Genetics of recurrent miscarriage: challenges, current knowledge, future directions
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INTRODUCTION

The occurrence of recurrent miscarriage (RM) has been estimated 1–3% of couples attempting to bear children (Berry et al., 1995; Rai and Regan, 2006; Branch et al., 2010). While fetal chromosomal abnormalities are responsible for 70% of sporadic miscarriages (Ogasawara et al., 2000; Philipp et al., 2003; Menasha et al., 2005), they account for considerably smaller fraction of pregnancy losses in RM couples. Today, clinical practice includes testing of several factors potentially increasing the risk of (recurrent) miscarriage, e.g., parental chromosomal anomalies, maternal thrombophilic, anatomic, endocrine, and immunological disorders (Bricker and Farquharson, 2002; Christiansen et al., 2008; Branch et al., 2010; Tang and Quenby, 2010). At least 50% of the RM cases have no deviations in any applied diagnostic test and are considered idiopathic, unexplained origin. In addition to clinical, environmental, and life-style risk factors, there is a growing evidence that RM has also genetic susceptibility. A review of initial observations indicated two to sevenfold increased prevalence of RM among first-degree blood relatives compared to the background population (Christiansen, 1996). Population-based register studies showed that overall frequency of miscarriage among the siblings of idiopathic RM is approximately doubled compared to general population (Nybo Andersen et al., 2000; Kolte et al., 2011). A recent genome-wide linkage scan using sibling pairs with idiopathic RM confirmed heterogeneity of contributing genetic factors (Kolte et al., 2011).

Unexplained RM is a stressful condition for a couple and supportive care is currently the only assistance that can be offered. Still, early recognition of a potential risk to miscarriage and systematic monitoring has beneficial effect in increasing live birth rates in RM couples (Jauniaux et al., 2006; Branch et al., 2010; Tang and Quenby, 2010; Musters et al., 2011). Genetic and genomic studies of RM have three main purposes: (1) identify DNA/RNA-based markers exhibiting direct predictive value to a couple’s risk to experience recurrent pregnancy losses; (2) capture the gene/protein expression profiles, pathways, and networks involved in (un)successful establishment of pregnancy; (3) apply hypothesis-based and hypothesis-free studies to pinpoint loci coding for novel non-invasive biomarkers applicable in clinical conditions for early pregnancy complications.

CHALLENGES IN STUDY DESIGN TO INVESTIGATE GENETICS AND “OMICS” OF RM

The design of genetic/“omics” studies to identify genes and biological mechanisms involved pathogenesis of RM is complex having several contradictory aspects regarding to the definition of phenotype, study objects, and functional effects to address (Figure 1).

DISCREPANCIES IN DEFINITION OF THE RM PHENOTYPE

Recurrent miscarriage is classically defined as the loss of three or more consecutive pregnancies before 20th gestational weeks (Berry et al., 1995; Jauniaux et al., 2006; Branch et al., 2010). Some experts consider two consecutive pregnancy losses sufficient for the diagnosis of RM because the recurrence rate and risk factors are similar to that after three losses (Branch et al., 2010). The faulty recall of the reproductive history, including or excluding the biochemical pregnancies (pregnancies documented only by a positive
FIGURE 1 | Two broad options to investigate the inheritable component of recurrent miscarriage (RM) are family based linkage studies and comparison of unrelated cases and controls. For multifactorial diseases in adulthood, the genetic association studies are superior to linkage analysis in pedigrees in terms of study design and power (Risch and Merikangas, 1996). In case of RM studies, the addressed subjects/biological materials represent genetic material from two generations: mother–father and offspring(s), both providing their own advantages and limitations. The affected processes are located in different compartments (mother–placenta–fetus) and involve aberrations in gene/protein expression influencing both maternal and fetal organisms.

urine or serum hCG test) and center-specific ascertainment biases cause discrepancies in setting the diagnosis of RM (Christiansen et al., 2005).

The couples with RM can be divided into subgroups according to their reproductive history: primary (no successful pregnancies), secondary (series of miscarriages after a live birth) and tertiary (three non-consecutive miscarriages) RM and they should be considered as separate entities representing probably different pathophysiological mechanisms leading to pregnancy loss. Immunological factors have been suggested to play a greater role in secondary RM, especially after the first-born son (Christiansen et al., 2004; Nielsen, 2011). Non-immunological risk factors, e.g., factor V Leiden mutation, tend to be associated mainly with primary RM (Wramsby et al., 2000).

