Dynamic Analysis of Flexible Mechanisms by Multibody Dynamics

Abhinav Kumar¹, Hari Ram Chandrakar²

¹Assistant Professor, SSIPMT, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India
²Assistant Professor, SSTC, Bilhail, Chhattisgarh, India

Abstract: In modeling, analysis & control of flexible mechanisms, four bar mechanisms are generally preferred due to their manufacturing techniques & low cost. Modeling, analysis and control of flexible mechanisms have been researched since the early 70s. The investigation has been focused mostly on the definition of accurate mathematical models both for single flexible bodies and multi-body systems. A general approach is presented for modeling of a flexible multi-body system by using a lumped mass finite element method. With ANSYS theoretical and practical knowledge of the finite element method and analyzing engineering problems can be gained. In this study, vibration characteristics of flexible four-bar mechanisms & natural frequencies and corresponding modes shapes of the flexible mechanisms are investigated by using the procedure developed in ADAMS.
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1. Introduction

The high productivity & technology system demanded by modern mechanical industry requires high operating speeds, superior reliability, accurate performance, light weights and high-precision machinery. In order to achieve high speed operation with increased efficiency, weights of machine components are reduced. As operating speed increases & weight of components decreases, rigid body is not enough so, a rigid body links becomes flexible. High speed light weight manipulator is an example of flexible multi-body system. We already know that modeling of flexible multi-body systems can be done by using lumped mass finite element method. Now we will perform modeling of flexible multi-body systems considering lumped masses by ADAMS. Finite element method is used for modeling of flexible links which behave like both continuous systems with infinite degrees of freedom and discrete systems. A general model to describe the elastic motion of a mechanism can be established with the use of finite element methods resulting in a set of second order differential equations. A common assumption in this procedure is that the total motion is comprised of an elastic motion superposed onto the rigid body motion. As a result the equations of this motion have a significant feature time dependent coefficients. If the effects of nonlinear elastic deflections and/or nonlinear joint characteristics are considered, the equations of motion will be nonlinear. The dynamic response is viewed as a transient response and a steady state response.

2. Kinematics of Rigid Four-Bar Mechanism

A four-bar mechanism of which links are drawn as position vectors is shown in Figure 1.1.

![Figure 1.1: A four-bar linkage mechanism showing position vectors](image)

The vector loop closure equation shown in Figure 1.1 is written as:

\[ r_2 + r_1 - r_4 - r_3 = 0 \]  

(1.1)

Equation 1.1 is expressed in terms of complex numbers as follows:

\[ r_2 e^{i\theta_2} + r_1 e^{i\theta_1} - r_4 e^{i\theta_4} - r_3 = 0 \]  

(1.2)

By using the Euler expansion, Equation 1.2 is written as:

\[ r_2 (\cos \theta_2 + i\sin \theta_2) + r_1 (\cos \theta_1 + i\sin \theta_1) - r_4 (\cos \theta_4 + i\sin \theta_4) - r_3 = 0 \]  

(1.3)

Equation 1.3 can be resolved into real and imaginary parts as:

\[ r_2 \cos \theta_2 + r_1 \cos \theta_1 - r_4 \cos \theta_4 - r_3 = 0 \]  

(1.4)

\[ r_2 \sin \theta_2 + r_1 \sin \theta_1 - r_4 \sin \theta_4 = 0 \]  

(1.5)

Taking the square of both sides of Equations 1.4 and 1.5 and summing them, the following equation is found:

\[ r_2^2 = (r_2 \sin \theta_2 + r_1 \sin \theta_1)^2 + (r_2 \sin \theta_2 + r_4 \cos \theta_4 + r_3)^2 \]  

(1.6)

To simplify the Equation 1.6, the constants K₁, K₂, and K₃ are defined in terms of the constant link lengths in the equations:

\[ K_1 = \frac{r_1}{r_2} \]  

(1.7)

\[ K_2 = \frac{r_2}{r_3} \]  

(1.8)
expressed in Equation 1.14 can be found by solving the

\[ K_1 \cos \theta_4 - K_2 \cos \theta_2 + K_3 = \cos \theta_2 \cos \theta_4 + \sin \theta_2 \sin \theta_4 \]  

