Sensitivity of soil hydrogen uptake to natural and managed moisture dynamics in a semiarid urban ecosystem
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ABSTRACT

The North American Monsoon season (June–September) in the Sonoran Desert brings thunderstorms and heavy rainfall. These rains bring cooler temperature and account for roughly half of the annual precipitation making them important for biogeochemical processes. The intensity of the monsoon rains also increase flooding in urban areas and rely on green infrastructure (GI) stormwater management techniques such as water harvesting and urban rain gardens to capture runoff. The combination of increased water availability during the monsoon and water management provide a broad moisture regime for testing responses in microbial metabolism to natural and managed soil moisture pulses in drylands. Soil microbes rely on atmospheric hydrogen (H₂) as an important energy source in arid and semiarid landscapes with low soil moisture and carbon availability. Unlike mesic ecosystems, transient water availability in arid and semiarid ecosystems has been identified as a key limiting driver of microbe-mediated H₂ uptake. We measured soil H₂ uptake in rain gardens exposed to three commonly used water harvesting practices during the monsoon season in Tucson AZ, USA. In situ static chamber measurements were used to calculate H₂ uptake in each of the three water harvesting treatments passive (stormwater runoff), active (stored rooftop runoff), and greywater (used laundry water) compared to an unaltered control treatment to assess the effects of water management practices on soil microbial activity. In addition, soils were collected from each treatment and brought to the lab for an incubation experiment manipulating the soil moisture to three levels capturing the range observed from field samples. H₂ fluxes from all treatments ranged between −0.72 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹ and −3.98 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹ over the monsoon season. Soil H₂ uptake in the greywater treatment was on average 53% greater than the other treatments during pre-monsoon, suggesting that the increased frequency and availability of water in the greywater treatment resulted in higher H₂ uptake during the dry season. H₂ uptake was significantly correlated with soil moisture (r = −0.393, p = 0.001, df = 62) and temperature (r = 0.345, p = 0.005, df = 62). Our findings suggest that GI managed residential soils can maintain low levels of H₂ uptake during dry periods, unlike unmanaged systems. The more continuous H₂ uptake...
associated with GI may help reduce the impacts of drought on H₂ cycling in semiarid urban ecosystems.
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INTRODUCTION

Atmospheric H₂ is an abundant trace gas with a global average of 530 ppb (Schmidt, 1974; Novelli et al., 1999). The primary sources of atmospheric H₂ are identified as photochemical oxidation, combustion of fossil fuels, and biomass burning (Novelli et al., 1999). H₂ is an indirect greenhouse gas that contributes to climate change by competing for reactions with hydroxyl (OH) radicals in the troposphere (Lee, Rahn & Throop, 2012). If OH radicals react with H₂, they are no longer available to react with methane (CH₄), a potent greenhouse gas, leading to more atmospheric CH₄ (Prather, 2003). H₂ is also a source of water vapor production in the stratosphere, which directly impacts ozone (Lee, Rahn & Throop, 2012). It is estimated that half of H₂ emissions are from human activity, disproportionately impacting atmospheric H₂ concentrations and the H₂ cycle of urban ecosystems (Novelli et al., 1999; Ehhalt & Rohrer, 2009). Thus, quantifying soil H₂ uptake in urban built landscapes is critical for understanding potential H₂ sinks, and the role of natural and water-managed landscapes on H₂ cycling in drylands.

Soil uptake is the primary sink for H₂ from the atmosphere and is dominated by high affinity hydrogen-oxidizing bacteria (HA-HOB) (Conrad & Seiler, 1979; Conrad, 1996; Novelli et al., 1999; Ehhalt & Rohrer, 2009; Khdhiri et al., 2015; Piché-Choquette & Constant, 2019) that efficiently consume atmospheric H₂. Annually, 75% of tropospheric H₂ is oxidized by soil microbes for use as an energy source (Schmidt, 1974; Rhee, Brenninkmeijer & Röckmann, 2006). The uptake of atmospheric H₂ into soils also contributes to the natural cycling of H₂ and has been studied extensively (Smith-Downey, Randerson & Eiler, 2006, 2008; Constant, Poissant & Vilemura, 2009; Meredith et al., 2014, 2017; Greening et al., 2015; Khdhiri et al., 2015; Piché-Choquette & Constant, 2019). Both field and laboratory studies have shown that H₂ uptake increases with soil temperature and drying (Smith-Downey, Randerson & Eiler, 2006, 2008; Meredith et al., 2014, 2017). Although microbial H₂ uptake varies during different life stages and is more common during late growth stages and dormancy (Constant et al., 2010; Meredith et al., 2014; Greening et al., 2015), recent studies have shown microbial uptake during active growth (Islam et al., 2020), which may be stimulated by moisture availability in arid and semiarid environments, highlighting the importance of H₂ for microbial metabolism in desert biomes (Jordaan et al., 2020).

