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Single-cell chromatin accessibility landscape in kidney identifies additional cell-of-origin in heterogenous papillary renal cell carcinoma

Qi Wang1,8, Yang Zhang1,2,8, Bolei Zhang3, Yao Fu4, Xiaozhi Zhao5, Jing Zhang1, Ke Zuo2, Yuexian Xing2, Song Jiang2, Zhaohui Qin6, Erguang Li1, Hongqian Guo5✉, Zhihong Liu1,2✉ & Jingping Yang1,2,7✉

Papillary renal cell carcinoma (pRCC) is the most heterogenous renal cell carcinoma. Patient survival varies and no effective therapies for advanced pRCC exist. Histological and molecular characterization studies have highlighted the heterogeneity of pRCC tumours. Recent studies identified the proximal tubule (PT) cell as a cell-of-origin for pRCC. However, it remains elusive whether other pRCC subtypes have different cell-of-origin. Here, by obtaining genome-wide chromatin accessibility profiles of normal human kidney cells using single-cell transposase-accessible chromatin-sequencing and comparing the profiles with pRCC samples, we discover that besides PT cells, pRCC can also originate from kidney collecting duct principal cells. We show pRCCs with different cell-of-origin exhibit different molecular characteristics and clinical behaviors. Further, metabolic reprogramming appears to mediate the progression of pRCC to the advanced state. Here, our results suggest that determining cell-of-origin and monitoring origin-dependent metabolism could potentially be useful for early diagnosis and treatment of pRCC.
While pRCC patients with poor survival are known to display molecular features such as metabolic features, immunologic features, or CpG island methylator phenotype (CIMP)\(^1\sim^3\), it is unclear whether these features are the cause or outcome of tumor progression. From histological and molecular characterization studies, we know pRCC tumors are highly heterogenous and display various subtypes with different malignancies, making the disease extremely difficult to treat\(^4\sim^11\). Studies of other cancers have shown that tumor heterogeneity may emerge from different cell-of-origin—normal cells afflicted with the cancer-causing mutation that go on to determine the fate and pathology of a tumor cell. In breast cancers\(^9\) and glioblastoma\(^6,7\), the same genetic mutation in a different cell lineage can lead to different morphologic, phenotype and malignancy. Hematopoietic stem cells-derived leukemias showing higher methylation levels than granulocyte-macrophage progenitor cells-derived leukemias\(^8\) also suggest that the molecular features of heterogenous tumors could be underpinned by cell-of-origin\(^5,7,9,10\). There is also evidence showing that cell-of-origin can affect tumor response to treatments\(^8,11,12\). Cell-of-origin, whose features are retained in evolving tumor cells\(^13\), is therefore a powerful biomarker for early diagnosis. Screening cell-of-origin signatures in pRCC could potentially uncover unknown cells-of-origin that are linked to the various pRCC subtypes and their clinical behaviors. Understanding how the subtypes progress could help us develop more targeted treatments and reduce deaths\(^14\).

Stable and heritable epigenetic signatures such as transcription factors programs\(^15\), histone modifications\(^16\) and DNA methylation\(^17\) have been used effectively to trace the cell-of-origin and subtypes of morphological and molecular evolving tumor cells. In our study, we used another epigenetic signature, chromatin accessibility, to trace the cell-of-origin signatures in pRCC. Because chromatin accessibility reflects transcription factor binding, histone modifications and DNA methylation, it offers greater insights into the gene regulatory mechanisms of the cells. Additionally, the chromatin accessibility profiles of 34 human pRCC samples from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) have revealed the heterogeneity of pRCC\(^18\).

In this work, we use single-cell assay for transposase-accessible chromatin sequencing (scATAC-seq) to capture the cell type-specific chromatin accessibility landscape of normal human kidney at single-cell resolution. The alignment of the ATAC-seq profiles of human pRCC samples against the landscape resolves heterogenous cell-of-origin of pRCC. Besides proximal tubule (PT) cells, pRCC can also originate from collecting duct principal cells (CD_PC) in the distal tubes of the kidney. We find that pRCCs with different cell-of-origin have different molecular characteristics, undergo different carcinogenic transformations, and display different clinical behaviors. pRCC also show cell-of-origin-dependent risks of progression, which is characterized by metabolism reprogramming. pRCC that originated from CD_PC are enriched for advanced pRCC and activated interferon signaling, suggesting their potential response to checkpoint immunotherapy. Our study demonstrates that the chromatin accessibility landscape is a potential early diagnostic method for advanced pRCC, allowing cell-of-origin dependent molecular characteristics to direct the treatment of pRCC.

**Results**

**scATAC captures the epigenetic landscape of normal kidney.** We performed scATAC-seq on paracarcinoma kidney tissues from two patients undergoing nephrectomy to profile chromatin accessibility for cells in the kidney (Supplementary Fig. 1a, b). A total of 9460 high-quality nuclei were kept after stringent quality controls (Supplementary Fig. 1c, d), with a median read depth of 14,158 fragments per nuclei and median transcription start site (TSS) ratio (the percentage of fragments at TSS) of 0.15. After batch correction and unbiased clustering with Latent Semantic Analysis on term frequency-inverse document frequency (LSA-log (TF-IDF)), the nuclei formed 20 clusters (Supplementary Fig. 1e) with an even distribution in the samples (Supplementary Fig. 1f). Clusters with more than 50 cells were annotated by integrating the scATAC-seq with four human kidney single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) datasets\(^9\sim^22\) (Supplementary Fig. 2a). Clusters that could not be consistently annotated (e.g., cluster 9) were re-clustered and re-annotated (Supplementary Fig. 2b, c). After integrating the scRNA-seq and examining the marker genes, a total of 20 clusters were finally annotated and all the main cell types in the kidney were identified (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Data 1). The annotation revealed a PT-related renal progenitor-like (PTPL) subgroup with chromatin accessibility at VCAM1 and PROM1 loci (Supplementary Fig. 2d).

