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ABSTRACT
Community health services are the mechanism through which households and communities increase their knowledge and skills in disease control and plan interventions. A mixed method cross-sectional baseline household survey was carried out in Migori and Kwale counties which constituted the intervention and control study sites respectively. The primary study participants were heads of households while secondary were FGDs and KIIs. Mean annual malaria cases for those above five years for all facilities in Migori County were 154/1000 compared to 113/1000 in Kwale. Mean annual malaria morbidity among children under five in all health facilities in Migori was 132/1000 compared to 32/1000 in Kwale. Net ownership among households in Migori was 65.8% compared to 31.4% Kwale. Pregnant women attending ANC in Migori was 60.0% compared to 92.9% in Kwale. 20% of pregnant women received IPTp3 in Migori was compared to 18.2% in Kwale. Malaria incidence in Migori was 36.73% compared to 63.7% for Kwale. Nets in use the night before survey by pregnant women in Migori was 38.6% compared to Kwale that was 28.5%. Proportion tested for malaria in Migori was 45.3% compared to Kwale that was 40%. 32.9% of household respondents in Migori took ACT within 24 hours after fever started was compared to 8% in Kwale. The study concludes that community health units exist within the two counties and thus provides opportunities to strengthening community health services to achieve access and utilization of malaria control interventions at this level.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Malaria is a preventable and treatable mosquito-borne disease, whose main victims are children under five years of age in Africa. The World Malaria Report 2012 summarized data received from 104 malaria-endemic countries and territories for 2011. Ninety-nine of these countries had on-going malaria transmission. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) estimates, there were about 219 million cases of malaria in 2010 and an estimated 660 000 deaths (1).
Africa is the most affected continent: about 90% of all malaria deaths occur in the continent. The period between year 2000 and 2010, an estimated 1.1 million malaria deaths were averted globally, primarily as a result of a scale-up of interventions (2, 3).

The Global Malaria strategy follows a four-pronged approach and includes, access to prompt diagnosis and appropriate treatment; prevention of malaria in pregnancy; vector control through the use of Long Lasting Insecticidal Nets (LLINs), Indoor Residual Spraying (IRS) and other control methods as well as a swift response to emergencies and epidemics.

Malaria is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality in Kenya, accounting for 34% of the outpatient attendance in 2011 (4). Despite considerable efforts to control and treat the disease, the Kenya Demographic and Health Survey (5) estimated that about 24 million Kenyans were at risk of infection each year, with the most affected being pregnant women and children. Malaria affects pregnant women which lead to negative health for mother and baby, including anaemia, low birth weight and infant deaths, and it is one of the most common causes of spontaneous abortion. In addition, the ill health associated with the disease exerts devastating toll on social and economic productivity, undermining local development in some communities (5).

Malaria is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality and accounted for 40% (6) and 30% of the outpatient attendance in 2011 in Migori and Kwale counties respectively. Perhaps, one reason for this high morbidity is the lack of capacity to deliver malaria interventions to all who need them. According to the Ministry of Health (MOH) Community Health Strategy 2006 (7), community-based approach, as set out in this strategy, is the mechanism through which households and communities strengthen their role in health and health-related development issues, by increasing their knowledge and skills in disease control and planning for health interventions. The overall goal of the community health strategy is to enhance community access to health care in order to improve productivity and thus reduce poverty, hunger, child and maternal deaths, as well as improve educational performance (7). This strategy is driven by Community Health Volunteers (CHVs). These are individuals chosen by the community and trained to address health issues of individuals, households and communities in their localities, working in collaboration with community leaders and link healthcare facilities staff. The CHVs are catalysts, whose role is to enable individuals take control of their health, and serve as a link to the healthcare facilities when the individuals need it (7).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area and Study Design

Two counties with similar malaria endemicity, socio-economic characteristics, geographical features, climate/weather condition and, demographic characteristics were compared before and after intervention. One county served as a control (non-intervention). The two counties are Migori County in the former Nyanza Province, (Intervention) and Kwale County in the former Coast Province (Control). In Migori County, the study was carried out in four (4) community health units of Nyamagagana and Gosebe in Kuria West Sub County and Itongo and Getongoroma in Kuria East Sub County. In Kwale County the study was carried out in four (4) community health units’ of Eshu and Mafisini in Msambweni Sub County and Mamba and Kikoneni in Lungalunga sub county.

A cross-sectional study designed to establish baseline information to guide the implementation of a community-based comparative analytical intervention study design to measure the differences in survey findings at baseline and four (4) years post intervention follow up surveys was carried out. In each of the counties, four (4) Community Health Units (CHUs) each with an approximately population of 5,000 people were selected. In the Intervention County (Migori), four (4) identified CHUs were selected and established. Forty Community Health Volunteers (CHVs) from the established CHUs were trained on community strategy as well as malaria prevention and control interventions using MOH malaria Control unit approved training guidelines. A
baseline survey in each of the counties was conducted to act as the pre-data for the intervention and control counties. The cross-sectional study was carried out in the two counties, collecting both quantitative and qualitative data.

