Effects of Tai-Chi Chuan Practice on Patterns and Stability of Lower Limb Inter-Joint Coordination During Obstructed Gait in the Elderly
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Losing balance or tripping during obstacle-crossing is one of the most frequent causes of falls in the elderly. As a low speed, low impact exercise, Tai Chi Chuan (TCC) can be promising in helping the elderly develop strategies for improved balance, inter-joint coordination, and end-point control during obstacle-crossing. This study investigates the effects of TCC training on the patterns and variability of the lower-limb inter-joint coordination during obstacle-crossing in the elderly. Fifteen older TCC practitioners and 15 healthy controls crossed obstacles of three different heights, while sagittal angles (\(x\)) and angular velocities (\(x'\)) of the hips, knees and ankles were measured and their phase angles obtained. The continuous relative phases (CRP) of the hip-knee and knee-ankle coordination were also calculated. The standard deviations of the CRP curve points were averaged to obtain deviation phase (DP) values for the stance and swing phases. The TCC group was found to cross obstacles with increased leading and trailing toe-clearances with unaltered CRP values when the swing toe was above the obstacle. Long-term TCC training altered the patterns and magnitudes of the CRPs primarily over double-limb support and significantly reduced the variabilities of leading knee-ankle and trailing hip-knee and knee-ankle CRP curves over the crossing cycle, regardless of obstacle height. The current results suggest that long-term TCC practice was helpful for a crossing strategy with significantly increased foot-obstacle clearances and reduced variability of the way the motions of the lower limb joints are coordinated during obstacle-crossing. These benefits may be explained by the long-lasting effects of continuous practice of the slow movement patterns emphasizing between-limb transfer of body weight in TCC.

Keywords: gait analysis, obstacle crossing, phase plot, dynamic systems, coordination
INTRODUCTION

More than 30% of people aged 65 and over fall at least once during a year, with a higher incidence of falls in frail individuals or those with disabilities (Blake et al., 1988; Tinetti et al., 1988; Campbell et al., 1990; Graafmans et al., 1996). Many older people, even those who are not injured by the fall, develop a fear of falling (Bhala et al., 1982; Tinetti et al., 1994). This fear may cause them to limit their activities, leading to reduced mobility and physical fitness, thus increasing their actual risk of falling (Vellas et al., 1997). Among the causes of falls in the elderly, losing balance or tripping during obstacle-crossing is one of the most frequent (Overstall et al., 1977; Blake et al., 1988; Tinetti and Speechley, 1989; Campbell et al., 1990; Winter, 1992; McFadyen and Prince, 2002; Di Fabio et al., 2004). Obstacle-crossing during walking is a complex motor task, requiring precise end-point (swing foot) control while maintaining body balance via highly coordinated joint movements (Chen et al., 2004; Chen and Lu, 2006; Lu et al., 2006). Maintenance of the body’s balance, together with well-coordinated joint movement and sufficient foot-obstacle clearance of the swing limb, are essential for successful obstacle-crossing (Chen et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2008; Lu et al., 2010). On the other hand, inappropriate control of the joints of the locomotor system may contribute to body imbalance which may further lead to tripping over obstacles (Chen et al., 1994; Chou and Draganich, 1998; Draganich and Kuo, 2004; McKenzie and Brown, 2004; Lu et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2010; Hsu et al., 2016). There is a need for interventions to help the elderly develop strategies for improved balance, inter-joint coordination, and end-point control during obstacle-crossing. A promising candidate is Tai-Chi Chuan (TCC). As a low speed, low impact exercise, TCC has been shown to be beneficial for retaining or regaining proper balance and coordination for older people (Lai et al., 1995; Wong et al., 2001), including improved balance control during obstacle-crossing (Kim, 2009). However, few studies have explored the benefits of TCC practice on lower limb inter-joint coordination and end-point control during obstacle-crossing. A promising approach did not reveal multi-joint coordination performance (Lu et al., 2006). Inter-joint coordination is the relationship between the motions of two joints, including angular positions and velocities associated with the efferent motor control and information from afferent joint receptors (Burgess-Limerick et al., 1993). To gain insight into the control strategies of obstacle-crossing, relative phase analysis has been used to study the patterns and variability of multi-joint coordination during the motor task in various subject groups (Lu et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2009; Yen et al., 2009). Among the methods previously proposed to quantify inter-joint coordination, only the technique of relative phase plots combines information on joint angular positions and velocities (Burgess-Limerick et al., 1993; Cirstea et al., 2003). While relative phases between two joints describe the patterns of the coupling of the joints (Burgess-Limerick et al., 1993), the variability of the relative phase plots of repeated trials quantifies the stability of the inter-joint coordination during multi-joint movements (Burgess-Limerick et al., 1993; Hamill et al., 1999; van Uden et al., 2003). Although with altered kinematics and kinetics in individual joints compared to young adults (Chen et al., 1991; Lu et al., 2006; Weerdesteyn et al., 2006), older adults did not change the way the lower limb joints were coordinated (i.e., inter-joint coordination patterns) except with a larger variability during obstacle-crossing (Yen et al., 2009), suggesting that age-related changes contributed mainly to reduced stability of the lower limb inter-joint coordination during obstacle-crossing (Burgess-Limerick et al., 1993; Hamill et al., 1999; van Uden et al., 2003). Therefore, quantifications of the patterns and variabilities are equally
important in the studies of the effects of TCC training on lower limb inter-joint coordination during obstacle-crossing in the elderly, which has not been reported in the literature.

