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Abstract: Stone monuments and relics are prone to biodeterioration processes prompted by microbial proliferation and activity. Among the distinct microbes capable of stone colonization, fungi are known to strongly contribute to stone biodeterioration. During the ongoing efforts aiming to study fungi thriving in dolomitic limestone walls of the Coimbra’s hypogean Roman cryptoporticus (Portugal), two unknown Bionectriaceae isolates were retrieved. The aim of this work was to depict the molecular and phenotypic characteristics of these microorganisms. The phylogenetic analyses revealed that the studied strains could not be assigned to any of the currently known Bionectriaceae genera. Moreover, the isolates exhibited distinctive and peculiar characteristics, such as the packing of conidia by surrounding hyphal segments and the formation of rope-like microsclerotia with a textura globosa. Taking into account all the data obtained, a novel genus and species, *Circumfusicillium cavernae* gen. et sp. nov. in Bionectriaceae (Hypocreales), is proposed here.
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1. Introduction

Hypogean cultural heritage monuments are often exposed to extreme conditions [1–4], with the fungal community under these circumstances being strongly shaped by other microbes (e.g., photoautotrophs) and external factors (e.g., animal vectored dispersion, water availability and anthropogenic activities) [4–6]. When dealing with stone monuments, fungi are known to be one of the most important biodeteriorative microorganisms since they can deeply alter stone integrity, structural and aesthetic characteristics [7–9]. Fungi contribute to stone biodeterioration through various physical and chemical mechanisms that can result in pitting, mineral dislocation, dissolution and reprecipitation [8–12]. Such processes can unwittingly cause irreversible cultural heritage losses. However, the fungal diversity in hypogean environments and monuments has also been pinpointed as largely unexplored, a situation that hampers the proper application of suitable conservation treatments aiming to preserve such cultural heritage materials for future generations since these microorganisms’ biocide susceptibilities remain uncharacterized [13].

The Hypocreales is a largely diversified fungal order, currently comprising fifteen accepted families, namely Bionectriaceae, Calcisporiaceae, Clavicipitaceae, Coccothrichidiaceae, Cordycipitaceae, Cylindriaceae, Flammocladiales, Hypocreales, Myrotheciomycetaceae, Nec-triaceae, Niessliaceae, Ophiocordycipitaceae, Sarocladiaceae, Stachybrotaceae and Tilachlidaceae [14,15]. Species in this order exhibit highly versatile strategies to exploit their substrata and are often able to survive under various differential environments [16,17]. Bionectriaceae currently encompasses one of the largest fungal families in the order Hypocreales, classically being considered to include genera that have uniloculate, perithecial, rarely cleistothecial ascomata that are white, orange or brown, not changing color in KOH [17]. Some species with vastly diverse ecologies and exhibiting acermonium-like or verticillium-like anamorphic characters are also present in this family [18,19].
With the ongoing characterization of fungal limestone biodeterioration phenomena in the UNESCO World Heritage site of “University of Coimbra—Alta and Sofia” (Coimbra, Portugal), various studies are also being conducted in the city’s Roman hypogean cryptoporticus (first or second century AD) (e.g., [20]). One survey aiming to isolate fungal species thriving in this monument allowed the retrieval of two unknown Bionectriaceae strains from a mature biofilm. Therefore, the aim of this work was to determine the taxonomic position of this fungus by employing phylogenetic analyses coupled with morphological examinations. This integrative analysis highlighted that this fungus represents a novel genus and species in Bionectriaceae, here proposed and described as Circumfusicillium cavernae gen. et sp. nov.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Site Description and Fungal Isolation

The Machado de Castro National Museum (Museu Nacional Machado de Castro—MNMC) is one of the most important Portuguese art repositories, holding a vast asset of ancient national religious artworks. The museum is located in the former city Bishop’s palace, built during the Middle Age. The site corresponds to where the Roman forum of Aeminium (Coimbra’s ancient name) once stood. The cryptoporticus is located underneath the museum, being composed of an underground gallery of arched dolomitic limestone corridors built in the first or second century AD. Gaius Sevius Lupus is thought to be the architect responsible for its construction. In the south of the cryptoporticus, a large sewer flowing to the Mondego River is present, likely representing the former cloaca maxima of Aeminium.

