Newly Substituted Anilino-Fluoroquinolones with Proliferation Inhibition Potential against a Panel of Cancer Cell Lines
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Abstract

Background: From a chemistry point of view, we hypothesized that superlative dual cytotoxicity-radical scavenging bioefficacies of series 4 FQs correlate to their acidic groups and C8-C7 ethylene diamine Chelation Bridge. Methodology: Newly synthesized 16 lipophilic-acid chelating FQs have been screened for in vitro duality of proliferation inhibition and radical scavenging capacities. Results: Substantially in LPS prompted RAW264.7 macrophages inflammation, IC50 values (µM) in the ascending order of new FQs’ NO scavenging/antiinflammation capacity were 4e<4b<3d<4f<5c<indomethacin (17.6<25.5<27.7<38.5<46.1< indomethacin’s 55.1, respectively). Exceptionally reduced FQ 4b exhibited comparable DPPH radical scavenging capacity to ascorbic acid (IC50 values (µM) 4.3 vs. 3.4, p>0.05). In comparison to classical and robust antineoplastic agent cisplatin and unlike triazoloFQs; nitroFQs (3a, 3b and 3f) and the reduced FQs (4a, 4c, 4d and 4e) exerted antiproliferation IC50 values <50 µM in leukaemia K562. Besides nitroFQ 3, the reduced FQs (4c and 4f) exerted antineoplastic IC50 values <50 µM in lung A549 carcinoma. NitroFQ 3c and reduced FQs 4b, 4c, and 4f in breast MCF7 and reduced 4c in pancreatic PANC1 had reduction of viability IC50 values <50 µM. NitroFQ 3e, reduced FQs 4b and, 4c and triazoloFQ 5a exerted antiproliferation IC50 values <50 µM in breast T47D cells. Also nitroFQ 3e, reduced FQ 4c and triazoloFQ 5f exhibited antineoplastic IC50 values <50 µM in PC3 prostate cancer cells. Exceptionally triazoloFQ 5a, but neither nitro- nor reduced FQs, had cytotoxicity IC50 value <50 µM in resistant melanoma A375 cells. Unequivocally 4b antineoplastic effectiveness linked with its radical scavenging and antiinflammation effects while 3d and 5c lacked matching antiproliferation potentialities to their exquisite antiinflammation capacities. Explicitly reduced 4e and 4f exerted antiinflammation-selective cytotoxicity duality in vitro. Conclusion: Collectively, this work reveals lipophilic-acidic chelator FQs as authentic agents for the repurposing approach in anticancer chemotherapy/prevention.
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were reported to attenuate microglia inflammatory response via TLR4/NF-κB pathway (Zusso et al., 2019). Recently, antilipolytic-Antiproliferative Activities of Novel AntidiabetesTriazolo/Fluoroquinolones were substantially signified for anti-inflammatory responses (Arabiyat et al., 2020) exceeding the sensitive and robust indomethacin in against LPS-induced nitric oxide production in studied cells. Essentially more optimally Functionalized FQs as a Potentially Novel Class of Anti-inflammatory and Anti-glycation Compounds were subjected to Pharmacological Appraisal (Hamdan et al., 2019; AlShahrabi et al., 2020). This kind of activity can suggestively be associated with the chelating properties possessed by the synthesized FQs (Hamdan et al., 2019).

Drug repurposing pharmacology of new safe therapeutic drugs has emerged as an alternative approach to overcome high toxicity and poor safety profile of clinical anticancer agents. Vorosorxin is a commercial fluoroquinolone (FQ) with antineoplastic propensities in acute myeloid leukemia comparable to anticancer agents doxorubicin and cisplatin (Bruno et al., 2020). Newly developed synthetic chelator Fluoroquinolones (FQs) had the scaffold for FQs but carried extra lipophilic and chelator groups as structural functionalities for optimal cytotoxicities similar to doxorubicin (Arabiyat, et al., 2016a,b; 2019; Khaleel et al., 2021; AlHallaq et al., 2022; Qashou et al., 2022). Our hypothesis was based to dual lipophilic–acidic chelating FQs with a genuine potential of antiproliferative propensities based to their dual DPPH- and NO- radicals scavenging biocapacities using cell based – and colorimetric assays vs. respective reference agents as their molecular action mechanism. In this work, 16 lipophilic-acid chelating FQs have been screened against seven different cancer cell lines (melanoma A375; breast T47D and MCF7, prostate PC3; Lung A549, Pancreatic Panc-1, and Leukaemia K562) using sulforhodamine B colorimetric bioassay vs. cisplatin. Parameters including potency, toxicity, and selectivity (potency/toxicity) have been reported along with DPPH- and NO- radicals’ scavenging propensities in comparison to ascorbic acid and indomethacin respectively. Their selectivity indices for safety examination were calculated using normal periodontal ligament fibroblasts (PDL) in comparison to cisplatin’s lack of differential cytotoxicity.

Materials and Methods
(Supplementary)

Results

DPPH radical- and RAW264.7 cell line NO radical-scavenging properties of tested compounds of new 3 FQs series (Table 1).

