Covalent post-assembly modification triggers multiple structural transformations of a tetrazine-edged Fe₄L₆ tetrahedron
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ABSTRACT: Covalent post-assembly modification (PAM) reactions are useful synthetic tools for functionalizing and stabilizing self-assembled metal-organic complexes. Recently, PAM reactions have also been explored as stimuli for triggering supramolecular structural transformations. Herein we demonstrate the use of inverse electron-demand Diels-Alder (IEDDA) PAM reactions to induce supramolecular structural transformations starting from a tetrazine-edged Fe₄L₆ tetrahedral precursor. Following PAM, this tetrahedron rearranged to form three different architectures depending on the addition of other stimuli – an electron-rich aniline or a templating anion. By tracing the stimulus-response relationships within the system, we deciphered a network of transformations that mapped different combinations of stimuli onto specific transformation products. Given the many functions being developed for self-assembled three-dimensional architectures, this newly-established ability to control the interconversion between structures using combinations of different stimulus types may serve as the basis for switching the functions expressed within a system.

INTRODUCTION

The functions of many proteins are regulated through well-defined structural transformations in response to signals. These transformations range from local conformational changes to whole-protein reorganization. A diverse array of biological phenomena are thus enabled, including gas binding, catalysis, vision, and intracellular transport. Many stimuli can trigger these transformations, including light absorption, guest binding, and covalent post-translational modifications. Efforts to synthetically emulate biological structural transformations have led to insights into the mechanisms of these natural processes, while also informing the designs of artificial stimuli-responsive molecules and materials. Consequently, supramolecular structural transformations have been used to construct novel switchable catalysts, guest capture-and-release systems, responsive soft materials, and molecular mechanical actuators.

Various stimuli have been used to control supramolecular structural transformations, including guest binding, ligand and metal substitution, photo-isomerization reactions, and environmental changes (e.g., pH, temperature, and concentration). There are, however, few reports that use ligand-centered covalent post-assembly modification (PAM) reactions to drive supramolecular transformations. One reason for the uncommon use of PAM in this context may be the narrow range of covalent reactions that cleanly functionalize metallosupramolecular structures without compromising their stabilities. Recently, however, new PAM strategies have provided mild and modular ways to covalently derivatize and stabilize self-assembled complexes, and to generate complex molecular topologies. These new PAM protocols can alter the electronic and steric parameters of self-assembled complexes. Such alterations may induce, in turn, well-defined structural transformations.

In this study, we develop inverse electron-demand Diels-Alder (IEDDA) PAM reactions to trigger the rearrangement of self-assembled metallocomplexes. Tetrazine-edged Fe₄L₆ tetrahedron 1a (Figure 1) is the point of departure for all the transformations described herein. It was designed to react efficiently with alkyne dienophiles to afford the corresponding pyridazine-edged tetrahedron. Our investigations into the reactivity of 1a towards cyclooctyne in CH₃CN revealed a sequence of structural rearrangements that led to the formation of three different cyclooctylpyridazine-edged architectures: Fe₄L₆ tetrahedron 2, Fe₄L₆ helicate 3, and Fe₄L₆ twisted-square-prism 4 (Figure 1). Additional stimuli, 4-methoxyaniline and PF₆−, were observed to induce structures within the network to reassemble in different ways via orthogonal subcomponent exchange (SE) and anion templation (AT) pathways, respectively. Detailed analysis of the individual stimulus-response relationships enabled us to decipher how each combination of stimuli drives the system to a different major transformation product. Using this information, we constructed a hierarchical network that maps each architecture onto the stimuli that led to its formation (Figure 1). Thus, different architectures within the system could be induced to interconvert by combining covalent PAM with SE and AT co-stimuli in a sequence-dependent manner.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Covalent PAM gates structural transformations. In the transformation network of Figure 1, covalent PAM is the primary stimulus that activates tetrazine-edged 1a towards subsequent structural rearrangements. Control experiments revealed that neither SE nor AT could drive transformation of 1a in the absence of PAM (see Supporting Information (SI), Section S5 for details). Thus, covalent PAM is the gateway stimulus for the network, producing a critical change in the ligand framework of tetrahedron 1 that renders it susceptible to structural rearrangements.
Covalent PAM of 1a was studied by in situ ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Treating 1a with cyclooctyne in CD₂CN at 293 K resulted in the rapid (<20 min) formation of cyclooctylpyridazinedi- edged tetrahedron 2a. The ¹H NMR spectrum of 2a confirmed its T-symmetric tetrahedral configuration, and DOSY NMR indicated a slightly larger hydrodynamic radius than 1a (Figure 2d). Further NMR analysis revealed that 2a was metastable, however, undergoing rearrangement into a new species over 1-2 h at room temperature. Heating 2a to 333 K for 2 h drove this rearrangement to completion, affording a single species, 3a, with a smaller DOSY hydrodynamic radius than either 1a or 2a. High-resolution ion mobility/ESI mass spectrometry (IM-MS) analysis revealed 3a to have an Fe⁺₂L₂ stoichiometry consistent with a dinuclear triple helicate structure (SI, Section S6). MM2 molecular mechanics modeling of 3a in both chiral (with both metal centers having the same configuration) and achiral/meso (with metal centers having opposing configurations) variants predicted strained structures requiring significant bending of the ligands bridging the iron(II) ions (SI, Figure S23).

