Seasonal Changes in Nonstructural Carbohydrates in Cranberry
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Abstract. ‘Searles’ (low yielding) and ‘Stevens’ (high yielding) cranberry (Vaccinium macrocarpon Ait.) tissues were collected in 1990 and 1991 to determine the concentration of nonstructural carbohydrates in above-ground (uprights, woody stems) and below-ground tissue. Uprights had the highest total nonstructural carbohydrate (TNC) concentration, followed by woody stems, while below-ground tissue contained the lowest TNC concentration. Total nonstructural carbohydrate concentration in uprights increased early in the season, reached a maximum in late May, decreased as flowering approached, and remained low from late June to late August. The latter period corresponds to flowering, fruit set, floral initiation, and fruit development stages. In late August, when fruit were full size, TNC levels increased, reaching highest concentration in November as the plants were entering dormancy. Most TNC increase in the early season and the subsequent decrease were due to changes in starch. The increase of TNC late in the season was primarily due to increases in soluble carbohydrates. Total nonstructural carbohydrate concentration was greater in vegetative than fruiting uprights for the entire growing season. The lower TNC concentration in fruiting than vegetative uprights during flowering and fruit set was due to greater starch depletion in fruiting uprights. Seasonal changes in TNC in the two cultivars were similar; however, ‘Stevens’ had generally higher TNC concentration and total dry weight as well as more fruiting uprights, fruit, and fruit weight per ground area. The low TNC concentration observed during fruit set and development suggests that the demands for carbohydrates are highest during that period and supports the hypothesis that competition for carbohydrate resources is one factor responsible for low cranberry fruit set.

The American cranberry, indigenous to North America, is an evergreen, woody, perennial creeping vine. The vines, called runners, can reach a length of 2 m and spread over the soil surface to form a mat (Eck, 1990). Vertical branches, called uprights, originate from the vines. Uprights terminate with a vegetative or mixed bud. Flowers and fruit are borne laterally on the new shoot growth. In Wisconsin, visible bud growth in cranberry occurs in mid-May with shoot elongation, and some leaf expansion occurs before flowering. Flowering begins in late June and continues for 3 to 4 weeks into July. The flowers on an individual upright undergo anthesis acropetally. Floral induction occurs soon after flowering with continuous differentiation of flower parts during the remainder of the summer and in the spring of the following year (Dana, 1990). The fruit matures 60 to 120 days or more after fertilization depending on cultivar characteristics and weather. Harvest begins in late September and continues through October.

Eaton and Kyte (1978) have shown that the number of fruiting uprights per unit of ground area and fruit set are the two primary factors important in determining cranberry yield. A cranberry field produces an abundance of flowers but only 30% to 40% of the flowers set fruit (Bain, 1946; Bergman, 1950). Marucci (1966) proposed that limited fruit set in cranberry flowers is due to insufficient energy resources to allow a fruit to develop from every flower.

Bauman and Eaton (1986) concluded that competition occurs between berries on a cranberry upright, with earlier opening flowers in lower positions being more likely to develop into fruit, apparently suppressing set in the remaining upper flowers. Removal of the lower two flowers on cranberry uprights allowed 45% to 58% of the remaining flowers to develop fruit, while with fruit present in the lower position only 17% to 19% of the remaining upper flowers set and developed fruit (Birrenkott and Stang, 1990). Removing new vegetative growth acropetally to flowers or fruit reduced fruit set most in mid-July, during the fruit set period. Carbohydrate concentration in cranberry uprights is lowest as early flowers set and upper flowers are at or just beyond anthesis, suggesting that developing fruits compete for available carbohydrates (Birrenkott et al., 1991).

Carbohydrate partitioning data in cranberry are limited. A recent study in cranberry has shown changes in carbohydrate concentration in current season and previous season uprights and woody stems (Birrenkott et al., 1991). Little is known about the relative contribution of carbohydrates from above-ground vs. below-ground cranberry tissue or carbohydrates from reserves vs. current season assimilates. Information on carbohydrate allocation in fruiting vs. vegetative uprights is also not available.

