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Antioxidant and Preventive Effects of Extract from Nymphaea candida Flower on In Vitro Immunological Liver Injury of Rat Primary Hepatocyte Cultures
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Nymphaea candida is traditional Uighur medicine that is commonly used to treat head pains, cough, hepatitis and hypertension in Xinjiang of China. In this article, the extract of N. candida was measured for antioxidant activity, using 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radicals scavenging assay and reducing power determination, and compared with those of the positive controls of butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) and gallic acid (GA). The active extract was further purified by liquid-liquid partition to afford four fractions, of which the ethyl acetate-soluble (EA) fraction (NCE) exhibited the strongest antioxidant capacity with IC50 value of 12.6 μg/mL for DPPH. Thirteen phenolic compounds were isolated from this fraction, and they all showed significant antioxidant activities in DPPH model system. Furthermore, NCE showed potent antioxidant capacity with IC50 value of 59.32 μg/mL, 24.48 μg/mL and 86.85 μg/mL for O2−, ·OH and H2O2 radicals, respectively. Moreover, NCE on BCG plus LPS-induced immunological liver injury was evaluated using primary cultured rat hepatocytes. NCE produced significant hepatoprotective effects as evidenced by decreased supernatant enzyme activities (AST—aspartate transaminase, P < .01; ALT—alanine transferase, P < .01) and nitric oxide (NO, P < .01) production. These results revealed the in vitro antioxidant and hepatoprotective activities of NCE against immunological liver injury. Further investigations are necessary to verify these activities in vivo.

1. Introduction

Liver is considered a key organ in the metabolism, secretion, storage and detoxifying functions in the body, and hepatic damage is associated with distortion of these functions [1]. Liver diseases are mainly caused by toxic chemicals, excess consumption of alcohol, infections and autoimmune disorders. Liver produces large amounts of oxygen free radicals (reactive oxygen species (ROS)) in the course of detoxifying xenobiotic and toxic substances, and oxidative stress caused by ROS has been shown to be linked to liver diseases, such as hepatotoxicity, and other liver pathological conditions [2, 3]. The immunological hepatotoxicity of primary cultured rat hepatocytes can be induced by Bacille Calmette-Guerin (BCG) combined lipopolysaccharide (LPS) treatment in vitro, and this model has implicated the involvement of release of various cytokines and active free radicals [4, 5]. Thus, immunological mechanisms and oxidative stress play important role in liver injury induced by BCG plus LPS [6]. At present, this model has frequently been used as useful experimental means for testing and developing new drugs [7–9].

Nymphaea candida Presl (or snow-white waterlily) is a herbaceous hydrophyte native to the southern Xinjiang province in China, and the flowers of N. candida has been used as a folk medicine for head pains, common cold, cough, hepatitis and hypertension [10]. There are 35 species from Nymphaea genus, and distribute widely in tropical, subtropical, temperature area [11]. Polyphenol were mainly characteristic compounds in Nymphaea genus [12], and these compounds were enriched by the method of ethyl acetate extracting [13]. In recent years, N. stellata,
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals and Reagents. 1,1-Diphenyl-2-picolrylhydrazyl (DPPH), butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), Collagenase (type IV), lipopolysaccharide (LPS, E. coli 055:B5) and 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyLtetrazolium bromide (MTT) were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St Louis, MO, USA). Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) was purchased from Gibco Co. (Carlsbad, USA). BCG vaccine (Batch No 2007030502, expiry date 6 May 2008) was purchased from Shanghai Institute of Biological Products (Shanghai, China). Glycyrrhizin (Grz) was obtained from Chia Tai Tianqing pharmaceutical Co. Assay kits for aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) were provided by Zhongsheng Tech. (Beijing, China). Commercial kits used for determining nitric oxide (NO) activity were obtained from the Jiansheng Institute of Biotechnology (Wuhan, China). Other chemicals and organic solvents were of analytical grade and were purchased from a local reagent retailer.

2.2. Animals. Sprague-Dawley rats (male 200 ± 20 g, grade SPF, Certificate no SYXK (Xin) 2003-0001, Experimental Animal Center, Xinjiang Medical University) were used for the study. The animals were fed with a standard laboratory diet and housed in an air-conditioned room, and kept at 22 ± 1°C, 55% ± 5% humidity with a 12 hours light/dark cycle.

