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Complex biological, neuroscience, geoscience and social networks exhibit heterogeneous self-similar higher order topological structures that are usually characterized as being multifractal in nature. However, describing their topological complexity through a compact mathematical description and deciphering their topological governing rules has remained elusive and prevented a comprehensive understanding of networks. To overcome this challenge, we propose a weighted multifractal graph model capable of capturing the underlying generating rules of complex systems and characterizing their node heterogeneity and pairwise interactions. To infer the generating measure with hidden information, we introduce a variational expectation maximization framework. We demonstrate the robustness of the network generator reconstruction as a function of model properties, especially in noisy and partially observed scenarios. The proposed network generator inference framework is able to reproduce network properties, differentiate varying structures in brain networks and chromosomal interactions, and detect topologically associating domain regions in conformation maps of the human genome.
Mining the topological complexity of networks must go beyond estimating statistical network metrics (e.g., degree, clustering coefficient, path-length distribution) or measuring the network’s geometric properties. Instead, we must elucidate the underlying hidden heterogeneous rules that govern the emergence and dynamics of complex networks. For instance, the interactions between brain regions or neurons (topological structures) generate cognitive functional states, but challenges remain in understanding the brain wiring mechanism and the rules related to cognitive processes in network neuroscience. Furthermore, topological analysis of yeast chromatin maps reveals a transition from intra- to inter-chromosomal interactions when the yeast undergoes different growing states, but fails to identify the network generators or rules corresponding to this transition. Moreover, multifractal topological analysis reveals that chromosomal interactions are bifractal. While these multifractal network analysis efforts can detect subtle conformational changes among complex network components (e.g., chromosomes in human stem cells), they fail to explain the emergence and evolution of networks, identify their general set of hidden network generators, and explain how small changes to these generators can lead to exhibited or enhanced complex behavior. Aside from chromosomal interactions, it has also been proven that various real networks possess a hierarchically organized (self-similar) community structure which grows recursively and copies themselves. For example, neuronal culture networks and protein interaction networks also possess complex multifractal behaviors.

Multifractality has been studied as a topological feature with box covering/box counting methods. The scaling behavior is examined by a renormalization process which coarse-grains the network into boxes. However, commonly used approaches, like renormalization group-inspired algorithms (such as box covering, sandbox) fail to illustrate the network emergence. Various graph models have been proposed to model the growing scale-free properties and multifractal degree distribution by self-repeatably inheriting and adding nodes and connections. Nevertheless, the multifractality that exists on a topological level cannot uncover the hidden community structure or the generator rules.

Unlike exploring and measuring the multifractality of various topological structures, we focus on identifying the underlying network generating functions and developing a general mathematical framework together with efficient algorithms to mine the multifractality encoded in the node attributes and the weighted interactions among nodes. The network generating function can provide high level and condensed description of complex systems. Uncovering the generating rules will enable us not only to generate synthetic graph structures with different topological properties, but also reproduce and mine their topological complexity and heterogeneity. The probabilistic description of the generating function should also help to explore the validity of links in a noisy graph and apply to various scenarios. To the best of our knowledge, a robust and general framework of multifractal generating model along with comprehensive analysis is lacking. Although the multifractal network generators can generate networks with multifractal properties and any given graph metrics, the simulated-annealing based parameter estimation is not robust and the model is limited to binary graphs. The stochastic kroenecker graph model is also capable to capture self-similarity, but the network size is required to be related to the model and the heterogeneity in node attributes is neglected. The multiplicative attribute graph model generalizes the two aforementioned models and characterizes the node attributes in social networks.

To address these research gaps and better understand the complexity and multifractality of real-world networks, one must address the following major challenges: (1) How can we construct a general multifractal network generating model capable of not only capturing the observed multifractal characteristics, but also provide mathematical tools for efficiently investigating and engineering their macroscopic properties? (2) How can we efficiently and correctly reconstruct the underlying network generator model? (3) Can we recover the weighted multifractal network generative model from incomplete (partial) observations and noisy or adversarial data/influences? (4) Do such techniques scale up to real-world networks and enable us to study whether multifractality appears in real-world applications such as the brain connectomes and chromosomal interactions?

To answer these questions, here we propose a weighted multifractal graph model (WMGM) constructed recursively from generative measures of linking probabilities and capable to capture the multifractality and weighted heterogeneity of functional interactions. To clarify the difference between characterizing the multifractality in topological structure and in the network generating model, we specify that the functional level and model level terms refer to analyzing networks through their reconstructed generative model. In contrast, the graph level terms mean that we are examining the properties of the network topology. To efficiently learn the parameters of the network generating model, we provide a rigorous variational inference framework capable of reconstructing the underlying multifractal network generator for partially observed networks. This inference method can deal with networks of arbitrary sizes and any attribute cardinality; it also offers a robust parameter estimation. We examine our proposed approach on both synthetic and real-world networks. We show that the proposed model can characterize and reproduce many graph properties (i.e., degree, clustering coefficient, weight distribution). We present the efficiency and robustness of the proposed model and inference method against incomplete and noisy observations. By applying the network generator inference framework to real-world datasets (e.g., brain networks, chromatin interactions), we reveal the hidden structure of complex systems at the functional level. The results indicate that the WMGM is capable of differentiating between various structures in brain networks and in chromatin interactions. We further show that the proposed inference algorithm can help to detect topologically associating domains (TADs) in chromosomal interaction maps.

