Changes in Rice Grain Quality of Indica and Japonica Type Varieties Released in China from 2000 to 2014

Fan Feng¹, Yajun Li¹, Xiaoliang Qin¹*, Yuncheng Liao¹ and Kadambot H. M. Siddique²

¹ College of Agronomy, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, China. ² The UWA Institute of Agriculture, School of Agriculture and Environment, The University of Western Australia, Perth, WA, Australia

China is the first country to use heterosis successfully for commercial rice production. This study compared the main quality characteristics (head rice rate, chalky rice rate, chalkiness degree, gel consistency, amylose content, and length-to-width ratio) of 635 rice varieties (not including upland and glutinous rice) released from 2000 to 2014 to establish the quality status and offer suggestions for future rice breeding for grain quality in China. In the past 15 years, grain quality in japonica rice and indica hybrid rice has improved. In japonica rice, inbred varieties have increased head rice rates and decreased chalkiness degree over time, while hybrid rice varieties have decreased chalky rice rates and chalkiness degree. In indica hybrid rice, the chalkiness degree and amylose contents have decreased and gel consistency has increased. Improvements in grain quality in indica inbred rice have been limited, with some increases in head rice rate and decreases in chalky rice rate and amylose content. From 2010 to 2014, the percentage of indica varieties meeting the Grade III national standard of rice quality for different quality traits was low, especially for chalky rice rate and chalkiness degree. Japonica varieties have more superior grain quality than indica rice in terms of higher head rice rates and gel consistency, lower chalky rice rates and chalkiness degree, and lower amylose contents, which may explain why the Chinese prefer japonica rice. The japonica rice varieties, both hybrid and inbred, had similar grain qualities, but this varied in indica rice with the hybrid varieties having higher grain quality than inbred varieties due to significantly better head rice rates and lower chalkiness degree. For better quality rice in future, the chalky rice rate and chalkiness degree should be improved in japonica rice along with most of the quality traits in indica rice.
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INTRODUCTION

Rice (Oryza sativa) is the staple food for approximately 65% of the Chinese population (Xin and Li, 2009). Historically, breeding programs in China primarily aimed at improving rice yield potential, which increased rice yields from 1890 kg ha⁻¹ in 1949 to 6662 kg ha⁻¹ in 2013 (Wang et al., 2015). The average rice yield in China is almost 50% higher than the worldwide average (Zhao, 2008; Liu and Ren, 2014). The world population is expected to reach 9 billion people by 2050¹. Grain production needs to increase by approximately 44 million metric tons per year to ensure sufficient food production to feed

¹http://www.fao.org/wsfs/world-summit/en/
this population (Tester and Langridge, 2010). China imported 3.56 million tons of rice in National Bureau of Statistics of China (2016). Meanwhile, in China, with improvements in economic and living standards, the grain quality of rice has become the most important factor for rice production as it is directly related to its market value, and thus influences farmer income (Yang et al., 2004; Zhang, 2007).

Rice quality traits include milling recovery, physical appearance, cooking and eating characteristics, and nutritional value (Juliano, 1972, 1985; Perez and Juliano, 1978; Mo, 1993; Lisle et al., 2000; Bao et al., 2002; Han et al., 2004; Koutoubas et al., 2004; Cheng et al., 2005). In the United States and other countries, the evaluation of rice quality includes the viscosity of rice noodles [Rapid Visco Analyzer (RVA) spectrum] and the texture of steamed rice (Ryu et al., 1993; Zhang et al., 2008). It has long been accepted that while the yield of hybrid rice is high, its quality is poor in China (Lu et al., 2001; Min et al., 2007; Zhao, 2008). To improve rice breeding for grain quality, the Ministry of Agriculture of China promulgated the agricultural industry standards: NY20-1986 (1986) ‘quality edible rice,’ GB/T17891-1999 (1999) ‘quality rice’ (Supplementary Table S1) and NY/T-593-2002 (2002) ‘cooking rice variety quality.’ Many studies have shown that rice quality is mainly controlled by genes (Tan et al., 1999; Mikami, 2000; Tran et al., 2011), so breeders in China aimed to breed high-quality rice varieties (Yang et al., 2004).

