The Efficacy of Radiotherapy in the Treatment of Hepatocellular Carcinoma with Distant Organ Metastasis
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Background. Recently, radiotherapy has been used in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). However, there is no study analyzing the efficacy of radiotherapy in cases of advanced HCC. The objective of this investigation was to determine the efficacy of radiotherapy in patients with HCC invading distant organs.

Methods. The data of 2342 patients diagnosed between 2010 and 2015 with HCC invading distant organs were extracted from the SEER database. Propensity score matching (PSM) was used to reduce selection bias.

Results. Before PSM, the median overall survival (mOS) and median cancer-specific survival (mCSS) in the radiotherapy group (mOS = 5 months, 95% CI: 4.5–5.5; mCSS = 5 months, 95% CI: 4.4–5.6) were longer than those in the nonradiotherapy group (mOS = 3 months, 95% CI: 2.8–3.2; mCSS = 3 months, 95% CI: 2.8–3.2; both P < 0.001). After PSM, mOS in the radiotherapy group (5 months, 95% CI: 4.5–5.5) was longer than that in the nonradiotherapy group (3 months, 95% CI: 2.6–3.4; P < 0.001), and the mCSS in the radiotherapy group (5 months, 95% CI: 4.4–5.6) was longer than that in the nonradiotherapy group (3 months, 95% CI: 2.6–3.4; P < 0.001). Before PSM, the multivariate analysis showed that all-cause and cancer-specific mortality rates were higher in the nonradiotherapy group than in the radiotherapy group. The adjusted Cox regression analysis for subgroups showed that, in the nonradiotherapy group, patients with bone metastases and multiorgan metastases had a worse survival than those in the radiotherapy group. Conclusion. HCC patients with metastases to distant organs obtain survival benefit from radiotherapy, particularly patients with bone metastases and multiorgan metastases.

1. Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the most common cancers with one of the highest fatality rates [1]. Patients with early HCC can get survival benefits from transplantation, surgery, or ablation [2–5]. Transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) can prolong the overall survival of patients with intermediate HCC, and some studies have shown the survival benefits of TACE in patients with advanced HCC [6, 7]. However, due to the lack of high-level evidence, TACE is currently not considered the first-line treatment for advanced HCC [8]. Sorafenib and lenvatinib are recommended for this category of patients, but these drugs are expensive, and the response rate is low [8–10]. Although in the last year a progress in early diagnosis of HCC has been reached, the changed scenario characterized by emerging etiologies such as metabolic causes of cirrhosis has led to a high rate of patients who receive HCC diagnosis in advance stages characterized by extrahepatic spread, as recently reported [11]. This changed scenario needs to enhance treatment strategies for advanced HCC, including radiotherapy.
Radiotherapy includes external and external radiotherapy, and both forms are being used in the treatment of several types of solid tumors [12–15]. Lin J. and coworkers reported and both forms are being used in the treatment of several HCC patients with the tumor invading distant organs. Some studies showed that external radiotherapy prolonged the overall survival (OS) of patients with different types of tumors that invaded distant organs [18–20]. A random controlled trial documented that external radiotherapy could prolong the median OS of patients with oligometastasis from different primary tumors [21]. However, for several decades, radiotherapy has not been recommended for the treatment of HCC because the radiation could damage healthy liver tissue. However, with the advancement of technology, the accuracy of external radiotherapy is more precise, allowing the clinicians to avoid damage to the normal liver tissue around the tumor. Several recent studies demonstrated that patients with HCC metastasizing to distant organs can obtain survival benefits from radiotherapy. Thus, we compared the efficacy of radiotherapy with other treatments in HCC patients with the tumor invading distant organs.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Patient selection. Data used in the study originated from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database and were extracted using the SEER∗Stat software. The SEER database collects data on cancer cases from various locations and sources throughout the United States and includes approximately 28% of the United States population. The present analysis utilized the SEER data on patients diagnosed with HCC. The study was approved by the institutional Ethics Committee. The written informed consent was waived, since anonymized data were obtained from the national database.

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) patients diagnosed as HCC (International Classification of Disease for Oncology, Third Edition (ICD-O-3), histology codes 8170/3–8175/3) between 2010 and 2015; (2) patients aged between 30 and 84 years; (3) patients having extrahepatic metastases (including multiorgan invasion); (4) patients for whom the information on radiotherapy treatment (yes or no) was available; and (5) patients having a known survival time (those with survival codes 0 and 999 were excluded) (Figure 1).