The analysis power of genetics/“omics” research depends on the quality of the clinical history and applied diagnostic tests. Currently, the cases of idiopathic RM have been considered as preferred phenotype for the genetics research. However, the definition of idiopathic RM based on exclusions of known risk RM factors is facilities- and study-dependent. The association and/or causality of an etiologic factor with RM varies from “doubtful” to “definite” complicating the definition of idiopathic RM (Christiansen et al., 2005).

In summary, as RM is characterized by multifactorial etiology and variable penetrance and clinical outcome of recognized risk factors, a consensus in the clinical and research community has to be reached what factors should be tested before defining a particular case as idiopathic addressed via genetic/genomic studies.
OPTIONS FOR DEFINING THE STUDY OBJECTS
One of the most challenging questions in investigating the genetics of RM is defining the study object(s): female patient and/or couple with miscarriages, fetus, or placenta (Figure 1). In genetic/genomic context these options target three different genomes (mother, father, fetus/placenta) and four (epi)genomes (different for placenta and fetus). In addition, as the definition of RM is based on longitudinal data (several independent events), one should remember that each pregnancy and each conceptus is a unique genetic combination with unique epigenetic settings, unique environmental conditions regarding the efficiency of established maternal–fetal interphase, maternal nutrition, and health.

Several genes that regulate implantation, fetal and placental development, and maternal adaptation to pregnancy are synthesized by the fetus or the placenta, e.g., placental hormones as hCG, placental growth hormone and lactogen (Mannik et al., 2010; Nagirnaja et al., 2010; Newbern and Freemark, 2011). In this context, a placental (fetal) genome would represent an ideal RM case for (epi)genetic and genomic studies. However, there are severe limitations—the recruitment of couples/women at the RM event and difficulties in obtaining pure tissue material from the aborted fetus with no contamination with maternal cells. There are some genetic studies focused on RM compared to control placentas (e.g., HLA-C, HLA-G, p53, mtDNA mutations; Table 1) but the sample sizes in these studies never reach required statistical power.

As a solution, an ideal study would attempt a trio-design focusing on both partners of clinically well-described RM couples as well as aborted cases and live born children (as inner control) of the same couple. This would allow to directly address parental- and allele-specific gene expression or epigenetic modifications in placenta. The paternally inherited genetic factors contribute and combine with maternally inherited factors in the placental/fetal (epi)genome (Rull et al., 2008; Faridi and Agrawal, 2011; Ususkula et al., 2011). So far, most studies of couples have been limited to parental karyotyping, and in case of a structural chromosomal abnormalities their effect on couple's offsprings (Stephenson and Sierra, 2006; Franssen et al., 2011).

The identification of a suitable control group for women/couples with RM has its own great challenges. The ideal controls should be exposed to similar number of pregnancies at the same age of partners as the cases, and never experienced miscarriage. In a typical study the controls have been defined as women/couples with proven fertility when having at least one child, with limited information about their full reproductive history during the present or past partnerships. Delaying the childbirth until older reproductive age, the usage of contraceptive methods after birth of the one or two planned children, the change of co-habitant partners are frequent limitations for recruitment of the ideal control group.

CHALLENGES IN LINKING THE GENETIC VARIANTS WITH THE PATHOLOGICAL FUNCTIONAL EFFECT
A successful pregnancy can be achieved only via balanced dialog between mother and the fetus mediated through placenta. The pathologies may locate and influence physiology in different compartments (Figure 1). In maternal side, RM has been associated with genes responsible for impaired endometrial decidualization, apoptosis as well as inflammatory processes (Salker et al., 2010). Multiple (epi)genetic/genomic factors decrease sperm quality causing DNA damage and thus leading to poor fertilization, impaired embryo development and possibly to RM (McLachlan and O’Bryan, 2010; Brahem et al., 2011). The development of placenta and release of placently expressed proteins strongly influence both the fetal and maternal metabolism during the pregnancy (Fowden et al., 2009; Lewis et al., 2012).

