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Abstract

Background: The protein encoded by the Us11 gene of herpes simplex viruses is a dsRNA binding protein which inhibits protein kinase R activity, thereby preventing the interferon-induced shut down of protein synthesis following viral infection. Us11 protein is not essential for infectivity in vitro and in mice in herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV1), however this virus has a second, and apparently more important, inhibitor of PKR activity, the γ134.5 protein. Recently sequenced simian simplexviruses SA8, HVP2 and B virus do not have an ORF corresponding to the γ134.5 protein, yet they have similar, or greater, infectivity as HSV1 and HSV2.

Methods: We have expressed the US11 proteins of the simplexviruses HSV1, HSV2, HVP2 and B virus and measured their abilities to bind dsRNA, in order to investigate possible differences that could complement the absence of the γ134.5 protein. We employed a filter binding technique that allows binding of the Us11 protein under condition of excess dsRNA substrate and therefore a measurement of the true Kd value of Us11-dsRNA binding.

Results and Conclusions: The results show a Kd of binding in the range of 0.89 nM to 1.82 nM, with no significant difference among the four US11 proteins.

Introduction

The genus Simplexvirus comprises a number of closely related herpesviruses with very similar genetic structure and life cycles. Most simplexviruses, like the human simplex viruses types 1 and 2 (HSV 1 and HSV 2), B virus (Cercopithecine herpesvirus 1), SA8 (Cercopithecine herpesvirus 2) and herpes virus papio type 2 (Cercopithecine herpesvirus 16), infect primates and produce very similar oro-genital lesions in their natural hosts and may cause encephalitis or severe infections in mice and other hosts [1]. For example, B virus causes mostly oro-genital lesions in macaques, but if transmitted to humans produces an often fatal ascending encephalomyelitis [1,2].

Complete sequencing of the genomes of simian simplexviruses have confirmed the close genetic homology [3-5]. All the genes are conserved and collinear, except for the γ134.5 gene (RL1) which is present in HSV-1 and HSV-2, but absent from the simian simplexviruses B virus, SA8 and HVP2.

The γ134.5 protein is an important virulence factor in HSV-1. Null mutants for γ134.5 loose their virulence in mice and they show a severely impaired replication in cells culture [6,7]. These mutants can be rescued by a compensatory mutation that puts the US11 ORF under the control of an immediate early promoter [8-10]. In fact, both γ134.5 and US11 proteins act by inhibiting the activation of the interferon-dependent protein kinase R (PKR) response. PKR is activated by binding to dsRNA and it phosphorylates the ribosomal translation factor eIF2α, thereby blocking cellular protein synthesis. γ134.5 promotes de-phosphorylation of eIF2α [7,11] while US11 inhibits PKR activation by binding to dsRNA [11,12]. Since simian simplexviruses lack the γ134.5 gene and yet replicate very well in culture and may show neurovirulence in mice, it is tempting to speculate that US11 may take over the entire inhibition of the PKR system in these viruses.

US11 is a ribosome associated protein which is produced late in infection and is packaged into the viral tegument at approximately 1000 copies [13,14]. US11 is not thought to be necessary for early gene expression or DNA replication, however it has been found that HSV 1
relies more heavily on US11 in later stages of its lifecycle to prevent an antiviral state [15-17].

US11 is thought to inhibit PKR via the sequestering of dsRNA [11]. US11 has also been shown to inhibit PKR activation by binding to PACT, an RNA-independent mechanism of activating PKR [18]. In addition, US11 activation by binding to PACT, an RNA-independent protein, is also activated by dsRNA, in part by sequestering dsRNA through its C-terminal domain [19].

US11 binds dsRNA via a unique motif comprised of a set of R-X-P repeats at the C-terminal end of the gene. The R-X-P motifs bind to dsRNA with high affinity, with Kds reported in the range of 12 to 70 nM [12,20]. US11 bind to dsRNA of minimum length of 39 bp and preferentially binds RNA structures of higher-order and greater sequence complexity [12,20].

