Agroclimatic risk zoning for guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) in Paraná river basin 3
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ABSTRACT

Fruticulture constitutes an important sector of the Brazilian agricultural industry. Despite technological and scientific advances, climate is still the most important variable defining crop productivity. Because of this, agroclimatic zoning should be one of the first factors to consider when starting to plant a particular crop. The objective of this work was to conduct climate risk zoning for guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) in Paraná river basin 3, Paraná, Brazil, using meteorological data from 43 stations collected between 1976 and 2018. The climate risk analysis was based on the climatic factors that impact the species, such as rainfall, annual water deficit, average annual temperature, coldest month temperature, and risk of frost. The findings of this study suggest that the basin has areas with a low climate risk for guava cultivation. Precipitation and water balance were sufficient under all tested scenarios. The most limiting factor for production was frost, but with risk only present during the first years of cultivation. Despite this, planting restrictions were only predicted to occur in the far west portion of the basin. Agricultural techniques that reduce the risk of frost and avoiding areas with greater frost incidences are the two most important aspects to consider to ensure greater success for guava in the region.
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Zoneamento de risco agroclimático da goiabeira (*Psidium guajava* L.) na bacia do rio Paraná 3

RESUMO

A fruticultura é um segmento de destaque da agricultura brasileira. Apesar dos recentes avanços tecnológicos e científicos, o clima é ainda a variável mais importante na produtividade agrícola. Nesse contexto, o zoneamento agroclimático deve ser uma das primeiras informações a serem consideradas ao iniciar o cultivo de determinada cultura. Dessa forma, objetivou-se neste trabalho realizar o zoneamento de risco climático para a goiabeira (*Psidium guajava* L.) na bacia do Rio Paraná 3, estado do Paraná. Para isso foram utilizados dados meteorológicos de 43 estações com recorte temporal de 1976-2018. A análise do risco climático foi pautada nas exigências climáticas da espécie, sendo estas, precipitação, deficiência hídrica anual, temperatura média anual e do mês mais frio e o risco de geada. A bacia possui regiões com baixo risco climático para a cultura da goiabeira. A precipitação e o balanço hídrico apresentaram valores suficientes em todos os cenários testados. O fator mais limitante para a produção é a geada, porém, como é um risco apenas nos anos iniciais do cultivar, houve apenas restrição para o plantio na porção extremo oeste da bacia. Técnicas de manejo podem ser tomadas para evitar o risco de geada e evitar áreas com maior incidência do fenômeno pode garantir maior sucesso no cultivo de goiaba da região.

Palavras-chave: aptidão climática; variabilidade climática; planejamento agrícola
1. Introduction

Fruticulture is an agricultural activity that contributes significantly to national economic development in Brazil. Fruticulture, as an agriculture sector, is enmeshed in the risks and uncertainties that meteorological and climatic elements pose, causing variability in production and necessitating different in fruit growing management practices (Mustafa et al., 2018; Oliveira et al., 2018; Somboonsuke et al., 2018; Tayt'sohn et al., 2018; Agovino et al., 2019).

Understanding the specific characteristics of each climate and soil could help producers to choose appropriate crops and management techniques in order to achieve higher incomes and lower losses. Among the agrometeorological information employed in agricultural planning, agroclimatic risk zoning is the most well-known to apply in agriculture (Caramori et al., 2008; Ricce et al., 2014; Santi et al., 2017).

The guava tree (Psidium guajava L.) is a perennial tree belonging to the Mirtaceae family. It is a rustic and native plant from the tropics, adapts easily to environmental variation, and can develop in both tropical and subtropical climates. The tree grows to a height of 3 to 10 meters and, in tropical regions, guava can flower and fruit continuously throughout the year (Medina et al., 1991). In south Brazil, the state of Rio Grande do Sul plays host to the largest cultivated area of guava tree production (Almeida et al., 2014).

The state of Paraná, south Brazil, produces negligible quantities of guava when compared to other fruits. In 2017, the state recorded 7 tons of guava produced over an area of approximately 670 ha\(^1\) (IPARDES, 2019). Several studies around the world, aim of improving guava management techniques and production (Salazar et al., 2006; Rezende et al., 2015; Abdel-Rahim and Abo-Elyour, 2017; Moon et al., 2018; Adhiambo et al., 2019; Blanco et al., 2019). The purpose of the present work was to carry out climatic risk agricultural zoning for guava in Paraná river basin 3, a drainage area on the left bank of the Itaipú reservoir located between the Iguacu and Piriquiri rivers.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Climate Variability

The hydroclimatic requirements of guava, as well as annual, seasonal, monthly, and daily time series data collected by meteorological stations between 1976 and 2018, were selected for use in the present study. The following meteorological variables were evaluated for guava zoning: annual and coldest month average temperature, annual water deficit, annual average precipitation, and frost occurrences (Sentelhas et al., 1996; Lazzarotto et al., 2005; Sousa et al., 2013; Almeida et al., 2014).

