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Abstract

Background

The advent and boom of DNA barcoding technologies have provided a powerful tool for the fields of ecology and systematics. Here, we present the InBIO Barcoding Initiative Database: Portuguese Bats (Chiroptera) dataset containing DNA sequences of 63 specimens representing the 25 bat species currently known for continental Portugal. For that, we sequenced tissues samples obtained in a vast array of projects spanning the last two decades.

New information

We added four new Barcoding Index Numbers (BINs) to existing Chiroptera barcodes on BOLD, two belonging to *Myotis escalerai*, one to *Plecotus auritus* and the other to
Rhinolophus hipposideros. Surprisingly, one of the samples initially identified in the field as Myotis mystacinus turned out to be Myotis alcathoe, which represents the first record of this species for Portugal. The presence of Nyctalus noctula in Portugal was also genetically confirmed for the first time. This case study shows the power and value of DNA barcoding initiatives to unravel new data that may be hidden on biological collections.
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Introduction

The barcoding of life is a booming initiative to catalogue worldwide biodiversity (Ratnasingham and Hebert 2007) wherein tens of thousands of species have already been referenced through the sequencing of a fragment of the cytochrome c oxidase I (COI) gene. These libraries have already been used in a vast array of studies ranging from the diet assessment of species (e.g. Mata et al. 2016), to the detection of rare species (e.g. Wilcox et al. 2013) or the community composition of habitats or ecosystems (e.g. Baselga et al. 2013, Clare et al. 2007). One of the potential uses of DNA barcoding has been the taxonomic validation of biological collections (Puillandre et al. 2012). Consequently, under DNA barcoding initiatives, a great number of new species or hidden cryptic diversity have been found (e.g. Lara et al. 2010, Saitoh et al. 2015, Corley et al. 2017, Corley and Ferreira 2017). These latter examples demonstrate the power of this technique on unveiling hidden patterns of diversity.

In Portugal, the InBIO Barcoding Initiative was recently launched under the scope of EnvMetaGen ERA-Chair, aiming to contribute for building a DNA barcoding library for the country’s biodiversity of terrestrial and freshwater ecosystems (Ferreira et al. 2018). Building on this initiative, we assembled a COI reference library for all the 25 bat species known to occur in mainland Portugal (Rainho et al. 2013), belonging to four families (Vespertilionidae, Rhinolophidae, Miniopteridae and Molossidae). During this process, a new bat species was discovered for the country – Myotis alcathoe (Helversen et al. 2001) – raising the number of bat species for mainland Portugal to 26.

General description

Purpose: This dataset aims to provide a first contribution to an authoritative DNA barcode sequences library for Portuguese bats. Such a library should facilitate DNA-based identification of species for both traditional molecular studies and DNA-metabarcoding studies and constitute a valuable resource for taxonomic and ecological studies.

Additional information: We obtained the full barcode sequence (COI – 658 bp) for 63 specimens (Fig. 1, Table 1). Sequences are distributed in 26 Barcode Index Numbers (BINs), a system in which closely related sequences are clustered into operational
taxonomic units (OTUs). Of these, four are unique, two of *Myotis escalerai* (ADS3148, ADT 1511), one of *Plecotus auritus* (ADU1131) and one of *Rhinolophus hipposideros* (ADV3826). For five specimens, the field identification did not match the molecular data, most likely as a result of morphological misidentification (two *Eptesicus isabellinus* matched *E. serotinus* haplotypes, one *Myotis emarginatus* matched *M. escalerai*, one *M. mystacinus* matched *M. alcahote* and one *Pipistrellus pygmaeus* matched *P. pipistrellus*). The analysed specimens of *Myotis myotis* and *M. blythii* shared the same COI haplotypes, probably due to a known widespread introgression of mtDNA (Afonso et al. 2017). It is also possible to observe the occurrence of two distinct haplogroups of *Myotis escalerai*, probably corresponding to the ‘West’ and ‘North Central East’ cytochrome-b haplogroups described by Razgour et al. (2015). Phylogenetic trees confirmed the presence of the previously known 23 bats species to mainland Portugal, plus the *M. myotis/blythii* complex (Fig. 1), as well as the occurrence of the unrecorded *M. alcahote*. This individual was collected in a protected area located in north-western Portugal (Peneda Gerês National Park; Figs 2, 3, 4). Our results also provide the first genetic confirmation of the presence of *Nyctalus noctula* in Portugal, whose occurrence in the country consists of isolated and sporadic observation events (this study; Barros 2012, Rainho et al. 2013).

