Receptor-mediated Endocytosis 8 Utilizes an N-terminal Phosphoinositide-binding Motif to Regulate Endosomal Clathrin Dynamics*
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Background: RME-8 is involved in clathrin removal at early endosomes.

Results: RME-8 possesses a novel PI(3)P-binding motif within its N terminus.

Conclusion: Association of RME-8 with PI(3)P is required for endosomal clathrin removal and alters the steady state localization of retrograde transport cargo CI-MPR.

Significance: Regulation of PI(3)P association or PI(3)P levels is likely critical for controlling early endosomal organizational activities.

Receptor-mediated endocytosis 8 (RME-8) is a DnaJ domain containing protein implicated in translocation of Hsc70 to early endosomes for clathrin removal during retrograde transport. Previously, we have demonstrated that RME-8 associates with early endosomes in a phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate (PI(3)P)-dependent fashion. In this study, we have now identified amino acid determinants required for PI(3)P binding within a region predicted to adopt a pleckstrin homology-like fold in the N terminus of RME-8. The ability of RME-8 to associate with PI(3)P and early endosomes is largely abolished when residues Lys¹⁷, Trp²⁰, Tyr²⁴, or Arg²⁶ are mutated resulting in diffuse cytoplasmic localization of RME-8 while maintaining the ability to interact with Hsc70. We also provide evidence that RME-8 PI(3)P binding regulates early endosomal clathrin dynamics and alters the steady state localization of the cation-independent mannose 6-phosphate receptor. Interestingly, RME-8 endosomal association is also regulated by the PI(3)P-binding protein SNX1, a member of the retromer complex.Wild-type SNX1 restores endosomal localization of RME-8 W20A, whereas a SNX1 variant deficient in PI(3)P binding disrupts endosomal localization of wild type RME-8. These results further highlight the critical role for PI(3)P in the RME-8-mediated organizational control of various endosomal activities, including retrograde transport.

Endocytosis is a highly regulated and diverse process of plasma membrane and extracellular matrix internalization that participates in a variety of cellular processes (1–3). Recent work in the field has revealed that endocytic vesicles are heterogeneous macromolecular complexes, making it critical to further identify and fully characterize factors that associate and regulate endocytic events such as endosomal signaling, endosomal maturation, cargo sorting, cargo recycling, and cargo degradation.

Receptor-mediated endocytosis 8 (RME-8)³ was first discovered utilizing genetic screens in Caenorhabditis elegans, where rme-8 mutants exhibited defects in receptor-mediated yolk endocytosis in the oocyte and fluid phase endocytosis in the coelomocyte (4). Likewise, studies of rme-8 mutants in Drosophila displayed blockage in the internalization of the Bride of Sevenless receptor causing the formation of the rough eye phenotype (5). RME-8 has not only been shown to be highly conserved in the animal kingdom (6, 7), but it is also present in plants, where studies in Arabidopsis thaliana have demonstrated that RME-8 mutants exhibit gravitropism defects and associate with endosomal structures (8). Finally, a recent study has determined that a gain of function mutation in RME-8 correlates with Parkinson disease (9), highlighting the importance of studying RME-8 biology in more detail.

RME-8 is a large protein composed of more than 2000 amino acids. It contains four IWN repeats of unknown function and a DnaJ binding domain located between the second and the third IWN repeat that has been shown to associate with heat shock protein Hsc70 in a variety of species (5–7, 10). DnaJ protein family members act as coupling factors to stimulate ATP hydrolysis by its partner heat shock protein and thus they function as co-chaperones (11). The pleiotropic Hsc70 has a well-established role in the disassembly of clathrin (12). Clathrin is crucial for vesicle formation at the plasma membrane during clathrin-mediated endocytosis and for protein sorting from early endosomes (13). In the case of endocytosis, the DnaJ domain protein auxillin recruits Hsc70 to release clathrin coats from clathrin-coated vesicles by binding to the terminal domain of clathrin heavy chain (12, 14, 15). Clathrin-coated vesicles are also fea-
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tured on early endosomes and are the target of the RME-8/Hsc70 complex where they are employed to sort cargo from early endosomes to the trans-Golgi network (TGN) during retrograde transport (5, 10, 16, 17).

In addition to binding Hsc70, RME-8 has also been shown to associate and co-localize with the endosome membrane remodeling component SNX1 (10, 18). SNX1 when complexed with SNX2 recruits the Vps26-Vps29-Vps35 retromer trimer to form the heteropentameric coat, also known as retromer (19). SNX1 contains a phox (PX) domain that binds specifically to phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate (PI(3)P). Subsequently, the Vps26-Vps29-Vps35 trimer is recruited and recognizes the transmembrane cargo to be sorted from early endosomes to the trans-Golgi network during retrograde transport (19–21). In mammals, a well-characterized transmembrane protein that is sorted by a retromer is the cation-independent mannose 6-phosphate receptor (CI-MPR) (19, 20). Generally, newly synthesized acid hydrolase precursor proteins are recognized by the CI-MPRs at the TGN membranes and are later sorted at early endosomes. After acid hydrolases reach the lumen of early endosomes, they dissociate from the receptor due to the acidic environment. They are then directed to lysosomes to degrade biological material, whereas the acid hydrolase receptors escape the early-to-late endosomal degradation pathway and are transported to the TGN through the retrograde transport pathway (19, 22, 23).