Within the simplexviruses that express US11, the protein is highly conserved except for the number of R-X-P at the C-terminal end of the protein. HSV 1 contains 20 to 24 copies of R-X-P repeats, the number of repeats has been shown to vary among viral strains but is not a strain specific feature. HSV2 contains 19-20 repeats and this also is thought to vary slightly among strains. Within the non-human primate viruses; B virus (strain E2490) contains 20 R-X-P repeats, SA8 strain B264 contains only 10 while HVP2 (strain X313) has 32 R-X-P repeats, although there is considerable variation in the number of repeats among HVP2 strains.

In this work we have expressed in E. coli US11 proteins from the human simplexvirus HSV-1, HSV-2, and the simian simplexviruses HVP-2 and B-virus and we investigated if there are differences in dsRNA binding activities that could indicate different roles of US11 in the two groups of simplexviruses.

Materials and methods

Cell Culture and Viruses

Vero cells (ATCC number CCL-81) were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 5% calf serum. HSV 1 (strain F), HSV 2 (strain G) were obtained from The American Tissue Culture Collection (ATCC numbers VR-733 and VR-734, respectively). Herpes papio 2 (strain G) were obtained from Dr. Richard Eberle’s laboratory, University of Oklahoma, and B virus (strain E2490) were obtained from the Southwest Foundation for Biomedical Research, San Antonio, TX, USA. Simian agent 8 (SA8, strain B264) was obtained by Dr. Heinz Feldman, National Microbiology Laboratory, Winnipeg Manitoba. Viral genomic DNA was prepared as previously described [4]. Genomic DNA from B virus was prepared in the biosafety level 4 facility at the National Microbiology Laboratory of the Public Health Agency of Canada.

US11 Recombinant Protein Expression and Purification

The US11 gene was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using the following sets of primers: HSV1, forward 5’GGAAATTCATATGAGCCAGACCCAACC3’ and reverse 5’CCCCAGGCTTCTATACGACCCCGCAG3’; HSV2, forward 5’GGAAATTCATATGGCATCCGGGTT3’ and reverse 5’CCCCAGGCTTCTAGGCAAGCCGCCG3’; B virus, forward 5’GGAAATTCATATGCTATGCGCTCGTGGCC3’ and reverse 5’CCCCAAGGTGTCCTCACCC3’. The oligonucleotides were designed using the software VectorNTI (Invitrogen, CA, USA), on the basis of the following GenBank sequences: HSV 1 strain 17 (NC_001806), HSV2 strain HGS2 (NC_001798), B virus strain E2490 (NC_004812), SA8 (NC_006560), HVP2 (NC_007653), and contained HindIII and NdeI restriction sites at the 3’ and 5’ ends respectively, for cloning into the E. coli expression vector pET28a (+) (Novagen, CA, USA). The US11 clones, containing an amino terminal hexahistidine tag, were produced in BL21 (DE3) competent E. coli cells (Novagen, CA, USA) and sequenced for confirmation at the DNA Core Facility (National Microbiology Laboratory, Winnipeg).

For expression of the US11 proteins, E. coli clones were grown in 1L of LB medium to an OD600 of about 0.6 in the presence of 50 μg/ml of kanamycin. US11 was induced by adding 1 mM isopropyl-β-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG, Novagen) and incubated at 25°C. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 10 minutes, resuspended in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCL, 10 mM imidazole, 0.6 in the presence of 50 μg/ml of kanamycin. US11 was induced by adding 1 mM isopropyl-β-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG, Novagen) and incubated at 25°C. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 10 minutes, resuspended in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCL, 10 mM imidazole, 1% triton x-100, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol, pH 8.0) with the addition of a protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma, ON, Canada) and sonicated 5 times for 20 second intervals. Soluble and insoluble fractions of protein were separated by centrifugation at 14,000 rpm. Most of the US11 was found in the soluble fractions and these fractions were stored at -20°C and used in the experiments described in this work.

