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Schistosomiasis is a Neglected Tropical Diseases which can be prevented with mass deworming chemotherapy. The reliance on
a single drug, praziquantel, is a motivation for the search of novel antischistosomal compounds. This study investigated the
anthelmintic activity of the stem bark and roots of Rauwolfia vomitoria against two life stages of Schistosoma mansoni. Both plant
parts were found to be active against cercariae and adult worms. Within 2 h of exposure all cercariae were killed at a concentration
range of 62.5–1000 𝜇g/mL and 250–1000 𝜇g/mL of R. vomitoria stem bark and roots, respectively. The LC50 values determined for
the stem bark after 1 and 2 h of exposure were 207.4 and 61.18 𝜇g/mL, respectively. All adult worms exposed to the concentrations
range of 250–1000 𝜇g/mL for both plant parts died within 120 h of incubation. The cytotoxic effects against HepG2 and Chang liver
cell assessed using MTT assay method indicated that both plant extracts which were inhibitory to the proliferation of cell lines with
IC50 > 20 𝜇g/mL appear to be safe. This report provides the first evidence of in vitro schistosomicidal potency of R. vomitoria with
the stem bark being moderately, but relatively, more active and selective against schistosome parasites. This suggests the presence
of promising medicinal constituent(s).

1. Introduction

Schistosomiasis (or Bilharzia) is known as one of the most prevalent tropical diseases worldwide. It is ranked as the
second-most neglected tropical disease in terms of the number of deaths it causes [1]. Schistosomiasis is responsible
for more than 200,000 human deaths per year in sub-Saharan Africa alone [2]. The disease is better known for
its chronicity and debilitating morbidity which results in high costs in public health and economic productivity in
developing countries [3].

Despite the public health importance of schistosomiasis and the risk that the disease might further spread and inten-
sify, schistosomiasis control programs in endemic countries are based mainly on chemotherapy [4]. As it is today for
some neglected tropical diseases such as trypanosomiasis and
leishmaniasis, the treatment of schistosomiasis was almost as difficult and toxic until the 1970s when praziquantel (PZQ) was discovered [5, 6]. In 1988, PZQ was brought to the market [5] and it is so far the only drug available and recommended by World Health Organization (WHO) for the treatment and control of schistosomiasis [6]. This drug is safe and effective against all *Schistosoma* species [7] and has been used for the last 30 years. PZQ is not free of problems, although it is safe and well tolerated. For instance, the massive and exclusive use for many decades as a single drug has obviously raised legitimate fears that PZQ-resistant schistosomes may sooner or later appear [7]. Furthermore, PZQ acts against adult schistosome worms, but it is inefficient against the younger stages of schistosomes, like schistosomula, preadults, and juvenile adults. As a consequence, repetition of treatment is sometimes necessary to kill those parasites that have since matured.

Having a single drug to treat a disease that affects millions of people in different geographical area is a real concern. Therefore, it is imperative to develop new effective and safe antischistosomal drugs. The growing need for the development of novel drugs against schistosomiasis mainly from natural sources has, in recent years, led the scientific community to intensify the search for potential schistosomicidal agents. Natural products, mainly plants, have been the source of medicines for thousands of years [8]. Higher plants have been used as natural sources for the discovery of new drug leads, since the scientific evaluation of medicinal plants used in the preparation of folk remedies has provided modern medicine with effective pharmaceuticals for the treatment of diseases caused by parasites. For instance, artemisinin, quinine, and licochalcone A are examples for plant-derived products [9].

Many other natural products of diverse molecular structure have shown antiparasitic potency in the laboratory and represent interesting lead structures for the development of new and urgently needed antiparasitics [9]. The discovery of pure compounds as active principles in plants was first described at the beginning of the 19th century [9]. As a result, several extracts or natural compounds from plants with promising antischistosomal properties have been identified [9–15]. In this context, natural products and natural product-derived compounds are gaining prominence as possible sources of new drugs in the control and treatment of schistosomiasis. The efficacy of these new compounds against schistosome is defined using different strategies such as curative strategies, by killing the adult worm; prophylactic strategies, by killing cercariae and schistosomula; suppressive strategies, by inhibiting worm egg-laying. Thus, several parameters, such as motor activity, morphological/tegumental changes, and oviposition, are often evaluated as indicators of biological activity and toxicity in studies with schistosome species [16].

