Detection of Parkinson’s Disease through Acoustic Parameters
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Abstract—Parkinson’s disease is a neurological disorder. It is the second most common disease after Alzheimer’s. Incidence rates for this disease are increasing rapidly with increasing life expectancy. The search for measures to diagnose the disease and monitor symptoms is an important step, despite the fact that it presents a number of challenges. Among the symptoms related to this disease is the disturbances of the voice which particularly occur in a remarkable way called hypokinetic dysarthria which is presented by the poverty of the gesture in all the characteristics of the speech (phonatory, prosodic, articulatory and rhythm). Our goal is to do a study based on voice analysis at the level of the glottis to examine some early parameters measured using the LF model and clinical manifestations to help diagnosis of the disease.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The work presented in this paper focuses on variations and analysis of the parameters of the glottal source in Parkinson’s speech. This research can be relevant for different speech signal domains; it announces the characteristics of the speech source of normal speakers and those with Parkinson’s disease (PD). It is a chronic neurodegenerative disease with a variety of motor and non-motor symptoms. The second most commonly diagnosed after Alzheimer’s disease [4]. It results in the slow and progressive death of a set of neurons of the human brain that have an important role in the control of movement [1]. It is a loss of muscular control and cognitive impairments, known by the trembling of the body and the voice called dysarthria [2]. Age is the simplest factor in this disease, so that the prevalence rate is 0.5% to 1% for the age of 65 to 69 years and 3% for the oldest 80 years [5], [3]. It is therefore preferable to detect it in advance before it is well developed. Although there are other symptoms of this disease, no final biomarker exists or specific measures for diagnosis [10]. The latest research findings indicate that approximately 70-90% of patients with this disease have a form of vocal disability [6] and this index may be one of the best symptoms of this disease. The idea of studying the speech signal and its tremors that either occur in instantaneous frequencies or in a variation in amplitudes is a good index for the diagnosis and monitoring of the progression of this disease. Ideally, such a measure would be non-invasive and could be acquired outside the clinical setting without the need for expert assistance. In this context, several studies have been carried out on acoustic measurements based on jitter and Shimmer and NHR ratios, fundamental frequency [7], intensity and formants [8] or joint model [9]. In our work, we are interested in measuring the spectrum of the glottal source, estimated from the speech signal to identify parameters that behave differently in Parkinson’s and Healthy speech. This study was motivated by all the research that used glottal behavior and characteristics [10]-[13].

The organization of this document and as follows. In the first section, we present the glottal model also the voice data used in the analysis of the sources. The next section describes the experience and the results obtained and finally a conclusion.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Acoustic Modeling of Speech Production

The acoustic theory of speech production presented by [15] is based on the analysis of glottal sources. It is a theory that allows the functional separation of speech production in two parts (source and filter) to improve the understanding of this phenomenon. The filter is assumed linear time invariant (LTI), which means that each short-term speech segment contains constant parameters without any interaction with the glottal source. These hypotheses are clearly simplifications, since the exact physical description of the generation and propagation of sound in the vocal organs leads to a complex set of differential equations [16] in order to facilitate and separate the components of the model and understand their functioning. The acoustic speech signal S (n) is the result of the convolution of the waveform of the glottal source g (n), which presents the volume of the airflow exiting the lung to the vocal cords with an impulse response of the filter leaving the voice path representing the frequencies of resonant formants and the radiation of the lip r (n).

\[ S(n) = g(n).v(n).r(n) \] (1)

In the z domain, the model can be defined as:

\[ S(Z) = G(Z).V(Z).R(Z) \] (2)

Where R(Z) represents the discrete time radiation impedance and V(Z) the discrete time speech signal of the volume velocity at the glottis and E(Z) the discrete signal enters the time domain. Any loss in the system occurs by radiation on the lips through which has a high-pass filtering effect modeled with a single Zero such that \( R(Z) = 1-Z^{-1} \).

