Dominant-Negative DISC1 Alters the Dopaminergic Modulation of Inhibitory Interneurons in the Mouse Prefrontal Cortex
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Abstract
A truncated \textit{disrupted in schizophrenia 1} (\textit{Disc1}) gene increases the risk of psychiatric disorders, probably affecting cortical interneurons. Here, we sought to determine whether this cell population is affected in mice carrying a truncated (\textit{Disc1}) allele (DN-DISC1). We utilized whole cell recordings to assess electrophysiological properties and modulation by dopamine (DA) in two classes of interneurons: fast-spiking (FS) and low threshold-spiking (LTS) interneurons in wild-type and DN-DISC1 mice. In DN-DISC1 mice, FS interneurons, but not LTS interneurons, exhibited altered action potentials. Further, the perineuronal nets that surround FS interneurons exhibited abnormal morphology in DN-DISC1 mice, and the DA modulation of this cell type was altered in DN-DISC1 mice. We conclude that early-life manipulation of a gene associated with risk of psychiatric disease can result in dysfunction, but not loss, of specific GABAergic interneurons. The resulting alteration of excitatory-inhibitory balance is a critical element in DISC1 pathophysiology.
the disrupted in schizophrenia 1 (Disc1) gene. Disc1 is a gene found at the breakpoint of a chromosomal translocation in a Scottish family with an increased risk of developing major psychiatric illnesses, including schizophrenia [28, 29]. DISC1 is a scaffolding protein with multiple roles, such as cell fate control by switching from progenitor cell proliferation to neural differentiation, intracellular transport, and synaptic regulation [30–37]. The truncated segment encoded by the chromosomal alteration acts as a dominant-negative protein when expressed in the cell [38], here abbreviated as DN-DISC1. By tying expression to the αCaMKII promoter, cerebral cortical expression is restricted to pyramidal neurons (PYR) with an onset in the first postnatal week. Altering DISC1 affects excitation/inhibition balance in the adult prefrontal cortex (PFC) of different DISC1 mouse models [39–41], desynchronized neurotransmitter release in rats with in utero RNAi knockdown of Disc1 [42], and decreased PV levels in a transgenic model expressing DN-DISC1 [43]. The DN-DISC1 model is therefore a useful tool for assessing the role of DISC1 in adult interneuron physiology.

Dopamine (DA) is critical for proper function of the PFC by modulating the balance between excitation and inhibition. The DA effects on PFC physiology mature during adolescence in rats [44], and one of the most dramatic periadolescent changes is the acquisition of a strong excitatory effect of D2 receptor activation onto a subset of cerebral cortical GABAergic interneurons [44–47]. It is likely that this protracted maturation contributes to proper adult control of excitation-inhibition balance in PFC circuits. The PFC contains several different types of inhibitory interneurons [48, 49]. Two of the most studied classes of inhibitory cells provide complementary inhibition to layer 5 pyramidal cells through targeting input (dendritic) and output (somatic) domains. Fast-spiking (FS) interneurons express PV [50] and innervate the soma and axon initial segment of pyramidal cells [51]. Strong inhibition from PV-expressing cells sharpens the integration window of inputs into pyramidal cells [52–54], shaping the amount and timing of their output. FS interneuron activity promotes gamma oscillations, which in turn have been linked to information processing [55], and direct optogenetic stimulation can induce these rhythms in vivo [56]. While in juvenile rats FS interneuron D1 receptors are excitatory and D2 receptors are mildly inhibitory [44, 57, 58], in adult rats both D1 and D2 receptor activation causes a strong increase in excitability. Somatostatin-expressing low threshold-spiking (LTS) interneurons form a complementary inhibitory subpopulation in the cortex [50], with a prominent axon ascending to the distal pyramidal cell apical dendrites. Dendritic GABAergic innervation limits the spread of back-propagating action potentials, and LTS interneurons have been shown to play a role in both recurrent and disynaptic inhibition between pyramidal cells [59, 60]. It is not currently known whether the LTS population is modulated by DA receptor signaling.

