Correlations between the sagittal plane parameters of the spine and pelvis and lumbar disc degeneration
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Abstract

Background: Studies have shown that lumbar disc herniation, degenerative lumbar instability, and other degenerative lumbar spinal diseases are often secondary to disc degeneration. By studying the intervertebral disc, researchers have clarified the pathological changes involved in intervertebral disc degeneration but have ignored the roles of biomechanical factors in the development of disc degeneration. This study aims to investigate the relationships among the location, scope, and extent of lumbar disc degeneration and sagittal spinal-pelvic parameters.

Methods: A retrospective analysis was performed on the clinical data of 284 patients with lumbar degenerative disc diseases (lumbar disc herniation and degenerative lumbar instability) from January 2013 to December 2016. Statistics were calculated for the following: (1.) patients’ general information: name, sex, age, height, and weight. (2.) Measurements of sagittal parameters from total spinal radiographs: thoracic kyphosis (TK), lumbar lordosis (LL), sacral slope (SS), pelvic tilt (PT), pelvic incidence (PI), sagittal vertical axis (SVA), T1 tilt angle (TA), and T1 pelvic angle (TPA). (3.) Location, scope, extent, and overall degree of lumbar disc degeneration. Parameters were analyzed in groups by sex, PI, and SVA, and a correlation analysis was performed for the location, scope, extent, and overall degree of lumbar intervertebral disc degeneration with 8 spinal-pelvic sagittal parameters.

Results: The mean ages of the male and female patient groups were 59.00 and 53.28 years old, respectively (P < 0.05). The PT, location, scope, and overall degree of degradation were significantly different between the sexes (P < 0.05). Linear correlation analysis results showed that the overall degree and extent of degradation (r = 0.788, P < 0.01), LL and SS (r = 0.737, P < 0.01), PI and PT (r = 0.607, P < 0.01), and TPA and PT (r = 0.899, P < 0.01) were strongly correlated. The location values were 4.08 ± 0.72 in patients with PI ≤ 50° and 3.62 ± 0.94 in patients with PI > 50° (P = 0.018). Different SVASVA groups differed in their overall degree of degeneration (P = 0.002).

Conclusions: The location of lumbar intervertebral disc degeneration is affected by spinal-pelvic sagittal morphology. Populations with small PI values tend to exhibit degeneration at the L4/5 and L5/S1 discs, and populations with large PI values tend to exhibit degeneration at the L3/4 and L4/5 discs. The SVA value and the overall degree of lumbar disc degeneration are positively correlated.
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Background
The lumbar spine is the hub of human torso activity. Increasing age, excessive activity, and overloading may cause accelerated aging of the lumbar vertebrae, and external forces may cause secondary pathological changes leading to the rupture of the intervertebral disc annulus fibrous, prolapsed intervertebral disc nucleus pulposus, and lower back pain and neurological dysfunction [1]. Lumbar degenerative diseases include the degeneration of the intervertebral disc, cartilage end plate, vertebral body, and ligaments, of which the degeneration of intervertebral discs is the focus of our attention [2].

Studies have shown that lumbar disc herniation, degenerative lumbar instability, and other degenerative lumbar spinal diseases, such as hyperplasia of articular processes, wedging of vertebral bodies, and hyperostoeogeny, are often secondary to disc degeneration. Intervertebral disc degeneration is related to many factors, including spine biomechanics, biology, injury, inflammation, and nutrition [3–6]. By studying the intervertebral disc, researchers have clarified the pathological changes involved in intervertebral disc degeneration but have ignored the roles of biomechanical factors during its development [7]. When a person is maintaining a standing position, various parts of the body must be in coordination, forming different spine sagittal patterns and resulting in different biomechanical characteristics [8, 9]. Previous studies have indicated that there are changes in the spinal-pelvic sagittal force lines in patients with spinal deformities and lumbar degenerative diseases to varying degrees [10]. To date, research on whether lumbar intervertebral disc degeneration is related to differences in spinal-pelvic sagittal morphology has been sparse. In this study, correlations of the location, scope, and extent of lumbar intervertebral disc degeneration with sagittal spinal and pelvic parameters were investigated.

