DNA Transposon Expansion is Associated with Genome Size Increase in Mudminnows
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Abstract

Genome sizes of eukaryotic organisms vary substantially, with whole-genome duplications (WGD) and transposable element expansion acting as main drivers for rapid genome size increase. The two North American mudminnows, *Umbra limi* and *Umbra pygmaea*, feature genomes about twice the size of their sister lineage Esocidae (e.g., pikes and pickerels). However, it is unknown whether all *Umbra* species share this genome expansion and which causal mechanisms drive this expansion. Using flow cytometry, we find that the genome of the European mudminnow is expanded similarly to both North American species, ranging between 4.5 and 5.4 pg per diploid nucleus. Observed blocks of interstitially located telomeric repeats in *U. limi* suggest frequent Robertsonian rearrangements in its history. Comparative analyses of transcriptome and genome assemblies show that the genome expansion in *Umbra* is driven by the expansion of DNA transposon and unclassified repeat sequences without WGD. Furthermore, we find a substantial ongoing expansion of repeat sequences in the Alaska blackfish *Dallia pectoralis*, the closest relative to the family Umbridae, which might mark the beginning of a similar genome expansion. Our study suggests that the genome expansion in mudminnows, driven mainly by transposon expansion, but not WGD, occurred before the separation into the American and European lineage.

Key words: genome expansion, *Umbra*, Robertsonian fusion, centric fission, repetitive sequences.

Introduction

The driving forces and effects of genome size variations across different taxa are a recurring theme in the field of evolutionary biology (Lynch 2007). While the number of chromosomes is typically highly conserved among fishes (Mank and Avise 2006), genome sizes vary substantially and include the smallest and the largest vertebrate genome (Hardie and Hebert 2004). Specifically, fish genome size ranges from...
**Significance**

North American mudminnows feature genomes about twice the size of their sister lineage Esocidae (e.g., pikes and pickerels). However, neither the mechanism underlying this genome expansion, nor whether this feature is shared amongst all mudminnows is currently known. Using cytogenetic analyses, we find that the genome of the European mudminnow also expanded and that extensive chromosome fusion events have occurred in some Umbra species. Furthermore, comparative genomics based on de novo assembled transcriptomes and genome assemblies, which have recently become available, indicates that DNA transposon activity is responsible for this expansion.

C = 0.35 pg in bandtail pufferfish (*Sphoeroides spengleri*) to C = 133 pg in marbled lungfish (*Protopterus aethiopicus*; Gregory 2020). The main candidate processes introducing such drastic variation are gene (Lu et al. 2012; Ohno 2013) or genome duplication (Fontdevila 2011; Van de Peer et al. 2017), transposable element (TE) proliferation (Pritham 2009; Tenaillon et al. 2010), and replication slippage at tandem repeat loci (Ellegren 2004). Furthermore, it is unclear whether this variation is shaped by adaptive processes (Gregory and Hebert 1999; Liedtke et al. 2018; Van de Peer et al. 2017) or stochastic sequence gain and loss (Lynch 2007). The presence of TEs in virtually all eukaryotic genomes suggests a role of stochastic sequence gain due to TE proliferation (Elliott and Hebert 1999; Liedtke et al. 2018; Van de Peer et al. 2017) or stochastic sequence gain and other processes will provide insight into the forces that shape genome size evolution.

Mudminnows (Umbriidae) are very resilient members of the order Esociformes, capable of withstanding extreme cold and can utilize atmospheric oxygen. Under adverse conditions, they are reputed to become dormant in mud (Gilbert and Williams 2002). The order Esociformes includes two families and four genera in at least 12 species with one fossil-only family (Nelson et al. 2016). Furthermore, Esociformes (Haplomi, Esocae; pikes and mudminnows) and its sister order Salmoniformes belong to the clade Protacanthopterygii, a lineage of basal teleosts (Nelson et al. 2015). There are several recent reports of significant genomic expansion, such as in salamanders due to long terminal repeat element activity (Sun et al. 2012) and in *Hydra* due to long interspersed nuclear element activity (Wong et al. 2019). Estimates of the pervasiveness and extent of expansion events caused by TE proliferation and other processes will provide insight into the forces that shape genome size evolution.

The diploid chromosome number in Esociformes ranges from 2n = 22(*U. pygmaea* and *U. limi*) to 2n = 71–79 (*Dallia* sp.). Almost all known pike (*Esox*) species possess 50 (fundamental number FN = 50) usually acrocentric chromosomes, including species from Canada, USA, Sweden, and Russia (Arai 2011) and two European *Esox* species (Symonová et al. 2017). This karyotype is considered the pre-duplication ancestor of the order Salmoniformes (salmon, grayling, whitefish) (Rondeau et al. 2014; Rab 2004). There are, however, reports of FN = 86 with 2n = 50 in *Esox lucius* from the south Caspian Sea basin and further reports of FN = 72–88 in other *E. lucius* populations (Khoshkhoghli et al. 2015). This morphological variability of chromosomes in the *Esox* genus with its broad circumpolar distribution (Nelson et al. 2016) has not yet been analyzed.