2.3. Plant Material. The flowers of N. candida were collected from Hetian, Xinjiang Uighur Autonomous Region, China, in August, 2005. The plant materials were identified by Researcher Yan Fu Zhang, Institute of Materia Medica of Xingjiang. A voucher specimen (no. 20050810) was deposited at the Institute of Materia Medica of Xinjiang in China.

2.4. Preparation of NCE and Isolation of Phenolic Compounds. The flowers were shade-dried and powdered. One kilogram of the powdered flowers was extracted with ethanol under reflux for 2 hours, and the solvent was evaporated under vacuum to afford ethanol extract (NCA). NCA was then suspended in water and successively treated with petroleum, ethyl acetate and n-butanol. The solvents were evaporated to afford petroleum, ethyl acetate, n-butanol (NCB) and aqueous residue (NCW) fractions respectively, of which the ethyl acetate fraction (NCE) was 8.6% (w/w) of starting material and was designated to be employed for the experiments. Furthermore, NCE (40 g) was chromatographed over polyamide (500 g, 30–60 mesh) with a gradient solvent system of MeOH–H2O (0:1–1:0). One hundred and twenty fractions were collected after combination by TLC guidance and repeated column chromatography over Sephadex LH–20 (MeOH). Finally, 13 compounds were afforded: 1 (210 mg), 2 (38 mg), 3 (61 mg), 4 (19 mg), 5 (21 mg), 6 (11 mg), 7 (8 mg), 8 (19 mg), 9 (10 mg), 10 (20 mg), 11 (10 mg), 12 (5 mg) and 13 (9 mg), respectively.

2.5. Phenolic Content. Total phenolic content in the extracts was determined using methods as described procedure [16]...
with slight modification. One millilitre of the extract was added to 2.0 mL of 0.3% sodium dodecyl sulfate and 1.0 mL of mixture with 0.6% ferric chloride–0.9% ferricyanide (1:0.9). The mixture was then allowed to stand for 20 minutes and 0.1 mL of 0.1 M hydrochloride acid was added for calibration, and placed 20 minutes in dark. The absorbance was measured at 720 nm in a spectrophotometer. Quantification was based on the standard curve of gallic acid (0–1.0 mg/mL), dissolved in methanol/water (60:40, v/v; 0.3% HCl). Phenolic content was calculated with Gallic acid as the standard and expressed as milligrammes of gallic acid equivalent (GAE) (Table 1).

2.6. Evaluation of Antioxidant Activities

2.6.1. Reducing Power. The reducing power of NCE was determined by the method of Yen et al. [17]. NCE, gallic acid and BHT (0.02–0.5 mg, resp.) in 1.0 mL of methanol were mixed with phosphate buffer (2.5 mM, 0.2 M, pH 6.6) and potassium ferricyanide [K3Fe(CN)6] (2.5 mM, 10 g/L; the equivalent (GAE) (Table 1). The mixture was then allowed to stand for 5 minutes and 0.1 mL of 0.1 M hydrochloride acid was added for calibration, and placed 20 minutes in dark. The absorbance was measured at 50°C for 20 minutes. A portion (2.5 mL) of trichloroacetic acid (100 g/L) was added to the mixture, which was then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 minutes. The upper layer of the solution (2.5 mL) was mixed with distilled water (2.5 mL) and FeCl3 (0.5 mL, 1.0 g/L) and the absorbance were measured at 700 nm. Increased absorbance of the reaction mixture indicated increased reducing power. Gallic acid (GA) and BHT were used as positive control.

2.6.2. DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity Assay. DPPH free radical scavenging activity was measured according to the previously described procedure [18] with slight modification on the basis of the method of Blois [19]. Different concentrations of ethanol dilutions of samples were mixed with 2.0 vols of 6.5 × 10⁻⁵ M solution of DPPH. The resulting solutions were thoroughly mixed and absorbance was measured at 517 nm after keeping the tubes in dark for 30 minutes. The scavenging activity was determined by comparing the absorbance with that of control containing equal volumes of DPPH solution and ethanol. The radical scavenging activity was obtained by the following equation:

Radical scavenging activity (%) = \( \frac{A_{control} - A_{sample}}{A_{control}} \times 100 \) \( \quad (1) \)

The IC₅₀ was defined as the concentration (in μg/mL) of the extract required to deplete the amount of DPPH radical by 50%. GA and BHT were used as positive control.