Methods
General information
This retrospective study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Shanghai Tongren Hospital. The study subjects were patients with lumbar disc degenerative disease admitted to Shanghai Tongren Hospital from January 2013 to December 2016. Inclusion criteria were the following: (1) age > 18 years old, with a clear diagnosis of lumbar disc degenerative disease [11] (lumbar disc herniation and degenerative lumbar instability, diagnostic criteria referring to NASS evidence-based clinical guidelines, and imaging findings matching clinical manifestations [11]) and (2) patients with full spinal anteroposterior and lateral radiographs and preoperative lumbar MRIs. Exclusion criteria were the following: (1) patients with spinal tumors, trauma, inflammation, isthmus, or deformities; and (2) patients with neuromuscular disorders or lower limb diseases affecting their ability to stand. Statistical analysis was performed to analyze patient age, height, and weight.

Image measurement
Using a picture-archiving and communication system (PACS), spinal-pelvic sagittal parameters over the full spine in anteroposterior and lateral radiographs were measured using the maximum Cobb angle method [12–14]. Six intervertebral discs between T12 and S1 were evaluated on a T2-weighted MRI image of the lumbar spine. The specific methods used are described in the following sections.

Spinal-pelvic parameters
(1) Thoracic kyphosis (TK) is the vertebral body with the largest upper thoracic tilt to the junction of the thoracic kyphosis and lumbar lordosis. (2) Lumbar lordosis (LL) is the junction of the thoracic kyphosis and lumbar lordosis to the S1 end plate. (3) Pelvic incidence (PI) is the angle between the line perpendicular to the S1 end plate from the midpoint of the end plate and the connecting line from the midpoint of the S1 end plate to the center of the femoral head. (4) Pelvic tilt (PT) is the angle between the connecting line from the midpoint of the S1 end plate to the center of the femoral head and the vertical line. (5) Sacral slope (SS) is the angle between the tangent and the horizontal line of the S1 end plate. (6) Sagittal vertical axis (SVA) is the horizontal distance between the vertical line of the C7 vertebral center and the posterior upper angle of the S1 end plate. (7) T1 tilt angle (TA) is the angle between the T1 vertebral end plate and the horizontal line. (8) T1 pelvic angle (TPA) is the angle between the connecting line from the T1 midpoint to the femoral head centerline and the connecting line from the sacral end plate midpoint to the center of the femoral head.

Detailed definitions are provided in Fig. 1 [15].

Grading of disc degeneration
The Pfirrmann grading system [16] was used with the following definitions. Grade 1, the nucleus pulposus is homogeneous and translucent, with a clear boundary from the annulus fibrous, and the height of the intervertebral disc is normal. Grade 2, the nucleus pulposus is not fully homogeneous, with a clear boundary from the annulus fibrous, and the height of the intervertebral disc is normal. Grade 3, the nucleus pulposus is moderately darkened, with an unclear boundary from the annulus fibrous, and the height of the intervertebral disc is normal or slightly lowered. Grade 4, a black disc is observed, there is no boundary between the nucleus pulposus and the annulus fibrous, and the height of the intervertebral disc is less than normal. Grade 5, a black
disc is observed, there is no boundary between the nucleus pulposus and the annulus fibrous, and the intervertebral space has collapsed. The degeneration grade can directly reflect the severity of intervertebral disc degeneration: grade 1 was recorded as 1, grade 2 as 2, etc. Grades 1–5 correspond to A–E in the following chart.

Grading is shown in Fig. 2 [16].

Location quantization, scope selection, and the extent of the degenerative process

(1) Location quantification: the discs from T12 to S1 were recorded as 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, respectively. The degeneration at intervertebral disc T12/L1 was recorded as 0; the degeneration at intervertebral disc L1/2 was recorded as 1; the degeneration at intervertebral disc L2/3 was recorded as 2; the degeneration at intervertebral disc L3/4 was recorded as 3; the degeneration at intervertebral disc L4/5 was recorded as 4; and the degeneration at intervertebral disc L5/S1 was recorded as 5. The numerical value reflects the location of the intervertebral disc and matches the number of the intervertebral disc. (2) Scope selection (the number of intervertebral discs): grades 4 and 5 intervertebral disc segments according to Pfirrmann grading were selected. If the most serious segment was grade 3, then the corresponding grade 3 segment was selected. (3) Extent of the degenerative process: the extent of degeneration of the intervertebral disc within the scope of overall degeneration were summed and then divided by the scope of overall degeneration.