Results
Weighted-multifractal graph model.

We propose the weighted multifractal graph model (WMGM). It is meant to serve as a generalized network generating rule that captures the observed multifractal properties associated with node attributes and the heterogeneity in weights (intensities of pairwise interactions). Building on measure theory concepts, the crux of this multifractal network generating model is to construct a series of probabilities that we associate with the side lengths of rectangles that are recursively built up by repeatedly splitting a unit square. This ensures a heterogeneous self-similar network structure. These probabilities are then used to generate the node attributes and edges for the network.

We first define an initial generating measure $\theta^{(1)} = \{(l,i), p^{(1)}\}$ on a unit square. The rationale for considering a unit square is to ensure that the probability mass function of node attributes sums to 1. Next, the unit square is divided into $M^2$ rectangles, where $I_{i=1}^M$ are the side lengths of each rectangle. To these rectangles, we assign the probabilities $\{p^{(1)}_{ij}\}_{i=1}^M$. We consider symmetric $p^{(1)}$ terms in this work, but as an extension, we could permit the
asymmetric case which can model directed networks. Along the same lines as in the multifractal network generator\textsuperscript{20,23}, the self-similar WMGM $\theta^{(K)} = (\theta^{(1)}, p^{(K)})$ is formulated recursively from this unit square $\theta^{(1)}$ with interval length $\theta^{(1)} = (\theta^{(1)-1} \otimes \theta^{(1)})$ and linking probability $p^{(K)} = p^{(K-1)} \otimes p^{(1)}$. Here $\otimes$ denotes the Kronecker product.

An undirected weighted graph is then generated by the following procedure: (1) $N$ nodes are spread into $M^K$ classes with prior probabilities $\theta^{(K)}$. The indicator variable $\phi_{uv}$ denotes the label indicating whether a node $u$ has attribute $q$. Note that $\phi_{uv} = 0$ or 1, $\sum_{q=1}^{K} \phi_{uv} = 1$. The attributes follow the categorical distribution $P(\phi_{uv} = q = 1 \ldots M^K)$. (2) The edges between nodes $u$ and $v$ are generated with a linking probability $p^{(K)}$. Let $(w(r))_{r=0}^{\infty}$ denote the predefined weight distribution, where $w(0) = 0$ and $\{w(r)\}$ is monotonically increasing. The probability that an edge between node $u$ and $v$ has weight $w(r)$ is given by $P(e_{uv} = w(r)|\phi_{uv} = 1)$. We denote it as $p^{(K)}(suv)$, where $suv$ is the weight category $r$ of the edge between node $u$ and $v$. Here, the probability that an edge does not exist is $p^{(K)}(0) = 1 - p^{(K)}$ and the chance that an edge (regardless of the weight) exists is $\sum_{suv} p^{(K)}(suv) = p^{(K)}$. It naturally satisfies $\sum_{suv} p^{(K)}(suv) = 1$ and can be easily mapped to unweighted graphs. In contrast to\textsuperscript{20}, where the linking probabilities $p^{(K)}(r)$ are determined for each weight level $r$, we design the edge distribution $P(e_{uv} = w(r)|\phi_{uv} = 1) = 1$ as the geometric distribution with $p^{(1)}(0)$ identical to all weights. The rationale is that smaller weights are more common. We also aim at using fewer parameters to capture the heterogeneous graph structure.

The multifractality of the model emerges from the recursive construction. The derivation of the partition function and the multifractal metrics are presented in the method section Multi-fractal analysis of WMGM. Special cases of the proposed model correspond to several related models. When $M^K = N$, the proposed weighted multifractal graph model retrieves the Kronecker model\textsuperscript{109} as a particular case. When the weight is neglected (i.e., total weight level $R = 1$), the proposed model reduces to the multifractal network generator\textsuperscript{23}.

Figure 1a shows the numerical example of the model building procedure and graph generation. The model $\theta^{(2)}$ in the middle is constructed by $\theta^{(1)}$ shown on the left-hand side at the first iteration. In the simulated graph, the colors of nodes represent the attributes generated by $\theta^{(2)}$ and the weights of the links are generated by $p^{(2)}$. Figures 1b and c show two different models which generate networks with different community structures. In the generating model $\theta^{(K)}$, the linking probability $p^{(K)}$ approximates the connection rules and community structure. Larger value of $p^{(K)}$ leads to denser connection between nodes in community $q$ and $h$. If $p^{(K)}$ is even across different $q$ and $h$, it suggests relatively ambiguous heterogeneity (Fig. 2a). When $p^{(K)} \rightarrow 1$, each pair of nodes in community $q$ and $h$ has a connection and thus it creates a fully connected subgraph (Fig. 2b). When $p^{(K)} \rightarrow 0$, no connection may exist between category $q$ and $h$, leading to an $m$-partite structure ($q = h$, Fig. 2c) or a community structure ($q \neq h$, Fig. 2d).

