Effects of *Panax ginseng* on hyperglycemia, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia: A systematic review and meta-analysis
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1. Introduction

The development of modern society has led to a convenient and affluent lifestyle for many that, combined with excessive nutrition, fast food consumption, reduced physical activity, and excessive stress, has become a major cause of various metabolic diseases. Typical metabolic diseases include diabetes, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia, which lead to serious conditions such as cardiovascular disease, atherosclerosis, cerebrovascular disease, and cancer, increasing mortality rates. Since metabolic diseases are usually caused by lifestyle, multiple diseases can develop simultaneously as age increases. In particular, metabolic syndrome is a combination of risk factors for various metabolic diseases showing abnormal levels. When various metabolic diseases are present, the incidence and mortality of severe diseases are remarkably increased [1–3]. The main cause of metabolic syndrome and metabolic diseases is insulin resistance [1,4,5]. Therefore, managing obesity, the main cause of insulin resistance, is as important as monitoring blood glucose, blood pressure, and blood lipid levels. The progression of various metabolic diseases, including metabolic syndrome, toward the onset of associated conditions can be mitigated by improving lifestyle habits. Therefore, many people are beginning to pay ample attention to correcting their eating habits and lifestyle to prevent diseases; in this vein, consumer demand for functional foods that support health also continues to increase.

Among the various functional foods, products made from ginseng are some of the most commonly consumed globally. Ginseng is a perennial plant of the Araliaceae family and a medicinal crop that has long been widely used in Asia. Ginseng includes various species such as *Panax ginseng*, *Panax quinquefolium*, and *Panax japonicas*. Of these, *P. ginseng*, mainly grown in Korea and China, accounts for the largest proportion of global ginseng production [6]. The main component of *P. ginseng* is ginsenoside, one of the saponins; to date, more than about 100 kinds of ginsenosides have been reported [7,8]. To maximize the pharmacological activities of ginseng by increasing the...
bioavailability of ginsenoside, red ginseng, black ginseng, and fermented ginseng, which have undergone steaming and fermentation processes, are also widely consumed [9–11]. The outstanding pharmacological activities of _P. ginseng_ have been reported by many papers and various reviews, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses have been conducted with the accumulation of numerous data. Most of these studies were on cancer [12–15], diabetes [16–19], cardiovascular disease [20–24], and neurodegenerative diseases [25–29]. Regarding metabolic diseases, review articles on blood glucose [16–19], blood lipids [30], and obesity [31,32] have been published, while systematic reviews and meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have only covered blood glucose [18] and blood lipids [30] to date. In particular, in the case of the blood glucose study, only Korean Red ginseng was included as the investigational product, while the study population was limited to those patients with type 2 diabetes [18]. In addition, until now, no investigation has comprehensively and systemically reviewed the markers of _P. ginseng_ related to metabolic diseases such as blood glucose, blood pressure, body fat, and blood lipids.

Given the growing aging society with a high probability of being exposed to multiple diseases at the same time and since the risk of metabolic disease is constantly increasing, it is important to reveal the multitarget efficacy of functional ingredients on metabolic diseases. Therefore, in this study, we analyzed the clinical effects of _P. ginseng_ on metabolic parameters representing various metabolic diseases that are increasingly crucial factors to comprehend in terms of prevention and treatment. For this, a systematic review and meta-analysis were conducted by selecting RCTs that measured the effects of _P. ginseng_ on metabolic parameters in various study populations.

### 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Study registration

The study protocol (CRD42020208191) was registered in the PROSPERO database (https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/).

#### 2.2. Criteria for considering studies

The studies included in this systematic review and meta-analysis were RCTs of _P. ginseng_ on metabolic parameters. Studies...

