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Climate change, infrastructure resilience, and resource recovery from waste have emerged as grand challenges for civil engineers in the 21st century. Wicked problems associated with these global grand challenges are necessitating innovative, multidisciplinary thinking and multiscale, integrated solutions that are spurring the development of a new field—construction biotechnology. While the field of construction biotechnology spans multiple scales, this review highlights the promise and potential of nanoscale (<100 nm) biotechnological applications to civil engineering. While the field of nanotechnology has revolutionized other industries, applications of nanotechnology in civil engineering have remained limited due to techno-economic and environmental barriers. Biological production of functional nanoparticles (NPs), however, offers new economical routes to develop resilient, high-performance cementitious materials while simultaneously addressing critical needs related to wastewater treatment and resource recovery. Recent research has elucidated that biological production of NPs exhibit preferred—and genetically controllable—morphological characteristics that could tailor the structure-property relationships of civil engineering materials. The natural ability of microorganisms to immobilize heavy metals (eg, Hg, Cr, Zn, Cd, Cu, Ag)—and the programmability of microorganisms to do so via synthetic biology—as well as their ability to sequester greenhouse gases and neutralize volatile organic compounds affords civil engineers a grand opportunity to treat wastewater, recover rare earth elements, and minimize air pollution. In addition to featuring state-of-the-art research in the field, this review summarizes the opportunities and challenges of nanoscale biotechnology and proposes a roadmap of research for civil engineers of the 21st century.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Among the grand societal challenges of our time, climate change, infrastructure resilience, and resource recovery from waste are of critical importance (DeJong et al., 2011). Given the ubiquity of concrete and its projected increase in utilization over the next few decades, the development of resilient and sustainable cementitious materials will be a key solution to these challenges. Resilience of cementitious materials refers to high performance (e.g., strength) and other capabilities (e.g., self-
healing) that offer great resistance to—and recovery from—disasters. While sustainability has commonly been investigated in terms of reduced emissions associated with the manufacturing process, it could also refer to imparting additional functionalities with in situ environmental benefits (e.g., heavy metal immobilization).

To develop high-performance cementitious materials, nanotechnology has proven to be a highly effective tool. With addition of a variety of nanoparticles (e.g., SiO$_2$, ZrO$_2$, TiO$_2$, CuO), the setting time and diffusivity of concrete are reduced, while the strength and high-temperature stability are increased (Sobolev et al., 2006; Rashad, 2013a, Rashad, 2013b; Reches, 2018). While compressive strength is the most critical performance criteria for cementitious materials, decreased diffusivity prevents/reduces the ingress of water and aggressive ions (e.g., sulfate and chloride) and, thus, greatly enhances concrete durability (Mindess, 2019).

Enhanced performance of concrete via nanotechnology minimizes needs for repair or replacement, thus reducing economic and environmental cost. However, the manufacturing of nanoparticles is quite energy-intensive (Colvin, 2003; Jayapalan et al., 2013). For example, the manufacture one ton of TiO$_2$ NPs consumes $\sim$32–40 GJ during a conventional procedure (Osterwalder et al., 2006). In addition to high energy consumption, the use of toxic chemicals, such as non-polar solvents used during synthesis or chemicals used to functionalize NP surfaces, are safety concerns for environmental and human health (Li et al., 2011; Tiquia-Arashiro and Rodrigues, 2016). Such barriers have somewhat limited the applications of nanoparticles in cementitious materials.

Biological approaches offer an alternative to the conventional synthesis of nanoparticles. During biosynthesis, microorganisms (e.g., fungi and bacteria) take target metal ions from the environment and convert them into metal or metal oxide NPs (called bio-NPs hereafter) (Li et al., 2011; Hulkoti and Taranath, 2014; Tiquia-Arashiro and Rodrigues, 2016). Such processes eliminate or reduce the use of expensive and potentially toxic chemicals, a benefit that has significantly promoted research in the field of NP biosynthesis (Li et al., 2011; Faramarzi and Sadighi, 2013). Biological synthesis of NPs also eliminates high energy-consuming processes (e.g., grinding of ore, reacting with strong acid, and treating with steam). A bio-based approach is even more favorable considering the potential to carry out such biosynthesis under environments of varying temperature, pH and pressure, salt concentration, acidity and alkalinity, either by using extremophiles (i.e., microorganisms that survive under extreme environmental conditions) or through genetic modification (Stabnikov et al., 2015; Beeler and Singh, 2016; Tiquia-Arashiro and Rodrigues, 2016). As specific examples, genetic modification has been shown to control *E. coli*’s capability for producing CaCO$_3$ of tailored morphology and mechanical properties (Liang et al., 2018; Heveran et al., 2019) and its tolerance to harsh environment (e.g., high NaCl concentration) (Eslami et al., 2016).

While bio-based nanotechnologies have been applied to a number of fields, such as nanomedicine, environmental remediation, and energy generation (Li et al., 2011; Tiquia-Arashiro and Rodrigues, 2016), their applications in the field of construction is relatively new. Although other biotechnologies have been applied in construction (Pacheco-Torgal and Labrincha, 2013; Ivanov et al., 2015; Stabnikov et al., 2015), these technology’s nanoscale aspects, a scale that exhibits great potential for future civil engineering materials (Pacheco-Torgal and Jalali, 2011), has not been reviewed in detail.

This work summarizes for the first time the progress, promise, and potential of a new field—nanoscale construction biotechnology. First, we review nanoscale construction technology and biotechnology and identify links that bridge gaps between the two. Specifically, we focus on improving the performance of cementitious materials and the synergistic benefits related to environmental remediation capability. We begin with a current summary of NPs used to enhance engineering performance of cementitious materials, then examine the feasibility of bio-NP production (mainly via bacteria) for the same purpose and summarize possible approaches for such applications. Next, we focus on environmental remediation by cementitious materials or microorganisms alone, followed by a review of several ways to apply microorganisms (mainly bacteria, occasionally fungi and
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algae) to cementitious materials for enhanced remediation capability. Finally, the significance and future development of the field of nanoscale construction biotechnology are discussed in light of opportunities and challenges that civil engineers will face in the 21st century.

2 ENGINEERING PERFORMANCE

2.1 Nanotechnology in Cementitious Materials

Because of the ubiquity of cement paste and concrete, the study of the nanostructure of cementitious materials has attracted great interest. Among multiple phases of hydration products (e.g., ettringite, monosulfate and calcium hydroxide) that are formed during portland cement hydration, calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H) is the main phase in hydrated portland cements. A typical nanoscale TEM image of C-S-H clusters and its molecular structure are shown in Figure 1 (Pellenq et al., 2009). The C-S-H structure consists of calcium (green and gray spheres in Figure 1) layers sandwiched with chains composed of Si-O tetrahedra (yellow and red spheres), in addition to water molecules (blue and white spheres).

Nanoparticles (NPs, <100 nm) are highly efficient at tailoring the properties of cementitious materials at both early and late ages, even at low concentrations (<1%) (Reches, 2018; Mohajerani et al., 2019). In general, these effects are attributed to three mechanisms: 1) increased nucleation sites due to the NPs’ high surface area that facilitates formation of C-S-H; 2) formation of C-S-H via pozzolanic reaction of some silica-containing nanoparticles; and 3) densification of cementitious materials via the filler effect (Reches, 2018).

