HamoKara: A System that Enables Amateur Singers to Practice Backing Vocals for Karaoke
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Abstract: Creating harmony in karaoke by a lead vocalist and a backing vocalist is enjoyable, but backing vocals are not easy for non-advanced karaoke users. First, it is difficult to find musically appropriate submelodies (melodies for backing vocals). Second, the backing vocalist has to practice backing vocals in advance in order to play backing vocals accurately, because singing submelodies is often influenced by the singing of the main melody. In this paper, we propose a backing vocals practice system called HamoKara. This system automatically generates a submelody with a rule-based or probabilistic-model-based method, and provides users with an environment for practicing backing vocals. Users can check whether their pitch is correct through audio and visual feedback. Experimental results show that the generated submelodies are musically appropriate to some degree, and the system helped users to learn to sing submelodies to some extent.
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1. Introduction

Karaoke is one of the most familiar forms of entertainment related to music. At a bar or a designated room, a person who wants to sing orders the karaoke machine to play back the accompaniment of his/her favorite songs. During the playback of the accompaniment, he/she enjoys singing. Because karaoke is enjoyable for many people, techniques enhancing the entertainability of karaoke have been developed [2], [3], [4].

One way to enjoy karaoke is to create harmony with two singers. Typically, one person plays lead vocals (singing the main melody) and the other person plays backing vocals (singing a different melody). The melody sung by the backing vocalist (we call this a submelody here) typically has the same rhythm as the main melody but has different pitches (for example, a third above or below from the main melody). If the lead vocalist and backing vocalist are able to sing in harmony with each other, the sound will be pleasant. However, this is not easy in practice. First, it is difficult to find a musically appropriate submelody. Particularly for songs that do not contain backing vocals in the original CD recordings, the backing vocalist has to create an appropriate submelody him/herself, which requires musical knowledge and skill. Second, accurately playing backing vocals in pitch requires advanced singing skill. If the two singers do not have sufficient singing skill, the pitch of the backing vocals may be influenced by the lead vocals. To avoid this, the backing vocalist needs to learn to sing accurately in pitch by practicing the backing vocals in advance.

In this paper, we propose a system called HamoKara, which enables a user to practice backing vocals. This system has two functions. The first function is automatic submelody generation. For popular music songs, the system generates submelodies and indicates them with both a piano-roll display and a guide tone. The second function is support of backing vocals practice. While the user is singing the indicated submelody, the system shows the pitch (fundamental frequency, F0) of the singing voice on the piano-roll display. Because we adopted almost the same interface design as used in the conventional karaoke machine, the user can easily use our system. The user can find out how close the pitch of his/her singing voice comes to the correct pitch.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we present a brief survey on related work from the perspectives of harmonization and singing training. In Section 3, we describe the overview of our system. In Section 4, we present the details of our automatic submelody generation methods. In Section 5, we report results of evaluations conducted to confirm the effectiveness of our system. Finally, we conclude the paper in Section 6.

2. Related Work

2.1 Harmonization

Harmonization has two general approaches. The output of the first approach is a sequence of chord symbols, such as C-F-G7-C, for a given melody [5], [6], [7]. The second approach assigns concrete notes to voices other than the melody voice. The typical form in the latter approach of harmonization is a four-part harmony, which consists of soprano, alto, tenor, and bass voices. Four-part harmonization is a traditional part of
the theoretical education of Western classical musicians, and so numerous researchers have attempted to generate it automatically [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15].

The feature of our task is the creation of a two-voice harmony under the existence of the accompaniment. This is because, in karaoke, one sings together with the accompaniment played back by the karaoke machine. Submelodies should therefore be consonant with the accompaniment, typically given as MIDI-equivalent sequence data, as well as with the main melody.

A very similar problem was dealt with by Kiribuchi et al. [16]. To compose duet pieces automatically, they developed a method for yielding submelodies to a piece composed by Orpheus [17]. Because Orpheus generates accompaniment tracks, the submelody generator should consider the consonance of the submelody with the accompaniment. Although the details are not presented in Ref. [16], we suppose that the information of conditions for composition, such as chord progression, is also available in the submelody generator. On the other hand, our input is MIDI tracks, in which the chord transcription is not assumed to exist. We, therefore, have to extract harmonic features from the given MIDI tracks.

