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Abstract

Background: Ethiopia is the second most populous country in sub Saharan Africa with high total fertility rate, and high maternal and child mortality rates. In sub Saharan African countries, including Ethiopia, even though studies show that demand for contraception is high, the practice is low. Particularly, in Ethiopia, despite the fact that practices on long acting and permanent methods are believed to be low, there are limited evidences on the real magnitude of demand for the methods.

Methods: To assess demand for long acting and permanent contraceptive methods and associated factors among married women of reproductive age group in Debre Markos town, Amhara Regional State, North West Ethiopia, A community based cross sectional study was conducted, from April 08–19, 2012. Systematic sampling technique was used to select 523 study participants. Pre tested structured Amharic version questionnaire was used to collect the data through interview. Both bivariate and multiple logistic regressions were used to identify associated factors.

Results: Among 519 respondents, 323 (62.2%) were using modern family planning (FP) methods in which 101 (19.5%) were using long acting and permanent contraceptive methods (LAPMs). Among all respondents, 171 (32.9%) had unmet need for LAPMs. The total demand for LAPMs was 272 (52.4%) of which 37.1% were satisfied and 62.9% unsatisfied demand. Being in the older age group (40-44 years) [AOR = 2.8; 95% CI:1.12, 9.55], having no desire for more child [AOR = 20.37; 95% CI:9.28, 44.72], desire to have a child after 2 years [AOR = 6.4; 95% CI:3.04,13.47], not ever heard of modern FP [AOR = 5.73; 95% CI:1.26, 25.91], not ever using of modern FP [AOR = 1.89; 95% CI:1.01, 3.55] and having no spousal discussion in the last six month [AOR = 1.642, 95% CI: 1.049, 2.57] were some of the factors significantly associated with demand for LAPMs.

Conclusions: Demand and unmet need for LAPMs were high in the study area. Therefore raising awareness of the community, counseling/discussion about the methods with all clients, encouraging spousal involvement are fundamental areas of intervention. Moreover, increasing the availability and accessibility of LAPMs is required to meet the unmet needs.

Background

As of July, 2012 world population is estimated to be above 7 billion. Africa accounts around 1 billion people, 15% of the world’s population. The total fertility rates (TFR) worldwide ranges from 1.1 children per women in Taiwan to 7.1 in Niger [1].
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100,000 live births), high abortion rate (23/1000), and unwanted pregnancy rate (42%) [2,3,6].

The SSA region’s unmet need for family planning (FP) is the highest in the world (48.8 million women), nearly half the married women of reproductive age (MWRA) want to space or limit the number of children they have. However, less than one in seven MWRA (14.7 million) are using a modern method of contraception, of which only 2.7 million MWRA use long-acting or permanent contraception [7,8]. Reasons for unmet need are lack of knowledge about contraceptive options and their use, unavailability of services, limited supplies everywhere or choices, fear of social disapproval or partners’ opposition, fear of side effects and health concerns [9].

The 2011 Ethiopian demographic and health survey (EDHS) showed that 38% of women wants child after two years and 37% reported they want no more children. Only 25% of currently married women have an unmet need for FP (16% for spacing and 9% limiting). Total unmet need is highest in Oromia (30%) and lowest in Addis Ababa (11%). Only 29 percent of currently married women are using a method of FP; despite the government is working toward a contraceptive prevalence rate (CPR) of 60% by 2010. Prevalence of LAPMs use constitutes 3.4%, 0.3% and 0.5% for Implant, IUD and sterilized respectively [3,10].

Better availability of FP services, including long acting & reversible methods (LARMs) would fulfill the need for healthier timing and spacing of pregnancies [11]. Four contraceptive methods are categorized under LAPMs: intrauterine devices (IUDs), implants (Implanon, Jadelle), female sterilization, and vasectomy. IUDs and implants are long-acting temporary methods; when removed, return to fertility is prompt; and female sterilization and vasectomy are permanent method. Implants are effective from 3 to 7 years depending on type and IUDs are effective for at least 12 years [12].