1) Glottal source: The production of the speech signal requires the use of several organs, a flow of air coming out of the lungs passes through the larynx where the vibration of the
area is produced by the voice piles. The opening between these two stacks is called the Glottis slit or glottis [13], see Fig. 1.

The air pressure exerted on the cells is sufficiently high to separate them and allows the flow of air to flow so that the glottis is released to close. Several factors contribute to movement. The first is the nature of the elastic tissue which forces the vocal folds to return to its initial position, the second is described by the Bernoulli effect [18] (the retro-expiration effect of the cordial mucosa) and the third by the action of the Decreased Pressure [14].

This cycle is repeated several times periodically, its duration is called the fundamental period noted T0 and its rhythm determines the fundamental frequency of the voice (number of vibrations per second denoted F0 expressed in Hz).

The flow waveform is the result of vibration of the vocal chords from an open position and a closed position that form the two most important phases of the glottal flow impulse during each cycle.

Several models exist to determine the characteristics of the glottal flow. We chose to use the Liljencrants fant (LF) model [19] in this document because it gives a good fit in the waveform.

This model can be presented with parameters such as tp, te and ta (see Fig. 2). It is composed in two parts opening and closing and calculated as follows:

\[
E(t) = \begin{cases} 
E_0 e^{\alpha t} \sin \omega t & 0 \leq t \leq t_e \\
-\frac{E_0}{\alpha} \{ e^{-\alpha t} - e^{-\alpha (t-t_e)} \} & t_e < t \leq t_c
\end{cases}
\]

(3)

Where \( t_a \) is related to the return phase of the model and \( t_p \) is the positive peak of the flux also defined by the zero point before the derivative of the flux and \( \alpha, \epsilon \) the parameters controlling the shape of the model [20].

The pulse form of this model can also be presented with other parameters.

- The R parameters

In the section, a set of parameters was determined and are expressed in quotients for to describe the shape of the glottal source signal \( E(t) \) and characterize the shape of the pulse of the model LF.

The Rk parameter is defined by (4), it is the measure of the asymmetry in the cycle, \( R_g \) represents the normalizer of the fundamental frequency presented in (5) and \( R_d \) in (6) to capture all the variation of the model LF It is related to the fundamental frequency and the other two parameters \( R_g \) and \( R_k \).

\[
R_k = \frac{t_e - t_p}{t_p} \quad (4)
\]

\[
R_g = \frac{T}{2\pi p} \quad (5)
\]

\[
R_d = 1000 \left( \frac{\Delta f_0}{E_0} \right) \quad (6)
\]

The interval between the beginning of the glottal impulse and the instant where \( t_p \) reaches the maximum is called the opening phase, see (2). At this moment, the vocal folds begin to close and the amplitude of the flow begins to decrease until the sudden closure of the globe. The time that corresponds to the duration of passage of the area flux through the globe when the folds are open is known by the open phase is measured by the following formula \( t_e - T_e \). With \( T_e \) the point where the tangent to the exponential in \( t = t_e \), it also serves to determine the phase of transition between the open phase and the closed phase known by the return phase is calculated by the following formula [21]:

\[
T_a = t_a - t_e \quad (7)
\]

Finally, the last phase of the cycle when the folds are completely closed at time \( t_c \). The model can also be presented by other parameters, which are correlated with the quality of the voice and its spectral properties as in [22].
• The parameters NAQ and QOQ

Several temporal characteristics can be determined as a function of time derived from the glottal form [23], using the model LF and its parameters such as the open quotient Qq, the asymmetry coefficient om and the speed quotientSq. These moments are always difficult to detect correctly. To solve this problem a new parameter has been proposed by Hacki [24] describing the open time of the glottis named quasi-open quotient (QOQ). It is defined by the ratio between the time of opening and closing of the glottis and corresponds to the period during which the flow of the glottis is greater than 50% of the difference of two maximum and minimum flows. Laukkonen [25] has to experience that this parameter can be used to study the variation of the glottal source in case of stress and emotion as well as Airas and alku which have announce that this quotient and the best parameter to test the degrees of reflection of the changes of phonation [26].

