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Introduction
Several surgical procedures have been proposed for the management of distal radioulnar joint (DRUJ) osteoarthritis, such as the Darrach procedure (Darrach, 1913), the Sauvé-Kapandji (SK) procedure (Sauvé and Kapandji, 1936), hemiresection interposition arthroplasty (Bowers, 1985), matched distal ulna resection (Watson et al., 1986) and implant arthroplasty (Herbert and van Schoonhoven, 2007; Masaoka et al., 2002; Reissner et al., 2016; Scheker et al., 2001).

The SK procedure combines a DRUJ arthrodesis with the creation of a distal ulnar pseudarthrosis for the salvage of DRUJ dysfunction (Sauvé and Kapandji, 1936). Instability of the proximal ulnar stump and radioulnar convergence resulting in a painful ulnar stump have been noted (Minami et al., 1995; Nakamura et al., 1992). The incidence and the impact of the ulnar stump instability remains unknown, as this complication is not reported consistently. Nevertheless, numerous soft tissue techniques, including the use of the flexor carpi ulnaris (FCU) (del Pino and Fernandez, 1998; Lamey and Fernandez, 1998), extensor carpi ulnaris (ECU) (Chu et al., 2008; Minami et al., 2000, 2006), a combination of both (Breen and Jupiter, 1989) or allograft (Sotereanos et al., 2014) have been described to stabilize the unstable proximal ulnar stump. We report our results after a mean 13-year (range 6 to 23) follow-up of patients who underwent the SK procedure with specific focus on the instability of the ulnar stump.
Methods

Thirty-six patients with SK procedures between 1997 and 2013 at a tertiary university hospital were screened out. Fifteen patients (16 wrists, 11 men and four women with a mean age of 59 years; range 18 to 70) were available for a follow-up consultation. Twenty-one patients had to be excluded because they lived abroad (n = 8), had died (n = 7), declined to participate (n = 3) or were unavailable (n = 3). The most common indications for the SK procedure were post-traumatic arthritis (n = 12), rheumatoid arthritis (n = 1) and primary osteoarthritis (n = 2). Baseline and demographic data, including diagnosis as well as date and type of surgeries, were retrospectively extracted from patient records (Table S1). All patients with a time interval to surgery of at least 6 years were invited to participate in a scheduled clinical and radiographic follow-up examination. The study was approved by the local ethics committee, and all patients provided written informed consent for their data to be used for this analysis.

Surgical technique

All SK procedures were performed by four senior hand surgeons. One surgeon has more than 30 years of experience in hand surgery, has published extensively about the DRUJ and is a member of the International Wrist Investigator Workshop. The expertise level of this surgeon was Grade 4; two other surgeons have more than 20 years of experience in hand surgery (Level 3) and the fourth surgeon with hand surgery experience of more than 10 years was Grade 2, according to the criteria of Tang (Tang, 2009; Tang and Giddins, 2016).

A dorsal incision was made over the distal ulna, the fifth extensor compartment was opened, the extensor digit minimi was retracted, and the capsule incised. The DRUJ was decorticated, and an osteotomy of the ulna was performed proximal to the metaphysis to allow for a 1 cm pseudarthrosis. One or two cannulated 3.5 mm screws were placed across the DRUJ. Bone graft from the resected ulna was packed into the arthrodesis site. The ulnar stump was stabilized using a retinaculum flap (n = 4) or a partial FCU tenodesis (n = 8). The remaining four wrists were not additionally stabilized. Stabilization of the ulnar stump with the FCU was performed by harvesting a distally based strip of the FCU (50%) and weaving it through a drill hole in the proximal ulna. Stabilization with a retinaculum flap was done by raising a strip of extensor retinaculum based at its ulnar border next to the pisiform. The free end was inserted into the proximal ulnar stump in the same manner as the FCU. Finally, the extensor digit minimi tendon was repositioned, and the extensor retinaculum and skin were sutured. All patients wore a removable splint above the elbow for 3 weeks and beloow-the-elbow splint for another 3 weeks and then began active movements 6 weeks after surgery.

Subjective and functional assessment

Subjective assessment was based on a visual analogue scale (VAS) for pain (on a scale of 0 to 10 for severity), the Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand (DASH) questionnaire (Hudak et al., 1996) and the Patient-Rated Wrist Evaluation (PRWE) score (MacDermid et al., 1998). Thereby, clinical scores were compared between patients with revision surgery after SK and those without.

The range of motion (ROM) of the wrist was determined using a goniometer. Results were compared between the pre- and postoperative conditions. Grip strength was measured with a Jamar dynamometer and compared with the contralateral hand. In addition, the force during pronation and supination was measured with a torque force device and compared with the contralateral side (Figure 1). The ability to return to work after the operation was recorded.

