Integration of genomics, high throughput drug screening, and personalized xenograft models as a novel precision medicine paradigm for high risk pediatric cancer
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ABSTRACT

Pediatric high grade gliomas (HGG) are primary brain malignancies that result in significant morbidity and mortality. One of the challenges in their treatment is inter- and intra-tumoral heterogeneity. Precision medicine approaches have the potential to enhance diagnostic, prognostic and/or therapeutic information. In this case study we describe the molecular characterization of a pediatric HGG and the use of an integrated approach based on genomic, in vitro and in vivo testing to identify actionable targets and treatment options. Molecular analysis based on WGS performed on initial and recurrent tumor biopsies revealed mutations in TP53, TSC1 and CIC genes, focal amplification of MYCN, and copy number gains in SMO and c-MET. Transcriptomic analysis identified increased expression of MYCN, and genes involved in sonic hedgehog signaling proteins (SHH, SMO, GLI1, GLI2) and receptor tyrosine kinase pathways (PLK, AURKA, c-MET). HTS revealed no cytotoxic efficacy of SHH pathway inhibitors while sensitivity was observed to the mTOR inhibitor temsirolimus, the ALK inhibitor ceritinib, and the PLK1 inhibitor BI2536. Based on the integrated approach, temsirolimus, ceritinib, BI2536 and standard therapy temozolomide were selected for further in vivo evaluation. Using the PDX animal model (median survival 28 days) we showed significant in vivo activity for mTOR inhibition by temsirolimus and BI2536 (median survival 109 and 115.5 days respectively) while ceritinib and temozolomide had a moderate effect (43 and 75.5 days median survival respectively). This case study demonstrates that an integrated approach based on genomic, in vitro and in vivo drug efficacy testing in a PDX model may be useful to guide the management of high risk pediatric brain tumor in a clinically meaningful timeframe.

Background

Improvements in outcomes for childhood cancer mean that the majority of children with cancer are now cured with standard cytotoxic therapies alone. However there are specific tumor types that still portend dismal prognoses and most children with relapsed disease have poor outcomes. Brain tumors in children now account for the single disease entity responsible for the most deaths in childhood. To improve outcomes for these children, many groups are focusing on the development of personalized medicine platforms that seek to integrate genomic testing into decision making practices in order to identify novel, individualized, and effective targeted therapies for each child.1,2 This strategy has, thus far, had limited success in many adult cancers, and significant challenges remain in pediatric cancer.3,4 A particularly concern is the lower mutational burden of childhood cancers, thus increasing the difficulty in finding effective drug targets.5 Moreover, the discovery of a targetable mutation does not always equate to drug response. This is especially true for central nervous system (CNS) tumors, where penetration of the blood brain barrier (BBB) is an added impediment to treatment efficacy.6,7
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Gliomas are primary brain malignancies which account for the majority of pediatric tumors involving the CNS. While in children most are low grade, high grade tumors, including glioblastoma (GBM), remain a formidable challenge. The current standard management is maximal surgical resection followed by irradiation. Temozolomide is often used as an adjuvant chemotherapeutic treatment, although its efficacy in the pediatric population has not clearly been established.

Recent advances in genomic analysis have identified 6 distinct molecular subgroups of GBM, and mutually exclusive patterns of mutations in the p53, Rb and PI3K pathways. This emphasizes the molecular heterogeneity of GBM, and highlights the potential to identify targetable genetic alterations that may lead to a more directed therapy in pediatric patients. Patients with high-grade gliomas with activating BRAF mutations, for example, have been shown to have profound responses to BRAF inhibitors. While these tumors make up a very small subgroup of patients, they highlight the potential utility of more extensive tumor profiling, including genomic and drug response assays. We hypothesized that a comprehensive precision medicine approach including molecular, in vitro and in vivo tumor profiling platform would highlight additional therapeutic options. Here we present a pediatric case of a high grade malignant brain tumor, that most closely resembled a GBM, and highlight the utility of combining molecular profiling, high throughput drug screening and in vivo drug efficacy testing to identify potential personalized therapies for high grade glioma (HGG) and potentially other aggressive childhood cancers in a clinically meaningful timeframe.

