Optimization of dyes and toxic metals removal from environmental water samples by clinoptilolite zeolite using response surface methodology approach
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The present study aimed to remove crystal violet (CV), malachite green (MG), Cd(II), and Pb(II) from an aqueous solution using clinoptilolite zeolite (CZ) as an adsorbent. Response surface methodology (RSM) based on central composite design (CCD) was used to analyze and optimize the process parameters, such as pH, analyte concentration, adsorbent amount, and sonication time. Quadratic models with the coefficient of determination ($R^2$) of 0.99 ($p<0.0001$) were compared statistically.

The results revealed that the selected models have good precision and a good agreement between the predicted and experimental data. The maximum removal of contaminants was achieved under optimum conditions of pH = 6, sonication time of 22 min, the adsorbent amount of 0.19 g, and analyte concentration of 10 mg L$^{-1}$. The reusability test of the adsorbent showed that the CZ adsorbent could be used 5 times in water and wastewater treatment processes. According to the results of interference studies, the presence of different ions, even at high concentrations, does not interfere with the removal of contaminants. Applying the CZ adsorbent on environmental water samples revealed that CZ adsorbent could remove CV, MG, Cd(II), and Pb(II) in the range of 84.54% to 99.38% and contaminants present in industrial effluents. As a result, the optimized method in this study can be widely used with high efficiency for removing CV, MG, Cd(II), and Pb(II) from water and wastewater samples.

The expansion of industries and the release of industrial effluents into the environment have led to the risk of pollution around factories, surface water, and groundwaters. Various industries such as plastics, textiles, food, and cosmetics discharge effluents containing different pollutants such as organic materials and dyes into the environment. The most critical problem in treating dye-contained effluents is the removal of unstable dyes. In this regard, even trace amounts of dye in the water are easily visible as factors threatening aquatic life.

Pb, Hg, Cd, Zn, Cu, and Ni are the most common heavy metals in aqueous systems. These elements are toxic to organisms at threshold concentrations. Accumulating toxins in the food chain can increase the contaminant concentration in animals at high levels of the food chain.
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Clinoptilolite zeolite (CZ) was supplied from Afrazand Mineral Company. The stock solution of 1000 mg L\(^{-1}\) was determined and predicted\(^{34,35}\).

Several methods such as adsorption, chemical coagulation, oxidation, and membrane separation have been used to remove contaminants from industrial effluents\(^{13-17}\).

However, choosing the best treatment technique depends on the final purpose and type of effluent. In this regard, low-cost, robust, and more efficient techniques are highly beneficial for treating wastewater without endangering human health or damaging the environment. Adsorption is one of the low-cost and efficient techniques in pollution removal\(^{18,19}\).

Recently, the use of inexpensive adsorbents has drawn the attention of many researchers. Inexpensive adsorbents are those with a high abundance, availability, and low preparation cost. These adsorbents mainly include sawdust, Guar gum, clay, activated carbon, and clinoptilolite zeolite (CZ), which effectively absorb dyes and heavy metals\(^{20-24}\). CZ has a 3D structure whose typical molecular structure is Na\(_6\)[(AlO\(_2\)]_6(SiO\(_2\)]_30]·24 H\(_2\)O. CZ is highly beneficial for practical applications due to its pores, high thermal resistance, and chemically neutral base structure. This adsorbent can easily absorb some heavy metal cations such as lead, zinc, cadmium, nickel, and dyes from an aqueous medium\(^{25,26}\).

Afshin et al.\(^{27}\) used Zeolite/Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanocomposite to adsorb the basic blue-41 cationic dye. The obtained results showed that the efficiency of the adsorption process increases with increasing the reaction time, pH, and the adsorbent amount. In contrast, increasing the initial dye concentration diminishes adsorption efficiency significantly. The removal efficiency of 71.4\% was achieved under optimum conditions of pH = 9, the reaction time of 60 min, the adsorbent amount of 3 g L\(^{-1}\), and the initial concentration of 100 mg L\(^{-1}\). The results revealed that Zeolite/Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanocomposite could be used as an available, environmentally friendly, and cost-effective adsorbent for the removal of dyes from effluents of various industries\(^{27}\).

