Leaf and Root Growth, Carbon and Nitrogen Contents, and Gene Expression of Perennial Ryegrass to Different Nitrogen Supplies
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ABSTRACT. Nitrogen greatly impacts plant growth and development. The objective of this study was to characterize growth, nitrogen use, and gene expression of perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne) in response to increasing nitrogen supplies. Perennial ryegrass (cv. Inspire) was grown in sand culture and irrigated with a half-Hoagland solution amended with 0, 0.5, 1.0, 2.5, 5.0, and 7.5 mM nitrogen. Leaf tissues were harvested at 10 days (first cutting) and 20 days (second cutting) and roots were harvested at 20 days. The relatively higher N supply (2.0–7.5 mM) resulted in a larger amount of leaf fresh and dry weight but lower root fresh and dry weight, especially for the second cutting. Root:leaf ratio was higher under low N, but lower under the high N treatment. Leaf N content was relatively higher under 2.5, 5.0, and 7.5 mM N than under the other three treatments, while 2.5 mM N exhibited relatively higher leaf carbon content for both cuttings. Leaf C:N ratio and leaf nitrogen use efficiency (LNUE) decreased with increasing N supplies for the first cutting but were higher under low N (0–1.0 mM) for both cuttings. Leaf C:N ratio and LNUE did not differ among low N and LNUE also remained unchanged among high N for the second cutting. Root N content increased, but the root C:N ratio and root use efficiency (RNUE) decreased with increasing N supplies, especially under 2.5, 5.0, and 7.5 mM N. Low (0.5 mM), moderate (2.5 mM), and high (7.5 mM) N were chosen to examine the expression level of NR encoding nitrate reductase and GS1b encoding glutamine synthetase. Treatment of 0.5 mM N had higher expression levels of leaf NR than other two treatments for both cuttings and a higher level of leaf GS for the second cutting. Expression of NR in the roots did not vary among treatments but the expression of GS increased under 2.5 and 7.5 mM, compared with the 0.5 mM N. Differential leaf and root growth and physiological responses to low N (0 to 1 mM) and to moderate to high N (2.5 to 7.5 mM) could be used for examining the natural variation of N use in diverse perennial ryegrass populations.

Nitrogen management is an important cultural practice for perennial grass production. An insufficient N supply can weaken plants, but excessive applications of N may also lead to problems of plant health and vigor. The extensive use of N fertilizers is one of the major factors that may contribute to cost increases in perennial grass management. Plants use only a certain amount of N; extra N not used by plants can be lost via leakage into the atmosphere, groundwater, lakes, and rivers, which pollutes soil and water (Masclaux-Daubresse et al., 2010). It has been estimated that 50% to 70% of the N provided to the soil is lost (Good et al., 2004). Therefore, the possibility of lowering fertilizer input and developing new cultivars with improved N use efficiency (NUE) is desirable for optimizing perennial grass management and production.

Nitrogen is directly correlated with increased plant biomass production (Sinclair and Vadez, 2002). Efficient use of N has been associated with nitrogen uptake efficiency and NUE. Nitrogen uptake is strongly influenced by the roots, and larger root systems may facilitate N uptake, especially under N-limiting environments. Both shoot and root weight of several cool-season grass species were reduced under low-N conditions, but to a lesser extent in roots, resulting in an increase in the root:shoot ratio in plant species (Skinner and Comas, 2010). For warm-season grasses, st. augustinegrass (Stenotaphrum secundatum) had greater N partitioning to roots than bermudagrass (Cynodon dactylon), bahiagrass (Paspalum notatum), and zoysiagrass (Zoysia japonica) based on root length, root:shoot ratio, and N content in roots, suggesting that st. augustinegrass has a potential for improved N retention in roots (Poudel et al., 2013). In finger millet (Eleusine coracana), declines in shoot and root growth under low N were associated with decreased shoot tiller number, crown root number, total crown root length,
and total lateral root length, but with no consistent changes in root hair traits (Goron et al., 2015). The results demonstrate that lower reductions in root growth and related morphological changes are important traits for adaptation of plants to a low-N environment.

