Hepatic lymphorrhea following pancreaticoduodenectomy: Preliminary diagnosis and treatment experience from case series of four patients
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Hepatic lymphorrhea (HL) is an uncommon but potentially life-threatening type of postoperative lymphatic leakage, especially following pancreaticoduodenectomy.

Case presentation: We herein report a case series of four patients with HL following pancreaticoduodenectomy that presented to the department with a severe clinical picture with the discovery in imaging and intraperitoneal fluid’s tests. All our patients presented with a condition of Hepatic lymphorrhea secondary to pancreaticoduodenectomy, which were treated successfully with percutaneous hepatic lymphangiography (HLG).

Discussion: Hepatic lymphorrhea is an uncommon but potentially life-threatening complication following pancreaticoduodenectomy. Periportal lymphatic vessels, which was often isolated and dissected especially with extended lymphadenectomy, is potentially damaged and caused resistant chylous leakage. Newly techniques are updated and applied in diagnosis and treatment for this difficult-to-treat complication, one of them is percutaneous Hepatic Lymphangiography (HLG).

Conclusion: HLG with percutaneous access could be effective to identify and terminate the chylous fistula from periportal lymphatic vessels after pancreaticoduodenectomy.

1. Introduction

Lymphatic complications are rare, but well-known condition, and have been described in many researches, especially after in thoracic and head-and-neck surgery [1]. In general, These complications can be divided into two types: lymphatic leakage and lymphatic stasis [2]. In lymphatic leakage complication, many subtypes are presented, owing to different consequences and characteristics. A review by Shulan Lv et al. categorized this complication into five forms: lymphatic ascites, lymphocele, lymphatic fistula, lymphorrhea and special forms (chylous ascites or chyloroperitoneum, chyloretroperitoneum and chylothorax) [2].

Hepatopancreatobiliary surgeries, and especially oncological pancreatic resections with lymphadenectomy, had usually seem to be associated with an increased risk of chyle leak compared with other major abdominal surgeries [3,4]. Disruption of the cisterna chyli or one of its major lymphatic tributaries in surgeries is the most likely cause of postoperative intra-abdominal chyle leak [5,6]. In contract, Hepatic lymphorrhea (HL) is an extremely rare complication, which was difficult to manage by surgery or intranodal Hepatic Lymphangiography [7]. Herein, we reported a case series of four patients with successful treatment of HL following pancreaticoduodenectomy by transhepatic lymphangiography. All our work has been reported in line with the PROCESS criteria and guidelines [8] and was registered in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki (ID: researchregistry6850, https://www.researchregistry.com/browse-the-registry#home/registrationdetails/60aa3094279723001e73bb94/).
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Fig. 1. The first Magnetic Resonance Lymphangiography (MRL) result showed abdominal effusions but no extravasation from central abdominal lymphatic channels.

Table 1

2. Case presentation

2.1. Case 1

A 59-year-old man underwent Pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD) with extended lymphadenectomy and segmental Superior Mesenteric Vein (SMV) resection for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) with SMV involvement. On postoperative day (POD) 7, after starting refeeding, over 1000 mL of slightly milky ascites was discharged from the inserted drain. A low-fat, middle-chain triglyceride (MCT) diet was prescribed for him but there were no improvements in 20 PODs. After that, he has been discharged to another hospital for further diagnosis and treatment. The first Magnetic Resonance Lymphangiography (MRL) with the intranodal injection of gadolinium-based contrast material into the bilateral inguinal lymph nodes were conducted, and the result showed abdominal effusions but no extravasation from central abdominal lymphatic channels (Fig. 1). This result made a hypothesis that the chylous extravasation may be located from the portal lymph system, which can be carried out easily after iodized oil-based LAG, to illustrate better anatomical details of the lymphatic system and LL with three-dimensional spatial resolution, and the result was abdominal effusions which was not identified in Magnetic Resonance Lymphangiography. The interventional radiology service – HLG with percutaneous transhepatic access was consulted and performed on POD 24 (Fig. 2). Ultrasound guidance was used to access the right and left portal lymph nodes using 8 mm Chiba needles. A contrast agent was injected directly into the lymph nodes under intermittent fluoroscopic observation to confirm filling of lymphatic channels until the one feeding the leaking lymphatic channel was identified. A 1:3 mixture of Aetoxisclerol to air was formulated, and approximately 8 mL of this mixture was injected into the culprit lymph node to embolize the leaking lymphatic channels.