Sampling was conducted in the cryptoporticus cells (large communicating areas leading to a gallery), with the samples being obtained as described previously [20,21], by scraping small areas from a well-developed biofilm in a deteriorated limestone wall with a sterile scalpel into a collection tube. All sampling procedures were performed with the permission of “Direcção Regional de Cultura do Centro” (DRCC, local Government authority) and supervised by technicians from the MNMC. This sampling site was characterized by having a temperature of 23 °C ± 1, humidity of 56%, light intensity of 66 lx and by visible water dripping down the walls [20]. Complementarily, we have previously found that this area is largely dominated by the phototrophs Asterionella, Geitlerinema, Mastigocladopsis, Oscillatoriales and Pleurocapsa, and by fungi belonging to Cephalotrichum, Chaetothyriales, Cyphellophora, Lecanicillium and Mortierella [20].

Sample inoculation was performed after the suspension of the retrieved rocky material in 3 mL of sterile 0.9% (w/v) NaCl solution, vortexing and plating over Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) (Difco, New Jersey, USA) supplemented with streptomycin (0.5 g L⁻¹) [20]. Plate incubation was performed for a period of thirty days at room temperature (27 ± 1 °C) and in the dark [20]. The emerging Bionectriaceae colonies were isolated to similar fresh media and further incubated until biomass had developed for DNA extraction (circa fifteen days).

2.2. DNA Extraction, PCR Amplification, Sequencing and Phylogenetic Analyses

Total genomic DNA extraction of the two Bionectriaceae isolates was conducted with the REDExtract-N-Amp Plant PCR Kit (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) as previously described [20,21]. The genomic DNA obtained was subjected to PCR amplification of the Internal Transcribed Spacer region (ITS) and the 28S gene (LSU), with a program composed of 35 cycles, with an initial denaturation temperature of 94 °C for 1 min, primer annealing at 55 °C for 1 min, extension at 72 °C for 1 min, and a final extension step at 72 °C for 5 min. PCR mixes contained a final volume of 25 µL, with 12.5 µL of NZYFaq Green Master Mix (NZYTech™, Lisboa, Portugal), 1 µL of each primer (10 mM), 9.5 µL of ultrapure water and 1 µL of template DNA. For the amplification of the ITS rDNA region, the primer pair ITS1-F/ITS4 [22,23] was used, while the amplification of the LSU region was achieved with the primer pair LSU1fd/LR5R [24,25]. All PCR reactions were conducted in
an ABI GeneAmp™ 9700 PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, USA). Purification of the amplified amplicons was conducted with the NZYGelpure DNA purification kit (NZYTech™, Lisboa, Portugal) and then sequenced using an ABI 3730xl DNA Analyzer system (96 capillary instruments) at STABVIDA, Portugal.

DNA sequences were quality checked and assembled using the Geneious® R11.0.02 software (https://www.geneious.com) and deposited in GenBank (see Table 1). The obtained sequences were initially compared with the sequences available in the National Center of Biotechnology Information nucleotide database using NCBI’s Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST), with the option standard nucleotide Blastn [26]. To further evaluate the Bionectriaceae isolate’s phylogenetic position, three datasets consisting of partial LSU (dataset 1), partial ITS (dataset 2) and concatenated ITS and LSU (dataset 3) reference sequences were constructed (adapted from [27,28], see Table 1). In addition, considering that some GenBank “uncultured” sequences and isolates identified as Geo-smithia sp. (to the best of our knowledge, not formally described) had a significant ITS Blast result (>95%), a smaller dataset (dataset 4) was also constructed to include and study these sequences. For each dataset, sequences were individually aligned using the online version of MAFFT v.7 [29] and manually adjusted using UGENE v.1.26.3 [30]. For the construction of dataset 3, the individual alignments were concatenated with SeaView v.4 [31]. Prior to the phylogenetic analysis, the model of nucleotide substitution was estimated under the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) using MrModeltest v.2.3 [32] (for all cases nst = 6 rates = invgamma). Phylogenetic analysis was conducted considering both Maximum likelihood and Bayesian methods. The Maximum likelihood analysis was conducted using RaxmlGUI v.2.0.0 with 1000 bootstrap replicates [33]. In parallel, a Bayesian MCMC analysis was performed using MrBayes v.3.2.6 [34] for four runs, ten million generations, heated chain “temperature” of 0.15 and trees being saved after every 100 generations. Upon the analysis conclusion, Tracer v.1.5 [35] was used to ensure that convergence had been reached. The burn-in phase (25%) was discharged, and the remaining trees were used to calculate the Bayesian posterior probabilities (BP) in a 50% majority rule consensus tree that was then viewed in FigTree v.1.2.2 [36]. For dataset 1, the tree was rooted with Neocosmospora vasinfecta (GenBank accession number U17406); for datasets 2 and 4, the trees were rooted with Verticillium bulbillosum (GenBank accession number NR_154142), while for dataset 3, the tree was rooted with Thyronectria rhodochlora (GenBank accession numbers MH877605 and KJ570704). All the obtained alignments and phylogenetic trees were deposited in figshare (10.6084/m9.figshare.20279658).

