INTRODUCTION

Biodiesel has been used as a partial substitute for diesel in automotive engines, although there are still some differences between them. Some advantages of biodiesel are: it is derived from renewable raw material, has a higher flash point (130 to 180 °C) compared to conventional diesel (50 °C) which makes its handling and storage safer.1

Because it contains methyl esters derived from unsaturated fatty acids, biodiesel is susceptible to oxidation which, although environmentally favorable, is one of its main technical disadvantages as the difficult for long-period storage.2 Among the factors that cause this instability are: humidity, light, high temperatures and metals contamination.2,3

The metal contamination can occur during biodiesel production, more precisely in heat exchangers, in storage tanks, during transportation and in its use in vehicles when in contact with the fuel tank, filter components, injector pump and others, since they are made of various transition metals.4,5

Some studies have shown the action of various metals in the oxidation reaction of biodiesel.4-6 and in the presence of transition metals, states that even in small quantities they significantly accelerate oxidation. Among metals, copper and iron in their ionic form have been the main reaction catalysts.5,6-11

The oxidation reaction of biodiesel produces free radicals and hydroperoxides that form a series of by-products, such as aldehydes, ketones, carboxylic acids, among others.12-14 The mechanisms involved in oxidation are complex, and according to Kumar (2017)2 metals act as catalysts in the initiation step.

Antioxidants, including natural ones, have been widely used to reduce oxidative degradation of biodiesel as they act as free radical scavengers, metal ion chelators or even as oxygen scavengers.5,15 Phenolic compounds present in plant extracts have been used as antioxidants because they are capable of donating a hydrogen, by restoring the biodiesel molecule to more stable compounds, while the radicals formed by them are stabilized by resonance without the ability of initiate or propagate oxidative reaction.5,16

Chendynski et al.,17 evaluated the action of extracts of rosemary leaves, araçá pulp and peel of bacuri in the inhibition of the biodiesel oxidation reaction. Messias et al.,1 used rosemary extract in biodiesel catalyzed with metal alloys and obtained good performance of the extract as a natural antioxidant.

The effectiveness of an antioxidant is evaluated by increasing the induction period (IP) of biodiesel by the addition of extract with antioxidant properties, being expressed as a relative protection factor (RPF). Some studies have used the RPF to evaluate the efficiency of antioxidants in the biodiesel oxidation reaction.16-21 This study aimed to evaluate the antioxidant protection effect (RPF) of rosemary extract in biodiesel in the presence of transition metal ions.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Biodiesel

The biodiesel used was obtained in the Fuel Research and Analysis Laboratory of the State University of Londrina, Brazil, from a mixture containing soybean oil, beef tallow and poultry fat, by methyl route.

Chromatographic analysis

The biodiesel esters profile and quantification were performed following EN 14103 (2003)22 using a gas chromatograph with CG-Shimadzu-GC2010-plus equipment coupled to a Shimadzu MS-QP2010-Ultra mass spectrometer.

Rosemary leaves alcoholic extract

Ten grams of dried rosemary leaves (Rosmarinus sp.) at 60 °C were added to 250 mL of absolute ethyl alcohol. These mixtures were kept in the absence of light for 48 h, then filtered and concentrated.
to approximately 50 mL using a heating plate at 50 °C. After cooling to the room temperature, each extract was transferred to a 50 mL volumetric flask and completed with absolute ethyl alcohol (Anidrol). Before being added to biodiesel, rosemary extract was heated to 40 °C until alcohol was eliminated.

**Determination of total phenolic and antioxidant activity of rosemary extract**

Total phenolic compounds of the extract were determined in triplicate by spectrophotometry (PerkinElmer, UV - vis LAMBDA 25 model) using Folin – Ciocalteu 2N reagent (Sigma - Aldrich) and the antioxidant activity was measured in triplicate by the DPPH assay (Sigma - Aldrich).

**Chromatographic analysis of the extract**

The rosemary extract chromatographic analyses were performed on a Finnigan Surveyor Thermo Scientific liquid chromatograph, equipped with a photodiode array detector (HPLC-PAD), with wavelength monitoring at 190, 220 and 330 nm.

