Intermediate magnetic phase of the magnetoelectric compound (Ca,Sr)BaCo$_4$O$_7$ described with the superspace formalism
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In this work we report the temperature and doping-level evolution of the crystallographic and magnetic properties of the Sr-doped cobaltates Ca$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$BaCo$_4$O$_7$. The noncollinear ferrimagnetic phase known for the magnetoelectric parent compound is found to persist only for a small amount of Sr doping ($x = 0.02$) and is accompanied by a strong uniaxial distortion of the lattice parameters and favors other magnetic arrangements. In particular, this work focuses on an intermediate temperature region $T < 82$ K that shows a plateau in the magnetization. Using neutron powder diffraction at selected temperatures and compositions, we have solved the magnetic structure of this intermediate phase. By means of the superspace group theory and its implementation in the Rietveld refinement, we found that the phase belongs to the superspace group Pna$_2$1(1/2, 1/2, g)qqf$s$ with a basic orthorhombic structure and a modulation of the magnetic moments along the $c$ axis. The propagation vector is $k = (1/2, 1/2, g)$ with $g = 0.02$ and possibly dependent on temperature. A modulated spin structure with distinct behaviors of the triangular and kagome cobalt sites is reported in “114” cobaltates.

I. INTRODUCTION

The so-called “114” cobaltates $RBaCo_4O_7$ were first reported in 2002 for $R=Y$ (Ref. [1]) and, since then, synthesized with other lanthanides. This compound gained attention immediately because, among other properties related to oxygen absorption, it offers the possibility to study new magnetoelectric and multiferroic materials. Contrary to its Ln$^{3+}$ relatives, CaBaCo$_4$O$_7$ develops a ferrimagnetic order below 60 K with a complex noncollinear arrangement of spins [5,6], and it concomitantly displays a dramatic increase of electric polarization of 17 000 μC/cm$^2$, driven by exchange striction [7]. Whereas it was initially thought that the compound was ferroelectric [8], it is rather defined as a ferrimagnetic pyroelectric, as the polarization cannot be switched by an external electric field [7,9]. With these findings, the “Ca-114” cobaltate turned a good candidate to study new magnetoelectric and multiferroic materials of spin origin. Some studies have been published since then on the effect of doping the “Ca-114” cobaltate at different sites, and it was proposed that doped systems exhibit complex phase diagrams involving strong competition between ferrimagnetic (FiM) and antiferromagnetic (AFM) order [10,11]. However, the only compounds studied to date with neutron diffraction are the parent compound CaBaCo$_4$O$_7$, a Zn-for-Co substituted
sample CaBaCo$_{3.97}$Zn$_{0.03}$O$_7$ (Ref. [12]), and the Ni-for-Co CaBaCo$_{3.9}$Ni$_{0.1}$O$_7$ [13]. The closely-related “114” ferrite has also been reported to show quite interesting features, as well as the Fe-doped CaBaCo$_{4-x}$Fe$_x$O$_7$ [14,15].

The substitution of Ca with Sr, which in oxides such as manganites and cobaltates usually gives rise to novel properties enhanced by disorder and size effects [16,17], has not been explored so far using neutron techniques. Recently, we reported on the synthesis and room temperature structure of Sr-doped CaBaCo$_4$O$_7$ and showed that small amounts of Sr at the Ca site can induce changes in the macroscopic magnetization [18]. We also reported that the solubility limit is below 10% Sr. In this work, we focus on the crystallographic and magnetic order at selected temperatures for a series of samples with varying Sr doping below the solubility limit. To this end, we have combined neutron powder diffraction (NPD) data collected at different sources and instruments, together with macroscopic properties measurements. The superspace group formalism [19–21] is used in this work to analyze the NPD, which allows for the complete determination of the global symmetry of the system (both of the magnetic and nuclear contributions) expressed with crystallographic rules. We will discuss the phases that form in the temperature range where the magnetization presents a plateau which in many aspects connects with all other members of the $R$BaCo$_{4}$O$_7$ family and the different substitutions previously proposed in the literature, pointing to the central role of distortions and symmetry.