LAPMs are the most effective (99% or greater) methods of contraception available and are very safe and convenient for protection against unintended pregnancy [9]. Experience in countries where LAPMs are available shows; they are highly popular than short acting methods [12]. According to world health organization (WHO) eligibility criteria, almost all women are eligible for IUDs, implants and sterilization [13]. They are also cost effective for programs over time and with lowest discontinuation rates. Despite these advantages, LAPMs remain a relatively small and sometimes missing component of many national reproductive health and FP programs [8,11,12]. Global experience including the SSA countries confirmed that without widespread availability and use of LAPMs of contraception, a country cannot meet its lowering fertility goals, reducing maternal and child mortality. Investing in FP specifically on LAPMs is one of the key for reducing child and maternal mortality; and to halt/combat HIV/AIDS directly and to achieve other MDG goals indirectly [11,12].

In Ethiopia despite the fact that the practices on long acting and permanent methods are believed to be low, there are limited recently available evidences on the real magnitude and associated factors of the demand for LAPM. Thus, there is a need to assess the demand for LAPMs and associated factors among married women of reproductive age in the study area.

Methods

Study design and area

To assess demand for long acting and permanent contraceptive methods and associated factors among married women of reproductive age group in Debre Markos town, East Gojjam zone, Amhara national regional state (ANRS), North West Ethiopia, a community based cross sectional study was conducted from April 08–19, 2012. Debre Markos town is located at 300Km Northwest of Addis Ababa and 265Km southeast of the Regional capital city, Bahir Dar. The town is divided into seven kebeles (small administrative regions) [14]. Based on the 2007 population and housing census, the total population size of the town is estimated to be 62,469 of which 47.9% were male and 52.1% were females [2]. Out of the total females, women in the reproductive age group were 14,618 and the numbers of households in the town were estimated to be 14,528. According to information from the town’s health office the health system of the town consisted of one Referral Hospital, three Health center, and two none governmental organizations (NGOs) clinics (family guidance association clinics (FGA) and Marie stops international clinics) providing reproductive health services including LAPMs. All married women in the reproductive age group (15-49 years) who lived in the Debre Markos town and female were considered for the study. However, married women in the reproductive age group who were seriously ill and unable to hear were excluded.

Sampling procedure and sample size

The sample size was calculated by using single population proportion formula by considering 50% proportion of demand for LAPMs, since we couldn’t found similar study, specifically on demand of LAPM, 95% confidence level, 4.5% Margin of error and 10% none response rate. The final sample size used was 523. Systematic random sampling technique was employed to select the house hold from each kebeles by considering that there was at least one married women per house hold and using the number of house hold as a sampling frame. The first households were selected from the town using the town’s house number registration by lottery method. It has been determined that the households were selected
every 28th interval (i.e. by dividing the total households (H) to the sample size (h)) using the first selected household as reference. In cases of selected household with more than one eligible respondent, only one respondent was chosen by lottery method. In cases where no eligible participant identified in the selected household, the data collectors have gone to the next household to the right direction until they got eligible women (Figure 1).

Data collection procedures
Data were collected by face to face interview by using structured and pre tested Amharic version questionnaire. The questionnaires were first prepared in English and translated in to Amharic and back to English by language experts to keep consistency of the questionnaires. The questions included in the questionnaire were prepared from different related literatures. Seven well trained diploma nurses graduated from private collages collected data and two BSC midwives have supervised during data collection period. The filled questionnaires were checked for consistencies and completeness daily by supervisors and principal investigators on the spot. Pre-test of the questionnaire were done on 5% of the sample on women of reproductive age group nearby Debre Merkos town (i.e. at Finote selam town), to identify any ambiguity, consistency and acceptability of questionnaire, and then necessary corrections were made before the actual data collection.

Data analysis and measurement procedures
The collected data were cleaned, coded, and entered in to EPI info 2011 and then exported to SPSS version 20 for further analysis. A women had unmet need for reversible long acting methods when she have desired to delay or avoid pregnancy for 2 years or more but not used implants or IUD, or those who were using unsuitable short acting methods. Unmet need for permanent methods was considered when a women who have achieved desired family size (don’t want any more), but were not using LAPMs or unsuitable other methods. Then demand for LAPMs was defined as the sum of those women who were using LAPMs and had both unmet need for reversible long acting and permanent methods. Both descriptive and analytical statistics were used for data analysis and interpretations. Bivariate analysis was conducted primarily to check the variables which had an association with the dependent variable individually. Variables associated with the dependent variables at p value 0.2 were then entered in to multiple logistic regression for controlling the possible effect of confounders and finally the variables which had significant association with demand for LAPM were identified on the basis of OR, with 95% CI and p-value (<0.05) to fit into the final regression model.