We analyzed 6 meteorological stations from IAPAR (Instituto Agronomico do Paraná; Brazil) provided data from 1976 to 2018; 10 stations of the SIMEPAR (Sistema Meteorológico do Paraná; Brazil) supplied data from 2000 to 2018, and 27 stations belonging to Águas Paraná (Brazil) collected data from 1976 to 2018, as highlighted in Figure 1.

**Figure 1.** Hypsometry of and station locations in Paraná river basin 3 - adapted and organized by the authors (2019).
For precipitation analyses, only data from rainfall stations was used, as these could provide a long data series (1976-2018). The spatialization of these data was performed through interpolation, which is an effective method of spatially visualizing climate data. This was done through isohyets and/or spatially filling the values adjusted by regression statistics and using the Inverse Distance Weighted (IDW) spatial interpolation algorithm (Mueller et al., 2004; Lem et al., 2013). Maps were created with aid of QGIS software.

The raw rainfall data were entered into QGIS and were transformed into a raster file with aid of the IDW interpolator. This new file displayed a regular surface adjusted to these point data of interest with a spatial resolution pixel of 1 km by 1 km. Subsequently, isohyets and their values were inserted to more clearly visualize areas with similar precipitation and/or insolation levels and to regionalize these areas. The distribution of annual precipitation was also investigated based on the following regional weather stations: Missal (West), Cascavel (South) and Vera Cruz do Oeste (Center), Foz do Iguacu (South) and Terra Roxa (North).

The Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) database was used to correct the influence of topography on temperature at 30 m resolution. This method was needed to spatialize and regionalize the data to include areas for which there was no accurate temperature data.

Multiple linear regression equations were applied to spatialize the average temperature and frost data measured at the meteorological stations. The following equation was applied: $y = a + b.lat + c.long + d.alt$, where $a$, $b$, $c$, and $d$ are regression coefficients, and $lat$, $long$, and $alt$ represent latitude, longitude and altitude, respectively. This mathematic formula was applied over the SRTM file using the ArcGIS v.10.0 geoprocessing software, making it possible to generate maps with a spatial resolution of 30 m.

The method used to calculate the probability of frost was based on the historical minimum temperature series recorded by the meteorological stations. The probabilities of values equal to or lower than 1.0 °C were calculated, these were adjusted to the following equation: $y = a + x.lat + y.long + z.alt$.

The water balance was obtained using the methods outlined in Thornthwaite and Mather (1955), using an equation containing the values of several meteorological variables in addition to the available soil water capacity proportional to the effective depth of the roots of the analyzed species. The monthly average rainfall data (extracted from the monthly totals of each year) and the monthly average temperature (extracted from the monthly average daily values of each year) were also taken into consideration. Then, the potential evapotranspiration (PET) was calculated, according to the Thornthwaite method. First, the standard potential evapotranspiration (PET, mm/month) was calculated using the following empirical formula:

\[ \text{PET} = \begin{cases} 16 \left( \frac{10^{Tn}}{T} \right) & \text{if } 0 < Tn < 26.5 \degree C \\ -415.85 + 32.24 Tn - 43.0 Tn^2 & \text{if } Tn \geq 26.5 \degree C \end{cases} \]

Where: $Tn$ - average temperature of month $n$, $T$ - average temperature throughout the year $n$. The PET value depends on the annual temperature cycle, integrating the thermal effect of each month, and was calculated using the following formula:

\[ I = 12(Ta)_{1.5} \]

The exponent “a”, as a function of I, is also a regional thermal index, and is calculated by the following expression:

\[ a = 0.49239 + 1.7912 \times 10^{-2} I - 7.71 \times 10^{-5} I^2 + 6.75 \times 10^{-7} I^3 \]

The PET value represents the total monthly evapotranspiration that would occur under the thermal conditions of a standard 30-day month, with each day having a 12-hour photoperiod (N). Therefore, PET should be corrected for $N$ and the number of days in the month.

\[ \text{COR} = \left( \frac{N}{12} \right) \left( \frac{NDP}{31} \right) \]

2.2. Agroclimatic risk zoning

The risk factors selected for agricultural climate risk zoning were:

a) Annual precipitation: Monthly and annual rainfall data series were collected from 27 meteorological stations. The obtained results were interpolated in a Geographic Information System (GIS) to generate maps, with regionalization of the data performed by the IDW spatial interpolation algorithm. The following rainfall categories were created: high risk – annual precipitation below 700 mm, and low risk – annual precipitation between 1,000 and 2,000 mm distributed throughout the year (Lazzarotto et al., 2005; Sousa et al., 2013; Almeida et al., 2014).