To overcome the challenges related to mining large-scale complex systems (e.g., heterogeneity in weights, scale-dependent higher order interactions), we investigate how the proposed WMGCM can decipher the hidden rules that govern their complex topological architecture and functionality. Indeed, mapping a large network to a generative model can contribute to losing some intricate details of subnetworks and their interactions. However, reconstructing a function level model can compress unnecessary redundant information and allow us to deal with incomplete or noisy data, which is common in real-world datasets. Consequently, the WMGM can learn the hidden rules that govern structures in complex systems such as brain networks (e.g., understanding and interpreting the emergence of neuronal computation in brain networks), biological systems (e.g., understanding the emerging genotype-phenotype relationships) and social networks. To investigate the benefits and limitations of the WMGM, we evaluate its capabilities in reconstructing the network generating models from scarce noisy observations on a series of artificially generated and real-world networks. First, we validate our method on synthetic data in terms of convergence and estimation error. Next, because real-world networks are usually only partially observed and often noisy, we investigate the robustness of our approach to such factors. We also apply our method to three real-world complex networks, namely the brain connectome of Drosophila, the chromosome interactions of yeast and the conformation maps of replicated human chromosomes. The results show that our method can reproduce and elucidate important properties of real-world complex systems.

Learning the hidden network generators (rules) from partial and noisy observations of synthetic networks. To validate the ability of the proposed WMGM framework to reconstruct the ground-truth generators and to understand its estimation error, we examine three synthetic network case studies, from least to most challenging: (i) Clean fully observed networks, (ii) Threshold-varying partially observed networks, and (iii) Noisy networks with spurious edges. In the case of fully observed network setting, we demonstrate that our model can successfully reconstruct the ground-truth generator and reproduce the graph properties of the synthetic network. We also show that the WMGM inference is robust up to a certain level of missing observations in the case of partially observed networks, and can handle noise by distinguishing between spurious and true links in the last case.

Network generator reconstruction. We first examine the network generator reconstruction accuracy and the ability of the WMGM to recover simulated graph properties. We use a synthetic graph $G_{\text{syn}}$ of 500 nodes generated by $\theta^{(1)} = [0.7, 0.3]$, $\theta^{(2)} = [0.8, 0.5; 0.5, 0.4]$ with hyperparameters $M = 2$, $K = 3$ and a predefined weight set $\{w(r) = r\}$. We implement the variational expectation maximization (EM)-based estimation method (described in the Methods section Parameter estimation of WMGM) on the fully observed simulated network with the step length $\gamma = 10^{-7}$ of the gradient methods in M-step. The algorithm stops when the increment of the objective function (lower bound of log-likelihood) after one EM iteration is smaller than 0.1. Figures 3a and b show the convergence of the lower bound of the log-likelihood $L_{\text{Q}}(\theta, R)$ and the reconstructed parameters as the EM iteration proceeds, respectively. We note that the lower bound exhibits a fast convergence within the first 20 EM iterations and later slightly increases and converges after 120 iterations. The relative absolute error of the reconstructed lower bound $L_{\text{Q}}(\theta, R)$ and the true log-likelihood $L_{\text{syn}}(R)$ of the synthetic graph $G_{\text{syn}}$ is $\{L_{\text{Q}}(\theta, R) - L_{\text{syn}}(R)\} / L_{\text{syn}}(R) = 0.0022$, which shows the recoverability of the proposed WMGM framework. Meanwhile, the estimated parameters show a similar trend and converge to the ground-truth values. Figure 3c presents the mean relative absolute error (RAE) per parameters as a function of the EM iterations. It shows that the error decreases fast within the first 20 EM
iterations, and when the small increment of the log-likelihood lower bound emerges at 100 EM iterations in Figure 3a, the error begins to drop sharply again. After 120 EM iterations, it achieves the minimum error of 0.013 (1.3% error per parameter), and when the algorithm terminates, the error is 0.032 (3.2% error per parameter). Figure 3b shows that the value of the recovered $p_{14}^{(2)}$ (yellow line) crosses the ground truth 0.4 at 120 EM iterations and then decreases by a small quantity. This suggests that we can early terminate the algorithm when the objective function starts to converge and achieve the best performance.

We also investigate the recoverability of the network structures through the proposed WMGM framework. In Fig. 3d–f, we compare the simulated and reconstructed network properties including the clustering coefficients, degree distribution, and weight distribution. The simulated distributions (blue lines) are directly calculated from the synthetic network $G_{\text{syn}}$ and the reconstructed results are calculated from a network $G_{\text{recon}}$ generated by the recovered WMGM. We observe that the proposed estimation method can successfully reproduce the network properties of synthetic networks. In Supplementary Note 5 we further quantify the dissimilarity of the simulated and reconstructed graph properties, while in Supplementary Note 3 we include null models as comparison to show the efficiency of the estimation algorithm.