A prominent mechanism during endosomal processing events is the recruitment of target effector proteins through association with PI(3)P on the surface of early endosomes. We have recently discovered that RME-8 associates with PI(3)P-containing early endosomes in a myotubulin-related-2-dependent manner (24). Here, we have now identified critical residues mediating PI(3)P binding within the N terminus of RME-8. We have characterized this PI(3)P binding region in terms of its biochemical properties and examined its requirement for RME-8 activities at the early endosome.

**Experimental Procedures**

**Plasmid Constructs**—The plasmids encoding GFP-RME-8 were a kind gift from Drs. Fujibayashi and Sekiguchi from Osaka University, Osaka, Japan (6). Site-directed mutagenesis was performed to generate RME-8 point mutants. The forward and reverse primers for the GFP-RME-8 K8A mutant are 5'-TAAATTAGGGAAAATCGGGATCTGCATGT-3' and 5'-AACATGCGATCCGCGATTTCTCCTAATTAC-3'. The forward and reverse primers for generation of the GFP-RME-8 K17A mutant are 5'-TTCTACAAACAGACATCATCATGGAG-3' and 5'-CTTCTGATAGTTGTTGATGAGA-3'. To generate the GFP-RME-8 W20A mutant, the forward primer used was 5'-CAACAAAACATTCAGCGAGGGGGAAGGCTA-3', and the reverse was 5'-AAAAGACAGCGCTTAGCCCTCCCCTCATTAG-3'. The forward primer for the generation of GFP-RME-8 R26A was 5'-GGGAAGTATAAGGCTGTTTTCAGTT-3', and the reverse was 5'-AAGTATAAGGCTGTTTTCAGTT-3'. All the constructs generated were verified by automated DNA sequencing (ACGT Corp.). FLAG-Hsc70 and mRFP-SNX1 constructs were the kind gifts from Dr. Frank R. Sharp (University of California at Davis) and Dr. Peter J. Cullen (University of Bristol), respectively. Site-directed mutagenesis was performed to generate the mRFP-SNX1 K214A point mutant. The forward and reverse primers for mRFP-SNX1 K214A are 5'-CGCCCCCCCGGAGGCAAGGAGGAAAATACTTCCCCATGAGGGAAGGCTA-3' and 5'-TTCTATAGGGCTGTTGGCCTCCCCTGCGGGGAGGAGGAGGCTA-3'.

**Bioinformatics Analysis**—RME-8 sequences were obtained from NCBI (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), and orthologue sequences were aligned utilizing ClustalW multiple sequence alignment program (25). The three-dimensional structure prediction model of RME-8 was obtained by submitting the sequence of the first 100 amino acids of RME-8 to Protein Homology/AnalogY Recognition Engine Version 2.0 (Phyre2) (26, 27). The predicted three-dimensional structure of RME-8 generated from Phyre2 was superimposed onto the structure of the FERM domain of talin (Protein Data Bank code 1d1mixa2) using the Swiss-PDB Viewer (Deep View) (28, 29).

**Cell Culture, Transfection, and Cell Lysis**—HeLa (ATCC) and HEK293 (ATCC) cells were maintained in DMEM/F-12 with 10% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine and supplemented with 1% penicillin/streptomycin antibiotics at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. Cells were seeded 24 h before transfection. Following transient transfection with 5 μg of DNA using FuGENE HD (Roche Applied Science) using the manufacturer’s protocol, cells were lysed with lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1% Nonidet P-40, 76 mM NaCl, 2 mM EGTA, 10% glycerol) supplemented with protease inhibitors 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride and 1 mM aprotinin.

Knockdown of endogenous RME-8 and SNX1 protein expression was performed using ON-TARGET siRNA SMARTpools (Dharmacon). HeLa cells were transfected with RME-8 and SNX1 siRNAs to a final concentration of 25 nM using DharmaFECT 1 transfection reagent following the manufacturer’s protocols. Cells were then incubated with antibiotic-free media for 48 h before analysis.

**Phosphatidylinositol Pulldown**—HEK293 were transiently transfected with GFP-RME-8 variants and lysed as described above. Cellular lysates were incubated overnight at 4 °C with various phosphatidylinositol (PI) phosphates conjugated to resin (Echelon Biosciences), including control PI beads, PI(3)P, PI(4)P, PI(3,4)P₂, PI(3,5)P₂, PI(4,5)P₂, and PI(3,4,5)P₃. Following three washing steps with washing/binding buffer (10 mM Hepes, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 0.25% Nonidet P-40, 76 mM NaCl, 2 mM EGTA, 10% glycerol) supplemented with protease inhibitors 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride and 1 mM aprotinin.