Ethical considerations
Before actual data collection, ethical clearance was obtained from Institute of public health research ethical
review committee of University of Gondar. Formal letter of cooperation was written to Debre Markos town health office and it was also obtained from Debre Markos town health office to each Kebele administrations. Informed consents were obtained from each study participants after the objectives of the study was fully explained in their local languages. Participants who refused to participate in the study were not forced and the collected data were stored in a file, without the name of study participant (anonymously), but code was assigned for each and had not been disclosed to others except to the principal investigators.

Results

Socio demographic characteristics of respondents

A total of 519 married women in the reproductive age were responded for the study making the response rate of 99.24%. The mean age of respondents was 29.64 years with 7.65 years Standard deviations. Majority of the study subjects were Amhara in ethnicity (93.3%) and 422 (81.3%) of them were Orthodox followers. Concerning educational status, 156 (30.1%) of respondents were secondary school and 101 (19.5%) of them were unable to read and write. On the other hand, majority (37.4%) of respondents’ husband had educational status of above 12 grades, followed by secondary education (25.4%). Two hundred thirty five (45.3%) of respondents were housewife and 219 (42.2%) of their husbands were governmental employee (Table 1).

Reproductive history of the respondents

Out of the total respondents, 385 (74.2%) have given birth to one or more children. Forty six (8.9%) of respondents were pregnant during the study period. Out of these, 30 (65.2%) were desired pregnancies, 11 (23.9%) pregnancies were mistimed and 5 (10.9%) were not wanted at all. Three hundred thirty six (64.7%) of the study participants desired to have a child and 183 (35.3%) of them didn’t desire to have a child in the future which are considered here after as those with the intention to limit child bearing (Table 2). Among those who desired to have a child in the future 118 (35%) of them wanted within 2–5 years and 105 (31%) wanted after 5 years (Figure 2). The average numbers of children desired in life, ever born and living child were 3.32 with SD of 1.06, 1.97 with SD of 1.67 and 1.84 with SD of 1.56 respectively.

Knowledge/awareness of women about long acting and permanent methods and source of information

Five hundred two (96.7%) of the respondents have heard at least one methods of modern Family planning. Among the methods, Injectable was mentioned by 501 (96.5%) respondents followed by daily pills (80.3%). Four hundred twenty three (81.5%) of respondents knew/mentioned at least one methods of LAPMs contraception and 96 (18.5%) didn’t know any LAPMs methods. Health care professionals (63.8%) and television (61.5%) were the most commonly mentioned source of information (Figure 3).

Table 1 Socio-demographic characteristics of married women in the reproductive age group in Debre Markos town, North West Ethiopia, April 2012 (n = 519)