Previous reports showed that species and cultivars vary greatly in growth and NUE in response to N supply. For example, Kentucky bluegrass (Poa pratensis) cultivars differed in NUE (Jiang et al., 2000), and N recovery in clippings was greater for Kentucky bluegrass than perennial ryegrass and tall fescue (Lolium arundinaceum) (Liu and Hull, 2006). Nitrate uptake was positively correlated with NUE in creeping bentgrass (Agrostis stolonifera) but not in perennial ryegrass (Bushoven and Hull, 2001). NUE of barley (Hordeum vulgare) genotypes varying in N response was higher under low N resupply than under normal N resupply after N deprivation (Xu et al., 2016). Collectively, the ability of perennial grass plants to use N depends on the soil type, the environment, and the plant species (Arrobas et al., 2011; Jiang et al., 2000; Liu and Hull 2006; Mattsson and Schioerring 2002; Moir et al., 2012).

Characterization of plant growth responses to variable N conditions and N partitioning mechanisms across different species, cultivars, or tissues can provide a basis for a better understanding of N utilization.

At the cellular and molecular levels, the capacity of N metabolism also influences efficient use of N. It is known that N assimilation involves the reduction of nitrate to nitrite and then to ammonium catalyzed by nitrate reductase (NR) and nitrite reductase (Meyer and Stitt, 2001), and ammonium produced by this process is then converted into organic molecules by the glutamine synthetase (GS)/glutamate synthase pathway (Hirel and Lea, 2001). The higher enzyme activities and expression of genes encoding these enzymes may contribute to N assimilation, especially under low-N conditions. For example, N deficiency increased GS activities in both young and old leaves and roots of creeping bentgrass at 14, 21, and 28 d of treatment (Jiang et al., 2011). The higher NR and GS activities in roots of barley genotypes with low or high NUE were also found under low N supply, and changes in enzyme activities were consistent with upregulated gene expression of HvNR1, HvGS1-1, and HvGS1-2 (Xu et al., 2016). Similarly, low N supply from sodium nitrate and potassium nitrate resulted in a significant increase in the nitrate/nitrite mRNA production in wheat (Triticum aestivum), whereas high concentrations suppressed the expression of NR (Kavoosi et al., 2014). Furthermore, overexpression of a tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) NR gene in wheat improved NUE and increased seed protein content and weight without augmenting N supply (Zhao et al., 2013). The results suggested a positive role of NR in N metabolism. In addition, overexpression of cytosolic GS in rice (Oryza sativa) significantly improved the nitrogen harvest index (Brauer et al., 2011), demonstrating that N partitioning in rice during grain filling could be altered by GSI. However, overexpressing OsGS1-1 and OsGS1-2 resulted in poor plant growth, yield and decreased C:N ratio in the stem of rice, indicating roles of these two genes in N metabolism, particularly under sufficient N conditions (Bao et al., 2014). The results suggest a complex role of GS genes in regulating nitrogen metabolism, depending on plant species and N availability.

Perennial ryegrass is a widely used cool-season forage and turf species. However, this species has shallow roots, with up to 80% of its roots in the top 15 cm of soil (Haynes and Williams, 1993). The limited root system may influence N uptake and utilization, especially under N-limiting conditions. Although nitrogen use and metabolic changes in response to low or high N have been reported in perennial ryegrass (Foito et al., 2013; Liu and Hull, 2006; Rasmussen et al., 2008; Roche et al., 2016), leaf and root growth, N partitioning, and molecular responses of this species to a range of N supplies are not well understood. In particular, how N supply impacts regrowth and N use after foliage removal (clipping) is largely unknown. Therefore, the experiment was designed to characterize plant growth, carbon content, NUE as well as expression of key genes involved in N metabolism in response to increasing N supplies. The results from the study would reveal whole-plant and cellular mechanisms of N metabolism in perennial ryegrass.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials and growth conditions. The perennial ryegrass cultivar Inspire was used in the experiment. The seeds were sown in plastic pots (10 cm diameter, 9 cm deep) containing sand in a greenhouse at Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN. Plants were watered twice per week with a half-strength Hoagland solution (Hoagland and Arnon, 1950) at a pH of 6.5 and an electrical conductivity (EC) of 1.15 dS m⁻¹. Plants were cut once a week to 2–3 cm. During the growing and treatment periods, the average air temperature was 19 ± 1.5 °C and photosynthetic photon flux density was ≈400 μmol m⁻²s⁻¹, with a 10-h light period of natural and artificial light.