After the first embolization, drainage remained to approximately 1000–1500 mL of serous fluid per day in the following days. Thus, on the third day after the first invasion, a non-contrast post-lymphangiographic computed tomography (post-LG CT) is recommended and conducted, which can be carried out easily after iodized oil-based LAG, to illustrate better anatomical details of the lymphatic system and LL with three-dimensional spatial resolution, and the result was abdominal effusions concentrated at pouch of Douglas and root of the Mesentery (Fig. 3). On the fourth day after the first invasion, the second HLG with percutaneous transhepatic access was performed. After accessing the right portal lymph nodes and identifying the chylous extravasation’s location, a 1:1 mixture of Aetoxisclerol to air was formulated, and approximately 2 mL of this mixture was injected (Fig. 4).

After the second embolization, a low-fat, middle-chain triglyceride (MCT) diet was prescribed for him, and drainage decreased to approximately 150 mL of serous fluid in the following days. The drain output remained low, and after 5 weeks until the surgery, the drainage was <20 mL daily and all drains were removed. Follow-up ultrasound and Magnetic Resonance Lymphangiography (MRL) demonstrated no residual fluid collection (Fig. 5).

2.2. Case 2

A 59-year-old man underwent Pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD) with extended lymphadenectomy and segmental Portal Vein (PV) resection for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) with PV involvement (pT4N2M0 or Stage III according to AJCC Staging 2017) [9]. After one month and a half conservative treatment with dietary therapy and drainage, he was discharged with an abdominal drainage with an average of 500 mL fluid per day, because of nontypical symptoms (slightly clear abdominal fluid with a low content of triglyceride level).

On postoperative day (POD) 61, his drainage slipped off and he had to hospitalize to further examinations. Intranodal lymphangiography was consulted and performed on POD 62. The intranodal HLG to access the main lymphatic system resulted no abnormalities (Fig. 6), and percutaneous HLG with ultrasound guidance using 21G Chiba needles was not able to access the right and left portal lymph nodes after 4 times access. After 4 days of the first HLG, the second one was proceeded and at that time the portal lymph plexus around the PV were accessed. A contrast agent was injected directly into the lymph nodes under fluoroscopic observation to confirm filling of lymphatic channels, however no contrast medium extravasation was identified. Though, A 1:3 mixture
of Aetoxisclerol to air was formulated, and approximately 8 mL of this mixture was injected into the lymphatic vessels (Fig. 7).

After the hepatic lymphatic sclerotherapy, a low-fat, middle-chain triglyceride (MCT) diet was prescribed for him, and drainage decreased from 700 mL to approximately 300 mL of serous fluid in the following days. The drain output remained low, and after 2 weeks of the second discharge, the drainage was <20 mL daily and all drains were removed.

2.3. Case 3

A 73-year-old man underwent Pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD) and total pancreatectomy with extended lymphadenectomy and segmental Portal Vein (PV) resection for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) (pT2N0M0 or Stage Ib according to AJCC Staging 2017) [9]. On postoperative day (POD) 5, after starting refeeding, over 1000 mL of slightly milky ascites was discharged from the inserted drainage (Fig. 10). A low-fat, middle-chain triglyceride (MCT) diet was prescribed for him but there were no improvements in 10 PODs.

On postoperative day (POD) 11, intranodal lymphangiography was consulted and performed. The intervention resulted in no abnormalities (Fig. 8), and percutaneous HLG with ultrasound guidance using 21G Chiba needles was not able to access the right and left periportal lymphatic vessels. We decided injected a 1:3 mixture of Aetoxisclerol to air was formulated, and approximately 8 mL of this mixture was injected around the right and left portal veins (Fig. 9).

