Crosstalk between Inflammation and ROCK/MLCK Signaling Pathways in Gastrointestinal Disorders with Intestinal Hyperpermeability
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1. Introduction

Epithelium of the gastrointestinal tract forms a dynamic and selective barrier between the external and the internal environment. It enables the absorption of dietary nutrients and the restriction of potentially harmful compounds [1]. Under physiologic conditions, the passage of molecules occurs selectively across cellular sheets by transcellular transport or paracellular pathway dictated by both electrical charge and size [2].

The primary structure that regulates intestinal barrier is the apical junctional complex (AJC) which is located at the paracellular space and contributes to maintaining tissue integrity and cell-to-cell communication [3, 4]. The major constituents of the AJC are the tight junction (TJ) and the subjacent adherens junction (AJ). TJ and AJ are closely positioned at the apical part of the lateral plasma membrane and are physically linked to the intracellular cytoskeleton. Both TJ and AJ are multiprotein complexes composed of transmembrane proteins (occludin, claudin family proteins, and E-cadherin) and cytoplasmic proteins (zonula occludens (ZO) family proteins and p120 catenin proteins) [5]. TJ and AJ cytoplasmic proteins have been shown to interact with the cytoskeleton [6]. Coupled together, the AJC regulates paracellular permeability under various luminal stimuli [7, 8]. The actomyosin cytoskeleton is critical for assembly, maintenance, and disassembly of the epithelial paracellular junction. Multiple in vitro and in vivo studies have demonstrated the role of nonmuscle myosin II (NM II) as a key regulator of intestinal epithelial junction and barrier integrity [8, 9]. The activation of actomyosin cytoskeleton is regulated by reversible forms between globular monomeric actin (G-actin) and filamentous actin (F-actin). F-actin associated NM II is an important regulator of highly flexible and adaptable...
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Previous studies have identified that intestinal hyperperme-
ability is an important pathogenic factor in a number of
gastrointestinal diseases including ulcerative colitis (UC),
Crohn’s disease (CD), irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), and
functional dyspepsia (FD) [26–29]. Therefore, the under-
standing of intracellular processes involved in the regulation
of the intestinal epithelial TJ barrier function is important in
developing therapeutic strategies to promote restoration of
the intestinal TJ barrier in certain disease states [30, 31].

This review focuses on ROCK- and MLCK-mediated
intracellular pathways which may play important roles in the
regulation of internal cytoskeleton and are responsible for
interaction between inflammation and increased intestinal
permeability in specific gastrointestinal disorders.

2. ROCK Signaling Pathway

Rho proteins are members of the Ras superfamily of GTP-
binding proteins (20- to 30-KDa), which have been shown
to regulate a wide spectrum of cellular function [32]. As
members of the Rho family, RhoA, RhoB and RhoC isoforms
are regarded as core molecules that induce stress fibers
to form and regulate cellular adherence by reconstructing
cytoskeleton in response to extracellular stimuli [33]. Rho
proteins function as a bimolecular switch by adopting dif-
ferent conformational states in response to the binding of
GDP (inactive) or GTP (active). The GTP- and GDP-bound
states are controlled primarily by two classes of regulatory
molecules. GTPase-activating proteins (GAPs) increase the
intrinsic rate of GTP hydrolysis, and guanine nucleotide-
exchange factors (GEFs) facilitate the exchange of GDP for
GTP [34]. GAPs and GEFs are highly expressed in epithe-
lium and are activated by extracellular stimuli including
inflammatory cytokines and bacterial products [35]. ROCKs
are downstream effectors of the GTP-binding Rho proteins.
The ROCKs consist of three major domains: RhoA binding
domain (RBD), kinase domain that is responsible for catalytic
activity, and cysteine-rich domain that is thought to partici-
pate in localization [36]. Two isoforms of ROCKs have been
extensively studied: ROCK1 and ROCKII. ROCKI is widely
expressed in nonneuronal tissues, including heart, lung, and
skeletal muscles. In contrast, ROCKII is mainly expressed
in the brain [37]. ROCKs belong to the members of the serine/threonine protein kinases family that are characterized
by their effect on the direct phosphorylation of MLC and
inactivation of myosin–binding subunit of myosin phos-
phatase (MP). This leads to accumulation of phosphorylated
myosin light chain (pMLC) and subsequent regulation of
cytoskeletal contractility [36, 38, 39]. Furthermore, Rho-
ROCK signaling activates LIM kinase and stabilizes actin
filaments by inducing phosphorylation and inactivation of
cofilin which is essential for actin filaments turnover. As
a result, this mechanism contributes to spatial reorganization
of actin cytoskeleton [40].

