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Abstract

Background: The association between benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) and prostate cancer (PCa) remains controversial, largely due to a detection bias in traditional observational studies.

Objective: To assess the association between BPH and PCa using inherited single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs).

Design, setting, and participants: The participants were White men from the population-based UK Biobank (UKB).

Outcome measurements and statistical analysis: The association between BPH and PCa was tested for (1) phenotypic correlation using chi-square, (2) genetic correlation \(r_g\) based on genome-wide SNPs using linkage disequilibrium score regression, and (3) cross-disease genetic associations based on known risk-associated SNPs (15 for BPH and 239 for PCa), individually and cumulatively using genetic risk score (GRS).

Results and limitations: Among 214,717 White men in the UKB, 24,623 (11%) and 14,311 (6.7%) had a diagnosis of BPH and PCa, respectively. Diagnoses of these two diseases were significantly correlated \(\chi^2 = 1862.80, p < 0.001\). A significant genetic correlation was found \(r_g = 0.16; 95\%\) confidence interval 0.03–0.28, \(p = 0.01\). In addition, significant cross-disease genetic associations for established risk-associated SNPs were also found. Among the 250 established genome-wide association study–significant SNPs of PCa or BPH, 49 were significantly associated with the risk of the other disease at \(p < 0.05\), significantly more than expected by chance \((N = 12, p < 0.001; \chi^2\) test). Furthermore, significant cross-disease GRS associations were also found; \(GRS_{BPH}\) was significantly associated with PCa risk (odds ratio \(OR\) = 1.26 [1.18–1.36], \(p < 0.001\), and \(GRS_{PCA}\) was significantly associated
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BPH and PCA. Genome-wide SNPs can be used to estimate the polygenic heritability of each disease and the genetic correlation between the two diseases [20]. This alternative approach does not directly test phenotypic co-occurrence of the two diseases. Instead, it tests the correlation between heritability of individual diseases, which is estimated only from the respective diseases and is therefore not susceptible to the detection bias. Furthermore, established risk-associated SNPs for each disease make it possible to perform a cross-disease association [21,22].

The primary hypothesis of this study is that BPH and PCA are linked, and that this association is partially contributed by shared inherited genetics via the same genes (causal or pleotropic effects) and/or different genes in linkage disequilibrium (LD). This hypothesis was tested in a large population-based cohort.

2. Patients and methods

2.1. Participants

The participants were from the UK Biobank (UKB), a population-based study with genetic and phenotypic data for approximately 500,000 individuals from across the UK, aged 40–69 yr at recruitment (accessed under application number: 50295) [23]. Extensive phenotypic and genomic information, including disease diagnosis, questionnaire, and biomarkers, is available for each participant in the UKB. Diagnoses of BPH (Data-Field 132073) and the procedure for transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP; Data-Field 41200, 41210, and 41272), as well as diagnosis of PCA (Data-Field 40001, 40002, 40006, 41202, 41204, and 41270) were provided by the UKB based on the ICD-10 code and/or self-reports (released on July 9, 2021). Information on PCA-specific death (lethal PCA) was based on death registries (Supplementary Fig. 1). Genome-wide SNP data are available for all participants.

2.2. SNPs and polygenic risk score

Independent risk-associated SNPs for BPH and PCA included in this study were established using an evidence-based review of published genome-wide association studies (GWASs; defined as $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ and pairwise LD $r^2 < 0.2$) and are available in the UKB, including 15 for BPH and 239 for PCA. Their SNP ID, risk and reference alleles, odds ratio (OR), allele frequency, and references are described in Supplementary Table 1. The cumulative effect of SNPs on each disease was measured by genetic risk score (GRS), a population-standardized polygenic risk score. GRS was calculated by multiplying the per-allele OR with the number of
risk alleles of each SNP and normalizing the risk by the average risk expected in the population \([24]\). Specifically, GRS was calculated for each disease as follows:

\[
\text{GRS}_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\text{OR}_{i}}{\text{W}_i} \times \text{f}_i
\]

where \(g_i\) stands for the number of risk alleles (0, 1, or 2) of genotype for SNP \(i\) in an individual, OR, stands for the OR of an SNP \(i\) estimated from external studies, and \(f_i\) stands for the risk allele frequency of SNP \(i\) based on gnomAD (NFE population). As such, GRS value can be interpreted as a relative risk to the general population regardless of the number of risk-associated SNPs used in GRS calculation.

