Perceived role of psychiatrists in the management of substance misuse
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Aims and methods A postal questionnaire sent to all psychiatrists working in four NHS trusts in and around Birmingham was used to survey the number of new cases of drug and alcohol misuse identified in the previous month and the degree of postgraduate training in the management of such cases. Attitudes and beliefs about substance misuse problems were also elicited.

Results A response rate of 70% was achieved across six sub-specialities in psychiatry and four levels of training. Of the 143 respondents, over half had identified at least one new case of alcohol (61%) or drug misuse (55%) in the previous month. Approximately half of the sample admitted to having received no training in management of substance misuse cases in the previous five years (45% alcohol, 50% drugs). There was general agreement about the potential management role of the doctor in the field, but less consensus on whether the clinician had a responsibility to intervene in such cases. A clear discrepancy was demonstrated between psychiatrists' perceptions of the evidence supporting various treatments and the actual evidence base.

Clinical implications The study highlights the pressing need for training psychiatrists at all levels and in all sub-specialties in the management of substance misuse.

The management of substance misuse is currently very topical, and the 'Drug Czar's' report has raised the profile of addiction on the political agenda (President of the Council, 1998). Although doctors, and psychiatrists in particular, have a role in the detection of addictive behaviours and their management, this may present a number of problems. As Unnithan et al (1994) suggest, there may be three particular areas of anxiety among general psychiatrists that lead to reluctance to provide care for those who misuse substances:

(a) 'role adequacy' – having the necessary information and skills in order to identify and respond appropriately;
(b) 'role legitimacy' – the extent to which management of such problems is felt to fall within their responsibility;
(c) 'role support' – the confidence in the existence and adequacy of help and advice when it might be needed.

The anxiety in the area of 'role adequacy' is underpinned by evidence to suggest that both undergraduate and postgraduate training oppor
opportunities in the field are limited and that there is a pressing need to address the problem (Glass, 1989; Glass-Crome, 1992; Crome, 1999). In the last decade the evidence base regarding effective treatment has been accumulating steadily (Project MATCH Research Group, 1997), but it is not clear that psychiatrists have sufficient skills to assess and manage the less complicated cases, while referring the more dependent and chaotic to addiction specialists. Thus, it can be argued that 'role support' is not utilised effectively. Most practising doctors will have also questioned 'role legitimacy'. Patients who misuse substances often elicit powerful negative emotions in doctors treating them, and the persistent nature of their problem or the severity of behavioural disturbance involved often fuel such feelings (Farrell & Lewis, 1990).

A review of the UK literature revealed that many of these problems have not been extensively researched. While some research has focused on the primary health care team (Groves et al, 1996), little work has been directed towards non-specialist addiction psychiatrists. This study aims to provide some estimate of the experience and training of a sample of general psychiatrists and to survey their attitudes towards people who misuse substances.

The study

The personnel departments in four local NHS trusts (South Birmingham, North Birmingham, Sandwell and Solihull) were contacted, and each supplied a list of all psychiatrists working for them. This encompassed those working in general adult, older adult, forensic and child and adolescent psychiatry, as well as the regional psychotherapy unit. A total of 204 career psychiatrists were identified, including 74 consultants, 20 senior/specialist registrars, 47 'staff grade' doctors and 54 senior house officers. Each was sent a questionnaire with a prepaid return envelope, and a second copy was sent six weeks later.

The questionnaire was divided into two sections. The first concerned demographic data, including age, level of training (consultant, senior/specialist registrar, senior house officer or other) and current speciality. Subsequent questions enquired about the number of new cases of alcohol and drug misuse identified in the last month, giving five reply options ('none', 'one to two', 'three to five', 'six to ten', or 'greater than 10'). The issue of whether the respondent had received any training in either management of alcohol or drug-related problems in the last five years was then examined.

