Enhanced detection of terrestrial gamma-ray flashes by AGILE
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Abstract At the end of March 2015 the onboard software configuration of the Astrorivelatore Gamma a Immagini Leggero (AGILE) satellite was modified in order to disable the veto signal of the anticoincidence instrument. The motivation for such a change was the understanding that the dead time induced by the anticoincidence prevented the detection of a large fraction of Terrestrial Gamma-Ray Flashes (TGFs). The configuration change was highly successful resulting in an increase of one order of magnitude in TGF detection rate. As expected, the largest fraction of the new events has short duration (<100 μs), and part of them has simultaneous association with lightning sferics detected by the World Wide Lightning Location Network. The new configuration provides the largest TGF detection rate surface density (TGFs/km²/yr) to date, opening prospects for improved correlation studies with lightning and atmospheric parameters on short spatial and temporal scales along the equatorial region.

1. Introduction

Terrestrial Gamma-ray Flashes (TGFs) are submillisecond bursts of gamma rays associated to lightning and thunderstorm activity and typically observed from space. They represent the observable manifestation of thunderstorm systems as the most energetic natural particle accelerators on Earth [Dwyer et al., 2012]. Although many low-Earth orbiting satellites equipped with gamma-ray detectors exist, TGF observations were routinely reported by only four of them: the Burst And Transient Source Experiment on board the Compton Gamma-ray Observatory [Fishman et al., 1994], the Reuven Ramaty High-Energy Solar Spectroscopic Imager [Smith et al., 2005], the Gamma-ray Burst Monitor (GBM) on board the Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope [Briggs et al., 2010], and the Astrorivelatore Gamma a Immagini Leggero (AGILE) mission [Marisaldi et al., 2010], the last three currently operative. The reason for this relies on the typical time scale of this phenomenon (∼100 μs average duration) which puts strong requirements on satellite data acquisition strategies and eventually on onboard trigger logic. In addition, the high TGF average fluence at satellite altitudes (∼0.1 cm⁻²) at 500–600 km) combined with their short duration makes all TGF detectors significantly affected by dead time and pileup effects [Grefenstette et al., 2009; Gjesteland et al., 2010; Briggs et al., 2010; Marisaldi et al., 2014]. These effects are instrument dependent and must be carefully modeled and accounted for when trying to derive general unbiased properties of the observed TGF population.

Marisaldi et al. [2014] showed that AGILE TGF detections by the minicalorimeter (MCAL) instrument [Labanti et al., 2009] were heavily affected by the dead time induced by the anticoincidence (AC) shield designed to reject signals due to charged particles. Dead time, in fact, prevented the detection of events with duration shorter than ∼100 μs, biasing the duration distribution toward larger values than observed by other spacecrafts. In addition, no precise matches with radio signals located by the World Wide Lightning Location Network (WWLLN) were obtained, consistently with this chance being inversely proportional to the TGF duration [Connaughton et al., 2013; Dwyer and Cummer, 2013]. Moreover, since the AC is a paralyzable detector,
the same set of observations can be due to events of different intrinsic fluence and duration; therefore, no one-to-one dead time correction can be applied. As a result the TGF intensity distribution evaluated in [Marisaldi et al., 2014], distorted by dead time as well, was corrected by means of a forward folding approach based on assumptions on the intrinsic duration and fluence distributions.

Based on the understanding that AC-induced dead time was significantly affecting the satellite TGF detection capabilities, the AGILE science team, in agreement with the Italian Space Agency (ASI) and in collaboration with the industrial partners and the ASI Science Data Center (ASDC), has undertaken the necessary steps to modify the onboard software configuration in order to inhibit the AC veto signal on the MCAL detector. Starting from 23 March 2015, the new configuration with AC veto disabled on MCAL has been steadily active on board AGILE. The trigger logic parameters described in Marisaldi et al. [2014] were left unchanged except for the threshold on the 16 ms search time window, set from 22 to 41 counts to cope with the background rate increase. However, since all TGFs triggered on shorter time windows (293 $\mu$s or 1 ms) this change does not affect the TGF trigger performance. In addition, the burst detection software is now not active during passage through the South Atlantic Anomaly (SAA). The following sections report the results on TGF detection after 3 months of operations in the new configuration.

