Synthesis and structural characterization of dialkyltin complexes of N-salicylidene-L-valine
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Abstract: The synthesis and characterization of five new chiral dialkyltin complexes of N-salicylidene-L-valine, \([2\text{-}O\text{-}3\text{-}R\text{-}5\text{-}R'C_6\text{H}_2\text{C(H)=NCH(CH}_2\text{)}_2\text{)C(O)O}]\text{SnR''}_2\) (R, R', R'' = H, Br, Me (1); H, Br, Me (2); OMe, H, Et (3); Br, Br, n-Bu (4); CH(OMe), Me, n-Bu (5)), have been reported. Compounds 1-5 are all (S)-enantiomers, and their crystal structures have been studied. Compound 1 displays a trimeric macrocyclic structure in which the coordination environment of each tin atom is a distorted [SnC2NO3] octahedron. In complexes 2-5, the tin atom has an intermediate geometry between trigonal bipyramidal and square pyramidal, and 3 is closer to a square pyramid. In crystals, a zigzag supramolecular chain is formed by the intermolecular C-H...O, O-H...O or Sn...O interactions.
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1 Introduction

L-Valine is one of the 20 amino acids that make up protein, and is also essential amino acid and glycogen amino acid for mammalian. N-Salicylidene-L-valine derived from L-valine and salicylaldehyde, a chiral Schiff base carboxylate ligand, has excellent coordination ability and various coordination modes to metal ions. The structures and properties of metal complexes with this ligand have been extensively studied (Belokon et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2004, 2007; Ucar et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2015). These chiral metal complexes have been used as catalysts of the enantioselective reactions, as efficient reagents for DNA cleavage, and as chiral fluorescent molecular sensors. Organotin compounds have been widely used in organic synthesis, catalysis, materials, and medicinal/biocidal aspects (Davies et al., 2008). Organotin complexes with carboxylate ligands have attracted a lot of attention because they display higher catalytic and cytotoxic activity and the diversified structures (Arjmand et al., 2014; Bantia et al. 2019; Davies et al., 2008; Eng, 2017; Tian et al., 2019). Some organotin complexes of N-salicylidene-L-valine have been synthesized, and displayed good optical and biological properties (Beltran et al., 2003; Rivera et al., 2006; Tian et al., 2005, 2016, 2018; Yao et al. 2017). They are synthesized by treating the corresponding oxide or hydroxide with N-salicylidene-L-valine or from the reaction of the corresponding chloride with a sodium (or potassium) N-salicylidene-L-valinate. Here, we report one-step synthesis and structural assignment of five new chiral dialkyltin complexes of N-salicylidene-L-valine derived from salicylaldehyde and L-valine, \([2\text{-}O\text{-}3\text{-}R\text{-}5\text{-}R'C_6\text{H}_2\text{C(H)=NCH(CH}_2\text{)}_2\text{)C(O)O}]\text{SnR''}_2\) (R, R', R'' = H, H, Me (1); H, Br, Me (2); OMe, H, Et (3); Br, Br, n-Bu (4); CH(OMe), Me, n-Bu (5)) (see Scheme 1).