The second section consisted of 20 questions initially devised by Roche et al (1995a,b). These surveyed beliefs and opinions in three areas relevant to clinical practice:

(a) the medical practitioner's role in the management of drug and alcohol problems;
(b) factors influencing the prognosis for patients with alcohol and drug-dependency;
(c) beliefs about the strength of evidence of the efficacy of different types of intervention in alcohol misuse.

In (a) and (b) the doctor was asked to tick one of five boxes labelled 'strongly agree', 'agree', 'uncertain', 'disagree' and 'strongly disagree' to indicate level of agreement with each statement. In (c) a five-point scale with anchors labelled '1 (no evidence)' and '5 (good evidence)' was used.

Findings

Of the 204 doctors surveyed, 143 replied, giving a response rate of 70%. Replies to the first section revealed that most respondents fell into the 30-39-year-old age range (48%). Slightly more than a third were from consultants (38%), nearly a half from training grades (senior/specialist registrars (19%) or senior house officers (29%)) and a sixth (15%) from senior clinical medical officers or clinical assistants. Approximately half of these doctors worked in general adult psychiatry (48%), with the rest distributed among six other sub-specialities (child and adolescent, 12%; older adult, 14%; psychotherapy, 7%; forensic, 9%; learning disability, 8%; rehabilitation, 3%). Almost half (45%) had identified at least one case of drug misuse in the previous month, and 12% had seen three or more. The equivalent figures for cases of alcohol misuse were 61% and 29%. A significant number of the respondents had received no training at all in alcohol (45%) or drug (50%) problems in the last five years. A further 27% of the replies indicated less than eight hours of alcohol training, with an equivalent figure of 26% for drugs.

The responses to the second section are summarised in Table 1. Differences between consultants and trainee groups were analysed using a χ²-square test. Almost all respondents agreed that "a drug and alcohol history should be considered part of a doctor's regular diagnostic tools", and there was very little agreement with the statement that "a doctor can only intervene effectively before physical dependence develops", and 90% that such an early intervention can be successful. More consultants (41%) than trainees (35%) considered patients with alcohol and drug...
Table 1. Attitudes and beliefs about substance misuse problems

|   | Consultants Agree/Strongly Agree (%) | Trainees Agree/Strongly Agree (%) |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| n=54 |                                    | n=68                             |
| 1. Patients with alcohol and drug problems are readily identifiable | 22 (41) | 24 (35) |
| 2. Intervention when a person is developing alcohol or other drug problems is rarely successful | 4 (7) | 8 (12) |
| 3. The medical practitioner has a responsibility to intervene in the case of patients with alcohol and drug problems | 31 (57) | 47 (69) |
| 4. A drug and alcohol history should be one of a doctor's regular diagnostic tools | 53 (98) | 67 (98) |
| 5. A medical practitioner can effectively intervene in the case of alcohol and drug problems only when the physical dependence has occurred | 3 (5) | 5 (7) |
| 6. A doctor's knowledge and skills allow effective intervention only as regards the physical complications of alcohol and drug use | 1 (2) | 0 (0) |
| 7. Referral to community support groups and treatment centres is an essential part of the management of drug and alcohol problems | 36 (67) | 53 (78) |
| 8. The following factors are influential determining the prognosis for patients with alcohol and drug dependency problems | | |
| (a) Supportive relationships | 52 (96) | 68 (100) |
| (b) Motivation to recover | 52 (96) | 65 (96) |
| (c) Appropriate management by doctor | 47 (87) | 61 (90) |
| (d) Early intervention by doctor or similar other | 39 (72) | 57 (84) |
| (e) Employment status | 32 (59) | 53 (78) |
| (f) Body mass index | 7 (13) | 3 (4) |
| 9. Below is a list of treatments for people with alcohol dependency and/or problem drinking. How good is the evidence, from controlled trials, supporting the effectiveness of each of these interventions? | | |
| (a) Alcoholics Anonymous | 32 (59) | 30 (44) |
| (b) Cognitive-behavioural therapy | 25 (46) | 25 (37) |
| (c) In-patient rehabilitation programme | 23 (43) | 24 (35) |
| (d) Brief advice by medical practitioner at early stage of problem | 21 (39) | 24 (35) |
| (e) Training in controlled drinking | 15 (28) | 6 (9) |
| (f) Alcohol sensitising drugs (e.g. antabuse) | 14 (26) | 18 (26) |
| (g) Dynamic psychotherapy | 2 (4) | 0 (0) |

