignataro et al. (2021) presents the details of the lens model, along with the predicted positions of all 62 multiple images of various galaxies and the associated families currently confirmed in the redshift range of $1 < z < 3.5$ (out of which 54 belongs to the Sunburst galaxy at $z=2.37$). The high level of accuracy achieved for this new model is underscored by its ability to predict the observed positions of 62 multiple images with a rms error of only $0.14''$.

We took advantage of this lens model to recognize each mirrored and multiply imaged star-forming knot of Sunburst. The geometry of the lens shows a rather complicated behavior of the critical lines at the redshift of Sunburst due to several perturbers placed along the line of sight, which add to the underlying mass distribution of the galaxy cluster. Such a configuration boosts the number of multiple images and total magnification values ($\mu_{tot}$), with $\mu_{tot}$ spanning the range between 15 and 100. Figure 1 shows the magnification map along with the position of the relevant arcs discussed in this work. For example, one of the knots (labeled 5.1 in Figure 1 and Figure 2) is detected 12 times due to a combination of the galaxy cluster and perturbers. The set of multiple images of a single knot is called a "family" and each member of the family is denoted with a different letter. For example, each of the 12 images of 5.1, 5.1(a–n) is subjected to a different magnification. Along the giant arcs, the total magnification (denoted as $\mu_{rot}$) is dominated by tangential stretch, such that the ratio between the total magnification $\mu_{rot}$ and the tangential one, $\mu_{tang}$, is nearly constant and close to 1.3 − 1.4. The ratio $\mu_{rot}/\mu_{tang}$ is referred to the radial magnification, which is therefore very low on the arcs. Figure 2 shows the panoramic view of the four arcs: I, II, III, and IV that contain several portions of the same galaxy. Thirteen individual SF knots replicate several times with different amplification values, according to the complex geometry of the lens. The list of knots is reported in Table 1, presenting those highlighted in green labels in Figure 2.

Statistical errors on magnification have been calculated by extracting the total(tangential) magnification $\mu_{tot}$ ($\mu_{tang}$) at the model predicted positions (of the star-forming knots discussed below) over 500 realizations of the lens model by sampling the posterior probability distribution function. This has been performed by using a Bayesian Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) technique (as described in Bergamini et al. 2021). Total ($\mu_{tot}$) and tangential ($\mu_{tang}$) magnifications and the associated errors are reported in Table 1. The physical quantities reported in Table 1 are calculated based on the best-fit lens model and the uncertainties over the grid of 500 magnifications. Overall, such large magnifications imply that a scale of a few tens of pc can be probed along the tangential stretch, allowing us to identify single star clusters (discussed in the next section).

3.2. Emergence of star-forming regions at parsec scale

To provide the most stringent constraint on the size of each knot, we consider the brightest one within the associated family, characterized by a high magnification. All the identified multiple images of the knots, except for knot 5.1, appear as unresolved objects in the F555W-band (1600Å rest-frame), independently of their magnification value. The F555W is the bluest band probing the ultraviolet continuum (not including Ly$\alpha$ emission or IGM attenuation). Under these conditions, this band is also the one with the narrower PSF, thus, it offers the best probe (spatial contrast) of the knots and therefore enables optimal estimates of the sizes in the ultraviolet. The current HST data in the near infrared bands do not allow us to address the sizes in the optical rest-frame with the same level of detail. As discussed in Sect. 7.2, this will be performed with ease thanks to future facilities based on XAO.

We modeled the two-dimensional light distribution with Galfit. Galfit fitting has been performed by assuming the Sérsic index $n = 0.5$ (Gaussian), $1.0$ (exponential) up to $n = 4$ (de Vaucouleurs) light profiles. Given the circular symmetric shapes of the knots, we fix the axis ratio ($b/a$) and position angle to 1 and 0, respectively. The inferred sizes always converge to compact solutions, independently of the adopted Sérsic index, formally with PSF-deconvolved radii $R_{eff} < 1$ pixel for all of them ($1/0.03''$), but one, the brightest 5.11 which has an $R_{eff} = 2.0 \pm 0.6$ pix. The modeled images and residuals of the modeling (observed − model) are shown in Figure 3. Table 1 summarizes the inferred intrinsic magnitudes resulting from the fit and the physical sizes (effective radii), along with the magnifications and associated uncertainties (statistical errors). The estimated radii span the range < 22 pc, which likely represents upper limits (given the sources are unresolved), approaching sizes of a few pc (< 10 pc) for the cases very close to the critical lines. It is worth noting that all knots show a point-like shape also in the F390W band, implying a half width at half maximum (HWHM) of 0.04'' (as inferred from stars in the field), which is consistent with the small upper limits on the effective radii derived above with Galfit in F555W-band.

3.3. Cocoon of gravitationally bound star clusters

The small sizes inferred from modeling ($R_{eff} < 22$ pc) might suggest that such star-forming knots are stellar clusters. In order to investigate such a possibility, we consider whether such compact star-forming knots are gravitationally bound systems by calculating the dynamical age, $\Pi$ (equal to the ratio of the age and crossing time).

The dynamical age $\Pi$ can be calculated as described in Gieles & Portegies Zwart (2011) (see also Adamo et al. 2020b and Vanzella et al. 2021). In particular, the crossing time expressed in Myrs is defined as $T_{CR} = 10 \times (R_{eff}^3/GM)^{0.5}$, where $M$ and $R_{eff}$ are the stellar mass and the effective radius, respectively, with $G \approx 0.0045$ pc$^{-2}$ Myr$^{-2}$ is the gravitational constant. Stellar systems evolved for more than a crossing time have $\Pi > 1$, suggestive of being bound (Gieles & Portegies Zwart 2011). This criterion has been used extensively for the identification of star clusters in the local Universe (e.g., Calzetti et al. 2015b; Adamo et al. 2017; Ryon et al. 2017). It is valid under the following assumptions: the system is in virial equilibrium, follows a Plummer density profile, and the light traces the underlying mass. Therefore the calculation of $\Pi$ for each knot requires the knowledge of three main parameters: age, stellar mass, and effective radius.

Age. The estimation of recent star-forming events on short timescales would require age indicators such as the Balmer lines, which are highly uncertain – despite the high magnification – since a detailed, spatially resolved spectroscopy of each star-forming knot is not yet achievable. On the other hand, the SED-fitting weakly constrains young ages (being essentially dependent on the assumed star formation histories, e.g., Carnall et al. 2019) and is affected by blurring in the near infrared bands, where the PSF degrades and the emission from the knots and the host galaxy cannot be easily deblended. Nonetheless, an es-
timate of the average ages comes from VLT/X-Shooter observations (Vanzella et al. 2020c). As mentioned above, individual knots cannot be probed due to the relatively coarse resolution. However, we estimated the Hα equivalent width of a group of 10 knots (group "d", Figure 2), obtaining spatially-averaged limits on the age, assuming that stars formed with an instantaneous burst (see Appendix A). For knot 5.1, the Hα emission is prominent with respect to the underlying continuum, implying an age younger than 5 Myr and consistent with the 3 Myr inferred by Chisholm et al. (2019). For the other knots of the group "d" instead, the Hα EW smaller than 100Å rest-frame suggests an average age larger than ≃ 7 Myr. In the following, we adopt an age of 3 Myr for 5.1 (Chisholm et al. 2019) and assume the other knots are older than 7 Myr (see Figure A.1 and Table 1). As discussed below, we note that even when relaxing the ages to smaller values, Π still remains close to 1 or higher. Moreover, it is worth noting that assuming different SF histories (continuous or declining) would result in older ages of the knots (Zanella et al. 2015), further increasing the dynamical age Π.

