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Abstract

Background: Pelvic organ prolapse is one of the major gynecologic problems which affect the quality of life of many women worldwide, especially of old ages. Though there are different ways of managing apical pelvic organ prolapse, vaginal way is the main stay of surgical treatment in most of the cases. Vaginal hysterectomy is one of the most frequently performed surgical procedures worldwide. Step by step surgical procedure has been applied in JUMC by subspecialty fellows starting from 2016. However, there is no study conducted on prevalence, management outcome and description of cases with respective procedure performed. Hence, the objective of this study is to determine prevalence, surgical outcome, and describe step by step surgical procedure of vaginal hysterectomy among patients who were admitted and treated at gynecology ward, Jimma University Medical center.

Method: A cross-sectional descriptive study design was used on all patients who have undergone vaginal hysterectomy at JUMC gynecology ward from Nov 01, 2016 to May 30, 2018 retrospectively. The data collection period was from July 01-15, 2018. Data was collected using pre-tested structured questionnaire to capture all necessary information from patients’ chart. Trained residents abstracted the data from the chart. The collected data was entered into EpiData version 3.1 and was exported to SPSS versions 21 for cleaning and analysis. Finally the finding was presented using tables, narratives and descriptive numerical summary. Mean, median and standard deviations were also used to describe continuous variables. All ethical issues were considered including ethical approval from IRB of Institute of health, Jimma University.

Result: Out of all patients treated with vaginal hysterectomy, 43 (52.4%) were above 49 years. The mean age was 48.37 years (SD 11) with range of 25 to 70 years. Out of all patients (92) who presented with POP, 82 of them treated with vaginal hysterectomy accounts 89.13% prevalence of VAGH treatment for POP. More than half 47 (57.3%) were sexually active, and 44 (53.7%) of them lived with POP for more than 120 months. More than two-third 56 (68.3%) were presented at the final stage of POP with stage four but less than half presented with stage four for apical POP. Nearly all 79 (96.3%) of them the procedure took two to three hours in which the approach is vaginally for all. For 54 (65.9%), 72 (87.8%), 71 (86.6%), 70 (85.4%) patients anterior repair with colpo-suspension, anterior repair, posterior repair and perineorrhaphy done. However, for no one open uterosacral ligament suspension and open abdomino-sacrocolpopexy done. Four of patients were faced post-operative complication, three faced post-operative hemorrhage and four of them face problem of urinary retention which are all categorized as an unfavorable outcome.

Conclusion: Out of all patients presented with POP, nearly all of them treated with vaginal hysterectomy which shows high prevalence of VAGH. Most of the patients end up their procedure with favorable outcome. In our study, majority of patients had stage 3 and 4 AVWP and apical prolapse based on Simplified Pelvic organ prolapse quantification (S-POPQ). The final stage of prolapse (all compartments) is stage 3-4 in majority of patients. The mean duration of surgery for all cases of POP is 114 (±36) minutes.
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Background of the Study

Pelvic organ prolapse is one of the major gynecologic problems which affect the quality of life of many women worldwide, especially of old ages. Though there are different ways of managing apical pelvic organ prolapse, vaginal hysterectomy is the main stay of surgical treatment in most of the cases which is frequently performed surgical procedure worldwide [1].
In Sweden, at least 15% of women over the age of 40 years suffer from vaginal prolapse or urinary incontinence [2-3]. The incidence of pelvic organ prolapse increases with age, and by age 80 a woman’s lifetime risk of undergoing a single operation for prolapse or urinary incontinence is 11%, with a 29% risk of reoperation. As the population ages, the need for medical care for uterine or vaginal prolapse will probably increase [4].

Vaginal hysterectomy is one of several surgical procedures for the correction of symptomatic prolapse. Reported benefits of vaginal hysterectomy compared to abdominal hysterectomy in the U.S. include shorter duration of hospital stay (WMD 1.0 day, 95% CI: 0.7 to 1.2 days), speedier return to normal activities (WMD 9.5 days, 95% CI: 6.4 to 12.6 days), and fewer unspecified infections or febrile episodes (OR 0.42, 95% CI: 0.21 to 0.83) [1]. The lifetime risk of undergoing pelvic floor surgery is estimated to 11% [5].

