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The Carotenogenic *Dunaliella salina* CCAP 19/20 Produces Enhanced Levels of Carotenoid under Specific Nutrients Limitation
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*Dunaliella salina* is the popular microalga for \(\beta\)-carotene production. There is still a growing demand for the best strain identification and growth conditions optimization for maximum carotenoids production. Some strains are noncarotenogenic while other strains may respond differently to applied growth conditions and produce enhanced carotenoid levels. This study tested the carotenogenic ability of *Dunaliella salina* CCAP 19/20 under sixteen stress conditions and certain biochemical changes in response to specific stress were investigated. This study identified the above strain as carotenogenic, which produces maximum carotenoids under high light (240 \(\mu\)mol photons m\(^{-2}\) sec\(^{-1}\)) when combined nitrogen and micronutrients (Cu or CuMn) were limited. Based on the intensity of extracted ions chromatograms, lutein (\(m/z\) 568.4357) appears as the major carotenoid followed by \(\beta\)-carotene (\(m/z\) 536.4446) and \(\alpha\)-carotene (\(m/z\) 536.4435). A polypeptide of 28.3kDa appeared while another polypeptide of 25.5kDa disappeared in stress cells as compared to noncarotenogenic cells. Expression levels of antioxidative-enzyme superoxide dismutase-1 (SOD1, \(H_2O_2\)-resistant) remained identical, while the prominent \(H_2O_2\)-sensitive isoforms SOD2 and SOD3 were downregulated during carotenogenic conditions. Overall, increased carotenoids levels might be due to the response of differential expression of specific polypeptides and retention of \(H_2O_2\)-resistant SOD, which eventually might help the organism to thrive in the tested stress conditions.

1. Introduction

*Dunaliella salina* is a marine, unicellular, oxygen-evolving eukaryotic microalga (Chlorophyceae, Dunaliellales), which scavenges atmospheric CO\(_2\) through photosynthesis and grows as motile biflagellated green cells under favourable growth conditions. Morphologically identical *Dunaliella* strains may be biochemically different with noncarotenogenic and carotenogenic nature [1, 2]. Under stressful environmental conditions, such as nitrogen limitation, low temperature, high irradiance, or high-salt concentrations, *D. salina* cells accumulate carotenoids [3–6]. Nitrogen limitation and high irradiance were reported as favourable \(\beta\)-carotene accumulation factors in *D. salina* [7]. Carotenoids are accessory light-harvesting pigments that are structural components for photosystem assembly and help in protecting the photosynthetic apparatus from oxidative damage caused by ROS [8, 9]. The production of ROS is induced due to various stress growth conditions [10, 11]. However, microalgae have enzymatic and nonenzymatic prosurvival mechanisms that either scavenge the produced ROS or inhibit the production of ROS [3]. Excessive ROS might otherwise damage irreversibly lipids, proteins, and DNA molecules ultimately leading to cell death [12]. ROS and autophagy are associated with cell death, which eventually helps in recycling macromolecules (amino acids, lipids, and sugars) for temporal adaptation to adverse conditions [13]. The carotenoid \(\beta\)-carotene (nonenzymatic mechanism) protects the cells by quenching the harmful effect of ROS and free radicals generated due to oxidative stress [14]. *D. salina* is the major source of natural antioxidants (\(\beta\)-carotene and lutein) that have applications in food, feed, and cosmetic and health industries [9, 15]. Noncarotenogenic *Dunaliella* strains nowadays receive attention for biofuel production because of their fast growth and lipid production ability [2].
Like higher plants, microalgae also have evolved multiple strategies to survive oxidative stress caused due to suboptimal growth conditions. Under high-light irradiance, the reaction center proteins and the core chlorophyll-protein-antenna complex change suitably to protect microalgae cells from photoinhibition [16]. In higher plants, the excess photons energy is dissipated through nonphotocatalytic quenching by specific carotenoid production and thus ROS generation is minimized [17]. Cellular antioxidant carotenoid content increases and the activities of the antioxidative-enzyme superoxide dismutase (SOD) also change significantly during stress conditions [4, 10, 18, 19]. SODs are of four types depending on their metal prosthetic groups, such as Mn-SOD, Fe-SOD, and Cu/Zn-SOD [10, 20]. SOD (enzymatic mechanism) dismutates superoxide radicals to hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and the concentration of H₂O₂ may regulate the activities of SOD isoenzymes. For example, Mn-SOD is resistant to certain concentration of H₂O₂ in vitro, while Fe-SOD and Cu/Zn-SOD are sensitive at the same concentration of H₂O₂ [20]. The activities of these four types of SODs may therefore be dependent on intracellular H₂O₂ concentration as well as availability of metal prosthetic groups that is supplied as micronutrients in the growth medium [21]. Therefore, a strong oxidative stress condition may be created which would enhance carotenoid biosynthesis as an alternative prosurvival strategy to survive the stress conditions applied.

