Abstract—The objective of this paper is to investigate the operation of multi-effect water still coupled to an evacuated heat pipe tube solar collector and small fluid piston energy converter. The solar collector is used to provide heating of the saline water in the still and also to drive the fluid piston converter. This converter operates as a pump to evacuate air from the still and reduce pressure inside the still which would result in the increased saline water evaporation rate. The mathematical model of operation of the proposed water desalination system was developed using a set of equations to describe the mass and energy balance for each stage of the still. A simulation was carried out in Matlab/Simulink environment in order to calculate the distillate productivity and temperatures in all stages of the still. The preliminary results demonstrate that the total productivity of the multi-effect still is strongly affected by the pressure inside the still. Currently, the physical model of the system being assembled on the test rig to validate theoretical results.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Production of potable water by means of conventional seawater desalination processes consumes a huge amount of carbon-rich fossil fuel [1]. Therefore, taking into account the high energy consumption and the environmental impact of burning carbon-rich fuels, the coupling of solar energy to seawater desalination to produce potable water is considered as a highly promising alternative.

Solar still is one of the most popular desalination technologies and extensive investigations have been conducted on various designs in order to improve stills effectiveness and productivity. Experimental study was carried out on a single basin, single slope double condensing chamber solar still [2]. Experimental evaluation of the effect of the inclination angle of the internal and external reflectors on the performance of a traditional solar still at different seasons was conducted in [3]. A single basin still with a suspended absorber was fabricated and investigated by [4], and [5] and a significant improvement in the still productivity was observed. The performance of single basin still was enhanced when an external solar collector was coupled to the still [6]. Theoretical and experimental investigations on the performance of a single slope solar still coupled to an evacuated tube collector and storage tank. The results indicated that the water production rate doubled compared to that of still-only solar systems [7].

Additionally, the performance of a single basin solar still with and without vacuum was investigated theoretically and experimentally by [8]. The results showed that the performance of the still was enhanced when working in low pressure environment. The effect of the design parameters on the performance of the multi-effect solar still coupled with evacuated tube solar collector was investigated theoretically and experimentally by [9]. A multi-stage evacuated solar desalination system was described by [10], which was investigated under low pressure conditions.

II. PHYSICAL AND MATHEMATICAL MODEL

The schematic diagram of the evacuated multi-effect still, which is the subject of the present investigation, is schematically shown in Fig. 1. The system consists of two parts: the first part is a four-stages still coupled to an evacuated tube solar collector, which was described in details in [11]. The second part is a novel modification, where a small fluid piston energy converter is connected to the still in order to evacuate air from the still and reduce its pressure. Details of the fluid piston and its mathematical model were presented in [12], [13].

The solar collector is used to provide heating of the saline water in the still in order to enhance evaporation process and to drive the fluid piston converter. The mathematical model was developed based on a numerical model to simulate the fresh water productivity and temperature in each stage. The proposed model was obtained by applying the energy and mass balance equations for each stage of the multi-stage desalination system as shown in Fig. 2. Assumptions made when developing the model are as follows:
• Due to the absence of non-condensable gases and the low-temperature difference between the adjacent stages, heat is exchanged between the hot water bed and the condensing surface by evaporation and condensation processes. Heat transfer due to natural convection and radiation can be considered as negligible.
• All the amount of evaporated water is collected and equal to the distillate yield in every stage.
• The distillate leaves the stage with the temperature equal to that of the condensing surface.

In order to calculate the temperature of water and productivity in each stage, the energy and mass conservation equations were written in the form of ordinary differential equations as presented in [9].

The first stage:
\[
\dot{Q}_{i1} - \dot{m}_{c1}\left(h^*_{fg1} + c_p T_{c1}\right) = M_{s1}C_p \frac{dT_{s1}}{dt} + Q_{\text{losses 1}}
\]  

The second stage:
\[
\dot{m}_{c1}h^*_{fg2} - \dot{m}_{c2}\left(h^*_{fg2} + c_p T_{c2}\right) = M_{s2}C_p \frac{dT_{s2}}{dt} + Q_{\text{losses 2}}
\]  

The third stage:
\[
\dot{m}_{c2}h^*_{fg3} - \dot{m}_{c3}\left(h^*_{fg3} + c_p T_{c3}\right) = M_{s3}C_p \frac{dT_{s3}}{dt} + Q_{\text{losses 3}}
\]  

The fourth stage:
\[
\dot{m}_{c3}h^*_{fg4} - \dot{m}_{c4}\left(h^*_{fg4} + c_p T_{c4}\right) = M_{s4}C_p \frac{dT_{s4}}{dt} + Q_{\text{losses 4}}
\]