Molla Mahmoudi et al.\(^{28}\) used acid-treated clinoptilolite to remove methylene blue (MB) dye from an aqueous solution. The results showed that the highest dye adsorption efficiency was achieved at pH = 10, the adsorbent amount of 0.5 g L\(^{-1}\), dye concentration of 50 mg L\(^{-1}\), and contact time of 90 min\(^{28}\).

Zanin et al.\(^{29}\) assessed using natural clinoptilolite zeolite for the removal of copper(II), chromium(III), and iron(III) from wastewater. Maximum removal of 95.4\% iron, 96.0\% copper, and 85.1\% chromium was achieved at 25 °C and pH = 4. The results disclosed that clinoptilolite zeolite is highly efficient as an adsorbent for treating the wastewater of the printing industry\(^{29}\).

The general method to study the factors affecting the process is to change one factor individually, i.e., one factor at a time (OFAT). In this technique, the effect of each factor is studied individually, neglecting the fact that the combination of different factors can intensify or neutralize each other’s effects\(^{30-32}\). Today, statistical and engineering methods such as the response surface methodology (RSM) are used to solve this issue\(^{33}\). This method needs fewer tests and is suitable for multifactor systems. In this method, the relationship of various factors is considered. In this case, the linear or quadratic effects of the tested variables will create the desired graph and the appropriate model. Consequently, each variable’s appropriate values and relevance can be easily determined and predicted\(^{34,35}\).

Therefore, the present study explored CV, MG, Cd(II), and Pb(II) removal from contaminated water by CZ as a low-cost adsorbent. The RSM optimized the removal conditions, including adsorbent amount, analyte concentration, sonication time, and pH. Moreover, the adsorbent’s performance in environmental water samples and its reusability were investigated.

**Materials and methods**

**Materials and instruments.** All materials used in this study, including crystal violet dye (\(\lambda_{max} = 585\) nm, C\(_{20}\)H\(_{12}\)Cl\(_3\)N\(_3\)), malachite green dye (\(\lambda_{max} = 615\) nm, C\(_{20}\)H\(_{12}\)Cl\(_3\)N\(_3\)), cadmium nitrate tetrahydrate (Cd(NO\(_3\)]\(_{4}\)·4H\(_2\)O), and lead nitrate (Pb(NO\(_3\)]\(_{2}\)), were purchased from Merck and Aldrich with the laboratory purity and grade. Clinoptilolite zeolite (CZ) was supplied from Afrazand Mineral Company. The stock solution of 1000 mg L\(^{-1}\) was prepared from each analyte separately. Solutions of NaOH (0.1 M) and HCl (0.1 M) were used to adjust the pH, and measuring was done using a pH meter (Mettler Toledo). An ultrasonic bath (WUCD10H, 30 kHz, 665 W) was used to mix the adsorbent and the contaminant. Atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) model PG990 and UV–Vis spectrophotometer model Philips PU 8700 were used to measure the concentration of metal ions and dyes, respectively. Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM), X-ray diffraction (XRD), and Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) techniques were used to characterize the CZ.

**Preparation of clinoptilolite zeolite (CZ).** Clinoptilolite zeolite (CZ) samples were crushed after preparation and sieved to mesh size 40 using ASTM standard sieves. Before preparing the adsorbent for the experiment and removing its fine particles and salts, CZ was first washed with distilled water. This process was performed in triplicate. The adsorbent was oven-dried at above 100 °C for 24 h to remove moisture from its internal pores and provide space to enter contaminants from the effluent to the adsorbent.