N treatment. Before initiation of the N treatments, each pot was irrigated multiple times with deionized water to minimize N in the sand. Also before N treatments, all plants were cut to a height of 2–3 cm so that later measurements would be made on tissue produced after the imposition of N treatment. Beginning 29 Jan. 2016, plants were irrigated daily with a 50 mL half-Hoagland solution amended with 0, 0.5, 1.0, 2.5, 5.0, and 7.5 mM N. The 50 mL of solution provided sufficient irrigation for each pot and allowed free drainage to occur to each pot. KNO₃ and Ca(NO₃)₂ were used as the nitrate sources in the solution. Nitrate level in the Hoagland solution was adjusted to each targeted N level. Potassium and calcium levels were equalized across treatments by adding KCl and CaCl₂ into the lower N treatment solution. pH and EC values were kept the same as described above.

Measurements. Plant height (HT) was measured every 2 d from the soil surface to the top of the uppermost leaf blade. Plants were cut after the treatments were imposed. Specifically, after 10 d of N treatment, grasses were cut to 2–3 cm (the first cutting) and leaves were harvested for determining content of chlorophyll (Chl) and carotenoid (Car), leaf fresh weight (LFW), leaf dry weight (LDW), leaf N and C contents, and gene expression. At the end of the treatment (20 d), leaves were cut again to 2–3 cm (the second cutting) to repeat the various measurements mentioned above. At 21 d, roots were harvested for determining root fresh weight (RFW), root dry weight (RDW), N and C contents, and gene expression.

Leaf Chl and Car were extracted by soaking ~90 mg leaf samples in 15 mL dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) in the dark for 48 h. The absorbance was then read at 665, 649, and 480 nm and Chl and Car contents were calculated using the method of Wellburn (1994). LDW and RDW were measured after drying at 80 °C in an oven for 3 d. The root:leaf ratio was calculated as
RDW/LDW. About 10 mg of ground leaves and 30 mg of ground root samples were analyzed in a dry combustion analyzer (CHN 2000; Leco Corp., St. Joseph, MI) equipped with infrared cell and thermal conductivity detectors for C and N concentrations, respectively. NUE was defined as grams of dry matter per gram N present in the tissue using clippings (Jiang et al., 2000), and was calculated as follows: LNU = LDW/leaf N content; RNUE = RDW/root N content. The C:N ratio was calculated as leaf (or root) C content/leaf (or root) N content.

Real-time quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) was conducted to examine gene expression in leaf and root samples under low (0.5 mM), moderate (2.5 mM), and high (7.5 mM) N treatments. Briefly, total RNA was isolated using a Direct-zol\textsuperscript{TM} RNA MiniPrep Kit (Zymo Research Corp., Irvine, CA) and then used for reverse transcription with an iScript\textsuperscript{TM} cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Reaction mixtures were incubated for 5 min at 25 °C, 30 min at 42 °C, and 5 min at 85 °C. qRT-PCR was performed with a CFX96 Touch\textsuperscript{TM} Real-Time PCR Detection System using an iTaq\textsuperscript{TM} Universal SYBR\textsuperscript{®} Green Kit (Bio-Rad), with reaction for 3 min at 95 °C followed by 40 amplification cycles of 10 s at 95 °C and 1 min at 60 °C. Primers for amplification were as follows: for \textit{NR}, forward 5'-GCTGACAGCAGCTCCTCATCAA-3' and reverse 5'-GACAGATTGTCGGGATTTGAG-3'; for \textit{GS}1b, forward 5'-AGGACAGTGGAAGTCATCTCTCA-3' and reverse 5'-GTGTGTGAGCAGTCGACATA-3'. Perennial ryegrass elongation factor \textalpha-alpha (forward 5'-GAGATGCACGCAAGCTCTAG-3' and reverse 5'-CCACATCCACCGTGTGATA-3') was used as a housekeeping gene for internal control. The transcript level of gene under each treatment was calculated as fold expression compared with housekeeping internal control. The analysis included three biological replicates (three pots) and three technical replicates for each treatment.

**DATA ANALYSIS.** The experiment was a randomized complete block design three replicates (three blocks). Treatments were arranged randomly in each replicate regime. Statistical analysis was performed with SAS (version 9.1; SAS Institute, Cary, NC). The means of the trait for the treatment were separated by using least significant difference at a significance level of 0.05. Means followed by the same letter within treatments for a given cutting are not significantly different at \( P < 0.05 \). Bars indicate SD.

