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ABSTRACT

Background: Sickle cell disease is a condition in which an individual has inherited two abnormal hemoglobin genes at least one of which is hemoglobin S (HbS) and the resulting symptomatology or pathology is attributed to the sickling phenomenon. About 5% of the world’s population carries genes responsible for hemoglobinopathies and each year about 300,000 infants are born with major hemoglobin disorders including more than 200,000 cases of sickle-cell anemia in Africa. Sickle cell anemia is a very common disorder in Nigeria with birth rate of about 1 in 50 and about 150,000 children are born annually with sickle cell anemia in Nigeria alone. The study aimed to explore the knowledge and attitude of nursing students about premarital screening for sickle cell disease. Methods: A descriptive, cross-sectional study was conducted using self-administered semi-structured questionnaire among 176 students. Results: Majority of respondents knew sickle cell disease and also knew their genotype 97.6% and 71% respectively, although up to 9.1% selected blood group as their genotype. More than half of respondent (51.7%) said sickle cell disease can be transmitted through blood, 55.1% of respondent said they think government should prohibit marriage between incompatible couples with regard to sickle cell disease, Only about one third (34.1%) of respondent have good knowledge of SCD, and 34.3% of respondent have good knowledge of premartial screening for SCD. More than half (55.4%) of respondent have good attitude regarding premartial screening for SCD. Conclusion: The study shows that the respondent have poor knowledge of sickle cell disease and premartial screening though more than half of the respondent have good attitude towards premartial screening. The results of this study reflect the importance of health education as a keystone in improving knowledge and attitude towards premartial screening for sickle cell disease.
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INTRODUCTION

Sickle cell disease is a condition in which an individual has inherited two abnormal hemoglobin genes at least one of which is hemoglobin S (HbS) and the resulting symptomatology or pathology is attributed to the sickling phenomenon.1 Sickle cell disease was discovered by DR J.B. Herrick in 1904 (published in 1910)2.3. Hemoglobinopathies are among the most common genetic disorders worldwide, typically inherited as autosomal recessive disorders from healthy-carrier parents, the most common are the sickle cell disorders and the thalassemia syndromes. Sickle cell disorders result from an abnormal chemical characteristic, rather than abnormal quantity, of the beta globins chains of the hemoglobin, homozygous sickle cell anemia (HbSS) is the most common of the over one hundred serious hemoglobinopathies described in the literature.4-7 Hemoglobin gives blood its color and is responsible for carrying oxygen from lung to different part of the body.4-7

