Feasibility study of measurement of Higgs pair creation in a γγ collider
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We studied the feasibility of measurement of Higgs pair creation in a γγ collider. We found the optimum collision energy is around 270 GeV from the sensitivity study with Higgs boson mass of 120 GeV/c². Main backgrounds are γγ → WW, γγ → ZZ, and γγ → b¯b b¯b at the optimum collision energy. The preliminary analysis shows γγ → HH could be observed with the statistical significance ∼ 5σ when we chose correct assignment of a track by using color singlet information.

1 Introduction

A Photon Linear Collider (PLC) has been considered as a possible option of the International Linear Collider (ILC). In the PLC, high energy photons are generated by using inverse Compton scattering between electrons and laser photons. An outline of PLC is shown in Figure 1. Details of PLC are found in [1].

In this study, we investigated the feasibility of studying the self-coupling of Higgs boson. The Higgs self-coupling constant λ can be represented as λ = λSM(1 + δκ) which contributes via the diagram shown in Figure 2 to the Higgs pair production in photon-photon collision. λSM is the Higgs boson self-coupling constant which is included in the Standard Model, and δκ is the deviation from the Standard Model.

Theoretical studies have been performed for this process by several authors [2, 3, 4]. However, the cross-section of Higgs self-coupling is very small, experimental feasibility against
huge backgrounds is yet to be studied. In this study, we investigated feasibility to observe Higgs pair production in high energy photon collision by Monte-Carlo simulation using a realistic luminosity spectrum based on a set of PLC parameters.

2 Optimization of $\gamma\gamma$ collision energy

In order to decide the optimum collision energy, we defined the statistical sensitivity for the $\delta\kappa$ as;

$$
\text{sensitivity} = \frac{|N(\delta\kappa) - N_{\text{SM}}|}{\sqrt{N_{\text{obs}}}} = \frac{L|\eta\sigma(\delta\kappa) - \eta\sigma_{\text{SM}}|}{\sqrt{L(\eta\sigma(\delta\kappa) + \eta_{\text{BG}}\sigma_{\text{BG}})}}
$$

where, $N(\delta\kappa)$ is the expected number of events as a function of $\delta\kappa$, and $N_{\text{SM}}$ is the expected number of events from the Standard Model. $\sigma(\delta\kappa)$ and $\sigma_{\text{SM}}$ are the cross-section of Higgs boson production as a function of $\delta\kappa$ and for the Standard Model. $L$, $\eta$, $\eta_{\text{BG}}$, and $\sigma_{\text{BG}}$ are the integrated luminosity, detection efficiency for signal, detection efficiency for background, and the cross-section of background processes, respectively. For $\eta = 1$ and $\eta_{\text{BG}} = 0$, the sensitivity is written as;

$$
\text{sensitivity} = \sqrt{L\frac{|\sigma(\delta\kappa) - \sigma_{\text{SM}}|}{\sigma(\delta\kappa)}}.
$$

Figure 3 shows the sensitivity plot as a function of the energy of $\gamma\gamma$ interaction, $\sqrt{s_{\gamma\gamma}}$, for the Higgs boson mass of 120 GeV/$c^2$ with integrated luminosity of 1000 fb$^{-1}$. The cross-section of signal was calculated by using the formula given in [3] for the case of $\delta\kappa = \pm 1$ as indicated in Figure 3. From this result, we set $\sqrt{s_{\gamma\gamma}} = 270$ GeV for this study.

3 Beam parameters

The parameters for the electron and laser beams are chosen to maximize $\gamma\gamma$ luminosity around $\sqrt{s_{\gamma\gamma}} = 270$ GeV based on TESLA optimistic parameters [5], where we assumed the same parameters with the TESLA except for the electron beam energy (Table 1). The luminosity distribution for the parameters was simulated by CAIN [6] and is shown in Figure 4.

4 Signal and backgrounds

Figure 5 shows the cross-section for various $\gamma\gamma$ and $e^+e^-$ processes as a function of the center mass energy. It indicates that the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow WW$ and $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow ZZ$ processes will be the main backgrounds at $\sqrt{s_{\gamma\gamma}} = 270$ GeV.

Since the main decay mode of the Higgs boson of 120 GeV/$c^2$ is $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ (branching ratio $\sim 0.68$) [7], we concentrated on the case of $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow HH \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$. Therefore, the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$ process is also considered as a background source.
Table 1: The parameters of electron and laser beams.

| Parameter | Value       |
|-----------|-------------|
| $E_e$ [GeV] | 190         |
| $N/10^{10}$ | 2           |
| $\sigma_z$ [mm] | 0.35      |
| $\gamma \epsilon_{x/y}$ [$10^{-4}$mrad] | 2.5/0.03 |
| $\beta_{x/y}$ [mm] @ IP | 15/0.3 |
| $\sigma_{x/y}$ [mm] | 96/4.7 |
| $\lambda_L$ [nm] | 1054 |
| Pulse energy [J] | 10         |
| $x = 4\omega E_e/m_e^2$ | 3.76 |

Figure 4: Luminosity distribution simulated by CAIN.

Figure 5: Cross-sections of processes as a function of center mass energy. The red line shows the optimum collision energy, 270 GeV.