Increased nucleation sites substantially accelerate the setting time of C3S, the primary mineral in portland cement. The seminal work by Thomas (Thomas et al., 2009) demonstrated that C-S-H NP acts as nuclei for further growth of C-S-H, a change that eliminates the induction period of the cement hydration and substantially increases the total heat of hydration in the first 24 h. In addition to product-based seeds (i.e., C-S-H), many different types of NPs also exhibit accelerating effects on the setting of cementitious pastes (Figure 2) (Reches, 2018). Such nucleation promotes precipitation of C-S-H/C-A-S-H in alkali-activated fly ash/slag binders (Puligilla et al., 2019). This finding is in line with the concept of heterogeneous nucleation, in which the energy barrier is reduced, due to the presence of external surfaces that facilitate the reaction to proceed. Besides affecting the reaction kinetics, nucleation effects can also tailor the microstructures (Thomas et al., 2009; Puligilla et al., 2018; Puligilla et al., 2019). For example, the promoted nucleation of the C-S-H products in between the cement particles homogenizes the microstructure.

Consistent with the effects on setting time, many types of NPs, such as SiO₂, TiO₂, Fe₂O₃ and ZrO₂, increase the strength of common cementitious materials (Table 1) (Khoshaikhlagh et al., 2012; Li et al., 2017; Afzali Naniz and Mazloom, 2018; Trejo-Arroyo et al., 2019) and macroporous pervious concrete (Barnhouse and Srubar, 2016). The most substantial effects of NPs on strength occur at early ages (i.e., 1–7 days) (Reches, 2018). The effect is also pronounced when relatively low-reactive mineral admixtures (e.g., fly ash, rice husk ash, and other
supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) are introduced as partial replacement for portland cement. While these mineral admixtures are a key solution to concrete’s sustainability (Juenger et al., 2019), the addition of NPs overcomes the slow reaction by accelerating the reaction kinetics. The permeability of concrete is a key parameter that affects long-term durability. Permeability affects carbonation, sulfate attack, chloride intrusion, and alkali-aggregate reactions (i.e., alkali-silica reaction and alkali-carbonate reaction), among other degradation mechanisms (Mindess, 2019). NPs

### Table 1: Example applications of abiotic NPs in cementitious materials and biotic NPs with similar characteristics.

| NP Type | Applications of Abiotic NPs in Cementitious Materials | Biotic NPs with Comparable Characteristics |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| **SiO₂** 10–20 Colloid 1, 3, 5 | Compressive, flexural and splitting strength (up to +30.9); electric resistance (≤ ±15) | 20–50 Amorphous<sup>b</sup> Fusarium oxysporum Markani et al. (2016) |
| 13 Amorphous 0.3, 0.9 | Water penetration (≤ ±31%); chloride migration (≤ ±20.3%). | 15 ± 5 Amorphous Thermananaerobacter sp. BjH1 Show et al. (2015) |
| 7–25 Amorphous ≤0.75 | Strength (+48.8%); water penetration (+43.5%) | 9.8 ± 0.2 Amorphous<sup>b</sup> Fusarium oxysporum Bansal et al. (2006) |
| **TiO₂** 350 Anatase 5, 10 | Flexural strength (+52.7%); d, 47.1@28 d; electric resistivity (≤ ±25%); strength (≤ ±25%); water adsorption (≤ ±25%); chloride permeability and diffusion coefficient (≤ ±25%) | 66–77 Anatase Bacillus subtilis Kirthi et al. (2011) |
| NA Anatase ≤3.88 ≤15 | Strength (+43.5%) | 85 Anatase Propionibacterium jensenii Babitha and Korrapati (2013) |
| 15 Anatase ≤1.5 | Compressive strength increased up to +18% | 38–35 Anatase Lactobacillus Jha et al. (2009) |
| 15 ± 3 NA Up to 5% | Strength (+58.5%, split tensile strength by +81.3%, and flexural strength by +50%) | 10.2 ± 0.1 Rutile Fusarium oxysporum Bansal et al. (2006) |
| 25 Colloid 3, 5 | Pore size (≤ ±4%); set (~4 h s); strength (≤ ±26%) | 11–99 Hematite Skewerella oneidensis Bose et al. (2008) |
| **Fe₂O₃** 60 Particle Up to 5 | Permeability (+44%); electric resistivity (+114%) | 10–20 Maghemite Actinobacteria Bharde et al. (2008) |
| 15 ± 2 Crystalline ≤4 | Compressive strength (+57%@2 d, +72%@28 d); increase of tensile and flexural strength | |
| 14 Amorphous 3, 5 | Water penetration (≤ ±4@5%); increased strength; reduced chloride penetration | 1–2 Ferrhydrite suspension Klebsiella oxytoca Balasass et al. (2010) |
| **CuO** 20 ± 3 Powder 1, 2, 3 | Electrical resistivity (+167%); decreased chloride permeability and water adsorption | 79–295 Spherical Species of Penicillium Honary et al. (2012) |
| 15 ± 3 Powder ≤4 | Chloride permeability (≤ ±63%); reduced water adsorption | 5–20 Spherical Sterne hirsutum Cuevas et al. (2015) |
| 15 Powder ≤5 | Permeability (≤ ±44%); electric resistivity (+275%) | 7.3 ± 2.0 Monoclinic Fusarium oxysporum Bansal et al. (2004) |
| **ZrO₂** 20 Monoclinic ≤5 | Increased strength @ 1%; increased heat capacity | 4.8 NA Sargassum wightii Kumaresan et al. (2015) |
| 15–19 Tetragonal and monoclinic | Compressive strength (+9%); resistance (≤ ±154%); porosity (≤ ±14.2%); split tensile strength (≤ ±189.4%) | 10–100 Spherical Unieacillus thermophila Jubbini et al. (2011) |
| **Ag** 72.6 ≤4 | Thermal conductivity (+129.7%); porosity (≤ ±14.2%); split tensile strength (≤ ±189.4%) | 30.9 |
have shown to decrease the diffusivity to gases in dry conditions and ions in water-saturated conditions (Reches, 2018), likely due to the beneficial nucleation and densification effects previously discussed. The effect of NPs on permeability can be substantial. Depending on the mixture proportions, up to 75% decrease in permeability has been observed with addition of ∼1 wt% NPs (Reches, 2018). Relevantly, the reduced permeability (or porosity) is associated with concomitant increase in electrical resistivity and resistance to penetration of chloride and water (see Table 1).

2.2 Applications of Bio-NPs in Cementitious Materials

Abiotic NPs are fabricated via conventional (mainly physical and chemical) techniques. Although these techniques can produce large quantities of NPs in a short time with well-defined characteristics (e.g., size and shape), their procedures are complicated and cost/energy consuming (Li et al., 2011). These conventional techniques usually employ atomistic and molecular processing in an aqueous condition and commonly use chemicals that are considered toxic to the environment and, especially, human health (Li et al., 2011; Tiquia-Arashiro and Rodrigues, 2016).