Rice can be divided into hybrid or inbred varieties within japonica (Oryza sativa L. subsp. japonica) and indica (Oryza sativa L. subsp. indica) (Catudan and Arocena, 2003; Tripp et al., 2010; Min et al., 2012). The successful development of hybrid rice, which significantly increased rice yield, is noteworthy in the history of modern agriculture (Virmani, 1994; Zhao, 2008; Horgan and Crisol, 2013) with China being the first country to use heterosis successfully for the commercial production of rice (Wang, 2004). In China, indica rice is planted mainly in southern China (Cheng, 1993; Qian, 2007; Min et al., 2011, 2012) with mostly hybrid varieties (Deng et al., 2006). Japonica rice is planted mainly in the northeast plain and Yangtze River region (Cheng, 1993; Qian, 2007; Wang et al., 2015) and is dominated by inbred varieties (Deng et al., 2006). There has been none research on the improvement of grain quality over time for the different types of rice in China.

In this study, the main quality characteristics of 635 rice varieties were compared to establish their quality status and to identify strategies for future grain quality improvements in rice in China.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data Collection

Data related to the main quality characteristics of 635 rice varieties (not including upland and glutinous rice)—all certified by the national or provincial crop variety appraisal committees from 2000 to 2014—were collated from published reports (Supplementary Data Sheet 1). The collated data included head rate (the percentage of the total weight that is head rice), chalky rice rate (the percentage of total grains that is chalky), chalkiness degree (the percentage of the total area of a kernel), gel consistency (the flow length of rice gel after rice flour pasting), amylase content and the length-to-width ratio (see Supplementary Presentation 1 and Wang et al., 2011). Data for the length-to-width ratio is included for indica rice but not japonica rice because there is no requirement in the GB/T17891-1999 high-quality rice standard to evaluate length-to-width ratio in japonica rice. The rice varieties were divided into four types: japonica inbred (119 varieties), japonica hybrid (64), indica inbred (24), and indica hybrid (428). The two main planting regions for indica rice are the Yangtze River region (annual rainfall 1000–2000 mm, sunshine hours 1100–2500 h, cumulative total temperatures above 10°C (CT) 4500–5800°C where CT = [mean daily temperature – 10] × number of days, and main soil types are red and paddy soils) and the south coast region (annual rainfall 1100–3000 mm, sunshine hours 1300–2600 h, CT 5000–9300°C, and main soil type is red soil), both of which have abundant light, temperature and water resources. Japonica rice is mainly planted in the northeast plain (annual rainfall 320–1000 mm, sunshine hours 2400–3100 h, CT 2000–3600°C, and main soil type is black soil) and in the Yangtze River region (detailed above).

Data Analyses

Statistical Analysis System (SAS) software was used to compare the quality characteristics between rice varieties; least significant difference (LSD) values were calculated at the 5% probability level. The effects of subspecies (japonica and indica rice), breeding type (hybrid, inbred), year of release, and their interactions on grain quality traits in rice were performed using PROC MIXED in the SAS software (SAS Institute, 2000). Regression analysis with a standard linear model applied to variety means was used to calculate the relative (%) genetic gains of each grain quality trait with year of release.

\[ y_i = a + b x_i + u \]

where \( y_i \) is the value for grain quality, \( x_i \) is the year in which cultivar i was released, \( a \) is the intercept of both equations, \( b \) is the absolute slope, and \( u \) is the residual error.

According to their year of release, the varieties were divided into three groups: 2000–2004, 2005–2009, and 2010–2014. The percentage of each variety type meeting the national standards for each quality characteristic were calculated according to the Ministry of Agriculture standard GB/T17891-1999 ‘high quality rice.’

RESULTS

Effects of Subspecies, Breeding Type, Year of Release, and Their Interactions on Yield and Grain Quality Traits in Rice

Most of the assessed traits were significantly affected by subspecies, breeding type and year of release. Significant
subsidiary \times breeding type interactions were observed for head rice rate and chalkiness degree. Significant subspecies \times year of release interactions were observed for head rice rate, chalky rice rate and gel consistency. Significant breeding type \times year of release interactions were observed for gel consistency, amylose content and length-to-width ratio. Significant subspecies \times breeding type \times year of release interactions were observed for chalky rice rate, chalkiness degree and amylose content (Table 1).