2. Experimental Results

The same selection criteria on triggered data described in Marisaldi et al. [2014] were applied for TGF selection, thus allowing an unbiased comparison between the TGF samples obtained with the new (enhanced) and previous (standard) configurations. Between 23 March and 24 June 2015, a total of 279 TGFs have been recorded in the enhanced configuration. Figure 1 shows the cumulative detection rate and the distributions of longitude, local time, and counts for the samples in both configurations. The average daily TGF detection rate increased by 1 order of magnitude from 0.3 to 3 TGFs/d. Longitude and local time distributions clearly show the three-continental lightning chimneys and the early morning/afternoon peaks, respectively, suggesting that the number of false events in the sample is low. To support this statement, we consider the ratio between the number of TGFs detected above a TGF-active region and a control region with low lightning activity and consequently expected low TGF detection rate, following the approach outlined in Briggs et al. [2013]. TGF-active region is defined as the three-continental longitude bands (Central America: $[-90^\circ, -60^\circ]$;
Africa: $[-10^\circ, +30^\circ]$; and Maritime Continent: $[+100^\circ, +150^\circ]$). The control region is defined as the equatorial Pacific Ocean longitude band $[-140^\circ, -110^\circ]$ and has been chosen to be as close as possible to the southeast Pacific control region defined in Briggs et al. [2013]. Since in the case of AGILE the exposure on these regions is proportional to the longitude extent, we divide the number of observed TGFs in each region by this value. We obtain a TGF detection rate ratio of 20 between TGF-active and control regions, while Briggs et al. [2013] report a value 70 for the equivalent parameter. However, a direct comparison between these numbers cannot be done, mostly because of the different orbital inclination of the AGILE ($2.5^\circ$) and Fermi ($25.6^\circ$) spacecraft. In fact, while Fermi control region well extends in the Southeast Pacific where lightning activity is very small [Christian et al., 2003], AGILE equatorial control region is close to the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) where thunderstorm and lightning activity occur, especially during the observation period (March to May) [Christian et al., 2003].

The time series of each TGF has been fitted by a Gaussian model superimposed to a constant background by means of the maximum likelihood technique in order to avoid the loss of information due to arbitrary time binning, as described in Marisaldi et al. [2014]. The duration and intensity of each TGF are then extracted by the model fit parameters. The TGF duration is calculated as $t_{50} = 1.349\sigma$ and $t_{90} = 3.290\sigma$, where $t_{50}$ and $t_{90}$ are defined as the central time intervals including the 50% and 90% of the counts, respectively, and $\sigma$ is the standard deviation of the Gaussian model. When the paucity of counts does not allow a coherent identification of the start and end points of a transient, $t_{50}$ and $t_{90}$ are convenient duration proxies. After close examination of the events light curves and fit results, we decided to exclude four events with poor convergence of the maximum likelihood procedure and seven events with closely spaced multiple peaks from the plots shown in Figure 1d as well as in subsequent figures. Figure 2 shows the duration distributions for the AGILE enhanced and standard samples and for Fermi GBM events when only counts with energy larger than 300 keV are considered [Connaughton et al., 2013], to match the MCAL energy threshold. The duration distribution for TGFs with a close WWLLN match is also included, as discussed in the following. The median of the $t_{50}$ distribution is 86 $\mu$s and 290 $\mu$s for the enhanced and standard configurations, respectively, clearly indicating that the enhanced configuration allows the detection of much shorter events than before, as expected.

The database of lightning detected by the World Wide Lightning Location Network (WWLLN) [Rodger et al., 2009] has been searched for correlation with the enhanced TGF sample. Figure 3 shows the distribution of the time difference $\Delta T$ between the WWLLN detection closest in time to a TGF and the TGF peak time corrected for the light propagation time between the WWLLN location and the satellite. Cyan line: same distribution for Fermi events as published in Connaughton et al. [2013], Figure 1, normalized to the number of AGILE events for visualization purposes.
production altitude of 15 km [Dwyer and Smith, 2005]. Given the low number of counts, the peak time of a TGF is defined by the centroid of the Gaussian model fit described above. A total number of 39 sferics within 200 μs from the TGF peak time has been observed, hereafter defined as simultaneous sferics according to the definition in Connaughton et al. [2013], corresponding to 14% of the sample. The same histogram obtained for Fermi data and shown in Figure 1 of Connaughton et al. [2013], normalized to the number of AGILE events, is also shown for reference.