The goals of surgery for pelvic organ prolapse should be relief of symptoms. Most studies evaluating outcomes of POP surgery have focused exclusively on anatomical success [6]. Due to the lack of standardized definitions for success of treatment following POP surgery, the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Workshop on Standardization of Terminology for Pelvic Floor Disorders recommended the following definitions for treatment success: ‘optimal anatomical outcome’ (POP-Q stage 0) and ‘satisfactory anatomical outcome’ (POP-Q stage I) [7]. Definitions of vaginal prolapse that include the absence of vaginal bulge symptoms have the strongest relationships with patients’ own assessment of improvement and treatment success, and are more clinically relevant [8].

Vaginal hysterectomy is one of the surgical procedure which had been applied on case by case bases in JUMC by sub-specialty fellows starting from 2016 to treat cases with pelvic organ prolapse. However, there is no study conducted on prevalence, outcome and description of cases with respective technical/surgical procedure performed. Hence, the objective of this study was to determine prevalence, surgical outcome, and describe step by step surgical procedure including special equipment among patients who were treated with vaginal hysterectomy at JUMC.

Statement of the Problem

In Sweden, at least 15% of women over the age of 40 years suffer from vaginal prolapse or urinary incontinence [2-3]. The incidence of pelvic organ prolapse increases with age, and by age 80 a woman’s lifetime risk of undergoing a single operation for prolapse or urinary incontinence is 11%, with a 29% risk of reoperation [4]. As the population ages, the need for medical care for uterine or vaginal prolapse will probably increase. Vaginal hysterectomy is one of several surgical procedures for the correction of symptomatic prolapse. Reported benefits of vaginal hysterectomy compared to abdominal hysterectomy in the U.S. include shorter duration of hospital stay (WMD 1.0 day, 95% CI: 0.7 to 1.2 days), speedier return to normal activities (WMD 9.5 days, 95% CI: 6.4 to 12.6 days), and fewer unspecified infections or febrile episodes (OR 0.42, 95% CI: 0.21 to 0.83) [1]. The lifetime risk of undergoing pelvic floor surgery is estimated to 11% [5].

Outcome measures are tools used to determine the efficacy and side effects of a treatment. POP is a multi-dimensional phenomenon, and success of treatment is often difficult to define. However, most studies have focused on anatomical success without considering symptoms and the quality of life (QOL) of the patient. In most studies in this field, outcomes of surgery have been assessed using questionnaires evaluating the efficacy of treatment regarding symptoms, sexuality, and QOL. In Scandinavia, condition-specific questionnaires for POP and UI have been developed for identification of these disorders and for assessment of the subjective cure [8].

Due to the lack of standardized definitions for success of treatment following POP surgery, the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Workshop on Standardization of Terminology for Pelvic Floor Disorders recommended the following definitions for treatment success: ‘optimal anatomical outcome’ (POP-Q stage 0) and ‘satisfactory anatomical outcome’ (POP-Q stage I). However, 75% of women presenting for annual gynecological examination without symptoms of POP will not meet the definition of ‘optimal anatomical outcome’ and almost 40% will not meet the definition of ‘satisfactory anatomical outcome’ [5,7].

Publications with the lowest success rates have defined success as more stringent anatomical outcomes while studies with the highest success rates have generally used subjective outcomes such as satisfaction and relief of symptoms of POP. Definitions of vaginal prolapse that include the absence of vaginal bulge symptoms have the strongest relationships with patients’ own assessment of improvement and treatment success, and are more clinically relevant [8].

A study revealed that almost 60% of women reported normal activity of daily life (ADL) within one week of surgery, irrespective of their age. Severe complications occurred in 3% and were mainly intraabdominal bleeding and vaginal vault hematomas. Six months postoperative, sexual activity had increased for 20% (p=0.006) of women and urinary urgency was reduced for 50% (p=0.001); however, 14% (n=76) of women developed urinary incontinence, 76% (n=58) of whom reported urinary stress incontinence. Patients were satisfied with the postoperative result in 93% of cases and 94% recommended the surgery. This study concluded and recommended that Vaginal hysterectomy is a patient-evaluated efficient treatment for uterovaginal prolapse with swift recovery and a low rate of complication. Sexual activity and symptoms of urinary urgency were improved. However, 14% developed incontinence, mainly urinary stress incontinence (11%). Therefore efforts to disclose latent stress incontinence should be undertaken preoperatively. Hence, the problem in this regard is no study conducted on prevalence, outcome and description of cases with respective new technical/surgical procedure performed in the study area’s setting (JUMC).

