The role of Fc gamma receptors in the activity of immunomodulatory antibodies for cancer
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Abstract

Antibodies targeting T-cell inhibitory pathways, such as CTLA-4 and PD-1/PD-L1, are emerging as an important class of cancer therapeutics, and a next generation of immunomodulatory therapies targeting alternative inhibitory (e.g. TIM-3, LAG-3, B7-H4, B7-H3, VISTA, A2aR), as well as co-stimulatory (e.g. CD27, OX40, GITR, CD137), pathways are poised to join them. Most of these immunomodulatory antibodies are of IgG isotypes that have low, or no, binding to the Fc gamma receptors (FcyRs) that trigger cell-mediated cytotoxic effector functions such as antibody dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) and phagocytosis (ADCP). These isotypes were selected to minimise the risk of depleting the T cells upon which such antibodies depend for their mechanism of action. However, recent preclinical data highlight a potential role for FcyR engagement in the activity of such antibodies. Here we review the biology of the FcyRs and IgG isotypes in both humans and mice, detail the potential roles that FcyR interactions can play in the activity of monoclonal antibodies in general, and of immunomodulatory antibodies in particular, and discuss how preclinical studies on these interactions might be best interpreted and translated to a human setting.
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Introduction

Antibodies of the IgG sub-class are bi-functional molecules, possessing a F(ab) domain, variable in sequence and responsible for the binding of antigen, and an Fc domain, constant in sequence and responsible for mediating a range of antibody effector functions [1]. These functions are primarily triggered through interaction with the complement component C1q or with a family of FcyRs expressed, primarily, on the surface of leukocytes. Each IgG isotype has a different binding profile to the various FcyRs, and each FcyR has a different cellular expression pattern. These differences enable the breadth, flexibility and control of function required to mount an effective and regulated humoral response.

Effector functions mediated by the FcyRs, such as ADCC and ADCP, are believed to play an important role in the activity of several therapeutic antibodies; including a number used in Oncology, for example rituximab and trastuzumab. Antibodies targeting T-cell inhibitory pathways, such as CTLA-4 and PD-1/PD-L1, are emerging as an important class of cancer therapeutics, and a next generation of immunomodulatory therapies targeting alternative inhibitory (e.g. TIM-3, LAG-3, B7-H4, B7-H3, VISTA, A2aR), as well as co-stimulatory (e.g. CD27, OX40, GITR, CD137), pathways are poised to join them. In contrast to agents such as rituximab, most of these immunomodulatory antibodies are of IgG isotypes that have low, or no, binding to the Fc gamma receptors (FcyRs), and effects such as ADCC have not been considered to be critical to their activity. Recent studies in mice have, however, highlighted a potential role for FcyR binding, and FcyR mediated effector functions in the activity of antibodies targeting a number of these pathways.

Below we review the findings of these recent studies and discuss how they may change our understanding of the role FcyR interactions play in the activity of immunomodulatory antibodies in mice. We begin, however, by reviewing the biology of the FcyRs and IgG isotypes in mice and humans, highlighting the key similarities and differences, which need to be appreciated when thinking about how to translate these findings to a human setting, in order to select the optimal isotype for any potential therapeutic.

Review

The Fc gamma receptor family

Humans and mice possess two classes of FcyRs, the activating and inhibitory receptors. In both species FcyRI is
an activating receptor with high affinity for IgG, and is expressed on mononuclear DCs and on monocytes/macrophages broadly in humans but in select locations in mouse (Table 1) [2,3]. FcγRI is the only FcγR with a functionally meaningful binding affinity for monomeric IgG, the remaining FcγRs all exhibit such low affinity for the Fc region of IgG that functional engagement is only expected to occur upon binding of antibody complexed through antigen engagement (Table 2). Both species possess a single inhibitory FcγR, FcγRIIb, which is expressed on B cells, DCs and basophils in both species, and found additionally on monocytes, macrophages and all granulocyte populations in mice (Table 1). The remaining FcγRs are all activating, but more divergent between species. In mice there are only 2 additional activating receptors FcγRIII, which is expressed broadly on NK cells, monocytes, macrophages, granulocytes and DCs, and FcγRIV, which is found only on monocytes, macrophages and neutrophils. In humans there are four additional activating FcγRs, the best characterised being FcγRIIa and FcγRIIla. FcγRIIla is expressed on granulocytes, monocytes and monocyte-derived cells such as macrophages and DCs. FcγRIIIa is expressed primarily on NK cells, but is also found on monocytes and macrophages under some circumstances (Table 1). Each of these receptors exists as two allotypic variants with differing binding affinity for IgG (Table 2). For FcγRIIIa the more common F158 allotype has a lower affinity than the V158 allotype. For FcγRIIla the more common H131 allotype has a higher affinity than the R131 allotype [4]. The other two activating receptors are far less well characterised. FcγRIIIC is expressed in only 20% of people and is closely related to, but expressed more restrictedly than, FcγRIIa. FcγRIIIa is a GPI linked receptor expressed mainly on the surface of neutrophils.