The strained geometry of helicate 3a was reflected in its ¹H NMR spectrum: signals corresponding to H⁺ and H⁻ were broad and shifted downfield (Figure 2c) compared to analogous protons in tetrahedra 1a and 2a (Figure 2a, b). VT-NMR analysis of 3a in CD₂CN revealed that a proportion of the iron(II) centers undergo a transition to a high spin state as the temperature of the solution increased from 243 K to 333 K (SI, Figure S24). This incipient spin-crossover behavior is consistent with the strained geometry of the helicate. 39 We hypothesize that the N→Fe bonds elongate progressively at higher temperatures to relieve ligand strain. This effect weakens the iron(II) ligand field, leading to a higher proportion of high-spin metal centers that contribute to the downfield shifts and broadening of the H⁺ and H⁻ signals.

After several hours at room temperature, helicate 3a partially reverted to tetrahedron 2a, indicating a dynamic equilibrium between these complexes, 3a ↔ 2a, with an equilibrium constant (K):

$$K = \frac{[2a]}{[3a]^2}$$

(1)

Similar helicate–tetrahedron equilibria have been observed in other bis-bidentate ligand systems, 40 including geometrically strained 2-iminopyridine chelates. 41 Variable-temperature ¹H NMR experiments allowed us to measure the temperature dependence of K between 293 K and 333 K (Figure 3a); below 293 K, the rate of equilibration was too slow to permit analysis (SI, Figure S25). Provided that the standard enthalpy and entropy changes of interconversion reaction are constant over this temperature range, Van ‘t Hoff analysis of these data (Figure 3b) gave:

$$\Delta H^\circ = -(54 \pm 2) \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$$

$$\Delta S^\circ = -(134 \pm 5) \text{J K}^{-1} \text{mol}^{-1}$$

The relief of ligand strain when converting from helicate to tetrahedron is thus enthalpically favorable, while the loss of translational freedom due to fusion of two smaller complexes into a single larger one is entropically costly.

To assess which complex the system preferentially expresses at equilibrium, we defined $\mu_{sys}$ as the proportion of building blocks present in the helicate and tetrahedron populations:

$$\mu_{sys} = \frac{2[2a]}{[3a]}$$

(2)

We have employed $\mu_{sys}$ rather than the canonical thermodynamic definition of favorability (i.e., the sign of $\Delta G^\circ$) because, at the concentrations employed in these experiments ([1a] ≈ 1.5 mM), over 99.5% of the system’s building blocks must be converted into
helicate 3a before ΔGΘ = 0 and there is a switchover in which side of the equilibrium is favored (SI, Section S6.5). As this conversion will happen only above 404 K, which exceeds the boiling point of CH3CN, it is more useful to consider the extent to which the system’s building blocks are distributed between helicate and tetrahedron populations.

