Prevention of hepatocellular carcinoma by correction of metabolic abnormalities: Role of statins and metformin
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Hepatocellular carcinoma is the third leading cause of cancer-related deaths in the world. It is associated with an important mortality rate and the incidence is increasing. Patients showing metabolic syndrome seem to have higher incidence and mortality rates from hepatocellular carcinoma than healthy subjects, especially those with type 2 diabetes mellitus and obesity. Thus, metformin and statins, both to treat features of metabolic syndrome, have been proposed to decrease the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma. Otherwise, liver cancer is the result of a complex process which impairs several signaling cascades, such as RAS/RAF/mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase (MEK)/extracellular-signal-regulated kinase (ERK), phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase (PI3K)/AKT/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) and Wnt/β-catenin signaling. Metformin (through 5′-adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase pathway activation) and statins (through 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A inhibition) show anti-tumoral properties modifying several steps of RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK, PI3K/AKT/mTOR and Wnt/β-catenin signaling cascades. On the other hand, metformin and statins have been found to reduce the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma up to 50% and 60%, respectively. Furthermore, both drugs have shown a dose-dependent protective effect. However, information about chemopreventive role of metformin and statins is mainly obtained of observational studies, which could not take into account some bias. In conclusion, given the rising of incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma and the important morbidity and mortality rates associated with this cancer, looking for chemopreventive strategies is an essential task. Randomized controlled trials are needed to determine the definite role of metformin and statins on the prevention of hepatocellular carcinoma.
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Core tip: Hepatocellular carcinoma is the result of a complex process which impairs several pathways, such as RAS/RAF/mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase/ extracellular-signal-regulated kinase, phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase/AKT/mammalian target of rapamycin and Wnt/β-catenin signaling. Patients showing metabolic syndrome seem to have higher incidence and mortality rates from hepatocellular carcinoma than healthy subjects, especially those with type 2 diabetes mellitus and obesity. Thus, metformin and statins,
both to treat features of metabolic syndrome, have been proposed to decrease the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma. Metformin (by decreasing hyperglycemia state through 5'-adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase pathway activation) and statins (3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase inhibitors) show anti-tumoral properties modifying several steps of the crucial signaling cascades.

INTRODUCTION

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the fifth most common cancer and the third leading cause of cancer-related deaths in the world\(^1\). In recent years, a significant increase in HCC incidence and mortality rates has been observed in Western countries. Given that primary liver cancer shows a poor prognosis due to its infiltrating and malignancy power, we should closely assess those risk factors that could be preventable. Although the main risk factors for HCC are hepatitis C virus (HCV), hepatitis B virus (HBV) and chronic alcohol abuse, many individuals who have been exposed to these factors never develop HCC, while 15%-50% of cases occur among those without exposure, suggesting that further risk factors could be responsible for the increased incidence of HCC\(^5\).

Patients showing metabolic syndrome seem to have higher incidence and mortality rates from HCC than healthy subjects, especially those with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and obesity\(^6\). T2DM is an emerging risk factor of many chronic liver diseases, such as chronic hepatitis, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and cirrhosis. Furthermore, DM has been proposed as a risk factor for HCC\(^6\). On the other hand, previous studies have demonstrated that cirrhosis and HCV increase the susceptibility to diabetes mellitus\(^5\). Nevertheless, exact pathophysiological mechanisms of these significant associations are still unclear. Otherwise, metformin and statins, both to treat features of metabolic syndrome, have been proposed to decrease the risk of HCC\(^6\). Therefore, in this review, we aim to evaluate the role of some of possible intermediary mechanisms that could be associated with the onset and progression of HCC development, as well as the impact of metformin and statins on the appearance of the liver tumor.

CELL SURVIVAL, PROLIFERATION AND DIFFERENTIATION SIGNALING PATHWAYS

HCC is a kind of tumor based on inflammation. As a result, there are an incessant cell injury, necrosis and regeneration that, ultimately, lead to activate mutations in key genes (especially, oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes)\(^7\). This complex process results in impairment of several signaling cascades. In this review, we focus on RAS/RAF/mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase (MEK)/extracellular-signal-regulated kinase (ERK) (cell proliferation signaling pathway), phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase (PI3K)/AKT/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) (cell survival signaling pathway) and Wnt/β-catenin (cell differentiation signaling pathway) signaling cascades (Figure 1). Furthermore, we revise the main pathways of DM associated with HCC.

