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The Prevalence of Shiga Toxin-Producing Escherichia coli and Enteropathogenic Escherichia coli Isolated from Raw Chicken Meat Samples
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Background. Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli (STEC) is known as a crucial zoonotic food-borne pathogen. A total of 257 raw chicken meat samples were collected from different markets in Hamadan, west of Iran, from January 2016 to May 2017. Materials and Methods. The samples were cultured in selective and differential culture media, and the virulence genes of E. coli isolates were analyzed by PCR assay. The antibiotic resistance patterns of E. coli isolates were determined by the disk diffusion method. The genetic relatedness of the E. coli O157 isolates was analyzed by ERIC-PCR. Results. In total, 93 (36% ± 3.12) of the isolates were identified as E. coli in this study. Based on serological and microbiological tests, 36 (38.7% ± 9.9), 7 (7.5% ± 5.35), and 12 (12.9% ± 6.81) of the E. coli isolates were characterized as STEC, enteropathogenic E. coli (EPEC), and attaching and effacing E. coli (AEEC) strains, respectively. A high level of resistance to nalidixic acid (91.4% ± 5.7), tetracycline (89.2% ± 6.31), ampicillin (82.8% ± 7.67), and trimetoprim-sulfametoxazole (71% ± 9.22) was detected among the E. coli isolates. The analysis of the ERIC-PCR results showed five different ERIC types among the E. coli O157 isolates. Conclusions. Based on our findings, control and check-up of poultry meats should be considered as a crucial issue for public health.

1. Introduction

Enterobacteriaceae family bacteria are one of the most common Gram-negative bacilli, some of which are normal flora and some are pathogenic. Diarrheagenic E. coli, which causes diarrhea in humans, can be classified into seven different pathotypes on the basis of its specific virulence properties, distinct epidemiology, and clinical features: Enterotoxigenic E. coli (ETEC), Enteroinvasive E. coli (EIEC), Enteraggregative E. coli (EAEC), Diffuse-Adhering E. coli (DAEC), Enteropathogenic E. coli (EPEC), and Shiga toxin-producing E. coli (STEC) [1]. STECs are one of the most important pathogens transmitted by food. In addition to causing food poisoning, these strains can cause severe diseases such as diarrhea, bleeding colitis, hemolytic uremic syndrome, thrombocytopenic purpura, and death. Most cases of ulcerative colitis and hemolytic uremic syndrome are related to the O157:H7 serotype which is considered as the most important serotype of this strain. Several outbreaks of bacterial food-borne disease due to the consumption of undercooked or raw meat contaminated with STEC strains have been reported [1, 2].

In addition to Shiga toxins, an external membrane protein called intimin is responsible for the attachment of bacteria to the intestinal epithelial cells, causes a certain damage (called “attaching-effacing (A/E) lesions”), and is encoded by the eae gene [3, 4]. Also, enterohemolysin, encoded by the hly gene, is an effective factor in the pathogenicity of STEC [5]. Because only limited and incomplete studies have been conducted on the prevalence and
epidemiology of the O157:H7 serotype in developing countries, its prevalence has been reported as low [6].

The EPEC pathovar plays an important role as a causative agent of infantile diarrhea in developing countries [7]. This pathovar has intimin which is encoded by the chromosomal eae gene. It also possesses the ability to form A/E lesions on intestinal cells but does not contain Shiga toxin-encoding genes.

Attaching and effacing E. coli (AEEC) are characterized by their ability to cause attaching and effacing (A/E) lesions in the gut mucosa of human and animal hosts leading to diarrhea. Thus, two groups of Escherichia coli strains (STEC and EPEC) that cause attaching and effacing (A/E) lesions are classified as AEEC. In Iran, most molecular studies on the STEC pathovar have been conducted on dairy and animal stool samples, and little information is available on STEC and EPEC strains from poultry sources. Therefore, the aim of this study was to detect the virulence factors stx1, stx2, eae, and hlyA in the E. coli isolates and also to perform molecular typing of O157:H7 strains isolated from a raw chicken meat sample.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Phenotypic Identification of Escherichia coli Strains. In this cross-sectional study, a total of 257 raw meat samples were randomly collected by using an electronic random number generator (https://www.randomresult.com) from different butchers and supermarkets of different areas of Hamadan city, west of Iran, from January 2016 to May 2017. The present study was ethically approved by the Institutional Review Board of Hamadan University of Medical Sciences (IR.UUMSHA.REC.1397.444). The meat samples were transferred to sterile tubes, were transported to tubes containing thioglycolate broth media after homogenization, and were incubated overnight at 37°C. Then, they were inoculated on MacConkey agar plates (Merck, Germany) and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Subsequently, the E. coli-like colonies were subjected to different biochemical tests including sugar fermentation, Simmons' citrate, indole production, motility, methyl red, and Voges-Proskauer (IMVIC) tests [8]. The sorbitol MacConkey agar (Merck, Germany) and sero grouping with anti-O157 sera (Bahr-Afsan, Iran) were used for the diagnosis of the E. coli O157 serotypes.