Table 1. List of reference isolates considered in the phylogenetic analyses and their respective GenBank accession numbers. The newly generated sequences are presented in black and bold.

| Species                  | Isolate Reference | LSU Accession Number | ITS Accession Number |
|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Acremonium acutatum      | CBS 682.71        | NG056976             | MH860300             |
| Acremonium alternatum    | CBS 407.66        | NG056977             | MH424672             |
| Acremonium fusidioides   | CBS 840.68        | NG056984             | FN706542             |
| Acremonium hennebertii   | CBS 768.69        | NG056987             | MH859420             |
| Acremonium sclerotigenum | CBS 124.42        | NG057139             | MH856101             |
| Acremonium zeylanicum    | CBS 746.73        | HQ232154             | -                    |
| Bryocentria brongniartii | M190              | EU940125             | -                    |
| Bryocentria metzgeriae   | M140              | EU940106             | -                    |
| Species                        | Isolate Reference | LSU Accession Number | ITS Accession Number |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Bulbithecium hyalosporum      | CBS 318.91        | AF096187             | NR_137155            |
| Bullansomia australis         | CPC 28976         | KY173506             | -                    |
| Caespitomonium euphorbiae     | CPC 39083         | OK663737             | OK664698             |
| Circumfusicillium cavernae   | MUM 20.31         | MT012542             | MT012542             |
| Circumfusicillium cavernae   | MUM 20.32         | MT012543             | MT012543             |
| Clonostachys epichloe         | CBS 118752        | DQ363259             | -                    |
| Clonostachys grammicospora    | GJS 85-218        | AF193238             | -                    |
| Clonostachys ochroleuca       | CCFC 226708       | AY283558             | -                    |
| Clonostachys pityrodes        | GJS 95            | AY489728             | -                    |
| Clonostachys setosa           | CBS 834.91        | AF210670             | AF210670             |
| Emericellopsis glabra         | AR 3614           | GQ505993             | HM484860             |
| Emericellopsis maritima       | AFTOLID 999       | FJ176861             | -                    |
| Emericellopsis terreicola     | CBS 120.40        | U57082               | MH856058             |
| Geonectria subalpina          | CBS 143540        | MH155487             | -                    |
| Geosmithia langdonii          | CCF 4326          | -                    | KF808298             |
| Geosmithia lavendula          | CBS 582.67        | KT155289             | MH85905              |
| Geosmithia microcorathi       | 602a              | -                    | MT955334             |
| Geosmithia putterillii        | CBS 260.33        | KT155185             | MH855435             |
| Glomastix masset              | CBS 794.69        | HQ232060             | MH859431             |
| Glomastix rosgriesea          | CBS 279.79        | HQ232122             | -                    |
| Heloceoccus aurantiacum       | CBS 201.35        | JX158441             | MH855645             |
| Heloceoccus japonense         | CBS 397.67        | JX158442             | JX158420             |
| Heloceoccus japonicum         | ATCC 18157        | U17429               | -                    |
| Hydropisphaera pezica         | GJS 92101         | AY489730             | -                    |
| Hydrophialphaea suffulta      | CLLMAR 13023      | KU237207             | -                    |
| Ijuhya chilensis              | CBS 102803        | KY607553             | KY607538             |
| Ijuhya fournieri              | CLLG10113         | KP899118             | -                    |
| Ijuhya peristomialis          | CBS 569.76        | KY607559             | KY607544             |
| Kallichroma glabrum           | JK5123            | AF193233             | -                    |
| Kallichroma tethys            | JK5181            | AF193234             | -                    |
| Lasiometriella rubi          | CBS 140157        | KU593581             | -                    |
| Leucosphaerina arxii          | CBS 737.84        | NG057892             | NR_145040            |
| Mycorachis inversa            | ATCC 22107        | NG059437             | HM484861             |
| Nectriopsis violacea          | MUCL 40056        | AF193242             | -                    |
| Nigrosabulum globosum         | ATCC 22102        | AF096195             | NR_160124            |
| Ochronecctia calami           | ATCC46692         | AF193243             | -                    |
| Ochronecctia thailandica      | MFLUCC 15-0140    | KU564069             | KU564071             |
| Ovicillium attenuatum         | CBS 158.96        | KU382232             | KU382186             |
| Ovicillium napiforme          | CBS 426.95        | KU382233             | KU382192             |
| Ovicillium oosporum           | CBS 110152        | KU382234             | KU382194             |
| Ovicillium subglobosum        | CBS 101963        | KU382235             | NR_154335            |
| Paracylindrocarpon aloicola   | CPC 27362         | KX228328             | KX228277             |
Table 1. Cont.