GENETIC ASSOCIATION STUDIES OF RM: BIASES, CONTRACTIONS, LIMITATIONS
All conducted genetic association studies targeting RM have been designed as hypothesis-based candidate gene studies. The most frequently addressed genes in the context of RM are associated with the developing immunotolerance and inflammation and also with changes of maternal metabolism and blood coagulation (Table 1). Female partner of RM couple has been the most commonly addressed study subject.

The polymorphisms in almost 90 different genes have been investigated (Table 1). In most studies the association between a polymorphism and RM is negative, has not been replicated in follow-up studies or shows opposite results between studies. Inconsistencies may arise due to (i) differences in study design, definitions of RM and control group; (ii) focus on RM women instead of couples or placenta; (iii) low statistical power due to small sample size; (iv) ethnic difference in risk variants, population-specific low-impact gene variants increasing RM risk in consort; (v) contribution of life-style and environmental factors on the pregnancy course; (vi) secondary pathways affecting protein translation/metabolism leading to discrepancies between genotype and respective protein levels, e.g., Factor XII, Protein Z (Iinuma et al., 2002; Topalidou et al., 2009).

Most popular clinically tested polymorphisms in RM patients are thrombophilia-associated factor V (Leiden factor) mutation, factor II (prothrombin) G20210A mutation and MTHFR C667T variant encoding the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase enzyme with reduced activity. However, meta-analyses or large studies focusing on these factors in relation to RM risk have controversial results (Table 1). There is also uncertainty about prognostic implications of positive tests as full thrombophilia screen can produce abnormal results in 20% of women with uncomplicated obstetric histories (Branch et al., 2010; Tang and Quenby, 2010).

Another set of thoroughly investigated polymorphisms providing contradictory results in association with RM are in genes involved in inflammation (e.g., IL1B, IL6, IL10, IFNy, TNFα; Table 1). The balance of locally produced pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines was suggested to be critical for successful pregnancy (Choi and Kwak-Kim, 2008). It was proposed that a spectrum of thrombophilic and inflammation related genetic variants rather than single polymorphisms shape the cumulative risk of RM (Rey et al., 2003; Jivraj et al., 2006; Christiansen et al., 2008).

The immunological mechanisms responsible for the development of the tolerance to semi-allogenic fetal “graft” by the maternal immune system has been the third attractive target for genetic studies. Positively, a majority of association studies with...
Table 1 | Genes targeted to genetic association studies in relation to RM risk.

