Comparison of perceptions on the dental aesthetics of different malocclusions between orthodontists and schoolchildren
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: To compare perceptions on dental aesthetics of various malocclusions between schoolchildren and orthodontists with an orthodontic assessment of treatment needs.

Methods: The prospective study was conducted between late March-December in 2017. A total of 32 orthodontists practicing in Shenyang, China and 116 schoolchildren (59 boys and 57 girls) aged 10-12 years (average = 11±0.60 years) from a 645-individual representative population in a private primary school were selected via random sampling. Participants were questioned to assess 6 anterior dental photographs representing a variety of aesthetic impairments, namely, reverse overjet (ROJ), anterior dental diastema and anterior open bite (OB) malocclusions.

Results: Perceptions on dental aesthetics were identical among genders (p>0.05). Compared with the orthodontists, schoolchildren perceived significantly greater aesthetic impairment for severe anterior OB and severe ROJ, mild anterior OB malocclusions (p<0.01). Anterior dental diastemas were evaluated based on least aesthetic impairment and with no significant difference in perception between schoolchildren and orthodontists.

Conclusion: Schoolchildren perceived greater aesthetic impairment in severe anterior OB, severe ROJ, and mild anterior OB malocclusions than orthodontists. Median aesthetic components (AC) scores of schoolchildren for severe anterior OB and severe ROJ malocclusions corresponded with the category of “definite need” for treatment.
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treatment begins to be considered. Informed consent forms were sent to the participants' homes one week before study commencement. The schoolchildren who were given informed consent by their parents completed a 45-minute confidential questionnaire. Meanwhile, 32 orthodontists with 5 years' clinical experience were selected from the Stomatology Hospital, China Medical University, Shenyang, China, to participate in this study.

A data collection sheet was prepared for the subjects and used to record each participant's age in years and months, gender and perceptions on dental aesthetics. The study was undertaken during school hours in a well-lit room. The subjects recorded their gender, age and perceptions on dental aesthetics in the data collection sheet. As tools for measuring the perceptions on dental aesthetics frontal intraoral photographs were progressively ordered from 1-10 in 2 columns representing the AC of the IOTN (Figure 1).

The AC–IOTN scores were ranked from the most positive dental appearance (most attractive) to the most negative dental appearance (least attractive). Six separately lettered (A-F) anterior photographs (Figure 2) of 3 malocclusions, including ROJ, dental diastema and anterior OB with their mild and severe degrees, were collected from patient data from the Department of Orthodontics, Stomatology Hospital, China Medical University, Shenyang, China, by the principal author.

A PowerPoint 2013 presentation (Microsoft, Redmond, Washington, USA) comprising 6 slides was prepared. Each slide displayed one of the 6 lettered photos. The AC of the IOTN was projected as a coloured slide on a 2 m×2 m white screen in a schoolroom while the participants answered the questionnaire.

The subjects were initially asked to study all the 10 photographs of the AC of IOTN and note that photo one represented the most attractive set of teeth and photograph 10 as the least attractive. The participants were then instructed to assess the lettered photographs on the PowerPoint slide based on the reference images of the 10-point scale AC-IOTN. Their scores were recorded on the data collection sheet.

Sharing of opinions between peers or teachers was not
allowed during the exam. No details were given to the participants regarding the photographs. Data collection from orthodontists was carried out in a lecture room by the principal author under exam conditions similar to those with the schoolchildren.

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analysis was carried out with SPSS 17.0* (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Moreover, a multivariable general linear model was used to evaluate the influence of gender, subject group and photos on the AC scores. Furthermore, the Mann-Whitney test was applied for each photo comparison. A $p$-value<0.05 was considered significant.