Pikes are highly interesting from the cytogenomic viewpoint because of their extremely amplified, massively methylated, and potentially functional 5S rDNA recorded on more than 30 centromeric sites of their 50 chromosomes, whereas 45S rDNA is located in only two sites (Symonová et al. 2017). A similar amplification and increased dynamics of the 45S rDNA fraction has been repeatedly recorded in Salmoniformes (Gregory and Hebert 1999; Liedtke et al. 2018), which furthermore underwent an already well-characterized whole-genome duplication (WGD) (Macqueen and Johnston 2014; Lien et al. 2016). Indeed, WGD events have been described for multiple basal, particularly freshwater teleosts such as Cypriniformes (Li et al. 2015) and Siluriformes (Marburger et al. 2018). This observation suggests two distinct explanations for the significant genome size increase of Umbriidae compared to its sister lineage Esocidae, an Umbriidae-specific WGD on the one hand, and an extreme amplification of ribosomal DNA on the other.

Here, we present cytogenomic evidence that all extant members of the *Umbra* lineage feature an expanded genome size compared to its closest relative, the Esocidae family. Furthermore, we find that Umbriidae feature a standard locus and copy number of 5S rDNA typical for teleosts, excluding a 55 rDNA expansion as a reason for the increased *Umbra*-specific genome size. While phylogenetic profiles of orthologous genes across species do not show patterns of WGD, comparative analyses of repetitive sequence content across six
Esocidae species show a clear signal of DNA transposon expansion in *U. pygmaea* driving the genome size increase.

**Results**

Flow Cytometry Genome Size Determination in the European Pike and the European Mudminnow

Flow cytometry measurements using DAPI staining of *U. krameri* with chicken RBC as internal standard exhibited a genome size of $2C = 4.54 \pm 0.05$ pg ($\pm$STDEV) per nucleus (CV $3.36 \pm 0.55$; range 4.43–4.60 pg per nucleus, $N = 10$). *Esox lucius* gave genome values of $2C = 2.22 \pm 0.03$ pg per nucleus (CV $2.44 \pm 1.37$, range 2.18–2.27 pg per nucleus, $N = 2$). Genome size and chromosome traits ($2n$ and FN) for the order Esociformes are summarized in figure 1 and show the elevated genome size in all three *Umbra* species. The higher interquartile range in *E. lucius* reflects merely the higher sampling effort and multiple values available. A similar situation exists in *U. limi*. We provide an overview of cytogenetic and cytogenetic traits of the order Esociformes (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online) based on the checklist of fish karyotypes (Arai 2011) and other literature records and online resources.

Comparative Analysis of the *U. pygmaea* Transcriptome Does Not Indicate Whole Genome Duplication

To test whether the Umbridae family underwent a whole-genome duplication event (WGD), we assessed gene duplication levels in a set of 24 de novo transcriptome assemblies, including the eastern mudminnow *U. pygmaea* (Pasquier et al. 2016). We focused on a set of 3,640 benchmark universal single-copy orthologous (BUSCO) genes, which occur only once in the majority (>90%) of a set of 26 selected Actinopterygii species (Simão et al. 2015). Since only a single salmonid species, *Salmo salar*, was used to construct the BUSCO set, genes that were duplicated in the salmonid-specific WGD (Macqueen and Johnston 2014) were not excluded. Similarly, as this gene set does not consider Umbridae family species, any potential orthologs originating from an Umbridae-specific WGD are not excluded. Accordingly, five of the six salmonid de novo transcriptome assemblies show the highest duplication level amongst the 24 considered species (supplementary fig. S2 and table S2, Supplementary Material online). In contrast, *U. pygmaea* exhibits the second-lowest duplication level, second only to Pangasius hypophthalmus. The elevated duplication level in European eel Anguilla anguilla and arowana Osteoglossum bicirrhosum were noted recently, suggesting an additional WGD as a possible cause (Rozenfeld et al. 2019).

Transcriptome de novo assembly procedures include steps that can influence the observed number of duplicated genes, such as the clustering of the assembled contigs (Pasquier et al. 2016; Grabherr et al. 2011). Therefore, we collected all available reference genome assemblies matching the species of any of the transcriptome assemblies. The direct comparison of BUSCO estimates for de novo assemblies and reference genome-derived transcriptomes across 10 species revealed a high correspondence of the duplication level ($R^2 = 0.8$) (supplementary fig. S3 and table S3, Supplementary Material online). In contrast, parameters reflecting technical differences of transcriptome generation show substantially lower correspondence, such as the number of fragmented ($R^2 = 0.02$) or missing transcripts ($R^2 = 0.28$). Clustering of BUSCO gene phylogenetic profiles across all considered species provides a more detailed picture (fig. 2). While a small group of 240 transcripts fails to assemble reliably (cluster I), likely reflecting specific sequence properties, the second group of 819 genes is detected as single-copy in the majority of species (cluster II). The largest cluster III, comprised of 2,096 genes, exhibits species-specific duplication patterns. Cluster IV contains 301
genes duplicated mainly in salmonids and thus likely represent remnants of the salmonid-specific WGD (cluster IV). The remaining 184 genes appear almost consistently duplicated or triplicated across all considered species, again likely reflecting sequence properties (cluster V). Both members of the Esociformes, the eastern mudminnow *U. pygmaea*, and the Northern pike *E. lucius* are placed next to each other in the hierarchical species clustering. Separating cluster III into subclusters reveals that both the eastern mudminnow and the Northern pike feature only small nonoverlapping groups of species-specific duplicated genes containing 47 and 76 genes, respectively (supplementary fig. S4 and table S4, Supplementary Material online).