The purpose of this study was to determine the effects of long-term TCC practice on the patterns and variability of the inter-joint coordination of the lower extremities in the elderly during obstacle-crossing. It was hypothesized that older people who had practised TCC over a long period of time and those without TCC experience would show similar lower limb inter-joint coordination patterns but with different magnitudes and variabilities during obstacle-crossing, regardless of obstacle height.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Ethics Statement**
All experiments of the current study were conducted under the approval of China Medical University Hospital Institutional Review Board (IRB No. DMR98-IRB-072). All the experiments and procedures conformed to the Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects (World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki). All participants were informed of the procedure, and they provided written informed consent prior to the study.

**Subjects**
Fifteen older adults who had practised TCC continuously for at least an hour a day and for 5 days a week for more than 13 years (TCC group, gender: 4 females/11 males, age: 72 ± 5.5 years, height: 164 ± 6.1 cm, mass: 57.7 ± 7.5 kg, TCC experience: 22 ± 10.1 years), and 15 healthy controls who had done leisure exercises (walking or jogging) for the same time period, and matched with the TCC group for sex, age and BMI (Control group, gender: 4 females/11 males, age: 71 ± 6.1 years, height: 161 ± 5.7 cm, mass: 62 ± 10.9 kg) participated in the current study. They were free of any neuromusculoskeletal dysfunction and with normal corrected vision.

**Experimental Protocol**
In a university hospital gait laboratory, each of the subjects walked at their preferred walking speed along a 10-m walkway and crossed a tube-like obstacle placed horizontally across a height-adjustable frame for three different obstacle heights, i.e., 10, 20 and 30% of the subject’s leg length (Chen and Lu, 2006). The position of the obstacle was defined by two infrared retro-reflective markers placed on either end of the tube (Figure 1), while the motions of the body segments were tracked by another 39 infrared-retroreflective markers placed on the ASISs, PSISs, greater trochanters, mid-thighs, medial and lateral epicondyles, heads of fibulae, tibial tuberosities, medial and lateral malleoli, navicular tuberosities, fifth metatarsal bases, big toes and heels, and mandibular condylar processes, acromion processes, C7, medial and lateral humeral epicondyles, and ulnar styloid (Hong et al., 2015). Three-dimensional trajectories of the markers were measured using a seven-camera motion capture system (Vicon 512, Oxford Metrics Group, United Kingdom) at 120 Hz, while two forceplates (AMTI, United States) placed on either side of the obstacle were used to measure the ground reaction forces at 1,080 Hz. Marker and forceplate data of three complete crossing trials for each lower limb leading were obtained for each obstacle height. The sequence of the obstacle-height conditions for each subject was decided by a random number table based on a counterbalanced measures design. The desired lead limb was elicited by changing the starting position of the subject.

