Expression Patterns of MicroRNAs in Porcine Endometrium and Their Potential Roles in Embryo Implantation and Placentation
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Abstract

Implantation and placentation are critical steps for successful pregnancy. The pig has a non-invasive placenta and the uterine luminal epithelium is intact throughout pregnancy. To better understand the regulation mechanisms in functions of endometrium at three certain gestational stages that are critical for embryo/fetal loss in pigs, we characterized microRNA (miRNA) expression profiles in the endometrium on days 15 (implantation period), 26 (placentation period) and 50 (mid-gestation period) of gestation. The differentially expressed miRNAs across gestational days were detected and of which, 65 miRNAs were grouped into 4 distinct categories according to the similarities in their temporal expression patterns: (1) categories A and B contain majority of miRNAs (51 miRNAs, such as the miR-181 family) that were down- or up-regulated between gestational days 15 and 26, respectively; (2) categories C and D (14 miRNAs) consist miRNAs that were down- or up-regulated between gestational days 26 and 50, respectively. The expression patterns represented by eleven miRNAs were validated by qPCR. The majority of miRNAs were in categories A and B, suggesting that these miRNAs were involved in regulation of embryo implantation and placentation. The pathway analysis revealed that the predicted targets were involved in several pathways, such as focal adhesion, cell proliferation and tissue remolding. Furthermore, we identified that genes well-known to affect embryo implantation in pigs, namely SPP1, ITGB3 and ESR1, contain the miR-181a or miR-181c binding sites using the luciferase reporter system. The present study revealed distinctive miRNA expression patterns in the porcine endometrium during the implantation, placentation or mid-gestation periods. Additionally, our results suggested that miR-181a and miR-181c likely play important roles in the regulation of genes and pathways that are known to be involved in embryo implantation and placentation in pigs.

Citation: Su L, Liu R, Cheng W, Zhu M, Li X, et al. (2014) Expression Patterns of MicroRNAs in Porcine Endometrium and Their Potential Roles in Embryo Implantation and Placentation. PLoS ONE 9(2): e87867. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0087867

Editor: Xiaoqin Ye, The University of Georgia, United States of America

Received October 14, 2013; Accepted December 31, 2013; Published February 5, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Su et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported financially by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31272426), the National Basic Research Program of China (2014CB138500) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: yumei@mail.hzau.edu.cn

Introduction

The pig is a litter-bearing species, and the increase in litter size may has a significant impact on the profit potential for swine producers. The prenatal mortality is a major limitation for the increase in litter size in pigs. Two waves of prenatal mortality occur during the early and mid-gestation in commercial swine, including ~20–30% of embryonic loss during gestational days 10–30 and ~10–15% of loss of the remaining fetuses from gestational days 50 to 70 [1]. Recent evidences indicated that the prenatal loss in pigs results mainly from the decreased placental efficiency and uterine capacity [2,3]. The pig is known to have a non-invasive epitheliocorial placenta which is established as round gestational days 26–30 [4]. In order to maintain sufficient surface area for fetal-maternal exchange, a folded trophoblast/endometrial epithelial bilayer is developed. The trophoblast/endometrial surface area for the maternal-fetal exchange significantly increase from gestational days 35 to 70, coinciding with the rapid growth of the pig fetus [5,6]. The porcine uterine epithelium is intact throughout pregnancy [7] and the uterine endometrium exhibits characteristic morphological and functional changes, which functions in supporting and nurturing the developing conceptuses during pregnancy. In turn, the hormones, proteases and cellular factors secreted from the conceptuses act directly on the endometrium, and may modify endometrial function to promote the interactions between the uterus and the conceptuses as well as placental development [8]. Thus, gaining an understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying the uterine endometrium remodeling during pregnancy would be important for the investigating of the molecular basis of the sow prolificacy.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are a class of small non-coding RNAs that function as modulators of gene expression by regulating mRNA degradation or by inhibiting translation at the post-transcriptional level [9]. While miRNAs generally inhibit transcript translation, some miRNAs can target specific sites in gene promoters to induce gene expression [10,11]. Recent reports on mRNA expression profiles suggest that aberrant miRNA expression is associated with human endometrial disorders, such as endometriosis [12–14], endometrial hyperplasia and carcinoma [15–18]. MiRNAs also can regulate cell-cycle progression during the cyclic changes in the secretory-phase endometrial epithelium [19]. In addition, some differentially expressed miRNAs have been
determined in the mouse uterus during peri-implantation period [20,21]. However, only a few reports have been published on the expression profiles of miRNAs in the porcine placenta or endometrium [22–24]. Moreover, the structure of the porcine placenta is different from that of the human and mouse, and therefore, the porcine uterine endometrium likely has different physiological mechanisms in play during pregnancy. The aim of this study is to determine the miRNA expression profiles in porcine endometrium on days 15 (implantation period), 26 (placentation period) and 50 (mid-gestation period) of gestation using the Affymetrix Genechip microRNA array. We found that the majority of miRNAs were expressed at higher levels on gestational day 15. Analysis of the predicted targets revealed that these miRNAs may regulate embryo implantation and placentation. These miRNAs might serve as the biomarkers in the porcine endometrium during pregnancy, and the investigation of their functions in the endometrium will be needed for understanding the prolific natures of sows.

