Internal Validation of Rapid and Performant Method for Carotenoids Determination in Tomato Waste Powder by HPLC
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An analytical method was developed and validated for separation, detection and quantification of carotenoids (all-trans lutein, β-carotene and all-trans lycopene) in tomato waste powder by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC-DAD). Extraction of carotenoids was achieved in acetone under nitrogen atmosphere and magnetic stirring. Carotenoids were separated on a reverse-phase C30, 3 μm column (250 × 4.6 mm) coupled to a 20 × 4.6 mm C30 guard column using mobile phases consisting of (A) methanol/ water (98:2, v/v), (B) methanol/water (95:5, v/v) and (C) methyl tert-butyl ether. The method has a good sensitivity (LOD = 0.161 – 0.333 μg/mL and LOQ = 0.484 – 1.000 μg/mL) and a good precision (RDS (r) = 0.67 – 1.15% for injection repeatability; RSD (r) = 1.02 – 2.14% for analysis repeatability intra-day; RSD (r) = 1.23 – 2.43% for intermediate precision; RSD (R) = 1.57 – 3.07 % for intra-laboratory reproducibility.) The method was applied by analyzing 8 tomato waste powders, obtained through tomatoes processing as juice. Their carotenoids content varied in the following ranges: 1.474 – 2.452 mg/100g for all-trans lutein; 9.645 – 11.587 mg/100g for β-carotene; 60.150 - 64.855 mg/100g for all-trans lycopene.
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Tomatoes (Lycopersicon esculentum L.) are cultivated worldwide for their fruits, registering an annual production over 170 million tones in 2014 [1]. Regular consumption of tomatoes and tomato processed products was correlated with a significant decrease of susceptibility to different types of cancer and cardiovascular diseases [2]. These positive effects are due to the antioxidant compounds present in tomatoes, such as vitamins C and E, carotenoids, polyphenols, which have a key-role in mechanisms for health protection, through free-radicals neutralization [3]. Also, tomatoes are an important source of oligoelements, such as selenium, copper, manganese and zinc, which are co-factors of antioxidant enzymes [4]. Due to the complex biochemical composition, consumption of fresh and processed tomatoes (juice, purée, paste, ketchup, etc.) has beneficial effects on human body: cardioprotective [5], antiplaquette (inhibit plaquettes aggregation) [6], decrease of triglycerides and cholesterol levels in blood [7], reduction of oxidative stress induced by postprandial lipemia (increase of lipids level in blood after lunch) [8]. Research performed by Riccioni et al. [9] showed that, in case of individuals with atherosclerose, there were registered serum low concentrations of lycopene. Tomatoes and tomato processed products are an important source of lycopene, which was demonstrated to have antioxidant properties and a potential role to prevent cronic diseases [2]. Besides lycopene, tomatoes contain α-, β-, γ-, δ-carotene and lutein and also neurosporene, phytoene, and phytofluene [10], which are believed to act synergistically with lycopene [11].

Millions of tomato tones are annually processed to produce tomato juice, sauces, purées, paste and canned tomatoes, resulting large quantities of tomato skin, pulp and seeds, which constitute industrial waste [12]. Thus, World Processing Tomato Council estimated that approximately 40 million tones of tomatoes were processed at world level in 2014, to obtain tomato juice, paste, purées, ketchup, canned tomatoes and other food products [13]. When tomatoes are processed to obtain ketchup, sauces or juice, results waste which represents 3-7% of weight of tomatoes introduced in the manufacture process [14].

Studies performed showed that in epicarp are found the highest concentrations of fenolic compounds, flavonoides and lycopene and ascorbic acid. Also tomato epicarp has the highest antioxidant activity in comparison to the pulp and seed fractions [15, 16]. Also, much studies showed that tomato seeds are rich in nutrients: carotenoids, proteins, polyphenols, mineral elements, fibres and oils [16 -21].
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Studies performed by Rubushvili et al. (2018) showed that in case of tomato skin β-carotene content varied in the range 8.39 – 12.75 μg/g, and lycopene content in the range 165.11 – 179.56 μg/g [22]. According to the recent studies performed by Nour et al. (2018) dried tomato wastes, consisting of about 22.2% seeds and 77.8% pulp residues and skins, have complex biochemical composition represented by: 946.5 g/kg dry matter, 176.2 g/kg crude protein, 21.9 g/kg crude fat, 524.4 g/kg crude fiber, 42.1 g/kg ash, 172.4 g/kg total amino acids, unsaturated fatty acids (represent 77.04% of the total fatty acids), saturated fatty acids (represent 22.72% of the total fatty acids), 1229.5 mg GAE/kg total phenolics, 415.3 mg QE/kg total flavonoids, 510.6 mg/kg lycopene, 95.6 mg/kg β-carotene [23].

