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ABSTRACT

Background and Objectives: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* possesses a variety of virulence factors that may contribute to its pathogenicity. The aim of this study was to evaluate *oprI*, *oprL* and *toxA* genes for PCR identification of clinical *P. aeruginosa*. In order to find out any relation between special virulence factors and special manifestation of *P. aeruginosa* infections, we detected virulence factors among these isolates by PCR. Ribotyping was used to evaluate the clonal relationship between strains with the same genetic patterns of the genes studied.

Materials and Methods: In this study, 268 isolates of *P. aeruginosa* were recovered from burn, wound and pulmonary tract infections. The prevalence of *oprI*, *oprL*, *toxA*, *lasB*, *exoS* and *nan1* genes was determined by PCR. One hundred and four isolates were selected randomly to investigate clonal diversity of the isolates with ribotyping using *Sma*I.

Results and Conclusions: All *P. aeruginosa* isolates in this study carried *oprI*, *oprL* and *lasB* genes. Difference between *exoS* prevalence in isolates from pulmonary tract and burn isolates was statistically significant. Prevalence of *nan1* and *toxA* gene was significantly higher in pulmonary tract and burn isolates, respectively. Ribotyping showed that most of the isolates (87%) belonged to clone A and B.

Detection of *oprI*, *oprL* and *toxA* genes by PCR is recommended for molecular identification of *P. aeruginosa*. Determination of different virulence genes of *P. aeruginosa* isolates suggests that they are associated with different levels of intrinsic virulence and pathogenicity. Ribotyping showed that strains with the same genetic patterns of the genes do not necessarily have similar ribotype patterns.

Keywords: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, ribotyping, virulence factors

INTRODUCTION

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is an opportunistic pathogen capable of infecting virtually all tissues. Pulmonary tract colonization with mucoid *P. aeruginosa* is a major cause of morbidity and mortality in patients with cystic fibrosis (1). *P. aeruginosa* infections in hospitals mainly affect the patients in intensive care units and those having catherization, burn, and/or chronic illnesses (2).

Although conventional microbiological methods for identifying *P. aeruginosa* from clinical and environmental samples are reliable, they require several days to be completed. Rapid detection of isolates causing hospital infections is very important for consequent treatment decision of patients.

PCR has the potential for identifying microbial species rapidly by amplification of sequences unique to a particular organism (3). L and I lipoproteins are two outer membrane proteins of *P. aeruginosa* responsible for inherent resistance of *P. aeruginosa* to antibiotics and antiseptics. As these proteins are found only in this organism, they could be a reliable factor for rapid identification of *P. aeruginosa* in clinical samples (4-6).
**P. aeruginosa** also has a large number of virulence factors such as exotoxin A, exoenzyme S, elastase and sialidase which are tightly regulated by cell-to-cell signalling systems (7). Protein biosynthesis is inhibited by exotoxin A and virulence factor exoenzyme S is secreted by a type III secretion system (8, 9). A zinc metalloprotease called Las B has an elastolytic activity on lung tissue (10). The gene called \textit{nan1} encodes a sialidase that is responsible for adherence to the respiratory tract (11).

In epidemiologic studies, dissemination of resistant and highly virulent pathogens is also the main problem worldwide. Because of highly conserved rRNA sequences among the eubacteria, chromosomal DNA restriction fragment length polymorphism of rRNA genes (ribotyping) is a powerful approach to discriminate strains, between and within species (12).

In this study, we examined rapid identification of \textit{P. aeruginosa} isolated from pulmonary tract, wound and burn samples based on PCR amplification of \textit{I lipoprotein (oprI)} for detection of genus and \textit{L lipoprotein (oprL)} for detection of species of this organism. The \textit{toxA} gene was also examined to evaluate molecular detection of the isolates by this factor. Furthermore, in order to find any relation between special virulence factors and special manifestation of \textit{P. aeruginosa} infections, we detected \textit{exoS}, \textit{nan1}, \textit{lasB} virulence factors among these isolates by PCR. Ribotyping was also used to evaluate the clonal relationship between strains with the same genetic patterns of the genes studied in this research.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains and identification test.** Totally 268 \textit{P. aeruginosa} isolates including 100 strains recovered from burn, 50 from wound and 118 from pulmonary tract infections were obtained from patients admitted in four hospitals in Tehran, Iran. Each strain was identified on the basis of colony morphology and conventional biochemical tests (13).