| Gene name | Genetic associationa | Main effect of the polymorphismc | Key reference |
|-----------|----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|
| **INFLAMMATION** | | | |
| IFNG | Y/N | Y/N | F | Y | Y | Bombell and McGuire (2008), Daher et al. (2003) |
| IL1B | Y/N | Y/N | F | Y | Y | Bombell and McGuire (2008) |
| IL1RN | Y/N | | F | Y | Y | Choi and Kwak-Kim (2008) |
| IL1R1 | N | F | Y | Y | Traina et al. (2011) |
| IL4 | N | F | Y | Y | Kamali-Sarvestani et al. (2005), Sajio et al. (2004a) |
| IL6 | Y/N | Y/N | F | Y | Y | Bombell and McGuire (2008), Daher et al. (2003) |
| IL10 | Y/N | Y/N | F | Y | Y | Bombell and McGuire (2008), Daher et al. (2003) |
| IL12B | N | F | Y | Y | Ostojic et al. (2007) |
| IL18 | Y/N | | F | Y | Y | Al-Khateeb et al. (2011), Naemi et al. (2006) |
| TNFa | Y/N | N | F | Y | Y | Bombell and McGuire (2008), Daher et al. (2003) |
| TNFp | N | F | Y | Y | Kamali-Sarvestani et al. (2005), Prigoshin et al. (2004) |
| TNFR1 | N | F | Y | Y | Yu et al. (2007) |
| **THROMBOSIS AND CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM** | | | |
| ACE | Y/N | F | Y | Y | Goodman et al. (2009b), Zhang et al. (2011) |
| ACHY | Y | F | Y | Y | Parveen et al. (2009b) |
| AGT | N | F | Y | Y | Goodman et al. (2009b), Helfer et al. (2002) |
| ANXA5 | Y | F | Y | Y | Bogdanova et al. (2007), Miyamura et al. (2011) |
| APOB | N | F, C | V | Y | Hohlagschwandner et al. (2003), Yenicesu et al. (2009) |
| APOE | Y/N | F | Y | Y | Bianca et al. (2010), Goodman et al. (2009a) |
| AT1R | Y/N | F | Y | Y | Buchholz et al. (2004), Fatini et al. (2000) |
| EPCR | Y/N | F, C | Y | Y | Dendana et al. (2012), Kaare et al. (2007) |
| F2 | Y/N | Y/N | F, C | Y | Y | Kovalevsky et al. (2004), Silver et al. (2010), Toth et al. (2008) |
| F5 | Y/N | Y/N | F, C | Y | Y | Dudding and Attia (2004), Kovalevsky et al. (2004), Rey et al. (2003), Rodger et al. (2010), Toth et al. (2008) |
| FGB | N | F, C | Y | Y | Goodman et al. (2006), Yenicesu et al. (2009) |
| F12 | N | N | F | Y | Y | Sotiriadis et al. (2007), Walch et al. (2005) |
| F13A | Y/N | N | F, C | Y | Y | Coulam et al. (2006a), Sotiriadis et al. (2007), Yenicesu et al. (2009) |
| GPla | Y | F | Y | Y | Gerhardt et al. (2005) |
| GPl1a | Y/N | F, C | Y | Y | Ivanov et al. (2010), Piusch et al. (2001), Yenicesu et al. (2009) |
| HMOX1 | Y | F | Y | Y | Denschlag et al. (2004) |
| JAK2 | N | F | Y | Y | Dahabreh et al. (2009) |
| MTHFD1 | N | F | Y | Y | Crisan et al. (2011) |
| MTHFR | Y/N | Y/N | F, C | Y | Y | Nelen et al. (2000), Ren and Wang (2006), Toth et al. (2008) |
| PAI-1 | Y/N | N | F | Y | Y | Buchholz et al. (2003), Goodman et al. (2009b), Sotiriadis et al. (2007) |
| PZ | Y/N | F | Y | Y | Dossenbach-Glaninger et al. (2008), Topalidou et al. (2009) |
| SELP | Y | F | Y | Y | Dendana et al. (2011) |
| TAFI | Y | F | Y | Y | Masini et al. (2009) |
| TGFp1 | N | F | Y | Y | Prigoshin et al. (2004), von Linsingen et al. (2005) |
| TM | N | C | Y | Y | Kaare et al. (2007) |
| TSP | N | F | Y | Y | Kim et al. (2006a) |
| VEGF | Y/N | F | Y | Y | Papazoglou et al. (2006), Traina et al. (2011) |
| ZPI | Y | F | Y | Y | Alsheikh et al. (2012) |
| **DETOXIFICATION SYSTEM** | | | |
| AHR | N | F | Y | Y | Sajio et al. (2004b) |
| ARNT | N | F | Y | Y | Sullivan et al. (2006) |
| CYP1A1 | Y/N | F | Y | Y | Parveen et al. (2010), Sajio et al. (2004b) |
| CYP1A2 | Y/N | F | Y | Y | Sajio et al. (2004b), Sata et al. (2005) |
Table 1 | Continued

| Gene name | Genetic associationa | Main effect of the polymorphismc | Key reference |
|-----------|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
|           | Single study | Meta- | Study casesb | Mother | Fetus/placenta |
| CYP1B1    | N          | F    | Y            | Saijo et al. (2004b) |
| CYP2D6    | Y/N        | F    | Y            | Parveen et al. (2010), Suryanarayana et al. (2004) |
| GSTM1     | Y/N        | F    | Y            | Parveen et al. (2010), Sata et al. (2003a) |
| GSTP1     | Y/N        | F    | Y            | Parveen et al. (2010), Zusterzeel et al. (2000) |
| GSTT1     | Y/N        | F    | Y            | Parveen et al. (2010), Sata et al. (2003a) |
| NAT2      | N          | F    | Y            | Hirvonen et al. (1996) |

CHROMOSOMAL SEGREGATION

| SYCP3     | Y/N | F    | Y            | Bolor et al. (2009), Hanna et al. (2011), Mizutani et al. (2011) |

