Separable functions of wingless in distal and ventral patterning of the Tribolium leg
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Abstract The gene wingless (wg) in Drosophila is an important factor in leg development. During embryonic development wg is involved in the allocation of the limb primordia. During imaginal disk development wg is involved in distal development and it has a separate role in ventral development. The expression pattern of wg is highly conserved in all arthropods (comprising data from insects, myriapods, crustaceans, and chelicerates), suggesting that its function in leg development is also conserved. However, recent work in other insects (e.g. the milkweed bug Oncopeltus fasciatus) argued against a role of wg in leg development. We have studied the role of wg in leg development of the flour beetle Tribolium castaneum. Using stage-specific staggered embryonic RNAi in wild-type and transgenic EGFP expressing enhancer trap lines we are able to demonstrate separable functions of Tribolium wg in distal and in ventral leg development. The distal role affects all podomeres distal to the coxa, whereas the ventral role is restricted to cells along the ventral midline of the legs. In addition, severe leg defects after injection into early embryonic stages are evidence that wg is also involved in proximal development and limb allocation in Tribolium. Our data suggest that the roles of wg in leg development are highly conserved in the holometabolous insects. Further studies will reveal the degree of conservation in other arthropod groups.
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Introduction

The gene wingless (wg) is mainly known for its role in segment polarity specification during body segmentation in Drosophila (reviewed in Sanson 2001). However, wg is also an important factor in Drosophila leg development (e.g., Cohen et al. 1993; Struhl and Basler 1993; Campbell et al. 1993). The leg primordium in Drosophila is already specified in the embryo. At embryonic stage 11 the primordium of the leg is still combined with the wing primordium in the so-called thoracic limb primordium (Cohen et al. 1993). Wg is required for the specification of the entire thoracic limb primordium where it activates the Distal-less (Dll) gene via the early Dll enhancer 304 (Cohen et al. 1993; Kubota et al. 2003; McKay et al. 2009). This early function of Wg is repressed on the dorsal side by Decapentaplegic (Dpp) signaling and on the ventral side by epidermal growth factor receptor signaling (Goto and Hayashi 1997; Kubota et al. 2000), thus restricting the thoracic limb...
primordium to the ventral–lateral side. At embryonic stage 14 the cells of the wing primordium downregulate Wg signaling and separate from the leg primordium (Cohen et al. 1993). The cells of the leg primordium subdivide further into proximal and distal cells. Wg is also involved in this process by activating the late enhancer LT ("leg trigger") of Dil in the distal cells, whereas the proximal cells do not require Wg function at this stage (McKay et al. 2009; Estella and Mann 2008; Estella et al. 2008). This function of Wg is separate from the early Wg function as evidenced by the fact that Wg cooperates with Dpp rather than being repressed by it (Held et al. 1994; Diaz-Benjumea et al. 1994; Penton and Hoffmann 1996; Jiang and Struhl 1996; Theisen et al. 1996; Leclut and Cohen 1997; Theisen et al. 2007). Finally, Wg is then required for the specification of ventral fate in the legs (Struhl and Basler 1993; Theisen et al. 1996; Brook and Cohen 1996; Johnston and Schubiger 1996). This function, however, appears to be mechanistically different from the previous function where Wg protein forms a morphogen gradient. The ventral function of Wg is local and does not require Wg protein to form a morphogen gradient (Diaz-Benjumea and Cohen 1994; Wilder and Perrimon 1995; Theodosiou et al. 1998). Instead, Wg might interact with other local factors to specify ventral fate through its target gene H15 (Wilder and Perrimon 1995). In summary, Wg has three temporally separate and mechanistically different functions during the development of the Drosophila leg. The earliest function specifies and allocates the entire leg primordium including the proximal cells. By contrast, the later function in organizing pattern formation along the proximal–distal axis concerns only distal cells and the proximal cells do not require Wg at this stage. The ventral role, finally, is temporally and functionally separate from the proximal–distal role.