3. Discussion

The inhibition of the AC veto for the AGILE MCAL instrument has clearly resulted in the detection of a much larger number of TGFs than with the standard configuration, enhancing the sensitivity for events lasting less than 100 μs. These results validate the data interpretation provided in Marisaldi et al. [2014] regarding the role of dead time in biasing the observed duration and intensity distributions and the lack of detection of simultaneous sferics.

Once the contribution by the AC has been removed, the major source of dead time in the enhanced configuration is the data readout of the scintillating bars comprising MCAL. Each of the 30 bars (26 were active at the time the data presented here were collected) acts as an independent nonparalyzable detector, requiring 20 μs for a photon acquisition after the discriminator has fired. Any detector trigger occurring during this fixed time is rejected. Although the dead time per count is larger than that reported for Fermi GBM (2.6 μs [Briggs et al., 2013]), the key point to overall dead time effects mitigation is the MCAL spatial segmentation. Since each of the MCAL bars is independent from the others, two consecutive photons separated in time less than 20 μs are promptly recorded, provided that they hit two different bars ready for data acquisition. We addressed the issue of MCAL-induced dead time by means of dedicated Monte Carlo simulations, described in details in Marisaldi et al. [2014], using the full AGILE mass model and a typical TGF spectrum as reported in Dwyer and Smith [2005]. We considered a TGF as described by two observables: its fluence at satellite altitude $F$ and its duration expressed in terms of $t_{50}$. We then consider the combined effect of detector effective area ($A_{\text{eff}} \approx 220 \text{ cm}^2$ for a typical TGF spectrum incident at 60° off-axis angle) and dead time as a function $f$ that maps the ($F \times t_{50}$) into the ($N_{\text{obs}} \times t_{50}^{\text{obs}}$) space, where $N_{\text{obs}}$ and $t_{50}^{\text{obs}}$ are the measured number of counts and duration. The effective area for 60° off-axis angle has been chosen as an average value for all the possible incoming off-axis angles. The maximum effective area, corresponding to the photon beam hitting orthogonal to the MCAL plane is just 15% larger. We note that, since the detectors are nonparalyzable, the function $f$ can be inverted to extract the expected true fluence and duration from the observed counts and duration; i.e., each TGF can be individually corrected for dead time. This situation is radically different from the standard configuration with the AC acting as a paralyzable detector. In order to build the function $f$ we generated $10^7$ simulated TGFs uniformly distributed in the parameter space given by ($0.01 \text{ cm}^{-2} < F < 4 \text{ cm}^{-2}$) × ($0.002 \text{ ms} < t_{50} < 0.4 \text{ ms}$). For each simulated TGF the expected number of counts in MCAL was defined according to $F$ and the average effective area given by simulation results; the time series of the counts were randomly extracted according to a Gaussian time profile with $\sigma = 0.74 t_{50}$ and finally, each count was assigned to a detector bar based on a uniform random distribution. We then apply to the MCAL time series the effect of the dead time induced by the MCAL detector processing time, rejecting all counts within a 20μs time interval following a count on the same scintillating bar. For each simulated TGF we then count the observed number of counts $N_{\text{obs}}$ and evaluate the observed duration $t_{50}^{\text{obs}}$ by calculating the standard deviation of the counts time series, multiplied by factor 1.349 to convert from standard deviation to $t_{50}$. With respect to the simulations described in Marisaldi et al. [2014], we modified the code to account for a 50% fraction of double counts, i.e., counts involving two bars, mostly due to Compton scattering of photons between neighboring bars, which affects the number of active bars and can eventually increase the dead time fraction. The chosen double counts fraction is a worst case estimate, the typical fraction for TGFs being of order of ≈30%. Although this change, we do not observe a significant variation with respect to previous simulations. Figure 4 presents the enhanced TGF sample in the ($N_{\text{obs}} \times t_{50}^{\text{obs}}$) parameter space. The lines corresponding to true fluence and duration values are superimposed. As a rule of thumb, dead time is significantly affecting the sample in those regions where the lines deviate significantly from a parallel grid. The effect of dead time is that the observed number of photons in a TGF is less than the true number of photons that hit the detector. Also, the estimated duration of the TGF gets longer as the losses due to dead time increase. With the current settings the fluence at detection threshold is ≈0.05 cm$^{-2}$ as evidenced by the TGF population shown in Figure 4.
Figure 4. Enhanced sample (black circles) in the observed \(N_{\text{obs}} \times t_{50}\), i.e., counts \times duration, parameter space. The color contour highlights the region with the highest detection rate (five logarithmic bins per decade in both coordinates). Magenta dots are the events with a simultaneous WWLLN match. Red and black contours mark points corresponding to the same value of \(t_{50}\) (ms) and fluence \(F\) (cm\(^{-2}\)), respectively, according to the MCAL dead time model for 60° off-axis angle. To obtain \(N_{\text{obs}}\), \(F\) must be multiplied times the effective area of \(\approx 220\) cm\(^2\).