### Table 1.
List of species that were collected and DNA barcoded within this project. * Indicate species with new BINs.

| Family          | Species                  | IBI code | BOLD code | BOLD BIN | GenBank |
|-----------------|--------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|---------|
| Miniopteridae   | *Miniopterus schreibersii* | MB12     | IBIC012-19 | AAC3658  | MT407281 |
|                 |                          | MB13     | IBIC013-19 | AAC3658  | MT407282 |
|                 |                          | MB14     | IBIC014-19 | AAC3658  | MT407283 |
| Molossidae      | *Tadarida teniotis*      | MB60     | IBIC056-19 | AAB2570  | MT407332 |
| Rhinolophidae   | *Rhinolophus euryale*    | MB56     | IBIC052-19 | AAF7222  | MT407326 |
| Rhinolophidae   | *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum* | MB57  | IBIC053-19 | AAD7131  | MT407327 |
| Rhinolophidae   | *Rhinolophus hipposideros* | MB58   | IBIC054-19 | ADV3826  | MT407328 |
|                 |                          | MB59     | IBIC055-19 | ADV3826  | MT407329 |
| Rhinolophidae   | *Rhinolophus mehelyi*    | MB64     | IBIC060-19 | AAF7233  | MT407330 |
|                 |                          | MB65     | IBIC061-19 | AAF7233  | MT407331 |
| Vespertilionidae| *Barbastella barbastellus* | MB01  | IBIC001-19 | AAF0184  | MT407270 |
|                 |                          | MB02     | IBIC002-19 | AAF0184  | MT407272 |
|                 |                          | MB03     | IBIC003-19 | AAF0184  | MT407271 |
| Vespertilionidae| *Eptesicus isabellinus*  | MB06     | IBIC006-19 | AAX8557  | MT407277 |
| Vespertilionidae| *Eptesicus serotinus*    | MB04     | IBIC004-19 | AAC2865  | MT407276 |
|                 |                          | MB05     | IBIC005-19 | AAC2865  | MT407273 |
|                 |                          | MB07     | IBIC007-19 | AAC2865  | MT407274 |
|                 |                          | MB08     | IBIC008-19 | AAC2865  | MT407275 |
| Family                        | Species              | IBI code | BOLD code | BOLD BIN | GenBank  |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| Vespertilionidae              | Hypsugo savii       | MB09     | IBICH009-19  | AAC2816  | MT407279 |
|                               |                      | MB10     | IBICH010-19  | AAC2816  | MT407278 |
|                               |                      | MB11     | IBICH011-19  | AAC2816  | MT407280 |
| Vespertilionidae              | Myotis alcahœi      | MB33     | IBICH031-19  | AAF5058  | MT407284 |
| Vespertilionidae              | Myotis bechsteinii  | MB15     | IBICH015-19  | AAD0964  | MT407285 |
|                               |                      | MB16     | IBICH016-19  | AAD0964  | MT407287 |
|                               |                      | MB17     | IBICH017-19  | AAD0964  | MT407286 |
| Vespertilionidae              | Myotis blythii      | MB18     | IBICH018-19  | AAC9255  | MT407289 |
|                               |                      | MB19     | IBICH019-19  | AAC9255  | MT407288 |
| Vespertilionidae              | Myotis daubentonii  | MB20     | IBICH020-19  | AAA8086  | MT407290 |
|                               |                      | MB21     | IBICH021-19  | AAA8086  | MT407292 |
|                               |                      | MB22     | IBICH022-19  | AAA8086  | MT407291 |
| Vespertilionidae              | Myotis emarginatus  | MB23     | IBICH062-19  | AAD0937  | MT407294 |
|                               |                      | MB25     | IBICH063-19  | AAD0937  | MT407293 |
| Vespertilionidae              | Myotis escalera*    | MB24     | IBICH023-19  | ADT1511  | MT407297 |
|                               |                      | MB26     | IBICH024-19  | ADS3148  | MT407298 |