Further, the profiles display cell type-specific chromatin accessibility (Fig. 1b), notably, AQPI in PT cells, which were not detected in scRNA-seq (Fig. 1c, d). Immunofluorescence staining of the kidney samples confirmed that high levels of AQPI are expressed only in PT cells (Fig. 1e). These results demonstrate that scATAC-seq captured genome-wide transcriptional regulation with high sensitivity.

To investigate the cell type-specificity of epigenetic regulation, we characterized the chromatin accessible regions by comparing the scATAC-seq peaks of different cell types (Supplementary Fig. 3a). We detected 19,409 cell type-specific peaks and each cell type showed cell type-specific accessible regions (Fig. 1f and Supplementary Fig. 3b). A vast majority of these peaks were in non-coding regions (Supplementary Fig. 3c, d). Functional analysis of the specific peaks by GREAT\(^23\) revealed that each group of cell type-specific peaks corresponds to the functions of the cell type (Fig. 1g). For instance, PT-specific peaks reflect their role in catabolism of small molecules while CD_PC-specific peaks, though small in number, reflect their specific role in urinary excretion. The cell type-specific peaks also reveal key transcription factors in each cell type (Fig. 1h). HNF4A, as a key transcription factor in PT cells\(^24\), is highly accessible only in PT cells (Fig. 1i), and the genomic sites having the HNF4A motif only show activity in PT cells as well (Fig. 1j). These results indicate that the scATAC-seq profile sensitively captured cell type-specific epigenetic features in the kidney.

**Cell-of-origin of pRCC is heterogenous.** We used the established cell type-specific epigenetic features in kidney cells and the ATAC-seq of 34 human pRCC samples from TCGA identified by Corces et al.\(^18\) to investigate the cell-of-origin of pRCC. To ascertain if cell type-specific epigenetic features can be used to reveal the cell-of-origin of cancers, we compared the cell type-specific peaks from our normal kidney scATAC-seq profile with the cancer type-specific peaks from pan-cancer chromatin accessibility profiles for 410 tumor samples across 23 cancer types identified in Corces et al.\(^18\). Renal cell carcinoma including pRCC showed significant overlap with kidney PT cells (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Fig. 4). This enrichment, consistent with previous reports that have identified pRCC tumor cells as originating from PT cells\(^19,25\), confirmed that cell type-specific epigenetic features can be used to identify the cell-of-origin of cancers.

When the cell-of-origin for the 34 pRCC samples with ATAC-seq from TCGA\(^18\) were subsequently examined individually, two different chromatin accessibility patterns were seen (Fig. 2b). While most of the pRCC samples (30 out of 34) show positive correlation with chromatin accessibility in the PT groups (with
highest correlation for PTPL), a small group (4 out of 34) show negative correlation with chromatin accessibility in PT (Fig. 2b). With a second quantitative method as similarity score 19, we found the exact same four samples showed strong similarity to distal tubule cell type CD_PC (Fig. 2c). In order to resolve the uncertainty from scATAC-seq clustering method, we also carried out clustering analysis using an alternative method cisTopic26 (Supplementary Fig. 5), and we found all the findings obtained using the two different clustering algorithms are highly consistent (Supplementary Fig. 6). We termed the first group as pRCC with accessibility pattern 1 (pRCCa1) and the second group as pRCC with accessibility pattern 2 (pRCCa2).

In an inverse strategy, where we identified pRCCa1- and pRCCa2-specific peaks before examining their accessibility in kidney cell types (Supplementary Fig. 7), the pRCCa2-specific peaks are indeed more accessible in distal tubule cell types.
especially CD_PC (Fig. 2d). For example, pRCCa2 do not show chromatin accessibility at the PT marker AQP1 locus, but are accessible at the CD_PC marker GATA3 locus and at GRHL2 locus which encodes a protein required for the function of collecting duct27 (Fig. 2e and Supplementary Fig. 8a). Additionally, the pRCCa1/2-specific peaks are enriched with motifs for their respective cell-of-origin’s key transcription factors (Supplementary Fig. 7). To verify this previously unknown cell-of-origin for pRCC, we recruited an independent pRCC cohort of 50 patients from Drum Tower hospital (Supplementary Fig. 1b) and performed immunofluorescence staining with the PT marker AQP1, the CD_PC marker GATA3 or GRHL2, on tumor samples from these patients. Although most patients were AQP1+ GATA3− or AQP1+ GRHL2−, which indicates the tumors originated from PT cells (Fig. 2f and Supplementary Fig. 8b), 2 out of the 50 patients were AQP1+ GATA3+ or AQP1+ GRHL2+, suggesting a CD_PC origin (Fig. 2g and Supplementary Fig. 8c). Tumor cells with GATA3 or GRHL2 positive nuclei also contain the pRCC marker cytokeratin 7 (CK7) in the cytoplasm, without any traces of AQP1 (Fig. 2g and Supplementary Fig. 8c).
Together, these results demonstrate that the cell-of-origin of pRCC is heterogeneous and, in addition to the classical PT origin, we now identify distal tubule cells as an alternative cell-of-origin for pRCC.

