Drug reservoir function of voriconazole impregnated human amniotic membrane: An in vitro study
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Purpose: Earlier our group has demonstrated the drug reservoir function of the human amniotic membrane (HAM) using stable moxifloxacin and fortified cefazolin ophthalmic formulations and found it as a suitable tool to deliver drugs for an extended duration. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the extended-release kinetics of voriconazole from the impregnated human amniotic membrane (HAM) in vitro. Methods: HAM buttons were incubated with freshly prepared 1% topical ophthalmic formulation of voriconazole for 5 different exposure time to investigate the ideal exposure time for the extended-release of voriconazole from HAM. The drug release kinetics was studied in simulated tear fluid for 5 weeks and the amount of voriconazole released at different intervals was estimated using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with photodiode array (PDA) detector. Results: There was a marginal increase in drug entrapment efficiency with increased drug exposure time but neither the drug entrapment nor the drug release was found to be statistically significant (P ≥ 0.5). Voriconazole was detectable even at 5 weeks. Conclusion: A sustained release of voriconazole was achieved up to 5 weeks, when voriconazole was incubated with amniotic membrane for all the studied drug soaking times. Thus, voriconazole impregnated amniotic membrane can be considered for the sustained delivery for its in fungal keratitis.
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Fungal keratitis is one of the leading causes of corneal blindness worldwide, which can affect all age groups.\(^1\) It accounts for nearly half of the infectious keratitis cases especially in tropical and sub-tropical countries such as South India, Nepal and Bangladesh.\(^2\)-\(^4\) In temperate regions such as North America, most keratitis are caused by bacteria; although the prevalence of fungal pathogens in infective keratitis cases has been reported to be 35% in southern Florida.\(^6\),\(^7\) While Candida species tends to be predominant in temperate countries; the Fusarium species, Aspergillus species and Curvularia species, are more commonly, the cause for fungal keratitis cases that follow ocular trauma with vegetative matter, in tropical regions. Filament formation and biofilm formation are essential steps in the pathogenesis of these fungi.\(^8\) Hence, the medical management of fungal keratitis has garnered particular attention due to the challenges that are posed in eradicating these fungi as a result of the limited availability of antifungals and their frequent application.

Topically applied drugs are greatly influenced by blinking, lacrimation, tear turnover rate, and absorption by non-productive adjacent tissues.\(^9\) They have poor penetration, surface toxicity, and limited spectrum.\(^10\) To overcome these problems, targeted drug delivery routes in the form of intracameral and intrastromal injection of antifungal agents has been explored with varying success.\(^10\)-\(^14\)

Human amniotic membrane (HAM) is a semi-transparent structure in the innermost layer of the placenta that is 0.02-0.05 mm thick.\(^15\) It has found widespread ophthalmic usage in limbal stem cell deficiency, conjunctival reconstruction, persisting epithelial defects, perforating or non-perforating corneal ulcers, alkali burns, pterygium surgeries, band keratopathy, as a carrier for the ex vivo expansion of limbal epithelial cells, glaucoma surgeries and scleral melts.\(^16\),\(^17\) Apart from these clinical applications, the drug reservoir function of HAM has been demonstrated previously by us and others with antibiotics and anti-viral drugs and utilized in cases of infective keratitis.\(^18\)-\(^22\)

Our group has earlier demonstrated the topical release kinetics of a single dose of 1% voriconazole in human eyes and found that “every 2 h dosing regimen” was sufficient enough to achieve the therapeutic concentration for all the causative fungal organisms.\(^23\) In the present study, the extended-release kinetics of voriconazole loaded HAM has been investigated to check the suitability of voriconazole-laden HAM as a drug reservoir tool for the management of fungal keratitis.
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Methods

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of our Institute (IR #: RES2015011BAS). The tissue was handled according to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

HAM organ culture, drug treatment and release kinetics

HAM was obtained by elective cesarean section at the Department of Gynecology, PAMC Hospital, Madurai after getting their informed consent and the HAM buttons were prepared for the experiment by the protocol as described earlier.\(^{[21,22]}\) HAM Buttons (1 Control, 5 Test) were incubated in a freshly prepared (1 ml) sterile solution of Voriconazole 1% (w/v) (Aurolab, India) for 3 h (Group I), 6 h (Group II), 12 h (Group III), 24 h (Group IV) and 48 h (Group V) in order to investigate the ideal drug soaking time.

After drug treatment, HAM buttons were placed into 6-well plate containing 1 ml STF (without drug) and incubated at 37°C with relative humidity of 65% and 5% CO\(_2\). 100 µl of the STF was sampled out at different time intervals and replaced with equal volume of sterile STF in order to maintain the sink condition. The amount of drug released from the drug-laden HAM was studied for a period of 5 weeks, to assess the extended-release kinetics.