Results
Differentially Expressed miRNAs Detected by the Microarray

In this study, the Affymetrix miRNA microarray was used to detect miRNA expression profiles in the porcine endometrium during pregnancy. In the initial analysis of the data, we identified similarities and differences between the samples by principal component analysis (PCA). The miRNA expression patterns in samples from the gestational day 15 differed from those in samples from the gestational days 26 and 50 (Figure 1). The 1763 probe sets which represent the human, mouse, rat and porcine mature miRNAs were selected for subsequent analyses (Table S1). After non-specific prefiltering, 513 probe sets (29%) were detected to be expressed in the porcine endometrium (Table S2). The miRNAs showing significant changes in expression (fold change >2, adjusted p-value <0.05) in the endometrium between the different days of gestation were summarized in Table 1–3. The number of differentially expressed miRNAs between gestational days 15 and 26 was larger than that between gestational days 26 and 50, suggesting that more miRNAs take place dramatic changes in expression during the implantation and placentation periods.

Patterns of miRNAs Expression in Porcine Endometrium during Pregnancy

To visually illustrate the expression patterns of miRNAs in the porcine endometrium during pregnancy, we used unsupervised hierarchical clustering to construct a heat map based on the differentially expressed miRNAs. The results showed that 65 miRNAs were grouped into 4 categories (Figure 2). The miRNAs in category A exhibited the high expression levels on day 15 of gestation, and followed by a decrease in expression on gestational days 26 and 50. Category A includes 46 miRNAs, such as miR-205, miR-31, miR-200c, miR-214, miR-920 and miR-494. The miR-181a/b/c/d, belong to the miR-181 family, were also found in category A. The miRNAs in category B were expressed at higher levels on day 26 of gestation compared to those on days 15 and 50. Category B contains 5 miRNAs (miR-17, miR-20b, miR-106a, miR-18a and miR-15b). The miRNAs in category C were expressed at higher levels both on gestational days 15 and 26, and their expression levels decreased on gestational day 50. Four miRNAs (miR-221/222 cluster, miR-132 and miR-92a) are represented for category C. The miRNAs in category D were highly expressed on day 50 of pregnancy. Ten miRNAs, such as miR-215, miR-101, miR-487b and miR-30c, are represented by category D. The expression levels of a large

Figure 1. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) plot of microarray data in porcine endometrium. In each case, three replicates from each gestational day cluster together. Clustering of the samples according to gestational day is shown. The red, blue and green circles stand for three different gestational days. D15: gestational day 15; D26: gestational day 26; D50: gestational day 50.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0087867.g001
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Analyses of Predicted Targets of the Differentially
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miR-181c by using three available target prediction tools. Secreted phosphoprotein 1 (SPP1), a secretory glycoprotein, known to
mediate conceptus trophoblast attachment, growth, and epitheliolar placental in pigs [23–27]. Integrin beta 3 (ITGB3), an adhesion molecule, mediates cell adhesion in implantation
junction, tight junction and mTOR signaling pathway (Figure 4 and Table S5).
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Validation of the miRNA Candidates by RT-qPCR