**Liposome Flotation Assay**—40 and 30% Opti-Prep density gradient medium (Sigma) was prepared in washing/binding buffer (10 mM Hepes, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 0.25% Nonidet
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P-40). HEK293 cells were lysed with 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1% Nonidet P-40, 76 mM NaCl, 2 mM EGTA, 10% glycerol lysis buffer. Cellular lysates were incubated with control PI or PI(3)P-polimerized liposomes (PolyPPIPosomes, Echelon Biosciences) for 2 h at room temperature. Following incubation, 60% Opti-Prep density gradient medium (Sigma) was added to obtain a final 40% Opti-Prep density gradient. The protein/liposome mixtures were added to thick-walled polycarbonate tubes (Beckman Coulter). 30% Opti-Prep density gradient medium was then overlaid on top of the 40% layer. Finally, wash/binding buffer was added as the top layer. Samples were spun in an ultracentrifuge at 50,000 rpm for 3 h at room temperature. After centrifugation, SDS-PAGE loading dye was added, and protein samples were analyzed by immunoblotting.

Co-immunoprecipitation—For the RME-8/Hsc70 co-immunoprecipitation, we co-transfected HEK293 cells with GFP-RME-8 variants and FLAG-Hsc70 for 24 h, and cells were lysed as described above. Cellular lysates were incubated with FLAG-protein A-agarose beads (Sigma) overnight at 4 °C. Immunoprecipitates were washed three times with 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 0.1% Triton X-100, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS and analyzed by immunoblotting using anti-FLAG (Sigma), goat anti-GFP (Rockland), rabbit anti-actin (Sigma), and secondary antibodies anti-mouse HRP (Promega), anti-goat HRP (Rockland), and anti-rabbit HRP (Vector Laboratories). For RME-8/SNX1 co-immunoprecipitations, we transfected cells with GFP-RME-8 variants for 24 h. We pre-bound the protein A-agarose beads with 1 μg of goat anti-GFP antibody for 45 min at room temperature. Following washing steps, we incubated cellular lysates with the conjugated beads overnight at 4 °C. Samples were washed three times as described above and analyzed by immunoblotting. Anti-SNX1 (BD Transduction Laboratories) was used to detect endogenous SNX1.

Immunofluorescence and Image Analysis—HeLa cells were seeded on four-chamber slides (BD Biosciences) 24 h prior to transient transfection with 0.5 μg of DNA using FuGENE HD. Slides were fixed for 15 min with 3.7% paraformaldehyde at room temperature. Cells were then permeabilized with 0.15% Triton X-100 for 2 min and blocked with 5% BSA for 1 h at room temperature. Primary antibodies, including mouse anti-EA-1 (BD Biosciences), rabbit anti-EA-1 (Sigma), goat anti-clathrin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), mouse anti-CI-MPR (Abcam), and rabbit anti-SNX1 were incubated for 1 h. Rabbit anti-RME-8 antibody was a kind gift from Dr. Peter McPherson (McGill University, Canada). Following washes, cells were incubated with the appropriate Alexa secondary antibodies (Life Technologies, Inc.) for 1 h at room temperature. Cells were washed, stained with Hoechst stain (0.5 mg/ml) (Invitrogen) for 2 min, and mounted on the slide. Confocal fluorescence microscopy was utilized to capture the images using a ×40 oil and a ×60 water objective. To determine the extent of co-localization between EEA1-positive endosomes and RME-8, Pearson’s correlation coefficients were measured. Pearson’s correlation coefficient values were calculated using ImageJ software via JACoP plugin (National Institutes of Health) (30). Data in the bar graphs represent the average of 30 randomly selected cells examined for co-localization.

Results and Discussion

Identification of the RME-8 PI(3)P-binding Motif—Earlier studies have shown that RME-8 associates with early endosomes (6, 7, 18), and recently our laboratory has discovered RME-8 to be a novel PI(3)P-binding protein that is regulated by the PI(3)P phosphatase MTMR2 (24). Because RME-8 does not contain a classical PI(3)P binding domain (e.g. FYVE domain, PX domain) we sought to localize the PI(3)P-binding motif in RME-8. To guide this process, the primary sequence of RME-8 was analyzed by the Phyre2 protein folding prediction program (26, 27). The result of this analysis was a high confidence score predicting the presence of a pleckstrin homology (PH)-like binding fold present in the first 100 amino acids of RME-8. Along with predicting homology with PH domains from a variety of proteins, the highest homology was with the PH domain of the PI(4,5)P2-binding protein Talin (94% confidence) (Fig. 1, A, B). Further homology modeling using the Swiss-PDB Viewer and the three-dimensional structure of the PH domain of Talin (Protein Data Bank code d1mixa2) was performed (Fig. 1A). The resulting structural model revealed strong alignment with a portion of the PH fold of Talin, complementing the Phyre2 prediction program result. Generally, most PH domain binding interfaces reside on loop structures that link β-strands (33). Examining these regions on the RME-8/Talin model revealed residues in the extreme N terminus of RME-8 predicted to reside on such loop structures (Fig. 1A). Moreover, when examining this N-terminal region in RME-8, it was determined that many of these residues are highly conserved among orthologues (Fig. 1C), leading us to hypothesize their involvement in PI(3)P binding (Fig. 1, C and D). This region contains many positively charged residues and several bulky hydrophobic residues conserved among orthologues. Such residues have been shown to be functionally critical in PH domains (33) as well as other PI(3)P binding domains such as PX and FYVE domains (34, 35).

