Human TEN1 Maintains Telomere Integrity and Functions in Genome-wide Replication Restart*
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Background: Although CTC1-STN1-TEN1 (CST) is considered a specialized replication factor, TEN1 is largely uncharacterized.

Results: Like CTC1 and STN1, TEN1 is needed for telomere replication and genome-wide replication rescue; however, TEN1 depletion causes more severe phenotypes.

Conclusion: TEN1 likely functions with CTC1 and STN1 in most contexts, but TEN1 may have additional roles.

Significance: TEN1/CST help solve a diverse array of replication problems.

TEN1 is a component of the mammalian CTC1-STN1-TEN1 complex. CTC1 and/or STN1 functions in telomere duplex replication, C-strand fill-in, and genome-wide restart of replication following fork stalling. Here we examine the role of human TEN1 and ask whether it also functions as a specialized replication factor. TEN1 depletion causes an increase in multitelomere fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) signals similar to that observed after CTC1 or STN1 depletion. However, TEN1 depletion also results in increased telomere loss. This loss is not accompanied by increased telomere deprotection, recombination, or T-circle release. Thus, it appears that both the multiple telomere signals and telomere loss stem from problems in telomere duplex replication. TEN1 depletion can also affect telomere length, but whether telomeres lengthen or shorten is cell line-dependent. Like CTC1 and STN1, TEN1 is needed for G-overhang processing. Depletion of TEN1 does not effect overhang elongation in mid-S phase, but it delays overhang shortening in late S/G2 phase. These results indicate a role for TEN1 in C-strand fill-in but do not support a direct role in telomerase regulation. Finally, TEN1 depletion causes a decrease in genome-wide replication restart following fork stalling similar to that observed after STN1 depletion. However, anaphase bridge formation is more severe than with CTC1 or STN1 depletion. Our findings indicate that TEN1 likely functions in conjunction with CTC1 and STN1 at the telomere and elsewhere in the genome. They also raise the possibility that TEN1 has additional roles and indicate that TEN1/CTC1-STN1-TEN1 helps solve a wide range of challenges to the replication machinery.

Telomeres are DNA-protein complexes that protect linear chromosome ends from unwanted repair and recombination. They also provide a means to counteract the loss of DNA sequence that occurs during DNA replication. In mammals, telomeres consist of kilobases of TTAGGG repeats that terminate the DNA structure of telomeric DNA makes telomeres difficult to replicate. The overhang is thought to invade the duplex region to produce a lariat-like structure called a T-loop that protects the DNA terminus from degradation or fusion. The repetitive nature and unusual terminal structure of telomeric DNA makes telomeres difficult to replicate. As a result, telomere replication requires additional steps and factors beyond those essential for general genomic DNA replication. The initial stage of telomere replication occurs in early to mid-S phase when helicases such as WRN and RTEL, the shelterin component telomeric restriction fragment 1 (TRF1), and subunits of the CTC1-STN1-TEN1 (CST) complex act in conjunction with the conventional replication machinery to ensure efficient replication of the duplex region. Telomerase then extends the 3’ overhang soon after duplex replication is complete. Finally, the complementary C-strand is filled in several hours later during late S/G2 phase by polymerase α/primase (pol α) aided by CST (1, 12, 14, 15).

Mammalian CST is homologous to the Cdc13-Stn1-Ten1 complex that protects telomeres in budding yeast. In addition to its protective function, Saccharomyces cerevisiae CST plays a key role in telomere replication by recruiting and regulating telomerase activity. Yeast and mammalian CST are clearly related because the STN1 and TEN1 subunits exhibit sequence and/or structural homology to each other and to subunits of RPA (16, 23–27). Also, Cdc13 and CTC1 both appear to harbor oligonucleotide/oligosaccharide binding folds that are used to bind single-stranded DNA (25, 28, 29).

One major difference between the yeast and mammalian complexes is that mammalian CST does not appear to be needed for telomere protection. Instead, subunits of CST have evolved a
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number of roles in telomeric and genome-wide replication. At the telomere, STN1 and CTC1 function both in telomere duplex replication and C-strand fill-in (10–12, 14, 29, 30). Elsewhere in the genome, STN1 and CTC1 participate in the resolution of replication stress (10, 29). Depletion of either subunit leads to formation of anaphase bridges, and STN1 depletion results in reduced replication restart after hydroxyurea (HU)-induced fork stalling (10, 11, 29). Consistent with this genome-wide role in replication, CTC1 and STN1 were originally identified as a pol α accessory factor (AAF) that stimulates template binding and enzyme processivity (31, 32). Recently mutations in CTC1 were found to underlie several diseases including dyskeratosis congenita and Coats plus (33–35). Additionally, single-nucleotide polymorphisms associated with human STN1 (OBFC1) correlate with the presence of short telomeres (36, 37). Thus far, no disease-linked mutations or single-nucleotide polymorphisms have been identified for TEN1.

