Lysosome Inhibition by Mefloquine Preferentially Enhances the Cytotoxic Effects of Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors in Blast Phase Chronic Myeloid Leukemia

Abstract

Despite the efficacy of BCR-ABL tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) in chronic phase-chronic myeloid leukemia, the management of blast phase-chronic myeloid leukemia (BP-CML) remains a challenge. Therefore, there is an urgent need to identify alternative agents that act synergistically with BCR-ABL TKIs in BP-CML. Our results show that the anti-malarial agent, mefloquine augments the efficacy of TKIs in CML cell lines and primary CML cells in vitro, including those with the T315I mutation. This effect is selective as mefloquine is more effective in inducing apoptosis, inhibiting colony formation and self-renewal capacity of CD34+ cells derived from TKI-resistant BP-CML patients than normal cord blood (CB) CD34+ stem/progenitor cells. Notably, the combination of mefloquine and TKIs at sublethal concentrations leads to synergistic effects in CML CD34+ cells, while sparing normal CB CD34+ cells. We further demonstrate that the initial action of mefloquine in CML cells is to increase lysosomal biogenesis and activation, followed by oxidative stress, lysosomal lipid damage and functional impairment. Taken together, our work elucidates that mefloquine selectively augments the effects of TKIs in CML stem/progenitor cells by inducing lysosomal dysfunction.

Introduction

Chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) is a hematological stem cell malignancy characterized by the reciprocal translocation of chromosomes 9 and 22, resulting in the constitutively active BCR-ABL1 tyrosine kinase. BCR-ABL1 activates a number of signal transduction pathways involved in cell survival and growth, including Ras/MEK/MAPK, PI3K/AKT, STAT and MYC [1]. Despite remarkable clinical responses achieved with BCR-ABL1 tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) in chronic phase-CML, these TKIs have been less effective as single agents in blast phase (BP) CML [2]. Mechanisms for TKI-resistance of BP-CML are complex. Apart from BCR-ABL1 overexpression and kinase mutations, increasing evidence show that CML stem/progenitor cells do not depend on BCR-ABL1 kinase activity for survival [3–5]. Hence, identification of new therapeutic targets is needed for more effective management of BP-CML.

Lysosomes are acidic organelles filled with numerous hydrolases and have been recently recognized to play an important role in inducing cell death [6]. Compared with normal cells, lysosomal function plays a more important role in cancer, as cancer progression is often characterized by dramatic changes in lysosomal volume, composition and cellular distribution [7–9]. In addition, lysosomal dysfunction has been shown to have a profound impact on cancer cell growth and survival [10,11], suggesting that the lysosome is an attractive therapeutic target in cancer therapeutics.

Mefloquine is an anti-malarial drug used to prevent or treat malaria. Several studies have shown that mefloquine has anti-cancer
properties where it induces death in tumor cells of diverse tissue origins, such as prostate, blood and breast [7,12–14]. Mefloquine have also been found to enhance the activity of other anti-cancer drugs against tumor cells [15,16]. Although anti-cancer mechanisms of mefloquine via ROS-mediated modulation of AMPK signaling [17] and lysosomal disruption [7] have been described, its precise molecular mechanism is still not well understood.

In this study, we investigated the effects of mefloquine alone and in combination with BCR-ABL1 TKIs using CML cell lines and primary patient CML cells, as well as cord blood (CB) samples as normal controls. We further analyzed the mechanism of the action of mefloquine in CML focusing on the lysosome. Our findings show that mefloquine preferentially targets CML CD34+ stem/progenitor cells and augments the efficacy of BCR-ABL1 TKIs by inducing lysosomal dysfunction.

Materials and Methods

Cell Lines and Reagents

Human CML cell lines, K562 (kind gift from Dr. Junia Melo), KU812 (kind gift from Dr. S Tiong Ong) and murine CML cell lines, 32Dp210 (kind gift from Dr. Brian Druker) and 32Dp210 T315I mutant (kind gift from Dr. James Griffin) were maintained in suspension in RPMI medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 4 mM L-glutamine, 200 μg/ml α-Tocopherol (Sigma, USA) and ponatinib (Selleckchem, USA) were dissolved in sterile distilled water. Mefloquine hydrochloride (Sigma, US) and bafilomycin A1 (Cayman Chemicals, USA) were reconstituted in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO; Sigma, USA). N-acetyl cysteine (NAC; Sigma, USA) was dissolved in sterile distilled water.