Exceptionally in LPS prompted RAW264.7 macrophages inflammation using Griess assay, NitroFQ 3d NO scavenging IC₅₀ value was < 50µM (with IC₅₀ value (µM) 27.67 vs. indomethacin’s 55.06) but lacking on DPPH scavenging activity in 96well based colorimetric assay (Table 1). Reduced FQ 4b was proven for equipotency to vitamin C and highly significantly > potent than NO-scavenging anti-inflammatory indomethacin (the same table). Besides reduced 4e was most potent anti-inflammatory FQ (with the least IC₅₀ value (µM) 17.63 vs. indomethacin’s 55.06) but lacking on comparable DPPH scavenging propensities to ascorbic acid (Table 1). Reduced FQ 4f had not DPPH scavenging bioactivity but exhibited substantially > NO-scavenging/anti-inflammatory potency than indomethacin (with IC₅₀ value (µM) 38.51 vs. indomethacin’s 55.06). 5c was the Fonly triazoloFQ that could demonstrate a promising euipotency to indomethacin (with IC₅₀ value (µM) 46.13 vs. indomethacin’s 55.06; p<0.05) without similar DPPH scavenging effectiveness (the same Table 1). The rest of the 3 series of new FQs were significantly ineffective radical scavengers as compared to either ascorbic acid or indomethacin (p<0.01-0.001). Most notably, none of the tested compounds of the 3 new FQs series had cytotoxicity over 72h incubations as checked by SRB bioassay.

Antiproliferative activity of the tested compounds in new 3 FQs series

In this work, 16 lipophilic-acid chelating FQs have been screened against seven different cell lines (Malignant Melanoma A375; Leukaemia K562; breast T47D and MCF7 cancer and prostate cancer cell PC3 lines; Lung cancer cell A549 and Pancreatic1 Panc-1cancer) in comparison to the cisplatin, using sulforhodamine B colorimetric bioassay (Table 2). Their spectrum of selectivity indices values for safety examination was calculated in normal periodontal ligament fibroblasts (PDL)-based 72h incubations in comparison to cisplatin’s lack of differential cytotoxicity (Table 1).

Table 2 reveals that:

TriazoloFQs

Unlike Nitro-FQs and Reduced FQs; TraizoFQs exhibited the least antineoplastic activity; where only 5a in skin melanoma A375 (with IC₅₀ value (µM) 30.8 vs. cisplatin’s 0.7) and, in breast cancer T47D (with IC₅₀ values (µM) 22.3 < cisplatin’s 45.2) and 5f in leukaemia K562 (with IC₅₀ values (µM) 11.9 < cisplatin’s 29.3) had appreciably antiproliferative IC₅₀ values < 50 µM like cisplatin. The rest of the TriazoloFQs series were significantly ineffective in lung cancer A549, breast cancer MCF7, pancreatic cancer Panc-1, and prostate cancer PC-3 cell lines as compared to cisplatin.

Reduced FQs

- Exceptionally skin melanoma A375 cell line was resistant to any reasonably moderate antiproliferative capacity of nitro- and reduced FQs (IC₅₀ values ≥ 100 µM), unlike cisplatin (IC₅₀ value of 0.7µM).

- In lung cancer A549 cell line; 4c and 4f (with respective IC₅₀ value (µM) of 12.3 and 26.4 vs. cisplatin’s 12.3) displayed cytotoxicity IC₅₀ values< 50 µM like cisplatin

- In breast carcinoma MCF7 and T47D cell lines; evidently both 4b (with IC₅₀ values (µM) of 12.6 vs. cisplatin’s 92.6 in MCF7, and 44 vs. cisplatin’s 45 in T47D) and 4c ((with IC₅₀ values (µM) of 16.16 vs. cisplatin’s 92.6 in MCF7, and 6.7 vs. cisplatin’s 45 in
Table 1. Selectivity Index (SI) and IC₅₀ Values (µM; µg/mL) of in vitro DPPH-radical and RAW264.7 Cell Line NO- radical scavenging properties of the tested compounds of new 3 FQs series vs. respective reference agents