Mice and humans also have a divergent family of IgG isotypes. In humans there are 4 isotypes, IgG1-4, each of which has differing binding affinities to the various FcγRs. Human (h)IgG3 demonstrates relatively high affinity binding to most FcγRs, but is not used routinely as a therapeutic format (due to its long hinge region and polymorphic nature which represent a stability and immunogenicity risk respectively) and will not be considered further in this review. Of the remaining isotypes hlG1 demonstrates the highest affinity for FcγRs, with a nM or low μM binding affinity to all receptors (estimated by surface plasmon resonance [SPR]), and is considered a potent inducer of all cell mediated effector functions, such as ADCC and ADCP. hlG4 demonstrates a nM affinity for FcγRI but μM affinities for all other receptors and is considered a poor inducer of such effector functions. hlG2 has no measurable affinity for FcγRI, a high nM affinity for the H131 form FcγRIIa and μM affinities for all other FcγRs (Table 2).

These absolute affinities are a useful guide as to the binding of the hlG isotypes to hFcγRs relative to each other. However, as noted above, the functional interaction between IgG and most FcγRs is not monomeric in nature, as is modelled by SPR. In a study [5] examining the more functionally relevant binding of complexed IgG to FcγRs on cells, the relative ranking of the isotypes with respect to FcγR binding was retained, however no binding of IgG2 to cells expressing the inhibitory FcγRIIb or the F158 form of the activating FcγRIIa could be detected using this methodology, despite the interaction between IgG2 and these proteins demonstrated by SPR.

Mice also have four IgG isotypes but they are not equivalent to those of human in either nomenclature or properties. Mouse (m)IgG1, in contrast to hlG1, is considered a low effector function isotype, since while it binds inhibitory FcγRIIb and activating FcγRIII with mM affinity, it has no measurable binding to activating FcγRIV. In mice it is the two IgG2 isotypes, mIgG2a and mIgG2b, that have the highest binding affinities to FcγRs, particularly to FcγRIV (Table 2). In mice there is no IgG4 isotype, and mIgG3 has no measurable FcγR interactions [6].

These differences in FcγR and antibody isotype interactions and expression, summarised in Tables 1 and 2, mean that there are no direct homologs between human and mouse with respect to FcγR and antibody isotype biology. However, it is possible to draw some parallels between the species, for example mIgG2a and hlG1 are often considered equivalent functionally due to their broad and high FcγR binding, while the generally lower

**Table 1 Expression pattern of the major FcγRs in human and mouse**

| Cell type            | FcγRI  | FcγRIIa | FcγRIIb | mFcγRIIa | hFcγRIIb | mFcγRIII | hFcγRIIIa | mFcγRIV |
|----------------------|--------|---------|---------|----------|---------|----------|-----------|--------|
|                      | h      | m       | h       | m        | h       | m        | h         | m      |
| B cells              |        |         | +       | +        | +       | +        |           |        |
| DCs                  | +      | +       | +       | +        | +       | +        |           | +      |
| NK cells             |        |         |         |          |         |          |           |        |
| Monocytes/Macrophages| +      | ~       | ~       | +        | +       | +        | +         | +      |
| Neutrophils          | (+)    | ~       | +       | +        | +       | +        |           | +      |

