Body linear traits for identifying prolific goats
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Abstract

Aim: The present study was conducted on prolific goat breed to identify body linear type traits that might be associated with prolificacy trait in goats.

Materials and Methods: Two-stage stratified random sample survey based data were collected from 1427 non-pregnant goats with the history of single, twin and triplet litter sizes (LZ) between January 2008 to February 2011 for 3 years in 68 villages located in East and North East India. Data on sixteen body linear traits were analyzed using logistic regression model to do the step-wise selection for identifying the body linear traits that could determine LZ. An average value for each identified body linear trait was determined for classifying the goats into three categories: Goats having the history of single LZ, goats having the history of twin LZ and goats having the history of triplet LZ.

Results: The LZ proportions for single, twin and triplet, were 29.50, 59.14 and 11.36%, respectively, with the prolificacy rate of 181.85% in Indian Black Bengal goats. A total of eight body linear traits that could determine LZ in prolific goats were identified. Heart girth (HG) measurement (>60.90 cm), paunch girth (PG) (>70.22 cm), wither height (WH) (>49.75 cm), neck length (>21.45 cm), ear length (>12.80 cm) and distance between trochanter major (DTM) bones (>12.28 cm), pelvic triangle area (PTA) (>572.25 cm²) and clearance at udder (CU) (>23.16 cm) showed an increase likelihood of multiple LZ when compared to single LZ. Further, HG measurement (>62.29 cm), WH (>50.54 cm), PG (>71.85 cm) and ear length (>13.00 cm), neck length (>22.01 cm), PTA (>589.64 cm²), CU (>23.20 cm) and DTM bones (>12.47 cm) were associated with increased likelihood of triplet LZ, when compared with that of twin LZ.

Conclusion: HG measurement was the best discriminating factor, while PG, neck length, DTM bones, CU, PTA, WH and ear length measurements were other important factors that could be used for identifying prolific goats to achieve economic benefit out of prolificacy trait.
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Introduction

Prolificacy is an important economic trait in small ruminants like goats on which millions of resource - limited rural populace and economically weaker sections of the society depend for their livelihoods in developing countries like India, Bangladesh and other Southeast Asian countries. Thus, more kid production is of great interest to goat producers to achieve more economic benefits out of multiple births by taking special care of the pregnant goats carrying multiple fetuses and the goats carrying single fetus and thus, achieving more economic benefits out of multiple births by taking special care of the pregnant goats carrying multiple fetuses have been identified recently [6]. Although, there is still limited application of genetic evaluation of livestock species in developing countries, linear traits have currently been included in the genetic evaluations of a variety of breeds of goats in the United States of America [7]. There is inadequate information on the association between linear traits and fertility traits in goats [8]. We thus aimed to identify body linear type traits that might be associated with prolificacy trait in goats.
Materials and Methods

Ethical approval
The experimental protocol and animal care were met in accordance with the National guidelines for care and use of Agricultural Animals in Agricultural Research and Teaching.

Study area and experimental animals
The study area included 45 villages in 4 districts (Nadia, North 24 paraganas, Hooghly and Murshidabad) of West Bengal, a state of Eastern India and 23 villages in 3 districts (West Tripura, South Tripura and Dhalai) of Tripura, a state of North-East India. These seven districts are part of the Black Bengal goat breeding tract in the country (Figure-1). The climate is hot and humid with temperature ranging from 9°C in winter to 40°C in summer with annual rainfall ranging from 1500 mm to 2000 mm. Two-stage stratified random sample survey (consisting of village as strata-1 and animal within village as strata-2) based data were collected from 1427 non-pregnant goats with the history of single, twin and triplet litter sizes (LZ) between January 2008 to February 2011 for 3 years.

The Black Bengal goat breed was the experimental animal in the present study. The goats were generally reared in small numbers from 2 to 5 per household under the free range system on natural vegetation and tree lopping without any supplementation. Non-pregnant goats that were apparently free from diseases, experienced at least two kidding, but not completed their sixth kidding, were selected randomly in the villages for collecting data on various linear traits. The history of LZ, age, and parity of each goat, as reported by the owner, was noted. LZ was defined as the number of kid(s) born to each goat and considered at 3 levels: Single, twin and triplet births.