The RAS/MEK/ERK via is one of the most powerful pathway that regulates crucial cellular processes\(^8\). It is triggered by growth factors (epidermal growth factor (EGF), platelet-derived growth factor, Vascular endothelial growth factor, and insulin-growth factor (IGF)) and activating mutations of major oncogenic proteins, being RAS the key molecular signal regulator\(^9\). Importantly, RAS also plays a regulatory role in other signaling pathways, especially the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway. RAS cascade is one of the main targets of sorafenib, the only currently effective therapy for advanced HCC\(^10\).

Activation of the PI3K-AKT signalling pathway is promoted by binding of growth factors (especially, IGF and EGF) to their receptors, resulting in disruption of the mTOR pathway\(^11\). PI3K/AKT/mTOR axis has linked to angiogenesis and survival\(^12\). Therefore, mTOR has emerged as an exciting target for cancer therapy. The mTOR complex comprises two forms: (1) mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1), closely implicated in protein translation; and (2) mTORC2, which is the primary responsible for the phosphorylation of AKT and could be necessary to sustain the oncogenic phenotype related to loss of Phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate 3-phosphatase (PTEN)\(^13\). PTEN negatively regulates the PI3K-AKT signaling pathway and has been associated with tumor grade, advanced disease stage and reduced overall survival in patients with HCC\(^14\). In 40%-50% of HCC, dysregulated expression of effectors of mTOR has been observed\(^15\). On the other hand, mTORC1 activation shows prognostic implications in terms of patient tumor recurrence after surgery\(^16\).

Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway has a close relationship with cancer\(^17\). It consists of a large number of proteins that interact with each other. Mutations in β-catenin, which activate the Wnt signalling pathway, occur in one-third of HCCs\(^18\). Wnt pathway regulates the expression of many genes (c-Myc, c-Jun and cyclin D1) via interaction with Frizzled receptors\(^19\). In particular, the MYC proto-oncogene family contributes carcinogenesis by unrestricted cell proliferation and inhibiting cell differentiation\(^20\). Accumulation of β-catenin induces transcription of several genes related to cell differentiation and proliferation. In fact, studies have shown that the expression of β-catenin was higher in HCC than in non-tumor tissues\(^21\), and Wnt-1 is a survival factor for HCC cells\(^22\). On the other hand, mTOR regulates the expression level of β-catenin\(^23\).
Thus, this pathway is critical for tissue and liver regeneration.

On the other hand, patients showing features of metabolic syndrome may have higher incidence of HCC and mortality rates than those without it\textsuperscript{[24]}. In fact, DM and obesity increase the risk of appearance of HCC. Therefore, one hypothesis for this fact could be that patients with features of metabolic syndrome have more aggressive tumor characteristics, such as increased vascular invasion and metastasis. DM has been proposed as an independent risk factor for HCC\textsuperscript{[25,26]}. Mechanisms proposed for diabetes-induced liver cancer include: (1) hyperinsulinemia state, caused by insulin resistance, increases levels of IGF-1, which is one of the most powerful activators of cellular proliferation. This fact leads to elevated binding and consequently downstream signaling through the RAF/MEK/ERK and PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathways\textsuperscript{[27]}; (2) insulin activates the intrinsic tyrosine kinase of insulin receptor, by phosphorylation of insulin-receptor substrate-1. This latter, together with IGF-1, are overexpressed in tumor cells, generating inhibition of apoptosis\textsuperscript{[28]}; (3) insulin resistance leads to increase the releasing of multiple proinflammatory cytokines, including tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF\textalpha) and interleukin 6, which promote the development of hepatic steatosis, inflammation and subsequent HCC\textsuperscript{[29]}; and (4) reactive oxygen species are also produced, impairing mitochondrial respiration and causing oxidative damage to the mitochondrial genome by activation of the apoptosis cascade\textsuperscript{[30]}.