Radiographic parameters

Posteroanterior and lateral radiographs of the affected wrist were taken to assess DRUJ nonunion and presence or absence of scalloping at the radius on the posteroanterior view. The intersosseous distance between the radius and ulna at the level of the distal end of the proximal ulnar stump was measured on the posteroanterior films as described by Nakamura et al. (1992). A second radiograph was made with the forearm suspended in the air and the elbow flexed 90° while lifting 1 kg and 2 kg

Figure 1. Torque-force measurement devise.
weights to assess the radioulnar convergence in order to quantify the instability (Lees and Scheker, 1997; Scheker, 2008).

**Ultrasound examination**

Ultrasound was performed to quantify the ulnar stump instability and compare it with the contralateral side. All ultrasound assessments were performed by a hand surgeon who has a certificate of sonography of the hand and has more than 6 years’ experience in musculoskeletal ultrasound. The expertise level of the examiner was Grade 3 according to the criteria of Tang (Tang, 2009; Tang and Giddins, 2016). All ultrasound examinations were performed with a high-resolution linear array transducer with 12 MHz frequency range (LOGIQ e R6, GE Medical Systems, Wuxi, China). During sonography, the patients were assessed with particular attention to the instability of the proximal ulnar stump (Hess et al., 2012). We measured the distance between the dorsal surface of the ulnar stump and the surface of the ulnar head longitudinally. Measurements were done while actively pressing the volar surface of the hand onto the block in 30° of pronation and without pressure. The proximal ulnar stump translates to the volar side and the distance can be measured while pressing. For the contralateral side the transducer was placed dorsally above the DRUJ perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the ulna. The distance between the dorsal surface of the distal radius and the ulna head were measured [Figure 2]. With this ultrasound examination it was possible to measure the dorsal/volar displacement of the ulna head and ulnar stump in addition to the radiological radioulnar convergence.

**Statistical analysis**

Mean, median, standard deviation and range were determined for continuous data sets. Group comparisons were performed using the Pearson chi-squared test for categorical variables and the Wilcoxon-rank-sum test, respectively, and the Student’s t-test for continuous variables. Correlations were carried out with correlation coefficients according to Pearson. P-values less than 0.05 and correlation coefficients of 0.50 were considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

Fifteen patients (16 wrists) were available for a follow-up control after an average of 13 years (range 6 to 23). Six patients underwent revision surgery for a painful, unstable ulnar stump; one patient received an ulnar head prosthesis, one a Scheker prosthesis and another four a procedure described by Fernandez. He described a method to stabilize the unstable proximal ulnar stump and proposed the use of an ulnar head prosthesis with its spherical head plunged into a cavity burred into the ulna with the fusion mass intact (Fernandez et al., 2006). Because of failure of the spherical ulna head prosthesis, two patients ended up with a one bone forearm, and another one was converted with an ulnar head prosthesis. These six patients were excluded from the clinical, radiographical and sonographic evaluation. Details of the outcomes are summarized in Table 1.

**Subjective and clinical results**

On the VAS scale, the mean pain was 5 (SD 3.6) with load and 1 (SD 1.6) without load at the follow-up compared with the group of patients after revision surgery with higher pain levels of 8 (SD 2.1) and 3 (SD 2.4), respectively. In addition, the DASH score was 24 (SD 19) and PRWE score 30 (SD 25) after the SK procedure compared with 55 (SD 11) and 64 (SD 21) after revision surgery.

![Figure 2.](image-url) Sonography to assess the stability of the DRUJ of the operated hand (a). Measurement of the distance between the dorsal surface of the proximal ulnar stump and the surface of the ulnar head longitudinally (b) and while actively pressing (c) the volar surface of the hand onto the block.
The mean total ROM for pronosupination was 64/64° (SD 25°), which increased to 67/89° (SD 6°) at the follow-up time point. The average grip strength of the operated wrist was 84% compared with the contralateral limb, and force during pronation and supination was 65% and 82%, respectively. Of the 14 employed patients, three were able to resume the same work after the SK procedure.

Radiological results
No regrowth of the ulnar stump was seen. The arthrodesis between the head of the ulna and the radius had healed and was asymptomatic in all patients. No screw failure was recognized. Three patients had their hardware removed because of pain. Scallop sign was seen in two patients and ectopic ossification in another two. The radioulnar convergence was 8 mm (SD 1.9 mm) without load and 2 mm (SD 1.1 mm) while lifting 1 kg. Four patients could not hold the 2 kg mass during the X-ray, so the data were not analysed further.

There was no significant correlation between the radioulnar convergence and DASH score \( r = 0.016, p = 0.96 \), PRWE \( r = -0.1, p = 0.78 \), grip strength \( r = 0.078, p = 0.83 \) and force during pronation \( r = 0.38, p = 0.28 \) and supination \( r = 0.29, p = 0.41 \).

Sonographic results
The ulnar stump dislocated to the volar side during pressure with a mean of 8 mm (SD 0.7 mm) compared with the contralateral side with a mean of 4 mm (SD 0.7 mm). There was a significant positive strong correlation between sonographically measured ulnar stump displacement and DASH score \( r = 0.76, p = 0.01 \) and PRWE \( r = 0.73, p = 0.02 \), respectively. In addition, there was a significant negative correlation between the ulnar stump displacement and grip strength \( r = -0.64, p = 0.05 \) and force during supination \( r = -0.71, p = 0.02 \).