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

A. Synthesis

Polycrystalline samples of nominal CaBaCo$_4$O$_7$ (hereafter called Sr0) and Ca$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$BaCo$_4$O$_7$ with $x = 0.02$ (Sr2), $x = 0.05$ (Sr5), and $x = 0.07$ (Sr7) were prepared by conventional solid-state reaction. High-purity powders of previously dried CaCO$_3$, BaCO$_3$, SrCO$_3$, and Co$_3$O$_4$ were thoroughly mixed in an agate mortar at stoichiometric weights. After a de-carbonation process at 1173 K for 12 h, the mixtures were pressed into pellets. Pellets were annealed during 12 h to 15 h at 1373 K and cooled at 3 K/min in the furnace. After a regrinding the compression and annealing at 1373 K in air were repeated, and as a final step samples were quenched from 1373 K to liquid nitrogen to obtain the stoichiometric compound.

B. Neutron powder diffraction

High-resolution NPD experiments were performed at the Institut Laue-Langevin (ILL) in France and at the Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI) in Switzerland. NPD data from ILL were collected using the D2B high-resolution diffractometer for samples Sr2 and Sr5 [22], covering an angular detector span of 150° with a step of 0.05°. The collimated beam on the sample was of 32 mm × 12 mm using 250 nm horizontal slits. A NaCaAlF standard was used to calibrate the neutron’s wavelength, yielding $\lambda = (1.5943 \pm 0.0001) \, \text{Å}$. Measurements were performed in vanadium cylinders of 6 mm diameter and 8 cm height filled with sample, at room temperature and selected temperatures between 10 K and 120 K, using a dispex device with an average collection time of 4 h.

A thermodiﬀraction experiment was performed in the high-intensity two-axes diﬀractometer D20 also at ILL for the Sr2 sample [22] in the range 20 K $\leq T \leq$ 200 K. Data were collected on warming with programmed ramps of 1 K/min and diﬀractograms saved every 2 min. Sample holders were identical to those used in the D2B experiment. Silicium and NaCaAlF standards were used to calibrate the wavelength, yielding $\lambda = (1.3582 \pm 0.0002) \, \text{Å}$.

NPD data with a longer wavelength and lower background were collected at the DMC cold neutron diﬀractometer [23] of PSI for a second batch of the Sr5 sample, with $\lambda = 2.4584 \, \text{Å}$. Finally, for the Sr7 sample the HRPT high-resolution diﬀractometer [24] at PSI was used to study selected temperatures ranging from 1.5 K to 350 K using various wavelengths, namely, $\lambda = 1.4940 \, \text{Å}$, $\lambda = 1.8858 \, \text{Å}$, and $\lambda = 2.4480 \, \text{Å}$.

All data were analyzed with the FULLPROF suite [25]. Thermodiﬀraction data were plotted using the LAMP software [26]. For the Rietveld reﬁnement of the crystal structure and the magnetic order, diﬀerent approaches were used that are detailed in the Results. The tools we used include FULLPROF [25], ISODISTORT [27,28], and several utilities within the Bilbao Crystallographic Server [29–31].

C. Magnetic properties

DC magnetization measurements were performed using two SQUID magnetometers and a PPMS in VSM mode at low temperature ($5 \, K < T < 350 \, K$) under various applied magnetic ﬁelds between 1 kOe and 140 kOe, at warming/cooling rates of 2 K/min. Magnetization vs $T$ data were collected on cooling and warming under an applied magnetic ﬁeld of 5 kOe (labeled FCC and FCW, respectively) or cooled in zero-ﬁeld conditions and measured on subsequent warming (labeled ZFC). Magnetization was also measured at constant temperatures as a function of applied ﬁeld between −140 kOe and 140 kOe in a PPMS. The ac susceptibility as well as the speciﬁc heat were measured using two PPMS, at ICMA and PSI. The ac-susceptibility was measured with frequencies ranging from 1 Hz to 1 kHz under $H_{ac} = 10 \, \text{Oe}$ and $H_{ac} = 0 \, \text{Oe}$. For all these experiments, small fragments of the sintered ceramic pellets were used.