Example:

Calculation of degenerative location: if the degeneration at L2, L3, and L4 was greater than grade 3, then the degenerative location was calculated as \((2+3+4)/3 = 3\).

Calculation of degenerative scope: If the highest level of lumbar intervertebral disc degeneration was 3, then the number of the 3-stage degenerative disc was recorded. For example, L1/2, L2/3, L3/4, and L4/5 are level 2, and L5/S1 is level 3. The degenerative scope was calculated as 1. If the highest level of lumbar intervertebral disc degeneration was 4 or 5, then the number of degenerative discs in the 4 or 5 levels was recorded. For example, L1/2, L2/3, and L3/4 are 3, L4/5 is 4, and L5/S1 is 5. The degenerative scope was calculated as 2. Calculation of the extent of degeneration: if the degeneration at L4/5 was grade 3, and the degeneration at L5/S1 was at grade 5, then the average extent of degeneration was \((3 + 5)/2 = 4\). The overall degree of degradation is the sum of the extent of degeneration of each segment.

Statistical analysis

Data collection and statistical analyses were performed using the statistical software SPSS 21.0 (Chicago, IL, USA). Descriptive statistical analysis was performed for
each parameter, and the differences in each parameter between sexes were compared with a bilateral independent samples t test. Differences with \( P < 0.05 \) were considered statistically significant. Pearson correlation analysis (bilateral) was performed for the location, scope, and extent of intervertebral disc degeneration with age, BMI, and sagittal spinal-pelvic parameters. Correlations with a \( P \) value of \( P < 0.05 \) were considered significant. Based on the different groupings of TK, LL, SS, PT, PI, SVA, TA, and TPA, the location, scope, extent, and overall degree of intervertebral disc degeneration were analyzed using bilateral independent t tests. Differences with \( P < 0.05 \) were considered statistically significant.

Results

The 284 patients from Shanghai Tongren Hospital in this study included 141 males and 143 females, with ages ranging from 19 to 78 years and a mean age of 56.14 years. The mean ages of the male and female patients were 59.00 ± 10.347 and 53.28 ± 10.521 years \( (P < 0.05) \), respectively, as shown in Table 1 and Fig. 3.

The results of the correlation analysis are shown in Table 2, in which the positive correlations between the overall degree and the extent of degeneration \( (r = 0.788, P < 0.01) \), SS and LL \( (r = 0.737, P < 0.01) \), PT and PI \( (r = 0.607, P < 0.01) \), and TPA and PT \( (r = 0.899, P < 0.01) \) were strong.

According to groupings by different PI, the degenerative location in patients with PI \( \leq 50^\circ \) was 4.14 ± 0.64, and the degenerative location in the patients with PI \( > 50^\circ \) was 3.57 ± 1.08 \( (P < 0.05) \). According to groupings by different SVA, the overall degree of degeneration in patients with SVA \( \geq 29^\circ \) was 20.27 ± 2.675, and in patients with SVA \( > 29^\circ \), it was 22.64 ± 3.872 \( (P < 0.01) \), as shown in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. There were no significant differences between groups in TK, LL, SS, and PT. Representative cases are shown in Fig. 4a, b.

Discussion

Lumbar intervertebral disc degeneration is related to various diseases, including lumbar disc herniation, degenerative spondylolisthesis, and degenerative lateral scoliosis. Previous studies have suggested that age is closely related to the degeneration of lumbar intervertebral discs \([6, 17]\). The results of this study showed that age was closely related to the degenerative location \( (r = -0.343, P < 0.01) \), the scope of degeneration \( (r = 0.339, P < 0.01) \), and the extent of degeneration \( (r = 0.415, P < 0.01) \). With increased age, the degenerative location was higher, the scope increased, and the extent of degeneration was aggravated. The position and extent of intervertebral disc degeneration were directly proportional to age. Age \( (P = 0.018) \), PT \( (P = 0.013) \), location \( (P = 0.037) \), scope \( (P = 0.031) \), and degree of degeneration were significantly different
between the sexes ($P = 0.001$); compared with females, males had a higher degree of degeneration and a higher scope and age (proportional) but a lower PT and position (inverse).

