Toward Zero Hunger Through Coupled Ecological Sanitation-Agriculture Systems
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Ecological sanitation (EcoSan) systems capture and sanitize human excreta and generate organic nutrient resources that can support more sustainable nutrient management in agricultural ecosystems. An emerging EcoSan system that is implemented in Haiti and several other contexts globally couples container-based household toilets with aerobic, thermophilic composting. This closed loop sanitation system generates organic nutrient resources that can be used as part of an ecological approach to soil nutrient management and thus has the potential to contribute to Sustainable Development Goals 2 (zero hunger), 6 (clean water and sanitation for all), and 13 (climate change solutions). However, the role of organic nutrient resources derived from human excreta in food production is poorly studied. We conducted a greenhouse experiment comparing the impact of feces-derived compost on crop production, soil nutrient cycling, and nutrient losses with two amendments produced from wastewater treatment (pelletized biosolids and biofertilizer), urea, and an unfertilized control. Excreta-derived amendments increased crop yields 2.5 times more than urea, but had differing carry-over effects. After a one-time application of compost, crop production remained elevated throughout all six crop cycles. In contrast, the carry-over of crop response lasted two and four crop cycles for biosolids and biofertilizer, respectively, and was absent for urea. Soil carbon concentration in the compost amended soils increased linearly through time from 2.0 to 2.5%, an effect not seen with other treatments. Soil nitrous oxide emissions factors ranged from 0.3% (compost) to 4.6% (biosolids), while nitrogen leaching losses were lowest for biosolids and highest for urea. These results indicate that excreta-derived compost provides plant available nutrients, while improving soil health through the addition of soil organic carbon. It also improved biogeochemical functions, indicating the potential of excreta-derived compost to close nutrient loops if implemented at larger scales. If captured and safely treated through EcoSan, human feces produced in Haiti can meet up to 13, 22, and 11% of major crop needs of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium, respectively.
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INTRODUCTION

Nutrient recovery from organic waste streams and recycling in agriculture is a critical component to achieving Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 2, which aims to eliminate hunger (Springmann et al., 2018; Gerten et al., 2020). Current linear modes of fertilizer provision rely on external inputs of nutrient, energy, and water for agricultural production, and non-harvestable resources are predominately wasted and/or lost to the environment. This linear model has local to global consequences for human and ecosystem health. The production and intensive use of fertilizers have perturbed biogeochemical cycles to the extent that the planetary boundary for global nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) cycles has already been exceeded (Steffen et al., 2015). Nitrogen fertilizers are produced from the energy-intensive Haber-Bosch process, which converts unreactive atmospheric N in the form of dinitrogen (N₂) to a reactive form of N, ammonia (NH₃). This synthetic fixation of N has more than doubled the amount of N that cascades through the environment in different forms of reactive N (Fowler et al., 2013). Only 4 to 14% of N applied to crops is consumed by humans, with the rest lost to watersheds and the atmosphere (Pikaar et al., 2017). Excess reactive N in the form of nitrate contributes to the eutrophication of water systems and contamination of groundwater. Gaseous nitrous oxide (N₂O) is a global warming pollutant and contributes to stratospheric ozone depletion and nitrogen oxides (NOₓ) contribute to smog and acid rain (Zhu and Chen, 2002; Erisman et al., 2013). Similarly, rock phosphate, the predominant source of phosphorus (P) fertilizers, is a non-renewable resource mined from spatially heterogeneous mineral reserves (Cordell and White, 2014). Phosphate rock is a finite resource that will eventually be depleted, although recent estimates indicate no imminent scarcity of these reserves based on future rates of consumption (USGS, 2021). Excess phosphorus that is not taken up by plants can bind to sediments, produce P-rich runoff, and eutrophy water bodies (Sharpley and Menzel, 1987).

The provision of nutrients is a critical component of sustaining agricultural production (Tully and Ryals, 2017). While the extensive production and use of mineral fertilizers was an intentional strategy of the Green Revolution to alleviate hunger, it came at a heavy cost to the quality of air, water, and soil resources (Pingali, 2012). Additionally, hunger and malnutrition have persisted despite the continued increase in fertilizer use. A shift away from inorganic fertilization with synthetic and mined resources toward organic fertilization with natural byproducts and end products could alleviate some pressure on N and P planetary boundaries through reduced nutrient loading to the environment (Drinkwater and Snapp, 2007; Eden et al., 2017; Springmann et al., 2018). Recycling organic waste streams to agricultural soils can provide plant available nutrients to support crop or forage production as well as organic matter to improve soil health and ecosystem services. Therefore, ecological approaches to nutrient management reduce waste and foster more resilient agroecosystems (Schipanski et al., 2016), one of the targets of SDG 2.

The capture and transformation of human excreta represents an enormous and largely untapped strategy for circular models of nutrient management (Harder et al., 2019, 2020; Theregowda et al., 2019). Global production of human feces is projected to pass 1 Pg of wet matter per year (Berendes et al., 2018). Though excreta recovery has been practiced throughout cultures and history (Angelakis et al., 2018), this practice has been largely discontinued due to the advent of centralized wastewater treatment systems and inexpensive inorganic fertilizers (Bracken et al., 2007). The current low cost of synthetic N fertilizer can be attributed to fossil-fuel derived hydrogen, which is used in the Haber-Bosch reaction, and is likely to increase in the coming decades as society shifts away from fossil fuels. Alternative sources of N, including N recovered from human excreta, have the potential to be economically feasible, especially if externalities are accounted for. Feces and urine are nutrient-rich waste streams, with feces containing the majority of excreted carbon (C) and approximately half of P and potassium (K), and urine the majority of excreted N and the remaining P and K (Harder et al., 2019). Distinct treatment of feces and urine requires source separation, a method that is not currently practiced on a large scale in Western societies (Larsen et al., 2013).

One area of resource recovery research is on biosolids, the organic residue from wastewater treatment that results from mixed streams. The agronomic benefits and tradeoffs of nutrient recycling from biosolids have been extensively studied (e.g., Wang et al., 2008; Lu et al., 2012). Recent reviews of biosolids land application highlight the relationship between biosolids and ecosystem services, especially those linked to soil health, and the socioeconomic value of circular sanitation economies (Trimmer et al., 2019; Toffey and Brown, 2020). Diverting biosolids from landfills also contributes to climate change mitigation by reducing landfill methane emissions (Brown et al., 2008) and, when land applied, increasing stocks of carbon (C) in soil (Torri et al., 2014; Villa and Ryals, 2021). Land application of treated biosolids is a common practice, but there remains considerable potential to further recover and safely recycle biosolids nutrients. For example, the United States already incorporates 55% of all treated sewage sludge back onto agricultural lands but continues to landfill 30% and incinerate 15% of all sludge produced (Peccia and Westerhoff, 2015). Regulations aimed at minimizing public health risks of potential pathogens, persistent pollutants, and contaminants limit the application amount, timing, and frequency of biosolids land application, yet regulatory limits vary among regions and countries (Gianico et al., 2021). Historically, regulatory limits were based on concentrations of heavy metals and pathogen loading. More recently, emerging contaminants, like pharmaceuticals, have also driven regulatory limits or concerns over biosolids land application, and knowledge gaps remain about the impact of contaminants like microplastics (Clarke and Cummins, 2015).