Cultivars of the same species may differ significantly in yield. Borras et al. (1984) found relationships between yield and carbohydrate concentrations in vegetative structures of two cultivars of sweet orange.

This research was initiated to a) investigate the distribution pattern of nonstructural carbohydrates between above-ground tissue (uprights, woody stems) and below-ground tissue (roots, below-ground woody stems) during the growing season under field conditions, b) determine the differences in carbohydrate concentration between fruiting and vegetative uprights, and c) relate carbohydrate concentration in a high-yielding (Stevens) and a lower-yielding (Searles) cultivar to fruit set and yield.

Materials and Methods

Plant material. Samples for carbohydrate analysis were collected in 1990 and 1991 from beds of ‘Searles’ and ‘Stevens’ cranberry at DuBay Cranberry Company, near Stevens Point, Wis. During 1990, samples were taken every 3 weeks throughout the growing season. Samples were also collected every 3 weeks during 1991, except for weekly sampling during bloom and fruit set.
Samples were not collected during winter months because vines were covered with 30 cm of ice. Each cranberry bed was separated into six segments and six samples of cranberry plants and soil were taken from the six different locations in the bed. Samples were taken using a probe (15 cm in diameter) that removed 126 cm² of land surface area. The plugs of cranberry vines and soil were placed on ice, brought into the laboratory, and separated into uprights with fruit (fruited uprights), uprights without fruit (vegetative uprights), woody stems, below-ground tissue, and fruit (when applicable). Fruiting and vegetative uprights included current season and previous season parts of the uprights, while belowground tissue included the fine cranberry roots and below-ground woody stems. Soil and debris were washed from the below-ground tissue. Plant materials were dried in a forced-air oven at 55°C, then ground to 40 mesh in a Wiley mill. This procedure was found to produce the same results as when tissues were lyophilized.

Carbohydrate analysis. Soluble carbohydrates were analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) similar to the procedure of McBee and Maness (1983). Carbohydrates were extracted from 100-mg subsamples with 5 ml 80% ethanol and 3 mg mannitol as internal standard, incubated at 55°C for 1 h, then vacuum filtered, and the solids were saved for starch analysis. The filtrate pH was adjusted to 7 with 0.2 M KOH, 400 mg anion-exchange resin (Biores 5, 200-400 mesh, chloride form, Bio-Rad, Richmond, Calif.) was added, and samples were shaken for 30 min. The resin was filtered out and the filtrates were dried at 55°C. The samples were resuspended in 3 ml deionized-degassed water and filtered through a 0.45-µm filter, and a 200-µl aliquot was injected into an isocratic HPLC (model 110B; Beckman, San Ramon, Calif.). A Beckman µ-Spherogel carbohydrate column (7.5-mm Ca-based resin) and a refractive index detector (Knauer, Hamburg, Germany) were used for soluble carbohydrate separation and detection, respectively. Peak areas were determined with an integrator (model 3394A; Hewlett Packard, Avondale, Pa.).

Starch assay. The dried solids from the first filtration were resuspended in 2 ml 0.1 M acetate buffer (pH 5) and autoclaved at 250°C for 30 min. After the samples were cooled, 23 units amylglucosidase (Sigma, St Louis) were added, vortexed, and incubated in a shaking water bath for 16 h at 55°C. The resulting glucose was quantified colorimetrically using a glucose oxidase assay (Sigma).

The experimental design is repeated measures over dates, blocking the bed into six segments. At each date, the design was randomized complete block for the comparison of tissues. Repeated measures analysis, using general linear models in SAS (SAS Institute, 1987), found significant tissue by date interactions with no consistent pattern by date. Thus, results reported below are based on separate analysis by date. Mean separation was done by LSD following a significant F test.