**Samples Preparation**

Biodiesel samples were prepared individually by adding Cr³⁺ (CrCl₃.6H₂O; Cinética Brand, 97.0% purity), Co²⁺ (CoCl₂.6H₂O; Synth Brand, 99.6% purity), Fe²⁺ (FeCl₂.4H₂O; Biotec Brand, 98.0% purity), and according to Moreno et al., act as metal chelating agents or as singlet oxygen deactivators. To verify the efficiency of the rosemary extract, before its addition in the biodiesel samples, the phenolic compounds content was analyzed and the value found was 19.285 mgGAE g⁻¹ drymass, similar to that found by Spacino et al.. The Chromatographic analysis of the extract shows the presence of rosmarinic acid, rosmanol, carnosic acid, carnosol and methyl carnosate, which are phenolic compounds present in rosemary that have antioxidant properties. Furthermore, according to Coppo et al. (2013), the infrared spectra of alcoholic rosemary extract showed characteristic bands of phenolic compounds.

**Biodiesel physico-chemical characterization**

The density (20 °C) was determined according to the ASTM D4052 method, the kinematic viscosity (40 °C) by the ASTM D445 method, acid number by the ASTM D664 method, iodine value by the EN 14111 method, and water content by the ASTM D6304 method.

**Determination of Induction Period (IP)**

The assays were performed at 110 °C, using the Rancimat equipment (Brand: Metrohm; Model: 873), according to the methodology described in EN 14112 (2016).

**Infrared Analysis**

Infrared analyses were performed using a Shimadzu spectrophotometer, IRPrestige-21. The analyses used 64 scans with a resolution of 4.0. KBr pellets were made and 1 drop of each sample was placed on them.

**Relative Protection Factor (RPF)**

The relative protection factor (RPF) was determined from the ratio between the induction period of B100 biodiesel containing the antioxidant extract and the metal ion (IPₓₑ), and the induction period of the biodiesel sample with the metal ion (IPₓ), according Equation (1).

\[
RPF = \frac{IP_{BXE}}{IP_{B}}
\]

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The biodiesel used in the experiment showed 879.6 kg m⁻³ of density, 4.46 mm² s⁻¹ of kinematic viscosity (40 °C), 0.45 mgKOH g⁻¹ of acid number, 92% of iodine value and 180 mg kg⁻¹ of water content. The chromatographic analyses indicated the presence of 98.71% of esters, being 42.02% saturated methyl esters and 57.98% unsaturated methyl esters, in which, although not containing antioxidants in its composition, justifies its induction period of 8.29 h. However, since biodiesel has unsaturated methyl esters in its composition, it is subject to the oxidation process that can be accelerated in the presence of some transition metal cations.

To inhibit or delay the oxidation reaction of biodiesel, rosemary extract was added, which has in its composition chemical substances with antioxidant properties, such as: rosmarinic acid, rosmanol, carnosic acid, carnosol and methyl carnosate. These phenolic compounds are capable of donating hydrogens that interrupt the propagation of free radicals formed at the beginning of oxidation, and according to Moreno et al., act as metal chelating agents or as singlet oxygen deactivators.

To verify the efficiency of the rosemary extract, before its addition in the biodiesel samples, the phenolic compounds content was analyzed and the value found was 19.285 mgGAE g⁻¹ drymass. According to Spacino et al., the concentration of phenols in rosemary extract varies from 11.60 to 34.23 mgGAE g⁻¹ drymass, but it depends on how the extract was obtained, the temperature, climate and region where rosemary was grown. The antioxidant activity, which gives an idea of the quality of the phenolic compounds present in the extract, expressed as Trolox equivalent, was 54.209 mgTrolox g⁻¹ drymass, similar to that found by Spacino et al..

The Chromatographic analysis of the extract shows the presence of rosmarinic acid, rosmanol, carnosic acid, carnosol and methyl carnosate, which are phenolic compounds present in rosemary that have antioxidant properties. Furthermore, according to Coppo et al. (2013), the infrared spectra of alcoholic rosemary extract showed characteristic bands of phenolic compounds.
the 96 hours of the BCr, BCo and BFe samples, the Rancimat did not register the induction periods.