Compared to biosolids, less research is available on effective nutrient recycling from non-sewered sanitation systems. This is an important gap in knowledge that could inform ecological approaches to nutrient management in regions and societies experiencing underdevelopment following colonization. Approximately 4.2 billion people globally lack access to safely
managed sanitation. Of this population, ~2 billion people globally lack access to basic sanitation and 673 million people practice open defecation [World Health Organization, and (WHO) and the United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF), 2020]. Global flows of wasted human excreta N, P, and C are highly uncertain, but estimates clearly indicate the significance of non-sewered systems to nutrient imbalances, particularly in low and middle income countries. Between 85–93 and 77–90% of the N and P, respectively, excreted by humans in low and middle income countries is released into the environment without treatment (Fuhrmeister et al., 2015), wasting valuable nutrient resources and causing severe consequences for human and ecosystem health. Conventional sewage that uses fresh water to move and treat human waste is unlikely to meet many of these sanitation needs for several social, economic, and ecological reasons (Öberg et al., 2020). Likewise, current forms of non-sewered sanitation systems that do not ensure safe and effective treatment and land application do not meet societal and environmental health goals, and are not considered ecological nutrient management (Guo et al., 2021). Managing sanitation in a changing climate is a challenge, particularly when systems rely on large quantities of fresh water and centralized, extensive infrastructure that requires significant capital and trained management (Kohlitz et al., 2017). Global efforts to enhance access to adequate sanitation under SDG 6 are driving demand for innovative non-sewered sanitation services, particularly in regions that are rapidly urbanizing and where water resources are scarce (Russel et al., 2019; McConville et al., 2020).

Ecological sanitation (EcoSan) systems have the potential to transform nutrient management by explicitly coupling sanitation and agriculture (Langergraber and Muellegger, 2005; Haq and Cambridge, 2012). EcoSan refers to a suite of practices that aim for closed-loop management of human excreta. EcoSan designs are often non-sewered and feature separate collection and treatment of urine and feces. These systems have been implemented throughout the world, but with tremendous potential for growth (GIZ, 2012; Hu et al., 2016). EcoSan may reduce public health risks while creating a locally accessible source of nutrients, thus increasing food security and agroecosystem sustainability, and bolstering local circular economies (Langergraber and Muellegger, 2005). There is particularly high potential for the implementation of EcoSan in emerging urban environments with dense populations located near cropland, which may help offset mineral fertilizer imports (Trimmer and Guest, 2018). Recommendations for optimal designs of EcoSan systems based on local socio-economic and cultural contexts and safety guidelines have been developed to encourage the adoption of EcoSan services (Reed and Shaw, 2003; WHO, 2006; Sinha and Ganesapillai, 2017). However, several interrelated economic, institutional, and political barriers need to be overcome in order to realize large-scale adoption of EcoSan (Sinharoy et al., 2019). In the context of nutrient management, the lack of data on the use of novel organic amendments from EcoSan limits understanding of effects on crop production, nutrient cycling, and water quality effects, which impairs decision makers and inhibits widespread adoption (Smith et al., 2016).

An emerging EcoSan system that has been modeled in Haiti and other low resource settings couples container-based toilets with aerobic, thermophilic composting. This model of EcoSan has been demonstrated at medium-scales (~9,000 toilet users per day in 2020) by the non-profit organization, Sustainable Organic Integrated Livelihoods (SOIL), in Haiti since 2006. SOIL’s container-based sanitation (CBS) technology separates urine and feces on-site. Feces are combined with a sugarcane residue and transported to a centralized compost facility for sanitization and processing. Aerobic, thermophilic composting of human feces is effective at reducing pathogenic loads to safe levels, which can help achieve public health goals (Berendes et al., 2015; Piceno et al., 2017). Coupled sanitation-agriculture systems that return both nutrients and organic matter to soil can be particularly beneficial in regions that experience severe soil degradation, food insecurity, and climate vulnerability (Bargout and Raizada, 2013). The most severe impacts of these factors are found in Haiti, where 61.9% of the population cannot afford a nutrient adequate diet, 48.2% of the population is undernourished, and which is not currently on track to meet SDG 2 (FAO, IFAD, UNICEF, WFP and WHO, 2020). Progress on SDG6 is also falling short, as only 37.1% of people have access to basic sanitation services, which means having access to a sanitation facility that is not shared with other households but does not include the safe treatment or disposal of waste (United Nations, 2021). In the Cap Haitien, the second most populous city in Haiti, only 1% of human excreta is safely managed, primarily by SOIL’s EcoSan service (Biscan, 2018). The cause of these vulnerabilities are deeply rooted in the country’s institutional and environmental colonial legacy (Dubois, 2013). After the Haitian Revolution succeeded in ending slavery and winning independence from France, the country was forced to pay reparations to their enslavers over the next 100 years, draining financial capital and investment. Further, the birth of Haiti as an independent nation had little support from other nations. The US did not recognize it until 1862, and occupied the country from 1915 through 1934. High rates of deforestation, soil infertility, and natural resource depletion are strongly tied to Haiti’s political ecology (Baro, 2002). Thus, the restoration of Haiti’s soils through circular systems that support ecological nutrient management is an important component of achieving environmental justice and agroecological resilience, and sustainable production systems.

Our objectives were to determine the responses of plants and soil to organic matter amendments derived from human excreta and to estimate the potential for the recycling of human excreta to meet country-level crop nutrient demands. Specifically, we compared the effects of composted human feces on soil and plant processes to two other human waste products (biosolids and biofertilizer), inorganic fertilization, and an un-amended control. We hypothesized that the application of composted feces to soil would increase crop production, due to the presence of a suite of macro- and micronutrients. We predicted that the boost in crop production would carry-over in time due to slow mineralization of nutrients and improvements in soil health. We further hypothesized these improvements in soil health would lead to lower aqueous and gaseous nutrient losses. We also provide an estimate of the potential for circular sanitation to meet Haiti's
crop N, P, and K demands, along with an assessment of hurdles to widespread adoption of circular sanitation-agriculture systems.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental Design**

We conducted the experiment in a climate-controlled greenhouse at Pope Greenhouse facilities at the University of Hawaii at Mānoa from August 2017 through April 2018. Daily mean temperature was 27.6°C and mean relative humidity was 69.6% over the course of the experiment. Soil used for the experiment was weathered from basic igneous rock with silty clay texture and classified as very-fine, kaolinitic, isohyperthermic Rhodic Eutrustox in the Lahaina series. Soil was collected from the 0 to 30 cm depth of an uncultivated field directly adjacent to an organic agricultural system on Oahu, Hawaii (21.555°N, 158.117°W). The field was previously used for irrigated sugarcane production and had been fallowed for at least 10 years prior to this study. Soil was sieved to 2 mm and mixed well prior to distributing it into growth pots. Each growth pot consisted of a 7.5 L bucket (diameter = 25 cm) perforated for drainage. The base of the pot was layered with a 20 µm Whatman #4 filter followed by 3.5 mm of HCl-rinsed silica sand and 3.5 kg of sieved soil. Each pot was nested inside a second 7.5 L bucket so that leachate could be collected and analyzed for nutrient content.

Potted soils were amended with compost derived from human faces and compared with four other treatments, including biosolids, liquid biofertilizer, urea (46-0-0 N-P-K), and an unfertilized control (n = 3). The compost amendment was generated by SOIL (Kramer et al., 2011), a non-governmental organization that provides ecological-based sanitation services to households and communities in Cap Haitien, Haiti. SOIL’s EcoSan system deploys 20 L container-based, urine-diverting toilets to separate solid (feces) and liquid (urine) waste. Urine is captured in a 4 L plastic container and is disposed of on-site, ideally on a pervious surface, by toilet users. Sugarcane bagasse is used as cover on solid waste after each use. Solid material is collected on a weekly basis and transported to a centralized composting facility where sugarcane bagasse is again used as lining (30 cm on the base of the pile) and cover material (10 cm on top of the pile), resulting in a bagasse-to-feces ratio of 2:1. An aerobic, thermophilic composting process is used to sanitize feces and produce a nutrient-rich soil amendment (Kramer et al., 2011; Ryals et al., 2019). Compost pile temperatures and *E. coli* concentration are regularly monitoring during the composting process to ensure that thermophilic conditions are achieved. The biosolids amendment was a Class A commercial-grade fertilizer derived from municipal solid waste that has undergone anaerobic digestion, centrifuge dewatering, heat drying and pelletization and was collected from the Honolulu Sand Island Wastewater Treatment Plant (R.M. Towill Corporation, 2017). The liquid biofertilizer amendment was produced from a wastewater treatment facility that uses anaerobic digestion and thermal hydrolysis to produce a pathogen-free liquid biofertilizer (Lystegro, Lystek, Canada). Urea was used as a comparison in this study because it is a widely used synthetic N-based fertilizer. Global production of urea has increased by 25% in the past decade, which outpaces the growth of other N-based fertilizers (e.g., ammonium nitrate; IFASTAT, 2021). All pots were arranged in a randomized block configuration to minimize the effects of microclimate variability within the greenhouse.