2.6.3. Superoxide Anion Radical (O₂⁻) Scavenging Activity Assay. The superoxide anion radicals scavenging effect of NCE was assessed spectrophotometrically as reported previously [20]. The reaction system comprising of 0.75 mL of phenazine methosulphate (PMS, 120 μM), NADH (936 μM) and nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT, 300 μM) in phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.4) respectively, 0.3 mL extract solution in distilled water was added subsequently. The mixture was incubated at 25°C for 5 minutes, the absorbance was read at 560 nm against blank samples. GA and BHT were used as the positive control. The percent inhibition of superoxide anion generation was calculated by the following formula:

\[ \text{Inhibition} \% = \left( \frac{A_{control} - A_{sample}}{A_{control}} \right) \times 100. \]

The IC₅₀ was defined as the concentration (in μg/mL) of the extract required to deplete the amount of O₂⁻ by 50%.

2.6.4. Hydroxyl Radicals (·OH) Scavenging Activity Assay. Scavenging of ·OH was determined by the method of Chung et al. [21]. OH radicals were generated by incubating the following reagents in a final volume of 5.0 mL 20 μM KH₂PO₄–KOH buffer (pH 7.4) at 37°C for 60 minutes: 0.15 mL H₂O₂ (10 mM), 0.15 mL Fe(NH₄)₂(SO₄)₃–EDTA (10 mM) and 0.15 mL deoxyribose (10 mM), 4.0 mL deionized water, and 0.1 mL extract solution. Degradation of deoxyribose sugar induced by ·OH was determined by addition of 0.75 mL TBA (1% w/w) and 0.75 mL TCA (2.8% w/w) and heating at 100°C for 15 minutes. The pink chromogen formed was determined by measuring its absorbance at 536 nm. The scavenging activity on hydroxyl radical was expressed as:

\[ \text{Scavenging activity} \% = \left( \frac{A_{control} - A_{sample}}{A_{control}} \right) \times 100. \]

The IC₅₀ was defined as the concentration (in μg/mL) of the extract required to deplete the amount of ·OH radical by 50%. GA and BHT were used as positive control.

2.6.5. Hydrogen Peroxide Radicals (H₂O₂) Scavenging Activity Assay. Hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity of NCE and standards was assayed by the method of Zhao et al. [22]. H₂O₂ (1.0 mL, 0.1 mM) and 1.0 mL of various concentrations of the extract were mixed, followed by 100 μL 3% ammonium molybdate, 10 mL H₂SO₄ (2 M) and 7.0 mL KI (1.8 M). The mixed solution was titrated with Na₂S₂O₃ (5 mM) until the yellow color disappeared. The percentage scavenging effect was calculated as

\[ \text{Scavenging rate} \% = \left( \frac{V_0 - V_1}{V_0} \right) \times 100, \]

where \( V_0 \) was volume of Na₂S₂O₃ solution used to titrate the control sample in the presence of hydrogen peroxide (without extract), \( V_1 \) was the volume of Na₂S₂O₃ solution used in the presence of NCE. The IC₅₀ was defined as the concentration (in μg/mL) of the extract required to deplete the amount of H₂O₂ radical by 50%. GA and BHT were used as positive control.
2.7. Evaluation of Hepatoprotective Activities

2.7.1. Isolation and Culture of Primary Hepatocytes. Six rats randomly divided into two groups, and treated with or without BCG. Hepatocytes were isolated respectively from these rats by the in situ two-step collagenase perfusion technique [23, 24]. The isolated hepatocytes were counted by hemocytometer. The viability of cells was measured by trypan blue exclusion technique [25]. Cells were only used when the viability at the beginning of the experiments was more than 95%.

2.7.2. Cytotoxic Assay. Cytotoxic assay was determined by a colorimetric MTT assay as described by Mosmann [26]. Hepatocytes were cultured in DMEM, and 100 μL cell suspensions were plated in 96-well microtiter plates. After 16 hours of incubation at 37°C under 5% CO2 to allow cell attachment, the cells were treated with varying concentrations of test specimens (5–200 μg/mL Grz and NCE) in DMEM (200 μL) and incubated for 96 hours under the same conditions as above. After 4 hours of the addition of MTT, the medium was removed, and the blue formazan crystals that had formed were dissolved in 150 μL dimethyl sulfoxide. The optical density of formazan generated from MTT was measured at 490 nm using an ELISA plate reader and the 50% inhibitory concentration (IC50) on cells was calculated by MTT assay.

