CXCR3+ T cells in multiple sclerosis correlate with reduced diversity of the gut microbiome
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ABSTRACT

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a genetically mediated autoimmune disease characterized by inflammation in the central nervous system (CNS). Disease onset is thought to occur when autoreactive T cells orchestrate a cascade of events in the CNS resulting in white and grey matter inflammation and axonal degeneration. It is unclear what triggers the activation of CNS-reactive T cells and their polarization into inflammatory subsets. Mounting evidence from animal and human studies supports the hypothesis that the gut microbiome affects MS pathogenesis. We investigated the association between the gut microbiome and inflammatory T cell subsets in relapsing-remitting MS patients and healthy controls. Gut microbiome composition was characterized by sequencing the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene from fecal DNA, and inflammatory T cell subsets were characterized by flow cytometry. We identified an altered gut microbiome in MS patients, including decreased abundance of Coprococcus, Clostridium, and an unidentified Ruminococcaceae genus. Among circulating immune cells, patients had increased expression of CXCR3 in both CD4 and CD8 T cells, and both CD4+CXCR3+ and CD8+CXCR3+ populations expressing the gut-homing α4β7 integrin receptor were increased. Finally, we show that alpha diversity inversely correlated with a CXCR3+ Th1 phenotype in MS. These findings indicate the presence of an aberrant gut-immune axis in patients with MS.

1. Introduction

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a genetically mediated autoimmune disease characterized by inflammation in the central nervous system (CNS). Disease onset is hypothesized to occur when autoreactive T cells cross the blood brain barrier and orchestrate a cascade of events resulting in white and grey matter inflammation and axonal degeneration [1–3]. It is unclear what triggers the activation of CNS-reactive T cells or drives their polarization into inflammatory subsets [4]. Genome-wide association studies have identified over 230 common and rare genetic variants in MS, with the strongest risk residing in the MHC class II variant, HLA-DRB*1501. Further risk loci implicate CD4 T cells [5,6], but genetic contributions are only part of MS pathophysiology. Interactions between environmental factors and genetics appear to drive disease [7–10]. Emerging evidence implicates the gut microbiome as a potential trigger for polarizing autoreactive T cells towards an inflammatory phenotype in MS [11]. Among MS patients, CNS-autoreactive T cells secrete the inflammatory cytokines IL-17 and GM-CSF. Similar autoreactive T cells in healthy individuals secrete anti-inflammatory IL-10 [12–18]. The functional differences between autoreactive T-cells from healthy individuals and MS patients may relate to the differences in the peripheral stimuli activating the cells. The bacterial microbiome is one potential source for these stimuli [4]. Gut bacterial communities regulate local and systemic immune responses, and microbial imbalance or maladaptation (dysbiosis) characterizes many autoimmune diseases [19–21]. Moderate gut dysbiosis has been identified in patients with MS [22–24], and recent animal studies demonstrate that MS fecal microbiomes may contribute significantly to disease [25–27].
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This study sought to simultaneously examine the gut microbiome and adaptive immune cells implicated in MS including CD8⁺ T cells, CD4⁺ T helper 1 cells (Th1, CXCR3⁺), CD4⁺ T helper 17 (Th17,CCR6⁺) cells, and circulating follicular helper T cells (cTfh, CXCR5⁺), which can be further divided into Th1-like and Th17-like subsets (cTfh1, cTfh17) [28-32]. Further, we included the gut-homing integrin a4β7 [33] to gain insight into the potential gut-homing capacities of these T cell populations. Despite their importance in MS, circulating T-cell subsets have not been characterized ex vivo in relation to the gut microbiome.

We found that untreated, relapsing-remitting MS (RRMS) patients had reduced gut microbiome diversity, suggestive of dysbiosis, globally characterized by increased Bacteroides and decreased abundance of Firmicutes taxa. Microbial changes were associated with increased CXCR3 expression on CD8 and CD4 T cells, most strikingly on Th1 memory CD4⁺ cells. Co-expression of CXCR3 and a4β7 was also increased on total CD8 and CXCR5⁻ memory CD4 T cells. We provide new evidence associating decreased diversity in the gut microbiome with circulating CXCR3⁻ Th1 cells, which may contribute to MS pathology.