No guarantee exists that the EM algorithm converges to the maximum likelihood estimator. If the objective function is non-convex, the algorithm may terminate at or near a local optimum. The estimation accuracy is also related to the size of the network and its density. Consequently, we investigate the dependency between mean relative absolute error and the multifractal spectrum width of the model and other key properties in Fig. 4e. We select different levels of randomness in the model with...
varying positions of the multifractal spectrum, generate a graph of 200 nodes, then recover the model with same model initialization and measure the mean relative absolute error per parameter. We consider three cases: all parameters are randomly generated (in blue asterisks); $p_1 = p_2 = 0.5$ with random $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2$ (in green dots); and $f_1 = f_2 = 0.5$, $p_1 = p_2 = 0.5$ with fixed center of multifractal spectrum (in red circles). The multifractal spectrum width is calculated as in Methods section Multifractal center of multifractal spectrum (in red circles). The ground-truth values. The mean RAE at the last iteration is 0.032 (3.2%). Clustering coefficients $d$, degree distribution $e$ and weight distribution $f$ of the simulated and the reconstructed graph. The reconstructed graph is generated by the recovered WMGM. Degree and clustering coefficients distributions are calculated after binarizing the simulated and the reconstructed graphs.

Reconstruction of network generator from partial observations. Complex networks are usually partially observed. This situation has many causes, including the following scenarios: (1) the network is still growing and new nodes can join in the future; (2) it is computationally expensive or technologically impossible to examine the whole network (e.g., all neurons in the human brain). Therefore, we investigate the ability of successfully reconstructing the ground-truth WMGM from partial observations.

For the partially observed experiments, we use a synthetic graph with $N_0 = 100,000$ nodes generated by $f_1 = [0.7, 0.3, 0.8, 0.5, 0.5, 0.4]$, $p_1 = [0.8, 0.5, 0.5, 0.4]$, $M = 2, K = 3$. At each time, we randomly select $N$ of $N_0$ nodes and take the connections among the selected $N$ nodes as incomplete data. We repeat this process 10 times for each $N$ and measure the recovered parameters. The mean and standard deviation of recovered parameters and error are shown in Fig. 4a, b against the number of nodes observed $N$. We find that the model is correctly recovered with low standard deviation at $N = 200$ or more nodes observed, where the mean relative absolute error (RAE) per parameter with $N = 200$ is 3.1% and the standard deviation is 0.5%. We conclude that the proposed WMGM and the inference method is robust against missing components in the system.

We repeat the experiments with different full original network sizes $N_0 = 10^3, 5 \times 10^3, 10^4, 5 \times 10^4, 10^5$ and the same $N$. We calculate the mean RAE and report the minimum fraction of observed nodes $f$ to achieve a small certain error in Fig. 4c. Both axes are in log scale. The blue dots represent mean RAE smaller than 0.035 (3.5%) and red asterisks represent mean RAE smaller than 0.050 (5.0%). They are well fitted by power laws (shown as solid lines). The regression for error smaller than 0.035 is $f = 332 \times N_0^{-0.04}$ and for error less than 0.050 is $f = 95 \times N_0^{-0.97}$. It shows that the required size of observation to achieve a certain small error is decreasing and follows a power law as the original network size grows. Figure 4d shows the relationship of the average error of 10 experiments with combinations of $N = 50, 100, \ldots, 500$ and $N_0 = 10^3, 5 \times 10^3, 10^4, 5 \times 10^4, 10^5$. The axis of $N_0$ is set as log scale. The underlying generating model is recoverable when the partial observation contains more than 200 nodes, regardless of the original network size $N_0$. This is critical, as in real-world complex systems, only partial observation without full monitoring and detection is possible. Since we use the WMGM as the generating rule and we assume the networks are partially but evenly observed, reconstruction with partial observation (a subgraph) can achieve good performance while saving on computational cost. It suggests that when dealing with very large networks, it is possible to correctly estimate the hidden generating rules even using a small subset of the network with only 200 nodes. We further perform more individual experiments to show the robustness in Supplementary Note 7.

