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Abstract

Meiotic recombination plays a crucial role in plant breeding through the creation of new allelic combinations. Therefore, lack of recombination in some genomic regions constitutes a constraint for breeding programmes. In sugar beet, one of the major crops in Europe, recombination occurs mainly in the distal portions of the chromosomes, and so the development of simple approaches to change this pattern is of considerable interest for future breeding and genetics. In the present study, the effect of heat stress on recombination in sugar beet was studied by treating F1 plants at 28 °C/25 °C (day/night) and genotyping the progeny. F1 plants were reciprocally backcrossed allowing the study of male and female meiosis separately. Genotypic data indicated an overall increase in crossover frequency of approximately one extra crossover per meiosis, with an associated increase in pericentromeric recombination under heat treatment. Our data indicate that the changes were mainly induced by alterations in female meiosis only, showing that heterochiasmy in sugar beet is reduced under heat stress. Overall, despite the associated decrease in fertility, these data support the potential use of heat stress to foster recombination in sugar beet breeding programmes.

Introduction

Sugar beet (Beta vulgaris ssp. vulgaris) is one of the major crops in Europe, providing nearly 20% of the world’s sugar production (Statistical Office of the European Communities 2018). It is a source of animal feed and is a feedstock for 30% of the bioethanol produced in Europe (Salazar-Ordóñez et al. 2013). The species has an estimated genome size of around 750 Mb (Arumuganathan and Earle 1991; Dohm et al. 2014), with a diploid complement of 2n = 18 chromosomes.

The original sugar beet breeding pool has been considered genetically narrow given its single origin in white fodder beet (Frese et al. 2009), and there has been a concerted effort in recent decades to broaden the crop’s gene pool. While the introgression of traits from wild relatives, in particular for biotic stress resistance, has been successful (Monteiro et al. 2018), it has also reportedly resulted in the introduction of undesirable traits from the exotic germplasm through linkage drag (Panella and Lewellen 2007).

Recombination, associated with crossing over (CO) during meiosis, fulfils an essential role in plant breeding by reshuffling portions of homologous chromosomes and creating new combinations of alleles. The lack of CO in genomic regions restricts breeding potential as loci remain tightly linked (Wijnker and de Jong 2008). Thus, a better control of this process, by either increasing the number of crossovers or modifying their distribution, could improve breeding programmes by, for example, enhancing breeders’ ability to remove deleterious alleles. The mitotic karyotype of sugar beet and related species has been studied previously and consists of seven chromosomes exhibiting median centromeres, with the remaining two having sub-median centromeres (Bosemark and Bormotov 1971; Paesold et al. 2012; Levan 1942). Classical meiotic studies show a prevalence of rod...
bivalents with occasional ring bivalents during metaphase 1 (Rasmusson and Levan 1939; Levan 1942). Tsuchiya and Nakamura (1979) described distal crossovers in meiosis, showing the bivalents were only held together by the euchromatic distal arms during diakinesis and the presence of rod bivalents at metaphase 1. Such studies imply that in sugar beet there is approximately 1 CO per bivalent, with an occasional extra CO, giving a total of around 9–11 COs per meiotic cell.

As in other species (Colas et al. 2016) there are some inconsistencies between the cytological CO counts and the genetic map lengths published in sugar beet. Early generation molecular genetic maps (McGrath et al. 2007; Schneider et al. 2007) showed some concurrence with the cytology, with genetic maps of around 500–600 cM, that would match the expectation of 450–550 cM reasonably well, given a correspondence of 1 cytological CO to 50 cM. Mapping in a wide cross (sugar beet × table beet) with multiple marker types gave a genetic map length of 526.3 cM across the nine linkage groups (McGrath et al. 2007), and SNP-based maps created from three different F₂ populations gave maps of 507.1, 599.8 and 636.6 cM, with an integrated map of 664.3 cM (Schneider et al. 2007). However, the addition of larger sets of markers, despite forming genomic landmarks for sequencing, led to the inflation of the so-called K1 genetic map of Schneider et al. (2007) from 599.8 to 886.9 cM (Dohm et al. 2012) with most additional loci being interstitial rather than terminal compared to earlier SNPs (Schneider et al. 2007). More recent publications have inflated this further to 1141.4 cM (Holgräwe et al. 2014), anchoring many novel genetic markers but, potentially, substantially over-estimating the recombination frequency in the species. Both the number and distribution of crossovers indicate that recombination overall is limited in sugar beet and that the reduced pericentromeric recombination may not correlate with a lack of gene content (Dohm et al. 2014). These features will limit the precision of introgression breeding and the mapping and cloning of genes located in these genomic areas.