3. MLCK Signaling Pathway

MLCK is a Ca²⁺-calmodulin-dependent serine/threonine
kinase that dynamically regulates actomyosin reorganization
and cell contraction in both smooth-muscle and nonmuscle
cells. The MLCK family is comprised of nonmuscle forms
(210-KDa), short smooth-muscle forms (108-KDa), and
telokin (21-KDa) that lacks enzymatic activity. Nonmuscle
MLCK (nmMLCK) is predominantly expressed in epithe-
lium, endothelium, and polymorphonuclear (PMN) leuko-
cytes. Moreover, it has been identified that nmMLCK plays a
vital role in modulating cell functions [41–43]. Previous stud-
ies showed that nmMLCK represents the principal MLCK
in intestinal enterocytes and is responsible for Na⁺-nutrient
cotransport-dependent TJ regulation [43]. NmMLCK phos-
horylates MLC at threonine 18 and/or serine 19 leading
to actin-myosin interaction and cytoskeletal sliding. This
induces epithelial barrier breakdown [44]. MLCK is widely
studied for its role on intestinal epithelial breakdown, which
is critical for the pathogenesis of several diseases including
burn injury, inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), and IBS [45–
47]. In response to physiologic and pathophysiologic stimuli,
MLCK-dependent regulation of epithelial barrier function
may lead to increased intestinal permeability resulting from
tight junction breakdown [30, 31]. Moreover, one study found
that the magnitude of MLCK expression and presence of
increased MLC phosphorylation strongly correlated with
active inflammation [45]. Thus, targeted nmMLCK inhibition
may be a potential target for epithelial restoration and
inhibition of inflammatory diseases progression.
4. Crosstalk between Inflammation and MLCK and/or ROCK in Increased Intestinal Permeability

TJ activity is regulated by a wide variety of physiologic and pathologic conditions including those in the RhoA pathway and inflammatory cytokines [48, 49]. The activation of actomyosin contraction assessed by phosphorylation of MLC has been implicated in TJ regulation in the epithelium. TJ barrier can be regulated immediately by signal transduction cascades, which frequently require activation of MLCK and ROCK [50, 51]. Though MLCK and ROCK have the same phosphorylation sites, they have distinct roles in spatial regulation of MLC phosphorylation. Previous studies have demonstrated that ROCK-mediated direct phosphorylation of MLC and inhibition of MP lead to the assembly of stress fibers in the center of nonmuscle cells, while MLCK is involved in microfilament assembly in the periphery of the cells [52, 53]. Moreover, activated ROCK may promote disruption of E-cadherin-mediated AJs in epithelial cells by stimulating actomyosin contractility [54]. Another study demonstrated that disruption of AJs and TJs by inflammatory stimuli is induced by Rho and ROCK activation while attenuated by Rho and ROCK inhibition [55]. The activation of ROCK is Ca$^{2+}$-independent which has been clearly shown in Ca$^{2+}$-depleted epithelial cells [56]. The signaling pathways work as an intermediate step involved in the intracellular process triggered by extracellular cytokines, microbiota, or other chemicals. A number of proinflammatory cytokines induced epithelial breakdown is dependent on the activation of ROCK and/or MLCK signaling pathways.

It has been demonstrated that MLCK is required for maintenance of basal stress fibers in unstimulated cells but does not affect late stress fiber reorganization, morphological changes, or epithelial permeability, while ROCK is required for the maintenance of late stress fibers organization in TNF-α-induced intestinal permeability [57]. TGF-β1 has been shown to play a role in the dissolution of TJs by Rho/ROCK signaling pathway [58]. IFN-γ induces endocytosis of epithelial AJC transmembrane proteins by Rho-ROCK-mediated contraction of perijunctional actomyosin cytoskeleton [56]. A recent study has found that apical bacterial internalization is regulated by IFN-γ-induced MLCK-dependent brush border fanning associated with CD and celiac disease [59]. Several studies have demonstrated that TNF-α and other proinflammatory cytokines induce intestinal hyperpermeability by cytoplasmic-to-nuclear translocation of nuclear factor-kB (NF-kB). Therefore, NF-kB-regulated activation of MLCK promoter is the trigger for downstream increased expression of MLCK and subsequent opening of TJ barrier [21, 60–62]. Previous works have established that inflammatory cytokines are capable of independently reducing barrier function. However, more commonly, these cytokines induce barrier dysfunction synergistically [51].