Microorganism-based synthesis can serve as a non-toxic and environment-friendly alternative to NP production. A wide range of fungi and bacteria have been exploited for such synthesis (Li et al., 2011; Tiquia-Arashiro and Rodrigues, 2016). During synthesis, the microorganisms either uptake ions intracellularly or adsorb them onto surface structures, thereby resulting in passive NP precipitation or active conversion by enzymes. These processes, in lieu of expensive and oftentimes toxic chemicals, enable an environmentally friendly synthesis route. As a result, many researchers in the field of NP synthesis have turned to bio-synthesis (Li et al., 2011; Faramarzi and Sadighi, 2013). This bio-based approach is even more favorable, considering the potential to genetically engineer microorganisms for desirable characteristics, for example, engineering genetic control over NP morphology or harnessing extremophiles (Tiquia-Arashiro and Rodrigues, 2016) capable of biosynthesis and persistence under the extreme environments that may occur during and after construction.

2.2.1 Synthesis Mechanisms of Bio-NPs

While biosynthesis of NPs involve multiple complex processes, it typically starts by trapping the metal ions to the surface or inside of the cells, followed by conversion of these ions into NPs (Li et al., 2011). During the conversion process, microorganisms act as biocatalysts and alter the ionic concentration of the surrounding environment in a very localized manner, thus supersaturating the solution and facilitating precipitation. Alternatively, the conversion of metal ions can take place either through interaction with intracellular or extracellular proteins and organic molecules or by interacting with the cell wall components, as illustrated in Figure 3 with bacteria as an example (Fang et al., 2019). As an example, during the biosynthesis of Ag NPs by Cladosporium cladosporioides fungi, Ag ions are adsorbed on the cell surface and subsequently converted to silver nuclei through an enzyme-induced reduction reaction. These nuclei grow through further reduction and accumulation (Balaji et al., 2009). More fundamentally, this conversion process is related to the electron shuttling (or redox mediating) enzymes, for example, nitrate reductase (Kalishwaralal et al., 2008).

Similar procedures (i.e., entrapment followed by conversion) are seen in synthesis of metal oxide NPs (Li et al., 2011). In the synthesis of magnetic iron oxide particles by magnetotactic bacteria, including magnetite (Fe₃O₄) and maghemite...
(γ-Fe₂O₃), vesicles are first formed and serve as a scaffold for proteins involved in the production of the NPs. These vesicles then assemble into a line along with cytoskeletal filaments, and Fe ions accumulate in the vesicles. This accumulation is aided by membrane transporters (e.g., proteins and siderophores). In the last step, relevant proteins trigger and regulate the nucleation and growth process of the iron oxide NPs. Throughout this process, various proteins contribute to supersaturation of Fe and maintain conditions for reduction and oxidation reactions that induce NP production (Arakaki et al., 2008). An alternative process was observed for synthesis of magnetite with *Shewanella oneidensis* (Perez-Gonzalez et al., 2010). This mechanism initially involves active production of Fe²⁺ by using ferrihydrite as a terminal electron acceptor. Then, a passive process takes place, during which Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ accumulate at the negatively charged cell wall and extracellular structures leading to supersaturation and precipitation of NPs.

**2.2.2 Direct Addition of Bio-NPs to Cementitious Materials**

Bio-NPs exhibit great potential for applications in cementitious materials. As mentioned in section 2.1, NPs improve the performance of cementitious materials mainly by enhancing nucleation of the C-S-H product and by acting as a filler to densify the microstructures. Both mechanisms relate to the small particle sizes and large surface area of NPs. It follows that bio-NPs of sufficiently small size and large surface area would improve the performance of cementitious materials in a similar fashion.

In Table 1, we summarize biogenic NPs reported in literature that exhibit similar sizes and characteristics to conventional NPs added to cementitious materials to enhance engineering performance. SiO₂ NP additives used in cementitious materials exhibit amorphous features with average sizes of 7–25 nm (Du et al., 2014; Hani et al., 2018; Afzali Naniz and Mazloom, 2018). Similarly, SiO₂ particles produced via *Fusarium oxysporum* and *Thermoanaerobacter* sp. BKH1 bacteria exhibit amorphous features and sizes from 9.8 to 50 nm (Bansal et al., 2005; Show et al., 2015; Marikani et al., 2016). These nanostructural characteristics indicate feasibility of these bio-NPs to serve as additives to improve performance of cementitious materials, unlike the micro-sized particles that exhibit less pronounced effects (Sato and Diallo, 2010). Other common additives to cementitious materials (e.g., TiO₂, Fe₂O₃, CuO, ZrO₂, and Ag) can also be produced with a variety of microorganisms in comparable sizes and characteristics.

Additionally, the size and morphology of the bio-NPs can be controlled by tuning the biosynthesis parameters. During the *Corynebacterium glutamicum*-mediated synthesis, the particle sizes of Ag NPs could be tuned by varying the media parameters including pH, temperature and AgNO₃ concentration (Sneha et al., 2010). Besides the media-related parameters, biological factors also control the synthesis of bio-NPs. For example, the CuO NPs synthesized from *Penicillium citrium*, *Penicillium waksmanii* and *Penicillium aurantiogriseum* under the same pH and temperature conditions exhibit different values of polydispersity index (PDI) and average particles, indicating that strain selection has a significant impact on NP morphology (Honary et al., 2012).

Bio-NPs need to be purified after production to avoid any complications to hydration of cementitious materials (Basaran Bundur et al., 2015). Purification typically requires specialized equipment. For example, Ag NPs synthesized from *Escherichia coli* are preliminarily centrifuged and washed several times and then transferred to a dialysis tube to remove high molecular weight molecules, followed by an ultracentrifugation (200,000 rpm at 4°C for 16 h) (Gurunathan et al., 2009). In another example, the biosynthesized Au NPs from *Bacillus cereus* or *Fusarium oxysporum* were purified three times by centrifugation (14,700 rpm, 30 min) using double-distilled water. For comparison, to purify chemically synthesized NPs (again by taking Ag as an example), while centrifugation can be carried out (Guzman et al., 2009), simple filtration and washing can also be conducted (Sun et al., 2003), given that the purification process is relatively straightforward in most chemical synthesis processes (Tan and Cheong, 2013).

In summary, the bio-NPs, upon purification, exhibit great potential to be directly used in cementitious materials, because their size and characteristics are comparable to abiotic counterparts that have been used successfully to enhance the performance of cementitious materials. Additionally, the size and morphology of bio-NPs can be tuned by optimizing the biosynthesis conditions, which offers new pathways to optimizing biosynthesis to produce bio-NPs with the most desirable characteristics.

