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ABSTRACT
We provide a preponderance of evidence that worldwide covert environmental modification (geoengineering) activities have been ongoing for several decades, citing numerous peer-reviewed scientific and medical articles and other sources in support of our allegation that such activities are causing innumerable harms to Earth's systems. These harms include, but are not limited to, catastrophic loss of wildlife, aggravation of global warming and climate chaos; disruption of habitats; aggravation of droughts and concomitant degradation of agriculture; and depletion of stratospheric ozone, which exposes surface life to deadly solar ultraviolet radiation. In 1978 the United Nations (UN) oversaw the creation of the Convention on the Prohibition of Military or Other Hostile Use of Environmental Modification Techniques (ENMOD), a deliberately vague and deceitful international treaty that has served as a Trojan horse to provide a "legal" basis for subsequent worldwide geoengineering activities (as described herein). Since the creation of the UN Environmental Program (UNEP) in 1972, the UN and some of its other agencies, including the World Meteorological Organization (WMO), Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), and the World Health Organization (WHO), has formally committed itself to the integrity of the planetary environment. We appeal to the International Criminal Court to render judgement in favor of the world's individual citizens, whose fundamental rights have been abrogated by planetary geoengineering, as herein documented. We respectfully request the following relief from the International Criminal Court: 1) Immediate and permanent end to the ENMOD Convention; 2) Permanent global injunction on all activities undertaken under ENMOD's authority; 3) Declassification of all documents related to ENMOD activities as well as making all such documents freely available to the world; 4) Establishment of a legal body, fashioned after the International Military Tribunal at Nuremburg, to hear evidence and render justice for planetary treason and crimes against humanity and the environment.
INTRODUCTION

Since the creation of the United Nations Environmental Program (UNEP) in 1972, the United Nations (UN) has formally committed itself to the integrity of the planetary environment. In response to world outrage over the discovery of the United States’ use of environmental weapons in Vietnam, including weather warfare, in 1977-1978 the UN oversaw the creation of the Convention on the Prohibition of Military or Other Hostile Use of Environmental Modification Techniques (hereafter ENMOD) [1].

ENMOD, we allege, employed deliberately vague language to conceal its covert purpose, which was not to prohibit environmental warfare per se, but to mandate signatory countries, i.e., “States Parties,” to participate in environmental modification activities for “peaceful purposes” and to “improve the environment,” without specificity or limitations.

The general lack of specificity by ENMOD is unwarranted and deliberate. By contrast, ENMOD is quite specific and broad-based in defining the term “environmental modification techniques.” Article II states: “As used in article 1, the term “environmental modification techniques” refers to any technique for changing – through the deliberate manipulation of natural processes – the dynamics, composition or structure of the Earth, including its biota, lithosphere, hydrosphere and atmosphere, or of outer space.”

That definition is so broad as to permit ENMOD to mandate “States Parties” to contribute, for instance, to gain-of-function modification of natural viruses or even to the genetic modification of human populations.

In 1988 the UNEP and the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) created the UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). The IPCC’s politically determined mission is to document anthropogenic global warming, a.k.a. “climate change,” especially as caused by carbon dioxide and to provide advice to political leaders accordingly.

In the mid-to-late 1990s, people worldwide began to report increasing numbers of jet-laid trails. In 1990-91 the U.S. Air Force used the term “chemtrails” in its introductory chemistry textbooks. Later in the decade that term was appropriated by the lay public to describe the trails they were observing overhead [2]. Chemtrails, manifestly a form of devastating environmental modification, as we document below, have since grown as an observable, daily phenomenon, worldwide [3-5].

In the following, we present a preponderance of evidence, published over several years (2015-2022) in several peer-reviewed scientific and medical journals, that demonstrates:

• the toxic nature of chemtrail particulates and the wholesale destruction of life caused by said toxic chemtrail particulates; and,
• the physical, environmental consequences of chemtrails, which include the aggravation of global warming and climate chaos; the biophysical disruption of habitats globally; the precipitation of droughts and the concomitant degradation of agriculture, not only through drought but chemical pollution-poisoning as well; and the depletion of stratospheric ozone which exposes surface life to solar ultraviolet radiation.
A preponderance of evidence indicates that the UNEP, WMO, IPCC and World Health organization (WHO) are complicit in these covert activities, which include:
- causing global warming; and,
- intentionally melting polar ice.