All treatments were applied one-time only and immediately prior to the first planting. The application rate for all treatments except for the control was equivalent to 100 kg potentially available N ha⁻¹, which is an intermediate N application rate for the radish crop used in this study, *Raphanus raphanistrum sativus* (Fox and Valenzuela, 1996; Jawad et al., 2015; Yuan et al., 2015). Plant available nitrogen (PAN) was calculated for each amendment using the following equation:

\[ \text{PAN} = \text{NH}_4 - N + [\text{NO}_3 - N + \text{NO}_2 - N] + K_{\text{min}} (\text{Org} - N) \]

where \( K_{\text{min}} \) equals mineralizable N. The N mineralization rate of EcoSan compost was estimated at 7% based on the average value of 16 studies measuring N mineralization in composted biosolids between 1977 and 2011 (Rigby et al., 2016). The N mineralization rate of 20% was estimated for the biosolids treatment based on the EPA's recommended rate for anaerobically digested biosolids (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 1995). The N mineralization rate of 40% was provided by the manufacturer for the liquid biofertilizer treatment (Lystek Inc, 2017). All N was considered available for urea, which consists of 46% N. The treatments were added into the pots and incorporated into the soil manually prior to seed planting. Initial soil organic C and total N concentrations and contents and Treatment C, PAN, and total N application amounts are presented in Table 1.

**Crop Biomass and Nutrient Content**

Nine radish (*Raphanus raphanistrum sativus*) seeds were sown in each pot, thinned to the three strongest seedlings after 7 days, and harvested after 36 days. Radish was selected for this experiment because of its fast growth rate and time to maturation. Six consecutive crops of radishes were planted in each pot without reaplication of organic amendments or fertilizer. At the end of each crop cycle, radishes were carefully uprooted, washed with deionized water, and divided at the crown to separate above- and belowground plant components. Plant samples were dried at 65°C until a stable dry weight was achieved. Fresh and dry biomass for the above- and belowground components were recorded for all six crop cycles. A subsample of aboveground biomass from each pot after the first two crop cycles was composited and analyzed for macro and micronutrient concentrations at the University of Hawaii Hilo Analytical Laboratory. Calcium (Ca), Potassium (K), Magnesium (Mg), Sodium (Na), Phosphorus (P), Arsenic (As), Copper (Cu), Iron (Fe), Manganese (Mn), Lead (Pb), and Zinc (Zn) concentrations were measured on a Varian Vista-MPX CCD ICP-OES at the University of Hawaii (UH) at Hilo Analytical Laboratory according to the methods described by Zimmermann (2000). Briefly, samples were dried at 55°C, ground finely, and dry-ashed in a muffle furnace at 500°C. Ash residue is dissolved in 1 M hydrochloric acid prior to analysis on the ICP-OES. Chloride (Cl) concentrations were measured on a Lachat Quickchem 8,500
TABLE 1 | Carbon and N concentrations and contents of soil and amendments.

| Amendment Type | % C | C content (g pot⁻¹) | % N | N content (g pot⁻¹) |
|----------------|-----|--------------------|-----|--------------------|
| Initial Soil   | 2.24| 78.4               | 0.19| 6.65               |

| Amendment Type | % C | C added (g pot⁻¹) | % N | PAN added (g pot⁻¹) | Total N added (g pot⁻¹) |
|----------------|-----|------------------|-----|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Urea           | 20.0| 0.20             | 46.0| 0.45                | 0.45                    |
| Compost        | 24.7| 53.2             | 3.00| 0.45                | 6.46                    |
| Biosolids      | 37.6| 20.0             | 4.26| 0.45                | 2.26                    |
| Biofertilizer  | 2.24| 3.00             | 5.29| 0.45                | 0.61                    |

Amendment application was based on a rate of 100 kg of potentially available N (PAN) ha⁻¹, leading to differences in amounts of total N and C applied among treatments.

Series 2 according to the methods described by Jones (2001). Plant nutrient concentrations were multiplied by biomass to calculate nutrient content.

Soil Carbon and Nutrients

Soil organic C and total N concentrations were analyzed immediately after treatment application, and at the end of crop cycles 1, 2, and 6. A subsample of soil was air-dried, ground to a fine powder using a mortar and pestle and analyzed for soil total C and N concentrations on Costech 4,100 Elemental Analyzer at the University of Hawaii at Hilo Analytical Laboratory. Concentrations of exchangeable cations, including calcium (Ca²⁺), magnesium (Mg²⁺), potassium (K⁺) and sodium (Na⁺), were measured initially and at the end of crop cycle 6 using the ammonium acetate method buffered at pH 7.0 (Lavkulich, 1971) and analyzed on a Thermo iCAP DUO 7,400 ICP-OES at the UH Hilo Analytical Laboratory. Cation exchange capacity was calculated as the sum of base cations. Soils were also analyzed for pH using a slurry method with a 1 to 2 ratio of soil:deionized water.

Soil extractable N [nitrate (NO₃⁻) + nitrite (NO₂⁻) and ammonium (NH₄⁺)] was measured at five timepoints: immediately after treatment application, and at weeks 3, 6, 9, and 12 of the study. These timepoints are related to the beginning, middle, and end of the first two crop cycles. Three soil cores (1 cm diameter × 10 cm deep) were collected from each pot about 2.5 cm from each radish taproot. To determine amounts of extractable N, 6 g of soil was mixed with 30 mL of 2M KCl, shaken for 60 min on an orbital shaker, and filtered with a Whatman #1 filter. The filtrate was collected, stored in a −20 °C freezer, and shipped on dry ice to the University of Hawaii at Hilo Analytical Laboratory for colorimetric analysis on a Lachat Quickchem 8,500 Series 2 (Zimmermann, 1997).

Water and Nutrient Leaching

All pots were watered to field capacity (3,000 mL deionized water to 3.5 kg soil) immediately prior to treatment application. Each pot was watered daily with the same amount of deionized water as needed, typically 100 mL, to maintain approximate field capacity. Excess water equivalent to a 1.85 cm stimulated rainfall event was added approximately weekly to induce leaching events and create variable soil water conditions present in field settings. Leachate was collected 24 h after excess watering events on days 2, 3, 9, 22, 39, 47, 51, 71, 74, 116, 152, and 192, which include four events during the first two crop cycles and events during the fallow period after subsequent crop cycles. The total volume of leachate was recorded for each pot and a 50 mL subsample was collected and stored in at −20 °C. Leachate samples from the first two crop cycles were also analyzed for NO₃⁻, NH₄⁺, and phosphate (PO₄³⁻) concentrations. Inorganic N and P in leachate was not measured after the second crop cycle, when concentrations remained below the detection limit of the analyzer.

