Cyclooxygenase-2 Expression is not a Marker of Poor Survival in Lung Cancer
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Abstract

Objective: Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) has been claimed to play role in carcinogenesis and be related to a bad prognosis in tumors. The aim of this study was to investigate the relationship between COX-2 expression and clinical and pathological parameters in early and advanced stage lung cancer patients. Materials and Methods: A total of 73 patients with lung cancer (27 adenocarcinomas, 33 squamous cell carcinomas, 4 large cell carcinomas and 9 small cell cancer) were analyzed retrospectively. COX-2 expression was evaluated by immunohistochemistry in resection materials or lung biopsies. Tumor cells demonstrating more intense staining than smooth muscle and endothelial cells were recorded as COX-2 positive. We investigated the correlation between increased COX-2 expression and histological type of the tumor, the stage of the disease and survival. Results: COX-2 expression was observed in 55% of the adenocarcinomas, 45% of the squamous cell carcinomas and 22% of the small cell carcinomas. No correlation was apparent between COX-2 expression and disease stage, histological type and the survival. Conclusion: The results of this study do not support COX-2 expression as an independent prognostic factor in lung cancer. However, since results of the literature are different, further studies made in larger series are needed.
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Introduction

Lung cancer is one of the most common causes of cancer related deaths. 80% of the lung cancers are histologically non-small cell lung cancers (NSCLC) (Travis et al., 1995). 25% of these patients are diagnosed at early stages. The standard treatment for the early stage disease is surgical resection. Although the prognosis in early stage NSCLC patients is relatively better, recurrence and corresponding mortality are still common.

Cyclooxygenases are the key enzymes transforming the arachidonic acid into prostoglandins. Cyclooxygenases have two forms consisting of COX-1 and COX-2. COX-1 takes role in normal physiological functions and exists in almost every cell. COX-2 is an inducible enzyme and can be activated by cytokines, growth factors, oncogenes and chemical carcinogens (Smith et al., 2001). COX-2 is related to inflammation and carcinogenesis. It has been shown that COX-2 contributes to carcinogenesis by increasing the angiogenesis and invasiveness, and inhibiting the apoptosis (Hida et al., 2000a; Nie et al., 2002; Castelao et al., 2003). COX-2 is overexpressed in head and neck cancers, esophagus, colon, breast, pancreas and prostate cancers (Sinicrope et al., 2004; Ranger et al., 2004; Zimmermann et al., 1999).

Prognostic factors in lung cancer are the stage of the disease and patient’s performance status. Some biological factors in the carcinogenesis such as VEGF, EGFR, Her-2/Neu, Ki-67, K-Ras and p53 have a negative effect on survival (Mascaux et al., 2006). It is clinically important to identify reliable prognostic factors for disease recurrence. Aspirin is shown to decrease the risk of gastric, colorectal, lung and breast cancers in an epidemiological study (Schreinemachers et al., 1994). Increased COX-2 expression is a significant prognostic factor in NSCLC (Achiwa et al., 1999; Khuri et al., 2001; Brabender et al., 2002). However the relation between the COX-2 expression and clinicopathological parameters is not clear in these patients. In this study, we investigated COX-2 as a molecular prognostic factor in lung cancer patients.

Materials and Methods

Patients

Seventy-three patients (66 male, 7 female) diagnosed with lung cancer (adenocarcinoma: 27, squamous cell carcinomas: 33, large cell carcinomas: 4 and small cell carcinomas: 9) were included. Median patient age was 58...
Patients received neo-adjuvant chemotherapy or radiotherapy and cases with adeno-squamous carcinoma and both small and non-small cell histology were excluded. Paraffin blocks of resection materials and biopsies containing tumor tissue were selected for immunohistochemical staining. The histological classification was determined in accordance with the WHO criteria; the clinical and pathological staging was determined in accordance with the international staging system.

Non-stained Sections and Deparaffinization

After appropriate block selection, an adequate number of non-stained sections were obtained. COX-2 (Santa Cruz, sc-7951) immunohistochemical marker was investigated in this trial. Staining intensity in smooth muscles and endothelial cells were used as internal control. Sections were made 5 micron thick by microtome and transferred to “polysine”-coated cover glasses to avoid spilling during staining. Cover glasses were kept at the incubator at 60°C for 30 minutes to enable adherence of tissues to the cover glasses. Cover glasses taken out of the incubator were kept in xylol for 10 minutes and subjected to deparaffinization.