The impact of stress on lumbar disc degeneration should not be ignored. The lumbar curvature gradually increases from top to bottom. The lower lumbar spine accounts for 2/3 of the entire lumbar lordosis (LL) and receives the most concentrated stress, making it a common segment in which lumbar disc degeneration occurs [18]. Stress plays an important role in the degeneration of the lumbar disc [19]. Biomechanical studies have shown that the degeneration of the lumbar intervertebral disc is related to vertical longitudinal loading and the shearing force on the intervertebral disc [20], and the magnitude of the shearing force varies with spinal movement [7]. Lumbar degeneration may lead to lumbar disc herniation, which primarily occurs in the L4/5 and L5/S1 intervertebral discs [21]. Lumbar degeneration may also lead to lumbar instability, but the segment in which

### Table 1

| Parameter | $n=284$ | Male ($n=141$) | Female ($n=143$) | $P$ |
|-----------|---------|----------------|-----------------|----|
| Age (year) | 56.14 ± 10.76 | 59.00 ± 10.347 | 53.28 ± 10.521 | $0.018^*$ |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 24.24 ± 2.93 | 24.076 ± 2.90 | 24.406 ± 2.98 | $0.623$ |
| TK (°) | 36.19 ± 9.25 | 36.92 ± 9.551 | 35.46 ± 9.011 | $0.489$ |
| LL (°) | 42.47 ± 11.79 | 42.59 ± 12.684 | 42.36 ± 10.996 | $0.932$ |
| PI (°) | 50.35 ± 12.00 | 47.885 ± 13.314 | 52.821 ± 10.110 | $0.069$ |
| SS (°) | 30.19 ± 7.64 | 31.00 ± 7.483 | 29.38 ± 7.795 | $0.353$ |
| PT (°) | 20.86 ± 9.29 | 18.28 ± 9.313 | 23.44 ± 8.629 | $0.013^*$ |
| SVA (mm) | 28.90 ± 37.09 | 36.77 ± 37.372 | 21.03 ± 35.549 | $0.060$ |
| TA (°) | 23.79 ± 6.47 | 25.03 ± 7.054 | 22.56 ± 5.651 | $0.093$ |
| TPA (°) | 16.06 ± 9.36 | 14.85 ± 10.114 | 17.28 ± 8.494 | $0.253$ |
| Location | 3.83 ± 0.87 | 3.628 ± 0.916 | 4.038 ± 0.790 | $0.037^*$ |
| Scope | 2.14 ± 1.21 | 2.44 ± 1.334 | 1.85 ± 1.014 | $0.031^*$ |
| Extent | 3.60 ± 0.69 | 3.74 ± 0.785 | 3.46 ± 0.555 | $0.071$ |
| Overall degree | 21.27 ± 3.42 | 22.54 ± 3.582 | 20.00 ± 2.753 | $0.001^{**}$ |

Note: $t$ test (bilateral); $^*P < 0.05$; $^{**}P < 0.01$; the data in the Table are presented as the mean ± SD

---

Fig. 3 Bar charts between males and females for each parameter are shown. $^*P < 0.05$, significant correlation; $^{**}P < 0.01$, significant correlation
this instability commonly occurs is different from that in which lumbar disc herniation occurs. Aono prospectively investigated 142 females for over 8 years and found an incidence of degenerative spondylolisthesis of 12.7%, including 4 cases of L3 and 14 cases of L4 degenerative spondylolisthesis. Compared with patients with L4 degenerative spondylolisthesis, patients with spondylolisthesis had increased LL, PI, and vertebral tilt and loss of intervertebral height values, and patients with L3 degenerative spondylolisthesis had increased PI, lumbar curvature, and tilting of the L3 vertebrae [22]. Gille et al. reported the analysis of 670 cases of degenerative spondylolisthesis collected from multiple centers in Europe, in which 73% of degenerative spondylolisthesis occurred in the L4/5 intervertebral disc, 18% occurred in the L3/4 intervertebral disc, and 3% occurred in the L2/3 intervertebral disc [23]. Our results showed that the average incidence of degenerative spondylolisthesis had increased LL, PI, and vertebral tilt and loss of intervertebral height values, and patients with L3 degenerative spondylolisthesis had increased PI, lumbar curvature, and tilting of the L3 vertebrae [22]. Gille et al. reported the analysis of 670 cases of degenerative spondylolisthesis collected from multiple centers in Europe, in which 73% of degenerative spondylolisthesis occurred in the L4/5 intervertebral disc, 18% occurred in the L3/4 intervertebral disc, and 3% occurred in the L2/3 intervertebral disc [23]. Our results showed that the average incidence of degenerative spondylolisthesis had increased PI, lumbar curvature, and tilting of the L3 vertebrae [22].