Reconstructing the network generators from noisy observations and quantifying the reconstructed link reliability. We test the proposed WMGM and the estimation algorithm on noisy networks with spurious links. For this noisy setting, we first generate a synthetic binary graph with the same model as in section Reconstruction of
network generator from partial observations. In the binary version, weights are neglected. Any edge in the weighted graph with $e_{uv} \neq 0$ is considered as an edge in the binary version $G_0$ of the graph. Next, spurious links are randomly added with probabilities $p = 10^{-3}, 3 \times 10^{-3}, 10^{-2}, 3 \times 10^{-2}, 10^{-3}, 3 \times 10^{-1}$ between pairs of nodes where no edges exist in the original network, producing a noisy graph $G_n$. For each noise level $p$, we individually run the experiments 10 times. We call links that exist in the synthetic graph $G_0$ and the noisy graph $G_n$ as true positives, and links added in $G_n$ as false positives. We aim at differentiating true positive and false positive links in noisy graphs. We first reconstruct the generative model $\theta_n$ from the noisy observation $G_n$. We define the link reliability of an edge $e_{uv}$ in the noisy network with its likelihood given by the reconstructed model as $LR_{uv} = \log P(e_{uv}|G_n; \theta_n)$. The link reliability of the link between node $u$ and node $v$ can be estimated as follows:

$$LR_{uv} \approx \sum_{q} \tau_{uq} \tau_{vh} \log p_{qh}^{(K)}(r_{uv}) + \sum_{q} \tau_{uq} \log f_{q}^{(K)} + \sum_{h} \tau_{vh} \log f_{h}^{(K)}.$$  

(1)

Figure 5a shows the cumulative distribution of link reliability for different labels, true positive and false positive, with relative noise level $p = 10^{-1}$. Spurious links (false positive) have lower reliability than true ones (true positives) in their distributions. The average link reliability of false positives is also smaller than the one with true positives. The distinctness implies that the WMGM is able to detect noise in observations and therefore can help to denoise graphs. We validate the ability of graph denoising and its application in Supplementary Note 1.

Learning the hidden network generators (rules) of biological networks. To demonstrate the capabilities and benefits of the proposed WMGM inference framework, we investigate and learn the network generators (rules) of the following three biological networks: (i) the neuronal connections in adult Drosophila central brain28, (ii) the chromatin interactions of yeast genome8, and (iii) the conformation maps of replicated human chromosomes29. We show that the WMGM enables us to reveal important properties of these biological datasets such as recovering their topological network properties, differentiating growing states, identifying specific features of brain structures in different regions, and detecting TADs. We also conduct experiments on various social networks. The results of social networks can be found in Supplementary Note 2.
Revealing the network generators of Drosophila brain connectome. We use the largest synaptic-level connectome obtained through a three photon microscopy from fruit fly brain. Chemical synapses between neurons are detected and the numbers of synapses are calculated as the intensity of neuron connections. The original Drosophila connectome $G_0$ of the left alpha lobe in the mushroom body consists of 10,790 neurons (nodes) and 6444 identified synaptic connections (edges). We delete neurons without connections and use the connected 693 nodes to construct a network $G$ with the full 6444 connections and reconstruct the WMGM. We neglect the isolated nodes to avoid the extra computational cost and construct a relatively denser network to achieve better model estimation performance. When the network is large and sparse, the estimation tends to be unstable and inaccurate because we have very limited link observations. In Supplementary Note 9 we show the results with different node and edge sampling size. Note that the method of sampling nodes could influence the network topological structure and the reconstructed model. In the future, we will also investigate and develop strategies that allow us to select the minimum number of nodes required to accurately reconstruct the WMGM model obeying different network properties and for different network sizes. Also, we can always involve the sparsity to the recovered WMGM by adding a negative bias to each linking probability parameter $p^{(1)}$ when the variational EM algorithm process is finished. We discretize the network $G$ with $2^r \leq w(r) < 2^{r+1} - 1$ and then use it as the input to the proposed estimation algorithm.

Figure 6 shows the estimation and reconstruction results. Figure 6a, b show the convergence of the lower bound of log-likelihood and parameters with EM iterations. Figure 6c illustrates the reconstructed WMGM. The colors in the square represent the values of $p^{(K)}$ probabilities, and the interval lengths reflect $K^{(K)}$. The brain connectome in the alpha lobe is sparse, therefore most regions in the square model have small linking probability values. The exception is on the right-bottom diagonal, which has the value $p^{(K)} = 0.5213$. Its presence is due to the appearance in the connectome of a group of very strong interactions among around 20 neurons. Figure 6d–f presents the clustering coefficients, degree distribution and the cumulative weight distribution of the empirical and reconstructed brain networks. The blue line is the empirical distribution directly extracted from the brain connectome. The red line is the result calculated from a synthetic network generated by the reconstructed WMGM. The yellow dash line represents a null network which is generated by the Erdos-Renyi model with average linking probability. We also show the distribution in log scale in Supplementary Note 8. The empirical and reconstructed distributions are close to each other, showing that the WMGM and the proposed inference approach can also reconstruct the statistical properties of real networks. In the scenarios of brain connectome and neuronal connections, it is extremely hard to detect and monitor all neurons or the full functional connectivity due to its complex three dimensional structure and the unknown physico-chemical interactions. In the Reconstruction of network generator from partial observations section, we discussed the recoverability of the WMGM with limited observations. Therefore, the proposed model can enable neuroscientists to estimate hidden rules and learn topological properties of brain networks even if only limited and partial observations are available.
regional cognitive functionality using the reconstructed generating rule \( \theta = (p, l) \). This enables us to measure and quantify the neural behaviors and cognition divergence.