Literature Review

Over view of literature review

Many literature reviewed to conceptualize the prevalence, management outcome and related concepts about vaginal hyster-
ectomy as an option to treat utero-vaginal prolapse. Even if there is controversy regarding this optional treatment's advantage and disadvantage, this study only reviews the cases managed in such a way. Hence, this section organized and presented as follows.

Prevalence of vaginal hysterectomy

In Sweden, at least 15% of women over the age of 40 years suffer from vaginal prolapse or urinary incontinence [2-3]. The incidence of pelvic organ prolapse increases with age, and by age 80 a woman’s lifetime risk of undergoing a single operation for prolapse or urinary incontinence is 11%, with a 29% risk of reoperation [4]. As the population ages, the need for medical care for uterine or vaginal prolapse will probably increase. Vaginal hysterectomy is one of several surgical procedures for the correction of symptomatic prolapse. Reported benefits of vaginal hysterectomy compared to abdominal hysterectomy in the U.S. include shorter duration of hospital stay (WMD 1.0 day, 95% CI: 0.7 to 1.2 days), speedier return to normal activities (WMD 9.5 days, 95% CI: 6.4 to 12.6 days), and fewer unspecified infections or febrile episodes (OR 0.42, 95% CI: 0.21 to 0.83) [1]. The lifetime risk of undergoing pelvic floor surgery is estimated to 11% [5].

Management outcome of vaginal hysterectomy

In 1966 Williams, described a technique for transvaginal uterosacral-cervical ligament plication. He reported on the outcomes of 20 women undergoing this procedure, with three ‘failures’ encountered within a 6-month follow-up period. His method involved a posterior colpotomy with division of the uterosacral ligaments from the cervix, plication across the midline and reinsertion into the cervix. The cardinal ligaments are then plicated anteriorly across the midline [9].

The concept of sacrospinous hysteropexy was first described by Richardson in 1989. The cervix or uterosacral ligament is transfixed to the sacrospinous ligament using either permanent or delayed absorbable sutures [10]. In 2001 Maher, reported a small comparison study between sacrospinous hysteropexy and vaginal hysterectomy with sacrospinous vault fixation, with no differences in objective or subjective outcomes at follow-up [11]. Other studies have suggested that sacrospinous hysteropexy has a shorter operative time and less blood loss than vaginal hysterectomy [12]. One study also reported fewer postoperative incidences of overactive bladder symptoms in the sacrospinous hysteropexy group [13]. Dietz et al, described an increased risk of anterior compartment prolapse following sacrospinous hysteropexy, with an incidence of up to 40%. Sacrospinous hysteropexy, is the most studied vaginal technique for uterine preservation prolapse surgery; however, in general, the studies assessing it are of poor quality, with small numbers, short follow-up periods [14]. The technique of posterior vaginal slingplasty was first described in 2001, using a mesh kit to create ‘neo-utero-sacral ligaments. One prospective comparison study quoted a 91.4% patient satisfaction rate post-surgery, but cumulative data suggest a high incidence of mesh complications with up to a 21% mesh erosion rate [15-17].

Outcome measures are tools used to determine the efficacy and side effects of a treatment. POP is a multi-dimensional phenomenon, and success of treatment is often difficult to define. However, most studies have focused on anatomical success without considering symptoms and the quality of life (QOL) of the patient. In most studies in this field, outcomes of surgery have been assessed using questionnaires evaluating the efficacy of treatment regarding symptoms, sexuality, and QOL.

Due to the lack of standardized definitions for success of treatment following POP surgery, the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Workshop on Standardization of Terminology for Pelvic Floor Disorders recommended the following definitions for treatment success: ‘optimal anatomical outcome’ (POP-Q stage 0) and ‘satisfactory anatomical outcome’ (POP-Q stage I). However, 75% of women presenting for annual gynecological examination without symptoms of POP will not meet the definition of ‘optimal anatomical outcome’ and almost 40% will not meet the definition of ‘satisfactory anatomical outcome’ [5,7].