Cell-of-origin determines pRCC subtypes and their character. Within TCGA are 255 additional pRCC samples without ATAC-seq. To examine the cell-of-origin for these pRCC samples, we transformed the different chromatin accessibility patterns into cell-of-origin feature genes (Fig. 3a). To do this, we optimized Cicero28 to construct a regulatory network for each kidney cell type. We built the network based on genebody because its accessibility correlated better with transcriptional regulation than TSS accessibility29 (Supplementary Fig. 9a). The optimized networks significantly improve regulatory prediction (Supplementary Fig. 9b) and show cell type-specificity (Supplementary Fig. 9c–f). We used our established regulatory networks for kidney cell types or a previously defined pRCC regulatory network18 to extract the regulatory feature genes (Fig. 3a). Integrating pRCCA1 or pRCCA2 feature genes with their cell-of-origin feature genes, we obtained pRCC origin-derived features (Fig. 3a and Supplementary Fig. 10a). The features show both pRCCA1- or pRCCA2-specific and cell-type specific gene activity (Fig. 3b) as well as specific expression (Supplementary Fig. 10b, c).

Clustering the 255 TCGA pRCC samples based on expression of origin-derived features also revealed two groups, pRCCA1_Like (214 samples) and pRCCA2_Like (41 samples) (Fig. 3c). Most of those in the pRCCA2_Like group were pathological Type 2 pRCC (left panel in Fig. 3d) and 8 out of 41 displayed CIMP features that are present in advanced pRCC patients with worst survival (right panel in Fig. 3d). Interestingly, all CIMP samples in the pRCC cohort were unexpectedly characterized as pRCCA2 or pRCCA2 AT marker, AQPI (top) or at CD_DC marker, GRHL2 (bottom) loci. f-g Immunofluorescence staining of tumor tissues with AQPI (red), GRHL2 (red), pRCC marker cytokeratin 7 (CK7, green) and DAPI (blue). Colocalization of AQPI and CK7 and absence of GRHL2 confirmed CD_DC-originated pRCC (g). Data are from one experiment representative of 48 independent experiments samples examined. Localization of GRHL2 in the cell nuclei with CK7 and absence of AQPI confirmed CD_DC-originated pRCC (g).

Clinical performance of pRCC depends on cell-of-origin. To determine whether cell-of-origin is related to clinical performance of pRCC, we performed a disease association analysis using DisGeNET31 and found that pRCCA2 origin-derived features are specifically related to tumor progression (Fig. 4a). Examining the clinical profiles confirmed that pRCCA2 or pRCCA2_Like displayed a higher distribution of late-stage tumor, higher metastasis to regional lymph nodes and worse survival than pRCCA1 or pRCCA1_Like (Fig. 4b, c and Supplementary Fig. 13a, b). When the effect of each origin-derived feature on clinical performance was examined, we found the panel of pRCCA2 origin-derived features predicted unfavorable prognosis, while pRCCA1 origin-derived features predicted favorable prognosis (Fig. 4d and Supplementary Fig. 13c–f). The prediction powers of pRCCA2 origin-derived features are even higher than other existing indicators (Supplementary Fig. 13g). Notably, these predictions are renal cell carcinoma-specific, especially for pRCC (Fig. 4e). In an alternative strategy, we extracted the top gene features for CIMP, the more lethal variants of pRCC, with logistic regression. We found these CIMP-associated gene features were highly expressed in CD_DC but not PT (Supplementary Fig. 14). Thus, the cell-of-origin of pRCC is related to their clinical performance.

The predicted poorer prognosis of pRCCA2_Like was in line with the finding that all CIMP were characterized as pRCCA2_Like (Fig. 3d). When we inspected the trajectory of pRCC, we found pRCCA2_Like_CIMP tended to locate at the end of the pRCCA2_Like branch while pRCCA2_Like_notCIMP were found between CIMP and normal samples (Fig. 4f). Survival analysis revealed that pRCCA2_Like_notCIMP performed better than pRCCA2_Like_CIMP but remained worse than pRCCA1_Like (Supplementary Fig. 15a). The progression from the normal state to the terminal of this branch was accompanied by a gradual increase in death and tumor metastasis to regional lymph nodes (Supplementary Fig. 15b). These results suggest that CIMP is likely an advanced state in the pRCCA2_Like subgroup. To understand the factors driving pRCC progression in samples with the same cell-of-origin, we compared pRCCA2_Like_notCIMP with pRCCA2_Like_CIMP and found that metabolic pathways were disrupted. The oxidative phosphorylation pathway was mostly disrupted between the two states (Supplementary Fig. 16a). The most up-regulated gene was lactate dehydrogenase A.
(LDHA), which encodes an enzyme relevant to metabolism that converts glucose into energy, while the most down-regulated gene was fumarate hydratase (FH), which encodes an enzyme in the Krebs cycle that allows cells to use oxygen to generate energy (Fig. 4g). Survival analysis further showed that high expression of LDHA predicted the unfavorable prognosis for pRCCa2_Like (Fig. 4h). However, the association between LDHA and poor prognosis only existed in pRCCa2_Like, but not in pRCCa1_Like (Supplementary Fig. 16b). We then tried to develop a model to predict CIMP. With origin-derived features and LDHA, we trained a Random Forest model on the 34 pRCC samples where we identified the origin-derived features, and tested it on the remaining 255 pRCC samples. The model performed well on the identification of CIMP with AUROC at 0.98 (Supplementary Fig. 17). These results demonstrate that cell-of-origin dictates the prognosis of the clinical behaviors of pRCC. In the case of pRCCa2, metabolic reprogramming appears to mediate its progression to the advanced state as CIMP and should therefore be closely monitored as a preventive measure (Fig. 4i).