The median of the \(t_{50}\) distribution for the enhanced sample is 86 μs, significantly shorter than the 290 μs obtained for the standard sample. This median value is also shorter than the 100 μs reported for the Fermi GBM sample [Briggs et al., 2013], but a greater evidence for the difference in the duration distribution for the two samples is obtained if we consider the fraction of events with \(t_{50} \leq 50\) μs, as shown in Figure 2. Briggs et al. [2013] noted the lack of very short events in the Fermi sample suggesting it was a consequence of dead time. According to our dead time model, the observed AGILE enhanced sample is in a region where the effect of dead time is very low and substantially negligible with respect to the errors due to counting statistics, as shown in Figure 4. We note from Figure 4 that high-fluence TGFs tend to have a longer duration than dimmer ones, e.g. no TGF with \(F \geq 0.1\) cm\(^{-2}\) is found with \(t_{50} \leq 50\) μs. According to our dead time model, this is not an observational bias due to dead time, but a physical feature of the TGF population that could be a test bench for production models. For example, Dwyer [2012] reports that, in the Relativistic Feedback (RF) model, longer TGFs produce less runaway electrons than shorter ones, for a given electric field configuration. This is apparently in contrast with our observations. Conversely, the hypothesis that longer TGFs are the result of closely spaced shorter events can be ruled out based on the work by Connaughton et al. [2013], where an inverse proportionality between TGF duration and the likelihood of association with WWLLN sferics is firmly established. Whereas the detection of low fluence events is limited by the detector effective area, there is no instrumental issue preventing the detection of very short events with duration of \(\approx 20\) μs or less. However, we cannot neglect the contribution of Compton scattering of photons through the atmosphere to the observed duration of TGFs at satellite altitude [Østgaard et al., 2008; Grefenstette et al., 2008; Celestin and Pasko, 2012; Fitzpatrick et al., 2014]. Although this effect is more evident for low energy photons below MCAL threshold, Celestin and Pasko [2012] showed that also instantaneously produced 1 MeV photons can be spread due to Compton scattering over a time interval as long as 50 μs at satellite altitude. Therefore, the observed \(\approx 20\) μs lower limit in TGF duration may be a measure of the minimum incompressible time spread due to Compton scattering rather than the intrinsic lower limit of TGF duration.

Concerning the correlation with WWLLN sferics, we first note that no simultaneous sferics was observed for the standard sample [Marisaldi et al., 2014], and the reason for that was correctly identified in the bias toward longer events due to dead time suppression of short events, the latter of which are more likely associated to lightning sferics according to Connaughton et al. [2013]. The characteristics of the sample with simultaneous WWLLN sferics follow the general pattern discussed in Connaughton et al. [2013], with few significant
quantitative differences. The overall fraction of TGFs with simultaneous sferics is 14% while it is 30% in the Fermi sample. This fraction rises to 33% for TGF duration shorter than 50 μs, to be compared to the 60% value reported by Connaughton et al. [2013]. The anticorrelation between TGF duration and the probability of being associated to a simultaneous sferics is confirmed, as can be seen in Figure 2. The difference in overall simultaneous sferics detection probability may reside in the different orbital inclination of the AGILE and Fermi missions that make the two spacecrafts cover regions where the WWLLN detection efficiency is remarkably different. In particular, the WWLLN detection efficiency over equatorial Africa, where ≈40% of the AGILE TGFs are observed, can be on average the 20% of the efficiency over North America and the Pacific region [Hutchins et al., 2012], i.e., about 25% of the efficiency for the other low latitude regions. This scenario is supported by the low number of simultaneous detections observed over Africa, as shown in Figure 1. If we assume the WWLLN detection efficiency on the remaining equatorial regions covered by AGILE orbit is ≈80% the average value for Fermi, which is reasonable considering the plots in Hutchins et al. [2012], we can estimate the ratio between the number of TGFs with WWLLN simultaneous match for AGILE and Fermi to be