Ten additional samples of cranberry uprights were taken from the same beds of ‘Searles’ and ‘Stevens’ in 1991 and 1992. In each location ten rings (81 cm²) were placed at 1-m intervals along a 10-m transect and were inserted into the canopy using a support stake. At the end of each growing season, before the commercial harvest, all uprights in the rings were collected. For each sample, total number of fruiting uprights, vegetative uprights, flowers, and fruit was counted and percent fruit set was calculated. Fruit from each sample were also weighed. Data were analyzed by t test.

Results

Total nonstructural carbohydrates (TNCs) of cranberry tissues were composed of starch, sucrose, glucose, and fructose. Total nonstructural carbohydrates were measured as milligrams of carbohydrates per 100 mg tissue dry weight; thus, it is expressed as a percentage of the total dry weight.

The results in 1990 and 1991 were similar; thus, only the 1991 season results are presented here. Seasonal TNC concentration varied the most in uprights (Fig. 1). In May, before new shoot expansion, TNC levels began to increase in uprights of both ‘Stevens’ and ‘Searles’, reaching a maximum in late May. ‘Stevens’ uprights contained a maximum of 12% TNC and ‘Searles’ uprights 10% TNC. Total nonstructural carbohydrates declined in both cultivars, beginning before bloom. Total nonstructural carbohydrate levels declined to 7.7% and 6.2% for ‘Stevens’ and ‘Searles’, respectively, at the beginning of flowering in late June. Total nonstructural carbohydrate concentration remained low from late June to late August when flowers are initiated for the following season and current fruit grow and develop. In late August, when fruit have reached full size, TNC concentration began to increase, reaching the highest concentration in November as plants became dormant. Total nonstructural carbohydrate concentration was significantly higher in the early season (May) than in the midseason (late June to late August). Total nonstructural carbohydrate concentration was also higher in the late season (September to November) than midseason, verified with statistical analysis.

The pattern of change in TNC concentration in woody stems during the season reflected that of uprights, but was less marked (Fig. 1). ‘Stevens’ woody stems contained about 6% TNCs and ‘Searles’ about 3% TNCs during the season. Stem TNC levels increased late in the season, as the plants were entering dormancy.
in both cultivars. Below-ground tissue had the lowest TNC concentration, 2% of total dry weight, and remained stable throughout the season with an increase occurring only late in the season. Total nonstructural carbohydrate concentrations among uprights, stems, and below-ground tissue were significantly different on all sampling dates, for each year and cultivar, with uprights always having the highest, and below-ground tissue the lowest, TNC concentration.

Most of the increase of TNC in uprights early in the season was due to increases in starch. Starch concentration increased sharply early in the season in both cultivars, reaching a maximum of 8% in ‘Stevens’ (Fig. 2B) and 5% in ‘Searles’ (Fig. 3B), respectively. Starch concentration then decreased in both cultivars, reaching a minimum in November. Starch made up the largest fraction of the upright TNC in ‘Stevens’ and ‘Searles’ (65% and 55% of TNCs, respectively) at the early season peak. The increase of TNCs late in the season was primarily due to increases in soluble carbohydrates. Soluble carbohydrates decreased early in the season, remained almost stable through midseason, and increased again late in the season. The changes in soluble carbohydrate concentration early and late in the season was mostly due to changes in sucrose (data not shown).

Total nonstructural carbohydrate concentration was generally greater in vegetative uprights than fruiting uprights (Figs. 2A and 3A). The differences in TNC between fruiting and vegetative uprights during the flowering and fruit set period were due to greater starch depletion in flowering uprights (Figs. 2B and 3B). Late in the season, there were significant differences in TNC between fruiting and vegetative uprights due to higher soluble sugar accumulation in vegetative uprights (Figs. 2C and 3C).

