Application of a cervical low incision in the functional neck dissection of thyroid papillary carcinoma
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Abstract. The present study aimed to discuss the advantage of the application of a cervical low incision for functional neck dissection in patients with thyroid papillary carcinoma. The study was a retrospective analysis of 87 thyroid papillary carcinoma patients; cervical low incision in the functional neck dissection was applied for 47 cases and the classic ‘L’ incision was applied for 40 cases. The different integrity, surgical time, blood loss and the aesthetic property of the incision were compared between the cervical low incision and the classic ‘L’ incision for lateral neck dissection of thyroid cancer. The postoperative pathological diagnosis was that the average total amount and the region II lymph nodes of the unilateral neck dissection were 33 and 10 for the cervical low incision group, and 32 and 11 for the classic ‘L’ incision group, respectively (P>0.05). The average unilateral neck dissection times were 87 and 58 min for the cervical low incision group and the classic ‘L’ incision group, respectively (P<0.05). The blood loss of the cervical low incision group was 67 ml, while the loss for the classic ‘L’ incision group was 61 ml (P>0.05). The postoperative incision of the cervical low incision group was smaller and more concealing. Additionally, the cosmetic deformities were milder for an inconspicuous cervical scar, and the sensation was improved for the patients in comparison with the classic ‘L’ incision group. These results suggest that the application of cervical low incision for functional neck dissection in thyroid papillary carcinoma patients aids in reducing postoperative complications, without increasing recurrence rates. Therefore, the classic ‘L’ incision can be replaced by the cervical low incision.

Introduction

Thyroid papillary carcinoma is a type of malignant cancer that has a low malignancy tendency and a long survival time. Although the rate of metastasis of the cervical lymph node is high, 40-70% (1), the therapeutic effect is good. Currently, the general treatment for cervical lymph node metastasis of the papillary thyroid cancer is neck lymph node dissection (2,3). Although there is significant controversy regarding the application of the technique, it still has a crucial role in the surgical therapy of thyroid cancer and the main type is the ‘L’ incision (4). For good exposure and convenience of surgery, ‘L’ incision is used in the majority of surgeons’ cases. However, a scar is evident on vertical incision. Such scar tissue following surgery affects the appearance of the patients. As removing a section of the supraclavicular cutaneous nerve would cause postoperative sensory loss to the neck and ear lobe area (5), and consequently reduce the patients’ quality of life, the low neck incision and ‘eight reserved’ neck dissection (vena jugularis interna, vena jugularis externa, sternocleidomastoid, accessory nerve, superclavicular nerve, transverse cervical artery, musculus omohyoideus and auricular nerve were reserved) were performed to reduce the side effects of the neck dissection to an optimal level.

Materials and methods

General materials. The surgical and pathological prospective protocols of a series of patients with a histological diagnosis of papillary thyroid cancer between July 2004 and September 2006 were reviewed. Patients were excluded from the study if they: i) Had a history of previous thyroid surgery or ii) were referred for completion thyroidectomy or for recurrent cancer (local or nodal metastases). This third criterion was operated in order to avoid attributing to the thyroid procedure, the sequelae of the parathyroid surgery. Based on these criteria, the study population comprised 87 patients. These 87 papillary thyroid cancer patients were treated in Zhejiang Cancer Hospital (Zhejiang, China). The patients include 21 males and 66 females. The male to female ratio was 1:3.14. The age range of the patients was from 12 to 67 years old, and the median was 35 years old. The patients had been confirmed to exhibit papillary thyroid cancer by intra-operative frozen pathology or were diagnosed postoperatively as papillary cancer.

Correspondence to: Dr Zhuo Tan, Department of Head and Neck Surgery, Zhejiang Cancer Hospital, 38 Guangji Road, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310022, P.R. China
E-mail: 03kpyxxj@163.com

*Contributed equally

Key words: classic ‘L’ incision, cervical low incision, neck dissection, thyroid papillary carcinoma, eight reserved
Inclusion. While performing cervical low incision (supraceravical arc incision), eight functional tissues were conserved for the patients. These were the vena jugularis interna, vena jugularis externa, sternocleidomastoid, accessory nerve, supercavitular nerve, transverse cervical artery, musculus omohyoides and auricular nerve. However, the classic ‘L’ incision only reserves the sternocleidomastoid, vena jugularis interna and accessory nerve.

