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Abstract
Applying natural language processing (NLP) to medical and clinical texts can bring important social benefits by mining valuable information from unstructured text. A popular application for that purpose is named entity recognition (NER), but the annotation policies of existing clinical corpora have not been standardized across clinical texts of different types. This paper presents an annotation guideline aimed at covering medical documents of various types such as radiography interpretation reports and medical records. Furthermore, the annotation was designed to avoid burdensome requirements related to medical knowledge, thereby enabling corpus development without medical specialists. To achieve these design features, we specifically focus on critical lung diseases to stabilize linguistic patterns in corpora. After annotating around 1100 electronic medical records following the annotation scheme, we demonstrated its feasibility using an NER task. Results suggest that our guideline is applicable to large-scale clinical NLP projects.
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1. Introduction
Electronic medical records (EMR) are now replacing paper-based records in hospitals. Correspondingly, natural language processing (NLP) techniques in the medical field have radically gained in importance. Current NLP in medical fields, however, particularly addresses fundamental tasks, such as named entity (NE) recognition, NE normalization (also known as ontology linking), and event factuality detection. These tasks have been investigated individually, thereby yielding less-standardized task definitions across different studies. Table 1 portrays a summary of existing corpora. As the table shows, the corpora are designed for an idiosyncratic task. Only task-specific annotation was done for each task. For example, the i2b2 Deid corpus includes only personal health information (PHI).

The definition (range) of tags also presents numerous variations. In medical NE recognition (NER) tasks, for instance, disease names are a popular NE category. The definition of ‘diseases,’ however, varies substantially along with downstream applications. On the one hand, disease names refer strictly to diagnoses of patients (Wakamiya et al., 2019; Patel et al., 2018). On the other hand, disease names encompass not only diagnoses, but also symptoms and even complaints (Morita et al., 2013; Aramaki et al., 2014).

Several corpora have been designed for general purposes covering multiple categories. An example is a Japanese medical corpus (Aramaki et al., 2009) consisting of date-time information (TIMEX), diseases, medication (drug names & dosages), test names, and test values, as well as the relation between drug names and their adverse effects. Because this complex corpus covers multiple NE categories, the annotation cost for 435 discharge summaries was extremely high (over 10,000 USD; during two years).

In addition, a French medical corpus, QUAERO (Suominen et al., 2013) uses UMLS[1] semantic categories consisting of anatomy, chemical and drugs, devices, disorders, geographic areas, living organisms, objects, phenomena, physiology, and procedures. Such UMLS-based classification requires deep knowledge encompassing the entire UMLS ontology.

Similarly, for event factuality detection, which aims at assessment of whether a clinical event occurred or not, task setups range from simple binary classification, i.e., POSITIVE or NEGATIVE adopted in (Chapman et al., 2001; Savova et al., 2010; Morita et al., 2013) to more complex five-way classification comprising NEGATION, PURPOSE, SUSPICIOUS OF, POSSIBLE, and OTHER (Aramaki et al., 2009).

To characterize the matter succinctly, no standard annotation scheme exists, especially for non-English languages. The objective of this research is to propose an annotation scheme that is useful across various tasks in different languages.

A recent study (Patel et al., 2018) has proposed general-purpose annotation guidelines which covers several domains and formats. Most existing schemes including them, however, presume that the annotators have a high level of medical and linguistic knowledge. Considering the high costs and burdens of employing medical staff, we also intend to design a guideline that is feasible for use by people with less clinical expertise.

We specifically examine two difficult diseases that have high death rates in Japan: lung cancer and idiopathic pul-
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[1] https://www.nlm.nih.gov/research/umls/index.html
We assume no speciﬁcity (versatility). In other words, by virtue of target disease ﬁxation, we awaken us to what domain speciﬁcity we relied on afterwards, which is expected to contribute to future domain versatility. The resulting annotation might instead stabilize linguistic patterns in corpora, and thereby provides a basis for designing a task-independent annotation scheme and makes annotation procedures more independent of workers’ clinical knowledge. The resulting annotation might instead stabilize linguistic patterns in corpora, and thereby provides a basis for designing a task-independent annotation scheme and makes annotation procedures more independent of workers’ clinical knowledge.

The NER results for the annotated corpora demonstrate the quality and feasibility of the proposed scheme.