Estimation of voriconazole by HPLC

The amount of voriconazole released at different time intervals was quantified using a Shimadzu Prominence HPLC system with PDA detector (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) by the method as described earlier.\(^{[23]}\) The quantification of voriconazole was carried out at \(I_{max}\) of 272 nm and the spectral matching was done with an in-built library matching facility in the PDA detector.

Statistical analysis

The values are presented as mean ± SEM. Group means were compared by two-sample t-test. Differences with a \(P\) value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All the statistical analysis was done using STATA ver. 14 (Texas, USA).

Results

In this study, the extended-release kinetics of voriconazole loaded HAMs were investigated for different soaking periods. The amount of voriconazole released at each time point is represented in Fig. 1. The cumulative amount of voriconazole released over the study period is summarized in Table 1. In Group I-V, the cumulative amount of voriconazole released upto 5 weeks was found to be 1589.5, 1696.3, 1532.2, 1691.0 and 1605.6 µg/ml respectively. This indicates that there was a marginal increase in drug entrapment with increasing drug exposure time with HAMs but such increase was not found to be statistically significant (\(P = 0.6\)).

Discussion

HAM has been termed as a ‘biological bandage’ due to its myriad clinical applications. The anti-infective properties of HAM are not considered to be potent enough to treat infective keratitis.\(^{[24]}\) Hence, a strategy to fortify it with antimicrobial drugs was investigated for the first time in 2001.\(^{[18]}\) They proved that HAM can be used as a slow-release drug reservoir by investigating the level of ofloxacin in HAM, tear film, corneal, and aqueous levels in rabbit eyes. Subsequently, the drug reservoir function of HAM has been demonstrated with netilmicin antibiotic and found that HAM can absorb and release the antibiotic in a dose-dependent manner and antibacterial effect was present in the elution media for at least 3 days after treatment.\(^{[19]}\) This was succeeded by \textit{in vitro} studies with antiviral-treated HAM that also proved to be successful in inhibiting viral replication.\(^{[20]}\)

Our group has also demonstrated the drug reservoir function of HAM with stable moxifloxacin and fortified...
| Time (h) | Group I |           | Group II |           | Group III |           | Group IV |           | Group V |           |
|---------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|
|         | Means±SEM (µg/ml) | Cumulative Amount (µg/ml) | Means±SEM (µg/ml) | Cumulative Amount (µg/ml) | Means±SEM (µg/ml) | Cumulative Amount (µg/ml) | Means±SEM (µg/ml) | Cumulative Amount (µg/ml) | Means±SEM (µg/ml) | Cumulative Amount (µg/ml) |
| 0.5     | 106.6±9.4 | 106.6 | 107.1±18.8 | 107.1 | 159.7±7.4 | 159.7 | 183.3±10.2 | 183.3 | 172.1±15.5 | 172.1 |
| 1       | 132.9±13.4 | 239.5 | 131.1±29.8 | 238.2 | 140.5±8.5 | 300.2 | 173.3±14.0 | 356.6 | 166.9±7.1 | 339.0 |
| 2       | 146.7±13.7 | 386.2 | 145.3±19.2 | 383.5 | 138.1±12.9 | 438.3 | 161.1±11.3 | 517.7 | 143.5±4.7 | 482.5 |
| 3       | 156.3±17.8 | 542.6 | 146.7±29.8 | 530.3 | 131.8±11.3 | 570.1 | 157.0±11.4 | 674.7 | 140.0±5.7 | 622.5 |
| 4       | 151.2±19.3 | 693.8 | 173.1±34.1 | 703.4 | 124.4±9.2 | 694.5 | 154.0±11.9 | 828.8 | 139.4±18.9 | 762.0 |
| 5       | 146.5±23.7 | 840.3 | 172.7±36.6 | 876.1 | 146.5±5.1 | 841.0 | 144.8±13.2 | 973.6 | 132.4±5.7 | 894.3 |
| 6       | 135.0±22.8 | 975.3 | 153.8±33.4 | 1029.8 | 112.5±14.6 | 953.5 | 131.9±13.4 | 1105.5 | 125.4±12.1 | 1019.8 |
| 12      | 129.1±22.9 | 1104.4 | 141.1±31.0 | 1170.9 | 100.9±10.3 | 1054.4 | 120.5±13.3 | 1226.1 | 117.9±4.4 | 1137.6 |
| 24      | 129.4±20.4 | 1233.8 | 144.3±27.0 | 1315.2 | 98.2±10.0 | 1152.7 | 110.5±13.8 | 1336.6 | 105.3±6.2 | 1242.9 |
| 72      | 76.5±10.4 | 1310.3 | 80.2±15.8 | 1395.4 | 88.0±10.7 | 1240.7 | 88.5±7.1 | 1425.1 | 93.7±11.3 | 1336.7 |
| 120     | 70.2±11.9 | 1380.5 | 75.0±14.5 | 1470.4 | 83.4±11.2 | 1324.1 | 71.2±6.0 | 1496.3 | 75.4±6.6 | 1412.0 |
| 168     | 66.8±12.5 | 1447.4 | 70.2±14.6 | 1540.6 | 79.8±6.1 | 1403.9 | 63.8±4.0 | 1560.1 | 63.8±2.0 | 1475.8 |
| 336     | 60.3±9.6 | 1507.6 | 66.2±13.4 | 1606.8 | 63.4±0.4 | 1467.3 | 55.3±3.0 | 1615.4 | 58.9±2.4 | 1534.7 |
| 504     | 44.1±6.3 | 1551.7 | 51.1±9.4 | 1658.0 | 35.9±8.1 | 1503.2 | 44.6±3.7 | 1660.0 | 41.4±4.0 | 1576.2 |
| 672     | 25.2±4.8 | 1577.0 | 30.1±6.0 | 1688.0 | 28.5±5.9 | 1531.7 | 29.3±1.2 | 1689.3 | 26.6±5.7 | 1602.8 |
| 840     | 12.5±3.6 | 1589.5 | 8.3±0.4 | 1696.3 | 0.5±0.1 | 1532.2 | 1.7±0.3 | 1691.0 | 2.8±1.8 | 1605.6 |