Primary CML Cells

Primary CML samples were obtained from patients from the Singapore General Hospital and CB samples were obtained from the Singapore Cord Blood Bank. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients under institutional review board-approved protocols. In this study, we investigated the effects of mefloquine alone and in combination with BCR-ABL1 TKIs using CML cell lines and primary patient CML cells, as well as cord blood (CB) samples as normal controls. We further analyzed the mechanism of the action of mefloquine in CML focusing on the lysosome. Our findings show that mefloquine preferentially targets CML CD34+ stem/progenitor cells and augments the efficacy of BCR-ABL1 TKIs by inducing lysosomal dysfunction.

MTS Proliferation Assay

Cells were plated at a density of $1 \times 10^5$ cells per well in 96-well microplates and treated with appropriate concentrations of drugs. After 3 days, cellular proliferation was analyzed with the CellTiter 96 Aqueous One Solution Cell Proliferation assay kit (G3581, Promega, USA). To estimate the IC50 values, proliferation data obtained from MTS assays were normalized to untreated controls and graphed in Prism, with upper and lower limit capped at 100% and 0% proliferation respectively. Graphs were fit with non-linear regression fit, with use of [inhibitor] vs normalized response function in Prism 8.0.1, where IC50 were obtained through best-fit values.

Combination Index (CI) Analysis

Combination studies were performed using the same protocol as described in our previous study [19]. Briefly, the IC50 of mefloquine and TKIs (imatinib or ponatinib) was first determined in single arm experiments. Cells were then treated with increasing doses of mefloquine or appropriate TKI at an equipotent constant-ratio combination of both drugs. The cell growth of single and combination arms were determined after 48 and 72 hours. The combination index (CI) of growth inhibition was calculated using the CalcuSyn software (Biosoft, UK).

Cell Viability Assay

Cells were seeded at a density of $1 \times 10^5$ cells per well in 12-well plates and exposed to appropriate concentrations of drugs. Treated cells were stained with Annexin V-FITC and 7-aminoactinomycin D (7-AAD) (Beckman Coulter, USA) and analyzed on a Beckman Coulter FC500 flow cytometer. Percentage of Annexin V-negative/7-AAD-negative cells was determined by CXP software analysis. Viable cells were considered as Annexin V-negative/7-AAD-negative.

Colony-Forming and Serial Replating Assays

Primary CD34+ cells were plated at a density of $1–5 \times 10^3$ cells in HSC-CFU complete methylcellulose medium (Miltenyi Biotec, Germany) together with the drugs. Colonies were scored after 2 weeks to determine the colony-forming units. Subsequently, individual colonies were selected and replated in 96-well plates in HSC-CFU complete methylcellulose medium for the serial relating assay. Wells were scored after 2 weeks and recorded as positive or negative for presence of colonies. Serial replating was conducted until there was no more formation of colonies. The serial replating capacity was determined by the percentage of positive wells among total number of colonies plated.

Lysosomal pH and Activity Assays

Cells were seeded at a density of $2 \times 10^5$ in six-well plates and treated with appropriate drug concentrations. Treated cells were stained with LysoTracker™ Red DND-99, LysoSensor™ Green DND-189 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), acridine orange or Magic Red Cathepsin B (ImmunoChemistry Technologies, USA) in accordance to manufacturers’ protocol and then analyzed on fluorescence microscope or a MACSQuant® VYB flow cytometer.

Oxidative Stress and Damage Assays

Cells were seeded at a density of $2 \times 10^5$ in six-well plates and exposed to appropriate drug concentrations. Treated cells were stained with MitoSOX™ Red (to indicate mitochondrial superoxide) or CM-H2DCFDA (to indicate intracellular ROS, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) in accordance to manufacturers’ protocol. Stained cells were analyzed on a MACSQuant® VYB flow cytometer. Lipid peroxidation was determined with Lipid Peroxidation (MDA) Assay Kit (ab118970, Abcam, USA) by measuring the malondialdehyde (MDA) levels.

Western Blot

Total proteins were separated electrophoretically by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and
then electroblotted onto polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (Bio-Rad, USA). Specific primary antibodies against LAMP1 (sc-20,011, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, USA), LAMP2 (sc-18,822, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, USA), LC3B (#3868, Cell Signaling Technology, USA), p62 (ab56416, Abcam, USA); together with appropriate HRP-linked secondary antibody were used. β-actin was used as a loading control.