This emphasis enables us to reduce annotation costs, even by people who have little medical knowledge. To achieve various objectives, we merged the medical categories that have already been proposed. Then we simplify them.

Feasibility without medical knowledge – We speciﬁcally examine grammatical clues, which can be inferred even by people who have little medical knowledge. This emphasis enables us to reduce annotation costs, which are often high because of the necessity of employing medical experts.

This paper presents our annotation guidelines, which we applied to actual EMRs written in Japanese, one of the languages with relatively few available medical corpora. The NER results for the annotated corpora demonstrate the quality and feasibility of the proposed scheme.

2. Annotation Schemes and Guidelines

2.1. Format

Corpora annotated according to our guidelines follow the XML standard. Each clinical entity forms XML tags. Some entities have their own attributes. Our basic policy to annotate entities is to mark expressions in a large (long) unit. We apply some rules to annotate expressions as a single entity if the expression comprises several entity types. Entity types such as “diseases and symptoms” and “time expressions” are assigned priority over others. Technical medical terms should be annotated as one certain entity, as mentioned later in Section 2.2. This annotation also enables us to capture frequent compositions. In some domains of EMR, tracking such frequently appearing compositions is more useful for end applications than keeping small entity units. We elaborate on the particular beneﬁts of this policy for each particular entity section below. We carefully designed these tags based on discussion with medical experts and trial annotations on a small set of medical records.

### 2.2. Entity types

**Diseases and symptoms**: One main entity in medical and clinical texts includes expressions related to diseases and symptoms, such as ‘tumors’ and ‘coughs.’ For this entity, we consider its certainty as the attribute, i.e., whether the disease or symptom is conﬁrmed to exist in the patient at the time of EMR writing: positive, suspicious, negative, and general. This can describe the existence of diseases and symptoms, which is useful for mining large EMR corpora to generate, e.g., patient medical history without a need for deeper NLP techniques such as dependency parsing or semantic role labeling. The certainty ‘general’ is applied when the disease or symptom expression is presented merely as a reference and not as actually occurring in the patient. Particularly for the other values (i.e., positive, suspicious, negative), our guidelines tell annotators to examine the predicates of medical descriptions speciﬁcally. Details are explained below:

- For a predicate indicating existence, such as “X is recognized,” “X is apparent,” or “Xs are diffused,” assign “positive”
- For a predicate indicating uncertainty, such as “X is suspicious,” “X cannot be denied,” “It could be X,” or “X should be considered in the differential diagnosis,” assign “suspicious”
- For a predicate indicating nonexistence, such as “X is not recognized,” “No X,” or “Xs have disappeared,” assign “negative”

When appending this tag to TNM classiﬁcation of Malignant Tumors, which is a globally recognized standard for classifying the extent of spread of cancer, one can merely use <D> tag without its certainty.

### Table 1: Summary of Related Work (NER, Named Entity Task; REL, relation; SIM, similarity estimation; IE, information extraction; and CODING, (ICD) coding)

| Corpus          | Language | Task                  | Description                  |
|-----------------|----------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| MIMIC           | English  | NER                   | Clinical Text in ICU         |
| 2006 – i2b2     | English  | NER                   | De-identification & Smoking  |
| 2008 – i2b2     | English  | NER                   | Obesity                      |
| 2009 – i2b2     | English  | IE                    | Medication                   |
| 2010 – i2b2     | English  | REL                   | Relations                    |
| 2011 – i2b2     | English  | REL                   | Coreference                   |
| 2012 – i2b2     | English  | REL                   | Temporal Relations           |
| 2014 – i2b2     | English  | NER                   | De-identification & Heart Disease |
| 2019 – n2c2/OHNLP | English | SIM                 | Clinical narratives            |
| NTCIR10 MedNLP  | Japanese | NER (PHI+disease name)| Case Report                  |
| NTCIR11 MedNLP2 | Japanese | NER + CODING          | Case Report                  |
| NTCIR12 MedNLPDoc | Japanese | CODING               | Discharge Summary            |
| UTH-FX          | Japanese | NER (V.A.)            | Discharge Summary            |
| ShARE           | English  | NER                   | V.A.                         |
| QUAERO           | French   | NER                   | Research Paper               |
| Patel et al., 2019 | English | NER + REL            | Six domains and six report types |
Examples:
- There is \(<D \text{ certainty=}"positive"/>a mucus plug</\D> in \(<A/>the peripheral bronchus</A>.
- From a first phase image, \(<D \text{ certainty=}"suspicious"/>an organizing pneumonia</D> is suspected.
- No \(<D \text{ certainty=}"negative"/>pleural effusion</D>.
- I explained \(<D \text{ certainty=}"general"/>IP</D> by a pamphlet.
- I consider it \(<D \text{ certainty=}"positive"/>primary lung cancer</D>. \(<C/>\text{CT}1</C>.