*Italicics indicates an inhibitory receptor. Normal text indicates an activating receptor. Human receptors are denoted by h and mouse receptors by m. + indicates expression, (+) indicates inducible expression and – indicates expression on only a sub-set or on cells at specific physiological locations [2,3].*
have generally failed to show the same associations [4]. In this setting, but several larger, randomized studies [8,9], supporting a role for FcRγIIa and FcγRIIa in preclinical models show that these forms of FcγRs are close functional analogs. Additionally the activation of FcγRs is in the activity of therapeutic antibodies that function by agonising cell surface receptors, for example those of the tumor necrosis factor receptor (TNFR) super family. In this context binding of IgG Fc to FcγRs results in higher order clustering of antibody. This in turn results in higher order clustering of the target receptor when bound by the F(ab) domain, which triggers downstream signalling (Figure 1, right). This clustering effect has been shown to be critical to the activity of antibodies specific for the TNFR family members CD40 [13,14], death receptor 5 (DR5) [15,16] and CD95 (Fas) [17] in preclinical mouse models, and has been suggested to play a similar role in the activity of other TNFR agonists such as anti-OX40 and anti-CD27 [18].

The canonical role of FcγRs in immunomodulatory antibodies

Immunomodulatory antibodies mediate their beneficial effects by promoting anti-tumor immunity. There are a number of potential ways to achieve this, but one area showing particular promise is the use of antibodies that agonise inhibitory receptors on the surface of T cells. One such antibody, the anti-CTLA-4 ipilimumab, was approved based on its ability to improve survival in metastatic melanoma patients [19], while a number of antibodies targeting PD-1 are showing highly encouraging results in phase 1 clinical trials [20,21]. An alternative approach, which is earlier in clinical development but also showing promise, is the use of antibodies that agonise co-stimulatory receptors. The agonistic anti-CD40 antibody, CP870,893, has demonstrated early signs of activity in pancreatic cancer [22], and tumor shrinkage was also observed in some patients treated with agonistic antibodies directed against OX40 [23] and CD137 [24].

As mentioned above FcγR engagement has been shown to be critical for the activity of antibodies that agonise the TNFR CD40 in mice. The anti-tumor activity of anti-mouse CD40 in these studies is lost upon introduction of the D265A mutation into its Fc domain [13], which results in an antibody with no measurable FcγR binding [6]. It has also been shown that the in vitro activity of antibodies directed against other TNFRs in human cells, such as OX40, GITR, CD137 and CD27, requires, or is greatly enhanced by FcγR engagement or immobilisation [25,26]. While the evidence suggests that a requirement for cross linking is a common property of antibodies targeting TNFRs receptors, there are some

| Human | Mouse |
|-------|-------|
| FcγRIIa | IgG1 | IgG2 | IgG4 | FcγRIIb | IgG1 | IgG2a | IgG2b |
| I | ++++ | - | ++++ | I | - | ++++ | ++++ |
| Ila | R131 | +++ | ++ | ++ | R131 | ++++ | + | ++ |
| III | + | - | - | - | IIIa | V158 | ++++ | + | ++ | IV | - | +++ | +++ |
| Ila | R131 | ++++ | + | ++ |
| | F158 | ++ | - | ++ |

Italics indicates an inhibitory receptor. Normal text indicates an activating receptor. Rankings are based on K_a x 10^5 M^-1 for each interaction reported in published studies [1,5,6]. ++++ indicates a K_a greater than 100 x 10^5 M^-1. +++ indicates a K_a between 20 and 99 x 10^5 M^-1. ++ indicates a K_a between 1 and 19 x 10^5 M^-1. + indicates a K_a between 0.5 and 0.9 x 10^5 M^-1. - indicates a K_a that was undetectable or less than 0.5 x 10^5 M^-1. Nevertheless a significant effort has been made to engineer antibodies with improved affinity for the activating FcγRs [10-12], with the aim of enhancing these cytotoxic functions and improving therapeutic efficacy, and the outcome of clinical trials with such agents may provide further clarity as to the true contribution of FcγR mediated cytotoxicity in activity.

