DNA Polymerase β as a Novel Target for Chemotherapeutic Intervention of Colorectal Cancer
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Abstract

Chemoprevention presents a major strategy for the medical management of colorectal cancer. Most drugs used for colorectal cancer therapy induce DNA-alkylation damage, which is primarily repaired by the base excision repair (BER) pathway. Thus, blockade of BER pathway is an attractive option to inhibit the spread of colorectal cancer. Using an in silico approach, we performed a structure-based screen by docking small-molecules onto DNA polymerase β (Pol-β) and identified a potent anti-Pol-β compound, NSC-124854. Our goal was to examine whether NSC-124854 could enhance the therapeutic efficacy of DNA-alkylating agent, Temozolomide (TMZ), by blocking BER. First, we determined the specificity of NSC-124854 for Pol-β by examining in vitro activities of APE1, Fen1, DNA ligase I, and Pol-β-directed single nucleotide (SN)- and long-patch (LP)-BER. Second, we investigated the effect of NSC-124854 on the efficacy of TMZ to inhibit the growth of mismatch repair (MMR)-deficient and MMR-proficient colon cancer cell lines using in vitro clonogenic assays. Third, we explored the effect of NSC-124854 on TMZ-induced in vivo tumor growth inhibition of MMR-deficient and MMR-proficient colonic xenografts implanted in female homozygous SCID mice. Our data showed that NSC-124854 has high specificity to Pol-β and blocked Pol-β-directed SN- and LP-BER activities in in vitro reconstituted system. Furthermore, NSC-124854 effectively induced the sensitization of TMZ to MMR-deficient and MMR-proficient colon cancer cells both in vitro cell culture and in vivo xenograft models. Our findings suggest a potential novel strategy for the development of highly specific structure-based inhibitor for the prevention of colonic tumor progression.

Introduction

Colorectal cancer is the third most common cancer and the second most common cause of cancer related deaths worldwide [1]. In the year 2010, an estimated 102,900 new colorectal cases will be diagnosed and 51,370 deaths will occur in the United States only. Although in the last two decades an appreciable advancement has been made in the treatment options, the rate of mortality from this disease is not much improved. Therefore, new therapies are needed to improve the prognosis of this disease. For many years, the first choice of chemotherapeutic drug for colorectal cancer has been 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU). It is mostly used as neoadjuvant therapy with radiation and in combination with several other chemotherapeutic drugs, such as mitomycin, cisplatin, oxaliplatin, camptosar, eloxatin, avastin, erbitux, and vectibix for the treatment of colorectal cancer that becomes metastasized [2]. These drugs give best results at higher doses, but cause serious side effects, including the killing of healthy cells of lining of mouth, the lining of the gastrointestinal tract, the hair follicles, the bone marrow and cause liver injury and hypertension [3].

Mutations in the adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) gene is an early event in familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP), a syndrome in which there is an inherited predisposition to colon cancer [4,5]. Most mutations of the APC gene occur in the mutation cluster region (MCR) and result in the production of a truncated protein. This truncation compromises several functions of APC, which is involved in chromosomal instability and abnormal functioning of Wnt-signaling pathway, cell cycle regulation, stabilization of the microtubular cytoskeleton, cell-cell interactions and DNA repair [6–14]. Recent studies suggest that the nuclear APC, through a region (amino acids 1441-2077) that is truncated in the majority of colorectal tumors, cooperates in the recruitment of DNAPKcs to the damaged DNA chromatin and enhances early response to double-strand breaks (DSB) DNA repair [15]. APC also interacts directly with genomic DNA, preferentially with A/T rich sequences [16], implying a role for APC in DNA replication [17]. It has been suggested that APC through its C-terminus end (amino acids 2140-2421) interacts with DNA and negatively regulates cell cycle progression through inhibition of DNA replication by direct interaction with DNA [17]. We have previously shown that treatment with DNA-alkylating agents enhance the level of APC in colorectal cancer cells [14]. In addition, we demonstrated that APC interacts with DNA polymerase β (Pol-β) and flap-endonuclease 1 (Fen1) and blocks Pol-β-directed single-nucleotide (SN)- and long-patch (LP)-base
excision repair (BER) activities to affect cellular responsiveness to chemotherapy [14,18]. Based upon these studies, it appears that the interaction of APC with Pol-β and other BER proteins can be an appropriate target for chemotherapeutic intervention of colorectal cancer growth.