**Comparison of Quality Traits between Rice Types**

Japonica rice had significantly higher head rice rates and yields than indica rice ($P < 0.05$). For indica rice, hybrid varieties had significantly higher head rice rates than inbred varieties, but there was no difference between japonica inbred and japonica hybrid rice. Indica rice had significantly higher chalkiness degree and chalky rice rates than japonica rice ($P < 0.05$). Indica inbred rice had significantly higher chalkiness degree than indica hybrid rice, but there was no difference for chalky rice rate. Chalkiness degree and chalky rice rates did not differ between japonica inbred and japonica hybrid rice. Japonica rice had significantly lower amylose contents than indica rice ($P < 0.05$), but no difference between hybrid and inbred rice for either rice type. For indica rice, the length-to-width ratio did not differ between hybrid and inbred rice (Table 2).

**Genetic Gain for Different Quality Traits From 2000 to 2014**

The grain quality of japonica rice increased from 2000 to 2014; for inbred rice, the head rice rate increased and chalkiness degree decreased, and for hybrid rice, the chalky rice rate and chalkiness degree decreased, with no changes in the other quality traits (Table 3). The changes in grain quality from 2000 to 2014 of indica rice varied between hybrid and inbred varieties. For indica hybrid rice, grain quality increased with decreased chalkiness degree and amylose content and increased gel consistency. For indica inbred rice, the head rice rate increased significantly, suggesting an increase in grain quality, but the chalky rice rate and amylose content increased and length-to-width ratio decreased, which ultimately reduced grain quality (Table 3).

**Variation Coefficient for Different Quality Traits in Inbred and Hybrid Rice**

For the three periods of rice cultivation (2000–2004, 2005–2009, and 2010–2014), chalky rice rate and chalkiness degree had the highest variation coefficients, while the other four quality indices had relatively low variation coefficients. Breeding reduced the variation coefficient for chalky rice rate and chalkiness degree in japonica and indica inbred varieties. Indica hybrid rice had lower variation coefficients for gel consistency than indica inbred rice, which decreased over time. Variation coefficients for amylose content in japonica and indica rice also decreased over time (Table 4).

**Percentage of Each Variety Type Meeting the Grade III National Standard for Rice Quality Traits**

For head rice rate, hybrid rice had a higher percentage of varieties that met the Grade III national standard of rice quality (GB/T17891-1999, China) than inbred rice from 2000 to 2014, japonica rice had a higher percentage of varieties that met the Grade III national standard than indica rice in most periods. For chalky rice rate, japonica rice had a higher percentage of rice varieties that met the Grade III national standard for chalky rice rate than indica rice; a low and highly variable (0–70%) percentage of indica inbred and hybrid rice varieties met the standard. Japonica rice had a much higher percentage of varieties that met the Grade III national standard for chalkiness degree, amylose content and gel consistency than indica rice in most periods; from 2000 to 2014, japonica inbred rice had a higher percentage for chalkiness degree and similar percentage for amylose content and gel consistency than japonica hybrid rice. Indica hybrid rice had higher mean percentage for gel consistency than indica inbred rice, and higher chalkiness degree, gel consistency, amylose content, and length-to-width ratio than indica inbred rice in most time periods (Table 5).

**DISCUSSION**

**Change in Different Grain Quality with Breeding Selection**

Milling quality in rice refers to its characteristics after milling (Lisle et al., 2000). The head rice rate is a major limiting factor for processing quality and market value in rice (Liao et al., 2003). In this study, the head rice rate did not significantly differ between japonica inbred rice and japonica hybrid rice. The percentage of japonica rice varieties that meet the Grade III national standard for head rice rate reached at least 93% in two periods (2005–2009, 2010–2014), which is consistent with the results of Zhao (2008). Indica rice had significantly lower head rice rates than japonica rice from 2000 to 2014, which is consistent with the results of Min et al. (2008). The head rice rate in indica inbred rice increased from 2000 to 2014, but there was no change for indica hybrid rice. The mean percentage of indica rice varieties that met the Grade III national standards for head rice rate from 2010 to 2014 was $<85\%$, lower than japonica rice ($\geq 94\%$). This is an important quality trait that needs to be improved in indica rice.