A potential means of modifying crossover frequency and distribution of recombination is through the temporal application of different abiotic stressors. For example, plasticity of recombination under temperature stress has been reported in the literature, albeit with a varied effect in different species (Table 1). The positive correlation of recombination with temperature found in some species such as barley (Phillips et al. 2015; Higgins et al. 2012), wheat–rye hybrids (Kato and Yamagata 1982) and Arabidopsis (Modliszewski et al. 2018) contrasts with a negative correlation in others such as in wheat (Bayliss and Riley 1972) or wild garlic (Loidl 1989). However, the effects described in these studies are difficult to compare given the different experimental designs (Wilson 1959a, b) and methodologies (Table 1). Nevertheless, the potential of temperature effect to be exploited in breeding programmes by increasing the recombination in interstitial regions in species like barley (Phillips et al. 2015) provides the rationale for exploring whether similar effects could be obtained in pericentromeric recombination-cold genomic regions of sugar beet.

The following work describes a study to address the possibility of using heat stress to manipulate recombination distribution using heterozygous F₁ sugar beet plants that were stressed with high temperature conditions during meiosis, followed by performing reciprocal backcrosses (Fig. 1) to distinguish the effects on male and female meiosis. Reciprocal crosses took advantage of the cytoplasmic male sterility (CMS) widely used in commercial hybrid production (Panella and Lewellen 2007), which avoided the need to emasculate flowers under heat stress conditions. CMS is caused by the interaction of a male sterility-inducing mitochondria and at least two nuclear loci called restorer-of-fertility loci (Rf) (Arakawa et al. 2018; Moritani et al. 2013). A sugar beet line containing a sterile cytoplasm will only be sterile if the nuclear restorer is homozygous for the recessive alleles (rf rf). Normal cytoplasm plants with homozygous recessive Rf genes can be used as pollen donors to maintain CMS lines, when the cytoplasm is inherited from the female parent. This system is used to create maintainer lines that can be used to produce male sterile plants with the desired genotypes.

In this study, all the male parental lines used for creating the F₁ plants for the experiment were double haploid (DH) maintainers of cytoplasmic male sterility. Finally, the recombination patterns were assessed by genotyping the Bc₁ progeny and analysing the segregation of polymorphic SNP markers.

Material and methods

Plant material, temperature treatments and backcrosses

Crosses between double haploid lines (named AA and BB in Fig. 1) of sugar beet (Beta vulgaris ssp. vulgaris; 2n = 18) were used to generate different sets of heterozygous F₁s. F₁ plants were grown in control conditions at 20 °C until bolting (the start of the elongation of the primary axis) was observed (Mutasa-Göttgens et al. 2010) prior to any observable floral bud development and any meiosis. Individual plants were then moved to heat stress rooms at 28/25 °C day/night temperature with 16 h of photoperiod, with light supported by infrared lights, while other individual plants were kept in control conditions. The plants were kept in these conditions for six weeks to ensure the temperature treatments would completely cover meiosis starting from pre-meiotic stages. The backcrosses were made during the heat
Table 1: Studies on the effect of temperature on recombination (frequency and distribution) in different species and the direction of the effect (increase/decrease) and change of distribution, if studied. The approach used is also indicated as “Cyt.” for cytological approaches, “Map.” if recombination was assessed by genetic mapping, and “Rep. lines”, if pollen reporter lines were used.