---

**Fig. 1.** Flow diagram of the included studies.
Table 1
Characteristics of included studies

| No. | First author (Year), Location | Study design | Types of interventions | Sample size | Duration (weeks) | Efficacy evaluation (metabolic marker) |
|-----|-------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------|
|     |                               |              | RCT, parallel           | 69 (23/group)| 8               | BMI, SBP, DBP                          |
| 1   | Kim (2012), South Korea       |              | RG 300 mg or RG 600 mg or placebo |            |                 |                                        |
| 2   | Reay (2009), U.K.            | crossover    | Study 1: G115 200 mg or placebo Study 2: Cheong Kwan Jang 200 mg or placebo | Study 1: 23 Study 2: 14 | 8               | HbA1c, FG, FI                          |
| 3   | Bang (2014), South Korea      | parallel     | RG 5 g or placebo      | 60 (30/group)| 12              | BMI, SBP, DBP, TG, TC, HDL-C, LDL-C, FG, PG, glucose AUC, HbA1c, FG, PI, PI, insulin AUC, TC, HDL-C, LDL-C, weight, BMI, WC, SBP, DBP |
| 4   | Choi (2018), South Korea      | parallel     | Ginseng berry 1 g or placebo | 72 (34 in the ginseng berry group, 38 in the placebo group) | 12              | FG, FG, PI, HbA1c, TC, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C, TC, HbA1c |
| 5   | Kim (2011), South Korea       | parallel     | RG 780 mg or placebo   | 46 (23/group) | 12              | FG, FG, PI, HbA1c, TC, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C, weight, BMI, WC, SBP, DBP |
| 6   | Ma (2008), Hong Kong          | crossover    | Ginseng 2.214 g or placebo | 20 (10/group) | 4               | FG, FG, PI, glucose AUC, insulin AUC |
| 7   | Oh (2014), South Korea        | parallel     | Fermented RG 2.7 g or placebo | 42 (21/group) | 4               | FG, FG, glucose AUC, PI, TC, HDL-C, LDL-C, TG |
| 8   | Park (2020), South Korea      | parallel     | RG 3 g or placebo      | 70 (35/group) | 24              | BMI, SBP, DBP, HbA1c, FG, FI            |
| 9   | Park (2020), South Korea      | parallel     | RG 3 g or placebo      | 70 (35/group) | 24              | BMI, SBP, DBP, HbA1c, FG, PI, TC, HDL-C, LDL-C |
| 10  | Park 2014, South Korea        | parallel     | Ginseng 960 mg or placebo | 23 (12 in the ginseng group, 11 in the placebo group) | 8               | FG, FG, glucose AUC, PI, PI, insulin AUC |
| 11  | Reeds (2011), U.S.           | parallel     | RG 3 g for 2 wks and then 8 g for 2 wks, ginsenoside Re 250 mg for 2 wks and then 500 mg for 2 wks, or placebo | 15 (5/group) | 4               | Weight, BMI, %BF, FG, glucose AUC, FG, PI, insulin AUC, HbA1c, TC, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C, HbA1c, FG, PI, glucose AUC, PI, PI, insulin AUC |
| 12  | Vuksan (2008), Canada         | crossover    | RG 6 g or placebo      | 39          | 12              |                                        |
| 13  | Yoon (2012), South Korea      | parallel     | Ginseng 1.5 g, 2 g, 3 g, or placebo | 72 (18/group) | 8               | FG, FG, HbA1c |
|     |                               |              | RCT, parallel           | 68 (34/group)| 12              | BMI, %BF, FG, PI, TC, HDL-C, LDL-C, TG |
| 16  | Cho (2013), South Korea       | parallel     | RG 6 g or placebo      | 68 (34/group) | 12              | BMI, %BF, FG, PI, TC, HDL-C, LDL-C, TG |
| 17  | Kim (2002), South Korea       | parallel     | RG, exercise, exercise and RG, or placebo | 28(7/group) | 12              | Weight, %BF, TC, HDL-C, LDL-C, TG |
| 18  | Kim (2002), South Korea       | parallel     | Exercise, RG, exercise + RG, or placebo | 28(7/group) | 12              | TC, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C, weight, %BF |
| 19  | Delui (2013), Iran            | parallel     | Ginseng 500 mg or placebo | 40 (20/group) | 8               | TC, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C, FG |
| 20  | Jung (2016), South Korea      | parallel     | RG 3 g or placebo      | 72 (36/group) | 4               | BMI, SBP, DBP, FG, TC, HDL-C, FI |
| 21  | Rhee (2011), South Korea      | parallel     | RG 3 g or placebo      | 80 (40/group) | 12              | FG, TC, LDL-C, TG, HDL-C, SBP, DBP |
| 22  | Cho (2013), South Korea       | parallel     | RG 6 g or placebo      | 68 (34/group) | 12              | BMI, %BF, FG, PI, TC, HDL-C, LDL-C, TG |
| 23  | Kim (2002), South Korea       | parallel     | RG, exercise, exercise and RG, or placebo | 28(7/group) | 12              | Weight, %BF, TC, HDL-C, LDL-C, TG |
| 24  | Kim (2002), South Korea       | parallel     | Exercise, RG, exercise + RG, or placebo | 28(7/group) | 12              | TC, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C, weight, %BF |
| 25  | Delui (2013), Iran            | parallel     | Ginseng 500 mg or placebo | 40 (20/group) | 8               | TC, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C, FG |
| 26  | Jung (2016), South Korea      | parallel     | RG 3 g or placebo      | 72 (36/group) | 4               | BMI, SBP, DBP, FG, TC, HDL-C, FI |