**Results and Discussion**

**GROWTH RESPONSES.** The HT grown during the first 10 d of treatment increased 5.0, 4.5, 4.8, 5.3, 6.1, and 5.5 cm under 0, 0.5, 1.0, 2.5, 5.0, and 7.5 mM N, respectively, compared with plants before treatment (Fig. 1). Plants supplied with 0.5 mM N had the lowest HT, while plants supplied with 5.0 mM N had the highest HT. For the second cutting, larger variations in HT were observed among treatments, where HT grown during treatment increased 2.5, 2.9, 3.2, 4.7, 5.6, and 5.6 cm under 0, 0.5, 1.0, 2.5, 5.0, and 7.5 mM N, respectively, compared with plants before treatment (Fig. 1). The higher HT values were found under 2.5, 5.0, and 7.5 mM N, while a lower HT was observed under 0, 0.5, and 1.0 mM N, particularly at 6 and 9 d after treatment. HT did not differ among 0, 0.5, and 1.0 mM N for the second cutting.

No differences in LFW were found among 0, 0.5, 1.0, and 2.5 mM N for the first cutting, whereas plants treated with 5.0 and 7.5 mM N had ≈80% higher LFW than those supplied with 0, 0.5, or 1.0 mM N (Fig. 2). Similar responses of LDW were found under different N treatments. However, larger variations of both LFW and LDW were noted among treatments for the second cutting. Specifically, compared with non-N, LFW, and LDW did not change under the 0.5 mM N but significantly increased by 63.2%, 1.6-, 2.9-, and 3.0-fold under 1.0, 2.5, 5.0, and 7.5 mM N, respectively. No differences in LFW and LDW were observed between the 5.0 and 7.5-mM N treatments for the second cutting. The results indicated that regrowth after cutting indicated by HT, LFW, and LDW were severely affected by N treatments.

Chlorophyll and Car contents were unaffected by N treatments for the first cutting, whereas differences in Chl and Car were found among N treatments for the second cutting (Fig. 2). Chlorophyll did not differ among 2.5, 5.0, and 7.5 mM N, but plants grown under these three treatments maintained higher Chl than those receiving non-N or 0.5 mM N. Compared with the non-N treatment, Chl significantly increased by 19.3%, 19.7%, 24.6%, 11.7%, and 9.5% under 0.5, 1.0, 2.5, 5.0, and 7.5 mM N, respectively. Unlike Chl, Car remained unchanged among all N treatments except for the non-N, with a lower Car observed in the leaves, compared with 2.5-, 5.0-, 7.5-mM N treatments.

Nitrogen is a major component of the Chl molecule and largely determines leaf color and the health of a grass plant.
However, Chl did not change under low N (e.g., 0 and 0.5 mM N) until the second cutting, suggesting that Chl responded less rapidly and pronouncedly to low N than growth indicated by LDW (Fig. 1). It could be more related to lowering shoot density in grass plants under low N (Goron et al., 2015). Across 10 cool-season grass species in a controlled environment, plants responded to low N by decreasing shoot and root growth, but to a greater extent in shoots, resulting in an increase in root:shoot ratio (Skinner and Comas, 2010). Low N resulted in lower shoot dry weight, higher RDW, and higher root:shoot ratio of maize compared with the control, with increasing time of treatments (Gao et al., 2015). Also in maize, 12 genotypes all had higher root:shoot ratio under the low-N treatment, indicating that shoot growth was more reduced than root growth (Sen et al., 2016). Our results in perennial ryegrass also demonstrated that non-N and low-N conditions had a significantly higher root:leaf ratio. Similar values of HT and LDW found between the 5.0 and 7.5 mM N suggested that perennial ryegrass growth was unaffected under optimal and suboptimal N conditions. Shoot and root dry growth in response to nitrogen supply can be mediated by cytokinins and sucrose (Van der Werf and Nagel, 1996). Under low-N condition, a small import of cytokinins occurs from roots to leaves, which results in a reduced photosynthetic capacity and rate of leaf expansion; so a relatively large fraction of sugars is available for roots, which enhances root growth (Van der Werf and Nagel, 1996). In addition, factors such as presence or absence of defoliation,
species, cultivars, and experiment design can all cause variations of plant response to low N.