Seasonal changes in TNC in fruits were similar in both cultivars in both years (data not shown). Total nonstructural carbohydrate concentration increased throughout fruit development, reaching maximum at harvest. At that stage, TNC concentration was 27%
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Fig. 4. Seasonal changes in dry weight of cranberry uprights, stems, and fruit in 1991. Each point represents a mean of six measurements.

and 29% in 'Stevens' and 'Searles', respectively, for 1991. Glucose made up 80% of TNC, fructose 16%, and sucrose 4%. Starch was not detected in the fruit. 'Stevens' had generally higher TNC concentration than 'Searles' in all tissue both years. There were no significant interactions between years and cultivars (P ≤ 0.05).

In 'Stevens', about 16% of total dry weight was uprights, 13% woody stems, 4% fruit, and 67% below-ground tissue. In 'Searles', ≈16% was uprights, 18% woody stems, 3% fruit, and 63% below-ground tissue. 'Stevens' always had higher dry weight than 'Searles' both in above-ground (Fig. 4) and below-ground tissue (data not shown). Dry weight in uprights and woody stems increased from May through the end of July. There was a decrease in upright and woody stem dry weight during August and September, with a slight increase occurring again late in the season as reserves accumulated (Fig. 1). Fruit dry-weight increase was rapid in July and August while the growth rate slowed in September.

Even though seasonal changes in TNC in the two cultivars were similar, 'Stevens' generally had higher TNC concentration and greater dry weight than 'Searles'. Fruit set (expressed as percent-
age) was higher in 'Stevens' than 'Searles' only in 1992. However, the number of fruiting uprights, fruit number per ring, fruit number per upright, mean fruit weight, and yield was higher in 'Stevens' than 'Searles' both years (Table 1).

Discussion

Concentration and seasonal changes in TNC in cranberry depended on the tissue type. The higher TNC concentration and the more dramatic seasonal changes in uprights than in woody stems suggest that uprights are most important in supporting flowering, fruit set, and fruit development, while the contribution of below-ground tissue was least important.

The increase in TNC concentration in uprights early in the season was primarily due to accumulation of starch rather than soluble sugars (Figs. 2 and 3). Most of the starch accumulated during spring is probably derived from currently fixed photoassimilates. In May, before new upright expansion, 1-year-old cranberry leaves are photosynthetically competent (Hagidimitriou, 1993). One-year-old leaves can assimilate C early in the season, which is likely stored as starch. Thus, C assimilation appears to exceed carbohydrate consumption early in the season as shown by the early accumulation of starch.

As upright growth began, TNC concentration in uprights began to decrease due primarily to a decrease in starch, reaching a minimum during fruit set and development. The new expanding uprights apparently are the main sinks for carbohydrates before flowering while during fruit set developing fruitlets become strong sinks (Hawker and Stang, 1985). The observed decrease cannot be due to dilution in carbohydrate concentration as plant volume increases, since the increase in upright dry weight at that time is not as sharp as the decrease in starch concentration (Fig. 4). Hawker and Stang (1985) also reported that upright growth terminates by the end of July, while a dry-weight increase was noted near the end of the season. The changes observed in starch concentration early in the season suggest that starch is stored in uprights and woody stems and is then mobilized to growing points during periods of high demands. The increase in TNC concentration late in the season was due almost entirely to an increase in soluble sugars, predominantly sucrose. Starch is the main nonstructural carbohydrate during early growth and is present both in leaves and woody stems (data not shown), whereas soluble sugars, mostly sucrose, predominate in early fall and during dormancy. The increase in soluble sugars has been associated with low temperatures and cold hardiness during dormancy (Sieckman and Boe, 1978).