Healthy subjects

FGT or IGT or type 2 diabetic subjects

Prehypertensive or hypertensive subjects

Overweight or obese subjects

Hyperlipidemic subjects

Metabolic syndrome
with an intervention period lasting longer than four weeks were selected and there were no restrictions on the characteristics of the study subjects. Studies incorporating *Panax ginseng* as a part of a complex intervention were excluded from this investigation.

### 2.3. Outcome measures

The effects of *P. ginseng* supplementation on metabolic parameters were evaluated by focusing on the following items: glucose (glucose [fasting, 2-h postprandial, area under the curve (AUC)], insulin (fasting, 2-h postprandial, AUC), and hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c)), blood pressure [systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP)], body fat [body weight, body mass index (BMI), % body fat, and waist circumference (WC)], and lipid levels [total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C)].

### 2.4. Search methods

The present study was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. PubMed/Medline, the Web of Science, EMBASE, and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) were chosen as databases for literature searches and were reviewed for eligible studies published until September 2020. Key search terms included the following: [(Korean ginseng) OR *(Panax ginseng* Meyer) OR (red ginseng)] AND [(glucose) OR (blood sugar) OR (glucose intolerance) OR (insulin resistance) OR (insulin sensitivity) OR (hyperglycemia) OR (hyperinsulinemia) OR (diabetes) OR (T2DM) OR (lipid) OR (lipid*) OR (cholesterol) OR (triglyceride) OR (hypertension) OR (blood pressure) OR (obesity) OR (overweight) OR (body weight) OR (body mass index) OR (body fat) OR (waist circumference) OR (waist-hip ratio)] AND [[clinical trial] OR [clinical study] OR (human study) OR (intervention)]. To locate additional studies, all reference lists of the selected articles were reviewed (Supplementary Table 1).

### 2.5. Selection of studies and data extraction

For the selection of eligible studies for inclusion, two reviewers independently screened the search results, the first focusing on titles and/or abstracts and the second focusing on the full text. Any disagreements were resolved by consensus; when a consensus was not reached, a decision was made involving a third reviewer. Two reviewers independently extracted the following data using a standardized data extraction format, with inconsistent results solved through confirmation and discussion of the original paper: first author, publication year, study design, subjects’ characteristics, types of interventions, and results of outcomes. Multiple supplement groups within one study were considered as individual data.

### 2.6. Assessment of the risk of bias

Two reviewers independently assessed the risk of bias among the included studies using the Cochrane risk of bias tool, which assesses the risk of bias in studies according to random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of the subjects and personnel, blinding of the outcomes assessment, incomplete outcomes data, selective reporting, and other biases.