Data collection procedure and description
Sixteen body linear traits recorded included ear length, neck length, head rump length, head rump curve length, body length, sacral length, body weight (BW), heart girth (HG), paunch girth (PG), pelvic triangle area (PTA), wither height (WH), croup height, clearance at sternum, clearance at udder (CU), distance between tuber coxae bones (DTC) and distance between trochanter major bones (DTM). The length, height and circumference measurements (centimeters) were taken using a measuring tape. The measurements (centimeters) of DTC and DTM were done using a calibrated wooden caliper. BW (kg) was taken using a spring balance. All measurements were recorded on an individual data sheet from the non-pregnant goats in the morning before the animals were released for grazing. Each individual goat was sampled once only.

Statistical analysis
Data were analyzed in four steps. In the first step, PROC LOGISTIC; SAS 9.3, 2012, has been employed to fit a two-way Logit, which models the probability of LZ (LZ=0) with location effect. The location effect was not statistically significant (p=0.3736) as shown in Table-1. Thus, there was no evidence of the location effect on LZ of goat. Hence, the data recorded on 1427 goats in two locations, viz. West Bengal and Tripura were pooled together and subjected to the second step analysis using multiple stepwise logistic forward regressions (PROC LOGISTIC; SAS 9.3, 2012) to do the stepwise selection for identifying the prognostic factors for LZ. The model used was the logistic regression (LR) model:

$$\log \left( \frac{\theta(x)}{1-\theta(x)} \right) = \alpha + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + ... + \beta_i x_i$$

where, all body linear traits measured were considered independent variables that were represented by ‘x’, the resultant LZs were the dependent, predictor variables, \(\alpha\) = intercept of the model and \(\beta\)=the coefficient of predictor variables

LR enters predictor variables in a stepwise manner; it will also fit specified models or perform forward selection of variables. At each step in the stepping process, an attempt was made to remove any insignificant variables from the model before adding a significant variable to the model. A significance level of 0.3 was required to allow a variable to enter into the model, and a significance level of 0.35 was required for a variable to stay in the model. The step selection was based on the maximum likelihood ratio. The evaluation of the logistic regression model was done by Hosmer and Lemeshow Goodness-of-Fit Test in the logistic procedure.

The response variable chooses LZ=1 (when a goat having the history of more than one LZ) as against LZ=0 (when a goat having the history of single LZ), so that the probability of LZ is modeled.

Table-1: Logistic procedure: Analyze of effects.

| Effect    | Wald Chi square | df | Pr.>Chi square |
|-----------|----------------|----|---------------|
| Location  | 0.7917         | 1  | 0.3736        |
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From stepwise logistic regressions, some traits were emerged as the prognostic factors that could influence LZ significantly.

In the third step, we wanted to find out certain point of measurement of various identified factors that could indicate the likelihood of multiple LZ in goats. The average value for each identified (stepwise logistic procedure) body linear trait was determined for classifying the goats into one of the two categories of identified traits. Those above or below average value for each trait. The null hypothesis that odds of prolificacy (goats having the history of twin or triplet LZ vs. goats having the history of single LZ) is the same in goats classified as above or below average for different identified body linear traits was tested by using logistic regression model (PROC LOGISTIC; SAS 9.3, 2012). The identified potential traits associated with LZ were included in the model as independent variables. The resulting values (odds ratios) were then interpreted as a decisive factor. An odd ratio of ‘1’ indicates that the factor examined does not alter the chance of single or multiple LZs. Odd ratios >1 imply a direct association with an increased likelihood of multiple LZs. Odd ratios <1 imply an inverse association with the likelihood of multiple LZs.