Dunaliella salina is the popular microalga known to produce β-carotene; however there is a growing need for γ-carotene; however there is a growing need for γ-carotene; however there is a growing need for γ-carotene; however there is a growing need for γ-carotene; however there is a growing need for γ-carotene; however there is a growing need for γ-carotene; however there is a growing need for γ-carotene; however there is a growing need for γ-carotene. These data would help in growth optimization of D. salina CCAP 19/20 for maximum carotenoid production.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Green-Phase and Stress-Phase Culturing of Microalga. Dunaliella salina CCAP 19/20 (hereafter D. salina) was obtained from the Culture Collection of Algae and Protozoa, SAMS Research Services Ltd., UK. The microalga was maintained in modified ATCC 1174DA medium (1 M NaCl, 20 mM NaHCO₃, 5 mM KNO₃, 5 mM MgSO₄. 0.6 mM CaCl₂, 0.2 mM KH₂PO₄, 2 μM FeCl₃, 6 μM EDTA, 100 μM H₃BO₃, 7 μM MnCl₂, 0.8 μM ZnCl₂, 0.03 μM CoCl₂, and 0.4 μM CuSO₄) at low-light intensity of 40 μmol photons m⁻² sec⁻¹ at 20°C. For actively growing green-phase cells and growth performance, the microalga was cultured in 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks containing 100 ml of modified ATCC 1174DA medium for 10 and 17 days, respectively. Briefly, 500 μl of healthy green cells was inoculated per 100 ml growth medium to obtain an initial (day 0) in vivo absorbance of cells of ~0.03 at 665 nm and 680 nm. The flasks were incubated in an environmental growth chamber at 22°C, under the PAR (photosynthetically active radiation, 400–700 nm) illumination 85 μmol photons m⁻² sec⁻¹ (low-light, LL) for 16/8 h light/dark cycle with shaking at 150 rpm. The active green-phase growth of microalga was also tested under medium light (ML, 120 μmol photons m⁻² sec⁻¹) and high-light (HL, 240 μmol photons m⁻² sec⁻¹) conditions. On the 10th day of growth, green cells were harvested and either retained as control cells for proteins and enzyme analysis or used as inoculum for stress-phase cultivation up to 14 days. Initial pH of the green-phase or stress-phase cultivation medium was set at 7.7 ± 0.02, which increased to 8.2–8.3 on 14th day of cultivation.

A total of sixteen stress conditions were tested to identify the best stress condition for maximum carotenoid production. Ten-day-old green-phase cells were harvested carefully and quickly by centrifugation at 3000 × g at 20°C for 4 min and were used to set up the stress experiments. The pellets obtained after centrifugation were washed twice with stress medium devoid of all micronutrients and KNO₃. Finally, the pellets were resuspended in 3 ml of the above stress medium. Stress experiments were carried out in triplicate in 24-well cell culture plates. Each well containing 2 ml of appropriate stress medium was inoculated with 50 μl of the above resuspended cells. The modified ATCC 1174DA medium without KNO₃ was used as control stress medium. The remaining fifteen stress media were based on control stress media plus elimination of one or more micronutrients. For the stress growth, plates were incubated at 22°C, under the high-light PAR illumination of 240 μmol photons m⁻² sec⁻¹ with 16/8 h light/dark cycle. Each day cells were mixed gently by pipetting which helped in synchronous stress development and prevented condensation of water on the lids.