We respectfully request the following relief from the International Criminal Court:
- Immediate and permanent end to the ENMOD Convention;
- Permanent global injunction on all activities undertaken under ENMOD’s authority;
- Declassification of all documents related to ENMOD activities as well as making all such documents freely available to the world;
- Establishment of a legal body, fashioned after the International Military Tribunal at Nuremburg, to hear evidence and render justice for crimes against humanity and the environment

A TROJAN HORSE INTERNATIONAL TREATY
Since the 1970s, the United Nations has been directly involved in global activities that are based upon false scientific assumptions. Said activities are systematically destroying life on Earth as well as aiding and abetting an elitist agenda aimed at subjugating and dehumanizing global populations.

The United Nations produced a treaty document, originally classified as a disarmament document, entitled “Convention on the Prohibition of Military or Any Other Hostile Use of Environmental Modification Techniques” [hereafter ENMOD] which was opened for signature at Geneva on May 18, 1977 and entered into force on October 5, 1978 [1].

From top to bottom, this treaty is deceptive. ENMOD does not prohibit the “Hostile Use of Environmental Modification Techniques,” as its title indicates. The ENMOD treaty, we allege and explain below, has a different purpose. It is a Trojan horse that obligates the unwitting cooperation of independent signatory countries, i.e., “States Parties,” to wage hostile environmental modification [6, 7] – de facto warfare – for “peaceful purposes,” without limitation against harm to human and other biogenic populations in the broadest possible circumstances [8, 9]. And it does so without defining “peaceful purposes,” which is a fundamental contradiction, as any activity that upsets the natural balance that exists between biota and geophysical processes injures or destroys life and cannot be construed as “peaceful,” except in the most perverted and diabolical sense of the term.

ENMOD provides the “legal” means to co-opt sovereign nations’ military and other national security institutions to engage in undisclosed “environmental modification techniques for peaceful purposes.” These undisclosed modification techniques are highly destructive to agriculture and food production. They also cause global warming, weather-and-climate chaos, and habitat destruction. And, they radically compromise human and environmental health [8, 9]. They in fact constitute prima facie evidence of environmental warfare against human populations [6, 7].
TROPOSPHERIC ENVIRONMENTAL MODIFICATION

At least as far back as the 1990s, concerned citizens had started to notice and report white jet-laid trails that stretched across the sky, diffusing briefly to resemble cirrus clouds, before spreading out to form a white haze in the sky [2, 10]. By 2012 these particulate trails, sometimes reported as “chemtrails,” had become a near-daily, near-global occurrence, as illustrated in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Chemtrail jet-emplaced particulates for environmental modification. Clockwise from upper left: San Diego, California, USA; Karnak, Egypt; Geneva, Switzerland; Paris, France; London, England; Jaipur, India.

Forensic scientific investigations have demonstrated that the aerosolized particulate matter, jet-dispersed into the lower atmosphere, is consistent with coal fly ash [11-17], the extremely fine-grained, light-ash waste product of industrial coal-burning that due to its toxicity is trapped and sequestered in Western nations (where it constitutes one of the world’s largest industrial waste streams).

The public has been consistently lied to, including by the U.S. Air Force [18] and the Environmental Protection Agency [19], about the nature of these covert jet-laid chemical trails, and deceived about the risks they pose to human and environmental health [20-22]. Publication of medical and public health articles warning of health risks have been unwarrantedly rejected without peer review by the Bulletin of the World Health Organization, by Environmental Health Perspectives, a publication of the U.S. National Institutes of Health, and by the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences [23]. Professional disinformation agents coerced managers and editors of public health journals to retract two peer-reviewed and published articles without ever allowing the author (JMH) to see and respond to criticisms [24, 25], a serious breach of scientific protocol.
Published scientific and medical articles implicate aerosolized coal fly ash in neurodegenerative disease [26], COPD and respiratory disease [23, 27], lung cancer [28], cardiovascular disease [29], COVID-19 and immunopathology [30, 31].

Aerosolized coal fly ash, causes global warming [32], disrupts habitats [33], harms agriculture [8, 12], contaminates the environment with mercury [14], decimates populations of insects [34], bats [35], and birds [36]. Aerosolized coal fly ash also kills trees [15, 37], exacerbates wildfires [10], enables harmful algae in our waters [38], and destroys the stratospheric ozone layer that shields surface-life from the sun’s deadly ultraviolet radiation [39-41].

The loss of wildlife is documented by the World Wildlife Fund graphically in Figure 2. Although biota-loss has many causes over the last fifty years, the addition of covert geoengineering is, without doubt, exacerbating the unprecedented loss of wildlife, now estimated at 70 percent since 1970.

