Void-induced cross slip of screw dislocations in fcc copper
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Pinning interaction between a screw dislocation and a void in fcc copper is investigated by means of molecular dynamics simulation. A screw dislocation bows out to undergo depinning on the original glide plane at low temperatures, where the behavior of the depinning stress is consistent with that obtained by a continuum model. If the temperature is higher than 300 K, the motion of a screw dislocation is no longer restricted to a single glide plane due to cross slip on the void surface. Several depinning mechanisms that involve multiple glide planes are found. In particular, a depinning mechanism that produces an intrinsic prismatic loop is found. We show that these complex depinning mechanisms significantly increase the depinning stress.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Plasticity of crystalline materials is mainly dominated by the mobility of dislocations, which can move at much lower stress levels than the theoretical strength of a perfect crystal. Dislocations interact with other lattice defects such as voids or precipitates. Such dislocation-obstacle interactions limit the mobility of dislocations, resulting in hardening, and hence they are one of the major concerns in materials science. Until very recently, they were investigated exclusively by continuum models, which involve a single glide plane and neglect atomistic discreteness. Such continuum models are now developed to three-dimensional multidislocation model. Although these attempts are promising, they must be suitably supplemented with insights regarding atomistic-scale phenomena, because pinning processes essentially involve core structures of dislocations. Recent extensive in situ experiments have found that elementary processes of dislocation-obstacle interactions are more complicated than considered before, mainly due to their atomistic nature.

As an approach that is complemental to experiments, molecular dynamics (MD) simulations also begin to reveal remarkable dynamics of dislocation pinning. For example, an edge dislocation absorbs vacancies when they interact with vacancy clusters. Namely, edge dislocations can sweep volume defects. This may be an elementary process of dislocation channeling, because the region in which a dislocation glides once contains less defects and subsequent dislocations can pass the region more easily. Another example is the finding of a new bypass mechanism, which is quite different from the Orowan mechanism. During the bypass mechanism, an edge dislocation that is pinned by an impermeable precipitate bows out to form a screw dipole. This screw dipole can cross slip, which results in a complex bypass process in which double cross slip plays an important role.

The above two examples are good illustrations of usefulness of MD simulation in the field of dislocation physics. While these studies involve edge dislocations, simulations on screw dislocations are also intriguing because they generally bear more complex nature than edge dislocations; a good example is cross slip. Some promising attempts are already made such as the interaction with a Frank loop or a precipitate. Along the line of these studies, pinning dynamics of a screw dislocation in fcc copper is investigated in this paper. We focus on the interaction between a screw dislocation and a void, because voids are ubiquitous defects in irradiated metals and play an essential role in irradiation hardening.

We report several additional pinning dynamics, in which a screw dislocation undergoes double cross slip on the void surface so that the motion of a pinned dislocation is no longer restricted on a single glide plane. Cross slip remains partial because of asymmetry in energetics of constriction. This partial cross slip enriches the nature of pinning and generally inhibits depinning of dislocations, which results in the increase of the depinning stress. It is also found that cross slip on the void surface is a thermally activated process because no such behavior is observed at 0 and 150 K. Comparison with a pinning dynamics of an edge dislocation will be briefly discussed.

II. COMPUTATIONAL MODEL

Let us describe our MD model. We consider fcc copper utilizing a many-body interatomic potential of Finnis and Sinclair, with the parameters being optimized for copper. The lattice constant is 3.615 Å. We adopt this model amongst the others because of its simplicity and computational efficiency. The only drawback is underestimation of stacking fault energy, which is 36 mJ/m², while it is estimated as 45–78 mJ/m² in experiments. This leads to a somewhat wide stacking fault ribbon in simulation (approximately 6b, where b denotes the Burgers vector length), which does not seriously influence our results.

The dimensions of the model system are 29×20×20 nm³. This system consists of approximately 9.2×10⁵ atoms. The x, y, and z axes are taken along the [112], [1T0], and [111] directions, respectively. In order to introduce a screw dislocation whose Burgers vector is parallel to the y axis, we first prepare a perfect crystal and then displace at-
produced by removing atoms that belong to a spherical region with radius \( r = 0.5 \) nm, \( 1 \) nm, \( 2 \) nm, and \( 3 \) nm, respectively. The initial distance between the void surface and the dislocation is 3 nm. Atoms are colored according to the number of the nearest neighbors (Ref. 20): blue, 13; red, 11; green, 10; and pink, 9. Atoms that have 12 nearest neighbors, which constitute a perfect crystal, are omitted in order to visualize defects.

