Ligamentotaxis and Bone Marrow Injection to Prevent Late Metaphyseal Collapse in Distal Radius Fractures
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ABSTRACT
Introduction: To compare the results of external fixator augmented with bone marrow injection in the management of distal radial fractures with that of fixator alone in preventing late metaphyseal collapse
Materials and Methods: Patients with severely comminuted metaphyseal fracture of the distal radius were only included in the study. The fractures were of Frykman-VII or Frykman-VIII types and reducible unstable type as per universal classification.
Results: 93.3% of cases in Group-II, showed good to excellent anatomical results after one year and only 56.7% in Group-I (p<0.005). Functional results were good to excellent in 90% in Group-II and it was 56.7% in Group-I (p<0.02). This shows that Group-II patients who were treated by bone marrow injection along with ligamentotaxis obtained better results and it is statistically significant also. Comparison of the anatomical results to functional result showed that good anatomical result was a prerequisite for good functional result.
Conclusion: External fixators based on the principle of ligamentotaxis gives better anatomical and functional results initially, but on follow-up results deteriorate due to late metaphyseal collapse, which can be effectively prevented by injection of bone marrow into the metaphyseal defect.

INTRODUCTION
Fractures of the lower end of the radius are one of the most common skeletal injuries treated by the orthopedic surgeons the world over. Ever since its first detailed description by Abraham Colles in 1840; several classifications and treatment modalities have been proposed till to date. Comminuted distal radius fracture are the most challenging ones among these fractures ,which tend to get displaced in the plaster cast after closed manipulative reduction.

The previous speculations that the deformity corrects well and arthritis is not a common problem are now becoming baseless because of the rapid expansion in the knowledge regarding the functional anatomy of the hand and wrist. Retrospective analysis of the disability due to malunited distal radius fractures, urge for a change in the treatment strategy. Various options include percutaneous pinning of the fragments (pin & plaster technique), external fixator devices, limited open reduction with or without bone
grafting, extensive open reduction and internal fixation. Ligamentotaxis by continuous distraction has been found to be effective in preventing the displacement of unstable articular components, as the distal radius is embraced by periosteum, ligaments, retinaculum and tendons which act as a mould. The new millennium represents a time for expanding our present knowledge of the treatment of distal end of radius fractures, based on the foundation of information that has been gathered over the past century. Newer external fixator frames and improved surgical techniques and superior instrumentation allow for safer reproducible ligamentotaxis as a method for the unstable fractures of the lower end of radius. Adjunctive cancellous bone grafting is often required to prevent late metaphyseal collapse in unstable fractures. Cancellous autograft harvest has its own inherent risks. In this study thirty cases of severely comminuted metaphyseal distal radius fractures treated with ligamentotaxis and bone marrow injection at fracture site are compared with thirty cases treated with ligamentotaxis alone.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Patients with severely comminuted metaphyseal fracture of the distal radius were only included in the study. The fractures were of Frykman-VII or Frykman-VIII types and reducible unstable type as per universal classification. They were grouped into Group-I and Group-II in a randomized manner. All the patients were treated with the dynamic wrist fixator. This fixator works on the principles of both ligamentotaxis and dynamisation of the joint at the earliest. Initially 43 patients were included in Group-I and 38 in Group-II. Only those who have completed at least one year follow up were included in the study. Finally there were 30 patients in Group-I and 30 patients in Group-II. All the patients were taken to the Operation theatre for the application of external fixation. Procedures were done under axillary block in 58 cases. Two cases were done under General Anaesthesia, which were associated with fracture spine. At first a closed manipulatory reduction was done. Reduction confirmed with (-arm. While maintaining the reduction, two parallel 2.5 mm Schanz pins were fixed perpendicular to the radial shaft from the dorsa lateral aspect of the radius, about 8 cm proximal to distal end of radius. Then two shanz pins were fixed through the shaft of the 2nd metacarpal parallel to the previous pins. Dynamic wrist distracter was connected to the pins and adequate distraction given. Check X-ray was taken and the distraction adjusted, if required. Post operatively forearm and hand kept elevated and active finger movements were started on the day of surgery to reduce the oedema. Pin track care with hydrogen peroxide and Povidone Iodine solution, was taught to the patient.