| Treatment                    | DPPH radical scavenging IC₅₀ value µM (µg/mL)+ | NOS- IC₅₀ value µM (µg/mL)+ | IC₅₀ PDL Fibro µM (µg/mL) | SI       |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|----------|
| **Nitrous FQs series**       |                                               |                             |                           |          |
| 3a                           | NI                                            | 121.3±2.02****              | 368.8±23.08****           | 10.35 (K562) |
|                              |                                               | 52.1±0.87                   | 158.3±9.91                |          |
| 3b                           | 4086.1±405.59****                            | 351.39±39.95***             | 153.1±17.13***            | 11.14 (K562) |
|                              | (1754.7±174.18)                              | (150.9±17.15)               | (65.75±7.36)              |          |
| 3c                           | 71.59±14.22**                                | 98.74±9.96**                | 407.61±11.38****          | 21.61 (MCF7) |
|                              | (30.7±6.10)                                  | (42.4±4.28)                 | (175.03±4.89)             |          |
| 3e                           | 640.8±28.50****                              | 71.39±5.28**                | 81.96±9.84****            | 1.24 (A549) |
|                              | (313.45±13.94)                               | (32.8±2.42471)              | (37.66±4.52)              |          |
| 3f                           | NI                                            | 27.67±3.37**                | 10.62±1.15**              | 1.83 (T47D) |
|                              |                                               | (13.5±1.65)                 | (5.19±0.56)               |          |
| **Reduced FQ series**        |                                               |                             |                           |          |
| 4a                           | 37.67±3.03***                                | 84.21±9.83**                | 51.45±7.11**              | 8.68 (K562) |
|                              | (15.05±1.21)                                 | (33.63±3.93)                | (20.55±2.84)              |          |
| 4b                           | 3.61±0.31NS                                  | 25.54±2.62***               | 38.05±2.97****            | 3.03 (MCF7) |
|                              | (1.55±0.13)                                  | (10.97±1.12)                | (16.34±1.27)              |          |
| 4c                           | 276.8±28.69****                              | 76.78±5.38**                | 95.31±2.55****            | 27.15 (K562) |
|                              | (110.56±11.46)                               | (30.67±2.15)                | (38.07±1.02)              |          |
| 4d                           | 251.32±28.81****                             | 63.49±6.08ns                | 95.29±12.28***            | 10.19 (K562) |
|                              | (107.93±12.37)                               | (27.27±2.61)                | (40.92±5.27)              |          |
| 4e                           | 229.45±19.08****                             | 17.63±3.07****              | 7.52±0.14****             | 0.36 (K562) |
|                              | (105.45±8.77)                                | (8.1±1.41)                  | (52.49±3.45)              |          |
| 4f                           | 80.17±15.92**                                | 38.51±2.90**                | 6.07±1.14**               | 0.36 (MCF7) |
|                              | (30.7±6.10)                                  | (14.77±1.12)                | (2.33±0.44)               |          |
| **TriazolofQ series**        |                                               |                             |                           |          |
| 5a                           | 7891.6±886.16****                            | 82.73±9.94**                | 9.31±1.45***              | 0.42 (T47D) |
|                              | (3238.67±363.67)                             | (33.95±4.08)                | (3.82±0.59)               |          |
| 5b                           | 1716.52±237.77****                           | 130.09±2.81****             | 240.05±11.87****          | 3.40 (K562) |
|                              | (737.14±102.11)                              | (55.87±1.21)                | (103.09±5.10)             |          |
| 5c                           | 193.48±21.20****                             | 46.13±3.79NS                | 350.70±23.26****          | 3.65 (A375.s2) |
|                              | (79.4±8.7)                                   | (18.93±1.55)                | (143.92±9.54)             |          |
| 5f                           | 1743.04±191.73****                           | 103.42±10.19**              | 96.75±3.23****            | 8.14 (K562) |
|                              | (609.66±99)                                  | (36.13±3.55)                | (33.80±1.13)              |          |
| Reference Drug               | Ascorbic 3.37±0.29                            | 55.6±2.25                   | 0.71±0.13                 | 1.01 (A375.s2) |
|                              | (1.55±0.13)                                  | (26.93±1.10)                | (0.21±0.04)               |          |

Results are mean ± SD (n = 3 independent replicates). + IC50 values (concentration at which 50% inhibition of DPPH in comparison to non-induced basal 30 minutes incubations or cell proliferation in comparison to non-induced basal incubations) were calculated within testing dose range. ++ The IC50 value is the concentration at which 50% inhibition of Nitric oxide synthase took place in comparison to non-induced basal 24h incubations. P-value is calculated by unpaired t-test between test compound IC50 values µM and ascorbic acid IC50 values µM (DPPH) or Indomethacin IC50 values µM (NOS) using GraphPad Prism software version 8.0.1. * When P<0.05 and ** when P<0.01 or 0.001, *** when P< 0.001 or 0.0001, **** when P<0.0001, NS: not significantly different from reference agent. Bolded numerals stand out as the least IC50 values (most active) among others enlisted in the same tested cell line. NI, Non-Inhibitory.
| Treatment | A549 | A375.s2 | MCF7 | PANC-1 | PC-3 | K562 | T47D |
|-----------|------|---------|------|--------|------|------|------|
| Nitrous FQs series | | | | | | | |
| 3a | 1003.52 ± 140.23*** | 173.69 ± 21.29*** | 70.91 ± 2.14* | | | | |
| 3b | 526.55 ± 37.51* | 35.63 ± 6.12 | | | | | |
| 3c | 2541.43 ± 51.14**** | 309.67 ± 6.19**** | | | | | |
| 3d | | | | | | | |
| Reduced FQs series | | | | | | | |
| 4a | 83.60 ± 7.36**** | 151.55 ± 19.98*** | 62.77 ± 5.31* | | | | |
| 4b | 75.42 ± 8.78*** | 50.99 ± 6.26*** | 12.57 ± 0.66*** | | | | |
| 4c | 12.29 ± 2.17 | 75.81 ± 10.89*** | 16.14 ± 2.26*** | | | | |
| 4d | | | | | | | |

Table 2. Cytotoxicity (as of %Control) IC50 Values in µM (µg/mL) of the Tested Compounds of New 3 FQs Series vs. Cisplatin
Nitro-FQs:

- In lung cancer A549 cell line; 3e of antiproliferation IC_{50} value of 45.1 µM and cisplatin’s of 12.3 µM were both < 50 µM, alike.

- In breast carcinoma MCF7 and T47D cell lines; obviously both 3c and 3e (with IC_{50} values of 18.9 µM vs. cisplatin’s 92.6 in MCF7, and 5.8 vs. cisplatin’s 45 in T47D, respectively) had IC_{50} values < 50 µM as cisplatin’s.  

- As in melanoma 72h incubations; pancreatic PANC-1 carcinoma cells were similarly resistant to nitroFQs (IC_{50} values ≥ 100 µM, unlike cisplatin IC_{50} value of 7µM).

- In PC-3 prostate cancer cell line; explicitly 3d displayed cytotoxicity IC_{50} value ≤ 50 µM (with respective IC_{50} values (µM) of 49.6 vs. cisplatin’s 454.4). 