According to Equation 2, more than half of the system’s building blocks constitute helicates when μsys,F < 1. This threshold was found at approximately 270 K at the concentrations employed herein (SI, Section S6.4). Above this temperature, the system is thus said to preferentially express helicate 3a over tetrahedron 2a.

**Figure 2.** (a) The transformations of 1a following treatment with cyclooctyne were followed by NMR: 1H spectra of (b) Tetrahedron 1a (1.5 mM); (c) Tetrahedron 2a (40 min); (d) Helicate 3a after equilibration at 333 K for 2 h (conversion complete within 20 min). (e) Overlaid 1H DOSY NMR spectra of 1a, 2a and 3a, corresponding to spectra (b)–(d). The units of D are 10^{-6} cm^2 s^-1.

**Combining covalent PAM with subcomponent exchange.** We hypothesized that substitution of the electron-poor 4-fluoroaniline residues within tetrahedron 1a by more electron-rich 4-methoxyaniline would increase the strength of the metal-ligand bonds and thus influence the supramolecular product distribution following PAM-induced rearrangement. The reaction of tetrahedron 1a with 4-methoxyaniline furnished tetrahedron 1b (SI, Section S7). As with 1a, 1b reacted with cyclooctyne (1.3 equiv. per tetrazine) in CD3CN at 293 K to form cyclooctylpyridazine-edged tetrahedron 2b (Figure 4b). Tetrahedron 2b also existed in equilibrium with Fe^{II}3a helicate 3b. However, the rate of interconversion between 2b and 3b was appreciably slower due to the stronger N→Fe bonds, allowing 2b to persist as the dominant product for several hours at 293 K following PAM. This property permitted the analysis of both complexes by IM–MS, which revealed significantly different drift times in the gas phase (SI, Section S7). Heating the reaction mixture to 333 K for 2 h resulted in near-complete conversion to helicate 3b (Figure 4c).

**Figure 3.** Analysis of the temperature dependence of the equilibrium between tetrahedron 2a and helicate 3a. (a) 1H NMR spectra of a mixture of 2a and 3a at different temperatures, with incipient spin-crossover behavior evident in 3a and, to a lesser extent, in 2a. (b) Van’t Hoff analysis of the equilibrium.

The stronger N→Fe bonds in the structures containing methoxyaniline residues also influenced the position of the helicate--tetrahedron equilibrium. Even after equilibration at 333 K for 2 h, peaks corresponding to tetrahedron 2b were still visible by NMR (Figure 4c). By contrast, the fluoroaniline system produced exclusively helicate under similar conditions (Figure 3a). Incorporation of an electron-rich aniline thus shifted the helicate–tetrahedron equilibrium in favor of the tetrahedron. This shift was also apparent at lower temperatures, although the slower rate of interconversion precluded quantitative study. We infer helicate formation to be less favorable in the methoxyaniline system because the shorter N→Fe bonds would exacerbate the ligand strain involved in helicate formation.
Unexpectedly, SE of the 2a/3a mixture with 4-methoxyaniline at 278 K initially led to disproportionately greater formation of helicate 3b than of tetrahedron 2b. A post-PAM initial $\mu_{sys,F}^2$ of 2.3 was obtained, as determined by $^1$H NMR (SI, Figure S33), indicating that more than twice the number of subcomponents were bound up in the tetrahedron 2a population than in the helicate 3a population. The SE reaction of 4-methoxyaniline with this mixture at 278 K proceeded slowly, requiring 7 days to approach completion. Helicate 3b was initially observed to predominate over tetrahedron 2b, with an initial $\mu_{sys,OMe}$ of the system of only 0.25, marking 3b as the kinetic product of the transformation.

$$\mu_{sys,OMe} = \frac{2 [2b]}{[3b]}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The 2b/3b mixture then underwent slow rearrangement to express more tetrahedron 2b. This process required 3 weeks to approach equilibrium at 278 K, however.

Figure 4. (a) Transformations of 1b following treatment with cyclooctyne were followed by NMR: $^1$H spectra of (b) Tetrahedron 1b (1.5 mM); (c) Tetrahedron 2b, recorded 2 h after addition of cyclooctyne; (d) Helicate 3b, recorded after equilibration at 333 K for 2 h.