| Variables                               | n (%) |
|-----------------------------------------|-------|
| **Age**                                 |       |
| 15–19                                   | 30 (5.8) |
| 20–24                                   | 120 (23.1) |
| 25–29                                   | 129 (24.9) |
| 30–34                                   | 85 (16.4) |
| 35–39                                   | 84 (16.2) |
| 40–44                                   | 52 (10.0) |
| 45–49                                   | 19 (3.7) |
| **Ethnicity**                            |       |
| Amhara                                  | 484 (93.3) |
| Oromo                                    | 12 (2.3) |
| Tigre                                    | 10 (1.9) |
| Agawu                                    | 10 (1.9) |
| Others*                                 | 3 (0.6) |
| **Religion**                             |       |
| Orthodox                                | 422 (81.3) |
| Muslim                                   | 67 (12.9) |
| Protestant                               | 20 (3.9) |
| Catholic                                 | 10 (1.9) |
| **Respondents educational status**       |       |
| Can’t read & write                       | 101 (19.5) |
| Read and write only                     | 71 (13.7) |
| Primary school (1–8)                    | 95 (18.3) |
| Secondary (9–12)                        | 156 (30.1) |
| Above 12                                 | 96 (18.5) |
| **Husbands education status**            |       |
| Can’t read & write                       | 36 (6.9) |
| Read and write only                     | 74 (14.3) |
| Primary school (1–8)                    | 83 (16.0) |
| Secondary (9–12)                        | 132 (25.4) |
| Above 12 grade                          | 194 (37.4) |
| **Respondents occupational status**     |       |
| House wife                              | 235 (45.3) |
| Merchant                                 | 101 (19.5) |
| Farmer                                  | 12 (2.3) |
| Daily labourer                          | 50 (9.6) |
| Government employee                    | 100 (19.3) |
| Student                                 | 21 (4.0) |
| **Husband’s occupation**                |       |
| Merchant                                 | 139 (26.8) |
| Farmer                                  | 45 (8.7) |
| Daily labourer                          | 95 (18.3) |
| Government employee                    | 219 (42.2) |
| Private work                            | 21 (4.0) |

*Gurage, wolyaity.
About 415 (80%) respondents knew at least one place where LAPMs could be obtained. Health center (74.6%), hospital (58.0%), NGO clinics (12.7%) and health posts (12.3%) were the commonly mentioned sites where LAPMs could be obtained. Spacing births (56%) and limiting family size (43%) were the commonly mentioned advantages of LAPMs (Figure 4). Two hundred seventy three (52.6%) of respondents had discussion about LAPMs at least once with the health care providers and the most commonly discussed methods were Implanon (45.5%) where as male sterilization (3.1%) were the least mentioned (Table 3).

Modern contraceptive practices among married women of reproductive age

Out of total respondents, 406 (78.2%) have ever used modern contraceptive methods and the mean duration of use were 40.9 months with SD of 25.8 months. Three hundred twenty three (62.2%) respondents were using modern family planning methods of which injectables (60.7%) were the most used family planning method (Figure 5).

One hundred one (19.5%) respondents were using LAPMs, of which 37 (36.7%) were using Implanon, 46 (45.5%) were using Jadele and 18 (17.8%) were using IUD. Forty two (76.4%) of users of implants or IUD wanted to continue their method up to the end and 13 (23.6%) of them wanted to remove before the actual date because of their need to be pregnant.

Respondents’ intention to use LAPMs, discussion with their partners, reasons for using and not using LAPMs

Among total respondents, 371 (71.5%) couples approved using LAPMs, of which 37 (36.7%) were using Implanon, 46 (45.5%) were using Jadele and 18 (17.8%) were using IUD. Forty two (76.4%) of users of implants or IUD wanted to continue their method up to the end and 13 (23.6%) of them wanted to remove before the actual date because of their need to be pregnant.

Figure 2 Fertility intention of married women of reproductive age group in Debre Markos town, North West Ethiopia, April 2012.
Two hundred thirty five (45.3%) of respondents have discussed about contraceptive methods with their husband in the last six months of which, 87 (16.8%) of them have discussed twice. Only 281 (54.1%) respondents’ husband approved using LAPMs and 130 (25.0%) respondents didn’t know their husbands attitude. Three hundred ninety (75.1%) respondents’ husbands knew their status of contraception and 336 (64.7%) have discussed with their spouse about which method to use. About 191 (36.8%) respondents perceived that their husband should approved using of permanent methods after completing desired family size. Majority (70.5%) of respondents believed joint decision about to use LAPMs with their partner (Table 4).

Out of 418 respondents who are not currently using LAPMs, the main top five reasons mentioned for not using LAPMs were fear of side effects (41.9%), preferring short term (38.8%), health concerns (32.3%), respondents opposed (26.6%) and religious prohibition (19.9%) (Figure 6). The top five reasons mentioned for not intending to use LAPMs in the future were; fear of side effects (58.4%), respondents opposed (41.3%), health concerns (37.0%), preferring short term (36.3%) and religious prohibition (24.2%) (Figure 7).