Anatomical entities \(<A/>\):
We label expressions specifying anatomical parts of the body such as ‘lung,’ ‘stomach,’ and ‘kidney.’ Relative expressions such as ‘inside,’ ‘edge,’ and ‘right under’ are also annotated as this entity type. We treat complex words such as ‘left third rib’ and ‘both lung lower superior’ as independent entities rather than decomposing them. This treatment helps to keep track of anatomical locations within the entity itself. Otherwise, it might become necessary to conduct dependency parsing to reconstruct the entity.

Examples:
- \(<D \text{ certainty=}"positive"/>Small lymph nodes</D> are diffused</F> in \(<A/>the right lung</A>.
- \(<D \text{ certainty=}"positive"/>A part of solid nodule</D> is recognized \(<A/>along the verge of the internal cavitation</A>.

Features and measurements \(<F/>\):
We identify expressions which describe disease characteristics and symptoms such as their values, degrees, ranges, amounts, and sizes. Grammatical clues to annotate this entity type are stem words of predicates and modifiers including verbal nouns.

Examples:
- A \(<F/>well-defined and smooth margined</F> \(<D \text{ certainty=}"positive"/>nodule shadow</D> is recognized.
- A \(<F/>slight</F> \(<D \text{ certainty=}"positive"/>shadow</D> is observed.
- \(<D \text{ certainty=}"positive"/>Small lymph nodes</D> are diffused in \(<A/>the right lung</A>.

Change \(<C/>\):
Expressions stating changes of diseases and symptoms, or values of test items and medicines are annotated as this entity type. Expressions such as ‘not changed’ and ‘no remarkable change’ are also annotated as this entity type because it is also important information for diagnosis that a certain disease or symptom has not changed. We merge them into \(<C/>\) (e.g., ‘slightly increasing’) if feature-describing expressions appear immediately prior. However, even if the term ‘change’ is used, some instances are treated as an expression of a disease or symptom, such as ‘change after fracture.’ In addition, even if it represents a change, such as ‘contractive change,’ which forms a noun phrase highly related to a disease/symptom, this entity type is not used; instead we use the entity type “diseases and symptoms.”

Examples:
- It \(<C/>has increased</C> from \(<TIMEX3 \text{ type=}"DATE"/>last time</TIMEX3>.
- \(<D \text{ certainty=}"negative"/>The pneumothorax cyst</D> at \(<A/>the left lung apex</A> \(<C/>has disappeared</C>.
- \(<C/>No remarkable change</C> from \(<TIMEX3 \text{ type=}"DATE"/>last time</TIMEX3>.

TIMEX3:
We adopt TIMEX3, a standard scheme for time expressions (Pustejovsky et al., 2003), for EMR corpora. It has a \text{type attribute} that distinguishes the kind of time expression: ‘date,’ ‘time,’ ‘duration,’ and ‘set.’ We extend these \text{type attributes} by adding clinical context (‘cc’), age (‘age’), and miscellaneous (‘misc’) attributes. For cc and age, we deal with ‘after surgery’ and ‘48 years old,’ respectively, whereas misc encompasses cases in which all other types do not match well but where the entity is apparently a time expression. As the examples below illustrate, “3 months later” and “2 years ago” should be annotated together, whereas “from H35 8/3” is annotated without “from,” because the latter is not regarded as a phase. This entity type is not annotated only to time expressions such as “after,” but also in units of compound words such as “after surgery” and “after resection.”