Appearance quality, also known as commodity quality, mainly includes chalky characters, chalky area and the length-to-width ratio (Lisle et al., 2000). High chalky rice rates and chalkiness degree have become major obstacles to improving rice grain quality in China (Xu et al., 1995, 2003; Du et al., 2007). In this study, japonica rice had a lower chalky rice rate and chalkiness degree than indica rice, which is likely to decrease further according to the trends from 2000 to 2014. From 2000 to 2014, the chalky rice rate increased in indica inbred rice, but neither the chalky rice rate nor chalkiness degree changed in indica hybrid rice. However, the percentage of indica rice varieties that met the
TABLE 1 | The F-values for the effects of subspecies, breeding type, year of release and their interaction on grain quality traits of rice.

| Factors                              | Head rice rate | Chalky rice rate | Chalkiness degree | Gel consistency | Amylose content | Length-to-width ratio |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Subspecies (japonica, indica)        | 50.38***       | 33.60***         | 2.83 ns           | 245.68***       | 142.38***      | –                    |
| Breeding type (hybrid, inbred)       | 579.91***      | 82.83***         | 39.13***          | 639.78***       | 629.30***      | 0.20*                |
| Year of release                      | 54.76***       | 17.23***         | 23.04***          | 86.48***        | 5.71**         | 5.37**               |
| Subspecies × breeding type           | 9.81**         | 0 ns             | 40.33***          | 0 ns            | 0 ns           | –                    |
| Subspecies × year of release         | 27.17***       | 11.23***         | 0.73 ns           | 37.34***        | 2.76*          | –                    |
| Breeding type × year of release      | 0 ns           | 0 ns             | 0 ns              | 40.96***        | 5.3*           | 53.72***             |
| Subspecies × breeding type × year of release | 0 ns       | 31.93***         | 8.12 ns           | 0 ns            | 22.43***       | –                    |

** Significant at 0.01 probability level; *** Significant at 0.001 probability level; ns, not significant.

TABLE 2 | The difference in mean quality traits between japonica inbred rice, japonica hybrid rice, indica inbred rice, and indica hybrid rice.

| Rice type      | n   | Head rice rate (%) | Chalky rice rate (%) | Chalkiness degree (%) | Gel consistency (mm) | Amylose content (%) | Length-to-width ratio |
|----------------|-----|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Japonica inbred| 119 | 67.06a              | 21.92c               | 3.13c                | 81.15a               | 16.84b              | –                    |
| Japonica hybrid| 64  | 67.86a              | 29.01c               | 4.76c                | 78.36a               | 16.27b              | –                    |
| Indica inbred  | 24  | 52.82c              | 45.46a               | 12.19a               | 65.82c               | 20.29a              | 2.87a                |
| Indica hybrid  | 428 | 58.38b              | 41.00a               | 7.40b                | 64.08c               | 20.37a              | 2.88a                |

Mean values followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% probability level.

TABLE 3 | Genetic gain (%) in head rice rate, chalky rice rate, chalkiness degree, gel consistency, amylose content and length-to-width ratio in inbred and hybrid rice varieties registered from 2000 to 2014.

| Rice type      | Head rice rate | Chalky rice rate | Chalkiness degree | Gel consistency | Amylose content | Length-to-width ratio |
|----------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Japonica inbred| 0.38**         | ns               | −5.74**           | ns              | ns             | –                    |
| Japonica hybrid| ns             | 4.24*            | −7.09**           | ns              | ns             | –                    |
| Indica inbred  | 4.49**         | 70.83**          | ns                | ns              | 3.36*          | −3.00**              |
| Indica hybrid  | ns             | 4.19**           | −1.95*            | 3.02**          | −0.89**        | ns                   |

* Significant at 0.05 probability level; ** Significant at 0.01 probability level; ns, not significant.

TABLE 4 | The variation coefficients for quality traits of inbred and hybrid rice varieties registered from 2000 to 2014.

| Rice type      | Year       | Head rice rate | Chalky rice rate | Chalkiness degree | Gel consistency | Amylose content | Length-to-width ratio |
|----------------|------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Japonica inbred| 2000–2004  | 7.37           | 76.8             | 88.58             | 7.81            | 6.44           | –                    |
|                | 2005–2009  | 4.95           | 61.18            | 63.67             | 5.06            | 5.11           | –                    |
|                | 2010–2014  | 4.97           | 47.6             | 58.81             | 3.65            | 4.57           | –                    |
| Mean           | 5.76ab     | 61.86a         | 70.35a           | 5.51c             | 5.37a           | –                |
| Japonica hybrid| 2000–2004  | 5.89           | 44.54            | 65.25             | 11.22           | 8.87           | –                    |
|                | 2005–2009  | 6.25           | 44.37            | 54.28             | 7.55            | 16.24          | –                    |
|                | 2010–2014  | 4.23           | 57.43            | 76.72             | 7.04            | 3.69           | –                    |
| Mean           | 5.46b      | 48.78a         | 65.42a           | 8.6c              | 9.6a            | –                |
| Indica inbred  | 2000–2004  | 14.66          | 114.91           | 165.68            | 23.24           | 27.06          | 8.39                  |
|                | 2005–2009  | 15.71          | 70.07            | 76.5              | 23.32           | 26.81          | 18.35                 |
|                | 2010–2014  | 4.24           | 3.72             | 22.19             | 26.51           | 4.21           | 7.51                  |
| Mean           | 11.54ab    | 62.9a          | 88.12a           | 24.36             | 19.38a          | 11.42a         | –                    |
| Indica hybrid  | 2000–2004  | 13.15          | 53.66            | 79.62             | 22.74a          | 11.68          | 11.34                 |
|                | 2005–2009  | 12.56          | 65.24            | 78.68             | 17.08           | 16.07          | 10.73                 |
|                | 2010–2014  | 10.45          | 57.44            | 70.38             | 18.03           | 18.81          | 9.03                  |
| Mean           | 12.05a     | 58.78a         | 76.23a           | 19.28b            | 15.52a          | 10.37a         | –                    |