III. RESULTS

A. Temperature evolution of macroscopic magnetization

As mentioned above, we showed in Ref. [18] that low levels of Sr-for-Ca substitution in CaBaCo$_4$O$_7$ affect the magnetic properties quite dramatically, as evidenced in dc- and ac-susceptibility measurements. By increasing the fraction of Sr at the Ca site from 0 to 10%, the net magnetization at magnetic fields between 1 kOe and 140 kOe, at warming and cooling rates of 2 K/min. Magnetization vs $T$ data were collected on cooling and warming under an applied magnetic field of 5 kOe (labeled FCC and FCW, respectively) or cooled in zero-field conditions and measured on subsequent warming (labeled ZFC). Magnetization was also measured at constant temperatures as a function of applied field between −140 kOe and 140 kOe in a PPMS. The ac susceptibility as well as the specific heat were measured using two PPMS, at ICMA and PSI. The ac-susceptibility was measured with frequencies ranging from 1 Hz to 1 kHz under $H_{ac} = 10 \, \text{Oe}$ and $H_{ac} = 0 \, \text{Oe}$. For all these experiments, small fragments of the sintered ceramic pellets were used.
FIG. 1. Magnetization as a function of temperature under an applied field of 5 kOe for Ca$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$BaCo$_4$O$_7$ with $x = 0, 0.02, 0.05$, and $0.07$. Solid lines represent data collected on cooling, whereas dashed lines correspond to data collected on warming. The numbered circles indicate selected temperatures that are explored using NPD in the present work.

In all cases there is an increase in the net magnetization with decreasing temperature, but only Sr2 is most similar to the parent compound. For the Sr2 sample, the $T_C$ looks shifted a few degrees—to 53 K—similar to what has been observed in the Zn-substituted compound [12]. For higher doping, the net increase of the magnetization is much lower (note the logarithmic scale in Fig. 1). We have indicated within numbered circles certain selected temperatures, which will be explored using NPD in the present work.

In these compounds the ac susceptibility is highly sensitive to the presence of new magnetic interactions introduced by doping and/or nonstoichiometry oxygen [12,32]. In Fig. 2(a) we show the $\chi'(T)$ curves collected at different frequencies for the Sr5 sample. Similar measurements for Sr0 and Sr2 were reported in Ref. [18]. We observe that the addition of more Sr produces at least three evident effects: (i) It reduces dramatically the net magnetization that the samples reach at low temperature, (ii) it enhances a transition located around 80 K, which relates to the magnetization plateau [shown in Fig. 2(b) for reference], and (iii) it reveals another transition at $\approx$ 35 K. We do not detect a frequency dependence of the peaks positions in the ac susceptibility characteristic of spin-glass or cluster-glass phases [33]. Finally, panels (c) and (d) in Fig. 2 show the specific heat curve and its derivative with respect to temperature, respectively, for sample Sr7. The two dips in the derivative match perfectly with the temperature limits of the magnetization plateau (colored area) and suggest a sequence of magnetic phase transitions. To explore this plateau, we measured the magnetization curves as a function of the applied magnetic field close to 70 K, for all our samples, which are presented in Fig. 3. All of them, still far from the theoretical saturation value, behave in a similar manner showing a slight decrease of the magnetization as the Sr concentration increases. A linear regime is observed below magnetic fields of $\sim$5 T and $\sim$9 T for Sr0 and Sr7, respectively. In this regime, it is expected that the multidomain magnetic structure in the powder microcrystals evolves to a single domain for each microcrystal. The deviation from a linear regime at high fields suggests that the magnetic order may be quite complex, as we will show in the following sections.

B. Temperature evolution of crystal structure

The effect of the Sr-for-Ca substitution on the crystal structure is very small at room temperature [18]. On the contrary, the magnetic properties are strongly affected by substitution, so it is interesting to analyze the structure as a function of Sr substitution at lower temperatures, where magnetic interactions become stronger. The room-temperature space group of CaBaCo$_4$O$_7$ is #33 as labeled in the International Tables of Crystallography [34]. In this work we have chosen to describe it using the standard setting $Pna_{2_1}$ [35].