2.2. Molecular Detection of Escherichia coli Pathotypes. A sweep of five E. coli colonies on the MacConkey agar was inoculated in LB broth and incubated overnight at 37°C, and the genomic DNAs of the colonies were extracted by the boiling method [9]. For detection of E. coli pathotypes, the virulence genes stx1, stx2, hlyA, and eae were detected by the PCR method using the primers described in previous studies [10]. The virulence genes eae, stx1, and stx2 were detected using a triplex PCR in a reaction mixture with a total volume of 20 μL. PCR Master Mix 2x (Fermentas, Lithuania) of 10 μL, 6 μL sterile double distilled water, 1 μL from each primer (10 pmol/μL), and 2 μL DNA template. The cycling program was used as follows: initial denaturation (3 min at 94°C), followed by 35 cycles of denaturation (1 min at 94°C), annealing (1 min at 55°C), extension (1 min at 72°C), and final extension (7 min at 72°C). For the hly gene, a single PCR reaction was performed with the same conditions as mentioned above except that annealing was at 63°C for 1 min. The PCR products were detected by staining with SYBR Safe and gel electrophoresis on 1% agarose gels and, finally, were visualized under UV light.

2.3. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. The antimicrobial susceptibility of E. coli isolates to cefotaxime (CTX), cefazidine (CAZ), cefepime (CPM), cefoxitin (FOX), cefexime (CFM), nitrofurantoin (NIT), gentamicin (GM), ciprofloxacin (CIP), nalidixic acid (NA), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (SXT), aztreonam (ATM), amoxicillin (A), ampicillin (AMP), tetracycline (TET), minocycline (MIN), and imipenem (IPM) was detected by the disk diffusion method according to CLSI guidelines [11].

2.4. ERIC-PCR of E. coli O157 Serotype Isolates. For molecular typing and detection of the genetic linkage among E. coli O157 serotype strains, ERIC-PCR was carried out using ERIC primers and the conditions described in a previous study [12]. The banding patterns of ERIC were analyzed by an online data analysis service (inslico.ehu.es). The ERIC profiles were compared by the Dice method and were clustered by the UPGMA program.

3. Statistical Analysis

This study was a descriptive-application study. SPSS V11 software was used for the statistical analyses. The P value and confidence intervals were less than 0.05 and 95%, respectively.

4. Results

Among the 257 raw poultry samples, 93 (36% ± 3.12) isolates were identified as E. coli. Based on serological and microbiological tests, 36 (38.7% ± 9.9), 7 (7.5% ± 5.35), and 12 (12.9% ± 6.81) of the E. coli isolates were characterized as STEC (stx1+ and/or stx2+ and eae+), EPEC (positive for eae), and AEEC (EPECs and eae+ strains of STECs) strains, respectively. All of the STEC isolates showed colorless colonies on the MacConkey sorbitol media culture.

The results of the antimicrobial susceptibility test conducted on 93 E. coli isolates are shown in Figure 1. Based on the results of the antimicrobial susceptibility test, all of the isolates were susceptible to cefotaxime, cefoxitin, ceftazidine, and aztreonam. A high level of resistance to nalidixic acid (91.4% ± 5.7%), tetracycline (89.2% ± 6.31), amoxicillin (82.8% ± 7.67), and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (71% ± 9.22) was detected among the E. coli isolates.

The PCR results showed that the distribution of the virulence genes stx1, stx2, and eae among the 93 E. coli isolates was 15 (16.1 ± 7.47%), 31 (33.3% ± 9.58), and
12(12.9% ± 6.81), respectively. All of *E. coli* O157 strains showed *stx1''/stx2''/eae''* (1 isolate), *stx1''/eae''* (2 isolates), and *sx2''/eae''* (2 isolates) patterns. The *hlyA* gene was not detected in any of the *E. coli* isolates (Figure 2).

