Niti CAR 27 Versus a Conventional End-to-End Anastomosis Stapler in a Laparoscopic Anterior Resection for Sigmoid Colon Cancer
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Purpose: The Niti CAR 27 (ColonRing) uses compression to create an anastomosis. This study aimed to investigate the safety and the effectiveness of the anastomosis created with the Niti CAR 27 in a laparoscopic anterior resection for sigmoid colon cancer.

Methods: In a single-center study, 157 consecutive patients who received an operation between March 2010 and December 2011 were retrospectively assessed. The Niti CAR 27 (CAR group, 63 patients) colorectal anastomoses were compared with the conventional double-stapled (CDS group, 94 patients) colorectal anastomoses. Intraoperative, immediate postoperative and 6-month follow-up data were recorded.

Results: There were no statistically significant differences between the two groups in terms of age, gender, tumor location and other clinical characteristics. One patient (1.6%) in the CAR group and 2 patients (2.1%) in the CDS group experienced complications of anastomotic leakage (P = 0.647). These three patients underwent a diverting loop ileostomy. There were 2 cases (2.1%) of bleeding at the anastomosis site in the CDS group. All patients underwent a follow-up colonoscopy (median, 6 months). One patient in the CAR group experienced anastomotic stricture (1.6% vs. 0%; P = 0.401). This complication was solved by using balloon dilatation.

Conclusion: Anastomosis using the Niti CAR 27 device in a laparoscopic anterior resection for sigmoid colon cancer is safe and feasible. Its use is equivalent to that of the conventional double-stapler.
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INTRODUCTION
Complications such as leakage, bleeding, and stricture can develop following colorectal anastomosis. In particular, anastomotic leakage is a major problem that contributes not only to postoperative morbidity and mortality but also to local recurrence and a poor prognosis [1, 2]. Many comparison studies of laparoscopic and open surgery for rectal cancer have shown no significant differences in terms of anastomotic leakage [3, 4]. The stapling techniques used in colorectal anastomasis in anterior resection have gained widespread acceptance over hand-sewn anastomosis [5]. However, the stapled colorectal anastomosis has a higher rate of stenosis compared with the hand-sewn anastomosis [6], and a meta-analysis showed that the stapled anastomosis had a higher rate of stricture compared with hand-sewn anastomosis for colorectal anastomoses (P < 0.028) [7].

Recently, a compression anastomosis ring, Niti CAR 27 (NiTi Surgical Solutions, Netanya, Israel), was introduced. Some studies reported the safety and efficacy of this novel instrument [8-10]. The Niti CAR 27 consists of a ring with Nitinol leaf springs and an applier similar to that used with standard surgical circular staples. Nitinol is an advanced metal alloy that exhibits “shape mem-
ory," which is the ability to return to its original shape after deformation. After the ring is set during surgery, it returns to its original closed position, accommodating variations in tissue thickness. The natural healing process generates healthy tissue along the outer perimeter of the ring. The necrotic tissue and the ring are expected to detach within approximately two weeks, and safely pass through the digestive tract. The aim of the current study was to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of the Niti CAR 27 device compare to the conventional stapler in laparoscopic surgery for sigmoid colon cancer.

METHODS

In this retrospective study, we included only patients with sigmoid colon cancer who underwent a laparoscopic anterior resection. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Seoul St Mary’s Hospital on September 05, 2011 (KC11RGSI0676). Curative operations were performed by one experienced colorectal surgeon (S.T.O.) who specialized in colorectal surgery at Seoul St. Mary’s Hospital. All of the data were retrieved from our electronic medical record system, and a retrospective analysis was performed.

Between March 2010 and February 2012, 63 patients (44 males) underwent a laparoscopic anterior resection and compression anastomosis with the Niti CAR 27 device (CAR group). These CAR group patients were retrospectively compared with patients who underwent a laparoscopic conventional double-stapled anastomosis (CDS group: 48 procedures performed with a Curved Intraluminal Stapler 29 [Ethicon, Edinburgh, UK] and 46 with a DST EEA 31 [Covidien, Norwalk, CT, USA]) between March 2010 and February 2012. Inclusion criteria of this study were a diagnosis of sigmoid colon cancer, no combination operation, and no preoperative chemoradiotherapy. In both groups, all of the anastomoses were created above the anterior peritoneal reflection. The presence of underlying disease (hypertension, diabetes mellitus, coronary artery disease, or cerebro-vascular disease) was investigated.