**Comparative Genome Analyses of Esociformes Species Reveal Extensive Transposable Element Expansions**

We performed a direct comparative analysis of the genome composition of *U. pygmaea* using recently published short-read-based genome assemblies of five Esociformes species (Pan et al. 2021) and, in addition, the high-quality chromosome-scale genome assembly of *E. lucius* (supplementary table S5, Supplementary Material online). Due to the employed short-read sequencing and relatively low coverages, the assemblies for *U. pygmaea*, *Esox masquinongy*, *Esox niger*, *Novumbra hubbsi*, and *Dallia pectoralis* are fragmented and less complete (11–24% BUSCO genes missing) compared to the chromosome-scale assembly of *E. lucius* (3% missing). Nonetheless, the genome assembly sizes correspond well to direct measurements of genome size (fig. 3A). K-mer statistics obtained directly from the short-read data generally underestimate total genome size but yield the same relative pattern across species. In case of *E. niger*, no estimate could be obtained as the model fitting did not converge. In agreement with the transcriptome analysis, the assessment of BUSCO genes in all six genome assemblies shows low levels of duplication. We then characterized the repetitive sequence content of each genome aiming to test the hypothesis that transposable element activity might have caused a genome expansion exclusively in *U. pygmaea*. We, therefore, annotated repetitive sequences in all six genomes using a combined de novo repeat library (see Materials and Methods section). The observed repeat content in each genome scales with the total genome size (fig. 3B), with the highest repeat content of 64% in the largest genome of *U. pygmaea* and 37% of repeat content in the smallest genome of *N. hubbsi* (fig. 3C, stacked plot on the left). In general, DNA transposons make up the largest part of classified repeat sequences in all analyzed species, contributing about 19% of all genomic sequences in *U. pygmaea*, *E. lucius*, and *E. masquinongy* (supplementary table S4, Supplementary Material online). In contrast, *E. niger*, *N. hubbsi*, and *D. pectoralis* show lower DNA transposon abundances with 14.8%, 10.8%, and 8%, respectively. A similar pattern is observed for LINE elements, while SINE elements and LTRs are generally low in abundance.

A principal component analysis of repeat abundances across all six species reveals that the repetitive sequence composition mirrors the phylogenetic relationships (supplementary fig. S5, Supplementary Material online), with high similarity between *E. lucius* and *E. masquinongy*, as well as between *N. hubbsi* and *D. pectoralis*. Both, *U. pygmaea* and *E. niger*, constitute outliers in repeat composition. Importantly, we observe a significant expansion of highly repetitive and species-specific sequences awaiting further characterization in *U. pygmaea* with 30.8% genomic abundance, compared to abundances in the range of 15.6–21.2% in all other species.

Similar to the repeat composition, repeat expansion histories also show significant differences between the considered species (fig. 3C). Here, the repeat expansion history is captured by the Kimura substitution level for each genomic copy of a repeat as a proxy for its age, since each copy accumulates mutations over time and diverges from its consensus sequence. The resulting divergence landscape suggests that the genome size increase of *U. pygmaea* results from an
accumulation of unclassified repetitive sequence combined with the emergence of new DNA transposon copies, with 45% of the genomic repeat sequence showing a mean divergence below 8 (fig. 3C, top left). The most abundant repeat sequence in *U. pygmaea* is the Tc1/mariner element IC-Mifl (upyg-1-8) which makes up 1% (20.5 Mb) of the genome assembly with an average divergence of 8 (supplementary table S6, Supplementary Material online). The second most abundant repeat Tc1-2_Xt (upygm-1-14), with 14 Mb and 0.7% of genomic sequence, is also a member of the Tc1/mariner family and shows a very recent expansion pattern with an average divergence of 1.34. *Esox masquinongy* and *E. lucius* also show a notable recent expansion of DNA transposon sequences. Similar to *U. pygmaea*, IC-Mifl also contributes 1.3%/11.7 Mb to the total genome assembly of *E. lucius*, making it the third most abundant repeat only surpassed by Mariner-9 originally identified in *S. salar* and an RTE-1 non-long-terminal-repeat element identified in *E. lucius* (supplementary table S6, Supplementary Material online). The prominence of IC-Mifl notwithstanding, the high total abundance
of DNA transposon sequence in the aforementioned species is caused by a multitude of active families. While the total repeat content of *D. pectoralis* is low, the divergence landscape reveals a currently ongoing repeat expansion with 27% of the total repeat content at divergences below 4, which translates into 9.1% of the total genome sequence. In contrast to all other species, the expansion is caused by two LINE/RTE-BovB elements with high abundance (0.5 and 0.2%, respectively, of total genome) and low mean divergence (1.16 and 0.87) in combination with rRNA, tRNA, and unclassified sequences. This leaves *N. hubbsi* as the only species with a consistently low transposable element activity.

To gain a more detailed overview of the Tc1/mariner transposon superfamily activity, we constructed a phylogeny of all transposase sequences in our repeat library together with transposase sequences of a previously described Tc1/mariner reference set (Gao et al. 2017) in neoteleosts. This phylogeny was then complemented with the detected genomic abundances in the considered species (fig. 4). We find that the most highly abundant elements fall into the Minos-/Bari-like clade (fig. 4 clade A), such as the two most abundant elements of *U. pygmaea* (upygmm-1-8, upygmm-1-14), *E. masquinongy* (eluci-6-168), and *N. hubbsi* (eluci-4-461). Other more abundant lineages are passport (fig. 4 clade B and D), with the most abundant element eluci-1-0 in *E. lucius*, and frog prince (fig. 4 clade C, upygmm-1-69, eluci-6-2394).

