Electroporation as a vaccine delivery system and a natural adjuvant to intradermal administration of plasmid DNA in macaques

Biliana Todorova, Lucille Adam, Slobodan Culina, Raphaël Boisgard, Frédéric Martinon, Antonio Cosma, Mart Ustav, Thierry Kortulewski, Roger Le Grand & Catherine Chapon

In vivo electroporation (EP) is used to enhance the uptake of nucleic acids and its association with DNA vaccination greatly stimulates immune responses to vaccine antigens delivered through the skin. However, the effect of EP on cutaneous cell behavior, the dynamics of immune cell recruitment and local inflammatory factors, have not been fully described. Here, we show that intradermal DNA vaccination combined with EP extends antigen expression to the epidermis and the subcutaneous skin muscle in non-human primates. In vivo fibered confocal microscopy and dynamic ex vivo imaging revealed that EP promotes the mobility of Langerhans cells (LC) and their interactions with transfected cells prior to their migration from the epidermis. At the peak of vaccine expression, we detected antigen in damaged keratinocyte areas in the epidermis and we characterized recruited immune cells in the skin, the hypodermis and the subcutaneous muscle. EP alone was sufficient to induce the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines in the skin and significantly increased local concentrations of Transforming Growth Factor (TGF)-alpha and IL-12. Our results show the kinetics of inflammatory processes in response to EP of the skin, and reveal its potential as a vaccine adjuvant.

Among the various vaccination approaches against infectious diseases such as human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) vaccines have several advantages: they are easily produced, provide opportunities for molecular engineering, lack anti-vector immunity, and have the potential to promote both cellular and humoral immune responses. However, despite their high immunogenicity in murine models, DNA vaccines have shown poor efficacy in large animal models and humans. New strategies to improve DNA vaccines include the optimization of transcriptional control elements and codons, the use of adjuvants, such as Toll-like receptor (TLR) ligands, cytokine expressing plasmids or apoptosis-based adjuvants and the choice of an appropriate delivery system such as local electroporation (EP).

In particular, EP has been largely used to enhance plasmid DNA uptake and increase the number of antigen-producing cells. In addition, EP modifies blood vessel permeability and facilitates leukocyte extravasation in the exposed area. However, the effects of EP on cutaneous antigen presenting cells (APCs) and on the dynamics of cell recruitment at the vaccine site have not been fully described.

In a previous study, we demonstrated that intradermal (id) administration of the auxo-GTU®-multiHIV plasmid (GTU for Gene Transport Unit) combined with noninvasive EP induces a strong and persistent polyfunctional T-cell response in macaques. Here, we investigated the early events that occur in the skin and the...
subcutaneous tissue after id vaccine delivery, which may be associated with strong immunogenicity. In particular, we studied the effect of EP on antigen expression, dermal and epidermal APC behavior, immune cell infiltration, epidermal damage and local cytokine production in the skin of macaques.

Results

Electroporation stimulates local antigen expression, especially in the epidermis. We used in vivo bioluminescence and fibered confocal fluorescence microscopy to monitor the expression of antigens in the skin after the id injection of DNA vaccine with or without EP. When EP was applied, luciferase was expressed for up to two weeks after the injection of auxo-GTU®-Luc-EGFP plasmid with a peak at 24 h, but was poorly expressed \((p = 0.014)\) after immunization without EP (Fig. 1a,b). This observation was confirmed by the direct visualization of cells expressing EGFP; there were significantly more EGFP+ cells at 4 h \((p = 0.0183)\), 24 h \((p = 0.0002)\) and 48 h \((p = 0.0002)\) after id vaccination with EP than after id vaccination with DNA alone (Fig. 1c,d). Furthermore, antigen expression at 24 h seemed to be mainly located in the epidermis (Fig. 1c,e).