Different lumbar curvatures may cause changes in biomechanics, and lumbar curvature varies by individual. Roussouly classified lumbar curvature into four types. Type 1: SS is less than 35°, PI is small, the vertex of LL is the lowest of all types, located in the middle of L5, with a minimal lumbar vertebral body, and the thoracolumbar turning point is low and backward, forming a large arcuate thoracic kyphosis. Type 2: SS is less than 35°, the vertex of LL is at the bottom of L4, the lumbar spine is long, the LL contains more of the vertebral body, the thoracolumbar turning point is more ventral than that in type 1, and the overall lumbar curvature and thoracic curvature are relatively small. Type 3: SS is between 35° and 45°, the vertex of LL is at the middle of L4, the lumbar curvature is larger than type 2, and the spinal sagittal plane is in a perfect S shape. Type 4: SS is greater than 45°, the vertex of LL is at L3 or higher, and the lumbar curvature is greatest. Disc herniation is thought to occur easily in types 1 and 2 individuals, spinal stenosis can easily occur in type 4 individuals, and the incidence of lumbar diseases in type 3 individuals is

---

**Table 2** The correlations between the sagittal parameters and the location of the lumbar disc degeneration, correlation coefficient

| Age | BMI | Location | Scope | Extent | Overall degree | TA | TK | LL | PI | SS | PT | SVA | TPA |
|-----|-----|----------|-------|--------|---------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|
| 1   | 0.88| -0.343**| 0.339**| 0.415**| 0.486**        | 0.182| 0.352**| 0.161| 0.033| -0.001| 0.052| 0.190| 0.120|
| 1   | -0.134| 0.039| 0.198| 0.077| -0.021| -0.038| -0.037| 0.064| -0.050| 0.138| 0.023| 0.141|
| 1   | -0.541**| -0.445**| -0.471**| -0.264*| -0.100| 0.012| -0.150| -0.096| -0.264*| -0.154| -0.330**|
| 1   | 0.316**| 0.494**| 0.121| 0.105| 0.024| 0.119| 0.018| 0.193| 0.285*| 0.270*|
| 1   | 0.788**| 0.211| 0.132| -0.037| -0.075| -0.003| 0.060| 0.165| 0.155|
| 1   | 0.208| 0.190| -0.037| -0.126| -0.057| 0.047| 0.375**| 0.230*|

Note: Pearson correlation test (bilateral); *P < 0.05, significant correlation; **P < 0.01, significant correlation; 0.2–0.4 indicates a weakly positive correlation, 0.4–0.6 indicates a moderate correlation, and 0.6–0.8 indicates a strongly positive correlation.

**Table 3** Relationships among the location, scope, extent of intervertebral disc degeneration, and PI

| Location | Scope | Extent | Overall degree | PI ≤ 50° (mean ± SD, n = 144) | PI > 50° (mean ± SD, n = 140) | P |
|----------|-------|--------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----|
| Location | 4.08 ± 0.72| 3.62 ± 0.94| 0.018* | | | |
| Scope    | 2.00 ± 0.926| 2.26 ± 1.415| 0.345 | | | |
| Extent   | 3.50 ± 0.655| 3.69 ± 0.715| 0.227 | | | |
| Overall degree | 20.97 ± 3.211| 21.52 ± 3.611| 0.481 | | | |

