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Soil respiration in cucumber field under crop rotation in solar greenhouse
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Introduction

Soil microbial respiration is the major carbon dioxide (CO2) emission from soil released into the atmosphere and has vital importance in the global carbon budget (Schimel, 1995; Raich and Tufekcioglu, 2000). The respiratory activities of plant roots and their mycorrhizal fungi in soil as a proportion of the total soil respiration were estimated from 10 to 90 % (Hanson et al., 2000; Högberg et al., 2001; Raich and Tufekcioglu, 2000). Soil respiration is affected by several factors, such as soil temperature and moisture, root biomass, net primary productivity, litter inputs, microbial populations, fertility, soil organism, soil texture, substrate quantity and quality [Boone et al., 1998; Fang et al., 1998; Buchmann, 2000; Sánchez et al., 2003; Díulstro et al., 2005; Han et al., 2007; Iqbal et al., 2010].

Crop residues (above and below ground) are the primary source of carbon input in the soil carbon pool but crop rotation can also impact the plant biomass returned to the soil, thereby influencing soil quality, total microbial biomass, microbial activity, basal respiration, specific maintenance respiration, total organic carbon, active carbon, total nitrogen, aggregate stability, particulate organic matter, C:N ratio and soil organic matter decomposition (Adiku et al., 2008; Ferreira et al., 2010; Aziz et al., 2011). Therefore, crop rotation has the potential to affect soil respiration [Halvorson et al., 2002; Lal, 2004, 2005; Russell et al., 2005]. However, the influence of crop residues on soil respiration depends upon amount, type and size of the crop residues added.

Greenhouse cucumber production is very popular in many areas of the world (Soleimani et al., 2009). Cucumber fields account for 10 % of vegetable land (about 20 Mha), and about 50 % of cucumber planted in greenhouses in China (Li, 2010). In Shaanxi province, cucumber accounts for around 60 % of the vegetables in greenhouses (0.9 Mha) [Chen et al., 2004]. However, there is a lack of information about the effects of previous crops on soil respiration in cucumber fields in solar greenhouses in Shaanxi province.

In order to compare soil respiration in cucumber fields under the six previous treatments of crops that are commonly used in this area by farmers, and evaluate the usefulness of previous crops in reducing soil CO2 emission in China, soil respiration, plant height, leaf area and yield were measured in cucumber fields during the growing season. Our objectives were: (i) to understand soil respiration in cucumber fields under the six previous crop treatments; (ii) to investigate cucumber growth under the six previous crop treatments; and (iii) to find an optimal rotation system that will reduce soil respiration without decreasing cucumber yield.

Materials and Methods

Experiment site

This study was carried out in a cucumber field in a solar greenhouse covered with transparent polyethylene film in Yan’an (36°48’ N; 109°18’ E), Shaanxi Province of China. The mean annual air temperature in the region is about 9.3 °C, and the annual precipitation is around 540 mm at the site of the experiment site. The site itself, where the experiment was conducted, is relatively flat, and the soil is a silty-loamy Inceptisol. The initial values of soil organic matter, pH and bulk density were 10.3 g kg⁻¹, 8.3 and 1.15 g cm⁻³ respectively.
Experiment design and management

The researchers used a randomized block design with three replicates, and the size of each plot was 17.28 m² (7.2 m × 2.4 m). Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata) (CP), kidney bean (Phaseolus vulgaris) (KB), black bean (Phaseolus vulgaris) (BGM), maize (Zea mays L.) (MGM), bok choy (Brassica rapa chinensis) (BC) were seeded, and tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.) (TM) was transplanted 60 cm apart in rows and 30 cm apart inter-plants on the 13th of June. They were harvested on the 15th Sept 2007, 2008 and 2009, while maize and black bean were chopped into 5-10 cm chip and introduced into the soil on the 10th of August as green manure. The aboveground parts of cowpea, kidney bean, bok choy and tomato were removed after harvest, and the underground parts left in the soil. Cucumber seedlings with five leaves were transplanted on 29th Sept 2007, 2008 and 2009. All cucumber fruit harvesting was ended on the 5th June 2008, 2009 and 2010. Soil water content was about 60-80 %. We measured the soil water content once a week, if the water content was lower than 60 %, we irrigated with about 30 mm of water using a drip irrigating system. N at 75 kg ha⁻¹, P₂O₅ at 125 kg ha⁻¹ and K₂O at 75 kg ha⁻¹ were applied as a base fertilizer when the soil was turned over. Furthermore, the same amount of fertilizer (N at 75 kg ha⁻¹, P₂O₅ at 75 kg ha⁻¹ and K₂O at 75 kg ha⁻¹) was applied on the 28th Nov and the 26th Feb for the three years of the experiment, respectively. In each greenhouse, air temperature and relative humidity were followed by a Temperature/Relative Humidity Data Logger every hour, every day during the cucumber growing season. The average values of ten days are presented in Figure 1.