Publications with the lowest success rates have defined success as more stringent anatomical outcomes while studies with the highest success rates have generally used subjective outcomes such as satisfaction and relief of symptoms of POP [11,19]. Definitions of vaginal prolapse that include the absence of vaginal bulge symptoms have the strongest relationships with patients’ own assessment of improvement and treatment success, and are more clinically relevant [8].

A study revealed that almost 60% of women reported normal activity of daily life (ADL) within one week of surgery, irrespective of their age. Severe complications occurred in 3% and were mainly intraabdominal bleeding and vaginal vault hematomas. Six months postoperative, sexual activity had increased for 20% (p=0.006) of women and urinary urgency was reduced for 50% (p=0.001); however, 14% (n=76) of women developed urinary incontinence, 76% (n=58) of whom reported urinary stress incontinence. Patients were satisfied with the postoperative result in 93% of cases and 94% recommended the surgery [20]. This study concluded and recommended that Vaginal hysterectomy is a patient-evaluated efficient treatment for uterovaginal prolapse with swift recovery and a low rate of complication. Sexual activity and symptoms of urinary urgency were improved. However, 14% developed incontinence, mainly urinary stress incontinence (11%). Therefore, efforts to disclose latent stress incontinence should be undertaken preoperatively [20].

Objective of the Study

General objective

A. To determine the prevalence and outcome of UVP patients treated with vaginal hysterectomy retrospectively (November 01, 2016 to May 30, 2018) at Gynecology ward, JUMC.

Specific objectives

a) To describe the course of events (surgical techniques) of vaginal hysterectomy done for UVP
b) To determine the prevalence of UVP patients treated with vaginal hysterectomy

c) To assess surgical outcome of UVP patients treated with vaginal hysterectomy

Methods and Participants

Study area and period

The study was undertaken in Jimma University Medical Center (JUMC). The center is found in Jimma, Oromia Regional state, Southwest Ethiopia. The data collection period was from July 01-15, 2018. Jimma town is found at 352KMs from Addis Ababa, the capital city of Ethiopia, in the South western part of the country. There are two public hospitals found in the town which are called JUMC and Shenen gibe primary hospital. JUMC has a catchment population of about 15 million people which expected to serve. The center has annual out-patient case load of 160,000 and 45,000 in-patients. It provides services to diverse population from three regional states; namely, Oromia, Southern Nations, Nationalities and Peoples, and Gambella.

Jimma university medical center is one of the oldest public hospitals in the country. It was established in 1930 E.C by Italian invaders for the service of their soldiers. After the withdrawal of the colonial occupants, it has been governed under the Ethiopian government by the name of Ras desta damtew hospital and latter Jimma hospital during dergue regime after the down fall the dergue until 2009 E.C the name was Jimma University specialized teaching hospital and currently its name is changed to Jimma university medical center.

Jimma university medical center is committed to reduce morbidity, mortality, disability and improve health status of the local people through providing a compressive package of high quality curative, preventive, promote, and rehabilitative health service to the public and providing clinical education to physicians, nurses, medical laboratory technologists, pharmacists and other clinical and public health students in collaboration with respective stakeholders.

It has a total of 1846 servants from which 827 are technical staffs (health professional), 766 are administrative staff and the remaining 253 are temporary staffs. JUMC categorized in to different departments (units) which is suitable for service provision like medical ward, surgical ward, pediatrics ward, maternity ward, gynecology ward, stroke unit, major OR, minor OR, neonatology ward, oncology ward, dialysis unit, psychiatry ward, maxillofacial ward, orthopedic OR, maternity OR, ophthalmology ward, ART Unit, chronic illness/derma ward, physiotherapy unit, gynecology OPD, pediatrics OPD, EOPD, cold OPD, CSR unit, Recovery unit, orthopedics ward, dental unit, ICU unit, Endoscopy unit and sexual violence clinic.

The gynecology ward is one of the unit under Obs/Gynecology department, accordingly, there were 92 numbers of cases got the service for pelvic organ prolapse through different types of surgical procedures from Nov 01, 2018-May 30, 2018.