Finally, we performed a manual curation of the 30 most abundant repeat sequences found in *U. pygmaea*, contributing a combined 101.87 Mb to the genome assembly to test the extent to which the unclassified repeat sequence expansion can also be attributed to transposable element activity. We find TE-related structural features in 13 repeats, accounting for 48 Mb, in addition to a highly abundant tandem repeat and a satellite sequence (supplementary table S7, Supplementary Material online). With 11 out of 13 TE-related sequences, the vast majority show DNA transposon features. In general, we observe a consistent pattern of increased abundance across species in a small group of Tc1/mariner elements mirroring the pattern of total genomic repeat abundance in the respective species.

**Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization**

Studied pike species mostly exhibit karyotypes composed of 50 monoarmed chromosomes (FN = 50). In turn, *U. limi* possesses only 22 metacentric and submetacentric chromosomes (FN = 44), gradually decreasing in size. Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) with PNA telomere probe revealed hybridization signals only at the very ends of all chromosomes in *E. lucius* and *E. cisalpinus*. In *U. limi*, apart from the terminal location of the FISH telomeric signals observed on all chromosomes, up to seven chromosomes exhibited interstitial telomeric sites (ITSs) in the pericentromeric locations. In four of these chromosomes, ITSs overlapped with DAPI positive regions. DAPI positive signals occurred on the q-arms below the pericentromeric regions and did not correspond with the ITSs for up to three other chromosomes (fig. 5A). The 5S rDNA probe hybridized to three sites in a pericentromeric location on two metacentric chromosomes and centromeric location on one submetacentric chromosome in *U. limi* (fig. 5B). All 5S rDNA sites were DAPI-positive.

**Fig. 4.—**Phylogenetic relationship (left) and genomic abundance (right) of Tc1/mariner superfamily DNA transposons across six Esociformes species. The phylogenetic tree is based on transposase sequences from 206 repeats combined with 33 previously described (Gao et al. 2017) reference elements from six lineages (Pogo, Passport, SB, Frog Prince, Minos, and Bari). Clades containing most abundant elements are marked A–D. Genomic abundance values in Mb are based on full length repeat sequences, where elements not detected in the respective species are shown in white.
Molecular Analysis of 5S rDNA Genomic Fraction

The slot blot quantification of 5S rRNA genes indicates approximately 2,000 5S rDNA copies per Umbra genome with a slightly higher copy number in U. limi compared to U. krameri. Umbra thus has about ten times lower copy number of 5S rRNA than both Esox species analyzed so far (fig. 6A and B). These copies are arranged in tandems in both Umbra as well as in Esox indicated by ladders of bands after the digestion with MspI restriction enzyme (not sensitive to CG methylation) (fig. 6C). Increased ladder length for both Umbra species reveals greater sequence divergence of MspI (CCGG) sites compared to Esox. Digestion of DNAs with methylation-sensitive HpaII isoschizomere resulted in hybridization signals in high-molecular-weight regions with little to no ladders (fig. 6C), which is consistent with heavy methylation of internal Cs within the CCGG motifs.

Discussion

The sizes of eukaryotic genomes vary substantially with teleosts being no exception. The range of described cases of whole-genome duplication (WGD) events amongst teleosts makes this mechanism the main suspect when observing significant genome size increases. In contrast, we find that the genome expansion in the genus Umbra is not caused by a WGD but transposable element activity. At the same time, extensive chromosome fusion events accompanying genome expansion have occurred in some Umbra species. In the following, we discuss what sets these inconspicuous members of the order Esociformes apart from their sister lineage from an ecological and evolutionary point of view.

Genome Expansion and Chromosome Fusions in Umbra sp

Our results demonstrate that the European mudminnow species U. krameri underwent a genome expansion similar to the two North American Umbra sister species. This genome expansion is thus a common trait of the entire genus and can be localized in time prior to the split of the American and European Umbra lineages, setting the Umbridae family apart from their sister lineages Esox, Dallia, and Novumbra (Marić et al. 2017; Gregory 2020). The European species U. krameri retained the Umbra ancestral chromosome number (2n = FN = 44), which is even lower than the most common teleost ancestral 2n ≈ 50 (Mank and Avise 2006; Sacerdot et al. 2018) chromosome number and might indicate an overall tendency towards chromosome number reduction in the genus Umbra. Paradoxically, the genome expansion in U. limi and U. pygmaea is accompanied by a further reduction in chromosome counts (2n = 22) while maintaining the same number of chromosome arms (FN = 44). This reduction in the number of chromosomes without changes to the number of chromosome arms, as confirmed for U. limi, is consistent with Robertsonian fusion events. Accordingly, up to seven out of 22 biarmed chromosomes of U. limi exhibit interstitial telomeric sites (ITs), which presumably are remnants of these fusions. Similar occurrences of telomeric DNA at nontelomeric sites as relics of chromosomal rearrangements including centric fusions (Robertsonian translocations), tandem fusions, and inversions (Ocalewicz 2013) have been observed at pericentromeric locations of fused mammalian and nonmammalian chromosomes (Ocalewicz et al. 2013; Meyne et al. 1990). Breaks in telomer-adjacent chromatin regions before the fusion event may explain the absence of telomeric repeat

---

**Fig. 5.**—FISH with telomeric probes and 5S rDNA. Chromosomes of *Umbra limi* after FISH with telomeric probe (A) and 5S rDNA probe (B) and of *Esox niger* after FISH with 5S rDNA probe (C). All chromosomes are counterstained with DAPI. The FISH with a telomeric probe in *U. limi* yielded stronger interstitial telomeric signals than the terminal ones. Bar = 10 μm.
sequences at the remaining fusion sites (Nanda et al. 1995; Garagna et al. 1995). Furthermore, ITSs may undergo gradual loss and degeneration, leading to progressive shortening. So while FISH is currently the best approach to explore ITSs in situ, some interstitially located telomeric DNA repeats might be too short for detection (Slijepcevic 1998).