PH domains have been shown to bind to a variety of phosphoinositide isoforms, in particular isoforms with vicinal phosphates such as PI(3,4)P2 and PI(4,5)P2 (33). Therefore, it was important to examine the phosphoinositide specificity of RME-8. To accomplish this, HEK293 cells expressing wild type RME-8 were lysed and incubated with each of the seven phosphatidylinositol phosphates (PIP) conjugated to resin, and binding specificity was assessed via immunoblotting (Fig. 2). Consistent with earlier studies (24), RME-8 associates with PI(3)P and PI(3,5)P2, while failing to associate with the products of MTMR2, PI or PI(5)P. Furthermore, RME-8 shows no appreciable association with PI(4)P, PI(3,4)P2, or PI(4,5)P2. Interestingly, RME-8 did associate with PI(3,4,5)P3 to a similar extent as PI(3)P and PI(3,5)P2. Although the finding that RME-8 can associate with resin functionalized with PI(3,5)P2 and PI(3,4,5)P3 is potentially biologically relevant (see discussion below), the focus of this study was regulation of RME-8 at early endosomes. Thus, subsequent analysis focused on delineating residues important for association with PI(3)P.

As noted above, the predicted PH-like fold region in the N terminus of RME-8 contains several highly conserved residues with the potential to mediate PI(3)P binding. Therefore, we
**Figure 1. RME-8 contains a putative phosphoinositide-binding motif.**

A. Structural homology model depicting RME-8 (red) aligned with the solved structure of Talin’s FERM third subdomain (blue) (27). The first 100 amino acids of RME-8 sequence were first submitted to the Phyre2 server for homology detection followed by Swiss-PDB Viewer to produce the structural model (see “Experimental Procedures”). Solvent-exposed amino acids of interest (Lys17, Trp20, Tyr24, and Arg26) in RME-8 that potentially represent a phosphoinositide-binding interface are shown in green.

B. Alignment of RME-8 and FERM’s PH-like subdomain (d1mixa2). Sequences are aligned based on the secondary structure homology predicted by the Phyre2 server. Blue arrows represent β-strands, and the amino acids in bold represent amino acid identity.

C. Multiple sequence alignment of the N-terminal region of RME-8 orthologues. The RefSeq accession numbers used were the following: Homo sapiens, AAV41096.1; Mus musculus, NP_001156498.1; Drosophila melanogaster, NP_610467.1; C. elegans, AF372457.1; A. thaliana, AE07904.1; Desmodus rotundus, JAA50105.1; Leishmania donovani, CBZ36243.1; Ixodes ricinus, JAB76328.1; Capsaspora owczarzaki, EFW44108.1; Apis mellifera, XP_394533.4; and Chlamydomonas reinhardtii, EDP05338.1. Based on the ClustalW alignment, the putative signature phosphoinositide-binding motif of RME-8 to is KxSW(K/R)G(K/R)YXR. D. Schematic presentation of the human RME-8 protein with its IWN repeats (gray boxes) and its DnaJ domain (black box) shown. The proposed RME-8 PI(3)P-binding motif targeted for subsequent mutagenesis studies is highlighted.
examined the effect of substituting a variety of positively charged and bulky hydrophobic residues within this region for PI(3)P binding using the PIP pulldown assay (Fig. 3). Lysates generated from HEK293 cells expressing RME-8 variants were incubated with resin containing PI or PI(3)P. Following washing and SDS-PAGE separation, the PI(3)P binding activity of RME-8 variants was determined by immunoblotting. As expected, wild type RME-8 associates with PI(3)P. Moreover, RME-8 K8A and RME-8 K25A variants also display no significant difference in their ability to interact with PI(3)P. In contrast, the RME-8 variants K17A, W20A, Y24A, and R26A show markedly reduced capacity to associate with PI(3)P in this in vitro pulldown assay (Fig. 3, A and B). These results demonstrate that Lys17, Trp20, Tyr24, and Arg26 represent possible determinants for an N-terminal PI(3)P-binding motif within RME-8.