In vitro studies of mammalian CST indicate that CTC1, STN1, and TEN1 form a trimeric complex, and all three subunits are needed to bind single-stranded DNA (25, 38). However, it is not clear whether the three proteins always function as a complex in vivo. TEN1 was not identified as a component of AAF (31), and the need for TEN1 in telomere replication and resolution of replication stress has not been examined. Observations from yeast and plants suggest that in these organisms, the three subunits of CST may function independently or as subcomplexes. In budding yeast, phosphorylation of Cdc13 by Cdk1 promotes telomerase elongation (19, 39, 40). However, telomeres bound by the entire CST complex cannot be extended by telomerase in vitro (41), and binding of Stn1-Ten1 in vivo is thought to limit telomerase extension and convert the telomere into a closed, protected state (42). Moreover, co-over-expression of Stn1 and Ten1 is sufficient to provide telomere protection in the absence of Cdc13, again suggesting the existence of a functional Stn1-Ten1 subcomplex (43). In Arabidopsis, CTC1 localizes to ~50% of telomeres, whereas TEN1 is only found at ~10–15% (44, 45). This finding suggests the existence of a CTC1 subcomplex that does not contain TEN1. Additionally, Arabidopsis TEN1 inhibits telomerase processivity, whereas CTC1 and STN1 have no effect (29, 44, 45). Here we show that depletion of TEN1 leads to phenotypes similar to those seen after CTC1 or STN1 depletion; however, the severity of a subset of phenotypes is enhanced. Our results indicate that TEN1 inhibits telomerase processivity, whereas CTC1 and STN1 have no effect (29, 44, 45). Here we show that depletion of TEN1 leads to phenotypes similar to those seen after CTC1 or STN1 depletion; however, the severity of a subset of phenotypes is enhanced. Our results indicate that TEN1 likely functions in conjunction with CTC1 and STN1 in most contexts but leave open the possibility of additional roles for this protein in mammalian cells.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Cell Lines and Stable Knockdown of TEN**—HeLa1.2.11 cells were grown in RPMI, HT1080 in DMEM, and HCT116 in McCoy’s medium supplemented with 10% FBS, antibiotics, and glutamine. Lentivirus encoding shRNAs targeting different regions of TEN1 were produced with the following constructs: shTEN1#1, Sigma TRCN0000337412, PuroR; shTEN1#2, Open Biosystems V3LHS_389003, GFP; and shTEN1#3, Sigma TRCN0000337413, PuroR. The lentivirus encoding nontargeting shRNA (shNT) was as described previously (10). HeLa1.2.11, HCT116, and HT1080 cells were infected with virus and selected with 1 μg/ml puromycin or sorted by FACS to obtain shRNA-expressing cells. The levels of TEN1 or STN1 knockdown were determined by reverse transcription and quantitative real time PCR (RT-qPCR) or by Western blotting. Pools of cells with stable TEN1 knockdown were obtained by infecting HCT116 cells with either shTEN1#1 or shTEN1#3 and HT1080 cells with shTEN1#2. STN1 was depleted in HT1080 cells with Open Biosystems V2LHS_278078. HeLa1.2.11 cells were initially infected with shTEN1#1 virus followed by puromycin selection to give a pool of cells from which single cell clones with ~50% TEN1 depletion were selected. One clone was then infected with shTEN1#2 virus and sorted for GFP expression. Again both a pool of cells (shTEN1#1+2) and a clone were isolated (HeLa shTEN1–5). To generate shTEN1 rescue cells (shTEN1–5R), the HeLa shTEN1–5 clone was infected with retrovirus encoding TEN1 with silent mutations (TGTCGTACGATATGATACAGTCGAGGGTTACTCTCATTGGCA, with the mutations underlined) at both the shTEN1#1 and shTEN1#2 target sites. The mutant allele was cloned into the pMSCV-Thy1-1 vector. Viral production, infection, sorting of Thy1-1-expressing cells, and production of clones were as described (10).

**RT-qPCR**—The efficiency of TEN1 and STN1 depletion was determined by two-step RT-qPCR with SYBR GREEN using the HotStart-It kit (United States Biological) and RNA isolated with the RNeasy kit (Qiagen) (10) and extended DNase digestion to remove genomic DNA. cDNA was made using oligo(dT) primers. Primers for TEN1 amplification, 5’-GGCCAAGTCTCTGATTGG and 5’-CAGTGTACTCTGACTGATCAT, were designed to avoid amplification of a TEN1 pseudogene. GAPDH and STN1 primers were as described (10). Reactions were performed at least in duplicate using GAPDH as the endogenous control.