### 2.7. Statistical analyses

A meta-analysis was performed using Review Manager version 5.4 (Cochrane Collaboration, London, England) and R software version 4.1.0 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). The units of all evaluation markers were properly standardized. We used the mean change and standard deviation (SD) values of the markers to investigate the effect size of the collected data. For studies that presented only standard error (SE), SE was converted into SD by multiplying the square root of the sample size. Pooled data was analyzed using a fixed-effects model and the data were expressed as weighted mean difference (WMD) with 95% confidence interval (CI) values for continuous outcomes. Moreover, subgroup analysis was performed based on clinical conditions of the subjects. The I² statistic test was used to estimate the percentage of heterogeneity between studies; heterogeneity was confirmed if the I² value was 50% or more and, if heterogeneity was confirmed, data was analyzed by applying a random-effects model. A sensitivity analysis was conducted to estimate the effects of omission for each study. Publication bias was evaluated using funnel plot and Egger’s weighted regression test, and when it was judged to be statistically significant, the effect was adjusted using the “trim and fill” method [33]. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

### 3. Results

As a result of searching the literature databases to select RCTs that evaluated the effects of *P. ginseng* on metabolic parameters, a total of 1334 studies were collected after excluding duplicates. Of these, 1276 papers were further excluded following the title and/or

---

**Table 1**

| No. | First author (Year), Location | Study design | Types of interventions | Sample size | Duration (weeks) | Efficacy evaluation (metabolic marker) |
|-----|------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|
| 21  | Park (2012), South Korea     | RCT, parallel | RG 3 g or placebo      | 60 (30/group) | 12              | WC, SBP, DBP, TC, HDL-C, TG, FG, FI  |
| 22  | Shin (2012), South Korea     | RCT, parallel | RG 4.5 g or placebo    | 60 (29 in the KRG group, 31 in the placebo group) | 12              | SBP                                 |

Postmenopausal subjects

| No. | First author (Year), Location | Study design | Types of interventions | Sample size | Duration (weeks) | Efficacy evaluation (metabolic marker) |
|-----|------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|
| 23  | Kim (2012), South Korea      | RCT, parallel | RG 3 g or placebo      | 72 (36/group) | 12              | TC, LDL-C, HDL-C, TG                     |

AUC, area under the curve; FG, fasting glucose; FI, fasting insulin; %BF, percent body fat; PG, postprandial glucose; PI, postprandial insulin; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; WC, waist circumference
Fig. 2. Forest plot, sensitivity analysis, and publication bias of changes in glucose AUC: (A) forest plot, (B) sensitivity analysis, (C) publication bias.
Fig. 3. Forest plot, sensitivity analysis, and publication bias of changes in insulin AUC: (A) forest plot, (B) sensitivity analysis, (C) publication bias.
Fig. 4. Forest plot, sensitivity analysis, and publication bias of changes in SBP: (A) forest plot, (B) sensitivity analysis, (C) trim and fill publication bias.
abstract review and 35 papers were additionally following the full-text review; finally, 23 articles were included in this systematic review and meta-analysis (Fig. 1). A total of 27 *P. ginseng* group datasets were included given the approval for the inclusion of multiple supplement groups from a single study.

### 3.1. Study description

Eligible studies’ characteristics are detailed in Table 1. The selected 23 studies were all RCTs, including 20 that were parallel-design studies and three that were crossover-design studies. The characteristics of the subjects of each study are as follows: two studies included healthy subjects [34,35]; 11 studies included impaired glucose tolerance, or diabetic subjects [36–46]; two studies included prehypertensive or hypertensive subjects [47,48]; three studies included overweight or obese subjects [49–51]; one study included hyperlipidemic subjects [52]; three studies included subjects with metabolic syndrome [53–55]; and one study included postmenopausal subjects [56]. Of the 23 studies, five used *P. ginseng* [35,39,43,46,52], 17 used Korean Red Ginseng [34,36,38,40–42,44,45,47–51,53–56], and one used *P. ginseng* berry [37] as investigational products, respectively. All studies measured metabolic disease-related markers such as blood glucose, blood pressure, body fat, and blood lipid levels. The mean dosage of the investigational products was 2.85 ± 2.00 g (range: 200 mg–8 g), and the mean study period was 10.78 ± 5.18 weeks (range: 4–24 weeks).

