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Resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging measures pathological alterations in neurodegenerative diseases, including Alzheimer’s disease. Disruption in functional connectivity may be a potential biomarker of ageing and early brain changes associated with AD-related genes, such as APOE and BDNF. The objective of this study was to identify group differences in resting-state networks between individuals with $\text{BDNF Val66Met}$ and $\text{APOE polymorphisms}$ in cognitively healthy older persons. Dual regression following Independent Components Analysis were performed to examine differences associated with these polymorphisms. $\text{APOE }\varepsilon3$ homozygotes showed stronger functional connectivity than $\text{APOE }\varepsilon4$ carriers. Males showed stronger functional connectivity between the Default Mode Network (DMN) and grey matter premotor cortex, while females showed stronger functional connectivity between the executive network and lateral occipital cortex and parahippocampal gyrus. Additionally, we found that with increasing cognitive reserve, functional connectivity increased within the Dorsal Attention Network (DAN), but decreased within the DMN. Interaction effects indicated stronger functional connectivity in Met/ε3 carriers than in Met/ε4 and Val/ε4 within both the DMN and DAN. $\text{APOE/BDNF}$ interactions may therefore influence the integrity of functional brain connections in older adults, and may underlie a vulnerable phenotype for subsequent Alzheimer’s-type dementia.

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common form of ageing-related dementia, accounting for 60 to 80% of all cases\textsuperscript{1}. The primary functional manifestations of AD include memory loss, impairment in executive functioning, difficulties with language, and changes in personality and behaviour, with brain pathology characterized by neurofibrillary tangles and amyloid-beta deposition\textsuperscript{1,2}. Neuroimaging techniques investigating activity within and between resting-state networks, such as the default mode network (DMN)\textsuperscript{3}, the dorsal-attention network (DAN)\textsuperscript{4}, and salience network (SN)\textsuperscript{5}, may help provide an understanding of the elementary brain changes that are associated with ageing and subsequent risk of AD. In this regard, significant ageing-related changes in functional connectivity have been observed within the DMN\textsuperscript{6,7}. Many studies have focused on the role of the DMN in AD, with some studies showing increased functional connectivity\textsuperscript{6}, while others showed decreased functional connectivity\textsuperscript{8,9}. A further interesting network is the DAN, which is activated during goal-directed behaviour\textsuperscript{4}. The DAN showed significant decline in functional connectivity in the amnestic form of mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and in AD\textsuperscript{10} compared to neurologically healthy individuals. This disturbance in connectivity increases with disease progression. Similar results were found within the SN, in which reduced grey matter volume and disrupted functional connectivity were found in patients with AD. Moreover, it was found that healthy older individuals had intra-network functional connectivity impairments between crucial nodes, such as the DMN, indicating that the SN is affected by normal ageing before manifestation of AD\textsuperscript{11}. The SN is involved in incoming information processing and filtering information\textsuperscript{11}, and is active in higher-order processing such as selecting specific stimuli\textsuperscript{12}.
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Both life-course and genetic factors may influence susceptibility to ageing and AD-related changes in functional connectivity. Cognitive reserve, for example, is a theoretical construct related to the preservation of brain functionality relative to accumulating degenerative brain changes and pathological lesions. Cognitive reserve is an example of a potentially modifiable risk factor for cognitive decline through education and other cognitively stimulating activity and may influence functional brain organization. Other possible factors that may influence functional connectivity are gender differences. When comparing males and females’ economic position, men have a superior status in the socio-economic world with higher income and better education, however, women have a higher life expectancy (Carmel, 2019). Nowadays, more women take up tertiary education. These different lifestyles may influence the connectivity in the brain, therefore, exploratory we wanted to investigate whether there are differences in resting-state functional connectivity. Further well-known factors that can influence functional connectivity are variations in genes associated with risk of ageing and AD-related cognitive decline.

For example, the apolipoprotein E gene (APOE) ε4 allelic variant is known to be a major risk factor for late-onset AD as compared to the ε3 and ε2 alleles. With respect to functional connectivity, it is unclear if healthy older adult ε4 carriers show changes in DMN functional connectivity compared to ε3 homozygotes. Studies variably show decreased DMN connectivity or increased connectivity, whereas others found no difference between ε4 carriers and ε3 homozygotes.

A common variation is the gene encoding brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF Val66Met), a protein important for neurogenesis and synaptic plasticity, has also been investigated for its potential role in brain ageing and AD-related decline. Decreased memory function and reduced hippocampal volume have been described in Met allele carriers compared to Val homozygotes. Resting-state functional connectivity has been reported to be relatively decreased in the hippocampus in middle-aged and older individuals who are Met carriers. Such studies have also demonstrated increased functional connectivity in the dorsal lateral prefrontal cortex and anterior insula of Met carriers in a younger healthy population compared to Val homozygotes. Interactions between the APOE and BDNF gene variants may also be possible, as APOE ε4 and BDNF Met carriers may show relatively increased ageing-related episodic memory impairment.

The current study examined the potential individual and interactive roles of the BDNF and APOE gene variants relative to functional connectivity of resting-state networks in subjects purposively sampled from the cohort study, the Tasmanian Healthy Brain Project (THBP). Subject-specific maps were used to examine age-related differences in functional connectivity addressing the DMN, DAN and SN. We hypothesized that the connectivity of the selected resting-state networks will differ between APOE (ε3 & ε4) and between BDNF Val66Met polymorphisms, and we also predicted interaction effects between these polymorphisms based on the findings of Ward et al. (2014) in this cohort. In particular, we expected to see lower functional connectivity in Met carriers and ε4 carriers compared to Val homozygotes and ε3 homozygotes. All analyses were controlled for age, cognitive reserve, GM maps. Finally, we investigated whether cognitive reserve influenced functional connectivity in the three aforementioned networks, controlled individually for each analysis for BDNF and APOE.