- In leukaemia K562; pronounced reductions of viability by nitro-FQs in ascending order of IC_{50} values (µM) were 3b’s (13.7) < cisplatin’s (29.3) < 3a’s (35.6) < 3f’s (38.4) < 50 µM.

Discussion

This research aims at investigating new FQs already prepared in our laboratory against serious cancer cell lines. They include two breast cancer cell lines MCF7 and T47D; Leukemia K562 cell line, Melanoma A375. S2 cancer cell line, Lung A549 cancer cell line, Prostate PC-3 and Pancreatic cancer Panc1 cell line. Recently, quinolones represented by the drug Vosaroxin; a topoisomerase II inhibitor causing site-selective DNA damage; have been introduced in the fight against cancer. Vosaroxin is under phase III clinical trial investigation for acute myelogenous leukemia (AML) sponsored by Sunesis. Vosaroxin is an inhibitor of Topoisomerase II enzymes- which are essential for the survival of eukaryotic cells. Vosaroxin hinders the reunion of topoisomerase II-induced double-strand breaks at selective sites in DNA, resulting in G2 arrest and cell death by apoptosis. The advantage of quinolone drug (vosaroxin) over other anticancer drugs is the fact that it is one of few drugs that target liquid tumors such as acute myeloid leukemia. Although it is used against ovarian cancer solid tumor, such use is minimal in clinical trials.

Similar to vosaroxin, we have developed new FQs with anticancer properties. This research has been established by our group since 2013. Our research has revealed many new lipophilic FQs against different cell lines, especially solid cancer. Our group has developed FQs with a lipophilic alkane chain at N1 and substituted anilines at C7. In this work, we aimed to test and investigate methoxy substitution at the aniline group pointed out at C7 of the basic FQ nucleus. Both the position and number of methoxy derivatives (anisidines) will be tested (Chart

Table 2. Continued
To investigate similarity to vosaroxin, it is planned to test against liquid cancer cell lines represented by K562 (target in acute/chronic myelogenous leukemia/AML) and available solid cancer cell lines. It is worth mentioning that the targeted FQs have more lipophilic characters to ease and facilitate accessibility into the lipophilic cell membrane of cancer cell lines, unlike the hydrophilic drug vosaroxin. This work is an attempt among the fight against cancer indestructible challenges. Cancer statistics are devastating with increased cases each year. Resistance and serious side effects in addition to the cost of existing therapy add to the problem. Our derivatives offer cheaper compounds with a possible new mechanism and lower resistance since they are introduced recently. We hope that these FQs will be a new addition in this area, especially to overcome resistance issues.

Chemical properties of the new FQs tested

The use of FQs as antiproliferative compounds is highly justified. They are clinically used antibacterial drugs with an equitable safety profile. They have a negligible side effect without any significant cardiotoxicity like anthracyclines (Owens and Ambrose, 2005). Moreover, quinolones have superior physicochemical properties with outstanding bioavailability and pharmacokinetics (Bisacchi and Hale, 2016). Quinolones are easily formulated as both oral and parenteral preparations and their stability is well established. In particular, the quinolone drug vosaroxin’s scaffold confers chemical and pharmacologic characteristics distinct from classic topoisomerase II poisons used in acute myeloid leukemia (AML) treatment. Compared with currently approved topoisomerase II inhibitors, vosaroxin is minimally metabolized because of its stable quinolone core. Their structure has never been linked to reactive oxygen species ROS (Jamieson et al., 2016).

In fact, vosaroxin is not associated with the significant formation of free radicals or ROS. It is worth mentioning that the targeted FQs tested in this work have the same pharmacophoric scaffold of vasoroxin with well-established stability as pure compounds. They have
lipophilic characters that further contributed to their chemical stability and add to their improved kinetic profile. Lipophilicity was assumed to ease and facilitate crossing of lipophilic cell membranes of cancer cell lines, unlike the hydrophilic drug vosaroxin. The idea of this work was based on previous work by our group involving new lipophilic FQ derivatives with noticeably promising antiproliferative activity against human colon and breast cancer cell lines (Arabiyat, et al 2016 a,b; Al-Nuaimi, et al., 2021). It was revealed that the FQ scaffold (6-fluoro-8-nitro-4-oxo-1, 4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid) exhibited reasonable antiproliferative activity. It was also spotted that lipophilicity and size were the most important requirements for such activity in our FQs. Therefore, this work aims at testing new lipophilic FQs prepared previously against cancer cell lines for the first time (Elsheikhi, 2018). The new FQs hold methoxy functionality at the C-7 aniline group in addition to the N-Butyl group at N1 (chart 1: B). Both aniline and Butyl groups were introduced to increase the lipophilicity and investigate its effect on activity. Both liquid and solid cancer cell lines were used including K562 and MCF7. Antiproliferative activity of methoxy FQs 3-5 (a-f) Table 2 and Scheme 1 (Supplementary) disclose the