The low chromosome count in the North American *Umbra* species (2\(n = 22\)) makes them part of a small group of fishes. Among about 32,000 fish species (Nelson et al. 2016), there are only thirteen fish species with 2\(n < 22\) chromosomes described to date, made up mainly of Cyprinodontiformes (six in Nothobranchiidae, one in Rivulidae) ranging between 2\(n = 16–20\). The lowest chromosome counts were described for the marine teleost species spark anglermouth (*Sigmops bathyphilus*, Stomiiformes, Gonostomatidae) (Post 1974) with 2\(n = 12\) and the freshwater teleost species chocolate gourami (*Sphaerichthys osphromenoides*, Osphronemidae, Anabantiformes) (Calton and Denton 1974; Koref-Santibanez and Paepke 1994; Arai 2011) with 2\(n = 16\). Interestingly, the *S. bathyphilus* belongs to the order Stomiiformes, a member of the superorder Osmeromorpha that split after the earlier divergence of the superorder Protacanthopterygii (i.e., Esociformes and Salmoniformes) (Nelson et al. 2016).

**Interplay between Cytogenomic and Ecological Traits**

The chromosome number of a species can be linked to its ecological traits. Specialized fishes were demonstrated to have smaller genomes than more generalized species within and across lineages (Hinegardner and Rosen 1972; Hardie and Hebert 2004). This constraint may be extended to the chromosome number and genome size in specialized species (Hardie and Hebert 2004), or constraints on genome size linked to the heightened developmental complexity of specialized species (Gregory 2002). Similar principles have been proposed for mammals (Qumsiyeh 1994) where species with higher 2\(n\) or FN have a higher recombination rate because proper disjunction requires at least one chiasma per arm (Jensen-Seaman et al. 2004). Increased recombination rate not only leads to increased genetic variability, thereby allowing the utilization of a wider niche (Qumsiyeh 1994) but also to a genome size reduction (Nam and Ellegren 2012). On the other hand, decreased recombination rate favors the fixation of alleles.

---

**Fig. 6.**—Genomic analysis of *Umbra* and *Esox* 5S rDNA. (A) Southern blot hybridization. (B) Slot blot hybridization. Probe—5S rDNA insert from the *E. cisalpinus* clone a, K, *U. krameri*; L, *U. limi*; ESLU, *E. lucius*. (C) DNA methylation analysis of 5SrDNA in *Umbra* and *Esox*. HpaII is a methylation-sensitive isoschizomere of MspI. Probe—5S rDNA insert from the *E. cisalpinus* (Eci) clone a. ESLU, *Esox lucius*; K, *Umbra krameri*; L, *Umbra limi*.
of new mutations and thereby potential speciation (Qumsiyeh 1994). Furthermore, decreased recombination can cause the genome to expand due to the accumulation of transposon insertions (Dolgin and Charlesworth 2008). The interaction between transposon activity and recombination rate may create positive feedback, where the accumulation of transposon insertion sites contributes to further suppress recombination (Kent et al. 2017; Fedoroff 2012). The positive correlation between recombination rate and effective population size (Mugal et al. 2015) requires the consideration of the ecology of Umbra and Esox. Both genera’s species belong to freshwater fishes at northern latitudes and hence are affected by bottlenecks and reduced effective population sizes within refugia during glaciation periods (Bernatchez and Wilson 1998). Low levels of genetic diversity in pikes have been described extensively (Skog et al. 2014) and may explain the extreme SS rDNA amplification through genetic drift (Symonová et al. 2017). Comparably low estimates of the effective population size have been reported for U. krameri (Marić et al. 2017).

The ecology of mudminnows appears surprisingly similar. The American central mudminnow (U. limi) has been termed a "habitat specialist and resource generalist" (Martin-Bergmann and Gee 1985), referring to the densely vegetated and often overgrown and deoxygenated swampy habitats in which this species is found. Accessory air-breathing capabilities allow mudminnows to use such marginal habitats in which no, or only a few other fish species can be found. Otherwise, the central mudminnow uses a wide variety of small invertebrate prey. This brief ecological characterization can be extended to all other mudminnows (Kuehne and Olden 2014) which might be related to their low competitive abilities (Wanzenböck and Spindler 1995; Sehr and Keckeis 2017). In contrast, pikes are found in a wide variety of habitats, densely overgrown lentic waters to open waters in large lakes or even brackish waters and riverine habitats. Furthermore, they are rather specialized piscivorous during juvenile and adult life stages. Conversely, they might be called "habitat generalists and resource specialists." Whether such ecological differences may be related to genomic differences between mudminnows and pikes remain open.