2.2 Singing Practice Support

As commonly known, almost all commercial karaoke machines have a singing scoring function. For example, in US Patent 5719344 [18], a technique has been proposed that is based on comparing the karaoke user’s voice and a referential voice extracted from the original CD recording. In addition, Tsai et al. [19] also proposed an automatic evaluation method of karaoke singing.

Computer-assisted singing learning systems have been developed, such as Singad, Albert, Sino & See, and WinSINGAD (See [20] for details). These systems aim at self-monitoring the user’s singing with visual feedback of the pitch, spectra, and/or other acoustic/musical features.

In addition, Hirai et al. [21] developed the Voice Shooting Game to improve poor-pitch singing. In this game, the vertical position of the user’s character on the screen is synchronized with the pitch of the user’s singing voice. The user has to control his/her pitch accurately to control the vertical position of the character and to catch target items.

Nakano et al. [22] developed a singing-skill visualization system called MiruSinger. This system extracts and visualizes acoustic features, particularly the pitch and vibrato sections, from the user’s singing voice.

Lin et al. [23] developed a real-time interactive application that runs on a smartphone, which enables the user to learn the intonation and timing while singing.

These studies and systems focus on solo singing, not on situations where two persons sing together in harmony. Fukumoto et al. [24] developed a system, RelaPitch, that enables the user to train relative pitch. Listening to a standard tone, the user tries to sing the pitch that has a specified interval from the standard tone. This system focused on training the creation of harmony by singing, but does not focus on creating harmony in actual songs.

3. System Overview

3.1 Basic System Design

The goal of our system is to enable the user to practice backing vocals for a song from popular music (especially Japanese popular music, or J-pop). To achieve this, the system has to support the following functions:

(1) Submelody generation

Some songs do not have submelodies in the original CD recordings. For such songs, the system has to generate submelodies automatically.

(2) Practice support through audio and visual feedback

The submelody for a specified song is indicated through the piano-roll display and the guide tone during the playback of the accompaniment. It is not easy for most users to recognize whether the singing voice has the correct pitch (i.e., the same pitch as the guide tone). The system should therefore show the user how accurate his/her singing pitch is through audio and visual feedback.

Our system assumes that music data (main melodies, accompaniments, and lyrics of songs) are given in the MIDI format, because most modern karaoke machines use MIDI-equivalent data.

Given the MIDI file of the target song, a submelody is generated for the entire main melody (from beginning to end). The submelody is assumed to have the same rhythm as the main melody; hence, each note in the submelody completely corresponds to each note in the main melody one-for-one. Submelodies are often sung only at limited sections (such as chorus sections) in actual music scenes, but our system generates a submelody for the entire main melody. This is so that users can simply ignore the submelody indication except in the sections where they want to sing harmony.

It is possible to generate submelodies that have higher or lower pitches than the main melody. The user can select an upper submelody or a lower submelody at the start screen.

A typical phenomenon occurs when one person plays lead vocals and another person plays backing vocals: here, their singing pitches are influenced by each other. In particular, backing vocals may be greatly influenced by lead vocals because the backing vocalist has to sing an unfamiliar melody. One solution to this problem is to enable the backing vocalist to check his/her pitch accuracy during the practice phase. Our system enables the backing vocalist to control the volume balance between his/her own voice and the guide tone in order to easily check his/her voice. In addition, he/she can find the pitch accuracy through visual feedback. The pitch of his/her voice and the correct pitch are displayed on the piano-roll screen in real time.

Because karaoke is a well-established form of entertainment, we thought the interface design should be as close as possible to the conventional karaoke. Therefore, we designed the user interface (particularly the screen) based on commonly used karaoke machines. In the lower half of the screen, the lyrics of the song being played are displayed. The color of the lyrics changes with the progression of the song’s playback. In the upper half of the screen, the piano roll is displayed to show the user the pitch of his/her singing voice and the correct pitch. This display was made
3.2 System Configuration

The block diagram of our system is shown in Fig. 1. Loading and playing back MIDI data, generating submelodies, and displaying lyrics and submelodies on the screen are performed by the PC. The microphone for the backing vocals is connected to the USB audio interface communicating with the PC. The audio signal of the backing vocals is sent from the audio interface to the PC, and the accompaniment sound is sent from the PC to the audio interface. The volume of the backing vocals and the accompaniment can be adjusted independently on the audio interface.