Soil respiration measurements

A closed polymethyl methacrylate chamber was used to measure the soil respiration on the 15th Feb, the 15th Apr and the 18th May 2008, the 15th Feb, the 15th Apr and the 16th May 2009, the 15th Feb, the 15th Apr and the 15th May 2010 at the full fruit stage. Three measurements were taken from each treatment on each observation day so that soil respiration from 2008, 2009 and 2010 would each have 3 days of measurements. The area of the bottom of the chamber was 400 cm² (length and width were 20 cm), and the height was 30 cm. The closed chamber on each experimental plot was placed inter-plants (about 15 cm from the center of the chamber to the plants) and inter-rows (i.e. in the middle of four plants, about 23 cm from the center of chamber to the plant). The edge of the chamber was inserted into the soil 2-3 cm after litters were removed. The chamber covered the alkali bottle (NaOH). In bottles, excess BaCl₂ was used. The alkali bottles were left in the field for 3 h from 8h00 to 11h00. The alkali was corked by rubber stoppers and taken to the laboratory. Total CO₂ was determined by titration with 0.1M HCl (Ivo and Salcedo 2012).

The chemical equations were:

\[ 2\text{NaOH} + \text{CO}_2 = \text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]
\[ \text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3 + \text{BaCl}_2 = 2\text{NaCl} + \text{BaCO}_3 \]
\[ \text{NaOH} + \text{HCl} = \text{NaCl} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]

The calculation of the soil respiration was done by the following equation:

\[ \text{CO}_2 \text{[gCO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}] = \left| V_1 - V_2 \right| \times N \times M \times 1000 \times A \times T \]

in which \( V_1 \): total volume of NaOH solution; \( V_2 \): volume of HCl solution reacted with NaOH; N: HCl concentration; M: molar mass of CO₂; A: determination area; T: determination time.

Plant height and leaf area measurements: these were measured from the ground to the top of the main plants stems with a ruler. The area of completely expanded leaves was measured in a leaf area meter, taking the total leaf area of all leaves of one plant as leaf area. The average of ten plants was recorded as plant height and leaf area for each plot.

Yield measurements: the fresh weight of each plot was recorded as the amount of fruit weight during the growing season. The criterion for harvesting was the standard fresh-market cucumber (length is around 32-38 cm, fruit color is dark green).

Data analysis: one-Way ANOVA with previous crops as the factor was performed with SPSS 16.0 for Windows (USA) for yield, plant height and leaf area. The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.
Results and Discussion

Soil respiration in cucumber fields under the six previous crop treatments at the full fruit stage was different \( (p < 0.05) \) (Figure 2A). Similar trends in soil respiration, were found in 2008, 2009 and 2010, and soil respiration under KB, CP, BGM and MGM was higher than CK. Soil respiration under TM and BC was lower \( (p < 0.05) \) than CK. Soil respiration in cucumber fields under MGM, CP, KB and BGM treatments were higher \( (p < 0.05) \) than control [CK], and the average soil respiration of the three experimental years under MGM, CP, KB and BGM treatments were 1.01, 0.91, 0.73, 0.57 gCO\(_2\) m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\) higher than CK, respectively.

Soil respiration under TM and BC treatments were lower \( (p < 0.05) \) than CK, the average soil respiration of the three experimental years under TM and BC treatments being 0.72 and 0.74 gCO\(_2\) m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\) lower than CK, respectively. A possible reason is, in the case of CP, KB and BDM treatments, that the previous crops were legume which promoted soil respiration in cucumber fields. Franchini et al. (2007) also reported CO\(_2\) was 13 % higher with lupin \( (Lupinus L.) \) residues than with wheat \( (Triticum Aestivum L.) \) straw. Legumes (cowpea, kidney bean and black bean) can form symbiotic associations for nitrogen fixation with \( \text{Rhizobium} \), the \( \text{Rhizobium} \) fixes nitrogen into a form that the plant can use. Nitrogen from the legume might increase the rate of decomposition of soil.
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organic carbon. Lu et al. [2011] found that soil respiration (containing autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration) increased by 4.3% in response to N fertilization.