Results
The current study included only ε3 homozygotes and ε3ε4 carriers. One subject was excluded due to recurring errors within the single-subject independent component analysis, and one subject was excluded due to structural abnormalities in the brain. Participant demographics and characteristics of the 76 THBP subjects comprising this study population are provided in Table 1. APOE and BDNF polymorphisms were balanced between genders. In particular, a chi-square test was performed to explore the relationship between APOE and BDNF genotypes and gender. The results showed that our cohort proportions between APOE ε3 homozygotes and ε4 carriers, and between BDNF Val homozygotes and Met carriers were balanced with no significant differences in proportion. Family-wise error corrections for multiple comparisons using threshold-free cluster enhancement was used to control Type 1 error rate when investigating differences between BDNF Met vs BDNF Val, APOE ε4 vs APOE non-ε4; and the interactions between Met/ε3, Met/ε4, Val/ε3, Val/ε4.

Resting-state network identification. The group independent component analysis (ICA) output allowed us to identify networks from 25 independent components. Within all independent components, we detected 12 networks that have been defined in healthy individuals. Identified networks comprised the pre-

| Gender (F: M) | 25.10 | 27.14 | 0.61 | 23.11 | 29.13 | 0.90 |
|-------------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|
| Intervention (N) (Ex: Con) | 28.7 | 31.10 | 0.65 | 26.8 | 33.9 | 0.83 |
| Age (years) | 63.3 ± 6.82 | 63.3 ± 7.14 | 0.71 | 63.3 ± 6.15 | 63.3 ± 7.14 | 0.64 |
| Education (years) | 11.1 ± 0.94 | 11.4 ± 1.11 | 0.35 | 11.2 ± 1.13 | 11.3 ± 0.97 | 0.84 |
| Cognitive reserve (z) | 0.02 ± 0.82 | 0.16 ± 0.88 | 0.84 | 0.06 ± 0.95 | 0.28 ± 0.76 | 0.27 |
| Language (z) | 0.01 ± 0.93 | 0.16 ± 0.92 | 0.47 | 0.11 ± 1.04 | 0.08 ± 0.87 | 0.92 |

Table 1. Seventy-six participants characteristics expressed as mean (M) ± standard deviation (SD) unless otherwise noted. Data represented are mean values for continuous variables and proportions for categorical variables. Met and Val relate to participants with specific BDNF Val66Met polymorphisms. ε4 + and ε4- refer to participants of the APOE polymorphism. F = female; M = male; Ex = Experimental; Con = Controls; z = z score.
cuneus together with the DMN, SN, DAN including the left & right dorsal-/ lateral ventral stream, as well as the visual networks (medial, lateral), sensory-motor network, executive control network, auditory network, and cerebellum network (Supplementary Fig. 1).

Multivariate voxel-based analyses within resting-state networks of the polymorphisms. After performing a dual regression for each APOE and BDNF polymorphisms, there was a significant difference in functional connectivity between DAN and occipital cortex, superior division, where APOE ε3 homozygotes showed stronger functional connectivity compared to ε4 carriers, \( p = 0.02 \), \( p = 0.04 \) after controlling for age, \( p = 0.03 \) after controlling for gender, \( p = 0.04 \) after controlling for cognitive reserve, Fig. 1, Table 2). The dual regression for the BDNF Val66Met polymorphisms revealed no significant differences between Met carriers and Val homozygotes.

Gender differences. Males showed stronger average functional connectivity between the DMN and the Juxtapositional Lobule cortex, \( p = 0.017 \), after adjusting for BDNF genotypes and GM maps. While, females showed stronger functional connectivity between the executive network and seven different clusters, of which the lateral occipital cortex (inferior & superior divisions) \( p = 0.005 \), and the parahippocampal gyrus/lingual gyrus, \( p = 0.011 \), showed the

### Table 2. Apolipoprotein E (APOE) results of analyses after running dual regressions using GLMs.

| GLMs               | DAN (ε3 > ε4) | APOE (controlled for age and GM maps) | APOE (controlled for gender and GM maps) | APOE (controlled for cognitive reserve and GM maps) | APOE (controlled for gender, age, cognitive reserve, & GM maps) |
|--------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Cluster Index | Voxels | X (vox) | Y (vox) | Z (vox) | t-p | p-value | Location                                                   |
| APOE (controlled for GM maps only) | | | | | | | |
| DAN (ε3 > ε4) | 1 | 63 | 66 | 23 | 44 | 0.98 | 0.02 | Lateral occipital cortex (superior and inferior division) |
| APOE (controlled for age and GM maps) | 1 | 40 | 65 | 24 | 44 | 0.962 | 0.038 | Lateral occipital cortex (superior and inferior division) |
| APOE (controlled for gender and GM maps) | 1 | 64 | 65 | 24 | 44 | 0.974 | 0.026 | Lateral occipital cortex (superior and inferior division) |
| APOE (controlled for cognitive reserve and GM maps) | 1 | 36 | 66 | 23 | 44 | 0.963 | 0.037 | Lateral occipital cortex (superior and inferior division) |
| APOE (controlled for gender, age, cognitive reserve, & GM maps) | 1 | 9 | 65 | 24 | 44 | 0.952 | 0.048 | Lateral occipital cortex (superior and inferior division) |

Figure 1. Red-yellow represent the dorsal attention network (DAN) after performing a group-ICA. Green voxels show increased functional connectivity in ε3 homozygotes compared to ε4 carriers in the occipital cortex (\( p < 0.05 \) family-wise error [FWE]-corrected). Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) coordinates \( x = -42 \), \( y = -80 \), \( z = 16 \). Results were controlled for age, cognitive reserve, and GM maps and the significance was still there. Data was analysed with FMRI Software Library (https://github.com/FSLconnectometry/fsleyes/).
strongest differences, controlled for BDNF genotypes and GM maps, \( p = 0.03 \), controlled for BDNF genotypes, age, gender, cognitive reserve and GM maps.