Strati et al. (2012) developed a method for carotenoids determination in tomato wastes by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC-DAD), using a YMC (Tokyo, Japan) C30 column (250 x 4.6 mm I.D., 5 μm particle). A mobile phase of acetonitrile (A), 1-butanol (B) and methylene chloride (C) with the following gradient elution was used: 69.3% A, 29.7% B and 1.0% C, initially; increased to 67.2% A, 28.8% B and 4% C, in the first 10 min; 61.6% A, 26.4% B and 12% C, after 20 min; 49% A, 21% B and 30% C, after 40 min; and returned to 69.3% A, 29.7% B and 1% C, after 50 min. Using this method there were separated, identified and quantified seven carotenoids from the tomato waste acetone extract: all-trans-lutein, retention time = 3.37 ± 0.04 min; 9-cis-lutein, retention time = 4.94 ± 0.06 min; 13-cis-lutein, retention time = 5.91 ± 0.09 min; all-transβ-carotene, retention time = 7.97 ± 0.03 min; 9-cis-β-carotene, retention time = 8.90 ± 0.08 min; 13-cis-β-carotene, retention time = 15.09 ± 0.05 min; all-translycopene, retention time = 30.23 ± 0.20 min [24].

In a recent study (2018) Pataro et al. showed that the integration of Pulsed Electric Fields (PEF) in the processing line of tomato fruits prior to steam blanching (SB), determined improved extractability of carotenoids from tomato peels. Thus, the combination of steam blanching (SB) with Pulsed Electric Fields (PEF) treatments in tomatoes processing, determined significant increase of the carotenoids content and the antioxidant power of the extracts from tomato peels, with a synergistic effect observed already at 60°C (37.9 mg/100 g fresh weight tomato peels). For PEF at 4000 V/m and 100 mL/s, 36% of all-trans lutein, 34% of all-transβ-carotene and 30% of all-translycopene were extracted from tomato peels. The absorbance detection wavelength was 472 nm.

In a Pilot Experiments Plant for Fruits and Vegetables Processing in IBA Bucharest. Tomato waste was subjected to dehydration process in a screw vials with PTFE lined screw caps, at temperature of 37°C, for 50 min. Using this method there were separated, identified and quantified seven carotenoids from the tomato waste acetone extract: all-trans-lutein, retention time = 3.37 ± 0.04 min; 9-cis-lutein, retention time = 4.94 ± 0.06 min; 13-cis-lutein, retention time = 5.91 ± 0.09 min; all-transβ-carotene, retention time = 7.97 ± 0.03 min; 9-cis-β-carotene, retention time = 8.90 ± 0.08 min; 13-cis-β-carotene, retention time = 15.09 ± 0.05 min; all-translycopene, retention time = 30.23 ± 0.20 min.

This paper presents the internal validation of a rapid and performant method for carotenoids determination (all-trans lutein, β-carotene and all-trans lycopene) in tomato waste powder by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC-DAD). The separation was performed at 30°C, on a Waters Spherisorb C18 reverse phase column (5 μm ODS2, 4.6mm x 250 mm). The composition of mobile phase was acetonitrile:methanol (30:70, v/v). The flow rate of the mobile phase through the column and the injection volume were 1.5 mL/min and 100 mL, respectively. The absorbance detection wavelength was 472 nm. HPLC analyses showed that lycopene was the most predominant carotenoid in the tomato peel extracts, this carotenoid being mainly responsible for their antioxidant activity [25].

This paper presents the internal validation of a rapid and performant method for carotenoids determination (all-trans lutein, β-carotene and all-trans lycopene) in tomato waste powder by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC-DAD). Moreover, assessing the carotenoids content of tomato waste powder (obtained from conventional and organic tomatoes) was performed.

Experimental part
Materials and methods

Samples
Tomato waste (a mixture of skins and seeds) obtained from tomato processing to juice within the Pilot Experiments Plant for Fruits and Vegetables Processing in IBA Bucharest. Tomato waste was subjected to dehydration process in a convection dryer at temperature 50°C to a moisture which allows their milling and conversion into powders and, at the same time, their stability in terms of quality (7.15 – 7.50% moisture). The dried material was milled using Retsch mill. Till the carotenoids analysis, the samples of tomato waste powder were kept in glass jars wrapped with aluminum foil at −20 °C.