Both LTS and FS interneurons may be involved in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia, as suggested by postmortem studies [61–63]. With the growth of genetic mouse manipulations to test the neurobiological impact of genes conferring risk of schizophrenia, it is important to determine the nature of D2 modulation of interneurons in these animals. The adult animals, D2-mediated excitation of FS interneurons is impaired in diverse developmental manipulations that result in adult animals exhibiting phenomena relevant to schizophrenia, including the neonatal ventral hippocampal lesion rat developmental model [20, 64]. In utero gene knockdown of Disc1 resulted in diminished attenuation of excitatory postsynaptic potentials by a D2 agonist, a modulation dependent on D2 recruitment of GABA interneurons [65]. Here, we explored whether FS and LTS interneurons respond differently to D2 agonists in slices from adult control mice and whether the adult D2 modulation of these cells is affected in the PFC of DN-DISC1 mice.

**Methods**

**Animals**

All procedures followed the United States Public Health Service Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and were approved by the University of Maryland Institutional Animal Care Committee. Adult (>60 days old) male wild-type C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Charles River or were wild-type littermates of DN-DISC1 mice. DN-DISC1 mice were bred in-house by crossing DN male and same-background Charles River control female mice. Animals were kept in a temperature- and humidity-controlled environment with a 12-h on/12-h off light cycle. Food and water were available ad libitum.

**Slice Preparation**

Following an overdose with the anesthetic chloral hydrate (8% solution i.p.), animals were transcardially perfused with oxygenated (95% O2, 5% CO2) ice-cold slicing artificial cerebrospinal fluid containing 125 mM sodium chloride, 25 mM sodium bicarbonate, 10 mM glucose, 3.5 mM potassium chloride, 1.25 mM monobasic sodium phosphate, 0.08 mM sodium metabisulfite, 0.1 mM calcium chloride, and 3 mM magnesium chloride, pH 7.45, osmolarity 290 mOsm. Following decapita-
tion, the brain was removed and blocks containing the PFC were dissected on ice. Coronal slices (300 μm) containing the medial PFC were sectioned on a vibratome (Pelco 102, Ted Pella) and incubated in 33 °C slicing aCSF for at least 1 h prior to recordings.

Slice Electrophysiology

PFC slices were submersed (RC-22, Warner Instruments) and perfused at 2 mL/min with oxygenated recording aCSF, in which the concentration of calcium chloride was 2 mM and magnesium chloride was lowered to 1 mM. All experiments were conducted at 33 °C. Drugs were prepared each day and diluted to the final concentration in oxygenated aCSF. Cells in layer 5 of the medial PFC were visually identified by infrared differential interference contrast video microscopy with a 40x water immersion objective. Images were captured by a digital CCD camera and displayed on a monitor to visually target recordings. Pipettes for intracellular recording (6–10 MΩ) were filled with internal solution containing 115 mM potassium gluconate, 10 mM HEPES, 20 mM potassium chloride, 2 mM magnesium chloride, 2 mM adenosine triphosphate (magnesium salt), 2 mM adenosine triphosphate (disodium salt), and 0.3 mM guanosine triphosphate (lithium salt), pH 7.3, osmolarity 280 mOsm. Neurobiotin (0.125%) was also dissolved in the internal solution for post hoc identification of recorded neurons. Intracellular whole-cell recordings were obtained through a headstage (CV7, Molecular Devices) and digitized (Digidata 1322A, Molecular Devices). Signals were acquired at a sampling rate of 20 kHz with Axoscope 9.0, low-pass filtered at 10 kHz, and passed through a 60–Hz noise attenuation system to remove AC line noise (Hum Bug, Quest Scientific). Pipette capacitance and resistance were measured in the recording solution and subtracted; liquid junction potential was not corrected. Quinpirole hydrochloride was purchased from Tocris Bioscience.

Experiment

Changes in excitability of cells were assessed by changes in response to a custom-designed excitability protocol (MATLAB). This protocol delivers three current pulses in succession every 30 s: a small negative current to monitor changes in input resistance, a positive square pulse which was initially set to elicit several action potentials during the baseline period, allowing for detection of excitation or inhibition, and a positive-going ramp current (1 s duration) with a maximum amplitude set to 110% of the square pulse to determine rheobase. After a baseline period including 5 min to determine baseline excitability, the high-affinity D2/D3 receptor agonist quinpirole was applied in the external solution for 5 min and then washed out, similar to previously published data [44].