Finally, an average value for each identified body linear trait was determined for classifying the goats having the history of multiple LZs into one of two categories (goats having the history of triplet LZ vs. goats having the history of twin LZ) using logistic regression model (PROC LOGISTIC; SAS 9.3, 2012) as mentioned above. Odd ratios >1 imply a direct association with an increased likelihood of triplet LZ, while odd ratios <1 imply an association with the likelihood of twin LZ.

**Results and Discussion**

**Prolificacy**

The percent of Black Bengal does show different birth type based on LZ presented in Table-2. The LZ proportions for single, twin and triplet, were 29.50, 59.14 and 11.36%, respectively. The prolificacy rate was recorded as 181.85%, registering on an average of 1.81 kids per goat. The average LZ being 1.81 in this study is quite comparable with some world prolific goat breeds like Nubian, Pygmy, American Alpine, French Alpine, Saanen and Toggenburg with the average LZ of 2.0, 1.9, 1.9, 1.7, 1.7 and 1.6, respectively [9].

**Association of body linear traits with LZ**

Descriptive statistics for different body linear traits, including age and parity in Black Bengal goats are presented in Table-3. A total of eight body linear traits out of 16 traits were identified through stepwise logistic selection as presented in Table-4. The results of the Hosmer and Lemeshow test register the goodness-of-fit of the selected logistic regression model where 0.2423 is a probability value (Table-4). HG measurement was the best discriminating factor between goats having the history of multiple LZs and

**Table-2:** Indian Black Bengal goats with different LZ groups and prolificacy

| LZ       | Total kids born | Average kid born/ doe | Prolificacy (%) |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Single   | 421             | 2595                  | 1.81            |
| Twin     | 844             |                       |                 |
| Triplet  | 162             | 11.36                 | 181.85          |

LZ=Litter size

**Table-3:** Descriptive statistics for different body linear traits including age, parity in Indian Black Bengal goats.

| Trait          | Mean±SD |              |
|----------------|---------|--------------|
| Age (month)    | 25.87±10.47 |              |
| Parity (number)| 2.78±1.21  |              |
| Ear length (cm)| 12.80±1.51  |              |
| Neck length (cm)| 21.45±3.89 |              |
| BW (kg)        | 17.36±4.31  |              |
| HRL (cm)       | 58.42±6.01  |              |
| HRCL (cm)      | 63.30±5.97  |              |
| BL (cm)        | 54.09±5.69  |              |
| SL (cm)        | 13.25±1.53  |              |
| WH (cm)        | 49.75±4.41  |              |
| CH (cm)        | 52.15±4.56  |              |
| HG (cm)        | 60.90±5.84  |              |
| PG (cm)        | 70.22±7.09  |              |
| CU (cm)        | 23.16±3.62  |              |
| CS (cm)        | 24.90±3.00  |              |
| PTA (square cm)| 572.25±193.93 |              |
| DTC (cm)       | 11.00±1.33  |              |
| DTM (cm)       | 12.28±1.25  |              |

HRCL=Head rump curve length, PG=Paunch girth, HG=heart girth, CU=Clearance at udder, CS=Clearance at sternum, PTA=Pelvic triangle area, DTC=Distance between tuber coxae bones, DTM=Distance between trochanter major bones, BL=Body length, WH=Wither height, SL=Sacral length, CH=Group height, HRL: Head rump length, BW: Body weight, SD: Standard deviation

**Table-4:** Summary of stepwise logistic selection of various body linear traits influencing LZ in Indian Black Bengal goats.

| Step | Effect entered | DF | Score | Chi-square # | Pr.>Chi square # |
|------|----------------|----|-------|--------------|-----------------|
| 1    | HG             | 1  | 193.91| <0.0001      |                 |
| 2    | PG             | 1  | 10.77 | 0.0010       |                 |
| 3    | Neck length    | 1  | 9.55  | 0.0020       |                 |
| 4    | DTM            | 1  | 5.44  | 0.0196       |                 |
| 5    | CU             | 1  | 2.55  | 0.1101       |                 |
| 6    | PTA            | 1  | 4.31  | 0.0378       |                 |
| 7    | WH             | 1  | 2.11  | 0.1460       |                 |
| 8    | Ear length     | 1  | 1.55  | 0.2130       |                 |