| Name   | Chemical Formula | MWt  | Henry's law | tPSA (Å²) | C log P | CMR | HB/D | HB/A |
|--------|------------------|------|-------------|-----------|---------|-----|------|------|
| 3a     | C21H20FN3O6      | 429.13 | 1.56       | 130.68    | 4.93    | 11.01 | 2    | 7    |
| 3b     | C21H20FN3O6      | 429.13 | 1.56       | 130.68    | 4.93    | 11.01 | 2    | 7    |
| 3c     | C21H20FN3O6      | 429.13 | 1.56       | 130.68    | 4.93    | 11.94 | 2    | 7    |
| 3d     | C22H22FN3O7      | 459.14 | 1.56       | 139.91    | 4.90    | 11.63 | 2    | 8    |
| 3e     | C23H24FN3O8      | 489.45 | 1.56       | 149.14    | 4.14    | 12.24 | 2    | 9    |
| 3f     | C21H20FN3O5      | 413.4 | 1.56       | 121.45    | 5.51    | 10.86 | 2    | 6    |
| 4a     | C21H22FN3O4      | 399.42 | 4.64      | 104.89    | 3.62    | 10.77 | 4    | 8    |
| 4b     | C21H22FN3O4      | 399.42 | 4.64      | 104.89    | 3.62    | 10.77 | 4    | 8    |
| 4c     | C21H22FN3O4      | 399.42 | 4.64      | 104.89    | 3.62    | 10.77 | 4    | 8    |
| 4d     | C22H24FN3O5      | 429.44 | 4.64      | 114.12    | 3.59    | 11.38 | 4    | 9    |
| 4e     | C23H26FN3O6      | 459.47 | 4.64      | 123.35    | 2.83    | 12.00 | 4    | 10   |
| 4f     | C21H22FN3O3      | 383.42 | 4.64      | 95.66     | 4.19    | 10.61 | 4    | 7    |
| 5a     | C21H19FN4O4      | 410.4 | 5.53       | 94.80     | 4.39    | 10.73 | 1    | 9    |
| 5b     | C21H19FN4O4      | 410.4 | 5.53       | 94.80     | 4.39    | 10.73 | 1    | 9    |
| 5c     | C21H19FN4O4      | 410.4 | 5.53       | 94.80     | 4.39    | 10.73 | 1    | 9    |
| 5f     | C21H19FN4O3      | 394.4 | 5.53       | 85.57     | 4.76    | 10.57 | 1    | 8    |
| Doxorubicin | C27H29NO11   | 543.52 | 34.30   | 206.07    | 0.06    | 13.33 | 7    | 12   |
| Vosaroxin   | C18H19N5O4S    | 401.44 | 0.00     | 106.83    | -1.67   | 10.43 | 2    | 9    |

Chemical descriptors were calculated by using CS ChemDrawUltra V.11 software. Where MR: the Molar refractivity, CMR, calculated molar refractivity; Henry's Law: the inverse of the logarithm of Henry's law constant [-log (H)] (no units), MWt, molecular weight; and tPSA, topological polar surface area based on fragment contribution; Å², Square angstroms; H-B, hydrogen bond; cLogP, the partition coefficient for n-octanol/water; All structures were drawn by using CS ChemDraw Ultra V.6.

Figure 2. 4''-methoxy Substitution Effect on Activity K562

Antiproliferative activity of methoxy FQs 3-5 (a-f)
antiproliferative results of 16 new FQs compounds while Table 1 shows selectivity index data; Antioxidant and antiinflammation activity are detailed in Table 1. Starting with antiproliferative activity, 16 FQs and cisplatin were tested against 7 cancer cell lines (Table 2). The results show that our FQs have good antiproliferative activity against most cell lines with superior activity against Leukaemia cell line K562. Another marked activity was also shown against MCF-7, against A549, and the T47D breast cell lines. Due to the similarity of the chemical structural scaffold to vasoroxin, it was assumed that our FQs will mainly work on the same cell line (Leukaemia cell line K562). This hypothesis is validated in the results of this work since the pattern of topoisomerase II (TOP II) inhibitors involves higher potency against liquid tumours compared to any solid one. The tested FQs go smoothly with this trend since they have marked activity against Leukaemia cell lines (such K562) compared to other solid cancer cell lines tested. Such findings indicate clearly that these FQs possibly have a similar target to
that of vasoroxin which is TOP II. Weaker but the sole noticeable activity of our FQs was against solid breast cell lines, especially the reduced series 4. Obviously this point out that the tested FQs have additional mechanism or target when compared to vasoroxin. Another support for this assumption comes from testing another TOP II inhibitor; doxorubicin. In fact, previous work by our group has revealed a similar pattern of activity and mechanism between FQs and doxorubicin, meaning they share the same target and mechanism which is TOP II inhibitor (Khaleel, et al., 2021). It is highly tolerable to suggest that our FQs mechanism is similar to that of both vasoroxin and doxorubicin as they are both TOP II inhibitors.

**Antiproliferative activity of strong FQs**

This research has produced a huge amount of data that could not be easily interpreted. As a result, it was decided to categorize our data to three assemblies to make sense of these records (Table 2):

A. Strong to the reasonable antiproliferative effect of tested drugs’ with IC$_{50}$ values below 50 μM.

![Figure 6a. Contribution of C-8 Amino Group (4 series) in Anti-Proliferative Activity; A- H-B; B-Chelating agents, C-Antioxidant and D- 1,8-bridging (rigidity).](image)

![Figure 6b. Chelator Groups Shared by All Anti Proliferating FQs and Doxorubicin](image)
B. Intermediate antiproliferative effect of tested drugs’ with IC_{50} values from 50 μM to 100 μM.