**Preparation of bacterial DNA.** All isolates were inoculated aerobically on tryptose soy broth for 18–24 hour at 37\°C. Bacterial DNA extraction was performed using phenol-chloroform method as previously described (14).

**Detection of virulence genes by PCR.** PCR amplifications of the \textit{oprI}, \textit{oprL}, \textit{toxA}, \textit{exoS}, \textit{nan1} and \textit{lasB} genes were performed in 25 µl reaction mixture containing 0.5 µl of dNTPs (10 mM), 0.5 µl of each primer (10 pmol), 1.5 µl MgCl\(_2\) (25 mM), 0.2 µl \textit{Taq} DNA polymerase (5 U/µl) (Fermentas, Lithuania) (3, 4, 15). Each gene was amplified separately. \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa} ATCC 27853 and \textit{E. coli} ATCC 25922 were used as positive and negative control respectively. PCR products were visualized by electrophoresis using a 1% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide.

**Ribotyping.** Ribotyping was performed as described previously (16). In brief, the extracted DNA from \textit{P. aeruginosa} strains was cleaved by \textit{SmaI} restriction endonuclease (Fermentas, Lithuania). The fragments were separated by electrophoresis and then transferred to nylon membrane by vacuum blotter (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). Hybridization was performed by probes labeled with digoxigenin. The membrane was then visualized by NBT (nitroblue tetrazolium) and BCIP (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate).

**Statistical method.** The distribution of virulence genes with respect to strain origin was compared using the Chi square test.

**RESULTS**

The \textit{oprI} and \textit{oprL} genes were detected in all of 268 \textit{P. aeruginosa} isolates collected. However, presence of \textit{toxA} gene in clinical samples was different. According to Table 1 the presence of \textit{toxA} gene in isolates from burn was significantly higher than pulmonary tract \((P<0.05)\).

Our results showed that all tested isolates harbored \textit{lasB} gene. However, difference between \textit{exoS} prevalence in isolates from pulmonary tract and burn isolates was statistically significant \((P<0.05)\). The \textit{nan1} gene, other virulence factor studied in this research, was found in 55 (46.6%) of 118 isolates from pulmonary tract, 15 (30%) of 50 from wound and 4 (4%) of 100 from burn specimens. The prevalence of \textit{nan1} gene was significantly higher in isolates of pulmonary tract than burn specimens \((P<0.05)\). There was a borderline significant difference in the prevalence of \textit{nan1} gene among the isolates from pulmonary tract and wound infections. Furthermore, the prevalence of \textit{nan1} among wound isolates was significantly higher than burn isolates \((P<0.05)\) (Table 1).
Table 1. Prevalence of *toxA*, *exoS* and *nan1* among *P. aeruginosa* obtained from various sources.

| Virulence gene | 1 | 2 | 3 | P value (Chi square test) |
|----------------|---|---|---|--------------------------|
| *toxA*⁺ | 45 (90) | 97 (97) | 101 (85.6) | *0.004 = (2,3) |
| *exoS*⁺ | 31 (62) | 67 (67) | 56 (47.4) | *0.004 = (2,3) |
| *nan1*⁺ | 15 (30) | 4 (4) | 55 (46.6) | (1,2) = 0.000 = (1,3)* *0.000 = (2,3)* 0.04 |

Table 2. Various genetic groups of *P. aeruginosa* isolates according to the presence of virulence genes. oprI, oprL, and lasB occurred in all isolates.

| Genetic group | Isolate | Wound (%) (n = 50) | Burn (%) (n = 100) | Pulmonary tract (%) (n = 118) | Total (%) |
|---------------|---------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| I             | 14      | 3                   | 16                  | 33                            |
| II            | 1       | 1                   | 31                  | 33                            |
| III           | 17      | 36                  | 33                  | 86                            |
| IV            | 13      | 57                  | 21                  | 91                            |
| V             | 2       | 2                   | 2                   | 6                             |
| VI            | 3       | 1                   | 7                   | 11                            |
| VII           | 0       | 0                   | 5                   | 5                             |
| VIII          | 0       | 0                   | 3                   | 3                             |
| Total         | 50      | 100                 | 118                 | 268                           |

group I: presence *toxA*, *exoS* and *nan1*; group II: presence *toxA* and *nan1*; group III: presence *toxA* and *exoS*; group IV: presence *toxA*; group V: presence *exoS*; group VI: non-existence *toxA*, *exoS* and *nan1*; group VII: presence *exoS* and *nan1*; group VIII: presence *nan1*.