### 3.2. Changes in blood glucose–related markers

Of the 27 *P. ginseng* group datasets, a total of 20 datasets were measured for blood glucose–related markers, with the resultant details as follows: 19 included fasting glucose (FG, n = 872) [35–48,52,54], 18 included fasting insulin (FI, n = 750) [35–47,53,54], nine included 2-h postprandial glucose (PG, n = 375) [36,37,39,40,42,43,46], six included 2-h postprandial insulin (PI, n = 267) [36,37,39,40,42,43], five included glucose AUC (n = 224) [36,37,39,40,45], four included insulin AUC (n = 182) [36,37,39,45], and 12 included HbA1c (n = 476) [36–38,41–43,46,54].

In all cases, the heterogeneity was judged to be low (I² values of 13 %, 0 %, 0 %, 4 %, 0 %, and 18 % for FG, FI, 2-h PG, 2-h PI, glucose AUC, glucose insulin, and HbA1c, respectively), so it was analyzed using a fixed-effects model. Upon analyzing the effects size of *P. ginseng* supplementation, as compared with the placebo, it was revealed that the glucose AUC was decreased by 1.77 mmol/L*hr* (95 % CI: 2.97 to 0.57) and the insulin AUC was decreased by 101.11 pmol/L*hr* (95 % CI: 160.85 to 41.38), showing a statistically significant difference (*p* = 0.0004 and *p* = 0.0009). However, there was no statistically significant difference in FG, FI, 2-h PG, 2-h PI, or HbA1c between the *P. ginseng* and placebo supplementation groups (data not shown). In subgroup analysis, the effects of *P. ginseng* supplementation on glucose AUC and insulin AUC were stronger in diabetic subjects than in prediabetic subjects (Figs. 2A, 3A). Glucose AUC was robust in the sensitivity analysis but insulin AUC decreased by 8.77 when one study was omitted, resulting in a loss of significance (*p* = 0.200) (Figs. 2B, 3B) [45]. Funnel plot and Egger’s test revealed that there were no publication bias for glucose AUC and insulin AUC. Funnel plots of glucose AUC and insulin AUC are shown in Figs. 2C and 3C.

### 3.3. Changes in blood pressure–related markers

Of the 27 *P. ginseng* group datasets, a total of 15 datasets were measured for blood pressure–related markers, with the resultant details as follows: 15 included SBP (n = 702) [35–48,52,54], 18 included diastolic pressure (DBP, n = 750) [35–47,53,54], nine included systolic pressure (SBP, n = 375) [36,37,39,40,42,43,46], six included diastolic pressure (DBP, n = 267) [36,37,39,40,42,43], five included SBP AUC (n = 224) [36,37,39,40,45], four included DBP AUC (n = 182) [36,37,39,45], and 12 included SBP/DBP ratio (n = 476) [36–38,41–43,46,54].
Fig. 5. Forest plot, sensitivity analysis, and publication bias of changes in DBP: (A) forest plot, (B) sensitivity analysis, (C) trim and fill publication bias.
SBP with low heterogeneity was analyzed using a fixed-effects model ($I^2 = 33\%$), and DBP with high heterogeneity was analyzed using a random-effects model ($I^2 = 51\%$). Upon analyzing the effects size of *P. ginseng* supplementation, as compared with the placebo, it was revealed that the SBP was decreased by 3.23 mmHg (95% CI: $-4.19$ to $-2.27$), showing a statistically significant difference ($p < 0.00001$). In subgroup analysis, the effects of *P. ginseng* supplementation on SBP was stronger in prehypertension, hypertension, and metabolic syndrome subjects (Fig. 4A). Meanwhile, the DBP decreased by 1.48 mmHg (95% CI: $-3.18$ to $0.21$) in the *P. ginseng* supplementation group as compared with in the placebo group, but this result was not statistically significant ($p = 0.09$) (Fig. 5A). As a result of the sensitivity analysis, SBP decreased by 0.88 when one study omitted, resulting in a loss of significance ($p = 0.400$) (Fig. 5B). DBP obtained significance by decreasing by $-1.94$, $-2.05$, and $-2.06$ when the three studies were omitted ($p = 0.03$, $p = 0.01$, $p = 0.01$) (Fig. 5B). Egger's test revealed that there were publication bias for SBP and DBP ($p = 0.021$, $p = 0.002$). Six studies had to be trimmed and filled by trim and fill analysis to adjust the publication bias of SBP. As a result, the effect size increased and the direction of effect did not changed (MD = $-3.76$, 95% CI: $-4.67$, $-2.84$). In DBP, seven studies had to be trimmed and filled, resulting in an increase in effect size and no change in effect direction (MD: $-3.783$, 95% CI: $-5.380$, $-2.187$). Trim and fill funnel plots of SBP and DBP are shown in Figs. 4C–5C.