**Inferring the network generators of chromosomal interactions of yeast genome in different growing states.** The chromosome conformation capture analysis (also known as Hi-C technique) reveals the topological structure of the genomic sequences and allows scientists to examine the chromatin's 3D structure. It measures the contacts between any pair of genomic loci. In the chromosomal interaction matrix built by the Hi-C technique, the nodes represent the genomic loci and pairwise edge indicates the interaction frequency between two loci in the genome.

During the various growing states, the yeast genome exhibits a complex topological reorganization. To mine this topological complexity, we infer the WMGMs emerging from the chromosomal interaction data. For each chromosomal interaction matrix, we first downsample the 12,048-by-12,048 matrix to 503-by-503 and then discretize it with \( 200 \leq w(r) < 200 + 100r \).

Figure 8a, b illustrates the reconstructed WMGM \( \theta^{(K)} \) from the chromosome interactions of the yeast genome in the exponential growth and quiescence states, respectively. We fix \( l^{(1)} \) in both growing states to be identical such that we can compare the linking probabilities. The value of \( p^{(K)} \) in the major sub-blocks are shown in the figures. Figure 8a shows that the linking probabilities on the diagonals of exponentially growing yeast cells are larger compared to cells in quiescence state shown in Fig. 8b, while the non-diagonal elements are relatively smaller than the ones in quiescence state. This suggests that when the yeast is growing, the inter-chromosomal interactions become weaker and intra-chromosomal interactions become stronger.
with the analysis in $^{8}$, where the authors measure the intra-chromosomal distances between two sites on one chromosome. Figure 8c shows the cumulative weight distribution of the chromosome contact maps. The difference in the recovered model is clearer when comparing with the statistical properties of the network weights. We note that the WMGM enables us to identify these properties and our model can therefore help to distinguish between different growth states.

Network generator inference and analysis for the conformation maps of replicated human chromosomes. Chromosome conformation capture analysis (also known as Hi-C technique) reveals topological structure of the genomic sequences$^{29}$. TADs detection identifies the highly self-interacting chromatin regions. TADs emerge as square blocks whose centers locate at the diagonal of the interaction matrices. Though TADs emerge as critical features to characterize the high intradomain contacts, an unambiguous definition is still evolving$^{31}$. We infer the WMGM from a binarized Cis sister contact maps from$^{29}$ and show that our model can help to detect the TADs in Hi-C matrices.

In the variational EM based estimation method (see Methods section on Parameter estimation of WMGM), we introduce the variational parameters $\tau_{uq}$ to calculate the lower bound of the log-likelihood $\mathcal{L}_Q(\theta, R)$ in Eq. (3). For each node $u$, $\{\tau_{uq}\}_{q=1,..,\mathcal{M}}$ can be viewed as soft assignments regarding the probability that node $u$ has attribute $q$. They are also estimators of node attribute distribution parameters $\mathbb{P}(K)$ and each node is assigned with one $\tau$. We calculate the entropy of the variational estimator $\tau_{uq}$ with each node $u$ as $H(u) = -\sum_{q=1}^{\mathcal{M}} \tau_{uq} \log \tau_{uq}$. Figure 9a shows the binarized Hi-C interaction matrix of the human chromosome 21 Cis sister contacts. For the best reconstruction of the WMGM, we downsample it to a 483-by-483 matrix and apply the proposed inference algorithm. TADs are circled with orange and green rectangles. The node attribute entropy $H(u)$ is shown in Fig. 9b, where low entropies are close to zero and are circled. We find that the positions (node index) with zero entropies are in correspondence with the region where TADs emerge. We conclude that nodes in TADs have high intra-interactions and tend to have low entropy (where the WMGM has high confidence). This suggests that our WMGM can also help to detect TADs in Hi-C data analysis.

Discussion

Exploring topological features in complex networks has the potential to enhance our understanding of the behavior of natural and social phenomena. Among massive topological features, we focus on multifractality, an important property that widely exists in complex systems from numerous domains, including biology, sociology, neuroscience, and geology. Analyzing the multifractality of complex systems enables scientists to measure the multi-scale interactions among components in large-scale complex networks$^{5}$.

Network multifractality is commonly studied and analyzed at the graph level, where the structure of connections among nodes are considered as self-similar$^{6,19}$. However, past approaches suffer from a number of limitations. Renormalization group-inspired algorithms, capable of estimating the multifractality of graphs fail to explain the emergence and evolution of networks and cannot decipher the hidden generating rules. The stochastic Kronecker

Fig. 8 Weighted-multifractal graph model (WMGM) inference for the yeast genome in different growing states. a reconstructed WMGM $\theta^K$ from chromosomal interactions of exponentially growing yeast with model iteration $K = 3$. We visualized the reconstructed model with the square block. The interval length on square side ($x$, $y$ axis) is divided by node attribute probability $p^u$ and the linking probability value $p^K$ of each block is represented by the yellow-green color. Several linking probability values are shown on the block. b reconstructed WMGM of yeast cell in quiescence state. c Cumulative weight distribution of the interaction maps.