**2.2.3 Addition of Bio-NPs via Surface Treatment of Aggregates**

In addition to the direct addition of purified bio-NPs to cementitious materials during mixing, bio-NPs could potentially be precipitated/coated on the surface of aggregates before mixing with cements. A similar strategy has been proposed by Wong (Wong, 2015). Specifically, soil/sand, aggregates (Wang et al., 2017) or some solid industrial wastes (Achal et al., 2013; Cuzman et al., 2015) can be biomineralized and subsequently used as fillers and aggregates in concrete. Besides densifying the aggregates/fillers themselves, such biomineralization benefits the performance for the final concrete materials, especially when the resulting precipitation 1) exhibits preferred nanostructural morphology and 2) occurs on surfaces of fillers/aggregates. The treated fillers/aggregates, when possessing nanostructural morphology, would enhance the engineering performance similar to direct addition. Additionally, the presence of the NPs at the interface between aggregates and cement matrix (i.e., interfacial transition zone or ITZ) promotes localized nucleation of hydration products. Since the ITZ is usually the weakest zone in concrete (Mindess et al., 2003), the application of NP-modified aggregate offers great potential benefits to enhancing engineering performance.

Currently, microorganism-based precipitation on the surface of cementitious materials and aggregates has been studied mainly via calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) biomineralization (Seifan et al., 2016). Biomineralization by living organisms has also been explored for biosynthesis of NPs (Achal et al., 2015; Salehzadeh et al., 2020), though it, unlike many other studies related to the biosynthesis of NPs, can also produce larger-sized
minerals or structural features, including shells and bones. During biomineralization, metal ions are precipitated with ligands (e.g., sulfate, phosphate or organic functional groups) or with carbonates/hydroxides (Newsome et al., 2014; Bhattacharyya et al., 2019).

As a typical type of biomineralization, microbially induced carbonate precipitation (MICP) produces calcium carbonates as NPs that exhibit nanostructural morphology at initial stages of precipitation (Zhang, 2016). This observation suggests that with well-controlled environmental conditions the MICP-treated aggregates exhibit nanostructural feature that could promote the hydration upon mixing with cement pastes. Similar nanostructural morphology is also seen in other types of extracellular biogenic NPs, for example, on bacterial cell walls (Figure 4) (Selvakumar et al., 2014) or in biofilms (i.e., a group of microorganisms in which cells stick to each other and also to a surface) (Kalathil et al., 2011).

MICP-produced CaCO$_3$ have been applied in infrastructure usually through binding with cementitious materials. CaCO$_3$ precipitation approaches have been adopted to fill or heal the external cracks to enhance durability (De Muynck et al., 2010). For example, a CaCO$_3$-based bio-cementitious crust on the surface of sand has been developed that exhibits a flexural strength of 35.9 MPa and high water impermeability (Stabnikov et al., 2011). Besides surface treatment, such bio-based precipitation has been reported to form bulk bio-blocks, such as reinforced soil and sand (van Paassen et al., 2010; Gorospe et al., 2013). More recently, microorganism-induced mineralization has been utilized to engineer a living building material through binding and toughening a structural scaffold of sand and gelatin (Heveran et al., 2020).

Besides the above examples of CaCO$_3$ precipitation, more direct evidence and explanation indicate that precipitation can occur on the surface of cementitious materials and aggregates. SEM images reveal that CaCO$_3$ precipitates occur on the surface of microbial-treated sand (Porter et al., 2017) and recycled aggregates (Wang et al., 2017). Based on SEM and micro-CT characterizations of magnesia cement-based blends, microbial-induced formation of nesquehonite and hydromagnesite has been identified on the surface of cementitious materials and has been shown to exhibit healing effects (Ruan et al., 2019). The high cohesion of this precipitation was attributed to the incorporation
of inorganic components and epitaxial growth of the crystals (Wang et al., 2016).

Taken together, the aforementioned studies indicate that microorganism-induced precipitation can produce NPs that exhibit beneficial nanostructural morphologies directly on aggregates surfaces. This potential type of nano-modified aggregates could enhance the engineering performance as common nano-additives and strengthen the ITZ of concrete.

3 ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY

In this section, we examine the feasibility of nanoscale biotechnologies to enhance the capability of cementitious materials to remediate heavy metals (section 3.1) and remove air pollutants (section 3.2). We then discuss application methods for these biotechnologies (section 3.3).

3.1 Heavy Metal Removal

Acceleration of some industrial activities, such as mining and battery production, has induced substantial discharge of heavy metals into the environment (Fu and Wang, 2011). Heavy metals with atomic weights from 63.5 to 200.6 and specific gravity >5.0, can accumulate in living microorganisms rather than being biodegraded. Some common heavy metals of great toxicity include cadmium, mercury, lead, zinc, copper, nickel and chromium. While these heavy metals possess a variety of chemical properties that correspond to multiple mechanisms of toxicity, in general, exposure results in cellular changes resulting in various health issues (Giovanella et al., 2020). For example, mercury causes dysfunction of proteins via complexing with the protein’s sulphydryl groups that lead to long-lasting neurological and developmental deficits (Farina et al., 2011); chromium, when accumulated in the food chain especially in the form of Cr(VI), result in severe skin irritation or even lung carcinoma (Khezami and Capart, 2005).

Numerous techniques have been developed to remediate heavy metals, including chemical precipitation, membrane filtration, ion exchange and electrochemical technologies for treating wastewater, groundwater, and soil (Bradl, 2004; Fu and Wang, 2011; Hashim et al., 2011). The most common methods involve chemical precipitation (via hydroxide, carbonate and sulfide groups), lime coagulation, ion exchange (e.g., via resins), and chemical oxidation or reduction. These methods, however, are ineffective or costly (Suresh Kumar et al., 2015).

Microorganisms, including bacteria and algae, have proven useful candidates for remediation of heavy metals. Biological technologies exhibit high efficiency and low cost for removal of heavy metals from dilute solutions (Suresh Kumar et al., 2015). For example, the adsorption and elution of selected heavy metals were reported to be much higher by algae than by activated carbon and natural zeolites (Doshi et al., 2006). Here, we explore the feasibility of applying bioremediation in cementitious materials.

3.1.1 Immobilization in Cementitious Materials

Portland cement pastes have been shown to be a cost-efficient technique to immobilize heavy metals through three mechanisms: 1) sorption, 2) chemical incorporation (mainly precipitation and co-precipitation) and 3) encapsulation (Paria and Yueh, 2006; Chen et al., 2009). While sorption can be achieved physically via attraction to charged surfaces or chemically via covalent bonding especially at functional group sites of C-S-H phase, the latter two are more common mechanisms.

Chemical incorporation, mainly the formation of insoluble hydroxides, is a dominant mechanism for solidification and stabilization of heavy metals (Chen et al., 2009). As a result, metals including As(III), Cr(VI), and Hg that do not form hydroxides are not suitable for cement-based solidification. Meanwhile, pH is a critical influencing factor for the solidification of Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb Ni and Zn, because it affects solubility of their hydroxides. Besides hydroxide precipitation, carbonates, sulfates, and silicates of heavy metals are also precipitation strategies for solidifying heavy metals (Chen et al., 2009). Chemical incorporation in cement hydration products can also occur directly, since heavy metals, such as Zn and Pb, incorporate in the C-S-H in the Ca layer, Si chain or in the interlayer space (Guo et al., 2017a).