Since the root respiration coefficient was positively correlated with root N content, the root nitrogen content may account for 91% of the change in the root respiration coefficient during the maize growing season. However, in this study, soil respiration under MGM treatment was among the highest obtained, though maize is non-legume. Because fresh maize green manure (about two months old) is the main soil organic matter source for decomposition, the amounts of maize biomass which was turned over into the soil was much larger than for other crops, and the organic content in MGM was the highest (unpublished data), which stimulated soil respiration. In addition, the soil organic matter composition of crop residues in CP, KB, MGM and MGM treatments in this study may influence CO₂ and soil microbial quantity/activity (van Hees et al., 2005), and CO₂ release from organic decay and microbial respiration will be subsequently affected. Furthermore, crop residue in CP, KB, MGM and MGM treatments could affect the acquisition of soil nutrients (e.g. N, P, K and so on) and soil pH. Consequently, root respiration and other root activities such as ion uptake and root growth were influenced (Veen, 1981; Hafner et al., 1993). Therefore, the root respiration CP, KB, MGM and MGM treatments were higher than in TM and CP treatments and CK.

Figures 2C and 2D present the plant height and leaf area of cucumber under CP, KB, MGM, KB, TM and BC treatments which were higher than CK, in the years studied. The yield of cucumber under MGM treatment was higher (p < 0.05) than CK (Figure 2B) and increased by 21%, 11% and 22% in 2008 and 2009, respectively, as compared with CK. The yield of cucumber under TM and BC treatments was lower than CK in 2008, 2009 and 2010 (Figure 2B). Under TM treatment, the yield decreased by 11%, 27% and 30% in 2008 and 2009 and 2010, respectively, as compared with CK; under BC treatment, the yield decreased by 12%, 23% and 19% in 2008, 2009 and 2010, respectively, as compared with CK.

There were no regression relationships between soil respiration and plant height and leaf area, but there was a regression relationship between cucumber yield and soil respiration [Table 1]. The relationship between cucumber yield and soil respiration was closer than that between plant height or leaf area of cucumber and soil respiration. The correlation analysis also showed that fruit yield was positively correlated (p < 0.05) with soil respiration (Table 1). These results further suggested that the previous crop residue affected both the above ground and root growth of plants, and cucumber yield and soil respiration varied in the six previous crop treatments.

For cucumber production, high yield is the aim of farmers. However, low CO₂ emission is one of the components of carbon budget. The ideal crop rotation should result in high productivity and low CO₂ emission. We calculated the ratio of yield to soil respiration to assess the effect of previous crops on soil respiration and yield in cucumber fields (Figure 2E). The cucumber fields under MGM, CP, KB and BGM treatments emitted more CO₂ while higher cucumber yields were also produced, and the cucumber fields under BC and TM treatments emitted less CO₂ and lower cucumber yields were also produced. The ratios of yield to soil respiration under each treatment varied in 2008, 2009 and 2010. However, the ratio under MGM was relatively higher and more stable than under other previous crop treatments in 2008, 2009 and 2010, respectively.

He et al. (2009) reported that the soil urease activity was higher under MGM treatment than under other treatments. Urease activity in soil may originate from plant residues, animal waste or soil microbes containing urease (Dharmakeerthi and Thenabadu, 1996). The amount of residue in the soil presumably altered urease activity. Urease plays an important role in carbon metabolism, as it can rapidly hydrolyse urea (NH₂CONH₂ → 2NH₃ + CO₂) in soil, which results in CO₂ emission. Thus, the addition of crop residues containing urease increases the rate of urea hydrolysis (Barreto and Westerman, 1989). Urease is an important indication of soil fertility (He et al., 2009), and soil urease activity under MGM treatment is the highest among previous crop treatments with the result that soil fertility under MGM treatment is the highest, which improved the yield of cucumber. Therefore, MGM could be the most suitable of crops to precede cucumber.

Conclusions

Soil respiration in cucumber field under MGM, CP, KB and BGM treatments was higher than CK and soil respiration under TM and BC treatments were lower than CK. Similar tendencies were found for yield, plant height

Table 1 – Regression between soil respiration and yield, plant height and leaf number.

| Traits          | Soil respiration (gCO₂ m⁻² day⁻¹) | 2008       | 2009       | 2010       |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
|                 | Regression Function               | R²         | Regression Function | R²         | Regression Function | R²         |
| Yield (kg m⁻²)  | Y=1.28x+3.65                      | 0.59*      | Y=1.96x+0.5056 | 0.77**     | Y=3.16x+7.66       | 0.81**     |
| Plant Height (cm)| P=31.7x+117                      | 0.35       | P=39.1x+90.2 | 0.41       | P=56.3x+26.4      | 0.55       |
| Leaf Area       | LA=0.145x+0.0542                  | 0.37       | LA=0.15x+0.0406 | 0.34       | LA=0.29x+0.815     | 0.68*      |

** Significant (p < 0.01). * Significant (p < 0.05). Y = yield; PH = plant height; LA = means leaf area; x = soil respiration.
and leaf area. To reduce soil respiration and have relatively high yield, MGM was the most suitable previous crop as compared to other previous crops.
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