| Species                  | Isolate Reference | LSU Accession Number | ITS Accession Number |
|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Protocreopsis korfii     | CLLM 14077        | KT852955             | -                    |
| Protocreopsis pertusa    | CTR 72184         | GQ506002             | -                    |
| Roumegueriella rufula    | GJS 91164         | EF460982             | -                    |
| Selinia pulchra          | AR 2750           | AF193246             | -                    |
| Stephanonectria keithii  | GJS 92133         | AY489727             | -                    |
| Stilbocrea clolubrensis  | CLLM 16003        | MN497409             | NR_173884            |
| Stilbocrea gracilipes    | CLLM 16015        | -                    | MN497407             |
| Stilbocrea macrostoma    | GJS 02125         | GQ506004             | -                    |
| Stilbocrea macrostoma    | GJS 7326          | AY489725             | -                    |
| Stilbocrea macrostoma    | WU 32032          | MH562718             | -                    |
| Stilbocrea walteri       | CBS 144627        | MH562717             | NR_160063            |
| Stromatonectria caraganae| COAD 2070         | HQ112287             | HQ112287             |
| Synnemellisia aurantia   | COAD 2070         | KX866396             | NR_154444            |
| Verrucostoma freycinetiae| MAFF 240100       | GQ506013             | NR_137761            |
| Verrucostoma martinicensis| PAM 2015        | KP192672             | -                    |
| Xanthoneuctria pseudopeziza| CLL16005        | KU946964             | -                    |

2.3. Morphological Analysis

The isolates were grown in unfiltered Oatmeal Agar (OA) (60 g of oatmeal flakes, 12.5 g of agar, 1 L water) for twenty-one days and microscopic analysis was performed directly or using the slide culture technique, with the slides being stained with lactophenol cotton blue (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA). Microscopical observations were conducted with a light microscope and photographed (Leica DM 400B + Leica DFC 490 digital camera (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany)). A holotype and ex-type living cultures were deposited in Micoteca da Universidade do Minho (MUM), Braga, Portugal.

3. Results

3.1. Phylogenetic Analyses

Initial comparisons with the sequences deposited in the NCBI database revealed that the similarity with the closest reference organisms for the ITS sequences was 92% (Stilbocrea macrostoma CLLLG18056), while for the LSU sequences was 98% (Geosmithia xerotolerans FMR 17085), showing that the isolates belonged to the family Bionectriaceae.