Analysis

Action potential measurements were quantified at rheobase. Threshold was manually determined as the inflection point of the upstroke of the action potential. Amplitude, afterhyperpolarization (AHP) time, and amplitude were all measured relative to threshold. The duration of the action potential was measured as width at half-amplitude. Input resistance was measured as the slope within the linear portion of the voltage response to a series of negative current pulses. Quinpirole responses were quantified during the last 6 sweeps (3 min) of drug application and compared to the average of the 10-sweep baseline period. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. Significance was determined based on α = 0.05 using Student t tests.

Results

FS and LTS interneuron cells exhibited distinct electrophysiological profiles in recordings from adult (>60 days old) PFC. Most FS interneurons showed an inconsistent “stuttering” firing pattern in response to near-threshold intracellular current injection and a steep, discontinuous increase in firing to increasing current intensities, as reported previously [50, 66, 67]. The LTS action potential count increased linearly to ascending supra-threshold current intensities and required much lower current intensities to evoke action potentials compared to FS interneurons. In some cases, LTS interneurons fired rebound action potentials at the termination of hyperpolarizing current steps. In some cells, neurobiotin staining was overlaid with GABA or GABA and Wisteria floribunda agglutinin, a marker for perineuronal nets (PNNs) which selectively form around PV-containing interneurons to confirm cell identity.

The action potential properties and intrinsic excitability of FS interneurons from adult DN-DISC1 mice were dramatically different from those in control animals (n = 16 control cells, n = 11 DN-DISC1 cells). There was a nonsignificant trend towards a depolarized resting membrane potential in DN-DISC1 (control: −68.2 ± 6.0 mV; DN-DISC1: −63.1 ± 7.2 mV; t = 1.96, p = 0.062), but input resistance (control: 260 ± 119 MΩ; DN-DISC1: 272 ± 107 MΩ; t = 0.26, ns) was not different between the two genotypes. Action potentials in DN-DISC1 FS interneurons (Fig. 1a) were of longer duration (Fig. 1b; control: 1.1 ± 0.2 ms; DN-DISC1: 1.5 ± 0.4 ms; t = 3.64, p = 0.001), and the prominent AHP, a characteristic of FS interneurons, was smaller in DN-DISC1 FS interneurons (Fig. 1c; control: 19.3 ± 3.9 mV; DN-DISC1: 13.4 ± 4.6 mV; t = 3.52, p = 0.002). Action potential threshold did not differ between control and DN-DISC1 animals (control: −40.9 ± 5.1 mV; DN-DISC1: −36.4 ± 6.8 mV; t = 1.91, p = 0.068), and neither did action potential amplitude (control: 52.9 ± 9.8 mV; DN-DISC1: 51.3 ± 13.9 mV; t = 0.34, ns). To examine inhibitory circuitry, we assessed the PNNs with Wisteria floribunda agglutinin. FS interneurons in DN-DISC1 were surrounded by PNNs, but the nets were spidery in appearance and less coalesced.
Around FS interneuron somata (Fig. 1d). The minimum current intensity required to evoke an action potential (rheobase) was modestly but significantly lower in DN-DISC1 FS interneurons (control: 64.75 ± 48.44 pA; DN-DISC1: 27.7 ± 31.8 pA; \( t = 2.14, p = 0.043 \)). The data indicate that FS interneurons from DN-DISC1 mice have altered electrophysiological properties and exhibit a different PNN morphology.