| Species                  | Inbred/outbred | Temp. stress | Temp. effect on CO freq | Temp. effect on CO distr | Meio. sex | Approach       | References         |
|--------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------|
| Allium ursinum           | Outbred        | Heat         | Decrease                | –                        | Male      | Cyt            | Loidl (1989)       |
| Arabidopsis thaliana     | Inbred         | Heat         | Increase                | –                        | Male      | Rep. lines     | Francis et al. (2007) |
| Arabidopsis thaliana     | Inbred         | Heat         | Increase                | –                        | Male      | Cyt            | Modliszewski et al. (2018) |
| Arabidopsis thaliana     | Inbred         | Cold         | Increase                | –                        | Male      | Rep. lines     | Lloyd et al. (2018) |
| Endymion nonscriptus     | Outbred        | Heat         | Decrease                | –                        | Male      | Cyt            | Wilson (1959b)     |
| Endymion nonscriptus     | Outbred        | Cold         | Increase                | –                        | Male      | Cyt            | Wilson (1959b)     |
| Endymion nonscriptus     | Outbred        | Heat         | Decrease                | –                        | Male      | Cyt            | Wilson (1959a)     |
| Fritillaria meleagris    | Outbred        | Heat         | Decrease                | ↑ Proximal               | Male      | Cyt            | Barber (1942)      |
| Hordeum vulgare          | Inbred         | Heat         | Decrease                | ↑ Interstitial           | Male      | Cyt            | Higgins et al. (2012) |
| Hordeum vulgare          | Inbred         | Heat         | Increase                | ↑ Interstitial           | Male      | Map. and Cyt   | Phillips et al. (2015) |
| Hordeum vulgare          | Inbred         | Heat         | No Diff                 | No Diff                  | Female    | Map            | Phillips et al. (2015) |
| Hyacinthus orientalis    | Outbred        | Heat         | Increase                | –                        | Male      | Cyt            | Elliott (1955)     |
| Rheoeo spathacea var. variegata | Outbred | Heat         | Decrease                | ↓ Distal                 | Male      | Cyt            | Lin (1982)         |
| Tradescantia bracteata   | Outbred        | Heat         | Increase and then decrease | ↑ Interstitial           | Male      | Cyt            | Dowrick (1957)     |
| Triticum aestivum        | Inbred         | Heat         | Decrease                | ↑ Interstitial           | Total     | Map            | Coulton et al. (2020) |
| Triticum aestivum        | Inbred         | Heat         | Decrease                | –                        | Male      | Cyt            | Bayliss and Riley (1972) |
| Triticum aestivum nul- lisomic for chr.5D | Inbred | Heat         | Increase                | –                        | Male      | Cyt            | Bayliss and Riley (1972) |
| Triticum aestivum Ph1     | Inbred         | Cold         | Increase                | –                        | Male      | Cyt            | Martín et al. (2017) |
| Triticum aestivum x Secale cereale F1s | Inbred | Heat         | Increase                | –                        | Male      | Cyt            | Kato and Yamagata (1982) |
| Uvularia perfoliata      | Outbred        | Heat         | Increase and then decrease | ↑ Interstitial           | Male      | Cyt            | Dowrick (1957)     |
| Zea mays T5-T6c F1s      | Outbred        | Cold         | Increase                | –                        | Male      | Cyt            | Khan (1955)        |