Heavy metals can be physically encapsulated by the C-S-H phase (Cartledge et al., 1990). Heavy metal wastes can be mixed with cementitious materials during their fresh state. Once materials are hardened, the heavy metals are isolated in a monolithic waste-like form that can exhibit long-term stability. Though physical encapsulation here is the main mechanism, chemical stabilization could also play a role (Guo et al., 2017a).

Geopolymers, as an alternative to ordinary portland cement (Chen et al., 2017b), also exhibit a propensity to adsorb heavy metals. In one study, the removal rate of Zn was shown to be 97.7% by the volcanic tuff based geopolymers but only 78.5% by the raw tuff, corroborating the potential of the geopolymerization techniques to remediate heavy metals (Al-Zboon et al., 2016). The mechanism often relates to the participation of heavy metals (e.g., Zn²⁺, Cu²⁺, Cd²⁺, and Cr⁶⁺) to charge-balance aluminates in the geopolymer framework (Rasaki et al., 2019), while other components (e.g., silicates) also react with heavy metals (e.g., Pb) to form Pb₃Sb precipitates (Guo et al., 2017a). Additionally, physical encapsulation and adsorption effects are also reported as primary immobilization mechanisms (Guo et al., 2017c; Ji and Pei, 2019).

The surface morphology and porosity of geopolymers affect their efficiency to remove heavy metals. For instance, the NaOH activated metakaolin geopolymers exhibit ~90% removal capacity for Pb²⁺, Cu²⁺, Cr³⁺, and Cd²⁺, a phenomenon that is attributed to the mesoporous surface of aluminosilicate network (Cheng et al., 2012). A porous geopolymer (~74.6% porosity) made from fly ash and iron ore tailing as the precursor and H₂O₂ as the foaming agent exhibited uptake percentage of Cu²⁺ at 90.7%, a high efficiency that was attributed to its well defined pore size distribution and high total porosity (Duan et al., 2016). Many other studies report that the adsorption of heavy metals (e.g., Pb²⁺, Cu²⁺, Sr²⁺, Co²⁺, Ca²⁺, Cu²⁺, Cd²⁺, Zn²⁺, and Ni²⁺) follows the pseudo-second-order model (Rasaki et al., 2019). Fitting to this model, which involves intra-particle diffusion and kinetics parameters (Ho and Mckay, 1999), suggests a heterogeneous surface of geopolymers for uptake of heavy metals. Considering the
surface is a critical factor for removal capacity, several treatments that enhance the mesoporous nature by introducing chemical additives have shown to significantly increase the removal efficiency for heavy metals (Tang et al., 2015; Ge et al., 2017).

In summary, portland cements immobilize/solidify heavy metals mainly through the hardening process or precipitation (e.g., hydroxide). Furthermore, adsorption by geopolymers generally depends on their microstructural porosity and surface morphology. Both materials exhibit a potential to benefit from additional efficacy of heavy metal remediation enabled by biotechnology, for example via biomineralization on surfaces of aggregates or concrete materials, among other ways, as reviewed in detail in section 3.

3.1.2 Removal via Microorganisms

Many different types of microorganisms exhibit the capacity to remove heavy metals. A halophilic Haloferax strain was found to remove up to 21% of cadmium in a culture solution (Das et al., 2014). The thermophilic Geobacillus thermodenitrificans cells removed multiple types of heavy metals (e.g., Fe, Cr, Cd, and Pb) via biosorption from an industrial effluent (Chatterjee et al., 2010). Mercury ions (Hg²⁺) from soil were reduced to Hg₀ by the acidophile Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans SUG 2-2 (Takeuchi et al., 2001). Many other acidophiles also exhibit great potency in removal of many types of heavy metals through various mechanisms (Dopson et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2012; Dopson and Holmes, 2014). Like bacteria, algae also exhibit high efficiency for heavy metal removal (Monteiro et al., 2012), even when used as non-living biomass (after acid-, heat- or other treatment of live cells) (Mehta and Gaur, 2005).

Most processes of bioremediation by cells are a resistance mechanism that allows for the survival in presence of heavy metals. These mechanisms are the foundation to develop biotechnologies for civil engineering that involve bioremediation. Using uranium as a general example, the bacterial mechanisms categorized as bioreduction (or biotransformation), biomineralization, biosorption, and bioaccumulation are illustrated in Figure 5 (Newsome et al., 2014).

Bioreduction involves the conversion of oxidation states of metals, for example, by reducing U(VI) to U(IV) with Geobacter through respiration of metal ions as an electron acceptor to gain energy for metabolism (Figure 5) (Newsome et al., 2014). Aggregation of such bio-reduced metal ions would lead to the formation of NPs (as reviewed in section 2.2.1). For the transformation from Hg(II) to the least toxic form of Hg₀ (Mathema et al., 2011), the mercury resistance genes relate to the key enzymes in the biotransformation process (Barkay et al., 2003). Specifically, the organomercury lyase (MerB) promotes the
cleavage of organomercury compounds, and the released Hg\(^{2+}\) is reduced to Hg\(^{0}\) by mercuric reductase (MerA) (Benison et al., 2004).

Biomineralization, commonly seen as MICP in cementitious materials as reviewed in section 2.2.3, is also a critical mechanism to remediate heavy metals. To biomineralize uranium, the *Serratia* species convert the organic glycerol phosphate to inorganic phosphate that then precipitates U(VI) to form hydrogen uranyl phosphate (Figure 5) (Newsome et al., 2014).

Other resistance mechanisms involve removing heavy metals through biosorption or bioaccumulation. During biosorption, the heavy metals are bound to cell surface through a physio-chemical process, such as electrostatic and chemical sorption (Newsome et al., 2014). This process, however, is not effective in remediation for some metals, such as uranium, due to the high desorption rate. Usually, biosorption is among the first steps before bioreduction and biomineralization take place (Giovanella et al., 2020). To the contrary, bioaccumulation accumulates the heavy metals inside the cell (Chojnacka, 2010). During bioaccumulation, heavy metals are immobilized in cells through formation of metalloproteins, metal-binding peptides and polymers (Diep et al., 2018; Giovanella et al., 2020).

These processes/mechanisms of heavy-metal removal via microorganisms impart pathways for environmental remediation to civil engineers. Specifically, all the four mechanisms (i.e., bioreduction, biomineralization, biosorption, and bioaccumulation) could be exploited by directly adding microorganisms into polluted water/suspensions. Biomineralization could be promoted on surfaces of aggregates/fillers or bulk concrete materials in order to remove heavy metals. Bioreduction, biosorption and bioaccumulation can take place in biofilms that could grow on surfaces of cementitious materials. These applications are discussed in detail in section 3.3.

### 3.2 Air-Pollution Removal

#### 3.2.1 Removal via Cementitious Materials

While heavy metal pollution mainly affects water and soil, air pollution is another major issue that has attracted much recent attention from academia and industry. Polluted air was found to be responsible for 6.4 million deaths worldwide in 2015 (Landrigan, 2017). CO\(_2\), NO\(_x\) (e.g., nitrogen dioxide), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are major sources of pollution in urban regions (Petronella et al., 2017).