Surgical procedures. While performing cervical low incision, a supravcavical arc incision on the lesion side was first executed (usually 2 cm above the collarbone) (Fig. 1). The posterior edge of this incision reached the anterior edge of the trapezius muscle so that the incision could extend to provide a good exposure subsequent to the creation of the flap. For the patients with a long neck, sometimes the incision is lengthened a little backwards. Subsequently, the sternocleidomastoid, vena jugularis interna and vena jugularis externa were dissociated to protect the auricular nerve, and the lymph nodes along the vena jugularis interna were dissected (Fig. 2). Following this, the neck dissection was performed and the nerve at cervical levels II and III was dissociated. Finally, all branches of the cutaneous nerve were dissociated and preserved from the top to bottom. The range of neck dissection was levels II-VI. The commonly employed classic ‘L’ incision for thyroid cancer is a continuation of a Kocher incision along the posterior border of the sternocleidomastoid muscle superiorly to approximately 1 inch below the ipsilateral ear lobe (4). These procedures were performed as described previously (4). The range of conventional neck dissection was II-VI level lymph nodes and provided good exposure.

Follow-up. All the patients were followed up to 31-60 months, with an average of 41 months. The tumor extent was defined according to the 2002 AJCC staging of thyroid papillary carcinoma, 22 cases were T1N1M0. A total of 60 cases were T1N1M5, and 5 were T1N1M6. In the cervical low incision group, 9 patients (19.1%) were at T1N1M6 stage, 35 (74.5%) were T1N1M5, and 3 (6.4%) were T1N1M0. In the classic ‘L’ incision group, 13 patients (32.5%) were at T1N1M6 stage, 25 (62.5%) were T1N1M5, and 2 (5.0%) were T1N1M0 (Table I). Among the 87 patients, 4 exhibited bilateral papillary thyroid carcinoma (implemented bilateral neck dissection) and 83 had unilateral papillary thyroid cancer. Bilateral dissection was performed in 2 cases (4.3%) of the cervical low incision group and in 2 cases (5%) of the classic ‘L’ incision group. Additionally, unilateral dissection was performed in 45 cases (95.7%) of the cervical low incision group and in 38 cases (95.0%) of the classic ‘L’ incision group (Table II).

Lateral neck dissection time. The surgical time ranged from 50-190 min in the cervical low incision group and 30-75 min in the classic ‘L’ incision group, with an average of 87 and 58 min, respectively (P<0.05) (Fig. 3A and B). The dissection time of the cervical low incision group was longer than that of the classic ‘L’ incision group.

Lymph node number during neck dissection. For the cervical low incision group there were 16 to 49 lymph nodes on each side and 18 to 50 lymph nodes for the classic ‘L’ incision group. For the former, the average total amount and the region II

### Table I. Patient demographics.

| Demographics | Total no. of cases (n=87) | Cervical low incision (n=47) | Classic ‘L’ incision (n=40) |
|--------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Male, n (%)  | 21 (24.1)                | 11 (23.4)                   | 10 (25.0)                  |
| Female, n (%)| 66 (75.9)                | 36 (76.6)                   | 30 (75.0)                  |
| Mean age, years (range) | 35 (12-67) | 36 (12-67) | 33 (12-65) |
| T1N1M0, n (%)| 22 (25.3)                | 9 (19.1)                    | 13 (32.5)                  |
| T1N1M5, n (%)| 60 (69.0)                | 35 (74.5)                   | 25 (62.5)                  |
| T1N1M6, n (%)| 5 (5.7)                  | 3 (6.4)                     | 2 (5.0)                    |

### Table II. Types of surgery.

| Surgery               | Total no. of cases (%) | Cervical low incision | Classic ‘L’ incision |
|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Total thyroidectomy   | 87                     | 47                    | 40                   |
| Unilateral dissection | 83 (95.4)              | 45 (95.7)             | 38 (95.0)            |
| Bilateral dissection  | 4 (4.6)                | 2 (4.3)               | 2 (5.0)              |
lymph nodes of the unilateral neck dissection were 33 and 10, and for the latter they were 35 and 11, respectively (P>0.05) (Fig. 4A and B). In total, cervical lymph node metastasis was pathologically confirmed in 35 patients (37 sides) of the cervical low incision group and 30 (32 sides) in the classic ‘L’ incision group. On average, 4.8 and 4.2 metastasis nodes were identified on each side for the two groups, respectively (Table III).

**Blood loss during neck dissection.** Blood loss in the cervical low incision group was 50-100 ml on each side with an average of 67 ml, while for the classic ‘L’ incision group it was 40-80 ml with an average of 61 ml (P>0.05) (Fig. 5A and B).

**Complication ratings.** No fatalities were recorded. Two patients with cervical low incision had postoperative lymphatic fistula. However, following pressure dressing, fasting and other treatments, this complication was healed. However, incision separations were identified in 3 patients with the classic ‘L’ incision (Fig. 6).