The use of DNA-alkylating agents as chemotherapeutic drugs is based on their ability to trigger a cell death response [19], and their therapeutic efficacy is determined by the balance between DNA damage and repair. The DNA-alkylation damage-induced lesions are repaired by the BER, O’-methylguanine DNA-methyltransferase (MGMT) and mismatch repair (MMR) pathways. Many colon tumors become resistant to DNA-alkylating agents due to overexpression of MGMT or MMR-deficiency [20]. The cells deficient in MGMT are unable to process the O’MeG during DNA synthesis, and if it is not repaired, a G:C to G:T transition mutation occurs [21]. In previous studies, the role of BER pathway has also been implicated in cellular resistance to TMZ [22,23], which depends on specific BER gene expression and activity [24]. In the past years, the anticancer drugs that have been developed mainly target the MGMT and MMR pathways [25,26]. Since MMR-deficient colorectal cancers pose a greater risk of resistance to DNA-alkylating drugs due to overexpression of MGMT or MMR-deficiency [27–29], it is critical to discover a chemotherapeutic strategy that can be useful for the treatment of both MMR-deficient and MMR-proficient colorectal tumors. Interestingly, although BER is responsible for the repair of 70%, 5% and 9% of N’-methylguanine (MeG), N²-MeG, and N²-methyadenine (MeA) lesions, respectively, induced by the DNA-alkylating drug Temozolomide (TMZ; NSC-362856) [23,30,31], the potential utility of BER pathway blockade has not been thoroughly explored. Thus, with the combination of BER blocking agents and TMZ treatment, the clinical outcome of chemotherapeutic efficacy of TMZ can be enhanced. Previous studies also support that DNA alkylation-induced damage is primarily repaired by the BER pathway [26,27]. In the past, BER pathway has been utilized as a target for the development of new drugs, but the clinical implication of these drugs is still under investigation [31–36].

TMZ has been successfully being used for the treatment of metastatic melanoma and glioblastoma multiforme, the latter in combination with radiotherapy [37,38], but has been shown to be less effective in the treatment of other malignancies. A Phase II clinical study of TMZ in pre-selected advanced aerodigestive tract cancers, including colorectal neoplasm, has been recently completed by Scherling-Plough, Kenilworth, NJ, showing only a partial response to the treatment (http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00423150). In an earlier Phase I clinical study of TMZ, a partial response of the drug on metastatic colorectal cancer was also observed, suggesting considerable tumor resistance to treatment [39]. To overcome the resistance of TMZ, a Phase II clinical study was performed in which lomeguatrib was combined with TMZ, but the results were not very significant [40]. Thus, there is an urgent need of the development of a new strategy by which the efficacy of TMZ can be increased for the treatment of colorectal cancers.

Since most chemotherapeutic drugs cause DNA damage and resistance due to activation of the DNA repair pathway(s), targeting proteins of these pathway(s) to block their activity can be a promising strategy for the development of novel drugs with higher efficacy to both chemo-resistant and sensitive tumors. In recent years, structure-based virtual design and the three-dimensional structure of a drug-target interaction with small molecular weight inhibitors has been used to guide rationale drug discovery [41]. The computer-aided drug design could find new lead compounds and aided in the structure optimization for biological and pharmacological tests [42–44]. In the present study, we performed structure-based molecular docking of Pol-β at the site where adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) interacts and blocks Pol-β-directed BER [14,43,46]. The structure-based molecular docking is considered a suitable approach for the development of new drugs, since this approach is targeted to specific protein and specific pathway [47]. Based upon in silico efforts, we have identified a highly potent small molecular weight inhibitor, NSC-124854 (1-[5-(4-amino-6-iodo-2-oxo-5,6-dihydropyrimidin-1-yl)-3-hydroxy-oxolan-2-yl] methoxysphosphonic acid), which specifically interacts with Pol-β and blocks Pol-β-directed single-nucleotide (SN)- and long-patch (LP)-BER. Here we present data describing that NSC-124854 can enhance the efficacy of TMZ in MMR-deficient and proficient colon cancer cells in vitro and in vivo models. We propose that these pre-clinical findings will establish a new paradigm for clinical management of colon cancer.

Results

Screening of small molecules to inhibit Pol-β-directed strand-displacement activity

To identify a potent anti-Pol-β compound, we used an in silico high-throughput structure-based molecular docking approach and screened approximately 140,000 small molecule compounds (molecular weight <500 daltons) for their ability to interact with the APC-binding site of Pol-β (Fig. 1A) [48]. The 22 highest scoring small molecular compounds were requested from the Developmental Therapeutics Program (DTP) of National Cancer Institute (NCI) for functional evaluation. We performed the initial screening of the compounds for determining their ability to inhibit Pol-β-directed strand-displacement synthesis. We used an in vitro reconstituted assay system in these studies. We screened 22 top scoring compounds and the data of 12 compounds is shown here (Fig. 2A–C). Among 22 small molecules, NSC-21371 and NSC-91855 inhibited Pol-β-directed strand-displacement synthesis at 125 μM concentration (Fig. 2B; compare lane 3 with lanes 9–13 and 19–23, respectively). These small molecular compounds did not affect 1-nt incorporation activity (24-mer product) of Pol-β at any tested concentration. However, NSC-124854 inhibited Pol-β-directed strand-displacement activity at 3 μM concentration, while higher concentrations of NSC-124854 completely abrogated the formation of strand-displacement products (Fig. 2A; comparison of lane 3 with lanes 4–8 respectively). The concentration of NSC-124854 was further increased to 50 μM or more, the 1-nt incorporation (24-mer product) activity of Pol-β was completely blocked as shown by the accumulation of 23-mer incision product and lack of 1-nt incorporation product, reflecting a complete loss of Pol-β activity (Fig. 2A, compare lane 3 with 4–8, respectively). These results suggest that NSC-124854 is a most potent inhibitor of Pol-β activity among all the compounds tested.