The following short-term results were collected retrospectively: the patients' demographic information, operative details, postoperative morbidity and mortality, and the pathological outcomes derived from the patients' medical records. Anastomotic leakage was defined as the presence of clinical symptoms of sepsis, including abdominal pain, tenderness, rebound tenderness or fever. Clinical anastomotic leakage was diagnosed by the presence of gas or fecal discharge from the pelvic drain or fluid/air bubbles surrounding the anastomosis as viewed on computed tomography scans. After a median of six months postoperatively, we performed a routine follow-up colonoscopy. Anastomotic stricture was defined as the inability to insert a standard 12-mm diameter colonoscope, which required balloon dilatation.

In both groups, bowel preparation with a polyethylene-glycol electrolyte lavage solution 24 hours before surgery and administration of antibiotic prophylaxis with 250-mg oral metronidazole twice were included in the standard preoperative protocols. All patients received 1 g of cefminox intravenously at the beginning of surgery and postoperatively twice daily for 1 day.

Laparoscopic mobilization of the left colon was performed using a medial-to-lateral approach, and the inferior mesenteric artery was ligated at a high level. The splenic flexure was not routinely taken down. If there was tension in the anastomosis, we performed mobilization of the splenic flexure. An intracorporeal transection of the bowel distal to the tumor was performed using an endoscopic linear stapler. The specimen was extracted through the left lower quadrant port, which was extended to approximately 4 cm in length. The Niti CAR 27 device was manipulated according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After the anvil of the Niti CAR 27 had been secured, the bowel was returned to the peritoneal cavity, and the pneumoperitoneum was re-established. After the mounted ring with its loader had been immersed in cold saline for several minutes, the ring was loaded onto the distal end of the main shaft and inserted through the anus, making an intracorporeal end-to-end anastomosis. Because this device is temperature dependent, warm saline was instilled around the anastomosis after the device had been fired for a quicker and more secure return to its original shape. For the CDS procedure, we used either the Curved Intraluminal Stapler 29 or the DST EEA 31 device. Other procedures were the same as the CAR procedure. Finally, we tested for air and fluid leakage through the anus in both groups. If the leakage test was positive, we performed a diverting ileostomy. Seven patients (2 in the CAR group and 5 in the CDS group) who received a diverting ileostomy during the operation were excluded from this study.

The statistical analysis was performed using PASW ver. 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The chi-square and the t-tests were used to compare the data. A P-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

A total of 157 patients (CAR group, 63; CDS group, 94) received a laparoscopic anterior resection for sigmoid colon cancer. The patient demographic and clinical characteristics are shown in Table 1. There were no statistically significant differences between the two groups in terms of age, gender, body mass index and distance from the anal verge. The most common comorbidities included hypertension (39.5% of patients), diabetes mellitus (16.6%) and coronary artery disease (3.8%). The American Statistical Association score was 1 or 2 in both groups.

The surgical and oncologic outcomes are shown in Table 2. There was no difference in the mean operative times between the two groups (163 ± 39 minutes for the CAR group and 167 ± 34 minutes for the CDS group, P = 0.550). Full mobilization of the splenic flexure was performed in 12 patients (19.0%) in the CAR group and 25 patients (26.6%) in the CDS group. In the CAR group, 51 (81.0%) and 12 patients (19.0%) underwent transection at the distal rectum by using one and two linear staples, respectively. In the CDS group, 74 (78.7%) and 20 patients (21.3%) un-
derwent transections by using one and two linear staples, respectively ($P = 0.841$). The times of first passage of flatus, and first soft diet and the length of postoperative hospital stay were similar for both groups.

For both groups, no surgical mortality or readmission occurred within the first 30 postoperative days. Table 3 shows the result of anastomotic complications such as leakage and bleeding. Anastomotic leakage occurred in 1 patients (1.6%) in the CAR group 2 days postoperatively and 2 patients (2.1%) in the CDS group ($P = 0.647$) 3 and 7 days postoperatively, respectively. These three patients underwent a diverting ileostomy by using a laparoscopic method. The patient with an anastomotic leakage in the CAR group was a 35-year-old male (stage T3N2M0) with distal sigmoid colon cancer. He had no underlying disease. However, his rectum was transected by using two linear staple. He underwent laparoscopic reoperation, and a primary suture closure of the anastomotic defect (Fig. 1) with a temporary diverting loop ileostomy was performed. He was aware that the colon ring was expelled two months after his reoperation. He underwent a follow-up sigmoidoscopy three months postoperatively. The anastomosis site was well-healed, and there was no stricture. He underwent a repair of the loop ileostomy four months postoperatively. There were no bleeding complications in the CAR group. However, in the CDS group, two patients (2.1%) with hypertension and coronary heart disease experienced bleeding at the anastomosis site. These patients complained of hematochezia on the day of the operation or on the first postoperative day. Sigmoidoscopy was performed immediately, and bleeding on the anastomosis site was observed. The bleeding was successfully treated by endoscopic clipping.