# The Pr.>Chi square is 0.2423 at 8 df and value of Chi square is 10.3344 as per Hosmer and Lemeshow Goodness-of-Fit test, PG=Paunch girth, HG=heart girth, CU=Clearance at udder, PTA=Pelvic triangle area, DTM=Distance between trochanter major bones, BL=Body length, WH=Wither height, SL=Sacral length, CH=Group height, HRL: Head rump length, BW: Body weight, SD: Standard deviation
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goats having the history of single LZ. PG, neck length, DTM bones, CU, PTA, WH and ear length measurements were other important factors that could influence LZ. Though some genes have been identified for influencing LZ in goats [10-12], certain body condition score, higher live weight, physical strength and less slopped rump angles have been implicated in an increase likelihood of multiple births in does [8,13] and in ewes [14,15].

Table 5 lists the body linear traits that influenced LZs (single vs. multiple) in Black Bengal goats based on the likelihood of LZ >1. HG measurement (>60.90 cm) was associated with four times increased likelihood of multiple LZ. Both PG (>70.22 cm) and WH (>49.75 cm) registered three times more chances of multiple LZ when compared with that of single LZ. Neck length (>21.45 cm), ear length (>12.80 cm) and DTM bones (>12.28 cm) were associated with two times increased likelihood of multiple LZ. The goats with >572.25 square cm PTA and >23.16 cm CU were 1.5 and 1.4 times more likely associated to have multiple LZ, respectively. Recently, we recorded an increased likelihood of bearing multiple fetuses for increase in somebody linear traits in pregnant goats due to enlargement of the body size during pregnancy to make room for twin or triplet fetuses [4]. Our present findings suggest that body linear traits may be the factors for differentiating the prolific goats having the potential of producing twin or triplet LZ from the non-prolific goats.

The body linear traits that influenced either twin or triplet LZ in Black Bengal goats are presented in Table 6. HG measurement (>62.29 cm) showed 4.4 times increased likelihood of triplet LZ when compared to twin LZ. WH (>50.54 cm), PG (>71.85 cm) and ear length (>13.00 cm) were associated with two times increased likelihood of triplet LZ. The goats with >579.64 cm PTA and >791.83 cm WH were 1.082-2.132 and 1.834-3.717 times more likely to have triplet LZ. The results showed that except HG and CU, all body linear traits were significantly associated with increased likelihood of triplet LZ in goats. On the other hand, WH and ear length were significantly associated with increased likelihood of multiple LZ. Hence, it can be concluded that body linear traits may be a determining factor in the production of multifetal births in goats.

---

### Table 5: Summary of logistic regression model of the influence of various body linear traits associated with LZs (single vs. multiple) in Indian Black Bengal goats.

| Parameter | Cut-off value | Number of animals | Body linear trait (mean±SD) | Odds ratio estimate | 95% wald confidence limits | p value |
|-----------|---------------|-------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| HG        | <60.90        | 699               | 56.17±3.42                 | 1.000               | 3.257-5.347               | <0.0001 |
|           | >60.90        | 728               | 65.44±3.67                 | 4.166               | 2.808-6.561               | <0.0001 |
| PG        | <70.22        | 762               | 65.04±4.47                 | 1.000               | 2.808-4.651               | <0.0001 |
|           | >70.22        | 665               | 76.16±4.36                 | 3.610               |                           |         |
| Neck length | <21.45    | 735               | 18.44±2.25                 | 1.000               | 1.631-2.604               | <0.0001 |
|           | >21.45        | 692               | 24.65±2.45                 | 2.061               |                           |         |
| DTM       | <12.28        | 816               | 11.44±0.72                 | 1.000               | 2.096-3.448               | <0.0001 |
|           | >12.28        | 611               | 13.39±0.89                 | 2.688               |                           |         |
| CU         | <23.16        | 858               | 20.84±1.90                 | 1.000               | 0.829-1.321               | 0.6992  |
|           | >23.16        | 611               | 26.65±2.69                 | 1.407               |                           |         |
| PTA       | <572.25       | 856               | 442.24±69.32               | 1.000               | 1.209-1.949               | 0.0004  |
|           | >572.25       | 571               | 767.15±153.11              | 1.533               |                           |         |
| WH        | <49.75        | 706               | 46.26±2.31                 | 1.000               | 2.409-3.891               | <0.0001 |
|           | >49.75        | 721               | 53.18±3.08                 | 3.067               |                           |         |
| Ear length | <12.80    | 609               | 11.43±0.82                 | 1.000               | 1.636-2.597               | <0.0001 |
|           | >12.80        | 818               | 13.81±1.03                 | 2.061               |                           |         |