2.2 Sample Methodology and Study Population
The study population included household members and key informants who lived within the stratified study area in both Migori and Kwale Counties. Sample size was determined using simple random sampling of households in the stratified study area in both Migori and Kwale Counties to establish baseline information on access and utilization of malaria control interventions with a 5% level of precision. The quantitative sample size was determined as described by Yamane, Taro (1967) (8) to establish baseline information on community usage of malaria control intervention in Migori and Kwale Counties, the p value was assumed to be 0.5 to give a sample size of 392. At baseline, the study conducted 1206 and 878 household interviews in Migori and Kwale counties respectively. Eight (8) Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) were conducted at each of the study sites (Intervention and Control).

The FGDs were conducted for groups of women and men in each of the CHU giving the total of 8 as stated above. Key Informants Interviews (KIIs) were conducted with Sub county MOHs, Sub county malaria coordinators, opinion leaders and community health strategy coordinators making a total of 8 KIIs per study site.

2.3 Data Collection Tools
Focus group and in-depth interview guides were used to generate qualitative data. Questionnaires, Rapid Diagnostic Tests (RDT) and Artemisinin-Based Combination Therapy (ACTs) consumption data tools were used to generate quantitative data. All research tools were pre tested in a local area and revised subsequently.

2.4 Data Management and Analysis
Various quality control measures were placed to ensure the quality of information collected was guarded. The measures included involvement of suitable fieldworkers and training them on the study protocol, pre-testing of study tools and supervision during data collection. To ensure quality, triangulation and validation was done using mixed methods for quantitative and qualitative as well as through different data sources. Quantitative data captured in questionnaires were coded after collection and double entered in MS Access. Any inconsistencies were dealt with immediately. To ensure consistency and completeness in qualitative data, clear requirements for the tools were continually expressed to the data collectors. At the end of each FGD and in-depth interview, there was debriefing about the salient issues arising out of the process, anomalies that occurred and what their overall opinions of the discussion/interview were. Quantitative data was entered in EPI-INFo version 7.2.1.0 and analyzed using STAT version 11.2, and finally presented in tables and text. Qualitative data were sorted, aggregated from the 8 FGDs and responses of each FGD Participants, then were entered by the researcher into tables broken down into themes and finally presented in tables and text. Distinctive quotes transcribed from the hand written interviews were noted in all tables and finally presented in text.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS
3.1 RESULTS
3.1.1 Characteristics of the Study Population
There were a total of 1206 and 878 households involved in the baseline survey in Migori and Kwale respectively. From these households a total of 6374 and 5529 people had their information recorded for the purpose of the research during the baseline in Migori and Kwale respectively. The average family size was 5.9 in both Migori and Kwale Counties. Gender balance was equal in the research, 49.6% were males and 50.4% were females in both counties (Table I)
Table I: Socio-Economic and Demographic Characteristics of Household Respondents by County

| Characteristics | Migori       | Kwale        |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| Household visited | 1206         | 878          |
| Population covered | 6374         | 5573         |

**Gender**

| Gender | Migori (%) | Kwale (%) |
|--------|------------|-----------|
| Male   | 598 (49.6%) | 436 (49.63%) |
| Female | 608 (50.4%) | 442 (50.37%) |

| Average size of household | Migori | Kwale |
|---------------------------|--------|-------|
| Minimum                   | 1.0    | 2.0   |
| Maximum                   | 11.0   | 10.0  |

| Average size of land (acres) | Migori | Kwale |
|-----------------------------|--------|-------|
| Minimum                     | 4.5    | 5.0   |
| Maximum                     | 35.0   | 24.0  |

**Source of income**

| Source of income | Migori (%) | Kwale (%) |
|------------------|------------|-----------|
| Farming          | 1034 (85.7%) | 878 (100%) |
| Self- Employment | 71 (5.9%)   | 0 (0%)    |
| Formal Employment| 25 (2.1%)   | 0 (0%)    |
| Business         | 52 (4.3%)   | 0 (0%)    |
| None             | 24 (2.0%)   | 0 (0%)    |

3.1.2 Access and Use of Malaria Control Intervention

Net ownership among households was lower in Migori compared to Kwale County (Table II). However, net use among the various target groups is comparable in both counties other than children under five in Kwale had a higher use than Migori (Table II).

The study established that households with at least one malaria case incidence in Migori are lower compared to Kwale County (Table II).

The proportion of Pregnant Women currently attending ANC in Migori was found to be higher compared to that of Kwale (Table II).

3.1.3 Access and Use of Malaria Control Intervention FGDS Findings

During FGD, access to diagnosis and treatment use was found to be similar in both Migori and Kwale counties with the former experiencing higher malaria cases at the household compared to the later (Table III).

All respondents (100%) received ACTs from public health facilities while they received none from CHVs in both Migori and Kwale. (Table III).

Majority (80%) of the respondents did not know how many times a pregnant woman must take malaria prophylaxis medicine (IPTp).