Small molecule inhibitor, NSC-124854, specifically blocks Pol-β activity

We further determined whether NSC-124854 is a specific inhibitor of Pol-β activity or it might also block the activity of other BER enzymes. We first determined the IC50 of NSC-124854 for Pol-β-directed strand-displacement synthesis. This experiment was the same as described in the screening experiment, except we chose lower concentrations to determine the IC50 of NSC-124854. We quantitated the strand-displacement synthesis products (Fig. 3A, lanes 3-10, respectively) and plotted as a percent of change of NSC-124854-mediated blockage of Pol-β activity (Fig. 3B). The treatment with NSC-124854 showed a dose-
dependent decrease in the strand-displacement synthesis activity with an IC_{50} of 5.3 μM (Fig. 3B). These results suggest that NSC-124854 has a strong inhibitory effect on Pol-β-directed strand-displacement synthesis.

Next, we determined whether NSC-124854 can inhibit the activity of other BER pathway enzymes such as apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease 1 (APE1), Fen1 and DNA ligase I. Results showed that NSC-124854 did not inhibit the activities of these enzymes (Fig. 4A–C, respectively). From these results, we concluded that the inhibitory effect of NSC-124854 was highly specific for Pol-β and did not affect the activity of other BER enzymes.

Small molecule inhibitor NSC-124854 blocks single-nucleotide (SN)- and long-patch (LP)-BER activities in a reconstituted in vitro assay

Based upon molecular docking analysis, NSC-124854 is predicted to form polar (H-bonds) interactions with the amino acid residues Lys81 and Arg89 and a non-polar (van der Waals) interaction with the amino acid residue Asp17 on the surface of Pol-β [48,49]. These residues are in close proximity of the APE binding pocket (amino acid residues Thr79, Lys81 and Arg83) (Fig. 1B). These predicted contacts suggest a multiple direct contacts between NSC-124854 and Pol-β, which can possibly mimic the interaction of APE with Pol-β. Since these data suggest that NSC-124854 in combination with TMZ as a possible chemotherapeutic treatment strategy for colorectal cancer, we undertook a study to determine the efficacy and limitations of this strategy.

Although we determined the specificity of NSC-124854 for Pol-β activity as shown in Fig. 3 and 4, it was necessary to examine the effect of this compound when the complete BER system of SN- and LP-BER is assembled. These experiments will provide biochemical evidence for the potency of NSC-124854. We used 63-mer 32P-labeled U-DNA as a substrate (Fig. 5A) for SN-BER. Since Fen1 is required for LP-BER activity and can stimulate Pol-β activity for strand-displacement synthesis [50,51], we adopted same strategy for determining the effect of NSC-124854 on LP-BER (Fig. 5B). After APE1 incision, an expected 23-mer product was generated (Fig. 5C; compare lane 1 with 2). The results showed efficient 1-nt incorporation (24-mer product) by Pol-β which was ligated with DNA ligase I to generate 63-mer repaired product (Fig. 5C, lane 5). The addition of Fen1 stimulated Pol-β activity for strand-displacement synthesis (Fig. 5C, lane 4), which was also ligated with DNA ligase I to generate 63-mer repaired product (Fig. 5C, lane 6). The addition of NSC-124854 blocked Pol-β-directed 1-nt addition (24-mer product in the absence of Fen1) (Fig. 5C, compare lane 3 with 7) as well as strand-displacement synthesis in the presence of Fen1 in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 5C, compare lane 4 with lanes 8–11, respectively). Further, the complete repair by SN- and LP-BER sub-pathways after the addition of DNA ligase I was also blocked by NSC-124854 in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 5C; compare lanes 12–15 and 16–19, respectively).

Expression of wild-type APC causes resistance to TMZ treatment which is abolished by the treatment with NSC-124854

In previous studies, we have shown that APC interacts with Pol-β and Fen1 and blocks SN- and LP-BER activities [14,45,46,52–54]. We have also shown that HCT-116 cells (express wild-type APC) are more sensitive to methylmethane sulfonate (MMS) and TMZ treatments than HCT-116-APC(KD) cells (knocked-down APC with pSiRNA) [36,45,46]. In the present study, we determined the sensitivity of TMZ in the presence of NSC-124854 to several colon cancer cell lines (HCT-116, HCT-116-APC(KD), HCT-116+ch3, Caco-2, HT29, SW480, LoVo and RKO) using a clonogenic assay [18,36,46]. The IC_{50} data showed that all the cell lines tested showed higher sensitivity to the combination treatment of NSC-124854 with TMZ (Table 1). The IC_{50} data showed that NSC-124854 was able to enhance the growth inhibitory effect of TMZ to both HCT-116 and HCT-116
APC(KD) cell lines; however, the effect was greater in HCT-116 than in HCT-116-APC(KD) cells (Table 1). These results confirm our previous findings that wild-type APC by inhibiting BER pathway increases the sensitivity of DNA-alkylating drugs [36,46,54]. However, other cell lines which express either wild-type or truncated APC, such as RKO (310 kDa), SW480 (147 kDa), Caco-2 (150 kDa), LoVo (120 kDa) and HT29 (110 and 200 kDa) did not show a direct correlation of the role of APC in the sensitivity of these drugs. These results suggest that genetic factors other than mutations in the APC gene might also play a role in determining the sensitivity of NSC-124854 and TMZ to different colon cancer cells. One of the critical parameters for this differential sensitivity is controlled by the differential status of MMR activity, which is discussed below.