**Data Analysis**
For the quantification of the patterns and variability of the inter-joint coordination of the lower extremities, the pelvis-leg apparatus was modeled as a system of seven rigid segments, namely the pelvis, thighs, shanks and feet (Lu et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2015). Each body segment was embedded with an orthogonal coordinate system with the positive x-axis directed anteriorly, positive y-axis superiorly and positive z-axis to the right following the ISB recommendations (Wu et al., 2002a). Soft tissue artifacts of the markers were reduced using...
a global optimization method that minimized the weighted sum of squared distances between measured and calculated marker positions (Lu and O’connor, 1999). The angles of each joint were calculated from the rotation matrix of the associated distal segment relative to the proximal using a Cardanic rotation sequence (z−x−y) (Cole et al., 1993). The time-history of each lower-limb joint angle in the sagittal plane over the crossing stride (CS) was fitted by a quintic spline using the GCVSPL method (Woltring, 1986) to calculate the corresponding angular velocities (Lu et al., 2008). The CS of the leading limb began at toe-off before the obstacle and ended at toe-off after clearing the obstacle, while that for the trailing limb was defined as heel-strike before the obstacle to heel-strike after clearing the obstacle. The events of heel-strike and toe-off were determined according to a 10N-threshold for the GRF or by a foot marker-based algorithm (Ghossayni et al., 2004). Crossing speed was also calculated as the average speed of the mid-point of the inter-ASIS line in the direction of progression over the crossing stride (Lu et al., 2007). Toe-obstacle and heel-obstacle clearances were calculated as the vertical distances between the obstacle and toe and heel markers, respectively, when the corresponding marker was above the obstacle (Figure 1). Trailing toe-obstacle distances and leading heel-obstacle distances were calculated as the horizontal distances between the obstacle and toe and heel markers, respectively, when the corresponding foot was on the floor (Figure 1; Liu et al., 2010). Joint angular displacements were normalized such that the ranges of the joint angles during a CS lay between −1 and 1, with the midpoint located at zero. Joint angular velocities were normalized by the maximum absolute velocity over the CS, maintaining zero velocity as zero (Li et al., 1999; Stergiou et al., 2001a). These normalization procedures helped minimize the influence of different movement amplitudes and frequencies (Peters et al., 2003). Phase plots of normalized angular velocities ($x'$) against normalized angular displacements ($x$) for each joint were generated, and the phase angles ($\phi$) were calculated in the Euclidean plane as the angle between the positive x-axis and the ray to the point ($x$, $x'$) using the 2-argument arc tangent function. Any discontinuities between consecutive angles greater than or equal to $\pi$ were corrected by adding multiples of $\pm2\pi$ until the jump was less than $\pi$. Continuous relative phases (CRP), representing the coordination between two adjacent joints, were then calculated by subtracting the phase angle of the distal joint from that of the proximal at the same time instant (Burgess-Limerick et al., 1993). In the current study, the CRP curves for the inter-joint coordination between hip and knee ($\phi_{hip-knee}$) and between knee and ankle ($\phi_{knee-ankle}$) were obtained. A positive CRP indicates that the proximal joint leads the distal, while a negative one means the reverse. The two adjacent joints are moving in a similar fashion or in-phase if the CRP is close to 0° or 360°, and are moving in an opposite fashion or out-of-phase if the CRP is close to 180°. For each inter-joint relationship, the CRP curves from the six trials of all subjects were ensemble-averaged to reveal the general patterns. Coefficients of multiple correlation (CMC) (Kadaba et al., 1989; Silfies et al., 2009), were also calculated to quantify the similarity of the CRP patterns between the TCC and healthy control groups. If the patterns are similar, the CMC values are close to unity. The between-group differences of the ensemble-averaged CRP curves were quantified by the root-mean-squared differences (RMSD). The CMC gave