During the adolescent critical period, PFC FS interneurons acquire a D2 modulation that is different from that of preadolescent neurons [44, 46]. We tested whether this maturation is affected in DN-DISC1 mice. In FS interneurons from control animals, bath application of the D2 agonist quinpirole (1.0–2.0 \( \mu \)M) consistently increased the number of action potentials evoked by a constant-current depolarizing pulse (Fig. 2a, c, d) (aCSF: 5.6 ± 2.0; quinpirole: 9.7 ± 5.3; \( t = 2.94, p = 0.026; n = 7 \)). Quinpirole did not alter resting membrane potential (aCSF: –67.4 ± 6.9 mV; quinpirole: –66.6 ± 6.4 mV; \( t = 1.35, \) ns) or input resistance (aCSF: 319 ± 167 MΩ; quinpirole: 331 ± 170 MΩ; \( t = 1.98, \) ns), but the action potential increase was accompanied by a decrease in rheobase (aCSF: 77.1 ± 60.2 pA; quinpirole: 66.0 ± 50.8 pA; \( t = 2.69, p = 0.043 \)). The latency to first action potential was also reduced by quinpirole in some cells, but this result did not reach statistical significance in the group data (aCSF: 80.9 ± 86.5 ms; quinpirole: 34.5 ± 29.5 ms; \( t = 2.05, p = 0.086 \)). Unlike in control FS interneurons, quinpirole did not result in increased action potential firing in FS interneurons from DN-DISC1 animals (Fig. 2b, e, f) (aCSF: 5.4 ± 2.7; quinpirole: 6.1 ± 5.0; \( t = 0.52, \) ns). Confirming a lack of excitation, the latency to first spike (aCSF: 99.0 ± 126.8 ms; quinpirole: 91.5 ± 125.9 ms; \( t = 0.68, \) ns) and rheobase (aCSF: 46.5 ± 13.9 pA; quinpirole: 48.4 ± 11.4 pA; \( t = 1.03, \) ns) were also unaffected by D2 receptor activation. As in control FS interneurons, resting membrane potential (aCSF: –62.7 ± 6.8 mV; quinpirole: –62.6 ± 7.2 mV; \( t = 0.15, \) ns) and input resistance (aCSF: 323 ± 156 MΩ; quinpirole: 321 ± 155 MΩ; \( t = 0.33, \) ns) were unaffected. The lack of normal adult up-regulation in evoked firing by activation of D2 receptors, along with the altered electrophysiological phenotype, suggests a functional impairment of FS interneurons in DN-DISC1 animals.

In contrast to the abnormalities observed in FS interneurons from DN-DISC1 mice, LTS interneurons did not show obvious electrophysiological abnormalities (15 control LTS interneurons, 18 DN-DISC1 LTS interneurons). There were no changes in LTS membrane potential (control: –61.5 ± 6.9 mV; DN-DISC1: –61.7 ± 7.3 mV;
Including input resistance (control: 378 ± 70 MΩ; DN-DISC1: 427 ± 219 MΩ; \( t = 0.78, \) ns) and rheobase were similar between the two genotypes (control: 10.8 ± 10.9 pA; DN-DISC1: 14.4 ± 13.4 pA; \( t = 0.90, \) ns). Action potential characteristics including threshold (control: –42.1 ± 2.8 mV; DN-DISC1: –41.8 ± 3.4 mV; \( t = 0.33, \) ns), amplitude (control: 71.2 ± 8.8 mV; DN-DISC1: 68.9 ± 7.1 mV; \( t = 0.84, \) ns), duration (control: 1.8 ± 0.4 ms; DN-DISC1: 1.9 ± 0.4 ms; \( t = 0.79, \) ns), and AHP amplitude (control: 10.9 ± 3.4 mV; DN-DISC1: 9.3 ± 3.0 mV; \( t = 1.52, \) ns) were all similar between LTS cells of the two genotypes.

LTS cells \((n = 11)\) from control animals did not show a consistent response to the D2 agonist (Fig. 3a), responding with a similar number of action potentials throughout and after drug application (Fig. 3b). Quinpirole (1.0–2.0 μM) did not change the number of action potentials evoked by somatic current injection (Fig. 3c; 6.4 ± 2.1 to 5.9 ± 2.1; \( t = 1.16, \) ns), with latency to first action potential (aCSF: 54.9 ± 40.1 ms; quinpirole: 53.1 ± 45.8 ms; \( t = 0.22, \) ns) and rheobase (aCSF: 12.9 ± 8.8 pA; quinpirole: 11.7 ± 8.2 pA; \( t = 1.27, \) ns) also unchanged. Subthreshold measures including membrane potential and input resistance were not affected by quinpirole application either. DN-DISC1 LTS did not respond to bath application of quinpirole (data not shown).