2. Materials & methods

2.1. Subjects

This study consisted of a cohort of MS patients (n = 26) and healthy controls (n = 39). MS patients had no use of disease modifying drugs for ≥3 months prior to enrolment. Participants had no known antibiotic use for ≥3 months prior to enrolment and no autoimmune diseases other than MS. All participants donated stool samples. A subgroup of patients (n = 20) and healthy controls (n = 21) also donated peripheral blood. No significant differences in age, BMI, and sex were observed between groups (Wilcoxon’s signed-sum test or Fisher’s exact test). Detailed cohort information is provided in Table 1.

2.2. Fecal sample collection and DNA preparation

Donors collected stool at home using a provided kit. Samples were collected at room temperature in RNAlater® solution (Ambion, cat. AM7021) and shipped overnight. Samples were then aliquoted and frozen at −70 °C. All batches had equal numbers of controls and MS patients. At analysis, RNAlater® was removed by centrifugation and bacterial DNA was isolated using the Mobio PowerSoil DNA isolation kit (cat. 12888-100). DNA was eluted in PCR grade water and stored at −20 °C for sequencing.

2.3. 16S rRNA sequencing and analysis

Bacterial DNA was purified using the E-Z 96 Cycle-Pure Kit (Omega Biotek). Clean DNA was quantified using Quant IT Picogreen (Invitrogen). The V4 region of 16S ribosomal RNA was PCR amplified (30 cycles; primer pair F515/R806, AccPrime Pfx DNA Polymerase) [34-36]. After amplification, PCR amplicons were cleaned and normalized (SequalPrep plate normalization kit, Invitrogen). Samples were sequenced on a miSeq sequencer (Illumina, 2 × 250bp paired-end reads). Sequences were uploaded to the European Nucleotide Archive (accession number PRJEB34168).

Microbial diversity was analysed with Quantitative Insights Into Microbial Ecology (QIME, version 1.9) [37]. Dual indexed paired end reads were assembled with a minimum overlap of 175 bp. Of note, this method allows for almost complete overlap of the ~253bp V4 region and provides very low error rates. Reads were demultiplexed and quality filtered with a Q-score cut-off of 30. The open-reference Operational Taxonomic Unit (OTU) picking workflow in QIME, with UCLUST, and the GreenGenes database (gg 13.5) were used to cluster the reads into 97% identity OTUs. These were filtered to retain OTUs present in at least 5% of donors and at a minimum of 0.01% total reads. After quality filtering, 5,788,546 reads were observed and 518 OTU’s identified. Filtered OTU tables were rarefied to the sample depth of 10,391 sequences for further analyses. QIME and R (version 3.5.1) were used for microbial ecology analyses (alpha diversity, beta diversity, PCoA, ANOSIM) [37]. Linear Discriminant Analysis Effect Size (LEfSe) was used for differential abundance tests (http://huttenhower.sph.harvard.edu/galaxy/) [38]. LEfSe is an algorithm designed for high-dimensional biomarker discovery and explanation that identifies genomic features that are different between two or more biological conditions.

2.4. Peripheral blood mononuclear cell isolation and flow cytometry

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated from the blood of donors using a Ficoll gradient, aliquoted at 1 × 10^7/ml in 90% human serum containing 10% DMSO and cryopreserved in liquid nitrogen. PBMCs were thawed in batches for flow cytometry analysis of chemokine receptors. Invitrogen LIVE/DEAD fixable red dead cell stain kit (L23102) was used to exclude dead cells. Cell surface staining was carried out using the following antibodies; CD3 V450 (clone UCHT1, cat. 560365), CD4 V500 (clone RPA-T4, cat. 560167), CD8 APC-H7 (clone sk1 cat. 560179), CD45RO AF700 (clone UCHL1, cat. 561136), CXCR5 FITC (clone RFW82, cat. 558112), CXCR3 PE (clone 1C6, cat. 557185), CCR6 PE-Cy7 (clone 11A9, cat. 560620), beta7 BV421 (clone FB504, cat. 564283), alpha 4 APC-Cy7 (clone 9F10, cat. 304328; all antibodies from BD Biosciences). Samples were acquired using the LSR Fortessa flow cytometry (BD Biosciences). Gating strategies are indicated in Supplemental Figs. 3 and 4. Analysis was carried out using FlowJo V3. Wilcoxon rank-sum tests were used to identify differences in chemokine and integrin frequencies between healthy controls and MS patients. Paired Wilcoxon signed-sum test with a Bonferroni correction was used to detect differences in integrin expression within different T cell subsets. Spearman’s correlations were used to detect correlations with T cell subsets and alpha diversity. Statistical tests and box plots were generated using R (V3.5.1).