**Figure 1** Pathogenic pathways of hepatocellular carcinoma and targets for metformin and statins. PI3K: Phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase; PTEN: Phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate 3-phosphatase; AMPK: Adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase; mTOR: Mammalian target of rapamycin; MEK: Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase; ERK: Extracellular-signal-regulated kinase; GSK3-\beta: Glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta; EGF: Epidermal growth factor; VEGF: Vascular EGF; IGF: Insulin-growth factor; EGFR: EGF receptor; VEGFR: VEGF receptor; IGFR: IGF receptor.

**ANTITUMORAL EFFECTS OF METFORMIN AND STATINS**

Metformin is an insulin-sensitizer drug frequently used in the first-line oral treatment of T2DM patients. Antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, growth inhibitory and antiangiogenic effects of metformin have been associated to reduce the risk of some solid tumors, such as prostate, colorectal, breast and pancreas\textsuperscript{[31]}. Metformin mainly works by decreasing hyperglycemia state through 5′-adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK) pathway activation. Proposed anti-tumoral mechanisms of metformin include: (1) activated AMPK has growth inhibition effects on human cancer cell lines, via inhibition of mTOR\textsuperscript{[32]}; (2) metformin has demonstrated to limit cell growth through cell cycle G\textsubscript{0}/G\textsubscript{1} arrest in hepatoma cell lines, by inhibiting cyclin D1 expression\textsuperscript{[33]}; (3) it can also inhibit carcinogenesis by downregulating c-Myc and upregulation miR-33a, which require activation of AMPK\textsuperscript{[34]}; (4) metformin is able to modulate the expression of cytokines, such as TNF\alpha, and oxidative stress\textsuperscript{[35]}; (5) metformin, through AMPK, decreased \beta-catenin protein levels leading to suppression of Wnt/\beta-catenin signaling\textsuperscript{[36]}; and (6) metformin is taken up in hepatocytes by the organic cation transporter-1 (OCT-1), which is an essential step for the glucose-lowering effect\textsuperscript{[37,38]}. Interestingly, OCT-1 and OCT-3 expression has been found downregulated in HCC patients and associated with impaired prognosis\textsuperscript{[39]}. 
Statins are 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase inhibitors. Additionally to the effect on cholesterol biosynthesis, statins also have antineoplastic properties. Antitumoral effects of statins are related to the following mechanisms: (1) they can effectively downregulate the RAF/MEK/ERK pathway, contributing to the apoptotic response; (2) statins limit the degradation of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors p21 and p27. These molecules show growth-inhibitory effects; (3) HMG-CoA reductase is a crucial regulator of MYC phosphorylation and activation. Consequently, inhibition of HMG-CoA reductase prevents from both c-Myc phosphorylation and activation; and (4) anti-inflammatory and antioxidant effects of statins may be partly mediated by the PI3K/AKT pathway, causing a decline in toll-like receptor 4 expression on blood monocytes and TNFα plasma concentration.

**CHEMOPREVENTIVE ROLE OF METFORMIN AND STATINS**

Metformin use seems to decrease the risk of HCC in diabetic patients in several observational studies. Hassan et al. compared 420 diabetic patients with 1104 healthy controls [DM was related to HCC (OR = 4.2; P < 0.05)]. They analyzed different treatments, showing metformin and thiazolidinediones (TZD) as protective agents (OR = 0.3; P < 0.05) and sulphonylureas (OR = 7.1; P < 0.05) and insulin therapy (OR = 1.9; P < 0.05) as negative factors. Donadon et al. obtained similar results, assessing 610 patients with HCC, 618 cirrhotic patients without HCC and 1696 healthy controls. Metformin was shown as protective therapy (OR = 0.33; P < 0.05), opposite to sulphonylureas and insulin exogenous (OR = 3.06; P < 0.05). Nkontchou et al. observed prospectively a reduced incidence of HCC in diabetic HCV-related cirrhotic patients treated with metformin (HR = 0.19; P < 0.05). Lai et al. confirmed that TZDM was associated with HCC and that the HCC risk reduction was greater for diabetics taking metformin than those taking TZD (51% vs 44% reduction). Recently, Chen et al. concluded that metformin use was related to lower risk of HCC in diabetic patients in a dose-dependent manner. Similar results have been reported in meta-analysis. Zhang et al. included three cohort studies and four case-control studies, concluding that metformin treatment was associated with reduced risk of HCC in diabetic patients. Singh et al. performed a systematic review and a meta-analysis to evaluate the effect of antidiabetic therapy on the risk of HCC, including ten studies reporting 22650 cases of HCC in 334307 patients with T2DM. Meta-analysis showed a 50% of reduction in HCC incidence with metformin use, a 62% and a 161% increase in HCC incidence with sulfonylurea and insulin use, respectively, while TZD did not modify the risk of developing.