In Group-II patients, 10cc of bone marrow was injected into the metaphyseal defect, after two weeks, under image control. Bone marrow was aspirated from upper tibial metaphysis. The procedures were done under strict aseptic precautions. After three weeks, all cases were reviewed for dynamisation of the fixator. Initially, one wrist hinge was loosened to facilitate the dorsiflexion and palmar flexion. Again the patients were advised to have a third visit in the fourth week, for loosening the second hinge joint for radial and ulnar deviation. After six weeks; external fixators were removed and radiological and functional evaluation done. Patients with no clinical and radiological evidence of union were treated with below elbow plaster cast for two more weeks. All the patients were evaluated after 6 months and after 1 year. Anatomical evaluation was done based on modified Lidstrom and Frykman's anatomical grading. Functional evaluation was done by modified Sarmiento demerit point system.

Age: Age of the patients included in the study were between 20-50 years. Mean age was 36 yrs in group-I and 38 yrs in group-II.

Sex: 52 cases (86.7%) were males, 26 each in group-I and group-II.
Side: 45 cases (75%) showed right-sided involvement, 23 cases in group-I and 22 in group-1L.

Mechanism of Injury: The Mechanism of injury in 32 cases were road traffic accidents and 28 cases were fall from height on an outstretched hand.

Associated Injuries: The associated injuries include: Fracture spine 2 Fracture pelvis 1 Fracture of the proximal phalanx 2 Four fractures were Type-I compound.

Type of Fractures: Only fractures of the lower radius with severe metaphyseal comminution were included in the study. Fractures were classified by Frykman's classification as well as universal classification. In Group-I, 90% of the cases were in Frykman-VII variety and 10% were Frykman VIII variety. In Group-II, 93.3% of the cases were Frykman VII variety and 6.7% of cases were Frykman VIII variety. According to universal classification, all the cases belonged to reducible unstable fractures.
OBSERVATIONS

RADIOLOGY

Radiological evaluations were done before commencement of treatment, after reduction, at 6th weeks and after 1 year. Radial length, radial angle, volar tilt and articular incongruity were measured.

The volar tilt is shown in the following table

| VOLAR TILT in degrees | Group I | | Group II | | |
|-----------------------|---------|---|---------|---|---|
|                       | Post Reduction | 6 weeks | 1 yr | Post reduction | 6 weeks | 1 yr |
|                       | No | % | No | % | No | % | No | % | No | % |
| -9 to -5              | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -4 to 0               | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6.7 | 4 | 13.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 to 5                | 3 | 10 | 3 | 10 | 13 | 43.4 | 4 | 13.3 | 5 | 16.6 | 6 | 20 |
| 6 to 10               | 11 | 36.7 | 10 | 33.3 | 9 | 30 | 12 | 40 | 11 | 36.7 | 12 | 40 |
| 11 to 15              | 16 | 53.3 | 15 | 50 | 3 | 10 | 14 | 46.7 | 14 | 46.7 | 12 | 40 |

It was observed that even though good correction was obtained in Group-I immediately after reduction, displacement occurred after removal of external fixator. The volar tilt was maintained in Group-II.

Radial Shortening

The following table shows radial shortening of both the groups:

| Radial shortening in mm | Group I | | Group II | | |
|-------------------------|---------|---|---------|---|---|
|                        | Post Reduction | 6 weeks | 1 yr | Post reduction | 6 weeks | 1 yr |
|                        | No | % | No | % | No | % | No | % | No | % |
| <3 mm                  | 22 | 73.3 | 20 | 66.6 | 2 | 6.7 | 22 | 73.3 | 22 | 73.3 | 21 | 70 |
| 3-6 mm                 | 8 | 26.7 | 8 | 26.7 | 24 | 80 | 8 | 26.7 | 8 | 26.7 | 9 | 30 |
| >6mm                   | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6.7 | 4 | 13.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Radial Angle

The following table shows radial angle of both the groups:

| Radial angle | Group I | | Group II | | |
|--------------|---------|---|---------|---|---|
|              | Post Reduction | 6 weeks | 1 yr | Post reduction | 6 weeks | 1 yr |
|              | No | % | No | % | No | % | No | % | No | % |
| 20-24        | 22 | 73.3 | 18 | 60 | 2 | 6.7 | 22 | 73.3 | 18 | 60 | 15 | 50 |
| 15-19        | 7 | 23.3 | 7 | 23.3 | 21 | 70 | 6 | 20 | 10 | 33.3 | 13 | 43.3 |
| 10-14        | 1 | 3.3 | 5 | 16.7 | 6 | 20 | 2 | 6.7 | 2 | 6.7 | 2 | 6.7 |
| 5-9          | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Group II people maintained the radial angle, once the reduction was obtained. In group-II, 50% of the patients showed more than 20 degree of radial angle after one year, but only 6.7% in Group-I.