Then we estimated the number of events by convoluting the luminosity distribution, i.e.:

$$N_{\text{events}} = \int \sigma(\sqrt{s_{\gamma\gamma}}) \frac{dL}{d\sqrt{s_{\gamma\gamma}}} d\sqrt{s_{\gamma\gamma}}$$

We calculated $\gamma\gamma \to HH$ by using the formula in [3, 4], $\gamma\gamma \to WW$ by using HELAS [8], $\gamma\gamma \to ZZ$ by using gamgamZZ-code [9, 10], and $\gamma\gamma \to b\bar{b} b\bar{b}$ by using GRACE [11]. The numerical integration and event generation was performed by a Monte-Carlo integration and event generation program BASES/SPRING [12]. We expect 16 events/year for $\gamma\gamma \to HH$, $1.462 \times 10^7$ events/year for $\gamma\gamma \to WW$, $1.187 \times 10^4$ events/year for $\gamma\gamma \to ZZ$. For $\gamma\gamma \to b\bar{b} b\bar{b}$, $5.872 \times 10^4$ events/year is expected for events with $b\bar{b}$ mass of greater than 15 GeV/c$^2$. 
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5 Simulation and analysis

JSF (JLC Study Framework) [13, 14] was used as the simulation framework in this study. Pythia [15] was used for the parton shower and hadronization. A fast simulator [14] was used for the detector simulation instead of full simulation. We generated $5 \times 10^4$ Monte-Carlo events for $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow HH$, $7.5 \times 10^7$ for $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow WW$, $1 \times 10^6$ for $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow ZZ$, and $1 \times 10^6$ for $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$.

First, we applied the forced 4-jet clustering to reconstruct the jets by using JADE clustering algorithm [16]. In order to select proper combination of reconstructed jets, $\chi^2_i$'s $(i = H, W, Z, b\bar{b})$ were calculated as:

$$\chi^2_i = \min \left[ \frac{(M_1 - M_i)^2}{\sigma_{2ji}} + \frac{(M_2 - M_i)^2}{\sigma_{2ji}} \right],$$

where $M_1$ and $M_2$ are reconstructed particle mass. $M_i$ $(i = H, W, Z, b\bar{b})$ are the mass of Higgs boson, W boson, Z boson, and the invariant mass of $b\bar{b}$ (10 GeV). $\sigma_{2ji}$ $(i = H, W, Z, b\bar{b})$ are the mass resolutions for $H$, $W$, $Z$, and $b\bar{b}$, respectively. The jet combination which has the least $\chi^2_i$ was considered as the most probable combination.

In order to distinguish $b$ quarks, we used ”nsig” method in this study. Figure 6 shows the outline of ”nsig” method. For each charged track in a reconstruct jet, $N_{\text{sig}} \equiv L/\sigma_L$ was calculated, where $L$ is the closest approach to the ”Interaction Point” of the track in the plane perpendicular to the beam, and $\sigma_L$ is its resolution. Then $N_{\text{offv}}(a)$, the number of tracks which have $N_{\text{sig}} > a$, is calculated for each jet.

Before optimizing selection criteria, we applied the pre-selection:

- $N_{\text{jet}}(N_{\text{offv}}(3.0) \geq 1) \geq 3$,
- $N_{\text{jet}}(N_{\text{offv}}(3.0) \geq 2) \geq 2$,

where $N_{\text{jet}}(N_{\text{offv}}(3.0) \geq b)$ is the number of jets in which $N_{\text{offv}}(3.0)$ is greater than or equal to $b$.

Then we applied the Neural Network analysis to optimize the event selection criteria. JETNET [17] was used to train and to evaluate performance of the Neural Network. The Neural Network was trained for each background separately so as to maximize the statistical significance $\Sigma$ defined by

$$\Sigma = \frac{N_{\text{signal}}}{\sqrt{N_{\text{signal}} + N_{\text{BG}}}},$$

where $N_{\text{signal}}$ and $N_{\text{BG}}$ are the number of signal and background events. Table 2 shows the cut statistics with JADE clustering. From Table 2, the $\Sigma$ was calculated to be $1.17\sigma$.

To investigate possible improvement of event selection and background suppression, we chose correct assignment of tracks to each jet as well as correct selection of jet pairs from a parent particle by using color singlet information from event generators. It was applied to $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow HH, WW, ZZ$, but not to $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$, because color singlet combination for $b\bar{b}$
Table 2: Cut statistics with JADE clustering.

|         | HH   | WW   | ZZ   | bbbb |
|---------|------|------|------|------|
| expected events | 80   | $7.31 \times 10^7$ | 59350 | 293600 |
| pre-selection  | 47.93 | 81655 | 5167  | 84491  |
| W filter      | 12.34 | 8.772 | 193.4 | 568.4  |
| bb filter     | 8.238 | 0     | 84.40 | 13.21  |
| Z filter      | 4.994 | 0     | 7.359 | 5.872  |

Table 3: Cut statistics with perfect clustering.

|         | HH   | WW   | ZZ   | bbbb |
|---------|------|------|------|------|
| expected events | 80   | $7.31 \times 10^7$ | 59350 | 293600 |
| pre-selection  | 46.64 | 55836 | 4172  | 84491  |
| W filter      | 38.58 | 4.873 | 98.84 | 2179   |
| bb filter     | 34.50 | 2.924 | 27.76 | 2.642  |
| Z filter      | 33.06 | 2.924 | 5.935 | 2.642  |

6 Summary

We studied the feasibility of measurement of Higgs pair creation in the PLC particulary against large background processes such as $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow WW$, $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow ZZ$, and $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$. The optimum collision energy was found to be 270 GeV from the sensitivity study with Higgs boson mass of 120 GeV/c². The result is preliminary in a sense that systematic error of the Neural Network analysis is yet to be evaluated, but it indicates that $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow HH$ could be observed with $\sim 5\sigma$ with the integrated luminosity corresponds to 5-year operation of the PLC if we could improve performance of the jet clustering and $b$-tagging algorithm.
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