Sickle cell disease is a genetically inherited blood disorder that causes red blood cells to become sticky and sickle shape. Sickle cell trait occurs in people with one sickle cell gene and one normal gene (HbAS) and such people can transfer the disease to their offspring though they do not have any clinical manifestation of the illness.5 It is the inheritance of the sickle genes that causes red blood cell (RBC) abnormality, all complications of Sickle Cell Disease can be traced to changes in the make-up of the RBC, Normal RBC have smooth surface, enabling them to change their shape and flow through small blood vessels, and under certain conditions such as acidosis, dehydration, infection, and low oxygen, RBC containing Sickle Hemoglobin becomes rigid, elongated, and sickle shaped. Some RBC sickle immediately, while others remain normal for hours before sickling, most RBC containing Sickle Hemoglobin can sickle and then unsickled, after repeated cycles of sickling and unsickling, the RBC become irreversibly sickled.6 Age at which sickle cell disease is diagnosed range from three months to 12 years, with a mean age of 27.33 ±26.36 months and a median age 18 months.7 The hallmark clinical manifestation of SCD is the acute vaso-occlusive event, or painful episode, this unique type of pain can start as early as 6 months of age, recurrence is unpredictable over a lifetime, and may require treatment with opioids, Painful events are the top cause of emergency room visits and hospitalizations, and are a major focus of home management.8
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Infections, Splenic Sequestration, Acute Chest Syndrome, Acute Aplastic Crisis, Strokes, Gall Stones and Jaundice, Growth and Development Retardation, Retinopathy, Priapism are some of the complication of sickle cell disease.[6] The Management of Sickle Cell Disease (SCD) is organized into four parts: Diagnosis and Counseling, Health Maintenance, Treatment of Acute and Chronic Complications.[4] Survival of children with SCD has been improved largely through prevention of overwhelming bacterial infections. Preventive measures include newborn screening, protective vaccinations, and teaching caregivers to recognize early signs of illness, and prompt treatment of suspected infections.[9] Problem Statement About 5% of the world’s population carries genes responsible for hemoglobinopathies and each year about 300 000 infants are born with major hemoglobin disorders including more than 200 000 cases of sickle-cell anemia in Africa. Globally, there are more carriers (i.e. healthy people who have inherited only one mutant gene from one parent) of thalassemia than of sickle-cell anemia, but the frequency of the sickle-cell gene in certain areas leads to a high rate of affected newborns.[5] Sickle cell anemia is a very common disorder in Nigeria with birth rate of about 1 in 50 and in Nigeria alone; about 150,000 children are born annually with sickle cell anemia.[10] In Africa, sickle cell disease (SCD) is reported to be associated with a very high rate of childhood mortality 50%–90%, yet there is a lack of reliable, up-to-date information.[11] In Nigeria, there is no doubt that the prevalence of the condition is increasing, especially among the urban educated elite and in other communities with access to effective basic health care, there is however, a palpable lack of information and education about the disorder within our communities, there is also growth of misconception, misinformation, inappropriate treatment, frustration and stigmatization about this condition as well as the confusion and controversy even among doctors and other health care workers about this condition.[12] The study aims to assess the knowledge and attitude of the student of state school of Nursing Sokoto about premarital screening for sickle cell disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study is a descriptive cross sectional study and was carried out at School of Nursing and Midwifery Sokoto, located in Sokoto, the capital of Sokoto State, in the North Western geopolitical zone of Nigeria. The study population comprised of the nursing student studying at the time of the research. Multi stage sampling technique was used in selecting the study subjects. Data was collected using a self-administered, standardized structured questionnaire to obtain information on; socio-demographic data, knowledge and attitude regarding premarital screening for Sickle Cell Diseases among the study subjects. Data collection and sorting was done manually. Computer data processing was done using SPSS version 21 statistical software package. Frequency runs were done for further editing and cleansing of e-data. Frequency distribution tables were constructed; cross tabulations were done to examine the relationship between the categorical variables. Chi-square test was used to compare differences between proportions. All statistical analysis was set at 5% level of significance, \( p \leq 0.05 \) (i.e 95% confidence level) and a total of 165 Nursing and Midwifery students with correctly filled questionnaire were enrolled into the study out of the 175 instrument shared.

RESULTS

| Table 1: Socio-Demographic Characteristics (N=165). |
|--------------------------------------------------|
| **Age range** | **Frequency(%)** |
| 16-20 | 77 (46.6) |
| 21-25 | 70 (42.4) |
| 26-30 | 12 (7.3) |
| 31-35 | 10 (6.0) |
| 36-40 | 5 (3.1) |
| Gender | |
| Male | 54 (32.7) |
| Female | 111(67.3) |
| Department | |
| Nursing | 110(66.7) |
| Midwifery | 55(33.3) |
| Level of study | |
| Level 1 | 76(46.1) |
| Level 2 | 64(38.7) |
| Level 3 | 25(15.2) |
| Marital status | |
| Married | 27(16.4) |
| Single | 137(83.1) |
| Divorced | 1(0.6) |
| Tribe | |
| Hausa | 106 (64.2) |
| Fulani | 23(13.9) |
| Yoruba | 19(11.5) |
| Igbo | 11(6.7) |
| Others | 6(3.6) |
| Religion | |
| Islam | 147(89.1) |
| Christianity | 18(10.9) |

About 88% of respondent were between 16-25 years of age respectively with mean age of 22.2(±3.1). About 67% of respondent were females; one-thirds were midwifery students and about 41% of them were year one students. About 84% of the respondents were not married and most of the respondents were Hausa’s (64.2%) and majority Muslims (89.1%) [Table 1].