**APOE x BDNF interactions.** An interaction between the BDNF Val66Met and APOE polymorphisms was found, with slightly stronger functional connectivity between the DAN and the posterior default mode region in Met/\( \varepsilon \)3 carriers compared to Met/\( \varepsilon \)4, \( p = 0.04 \) (Fig. 3a, Table 3). Stronger functional connectivity was found between the DMN/dorsal–ventral stream and occipital pole in Met/\( \varepsilon \)3 relative to Val/\( \varepsilon \)4 carriers, \( p = 0.016 \) (Fig. 3b, Table 3).

**Cognitive reserve.** Cognitive reserve was associated with increased functional connectivity between the DAN and two clusters, left grey matter hippocampal and amygdala regions, \( p = 0.038 \), and subcallosal cortex, \( p = 0.015 \) controlled for GM maps, as well as between the DAN and white matter callosal cortex controlled for APOE, \( p = 0.03 \) & \( p = 0.046 \), controlled for BDNF, \( p = 0.016 \); and between the central executive network and postcentral gyrus, controlled for APOE genotypes, \( p = 0.04 \). Interestingly, functional connectivity decreased with increasing cognitive reserve within the DMN, controlled for APOE genotypes, \( p = 0.02 \), controlled for BDNF genotypes, \( p = 0.017 \) (Table 4, Supplementary Fig. 2).
Discussion

In the current study, we investigated cross-sectional differences in resting-state networks between variants of the BDNF Val66Met and APOE (ε4 & ε3) polymorphisms using resting-state fMRI in an older adult population. In this study, we identified stronger functional connectivity in APOE ε3 homozygotes than in APOE ε4 carriers, as well as interacting associations between the APOE and BDNF polymorphisms with respect to connectivity in the DAN and DMN/dorsal–ventral stream. However, there was no significant differences in functional connectivity between BDNF Met carriers and BDNF Val homozygotes after controlling for GM maps. Last, we found that cognitive reserve was positively associated with functional connectivity within the DAN but was negatively associated with increasing connectivity within the DMN.

Previous research found decreased functional connectivity within the DMN. We did find significant differences between ε3 homozygotes and ε4 carriers within the DAN after adjusting for GM maps, as well as age, gender, and cognitive reserve. In support Goveas, et al. also reported disrupted functional connectivity in healthy older ε4 carriers. On the other hand, Dowell, et al. investigated 37 mid-aged individuals and did not find any APOE effects within any resting-state networks, suggesting that alterations within the DMN are not
found before the age of 55 years. Furthermore, Dowell, et al.\(^32\) also reported stronger functional connectivity in the medial visual network, however, only in younger healthy ε3 homozygotes compared to ε4 carriers. There were no significant differences between BDNF Met carriers and BDNF Val homozygotes for functional connectivity within the DMN, DAN, and SN. In a previous study, Rodríguez-Rojo, et al.\(^23\) found that 36 female BDNF Met carriers showed poor functional connectivity and reduced memory performance compared to Val homozygotes, using gamma band resting-state functional connectivity. Together, these results suggest that functional connectivity may be influenced by the APOE ε4 carriage in healthy older individuals, which possibly is related to AD-pathology, for instance amyloid-beta accumulation in the brain, while the BDNF polymorphisms may not have a strong influence on ageing-related functional connectivity alterations in brain networks.

We additionally found interaction effects between the APOE and the BDNF polymorphisms. Kauppi, et al.\(^34\) previously described that APOE ε3/ε4 alleles and BDNF Met/Met alleles were not able to recruit regions of the hippocampus during an encoding task (memory processing). This gene combination was related to a reduction of brain activation in the parahippocampal gyrus and hippocampus, possibly triggering poor memory performance, while non-carriers of both APOE ε4 and BDNF Met, demonstrated greater activation\(^34\). The current results from whole brain resting-state functional connectivity analyses revealed slightly stronger functional connectivity within the DAN in Met carriers/ε3 homozygotes relative to Met/ε4 carriers. In addition, we found that Met/ε3 carriers had greater functional connectivity than Val/ε4 carriers between lateral dorsal–ventral stream/DMN and the visual cortex.

Older adults without dementia but with the APOE ε4 allele have a relatively high chance of having amyloid-beta deposits in the brain\(^35\). Hence, the current observations could potentially be related to variations in amyloid-beta burden levels that individuals with the APOE ε4 allele in our cohort may carry, however, this is speculative and needs to be further investigated. Chiesa, et al.\(^36\) observed in longitudinal studies that neither amyloid burden, nor the interaction of APOE and amyloid accumulation, altered resting-state functional connectivity within the DMN, proposing that other mechanisms may be involved in resting state alternations in ε4 carriers within the DMN. How the APOE status may influence functional connectivity within the BDNF Val66Met polymorphisms is also not clear. It has been reported that the expression of the Met allele within ε4 carriers was associated with more amyloid beta load as compared to Val homozygotes, particularly in the prefrontal cortex.