Table 1

| 16S sequencing (n = 65) | Flow cytometry (chemokines) (n = 41) | Flow cytometry (integrins) (n = 33) | 16S sequencing + flow (n = 35) |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Female, n (%)          |                                     |                                   |                                |
| Control                | 27 (69)                              | 16 (76)                           | 15 (75)                        |
| MS                     | 22 (85)                              | 19 (95)                           | 13 (100)                       |
| Age, mean (SD)         |                                     |                                   |                                |
| Control                | 45 (12)                              | 47 (11)                           | 46 (11)                        |
| MS                     | 42 (13)                              | 43 (14)                           | 43 (14)                        |
| BMI, mean (SD)         |                                     |                                   |                                |
| Control                | 27 (5)                               | 27 (5)                            | 27 (5)                         |
| MS                     | 29 (7)                               | 29 (7)                            | 30 (5)                         |
| Years since diagnosis, median (range) | 3 (0–19) | 4.5 (0–34) | 8 (0–29) | 6 (0–29) |
| Prior history of immunomodulation, n (%) | 12 (46) | 11 (55) | 7 (54) | 8 (53) |
| Months since prior immunomodulation, median (range) | 10.5 (3–144) | 12 (3–144) | 12 (5–144) | 12 (5–144) |
3. Results

3.1. Relapsing-remitting MS is associated with decreased alpha diversity

We enrolled 26 RRMS patients and 39 healthy donors matched for age, sex, and BMI (Table 1). The composition of the gut microbiome was identified using high-throughput V4 sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene (S. Fig. 1) [35,36]. To evaluate differences in microbial community structure between patients and controls, we calculated alpha and beta diversity. Alpha diversity refers to the microbial diversity within a sample, while beta diversity refers to microbial diversity across samples. Using Shannon’s index to measure alpha diversity, we observed a moderate but significant reduction in RRMS patients compared to controls (Fig. 1a). Beta diversity was assessed using weighted and unweighted UniFrac coupled with principal coordinates analysis [39]. Unweighted UniFrac identified a significant but weak difference between the two groups (p < 0.01, R = 0.12) (Fig. 1b). Weighted UniFrac analysis considers the relative abundance of taxa and identified no significant differences (p = 0.16, R = 0.03) (Fig. 1b). These results support previous work indicating that MS patients have altered gut microbiomes, suggestive of dysbiosis [27,40].

Differential abundance of bacteria between MS and healthy donors was determined using LEfSe [38]. LEfSe identified increased Bacteroidetes phyla in RRMS (Fig. 2; rarefied counts in Supplemental Fig. 2). At the genus level, multiple Firmicutes were reduced in RRMS. Specifically, there were significant decreases of Coprococcus, Clostridium, and an unidentified Ruminococcaceae genus (Fig. 2). Reductions in the genus Paraprevotella (phylum Bacteroidetes), Methanobrevibacter (phylum Euryarchaeota), and an unidentified genus from the phylum Proteobacteria were also noted (Fig. 2), supporting the alpha and beta diversity analyses.

3.2. Circulating T-cells express increased CXCR3 and exhibit increased Th1 and circulating follicular Th1 populations in RRMS

Chemokine expression (CXCR3, CCR6, and CXCR5) was determined on PBMCs using flow cytometry (S. Fig. 3). CXCR3 expression was increased on both CD4 and CD8 T cell subsets from RRMS patients (Fig. 3a). CXCR5 expression on CD4+ T cells was also increased in RRMS, but there were no differences in CCR6 expression (Fig. 3a). Naïve T cells
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generally express very low levels of these cytokines [41], so subsequent analysis focused on CD45RO+ memory T cells. We subdivided CD4+ CD45RO+ cells into CXCR5+ (cTfh) or CXCR5− (Th) populations [Th1 (CXCR5− CCR6−), Th1−Th17 (CXCR5− CCR6+), cTh1 (CXCR5+ CCR3+ CCR6+), cTh17-Tfh17 (CXCR5−CXCR3+CXCR6−), and cTfh17 (CXCR5+CXCR3−CCR6−)] (S. Fig. 3). RRMS patients had increased expression of Th1, Th1−Th17, and cTfh1 cells but not Th17 or cTfh17 cells (Fig. 3b), suggesting that circulating memory T cells are polarized towards Th1, Th1−Th17 and cTfh1 phenotypes in this disease.