These results suggest that SE proceeds more rapidly for helicate 3a than for tetrahedron 2a. We infer the principal route of SE thus to consist of conversion of helicate 3a into helicate 3b. Equilibration between 2a and 3a then regenerates more 3a, leading to further amine substitution via the helicate rather than the tetrahedron. Once formed, the stronger $N\rightarrow$Fe bonds in helicate 3b stall its conversion into 2b at lower temperatures, allowing it to build up as an intermediate at 278 K.

The higher rate of helicate amine substitution can be understood in terms of the longer and weaker $N\rightarrow$Fe bonds in the strained helicate structure, enabling faster SE than in the less-strained tetrahedron. These observations agree with recent findings by Hooley and co-workers, which demonstrated how ligand strain can drastically influence amine substitution rates—and, thus, supramolecular structural outcomes—in imine-containing metallocomplexes.22

Deciphering the effects of three chemical stimuli. The transformation network has so far been shown to respond to PAM and SE stimuli to express tetrahedral and helicate structures. Targeting more complex, higher-nuclearity architectures, however, requires the Fe\(^{n}\) centers to adopt lower-symmetry meridional (mer) configurations as opposed to higher-symmetry facial (fac) stereochemistry. The presence of templating anions was observed to drive such a fac-to-mer transition in this system, leading to the formation of a larger complex, twisted square prism 4, containing exclusively mer-configured Fe\(^{n}\) vertices.

We first observed prism 4 when attempting to crystallize helicate 3a. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by the slow diffusion of benzene vapor into an acetonitrile solution of 2a and 3a containing Bu$_4$NPF$_6$ (50 equiv). We were initially surprised when X-ray analysis revealed Fe\(^{n}\)II$_4$:L$_4$ tetrahedra. The two square rings are bridged by four axial ligands, and are twisted by $29 \pm 2^\circ$ with respect to each other (Figure 5b). The average metal-to-metal distances both within and between the Fe\(^{n}\)II$_4$:L$_4$ rings are very similar ($11.7 \pm 0.3$ Å). All metal centers possess the same $\Lambda$ or $\Sigma$ stereochemistry within a given complex, lending the structure idealized $D_1$ point symmetry. Both enantiomers of 4a were present in the crystal. The angles between the pyridine–pyridazine–pyridazine rings were $41–65^\circ$, indicating the presence of bending and twisting away from a linear and coplanar orientation.

Figure 5. Representations of the X-ray crystal structure of PF$_6^{-}$⊂4a. Hydrogen atoms, disorder, solvent molecules and non-encapsulated counterions are omitted for clarity. (a) 4a shown with its Fe\(^{n}\)II framework highlighted in orange. (Key: gray = carbon, blue = nitrogen, orange = iron, green = fluorine). (b) Schematic structure of 4a illustrating average Fe–Fe distances and the twist angle between the square faces of the prism. (c) Side view of 4a highlighting the positions of bound PF$_6^{-}$ ions. Equatorial and axial ligands are colored blue and yellow, respectively. (d) View along the C$_1$ axis of 4a, highlighting counter-ions bound around the upper and lower rims of the prism. The central PF$_6^{-}$ ion is omitted in (d) to highlight the channel through the complex.
Prism 4a encapsulated nine PF₆⁻ anions in the crystal. Eight of these are bound within partially-enclosed pockets within the two Fe₄L₁₂ circular helicate rings, and the ninth is held within the central void of the prism. Close contacts between the cyclooctylypyridazine rings and the PF₆⁻ ions suggested that anion-π interactions contribute to the stability of the observed host-guest complex.23

The encapsulation of nine PF₆⁻ anions within 4a in the solid state led us to infer that the addition of suitable templating anions could drive a helicate-to-prism transformation in solution.24 Treating a 2a/3a mixture with PF₆⁻BF₄⁻ or ClO₄⁻ led to the appearance of multiple new ¹H NMR signals, suggesting the formation of higher-nuclearity structures (SI, Figure S34). These structures might be larger prisms of the general formula Fe₄xL₆x (where x ≥ 4).25 26 However, these transformations were incomplete, yielding intractably complicated mixtures rather than a discrete major product.