**Discussion**

Previous study indicated that some of the pRCC samples are not similar to the proximal nephron with transcriptional reference from micro-dissected nephrons, but the limitation in the data resolution made resolving their cell-of-origin at cell type level almost impossible. Using scATAC-seq technology, we constructed the epigenetic landscape of normal kidney cell types at single-cell resolution and used the landscape to resolve the cell-of-origin of pRCC. We discovered that the cell-of-origin of pRCC is heterogenous in that, besides the classical PT cells, we identified CD_PC in the distal tubule of the kidney as an alternative origin.

**Fig. 3 pRCC with different cell-of-origin show different characteristics.**

a Strategy used to obtain origin-derived feature genes from chromatin accessibility. Optimized Cicero was used to construct a regulatory network from chromatin accessibility profiles. Regulatory networks are used to extract feature genes. Overlapping feature genes in pRCC subgroups and their respective cell-of-origin produce the origin-derived features. b Normalized gene activities of origin-derived features in pRCCa1, pRCCa2, PT and CD_PC. Genes activities were calculated based on the regulatory networks. Box plots show distribution of gene activities of origin-derived features. Each dot represents an individual feature gene. Feature genes show the pRCC subgroup and kidney cell type-specific activities. Box plots depict the median, quartiles and range. n = 25 genes for pRCCa1 origin-derived features and n = 7 genes for pRCCa2 origin-derived features. p = 3.97e-09 between pRCCa1 and pRCCa2 and p = 6.24e-16 between PT and CD_PC on differential activity of pRCCa1 origin-derived features, p = 0.009 between pRCCa1 and pRCCa2 and p = 0.0007 between PT and CD_PC on differential activity of pRCCa2 origin-derived features. Two-sided paired t tests were used. ** indicates p < 0.01. e Heatmap of gene expression of origin-derived features for 255 TCGA pRCC samples show two expression patterns, pRCCa1_Like and pRCCa2_Like. Colors represent Z-scores of RNA-seq gene expression across the samples. Each row represents a feature gene and each column represents a pRCC sample. d Percentage of pathological Type 1/Type 2 (left) or CIMP (right) in pRCCa1_Like or pRCCa2_Like samples. e Trajectory of the 255 TCGA pRCC samples and 32 normal kidney samples show pRCC diverged into distinct branches. Samples are colored according to their identities. f Top 5 Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) hallmark terms enriched in pRCCa1 or pRCCa2 compared with their respective cell-of-origin based on gene activity. g Expression of representative genes in 255 TCGA pRCC samples in the same order as e. AQP1: feature gene for pRCCa1 with PT origins; WNT5A: representative gene in Notch pathway; GATA3: feature gene for pRCCa2 with CD_PC origins; IL6: representative gene in Interferon-gamma pathway. Colors represent Z-scores of RNA-seq gene expression across the samples. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.
Unlike pRCC with PT origins where NOTCH and mTOR signaling pathways dominate, pRCC originating from CD_PC was enriched for inflammation and immune-related pathways. Our results further show that pRCC with CD_PC origins have a higher risk of progressing to advanced pRCC than those with PT origins, and this progression appears to be driven by metabolic reprogramming. Previous studies have identified metabolic and immunologic features for CIMP, the more lethal pRCC variants. However, these features are dynamic during tumor progression. For example, ccRCC aggressiveness is linked to increases in metabolites involved in glutathione and cysteine/methionine metabolism pathways. Although these features can inform the more lethal state of pRCC, they are unable to serve as prognostic biomarkers for early detection of the potential
aggressive pRCC. In contrast, cell-of-origin is stable and retained in the evolving tumor cells, and is therefore a much more reliable prognostic biomarker for early diagnosis.

Our study has several implications. Using ATAC-seq technology to identify the cell-of-origin of pRCC at the outset enables us to better diagnose the risk of progression and plan treatments. Further, monitoring metabolic changes could be an efficient way to track the progression of pRCC. Capturing the etiology of the disease early on can substantially improve treatment and patient survival. For advanced pRCC where no standard-of-care systemic therapy exists, identifying the cell-of-origin of pRCC provides insights on the extent of the heterogeneity of the disease, which could be used to develop better targeted treatments. For instance, insights from our study suggest that metabolism-directed therapy could potentially be effective for preventing the progression of pRCC to the advanced stage while NOTCH inhibitors are better for pRCC with PT origins.

Importantly, our results also explain why current treatments for pRCC such as VEGF- and mTOR-directed therapies and addition of interferon-α show only marginal clinical benefits. As shown in our study, most advanced pRCC originate from CD_PC that display signatures related to immune response. Instead of NOTCH and mTOR signaling that are related to aberrant cell proliferation, advanced pRCC shows a pre-existing adaptive immunity. This suggests that using immune checkpoint inhibitors are likely to offer better clinical outcomes for advanced pRCC. Indeed, recent clinical trials demonstrated that the PD-L1 inhibitor Atezolizumab improved the objective response rate in a group of advanced renal carcinoma with immune signatures. Thus, identifying cell-of-origin can predict differential clinical outcomes that will require different therapies for the different stages of pRCC.