3.3. α4β7 is increased in CXCR3-expressing CD8+, Th1 and Th1-17 T cells in RRMS

Integrins and chemokine receptors regulate T-cell homing and functional capabilities. The integrin α4β7 is upregulated on T cells that become activated in gut-associated lymphoid tissue and facilitates homing to the intestines, Peyer’s patches and mesenteric lymph nodes [42,43]. We analysed the expression of α4β7 on CD4 and CD8 T-cells (Fig. 4 and S. Fig. 4). In both healthy controls and patients, a subpopulation of Th1, Th1−Th17 and Th17 T cells express α4β7, with a larger proportion of Th1 and Th1−Th17 cells expressing α4β7 compared to Th17 cells (Fig. 4a). The proportions of α4β7+ subpopulations within each Th subset did not differ between patients and controls (S. Fig. 4b). In both cohorts, CXCR3+ CD8 T cells had a higher proportion of α4β7+ cells than CCR6+ CD8 T cells (Fig. 4a). Similarly, the proportion of α4β7+ subpopulations within the CXCR3+ and CCR6+ CD8 subsets did not differ between patients and controls (S. Fig. 4b). However, the frequency of both CXCR3− CD4+ (e.g. Th1, Th1-17) and CD8 T-cells co-expressing CXCR3 and α4β7 were increased in patients compared to healthy controls. (Fig. 4b). No difference in α4β7 expression was observed among CXCR3+CCR6− cells expressing CXCR5 (e.g. cTfh1).

3.4. CXCR3+ CD8 and CXCR3+ Th1 T cells inversely correlate with alpha diversity in MS

Non-parametric Spearman’s rank correlations were used to correlate Shannon’s index with the percentage of circulating CXCR3+, CCR6+ and CXCR5+ CD4 and CD8 T-cells. Shannon’s index was also correlated with Th1, Th1-17, cTh1, cTfh1-17 and cTfh17 CD4 memory subsets. Correlations were performed using three different groups of donors: all samples, RRMS only and healthy controls only. CXCR3+CD8+ T cells and alpha diversity were inversely correlated among the combined ( rho −0.5, **p < 0.01) and RRMS samples (rho −0.6, *p < 0.05) (Fig. 5). Alpha diversity also inversely correlated with circulating Th1 cells from combined (rho −0.4, p < 0.05) and MS samples (rho −0.6, p < 0.05) (Fig. 5). No T cell subsets correlated with alpha diversity among healthy donors.

Fig. 2. Fecal bacterial abundance in controls and patients with MS. Differential abundance was determined between groups using linear discriminant analysis (LDA) effect size (LEfSe). a) green indicates that the bacteria were enriched in the patients with MS (n = 26), red indicates that the bacteria were increased in controls (n = 39). b) Representative dot plots of bacteria abundance, g, represents an undefined genus of bacteria in the family Ruminococcaceae.

3.3. α4β7 is increased in CXCR3-expressing CD8+, Th1 and Th1-17 T cells in RRMS

Integrins and chemokine receptors regulate T-cell homing and functional capabilities. The integrin α4β7 is upregulated on T cells that become activated in gut-associated lymphoid tissue and facilitates homing to the intestines, Peyer’s patches and mesenteric lymph nodes [42,43]. We analysed the expression of α4β7 on CD4 and CD8 T-cells (Fig. 4 and S. Fig. 4). In both healthy controls and patients, a subpopulation of Th1, Th1−Th17 and Th17 T cells express α4β7, with a larger proportion of Th1 and Th1−Th17 cells expressing α4β7 compared to Th17 cells (Fig. 4a). The proportions of α4β7+ subpopulations within each Th subset did not differ between patients and controls (S. Fig. 4b). In both
Peripheral T cells from patients with MS are enriched in Th1 cells. Flow cytometry analysis was carried out on PBMC from controls (n = 21) and patients with MS (n = 20). A) CXCR3, CCR6 and CXCR5 expression was determined on total CD8 and CD4 cells. B) Percentage of Th1 (CXCR3⁺ CCR6⁻), Th1/Th17 (CXCR3⁺ CCR6⁺) and Th17 (CXCR3⁻ CCR6⁺) were calculated in CD4⁺ CD45RO⁺ T cells that were either CXCR5⁻ or CXCR5⁺. Data is represented as box plots, whiskers represent 1.5*IQR. Statistical significance was determined using a Wilcoxon’s rank sum test. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01.
Fig. 4. CXCR3+ T cell subsets have enhanced expression of α4β7. (a) Frequency of α4β7+ was calculated as a percentage of the parent populations CD4+CD45RO+CXCR5−CXCR3−CCR6− (Th1), CD4+CD45RO+CXCR5−CXCR3+CCR6− (Th1-17), CD4+CD45RO−CXCR5−CXCR3−CCR6− (Th17) (left panel). Frequency of α4β7+ was calculated as a percentage of the parent populations CD8+CXCR3+ or CD8+CCR6− (right panel). (b) Frequency of CXCR3+α4β7+ (Th1+α4β7+) and CXCR3+α4β7+ (Th1-17+α4β7+) was calculated as a percentage of the parent population CD4+CD45RO+CXCR5− T cells (left panels). Frequency of CXCR3+α4β7+ and CCR6+α4β7+ was calculated as a percentage of CD8 T cells expressing (right panels). Boxplots represent expression frequencies of healthy controls (n = 20) and MS patients (n = 13). Wilcoxon’s signed-sum test was used to test for significance. For multiple comparisons, a Bonferroni-correction was applied. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001.
4. Discussion