**Antibodies and Western Blots**—6-HIS-TEN1 was expressed from the pET45 plasmid in bacteria and purified using nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid-agarose (Qiagen). Purified protein was injected into rabbits (Covance), and antibodies from serum were purified using 6-HIS-TEN1 covalently linked to NHS Sepharose 4 fast flow (GE Healthcare). For TEN1 and STN1 detection, cells were lysed in low salt buffer (10 mM HEPES, 10 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.34 M sucrose, 10% glycerol, 1 mM DTT, 0.1% Triton X-100), and the indicated amounts of protein were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane. The membrane was blocked with 1% milk and incubated with antibody to actinin (Santa Cruz; 1:50,000), TEN1 and STN1 antibodies and Western blotting, allowing to grow for 48 h. Live cells were counted using trypan blue exclusion, and 5 × 10⁵ cells were reseeded for the next time point. Total cell number was extrapolated using a value that was the cell count/fraction of cells used to reseed previous time point. The experiment was performed three times with shNT and stTEN1–5 and twice with shTEN1–5R.
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**Telomere Length and G-overhang Analysis**—Genomic DNA was isolated by proteinase K digestion and phenol chloroform extraction for G-overhang analysis or by high salt precipitation (12) for telomere length analysis, followed by overnight digestion with HindIII and MspI. For telomere length determination, restriction fragments were separated in 1% agarose by pulse-field electrophoresis (HeLa1.2.11) or standard electrophoresis (HCT116 and HT1080). In-gel hybridization was performed using a (TA2C3)4 probe after denaturation with NaOH. Signal was quantified by PhosphorImager, and mean telomere length was determined by dividing each lane into 100 boxes to keep the TRFs in a tight band. In-gel hybridization was performed under native conditions using (TA2C3)4 probe, the DNA was denatured, and the gel was rehybridized with the same probe. For each lane, the Exo1-resistant signal was subtracted from the untreated non-denatured signal, and the resulting G-overhang signal was normalized for loading using the signal from the denatured sample. For the cell cycle analysis of overhang length, cells were synchronized at the G1/S boundary with a double thymidine block as previously described (12).

**Teloemere FISH**—FISH was performed on metaphase spreads as previously described using FITC-OO-(T2AG3)3 peptide nucleic acid probe (10). To quantify telomere sister chromatid exchange (T-SCE), chromosome orientation FISH was performed as previously described using FITC-0O-(T 2AG3)3 peptide

**Replication Restart**—The cells were treated with 2 mM HU for 2 h followed by 50 μM EdU for 30 min and fixed, and EdU was visualized using Click-It (Invitrogen) (10). Mean EdU signal in HU-treated cells was divided by mean EdU signal in untreated cells to normalize for the slower growth of shTEN1−5 cells.

**Anaphase Bridges**—Cells were treated with 50 ng/ml nocodazole for 3–4 h and then released into fresh medium for 30 min to 1 h. The cells were pre-extracted with 0.05% Triton X-100 for 2 min at 4 °C, washed with PBS, and treated with RNase A for 10 min at 37 °C prior to formaldehyde fixation. Following fixation, the cells were permeabilized in 0.5% Triton X-100 for 10 min at room temperature. The slides were then hybridized with Cy3-(C3TA2)3 for 5 min at 90 °C followed by 2 h at room temperature. Washing, dehydration, and mounting were then performed as previously described (10).

**Data Acquisition and Analysis**—FISH images were taken at ×1000, and replication restart images were taken at ×200 with a Nikon E400 equipped with a Spot 2 digital camera (Diagnostic Instruments Inc.). For each independent experiment, ≥300 metaphase chromosomes were analyzed to quantify multiple telomere signals (MTS) and SFE and ≥250 for T-SCE. Likewise, ≥100 anaphases were counted to quantify anaphase bridges and ≥500 cells for replication restart. p values indicated in the figures were determined using the Student’s two-tailed unpaired t test.

**RESULTS**

**TEN1 Depletion Affects Telomere Integrity**—To address the function of TEN1 in human cells, we generated a series of cell lines with stable TEN1 knockdown. We generated two pools of HCT116 cells each expressing a different TEN1 shRNA (shTEN1#1 and shTEN1#3), a single pool of HT1080 cells expressing one shRNA (shTEN1#2) and HeLa1.2.11 pools expressing one or two shRNAs (shTEN1#1 or shTEN1#1 + #2), and a clone shTEN1−5 expressing two TEN1 shRNAs Triton X-100, 100 µg/ml RNase A). The slides were then incubated with 1:500 α-γH2AX (Upstate) for 1 h at room temperature, washed three times for 5 min in PBS + 0.1% Tween, and incubated with 1:750 α-mouse Alexa 488 at room temperature for 30 min. After three washes with PBS + 0.1% Tween, antibodies were fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature. The slides were dehydrated with ethanol and incubated with Cy3- (C3TA2)3 probe for 3 min at 80 °C, followed by 2 h at room temperature. The slides were washed twice for 5 min in Wash A buffer (70% formamide, 10 mM Tris, pH 7.5) and twice for 5 min in Wash B buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.8% Tween). Finally, the slides were mounted in the presence of DAPI.