b) Annual Water Deficiency (AWD) and Monthly Water Deficiency (MWD): AWD was estimated according to the methodology outlined in Thornthwaite and Matter (1955) and was obtained through calculating the normal climatic water balance for the meteorological stations. A value of 80 mm was used as the available water capacity in the soil due to the fact that the guava root system explores a depth of more than 90 cm into the soil profile (Basso et al., 2002). The results obtained were interpolated in ArcGIS to generate annual water deficit maps. The following categories were determined for water deficiency risk: high risk - AWD greater than 100 mm, and low risk - AWD less than 100 mm (Lazzarotto et al., 2005; Sousa et al., 2013; Almeida et al., 2014).
c) Average annual temperature (Ta): Data from an historical series of average temperatures recorded by meteorological stations were used to estimate the average annual temperature. Using the Ta value, regression was applied as a function of latitude, longitude, and altitude for the whole basin. The risk classes defined for Ta were: high risk - below 19 °C, and low risk - above 19 °C (Sentelhas et al., 1996; Lazzarotto et al., 2005; Sousa et al., 2013; Almeida et al., 2014).

d) Minimum average temperature of the coldest month (Tmf): Data from an historical series of average daily temperatures measured by meteorological stations were used to calculate the July average temperatures. Using these values, a regression equation was adjusted as a function of latitude, longitude, and altitude for the whole basin. The risk classes were defined as: high risk - Tmf below 14 °C, and low risk - Tmf above 14 °C (Sentelhas et al., 1996; Lazzarotto et al., 2005; Sousa et al., 2013; Almeida et al., 2014).

e) Frost risk: Data from an historical series of minimum temperature recorded at 13 meteorological stations were used, and measurements of 2 °C or less were isolated to calculate frost risk. The annual probabilities of occurrence were calculated and correlated with altitude and latitude, obtaining a regression equation for the risk of frost. Using adjusted regression, values greater than 40 % were categorized as high risk (Sentelhas et al., 1996; Almeida et al., 2014).

To create thematic maps and the final zoning map in ArcGIS, the numerical values from the weather stations were transformed into points, according to the geographical coordinates of the stations. After this, the edaphoclimatic requirements of the guava species were used to spatialize the data. Delimitations based on representative bands of the climate requirements of guava were created. The station values were replaced by “1. Apt” or “2. Restricted” according to the physiological values of each meteorological variable analyzed. The next step was to combine the matrix images. Each pixel was assigned a value of “1” or “2”, indicating ‘Apt’ or ‘Restricted’, respectively. If the combination for a point was filled only with values of “1”, the region was classified as fit for guava production. If the combination contained one value of “2”, the region was restricted by a given variable. If two or more “2” values were present, the location was classified as unfit.

The standardization of the pixels by classification was performed by dissolving the vector classes. In this way, the agroclimatic zoning classes were grouped, thus creating a regionalization of suitability for the species. The final agroclimatic zoning map provides an estimate of the representative number of areas in each risk class, thus indicating whether the site is suitable or not.

3. Results and Discussion

High average annual rainfall was observed throughout Paraná river basin 3 (Figure 2). In terms of rainfall, none of the basin regions constituted a production risk, with all at a level of 1000 mm annually. The lowest average annual rainfall was 1,550 mm, which was found at the at the northern edge of the basin.

![Figure 2. Average annual rainfall in Paraná river basin 3 - adapted and organized by the authors (2019).](image-url)
The need for water was assessed through the water balance (Figure 3). Due to the fact that guava is a perennial tree-sized plant with a deep root system, it can tolerate short periods of drought without any losses in production. However, water deficiency during the fruiting period causes a reduction in production. This suggests that using irrigation may achieve high levels of commercial profitability, especially in regions with annual rainfall of less than 700 mm per year (Medina, 1988).

Here, the municipalities of Cascavel, São Miguel do Iguacu, and Toledo experienced no water deficiency in any month due to water balance, while the municipality of Assis Chateabreand experienced a water deficit of 1.2 mm in March. Foz Iguacu and Guaira presented more severe water deficits in March of 22 and 37 mm, respectively. The replacement in Guaira is completed only in May. For water deficit risk assessed at an accumulated 80 mm per year, no season presented a risk for guava crops. In Guaira, for example, which experienced a greater water deficit than other municipalities, the annual accumulated water deficit was 56 mm (Figure 3). Because the species has deep roots, the plant can extract water from deeper soils (Bassoi et al., 2002). The data show a less favorable water balance from January to April due to high temperatures and increased evapotranspiration. However, the AWD in these months was not limiting for the establishment of guava in the region, and only the planting period should be adjusted to avoid drought periods.