Stellar mass. For the same reasons described above, the estimation of the stellar mass from SED fitting suffers from the resolution issue on PSF-matched images. In addition, the apertures the photometry is calculated within, on the basis of multiple images of the same family, are (lens) model-dependent. This makes the choice of the aperture shape over multiple images tricky. Such an issue will need dedicated simulations with forward modeling techniques, which will be described in a forthcoming work. In this work, we rely on the ultraviolet F555W-band image, which has a narrow PSF (of 0.1′′), such that the majority of knots are well recognized (Figure 2).

We assume all the knots formed through instantaneous bursts (as is the case for 5.1). We derive the stellar masses by comparing the dust-corrected UV luminosity with Starburst99 models (Leitherer et al. 2014). We adopt a dust extinction of E(B-V)=0.15 as derived by Chisholm et al. (2019) for knot 5.1 (corresponding to A1600 ≈ 1, Reddy et al. 2016), whereas for the remaining knots we adopt the average E(B-V) inferred from SED fitting (see below) of all multiple images of the same family. In fact, while the colors extracted from the PSF-matched images are preserved, the derivation of the stellar masses from SED fitting is strongly limited by the aforementioned issues (see also Cava et al. 2018). The stellar masses have therefore been inferred from the dust-corrected F555W luminosity, assuming the aforementioned ages (3 Myr for 5.1 and 7 Myr for the rest), and span the interval 10^{5−7}M_⊙, weakly dependent on the assumed metallicity: they vary by ≃ 0.18 dex if the metallicity spans the interval of Z = 0.001 − 0.02 (values reported in Table 1 assume Z=0.008, with Z=0.02 indicating solar metallicity in the Sunburst99 nomenclature). It is worth noting that the UV-based stellar mass for 5.1 is consistent with the inferred dynamical mass discussed below (Sect 3.4.1). Despite the aforementioned limitations related to crowding and aperture size definition, we check the consistency between the stellar mass inferred for knot 5.1 with both SED fitting and the single ultraviolet band F555W. In fact, the expected biases mentioned above (i.e., the crowding and lens-dependent aperture photometry among multiple images tends to be reduced) if the knot is bright and compact, therefore dominating the signal almost independently from the chosen aperture. The two methods have been applied to eight multiple images of the same knot 5.1 (a,b,c,h,i,m,n). Knots 5.1d,e,f,g have been excluded from the computations because affected by a foreground perturber which might bias the estimate of the magnification and affects the photometry of the images (Pignataro et al. 2021). SED fitting has been performed on 0.2′′ diameter apertures, adopting a metallicity of 0.4Z_sun (the value in the BC03 library closer to the metallicity of the youngest star cluster 5.1, which has likely inherited the metallicity of the host galaxy), E(B-V) in the range...
Fig. 2. Identification of the multiple images of compact star-forming knots is shown. In the bottom-left of the figure, the negative gray-scaled HST ACS/F555W image shows the panoramic view of the four arcs, labeled as I, II, III, and IV, with the little red square in the middle indicating the source region subject to large amplification. The SF knots are shown in the blue border insets labeled as I, II, III, and IV, following the arcs notation in the bottom left inset and with increasing magnification from bottom to top (long arrow on the right). Each inset includes the negative gray scale F555W image and a color image obtained by combining the WFC3/UVIS F275W (blue), WFC3/UVIS F606W (cyan), ACS/WFC F814W (yellow), and WFC3/IR F160W (red) filters (ESA/Hubble, NASA, Rivera-Thorsen et al., CC BY 4.0). Star-forming regions are indicated following the nomenclature of (Pignataro et al. 2021). White dashed lines mark the position of the critical lines. The main triplet, 5.1, 5.2, and 5.3 are indicated with blue, red, and magenta open circles, respectively. The objects labeled with green IDs are the knots reported in Table 1. Those marked in yellow show all the identified multiple images of the knots. The inset in the bottom right (red contour) shows the reconstructed image of the Sunburst galaxy on the source plane, where the triplet 5.1, 5.2, and 5.3 would merge into a single HST pixel (~30 mas separation). The observed angular separation between the knots 5.1 and 5.3 is reported on the right side of each inset, and the typical physical scales subtended by the FWHM (0.1″) along tangential direction is shown over the long black arrow to the right (arc II includes star-forming region smaller 10 pc). The transient ("Tr") discussed in Vanzella et al. (2020c) and corresponding to the knot 5.10 is indicated with a black arrow in the inset II.

0.1 ≲ M < 0.5 Myr. The extracted quantities (e.g., luminosity and stellar masses) are compatible within multiple images and comparable among the two methods within the relevant uncertainties (the median stellar mass inferred from single 1600Å band and SED fitting are Mass($UV$) = 1.1(±0.5) × 10^7 M☉ and Mass(SED) = 0.9(±0.6) × 10^7 M☉, respectively.

Sizes. As described in Sect. 3.2, we verify the compactness of the knots by fitting the light profile with Galfit. We obtain upper limits on the radii smaller than the half width at half maximum. All of them have radii smaller than 22 pc, whereas 5.1 is marginally resolved with a radius of ≈ 8.5 pc.

All the quantities with uncertainties are reported in Table 1 along with the dynamical ages. The resulting dynamical age Π exceeds 1 (gravitationally bound) for the majority of the knots, suggesting they indeed are likely to be bound stellar clusters. It is worth calculating for each of them the minimum age above which Π exceeds 1. Since the UV-based stellar mass depends on the assumed age, we recalculate the mass at each adopted age, starting from 1 Myr and increasing it by 0.1 Myr till the condition Π = 1 is reached. The last column of Table 1 reports the age and stellar mass at Π = 1. Even in the case of younger ages (1-3 Myr) most of the knots are still bound. Therefore, the age limit (> 7 Myr) based on the Hα equivalent width discussed above indicates that all knots are likely bound star clusters, including the knot 5.1, which is the most extreme case of a 3-Myr-old YMC with detected LyC leakage.
### 3.4. LyC emitting cluster