Arid lands account for roughly 41% of the Earth’s terrestrial surface, support more than one third of the world population, and are projected to expand with changes in climate (Wang, Chen & Dong, 2006; Feng & Fu, 2013; Maestre et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2016; Práválie, 2016). In arid and semiarid ecosystems, natural precipitation regimes are
important determinants of ecological activity (Noy-Meir, 1973; Huxman et al., 2004). However, changes in natural hydrological processes due to human activity and management may be as important as natural patterns of precipitation on biogeochemical cycling (Austin et al., 2004). As more of the world’s population inhabit and alter drylands, reliance on both natural and managed water sources will also increase.

Tucson, AZ is a city in the Southwest United States which experiences strong seasonal precipitation and increased reliance on green infrastructure (GI) water management practices. The city is home to nearly one million residents and is located in the Sonoran Desert, a semiarid ecosystem with two distinct rainy seasons, one during the winter months and one in the summer (Dimmitt, 2000). Unlike the winter rainy season, the summer rains are caused by the North American Monsoon which is characterized by a seasonal shift in the direction of the prevailing winds from the Pacific to winds from the south and southeast, leading to extreme precipitation events in the Southwest. The intensity of summer rain events accounts for half of the annual precipitation and is met by the reduced ability of urban desert soils to rapidly infiltrate such large volumes of water, which can lead to runoff and flash flooding. To combat stormwater runoff and flooding during the North American Monsoon season, cities like Tucson have implemented GI water management practices to mimic natural hydrological processes by directing and capturing large volumes of rainwater in the soils.

GI techniques include greywater harvesting, rain water harvesting, bioretention basins, and green rooftops. The implementation of these techniques can help mimic the natural water cycle, alter abiotic factors like soil moisture and temperature, and increase microbe-mediated processes that can alter biogeochemical cycling. For example, microbe-mediated fluxes of important trace gases like carbon dioxide (CO₂), nitrous oxide (N₂O), and methane (CH₄) varied with GI design (Grover et al., 2013; McPhillips, Goodale & Walter, 2017; Shrestha, Hurley & Adair, 2018). Moreover, GI designed biofilters commonly used for stormwater management acted as a sink for CH₄, and were a small source of N₂O during both wet and dry periods with greater CH₄ emissions during extreme wet events (Grover et al., 2013). Although soil moisture has been identified as the primary driver of fluxes, soil temperature was also reported as a driver of small and variable soil N₂O fluxes and CO₂ emissions from roadside bioretention basins (Shrestha, Hurley & Adair, 2018). In addition, flow-through bioretention basins (flat bottom soil bed) using engineered soil media with high phosphorus and C content were sources of CO₂, N₂O and CH₄ (McPhillips, Goodale & Walter, 2017). Although these studies highlight impacts of GI on greenhouse gas emissions, they are constrained to moist temperate climates which may not translate to understanding natural and managed water inputs from water harvested rain gardens in desert urban ecosystems.