**Batch experiments.** Ultrasonic-assisted adsorption experiments were conducted in batch mode. For this purpose, 0.19 g adsorbent was added to a 250 mL Erlenmeyer flask containing 100 mL of the contaminant. The pH of the solution was adjusted to 6 using a pH meter. The Erlenmeyer flask was then placed in an ultrasonic bath for 22 min with a frequency of 25 kHz. The solid and liquid phases were separated using a centrifuge at 3000 rpm for 10 min. The solution was then passed through a filter paper. Finally, the residual concentrations of dye and metal ions in the solution were measured by UV/Vis spectrophotometer and atomic absorption spec-
troscopy, respectively. The removal percentage of contaminants was calculated according to Eq. (1). All experiments were performed at 25 °C.

\[ \% R = \frac{C_0 - C_e}{C_0} \times 100 \]  

where R was the removal percentage, \( C_0 \) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) was the analyte concentration in the initial solution, and \( C_e \) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) was the equilibrium concentration after contact with the adsorbent.

**Experimental design.** The RSM is a robust tool for statistical modeling conducted using the least number of experiments according to the experimental design. Today, RSM is widely used to optimize process variables. This technique is based on a nonlinear multivariate model consisting of an experimental design to provide sufficient and reliable response values, provide a mathematical model that best fits the data obtained from the experimental design, and determine the optimum value of the independent variables. There are different types of RSM, and this statistical method can be used in different ways. Central composite design (CCD) is one of the most popular models, which is a quadratic design based on fractional three-level factorial designs. This method can estimate the value of the parameters in a quadratic model, create the required designs, and calculate the value of the lack-of-fit parameter. This design allows the responses to be modeled by fitting a second-order polynomial, which can be expressed as Eq. (2).

\[ Y = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_i X_i^2 + \sum_{i<j}^{k} \beta ij X_i X_j + e \]  

where \( \beta_0 \) is the regression coefficient, \( \beta_i \) is the linear effect, \( \beta_{ij} \) is the quadratic effect, \( \beta_{ij} \) is the linear interaction, \( k \) is the number of variables, \( X_i \) and \( X_j \) are the encoded values of variables, \( i \) is the linear coefficient, \( j \) is the quadratic coefficient, \( Y \) is the response (removal percentage), and \( e \) is the residual error. The number of points (N) can be obtained from Eq. (3).

\[ N = K^2 + 2K + C_p \]  

where \( K \) is the number of variables and \( C_p \) is the number of central points.

**Results and discussion**

**Characterization of the clinoptilolite zeolite (CZ).** SEM analysis was used to study the morphological features of CZ. Figure 1a shows the SEM image of CZ. It can be seen that the sample has an irregular perforated crystalline texture, which is layered on top of each other. Such a pattern provides suitable adsorption sites for the absorption of different species of contaminants.

The sample structure was studied by XRD analysis. XRD analysis was assessed in the 2θ range of 5° and 50°. The XRD diagram (Fig. 1b) of clinoptilolite revealed diffraction peaks at 2θ values of 9.7°, 22.4°, 26.8°, 30.2°, and 32.8°, which correspond to biotite (Bi), Clinoptilolite (Clp), quartz (Qtz), feldspar (Fel), and dolomite (Dol), respectively. These results are consistent with the XRD data of clinoptilolite reported in the literature.

CZ structure information was determined by N\(_2\) gas adsorption analysis at 77.3 K in the relative pressure range (P/P\(_0\)) of 0.998. The test sample was degassed for 2 h at 120 °C. The values of specific surface area, total volume of pores, and average diameter of pores were measured by the standard BET method. According to this analysis, the surface area, micropore volume, and pore diameter were 15.01 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\), 0.1947 cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\), and 0.0174 μm, respectively (Fig. 1c).

**Central composite design (CCD).** In the present study, the parameters affecting the process were optimized using the CCD. The design of four parameters, including sonication time, adsorbent amount, pH, and contaminant concentration, was studied at five levels. The factors and experimental results are shown in Table 1. Experimental results and predicted results are shown in Table S1.