Concentrations of NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ in each leachate sample were measured by reduction to nitrite and reaction with Griess reagent and the indophenol blue method of reflectometric determination, respectively, using a Reflectoquant (EMD Millipore Corporation; Billerica, MA USA). Lower limits for nutrient detection were < 3 mg for NO₃⁻ and < 0.2 mg for NH₄⁺. The total mass of N leached in each form was then calculated by multiplying the concentration of NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ in each leachate subsample by the total volume of leachate collected during the leaching event. The total mass of N leached from each pot was then calculated by summing the mass of N lost during each leaching event. We then calculated the mean percent N lost via leaching for each treatment group as a function of the amount of total N added to each pot, which varied by treatment since PAN was kept constant.

The concentration of PO₄³⁻ in leachate samples was measured using the phosphomolybdenum blue method of reflectometric determination using a Reflectoquant (EMD Millipore Corporation; Billerica, MA USA). Lower limits for nutrient detection were < 5 ppb. The total mass of P leached as PO₄³⁻ was then calculated by multiplying the concentration of PO₄³⁻ in each leachate subsample by the total volume of leachate collected during the leaching event. The total mass of phosphorus leached as PO₄³⁻ from each pot was calculated by summing the mass of phosphorus lost during each leaching event.

Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Soil greenhouse gas fluxes were measured prior to and immediately following treatment application and twice per week during the first two cropping cycles. When moisture is held relatively constant, soil greenhouse gas fluxes tend to be highest for the first few days to weeks following application of amendments or fertilizer (Ryals and Silver, 2013). Greenhouse gas fluxes were no longer measured after the second crop cycle, when there were no longer treatment differences observed. Vented static flux chambers (7.5 L) were fitted on top of
the growth pots, and 30 mL gas samples were collected from the chamber headspace at 0, 5, 10, 20, and 30-min time points. Gas samples were immediately transferred to 20 mL evacuated glass vials (Wheaton) with a butyl rubber stopper (GeoMicrobial Technologies) and sealed with an aluminum crimp. Samples were analyzed for concentrations of carbon dioxide (CO₂), nitrous oxide (N₂O), and methane (CH₄) on a gas chromatograph outfitted with a thermal conductivity detector, electron capture detector, and flame ionization detector (Shimanzu Analyzer 5,000-A, University of California, Merced). Fluxes were calculated using an iterative exponential curve-fitting approach (Matthias et al., 1978). We summed daily values using linear interpolation between sampling time points to estimate cumulative soil greenhouse emissions over each of the two crop cycles.

**Potential for Ecological Sanitation to Meet Crop Nutrient Demands in Haiti**

We used available data on crop biomass, crop nutrient demand, and the production of human excreta in Haiti to demonstrate the relevance of EcoSan at scale. We estimated the potential contribution of excreta-derived nutrients to meet annual crop N, P, and K demands in Haiti. Crop nutrient demand was calculated by multiplying the average production from 2017 to 2019 of FAOSTAT-reported crops in Haiti by crop-specific nutrient removal (Roy et al., 2006; FAOSTAT Statistical Database, 2021). Sisal, yam, melon, and chicory root were excluded because adequate removal values were not found. Crops that are grown in Haiti but not included in the FAOSTAT database were excluded from this analysis. Urine and fecal nutrients were calculated using Haiti-specific values in Jönsson et al. (2005) and an estimated Haitian population of 11.26 million. The maximum potential percentage demand met by supply assumed 100% of nutrients embedded in excreta could be recovered. We recognize that this accounts for neither the nutrient losses that occur during the composting process or urine recovery, nor the potential increases in nutrient retention or nutrient use efficiency with elevated soil organic matter. We also considered barriers to widespread adoption of EcoSan and recommend pathways to overcome these barriers.

**Statistical Analysis**

One-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) were used to determine significant differences in each parameter measured between treatment groups for each crop round. Analyses included a blocking effect to account for potential spatial variation in greenhouse conditions. When the results of the ANOVA indicated a significant difference between means ($p < 0.05$), a Tukey Post-Hoc Test was conducted to determine differences between specific treatment groups. To assess the changes over time, we used repeated measures multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) with aboveground biomass, belowground biomass, total biomass, and soil extractable N as response variables and treatment, time, and treatment $\times$ time interactions as model effect factors. Statistical tests were performed using JMP Pro 14.2 (SAS Institute Inc, 1989). Variables that were not normally distributed were log transformed to meet assumptions for ANOVA and MANOVA. Data are reported as mean values followed by ± standard error. Statistical significance was determined as $p < 0.05$.

**RESULTS**

**Crop Biomass and Nutrient Content**

There was a significant treatment effect on crop production after the first crop cycle ($p < 0.0001$; Figure 1). The strongest total plant biomass response was observed with the human waste amendments, which was more than two-fold greater than urea fertilization ($p < 0.0001$) and ten-fold greater than the control ($p < 0.0001$). Treatment differences among human waste amendments were not statistically significant and ranged from 2.3 to 3.5 $g_{dry}$. Urea increased plant biomass compared to control ($p < 0.0001$). Treatment effects were similar for both above- and belowground plant components, and the block effect was not significant.

Plant biomass responses to treatment diverged over time following the one-time application (Figure 2). There were significant treatment differences for aboveground, belowground, and total plant biomass through time over the course of all
six consecutive crop cycles ($p < 0.0001$). There was also a significant block effect for aboveground biomass ($p = 0.004$) and a significant interaction between time and treatment ($p < 0.001$) for all plant biomass measurements. Urea did not significantly increase plant biomass relative to the control beyond the first crop cycle. The human waste amendments differed in the duration of their carry-over effect on plant biomass. Biosolids increased total plant biomass relative to control for the first two crop cycles, while Biofertilizer had an effect for the first three crop cycles. In contrast, Compost significantly increased plant biomass throughout all six crop cycles, and remained 2–3 times greater than all other treatments at the end of the experiment. Over the course of six crop cycles, cumulative plant biomass from human waste amended soils ranged from 6.2 ± 0.4 g$_{\text{dry}}$ (Biosolids) to 11.1 ± 0.5 g$_{\text{dry}}$ (Compost), while it was only 3.9 ± 0.3 g$_{\text{dry}}$ for Urea and 2.9 ± 0.1 g$_{\text{dry}}$ for Control.

Plant tissue nutrient concentrations did not differ greatly among treatments, although some differences were observed (Supplementary Table 1). Compost increased N concentration in leaves by 35% compared to all other treatments in the first crop cycle ($p = 0.007$), but this effect did not carry-over to the next crop cycle ($p = 0.25$). Leaf tissue Na concentrations of all amendment treatments were significantly greater than control, but only the Biofertilizer treatment was significantly greater than crop fertilized with urea. In the first crop cycle, leaf Cl tissue was highest for Biosolids ($p < 0.001$), but no significant difference was detected in the second crop cycle. There were no significant treatment effects in Ca, K, Mg, P, As, Cu, Fe, Mn, Pb, or Zn leaf concentrations.

### Soil Organic Carbon and Nutrients

There was no significant difference in total C or N concentrations of the potted soil immediately after treatment application (Day 1; $p = 0.43$ for %N, $p = 0.30$ for %C). However, at the end of the first crop cycle (Day 37), we detected a significant treatment effect on soil organic C concentration ($p = 0.0011$). Compost amended soils had a significantly higher soil organic C concentration ($2.48 \pm 0.04$ %C) than the four other treatments. Soil organic C concentrations of the remaining treatments were not significantly different from the control, which was $2.04 \pm 0.05$ %C. At the end of six consecutive crop cycles (Day 239), both soil organic C and total N were significantly greater in Compost than all other treatments (Figure 3). Mean soil organic C concentration in Compost was 37% greater and soil total N concentration was 29% greater compared to other treatments. Soil organic C concentration in compost increased linearly through time ($R^2 = 0.93$).