|                               |                      | MB27     | IBICH025-19  | ADT1511  | MT407299 |
|                               |                      | MB28     | IBICH026-19  | ADT1511  | MT407296 |
|                               |                      | MB29     | IBICH027-19  | ADS3148  | MT407295 |
| Vespertilionidae              | Myotis myotis       | MB30     | IBICH028-19  | AAC9255  | MT407302 |
|                               |                      | MB31     | IBICH029-19  | AAC9255  | MT407301 |
|                               |                      | MB32     | IBICH030-19  | AAC9255  | MT407300 |
| Vespertilionidae              | Myotis mystacinus   | MB61     | IBICH057-19  | AAB4668  | MT407303 |
|                               |                      | MB62     | IBICH058-19  | AAB4668  | MT407304 |
|                               |                      | MB63     | IBICH059-19  | AAB4668  | MT407305 |
| Vespertilionidae              | Nyctalus lasiopterus| MB35     | IBICH032-19  | AAF3011  | MT407306 |
|                               |                      | MB36     | IBICH033-19  | AAF3011  | MT407307 |
| Vespertilionidae              | Nyctalus leisleri   | MB37     | IBICH034-19  | AAC4752  | MT407308 |
|                               |                      | MB38     | IBICH035-19  | AAC4752  | MT407310 |
|                               |                      | MB39     | IBICH036-19  | AAC4752  | MT407309 |
| Vespertilionidae              | Nyctalus noctula    | MB40     | IBICH037-19  | AAC7411  | MT407311 |
|                               |                      | MB41     | IBICH038-19  | AAC7411  | MT407312 |
| Vespertilionidae              | Pipistrellus kuhlil  | MB43     | IBICH039-19  | AAA7926  | MT407313 |
|                               |                      | MB44     | IBICH040-19  | AAA7926  | MT407314 |
|                               |                      | MB45     | IBICH041-19  | AAA7926  | MT407315 |
| Vespertilionidae              | Pipistrellus pipistrellus | MB46 | IBICH042-19  | AAC5524  | MT407316 |
This work provides a clear example on how the DNA barcoding of collections may unveil unexpected results and reveal hidden diversity. In this case, a sample collected in 2005 and sequenced in 2018 provided the first record of *Myotis alcathoe* for Portugal. This individual was identified in the field as *M. mystacinus* that is a cryptic species of *M. alcathoe*, thus demonstrating the difficulty in distinguishing these species, based on external morphological characters alone. In fact, it was only through molecular studies that *M. alcathoe* was first identified as a separate species (Helversen et al. 2001). Yet, caution must be taken when using only mitochondrial markers for species identification, because mitochondrial introgressions have been reported for a number of species (e.g. Bogdanowicz et al. 2012). The use of these markers when introgressions are present may produce species misidentifications. Regarding the molecular identification of *M. alcathoe*, in central Europe, introgression between *M. alcathoe* and *M. mystacinus* (see Bogdanowicz et al. 2012). This way, although we cannot fully discard the possibility of the discovered female individual to be a hybrid, these authors found an overall low level of introgression, as well as an asymmetric introgression pattern mediated mainly by males, thus making it unlikely that our bat was a *M. mystacinus*. Further genetic analyses using nuclear markers would be needed to fully validate the identity of the species, but congruence of morphological characters (lighter and brown fur) and ecological ones (foraging on a very cluttered riparian gallery) seem to further support the identity of our bat individual as *M. alcathoe*.