In contrast, the microphone for lead vocals is connected to the analogue mixer, not to the audio interface. This is in order not to send the audio signal of the lead vocals to the PC. To make it possible to listen to both lead vocals and backing vocals, the head-phones for both vocalists are connected to the analogue mixer. If only a backing vocalist uses this system without any lead vocalist, the analogue mixer is not necessary.

3.3 Start Screen

Once the system is launched, the screen shown in Fig. 2 appears. The user then selects a song to be played back. Then, the user selects an upper submelody or a lower submelody, how many semitones the key is transposed by (the degree of transposition (if necessary)) and adjusts the volume of the guide tone of the main melody and the accompaniment sound.

3.4 Main Screen

After the user selects a song and other settings, a submelody is generated for the song. Then, the playback of the accompaniment starts. During the playback, the lyrics are displayed in a typical karaoke display style (Fig. 3). In the upper half of the screen, the main melody and the submelody are displayed on the piano-roll screen.

The user’s singing voice is analyzed in real time. Every 5 ms, the pitch (fundamental frequency, F0) of the user’s singing voice is estimated with the DIO F0 estimator [25] and displayed on the piano-roll screen.

Every four measures, the ratio is calculated of the frames in which the difference between the singing pitch and correct pitch is smaller than 200 cent. In this calculation, the frames are excluded when this difference is greater than 800 cent, along with silent frames, because it could be caused by a double-pitch or half-pitch estimation error. If this ratio is lower than 50%, the message “Listen to your voice more carefully” is displayed on the bottom of the screen. This message is prepared to urge the user to turn the volume of his/her voice up.

4. Submelody Generation Methods

The most simple method for generating a submelody is to generate the note of the major or minor 3rd below each note of the main melody (in the case of a lower submelody). However, which of the 3rds below is suitable depends on the harmony of the accompaniment. Sometimes the perfect 4th below is more suitable because both the major and the minor 3rd below may cause dissonance with the accompaniment. To select an appropriate note interval between the main melody and submelody, we propose two methods: a probabilistic-model-based (shortened to prob-based below) method and a rule-based method.

4.1 Prob-based Method

Let $n_1, \ldots, n_m$ and $h_1, \ldots, h_m$ be sequences of notes of the main melody and submelody, respectively. Each $n_i$ and each $h_i$ take an integer between 0 and 11, which corresponds to a pitch class (C, C♯, ···, B). The relative degree of appearance of each pitch class
Using Bayes’ theorem, this conditional probability can be written
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$$g(h_1, \cdots, h_m) \propto P(h_1, \cdots, h_m | n_1, \cdots, n_m, b_1, \cdots, b_m, k).$$

Using Bayes’ theorem, this conditional probability can be written as follows:

$$g(h_1, \cdots, h_m) = \frac{P(h_1, \cdots, h_m, b_1, \cdots, b_m | n_1, \cdots, n_m, k) P(h_1, \cdots, h_m | k)}{P(n_1, \cdots, n_m, b_1, \cdots, b_m | h_1, \cdots, h_m, k)}.$$

Considering that we optimize the conditional probability with only respect to (\(h_1, \cdots, h_m\)), \(g(h_1, \cdots, h_m)\) satisfies:

$$g(h_1, \cdots, h_m) \propto P(n_1, \cdots, n_m, b_1, \cdots, b_m | h_1, \cdots, h_m, k) P(h_1, \cdots, h_m | k).$$

We assume \(h_1, \cdots, h_m\) and \(n_1, \cdots, n_m\) to have Markov property. Leaving out the dependency between observable variables (\(n_i\) and \(b_i\)), this can be written as

$$g(h_1, \cdots, h_m) \propto P(h_1 | k) P(n_1 | h_1) P(b_1 | h_1) \prod_{i=2}^{m} P(h_i | h_{i-1}) P(n_i | h_i) P(b_i | h_i).$$