**C AND N CONTENT AND NUE.** Leaf N content increased with increasing N supplies for the first cutting, ranging from 3.3% to 5.1% from non-N to 7.5 mM N (Fig. 4). Plants treated with 7.5 mM N had higher N content than all other treatments, but no differences in N content were observed between 2.5 and 5.0 mM N as well as between 0.5 and 1.0 mM N. For the second cutting, treatments of 2.5, 5.0, and 7.5 mM N showed higher N content than that of 0, 0.5, and 1.0 mM N, whereas leaf N content did not differ among these three treatments. The non-N treatments had the lowest C content (42.5%) for the first cutting (Fig. 4). Unlike N content in leaves, there were no differences in C content from 1.0- to 7.5-mM N treatments for the first cutting as well as among 0, 0.5, and 1.0 mM and among 2.5, 5.0, and 7.5 mM N for the second cutting, respectively. Also, the 2.5-mM N treatment exhibited the highest C content, which was 43.8% for the first cutting and 44.2% for the second cutting.

Leaf C:N ratio decreased with increasing N supplies for the first cutting, ranging from 12.8% to 8.5% from non-N to 7.5 mM N (Fig. 4). For the second cutting, leaf C:N ratio ranged from 14.0% for non-N treatment to 9.3% for 7.5 mM N, but it was similar under 0, 0.5, and 1.0 mM N. The 2.5-mM N treatment had a higher C:N ratio than 7.5 mM N. Similarly, LNUE decreased with increasing N supplies, ranging from 30.2% to 19.7% for the first cutting and 32.7% to 19.2% for the second cutting from non-N to 7.5 mM N, respectively (Fig. 4). Plants supplied with 0, 0.5, and 1.0 mM N had higher LNUE than with 2.5, 5.0, and 7.5 mM N, while there were no significant changes in LNUE among 0, 0.5, and 1.0 mM as well as among 2.5, 5.0, and 7.5 mM N for the second cutting.

For roots, N content significantly increased with increasing N supplies (Fig. 5). Specifically, N content was 0.80%, 0.88%, 0.96%, 1.19%, 1.43%, and 1.86% under 0, 0.5, 1.0, 2.5, 5.0, and 7.5 mM N, respectively. However, no differences in root C content were found across treatments. The root C:N ratio decreased with increasing N supplies and was 49.9%, 44.7%, 33.2%, 35.1%, 27.6%, and 22.2% under 0, 0.5, 1.0, 2.5, 5.0, and 7.5 mM N, respectively. Similar to the C:N ratio, RNUE decreased with increasing N supplies across treatments and was 125.7, 114.6, 102.8, 84.3, 69.7, and 54.0 g C/g under 0, 0.5, 1.0, 2.5, 5.0, and 7.5 mM N, respectively (Fig. 5).

Turf and forage grasses are subject to frequent defoliation by herbivores or...
mechanical harvesting. Regrowth of grasses after cutting could be related to the availability of carbon and nitrogen reserves in the remaining tissues (Volenc et al., 1996). Our results supported this observation and demonstrated that decreasing N supply after the first cutting decreased the availability of N reserves in the leaves (the second cutting) and the absolute amount of N subsequently remobilized to the roots (Figs. 4 and 5). NUE is an important parameter indicating growth status in response to N availability in perennial turf species. NUE often decreases with increasing N applications in plant species (Campbell et al., 1993; Greef, 1994; Jiang and Hull, 1998). In this study, similar values of LNUE between 2.5 and 7.5 mM N for the second cutting suggested that high productivity, expressed as biomass in perennial grass, did not necessarily depend on high NUE when N supply was not too limiting. Efficiency of N use by perennial ryegrass may be associated with partitioning of C and N between roots and shoots, especially when defoliation occurred. For example, low-N treatments (0–1.0 mM) had similar leaf N and C, leaf C:N ratio, LNUE, and root C, but root N, root C:N ratio, and RNUE differed under these two treatments. The results indicated that cellular carbon and nitrogen metabolism might be tightly coordinated to sustain optimal growth and development for plants and other cellular organisms (Zheng, 2009). Such coordination can be altered by low, moderate, and high N supply.