3.4. Changes in body fat–related markers

Of the 27 *P. ginseng* group datasets, a total of 16 datasets were measured for body fat–related markers, with the resultant details as follows: four included body weight (n = 76) [38,44,50,51], 12 included BMI (n = 491) [34,36,38,41,42,44,46,47,52,56], four included % body fat (n = 106) [44,49–51], and five included WC (n = 194) [38,46,54].

In all cases, the heterogeneity was judged to be low (all $I^2$ values were 0%), so it was analyzed using a fixed-effects model. Upon analyzing the effects size of *P. ginseng* supplementation, as compared with the placebo, it was revealed that the % body fat was decreased by 2.11% (95% CI: $-3.98$ to $-0.23$), showing a statistically significant difference ($p = 0.03$). Conversely, there were no statistically significant differences in body weight, BMI, or WC between the *P. ginseng* and placebo supplementation groups (data not shown). In subgroup analysis, the effect on % body fat was stronger in studies that enrolled obese subjects based on % body fat rather than BMI (Fig. 4) (Fig. 6A). As a result of the sensitivity analysis, % body fat decreased by 1.25 when one study omitted, resulting in a loss of significance ($p = 0.28$) (Fig. 6B). Funnel plot and Egger’s test manifested that there was no publication bias. The funnel plot of % body fat are shown in Fig. 6C.

3.5. Changes in blood lipid–related markers

Of the 27 *P. ginseng* group datasets, a total of 18 datasets were assessed for blood lipid–related markers, with the resultant details
Fig. 6. Forest plot, sensitivity analysis, and publication bias of changes in % body fat: (A) forest plot, (B) sensitivity analysis, (C) publication bias.
Fig. 7. Forest plot, sensitivity analysis, and publication bias of changes in TC: (A) forest plot, (B) sensitivity analysis, (C) publication bias.
as follows: 18 included TC (n = 803) [36–38,40,42,44,46–54,56], 17 included TG (n = 741) [36–38,40,42,44,46–52,54,56], 16 included HDL-C (n = 753) [36–38,40,42,44,46,47,49,50,52–54,56], and 15 included LDL-C (n = 657) [36–38,44,46–52,54,56].

In all cases, the heterogeneity was judged to be low (all $I^2$ values were 0%), so the data were analyzed using a fixed-effects model. Upon analyzing the effect size of *P. ginseng* supplementation, as compared with the placebo, it was revealed that the TC was decreased by 0.17 mmol/L (95 % CI: −0.28 to −0.05), the TG was decreased by 0.11 mmol/L (95 % CI: −0.21 to −0.01), and the LDL-C was decreased by 0.24 mmol/L (95 % CI: −0.36 to −0.13), showing a statistically significant difference ($p = 0.005$, $p = 0.030$, and $p < 0.0001$). There was no statistically significant difference in HDL-C between the *P. ginseng* and placebo supplementation groups (data not shown). In subgroup analysis, the effect of *P. ginseng* supplementation on TC was stronger in prediabetic or diabetic subjects. The effect on TG was more significant in overweight or obese subjects, and LDL-C was more significant in metabolic syndrome or postmenopausal women; overweight or obese subjects; prediabetic or diabetic subjects (Figs. 7A, 8A and 9A). Total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol were robust in the sensitivity analysis but TG decreased by 0.10, 0.09, 0.08, and 0.11 when the four studies were omitted, resulting in a loss of significance ($p = 0.06$, $p = 0.08$, $p = 0.17$, $p = 0.06$) (Figs. 7B, 8B and 9B) [50–52,56]. Funnel plot and Egger’s test manifested that there were no publication bias for TC, TG, and LDL-C. Funnel plots of TC, TG, and LDL-C are shown in Figs. 7C, 8C and 9C.