Table 3. Numbers and ribotype patterns for genetic groups of *P. aeruginosa* isolates.

| Genetic group | I     | II    | III   | IV    | V     | VI    | Total |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Isolate       |       | 1     |       | 13    | 1     | 3     | 40    |
| Wound         |       |       | (8A, 3B) | (2A, 10B, 1C) | (1D) | (2C, 1F) | (16 A, 18B, 3C, 1D, 1E, 1F) |
| Burn          | 2     | 0     | (2A)  | 19    | 10    | 0     | 3     | 34 |
| (2A)          |       |       |       | (7A, 3B) |       |       | (1G, 1A, 1H) | (27A, 5B, 1G, 1H) |
| Pulmonary tract | 4     | 12    | (4A, 6B, 2C) | 4     | 4     | 1     | 5     | 30 |
| (4A)          |       |       |       | (1A, 2B, 1D) |       |       | (1A, 2B, 2D) | (10A,15B,2C ,3D) |
| Total         | 17    | 13    | (4A, 7B ,2C ) | 34    | 27    | 2     | 11    | 104 |
|               |       |       |       | (23A, 10B, 1E) |       |       | (2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 1F, 1G,1H) | (53A, 38B, 5C, 4D, 1E, 1F, 1G, 1H) |

Fig. 1. Ribotyping of *P. aeruginosa*. M ribotype is related to *Citrobacter koseri* CIP 105177 (Grimont 32) that selected as marker.
The isolates were divided into 8 genetic groups (I-VIII) based on the presence of six genes amplified by PCR (Table 2).

Of 8 genetic groups obtained from PCR results, 104 isolates were randomly selected to investigate clonal diversity of the isolates by ribotyping. Ribotyping patterns of the isolates compared by visual inspection. Ribotyping analysis generated 8 distinct patterns (A-H) (Fig. 1). These isolates were distributed in ribotypes A (53 isolates), B (28 isolates), C (5 isolates), D (4 isolates), E (1 isolate), F (1 isolate), G (1 isolate) and H (1 isolate) patterns (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

Identification of *P. aeruginosa* has traditionally relied on phenotypic methods. This still is the most accurate standard when dealing with typical isolates of *P. aeruginosa*. In cystic fibrosis (CF) patients, *P. aeruginosa* isolates display unusual phenotypic reactions (17). Moreover, biochemical testing takes long time to perform and requires extensive hands-on work by the technologist, both for setup and for ongoing evaluation. Molecular methods have been reported to be superior to the phenotypic methods for identification of *P. aeruginosa* (17).

De Vos *et al.* (1997) by designing a multiplex PCR assay based on oprI and oprL genes for molecular detection of *P. aeruginosa* showed that the specificity and sensitivity of the PCR assay were 74 and 100%, respectively (4). Lavenir *et al.* also noted that all of *P. aeruginosa* strains contained the oprI and oprL genes (sensitivity = 100%, specificity = 80%). Similarly in this study, all of the 268 isolates were remarkably positive for both oprI and oprL genes (18).

According to these studies, detection of *P. aeruginosa* by PCR of oprI and oprL genes has a high sensitivity but a low specificity. The reason of low specificity of oprI and oprL genes is that, although the entire genome of *P. aeruginosa* has been sequenced, the genomes of its closest relatives have not. Thus, presence of false positive results among other species of bacteria during PCR assay of oprI and oprL genes indicate that they may have some similar sequences to oprI and oprL genes in their genomes (17). Consequently, use of only single gene target for molecular identification of *P. aeruginosa* potentially suffers from the same polymorphisms that complicate biochemical identification of this organism.