2.7.3. BCG Plus LPS-Induced Hepatocyte Injury. According to the method by krao et al. [24], hepatocytes were incubated in 24-well plate at a density of 2.5 × 10^5 cells/well under the condition of 95% O2 with 5% CO2 after 16 hours, the plating medium was replaced by the fresh dexamethasone-free medium and then treated with LPS 10 mg/L to cause the injury of hepatocytes pretreated with BCG in vivo. Simultaneously, Grz 5, 10, 20 μg/mL and NCE 5, 20, 80 μg/mL were co-incubated with hepatocytes, respectively.

2.7.4. Biochemical Assays. Biochemical parameters, such as the activities of aspartate transaminase (AST) and alanine transferase (ALT) in supernatant were measured spectrophotometrically using a Beckman 700 autoanalyzer with rate mode. The content of nitric oxide (NO) in supernatant was measured using a curve calibrated on sodium nitrite standard by Griess reaction [27].

2.7.5. Determination of IC50. The optical density of formazan generated from MTT was measured at 490 nm using an ELISA plate reader and the 50% inhibitory concentration (IC50) on cells was calculated by MTT assay.

3. Results

3.1. Total Phenolic Content. With respect to the four fractions obtained, the greatest amount of phenolic compounds was found in the EA fraction (NCE) with the value of 25.7 ± 2.1 g/100 g total phenolic expressed as gallic acid equivalent (GAE, g/100 g of GAE). The lowest amount of phenolic compounds was measured in the aqueous residue, which was only presented as 0.38 ± 1 g/100 g of GAE.

3.2. Phenolic Compounds of NCE. The structures of 13 isolates were elucidated as gallic acid (1), gallic acid methyl ester (2), p-digalloyl acid and m-digalloyl acid (3), quercetin (4), kaempferol (5), quercetin 3-methyl ether (6), tricin 7-methyl ether (7), astragalin (8), quercetin 3′-O-xyloside (9), quercetin 3′-O-xiloside (10), isoquercitrin (11), rutin (12) and kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside (13) respectively, by interpretation of the spectral data (UV, IR, MS and NMR) as well as by comparison of the reported data.

3.3. Reducing Power of NCE. Figure 1 shows the dose-response curves for the reducing powers of the extracts from N. candida flower. All of the concentrations of the extracts showed higher activities than did the control and these differences were statistically significant (P < .01). Reducing power of NCE increased from 0.077 ± 0.006 at 20 μg/mL to 1.42 ± 0.029 at 500 μg/mL. At a dosage of 500 μg/mL, the reducing power was significantly higher than BHT (1.33 ± 0.02) and almost equal to that of GA (1.50 ± 0.013 μg/mL). Reducing power of various extracts and standard compounds followed the order: GA > NCE > BHT > NCB > NCA > NCW.

3.4. DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity. DPPH assay is a preliminary test to investigate the antioxidant potential of extracts. Figure 2 shows the dose response curves of DPPH radical scavenging activities of the extracts from N. candida. All the extracts were capable of scavenging DPPH radicals in a concentration-dependent manner. The highest percent DPPH radical-scavenging activities were observed in the EA fraction (NCE), whilst the other samples, including the ethanol extract, n-butanol fractions and aqueous residue,
Table 3: Scavenging of superoxide (O$_2^-$), hydroxyl radical (·OH) and hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) by NCE.