2 Results and discussion

The title complexes 1-5 were obtained from the reaction of dialkyltin dichloride, salicylaldehyde, L-valine and DBU (1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene) in 1:1:2 molar ratios with the yields of 69-87% (see Scheme 1). In the reaction, the Schiff base ligand, DBU salt N-salicylidene-L-valinate, was formed in situ. The rotation data of 1-5 showed that they have optical activity. The enantiomer was known to be S configuration, which can be confirm by the crystal structures shown below. The method of one-pot reaction is simpler and more effective than the two-step method that requires isolation of the Schiff base carboxylate ligand (Baul et al., 2005, 2017; Tian et al., 2005). These yellow chiral complexes are air stable and can be further explored as potential chiral Lewis acid catalysts.
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The solution structures of organotin complexes can be characterized by the \(J(\nu^{\uparrow}\text{Sn}^{\downarrow}\text{C})\) coupling constant and the 119Sn NMR chemical shift (Holecek et al., 1986; Lockhart and Manders, 1987). In dimethyltin complexes 1 and 2, the \(J(\nu^{\uparrow}\text{Sn}^{\downarrow}\text{C})\) value is 653 and 645 Hz, respectively. According to the Lockhart’s equation, \(J(\nu^{\uparrow}\text{Sn}^{\downarrow}\text{C}) = 10.7 \theta -778\) (Lockhart and Manders, 1987), the calculated values of the C-Sn-C angle (\(\theta\)) are 133.7° for 1 and 133.0° for 2. For complexes 3, 4 and 5, the \(\theta\) value calculated by the Holecek’s equation, \(J(\nu^{\uparrow}\text{Sn}^{\downarrow}\text{C}) = 9.999 \theta -746\) (Holecek et al., 1986), is 131.7, 134.5 and 133.9°, respectively, similar to that of the five-coordinated tin compound. In 1-5, the 119Sn NMR exhibits a singlet at –158.6, –157.6, –184.7, –196.2 and –196.8 ppm, respectively, which is characteristic of five-coordinated dimethyltin, diethyltin and dibutyltin complexes (Beltran et al., 2003; Degaonkar et al., 1994; Holecek et al., 1986; Liu et al., 2016; Singh et al., 2018). The trimeric structure of 1, shown in the crystal structure (see below), has been dissociated into monomer in solution (Liu et al., 2016, 2019). The data of \(J(\nu^{\uparrow}\text{Sn}^{\downarrow}\text{C})\) and \(^{13}\)Sn NMR indicate that each of 1-5 is five-coordinated monomer and has a distorted trigonal bipyramid geometry in CDCl\(_3\) solution.

### 2.1 Spectroscopic analysis

Spectral identification of 1-5 is based on IR and NMR (\(^1^H\), \(^{13}\)C and \(^{119}\)Sn) experiments. In IR spectra of 1-5, the \(\nu(C=\equiv\text{N})\) band appears in the range of 1609-1620 cm \(^{-1}\). Compared with the free Schiff bases (-1640 cm \(^{-1}\), this band shifts towards lower wave-number, confirming there is the coordination of C=\(\equiv\)N to tin atom in the complexes (Beltran et al., 2003). In 2-5, the strong absorptions, occurring in the ranges of 1653-1672 and 1344-1360 cm \(^{-1}\), are assigned to the asymmetric stretching vibration \(\nu(C(O)O)_{\text{asym}}\) and symmetric stretching vibration \(\nu(C(O)O)_{\text{sym}}\) of the carboxylate group, respectively. In 1, the \(\nu(C(O)O)_{\text{sym}}\) band moves to a low wave-number and appears at 1583 cm \(^{-1}\). The magnitude of \(\Delta \nu(C(O)O) = \nu(C(O)O)_{\text{asym}} - \nu(C(O)O)_{\text{sym}}\) is usually used to judge the bonding mode of carboxylate to metal atom (Baul et al., 2017; Deacon and Phillips, 1980). For the bidentate carboxylate group, the \(\Delta \nu(C(O)O)\) value is generally less than 200 cm \(^{-1}\), while the \(\Delta \nu(C(O)O)\) value of the monodentate carboxylate group is generally greater than 200 cm \(^{-1}\). The \(\Delta \nu(C(O)O)\) values of 1-5 are 190, 315, 293, 327, and 312 cm \(^{-1}\), respectively, indicating that in 1 the carboxylate is bidentate coordination to tin, and in 2-5 there is a monodentate carboxylate moiety.