### Table 4. Cognitive reserve results of analyses after running dual regressions using GLMs. GLMs = General Linear Models; DMN = Default Mode Network; DAN = Dorsal Attention Network; APOE = Apolipoprotein E; GM = grey matter; BDNF = Brain-derived neurotrophic factor.

| Cluster Index | Voxel X (vox) | Y (vox) | Z (vox) | p-value 1-p | Location |
|---------------|--------------|--------|--------|------------|----------|
| Positive correlation between cognitive reserve and functional connectivity, controlled for GM maps |
| DAN           |
| 1             | 8            | 59     | 58     | 27         | 0.962 .038 Left GM hippocampus regions, Left GM amygda regions |
| 2             | 24           | 44     | 76     | 34         | 0.985 .015 Subcallosal Cortex |
| Negative correlation between cognitive reserve & functional connectivity, controlled for APOE genotypes & GM maps |
| DMN           |
| 1             | 2            | 33     | 26     | 46         | 0.954 .046 Lateral Occipital cortex, superior division |
| 2             | 6            | 50     | 28     | 44         | 0.958 .042 Intracalcarine Cortex, Supracalearine Cortex, Cuneal Cortex, Precuneus, |
| 3             | 80           | 56     | 28     | 44         | 0.98 .02 Cuneal Cortex, Supracalearine Cortex, Precuneus, |
| 4             | 142          | 42     | 33     | 41         | 0.98 .02 Cuneal Cortex, Supracalearine Cortex, Precuneus, |
| Positive correlation between cognitive reserve & functional connectivity, controlled for APOE genotypes & GM maps |
| DAN           |
| 1             | 3            | 46     | 75     | 38         | 0.954 .046 WM Callousal Cortex |
| 2             | 9            | 44     | 76     | 38         | 0.974 .026 WM Callousal Cortex |
| Central Executive Network |
| 1             | 3            | 12     | 59     | 51         | 0.96 .04 Postcentral gyrus |
| Negative correlation between cognitive reserve and functional connectivity, controlled for BDNF genotypes & GM maps |
| DMN           |
| 1             | 2            | 32     | 31     | 43         | 0.952 .048 Supracalearine Cortex, Intracalcarine Cortex, Cuneal Cortex, |
| 2             | 12           | 50     | 28     | 44         | 0.963 .037 Intracalcarine Cortex, Supracalearine Cortex, Cuneal Cortex, Precuneus Cortex |
| 3             | 41           | 33     | 26     | 46         | 0.971 .029 Lateral Occipital Cortex (superior division) |
| 4             | 83           | 56     | 28     | 44         | 0.976 .024 Cuneal Cortex, Supracalearine Cortex |
| 5             | 196          | 35     | 35     | 44         | 0.983 .017 Precuneus, Supracalearine Cortex |
| Positive correlation between cognitive reserve & functional connectivity controlled for BDNF genotypes & GM maps |
| DAN           |
| 1             | 21           | 43     | 75     | 35         | 0.984 .016 Subcallosal Cortex |
gyrus rectus, and lateral prefrontal cortex. Previous literature has indicated that cognitive performance significantly deteriorates over 3 years in older adult Met/ε4 carriers with higher amyloid-beta load, but not in dementia, in comparison to 10 years for Val/non-ε4 carriers. Conversely, low amyloid-beta levels in healthy elderly individuals was not associated with significant differences in cognitive performance in Met/ε4 carriers, Met/non-ε4 carriers, and Val/ε4 carriers, suggesting that neither the status of APOE nor BDNF polymorphisms mediated the performance in cognition. Indeed, amyloid beta burden can be detected before any alterations in cognition or behaviour, and commences and accumulates in DMN regions, such as precuneus, medial orbitofrontal, and posterior cingulate areas, disrupting functional connectivity in cognitively healthy individuals and in AD. Therefore, individuals with amyloid accumulation and mild cognitive impairments (MCI) are more susceptible to develop AD.

Another key aspect of our study was to investigate the relationship between cognitive reserve and functional connectivity. We showed that increased cognitive reserve was positively associated with functional connectivity between the DAN and white matter callosal body, as well as between the DAN and left hippocampus an amygdala. There were further positive correlations between the executive network and postcentral gyrus (primary somatosensory cortex), and between the DAN and the white matter callosal cortex (controlled for APOE and BDNF genotypes). The subcallosal cingulate brain regions have been found to be involved in respiration control, blood pressure, and in emotional behaviour, and is related to mood disorders such as depression.

Cognitive reserve is a theoretical construct used to describe a mechanism in which the brain compensates or differentially recruits brain networks to maintain cognitive performance despite pathological disturbance. In a more recent review, cognitive reserve is described as being adaptable and flexible, which was related to improved efficiency and capacity of the brain. Cabeza, et al. on the other hand defined reserve as development of structural processes, in which neural processes enhance cognitive processes more efficiently. The question why cognitive reserve increases functional connectivity within the DAN and subcallosal cingulate area is difficult to interpret. Previous studies have shown that individuals with more years of education (used as a proxy for cognitive reserve) had enhanced functional connectivity implying that education may promote neural processing, reorganize the brain, and preserve cognitive functions in the process of healthy ageing. More recently, Franzmeier, et al. found that higher cognitive reserve in individuals with amnestic MCI was associated with protection of the functional networks of the anterior DMN-DAN anti-correlation, and also was associated with relatively preserved memory performance. Further, we observed that people with MCI and high cognitive reserve showed enhanced global functional connectivity within the control network compared to people with MCI with low cognitive reserve. It was suggested that the brain may compensate in individuals with higher cognitive reserve recruiting other brain regions to accomplish the cognitive functions. Another explanation was that functional connectivity may be enhanced in MCI before pathological alterations of AD manifest and reduce this connectivity. Although, cognitive reserve may influence functional connectivity positively and may have a protective effect on the brain, we also observed a decrease in functional connectivity with increasing cognitive reserve within the DMN and the supracalcarine cortex, intracalcarine cortex, cuneal cortex, and one cluster in the precuneus cortex (controlled for APOE and BDNF), which was surprising. In contrast, Bosch, et al. found increased DMN activity in healthy older individuals with higher cognitive reserve. These findings might reflect the different cognitive reserve measurements and different sample sizes. Utevsky, et al. also reported increased connectivity between the precuneus and the DMN in individuals at rest, however, when individuals were performing a task, enhanced connectivity was found between the precuneus and the fronto-parietal network suggesting high functional flexibility of the precuneus. In patients with MCI or AD, Bozzali, et al. also described increased DMN connectivity with higher education. Conversely, healthy controls did not show any significant increases in DMN connectivity indicating that education may have moderated functional connectivity in the context of pathological impacts on the brain through compensatory mechanisms and recruiting other brain regions.