Articular incongruity

The articular step-off in each group is as given below

Group-I

| Articular step of in mm | Before red | After red | 6 weeks | 1 yr |
|-------------------------|------------|-----------|---------|-----|
|                         | No | % | No | % | No | % | No |
| 0                       | 0 | 0 | 23 | 76.6 | 22 | 73.3 | 8 | 26.7 |
| 1                       | 9 | 30 | 7 | 23.4 | 7 | 23.4 | 15 | 50 |
| 2                       | 18 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3.3 | 6 | 20 |
| >3                      | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3.3 |
Group-II

| Articular step of in mm | Before red | After red | 6 weeks | 1 yr |
|-------------------------|------------|-----------|---------|------|
|                         | No | % | No | % | No | % | No | % |
| 0                      | 0  | 0 | 22 | 73.3 | 22 | 73.3 | 22 | 73.3 |
| 1                      | 7  | 23.4 | 8  | 26.7 | 7  | 23.4 | 7  | 23.4 |
| 2                      | 18 | 60 | 0  | 0  | 1  | 3.3 | 1  | 3.3 |
| >3                     | 5  | 16.6 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |

Loss of articular congruity was more in Group-I patients than in Group - II.

FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT

Grip Strength: The average grip strength after one year in Group - I was 80% and in Group -II was 89%, compared to the normal opposite wrist.

Movement of the Joints:
The average of movements of the forearm and wrist after one year:

| Movements           | Group-I | Group-II |
|---------------------|---------|----------|
| Dorsiflexion        | 53.3    | 63.3     |
| Palmarflexion       | 47.8    | 65.4     |
| Ulnar deviation     | 19.6    | 24.3     |
| Radial deviation    | 18      | 22.4     |
| Pronation           | 58.8    | 69.2     |
| Supination          | 57.2    | 63.3     |

The comparison of movement restriction after 1 year is shown below:

| Movements           | Group I | Group II |
|---------------------|---------|----------|
|                     | No | % | No | % |
| Dorsiflexion<45     | 7  | 23.3 | 1  | 3.3 |
| Palmarflexion <30   | 4  | 13.3 | 2  | 6.7 |
| Ulnar deviation<15  | 4  | 13.3 | 0  | 0  |
| Radial deviation <15| 8  | 26.7 | 2  | 6.7 |
| Pronation<50        | 7  | 23.3 | 1  | 3.3 |
| Supination<50       | 8  | 26.7 | 1  | 3.3 |

Shoulder and Elbow Movements:
No significant restriction of motion of the shoulder and elbow joints were observed in either group.

Compounding:
Four cases were Type-1 compound fractures, two in each group.

Finger Stiffness:
Three patients in Group-I had stiffness of the fingers.

Inferior Radio Ulnar Instability:
Two cases in Group-II and three cases of Group-I showed inferior radio ulnar instability.

Pin Track Infection:
One case of Group-I and two cases of Group-II showed superficial pin track infection. But the quality of fixation were not affected.

Non Union and delayed union:
No nonunion was observed in either group. Eight patients in Group-I had tenderness at the fracture site at six weeks on removal of external fixator. They were immobilized in below elbow plaster cast for two more weeks.

Neurological Complications:
In Group-II, two patients showed neuralgia of the superficial radial nerve.

Post Traumatic Arthritis:
There were no cases of post traumatic arthritis during the follow-up period.

ANATOMICAL AND FUNCTIONAL RESULTS

Grading of Anatomical Eric, Results
Modified Lidstrom & Frykman’s Anatomical Grading
Grade I : (No deformity)
Dorsal Angulations less than 0°  
Loss of Radial Length of less than 3 mm  
Articular incongruity-step of zero to 1 mm  
Grade II: (Slight deformity)  
Dorsal Angulations of 1 to 10°  
Loss of Radial length 3-6 mm  
Articular — Step-off 1 mm - 2 mm  
Grade III (Moderate deformity)  

| GRADE                     | Group I | Group II |
|---------------------------|---------|----------|
|                           | No  | %     | No | %    |
| GR-I No deformity         | 2   | 6.7   | 12 | 40   |
| GR-II Slight deformity    | 15  | 50    | 16 | 53.3 |
| GR-III Moderate deformity | 12  | 40    | 2  | 6.7  |
| GR-IV Severe deformity    | 1   | 3.3   | 0  | 0    |