*Rauwolfia vomitoria* is a tropical shrub which belongs to the family of Apocynaceae. In Africa, the herbal preparations have been made from various parts of this plant. However, the root, root bark, and bark of stem of this plant have been used extensively; particularly for their aphrodisiac, antispasmodic, abortive, insecticidal, dysenteric, anthelmintic, astringent, cardiotonic, diaphoretic, emetic, expectorant, haemostatic, hypotensive, vulnerary, and febrifugic properties [17]. They are also used in traditional medicine to treat a variety of illnesses such as fever, general weakness, gastrointestinal diseases, liver diseases, psychosis, pain, and cancers [18]. The ethanolic extract of *R. vomitoria* has been shown to contain alkaloids, tannins, saponins, flavonoids, steroids, terpenoids, and cardiac glycosides [19]. Various alkaloids were isolated from this plant [18, 20] and many of these alkaloids have been isolated from the stem and root. These have shown biological activities such as antibacterial, anticoncancer, and schistosomical properties. The alkaloids from *R. vomitoria* were found to have anticancer activity [18, 21]. The extract of *R. vomitoria* has been used as traditional medicine for over 2000 years in Africa for the treatment of hypertension and mental disorders and recent studies have confirmed its effectiveness as antipsychotic, anti hypertensive, and anti-inflammatory agent and for improving blood chemistry. In Ghana, root specimens of *R. vomitoria* are used as emetic and purgative and to treat parasitic skin diseases [22] and jaundice and gastrointestinal disorders [23]. It was reported that the bark of *R. vomitoria* can be used against such parasites as lice and scabies. Attah et al. (2013) showed that roots of *R. vomitoria* possess antifilarial properties against *Onchocerca volvulus* [24]. In this study, we evaluated the root and stem bark of *R. vomitoria* as an important but not yet known aspect of the schistosomical effect on two different life stages of *S. mansoni*. Both plant extracts were subsequently screened against mammalian cells: human hepatocarcinoma cell lines (HepG2) and Chang liver cell lines to check for cytotoxicity.

## 2. Material and Methods

### 2.1. Chemicals

Ethanol, 3-(4,5-dimethyl thiazol-2-yl)-5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT), fetal bovine serum (FBS), Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS), Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM), antibiotics (penicillin and streptomycin), RPMI 1640, trypsin, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), hydrochloric acid, and isopropanol were obtained from Sigma Aldrich Co., USA.

### 2.2. Collection of Plant Materials

The stem bark and roots of *R. vomitoria* were collected in Mampong, Ghana, in 2016 and authenticated by the Plant Development Department (PDD) of the Centre for Plant Medicine Research (CPMR), and voucher specimens were deposited at the Herbarium of the PDD (voucher specimen numbers 3827–3829).

### 2.3. Preparation of Plant Extracts

The plant extracts were prepared as described previously [19] with some modifications. Briefly, the stem bark and the roots of the collected plants were air dried at room temperature (25–27°C) and pulverized by milling. Each pulverized material was subjected to extraction using absolute ethanol (99%). One (1) kg of the pulverized plant parts was macerated in 2 L of ethanol solvent in glass containers for 72 h at room temperature after which they were filtered with Whatman Number 1. The filtrate of each plant extract was evaporated at 55°C under reduced pressure using rotary evaporator (Buchi Rotavapor, R 200) to obtain a crude extract. The total extraction yield for each
extract expressed as a percentage was estimated to be 11.2% and 15.1%, respectively, for *R. vomitoria* stem bark and root. The plant extracts were stored at −20°C until used.

2.4. In Vitro Studies with *S. mansoni*

2.4.1. Stock and Working Plant Extract Solutions. In vitro studies were conducted on two different life stages of *S. mansoni*, cercariae and adult worms. Stock solutions of extracts were prepared in advance at a concentration of 100 mg/mL in 100% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Merck, Germany). Stock solutions were aliquoted and kept at −20°C. For bioassay, working solutions were freshly prepared by diluting stock solutions to 10 mg/mL using distilled water for cercarial activity and RPMI 1640 for drug sensitivity assay with adult schistosomes. The maximum final concentration of DMSO in all assays was ≤1%. Since DMSO is known to be cytotoxic at higher concentrations, we tested DMSO at concentrations of 1% and found no damaging effects on any of the life stages of the parasite. And, for each experiment, 1% DMSO was used as a solvent control.

2.4.2. Animals. An African strain of *S. mansoni*, from Ghana, was maintained in the laboratory using *Biomphalaria pfeifferi* snails and female ICR outbred mice. All animal studies were conducted at the Animal Experimentation Department of the Noguchi Memorial Institute for Medical Research (NMIMR), following NMIMR Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (NIACUC) regulations on animal welfare.

Four-to-five-week-old ICR mice were obtained from the Centre for Plant Medicine Research (CPMR) (Mampong-Akwapim, Ghana). All animals were allowed to adapt for 1 week under controlled conditions (temperature, ca. 22°C; humidity, ca. 50%; 12 h light and 12 h darkness cycle; free access to rodent diet and water) before initiation of experiments. Mice were tail-infected with 150 *S. mansoni* cercariae and sacrificed 12 weeks after infection.