### TABLE 1 | Means (standard deviations, SD) of the crossing speed, toe-obstacle clearance and horizontal distances in the TCC and Control groups when crossing obstacles of heights of 10, 20 and 30% LL difference.

| Obstacle height (%LL) | Crossing speed (m/s) | Leading toe-obstacle clearance (mm) | Trailing toe-obstacle clearance (mm) | Leading heel-obstacle clearance (mm) | Trailing heel-obstacle clearance (mm) |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                       | TCC                  | Control                           | TCC                                | Control                            | TCC                                 |
| 10                    |                      |                                   |                                    |                                    |                                     |
| 0.70 (0.05)           | 0.80 (0.14)          |                                   | 183.3 (23.4)                       | 147.8 (47.8)                       | 0.51 (0.14)                         |
| 0.66 (0.08)           | 0.71 (0.12)          | 192.5 (24.5)                      | 169.0 (32.9)                       | 139.1 (51.9)                       | -15.4 (4.5)                         |
| 0.60 (0.08)           | 0.64 (0.11)          | 200.9 (19.1)                      | 183.9 (42.6)                       | 149.4 (55.3)                       | -24.7 (6.1)                         |
| 0.51 (0.14)           | -15.4 (4.5)          | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        |
| 0.53 (0.16)           | -10.4 (4.5)          | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        |
| 0.55 (0.18)           | -10.4 (4.5)          | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        |
| 0.57 (0.20)           | -10.4 (4.5)          | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        |
| 0.59 (0.22)           | -10.4 (4.5)          | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        |
| 0.61 (0.24)           | -10.4 (4.5)          | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        |
| 0.63 (0.26)           | -10.4 (4.5)          | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        |
| 0.65 (0.28)           | -10.4 (4.5)          | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        |
| 0.67 (0.30)           | -10.4 (4.5)          | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        |
| 0.69 (0.32)           | -10.4 (4.5)          | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        |
| 0.71 (0.34)           | -10.4 (4.5)          | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        |
| 0.73 (0.36)           | -10.4 (4.5)          | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        |
| 0.75 (0.38)           | -10.4 (4.5)          | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        |
| 0.77 (0.40)           | -10.4 (4.5)          | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        |
| 0.79 (0.42)           | -10.4 (4.5)          | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        |
| 0.81 (0.44)           | -10.4 (4.5)          | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        |
| 0.83 (0.46)           | -10.4 (4.5)          | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        |
| 0.85 (0.48)           | -10.4 (4.5)          | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        |
| 0.87 (0.50)           | -10.4 (4.5)          | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        |
| 0.89 (0.52)           | -10.4 (4.5)          | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        | -10.4 (4.5)                        |

No interaction was found between group and obstacle height factors. LL, leg length; $p_0$, p-value for obstacle height effect; $p_0$, p-value for group effect; **, significant difference between groups; †, linearly increasing trend; ‡, linearly decreasing trend.
temporal differences in the phase shift while the RMSD reported differences in the magnitude and changes in the patterns of relative phases (Chiu et al., 2010). A high cross-correlation coefficient with a low RMS difference would indicate that the two curves are similar, both in pattern and magnitude (Haddad et al., 2006). To indicate the variability of each inter-joint coordination relationship, a parameter called deviation phase (DP) was calculated by first calculating the standard deviation of each point on the ensemble curve, and then averaging all the standard deviations over the profile for the whole crossing cycle, and single-limb support (SLS), swing, and double-limb support (DLS) phases (Stergiou et al., 2001b). A low DP value indicates a less variable relationship between the two joints (Stergiou et al., 2001b).