Purification of US11 Proteins

US11 proteins expressed with a His tag were purified on a Ni affinity column, prepared by equilibrating Ni resin (Profinity™ IMAC Ni-charged resin, Biorad, USA) with 1X binding buffer (20 mM Tris-HCL pH 7.4, 10 mM imidazole, 1 M NaCl, 1% triton x-100, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol). E. coli extracts were mixed with an equal volume of 2X binding buffer (20 mM Tris-HCL pH 7.4, 10 mM imidazole, 2 M NaCl, 1% triton x-100, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol) and applied to the affinity column. The addition of 1 M NaCl in the binding buffer was
necessary to dissociate RNA that was bound to the US11 protein after lysis. The Ni column was washed with 10 bed volumes of wash buffer 1 (20 mM Tris-HCL, 10 mM imidazole, 1 M NaCl, 1% triton x-100, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol) and then wash buffer 2 (20 mM Tris-HCL pH 7.4, 10 mM imidazole, 300 mM NaCl, 1% triton x-100, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol). US11 was eluted with elution buffer (20 mM Tris-HCL pH 7.4, 300 mM imidazole, 300 mM NaCl, 1% triton x-100, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol).

Ni column fractions containing US11 protein were pooled and loaded onto a 1 ml HiTrap heparin HP colume (GE Healthcare) apparatus an AKTA FPLC system (GE Healthcare, QC, Canada), using phosphate buffer (10 mM NaPO₄ pH 7.4). The US11 protein was eluted with a gradient of NaCl in phosphate buffer from 0 to 1.5 M, at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. Fractions containing US11 were confirmed by SDS-PAGE on a 15% SDS-PAGE gel and the protein concentration was measured using the RcDc Protein Assay kit (Biorad). The identity of US11 was confirmed by western blot analysis with an anti-polyhistidine mouse monoclonal antibody (Sigma), and by mass spectrometry at the Proteomics Core facility of the National Microbiology Laboratory, Winnipeg, Manitoba.

Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assays (EMSA)

The RNA used for detecting protein binding was a randomly designed 42 bp double stranded synthetic fragment of sequence 5’UUCUCAAGUGAAGUCUGCGAAGGUGUAQACCUUAGAUAUC3’ (Invitrogen). A dsDNA fragment of corresponding sequence was used as a binding control. Binding reactions were prepared using varying concentration of purified US11 protein (4 ng - 366 ng) and 20 ng of dsRNA in binding buffer (150 mM KCl, 0.1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM EDTA and 50 mM Tris, pH 7.4) in a final reaction volume of 20 μl. US11 and dsRNA were allowed to bind at room temperature for 30 minutes without agitation. An aliquot of gel loading buffer (0.25% bromophenol blue, 0.25% xylene cyanol, 15% ficoll-type 400) was added to the reaction mixtures and resolved on 10% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gels in 1X TBE.

Filter Binding Assays

US11/dsRNA binding reaction was performed in 2 ml of binding buffer (150 mM KCl, 0.1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM EDTA and 50 mM Tris, pH 7.4) containing 5% Ni resin, a fixed amount of purified US11 protein and variable concentrations of 32P labeled dsRNA, obtained by serially diluting a solution of 31 nM of dsRNA trace-labeled with about 2 × 10⁶ cpm of end-labeled RNA. With this procedure all the dilutions of dsRNA had the same specific activity. The tubes were incubated for 25 minutes at room temperature with gentle mixing and then loaded onto Whatman GF/C filters on a vacuum manifold (VWR). The reactions were filtered immediately and the filters were washed 3 times with 10 ml of ice cold 1X binding buffer. The radioactivity bound to the filter was counted using a LS 6500 scintillation counter.

Background radioactivity bound to the filter was determined by running in parallel for each dsRNA concentration controls containing all the reagents except for the US11 protein.

Determining Kd Values and Statistical Analysis

A specific activity for each experiment was calculated as the average cpm/nmoles for each dsRNA concentration. The pmoles of dsRNA bound to the filter for each dsRNA concentration was calculated as:

\[ \text{pmoles} = \frac{\text{cpm in sample} - \text{cpm blank}}{\text{specific activity}} \]

The dissociation constant (Kd) and the total amount of binding sites [P] was calculated by non-linear regression of the equilibrium binding equation:

\[ [P \cdot \text{RNA}] = [P] [\text{RNA}] / (K_d + [\text{RNA}]) \]

Where: [P-RNA] is the amount of protein and RNA complexes as calculated from the binding experiments and [RNA] is the concentration of dsRNA in each point. The software also estimated the standard error of the calculated Kd and [P].