**III. BEHAVIORS OF THE DEPINNING STRESS**

Stress-strain relations for pinning-depinning processes with voids of different radii are shown in Fig. 3. Negative shear stress at the early stage is attributed to attractive interaction between a void and a dislocation. Note that the stress-strain curve has a single peak, which means that two partial dislocations are depinned simultaneously. This makes an apparent contrast to the case of edge dislocations, where the stress-strain curve has two peaks, each of which corresponds to depinning of the leading and trailing partials, respectively. This is because the width of two partials of an edge dislocation is much larger than that of a screw dislocation, \( 6b \).

Then we discuss a quantitative aspect of the depinning stress. At lower temperatures (10 and 150 K), void size dependence of the depinning stress is described by a logarithmic law, which was originally discovered through a continuum model calculation:3

\[
\sigma = K \ln \left( \frac{r}{a} \right)
\]

where \( a \) denotes the length of the Burgers vector (see Fig. 1 for the schematic). The displacement field \( u_y(r) \) yields a perfect screw dislocation whose strain field is symmetric with respect to \( z = 0 \). Note that we cannot adopt a periodic boundary condition with respect to the \( z \) direction because the relative displacement of two adjacent cells is \( b/2 \). Thus, a modified periodic boundary condition is adopted, under which the adjacent cells are displaced by \( b/2 \) along the \( y \) direction [see Fig. 1(b) for schematic]. As to the \( y \) direction, an ordinary periodic boundary condition is employed so that we consider a screw dislocation of infinite length. Note that the surfaces exist only in the \( \pm z \) directions. A void is introduced by removing atoms that belong to a spherical region whose radius is \( r \). Initial distance between the void surface and the dislocation is 3 nm (see Fig. 2 for the initial configuration explained above).

The velocities of copper atoms are given randomly according to the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution. We prepare systems at several temperatures: 10, 150, 300, and 450 K, respectively. Then the system is relaxed without shear so that a screw dislocation introduced by Eq. (1) dissociates into two Shockley partials separated by a stacking fault ribbon on (111). The distance between two partials is approximately \( 6b \). Then the surfaces move antiparallel at a constant speed \( \dot{\varepsilon} \) so that the system is subjected to plain shear. We set the strain rate \( \dot{\varepsilon} = 7 \times 10^6 \) s\(^{-1}\). Important parameters that we will vary in this study are the temperature and the void radius. The shear stress is measured on the upper surface: the total force acting on the surface atoms divided by the area.

**FIG. 1.** (Color online) (a) Schematic of the initial atomistic configuration for a screw dislocation. The solid lines represent rows of atoms that belong to the region \( z > 0 \), while the dashed lines represent those in \( z < 0 \). The vertical dot-dashed line in the middle denotes a screw dislocation. (b) Schematic of a boundary condition with respect to the \( x \) direction. Adjacent cells with respect to the \( x \) direction are displaced by \( b/2 \) along the \( y \) direction.

**FIG. 2.** (Color online) An initial configuration of the computational system where the void radius is 2 nm here. Initial distance between the void surface and the dislocation is 3 nm. Atoms are colored according to the number of the nearest neighbors (Ref. 20): blue, 13; red, 11; green, 10; and pink, 9. Atoms that have 12 nearest neighbors, which constitute a perfect crystal, are omitted in order to visualize defects.