Study design

Facility based retrospective cross sectional descriptive study design was used.

Source population

All cases admitted and treated with different type of procedure for pelvic organ prolapse in Gynecology ward, JUMC in the last one and half years period.

Study population

All cases treated with vaginal hysterectomy only for pelvic organ prolapse in Gynecology ward, JUMC in the last one and half years period.

Eligibility criteria

Only those case treated with the new surgical technique of vaginal hysterectomy for UVP was included. Otherwise, Those cases treated with any other type of surgical technique including undefined surgical method were excluded from the study.

Sample size determination and sampling procedure

Since the source population (92) is not more than 100 and for the sake of maximizing the sample size to have a good discription, all eligible cases was included in the study. All the charts available within the specified period was collected consecutively and those charts that may not fulf the inclusion criteria were excluded before abstracting the required information.

Study Variables

a) Socio-demographic characteristics (Age, Marital status, Residency)

b) Obstetric history related variables (Gravidity, Parity, Menses)

c) Gynecology related variables (Hemoglobin, type of prolapse, any known gynecological/medical disease, duration of prolapse, menopause)

d) Clinical assessment and diagnostic procedure related variables (Stage of prolapse at entry, type of sympotms (local/vaginal, Urinary, bowel & sexual)

e) Surgical procedure related variables (Type of procedure employed, type of equipment used, type of technique applied, duration of the procedure)

f) Management outcome related variables (hemorrhage/blood loss, improvement/death, Urinary sympotms (No sympotm, urgency, urge & stress incontinency), vaginal bulge symptoms, stage of prolapse at discharge).

Methods of Data Collection

Data collection tool

Structured checklist was developed by the researcher after reviewing relevant literature that can address the profile of patients who had undergoing surgical procedure of vaginal hysterectomy. The checklist was filled by chart review or data abstraction.
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Socio-demographic related variables distribution among patients who treated with VGH for POP, JUMC, June 2018.

**Table 1:** Socio-demographic related variables distribution among patients who treated with VGH for POP, JUMC, June 2018.

| Variable                  | Categories         | N  | %   |
|---------------------------|--------------------|----|-----|
| Age in years              |                    |    |     |
| Reproductive age group (<49) |                  | 36 | 47.6|
| Menopause age group (>49) |                  | 43 | 52.4|

Unfavorable management outcome
d) With Surgical difficulties, postoperative complication/s, and urine retention were considered as unfavorable health outcome whereas stage 2 or more POP after apical treatment was considered as unfavorable anatomical outcome.

Ethical clearance was obtained from Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Health Institute, Jimma University. Formal letter of request for cooperation was written to JUMC and respective offices including Obs/Gyn department. Since we did retrospective study, we assumed already study subjects gave their consent for clinical assessment and for further confidential research dissemination. Hence, we planed to include only those charts signed by the respective client before they undergone the surgical procedure.

The data sources is secondary data (patient chart) which is limited to discribe all needed variables/information in this study, and reliability is depend on the physician who wrote the note in the chart. Additionally, all important variables relevant to this study were not captured due to incompleteness of the patient charts and absence of formats with it especially for socio-demographic data.

Result

Socio-demographic characteristics

Out of all patients treated with vaginal hysterectomy, more than half 43 (52.4%) of them were above 49 years. The mean age was 48.37 years (SD 11) with range of 25 to 70 years. More than two third 56 (68.29%), 58(70.7%) were married and live in rural area respectively. More than half 44 (53.7%) of them were Grand multiparous before the occurrence of POP and 45 (54.9%) were still Grand multiparous after developing POP and had 4-6 number of children. More than three fourth had no any known mental/medical illness. (See table 1).
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Table 2: Gynecology (POP) related variables measured among patients treated with VAG hysterectomy, JUMC, June 2018.

| Variable                                | Categories | N  | %  |
|-----------------------------------------|------------|----|----|
| Is there risk factor for POP            | Yes        | 4  | 4.88 |
|                                         | No         | 78 | 95.12 |
| Do you have urinary incontinence        | Yes        | 3  | 3.7 |
|                                         | No         | 79 | 96.3 |
| If yes what is the type of urinary incontinence | stress incontinence | 2  | 66.67 |
|                                         | urge incontinence | 1  | 33.33 |
|                                         | No fecal incontinence of stool | 0  | 0    |
| Are you sexually active?                | Yes        | 47 | 57.3 |
|                                         | No         | 35 | 42.7 |