5. Epithelial Barrier Breakdown and Gastrointestinal Disorders

MLCK- and ROCK-associated signaling pathways mediate actomyosin-dependent disruption of the epithelial barrier in different inflammatory settings. MLCK-mediated signaling pathway induces a modest contraction of perijunctional actomyosin belt and subsequently increases paracellular permeability without alterations in AJs structure, while ROCK-mediated signaling pathway is involved in the activation of the GEF-H1-Rho-ROCK pathway that leads to profound actomyosin contraction and TJs/AJs disassembly [63].

TJs are dynamic and are activated in different circumstances. Epithelial TJs and AJs have different sensitivity to different inflammatory cytokines. For example, TNF-α and INF-γ selectively disrupt TJs without affecting the AJ structure [64]. Alterations of the TJs in inflammatory conditions and disrupted epithelial barrier-induced mucosal immune activation are demonstrated in various gastrointestinal disorders. The detailed information of inflammatory cytokines and MLCK-/ROCK-mediated pathways observed in gastrointestinal disorders is listed in Tables 1 and 2.

6. Inflammatory Bowel Disease

IBD are relapsing and progressive gastrointestinal conditions that mainly affect the gastrointestinal tract [85]. There are two
Table 2: Summary of ROCK and MLCK signaling pathways in gastrointestinal intestinal disorders with increased intestinal permeability.

| Diseases          | Species     | Signaling pathway | Reference          |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| IBD               | Human       | MLCK              | Blair et al., 2006 [45] |
|                   | Human       | ROCK              | Segain et al., 2003 [82] |
| Celiac disease    | Human       | ROCK              | Monsuur et al., [83] |
| IBS               | Human and mice | MLCK or ROCK | Gecse et al., 2008 [84] |

major forms: CD and UC [86]. Abnormal gut permeability has been identified in patients with IBD and also in some of their first-degree relatives [87]. The disruption of intestinal barrier induces exposure of luminal antigens to mucosal immune cells which subsequently leads to abnormal immune response [88]. Genetic studies have demonstrated an association between barrier integrity and epithelial regeneration-related genes in patients with IBD. Junction protein encoding genes like CLDN1, CLDN2, and CDH1 are involved in the progression of disease activity in IBD [89, 90]. Moreover, it has been demonstrated that there is severe loss of occludin, ZO-1, and E-cadherin from AJC in the intestinal mucosa of patients with IBD [91].

As extracellular signals, all cytokines influence the expression of tight junction proteins resulting in interfering cellular junction structure and changing of intestinal integrity via Rho kinase-mediated F-actin cytoskeleton regulation [88]. Previous studies have shown that TNF-α induces epithelial MLCK activation which is related to barrier dysfunction in IBD. Moreover, the magnitude of MLCK expression correlated strongly with active inflammation [45].

Furthermore, TNF antagonism (infliximab) has therapeutic efficacy for patients with IBD, indicating that proinflammatory cytokines participate in the pathogenesis of IBD [65, 66]. IFN-γ is also mainly involved in regulation of immune response, and the expression is elevated in the intestinal mucosa in patients with IBD [67]. Furthermore, IFN-γ induces cellular internalization of transmembrane TJ proteins by activating small GTPase RhoA and subsequently regulating ROCK expression [82, 92]. In addition, the expression of IL-1β is also elevated in intestinal mucosa in patients with IBD, which causes intestinal inflammation and intestinal permeability [21]. Another study suggested that the barrier defect induced by IL-1β is associated with MLCK expression and MLC phosphorylation [93].

7. Celiac Disease

Celiac disease is an autoimmune disease of small intestine in genetically susceptible individuals and characterized by gluten sensitivity [94]. Sixty to 80% of patients with celiac disease show increased intestinal permeability leading to inflammatory reaction induced by luminal gliadin fractions [95, 96]. Many structural and molecular changes of epithelial tight junctions have already been reported. In duodenal biopsies of patients with untreated celiac disease, the levels of claudin-2 and occludin are increased while levels of claudin-3 and claudin-4 are decreased [96]. Genes, such as ACTB, GNAII, TJP1, and CRB3, that encode tight junctions showed altered levels of expression in patients with active celiac disease [97]. Previous studies suggest that the pathogenesis of celiac disease is driven by heightened Th1-predominant immune response [75]. Gluten elicits a response in antigen-presenting cells (dendritic cells, macrophages) that activate intraepithelial lymphocytes (IELs) and intestinal epithelial cells [98]. Taken together, numerous proinflammatory cytokines are involved in celiac disease including IFN-γ, IL-18, IFN-α, and IL-21 [75]. Proinflammatory cytokines such as IFN-γ and TNF-α contribute to the development of mucosal lesions in the small intestine along with villous atrophy and crypt hyperplasia. For example, the activation of NF-kB by IFN-γ causes occludin to dissemble and results in increased paracellular permeability [61, 99].