**FIG. 3.** (Color online) The stress-strain relations in pinning processes for several voids at 10 K. The red solid line, the green dashed line, the blue dot-dashed line, and the pink dotted line represent those for \( r = 0.5, 1, 2, \) and 3 nm, respectively.
VOID-INDUCED CROSS SLIP OF SCREW DISLOCATIONS

where $G$, $L$, and $\nu$ are the shear modulus, spacing between voids, and the Poisson ratio, respectively. Note that (MPa). Different symbols denote the depinning stresses at different temperatures: The circles, the squares, the triangles, and the diamonds represent those at 10, 150, 300, and 450 K, respectively. The solid line is Eq. (2) with $B=0.45 \text{ nm}$. At temperatures higher than 300 K, the depinning stress does not obey Eq. (2) because the underlying mechanism changes. The blank triangles at $r \approx 2 \text{ nm}$ correspond to a depinning mechanism that involves double cross slip, while the solid symbols correspond to a mechanism that yields an intrinsic prismatic loop (see Sec. IV).

\[ \sigma_{\tau} = \frac{Gb}{2\pi L(1-\nu)} \ln \left( \frac{0.5r^{-1}+L^{-1}}{b} \right), \]  

(2)

end of the dislocation, as is shown in Fig. 5(a). This asymmetry of constriction on the void surface is explained in terms of the energetics of Shockley partials.

As is shown in Fig. 5(b), there are two different geometries with respect to the angles between their Burgers vectors and the normal vector of the surface. These two cases have different energetics upon constriction. On the left side of Fig. 5(b), the angle between a partial dislocation and its Burgers vector decreases upon constriction so that the two partials are more like screw dislocations. However, as is easily seen in Fig. 5(b), this tendency is quite opposite in the other configuration, where the angle between a partial dislocation and its Burgers vector increases upon constriction, i.e., the partials become edge-dislocation-like. Following Rasmussen et al., we refer to constriction in the former configuration as screwlike constriction, while the latter as edgeline constrictions. Obviously, screwlike constriction is energetically more favorable than edgeline constriction. Moreover, screwlike constriction has less energy than two parallel partials so that screwlike constriction is spontaneous on the free surface. Indeed, Rasmussen et al. computed the energy of these two geometries and found that the energy of screwlike constriction is 1.1 eV lower than two parallel partials.

B. Constriction on the void surface leads to cross slip at high temperatures

As is explained above, constriction of one end of a dislocation on the void surface (screwlike constriction) is spontaneous. At 10 and 150 K, this spontaneous constriction does not lead to cross slip and the depinning process takes place exclusively on the (111) plane. However, at higher temperatures, constriction is followed by cross slip so that the partials move onto the (111) plane. A typical atomistic configu-
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As is explained above, constriction of one end of a dislocation on the void surface (screwlike constriction) is spontaneous. At 10 and 150 K, this spontaneous constriction does not lead to cross slip and the depinning process takes place exclusively on the (111) plane. However, at higher temperatures, constriction is followed by cross slip so that the partials move onto the (111) plane. A typical atomistic configu-

IV. VOID-INDUCED CROSS SLIP

A. Constriction on the void surface: The concepts of screwlike and edgeline constrictions

Through careful observation of the atomistic configuration of the pinned dislocation, we find that cross slip occurs, which is preceded by constriction on the void surface. The most important point is that constriction always occurs at one
V. THREE-DIMENSIONAL DEPINNING MECHANISMS

In view of the concepts of the two types of constriction explained above, we discuss pinning dynamics of a screw dislocation in which cross slip plays an essential role. Note that the discussions below involve higher temperatures at which constriction can lead to cross slip. We find two different mechanisms, which are explained in the different sections below. The main difference between the two mechanisms is the occurrence of edgelike constriction.

A. Depinning mechanism A: Double cross slip with edgelike constriction

First, we discuss the case that edgelike constriction occurs. As is discussed in the previous section, the pinned dislocation undergoes cross slip via screwlike constriction, which is shown in Fig. 6. However, cross slip of the entire part of the dislocation is not possible without edgelike constriction, which costs a certain amount of energy (3.8 eV according to Rasmussen et al.\textsuperscript{17}). Therefore, until edgelike constriction occurs, cross slip remains partial and a dislocation is on the two glide planes simultaneously. Due to the high activation energy, edgelike constriction takes place at relatively high shear stress, which is estimated as 150–200 MPa in the present simulation.