Eleven miRNAs (miR-205, miR-222, miR-200c, miR-23b, miR-411, miR-17, miR-31, miR-149, miR-320, miR-181c and
miR-214) were chosen for validation by RT-qPCR. The results are shown in Figure 5. In general, the expression patterns for the
eleven miRNAs were consistent with those in the microarray data. miR-205, miR-214, miR-200c, miR-181c, miR-149, miR-23b, miR-320 and miR-31, which belong to category A, showed the higher expression levels on day 15 of gestation. MiR-17 in category B were higher expression levels on day 26 of pregnancy. MiR-222 in category C showed the higher expression level both on gestational days 15 and 26. The expression levels of miR-411 in category D increased in endometrium on day 50 of gestation.
the psi-CHECK™2 dual luciferase reporter plasmid, respectively. The reported plasmids along with miR-181a or miR-181c mimic were co-transfected into PK-15 cells. A scrambled sequence was constructed for negative control. The luciferase activity was significantly attenuated in cells transfecting with miR-181a or miR-181c compared to the negative control (Figure 6). Therefore, these results suggested that miR-181a and miR-181c can specifically bind to the 3' UTR of \( \text{miR-181a-star} \) and \( \text{miR-181c-star} \) respectively, based on predicted targets showed that these miRNAs were the regulator for the stable adhesion between the maternal-fetal interface in pigs after the initial attachment of conceptus trophoblast to uterine luminal epithelium and mediates epitheliochorial placentation in pigs [25-27]. The miR-181a has been demonstrated to be a regulator for the stable adhesion between the maternal-fetal interface in pigs after the initial attachment of conceptus trophoblast to uterine luminal epithelium and mediates epitheliochorial placentation in pigs [25-27]. The miR-181a and miR-181c can specifically bind to the 3' UTR of \( \text{SUPP1} \) gene by luciferase reporter system. Therefore, these results suggested that the miR-181a and miR-181c may target \( \text{SUPP1} \) gene in porcine endometrium to regulate embryo implantation and epitheliochorial placentation. Integrin beta 3 (ITGB3) is an adhesion molecule and is expressed in a punctate pattern only on the apical surface of uterine luminal epithelium during early pregnancy in pigs [27,28]. It has been shown that ITGB3 and ITGAV subunits together bind to \( \text{SUPP1} \) to generate stable adhesions between the maternal-fetal interface in pigs after the initial attachment of conceptus trophoblast to the endometrial luminal epithelium [26,27]. We also found that ITGB3 was putative target of miR-181a by luciferase reporter system, suggesting that the miR-181a could be the regulator for the stable adhesion between the maternal-fetal interface during pregnancy. The endometrium is a complex steroid-responsive tissue that undergoes cell proliferation and differentiation in preparation for embryo implantation [33]. In pigs, conceptuses secrete estrogen to provide the initial maternal recognition signal and can mediate regulation in endometrial remodeling through the presence of estrogen receptor ESR1 [29,34]. We identified that ESR1 gene contains the miR-181c binding site by luciferase reporter system, suggesting a role of miR-181c in regulation of estrogen-mediated gene expression and influencing implantation in pigs. Stanniocalcin 1 (STC1), a luminal epithelial marker during embryo implantation in pigs, plays a role in regulating uterine receptivity for conceptus attachment in implantation process [35]. STC1 mRNA is abundant in endometrium luminal epithelium on days 12-20 of pregnancy and the expression level is decreased on day 25 of pregnancy [35]. In contrast, our results of microarray and qPCR investigation showed that the expression level of miR-17 was up-regulated between gestational days 13 and 26. Furthermore, \( \text{STC1} \) was a predicted target of miR-17, implying that miR-17 could be a potential regulator for uterine receptivity in pigs. Fibroblast growth factor 7 (FGF7), a growth factor secreted by uterine luminal epithelium, plays a role in stimulating the proliferation, differentiation and migration of conceptus trophoblasts during implantation is the process that the attachment of the developing blastocyst to the uterus wall for establishing a functional placenta and successful pregnancy [30]. In pigs, most embryonic loss occurs during the implantation and placentation periods. A critical event of embryo implantation and placentation is the process that the attachment of the developing blastocyst to the uterus wall for establishing a functional placenta and successful pregnancy [30]. In pigs, most embryonic loss occurs during the implantation and placentation periods. A critical event of embryo implantation and placentation is the process that the attachment of the developing blastocyst to the uterus wall for establishing a functional placenta and successful pregnancy [30].
the implantation period in pigs [36]. MiRNA target algorithms predicted that FGF7 was a target of miR-31. Thus, miR-31 may influence conceptus survival and growth during the implantation period by targeting FGF7. Taken together, our findings revealed miRNAs that may regulate genes which have well-known functions in embryo implantation and placentation in pigs.