2.2. Definition of the Endpoints. The endpoints of the study were overall survival (OS) and cancer-specific survival (CSS). OS was defined as the interval from the time patients were diagnosed with HCC to the time of death caused by any reason. CSS was defined as the interval from the time patients received liver resection to the time of death caused by the cancer.

2.3. Statistical Analysis. The study included twelve baseline factors, and the continuous variables were converted to categorical variables. Chi-square test and Fisher’s test were used to compare the difference of baseline factors between the radiotherapy and nonradiotherapy groups. The survival curves were plotted using the Kaplan-Meier method, and the survival was compared by log-rank test. Cox proportional risk model was used to exclude the potential factors which might influence the survival of patients in the two groups. For subgroups multivariate regression analysis, the adjusted Cox proportional risk model was used to reduce the effects of confounding factors on survival. The adjusted Cox regression analysis considered the age at diagnosis, gender, year of diagnosis, tumor grade, American Joint Committee on Cancer 7th edition (AJCC 7th) T stage, AJCC 7th N stage, tumor size, AFP, chemotherapy, number of tumors, race, marital status, and the type of surgery.

The factors of age at diagnosis, gender, AJCC 7th stage, metastatic organs, race, AFP, fibrosis scores, and chemotherapy were not balanced between the two groups. Thus, propensity score matching (PSM) including all factors analyzed in the study was used to balance the baseline factors. The optimal caliper of the PSM was set as 0.02, and 529 pairs of patients were generated by 1:1 ratio matching. After matching, all factors in the two groups were balanced. The statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS 24.0 (IBM, Chicago, IL, USA) and R 3.6.2 software. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of Patients. A total of 2342 patients were included in the study. Among them, 647 patients received radiotherapy (radiotherapy group), and 1695 did not (nonradiotherapy group). In the radiotherapy group, 467 patients had bone metastases, 63 patients had lung metastases, 8 patients had brain metastases, and 109 patients had multiorgan metastases. In the nonradiotherapy group, 463 patients had bone metastases, 1035 patients had lung metastases, 17 patients had brain metastases, and 180 patients had multiorgan metastases. In the nonradiotherapy group, 746 patients received chemotherapy, 21 patients received ablation (10 patients received radiofrequency ablation and 11 patients received other ablations), and 30 patients received liver resection (Table 1).

3.2. Survival Analysis. Before PSM, the median OS (mOS) and median CSS (mCSS) in the radiotherapy group were 5 months (95% CI: 4.5–5.5) and 5 months (95% CI: 4.5–5.5), respectively. These values were longer than those in the nonradiotherapy group (mOS = 3 months, 95% CI: 2.8–3.2; mCSS = 3 months, 95% CI: 2.8–3.2; both \( P < 0.001 \) (Figure 2). After PSM, the mOS (5 months, 95% CI: 4.5–5.5) and mCSS (5 months, 95% CI: 4.4–5.6) in the radiotherapy group were longer than the mOS (3 months, 95% CI: 2.6–3.4; \( P < 0.001 \)) and mCSS (3 months, 95% CI: 2.6–3.4; \( P < 0.001 \)) in the nonradiotherapy group (Figure 3).
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Figure 1: The flowchart of patient selection.