Several experiments were performed to determine the Kd of each US11 protein. A weighted average of the Kd values and standard deviation of the weighted average was calculated according to the formulas from Bevington and Robinson [21]. A one-way ANOVA test was performed to establish whether the Kd values were significantly different. GraphPad Prism® software was used for these analyses.

Results

Protein expression and RNA binding activity

RNA-binding activity of the expressed and purified US11 proteins was assessed by electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA). Figure 1A shows the binding activity of US11 from HSV1 to the 42 base pair random sequence of RNA, as described in Materials and Methods. We chose the length of 42 base pairs based on previous work which showed that US11 from HSV1 binds a minimum of 39 to a maximum of 300 bp of dsRNA efficiently [12]. At lower concentrations of protein (lanes 4-7; 4.3 ng, 6.4 ng, 9.7 ng and 14.5 ng US11) only one shifted band is visible by ethidium bromide staining. A second band of higher molecular weight (MW) appears at higher
concentrations of protein (lanes 8-10; 21.8 ng, 32.6 ng and 49.0 ng US11) and some RNA forms a high MW smear or remains trapped in the gel wells at the highest protein concentration (lanes 11-12; 73.5 and 110 ng US11). This result indicates that more than one molecule of US11 protein can bind to one molecule of dsRNA. All US11 proteins from HSV 1, HSV 2, B virus and HVP2 remained active post-purification as they all bound dsRNA with similar kinetics (Figure 2). US11 from SA8 did not express in the E. coli system and therefore US11 from SA8 was not used in the rest of the study.

US11 has been described predominantly as an RNA binding protein, although some previous reports in the literature show that US11 is also able to bind dsDNA [14,15]. Figure 1B shows that US11 does bind dsDNA but with a lower affinity and not in a discernable stoichiometric manner. The dsDNA substrate used for this experiment had a nucleotide sequence corresponding to the sequence of the dsRNA substrate.

Determination of Kd for US11 proteins from HSV1, HSV2, B virus and HVP2

In order to determine the Kd of US11 proteins for dsRNA we used the filter binding assay described in detail in Materials and Methods. For this assay the purified His tagged US11 proteins are bound to Ni resin and, after incubation with 32P-labelled RNA substrate, the free radioactivity is removed by filtration. This classic binding method has the advantage over EMSA of the possibility of measuring the real equilibrium binding, by using excess amounts of RNA substrate and rapidity of washing, as opposed to the small sample volume for electrophoresis and the many hours of an electrophoresis run, during which a substantial portion of RNA bound protein may dissociate. In addition, filter binding is more quantitative than measuring radioactivity on X-ray image or a cut gel slice.

In order to confirm that the filter binding method was free of artifacts that could influence the calculation of Kd, we performed two binding kinetic experiment, using a fixed concentration of RNA and varying concentrations of Us11 (Figure 3A), or using varying concentrations of RNA and a fixed concentration of Us11 protein from HSV1 (Figure 3B). The calculated Kd were 0.65 ± 0.14 nM and 0.70 ± 0.09 nM, and they were not significantly different as determined by an unpaired t-test (p value = 0.7), indicating that the true Kd value was determined.

In order to accurately measure and compare the Kd of the US11 proteins from HSV1, HSV2, HVP2 and B virus, we performed filter binding assays over a range of dsRNA concentrations of 31 nM - 200 nM in parallel for the four viruses.

Table 1 shows the results of 5 independent binding experiments. For each experiment the Kd was calculated by non-linear regression of the binding equation described in Materials and Methods (as done for example in Figure 3A) and the standard errors were also estimated. The last line of table 1 reports the weighted
mean of the Kd for each US11 protein and the weighted standard deviation. The standard error of the Kd calculated by the non-linear regression was used as weight. None of the differences between Kd values for different US11 proteins were statistically significant, as determined by a one-way ANOVA test.

There was some variation in the Kd determinations among different experiments, but, except for experiment 3, Kds for different viruses were not significantly different also within each experiment (Table 1, rightmost column).