GI water management practices combined with the natural precipitation patterns in dryland environments impact soil moisture and temperature which directly impact biogeochemical cycling (Buzzard et al., 2021). Rain gardens irrigated with harvested water are common GI techniques that alter the nutrient inputs, and the frequency and quantity of wetting events. The soil moisture legacy in GI systems is also dependent on the local monsoonal precipitation regime, which brings cooler temperature and ensures water is
replenished for irrigation during periods of drought. These changes in precipitation imposed by this natural and managed moisture regime provide a unique opportunity to directly measure these critical environmental drivers to better understand the role of soil $H_2$ uptake in a dryland environment. In this study, we use a residential GI rain garden to assess how seasonal precipitation and irrigation from harvested water sources impact $H_2$ fluxes. To test the combined effects of seasonal precipitation and GI water management on soil $H_2$ fluxes, we ask, (i) how does the precipitation regime, specifically monsoons, of the Sonoran desert, influence $H_2$ fluxes?; and (ii) do different GI water harvesting techniques affect soil $H_2$ fluxes? Greater $H_2$ uptake has been observed in ecosystems with high temperature and variable soil moisture, highlighting the sensitivity of $H_2$ to soil moisture availability in water-limited environments (Conrad & Seiler, 1985). Therefore, we hypothesize that increased water availability observed during the monsoon season leads to greater $H_2$ uptake and that soils from GI water harvesting rain gardens results in more $H_2$ uptake during the dry season when managed basins continue to receive water through regular irrigation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study system
Our study site is located at a residence in central Tucson, Arizona—with a mean annual temperature of 17 °C and mean annual rainfall is 32.2 cm (Sheppard et al., 1999). In November of 2017, a green infrastructure (GI) water harvesting system was installed by Watershed Management Group Inc. (Tucson, Arizona) at our study site; site pictures and study design are described in (Buzzard et al., 2021). Specifically, three rain garden basins were dug to direct and capture rainwater and a 3,000 gallon plastic rain storage tank was installed to capture rooftop runoff, as described in (Buzzard et al., 2021). Three GI treatment plots (1 m × 4 m) received different water inputs and sources (passive stormwater runoff, active irrigated with tank-stored harvested rainwater, and greywater from laundry) along with an unaltered control treatment area that represented the initial, flat pea gravel-lain condition. All treatments were composed of four 1 m² batches within a 4 m by 1 m plot that received limited municipal city irrigation to establish plants and were subjected to the same rainfall, but differed in the inputs and frequency of irrigation from harvested water sources, and the type and depth of the mulch layer as described in (Buzzard et al., 2021). Specifically, the greywater treatment was irrigated with residential laundry water effluent and rain collected in the storage tank. The active water treatment received rainwater irrigation from a rain storage tank that collects and stores roof-top runoff, and may also receive overflow from the tank during large rain events that can flood the basin. The passive treatment was a dug basin designed to collect stormwater runoff and overflow from the active basin, yet both the passive and control (flat-elevated landscape) water treatments received primarily incidental rain.

Soil monitoring system
We installed a meteorological station to measure microclimate variation at the site. We collected local air temperature at 3 m above ground using a climate sensor (VP-4,
METER Group, Inc., Pullman, WA, USA) and rain gauge to measure precipitation (ECRN-100, METER Group, Inc., Pullman, WA, United States). The onsite rain gauge did not work between the 165th and 239th day of the year, and precipitation data from a nearby weather station were used to estimate daily precipitation in the area (“AZMET: The Arizona Meteorological Network: Tucson Station Data Files”, https://cals.arizona.edu/AZMET/01.htm). In each treatment, we installed soil moisture and temperature sensors (ECH2O 5TE, METER Group, Inc., Pullman, WA, USA) at 12.5 cm belowground in the center of the treatment, connected to data loggers (EM50, METER Group, Inc., Pullman, WA, USA) collecting hourly data from January 2018 to December 2018 (Buzzard et al., 2021).

Soil hydrogen fluxes

Soil H$_2$ fluxes were measured using vented static chambers during four campaigns (1) pre-monsoon (June 8th), (2) mid-monsoon (August 2th), (3) late-monsoon (September 12th), and (4) post-monsoon (November 14th), in response to variation in soil moisture throughout the monsoon season and to assess variation in microbial activity across GI treatments. For example, the pre-monsoon campaign was characterized by high temperature and low soil moisture; the campaigns during mid-monsoon and late-monsoon season were characterized by high temperature and high soil moisture; and the post-monsoon campaign was characterized by lower temperature and intermediate (moist) soil moisture. To estimate H$_2$ fluxes, four cylindrical PVC collars (20 cm diameter, 20 cm height, surface area = 0.0314 m$^2$) were installed 10 cm into the soil within each treatment 1 week prior to sampling. All gas sampling was performed between 8:30 and 10:30 a.m. to reduce the effects of high temperature experienced during the summer months in Tucson, AZ. The mulch layer was removed from the soil collar 2 h prior to sampling to reduce the effect of different mulch types and depths between treatments and allow a direct assessment of the soil surface. Static vented chambers were placed on the soil collar approximately 2 cm to ensure a proper seal and consistent headspace. Immediately following chamber placement, 5 ml gas samples were collected using air-tight syringes from the sampling port at four time points (0, 5, 10, and 15 min). All four treatments were sampled at the same time with randomization of samplers (people) and chambers between each batch and treatment to reduce operator-sampling biases. Syringes were placed in a cooler to maintain temperature and reduce exposure to ultraviolet light until they were transferred to the lab. A total of 64 gas samples were collected during a single morning for each time period, totaling 256 gas samples over the monsoon season. H$_2$ was measured in parts per billion using a reducing compound photometer (RCP model 910-105 series, Peak Laboratories LLC, CA, USA) gas chromatography (GC) within 24 h of sampling. Each gas sample was measured in triplicate from 1 ml gas injections. Ultra zero grade air was used as the carrier gas. Chamber height and temperature were measured during field sampling and used to calculate H$_2$ fluxes for each sampling event based on the following equation:

