Study of fetomaternal outcome in second stage caesarean section
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ABSTRACT

Background: The incidence of second stage caesarean section is more in developing countries. Caesarean sections done at full cervical dilatation with impacted fetal head are difficult and associated with an increased incidence of maternal and fetal complications.

Methods: This was prospective observational study conducted at a tertiary teaching institute. All second stage caesarean sections performed between September 2017 to August 2018 were analysed in terms of incidence, indications of caesarean-section, intra-operative and postoperative complications, maternal and fetal outcome.

Results: During the study period there were total 7270 deliveries. Out of this 1884 deliveries were done by caesarean section. Out of them 50 were 2nd stage caesarean sections contributing to 2.65% of total sections. Patwardhan method was used in 50% cases for delivery of deeply engaged head. Intra-operative complications were higher in terms of atonic pph (8%), extension of uterine incision (16%), in 3 cases bladder injury was noticed. Obstetric hysterectomy was required in 4% cases. 14% cases had postoperative febrile illness and 8% cases had wound infection. 44% babies required NICU admissions and neonatal death was 18%.

Conclusions: Caesarean section in the 2nd stage of labour is associated with significantly increased maternal morbidity. Neonatal morbidity and mortality also increases. A proper judgement is required by a skilled obstetrician to take a decision for caesarean section at full cervical dilatation.
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INTRODUCTION

A caesarean section at second stage occurs when mother requires delivery at full dilatation of cervix, which poses a risk to mother and the fetus. The increasing trend of CS at second stage is of major concern in modern obstetrics. Incidence of second stage CS has increased from 0.9% to 2.2%.1 Second stage CS has been reported as a concerning increase trend within the increasing CS rate.1,2 Literature review suggests that this trend is multifactorial; probably a combination of lack of training for junior staff in second stage decision making, lack of expertise in assisted vaginal delivery.2 Increase in primary CS has a great impact on subsequent obstetric outcome and delivery. Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG) reports that 6% of primary CS occurs at full dilatation and in 50% of these patients there was no attempt of instrumental vaginal delivery.3 CS at full dilatation is a technically more challenging procedure than CS in early labour.4 There is also difficulty in delivery of deeply engaged head which can be delivered by Patwardhan method or by push method. The maternal morbidity is higher in second stage CS.2,5 Maternal morbidity in second stage CS is in the form of extension of uterine angles, postpartum haemorrhage and prolonged surgical time.6,7 Bladder injury, postpartum pyrexia were among the common complications reported during second stage CS.8,9 Neonatal morbidity in terms of NICU admissions, foetal academia, hypoxemia, prolonged...
NICU stay is reportedly higher in second stage CS.\textsuperscript{10,11} Decision making for CS in the second stage of labour is one of the greatest challenges in current obstetric practice. Involvement of a skilled obstetrician in the management of second stage CS aids in minimising the morbidity and mortality.

The objectives of this study were to determine the incidence of LSCS in second stage of labour, and the indications for LSCS in second stage of labour. Also, determined the fetomatal outcome in second stage caesarean sections.

**METHODS**

This prospective observational study was carried out in the department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of P.D.U Medical College, Rajkot for the period of one year from September 2017 to August 2018. During the study period all the lower segment caesarean sections performed in second stage of labour were included in the study. The data were collected in preformed proforma and written and informed consent was taken. Fifty women who underwent second stage CS were analysed in terms of indications for second stage CS, method of delivering the deeply engaged head, intra-operative and postoperative complications, as well as fetal outcome. Institutional ethical committee approval was obtained for the study.

**Inclusion criteria**

- All the second stage caesarean sections done at department of obstetrics and gynecology at P.D.U Medical, Rajkot.

**Exclusion criteria**

- Second stage caesarean sections done outside and referred to P.D.U Medical College for further management.