**Statistical Analysis**

The preferred crossing speed, leading and trailing toe-obstacle and heel-obstacle clearances, and the CRP values at the instance when the swing toe was above the obstacle, as well as DP variables were analyzed using a two-way mixed-design analysis of variance (ANOVA) with one between-subject factor (group) and one within-subject factor (obstacle height). If there were no interactions between the factors, the main effects would be reported. A post hoc trend analysis was performed to determine the linear trend if a height effect was found. If a significant group effect on the crossing speed was found, a 2 × 1 one-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with crossing speed as a covariate would be performed to remove the confounding effect of crossing speed. If there were significant interactions between the main factors, pair-wise between-group comparisons were performed using an independent t-test for each obstacle height, and a post hoc trend analysis was performed to determine the linear trend for each group. The significance level was set at α = 0.05 for all tests. All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS (Version 13.0, Chicago, IL).

An a priori power analysis based on pilot results of the DP values of the hip-knee and knee-ankle CRP curves from four subjects for each group using G*POWER (Erdfelder et al., 1996) for a two-way mixed-design ANOVA with one between-subject factor (group) and one within-subject factor (obstacle height) determined that a projected sample size of 10 subjects for each group would be needed with a power of 0.8 and large effect size (Cohen’s d = 0.78) at a significance level of 0.05. A sample size of 15 subjects for each group was selected in the current study (Wang et al., 2009; Chiu et al., 2010).

**RESULTS**

The TCC group showed significantly increased leading toe-obstacle and heel-obstacle clearances and trailing toe-obstacle clearance compared to the Control group (p < 0.05, partial h² > 0.2; Table 1). No significant between-group differences were found in crossing speed, trailing heel-obstacle clearance, and toe-obstacle and heel-obstacle distances (Table 1). With increasing obstacle height, the leading toe-obstacle and heel-obstacle clearances and trailing heel-obstacle clearance were increased linearly with linearly decreased heel-obstacle distances and crossing speed (p < 0.05, partial h² > 0.12; Table 1).

From the ensemble-averaged phase plots of the leading and trailing joints for both groups, the phase trajectories of the hip and knee were found to be in forms of nearly-closed periodical circles, but those for the ankle had a different form of trajectories with varying amplitudes (Figure 2). These trajectories were similar for the hip and knee for all obstacle heights between groups, but between-group differences existed for the ankle joints of both the leading and trailing limbs (Figure 2).

The ensemble-averaged hip-knee CRP curves of the leading and trailing limbs were retained within the range of 0° and 180° for all obstacle heights and for both groups, while those for knee-ankle coordination were within around 0° and 360° (Figures 3, 4). For the leading-limb crossing stride, the hip-knee CRP curves started from 0° at the beginning of the swing phase, increased smoothly to about 180° at the end of the swing phase, and then returned to 0° at the beginning of the stance phase (Figure 3). The
CRP curves for knee-ankle coordination in the leading and trailing limbs remained around 0° (or 360°) during swing phase, and increased from 0° at the beginning of the stance phase to 360° at the end of the stance phase (Figure 3). For the trailing-limb crossing stride, the hip-knee CRP curves started from 0° at the beginning of the swing phase and increased smoothly to about 180° at the end of the swing phase, then returned to 0° at the beginning of the stance phase (Figure 4). The knee-ankle CRP curves of the leading and trailing limbs remained around 0° (or 360°) during swing phase, and increased from 0° at the start of the stance phase to 360° at the end of the stance phase (Figure 4).

No group effect was found for the CRP values of the leading and trailing limbs when the swing toe was above the obstacle (Figures 3, 4, Table 2). For the leading limb, the CMC values of the hip-knee and knee-ankle CRP curves between the TCC and elderly control groups over Swing and SLS phases were all greater than 0.81 (0.81–0.93) for all obstacle-height conditions, but those over the DLS were less than 0.78 (0.39–0.78, Table 3). The between-group RMSD values of the hip-knee CRP curves over DLS ranged from 8.6 to 14.6 in comparison with 3.7–6.5 over Swing and SLS, while the knee-ankle RMSD values greater than 10.0 were found during DLS and SLS.