### 2.3. Statistical analysis

The phenotypic correlation between BPH and PCa diagnosis was assessed using a chi-square test. The strength of association (OR and 95% confidence interval [CI]) between the two diseases was estimated using multivariable logistic regression adjusting for age at recruitment and genetic background (top ten principal components, provided by the UKB). SNP-based heritability \((h^2\) for BPH and PCa, and the genetic correlation \((r_g)\) between BPH and PCa were estimated based on polymorphic SNPs (minor allele frequency >0.01) in the genome using a linkage disequilibrium score regression analysis \([20]\). Briefly, GWASs of BPH and PCa were first performed using individual-level data adjusting for age at recruitment and genetic background (top ten principal components), as well as the other disease (to reduce the impact of the detection bias on estimating SNP effect for disease association; Supplementary Fig. 1). GWAS summary statistics of BPH and PCa were then matched on estimating SNP effect for disease association; Supplementary Table 1). Reciprocally, among the 239 established risk-associated SNPs of PCa and BPH, significant cross-disease genetic associations were found. Among 214 717 White men in the UKB, 24 623 (11%) and 14 311 (6.7%) had a diagnosis of BPH and PCa, respectively (Table 1). Diagnoses of these two diseases were significantly correlated \((\chi^2 = 1862.80, p < 0.001)\). Specifically, 3231 (1.5%) men had a diagnosis of both BPH and PCa, which was significantly higher than the expected number of 1332 (0.62%) men, assuming that the diagnosis of these diseases were independent \((\chi^2 = 797.96, p < 0.001)\). Having a diagnosis of PCa was associated with an OR (95% CI) of 1.58 (1.51–1.65) for also having a diagnosis of BPH \((p < 0.001)\). Conversely, having a diagnosis of BPH was associated with an OR (95% CI) of 1.57 (1.50–1.64) for PCa diagnosis \((p < 0.001)\). These ORs were estimated adjusting for age at recruitment and genetic background.

### 3. Results

Among 214 717 White men in the UKB, 24 623 (11%) and 14 311 (6.7%) had a diagnosis of BPH and PCa, respectively (Table 1). Diagnoses of these two diseases were significantly correlated \((\chi^2 = 1862.80, p < 0.001)\). Specifically, 3231 (1.5%) men had a diagnosis of both BPH and PCa, which was significantly higher than the expected number of 1332 (0.62%) men, assuming that the diagnosis of these diseases were independent \((\chi^2 = 797.96, p < 0.001)\). Having a diagnosis of PCa was associated with an OR (95% CI) of 1.58 (1.51–1.65) for also having a diagnosis of BPH \((p < 0.001)\). Conversely, having a diagnosis of BPH was associated with an OR (95% CI) of 1.57 (1.50–1.64) for PCa diagnosis \((p < 0.001)\). These ORs were estimated adjusting for age at recruitment and genetic background.

Genetic susceptibility to BPH and PCa was estimated based on polymorphic SNPs across the genome (minor allele frequency >1%). SNP-based heritability or \(h^2\) (95% CI) was 0.09 (0.07–0.11) for BPH \((p < 0.001)\) and 0.16 (0.12–0.20) for PCa \((p < 0.001)\; \text{Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. 1}\). Furthermore, a significant and positive genetic correlation between the two diseases was found \((r_g\; 95\%\; CI): 0.16\; (0.03–0.28), p = 0.01)\).