After a median of six months postoperatively, all patients of both groups underwent a follow-up colonoscopy. We observed wide and patent anastomoses without metallic foreign material in the

---

**Table 1.** Patient demographics and clinical characteristics

| Characteristic                  | Niti CAR 27 (n = 63) | Conventional (n = 94) | P-value |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Age (yr)                       | 60.6                 | 59.7                  | 0.765   |
| Gender                         |                      |                       |         |
| Male/female                    | 44/19                | 57/37                 | 0.308   |
| Body mass index (kg/m$^2$)     | 23.8                 | 24.5                  | 0.128   |
| Distant from anal verge (cm)   | 20.4                 | 21.4                  | 0.138   |
| Underlying disease             | 21 (58.7)            | 41 (50.0)             | 0.329   |
| Diabetes mellitus              | 10 (15.9)            | 17 (18.1)             | 0.719   |
| Coronary artery disease        | 5 (7.9)              | 1 (1.1)               | 0.028   |
| Others                         | 3 (4.8)              | 2 (2.1)               | 0.357   |
| ASA score                      |                      |                       | 0.116   |
| 1                              | 25 (39.7)            | 31 (33.0)             |         |
| 2                              | 33 (52.4)            | 61 (64.9)             |         |
| 3                              | 5 (7.9)              | 2 (2.1)               |         |

Values are presented as number (%).

ASA, American Statistical Association.

**Table 2.** Surgical and oncologic data

| Variable                               | Niti CAR 27 (n = 63) | Conventional (n = 94) | P-value |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Operative time (min)                   | 163 ± 39             | 167 ± 34              | 0.550   |
| No. of linear staples                  | 1                    | 2                     | 0.841   |
|                                       | 51 (81.0)            | 74 (78.7)             |         |
|                                       | 12 (19.0)            | 20 (21.3)             |         |
| Mobilization of splenic flexure        | 12 (19.0)            | 25 (26.6)             | 0.339   |
| Gas out (day)                          | 1.7                  | 1.8                   | 0.478   |
| Diet (day)                             | 2.5                  | 2.8                   | 0.150   |
| Length of hospital stay (day)          | 5.9                  | 6.2                   | 0.104   |
| T stage                                | 0.214                |                       |         |
| T1,T2                                  | 21 (33.3)            | 48 (51.1)             |         |
| T3,T4                                  | 42 (66.7)            | 46 (48.9)             |         |
| Tumor size (cm)                        | 3.9                  | 3.6                   | 0.275   |
| Distal free margin (cm)                | 5.2                  | 5.6                   | 0.195   |

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation or number (%).

**Table 3.** Anastomotic leakage and bleeding

|                                | Niti CAR 27 (n = 63) | Conventional (n = 94) | P-value |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Anastomotic leakage            | 1 (1.6)              | 2 (2.1)               | 0.647   |
| Bleeding on anastomosis site   | 0 (0)                | 2 (2.1)               | 0.516   |

Values are presented as number (%).

---

Fig. 1. Intraoperative image from the laparoscope showing that the colon ring was exposed (black arrow; dehiscence of anastomosis).
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CAR group, which contrasted with non–ova-shaped stapled anastomoses with metallic clips in the CDS group (Fig. 2). In the CAR group, one patient had an anastomotic stricture (1.6% vs. 0%; P = 0.401); however, he did not complain of any specific symptom. This complication was solved by using balloon dilatation. The dilatation was conducted up to 18 mm (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