LZ=Litter size, PG=Paunch girth, HG=heart girth, CU=Clearance at udder, PTA=Pelvic triangle area, DTM=Distance between trochanter major bones, WH=Wither height, SD=Standard deviation

### Table 6: Summary of logistic regression model of the influence of various body linear traits on either twin or triplet LZ in Indian Black Bengal goats.

| Parameter | Cut-off value | Number of animals | Body linear trait (mean±SD) | Odds ratio estimate | 95% wald confidence limits | p value |
|-----------|---------------|-------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| HG        | <62.29        | 530               | 58.26±3.26                 | 1.000               | 2.994-6.451               | <0.0001 |
|           | >62.29        | 475               | 66.79±4.32                 | 4.405               |                           |         |
| PG        | <71.85        | 494               | 66.59±4.01                 | 1.000               | 1.686-3.448               | <0.0001 |
|           | >71.85        | 511               | 76.94±4.29                 | 2.409               |                           |         |
| Neck length | <22.01    | 570               | 19.34±2.27                 | 1.000               | 1.142-2.247               | 0.0062  |
|           | >22.01        | 435               | 25.52±2.33                 | 1.602               |                           |         |
| DTM       | <12.47        | 525               | 11.55±0.70                 | 1.000               | 1.005-1.976               | 0.0465  |
|           | >12.47        | 480               | 13.48±0.91                 | 1.408               |                           |         |
| CU         | <23.20        | 601               | 20.81±1.93                 | 1.000               | 1.019-2.004               | 0.0383  |
|           | >23.20        | 404               | 26.77±2.64                 | 1.428               |                           |         |
| PTA       | <589.64       | 613               | 460.35±70.38               | 1.000               | 1.082-2.132               | 0.0156  |
|           | >589.64       | 392               | 791.83±154.47              | 1.517               |                           |         |
| WH        | <50.54        | 538               | 47.48±2.27                 | 1.000               | 1.834-3.717               | <0.0001 |
|           | >50.54        | 467               | 54.08±2.82                 | 2.610               |                           |         |
| Ear length | <13.00    | 376               | 11.56±0.73                 | 1.000               | 1.536-3.367               | <0.0001 |
|           | >13.00        | 629               | 13.87±1.03                 | 2.272               |                           |         |

LZ=Litter size, PG=Paunch girth, HG=heart girth, CU=Clearance at udder, PTA=Pelvic triangle area, DTM=Distance between trochanter major bones, WH=Wither height, SD=Standard deviation
times increased likelihood of triplet LZ. Neck length (>22.01 cm) and PTA (>589.64 square cm) registered 1.6 and 1.5 times more chances of triplet LZ, respectively; whereas both CU (>23.20 cm) and DTM bones (>12.47 cm) showed 1.4 times increased likelihood of triplet LZ, when compared with that of twin LZ. Thus, body linear traits may be useful indicators to differentiate the goats based on the ability of producing twin or triplet LZ. Different linear traits have been suggested to be valuable tools in breeding programs for some likelihood of productive and reproductive traits in goats [7], in sheep [16] and in dairy cattle [17], because of the moderate to high heritability of some linear traits [18].

Conclusions

The present study generates the basic measurements of body linear traits in meat type, prolific breed like Black Bengal goats. The body linear traits could be used for identifying prolific goats to achieve economic benefit out of prolificacy trait.
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