END RESULT POINT RANGE

Excellent  0-2  
Good       3-8  
Fair       9-20  
Poor       21 OR Above

Functional results after one year

| Functional results | Excellent | Good | Fair | Poor |
|--------------------|-----------|------|------|------|
|                    | No | %  | No | %  | No | % |
| Group-I            | 2  | 6.7 | 15 | 50 | 9  | 30 |
| Group-II           | 9  | 30  | 18 | 60 | 3  | 10 |

DISCUSSION

Displaced distal radial fractures with extensive dorsal comminution are unstable and frequently cause treatment problems since there is no single reliable method of treatment. The increased prevalence of unstable fractures has stimulated the development of new technologies and new surgical techniques for treating these complex injuries. New developments also contribute to the expectation that orthopedic surgeons should be able to treat the fractured distal radius more successfully by achieving early stability, early function, and better outcomes by preventing the late collapse. Adjunctive cancellous bone grafting is often required to augment the structural integrity and aid healing. Because of the risks inherent to cancellous autograft harvest, a less invasive, bone marrow injection at the dorsal defect was tried. Only severely comminuted unstable fractures of distal radius were included in the study, the criteria for instability included dorsal angulations of more than 20 degrees, loss of radial length of 10 mm, and severe dorsal metaphyseal comminution. In this study, all the patients were between the age group 20-50 years. The mean age group of the patients in the study was 37 years. The literature shows that this type of fractures is more common in young adults -- Dennis Forten of Oklahoma University (36.8 yrs), A.P. Vaughan from Toronto University (52 yrs), Greg Sommerkamp from Texas (39 yrs). There was male preponderance (86.7%). This is also the observation in all studies published so far. This can be explained by the fact that most of the injuries resulted from road traffic accidents and fall from the height, for which males are more vulnerable. The dominant hand was more affected...
(75%). Various studies, though, do not give any side predominance. The final average volar tilt was 4.5° in Group-I and In Group-II it was 9°. In group-I restoration of normal volar tilt could not be obtained because of the dorsal collapse. The mean radial angle in Group-I was 16° and in Group-II it was 19.2°. The study shows that by ligamentotaxis, the radial angle can be maintained only during the period of external fixation. In Group-I1, 50% of the patients showed more than 20° radial angle while only 6.7% in Group-I. The average normal radial length in unaffected wrist was 11mm. The average loss of radial length in Group-I was 5mm and in Group-II was 2.9mm. Less than 3 mm radial shortening was noticed in 6.7% cases of Group-I and 70% cases in Group-II. Group-II patients showed better grip strength (89%), than Group-I (80%). The average range of movements were better in Group-II patients than in Group-I. Radial nerve neuralgia was seen in two cases of Group-II which may be due to impingement by the pin to the superficial branch of radial nerve. This subsided by itself in eight weeks. During the period of follow up, no cases of arthritis of the wrist were reported. Three cases developed superficial pin-track infection, which were managed by oral antibiotics and pin-track care. None of the cases warranted pin removal. Two cases in Group-II and three cases in Group-I showed inferior radio ulnar instability. All the five had fracture of distal ulna and were stabilized with k-wires. Three cases of Group-I had stiffness of fingers which required physiotherapy for an average of two months. Eight cases (26.7%) of Group-I had tenderness at the fracture site after six weeks, indicating a delay in fracture union. Those cases were immobilized in below elbow plaster cast for further two weeks. All the cases of Group-II had clinical and radiological evidence of healing by six weeks. This shows that bone marrow injection triggers early bone formation and enhances fracture unionwi.0 93.3% of cases in Group-H, showed good to excellent anatomical results after one year and only 56.7% in Group-1 (p<0.005). Functional results were good to excellent in 90% in Group-II and it was 56.7% in Group-I (p<0.02). This shows that Group-II patients who were treated by bone marrow injection along with ligamentotaxis obtained better results and it is statistically significant also. Comparison of the anatomical results to functional result showed that good anatomical result was a prerequisite for good functional result.

CONCLUSION
Severely comminuted metaphyseal fractures of the lower end of radius is more common in younger age group due to high energy trauma. Anatomical restoration of the radial length is a prerequisite for excellent function of the wrist. External fixators based on the principle of ligamentotaxis gives better anatomical and functional results initially, but on follow-up results deteriorate due to late metaphyseal collapse, which can be effectively prevented by injection of bone marrow into the metaphyseal defect. Injection of bone marrow is almost atraumatic and obviates the need for operations to procure autografts. It is safe and can be done on an outpatient basis A randomized controlled clinical trail will prove beyond doubt that bone marrow injection along with ligament- taxis can prevent late metaphyseal collapse.
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