Soil inorganic N pools decreased significantly through time ($p < 0.001$), with a significant treatment effect ($p = 0.019$) and treatment and time interaction ($p = 0.024$). Initial inorganic N pools were approximately two times greater for soils amended with Biosolids and four times greater for soils amended with Biofertilizer, compared to Control. This trend was driven primarily by higher amounts of extractable NH$_4^+$ upon application of these amendments. By the end of the first crop cycle (Day 36), soil inorganic N pools were very low, and not significantly influenced by treatment. Compost and Biosolids amended soils experienced a small pulse in soil extractable N at the mid-point of the second crop cycle, which again subsided by the end of that cycle (Figure 4).

Cation exchange capacity did not differ significant across treatments at the end of the experiment ($p = 0.29$; Table 2). Base saturation was dominated by calcium (mean 88 ± 0.7% of total CEC) across all treatments. Extractable K$^+$ concentrations were significantly higher than all other treatments (ANOVA $p$-value = 0.0003; Tukey $p$-values < 0.005). The urea, biosolids, and biofertilizer treatments had an average of 56% less extractable K$^+$ relative to the control by the end of the experiment. In contrast,
the compost treatment had 190% more extractable K\(^+\) relative to the control. The compost treatment also had significantly higher concentrations of extractable Mg\(^+\) and Na\(^+\) compared to the control, urea, and biofertilizer treatments (Table 2). Soil pH averaged 7.83 ± 0.03 across all treatments, and there were no significant differences in soil pH among treatments or through time.

**Water and Nutrient Leaching**

The amount of water leached from the pots during the first crop cycle varied from 10 to 17% of total amount of water added, and there was a significant treatment effect (p = 0.006). Compost leached significantly less water than Control, Urea, and Biofertilizer (p < 0.05), and marginally significantly less than Biosolids (p = 0.10). The amount of water leached from Biosolids was significantly less than Control. By the end of the sixth crop cycle, the treatment effect on water loss via leaching was considerably stronger compared to the first crop cycle with similar trends (Figure 5). Relative to the amount of water added, 7 and 10% of water was lost via leaching from Compost and Biosolids, respectively. Compost leached 2.4 and 3.2 times less water than the Urea and Control, respectively. There was not a significant difference in water leached between Biofertilizer, Urea, and Control.

Inorganic N (NO\(_3^-\) + NH\(_4^+\)) leaching rates were highest at the beginning of the experiment following treatment application, with NO\(_3^-\) contributing between 80 and 99% of total inorganic N leached across all treatments. During the first crop cycle, the amount of NH\(_4^+\) leached was highest for Urea, whereas the amount of NO\(_3^-\) leached was highest for Compost. Approximately 93% of NO\(_3^-\) leached from compost occurred within the first three weeks, leading to a total of 709 ± 169 mg NO\(_3^-\) N leached from Compost. This amount was an order of magnitude higher than Urea, which had the second highest NO\(_3^-\) leaching. There were no significant treatment differences after Day 21. By the second crop cycle (beginning Day 39), dynamics of N leaching changed dramatically, reducing from an average across all treatments of 148 mg NO\(_3^-\)-N in crop cycle 1 to 1.9 mg NO\(_3^-\)-N in crop cycle 2. Similarly, the amount of NH\(_4^+\)-N that leached was reduced from an average of 5.6 to 0.09 mg NH\(_4^+\)-N in crop cycles 1 and 2, respectively. There was a significant
TABLE 2 | Soil pH, extractable nutrients, and cation exchange capacity (CEC) after the sixth crop cycle.

| Treatment     | soil pH  | Ca^{2+} | K^{+}   | Mg^{2+} | Na^{+}   | CEC   |
|---------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|----------|-------|
| Control       | 7.8 ± 0.04| 23.4 ± 0.58| 0.11 ± 0.006^b| 2.32 ± 0.05^b| 0.27 ± 0.011^b| 26.1 ± 0.58 |
| Urea          | 7.8 ± 0.03| 23.1 ± 0.80| 0.09 ± 0.014^a| 2.34 ± 0.10^b| 0.27 ± 0.011^b| 25.8 ± 0.81 |
| Compost       | 7.8 ± 0.03| 22.0 ± 0.71| 0.31 ± 0.075^a| 2.80 ± 0.088^b| 0.48 ± 0.036^b| 25.6 ± 0.72 |
| Biosolids     | 7.7 ± 0.04| 22.9 ± 0.33| 0.02 ± 0.013^b| 2.45 ± 0.11^b| 0.43 ± 0.029^b| 25.8 ± 0.35 |
| Biofertilizer | 7.9 ± 0.14| 21.0 ± 0.80| 0.03 ± 0.014^b| 2.17 ± 0.05^b| 0.21 ± 0.017^b| 23.4 ± 1.42 |

Letters indicate significance at p < 0.05.

Soil Greenhouse Gas Emissions

There were no significant treatment differences in soil CO_2 fluxes through time or in cumulative CO_2 efflux from potted soils (Figure 6A). Fluxes of CH_4 were negligible or low throughout the experiment. There were no significant treatment differences in cumulative soil CH_4 flux (Figure 6B). Fluxes of N_2O were greatest within the first two weeks of the experiment. There was a significant treatment difference in cumulative soil N_2O flux (p < 0.0001). Biosolids cumulative soil N_2O flux was significantly greater than all other treatments (Figure 6C). Soil N_2O emissions factors ranged from 0.03 ± 0.01 (Compost) to 4.5 ± 0.6 (Biosolids). There was a significant treatment difference in soil N_2O emissions factors (p = 0.0005). The emissions factor for Biosolids was significantly higher than all other treatments (p < 0.008), except Biofertilizer (p = 0.25). Compost soil N_2O emissions factor was significantly less than Biosolids (p = 0.0004) and Biofertilizer (p = 0.004) and marginally significant compared to Urea (p = 0.10).

The fate of total added N was summarized in a partial N mass balance (Figure 7). We calculated a partial N mass balance based on the amount of measured N losses from treatments relative to the unfertilized control, including inorganic N leaching, N_2O–N, and plant N uptake. Nitrogen losses accounted for from the Compost treatment exceeded the amount of N added in units of PAN, largely due to initial NO_3 leaching losses. The highest proportion of unaccounted N losses (76% of PAN added) was from the Urea treatment, while 20 and 56% of PAN added in Biosolids and Biofertilizer treatments were unaccounted for.

We also observed increases in soil total N in the Compost and Biofertilizer treatments which were greater than cumulative N losses. In contrast, soil total N from the Urea treatment declined slightly over time relative to the control.

Potential for Ecological Sanitation to Meet Crop Nutrient Demands in Haiti

Average annual crop production (2017–2019) of 24 FAOSTAT crop types in Haiti was 3.4 MMT yr^-1 (Supplementary Table 2). Five crops—sugar cane, mangoes, bananas, avocados, and rice—contributed nearly 85% of total annual crop production. Total N, P, and K demand from annual crop production is presented in Table 3. The capture and transformation of human excreta via composting recovery could potentially supply 13, 22, and 11% of crop N, P, and K (Table 3), assuming 100% nutrient recovery. Urine, which is currently disposed of on-site in SOIL’s sanitation system but also has the potential for nutrient recovery, could meet an even greater amount of crop nutrient demand, potentially up to 83, 44, and 32% of N, P, and K. Several ecological, technical, and sociocultural barriers impede the widespread adoption of coupled sanitation-agriculture systems (Table 4).
**DISCUSSION**

**Crop and Soil Responses to Human Waste Amendments**

We measured the response of plant production to a one-time application of three human excreta-derived soil amendments: compost from container-based EcoSan, pelletized biosolids from wastewater treatment, and biofertilizer from thermal hydrolysis after wastewater treatment. In the first crop cycle, all three excreta-derived amendments increased plant production significantly relative to urea-fertilized and unfertilized control soils. These results align with short-term greenhouse and field studies of excreta-derived amendments (e.g., Sumner, 2000; Elliott and O’Connor, 2007; Moya et al., 2019a; Brown et al., 2020).