4. Discussion

The present systematic review and meta-analysis were conducted to verify the effects of *P. ginseng* supplementation on metabolic disease—related markers. For this, 23 RCT papers were collected and the changes in markers related to blood glucose, blood pressure, body fat, and blood lipids, which are major markers of metabolic diseases, were compared with those following placebo treatment using data from 27 ginseng supplement groups. As a result of this analysis, it was found that glucose AUC, insulin AUC, SBP, DBP, % body fat, TC, TG, and LDL-C were significantly decreased by *P. ginseng* supplementation.

As previously reported, there were no significant changes in FG, PG, or HbA1c among the blood glucose—related markers [18]. FG, PG, and HbA1c have traditionally been used as key markers to determine whether blood glucose is well-regulated, but there are limitations in providing accurate information about blood glucose responses and changes after meals by presenting values only at a specific point in time [57]. On the other hand, glucose and insulin AUC have the advantage of being able to determine whether blood glucose and insulin are normally regulated by observing the pattern of changes in blood glucose and insulin for a certain period of time, not a specific time point after oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT); these parameters have therefore been considered key measurements in efforts to determine glucose intolerance in recent years [58]. It has already been found in preclinical studies that ginseng administration improves insulin sensitivity by enhancing insulin
Fig. 8. Forest plot, sensitivity analysis, and publication bias of changes in TG: (A) forest plot, (B) sensitivity analysis, (C) publication bias.
mass, the use of WC or % body fat is considered more appropriate and % body fat. Because weight and BMI are also affected by muscle due to excess body fat [1,2,4]. Obesity is judged by weight, BMI, WC, and insulin resistance, which is derived from obesity clinical studies on this subject should be continued in the future. The importance of AUC measurement is increasingly emerging, the overall study population are still relatively limited, and since the range of study types and the size of effect was stronger in diabetic patients than in prediabetic subjects. However, it is a concern that the range of study types and the size of the major markers of metabolic syndrome and metabolic diseases were significantly improved when P. ginseng products were consumed for a long period lasting four weeks or longer. Based on this result, it can be expected that the intake of P. ginseng can play a sufficient role as an adjuvant for the prevention and improvement of metabolic diseases. To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review and meta-analysis to simultaneously evaluate the effects of P. ginseng on several markers related to metabolic diseases. However, the study subjects surveyed in this study were varied, ranging from healthy individuals to menopausal women and patients with obesity, diabetes, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia, so, while it is good to generalize these results, there was a limit to obtaining more specific results. Therefore, more clinical trials on metabolic syndrome should be accumulated in the future for further investigation.

5. Conclusions

A systematic review and meta-analysis were conducted by collecting studies that evaluated changes in metabolic disease–related markers driven by the long-term use of P. ginseng in various study populations. Significant changes were found in markers related to blood glucose, insulin resistance, blood pressure, and blood lipids. Based on these findings, supplementation with P. ginseng could be adopted as adjuvant therapy for diabetes, hypertension, and
Fig. 9. Forest plot, sensitivity analysis, and publication bias of changes in LDL-C: (A) forest plot, (B) sensitivity analysis, (C) publication bias.
hyperlipidemia. Through this study, *P. ginseng* supplementation has established an academic basis that it can be used as adjuvant therapy for diabetes, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia.
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