About 97% of respondent claimed to know SCD, major source of information was school (86.3%). Only about 75% knows normal haemoglobin. Majority (97%) responds that SCD can be inherited: genetically (36.6%), if both parent are either SS or AS (20.6%), if both parent are AS (15.7%) others during child birth etc Majority (96.4%) of respondent said SCD is serious disease, 45.0% of
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them said because it lead to death, 18.5% because of anaemia, 6.6% because it is not curable, others (29.8%) always patient is bed ridden or sick.

Only one third (32.1%) said SCD can be cured; through bone marrow transplantation (18.9%), by avoiding marrying SS (9.4%), by medication (34%), and others 37.7% [Table 2].

Up to 83% of respondent said SCD can be prevented, 42.3% of them said through premarital screening. 8.8% through knowing your genotype, 18.2% by avoiding marriage between carriers and 30.7% others. Only 21.2% of the respondents correctly knew Hb genotype as a test for Sickle cell disease confirmation [Table 2].

Majority (93.3%) of respondent knows that premarital screening is done before marriage as well as 89.7% also knows that premarital screening is also use for other diseases such as; HIV(58.8%), Hepatitis(0.7%), HIV & Hepatitis(31.8%) and Ebola(8.8%). Most of the respondent (92.2%) responds that premarital screening helps in preventing SCD: 36.6% said through knowing your genotype, 16.3% said carriers can transmit the disease, 7.2% said to determine your status, others responds differently [Table 3].

About 71% of respondent claimed to know their Hb genotype, 73.1% of them claimed to be AA, 10.1% AS, 0.9% AC and about 16% misconceive blood group as their Hb genotype [Table 4].

About 80% of respondent agreed to screen themselves and their partners before marriage, 9.7% said they will not screen neither themselves nor their partners, 10.3% said they will screen only themselves. With respect to reasons for not screening their partner, 75.8% of them said because community are not informed, 6.1% said their partners may not understand, 3% said their partners should do their own, others (15.2%) stated different

Table 2: Knowledge of Sickle Cell Disease (N=165).

| Do you know SCD | Frequency (%) |
|-----------------|--------------|
| Yes             | 160(97%)     |
| No              | 5(3%)        |

| Source of information | Frequency |
|-----------------------|-----------|
| School                | 138(83.6%)|
| Friend                | 4(2.4%)   |
| Media                 | 10(6.1%)  |
| Family                | 13(7.8%)  |

| What is normal Hb | Frequency |
|-------------------|-----------|
| O                  | 13(7.8%)  |
| AS                 | 2(1.2%)   |
| AB                 | 4(2.4%)   |
| A                  | 5(3.0%)   |
| B                  | 12(7.3%)  |
| SS                 | 13(7.9%)  |
| AA                 | 116(70.3%)|

| Can SCD be inherited | Frequency |
|----------------------|-----------|
| Yes                  | 161(97.6%)|
| No                   | 4(2.4%)   |

| How is SCD inherited | Frequency |
|----------------------|-----------|
| Both parent AS or SS | 34(20.6%) |
| Both parent AS       | 36(21.8%) |
| Genetically inherited| 39(23.6%) |
| Others               | 56(33.9%) |

| What causes SCD | Frequency |
|-----------------|-----------|
| Genetic errors  | 54(32.2%) |
| RBC deficiency  | 15(9.0%)  |
| marrying AS     | 9(5.5%)   |
| Others          | 59(35.8%) |
| Don’t Know      | 28(17%)   |

| Is SCD serious disease | Frequency |
|------------------------|-----------|
| Yes                    | 159(96.4%)|
| No                     | 6(3.6%)   |

Table 3: Knowledge of Pre-Marital Screening.

| Premarital screening | Opinion (%) | Frequency |
|----------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Screening for relevant diseases before marriage | Yes | 154(93.3%) | |
| Screening for relevant diseases after marriage | No | 10(6.1%) | |
| Don’t know | | 1(0.6%) |

| Do you think premarital screening is only for SCD | Opinion (%) | Frequency |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Yes | | 17(10.3%) |
| No | | 148(89.7%) |

| If not for only SCD which other diseases (N=148) | Opinion (%) | Frequency |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| HIV | | 87(58.8%) |
| Hepatitis | | 7(4.7%) |
| HIV and Hepatitis | | 47(31.8%) |
| Ebola | | 13(8.8%) |

| Do you think premarital screening help in prevention of SCD | Opinion (%) | Frequency |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Yes | | 153(92.2%) |
| No | | 12(7.3%) |

| How Premarital screening Help in Prevention of SCD (N=153) | Opinion (%) | Frequency |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Knowing status helps in avoiding incompatible partner | Yes | 56(36.6%) |
| Determine ones Hb status | | 11(7.2%) |
| Carriers can transmit disease | | 25(16.3%) |
| Others | | 61(39.9%) |