To complement the bead-based pulldown assay, we also examined the ability of wild type RME-8 and a representative binding mutant RME-8 W20A to associate with liposomes containing PI(3)P using liposome flotation assays (36). HEK293 cells expressing wild type RME-8 or RME-8 W20A were incubated with PI- or PI(3)P-containing liposomes followed by the addition of an Opti-Prep density gradient solution. Samples were subjected to ultracentrifugation upon which the PI(3)P liposomes (along with any bound RME-8) migrate to the top portion of the gradient. Gradients were then fractionated and analyzed by immunoblotting (Fig. 3, C and D). We reproducibly observed a significant portion of wild type RME-8 20A was defective at fractionating with the PI(3)P liposomes suggesting that RME-8 can associate with PI(3)P liposomes and that Trp20 is required for PI(3)P binding activity.

**PI(3)P-binding Mutants Attenuate RME-8 Association with PI(3)P-rich Early Endosomes—** It has been demonstrated that RME-8 displays a punctate localization pattern due to its association with early endosomal structures (4, 6, 7, 18, 24, 37) that can be disrupted by the PI(3)-kinase inhibitor wortmannin and expression of the PI(3)P phosphatase MTMR2 (24). Therefore, to complement the above biochemical assays, we screened the various RME-8 mutants for subcellular localization changes from punctate to a diffused cytoplasmic pattern. As shown in Fig. 4, wild type RME-8, RME-8 K8A, and RME-8 K25A variants display a punctate pattern consistent with functional endosomal localization. In contrast RME-8 K17A, RME-8 W20A, RME-8 Y24A, and RME-8 R26A significantly lost the RME-8 punctate pattern, instead displaying largely diffuse cytoplasmic localization.

To test whether these residues would be involved in dictating RME-8 PI(3)P-dependent early endosomal localization in vivo,
we utilized immunofluorescence microscopy to examine co-localization of RME-8 variants with endogenous EEA-1, which is a well characterized PI(3)P early endosome marker (Fig. 5) (35, 38, 39). Consistent with our pulldown experiments, RME-8 K8A and RME-8 K25A co-localize with EEA1 to a similar extent as wild type RME-8 (Fig. 4, A and C), indicating that these Lys residues likely are not critical for PI(3)P binding. On the contrary, RME-8 K17A, RME-8 W20A, RME-8 Y24A, and RME-8 R26A failed to associate with PI(3)P-rich EEA1 early endosomes as indicated by loss of co-localization with EEA-1 along with diffuse cytoplasmic localization (Fig. 5, B and C).

Taken together, our data indicate that RME-8 binds PI(3)P biochemically in vitro and on early endosomes in vivo through a novel N-terminal binding motif that is predicted to reside within a PH-like folded domain. Moreover, residues Lys¹⁷, Trp²⁰, Tyr²⁴, and Arg²⁶ were identified as key determinants for competent PI(3)P association. Akin to other PI(3)P-binding proteins, RME-8 utilizes a combination of hydrophobic and positive charge functional groups for association with PI(3)P. In particular, the PX domain also possesses invariant Lys and Tyr residues to drive PI(3)P binding (40, 41). It is interesting to note that analogous to RME-8, PX-containing proteins have also been shown to associate with PI(3,5)P₂ (22, 42, 43). This is in contrast to the FYVE domain, whose structurally distinct zinc coordination and small positively charged pocket is postulated to be too small to accommodate PI(3,5)P₂ (44). Another distinguishing feature of RME-8’s PIP specificity is the interaction with PI(3,4,5)P₃ in the PIP pulldown assay (Fig. 2). Further structure-function analysis will be required to experimentally determine whether the N-terminal region of RME-8 truly adopts a PH-like fold and to examine whether RME-8’s association with PI(3,5)P₂ and PI(3,4,5)P₃ is biologically relevant. However, it is interesting to note that in addition to early endosomes, RME-8 has been reported to localize to late endosomes and recycling endosomes, which are known to contain PI(3,5)P₂ and PI(3,4,5)P₃, respectively (4, 7, 45). Thus, it will be interesting to assess whether these PIP isoforms localized on distinct endosome subtypes regulate subcellular localization of RME-8.
FIGURE 4. RME-8 K17A, W20A, Y24A, and R26A alter the subcellular localization of RME-8. HeLa cells were transiently transfected with wild type RME-8, RME-8 K8A, RME-8 K17A, RME-8 W20A, RME-8 Y24A, RME-8 K25A, and RME-8 R26A and analyzed by confocal microscopy as described under “Experimental Procedures.” Images were collected using a ×40 objective. Scale bars, 15 μm.