IMMUNE RESPONSE

| CCR5      | Y/N | F    | Y            | Parveen et al. (2009a, 2011b) |
| CTLA4     | Y   | F, trio | Y            | Tsai et al. (1998), Wang et al. (2005) |
| CX3CR1    | Y   | F    | Y            | Parveen et al. (2011b) |
| HLA-A, B  | Y/N | N, C, trio | Y            | Beydoun and Saftias (2005), Christiansen et al. (1999), Kolte et al. (2010) |
| HLA-C     | Y/N | N, F, C, P | Y            | Beydoun and Saftias (2005), Faridi and Agrawal (2011), Hibi et al. (2010), Moghrabyp (2010) |
| HLA-E     | Y/N | F, C | Y            | Kanai et al. (2001), Mosaad et al., Steffensen et al. (1998) |
| HLA-G     | Y/N | F, C, trio | Y            | Aruna et al. (2010), Cecati et al. (2011), Hvid et al. (2004), Kolte et al. (2010), Ober et al. (2003) |
| HLA-DPB1  | Y   | C    | Y            | Takakuwa et al. (1999) |
| HLA-DOA1, HLA-DOB1 | Y/N | F, C, trio | Y            | Aruna et al. (2011), Kruse et al. (2004), Stock et al. (1995) |
| HLA-DR1   | Y/N | Y, F, C, trio | Y            | Beydoun and Saftias (2005), Christiansen et al. (1999), Kolte et al. (2010), Kruse et al. (2004) |
| INDO      | N   | F    | Y            | Aman et al. (2011) |
| KIR       | Y/N | F, C | Y            | Faridi et al. (2009a), Hibi et al. (2008), Witt et al. (2004) |
| MBL       | N   | C    | Y            | Baxter et al. (2001) |

HORMONAL REGULATION

| AR (and XCI)d | Y/N | Y    | F            | Y            | Karvela et al. (2008b), Su et al. (2011a) |
| hCG beta (CGBS/β) | Y   | C    | Y            | Rull et al. (2008) |
| CYP17A1     | Y/N | F    | Y            | Litridis et al. (2011), Sata et al. (2003b) |
| CYP19A1     | Y   | F    | Y            | Cupisti et al. (2009), Suryanarayana et al. (2007) |
| PROGINS, ESR1/2 | Y/N | N, F  | Y            | Su et al. (2011a), Traina et al. (2011) |

PLACENTAL FUNCTION

| ACP1       | Y   | F    | ?            | ?            | Gloria-Bottini et al. (1996) |
| ADA        | Y   | F    | ?            | ?            | Nicota et al. (1998) |
| ADRA2B     | N   | F    | Y            | Galazios et al. (2011) |
| ANGPT2     | N   | F    | Y            | Pietrowski et al. (2011) |
| CD14       | N   | F    | Y            | Karhukorpi et al. (2003) |
| EG-VEGF, PKR1, PKR2 | Y   | F    | Y            | Su et al. (2010) |
| H19        | N   | C    | Y            | Ostojic et al. (2011) |
| IGF-2      | Y   | C    | Y            | Ostojic et al. (2011) |
| KDR        | Y   | F    | Y            | Su et al. (2011b) |
| MCP        | N   | F    | Y            | Heuser et al. (2011) |
| MMP9       | N   | F    | Y            | Singh et al. (2012) |
| NOS3       | Y/N | F    | Y            | Karvela et al. (2008a), Parveen et al. (2011a) |
| P53        | Y/N | Y, F, C, trio | Y            | Coulam et al. (2006b), Kaare et al. (2009a), Pietrowski et al. (2005), Tang et al. (2011) |
| PAPP A      | Y   | F    | Y            | Suzuki et al. (2006) |
| PGM1       | Y   | C    | Y            | Bottini et al. (1983), Nicota et al. (1982) |

(Continued)
immune response related genes have been conducted for RM couples (Table 1). Unfortunately, most reports on the studied gene variants in relation to RM are controversial. There are numerous studies on polymorphisms affecting the expression of HLA-G (e.g., 14 bp indel in exon 8 of the 3′ UTR), the most dominant HLA antigen in blastocysts and trophoblastic tissue. Recent analyses have suggested that specific combinations of fetal (paternal) HLA-C and maternal killer immunoglobulin-like receptor (KIR) gene variants correlate with the risk to RM and other pregnancy complications (Hiby et al., 2010; Chazara et al., 2011; Colucci et al., 2011). KIRs regulate activity of uterine NK cells that are implicated in trophoblast invasion.