Due to the extremely intricate phenomena of combined mass and heat transfer inside evacuated multi-stage solar desalination still systems, the modified Grashof number is defined as in [15]:
\[
Gr_i^* = \frac{g \beta_i P_i^2 L^3 \Delta T_i^*}{\mu_i}
\]

where, \(\Delta T_i^*\) is the modified temperature difference for each stage, given by
\[
\Delta T_i^* = \left(T_{si} - T_{ci}\right) + \frac{T_{si}(P_{esi} - P_{esi})(M_a - M_i)}{M_i P_{esi}(M_a - M_i)}
\]

The partial saturation pressure of water vapour inside each stage, \(P_{esi}\), and the convective heat transfer coefficient can be calculated as proposed in [15, 16]:
\[
Nu = C(Ra)^n
\]

The values of the constants \(C\) and \(n\) can be assumed to be equal to 0.2 and 0.26, respectively, which can be applied for a broad range of Rayleigh number, namely 3.5×103 < Ra <106 as in [10]. Thus equation (12) can be rewritten as follows:
\[
\frac{h_{esi} L}{k_{esi}} = 0.2(Gr_i^* Pr_i)^{0.26}
\]

The convective heat transfer coefficient for each stage can be calculated by the following equation:
\[
h_{CVi} = \frac{C k_{esi} L^3 n - 1}{(\frac{g \beta_i \mu_i}{k_{esi}})^n} \left(T_{si} - T_{ci}\right)
\]

The distillate production rate for each stage can be calculated using the formula presented in [16]:
\[
\dot{m}_{esi} = \frac{A h_{esi}}{\left(P_{esi} - P_{esi}\right)} \frac{M_a}{R \left(T_{si} - T_{esi}\right)} \left(P_{esi} - P_{esi}\right) L e^{2/3}
\]
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III. METHODOLOGY

The numerical simulation process was carried out in Matlab/Simulink environment in order to simulate the operation of multi-effect solar still by solving the energy and mass conservation equations of the above mathematical model, as shown in Fig. 3.

![Fig. 3. The Simulink model of the multi-effect solar water still.](image)

The developed simulation process takes into consideration the influence of the variation of the thermophysical properties of the binary mixture of dry air and water vapour, the partial pressure of the vapour on the evaporating and condensing surfaces and also the variation of the average distance between these two surfaces and, moreover, it accounts for the heat losses through the walls of the still. The results obtained from this model provide information on the distillate productivity and temperatures for every stage and also for the still’s overall productivity.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The operation of the multi-effect water still was simulated for the conditions of a typical mid-summer day in the Middle East region as presented in [9]. In order to examine the accuracy of the present model on prediction of the stage temperatures and distillate productivity, the model was validated comparing its results and that in [9] for the atmospheric pressure. The initial water bed temperatures were assumed to be 12.5°C, 12.4°C, 12.3°C, 12.2°C, in the first, second, third and fourth stages respectively. Fig. 4 shows the comparison of the cumulative yield of the proposed model and the model in [9].

There is a good agreement in stage temperatures and the total cumulative distillate yield predicted by the present model is 6.1% higher than that in [9]. The deviation between the theoretical results of the current model and that presented in [9] is the result of using in this present study the thermos-physical properties of the binary mixture of dry air and water vapour.

Fig. 5 demonstrates the variation of the total cumulative distillate yield with the pressure level inside the solar still. The daily distillate yield of the evacuated multi-effect solar still was found to be 39.9 kg/m² at a pressure level of 0.03 bar whilst the total cumulative yield at atmospheric pressure was 25.95 kg/m². The still productivity decreased as the pressure level increased due to the lower evaporation rates.

The productivity of the multi-effect solar still is significantly affected by the pressure level inside the still as shown in Fig. 6. Although the total cumulative distillate yield increases with decreasing the internal pressure it is obvious that the effect becomes more dominant at pressure levels below 0.5 bar.

Fig. 7. Effect of internal pressure level on the stage temperatures.
The effect of the internal pressure level on the stage temperatures was also investigated. Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 show the stage temperatures at internal pressure levels of 1 and 0.03 bar respectively. It can be seen that the maximum temperature is observed in the first stage and it can reach about 87 °C at the atmospheric pressure whilst this temperature is about 32 °C at the 0.03 bar pressure level.

![Fig. 8. Effect of internal pressure level on the stage temperatures.](image)

**V. CONCLUSION**

In this study, the performance of an evacuated multi-effect solar still, coupled to evacuated heat pipe tube solar collector and small fluid piston energy converter, was theoretically investigated. The mathematical model of this system was developed and the simulation of the still’s operation was performed using Matlab/Simulink program. Preliminary results show that the pressure inside the multi-effect solar still plays a crucial role on the still productivity and the stage temperatures.

Currently, the physical model of the system is being assembled. It includes the prototype of conventional multi-stage solar still connected to an evacuated tube solar collector and fluid piston energy converter. An experimental investigation will be conducted to validate the theoretical results in the near future.
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