The \(^1^H\) and \(^{13}\)C chemical shift values of 1-5 are all (S)-enantiomers. 1 crystallizes in trigonal crystal system with a chiral \(R_3\) space group, and 2-5 crystallize in orthorhombic crystal systems with the chiral \(P_{2_{1}}\) space groups. The Flack parameters (Flack, 1983) of the final refinement for 1-5 are \(-0.023(15), 0.030(11), -0.021(11), 0.018(10)\) and \(-0.013(12), \) respectively. It shows that the configuration of enantiomerically pure amino acid remains unchanged during the reaction.

**Compounds 1-5**

**Scheme 1:** Synthesis of complexes 1-5. \(R, R', R'' = H, Me (1); H, Br, Me (2); OMe, H, Et (3); Br, Br, n-Bu (4); CH(OMe)\(_2\), Me, n-Bu (5).
The molecular structure of 1. (a) Ellipsoid diagram (30% probability); (b) ball and stick diagram (methyls bound to tin are omitted for clarity).

Figure 3: The molecular structures of 4 (a) and 5 (b). Ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Figure 4: The 1D supramolecular chain in 2 and 5 formed by the intermolecular C–H⋯O hydrogen bonds. Some C, H and O atoms are not shown for clarity.

atoms. The trinuclear tin complex with a 12-membered [Sn3O6C3] ring is formed by the bridges through the carbonyl oxygen O(2) atoms of the carboxylate O(1)C(14)O(2) groups. In the bridging Sn–O–C–O–Sn moieties, the two Sn–O and two C–O bond lengths are 2.319(4)/2.386(4) Å and 1.264(7)/1.241(7) Å, respectively. This asymmetric
briding feature is also observed in other cyclic trinuclear organotin complexes with the Schiff base carboxylate ligands, such as \([n\text{-Bu}_2\text{Sn}(2\text{-OC}_6\text{H}_4\text{C}(\text{H})=\text{NCH(CH}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)\text{C(O)O})]_3\) (Singh et al., 2018). The coordination of the ONO tridentate ligand to tin atom leads to the formation of two chelate rings \(\text{Sn(1)N(1)C(10)C(14)O(1)}\) and \(\text{Sn(1)O(3)C(3)C(8)C(9)N(1)}\). The coordination sphere of the tin atom is a distorted octahedron with a \(\text{C(1)–Sn(1)–C(2)}\) angle of 158.1(3)° in \textit{trans}-position. The distortion from the ideal octahedron may be due to the rigid framework of the chelate rings and the weak interaction between \(\text{Sn(1)}\) atom and carboxylate \(\text{O(1)}\) (symmetry code #: 1-y, x-y, z) atom of a neighboring ligand \(\text{Sn(1)–O(1)}\), 2.920(5) Å. The stereoscopic effect of \(\text{Sn(1)–O(1)}\) action expands the bond angle \(\text{O(1)–Sn(1)–O(2)}\) to 130.33(15)° and reduces the bond angle \(\text{O(3)–Sn(1)–O(2)}\) to 81.55(15)°.

The tin, oxygen and carbon atoms in the macrocycle \([\text{Sn–O–C–O}]_3\) are almost in the same plane, and the largest deviation from the mean plane defined by the twelve atoms is only 0.004(4) Å (at \(\text{O(1)}\) atom). Three tin atoms are arranged in a regular triangle with the Sn–Sn distance of 5.137(4) Å. The three salicylidene and three iso-propyls lie on opposite sides of the macrocycle plane. The dihedral angle between the planes of macrocycle and salicylidene is 140.27(3)°. The whole structure looks like the shape of a bowl (see Figure 1b).