Gynecology related variables

Out of all patients treated with VAG hysterectomy, near to all 78 (95.12%) of them had no known risk factor for developing POP and in the same way they had no urinary incontinence symptoms. Only three of them had this symptom such as 2 with stress incontinence and 1 had presented with urge incontinence but no fecal incontinence of their stool. More than half 47 (57.3%) were sexually active, and 44 (53.7%) of them lived with POP for more than 120 months. Majority (51 (62.7%) of them were in menopause stage during the development of their POP but one third of them were still not in menopause stage. Only 4 reported as they had history of previous hysterectomy. More than half 46 (56.1%) and 27 (32.9%) of them presented with stage four of anterior and posterior POP respectively. More than two-third 56 (68.3%) were presented at the final stage of POP with stage four but less than half presented with stage four for apical POP. (See table 2).
If No, what was the reason?

| Reason                                      | N  | %  |
|---------------------------------------------|----|----|
| No partner                                  | 17 | 48.57 |
| No interest                                 | 16 | 45.71 |
| Urinary/fecal incontinence or bulge         | 2  | 5.72 |

Duration of POP

| Duration            | N  | %  |
|---------------------|----|----|
| Short duration (<60months) | 25 | 30.5 |
| Medium duration (60-120months) | 13 | 15.9 |
| Long duration (>120months) | 44 | 53.7 |

Is she in menopause when develop POP

| No | N  | %  |
|----|----|----|
| Yes | 51 | 62.2 |
| No  | 31 | 37.8 |

Duration of menopause after developing POP

| Duration | N  | %  |
|----------|----|----|
| For 5 years | 17 | 20.7 |
| For 10 yrs  | 15 | 18.3 |
| For 15 yrs  | 9  | 11 |
| For 20 or above yrs | 9 | 11 |
| Not reached at the age of menopause | 32 | 39 |

Previous surgery done for POP or hysterectomy

| No surgery | N  | %  |
|------------|----|----|
| Yes        | 4  | 4.88 |
| No         | 78 | 95.12 |

Type of surgery done previously

| Type of surgery | N  | %  |
|-----------------|----|----|
| VH+AC           | 2  | 50 |
| VH+AC+PC        | 1  | 25 |
| SSLF without hysterectomy | 1 | 25 |

What is the stage of anterior POP

| Stage | N  | %  |
|-------|----|----|
| Stage two | 3  | 3.7 |
| Stage Three | 33 | 40.2 |
| Stage Four | 46 | 56.1 |

What is the stage of posterior POP

| Stage | N  | %  |
|-------|----|----|
| Stage one | 6  | 7.3 |
| Stage Two | 26 | 31.7 |
| Stage three | 23 | 28 |
| Stage Four | 27 | 32.9 |

What is the final stage of POP?

| Stage | N  | %  |
|-------|----|----|
| Stage Three | 26 | 31.7 |
| Stage Four | 56 | 68.3 |

What is the stage of apical POP?

| Stage | N  | %  |
|-------|----|----|
| Stage one | 1  | 1.2 |
| Stage Two | 5  | 6.1 |
| Stage three | 38 | 46.3 |
| Stage Four | 38 | 46.3 |

Intra-OP (procedure) related variables

Table 3: Intra-OP (procedure) related variables measured among patients treated with VAG hysterectomy, JUMC, June 2018.