Next to potentially ecological influences, there are also purely genomic factors driving genome size evolution. A common cause of genome size expansion is a WGD event (polyploidy) or repeat expansion due to transposon or satellite DNA. In the Umbra genus, however, the genome expansion was accompanied by a reduction in chromosome number, which suggests two scenarios

i. The Umbra lineage has experienced a rapid chromosome loss (disploidy) following an additional WGD. Evidence from plants suggests that repeated WGD cycles with subsequent chromosome number may generate karyotypes with few chromosomes (Dodsworth et al. 2016). However, our comparative genome and transcriptome analyses do not support the existence of additional ohnologs in U. pygmaea. The observed number of duplicated protein-coding genes in the genome assemblies of U. pygmaea and its sister lineage E. lucius was similar, excluding WGD as cause of genome expansion in Umbra. While the completeness of the employed transcriptomes and genomes is relatively low, it is not plausible that specific ohnologs are disproportionately missing in both cases.

ii. Alternatively, the expansion of repeat sequences could explain the increased genome size. Evidence of such a repeat expansion was found in a sister lineage. The two species E. lucius and E. cislepinus show an extreme amplification of SS rDNA across their chromosomes (Symonová et al. 2017) forming thus an rDNAome or an rDNA subgenome (Symonová 2019) while retaining their genome size and chromosome counts. This massive expansion of tandem repeats by several orders of magnitude must have happened over a short evolutionary time, as the related E. niger still features a low number of SS rDNA copies ancestral to the Esocidae lineage (Kovářík, unpublished data). While the Umbra lineage shows teleost-typical (Sochorová et al. 2018) SS rDNA site numbers on chromosomes, our comparative genome analysis of the U. pygmaea genome confirms a significantly elevated interspersed repeat content of 64%, which is the result of a recent expansion of DNA transposon sequence and a range of unclassified repeat sequences. This peak is not only consistent with the pervasive DNA transposon expansion particularly in E. lucius, E. masquinongy, and E. niger. Manual curation of the top 30 highly abundant unclassified sequences reveals DNA transposon features, further supporting the observed DNA transposon expansion. There are numerous accounts that DNA transposons are the most abundant TE class amongst most fish genomes (Shao et al. 2019). Furthermore, Tc/mariner and hAT are the most common predominant TE superfamilies in fish genomes, next to L1, L2, and Gypsy class 1 superfamilies (Yuan et al. 2018). A comparison across the four model teleost species zebrafish, medaka, stickleback, and tetrodon demonstrated that the genome size difference is largely due to the differential expansion of Class II TEs, that is, DNA transposons (Gao et al. 2016). Recent expansions of DNA transposons have been observed in various fish species such as East African cichlids, where the youngest radiation to Lake Victoria, Pundamilia nyererei, is still experiencing an expansion of Tigger elements (Brawand et al. 2014). Amongst mammals, only bats experience active DNA transposon expansion (Ray et al. 2008).
it appears in the process of repeat expansion driven by LINE elements, rRNA, tRNA, and unclassified elements. Importantly, genome size variation is the net result of sequence gain and loss (Petrov et al. 2000; Symonová 2019; Kapusta et al. 2017) allowing for the possibility of sequence gain by TE expansion in *U. pygmaea*, or alternatively sequence loss of various extent in the other species. As the expansion peaks observed in all species except *N. hubbsi* appear to be relatively recent at divergences below ten percent, a sequence gain scenario is more plausible. As all but the *E. lucius* genome assembly are highly fragmented and lack the completeness of reference genome assemblies, it is however likely that the presented results underestimate the total repeat content, particularly for long repeat sequences. This fragmentation is also a likely explanation for the high cumulative abundance of unclassified repeat sequences in *U. pygmaea*, which taken together exceeds the contribution of DNA transposon sequence to the total assembly size. Furthermore, it is not possible to locate chromosomal fusion sites or potential duplications in the *U. pygmaea* assembly. Further analyses based on a platinum standard long read-based reference assemblies and an extensively curated library of repeat sequences are required to address these questions.

Here, we show that all members of the *Umbra* genus feature a significantly expanded genome, placing the expansion event prior to the separation between the European and North American species. However, only the North American species experienced Robertsonian chromosome fusion events, further reducing their chromosome number significantly. Taken together, our findings show that an activity peak mainly of Tc1/mariner DNA transposons significantly increased the size of the *U. pygmaea* genome. We furthermore find evidence of an ongoing repeat-driven genome expansion in the Alaska blackfish *D. pectoralis*. This makes the family Umbridae and the entire order Esociformes an attractive model system to study exclusively repeat-driven genome expansion and its potential role in speciation.

**Materials and Methods**

**Fish Sampling**

Ten individuals of *Umbra krameri* were descendants from individuals sampled between 1993–1997 from “Fadenbach” between Orth and Eckartsau (Danube Wetlands, National Park in Lower Austria) within a nature conservation project of the provincial government of Lower Austria (Wanzenböck and Spindler 1995). Ten individuals of *U. limi* and ten individuals of *E. niger* were sampled in Quebec, Canada, in autumn 2017. A local professional fisherman provided samples of two individuals of *E. lucius* at Lake Mondsee in 2016. Further specimens of *E. lucius* and *E. cisalpinus* were analyzed in our earlier study (Symonová et al. 2017). This included 8-month-old specimens (12 males, nine females, and one unsexed) from the local fish farm (Olsztyn, Poland) sampled for cytogenetic analyses.

**Genome Size Determination by Flow Cytometry**

Ethanol fixed red blood cells (RBC) of *U. krameri* and *E. lucius*, respectively, were used for genome size determination following (Lamatsch et al. 2000) with chicken RBC as internal standard (C-value 1.25 pg per nucleus). We determined genome size by flow cytometry using the Attune™ Nxt Acoustic Focusing Cytometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Vienna, Austria). We applied instrument settings optimized using the AttuneR Cytometric Software. We used forward scatter (FSC) and VL1 violet fluorescence (405 nm excitation, bandpass filter 440/50 nm) as triggers for all measurements (supplementary fig. S1, Supplementary Material online). We analyzed 20,000 cells or sample volumes of 0.1 ml at a flow rate of 0.025 ml/min, gating only singlet cells to minimize background. DAPI is an AT-specific stain, which can yield inaccurate results when the AT/GC content of the sample and internal standard differ significantly. Chicken and *E. lucius* RBC, however, show a very similar AT-content of 57.73% (Vinogradov 1998), and 56.8%, (Borisova et al. 1974), respectively, suggesting that the underestimation of the total amount of DNA is negligible. Records on genome size come from the [www.genomesize.com](http://www.genomesize.com) database (Gregory 2020) and the cited literature (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online).