**T-circle Assay**—Genomic DNA was isolated and restriction-digested as described for G-overhang assays, denatured, and annealed with (C3TA2)3C3TA*A primer (where the asterisk denotes phosphorothioate) at 95 °C for 5 min followed by 2 h at room temperature. 0.2 mM dNTP was added in the presence or absence of Phi29 polymerase (Thermo) and incubated at 30 °C for 12 h followed by 65 °C for 20 min. Products were separated in 0.6% agarose gels at 100 V for 1 h followed by 35 V overnight. The gels were dried, and the products were denatured and detected by in-gel hybridization with G(T2AG3)3 T2AG probe.
The level of knockdown was assessed by RT-qPCR and immunoblotting (Fig. 1). For the HCT116 and HT1080 pools, mRNA levels were depleted by \(75\%\) (Fig. 1A), and TEN1 protein was depleted by \(70–80\%\) in HCT116 and \(95\%\) in HT1080 (Fig. 1B and Table 1). The HeLa shTEN1#1+#2 pool and the clone shTEN1–5 exhibited \(90\%\) reduction in TEN1 mRNA (Fig. 1A) and \(95\%\) reduction in protein (Fig. 1B and Table 1). The shTEN1–5 clone was subsequently transfected with a construct expressing an shRNA-resistant TEN1 allele. Individual clones were selected, and one clone, shTEN1–5R, was used to verify that phenotypes were specific to TEN1 depletion and not due to off target effects. The shTEN1–5R clone had nearly endogenous levels of protein expression (Fig. 1, A and B, and Table 1).

In contrast to our previous findings with CTC1- or STN1-depleted HeLa cells, depletion of TEN1 in HeLa caused a significant growth defect that was partially rescued by the TEN1-resistant allele (Fig. 1C, Table 2, and Ref. 10). We also observed a growth arrest when we first isolated the HT1080 shTEN1 pool, but eventually the culture recovered, suggesting a selection for cells with less efficient TEN1 depletion. Neither pool of TEN1-depleted HCT116 showed noticeable growth perturbation (data not shown).

**Depletion of mammalian CTC1 or STN1 leads to various telomere abnormalities including the appearance of MTS on individual chromatids during FISH analysis. In STN1-depleted cells, the severity of the MTS phenotype tracks with telomere length and the need for STN1 to facilitate telomere duplex replication (12). When we examined the effect of TEN1 depletion in HeLa cells with long telomeres (HeLa1.2.11, 20–25-kb**
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We saw a similar ~2-fold increase in MTS to that observed after CTC1 or STN1 depletion (Fig. 2, A and B, Table 2, and Ref. 10). This increase was largely rescued by expression of the shRNA-resistant TEN1 allele. We also saw a large (~20-fold) increase in chromosomes lacking telomere signals (SFE, signal free ends), something that was not observed after stable CTC1 or STN1 depletion. The SFE were rescued by the shRNA-resistant allele but to a lesser extent than the MTS, raising the possibility that SFE and MTS differ in their underlying cause. Interestingly, we did not observe telomere fusions in the shTEN1 cells (Fig. 2A), suggesting that the chromatids with SFE retained sufficient telomeric DNA to maintain telomere protection. To more directly assess whether the SFE remain protected from the DNA damage response, we looked for the pres-

### TABLE 2

Summary of shCST phenotypes in HeLa1.2.11 cells

| Phenotype       | shCTC1 | shSTN1 | shTEN1 |
|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Depletion (%)   | 70a    | 60–80a | 95     |
| Cell growth     | Unchangeda | Unchangeda | Slowc |
| MTS             | ↑ 2–2.5 ×a | ↑ 2–3 ×a | ↑ 2 × |
| SFE             | Unchangeda | Unchangeda | 20 ×a |
| T-circles       | ND     | Unchanged | Unchanged |
| G-overhang      | ↑ 1.25 ×a | ↑ 1.5–2 ×a | 2 × |
| Replication restart (%) | ND     | ↑ 30a   | 30     |
| Anaphase bridges| ↑ 2–2.5 ×a | ↑ 2–3 ×a | ↑ 5 ×a |

a Ref. 10.  
b Ref. 12.  
*Denotes differences.

FIGURE 2. TEN1 is needed for telomere integrity. A, telomere FISH on metaphase spreads of shNT, shTEN1–5, or shTEN1–5R cells showing examples of MTS (white arrows) or SFE (red arrows). Green, FITC-telomere probe; blue, DAPI. Chromosomes in insets are enlarged 2-fold. Scale bars are indicated. B, quantification of MTS and SFE (means ± S.D., n = 3). C, γ-H2AX staining combined with telomere FISH on metaphase spreads from shNT (left) or shTEN1–5 (right) cells. White arrows, SFE; yellow arrows, TIFs; green, γ-H2AX; red, Cy3-(C3TA2)3 probe; blue, DAPI. Chromosomes in insets are enlarged 5-fold. Right panel, positive control showing γ-H2AX staining of nuclei after treatment of shNT cells with 2 mM HU for 24 h. Scale bars are indicated. D, chromosome orientation FISH on metaphase spreads showing examples of chromosomes with and without T-SCE. Red, Cy3-(C3TA2)3 probe; green, FITC-(T2AG3)3 probe; blue, DAPI. Scale bars are indicated. E, quantification of T-SCE (means ± S.D., n = 3).
ence of γH2AX, a marker for DNA damage signaling. When we performed combined telomere FISH and γH2AX staining on metaphase spreads, we found that most chromatids lacking telomere FISH signals also lacked γH2AX foci (Fig. 2C), indicating that the telomeres remained at least partially protected. The level of telomere dysfunction-induced foci at telomeres with FISH signals was also unchanged. We next explored whether TEN1 depletion leads to an increase in T-SCE by using chromosome orientation FISH (49) to label newly replicated telomeric G- versus C-strands. However, we did not find a significant difference in T-SCE frequency (Fig. 2, D and E), indicating that TEN1 depletion does not lead to increased telomere recombination.