Compounds 2-5 are all mononuclear tin complexes, and have similar discrete molecular structures (see Figures 2 and 3). In 2-5, the N-salicylidene-L-valinate ligand is bonded to tin atom by the carboxylate O(1) and phenolic O(3) atoms, and two covalent Sn–O bonds, Sn(1)–O(1) and Sn(1)–O(3), are formed. The Sn(1)–O(1) and Sn(1)–O(3) bond lengths are in the ranges of 2.124(8)–2.180(3) and 2.086(4)–2.120(7) Å, respectively. The coordination of imine N(1) atom to Sn(1) atom results in the generation of a five-membered and a six-membered chelate rings with a Sn(1)–N(1) bond of 2.159(5)–2.180(3) Å. For five-coordinated metal centers, the Addison parameter \(r_i\) is used to describe the distortion of the coordination geometry and is defined as \(\tau = (\beta-\alpha)/60\), where \(\beta\) and \(\alpha\) are the two largest angles around the central atom (Addison et al., 1984). In 2-5, the 1st largest basal angle \(\beta, \text{O(1)}–\text{Sn(1)}–\text{O(3)}\), is in the range of 155.05(18)–156.1(3)°. The \(\tau\) values of 2-5 are 0.49, 0.32, 0.40 and 0.54, respectively, indicating that the coordination geometry of tin atom in 2-5 is between trigonal bipyramidal (\(\tau = 1.00\)) and square pyramidal (\(\tau = 0.00\)), and can be described as an intermediate geometry. However, 3 is closer to a square pyramid. The two C–O lengths of the carboxylate in the complexes are obviously different, which further confirms the monodentate coordination mode of carboxylate group. For example, in 4, the distances of C(9)–O(1) and C(9)–O(2) bonds are 1.307(14) and 1.205(13) Å, respectively, showing the single and double bond characteristics of C–O bonds. These features are also observed in other analogues, such as \(n\text{-Bu}_2\text{Sn}(2\text{-OC}_6\text{H}_4\text{C}(\text{H})=\text{NCH(CH}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)\text{C(O)O})\) (Yin et al., 2004), \(\text{Et}_2\text{Sn}(2\text{-OC}_6\text{H}_4\text{C}(\text{H})=\text{NCH}_2\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O})\) (Tian et al., 2007), and \(\text{Me}_2\text{Sn}(5\text{-Cl-2-OC}_6\text{H}_3\text{C}(\text{H})=\text{NCH(CH}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)\text{C(O)O})\) (Tian et al., 2018).

In 2, 4 and 5, the molecules are connected through the intermolecular C–H···O weak interactions between H atoms of azomethine or chairl carbon and O atoms of carboxylate group to give a zigzag supramolecular chain with the Sn···Sn distance of 7.245(2), 8.286(2) and 7.781(2) Å, respectively (see Table 2, Figures 4 and 5b). In the case of 3·H$_2$O, a crystal water links two adjacent complexes via the hydrogen bonds O–H···O=C and O–H···O–Ar to generate a one-dimensional supramolecular chain (see Table 2, Figure 5a). The contact between Sn(1) and O(5) of the water is 3.135(5) Å, and is clearly less than the sum of their Van der Waals radii (3.70 Å). The Sn(1)···O(5) acton in 3·H$_2$O is also one of the reasons for distorting the coordination geometry. The C–Sn–C bond angles of 2, 3·H$_2$O, 4, and 5 are 126.3(3), 136.3(2), 132.2(7), and 120.4(6)°, respectively. Compared with the value calculated on the basis of NMR coupling constant in CDCl$_3$, the C–Sn–C angle obtained from crystalline-state decreases for 2, 4 and 5, and increases for 3·H$_2$O. The differences can be attributed to the absence of the aforementioned short contact in chloroform solution. Thus, the Lockhart
Table 1: Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for the complexes*.

| Bond Lengths/Angles | 1        | 2        | 3·H₂O    | 4        | 5        |
|---------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Sn(1)-C(1)          | 2.104(5) | 2.104(6) | 2.132(5) | 2.132(13)| 2.128(8) |
| Sn(1)-C(n)          | 2.110(5) | 2.101(6) | 2.116(4) | 2.129(14)| 2.041(11)|
| Sn(1)-N(1)          | 2.292(5) | 2.162(4) | 2.184(3) | 2.171(8) | 2.159(5) |
| Sn(1)-O(1)          | 2.319(4) | 2.146(4) | 2.180(3) | 2.124(8) | 2.145(5) |
| Sn(1)-O(2)*         | 2.386(4) | -        | -        | -        | -        |
| Sn(1)-O(3)          | 2.093(4) | 2.101(4) | 2.092(3) | 2.120(7) | 2.086(4) |