Reagents
The analytical standards of all-trans lycopene and β-carotene type II, synthetic, butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), HPLC grade methanol, n-hexane, acetone, methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) and dichloromethane were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Analytical standard of all-trans lutein was purchased from ChromaDex. Butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), was used as antioxidant both in standard solutions and in the final extract of samples.

Standard preparation
Individual stock standard solutions of all-trans lycopene (0.1 mg/mL) and β-carotene (0.1 mg/mL) were prepared in a mixture of 4% dichloromethane and 96% n-hexane, and stock solution of all-trans lutein (0.1 mg/mL) was prepared in n-hexane. Carotenoids stock solutions were stored in 40 mL amber screw vials with PTFE lined polypropylene caps, at temperature of - 23°C. It was achieved a stability study of the individual stock standard solutions of carotenoids and on the basis of its results, these were prepared fortnightly. In order to develop the HPLC method for carotenoids determination of tomato waste powder adequate volumes of these analytical standards were evaporated at 40°C±0.2°C, to dryness under a nitrogen atmosphere, and residue was re-dissolved in methanol: methyl tert-butyl ether = 60:40 with 0.01% BHT. Individual working solution of each standard, of 5 μg/mL concentration was
injected in the HPLC system. Identification of each carotenoid was achieved based on retention time and spectral data (table 1).

### Table 1
**ELEMENTS FOR IDENTIFICATION OF CAROTENOIDs (ALL-TRANS LYCOPENE, β-CAROTENE, ALL-TRANS LUTEIN)**

| No. | Analytical standard | Retention time (min) | Wavelength, absorption maximum (nm) |
|-----|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1.  | All-trans lutein    | 8.06                 | 446                                 |
| 2.  | β-carotene          | 14.47                | 452                                 |
| 3.  | All-trans lycopene  | 22.57                | 472                                 |

In order to achieve the calibration levels was freshly prepared a mix of carotenoids analytical standards (all-trans lutein, all-trans lycopene, β-carotene), using as solvent methanol: MTBE = 60:40 with 0.01% BHT. For this purpose, in a 10 mL volumetric flask, standard solutions of carotenoids are pipetted: 1.8 mL all-trans lutein (0.1 mg/mL), 4 mL all-trans lycopene (0.1 mg/mL) and 2.6 mL β-carotene (0.1 mg/mL). Then, the volumetric flask is brought to the mark with solvent (methanol: MTBE = 60:40 with 0.01% BHT). To achieve the calibration levels (N1-N5), in 2 mL volumetric flasks are pipetted different volumes of mix of carotenoids analytical standards, as follows: 0.05 mL (for N1), 0.25 mL (for N2), 0.50 mL (for N3), 1.00 mL (for N4) and 2.00 mL (for N5). Then, the volumetric flasks containing solutions of mix of carotenoids analytical standards, corresponding to the N1-N4 calibration levels, are brought to the mark with solvent (methanol: MTBE = 60:40 with 0.01% BHT). Solution of mix of carotenoids analytical standards, for each calibration level, was injected in the HPLC system.

### Sample preparation
Extraction of carotenoids (all-trans lycopene, β-carotene, all-trans lutein) from tomato waste powder was performed according to the method described by Strati and Oreopoulou [26], with some modifications. Thus, extraction of carotenoids was achieved in acetone, in a water bath with ice, at maximum 4°C, under nitrogen atmosphere and magnetic stirring, in three steps with 15 mL acetone, for 15 min. Sample weight of tomato waste powder extracted was of 0.35-0.5g. Acetone extracts of tomato waste powder were collected in a 50 mL centrifuge vial, and then there are centrifuged (centrifuge Eppendorf 5408 R) at 9000 rpm, at 4°C, for 20 min. The supernatant was collected in an amber screw vial with PTFE lined polypropylene cap and was evaporated to dryness under nitrogen atmosphere, at 40°C±0.2°C, and then, the residue was re-dissolved in methanol: methyl tert-butyl ether = 60:40 with 0.01% BHT. The final solution was filtered through 0.45 µm PTFE syringe filters (Teknokroma, PTFE Filter, blue, 0.45 µm, 13 mm diameter) and 10 µL were injected for HPLC analysis.