Fig. 9 Conformation maps of replicated human chromosomes. a Visualization of the binarized chromosome 21 Cis sister contacts. X and Y axis are the locations of genomic loci. Topologically associating domains (TADs) are circled with orange and green squares in correspondence with the squares in a. We summarize that TADs are in correspondence with low entropy of node attribute distributions.
graph model captures self-similarity by building a probabilistic model with Kronecker products. However, it requires the graph and model size to be the same, limiting applications to arbitrary scale and partially observed networks. In , the authors propose multifractal network generators, but they reconstruct the model parameters by fitting graph metrics via a simulated-annealing procedure. The simulated-annealing algorithm is unstable and can return various sets of unrelated parameters. This makes it difficult to interpret the generator’s physical meaning. The majority of network models also neglect the importance of weights characterizing the interactions in complex networks.

To decipher the hidden network generators (rules) governing the complex systems dynamics at the functional level, we proposed the weighted multifractal graph model (WMGM). It is capable of capturing their heterogeneity and varying degrees of self-similarity. The proposed WMGM serves as a function that maps and compresses the large graph onto a model. The network generating function can also provide high-level and condensed description of complex systems, which integrates varying graph metrics such as degree and clustering coefficient. To efficiently infer the model parameters, we develop a variational EM inference framework for reconstructing the underlying network generating function encoded in complex networks. We investigate the ground-truth recovery and the robustness of the model inference against incomplete data and noisy observations. The provided mathematical tools can help to investigate network (topological) features by describing large-scale complex systems through functional approaches. Uncovering the generating rules enables us not only to generate synthetic graphs with different properties, but also reproduce the topological complexity and heterogeneity of real-world systems. The proposed WMGM framework is applied to several real-world networks – neuronal connections and chromosomal interactions. The recovered WMGMs demonstrate the potential of the WMGM framework to capture and reproduce the topology structures of real networks. The probabilistic description of the generating function also helps to explore the validity of links in a noisy graph and denoise the system. The reconstructed generator is also able to distinguish different functional regions in the brain and yeast growing states, as well as to detect the boundaries of TADs.

In this work, we assume the distribution of node category is the same across nodes and communities. Generalizing the topological scales as the authors show in can improve the ability of the proposed method to capture the heterogeneity embedded in the topological structure of real-world complex systems such as brain networks. For example, instead of using one rule \( P(\phi_{uv} = 1) = \phi_{uv} \) to generate the node attribute, we can introduce a heterogeneous distribution where the value of \( K \) is varied across nodes in different community. The category (community) of each node \( u \) is assigned by \( \phi_{uv} \sim \text{categorical}(\phi_{uv}) \). The heterogeneity is introduced as the random variable \( k_u \) (scale of node \( u \), which is a positive integer between 1 and \( K \)) under distribution \( P(k_u) \). The linking probability between nodes \( u \) and \( v \) given the community \( \phi_{uv} \) and scale \( k_u, k_v \) can be calculated as \( \sum_{\phi_{uv}} \phi^{(K)} \), where the summation over \( q, h \) satisfies \( \sum_{q} \phi^{(K)} = 1 \) and \( \sum_{h} \phi^{(K)} = 1 \).

In the future, we will also investigate the effect of various methods to sample the networks (as we have performed in the Drosophila connectome and social networks case studies) and develop strategies that will provide consistent WMGM generating model across various subgraphs with different sizes and properties. Moreover, future applications of the proposed WMGM framework includes inferring the WMGM models that correspond to partially observed neuronal activity and quantifying how the identified WMGM models evolve and self-optimize during the observed cognition activities. A crucial question in neuroscience is, how can we measure, identify and compare higher order topological characteristics of neuronal behaviors and activities under different (cognitive) circumstances. On the spike train level, it is difficult to compare the spiking behavior of different recording lengths and varying number of neurons in the neuronal systems during non-stationary brain activity. Different network sizes are hard to compare at the neuronal network level.

In the future, we will propose an approach to mine the neuronal activity that focuses on identifying the compressed WMGM models and quantifying their evolution and the distances among various WMGM models corresponding to cognition tasks. More precisely, we can first reconstruct the generating measure from observed neuronal networks exhibiting or performing different cognitive behaviors and quantify the changes in generators programming the neural behaviors through modifications in the model parameters \( \theta \). Subsequently, we can calculate the distance between two cognitive behaviors as a distance between the parameters between two WMGM models. Another important future work includes an implementation aimed at networks that are very sparse and the application of the WMGM to detect hierarchical community structures in complex systems such as cyber-physical systems. With the aid of the proposed WMGM, we are also looking into quantifying cognition given neuronal behaviors and neuron-glial (astrocyte) metabolic coupling and information processing under different cognitive tasks. In the future, when real-time brain activity monitoring is available, the WMGM can be extended to analyze time-varying complex network generators of label free real-time imaging of neuron-glial activity. This could represent a major step towards a comprehensive understanding of non-Markovian learning and decision making and other brain cognitive functions. With respect to the 4D Nucleome networks, future work will focus on constructing more robust strategies for identifying the TADs with applications to Hi-C analysis.