After the HLG, A low-fat, middle-chain triglyceride (MCT) diet was prescribed for him, and drainage decreased to 700 mL on the following day and to approximately 300 mL of serous fluid in the following seventh day. The drain output remained low, and after 10 days of the invasion, the drainage was 50 mL daily and all drains were removed.

2.4. Case 4

A 71-year-old woman underwent Pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD) with standard lymphadenectomy for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) (pT2N0M0 or Stage Ib according to AJCC Staging 2017) [9]. On postoperative day (POD) 5, after starting refeeding, over 1000 mL of slightly milky ascites was discharged from the inserted drainage (Fig. 10). A low-fat, middle-chain triglyceride (MCT) diet was prescribed for him but there were no improvements in 20 PODs.

On postoperative day (POD) 21, he has been discharged to another hospital for further diagnosis and treatment. The triglyceride level of abdominal fluid was 4.56 mmol/L. The HLG was consulted and performed. The percutaneous transhepatic HLG with ultrasound guidance using 8 mm Chiba needles to access the right and left portal lymph systems, but we did not find any lymphatic extravasations (Fig. 11).

Though, after the HLG, A low-fat, middle-chain triglyceride (MCT) diet was prescribed for him, and drainage decreased significantly from about 1000 mL to approximately 100 mL of blood-like fluid in the following day (Fig. 12). The drain output remained low, and at the second day after the invasion, the drainage was 50 mL daily and all drains were removed.

3. Discussion

Generally, there are three main sources of retroperitoneal lymphatic pathways, which is terminated in Cisterna chyli. They are two lumbar lymphatic trunks - lead to lower limb lymphoid and lower body part; intestinal lymphatic trunk - receive the lymphatic fluid and chyle from the stomach, intestine, pancreas, and spleen; and the hepatic lymphatic

Fig. 2. The first HLG. Ultrasound guidance was used to access the right and left periportal lymphatic vessels using 8 mm Chiba needles. After identifying the contrast medium extravasations' location, A 1:3 mixture of Aetoxisclerol to air was formulated, and approximately 8 mL of this mixture was injected.

Fig. 3. The post-lymphangiographic Computed tomography (post-LG CT) result was abdominal effusions concentrated at pouch of Douglas and root of the Mesentery.
Fig. 4. The second HLG. After accessing the right portal lymph nodes and identifying the lymphatic extravasation’s location, a 1:1 mixture of Aetoxisclerol to air was formulated, and approximately 2 mL of this mixture was injected.

Fig. 5. The second Magnetic Resonance Lymphangiography (MRL) result demonstrated no residual fluid collection and no fistulas of the main lymphatic channels.

Fig. 6. The intranodal HLG identified no abnormalities in the main lymphatic system.
Fig. 7. The second HLG. After no identifying the chylous extravasation’s location, we decided injected a 1:3 mixture of Aetoxisclerol to air, and approximately 8 mL of this mixture was injected.

Fig. 8. A: The intranodal lymphangiography identified of no abnormalities in the main lymphatic system. B–C: Post-procedural CT scanner confirmed the intact of central lymphatic system.

Fig. 9. The Hepatic Lymphangiography was attempted to access the periportal lymphatic vessels, we decided injected a 1:3 mixture of Aetoxisclerol to air around the right and left portal veins.
vessels – receive lymphatic fluid from the liver. **Hepatic Lymphatic Vascular System**, like in other organs, hepatic lymphatic vessels work as an immunological control as well as a tissue drainage system [10]. Three are totally three categories that the hepatic lymphatic vessels flow into, depending on their locations: portal, sub-lobular, and superficial (or capsular) lymphatic vessels [11]. About 80% of hepatic lymph flow into portal lymph system, while the remainder drains through sub-lobular and capsular lymph system [12]. After draining toward the portal pedicle, much of hepatic lymphatic fluid goes through the coeliac region (LNs group no.9 according to Japanese gastric lymphatic stations [13]) and then to nodes located between the aorta and inferior vena cava under the renal vein (LNs group no.16a2 according to Japanese gastric lymphatic stations [13]), before reaching the thoracic duct [10].