The Wg gene was the first segment polarity gene that has been shown to have a conserved expression pattern in long-germ and short-germ insects (Nagy and Carroll 1994). In the meantime, Wg has been isolated and studied in a number of other arthropods including different insect species (e.g. Jockusch et al. 2000; Niwa et al. 2000; Dearden and Akam 2001; Miyawaki et al. 2004), as well as myriapods (Hughes and Kauffman 2002; Prpic 2004; Janssen et al. 2004), crustaceans (Duman-Scheel et al. 2002; Nulsen and Nagy 1999; Prpic 2008), chelicerates (Damen 2002; Prpic et al. 2003), and an onychophoran (Eriksson et al. 2009). These data show that the expression pattern of Wg in all arthropods is highly conserved suggesting conserved functions. Surprisingly, however, functional tests have so far provided no support for highly conserved functions. Especially the three separate roles of Wg in leg development (allocation, distal, and ventral development) seem not to be conserved. In the milkweed bug Oncopeltus fasciatus and the cricket Gryllus bimaculatus Wg is necessary for normal body segmentation, but does not seem to have a role in leg development (Angelini and Kauffman 2005a; Miyawaki et al. 2004). These two species belong to more basal hemimetabolous insect groups and Angelini and Kauffman (Angelini and Kauffman 2005b) have therefore suggested that the role of Wg in appendage development evolved only later in the holometabolous insects. Previous data from Tribolium castaneum indicated that in this holometabolous insect Wg is indeed required for appendage allocation, because legs were absent after Wg RNAi, but a role of Wg in distal and ventral development remained unclear (Ober and Jockusch 2006). We have therefore studied the temporal requirement of Wg in Tribolium leg development using staggered stage-specific RNAi. Our results show that in Tribolium Wg has three consecutive roles in allocation, distal, and ventral development, like in Drosophila. Our data suggest that the origin of these functions in insect evolution must predate the split between Diptera and Coleoptera.

Materials and methods

Parental and embryonic staggered RNAi

Parental RNAi was performed as described previously (Bucher et al. 2002). The pupae were injected with Wg dsRNA with a concentration of 3,000 ng/µl and incubated at 32°C until eclosion. Eclosed females were mated with wild-type males and the eggs of two consecutive egg lays (24 h each) were collected. For embryonic RNAi, eggs were collected directly after egg deposition and incubated at 25°C until injection (4, 8, 12, and 18 h after egg laying). Injections were performed with beveled borosilicate needles using a micromanipulator and a FemtoJet injection controller (Eppendorf). Injected embryos were incubated at 25°C until hatching or (for in situ expression analysis) until germ band retraction. Removal of the Wg mRNA below the level of detection was confirmed in each case by whole mount in situ hybridization using a Wg probe.

Embryo fixation and in situ hybridization

Embryos for in situ hybridization were dechorionized with a 50% solution of DanKlorix (Colgate-Palmolive) in water and fixed with 4% formaldehyde in a mixture of PEMS (0.1 M Pipes, 2 mM MgSO4, 1 mM EDTA; pH=6.9) and heptane. Vitelline membranes were removed by methanol shock and subsequent shearing through a syringe needle (19 G gauge). Whole-mount in situ hybridization detection of expression of enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) mRNA was performed as described previously (Prpic et al. 2001). After in situ hybridization embryos were fixed with
4% formaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline with 0.02% Tween-20, pH=7.4 and embedded in 80% glycerol for microscopy.

Microscopy and imaging

Analysis of the cuticle markers (leg bristles) was performed with a laser scanning microscope (Zeiss LSM 510). Cuticles and embryos from the in situ hybridizations were observed with differential interference contrast microscopy (Zeiss Axioplan-2). Images were captured with an Intas digital camera and were subjected to adjustment of brightness, contrast, and color values using Adobe Photoshop image processing software (Version 7.0 for Apple Macintosh).

Results

A map of surface cuticle markers for the legs of T. castaneum

In order to identify leg parts in the appendages of Tribolium with morphological markers we obtained a comprehensive map of cuticle markers comprising sensorial bristles and campaniform sensillae (Fig. 1a, b). Each leg segment (podomere) has a characteristic set of cuticle markers. However, not all markers are present in all individuals or on all legs and sometimes the number of certain markers may differ between individuals.