The phylogenetic analyses performed for the three first datasets were constructed using individual partial LSU and ITS sequence alignments and a concatenated matrix of Bionectriaceae reference sequences (802 (LSU), 540 (ITS) and 1317 (concatenated matrix) nucleotides, including alignment gaps, respectively). For each case, the generated trees from Bayesian and Maximum likelihood analyses showed similar topologies between them, being in accordance with the current knowledge regarding this family [27] (Figures 1–3). In addition, the phylogenetic analyses revealed that the studied fungus could not be properly assigned to any of the currently known Bionectriaceae genus, forming a separate lineage closely affiliated with Ovicillium (introduced by Zare and Gams [18]), according to the LSU analysis.
Figure 1. Phylogenetic trees obtained using reference *Bionectriaceae* LSU sequences. The new taxa are indicated in **black** and **bold**. The scale bar indicates the number of substitutions per site, and the support values (>75% bootstrap values for Maximum likelihood and >0.75 for Bayesian MCMC posterior probabilities) are also shown.

Figure 2. Phylogenetic trees obtained using reference *Bionectriaceae* ITS sequences. The new taxa are indicated in **black** and **bold**. The scale bar indicates the number of substitutions per site, and the support values (>75% bootstrap values for Maximum likelihood and >0.75 for Bayesian MCMC posterior probabilities) are also shown.
Figure 3. Phylogenetic trees obtained using the concatenated ITS and LSU reference Bionectriaceae sequences. The new taxa are indicated in black and bold. The scale bar indicates the number of substitutions per site, and the support values (>75% bootstrap values for Maximum likelihood and >0.75 for Bayesian MCMC posterior probabilities) are also shown.

The phylogenetic analyses on dataset 4 also allowed the verification that the GenBank ITS sequences labeled as “uncultured” and as Geosmithia sp. clustered with the sequences generated in this study. Since these microorganisms/sequences have been found associated with cave and other monument biodeterioration scenarios [37–40], these results further highlight Circumfusicillium ecology and putative geographical distribution (Figure 4).
Figure 4. Phylogenetic trees obtained using best Blast hits ITS sequences and *Geosmithia* representatives. The new taxa are indicated in **black** and **bold**. The original study sites are presented in **bold** and **red**. The scale bar indicates the number of substitutions per site, and the support values (>75% bootstrap values for Maximum likelihood and >0.75 for Bayesian MCMC posterior probabilities) are also shown.

3.2. Morphological Analysis

**Taxonomy**

*Bionectriaceae* Samuels and Rossman

*Circumfusicillium* J. Trovão, F. Soares, D.S. Paiva and A. Portugal, **gen. nov.** (Figure 5). MycoBank number: MB834762.

*Etymology:* From “circumfusus” denoting the sometimes-visualized packing of conidia by surrounding hyphal segments.

*Type species:* *Circumfusicillium cavernae* J. Trovão, F. Soares, D.S. Paiva & A. Portugal.

*Description:* Asexual morph, hyphae hyaline to subhyaline, smooth, thin-walled, solitary or forming hyphal ropes and coils. Simple phialidic conidiophores, hardly distinguishable from hyphae, smooth, tapering to the tip. Conidia arranged mostly in terminal heads. Solitary conidia can also be formed at hyphal tips. In hyphal coils, conidia sometimes can become tightly packed by surrounding hyphal segments. Conidia unicellular, hyaline to subhyaline, smooth, narrowly cylindrical. Chlamydospores mostly developing in intercalary chains, initially hyaline to subhyaline turning brown to dark brown later, globose to subglobose, cyanophilic, smooth, thick-walled. Chlamydospore chains interweaving in long rope-like microsclerotia, with cells of microsclerotia globose to subglobose, forming a *textura globosa*. Sexual morph unknown.

*Circumfusicillium cavernae* J. Trovão, F. Soares, D.S. Paiva and A. Portugal, **sp. nov.** (Figure 5).

MycoBank number: MB834763.