The potential roles of FcγRs in the activity of therapeutic antibodies

The FcγR mediated functions most commonly associated with therapeutic antibodies are those that mediate target cell elimination; ADCC, or the related ADCP. These functions are triggered when antibody binding to antigen on the surface of a target cell generates sufficient avidity to trigger signalling through FcγRs on effector cells such as NK cells and macrophages, which then eliminate target cells through direct killing or phagocytosis (Figure 1, left). Preclinical models show that these forms of FcγR-mediated cytotoxicity are a significant component of the mechanism of action for tumor targeted antibodies such as the anti-CD20 antibody rituximab and the anti-HER2 antibody trastuzumab [7]. The clinical evidence for the role of such interactions, however, is more mixed. A number of relatively small studies have shown improved outcomes in patients that are homozygous for the higher affinity allotypic forms of human FcγRIIa and FcγRIIIa [8,9], supporting a role for FcγR-mediated cytotoxicity in this setting, but several larger, randomized studies have generally failed to show the same associations [4].
exceptions. For example the hCD27.15 anti-human CD27 mAb has demonstrable agonistic properties in the soluble phase [27], the anti-CD40 antibody CP870,893 has been shown to function in vitro in solution and as a F(ab)’2 fragment that lacks any Fc domain [28] and the anti-OX40 antibody 9B12, which is a mouse IgG1 with very low affinity interactions with FcγRs, has shown early signs of clinical activity and demonstrated the ability to activate T cells in patients [23].

Two studies that further explored the role of FcγR engagement for the activity of anti-mouse CD40 demonstrated that it is the inhibitory mouse FcγRIIb that plays an essential role [13,14]. One of these studies [14] went on to demonstrate that other mouse FcγRs are capable of cross linking anti-CD40 to drive activity in vitro, and proposed that the dominant role of FcγRIIb in vivo was due primarily to its bioavailability at the site of action for anti-CD40, namely the secondary lymphoid organs. Both studies also went on to show that the activity of anti-mouse CD40 was lost upon class switching from a mouse (m)IgG1 to a mIgG2a. Two alternative, and non-mutually exclusive, hypotheses exist to explain this observation. The first is that the reduced affinity of mIgG2a for FcγRIIb results in reduced cross linking at the relevant site of action and so limits activity [14]. The second is that the loss of activity occurs due to increased depletion of CD40 positive cells, which are predominantly antigen presenting cells (APCs) critical to mounting an adaptive immune response [13]. In the case of the second hypothesis, the balance of FcγR engagement would become critical to the activity of anti-CD40. Too little engagement, as seen with alglycosyl antibodies, and no agonistic signalling is triggered so no anti-tumor activity is observed. Too much FcγR engagement on effector cells and depletion of critical immune cells begins to occur and anti-tumor activity is once again lost. Such a trade off in FcγR affinity could also apply to other immunomodulatory antibodies that target TNFRs (CD27, OX40, GITR, CD137), which are also expressed on critical immune cells. In addition it has been shown that for CD137 antibody mediated internalisation of receptor can play a role in driving signalling [29], and it is unclear how changes in Fc isotype might affect this property.

For those antibodies that target inhibitory receptors like PD-1 and CTLA-4 there has been little reason to believe, based on their antagonistic mechanism of action, that FcγR engagement plays an important role in their activity, and no significant evidence to contradict this belief exists in the clinical setting. Since all of the antigens targeted by these antibodies are expressed either on T cells or on APCs, the preference has been to select iso- types that will avoid the risk of depleting these cells through Fc mediated mechanisms; an outcome that would likely negate any beneficial immune activation. As a result,
a common feature of the immunomodulatory antibodies currently in clinical development is that they are low or null effector function isotypes (Table 3). Main exceptions include ipilimumab (anti-CTLA-4), MSB0010718C (anti-PD-L1) and antibodies like MGA271 (anti-B7-H3), CDX-1127 (anti-CD27) and alemtuzumab (anti-CD52) which employ ADCC of tumor cells as a part of their mechanism of action.

However, several recent preclinical studies are calling into question the view that all TNFR agonist antibodies will behave as anti-CD40 does, or that all antibodies targeting inhibitory receptors will be maximally effective as a low or null effector function isotype.