Statistical analysis was conducted with version 10 of Design-Expert Software (DX 10). The response function and the obtained experimental data were fitted using the quadratic and linear effects of the independent variables and regression coefficients. Also, the analysis of variance (ANOVA) results were used to estimate significant differences between variables and their interaction. Regression coefficients and ANOVA of the quadratic equation of polynomials in removing contaminants are summarized in Tables S2 and S3. According to Tables S2 and S3, all linear effects (A, B, C, and D) and all quadratic effects (A\(^2\), C\(^2\), B\(^2\), and D\(^2\)) were significant with a 95% confidence level. The F-value of all models was very high (at 95% significance), confirming the validity of the models. High values of R\(^2\) (more than 99% for all analytes) indicated good agreement of the model with experimental data. There was no significant difference between R\(^2\) and R\(^2\)-Adj values, indicating a high correlation between the experimental and predicted results. Also, the insignificance of the lack of fit (\( P > 0.05 \)) revealed the good fitness of the predicted model. Adequate precision was employed to evaluate the adequacy of the models. The adequate precision is determined by comparing the predicted response values at the design points with the average prediction error. The adequate precision in this study was more than 4 for all models, suggesting that the model was acceptable. The two-level response of the second-order polynomial equation for CV, MG, Cd(II), and Pb(II) is shown in Eqs. 4–7, respectively.
In these equations, A, B, C, and D were the adsorbent amount (g), pH of the solution, analyte concentration (mg L\(^{-1}\)), and sonication time (min), respectively. The next step in evaluating the proposed models is to analyze the residual graphs. Figure 2a–d show a good correlation between the experimental values and the predicted values. Regression models had a high \( R^2 \), indicating the validity of the selected models.

Residuals, which are the difference between the experimental and predicted removal efficiency, are useful for evaluating the importance of a model. Figure 3a–d shows the normal probability compared to the residuals. As can be seen, the residual points create a straight line. These plots confirmed the applicability of the model.
The normality of the data was studied with a plot of residuals’ normal probability. The normal plot of residuals in Fig. 4a–d indicates a random scatter of residuals compared to the expected values, indicating a satisfactory model fit.

The three-dimensional (3D) plots. To assess the interaction of all four parameters, three-dimensional (3D) graphs were designed for the predicted responses based on the quadratic model. Response surface graphs are often employed to estimate removal efficiencies for different values of experimental parameters. Using the CZ adsorbent, this study investigated and optimized the parameters affecting the removal efficiency of CV, MG, Cd(II), and Pb(II). Three-dimensional diagrams of the simultaneous effect of adsorbent amount (A), pH (B), contaminant concentration (C), and sonication time (D) are shown in Fig. 5a–d.

Figure 5a displays the simultaneous effect of the adsorbent amount and the pH of the solution on the amount of CV dye removal. The results show that the percentage of CV dye removal has increased with increasing the adsorbent amount to the extent that the intensity of changes decreases. The explanation for this increase is that with increasing the adsorbent amount, the number of active sites for the absorption of contaminants increases. According to the results, the optimum value for the adsorbent amount was 0.19 g. These results are consistent with Bagheri et al., who studied the removal of lead and cadmium from aqueous solutions. In this study, rGO-Fe0/Fe3O4-PEI nanocomposite was used as the adsorbent. Next, the effect of different variables such as the adsorbent dosage, ultrasound time, dye concentration, and pH on the amount of dye removal was examined. This study showed that the amount of dye removal increases with increasing the adsorbent amount. Asfaram et al. (2015) employed ZnS:Cu nanoparticles loaded on activated carbon (ZnS:Cu-NP-AC) as an adsorbent for

| Variables | Unit | Range and levels |
|-----------|------|-----------------|
| A—CZ amount | g | $-\alpha-1$ $0.05$ $0.10$ $0.15$ $0.20$ $0.25$ |
| B—pH of the solution | - | $2$ $4$ $6$ $8$ $10$ |
| C—Analyte concentration | mg L$^{-1}$ | $5$ $10$ $15$ $20$ $25$ |
| D—Sonication time | min | $5$ $10$ $15$ $20$ $25$ |