Case report
We report a 10-year-old boy with a multiple recurrent GBM who presented initially with a 2-week history of headaches and was found to have a mixed solid/cystic lesion in the right temporal lobe. He underwent gross total resection and histopathological examination showed a high grade malignant tumor, with some cells showing rhabdoid features, but retained INI-1 nuclear staining. DNA methylation profiling was performed on the primary tumor sample (FFPE) using Illumina Human Methylation 450 (450k) array, and used to classify the cancer type. The results showed a unique molecular profile, which has not been previously described. It was unable to be segregated into current subgroups, although it showed some resemblance to both atypical teratoid rhabdoid tumor (AT/RT) and glioblastoma receptor tyrosine kinase I (RTK-I) subgroups.

We next performed Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS) on the diagnostic tumor FFPE specimen to an average depth of 65X, and compared to matched germline at 39X. WGS indicated a hypodiploid tumor (ploidy 1.6) with a purity of 84%, consistent with conventional karyotypic findings. Extensive whole and segmental chromosome loss of heterozygosity/
deletion was observed across multiple regions (3, 4, 5, 6, 7q, 8, 9, 12q, 14, 15, 17, 22q), with copy number gain in 1q and 7q (Figure 2A, Supplementary Table 1). Focal amplification of chromosome 2p was observed at four discrete sites, including MYCN and DNMT3A, although the DNMT3A amplification was predicted to disrupt the gene (Figure 2A).

We identified 40,224 somatic mutations, a rate of 13.4 somatic mutations per MB – extremely high for GBM. Mutation signature analysis revealed a single dominant signature consistent with cytosine deamination due to formalin fixation and no evidence of kataegis or microsatellite instability. Given the dominance of the deamination signature, the apparent high mutation burden observed in this tumor may be due to the formalin fixation process rather than true hypermutation. Across all protein coding genes, there were 16 nonsense and 188 missense variants identified. In a set of known cancer genes, we identified nonsense somatic variants in the genes TSC1, TP53, and SMARCE1, and missense variants in PRF1, ADAMTS20, CIC and RYR1 (Table 1). Notably, comparison of local copy number estimates, purity, and variant frequency indicated that the TSC1, TP53, and SMARCE1 mutations were all clonal in the cancer cells, suggesting they were early events in tumorigenesis. The mutations in TSC1, TP53 and CIC were also subsequently validated using Sanger sequencing (Supplementary Table 2).

Consideration of the histopathological findings and the DNA methylation analysis ultimately led to a clinical diagnosis of GBM. The patient was treated with oral temozolomide and focal irradiation (59 Gy), but experienced progression within 4 weeks of completion of radiation, with a new metastatic nodule in the right parietal region. The recurrent nodule was resected and the patient proceeded to cranio-spinal irradiation, however he experienced further rapid tumor progression during therapy, with disease at the primary resection site, and extensive metastatic disease in the right frontal, parietal and temporal regions.

The patient entered palliative care, and died 2 months after the second resection, 7 months from his initial presentation. During the palliative phase, fresh tumor from the second resection was collected and the patient was enrolled as the first brain tumor patient on the TARGET study. The TARGET study is focused on the development of genomic platforms, high throughput drug screening, and PDX models to identify personalized therapies for patients with aggressive pediatric tumors. Fresh tumor tissue was split for molecular analysis and immediate processing for the establishment of neurosphere-forming culture and an orthotopic PDX model. A comprehensive genomic analysis strategy, including paired tumor-normal analysis with > 60x depth WGS, 500x depth targeted sequencing of 386-cancer genes, tumor-only low coverage RNA-Seq for detecting gene rearrangements, and isoform abundance. Furthermore, to identify deregulated gene expression resulting from the disease process we performed high throughput qRT-PCR in the recurrent tumor, snap frozen normal brain tissue and peripheral blood against a panel of manually curated cancer-related genes.

Analysis of the recurrent tumor by WGS revealed 12,283 somatic variants, including 124 rare missense and 8 nonsense or loss of function variants (Figure 2B). The substantially higher sequencing depth from targeted sequencing did not reveal any additional clinically relevant variants. Analysis of copy number data by WGS and targeted sequencing revealed extensive loss of heterozygosity (Figure 2B), driven by either single-copy deletion of chr 3, 4, 6q, 8, 9, 12q, 14, 15p, or copy neutral LOH of chr5 and 6p. Copy number gains were observed in chr6p, 7q, and X, resulting in an XXY karyotype. No evidence of a temozolomide mutation signature was observed, consistent either with the poor clinical response observed in this patient, or insufficient time for treatment-related mutations to accumulate (Supplementary Figure 1).