Examples:
- It \(<C/>has increased</C> from \(<TIMEX3 \text{ type=}"DATE"/>last time</TIMEX3>.
- In \(<TIMEX3 \text{ type=}"TIME"/>the morning</TIMEX3>, he has \(<D \text{ certainty=}"positive"/>difficulty opening</D> his \(<A/>right eyelid</A>.
- \(<T-key/>Smoking</T-key> \(<T-val/>20 / \text{day}</T-val> \times \langleTIMEX3 \text{ type=}"DURATION"/40 \text{years}</TIMEX3>. He \(<T-val/>quit smoking</T-val> at \(<TIMEX3 \text{ type=}"AGE"/>59 \text{years old}</TIMEX3>.
- Getting a \(<D \text{ certainty=}"positive"/>fever</D> frequently</TIMEX3>.
- \(<TIMEX3 \text{ type=}"CC"/>Postoperatively</TIMEX3>, he has \(<D \text{ certainty=}"positive"/>shortness of breath</D> with stairs or trotting.

Test \(<T/>\):
This entity type comprises three sub-entities: \(<T-test/>\), \(<T-key/>\), and \(<T-val/>\). We refer to them generically as \(<T/>\), but we do not allow standalone \(<T/> annotation; annotators must label one of \(<T-test/key/val/>\). T-test denotes general names of clinical tests such as ‘CT scan.’ \(<T-key/>\) and \(<T-val/>\) are fundamentally assumed to appear as a pair: a test entry and its measured value, respectively. Sometimes one \(<T-key/>\) has several \(<T-val/>\s. In addition, \(<T-test/>\) has the \text{state attribute} for distinguishing whether the test is conducted: ‘executed,’ ‘scheduled,’ ‘negated’ (not conducted), and ‘other.’ This attribute is used

\footnote{“Smoking” is a clinical interview items to be attached \(<T-key/>\) tags. “20 / day \times 40 \text{years} and “He quit smoking at 59 years old” are results to be assigned \(<T-val/>\) tags. The \(<T-val/>\) tag, however, is added to the remaining words after adding \(<TIMEX3/>\) tag according to the basic policy in our annotation guidelines, i.e., “a nested structure is not allowed” and “TIMEX3 is assigned priority over other tags.”}
based on the document time. The state ‘other’ is applied to exceptional cases. For the decision of the state, the SOAP structure in medical records is useful as a hint.

Examples:
- `<T-test state="executed">Chest CT</T-test>`
- `<T-key>FEV1</T-key>: <T-val>1.97L (80.0%)</T-val>`

Medicine `<M>`: Similarly to `<T>`, two tags constitute this entity, which represents medications: `<M-key>` and `<M-val>`. The former is used for labeling medicine names. The latter is applied to the amount of the corresponding `<M-key>` medicine dosage. `<M-key>` has the state attribute with the same specification as that of `<T-test>`.

When multiple state-related expressions appear around medications within a sentence, the last applicable state attribute is applied.

Examples:
- Planning to dose him up with `<M-key state="scheduled">"Pulmocare</M-key>` `<M-val>100ml/1hr</M-val>` from `<TIMEX3 type="DATE">tomorrow</TIMEX3>`.
- At `<TIMEX3 type="DATE">2027/7</TIMEX3>, `<M-key state="negated">Firespa</M-key>` `<M-val>1200 mg</M-val>` had been caused `<D certainty="positive">anorexia</D>`, so it was abandoned. (In this example, add the state attribute of “negated” because the final state of medication is “abandoned.”)

Remedy `<R>`: We annotate the expressions of medical treatments (e.g., ‘defibrillation’ and ‘abscission’) using this entity type. It has `state` attributes similar to `<T-test/key>` and `<M-key>`.

We can differentiate `<R>` from `<T>` and `<M>` by non-accompaniment of ‘values.’

Examples:
- `<T-test state="executed">"CAG</T-test>` was implemented but `<R state="negated">"PCI</R>` was not performed.
- `<TIMEX3 type="cc">"After operation</TIMEX3>` of `<R state="executed">"resection of superior lobe of left lung in the region of chest</R>`.

Clinical Context `<CC>`: In EMR, the state of patients in relation to the hospital is described frequently, such as ‘rehospitalization’ and ‘follow up.’ We also apply the state attribute to this tag.

Examples:
- He `<CC state="executed">"was going</CC>` to the cardiovascular clinic.
- He is going to `<CC state="scheduled">"be discharged from the hospital</CC>` at `<TIMEX3 type="DATE">this weekend (8/27)</TIMEX3>`.

Pending `<P>`: Annotators sometimes tentatively find some expressions that are apparently clinical terms, such as abbreviations (e.g., “‘LNs’ are found”). We allow annotators to label such expressions using this `<T>` tag to skip, if confident references are not found. After the annotation, these pending expressions can be resolved with assistance by someone with medical expertise.