$$F = \frac{(\Delta C/\Delta t)}{(P_a \ V/RT) \ * \ (1/A)}$$
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where $F$ is the H$_2$ flux (nmol H$_2$ m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$), $\Delta C/\Delta t$ is the rate of change of the H$_2$ concentration in the headspace of the chamber through time, $P_a$ is the atmospheric pressure (atm), $V$ is the total chamber volume (L) measured for each chamber and sampling event, $R$ is the gas constant (0.08206 atm L mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$), $T$ is the temperature of air inside the chamber (K), and $A$ is the surface area covered by the chamber (0.0314 m$^2$). It is important to note that negative $F$ represents H$_2$ uptake into the soil, whereas positive $F$ values represent emission of H$_2$ into the atmosphere, so greater rates of H$_2$ uptake correspond to more negative soil H$_2$ flux values.

**Soil moisture incubation experiment**

To determine if moisture was the dominant influence on H$_2$ fluxes, we conducted a soil microcosm experiment that altered soil moisture levels but maintained constant temperature. Soil samples from each batch ($n = 4$) within each treatment ($n = 4$) were collected during the post-monsoon sampling and were air dried for approximately 7 days until they had a base soil moisture level of roughly 2% gravimetric water content (GWC). GWC was calculated for all air dried samples and water was added to increase the GWC to the three target levels; dry corresponded to the low range of observed GWC values (2%), moist is the average range observed (10%), and wet corresponded to the wet end of the range (20%). Each group represented conditions observed in the field during the 2018 monsoon season. Soils were then incubated at 25 °C for 7–8 days and then H$_2$ fluxes were measured for three different moisture levels within 24 h. Moisture levels were maintained during incubation by limiting evaporative loss by covering vials with parafilm wax. Samples were randomized and vials were closed using a rubber stopper for roughly 20 min, where 1 ml of air was removed using an air tight syringe at regular intervals during the 20 min period to calculate fluxes and directly injected into the GC-RCP to measure H$_2$ concentrations. Empty serum vials were used as a control and measured during the experiment.

**GC calibration**

We used a tank of breathing grade air (Al B300; Airgas, Radnor, PA, USA) as a working standard for this study. We estimated the amount of H$_2$ in the breathing air tank to be 664 ppb H$_2$ by comparison to atmospheric H$_2$ measurements. Specifically, we used the median H$_2$ peak areas in breathing air and atmospheric gas measurements and assumed a representative value for typical atmospheric hydrogen concentration of 530 ppb. For each round of field and lab H$_2$ measurements, we used the median of at least 21 breathing air tank peak areas measured over the course of the sampling event to calculate a GC response factor ([atmospheric H$_2$]/[GC H$_2$ peak area]). The response factor was used as a scaling factor for single-point calibration of all unknown samples for each sampling event. This approach allowed us to account for possible drift in the GC response factor between measurement days, which ranged from a median of 0.00306 ppb area$^{-1}$ to 0.00361 ppb area$^{-1}$. The response factor varied by 5.4% (stdev) across all sampling periods and maintained a smaller variation than the uncertainty of the GC-RCP analyzer of 10%.
Statistical analyses
All statistical analyses and figures were completed in R (R Core Team, 2021). Daily averages for soil moisture and temperature from each treatment were calculated for the four in situ flux sampling events. The gvlma package in R was used to assess if the model met statistical assumptions (Peña & Slate, 2006). Visual inspection of residual plots did not reveal any extreme outliers or obvious deviations from homoscedasticity or normality for soil H₂ fluxes. We used a two-way mixed analysis of variance (ANOVA) to assess the effects of treatment and season on soil H₂ fluxes. Effect size was determined by generalized eta squared (ges) with small (ges = 0.2), medium (ges = 0.5), and large (ges = 0.8) following Cohen suggested values (Cohen, 1992). Pairwise comparisons were assessed using Tukey's honest significant difference post hoc methods. Soil H₂ fluxes from the microcosm experiment did not meet statistical assumptions for kurtosis. However, results did not differ between transformed and non-transformed H₂ fluxes data from the microcosm, thus nonparametric one way analyses were completed on non-transformed data. A p-value of <0.05 with Bonferroni adjustment was used to determine statistical significance. Generalized linear regression was used to assess the individual effect of soil temperature and soil moisture on in situ H₂ fluxes.