Cement paste has been shown to react with atmospheric CO\(_2\) (Sa’ija and Luković, 2016). Hempcrete, which consists of CH and CSH (i.e., the reaction products also seen in cement pastes), has been shown to sequester up to -16 kgCO\(_2\)/m\(^2\) over its lifecycle (Souto-Martinez et al., 2018b; Arehart et al., 2020). While the CO\(_2\)-remediation capability of cement pastes is limited (Souto-Martinez et al., 2017; Souto-Martinez et al., 2018a), the remediation by calcium- and/or magnesium-containing minerals (usually present or being added to cements) are expensive. Sequestration is estimated at $50 to $300 per tCO\(_2\)/(Sanna et al., 2014).

The degradation of NO\(_x\) has been explored by utilizing catalytic properties of TiO\(_2\) nanoparticles (Spasiano et al., 2015). As shown in Figure 6, upon illumination, TiO\(_2\) coated surfaces generate oxygen to oxidize NO\(_x\) into nitric acid, which are subsequently neutralized by alkaline phases in the cementitious materials. This mechanism has been applied to treat NO\(_x\) polluted air in research studies experimentally (Husken et al., 2009; Guo et al., 2017b), via modeling (Ballari et al., 2010), and by developing commercial products (Petronella et al., 2017).

The photocatalytic process induced by TiO\(_2\) NPs have also been utilized to remove the toxic VOCs (e.g., ketones, halogenated hydrocarbons and aromatic compounds), leading to formation of benign products (e.g., H\(_2\)O vapor and CO\(_2\)) (Mo et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2012a; Petronella et al., 2017). An introduction of 1 wt% of TiO\(_2\) nanoparticles into portland cement was found to remove BTEX (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and o-xylene) up to 54% (Strini et al., 2005). Besides portland cement, geopolymers, when coated with a thin film of TiO\(_2\) NPs, exhibited degradation capability via photocatalytic process (Falah et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2017a).

Even though the applications of bio-based TiO\(_2\) NPs to cementitious have been limited so far, similar characteristics of abiotic TiO\(_2\) NPs with the bio-based NPs indicate such applications are promising. Microorganisms produced TiO\(_2\) NPs can be anatase or rutile with particle sizes of ~8–77 nm based on selected reports from literature (Table 1) (Bansal et al., 2005; Jha et al., 2009; Kirithi et al., 2011; Babitha and Korrapati, 2013). These characteristics are expected to exhibit identical photocatalytic properties and effects on the engineering performance of cement paste and concrete (Chen et al., 2012a).

#### 3.2.2 Removal via Microorganisms

While TiO\(_2\) NPs produced via microorganisms (Table 1) can be applied to cementitious materials in the same manner as discussed in section 2.2.3, in this section we aim to review the remediation of air pollution directly by microorganisms. Some microorganisms exhibit great potential to sequester CO\(_2\). For
example, growth of 1.0 kg microalgae is associated with fixation of 1.88 kg CO₂ (Ho et al., 2011), much higher than carbon sequestration by type I cement based concrete over 25 years of exposure (i.e., <30 kg CO₂ per 1 m³ of concrete column, or <0.0125 kg CO₂ per kg of concrete if we assume a concrete density of 2,400 kg/m³) (Souto-Martinez et al., 2018a).

To remove CO₂, MICP that converts CO₂ into carbonate minerals has been shown to be an effective method (Anbu et al., 2016). As a safe and environment-friendly technique, carbonic-anhydrase-enzyme mediated MICP catalyzes reversible hydration of CO₂ to formations of bicarbonate and calcite crystals (Liu et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2012). The carbonic anhydrase enzyme when purified deposits 15 times more carbonates than its crude counterpart (i.e., cells washed and resuspended in lysis buffer) (Ramanan et al., 2009).

While the CO₂ remediation via carbonic-anhydrase based MICP could be costly (at least under lab scale where the extraction and purification of enzymes is complex), algae-based CO₂ capture seems to be a more cost-efficient method. This CO₂ capturing process is sustained under ambient temperature and daylight, and the resulting algal biomass can be used as biofuel, animal feed, and many other high-value products (Judd et al., 2015). Additionally, the algal photobioreactors can be as simple as a pond system that is robust to changes in CO₂ concentration. Different algae have been reported to fix CO₂ at different rates. While up to 56% of the total CO₂ from a feed gas of 15% CO₂ at a flow rate under 50 ml/min can be fixed by Chlorella vulgaris (Li et al., 2013), a fixation efficiency of 10% is seen for a gas containing 10% CO₂ (flow rate not reported) by Dunaliella tertiolecta (Sydney et al., 2010). These efficiency values are generally dependent on many factors, including mass transfer of CO₂ from gas to liquid phase, CO₂ loading, biomass concentration and light intensity (Judd et al., 2015).

Microorganism-aided phytoremediation has been studied as one of the bio-approaches to remove air pollution. Endophytic microorganisms that colonize in the plant have shown to accelerate phytoremediation processes efficiently to decrease or remove VOCs and greenhouse gases (Stepniewska and Kuźniar, 2013). The bacterium Burkholderia cepacia L.S.2.4, a natural endophyte of yellow lupine, was genetically modified (commonly carried out to improve microorganisms’ target performance, such as the control of CaCO₃ precipitation cited in the introduction section) by introducing a pTOM toluene-degradation plasmid of Burkholderia cepacia G4 and exhibited strong degradation capability for toluene (Barac et al., 2004). Some methanotropic bacteria (e.g., Methyloccella palustris and Methylocapsa acidiphila) found in Sphagnum moss tissues were found to oxidize methane to carbon oxide that is then used by the moss plant during photosynthesis (Raghoebarsing et al., 2005; Stepniewska and Kuźniar, 2013). Such a cycle by oxidizing methane and utilizing carbon dioxide reduced the emission of these gases by up to 50% from peatlands (Kip et al., 2012).

More relevant to the removal of N₂O gases by TiO₂ as reviewed in section 3.2.1 above, several bacteria have been found to exhibit N₂O-reducing capability (Hallin et al., 2018). The Bacillus vireti with the N₂O reductase acts as the potential sink for N₂O with the efficiency depending on the nitrate concentrations in the culture medium (Mania et al., 2016). While exploration in biochemistry and genetics of N₂O respiration has made great progress (e.g., the discovery of the multicopper enzyme that catalyzes the transformation of N₂O), further such mechanistic understanding at molecular level is needed before we can tailor the microorganisms for more efficient mitigation of N₂O emissions (Zumft and Kroneck, 2006).

3.3 Applications of Nanoscale Biotechnology in Cementitious Materials

Currently, while very few applications of microorganisms have been reported to enhance the heavy metal removal in civil engineering, the reported cases as discussed above suggest great potential of bioremediation using civil engineering materials. Methods of application can be categorized into three types: 1) direct application of microorganisms in polluted water/suspensions; 2) surface treatment of cementitious materials and/or aggregates via biomineralization; and 3) surface treatment via formation of a biofilm. While biomineralization (2nd method) could directly relate to the nanoscale aspect, the direct application (1st method) and formation of biofilm (3rd method) could associate with formation of NPs and thus can also be regarded as “nanoscale” biotechnology.