(1.10)

Then Freudenstein’s equation (Todorov 2002) is obtained as follows:

\[ K_1 \cos \theta_4 - K_2 \cos \theta_2 + K_3 = \cos(\theta_2 - \theta_4) \]  

(1.11)

In order to reduce the Equation 1.11 to a more tractable form for solution, the following half angle identities are substituted:

\[ \sin \theta_4 = \frac{2 \tan \left( \frac{\theta_4}{2} \right)}{1 + \tan^2 \left( \frac{\theta_4}{2} \right)} \]  

(1.12)

\[ \cos \theta_4 = \frac{1 - \tan^2 \left( \frac{\theta_4}{2} \right)}{1 + \tan^2 \left( \frac{\theta_4}{2} \right)} \]  

(1.13)

Then, the following equation which is quadratic in terms of \( \tan \left( \frac{\theta_4}{2} \right) \) is found:

\[ A \tan^2 \left( \frac{\theta_4}{2} \right) + B \tan \left( \frac{\theta_4}{2} \right) + C = 0 \]  

(1.14)

where

\[ A = \cos \theta_2 - K_1 \cos \theta_2 + K_2 \cos \theta_2 + K_3 \]  

(1.15)

\[ B = -2 \sin \theta_2 \]  

(1.16)

\[ C = K_1 - (K_2 + 1) \cos \theta_2 + K_3 \]  

(1.17)

\( \theta_4 \) expressed in Equation 1.14 can be found by solving the quadratic equation as:

\[ \theta_4 = 2 \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{-B \pm \sqrt{B^2 - 4AC}}{2A} \right) \]  

(1.18)

Where the plus and minus sign refers to two different configuration of the mechanism.

In order to make velocity analysis of four-bar linkage, the derivation of Equation 1.2 is considered since \( r_1 \) is constant and \( \omega_2 = 0 \), the aforementioned equation is found:

\[ \alpha_4 = \frac{r_2 \omega_2 \cos(\theta_2 - \theta_4) + r_2 \alpha_2 \sin(\theta_2 - \theta_4) + r_1 \omega_1 \cos(\theta_4 - \theta_2) - r_1 \alpha_1}{r_1 \sin(\theta_4 - \theta_2)} \]  

(1.35)

\[ \alpha_4 = \frac{r_2 \omega_2 \cos(\theta_2 - \theta_4) + r_2 \alpha_2 \sin(\theta_2 - \theta_4) - r_1 \omega_1 \cos(\theta_4 - \theta_2) + r_1 \alpha_1}{r_1 \sin(\theta_4 - \theta_2)} \]  

(1.36)

The centers of gravity of the links are shown in Figure 1.2. Accelerations of the centers of gravity can be found using the standard kinematic relationships as follows:

\[ a_{G2x} = -g_2 \omega_2^2 \cos \theta_2 - g_2 \alpha_2 \sin \theta_2 \]  

(1.37)

\[ a_{G2y} = -g_2 \omega_2^2 \sin \theta_2 + g_2 \alpha_2 \cos \theta_2 \]  

(1.38)

\[ a_{G3x} = -r_2 \omega_2^2 \cos \theta_2 - r_2 \alpha_2 \sin \theta_2 - g_3 \omega_3^2 \cos \theta_3 - g_3 \alpha_3 \sin \theta_3 \]  

(1.39)

\[ a_{G3y} = -r_2 \omega_2^2 \sin \theta_2 + r_2 \alpha_2 \cos \theta_2 - g_3 \omega_3^2 \sin \theta_3 + g_3 \alpha_3 \cos \theta_3 \]  

(1.40)

\[ a_{G4x} = -g_4 \omega_4^2 \cos \theta_4 - g_4 \alpha_4 \sin \theta_4 \]  

(1.41)
\[ a_{G4y} = -g_4 \omega_4^2 \sin \theta_4 + g_4 \alpha_4 \cos \theta_4 \]  
(1.42)

**Kinetics of Rigid Four-Bar Mechanism**

Kinetic analysis of rigid four-bar mechanism is based on the accelerations of the centers of gravity given by Equations 1.37 to 1.42. Inertial force and inertial moment of the link are given by

\[ \vec{F}_i^{\text{inertia}} = -m_i \vec{\ddot{a}}_i \]  
(1.43)

\[ \vec{M}_i^{\text{inertia}} = -I_{Gi} \vec{\ddot{\alpha}}_i \]  
(1.44)