In the case of BF₄⁻, we obtained single crystals suitable for crystallography by slow diffusion of benzene vapor into a CH₂CN solution of the initial transformation library. X-ray diffraction analysis revealed the formation of Fe₄xL₁₂ prism BF₄⁻ C 4a as the only observed product, analogous to the PF₆⁻ inclusion complex. The binding pockets of BF₄⁻ C 4a, however, exhibited partial occupancy with a mixture of CH₂CN and BF₄⁻ guests (SI, Figure S64), suggesting that BF₄⁻ is a poor fit for the binding pockets of 4a compared to PF₆⁻.

The observation that 2a and 3a did not rearrange cleanly into twisted square prism 4a in solution suggests that AT alone could not drive this transformation. We infer that in the solid state, crystal packing provided the stabilization needed to selectively form 4a as the only observed product. We anticipated that combining AT with SE using more electron-rich 4-methoxyaniline could provide analogous stabilization in solution by increasing the strengths of the N→Fe bonds, thereby providing an additional enthalpic driving force for the formation of the larger Fe₄xL₁₂ architecture.

Heating a mixture of 2b and 3b with an excess of Bu₃NPF₆ (20 equiv) to 333 K for 16 h gave a single major product, as determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy (Figure 6c). The spectrum of this species reflected the symmetry of prism 4b, exhibiting three imine and three methoxy proton environments characteristic of mer-configured metal vertices (SI, Figure S36). ¹H DOSY NMR analysis also confirmed that this complex diffused at a slower rate than either tetrahedron 2b or helicate 3b (Figure 6d). Moreover, we observed excellent agreement between the calculated effective spherical radii of the three complexes and their DOSY-determined hydrodynamic radii (SI, Figure S35). Diffraction-quality single crystals of 4b were obtained by slow vapor diffusion of benzene into an acetoneitrile solution of the complex containing excess Bu₃NPF₆ (50 equiv). X-ray crystallography established the structure of the square prism in the solid state, which was analogous to PF₆⁻ C 4a (SI, Figure S68).

Prism 4b was also prepared by combining pre-functionalised cyclooctylypyridazine dialdehyde (SI, Section S9), 4-methoxyaniline and Fe(PF₆)₃ in CD₂CN at 333 K for 48 h. Subsequent purification of the reaction mixture by size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) removed impurities to give a clean ¹H NMR spectrum of 4b for high-resolution NMR analysis (Figure 7a). A small amount of helicate 3b co-eluted with 4b, but was readily distinguishable (Figure 6c). The ¹H NMR spectrum of purified 4b matched that of the product formed following the treatment of a mixture of 2b and 3b with PF₆⁻.

Prism 4b was only obtained as the major product in solution when all three stimuli were present. We infer that AT, which provided stabilizing anion-π interactions within the Fe₄L₁₂ prism framework,26 and SE, which increased the strength of the N→Fe bonds, were both required to overcome the entropic cost of forming the larger Fe₄L₁₂ architecture. Prior studies suggest that more electron-rich aniline residues produce stronger quadrupolar π-interactions between the aniline and pyridine rings at the mer-vertices, thus affording additional stabilization to the prism architecture.27

**Figure 6.** (a) Equilibration of a mixture of 2b and 3b at 293 K for 48 h gave rise to ¹H NMR spectrum (b) (500 MHz, 298 K, CD₂CN), which transformed into spectrum (c), corresponding to twisted square prism 4b, upon addition of PF₆⁻. (d) Overlaid DOSY NMR spectra corresponding to spectra (b) and (c), as indicated by the arrows, comparing the diffusivities of 2b, 3b and 4b. The units of D are 10⁻⁶ cm² s⁻¹.

Establishing a stimulus hierarchy via sequential transformations. In the above discussion, we explored individual steps within the transformation network to simplify our analysis of the overall system. It is, however, possible to traverse different paths within this network by the sequential addition of PAM, SE and AT stimuli (SI, Section S10). Importantly, carrying out transformations in sequence allowed us to ascertain the pathway dependence of the network and thus sort the three stimulus types in order of their ability to drive discrete transformations within the system.