| Variable                                | Categories          | N  | %  |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------|----|----|
| Duration of procedure in hours          | For 1 hour          | 1  | 1.2 |
|                                        | For two to three hours | 79 | 96.3 |
|                                        | For three or more hours | 2 | 2.4 |
| Approach of surgery                     | Vaginal             | 82 | 100 |
|                                        | Other               | 0  | 0 |
| was there anterior repair with colpo-suspension? | Yes | 54 | 65.9 |
|                                        | No                  | 28 | 34.1 |
| Was there anterior repair?              | Yes                 | 72 | 87.8 |
|                                        | No                  | 10 | 12.2 |
| Was there posterior repair?             | Yes                 | 71 | 86.6 |
|                                        | No                  | 11 | 13.4 |
Out of all patients treated with VAG hysterectomy, for nearly all 79 (96.3%) of them the procedure took two to three hours in which the approach is vaginally for all. For 54 (65.9%), 72 (87.8%), 71 (86.6%), 70 (85.4%) patients anterior repair with colpo-suspension, anterior repair, posterior repair and perineorrhaphy done respectively. However, for 75 (91.5%) of them rectal anterior plication was not done. For 71 (86.6%) vaginal apical treatment without kit was there, among them for 40 (55.6%) patients’ uterosacralligament treatment given. However, for no one open uterosacral ligament suspension and open abdomino-sacrocolpopexy done. Almost for all of them spinal anesthesia was given and 48 (58.5%) of them bleed more than expected. (See table 3).

### Prevalence and Management outcome

**Table 4:** Short term outcome (Post-OP) measuring variables among patients treated with VAG hysterectomy, JUMC, June 2018.

| Variable                                      | Categories                  | N  | %  |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----|----|
| Was there procedure with surgical difficulties? | Yes                         | 8  | 9.8|
|                                               | No                          | 74 | 90.2|
| Duration of postoperative bladder catheterization in days? | For 1 day                  | 29 | 35.4|
|                                               | For 2 days                  | 38 | 46.3|
|                                               | For 3 days                  | 7  | 8.5 |
|                                               | For 5 days                  | 3  | 3.7 |
|                                               | For 6 days                  | 1  | 1.2 |
|                                               | For 7 days                  | 4  | 4.9 |
| Post-operative complications                  | Yes                         | 4  | 4.9|
|                                               | No                          | 78 | 95.1|
| Postoperative hemorrhage                      | Yes                         | 3  | 3.7|
|                                               | No                          | 79 | 96.3|
| urinary retention > 24 hours                  | Yes                         | 4  | 4.9|
|                                               | No                          | 78 | 95.1|
Out of all patients (92) who presented with POP, 82 of them treated with vaginal hysterectomy which means 89.13% prevalence of VAGH treatment. Surgeon’s procedure notes indicate that for 74 (90.2%) patients, there was not surgical difficulty. Near to half 38 (46.3%) of patients stayed with bladder catheter for 2 days. Four of patients were faced post-operative complication, three faced post-operative hemorrhage and four of them face problem of urinary retention which are all categorized as an unfavorable outcome. However, all of them (82) discharged with improvement. More specifically, 81 patients, 82 patients, 73 patients and 73 patients had favorable outcome (stage 0 and 1) for anterior, posterior, apical and final stage of POP at discharge respectively. (See table 4).

### Three months follow up outcome

Out of all patients treated with VAGH, only 23 of them were returned back for follow up. Among them only 5 had developed complications. For 17 patients, 17 patients, 15 patients and 15 patients had the stage of anterior, posterior, apical and final stage of POP was stage one at third months. Table 5. Three months follow up outcome (Post-OP follow up) measuring variables among patients treated with VAG hysterectomy, JUMC, June 2018.

| Variable                             | Categories       | N    | %    |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|------|------|
| Does the patient return for follow up at 3 months? | yes              | 23   | 28.05|
|                                      | no               | 59   | 71.95|
| Did the patient have complications?  | Yes              | 5    | 21.74|
|                                      | No               | 18   | 78.26|
| What is the stage of anterior POP at 3 months? | Stage one        | 17   | 73.91|
|                                      | Stage two        | 6    | 26.09|
| What is the stage of posterior POP at 3 months? | Stage one        | 17   | 73.91|
|                                      | Stage two        | 2    | 11.8 |
| What is the stage of apical POP at 3 months? | Stage one        | 15   | 88.2 |
|                                      | Stage two        | 2    | 11.8 |
| What is the final stage of POP at 3 months?  | Stage one        | 15   | 88.2 |
|                                      | Stage two        | 2    | 11.8 |

### Discussion

In this study, Out of all patients (92) who presented with POP, 82 of them treated with vaginal hysterectomy which accounts 89.13% prevalence of patients treated with VAGH. In Sweden, at least 15% of women over the age of 40 years suffer from vaginal prolapse or urinary incontinence [2-3]. The incidence of pelvic organ prolapse increases with age, and by age 80 a woman’s lifetime risk of undergoing a single operation for prolapse or urinary incontinence is 11%, with a 29% risk of reoperation [4]. As the population ages, the need for medical care for uterine or vaginal prolapse will probably increase. This result is different from the other studies reported which might be due to retrospective data used and the number of study subjects in our study is limited.