The coxa has 10 cuticle markers, two of which are especially suitable, because they are present in all individuals (denoted by a red dot in Fig. 2). These two markers are bristles, cx-1 and cx-2, that are located close to each other on the anterior dorsal side of the coxa. Two groups of smaller bristles, cx-a and cx-p, are present in most individuals and mark the anterior and posterior part of the coxa, respectively. However, the number of bristles in each group is variable (see Fig. 2). The remaining bristles occur singly (except cx-bp which may be present as a pair) and are present in most individuals. The tiny ventral bristle cx-v, however, is more frequently missing on thoracic leg 1 than on legs 2 and 3 (boxed in Fig. 2). The trochanter has eight cuticle markers most of which are present in all individuals. The best trochanter marker is a group of campaniform sensillae, tr-es, that may comprise two or three members (denoted by a red dot in Fig. 2). The dorsolateral bristle tr-l is less suited, because it is more frequently missing on thoracic legs 1 and 2 than on leg 3 (boxed in Fig. 2). The femur has 10 cuticle markers of which the long ventral bristle fe-v1 is the most conspicuous one and is present in all individuals. The

![Fig. 1 A map of cuticular markers on the thoracic appendages of T castaneum. a Schematic drawing of a leg in dorsal (left) and ventral (right) aspect. The podomeres are indicated on the left side. b Schematic map of a leg opened along the dorsal side. The ventral midline of the leg is indicated by the dotted line. The cuticular markers are named according to their position on a specific podomere. The letters before the dash stand for the podomere, the letters after the dash denote the position on that podomere. For example, fe-pd indicates that this marker is on the femur, and there it is located posterior and distal. Podomere abbreviations: cx coxa, tr trochanter, fe femur, tt tibiotarsus, pt pretarsus (claw). Position abbreviations: v ventral, l dorsal, a anterior, p posterior, b proximal, d distal. Other abbreviations: cs campaniform sensilla](image-url)
remaining bristles are present in most individuals; the two smaller bristles fe-la and fe-lp can serve as markers for the anterior and posterior femur as they are present in all individuals (see Fig. 2). The campaniform sensilla fe-csa and fe-csp are less suited as markers, because they are lacking in a large portion of individuals. The tibiotarsus has 11 cuticle markers of which the thorn-like bristle tt-v and the dorsal campaniform sensilla tt-cs are good markers, because they are present in all individuals. The remaining bristles are present in the majority of individuals. The two dorsal bristles tt-dla and tt-dlp are conspicuous, but one or both may be missing making them less suitable as markers. The claw finally has mostly two tiny bristles (pt-1, pt-2); at least one of them is always present.

The present map of cuticular markers identifies several markers—distributed over most podomeres—that do not display individual variation. These markers are useful morphological landmarks for phenotypic analysis of leg phenotypes in the larva. The remaining markers are present in the majority of individuals. The two dorsal bristles tt-dla and tt-dlp are conspicuous, but one or both may be missing making them less suitable as markers. The claw finally has mostly two tiny bristles (pt-1, pt-2); at least one of them is always present.

The present map of cuticular markers identifies several markers—distributed over most podomeres—that do not display individual variation. These markers are useful morphological landmarks for phenotypic analysis of leg phenotypes in the larva. The remaining markers are present in the majority of wild-type specimens and may be used for phenotypic analysis if the low amount of individual variation is taken into account. Only few markers, especially campaniform sensilla, display higher rates of individual variation and should not be used for phenotypic analysis.

Parental RNAi with Tc-wg

Parental RNAi (pRNAi) in Tribolium has been shown to lead to significant down-regulation of a gene in the progeny of beetles injected at the pupal stage (Bucher et al. 2002). We have performed pRNAi experiments with wg in order to study the role of wg in development. The eclosion rate and the survival of eclosed imagines after wg dsRNA injection (injected pupae: \( n = 118 \)) was similar to the GFP dsRNA control injection (injected pupae: \( n = 55 \)), but slightly lower than in the uninjected control pupae (pupae: \( n = 42 \); Fig. 3a). This indicates that wg pRNAi has no effect on the eclosion rate or the subsequent survival of the imagines, but the injection per se leads to a slightly reduced rate of eclosion and survival. A significant effect was seen in the productivity of the females after injection. The uninjected control females layed approximately 13 eggs per female during the first egg-laying period after eclosion and this rate increased to approximately 17 eggs per female during the second egg-laying period (Fig. 3b, left). In dsGFP-injected females the productivity was lower, probably owing to the injection procedure (Fig. 3b, center). However, productivity was even lower in females injected with wg dsRNA (Fig. 3b, right). Thus, this effect cannot be attributed to injection stress alone, and must have been caused by the wg dsRNA.