**RESULTS**

Total number of deliveries during the study period was 7270. Among these 1884 delivered by caesarean section. Out of this 50 (2.65%) caesarean were performed in second stage. Non-progress of labour associated with fetal distress was the most common indication for LSCS in second stage of labour accounting for 19 cases (38%) followed by deflexed head 8 cases (16%) and deep transverse arrest 7 cases (14%) (Figure 1).

![Figure 1: Indications of caesarean section in second stage of labour.](Image)

Most common method of delivery of deeply engaged head was Patwardhan method in 25 cases (50%) followed by vertex method in 36% and push method in 6% (Figure 2). Intra-operative complications were extension of uterine angles 8 cases (16%), atonic PPH 4 cases (8%), bladder injuries 3 cases (6%) and obstetric hysterectomy in 2 cases (4%) (Table 1). Post-operative complications were paralytic ileus 7 cases (14%), febrile illness 7 cases (14%) and wound infection 4 cases (8%) (Table 2).

![Figure 2: Technique of delivery of deeply engaged head.](Image)

**Table 1: Intra-operative complications.**

| Intra-operative complications | No. (percentage) |
|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Extension of uterine angles   | 8 (16%)          |
| Atonic pph                    | 4 (8%)           |
| Bladder injuries              | 3 (6%)           |
| Obstetric hysterectomy        | 2 (4%)           |

**Table 2: Post-operative complications.**

| Post-operative complications | No. (percentage) |
|------------------------------|------------------|
| Paralytic ileus              | 7 (14%)          |
| Febrile illness              | 7 (14%)          |
| Wound infection              | 4 (8%)           |
| Hemorrhagic urine            | 5 (10%)          |

**Table 3: Fetal and newborn complications.**

| Complications   | No. (percentage) |
|-----------------|------------------|
| Nicu admission  | 22 (44%)         |
| Fresh still birth| 5 (10%)         |
| Neonatal death  | 9 (18%)          |
DISCUSSION

During the one year study period 1884 babies were 
delivered by C-Section; of these (n=50) 2.65% C- 
Sections were performed at full dilatation. Most common 
indications for 2nd stage C-section was non progress of 
labour with fetal distress followed by deflexed head and 
deep transverse arrest (DTA) (Figure 1). As the duration 
for second stage increases, there would be more 
difficulties due to oedematous lower segment, 
overstretched and thinned out lower segment and more 
impaction of presenting part in pelvis. Operative time 
was also increased due to difficulty of delivery of deeply 
engaged head. Delivery of deeply engaged head is 
challenge to obstetrician, this can be done by various 
methods as Vertex method, pull method i.e. Patwardhan’s 
Method, push method in this method head is pushed 
vaginally and then head is delivered through uterine 
incision. In study group deeply engaged head delivered 
by Patwardhan method were 50%, vertex method were 
36% and by push method 6%.

In this study, atomic PPH was observed in 8% of patients 
and extension of uterine incision was found 16% of 
patients. As compare to study conducted by Baloch S et al 
was observed 12.5% PPH and 5.4% extension of wound. 
Increased incidence of atomic postpartum hemorrhage due to prolonged 2nd stage of labour. Bladder 
incision was observed in 3 cases. Obstetric hysterectomy 
done in 2 cases. One hysterectomy done as patient 
referred late from lower health centre for prolonged 
second stage which ultimately resulted in ruptured uterus. 
Second hysterectomy done for post LSCS lower segment 
incision. Post-operative complications like febrile 
infection in 14% cases, paralytic ileus in 14% cases and 
wound infection in 8% were more in study group. Future 
VBAC chances are also reduced due to maternal 
morbidity associated with 2nd stage C-Section. NICU 
admissions and neonatal deaths were significantly high 
due to birth asphyxia in study group.

CONCLUSION

Caesarean section in the second stage of labour is 
associated with significantly increased maternal and 
neonatal morbidity as well as increased neonatal 
mortality. A proper judgement and skilled obstetrician are 
required to perform second stage caesarean section.
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