SPP1 and ITGB3, which were the putative targets of miR-181a and miR-181c, are components of focal adhesion signal pathway. Focal adhesions are dynamic macromolecular complexes comprised of integrins which link the extracellular matrix (ECM) to the actin cytoskeleton [37] and have been demonstrated to play an important role in implantation process. The implantation process is classified into three phases: apposition, attachment and invasion [38]. The dynamics of focal adhesions influence the process of cell attachment on uterine luminal epithelium in rat and ovine uterus, as well as embryo invasion in humans [39–41]. Many of components of focal adhesion signal pathway link integrin-mediated signals with other signaling pathways, such as mTOR, PI3K, MAPK signaling pathway [42]. The miR-181a and miR-181c may regulate embryo implantation and placentation by

Figure 2. The hierarchical clustering based on differentially expressed miRNAs in porcine endometrium during pregnancy. Each row represents an individual miRNA and each column represents a sample. A color legend indicating the level of miRNA expression is at the top, with red indicating high expression level and green indicating low expression level. D15: gestational day 15; D26: gestational day 26; D50: gestational day 50. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0087867.g002
regulating the focal adhesion signaling pathway. Moreover, we found that many miRNAs included in the category A were predicted to target the components of focal adhesion signal pathway. For example, Talin, that is encoded by the TLN1 gene, is a key component of focal adhesions and an important regulator of integrin activation [43]. TLN1 was predicted to be a target of miR-200c. MiR-107 may target VCL, which encodes a cytoskeletal protein of focal adhesion, to regulate the linkage of integrins to the actin [44]. Focal adhesion kinase (FAK), a protein tyrosine kinase, is recruited to focal adhesions and mediates many of the downstream responses [45]. And previous report demonstrated that miR-205 can inhibit the expression of FAK in renal cancer [46]. In addition, cytoskeletal reorganization is essential for the attachment of the conceptus trophoderm to the endometrial luminal epithelium [47]. Rho GTPases, including RhoA, Rac1 and CDC42, which can control the cytoskeletal changes by linking ECM molecules to the actin cytoskeleton for focal adhesion assembly [48]. RhoA is a validated target of miR-31 [49]. MiR-31 was also predicted to target CDC42. We speculated that miR-31 may be involved in cytoskeletal reorganization in porcine endometrium, which is critical for remodeling of endometrium during the implantation period. In summary, the multiple miRNAs which in category A may influence embryo implantation and placenta by regulation of the focal adhesion signal pathway.

miRNAs in categories B, C and D were differentially expressed between gestational days 26 and 50. Coinciding with the two critical periods for placenta and placental development, the trophoblast/endometrial surface area has been observed to increase markedly to maintain sufficient surface area for fetal–maternal exchange [2,50]. Many miRNAs in categories B, C and D have been identified to regulate the expression of genes function in cell proliferation and angiogenesis. The miR-17 was included in category B. The E2F transcription factor (E2F1), which plays a central role in cell-cycle progression, was found to be regulated by miR-17 [51]. The miR-221 and miR-222 in categoriy C have been determined to have the ability to regulate the cell proliferation by inhibition of the cell cycle repressor cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1 B (p27) [52]. The miR-126, included in category D, is a key positive regulator of angiogenic signaling in endothelial cells. MiR-126 enhances the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and fibroblast growth factor (FGF) signalling via repression of inhibitors of these pathways, leading to angiogenesis and vasculature development [53,54]. VEGF may
contribute to the increase in the growth of the placental vasculature as gestation advances to meet the requirement of the rapidly growing pig fetus [53]. Therefore, miRNAs in categories B, C and D may regulate placentation and placental development by targeting genes associating with cell proliferation and angiogenesis.

Conclusion

The present study revealed the distinctive miRNA expression patterns in the porcine endometrium on days 15, 26 and 50 of gestation and miRNAs that might play an important role in the embryo implantation and placentation. The results provided a better understanding of the role of miRNA in the porcine endometrium remodeling during the three stages of gestation.
The significance of differences was calculated using two-tailed t-test. *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0087867.g006

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

All research involving animals were conducted according to the regulation (No. 5 proclaim of the Standing Committee of Hubei People’ Congress) approved by the Standing Committee of Hubei People’ Congress, P. R. China. Sample collection was approved by the ethics committee of Huazhong Agricultural University. Animals were humanely sacrificed as necessary to ameliorate suffering. Electrocution were used for humane form of euthanasia.