**Finite Element Model for Flexible Four-Bar Mechanism**

The finite element model shown in Figure 1.3 is used to model any link of the flexible four-bar mechanism (Turcic and Midha 1984).

\[ \vec{d} = N\vec{u}^e \]  
(1.45)

where \( \vec{d} \) is the local displacement vector of any point on element and \( \vec{u}^e \) is the nodal displacements vector including nodal displacements shown in Figure 1.3

\[ u^e = [u_1 \ v_1 \ \partial_1 \ u_2 \ v_2 \ \partial_2 ]^T \]  
(1.46)

The equation of motion for a single finite element of the mechanism is derived by using Lagrangian equation:

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial KE}{\partial \vec{u}^e} \right) - \frac{\partial KE}{\partial \vec{u}^e} + \frac{\partial PE}{\partial \vec{u}^e} = Q \]  
(1.47)

Where \( Q \) are the generalized forces acting on elements. The position of any point in the finite element \( R \) shown in figure 1.4 can be written as:

\[ R = R_0 + T_m \vec{d} \]  
(1.48)

Where \( R_0 \) is the position of the origin of the local \((x, y)\) coordinate system, \( T_m \) is the transformation matrix between the local \((x, y)\) coordinate system and the reference \((X,Y)\) coordinate system which is given by:

\[ T_m = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta - \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \]  
(1.49)

The kinetic energy of the element is given below:

\[ KE = \frac{1}{2} \rho \vec{R}^T \vec{R} \]  
(1.50)

The equation of motion of a single finite element is expressed as:

\[ m_i \ddot{u}^e + k_i u^e = Q^e_i + Q^e_{ci} - m_i \ddot{U}_0^e - 2m_{vel} \dot{u}^e - m_{acc} u^e \]  
(1.51)

Where

\[ Q^e_i = \begin{bmatrix} E_i A_i L_i^2 & (1/3) & 0 & 1/6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 12/35 & 1/10 & 1/10 & 9/70 & -13L \/420 \\ 0 & 1/6 & 0 & 0 & 1/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 9/70 & 13/420 & 1/10 & 13L \/420 & -L \/420 \\ 0 & -13L \/420 & -L \/420 & 1/10 & -11L \/210 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/6 & 0 & 0 & 1/3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]  
(1.52)

\[ m^e = \rho AL \]  
(1.53)

\[ m_{vel} = \rho (N^T T_m T_m^T N) dv \]  
(1.54)

\[ m_{acc} = \rho (N^T T_m T_m^T N) dv \]  
(1.55)

\( Q^e_{ci} \) is the force vector having the forces acting on the elements from adjacent elements, \( Q^e_i \) is the force vector due to the adjacent links, \( Q^e_{ci} \) is the external force vector acting on the element. \( 2m_{vel} \dot{u}^e \) has the forces resulting from Coriolis acceleration and \( m_{acc} u^e \) has the forces resulting from tangential and normal accelerations.

The equation of motion of links is expressed as (Turcic and Midha 1984):

\[ m_i \ddot{u}^l + k_i u^l = Q^l_i + Q^l_{ci} - m_i \ddot{U}_0^l - 2m_{vel} \dot{u}^l - m_{acc} u^l \]  
(1.56)

The equation of motion of entire mechanism is given by (Turcic and Midha 1984):

\[ M_{ii} \ddot{u}^l + K_{ii} u^l = Q_{ci} = -M \ddot{U}_0^l - 2(Md + M_d) \dot{u} - (Md + 2Md + M_d) u \]  
(1.57)

Where \( C_is \) the viscous damping matrix, \( u_is \) is the velocity vector, \( \ddot{u} \) is the acceleration vector, and \( \ddot{U}_0^l \) is the rigid body acceleration vector of the mechanism.