Immunohistochemical Staining

Immunohistochemical staining was performed according to an established protocol with super-block (Scytek REF:AAA125) primary antibody (Santa Cruz, USA, sc-7951) secondary antibody (UltraTec Anti-Polyvalent Biotinylated Antibody, REF:ABN125) and DAB (Lab Vision REF:TA-012-HDC).

Evaluation

Sections prepared from the parafin blocks were stained by immunohistochemical method for COX-2 expression. The immunoreactions for COX-2 were evaluated by the same pathologist in a blind manner in terms of the patients’ clinical data. Only cytoplasmic staining was examined for the assessment of COX-2 expression. Those stained at a low intensity, moderate intensity and high intensity were scored 1+, 2+ and 3+, respectively. Staining intensity in smooth muscles and endothelial cells were used as internal control. Cases with tumor cells demonstrating more intense staining than the internal control cells were recorded as COX-2 positive (2+ and 3+) (Figure 1).

Statistics

The statistical analysis for this study was performed by using SPSS ver. 10.0 and Microsoft Excell-2000 computer programs. Descriptive Statistics was used in order to summarize the patient characteristics. Pearson ki-squared test was used in order to determine the relation between COX-2 expression and clinicopathological characteristics. P<0.05 was agreed to be reasonable.

Results

A total of 73 patients (66 males and 7 females) were included in the trial. Sixty-four patients are NSCLC while 9 patients are SCLC. All the clinical and pathological characteristics of patients were summarized in Table 1. COX-2 expression was positive in 15 (55%) of the 27 adenocarcinoma cases, 15 (45%) of the 33 squamous cell carcinoma cases, 2 (22%) of the 9 small cell carcinoma cases and 4 (100%) large cell carcinoma cases. COX-2 positivity ratio was low in the small cell carcinoma cases compared with non-small cell carcinoma cases. COX-2 expression ratio was higher in adenocarcinomas when compared with other non-small histologies. There was no significant difference between the histopathologic subgroups.

While COX-2 expression was detected in 5 of the 8 patients in NSCLC stage I, the other 3 had not stained. In 6 of the 12 patients with NSCLC in stage II, COX-2 expression was detected while 6 patients had not stained with COX-2. 13 and 17 of the 30 patients with NSCLC in

| Clinical Features | n   | COX-2 expression |
|-------------------|-----|-----------------|
| Sex               |     |                 |
| Male              | 66  | 32              |
| Female            | 7   | 4               |
| Age (years)       |     |                 |
| Median            | 58.7|                 |
| Limits            | 34-80|                |
| Histopathologic type of tumors |           |
| Adenocarcinoma    | 27  | 15              |
| Squamous cell carcinoma | 33 | 15              |
| Large cell carcinoma | 4  | 4               |
| Small cell carcinoma | 9  | 2               |
| Disease Stage     |     |                 |
| Non-small cell lung carcinoma |     |
| I                 | 8   | 5               |
| II                | 12  | 6               |
| III               | 30  | 13              |
| IV                | 14  | 10              |
| Small cell lung carcinoma |     |
| Limited stage     | -   |                 |
| Extended stage    | 9   | 2               |

Table 1. Patient Characteristics and Relations with Cox-2 Expression

Figure 1. Reactivity in a Squamous Cell Carcinoma (x200, COX-2 Immunohistochemical Staining)
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Table 2. Overall Survival of Patients With Non Small Cell Lung Cancer According to the COX-2 Expression

| Stage | n | Median survival (month) | p               |
|-------|---|------------------------|-----------------|
|       | Cox-2 negative | Cox-2 positive |               |
| I     | 8  | 31.50                  | 28.60           | > 0.05         |
| II    | 12 | 25.42                  | 32.00           | > 0.05         |
| III   | 30 | 11.00                  | 7.88            | > 0.05         |
| IV    | 14 | 8.45                   | 7.95            | > 0.05         |

stage III were detected with and without COX-2 staining, respectively. Among 14 patients with stage IV NSCLC, 10 had COX-2 positive staining while 4 had not with COX-2. No significant difference was detected between stages with respect to COX-2 expression. No significant correlation between COX-2 expression and survival was detected (Table 2).