A limitation of this study is the small sample size, at 76 participants. A much larger sample size, as reported in Cacciaglia, et al. may have increased the detection power relative to the BDNF and APOE polymorphisms. The study also focussed on an island cohort, hence replication in more diverse populations would be required. As noted above, it is also possible that this data may be influenced by the presence of sub-clinical pathological processes in the brains of older adults, such as alterations in amyloid-beta and tau, as well as any potential contributions from cerebrovascular disease. Because 160 volumes (time-points) for resting-state fMRI studies is limited and higher numbers of time-points provide a more accurate estimation, this is a further limitation of this study. Additionally, fieldmaps were acquired but due to technical errors in their acquisition they were unable to be used in the analysis, therefore we used a combination of manual and ICA-based denoising in the preprocessing stage (see Methods). Lastly, the resolution of the fMRI images was slightly lower than comparable studies (3.4 mm).

Conclusion

To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate functional connectivity with respect to combinations of APOE and BDNF variations and relative to cognitive reserve. The carriage of the APOE ε4 allele may influence functional connectivity in older adults without dementia. The interaction between the APOE and BDNF genotypes showing decreased functional connectivity especially in ε4 carriers compared to ε3 homozygotes relative to the BDNF Val66Met polymorphism may be influenced by the APOE status. Finally, this study showed that increased cognitive reserve is associated with enhanced or decreased functional connectivity in a network-specific fashion in older adults without dementia.
Materials and methods

Study population. Participants. For this study, a total sample of 76 healthy participants (53 females, 27 males) aged between 53 and 81 years (Quartile 1 = 58.75 years, median = 63 years, Quartile 3 = 68 years, Inter-quartile range = 9.25 years) were recruited from the THBP, a prospective cohort study investigating the effect of later-life education on cognitive ageing. A letter was sent out to all THBP participants inviting them to participate in the study. The information about the genetic variants of each consenting participants was known from previous studies and was examined. Volunteers who were invited to participate in this study were specifically selected for a balanced sample of APOE ε3/ε3 and ε3/ε4 variants and BDNF Val66Met Val/Val and Val/Met variants.

At the time of entry into the THBP (from 2011), the participants were healthy and reported no serious psychological, psychiatric, or medical disorders. Participants with pre-existing conditions were excluded, including cerebrovascular complications, poorly controlled diabetes, poorly controlled hypertension or hypotension, and neurological disorders. A total of 383 adults had commenced in the THBP by December 2012\(^{35}\). The current fMRI study participants were recruited between September 2017 and April 2018. Participants have a follow up every two years. The questionnaires examine current background situations such as neurological conditions, psychological conditions, heart-diseases, cancer, colour-blindness, eye vision, blood pressure, cholesterol, head injury, diabetes, kidney- and liver function, and vitamin intake. Within the time of recruitment and scanning (four years in), there was six participants reporting mild to moderate depression, four participants reporting treated cancer, 12 participants reporting higher cholesterol levels of which three are not controlled, six participants reported visual impairments and treatments, and one having a possible stroke. Study protocols for the THBP are described in Summers, et al.\(^{35}\). A flow chart representing all participants can be found within Fig. 4.

At the time of recruitment, the participants sample increased from 383 (in 2012) to 460 (in 2015, Ward et al., 2015). For the current study 76 subjects were included in the analysis. Recruitment occurred based on genotypes. Every two years participants are followed up and a medical questionnaire reports all medical conditions the participants experienced.

As part of the larger THBP study, participants chose to be in ‘experimental’ or ‘control’ groups. Participants in the experimental group had previously completed a minimum of 12 months (undergraduate or postgraduate) part-time or full-time university study, while control subjects did not participate in the education intervention. The proportion of experimental and control participants within the ε3 homozygotes (experimental = 33, controls = 9) and ε4 carriers (experimental = 26, controls = 8), and within Val homozygotes (experimental = 31, controls = 10) and Met carriers (experimental = 28, controls = 7) had a fairly balanced proportion and therefore the proportion of experimental and controls were not included as a covariate. Demographic and clinical data for the final sample at baseline are presented in Table 1.

Procedure. At baseline, THBP participants undertook a comprehensive clinical test battery measuring neuropsychological, cognitive, health, and psychosocial factors\(^{36}\), with clinical outcomes overseen by a neuropsychologist. Individuals with significant mental illness and/or impairment of cognitive function were excluded at baseline. This research study has been approved by the Tasmanian Health and Medical Human Research Ethics Committee (Ref No: H0016317) and conducted in accordance with National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Human Research (National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia). All subjects provided written informed consent.

