Prophylactic use of antibiotic coated intramedullary nail in open tibia fractures
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Abstract

Background and Aim: Open fracture of tibia is one of the most common injuries seen in orthopedic practice. The appropriate treatment of open tibial fractures is one of the priority problems in modern era of traumatology. Present study was done with an aim to assess functional and clinical outcome after intramedullary locking nail fixation of open fracture shaft of tibia.

Material and Methods: This prospective study was conducted in the Department of Orthopedics, Gujarad Adani Institute of Medical Science, Bhuj, Kutch, Gujarat, India. 40 patients were treated over a period of 16 months with gentamicin coated tibia interlocking nail after taking written and informed consent. Antibiotic coated tibia interlocking nail with property of sustained release of gentamicin was used. The coating contains combination of gentamicin and biodegradable polymeric carrier Poly. An average size nail carries 100 mg (1 mg/cm2) gentamicin drug. Radiological Union was assessed using RUST Score and clinical assessment results were graded as excellent, good, fair and poor.

Results: The most common cause of injury was found to be due to road traffic accident. Fibula fracture associated with an absence of deep wound infections. Antibiotic coated tibia interlocking nail is a good treatment option for open tibial fractures, yields good functional outcome with less complications in these fractures and should be used whenever indicated.

Conclusion: In this study we showed that use of antibiotic coated nail to treat open tibial fracture was associated with an absence of deep wound infections. Antibiotic coated tibia interlocking nail is a good treatment option for open tibial fractures, yields good functional outcome with less complications in these fractures and should be used whenever indicated.
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Introduction

Tibia shaft fractures are the most common long-bone fractures among adults and children [1]. They have a deep socio-economic impact, accounting for approximately 26 fractures per 100,000 and 569,000 hospital days per year [1,2]. Men have a three times higher risk of fracture than women. Incidence increases in young adults who suffer high-energy trauma or in elderly people who suffer low-energy trauma directed towards poor quality bone tissue due to osteoporosis [3]. Incidence of nonunion in the general population is approximately 12% of all tibia fractures, and in open fractures this can raise up to 23% [4]. Open tibia fractures account for two per 1000 injuries [5]. Open fracture of tibia is one of the most common injuries seen in orthopaedic practice. The appropriate treatment of open tibial fractures is one of the priority problems in modern era of traumatology. Tibia, being a subcutaneous bone, increases the chance of infection and nonunion. In choosing the optimal mode of treatment, one has to consider the importance of associated soft tissue injuries along with the configuration of fracture. Most of the orthopaedic trauma infections are caused by biofilm-forming bacteria [6]. Biofilm consists of hydrated matrix of polysaccharide and protein. Once formed, it protects the microorganism from antimicrobials, opsonization, and phagocytosis, thus contributing to the chronicity of infections [7]. In order to cure biofilm-related infection, four principles formulated by Cierny and Mader must be observed: (1) complete surgical debridement with dead space management, (2) fracture/nonunion stabilization, (3) soft tissue coverage, and (4) adequate antibiotic levels [8].
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After using advance surgical techniques and antibiotics, there are chances of wound infection and osteomyelitis. Gustilo grade III open fractures, rate of deep infection is about 80%. According to other studies, on increasing grades of Gustilo the chances of infection increase. The effectiveness of systemic antibiotics is limited in reducing risk of infection with use of prosthesis and osteosynthetic devices [9, 10, 11]. Once implant gets infected, then it requires implant removal, debridement and long term antibiotic therapy. This implant related infection is prevented by delivering the antibiotics locally acting on tissue implant interface. One of such method is using a polyactic acid (PLA) coated intramedullary nail releasing gentamicin [8, 11]. There are several studies showing reduction in implant related infection using antibiotic coated implants.

Materials and Methods
This prospective study was conducted in the Department of Orthopaedics, Gujarat Adani Institute of Medical Science, Bhuj, Kutch, Gujarat, India. 40 patients were treated over a period of 16 months with gentamicin coated tibia interlocking nail after taking written and informed consent.

Inclusion criteria
1. >18 years of age.
2. Open fractures gustillo Anderson type 1, 2, 3A fracture.

Exclusion criteria
1. Associated with head injury.
2. Pathological fracture, fracture non-union and delayed union.
3. Patients not willing and medically unfit for surgery.
4. Patients who are pregnant, breast-feeding or planning to become pregnant during the study, a known allergy to aminoglycosides

Antibiotic coated tibia interlocking nail with property of sustained release of gentamicin was used. The coating contains combination of gentamicin and biodegradable polymeric carrier Poly. An average size nail carries 100 mg (1 mg/cm2) gentamicin drug. After performing pre-anesthetic check-up, all patients were operated under spinal/epidural anesthesia. Patient was painted and sterile draping done. Knee flexed to 90° and entry point was made from the bare area over the tibial tuberosity under image intensifier. After passing the guidewire, serial reaming was done. Appropriate size of antibiotic coated nail measured and inserted into the medullary canal. I.V. antibiotics were given for 5 days post-operatively. Patient followed post-operatively at 1, 2, 3 and 6 months for outcome assessment.