*M. alcathoe* is associated with dense riparian environments and was known to occur from northern Spain to Sweden and Turkey. Its known distribution is highly scattered and most likely full of knowledge gaps, mainly due to under-sampling of the habitats of this bat species and misidentifications during fieldwork (Bashra et al. 2011). The closest known record of the species is separated by more than 150 km from the Portuguese sample location (Hermida et al. 2012), thus our record is also the westernmost known record for the species. Records in Spain are mainly associated with streams within mature woodlands dominated by oaks (Agirre-Mendi et al. 2004). These species’ populations are classified as Data Deficient by IUCN, with destruction and degradation of riparian forest and woodland identified as the main threats due to loss of roosts and foraging areas (Helversen et al. 2001). Of note, this species is classified as “Endangered” in Catalonia.
due to its rarity and pressures over riparian forests (Coronado et al. 2017). It is highly likely that the Portuguese populations may suffer from similar threats. Therefore, this species may be restricted to the northern forests of Portugal, although only through dedicated surveys will it be possible to characterise its distribution in the country and evaluate population status.

Figure 1. Phylogenetic tree obtained by Maximum Likelihood (ML) analysis of 26 species of bats, based on 63 newly-sequenced cytochrome c oxidase I gene (COI – 658 bp) Portuguese samples (codes started by MB) and representative sequences of all 26 species publicly available on BOLD (http://www.boldsystems.org), bootstrap values (> 80%) are indicated at nodes.
Figure 2. Geographic location of the analysed samples in this study.

Figure 3. Picture of the *Myotis alcathoe* individual discovered in this study.
Our take-home message is that the screening of current and older collections, either museum or private, may withhold surprises that will further complete acknowledged species lists. With the ever-decreasing costs of barcoding techniques, it is expected that many researchers may afford this approach. Barcoding will most likely become an essential tool for the managing of collections. Additionally, vouchering of specimens, especially from regions with large knowledge gaps like tropical Africa and Southeast Asia, might help future studies aiming for pathogen discovery, integrative taxonomy, climate change, environmental pollution and other topics that might not constitute the initial focus of the sampling.

Project description

Title: The name “The InBIO Barcoding Initiative Database: Portuguese Bats (Chiroptera)” refers to the data release of DNA barcodes and distribution data of bats within the InBIO Barcoding Initiative.

Personnel: Pedro Beja (project coordinator), Sónia Ferreira (IBI manager), Hugo Rebelo (Chiroptera specialist), Francisco Amorim (Chiroptera specialist), Pedro Horta (Chiroptera specialist), Helena Raposeira (Chiroptera specialist), Helena Santos (Chiroptera specialist), Vanessa Mata (Chiroptera specialist).
**Study area description:** Continental Portugal (Fig. 2).

**Design description:** Chiropteran specimens were collected in the field, morphologically identified and DNA barcoded.

**Funding:** FCT - Fundação para Ciência e Tecnologia, I.P. funded V.M. (PD/BD/113462/2015) and F.A. (PD/BD/52606/2014). S.F. was funded by the project PORBIOTA - Portuguese E-Infrastructure for Information and Research on Biodiversity (POCI-01-0145-FEDER-022127), supported by Operational Thematic Program for Competitiveness and Internationalization (POCI), under the PORTUGAL 2020 Partnership Agreement, through the European Regional Development Fund (FEDER). This research also received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme under grant agreement No 668981. Fieldwork and sample collection were funded under the scope of the FCT projects PTDC/BIA-BIC/110587/2009, LTER/BIA-BEC/0004/2009 and PTDC/BIA-ECO/31731/2017 and from EDP – Energias de Portugal.

**Sampling methods**

**Study extent:** Continental Portugal (Fig. 2).

**Sampling description:** Bat samples were collected under the scope of several projects spanning from 2005 to 2018 (Rebelo and Jones 2010, Santos et al. 2014, Amorim et al. 2015). All bats were captured during mist-netting sessions or using harp-traps at roost exits. A non-lethal 3 mm wing punch was collected from several individuals and stored in 96% ethanol. Taxonomical identification of individuals during fieldwork was done according to the most popular identification keys of European bats (Dietz and Helversen 2004, Dietz et al. 2015).