The snails *B. pfeifferi*, which are the schistosomiasis intermediate host snails for *S. mansoni*, were collected from endemic areas in their natural habitats from Tomefa along the Weija River in Ghana. The snails were transported to the Snail Laboratory at the Department of Parasitology, NMIMR, for maintenance. They were examined for cercariae shedding and kept in plastic aquarium as 50 snails per each aquarium containing dechlorinated tap water at room temperature (25°C).

2.4.3. Preparation of Cercarial Suspension. Schistosome cercariae were obtained from experimentally infected *B. pfeifferi* snails. Briefly, five laboratory infected snails for about 4 weeks and known to be shedding cercariae were placed into a test tube containing 2 mL of distilled water and allowed to shed cercariae by exposing them to artificial light at 28°C ± 1 for 2 h. The number of cercariae in 50 μL was counted microscopically in triplicate samples and the average count used for anticercariae evaluation at an average of 20 cercariae per well was then estimated. For the experimental mice infection, each animal was exposed by the tail to a suspension of approximately 150-cercariae in glass test tubes for 60 min.

2.4.4. Preparation of Adults *S. mansoni* Worms. Twelve (12) weeks after infection, ICR mice were euthanized with chloroform and dissected. All adult worms were recovered from the hepatic portal and mesenteric veins by perfusion with citrate saline (0.85% sodium chloride; 1.5% sodium citrate) as previously described [20]. Schistosomes were washed in RPMI 1640 culture medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin (Sigma Aldrich, USA) and counted. The worms were transferred into RPMI 1640 culture medium, modified with HEPES 20 mM and L-Glutamine, without Sodium Bicarbonate.

2.4.5. In Vitro Cercarial Activity Test. A series of crude plant extract concentrations (31.25, 62.5, 125, 250, 500, and 1000 μg/mL) were freshly prepared in a 24-microtiter well plate (Costar) and analyzed alongside with the positive control (Artesunate 10 μg/mL). An average number of 20 freshly shed cercariae were transferred into each well plate (Costar) using micropipette. The same number of cercariae was placed in a well containing 1% DMSO as negative control. All experiments were carried out in duplicate and were repeated. Mobility and viability of the *Schistosoma* infectious stage (cercariae) were observed for 2 h 30 min at 30 min intervals since infectivity of cercariae is known to be rapidly lost after 12 h [25]. Unaffected free swimming larvae, immobile, and dead cercariae at the bottom of the wells were observed at 4x magnification with an inverted microscope (Olympus CK 300). Survival and mortality at a successive interval of 15, 30, 60, 90, 120, and 150 min were recorded. Cercariae were presumed dead when they stopped movement and sank down and their tail were detached [26]. The LC50 values of the plant extract on schistosome cercariae were determined at 1 h and 2 h. The minimal lethal concentration (MLC), which is the minimum concentration needed to kill all cercariae, and the minimal effective concentration (MEC), which is the minimum concentration needed to observe any change in viability or morphology of cercariae, were determined after 2 h.

2.4.6. Drug Sensitivity Assay with Adult Schistosomes. For the in vitro drug sensitivity assay with adult schistosome worms, RPMI 1640 culture medium, modified with HEPES 20 mM and L-Glutamine, without Sodium Bicarbonate and supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% penicillin/streptomycin was pipetted (2 mL/well) into flat-bottom 24-well plates. The worms were distributed one pair of adult worms per well and incubated at 37°C in a 5% CO2 atmosphere for 2 h to allow for adaptation before addition of various concentrations of the plant extracts. Final concentrations of each crude plant extract are 250, 500, and 1000 μg/mL in a final volume of 2 mL per well. Adult worms incubated with only medium and medium with 1% DMSO (the highest concentration of drug solvent used) served as negative and solvent control, respectively. For positive controls, adult worms were incubated with 10 μg/mL PZQ. After 2 h of incubation, the adult worms in the individual wells were observed under an inverted microscope for viability. The effect of the plant extracts on the worms
was assessed as described previously [1, 13, 14, 27–29]. The parasites were kept for 120 h and monitored every 24 h to evaluate their general condition with emphasis on changes in worm motor activity (motility), morphological/tegumental changes, changes in pairing, and death of worms at magnification 4x under an inverted microscope (Olympus CK 300). Death was assessed with no movement observed for at least 2 min of examination and no movement at the other observation time-points. Phenotypic changes were recorded manually as previously reported, WHO-TDR [30] and UCSF Sandler Center [31]. Briefly, the changes were converted into a “severity score” using a viability scale ranging from 0 (severely compromised) to 3 (no effect): (0 = all worms dead, 1 = minimal activity (severe reduction in motility), severe morphological/tegumental changes, 2 = slowed activity (reduced motility), first morphological/tegumental changes, and 3 = totally vital, normally active, no morphological changes) based on standard procedures for compound screening. All experiments were carried out in triplicate and were repeated at least two times.