The primary and recurrent tumors were highly similar at the gross genomic level, with nearly identical copy number and
heterozygosity profiles (Figure 3A, Supplementary Table 1). In contrast, at the fine variant level (SNVs, indels), the two tumors were quite dissimilar, with just 3.6% of all somatic SNV and indel variants identified with VAF > 5% shared in both tumors, increasing to 30.1% when considering variants with VAF > 25% (Supplementary Figure 2A-D). We identified just one shared translocation, from a total of 73 candidate fusions in either sample (Supplementary Figure 2E), fusing together chr 7p-13q (highlighted in Figure 2), which did not result in an in frame fusion partner. The focal MYCN amplification observed in the primary tumor increased from ~ 17 copies to ~ 41 copies in the recurrent tumor, consistent with either clonal selection of the MYCN amplified clone, or reduced detection sensitivity due to FFPE artifacts in the primary tumor.

**Figure 3. Comparison of primary and recurrent tumor genomes.** (A) Copy number profiles across the genome in the primary and recurrent tumors. Read depth is shown relative to the blood reference for the primary (upper trace) and recurrent (lower trace) tumors, with segments colored by heterozygosity status. Primary and recurrent tumors display very similar copy number and heterozygosity profiles, with the focal amplifications of MYCN and DNMT3A on chromosome 2 present in both (not shown to scale); (B) SNV and indel variant allele frequencies (VAF) are compared between the primary and recurrent tumors. Contiguous variants with similar VAFs were clustered and are shown as single circles, with the circle size proportional to the number of variants in the cluster. The three deleterious variants identified in cancer-related genes are shown as labeled triangles. The linked TP53 and SMARCE1 variants had high VAFs in both samples, consistent with an early clonal event. The TSC1 variant also was likely an early and clonal event, with copy number and heterozygosity analysis indicating that the increase in TSC1 variant VAF was driven by amplification of the mutant locus rather than selection of a subclone.
Despite these widespread genomic differences, most of the candidate variants highlighted in the diagnostic sample were retained in the recurrent tumor (Table 1). Notably, variants that were observed in the diagnostic specimen, including the targetable TSC1 frameshift, were present at similar or higher allele frequency in the recurrence sample, strongly suggesting that these mutations occurred early in a common progenitor clone, with the TSC1 mutation possibly under selection (Figure 3B). Collectively, these data are consistent with widespread aneuploidy, MYCN amplification and mutations in TP53 and TSC1 as early driver events in the evolution of the tumor.

Gene expression analysis was performed using a panel of 96 cancer-related genes on the recurrent biopsy and normal cerebellum control (obtained from a pediatric autopsy specimen) by RNA sequencing and qRT-PCR (Fluidigm, Biomark). The genes were ranked from highest fold change in expression (1) to lowest (96) (Figure 4). We detected elevated GLI1 expression by qRT-PCR indicating activation of the sonic hedgehog (SHH) signaling pathway. RNA sequencing data revealed upregulated expression of other SHH pathway members, SMO, GLI2 and GLI3, with no corresponding copy number increase by WGS, providing further evidence of the involvement of this signaling pathway in the tumor. Consistent with the MYCN amplification, we observed high gene expression by qRT-PCR and RNA seq (Figure 4). Furthermore, immunoblotting analysis performed on HGG cell lysates confirmed elevated MYCN protein levels (Supplementary Figure 3). We also detected high gene expression in a number of genes involved in receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) pathways such as Tyrosine-Protein Kinase Met (c-MET) with moderate copy number amplification, Aurora Kinase A (AURKA) and Polo-Kinase 1 (PLK1), with no underlying increase in copy number.