In addition, MYO9B is a good candidate gene for therapy in celiac disease as its encoded protein is involved in early mucosal inflammatory response. MYO9B-encoded protein belongs to class IX myosin molecules, which contains a Rho-GTPase-activating domain and regulates Rho/ROCK-dependent remodeling of cytoskeleton and epithelial permeability [5, 83]. However, the involvement of ROCK/MLCK in the pathogenesis of celiac disease requires further exploration.

8. Irritable Bowel Syndrome

IBS is a common functional gastrointestinal disorder with undetermined etiology [100]. The pathogenic factors of IBS recently reported are impaired barrier function, low-grade mucosal inflammation, and changes in intestinal microbiota composition [101, 102]. Altered expression of tight junction proteins including ZO-1 and occludin is responsible for increased intestinal permeability, especially in IBS patients with diarrhea predominant symptoms [103]. Mucosal cytokine composition changes and penetration of mast cell mediators into the mucosa play important roles in the modulation of intestinal permeability [104–106]. For example, proinflammatory cytokine IFN-γ strongly increases gut permeability, while anti-inflammatory IL-10 protects against the disruption of the TJ barrier [107]. Significantly increased levels of INF-γ and decreased levels of IL-10 have been shown in the intestinal mucosa of patients with IBS and also in postinfectious IBS [76, 77]. IFN-γ also plays an important role in visceral hypersensitivity in patients with IBS. IFN-γ induces MLC phosphorylation which leads to the contraction of epithelial cell cytoskeleton. The opening of TJ allows exposure to intraluminal agents that activates immune cells and sensitizes sensory nerve terminals to mechanical stimuli [108]. Finally, TNF-α also plays a role in the pathogenesis of IBS. TNF-α increases epithelial leakage by a mechanism that involves reorganization of tight junctions and perijunctional actomyosin ring that require MLCK [78, 109]. Another study has shown that there are increased phosphorylation of MLC and delayed redistribution of ZO-1.
in epithelial cells after mucosal exposure to IBS-D supernatants. This suggests that intestinal hyperpermeability in IBS is related to ROCK or MLCK pathway [84].

9. Functional Dyspepsia

FD is also a common functional gastrointestinal disorder affecting 20% of population worldwide with poorly understood pathophysiology [110]. Recent studies have provided evidence for the presence of low-grade inflammation in the duodenal mucosa [111, 112]. Patients with FD have been shown to have significantly higher levels of circulating TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-10 compared with healthy controls, and the cytokines release correlated with symptom onset of abdominal pain, cramps, nausea, and vomiting [81]. The low-grade duodenal inflammation in FD is associated with tight junction breakdown and increased intestinal permeability. Expression of OCLN and ZO-1 in the duodenal mucosa has shown to be significantly depressed in patients with FD [27]. Although there is lack of current evidence that MLCK or ROCK is involved in hyperpermeability and immune activation, MLCK/ROCK signaling pathways are essential routes contributing to epithelial barrier breakdown. The mechanism of barrier dysfunction and immune response in FD need further investigation.

10. Summary

Gastrointestinal mucosal epithelium provides an important role by performing a barrier to luminal antigens and maintaining mucosal homeostasis. Epithelial breakdown is associated with a number of gastrointestinal diseases [78, 113, 114]. Regardless of whether breakdown of intestinal barrier is the initial cause or the result of injury that contributes to pathology, restoring barrier function remains a worthwhile therapy in a variety of intestinal and extraintestinal diseases [16, 115]. The importance of the TJ barrier is demonstrated in nmMLCK knockout mice which showed protection from systemic or luminal stressors [116, 117]. Furthermore, inflammatory cytokines antagonism may also play a role in disease restoration, as TNF-α antagonism (infliximab) has efficacy in reducing inflammation and restoring gut barrier in patients with CD [65]. Elucidating upstream mechanism and key factors may provide important clues to develop novel therapeutic interventions in patients with debilitating diseases. Although inhibition of intestinal epithelial nmMLCK or ROCK to specifically restore barrier function may be therapeutically attractive, such attempts may not be clinically suitable up to now. Since the catalytic domain of MLCK in epithelium and smooth muscle are identical and MLCK/ROCK pathways are involved in a number of cellular activities and are important in maintaining cellular homeostasis, inhibitors are likely leading to unacceptable toxicities.

However, further basic research is still needed to improve our understanding of these complex signaling pathways and the crosstalk between them. The dynamic characteristic of AJC, the involvement of specific molecules, and intracellular signaling pathways that regulate epithelial functions offer opportunity for development of drugs with more specific actions in patients with gastrointestinal disorders.
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