| Group | Concentration (μg/mL) | O$_2^-$ Inhibition (%) | IC$_{50}$ (μg/mL) | ·OH Inhibition (%) | IC$_{50}$ (μg/mL) | H$_2$O$_2$ Inhibition (%) | IC$_{50}$ (μg/mL) |
|-------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Gallic acid | 2 | 9.05 ± 0.10 | 30.56 ± 1.63 | 11.12 ± 1.12 | 10 | 16.95 ± 0.34 | 43.2 ± 0.53 | 16.29 | 20.8 ± 1.54 | 31.87 |
| | 50 | 68.72 ± 0.25 | 62.14 ± 0.74 | 63.7 ± 1.28 | 2 | 5.57 ± 0.47 | 20.85 ± 1.02 | 4.42 ± 0.12 | 10 | 25.01 ± 0.11 | 59.02 ± 1.16 | 40.91 ± 1.26 |
| BHT | 10 | 23.12 ± 1.32 | 71.87 | 18.29 ± 0.29 | 131.6 | 39.3 ± 0.67 | 59.02 ± 1.16 | 40.91 ± 1.26 |
| | 50 | 39.83 ± 1.21 | 45.69 ± 1.89 | 30.43 ± 0.31 | 10 | 11.6 ± 0.70 | 25.43 ± 0.22 | 19.02 ± 0.64 | 10 | 25.01 ± 0.11 | 59.02 ± 1.16 | 40.91 ± 1.26 |
| NCE | 20 | 25.01 ± 0.11 | 59.32 | 24.28 | 10 | 11.6 ± 0.70 | 25.43 ± 0.22 | 19.02 ± 0.64 | 10 | 25.01 ± 0.11 | 59.02 ± 1.16 | 40.91 ± 1.26 |
| | 50 | 44.16 ± 0.43 | 59.02 ± 1.16 | 40.91 ± 1.26 | 10 | 25.01 ± 0.11 | 59.02 ± 1.16 | 40.91 ± 1.26 |

IC$_{50}$, value of the 50% inhibition concentration; inhibition (%), percent inhibition of means of six replicates from the control.

Table 4: Cytotoxicity of NCE and Grz against primarily cultured rat hepatocytes.

| Group | Concentration (μg/mL) | Inhibition (%) | TC$_{50}$ (μg/mL) |
|-------|-----------------------|----------------|------------------|
| Grz | 200 | 29.12 ± 0.02 | 465.12 |
| | 100 | 16.19 ± 0.06 | |
| | 25 | 4.21 ± 0.08 | |
| | 5 | 0.86 ± 0.06 | |
| NCE | 200 | 40.12 ± 0.07 | 393.65 |
| | 100 | 19.83 ± 0.06 | |
| | 25 | 3.26 ± 0.21 | |
| | 5 | 1.60 ± 0.1 | |

TC$_{50}$, value of the 50% inhibition concentration; inhibition (%), percent inhibition of means of six replicates from the control.

Ability of NCE to scavenge H$_2$O$_2$ was determined and NCE was found to dose dependently scavenge H$_2$O$_2$ as well (IC$_{50}$ 86.45 μg/mL) (Table 3).

3.6. Protective Effect of NCE on BCG Plus LPS-Induced Hepatocyte Injury. The cytotoxicity of NCE and Grz towards neonatal rat primary hepatocytes was tested. The result showed that NCE concentrations of 5–200 μg/mL were almost nontoxic to the cells with TC50 value of 393.65 μg/mL (Table 4).

In control group, supernatant AST and ALT activities had no significant change in 24 hours. However, in BCG combined LPS treatment group, supernatant AST and ALT activities were elevated in a time-dependent manner (P < .01), and with the maximum values at 12 hours. The enhancements of supernatant AST and ALT activities induced by BCG combined LPS treatment were all prevented by Grz and NCE at different time, respectively (P < .01) (Tables 5 and 6). In control group, NO production detected during 24 hours was <3 μmol/L. BCG combined LPS treatment group showed a higher production of NO (>5 μmol/L), and the highest values were reached at 12 h. The NO generation induced by BCG combined LPS treatment was prevented by Grz within 24 hours (P < .01). After NCE treatment, the NO generation was showed similar result with Grz, and during all the courses was decreased significantly (P < .01) (Table 7).