Cognitive reserve. Cognitive reserve is a hypothetical construct that relates to properties of brain function that are protective in relation to neurodegeneration due to pathological burden. To measure cognitive reserve at baseline, a composite score was derived by factor analysis\(^{58}\) from the Wechsler Test of Adult Reading (WTAR; The Psychological Corporation, 2001)\(^{58}\), the Lifetime of Experience Questionnaire (LEQ;\(^{57}\); and the number of years of prior formal education\(^{55,58}\).

The WTAR provides scores about the premorbid intellectual functioning. The task includes 50 words with atypical grapheme to phoneme translation, which the individuals has to pronounce\(^{56,59}\). The LEQ provides information about the quality of prior lifetime experiences, such as educational and occupational activity\(^{57}\). The number of years in prior formal education were recorded on the Medical Health Status questionnaire.\(^{35}\) Cognitive reserve was estimated at baseline using a PCA-derived (Principal components analysis) weighted composite score, which were obtained from Ward, et al.\(^{38}\).

Genotyping. DNA samples from all 78 participants were available for the current study. The collection of DNA samples was carried out with Oragene DNA self-collection kits supplied by Genotek (DNA Genotek Inc., n.d.). BDNF Val66Met and APOE genotypes were determined through one-step amplified refractory mutation system polymere chain reaction (ARMS-PCR)\(^{60}\) and subsequent gel electrophoresis. For APOE, rs429358 and rs7412 were determined by a method reported in Donohoe, et al.\(^{41}\). For BDNF, Val66Met was determined by the method outlined in Sheikh, et al.\(^{62}\). For more information, please review Ward, et al.\(^{25}\). Participants were selected for the current study based on their genetic profile: APOE ε3ε3 & ε3ε4 and BDNF Val/Met & Val/Val.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). All brain scans were acquired using a General Electric (GE) Signa 3-Tesla scanner in the Royal Hobart Hospital. Foam pads and headphones were provided to minimize head movement and scanner noise. A Senior Specialist Radiographer supervised the MRI and was responsible for maintaining a safe working environment throughout the MRI session.

Before entering the scanner, participants were instructed to keep their eyes closed, to be relaxed and not to move, and not to fall asleep during data acquisition. The scan took approximately 30 min for each individual.
The imaging sequence was as follows: structural images were acquired using a T1-weighted 3D BRAVO sequence (TR = 1000, TE = 2.53 ms, 256 × 256 × 176 matrix, 1 × 1 × 1 mm voxels). T2-weighted FLAIR (Fluid attenuated inversion recovery) were acquired with 2 mm Iso, TR = 100 ms, TE = 1000 ms, TI = 2250 ms, bandwidth = 83.33 Hz/pixel. Resting state functional MRI scan was acquired using echo planar imaging with a 64 × 64 matrix, 3.438 × 3.438 mm in-plane resolution; slice thickness = 3.4 mm, TR = 2500 ms, TE = 30 ms, Field of view (FOV) = 22 cm.

Voxel-based morphometry (VBM). All 76 T1-weighted images were transformed to the correct format. The FSL standard VBM pipeline was followed (https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/FSLVBM/UserGuide) to produce GM maps, which assign a probability of grey matter to each voxel. The processing steps included brain extraction (BET)\(^7\), segmentation into white matter, grey matter (GM), and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) volume probability maps using FAST. A study-specific GM template was generated using non-linear registrations\(^7\) before concatenating them into a 4D image and then smoothed by a range of Gaussian kernels 3.5 mm.

Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) Data analysis. Resting-state fMRI data pre-processing. Resting-state images were pre-processed using FMRIB Software Library (FSL) v 5.0 (https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/). Each resting-state fMRI dataset consisted of 164 volumes. The first two volumes of each resting-state fMRI image were deleted to avoid potential field inhomogeneities at the beginning of image acquisition. For

Figure 4. Tasmanian Healthy Brain Project participants, recruitment, inclusion, exclusion, genotypes, and developed medical conditions.
Each dataset MCFLIRT (Motion Correction FMRIB's Linear Image Registration Tool)\(^9\) was used to correct for head motion. Brain Extraction Tool (BET)\(^70\) was used to digitally remove the skull and other non-brain tissue. Additionally, residual non-brain tissue was manually removed from T1 structural images using FSL (FMRIB's Easy Analysis Tool).\(^71\) This ensured appropriate registration from subject-to-standard-space (MNI152; BBR).\(^12\) Each resting-state image was registered to the corresponding structural T1 image (FLIRT)\(^99\), first, and then registered to MNI152 standard space\(^24\) using non-linear FNIRT tool\(^93\). Each dataset was resampled to \(2 \times 2 \times 2\) mm\(^3\) resolution in the final MNI152 space. Single datasets of the resting-state functional MRI were temporally high-pass filtered to eliminate slow drifts (cutoff period \(\sim 100.0\) s). In order to reduce noise and preserve spatial information, spatial smoothing with full width at half maximum (FWHM)\(^76\) of 5 mm Gaussian kernel was used to obtain resting-state networks for each participant.

The pre-processed data was de-noised and analysed using MELODIC (Multivariate Exploratory Linear Optimised Decomposition of Independent Components;\(^77\) Version v6.00 within FSL to decompose the data into independent components for each subject. Automatic dimensionality estimation was chosen to avoid overfitting. Each individual dataset was manually classified as signal and noise by looking at thresholded spatial maps and component labelled as noise was regressed out of the signal using fsl\_regfilt. All clean data sets were transformed from subject-to-standard space.