The analysis of the ERIC-PCR results showed genetic diversity among *E. coli* O157 strains because five different ERIC patterns were observed among these strains (Figure 3).
5. Discussion

The results of our study have shown that chicken meat can be contaminated with *E. coli*. The *stx2* gene was the most frequent virulence factor among the STEC isolates. The major animal source of STEC is primarily cattle, followed by sheep, goats, pigs, and poultry. Poultry meat is known as the potential source of STEC contamination compared to other sources of meat. In Korea, STEC was isolated in 22.6% of beef, 7.3% of poultry, and 2.0% of pork meat samples [13].

In the current study, O157 *E. coli* isolates were detected in 5.3% of the poultry meat samples and recognized as STEC strains. Although the prevalence of this isolate was not significant, this rate of infection is considerable from the public health point of view. The prevalence of STEC and AEEC in the current study is different from that in some studies in Iran and other countries. In the current study, higher STEC and lower AEEC isolates were detected compared to the study of Momtaz and Jamshidi [14]. They reported that the prevalence of STEC and AEEC was 21% and 34%, respectively, among 422 raw poultry meat samples in different cities of Iran [14]. They also reported that *stxl* was the most frequent (96%) virulence factor among the isolates. In contrast, in the current study, *stxl* was found only in 16% of the isolates. One of the reasons for this difference in frequency can be the difference in the number of samples studied. However, Guran et al. showed that the overall prevalence of *E. coli* O157 in poultry meat samples collected from supermarkets in Diyarbakir, Turkey, was 1.3% [15]. One of the significant results of the current study is that 12.9% of the *E. coli* isolates were identified as AEEC. Intimin genes are present in EPEC and in some STEC. Atypical enteropathogenic *E. coli* (EPEC) or AEEC appear to be more closely related to STEC [16–19]. Based on the results of the current study, the role of AEEC strains in gastrointestinal infection needs further investigations.

In this study, the *E. coli* O157 strains were positive for *stxl*, *stx2*, and *eae* genes. In India, Dutta et al. reported that 14 (33.33%) isolates carried at least 1 virulence gene. Ten (23.81%) of these isolates (collected from poultry samples) were recorded as STEC, and 4 (9.52%) of them were recorded as EPEC [20].

Some gastrointestinal infections caused by *E. coli* have a bacterial origin and need to be treated by antibiotics. In a review study by Roth et al., the resistance rates of *E. coli* strains (isolated from broiler samples) to tetracycline, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, streptomycin, and ampicillin were more than 40% in all the studied countries. Increasing antibiotic resistance is a major concern for animal and human health because of the high consumption of antibiotics in veterinary medicine. Resistant bacteria can spread from food-producing animals to humans. The information from the evaluated countries indicates that antibiotics such as tetracycline, aminoglycoside, sulfonamide, and penicillin are usually used in the poultry industry [21].

In this study, the resistance levels of STEC to some antimicrobial agents such as nalidixic acid, ampicillin, tetracycline, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole ranged from 71 to 91%. According to these results, the poultry meat contaminated with STEC strains can be a potential source of antimicrobial resistance. In a study conducted in Thailand, 100% of the *E. coli* isolates showed resistance to tetracycline, ampicillin, and erythromycin.

Momtaz and Jamshidi reported the high resistance of STEC strains to tetracycline, chloramphenicol, and nitrofurantoin (63 to 77%). According to our findings and studies by others, the prescription of tetracycline is recommended neither in cases of *E. coli* infection nor in veterinary medicine with respect to poultry products [14].

There are few reports about the molecular typing of STECs from poultry sources in Iran and other countries. In the current study, ERIC-PCR genotyping demonstrated 5 different ERIC-genotypes from 5 *E. coli* O157 isolates. Therefore, the results of the current study showed genetic diversity among *E. coli* O157 isolates as well as the different potential sources of *E. coli* O157 contamination. The results of the present research also indicated the usefulness of the PCR-based genotyping method in the epidemiological investigations of virulent *E. coli* strains and of STEC strains. There are some limitations in this study due to the lack of access to human samples because finding relevant human samples is very difficult except during infection outbreaks. Consistent with our results, in a study by Shekar et al. in India, the ERIC-PCR results discriminated 12 STEC isolates from poultry samples into 11 ERIC-PCR genotypes [22].

6. Conclusions

The results of the current study revealed that poultry meat can be considered as a source of pathogenic *E. coli* strains. Pathogenic *E. coli* strains in poultry meat samples were detected by accurate and quick techniques such as PCR assay, and the detection of STEC (38%) was a significant finding. Our results highlight the need to pay more attention to controlling poultry meat and also antibiotic prescription in veterinarians. Our results indicated that the *E. coli* virulence genes, especially *stx1*, *stx2*, and *eae*, existed to a large extent in pathogenic *E. coli* strains isolated from poultry meat.
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