2.5. Cytotoxicity of the Prepared Extracts. The two plant parts showing activity against the two parasite stages were tested in concentration response (CR) assays against two cell lines: HepG2 (human hepatocarcinoma) cell line was procured from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and Chang Liver was obtained from the European Collection of Authenticated Cell Cultures (ECACC). Stock cells HepG2 and Chang liver were, respectively, cultured in DMEM and RPMI1640. Each medium was supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin-streptomycin and cultures were then incubated at 37°C under 5% CO2 in fully humidified conditions until 80% confluence. The stock cultures were grown in 25 cm² culture flasks and cells were detached from the surface of the culture flask with 0.25% trypsin solution. All experiments were carried out in flat-bottom 96-well microtiter plates (Corning Incorporated, USA).

Fresh stock solutions of the plant extracts were made up with DMEM (for HepG2 cell) and RPMI1640 (for Chang liver cell) supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin-streptomycin to obtain 10 mg/mL concentration and sterilized by filtration. Serial twofold dilutions were prepared from the stock. The monolayer cell culture was trypsinized and the cell count was adjusted to 1.0 × 10⁵ cells/mL using DMEM or RPMI1640 accordingly containing 10% FBS and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. To each well of the 96-well microtiter plates, 100 μL of the diluted cell suspension at a density of approximately 100,000 cells was plated. After 24 h of incubation, when a partial monolayer was formed, cells were treated for another 72 h with various concentrations of each of the plant extracts (62.5–1000 μg/mL) and curcumin (2.30–36.84 μM) as positive control. Subsequently, 20 μL of 2.5 mg/mL MTT in PBS was added to each well and the cells were incubated for another 4 h. The precipitated MTT-formazan product was dissolved in 100 μL of 0.04 N HCl-isopropanol in the dark and at room temperature overnight. The amount of formazan formed was measured at a wavelength of 570 nm using a microplate reader (TECAN Infinite M200 Pro Plate Reader, Austria). Cytotoxicity was calculated as the percentage of live cells relative to the control culture using the following formula:

\[
\% \text{ cell viability (CV)} = 100 \times \frac{\text{Absorbance of treated cells} - \text{Absorbance of drug color control}}{\text{Absorbance of untreated cells} - \text{Absorbance of blank}}. \tag{1}
\]

The concentration of test drug needed to inhibit cell growth by 50% (IC₅₀) values is generated from the dose-response curves for each cell line.

2.6. Selectivity Index (SI). In the present study, the degree of selectivity of each ethanol plant extract is expressed as the ratio of the IC₅₀ obtained for the cell line to the LC₅₀ for S. mansoni cercariae.

\[
\text{Selectivity Index (SI)} = \frac{\text{IC}_{50} \text{ in } \mu \text{g/mL of extract in Cell lines}}{\text{LC}_{50} \text{ in } \mu \text{g/mL of the same extract in } S. \text{ mansoni cercariae}}. \tag{2}
\]

2.7. Statistical Analysis. Graph drawing and statistical analysis were performed using GraphPad Software (version 7.00). The data were expressed as means ± SD, and Student’s t-test was used to determine the significance of differences between mean values. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. In Vitro Studies of Cercariae: Inverted Microscopic Evaluations. R. vomitoria stem bark and roots showed varying cercaricidal potency against S. mansoni cercariae and this activity was more pronounced at the higher concentration of the extract. The effect of incubation with different concentrations of R. vomitoria roots and stem bark extracts on the viability of cercariae for up to 2 h and 30 min is depicted in Figures 1 and 2. The exposure of S. mansoni cercariae to the ethanol extract of R. vomitoria for 15, 30, 60, 90, 120, and 150 minutes showed an increase in the mortality rate of cercariae. In the absence of the plant extract, cercariae showed normal viability without any morphological changes (tail loss) for up to 2 h.

In this study, anticercarial activity was defined as an LC₅₀ value of <1000 μg/mL. Following incubation with R. vomitoria stem bark at concentrations of 125–1000 μg/mL, cercariae viability decreased significantly within 1 h. At the highest concentration (1000 μg/mL), there was complete mortality within 90 min (Figure 1), and no movement was observed.
between 60 and 90 min after incubation. At 1 h, cercariae incubated with 31.25 µg/mL of *R. vomitoria* stem bark showed slowed movements while cercariae incubated with 62.5–500 µg/mL showed severe reduction in activity. However, 2 h after incubation with 62.5, 125, 250, and 500 µg/mL of *R. vomitoria* stem bark there was 100% mortality (Figure 1). All cercariae incubated with 31.25 µg/mL showed 100% mortality at the 2 h and 30 min time-point. Hence, the MLC and MEC of *R. vomitoria* stem bark on cercariae determined after 2 h were 62.5 and 31.25 µg/mL, respectively.

