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Abstract

Neuroendocrine tumor (NET) of the ampulla of Vater is a rare presentation of biliary obstruction. Here, we present a case of NET and discuss the current recommendations that necessitate different management and surgical treatment than other sites. A 56-year-old Caucasian female presented with 2 years of right upper quadrant pain. Workup revealed a well-differentiated 2.2 cm NET at the ampulla with 0 MF/10 HPF, Stage 1A T2NOMO. Whipple procedure performed with 2.2 cm ampullary NET with 1 of the 15 lymph node metastases and <2 mitoses in 10 HPF. TNM classification: Stage IV T2N1MO. Regardless of tumor size, NETs metastasize in half of cases. Local excisions including endoscopic and laparoscopic ampullectomy were recommended only for poor surgical candidates. Ampullary NETs behave more aggressively than nonampullary NETs and their biological behaviors are irrespective of size. For patients of acceptable surgical risk, we recommend radical resection utilizing Whipple procedure.

BACKGROUND

The ampulla of Vater is the well-vascularized epidermal mucosa overlaying the Sphincter of Oddi and is highly regulated to release bile and pancreatic enzymes and proenzymes. During normal resting state the ampulla prevents reflux of enteric contents into the biliary system. Neuroendocrine cells are derived from the enterochromaffin cells from the crypts of Leiberkuhn and are found in the gastrointestinal mucosa and submucosa.

Neuroendocrine tumors (NETs) are classified into three categories with tumor grade having the most influence. These categories are: well-differentiated tumors, well-differentiated carcinomas with low grade malignant potential and poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinomas. NETs are also classified based on their mitotic activity and presence of necrosis. Well-differentiated Grade 1 have <2 mitotic figures per 10 HPF and lack of necrosis. Grade 2 has 2–20 mitotic figures per 10 HPF OR the presence of necrosis and High Grade 3 tumors have >20 mitotic figures and the presence of necrosis. Histopathology is essential and the expression of neuroendocrine markers chromogranin A, neuron-specific enolase and synaptophysin, either isolated or in combination, provides the diagnosis. The cell proliferation marker Ki-67 may be present and may indicate a more aggressive tumor.

NET of the ampulla of Vater is an exceedingly rare presentation of biliary obstruction as much <1% of GI NETs originate in the ampulla[1–3]. In total, <150 cases have been reported[4]. Most of the cases occur in the 5th–6th decades of life with a 3:1 predominance of women to men[5]. Their clinical picture is more similar to adenocarcinoma, which makes preoperative diagnosis difficult. Because of the rarity of the disease, relatively currently, this manuscript has not been submitted or accepted for either podium or poster meeting presentation.
little is understood about the clinical significance and the prognosis factors of this tumor [4, 6]. There is a wide diversity of their clinical presentation, hormone production, morphologic characteristics and biological behavior based on their site of origin [5].

Here, we present a case of NET originating in the ampulla of Vater and discuss the current recommendations that make this site of origin require different management and surgical treatment than other sites of origin.

CASE REPORT

A 56-year-old Caucasian female with past medical history (PMHx) of pulmonic stenosis, supraventricular tachycardia and gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) presented to our ER with biliary leak and complaints of right upper quadrant (RUQ) pain after recent cholecystectomy with normal intraoperative cholangiogram for presumed gallstone pancreatitis. Computed tomography (CT) scan and hepatic 2,6-dimethyliminodiacetic acid (HIDA) upon admission confirmed a biliary leak from her recent surgery. An IR drain was placed and endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography/endoscopic ultrasound (ERCP/EUS) with biopsy showed a well-differentiated (Low Grade, G1) 2.2 cm diameter NET staining positive for both cytokeratin and synaptophysin. The mitotic rate was 0 per 10 HPF with preoperative stage 1A and TNM classification T2N0M0. No suspicious lymph nodes were seen on EUS.

The patient was taken for laparoscopic washout for biliary leak control and 4 days later underwent a subsequent Whipple procedure with final pathologic examination confirming low grade neuroendocrine carcinoma with a diameter of 2.2 cm with 1 of 15 lymph node metastases and <2 mitosis in 10 HPF. The TNM classification of the tumor was Stage IV with T2N1M0. The tumor expressed Ki-67 3–20%. Patient was discharged POD5 from Whipple procedure tolerating a regular diet with planned follow-up.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Neuroendocrine carcinoma most commonly presents with jaundice (60%), abdominal pain (40%) and less commonly with weight loss (10%) [7]. There is a wide diversity of their clinical presentation, hormone production, morphologic characteristics and biological behavior based on their site of origin [5].

In conclusion, ampullary NETs are more aggressive than nonampullary NETs and their biological behaviors are irrespective of size. The low accuracy of 33% of preoperative radiographic evidence with both CT and MRI at staging makes surgical management of these tumors quite difficult. Based on the literature, for patients who are not high surgical risk and can tolerate the procedure, we recommend radical resection utilizing Whipple procedure even for preoperative tumors < 2 cm in size. Endoscopic and laparoscopic therapies should be reserved for patients who cannot tolerate Whipple procedure.
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