Fig. 1: Diagrams summarizing the crosses involved in the temperature experiments to analyse female (FM), male (MM) and total meiosis (TM), respectively. The suffix in parenthesis indicates if the plant was male sterile (cms) or maintainer (maint), that is plant with a fertile cytoplasm but recessive alleles in the nucleus. The colour indicates where plants were grown, being black for control conditions and red for heat treatment. All the crosses produced six different populations; control female meiosis (CF), heat female meiosis (HF), control male meiosis (CM), heat male meiosis (HM), control total meiosis (CT) and heat total meiosis (HT).
treatments, when floral buds were observed developing in the branches. Parental homozygous lines (AA) grown under control conditions were used as either male or female parent, and the same crossing regime was carried out under control conditions for the control populations. This way, $F_1 \times AA$ was used to analyse female meiosis (FM) and $AA \times F_1$ to analyse male meiosis (MM). The $F_1$ plants were allowed to self to produce $F_2$ populations which were used for the analysis of total meiosis (TM). Plants were kept in their respective temperature treatments until seeds were developed.

**DNA extractions, genotyping and analysis**

The crosses resulted in six different populations, three per treatment (control or heat): four BC$_1$ populations: control for female meiosis (CF), heat for female meiosis (HF), control for male meiosis (CM), heat for male meiosis (HM) and two $F_2$ populations control for total meiosis (CT), and finally heat for total meiosis (HT). The amount of seed produced per plant from each population was weighed after harvesting and a subset selected for genotyping from two different individual plants per cross-type (three for HF due to limited seed availability). The differences in seed weight means per individual plants per cross-type (three for HF due to limited seed availability). The differences in seed weight means per treatment and population were tested with a $t$-test and plotted using the ggpubr and ggplot packages in R.

Leaf tissue from glasshouse-grown BC$_1$ and $F_2$ plants was collected for DNA extraction using standard in-house protocols in SES Vanderhave, Tienen, Belgium. A total of 230 KASP (LGC) markers with known genomic positions that gave genome-wide coverage in elite material in-house were used to genotype the populations and the data generation, and allele calling was carried out using KRAKEN software (LGC Technologies). The physical positions of the markers, as well as the identification of the centromere flanking markers, were provided from in-house SES VanderHave data. The latter were obtained by the deduction of the centromere position, based on indirect estimators such as the conjunction of Gypsy element density maps, high-density marker genetic maps and gene density maps. After clustering, the data were imported into Excel, where the quality of the segregation patterns was checked and individual markers removed based on quality and monomorphism for the crosses and polymorphism heterogeneity between individuals. Only markers that worked well and showed polymorphism within the six populations (92 loci) were kept for the analysis and comparison of all the BC$_1$ populations, while more marker data (117 loci) were kept for the TM population comparison. This difference in marker numbers was due to a lack of polymorphism in a portion of the BC$_1$ populations suggesting that the individual plants that provided the parental lines used to generate the $F_1$’s were not totally homozygous/homogeneous.

MapDisto 2.0 (Lorieux 2012; Heffelfinger et al. 2017) was used to calculate the genetic maps, using the Kosambi mapping function with a minimum LOD score of 3 and a maximum recombination fraction of 0.37. Although the default marker order was taken into account, the AutoOrder function was used to reorder the markers within linkage groups. Using the calculated genetic distances, the genetic maps were represented and drawn together using Mapchart (Voorrips 2002). The differences between the genetic chromosomes and centromere flanking genetic intervals for each treatment were tested and plotted using the Wilcoxon’s signed rank test (as in Devaux et al. 1995) with the R ggpubr function and ggpubr and ggplot packages.

The significance of the temperature treatment and sex on recombination was tested using Genstat (VSNi) by fitting generalized linear models with a Poisson distribution with sex, heat treatment and chromosome (and their interactions) as fixed effects and marker interval as a random effect. The differences between the chromosomes and centromere flanking genetic intervals for each treatment were also tested and plotted using the Wilcoxon’s signed rank test (as in Devaux et al. 1995) with the R ggpubr function and ggpubr and ggplot packages. Differences in recombination between the pericentromeric region for each of the chromosomes under the different treatments were also assessed by comparing the number of crossovers per individual for each treatment by a $\chi^2$ squared test with a contingency table.