“I am not aware that pregnant women get malaria medicine for prevention. I even do not know how
many times they are supposed to take”. A woman respondent from Glory women group in Kuria East Sub County during the baseline survey.

Most of the respondents (64%) mentioned community health volunteers (CHVs) as the best placed to provide malaria medicine at the community level in both Migori and Kwale Counties.

“CHVs are with us in the community. They visit us regularly. So, if they have malaria medicine in their drug kits, they can be of great assistance to us”. A man respondent from Masaba men group in Kuria West Sub County during the baseline survey.

| INDICATOR | MIGORI Baseline % | KWALE Baseline % |
|-----------|------------------|------------------|
| **Net ownership and utilization** | | |
| Households with at least one mosquito net | 65.8 | 92.9 |
| Nets in use the night before survey by households’ members | 39.3 | 21.9 |
| Nets in use the night before survey by children under five years | 36.7 | 68.0 |
| Nets in use the night before survey by pregnant women | 38.6 | 28.5 |
| **Access to diagnosis treatment and utilization of case management of malaria** | | |
| Households with at least one malaria case incidence | 36.73 | 63.7 |
| Proportion tested for malaria | 45.3 | 40.0 |
| How long after fever started was ACT taken within 24 hours | 32.9 | 8.0 |
| **Access and utilization of malaria prevention in pregnancy** | | |
| Pregnant Women currently attending ANC | 60.0 | 31.4 |
| ANC attending women who have received IPTp 2 | 29.1 | 31.4 |
| ANC attending women who have received IPTp 3 | 20.0 | 18.2 |
Table III. Access to Diagnosis and Treatment Use FGD Findings Migori and Kwale

| Indicator                                             | Migori Baseline findings | Kwale Baseline findings |
|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Households with at least one malaria case incidence   | 97.36%                   | 70.3%                   |
| Proportion tested for malaria                         | 61.9%                    | 69%                     |
| Test performed by CHV                                 | 0%                       | 0%                      |
| Malaria test results positive                         | 100%                     | 100%                    |
| Malaria test results negative                         | 0%                       | 0%                      |
| How long after fever started was ACT taken within 24 hours | 53.8%                   | 30%                     |
| Positive cases that received ACT                      | 100%                     | 100%                    |
| Negative cases that received ACT                      | 0%                       | 0%                      |
| Those who received ACT from public health facilities  | 100%                     | 100%                    |
| Those who received ACT from CHV                       | 0%                       | 0%                      |

3.2 DISCUSSION

The baseline report established that the social-economic and demographic profiles were similar in both Migori and Kwale counties. These findings are consistent with the Kenya population and housing census 2019 as well as Kwale County integrated development plan 2018-2022 (9–11). Thus, the two counties were found as ideal sites for a comparative study by one being an intervention and the other a control.

The study found out that Migori was experiencing higher malaria cases at the household compared to Kwale. This is consistent with the findings of the malaria indicator surveys 2011, where the lake endemic zone (Migori included) had the highest prevalence of malaria overall (38%) compared to Kwale (< 20%) (12,13).

Majority of the respondents mentioned they receive ACTs from public health facilities while none from CHVs. There is therefore a gap in access to ACTs as most community members were not within close reach to a health facility. In the FGDs, community recognized the presence of CHVs in their midst and suggested them to be suitable for providing access to malaria medicine as well as constant reminders to household about malaria control and prevention intervention and other health issues. There were a number of basic routine services available at the community level. In most community health services, CHVs offers health education, referral to link facilities, mobilization of children for immunization, family planning methods distribution, treatment of common ailments, as well as distribution of oral rehydration supplies (14). These findings are similar as those described by Rose Njraini and Salim Hussein in Kenya as well as George Mwinnyaa et al., in Ghana (15), (16). A study by Wofak demonstrated that the level of...
satisfaction with work as CHVs was generally high and thus presented a great opportunity for integrating Malaria, HIV and TB at the community level. However, there existed gaps such as inadequate training, motivation of CHVs including stipend, stock-out of tools, and lack of necessary equipment and supplies that needed to be addressed prior to implementation of the integrated services model (14). The baseline survey found that the awareness of the community about malaria prevention using IPTp was very low, perhaps this is because of the low utilization of IPTp3 which is also consistent with the findings of the malaria indicator surveys 2011 and 2015 (12, 13).

4. CONCLUSION

It can be concluded from this study findings that the two counties have similar socio-economic and demographic profiles and thus can form ideal sites for a comparative study by one being an intervention and the other a control.

A clear opportunity identified within each of the counties was the presence of existing community health units and opportunity to form new ones as well as CHVs who provide community health services. Other opportunities included the goodwill from the county governments, partners support, availability of commodities and equipment, joint planning and existing county and sub-county health management teams who review the progress of implementation and offer guidance and supervision.

The study concludes that with low established knowledge and utilization of malaria control intervention in both counties, there is an opportunity for strengthening through establishing community health units as well as training of community health volunteers to take the mantle of social and behaviour change and communication at the household and community level.
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