#### 3.4.1. Dynamical mass estimate

We estimated the dynamical mass of the knot labeled 5.1 by taking advantage of the observed [OIII]λ5007 line and the inferred velocity dispersion from high spectral resolution X-Shooter spectroscopy, $R \approx 5600$ (Rhoads et al. 2014, and see also Sect. 4.4 of Vanzella et al. 2017). The line profile and double Gaussian component fitting is shown in Figure B.1 and the resulting dynamical mass of $10^7$ $M_\odot$ is discussed in Appendix B. The resulting ratio between the stellar (photometry-based) and the dynamical masses is $\approx 1$ and weakly depends on the magnification $\mu$. This is due to the fact that the photometric and dynamical masses scale with total and tangential magnifications, $\mu_{\text{tot}}$ and $\mu_{\text{tang}}$, respectively. In the case of Sunburst, the tangential magnification largely dominates over the radial one, $\mu_{\text{rad}}$, such that $\mu_{\text{tot}}/\mu_{\text{tang}} \approx \mu_{\text{rad}} \approx 1.3 - 1.4$, nearly constant along the arcs. The mass ratio, therefore, only includes $\mu_{\text{rad}}$, which is well constrained (with < 10% uncertainty, being far from the radial critical line). The resulting stellar and virial masses are $1.1 \times 10^7$ $M_\odot$ and $10^7$ $M_\odot$, respectively. This indicates that the total mass is dominated by the stars, as was also found in most local bust clusters (e.g., McLaughlin & van der Marel 2005).

The combination of the stellar mass ($10^7$ $M_\odot$), age (3 Myr) and size ($R_{\text{eff}} \approx 8$ pc) implies a star formation rate ($\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$) and stellar mass ($\Sigma_{\text{M}}$) surface densities quite large for the knot 5.1, $Log_{10} (\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}) \approx 3.7$ $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-2}$ and $Log_{10} (\Sigma_{\text{M}}) \approx 4.1$ $M_\odot$ pc$^{-2}$, consistent with the values observed in local YMCs (e.g., Bastian et al. 2006; Östlin et al. 2007). A constant star formation history would imply a SFR of $\approx 3.3$ $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ and the sSFR $\approx 330$ Gyr$^{-1}$. Interestingly, such large densities and sSFR are lower limits since the SF history was likely not constant with time. The presence of such YMC influences the overall observed appearance (morphology and photometry) of the host galaxy (see Section 4.1); in this case, the star cluster is well recognized only because of strong gravitational lensing that boosts the observed flux and significantly increases the spatial resolution.

### 3.4.2. Escape velocity

Young massive star clusters are powerful producers of ionizing radiation, with an enormous sSFR and forging of hot and massive stars that demonstrate they can provide sufficient stellar feedback in such an early phase to perforate the interstellar medium of the galaxy. Observations of local young massive clusters indicate that even massive systems ($M \approx 10^5 - 10^6$ $M_\odot$)
the following equation (Cabrera-Ziri et al. 2016):

\[ v_{\text{esc}} = f_c \sqrt{\frac{M_c}{R_{\text{eff}}}} \text{ km s}^{-1}, \]

where \( M_c \) is the cluster mass, \( R_{\text{eff}} \) the effective radius, and \( f_c \) accounts for the dependence of the escape velocity on the density profile of the cluster. The value of \( f_c \) ranges between the minimum and maximum, namely, 0.076 - 0.130 (from Table 2 of Georgiev et al. 2009). Adopting \( M_c = 10^5 \ M_\odot \) and \( R_{\text{eff}} = 8 \) pc as determined above and the highest value of \( f_c = 0.130 \), we get an upper limit to the escape velocity of the cluster, \( v_{\text{esc}} \approx 145 \) km s\(^{-1}\). The clear asymmetric blue tail of the [O\text{III}]\lambda5007 line (shown in Figure B.1) implies an outflow component along the line of sight. Following Perna et al. (2015), we derive (1) the maximum velocity defined as the velocity at 2\% (\( v_{10} \)) of the cumulative flux, \( F(V) = \int_0^\infty F_v(v')dv' \) (where \( F_v \) is the line profile in the velocity space and the position of \( v = 0 \) of the cumulative flux is set at the systemic redshift given by the narrow component, \( \lambda = 16878.6 \) Å, or \( z=2.3702 \), adopting 5008.24 Å [O\text{III}] vacuum wavelength) and (2) the line width \( w_{80} \), that is, the width comprising 80\% of the flux defined as the difference between the velocity at 90\% (\( v_{90} \)) and 10\% (\( v_{10} \)) of the cumulative flux of the entire line profile. The maximum velocity and the \( w_{80} \) are \( 380 \) and \( 260 \) km s\(^{-1}\), which are also consistent with the FWHM of the broad component of the double Gaussian fit mentioned above, \( 320 \) km s\(^{-1}\). Overall, such outflow velocities are consistent with what is inferred by Rivera-Thorsen et al. (2017) on the same object based on absorption lines of silicon and eventually higher than the escape velocity of the cluster inferred above. This also agrees with the scenario in which the most plausible source for driving the escape of ionizing radiation is stellar wind and radiation from hot massive stars (Izotov et al. 2018; Heckman et al. 2011), which are certainly hosted in the cluster core (Vanzella et al. 2020a).

4. The Sunburst galaxy

In this section, we investigate the physical properties and the appearance of the Sunburst galaxy on the source plane in the context of the aforementioned presence of star clusters. In particular, we emphasize the significant contribution of the YMC 5.1 to the optical nebular emission and the ultraviolet appearance of the entire galaxy.

4.1. Physical properties

SED-fitting has been performed on the image “m” of the galaxy (dubbed HostM hereafter), which offers the closest view of the entire Sunburst galaxy (see Figure 2, arc III, image “m”). This is indeed the least magnified image of the target and therefore the one that resembles more closely the intrinsic shape and morphology of the entire galaxy. We use the HST images F390W, F410W, F555W, F606W, F814W, F098W, F105W, F140W, and F160W available in the HST archive (see Sect. 2). In order to obtain unbiased photometric measurements, we first estimated the point spread function for all the images using bright, unsaturated stars and then we PSF-matched all the bands to the F160W image using relevant convolution kernels. Photometry of the HostM is extracted in the elliptical aperture shown in Figure 4 using the software A-PHOT (Merlin et al. 2019). The median(mean) magnification \( \mu_{\text{tot}} \) within such an aperture is nearly constant, \( 19.1(19.3) \), with a standard deviation of 2.1. The SED-fitting on the multi-band photometry has been computed with the

![Fig. 3. Galfit fitting of the star-forming knots reported in Table 1 zooming on various regions (groups A, D, G, H and L, see Figure 2). The left column shows the original F555W image, in which only the knots listed in Table 1 are marked with their IDs. The middle column shows the Galfit models and the right column shows the residual after subtracting the models, with the positions of the knots indicated as in the left column. Besides the IDs in the reference sample (marked in blue), additional multiply imaged knots have been modeled, such as the cloud of clusters of the group D (see the same group described in Figure 2). All knots are not resolved with resulting effective radii ≤ 1 pixel, independently from the Sérsic index \((n = 0.5 - 4)\).](image-url)
zphot.exe code (Fontana et al. 2000), as described in Castellano et al. (2016) (see also Vanzella et al. 2019) and the results are shown in Figure 4, while the delensed physical quantities are reported in the last row of Table 1. Bruzual & Charlot (2003) templates have been adopted with the following priors: exponentially declining star-formation histories with e-folding times of 0.1 \( \leq \tau \leq 15.0 \) Gyr, a Salpeter (1955) initial mass function, and the extinction laws from both Calzetti et al. (2000) and Small et al. (2007). The results are also described in Appendix A. Interpolated on another regular grid, the resolution of which is again 0.01 arcsec, we Convolved the model with a PSF in the F555W band, obtained using the software Tiny Tim (Krist et al. 2011). Finally, we re-sampled the image at the resolution of 0.03 arcsec per pixel.