Hepatic lymphatic leakage is an extremely type of abdominal lymphatic ascites, which was represented in a few case reports in English literature [7,14]. Normally, the liver produces a large volume of lymphatic fluid, accounted for about 25–50% of lymph flowing through the thoracic duct [12], associated with a typical lymphatic flow of 0.25 mL/min [7]. So that hepatic lymphatic disruption caused a massive and difficult-to-treat condition of abdominal ascites.

In definition, according to the statement by the International Study Group on Pancreatic Surgery (ISGPS), Chyle leak (CL) was considered if there was an output of milky-colored fluid from a drain, drain site, or wound on or after POD 3, with a high content of triglyceride level ($\geq 110$ mg/dL or $\geq 1.2$ mmol/L) [15] and the cut-off values of volume of drainage range between $\geq 100$ mL and $>600$ mL per day. In our research, only two of four patients had the triglyceride level of abdominal fluid over this range. This can be explained by the anatomical characteristics of the retroperitoneal lymphatic pathways which is mentioned below: the milky appearance of chyle is caused by fat-soluble vitamins and long-chain triglycerides (TG) incorporated in chylomicrons, which is absorbed to intestinal lymphatic trunk, which is not related directly to the hepatic lymphatic plexus. So that, and from the four cases, we suggested that the disruption of hepatic lymphatic plexus may lead to an atypical chylous leakage condition with a normal triglyceride level and clear appearance of abdominal fluid.

Following the severity as well as the management needed, there are three different grades were defined: grade A, which may be treated with oral dietary restrictions and no specific interventions; grade B, which may be lead to prolong of hospital stay, and need more interventions such as nasoenteral nutrition with dietary restriction, total parenteral nutrition, octreotide, maintenance of surgical drains, or placement of new percutaneous drains; and grade C, with the need of other invasive treatments, intensive care unit admission, or mortality [15]. All our four patients had the volume of all intra-abdominal drainages ranged between 1000 mL and 1500 mL per day from POD 15, which were classified as grade C, and they also had a fat–limited diet after surgery but it is failed to manage the symptoms. So that, more invasive therapies must proceed.