FIGURE 5. RME-8 early endosome localization requires Lys17, Trp20, Tyr24, and Arg26. A and B, HeLa cells were transfected with wild type RME-8, RME-8 K8A, RME-8 K17A, RME-8 W20A, RME-8 Y24A, RME-8 K25A, and RME-8 R26A (green) for 24 h and stained for EEA1 (red). Co-localization was analyzed by confocal microscopy. A, wild type RME-8, RME-8 K8A, and RME-8 K25A co-localize with EEA1-positive early endosomes. B, absence of co-localization between RME-8 K17A, RME-8 W20A, RME-8 Y24A, and RME-8 R26A with EEA1 at early endosomes. Solid white arrows show regions of co-localization. Expanded boxes represent regions of interest. Images were collected using a ×40 objective. Scale bars, 15 μm. C, Pearson’s correlation coefficient (PC) was utilized to quantify the extent of co-localization between RME-8 and EEA1-positive endosomes. Means ± S.D. of three independent experiments (n = 30 cells) are shown. p values were calculated by the Student’s t test and compared with wild type RME-8, *, p < 0.1; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001.
RME-8 Does Not Require its PI(3)P-binding Motif for Association with Hsc70—Hsc70 binds DnaJ domain-containing cofactor proteins and is involved in ATP-dependent clathrin dissociation from clathrin-coated vesicles (46). Studies in Drosophila, C. elegans, and humans have shown that RME-8 binds the ATPase domain of Hsc70 through its DnaJ domain (5, 7, 10, 18, 46). Intriguingly, RME-8 is the only known PI(3)P-binding protein that recruits Hsc70 to early endosomes via its DnaJ domain. Because the mechanism by which these two molecules regulate clathrin is not well characterized, it was of interest to explore whether the RME-8/Hsc70 interaction was maintained in RME-8 PI(3)P-binding mutants. Co-immunoprecipitation experiments were conducted using HEK293 cells expressing GFP-RME-8 variants and FLAG-Hsc70 followed by GFP immunoprecipitation and immunoblot analysis (Fig. 6A). All of the RME-8-binding mutants that were found to disrupt PI(3)P association were able to co-immunoprecipitate Hsc70 to a similar extent when compared with wild type RME-8. The GFP-RME-8 ΔC1165 truncation mutant, which is devoid of its DnaJ domain (6), was also analyzed as a negative control, and as expected it was largely defective at co-immunoprecipitating Hsc70. The data strongly indicate that the RME-8/Hsc70 interaction is not perturbed by the ability of RME-8 to bind PI(3)P.

The notion that the PI(3)P binding activity of RME-8 is segmented from its protein-protein binding activity was confirmed using another interacting partner, SNX1. As shown in Fig. 6B, we observed RME-8 W20A to co-immunoprecipitate endogenous SNX1 to a similar extent as wild type RME-8. In addition to indicating that mutating residues in the N-terminal PI(3)P binding region does not disrupt the functional fold of other RME-8 domains, this finding also signifies that RME-8 may potentially associate with Hsc70 or SNX1 away from endosomal structures.

It remains unclear how Hsc70 is distributed between auxillin and RME-8 during endocytosis and endosome maturation, respectively. One possibility is that due to the relative high concentration of Hsc70 in the cell, there are sufficient amounts of Hsc70 protein to partition within the two complex pools independently. Alternatively, PI(3)P levels may play a role in signaling the cell toward forming the RME-8-Hsc70 complex for sorting processing. In either case, our results signify that the endosomal clathrin uncoating activity of Hsc70 may potentially be indirectly regulated by PI(3)P levels via its interaction with RME-8.

Functional PI(3)P Binding Is Required for RME-8-mediated Early Endosomal Clathrin Regulation—One of the hallmarks of membrane trafficking is cargo recognition and sorting from one cellular compartment to the other. Following cargo concentration into patches, the membrane undergoes deformation into smaller coated vesicles containing the cargo of interest (47). One of these coats is clathrin, which has been shown to regulate the trafficking of retrograde transport receptors at early endosomes (10, 18, 47, 48) in addition to its role in the endocytosis of receptors at the plasma membrane. Recent studies have revealed that the retromer complex controls endosomal clathrin levels through its incorporation of the RME-8-Hsc70 tandem (10, 18). Interestingly, the loss of either RME-8 or Hsc70 results in early endosomal clathrin accumulation (5, 10). Having established that the RME-8/Hsc70 association is preserved in RME-8 PI(3)P-binding mutants, we hypothesized that early endosomal clathrin levels would be perturbed in RME-8 PI(3)P-binding mutants due to the inability of Hsc70 to access the endosomal clathrin structures. To examine this hypothesis, HeLa cells were transiently transfected with wild type or the RME-8 W20A variant. We chose the RME-8 W20A variant as the representative binding mutant for this and all subsequent experiments due to its extensive conservation among RME-8 orthologues and based on the structural model that predicts Trp20 of residing on an exposed loop that could potentially serve as a strong binding interface (Fig. 1). Following fixation, cells were triple-labeled for endogenous EEA-1 (Fig. 7, red), endogenous clathrin heavy chain (green), and GFP-RME-8 (blue). The extent of co-localization between clathrin (green) and EEA-1 (red) was calculated as a measure of co-localization between the two channels (Fig. 7E). Of interest were RME-8-decorated early endosomes that were positive for PI(3)P (EEA-1). Analysis of these structures revealed a clear pattern where
vesicles that were positive for RME-8 and PI(3)P (EEA-1) were largely devoid of clathrin (Fig. 7A). Interestingly, early endosomes that were lacking RME-8 (shown by arrowheads, Fig. 7A) displayed strong co-localization between EEA1 and clathrin. These results emphasize the function that RME-8 plays at the early endosomes and its involvement in clathrin regulation. Conversely, HeLa cells transfected with siRNA targeting RME-8 expression resulted in an endosomal clathrin phenotype opposite of overexpressing wild type RME-8 with increased co-localization between clathrin and the PI(3)P marker EEA-1 (Fig. 7D). We observed an analogous phenotype by knocking down the protein expression of SNX1 by siRNA (Fig. 7E). Interestingly, similar to the siRNA-treated samples, cells expressing RME-8 W20A displayed a statistically significant increase in co-localization of early endosomal clathrin on EEA1-positive early endosomes compared with wild type RME-8, **, p < 0.01. The values of Pearson’s correlation coefficients of all the experiments were related and compared with RME-8 W20A set at 100% due to its largest statistical value. Solid white arrows, arrowheads, and expanded boxes represent regions of interest. Images were collected using a 40× objective. Scale bars, 15 μm.
and thus likely competes with endogenous RME-8 for Hsc70, resulting in clathrin remaining at early endosomes. Thus, our results suggest that RME-8 binding to PI(3)P through its PI(3)P-binding motif plays a critical mechanistic role in regulating early endosomal clathrin levels in collaboration with its binding partner Hsc70.