Then, we decompose \(P(h_i | h_{i-1}, k)\) into \(P(h_i | h_{i-1})\) and \(P(h_i | k)\) with log-linear interpolation as follows:

$$P(h_i | h_{i-1}, k) = \frac{1}{w} P(h_i | h_{i-1}) P(h_i | k),$$

where \(w\) is a coefficient for letting the summation of the combined distribution be 1. Thus, the formula to be maximized can be described as

$$g'(h_1, \cdots, h_m) = P(h_1 | k) P(n_1 | h_1) P(b_1 | h_1) \prod_{i=2}^{m} P(h_i | h_{i-1}) P(n_i | h_i) P(b_i | h_i).$$

We can maximize \(g(h_1, \cdots, h_m)\) by maximizing this \(g'(h_1, \cdots, h_m)\) using dynamic programming.

Below, we describe the details of each factor of this probability.

- \(P(h_i | k)\) is a probability for the note name of the submelody’s \(i\)-th note given key \(k\). This probability is defined as

$$P(h_i | k) = \begin{cases} 0.15 & (h_i \text{ is a tonic, mediant, or dominant note for key } k) \\ 0.10 & (h_i \text{ is one of the other diatonic notes for key } k) \\ 0.03 & (h_i \text{ is not a diatonic note for key } k) \end{cases}$$

- \(P(n_i | h_i)\) is a probability for note transitions in the submelody. We assume the tendency of note transitions in the submelody to be close enough to that of note transitions in the main melody. We then calculate this probability from the main melody of the target song.

- \(P(n_i | h_i)\) represents the relationship between the main melody and submelody. Both melodies tend to have an interval of the major 3rd, minor 3rd, or perfect 4th. We then calculate this probability based on the following equation:

$$P(n_i | h_i) = \begin{cases} \alpha & (|n_i - h_i| = 0 \text{ (perf 1st)}) \\ 2\alpha & (|n_i - h_i| = 1 \text{ (min 2nd)}) \\ 4.5\alpha & (|n_i - h_i| = 2 \text{ (maj 2nd)}) \\ 15\alpha & (|n_i - h_i| = 3 \text{ (min 3rd)}) \\ 20\alpha & (|n_i - h_i| = 4 \text{ (maj 3rd)}) \\ 15\alpha & (|n_i - h_i| = 5 \text{ (perf 4th)}) \\ 0 & (|n_i - h_i| = 6 \text{ (arg 4th)}) \\ 5\alpha & (|n_i - h_i| = 7 \text{ (perf 5th)}) \\ 0 & (|n_i - h_i| \geq 8) \end{cases}$$

where \(\alpha\) is a coefficient to make the summation of the probabilities equal to 1.

- \(P(b_i | h_i)\) represents the relationship between the submelody and the accompaniment. As described above, the 12-dimensional vector \(b_i = (b_{i0}, \cdots, b_{i11})\) represents the relative degree of appearance of each pitch class in the accompaniment from the onset to the offset of the main melody’s \(i\)-th note \(n_i\). By assuming each element in \(b_i\) to be independent of each other, the above probability can be reduced as follows:

$$P(b_i | h_i) = \prod_{j=0}^{11} P(b_{ij} | h_i),$$

where \(P(b_{ij} | h_i)\) is an emission probability for how apparent the pitch class \(j\) is in the accompaniment (i.e., an observation) given the submelody’s pitch class \(h_i\) (i.e., a hidden state). When \(|j - j| = 1\) (minor 2nd) or 2 (major 2nd),
the appearance of the pitch class \( j \) should not be high in the accompaniment because it will cause dissonance. We therefore define \( P(b_i|h_i) \) as following a normal distribution \( N(b_i;0,\sigma_i^2) \) (\( \sigma_i^2 \) is experimentally determined). Otherwise, we consider \( P(b_i|h_i) \) to follow a continuous uniform distribution (Fig. 5).