Langerhans cells are highly mobile, interact with transfected cells and leave the epidermis after DNA injection with EP. Fluorescent stained epidermal cells, after id injection of HLA-DR antibody (Ab), were organized into a homogeneous network with dendritic morphology and were considered as Langerhans cells (LCs). These cells were imaged in vivo for 5 days after DNA ± EP in three independent experiments (Fig. 2a). Their number remained stable between 24 h and 96 h after the injection of plasmid DNA or PBS without EP (Fig. 2a,b). Interestingly, LC density was significantly decreased from 48 h after EP \((p < 0.0001)\), suggesting their migration from the epidermis (Fig. 2b). LCs were imaged continuously for 18 h in skin explants taken 24 h after vaccination, to assess their behavior and motility. EP was associated with morphological changes of LCs, including dendritic retraction and rounding up (Fig. 2c), which corresponds to an activated LC profile20. 

EP also led to LC migration from the epidermis because their number decreased significantly \((p = 0.017)\) over time (Fig. 2d). Furthermore, the velocity and displacement of LCs were significantly higher \((p < 0.0001)\) after EP than in non-electroporated skin, and EP was associated with a high confinement ratio, illustrating the directional movement of LCs after vaccination with EP (Fig. 2e). In addition, some LCs interacted for several hours with antigen producing cells (EGFP+ cells), resulting in double stained cells, which suggests antigen capture by LCs (Fig. 2f).

EP causes immune cell infiltration in the skin and promotes the mobility of recruited APCs in the dermis post-vaccination. Flow cytometry revealed the presence of four leukocyte populations (CD45+ cells) in the skin (both epidermis and dermis) (Fig. 3a). EP without DNA injection was able to induce lymphocytes T CD3+ infiltration in the skin 24 h after treatment (Fig. 3b). Furthermore, when EP was associated to the administration of DNA vaccine, the number of dendritic cells and T lymphocytes was significantly higher in electroporated skin 24 h after immunization than in skin injected with PBS alone \((p = 0.0226)\) and \((p = 0.0055)\). There was a similar trend for monocytes/macrophages \((p = 0.15)\) and DR+ CD66+ neutrophils \((p = 0.20)\) (Fig. 3b). We also observed this cell recruitment in the dermis by confocal microscopy, which revealed the presence of many HLA-DR+ cells 24 h after DNA injection with EP (Fig. 3c). In contrast to the epidermis, the dermal APC confinement ratio was not modified by EP whereas cell velocity \((p < 0.0001)\) and displacement \((p = 0.0022)\) were still significantly higher in electroporated skin than in non-electroporated skin (Fig. 3d).

DNA injection combined with EP affects deep skin layers. Because the hypodermis and the subcutaneous muscle are interconnected and localized under the skin (Fig. 4a), we investigated the effect of EP on these skin layers. We detected antigen production in hypodermal cells after the id injection of plasmid DNA with or without EP (Fig. 4b, upper panel), and found that both DR+ CD163+ and DR+ CD66+ cells infiltrated this tissue (Fig. 4c). By contrast, we could detect antigen expression in subcutaneous muscle only after DNA administration with EP (Fig. 4b, lower panel). In this condition we observed a tendency of DR+ CD163+ and DR+ CD66+ cells infiltration at the site of immunization \((p = 0.0625)\) (Fig. 4c).

Vaccine antigen expression co-localizes with areas of damaged keratinocytes. We observed damaged keratinocytes on the epidermal surface 24 h after PBS + EP (Fig. 5a). Interestingly, when EP was associated with DNA injection, vaccine antigen was detected in these dying keratinocytes (Fig. 5b). Furthermore, the number of apoptotic cells was significantly higher after vaccination with EP than after DNA alone \((p = 0.0313)\) (Fig. 5c,d). These cells were mostly CD45− (Fig. 5e) and may represent an additional stimulus for immune activation21.