**Cytology**

Inflorescence branch material measuring approximately 15 cm was collected and fixed in 3:1 ethanol/acetic acid (EtOH/AA) fixative in 50-mL Greiner centrifuge tubes (Sigma-Aldrich) and stored at 4 °C. After two weeks, the fixative was replaced with 70% ethanol for longer-term storage. Anthers were dissected using a stereo microscope (LEICA) and staged with a light microscope (Olympus CK2) using aceto-carmine staining. Metaphase spread slides were prepared as described by Higgins et al. (2012) with modifications: Counterstaining solution with HOECHST 33,342 and mounting in Vectashield (H-1000) was sealed with nail varnish as described in Colas et al. (2016). The differences between the counts in each treatment were compared using the R ggpubr and ggplot packages.

**Results**

**Cytology of male meiosis in sugar beet**

Fixing inflorescence branches provided material to allow the progression of meiosis under control conditions to be followed (Fig. 2). Visualization was achieved following anther dissection and squashing of different sized flower buds. An overall correlation was found between flower bud diameter, dissected anther size and the meiotic stages observed.
The first meiotic division (Fig. 2a–h) and the second (Fig. 2i–k) were observed in flower buds of 1.5 mm with earlier stages found in anthers sizes of 0.25–0.5 mm.

We observed classical changes in chromosome structure expected with nine pairs of chromosomes visible at metaphase II (Fig. 2j). Nine bivalents were also visible at metaphase I (Figs. 2g, 3d,e) but clearer at diakinesis where the chromosomes were less clustered (Figs. 2f, 3b–c). The bivalent structure apparent at diakinesis indicated that most were rod bivalents with the chromosomes held by a single CO in only one arm rather than ring bivalents held by CO in both arms (Fig. 3b). Cytological preparations of anthers from heat-stressed inflorescences indicated that critical stages of meiosis I were similar in control (Fig. 3a–e) and heat-stressed conditions (Fig. 3f–j).

The spread of bivalents at diakinesis allowed an estimation of the number of chiasmata (the physical manifestation of CO) per cell for male meiosis in both control and heat-stressed plants.

The analysed images of 10 and 21 cells for control and heat treatment, respectively, showed no significant difference in the total chiasmata numbers between treatments, with an average of 10.1 and 9.8 chiasmata for control and heat treatment, respectively (Fig. 4a). There was a predominance of rod bivalents for both treatments (74% for both control and heat treatment) followed by ring bivalents (13% and 12%), finally 3% and 8% of univalents (no CO) (Fig. 4b–d); however, none of these differences between treatments were found to be statistically significant. The presence of univalents was unexpected, especially in control plants, and could possibly represent artefacts due to breaking of the chiasmata of distal rod bivalents during spreading.

**Population development**

During the vegetative growth of the plants in control conditions prior to the induction of the heat stress, a yellowing of leaves of the plants was observed presumably due to a virus

---

**Fig. 2** Meiotic stages found in different anther sizes (mm) and flower diameter (mm). Rod bivalents can be observed during diakinesis and metaphase I. Bar size: 5 µm.
infection. This may have reduced fertility but would have affected all the derived populations equally. A significant reduction in seed weight was found between the populations due to the effect of the applied heat stress and also due to the differential between backcross and selfed-derived populations (Supplementary Figure S1, Table S1). The fewest seeds were obtained from the backcrossed populations under heat stress, with the plants used to assess female meiosis (HF) having the lowest number of seeds (165 seeds) followed by the male meiosis (HM) plants (373 seeds) (Supplementary Figure S2).