**Comparative Transcriptome Analysis**

We analyzed 24 de novo transcriptome assemblies of the PhyloFish database (Pasquier et al. 2016): bowfin (*Amia calva*), gar (*Lepisosteus oculatus*), European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*), butterflyfish (*Pantodon buchholzi*), arowana (*Osteoglossum bicirrhosum*), elephantnose fish (*Gnathonemus petersii*), Allis shad (*Alosa alosa*), zebrafish (*Danio rerio*), panga (*Pangasianodon hypophthalmus*), a black ghost (*Apterorus albifrons*), cave Mexican tetra (*Asgyntax mexicanus*), surface Mexican tetra (*A. mexicanus*), Northern pike (*Esox lucius*), Eastern mudminnow (*U. pygmaea*), grayling (*Thymallus thymallus*), European whitefish (*Coregonus lavaretus*), American (Lake) whitefish (*Coregonus clupeaformis*), brown trout (*Salmo trutta*), rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*), Ayu (*Plecoglossus altivelis*), Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*), medaka (*Oryzias latipes*), and European perch (*Perca fluviatilis*). The completeness and duplication were assessed with BUSCO v4.1.4 (Simão et al. 2015) using the data set actinopterygiiodb10 containing 3640 genes (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online). For a subset of 10 species, reference genome assemblies and structural genome annotations are available at the NCBI reference sequence database (supplementary table S3, Supplementary Material online). For this subset, de novo
transcriptome assembly completeness and duplication were compared to reference-genome-derived transcriptomes using identical BUSCO settings. Gene counts were separately compared for each of the five gene categories (complete, complete-single-copy, complete-duplicated, fragmented, missing) using the coefficient of determination (supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary Material online). A phylogenetic profile matrix was assembled representing the number of orthologous copies detected for each of the 3640 BUSCO genes across 24 transcriptome assemblies. Hierarchical clustering of the phylogenetic profile matrix was performed using Manhattan distance and the “ward.D2” method implemented in R v3.6.1 to obtain five main gene clusters and 26 subclusters of main cluster III.

Comparative Genome Analysis
The five published (Pan et al. 2021) short-read based scaffold-level genome assemblies of the Esocidae species U. pygmaea, D. pectoralis, N. hubbsi, E. niger, and E. masquinongy, as well as a chromosome-scale reference genome assembly of E. lucius, were used for a comparative genome analysis (see supplementary table S5, Supplementary Material online for accession numbers). The haploid genome size for all short-read data sets was estimated using jellyfish v2.3 (Marc ¸ais and Kingsford 2011) to generate the k-mer histograms (k = 21) and then fitting a mixture model with GenomeScope v1.0 (Vurture et al. 2017). For each of the six genomes, a de novo repeat library was generated using RepeatModeler 2.0.1 (Smit and Hubley 2008), which yielded between 2,547 and 4,983 sequences per species. These libraries were then combined and clustered using usearch v11.0.667 with 80% similarity cutoff to obtain a single nonredundant repeat library for the order Esociformes, which yielded a total of 14,856 sequences. Censor 4.2.29 (Jurka et al. 1996) was used as a chromosome-scale reference genome assembly of E. lucius, were used for a comparative genome analysis (see supplementary table S5, Supplementary Material online for accession numbers). The haploid genome size for all short-read data sets was estimated using jellyfish v2.3 (Marc ¸ais and Kingsford 2011) to generate the k-mer histograms (k = 21) and then fitting a mixture model with GenomeScope v1.0 (Vurture et al. 2017). For each of the six genomes, a de novo repeat library was generated using RepeatModeler 2.0.1 (Smit and Hubley 2008), which yielded between 2,547 and 4,983 sequences per species. These libraries were then combined and clustered using usearch v11.0.667 with 80% similarity cutoff to obtain a single nonredundant repeat library for the order Esociformes, which yielded a total of 14,856 sequences. Censor 4.2.29 (Jurka et al. 1996) was used to identify de novo sequences in addition to the core repetitive tract. To that end, the repetitive sequence was used as a query in a dot plot analysis using the dotter (Sonnhammer and Durbin 1995), allowing the exact definition of the entire repetitive element. Complete elements were then analyzed for similarity with known TEs as defined in the latest version of RepBase (Bao et al. 2015) and/or for the presence of structural features typical of known TE elements such as the inverted repeats of DNA TEs. This allowed the association of 13 elements to a TE class including 2 cases with only diagnostic structural features (supplementary table S7, Supplementary Material online). In addition, one satellite and one tandem repeat sequence were identified, leaving a total of 14 sequences unclassified. The curated sequences are provided at Zenodo (DOI 10.5281/zenodo.5166944) and https://github.com/roblehman/mamniardinae_genome_expansion.