Sample Collection and RNA Preparation

Yorkshire gilts were obtained from the pig farm of Huazhong Agricultural University (Wuhan, China). The gilts in estrus were bred naturally twice, 24h apart and were euthanized at the following days: gestational day 15, gestational day 26 and gestational day 50, considering that gestational days 15, 26 and 50 are three important times points for the implantation, placentation and mid-gestation periods. The first day of mating was considered to be Day 0 of pregnancy. The pregnancy was confirmed by the presence of normal conceptus in the uterine flushing (gestational day 15) or upon hysterectomy (gestational days 26 and 50). Each uterus was opened longitudinally at the antimesometrial site. For pigs on gestational day 15, three samples of the endometrium were randomly collected from the anti-mesometrial sites. For pigs on gestational days 26 and 50, the endometrium samples at three healthy conceptus-attachment sites were collected. All tissue samples were washed briefly with PBS and then immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Total RNA from the endometrium samples were extracted using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, United States). The quality and quantity were determined by electrophoresis and spectrophotometry, and then were sent for hybridization to the miRNA Affymetrix microarray in a commercial service. For microarray hybridization, each RNA sample from one endometrial site of one gilt was pooled in equal volume with that from the corresponding site of the other gilt on each gestational day. Therefore, three pools of RNA samples from three different conceptus-attachment sites of the two gilts on each gestational day were used for the microarray hybridization. The RT-qPCR was performed on three endometrial samples from each gilt (n = 3–4 gilts/gestational day) to validate the results of microarray data.

Affymetrix GeneChip® miRNA Arrays

The affymetrix GeneChip® miRNA 1.0 Array (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, California, United States) were processed using a commercial Affymetrix array service (Gene’Tech Biotechnology Limited Company, Shanghai, China). The affymetrix GeneChip® miRNA 1.0 Array contains 46,228 probes, comprising 7,815 probe sets, and covers 71 organisms including human, mouse, rat and pig. The content is derived from the Sanger miBase miRNA database v11.0 (http://www.mirbase.org). The RNA samples were labeled with the Genisphere FlashTag Biotin HR RNA labeling kit (Genisphere, Hatfield, United Kingdom). The labeled RNA was quantified, fractionated and hybridized to the miRNA microarray according to the standard procedures provided by the manufacture. The chips were washed and stained using a Genechip Fluidics Station 450 (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, California, United States). The chips were then scanned with an Affymetrix GeneChip Scanner 3000 (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, California, United States).

Analysis of the Affymetrix miRNA Arrays Data

Data from the Affymetrix CEL files were loaded into R program using the Affy package. After subtraction of background intensities and normalization by RNA method [56], the Log2 transformed intensities were obtained. The microarray data had been deposited in NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) and the accession number is GSE35995 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?token=jpkbhucgygukp&acc=GSE35995). The principal component analysis (PCA) plot of samples was performed using all probe sets, by using a median centering of the data set. The 1763 probe sets which represent the human, mouse, rat and porcine mature miRNAs were selected for subsequent analyses. To ensure specificity of miRNAs detection in porcine endometrium, only the 842 probe sets homologous with the porcine miRNAs in miRBase were used for non-specific prefiltering on the basis of variability [57]. The probe sets with the maximum of normalization...
tion value < 4 or with standard deviation < 0.2 across samples were filtered out. Therefore, the filtered data sets consisted of 513 probe sets which represent 261 miRNAs. All the filtered probe sets were used for identification of the differentially expressed miRNAs by the linear models and the empirical Bayes methods (Limma R package). The raw $P$ values were adjusted by the Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate to yield adjusted $P$ values. The criteria for identification of the differentially expressed miRNAs were established as a fold change > 2 with an adjusted $P < 0.05$. Unsupervised hierarchical clustering analyses for differentially expressed miRNAs were performed by using the R language. Correlation similarity matrix and complete linkage algorithms were used in the cluster analysis.

Bioinformatics Analysis

Target genes of the differentially expressed miRNAs were predicted by using three available target prediction programs, namely miRanda (http://www.microrna.org/microrna/home.do), TargetScan (http://www.targetscan.org/), and miRDB (http://mirdb.org/miRDB/). Only target genes identified by all the three databases were considered to be predicted target genes for each differentially expressed miRNA. The Gene Ontology biological process and KEGG pathway analyses for the predicted targets were performed using the DAVID (Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery) web-based tool (http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/).