Methods

Tissue procurement. This study was approved by Jinling Hospital and Drum Tower Hospital Affiliated with the Medical School of Nanjing University under an established Institutional Review Board protocol. We have complied with all relevant ethical regulations with human participants. Informed consent for the human study was obtained by all participants. Paracancerous kidney tissues and tumor tissues were obtained from patients diagnosed as pRCC and undergoing nephrectomy for renal tumor.

Nuclei isolation and scATAC-seq library preparation. Kidney tissues were cut into 1 mm³ segments and underwent cell lysis using a Dounce homogenizer (885932-0002; Kimble Chase) in 1 ml chilled Lysis Buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 10 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, 0.1% Tween-20, 0.1% Nonidet P40 Substitute, 0.01% digitonin and 1% BSA) on ice. 9 ml chilled Wash Buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 10 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, 0.1% Tween-20 and 1% BSA) was then added and the resulting solution was filtered through a 30-µm cell strainer (130-098-458; Milleniy Biotech). Nuclei were collected by centrifuging (500 g for 5 min at 4°C) and resuspended in chilled Diluted Nuclear Buffer (10x Genomics; 2000207) at ~3000–4000 nuclei per µl. The nuclei concentration was determined using a Countess II FL Automated Cell Counter.

scATAC-seq libraries were prepared according to manufacturer protocol of Chromium Single Cell ATAC Library Kit (10x Genomics, PN-1000087). Briefly, the required number of nuclei were combined with ATAC Buffer B and ATAC Enzyme to form a Transposition Mix which was incubated at 37°C for 60 min. Then the premix containing Barcoding Reagent B, Reducing Agent B and Barcoding Enzyme, the transposed nuclei, the Single Cell ATAC Gel Beads and partitioning Oil was loaded onto a Chromium Chip E. Resulting single-cell GEMs were collected and linear amplified and sequenced on a C1000 Touch Thermal cycler at 72°C for 5 min, 98°C for 30 s, cycled 12×: 98°C for 10 s, 59°C for 30 s and 72°C for 1 min. Emulsions were then coalesced using the Recovery Agent and cleaned up using Dynabeads. Indexed sequencing libraries were then constructed, purified and sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq instrument at Anoerroad Gene Technology Company (Beijing, China).

Raw data processing and quality control of scATAC-seq data. Barcode sequences consisting of 16 bp were obtained from the I2 index reads. For each read pair, we appended the barcode sequence to the read name. We checked the barcode against the barcode whitelist, and only kept the read pairs whose barcode perfectly matched any barcodes in the whitelist. We next trimmed the read pairs passing barcode checking for adapter sequences using trimmomatic (0.32) with options TRAILING:3 SLIDINGWINDOW:4:10 MINLEN:25. The trimmed read pairs were then converted to hg38 reference genome using Bowtie2 (2.3.0) with options “-x 2000 -31”, and aligned fragments with a mapping quality less than 30 or that did not map uniquely to autosomes or sex chromosomes were filtered out using Samtools (1.3.1). We subsequently removed PCR duplicates (fragments with identical start and end positions) on a cell-by-cell basis.

We identified barcodes representing genuine cells based on three criteria: (1) number of total fragments count, (2) the ratio of fragments in TSS region; (3) periodicity in the frequency of insert sizes. TSS region was defined as /−1000 bp from TSS (RefSeq of hg38). Periodicity was measured as Cusanovich et al.41. Briefly, a periodogram was calculated using a fast Fourier transform of insert sizes for each barcode using the “spec.pgram” in R (3.5.1) with parameters spec = 0.3, tap = 0.3, span = 20°, and the spectral densities for frequencies between 100 and 300 bp were summed as banding score for the measure of periodicity. The log10(numbers of total fragments), the ratios of fragments in TSS region, and log10(banding scores) showed bimodal distribution. We used both the mclust package in R and view by plot to determine the cutoff of the criteria, and selected the more stringent one as thresholds. The barcodes retained as cells were those with log10 (number of total fragments) > 3.4, the ratio of fragments in TSS region > 0.15 and log10 (banding score) > −1.75.

Clustering analysis for scATAC-seq. The clustering analysis were conducted using LSA-log (TF-IDF) in SnapATAC35 (1.0.0) or cisTopic26. For SnapATAC, we split the genome into 5 kb windows and removed windows overlapping ENCODE blacklisted regions (http://mitra.stanford.edu/kundaje/akundaje/release/blacklists). We counted fragments for each window in each cell, converted non-zero value to zero, and generated a large, sparse, binary window-by-cell matrix. Based on this matrix, we filtered out the top 5% of windows with abnormally high coverage and windows
with no coverage. We then used Log TF-IDF transformation to reduce the dimensionality of this large binary matrix, and used harmony to remove batch effect. We used the 2nd and 4th dimensions to construct the k-nearest neighbor (KNN) Graph with the option ‘k = 15’, which was subsequently used for Louvain clustering with a default resolution of 1 and for Rtsne visualization. Re-clustering was performed in the same way as above. All clusters with more than 50 cells were retained for downstream analysis. For scTopic, the filtered binary window-track matrix created above was used to perform Latent Dirichlet Allocation, and the resulted topics-by-cells probability matrix was used for downstream analyses with default parameters.

Identifying peaks for scATAC-seq. To identify peaks for each cell cluster, we aggregated unique aligned fragments associated with cells in a given cluster. We then used MACS2 (2.1.1) to call peaks on the aggregated profiles with parameters ‘–nomodel –keep-dup all –extsize 200 –shift 100 –nolambdqa –0.001’. Peaks overlapping EnCODE blacklisted regions were removed to generate clean peaks for each cluster.