We investigated the association between the gut microbiome and circulating T cell subsets in patients with RRMS and healthy controls. Patients exhibited decreased abundance of *Coprococcus*, *Clostridium*, and an unidentifiable *Ruminococcaceae* genus compared to healthy controls. Correspondingly, CXCR3⁺ CD4 and CD8 T cells were increased and had increased expression of the gut-homing receptor α4β7. These cell types inversely correlated with alpha diversity, suggesting that the gut-immune axis is aberrant in MS.

We observed reduced alpha diversity in the MS gut microbiomes compared to controls (Fig. 1). Patients had a marked increase in Bacteroidetes with a corresponding reduction in Firmicutes (Fig. 2), in agreement with prior observations [22,44]. Reduced alpha diversity has previously been associated with chronic low-grade inflammation [45] and has been observed in other autoimmune diseases including inflammatory bowel disease [46,47], pre-clinical type 1 diabetes [48,49] and psoriatic arthritis [50] as well as other inflammatory diseases such as obesity [51]. A trend towards reduced alpha diversity, as measured by Shannon index, was also previously reported in RRMS patients with active disease [24]. In contrast, others observed shifts in beta diversity without change in alpha diversity in MS patients [25–27]. This variability likely relates to the heterogeneous nature of the disease and underscores the importance of careful patient recruitment and incorporation of clinical metadata into microbiome analyses. Our patient cohort included a subset of patients with active disease (Table 1), and this may contribute to our observed shift in alpha diversity. Further work is necessary to determine whether shifts in alpha diversity are by-products of an activated immune response or whether they drive autoimmunity and disease activity.

Gut dysbiosis commonly manifests as a reduction in community complexity, with a decrease in short chain fatty acid (SCFA) producing bacteria [52]. We observed reductions in several SCFA producers including *Lachnospiraceae* (genus Coprococcus), *Ruminococcaceae*, and *Clostridiaceae* (genus Clostridium) (Fig. 2). A similar reduction of SCFA producers was noted previously [22,44]. SCFAs are important immunoregulators with local and systemic effects [53]. For example, they promote FoxP3 expression, Treg differentiation [54–56] and blood brain barrier integrity [57,58], while attenuating the frequency of Th1 cells [59,60]. They also mitigate the severity of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis, an animal model for MS [59,60]. Moreover, Tregs have a reduced suppressive capacity in MS patients [61–63]. Given these observations, SCFA dysregulation resulting from gut dysbiosis may contribute to the reduced Treg suppression and enhanced Th1 responses observed in MS.

SCFA may also be affected indirectly by gut dysbiosis. We observed reduced *Methanobrevibacter* among MS patients (Fig. 2). These species convert hydrogen to methane, promoting carbohydrate fermentation and
SCFA production [64]. Nevertheless, the role of Methanobrevibacter remains to be elucidated, as others observed increased prevalence in MS [27]. The discrepancy may be partially attributed to technical differences. Methanobrevibacter is also associated with constipation and thus its detection may depend on the condition of the stool sample [65]. Future studies are necessary to directly measure SCFAs in MS patients and determine if these metabolites contribute to Treg dysfunction. Other bacterial metabolites may also prove important to disease pathogenesis, and thorough metabolomic characterization is needed.