Combination treatment of NSC-124854 enhances growth inhibitory effect of TMZ on MMR-deficient and MMR-proficient colon cancer cells

MMR proteins are involved in the chemotherapeutic response of colon cancer cells, and defect in MMR protein(s) is present in the hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC) [55]. Two
of the MMR proteins are most commonly mutated in human cancers, MLH1 and MSH2. MMR-deficient cells are frequently resistant to DNA-alkylating agents [55]. To determine the role of MMR in the combination effect of NSC-124854 with TMZ on the growth inhibition, we used several MMR-proficient and MMR-deficient colon cancer cell lines. HCT-116, HCT-116-APC(KD), and RKO cell lines are deficient in MMR due to lack of expression of hMLH1, a key enzyme of this pathway [56], while LoVo cells are MMR-proficient due to absence of MSH2 expression [57]. The HCT-116+ch3 cell has been made MMR-proficient by introducing a single copy of chromosome 3 harboring the hMLH1 gene [56]. TMZ is known to cause resistance to MMR-proficient cells [26]. In previous studies, it has been suggested that the disruption of BER pathway can abolish drug resistance caused by MMR-deficiency [59]. In the present study, we determined whether treatment of TMZ sensitizes MMR-proficient cells comparatively more than the MMR-deficient cells, and whether the blockade of BER pathway by NSC-124854 can abolish TMZ resistance to MMR-deficient cells. As expected, the MMR-proficient cell lines SW480 (IC50 108.1±3.2 μM), HCT-116+ch3 (IC50 150.7±4.3 μM) and Caco-2 (IC50 449.2±42.4 μM), except HT29 (IC50 962.7±62.7 μM) showed a higher sensitivity to TMZ as compared to MMR-deficient cell lines HCT-116 (IC50 739.0±25.9 μM), HCT-116-APC(KD), (IC50 877.7±49.2 μM), LoVo (IC50 838.8±53.1 μM) and RKO (IC50 1572.6±89.9 μM) (Table 1). Furthermore, the combination treatment of NSC-124854 further reduced the IC50 of TMZ by two-fold in all the seven cell lines regardless of their status of MMR activity (Table 1). These results suggest that the combination treatment of NSC-124854 with TMZ could be a useful chemotherapy strategy for the management of both MMR-deficient and MMR-proficient colorectal tumors.

Combination of NSC-124854 with TMZ can be used as a potential chemotherapeutic approach to augment colonic tumor growth in vivo

To further verify the in vitro results of the efficacy of the combination treatment of NSC-124854 and TMZ with a well-characterized and specific target of Pol-β to reduce the doses of TMZ that can eliminate side-effects and simultaneously abolish the MMR-resistance, we performed an in vivo xenograft study using severe combined immunodeficient (SCID, lacking functional T and B cells) mouse, as described in Figure 6A. Our choice for female mice was based on recent study describing that the estimated new cases of colon cancer in 2009 was predicted to be higher in females compared to males [60]. We chose a dose of 20 mg/kg body weight of TMZ for these experiments, which is 10- and 4-times lower than the maximum tolerated dose in mice and human, respectively [61–63]. Also, the dose of 10 mg/kg body weight for NSC-124854 is more than 20-times lower than its IC50 in culture (Table 1). Although we have not determined the maximum tolerated dose (MTD) of NSC-124854 in mice, the chosen concentration is very much in the safer range.

These drugs were given intraperitoneally (i.p.) for five consecutive days. The growth inhibition of tumors was monitored up to 42 days. The results showed an increase in the tumor volume in the control group in a time-dependent manner for all the cell lines, i.e., HCT-116, HCT-116-APC(KD) and HCT-116+ch3. The tumor volume reached a maximum of 1,120 mm3 within 42 days of xenograft implantation, which is shown in Figure 6B. The tumor growth in the untreated HCT-116 xenograft was higher than HCT-116-APC(KD) and HCT-116+ch3 cells. The anti-tumor effect of TMZ alone was significantly different in HCT-116+ch3 cells (MMR-proficient) and was less pronounced in HCT-116 and HCT-116-APC(KD) cells (Fig. 6B). Treatment with NSC-124854 alone also decreased the growth of tumors with all the cell lines, which was more pronounced when it was combined with TMZ (Fig. 6B). These results suggest that the combination treatment of NSC-124854 enhances the therapeutic efficacy of TMZ equally well in both MMR-deficient and MMR-proficient xenograft tumor model in vivo. To determine the tolerance of the drugs, we recorded the body weight of the animals twice a week until the end of the experiment. The results showed a similar gain in body weight of control and treated group of animals with NSC-124854 and TMZ alone or in combination (data not shown). Thus, it appears that the doses of 10 mg/kg body weight for NSC-
two independent experiments.