The concept of tissue compression during colorectal anastomosis is not new, and previous attempts at tissue compression have used devices such as the Murphy button, the biofragmentable anastomotic ring, and AKA-2 devices [11-13]. However, these devices have not gained broad acceptance among colorectal surgeons because foreign material is retained within the tissue, the lumen is narrowed, and necrosis occurs at the anastomotic site [11, 14]. Thus, the use of these devices has become extremely limited. Recently, a novel compression anastomosis ring, the Niti CAR 27 device, was introduced, and the safety of this device has been demonstrated in animal studies [15-17]. The CAR 27 device was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration in May 2006 and by the Korea Food and Drug Administration in May 2009. Nitinol (nickel titanium, Naval Ordinance Laboratory), a metal alloy of nickel and titanium, has two advantageous physical properties: shape memory and super-elasticity [8, 18, 19]. The CAR 27 device itself and its handling are very similar to the currently-used circular staplers and their handling. However, there are some essential differences that may lead to problems with and malfunctions of the device [19]. For example, in the case of the CAR 27 device, reopening of the device after closure is impossible; moreover, pulling the trigger after firing is very dangerous. However, no malfunctions of the device occurred during this study. Also, no patients complained of pain or discomfort while the ring was in place. Retention of the colon ring could be a serious problem. Because fecal impaction may occur at the proximal of the ring and stricture may occur due to leakage, removing the ring is difficult. Thus, the ring must be removed before stoma closure if the patient had a diverting stoma because of leakage. Tulchinsky et al. [9] recommended digital removal of the ring when it was not expelled spontaneously in a diverted patient. Dauser et al. [20] removed the ring by using an endoscopic method. However, Koo et al. [8] emphasized that the artificial method seemed to be an unnecessary maneuver. In the case of their patient who received a diverting ileostomy, the ring was not expelled, but was retained, during the diversion; it was removed spontaneously after the ileostomy closure. In this study, the patient who experienced anastomotic leakage was aware that the ring was expelled two months after the operation.

Previously, there have been reports about clinical experiences with the use of the CAR 27 device in colorectal anastomosis. A prospective multicenter study comparing 10 anastomoses using...
CAR 27 with 13 stapled anastomoses reported that the safety and efficacy of the CAR 27 in humans were comparable to those of the standard stapling technology and that no anastomotic leakages had occurred [9]. However, anastomotic strictures were not mentioned, and the sample size was too small. A nonrandomized prospective study of the CAR 27 device involving 23 patients who underwent a left-sided colectomy reported anastomotic leakage in one patient (4.3%) and stricture in two patients (8.6%) [10]. Another nonrandomized prospective study showed that among 62 patients who underwent rectal anastomosis, there were no anastomotic leakages and two anastomotic strictures (3.3%) [20]. A recent study in 66 patients who underwent a laparoscopic colectomy for left-sided colon cancer or an adenoma reported anastomotic leakage in one patient (1.5%) [8].

The current study was designed to evaluate the safety and efficacy of the CAR 27 device in terms of anastomotic complications: leakage, bleeding and stenosis. With regard to anastomotic leakage, our results demonstrated that the CAR 27 device was not worse than the conventional device. In this study, there was one patient (1.6%) with anastomotic leakage in the CAR group. This result is within the range expected based on other reported rates of anastomotic leakage (from 2.9% to 15.3%) after a laparoscopic colorectal anastomosis [10, 21, 22]. Although no uniform definition of anastomotic stenosis exists [6], we defined it as an inability to traverse the anastomosis with a colonoscope with a 12-mm diameter. In this study, there was one patient (1.6%) with anastomotic stricture. This result was lower than that in other reports (from 3.3% to 8.7%) [10, 20]. The patient with anastomotic stricture had no problem to defecate. Based on the granulation tissue of anastomosis site observed during colonoscopy, we assume that the stricture of anastomosis was due to an undetected anastomotic leakage after surgery. A recent study showed that a symptomatic anastomotic stenosis, necessitating an endoscopic dilatation, was found in 12 patients (17.6%) after using a conventional stapler in a laparoscopic sigmoidectomy for diverticular disease [23].

There was no bleeding at the anastomosis site in the CAR group. Certainly, bleeding at the anastomosis site does not seem to be an issue when using a compression anastomotic device [12, 13, 20], an observation that is supported by the results of the present study. We can consider using the Niti CAR 27 device in patients who have a high risk of bleeding. Middle and lower rectal tumors are risk factors for anastomotic leakage in laparoscopic colorectal surgery [21, 22, 24]. Thus, we only used the CAR 27 device in cases of sigmoid colon cancer. However, this restriction is also a limitation of this study. Therefore, we need to investigate and better understand the indications for use of the CAR 27 in patients with rectal cancer. Another limitation of this study is its retrospective, nonrandomized design. However, to reduce bias, we only enrolled patients who had the same diseases and who underwent the same surgical procedures in this study.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that anastomosis with the Niti CAR 27 device in a laparoscopic anterior resection for sigmoid colon cancer is safe and effective. There were no differences in the anastomotic complication rates of leakage and stricture between the CAR and the CDS groups. Large and randomized prospective studies are necessary to validate these results on the use of the Niti CAR 27.
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