2.2. Biomass for Protein and Enzyme Analysis. After analysis of carotenoids content for 14 days of stressed cells, only three stress conditions (control stress, Cu⁺, and Cu²⁺Mn⁺) and control green-phase condition were chosen for protein and SOD isoenzymes profile analysis. Cells required for protein extraction were obtained from harvesting 7-day-old cultures grown in 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks (in triplicate) containing 100 ml of appropriate medium. These stress cultures were incubated at 22°C, under the PAR illumination of 240 μmol photons m⁻² sec⁻¹ with 16/8 h light/dark cycle and shaking at 150 rpm.

2.3. Growth and Pigment Content. Active green-phase growth of D. salina was monitored by change in in vivo absorbance of cells at 665 nm and 680 nm. For in vivo absorbance as an indicator of cell density, 100 μl of uniformly suspended cells from specific time-points of growth was transferred to a 96-well plate reader (BioTek Synergy 4). The absorbance value was recorded quickly prior to settling of cells. Cell dry weight of pelleted biomass was estimated gravimetrically after overnight oven dry at 55°C. Cellular growth during stress-phase was monitored by estimating the pigments content from indicated time points. For spectrophotometric
measurement of chlorophylls and total carotenoids, 200 µl of cells was pelleted by centrifugation at 10,000 x g for 2 min and the pellets were resuspended uniformly in 200 µl of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) prior to extraction in the dark at 55 °C in a water bath. The extracts were centrifuged at 15,000 x g for 3 min and the absorbance of the clear supernatant was recorded at 480, 649, and 665 nm, in a 96-well plate reader (BioTek Synergy 4) to estimate the content of total carotenoid and total chlorophyll following the equations of Wellburn [22].

2.4. Carotenoids Analysis by LC/MS. Analysis of carotenoids by LC/MS was carried out as per protocol described earlier [23]. Briefly, supernatants of DMSO extracts used for spectrophotometric determination were stored at −20 °C and were thawed only on the day of LC/MS run. Freeze-thawed supernatants were centrifuged at 13,000 x g for 6 min and transferred to amber vials. 10 µl of clear supernatants was injected onto an Agilent 1260 series HPLC connected to Q-TOF mass spectrometer (Agilent 6520). Carotenoids were resolved by Agilent Zorbax Eclipse C-18 (2.1 mm × 150 mm × 3.5 µm) column and gradient elution solvents. Methanol, methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE), and H₂O (90: 5: 5) served as mobile phase A, while mobile phase B contained a solution of methanol, MTBE, and H₂O (43: 55: 2). Formic acid (0.1%, v/v) was used as an additive in both the mobile phases. The column eluents were directed through a diode array detector (DAD), which was monitored at 478 nm. Finally, 100% of the eluent was passed through the electrospray ionisation source of the Q-TOF mass spectrometer to record the m/z values from 50 to 1700 and the scanning was performed in positive ionisation mode. β-Carotene (Sigma) was used as standard to validate the LC/MS method for carotenoids analysis by comparing the retention time (RT) and specific mass for β-carotene (m/z 536.4446). Other carotenoids present in the samples were identified based on the accurate mass of the known compound.

2.5. Protein and Enzyme Electrophoresis. Samples for protein profile and assay of enzyme activities were prepared from thoroughly washed D. salina cells. Cells were homogenized in a prechilled mortar and pestle in presence of glass powder (~0.5 mm size, clean and sterile) and ice-cold extraction buffer. The extracts were centrifuged at 15,000 x g for 15 min and the process was repeated twice to obtain clear supernatants. The amounts of total soluble proteins were estimated by Bradford reagent, B6916 (Sigma), using BSA as standard [24] and used for both sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and native-PAGE for activity staining of superoxide dismutase.

Electrophoresis was carried out at room temperature (~20 °C) and in ice bath (~4 °C) for SDS-PAGE and native-PAGE, respectively, with a 1 mm thick polyacrylamide gel in Tris-glycine buffer (pH 8.3). In the case of SDS-PAGE, SDS was included in the running buffer (0.1%) as well as in sample buffer (10%). For SDS-PAGE, 2 µg of total proteins was boiled for 3 min with sample buffer and centrifuged briefly before loading. For native-PAGE, 8 µg of total proteins was loaded in each well along with native sample buffer without any denaturing agents. Samples were then electrophoresed at 100 V for 30 min through the stacking gel (6%) and by separating gel (10%) at 120 V for 1 hour and at 140 V until the tracking dye reached the bottom of the gel.