Up to five specimens of each species were sequenced in the laboratory. DNA was extracted from wing punches, using the E.Z.N.A. Tissue Kit (Omega Bio-tek). Two partially overlapping fragments of the COI gene were amplified using the primers FwhF1 x Ind_C_R (325pb; Vamos et al. 2017, Shokralla et al. 2015) and BF2 x BR2 (423bp; Elbrecht and Leese 2017), modified to contain Illumina adaptors. PCR products were subject to a second amplification to attach indexing barcodes and P5/P7 adaptors, followed by bead clean-up, nanodrop quantification and normalisation. The final pool was quantified by qPCR and sequenced in a MiSeq platform using a v2 2x250 kit (~5000 reads/fragment/sample). Bioinformatic analysis of raw reads was done using ObiTools (Boyer et al. 2015) and, briefly, consisted of pairwise alignment of reads, removal of primer sequences, collapsing of similar reads into haplotypes and removal of rare variants (low read count). Geneious 10.2.3 ([http://www.geneious.com](http://www.geneious.com), Kearse et al. 2012) was used for final sequence assembly, while double checking for the occurrence of possible nuclear copies. Species ID was confirmed using BOLD System Identification Platform ([http://www.boldsystems.org](http://www.boldsystems.org)). For each species, two representative sequences available in BOLD were retrieved and aligned with ours in order to build a phylogenetic tree. Haplotype alignments were analysed using the Maximum Likelihood (ML) method and ML trees were
built in RaxML (Stamatakis 2014) with 1,000 bootstrap replicates and searching for the best-scoring ML tree.

**Quality control:** All DNA barcodes sequences were compared against the BOLD database and the 99 top hits were inspected in order to detect possible issues due to contaminations or misidentifications. Prior to GBIF submission, data were checked for errors and inconsistencies with OpenRefine 3.2 (http://openrefine.org).

**Step description:** Samples were collected from bats captured using mist-nets or harp-traps at roost exits and identified morphologically by experts. A non-lethal 3 mm wing punch was collected from each individual and stored in 96% ethanol from where DNA was extracted and the COI DNA barcode fragment was sequenced. Prior to GBIF submission, data were checked for errors and inconsistencies with OpenRefine 3.2 (http://openrefine.org).

**Geographic coverage**

**Description:** Continental Portugal (Fig. 2)

**Coordinates:** 37.4° and 41.9° Latitude; 9.3° and 6.9° Longitude.

**Taxonomic coverage**

**Description:** This dataset is composed entirely of data relating to 63 Chiroptera records.

Overall, 26 species are represented in the dataset (100% of the ones existing in continental Portugal and 83.8% of the ones existing in Iberia). These species belong to four families, the majority of which belong to the Vespertilionidae (20 species or 76.9%), with additional representatives from Rhinolophidae (four species) and a single species in the Miniopteridae and Molossidae. Vespertilionidae also accounts for over eighty percent (84.1%) of all collected samples, Rhinolophidae (9.5%), Miniopteridae (4.8%) and a single sample was collected from the Molossidae family.

**Taxa included:**

| Rank  | Scientific Name            | Common Name          |
|-------|----------------------------|----------------------|
| species | *Barbastella barbastellus*  | Western barbastelle  |
| species | *Eptesicus isabellinus*    | Meridional serotine  |
| species | *Eptesicus serotinus*      | Common serotine      |
| species | *Hypsugo savii*            | Savi's pipistrelle   |
| species | *Miniopterus schreibersii* | Common bent-wing     |
| species | *Myotis alcathoe*          | Alcathoe             |
**Temporal coverage**

**Data range:** 2005-6-27 - 2018-8-22.

**Notes:** Samples were collected in the period from 27 June 2005 to 22 August 2018.

**Collection data**

**Collection name:** InBIO Barcoding Initiative

**Collection identifier:** 4ec2b246-f5fa-4b90-9a8d-ddafc2a3f970

**Curatorial unit:** DNA extractions - 1 to 63

| species | Myotis bechsteinii | Bechstein's |
| species | Myotis blythii | Lesser mouse-eared |
| species | Myotis daubentoni | Daubentons's |
| species | Myotis emarginatus | Geoffroy's |
| species | Myotis esculentus | Escalera's |
| species | Myotis myotis | Greater mouse-eared |
| species | Myotis mystacinus | Whiskered |
| species | Nyctalus lasiopterus | Greater noctule |
| species | Nyctalus leisleri | Lesser noctule |
| species | Nyctalus noctula | Common noctule |
| species | Pipistrellus kuhlii | Kuhl's pipistrelle |
| species | Pipistrellus pipistrellus | Common pipistrelle |
| species | Pipistrellus pygmaeus | Soprano pipistrelle |
| species | Plecotus auritus | Brown long-eared |
| species | Plecotus austriacus | Grey long-eared |
| species | Rhinolophus euryale | Mediterranean horseshoe |
| species | Rhinolophus ferrumequinum | Greater horseshoe |
| species | Rhinolophus hipposideros | Lesser horseshoe |
| species | Rhinolophus mehelyi | Mehely's horseshoe |
| species | Tadarida teniotis | European free-tailed |
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Data resources

Data package title: The InBIO Barcoding Initiative Database: Portuguese Bats (Chiroptera).