The evolving role of FcγRs in immunomodulatory antibodies

Three recently published studies [31-33] have examined the role of mouse FcγRs in the activity of anti-mouse CTLA-4 antibodies. In these studies anti-mouse CTLA-4 antibodies were studied in a range of syngeneic tumor models where they have previously shown activity, including MC38 (colon), CT26 (colon) and B16 (melanoma). The approach taken to examine the role of FcγRs varied between studies and either involved the use of FcγR knock out mice [31,33] or reformatting of the anti-mouse CTLA-4 to a variety of different antibody isotypes [32]. Irrelevant of the approach taken the result was the same; the activity of anti-mouse CTLA-4 was shown to be dependent upon engagement of the activating FcγRs in mouse tumor models. Further examination of the mechanism for this dependence revealed that in the lymph nodes anti-mouse CTLA-4 acted to increase the number of CD4 T cells, including regulatory T cells (T-reg), but that within the tumor it acted to selectively deplete only T-regs [33]. In one of the three studies, a comparison of several different anti-mouse CTLA-4 antibodies showed that the extent of T-reg depletion observed correlated with activity [33]. The depletion of T-reg was mediated predominantly by mouse FcγRIV, but was independent of NK cells, and considered likely to rely on the activity of intratumoral CD11b + macrophages [33]. In all three studies the level of CTLA-4 expression was shown to be increased on intratumoral T cells relative to those in lymph nodes, and was higher on T-reg than on other T cell sub-sets.

In their study Bulliard et al. [31] also examined the role of mouse FcγRs in the activity of the anti-mouse GITR antibody DTA-1. In contrast to the observations made for anti-mouse CD40, DTA-1 activity was minimally affected by the absence of inhibitory FcγRIIB, but was dependent on the presence of the activating mouse FcγRs [31]. In further contrast to anti-CD40, a mouse (m)IgG2a version of DTA-1 was equal in activity to the parental rat IgG2b version; although no mIgG1 was tested. Analogous to the mechanism observed for anti-mouse CTLA-4, it was shown that while mIgG2a or rat IgG2b forms of DTA-1 treatment resulted in expansion of

### Table 3 List of immunomodulatory antibodies currently in clinical development and their respective isotypes

| Antibody     | Target  | Company                        | Latest active clinical phase | Isotype               |
|--------------|---------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Ipilimumab   | CTLA-4  | BMS                            | Approved                     | IgG1                  |
| Tremelimumab | CTLA-4  | MedImmune/AstraZeneca          | II                           | IgG2                  |
| Nivolumab    | PD-1    | BMS                            | Approved                     | IgG4                  |
| MK-3475      | PD-1    | Merck                          | III                          | IgG4                  |
| CT-011       | PD-1    | CureTech                       | II                           | IgG1                  |
| MPDL3280A    | PD-L1   | Genentech/Roche                | III                          | Aglycosyl IgG1        |
| MEDI4736     | PD-L1   | MedImmune/AstraZeneca          | I                            | IgG1 TM               |
| MDX-1105     | PD-L1   | BMS                            | I                            | IgG4                  |
| MSB0010718C  | PD-L1   | Merck KGaA                     | I                            | IgG1                  |
| BMS-663513   | CD137   | BMS                            | I                            | IgG4                  |
| PF-05082566  | CD137   | Pfizer                         | I                            | IgG2                  |
| TRX518       | GITR    | GITR Inc                       | I                            | Aglycosyl IgG1        |
| MEDI6469     | OX40    | MedImmune/AstraZeneca/AgonOX   | I                            | Mouse IgG1            |
| CP-870,893   | CD40    | Roche                          | I                            | IgG2                  |
| CDX-1127     | CD27    | CellDex                        | I                            | IgG1                  |
| Lirilumab; IPH2102 | KIR | BMS/Innate Pharma           | II                           | IgG4                  |
| MGA271       | B7-H3   | Macrogenics/Server             | I                            | IgG1                  |
| Alemtuzumab  | CD52    | Genzyme/Bayer                  | Approved                     | IgG1                  |

IgG1 TM = IgG1 triple mutant, which contains 3 point mutations in the Fc domain that reduce the binding affinity of the mAb to FcγRs [30].
all T cell populations in the lymph node, they acted to deplete T cells within the tumor. Also similar to anti-mouse CTLA-4, this depletion preferentially occurred in the T-reg compartment and, although some reduction in both conventional CD4+ and CD8+ T cells was also observed, resulted in an increase in the CD8:T-reg ratio within the tumor. In a more recent study published earlier this year Builliard et al. [34] demonstrated a comparable mechanism, and dependence on activating mouse FcγRs for an anti-mouse OX40 antibody. It is however worth noting that alternative studies have proposed, and evidenced, a mechanism of regulatory T cell re-programming for DTA-1 [35] and for anti-human OX40 mAbs [36], suggesting that a loss of FOXP3 expressing T cells following treatment with agents targeting either pathway may not necessarily equate to depletion.