Since DN-DISC1 is expressed under the \( \alpha CaMKII \) promoter, we examined the intrinsic excitability and action potential characteristics of PYR. In whole-cell rec
cordings, PYR exhibited low input resistance, a small AHP, and spike frequency attenuation with or without an initial doublet of action potentials when driven with intracellular current pulses. In cells where the neurobiotin fill was recovered and visualized, pyramidal cells were identified by their morphology, including an apical dendrite reaching towards the pial surface. The intrinsic excitability of PYR was not altered in DN-DISC1 mice ($n = 58$ control, $n = 40$ DN-DISC1). Resting membrane potential (control: $-67.8 \pm 4.7$ mV; DN-DISC1: $-67.5 \pm 5.8$ mV; $t = 0.26$, ns) and input resistance (control: $153 \pm 47$ MΩ; DN-DISC1: $164 \pm 57$ MΩ; $t = 0.97$, ns) were similar between genotypes, as was rheobase (control: $112.9 \pm 45.8$ pA; DN-DISC1: $105.1 \pm 45.6$ pA; $t = 0.83$, ns). PYR action potential characteristics were similarly unaffected in DN-DISC1 animals, with threshold (control: $34.7 \pm 4.5$ mV; DN-DISC1: $36.8 \pm 5.8$ mV; $t = 1.98$, ns), amplitude (control: $67.9 \pm 8.5$ mV; DN-DISC1: $71.0 \pm 9.6$ mV; $t = 1.65$, ns), and half-width (control: $2.18 \pm 0.5$ ms; DN-DISC1: $2.34 \pm 0.6$ ms; $t = 1.38$, ns).

In a subset of PYR cells, each genotype was tested for changes in its modulation by quinpirole (1.0–2.0 μM). PYR did not show any changes in excitability in response to quinpirole application as reflected in action potential count in control PYR ($n = 11$; aCSF: $4.7 \pm 1.1$; quinpirole: $4.4 \pm 1.4$; $t = 0.87$, ns) or PYR from DN-DISC1 mice ($n = 14$; aCSF: $5.1 \pm 1.0$; quinpirole: $5.0 \pm 1.8$; $t = 0.17$, ns). These data indicate that expression of DN-DISC1 does not affect PYR excitability or their modulation by D2 agonists.

**Discussion**

FS and LTS interneurons were separable from each other and from pyramidal cells based on their basic membrane properties and action potential characteristics. This separation was preserved in slices from DN-DISC1 mice, allowing comparison of their electrophysiological phenotype and their modulation by DA. In wild-type mice, the D2 agonist quinpirole elicited a sustained increase in action potential firing in FS interneurons, but not LTS interneurons, similar to what has been reported in rats. Although in rats some non-FS interneurons can also be excited by a D2 agonist, a selective characterization of LTS interneurons was not attempted. Here, we showed that mouse LTS interneurons are not modulated by quinpirole. In DN-DISC1 mice, the excitatory effect of quinpirole on FS interneurons was absent, along with abnormal morphology of PNNs. While there was some

---

Fig. 3. Whole-cell patch clamp recordings from LTS interneurons in control medial prefrontal cortex show no consistent response to D2 agonists. a Representative recording of suprathreshold current response in LTS interneurons. b Time course of response to quinpirole (1–2 μM) shows no change in AP count through drug administration. All data are mean ± standard deviation. c Group data of average response (6 trials) to depolarizing current before and during quinpirole administration. AP, action potential; LTS, low-threshold-spiking.
high degree of variability in our measures, overall the
data suggest that DN-DISC1 affects DA modulation of
excitation-inhibition balance in the prefrontal circuits of
mice.