Table 4: Knowledge of Pre-Marital Screening.

| What make SCD serious disease | Frequency |
|------------------------------|-----------|
| Lead to death | 68(45.0%) |
| Result in anaemia | 28(18.5%) |
| Not curable | 24(6.6%) |
| Others | 45(29.8%) |

| Do you think SCD can be cured | Opinion (%) | Frequency |
|------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Yes | | 53(32.1%) |
| No | | 105(63.6%) |
| Don’t know | | 7(4.3%) |

| How is SCD been cured (N=53) | Opinion (%) | Frequency |
|------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Bone marrow transplant | 10(18.9%) |
| Avoid marrying SS | 5(9.4%) |
| Using drugs | 18(34%) |
| Others | 20(37.7%) |

| Can SCD be prevented (N=165) | Opinion (%) | Frequency |
|------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Yes | | 137(83%) |
| No | | 28(17%) |

| How is SCD prevented (N=137) | Opinion (%) | Frequency |
|------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Premarital screening | 58(42.3%) |
| Knowing your genotype | 12(8.8%) |
| No marriage between carriers | 25(18.2%) |
| Others | 42(30.7%) |

| How will you know if you have SCD | Opinion (%) | Frequency |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Laboratory investigation | 43(26%) |
| Blood test | 18(10.9%) |
| Genotype testing | 35(21.2%) |
| Don’t know | 69(41.8%) |
reason such as it will lead to ending of their relationship.

**Table 4: Attitude of respondents regarding Premarital Screening for SCD**

| Do you know your Hb genotype | Frequency (%) |
|-------------------------------|---------------|
| Yes                           | 119(71.3%)    |
| NO                            | 46(28.7%)     |

| What is your Hb genotype (N=119) |
|----------------------------------|
| AA                               | 87(73.1%)     |
| AS                               | 12(10.1%)     |
| AC                               | 10(8.8%)      |
| Blood group                      | 19(16%)       |

| Would you screen yourself and partner before marriage |
|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Yes                                                 | 132(80%) |
| NO                                                  | 17(10.3%) |
| myself only                                         | 16(9.7%) |

| Why not your partner (N=33) |
|-----------------------------|
| community are not informed   | 25(75.8%) |
| He/She may not understand    | 2(6.1%)  |
| He/She will do His/her own  | 1(3%)     |
| Others                       | 5(15.2%) |

| Would you encourage others to screen for SCD |
|----------------------------------------------|
| Yes                                          | 156(94.5%) |
| NO                                           | 9(5.5%)    |

| If you and your partner are AS would you go ahead with your marriage |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| for sure we will                                                    | 22(13.3%) |
| we will never                                                       | 87(52.7%) |
| we may                                                               | 37(22.4%) |
| not known                                                            | 19(11.5%) |

| Do you think government should prohibit marriage between incompatible couple |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Yes                                                                         | 95(57.6%) |
| No                                                                          | 70(42.4%) |

| Have you been tested for any disease before marriage (N=27 for married respondents only) |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Yes                                                                                     | 12(44.4%) |
| No                                                                                      | 15(55.6%) |

| Screened for which disease/s (N=12) |
|------------------------------------|
| HIV And SCD                        | 4(33.3%) |
| HIV and Hepatitis                  | 6(50%)   |
| HIV, Hepatitis and SCD             | 2(16.7%) |

Majority (94.5%) of respondent said they will encourage others to screen before marriage. About 22.4% of respondent said they may go ahead and marry their incompatible partners, 13.3% said for sure they will go ahead with their marriage, 52.7% said they will never go ahead, 11.5% were undecided [Table 4].