Statistical analysis
The recorded data was compiled and entered in a spreadsheet computer program (Microsoft Excel 2007) and then exported to data editor page of SPSS version 15 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). For all tests, confidence level and level of significance were set at 95% and 5% respectively.

Results
All 40 patients (32 males and 8 females) were followed up for minimum of six months duration. The radiological Union was assessed using RUST Score (Table 1) and clinical assessment results were graded as excellent, good, fair and poor (Table 2). The study comprised 76.7% of the patients between 18-40 years of age and 23.3% of the patients between 41-60 years of age. In this study, there was predominance of male population. Males were 80% against females 20% (Table 1). The most common cause of injury was found to be due to road traffic accident. Fibula fracture was associated with 92.5% of patients. Time taken in wound healing in majority of patients was less than 5 weeks (45%) (Table 2). Majority of patients (47.5%) had RUST score 8 at six months of duration (Table 3). Two cases got infected in this study and in one case there was non-union. Average duration of hospital stay was 15 days. Large numbers of patients had fair outcome 45% (Table 4).

Discussion
The treatment of infected nonunion requires procedures to control the infection and to provide stability in order to achieve union.11 There is no single universally accepted modality of treatment presently available for the management of infected nonunion. Traditionally, infected nonunions have been managed using two-step procedure to control the infection first and subsequently to treat the nonunion. Delivery of antibiotics to the infection site systemically or locally is essential in order to control infection. Long term infection and repeated debridement create excessive fibrosis around the nonunion site and hinder antibiotic permeability.12 Hence, delivery of antibiotics to the local site is far more beneficial than systemic administration of antibiotics. The use of antibiotic-impregnated polymethylmethacrylate cement beads for local delivery of antibiotics without any systemic toxicity has been well documented for the management of osteomyelitis and open fractures [13, 14]. However, these antibiotic cement beads do not provide any stability across fracture site and cannot be placed in the intramedullary canal as it entails difficult removal due to fibrous ingrowths. The antibiotics that are used for this purpose should have a broad
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**Table 1: Gender wise distribution of study participants**

| Gender | Number | Percentage (%) |
|--------|--------|----------------|
| Male   | 32     | 80             |
| Female | 8      | 20             |
| Total  | 40     | 100            |

**Table 2: Time taken in wound healing**

| Time taken in wound healing in weeks | Number | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------------------------|--------|----------------|
| ≤5 weeks                             | 18     | 45             |
| 5-7 weeks                            | 12     | 30             |
| 8-10 weeks                           | 6      | 15             |
| Not healed                           | 4      | 10             |
| Total                                | 40     | 100            |

**Table 3: Radiological union at six month (RUST score)**

| Radiological union at 6 month (RUST score) | Number | Percentage (%) |
|-------------------------------------------|--------|----------------|
| 4                                         | 6      | 15             |
| 8                                         | 19     | 47.5           |
| 10                                        | 10     | 25             |
| 12                                        | 5      | 12.5           |
| Total                                     | 40     | 100            |

**Table 4: Clinical outcome**

| Functional outcome | Number | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------|--------|----------------|
| Excellent          | 5      | 12.5           |
| Good               | 11     | 27.5           |
| Fair               | 18     | 45             |
| Poor               | 6      | 15             |
| Total              | 40     | 100            |
spectrum of activity, should be heat stable, have good elution properties from the cement and should have low allergenicity. Most of the researchers in past used a combination of vancomycin with gentamicin or tobramycin. 

This study comprised of 40 patients, out of them 20 patients had grade-I, 16 patients had grade-II and 4 patients had grade-III compounding. In a study by Bhanu Pratap et al., 13 (52%) cases were of grade-I fractures and 12 (48%) cases were others. In other study by Khaled Hamed et al. also exhibited eight (72.72%) patients had Gustilo type I fracture while three (27.27%) patients had type II fracture. Out of 40 patients, fracture union was achieved in 38 patients and only two patients patients undergone non-union. This was in accordance with the study of Bhanu Pratap et al. and Thomas Fuchs et al., where none of the patient underwent non-union. In a study of 25 patients by Bhanu Pratap et al., infection in two (8.0%) patients were observed. In a similar study Thomas Fuchs et al. out of 19 patients noticed infection in only one (5.26%) patient. These findings are consistent with the findings of our study where in a group of 40 patients, infection was found only in two patients. The average time taken by fracture to unite in various studies was around 9-24 weeks. Average time of wound healing in our study was 4.15 weeks.

Conclusion
Implant related infection pose an important challenge in the surgical treatment of tibia shaft fracture. Local administration of antibiotics might minimize the risk of infection. In this study we showed that use of antibiotic coated nail to treat open tibial fracture was associated with an absence of deep wound infections. Antibiotic coated tibia interlocking nail is a good treatment option for open tibial fractures, yields good functional outcome with less complications in these fractures and should be used whenever indicated. Randomized controlled trials with large sample size and longer duration of follow up are needed to devise a standard treatment protocol for management of open tibia fractures.
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