To ask whether TEN1 depletion leads to similar defects in cells with shorter telomeres, we performed telomere FISH with HCT116 cells (telomere length ~5 kb). As observed after STN1 depletion in cells with short telomeres (12), we did not observe an increase in MTS (data not shown). However, unlike what was observed after STN1 depletion, TEN1 depletion caused a ~2-fold increase in SFE (data not shown). The increased MTS in HeLa cells and SFE in HeLa and HCT116 cells after TEN1 depletion suggest that TEN1 facilitates telomere duplex replication and that this role is most important for cells with long telomeres. The appearance of SFE is particularly interesting because this telomere loss phenotype was not previously observed in HeLa1.2.11 cells after stable CTC1 or STN1 depletion (Table 2). A similar level of SFE was observed after STN1 or TEN1 depletion in HEK 293T cells (27), which suggests some cell line-specific variability in the effects of CST loss (see below).

Recently it has been shown that in mouse cells, decreasing the level of one CST subunit can affect the levels of the other subunits, suggesting that CTC1, STN1, and TEN1 mutually stabilize each other (11, 32, 50). We therefore examined whether this is also true for human TEN1 and STN1. Western blot analysis revealed that in both HCT116 and HeLa1.2.11 cells, TEN1 depletion caused ~45% reduction in STN1. In HeLa cells, STN1 depletion caused a 55% reduction in TEN1 (Fig. 1B and Table 1). Although the reduction in STN1 caused by TEN1 depletion could contribute to the above telomere phenotypes, we have not seen MTS or SFE after this level of STN1 depletion. We were not able to examine CTC1 levels because of a lack of antibodies capable of detecting the endogenous protein. However, because CTC1 interacts with STN1 but not TEN1 (25, 38), we would anticipate a ~45% decline in CTC1 levels in shTEN1 cells because of the decrease in STN1. Again, we have not seen phenotypes with this level of CTC1 depletion.

Telomere Loss after TEN1 Depletion Is Unlikely to Occur via T-circle Release—In mouse cells, complete removal of CTC1 by gene disruption results in extensive telomere loss with a concomitant appearance of T-circles (11). T-circles appear to arise from defective processing of T-loops, and the resulting elimination of telomeric DNA can result in telomere loss (51–53). The above findings led us to ask whether the SFE seen after human TEN1 depletion stem from abnormal T-loop processing. We examined this possibility by using a rolling circle amplification assay to test for increased T-circle formation in HeLa shTEN1–5 cells relative to shTEN1–5R or shNT cells. shSTN1 cells were also examined (but not shCTC1 as the knockdown is unstable). U2OS cells were used as a positive control, because they are ALT cells and have a high level of T-circles (54). Genomic DNA was restriction-digested, denatured, and annealed with a telomeric C-strand primer, and any circular molecules were amplified with Phi29 polymerase. Reaction products were separated in agarose gels and hybridized with a probe to the telomeric C-stand (Fig. 3). As previously observed for ALT cells, two types of T-circle amplification products were present: a low molecular weight product and TRFs.

![Figure 3](image-url)

**Figure 3. Effect of TEN1 depletion on T-circle release.** A and B, Representative gels showing Phi29 amplification products obtained with DNA from shTEN1 or shSTN1 HeLa 1.2.11 (A) or shTEN1 HCT116 (B) cells relative to shNT, shTEN1 rescue, or U2OS controls. The amounts of DNA used as template are indicated. Products visualized by in-gel hybridization with G(T2AG3)3T2AG probe. The positions of Phi29 amplification products and TRFs are marked. No priming, no primer in Phi29 reaction. Similar results were obtained in three independent experiments.
seen with the U2OS samples incubated with Phi29 polymerase (54): one that sticks in the well and one that migrates into the gel. Only TRFs were visible in the absence of polymerase. Amplification products were also present in the well of Phi29-treated HeLa shTEN1 and shSTN1 samples, but the amount of product did not significantly increase from that obtained with the shTEN1–5R and shNT samples (Fig. 3A). The presence of T-circles in the HeLa cells most likely reflects trimming of the long telomeres by T-loop processing (48). Although the above result suggested that TEN1 and STN1 depletion does not lead to increased T-circle release, the high endogenous background might mask small differences in T-circle levels. Because the level of endogenous telomere trimming can be enhanced in cells with long telomeres (48), we repeated the analysis with DNA samples from shTEN1 HCT116 cells because they have shorter telomeres and hence were expected to have a lower level of endogenous T-circle release (Fig. 3B). However, we again saw amplification products in Phi29-treated samples from the control shNT cells, indicating a background level of T-circles. When we performed the assay with samples from pools of TEN1-depleted cells (shTEN1#1 and shTEN1#3), we also saw Phi29 amplification products, but the levels were generally somewhat lower than that observed with the shNT cells. This is the opposite of what would be expected if TEN1 depletion leads to T-circle release. We therefore conclude that the sudden telomere loss seen after TEN1 depletion is unlikely to result from abnormal T-loop processing.