Examples:
- `<A>Directly under the pleura</A>` was `<P>spared</P>`.
- `<A>Within the mediastinum</A>, `<P>LNs</P>` up to `<F>minor axis 4cm</F>` are recognized.

2.3. Annotation Procedures

We have developed a systematic annotation procedure that allows even annotators who have little medical knowledge to annotate EMR texts. Fundamentally, the annotation scheme asks annotators to label a span of sentences one by one if they find an entity that matches our definitions presented above. The following guidelines also support annotators to label entities without extensive medical knowledge, while maintaining coherent annotation:

1. nested structures in which another tag is labeled inside one tag are not allowed; in other words, technical medical terms should be annotated as a single entity
2. most informative entity types such as “diseases and symptoms `<D>`” and “time expressions `<TIMEX3>`” are assigned priority over others
3. an easy-to-use reference dictionary for diseases and symptoms such as J-MeDic (Ito et al., 2018) is used when annotators are not confident about the exact span of the entity
4. annotators can take a longer span of a single entity if unsure, especially under the case of complex compound words

Although these guidelines might reduce the granularity of annotated entities, it can be controlled after the annotation is completed. It is noteworthy that the granularity, range, and definition of entities depends on the downstream application. We instead assign importance to the ease of labeling for non-medical professionals. Overly finer-grained annotation might impose burdens, especially for such workers.

2.4. Technical comparison to major existing schemes and guidelines

Patel et al. (2018) proposed a similar annotation scheme. Our scheme merges some of their individual tags for simplicity. For example, their scheme splits `<D>` into “Problem” (i.e., major problem) and “Finding” (minor problem), which might be difficult to distinguish even with medical knowledge. They might therefore cause incoherent annotation. `<T-test>` and `<T-key>` of our scheme corresponds to “lab data,” “body measurement,” and “medical device” in their definition, which makes sense for two reasons. (a) The granularity of these concepts depends on the end clinical applications. It can be restored afterwards, almost automatically using medical dictionaries or manually by the assessment of clinical professionals with a light load. (b) These concepts appeared much less frequently than the other tags, according to their result.

In addition, the `<D>` attribute we defined enables us to conduct, for example, disease state recognition without conducting syntax or relation annotation, which usually requires much more complex labeling effort than entity tagging. This capability is particularly useful for generating structured data tables from medical documents (Aramaki et al., 2018).
3. Pilot Experiment

We applied our guidelines to actual clinical text. This section presents a description of corpus statistics, annotation costs, and results of a preliminary experiment with NER.

3.1. Material

Among the various types of EMR text used in hospitals, this study targets two types: (1) medical records and (2) radiography interpretation reports. We employed the same annotation scheme for them. We are ordering annotation for around 400 medical records and around 1400 radiography reports. Examples of the corpora are presented in Table 2. Table 3 presents statistics of some already annotated corpora: 156 for medical records and 1000 for radiography reports. The results reflect the report-type characteristics: medical records include rich time expressions and treatment information of patient histories; radiography reports intensively describe diseases of particular anatomical locations with features based on radiographs.

In Table 2, the count of appearing attributes is reported. It also shows the characteristics of the report types. For certainty in <D>, medical records are primarily about found disease (positive) whereas radiography reports put as much importance on non-existence of disease (negative) as actual findings (positive). Note that the sum does not equal to the total <D> occurrence because no certainty annotation is permitted for TNM notations. For type in <TIMEX3>, medical records again show the rich diversity in time expressions. For state, finally, medical records are relatively characterized by more scheduling expressions in contrast to radiography reports.

3.2. Annotation cost

In the Japanese annotation market, for example, annotation by medical expertise costs around 4,000 JPY per medical record. Because professional medical knowledge is unnecessary for our annotation guidelines, we can order the annotation to the labeling companies not specialized in clinical text. In fact, our cost is less than the amount (i.e., 3,000 JPY per medical record; 25% cost reduction from 4,000 JPY) required by general workers to do the annotation. Furthermore, the period to annotate 156 medical records by one person was three weeks (83 hours in total), which can be regarded as reasonably short; recall that clinically professional annotations on 435 discharge summaries cost more than 10,000 USD and two years (see Section 1).