4. Discussion

NCE was found to be particularly rich in polyphenols and exhibited a high reducing power, and both parameters indicated the extract to possess potent antioxidant activity. The constituents of NCE are similar to that of N. stellata, which has been evidenced to have hepatoprotective, and both have higher content phenolic acid, such as gallic acid and gallic acid methyl ester [14, 15]. The present study tested the ability of NCE to scavenge various free radicals. Since DPPH is known to abstract labile hydrogen and the scavenging DPPH radical ability is related to the inhibition of lipid peroxidation, it has been used to screen...
the antioxidant action of various compounds [28, 29]. NCE potently scavenged DPPH radicals and its scavenging activity was almost equivalent to BHT. Moreover, NCE exhibited scavenging ability for superoxide anion, hydroxyl radicals and hydrogen peroxide also. Nitric oxide is an inorganic reactive nitrogen species (RNS) synthesized in the liver by different NO synthase (NOS) isofoms, and currently considered as a fundamental intercellular and intracellular signaling molecule that is essential for the maintenance of homeostasis [30], acting either as a cytoprotective mediator or as an inducer of apoptosis [31, 32]. In the present study, there were not different distinctly on NO productions of the control group in varied time, but those increased significantly in model group. Contents of NO in NCE groups were significantly lower than that of model group. ROS, RNS and the products of their interaction are highly reactive and

| Group                     | Dose μg/mL | 3 hours | 6 hours | 12 hours | 24 hours |
|---------------------------|------------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| Control                   | —          | 21.45 ± 2.92 | 24.17 ± 3.21 | 40.87 ± 6.01 | 41.00 ± 8.07 |
| BCG + LPS                 | —          | 57.03 ± 2.88<sup>a</sup> | 90.55 ± 10.46<sup>a</sup> | 101.88 ± 10.71<sup>a</sup> | 63.34 ± 8.90<sup>a</sup> |
|                           | 5          | 65.15 ± 16.64 | 59.89 ± 8.66<sup>b</sup> | 73.84 ± 10.89<sup>b</sup> | 37.67 ± 6.16<sup>b</sup> |
| BCG + LPS + Grz           | 10         | 48.53 ± 10.34 | 43.55 ± 12.02<sup>b</sup> | 60.66 ± 6.05<sup>b</sup> | 41.12 ± 16.37<sup>b</sup> |
|                           | 20         | 57.34 ± 9.57 | 59.68 ± 16.59<sup>b</sup> | 55.06 ± 8.17<sup>bc</sup> | 33.38 ± 7.92<sup>b</sup> |
|                           | 80         | 57.65 ± 6.24 | 36.71 ± 10.00<sup>bd</sup> | 49.94 ± 6.25<sup>b</sup> | 35.63 ± 10.00<sup>b</sup> |

Values are the mean ± SD, n = 6. <sup>a</sup>P < .01 compared with control group; <sup>b</sup>P < .05 compared with BCG + LPS + Grz group (5 μg/mL); <sup>c</sup>P < .05 compared with BCG + LPS + NCE group (5 μg/mL).

| Group                     | Dose μg/mL | 3 hours | 6 hours | 12 hours | 24 hours |
|---------------------------|------------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| Control                   | —          | 3.16 ± 0.58 | 3.68 ± 0.42 | 4.68 ± 0.31 | 3.65 ± 0.53 |
| BCG + LPS                 | —          | 5.69 ± 0.91<sup>a</sup> | 7.68 ± 0.74<sup>a</sup> | 9.43 ± 1.23<sup>a</sup> | 6.58 ± 0.83<sup>a</sup> |
|                           | 5          | 5.12 ± 1.36 | 4.08 ± 1.00<sup>b</sup> | 6.61 ± 1.01<sup>b</sup> | 3.11 ± 0.59<sup>b</sup> |
| BCG + LPS + Grz           | 10         | 3.62 ± 0.73<sup>bc</sup> | 3.29 ± 1.05<sup>b</sup> | 5.14 ± 0.85<sup>bc</sup> | 3.80 ± 1.42<sup>b</sup> |
|                           | 20         | 3.98 ± 0.64<sup>b</sup> | 4.80 ± 1.65<sup>b</sup> | 4.87 ± 0.80<sup>bd</sup> | 3.20 ± 1.24<sup>b</sup> |
|                           | 5          | 5.71 ± 1.10 | 3.95 ± 0.53<sup>b</sup> | 6.06 ± 0.70<sup>b</sup> | 3.52 ± 0.37<sup>b</sup> |
| BCG + LPS + NCE           | 20         | 4.25 ± 1.17<sup>c</sup> | 3.77 ± 0.63<sup>b</sup> | 4.88 ± 0.30<sup>b</sup> | 3.21 ± 0.49<sup>b</sup> |
|                           | 80         | 4.71 ± 1.23 | 2.53 ± 0.97<sup>b</sup> | 4.07 ± 0.86<sup>b</sup> | 3.29 ± 0.98<sup>b</sup> |