The Effect of TEN1 Depletion on Telomere Length Is Cell Type-specific—The effect of TEN1 depletion on telomere length was examined by Southern blot analysis of TRFs using DNA samples isolated from shTEN1 and shNT HeLa or HCT116 cells after short and long term culture (Fig. 4). Stable depletion of TEN1 mRNA for the duration of the culture period was verified by RT-qPCR. For the HeLa cells, we analyzed TRFs from shTEN1 pools (shTEN1#1, ∼55% knockdown and shTEN1#1+#2, ∼95% knockdown), the shTEN1–5 clone (∼95% knockdown), and the rescue shTEN1–5R. The shTEN1–5 clone could not be monitored until day 45 after introduction of the second shRNA because of its slow growth (Fig. 1C). TRF analysis revealed that ∼55% TEN1 depletion had a minor effect on telomere length (compare shNT and shTEN1#1), and further reduction in TEN1 levels caused a greater decline in telomere length with eventual stabilization at 14 kb (Fig. 4A). This decrease in telomere length was not rescued by expression of
the shRNA-resistant TEN1 allele, suggesting activation of a new telomere length set point following sudden telomere loss. For the HCT116 cells, we examined telomere length in the shTEN1#1 and shTEN1#3 pools (~75% knockdown) and the shNT control (Fig. 4B). In these cells, TEN1 depletion had negligible effect on telomere length.

Our results showing a decline in telomere length in HeLa cells after deep TEN1 depletion (~95%) and our previous finding that STN1 depletion (75–80%) has no effect on telomere length (12) are in contrast to a recent publication indicating that depletion of STN1 (70% or 87%) or TEN1 (83% or 92%) in HT1080 cells caused telomere growth (38). To explore this disparity, we generated pools of HT1080 cells depleted for STN1 (75% knockdown) or TEN1 (95% knockdown) and examined telomere length over the course of 90 days. Interestingly, we also saw a minor increase in telomere length after long term STN1 or TEN1 depletion (Fig. 4C). We then examined the levels of STN1/TEN1 in the knockdown cells and found that they were more tightly co-regulated than in HCT116 or HeLa cells. In HT1080 cells, TEN1 depletion resulted in an ~80% decline in STN1, whereas STN1 depletion caused an ~85% decline in TEN1 (Fig. 1B and Table 1). It is unclear whether the telomere growth in HT1080 cells is related to the co-depletion of STN1 and TEN1. However, these findings demonstrate that the effects of STN1 and TEN1 depletion vary with cell type.

**TEN1 Is Needed for C-strand Fill-in**—Depletion of CTC1 or STN1 causes a 1.5–2-fold increase in G-overhang length that reflects their role in promoting C-strand fill-in following telomerase action (10, 12). To examine whether TEN1 depletion affects G-overhang dynamics in a similar manner, we examined G-overhang status by using nondenaturing in-gel hybridization to assess relative overhang amount (Fig. 5A). Genomic DNA

**FIGURE 5. TEN1 is needed for C-strand fill-in.** A–F, analysis of overhang abundance by in-gel hybridization with (TA_{10})_{4} probe in asynchronous (A–C) and synchronous (D–F) cultures. A and B, representative gels showing G-overhang signal from HeLa (A) or HT1080 (B) cells. C, quantification of A (n = 3, means ± S.D.) and B (n = 2, error bars show maximum/minimum values). D, representative experiment showing overhang signal from shTEN1–5 and shTEN1–5R cells at indicated times after release from G_{1}/S block. E, cell cycle analysis for experiment shown in D. F, quantification of data from four independent experiments (means ± S.E.).
from HeLa shNT, shTEN1–5, and shTEN1–5R cells was restriction-digested, run briefly in agarose gels, and hybridized with a probe to the G-strand. The DNA was then denatured and rehybridized with the same probe. Quantification of overhang signal relative to total telomere signal for each sample revealed that TEN1 depletion caused a ~2-fold increase in overhang amount relative to the shTEN1–5R cells and a ~3-fold increase relative to the shNT control (Fig. 5C). The estimate of a 2-fold increase in overhang signal is probably more accurate because telomere length (and hence the loading control) is similar in the shTEN1–5 and shTEN1–5R cells, whereas the telomeres of the shNT cells are considerably longer (Fig. 4A). Thus, in HeLa cells, CTC1, STN1, and TEN1 knockdown cause a similar increase in overhang abundance (Table 2 and Ref. 10). We repeated the experiment with HT1080 shTEN1 and shNT cells using DNA isolated at 60 days after shRNA transduction (Fig. 4C). We again saw an increase in overhang length in the TEN1-depleted cells (Fig. 5, B and C).