Heat stress induces higher total and pericentromeric recombination

Recombination frequency and distribution on TM populations (total meiosis) was studied by genotyping using 117 polymorphic markers, while for the comparison between the different meioses (MM and FM), the 92 markers that were informative for all the three populations were considered, dropping 25 markers from previous analysis. As described above, populations of different sizes for CF, HF, CM, HM, CT, HT, comprising 354, 156, 437, 213, 520 and 520 individuals respectively, were used for genetic analysis. Marker representation on each of the chromosomes was not even, with some chromosomes being better represented than others. All chromosomes had at least 7 markers and covered at least 90% of the physical length of the chromosomes, except...
for chromosome 9 where 80% of the chromosome was represented, and chromosome 7, which had only 3 informative markers covering only 2% of the physical distal arm. Chromosome 4 had a large interval without markers (between the positions 6% and 93% of the physical chromosome) and separated into two different linkage groups during genetic analysis of HM and HF; these were joined with a distance of 50 cM for the calculations. The reordering of the markers was not entirely consistent with the reference order having some subtle changes between markers that were very close to each other physically, but these did not change substantially the length of the maps. Chromosome 9 was the most consistently affected by reordering. This chromosome also had a large genomic region unexpectedly lacking recombination, suggestive of the presence of a rearrangement in the short arm of the chromosome between the two parental lines AA and BB (Supplementary Figure S3). Segregation distortion was found in the different populations and between treatments (Supplementary Table S2) with chromosome 5 in particular having distorted markers for all populations, specially under heat treatment. In general, the backcrosses in particular having distorted markers for all populations, especially under heat treatment. This chromosome also had a large genomic region unexpectedly lacking recombination, suggestive of the presence of a rearrangement in the short arm of the chromosome between the two parental lines AA and BB (Supplementary Figure S3). Segregation distortion was found in the different populations and between treatments (Supplementary Table S2) with chromosome 5 in particular having distorted markers for all populations, specially under heat treatment. In general, the backcrosses showed more regions with distortion than the selfed F1s. Chromosome 9 showed a significant distortion in CM but interestingly not in HM.

The significance of the treatment and chromosome on recombination was tested with a generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) across all the marker intervals for total meiosis data (CT and HT) and indicated a significant effect of temperature ($p < 0.01$) (Supplementary Table S3). Chromosome 7 was excluded from the analysis given that the low number of markers was not informative. A similar analysis was undertaken regarding female and male meiosis (CF, HF, CM and HM) including sex as another fixed effect. This test showed a significant effect of the sex ($p < 0.05$) and the interaction between sex and temperature ($p < 0.05$) (Supplementary Table S4) among all chromosomes together and among the intervals within each chromosome. Additional GLMMs were tested, but no significant effect was found for each chromosome independently.

The effect of temperature on the genetic length of the chromosomes was also tested by the Wilcoxon signed rank test finding significant increases in the plants derived from heat treatment compared to control for total meiosis (511.3:463.7 cM, $p < 0.01$) and in female meiosis (516.0:431.1 cM, $p < 0.01$), but not for male meiosis (503.3:559.2 cM $p > 0.05$) (Figs. 5a, 6, and the supplementary Table S5). The reduction of recombination in the male meiosis under heat treatment was not consistent for all the chromosomes, as chromosomes 3, 4 and 8 showed the opposite pattern (Table 2, Supplementary Figure S4). The increase with heat for female meiosis and total meiosis was consistent for all chromosomes, with the exception of the markers on chromosome 7 in total meiosis. These differences would be translated into an average 1.7 CO more per meiotic cell under heat-treated conditions for female meiosis, while male meiosis would show a reduction of 1.1 COs and total meiosis an increase of 0.95 CO. All genetic distances between markers are summarized in the supplementary data Table S6.

In order to assess whether heat increased recombination in the recombination poor regions, we focused on assessing recombination between markers available flanking the centromeres of each chromosome. Notwithstanding that the intervals are different in each chromosome depending on the markers available, a significant pattern of higher recombination rate in the heat-derived population was observed for total ($p < 0.05$) and female meiosis ($p < 0.05$) by the Wilcoxon signed rank test, as indicated in Fig. 5. The sum of male and female meiosis does not quite equal the total meiosis, presumably due to differences in the F1 individuals sampled for the different populations.