**Group independent components analysis.** To identify resting-state networks, a group-level ICA decomposition was used. Following Feis, et al.\(^79\) and Damoiseaux, et al.\(^28\) 25 independent components were extracted using MELODIC. This number of components was chosen to avoid "over-fitting", which implies that too many components could have caused fragmentation of signal across multiple component maps decreasing the ability to identify the signals of interest. These components reflected the canonical resting-state networks\(^25\). The group-average maps were used as a template for dual regression (see below). The components of the template were manually classified as resting-state networks or noise artefacts\(^27\). For our analysis, we retained the components of interest: DMN, SN, DAN.

**Dual regression.** The template from the group-ICA was used in a dual regression analysis to estimate differences in connectivity between different genetic groups. An additional dual regression was performed to investigate whether cognitive reserve alone influenced functional connectivity. The dual regression analysis estimates sensitivity to amplitude network activity and to alterations in spatially distributed correlation patterns\(^31\) of resting-state networks. The output of the dual regression produces subject-specific spatial maps and subject-specific data time courses\(^83\). A FSL tool, called randomise was used for nonparametric permutation inference\(^44\). With the “—vxl” and “—vxf” options the GM probability maps (GM\_mod\_merg) were included within the randomise function. General Linear Models (GLM) were used to identify group differences, using the GLM tool in FSL. Statistical significance was evaluated using Monte Carlo permutation-based statistical testing with 5000 permutations at alpha = 0.05\(^85\). Family-wise error corrections for multiple comparisons using threshold-free cluster enhancement was used to control Type 1 error rate when investigating differences between BDNF Met vs BDNF Val, APOE ε4 vs APOE non-ε4; and the interactions between Met/ε3, Met/ε4, Val/ε3, Val/ε4. For the genotype’s analyses, cognitive reserve, age, and GM maps were included as covariates to control for individual differences in these parameters. Using the Harvard–Oxford Cortical and Subcortical atlasses\(^46\), probabilistic anatomical labels for local maxima were obtained. All coordinates are reported in MNI space.

**Statistical analysis of demographics.** 5.4.1. Statistical analyses were performed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) Version 24 from Windows (Chicago, IL, USA). For demographics and clinical characteristics of the different polymorphisms, descriptive statistics were applied. A chi-squared test was performed to compare group differences in gender and education intervention groups across genotypes. A composite score for cognitive function on the domain of language was computed using factor loadings published in earlier work (Ward et al., 2014). The cognitive tests included were Wechsler adult intelligence scale vocabulary and comprehension subtests, and the Boston naming test. To aid interpretability, z-scores were computed by mean-centering and scaling to unit standard deviation (Ward et al., 2014).

**Data availability**
All fMRI data used in this study are archived at the University of Tasmania, Wicking Dementia Research and Education Centre. The author can provide any information on dataset if necessary. Data related to this paper may be requested from the corresponding author.

Received: 27 April 2020; Accepted: 24 June 2021
Published online: 15 July 2021

References
1. Gaugler, J., James, B., Johnson, T., Marin, A. & Weuve, J. 2019 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. *Alzheimers & Dementia* 15, 321–387. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2018.07.2161 (2019).
2. Mattson, M. P. Pathways towards and away from Alzheimer's disease. *Nature* 430, 631. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02621 (2004).
3. Raichle, M. E. et al. A default mode of brain function. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 98, 676–682. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.98.2.676 (2001).
4. Corbetta, M. & Shulman, G. L. Control of goal-directed and stimulus-driven attention in the brain. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 3, 201–215. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn755 (2002).
43. Drevets, W. C. et al. Subgenual prefrontal cortical abnormalities in mood disorders. Nature 386, 824. https://doi.org/10.1038/38682
(1997).

44. Stern, Y. Cognitive reserve. Neuropsychologia 47, 2015–2028. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2009.03.004 (2009).

45. Stern, Y. et al. Whitepaper: Defining and investigating cognitive reserve, brain reserve, and brain maintenance. Alzheimer's & Dementia (2018).

46. Cabeza, R. et al. Maintenance, reserve and compensation: the cognitive neuroscience of healthy ageing. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 19, 701–710 (2018).

47. Arenaza-Urquijo, E. M. et al. Relationships between years of education and gray matter volume, metabolism and functional connectivity in healthy elders. Neuroimage 83, 450–457. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.06.053 (2013).

48. Marques, P. et al. The functional connectome of cognitive reserve. Hum. Brain Mapp. 37, 3310–3322. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.23242 (2016).

49. Franzmeier, N. et al. Cognitive reserve moderates the association between functional network correlations and memory in MCI. Neurobiol. Aging 50, 152–162. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2016.11.013 (2016).

50. Franzmeier, N. et al. Resting-state global functional connectivity as a biomarker of cognitive reserve in mild cognitive impairment. Brain Imaging Behav. 11, 368–382. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11862-016-9599-1 (2017).

51. Bosch, B. et al. Cognitive reserve modulates task-induced activations and deactivations in healthy elders, amnestic mild cognitive impairment and mild Alzheimer’s disease. Cortex 46, 451–461. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2009.05.006 (2010).

52. Utevsky, A. V., Smith, D. V. & Huettel, S. A. Precenceu is a functional core of the default-mode network. J. Neurosci. 34, 932–940. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4227-13.2014 (2014).

53. Rozzali, M. et al. The impact of cognitive reserve on brain functional connectivity in Alzheimer’s disease. J. Alzheimers Dis. 44, 243–250. https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-141824 (2015).

54. Cacciaglia, R. et al. Effects of APOE-ε4 allele load on brain morphology in a cohort of middle-aged healthy individuals with enriched genetic risk for Alzheimer’s disease. Alzheimers Dement. 14, 902–912. https://doi.org/10.1017/tau.2018.016 (2018).