One week after the surgery, all the patients were tested for their dermal sensations of the surgical region by acupuncture. Their dermal sensation function was staged. Among the 49 sides (47 cases in the cervical low incision group), 46 sides exhibited good dermal sensation, and the other 3 sides had no sensation at all. Among all the patients with cervical low incision, the surgical sides of 45 patients who received unilateral neck dissection were tested for dermal sensation with respect to their contralateral normal skin. Among these 45 cases, 21 patients reported that the bilateral symmetry of cervical dermal sensations was similar, while the postoperative sides of 22 patients were slightly lower compared to the normal side, however, pains and tactual sensation were reported. The remaining 2 patients had no feeling on the postoperative sides. One patient in these 2 cases who underwent the bilateral
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Table III. Cervical lymph node metastasis.

| Variables                                | Total            | Cervical low incision | Classic ‘L’ incision |
|------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Cases, n                                 | 87               | 47                    | 40                   |
| Dissection sides, n                      | 91               | 49                    | 42                   |
| Cases with lymph node metastasis, n (%)  | 65 (74.7)        | 35 (74.5)             | 30 (75.0)            |
| Dissection sides with lymph node metastasis, n (%) | 69 (75.8)        | 37 (75.5)             | 32 (76.2)            |
| Metastasis node on each side, n          | 4.8              | 4.2                   | 0.6                  |

Table IV. Complication ratings.

| Variables                | Cervical low incision | Classic ‘L’ incision |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
|                          | Total no. (%)         | Unilateral           | Bilateral |
|                          |                       |                       |           |
| Neck dissection cases, n | 47                    | 45                    | 2         |
| Good dermal sensation, n (%) | 22 (46.8)        | 21 (44.7)             | 1 (2.1)   |
| Slightly weak sensation, n (%) | 22 (46.8)        | 22 (46.8)             | 0         |
| No dermal sensation, n (%) | 3 (6.4)         | 2 (4.3)               | 1 (2.1)   |
| Persistent neck pain, n (%) | 4 (8.5)         | 4 (8.5)               | 0         |
| Postoperative recurrence, n (%) | 2 (4.3)         | 1 (2.1)               | 1 (2.1)   |

Postoperative satisfaction ratings. The neck scars of 43 patients (out of 47) in the cervical low incision group were not evident, as there was only a thin line on the lower neck (Fig. 7A). The other 4 patients had visible red scars, which were significant granulation tissues. However, the scars were located in the lower regions so that they could be covered (Fig. 7B). As the scars were lower than usual and could be covered by a collar, 25 patients reported satisfaction with this surgery. A total of 19 patients were primarily satisfied and only 4 were satisfied (Table V).

Discussion

The techniques of neck dissections have evolved from the radical neck dissection to present modified neck dissection,
which reserves the sternocleidomastoid, vena jugularis interna and accessory nerve. The conventional ‘L’-shaped incision has clear scars, particularly for the vertical section, which caused patient dissatisfaction regarding their appearance. The focus is currently on improving the patient quality of life. The modified neck dissection also has disadvantages. It requires the removal of the superclavicular and auricular nerves, and causes an inevitable result of loss of feeling below the ear lobe, including numbness, causing issues with patients, particularly for certain young patients. As thyroid papillary carcinoma has a favorable prognosis, maximizing the life quality of patients is important. Therefore, the low neck incision was applied to complete the neck dissection. Furthermore, the supracer- vical epithelial nerve, jugular vein and auricular nerve were conserved to reduce the impact of surgery on patients. This technique received satisfactory feedback.

Due to its good exposure, the conventional ‘L’-shaped incision is accepted by the majority of surgeons. However, in the postoperative follow-up, due to the evident vertical section of the scar tissue, numerous patients expressed dissatisfaction. Therefore, the incision was first modified to be parallel to the neck dermatoglyph and ≥2 cm above the clavicle, making it a transverse incision that can cause a satisfactory appearance (Fig. 9A and B). In the follow-up of the 47 patients in the cervical low incision group, only 4 had an incision of granulation tissue hyperplasia with evident scars. The scars of the remaining 43 cases were inconspicuous. All these patients could cover their neck scars by their clothes (Fig. 7B).

According to the relevant literature, Uchino et al (6) termed this an extended collar incision, Shah (7) defined it as the single transverse incision, Xi et al (8) termed it the long low collar incision and Zhang et al (9) termed it extending collar incision. These studies all agree that the incision scars are not evident and have less impact on the appearance.

With regards to the cleaning range, the range of conventional neck dissection was level II-VI lymph nodes and provided

| Variables                  | Cervical low incision, n (%) | Classic ‘L’ incision, n (%) |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| (n=47)                     | (n=40)                       |
| Evident neck scars         | 4 (8.5)                      | 40 (100.0)                 |
| No evident neck scars      | 43 (91.5)                    | 0 (0.0)                    |
| Satisfied                  | 25 (53.2)                    | 4 (10.0)                   |
| Basically satisfied        | 19 (40.4)                    | 9 (22.5)                   |
| Dissatisfied               | 3 (6.4)                      | 27 (67.5)                  |

Table V. Postoperative satisfaction ratings.

Figure 6. Incision separations are evident in the patients with the classic ‘L’ incision.