| Characteristics             | Before matching | After matching | P value  | P value  |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------|----------|
|                             | Radiotherapy    | Nonradiotherapy|          | Radiotherapy| Nonradiotherapy|          |          |
|                             | (N = 647)       | (N = 1695)     |          | (N = 529) | (N = 529)     |          |          |
| Age at diagnosis            |                 |                | 0.009    | 0.803    |                |          |          |
| 30–44                       | 10              | 54             |          | 10        | 13             |          |          |
| 45–59                       | 208             | 616            |          | 178       | 180            |          |          |
| ≥60                         | 429             | 1025           |          | 341       | 336            |          |          |
| Gender                      |                 |                | 0.001    | 0.921    |                |          |          |
| Male                        | 560             | 1369           |          | 449       | 451            |          |          |
| Female                      | 87              | 326            |          | 80        | 78             |          |          |
| Years of diagnosis          |                 |                | 0.063    | 0.389    |                |          |          |
| 2010–2012                   | 278             | 801            |          | 243       | 257            |          |          |
| 2013–2015                   | 369             | 894            |          | 286       | 272            |          |          |
| Tumor grade                 |                 |                | 0.166    | 0.950    |                |          |          |
| Well differentiated          | 46              | 113            |          | 39        | 35             |          |          |
| Moderately differentiated   | 69              | 221            |          | 60        | 55             |          |          |
| Poorly differentiated       | 63              | 198            |          | 52        | 49             |          |          |
| Undifferentiated            | 3               | 16             |          | 2         | 2              |          |          |
| Unknown                     | 466             | 1147           |          | 376       | 388            |          |          |
| AJCC 7th T stage            |                 |                | 0.015    | 0.799    |                |          |          |
| T0                          | 7               | 9              |          | 6         | 6              |          |          |
3.3. Multivariate Regression Analysis. In the multivariate regression analysis before PSM, female patients, patients with poorly differentiated tumors, patients with the AJCC 7th stage T, and patients with larger tumor size had higher all-cause mortality rate and cancer-specific mortality rate. After excluding potential factors which might influence the survival, patients in the nonradiotherapy group had a higher all-cause mortality rate (HR $= 1.277$, 95% CI: $1.146$–$1.424; P < 0.001$) and cancer-specific mortality rate (HR $= 1.315$, 95% CI: $1.167$–$1.481; P < 0.001$) than patients in the radiotherapy group (Table 2).

3.4. Subgroup Analysis. Before PSM, the mOS (6 months, 95% CI: 5.4–6.6) and mCSS (6 months, 95% CI: 5.2–6.8) of patients with bone metastases in the radiotherapy group were longer than the mOS (3 months, 95% CI: 2.5–3.5; $P < 0.001$) and mCSS (3 months, 95% CI: 2.7–3.3; $P < 0.001$) in the nonradiotherapy group. The mOS (5 months, 95% CI: 3.8–6.2) and mCSS (5 months, 95% CI: 3.6–6.4) of patients with lung metastases in the radiotherapy group were longer than the mOS (2 months, 95% CI: 1.8–2.2; $P = 0.011$) and mCSS (2 months, 95% CI: 1.8–2.2; $P = 0.043$) in the nonradiotherapy group. The mOS (4 months, 95% CI: 3.3–4.7)

| Characteristics | Before matching | After matching |
|-----------------|----------------|---------------|
|                 | Radiotherapy ($N = 647$) | Nonradiotherapy ($N = 1695$) | $P$ value | Radiotherapy ($N = 529$) | Nonradiotherapy ($N = 529$) | $P$ value |
| T1              | 165            | 334           |          | 115           | 116           |          |
| T2              | 66             | 192           |          | 59            | 52            |          |
| T3              | 228            | 621           |          | 189           | 207           |          |
| T4              | 45             | 164           |          | 36            | 39            |          |
| TX              | 136            | 375           |          | 124           | 109           |          |
| AJCC 7th N stage |                |               | 0.195    |               |               | 0.863    |
| N0              | 434            | 1075          |          | 339           | 343           |          |
| N1              | 106            | 327           |          | 97            | 93            |          |
| NX              | 107            | 293           |          | 93            | 93            |          |
| Metastatic organs |                |               | $<0.001$ |               |               | 0.992    |
| Bone            | 467            | 463           |          | 357           | 354           |          |
| Lung            | 63             | 1035          |          | 63            | 65            |          |
| Brain           | 8              | 17            |          | 8             | 9             |          |
| Multiple organs | 109            | 180           |          | 101           | 101           |          |
| Tumor size      |                |               | 0.052    |               |               | 0.689    |
| No more than 5 cm | 153            | 325           |          | 121           | 114           |          |
| Larger than 5 cm | 323            | 882           |          | 258           | 272           |          |
| Unknown         | 171            | 488           |          | 150           | 143           |          |
| Tumor number    |                |               | 0.186    |               |               | 0.777    |
| 1               | 575            | 1472          |          | 464           | 467           |          |
| ≥2              | 72             | 223           |          | 65            | 62            |          |
| Race            |                |               | $<0.001$ |               |               | 0.106    |
| White           | 472            | 1074          |          | 376           | 351           |          |
| Black           | 104            | 317           |          | 91            | 93            |          |
| Other/unknown   | 71             | 304           |          | 62            | 85            |          |
| Marital status  |                |               | $<0.001$ |               |               | 0.952    |
| Married         | 352            | 767           |          | 263           | 264           |          |
| Single          | 273            | 825           |          | 244           | 245           |          |
| Unknown         | 22             | 103           |          | 22            | 20            |          |
| AFP             |                |               | 0.002    |               |               | 0.556    |
| Positive        | 404            | 1141          |          | 338           | 350           |          |
| Negative        | 75             | 182           |          | 57            | 60            |          |
| Unknown         | 168            | 372           |          | 134           | 119           |          |
| Fibrosis scores |                |               | $<0.001$ |               |               | 0.447    |
| 0–4             | 34             | 62            |          | 13            | 19            |          |
| 5–6             | 89             | 242           |          | 81            | 88            |          |
| Unknown         | 524            | 1391          |          | 435           | 422           |          |
| Chemotherapy    |                |               | $<0.001$ |               |               | 0.324    |
| Yes             | 353            | 746           |          | 257           | 241           |          |
| No/unknown      | 294            | 949           |          | 272           | 288           |          |
| Surgery         |                |               | 0.435    |               |               | 0.881    |
| Ablation        | 12             | 21            |          | 8             | 8             |          |
| Liver resection | 9              | 30            |          | 7             | 9             |          |
| No              | 626            | 1644          |          | 514           | 512           |          |