Note: t test (bilateral); *P < 0.05

**Table 4** The relationship between the location, scope, extent of intervertebral disc degeneration, and SAV

| Location | Scope | Extent | Overall degree | SAV ≤ 29° (mean ± SD, n = 139) | SAV > 29° (mean ± SD, n = 145) | P |
|----------|-------|--------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----|
| Location | 3.978 ± 0.846| 3.636 ± 0.886| 0.088 | | | |
| Scope    | 1.93 ± 1.053| 2.42 ± 1.370| 0.077 | | | |
| Extent   | 3.53 ± 0.548| 3.70 ± 0.847| 0.304 | | | |
| Overall degree | 20.27 ± 2.675| 22.64 ± 3.872| 0.002** | | | |

Note: t test (bilateral); **P < 0.01;
lowest [14]. Chaleat-Valayer proposed that lower back pain is more likely to occur in type 2 individuals, and Roussouly found that type 4 individuals have larger PI values than the other types [24].

The sagittal morphology of a normal spine is closely related to the pelvic parameters [13, 25]. The sagittal morphology (head-spine-pelvis-lower extremities) interacts, and the center of gravity and visual balance are maintained by increasing or decreasing the internal curvature of the spine, the posterior rotation of the pelvis, and the bending of the lower extremities [26, 27]. The results of this study showed that for PI and SS \( r = 0.416, P < 0.05 \); i.e., TK increased with age, while LL did not, which is consistent with the findings of Zhu [25]. However, the result for LL was not consistent with the findings of Xu (age and LL \( r = -0.37, P = 0.01 \)) [28]. This relationship requires further clarification.

A literature review found that, among all types of lumbar disc degeneration, patients with herniation exhibited smaller PI values [14] while patients with degenerative lumbar spondylolisthesis exhibited higher PI values [29–31]. The PI size corresponds to differences in the curvature of the lumbar spine. A small PI corresponds to a small lumbar curvature, often forming a sharp corner at L5. A large PI corresponds to a large arc, often including L3, L4, L5, or even more vertebral bodies, and each vertebral inclination is larger. Our results showed that after grouping by PI, the location value was 4.08 ± 0.72 for patients with PI ≤ 50° (the intervertebral disc degeneration of L4/5 and L5/S1), while the location value for patients with PI > 50° was 3.62 ± 0.94 (the intervertebral disc degeneration of L3/4 and L4/5) \( P < 0.05 \). The location value was higher in the PI ≤ 50° group than in the PI > 50° group. This phenomenon occurs because in some patients with large PI, the L5/S1 intervertebral disc was more tilted, and the extent of degeneration was significantly lower than that of the L4/5 intervertebral discs, which was considered to be related to the protection of the posterior structure, i.e., the supporting roles of small joints, ligaments, and muscles. SVA was related to the overall degree of degeneration \( P < 0.01 \); a larger SVA resulted in a larger PI and a greater degree of degeneration. When the SVA was in the normal range, the PI decreased, and the patients’ degeneration decreased.

The correlations of spinal-pelvic parameters with the location, scope, and extent of degeneration of the lumbar vertebrae not only can predict the degeneration of intervertebral discs in different spinal-pelvic shapes but can also provide healthcare guidance for patients with different PI and SVA values, thus delaying lumbar degeneration, which has never been previously reported. However, this study has some limitations. (1.) Patient lifestyle was not assessed in this study and may affect lumbar degeneration. (2.) The sample size of this study is the minimum mathematically determined sample size; the sample size was not increased due to concerns related to workload. (3.) The study duration was short, and the results must be verified with long-term data. (4.) This is a retrospective study and lacks experimental or mechanical research support. Detailed data are shown in Fig. 5.

**Conclusion**

The location of lumbar disc degeneration is affected by the sagittal morphology of the spinal pelvis. Populations
with PI ≤ 50° are prone to degeneration at the L4/5 and L5/S1 discs, and populations with PI > 50° are more likely to have degeneration at the L3/4 and L4/5 discs. The position of intervertebral disc degeneration was higher than that of PI > 50 patients, and the SVA value was positively correlated with the overall degree of lumbar disc degeneration.
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