Once edgelike constriction is realized at this stress level, it leads to cross slip of the entire part of a pinned dislocation onto the secondary glide plane, (11\text{\bar{1}}). Under the present configuration of simple shear, it is estimated that the shear stress on the secondary glide plane is 1/3 of that on the primary glide plane. (Note that the factor 1/3 is the Schmidt factor.) Thus, depinning does not occur on the (11\text{\bar{1}}) plane in the present simulation. Instead, the dislocation double cross slips onto another (11\text{\bar{1}}) plane and undergoes depinning there. In this process, the depinning stress is somewhat (approximately 150\%\textsuperscript{1}) greater than Eq. (2), which is shown by blank triangles in Fig. 4.

B. Depinning mechanism B: A prismatic loop formation

Then we discuss the case that edgelike constriction does not occur. In this case, cross slip that is initiated by screwlike constriction does not propagate toward the other end of the dislocation. Instead, the cross-slipped section of the dislocation undergoes double cross slip onto another primary glide plane (11\text{\bar{1}}), with the other end remaining on the original glide plane. A typical atomistic configuration is shown in Fig. 7, where the dislocation is on two (11\text{\bar{1}}) planes simultaneously. Note that a superjog on the (11\text{\bar{1}}) plane connects the two parts. In this configuration, the dislocation cannot glide further and undergo complex depinning dynamics, which results in a considerable increase of the depinning stress, as is explained in the next section.

Note that the pinned dislocation is divided into two segments, each of which lies on the different (11\text{\bar{1}}) planes. While each segment bows out between voids and the superjog, the double-cross-slipped section eventually goes back onto the original glide plane via another double cross slip. In this process, a dislocation loop is left behind upon depinning. The atomistic configuration of this mechanism is shown in Fig. 8. By tracing the displacement of the atoms according to the Burgers vector, we find that this loop is an intrinsic prismatic loop. As a result, the void is contracted. In addition, it...
The following question still remains: which mechanism is dominant under a given condition? From Fig. 4, it is obvious that the prismatic loop formation process (i.e., mechanism B) is more likely at high temperature (450 K), whereas mechanism A is dominant at 300 K. Therefore, we can deduce that an additional activation process is essential to mechanism B.

In order to specify this process, we have to recall that mechanism B requires partial double cross slip before edgelike constriction occurs, the configuration of which is shown in Fig. 7. Partial double cross slip is preceded by recombination of two partials on (111) and redissociation on (111), which must be thermally activated. Because the width of a stacking fault ribbon on (111) is narrow due to the restricted geometry of the glide plane with respect to the void, this process is much easier than edgelike constriction on (111). However, the precise energetics of this process is not straightforward. The reason is that it occurs at the early stage of the pinning process, where the average shear stress is negative (see Fig. 3 for negative shear stress at the early stage). In such a situation, spatiotemporal behavior of the shear stress near the void and the dislocation may be violent.

### B. Possibility of another but similar mechanisms

We remark another possible mechanism other than the two mechanisms presented in Sec. V. Consider an intermediate stage of mechanism A, where the dislocation is on the (111) plane after edgelike constriction occurs. Then bowing and depinning on the (111) plane can occur under different shear loading. For example, consider the case in which the maximum shear stress is applied to the {100} plane. In this case, the shear stress is equally applied to four {111} planes so that the depinning stresses with respect to these four glide planes are equivalent. Thus, the only barrier against depinning is edgelike constriction, which can be overcome by intermediate shear stress. In such configurations, void can enhance cross slip and may play an important role in the nature of plasticity, particularly in work-hardening. However, note that voids must be sufficiently large and temperatures must be high in order to realize cross slip via edgelike constriction.

### C. Feasibility in experiments

We remark that partial evidences for the existence of these phenomena are observed in experiments using transmission electron microscopy. In addition because the shear rate is extremely high in MD simulations, an intrinsic prismatic loop that is produced by the present depinning mechanism may somehow be related to vacancy clusters that are frequently observed in high-speed deformation. Further effort toward experimental validation of the depinning mechanisms proposed by the present MD simulation is in progress.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

The authors gratefully acknowledge discussions with N. Nita on his experiments.
As we will see in the later sections, constriction and cross slip are more difficult with a wide stacking fault ribbon. It implies that void-induced cross slip occurs more easily in experiments than in the present simulation.