**Results.** Table 1 shows the schoolchildren's perceptions on dental aesthetics, with the AC of IOTN as basis. Both genders allocated the highest median AC scores to severe anterior OB and severe ROJ, which are represented by photos F and B. The boys assigned similar median AC scores to photos D and E, which corresponded to severe dental diastema and mild anterior OB; and ascribed the lowest median AC score to mild ROJ and mild dental diastema. According to multivariable statistical analysis, gender (schoolchildren and orthodontists) was not a significant variable ($p>0.05$).

Table 2 illustrates the perceptions of schoolchildren and orthodontists towards dental aesthetics. According to multivariate statistical analysis, the subject group and photos were significant at ($p<0.05$) and ($p<0.01$). Moreover, Mann-Whitney test was applied to individual photographs. Schoolchildren and orthodontists allocated the highest median AC scores to photos F and B, which represents severe anterior OB and severe ROJ. The scores were highly and significantly greater amongst the schoolchildren than amongst the orthodontists ($p<0.01$).

Similarly, the schoolchildren's scores for photo E, which denoted mild anterior OB was significantly ($p<0.01$) greater than those of the orthodontists. By contrast, the lowest median AC score was assigned by both groups to photo C, which corresponded to mild dental diastema, and the score differences were insignificant ($p>0.05$).
Discussion. The present study was conducted on 116 schoolchildren aged 10-12 years from a private primary school in Shenyang, China. Several authors have reported that children younger than 10 years find difficulty in judging aesthetic improvement.\textsuperscript{10,12} To compare the perceptions on dental aesthetics, our study selected the evaluation of the mild and severe degrees of malocclusion ROJ, anterior dental diastema and anterior OB. Anterior dental spacing was included under the malocclusal trait AC of the IOTN, whereas ROJ and anterior OB were not included in the 10 photographs of the IOTN.\textsuperscript{8} The perceptions on dental aesthetics were identical amongst girls and boys. This finding is consistent with previous studies.\textsuperscript{10,12-14} However, other authors showed gender differences, females being more concerned with dental aesthetics than males.\textsuperscript{12,15}

In the present study, the schoolchildren and orthodontists perceived greater aesthetic impairment in severe anterior OB and severe ROJ malocclusions than in mild dental diastema. The former malocclusion images were assigned with higher median AC scores than those of the latter malocclusion. Severe anterior OB and severe ROJ malocclusions exerted a greater impact than that of mild and severe dental diastema on the perception of aesthetics in both groups. This finding agrees with those of Hamdan et al,\textsuperscript{10} who observed that moderate and severe Class III malocclusions achieved a greater effect than those of other conditions on the perceptions of aesthetics amongst schoolchildren and orthodontists. The perception of aesthetic impairment in severe anterior OB and severe ROJ malocclusions was worse amongst the schoolchildren than amongst the orthodontists. A previous work investigated attractiveness ratings based on AC of IOTN and reported that severe ROJ were assessed identically by dental professionals and non-dental students, but the perception differences of the severe anterior OB were significant.\textsuperscript{8} Schoolchildren and orthodontists hold similar perceptions toward mild and severe dental diastema. A previous study that applied the AC of IOTN reported that clinicians’ ratings were more critical than those of children or laymen.\textsuperscript{13}

The cut-off points for no, borderline, and definite need of treatment were introduced by the dental professional opinion as a gold standard validation of the AC. Photos one-four represent no/slight treatment need; 5-7 represent moderate/borderline need, and 8-10 represent definite treatment need (Figure 1).\textsuperscript{10} The median AC scores of severe anterior OB and severe ROJ were assigned by the schoolchildren to the 5 photos in the category of ‘Definite treatment need’. A previous study has found that schoolchildren experience difficulty assessing aesthetic impairments in photos representing severe anterior OB and severe ROJ malocclusions. The

Table 1 - Schoolchildren perceptions of dental aesthetics using the aesthetic component (AC) of index of orthodontic treatment need (IOTN).