Two hundred forty six (47.4%) of respondents have heard myths/beliefs and traditional misconceptions about at least one methods of LAPMs and majority of them were heard towards implant (31.6%), followed by towards IUD (15.2%), female sterilization (5.0%) and 22 vasectomy (4.2%). The commonly heard myths towards implants were; work related problems (50.0%), menstrual abnormalities (33.5%) and health problems (15.9%). About two fifth and one fifth of the respondents heard that IUD makes infertile and causes genital infections respectively. Ten (38.5%) of respondents said female sterilization makes infertile and twenty (90.9%) of them said vasectomy causes inability to erect penis and makes unable to have sex (Table 5).
Demand for long acting and permanent contraceptive methods

From total respondents, 85 (16.4%) had unmet need for long acting reversible methods and 86 (16.6%) had unmet need for permanent methods. The total demand, which is the sum of those who were practicing LAPMs, 101 (19.5%), and those who had an unmet need for the methods, 171 (32.9%), were 272 (52.4%) [Of which, 37.1% were satisfied and 62.9% were unsatisfied demand] (Figure 8).

Factors associated with demand for long acting and permanent methods

In the bivariate logistic regression analysis, Demand for LAPMs was associated significantly with age, respondents’ education, husbands’ occupational status, need for pregnancy, current pregnancy status, number of children desired, number of children ever born, number of children alive, knowledge of modern FP methods, knowledge of LAPMs, hearing about LAPMs from health professionals or radios, ever use of modern FP methods, duration of use, current use of contraceptives, ever use of LAPMs before, spousal discussion in the past 6 month about contraception, spousal discussion about contraception, frequency of discussion, husbands’ knowledge about use or non use of contraception, spousal discussion about which methods to use, perception of partner’s approval of using permanent methods after completing family size and decision about to use LAPMs. However, in the multivariate logistic regression analysis, demand for LAPMs was associated significantly with age, desire for more child, duration of desire to have child, number of children ever born, ever heard of modern FP, ever use of modern FP, spousal discussion in the past 6 month about modern family planning, spousal discussion about which method to use and perception of partner’s approval of permanent methods after completing family size (Table 6).

Discussion

The study revealed that more than half (52.4%) of respondents had demand for LAPMs; of which 32.9% had an unmet need for LAPMs (16.4% for spacing & 16.6% for limiting) and 19.5% were using the methods (11.0%...
for spacing & 8.5% for limiting). Among the total demand only 37.1% were satisfied in which they required it for spacing or limiting but were not using LAPMs.

The unmet need was lower than study in Congo in which 21% had unmet for spacing and 31% for limiting; and in Rwanda 27% wanted to stop having children of which 57% were not practicing using any contraception or were having an unmet need for permanent methods of FP [15,16]. The reason for this may be due to the differences in time, socio cultural, geographical and study settings. EDHS 2011 showed 25% unmet for FP and total demand of 54% (33% were for spacing and 21% for limiting). From survey in southern nation, nationalities, and peoples’ region (SNNPR) the total demand for FP in the region was 49.3% of which 37.4% had unmet need and 11.9% had met need for FP [3,17]. The reason for this might be the difference in time and study setting in which those studies were conducted in both rural and urban setting and for the general FP methods. However, this study is only for urban and on demand of LAPMs. On the other hand the current study’s demand, unmet need and practice for LAPMs were higher than studies done in Goba [demand for LAPMs was 18.12% and 9.4% had unmet need] and Batu Jira [total demand for LAPMs among FP service users was 24.4% (3% satisfied and 22.4% unsatisfied)] towns [18,19]. This might be due to the time differences in which currently deferent Medias are promoting LAPMs, so that they may increase the acceptance/practice of LAPMs.