O(3)-Sn(1)-C(1) 102.8(2) 96.3(2) 136.3(2) 113.2(7) 120.4(6)
O(3)-Sn(1)-C(n) 94.4(2) 94.1(2) 136.3(2) 113.2(7) 120.4(6)
C(1)-Sn(1)-C(n) 158.1(3) 126.3(3) 136.3(2) 113.2(7) 120.4(6)
O(3)-Sn(1)-N(1) 79.49(15) 81.79(14) 81.3(3) 81.4(3) 81.41(19)
O(3)-Sn(1)-C(1) 93.2(2) 123.6(3) 117.30(17) 119.85(17) 122.7(3)
C(n)-Sn(1)-N(1) 103.2(2) 110.0(2) 105.63(17) 107.5(5) 116.5(5)
O(3)-Sn(1)-O(1) 147.82(15) 155.84(14) 155.54(12) 156.1(3) 155.05(18)
O(3)-Sn(1)-C(1) 87.4(2) 95.8(2) 93.3(2) 96.6(5) 93.5(3)
O(3)-Sn(1)-C(n) 84.9(2) 95.5(3) 90.98(18) 101.7(6) 95.7(5)
N(1)-Sn(1)-O(1) 69.45(14) 74.44(15) 74.02(12) 75.3(3) 74.62(2)
N(1)-Sn(1)-O(2)* 159.41(15) - - - -

*For 1 and 2, n = 2; for 3·H₂O, n = 3; for 4 and 5, n = 5; *symmetry code: 1-y, x-y, z.

Table 2: H-Bonding geometry parameters (Å, °) for the complexes.

| Compound | D-H···A | D-H (Å) | H···A (Å) | D···A (Å) | D-H···A (°) | Symmetry code* |
|----------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|------------|---------------|
| 2        | C(4)-H(4)···O(1)* | 0.98    | 2.30     | 3.2456(3) | 165        | x-1/2, -y+1/2, -z |
|          | C(8)-H(8)···O(2)* | 0.93    | 2.33     | 3.1595(3) | 148        | x-1/2, -y+1/2, -z |
| 3·H₂O   | O(5)-H(5A)···O(2)* | 0.85    | 1.97     | 2.7761(3) | 159        | -x, y+1/2, -z+1/2 |
|          | O(5)-H(5B)···O(3)  | 0.85    | 2.19     | 2.8719(3) | 138        | -x, y+1/2, -z+1/2 |
| 4        | C(14)-H(14)···O(2)* | 0.93    | 2.43     | 3.3434(6) | 166        | -x, y+1/2, -z+1/2 |
| 5        | C(10)-H(10)···O(1)* | 0.98    | 2.43     | 3.3556(5) | 158        | -x+1, y+1/2, -z+1/2 |
|          | C(14)-H(14)···O(2)* | 0.93    | 2.48     | 3.4048(5) | 173        | -x+1, y+1/2, -z+1/2 |

(or Holecek) equation overestimates the C–Sn–C angle for 2, 4 and 5 but underestimates for 3·H₂O.

3 Conclusions

Five chiral dialklytin complexes of N-salicylidene-L-valine have been prepared by one-pot reaction in the presence of organic strong base DBU. In non-coordinated solvent, each complex is monomer containing a five-coordinated tin atom. In crystal state, complex 1 displays a trimeric structure with 12-membered macrocycle, and each tin atom possesses a distorted octahedron geometry. Complexes 2-5 are all mononuclear tin complexes and their tin atoms have the geometries between the trigonal bipyramid and square pyramid. These chiral complexes can be further explored as potential chiral Lewis acid catalysts.