| Photograph letters | Photograph            | Boys n=59 | Girls n=57 | P-value |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------|------------|---------|
|                    | Median                | Mean±SD   | Median     | Mean±SD |
| A                  | Mild ROJ              | 3.0       | 3.6±1.98   | 3.0     | 3.8±1.89 | 0.575 |
| B                  | Severe ROJ            | 8.0       | 6.9±1.83   | 8.0     | 6.2±1.91 | 0.132 |
| C                  | Mild dental diastema  | 3.0       | 3.4±1.96   | 3.0     | 3.0±2.17 | 0.745 |
| D                  | Severe dental diastema| 4.0       | 5.0±1.94   | 5.0     | 5.6±1.83 | 0.053 |
| E                  | Mild anterior OB      | 4.0       | 4.2±2.68   | 4.0     | 4.2±2.25 | 0.345 |
| F                  | Severe anterior OB    | 8.5       | 7.9±2.25   | 8.5     | 8.1±2.83 | 0.516 |

ROJ - reverse over jet, OB - open bite, n - number, SD - standard deviation. There were no significant gender differences indicates $p>0.05$.

Table 2 - Comparison of schoolchildren’s and orthodontist’s perceptions of dental aesthetics.

| Photograph letters | Photograph      | Schoolchildren n=116 | Orthodontists n=32 | P-value |
|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------|
|                    | Median          | Mean±SD              | Median             | Mean±SD |
| A                  | Mild ROJ        | 3.5                  | 3.9±1.65           | 4       | 3.7±1.04 | 0.03* |
| B                  | Severe ROJ      | 8                    | 6.6±1.62           | 7.5     | 5.4±1.60 | 0.004** |
| C                  | Mild dental diastema | 3         | 3.1±1.08          | 3       | 3.2±1.19 | 0.596 |
| D                  | Severe dental diastema | 5         | 5.6±1.99          | 5       | 5.0±1.55 | 0.327 |
| E                  | Mild anterior OB| 4                    | 5.4±1.95           | 3       | 4.1±1.01 | 0.009** |
| F                  | Severe anterior OB | 8.5           | 6.1±1.60          | 7       | 5.9±1.71 | 0.001** |

ROJ - reverse over jet, OB - open bite, n - number, SD - standard deviation. *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$
median score was not assigned with a treatment need.\textsuperscript{10} Severe anterior OB, severe ROJ, and severe dental diastema malocclusions were allocated to the ‘Borderline need’ category by the orthodontists. The present findings seem to be consistent with other research which found severe anterior OB and severe ROJ malocclusions were allocated to the borderline need category by the dental professional opinion.\textsuperscript{10}

The present study increases our knowledge of the schoolchildren’s perception and assessment in treatment need of malocclusions not included in the AC of IOTN. It has been shown that both of severe anterior OB and severe ROJ malocclusions are in the level of sensitivity (identifying those that needed treatment) according to schoolchildren perceptions that has not been identified by the orthodontists. Schoolchildren’s perception and assessment should be considered when formulating orthodontic treatment plans. Further research are needed to explore and identify the difference in perceptions of dental aesthetic between schoolchildren and orthodontics and factors that influence the decision of orthodontic treatment. These results can be generalised, but the generalization that can be drawn have certain limitations. For instance, the limited number of schoolchildren participants and the convenience sampling of the 32 orthodontists are the potential limiting factors. The authors exerted massive efforts to maximize the number of schoolchildren to be included, but some parents did not return their participation forms. Furthermore, the random sampling of participant orthodontists is more appropriate than that applied in this work.

In conclusion, Perceptions of dental aesthetics regarding malocclusions were similar between the male and female schoolchildren. By contrast, the schoolchildren perceived significantly greater impairment in the photos representing severe anterior OB, severe ROJ and mild anterior OB malocclusions than that perceived by the orthodontists. Median AC scores of schoolchildren for severe anterior OB and severe ROJ malocclusions corresponded with the category of “definite need” for treatment. Therefore, for effective orthodontic care, schoolchildren’s perception and assessment should be considered when formulating orthodontic treatment plans.
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