As expected, without strong lensing (i.e., assuming \( \mu = 1 \)), the HostM would appear as a galaxy sampled by \( \leq 4 \) HST resolution elements (FWHM = 0.1′′) in the F555W band, Figure 2). The same figure also shows that the YMC (5.1) and the two 5.2 and 5.3 clusters merge into a nuclear unresolved component, which would be recognized as a \( \sim 1 \) kpc-size star-forming clump (adopting the 0.1″ PSF in the UV, or 250 pc per pixel 0.03″). Future facilities assisted by extreme adaptive optics working at a resolution of a few tens of milliarcsec will be capable of discerning these structures also at the magnification of HostM (but see Sect. 7.2). In the framework of the LyC galaxies, the observation in unlensed fields with medium-resolution spectroscopy (\( R > 4000 \)) of sources leaking LyC might capture ionized channels possibly associated to underlying (and totally unresolved) star clusters. In fact, in the case of Sunburst the detection of a prominent Ly \( \alpha \) emission at the systemic redshift for the YMC (Rivera-Thorsen et al. 2017), in addition to a more regular and typical broader emission generated by radiative transfer effects, is consistent with the scenario in which an ionized channel was carved along the line of sight (corroborated by the LyC detection presented in Rivera-Thorsen et al. 2019, and as predicted by Behrens et al. 2014). In unlensed fields, such LyC emission at systemic redshift has been identified for other LyC galaxies showing nucleated ultraviolet morphology; this, if compared to Sunburst (Vanzella et al. 2020a), might imply young massive star clusters are acting as prodigious ionizing photon producers and drillers within the interstellar medium. It is still not clear if this LyC escaping radiation mode is typical at redshift 2–3 (Matthee et al. 2021, and references therein) or even during reionization.

### 5. High cluster formation efficiency in the Sunburst galaxy

As discussed in Sect. 3.3, we identified at least 13 likely star clusters (see Table 1). Taking advantage of the new lens model (Pignataro et al. 2021), we can compare the integrated ultraviolet light of all star clusters to the luminosity of the galaxy HostM (known as \( T_{\text{1.}}(\text{UV}) \) parameter). We follow two ways of calculating such a fraction of light: (a) by comparing the delensed ultraviolet luminosity of the clusters and the host galaxy (dependent on the lens model) and (b) by comparing the ultraviolet light self consistently within the observed image HostM, independently from the lens model (this is the conservative approach). In case (a) the direct sum of delensed fluxes of the star clusters reported in Table 1 accounts for 55% of the UV light of HostM (\( M_{1600} = -20.3 \)). The uncertainty on \( T_{\text{1.}}(\text{UV}) \) derived in this way is at least 50% of its value and is dominated by errors.

---

2 Calculated as \((c \lambda^2) \times 10^{-0.4(4850)}\), where \( c \) is the speed of light, \( \lambda \) the effective wavelength of the F160W-band (15405.2Å) and \( m \) the AB magnitude.

3 The observed image of the arc used to reconstruct the galaxy HostM is already convolved with the HST PSF. When re-convolving the unlensed image, our procedure does not account for this pre-existing convolution. By de-lensing the arc, its size, including that of the PSF, is reduced by a factor \( \mu_{\text{dell}} = 19.0 \), Thus, the resulting PSF size on the source plane is so small that we can safely neglect it.
Fig. 4. Nebular SED fitting of the Sunburst galaxy, referred to as HostM in the text is shown in the main left panel. It is moderately magnified and represents the emission from the entire galaxy. In the inset, the F555W-band image shows HostM with the elliptical aperture (red line) used to compute PSF-matched photometry and the knots 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3. The shaded green ellipses mark the photometric excess in the F160W band, due to nebular emission of $\text{[O}^{+}\text{III]}\lambda4959$ mainly due to the YMC 5.1. High S/N nebular SED-fit of YMC 5.1 is shown in the upper right panel, including both 5.1b and 5.1c to highlight the clear photometric jump in the F160W band, as confirmed from VLT/X-Shooter observations (Appendix A). The inset shows the images of 5.1b,c and the adopted aperture in the F555W band (blue ellipse).

The ultraviolet flux of HostM shown in Figure 5 is compared to the combined flux emerging from 5.1m, 5.2m and 5.3m, calculated within circular apertures of diameter 0.2′′ ($\simeq 2 \times$ FWHM of the F555W band). The resulting $T_L(\text{UV})$ from 5.1, 5.2, and 5.3 is at least $\approx 40\%$ of the total $1600\,\text{Å}$ ultraviolet light inferred from the host galaxy, while the single YMC 5.1 accounts for $16\%$. These values should be regarded as lower limits, since the other star clusters are not included in the calculation. Therefore the two inferred $T_L(\text{UV})$ from case (a) and (b) are consistent, suggesting that $T_L(\text{UV})$ spans the range between $40-60\%$. The fact that star clusters significantly contribute to the ultraviolet light might also suggest that a significant fraction of the star formation in the galaxy efficiently occurred in such objects.

Indeed, the cluster formation efficiency (Γ) is effectively a measurement of the total stellar mass forming in bound star clusters with respect to the total stellar mass forming in the galaxy, referring to the same interval of time, $dt$. The total stellar mass formed in clusters during $dt$ is the CFR. In the Local Universe ($\lesssim 20 \, \text{Mpc}$ distance), the CFR is typically estimated by integrating the stellar mass in bound clusters younger than $10 \, \text{Myr}$ ($dt$) above an established mass limit. The undetected part of the mass distribution is included by extrapolating over an assumed (and properly normalized) star cluster mass function down to $100 \, \text{M}_\odot$ (Adamo et al. 2017). Giving the complexity introduced by the lens we do not correct for such a mass limit, therefore, the inferred Γ can be considered a lower limit (such a limit does not alter the conclusion of this section). The age range used to derive the CFR is limited by the time scales over which the available SFR tracer are sensitive to. Finally, the resulting Γ is simply the ratio between the CFR and the SFR of the galaxy, expressed on a comparable time scale.