Lane 1 shows 23-mer labeled oligonucleotide (nicked product) respectively) and 32P-labeled 63-mer F-DNA. Lanes 1 and 2 show uncut lanes 3–9, respectively) and 32P-labeled 51-mer flapped-DNA. Lane 1 with different concentrations of NSC-124854 (0.5-20 μM, lanes 3-9, respectively) followed by addition of 2.5 nM of 32P-labeled 63-mer nicked 32P-labeled 63-mer F-DNA and 23-mer incision product, respectively. Lane 1 shows the position of 51-mer labeled oligonucleotide and lane 2 shows 11-mer cleaved flap product. Panel B shows the effect of NSC-124854 on Fen1 activity. Fen1 (10 nM) was incubated with different concentrations of NSC-124854 (0.5-20 μM, lanes 3–9, respectively) and 32P-labeled 51-mer flapped-DNA. Lane 1 shows the position of 51-mer labeled oligonucleotide and lane 2 shows 11-mer cleaved flap product. Panel C shows the effect of NSC-124854 on DNA ligase I activity. DNA ligase I (5 nM) was incubated with different concentrations of NSC-124854 (0.5–20 μM, lanes 3–9, respectively) followed by addition of 2.5 nM of 32P-labeled 63-mer nicked DNA. Lane 1 shows 23-mer labeled oligonucleotide (nicked product) and lane 2 shows 63-mer ligated product. Data are representative of two independent experiments.

Figure 4. NSC-124854 does not block the activity of APE1, Fen1 and DNA ligase I in reconstituted in vitro assays. Panel A shows the effect of NSC-124854 on APE1 activity. APE1 (10 nM) was incubated with different concentrations of NSC-124854 (0.5-20 μM, lanes 3-9, respectively) and 32P-labeled 63-mer F-DNA. Lanes 1 and 2 show uncut 32P-labeled 63-mer F-DNA and 23-mer incision product, respectively. Panel B shows the effect of NSC-124854 on Fen1 activity. Fen1 (10 nM) was incubated with different concentrations of NSC-124854 (0.5–20 μM, lanes 3–9, respectively) and 32P-labeled 51-mer flapped-DNA. Lane 1 shows the position of 51-mer labeled oligonucleotide and lane 2 shows 11-mer cleaved flap product. Panel C shows the effect of NSC-124854 on DNA ligase I activity. DNA ligase I (5 nM) was incubated with different concentrations of NSC-124854 (0.5–20 μM, lanes 3–9, respectively) followed by addition of 2.5 nM of 32P-labeled 63-mer nicked DNA. Lane 1 shows 23-mer labeled oligonucleotide (nicked product) and lane 2 shows 63-mer ligated product. Data are representative of two independent experiments.

Discussion

In previous studies, Pol-β has been used as a target for chemotherapeutic drug development [23,34], but did not reach beyond the pre-clinical level. The efficacy of the previous compounds has been less effective because they require very high concentrations to achieve the desired cytotoxicity in vitro and they were not tested systematically in vivo. Furthermore, they were mainly targeted to block SN-BER. The use of TMZ for the treatment of malignancies other than glioblastoma and melanoma has been limited, especially for the treatment of colorectal tumors, due to less pronounced effect on suppression of tumor growth [39,40]. In the present study, we used structure-based molecular approach to identify small molecule which can mimic the interaction of APC with Pol-β and block Pol-β-directed BER that can be utilized as a chemotherapeutic target. Our strategy of molecular docking in the APC-binding pocket of Pol-β was to identify a small molecule which can block both SN- and LP-BER activities and demonstrate cytotoxicity in both in vitro and in vivo assays at lower concentrations.

Notably, if successful, the proposed strategy will be highly effective in the prevention of both MMR-proficient and MMR-deficient colorectal cancers; this is of importance because the MMR-deficient colorectal cancers pose a greater risk of resistance to DNA-alkylating drugs due to over-expression of MGMT or MMR-deficiency [27–29]. The cells deficient in MGMT are unable to process the O6MeG during DNA synthesis, and if un repaired, a G:C to G:T transition mutation occurs [27]. The G:T mismatch is then repaired by MMR pathway [28]. However, if the O6MeG is not repaired before the re-synthesis step in MMR, the thymine is likely to be re-inserted opposite to the lesion. It is believed that the repetitive cycle of futile MMR results into a generation of tertiary lesions, most likely gapped DNA. This then gives rise to double-stranded breaks (DSBs) in DNA that elicits a cell death response [29,66]. Furthermore, it has been shown that TMZ causes resistance to MMR-deficient colon tumors and limits its use in the treatment of these cancers [67]. Thus, a chemotherapeutic strategy that can induce cell death in both MMR-proficient and MMR-deficient colon cancer cells is highly desirable [68]. Our results indicate that the strategy of combining NSC-124854 with TMZ seems to be effectively blocking the growth of both MMR-proficient and MMR-deficient colon cancer cells in vitro and causing anti-tumor activity in vivo, which supports the previous findings [27,59]. This suggests that the blockade of the repair of TMZ-induced N7-MeG, N3-MeG, N3-MeA lesions (non-mutagenic) by NSC-124854 causes much higher cytotoxicity than the mutagenic lesions of O6-MeG. Thus, our approach of combining NSC-124854 with TMZ shows promise in that NSC-124854 can overcome TMZ-induced resistance at lower doses and increase its efficacy against colorectal cancer. Since our strategy is to block Pol-β activity by small molecular compounds and increase the efficacy of TMZ, it will also benefit patients who carry wild-type or mutant APC gene; the mutant APC gene is the precursors of adenomas and carcinomas in familial adenomatous polyosis (FAP) colon cancer.