EP creates an early inflammatory environment. Finally, we analyzed the cytokine microenvironment in supernatants of skin biopsies post-EP. The concentrations of TGF-α and IL-12 were significantly higher in skin 24 h after EP than in non-electroporated skin \((p = 0.0274)\) and \(p = 0.0117)\) (Fig. 6). At this time point, the concentration of other pro-inflammatory (GM-CSF, IL-8, TNF-alpha, IL-18) and anti-inflammatory (IL-1ra, IL-10) cytokines, tended to be higher in electroporated skin than in non-electroporated skin.

Discussion

Electroporation has long been used to facilitate the DNA access to the cell nucleus and its association with DNA vaccine clearly enhances the production of vaccine antigen in mice and large animal models21–23. However, it appears that high antigen expression is not the only factor that determines vaccine immunogenicity because electrical pulses applied prior to or simultaneously to DNA vaccination induce similar immune responses24. We used the auxo-GTU®-multiHIV plasmid to investigate the cellular effects of vaccination with EP. This plasmid is known for its ability to stimulate strong cellular responses which are significantly larger and more persistent.
when EP is used\textsuperscript{19}. Consistent with other studies\textsuperscript{17, 21, 23, 25, 26} we confirmed that vaccine antigen was more strongly expressed when injection was combined with EP. Interestingly, EP seemed to affect the localization of transfected cells and they were predominantly present in the epidermis. High antigen availability in the epidermis may be beneficial due to the LC population localized in this skin layer. LCs are essential for T-cell responses, as shown by the induction of modified vaccinia virus Ankara (MVA)-specific CD8$^{+}$ T cells\textsuperscript{27}, and for antitumor cellular immunity\textsuperscript{28}. In vitro experiments have revealed that LC are particularly effective at priming and cross-priming naïve CD8$^{+}$ T cells\textsuperscript{29}. However, there has been disagreement about LC functions in vivo and it is now accepted

Figure 1. \textit{In vivo} imaging of vaccine antigen expression at the site of injection. (a) Representative \textit{in vivo} bioluminescent images of luciferase expression in macaque skin at day 0, 1 and 7 after intradermal injection of auxoGTU\textsuperscript{16}-luc-EGFP $\pm$ EP. (b) Quantification of luciferase expression after vaccination with ($n = 10$) or without electroporation ($n = 3$). Mann-Whitney test. (c) \textit{In vivo} fibered confocal microscopy showing EGFP expression by auxoGTU\textsuperscript{16} in the epidermis and the dermis after vaccination $\pm$ EP. Scale bar: 100 $\mu$m. (d) Quantification of EGFP$^{+}$ cells from 4 to 96h after vaccination, from 10 different frames. Paired and unpaired t-test. (e) Distribution of EGFP$^{+}$ cells in the epidermis and the dermis after DNA vaccination with electroporation. Data are presented as mean $\pm$ SD; *$p < 0.05$; **$p < 0.01$; ***$p < 0.001$; EP, electroporation.
that their role depends on the quantity and the quality of immune signals30. EP in vivo promotes the migration of LCs out of murine skin explants31. In our experiments, DNA vaccination with EP caused morphological changes to LCs that have been previously associated with their migratory behavior20. In addition, the high motility of LCs,

Figure 2. Behavior of LCs at the site of vaccination. (a) HLA-DR labeled LC network was visualized by in vivo fibered confocal microscopy and (b) quantified at the site of injection. Kruskal-Wallis test. Ex vivo confocal videomicroscopy of HLA-DR-labeled LC, showing (c) LC morphology and (d) the variation of the LC number normalized to the cell number at the first time point (n = 3). Friedman test. (e) LC motility parameters were measured from three independent experiments. Each point represents one cell. Mann–Whitney test. (f) Ex vivo confocal videomicroscopy of the epidermis 24 h after vaccination with the auxoGTU®-Luc-EGFP vector with EP. Arrow indicates APC displacement toward an antigen expressing cell. Dotted line shows a HLA-DR and EGFP co-stained LC. Data are presented as mean ± SD; *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; EP, electroporation.
their interaction with DNA transfected cells, and their migration from the epidermis, shows that LCs are involved in the mechanism of vaccination with EP. Moreover, after EP, we found vaccine antigen in damaged keratinocytes on the skin surface. Thus, vaccination combined with EP provides an additional source of cell-associated antigen, which is easily accessible to surrounding cells for cross presentation. Moreover, we showed that simple EP of macaque skin led to immune cell infiltration at the site of injection with a peak at 24 h (data not shown). These immune cell infiltrates have also been observed after intramuscular vaccination with EP in mice. Markelc et al. demonstrated that electrical pulses increase blood vessel permeability, which is accompanied by leukocyte extravasation. In our study, the overlap between the peak of antigen expression and APC recruitment may lead to robust antigen processing and presentation at the site of vaccination. Furthermore, the recruitment of lymphocytes to the skin (although non-specific to the antigen) may promote potent local responses after vaccine boost, as occurs during the recall of peripheral infection.