3.3. Named Entity Recognition

To estimate corpus quality, we experimentally applied NER to the annotated corpora. We chose radiography interpretation reports for this experiment because of the current availability of a sufficient amount of annotated data. In this experiment, where a trained model labels clinical tags we defined, we skip estimating the attributes of these tags, such as certainty, for brevity.\(^5\)

We adopt BERT (Devlin et al., 2019), a state-of-the-art NER model based on transfer learning. Transfer learning in the NLP field refers to a set of methods that explore various pre-training methods to capture contextualized word representations from large-scale raw text data and to generalize (i.e., fine-tune) pre-trained information to target tasks or other text domains. During the past two years, several successful transfer learning models, e.g., ELMo, GPT, and BERT (Peters et al., 2018; Radford et al., 2018; Devlin et al., 2019), have been proposed. They have markedly improved the state-of-the-art of widely various NLP tasks.

Next we explain details of the application of the pre-trained Japanese BERT (Shibata et al., 2019) to our NER task. Japanese language uses no space to delimit words in sentences. To segment sentences into words, we first applied morphological analysis (Tolmachev et al., 2018). Japanese BERT further applies the byte-pair encoding model to tokenized words into sub-words. As a pilot experiment, we followed the standard BERT practice to formulate NER as a sequential tagging task. The last-layer representations of sub-words are fed into the NER label classifier. The following fine-tuned hyper-parameters were empirically applied according to the original BERT paper:

- **Batch size:** 16
- **Number of epochs:** 5
- **Learning rate:** 5e-5

We split the 1000 medical records randomly into 80% training data and 20% test data. We applied the ‘BIO’ position tags for experiments. We compared our BERT classifier to a strong baseline bidirectional LSTM model with CRF constraints (Huang et al., 2015; Lample et al., 2016) for measuring the relative performance. The baseline adopted the word2vec (Mikolov et al., 2013) embedding pre-trained on Japanese Wikipedia, hidden size equal to 256 and batch equal to 64. The optimizer setting is the same as the BERT classifier.

Table 5 presents the results of our BERT-based NER classifier. Our model produced satisfactory performance when recognizing medical tags from medical records. It achieved 95.30 overall F-score, significantly outperforming the baseline in all the types of medical tags. For the most frequently used tags, our model achieved F-scores of 93–96. Both the BERT classifier and baseline achieved high classification performance, which underscored the high quality of our proposed annotation scheme for medical record data. We also observed that some tags, such as <T-key>, <T-val>, <CC>, and <H>, rarely appeared among our 1000 radiography interpretation reports. We assume the tag distribution strongly depends on the types of medical records. Further exploration will present interesting topics when we annotate medical records of other types in future work.

Transfer learning models usually lean towards over-fitting in a small number of fine-tuning epochs, because they are already pre-trained over large steps or epochs. For the BERT classifier, we additionally report the training losses and test F-scores over fine-tuning epochs. As shown in Figure 1, the epoch loss is continuously dropping during train-

---

\(^5\) One solution to attribute-level NER is to train another model that predicts attributes from the tag-level NER result.

---

\(^6\) [https://github.com/ku-nlp/jumanpp](https://github.com/ku-nlp/jumanpp)
Table 2: Examples of annotated corpora: Medical records & Radiography interpretation reports

| Medical records | Radiography interpretation reports |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
| S) When exercising, can not walk long, breathing. Want to be cured and go home early | It will be taken asymptotically. After 6 months, glomeruli will improve neoplastic lesions percutaneously. Abdominal pain. Diarrhea. CT scan showed uneven contrast in the bladder, large intestine, gallbladder artery (SMV), and ascending colon. The gallstone caused deterioration of the general condition. Clean water exchange and high-dose infusion were started. Subsequently, emergency surgery was performed on lower left extremity stenosis on the day of lower stomach hypogastric. During the surgery, hemorrhage symptoms disappeared. Aggravated dyspnea was recognized by administering aflatinb on the first day of steroid treatment during surgery. On day 33 of disease, superior mesenteric vein splenic deterioration was confirmed by contrast-enhanced CT. |
| O) Vital signs (blood pressure: 126/84, pulse rate: 78 / min, respiratory rate: 16 / min), chest breathing, increased activity of respiratory assist muscles, auscultation to listen to a rale in S2, 6.10, 6 min walk test: 240 m, MRC: Grade 3, F-H-J Classification: III degree Summary: Breathing difficulty, respiratory muscle weakness, and inefficient breathing caused dyspnea. Walking distance decreased. Short-term goal (after 2 weeks): Reducing dyspnea by reducing dryness and learning breathing (modified Borg scale 7 to 4). Long-term goal (1 month later): Further reduction of dyspnea (modified Borg scale 4 to 2), extending walking distance and home discharge | (1) Exclusion training, (2) Breathing assistance method, (3) Breathing method guidance at rest and walking, (4) Voluntary training guidance |
| P) | |