A master peak list was generated by taking all clean peaks identified in any cluster and merging them with bedtools (2.25.0). High quality peaks were selected as peaks from master peak list with accessibility higher than 80% in any cell cluster in the k-nearest neighbor (KNN) Graph.

To identify high variable peaks and cell type-specific peaks, we generated a peak-by-cell-type proportion matrix. We calculated the proportion of cells of each cell type that were accessible at each high quality peak. High variable peaks were identified based on the peak-by-cell-type proportion matrix using FindVariableFeatures in Seurat with parameters ‘selection.method = “vst”, nfeatures = 50000’.

Cell type-specific peaks were identified using the R script developed by Cusanovich et al. Briefly, we truncated peak-by-cell-type proportion matrix for the 50,000 high variable peaks. We then multiplied the matrix for each cell type with a working model factor (the median number for each known cell type, to arrive at cell type normalized proportion matrix. The normalized proportion matrix was used to calculate Jensen-Shannon-based specificity scores. We then squared these scores and multiplied them again by the normalized proportion matrix to produce the cell type-specific peaks. K-means clustering of cell type-specific peaks were performed with Cluster30 and visualized with Treeview. Genomic annotations for cell type-specific peaks were performed with ChiPseeker, and gene ontology enrichment analysis of cell type-specific peaks were performed with GREAT.

Normalizing bigwigs and sequencing tracks. To visualize scATAC-seq cluster data genome-wide, we created ATAC-seq signal tracks that were normalized by the number of fragments in 50,000 peaks. We then converted the bedGraph file for each cluster into bigwig file using “bedGraphToBigWig” tool. We then counted the number of segments in master peaks for each cluster from the bam file using “bamfile.itech” in Python (2.7.10) and used the number as scale factor for each cluster. The bigwig files were viewed in IGV. All track figures in this paper show groups of tracks with matched scale factors.

Cell type annotation for scATAC-seq clusters. To annotate cell type identity for each cell cluster, we first did scATAC-seq peak calling using Seurat. Four scRNA-seq datasets of human kidney were obtained from GSE140989 and GSE121862, GSE131882, or downloaded from the supplementary files of Young et al. For the scRNA-seq datasets without author-provided annotation as GSE140989 and GSE131882, we re-analyzed the dataset by clustering using scATAC-seq pipeline in Seurat (3.0.0) and annotating with markers reported in respective manuscript. With the scRNA-seq annotation results, we followed the working flow of ‘Integrating scRNA-seq and scATAC-seq data in Seurat to annotate scATAC-seq clusters. Briefly, we constructed a peak-by-cell count matrix for master peaks and collapsed the peak-by-cell count matrix using “CreateGeneActivityMatrix” to a gene body accessibility-by-cell matrix which was subsequently divided by the number of fragments for each known cell type. The resulting matrix was then used to assign cell type identity for each scATAC-seq cluster. The cell type assignments were accepted if they were consistent in at least 2 out of the 4 scRNA-seq datasets.

For clusters without successful assignment through above integration strategy, we then manually annotated them based on commonly used marker genes for kidney cell types.

Motif analysis. To identify key regulators of chromatin accessibility, we performed motif enrichment analysis using HOMER (4.1.1) on cell type-specific peaks with parameters ‘–nomodel –nomasks –nomatchall –nomatchall2 –size 100‘. For each cell type, the de novo motif was calculated as log10(p value) multiplying 1000 and then corrected by dividing the sum of the calculated values (for p value = 0, the calculated value was set to 200). Motifs with –log10(p adjust) > 10 in at least one cell type were used for clustering and visualization.

In addition to HOMER, we measured global TF activity using chromVAR (1.4.1), based on peak-by-cell count matrix. We corrected GC bias based on BSgenome.Hsapiens.UCSChg38 using “addGCBias”. The filtered collection of human motifs from CIS-DB database was used and the deviation z-scores for each TF motif in each cell were calculated using “computeDeviations” with default parameters.

Comparing normal kidney scATAC-seq with cancer ATAC-seq.

Comparison by peak overlapping. The comparison of chromatin accessibility between normal kidney cell type and pan-cancer cell types was performed based on overlapping of peaks. The peaks for each normal kidney cell type were the cell type-specific peaks defined above. The peaks for each cancer type were downloaded from the gdc.cancer.gov/about-data/publications/ATACseq-AWG18. We overlapped peaks from each cancer type with peaks from each normal kidney cell type using “findOverlaps” in GenomicRanges. We then calculated the percentage of peaks from each cancer type that overlapped with peaks from each normal kidney cell type. The significance of overlapping was calculated with a permutation test.

For each overlap between a cell type and a cancer type, we randomly select the same number of peaks for the cell type from the pooled cell type-specific peaks, count the number of the cancer type-specific peaks that overlap with the randomly select cell type peaks, and calculate the percentage. We repeat these steps 1000 times, and then take the average percentage of the 1000 overlapping percentage. The p value is calculated using binomial test with the “binom.test” function in R. All the p-values are then adjusted using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure with the “p.adjust” function in R.