The layed eggs were incubated further and the rate of hatching was recorded. In the uninjected controls around half of the eggs were empty shells (hatching rate first egg lay, 61%; second egg lay, 42%; Fig. 3c). The percentage of empty egg shells increased in the GFP dsRNA-injected animals, and finally increased to over 95% in wg dsRNA injected animals (Fig. 3c). All eggs that reached hatching in both controls and also in the experiment gave rise to wild-type larvae. These data indicate that pRNAi with wg is leading to reduced female productivity (probably by interfering with gonad development or oogenesis), is embryonically lethal and the few obtained wild-type larvae in the wg dsRNA-injected animals likely are escapers.

Embryonic RNAi effects after staggered injections

Since the pRNAi experiments lead to either empty egg shells or wild-type cuticles, we turned to staggered embryonic RNAi (eRNAi). eRNAi circumvents the problem of effects already during gonad formation or oogenesis, and by staggering the injections the effects that lead to early embryonic lethality can also be excluded.
We performed the earliest injections 4 h after egg laying. At this time point the embryo is still at a very early stage of development and the cleavage nuclei are in the process of approaching the egg periphery. Not unexpected therefore, these injections led to 90% empty egg shells (Fig. 4b) indicating early embryonic lethality similar to the results of the pRNAi. However, 10% of the larvae developed a cuticle. Three percent of these displayed only irregular cuticular structures (Fig. 5a) that were also present in the control injections (see Fig. 4a), 1% were wildtype, and 6% of the larvae had legs with severely disturbed proximal-distal axis formation (Fig. 5b, c). These results indicate that eRNAi can produce weaker phenotypes than pRNAi and that these weaker phenotypes include severe defects in morphology (including leg formation).

We have therefore performed staggered injections 8, 12, and 18 h after egg laying (these values are approximate values; actual injections may deviate from these values by ±1.5 h). At 8 h of development the embryo has reached the blastoderm stage, and we anticipated to circumvent in this way to interfere with very early wg functions before blastoderm formation. At 12 h of development serosal closure takes place, the thoracic segments have already formed, but the leg primordia are not yet specified. We anticipated that in this way we could avoid to interfere with thoracic segment formation, but affect leg bud formation from the start. At 18 h of development germ band elongation is complete and all segments are formed, and the leg buds are already present. In this way we expected to be able to specifically interfere with late processes of leg development, without affecting segmentation or limb primordium specification. Injections later than 18 h after egg laying were not possible, because the vitelline membrane becomes too rigid and cannot be penetrated with the beveled borosilicate needles used in our experiments without squashing the embryo. The results of the 8-h injections were very similar to the 4-h injections. There was no significant decrease of empty egg shells or increase of proximal-distal leg axis phenotypes (Figs. 4b and 5d–f). The 12-h injections lead to a strong decrease of the amount of empty egg shells, and the occurrence of proximo-distal leg phenotypes increased simultaneously to almost 30%. This proximo-distal leg

![Fig. 4](image-url) Results of the staggered embryonic injections. a Control injections (injection buffer). b wg dsRNA injections. The time after egg laying is given on the x-axis. For details please refer to the text.
phenotypes included specimens with malformed legs that nevertheless were composed of some leg segments (Fig. 6d, f), and specimens with more severely malformed legs (Fig. 6e, g). In these legs it was not possible to confidently establish the identity of the remaining leg portions because the morphology was too severely disturbed and most cuticle markers were absent. However, two additional phenotypes, that we term "candy cane" and "nonpareille" phenotypes, could be analyzed in more detail. In the "nonpareille" phenotype (named for Nonpareils pearls) all remaining leg segments appear rounded (Fig. 6b) and in severe cases they are lined up like pearls on a chain (Fig. 6c). In these phenotypes several cuticular markers were present (Fig. 6b, c) that indicate that the legs comprise a coxa with largely wild-type morphology, a long femur with ectopic constrictions, and a shortened tibiotarsus, and are lacking the claw. Thus, this phenotype reveals severe problems with proximal–distal axis formation distal to the coxa and distal-most structures are even lacking. The severe form of the "nonpareille" phenotype appears to be a "candy cane" phenotype where the podomeres do not form a chain anymore, but are fused into a long cane with a curiously bent distal end (Fig. 6a). Many of these specimens were simultaneously lacking the flagellum on the antennae (not shown).