RESULTS
Meteorological conditions
Meteorological data were used to measure local climate variability over the monsoon season (Fig. 1; Table S1). In 2018, we recorded 342 mm of precipitation and an average air temperature of 22.88 ± 6.34 °C at our site. The average daily air temperature in our study site was similar for the first three sampling dates (i.e., pre-monsoon, mid-monsoon, late-monsoon), ranging from 32.2 to 33.6 °C, but was much lower during the post-monsoon sampling (14 ± 3.62 °C). No precipitation was recorded in the week prior to each sampling event, with the exception of the mid-monsoon sampling, which received 6.86 mm of rain the day before sampling. However, prior to that rain event, conditions were relatively dry with no observed precipitation for the previous 10 days. Soil and air temperature followed a similar temporal pattern with the highest temperature recorded between May and October (Fig. 1A; Tables S1 and S2), and the greatest fluctuation in soil temperature was observed in the control treatment (Buzzard et al., 2021).

In situ soil hydrogen fluxes
We found that the interaction between green infrastructure (GI) treatment and increased wetting events observed during the monsoon season significantly affected soil H₂ fluxes (Fig. 2; Table 1; F(9, 48) = 2.72, p = 0.012, ges = 0.338). In addition, the mean H₂ fluxes from each treatment differed across the four sampling points, with pre-monsoon and late-monsoon H₂ fluxes statistically different between treatments. During the pre-monsoon sampling period we observed significantly higher H₂ uptake into the soil in the greywater treatment compared to the passive and control treatments (Table S3). Whereas the passive treatment had a significantly lower uptake into the soil compared to the other treatments during the late-monsoon (Table S3).
Soil microcosm hydrogen fluxes

We used a microcosm experiment to test the direct effect of different soil moisture levels on soil H\textsubscript{2} fluxes and did not find a significant difference between treatments (Fig. 3; H(3, 48) = 1.4, p = 0.706). However, the mean H\textsubscript{2} fluxes were statistically different between the three soil moisture levels observed for each treatment (Table 1; H(2, 48) = 34.35, p = 0.002).
Abiotic drivers of hydrogen fluxes

Soil temperature and moisture are known drivers of microbial diversity and activity. To test the independent effect of temperature and moisture on H₂ fluxes we assessed the relationship between soil H₂ fluxes from the in situ measurements independently with soil temperature and moisture. We found an inverse effect of soil temperature and moisture on soil H₂ fluxes. Soil H₂ uptake decreased with increased temperature (r = 0.345, p = 0.005, df = 62; Fig. 4), and increased with increased soil moisture (r = −0.393, p = 0.001, df = 62; Fig. 4).