**Discussion**

US11 inhibits interferon-mediated shutoff of protein synthesis by the PKR system, but its exact role during the course of herpesvirus infection still remains unclear. US11 is not essential for HSV replication in culture [22], but HSV also produces a second protein that inhibits PKR, γ134.5, which is in turn essential for infectivity in cells and mice. In contrast, simian simplexviruses lack a γ134.5 gene and US11 seems to be the only protein with PKR inhibitory activity. In order to investigate possible differences in activity between

**Table 1** Determination of the Kd of binding to dsRNA of US11 proteins from four simplexviruses.

| Experiment | HSV1     | HSV2     | B virus  | HVP2     | p        |
|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 1<sup>a</sup> | 0.46 ± 0.40 | 0.29 ± 0.14 | 0.35 ± 0.28 | 0.42 ± 0.31 | 0.978    |
| 2<sup>a</sup> | 2.34 ± 0.95 | 0.30 ± 0.52 | 6.07 ± 1.40 | 6.28 ± 3.09 | 0.068    |
| 3<sup>a</sup> | 2.51 ± 1.13 | 1.14 ± 0.29 | 4.36 ± 1.35 | 6.10 ± 1.58 | 0.039    |
| 4<sup>a</sup> | 1.84 ± 0.37 | 1.38 ± 0.15 | 1.96 ± 2.35 | 1.13 ± 0.27 | 0.975    |
| 5<sup>a</sup> | 1.23 ± 0.26 | 1.66 ± 0.60 | 2.23 ± 0.94 | 2.58 ± 0.28 | 0.408    |
| w. mean<sup>b</sup> | 1.43 ± 0.29 | 0.89 ± 0.42 | 1.82 ± 3.42 | 1.80 ± 3.43 | 0.92     |

<sup>a</sup> Kd calculated by non-linear regression ± estimated standard errors
<sup>b</sup> weighted average calculated using Kd standard errors as weights ± weighted standard deviation
human and simian simplexviruses, we have studied the dsRNA binding activity of US11 expressed from HSV-1, HSV-2, HVP-2 and B virus.

Our results show that US11 proteins from HSV-1, HSV-2, HVP-2 and B virus bind the dsRNA substrate with the same affinity. In particular, there was no difference in Kd for US11 of HVP-2, despite the fact that this protein has a higher number of dsRNA-binding repeats. We determined the average Kd value for a synthetic dsRNA substrate at 1.07 ± 1.86 nM. Previous studies [12,20] have reported Kd values for US11 for different dsRNA species and showed that the affinity for dsRNA varied and was impacted by the length of the nucleic acid. Bryant et al. reports a Kd of 70 nM in the case of US11 for a 67 bp dsRNA fragment which was achieved by electrophoretic mobility-shift assays. They were also able to map the binding site within a 46 base pair segment of the RNA. On the other hand Khoo et al. reported an apparent Kd value of 12.6 nM for a 81 bp dsRNA species using a filter binding assay [12]. The Kd values obtained thus far for US11 from HSV1 may be dependent on the size of the dsRNA fragment and probably also on the techniques used to establish the Kd value.

Our Kd is considerably lower of the range reported in the literature, but the method we used is more accurate than gel retardation technique used in the previous literature. Filter binding technique allows to work at equilibrium binding and in the presence of excess ligand. Binding experiments under conditions of excess of dsRNA or excess of US11 produced similar Kd values (Figure 3), indicating that the filter binding assays truly measures equilibrium binding.

In conclusion, there is no difference in dsRNA binding activity among the US11 proteins of these four simplexviruses, also despite the higher number of repeats of the R-X-P RNA binding motif. However, Us11 may bind RNA as a means of homing to PKR and physically inhibit the enzyme, and studies have shown that US11 forms a physical association with PKR [23]. It is also clear that US11 inhibits PKR [11,15,16]. Therefore it is possible that difference in expression kinetics of Us11 or differences in the interaction of Us11 with PKR may account for the ability of simian simplexviruses to function without expressing the g134.5 protein. Future research should compare the effect of Us11 from different simplexviruses on the phosphorylation levels of PKR or eIF2α, in vitro or in cells infected by human or simian simplexviruses.
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