Figure 7. Neck appearance of patients following cervical low incision. (A) 3-year follow-up after surgery. (B) Scar was covered by a collar.

Figure 8. Neck scars of patients following the classic ‘L’ incision at the 3-year follow-up after surgery. (A) ‘L’ thin scar and (B) evident red scar.

Figure 9. Inconspicuous thin neck scar of patients with cervical low incision at the 2.5-year follow-up after surgery. (A) Thin scar and (B) inconspicuous scar.

Figure 10. Good exposure of cervical low incision. 1., Digastric muscle; 2., accessory nerve; 3., vena jugularis externa; 4., sternocleidomastoid; 5., vena jugularis interna.
good exposure. At the beginning of the study, cervical low incision was not thought to provide as good an exposure to levels II and III as the conventional dissection. Therefore, it may obscure a thorough lymph dissection. However, in the present surgery, levels III and IV were well exposed. The exposure of level II was slightly worse compared to the conventional incision. However, once a full free flap was separated, an extremely good exposure to level II, as well as to level IIb, lymph nodes was obtained (Fig. 10). Therefore, the scope of the neck dissection was the same as the conventional neck dissection. When a patient required level I dissection, the surgery was more difficult due to the cervical low incision only. Therefore, in these types of cases, an auxiliary transverse neck incision (or MACFEE incision) is required to provide enough exposure. However, in the majority of papillary thyroid cancer cases, no exposure of level I is required, and the low incision can still replace the conventional neck dissection. Those patients, who have large cervical lymph nodes and dense adhesions following radiotherapy, may require conventional incision.

The patients who received routine lymph dissection always reported neck numbness or loss of the ability to feel pain around the ear lobe. Certain patients cannot sense when the skin of their neck is burned in that area. The loss of sensory function is due to the removal of the supracervical epithelial nerve and auricular nerve. For this reason, the neck dissection was modified by preserving the supracervical epithelial nerve and auricular nerve in addition to the conventional methods, which only reserves the sternocleidomastoid, vena jugularis interna and accessory nerve. This modification was successful (Fig. 2). Among the 49 sides (47 patients), 46 sides of the neck felt tactile pain. The preservation of sensory function was good. Postoperative sensory function of the neck was satisfactory. In theory, if the supracervical epithelial nerve and auricular nerve are retained, the patient should have a sensory function on the neck. However, there remain three sides of that neck that do not feel tactile pain, and 4 patients had severe neck pain. This may be due to nerve degeneration caused by the electric knife during skin flap separation. Therefore, how to preserve the nerve as well as its function requires further research. As for the surgery, based on the present experience, the neck has only to be exposed to two to three nerve roots to dissect level II and III lymph nodes from top to bottom and from the nerve cord to supracervical epithelial nerve branches. This feature makes the surgery less time consuming and more convenient.

Certain doctors are concerned that preserving so many normal structures may affect the outcome of neck dissection. However, the present results show that only 2 patients had cervical lymph node recurrence, which was only 4% of the sample. Those recurrences of lymph nodes were located in the lower neck, but not the poor exposure region (level II lymph nodes) of low incision. Therefore, we believe that good skill and full free skin flap can provide a good exposure, as well as the ‘L’-shaped incision. Therefore, the effect of the cervical low incision neck dissection for ‘eight reserved’ (vena jugularis interna, vena jugularis externa, sternocleidomastoid, accessory nerve, superficial cervical nerve, transverse cervical artery, musculus omohyoideus and auricular nerve) is not an issue. However, the long-term effect requires further follow-ups.

The reservation of vena jugularis externa can significantly reduce facial edema, while the omohyoid muscle is believed to have limited function. We believe that at the initial stage of treatment, these two can be sacrificed.

Due to poor exposure and fine dissection to retain a variety of normal tissues, ‘eight reserved’ cervical low incision neck dissection requires more surgical time compared to the conventional modified neck dissection.

Among the 47 cases in the cervical low incision group analyzed, only 2 had postoperative lymphatic fistula. No other complications were reported, indicating that the low incision neck dissection and ‘eight reserved’ did not increase the complications and were safe for patients. However, as low incision requires an upward pull flap to expose the surgical field, the surgery may cause tissue edema. However, it can be recovered quickly.

In conclusion, as living standards are improving, patients expect doctors to guarantee their qualities of life following surgery. The cervical low incision neck dissection for ‘eight reserved’ has only a hidden incision compared to the conventional method. Additionally, the scar tissue is not evident and the neck preserves sensation. These advantages meet the expectations of the patient. By contrast, it does not increase the suffering of patients and postoperative complications, since it conforms to eliminate the cervical lymph node and spare normal tissue requirements. Therefore, in the treatment of thyroid papillary carcinoma, low incision neck dissection for ‘eight reserved’ may replace the conventional modified neck dissection.
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