### Table 1 Analysis of variance (ANOVA) assessing the effects of green infrastructure management and soil moisture on hydrogen fluxes (nmol H₂ m⁻² s⁻¹).

| Experiment location | Effect            | DFn | DFd | Test statistic | p-value | p < 0.05 | Effect size |
|---------------------|-------------------|-----|-----|----------------|---------|----------|------------|
| Field               | Treatment         | 3   | 48  | 4.64           | 0.006   | *        | 0.225      |
| Field               | Season            | 3   | 48  | 29.85          | 0.000   | *        | 0.651      |
| Field               | Treatment:Season  | 9   | 48  | 2.72           | 0.012   | *        | 0.338      |
| Lab                 | Treatment         | 3   | 48  | 1.40           | 0.706   | *        | −0.036     |
| Lab                 | Moisture level    | 2   | 48  | 34.35          | 0.000   | *        | 0.719      |

Note:
Two-way ANOVA for assessing hydrogen fluxes as a function of treatment and season for in situ field sampling. One-way nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA independently assessing hydrogen fluxes as a function of treatment and moisture level for microcosm lab experiment. DFn, Degrees of freedom in the numerator. DFd, Degrees of freedom in the denominator. Test Statistic: F for two-way parametric ANOVA; H for one-way nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test.
DISCUSSION

The primary goal of this study was to examine the interactive effects of green infrastructure (GI) practices and seasonal precipitation on soil H$_2$ fluxes in a semiarid urban ecosystem. We hypothesized that changes in soil microclimate driven by implementation of GI, specifically changes in soil moisture variability, would lead to increased H$_2$ uptake in the soils that would be further amplified by seasonal variability in precipitation during the monsoon season. The field observations suggested that soil H$_2$ uptake was triggered by increased precipitation during the seasonal monsoon across all treatments. In addition, soil H$_2$ uptake was greater in the greywater treatment compared to the other treatments during pre-monsoon, suggesting that the increased frequency and water availability in the greywater treatment resulted in higher H$_2$ uptake during the dry season and may support greater microbial consumption of H$_2$ consistently throughout the year. H$_2$ uptake was significantly correlated with soil moisture and temperature, which are key environmental factors impacted by both the seasonal precipitation regime and GI water management practices.

The North American Monsoon triggers biological activity by bringing moisture and cooler temperature to hot-dry soils. However, the large quantities of rain with each storm may lead to flooding and saturation of soils, reducing diffusivity of gases and these factors coupled with lower infiltration rates and sealing of urban soils limit or decrease soil H$_2$ uptake. H$_2$ uptake into soils is limited by abiotic and biotic processes under dry and wet soil conditions. For example, under dry conditions H$_2$ diffusion into soils is biotically limited by the presence of dry inactive layers with reduced biological activity in desert soils (Fallon, 1982; Conrad & Seiler, 1985; Smith-Downey, Randerson & Eiler, 2008; Bertagni,
Paulot & Porporato, 2021); while wet soil conditions reduce movement into the soils, also leading to H$_2$ diffusion limitation (Yonemura, Kawashima & Tsuruta, 1999; Yonemura & Kawashima, 2000; Gödde, Meuser & Conrad, 2000; Ehhalt & Rohrer, 2013). During the pre-monsoon season, H$_2$ uptake was lower in the control and passive treatments, with greater uptake in the active and greywater GI treatments, consistent with research showing that H$_2$ uptake even at low moisture levels may promote microbial activity (Fallon, 1982; Conrad & Seiler, 1985; Smith-Downey, Randerson & Eiler, 2006, 2008). Additionally, studies have shown that under very low soil moisture conditions, HOB activity is drastically inhibited (Paulot et al., 2021). As the monsoon season progressed, increased soil moisture resulted in greater H$_2$ uptake across all treatments, suggesting semiarid urban ecosystems are sensitive to changes in moisture availability and that there is increased uptake throughout the monsoon season as long as there are continual rain events.

As temperature decreased during the post-monsoon season, we observed median moisture levels and similar soil H$_2$ uptake across treatments, suggesting an ideal moisture range between 15% and 18% near 15 °C, which is within the ideal temperature range observed for microbial H$_2$ uptake in the Mojave Desert (Smith-Downey, Randerson & Eiler, 2006). While there are models that robustly assess temperature and moisture effects on H$_2$ uptake, our results oppose current research that show H$_2$ uptake increases with increased temperature which may correspond with limitations in our dataset (Ehhalt & Rohrer, 2011, 2013; Yashiro et al., 2011; Bertagni, Paulot & Porporato, 2021). Specifically, our data capture a narrow range of temperature (12 to 39 °C) compared to the broad range (−20 to 100 °C) modeled in (Ehhalt & Rohrer, 2011) and are missing a critical range between 16 and 27 °C which has been identified as an optimal temperature range of soils with 19% moisture for peak H$_2$ uptake in the Mojave Desert (Smith-Downey, Randerson & Eiler, 2008). In fact, our results suggest greater variability in H$_2$ uptake at higher temperature, with less uptake measured in the passive and control treatments during the driest sampling period (pre-monsoon). Our findings highlight the interaction of temperature and soil moisture as important abiotic drivers of H$_2$ uptake in semiarid managed systems, with GI altered soil moisture or temperature leading to reduced seasonal variability but sustained H$_2$ uptake.