In this study age, desire for more child, duration of desire to have child, number of children ever born, ever heard of modern FP , ever use of modern FP , spousal discussion in the past 6 month about contraception, spousal discussion about which method to use and perception of

---

**Table 4 Intention to use LAPMs and spousal discussion among married women of reproductive age groups in Debre Markos town, North West Ethiopia, April 2012 (n = 519)**

| Variables                                           | n (%)     |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Intention to use LAPMs in the future                |           |
| Wants to use LAPMs                                  | 238 (45.9)|
| Don’t want to use LAPMs                             | 194 (37.3)|
| I don’t know                                        | 87 (16.8) |
| Reasons for intending to use (N = 238)              |           |
| To space for longer interval                        | 133 (55.9)|
| Don’t want to have more child                       | 67 (28.2) |
| Side effects of others                              | 31 (13.0) |
| Not available before                                | 16 (6.7)  |
| Don’t know its presence                             | 11 (4.6)  |
| Discussion with partner within last 6 month         |           |
| None                                                 | 284 (54.7)|
| Once                                                 | 71 (13.7) |
| Twice                                                | 87 (16.8) |
| Three times                                          | 37 (7.1)  |
| Four and above                                       | 40 (7.7)  |
| Attitude towards Husbands/ approval of using LAPMs   |           |
| Approve                                              | 281 (54.1)|
| Didn’t approve                                       | 108 (20.8)|
| I don’t know                                         | 130 (25.0)|
| Husbands knowledge of use or not using of contraceptives |         |
| Yes                                                  | 390 (75.1)|
| No                                                   | 83 (16.0) |
| I don’t know                                         | 68 (13.0) |
| Spousal discussion about which method to use         |           |
| Yes                                                  | 336 (64.7)|
| No                                                   | 183 (35.5)|
| Partner approval of having permanent method after completed family size | |
| Yes                                                  | 191 (36.8)|
| No                                                   | 168 (32.4)|
| I don’t know                                         | 160 (30.8)|
| Decision about using LAPMs                          |           |
| Mainly me                                            | 135 (26.0)|
| Mainly my husband                                   | 18 (3.5)  |
| Joint decision                                       | 366 (70.5)|

---

**Figure 6 Reasons mentioned for not using LAPMs by married women of reproductive age in Debre Markos town, North West Ethiopia, April 2012.**
**Table 5** Myths or beliefs and traditional misconceptions heard by married women's in the reproductive age in Debre Markos town, North West Ethiopia, April 2012

| Variables                          | N (%)     |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| **Heard myths (N = 519)**          |           |
| Yes                                | 246 (47.4)|
| No                                 | 273 (52.6)|
| **Implants myths (N = 164)**       |           |
| Prevents from daily work (weakens, tingling & numbness of arm/hand) | 82 (50.0) |
| It brings menstrual abnormalities  | 55 (33.5) |
| It has many health problems        | 26 (15.9) |
| It makes infertile                 | 20 (12.2) |
| It makes irritable or brings behavioral change | 20 (12.2) |
| Brings hypertension or raises blood pressure | 19 (11.6) |
| It causes headache and blurring of vision | 17 (10.4) |
| Weight loss or makes thin          | 16 (9.8)  |
| Others*                            | 17 (10.4) |
| **IUDs myths (N = 79)**            |           |
| It makes infertile                 | 33 (41.8) |
| It brings/causes genital infection | 17 (21.5) |
| It has many health problems        | 17 (21.5) |
| Causes menstrual irregularity      | 11 (13.9) |
| It may decompose within the womb/uterus | 8 (10.1) |
| High chance of expulsion & pregnancy may occur | 6 (7.6) |
| Interferes with sexual activity    | 5 (6.3)   |
| Others **                          | 21 (26.6) |
| **Female sterilizations myths (N = 26)** |           |
| Makes infertile                    | 10 (38.5) |
| It has many health problems and life threatening | 7 (26.9) |
| It needs major operation          | 4 (15.4)  |
| Predispose to uterine infection   | 4 (15.4)  |
| Decreases sexual desire            | 3 (11.5)  |
| **Vasectomies myths (N = 22)**     |           |
| Inability to erect or weakens penis/impotency | 20 (90.9) |
| It has health problems             | 3 (13.6)  |

*weight gain, facial change, cause to have twin pregnancy, decrease sexual desire.

