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ABSTRACT

Play for play’s sake is viewed a child’s primary occupation from which meaning is derived that transcends its inherent health, educational or other such value. Examined from this perspective is to acknowledge the intrinsic contribution the doing of play contributes the child’s being. The proliferation of technology in an ever-connected world offers increasingly diverse digital spaces for children to play. Such digital spaces present new opportunities for children to co-create new expressions of play; whether alone, with friends, indoors and outdoors, in real and in make-believe worlds. Despite literature in relation to play in digital spaces coming from a plethora of academic fields, this occupational perspective of play as a child-centred, autotelic pursuit remains largely ignored. This research will explore digital play choices, experiences, and outcomes from children’s unique perspective. Furthermore, this research aims to reflect the rights and agency of children as meaning-makers and active contributors in inquiry [3], using creative and adaptive approaches through the research process.

It is envisioned that this research will contribute to the understanding of the autotelic nature of play in digital spaces. Such understanding will assist children and adults to leverage technology to ensure that meaningful playful experiences proliferate in the future.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The 21st century has seen one of the most significant shifts in terms of digitalisation accelerated by rapid shifts in the accessibility of technology and digital devices. For children, certainly in most western societies, this means that they are confronted by an increasingly diverse range of leisure and play opportunities. Despite literature in relation to play in digital spaces coming from a plethora of academic fields, such as Computer Science, Sociology, Psychology, and Education, play from an occupational perspective has been largely ignored to date. This research will therefore explore the choices, experiences, and outcomes of children’s participation in play in digital spaces from their own unique perspective.

2 PLAY IN DIGITAL SPACES: DOING & BEING

Occupation is described as “what humans do when they act as agents of their own intentions” [19, pg. 91] with Occupational Science being the study of human occupation. Within the context of children and young people, play is acknowledged as a child’s primary occupation. Much of the focus of recent research has been to identify the outcomes of play from an educational or developmental perspective; such as stimulating language, the social participation whilst playing, developing literacy or numeracy and the learning of playing on digital devices to support independent use [see for example; 8, 18]. Distinguishing play as an occupation focusses attention on its autotelic character rather than educational, developmental, or therapeutic value. From this perspective children’s participation is characterised as the being or doing of play for play’s sake. Globally, the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child [17] has firmly re-positioned children’s agency in their participation in society, acknowledging them not only as the holder of rights but also able to share and make meaning from their unique perspective of the world [3].

3 RESEARCH CONTEXT AND APPROACH

Although there has been an increase in interest in research examining the nature of autotelic play in diverse backgrounds and environments such as playgrounds [10], urban spaces [9] and in rural settings such as forests [16], explorations of play for play’s sake in digital spaces has remained largely ignored to date. By acknowledging the contemporary occupation of play in digital spaces comprising of a complex interplay of people, environments, objects (both real and virtual) and cultural dimensions; the transactional approach enables the position of agency and occupational identity to be established [12, 13]. Plowman [14] provides a broad definition of digital play being “activities children choose to do using digital devices and toys” (pg.56). To consider the interactivity afforded by digital play, four levels are indicated by Flynn et al [5]; receptive, manipulative, embodied, and contingent interactivity. However, the
complexity of interactivity is acknowledged within the as the opportunities provided will offer distinct play affordances dependent on the social and physical environment, the players’ skill, their level of motivation and volition in that given moment [11]. Bird & Edwards [1] interprets Hutt’s taxonomy of play to explore the breadth of affordances of digital play in relation to the epistemic and ludic characteristics; the former being what knowledge is obtained through play where children explore, problem solve and develop new skills. From this perspective, play that draws on children’s previous experiences is referred to as ludic. As children learn to operate and engage with devices and digital objects (epistemic play), their play becomes more ludic; demonstrating the use of their imagination and creativity [6, 7]. The constant challenge provided by playing in digital spaces can be explored by considering ‘flow’ whereby individuals experience a state of complete engagement and focus groups that are guided by participating children.

4 CURRENT PROGRESS AND EXPECTED RESULTS

This PhD commenced in January of 2021 and will be completed by publication over the course of the next three years. It will comprise of a series of four interconnected studies each building upon the results of the previous and the researcher’s evolving understanding of play from an occupational perspective. It is anticipated that this research will contribute to improve the knowledge and understanding of play in digital spaces as an occupation; highlighting the intrinsic, autotelic nature of play from the unique perspectives of children.

Study 1 will consist of a scoping review to explore the current extent of research from a child’s perspective. Studies 2, 3 & 4 will follow the trajectory of childhood to explore play in digital spaces from the perspective of children and young people.

At present (August 2021), the Ethics application has been submitted and approved by SREC at University College Cork, Ireland and the Swedish Ethical Review Board. The scoping review has commenced and is due to be completed by September 2021. This will then enable recruitment and data collection to commence.
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