Microorganisms have been directly mixed with polluted water or suspension and found to exhibit high efficiency for heavy metal removal (e.g., Fe, Zn, Cr) in soil, constructed wetland, and uncleared waste disposal cells (Alquier et al., 2014; Singh et al., 2015; Bano et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2020). Below we focus on the two surface treatments that are more directly relevant to cementitious materials.

3.3.1 Surface Treatment of Cementitious Materials and Aggregates via Biominalization

Surface precipitation on cementitious materials and aggregates can be achieved by biomineralization, as reviewed in section 2.2.3. Biomineralization has also been shown to remediate heavy metals (Anbu et al., 2016; Kumari et al., 2016). For example, the strain Kocuria flava CR1, an isolate from a mining area in China, removed up to 97% of copper through formation of carbonates (Achal et al., 2011). Biomineralization was seen to remove 99.95% of Cd at 2 g/L in 48 h in a culture media (Kang et al., 2014). Radionuclides (e.g., U, Sr, Np, and Cs) have also been removed through biomineralization of calcium carbonates and coprecipitation of radionuclide carbonates (Newsome et al., 2014).

With the capability to remove heavy metals, as well as to induce nanostructural surface precipitates (see section 2.2.3), the biomineralization process potentially brings additional functionality of heavy-metal removal to cementitious materials. More specifically, there are two possible approaches: 1) to promote biomineralization of heavy metals on the surface of concrete; and 2) to biomineralize aggregates prior to mixing with cementitious binders. The direct biomineralization or coating on the surface of concrete aided by microorganisms (De Muynck et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2016; Wong et al., 2020) potentially
equips the concrete surfaces with the functionality of bioremediation. Alternatively, the aggregates or even recycled cementitious aggregates can be used to remove heavy metals in polluted media through biomineralization in a similar fashion to CaCO₃ precipitation in soil and sand and on aggregate surfaces (Achal et al., 2013; Cuzman et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2017). Once precipitated as NPs on the aggregate surfaces, heavy metal pollutants can be encapsulated in the cementitious matrix as reviewed in section 3.1.1. Furthermore, nanostructural morphology on the aggregate surface could further enhance the engineering performance of concrete materials, as discussed in section 2.2.3. Such a pathway would not only allow bioremediation of heavy metals but also convert contaminated materials into high-performance concrete materials. Though more studies are needed to develop such multi-functional concrete with enhanced bioremediation capability and engineering performance, currently available information indicates this area of research and applications is promising.

3.3.2 Surface Treatment via Formation of Beneficial Biofilms
A biofilm is a group of microorganisms, including bacteria, fungi and protists, that commonly grow on living or non-living surfaces (Flemming and Wingender, 2010). In addition to the cells, biofilms are composed of a matrix of extracellular polysaccharides (EPS), proteins, and DNA, as well as absorbed nutrients, metabolites, ions/minerals, and some detritus from the surrounding environment.

Besides promoting precipitation through MICP (Decho, 2010) and protecting Ca-bearing materials by the precipitation of calcium oxalate at their surface (e.g., by lichen (Ariño et al., 1997)), biofilms exhibit remediation capability for heavy metals. For example, a sulphate-reducing bacterial biofilm was found to remediate a copper-contaminated medium through formation of copper sulfide (White and Gadd, 2000); natural films of sulfate-reducing bacteria of the Desulfobacteriaceae family were seen to concentrate Zn ions and promote precipitation of sphalerite (ZnS) particles of 2–5 nm (Labrenz et al., 2000). Other heavy metals (e.g., Cd, Ni, Co, Pd) can also be remediated (Singh et al., 2006). Such bioremediation process usually involves an immobilization of contaminants by microbial transformation that leads to detoxification and precipitation, sorption to cells or matrix, and biomineralization (Barkay and Schaefer, 2001). This remediation capability likely relates to biofilms’ high resistance to heavy metals (e.g., up to 600 times higher resistance for Pseudomonas eruginosa based biofilm than free-swimming cells (Teitzel and Parsek, 2003)), together with their wide chemical, physical, and structural diversity (Pal and Paul, 2008).

Apart from remediating heavy metals (usually accompanied by formation of NPs), biofilms can be applied on concrete surfaces. While biofilms have been more widely applied to protect the metal surfaces from corrosion (Zuo, 2007), several applications to concrete have been reported (Soleimani et al., 2013a; Soleimani et al., 2013b; Soleimani et al., 2013c). An E. coli DH5α biofilm can successfully cover mortar samples, continue to grow (almost doubling the thickness) despite application of sulfuric acid down to pH of 3, and reduce leaching of Ca²⁺ by 23–47% compared to samples without the biofilms (Soleimani et al., 2013a). This biofilm-covered mortar, when soaked in a culturing media with the undesirable sulfur-oxidizing bacteria (SOB) that induce the formation of sulfuric acid, exhibits a competing effect with growth of the SOB (Soleimani et al., 2016). Biofilms also help improve the durability through sealing the underlying microcracks and lowering the diffusion rates of chloride (Chlayon et al., 2018). In summary, the application of biofilms that are capable of both heavy metal bioremediation and formation of a barrier on concrete surface is a promising tool to tailor concrete materials with capability of bioremediation and high durability.

4.1 Significance: Opportunities and Challenges
This review highlights innovations in a new field of nanoscale construction biotechnology and applications in the design of resilient and sustainable civil engineering materials to benefit the construction industry. Nanotechnology has been shown to substantially improve the strength and durability of cementitious materials (see Table 1) (Sobolev et al., 2006; Rashad, 2013a; Rashad, 2013b; Reches, 2018; Mindess, 2019), while new biotechnologies offer an ideal solution to design environmentally friendly nano-additives by minimizing environmental and economic costs (Li et al., 2011; Tiquia-Arashiro and Rodrigues, 2016). Among biotechnology’s great environmental benefits to civil engineering materials as discussed in section 3.1 and 3.2, water treatment is one main application. As one example, yeast- and lactose-assisted bioremediation has successfully cleaned a large area (2–3 ha) of chlorine-contaminated area (town of Salem, New Hampshire) with an expenditure of just US $ 300,000 by saving an estimated US $ 2
millions in remediation by conventional pump-and-treat approach (Schaffner, 2004). By taking the advantages of both nanotechnology and biotechnology, the engineering performance and environmental benefits of cementitious materials can be substantially improved (see Figure 7).

### 4.2 Opportunity: Enhancing Environmental Tolerance and Performance via Genetic Engineering

Recent advances in synthetic biology can further enhance the environmental tolerance and bioproduction of microorganisms. Synthetic biology is an engineering approach to biology that aims to construct new biological systems (e.g., living organisms) to have novel—or enhanced—abilities or useful purposes (e.g., material production, sense-and-respond functionality). Some of the methods used by synthetic biologists include DNA manipulation technologies, as well as omics approaches (i.e., genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics).