We also identified several cytokines produced locally in response to electrical pulses without vaccination. IL-12 and GM-CSF have already been used as adjuvants to DNA vaccines. In particular, IL-12 promotes the accumulation of CD8+ T cells and the differentiation of naive T cells to Th1, whereas GM-CSF plays a central role in the development and maturation of dendritic cells and its overexpression in the epidermis induces both keratinocyte proliferation and apoptosis. Interestingly, we found that the TGF-α concentrations were significantly higher in electroporated skin than in non-electroporated skin. This cytokine up-regulates TLR5 and TLR9 expression on keratinocytes and enhances the cell response to CpG DNA (CpG for cytosine–phosphate–guanine). Other pro-inflammatory cytokines induced by EP, participate in immune cell recruitment (IL-8) or in cell maturation and migration (TNFα, IL-18). We also observed delayed production of anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 in electroporated skin, which may be important to limit inflammation caused by EP.

The analysis of subcutaneous tissues revealed that the effects of EP penetrate deep into the skin. We showed that when vaccination was performed with EP, the vector reached subcutaneous tissues, such as the subcutaneous muscle. In mouse skin, most transfected cells following EP are observed in the hypodermis and around

Figure 3. Characterization of immune infiltrates in the skin after EP or DNA + EP. (a) Skin cell suspensions were first gated for their morphology and viability. The gating strategy is shown on the skin of immunized macaque. Four cell populations of interest were analyzed: DR+CD163+CD14+ (monocytes/macrophages), DR+CD163−CD14− (dendritic cells), DR−CD3+ (T lymphocytes) and DR−CD66+ (neutrophils). (b) Flow cytometry analysis of skin cell recruitment 24 h after vaccination (n = 5); cell number was normalized to absolute cell number after PBS injection. Friedman test. (c) Representative ex vivo confocal videomicroscopy images of HLA-DR labeled dermal APCs 24 h post-injection of DNA ± EP. (d) Analysis of dermal APC motility 24 h post-vaccination. Each point represents one cell (n = 3). Mann–Whitney test. Data are presented as mean ± SD; *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; EP, electroporation.
the panniculus carnosus. This muscle is involved in skin movements and is almost absent in humans, which may explain several interspecies differences regarding vaccine delivery through the skin, as occurs for some adeno-associated viral vectors which show skin muscle tropism after id injection. Furthermore, recruited inflammatory cells in the panniculus carnosus may play an additional role in vaccine responses by creating a favorable environment for antigen processing and presentation.

Nevertheless, epidermal injury resulting from EP may induce the production of damage-associated molecular patterns and activate immune actors independent of vaccination. Indeed, uric acid and high mobility group protein B1 (HMGB1) have been detected in electroporated muscle with or without vaccination and have been associated with robust T cell responses to plasmid DNA antigens. Tissue damage and inflammation depend on EP parameters such as the intensity, the duration of electrical pulses and the type of electrodes. The immune enhancer potency of cell death has been reported after vaccine delivery by micro-needles and this property is also being used to develop laser-based vaccine adjuvants.