At high redshift such calculation is extremely challenging for two reasons: (I) gravitationally bound star clusters need to be identified and (II) the formation time scale for both clusters and the hosting galaxy need to be understood. The first point is partially addressed thanks to lensing amplification (Sect. 3.3), the second is the most uncertain since star formation rate indicators on short timescales ($\lesssim 10 \, \text{Myr}$) would be needed for each knot (e.g., $\text{H}\alpha$, see Sect. 7.2). The integrated stellar mass of bound star clusters reported in Table 1 is $\gtrsim 70 \times 10^6 \, \text{M}_\odot$, under the afore-
mentioned assumptions of instantaneous burst and age of 3 Myr for the knot 5.1 (Chisholm et al. 2019) and 7 Myr for the others (Appendix A). The time interval within which such a fraction of stellar mass formed cannot be constrained from the available data. However, it is worth noting that if we relax the ages of the clusters to 50 Myr (fixing the age of the knot 5.1 to 3 Myr), the integrated mass would exceed the total stellar mass of the galaxy derived from the SED fitting (∼10^9 M⊙). Although this argument might appear stuck in a vicious circle, it might nonetheless suggest the sample of 13 clusters discussed in this work was likely formed in the recent past of the galaxy (< 50 Myr). Therefore, adopting a formation timescale of the star clusters of 10(20)(50) Myr, we have Tsunburst galaxy falls in the upper right part of the 68% confidence interval on the SFR from SED fitting). The observed area count this lack of completeness. Adopting a fiducial time scale of 20 Myr for the CFR and SFR ∼ 10 M⊙ yr⁻¹ for the HostM, then the cluster formation efficiency Γ would exceed 30%. Both Γ and T eff suggest there was a vigorous formation of star clusters in the Sunburst galaxy, in which a significant fraction of the stellar mass was produced in star clusters.

It is worth noting that such a high value of Γ is consistent with what is observed in the Local Universe. The observed area of HostM shown in Figure 5 corresponds to ∼ 3 sq.kpc on the source plane, which implies a relatively high Log M*/(ΣSFR) ∼ 0.5+0.1/−0.2 M⊙ yr⁻¹ kpc⁻² (independent from magnification and with the 68% confidence interval on the SFR from SED fitting). The Sunburst galaxy falls in the upper right part of the Γ ∼ ΣSFR relation, mimicking the local extreme forming galaxies described by Adamo et al. (2020a) (see Figure 6). Interestingly, this is a region where similar local LyC leakers have also been identified (Keenan et al. 2017; Ostlin et al. 2021).

6. Escaping Lyman continuum from the galaxy
Rivera-Thorsen et al. (2019) estimated the escape fraction, fesc, of the 12 multiple images of 5.1a–n, assuming an intergalactic attenuation and Starburst99 models (Leitherer et al. 2014). Following the standard formalism for the estimation of the relative escape fraction (e.g., Steidel et al. 2001), fesc, rel, we have for 5.1:

\[ f_{esc,rel}(5.1) = \frac{F(F814W)/F(275W)_{intr}}{F(F814W)/F(275W)_{obs}} \times \frac{1}{T(IGM)}, \]  

where T(IGM) is the intergalactic attenuation of the LyC probed with the F275W band (T(IGM) = 1 meaning no attenuation) and the flux density ratios, intrinsic (“intr”) and the observed (“obs”), at the given positions a–n. The estimated escape fraction quantity has been observed to vary among the multiple images of 5.1, as it is likely modulated by the differential amount of H I gas along the different paths. Overall, Rivera-Thorsen et al. (2019) reported a line-of-sight fesc, rel = 93+7/-11 % with 46% as a robust lower limit.

The next step is to estimate the (observed) fesc, rel associated to the entire galaxy. We can adopt the same quantities presented above and include in Eq. 2 the observed flux density ratio referred to the whole galaxy observed in the segment, m, which has an observed magnitude: F814W = 21.60 (which corresponds to 24.8 delensed, Figure 4). The measured F275W and F814W magnitudes of the knot 5.1m are 27.58 (at S/N=6.2) and 23.85 (at S/N>40) (Table 1 of Rivera-Thorsen et al. 2019). The magnitude contrast in the F814W-band between the YMC (5.1m, with...
The Sunburst galaxy is an exceptional laboratory for investigating high-z star formation for two main reasons: (1) individual forming stellar clusters can be identified at cosmological distance and (2) the link between the LyC leakage of the galaxy and the presence of such young (and bursty) star clusters can be seen. The main results of this study are reported in the following:

1. **Star clusters at cosmological distance.** The Sunburst arcs are the result of a large lensing tangential amplification of a dwarf galaxy, in which star-forming regions down to a few parsec scale are probed. More than 50 multiple images of at least 13 star-forming knots belonging to the same galaxy have been identified (a panoramic view is shown in Figure 2). In particular, taking advantage of a new accurate lens model (Pignataro et al. 2021), we find that such knots are likely gravitationally bound stellar clusters with stellar masses and effective radii spanning the range $\sim 10^{4−5} M_\odot$ and $\sim 1−20$ pc. The resulting cluster formation efficiency of the galaxy is $\Gamma > 30\%$, placing it in the regime of extreme physical conditions when compared to local galaxies showing similar large $\Gamma$ (Adamo et al. 2020a).

2. **The region emitting LyC is a young massive star cluster.** The knot 5.1 is the youngest (3 Myr, Chisholm et al. 2019), the most massive ($10^4 M_\odot$ both from photometric and dynamical estimates) and the brightest ($M_{F814W} = -18.6$) among the identified star clusters. Such a YMC shows an effective radius smaller than 10 pc and evident presence of hot massive (including Wolf-Rayet) stars (based on evident detection of P-Cygni profiles of N11240, CIV1550 and broad He1640, Chisholm et al. 2019; Vanzella et al. 2020a). LyC emerges from this cluster with large relative escape fraction of $\sim 93\%$, depending on which multiple image is used for the calculation (Rivera-Thorsen et al. 2019). The delensed LyC(1600Å) magnitude is 30.6(26.9). The sSFR is very large $> 330$ Gyr$^{-1}$, consistently with the prominent optical nebular emissions lines, e.g., H$\beta + [O iii]_\lambda 4959, 5007$ rest-frame equivalent width of $\sim 1000$ Å (as inferred from VLT/X-Shooter spectroscopy) and as expected in such bursty events exhibiting ionizing photon production efficiency that is greater than the canonical values (Chevallard et al. 2018). The O32 index ([O ii]$_\lambda 4959, 5007$/[O iii]$_\lambda 4372, 3729$) estimated on the YMC is 17 $± 3$, consistent with the necessary condition of having a LyC leakage (Barrow et al. 2020, see also Izotov et al. 2018).