Room temperature refinements for samples Sr2 and Sr5 were reported by us in Ref. [18]. Sample Sr7 was measured at a later stage at the HRPT diffractometer in PSI, using two wavelengths. The room temperature structure obtained from the joint Rietveld refinement of both datasets is summarized in Table I and the refinement is shown in Fig. 4. Except for weak reflections originating from the steel body of a thermocouple (second row of ticks), no additional reflections are observed,
which agrees with our XRD measurements done to carefully check for Sr segregation (not shown). The structural model obtained in the refinement is in perfect agreement with that reported for the parent compound [4] in the $Pbnm$ setting. The equivalence between both models was checked using the utility for comparing structures in the Bilbao Crystallographic Server [29].

It is interesting to note that, in the most general case, the “114”-cobaltate crystal structure derives from a high-symmetry hexagonal phase belonging to space group $P6_3mc$ (# 186) as observed in the original Swedenborgite [36] that gives its name to this structural family. Different distortions give rise to a number of lower-symmetry structures. These can be caused by doping with other elements, by applying pressure, or by a change in temperature. Distortions help lift the geometric frustration of the kagome and triangular layers, and in some cases even allow for a net magnetic moment, as in $\text{CaBaCo}_4\text{O}_7$. For instance, the closely-related YBaCo$_4$O$_7$ cobaltate nicely illustrates this [37–39]. It undergoes a sequence of transitions when temperature is decreased from room temperature: $P31c \rightarrow Pna2_1 \rightarrow P2_1$, which are all isotropy subgroups of the higher-symmetry $P6_3mc$, although the latter has not been observed experimentally at least in oxygen-stoichiometric samples. The $P31c \rightarrow Pna2_1$ is a first-order transition induced by temperature, and it involves complex displacements of the oxygen atoms that can be pictured as rotations of the CoO$_4$ tetrahedra around the $c$ axis in both the kagome and triangular sublattices [40]. It has been shown that this is an efficient way to adjust the bond valence requirements of Ba$^{2+}$ which is strongly underbonded in this family of compounds [4,38,41] and is the driving force for the successive phase transitions. In the case of the “Ca-114” compound discussed in this work, the highest-symmetry hexagonal $P6_3mc$ phase is not realized, however the trigonal subgroup $P31c$ has been only observed above 1073 K [42]. By replacing the Ln$^{3+}$ by Ca$^{2+}$ the orthorhombic $Pna2_1$ symmetry is favored. The buckling of the CoO$_4$ tetrahedra is much stronger compared with its Ln$^{3+}$ relatives and the structure displays the largest orthorhombic distortion with respect to the parent hexagonal phase, so the “Ca-114” member effectively removes frustration.
FIG. 5. Thermal evolution of the lattice parameters with respect to an orthorhombic cell, between 1.5 K and 350 K (a)–(c), for samples with increasing Sr substitution (0 ≤ x ≤ 0.07) collected at different NPD instruments. Data from Ref. [7] are included as a reference for the parent compound. The panel in the right (d)–(f) shows a zoomed region at low temperature. The dashed lines are only guides to the eye.

In Fig. 5 we show the evolution of lattice parameters with temperature for all the studied samples. The space group and crystallographic structure have shown to remain the same in the paramagnetic range from 120 K up to 350 K (left panel). In the figure we have also included the data reported by Caignaert et al. [7] from NPD, as a reference for the parent compound. Our own Sr0 reference sample was measured at 15 K for comparison, being in excellent agreement with Ref. [7]. Moving to Sr substitution, we observe that the Sr2 sample shows the same temperature dependence of lattice constants as the parent compound, with a strong lattice distortion around $T_C$. Let us recall that lattice axes are related to meaningful properties of the crystal: The $a$ direction corresponds to the net magnetic moment (easy axis) in the parent compound, and the $c$ direction is the normal to the cobalt kagome and triangular layers and corresponds to the polar axis, i.e., the electric polarization direction. Abrupt variations in each of these cell parameters are directly related to these properties.

Further Sr substitution reveals that the low-temperature behavior of lattice parameters, enlarged in the right panel of Fig. 5, changes substantially with respect to the low-Sr compositions, which is consistent with the changes in the magnetism of the system. The abrupt distortion around $T_C$ vanishes, the $a$ parameter is monotonically decreasing with increasing temperature, as the parent compound at higher temperatures, while the $b$ parameter shows a smooth positive expansion with increasing temperature. The $c$ parameter is slightly decreasing with $T$ below 80 K and for higher temperatures it increases as in the parent compound. These results highlight the strong magnetoelastic coupling of the FiM phase reported in Ref. [7] and turn the lattice parameters behavior into an additional fingerprint for this particular magnetic arrangement. It should be remarked that the accurate determination of the lattice parameters at low temperature is of fundamental importance for the analysis of the magnetic propagation vectors that will be discussed in the following sections. The overall behavior summarized in Fig. 5 will be used as a reference for such analyses.

