Cryptogenic organizing pneumonia (COP) is a disease entity and a type of idiopathic interstitial pneumonia (IIP). COP was previously designated as bronchiolitis obliterans organizing pneumonia (BOOP), but the BOOP nomenclature has since been discarded to avoid a misleading association with airway disease. The differential diagnosis of COP from other etiologies is confirmed by identifying the organizing pneumonia pattern on lung biopsy.

1. History

COP was first described in the 1980s by Davison et al. and Epler et al. as COP and BOOP, respectively. They described an illness similar to the common cold, but which (1) subacutely progressed for 4–10 weeks, (2) histologically showed intra-alveolar and alveolar duct consolidation, (3) responded fairly well to glucocorticoid monotherapy, and (4) frequently recurred. In 1997, Muller and Coiby classified idiopathic BOOP as an independent disease among IIPs according to chest high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) and pathologic findings. In 2002, the American Thoracic Society (ATS) and European Respiratory Society (ERS) categorized COP as a form of IIP different from idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Specifically, the ATS/ERS classification system recommended using the term COP, as BOOP could be erroneously misclassified as an airway disease and may not histologically present with bronchiolitis obliterans. The revised 2013 ATS/ERS classification used the term COP instead of BOOP and defined the disease as having an acute or subacute course. COP and BOOP have been used simultaneously for some time, and some studies employed the term “idiopathic BOOP” to differentiate illnesses of unknown etiology from other illnesses with secondary causes.
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2. Epidemiology

To the best of our knowledge, there have been no studies on the prevalence or incidence of COP. However, several retrospective cohort studies have estimated a COP incidence of 1.1 cases per 100,000 persons. The prevalence was estimated at 3% among patients with interstitial lung disease (ILD), including those with connective tissue disease-related ILD and idiopathic ILD. According to a 2008 national study by the Korean Academy of Tuberculosis and Respiratory Diseases, COP was detected in 8.5% of 2,186 IIP subjects and was the third most common disease among them. COP usually occurs in the sixth and seventh decades of life. COP appears to have no distinct predilection according to sex. Although there have been some discrepancies among previous reports, nonsmokers were more common among COP patients than current smokers.

Clinical Manifestation and Diagnosis

1. Clinical manifestation

Previous studies have shown that the symptoms of COP lasted for less than three months on average, and followed an acute or subacute course. COP patients typically present with cough, dyspnea, and fever, and crackles are commonly heard on auscultation, whereas wheezing lung sounds are rarely noted. On pulmonary function tests, restrictive ventilatory defects and a decrease in the carbon monoxide diffusing capacity (DLco) are typically observed. However, the manifestations of organizing pneumonia can be seen in several conditions, such as infectious lung disease, inflammatory bowel disease, connective tissue disease, myeloproliferative disorder, graft-versus-host disease, drug reactions, or after undergoing radiation therapy. Of note, about half of the IIP patients were initially diagnosed with infectious lung disease and unsuccessfully treated with antibiotics; they were not correctly diagnosed until a lung biopsy was obtained. Patients with infectious lung disease often present with similar clinical manifestations, such as cough, dyspnea, fever, general weakness, and chest computed tomography showing parenchymal consolidation, which obscures the differential diagnosis. One study reported that various bacteria, such as Streptococcus pneumoniae, Mycoplasma pneumoniae, Pseudomonas, Legionella, Pneumocystis jiroveci, and Nocardia, can cause organizing pneumonia. Additionally, hypersensitivity pneumonitis, IIPs, and chronic eosinophilic pneumonitis are often accompanied by COP, requiring a thorough diagnostic evaluation. Therefore, when COP is suspected, careful history-taking is needed to differentially evaluate infectious, drug-induced, or any other etiologies. Furthermore, a multidisciplinary approach involving a pulmonologist, radiologist, and pathologist is necessary. A comprehensive differential diagnosis is shown in Table 1.

2. Pulmonary function test

A restrictive ventilator defect is common in COP and the forced vital capacity is decreased mildly or moderately from 60% to 70%. However, an obstructive component (with a restrictive pattern) can also accompany the common symptoms or can occur in isolation in 10–30% of the patients. A DLco decrease of 50%–70% is occasionally observed.