Analysis of overhang length and density during progression of STN1-depleted cells through the S phase demonstrated that the longer overhangs observed after STN1 depletion result from a delay in C-strand fill-in rather than increased overhang extension by telomerase (12). To examine whether this is also the case after TEN1 depletion, we synchronized HeLa shTEN1–5 and shTEN1–5R cells at the G1/S boundary by double thymidine block, released them into the S phase, and isolated genomic DNA at various time points for overhang analysis. In-gel hybridization revealed that the overhangs from TEN1-depleted and TEN1 rescue cells exhibited a similar amount and pattern of elongation during early to mid-S phase (Fig. 5, D and F), the period when most telomeres are extended by telomerase (1). However, the shTEN1 cells exhibited a delay in overhang shortening in late S/G2, the time of C-strand fill-in. These results indicate that like STN1, TEN1 also functions in C-strand fill-in.

**TEN1 Also Has Nontelomeric Roles in Replication Rescue**—Although the above results indicate that TEN1 functions at telomeres in a similar manner to STN1, TEN1 was not identified as a component of the pol α stimulatory factor AAF (31). We therefore asked whether the role of TEN1 is limited to telomeres or if, like STN1, it has a genome-wide function in replication restart after fork stalling. To test for a role in replication restart, shTEN1 cells (HeLa or HCT116) and control cells were treated with HU for 2 h to stall replication; they were then washed to remove the HU and EdU added to label cells that reinitiated replication. The cells were fixed, the EdU was conjugated with fluorophore, and the EdU signal per nucleus was quantified. To compensate for the slower growth of the shTEN1 HeLa cells relative to control cells, we normalized the EdU uptake by HU-treated cells to that of untreated cells harboring the same shRNA. We could then assess whether TEN1 depletion affected the relative amount of EdU uptake. This experiment revealed that the shTEN1 cells exhibited a 30% decrease in EdU uptake after HU release relative to the corresponding shNT or shRNA-resistant cells (Fig. 6, A and B). This was true both for the HeLa shTEN1–5 clone (95% TEN1 depletion) and for the HCT116 pools (shTEN1#1 or shTEN1#3, ~75% TEN1 depletion). Interestingly, the magnitude of the replication restart defect in the shTEN1 cells was similar to that seen after STN1 deletion (Table 2 and Ref. 10). Because the restart defect in HU-treated shSTN1 cells was shown by DNA fiber analysis to be due to an inability to activate new origins following fork stalling (10), our results suggest that TEN1 has a similar role in replication rescue. However, definitive proof will require DNA fiber analysis.

STN1 and CTC1-depleted cells exhibit an increase in anaphase bridges in the absence of telomeres, suggesting that both proteins help resolve various genome-wide replication problems (Table 2 and Ref. 10). To examine whether TEN1 depletion also leads to anaphase bridges, we enriched for anaphase cells by treating HeLa shTEN1–5, shTEN1–5R, and shNT cells with nocodazole; the cells were then released into anaphase and fixed. DAPI staining revealed a ~5-fold increase in anaphase bridges after TEN1 depletion (Fig. 6C). This was largely rescued by the shRNA-resistant allele. Interestingly, the increase in anaphase bridges in the shTEN1 cells was consistently higher than the 2–3-fold increase in STN1-depleted cells (Table 2 and Ref. 10), despite the cells exhibiting a similar decrease in replication restart. It also mirrored the enhanced anaphase bridge phenotype observed in Arabidopsis TEN1 mutants compared with STN1 or CTC1 mutants (44). To assess the extent to which the anaphase bridges might reflect failed sister chromatid separation because of incomplete telomere duplex replication, we performed FISH with a telomere probe to look for the presence of telomeric DNA within the bridges. Although ~40% of the bridges from the shTEN1 cells contained telomere signals, the frequency was similar in the shNT control cells (Fig. 6, D and E). Moreover, in both cell types, the telomere signal appeared as discrete spots in a well stained DAPI bridge rather than the elongated signals that might be expected if the bridges were caused by replication failure in the telomere duplex and subsequent stretching of the intertwined sisters (55). Overall, our results indicate that TEN1 depletion causes genome-wide instability that leads to defects in chromosome segregation.