In parallel with the molecular analysis, the neurosphere-forming GBM culture was established within 4 weeks of obtaining the recurrent biopsy and used to examine the cytotoxic efficacy of a targeted library consisting of 128 anti-cancer drugs currently approved or under clinical development for pediatric cancers. Figure 5A shows the IC₅₀ compared to untreated glioma cells presented as a heat-map. We found 12/128 drugs resulted in ≤ 50% viability at concentrations below 0.05 µM. However only 4 of these temsirolimus (mTOR inhibitor), BI2536 (PLK1 inhibitor), enzalutamide (antiandrogen) and omacetaxine (mRNA translation inhibitor) have been previously shown to be able to cross the BBB. Interestingly PLK1 (which is inhibited by BI2536) was found to be 2.5x over-expressed in the biopsy sample by RNA sequencing. In contrast, there were no changes at the molecular level for androgen receptor or protein synthesis pathways. A further 12 drugs were found to be effective between 0.05 and 0.5 µM, with 2 of these (ceritinib 0.4 µM IC₅₀ and cladribine 0.069 µM IC₅₀) being reported to cross the BBB effectively. Ceritinib is known to target the ALK tyrosine kinase receptor, however, we found no overexpression of ALK or other known targets such as IGF-R and INSR (Supplementary Figure 4). Other known ceritinib targets include c-MET, which was found to be overexpressed, however sensitivity to the known c-MET inhibitor crizotinib was not superior (1.53 µM IC₅₀) to that of ceritinib suggesting that it is less likely to be acting through this target (Supplementary Figure 4). Furthermore, although genomic/transcriptomic analysis does not indicate involvement of ALK, it is possible that post-translational modifications may alter the activity of this kinase.

Interestingly, although molecular analysis revealed a mutation on TSC1 (which predicts activation of mTOR pathway),
the GBM cells did not show any sensitivity to mTOR inhibitor temsirolimus in the HTS. Subsequent \textit{in vitro} cytotoxicity experiments, which employed the formation of neurospheres prior to temsirolimus treatment, indicated a lower IC$_{50}$ but with a flat dose response curve (Figure 5). A very similar dose response was observed for another mTOR inhibitor everolimus (Supplementary Figure 5A). Furthermore, colony formation assays performed on the HGG cells treated with temsirolimus and everolimus indicated sensitivity to both mTOR targeted agents (Supplementary Figure 5B). Despite the findings of gene expression analysis we did not observe any sensitivity to the SHH pathway inhibitor vismodegib. Compared to the three targeted agents temsirolimus, BI2536 and ceritinib, temozolomide did not show significant cytotoxic activity against the primary GBM cells (Figure 5B).

To further evaluate the therapeutic efficacy of the identified targeted therapies, we established an orthotopic PDX model for which tumor engraftment was confirmed by neurological decline, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and histological staining of brain tissues from xenografted mice. Immunohistochemical analysis performed on brains of xenografted animals indicated strongly positive staining for phosphorylated S6 Kinase (a downstream mTOR target) and phosphorylated PLK-1 while moderate phosphorylated staining was observed for ALK indicating post-translational modification and confirming further the selection of temsirolimus, BI2536 and ceritinib as the targeted agents for \textit{in vivo} testing (Supplementary Figure 6). Furthermore, in contrast to gene expression, staining was not observed for phosphorylated MET or total MET however this finding is in agreement with the lack of response to MET inhibitor crizotinib.

For the therapeutic efficacy study mice were injected with $5 \times 10^4$ cultured (passage 2) primary glioma cells using stereotactic equipment (injection coordinates relative to bregma X = +1.5, Y = +1, Z = -3 mm). At 10 days post intracranial injection, animals were randomly separated into 5 treatment groups each comprising 9–12 mice, and monitored for neurological decline and weight loss (>20% of maximum weight) until they either reached experimental endpoint or, if they remained asymptomatic, for up to 158 days. The following 3 candidate drugs were selected for further \textit{in vivo} testing: BI2536 (25mg/kg/day IP, 2 days/week for 7 weeks), in view of its \textit{in vitro} activity, its ability to cross the BBB and the presence of
PLK-1 over-expression; temsirolimus (20mg/kg/day IP, 5 days/week for 8 weeks), given the presence of a driver TSC1 mutation; and ceritinib (25mg/kg/day gavage, 5 days/week for 5 weeks), due to its potent in vitro activity and over-expression of c-MET. Temozolomide (15mg/kg/day, gavage, 3 days/week for 6 weeks) was used as a standard treatment control. The therapeutic doses for each drug were selected based on previous experiments which were performed to determine the maximum tolerated doses.