The influence of temperature on pericentromeric recombination rates for each chromosome separately was independently compared with a $\chi^2$ squared test using intervals of approximately 30 cM from the consensus map that spanned the pericentromeric region where possible. This analysis showed an overall increase in recombination with temperature treatment for all chromosomes in all populations except chromosome 5 in male meiosis. These increases were significant for chromosomes 4, 5, 6 and 8 in total meiosis; and 1, 3, 4 and 5 for female meiosis, while only for chromosome 8 in male meiosis (Table 3 and Fig. 7).

**Discussion**

Cytological observations of male meiosis in sugar beet confirmed the limited number of chiasmata evident during meiosis, with a high prevalence of rod bivalents in accordance with previous studies (Tsuchiya and Nakamura 1979), although some ring bivalents were found as described by Levan (1945). In addition, the bivalents at diakinesis often showed fine bridges between the homologues that could suggest very distal chiasmata (Figs. 2f, 3b). The meiotic spreads showed the presence of univalents in some cells which was unexpected, especially in control plants, and this may represent artefacts due to breaking of distal chiasmata of rod bivalents during spreading. Interestingly, no significant difference was found in the chiasmata counts between control and heat-treated plants for male meioses, though slight differences were observed with, for example, the chromatin in heat-treated plants looking somewhat more diffuse, or sticky (Fig. 3d, i). The average counts of 10.1 and 9.8 chiasmata per cell for the control and heat treatment, respectively (Fig. 4a), would give an expectation for ~1.1 CO per chromosome (albeit derived from male meiosis only).
translating to 55.6 cM per chromosome and a total genetic map length of ~500 cM over the nine chromosomes. These chiasmata counts may be a slight underestimate given problems of resolution and potential breakage of very distal chiasmata in the spreading of the chromosomes.

Genetic mapping using the backcross populations (CM and HM) allowed a comparison between the cytological and genetic estimates of CO frequency. The pattern shown was similar with a slight non-significant decrease in recombination under the heat treatment (Fig. 5). However, the number of COs derived from the genetic maps of male meiosis (11.2 and 10.1 for control and heat treated, respectively) was slightly higher than the chiasmata counts observed cytologically (10.1 and 9.8). Indeed, the genetic map lengths observed (and the derived CO counts) will be underestimates given issues of marker genome coverage, in particular for chromosome 7. Nevertheless the CO estimates from the two methodologies are not that dissimilar, especially in comparison with the disagreement between cytological and genetic mapping approaches observed in other species such as barley where the number of COs differ from 14 chiasmata estimated from seven ring bivalents in metaphase spreads to 22 calculated from genetic mapping (Colas et al. 2016).

Fig. 5 Genetic distances in cM for each chromosome for all the markers (a), and between the centromere flanking markers (b) under control (blue) and heat treatment (red), and for each population (a–c). The p value shown above each graph indicates the result of the comparison with the Wilcoxon signed rank test, being *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01, and ns “not significant”. Chromosome 7 is not shown on section a graphs given its low coverage (3 markers) and values.
Our data provide strong evidence for a significant increase in overall recombination frequency induced by heat stress in sugar beet (Supplementary Table S3, Fig. 6). This is despite the non-significant difference in recombination in male meiosis with a significant difference between the sexes and an interaction with heat treatment (Supplementary Table S4). The increase observed would lead on average to the formation of 0.95 extra crossover per cell compared to control conditions (an increase of 10.3%) which appears to be due to a preferential effect in female gamete meiosis. The heat-treated plants showed a significant increase of 19.7% in the female genetic map and a non-significant reduction of 9.9% in the male map (Table 2). The patterns of recombination observed mean that heterochiasmy described in other plants like barley (Devaux et al. 1995) and Arabidopsis (Vizir and Korol 1990) can also be observed in sugar beet, with higher crossover frequencies in male meiosis than in female under control conditions. However, under heat stress, sugar beet does not exhibit heterochiasmy, as an increase in recombination in female meiosis compared to male (Supplementary Figure S5) brings the recombination rates to parity. This increase in recombination frequency is associated with a change in distribution of recombination with consistently higher recombination levels in interstitial and...
### Table 2  
Comparison of the genetic distances (cM) for the total maps and between the markers that were flanking the centromeres. The number of loci in brackets refers to the male and female populations.