On the other hand, *R. vomitoria* root was less effective than the stem bark. No effect was observed on cercariae at the lower concentrations of 31.25 and 62.5 µg/mL at the 90 min time-point. However, all cercariae died at the higher concentrations (500 and 1000 µg/mL) in 90 min, while, at 250 µg/mL, complete mortality occurred within 2 h (Figure 2). At the 2 h time-point, cercariae incubated with 31.25–62.5 µg/mL of *R. vomitoria* root still showed normal movements compared to controls, while, at 125 µg/mL, cercariae showed reduced activity with tail loss. Hence, the MLC and MEC of *R. vomitoria* root on cercariae determined after 2 h were 250 and 125 µg/mL, respectively.

The LCₕ₀ values were determined after 1 h and 2 h of exposure to the plant extracts (Table 1). The LCₕ₀ values of *S. mansoni* cercariae using the *R. vomitoria* stem bark were significantly different (*p < 0.05*) for exposure periods of 1 h and 2 h, respectively. These values were generally lower than those obtained for the same exposure periods to the *R. vomitoria* roots (Table 1). None of the cercariae in the control group died or showed significant behavioral changes within 2 h of exposure. The *R. vomitoria* stem bark extract showed more pronounced cercaricidal potency than the *R. vomitoria* roots.

### 3.2. In Vitro Analysis of Adult *S. mansoni* Worms.

We analyzed the schistosomicidal activity of ethanol extracts from two parts of *R. vomitoria* (stem bark and roots) against *S. mansoni* adult worms and the activity was defined as an inhibition value <1000 µg/mL. The differential schistosomicidal activity of *R. vomitoria* extracts was supported by the microscopic observation of *S. mansoni* adult worms incubated with the two plant extracts at a concentration range of 250–1000 µg/mL to examine separation of paired pairs, decrease in motility, morphological changes, and mortality using a viability scale ranging from 0 to 3. The dose-response relationships of *R. vomitoria* on adult schistosomes for up to 120 h are given in Table 2. Control female and male schistosomes showed normal viability within 48 h and reduced motility from 72 h and remained viable for up to 120 h. After 24 h of incubation schistosomes displayed reduced motility to *R. vomitoria* stem bark (Table 2) and severe reduced motility to *R. vomitoria* root (Table 2) accompanied with separation of paired worms. All adult worms exposed to 250 µg/mL of *R. vomitoria* root and stem bark were dead within 120 h of incubation (Table 2). In the presence of the plant extract, it was observed that females were relatively more active than males within 48 h, and the worm teguments appeared darkened and opaque.

### 3.3. Cytotoxicity of *R. vomitoria* Stem Bark and Roots.

Based on the activity against the cercariae and *S. mansoni* adult worms, in the present study, the in vitro cytotoxicity of both stem bark and root ethanol extracts of *R. vomitoria* were evaluated at different concentrations (62.5–1000 µg/mL) against human hepatocarcinoma HepG2 and normal Chang liver cell lines. The cytotoxicity results are presented in terms of percent viability of cells proliferation (Table 3).