55. Summers, M. J. et al. The Tasmanian Healthy Brain Project (THBP): a prospective longitudinal examination of the effect of university-level education in older adults in preventing age-related cognitive decline and reducing the risk of dementia. Int. Psychogeriatr. 25, 1145–1159. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610210005380 (2013).

56. Wechsler, D. Wechsler Test of Adult Reading: WTAR. (Psychological Corporation, 2001).

57. Valenzuela, M. J. & Sachdev, P. Assessment of complex mental activity across the lifespan: development of the Lifetime of Experiences Questionnaire (LEQ). Psychol. Med. 37, 1015–1025. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033294006938X (2007).

58. Ward, D. D., Summers, M. J., Saunders, N. L. & Vickers, J. C. Modeling cognitive reserve in healthy middle-aged and older adults: the Tasmanian Healthy Brain Project. Int. Psychogeriatr. 27, 579–589. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610214002075 (2015).

59. Corporation, T. P. Wechsler Test of Adult Reading: WSTAR. (Psychological Corporation, 2001).

60. Little, S. Amplification-refractory mutation system (ARMS) analysis of point mutations. An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions using boundary-based registration. J. Neurosci. 20, 109. https://doi.org/10.1097/YJP.0b013e32833a2038 (2010).

61. Sheikh, H. I., Hayden, E. P., Kryski, K. R., Smith, H. J. & Singh, S. M. Genotyping the BDNF rs6265 (val66met) polymorphism by one-step amplified refractory mutation system PCR. Psychiatr. Genet. 20, 87–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pgen.2009.06.007 (2019).

62. Fischl, B. et al. Sequence-independent segmentation of magnetic resonance images. Neuroimage 23, S69–S84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.07.014 (2004).

63. Fischl, B. et al. Whole brain segmentation: automated labeling of neuroanatomical structures in the human brain. Neuron 33, 341–355. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(02)00569-X (2002).

64. Smith, S. M. et al. Advances in functional and structural MR image analysis and implementation as FSL. Neuroimage 23, S208–S219 (2004).

65. Jenkinson, M., Bannister, P., Brady, M. & Smith, S. Improved optimization for the robust and accurate linear registration and motion correction of brain images. Neuroimage 17, 825–841. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1053-8119(02)91312-8 (2002).

66. Desikan, R. S. et al. A automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. Neuroimage 31, 968–980. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.01.021 (2006).

67. Feis, R. A. et al. ICA-based artifact removal diminishes scan site differences in multi-center resting-state fMRI. Neuroimage 101, 179–189. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.01.028 (2014).

68. Feis, R. A. et al. ICA-based artifact removal diminishes scan site differences in multi-center resting-state fMRI. Neuroimage 101, 1370–1386. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.01.031 (2015).

69. Greve, D. N. & Fischl, B. Accurate and robust brain image alignment using boundary-based registration. Neuroimage 48, 63–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.06.060 (2009).

70. Jenkinson, M. & Smith, S. A global optimisation method for robust affine registration of brain images. Med. Image Anal. 5, 143–156 (2001).

71. Mazziotta, J. et al. A probabilistic atlas and reference system for the human brain: International Consortium for Brain Mapping (ICBM), Philosophical Trans. Royal Soc. Lond. Ser. B Biol. Sci. 356, 1293–1322 (2001).

72. Andersson, J. L., Jenkinson, M. & Smith, S. Non-linear registration, aka Spatial normalisation FMRI technical report TR07JA2. FMRIB Analysis Group of the University of Oxford 2 (2007).

73. Zhang, Y., Brady, M. & Smith, S. Segmentation of brain MR images through a hidden Markov random field model and the expectation-maximization algorithm. IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging 20, 45–57 (2001).

74. Beckmann, C. F. & Smith, S. M. Probabilistic independent component analysis for functional magnetic resonance imaging. IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging 23, 137–152. https://doi.org/10.1109/TMI.2003.822821 (2004).

75. Salimi-Khorshidi, G. et al. Automatic denoising of functional MRI data: combining independent component analysis and hierarchical fusion of classifiers. Neuroimage 90, 449–468. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.11.046 (2014).

76. Feis, R. A. et al. ICA-based artifact removal diminishes scan site differences in multi-center resting-state fMRI. Front. Neurosci. 9, 395 (2015).

77. Laird, A. R. et al. Behavioral interpretations of intrinsic connectivity networks. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 23, 4022–4037 (2011).

78. Nickerson, L. D., Smith, S. M., Öngür, D. & Beckmann, C. F. Using dual regression to investigate network shape and amplitude in functional connectivity analyses. Front. Neurosci. 11, 115. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2017.00115 (2017).

79. Beckmann, C. F., Mackay, C. E., Filippini, N. & Smith, S. M. Group comparison of resting-state FMRI data using multi-subject ICA and dual regression. Neuroimage 47, 5148. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.07.151 (2009).

80. Smith, D. V. et al. Characterizing individual differences in functional connectivity using dual-regression and seed-based approaches. Neuroimage 95, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.03.042 (2014).
Acknowledgements
The authors wish to acknowledge and thank Mr Paul McCarthy for assistance towards this project.

Author contributions
The manuscript was prepared, formalized and developed by M.P. A.B. contributed to the development and refinement. S.J. assisted with refinement. J.V. contributed to the development and refinement.

Funding
Funding for the Wicking Centre is provided by the J.O. and J.R. Wicking Trust (Equity Trustees). Sharna Jamadar is supported by an Australian National Health and Medical Research Council Fellowship APP1174164

Competing interests
The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information
Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-93610-0.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.P. or J.C.V.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021