The initial time samples, which correspond to the control without extract (BC) and with addition of extract (BCE), presented IP of 8.29 h and 11.42 h, respectively. These values were higher than the established by EN 14214 (2019) which minimum value is 8 h. After 120 h of experiment, the BC sample had an 11.21% reduction in its IP and the BE sample a reduction of 12.17%. Although the BC sample presented IP of 7.36 h after 120 h of experiment, this value was 3.89 times higher than the value presented by BCo sample after 72 h of experiment.

For the biodiesel samples containing the metal ions without extract, after the beginning of the experiment, none of the samples presented IP higher than 8 h. However, we verified that the cobalt ion-containing biodiesel showed the highest IP throughout the experiment, when compared to the samples containing the other metals. The sample containing iron ions, after 56 h, had a reduction of 84.56% of the initial IP, indicating that iron was the one that most accelerated the oxidation reaction.

Analyzing the biodiesel samples containing the metal cations and the rosemary extract, we found that the extract exerted greater protection in the BCrE sample, because until the 96-hour experiment time, it was still in conformity with EN 14214 (2019). The extract was also a good antioxidant in the BCoE sample that remained within the norm until 56 h of experiment. The sample containing iron ions, after 56 h, had a reduction of 84.56% of the initial IP, indicating that iron was the one that most accelerated the oxidation reaction.

Table 1. Induction period at 110 °C of control samples and samples with metallic ions with and without extract addition

| Time (h) | BC | BCE |
|----------|----|-----|
| 0        | 8.29 | 11.42 |
| 0.25     | 7.23 | 6.59 |
| 8        | 6.40 | 6.59 |
| 24       | 4.36 | 5.84 |
| 32       | 4.05 | 4.85 |
| 48       | 2.43 | 3.85 |
| 56       | 1.76 | 3.76 |
| 72       | 1.20 | 1.89 |
| 96       | NR  | NR  |
| 120      | 7.36 | 10.03 |

NR not registered

Table 2. Rosemary extract RPF values for biodiesel samples containing metallic cations

| Time (h) | Cr³⁺ | Co²⁺ | Fe²⁺ |
|----------|------|------|------|
| 0        | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 |
| 0.25     | 1.38 | 1.7  | 2.22 |
| 8        | 1.53 | 1.64 | 2.48 |
| 24       | 2.24 | 2.36 | 2.26 |
| 32       | 2.30 | 2.00 | 2.75 |
| 48       | 3.54 | 2.31 | 1.77 |
| 56       | 4.87 | 2.26 | 2.27 |
| 72       | 7.12 | 3.89 | NR  |

NR not registered

the catalytic action of the metal ions used (Table 2).

In Table 2 we can see that the biodiesel sample containing chromium ions, the RPF increased 5.16 times after 72 h of experiment, and those with cobalt ions the increase was smaller, 2.82 times. In the iron-containing sample there was practically no variation in the RPF.

Despite the catalytic action of transition metal ions in the oxidation reaction of biodiesel, we found a strong protective effect of the phenolic compounds present in rosemary extract in the chromium ion-containing sample, which is confirmed when we also compare the IP value (Table 1), because the BCrE sample has an IP greater than BCr throughout the experiment time. Although iron has higher catalytic power in the oxidation reaction of biodiesel, the relative protection factor of the extract showed low values in the sample containing this ion.

In the initial times, the RPF of the sample containing Cr³⁺ showed no significant variation, indicating that the initiation of the oxidation reaction with free radical formation is slower in the presence of this metal when compared to samples containing Co²⁺ and Fe²⁺ ions. In the final times the RPF value of this sample increased considerably, since a mechanism of free radical formation has already been established. However, in samples containing the extract we have the inhibition of intermediate radicals, which does not occur in samples free of extract.

When analyzing the possibility of complexation of phenolic radicals with metal ions, which would decrease their availability, and thereby decrease their ability to catalyze oxidation reactions. Thus, the different complexation trends of these metals should be taken into account as well as their stability. Phenolic compounds are classified as strong field ligands, and if we consider an ideal situation where the possible complexes are undistorted octahedra and non-interference by other compounds, it is simple to justify the behavior.