Statins may decrease the risk of HCC in patients with other underlying liver diseases, according to observational studies. Tsan et al. reported a dose-dependent association between statin use and decreased risk of HCC development in patients with HCV (HR = 0.33; P < 0.05) taking higher daily doses of statins. The same group performed a similar study in HBV patients, and they observed a risk reduced up to 66% in patients which received more than one year cumulative treatment compared to those never treated. Furthermore, they observed that the reduction in HCC risk was a class effect. A recent meta-analysis evaluated 4298 cases of HCC in 1459417 patients. Authors found a 37% overall reduction in HCC risk with the use of statins. Interestingly, the risk reduction was higher in Asian people (OR = 0.52; P < 0.05), although this effect was also present in Western populations (OR = 0.67; P < 0.05), maybe due to interactions between statins and HBV. Furthermore, statins have been associated with decreased HCC recurrence after resection. In contrast to observational studies, randomized controlled trials have failed to show such association. In a post-hoc analysis from the Cholesterol Treatment Trialists’ collaboration, there was no difference in the risk of appearance of HCC regardless the consumption of statins. However, randomized controlled trials were performed for cardiovascular endpoints, showing limitations: (1) patients enrolled were at low risk for development of HCC, limiting the power to detect a significant difference to development of HCC; (2) the follow-up was shorter than expected to evaluate the developing of HCC; and (3) statin nonusers in these groups had a elevated risk of cardiovascular mortality.

Information about chemopreventive role of metformin and statins is mainly obtained of observational studies. However, best level of evidence comes from randomized clinical trials, so the current available data of these drugs show a lack of randomization necessary to control confounders. The heterogeneity of the studies, the lack of randomization and the increased risk of reporting bias should indicate caution. A main concern about metformin use is the safety profile in patients with advanced liver disease, as metformin has been associated with serious adverse effects. However, there are studies in which well-compensated cirrhotic patients have taken metformin without adverse effects, beyond an increased prevalence of diarrhea. In addition, there is a concern about the safety of using metformin and statins in cirrhotic patients (who show the highest risk of HCC), which could introduce a selection bias at the moment of indicating the treatment. On the other hand, most of studies do not take into account to adjust for concomitant medications. Thus, the protective effect of metformin or statins could be enhanced by the other one, as they are relatively common in patients with metabolic syndrome. Interestingly, etiology of cirrhosis could influence on the antitumoral effect of these drugs, especially the closely relationship between HCV infection and metabolic syndrome. In fact, HCV directly affects the host lipid metabolism, favoring its own replication, so inhibitors of lipid synthesis, such as statins, could decrease viral replication. Lastly, the
influence of environmental, like aflatoxin, or genetic factors, like PNPLA3\cite{10}, could impact and mask the conclusions.

**CONCLUSION**

In this review, we have summarized the intermediary mechanisms responsible for the association between some features of metabolic syndrome and HCC development. Given the rising of incidence of HCC, especially in the Western countries, and the important morbidity and mortality rates associated with this cancer, looking for chemopreventive strategies is an essential task. Identifying who will benefit, optimal duration of treatment and relevant biomarkers will be crucial to design the appropriate strategy. Non-etiologically-specific medications, such as statins and metformin, are cheap, have a favorable safety profile and could have metabolic effects in additional organs. However, further studies are needed to establish the definitive role of metformin and statins on the prevention of HCC. Randomized clinical trials, controlling comedication and genetic factors, are required for this purpose. Therefore, prevention through surveillance of risk populations is the best current option in day-to-day clinical practice to improve the prognostic of patients with HCC.
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