In addition, groups with FCU stabilization \( n = 8 \), retinaculum flap \( n = 4 \) and no stabilization \( n = 4 \) were compared, but there was no difference for clinical, sonographic or radiographic parameters (Table S2).

Discussion
Among 15 patients analysed in this report, six patients required revision surgery and had highly unsatisfactory scores. The other nine patients showed a VAS score of five on load with adequate ROM and grip strength. All patients showed radiographic radioulnar convergence unloaded and while weightbearing. Sonographically, the proximal ulnar stump dislocated volarly by twice the amount compared with the contralateral wrist. A significant correlation between the sonographic ulnar stump instability and clinical scores as the DASH and PRWE as well as supination force and grip strength could be shown, but not for the radiographically measured radioulnar convergence.

Our patients after the SK procedure showed DASH scores of 24, comparable with the results of Giberson-Chen et al. (2020) with DASH scores of 28 after a follow-up of 12 months. Giberson-Chen et al. (2020) reported a postoperative complication incidence of 21%, including revision osteotomy \( n = 4 \) and hardware removal \( n = 4 \), but no patient required secondary salvage procedures, such as DRUJ arthroplasty. However, the follow-up of 12 months after the SK procedure might be too short to include further revision surgeries. Pronosupination was good in all our patients. Similarly, it does not seem to be a problem in the literature after SK procedures (Carter and Stuart, 2000; Daecke et al., 2004; Fujita et al., 2005; Lluch, 2013). In accordance with the literature, grip strength was satisfactory (Lamey and Fernandez, 1998; Minami et al., 2005).

We measured the proximal ulnar stump instability both radiographically, with the radioulnar convergence, and sonographically. X-ray evaluation showed radioulnar convergence in all remaining patients of 8 mm without weight and 2 mm while lifting 1 kg. Nakamura et al. (1992) and Minami et al. (1995) reported similar results with an average radioulnar convergence distance of 8 mm and 7 mm,
symptoms of dorsal sensory branch of the ulnar stump instability. However, they reported patients (7%) after the SK procedure with proximal operation incidences (36%). They saw two out of 28 procedures with relatively high complication and reoperation incidences after the SK procedure were rare. We disagree. In three patients with unstable ulnar stump, radioulnar pseudarthrosis was revised, ulnar continuity restored with an intercalary graft and forearm rotation restored with matched hemi-resection and interposition arthroplasty at the site of previous radioulnar fusion. All three cases experienced marked functional improvement and resolution of the instability symptoms. We have no experience with this surgical technique.

Verhiel et al. (2019) found comparable long-term patient-reported outcomes of the Darrach and SK procedures with relatively high complication and reoperation incidences (36%). They saw two out of 28 patients (7%) after the SK procedure with proximal ulnar stump instability. However, they reported symptoms of dorsal sensory branch of the ulnar nerve irritability (n=3), heterotopic ossification (n=5), hardware irritation (n=4) and nonunion of pseudarthrosis (n=2) [Table S3].

Lluch (2013) performed the pseudarthrosis at the level of the ulnar head in 70 patients and removed only 5 mm of the bone to reduce the instability of the proximal ulnar stump. Despite resecting only 5 mm, he reported ulnar stump instability in all patients, although it was painless. To prevent ulnar stump instability, some authors have performed a tenodesis with a slip of the ECU or FCU (Breen and Jupiter, 1989; Lamey and Fernandez, 1998).

Three of the six patients who underwent revision surgery had no additional stabilization of the proximal ulnar stump. However, Patient Number 2 had the ulnar stump additionally stabilized with an FCU tendon (50%) but showed on sonography a clear instability of the stump with pronounced pain while weight bearing (VAS 8–10). Conversely, Patient Number 8 had no stabilization and was pain free (DASH 0, PRWE 0, VAS 2). Clinically and radiologically, Kawabata et al. (2010) could not detect any difference in 41 rheumatological patients with and without stabilization of the proximal ulnar stump and concluded that there is no need to stabilize the rheumatoid wrist.

The main limitation of this study is the small number of patients. Another limitation is that loading of the wrist during sonographic evaluation of the ulnar stump instability was not standardized. However, the study constitutes a 13-year follow-up report of our series of patients with the SK procedure and reliable outcome measurements, including the objectification of the ulnar stump instability documented by ultrasound and radiograph while weight lifting.

Overall, our study revealed that the SK procedure might not constitute a reliable salvage method for DRUJ disorders. Slater (2008) concluded that complications after the SK procedure are rare. We disagree. Despite stabilization methods, the unstable ulnar stump remains an unsolved problem in long-term follow-up. Because of the high revision incidence after the SK procedure, we suggest performing the SK procedure only in very selected cases.
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