Experimental

All chemicals were commercial grade and had not been further purified before use. Dialklytin dichlorides (Me₂SnCl₂, Et₂SnCl₂, and n-Bu₂SnCl₂) and 3-methoxysalicylaldehyde were purchased from Tianjin Heowns Biochemical Technology Company Limited (Tianjin, China), and other materials were from Energy Chemical Reagent Company Limited (Shanghai, China). Physical measurements including elemental analyses and IR and NMR spectra were the same as our previous report (Yao et al., 2017).
Preparation of 1-5

Methanol (60 mL), L-valine (0.176 g, 1.5 mmol), salicyaldehyde (0.183 g, 1.5 mmol), dimethyltin dichloride (0.330 g, 1.5 mmol), and DBU (0.457 g, 3.0 mmol) were successively added to a 100 mL round bottom flask under electromagnetic stirring. The reaction mixtures were heated and refluxed stirring for 4 h. The yellow solution obtained was evaporated under reduced pressure using a rotary evaporator. The remaining yellow residue is washed in vacuum at room temperature, and recrystallized from dichloromethane-methanol mixed solvent to give pure product of 1. Compounds 2-5 were prepared similarly. The numbering scheme for NMR assignment is as presented in Scheme 2.

Scheme 2: Numbering scheme for NMR assignment.
H, 71.7; N, 2.44%. IR (KBr pellets, cm⁻¹): 1656 ν(C(=O)O)asym, 1614 ν(C=N), 1344 ν(C(=O)O)sym. 1H NMR (CDCl₃, δ): 0.80 (t, 3H, H-4'), 0.97 (t, 3H, H-4'), 1.05 (d, 3H, H-10b), 1.09 (d, 3H, H-10b), 1.24-1.29 (m, 4H, H-3'), 1.41-1.48 (m, 4H, H-2'), 1.65-1.70 (m, 2H, H-1'), 1.78-1.83 (m, 2H, H-1'), 2.27 (s, 3H, ArCH₃), 2.31-2.34 (m, 1H, CH-9), 3.36 (s, 3H, CH₃O), 3.44 (s, 3H, CH₃O), 3.82 (d, J(119Sn-1H) = 35 Hz, 1H, H-8), 5.60 (s, 1H, CHO₂), 6.97 (d, 1H, H-6), 7.54 (d, 1H, H-4), 8.19 (s, J(119Sn-1H) = 48 Hz, 1H, H-7). 13C NMR (CDCl₃, δ): 173.19 (C-11), 172.25 (C-7), 165.31 (C-2), 136.68 (C-3), 135.23 (C-4), 130.26 (C-5), 125.98 (C-6), 116.97 (C-1), 99.41 (CHO₂), 74.64 (C-8), 54.87 (CH₃O), 53.88 (CH₃O), 34.53 (C-9), 19.08 (C-10a), 18.38 (C-10b), 27.04 (C-2'), 26.83 (C-2'), 26.82 (C-3'), 26.61 (C-3'), 22.39 (ArCH₃), 20.78 (1J(119Sn-13C) = 596 Hz, C-1'), 20.19 (1J(119Sn-13C) = 588 Hz, C-1'), 13.68 (C-4'), 13.43 (C-4').