2.6. SDS-PAGE Gel Staining. After electrophoresis, the gel was washed twice, each for 10 minutes with Milli-Q water, and then stained overnight with GelCode blue stain reagent (Thermo Scientific, USA) and destained with distilled water before documentation.

2.7. Native-PAGE Gel Staining. Activity staining for superoxide dismutase (SOD) on gel [25] and their sensitivity to H₂O₂ assay were carried out as described earlier [20]. Briefly, one part of the gel was incubated in 50 mM Tris-Cl (pH 8) containing 5 mM H₂O₂ (test) and another part was incubated in 50 mM Tris-Cl (pH 8) containing no inhibitors (control) for 20 min in the dark. Then, both gels were transferred to staining solution (50 ml of 50 mM Tris-Cl (pH 8), 10 µg NBT, 1 mg EDTA, and 2 mg riboflavin) and soaked for 30 min in the dark at room temperature (~20 °C). Finally, gels were illuminated with white fluorescent light (120 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) for a maximum of 30 min to develop the achromatic bands due to SOD activities.

2.8. Gel Documentation and Statistical Analysis. Gel images were captured with 10-megapixel automatic digital camera with ISO Max set up at 400 (Lumix DMC-FS7, Panasonic) on a white fluorescent light box and alternately gel image was captured by CCD camera for the analysis of protein by Total Lab TL100 software (DNR Bio-Imaging System, Israel). Gel images were processed by improving the brightness contrast of specific regions by using the image analysis software InkScape for obtaining relative staining intensity. 1D gel analysis was performed using “First Order Lagrange” type of curve and propagating Rf of the standard molecular weight protein marker (Broad Range, New England Biolabs) to determine the molecular weight of unknown protein bands. Data presented in the graphs were averages of three replicates ± standard deviation.

3. Results

3.1. Active Green-Phase Growth. D. salina was grown as active green-phase cells under moderate light illumination (85 µmol photons m⁻² sec⁻¹) in modified ATCC 1174DA medium containing all essential micro- and macronutrients. However, cell growth under three light regimes (LL, ML, and HL) was monitored by in vivo optical density of cells at 665 nm and 680 nm (Figure 1). The highest absorbance values were recorded for LL followed by ML and HL. There was an increase of absorbance of 9.96- and 9.6-fold, respectively, at 665 nm and 680 nm under low-light condition on day nine compared to the cells inoculated on day zero (Figure 1). Growth performance of Dunaliella salina CCAP 19/20 under low light was tested in terms of change in cell dry weight
per 100 ml of cultures. Under optimum laboratory growth conditions, a maximum of approximately 25 mg dry weight biomass was obtained on the 17th day of growth with about 14-fold increase compared to the cells inoculated on day zero (Figure 1).

### 3.2. Stress Growth and Change in Chlorophyll Content

Figure 2(a) shows the change in total chlorophyll content of *D. salina* during stress phase of cultivation. For carotenogenesis, actively grown green-phase cells were transferred to medium devoid of combined nitrogen and devoid of specific micronutrients before growing under high-light (240 μmol photons m⁻² sec⁻¹) incubation for stress-phase cultivation. The complete medium devoid of combined nitrogen served as control stress medium. There was sharp decline in total chlorophyll content on day 4 in all conditions, while in few conditions (such as ZM⁻, Cu⁻, CZPM⁻, CF⁻, ZF⁻, and CM⁻) there was gradual increase in chlorophyll content essentially up to the amount present on day 0 of stress-phase cultivation. The chlorophyll content of almost half of the stress conditions tested declined only up to 50% on day 14 compared to day 0 of stress cultivation.

### 3.3. Stress Growth and Change in Carotenoid Content

Cellular total carotenoids content began increasing when the actively grown green-phase cells were transferred to any of the tested stress media and were incubated under high-light intensity (240 μmol photons m⁻² sec⁻¹). However, only one-third of the tested stress conditions showed substantial increase in total carotenoids content (Figure 2). The cell mass colour was golden-yellowish compared to actively grown green cells; however, the microscopic observation of cells revealed only yellowish-green cytoplasmic content (Supplementary Fig. 1). Of the sixteen stress conditions tested, the highest carotenoid content on day 14 was recorded only in two stress conditions: (i) stress medium devoid of KNO₃ and copper and (ii) stress medium devoid of KNO₃, copper, and manganese (Figure 2). The control stress condition and another stress medium devoid of KNO₃, copper, and iron were the next best stress conditions in terms of carotenoid content. The maximum increase in carotenoid content was 91% in two best conditions, while the increase in carotenoid content in control stress condition was 72.63% on day 14 compared to day zero of stress.

