Concurrent validity of handgrip strength between the jamar and bulb dynamometers in women with rheumatoid arthritis
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Abstract

Introduction: Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a progressive disease that causes deformation and constant joint damage. Handgrip strength (HGS) has been used by several health professionals in clinical practice as a mechanism for assessing muscle strength and overall performance. Objective: To perform the concordance analysis of handgrip strength measurements using a pneumatic dynamometer (Bulb) and a hydraulic dynamometer (Jamar) in women with rheumatoid arthritis. Method: The HGS measurements by the two dynamometers followed the norms of the American Society of Hand Therapists. The concordance between measurements was performed by the Bland-Altman method. Significance level was 5%. Results: In total, 41 women (60.63 ± 8.35 years) participated in the study. Analysis showed that the measures between the two dynamometers were not concordant (bias = 9.04, p < 0.01), there was a linear relationship between...
the difference of the measures and the mean ($r = 0.73$, $p < 0.01$), and the limits of agreement were very extensive (−2.74 to 20.81). **Conclusion:** Assuming that the rheumatoid arthritis compromises the hands, with a consequent decrease in HGS, further exploration of the subject is suggested in future studies to define the best measure for clinical practice at the different levels of health care. However, since there are many different dynamometers, we suggest to better explore the agreement between the measurements obtained by them in populations under different conditions.
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Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a progressive disease that causes deformation and constant joint damage, leading to disability [1, 2]. The limitations caused by this condition impact the quality of life of people affected and of the health system, the costs generated by treatment and disability [3, 4]. The pathophysiology of RA is characterized by synovial membrane changes with thickening, inflammation and changes in blood vessels [5]. The joint infiltration and activation of proinflammatory cytokines that act with chondrocytes and osteoclasts, causing a recurrent destruction of the affected joint [5, 6]. This joint impairment results in individual's reduced functional capacity to perform and elaborate tasks [2, 3, 7-9]. Reports of loss of hand and wrist function account for up to 70% of complaints [3, 10].

Handgrip strength (HGS) has been used by several health professionals in clinical practice as a mechanism for assessing muscle strength and overall performance, since the hands are used to perform various activities of daily living [11]. HGS is a relevant measure to estimate overall muscle impairment, specially in diseases that affect skeletal muscle tissue. This measure is also a predictive of several health outcomes with aging. HGS is an important measure in the context of rheumatic diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, since it can inform about the functionality of the hands of people affected, in addition to predict the health outcomes [11-13]. It is an easy, low-cost measure with great applicability at all levels of health care. Studies have reported no difference in strength in individuals that have dominance in the left hand, suggesting that the hands have similar strength [14-17].

Thus, women aged 45 years and over, without distinction of race and/or social class, were invited to participate in our study. They should have been diagnosed more than two years prior to the study, and participate in the university's outpatient control program. They should not be in the active phase of the disease. Exclusion criteria were: presence of pain that hinders the participation in the tests, neurological diseases and/or sequelae, fractures of the upper and lower limbs less than one year prior to the study, and women that did not reach the cutoff point according to schooling in the Mental State Mini Exam [19].

Methods

This is a cross-section study, approved by the Institutional Ethics and Research Board with a sample of convenience. Our study was conducted in the university outpatient clinic of rheumatic diseases. All the participants were informed of the benefits and risks of the investigation before signing the informed consent form.

Thus, women aged 45 years and over, without distinction of race and/or social class, were invited to participate in our study. They should have been diagnosed more than two years prior to the study, and participate in the university’s outpatient control program. They should not be in the active phase of the disease. Exclusion criteria were: presence of pain that hinders the participation in the tests, neurological diseases and/or sequelae, fractures of the upper and lower limbs less than one year prior to the study, and women that did not reach the cutoff point according to schooling in the Mental State Mini Exam [19].