C. Magnetic diffraction

One of our main motivations to perform neutron diffraction at different temperatures was to correlate the various features observed in the magnetization curves with the magnetic phases of the samples, focusing on the spots indicated with numbers in Fig. 1. To this end, we first measured our reference sample, Sr0, at 15 K to check the magnetic model for the FiM phase and start from a solid basis. The refinement is shown in Fig. 6(a). Next we explored samples with increasing Sr content: Sr2, Sr5, and Sr7. The diffractogram at 15 K for sample Sr2 collected at D2B is presented in Fig. 6(b). The magnetic contribution in both cases was successfully refined using the same FiM model proposed by Caignaert et al., [5] corresponding to a propagation vector $k = (0, 0, 0)$ with respect to the orthorhombic cell and represented by the magnetic space group $Pna2_1$ (#33.147). It corresponds to the 1D-irreducible representation (IR) $mGM3$—following the notation of the Bilbao Crystallographic Server—which allows for a ferromagnetic component along the $a$ axis. This is consistent with the macroscopic magnetization of samples Sr2 and Sr0 showing a similar trend with only a slight decrease in the net magnetization at low temperature (1 and 2 in Fig. 1). All magnetic reflections have a resolution-limited
width, indicating that a full 3D, long-range order is achieved. No additional features are observed in the D2B diffractogram of the Sr2 sample, although very low intensity peaks are present in measurements performed at DMC (PSI), that will be discussed in a separate work.

When temperature is increased, however, the diffractogram of the Sr2 sample departs from that of the parent compound. According to the latter, the FiM phase should disappear at 60 K and the sample should become paramagnetic, showing only nuclear diffraction. We followed the evolution of the magnetic reflections with increasing temperature for Sr2, between 20 K and 200 K at the high-flux D20 diffractometer (ILL) using a wavelength $\lambda = 1.3582$ Å. Results are shown in Fig. 7. It is important to remark that the quality of these data only allow for a qualitative description, as the counting time is short (2 min) and the background is quite high, so only the most intense magnetic peaks are well resolved. Nonetheless, we do observe that the magnetic reflections corresponding to the FiM model discussed above (marked with arrows in Fig. 7) indeed vanish close to 60 K, in particular the intense magnetic reflection at $Q = 1.18$ Å$^{-1}$ but in the approximate interval 50 K < $T$ < 80 K the magnetic reflection at $Q = 1.18$ Å$^{-1}$ corresponds to a (200) reflection for the a value of $\sim 6.3$ Å in the hexagonal setting. An interesting discussion on this topic can be found in Ref. [43].

In contrast with the FiM phase, the observed intermediate magnetic phase between 50 K < $T$ < 80 K is not accounted for by a propagation vector $k = (0, 0, 0)$ and is more likely to come from an AFM arrangement, that makes sense with the plateau observed in the magnetization curves which—let us recall—is only slightly evident for the Sr2 sample and much more pronounced for Sr7. To analyze this intermediate magnetic phase between 60 and 80 K, we measured sample Sr2 at 70 K at D2B. We also measured sample Sr5 at 75 K at the DMC cold-neutron diffractometer of PSI and sample Sr7 at 70 K at HRPT using two different wavelengths. The diffractograms obtained are plotted in Fig. 8 and correlate to points (3), (4), and (5) in Fig. 1. For the three datasets, the analysis of the magnetic order started by searching for the magnetic propagation vector $k$. The magnetic contribution in the 70–80 K range shows only a few peaks above the background in sample Sr2 from data collected at D2B, but is better resolved in data from PSI for Sr5 and Sr7. The commensurate propagation vector that comes closest to account for the magnetic peaks is $k = (1/2, 1/2, 0)$. However, the refinements carried out using models derived from this hypothesis were not completely satisfactory for Sr2 and Sr7, and definitely incorrect for Sr5, which was measured at a slightly higher temperature. Such approximate model is nonetheless a possible phase for this compound, and we will recall it for the analysis of the lower temperature region in a separate work. For the Sr5 sample at 75 K the propagation vector $k = (1/2, 1/2, 0)$ does not account for the magnetic reflections properly; in particular for the double-peak around $Q = 1.35$ Å$^{-1}$. The propagation vector for Sr5 turned out to be incommensurate with the crystal lattice, with a value $k = (1/2, 1/2, 0.024)$. The possibility of an
intermediate magnetic phase had been mentioned in Ref. [12] when discussing the Zn-for-Co substitution but not explored in depth.