The carotenoid profile (of DMSO extract of control stress grown cells) obtained by diode array detector (DAD) monitoring at 478 nm showed three detectable peaks at 0.627, 2.03, and 12.88 min (Figure 3(a)). Extracted ion chromatograms (EICs) with specific mass for known carotenoids and their
mass spectra profile identified lutein (2.12 min, m/z 568.4357), β-carotene (12.94 min, m/z 536.4446), and α-carotene (12.7 min, m/z 536.4435) (Figures 3(b)–3(f)). Considering the peak areas of EIC chromatograms, it appears that lutein (peak area 6445806.87) is the most abundant carotenoids followed by β-carotene (peak area 1574785.62) and α-carotene (peak area 418304.25) (Figures 3(b) and 3(c)).

3.4. Chlorophyll: Carotenoid Ratio. In several microalgae, the process of carotenogenesis is two-phase growth dependent and the ratio of chlorophyll to carotenoid concentrations particularly during their stress-phase growth has been used as indicator of optimum stress conditions [26]. In this study, this ratio was reduced from 2.9 up to 1.12 in stress conditions on day 14 indicating a good stress response with the increase of carotenoid production in almost all tested stress conditions and corresponding decrease in chlorophyll content (Figures 2 and 4). However, only control stress condition was best in terms of lowest chlorophyll-to-carotenoid ratio (Figure 4).

3.5. Superoxide Dismutase Isoenzyme Profile. Superoxide dismutase (SOD) is the first line of enzyme defence system. The activity staining profile of SOD showed significant variations between the enzymes of green-phase and stress-phase grown cells of *D. salina* (Figure 5). A total of five isozyme bands (SOD1-SOD5) were detected. The expression of SOD1 was essentially not affected in any of the stress conditions as the achromatic zones in all lanes were similar to that of green growth phase cells. The prominent isozymes SOD2 and SOD3 were downregulated in all stress conditions. The levels of expression of SOD2 in stress conditions were one-third and SOD3 were negligible compared to green growth phase cells. The expression of less prominent isozymes SOD4 and SOD5 that were present in green growth phase cells completely disappeared in all stress conditions.

Assay for H$_2$O$_2$ sensitivity was carried out by treating part of the gel (right gel, Figure 5) with 5 mM H$_2$O$_2$ prior to standard SOD activity staining. The parallel comparison of treated and untreated gels revealed that the prominent isoforms SOD2 and SOD3 from green-phase cells (GP_control) were slightly sensitive to H$_2$O$_2$. SOD2 from stress condition (Control_stress) was slightly less sensitive to H$_2$O$_2$ while SOD3 from stress condition (Control_stress) was highly sensitive to H$_2$O$_2$ treatment.

3.6. Protein Profile. The protein profiles of *D. salina* cells from all three stress conditions [KNO$_3$− (Control stress), KNO$_3$− and Cu$^+$ (Stress-Cu), and KNO$_3$− and CuMn$^+$ (Stress-CuMn)] differed markedly from those of actively grown green-phase cells (Figure 6, GP_control). A polypeptide of 28.3 kDa appeared in cells under all stress conditions, which was absent in green-phase growth cells, while a polypeptide of 25.5 kDa present in green-phase growth cells disappeared under all stress conditions (Figure 6). Three polypeptides of 26.9, 22, and 13.4 kDa, found in green growth phase cells, were differentially expressed in all stress conditions as visualized by variable staining intensities (Figure 6).

4. Discussion

Some *Dunaliella* sp. produce commercially important carotenoid pigments and their levels were found to be enhanced under specific stress conditions, while there are some *Dunaliella* sp. that does not show induced carotenogenesis. In this study, a strain of *Dunaliella salina* CCAP 19/20 was characterized for its carotenogenic ability under sixteen stress conditions. Initially, active green-phase growth was tested...
Figure 3: Continued.
Figure 3: LC-MS analysis of carotenoids from Dunaliella salina grown for nine days under high light in control stress medium. The diode array detector (DAD) profiles of carotenoid pigments monitored at 478 nm (a); extracted ion chromatograms (EIC) showing the peaks of α- and β-carotene (b) and lutein (c); mass spectrometric identification of lutein (m/z 568.4357, (d)), α-carotene (m/z 536.4435, (e)), and β-carotene (m/z 536.4446, (f)).