**decrease sexual desire, burning genitalia, brings uterine cancer, abdominal distention.
Table 6 Factors associated with Demand for LAPMs contraceptives among married women of reproductive age in Debre Markos town, North West Ethiopia, April 2012

| Independent variable                        | Demand for LAPMs | Crude OR (95% CI) | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | P value |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------|
|                                             | Yes              | No                |                      |         |
| Age                                         |                  |                   |                      |         |
| 15-19                                       | 17               | 13                | 1                    | 1       |
| 20-24                                       | 49               | 71                | 0.528 (0.235-1.185)  | 0.43    | 0.179-1.036 | 0.060 |
| 25-29                                       | 62               | 67                | 0.708 (0.318-1.576)  | 0.601   | 0.253-1.43  | 0.250 |
| 30-34                                       | 39               | 46                | 0.648 (0.28-1.50)    | 0.625   | 0.252-1.545 | 0.308 |
| 35-39                                       | 50               | 34                | 1.125 (0.484-2.614)  | 1.195   | 0.522-3.464 | 0.702 |
| 40-44                                       | 40               | 12                | 2.55 (0.968-6.713)   | 2.819   | 1.129-9.553 | 0.049 |
| 45-49                                       | 15               | 4                 | 2.87 (0.767-10.717)  | 3.33    | 0.843-13.176| 0.086 |
| Desire for more child                       |                  |                   |                      |         |
| Yes                                         | 138              | 198               | 1                    | 1       |
| No                                          | 134              | 49                | 3.924 (2.649-5.812)  | 20.376  | 9.28-44.72  | 0.000 |
| Duration of desire for child                |                  |                   |                      |         |
| Within two years                            | 144              | 112               | 1                    | 1       |
| After two years                             | 128              | 135               | 0.737 (0.522-1.042)  | 6.4     | 3.04-13.478 | 0.000 |
| Number of children ever born                |                  |                   |                      |         |
| None                                        | 62               | 72                | 1                    | 1       |
| 1                                           | 39               | 56                | 0.809 (0.475-1.376)  | 0.792   | 0.460-1.366 | 0.402 |
| 2                                           | 56               | 54                | 1.204 (0.727-1.996)  | 1.311   | 0.778-2.208 | 0.309 |
| 3                                           | 46               | 32                | 1.669 (0.949-2.936)  | 2.254   | 1.218-4.171 | 0.010 |
| 4                                           | 18               | 18                | 1.161 (0.556-2.425)  | 2.34    | 1.04-5.26  | 0.041 |
| 5+                                         | 51               | 15                | 3.95 (2.02-7.70)     | 9.0     | 3.74-21.74  | 0.000 |
| Ever heard at least one of modern FP methods|                  |                   |                      |         |
| Yes                                         | 257              | 245               | 1                    | 1       |
| No                                          | 15               | 2                 | 0.14 (0.032-0.618)   | 5.7     | 1.27-25.91  | 0.023 |
| Ever use of modern FP                       |                  |                   |                      |         |
| Yes                                         | 184              | 222               | 1                    | 1       |
| No                                          | 88               | 25                | 4.25 (2.61-6.90)     | 1.89    | 1.01-3.55  | 0.048 |
| Discussion with partner in the last 6 month  |                  |                   |                      |         |
| Yes                                         | 98               | 137               | 1                    | 1       |
| No                                          | 174              | 110               | 2.21 (1.55-3.15)     | 1.64    | 1.05-2.57  | 0.030 |
| Discussion with partner on method to use     |                  |                   |                      |         |
| Yes                                         | 149              | 187               | 1                    | 1       |
| No                                          | 123              | 60                | 2.573 (1.766-3.748)  | 2.15    | 1.32-3.49  | 0.002 |
| Partner approval of permanent FP method      |                  |                   |                      |         |
| Yes                                         | 98               | 93                | 1.26 (0.82-1.91)     | 1.93    | 1.22-3.07  | 0.005 |
| No                                          | 101              | 67                | 1.8 (1.159-2.785)    | 1.64    | 1.04-2.59  | 0.033 |
| I don’t know                                | 87               | 87                | 1                    | 1       |

AOR; Adjusted odds ratio, COR; Crude odds ratio.
partner’s approval of permanent methods after completing family size were significantly associated with demand for LAPMs.