**Perturbation of Mannose 6-Phosphate Receptor Localization by the RME-8 W20A PI(3)P-binding Mutant—**Clathrin and retromer coats have been implemented in the retrograde transport of transmembrane proteins from early endosomes to the trans-Golgi network (TGN) (48). The action of these two coats working in a coordinated sequential fashion is facilitated by the RME-8/Hsc70-mediated clathrin uncoating process at early endosomes (10, 17, 18, 48). RME-8 is a component of the retromer coat, and it has been shown to be important for the retrograde transport of transmembrane proteins such as the CI-MPR (10, 18, 19). The sorting of the acid hydrolase precursors starts at the TGN, and their delivery to early endosomes is mediated by their binding to CI-MPR embedded in the membrane followed by retrograde recovery of CI-MPR back to the TGN (19, 20, 49). Proper functioning of the retrograde transport is regulated by RME-8. Knockdown of RME-8 expression has been shown to mis-sort retrograde transport receptors such as MIG-14 in *C. elegans* and CI-MPR in mammalian cells (7, 10, 18). Because RME-8 regulates CI-MPR transport through clathrin disassembly (10), we investigated whether CI-MPR sorting would be affected in cells expressing RME-8 PI(3)P-binding mutants. Therefore, HeLa cells expressing wild type RME-8 and RME-8 W20A were stained for endogenous CI-MPR. In control cells as well as in cells expressing wild type RME-8, CI-MPR was observed to display mainly a perinuclear localization pattern with moderate staining at dispersed cytoplasmic punctate consistent with previous reports on the steady state localization of CI-MPR to TGN and endosomal structures (49–51). However, in RME-8 W20A-expressing cells, CI-MPR localization on cytoplasmic punctate structures was signifi-
cantly diminished and was predominantly found in the perinuclear region (Fig. 8, A and B). The observed localization shift of CI-MPR was similarly reported in studies where RME-8 expression was knocked down using siRNA (7, 18). Analogous results have also been reported when other members of retromer components were knocked down in mammalian cells (20, 49). In these studies, it was concluded that disruption of retrograde transport of CI-MPR from endosomes to TGN results in rapid degradation of CI-MPR due to re-routing of CI-MPR from the endosome to the lysosome. This results in the loss of CI-MPR localization on endocytic punctate structures and the observed perinuclear localization pattern (7, 18, 20, 49). Thus, as a control, we examined HeLa cells treated with siRNA targeting RME-8 and SNX1 (Fig. 8, C–E). Under these conditions, we also observed a significant decrease in CI-MPR localized to punctate structures, confirming previous studies and supporting the RME-8 W20A result. Overall, our results demonstrate that PI(3)P association is critical for RME-8 cellular activities, as disrupting the PI(3)P binding ability of RME-8 yields a phenotype resembling knocking down RME-8 or SNX1 protein levels.