The widespread implementation of GI water management practices alter soil moisture legacy by irrigating rain garden basins at different frequencies and providing a more constant water source during the dry season. More frequent and consistent soil moisture events in the greywater compared to the other treatments can lead to shifts in the soil microbiome (Buzzard et al., 2021), nutrient availability, and carbon inputs. Desert soils have low carbon availability, and changes in the water inputs from GI may increase soil carbon by supporting vegetative growth, root development, and increased soil microinvertebrate diversity and abundance (Pavao-Zuckerman & Sookhdeo, 2017). The sustained availability of water and organic resources for energy may further support organoheterotrophic soil microbes, such as Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria, and Chloroflexi (Lynch et al., 2014; Leung et al., 2020; Jordaan et al., 2020), leading to metabolically flexible microbiomes in GI treatments capable of more rapidly switching between resources (Bay et al., 2021a, 2021b). In addition, soil H$_2$ uptake models project
that greater HA-HOB activity is associated with higher temperature, but can be limited if the minimum soil moisture threshold is not met (Paulot et al., 2021), and further research should address the uncertainty in HA-HOB activity in arid and semiarid urban ecosystems. As observed in the greywater basin, our findings suggest that a more consistent soil moisture legacy was important for microbial-mediated biogeochemical processes and highlights a sensitivity to increased soil moisture in semiarid urban ecosystems.

Atmospheric trace gases, like H\textsubscript{2}, are important for sustaining soil microbes during periods of low resource availability and may also act to alleviate competition between organoheterotrophs (Fierer, 2017; Bay et al., 2021a, 2021b). Microbial communities with more diverse metabolic strategies may be more resilient to changes in climate and anthropogenic disturbances (Meyer et al., 2004; Allison & Martiny, 2008; Berney & Cook, 2010; Greening et al., 2014). As climate changes, shifts in seasonal precipitation patterns may lead to longer dry periods and more variable precipitation patterns in arid and semiarid regions of the Southwestern United States (Zhang et al., 2021). Although recent work suggests that H\textsubscript{2} uptake may increase with warmer temperature observed at midlatitudes in the northern hemisphere, altered soil carbon and reduced soil moisture may reduce microbial activity resulting in unknown feedbacks in atmospheric concentrations (Paulot et al., 2021). Here, we show that water management practices, like GI, buffer temperature extremes and reduce periods of drought, increasing H\textsubscript{2} uptake. Our findings suggest that GI managed residential soils can maintain low levels of H\textsubscript{2} uptake year around, above what would be sustained in unmanaged systems (i.e., our control treatment), and may reduce the impacts of drought on H\textsubscript{2} cycling in semiarid urban ecosystems.

CONCLUSIONS

Atmospheric H\textsubscript{2} is impacted by changes in climate and increased anthropogenic emissions. Characterizing the changes in microbial mediated soil H\textsubscript{2} uptake has important implications for semiarid urban systems where local land management practices alter the abiotic and biotic environment, increasing uncertainty in the atmospheric hydrogen cycle. Here, we showed that environmental factors impacted by seasonal precipitation and GI water management practices in the semiarid deserts of Arizona increased soil H\textsubscript{2} uptake during seasonally dry periods. As temperature and drought increase in the Southwestern United States, uptake of atmospheric H\textsubscript{2} by soils may be reduced, unless cities continue to implement GI water management practices, which decrease dry periods and support greater H\textsubscript{2} uptake. Continued investigation into how managed systems impact soil microbial community composition and function is suggested to better understand the distribution and functional role of HA-HOB microbial communities in sustaining H\textsubscript{2} uptake in semiarid urban climates during drought. Our findings highlight the interaction between natural and managed water regimes on soil H\textsubscript{2} uptake as corroborated by field and lab measurements, reinforcing the unique role of H\textsubscript{2} in microbial metabolism in semiarid urban landscapes.
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