More than half 47 (57.3%) were sexually active, and 44 (53.7%) of them lived with POP for more than 120 months. More than two-third 56 (68.3%) were presented at the final stage of POP with stage four but less than half presented with stage four for apical POP. Nearly all 79 (96.3%) of them the procedure took two to three hours in which the approach is vaginally for all.

For 54 (65.9%), for 72 (87.8%), for 71 (86.6%), for 70 (85.4%) patients anterior repair with colpo-suspension, anterior repair,
posterior repair and perineorrhaphy done respectively. However, for no one open uterosacral ligament suspension and open abdomino-sacrocolpopexy done. The concept of sacrospinous hysteropexy was first described by Richardson in 1989. The cervix or uterosacral ligament is transfixed to the sacrospinous ligament using either permanent or delayed absorbable sutures [10]. In 2001 Maher reported a small comparison study between sacrospinous hysteropexy and vaginal hysterectomy with sacrospinous vault fixation, with no differences in objective or subjective outcomes at follow-up [11]. Other studies have suggested that sacrospinous hysteropexy has a shorter operative time and less blood loss than vaginal hysterectomy [12]. Still this result is different from the above studies revealed. Four of patients were faced post-operative complication, three faced post-operative hemorrhage and four of them face problem of urinary retention which are all categorized as an unfavorable outcome. However, other studies have suggested that sacrospinous hysteropexy has a shorter operative time and less blood loss than vaginal hysterectomy [12].

More specifically, 81 patients, 82 patients, 73 patients and 73 patients had favorable outcome (stage 0 and 1) for anterior, posterior, apical and final stage of POP at discharge respectively. For 17 patients, 17 patients, 15 patients and 15 patients had the stage of anterior, posterior, apical and final stage of POP was stage one at third months. However, most studies have focused on anatomical success without considering symptoms and the quality of life (QOL) of the patient. In most studies in this field, outcomes of surgery have been assessed using questionnaires evaluating the efficacy of treatment regarding symptoms, sexuality and quality of life. National Institutes of Health (NIH) Workshop on Standardization of Terminology for Pelvic Floor Disorders recommended the following definitions for treatment success: ‘optimal anatomical outcome’ (POP-Q stage 0) and ‘satisfactory anatomical outcome’ (POP-Q stage I). However, 75% of women presenting for annual gynecological examination without symptoms of POP will not meet the definition of ‘optimal anatomical outcome’ and almost 40% will not meet the definition of ‘satisfactory anatomical outcome’ [5,7].

Conclusion and Recommendation

Out of all patients presented with POP, nearly all of them treated with vaginal hysterectomy which accounts high prevalence of VAGH. We recommed physicians to do VAGH on case by case bases to reduce such number of surgical procedure. More than half were sexually active, and lived with POP for more than 120 months. Women with POP should consult physicians and start follow up at earlier time. More than two-third were presented at the final stage of POP with stage four. However, less than half presented with stage four for apical POP. The procedure took two to three hours for nearly all women in which the approach is vaginally for all. For almost all patients anterior repair with colpo-suspension, anterior repair, posterior repair and perineorrhaphy done.

However, for no one open uterosacral ligament suspension and open abdomino-sacrocolpopexy done. Four of patients were faced post-operative complication, three faced post-operative hemorrhage and four of them face problem of urinary retention which are all categorized as an unfavorable outcome. Near to all of women had favorable outcome (stage 0 and 1) for anterior, posterior, apical and final stage of POP at discharge. For some patients the final stage of POP was stage one at third months of follow up. Based on this findings, we recommend physicians to follow case based surgical techniques of VAGH to treat POP taking care of the issues at diagnosis. Patients with POP should come earlier for the services and awareness should be created. Physicians should do their level best to reduce the observed level of complication.
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