Figure 4: Gradual decrease in chlorophyll: carotenoids ratio during stress-phase culturing of Dunaliella salina CCAP 19/20 suggesting their pigments status. Cu (C), copper; Zn (Z), zinc; Mn (M), manganese; Fe (F), iron.
under low-, medium-, and high-light conditions, and the tested strain performed better under low-light (85 μmol photons m⁻² sec⁻¹) condition with about 14-fold biomass increase on the 17th day of growth (Figure 1). The percentage increase of biomass per day of this Dunaliella salina strain (CCAP 19/20) appears much higher compared to Dunaliella salina CCAP 19/18 [18]. The active green cells responded immediately to stress growth conditions with sharp decline of chlorophyll content, possibly due to both photooxidation of photosystem II reaction centers and electrochemical gradients formation on the transmembrane after the onset of high-light illumination as reported in microalgae Haematococcus pluvialis [27]. Generally, the chlorophyll content reduces as a common stress response of high-light and nitrogen limitation as reported in green microalgae Haematococcus pluvialis [28, 29] and Dunaliella bardawil [5]. However, the unusual increase in chlorophyll content in certain stress conditions in this strain can be hypothesized to be due to (1) acclimation to the stress environment by increased oxidative stress tolerance [30], (2) recovery of high-light stressed cells through dark cycle repair as reported in Scenedesmus vacuolatus, where heat treated cells with complete inhibition of photosynthesis were recovered during dark recultivation [31], and (3) mere availability of macromolecules through recycling of dead organic matters released to the culture medium due to excess ROS and autophagy [13]. Another study found the transient
increase in cell division in *D. salina* under nitrogen depletion at a constant light regime in a turbidostat and eventually increased β-carotene as well as biomass yield [32].

The strain of *Dunaliella salina* CCAP 19/20 could be identified as carotenogenic. The cellular carotenoids content increased in all stress growth conditions at high-light intensity (240 μmol photons m$^{-2}$ sec$^{-1}$), while the substantial increase in total carotenoids was found only in one-third of the stress conditions tested (Figure 2). The data suggest a positive effect on carotenoid content due to removal of copper and KNO$_3$ from the stress growth conditions. There are several reports on increased carotenoids content in microalgae in response to high-light and combined nitrogen depletion (*D. bardawil* [5] and *H. pluvialis* [29]). There was another report on *D. salina* strain that died under high-light illumination due to reduced chlorophyll content with slow growth rate [5]. In contrast, the carotenoid content of a cyanobacterium *Oscillatoria willei* BDU 130511 was reduced even under low-light incubation in nitrogen-limited growth medium [10].

Detail analysis of carotenoids profile using LC-MS revealed that the major carotenoids are lutein, β-carotene, and α-carotene in the *D. salina* CCAP 19/20 strain. The data of this study is in agreement with previous reports, where lutein was identified as one of the major carotenoids in *D. salina* [33] and in *D. tertiolecta* [34]. However, *D. salina* is mainly known for its highest β-carotene content [6, 35] and a relatively low amount of α-carotene in certain *D. salina* strains under specific growth temperatures [35]. A recent study however demonstrated the modified carotenoid production pathways in *Dunaliella salina* by using cyclization inhibitors 2-methylimidazole (2MI) and 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole (Amitrol). The study found that 2MI was effective in lutein accumulation without affecting cell viability of *D. salina* when grown at high temperature [36].

Most carotenogenic microalgae decline their chlorophyll content while gradually increasing the content of carotenoids upon transfer to stress growth conditions and the ratio of chlorophyll to carotenoid has been used as optimum stress indicator. The rate of reduction of chlorophyll-to-carotenoids ratio in *Dunaliella* strain (CCAP 19/20) is low. It was reported in another study that five out of the seven strains of *D. salina* showed a relatively reduced chlorophyll-to-carotenoid ratio in low-light (40 μmol photons m$^{-2}$ sec$^{-1}$) compared to high-light (110 μmol photons m$^{-2}$ sec$^{-1}$) condition at the highest growth temperatures of 26°C [35]. In *Dunaliella bardawil*, chlorophyll-to-β-carotene ratio was found inversely proportional to the growth irradiance during cell division cycle [5]. The chlorophyll-α-to-total carotenoid ratio was indicative of good physiological response in green microalgae *H. pluvialis* during nitrogen depletion stress [26].