For the variability of the inter-joint coordination, the TCC group showed significantly smaller DP values of the hip-knee and knee-ankle CRP curves over all the phases of the crossing stride for both limbs when compared to the Control group (p < 0.04, partial h² > 0.21; Table 4), except for the leading hip-knee CRP...
curves showing no significant between-group differences ($p > 0.61$, partial $h^2 < 0.03$; Table 4). During SLS of the trailing limb, the DP values decreased linearly with increasing obstacle height for most CRP curves ($p < 0.05$, partial $h^2 > 0.18$; Table 4), except for the knee-ankle of the trailing limb showing an increasing trend ($p = 0.03$, partial $h^2 = 0.20$; Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

Ageing is often accompanied with impaired proprioception, deteriorated afferent and efferent systems, degraded central processing and a changed musculoskeletal system (Bougie and Morgenthal, 2001), affecting the performance of daily activities including obstacle-crossing (Chen et al., 1991; Hahn and Chou, 2004; Schillings et al., 2005; Lu et al., 2006). However, normal ageing did not seem to affect the lower limb inter-joint coordination patterns during obstacle-crossing as healthy older people were found to have inter-joint coordination patterns similar to those of healthy young controls (Yen et al., 2009). In the current study, the patterns of the joint phase plots indicate that the inter-segment coordination for each limb joint was largely independent of obstacle height during obstacle crossing for both groups. For the leading limb, TCC training altered the patterns and magnitudes of the hip-knee and knee-ankle coordination primarily over DLS, as indicated by the relatively low CMC values and high RMSD values (Figure 3; Table 3). The CRP curves of the knee-ankle coordination in the TCC group appeared to be closer to a more in-phase coordination pattern than for Control (Figure 3). For the trailing limb, TCC training mainly altered the knee-ankle coordination patterns and magnitudes throughout the crossing cycle, being closer to more in-phase coordination during SLS and Swing, but more out-of-phase coordination during DLS (Figure 4). These observed patterns and magnitudes of the inter-joint
The human body can be described as a complex neurocontroller coupled with a non-linear dynamic system (Kelso et al., 1981). Therefore, the variability of the movement trajectories generated by this system is a product of input disturbances, neuromuscular control, biomechanical dynamics (Rosen, 1970), as well as constraints imposed by environmental, organismic and task-related variables (Newell and Corcos, 1993). Healthy older people were found to cross obstacles with increased foot-obstacle clearances compared to young subjects, presumably to reduce the risk of tripping because the greater the foot-obstacle clearance, the less likely the foot hitting the obstacle (Lu et al., 2006). However, they did so with increased variability of lower-limb inter-joint coordination (Yen et al., 2009). Increasing the foot-obstacle clearance would need to lift the swing limb higher, which may increase the muscular work at the joints of the stance limb and thus the mechanical energy expenditure required for maintaining balance. Without changing the inter-joint coordination patterns, these increased mechanical challenges may contribute to the increased variability of the inter-joint coordination observed in the current healthy older adults. On the other hand, the older TCC practitioners were found to cross obstacles with increased foot-obstacle clearances via a less variable lower-limb inter-joint coordination, especially knee-ankle coordination. Given the reported benefits of long-term TCC training on muscle strength, balance, inter-joint coordination and postural control (e.g., (Lan et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2010; Birimoglu Okuyan and Bilgili, 2017)), the current results suggest that the older long-term TCC practitioners had the necessary muscle strength and ability of precision limb position control for the observed changes in the foot-obstacle clearances and inter-joint coordination, which required even less mechanical energy expenditure (Kuo et al., 2021).