In our cohort, MS patients had increased expression of CXCR3 on both CD4 and CD8 T cells (Fig. 3a), with a pronounced Th1, Th1–Th17, and cTh1 bias, as defined by expression of CXCR3 and CCR5 (Fig. 3b). Increased CXCR3+ Th1 cells were previously reported in MS peripheral blood [66] and cerebral spinal fluid [67] and are known to be spatially associated with demyelination [68]. The increase in cTh1 cells but not CD8 T cells was not previously observed [30,69] (Fig. 3b). Unlike cTh17 cells, cTh1 cells produce IFNγ and do not support antibody production [31]. Increased CXCR3 expression was also observed on CD8 T cells (Fig. 3a). As with CD4 T cells, CXCR3 expression in CD8 cells represents prior activation, IFNγ producing capacity and the ability to home to Th1 inflammatory sites [70]. Future studies will need to examine the contributions of cTh1 and CXCR3+ CD8 T cells to MS pathogenesis.

The α4β7 integrin is a general marker of gut-homing [33,71]. Within the circulating memory CD4 population, α4β7+ CXCR3+ Th1 and Th1–Th17 cells were increased relative to α4β7− CXCR6+ Th17 cells, indicating that peripheral Th1 cells may be more likely to migrate via the gut than Th17 cells (Fig. 4a). These cells have potential to be pathogenic, as studies have identified α4β7− memory CD4 T cells in human CSF [72]. Moreover, CXCL10, a CXCR3 ligand, is present in the CSF and lesions of patients and is spatially correlated with demyelination [66,68].

Animal models of MS have directly linked gut microbiota with the functional status of immune cells. When human gut microbes were transplanted to a mouse model of spontaneous brain inflammation, microbiota originating from MS patients were more likely to induce disease than those from their healthy identical twin sibling. Murine lymphocytes were also less able to produce IL-10 after the animals received fecal transplants from MS donors, confirming that the gut microbiome has immunomodulatory effects [25,26]. Th1/Th2 balance is regulated by the gut microbiota [73] and a diet rich in long chain fatty acids (associated with Western diets) also induces a Th1 phenotype and worsens experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis [59]. Our observations build upon these animal studies and support a relationship between bacterial dysbiosis and immune dysregulation in humans. The functional significance of dysbiosis for autoimmune disease is still emerging. There are many potential mechanisms through which microbial shifts could have systemic effects. For instance, cross-reactivity (or molecular mimicry) may exist between autoantigen-specific T cells and gut microbiota. Cross-reactivity between Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV) and myelin antigens has long been cited as underlying the development of myelin-specific T-cells in MS. While cross-reactivity is difficult to impossible to directly prove as a causal mechanism in human MS, recent studies (outside of MS) highlight that the billions of unique peptides present in the commensal proteome can be a source for cross-reactivity in autoimmune diseases [74–76] and that candidate antigens with CNS cross-reactivity can be found in the gut [77,78].

Recent work illustrates that gut-derived plasma cells access the CNS in several models of MS [79]. Future work will determine whether auto-reactive, gut-primed Th1 cells are also recruited to the CNS. Indeed, T-cells are known to migrate into inflamed tissues irrespective of their initial activation site [42]. Additional work will also be needed to establish whether the magnitude of taxonomic shifts observed in MS is likely to convey functional significance. The abundance of bacteria in the gut is often unconnected to their physiologic significance, as phyla comprising a tiny fraction of the microbiome may be disproportionately active metabolically and play major roles in host physiology. Our findings in Fig. 2 agree with other MS human microbiome studies, notably, a decrease in several SCFA producers including Lachnospiraceae (genus Clostridium), Ruminococcaceae, and Clostridiaceae (genus Clostridium). Follow-up studies will need to evaluate metabolic shifts associated with these taxonomic shifts.

This study correlated changes in the gut microbiome with alterations in circulating inflammatory T cells among MS patients. However, it is important to note that additional studies will be needed to evaluate causation. The extent of gut dysbiosis identified in MS patients using 16S rRNA sequencing is mild to moderate and is inconsistent across studies [22,24–27]. Cross-sectional data, small study sizes, lack of species-level resolution, different experimental protocols and varying geographical locations contribute to this heterogeneity. It is also likely that the metabolic by-products of the microbiome may ultimately prove more important for immune cell activation than individual bacterial taxa. Studies are underway to address these limitations. Understanding the gut/immune axis is likely to be essential for understanding the genetic/environmental interactions in MS disease pathogenesis as well as in explaining the individual level heterogeneity observed in disease severity and response to treatment.
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