C. Weak effect antiproliferative effect of tested drugs’ with IC_{50} values over 100 μM.

This classification is totally acceptable due to the fact that there were no clear cut-off numerals for sorting on the basis of IC_{50} values in the general HTS approach for potential anticancer hits and druggable candidate leads. Actually, this subject is totally controversial in the literature. Some scientists debate that 500 to 1000 μM can be a reasonable range for further lead(hit)/candidate optimization, whereas others went lower values of 10 μM to initiate a mechanistic investigation. However, the majority abide by equally marked tendencies as in solubility, kinetics and bioavailability and safety profiles. The antiproliferative activities of strong compounds with IC_{50} values (μM) below 50 μM are displayed in Table 2. Obviously 10 FQs out of 15 exhibited IC_{50} value below 50 μM against leukemia cell lines K562. Indeed 6 compounds showed stronger IC_{50} values compared to the reference cisplatin, whereas the rest were of comparable effectiveness. Compound (4c) has shown the strongest IC_{50} value impending 3.51±0.66 μM IC_{50} against K562. Similar wise, compounds 4a and 4d showed superior IC_{50} values compared to cisplatin against K562 with values below 10 μM.

On the other hand, series 4 revealed superlative activity to cisplatin against MCF-7 breast cell line with IC_{50} value below 20 μM. Again most of them were from the reduced series 4, with the outstanding activity of 4c and 4b. Although weaker than the reference cisplatin, 3 compounds have displayed strong activity against lung cell line A549. Only 4c was equally potent to the reference with an IC_{50} value of 12.29± 2.17 μM. 4c and 3e, in particular, have revealed superior activity to reference against the T47D breast cell line. The non-matched activity of 4c and reduced series 4 in general attained against both leukemia K562 and breast cancer cells propose this group of compounds to be promising leads. 4c and 4b have superior and significant activity compared to cisplatin. Less number of compounds from the nitro series 3 has displayed activity (3b, 3a, 3f). From the triazole series 5; 5f has exhibited a reasonably appreciable IC_{50} value. This emphasizes clearly that the active hits against liquid tumours (K562) belong to the reduced series 4, followed by nitro (3) and triazole (5) series respectively (Tables 2 and 4). Similar findings and order were exposed against solid tumor cell line MCF7. Although fewer compounds were active, the 3 compounds of the reduced series 4b, 4c, and 4f have superior and significant activity compared to the reference. Only one compound of series 3 was active whereas no triazole derivative has shown any activity. Again, series 4 had the exquisitely superb compounds with activity against T47D and A549. This incremental increase in scaffold activity order indicates that the H-B acceptor and donor properties might have contributed a significant role besides it seems that increasing the number of H-B (A/D) percentage does enhance activity substantially. Series 4 has shown strong to intermediate activity against all cell lines with particular properties of 4c (Table 2). Compound 4c exhibited strong and remarkable IC_{50} values against all 6/7 cell lines tested with IC_{50} values < 50 μM. This confirms the impact of methoxy substitution on C-4” of aniline (4-anisidine).

Further validation for the importance of position 4-methoxy substituent arises from the finding that the strongest reduced series exhibit methoxy group on C4”
in addition to other substituents (Figure 2). Compounds 4c, 4d, and 4e (with at least one methoxy group at C-4"") revealed stronger IC₅₀ values than the reference. Even active compound 4b had the methoxy at a Meta position (3"") which is far from the vicinity of the diamine bridge at C-7 and C-8. This points out clearly that Para (4"") or Meta (3"") methoxy group is essential for cytotoxicity. Although the number of methoxy substituents did not affect activity, the position seems important and should be far from the space or vicinity of the diamine bridge. The methoxy group has possibly added H-B bond capability to the molecule and provided better interaction with the target inside the cancer cells. Lower activity imposed by 2"” methoxy substituents might be explained by steric/size repulsion effect or possibly intramolecular H-B that makes it in close proximity to space of the diamine bridge (Figures 3 and 4). This points out clearly that the main contributor in the antiproliferative activity is the diamine bridge between C-7 and C-8 of the 4-oxo-quinoline-3-COOH structural core, due to C8-amino group.

The role of C-8 amino group in cytotoxicity

The role of the C8 amino group (series 4) in antiproliferative activity was noticed with all active FQs hits. In fact, the only difference between the most active compound 4c and its precursor 3c is the conversion of the C8 nitro into C8 amine (Figure 5). This means only that the add-on effectiveness correlated to this particular group. It is possible that this group has increased the total number of H-B capabilities that further provides extra linkage with the receptor. The C-8 amino has contributed to both H-bond donor/acceptor incremental addition to growth inhibition which spots the light on the importance of such position and such group. In fact, the C8-amino substituent provides 2 H bond donor atoms and 1 H bond acceptor. This implements that 8-amino is essential for acting as the case in all reduced FQs and provides good acidity for enzyme interaction with FQ through the freely accessible interfacing H-B. One further justification for the super activity of the reduced 4 series comes also from the role of the 8-amino group as a chelator group and as an antioxidant group (Figure 6a,b). The ethylene diamine bridge created with reduced series offers an excellent nitrogenous based chelator group between C-7 and C-8. Moreover, the aromatic amine of C-8 arranges for the stability of free ionizable electron radical through resonance which makes such a group as an antioxidant group, (Figure 6a,b). Those 2 phenomena can further contribute to the stunning activity of the reduced FQs series 4. It is also reported in vosaroxin that N8 and S Bridge is highly impactful for activity. This might be the case with our compound 4 due to the 1-8 H-B bridging effect.

In conclusion, we can propose that initial SAR/QSAR for optimal antiproliferative FQ requires (Table 3):
1. Free acidic and chelator 4-Oxo quinolone-3-COOH is essential.
2. All FQs tested are acids with pKa range below 6 (COOH). 
3. Lipophilic balance with high C log P values between 2.8 to 4.1.