X-ray crystallography

The yellow crystals of 1-5 suitable for X-ray investigation were obtained from the dichloromethane-methanol solution of respective complex by slow evaporation. The intensity data for 1-5 were collected at 295(2) K on a Bruker Smart Apex area-detector fitted with graphite monochromatized Mo-Kα radiation (0.71073 Å) using an ω-φ scan mode. The structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXS-97 (Sheldrick, 2008) and refined by a full-matrix least squares procedure based on F² using the SHELXL2014 program (Sheldrick, 2015). The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and hydrogen atoms were placed at calculated positions in the riding model approximation. For compound 1, the residual electron density was difficult to model and therefore, the SQUEEZE routine in PLATON (Spek, 2015) was used to remove the contribution of the electron density in the solvent region from the intensity data and the solvent-free model was employed for the final refinement. The solvent formula mass was not taken into account during refinement. In the unit cell, the six major platon_squeeze_void_volume add up to 1127 Å³ and electrons of 299, and they roughly match 15 water and 9 methanol molecules according to the solvent of crystallization. The details (SQUEEZE RESULTS) were documented in the CIF. In addition, one reflection (0 1 5) with intensities seriously effected by the beamstop was omitted during the refinement. In compounds 4 and 5, the n-butyl groups attached to Sn(1) were refined by using DFIX, SIMU and DELU instructions. Crystallographic data and refinement details for 1-5 are listed in Table 3.

Table 3: Crystallographic and refinement data for 1-5.

| Compound | 1       | 2       | 3 · H₂O | 4       | 5       |
|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Empirical formula | C₁₄H₂₃BrNO₃Sn   | C₁₄H₂₃BrNO₃Sn   | C₁₇H₂₇NO₅Sn   | C₂₀H₂₉Br₂NO₃Sn   | C₂₄H₃₉NO₅Sn   |
| Formula weight | 1104.04 | 446.89  | 444.08  | 609.95  | 540.25  |
| Crystal system | Trigonal | Orthorhombic | Orthorhombic | Orthorhombic | Orthorhombic |
| Space group | R₃₂ | P₂₁₂₁₂₁ | P₂₁₂₁₂₁ | P₂₁₂₁₂₁ | P₂₁₂₁₂₁ |
| a /Å | 14.5569(17) | 8.8660(8) | 10.4392(9) | 8.7336(14) | 8.9482(13) |
| b /Å | 14.5569(17) | 10.8022(18) | 14.6874(16) | 10.8022(18) | 9.1865(13) |
| c /Å | 41.380(5) | 18.1992(16) | 16.4396(18) | 25.210(4) | 31.396(5) |
| α /° | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| β /° | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| γ /° | 120 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Volume /Å³ | 7594(2) | 1684.4(3) | 1936.3(4) | 2378.4(7) | 2580.9(6) |
| Z | 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| D / (g · cm⁻³) | 1.448 | 1.762 | 1.523 | 1.703 | 1.390 |
| μ / mm⁻¹ | 1.517 | 3.894 | 1.344 | 4.453 | 1.022 |
| F(000) | 3312 | 872 | 904 | 1200 | 1120 |
| θ range /(°) | 1.7–25.5 | 2.2–26.0 | 1.9–26.0 | 1.6–25.5 | 2.3–25.5 |
| Crystal size / mm | 0.22×0.20×0.20 | 0.34×0.30×0.18 | 0.16×0.14×0.04 | 0.25×0.18×0.14 | 0.60×0.50×0.18 |
| Tot. reflections | 15710 | 14613 | 15045 | 17732 | 15833 |
| Uniq. reflections, R(int) | 3172, 0.031 | 3293, 0.033 | 3802, 0.027 | 4422, 0.048 | 4800, 0.026 |
| GOF on F² | 1.05 | 1.04 | 1.05 | 1.03 | 1.08 |
| R indices [I>2σ(I)] | 0.027 | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.049 | 0.040 |
| wR² indices (all data) | 0.068 | 0.063 | 0.059 | 0.119 | 0.109 |
| Flack parameter | -0.023(15) | 0.030(11) | -0.021(11) | 0.018(10) | -0.013(12) |
| Δρ inexp, Δρ inexp/(e·Å⁻³) | -0.437, 0.409 | -0.349, 0.549 | -0.209, 0.476 | -0.772, 1.134 | -0.453, 0.986 |
Crystallographic information of 1-5 has been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, and the CCDC numbers are 1975365-1975369.
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