The SOD isoenzyme profile possibly explains differential response to cellular H$_2$O$_2$ environment especially under stress conditions. The less prominent isoform SOD1 from both green-phase and stress-phase cells was resistant to H$_2$O$_2$ treatment and hence this could be Mn-SOD. Retention of Mn-SOD in all growth conditions might suggest a specific requirement to protect against respiratory ROS generated in the mitochondrial membranes [10, 37]. H$_2$O$_2$ sensitive bands of *D. salina* could be Fe-SOD whose levels were found reduced in all the stress conditions. This possibly suggests toxic levels of H$_2$O$_2$ production internally, which after release to the culture medium may aid in degrading organic matter for nutrients recycling [10]. Increase in H$_2$O$_2$ content is a common consequence of abiotic stresses including salinity stress in *D. salina* [4]. Previous studies suggest that Fe-SOD may protect cyanobacteria against cytosolic superoxide ions generated during oxidative stress due to nitrogen limitation [10, 38]. Therefore, this microalga might have adopted an alternative stress alleviating mechanism to protect against harmful effects of cytosolic superoxide ions. Heat shock protein 90 (Hsp90) of *Dunaliella salina* (dshsp90) was found to be upregulated due to heat and salt shock suggesting that *dshsp90* might serve as early marker of environmental stress response in *D. salina* [39]. The SDS-PAGE protein profiles of green phase and stress phase are essentially different. Particularly, the three polypeptides (25.5, 26.9, and 28.3kDa) could actually be LHC-II (light-harvesting complex II) polypeptides, which may contain a minimum of six protein subunits ranging within 25–31kDa [40]. Two polypeptides of 22 and 13.4kDa could be copper-responsive proteins involved in electron transfer and redox reactions. Copper-responsive proteins of 5–25kDa were reported in germinating embryos of *Oryza sativa* [41]. Earlier, a 22kDa ferredoxin-binding protein was suspected to be modified to 28kDa in *D. salina* under high-light irradiance as detected immunologically [16]. A recent study on *Dunaliella parva* found a total of 227 upregulated proteins and 159 down-regulated proteins under nitrogen-limited stress condition. Functional analyses of the above differentially expressed proteins suggested that nitrogen limitation could alter the levels of proteins expression related to photosynthesis, stress response, lipid metabolism, carbohydrate metabolism, and nitrogen metabolism in *Dunaliella parva* [42].

Overall, the differential protein profile suggests photooxidative stress response of *D. salina* CCAP 19/20. The sharp decline of chlorophyll levels and then gradual increase of carotenoids particularly lutein and the differential response of SOD might explain the survival strategies of *D. salina* CCAP 19/20 under the tested stress conditions. As a short-term strategy to survive under oxidative stress conditions, microalga *H. pluvialis* showed differential expressions of SOD isoenzymes, while as long-term survival strategy the organism may adopt chronic molecular defence strategies by carotenoid accumulation [37].

5. Conclusions

The carotenogenic *D. salina* CCAP 19/20 produces enhanced level of carotenoids including lutein and β-carotene when cultivated in growth medium devoid of specific micronutrients (Cu or CuMn) and nitrogen under high-light (240 μmol photons m$^{-2}$ sec$^{-1}$) illumination. The response of chlorophyll and carotenoid pigments and differential expression of SOD isoenzymes as well as proteins related to photosynthesis helped the organism to survive tested stress conditions. The data obtained on various biochemical changes may be used as base for further evaluation of *D. salina* CCAP 19/20 for commercial suitability and growth optimization.
and stress-phase cells colour. To micrographs (not in scale) of corresponding green-phase three flasks in the upper panel). Lower panel shows the photoprocess from left), which were then transferred to three stress media grown as healthy green cells for generating biomass (first flask in the upper panel). Lower panel shows the photomicrographs (not in scale) of corresponding green-phase and stress-phase cells colour. (Supplementary Materials)
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