In incidence, a report by Singh H. et al. showed that the incidence of CL after pancreaticoduodenectomy was about 1.8–11.0% [16]. Several studies revealed that the risk factors of CL including radical lymphadenectomy, concomitant vascular resection as well as associated with several complications like pancreatic fistula, and intra-abdominal abscess [17,18]. Assumpcao et al. suggested that the number of lymph nodes harvested, and vascular resection were independent risk factors for chylous ascites after pancreatic resection [19] and two other studies showed that early enteral feeding was another independent risk factor [20,21]. In other studies, dissection of the paraaortic area, as well as some factors such as the extent of lymph node dissection, the presence of retroperitoneal invasion, chronic pancreatitis, and total number of lymph nodes resected were identified as risk factors for development of CL following hepatopancreatobiliary surgeries [19,21–24], but only a review by van der Gaag et al. resumed that the lymphatic extravasation and fistula were often located from intestinal lymphatics, cisterna chyli, and the peritoneal cavity due to the related
lymphadenectomy as well as vascular resection and reconstruction, all of them caused to improve the risk of CL, especially hepatic lymphatic disruption. But they cannot reveal the hepatic lymphatic systems. Percutaneous HLG remains the gold standard in standard for diagnosis of CL. Hypoalbuminemia and lymphocytopenia may be suggested as a progressive condition and reflecting a poor prognosis. On computed tomography, chylous fluid has a low attenuation, so that this imaging examination can be a useful tool to distinguish from acute hemorrhage. MR lymphangiography and intranodal lymphangiography have become feseable and safety examinations which can evaluate central lymphatic systems. But they cannot reveal the hepatic lymphatic systems. But they cannot reveal the hepatic lymphatic systems. Percutaneous HLG remains the gold standard in defining and treatment of cases of lymphatic obstruction and fistula. Beside the use for diagnosis, lymphangiography is still considered as a novel therapeutic procedure and an alternative to conservative or surgical treatment of PLL. Recently, a systematic review by Sommer C. M. et al. summarized all data about HLG for treatment performed up to September 2019 in the PubMed database. The results were optimistic, with the technical success rate is 75–100%, and the inability to inject the contrast material into the lymphatic system selectively is one of the most reasons for technical failure [25]. Percutaneous transhepatic HLG is a newly developed technique which has many advantages in intervention of the intrahepatic and portal lymphatic systems. Technically, intrahepatic lymphatic vessels are non-visualized by ultrasound and difficult to access, however base on the anatomical characteristic that lymphatic vessels run into Glisson’s sheath, so that they can be accessed parallel to portal vein by the Chiba needle [7]. In our three of four patients, we successfully accessed through intrahepatic lymphatic vessels percutaneously and, but in two cases, we didn’t find any lymphatic fistula: in the 2nd case, we decided injected a 1:3 mixture of Aetoxisclerol to air into the culprit portal lymph plexus and successfully terminated the fistula, and in the 4th case, right after the invasion, blood-liked fluid discharged from the inserted drain and in following day, the patient’s hepatic lymphorrhea was successfully treated, we hypothesized that our invasion caused the hepatic hilar hemorrhage and embolize the lymphatic vessels and so on, terminated the lymphatic fistula’s condition. In 3rd case, we were failure to access the intrahepatic and portal lymphatic vessels, so that we decided to inject fibril materials around the right and left portal veins, and this technique was successfully terminated the hepatic lymphorrhea’s condition. About the outcome of this complication, because chylous fluid consists of intestinal lymphatic fluid feeding with chylomicrons which was incorporated with fat-soluble vitamins and long-chain triglycerides (TG), the loss of chyle could lead to the condition of malnutrition and hypoproteinemia [2]. Similarly, while containing lymphocytes and immunoglobulins, loss of the lymphatic fluid results in lymphopenia, which make patients to be more susceptible to infection-related complications [24]. A retrospective study by Mohammed A.H. et al. showed that CL was associated with a significantly increased incidence of portal or mesenteric venous thrombosis [22]. A prospective study by Lia Assumpcao et al. reported other associated complications of CL: abscess (4.3 %), concomitant pancreatic fistula (4.3 %), malnutrition (e.g., albumin <3.5 mg/dL) (91.5 %), peritonitis (6.4 %), and sepsis (12.8 %) [19]. Hospital stay was considered as the main factor to assess the impact of CL on patient’s post-op result [17]. The grade A of isolated CL was not led to increase the length of hospital stay, while grade B or C on the other hand led to an increased hospital stay [24]. However, with coincidental CL, only grade C prolonged the hospital stay significantly [24]. In long-term outcome, few studies saw the significantly different results between groups of patients with or without CL complications [19]. The cohort study by O. Strobel et al. showed that the development of isolated CL and its grade or a condition of drainage volume at least 2000 mL per day were not associated with postoperative overall survival (OS) in the entire group of pancreatic-cancer patients, as well as in separate analyses of subgroups who had given potentially curative resection, bypass procedures or palliative exploration [17]. However, failure to manage the chyle leaks’ condition in 14 days was associated with worse survival in patients who underwent palliative procedures significantly (in patients with failed treatment, the median OS was 5.2 months versus 16.4 months in patients whose chyle leak resolved, P = 0.016), but not be significant in patients who had curative resections (median OS 20.5 versus 23.8 months respectively, P = 0.877) [17]. So, that it is important to control this complication as soon as possible, especially in group of patients in advanced stage of malignancy diseases. Lymphangiography itself has several complications including the tissue necrosis, fat embolism and contrast agents’ hypersensitivity relating to the volume and type [2].

4. Conclusions

Hepatic lymphorrhea is a rare but difficult-to-treat and potentially life-threatening type of postoperative lymphatic leakage, especially following pancreaticoduodenectomy. Portal lymphatic vessels was a potential location of lymphatic fistula and leakage, due to the regularity of isolation and dissection in pancreaticoduodenectomy, especially with extended lymphadenectomy. Percutaneous transhepatic (HLG) is a newly developed technique which has many advantages in intervention for treatment of hepatic lymphorrhea.
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