C. dubliniensis in an immunocompetent patient with metal lingual frenulum piercing

Gary Ventolini, Peihsuan Tsai, Lee David Moore

Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center at the Permian Basin, 701 W 5th Street, Odessa, TX 79763, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Candida
Dubliniensis
Frenulum
Lingual
Piercing

ABSTRACT

Candida spp. are opportunistic unicellular fungi, known to cause oral, vaginal, lung and occasionally systemic infections. Characteristically, they colonize the oral cavity, the mucosal surfaces of the cheek, palate, and tongue. Oral Candida species are usually harmless, but they may become pathogenic under immunosuppressive conditions, with the presence of dentures, or with impaired salivary flow. Accurate species identification is important because C. dubliniensis can rapidly develop fluconazole resistance. We report C. dubliniensis in an immunocompetent patient with a metal lingual frenulum piercing.

1. Introduction

Candida spp. are opportunistic unicellular fungi known to cause to oral, vaginal, lung, and occasionally systemic infections. Characteristically, they colonize the oral cavity, the mucosal surfaces of the cheek, palate, and tongue. Oral Candida species are usually harmless, but they may become pathogenic under immunosuppressive conditions, with the presence of dentures, or with impaired salivary flow. Candida species of clinical interest include: C. albicans, C. tropicalis, C. krusei, C. parapsilosis, C. dubliniensis, C. glabrata, and C. lusitaniae [5].

Accurate identification of the species is important for appropriate treatment.

According to our literature search, this is the first reported case of C. dubliniensis in an immunocompetent patient with a metal lingual frenulum piercing.

Reviewing and reporting a de-identified photograph was not considered clinical research by the Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center Internal Review Board, therefore IRB approval was not required by TTUHSC.

2. Case

The patient, a 20-year-old, healthy, Caucasian woman in a stable exclusive lesbian relationship, consulted the gynecologic clinic with her partner for recurrent vaginal fungal infections on day 0. The patient also complained of under the tongue redness with a thick white plaque, stomatopyrosis (mouth burning), and soreness for 10 days. On +30 d, both their vagina cultures returned positive for C. dubliniensis. She had her tongue frenulum pierced more than a year ago (Figs. 1–3).

Both their fresh normal saline wet-mount microphotographs demonstrated an abundance of chlamydospores in pairs, chains, clusters, and short pseudo hyphae typical of C. dubliniensis. Microbiologic culture on classical Sabouraud was done and colony morphology type in Sabouraud-triphenyltetrazolium agar confirmed C. dubliniensis on day +30. The patient removed her piercing on day 0 and her symptoms regressed. She was treated with topical vaginal boric acid and oral nystatin suspension beginning on day 0 for two weeks. Her symptoms improved after two weeks and her test of cure returned negative on day +60.

3. Discussion

C. dubliniensis was described in 1995 in HIV positive patients in Dublin, Ireland. Is is dimorphic yeast of the genus Candida, phenotypically similar but genotypically distinct to C. albicans with a distinct phylogenetic cluster in DNA fingerprinting. These fungi form dark green colonies on chromogenic Candida agar plates and are identified by Bichro-Dubli latex agglutination test. Additionally C. dubliniensis will not survive when cultured above 42 °C [10].

C. dubliniensis has a decreased ability to form hyphae but will form chlamydospores (in pairs, chains, and clusters). C. dubliniensis has the ability to rapidly develop resistance to fluconazole. This resistance is mediated by a multidrug transporter that is rapidly mobilized in vitro after fluconazole exposure. Retrospective studies revealed that C. dubliniensis had been commonly misidentified as C. albicans; therefore proper identification is mandatory in patients with oral candidiasis [11].
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The surface of the tongue is considered to be an ideal environment for candida colonization because of the humidity, temperature, and existence of hidden niches between the papillae of the tongue [2]. This finding was supported by a study which concluded that the tongue is the primary oral reservoir for candida species [12]. As the most common oral candidiasis typically affects the surface of the tongue, it can be surmised that the tongue may harbor many of the potentially pathogenic candida species responsible for oral candida infection [13].

The stratified squamous epithelium of the buccal mucosa was found to be less ideal for candida colonization compared to the papillary surface of the tongue. A possible reason for this is the continuous shedding by exfoliation of the buccal mucosa, as buccal epithelial cells have an estimated turnover rate of 5–6 days [14].

The unique qualities of the squamous epithelium of the palate, which is keratinized and is also less permeable compared to buccal mucosa, may be why the palate was found to have a lower percentage of candida occurrences compared to the tongue and buccal mucosa [15]. Furthermore, as different oral sites have different cell surface recep-

tors, it is also a possibility that the cell surface receptors of the palate favor the cell surface adhesions of bacterial species over candida species [15].

Hennequin-Hoenderdos et al. systemically searched the literature for case reports concerning adverse effects associated with oral and peri-oral piercings regarding general and oral health [6]. They concluded that oral and peri-oral piercings were not risk free [1,3]. Common side effects include: swelling, pain, infection, hypersalivation, nerve damage, allergic reaction to the jewelry, and prolonged bleeding [7]. The procedure of oral piercing is done without anesthesia. A sharp needle is used for piercing followed by insertion of the jewelry. A person receiving oral piercing must take diligent care to prevent infection. In addition, there are risks attributable to the fact that the body piercing industry is minimally regulated. Many body piercers lack proper training as they often learn the practice via videos, books, observation, and trial-and-error procedures [7]. Piercers are also often unlicensed and lack an adequate amount of relevant medical and anatomical knowledge, which put their clients at higher risk for severe complications [7,4].

In our case, the patient’s oral piercing had been uninfected and uncomplicated for a year prior to her visit to the clinic. With recurrent vaginal candidiasis, proper identification of the genus is mandatory in order to provide optimal treatment [9]. Similarly, diagnostic efforts for recurrent or coexistent oropharyngeal candidiasis should also be genus specific. According to Sullivan et al., epidemiological data on the prevalence of C. dubliniensis suggested that it is relatively rare in the normal oral flora of immunocompetent individuals, but is much more common in immunocompromised patients, especially in those infected with HIV [8]. Our patient was otherwise healthy and in an exclusive lesbian relationship. Therefore, we believe that oral piercings, especially frenulum tongue piercings, may be an independent risk factor for developing non-albicans oropharyngeal candidiasis. In order to provide the best treatment for these patients, clinicians should strive to identify the specific candida species.
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