3. **The hosting galaxy.** Sunburst would appear as a relatively small ($\sim 3$ sq.kpc) and low mass ($\sim 10^9 M_\odot$) galaxy with a dense star formation rate surface density ($\log_{10}(\Sigma_{SFR}) > 0.5$) and large sSFR $> 10$ Gyr$^{-1}$. It would be marginally resolved in the UV, nucleated and dominated by the YMC 5.1 SF knot UV emission, together with 5.2 and 5.3, which would be recognized as a kpc scale SF clump without lensing. The $f_{esc,rel}$ of the galaxy is $> 6−12\%$. The lower limit is due to the fact that additional – possibly missing – LyC radiation might escape along the l.o.s. from other regions of the galaxy, but not probed with current depth yet. The photometric jump observed in the F160W-band (relative to the continuum probed in the F140W-band) implies a rest-frame equivalent width of the line complex H$\beta + [O iii]_\lambda 4959, 5007$ of $\sim 450$ Å, of which $\sim 30\%$ of the signal is due to the single YMC 5.1. It is worth noting that the same YMC 5.1 represents $\leq 1\%$ of the total stellar mass of the galaxy.

---

**Fig. 6.** Cluster formation efficiency, $\Gamma$, as a function of the star-formation rate surface density $\Sigma_{SFR}$. The compilation of gray symbols represent estimates in the local Universe taken from Adamo et al. (2020b,a). The solid blue line reproduces the Kruijssen (2012) fiducial model. The estimated lower limit on $\Gamma$ (calculated over 20 Myr time scale, see text for details) for the Sunburst galaxy is reported with the filled red circle at 30\%, while the transparent circle is the estimate fraction of the ultraviolet light ($T_L$(UV)) computed from the delensed UV light integrated over all the star clusters reported in Table 1. The inferred quantities are likely lower limits, as additional clusters might have remained undetected.

---

**Observed $F814W=23.85$ and the host galaxy (HostM, with observed $F814W=21.60$) implies a reduction of $f_{esc,rel}$ by a factor 8. Therefore $f_{esc,rel}$ of the entire galaxy is $\sim 12\%$, with a lower limit of 6\%. Such values should further be considered lower limits since there might be missing LyC signal emerging from other regions of the galaxy which are fainter than the current F275W depth. It is worth noting that the YMC has an intrinsic LyC and 1600Å magnitudes of 30.6 and 26.9, respectively, after correcting for magnification at the predicted position of 5.1m ($\mu_{tot}=16.1$). Such values confirm the difficulty in securing high-resolution (sub-L*) high redshift LyC galaxies in unlensed fields down to $f_{esc,rel} \sim 10\%$. Those currently confirmed at high redshift are relatively bright LyC leakers and might be the result of hidden and multiple (spatially indistinguishable) star clusters (e.g., Steidel et al. 2018; Pahl et al. 2021; Vanzella et al. 2016, 2018; de Barros et al. 2016; Vanzella et al. 2020a). In the case of Sunburst galaxy, a single YMC dominates the observed LyC leakage. However, it is worth noting that in general multiple and coeval YMCs containing massive O-type stars might concur to produce an integrated large ionizing photon production efficiency, observable through prodigiously large photometric excess due to nebular lines in the optical rest-frame bands, much stronger than what is reported here (see Sect. 7.1). In this regard, it is worth referring to the recently discovered super-bright unlensed LyC galaxy by Marques-Chaves et al. (2021) showing QSO-like luminosity, $M_{UV} = -24.11$, large sSFR $\sim 100$ Gyr$^{-1}$, and $\log_{10}(\Sigma_{SFR}) \sim 2.2$, implying a large stellar cluster formation efficiency ($\Gamma$) according to the relation shown in Figure 6.
7.1. Plausible indirect evidence of a high cluster formation efficiency at high redshift (and during reionization)

Studies in the local Universe have already demonstrated the key role of super star clusters as sources of intense stellar feedback that significantly affects the interstellar medium of the host galaxies (ionization, cavities, or outflows, e.g., James et al. 2016; Adamo et al. 2020a), eventually carving ionized optically thin channels to LyC photons (e.g., Mieheva et al. 2017; Bik et al. 2018). The aforementioned properties of the Sunburst galaxy resemble those of confirmed unlensed LyC leakers at $z \lesssim 4$ (e.g., Vanzella et al. 2016, 2018; de Barros et al. 2016; Schaerer et al. 2016; Izotov et al. 2016, 2021b; Marques-Chaves et al. 2021) and those of $z \sim 2$–3 analogs of $z > 6.5$ sources contributing to cosmic reionization (Du et al. 2020; Tang et al. 2021; Katz et al. 2020; Matthee et al. 2021). For example, the photometric discontinuity observed with Spitzer/IRAC at $z \sim 6.5 – 8$ due to optical nebular emission lines is also a distinctive signature present in the Sunburst SED for the same rest-optical lines (e.g., Castellano et al. 2017; Smit et al. 2014, 2015, 2016; Roberts-Borsani et al. 2016; Laporte et al. 2014; Finkelstein et al. 2013; De Barros et al. 2019). In particular, Endsley et al. (2021) find a large median ($H_{\beta} + [OIII]$) EW of 760 ± 100Å, with a significant fraction (23 ± 7%) showing extreme values larger than 1200Å. Similarly, Castellano et al. (2017) derive EW of 1500 ± 500Å at $z \sim 7$ from Spitzer/IRAC color excess, as well as De Barros et al. (2019) at $z = 7$. Such results imply a high specific star formation rate (sSFR > 10 – 35 Gyr$^{-1}$) and suggest intense bursts are common in these sources during reionization. Conversely, the occurrence of strong ($H_{\beta} + [OIII]$) emission is only a few percent at lower redshift, $z \sim 2$–3 (e.g., Figure 9 of Du et al. 2020, and references therein), suggesting that the frequency of such phases of intense star formation evolves with cosmic time, which appears to cycle regularly in the reionization era (Endsley et al. 2021). A possible explanation is that the net increase of the SFR surface density with increasing redshift (up to $z \sim 8$, Naidu et al. 2020) would imply a high cluster formation efficiency (as observed for dense star-forming galaxies in the local Universe, Adamo et al. 2020a), namely: a prodigious “burstiness” due to actively forming star clusters. An increase of $\Gamma$ with redshift has also been calculated by Pfeffer et al. (2018) in cosmological simulations that follow the co-evolution of galaxies and their star cluster populations, assuming the observed local $\Gamma = \Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ relation.