However, for clinical use, this immune stimulation due to electroporation should be counterbalanced by the discomfort which may occur with this procedure. In our study electroporation performed with needleless
tweezers electrodes, resulted in very slight and transitory superficial tissue injury. The same electroporation protocol has been previously tested on pig skin approved as biomedical model for human skin studies. Our findings demonstrate that EP is an effective vaccine delivery system and adjuvant. The application of EP to the skin broadens immune responses to vaccine in all skin layers and subcutaneous tissues by extending the delivery of antigen. Thus, vaccine protocols that promote local immune actors may abolish the need for chemical-based adjuvants.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals.** Adult female cynomolgus macaques (*Macaca fascicularis*), imported from Mauritius and weighing 3–6 kg, were housed in CEA facilities (accreditation: C 92-032-02) and handled in accordance with European guidelines for nonhuman primates (NHP) care (EU Directive N 63/2010). This study was approved by the regional committee for animal care and use (Comité d’Ethique en Expérimentation Animale n° 44, AP N° 11_008). Animals were handled under sedation with an intramuscular injection of 10 mg/kg ketamine hydrochloride (Merial, France).

**Plasmids.** The vaccine vector auxo-GTU®-multiHIV encodes a fusion protein composed of the full-length sequences of Rev, Nef, Tat, p17 and p24 proteins and a stretch of selected T cell epitopes from Pol and Env.
proteins. For in vivo imaging studies, the expression cassette of HIV fusion protein was replaced with the DNA sequence encoding the luciferase protein and the enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) protein.

DNA vaccine injection. Skin was shaved at the site of injection. Each animal received id injections of 100 µl (1 mg/ml) of auxo-GTU®-multiHIV plasmid with or without EP. Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (100 µL) was injected as a control. EP was performed with a portable pulse generator (CUY21 EDIT; Nepa Gene, Ichikawa, Japan) and tweezer electrodes (6 pulses of 10 msec with output current 300–600 mA). For imaging studies of antigen expression, 100 µl (250 µg) of auxo-GTU®-Luc-EGFP plasmid was injected.

Bioluminescence imaging. Antigen expression was measured between 1 h and 24 days after the injection of auxo-GTU®-Luc-EGFP plasmid with or without electroporation. Before imaging, macaques were injected intraperitoneally with 42 mg/kg of luciferin solution (Promega Corporation, Madison, Wisconsin). The PhotonImager® system (Biospace Lab, France) was used to acquire photonic emissions (photons/s/cm²) 30 min after the injection of luciferin. Luciferase expression was quantified in regions of interest manually drawn around the site of injection and was expressed as the area under the curve (AUC) after subtraction of the background signal measured on untreated skin.

In vivo fibered confocal fluorescence microscopy. Antigen (EGFP) expressing skin cells and epidermal APC were monitored after vaccination by in vivo fibered confocal microscopy at 488 nm (Cellvizio®, Mauna Kea Technologies, France). For epidermal APC imaging, the monoclonal antibody (Ab) anti-HLA-DR (clone L243, Ozyme, France) was amine-labeled with the Fluoprobe 490 kit (Interchim, France). Five µg of fluorescent Ab was injected id into the middle of each vaccination site, 5 min and then 49 h after vaccination. Epidermis imaging was performed with the probe S-1500, which covers a 300 µm circular diameter in a focal plane and provides images from the skin surface, with a slice thickness of 15 µm and a lateral resolution of 3.3 µm. The Z-1800 probe was used for dermal imaging. This probe is 1.8 mm in diameter and acquires fluorescent signals emitted from 100 µm in depth, with a lateral resolution of 3.5 µm. Images were collected from at least 10 different areas per injection site from three independent experiments (n = 30). Images were analyzed with ImageJ 1.6 software (National Institute of Mental Health, Bethesda, USA).