| Run | A | B | C | D | $\%R_{CV}$ | $\%R_{MG}$ | $\%R_{Cd(II)}$ | $\%R_{Pb(II)}$ |
|-----|---|---|---|---|-----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| 1   | $-1$ | $-1$ | $-1$ | $-1$ | 39.19 | 30.00 | 49.36 | 54.41 |
| 2   | $1$ | $-1$ | $-1$ | $-1$ | 65.77 | 55.74 | 64.12 | 67.18 |
| 3   | $-1$ | $-1$ | 1 | $-1$ | 24.19 | 20.49 | 31.39 | 38.87 |
| 4   | $1$ | $-1$ | 1 | $-1$ | 40.23 | 32.74 | 43.14 | 45.54 |
| 5   | $-1$ | 1 | $-1$ | $-1$ | 56.54 | 54.93 | 66.65 | 69.84 |
| 6   | $1$ | 1 | $-1$ | $-1$ | 78.54 | 87.88 | 80.59 | 86.73 |
| 7   | $-1$ | 1 | 1 | $-1$ | 35.77 | 36.05 | 40.35 | 53.28 |
| 8   | $1$ | 1 | 1 | $-1$ | 56.68 | 58.86 | 60.18 | 67.77 |
| 9   | $-1$ | $-1$ | $-1$ | 1 | 54.91 | 45.3 | 58.28 | 64.48 |
| 10  | 1 | $-1$ | 1 | $-1$ | 75.35 | 70.64 | 76.5 | 72.27 |
| 11  | $-1$ | $-1$ | 1 | 1 | 40.65 | 32.26 | 42.62 | 42.61 |
| 12  | $1$ | $-1$ | 1 | 1 | 59.58 | 51.02 | 60.42 | 59.34 |
| 13  | $-1$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 75.39 | 73.63 | 72.37 | 75.00 |
| 14  | 1 | 1 | $-1$ | 1 | 96.43 | 97.75 | 92.95 | 93.63 |
| 15  | $-1$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 57.07 | 48.24 | 52.39 | 62.19 |
| 16  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 78.24 | 72.20 | 78.28 | 78.50 |
| 17  | $-2$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35.87 | 25.78 | 46.96 | 50.06 |
| 18  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79.95 | 68.23 | 83.19 | 80.36 |
| 19  | 0 | $-2$ | 0 | 0 | 92.63 | 87.97 | 85.69 | 87.16 |
| 20  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 52.74 | 44.40 | 49.01 | 51.15 |
| 21  | 0 | $-2$ | 0 | 0 | 29.45 | 15.53 | 40.27 | 44.25 |
| 22  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 66.27 | 61.96 | 71.07 | 78.02 |
| 23  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-2$ | 50.19 | 56.31 | 53.85 | 63.93 |
| 24  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 84.16 | 85.69 | 79.64 | 77.47 |
| 25  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85.93 | 89.44 | 85.75 | 93.07 |
| 26  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88.28 | 90.97 | 86.94 | 89.53 |
| 27  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87.18 | 91.64 | 86.39 | 91.56 |
| 28  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87.05 | 88.97 | 87.83 | 89.7 |
| 29  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88.85 | 91.83 | 89.47 | 92.36 |
| 30  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85.52 | 89.66 | 87.17 | 94.12 |

Table 1. The design of CCD.
the removal of Auramine-O (AO) dye from an aqueous solution. They found that the percentage of contaminant removal increases with increasing the adsorbent amount.