### Chromatographic conditions
A Surveyor Plus (Thermo Finnigan) high performance liquid chromatograph (vacuum degasser, quaternary pump, autosampler with PELTIER sample temperature control, column compartment with PELTIER temperature control, Diode Array Detector, ChromQuest 4.2 software for data acquisition and data processing) was used for analysis of carotenoids. Carotenoids were separated on a reverse-phase C30, 3 µm column (250 × 4.6 mm) coupled to a 20 × 4.6 mm C30 guard column (YMC Co., Kyoto, Japan) using mobile phases consisting of (A) methanol/ water (98:2, v/v), (B) methanol/ water (95:5, v/v) and (C) methyl tert-butyl ether, according to the method described by Gupta et al. [27]. The chromatographic separation was performed using gradient elution (table 2).

The injection volume was 10 µL, the flow rate of the mobile phase was 1.0 mL/min and the column temperature was maintained at 20°C. Auto sampler temperature was 4°C. The eluting peaks were monitored at a range of 250 to 700 nm using PDA. Peak identification was based on retention time, spectral information and spiking technique. Peak quantification was based on the external standard method, using calibration curve and ChromQuest 4.2 software.

### Table 2
**GRADIENT ELUTION FOR THE CHROMATOGRAPHIC SEPARATION OF CAROTENOIDS FROM TOMATO WASTE POWDER**

| Time (min.) | Mobile phases | A | B | C |
|-------------|---------------|---|---|---|
| 0.01        |               | 80 | 0 | 20|
| 2.00        |               | 0 | 80| 20|
| 2.01        |               | 0 | 80| 20|
| 22.00       |               | 0 | 0 | 100|
| 23.00       |               | 80| 0 | 20|
| 30.00       |               | 80| 0 | 20|
Validation study

In the case of method for determination of carotenoids (all-trans lutein, all-trans lycopene, β-carotene) from tomato waste powder it was achieved an "in house" validation study, being evaluated the following performance characteristics: linearity, accuracy, precision (repeatability, reproducibility), selectivity and sensitivity (limit of detection, limit of quantification).

Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed in triplicate and the data are presented as mean±standard deviation. Regarding validation parameters, average for concentration values (expressed as µg/mL and mg/100g), standard deviation in repeatability SD(r) and reproducibility conditions SD(R), as well as relative standard deviation in repeatability RSD(r) and reproducibility conditions RSD(R) in % were calculated using Microsoft Excel.

Results and discussions

Performance of the method

Linearity

Linearity was investigated with the aid of a regression line with 5 calibration levels by the method of least squares. There were achieved the calibration curves of the carotenoids taken into study, in the following concentration ranges: 0.25 - 18 µg/mL in case of all-trans lutein; 0.65 - 26 µg/mL in case of β-carotene; 1.00 - 40 µg/mL in case of all-trans lycopene. Calibration curves of the studied carotenoids are presented in figures 1-3. Table 3 shows the calibration levels (N1-N5, carried out according to the procedure described in Section "Standard preparation"), expressed in µg/mL, corresponding to each carotenoid, linear regression equation for each calibration curve and the regression coefficient ($R^2$), respectively. The linearity was checked by the correlation coefficient (acceptance criteria: >0.990), the relative standard deviation (RSD, %) of peak areas (acceptance criteria: <1.5%) at all the concentration levels, the RSD, % of retention times (acceptance criteria: <0.5%). Good response linearity was obtained for the carotenoids taken into study ($R^2$>0.998) in the ranges of standard concentrations analyzed. The relative standard deviation (RSD, %) of peak areas was 0.64 - 0.88% for all-trans lutein, 0.76 – 0.81% for β-carotene and 0.60 – 0.65% for all-trans lycopene. Also, the relative standard deviation (RSD, %) of retention times was 0.07 - 0.11% for all-trans lutein, 0.06 - 0.09% for β-carotene and 0.07 – 0.08% for all-trans lycopene. The obtained results show a good linearity of the method, being in accordance with those obtained by Strati et al. [24] and Gupta et al. [27].

![Fig. 1. Calibration curve of all-trans lycopene](image1)

![Fig. 2. Calibration curve of all-trans lutein](image2)
Accuracy

In the absence of certified reference materials for carotenoids taken into study, accuracy was investigated by recovery [28]. Samples of tomato waste powder with determined concentration of carotenoids were spiked with two known concentrations of analytes, as following: 2.25 mg/100g and 4.5 mg/100g in case of all-trans lutein, 3 mg/100g and 9 mg/100g in case of β-carotene and 4.5 mg/100g and 18 mg/100g in case of all-trans lycopene. These samples were analyzed by HPLC method and it was determined the concentration of all-trans lutein, β-carotene and all-trans lycopene (there were analyzed 7 parallel samples for each addition level, and each sample was analysed in triplicate). After performing HPLC analysis, the recovery of each carotenoid was calculated by R(%) = [(Cs − Cp)/Ca] × 100, where R(%) is percent recovery, Cs is total carotenoid content in the spiked sample, Cp is endogenous carotenoid content in the sample, and Ca is the amount of carotenoid standard added to the sample.