Comparison by correlation. The comparison of chromatin accessibility between normal kidney cell types and 34 pRCC samples was performed based on correlation of accessibility matrix. Normalized peak-by-sample matrix for 34 pRCC samples was downloaded from the gdc.cancer.gov/about-data/publications/ATACseq-AWG18. As each sample had two replicates, we took the average of the two replicates to represent each sample and constructed a 562,709 × 34 matrix for pRCC. In order to be comparable with the 34 pRCC samples, we constructed a normalized peak-by-cell-matrix for scATAC-seq the same way as described in Corces et al. We used the 562,709 peaks from pan-cancer ATAC-seq which covered 84% of scATAC-seq master peaks to generate the matrix. We adjusted the start and end of the fragments (‘+’ stranded ‘-4 bp’, ‘-’ stranded ‘-5 bp’) using “scbam” in Ras-tools, obtained the number of fragments per peak for each cluster using “countOverlaps”, and computed a 562,709 × 20 matrix. The matrix was then normalized using “cpm” in edgeR (3.2.4.3) with parameters ‘log = TRUE, prior.count = 5’ followed by a quantile normalization using “normalize.quantiles” in “preprocessCore”.

Based on these two normalized matrices, we next calculated the correlation of accessibility between normal kidney cell types and pRCC individual samples. Briefly, we used pRCC matrix to identify high variable peaks for pRCC ATAC-seq using “FindVariableFeatures” in Seurat with parameters “selection.method = “vst”, nfeatures = 50000”. The high variable peaks for normal kidney scATAC-seq were defined above. The overlapping variable peaks were used to reduce the two high normalized matrices to 15,390 × 35 and 15,390 × 20 dimensions. Pearson correlation coefficient was calculated for pairs of normal kidney cell type and each pRCC sample using “cor” in R and the average correlation coefficient from cell subtypes was taken for each cell type group.

Comparison by similarity score. In order to predict the similarity scores for bulk pRCC ATAC-seq from the normal kidney scATAC-seq data, we generated pseudo-bulk reference panel from kidney scATAC-seq data. We randomly sample 50 cells 20 times from each cell type to generate the pseudo-bulk reference panel. The full 562,709 × 220 matrix of pseudo-bulk reference for the 11 cell type groups was converted from the panpeak-by-cell matrix by sum up the counts from the 50 cells. The pseudo-bulk reference matrix then normalized using “cpm” in edgeR (3.2.4.3) with parameters ‘log = TRUE, prior.count = 5’ followed by a quantile normalization using “normalize.quantiles” in “preprocessCore”. Cell type-specific peaks from normal kidney scATAC-seq and high-quality peaks from pRCC ATAC-seq (top 80,000 ranked) were used to reduce the pseudo-bulk reference panel and panpeak-by-sample pRCC matrix to 15,081 × 220 and 15,081 × 34 dimensions, respectively.

We then trained binomial logistic regression models on the cellular identities in the pseudo-bulk reference, and used these models to predict similarity scores for each pRCC sample. This approach was implemented using the R package glmmnet (1.1.4). In the training process, we used an offset for each model as log((f(1–f)) (f is the fraction of cells in the cell type being trained), performed tenfold cross validation and selected the largest regularization coefficient, lambda. In the predicting process, an offset of 0 was used.

Differential peaks between pRCC subgroups. The differential peaks between pRCC subgroups (pRCC1and pRCC2) were identified by edgeR (3.2.4.3) as abs(logFC) > 2.5, FDR < 0.05, and logCPM > 1. The accessibility of these peaks in
normal kidney cell types were then compiled from above normalized panpeak-by-cell matrix.

Optimization of Cicero and computing gene activity. We optimized Cicero (1.0.15) by using "genebody accessibility" instead of "TSS accessibility" to calculated co-accessibility scores. Briefly, we computed "genebody accessibility" for each protein_coding gene (ensemble hg38) in each cell by summarizing the overall chromatin accessibility of all peaks within a given gene based on the binary peak-by-cell count matrix. The 'genebody accessibility' matrix were assigned with rownames as −200/+100 bp coordination of TSS, and was appended to the original binary peak-by-cell count matrix. We then used "ran_cicero" function in Cicero on this matrix for each cell type to establish co-accessible connections using parameters "genebody accessibility", k = 30, window size = 500 kb, distance constraint = 250 kb. Cutoff as 0.1 were used to establish the regulatory networks.

Gene activities were calculated using "build_gene_activity" matrix in Cicero. For normal kidney cells, the above regulatory networks were used. For pRCC samples, the cancer regulatory networks (https://api.gdc.cancer.gov/data/dbf21e32-c335-4d86-b42b-0f5d1d408f61) were used.

Origin-derived features. We identified feature genes for normal kidney cell types and pRCC subgroups based on gene activity matrices compiled above. Briefly, for normal kidney cells, we filtered out genes that were accessible in less than ten cells, and extracted cell type feature genes with "pct.1 > 0.5, avg.logFC > 0.5" using "FindAllMarkers" in Seurat. For pRCC subgroups, we extracted feature genes with "abs(logFC) > 0.5, logCPM > 5" using edgedR. We then used overlapping of PT feature genes and pRCCA1 feature genes as pRCCA1 origin-derived features, and overlapping of CD_PC_feature genes and pRCCA2 feature genes as pRCCA2 origin-derived features. DsGeNET enrichment analysis of origin-derived features was performed using Enrichr.26

Clustering of pRCC samples. Clustering of pRCC was performed using Cluster3, based on correlation with PT cell types for 34 TCGA pRCC samples with ATAC-seq, or based on RNA-seq gene expression of origin-derived features for 255 pRCC samples without ATAC-seq. RNA-seq gene expression (FPKM) of pRCC were downloaded from UCSC XENAB. Clinical information of pRCC was downloaded from Ricketts et al.27

Trajectory analysis of 255 pRCC samples. Trajectory analysis of 255 TCGA pRCC samples along with normal kidney samples was performed using Monocle 2 (2.10.1) pseudotime ordering algorithm. Briefly, we filter out genes with average expression < 0.1 or deviation of expression > 10 in the gene expression matrix consisting of 214 pRCCa1_Like samples, 8 pRCCa2_Like, 33 pRCCA2_Like_NotCIMP samples and 32 normal kidney samples. The filtered matrix subsequently underwent t-SNE dimensionality reduction. Differential expression test was performed for each gene, including the sample labels as indicator variables to find differential expression genes across samples. The differential expression genes with p-value < 0.00003 were chosen as ordering genes for trajectory inference. We then used Monocle 2’s DDTREE dimensionality reduction algorithm to align samples to a branched trajectory.