### 4.2 Rule-based Method

Like the prob-based method, \( n_1, \cdots, n_m \) and \( h_1, \cdots, h_m \) represent sequences of notes of the main melody and submelody, respectively, and each variable takes an integer between 0 and 11, corresponding to a pitch class. From the accompaniment, a sequence of 12-dimensional vectors \( \{b_i\} \) is extracted in the same way as the prob-based method.

For each \( i \), the highest four values from the 12 elements of \( b_i = (b_{i0}, \cdots, b_{i11}) \) are extracted and the pitch classes corresponding to them are obtained. The set of the obtained pitch classes are denoted here by \( C_i \). In the case of lower submelodies, the pitch class of \( i \)-th note of the submelody, \( h_i \), is determined by the following rules:

1. If \( C_i \) includes the pitch class of the major 3rd below \( n_i \), \( h_i \) is set to this pitch class (\( h_i = n_i - 4 \)).
2. If \( C_i \) includes the pitch class of the minor 3rd below \( n_i \), \( h_i \) is set to this pitch class (\( h_i = n_i - 3 \)).
3. If \( C_i \) includes the pitch class of the perfect 4th below \( n_i \), \( h_i \) is set to this pitch class (\( h_i = n_i - 5 \)).
4. Otherwise, \( h_i \) is determined so that it has a parallel motion with the main melody from the previous note (\( h_i = h_{i-1} + (n_i - n_{i-1}) \)).

Rules for upper submelodies are similarly defined.

### 5. Experiments

#### 5.1 Evaluation of Harmonization

**5.1.1 Conditions**

We asked a graduate student at Japan’s top-level music university to add submelodies (both upper and lower submelodies) to the MIDI data of 85 J-pop songs. The MIDI data were purchased at Yamaha Music Data Shop (https://yamahamusicdata.jp/). The MIDI data sold here consist of the main melody (in Track 1), the accompaniment (in other tracks), and lyrics text data (as MIDI Meta Events).

We calculated the rates of concordance (ROCs) between these ground truth submelodies and the generated submelodies. Note that generated submelodies are not necessarily musically inappropriate even if they are not concordant with the ground truth because there can be more-than-one musically appropriate submelodies for the same song even though we have only one ground truth for each song.

#### 5.1.2 Results

The ROCs are listed in Table 1. The average rates are 52.3% for upper submelodies and 53.6% for lower submelodies with the prob-based method; whereas these are 55.5% for upper submelodies and 64.2% for lower submelodies with the rule-based method.

#### 5.1.3 Discussions

We consider two reasons why the prob-based method is inferior to the rule-based method. The first reason is frequent appearance of unison (the same note is selected for both main melody and submelody; that is, \( n_i = h_i \)). \( \simeq 0.016 \), which is sufficiently smaller than \( P(n_i|h_i) \) given that \( |n_i - h_i| = 3, 4, 5 \). A possible reason why unison appeared despite such a low emission probability could be the transition probabilities were not appropriately estimated.

The second reason of the rule-based method’s superiority is that the same pitch class is consecutively repeated with the prob-based method. The numbers of appearances of the repetition...

---

Table 1 Rates of concordance (ROCs) between generated submelodies and ground truth [%].

|                     | Prob-based | Rule-based |
|---------------------|------------|------------|
|                     | Ave | Max | Min | Ave | Max | Min |
| Upper               | 52.3 | 64.5 | 24.3 | 55.5 | 75.0 | 33.6 |
| Lower               | 53.6 | 71.0 | 30.5 | 64.2 | 87.9 | 37.6 |

---

\(^{22}\) In the actual implementation, we use a normal distribution \( N(b_i;0,\sigma_i^2) \) with a sufficiently large constant \( \sigma_2 \) as an approximation of a continuous uniform distribution because the programming library we used supports only normal distributions.
of the same pitch class are shown in Fig. 8 and Fig. 9. From these figures, we can see that, for example, a sequence of ten consecutive notes with the same pitch class appear at about 200 points in the 85 upper submelodies generated with the prob-based method. This occurs because the probability of self-transition $P(h_t = x | h_{t-1} = x)$ is higher than $P(h_t = y | h_{t-1} = x)$ (for all $y \neq x$). This could be caused by data sparseness: the transition probabilities were calculated from the main melody of the target song only. In 30 songs for which the generated submelody include 10 or more consecutive notes with the same pitch class, 10 or more pitch classes have the highest transition probability for self-transition. In the other 25 songs, 5 or 6 pitch classes have a higher transition probability for non-self-transition than that for self-transition.