The derivation of equation of motion is based on small strain theory. However, axial force is effective on the stiffness properties of the beam. Using the large strain theory, the geometric stiffness matrix is found as follows (Turcic and Midha 1984):
The equation of motion given by Equation 1.57 has been modified as (Yang and Sadler 2000):

\[ M\ddot{U} + C_0 + 2M_{\omega} \dot{U} + K_0 + M_{\omega} U = F - M\ddot{U}_0 \]  

(1.59)

where

\[ M_{\omega} = \tilde{T}^T (\rho_1 A_i N^T T_2 \tilde{T}_2^T Ndx) \tilde{T} \]  

(1.60)

and

\[ M_{\alpha} = \tilde{T}^T (\rho_1 A_i N^T T_2 \tilde{T}_2^T Ndx) \tilde{T} \]  

(1.61)

Eigenanalysis is applied to the system having mass and structural stiffness matrices; due to lack of generalized force vector in free vibration analysis as follows (Yu and Xi 2003):

\[ M_\alpha (\theta_2) \dot{U}_r + K_\alpha (\theta_2) U_r = 0 \]  

(1.62)

From the following equation the natural frequencies and modal vectors can be obtained for flexible four-bar mechanism:

\[ K_\alpha (\theta_{2,k}) X = \omega_{k}^2 (M_\alpha \theta_{2,k}) X \]  

(1.63)

3. Result and Discussion

Figure 1.5 shows an example for four-bar mechanism modeled by using lumped parameter approach. The inertia forces given by Equation 1.40 for the lumped masses can be found by using the acceleration expressions given by Equations 1.34 to 1.39. Considering these forces, kinetic analysis of rigid four-bar mechanism can be carried out by using the standard procedure based on the Equation 1.42 to 1.44.

The inertia forces acting on these lumped masses are summarized below:

\[ F_{ix} = -m_i a_{ix} \quad i=1,\ldots, 12 \]  

(1.64)

\[ F_{iy} = -m_i a_{iy} \quad i=1,\ldots, 12 \]  

(1.65)

Where \( a_i \) is the acceleration of mass \( m_i \), and can be calculated by using the formulation given in Equations 1.34 to 1.39.

The numerical values of the first mechanism shown in Figure 1.5 are listed below:

- \( r_1 = 254 \) mm (length of the link 1)
- \( r_2 = 100 \) mm (length of the link 2)
- \( r_3 = 279.4 \) mm (length of the link 3)
- \( r_4 = 266.7 \) mm (length of the link 4)
- \( d_4 = 69.85 \) mm (distance between \( A \) and \( m_4 \))
- \( d_5 = 139.7 \) mm (distance between \( A \) and \( m_5 \))
- \( d_6 = 209.55 \) mm (distance between \( A \) and \( m_6 \))
- \( d_9 = 200.025 \) mm (distance between \( O_4 \) and \( m_9 \))
- \( d_{10} = 133.35 \) mm (distance between \( O_4 \) and \( m_{10} \))
- \( d_{11} = 66.675 \) mm (distance between \( O_4 \) and \( m_{11} \))

In the second mechanism, the numerical values are taken as the same listed above except \( r_2 = 102 \) mm.

The cross-sectional dimensions and material properties of the first flexible four-bar mechanism are listed below (Yu and Xi 2003):

- \( b = 6.1 \) mm (width of the links)
- \( h = 4.25 \) mm (height of the links)
- \( E = 200000 \) MPa (modulus of elasticity)
- \( \rho = 7.8 \times 10^{-9} \) tonnes/mm³ (density)
- \( v = 0.3 \) (Poisson's ratio)

For the second mechanism, the cross-sectional dimensions and material properties are given as (Yu and Xi 2003):

- \( b_2 = 4.24 \) mm (width of the link 2)
- \( h_2 = 25.4 \) mm (height of the link 2)
- \( b_3 = 1.6 \) mm (width of the link 3)
- \( h_3 = 25.4 \) mm (height of the link 3)
- \( b_4 = 1.6 \) mm (width of the link 4)
- \( h_4 = 25.4 \) mm (height of the link 4)
- \( E = 68900 \) MPa (modulus of elasticity)
- \( \rho_2 = 2.923 \times 10^{-9} \) tonnes/mm³ (density of link 2)
- \( \rho_3 = 2.923 \times 10^{-9} \) tonnes/mm³ (density of link 3)
- \( \rho_4 = 2.923 \times 10^{-9} \) tonnes/mm³ (density of link 4)
- \( v = 0.3 \) (Poisson's ratio)

Figure 1.6: Natural frequencies of flexible four-bar mechanism.