During the last years the focus has gradually switched from maternal factors to the genes involved in the function of placenta, carrying maternally and paternally originated gene copies (Table 1). The investigators have targeted placenta-specific genes such as hCG beta coding CGB5 and CGB8, as well as loci with wider expression profile but exhibiting a specific role in placental function such as PAPPA, IGF-2, and p53 (Suzuki et al., 2006; Ostojic et al., 2008; Tang et al., 2011). Although initial genetic studies have exhibited positive association between identified novel gene variants and an increased risk to RM, replication studies have to confirm these findings.

**Table 1 | Continued**

| Gene name | Genetic association | Main effect of the polymorphism | Key reference |
|------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
|            | Single study | Meta-analysis | Study cases | Mother | Fetus/placenta |               |
| STAT2      | Y               | F               |           | y     |               | Finan et al. (2010) |
| TPH1       | N               | F               |           | f     | y              | Unfried et al. (2001) |

**MITOCHONDRIAL FUNCTION**

| Mutational burden | Y/N | F, C, trio | y | Kaare et al. (2009b), Seyedhassani et al. (2010), Vanniarajan et al. (2011) |

**ADVANCES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS IN “OMICS” AND (EPI)GENETICS**

Genetic association studies based on pre-selected candidate genes have shown their limitations as RM represents a complex phenotype with no identified major genetic factor(s). In order to achieve the main goal – to identify predictive genetic risk factors and biomarkers for RM, advances are needed in clinic as well as in the research strategies.
RECRUITMENT STRATEGY
Suggested advances in clinic include networking of research
groups aiming to collect large sample-sets targeting RM phenotype
under joint criteria and guidelines. Recruitment of both couples
suffering from RM as well as controls should be encouraged,
along with detailed clinical and reproductive history. Andro-
ologists are to be involved to analyze reproductive parameters
of male partners. Recruitment of duos (mother–placenta/fetus)
or trios (mother–father–placenta/fetus) would provide further
bonus. Studies should be enhanced by collecting the material for
DNA, RNA, and protein studies from the same recruited fam-
ily, e.g., parental blood samples, paternal sperm analysis, maternal
dome-trial tissue, multisite placental tissue sampling. A network
of targeted clinics would facilitate carrying out validation of novel
identified biomarkers by setting up the large multicentre studies.

INTRODUCING “OMICs” INTO RM RESEARCH
With rapidly evolving technological advances in genetics/“omics”
there are multiple appealing perspectives in RM biomolecular
research (Figure 1).

Up to now, no hypothesis-free genome-wide association stud-
ies (GWAS) for identification of common risk variants for RM
have been performed. The main reason is that large number of
uniformly defined RM affected individuals/couples is required to
reach sufficient power in such heterogenous phenotype (Eichler
et al., 2010). Copy number variations (CNVs) involving one or sev-
eral loci are expected to exhibit a stronger effect on the phenotype
compared to SNPs. Comparative genome hybridization-based
microarray analysis of 26 euploid miscarriages picked up 11
unique inherited CNVs, two of them involve genes TIMP2 (86 kb
duplication) and CTNNA3 (72 kb deletion) that are imprinted
and maternally expressed in the placenta (Rajcan-Separovic et al.,
2010). The first results of ongoing study using genome-wide
genotyping data to map common CNVs demonstrated signifi-
cantly more frequent prevalence of a 52.4-kb locus duplication
on chromosome 5 among RM couples in Estonian and Danish
population (Nagirnaja et al., 2011). These pilot studies alert for
further investigations of rare and common CNVs as risk factors
for RM.