"Trainees" refers to senior registrars, specialist registrars and senior house officers.

problems readily identifiable ($P=0.538$), but more trainees than consultants accepted that the medical practitioner had a responsibility to intervene (69% and 57% respectively, $P=0.181$). More trainees also considered referral to community support groups and treatment centres to be important ($P=0.164$).

Responses concerning perceived factors influential in determining prognosis (Question 8) showed that there was a general belief in the importance of supportive relationships and motivation to recover, and to a lesser degree appropriate management by the doctor. However, more trainees than consultants considered early intervention by a trained person and employment status to be important (78% and 59% respectively, $P=0.026$). When respondents were asked to rate their assessment of the research evidence for the effectiveness of different management strategies for alcohol misuse (Question 9), only dynamic psychotherapy was generally considered of no proven effectiveness. The evidence for other suggested interventions was considered strong by between 25% and 50%. Overall, Alcoholics Anonymous was rated effective by most respondents, but considerably more consultants believed it to have proven efficacy ($P=0.0907$). Very few trainees believed that training in controlled drinking had any research evidence supporting it.

Comment

This was a small sample using a simple questionnaire, but the high response rate of 70% would suggest that the findings are reasonably representative. The survey across a range of subspecialties within psychiatry shows that over 60% of respondents had identified at least one new case involving alcohol misuse in the previous month. As the majority of the 30% of non-responders were general adult psychiatrists, it is
likely that this figure would have been higher had they replied. It was anticipated that psychiatrists would probably assess problems of alcohol misuse, but that new cases of drug misuse would be referred directly to local community drug services, thus by-passing psychiatry. Therefore, it is interesting that 45% of the sample had also identified a new case of drug misuse. This confirms that the problem is a significant one in the practice of many psychiatrists. Despite this, nearly half of these respondents had not received any training in the management of substance misuse in the previous five years. When combined with the estimate from one UK study that showed medical students were taught about alcohol misuse and dependency for approximately one minute per week during their five year training (Glass, 1989), it is not surprising that Unnithan et al (1994) question ‘role adequacy’. 

A large number of respondents (particularly consultants) considered that there was good research evidence for the efficacy of Alcoholics Anonymous, and less for brief early interventions. The actual published findings demonstrate quite the opposite, highlighting a gap between science and practice (Miller et al, 1995). Lack of awareness of recent advances in the field could be one explanation for the observation that a third of consultants who replied felt that referral to specialist services was not important.

The questions examining attitudes to substance misusers revealed a number of interesting contradictions. Psychiatrists clearly considered the drug and alcohol history to be an important tool. This contrasts with other research showing that admitting psychiatrists fail to record the alcohol consumption in a significant proportion of case notes (Farid et al, 1998). The study identified a clear role for doctors in the management of substance misuse problems, but a sizeable minority were uncertain of their responsibility to intervene. The importance of supportive relationships, motivation to recover, early intervention and appropriate management by a doctor in determining prognosis were widely agreed. However, trainee psychiatrists considered employment to be a more important factor than their seniors.

The findings support the commentators who suggest that serious thought must be given to increasing training in substance misuse for both undergraduates and postgraduates (Glass, 1989; Glass-Crome, 1992; Crome, 1999). Innovative schemes in training medical students and psychiatry trainees have been developed elsewhere, most notably in Australia and the USA (Walsh, 1995). The recent focus for services for people who misuse substances with serious mental illness must be underpinned by a proper grounding in management of both drug and alcohol problems for all psychiatrists.
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