**SNX1 Rescues RME-8 W20A Dispersed Cellular Localization**—The retromer complex is important in recognizing the cytosolic tail of MPRs for efficient transport from endocytic structures (49). The retromer constituent SNX1 is a PX domain containing PI(3)P-binding protein (17, 42) that has been shown to play a role in sensing and driving membrane curvature (10, 17, 18). RME-8 associates with SNX1 through a region C-terminal to its DnaJ domain, containing the third IWN repeat and the linker region between the third and the fourth IWN repeat (10). To examine the relationship between the PI(3)P binding ability of RME-8 and its association with SNX1, we co-transfected HeLa cells with wild type SNX1/RME-8 and variants that are defective in PI(3)P association. As expected, wild type RME-8 and SNX1 strongly co-localize on peripheral structures consistent with endocytic vesicles (Fig. 9B). Surprisingly, cells co-expressing both RME-8 W20A and wild type SNX1 exhibited a drastic reversal in the RME-8 W20A subcellular localization pattern from diffused cytoplasmic to punctate (Fig. 9A and B). Moreover, the rescued RME-8 W20A mutant strongly co-localizes with wild type SNX1. We next examined whether wild type RME-8 could rescue a well characterized PX domain point mutant of SNX1 (K214A) that disrupts PI(3)P binding (43, 52). As shown in Fig. 9B, SNX1 K214A remains diffusely localized throughout the cytoplasm when co-expressed with wild type RME-8, indicating that RME-8 is not able to recover the PI(3)P-mediated localization of SNX1 to endocytic structures when its PX domain is compromised. More striking, however, was the observation that when co-ex-
pressed, SNX K124A induced significant loss of endocytic localization of wild type RME-8 (Fig. 9B). One possible interpretation of this result is that RME-8 requires SNX1 to localize to endocytic structures. To test this hypothesis, we utilized a C-terminal deletion mutant of RME-8 (RME-8ΔC1165) that lacks the SNX1 binding region and thus is unable to associate with SNX1 (6). However, this deletion construct possesses the N-terminal PI(3)P-binding motif. When analyzed for localization, RME-8ΔC1165 was fully competent at targeting to peripheral punctate structures (Fig. 9, A and C). Moreover, overexpression of SNX1 K124A failed to disrupt localization of RME-8ΔC1165 indicating that the PI(3)P-binding motif is sufficient for localization to endocytic vesicles. Interestingly, RME-8ΔC1165 and wild type SNX1 strongly co-localized to these punctate structures suggesting that their interaction is not required for targeting to these vesicles. To test this hypothesis without the use of overexpressed proteins, we examined endogenous RME-8 localization in cells treated with SNX1 siRNA (Fig. 10). We observed no significant alteration in the RME-8 localization pattern in SNX1-depleted cells, confirming that RME-8 does not require SNX1 for endosomal localization. We also investigated the effect of knocking down RME-8 protein levels on SNX1 subcellular localization. As shown in Fig. 10, although SNX1 was still observed on endocytic structures, there was a significant increase in SNX1 tubulation in RME-8-depleted cells. An increase in SNX1 tubulation in response to RME-8 depletion has been reported by others and is thought to represent stalled cargo sorting (18, 53), indicating the importance of RME-8 to retromer-mediated trafficking events.

The above results are intriguing as they reveal that although RME-8 endosomal targeting is mediated through its PI(3)P-binding motif, its interaction with SNX1 can also affect its

---

**FIGURE 10. RME-8 expression regulates SNX1 tubulation.** A. HeLa cells were transfected with RME-8 siRNA and SNX1 siRNA for 48 h. Knockdown of SNX1 protein expression does not affect RME-8 endosomal localization (middle panel). Knockdown of RME-8 protein expression results in the formation of SNX1-positive tubules (bottom panel). Images were collected using a ×60 objective. Expanded boxes represent regions of interest. Scale bars, 15 μm. B. Bar graph representation of the percentage of cells altering from punctate to tubules in response to knockdown of RME-8 expression. Means ± S.D. of three independent experiments (n = 30 cells). p values were calculated by Student’s t test and compared with control cells. *, p < 0.1.
endosomal association. A possible explanation for these observations is that the SNX1/RME-8 interaction could be simply stronger than the PI(3)P/RME-8 association, which could explain why the PX mutant of SNX1 was able to remove wild type RME-8 from endocytic structures, whereas wild type SNX1 was able to maintain RME-8 W20A at these vesicles (Fig. 9B). Additionally, the SNX1 interaction may stabilize RME-8 at the early endosome or perhaps serve an allosteric role during clathrin disassembly. Although further studies are required, our results indicate the intriguing possibility that regulatory mechanisms acting on SNX1 PI(3)P association (post-translational modifications and protein/protein interactions) will also potentially regulate RME-8 and subsequently Hsc-70-mediated clathrin disassembly.

In conclusion, we have shown that RME-8 associates with PI(3)P-positive endosomes through an N-terminal PI(3)P-binding motif predicted to adopt a PH-like fold and characterized by the presence of conserved basic and aromatic residues. The data support the notion that RME-8 at PI(3)P-rich early endosomes facilitates the process of clathrin removal, and the failure to do so may alter retrograde transport of cargo such as CI-MPR. Our results are consistent with a previous study reporting that RME-8 is located in SNX1-positive, clathrin-negative subdomains (17). This study by McGough and Cullen (17) elegantly showed that clathrin is not required for SNX1-BAR tubulation during retrograde transport as SNX1-BAR tubules start forming at RME-8-negative, SNX1-positive, and clathrin-negative subdomains of the early endosomes. Because RME-8 has not been found to be present in the tubules themselves, it indicates that it likely plays a proximal role mainly at the endosomal level when clathrin is present. Collectively, our data extend this model by which RME-8 utilizes PI(3)P binding in its role in shedding off clathrin before SNX1-BAR tubulation takes place in the retrograde transport pathway.
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