In addition to coal fly ash chemtrails, a new organic substance, Figure 3 (right), has begun to be used to pervert Earth’s natural processes that is less conspicuous from the ground. Although jet-emplaced high in the troposphere, at the edge of the stratosphere, tiny droplets fall to ground and collect on windshields and other smooth surfaces as observed in San Diego and Bakersfield, California (USA) [16].

Combined with coal fly ash, organic particles presumably cause additional adverse effects on human and environmental health. Although jet-sprayed at high altitudes, the organic droplets,
possibly containing nanoparticles, nevertheless permeate the air we breathe, making that substance potentially useful as a bio-toxin delivery system to human populations. That aerosolized organic substance is potentially more effective for causing global warming, and may be more readily uplifted into the stratosphere to destroy ozone [16]. Ongoing investigations of this new deliberate aerosol pollutant are currently being conducted.

Figure 3. Left: Coal fly ash chemtrails in the sky over San Diego, California, USA in 2015; Right: New (2022) organic substance jet-emplacement, less conspicuous, but potentially more devastating. Inset is a magnified view of the spray plane, indicated by the red arrow.

SURFACE ENVIRONMENTAL MODIFICATION

On or about February 14, 2016, an oily-ashy substance fell on seven residences and vehicles in Harrison Township, Michigan (USA). Suspecting this was an accidental release from an aircraft engaged in covert geoengineering, one of us (JMH) obtained samples from one of the residents for analysis and advised the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality on what analyses should be conducted. As noted in the published report [42], the air-drop material consists of a mixture of particles in dark clumps that resemble plant material, including leaves, seeds and fruit skins, mixed with coal fly ash and salt.

Figure 4 shows patterns of quasi-circular holes (referred to as ‘cryoconite holes’) that are observed worldwide on ablating glacier surfaces, and which resemble the distribution pattern of the Michigan air-drop material. Because of its dark color, natural cryoconite — windblown dust made up of rock particles, soot, and microbes — absorbs sunlight and melts its way into glacier ice. The resemblance between cryoconite and the air-drop material is a clear indication that the artificial air-drop material is pseudo-cryoconite or proto-cryoconite, whose purpose is to melt glacial ice and to enhance global warming.
Herndon, J. M., Whiteside, M., & Baldwin, I. (2022). Open Letter to the International Criminal Court Alleging United Nations Complicity in Planetary Treason. Advances in Social Sciences Research Journal, 9(10). 243-258.

Figure 4. Upper Left: Air-Drop Distribution; Upper Right: Cryoconite-hole Distribution in Glacier; Lower Left: Air-Drop Pseudo- or Proto-Cryoconite; Lower Right: Natural Cryoconite. Adapted from [42].

COVERT GLOBAL WARMING VIA ENVIRONMENTAL MODIFICATION

Particles in the lower atmosphere (troposphere) are heated by solar radiation and by radiant heat from the Earth, and transfer that heat to atmospheric gases by molecular collisions. The resultant heating increases atmospheric temperature, and reduces the temperature difference relative to air near the surface, which reduces atmospheric convection, and concomitantly reduces convective heat transport from the surface. This is the mechanism whereby chemtrails, jet-emplaced particulate matter, cause global warming [43-47].

The Michigan air-drop distribution and composition of pseudo-cryoconite (Figure 4), coupled with chemtrail-caused global warming, is powerful evidence that the primary intent of environmental modification activities undertaken in secret under the aegis of the Trojan-horse international treaty (ENMOD) is to melt polar ice to open the Arctic sea-lanes above Russia, Europe, and North America and to gain access to the vast mineral wealth beneath Arctic ice [48].

An ancillary intent of these environmental modification activities is to reinforce the claims of the United Nations’ Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) that the climate is changing, that is, warming globally. Since its formation in 1988, the IPCC has trumpeted that global warming is a long-term trend and is being caused by carbon dioxide, when in fact global warming is primarily being caused by aerosol particulate pollution, including jet-emplaced chemtrails [43-47]. The fact that for three decades hundreds of IPCC atmospheric and climate
scientists have systematically failed to mention jet-sprayed chemical aerosols, aka chemtrails, as a factor in Earth’s thermoregulation is clear evidence of deceit by omission. We further adduce deceit by the fact that the United Nations’ World Health Organization twice refused to publish in the *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* our (JMH and MW) perspectives warning of the public health risks posed by coal fly ash chemtrails.

**GLOBAL WARFARE SANCTIONED BY ENMOD**

A supplemental intent of these environmental modification activities is the conduct of covert environmental warfare on humankind.