5.1.4 Subjective Evaluation by an Expert

We discussed submelody generation performance based on the ROCs above, but low ROCs do not necessarily mean musical inappropriateness. We therefore asked an expert (an associate professor at a university of music) to subjectively evaluate the generated submelodies. Specifically, she checked musically inappropriate notes by listening to the submelodies with the main melodies and the accompaniments. To avoid burdening her, only the five-lowest ROC songs were evaluated for each method.

The results are listed in Table 2. The rates of musically appropriate notes fall between 67% and 97%; hence, it was confirmed that our submelody generation methods are appropriate, at least to some extent.

5.1.5 Example-based Qualitative Discussion

We quantitatively discuss differences between generated submelodies and ground truth based on some examples. Four examples are shown in Fig. 10. Our observations are summarized as follows:

- **Natsumatsuri (upper)**
  The ground truth of the upper submelody for this song consists of only the note of the major or minor 3rd above each note in the main melody. In this sense, it is a simple sub-

| Method | Song                  | Rate 1 | Rate 2 |
|--------|-----------------------|--------|--------|
| Prob   | Natsumatsuri (upper)  | 24.36% | 81.58% |
|        | Attakaindakara (lower)| 30.51% | 83.24% |
|        | Osaka Lover (lower)   | 30.98% | 73.80% |
|        | Hana (lower)          | 33.45% | 97.46% |
| Rule   | Natsumatsuri (lower)  | 33.64% | 85.53% |
|        | Hana (lower)          | 37.56% | 90.30% |
|        | Aoi Benchi (upper)    | 37.77% | 85.33% |
|        | Attakaindakara (upper)| 38.98% | 67.03% |
|        | Attakaindakara (lower)| 39.23% | 78.92% |

The ground truth of the submelody mainly consists of notes of the 3rd above the main melody but also includes notes of the 6th above. In addition, the submelody sometimes uses oblique motions (in the 2nd, 4th, and 5th measures). On the other hand, all notes except one note in the prob-based and rule-based submelodies are notes of the major 3rd, minor 3rd, or perfect 4th above the main melody. This could be one reason why some musically unnatural notes were generated. In particular, the rule-based method tends to select the note of the major 3rd above preferentially, so it sometimes selects such notes (e.g., F in the 7th measure) even though they are musically unnatural.

- **Attakaindakara (lower)**
  Similarly to the upper submelody for the same song, the ground truth includes both parallel motions with 3rd intervals and oblique motions (in the 2nd and 4th measures). The prob-based submelody uses chord notes where passing notes are better (e.g., the last B in the auftakt, the last A in the 5th measure, and the last B in the 8th measure). Indeed, these notes were judged to be musically inappropriate in the subjective evaluation (Section 5.1.4). In the rule-based submelody, the problem of musically unnatural notes of the major 3rd from the main melody is more severe than the lower submelody (B♭ in the auftakt, B♭ and A♭ in the 2nd measure, and E♭ in the 5th measure).

- **Hana (lower)**
  Almost all notes in the ground truth of the submelody are 6th below the main melody. They are just one octave below the upper submelody. The prob-based submelody mainly consists of notes of 4th below the main melody and the G note is repeated. This submelody does not cause strong dissonance, but it is slightly monotonous because it almost consists of only G, C, and E. The rule-based submelody for this
song also suffered from the problem of musically unnatural notes of the major 3rd from the main melody (e.g., A♭ in the auftakt and B♭ in the 2nd measure).

To summarize, at least in these four examples, the prob-based method rarely generates dissonant submelodies, but tends to fail to select passing notes. The rule-based method sometimes selects notes of the major 3rd from the main melody that cause dissonance.