---

*Geosmithia* putterillii CBS 26033

*Geosmithia* microcorythi li 602a

*Geosmithia* langdonii CCF4326

*Geosmithia* lavendula CBS 58267

*Verticillium* bulbilosum CBS 14570

---

*Geosmithia* putterillii CBS 26033

*Geosmithia* microcorythi li 602a

*Geosmithia* langdonii CCF4326

*Geosmithia* lavendula CBS 58267

*Verticillium* bulbilosum CBS 14570
**Etymology:** Denoting the “show-cave”-like environment where the isolates were retrieved.

**Typification:** Portugal, Coimbra (40°12'31.69" N, 8°25'31.63" W) isolated from a biofilm covering a biodeteriorated limestone wall in the Machado de Castro National Museum Cryptoporticus, 4 April 2019, J. Trovão, (holotype MUM-H 20.31, dried specimen), ex-type culture MUM 20.31.

Figure 5. *Circumfusicillium cavernae* details of the: (a) Colony characteristics on OA after 21 days; (b) Conidia tightly packed by surrounding hyphal segments; (c–e) Typical conidiophores, conidial heads and conidia; (f) Typical chlamydospore chains; and (g) Chains of chlamydospores intertwaving in long rope-like microsclerotia with *textura globose*. Scale bars (b–e) 10 µm; (f,g) 20 µm.

**Description:** Hyphae hyaline to subhyaline, 1–3 µm wide, smooth, thin-walled, solitary or forming hyphal ropes and coils. Asexual morph, simple phialidic conidiophores, hardly distinguishable from hyphae, cyanophilic, smooth, tapering to the tip, reaching up to 100 µm long. Conidia arranged mostly in terminal heads, 6.5–14.5 × 6.5–19 µm wide. Often, solitary conidia are also formed at hyphal tips. Moreover, in hyphal coils, conidia sometimes can become tightly packed by surrounding hyphal segments. Conidia unicellular, hyaline to subhyaline, cyanophilic, smooth, narrowly cylindrical (rod-shaped), 3–4.8 × 1.3–2.6 µm wide. Chlamydospores mostly developing in intercalary chains, initially hyaline to subhyaline turning brown to dark brown later, globose to subglobose, cyanophilic, smooth, thick-walled, 3–8 × 3–6.5 µm wide. Chlamydospore chains intertwaving in long rope-like microsclerotia, highly variable in size and often occupying entire portions of the colony. Cells of microsclerotia globose to subglobose, forming a *textura globose*. Sexual morph unknown.
Colony characteristics: After 21 days at 25 °C on OA, colonies growing slowly, reaching up to 15 mm in diameter, slightly raised at center, crateriforme with radial waves, scarce whitish to greyish aerial mycelium, margins entire, narrow (1–2 mm), sporulation abundant, chlamydospores present often immersed into the agar. Colonies white to yellow-brown on top and reverse. Crystals, exudates and diffusible pigment absent.

Additional specimens examined: Portugal, Coimbra (40°12′31.69" N, 8°25′31.63" W) isolated from a biofilm covering a biodeteriorated limestone wall in the Machado de Castro National Museum Cryptoporticus, 4 April 2019, J. Trovão, MUM 20.32.

Notes: Circumfusicillium cavernae is phylogenetically closely related to Ovicillium as pointed by the LSU gene analysis. Ovicillium was introduced by Zare and Gams [18] and the genus needed further studies regarding its affinity with Bionectriaceae. However, the phylogenetic data obtained in this work are in accordance with the work of Voglmayr and Jaklitsch [27], who verified its phylogenetic position within this family. Although phylogenetically close, Circumfusicillium can be easily distinguished from Ovicillium [18] by the formation of hyphal ropes and coils (not reported for Ovicillium), by the simple phialidic conidiophores (frequently branched with verticillate phialides in Ovicillium), by the often-visualized conidia tightly packed by surrounding hyphal segments (not reported for Ovicillium) and by the production of long rope-like microsclerotia (not reported for Ovicillium). The formation of hyphal ropes and coils, as well as the formation of microsclerotia, have been previously described in some Bionectriaceae species parasiting nematodes (e.g., Ijuhya vitellina [41]). However, the C. cavernae microsclerotia cells textura globose are also a distinctive trait (e.g., textura angularis in Ijuhya vitellina [41]).