4. Lipophilic properties by the C-7 phenyl and N-butyl group
5. Small size around 400 with iPSA of around 100-110 also important.
6. The high number of H-B donor and acceptor is a must-have functionality feature although the high number of donors is also a surplus criterion (ratio in reduced series 4 was ranging 4:9 A/D).
7. C-8 Amino H-B group or its isoster like N or OH or is the most important requirement for anti-proliferative FQ. (Henry’s law of reduced series is 4.64 while CMR is around 11)
8. C7-C8 Diamine Bridge with C8 amine related universal chelation is hugely important.
9. Methoxy group far from the vicinity of the Diamine Bridge is important.
10. The result of this research confirms the similarity pattern between the activity of 4 series and vosaroxin since both works on leukemia cell lines mainly. Similar to doxorubicin, vosaroxin is a Topo II inhibiting drug; therefore, we can assume that our 4 series also worked by the same mechanism. Table 3 shows clearly the most common property which gathers the three compounds in the H-B A/D ratio. As this ratio increases, the potency of the compound increases. The superb activity of doxorubicin can be related to its high A/D ratio. From a chemistry point of view, this ratio is a direct function of increased H-B groups in the molecule that allows the chelation. In other words, increasing the number of H-B group’s increase the number of chelation groups as it is the case in doxorubicin. This mechanism is a universal cytotoxicity mechanism allowing any drug to inhibit the proliferation of many cancers due to chelation with many metalloenzymes involved in cancer. This hypothesis has a precedent in literature since vosaroxin and doxorubicin have chelation groups and the C7-8 diamine nitrogen-based chelator is similar to chelator groups in both drugs.

Such idea SAR proposition can also explain the broad spectrum of anticancer activity against 4 cell lines. The stronger chelator group in 4c may also explain its universal activity against all cell lines. The C7-8 diamine bridge is similar to EDTA and has a strong chelation power that can chelate with di- and trivalent metals including Zn, Ag, Cu, Fe, Al, Zn and Fe metalo-enzymes are recently linked to cancer. It is also assumed that such chelators agents might exhibit a marked antioxidant activity due to chelation with enzymes involved in chelation. In fact, many anticancer agents do exhibit their actions through their antioxidative potency. Similarly, antiinflammation is also linked to anticancer activity in many antiinflammatory agents, possibly due to chelation in our case. To validate this hypothesis proposing linked radical scavenging- antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities to the anticancer compounds, both anti oxidant (DPPH assay) and anti-inflammatory properties were validated.

Antinflammatory activity

Infections and inflammation had been broadly linked to cancer, and the correlations among attendance of inflammation and the expansion of preneoplastic lesions
at many different anatomic sites have been recognized. There is about a 14% increase in prostate cancer risk due to prostatitis, and a 25% in colorectal cancer risk due to ulcerative colitis. For this reason, the attendance of inflammation seems to induce or ease carcinogenesis. So inflammation causes cancer is sensible as the chronic inflammation is categorized by infiltration of mononuclear immune cells (including macrophages, lymphocytes, and plasma cells), tissue destruction, fibrosis, and increased angiogenesis (Rayburn et al., 2009). Also, there is another association with chronic inflammation like an increase in genomic damage, an increase in DNA synthesis, cellular proliferation, disruption of DNA repair pathways, inhibition of apoptosis, and the promotion of angiogenesis and invasion. These developmental processes have been concerned with the initiation and progression of cancers. Pro-inflammatory molecules, like cytokines, inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), reactive oxygen species (ROS), and NF-kB (nuclear factor kappaB) are upregulated due to chronic inflammation. These mechanisms encourage microenvironment for the exponential progress of cancer cells. Thus, the inflammation afforded both the key mutations and a good environment to substitute tumor growth (Rayburn et al., 2009). As FQs were proved to be outstanding antineoplastic compounds, the request for anti-inflammation potentiality can be very justified. Unpredictably, it was noticed that some of these NSAIDs have distinguished activity against leukemia cell line K562 similar to our FQs and vosaroxin. In the study that was primed with indomethacin (IND) on chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) cells demonstrate that IND can induce apoptosis and prevent the spread of K562 cells or newly isolated bone marrow cells from CML patients. IND has an inhibitory effect on cell proliferation and that effect is attributed to the detention of cell cycle progression and initiation of apoptosis. Examination of cell cycle phase spreading shows that the ratio of cells in the S phase increases while that in G0/G1 and G2/M phase significantly decreases, which reflects the inhibition of IND on cell progression from S phase to G2/M phase (sen Zhang, et al., 2000).