5.2 Evaluation of Effects of Audio/Visual Feedback

We conducted an experiment to confirm how the audio and visual feedback functions are effective in the practice of backing vocals.

5.2.1 Used Systems

The used systems are listed in Table 3. Because the purpose of the experiment is to confirm the effects of audio and visual feedback functions, we compared our system with systems in which either of the feedback functions was disabled. To reduce the participants’ burden, we omitted the comparison with the system in which both feedback functions were disabled.

5.2.2 Participants

The participants were 12 university students (male: 5, female: 7). Two of the participants had more than five years of piano experience, but the others had no particular musical experience. No one had special singing experience.

5.2.3 Procedure

Every participant was asked to use the three systems listed in Table 3. Systems used in the experiments (*proposed).

| System   | Audio feedback | Visual feedback |
|----------|----------------|-----------------|
| System A* | Enabled        | Enabled         |
| System B  | Enabled        | Disabled        |
| System C  | Disabled       | Enabled         |

Fig. 10  Examples of generated submelodies (cross symbols “×” are attached to notes considered musically inappropriate by the human evaluator in Section 5.1.4). The melodies of these songs were written by Hashi Jinta (Natsumatsuri), Kumamushi (Attakaidakara), and Orange Range (Hana).
Table 3. For each system, participants did the following:

1. Repeat the following three times
   a. Practice Song 1 with the given system for two minutes
   b. Sing Song 1 as if really singing in karaoke (non-practice singing)
2. Answer a questionnaire
3. Repeat the following three times
   a. Practice Song 2 with the given system for two minutes
   b. Sing Song 2 as if really singing in karaoke (non-practice singing)
4. Answer the same questionnaire

To prevent the effect of getting used to the songs and the systems, we used different songs for different systems and counter-balanced the order of the systems among the participants. In non-practice singing, the experimenter (the second author) sang the main melody together with the participant’s submelody singing; the visual feedback function was disabled (the audio feedback was enabled) regardless of the given system for the practice phase. To obtain sufficient accuracy of pitch estimation, the participant and the experimenter used headphones in all cases.

In the questionnaire, we asked each participant the following four questions:

Q1 Could you grasp the pitch of your voice accurately?
Q2 Do you think that the practice phase was useful for the non-practice singing?
Q3 Could you practice the submelody singing and prevent it from being influenced by the main melody?
Q4 Was your voice influenced by the main melody’s voice in the non-practice singing?

The participants answered these questions on a scale of 0 to 6. Lower ratings are better only for Question 4. The ratings were tested using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. The Bonferroni correction was used for multiple comparisons.

5.2.4 Used Songs
We used six famous J-pop songs: “Sakuranbo” (Ai Ohtsuka), “Natsu No Hi No 1993” (Class), “Glamorous Sky” (Mika Nakashima), “Asu No Tobira” (I WiSH), and “RPG” (Sekai No Owari). To minimize the burden on participants, we used only the chorus sections of these songs. To prevent the effect of the difference in lyrics, we asked the participant to sing them by “la-la-la.”

5.2.5 Results —Questionnaire—
The results (Fig. 11) can be summarized as follows:

Q1 The ratings for System A are significantly superior to those for System B with a significance level of 1%. This means that the visual feedback of the pitch of users’ singing is to some extent effective in helping them grasp the pitch accuracy of their voices.
Q2 No significant differences among the three systems are shown.
Q3 Also for this question, no significant differences among the systems are shown. This occurs because our system plays the main melody with a guide tone, not a singing voice. Therefore, our system could not create a situation in which singing the submelody is influenced by the main melody in the practice phase. This could be improved by introducing a singing synthesis engine, such as Vocaloid.
Q4 This question also shows no significant difference among the systems. However, four participants commented, in an interview after the experiment, that they were less influenced by the main melody when they practiced with System A than with the other systems. This implies a possibility that our system is effective in preventing the user’s singing from being influenced by the main melody.

Although we did not conduct a systematic evaluation for the interface design, all participants were able to use our system without confusion.