**DISCUSSION**

Here we present the first comprehensive study of human TEN1 and show that depletion of TEN1 leads to a variety of defects commonly associated with telomere duplex replication, telomeric C-strand fill-in, and genome-wide replication rescue. The overall similarity in phenotypes seen in TEN1-, STN1-, and CTC1-depleted cells suggests the idea that these three proteins function together as a complex both at the telomere as a key component of the telomere replication machinery and elsewhere in the genome during situations of replication stress. However, there are some intriguing differences in the severity of the phenotypes seen after TEN1 depletion relative to STN1 or CTC1 depletion. Currently, it is not possible to tell whether these differences result from more efficient TEN1 knockdown leading to a lower overall level of CST complex formation or whether they reflect the presence of subcomplexes that are differentially affected by loss of TEN1. Nonetheless, the finding that TEN1 depletion preferentially enhances some phenotypes over others (e.g., SFE versus MTS) points to previously unanticipated differences in the underlying cause and hence in the sensitivity of these phenotypes to TEN1 loss.
The appearance of SFE and MTS after TEN1 depletion indicates that TEN1, like STN1, facilitates replication of the telomere duplex because both phenotypes are indicative of problems with replication fork progression (6, 8). Given that TEN1 depletion does not lead to a significant increase in T-circles, our results indicate that the SFE are unrelated to problems associated with T-loop resolution. Thus, it seems likely that SFE and MTS both reflect difficulties in replicating the repetitive G-rich telomeric sequence. However, our finding that TEN1 depletion results in SFE and MTS, whereas STN1 depletion largely causes MTS (Table 2 and Ref. 10), is striking and points to fundamental differences in the underlying cause of SFE and MTS that are disparate in sensitivity to TEN1/CST levels.

Our finding that TEN1 depletion also delays G-overhang shortening in late S/G2 indicates that TEN1 has an independent role in the final steps of telomere replication at the time of G-overhang maturation. We previously observed a similar delay in overhang shortening in STN1-depleted cells and showed that it is caused by a deficiency in C-strand fill-in. Thus, our current results indicate that TEN1 most likely cooperates with STN1 to facilitate C-strand fill-in by DNA pol α. As we see no change in the rate or extent of overhang elongation in early to mid-S phase, our results do not point to a role for TEN1 in direct regulation of either telomerase or nuclease activities.

Although there is strong evidence that budding yeast CST is responsible for positive and negative regulation of telomerase, our previous studies did not uncover a similar role for the human complex. Specifically, we demonstrated that depletion of STN1 in HeLa cells has negligible effect on overall telomere length or the number of repeats added by telomerase in a single cell cycle (12). However, a subsequent publication found that depletion of CTC1, STN1, or TEN1 causes telomere growth in HT1080 cells, and the authors proposed that human CST acts as a direct inhibitor of telomerase (38). Here we have explored this discrepancy by depleting TEN1 (or STN1) in a selection of cancer cells and then examining the effect on telomere length. Interestingly, we also see an increase in telomere length in HT1080 cells, but in other cell types telomere length is unaffected, or we observe an initial telomere shortening followed by length stabilization. Overall, our results indicate that CST levels...
may affect telomere length; however, the mechanism appears complex. One way to explain our findings would be if CST plays an indirect role in telomerase regulation, possibly via interactions with TPP1. CST, POT1, and telomerase can each interact with TPP1, suggesting that TPP1 may act as a hub that regulates access of these factors to the overhang. Thus, after CST depletion, cell type-specific variation in the levels of the remaining factors could tip the balance between whether TPP1 recruits telomerase for overhang elongation or POT1 for overhang sequestration.

The discovery that CST subunits have genome-wide roles in DNA replication is consistent with the original identification of CTC1 and STN1 as subunits of the pol α accessory factor AAF (31). Subsequent studies revealed that CTC1 or STN1 depletion/deletion causes hallmarks of general genome instability and revealed a role for STN1 in replication restart (10, 11, 29). Here we show that depletion of TEN1 causes nontelomeric phenotypes that are similar in nature to those seen after STN1 knockdown, suggesting that TEN1 was overlooked in the original AAF preparations because of its small size. Currently, our understanding of the genome-wide role of CST is very limited. The role of STN1 has been examined after HU-induced replication fork stalling, and in this situation, STN1 helps restart replication by promoting the firing of new origins. However, based on the multiple roles of CST at telomeres, it seems likely that CST or CST subunits will be used to solve a variety of replication problems throughout the genome, and it would not be surprising if the mechanism of action varies with genomic location and the type of defect. Our current studies support this concept as TEN1- and STN1-depleted cells show a similar replication restart deficiency, but TEN1 depletion leads to a higher frequency of anaphase bridges. This finding indicates that the process used to enhance restart of replication after HU release is most likely different from the defect that leads to anaphase bridges because the latter is more sensitive to TEN1 depletion. Thus, our results indicate that TEN1/CST is utilized to solve a wider than expected range of challenges to the replication machinery. Dissection of the differential sensitivity of these challenges to CTC1, STN1, or TEN1 loss will provide a firm basis for exploring the role of CST subunits in the resolution of these various replication problems.
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