Procedures

All the procedures were performed by a previously trained researcher, starting with an interview to characterize the clinic and sociodemographic profile of the participants. The researcher was trained during the month prior to the start of data collection and presented good intra-rater reliability (ICC = 0.98). Subsequently, the HGS measurements were performed using the Jamar manual dynamometer (model Sh5001, Saehan Corporation) with the handle
in the 2nd position and the bulb dynamometer (New Saehan Squeeze Dynamometer – SH 5008). In our study, the use of dynamometers was randomized.

The Jamar dynamometer has been recommended as a gold standard by the American Society of Hand Therapists (ASHT) [13, 14]. This is a hydraulic system equipment composed of parallel-arranged handles: one fixed and one movable handle that can be adjusted in five different positions [12-14, 17]. It is considered an inter-rater reliability and test-retest equipment [17, 20]. It is accurate for the investigation of handgrip strength and has a protocol also recommended by ASHT, a fact that allows data reproducibility [13, 14]. The bulb dynamometer is an example of the pneumatic dynamometer category. This dynamometer has a silicone bag, is anatomically shaped, lightweighted and easy to handle. Unlike the hydraulic dynamometer, the maximum force exerted by the participant is not isometric but has a dynamic start in pressure [17, 21].

To perform the test with the two dynamometers, the participant was seated in a chair without arm support, with the back supported on the backrest, knees flexed at 90º, feet supported, shoulder adducted, elbow flexed at 90º, forearm in a neutral position, wrist position ranging from 0 to 30º, respecting the deformities of the hand of the participants [11, 13]. The participant received guidance on the test and performed the highest HGS after the “Go” command, maintaining the contraction maximum isometric for six seconds. Throughout the test, there was verbal stimulation through the words “force, force...” and constant palms [17]. Three measures were performed in the dominant hand with a one-minute interval between each measurement [17, 22].

Statistical analysis

The descriptive analysis of the sample is shown on average, percentage and standard deviation. The mean of the three measures of the dominant hand was used for the concordance analysis, using the Bland-Altman method. This method consists of a statistical and graphical procedure used to evaluate the agreement between two methods by estimating the bias (difference between the measurements obtained by the two methods), the mean and the standard deviation (SD) of these differences, besides the upper limit of agreement (ULA) and lower limit of agreement (LLA), obtained by the equation: bias ± 1.96 (standard deviation). We adopted a 5% significance level.

Results

Forty-one women participated in our study. The mean age was 60.63 (± 8.35) years, with a minimum of 47 years and a maximum of 82 years. Most participants were married, mixed race, with education between 1st and 4th grade and retired. The socio-demographic characteristics are shown in Table 1.

Table 1 – Socio-demographic characteristics of study participants

| Variable                          | (n = 41) |
|-----------------------------------|----------|
| Age, years, mean (SD)             | 60.6 (8.4) |
| Schooling                         |          |
| Up to 4 years, n (%)              | 18.0 (43.9) |
| From 5 to 8 years, n (%)          | 10.0 (24.4) |
| Above 9 years, n (%)              | 13.0 (31.7) |
| Marital status                    |          |
| Married, n (%)                    | 24.0 (58.5) |
| Single, n (%)                     | 8.0 (19.5) |
| Divorce, n (%)                    | 4.0 (9.8) |
| Widow, n (%)                      | 5.0 (12.2) |
| Race                              |          |
| White, n (%)                      | 11.0 (26.8) |
| Mixed, n (%)                      | 21.0 (51.2) |
| Others, n (%)                     | 9.0 (22.0) |
| Income (in minimum wages), mean (SD)| 1.3 (0.7) |
| Smoker, n (%)                     | 7.0 (17.1) |
| Lives with                        |          |
| Alone, n (%)                      | 4.0 (9.8) |
| With husband, n (%)               | 19.0 (46.3) |
| With children, n (%)              | 18.0 (43.9) |
| Diagnostic time (in years), mean (SD)| 14.2 (10.4) |
| Medication, mean (SD)             | 6.8 (2.6) |

Note: SD: standard deviations.