The agronomic effects of biosolids have been well studied in the context of urban waste management (Brown et al., 2020). Sustained increases in crop yields, reduction in N fertilizer requirements, and increases in soil organic matter have been observed with the application of biosolids to vegetable production systems and urban gardens across a range of soil types and climates (e.g., Ozores-Hampton and Peach, 2002; Alvarez-Campos and Evanylo, 2019). Residues from wastewater treatment can also be treated further with thermal hydrolysis to produce liquid biofertilizers that are rich in mineral N and beneficial microorganisms. Liquid biofertilizers have been less extensively studied relative to biosolids, and little information is available on the effects of soil nutrient cycling and loss associated with their application. Recent studies indicate similar positive benefits to plant production (Badewa and Oelbermann, 2020; de Matos...
Our results corroborate existing studies relative to standard (e.g., Krause and Rotter, 2018; Schröder et al., 2021). The effects of EcoSan compost on water infiltration, nutrient leaching, or greenhouse gas emissions are similarly poorly studied. Our study provides some of the first observations of these parameters in soils treated with EcoSan and can be used to inform future research. Extrapolation of observations from pot studies can be useful for building conceptual models and predicting treatment effects, but field experiments are necessary for furthering understanding of plant-soil feedbacks (Forero et al., 2019). Additional studies are needed in field contexts, across multiple climate and edaphic conditions, and through time to refine our understanding of the fate and transport of recycled nutrients in EcoSan systems. In our greenhouse experiment, we detected treatment differences in N loss pathways as a function of N applied and compared to an unfertilized control. This approach assumes that measured N losses were derived from the fertilizer or organic amendments. However, the addition of fertilizers or organic matter amendments to a soil can induce positive or negative priming effects, thereby changing rates of C and N mineralization of soil organic matter that are non-additive (Jenkinson et al., 1985; Kuzyakov et al., 2000). Field studies using stable isotopes of $^{15}$N and $^{13}$C can be used to quantify the direction and magnitude of potential priming effects, improve our understanding of the fate of added nutrients, and clarify the nutrient use efficiency of these novel organic amendments (Gardner and Drinkwater, 2009; Lerch et al., 2019).

Our results, combined with a handful of other available studies, suggest that nutrients in human excreta-derived amendments provide a viable substitute for mineral fertilizers by building soil organic C and promoting internal nutrient cycling. Maintaining or increasing soil organic C is a central principle of ecological nutrient management because of its role in the biological, physical, and chemical functions of soil (Reeves, 1997; Tully and Ryals, 2017). We found the EcoSan compost has the potential to increase soil organic C content, and numerous studies have reported the carbon sequestration potential of compost derived from other feedstocks (e.g., (Ryals et al., 2014; Mar Montiel-Rozas et al., 2016; Tautges et al., 2019) even when considering life cycle greenhouse gas emissions (DeLonge et al., 2013; Martínez-Blanco et al., 2013). Our observed carry-over impact on plant production with EcoSan compost suggests improved internal nutrient cycling. The timeframe for which EcoSan compost can continue to supply nutrients after application remains an important question that could inform best management practices for application amount and frequency through time. Higher rates or frequency of EcoSan compost may be needed early on while soil organic matter is accruing, however application rates could potential decrease as internal nutrient cycling processes are enhanced through time. This

---

**FIGURE 7** A partial N mass balance illustrating the losses of added PAN from pots in the forms of plant N uptake (green), $\text{N}_2\text{O}$-N emissions (red), and inorganic N leached (blue). Nitrogen mass loss from each of these pathways was determined as treatment minus control to account for plant and microbial use of N without amendments or fertilizer. Gray bars indicated unknown losses of N, which may include $\text{N}_2$ or other forms of reactive N. The black dotted line indicates the amount of PAN added to each pot. Brown bars represent treatment differences (treatment—control) in soil total N. Positive values indicate fluxes to the environment, whereas negative values indicate N sinks in the pots.

Nascimento et al., 2020. Our results corroborate existing studies on the agronomic benefits of biosolids and biofertilizer, and provide new information on the longevity of these responses across crop cycles.

There are few experiments testing the effect of EcoSan compost on plant production and soil nutrient cycling processes. EcoSan compost has been shown to increase yields of banana (Jothimani et al., 2013), maize (Krause et al., 2016), marigold (Jothimani and Sangeetha, 2012), cauliflower (Sharda and Shinjo, 2020), and lettuce (Schröder et al., 2021) relative to standard fertilization practices in the given contexts. There is a paucity of data on the effects of EcoSan compost on soil physicochemical properties and nutrient losses. We found that, while EcoSan compost did not significantly alter soil CEC, it did increase the concentrations of exchangeable $K^+$ and $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ in a soil with deficient levels of these macronutrients. While tissue $K^+$ and $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ concentrations of radishes grown in soils blended with EcoSan compost were not significantly higher than those of other treatments, alleviation of these macronutrient deficiencies likely contributed to greater biomass. A field experiment comparing EcoSan manure to a mineral fertilizer in cauliflower production systems also observed increases in crop production and plant uptake of N, P, and K (Sharda and Shinjo, 2020).

Only a handful of studies exist that conduct a nutrient mass balance in the agricultural use of EcoSan compost (e.g., Krause and Rotter, 2018; Schröder et al., 2021). The effects of EcoSan compost on water infiltration, nutrient leaching, or greenhouse gas emissions are similarly poorly studied. Our study provides some of the first observations of these parameters in soils treated with EcoSan and can be used to inform future research. Extrapolation of observations from pot studies can be useful for building conceptual models and predicting treatment effects, but field experiments are necessary for furthering understanding of plant-soil feedbacks (Forero et al., 2019). Additional studies are needed in field contexts, across multiple climate and edaphic conditions, and through time to refine our understanding of the fate and transport of recycled nutrients in EcoSan systems. In our greenhouse experiment, we detected treatment differences in N loss pathways as a function of N applied and compared to an unfertilized control. This approach assumes that measured N losses were derived from the fertilizer or organic amendments. However, the addition of fertilizers or organic matter amendments to a soil can induce positive or negative priming effects, thereby changing rates of C and N mineralization of soil organic matter that are non-additive (Jenkinson et al., 1985; Kuzyakov et al., 2000). Field studies using stable isotopes of $^{15}$N and $^{13}$C can be used to quantify the direction and magnitude of potential priming effects, improve our understanding of the fate of added nutrients, and clarify the nutrient use efficiency of these novel organic amendments (Gardner and Drinkwater, 2009; Lerch et al., 2019).