**Table 2** | Means (standard deviations, SD) of ensemble-averaged CRP of the hip-knee and knee-ankle coordination at instants when the leading toe and the trailing toe were above the obstacle for the TCC and Control groups.

| Obstacle height (%LL) | Leading hip-knee | Leading knee-ankle | Trailing hip-knee | Trailing knee-ankle |
|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
|                       | **TCC**          | **Control**        | **TCC**           | **Control**         |
| **10**                 | 63.5 (43.2)      | 60.2 (54.5)        | 24.2 (6.9)        | 28.3 (7.9)          |
| **20**                 | 76.1 (72.2)      | 63.2 (53.2)        | 20.7 (6.7)        | 23.0 (7.9)          |
| **30**                 | 92.3 (111.8)     | 106.7 (89.4)       | 12.7 (4.3)        | 14.5 (4.3)          |

**Leading toe above the obstacle**

- **Leading hip-knee**
  - **TCC**: 63.5 (43.2)
  - **Control**: 48.6 (17.7)
- **Leading knee-ankle**
  - **TCC**: -36.0 (38.2)
  - **Control**: -31.2 (50.8)
- **Trailing hip-knee**
  - **TCC**: 24.2 (6.9)
  - **Control**: 28.3 (7.9)
- **Trailing knee-ankle**
  - **TCC**: 161.8 (29.6)
  - **Control**: 157.6 (30.4)

**Leading toe above the obstacle**

- **Leading hip-knee**
  - **TCC**: 38.5 (8.9)
  - **Control**: 44.4 (14.5)
- **Leading knee-ankle**
  - **TCC**: 144.5 (33.8)
  - **Control**: 149.3 (35.5)
- **Trailing hip-knee**
  - **TCC**: 42.7 (5.7)
  - **Control**: 42.9 (11.1)
- **Trailing knee-ankle**
  - **TCC**: 307.5 (39.4)
  - **Control**: 325.5 (26.6)

**Coeficient of multiple correlation (CMC) values and the RMS differences of the CRP curves between TCC and Control groups during obstacle-crossing.**

| Obstacle height (%LL) | Leading limb | Trailing limb |
|-----------------------|--------------|---------------|
| **Hip-knee CMC**      |              |               |
| Swing                 | 0.92         | 0.90          |
| DLS                   | 0.54         | 0.90          |
| SLS                   | 0.90         | 0.85          |

| Knee-ankle CMC        |              |               |
| Swing                 | 0.93         | 0.90          |
| DLS                   | 0.78         | 0.68          |
| SLS                   | 0.92         | 0.81          |

| Hip-knee RMSD         |              |               |
| Swing                 | 6.0          | 6.1           |
| DLS                   | 14.6         | 13.1          |
| SLS                   | 6.5          | 3.7           |

| Knee-ankle RMSD       |              |               |
| Swing                 | 7.6          | 8.2           |
| DLS                   | 13.5         | 13.9          |
| SLS                   | 10.4         | 14.3          |

**Table 3** | Coeficient of multiple correlation (CMC) values and the RMS differences of the CRP curves between TCC and Control groups during obstacle-crossing.

**Legend:**
- **LL**: leg length
- **p**: p-value for obstacle height effect
- **h**: p-value for group effect
- **↑**: significant difference between groups
- **↓**: linearly decreasing trend
- **0.01**: linearly increasing trend
- **0.01**: linearly decreasing trend
- **0.03**: linearly increasing trend
The time-varying relationship between the trailing limb and the obstacle increased the difficulty in the control of the inter-joint coordination of the trailing swing limb owing to the lack of continuous visual feedback regarding the position of the obstacle. The variabilities of the inter-joint coordination of the trailing swing-limb were generally greater than those of the leading swing-limb, without significant differences between the young and old (Wang et al., 2009). The reduced variabilities of the knee-ankle CRP curves in both limbs might also result from better stability of the inter-joint coordination of the stance-limb (Lu et al., 2006). The TCC subjects also showed reduced variabilities of the swing hip-knee coordination during trailing-crossing, a major difference from the healthy controls (Lu et al., 2006). The results of the current study indicate that TCC training helped improve the repeatability of the movement control of the lower extremities, not only for the leading, but also for the trailing swing-limb during obstacle-crossing.