Accordingly women whose age were in between 40–44 years were almost three times more likely to have demand for LAPMs than women whose aged were in between15-19 years [AOR = 2.81, CI:1.12-9.55]. This result is supported by study done in Batu jira town [19]. The reason for the age deference could be due to those women who were older were having more children and have more desire to limit or space the number of pregnancy than younger’s who had none or few children. This is also supported by studies done in Goba town, Oromia region, rural Ethiopia and Pakistan, in which women who want more children were younger [18,20-22].

In this study women who had completed their family size or don’t want any more children were twenty point three seven times more likely to have demand for LAPMs than those who desire more [AOR = 20.37; 95% CI: 9.28, 44.72]. This result is supported by study done in Pakistan which revealed that woman who wanted no more child were more likely to intend using contraceptive methods particularly sterilization [22].

Our study revealed that women who wanted to have a child after two years were six point four times more likely to have demand for LAPMs than those who want within two years [AOR = 6.4; 95% CI: 3.04, 13.47]. On the other hand Women who had three, four and five or more ever born child were two point two six, two point three two and two point three three, and nine times more likely to have demand for LAPMs when compared to those who had no child [AOR = 2.26, 95% CI: 1.22, 4.17], [AOR = 2.34; 95% CI: 1.04, 5.26] and [AOR = 9.02, CI: 3.74, 21.74] respectively. This result in line with the result of study done in Batu Jira town which showed women with 1–3 and 4–12 children were having fifty one and six times higher demand than who had no child respectively [19]. The reason for deference might be due to the more child the woman is having the more likely she want to space or limit the number of child she have and the more she were using LAPMs or had an unmet need. It is also supported by studies done in Goba, Oromia, Mekelle, Egypt and Uganda [18,20,23-25].

The study revealed that women who have heard of at least one modern FP method were about six times more likely to have demand for LAPMs than those who didn’t [AOR = 5.73; 95% CI: 1.26, 25.91] and women who had ever used modern FP before were almost two times more likely to have demand for LAPMs than those who didn’t [AOR = 1.89, 95% CI: 1.01, 3.55]. Similarly from study in Rwanda demand was higher among women who received information about family planning at health facilities than among those who received no information or those who did not attend such a facility [16].

Also from study done in Goba town and on other Ethiopian districts, family planning practice was significantly associated with willingness to use LAPMs in the future than who didn’t and respondents who had ever used modern family planning were more than seventeen times more likely to use LAPMs than those who didn’t [18,26].

The study indicated that spouse who had no discussion about contraception in the past six months and women who had no discussion about which method to use were one point six and about two times more likely to have demand for LAPMs than those who had discussion [AOR = 1.64; 95% CI: 1.04, 2.57] and [AOR = 2.14; 95% CI: 1.31, 3.49] respectively. On the other hand women who perceived that their husbands would approve when long Acting and permanent methods needed after completing family size were almost two times more likely to have demand for LAPMs than who didn’t know their husbands perception [AOR = 1.93; 95% CI:1.22, 3.07]. This might be due to those who had discussion were more likely to discuss on the desired family size, knew their husbands perception towards LAPMs, and desired to use suitable methods than having an unmet need for the methods. The result is supported by studies done in Goba, Pakistan, mekelle and Jimma in which contraceptive practice was found to be strongly associated with spousal discussion about FP, women’s perception of husband’s approval with contraceptive practices [18,22,23,27,28].

Even though this study is one among few studies conducted to assess the demand for LAPMs and associated factors, Lack of literatures which are specifically conducted on demand for long acting and permanent methods, being conducted only in the town and cross sectional study were some of its limitations. 

Conclusions
In conclusion, the total demand and overall practice of LAPMs in the town was higher when compared to the findings of other studies conducted in the country. Being in older age, having no desire for more child, desire to have a child after 2 years, having ever born children three or more, not ever heard of modern family planning, not ever using of modern FP, having no spousal discussion in the past six month about contraception, having no spousal discussion about which method to use and having perception of their husbands’ approval of using permanent methods after completing desired family size were significantly associated with having higher demand for LAPMs. Thus, the federal ministry of health and regional health bureau in combination with NGOs working on family planning have to work hard to increase accessibility and availability of LAPMs, because almost half of respondents had intention to use in the future and more than half had unsatisfied demand for LAPMs in the study area.
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