Ex vivo imaging on skin explants. Microscopic studies of tissue explants were performed as described previously. Briefly, skin biopsies were performed 24 hours after the injection auxo-GTU®-Luc-EGFP plasmid and 2 hours after the in vivo id injection of anti-HLA-DR-AF700 Ab (Ozyme, France). Fat tissue was removed and each biopsy was cut into two equal parts and placed in a 6-well plate (MatTek Corporation, Ashland, USA) in contact with complete culture medium to visualize dermal and epidermal skin layers. Images were acquired with a Plan Fluor 20x DIC objective (NA: 0.45) on a Nikon A1R confocal fast laser scanning system (Nikon Corporation, Japan) equipped with a thermostatic chamber (37°C; 5% CO₂). Images were recorded with a high-speed resonant scanner every 10 minutes for 22 hours. Volocity software (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, USA) was used to reconstruct three-dimensional images and to assess cell motility (velocity, displacement and confinement ratio).

Immunohistofluorescence of skin sections. Skin biopsies were performed 24 hours post-injection and embedded in optimal cutting temperature compound (OCT) and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Ten micrometer sections were fixed in 4% of Paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 15 min at room temperature. Tissue was permeabilized with Triton X-100 (0.3%), Bovine serum albumin (BSA) (1%) and goat serum (1%). Skin sections were incubated overnight at 4°C with 10 µg/ml of anti-GFP-AF488 Ab (Invitrogen, France) or anti-p24 Ab (kind gift from B. Verrier, UMR 5086 CNRS/UCBL, Lyon, France) to detect the expression of vaccine antigens in animals injected with the auxo-GTU®-Luc-EGFP or the auxo-GTU®-multiHIV plasmid, respectively. For keratinocyte staining,
an additional incubation for 2 hours was performed with an anti-cytokeratin Ab (clone AE1/AE3, Dako, France). Purified antibodies were labeled with the Zenon® labeling kit (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen). The cell nucleus was stained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) or Propidium Iodide (Invitrogen, France).

Flow cytometry. To characterize skin immune cells, 8 mm-biopsies were taken from the site of vaccination. The skin (dermis and epidermis) was separated from the cutaneous muscle (panniculus carnosus) and hypodermis fat tissues, and each tissue was mechanically dissociated (GentleMACSTM dissociator, Miltenyi, France). Cells were then filtered through 70 µm nylon mesh after enzymatic treatment with 2 mg/ml of Collagenase D (Roche Diagnostics, France) for 30 minutes at 37 °C. The LIVE/DEAD Fixable Blue Dead Cell Stain Kit (Invitrogen, France) was used to label dead cells. Cell surface staining was performed with the following antibodies: anti-CD45 (clone DO58-1283, BD, France), HLA-DR (clone G46-6, BD, France), anti-CD66abc (clone TET2, Miltenyi Biotec, France), anti-CD3 (clone SP34-2, BD, France), anti-CD163 (clone GH1/61, Ozyme, France), anti-CD14 (M5E2, BD, France). Apoptotic cells were stained with AnnexinV and Propidium Iodide (Invitrogen, France) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Data were acquired on LSR Fortessa (BD Biosciences, Le Pont de Claix, France) and analyzed with Flowjo software 9.4.11 (Tree Star, Ashland, OR).

Multiplex assay. Supernatants were collected after an 18 h incubation of skin biopsies in complete media. High-sensitivity cytokine detection was performed by Milliplex® assay (Merck Millipore, Billerica, Massachusetts) to analyze the production of Granulocyte-Macrophage Colony Stimulating Factor (GM-CSF), interferon (IFN)-γ, interleukine (IL)-1β, IL-1α, IL-2, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, IL-12/23(p40), IL-17, Monocyte Chemoattractant Protein (MCP)-1, macrophage inflammatory protein (MIP)-1α, MIP-1β, Transforming Growth Factor (TGF)-α, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, IL-18.

Statistical analysis. Data are reported as means ± standard deviation (SD) and analyzed with GraphPad Prism version 5.0 (Graph-Pad Software Inc, La Jolla, CA) with the appropriate non-parametric ANOVA (Friedman or Kruskal-Wallis) or t-tests (Wilcoxon or Mann-Whitney).
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