Based on our in vitro and in vivo results there are certain points which need further discussion regarding the tumor growth inhibition after drug treatments. In the in vitro studies, we clearly demonstrated that APC caused sensitization of HCT-116 cells than HCT-116-APC(KD) cells. Also, the MMR-proficient HCT-116 and HCT-116-APC(KD) cells were more sensitive to TMZ treatment than MMR-deficient HCT-116 and HCT-116-APC(KD) cells. These in vitro results did not translate in a similar fashion to in vivo results in which the effect of TMZ on MMR-proficient and MMR-deficient xenografts was not as prominent as was seen with in vitro assays. It appears that the dose of TMZ (20 mg/kg body weight) used for in vivo studies, perhaps, was high enough to show the difference in the sensitivity of MMR-proficient and MMR-deficient cells. A similar observation has been made in previous studies as well where even up to two-fold higher concentration of TMZ (40 mg/kg body

124854 and 20 mg/kg body weight for TMZ were well tolerated and did not cause any obvious adverse side effects in our experimental animals.
weight) did not show significant difference on the xenograft tumor growth inhibition of MMR-proficient and MMR-deficient colon cancer cells [33]. Another point which we noted in these studies was the effect of NSC-124854 alone on the decrease of growth of xenograft tumors, although it was significant only with HCT-116+ch3 tumors. We initially predicted that NSC-124854 being specific for Pol-β will show no effect on tumor growth if treated alone. However, the effect of NSC-124854 alone on the growth of xenograft tumors observed in this study could be due to the production of more abasic lesions than cells in culture, which sensitizes these xenograft tumor cells to the treatment with NSC-124854. Also, it is possible that NSC-124854 blocks critical cell survival pathways which are active in xenograft tumors but not in cultured cells. The doses of NSC-124854 (10 mg/kg body weight) and TMZ (20 mg/kg body weight) which we used in these studies, seems to be well tolerated by the animals. However, it will be necessary in the future to determine the maximum tolerated dose (MTD) of NSC-124854 alone and together with TMZ so that a highest efficacy of these drugs can be translated into clinical practice. Importantly, although these studies are focused on a lead small molecular compound, NSC-124854, it provides insight into the rational development of second generation small molecular compounds with greater potency and specificity for the treatment of colon cancer. The results allow us to discuss the “proof-of-principle” by which Pol-β-targeted compounds enhance the efficacy of TMZ. Thus, by developing a target-defined strategy of chemotherapy and with the appropriate understanding of the mechanism of action, the strategy of combination of NSC-124854 with TMZ may provide a foundation for both clinical and scientific development of colon cancer management.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cell lines**

Human colon cancer cell lines HCT-116, SW480, RKO, Caco-2, LoVo and HT29 were obtained from ATCC (Manassas, VA). HCT-116-APC(KD) cell line was established in our laboratory [52], and HCT-116+ch3 cell line was a gift from Dr. Tom Kunkel (NIEHS, Research Triangle Park, NC) [69]. HCT-116, HCT-116-APC(KD) and HCT-116+ch3 cells were grown in McCoy’s 5a, RKO and Caco-2 cells were grown in MEM, and LoVo cells were grown in Ham’s F-12 medium at 37°C under a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2. For each cell line, the medium was supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (HyClone, Logan, UT) 100 U/ml of penicillin, and 100 µg/ml of streptomycin.

**Oligonucleotides and Chemicals.** All oligonucleotides were purchased from Sigma-Genosys (Woodlands, TX). Restriction enzymes and T4-polynucleotide kinase (PNK) was purchased from New England Biolabs Ipswich, MA) and radionuclide [γ-32P]ATP was purchased from Perkin Elmer, Inc. (Boston, MA).

**Molecular docking**

The molecular docking was performed using the atomic coordinates extracted from the crystal structure of human Pol-β.

---

Figure 5. NSC-124854 blocks Pol-β-directed SN- and LP-BER activities. Panels A and B represent the protocols of the SN- and LP-BER, respectively. Panel C shows the autoradiogram describing the effect of varying concentrations of NSC-124854 on SN- and LP-BER activities. Lane 1 shows 63-mer 32P-labeled U-DNA, Lane 2 shows 23-mer product after APE1 incision, Lane 3 shows 1-nt incorporation by Pol-β, Lane 4 shows strand-displacement products after the addition of Fen1. Lanes 5 and 6 show the 63-mer ligated product of SN- and LP-BER activities, respectively. Lane 8-19 shows the effect of NSC-124854 on the blockage of 1-nt (24-mer product) incorporation. Lanes 8-11 depict NSC-124854-mediated block of strand-displacement synthesis. Furthermore, lanes 12-15 and lanes 16-19 show the effect of NSC-124854 on the blockage of SN- and LP-BER activities in a dose-dependent manner. Data is a representative of three different experiments.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0016691.g005
(PDB code 1BPZ) as described in our previous studies [36]. Approximately 140,000 small molecules from the NCI/DTP database were positioned in the selected structural pocket (which includes amino acid residues T79/K81/R83 of Pol-β) and scored based on predicted polar (H-bond) and non-polar (van der Waals) interactions. Each of the small molecules was positioned in the selected site in 100 different orientations. The best orientation and scores (contact and electrostatic) were calculated. The grid based scoring algorithm approximates van der Waals and H bond interactions. Ten mg of the 22 highest-scoring compounds for the selected structural pocket were obtained for use in Pol-β inhibition assays from the NCI/DTP.