Transcriptomics and proteomics based gene expression profil-
ing in tissues responding to ongoing miscarriage event offers an
attractive approach to identify differentially expressed biomark-
ers (Back et al., 2007). A decreased expression level in chori-
villous epithelial cells of RM patients was shown for angiogenesis related loci,
whereas the expression of several apoptosis-related genes was
increased (Choi et al., 2003; Back, 2004). The first whole-genome
gene expression profiling of placental tissue from RM compared
to uncomplicated pregnancies identified and replicated signific-
ant difference expression of TNF-related apoptosis-inducing
ligand (TNFSF10; TRAIL) and S100A8 encoding for inflammatory
marker calprotectin (heterodimer S100A8/A9; Rull et al., 2012).
In comparative proteome study applying 2-DE and MALDI-
TOF/MS analyses of the follicular fluids of RM patients, abnormal
expression of complement component C3c chain E, fibrinogen
γ, antithrombin, angiogensinogen, and hemopexin precursor was
reported (Kim et al., 2006b), and in the blood serum from RM
patients the expression of ITI-H4 was altered (Kim et al., 2011).

INTEGRATING OF GENETICS AND EPIGENETICS
An important factor of placental development and function is
epiphenotype regulation governing the control of gene expression
(Nelissen et al., 2011). Epigenomic marks include CpG methyla-
tion in DNA, histone modifications in chromatins, and non-coding
regulatory RNAs. The specific features in placental epigenome are
(i) active temporal dynamics – changes in epigenetic marks over
course of pregnancy (Novakovic et al., 2011); (ii) complex orga-
nization of the DNA methylome and presence of tissue-specific
differentially methylated regions (Choufani et al., 2011; Chu et al.,
2011); (iii) abundance of parental-specific imprinted genes (Con-
stanceia et al., 2004; Moore and Oakley, 2011); (iv) evidence of
polymorphic methylation or imprinting (Yuen et al., 2009); (v)
placenta-specific microRNAs (miRNAs; Luo et al., 2009; Maccani
and Marsit, 2009; Noguer-Dance et al., 2010); (vi) effect of envi-
ronmental factors, e.g., maternal smoking (Maccani et al., 2010).
Although altered placental epigenetics has been demonstrated in
cases of fetal growth disturbances, preeclampsia, and gestational
diabetes (Nelissen et al., 2011), there is limited information on the
role of aberrant epigenetic profiling in RM. Epigenetic comparison
of different cell types in early pregnancy is technically challenging.
The only systematically addressed topic is skewed X-chromosome
inactivation (XCI; the preferential inactivation of one of two X-
chromosomes in female cells). Initial findings that XCI is increased
in women with RM were promising (Sangha et al., 1999; Lanasa
and Hogge, 2000; Van den Veyver, 2001), but the follow-up studies
have revealed that the skewed XCI is associated rather with mater-
nal age and fetal karyotype than solely with RM (Hogge et al.,
2007; Pasquier et al., 2007; Warburton et al., 2009). Only single
studies are available for gene-specific methylation in RM-related
tissues (placenta, endometrium, maternal blood). For the biallel-
ically expressed hCG beta-subunit coding CGB5, three placentas
(including two RM) were reported with monoallelic expression
of maternal alleles and hemimethylated gene promoters suggesting
the association of methylation allelic polymorphism with preg-
nancy loss (Uuskula et al., 2011). Methyltransferase (G9aMT)
and methylated histone (H3-K9) expressions were significantly lower
in decidual/endometrial tissue of unexplained RM cases compared
to controls (Fatima et al., 2011). As a support to the contribu-
tion of epigenetic regulation of implantation, significantly more
abnormal methylation values for APC and imprinted PEG3 were
reported in chorionic villus samples of abortions/stillbirth than in
other studied genes (Zechnner et al., 2009).

No targeted microRNA expression profiling has been per-
formed for RM-related tissues. Still, there are placenta-specific
miRNAs capable of crossing the placental barrier and detectable
in maternal plasma (Chim et al., 2008; Miura et al., 2010) and an
altered profile of several miRNAs has been shown in pregnancy
complications. Among the RM-associated genes, the expression
of HLA-G was shown to be modulated by a 3’ UTR polymor-
phism exhibiting allele-specific affinity to microRNAs miR-148a,
miR-148b, and miR-152, and consequently differential mRNA
degradation and translation suppression processes (Tan et al.,
2007). A recent study showed association between two SNPs in
pre-miR-125a and increased risk to RM (Hu et al., 2011). As
miRNA expression data has been suggested to harbor potential in
discriminating disease samples with high accuracy (Schole et al.,
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