Table 1: Continued.
Table 2: Multivariable regression analysis for OS and CSS of all patients before PSM.

| Characteristics          | OS                  |                  | CSS                  |                  |
|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|
|                          | HR (95% CI)         | P value          | HR (95% CI)         | P value          |
| Age at diagnosis         |                     |                  |                      |                  |
| 30–44                    | Reference           |                  | Reference            |                  |
| 45–59                    | 1.369 (1.043, 1.797)| 0.024            | 1.244 (0.934, 1.657)| 0.136            |
| ≥60                      | 1.388 (1.060, 1.818)| 0.017            | 1.290 (0.971, 1.714)| 0.079            |
| Gender                   |                     |                  |                      |                  |
| Male                     | Reference           |                  | Reference            |                  |
| Female                   | 0.870 (0.776, 0.974)| 0.016            | 0.893 (0.788, 1.013)| 0.079            |
| Years of diagnosis       |                     |                  |                      |                  |
| 2010–2012                | Reference           |                  | Reference            |                  |
| 2013–2015                | 0.985 (0.904, 1.074)| 0.734            | 0.998 (0.908, 1.098)| 0.975            |
| Tumor grade              |                     |                  |                      |                  |
| Well differentiated      | Reference           |                  | Reference            |                  |
| Moderately differentiated| 1.066 (0.869, 1.306)| 0.542            | 0.989 (0.783, 1.249)| 0.928            |

Figure 2: Kaplan-Meier curves of OS and CSS in patients before PSM. (a) Kaplan-Meier curve of OS; (b) Kaplan-Meier curve of CSS.

Figure 3: Kaplan-Meier curves of OS and CSS in patients after PSM. (a) Kaplan-Meier curve of OS; (b) Kaplan-Meier curve of CSS.
and mCSS (4 months, 95% CI: 3.3–4.7) of patients with multiorgan metastases in the radiotherapy group were longer than the mOS (2 months, 95% CI: 1.5–2.5; P = 0.001) and mCSS (2 months, 95% CI: 1.5–2.5; P < 0.001) in the nonradiotherapy group. The mOS (5 months, 95% CI: 3.4–6.6) and mCSS (6 months, 95% CI: 3.4–8.6) of patients...
with fibrosis scores of 0–4 in the radiotherapy group were not statistically significantly longer than the mOS (4 months, 95% CI: 2.6–5.4; \( P = 0.868 \)) and mCSS (4 months, 95% CI: 3–5; \( P = 0.527 \)) in the nonradiotherapy group. The mOS (6 months, 95% CI: 4.3–7.7) and mCSS (6 months, 95% CI: 4.3–7.7) of patients with fibrosis scores of 5-6 in the radiotherapy group were longer than the mOS (3 months, 95% CI: 2.4–3.6; \( P = 0.066 \)) and mCSS (3 months, 95% CI: 2.3–3.7; \( P = 0.078 \)) in the nonradiotherapy group (Supplementary Figure 1).