Screening of small molecules to examine blockade of Pol-β-directed displacement activity. To identify a potent anti-Pol-β compound, we screened top 22 scoring small molecular compounds for determining their ability to block Pol-β activity for functional evaluation. We used in vitro Pol-β-directed strand-displacement activity assay for the initial screening of the compounds. Briefly, the strand-displacement reaction mixture was assembled in 30 μl volume with 30 mM Hepes, pH 7.5, 30 mM KCl, 8.0 mM MgCl2, 1.0 mM DTT, 100 mg/ml BSA, 0.01% (v/v) Nonidet P-40, 2.5 nM of 32P-labeled 63-mer F-DNA substrate, 2 nM of APE, 5 nM of Pol-β and 0-125 μM of NSC-124854. The reaction mixture was incubated for 30 min at 37 °C and terminated by the addition of 30 μl of stop solution (5.0 mM of EDTA, 0.4% (w/v) SDS). DNA was extracted with an equal volume of phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1, v/v) followed by ethanol precipitation. The strand-displacement products were resolved on a 15% polyacrylamide-7 M urea gel.

APE1 assay. The in vitro APE1 activity was determined in the presence and absence of different concentrations of NSC-124854. The reaction mixture in a 30 μl volume contained 30 mM of

| Table 1. Effect of NSC-124854 on TMZ-mediated cell growth inhibition of MMR-proficient and MMR-deficient colon cancer cell lines in culture. |
|---------------------------------|
| IC50 of TMZ (μM)               |
| NSC-666715 (μM)                |
| MMN-proficient                 |
| HCT-116+ch3 130.7±4.3 119.7±3.0 88.7±6.4** 68.0±3.6*** |
| Caco-2 449.2±42.4 -- 254.1±6.7* -- |
| HT29 962.7±62.7 -- 407.6±23.1*** -- |
| SW480 108.1±3.2 -- 43.5±9.6** -- |
| MMN-deficient                  |
| HCT-116 739.0±25.9 644.0±19.3* 468.7±25.8** 344.3±17.2*** |
| HCT-116-APC(KD) 877.7±49.2 744.7±5.3 549.7±2.7** 410.3±6.6*** |
| LoVo 838.8±53.1 -- 431.6±103.0* -- |
| RKO 1572.6±89.9 -- 1068.7±195.3 -- |

Cells were treated with different concentrations of NSC-124854 either alone or in combination with TMZ for 48 h. The cell growth inhibition was determined by the clonogenic assay. The IC50 of NSC-124854 for HCT-116, HCT-116-APC(KD) and HCT-116+ch3 is 120 ± 2.0, 251.7 ± 3.3 and 391.4 ± 68.5, respectively. The IC50 of NSC-124854 in Caco-2, HT29, SW480, LoVo and RKO was not determinable up to 250 μM of NSC-124854 concentration used in these experiments. Data presented are the mean ± SE of three different estimations. Statistically significant results are shown as asterisks. The p value of the Student’s t-test as compared to TMZ treated group is *, p<0.05; **, p<0.005; and ***, p<0.001.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0016691.t001

A. Protocol

| 5 x 10^6 cells | TMZ (ip; 20 mg/kg body weight) |
|----------------|--------------------------------|
| Day-0          | Day-42                         |

B. Tumor volume

| HCT-116        | HCT-116-APC(KD) | HCT-116+ch3 |
|----------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Control        | Control         | Control     |
| TMZ            | NSC-124854+TMZ  | NSC-124854+TMZ |
| NSC-124854+TMZ | NSC-124854+TMZ  | NSC-124854+TMZ |

Figure 6. NSC-124854 in combination with TMZ decreases the growth of tumors in a xenograft model. Panel A shows the schematic representation of the experimental protocol. Panel B shows the change in tumor volume at the 42nd day of the experiment. Data presented are the mean ± SD of four to six animals in each group. *, significantly different than control; †, significantly different than NSC-124854. P<0.05.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0016691.g006
Hepes, pH 7.5; 30 mM of KCl, 8.0 mM of MgCl₂, 1.0 mM of DTT and 100 µg/ml of BSA. Briefly, 5 nM of APE1 was incubated with 0-20 µM of NSC-124854 and reaction was initiated by addition of 2.5 nM of ³²P-labeled 63-mer F-DNA substrate. Reaction was incubated for 20 min at 37°C and terminated by the addition of 30 µl of stop solution (5.0 mM of EDTA, 0.4% (w/v) SDS). DNA was extracted with an equal volume of phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1, v/v) followed by ethanol precipitation. APE1 incised product was resolved on a 15% polyacrylamide-7 M urea gel.