The percent cytotoxicity of ethanol extract of *R. vomitoria* was found to be dose dependent and increases with increased concentrations (Figure 3). The dose-response curve (Figure 3) on the effects of *R. vomitoria* on human HepG2 and Chang liver cells was used to define the ICₕ₀ here...
literature searches revealed that especially to combat diseases that affect populations of underdeveloped countries [32]. Literature searches revealed that certain traditional knowledge on curative plants could be translated into new pharmaceutical products, and promising research leads. World Health Organization experiments showed that treatment of schistosomiasis is one of the viable options for the control of the disease. The study of medicinal plants as a new approach for the control of schistosomiasis has been gaining interest in recent years. 4. Discussion The study of medicinal plants as a new approach for the experimental treatment of schistosomiasis is one of the viable and promising research leads. World Health Organization encouraged the research on medicinal plants by considering the traditional knowledge on curative plants. Literature searches revealed that many plants have been used to treat schistosomiasis in many African cultures [11, 33–35]. In Mali an ethnopharmacological survey reported fifty-five plants belonging to 30 families to be used for treating urinary and intestinal schistosomiasis, while nine combinations of plants were reported to be used against the urinary form of the disease [34]. Cissus quadrangularis and Stylosanthes erecta were reported as the plants most frequently used against schistosomiasis in Mali [34]. Mølgaard et al. (2001) reported that 21 Zimbabwean plants, traditionally used against schistosomiasis possess activity against schistosomula worms, with Eugenia uniflora having the highest activity [11, 35]. The results obtained on Zimbabwean plants used to treat schistosomiasis suggested that extracts made from Abrus precatorius, Pterocarpus angolensis, and Oenothera insignis were lethal to adult schistosomes in vitro [33]. Other plants have been shown to possess different degrees of activity against cercariae such as Glinus lotoides [36], Balanites aegyptiaca [37], Combretum aculeatum, Combretum hartmannianum, Combretum glutinosum, and Terminalia laxiflora [38]. In this study, the promising antischistosomal activity of R. vomitoria has been reported for the first time by in vitro tests using alcoholic crude extracts of stem bark and root on S. mansoni cercariae and adult worms. Infections with schistosomes occur when cercariae penetrate the skin, enter the body through intact skin. Therefore, preventing such penetration of cercariae into the skin is also a potential form of transmission control [6]. Over the years, many topical agents have been evaluated for their ability to block penetration of cercariae into the skin and some of these compounds are highly effective but have not been used clinically due to their potential toxicity and difficulty in formulation and/or applying under field conditions [39]. These among other factors have stimulated the search for new agents that are safer and capable of providing such protection. In this context, one of the first aspects to be analyzed is to evaluate the cercarialicidal activity of the potential compounds. In this study the results obtained against cercariae of S. mansoni showed that the extracts from R. vomitoria (stem bark and root) are active at concentrations below 1000 µg/mL. Extract from the stem bark was active at concentrations from 31.25 µg/mL, while extract from the root was active at higher concentrations from 125 to 1000 µg/mL, and this activity was both time and dose dependent. The present observation showed that ethanol extracts of R. vomitoria stem bark and roots possess cercaricidal activity against S. mansoni cercariae. This result is similar to that of Kiros et al. [36] and Mohamed et al. [40] who reported the cercaricidal activity of Glinus lotoides fruits.
Table 2: In vitro effects of crude ethanolic extract of *R. vomitoria* roots and stem bark on the viability of *Schistosoma mansoni* adult worms.

| Group                  | Incubation period (h) | Couples separated worms (%) | Dead worms (%) | Motor activity reduction (%) | Number of worms with tegumental alteration (%) |
|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                        |                       |                              |                | Slight                        | Significant | Partial | Extensive |
| Control†               | 2                     | 0                            | 0              | 0                             | 0          | 0       | 0         |
|                        | 24                    | 0                            | 0              | 0                             | 0          | 0       | 0         |
|                        | 48                    | 0                            | 0              | 0                             | 0          | 0       | 0         |
|                        | 72                    | 0                            | 0              | 0                             | 0          | 0       | 0         |
|                        | 96                    | 0                            | 0              | 0                             | 0          | 0       | 0         |
|                        | 120                   | 0                            | 0              | 0                             | 0          | 0       | 0         |
| 1% DMSO                | 2                     | 0                            | 0              | 0                             | 0          | 0       | 0         |
|                        | 24                    | 0                            | 0              | 0                             | 0          | 0       | 0         |
|                        | 48                    | 0                            | 0              | 0                             | 0          | 0       | 0         |
|                        | 72                    | 0                            | 0              | 0                             | 0          | 0       | 0         |
|                        | 96                    | 0                            | 0              | 0                             | 0          | 0       | 0         |
|                        | 120                   | 0                            | 0              | 0                             | 0          | 0       | 0         |
| PZQ§                   | 2                     | 0                            | 0              | 100                           | 0          | 80      | 0         |
|                        | 24                    | 0                            | 0              | 100                           | 0          | 100     | 0         |
|                        | 48                    | 40                           | 100            | 0                             | 100        | 0       | 100       |
|                        | 72                    | 40                           | 100            | 0                             | 100        | 0       | 100       |
|                        | 96                    | 100                          | 100            | 0                             | 100        | 0       | 100       |
|                        | 120                   | 100                          | 100            | 0                             | 100        | 0       | 100       |
| *R. vomitoria* stem bark† | 2                     | 0                            | 0              | 0                             | 0          | 0       | 0         |
|                        | 24                    | 20                           | 0              | 100                           | 0          | 40      | 0         |
|                        | 48                    | 20                           | 0              | 100                           | 0          | 80      | 0         |
|                        | 72                    | 60                           | 0              | 100                           | 0          | 80      | 0         |
|                        | 96                    | 100                          | 0              | 80                            | 0          | 80      | 0         |
|                        | 120                   | 100                          | 100            | 0                             | 100        | 0       | 20        |
| *R. vomitoria* roots§  | 2                     | 0                            | 0              | 0                             | 0          | 0       | 0         |
|                        | 24                    | 40                           | 0              | 20                            | 80         | 40      | 0         |
|                        | 48                    | 40                           | 0              | 20                            | 80         | 40      | 0         |
|                        | 72                    | 40                           | 0              | 100                           | 0          | 80      | 0         |
|                        | 96                    | 100                          | 0              | 100                           | 0          | 80      | 0         |
|                        | 120                   | 100                          | 100            | 0                             | 100        | 0       | 80        |