5.2.6 Results —Pitch Accuracy—
We analyzed how the pitch is improved by comparing pitch accuracy in the first, second, and third non-practice singing phases of the same song. The same method as the pitch estimator was used for the audio feedback. Specifically, the pitch of the singing voice is estimated every 5 ms, and the ratio of the frames in which the difference from the correct pitch is smaller than 200 cent is calculated. The silent frames and the frames in which the difference is greater than 800 ms are excluded in calculating this ratio. Only for System A, two participants were excluded from this analysis because more than half of the frames of their singing voices were excluded.

The results are shown in Fig. 12. By comparing the pitch accuracy at the first and third singing, we can see that six of the 10 participants (60%) improved the pitch accuracy by more than 5% with System A. On the other hand, five of the 12 participants (42%) improved with System B.

5.3 Evaluation of Key Transposition
When the lead vocalist’s pitch range does not match the range of the main melody of the target song, he/she may transpose the key to match those pitch ranges. If this is the case, the backing vocalist also has to sing the submelody in the transposed key. However, it is difficult to sing the submelody in a different key from the key in the practice phase. Our system therefore enables
the user to practice backing vocals in any key by transposing the key. In this procedure, we confirm the effects of this transposition function.

5.3.1 Participants

The participants are 13 university students (male: 6, female: 7) who did not participate in the procedure in Section 5.2. Six participants have more than five years of piano experience, and one participant has more than five years of bass experience.

5.3.2 Procedure

On each of the transposition-enabled and transposition-disabled conditions, every participant did the same procedure as Section 5.2 (however, here they sang three songs).

During the non-practice singing, the key was transposed up a major 2nd in both conditions. During the practice phase, the key was set to the original key in the transposition-disabled condition, while the participants freely selected the key in the transposition-enabled condition. Because they were informed in advance that they had to sing in the major-2nd-above key at the non-practice singing phase, all participants selected this key.

The questionnaire consists of the following questions:

Q1 Do you think that the practice phase was useful for the non-practice singing?
Q2 Do you think that your submelodies in the non-practice singing were confirmed with a significance level of 5% (for Q1, even with 1%). This shows that practice is more effective when the same key used in the non-practice singing phase is selected.

Q3 Was it easy to sing submelodies in the non-practice singing?

Q4 Did you experience difficulty singing the submelody in a non-original key (a key different from the original CD recording) in the non-practice singing?

The participant answered these questions on a scale of 0 to 6. Lower ratings are better only for Question 4. The ratings were tested using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

5.3.3 Used Songs

We used the same songs as Section 5.2 in the same way.

5.3.4 Results —Questionnaire—

The results (Fig. 13) can be summarized as follows:

Q1–Q3 The ratings in the transposition-enabled condition were on average at least 1.3 higher than in the transposition-disabled condition, and the significance of the differences were confirmed with a significance level of 5% (for Q1, even with 1%). This shows that practice is more effective when the same key used in the non-practice singing phase is selected.

Q4 The rating in the transposition-enabled condition was on average at least 2.0 lower than in the transition-disabled condition, and the significant difference was shown with a significance level of 1%. This implies that it is not easy to accurately sing submelodies in a non-original key after practicing the submelodies in the original key.

5.3.5 Results —Pitch Accuracy—

We analyzed the improvement of pitch accuracy during the non-practice singing in the same way as Section 5.2.5. One participant (the same participant in both conditions) was excluded, because more than half of the frames of this participant’s singing were excluded.

The results are shown in Fig. 14. When we compare the first and third singing, four of the 12 participants markedly improved their pitch accuracy (more than 10%) in the transposition-enabled condition, while two participants improved in the transcription-disabled condition.

6. Conclusions

In this paper, we proposed a system to practice backing vocals for karaoke. To enable karaoke users to learn backing vocals, we had to resolve two issues: automatic generation of submelodies, and support of backing vocals practice through audio and visual feedback. Through experiments, we confirmed that our system resolved these issues to some extent.

However, we still have a number of issues. There is still room for improvement on the parameter tuning of our model. We also should try data-driven learning of the model parameters. In addition, the experiments were short due to considering the participants’ burden; longer experiments are necessary to investigate how the backing vocal skill is being improved.
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