Control experiments had demonstrated that no structural transformations were possible without first adding cyclooctyne, emphasizing the role of PAM as the primary stimulus. We hypothesize that the bulky cyclooctyl groups introduced through PAM caused the central ring of the ligands to adopt a non-planar conformation that promotes formation of the helicate and prism architectures. Ligand non-planarity, both in the dihedral angles between rings and in the angles between ring centroids, is noticeable in the crystal structures of 4a and 4b (SI, Section S11) and the optimized geometries of MM2 molecular models of helicates 3a and 3b. Conversely, the tetrazine ligand does not have sufficient steric bulk to favor the ligand geometries that lead to the helicate and prism.

SE is the next most important stimulus since, when combined with PAM, it influenced the relative proportion of tetrahedron and
helicate, as reflected in the different helicate ⇄ tetrahedron equilibrium constants for the fluoroaniline and methoxyaniline-derived systems. Without initial PAM, however, SE was not observed to drive structural transformation. SE could, however, result in the conversion of tetrahedron 1a to 1b. Finally, AT required the presence of both PAM and SE stimuli to influence the product distribution of the system in solution. Thus, the hierarchical ordering of the ability of the three stimuli to bring about change in the structure type is PAM > SE > AT.

Figure 7. Assigned 1H NMR spectrum (500 MHz, 298 K, CD$_2$CN) of prism 4b, synthesized directly from building blocks and purified by size exclusion chromatography. A small amount of helicate 3b (marked with asterisks) co-eluted with prism 4b.

While the stimulus combinations discussed above generated discrete products, one combination failed to produce a well-defined response at all. The addition of PF$_6$ to a mixture of 2a and 3a led to the system moving towards a more disordered state, as evidenced by the broadness of its NMR spectrum (SI, Figure S59c). We attribute this disorder to the incipient formation of anion-templated structures, which nonetheless lack a sufficient driving force to produce a single discrete complex. However, completing the required set of stimuli by performing SE caused prism 4b to emerge from the disordered mixture as the sole product (SI, Figure S59d). This observation highlights the pathway-dependent nature of the network, whereby the order of stimulus addition does not affect the ultimate outcome (4b), but does determine what intermediate ordered states (2a, 3a, 1b, 2b, or 3b) and disordered states are expressed by the system along the way.

In addition to elucidating the structures expressed by the system after the various stimuli have been added, our investigations of these transformation sequences also provided insight into the relative rates of the various processes. PAM triggered a rapid structural transformation, with IEDDA proceeding to completion on both 1a and 1b within 20 min at 293 K. Both the 2 ⇄ 3 equilibria and subcomponent exchange are slower processes at 293 K, taking hours to days, as discussed above. Anion templation was the slowest of these processes, as heating to 333 K was required for 24-48 h before prism 4b emerged as the dominant product.

CONCLUSION
Covalent PAM strategies are most often designed to preserve the structural integrity of supramolecular complexes. Inducing structural transformations is thus an emerging application of PAM that seeks to controllably introduce instability into self-assembled architecture through covalent reactions. It is a challenge to develop modifications that render a parent architecture sufficiently unstable to undergo a rearrangement, but not so unstable as to destroy it completely. In this contribution, we have demonstrated an approach using the tetrazine-based IEDDA reaction to induce transformations of a tetrazine-edged tetrahedral cage. The PAM stimulus (cyclooctyne) could be combined with additional co-stimuli (an electron rich aniline or an anion template) to influence the supramolecular product distribution, thereby rendering the system responsive to three unique stimulus types that operate together.

Different potential functions could be expressed by this system or its derivatives following the applications of various combinations of stimuli. Tetrahedral complexes can be employed as molecular containers that bind cargoes, whereas most helicates have minimal cavity volume for guest encapsulation but can interact with molecules such as DNA. The inner channels of prisms such as 4b enable them to act as pores through membranes. The ability to map different combinations of stimuli to unique structural outcomes, to switch from order in the system to disorder and back again, thus reveals opportunities for tailoring their functions to unique combinations of chemical stimuli.
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