†RPMI 1640.
§Tested at concentration of 10 μg/mL.
§Tested at concentration of 250 μg/mL.
seed, respectively, as both time and concentration dependent. Other studies have also reported the time concentration relationship of various plant extracts: *Millettia thonningii* [41], *Iris germanica* [42], *Jatropha curcas* [43], and *Solanum nigrum* [44]. Our results showed that the stem bark cercaricidal activity of *R. vomitoria* might be due to the presence of flavonoids and other compounds in the plant. Flavonoids isolated from *Millettia thonningii* have been reported to exhibit in vitro cercaricidal activity.

Both plant parts produced 100% mortality after 60 min of exposure at concentration less than 1000 µg/mL (10⁻³ g/mL). This is far higher than those reported by Ajayi et al. [45] for the species *Tetrapleura tetraptera* (fruits) and *Lagenaria brevifolia* (seeds) which produced 100% mortality after 60 min of exposure at concentrations of 1000 g/mL and 250 mg/mL, respectively.

The viability of adult worms was affected at all the tested concentration (250, 500, and 1000 µg/mL). Thus, there is the possibility of *R. vomitoria* having varying effectiveness against different phases of the life cycle of the parasite.

In this study, the cercaricidal activity shown by the plant extracts was higher than or equivalent to schistosomicidal activity. These results may suggest that the cercariae might be more susceptible than the adult worms. Further studies on mechanism of action would however be needed to understand this feature. The cercariae are covered by a trilaminar layer that protects the inside from any external agent acting on it [46]. Immediately after penetration into the vertebrate host, the resulting schistosomulum is protected by a heptalaminate membrane, which makes it less susceptible than the cercariae [47].

*R. vomitoria* extracts caused motor activity reduction and the death of adult *S. mansoni* worms after 120 h of in vitro exposure. At the same concentration, both extracts also caused the separation of all paired worms and disruption of their tegument. The varying potencies of this plant's components may be due to the different types of compounds present in them. Previous studies have identified the presence of phytochemical such as alkaloids, tannins, saponins, flavonoids, steroids, terpenoids, and cardiac glycosides in the ethanol extract of *R. vomitoria* [48] and various alkaloids were also isolated and characterized [18, 49].

Terpenoids are known as the largest naturally occurring family of hydrocarbons with a very broad range of biological activities, including antimalarial [50] and anticancer [51] properties and schistosomicidal activity. Some terpenoids are known to kill adult *S. mansoni* worms and cause complete separation of paired worms with tegumental disruption in worms [52]. Several alkaloids such as piplartine [8], piperamide, epispiloturine, sanguinarine, solamargine and solasonine [52], melloquine [53, 54], and artemisinins [55, 56] have been shown to display a broad range of promising biological activities including schistosomicidal property. Many alkaloids display their activities either by causing disruption of tegument, such as sloughing, or by decreasing motor activity and the death of *S. mansoni* adult worms after exposure in vitro [57]. Also they can cause a reduction in egg production and separation of all paired worms [57]. As for flavonoids, they are not able to kill the worms, but they can exhibit significant reduction in motor activity or pairing of the *S. mansoni* adult worms [57].

It is important to establish that a compound or drug candidate under investigation has antischistosomal activity at concentrations that can be achieved in vivo without inducing toxic effects to host cells. In the present study, *R. vomitoria* was evaluated for its cytotoxicity on Chang liver (normal liver) and HepG2 (human hepatocarcinoma) cells using MTT assay. This study made use of complementary approach of whole-organism phenotypic screening in vitro to measure *R. vomitoria* extracts efficacy in order to discover potential anthelmintic candidates for in vivo tests. The efficacy of these plant extracts against schistosomes was defined as