When examining established risk-associated SNPs for BPH and PCa, significant cross-disease genetic associations were found. Among the 250 risk-associated SNPs of PCa or BPH from previous GWAS studies, 49 were significantly associated with the risk of the other disease at \(p < 0.05\), which was significantly more than expected by chance \((N = 12, p < 0.001 \; [\chi^2\; test])\). Specifically, among the 239 established independent PCa risk–associated SNPs, 43 were associated with BPH diagnosis at \(p < 0.05\) (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 1). Reciprocally, among the 15 established independent BPH risk–associated SNPs, ten were associated with PCa diagnosis at \(p < 0.05\). Four established GWAS-significant risk-associated SNPs of PCa and BPH overlapped.

### Table 1 – Diagnosis of BPH and PCa among White men in the UKB (N = 214 717)

| Diagnosis             | No. (% of men) | Age (yr), median (IQR) at recruitment | Age at diagnosis |
|-----------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| BPH                   |                |                                      |                 |
| Any BPH               | 24 623 (11)    | 63.5 (60.5–66.5)                     | 62.3 (57.31–67.19) |
| TURP a                | 5704 (27)      | 64.5 (61.5–67.5)                     | 61.58 (57.07–66.31) |
| Non-TURP a            | 15 833 (73)    | 63.5 (59.5–66.5)                     | 62.57 (57.4–67.41) |
| PCa                   |                |                                      |                 |
| Any PCa               | 14 311 (6.7)   | 63.5 (59.5–66.5)                     | 65.35 (60.08–69.16) |
| Lethal PCa            | 692 (4.8)      | 65.5 (62.5–68.5)                     | 65.5 (61.02–69.46) |
| Nonlethal PCa         | 13 619 (95)    | 63.5 (59.5–66.5)                     | 65.33 (60.8–69.12) |
| Both BPH and PCa      | 3231 (1.5)     | 64.5 (61.5–67.5)                     | 62.57 (58.06–67.12) b |
| Neither BPH or PCa    | 179 014 (83)   | 57.5 (49.3–63.5)                     | –               |

BPH = benign prostatic hyperplasia; IQR = interquartile range; PCa = prostate cancer; TURP = transurethral resection of the prostate; UKB = UK Biobank.

a Number of patients with missing data for TURP; N = 49 817.

b Based on earlier age at diagnosis of BPH and PCa.
We also evaluated the cumulative effect of SNPs on disease risk. In addition to highly significant associations between disease-specific GRS and their respective disease risks, significant cross-disease associations were also found (Table 2). GRS based on the 15 established BPH risk–associated SNPs was positively associated with PCa diagnosis (OR = 1.26, \( p < 0.001 \)). Similarly, GRS based on the 239 established PCa risk–associated SNPs (GRS PCa) was significantly associated with BPH diagnosis (OR = 1.03, \( p < 0.001 \)).

Furthermore, GRS was also associated with specific phenotypes of BPH and PCa (Table 2). Notably, GRS\(_{BPH} \) was inversely associated with lethal PCa risk when comparing lethal PCa cases versus nonlethal PCa cases (any PCa patients who did not die from the disease, OR = 0.58, \( p = 0.002 \)). Upon examining the results by GRS\(_{BPH} \) deciles (Supplementary Table 2), while no trend of lethal PCa prevalence with GRS\(_{BPH} \) deciles was found (\( p = 0.1 \)), the prevalence of lethal PCa in men of the highest GRS\(_{BPH} \) decile was 0.23%, noticeably lower than that of the remaining deciles (0.33%, \( p = 0.02 \)). In contrast, the prevalence of nonlethal PCa increased slightly with higher GRS\(_{BPH} \) deciles (\( p_{\text{trend}} = 0.01 \)), with the highest rate (6.6%) found in men of the top GRS\(_{BPH} \) decile. As for GRS\(_{PCa} \), no association with lethal PCa was found in a case-case analysis (OR = 0.99, \( p = 0.8 \)). The prevalence of both lethal and nonlethal PCa increased by each decile at a similar scale (Supplementary Table 2).