These preclinical studies have brought into question two generally held beliefs regarding the role of FcγRs in the activity of immunomodulatory antibodies. The first is the idea that inhibitory FcγRIIB is the critical receptor for the activity of all TNFR agonist antibodies. The data generated for the anti-mouse GITR antibody DTA-1 clearly demonstrate FcγRIIB independent activity, and our own unpublished data demonstrates similar independence for other TNFR targeting antibodies. These data suggest, as previously proposed by White et al., that the specific mouse FcγRs involved in cross linking of TNFR agonists may vary depending on the pathway being targeted and on the bioavailability of each of the FcγRs at the primary site of action. The second is the idea that effector function enabled antibodies represent a risk to activity due to depletion of key immune cell sub-sets. Importantly the data highlight the fact that peripheral and intratumoral antibody mediated effector function are far from equivalent. This difference appears to hinge on two critical differences; the level of target antigen expression and the presence of appropriate effector cells (Figure 2).

The extent of effector function triggered by antibody binding has been shown in a number of studies to be strongly influenced by the level of target antigen expression [37,38], with antibodies directed against both human CCR4 and CD20 failing to elicit in vitro ADCC against human tumor cell lines expressing anything less than $10^4$ antigen molecules per cell and reaching optimal activity only in the presence of $10^5-10^6$ antigen molecules [37]. Many of the targets for immunomodulatory antibodies are expressed at low to undetectable levels on resting, peripheral immune cells, but are up-regulated significantly in the presence of pro-inflammatory stimuli, such as those present in the tumor microenvironment. As such, and in the light of recent studies, it is clear that in mouse models it is significantly easier to elicit effector function against intratumoral immune cells. Additionally effector function is entirely dependent upon the presence of FcγR-bearing effector cells at an appropriate density. The studies by both Simpson et al. and Builliard et al. highlight what many of us will have observed in our own work, that there are very few effector cells, such as NK cells, neutrophils and macrophages, present in lymph nodes, which are dominated by T, B and dendritic cells. What both studies additionally show is that mouse tumors are extremely rich in FcγR-expressing effector cells. Simpson et al. evidence greater than 20% NK cells and greater than 40% CD11b+ cells, which are predominantly macrophages, in B16 tumors and Builliard et al. highlight very similar levels of the same cells in CT26 tumors. The coincident presence of high levels of target antigen and a high density of effector cells creates a perfect storm for Fc mediated effector function within the tumor, while the lower target expression and relative paucity of effector cells in the periphery makes the same effector function harder to achieve in the lymph node. It is however worth noting that peripheral depletion of immune cells is possible, as demonstrated by the data on anti-CD40 [13] and the well documented effects of anti-CD20 [39], suggesting that the nature or expression level of the target are likely critical.

Translating preclinical data to man
As outlined at the start of this review, the significant differences between human and mouse FcγR and IgG isotype biology make translation of findings between species extremely challenging, and several factors need to be considered and better studied in the human setting before these findings can be confidently applied to the activity of therapeutic antibodies in man.

Taking anti-CTLA-4 as an example, the first significant translational challenge is the key role for mouse FcγRIIa in the T-reg depletion activity demonstrated for anti-mouse CTLA-4. FcγRIIa does not exist in humans, and its closest analog, human (h)FcγRIIa, is expressed predominantly on NK cells, which played no role in the mainly macrophage mediated T-reg depletion observed with anti-mouse CTLA-4 [33]. In humans macrophages can express hFcγRIIa following certain stimuli, such as IL-10, but primarly express hFcγRIIa/b and hFcγRI, and it is feasible that in human tumors these receptors would play the same role as mouse FcγRII does in mouse tumors. Further study of the intratumoral effector cell and FcγR constituent within human tumors would be extremely helpful in understanding which human FcγR might play the role of mouse FcγRII in humans.