In the 18-h injections the amount of empty egg shells dropped to control levels (Fig. 4a, b) and the amount of wild-type cuticles increased to almost 30%, indicating that at these late stages many wg-dependent processes are already complete and cannot be disturbed by the injection of wg dsRNA anymore. The overall amount of leg phenotypes remained constant, but the distal phenotype decreased and a novel, weaker phenotype appeared that does not lack distal leg segments. Instead, the claw is abnormally shaped: thin and pin-shaped and without the normal ventral bend (Fig. 7f). This phenotype also lacks the typical marker bristles that grow on, or very close to the ventral midline of the legs: on the femur (fe-v1) and tibiotarsus (tt-v, tt-pv). The lack of the ventral bend of the claw together with the lack of the ventral marker bristles indicates that this phenotype is caused by the loss of ventral tissue in the legs and we have therefore termed this phenotype "ventral-less".

The distal phenotypes of the 18-h injections were similar to the "nonpareille" phenotypes of the 12-h injections, but were weaker in most cases. The weakest cases had all typical podomeres including the claw, but the femur was elongated and thinner than in the wildtype and the tibiotarsus showed ectopic constrictions (Fig. 7d). The intermediate phenotype had normal coxa and trochanter, but a shortened tibiotarsus, no claw and a single ectopic constriction in the femur (Fig. 7b), and the most severe phenotypes were "nonpareille" phenotypes with pearl-shaped tibiotarsus and rounded ectopic subdivisions of the femur, and the trochanter seems to be fused entirely to the coxa (Fig. 7c).
We have then used molecular markers for the ventral and distal tissues in the developing *Tribolium* leg. The GEKU insertional mutagenesis screen has produced about 50 enhancer trap lines with EGFP expression in the legs (Trauner et al. 2009). We have used two of these lines to perform staggered RNAi with *wg*. The line Goe-04609 expresses EGFP mRNA along the ventral side of the legs, and in addition in a segmentally repeated pattern and in the developing heart (Fig. 8a). In embryos injected 18 h after egg laying the expression is largely identical to the expression in the wildtype, but the ventral expression in the legs is lacking (Fig. 8b). This demonstrates that ventral leg tissue is missing. In the embryos injected earlier, the pattern of Goe-04609 is disturbed more severely: the segmental pattern is fused along the anterior–posterior axis and the expression in the heart is missing (Fig. 8c). The line Goe-12407 expresses EGFP mRNA in a ring of cells near the tips of the legs, and in addition in a punctate pattern in the central nervous system (Fig. 8d). In embryos injected 18 h after egg laying the expression is very similar to the expression in the wildtype, but the distal ring in the legs is reduced to a spot of expression on the dorsal side of the legs (Fig. 8e). This indicates that ventral leg tissue is missing. In the embryos injected earlier, the pattern of Goe-12407 is weak (Fig. 8f). However, the expression in the antennae is much stronger, indicating that the enhancer trapped in Goe-12407 is normally repressed in the antenna by *wg*.

**Discussion**

Evidence for a role of *wg* in leg allocation in *Tribolium*

Our experiments with pupal injections were not able to reveal a function of *wg* in leg development. Despite good eclosion and survival rates, the females that eclosed from pupae injected with *wg* dsRNA had a very low productivity and from the few eggs almost no larvae hatched; those larvae that did hatch were wildtype. The low productivity suggests that *wg* might have a role already during oogenesis. The low hatching rate indicates that *wg* has functions early in development that lead to early embryonic lethality and the few wild-type larvae must be escapers that by chance did not receive *wg* dsRNA.

Early injections into eggs lead to similar effects. Most of the eggs of the 4- and 8-h injections were empty or contained irregular cuticular structures similar to those observed by Bolognesi et al. (2008). The few larvae that developed showed severe phenotypes indicating problems with several developmental processes including segmentation. The legs of these larvae were severely malformed. The defects we have observed are very similar to those reported by Ober and Jockusch (2006). These authors also found segmentation defects and severely truncated or missing legs after *wg* RNAi. The specimens figured in their Fig. 2d–g are very similar to our results after early injections after 4 and 8 h. The specimen figured in their Fig. 2i shows...
shortened and severely malformed appendages, which is also very similar to what we have found in the early injections. Ober and Jockusch (2006) have interpreted these phenotypes as support for a role of \( wg \) in appendage allocation. However, because in all of these specimens the segments are also malformed, it is unclear whether the leg defects are true leg developmental defects or secondary defects because of the defective segment formation.