For guava trees in southern Brazil, the most severe climate risk is low temperatures whilst the plants form flower and fruit tissues (Pereira and Martinez Junior, 1986). On the other hand, in autumn and winter, which differ more obviously from spring and summer in the southern region, production is less subject to fruit fly occurrence and infestation, due to the natural reductions in the populations of this pest (Almeida et al., 2014).

Average annual temperature (Figure 4) did not present a risk for guava production, as corroborated by Almeida et al. (2014), which reported similar results for much of the central region of southern Brazil at altitudes above 1,000 m. An average annual temperature below 19°C was considered as posing a risk, and the coldest area of the region had an average temperature of 20.5 °C to 21 °C.

![Figure 3](image-url). Water balance for guava crop growth in municipalities of Paraná river basin 3 - adapted and organized by the authors (2019).
A minimum average temperature of the coldest month of 14 °C was considered a risk due to the high probability of frost occurrence (Sentelhas et al., 1996). It was identified that this risk was not present in Paraná river basin 3, since the lowest record was in Cascavel, which is located in the most elevated area of the region, at 15.2 °C in June.

As mentioned above, the risk of frost occurrence is the main element that restricts guava production in parts of southern Brazil (Almeida et al., 2014). In frosty conditions, guava leaves and branches may become scorched, which makes recovering the affected orchards impossible (Medina et al., 1991). The pattern of annual frost risk in the region (Figure 5) was similar to that of average temperature, with higher risks in the east and in some valleys of the central basin.

The altitude of the western region, approaching the Paraná river gutter, lends the region to guava tree cultivation, as it reduces the risk of frost to about 5 % and exhibits higher average temperatures. Only the eastern area of the basin was at risk, but only for the first years of cultivation.

Figure 6 shows the final climate risk agricultural zoning map for guava. It can be observed that the regions with lower altitudes in the north, west, and south present favorable conditions for guava crop production across all analyzed variables.

Only the far east area of the basin was restricted by the occurrence of frost. However, as the risk is only relevant for the first years of cultivation, orchard protection practices can be adopted to mitigate the risk of frost and make guava production possible in the region. Even in the regions already fit for production, the risk of frost remains, so producers should avoid cultivating in valley bottoms and on slopes, and should give preference to cultivating in areas that are not too steep to facilitate the movement of cold air.

Preferably, areas of higher elevation and shallow slopes should be used, especially in the north facing areas, as the cold front has a preferential displacement in a south/southwesterly, northeasterly direction (Caldana et al., 2018; Caldana et al., 2019; Caldana e Martelócio, 2019).

As well as agroclimatic factors, other variables must also be considered. For example, areas with the possibility of severe frosts should be avoided, as this can even cause the death of young plants. In adult plants, the risk of frost damage is lower (Salazar et al., 2006). Also, areas with drainage problems should be avoided, as excessive soil moisture can inhibit plant development (Nachtigal and Migliorini, 2011). As guava is a large fruiting plant, spacings ranging from 5 m to 7 m between rows and 4 m to 7 m between plants should be used. Dense spacing negatively impacts the effectiveness of phytosanitary treatments, as well as impedes the easy navigation of machines, equipment, and people (Salazar et al., 2006; Nachtigal and Migliorini, 2011). Therefore, deciding upon production locations based on agroclimatic zoning by itself is not a guarantee of successful guava cultivation in the study region.
Figure 5: Frost risk in Paraná river basin 3 - adapted and organized by the authors (2019).

Figure 6. Agroclimatic risk zoning for guava (*Psidium guajava*) in Paraná river basin 3 - adapted and organized by the authors (2019).
Finally, it should be noted that zoning does not eliminate risks, but only identifies areas with more favorable conditions for the development of guava. As agriculture is inherently risky, all activities are susceptible to any extreme event, which may or may not cause damage to crops. Zoning provides greater security in decision making, agricultural planning, and climate change scenarios, especially in Paraná river basin 3.

4. Conclusions

- Paraná river basin 3 includes areas of low climate risk for guava cultivation.
- Rainfall and water balance were sufficient for guava crops in all scenarios evaluated.
- The most limiting factor for production in part of the basin was the occurrence of frost. However, as it is only a risk in the first years of cultivation, planting should only be restricted in areas with risks greater than 20%.
- Agricultural management techniques and sustainable agricultural measures could be implemented to avoid the risk of frost. Avoiding areas of higher frost incidence is also a method of ensuring greater success in guava crop cultivation in Paraná river basin 3.
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