Figure 5a illustrates the effect of pH on CV removal efficiency. The 3D plot shows that the dye removal percentage increases with the pH. CV is a cationic dye, and since the adsorbent surface becomes positive in an acidic environment, less adsorption occurs on the adsorbent surface due to the electrostatic repulsion between the positive charges of the dye and the adsorbent. Hence, the removal rate of CV in acidic environments is low. Moreover, the percentage of lead removal also increased with increasing pH, and the maximum lead removal was achieved at pH 6 (Fig. 5d). Since the pH_{pzc} of CZ is 3, there is a positive charge on the surface of CZ at pHs below 3. Therefore, at pHs lower than 3, the electrostatic repulsion between the positively charged metal and the positive charge on the adsorbent surface would reduce the lead removal rate. On the other hand, with increasing pH, the adsorbent surface becomes more negative; therefore, the adsorption of metal cation increases. As the pH increases, the metal ion removal efficiency initially increases and then decreases. The reason is that at pHs above 6, lead hydroxide (Pb(OH)\textsubscript{2}) and cadmium hydroxide (Cd(OH)\textsubscript{2}) are formed, which are insoluble and precipitate. Similar results have been reported by Palma-Anaya et al., Shi et al., and Rahimi et al.

The simultaneous effect of MG concentration and sonication time on the percentage of MG removal is shown in Fig. 5b. According to Fig. 5b, the removal efficiency decreased by increasing the dye concentration from 5 to 25 mg L\textsuperscript{-1}. The explanation is that the surface of nanoparticles is saturated in the presence of a higher concentration of dye molecules. Dye molecules tend to aggregate on the outer surface of nanoparticles, reducing the adsorption rate. Hence, lower dye concentrations can be easily absorbed on the adsorbent surface. Chauhan et al.\textsuperscript{49} obtained similar results in removing bromophenol blue (BB) dye. The effect of various parameters such

Figure 2. Predicted versus actual removal efficiency plots (a) CV, (b) MG, (c) Cd(II), and (d) Pb(II).
as pH, response time, dosage amount, and concentration of BB on the removal rate was also investigated. The results revealed that increasing the dye concentration reduced the removal rate, which is consistent with the present study results. Also, Awual obtained similar results in investigating dye concentration’s effect on Cd(II) removal rate. This study applied the ligand-coated porous composite material as an adsorbent to remove Cd(II). According to the results, increasing the concentration of Cd(II) reduced the removal rate.

Figure 5c exhibits the effect of sonication time on Cd(II) removal rate. The removal efficiency generally increases with increasing contact time and reaches a constant value in the equilibrium state. Therefore, sonication time can affect the contaminant removal efficiency due to its effect on the analyte mass transfer. Sonication time was assessed from 5 to 25 min. According to the results, Cd(II) removal was first increased with increasing the sonication time. However, no significant change in removal was observed after 22 min. The explanation is that in these conditions, the contact between the adsorbent and the metal ion is high, leading to mass transfer and removing the contaminants. Khalifa et al. obtained similar results in the removal of Cd(II), Hg(II), and Cu(II) ions using mesoporous silica nanoparticles modified with dibenzoylmethane. Arabkhani and Asfaram obtained similar results for removing MG dye from an aqueous solution using a three-dimensional magnetic polymer aerogel. This study investigated the effect of various parameters (e.g., adsorbent amount, initial dye concentration, temperature, contact time, and pH of dye solution) on the amount of dye removal. Consistent with the present study, the results indicated that the removal percentage increased with increasing the sonication time.

**Process optimization.** One of the main objectives of this study was to identify the optimum conditions for maximizing the removal efficiency of contaminants using the proposed mathematical model. For this purpose, numerical optimization was utilized to determine the desired values of each parameter and obtain the
maximum removal rate. The results of contaminant removal optimization are presented in Table 2. The numerical optimization results showed that the maximum removal efficiency could be achieved with an adsorbent of 0.19 g, an analyte concentration of 10 mg L⁻¹, a pH of 6, and a sonication time of 22 min. The obtained results were validated by conducting an additional experiment under optimum conditions. It was found that under optimum operating parameters, the experimental removal efficiency was more than 92.67% for all analytes, suggesting the model's predictability for use in real conditions.