In 1968, U.S. geoscientist and presidential advisor Gordon J. F. MacDonald described, in his aptly entitled essay “How to Wreck the Environment,” the military’s ability to alter the natural workings of our planet, to pollute the air we breathe, to disrupt natural climate, to weaponize natural geophysical processes, to disrupt the ionosphere that protects us from the sun’s deadly electromagnetic radiation, and to deceive the public about the health risks involved in such activities [6]. MacDonald imagined that a nation would develop these technologies for the benefit of its national interests, without realizing that within a decade the world’s militaries could and would be co-opted by a secret international agreement, ENMOD. The consequence of entering ENMOD into force enabled covert entities to wage de facto war on planet Earth, on all its biota and on its fundamental life-support, biogeochemical processes [7, 8, 49].

Consider, for example, the case of California (USA). The near-daily, year-after-year jet-spraying of particulates into the troposphere along the California coast and off-shore in the Eastern Pacific Ocean heats the atmosphere. The nearly continuously heated atmosphere results in nearly continuously elevated atmospheric pressures. That artificially created high-pressure zone along California’s coast acts like a wall to prevent the flow of Pacific Ocean moisture-laden weather masses from coming ashore (Figure 5, left), as they have done for centuries. The consequence is a persistent artificial drought for California, which exacerbates wildfires (Figure 5, right) [10]. As one author (JMH) observed, sometimes after a weather forecast predicts rain in a few days, the chemtrail traffic increases [10].
The original military purpose of aerial particulate dispersal into the regions where clouds form was to cause excess rainfall [50] or to impede precipitation and cause drought in an unfriendly country [51]. Indeed, former Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad accused Western countries of doing just that, most recently in 2012 [52, 53]. There is no information available to the public about the extent that this form of undisclosed weather warfare has been deployed. Covert environmental warfare was predicted by MacDonald [51], who argued that: “... one can conduct covert operations using a new technology in a democracy without the knowledge of the people,” citing the Vietnam War as an instance of this fact.

Figure 6 is a NASA Worldview satellite image from February 4, 2016, that shows particulate trails blanketing the Republic of Cyprus, but nearly absent in surrounding regions. Cyprus citizens requested an explanation from their government for the deliberate obscuration of their skies and "extreme weather conditions," so far to no avail [54]. Following the February 2016 citizen presentations made to the Parliamentary Environmental Committee, Cyprus's Environment Services Department promised an investigation of the aerial spraying. Six years later no response has been received.

URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.14738/assrj.910.13328
Figure 6. NASA Worldview satellite image from February 4, 2016 showing jet-laid trails blanketing the air above the Republic of Cyprus but nearly absent in surrounding regions.

Figure 7 is a NASA Worldview image of the Cumbre Vieja volcano on the island of La Palma in the Canary Islands, Spain, taken on October 1, 2021. Note the circular particulate trails that circumscribe the volcano. These act to impede convective heat loss and are presumably emplaced to exacerbate eruptions. Since these circular chemtrails were only observed on that day in October, we may presume this environmental activity was a one day trial.

Figure 7. Cumbre Vieja volcano on the island of La Palma in the Canary Islands, Spain. NASA Worldview satellite image from October 1, 2021. Note the particulate trails circumscribing the volcano.
The possibility has been considered that an eruption of the Cumbre Vieja volcano on La Palma in the Canary Islands, Spain, might trigger a devastating tsunami wave [55-57]. The evidence shown in Figure 7 of an apparent attempt to induce a La Palma eruption is wholly consistent with environmental warfare, as outlined by MacDonald [6].

**THE OZONE LAYER: SCIENCE, RESPONSIBILITY, AND LIABILITY**

According to UNEP’s own words:

“Since its inception in 1972, the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) has been the global authority that sets the environmental agenda, promotes the coherent implementation of the environmental dimension of sustainable development within the United Nations system and serves as an authoritative advocate for the global environment. [58]”

The UN’s claim that it “sets the environmental agenda” for the world and bears both the authority and responsibility for that agenda, renders it liable for violations of sound scientific principles, and in the case of ENMOD, we allege, liable for crimes against humanity and the planetary environment.

In 1974, Rowland and Molina [59], advanced the theory that chlorofluorocarbon gases (CFC’s) used, for example, in refrigeration, were destroying the stratospheric ozone layer, manifest as an “ozone hole” in the Antarctic. In 1989, the UN formally adopted the “Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer” which regulated numerous halogen-containing chemicals that readily form ozone-destroying gases [60]. In doing so, the United Nations decreed that said halogen-containing chemicals were in fact the main cause of ozone depletion. That was incorrect, and the behavior of the ozone layer over the ensuing years effectively proved it was wrong.