$$R_{AF} = \frac{(0.4 \times 0.25 + 0.6) \times 0.8}{0.6} = 0.56.$$ 

Although very simplified, this estimate is remarkably consistent with the observed value 0.33/0.60 = 0.55 obtained for TGF with duration shorter than 50 μs. However, WWLLN efficiency significantly changes as a function of local time and generally improves over time as long as new stations are added to the network; therefore, a more detailed comparison between AGILE and Fermi association rate is difficult.

The WWLLN-TGF time difference Δt distribution shown in Figure 3 could appear to be bimodal, although we found no correlation between Δt and any TGF parameter. We therefore regard this feature as due to the limited statistics. The root-mean-square (RMS) of the Δt distribution within ±200 μs is 63 μs. This error value can be regarded as the quadrature sum of several independent timing error contributions, namely, the WWLLN accuracy (≈15 μs [Hutchins et al., 2012]), the error on the TGF peak determination by the maximum likelihood procedure (≈10 μs), and the uncertainty on TGF production height (~10 km ≈ 30 μs).

The uncertainty on the GPS-provided AGILE position and the MCAL timing accuracy (≈2 μs) add negligible contributions. An additional timing uncertainty term of order of ≈50 μs is still missing to justify the observed Δt distribution RMS if we assume that the TGF-producing electrons are responsible also for the sferics radio pulses [Connaughton et al., 2013; Dwyer and Cummer, 2013]. However, Cummer et al. [2011] showed that the electromagnetic waveform associated to TGFs can be very complex, containing several fast pulses, and that the pulse corresponding to the localization by ground-based lightning location networks may not be the closest in time to the TGF. In fact, this may be the source of the missing term in the uncertainty analysis discussed above. Therefore, even if we can narrow down to ±100 μs the definition for simultaneity, provided the distribution of Figure 3 is confirmed with higher statistics, it will be difficult to further improve this value to gather more information on the relative timing between lightning and TGF.

4. Conclusion

The enhanced configuration, which has been running on board AGILE since 23 March 2015, has been highly successful resulting in a TGF detection rate increase of about 1 order of magnitude and opening up unique scientific opportunities for the understanding of the relationship between TGFs and lightning activity. For the first time, we present a TGF sample unbiased by dead time effects, which may serve as a test bench for production models. We also provide an independent confirmation of the anticorrelation between TGF duration and the likelihood of association with simultaneous WWLLN lightning sferics reported in Connaughton et al. [2013]. The large number of expected events, ≈1000/yr, larger than that of Fermi GBM in continuous Time-Tagged Event data acquisition mode [Briggs et al., 2013], concentrated in a narrow latitude band across the equator thanks to the AGILE orbital inclination of 2.5°, provides an unprecedentedly high TGF detection rate surface density, i.e., number of TGFs observed per unit area per unit time. In turn, this should allow enough counting statistics for correlation studies with lightning activity on small spatial and time scales. Up to now, only correlation studies on timescales of several years have been reported [Smith et al., 2010; Fuschino et al., 2011], so any seasonal variability giving possible clues on the TGF/lightning relation has been smeared out.

We recall here that the selection criteria used in this work for TGF identification are the same as those previously used in Marisaldi et al. [2014], including the requirement for the maximum photon energy not to be greater than 30 MeV. The availability of a sample of events with a firm association to WWLLN sferics, therefore surely associated to a TGF process, will allow to relax all selection criteria including the cut on the maximum photon energy, possibly shedding light on the long-standing issue of the highest photon energy in TGFs [Tavani et al., 2011].
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