The average recovery for the three analytes taken into study registered the following values: 99.84% for all-trans lutein, 99.92% for β-carotene and 100.21% for all-trans lycopene. The obtained results for recovery are in accordance with the provisions of the Commission Decision 2002/657/EC [29] (Recovery = 80 – 120%) and are lower in comparison to those reported by Rubashvili et al. [22]: 106.8% for β-carotene and 101.4% for all-trans lycopene.

Precision

Precision was determined by repeatability (injection standards of carotenoids and analysis repeatability), intra-laboratory reproducibility and intermediate precision. Injection repeatability was achieved by carrying out 7 consecutive injections in a short period of time (the same day). Analysis repeatability (intra-day) was determined by 7 repeated analyses of the same sample, in the same day by the same analyst and under the same experimental conditions. Intermediate precision (inter-day) was carried out by repeated analyses of the same sample in 3 different days by the same analyst and under the same experimental conditions. For evaluating the intra-laboratory reproducibility, 6 samples have been analyzed by two analysts (analyst A—3 samples, analyst B—3 samples) of tomato waste powder, performed in the same laboratory and using the same instrument (table 4). Repeatability and intermediate precision were expressed as relative standard deviation in repeatability conditions RSD (r). Intra-laboratory reproducibility was expressed as relative standard deviation in reproducibility conditions RSD(R).

Performance parameters of the method are presented in table 4. Acceptance criteria for precision of the method for determination of carotenoids in tomato waste powder are the following: relative standard deviation in repeatability RSD (r) < 2.00%, relative standard deviation in repeatability analysis (intra-day) RSD (r) < 3.00%, relative standard deviation in intermediate precision (inter-day) RSD (R) < 3.50% and relative standard deviation in intra-laboratory reproducibility RSD (R) < 5.50%. Acceptance imposed criteria for precision of the method are in accordance with the provisions of Commission Decision 2002/657/EC [29]. The obtained results for precision of the method are in accordance with acceptance criteria and are comparable with those obtained by Rubashvili et al. [22] for concentration of β-carotene from tomato waste powder. The values obtained for injection and analysis repeatability, intermediate precision and intra-laboratory reproducibility showed a good precision (RSD < 5.5%) of the method for determination of carotenoids (all-trans lutein, β-carotene and all-trans lycopene) in tomato waste powder by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC-DAD).
Table 4
PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS OF THE METHOD

| Performance parameter                  | Analyte          | All-trans lutein | β-carotene | All-trans lycopene |
|----------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------|-------------------|
| Injection repeatability (mix of carotenoids analytical standards) | C (µg/mL)        | 4.49±0.04        | 6.47±0.05  | 10.18±0.07        |
| RDS (r) (%)                            |                  | 0.89             | 0.83       | 0.67              |
| Injection repeatability (tomato waste powder) | C (mg/100g)      | 2.10±0.02        | 10.45±0.11 | 60.73±0.50        |
| RDS (r) (%)                            |                  | 1.15             | 1.09       | 0.82              |
| Analysis repeatability (intra-day)     | C (mg/100g)      | 2.03±0.04        | 11.02±0.21 | 64.01±0.65        |
| RDS (r) (%)                            |                  | 2.14             | 1.90       | 1.02              |
| Intermediate precision (inter-day)     | C (mg/100g)      | 2.05±0.05        | 11.15±0.25 | 64.72±0.79        |
| RDS (R) (%)                            |                  | 2.43             | 2.21       | 1.23              |
| Intra-laboratory reproducibility       | C (mg/100g)      | 2.12±0.07        | 10.79±0.29 | 64.29±1.01        |
| RDS (R) (%)                            |                  | 3.07             | 2.68       | 1.57              |

Selectivity

Selectivity was demonstrated by chromatographic separation of carotenoids (all-trans lutein, β-carotene and all-trans lycopene) in the presence of other components from the tomato waste powder (fig. 4).