We found that the median survival from the time of intracranial injection in the vehicle group was approximately 28 days, whereas temozolomide treated mice survived 47 days (Figure 6A). In contrast ceritinib treated mice survived even longer (up to 73.5 days). Mice treated with temsirolimus and BI2536 showed the greatest in vivo efficacy with enhanced median survival to 109 and 115.5 days respectively (Figure 6A). The temsirolimus and BI2536 treated groups also contained the highest number of asymptomatic mice (4/12 and 5/12 respectively). At the trial endpoint these mice showed no evidence of tumor growth as assessed either by MRI or histological analysis (Figure 6B). In contrast, in the surviving ceritinib and temozolomide treated animals, residual disease was observed. All treated mice that succumbed to the disease had tumor growth confirmed by MRI prior to sacrifice, and by immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis (Supplementary Figure 7).

Discussion

The current standard treatment of pediatric GBM is radiotherapy ± temozolomide, and the molecular heterogeneity of this aggressive brain cancer poses a challenge in providing more effective therapeutic options. Previous studies in adult HGGs have attempted to utilize genomic information to guide treatment although without always improving patient outcomes or survival. These and other personalized medicine studies tend to rely exclusively on sequencing a panel of cancer-related genes to determine targeted therapies. Few studies performed in pediatric cancer patients, have highlighted the need for more extensive molecular characterization based on whole exome sequencing and RNA sequencing of solid tumors and hematologic malignancies. Although a relatively low number of actionable mutations (~38–46%) were found across all the studies, the number of matched targeted therapies was even lower (~16–25%) due to the

![Figure 6. In vivo efficacy of selected chemotherapeutic agents against in orthotopic PDX animals.](image-url)
lack of safety and efficacy data in patients with childhood malignancies. \(^{17-21}\) Furthermore in pediatric cancers the mutational burden is markedly lower than that seen in adult cancers, \(^{5,14,17}\) meaning that the benefit of precision medicine trials based on mutational analysis alone may also be lower. While much of the mutational burden in adult cancers may relate to passenger mutations, and therefore have minimal impact on treatment options, the drivers that are found in pediatric cancers are more likely to have epigenetic mechanisms (eg nMyc overexpression, histone K27M mutations) with no clear therapeutic options. We hypothesized that a multi-platform and functional profiling approach could lead to identification of actionable targets and active drugs (previously clinically validated in pediatric cancer patients) with greater potential to make a clinical impact.

We report here on the first pediatric brain tumor to be profiled on the TARGET study, using a novel platform of genomic analysis, \textit{in vitro} drug screening and an orthotopic PDX animal model. In the PDX model the tumor displayed an aggressive phenotype with engraftment validated by MRI three weeks after intracranial injection and subsequent progression, closely mimicking the clinical setting.

Following molecular analysis of the initial and recurrent tumors we discovered a loss of function mutation on TSC1, a key negative regulator of the mTOR pathway. Subsequent histological analysis of the brains from xenografted animals indicated elevated positive staining of mTOR downstream target P-S6K suggesting activation of this pathway. Treatment with temsirolimus in the PDX model resulted in a significant extension of survival with nearly 33% of the mice being “cured”. TSC1/2 mutations are well recognized in benign tumors and are rare in malignant tumors, \(^{22}\) although they have been reported in renal cell cancer (RCC) and in sporadic adult and pediatric GBM cases. \(^{23-27}\) Although loss of either TSC1 or TSC2 is not regarded as sufficient for gliomagenesis it may accelerate tumor development when combined with other oncogenic signals. \(^{9,28,29}\) Apart from TSC1, this patient’s tumor also displayed a mutation in TP53, which is one of the most frequently altered genes in cancer including pediatric HGG. \(^{30,31}\) Although the effects of combined loss of TP53 and TSC1 gene function have not been studied in detail, it has been associated with cancer progression in adult cases of RCC \(^ {32,33}\) and mesothelioma. \(^ {34}\) Furthermore, mTOR inhibitors such as temsirolimus have been approved for the treatment of RCC and TSC and mTOR mutations are more frequently associated with responders. \(^ {35}\) Thus, the presence of a TSC1 mutation in a case of GBM suggests that an mTOR inhibitor may be an active therapy. This is supported by the response seen \textit{in vivo} in the PDX model, and could provide useful information to the clinician to help prioritize treatment options.