Khan and Cerniglia also developed a PCR procedure to detect *P. aeruginosa* by amplifying the toxA gene (3). They reported that of 130 tested *P. aeruginosa* isolates, 125 (96%) contained the toxA gene (sensitivity = 96%), whereas other species of bacteria did not yield any positive results (specificity = 100%). Qin *et al.* and Lavenir *et al.* also reported similar results. These studies indicate that, unlike oprI and oprL genes, detection of *P. aeruginosa* by PCR of toxA gene has a high specificity but a low sensitivity (17, 18). In this study our results also showed that 243 (90.7%) of 268 isolates harbored toxA gene.

The ptxR gene, expression enhancer of toxA gene, was only detected in *P. aeruginosa* isolates; whereas other species of *Pseudomonas* did not yield any positive results (19). Low sensitivity with toxA PCR screening is due to the fact that some isolates of *P. aeruginosa* do not carry this gene naturally.

Pathogenicity of *P. aeruginosa* is clearly multifactorial. LasB is one of the most important proteases of *P. aeruginosa* (20). In this study all isolates examined harbored lasB gene. This finding is in agreement with previous reports (20-21). Mutation of lasB gene reduces markedly *P. aeruginosa* invasion (22). Prevalence of the lasB gene in all the environmental and clinical isolates implies the importance of LasB factor to survival of *P. aeruginosa* in various settings.

*P. aeruginosa* isolates generally express cytotoxicity or invasion phenotypes which is correlated with presence of exoU (encoding exotoxin U) or exoS (encoding exotoxin S) respectively (23). In our study difference between exoS prevalence in the isolates from pulmonary tract and burn infections was statistically significant (*P* < 0.05) (Table 1).

The proportion of isolates from pulmonary tract infections that exhibited exoS (47.4%) in this study was lower than that previously reported (20, 15, 24, 25). The conflicting results of these studies may be due to differences in the number of clinical isolates from different sites or due to the isolates from patients with different clinical and physiological conditions (20, 24).

About the nan1 gene, the other virulence factor studied in this research, we found that the prevalence of nan1 was significantly higher in isolates from pulmonary tract than isolates from burn (*P* < 0.05). Furthermore, the prevalence of nan1 among the isolates from wound was significantly higher than burn (*P* < 0.05). Similar to our results, Lanotte *et al.* reported that 7 (41.2%) of 17 wound isolates and 12 (48%) of 25 pulmonary tract isolates contained nan1.
gene. This gene has probably A role in CF pulmonary disease evolution as previously described (15). The low prevalence of this factor among isolates from burn infections may show that the role of this gene in the burn infections is less important than wound and pulmonary tract infections.

The differences in the distributions of virulence factor genes in the populations strengthen the probability that some P. aeruginosa strains are better adapted to the specific conditions found in specific infectious sites (15).

The isolates in this study were divided into 8 genetic groups (I-VIII) based on presence of the investigated infectious sites (15). Groups and ribotype patterns.

The differences in the distributions of virulence factor genes in the populations strengthen the probability that some P. aeruginosa strains are better adapted to the specific conditions found in specific infectious sites (15).

The isolates in this study were divided into 8 genetic groups (I-VIII) based on presence of the investigated virulence genes in the isolates. There was no correlation between clinical origin of P. aeruginosa isolates and their distribution in the 8 genetic groups (Table 2).

Although ribotyping is slightly less discriminatory than pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) (26), the high rate of interlaboratory reproducibility and the speed of the generation of results make this method a valuable approach for characterization of clinical bacteria (12). Ribotyping demonstrated 8 distinct ribotype patterns (A-H). Fifty-three (51%) and 38 (36%) isolates belonged to ribotype pattern A and B, respectively. Indeed, most of the isolates (87%) belonged to ribotype pattern A and B. There was no significant meaningful correlation between genetic groups and ribotype patterns.

In conclusion, it seems that simultaneous use of oprI, oprL and toxA genes provides more confident detection of P. aeruginosa by PCR. Determination of different virulence genes of P. aeruginosa isolates suggests that they are associated with different levels of intrinsic virulence and pathogenicity. This may have different consequence on the outcome of infections. Significant correlations between some virulence genes and source of infections obtained in this research indicates that more further studies is required for finding out the actual role of these genes in different clinical infectious caused by P. aeruginosa. Ribotyping showed that strains with similar virulence genes do not necessarily have similar ribotype patterns. However, clonal spread of highly virulent isolates of P. aeruginosa within hospitals needs to apply additional precautions in clinical settings.
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