Real-time Quantitative PCR of Mature miRNAs

RT-qPCR was used to validate the results of microarray data. Primers (Table 4) were designed on the basis of miRNA mature sequence. Total RNA was reversely transcribed using One Step PrimeScript miRNA cDNA Synthesis Kit (TakaRa, Dalian, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The ploy (A) was added to the 3’ end of miRNAs. A primer consisting of an oligo(dT) sequence is used for reverse transcription. qPCR was performed using SYBR Premix Ex Taq II (Takara, Dalian, China) in the LightCycler 480 Real-Time PCR machine (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). PCR conditions were as follows: single cycle of 5 min at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 30 sec at 95°C, 20 sec at 60°C, and 15 sec at 72°C. Small nuclear RNA U6 was used as internal control. All qPCRs were performed in triplicate. The $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ ("delta-delta Ct") method was used to determine the differences in expression between the different comparisons. The differences in miRNA expression levels between groups were compared using the two tailed T-test. A $p$ value $< 0.05$ was considered significant.

Dual Luciferase Reporter Assays

The 3’UTR of SPP1, IGF3 and ESR1 containing the miR-181a and miR-181c binding sequence was cloned into the psiCHECK™-2 dual luciferase reporter plasmid (Promega, Fitchburg, Wisconsin, United States). The PCR primer for SPP1 plasmid were forward (5’-CCGCTCGAGCCGAGACGGGAAATTGCGAGGAGTACTGACACA-3’) and reverse (5’-AACAUAAAGCCGAGGAGAGGTACCAGACGACACAAATGGGA-3’); The PCR primer for IGF3 plasmid were forward (5’-CTCGAGCTAAAGGCTTCTTGAGGT-3’) and reverse (5’-GCCGAGGAGGGAGGAGTACTGACACA-3’). The accuracy of the plasmid inserts was determined by complete sequencing analysis. The miRNA mimics were synthesized as duplexes. The miRNA mimic sequences: ssc-mir-181a (5’-AACAUAAAGCCGAGGAGAGGTACCAGACGACACAAATGGGA-3’); ssc-mir-181c (5’-AACAUAAAGCCGAGGAGAGGTACCAGACGACACAAATGGGA-3’). A scrambled sequence (NC) was constructed for negative control. The NC sequence: 5’-UUCUCCGAACGUUCAGUAGU-3’.

Supporting Information

Table S1 A list of the 1763 probe sets which represent the human, mouse, rat and porcine miRNAs.

Table S2 A list of the 513 probe sets after non-specific filtering.

Table S3 A list of predicted targets of the differentially expressed miRNAs.

Table S4 A list of Gene Ontology enriched for predicted targets of the differentially expressed miRNAs.

Table S5 A list of KEGG pathways enriched for predicted targets of the differentially expressed miRNAs.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: MY MJZ SHZ. Performed the experiments: LJS WC XPL. Analyzed the data: RZL LJS. Wrote the paper: LJS MY.

References

1. Geisert RD, Schnitt RAM (2002) Early embryonic survival in the pig: Can it be improved? J Anim Sci 85: 665-666.
2. Ford SP, Vonahnale KA, Wilson ME (2002) Uterine capacity in the pig reflects a combination of uterine environment and conceptus genotype effects. J Anim Sci 80: E66–E73.
3. Vallet JL, Freking BA (2007) Differences in placental structure during gestation associated with large and small pig fetuses. J Anim Sci 85: 3267–3275.
4. Dantzer V (1983) Electron microscopy of the initial stages of placentation in the pig. Anatomy and embryology 172: 201–293.
5. Fries AE, Sinowatz F, Skolck R, Trautner W (1980) The placenta of the pig. I. Finestructural changes of the placental barrier during pregnancy. Anatomy and embryology 136: 179–191.
6. Knight JW, Baer FW, Thatcher WW, Franke DE, Wallace HD (1977) Conceptus development in intact and unilaterally hysterectomized-ovariectomized gilts: interrelations among hormonal status, placental development, fetal fluids and fetal growth. Journal of animal science 44: 620–637.
7. Kaufmann P, Burton G (1999) Anatomy and genesis of the placenta. In ‘The Physiology of Reproduction’. (Eds J D Knobil and N Y Neill), 411–464.

PSL ONE | www.plosone.org 9 February 2014 | Volume 9 | Issue 2 | e87867