This had led to a search if other NSAIDs have a similar mechanism. Surprisingly, it was also found that other NSAIDs exhibit their antiproliferative activity through interfering cell cycle phases in a similar way that FQs do. In specific, numerous researches have established that aspirin and other NSAID treatments associate with a reduction in cell replication and with an improved proportion of cells arrested at the G0/G1 phase of the cell cycle. Aspirin behavior may affect the proliferation, variation, and apoptosis of the human colon adenocarcinoma Caco-2 cells; in specific, it depends on the doses. Aspirin behavior may boost apoptosis and a main DNA synthesis inhibition related to an adjustment in the level of the insulin-like growth factor II (IGF-II), an autocrine growth factor for this cell line. NS-398 has been demonstrated as a Cox-2 selective inhibitor, also affects Caco-2 DNA synthesis and apoptosis. Accumulating evidence proposes that intervention with cell cycle and/or with the intracellular growth factor (receptor)-activated signal transduction pathways are key modulators of cellular response to chemotherapeutic agent treatment unwell affects Caco-2 anticancer sensitivity, while aspirin treatment, counteracting anticancer drug-induced cell cycle modification and inducing the expression of bcl-2, is able to substantially alter Caco-2 anticancer drug-induced apoptosis and overall viability (Ricchi, et al., 2002). NSAIDs like salicylates (aspirin and sodium salicylate), a phenylacetic acid derivative (diclofenac), a propionic acid derivative (ibuprofen), and indolacetic acid derivative (indomethacin) and a pyrroleacetic acid derivative (sulindac), are inhibited vascular smooth muscle cell (VSMC) proliferation in a concentration-dependent manner. They apply their effects at diverse phases of the VSM cell cycle. Thus, the non-salicylate NSAIDs, diclofenac, ibuprofen, indomethacin and sulindac, all block VSMCs at the G1 phase of the cell cycle, whereas salicylates induce a post G1/S block and arrest cells in the G2 and/or M phases. This work reveals clearly that any drug interferes with cell cycle phase G2 is topoisomerase II inhibitor, which the same target FQs inhibit. It is well detailed in the literature that NSAIDs exert Topo II inhibition effect possibly through chelator acidic groups (Brooks, et al., 2003).

Through our search for TOP II inhibitors, resveratrol, a polyphenol found in berries, nuts, traditional Asian medicines, and red wine, was spotted. It is widely used due to it is a benefit and likely side effect. Research has revealed that resveratrol to have pleiotropic properties, including potential anti-cancer, anti-inflammatory, cardioprotective, and neuroprotective activity. These privileges have led to the quick development of resveratrol supplementation; however, there is less clinical data on the efficacy of resveratrol and there is no logical agreement on the underlying metabolic and molecular mechanisms of resveratrol activity. So far, resveratrol has been reported to act on many different molecular targets including p53, PI3K/Akt, and NFκB. Eukaryotic DNA TOP II, in the last decade, has been added to resveratrol targets as a likely explanation for the anticancer effects of the agent. Topo II is a confirmed target of anticancer drugs, as it is obligatory for actively separating cells and interruption of enzyme function can induce the development of cytotoxic DNA damage. This anti-cancer effect was correlated to its resorcinol phenolic hydroxyl groups according to literature. Similarly, its antioxidant effect was explained with its phenolic groups (Lee, et al., 2017). Another natural anti-inflammatory and anti-topoisomerase ii is Flavonoids. Flavonoids are a set of natural plant metabolites found in a variety of fruits and vegetables. Although the effects of flavonoids have been examined for many years, their antioxidant, anticarcinogenic, and anti-inflammatory properties have only lately gained credit. The protective and therapeutic effects of flavonoids such as kaempferol, quercetin, luteolin, and apigenin have been reported (Kalandemirtaş, et al., 2019).

**Antioxidative capacities**

Antioxidants inhibit cellular damage throw out responding and eradicating oxidizing free radicals.
Though, in cancer treatment, a method of action of some chemotherapeutic agents implicates the generation of free radicals to affect a cellular damage and necrosis of cancer cells. This reason is concern logically established as exogenous antioxidant compounds occupied alongside chemotherapy could decrease the helpful effect of chemotherapy on malignant cells. The standing of this fear is emphasized by a recent study which estimates 23 percent of cancer patients take antioxidants (Lamson et al., 1999). Table 2 displays that the supreme active antiproliferative compound is 4b, were the only group that expresses antioxidant activity in more than one compound is reduced series. In compound 4b the result was not significantly different from ascorbic acid. These results settle that radical scavenging activity maybe also has a potential mechanism of antiproliferation, surely due to the free radical scavenging amino group (NH2).

**Antioxidant activity vs. cytotoxicity activity**

Numerous classes of chemotherapy work by making a reactive oxygen compound or free radical.

Alkylating agents work to add alkyl groups to the negatively-charged group. They are identified to stop over tumor growing through cross-linking guanine nucleobases in strands of DNA, which straight damages to the DNA by making it unable to uncoil and separate. The cell, when argued in this way, is incapable of cardioprotective. While it may not die, it also cannot grow. Flavonoids such as quercetin and genisten have been approved in vitro and animal studies to have a benefit to patients receiving chemotherapy (Nepomuceno, 2011; Reyes-Farias, and Carrasco-Pozo, 2019; Tulli et al., 2019).

In conclusions, this research has introduced new FQs with anti-proliferative, antiinflammatory and antioxidant activities. Such activity is due to the ethylene diamine chelator group substituted on C7-8 carbons with a potential role for the 4-oxo-3-COOH group. In fact, such groups were responsible for superior anti-proliferative activity than the reference drug cisplatin represented by compound 4c. Their anti-inflammatory activity was also unique and more than the reference indomethacin with 4 compounds of series 4. The antioxidant activity was due to an aniline c-8 amino group that can form stable free radicals.
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- Investigating the mechanism of action of these FQs and explore their LD50.
- Establishing a full toxicity profile of most active hits.
- Testing the active FQs against other cell lines or new activities.
- In vivo investigating of their proposed in vitro activities.
- Validating the chelation group theory in practice or directly on selected enzymes such as TOP II alpha and beta.
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