Majority 57.6% of respondents agree that government should prohibit marriage between incompatible couples while the rest think otherwise. Only 12 i.e. 44% of married respondents have been tested for some diseases before marriage and 6 i.e. 50% tested for HIV and Hepatitis only.

**Knowledge and Attitude Score**

Only about one third (34.1%) of respondent have good knowledge of SCD, majority (65.9%) have poor knowledge of SCD [Figure 1].

Only 34.3% of respondent have good knowledge of premarital screening for SCD, majority have poor knowledge of premarital screening for SCD [Figure 2].

**Figure 1:** A Bar chart of knowledge score for SCD.

**Figure 2:** A Bar chart on Knowledge of premarital screening for SCD.

**Figure 3:** A Bar chart on Attitude regarding premarital screening.
More than half (55.4%) of respondent have good attitude regarding premarital screening for SCD [Figure 3].

**DISCUSSION**

This study was conducted with the aim of assessing the knowledge and attitude of the student of state school of nursing Sokoto regarding premarital screening for sickle cell disease. The study showed only about one third (34.1%) of respondent had good knowledge of SCD and this is similar with the study conducted in 3 major universities in Nigeria viz. ABU-Zaria, University of Nigeria Nssukka (UNN) and University of Ibadan (UI) where only 44% had knowledge of sickle cell disease. The finding is also similar with what was found in a study done among African American where only 38.2% had good knowledge of SCD, though the study was not done in the school but the study population had similar age range (15-30 years) with respondent in this study who are 18-35 years.

The finding differs from that of a study done in Benin City Nigeria among senior secondary school student where only 18% had correct idea of sickle cell disease, this difference may be because the student in this study are from tertiary health institution and majority (86.3%) of respondent in this study knew SCD in school. The finding also differs from finding in a study done among senior secondary school student in Lagos Nigeria 2012; where only 26% had correct idea of sickle cell disease and may have come in contact with many patients with SCD. In this study, it was also found that only 34.3% of respondent have good knowledge of premarital screening for SCD. The finding differs from what was found in study conducted in Sultan Qaboos University Oman 2012; where up to 79% were aware about the availability of premarital screening program. The study also showed 28.7% of respondent have poor knowledge of SCD, coincidently, this is similar to what was found in a study conducted in 3 major universities in Nigeria ABU-Zaria, University of Nigeria Nssukka (UNN) and University of Ibadan (UI) where 32% are not aware of their genotype.

In this study 13.3% of respondent said for sure they will go ahead with their marriage when they are not compatible with their partner, 22.4% also said they may go ahead with their marriage when they are not compatible with their partners, this is similar to finding in Sultan Qaboos University Oman 2012; where 26% of the respondent said they will go-ahead with their marriage even if their couples are not compatible for various reasons.

In this study 71.3% of respondent claim to know their Hb genotype though 16% of them wrote their blood group as their genotype, this differs from finding in study done among youth corps members in Jos 2013; where only 59% knew their genotype. This difference may be because the student in this study are from tertiary institution and majority (86.3%) of respondent in this study knew SCD in school. The finding also differs from that of a study conducted among senior secondary school student in Benin City Nigeria where only 32% of the respondent knows their genotype.

About 42% of respondent in this study wrote premarital screening as a method of preventing SCD, this differs from finding in a study conducted among undergraduate of Ekiti state university 2013; where 72% of respondents’ belief that premarital genetic counseling is a means by which sickle cell disease can be controlled.

**CONCLUSION**

This study shows that the respondent have poor knowledge of sickle cell disease and premarital screening though more than half of the respondent have good attitude towards premarital screening, although majority of them agreed to carry out premarital screening, only about half of them agreed on making laws and regulation to prevent marriage in case of positive results. The results of this study reflect the need for health education as a keystone in improving knowledge and attitude towards premarital screening for sickle cell disease.

**Recommendation**

Base on my findings in this study I would like make this recommendations

1. Health education about sickle cell disease shall be intensified in the schools and also shall be made available for the community.
2. Premarital screening services should be made available for student and people in the community and shall be made affordable.
3. Media shall be used as a way creating community awareness since only very few of the respondents knew sickle cell disease through media.
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