**Methods**

**Parameter estimation of WMGM.** In this section, we discuss how to recover the parameters for the WMGM via a variational approach. We first provide a probabilistic description of a weighted network within the WMGM framework. Let \( R \) denote the \( N \times N \) adjacency matrix of the weight category in the graph. Recall that \( \phi \) is the latent node attribute indicator. The probabilistic description of nodes and edges are given by \( p(\phi; i) = \prod_{v=1}^{N} \phi^{(K)}_{uv} \) and \( p(\phi; v) = \prod_{u=1}^{N} \phi^{(K)}_{uv} \). Here, we focus on undirected graphs without self-loops. Directed graphs and graphs containing self-loops can also be expressed by changing the summation condition over \( u, v \). In this work, we view \( M \) and \( K \) as hyperparameters; they are selected prior to the inference procedure.

Given an observed weighted network, we seek to estimate the underlying network generating function \( \theta \) by maximizing the likelihood function \( \mathcal{L}(\theta) \) on the left of the following:

\[
\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \log p(R; \theta) = \log \sum_{\theta} Q(\phi; \theta) \frac{p(R; \phi; \theta)}{Q(\phi; \theta)} = \log \mathbb{E}_{Q(\phi; \theta)} \left[ \frac{p(R; \phi; \theta)}{Q(\phi; \theta)} \right] + \sum_{\theta} \log p(R; \phi; \theta) \quad Q(\phi; \theta)
\]

However, the summation over \( \phi \) makes the log-likelihood \( \mathcal{L}(\theta) \) intractable. Therefore, instead of maximizing the log-likelihood, we alternatively aim to maximize the evidence lower bound \( \mathbb{E}_{Q(\phi; \theta)} \left[ \log p(R; \phi; \theta) \right] \) (the right-hand side above). In order to minimize the gap between the log-likelihood and its lower bound, which is the Kullback–Leibler divergence from \( p(R; \theta) \) to \( Q(\phi; \theta) \), we choose the distribution over \( \phi \) to be \( Q(\phi; \theta) = \prod_{u=1}^{N} \prod_{v=1}^{N} \tau_{uv}^{(K)} \), where the variational parameters \( \tau_{uv} \) measure the soft assignments of node \( u, v \) and \( \tau_{uv} \) is fixed at \( u = v = 1 \ldots N \). This is known as the mean-field approach in variational inference. Therefore, the lower bound of
$\mathcal{L}(\theta, R) \text{ can be computed as}$

$$
\mathcal{L}(\theta, R) = \sum_{q} Q(\theta) \log P(\theta | R) + \sum_{\hat{q}} Q(\hat{q}) \log P(\hat{q} | R; p) - \sum_{\theta} Q(\theta) \log Q(\theta)
\approx \sum_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} \tau_{q} \log (\mathcal{L}(\theta^{(k)})^{q}) + \sum_{\hat{q} \in \hat{\mathcal{Q}}} \tau_{\hat{q}} \log \left( P_{\hat{q}}(\tau_{\hat{q}}) \right) - \sum_{\theta} \tau_{\theta} \log (\tau_{\theta}).
$$

(3)

**Algorithm 1:** Reconstructing the WMGM through a variational EM algorithm

**Input:** $N$, $M$, $K$ and adjacency matrix $R$ in weight category output: $\theta^{(1)}$, $\hat{\theta}^{(1)}$

**Initialization:** $\theta^{(1)}$, $\hat{\theta}^{(1)}$, $r$

**Repeat** $E$-step: update $r$ by $\theta^{(1)}$ and $\hat{\theta}^{(1)}$

**Until** $\tau$ converges:

**M-step:** update $\theta^{(1)}$ and $\hat{\theta}^{(1)}$ by $r$

repeat

$x_{\tau} \leftarrow \sum_{q} \exp\left( \sum_{\tau_{i}} \tau_{i} \log (\mathcal{L}(\theta^{(k)})^{\tau_{i}}) \right)$

until $x_{\tau}$ converges:

$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{ij}^{(1)}} & = \frac{1}{P_{ij}^{(1)}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \sum_{\tau_{ij}} \left[ \tau_{ij} \log \left( \frac{P_{ij}^{(k)}}{P_{ij}^{(1)}} \right) \right] \\
& \times \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left\{ \theta(k) = i, h(k) = j \right\} \\
\theta_{ij}^{(1)} & = \theta_{ij}^{(1)} + \gamma \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{ij}^{(1)}}
\end{align*}
$

until $\theta^{(1)}$ converges:
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