In order to refine our data with an incommensurate magnetic model, we use the superspace groups approach [19], a comprehensive and robust method to study incommensurate modulated structures both for aperiodic crystals and magnetic ordering [20]. In the superspace formalism a magnetic structure is described by a basic structure, related to the nuclear paramagnetic cell, in addition to a series of magnetic modulation functions with propagation vector \( \mathbf{k} \) that describe the variation from the basic structure of the magnetic moments [20]. The basic structure is described by a conventional magnetic space group and is at the same time related to the paramagnetic nuclear space group. An additional internal coordinate \( x_4 \) is defined such that the modulated magnetization, in the presence of a single propagation vector, can be expressed in a Fourier series as:

\[
M_j(x_4) = M_{j,0} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ M_{j,n} \sin(2\pi nx_4) + M_{j,n} \cos(2\pi nx_4) \right],
\]

where \( j \) runs along the magnetic sites of the asymmetric unit in the basic structure and \( n \) corresponds to the harmonics of \( k \). We truncate the sum at \( n = 1 \) because we do not observe higher order satellites in the NPD experiment. Then Eq. (1) reads \( M_j(x_4) = M_{j,0} + M_{j,s} \sin(2\pi x_4) + M_{j,c} \cos(2\pi x_4) \). The superspace is \((3 + 1)\)-dimensional and the fourth dimension refers to the internal coordinate \( x_4 = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{lj} \) where \( \mathbf{r}_{lj} \) represents the position of atom \( j \) in the \( l \)th unit cell (with \( l \) a lattice translation of the basic structure). The modulation waves \( M_{j,s} \sin(2\pi x_4) \) and \( M_{j,c} \cos(2\pi x_4) \) must comply with the symmetry constraints imposed by the magnetic superspace group (MSSG). This formalism has been very recently implemented in FULLPROF [21] in combination with the ISOTROPY suite [27] to allow for the refinement of neutron diffraction data.

The combination between the paramagnetic \( Pna_21' \) and the propagation vector \( \mathbf{k} = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, g) \) with \( g \sim 0.02 \) leads to four possible MSSG that are described by the IRs labeled \( mQ_{1}Q_{A1} \), \( mQ_{2}Q_{A2} \), \( mQ_{3}Q_{A3} \), and \( mQ_{4}Q_{A4} \) [27]. The refinement was attempted in all possible MSSG but a good solution was found only in the case of \( mQ_{3}Q_{A3} \) which corresponds to the MSSG \( Pna_21'(1/2, 1/2, g)qq0q0 \) with its origin at \((0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0) \) with respect to the paramagnetic structure. The term \( M_{j,0} \) is null because of symmetry, so that this magnetic structure is not compatible with a net magnetic moment. There are in this example no relations imposed by symmetry on the modulated waves for Co atoms sitting at 4\( a \) sites (\( M_{j,s} \) and \( M_{j,c} \)). However, for the final refinements, the magnetic mo-

![Diffactograms collected for samples (a) Sr2 at 70 K, data from D2B (ILL), (b) Sr5 at 75 K, data from DMC (PSI), and (c) Sr7 at 70 K from HRPT (PSI). A low-\( Q \) region showing the most intense magnetic peaks [marked with arrows in panel (e)] is presented in the right panel for each sample (d)–(f).](image-url)
TABLE II. Structural and magnetic parameters for Ca$_{0.93}$Sr$_{0.07}$BaCo$_4$O$_7$ at 70 K. Data collected in the HRPT diffractometer at PSI using $\lambda_1 = 2.4480$ Å and $\lambda_2 = 1.8858$ Å. Fractional coordinates correspond to the superspace group Pna$_2$1(1/2, 1/2, $g$)$q$q$q$0s with its origin at (0, 1/2, 0, 0).