After PSM, the mOS (5 months, 95% CI: 4.4–5.6) and mCSS (6 months, 95% CI: 5.2–6.8) of patients with bone metastases in the radiotherapy group were longer than the mOS (3 months, 95% CI: 2.5–3.5; \( P = 0.002 \)) and mCSS (3 months, 95% CI: 2.4–3.6; \( P < 0.001 \)) in the nonradiotherapy group. The mOS (5 months, 95% CI: 3.6–6.4) of patients with lung metastases in the radiotherapy group were longer than the mOS (3 months, 95% CI: 1.9–4.1; \( P = 0.239 \)) and mCSS (3 months, 95% CI: 2.2–3.8; \( P = 0.382 \)) of patients in the nonradiotherapy group, but these differences did not reach statistical significance. The mOS (3 months, 95% CI: 2.3–3.7) and mCSS (3 months, 95% CI: 2.3–3.7) of patients with multiorgan metastases in the radiotherapy group were longer than the mOS (2 months, 95% CI: 1.4–2.4; \( P = 0.021 \)) and mCSS (3 months, 95% CI: 2.4–3.6; \( P = 0.025 \)) in the nonradiotherapy group. The mOS (2 months, 95% CI: 0.5–3.5) and mCSS (8 months, 95% CI: NA) of patients with fibrosis scores of 0–4 in the radiotherapy group were not longer than the mOS (3 months, 95% CI: 1.8–4.2; \( P = 0.550 \)) and mCSS (3 months, 95% CI: 1.7–4.3; \( P = 0.596 \)) in the nonradiotherapy group. The mOS (6 months, 95% CI: 4.3–7.7) and mCSS (6 months, 95% CI: 4–8) of patients with fibrosis scores of 5-6 in the radiotherapy group were not longer than the mOS (4 months, 95% CI: 2.3–5.7; \( P = 0.635 \)) and mCSS (4 months, 95% CI: 2.2–5.8; \( P = 0.346 \)) in the nonradiotherapy group, but these differences did not reach statistical significance (Supplementary Figure 2).

Before PSM, the adjusted Cox regression analysis showed that patients with bone metastases in the nonradiotherapy group had a higher all-cause mortality rate (HR = 1.223, 95% CI: 1.062–1.410; \( P = 0.005 \)) and cancer-specific mortality rate (HR = 1.326, 95% CI: 1.138–1.545; \( P < 0.001 \)) than patients in the radiotherapy group. Patients with lung metastases in the nonradiotherapy group had a higher all-cause mortality rate (HR = 1.394, 95% CI: 1.053–1.846; \( P = 0.02 \)) but not cancer-specific mortality rate (HR = 1.305, 95% CI: 0.961–1.773; \( P = 0.088 \)) than patients in the radiotherapy group. Patients with multiorgan metastases in the nonradiotherapy group had higher all-cause mortality rate (HR = 1.387, 95% CI: 1.053–1.827; \( P = 0.02 \)) and cancer-specific mortality rate (HR = 1.374, 95% CI: 1.018–1.855; \( P = 0.038 \)) than patients in the radiotherapy group. Radiotherapy did not reduce all-cause mortality rate and cancer-specific rate compared to no radiotherapy for patients with fibrosis scores of 0–4 and fibrosis scores of 5-6 (all \( P > 0.05 \)) (Table 3).

After PSM, the adjusted Cox regression analysis showed that patients with bone metastases in the nonradiotherapy group had higher all-cause mortality rate (HR = 1.198, 95% CI: 1.024–1.401; \( P = 0.024 \)) and cancer-specific mortality rate (HR = 1.290, 95% CI: 1.089–1.528; \( P = 0.003 \)) than patients in the radiotherapy group. Patients with multiorgan metastases in the nonradiotherapy group had higher all-cause mortality rate (HR = 1.438, 95% CI: 1.040–1.989; \( P = 0.028 \)) and cancer-specific mortality rate (HR = 1.459, 95% CI: 1.018–2.091; \( P = 0.04 \)) than patients in the radiotherapy group. Radiotherapy did not reduce all-cause mortality rate and cancer-specific rate compared to no radiotherapy for patients with fibrosis scores of 0–4 and fibrosis scores of 5-6 (all \( P > 0.05 \)) (Supplementary Table 1).

### 4. Discussion

HCC invading distant organs is considered an advanced stage and predicts poor overall survival [8]. However, only a few investigations have focused on the treatments for patients with advanced HCC. Previous high-quality studies had shown that patients with multiple primary tumor oligometastases had better survival when treated with radiotherapy than when radiotherapy was not used [21, 25, 26]. Moreover, many clinical trials demonstrated that patients with HCC could also receive survival benefits from radiotherapy [22, 27]. However, there was no study focusing on radiotherapy for HCC patients with extrahepatic metastases. Therefore, the present analysis was conducted to compare the survival of HCC patients with extrahepatic metastases who received radiotherapy with that of those that did not receive radiotherapy.