Our results, combined with a handful of other available studies, suggest that nutrients in human excreta-derived amendments provide a viable substitute for mineral fertilizers by building soil organic C and promoting internal nutrient cycling. Maintaining or increasing soil organic C is a central principle of ecological nutrient management because of its role in the biological, physical, and chemical functions of soil (Reeves, 1997; Tully and Ryals, 2017). We found the EcoSan compost has the potential to increase soil organic C content, and numerous studies have reported the carbon sequestration potential of compost derived from other feedstocks (e.g., (Ryals et al., 2014; Mar Montiel-Rozas et al., 2016; Tautges et al., 2019) even when considering life cycle greenhouse gas emissions (DeLonge et al., 2013; Martínez-Blanco et al., 2013). Our observed carry-over impact on plant production with EcoSan compost suggests improved internal nutrient cycling. The timeframe for which EcoSan compost can continue to supply nutrients after application remains an important question that could inform best management practices for application amount and frequency through time. Higher rates or frequency of EcoSan compost may be needed early on while soil organic matter is accruing, however application rates could potential decrease as internal nutrient cycling processes are enhanced through time. This
**TABLE 3** | Estimated potential contribution of excreta-derived nutrients to meet crop N, P, and K demand in Haiti.

| Nutrient       | Crop Nutrient Demand (Mt/y) | Fecal Nutrients (Mt/y) | Urine Nutrients (Mt/y) | Potential Annual Nutrient Demand Met by Feces (%) | Potential Annual Nutrient Demand Met by Urine (%) | Potential Annual Nutrient Demand Met by Excreta (%) |
|----------------|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Nitrogen (N)   | 25671                      | 3378                   | 21394                  | 13                                               | 83                                              | 96                                             |
| Phosphorus (P) | 5094                       | 1126                   | 2252                   | 22                                               | 44                                              | 66                                             |
| Potassium (K)  | 31681                      | 3378                   | 10134                  | 11                                               | 32                                              | 43                                             |

Crop nutrient demand was calculated by multiplying the average production from 2017–2019 of FAOSTAT-reported crops in Haiti by crop-specific nutrient removal (Roy et al., 2006; FAO, 2021, Supplementary Table 1). Feces and urine nutrient supplies were calculated using Haiti-specific values in Kramer et al. (2011) and an estimated Haitian population of 11.26 million. Maximum potential percentage demand met by supply assumed 100% of nutrients embedded in excreta could be recovered.

**TABLE 4** | Barriers to adoption of EcoSan and recommendations to overcome barriers.

| Type of Barrier | Recommendations |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| **1. Ecological barriers** | |
| Source of agricultural waste for composting process | Conduct agroecological and economic analyses of regional organic waste streams |
| Effective compost application to agricultural lands | Scientific studies on benefits, trade-offs, and optimal application rates based on site and crop conditions; Local agricultural extension and technical assistance resources |
| Climate change and extreme weather events | Climate resilient sanitation infrastructure; Analysis and demonstration of agroecosystem resilience to climate change impacts with EcoSan compost |
| **2. Institutional and technical barriers** | |
| Lack of infrastructure and/or transformation of existing infrastructure | Long-term investment in EcoSan systems; Shared collective knowledge from EcoSan services around the world |
| Compost transportation | Decentralized compost sites to reduce transport distances; Partnerships with other logistics businesses to capture economies of scale |
| Worker health risks | Microbial health risk assessment associated with all stages of EcoSan; Safety guidance and oversight for sanitation workers and farm workers |
| Urine is difficult to transport | Couple nutrient recapture from urine to feces management system (e.g., use biochar filter in toilet to adsorb urine nutrients or from communal urine soak pits) |
| **3. Cultural barriers** | |
| Poop is taboo and fears about using fertilizer derived from human excreta | Elevate the urgency of SDG 6 and its intersection with other SDGs; Education about safe excreta treatment; Scientific research on the safety of EcoSan and compost use |
| “Pipe” dream | Shift the culture of sewered sanitation as the most evolved service; Design sanitation systems based on natural resource constraints |
| Familiarity and reliance on chemical fertilizers | Promotion and financial support for soil health practices; Science and education about long term benefits of compost use |
| Insecure land ownership | Increase the capacity for farmers to formally own land |

**Potential for Ecological Sanitation to Meet Sustainable Development Goal 2**

Direct and indirect crop benefits from the application of organic matter amendments are widely documented across agricultural production systems (Diacono and Montemurro, 2011). By...
providing a source of essential macro- and micronutrients for plants, organic matter amendments can relieve nutrient limitations to productivity that are inherent in a soil or created by extraction through biomass harvesting or soil degradation. Nutrients that are complexed with organic matter become available through a microbially-mediated mineralization process, thus providing a slow-release fertilizer that benefits crop growth beyond a single growing season. Indirectly, organic amendments benefit crops by increasing the soil organic matter pool. Soil organic matter is associated with a multitude of biological, physical, and chemical soil functions and is a key component of soil health (Kibblewhite et al., 2008). This increase in soil organic matter stocks can foster more resilient and productive agroecosystems through improved soil health and soil structure. The nutrients and organic matter embodied in human excreta are a vastly underutilized resource to produce organic amendments. In our experiment, we found that EcoSan compost was an effective source of plant available nutrients. The organic matter embodied in the compost improved soil functions, which has been shown widely in land application studies that use compost from different feedstocks (e.g., Goswami et al., 2017; Bekchanov and Mirzabaev, 2018; Lehmann et al., 2020).

We considered the potential for nutrient recovery from human excreta to meet nutrient demand in Haiti. EcoSan is currently deployed at small- to medium-scales in Haiti, primarily through container-based sanitation coupled with centralized aerobic, thermophilic composting. Human feces, if collected and safely treated, could supply 13, 22, and 11% of the country's N, P, and K crop demand, as well as provide a source of organic matter. For perspective of the considerable potential for circular sanitation economies to supply nutrients for agriculture, human urine and feces has the potential to meet 22% of P demand on a global-scale (Mihelcic et al., 2011). Emerging technologies for nutrient recovery from urine, including alkaline dehydration (Simha et al., 2020), suggest higher potentials, with urine alone able to meet 35% of N and 25% of P demands (Simha, 2021). If nutrients were also recovered from urine in Haiti, an additional 83, 44, and 32% of the country's crop demand of N, P, and K could be met. In SOIL's current toilet design, urine is disposed of on-site due to difficulties with transporting large volumes of liquid. Therefore, future research and investment in urine nutrient recovery is needed to make significant advancements in achieving SDG 2 through circular sanitation.

Interactions With Other Sustainable Development Goals

Transitioning to ecological nutrient management using compost from closed-loop sanitation systems not only contributes to eliminating hunger (SDG 2), but also has reinforcing and indivisible interactions with multiple other SDGs, particularly the goals of clean water and sanitation for all (SDG 6) and climate change action (SDG 13; Nilsson et al., 2016). EcoSan technologies are designed with the explicit aim of returning nutrients to agricultural soil (Hu et al., 2016). In these closed-looped nutrient systems, the goals of providing safely managed sanitation and ending hunger worldwide are inextricably linked. We found that these goals are complementary. The sanitation process used in this study consumed little water compared to flush toilets (Haq and Cambridge, 2012), provided a safe and dignified sanitation option (Russel et al., 2015), and produced a nutrient-rich, pathogen-free compost (Berendes et al., 2015; Piceno et al., 2017). Compost yielded sustained increases in plant production for multiple crop cycles and was most adept at retaining water. These findings suggest that the land application of feces-derived compost increases the resiliency of agroecosystems.

Circular nutrient management through EcoSan can also be an important, and overlooked, climate change solution. EcoSan can support climate change solutions in five ways. First, greenhouse gas reductions can be achieved by converting from alternative waste management fates (Ryals et al., 2019; McNicol et al., 2020). Second, soil greenhouse gas emissions can be avoided from the displacement of mineral fertilizers by compost. In Haiti, mineral fertilizer use is very low (Bargout and Raizada, 2013), but this offset may be large in regions where fertilizers are commonly used. In our study, soil nitrous oxide emissions were least from composted soils and most from biosolids amended soils. Third, EcoSan can promote soil carbon sequestration. Compost has high potential to increase soil organic C pools in agricultural settings (Ryals et al., 2014; Paustian et al., 2016), but the extent to which compost derived from human feces impacts soil carbon has not been well documented. We found a significant increase in soil C from a one-time application of compost, an effect that was not observed in any other treatment. Fourth, increases in soil organic matter from EcoSan compost can help agroecosystems adapt to climate change by increasing resiliency to drought and flooding conditions. In this study, we also found that compost leached the least amount of water, suggesting increased soil water retention and greater water use by plants. Finally, EcoSan services themselves can be climate resilient in design by, for example, using container-based toilets that can be easily sealed with a watertight lid or elevated in the event of a flood.