**Effect of interfering ions.** It is often very difficult to remove the desired analytes from different matrices containing different ions. For this purpose, the effect of interfering ions of Na⁺, Mg²⁺, Al³⁺, Ba²⁺, Fe³⁺, and F⁻ was studied to obtain a minimum of 95% analyte adsorption signal in the presence of different anions and cations during the process. During the experiment, different ions at different concentrations were added individually to the solution containing CV, MG, Cd(II), and Pb(II), and tolerance limits were determined. Interfering ions and their tolerance limits are presented in Table 3.

**The reusability of CZ.** The reusability of the adsorbent is a critical aspect that makes the adsorbent effective for practical applications. Therefore, the reusability of CZ was examined under optimized conditions to remove contaminants (Fig. 6). The CZ adsorbent was separated from the solution by centrifugation at 3000 rpm (for 10 min). The adsorbent was washed with 5 mL of HNO₃ solution (0.1 M). Finally, the adsorbent was washed several times with distilled water and dried at 80 °C. This process was repeated after each experiment. It was
observed that the removal efficiency decreased by about 10% after five consecutive runs. This result demonstrates that CZ adsorbent is reusable and stable for removing contaminants from environmental water samples.

**Real sample analysis.** The adsorption capacity of CZ was studied in various real water samples (e.g., tap water, well water, river water, and fish farm) to confirm the system's efficiency. In this analysis, the proposed water samples with specific concentrations of contaminants were spiked under optimum conditions. After performing the relevant experiment, the efficiency of the CZ adsorbent was calculated using the given method (Table 4). Therefore, the adsorbent can effectively remove CV, MG, Cd(II), and Pb(II) from any wastewater.

**Comparison CZ adsorbent with other adsorbents.** According to the results, CZ adsorbent can be used as an adsorbent in the removal of CV, MG, Cd(II) and Pb(II). Table 5 shows some recent studies on vari-

| Run | Variables | %Removal | CV | MG | Cd(II) | Pb(II) |
|-----|-----------|----------|----|----|--------|--------|
|     | A (g)     | B (mg L⁻¹) |       | C (min) |       | D (min) |       |
| 1   | 0.19      | 6        | 10  | 22  | 99.15  | 99.82  | 0.67  | 99.69 | 99.75 | 0.06  | 93.37 | 94.25 | 0.88  | 94.22 | 95.89 | 1.67  |
| 2   | 0.19      | 6        | 10  | 22  | 98.82  | 99.82  | 0.51  | 98.79 | 99.75 | 0.96  | 92.67 | 94.25 | 1.58  | 95.26 | 95.89 | 0.63  |
| 3   | 0.19      | 6        | 10  | 22  | 98.75  | 99.82  | 1.07  | 99.20 | 99.75 | 0.55  | 94.46 | 94.25 | -0.21 | 93.85 | 95.89 | 2.04  |

*Table 2. Optimum conditions of removal of CV, MG, Cd(II) and Pb(II). *Experimental, *Predicted, *Error.*

Figure 5. Three-dimensional plots of the interaction effects between variables and removal of (a) CV, (b) MG, (c) Cd(II), and (d) Pb(II).
Table 3. Effect of interfering ions on the removal of MG, MB, Cd(II) and Pb(II).