We avoided interfering with the early functions of \( wg \) in body segmentation by applying later injections after 12 and 18 h. The most severe leg phenotypes in these injections are seen in the 12-h injections: the legs are malformed and all parts of the leg are affected including the coxa. This is very similar to the early function of \( wg \) in leg allocation in \( Drosophila \) when \( Wg \) is required for activating the 304 enhancer of \( Dll \) in all cells of the leg primordium including proximal (coxal) cells (Cohen et al. 1993; McKay et al. 2009). We thus interpret the severe malformations in 12-h injections as evidence for a role of \( Tribolium \) \( wg \) in the specification and allocation of the entire leg primordium.

Separate functions of \( wg \) in distal and ventral development

In the "candy cane" and "nonpareille" phenotypes in the 12- and 18-h injections the coxa is not affected indicating that at this stage of development \( wg \) is not required for the development of the proximal leg parts anymore. The distal leg parts, however, are malformed and the distal-most portion, the claw, is missing in most specimens. This distal function is very similar to the distal function in \( Drosophila \) leg imaginal precursors where \( wg \) is required for the development of all leg portions distal to the coxa by activating the LT enhancer of \( Dll \) (Cohen et al. 1993; Estella et al. 2008; McKay et al. 2009). The extra constrictions in the femur or the tibiotarsus in the strong and weak "nonpareille" phenotypes, respectively, are unexpected and their origin is unclear. The normal number of constrictions (future joints) in \( Drosophila \) is specified by the action of the Notch pathway (de Celis et al. 1998; Rauskolb and Irvine 1999; Bishop et al. 1999) which is regulated by the leg gap genes and these are regulated by \( Wg \) signaling in conjunction with \( Dpp \) signaling (Lecuit and Cohen 1997; Estella and Mann 2008). It is
currently unknown whether a similar cascade exists in *Tribolium*, but this is very likely because the role of the Notch pathway in leg segmentation is also conserved in the spider *Cupiennius salei*, a basally branching arthropod (Prpic and Damen 2009). Thus, it is possible that interference with the distal function of *wg* disturbs the normal segmentation of the *Tribolium* legs leading to ectopic constrictions in the distal segments.

The "ventral-less" phenotype appeared only in the 18-h injections. In these legs all segments are normal except that the ventral-most tissue is lacking. This ventral function appears to be separate from the distal function since the "ventral-less" phenotype does not show any distal defects. Again this is very similar to the ventral function of *wg* in *Drosophila* where Wg does not act as a concentration-dependent morphogen, but rather acts locally on the ventral side probably in conjunction with other ventrally expressed factors (Diaz-Benjumea and Cohen 1994; Wilder and Perrimon 1995; Theodosiou et al. 1998).

Conclusions

In summary, our results indicate three separate functions of *wg* in leg development of *Tribolium*, and these functions also are temporally separated. The early function of *wg* is the specification of the entire leg primordium. This is supported by the strong leg defects after the 12-h injections that affect all leg parts including the coxa. Later injections cannot interfere with normal coxa development (the "candy cane" and "nonpareille" phenotypes in which distal leg portions are affected, but the coxa is normal) suggesting that at this stage *wg* has a role in the formation of the entire distal leg portion, but not in the proximal cells anymore. Future studies have to reveal whether this distal function is performed by *wg* as a morphogen in conjunction with *dpp* as the second morphogen as it is the case in *Drosophila* (e.g. Lecuit and Cohen 1997). Finally, the late function of *wg* is the specification of ventral leg cells. This function is independent of the distal function, because in the "ventral-less" phenotype the formation of the proximal–distal axis is not affected. The fact that the effect is restricted to ventral leg cells is evidence that it does not involve a Wg gradient.

Our results indicate that the separate functions of *wg* in leg allocation, distal, and ventral leg development must have evolved before the split between Diptera and Coleoptera. Without further information from more basal insects or non-insect arthropods this suggests that the leg functions of *wg* have evolved in the last common ancestor.
of the holometabolous insects and thus earlier than suggested by Angelini and Kaufman (2005b). In order to exactly pinpoint the origin of the involvement of wg in arthropod leg development, the function of wg has to be studied in additional holo- and hemimetabolous insect species and also in other arthropod groups where functional data are currently lacking.
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