Bold values mean that variation of traits was lower when compared with those of older varieties; mean values followed by the same letter do not significantly differ at the 5% probability level.
Grade III national standards for chalky rice rate and chalkiness degree from 2010 to 2014 was very low. While the chalky rice rate and chalkiness degree have a small impact on rice taste, they are critical appearance quality traits in the domestic rice market and for foreign trade (Jin, 2011). It is important and urgent to improve these appearance quality traits in indica rice grains.

From 2000 to 2014, the length-to-width ratio decreased in indica inbred rice, but there was no change in indica hybrid rice. However, the percentage of indica inbred rice varieties that met the national standards of quality rice for length-to-width ratio was low at 50.5% compared with 80.4% in indica hybrid rice. Greater emphasis on improving this characteristic in indica rice is needed in future breeding programs.

Cooking and eating qualities mainly refer to characteristics in the cooking process, which include gel consistency and amylose content (Juliano, 1972; Perez and Juliano, 1978; Bao et al., 2002). Higher gel consistency means softer and better quality rice. In our study, japonica rice had significantly higher gel consistency than indica rice, which agrees with the results of Zhu et al. (2004), who collated data from 1985 to 2002. The gel consistency in japonica rice did not change from 2000 to 2014, but more than 95% of the varieties met the Grade III national standard (GB/T17891-1999, China) for gel consistency. From 2000 to 2014, gel consistency increased in indica hybrid rice and did not change in indica inbred rice. Only 74.50 and 78.28% of the indica inbred and indica hybrid varieties met the Grade III national standard (GB/T17891-1999, China) for gel consistency, which suggests that there is room for improvement in both indica inbred and indica hybrid rice.

The amylose content in rice affects the softness and palatability of steamed rice and is closely correlated with the hardness, cohesiveness, and viscosity of rice. If the amylose content is too high, steamed rice will have poor viscosity and rice extension, high pasting temperature, and low palatability. If the amylose content is low, steamed rice will be soft with better viscosity and gloss, but if it is too low, the viscosity will be too high, making the steamed rice taste greasy and unsuitable for boiling. From 2000 to 2014, amylose content did not change in japonica inbred rice, but it declined in japonica hybrid rice. The percentage of japonica varieties that met the Grade III national standard for amylose content was >90%. The amylose content declined in indica hybrid rice but increased in indica inbred rice. The percentage of indica varieties that met the Grade III national standard was much lower than japonica rice, owing to their high amylose contents.

**Difference in Grain Quality between Inbred and Hybrid, Indica and Japonica Rice**

At present, China has the larger planting areas of indica hybrid and japonica inbred rice than indica inbred and japonica hybrid rice. Japonica inbred rice has better quality grain than indica hybrid rice based on all grain quality traits in this study, which is consistent with the findings of Zhu et al. (2004), who compared data on japonica and indica rice collated from 1985 to 2002 in China. The quality of hybrid rice was poor due to crosses with indica rice varieties that have high chalky rice rates and chalkiness degree, which affect appearance quality, and low gel consistencies and high amylose contents, which affect cooking and eating qualities. The Chinese prefer japonica inbred rice over indica hybrid rice, which explains why many there is a perception that hybrid rice has poor quality. Our study found that japonica hybrid rice has similar grain quality to japonica inbred rice, which differs from the findings of an earlier study (Yang et al., 2004). Indeed, indica hybrid rice had better quality grain than indica inbred rice, with its significantly higher head rice rate and lower chalkiness degree, and no differences in the other grain quality traits (Table 2), which again differs from the findings of Yang et al. (2004).