The ability of microorganisms to survive (when necessary) while producing NPs and removing heavy metals largely depend on their adaptation to relevant environmental conditions. Under the extreme conditions in the cementitious materials (e.g., high ionic concentration, and high alkalinity), microorganisms’ survival and capability in NP production and metal removal could be of critical importance. To elucidate the genetic underpinnings of microorganism biosynthesis and persistence, omics approaches have been adopted to examine genomes, transcriptomes, and proteomes (Giovanella et al., 2020) and their governance rules on microorganism behavior. For example, genome sequencing is often conducted on extremophiles that exhibit a strong adaptation to harsh pH, temperature, pressure, salinity and others. In doing so, genes relevant to the versatile environmental adaptability are revealed (Giovanella et al., 2020).

With this understanding, genetic modification can be achieved by utilizing recombinant DNA technology and selective pressure for rational design and directed evolution. While rational design involves construction of a single strain that possesses desirable metabolic characteristics or proteins from different organisms to allow specific reactions using recombinant DNA technology (Ang et al., 2005), directed evolution engineers the organism for improved characteristics through mutagenesis and environmental pressure based on Darwinian principles of mutation and selection (Hibbert and Dalby, 2005).

Based on this genetic engineering, genetically engineered microorganisms can be produced that exhibit boosted biosynthesis or efficiency in heavy metal removal. Heterologous biosynthesis pathways can also be engineered into non-native producer organisms (Kumar et al., 2013). For example, the size, shape and mechanical properties of biogenic CaCO₃ precipitates can be tailored via genetically engineered E. coli strains (Liang et al., 2018; Heveran et al., 2019). Similarly, the abilities of sulfur-oxidizing and sulfate-reducing microorganisms have been engineered to increase extraction and capture of metals (Dopson and Johnson, 2012).

In addition to improvement in biosynthesis and heavy-metal removal, enhanced survivability of microorganisms can be achieved via genetic modification. For example, a new strain of E. coli was engineered to express a hypersaline azoreductase gene originally from Halomonas elongata allowing it to tolerate higher NaCl concentrations (Eslami et al., 2016). In another instance, Pichia pastoris expressed the laccase gene from Thermus thermophiles inducing greater tolerance to high temperature (~90°C) (Liu et al., 2015). More relevant to the high alkaline environment in concrete materials, mutations to the Sporosarcina pasteurii (a strain capable of MICP) genome were induced via ultraviolet irradiation, and the mutants were capable of biomineralization under pH of 11–12 (Achal et al., 2009b). Such improved biosynthesis and tolerance to the harsh environments exhibits potential to enhance microorganism’s resilience for NP synthesis and removal of heavy metals.

In short, with the advent of new, rapid throughput DNA sequencing techniques, data analysis, and recombinant DNA technology, the fundamental understanding and modification of genes at a molecular level provide great opportunities to impart boosted functionalities to microorganisms that are of specific interest to civil engineers (e.g., biosynthesis of NPs and heavy metal remediation).

### 4.3 Challenge: Ensuring the Energy- and Cost-Efficiency of Biotechnology

Improved sustainability related to biological vs. physical or chemical synthesis has been claimed in almost all relevant studies (Li et al., 2011; Hulot and Taranath, 2014; Tiquia-Arashiro and Rodrigues, 2016; Iravani and Varma, 2020). The primary argument relates to the potential elimination of expensive and sometimes toxic solvents and by replacing energy intensive processes with biological ones. Improved
sustainability, however, is not always guaranteed. For example, the biological process for producing TiO$_2$ NPs demands cumulative energy only lower than the RF thermal plasma method but higher than all the other conventional methods, as shown in Figure 8 (Wu et al., 2019). As an example of potentially lower energy consumption, parameter optimization for biosynthesis of magnetite NPs (e.g., reducing the amount of sodium hydroxide and replacing iron sources) offers a six-fold reduction in environmental impacts (Sadhukhan et al., 2017). Furthermore, a systematic comparison between the biosynthesis of NPs and their conventional counterparts is rarely reported (Sadhukhan et al., 2017). Even for conventional synthesis, life cycle assessments using standardized methodologies that quantify environmental impacts face a number of challenges, especially at the industrial scale (Charitidis et al., 2014). These challenges are partially due to different equipment and methods required between lab and industrial scales (Hetherington et al., 2014). Therefore, in addition to research that leverages the advancements in nanotechnology and biotechnology, systematic quantification of environmental and economic impacts is essential prior to full-scale production and widespread application.

In addition to energy consumption associated with biotechnology, cost is an important consideration. The majority of biotechnology cost is claimed to come from the nutrients used to grow microorganisms (Achal et al., 2015). In the case of biocementation via MICP, yeast extract, meat extract, glucose, peptone and salts are commonly added to the nutrient media. The cost of these nutrients is estimated to be around $250 per kilogram, which could be used to produce 5.4E6 unit urease (i.e., enzyme that could hydrolyze 5.4E6 micromoles of urea in 1 min) (Achal et al., 2015). Fortunately, some nutrient-rich industrial effluents, such as lactose mother liquor (Achal et al., 2009a) and corn steep liquor (Achal et al., 2010; Fahmi et al., 2018), could serve as replacements and end with comparable or even enhanced precipitation of carbonates. In a similar fashion of using wastes, pumpkin peel is used as a carbon and energy source for fungi to produce Cu/Zn NPs (Noman et al., 2019). Additionally, careful selection of microorganism species offers additional benefits, for example, by using cyanobacteria to promote MICP via photosynthesis that does not require as much exogenous nutrient addition (Kamennaya et al., 2012). Future research in this area is of great importance to advance applications of biotechnology in civil engineering at scale.

5 CONCLUSION

In this review, we summarize the promise and potential of a new field of nanoscale construction biotechnology. By combining the advantages of nanotechnology with advances in biotechnology, this new field offers multiple opportunities to revolutionize the field of construction.

As a green alternative to the conventional nanotechnology, biologically produced NPs can exhibit comparable characteristics to those that have been proven to enhance the performance of cementitious materials. While direct addition of bio-NPs is proven feasible, surface treatment of NPs on the surfaces of aggregates prior to mixing with cementitious binders is an alternate, yet unexplored, strategy to enhance concrete performance.

While nanotechnological enhancements of materials can reduce economic and environmental costs, the application of microorganisms potentially equip cementitious materials with additional functionality to remove heavy metals (e.g., Hg, U, Cr) from water and soil and clean pollutants from the air. Three possible approaches to achieve this multifunctionality have been reviewed: 1) direct application of microorganisms, 2) surface treatment in cementitious (and other) materials via biomineralization, and 3) formation of active biofilm surfaces. Together, these opportunities afford civil engineers a materials-centric approach to water treatment and recover rare earth elements.

This review also summarizes the challenges and opportunities of nanoscale biotechnology and proposes a roadmap of research for civil engineers of the 21st century. There exist great opportunities to enhance the environmental tolerance and performance of biotechnological systems, thanks in large part to the rapid development of genetic engineering. While concerns are present with regards to the biotechnology's energy- and cost-efficiency, corresponding opportunities exist in parallel, as evidenced by initial proofs of concept that have been successfully reported. By taking advantage of both nanotechnology and advances in biotechnology, critical aspects of resilience and sustainability could be addressed within (and beyond) the modern civil engineering discipline.
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