The second challenge for translation lies in the differences between IgG isotypes across species. In mice only mouse (m)lgG2a and mlgG2b demonstrate any measurable binding to mouse FcγRI; mlgG1 can therefore show none of the T-reg depleting activity observed for
anti-mouse CTLA-4 in mice. In contrast, while human(h) IgG1 has a significantly higher binding affinity to all human FcyRs, hlgG2 and hlgG4 are both capable of interacting with human FcyRIIa and IIIa, and while human FcyRI cannot bind hlgG2, its high affinity for monomeric IgG suggests that it would be heavily occupied by serum IgG and less available to partake in effector function [40]. Critically, while hlgG2 and hlgG4 are both very poor mediators of NK cell driven ADCC, they are both capable of mediating ADCC via PBMCs, monocytes and neutrophils [41,42]. It is especially conceivable that in a tumor microenvironment, with its increased target antigen levels and high density of effector cells, such as macrophages, enables depletion of cells through cell-mediated effector functions. The level of target expression, and the sensitivity to depletion varies across cell types and favors depletion of T-regs for targets such as CTLA-4 and GITR.

Figure 2 Critical differences between effector function in the periphery relative to the tumor. On the left: in lymph nodes, relatively low levels of cell activation and pro-inflammatory cytokines result in low levels of expression for most targets of immunomodulatory antibodies, which are generally low on resting immune cells. This low level of target expression, together with a paucity of effector cells in lymph nodes means depletion of cells through cell-mediated effector functions do not readily occur. On the right: high levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines within the tumor microenvironment trigger up-regulation of many targets. This increased target expression together with a high density of effector cells, such as macrophages, enables depletion of cells through cell-mediated effector functions. The level of target expression, and the sensitivity to depletion varies across cell types and favors depletion of T-regs for targets such as CTLA-4 and GITR.

Conclusions

Future directions

Immunomodulatory antibodies are showing positive results in early clinical trials in cancer. These antibodies generally fall into two classes. Those that antagonise inhibitory receptors, such as CTLA-4 and PD-1, and those that agonise stimulatory receptors, such as CD27, OX40, GITR and CD137.

Many groups, including our own, have been working to study the requirement for FcyRs in the activity of TNFR agonist antibodies, but the recent study of Bulliard et al. [31] has further highlighted the potential complexity of this requirement by providing evidence that inhibitory mouse
FcyRIIB is not required for the activity of all TNFR agonist antibodies in mice, as has been previously suggested. Further work is required to pull apart the target specific nature of FcyR requirements for mAb activity and the relative contributions of pathway agonism versus targeted depletion of cells. In the case of TNFR agonists pulling these apart is made very difficult by the inability to divorce FcyR cross linking, and therefore agonism, from effector function for the majority of such antibodies.

The study by Bulliard et al. [31], together with that of Selby et al. and Simpson et al. [32,33], also highlighted the previously underappreciated difference between FcyR-mediated effector function in the periphery as compared to the tumor microenvironment in mice and highlighted the unexpected potential role of FcyRs in the activity of immunomodulatory antibodies that target the inhibitory receptor CTLA-4 in mice. Based on these studies it will be interesting to see how FcyR interactions may impact antibodies targeting PD-1 or PD-L1, which have very different expression patterns to CTLA-4, despite their shared inhibitory function.

The most important future studies however will be those that address the translatability of these findings in mouse preclinical models to man. While their exact value remains unconfirmed [4], studies exploring the impact of human FcyR polymorphisms on clinical activity may shed further light on how critical individual receptors are to the mechanism of specific immunomodulatory antibodies. To this end a study presented at ASCO in 2013 [45] reported no difference in response to the anti-CTLA-4 ipilimumab in patients with the high versus low affinity allotypic forms of human (h)FcyRIIa or hFcyRIIa. The triggering of effector function is however a complex process; the result of an ill-defined interplay between target expression, IgG affinity for FcyR, FcyR expression patterns on different leukocytes and density of those leukocytes that mediate effector function, such as NK cells and macrophages. These are all variables which could diverge significantly between species. Ultimately further studies in human in vitro systems and in primary tissue samples, preferably from patients treated with immunotherapy agents, will be the most effective way to determine the comparability of these variables between human and mouse. It is these studies that will serve to move the translation of this key aspect of biology forward and aid in the design of more effective therapeutics.
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