Statistical Analysis

All results are indicative of at least three independent experiments. All data are expressed as mean and standard deviation. For single drug treatment and combination drug treatment, one-way and two-way ANOVA statistical analyses were conducted in Prism 8.0.1 respectively. For all ANOVA analyses, Gaussian distribution and equal SD were assumed, with no matching or pairing. For both one- and two-way ANOVA analyses, the Dunnett’s and Sidak’s multiple comparisons tests were respectively done to obtain ANOVA P-values for each treatment group vs control group. For comparison between two or less treatment groups, a student’s t-test was used. A P-value of \( \leq 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

Results

**Mefloquine is Active Against CML Cell Lines and Synergistic with TKIs**

We first investigated the effect of mefloquine on the human CML cell lines, K562 and KU812 and murine CML cell lines, 32Dp210 and 32Dp210 T315I. Using MTS proliferation assay, we found that mefloquine inhibited the proliferation of CML cell lines in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 1A and Figure S2). The IC50 for K562, KU812, 32Dp210 and 32Dp210 T315I were 10.5 \( \mu \)M, 8.32 \( \mu \)M, 8.56 \( \mu \)M and 7.68 \( \mu \)M respectively at 72 hours (Table S3). Mefloquine at 10 and 15 \( \mu \)M decreased CML cell viability as determined by the percentage of Annexin V/7-AAD staining (Figure 1B and Figure S3).

To determine if the combination of mefloquine and BCR-ABL1 TKIs was synergistic, we performed combination studies based on the methods proposed by Chou and Talalay [20] and calculated the combination indices (CI). Imatinib was used in K562, KU812 and 32Dp210 whereas ponatinib was used in 32Dp210 (T315I) for combination studies. The CI of mefloquine and TKI at 50%, and 75% growth inhibition were all less than 1 in 32Dp210 and 32Dp210 (T315I) (Figure 1C and D and Table S4) and K562 and
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Mefloquine Selectively Targets BP-CML CD34+ Stem/Progenitor Cells and Acts Synergistically with TKIs

We next investigated the effect of mefloquine on CD34+ stem/progenitor cells isolated from BP-CML patients. These patients were TKI-resistant and harbored BCR-ABL1 kinase mutations, including T315I (patient clinical information in Table S5). Patients #1, #2 and #3 are CML patients harboring non-T315I mutations, while patients #4, #5 and #6 are CML patients with T315I mutation. As these patients were imatinib-resistant, we therefore used dasatinib for samples harboring non-T315I mutations and ponatinib for samples with T315I mutation in the combination studies. CB CD34+ cells were used as normal controls. Mefloquine decreased the viability of BP-CML and CB CD34+ cells in a dose-dependent manner but BP-CML CD34+ cells were more sensitive than CB CD34+ cells to mefloquine at 15 and 20 μM, suggesting a therapeutic window at these concentrations (Figure 2A and Figure S8A). Importantly, the combination of sub-toxic concentrations of mefloquine and dasatinib (for T315I-negative samples) or ponatinib (for T315I-positive samples) was significantly more effective than either single agent in decreasing the viability of BP-CML but not CB CD34+ cells (Figure 2A, Figure S8A and S9).

The hallmark features of CML stem/progenitor cells are proliferation, differentiation and self-renewal, which are analyzed with colony formation and serial replating assays. We found that mefloquine is more effective in inhibiting colony formation and serial replating of BP-CML CD34+ cells compared to CB CD34+ cells (Figure 2B–D, Figure S8B to S8D and S10). Combination of mefloquine and dasatinib (for T315I-negative samples) or ponatinib (for T315I-positive samples) further inhibited colony formation and serial replating in BP-CML but not in CB CD34+ cells. Notably, the combination completely abolished the self-renewal capacity of BP-CML CD34+ cells. Taken together, mefloquine and its combination with BCR-ABL1 TKIs preferentially target the proliferative and self-renewal capacity of BP-CML CD34+ cells.

Biphasic Response in Lysosomal Activation by Mefloquine Leads to Lysosomal Dysfunction in CML Cells

To determine whether mefloquine acts on CML via targeting the lysosome, we examined the lysosomal pH and activity in CML cells treated with mefloquine. LysoTracker, a dye that accumulates in acidic intracellular compartments, was used to assess changes in lysosomal pH [21]. We observed a time-dependent biphasic response with increased LysoTracker intensity after 1 and 4 hours of treatment with 15 μM mefloquine followed by a decrease after 24 hours (Figure 3A). These findings suggest that the initial increase in lysosomal acidification was due to a compensatory response or decreasing the viability of BP-CML but not CB CD34+ cells (Figure 2A, Figure S8A and S9).