### Table 3: Percentage viability of cell proliferation.

| Conc. (µg/mL) | *R. vomitoria* stem bark | *R. vomitoria* root |
|--------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
|              | HepG2 | Chang Liver | HepG2 | Chang liver |
| 0            | Mean ± SEM | Mean ± SEM | Mean ± SEM | Mean ± SEM |
| 62.5         | 100 ± 0 | 100 ± 0 | 100 ± 0 | 100 ± 0 |
| 125          | 100.535 ± 0.082 | 51.716 ± 2.208 | 73.149 ± 3.892 | 102.276 ± 0.625 |
| 250          | 86.411 ± 0.048 | 45.242 ± 1.562 | 2.662 ± 0.334 | 31.807 ± 1.585 |
| 500          | 78.841 ± 0.023 | 20.779 ± 3.644 | −0.295 ± 0.082 | 18.462 ± 0.773 |
| 1000         | 51.607 ± 0.119 | 9.527 ± 1.044 | −4.926 ± 0.433 | 7.523 ± 1.595 |
|              | 18.408 ± 0.251 | 10.355 ± 1.176 | −16.317 ± 2.070 | 4.025 ± 4.396 |

### Table 4: Cytotoxicity of the ethanol extracts of *R. vomitoria* stem bark and roots.

| Cell type            | Cell line | IC₅₀ (µg/mL), 72 h | SI |
|----------------------|-----------|-------------------|----|
|                      |           | Stem bark | Roots | Stem bark | Roots |
| Normal liver         | Chang Liver | 7752 | 312 | 1.26 | 0.25 |
| Hepatocarcinoma      | HepG2     | 490.7 | 72.68 | 8.01 | 0.16 |
| Normal/hepatocarcinoma | Chang/HepG2 | / | / | 0.16 | 1.54 |
curative, by killing the adult worm, and prophylactic, by killing cercariae. Several parameters, such as motor activity, tegumental changes, and death, were evaluated as indicators of antischistosomal biological activity against the parasites. On the other hand, cytotoxicity tests use a series of increasing concentrations of the antischistosomal product to determine what concentration results in the death of 50 percent of the host cells. This value is referred to as the median cellular cytotoxicity concentration and is identified by IC₅₀. The ability of the cells to survive a toxic insult has been the basis of most cytotoxicity assays. Against Chang liver cell, which is the normal liver cell lines, the stem bark and root showed IC₅₀ values of 77.5 μg/mL and 112 μg/mL, respectively. These results suggest that the stem bark is relatively more toxic than the roots to the Chang liver cell. For the HepG2, which is a human liver cancer cell, the IC₅₀ values were 490.7 and 72.68, respectively, for the stem bark and roots. This means the roots are more toxic to the liver cancer cell lines than the stem bark. Based on the above observations, stem bark is less active against the cancer cell line. In the US NCI plant screening program, a crude extract is generally considered to have in vitro cytotoxic activity, if the IC₅₀ value following incubation between 48h and 72h is less than 20 μg/mL [58]. Based on this criterion, all the IC₅₀ values defined in this study are far higher than 20 μg/mL which indicate that both plant parts are not strongly cytotoxic.

The relative effectiveness of the drug candidate in inhibiting parasite growth compared to inducing cell death is defined as the therapeutic or selectivity index. The selectivity index of R. vomitoria stem bark was 1.26 for Chang liver cell lines and 8.01 against HepG2. Based on the SI, the activity of the stem bark is relatively effective against Schistosoma parasites. For the roots, the SI were 0.25 and 0.16 against Chang liver and HepG2 cell lines, respectively (<1). Although the roots are active against Schistosoma parasites and liver cancer cells (HepG2), the activity is not specific, and they are also toxic to the normal cell lines (Chang liver cell). It is desirable to have a high therapeutic index (>2) giving maximum anthelmintic activity with minimal toxicity on the normal cell. The stem bark of R. vomitoria in this study might be more active and specific against schistosome parasites.

Obviously, many variables influence bioactivity both positively and negatively. In vitro testing alone does not necessarily confirm a plant’s bioactivity in vivo due to many factors. Furthermore, an extract lacking in vitro activity may still possess in vivo activity since it may be acting as a prodrug. Ideally, a plant extract should be tested both in vitro and in vivo to confirm antischistosomal activity and toxicity. It is therefore recommended that further studies may be conducted on R. vomitoria stem bark and roots.

5. Conclusions

From the present study, it can be concluded that ethanol crude extracts of R. vomitoria stem bark and root possess moderate antischistosomal properties against two life stages of S. mansoni: cercariae and adult worms. The two plant parts showed different activity with respect to both time and concentration with the stem bark being more active and specific for schistosome parasites. Since in vitro assays alone are not suitable to cover all aspects of the anthelmintic activities of drugs, especially with respect to pharmacological and immunological host interactions, this study provides first evidence of anthelmintic effects of R. vomitoria and this may lead to the consideration of this plant as potential source for new antischistosomal drug. Therefore, further investigation is warranted to evaluate fractions and identify the chemical constituents that elicit activity against S. mansoni.
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