**Fen1 endonuclease assay.** The *in vitro* Fen1 activity was determined in the presence and absence of different concentrations of NSC-124854. The reaction mixture in a 30 µl volume contained 30 mM of Hepes, pH 7.5; 30 mM of KCl, 8.0 mM of MgCl₂, 1.0 mM of DTT and 100 µg/ml of BSA [18,32]. Briefly, 10 nM of Fen1 was incubated with 0-20 µM of NSC-124854 at room temp for 5 min followed by addition 2.5 nM of ³²P-labeled 51-mer flapped-DNA substrate. Reaction was allowed to proceed for 20 min at 37°C and terminated by the addition of 20 µl of stop solution (5.0 mM of EDTA, 0.4% (w/v) SDS). DNA was extracted with an equal volume of phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1, v/v) followed by ethanol precipitation. The 11-mer reaction products were resolved on a 15% polyacrylamide-7 M urea gel.

**DNA ligase I assay.** The *in vitro* DNA ligase I activity was determined in the presence and absence of different concentrations of NSC-124854. The reaction mixture in a 30 µl volume contained 30 mM of Hepes, pH 7.5; 30 mM of KCl, 8.0 mM of MgCl₂, 1.0 mM of DTT and 100 µg/ml of BSA as described earlier [52]. Briefly, 5 nM of DNA ligase I was incubated with 0-20 µM of NSC-124854 at room temp for 5 min followed by addition 2.5 nM of ³²P-labeled nicked-DNA substrate. Reaction was incubated for 60 min at 37°C and terminated by the addition of 30 µl of stop solution (5.0 mM of EDTA, 0.4% (w/v) SDS). DNA was extracted with an equal volume of phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1, v/v) followed by ethanol precipitation. The reaction products were resolved on a 15% polyacrylamide-7 M urea gel.

**In vitro BER assays with purified proteins**

The procedure for BER assays were essentially the same as described in our previous studies [18,36,45,46,52]. Briefly, the BER reaction mixture contained 30 mM Hepes, pH 7.5; 30 mM of KCl, 8.0 mM of MgCl₂, 1.0 mM of DTT and 100 µg/ml of BSA, 0.01% (v/v) Nonide P-40, 0.5 mM ATP, and 20 µM each of dATP, dCTP, dGTP, and dTTP in a final volume of 20 µl. The following additions were made to the above mixture: (i) For SN-BER, 2.5 nM of ³²P-labeled 63-mer U-DNA (containing uracil at the 24⁶ position), pre-incubated with 1 unit of UDG to create an abasic site and 1 nM APE1 to create an incision at the 5’ end of the repair site; 5 nM of Poly-b and different concentrations of NSC-124854; and (ii) For LP-BER, the reaction mixture was assembled similarly as for SN-BER, except Fen1 was included in the reaction mixture. The repair was initiated with the addition of 0.4 nM of DNA ligase I.

**Clonogenic assays**

A single cell suspension of HCT-116, HCT-116-APC(KD), and HCT-116+ch3 cells were plated 200 cells/well, while HT29, SW480, LoVo, Caco-2 and RKO cells were plated 400 cells/well in triplicate in a six-well plates. Cells were pretreated for 1 h with NSC-124854 or vehicle (0.1% DMSO) followed by treatment with varying concentrations of TMZ for 48 h. After the treatment, the culture medium was replaced with fresh medium and cells were allowed to grow for an additional 8 days. Visible colonies of more than 50 cells were stained with methylene blue and counted for viability [18,36,46].

**Xenograft studies**

Female homozygous, 6-week old, SCID mice purchased from Taconic Farms, Inc., were used in the study. Prior to initiate the animal experiment, the animal protocol was submitted to the Animal Investigation Committee (AIC) of Wayne State University. This is the Institutional Review Board called AIC Committee who approves animal protocols. All other animal-related research approvals including ethics are also obtained through this committee. The protocol was approved in March 6, 2008 with the protocol number A04-06-08, which will expire on April 30, 2011. HCT-116, HCT-116-APC(KD) and HCT-116+ch3 cells were harvested and a single cell suspension with >95% viability (5x10⁶ cells) diluted in equal volume of Matrigel (BD Biosciences) were injected subcutaneously into the right flank of each mouse. After the tumors were established, as determined by caliper measurements (xenograft tumor volumes were approximately 50–75 mm³ after 10 days of cell injection), the mice were randomized into the following six groups (n = 6–4): (a) Vehicle control, (b) TMZ, (c) NSC-124854, and (d) NSC-124854 + TMZ. The doses for NSC-124854 and TMZ were 10 mg/kg body weight and 20 mg/kg body weight, respectively. Drugs were administered intraperitoneally (i.p.) every day for 5 consecutive days. Tumor volume was measured weekly in each group. All mice were euthanized when the tumor volume in the control mice reached approximately 1,000 mm³ (day 42). The mice were housed and maintained under sterile conditions in facilities accredited by the American Association for the Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care and in accordance with current regulations and standards of the United States Department of Agriculture, United States Department of Health and Human Services, and the NIH.

**Statistical analysis**

The statistical significance between experimental groups and control was determined by Student’s ‘t’ test. For mouse xenograft study the statistical significance of differential findings between experimental groups and control was determined by two-way ANOVA as implemented by GraphPad StatMate (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA). P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.
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