After more than 30 years of banning and regulating CFC’s, the Antarctic ozone hole has not gone away, but grown larger [61]. Moreover, recently a large ozone hole was observed in the Arctic [62] and in the tropics as well [63]. The UN’s Montreal Protocol misdiagnosed the cause of stratospheric ozone depletion, turning a blind eye toward the covert ENMOD activities that have contributed to stratospheric ozone destruction over the course of three decades.

We have previously provided compelling evidence that aerosolized coal fly ash particles, not chlorofluorocarbons (CFC’s), are the primary cause of stratospheric ozone depletion [39-41, 64]. The UN’s sanction of covert ENMOD activities has permitted the dispersal of coal fly ash into the atmosphere and significantly contributed to the destruction of the ozone layer vital to the protection of life on Earth’s surface.

The misdiagnosis of the true cause of stratospheric ozone destruction was a potentially fatal mistake for mankind. The reality is that the world supposedly avoided by the Montreal Protocol is already here, with increasingly deadly ultraviolet (UV-B and UV-C) radiation penetrating to Earth’s surface, as we have previously documented [65]. Among the many anthropogenic threats we face, this may well represent the most dire and immediate one.

For thirty years, through its Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) [66], the UN has been engaged in indoctrinating political leaders and propagandizing citizens around the
world to accept the theory of anthropogenic-carbon-dioxide-caused global warming, a common, planetary “enemy” against which war must be eventually waged. As we have shown [43-47], regional and global warming are caused by aerosolized particulates, including jet aircraft deliberately emplacing toxic coal-fly-ash particulates.

**ACTIONS SOUGHT AGAINST PLANETARY TREASON**

We have provided evidence that worldwide covert geoengineering activities have been ongoing for several decades. We have cited numerous peer-reviewed scientific and medical articles and other sources in support of our allegation that such activities are causing innumerable harms to Earth’s systems. We have shown that the UN’s ENMOD treaty provides a vague and deceitful authorization for these activities, which include constant spraying of toxic chemicals into the air we breathe that affects regional weather systems; inflicts grievous harm on a wide variety of biota, from humans to insects and trees; impairs global food production; and produces persistent global warming as well as persistent damage to Earth’s vitally protective ozone layer that shields surface life from the sun’s deadly ultraviolet radiation.

Several UN agencies bear responsibility for these globally extensive, unprecedentedly harmful activities, notably the UNEP and WMO, as well as the IPCC and WHO.

In prior centuries treason has involved betrayal – a breach of faith or trust – of one’s sovereign nation state. According to former UN Secretary General Kofi Annan, state sovereignty “is being redefined – not least by the forces of globalization and international cooperation. States are now widely understood to be instruments at the service of their peoples, and not vice versa. At the same time individual sovereignty – by which I mean the fundamental freedom of each individual, enshrined in the charter of the UN and subsequent international treaties – has been enhanced by a renewed and spreading consciousness of individual rights. When we read the charter today, we are more than ever conscious that its aim is to protect individual human beings, not to protect those who abuse them.” (emphasis added) [67].

Fifty years ago, a world-renown atmospheric chemist, James Lovelock, published the first article on Gaia theory [68]. This article was soon followed by a second, coauthored by the world-renown evolutionary biologist, Lynn Margulis [69]. Together these scientists launched what might be termed a systems view of planet Earth, in which living biota, from bacteria to whales and giant sequoia, interact with and inform such fundamental abiotic matter as water, sunlight, oxygen, soil, and temperature. Margulis defined Gaia as a “series of interacting ecosystems that compose a single huge ecosystem at the Earth's surface,” including its atmosphere [70]. We allege that global institutions, preeminently the UN and its agencies, have assumed, formally and informally, responsibility for the health of the global commons, both biotic and abiotic.

Under ENMOD this responsibility has in fact been formally recognized.

Based upon the foregoing facts and findings, we accuse the UN and its agencies of planetary treason.

We appeal to the International Criminal Court to render judgement in favor of the world’s individual citizens, whose fundamental rights have been abrogated by planetary geoengineering, as herein documented.
Specifically, we respectfully request the following relief from the International Criminal Court:

• Immediate and permanent end to the ENMOD Convention;
• Permanent global injunction on all activities undertaken under ENMOD’s authority;
• Declassification of all documents related to ENMOD activities as well as making all such documents freely available to the world;
• Establishment of a legal body, fashioned after the International Military Tribunal at Nuremburg, to hear evidence and render justice for planetary treason and crimes against humanity and the environment.
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