The Sunburst galaxy described in this work represents the first example to demonstrate that prominent optical-rest emission lines, a high cluster formation efficiency $\Gamma > 30 – 50\%$, relatively large sSFR $\sim 10$ Gyr$^{-1}$, and Lyman continuum leakage can co-exist together in the same system. From the observational point of view, such objects as Sunburst represent the “Rosetta stone” of stellar ionization, which might be rare at $z \sim 2$, but possibly more frequent at $z > 6.5$ (e.g., Matthee et al. 2021). The presence of older (than 3 Myr) massive star clusters in the galaxy suggests that similar (bursty) conditions where in place in the past, potentially favoring an intermittent behavior of the escaping LyC radiation and ionizing production (Trebitsch et al. 2017; Wise et al. 2014). Sunburst is not as extreme as other LyC sources observed at $z \sim 3$ or in the local Universe, for which ($H_{\beta} + [OIII]$) EW exceeds 1000-2000Å rest-frame (e.g., at $z=3.2$ Vanzella et al. 2016; de Barros et al. 2016 or in the Local Universe, e.g., Izotov et al. 2021b). It is worth noting that young star clusters are the sites where: (1) the majority (> 90%) of ionizing massive stars (O-type) are forged (Vargas-Salazar et al. 2020) and (2) stellar feedback consequently originated (e.g., Bik et al. 2018). Therefore, if star-formation drives reionization, then such aggregates are likely the key ionizing agents whose occurrence might be higher at high redshift when nebular emission is observed to be most prominent (Endsley et al. 2021; Castellano et al. 2017; De Barros et al. 2019).

It is worth considering the possibility that the presence of multiple and almost coeval massive young star clusters might concur to elevate the ionizing photon production, especially if the truncation mass of the initial cluster mass function increases with redshift (e.g., Pfeffer et al. 2018). Such conditions might also increase the fesc,rel, as multiple ionized channels can be carved in the ISM. Local examples rich of young star clusters and high cluster formation efficiency are the dwarf starburst galaxies NGC 5253 (Calzetti et al. 2015a), NGC 3125 (Wofford et al. 2014), or NGC 1569 (Anders et al. 2004). Finally, it is worth noting that local LyC leakers show a rather nucleated morphology – implying high SFR densities (Izotov et al. 2018), which in turn, follows from the findings of Adamo et al. (2020b) as well as the details in Figure 6; this would suggest young star clusters are present (though not spatially recognizable) and possibly playing a key role in carving ionizing channels, as in the case of Sunburst.

7.2. Current limitations and future prospects

The presence of a bright star (H < 14 in Vega mag) only 3.3” away from arc IV of Sunburst allowed us to use the VLT/MUSE narrow field mode (NFM) with optimal AO correction (see Figure 7). Such an XAO correction provides PSF in line with the expectations, even better than 60 mas. Details of these observations are presented in Appendix C. There are two key aspects regarding MUSE-NFM worth mentioning: the one hand, we found the achieved angular resolution was optimal (FWHM ≤ 60 mas at $\lambda > 6000Å$) despite the relatively high airmass (~ 1.7), showing that MUSE-NFM equals HST imaging at similar wavelengths (see Figure C.1). A resolution of 60 mas on the counter-arc corresponds to ~ 60 parsec on the source plane along the tangential direction.

On the other hand, the very limited sky coverage offered by MUSE-NFM (e.g., the lack of a very close bright star) prevents us from targeting very magnified regions of the Sunburst arcs, such arc II, in which a 60 mas resolution would have secured spectroscopy at 5-10 parsec scale. MUSE-WFM on that arc, assuming seeing 0.8” and $\mu_{\text{avg}} = 50 – 100$ probes 131 – 65 pc scale.

For this reason, an increased sky coverage in which XAO can be performed on (e.g., arc II) is key in such studies, allowing us to reach a spatial resolution of a few pc. In general, for super-lensed targets like Sunburst (e.g., Sharon et al. 2020; Rigby et al. 2018a,b), VLT/MAVIS and ELT MAROY/MICADO or HARMONI are complementary (in the wavelength domain) and will provide a census of the cluster formation efficiency across cosmic epochs, their role in shaping the galaxy growth and ionizing output. In this respect, ELT HARMONI will be ideal for probing the H$_\alpha$ of each SF knot in the near infrared wavelengths, while VLT/MAVIS will complement on similar angular resolution in the ultraviolet and optical bands. The JWST integral field spectroscopy will also map H$_\alpha$ line emission, which will be free from sky lines and background.
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Fig. 7. Main panel shows HST/F555W-band image (30 mas/pixel) with superimposed the field of views (FoV) of future instruments, such as VLT/MAVIS (IFU and imaging modes), ELT/MAORY-MICADO (imaging), and HARMONI (IFU), along with the layout of the MUSE-NFM targeting arc IV (transparent green box in the bottom-left corner, presented in Sect. C). The four arcs of Sunburst are indicated with I, II, III, IV. MUSE-NFM requires the presence of a very bright star within 3.4′′ from the target, whilst future AO facilities will definitely probe stellar clusters down to the parsec scale in the most magnified regions. The left panels show the zoomed region indicated with the orange shaded rectangle located in the main panel over the objects 5.2h and 5.3h (left). In particular, the region enclosing the knots 5.2h and 5.3h will be covered with MA VIS and HARMONI IFUs which will probe 1-5 parsec per spaxel, while imaging with MA VIS and MAORY-MICADO (wide blue and magenta squares in the main panel) will probe the parsec scale in the optical and near-infrared, respectively.
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Appendix A: Hα and [Oiii]λ5007 emissions from star-forming knots

X-Shooter observations have been performed during April-August 2019 (Prog. 0103.A-0688, PI Vanzella). The two arcs I and II have been targeted with two slits oriented along the tangential stretch. The data reduction is described in Vanzella et al. (2020c). Briefly, no dithering has been used since the arcs develop along the spatial direction probed with the slits. Suitable free windows without bright objects have been included such that sky was modeled with polynomial fitting and subtracted. Here we focus on a specific region of arc I where two mirrored regions containing 10 SF knots each have been observed (see Figure A.1). In particular knot 5.1c,b and 5.1d,e,f, as well as the groups "c" and "d" of blue knots also shown in Figure 2 (5.4, 5.5, 5.6, 5.7, 5.3, 5.9, 5.2, and 5.11). Figure A.1 shows the spectrum at [Oiii]λ5007 and Hα wavelengths. Both lines emerge prominently from the YMC 5.1, while for the groups we can set only upper limits on their line fluxes and equivalent widths. As a test case, we verified the consistency between the [Oiii]λ5007 line flux inferred from VLT/X-Shooter and the photometric jump observed in the SED fitting performed on the same aperture probing 5.1b,c (as indicated in Figure 4). The 0.6 magnitude boost in the H band is fully consistent with the inferred [Oiii]λ5007 equivalent width, also including the [Oiii]λ4959 and Hβ. What is inferred from the spectrum is slightly fainter than what observed with Hubble photometry because of slit losses.

Similarly, the Hα emission is also prominent from knot 5.1.

It is worth noting that the multiple images within the slit allow us to formally quintuple the integration time on 5.1 and duplicate it over the groups "c" and "d". The resulting equivalent width of Hα is higher than 350Å rest-frame for knot 5.1 and lower than 100Å for the group of knots. Such values under the assumption of an instantaneous burst of star formation imply ages younger than 5 Myr for the YMC knot 5.1 and older than ~ 7 Myr on average for the group of knots (see rightmost side of Figure A). Such a lower limit on the age for the group of knots is the value assumed in the text and reported in Table 1. The case of YMC 5.1 is fully consistent with the age inferred from Chisholm et al. (2019) of 2.9 Myr (from Starburst99 modeling).