Values are the mean ± SD, n = 6. <sup>a</sup>P < .01 compared with control group; <sup>b</sup>P < .05 compared with BCG + LPS group; <sup>c</sup>P < .05 compared with BCG + LPS + Grz group (5 μg/mL).

| Group                     | Dose μg/mL | 3 hours | 6 hours | 12 hours | 24 hours |
|---------------------------|------------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| Control                   | —          | 2.38 ± 0.19 | 2.52 ± 0.18 | 2.80 ± 0.21 | 2.82 ± 0.21 |
| BCG + LPS                 | —          | 5.03 ± 0.33<sup>a</sup> | 8.51 ± 0.49<sup>a</sup> | 10.57 ± 0.77<sup>a</sup> | 7.41 ± 0.77<sup>a</sup> |
|                           | 5          | 4.96 ± 0.31 | 6.49 ± 0.74<sup>a</sup> | 8.13 ± 0.55<sup>b</sup> | 5.73 ± 0.64<sup>b</sup> |
| BCG + LPS + Grz           | 10         | 4.25 ± 0.43<sup>bc</sup> | 5.83 ± 0.48<sup>bc</sup> | 7.65 ± 0.55<sup>bc</sup> | 4.84 ± 0.40<sup>bd</sup> |
|                           | 20         | 3.63 ± 0.38<sup>bc</sup> | 4.83 ± 0.55<sup>bc</sup> | 6.08 ± 0.39<sup>bc</sup> | 4.64 ± 0.51<sup>bc</sup> |
|                           | 80         | 5.10 ± 0.13 | 6.41 ± 0.73<sup>b</sup> | 7.89 ± 0.39<sup>b</sup> | 5.87 ± 0.45<sup>b</sup> |
| BCG + LPS + NCE           | 20         | 4.53 ± 0.73 | 5.84 ± 0.51<sup>b</sup> | 7.41 ± 0.71<sup>b</sup> | 5.50 ± 0.58<sup>b</sup> |
|                           | 80         | 4.44 ± 0.77 | 5.07 ± 0.60<sup>bc</sup> | 6.69 ± 0.39<sup>bc</sup> | 5.08 ± 0.69<sup>bc</sup> |

Values are the mean ± SD, n = 6. <sup>a</sup>P < .01 compared with control group; <sup>b</sup>P < .05 compared with BCG + LPS group; <sup>c</sup>P < .05 compared with BCG + LPS + Grz group (5 μg/mL); <sup>d</sup>P < .05 compared with control group; <sup>e</sup>P < .01 compared with BCG + LPS + NCE group (5 μg/mL).
capable of modulating the structure and function of various cellular components [33]. Therefore, NCE, with its potent free radical quenching capacity, was expected to inhibit oxidative damage to biomolecules.

Isolated hepatocytes have the ability to retain many of the essential properties of the intact tissue, including similar permeability characteristics. The approach becomes significant because this experimental model has already proved to be a valuable tool for such studies [34]. Prior to the investigation of hepatoprotective activity for NCE, the cytotoxicity against primarily cultured rat hepatocytes was determined. The corresponding TC50 values of NCE and Grz on hepatocytes were all >80 μg/mL, and thus the studied samples were considered as non-cytotoxic to the cell line. BCG activates and sensitizes T lymphocyte, especially sensitizing macrophage cells and Kupffer cells. After injected LPS, macrophage cells were further activated, and released various cytokines that made hepatic injury, such as NO, free radical and TNF [35–37]. Thus, BCG plus LPS can induce the sensitive immunological response, which will lead to leakage of liver cells. Large amount of ALT and AST released to supernatants in the treatment of hepatitis, and these damages are capable of modulating the structure and function of various cellular components [33]. Therefore, NCE, with its potent free radical quenching capacity, was expected to inhibit oxidative damage to biomolecules.

In conclusion, our current investigation verifies the hepatoprotective and antioxidative effects of NCE in vitro. The scavenging of active radical may be one of main mechanisms of protective of NCE against BCG plus LPS-induced cytotoxicity in primary cultured rat hepatocytes. This evidence provides a scientific explanation for the folkloric uses of N. candida in the treatment of hepatitis, and the further experiment in vivo will be carried out for studying its effective mechanism.
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