Food insecurity (SDG 2) and poverty (SDG 1) are partially driven by low soil fertility, which is in turn influenced by farming and forestry practices. However, focusing on the roles of soil fertility and the farming decisions of smallholder farmers oversimplifies the drivers of these chronic problems and impedes our ability to meaningfully address the SDGs. In countries like Haiti, where colonial subjugation and later neoliberal economic subordination have shaped food systems, circular nutrient management may also offer an alternative natural resource that increases independence (Steckley and Shamsie, 2015; Trimmer et al., 2020). In addition to providing climate change solutions, EcoSan amplifies the positive effects of domestic food security and sanitation through increased domestic community self-sufficiency and reduced dependence on foreign food aid and agronomic intervention (Wanner, 2015). The establishment of a circular nutrient economy may offer an alternative to historical international efforts to reduce poverty by prioritizing a dependent, export-driven agro-economy (Otero et al., 2013). We show that the improvements to soil fertility and water retention in the closed-loop sanitation system are poised to increase crop production and potentiate economic growth at the local community scale without necessitating economically
and ecologically unsustainable resource inputs (e.g., synthetic fertilizers, large scale irrigation). Current efforts by foreign countries and non-governmental organizations to “open” Haiti’s food markets to be export-driven require an increase in synthetic fertilizer application from the low rate of nitrogenous fertilizer application of 7670 tons km⁻² year⁻¹ as of 2001 (Bargout and Raizada, 2013). The required inputs for increased export crop production would contradict the objectives of climate action (SDG 13) and making cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient, and sustainable (SDG 11) by first increasing leaching and gaseous efflux of nutrients from soils, and then by making local communities vulnerable to foreign policies and the global economy, which have historically subordinated the Haitian (Otero et al., 2013). Finally, by increasing farmers’ physical and financial access to locally derived nutrients for farming and therefore their potential income, implementation of EcoSan systems may serve to promote economic equality within strongly class-stratified societies like Haiti.

Barriers and Drivers of EcoSan Compost

Current ecological, institutional, and cultural barriers hinder the widespread implementation of coupled sanitation-agriculture systems (Table 4). EcoSan toilet user attitudes toward source separation and nutrient recovery may be agreeable (Lamichhane and Babcock, 2013; Russel et al., 2015; Simha, 2021), yet other barriers likely impede the large-scale adoption of these practices. For example, a recent multinational study by Simha (2021) demonstrates that among university community members, there was greater acceptance for human urine recycling than acceptance of disposal, and widespread belief that human urine can be safely used as a crop fertilizer. However, of all options to manage human urine, sending it to a wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) was the most popular among respondents. They argue that for widespread acceptance, urine-diverting sanitation systems must be connected to treatment systems that function as well as or better than WWTPs. 17 Simha et al. (2017) identified and analyzed factors affecting farmers’ attitudes on human waste recycling in Vellore district, India. A lower willingness to recycle feces they attribute in part to “faecophobia” and assert that while there may be cultural underpinnings to this view, more work should be done to understand the origins of faecophobia rather than assume that feces recycling should not be pursued due to persistent disapproval. Recent work by Fischer et al. (2021) emphasizes the need for recognition of the social and political context in which a sanitation technology is situated, in reference to the business failure of the Peepoo toilet bag in Nairobi, Kenya.

In the specific context of SOIL, a 2015 study following SOILs service pilot found that 71% of participating households were willing to pay to continue receiving the container-based EcoSan service (Russel et al., 2015). However, in 2019, Russel et al., identified challenges related to large-scale implementation container-based sanitation EcoSan, including the higher operational cost due to providers addressing the full sanitation value chain. However, they suggest that container-based sanitation may ultimately be more cost-effective by using novel treatment technologies that include resource recovery. Here, we provide a summary of barriers to widespread implementation of EcoSan systems and recommendations to overcome barriers based on literature and practical experience (Table 4), with a specific focus on ecological barriers. Our research provides new data on the agronomic and ecological drivers of EcoSan compost.

Ecological barriers to widespread adoption of EcoSan compost can be addressed through knowledge sharing, assessment of local resources, and new scientific research. Chemical fertilizers are often promoted through agricultural extension and subsidized by government programs (Moya et al., 2019b). Education about the importance of soil health promoting practices such as organic amendment application, and studies on the long-term effects of feces-derived compost could shift culture away from exclusive reliance on chemical fertilizers. Composting requires addition of a C-rich bulking agent (e.g., sugarcane bagasse) to maintain an optimal C:N ratio for aerobic decomposition (Moya et al., 2019b). Adequate bulking agent must be supplied and maintained at the user level for application after toilet use and be available to the composting facility for large scale thermophilic composting (Russel et al., 2019). Regional agroecological and economic analyses could supply valuable data on the availability and feasibility of various organic waste streams to serve as compost bulking agents.

Limitations and Future Research Directions

We found that soil amendments derived from human excreta promoted plant production and improved soil nutrient cycling compared to urea, a nitrogen-based fertilizer, in a greenhouse study. Further, we found significant carry-over effects for potted soils amended with EcoSan compost. While these results point to the promising role of human excreta in contributing to the SDG 2 goal, there are some limitations to our study that require further research. The fertilizer comparison used in this study was urea, a N-based fertilizer that did not contain other macro- or micronutrients that can also limit plant growth. We were unable to quantify gaseous fluxes of ammonia, which is often the major N loss pathway from urea fertilization of soil (Rawluk et al., 2001). Our results are also constrained by methodological limitations of pot studies, including controlled environmental conditions that are different from field conditions and disturbance of soil physical and biological properties (Dalling et al., 2013).

Multiple knowledge gaps on the ecological benefits and risk of composted human feces must be addressed to fully realize the potential of EcoSan as a solution to hunger. Detailed land application studies on the effect of various application rates of composted feces on crop yield, greenhouse gas emissions, soil carbon sequestration, and soil health could supply site-specific data. This would enhance the capacity of local agricultural extension and technical assistance agencies to promote composted feces. Studies should include the net climate change mitigation potential of compost application to proximate cropland, accounting for transport costs of bulky compost material. Evaluations of the sustainability of EcoSan must also include risk assessments of potential inadvertent consequences to human health. Risks of the fate and transport of pharmaceuticals, persistent pollutants, and other emerging...
contaminants in EcoSan products should be better understood in order to develop strategies to minimize risks to people and the environment (Krause et al., 2021).

CONCLUSION

The capture of human excreta and its transformation into a resource for agricultural is an underutilized solution toward ending hunger. We show that soil amendments derived from human waste had multiple benefits to crop production and soil nutrient cycling. EcoSan compost boosted plant production, which remained elevated relative to control after six consecutive crop cycles. This finding indicates there are both short-term benefits from plant-available nutrients and long-term benefits to soil health and nutrient mineralization. Transformation of human feces and recycling as a soil amendment could potentially provide 13, 22, and 11% of annual crop N, P, and K demand within Haiti, a country with an urgent need for both improved sanitation and soil restoration. Urine, which is currently not included in local EcoSan nutrient recovery systems, could provide an additional 83, 44, 32% of annual crop N, P, and K demand in Haiti. Thus, EcoSan compost can contribute to SDG 2 by creating resilient and productive agroecosystems, particularly those farmed by small-scale producers, and also intersects with multiple sustainable development goals.
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