Previous studies showed that long-term practice of TCC helped increase the muscle strength in the lower extremities (Jacobson et al., 1997; Lan et al., 2000; Wu et al., 2002b) and that it can attenuate the general age decline in physical function (Tse and Bailey, 1992; Wolfson et al., 1996; Wolf et al., 1997; Hass et al., 2004). The observed inter-joint coordination strategies of obstacle-crossing in the TCC group, i.e., different patterns and magnitudes of inter-joint coordination during DLS, especially knee-ankle coordination, may be explained by the slow movement patterns emphasizing between-limb transfer of body weight in the TCC training. The results of the current study suggest that TCC practice was helpful for increasing the foot-obstacle clearances for both the leading and trailing limbs, with reduced risk of tripping, while with significantly reduced variability of the way the motions of the lower limb joints are coordinated during obstacle-crossing.

The current study was the first to compare the inter-joint coordination patterns and variability during obstacle-crossing between healthy older adults with long-term TCC experience and those without any TCC experience, identifying the effects of long-term TCC training. A cross-sectional study design was necessary for the current study involving older people who had practised TCC for more than 13 years. Such study design may involve uncertainties related to possible between-group differences at baseline and lack of data pre and post TCC training, preventing us from attributing all the observed between-group differences purely to long-term TCC practice. However, the confounding effects of these uncertainties on the outcome measures after 13 years may be much smaller than those for a relatively short TCC training period. Careful selection of the control group to match with the TCC group for sex, age, and BMI was also helpful for reducing the confounding effects. Moreover, the most plausible interpretations of the current findings were provided through discussions and comparisons with

| Obstacle height (%LL) | Main effects | Partial Eta squared |
|-----------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 10                    | 20           | 30                  |

**TABLE 4** | Means (standard deviations, SD) of the DP values of the CRP curves for the TCC and Control groups.

|                  | TCC          | Control      | p    | Partial Eta squared |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|------|---------------------|
| **Trailing limb SLS** |              |              |      |                     |
| Leading hip-knee | 8.4 (3.8)    | 8.3 (6.4)    | 0.79 | 0.01                |
| Leading knee-ankle | 17.2 (6.3)  | 24.2 (7.7)   | 0.01+| 0.46                |
| Trailing hip-knee | 8.4 (2.9)    | 8.7 (2.5)    | 0.33 | 0.06                |
| Trailing knee-ankle | 12.8 (3.9)  | 18.6 (5.4)   | 0.01+| 0.64                |
| **DLS** |              |              |      |                     |
| Leading hip-knee | 27.6 (11.2)  | 24.8 (8.5)   | 0.61 | 0.03                |
| Leading knee-ankle | 15.2 (5.3)  | 33.3 (15.9)  | 0.74 | 0.03                |
| Trailing hip-knee | 4.2 (0.4)    | 4.6 (2.0)    | 0.04+| 0.28                |
| Trailing knee-ankle | 9.5 (1.1)   | 15.6 (7.1)   | 0.08 | 0.61                |

**LL, leg length; ph, p-value for obstacle height effect; pg, p-value for group effect; +, significant difference between groups; ↑, linearly increasing trend; ↓, linearly decreasing trend.**
previous studies. Nonetheless, further longitudinal studies will be needed to overcome the limitations of a cross-sectional study and determine the effects of the TCC training period on the patterns and variability of the inter-joint coordination during obstacle-crossing in the elderly. On the other hand, the current study was limited to lower limb inter-joint coordination in the sagittal plane. Further investigation may include coordination between the trunk and the lower limb joints in all three planes, especially for pathological conditions that involve greater motions out of the sagittal plane. Further study may be needed to test whether TCC training would be helpful for older people with a higher risk of falling, such as those with underlying diseases that may compromise their balance control during gait.

CONCLUSION

Older people with long-term TCC experience were found to exhibit specific inter-joint coordination patterns with increased foot-obstacle clearances for safer and more stable obstacle-crossing. For the leading limb, TCC training altered the patterns and magnitudes of the hip-knee and knee-ankle coordination primarily over DLS and limb, TCC training altered the patterns and magnitudes of the hip-clearances for safer and more stable obstacle-crossing. For the leading
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