In light of the genetic correlation and cross-disease association of GRS between these two diseases, we next explored the clinical utility of using two GRS values for predicting the diagnosis of BPH, PCa, and both diseases (Table 3 and Fig. 2). For example, using a GRS of 1.5 as a cutoff value (ie, a 1.5-fold increased risk over the general population), men with both high GRS\(_{BPH} \) and high GRS\(_{PCa} \) had a considerably higher prevalence of these two diseases than men with both low

---

**Table 2 – Performance of GRS for predicting disease risk in the UK Biobank (N = 214 717)**

| GRS (no. of risk SNPs) | Comparison | Sample size | Association test a | p value |
|------------------------|------------|-------------|--------------------|---------|
| GRS\(_{BPH} \) (15 SNPs) | BPH cases vs controls | 24 623 vs 190 094 | 2.39 (2.26–2.52) | <0.001 |
| GRS\(_{BPH} \) vs BPH-TURP cases | 5704 vs 205 927 | 3.61 (3.26–3.98) | <0.001 |
| GRS\(_{BPH} \) vs BPH non-TURP cases | 14 311 vs 200 406 | 2.01 (1.78–2.26) | <0.001 |
| GRS\(_{BPH} \) | Lethal PCa cases vs controls | 692 vs 214 025 | 0.74 (0.53–1.02) | 0.07 |
| GRS\(_{PCa} \) (239 SNPs) | Lethal PCa cases vs nonlethal PCa cases | 692 vs 13 619 | 0.58 (0.41–0.81) | 0.002 |
| GRS\(_{PCa} \) vs BPH cases | 24 623 vs 190 094 | 1.03 (1.02–1.04) | <0.001 |
| GRS\(_{PCa} \) vs BPH-TURP cases | 5704 vs 205 927 | 1.04 (1.02–1.07) | 0.001 |
| GRS\(_{PCa} \) vs BPH non-TURP cases | 14 311 vs 200 406 | 1.02 (0.99–1.05) | 0.1 |
| GRS\(_{PCa} \) | Lethal PCa cases vs controls | 692 vs 214 025 | 1.25 (1.21–1.29) | <0.001 |
| GRS\(_{PCa} \) vs nonlethal PCa cases | 692 vs 13 619 | 0.99 (0.94–1.04) | 0.8 |

**Genome-wide SNPs**

| Direct/indirect (via LD) effect | BPH | PCa |
|--------------------------------|-----|-----|
| \( h^2 = 0.09 \) | 24 623 (11%) | 14 311 (6.7%) |

**Genome-wide SNPs**

| 15 risk SNPs | 10 risk SNPs | 43 risk SNPs |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Less susceptible to detection bias \( r_g = 0.16, \ p = 0.01 \) | Susceptible to detection bias Correlated \( p < 0.001 \) | Less susceptible to detection bias \( r_g = 0.16, \ p = 0.01 \) |

---

**Fig. 1 – Summary of findings for the phenotypic and genetic link between BPH and PCa in White men of the UKB.** First, diagnoses of BPH and PCa were significantly correlated (bidirectional arrows on the right side, \( p < 0.001 \)). Second, inherited polygenic background contributed to the diagnosis of each disease (horizontal arrows, \( h^2 \) of 0.09 and 0.16 for BPH and PCa, respectively). Third, polygenic backgrounds for BPH and PCa were significantly correlated (bidirectional arrows on the left side, \( r_g = 0.27, \ p < 0.001 \)). Last, cross-disease association of established risk-associated SNPs for BPH and PCa was found (diagonal arrows). These findings provide strong statistical evidence that diagnoses of BPH and PCa are linked, and the excess co-occurrence of these two diseases was in part contributed by inherited genetics and not entirely driven by the detection bias. BPH = benign prostatic hyperplasia; LD = linkage disequilibrium; PCa = prostate cancer; SNP = single nucleotide polymorphism; UKB = UK Biobank.
GRS BPH and low GRS PCa (16% vs 5.1% for PCa, 17% vs 11% for BPH, and 3.8% vs 1.2% for both BPH and PCa). Similarly, men with either high GRS BPH or high GRS PCa had a higher prevalence of these two diseases. Men with low GRS BPH and high GRS PCa had a higher prevalence of lethal PCa (0.68%) than those with both low GRS BPH and low GRS PCa (0.25%) and especially those with high GRS BPH but low GRS PCa (0.11%). Regarding surgical intervention for BPH, men with high GRS BPH underwent TURP more frequently than those with low GRS BPH, regardless of GRS PCa status (6.5–7.4% for high GRS BPH vs 3.3–3.6% for low GRS BPH).