Sensitivity

Sensitivity was characterized by limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ). Limit of detection (LOD) represents concentration at which signal/noise ratio is higher than 3 (S/N >3). Limit of quantification (LOQ) is defined as being the lowest concentration of analyte which can be determined with an acceptable precision, in the conditions of a method of analysis, at a signal/noise ratio higher than 10 (S/N > 10). The S/N ratio was calculated by the Chrom Quest 4.2 software. Limit of detection and limit of quantification for those three carotenoids (all-trans lutein, β-carotene and all-trans lycopene) from tomato waste powder are presented in table 5.

Table 5
SENSITIVITY OF THE METHOD

| No. | Analyte            | LOD (µg/mL) | LOD (mg/100g) | LOQ (µg/mL) | LOQ (mg/100g) |
|-----|--------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|
| 1.  | All-trans lutein   | 0.161       | 0.483         | 0.484       | 1.452         |
| 2.  | β-carotene         | 0.216       | 0.648         | 0.650       | 1.950         |
| 3.  | All-trans lycopene | 0.333       | 0.999         | 1.000       | 3.000         |

Fig. 4. Chromatogram of tomato waste powder extract (all-trans lutein: retention time = 8.058 min; β-carotene: retention time = 14.468 min; all-trans lycopene: retention time = 22.573 min)
Limit of detection and limit of quantification obtained for β-carotene and all-trans lycopene were higher than those reported by Rubashvili et al. [22] (β-carotene: LOD = 0.00405 µg/mL and LOQ = 0.0081 µg/mL; all-trans lycopene: LOD = 0.0085 µg/mL and LOQ = 0.034 µg/mL).

Method application

Method developed and validated was applied for determination of carotenoids content of tomato waste powder, obtained through tomatoes processing as juice, according to the procedure described in Section "Samples". For this aim were used conventional and organic tomatoes. Table 6 shows the carotenoids content of tomato waste powders (Samples 1…5), achieved from the processing of five conventional tomato samples, as juice and, respectively, of the other three samples of tomato waste powders (Samples 1…3), achieved from the processing of organic tomatoes. Carotenoids content (all-trans lutein, β-carotene and all-trans lycopene) of tomato waste powder obtained from organic tomatoes was higher than carotenoids content of that obtained from conventional ones (table 6). Also, β-carotene and all-trans lycopene content of tomato waste powders, within this study was higher than carotenoids content reported by Nour et al. [23] (9.56 mg/100g β-carotene and 51.06 mg/100g lycopene).

Table 6

| Sample of tomato waste powder | Analyte               | All-trans lutein (mg/100g) | β-carotene (mg/100g) | All-trans lycopene (mg/100g) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
|                               | Conventional tomatoes | Sample 1                    | 1.715±0.019          | 9.952±0.108                 | 60.150±0.493               |
|                               |                       | Sample 2                    | 1.474±0.017          | 9.645±0.105                 | 61.126±0.501               |
|                               |                       | Sample 3                    | 1.631±0.018          | 9.853±0.107                 | 61.845±0.507               |
|                               |                       | Sample 4                    | 1.508±0.017          | 9.727±0.106                 | 61.353±0.503               |
|                               |                       | Sample 5                    | 1.800±0.021          | 10.026±0.109                | 61.725±0.506               |
|                               | Organic tomatoes      | Sample 1                    | 2.120±0.024          | 11.108±0.121                | 63.817±0.523               |
|                               |                       | Sample 2                    | 2.452±0.028          | 11.587±0.126                | 64.258±0.527               |
|                               |                       | Sample 3                    | 1.964±0.022          | 11.255±0.123                | 64.855±0.532               |

Conclusions

An analytical method was developed and validated for separation, detection and quantification of carotenoids (all-trans lutein, β-carotene and all-trans lycopene) in tomato waste powder by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC-DAD). The proposed method has a good sensitivity (LOD = 0.161 – 0.333 µg/mL and LOQ = 0.484 – 1.000 µg/mL) and allows determination with a good precision of carotenoids in tomato waste powders, obtained through conventional and organic tomatoes processing as juice.

Carotenoids content of tomato waste powders obtained from conventional tomatoes varied in the following ranges: 1.474 – 1800 mg/100g for all-trans lutein; 9.645 – 10.026 mg/100g for β-carotene; 60.150 - 61.845 mg/100g for all-trans lycopene. The tomato waste powders obtained from organic tomatoes had the carotenoids content higher in comparison to those obtained through organic tomatoes processing, as following: 1.964 - 2.452 mg/100g for all-trans lutein; 11.108 - 11.587 mg/100g for β-carotene; 63.817 - 64.855 for all-trans lycopene.
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