3. Radiologic findings

On chest HRCT, consolidation along the subpleural area and bronchovascular bundle was the most common finding and was noted in nearly half of the cases, mostly bilaterally, and usually invaded the lower portion of the lungs. Ground-glass opacity (GGO) is also frequently seen with an irregular distribution and concomitant consolidation, but sometimes is the sole feature. Alternatively, perilobular opacity and a reversed halo sign (where the central GGO is surrounded by consolidation) were noted in 57% and 20% of patients, respectively. Consolidation can appear as a unifocal or cavitary shape and rarely, as a large nodule or mass. Honey-combing or reticular lesions are rare. Some pulmonary lesions can migrate or even resolve spontaneously during follow-up. One retrospective study from Korea showed consolidation and GGO as the most common findings, mostly involving the lower lungs (Figure 1).

4. Bronchoscopy

Bronchoalveolar lavage fluid analysis showed that the lymphocytic fraction increased by more than 25% in most cases, and some subjects showed a decrease in the CD4/CD8 ratio.

Table 1. Differential diagnosis of cryptogenic organizing pneumonia

| Diagnosis                          |
|------------------------------------|
| Infectious diseases                |
| Drug reaction                      |
| Hypersensitivity pneumonitis       |
| Inflammatory bowel disease         |
| Aspiration pneumonia               |
| Radiation pneumonitis              |
| Chronic eosinophilic pneumonitis   |
| Hematologic malignancy             |
| Organ transplantation (hematopoietic cells, liver, lung) |
| Other idiopathic interstitial pneumonias |
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but these findings were nonspecific and played an auxiliary role in differential diagnosis. Specifically, an elevated neutrophilic fraction requires discrimination from organizing pneumonia with an infectious etiology.

5. Lung biopsy

Lung biopsy is essential for confirmative diagnosis. Granulation tissue with Masson’s bodies noted in the alveoli, alveolar duct, and some bronchioles are typical findings (Figure 2). In some cases, polyps are also present in the bronchiolar lumina. These characteristics indicate organizing pneumonia a finding seen with a patchy distribution, but structural deformities occur only infrequently. Interstitial fibrosis, granuloma, necrosis, vasculitis, and hyaline membrane are rare and suggest other underlying disorders. Biopsy via surgery is the diagnostic standard. While transbronchial lung biopsy (TBLB) is not recommended for other IIPs, studies have shown some potential of TBLB in diagnosing COP, despite the limitation that the number of biopsy specimens was insufficient in most cases.

Treatment

There have been no studies comparing glucocorticoid monotherapy and placebo for COP treatment. However, several studies have reported rapid clinical improvement after glucocorticoid steroid treatment. The starting dosage for methylprednisolone or prednisone ranges from 0.5–1 mg/kg, with gradual tapering after a few weeks. There is no established treatment duration, but six months to one year is recommended. Recurrence is frequent, with a rate ranging from 13% to 58%, and is associated with delayed glucocorticosteroid management, insufficient glucocorticosteroid dosage, and short glucocorticosteroid treatment duration. Nevertheless, the therapeutic response to glucocorticosteroids after recurrence is still favorable. According to Lazor et al., retreatment after recurrence with a dosage of <20 mg/day with an increase to 20 mg/day or higher (e.g., average 40 mg/day) yielded similar clinical outcomes, but complications were more frequent as the dosage increased, suggesting that a retreatment dose of 20 mg/day with gradual tapering is the optimal management strategy. Macrolide antibiotics and several immunosuppressants, such as cyclophosphamide, cyclosporine, and azathioprine, have been introduced as glucocorticosteroid-sparing agents, but their efficacy is unknown, and only case reports of these treatments have been presented.

Natural Course and Prognosis

The response to glucocorticosteroids is generally good, and most COP cases resolve completely, but the recurrence rate is considerably high. Lazor et al. reported that 58% of the patients with COP experienced recurrence after glucocorticosteroid treatment, and 27% had more than two recurrence episodes. Most patients experienced recurrence within one year, and the most important factor predicting recurrence was a delay of >16 weeks after symptom development. A study by Cazzato et al., which also included secondary interstitial pneumonia cases, found that 26% of the patients had a verified recurrence, and patients who received a lower glucocorticosteroid dose or a shorter administration period were more susceptible to recurrence. Non-responsiveness to glucocorticosteroid treatment occurred in 12%–22% of patients with COP. However, the likelihood of the rapid progression to respi...
ratory failure or death was rare (<5%)^{10,11,22}.
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