Among the factors that affect the tendency to complex formation and their stability is the crystal field stabilization energy (CFSE), which depends on the magnitude of the crystal field splitting values
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(Δo) and the electronic configuration of the metal ion. The Δ, is greater the higher the load on the metal and the smaller its radius. Therefore Cr3⁺ is the metal with the highest load and the smallest radius among those used, having the highest value of Δo.

Co²⁺ and Fe²⁺ have the same charge, but cobalt is slightly smaller than iron and thus has a higher value of Δo. Considering also the dependence of CFSE with the electronic configuration, Cr³⁺ presents configuration d⁴, while Co²⁺ and Fe²⁺ are d⁷ and d⁶, respectively. Therefore, they have an increasing CFSE ratio of Fe²⁺ < Co²⁺ < Cr³⁺. These data show the greater tendency of the Cr³⁺ ion to form complexes followed by Co²⁺ and Fe²⁺ respectively, thus agreeing with the experimental results since Fe²⁺, being freer, is the metal with the greatest catalytic action in the presence of extract and Cr³⁺ of less action.

This greater availability of Fe²⁺ in the nonpolar medium causes the formation of complexes with the ions and the compounds produced during the initiation step of the biodiesel oxidation reaction. In these complexes, the electrons transfer to oxygen forming the singlet oxygen, reacting with the unsaturated ester, forming the free peroxy radical, and then the hydroperoxide forming the alkoxy and hydroxy radical, rapidly propagating the oxidation reaction of the biodiesel esters. Moreover, as the reaction of this ion with oxygen forms Fe³⁺ and singlet oxygen that reacts with unsaturated ester, propagating the oxidation reaction of biodiesel.

Figures 1 to 3 represent the infrared spectra of biodiesel samples with and without rosemary extract containing Cr³⁺ ions (Figure 1a and 1b), biodiesel with and without rosemary extract containing Co²⁺ ions (Figure 2a and 2b) and biodiesel with and without rosemary extract containing Fe²⁺ ions (Figure 3a and 3b).

Infrared spectra for samples containing Cr³⁺ (Figure 1) showed no difference in absorption bands in relation to the absence or presence of the extract. Cr³⁺ did not show pronounced catalytic power in biodiesel oxidation, and the processes with free radical formation occurred practically independently of the presence of the metal ion.

The RPF of samples containing Co²⁺ presented a more discreet variation when compared to samples containing Cr³⁺, and at the end of 72 h, had a protection 2.8 times higher than at the beginning of the experiment. From the spectra of the samples containing Co²⁺ (Figure 2b) in the absence of rosemary extract after 96 h, a 2750 cm⁻¹ band of axial deformation of the C-H bond of aldehydes is observed. This band shows that the mechanism of the Co²⁺ ion led to the formation of perhydroxyl radicals, precursor of aldehydes.
and ketones in the oxidation of lipids and long chain esters.\textsuperscript{22} This mechanism is also confirmed by the presence of a narrower band at about 1050 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, characteristic of peroxide radical species as acyl, alkyl and aryl. The presence of intermediate peroxides is in accordance with the literature that reports the mechanisms of Fe\textsuperscript{2+} catalysis,\textsuperscript{40} and also explains the high reaction rate of biodiesel oxidation reactions in the presence of this metal ion. In the presence of rosemary extract the peroxide band observed is similar to other samples, possibly due to an interaction between the extract and the metallic ion, making its catalytic action in the oxidation of biodiesel less intense, which can be confirmed by the values of relative protection factor of the sample with the antioxidant extract.

CONCLUSION

The Cr\textsuperscript{3+}, Co\textsuperscript{2+} and Fe\textsuperscript{2+} ions showed a catalytic effect in biodiesel oxidation reaction. Analyzing the induction period, the largest effect was caused by the iron ion.

The rosemary extract, besides restoring free radicals formed in the oxidation reaction of biodiesel, showed an interaction with the metal ions added, slowing the oxidative process. The antioxidant effect of the extract in the biodiesel samples is observed by the reduction of the induction period. The relative protection factor proved to be a good tool to evaluate the rosemary extract efficiency during the biodiesel storage.
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