In the current study, HCC patients with extrahepatic metastases who were treated by radiotherapy had longer mOS and mCSS than patients who did not receive radiotherapy; this result was obtained before and after PSM. Previous research documented that the mOS of HCC patients with bone, adrenal gland, or peritoneum metastases who received sorafenib combined with internal radiotherapy was 13.9 months, which was longer than the mOS of 5 months found in the present work [28]. This difference may reflect the use of sorafenib as the first-line treatment of sorafenib, which could prolong the survival time of patients with advanced HCC. Another study on the efficacy of radiotherapy, conducted by Kim and coworkers, included 530 HCC patients with spine, pelvis, rib, or bone metastases. The results demonstrated that the mOS was 5.1 months, which was similar to the mOS found in the current study. In the study of Kim and coworkers, 63% of patients received chemotherapy or sorafenib treatment, a fraction higher than that in the current study (54.5%). However, the patients in Kim et al.’s study did not receive other treatments (ablation or surgery), and, in the current study, 3% of patients were subjected to ablation or surgery, which might explain why mOS values were similar in both studies [29]. In the current study, the mOS and mCSS of patients treated with and without radiotherapy were compared, and the differences in mOS and mCSS between the two groups were similar before PSM and after PSM. This finding implied that patients’ death by other reasons did not influence the mOS of all patients.
Univariable regression analysis was not conducted in the current study due to the large sample size of the study; and, in the multivariate regression analysis, after excluding potential confounding factors, the patients who did not receive radiotherapy still had higher all-cause mortality rate and cancer-specific mortality rate, indicating that radiotherapy prolongs the survival of HCC patients with metastases to different extrahepatic organs.

Previous study has documented that patients with metastases to different organs and different liver function status had different survival times \[30, 31\]. Therefore, subgroup analysis was conducted in the present study to explore whether radiotherapy improved the survival of patients with metastases to different organs and with different fibrosis scores. The Kaplan-Meier analysis showed that the mOS and mCSS of patients with bone metastases and multiorgan metastases were longer in patients treated with radiotherapy than in the nonradiotherapy group. Additionally, the adjusted Cox proportional risk model showed that HCC patients with bone metastases and multiorgan metastases who did not receive radiotherapy had higher all-cause mortality and cancer-specific mortality rates than patients who received radiotherapy. The evaluation of the efficacy of radiotherapy in patients with brain metastases was not conducted here because the number of these patients was small, which might lead to unreliable conclusions. However, in the study, the fibrosis scores of patients did not influence the survival of all patients because the multivariable regression analysis showed that patients with fibrosis scores of 5–6 did not have higher all-cause mortality rate and cancer-specific mortality rate than patients with fibrosis scores of 0–4; and, in the subgroups analysis, radiotherapy did not prolong the survival of patients compared to no radiotherapy, which might show that the liver function of patients might not influence the survival of patients in the current study. However, the fibrosis scores are not a recognized indicator of liver function. Future studies are needed to include Child-Pugh score to confirm the results of the study. The results of subgroup analysis showed that HCC patients with bone metastases and multiorgan metastases could obtain more survival benefits from radiotherapy.

Patients with advanced HCC are recommended to receive atezolizumab plus bevacizumab, sorafenib, and lenvatinib as their first-line treatments and regorafenib, cabozantinib, and ramucirumab as their secondary treatments \[8, 32–34\]. However, the adverse events of these treatments are high and some parts of patients cannot tolerate it. For these patients, there are no specific treatments recommended. Besides, there are few studies focusing on the systemic therapies on the treatments for HCC patients with extrahepatic metastases. Thus, at present, the results of the study might provide new evidence that HCC patients with extrahepatic metastases could get survival benefits from radiotherapy.

This study has some limitations. First, it was designed as a retrospective study, which might have led to the selection bias. However, selection bias was minimized by conducting PSM. Second, the study did not consider physical condition of patients because the SEER database does not provide this information. Future studies should include these factors to further strengthen the conclusions of the present analysis.

5. Conclusion

This study included a large number of HCC patients with extrahepatic metastases, treated or not treated with radiotherapy. The performed analyses documented that radiotherapy-treated HCC patients with bone metastases or multiorgan metastases had longer survival time than patients who were not subjected to radiotherapy. The study provides evidence that can be used clinically to select the best treatment for these patients.
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