| Chr | Loci | Control | Heat treat | Total map | Control | Heat treat | Male meiosis | Female meiosis | Centromere flanking markers | Control | Heat treat | Male meiosis | Female meiosis |
|-----|------|---------|------------|-----------|---------|------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------------------|---------|------------|--------------|----------------|
|     |      |         |            |           |         |            |              |                |                             |         |            |              |                |
|     |      |         |            |           |         |            |              |                |                             |         |            |              |                |
|     |      |         |            |           |         |            |              |                |                             |         |            |              |                |
|     |      |         |            |           |         |            |              |                |                             |         |            |              |                |
|     |      |         |            |           |         |            |              |                |                             |         |            |              |                |
|     |      |         |            |           |         |            |              |                |                             |         |            |              |                |

**Genetic distance (cM)**

| Chr | Loci | Control | Heat treat | Total meiosis | Control | Heat treat | Male meiosis | Female meiosis | Total meiosis | Control | Heat treat | Male meiosis | Female meiosis |
|-----|------|---------|------------|--------------|---------|------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|---------|------------|--------------|----------------|
|     |      |         |            |              |         |            |              |                |              |         |            |              |                |
|     |      |         |            |              |         |            |              |                |              |         |            |              |                |
|     |      |         |            |              |         |            |              |                |              |         |            |              |                |
|     |      |         |            |              |         |            |              |                |              |         |            |              |                |
|     |      |         |            |              |         |            |              |                |              |         |            |              |                |
|     |      |         |            |              |         |            |              |                |              |         |            |              |                |
|     |      |         |            |              |         |            |              |                |              |         |            |              |                |
|     |      |         |            |              |         |            |              |                |              |         |            |              |                |
|     |      |         |            |              |         |            |              |                |              |         |            |              |                |
|     |      |         |            |              |         |            |              |                |              |         |            |              |                |
|     |      |         |            |              |         |            |              |                |              |         |            |              |                |
|     |      |         |            |              |         |            |              |                |              |         |            |              |                |

### Table 3  
Summary of the crossovers (COs) per number of individuals (n) between pericentromeric markers for all chromosomes except 7 (which had no markers flanking the centromere) for all populations. The size of the interval studied in each chromosome is indicated in Mbp, cM, and as percentage of the physical chromosome. The comparison between treatments per chromosome and population was made by a $\chi^2$ squared test with contingency table ($<0.05$, **$<0.01$).
pericentromeric regions as shown by the intervals bounded by the centromere flanking markers (Figs. 5b, 7). The observation of a more plastic female meiosis under elevated temperature contrasts with that found in barley, where the increases of crossover frequency and pericentromeric recombination under heat stress were detected only for male meiosis, with female meiosis unaffected, or even showing shorter maps (Phillips et al. 2015).

Sugar beet is a wind-pollinated outbreeding species (Dark 1971), but the ratio of male-to-female recombination rate under control conditions was 559.0/431.2 = 1.30 which is high for an out-crossing species which generally show ratios < 1 with higher rates of recombination in female than male meiosis (Lenormand and Dutheil 2005). The ratio under heat stress is 503.3/516.0 = 0.98 which is more typical for an outbreeder. The reduction of the male/female recombination ratio with heat stress potentially accords with the potential application of heat to promote recombination as a breeding tool, it would be much easier to let plants self-pollinate, both in terms of the effort required and fertility observed while still benefitting from the increase in recombination frequency and change in distribution.
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