The mean of the HGS measurements performed on the two dynamometers was different, as shown in Figure 1.
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Figure 1 – Mean and standard deviation of handgrip strength measurements for Jamar and Bulb dynamometers.

The Bland-Altman analysis showed non-agreement between the HGS measurements of the Jamar and Bulb dynamometers, since the bias was statistically different from zero (bias = 9.04, p < 0.01). In addition, there was a linear relationship between the difference of means (r = 0.73, p < 0.01), which indicates that the error between the two measures increases with the increase in HGS. Moreover, the limits of agreement were very extensive (-2.74 to 20.81), as shown in Figure 2.

Discussion

Our study sought to identify the agreement between the values of HGS performed with two different dynamometers. The results showed non-agreement between the measures, with non-zero error and presence of a linear relationship between the difference and the mean of the measurements, i.e., the greater the HGS the greater the possibility of a variable error of the measurements between the dynamometers.

The dynamometers chosen for our study showed differences in both design and conduction. The Jamar dynamometer is hydraulic, with closed system, capable of recording the voltage from the isometric contraction [13, 23]. When gripping, the loops deform and approach in a non-perceptible manner transmitting the tension to the manometer in a manner proportional to the force applied, characterizing a handgrip on a hook. On the other hand, the strength of the handgrip measured by the bulb dynamometer has a cylindrical shape, according to the shape of the bulb [23]. Moreover, the pneumatic dynamometer used here did not have the option of replacing the bulb to adapt the equipment to the participant [16, 17].

Our hypothesis was that the bulb dynamometer could be more comfortable for the participants, considering possible hand deformities [11]. However, the results showed that both silicone bag (bulb) or the rods (Jamar) may have interfered with the applied force and should be considered in clinical practice.

In our study, the deformities of the participants’ hands were not measured and controlled, only the absence of pain. The hand is a sensory organ that enables an efficient relation with the environment in which the individual is inserted [23, 24]. For the handgrip, the integrity of the hand is a condition to be observed, since this movement involves the thumb that participates with movement phalangeal metacarpal joints in extension and flexion movements, adduction and ulnar deviation, in addition to the action of intrinsic and extrinsic nerves and muscles of the hand [23-25]. In the intention of transmitting force to another object, as in the dynamometer test, the deformities present in the hands of people with RA could alter this ability to transmit and adapt to...
the devices, influencing handgrip strength measures [7, 16, 24-29]. Thus, even by standardizing the test position, the existence of these joint limitations may have interfered in measures by the mechanical advantage of the muscles involved in handgrip strength [30]. This would be a possible limitation of the study, since no specific measures were performed to determine hand deformities, which should be studied in the future.

In this context, Innes [16] and Shiratori et al. [17] affirmed that pneumatic dynamometers would be better suited for people with pain or deformity in the hands [29], which cannot be confirmed with the results of our study. Besides, Beaudart et al. [21] evaluated different instruments, aiming at the diagnosis of sarcopenia [29], but compared handgrip strength measurements between hydraulic and pneumatic dynamometers. These authors also did not identify agreement between the measurements of both instruments, pointing out that the pneumatic dynamometer diagnosed twice as many patients with sarcopenia [21]. Likewise, our study showed non-agreement, in this case for RA.

Based on the results of our study, it cannot be affirmed that the measures of the two instruments are clinically comparable. However, further studies should better explore this condition, including time of diagnosis, type of deformities and age-related excess, which could contribute to a detailed and more judicious profile of HGS in RA women [29].

**Conclusion**

Our study demonstrated non-agreement between handgrip strength measurements of Jamar and Bulb dynamometers in women with rheumatoid arthritis. Assuming that the rheumatoid arthritis compromises the hands, with a consequent decrease in HGS, further exploration of the subject is suggested in future studies to define the best measure for clinical practice at the different levels of health care. However, since there are many different dynamometers, we suggest to better explore the agreement between the measurements obtained by them in populations under different conditions.
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