The temporal characteristics of the glottal source can also be presented by measuring the amplitude of the glottis and its derivative:

\[
NAQ = \frac{f_{ac}}{d_{peak,T0}}
\]

where \(f_{ac}\) is the amplitude of flow and \(d_{peak}\) the negative peak amplitude (see Fig. 3).

![Fig. 3. Parameters needed for NAQ and QOQ measurement.](image)

**III. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS**

The aim of this article is to determine whether the parameters of the glottal signal have remarkable characteristics between the two databases PD and HC. To evaluate this, we used Matlab to measure its various parameters and anova for statistical measurements.

A. Database

In this study we used, recording of 36 native Czech speakers, the PD age group 64.21 ± 9.46 SD (extreme 41–82). Before the experience each patient did a neurological examination to rank them according to the scale of which varies from 1 to 5 according to the degree of unilateral motor disorder as well as the classification scale (UPDRS III) also The H&Y score was 2.1 ± 0.4(1–3). The healthy control group (HC) with a mean age of 64.21 ± 9.22 (42–80) years. None of the HC group participants had a history of neurological disorders or speech. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the General University Hospital in Prague, Czech Republic, and all participants provided informed and written consent.

The description of some records used in this document is displayed in the following Table I:

| Participant code | Gender | Age (years) | Age of disease onset (years) | Duration of disease from first symptoms (years) | UPDRS III total | UPDRS III Parkinson’s Speech |
|------------------|--------|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| PN301            | M      | 74          | 64                          | 10                                            | 22             | 2                           |
| PN302            | M      | 77          | 70                          | 7                                             | 14             | 1                           |
| PN304            | M      | 76          | 70                          | 6                                             | 23             | 1                           |
| PN307            | M      | 66          | 61                          | 5                                             | -              | -                           |
| PN314            | F      | 62          | 57                          | 5                                             | 32             | 0                           |
| PN316            | F      | 61          | 57                          | 4                                             | 38             | 2                           |
| PN401            | M      | 56          | 55                          | 1                                             | 8              | 0                           |
| PN403            | M      | 68          | 59                          | 9                                             | 12             | 0                           |
| PN405            | M      | 76          | 65                          | 11                                            | 27             | 2                           |
| PN407            | M      | 55          | 44                          | 11                                            | 16             | 0                           |
| PN409            | M      | 79          | 70                          | 9                                             | 9              | 0                           |

**B. Recording**

The recordings of the speakers are recorded in a quiet room with a professional microphone (Beyer-Dynamics Opus 55, Heilbronn, Germany) placed 5 cm from the mouth of each patient. All of his recordings were digitized at a sampling rate of 48 KHz with 16-bit quantization. All speakers pronounced the vowel a under the control of a speech specialist without any time limit was imposed during the recording.

**IV. RESULTS**

Glottic pulse parameters related to time and frequency provides quantitative information for the examiner about their importance in biomedical applications. To prove it, we used both types of recording of PD and HC speakers, regardless of age or gender. The results for each glottal parameter are shown in the following Table II. This table presents the mean value and standard deviation calculated for all patients in two PD and HC groups. A remarkable difference can be observed in the different parameters.

The analysis of group differences between PD and controls performed using a rank sum of Wilcoxon. It is a non-parametric statistical test that uses the data distribution assumption the same with the Spearman correlation reliability test that is used if the static correlation variables do not have affine relationships, see Table III.
These parameters were also tested following the clinical manifestations with a significant correlation between the NAQ parameter and the UPDRS discourse 18 (Z = 0.36, p <0.04) (Table IV).

Another presented part of our paper is the analysis of glottal source local relations and the clinical manifestations described by the UPDRS speech scale. Our results show that the NAQ parameter confirms the intelligibility of the manifestation.

There is a limit in this analysis, in particular that our results are based on a relatively small number of PD patients who are heterogeneous in terms of age, duration of illness and gender of the patient.
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