Appendix B: Dynamical mass of the LyC star cluster

The [Oiii]λ5007 line is detected at S/N>100, it is free from significant sky emission and shows an evident asymmetric profile with a blue tail (see Figure B.1). A fit with a double Gaussian component has been performed and reproduces well the observed profile, suggesting there is outflowing ionized gas along the line of sight (broad component) and the emission associated to the Hr region close to the stellar component and tracing the systemic redshift (core of the line). Such an outflow is consistent with what was already observed by Rivera-Thorsen et al. (2017) based on absorption lines of Silicon IV. Here we focus on the core of the line. The resulting velocity dispersion of such an emission from the fit is σ_ν = 37 ± 5 km s^{-1} (the resolution element in the NIR arm is dλ = 53 km s^{-1}, or σ_λ = 22 km s^{-1}). Adopting the inferred σ_ν, and the known effective radius R_{eff} = 8 pc of the YMC (5.1), the dynamical mass can be calculated following Rhoads et al. (2014) as M_{dyn} = 4πρR_{eff}^2/G (see also Vanzella et al. 2017), which turns out to be (1.0 ± 0.2)×10^5 M_☉. Therefore, both the photometric and dynamical masses are compatible, with a ratio close to 1. As discussed in the text, the presence of the magnification factors in the numerator and denominator implies the ratio between stellar and virial masses weakly depends on lensing amplification.

Appendix C: MUSE Narrow Field Mode spectroscopic observations

It is worth reporting here on the exceptional MUSE observation in the Narrow Field Mode (PI Vanzella) on arc IV, which provides spectroscopy and imaging at resolution smaller than 80 mas and better than HST blue bands. The proximity of a sufficiently bright star (H < 14 Vega, also dubbed as natural guide star, NGS) within 3.5'' angular separation from the targeted counterarc (arc IV) allowed for dedicated nearly diffraction-limited observations with MUSE (Bacon et al. 2012) Narrow Field Mode, offered by the GALACSI module implementing the laser tomography AO correction (Stuik et al. 2006). In this configuration, MUSE provides a field of view of 7.5'' × 7.5'' sampled at 0.025/pixel scale. The relatively high (best) airmass of ~ 1.7 for the target is typically not ideal for applying extreme AO corrections, however, we requested proper weather conditions as suggested in the manual for such airmass (seeing < 0.6'' at zenith). A total of 2.6h divided into two observing blocks (OB) of 3532s each on science (each OB split into 883 × 4) have been performed during April 29 2019 with the exceptional average seeing conditions of 0.4'' and producing a Strehl on the NGS of ≳ 20%. Data reduction have been performed following the prescription described in (Caminha et al. 2017; Vanzella et al. 2021).

Figure C.1 shows the FWHM of the Moffat profile fitted on the NGS (on-axis) star as a function of the wavelength. Remarkably, the FWHM decreases at values lower than 60 mas at λ > 6000Å, approaching 50 – 55 mas over the range 7000 – 9250Å. The same figure also shows the comparison on arc IV, between HST/ACS F606W and MUSE collapsed image in the range 6500 – 8000Å and 8000 – 9300Å. Even though the off-axis (~ 3'' apart) FWHM is probably worse than the on-axis one on the NGS, MUSE with its FWHM < 100 mas appears to outperform HST F606W (~ 100 mas) resolutions and allow us to probe smaller physical scales, at least in this specific arc.

Despite arc IV is not the most magnified, an upper limit on the size of the star-forming knot 5.1 of 40 pc (adopting FWHM < 60 mas) represents a remarkable technical achievement, considering the relatively high airmass (1.7). If, on the one hand, this MUSE-NFM shows its exceptional technical capabilities, which matches the HST imaging; on the other hand the poor flexibility (in terms of sky coverage) due to the need for a very close (within 3.5'') and bright (H < 14 Vega magnitude) natural guide star makes the observations of the most magnified arcs I or II inaccessible. Extreme AO-correction with much wider sky coverage is therefore essential for the future VLT instrumentation, such as MAVIS (7 mas/pixel, FWHM ~ 25 mas in the optical bands), which will provide spatial details down to 1 – 3 pc/pix on arcs I or II (see Sect. 7.7).
Fig. A.1. Main panel (thick line box): the $[\text{O} \text{iii}] \lambda 5007$ (left) and the H$\alpha$ (right) two-dimensional zoomed spectra extracted from X-Shooter observations at spectral resolution $R \approx 5600$. The slit orientation is indicated with the yellow box in the inset showing the HST color image. The magenta dotted lines mark the position of the knots 5.1b, c and 5.1d, e, f over the spatial direction along the slit. The blue dotted lines show the expected positions of the region collecting the group of fainter knots, named "group" in the figure (see also Figure 2). H$\alpha$ and $[\text{O} \text{iii}] \lambda 5007$ clearly emerge from knots 5.1b,c,d,e,f, conversely the same lines are not detected for the two mirrored "groups" at the given depth. The inferred rest-frame equivalent widths are also quoted. The transparent vertical blue stripes mark the position of night sky emission lines. The right panel shows the H$\alpha$ equivalent width as a function of time from a Starburst99 model of an instantaneous burst and $Z=0.008Z_\odot$. Magenta and blue dotted arrows indicate the case of the knot 5.1 and "Group," respectively. Knot 5.1 is younger than 5 Myr (in agreement with the 3Myr old age derived by Chisholm et al. 2019), while the complex "group" is likely older than 7 Myr.

Fig. B.1. $[\text{O} \text{iii}] \lambda 5007$ line emerging from the LyC knot 5.1 (gray band) and the best-fit solution made of the sum of two Gaussian components (red line), a broad one tracing the outflowing ionized gas (blue dotted) and the other tracing the core of the line; the latter arises from the H$\alpha$ region surrounding the star cluster and tracing the redshift of the stellar component (green dotted). On top axis, the velocity is referred to the peak position of the line. The clear blue tail extends up to $-400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. 

Fig. C.1. Counter arc IV observed with HST ACS/F606W (left) and VLT/MUSE NFM after collapsing the datacube along the indicated wavelength intervals (middle and right images). The ground-based AO-assisted observations of arc IV outperform those from space (see narrow-band imaging after collapsing the cube in the wavelength ranges 6500-8000Å and 8000-9000Å), providing further evidences for the compactness of the star-forming region and in particular the LyC star cluster 5.1 (in this case, the image 5.1n has been targeted). The right most figure shows the FWHM of the OGS (On-axis Guide Source), measured within a 1.5″ region, as a function of the wavelength. The black line show the measurements in the final stacked data-cube, whereas the blue region indicates the scatter (68% confidence level) of the measurements in each single exposure. The FWHM is < 60 mas at $\lambda > 7000\AA$ and we assume a similar resolution is achieved on arc IV (middle).