Chromosome Analyses and Fluorescence in Situ Hybridization
Telomeric DNA repeats on chromosomes of Umbra and Esox species were detected by FISH, using a telomere PNA (peptide nucleic acid) FISH Kit/FITC (DAKO, Denmark) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Slides with the metaphase spreads were washed with buffer (Tris-buffered saline, pH 7.5) for 2 min, immersed in 3.7% formaldehyde in 1 × TBS for 2 min, washed twice in TBS for 5 min each, and treated with the Pretreatment solution including Proteinase K (DAKO) for 10 min. Afterward, slides were washed twice in TBS buffer for 5 min each, dehydrated through a cold (−20 °C) ethanol series (70%, 85%, 96%) for 1 min each, and air-dried at room temperature. Ten microliters of FITC PNA telomere probe mix (DAKO) was dropped on the prepared slides and covered with the coverslip. Chromosomal DNA was denatured at 85 °C for 5 min under the coverslip in the presence of the PNA probe. The hybridization reaction took place in the darkness at room temperature for 90 min. After hybridization, the coverslips were gently removed by immersion of slides in the Rinse Solution (DAKO) for 1 min. Slides were then washed in the Wash Solution (DAKO) for 5 min at 65°C and dehydrated by immersion through a series of cold ethanol washes of 70%, 85%, 96% for 1 min each and air-dried at room temperature. For the counterstaining, chromosomes were slide.
mounted in the VECTASHIELD Antifade Mounting Medium containing DAPI (Vector Laboratories, USA).

Metaphase plates were analyzed under a Zeiss Axio Imager A1 microscope equipped with a fluorescent lamp and a digital camera. The images were electronically processed using the Band View/FISH View software (Applied Spectral Imaging, Galilee, Israel). FISH with 5S rDNA probe was performed according to Fujwara et al. (1998) with slight modification (Kirtiklis et al. 2014). A 5S rDNA probe was obtained via PCR with forward primer 5S-1: 5’—TACGCC CGATCT CGT CCG ATC—3’ and reverse primer 5S-2: 5’—CAG GCTGGT ATG GCC GTA AGC-3’ (Pendas et al. 1994). The PCR reaction was carried out in a 50 μl reaction volume containing 1.25 U GoTaq Flexi DNA Polymerase (Promega, USA), 10 μl of 5X Flexi Reaction Buffer (Promega, USA), 100 μM of each dNTP (Promega, USA), 3 mM of MgCl2 (Promega, USA), 10 pM of each primer, 2 μl of DNA template, and nuclelease-free water. PCR product, obtained after 30 cycles of amplification and annealing at 55 °C, was purified using the GeneElute PCR Clean-Up Kit (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), then labeled with biotin-16-dUTP (Roche, Germany) by nick-translation method (Roche, Switzerland). In situ hybridization with 150 ng of rDNA probe per slide was performed with RNase-pre-treated and formamide-denaturated chromosome slides. A posthybridization wash was performed at 37 °C for 20 min. Chromosome slides were subjected to the detection with avidin-FITC (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) and then counterstained with DAPI in VECTASHIELD Antifade Mounting Medium (Vector Laboratories, USA). At least 15 metaphase chromosome spreads from each specimen were analyzed using a Nikon Eclipse 90i (Nikon, Japan) microscope equipped with epifluorescence. Pictures were acquired using a monochrome ProgRes MFcool camera (Jenoptic, Germany) controlled by a Lucia software ver. 2.0 (Laboratory Imaging, Czech Republic). Postprocessing elaboration of all the pictures was made based on CorelDRAW Graphics Suite 11 (Corel Corporation, Canada).

Southern and Slot Blot Hybridizations of 5S rDNA

The procedure followed the protocol described by Koukalova et al. (2010). The 5S rDNA probe was a 243 bp insert of the 5S_Eci_a clone (GenBank KX965716) from E. cisalpinus. The plasmid insert was amplified and labeled with the 32P-dCTP (DekaPrime kit, Fermentas, Lithuania). The probe was hybridized at high stringency conditions (washing 2x SSC, 0.1% SDS followed by 0.1x SSC, 0.1% SDS at 65°C). The hybridization signals were visualized by Phosphor imaging (Typhoon 9410, GE Healthcare, PA, USA), and signals were quantified using ImageQuant software (GE Healthcare, PA, USA). The copy number of 5S rDNA genes was estimated using slot blot hybridization. Briefly, the DNA concentration was estimated spectrophotometrically at OD260nm using a Nanodrop 3300 fluorospectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Concentrations were verified by the electrophoresis in agarose gels using dilutions of lambda DNA as standards. The three dilutions of genomic DNA (100, 50, and 25 ng), together with serial dilutions of unlabeled plasmid inserts corresponding to the 5S monomers (GenBank KX965715-6). Each aliquot was denatured in 0.4 M NaOH and blotted onto a positively charged Nylon membrane (Hybond XC, GE Healthcare, USA) using a vacuum slot blottor (Schleicher-Schuell, Germany). The probe and the hybridization conditions and visualization of signals were the same as described above.

DNA Methylation Analysis of 5S rDNA

Purified genomic DNA samples of U. krameri (K1–K3), U. limi (L1–L3), and from E. lucius (two individuals as a control) were digested with methylation-sensitive HpaII (sensitive to CG methylation) and its methylation-insensitive MspI enzymes (both enzymes are cutting at CCGG). The restriction fragments were hybridized on blots with the alphap32PdCTP-labelled 5S rDNA probe. Control of digestion efficiency was carried out by spiking the Esoc genomic DNA with a nonmethylated plasmid DNA (pBluescript, Stratagen) and subsequent hybridization with a plasmid probe. Both MspI and HpaII enzymes yielded expected restriction fragments (not shown).

Supplementary Material

Supplementary data are available at Genome Biology and Evolution online.
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