| Interference | Tolerance (mg L\(^{-1}\)) | %RCV | %RMG | %RCd(II) | %RPb(II) |
|--------------|-----------------------------|------|------|----------|----------|
| Fe\(^{3+}\)  | 0                           | 97.23| 98.49| 94.47    | 93.91    |
|              | 50                          | 96.18| 96.80| 92.17    | 89.04    |
|              | 100                         | 91.58| 92.05| 87.46    | 86.58    |
|              | 150                         | 85.16| 86.57| 82.73    | 81.93    |
| Na\(^{+}\)   | 0                           | 96.07| 95.52| 90.84    | 91.81    |
|              | 50                          | 93.77| 93.46| 89.76    | 89.50    |
|              | 100                         | 91.14| 92.92| 87.74    | 85.79    |
|              | 150                         | 86.75| 88.37| 86.40    | 82.43    |
| Mg\(^{2+}\)  | 0                           | 94.29| 92.85| 88.69    | 87.20    |
|              | 50                          | 92.00| 89.73| 85.19    | 85.37    |
|              | 100                         | 88.02| 87.35| 83.04    | 83.64    |
|              | 150                         | 85.17| 84.08| 81.28    | 80.59    |
| F            | 0                           | 98.52| 99.35| 93.67    | 94.21    |
|              | 50                          | 95.04| 97.69| 91.09    | 90.67    |
|              | 100                         | 89.28| 92.49| 87.35    | 88.00    |
|              | 150                         | 84.96| 85.69| 84.78    | 84.58    |
| Ba\(^{2+}\)  | 0                           | 96.46| 98.41| 92.68    | 91.56    |
|              | 50                          | 94.24| 96.82| 88.37    | 88.29    |
|              | 100                         | 91.30| 91.99| 85.49    | 84.81    |
|              | 150                         | 85.34| 87.08| 81.36    | 82.14    |
| Al\(^{3+}\)  | 0                           | 96.45| 97.29| 93.46    | 92.74    |
|              | 50                          | 93.51| 93.87| 90.71    | 89.83    |
|              | 100                         | 90.49| 88.06| 87.35    | 86.64    |
|              | 150                         | 86.83| 85.43| 84.99    | 82.91    |

Table 4. Removal of CV, MG, Cd(II) and Pb(II) in real samples.

| Real samples   | %RCV ± RSD | %RMG ± RSD | %RCd(II) ± RSD | %RPb(II) ± RSD |
|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|----------------|
| Tap water      | 98.14 ± 2.9| 99.38 ± 2.5| 94.05 ± 3.1    | 93.25 ± 2.7    |
| Well water     | 94.68 ± 3.2| 93.58 ± 3.1| 84.54 ± 3.2    | 85.37 ± 3.3    |
| River water    | 95.16 ± 3.5| 95.37 ± 3.1| 86.41 ± 2.9    | 90.43 ± 2.6    |
| Fish farm      | 96.02 ± 4.1| 95.25 ± 3.9| 89.11 ± 3.5    | 90.49 ± 2.8    |

Figure 6. The reusability of CZ in the removal of pollutants under optimized parameters.
ous adsorbents in the removal of CV, MG, Cd(II) and Pb(II). CZ adsorbent showed the ability to remove CV, MG, Cd(II) and Pb(II) in a short time with high efficiency. Also, the proposed method has a smaller number of experiments than other methods in the literature, which results in less consumption of adsorbent and time. As a result, CZ adsorbent showed satisfactory performance in removing CV, MG, Cd(II) and Pb(II) from environmental water samples.

**Conclusion**

This study applied CZ as an adsorbent to efficiently remove CV, MG, Cd(II) and Pb(II) from the environmental water samples. The synthesized adsorbent was characterized using various analyses. The surface area, micropore volume, and pore diameter were 15.01 m² g⁻¹, 0.1947 cm³ g⁻¹, and 0.0174 μm, respectively. CCD-based RSM was used to optimize, model, and predict responses. The optimal conditions of the proposed method for the removal process were 0.19 g of adsorbent, 22 min of ultrasonic time, 10 mg L⁻¹ contaminant concentration, and pH = 6, respectively. Environmental water samples such as tap water, well water, river water, and fish farm were also studied. The results revealed the removal of more than 84.54% of contaminants in different samples. Reusability analysis showed that CZ adsorbent could be used up to 5 times efficiently to remove contaminants. The interference studies also showed that different ions do not affect the removal of CV, MG, Cd(II), and Pb. As a general result, the proposed method using CZ adsorbent has a good potential to remove toxic contaminants from water and wastewater samples effectively.
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