Table 3: Corpus statistics

| Medical records | Radiography reports |
|-----------------|---------------------|
| Total documents annotated | 156 | 1000 |
| Average sentence count per document | 30.89 | 13.36 |
| Average word count per document | 268.73 | 142.02 |
| **Total tag count** | | |
| Disease | 2008 | 13897 |
| Anatomical | 742 | 7123 |
| Feature | 325 | 5345 |
| Change | 678 | 1100 |
| TIMEX3 | 1820 | 1550 |
| T-Test | 716 | 852 |
| T-Key | 1957 | 40 |
| T-Val | 2116 | 3 |
| M-Key | 399 | 0 |
| M-Val | 170 | 0 |
| Remedy | 439 | 137 |
| Clinical Context | 331 | 28 |

while obtaining close to the best F-score 95.30 in the 4th and 5th epochs. To be clarified, the best epoch setting should be estimated based on the validation set in practice. However, as a preliminary experiment, we directly reported the test F-scores. The results suggested the model did not over-fit the training data in 5 epochs.

4. Conclusion

We proposed an annotation guideline for medical and clinical texts with two crucially important benefits: capability of supporting various downstream NLP tasks, and feasible use without medical expertise of annotators. The proposed annotation comprises two levels of clinical information: (1) the Named Entities (NE) level, i.e., disease names, time expressions, and clinical verbs; and (2) modality level, i.e., positive vs. negative, suspicious, and general NE factuality. This paper also described preliminary results of NE Recognition. Its performance was satisfactorily high, supporting the quality of our annotation guidelines. This annotation is expected to be widely applicable to large-scale medical NLP research. For future studies, we plan to publish the corpus with the proposed annotation scheme. We specifically examined lung cancer and IPF as target diseases in the corpora. As one future direction of study to enhance its versatility, we expect to extend our scheme to other diseases. We intend to analyze other clinical corpora including our own to obtain greater insight into means of extending the scheme.
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Table 4: Attributes statistics.

| Disease: certainty | Medical records | Radiography reports |
|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| positive          | 1407           | 5941              |
| negative          | 258            | 5491              |
| suspicious        | 283            | 1155              |
| general           | 60             | -                 |

| TIMEX3: type      |                |                   |
| DATE              | 1378           | 1187              |
| DURATION          | 94             | 3                 |
| CC                | 144            | 358               |
| TIME              | 142            | -                 |
| AGE               | 34             | -                 |
| SET               | 28             | -                 |
| MISC              | -              | 1                 |

| Test/Medicine/Remedy/CC: state |                |                   |
| executed                     | 1091           | 870               |
| scheduled                    | 259            | 2                 |
| negated                      | 36             | 1                 |
| other                        | 100            | 144               |

Table 5: NER results of our BERT-based classifier. ‘Baseline’ denotes the F-score of the baseline system.

| Tag                   | Precision | Recall | F-score | Baseline |
|-----------------------|-----------|--------|---------|----------|
| Diseases              | 95.90%    | 96.83% | 96.36   | 95.44    |
| Anatomical            | 95.08%    | 95.93% | 95.50   | 94.21    |
| Features              | 92.48%    | 94.77% | 93.61   | 93.09    |
| Change                | 88.56%    | 91.67% | 90.09   | 89.73    |
| TIMEX3                | 95.22%    | 97.39% | 96.30   | 95.27    |
| T-Test                | 94.80%    | 95.35% | 95.07   | 92.13    |
| T-Key                 | 66.67%    | 100.00%| 80.00   | 66.67    |
| T-Val                 | -         | -      | -       | -        |
| Remedy                | 81.48%    | 81.48% | 81.48   | 63.64    |
| Clinical Con.         | 83.33%    | 71.43% | 76.92   | 44.44    |

Overall 94.65% 95.95% 95.30% 94.26

Figure 1: Training loss and test F-score over fine-tuning epochs.
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