Top gene features associated with CIMP. The top gene features associated with CIMP were identified by feature selection function using L1-regularized logistic regression based on coefficient value. The expression profiles with all genes of the 288 (33 + 255) TCGA pRCC samples (one of the 34 samples does not have expression data) were used. The genes were normalized across the samples using z-score for each gene feature. In the training process, L1-regularization was used for sparse coefficients and the inverse regularization strength value was set as C = 1.0.

Model for predicting CIMP. Random Forest model was trained with expression of the origin-derived features and LDHA. The model was trained on the 33 classified pRCC samples (one of the 34 samples does not have expression data) using scikit-learn (0.24.2) package. The trained model was then used to predict CIMP from the other 255 pRCC samples. In the Random Forest model, we set the maximum depth as 2 to avoid overfitting.

Gene set enrichment analysis. Gene set enrichment analysis was performed on gene activity matrix of pRCC subgroups and their respective cell-of-origin cell types using GSEA (4.1.0) against hallmark gene sets.

Differential expression between CIMP and NotCIMP in pRCCA2_Like. We filtered out genes with average expression < 1 or deviation of expression > 10 in expression matrix. The differential expression genes between CIMP and NotCIMP in pRCCA2_Like were identified as "abs(logFC) > 1, FDR < 0.05, logCPM > 5" using edgedR. Gene ontology enrichment was performed using Metascape or KEGG pathways analysis. The rank matrix scores of genes in oxidative phosphorylation pathway were calculated by GSEA.

Immunofluorescence staining. Tissues from patients were formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded, and processed for sectioning. For immunofluorescence staining, sections were deparaffinized, rehydrated and underwent antigen retrieval. Sections were then blocked with 10% bovine serum in PBS for 10 min and incubated with primary antibodies for 4 h at RT. After wash, sections were incubated with secondary antibodies for 1 h at RT and mounted with Fluoroshielding Mounting Medium with DAPI (ab104139, Abcam). Images were obtained with fluorescence microscopy (DM5000B, Leica). The primary antibodies included mouse anti-AQP1 (ab9566, Abcam, 1:100 diluted), rabbit anti-GATA3 (ZA-0673, ZSGB-BIO), rabbit anti-GRHL2 (HPA004820, Sigma-Aldrich,1:50 diluted), rabbit anti-CK7 (ZA-0573, ZSGB-BIO) and mouse anti-CK7 (ZM-0071, ZSGB-BIO). The secondary antibodies included Alexa Fluor® 647 goat anti-rabbit IgG (H&L) (ab150079, Abcam), Alexa Fluor® 594 goat anti-rabbit IgG (B40944, Invitrogen), Swine Anti-Rabbit IgG (F020502-2, Dako), Rabbit Anti-Mouse IgG (F026102-2, Dako) and Alexa Fluor® 488 Donkey Anti-Mouse IgG (H&L) (ab150105, Abcam).

Statistics. Differential analysis of gene activities for feature genes between pRCC subtypes and their cell-of-origin was assessed using paired t test (for pRCCA1 origin genes: df = 24, t = 8.9647 between pRCC subtypes and t = 18.927 between origin cell types; for pRCCA2 origin genes: df = 6, t = −3.7814 between pRCC subtypes and t = −6.3796 between origin cell types).

The probability of recovering all CIMP in pRCCA2_Like (41 samples) was tested by bootstrap. We randomly selected 41 samples in 255 pRCC samples and counted the number of CIMP. The processes were repeated 10000 times to generate a distribution of the number of recovered CIMP. We then calculated the probability of recovering all eight CIMP using “pnorm” with parameter “lower.tail = FALSE” based on this distribution. All other statistical analyses were described in respective sections.

Reporting summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

The scRNA-seq publicly available data used in this study are available in the GEO database under accession code GSE140989, GSE121562, GSE131825 or downloaded from the supplementary files of Young et al.27 The publicly available ATAC-seq data for 34 pRCC samples and the cancer regulatory networks were downloaded from https://gdc.cancer.gov/about-data/publications/ATAC-seq-AWG. The publicly available gene expression (FPKM) of pRCC was downloaded from https://xenabrowser.net/datasets/cohort?&GDC%20TCC%20Kidney%20Papillary%20Cell% 20Carcinoma%20(KIRC)%20(previews+Cell) and KIRC gene expression data are also available at Genome Sequence Archive for Human (GSA Human) under accession number HRA001419. The remaining data supporting the findings of this study are available within the Article, Supplementary Information or Source Data file. Source data are provided with this paper.

Code availability

Customized code6 used in this study is available at GitHub (https://github.com/labYangNJU/scATAC-seq) and Zenodo and the corresponding DOI is as follows: (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5537024).
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