Table 1: Natural frequencies of flexible four-bar mechanism.

| Crank Angle (deg) | Natural Frequency (Hz) |
|------------------|------------------------|
| 0                | 141.3                  |
| 40               | 152.4                  |
| 80               | 163.5                  |
| 120              | 174.6                  |
| 160              | 185.7                  |
| 200              | 196.8                  |
| 240              | 207.9                  |
| 280              | 219.0                  |
| 320              | 229.1                  |
| 360              | 239.2                  |

The numerical values of the first mechanism shown in Figure 1.5 are listed below:

- \( r_1 = 254 \) mm (length of the link 1)
- \( r_2 = 100 \) mm (length of the link 2)
- \( r_3 = 279.4 \) mm (length of the link 3)
- \( r_4 = 266.7 \) mm (length of the link 4)
- \( d_4 = 69.85 \) mm (distance between \( A \) and \( m_4 \))
- \( d_5 = 139.7 \) mm (distance between \( A \) and \( m_5 \))
- \( d_6 = 209.55 \) mm (distance between \( A \) and \( m_6 \))
- \( d_9 = 200.025 \) mm (distance between \( O_4 \) and \( m_9 \))
- \( d_{10} = 133.35 \) mm (distance between \( O_4 \) and \( m_{10} \))
- \( d_{11} = 66.675 \) mm (distance between \( O_4 \) and \( m_{11} \))

In the second mechanism, the numerical values are taken as the same listed above except \( r_2 = 102 \) mm.

The cross-sectional dimensions and material properties of the first flexible four-bar mechanism are listed below (Yu and Xi 2003):

- \( b = 6.1 \) mm (width of the links)
- \( h = 4.25 \) mm (height of the links)
- \( E = 200000 \) MPa (modulus of elasticity)
- \( \rho = 7.8 \times 10^{-9} \) tonnes/mm³ (density)
- \( v = 0.3 \) (Poisson's ratio)

For the second mechanism, the cross-sectional dimensions and material properties are given as (Yu and Xi 2003):

- \( b_2 = 4.24 \) mm (width of the link 2)
- \( h_2 = 25.4 \) mm (height of the link 2)
- \( b_3 = 1.6 \) mm (width of the link 3)
- \( h_3 = 25.4 \) mm (height of the link 3)
- \( b_4 = 1.6 \) mm (width of the link 4)
- \( h_4 = 25.4 \) mm (height of the link 4)
- \( E = 68900 \) MPa (modulus of elasticity)
- \( \rho_2 = 2.923 \times 10^{-9} \) tonnes/mm³ (density of link 2)
- \( \rho_3 = 2.923 \times 10^{-9} \) tonnes/mm³ (density of link 3)
- \( \rho_4 = 2.923 \times 10^{-9} \) tonnes/mm³ (density of link 4)
- \( v = 0.3 \) (Poisson's ratio)
Natural Frequencies of Mechanism with Internal Force

The internal force due to the inertia force is taken into account in finding the natural frequencies of mechanism for different angular velocities. Inertia forces acting on the lumped masses of the mechanism are considered in finite element model created in ADAMS. The results are shown in Figures 2.0 to 2.8.
The upper and lower limits of the static natural frequencies of the flexible four-bar mechanism based on the crank angular position can be seen from the Figure 1.6. The first mode shapes of the mechanism plotted in Figure 1.7-1.9. Similarly second and third mode shapes are plotted. They are consistent in each other. It can be seen from Figure 2.0-2.8 that the crank angular velocity is effective on the first and second dynamic natural frequencies but not on the third one. The first and second mode shapes of the mechanism plotted in Figures 2.3-2.8 are very consistent in each other, namely the links have the similar displacements for these modes.

This study presents an Eigen analysis of flexible four-bar mechanism by using finite element model conjunction with kinematic and kinetic relationships. The solution procedure based on the discrete crank positions and the discrete inertia forces applied to the nodes of the finite element model has been developed in ADAMS to accomplish this analysis.
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