The hallmark features of CML stem/progenitor cells are proliferation, differentiation and self-renewal, which are analyzed with colony formation and serial replating assays. We found that mefloquine is more effective in inhibiting colony formation and serial replating of BP-CML CD34+ cells compared to CB CD34+ cells (Figure 2B–D, Figure S8B to S8D and S10). Combination of mefloquine and dasatinib (for T315I-negative samples) or ponatinib (for T315I-positive samples) further inhibited colony formation and serial replating in BP-CML but not in CB CD34+ cells. Notably, the combination completely abolished the self-renewal capacity of BP-CML CD34+ cells. Taken together, mefloquine and its combination with BCR-ABL1 TKIs preferentially target the proliferative and self-renewal capacity of BP-CML CD34+ cells.
lysosomal adaptation to lysosomal disruption [22]. A dose-dependent bi-phasic response was also observed with increased LysoTracker intensity at 10 μM mefloquine followed by a decrease after 24 hours. Dose-dependent bi-phasic response with increased LysoTracker staining (C) at 10 μM mefloquine followed by a decrease at 15 and 20 μM. (D) Representative images showing the acidic red acridine orange staining with 20 μM mefloquine in K562 cells. (E) Dose-dependent bi-phasic response with increased acidic red acridine orange staining at 10 μM mefloquine followed by a decrease at 15 and 20 μM. (F) Dose-dependent bi-phasic response with increased Magic Red Cathepsin B staining at 10 μM mefloquine followed by a decrease at 15 and 20 μM. Cells were treated with mefloquine for 24 hours prior to Lysotracker, LysoSensor, acidic orange and Cathepsin B staining. All dyes were used at 1 μM final concentration. (G) Mefloquine at 10 μM and 20 μM induces time-dependent increase of LAMP2 but not LAMP1 protein. (H) Mefloquine induces accumulation of LC3B-II fragment and p62 after 24 hours treatment. (I) When co-treated with bafilomycin A1 (5nM) for 3 hours, there is no further increase of mefloquine-induced accumulation of LC3B-II fragment and p62. Data are representative of at least three independent experiments. All error bars as shown are standard deviation. *, P << .05, compared to control.

Figure 3. Mefloquine induces initial lysosomal activation as a compensatory mechanism with consequent lysosomal dysfunction in CML cells. (A) Time-dependent bi-phasic response with increased LysoTracker staining after 1 and 4 hours of treatment with 15 μM mefloquine followed by a decrease after 24 hours. Dose-dependent bi-phasic response with increased LysoTracker staining (B) and LysoSensor staining (C) at 10 μM mefloquine followed by a decrease at 15 and 20 μM. (D) Representative images showing the acidic red acridine orange staining with 20 μM mefloquine in K562 cells. (E) Dose-dependent bi-phasic response with increased acidic red acridine orange staining at 10 μM mefloquine followed by a decrease at 15 and 20 μM. (F) Dose-dependent bi-phasic response with increased Magic Red Cathepsin B staining at 10 μM mefloquine followed by a decrease at 15 and 20 μM. Cells were treated with mefloquine for 24 hours prior to Lysotracker, LysoSensor, acidic orange and Cathepsin B staining. All dyes were used at 1 μM final concentration. (G) Mefloquine at 10 μM and 20 μM induces time-dependent increase of LAMP2 but not LAMP1 protein. (H) Mefloquine induces accumulation of LC3B-II fragment and p62 after 24 hours treatment. (I) When co-treated with bafilomycin A1 (5nM) for 3 hours, there is no further increase of mefloquine-induced accumulation of LC3B-II fragment and p62. Data are representative of at least three independent experiments. All error bars as shown are standard deviation. *, P << .05, compared to control.

Mefloquine Causes Lysosomal Lipid Damage in CML Cells

Lysosomal disruption is often associated with oxidative stress in cells [25]. In keeping with this, we found that mefloquine significantly increased ROS levels in a dose-dependent manner in CML cells after 4 hours (Figure 4A). Malondialdehyde (MDA), the end product of lipid peroxidation, was increased by mefloquine as...
well (Figure 4B). These findings demonstrate that mefloquine induces oxidative stress and causes oxidative damage of lipids. We also show that α-tocopherol, an antioxidant that inhibits lysosomal lipid-mediated ROS production, protects against mefloquine-induced but not H₂O₂-induced oxidative stress (Figure 4C), suggesting that mefloquine causes the lysosomal lipid damage. Partial rescue was observed with N-acetyl-L-cysteine (NAC), an antioxidant that inhibits thiol redox-mediated ROS production. In contrast, NAC but not α-tocopherol completely rescued H₂O₂-induced oxidative stress. These results demonstrate that rescue by α-tocopherol is specific to mefloquine. In addition, α-tocopherol, but not NAC, significantly reversed mefloquine’s effects on CML cell viability (Figure 4D), indicating that lysosomal lipid damage is an important requisite for the efficacy of mefloquine in CML. We further show that mefloquine increases mitochondrial superoxide level (Figure 4E and Figure S14), which can be reversed by α-tocopherol but not with NAC (Figure 4F). This finding suggests an association between lysosome lipid damage and mitochondrial dysfunction. Together, our data indicate that mefloquine causes CML cell death as a consequence of lysosomal lipid damage.