Resource link: dx.doi.org/10.5883/DS-IBICH

Number of data sets: 1

Data set name: DS-IBICH IBI - Chiroptera

Download URL: http://www.boldsystems.org/index.php/Public_SearchTerms?query=DS-IBICH

Data format: dwc, xml, tsv, fasta

Data format version: 1

Description: The InBIO Barcoding Initiative Database: Portuguese Bats (Chiroptera) dataset can be downloaded from the Public Data Portal of BOLD (http://www.boldsystems.org/index.php/Public_SearchTerms?query=DS-IBICH) in different formats (data as dwc, xml or tsv and sequences as fasta files). Alternatively, BOLD users can log-in and access the dataset via the Workbench platform of BOLD. All records are also searchable within BOLD, using the search function of the database. The dataset, at the time of writing the manuscript, is included as Suppl. materials 1, 2, 3 in the form of two text files for record information as downloaded from BOLD, one text file with the collecting and identification data in Darwin Core Standard format (downloaded from GBIF) and of a fasta file containing all sequences as downloaded from BOLD. It should be noted that, as the BOLD database is not compliant with the Darwin Core Standard format, the Darwin Core formatted file (dwc) that can be downloaded from BOLD is not strictly Darwin Core formatted. For a proper Darwin Core formatted file, see http://ipt.gbif.pt/ipt/resource?r=ibi_chiroptera&v=1.1 (Suppl. material 2).

All data are available in the BioStudies database (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/biostudies) under accession number S-BSST395.

| Column label | Column description |
|--------------|--------------------|
| processid    | Unique identifier for the sample |
| sampleid     | Identifier for the sample being sequenced, i.e. IBI catalogue number at Cibio-InBIO, Porto University. Often identical to the "Field ID" or "Museum ID" |
| recordID     | Identifier for specimen assigned in the field |
| Field Name          | Description                                                                 |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| catalognum         | Catalogue number                                                            |
| fieldnum           | Field number                                                                |
| institution_storing| The full name of the institution that has physical possession of the voucher specimen |
| bin_uri            | Barcode Index Number system identifier                                      |
| phylum_taxID       | Phylum taxonomic numeric code                                               |
| phylum_name        | Phylum name                                                                  |
| class_taxID        | Class taxonomic numeric code                                                |
| class_name         | Class name                                                                   |
| order_taxID        | Order taxonomic numeric code                                                |
| order_name         | Order name                                                                   |
| family_taxID       | Family taxonomic numeric code                                               |
| family_name        | Family name                                                                  |
| subfamily_taxID    | Subfamily taxonomic numeric code                                            |
| subfamily_name     | Subfamily name                                                               |
| genus_taxID        | Genus taxonomic numeric code                                                |
| genus_name         | Genus name                                                                   |
| species_taxID      | Species taxonomic numeric code                                              |
| species_name       | Species name                                                                 |
| identification_provided_by | Full name of primary individual who assigned the specimen to a taxonomic group |
| identification_method | The method used to identify the specimen                                    |
| voucher_status     | Status of the specimen in an accessioning process (BOLD controlled vocabulary) |
| tissue_type        | A brief description of the type of tissue or material analysed               |
| collectors         | The full or abbreviated names of the individuals or team responsible for collecting the sample in the field |
| lifestage          | The age class or life stage of the specimen at the time of sampling          |
| lat                | The geographical latitude (in decimal degrees) of the geographic centre of a location |
| lon                | The geographical longitude (in decimal degrees) of the geographic centre of a location |
| country            | The full, unabbreviated name of the country where the organism was collected |
| province_state     | The full, unabbreviated name of the province ("Distrito" in Portugal) where the organism was collected |
| region             | The full, unabbreviated name of the municipality ("Concelho" in Portugal) where the organism was collected |
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