**Gene expression.** The treatment of 0.5 mM N showed significantly higher expression levels of leaf NR than 2.5- and 7.5-mM N treatments, whereas no differences in gene expression of leaf NR were found between 2.5- and 7.5-mM N treatments for both cuttings (Fig. 6). Expression of leaf GS1b did not differ among three treatments for the first cutting but was significantly higher in 0.5 mM N than the other two treatments for the second cutting (Fig. 6). Expression of NR

---

Fig. 5. Root nitrogen content (RN), root carbon content (RC), root carbon to nitrogen ratio (R-C:N), and root nitrogen use efficiency (RNUE) as affected by 0-, 0.5-, 1.0-, 2.5-, 5.0-, and 7.5-mM nitrogen supply in perennial ryegrass accessions by one-way analysis of variance. Measurements are made after the second cutting at 20 d after treatment. Treatments are compared within the second cutting using least significant difference. Means followed by the same letter within treatments for a given cutting are not significantly different at \( P < 0.05 \). Bars indicate sd.

Fig. 6. Leaf transcript level of NR encoding nitrogen reductase and GS1b encoding glutamine synthetase as affected by 0.5-, 2.5-, and 7.5-mM nitrogen supply in perennial ryegrass accessions by one-way analysis of variance. The first cutting takes place at 10 d after treatment and the second cutting occurs at 20 d after treatment. Treatments are compared within each cutting using least significant difference. Means followed by the same letter within treatments for a given cutting are not significantly different at \( P < 0.05 \). Bars indicate sd.
in roots did not alter among three treatments for the first cutting, while expressions of GS1b were significantly higher under 7.5 mM N than other two treatments (Fig. 7).

Nitrates reductase is responsible for the primary assimilation of nitrate. Nitrate reduction occurs both in leaves and roots of perennial ryegrass. Nitrate reduction occurred primarily in the roots then shifts to the shoots in N-deficient grass (Bowman and Paul, 1988). Nitrogen form (nitrate, ammonium) did not affect partitioning of the absorbed N between roots and shoots but did affect growth (Bowman and Paul, 1988). Stronger expression of NR in the leave under 0.5 mM N relative to high N condition in this study supported the finding of nitrate reduction described above. The results were also consistent with the research findings in barley (Xu et al., 2016) and wheat (Kavoosi et al., 2014). However, the levels of NR transcript can be also low in nitrate-deficient plants (Scheible et al., 1997) and were repressed by N metabolites (Meyer and Stitt, 2001; Tsay et al., 2007).

Glutamine synthetase plays a major role in fixing ammonium to form the amino acid glutamine. Responses of GS to nitrogen availability in both roots and leaves are not well understood. Under N deprivation, expression of GS1 gene can be up- or downregulated (Masclaux-Daubresse et al., 2005). We found stronger expression of GS1b in the leaves of perennial ryegrass under lower N, indicating an upregulation of these genes when N is low and a downregulation when N is high. The results were consistent with those found in barley (Xu et al., 2016) and wheat (Kavoosi et al., 2014). However, GS1b was upregulated in the roots under high N condition (Fig. 7). The accumulation of cytosolic GS gene transcripts declined in the nitrogen-repleted roots of oilseed rape (Brassica napus), leading to enhanced translocation of ammonium to the shoots (Finnemann and Schjoerring, 1999). In addition, transcript stability may regulate cytosolic GS in response to nitrogen nutrition (Ortega et al., 2006). Therefore, higher expression of GS1b in the roots in response to high N supply might be due to several reasons including ammonium concentration in the roots or transcript stability, which is not well understood and deserves a further investigation. The inconsistency in GS expression between studies is probably because of the complex regulation of GS. Collectively, expression of genes encoding NR and GS can vary with N availability, species, cultivars, and the experimental procedures.

In conclusion, moderate-to-high N supplies such as 2.5, 5.0, and 7.5 mM N resulted in higher leaf growth, Chl, and leaf and root weight, lower C:N ratio, and lower LNE and RNUE. The non-N and low N (0.5 and 1.0 mM N) had lower N content, higher root growth, higher leaf and root C:N ratio as well as higher LNE and RNUE. Higher expression of NR and GS1b in the leaves was found under low-N treatment but higher expression of GS1b in the roots was observed under moderate-to-high N treatment. Defoliation (cutting) dramatically altered the responses of the plants to various N supplies. Perennial ryegrass supplied with moderate concentrations of N (e.g., 2.5 to 5 mM) could be better balancing of N, C, NUE, and growth, especially after cutting. A range of 0.5 to 1 mM N seemed adequate for phenotyping responses of a large population of perennial ryegrass to low-N conditions.
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