In addition to temsirolimus, the PLK1 inhibitor BI2536 was a highly effective agent in the PDX model. In xenografted animals we observed elevated staining for P-PLK1. PLK1 levels have been reported to be increased by TSC1 loss \(^ {36}\) making it plausible that both BI2536 and temsirolimus are targeting the same clonal TSC1 driver event. Supporting the early role of TSC1 and downstream PLK1 in the pathogenesis of this cancer, increased PLK1 activity leads to greater cytometric pattern observed in both tumor samples. Dual inhibition of PLK1 and mTOR has demonstrated enhanced cytotoxicity to TSC1 or TSC2 null cells \textit{in vitro} \(^ {37}\) and dual therapy with temsirolimus and BI2536 is a promising avenue for further study in the orthotopic PDX model.

Gene expression analysis showed high levels of MYCN, PLK1 and SHH pathway mediators. In particular, MYCN amplification was observed in both the initial and recurrent tumor. Here too, the \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} findings helped to understand and prioritise these results. Although SMO copy number gain was observed by molecular analysis in both the initial and recurrent tumor, the \textit{in vitro} experiments showed no sensitivity to SHH inhibitors suggesting little clinical utility in this approach. While it has been suggested in other precision medicine studies that MYCN amplification is an actionable finding, in practice MYCN inhibitors are not clinically available. \(^ {38,39}\) Although ALK was not over-expressed on gene expression analysis, and no ALK fusions nor receptor tyrosine kinase somatic mutations were observed, moderately higher levels of P-ALK was observed in the brains of xenografted animals while the ALK inhibitor ceritinib was active on the high throughput screen. Similarly, the \textit{in vivo} experiments indicated moderate but significantly improved survival of PDX mice.

Collectively these results show that integration of genomics, transcriptomics, \textit{in vitro} activity and \textit{in vivo} results, can help prioritize individualized treatments. Lack of efficacy \textit{in vitro} could be used to lower or enhance drug priority over genomic studies alone, while \textit{in vivo} results showed a clear differential of drug activity. These exciting findings highlight the utility of performing biopsy of aggressive tumors and using an integrated genomic, \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} platform to help define personalized therapies. A similar case report study recently reported the use of genomic, transcriptomic and PDX methods for the identification of targeted therapies for a pediatric patient with poorly differentiated carcinoma. \(^ {40}\) Although these analyses suggested the use of mTOR and MEK inhibitors the patient received a temsirolimus in combination with temozolomide/irinotecan during which treatment progressed rapidly. Subsequent evaluation of this combination therapy in the PDX model indicated antagonistic interaction between temsirolimus/irinotecan suggesting the necessity to implement in depth characterization of clinically relevant combinations \(^ {40}\) using PDX models.

A challenge in the implementation of PDX models is the time taken to develop and treat the animals to obtain results. In the case described here, clear survival results were demonstrated 100 days after intracranial injection. The TARGET study is a pilot feasibility trial, aiming to build the laboratory platform only, and not aiming to feedback results to patients. The patient was enrolled after tumor progression and a time frame that could be used to alter a patients treatment, even before the tumor recurs. Notably, even though there
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were many genomic differences between the tumor at diagnosis and relapse, the driver mutations were common to both tumors. Further studies are needed to establish whether the same drugs used to treat PDX models established at diagnosis will also be active in PDX models established at relapse.

Genomic technologies have a much faster turn-around than PDX and in vitro models, and in this case the time from DNA receipt to recommendation of mTOR inhibition was eight days. Although genomics does not provide the definitive measurement of treatment effect granted by in vitro or PDX models, its fast turnaround enables a staggered approach, where an initial genomics-based recommendation is made available rapidly for action in the case of quick disease progression, with refinements or changes in therapy possible when further in vitro and in vivo results become available.

In conclusion this case study describes promising results and indicates that an integrated approach based on genomic analysis, HTS and drug efficacy testing in a PDX model has the potential to guide patient management. The TARGET study has continued to recruit pediatric patients with high-risk brain, solid and liquid tumors to further develop this novel precision medicine platform. The platform is now being expanded to a national Australian trial for all high risk pediatric cancer patients, with samples taken early in the patients’ treatment course, and treatment intervention then offered to patients, in order to assess their impact on patient outcomes.
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