Discussion
Lysosomes have become attractive targets for cancer therapeutics since the discovery of lysosomal adaptation in regulating cancer cell survival [8,10,25]. Cancer cells require increased lysosomal function to proliferate, metabolize, and adapt to stressful environments [7–9]. Particularly in leukemia, various studies using preclinical models have shown that lysosomal dysfunction is effective in eliminating leukemia bulk as well as quiescent leukemia stem cells without significant toxicity in normal counterparts in vitro and in vivo [7,26–28].
Notably, the lysosome has been found to contribute to radiotherapy and chemotherapy resistance in cancer [29,30]. Targeting lysosomes using Leu-Leu-OMe, a lysosomotropic agent, has been shown to eradicate imatinib-resistant CML cells [28].

Anti-cancer activity of mefloquine, a quinolone-based anti-malarial drug, has recently been demonstrated in human cancer cell lines [14,16,17,31]. Although mechanisms of action of mefloquine seem to be cancer type-specific, most studies suggest that mefloquine acts on cancer cells via targeting lysosome and inhibiting autophagy [14,7,31]. In this work, we demonstrate that targeting lysosomes with mefloquine inhibits growth and decreases viability of BCR-ABL1 TKI-sensitive CML cells and TKI-resistant primary BP-CML cells. To the best of our knowledge, our work is the first to show the inhibitory effects of mefloquine in eliminating primary leukemic stem/progenitor cells.

Mefloquine produces a rapid and biphasic response in lysosomal biogenesis prior to inducing lysosomal lipid damage and dysfunction of CML cells in our experiments. It reflects a common feature of lysosomal response to mefloquine in mammalian cells and parasites [33,34]. These responses are consistent with the effects of lysosomotropic agents that evoke an initial compensatory lysosomal biogenetic response but with the ultimate consequence of lysosomal dysfunction [22]. Interestingly, we observe the association between lysosomal inhibition and mitochondria function, which supports the notion that lysosomes act as metabolic sensors that regulate mitochondrial metabolism [35].

Our study highlights the potential of mefloquine as a targeted therapeutic agent based on its preferential and selective elimination of CML stem/progenitor cells, in combination with BCR-ABL1 TKIs, while sparing the normal counterparts. Mefloquine significantly augments BCR-ABL1 TKIs in CML cell lines and CD34+ stem/progenitor cells, more efficiently than chloroquine, as previously described (Figure S15) [34,35]. In contrast to mefloquine, imatinib at the same concentration used in combination studies does not affect lysosome lysotracker staining or ROS levels (Figure S16). We believe that the combinatory effects of TKIs and mefloquine observed in CML are due to the separate inhibition of BCR-ABL and lysosomal function, respectively. In healthy individuals receiving oral mefloquine 250 mg once weekly for prophylaxis of malaria, plasma concentrations of 5 μM have been observed without significant toxicities [36]. Mefloquine at a dose of 25 mg/kg has also been shown to be well tolerated in patients with moderately severe malaria with no serious adverse events [37]. A 10 μM concentration of mefloquine, shown to be highly effective in our experiments in combination with BCR-ABL1 TKIs, is likely to be clinically achievable. Notably, our work suggests that mefloquine has a greater efficacy than chloroquine (Figure S15). This is consistent with the previous work that mefloquine is more potent than chloroquine [38]. It should be noted that chloroquine and mefloquine have already been used in clinical trials for cancer (Ref NCT01430351, NCT00969306 and NCT02378532).

In conclusion, our work demonstrates that mefloquine significantly augments the effects of BCR-ABL1 TKIs in CML stem/progenitor cells while sparing normal counterparts. Our work also elucidates the cellular and molecular response to lysosomal inhibition and supports targeting lysosomes as a new therapeutic strategy in CML.
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