4. Discussion

The primary goal of this study is to address the longstanding controversy surrounding the association between BPH and PCa [5–15]. This clinically important question has been complicated by an inherent detection bias in epidemiological studies where patients diagnosed with one disease are typically examined more thoroughly by urologists and therefore have a higher chance of being diagnosed with the other disease [17]. It is practically impossible to estimate the degree (partially or total) of the detection bias contributing to the observed association of BPH and PCa in traditional studies. Utilizing a large population-based cohort of over 200 000 men with diagnostic information for both diseases and genome-wide SNP data, we tested the association using both traditional epidemiological and alternative inherited genetic approaches (Fig. 1). We (1) demonstrated a statistical association of phenotypic diagnoses of BPH and PCa (p < 0.001) and (2) revealed a polygenic inherited basis for each of these two diseases (h² of 0.09 and 0.16 for BPH and PCa, respectively), and (3) more importantly, the present analysis suggests that these two diseases are genetically correlated and that they share part of a polygenic background (r_g = 0.16) and risk-associated SNPs. These findings provide strong statistical evidence that diagnoses of BPH and PCa are linked, and the excess concurrence of these two diseases was in part contributed by inherited genetics and not entirely driven by the detection bias.

A shared inherited risk between BPH and PCa may arise from several potential sources, including (1) LD of different genes for BPH and PCa in the same chromosomal region; (2) pleiotropy where same genes or variants affect both BPH and PCa; (3) causal effect where genes cause a disease first, which in turn cause the other disease; and (4) biases from population stratification. While the likelihood for the last source is low because this study was based on White men from a population-based cohort and the analysis adjusted for population stratification, we cannot differentiate between the sources of LD, pleiotropy, and causality. Therefore, we can only conclude that the shared inherited risk is directly or indirectly associated with both BPH and PCa, but does not necessarily cause these two diseases directly.

Although a Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis is a well-established method to interrogate the causal effect of an exposure to an outcome [25], the validity of key assumptions underlying an MR analysis (independent and exclusion) in our study is difficult to justify [26]. The independent assumption states that there are no unmeasured confounders of the associations between genetic vari-
Globally, BPH and PCa may be used in the clinic to stratify cases of cross-disease genetic associations, also suggesting the biological mechanisms of GWAS findings. Genetic associations are often challenging to understand, as genetic results may or may not account for the observed associations. Weighted median methods for sensitivity analysis (weighted median and MR-Egger) were inconsistent. Therefore, the interpretation of the causal relationship between the two diseases via MR remains inconclusive.

Furthermore, as a comparison, we performed a similar analysis to assess the association and genetic correlation between BPH and another urological cancer (bladder cancer). While the diagnoses of these two diseases were highly correlated ($\chi^2 = 1615.00, p < 0.001$), there was no significant genetic correlation between the two diseases ($r_g = 0.01, p = 0.93$). These results suggest that unlike BPH and PCa, where the observed link is partially explained by shared inherited risk, there is no evidence that BPH and bladder cancer share an inherited genetic risk; instead, the observed link between BPH and bladder cancer may be caused largely or completely by the detection bias.