| Atom | $x$     | $y$     | $z$     | $B_{iso}$ [Å$^2$] |
|------|---------|---------|---------|------------------|
| Ca1  | 0.67175(43) | 0.00531(79) | 0.12788(49) | 0.49(6)          |
| Sr1  | 0.67175(43) | 0.00531(79) | 0.12788(49) | 0.49(6)          |
| Ba1  | 0.66545(33) | 0.00389(51) | 0.50000     | 0.37(6)          |
| Co1  | −0.0013(11) | 0.0081(16)  | 0.05754(88) | 0.9(1)           |
| Co2  | 0.17166(80) | −0.0021(16) | 0.31229(81) | 0.4(1)           |
| Co3  | 0.08655(63) | 0.2448(16)  | 0.81012(81) | 0.7(1)           |
| Co4  | 0.91762(65) | 0.2694(14)  | 0.31946(86) | 0.67(6)          |
| O1   | 0.00023(53) | −0.0108(13) | 0.74766(56) | 0.67(6)          |
| O2   | 0.49251(37) | −0.00110(90)| 0.77010(51) | 0.47(6)          |
| O3   | 0.26092(44) | 0.78721(96) | 0.21846(72) | 0.88(9)          |
| O4   | 0.74336(42) | −0.00110(90)| 0.77010(51) | 0.47(6)          |
| O5   | 0.15218(29) | −0.05736(47)| 0.49912(55) | 0.69(6)          |
| O6   | 0.10899(25) | 0.20580(48) | 0.98284(74) | 0.66(6)          |
| O7   | 0.94791(25) | 0.26358(53) | 0.50407(84) | 0.70(5)          |

Modulated magnetic moment parameters and standard deviations

| Atom | $|M_c|$ | $\phi_c$ | $\theta_c$ | $|M_s|$ | $\phi_s$ | $\theta_s$ |
|------|--------|----------|------------|--------|----------|------------|
| Co1  | 2.4(1) | 174(3)   | 90         | 1.3(2) | −90      | 90         |
| Co2  | 1.91(3)| −37(2)   | 90         |        |          |            |
| a [Å] | 11.03519(3) |
| b [Å] | 6.26586(4) |
| c [Å] | 10.17849(3) |
| $k$ | $1/2$, $1/2$, 0.0197(2) |
| $\chi^2_k$ | 1.48 |
| $R_{B_{a_{1}}}$ | 3.38 |
| $R_{B_{a_{2}}}$ | 3.43 |
| $R_{mag_{a_{1}}}$ | 14.6 |
| $R_{mag_{a_{2}}}$ | 16 |

Note, however, that they are not constrained by symmetry to be identical. To sum up, the complete magnetic model was refined using five parameters, which are listed in Table II. In Fig. 9 we present the results for the joint refinement of data collected at HRPT in PSI for $\lambda_1 = 2.4480$ Å and $\lambda_2 = 1.8858$ Å for sample Sr7. The structural and magnetic parameters for the obtained model are listed in Table II together with the factors for goodness of fits.
FIG. 10. Low-$Q$ region of the Rietveld refinements for samples Sr2 (a), Sr5 (b), and Sr7 (c) at 70 K, 75 K, and 70 K, respectively. Data were collected at D2B (ILL), DMC (PSI), and HRPT (PSI) for each sample. The first row of vertical bars at the bottom indicates Bragg reflections from the nuclear phase and the second corresponds to magnetic reflections, both accounted for by the superspace group $Pna\overline{2}1'$ $(1/2, 1/2, g)$ as explained in the text. The line at the bottom represents the difference between the experimental and calculated patterns.