Different groups represent different drug soaking times with 1% voriconazole. Group I (3 h); Group II (6 h); Group III (12 h); Group IV (24 h); and Group V (48 h). No significant difference was observed with different drug soaking times. Bold values represent the total cumulative amount of drug released up to the study period.
Voriconazole is a triazole antifungal agent. It inhibits cytochrome P_{450} demethylase to alter fungal cell membrane permeability and to arrest growth. In vitro studies have shown promising results with voriconazole and was found to have a broad spectrum of action against Aspergillus species (MIC<sub>90</sub> for A. flavus and A. fumigatus: 0.5 µg/ml), Blastomyces dermatitidis (MIC<sub>90</sub>: 0.25 µg/ml), Candida species (MIC<sub>90</sub>: C. albicans: 0.06; C. parapsilosis: 0.12-0.25; C. tropicalis: 0.25 to >16.0 µg/ml), Coccidioides immitis (MIC<sub>90</sub>: 0.25 µg/ml), Cryptococcus neoformans (MIC<sub>90</sub>: 0.06-0.25 µg/ml), Curvularia species (MIC<sub>90</sub>: 0.06-0.25 µg/ml), Fusarium species (MIC<sub>90</sub>: 2-8 µg/ml), Histoplasma capsulatum (MIC<sub>90</sub>: 0.25 µg/ml), Paecilomyces lilacinus (MIC<sub>90</sub>: 0.5 µg/ml), Penicillium species (MIC<sub>90</sub>: 0.03 µg/ml), Scedosporium species (MIC<sub>90</sub>: 0.5 µg/ml), and others. In MUTT I, it is evident from these studies that 1% voriconazole eye drops have been documented to achieve good intraocular penetration in non-inflamed and inflamed eyes. It is found that the drug entrapment efficiency was increased from HAM was investigated for 5 different soaking times to check the ideal exposure time for voriconazole for better release. It is found that the drug entrapment efficiency was increased with increase in drug exposure time but not the release from the membrane. This is in agreement with the previous observation by us and others that HAM may not need longer exposure time to completely fill up the membrane. In this study, the extended-release kinetics of voriconazole from HAM was investigated for 5 different soaking times to check the ideal exposure time for voriconazole for better release. It is found that the drug entrapment efficiency was increased with increase in drug exposure time but not the release from the membrane. This is in agreement with the previous observation by us and others that HAM may not need longer exposure time to completely fill up the membrane. In this study, the extended-release kinetics of voriconazole from HAM was investigated for 5 different soaking times to check the ideal exposure time for voriconazole for better release. It is found that the drug entrapment efficiency was increased with increase in drug exposure time but not the release from the membrane. This is in agreement with the previous observation by us and others that HAM may not need longer exposure time to completely fill up the membrane.

In vitro activity of voriconazole against A. flavus isolates in the range of 0.13 to >64 µg/ml as compared to natamycin whereas the in vitro activity of voriconazole against A. flavus isolates were in the range of 0.13-8 µg/ml. It is very clear from these studies that Fusarium isolates were less susceptible to voriconazole and A. flavus isolates appeared to have lower susceptibility to natamycin compared to other organisms. Therefore, the treatment with topical voriconazole may be relevant in cases of fungal keratitis caused by Aspergillus isolates.

In conclusion, this study on once again demonstrated the reservoir function of HAM using newer anti-fungal agent, voriconazole. HAM is capable of releasing voriconazole for the extended duration. Hence, drug-loaded HAM as a biological bandage can be considered for clinical application. However, its efficacy in the sustained drug delivery of voriconazole, its destructive effects on the viability of the HAM, its interaction with fungal species, as well as the factors that influence its binding capacity to HAM, need further investigations.
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