GenBank numbers: MUM 20.31 ITS: MT012540; LSU: MT012542. MUM 20.32 ITS: MT012541; LSU: MT012543.

4. Discussion

In the present study, the taxonomic status of two unknown Bionectriaceae isolates retrieved from a dolomitic limestone wall of the Coimbra’s Roman hypogean cryptoporticus was resolved. The integrative analysis applied allowed the description of a novel genus and species, Circumfusicillium cavernae gen. et sp. nov., in Bionectriaceae.

The study of cultural heritage materials and the microbial communities responsible for their biodeterioration has seen a high increase in the application of omics methodologies during the last years. Nonetheless, the application of High-Throughput Sequencing (HTS) methods has also highlighted that these relics are inhabited by various unknown microorganisms [42]. Moreover, it is also known that when considering stone structures and monuments, both cultivation and HTS methodologies should be applied in conjunction to achieve complete fungal profiling [21,43]. The cultivation of fungi is thus particularly important, as it also allows the description of previously unknown taxa that can be further studied, taking into account the specific biodeterioration context where they were found. Although their hypothetical contribution to stone biodeterioration processes remains largely unknown, Bionectriaceae members have also been found in other European caves and cultural heritage biodeterioration scenarios (e.g., [37–39]) and, for example, Bionectria ochroleuca was found to be able of in vitro calcite and whewellite mineralization [44].

The phylogenetic analyses conducted with dataset 4 (containing Genbank ITS sequences labeled as “uncultured” and as Geosmithia sp. not formally described) also allowed important Circumfusicillium ecological characteristics to be inferred, as well as their putative geographical distribution. When considering this data, it is possible to verify that Circumfusicillium has been detected throughout the Mediterranean basin. Complementarily, it can also be verified that these microorganisms have been constantly found to be associated to either caves or cultural heritage stone monuments biodeterioration scenarios, highlighting a peculiar and specific ecological characteristic for this genus. Thus far, Circumfusicillium has been detected in the Roman cryptoporticus of Coimbra (Portugal); in the Roman Necropolis of Carmona (Spain); in the Andalusian cave Cueva del Tesoro (Spain); in the
Dordogne Paleolithic rock art site (France); and in the Gothic building of Santa Maria della Piet (Italy) [37–40].

While various efforts aiming to explore other gene regions (e.g., the translation elongation 1-α (tef1) and the RNA polymerase II subunit 2 (rpb2)) in this family have been made, their availability is still limited to only a few genera (e.g., Geosmithia and Clonostachys). In fact, with the exception of the LSU region, few additional sequence data are available (including from the ITS rDNA region), further hampering proper taxonomic identifications in this family [27,28]. The phylogenetic analyses pointed out that Circumfusicillium is closely related to Ovicillium; the new genus; peculiar morphological characteristics allow for their distinction. Nonetheless, asexual morphology has been pointed to as a not-so-perfect distinction character for Bionectriaceae classification (e.g., [27]) since many species display acremonium-like or verticillium-like characteristics in this family and across the Sordariomycetes [18,19]. Considering the Circumfusicillium characteristics, this is also verified in this work. On the other hand, regarding the fungus’s peculiar morphological aspects, it should be noted that the textura globose formed by the microsclerotia cells is unusual and might be considered an important distinctive trait. Microsclerotia are survival structures that allow fungal survival under various extreme environments, including drought and harsh environmental conditions (e.g., [45]). The formation of microsclerotia by C. cavernae likely improves the species’ survival chances, considering the unusual and extreme environment found in the hypogean Coimbra’s Roman cryptoporticus but also in similar caves and stone oligotrophic environments across the Mediterranean basin.

5. Conclusions

The previously unknown fungal isolates here described represent a novel genus and species in Bionectriaceae and are part of a complex biofilm colonizing the Coimbra’s Roman Cryptoporticus. Thus, this work provides valuable data, increasing the current knowledge of fungi in the order Hypocreales, but also in the fungal diversity thriving on hypogean cultural heritage monuments. The description of fungal taxa from cultural heritage monuments is of increased importance, considering that only by knowing the microbial agents involved in the material biodeterioration adequate safeguarding measures can be considered, discussed and applied.
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