The finding of a shared inherited risk between BPH and PCa from this study may have clinical utility and implications for understanding the etiology. For example, identifying commonality of genes in the chromosomal regions that are associated with both BPH and PCa may help better understand the etiology for these two diseases. Toward this effort, we performed a preliminary pathway analysis for the 65 nearest genes in the 51 independent regions associated with both BPH and PCa using the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (Supplementary Table 4). These genes are significantly enriched in only one biological pathway, the PCa pathway ($p = 0.007$, Benjamini correction; Supplementary Table 5). A major caveat of this analysis is that the nearest genes may or may not account for the observed genetic associations, a common challenge for understanding the biological mechanisms of GWAS findings.

The genetic correlation between the two diseases, especially the cross-disease genetic association, also suggests that GRS_{BPH} and GRS_{PCa} may be used in the clinic to stratify the risk for these two diseases. For example, men with either high GRS_{BPH} or GRS_{PCa}, or both have higher risks for these two diseases, alone or both, and men with high GRS_{BPH} are more likely to undergo surgical intervention. Men identified to have a high risk for PCa may benefit from more aggressive screening, while men at a high risk of BPH may warrant earlier referral to urological subspecialists and consideration of early intervention for LUTS with surgery or minimally invasive procedures [27–30]. While confirming the previous null result that GRS_{PCa} does not differentiate aggressiveness of the disease in PCa patients [21,31,32], we obtained a novel finding that GRS_{BPH} is inversely associated with lethal PCa in a case-case analysis. Specifically, men with the highest GRS_{BPH} decile have a lower risk for lethal PCa but a similar risk for nonlethal PCa when comparing with men in the remaining deciles. This finding is important and plausible considering that (1) GRS_{BPH} is positively associated with prostate volume [22] and (2) prostate volume is inversely associated with aggressive PCa [33]. However, additional studies are needed to confirm this novel finding before exploring its clinical utility. Furthermore, more studies are warranted to understand specific SNPs and genes underlying this association and their biological effects on lethal PCa. Large PCa patient cohorts with germline data, PSA measurements, magnetic resonance imaging findings, prostate volume, Gleason grade at the time of biopsy and prostatectomy, location of tumor and/or histological BPH in peripheral and transition zones, as well as long-term disease follow-up are crucial to confirm and understand the association.

Several limitations of this study are noted. First, because ~95% of patients in the UKB are White, our analyses and conclusions were limited to this group only. The generalizability of our findings in other ethnic and racial populations needs to be evaluated. Second, it is recognized that the UKB cohort was included in a previous GWAS that identified BPH risk–associated SNPs [22]. Although this may inflate the performance of GRS_{BPH} for predicting BPH risk, it has limited impact on the key findings of genetic correlation. Third, the lack of detailed clinical variables relevant to BPH and PCa in this population-based biobank, such as PSA, prostate volume, Gleason grade, and quantification of LUTS, hinders more granular analysis and understanding of clinical and genetic associations. Some findings from this study, especially the inverse association between GRS_{BPH} and lethal PCa, should be considered preliminary. A comprehensive analysis in large and clinically well-characterized urological patient cohorts is needed. Finally, we did not perform a functional analysis to investigate the biological mechanism of the genetic associations for both BPH and PCa. This is in part due to the nature of this genetic study where the primary goal is to identify chromosomal regions with statistical evidence for association. More importantly, we recognize the substantial challenges in these functional studies. The chromosomal region for each genetic association is typically large (>500 kb) and many of these regions are outside of coding genes. Nevertheless, we feel that genetic association studies provide critical data relevant to human diseases. Geneticists and biologists can collaborate to understand biological mechanisms of genetic associations.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, utilizing genome-wide SNP data from a large population-based cohort, we demonstrated that BPH and PCa share common polygenic inherited risk. This novel genetic result suggests that the excess of co-occurrence of these two diseases is not completely driven by the detection bias. The current conclusion that BPH and PCa are not...
related, as stated by the National Cancer Institute and other authoritative agencies, may be reconsidered.
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