FS interneurons were dramatically altered in DN-
DISC1 mice, necessitating post hoc staining with a reli-
able marker of PV interneurons (PNNs) prior to descrip-
tion of their physiological properties. The action poten-
tials in this FS cell population were slower and the cells
could not sustain prolonged rapid firing. These observa-
tions are consistent with the loss of PV observed in these
mice and indicate a loss of functional capacity in this crit-
ical neuronal population. An interesting aspect of this
model is that DN-DISC1 expression is driven by aCaMKII,
expressed primarily in PYR. Thus, the deficits observed in
FS interneurons are driven by the impact of DN-DISC1
on PYR function. As PYR constitute the primary source
of glutamatergic innervation onto FS interneurons, it is
conceivable that the FS interneuron deficits are driven by
altered pyramidal inputs. As these experiments were con-
ducted in adult mice, the normal developmental increase
in excitatory projections to FS interneurons during ado-
lescence [68] could be affected by DN-DISC1, a result ob-
erved after electroporation of the Disc1 L100P point mu-
tant [69].

The modulation of FS interneurons by DA is also im-
paired in DN-DISC1 mice. While some neurons still
showed an increase in firing in response to quinpirole,
most FS interneurons failed to increase their firing. This
observation is consistent with what has been found in
many different animal manipulations that yielded abnor-
mal interneuron activity in the PFC, such as the neonatal
ventral hippocampal lesion model [64], rats treated in
utero with methylazoxymethanol acetate [14, 70], and
maternal immune activation [71], among others. These
models disrupt the normal developmental trajectory of
inhibitory circuits. FS interneurons are a highly vulnera-
bale population, especially in the perinatal period, coinci-
dent with our disruption of DISC1 signaling. During this
period, FS interneurons undergo NMDA-dependent de-
development, linking dysfunction induced by DN-DISC1 in
pyramidal cells to the manifestation in interneurons. Due
to their high firing rate, immature FS interneurons are
easily disturbed by the generation of pro-oxidant mole-
cules [26]. Immature PNNs are less effective in prevent-
ing oxidative damage to FS interneurons [72]. Alterations
in PNN labeling have also been identified in the PFC of
patients with schizophrenia [73] and in animal models
[74]. The spidery appearance of PNN structure in DN-
DISC1 mice indicates abnormalities either in the forma-
tion or maintenance of these structures, which may also
compromise their function. We have previously shown
both reduced PV staining [43] and signs of increased ox-
idative stress in the PFC of DN-DISC1 mice accompanied
by impairments in PFC-dependent cognitive and motiva-
tional paradigms [75]. Thus, structural changes in PFC
circuitry in DN-DISC1 mice and other models can drive
functional deficits.

The DN-DISC1 mutation did not affect adult action
potential or intrinsic excitability phenotype of LTS in-
terneurons. While the number of cells expressing so-
matostatin is reduced in the cortex of patients with
schizophrenia, somatostatin is present in a large variety
of interneurons in the mouse, of which LTS interneurons
are only a subset. In contrast to FS interneurons, LTS in-
terneurons are less excitable in the immature cortex and
have a lower firing rate [76], which may be protective.
We did not detect changes in the intrinsic excitability
and action potential characteristics of the PYR in which
DN-DISC1 is expressed. This raises the need for future
studies to understand how DN-DISC1 expression in
PYR results in the dysfunction of PV-expressing FS in-
terneurons, but not somatostatin-expressing LTS inter-
neurons.

The data indicate that FS interneurons are highly vul-
nerable to the deleterious impact of expression of a trun-
cated Disc1 gene in PFC PYR. This vulnerability may be
driven by the protracted developmental trajectory of FS
interneurons. The loss of DA modulation in FS interne-
urons and the abnormal appearance of PNNs suggest al-
tered functional properties in this critical neuronal popu-
lation. As PV interneurons help synchronize the activity
of cortical networks, their insufficient activation could
underscore altered high-frequency oscillations and be-
haviors that depend on proper excitation-inhibition bal-
ance. Observing this alteration in DN-DISC1 mice sug-
gests that the DISC1 truncation originally identified in a
Scottish family [29] may contribute to their risk of schizo-
phrenia by altering FS interneuron developmental trajec-
tories.
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