### Table 5: The percentage of each variety meeting Grade III quality standards for different quality traits.

| Rice type       | Year   | Number of varieties | Head rice rate | Chalky rice rate | Chalkiness degree | Gel consistency | Amylose content | Length-to-width ratio |
|-----------------|--------|---------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Japonica inbred | 2000–2004 | 35                   | 74.3           | 71.9             | 60.0              | 85.7            | 97.1            | –                    |
|                 | 2000–2009 | 49                   | 80.0           | 79.6             | 79.6              | 85.7            | 97.1            | 98.0                 |
|                 | 2010–2014 | 35                   | 94.3           | 71.4             | 94.3              | 100.0           | 100.0           | 100.0                |
|                 | 2000–2014 | 87.5                 | 72.9           | 74.3             | 84.8              | 96.2            | 85.4            | –                    |
| Japonica hybrid | 2000–2004 | 17                   | 88.2           | 33.4             | 29.4              | 93.3            | 94.1            | –                    |
|                 | 2000–2009 | 36                   | 100.0          | 86.1             | 83.0              | 100.0           | 94.4            | –                    |
|                 | 2010–2014 | 11                   | 100.0          | 63.6             | 63.6              | 100.0           | 90.9            | –                    |
|                 | 2000–2014 | 96.1                 | 58.8           | 61.0             | 97.8              | 93.2            | –               | –                    |
| Indica inbred   | 2000–2004 | 13                   | 38.5           | 70.0             | 35.5              | 81.8            | 46.2            | 88.9                 |
|                 | 2000–2009 | 8                    | 62.5           | 37.5             | 37.5              | 75.0            | 25.0            | 62.5                 |
|                 | 2010–2014 | 3                    | 100.0          | 0.0              | 0.0               | 86.7            | 0.0             | 0.0                  |
|                 | 2000–2014 | 67.0                 | 25.3           | 61.0             | 74.5              | 23.7            | 50.5            | –                    |
| Indica hybrid   | 2000–2004 | 93                   | 77.4           | 33.3             | 37.4              | 52.7            | 85.0            | 95.0                 |
|                 | 2000–2009 | 174                  | 87.4           | 50.6             | 54.6              | 90.2            | 85.6            | 74.7                 |
|                 | 2010–2014 | 161                  | 84.5           | 45.3             | 47.8              | 91.9            | 78.3            | 71.4                 |
|                 | 2000–2014 | 83.1                 | 46.6           | 43.1             | 78.3              | 83.0            | 80.4            | –                    |
Challenge of Grain Quality under Climate Change

Japonica rice is popular in China because of its good grain quality and high yield. Indica rice is mainly grown in southern China, due to suitable climatic conditions in the region. Indica rice generally produces higher yields and has shorter growth duration (Lu et al., 2001; Min et al., 2007; Zhao, 2008). However, the poor grain quality or indica rice limits its use in cooking. Temperature and atmospheric CO₂ concentration are important factors affecting the formation of rice grain quality (Wang et al., 2011). Atmospheric CO₂ concentrations have increased and global temperatures are rising (Schönwiese et al., 1995; NOAA, 2013). Studies have shown that high CO₂ concentrations and temperatures can worsen rice grain quality of milling recovery and physical appearance (Wang et al., 2011; Madan et al., 2012; Usui et al., 2014). Temperatures is higher in southern than north China, increasing trends of global temperatures are not conducive to improving grain quality, especially for indica rice in southern China with high temperature. Future research should focus on adapting rice to the effects of increased CO₂ concentrations and temperatures to improve grain quality and yield.

CONCLUSION

China is a major rice-producing country and is acutely aware of the importance of improving the quality and yield of rice. In the past 15 years, grain quality in japonica rice and indica hybrid rice have improved, while there have been limited improvements in indica inbred rice—the head rice rate has increased, but the chalky rice rate and amylose content have decreased. The percentage of indica varieties meeting the Grade III national standard of rice quality for different quality traits is low, especially for chalky rice rate and chalkiness degree.

In japonica rice, the chalky rice rate and chalkiness degree could be improved for better grain quality. In indica rice, new germplasm resources need to be identified, and the introgression of relevant gene/s from japonica may improve the quality traits.
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