Discussion

Stage of the disease is the most important prognostic factor in lung cancer patients. Disease stage determines the treatment to be applied. Many NSCLC patients with the same stage disease come with recurrence after the surgical treatment (Mountain et al., 2002) which makes the reliability of the stage in prognosis debatable. Therefore, the potential effect of the different molecular markers on the prognosis and the treatment is being investigated.

COX-2 may play a role in the development of lung cancer based on the reports showing increased COX-2 expression in lung cancer (Achiwa et al., 1999; Khuri et al., 2001; Brabender et al., 2002; Hida et al., 1998b), preventive effect of non-steroid anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID) in the development of lung cancer in animal studies (Duperron et al., 1997; Masferrer et al., 2000; Yao et al., 2000) and decreased lung cancer incidence in persons regularly taken NSAID (Harris et al., 2002).

Tsubochi et al. (Tsubochi et al., 2006) investigated the correlation between COX-2 expression and prognosis in 219 operated NSCLC patients and detected a significant inverse correlation in patients with adenocarcinoma. However, this effect was not statistically significant in patients with squamous cell carcinomas. COX-2 expression was significantly associated with unfavorable prognosis in patients with stage I disease only. However, COX-2 has been determined as a significant prognostic factor in univariate analysis but not in multivariate analysis (Tsubochi et al., 2006).

Achiwa et al. (1999) have also reported a correlation between increased COX-2 expression and poor prognosis in stage I adenocarcinoma patients only. In another study by Brabender et al (Brabender et al., 2002), COX-2 messenger RNA expression has been investigated in 89 operated NSCLC patients with real time PCR and a worse prognosis in patients with increased COX-2 expression was reported. Marrogi et al., however, could not detect a correlation between COX-2 expression and survival in 106 resected NSCLC patients (Marrogi et al., 2000). Although we have also found a higher COX-2 expression rate in adenocarcinoma cases, we could not find a significant correlation between increased COX-2 expression and histological type of the tumor, the stage of the disease and survival. COX-2 expression rate was lower and the staining was weaker in small cell lung cancers in our study. However limited case numbers in each histologic group in our study may affect our results.

It has been reported that NSAID increase the cytotoxic effect of the radiotherapy and chemotherapy (Miles et al., 1999; Trifan et al., 2002). In a clinical study, celecoxib, a selective COX-2 inhibitor, has increased response rate of paclitaxel and carboplatin administered preoperatively in the NSCLC patients (Altorki et al., 2003). In a phase II study by Edelman et al. survival was significantly better when COX-2 inhibitor celecoxib was added to the chemotherapy compared with chemotherapy only in advanced stage NSCLC patients showing increased COX-2 expression (Edelman et al., 2008). Fidler et al. also reported a better survival in lung cancer patients with COX-2 overexpression treated with second line docetaxel and celecoxib compared with the patients without COX-2 expression (Fidler et al., 2008). COX-2 expression is detected not only in tumor but also in tumor vessels (Masferrer et al., 2000). In these patients with poor prognosis, COX-2 expression can indicate a target for treatment.

It has been stated that COX-2 has a significant relation with poor prognosis in young (≤65 years old) NSCLC patients, whereas it has no such relation in the older patients (Tsubochi et al., 2006). Similarly, in ovary cancers, COX-2 has been indicated to have a significant prognostic value in the young patients (≤60 years old), whereas it has no prognostic significance in the patients older than 60 years (Denkert et al., 2002). On the contrary, in breast cancer, COX-2 has been determined as a poor prognostic factor in old patients (Ristimäki et al., 2002).

Different results of the studies on the effect of COX-2 expression on prognosis in lung cancer patients may result from the antibodies used in the immunohistochemical studies, the usage of the automated or manual methods, the tissue fixation method used and some other factors. The results of the above mentioned studies indicate that the analysis of COX-2 can provide prognostic information in addition to standard staging. Furthermore, selective COX-2 inhibitors have the potential to inhibit tumor angiogenesis and metastasis and can be used for long-term maintenance treatment. However, further studies on this context are required.
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