This pattern of spring reserve increase, as starch, and subsequent depletion with replacement later in the season has been observed in other evergreen species. In Vaccinium vitis-idaea, carbohydrate concentration increases during the spring until shoot elongation begins, with the increase mostly as starch (Bannister, 1980; Fonda and Bliss, 1966; Stewart and Bannister, 1973). When fruit are present a large portion of the carbohydrate reserves is consumed, but by the end of the season carbohydrate levels increase to levels similar to spring. The same pattern is observed in Pinus species (Little, 1970). Most of the starch accumulating in conifers during spring was synthesized from current photosynthesis. Karlsson (1985) similarly concluded for Vaccinium vitis-idaea that new shoot growth is mainly dependent on early summer assimilates produced by old leaves.

The pattern of carbohydrate changes for cranberry agrees in general with the results of Birrenkott et al. (1991) except for the noticeable springtime increase and subsequent decrease in starch level we found with our earlier and more frequent sampling. They found that 'Stevens' cranberry uprights have lowest carbohydrate concentration at late blossom. Carbohydrate levels then increase as new shoot growth begins, while the increase in upright dry weight at that time is not as sharp as the decrease in starch concentration (Fig. 4). Hawker and Stang (1985) also reported that upright growth terminates by the end of July, while a dry-weight increase was noted near the end of the season. The observed sharper decrease and lower TNC concentration in
fruiting uprights than in vegetative uprights during flowering reflected lower starch concentration. Starch apparently is mobilized within uprights to support vegetative and reproductive growth. The decrease in TNC in vegetative uprights could represent carbohydrate export to nearby fruiting uprights or the demand for carbohydrates to support vegetative growth. The greater decrease in TNC in fruiting than vegetative uprights and the lower TNC concentration during the fruit development period suggests that a large portion of carbohydrates needed for fruit growth comes first from the nearest source, which is the upright on which the fruit are borne.

The low carbohydrate levels observed in this study during flowering and fruit set are consistent with the hypothesis that insufficient energy resources are available for development of a fruit from every flower (Bauman and Eaton, 1986; Marucci, 1966). Competition between vegetative and reproductive sinks for resources might also be a factor affecting fruit set since upright growth and low carbohydrate concentrations in uprights continue through July (Figs. 1 and 4).

Overall, ‘Stevens’ had higher TNC concentration as well as higher yield than ‘Searles’, the result of increased number of fruiting uprights and the number of fruit per upright (Table 1), suggesting a relationship between carbohydrate levels and yield in cranberry.

Competition for resources may extend beyond carbohydrates to other essential substances, such as N and other nutrients. Nitrogen concentration in cranberry uprights decreased during flowering (Hagidimitriou, 1993). Limitation in the supply of mineral elements might also be a factor affecting fruit set since upright growth and low carbohydrate concentrations in uprights continue through July (Figs. 1 and 4).

| Year | Cultivar | Wisconsin mean yield per acre<sup>a</sup> (Mt/ha) | No. of fruiting uprights (per 81 cm<sup>2</sup>) | No. of vegetative uprights (per 81 cm<sup>2</sup>) | Fruit no. per upright | Fruit set (%) | Total fruit weight (g/81 cm<sup>2</sup>) |
|------|----------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1991 | Stevens  | 12.7                                         | 16.2                                       | 25.5                      | 13.0                 | 0.80         | 23                                     |
|      | Searles  | 7.3                                          | 11.6                                       | 27.7                      | 6.9                  | 0.59         | 20                                     |
|      |          | *(NS)*                                        | *(NS)*                                     | *(NS)*                    | *(NS)*               | *(NS)*       | *(NS)*                                |
| 1992 | Stevens  | 17.5                                         | 18.2                                       | 36.1                      | 21.3                 | 1.17         | 37                                     |
|      | Searles  | 13.0                                         | 15.3                                       | 40.7                      | 13.4                 | 0.87         | 25                                     |
|      |          | *(NS)*                                        | *(NS)*                                     | *(NS)*                    | *(NS)*               | *(NS)*       | *(NS)*                                |

<sup>a</sup>Data from Ocean Spray Cranberries.
<sup>NS</sup>NS: Nonsignificant or significant at P = 0.05. Data analyzed by t test.
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