Next we refined the data for sample Sr5 collected at DMC with cold neutrons of $\lambda = 2.46$ Å. In this case, the shorter $Q$ range in the diffractogram does not allow for a refinement of all the nuclear structural parameters as well as the magnetic, so we kept the former fixed using the atomic positions of the Sr7 sample. The magnetic model obtained was practically the same as for Sr7. For Sr2, measured at D2B (ILL) with $\lambda = 1.59$ Å, we again refined both the nuclear and magnetic parameters. For samples Sr7 and Sr5 the background was modeled with Chebyshev polynomials of degree 15 to 18. For the Sr2 sample this method did not account satisfactorily for the region of diffuse scattering, so the background was refined by interpolation of selected points. The resulting magnetic model for all the refinements is the same, so considering that all datasets were from different samples at different instruments, the model seems quite robust. A magnified low-$Q$ region of the three refinements is shown in Fig. 10. The higher temperature at which data were collected for Sr5 suggests there may develop a gradual evolution of the modulation vector with temperature, i.e., $g = g(T)$. For Sr2 and Sr7, the refined values of $g$ are slightly smaller, so the peaks that are split around $Q \sim 1.35 - 1.4$ Å$^{-1}$ in the Sr5 sample partially overlap in the case of Sr2 and Sr7. At 70 K we observe still two distinct reflections, though quite overlapped, whose width is well accounted for by the instrumental resolution without any additional contribution.

Eventually, at lower temperatures the propagation vector locks in to a commensurate periodicity with $k = (1/2, 1/2, 0)$. But also with cooling, new things start to happen in the diffractograms—as anticipated by the magnetization curves—falling already outside the region we defined as the plateau. It seems natural that the magnetic model must then change to allow for a weak FM component. However, this analysis is beyond the scope of the present paper and will be presented separately.

The magnetic model proposed in this work for the intermediate phase in the 62 K $< T <$ 82 K temperature region is sketched in Fig. 11. Panels (a) and (b) show the cobalt network in the unit cell, highlighting with different colors the triangular and kagome layers in perspective (a) and projected along the c axis (b). Panel (c) shows a supercell of size $a \times 2b \times 14c$ that corresponds approximately to 1/4 of the

---

FIG. 11. (a),(b) Views along the $a$ and $c$ axes of the cobalt network in the structure of Ca$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$BaCo$_4$O$_7$ showing the kagome and triangular layers in different colors. (c) 3D view along $c$ of the first 14 cells of the incommensurate modulated magnetic phase of Ca$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$BaCo$_4$O$_7$ between 60 K and 80 K. Graphic produced with JSmol [44]. The color scheme is based on vector moduli, ranging from red (min) to blue (max).
We have used the superspace formalism to propose a model for the intermediate magnetic structure of doped-“Ca-114” cobaltates. This approach represents a very powerful tool and allows for the description of the spins modulation in terms of the symmetry and crystallographic description. Our model shows that at this intermediate temperature, the Co spins sitting at triangular layers follow a different modulation than those located at kagome sites. The former present a helical rotation along the c axis together with a modulation of their moduli, whereas the Kagome spins only show a modulation in their moduli. The modulation along c has a periodicity of around 55 unit cells, and our data suggest that this period is a function of temperature. Below 60 K, the propagation vector locks in to the value \( \mathbf{k} = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0) \), as we will show in more detail in a future work. This hypothesis would also explain the 55 K data in Ref. [12] for CaBaCo3.97Zn0.03O7. To the best of our knowledge, a modulation of the spins in Swedenborgites perpendicular to the kagome layers has only been reported for CaBa(Co3Fe)O7 [47]. Such structures can have interesting implications on the multiferroic properties of this family of compounds.

V. CONCLUSIONS

To sum up, in this paper we have followed the evolution of the structural and magnetic phases of Sr-doped CaBaCo4O7 from 10 K to 350 K, as a function of temperature and Sr doping. Due to the large amount of information revealed by NPD and macroscopic measurements, we focused the discussion specially on the AFM intermediate phase that manifests in the magnetization, specific heat, and ac susceptibility. The phase belongs to the superspace group \( Pna2_1 \) \((1/2, 1/2, g)qq0s \) with a basic orthorhombic structure and a modulation of the magnetic moments along the c axis. A model for this phase is proposed, although it is interesting to note that a similar phenomenology has already been observed for other substitutions to the parent compound. These similarities highlight the strong competition of interactions and the potential role of small distortions to modify the phase diagram and bring about the diversity of interesting phenomena in this class of materials.
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