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Reconstructing spring sea ice concentration in the Chukchi Sea over recent centuries: insights into the application of the PIP$_{25}$ index
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Introduction

Over the last four decades, the Arctic Ocean has experienced a persistent loss of sea ice, which is one of its main characteristics (e.g. Stroeve et al. 2007, Serreze and Stroeve 2015). Such trends have been based mainly on satellite passive-microwave records spanning the last 40 years (e.g. de Vernal et al. 2013), although some historical records extend back to ca. 1850 AD (e.g. Walsh et al. 2016). Such historical records have revealed seasonal variability, with the most profound sea ice reduction occurring in late summer (September), especially evident in the Chukchi Sea (western Arctic Ocean), even though winter (i.e. March) sea ice extent has remained largely unchanged in this region (Walsh et al. 2016). Deciphering longer-term changes in sea ice on a seasonal and spatial basis remains a key aim in paleoclimatology.
A number of biogenic or geochemical proxies have been developed and applied to reconstruct sea ice conditions in the past (de Vernal et al 2013 and references therein). Amongst these is a mono-unsaturated highly branched isoprenoid (HBI) alkene (IP$_{25}$-Ice Proxy with 25 carbon atoms; Belt et al 2007), which is biosynthesized by certain Arctic sea ice dwelling diatoms during the spring bloom (Brown et al 2014) and, on sea ice melt, is deposited in underlying sediments (Belt et al 2007). Since its initial discovery, IP$_{25}$ has become an established northern hemisphere proxy for the qualitative occurrence of seasonal sea ice in various paleo records (e.g. Belt and Müller 2013, Belt 2018).

The absence of IP$_{25}$ in marine sediments has previously been considered to indicate either ice-free conditions or permanent ice cover (e.g. Belt et al 2007), although the validity of this simple two-end-member interpretation still requires further investigation (Belt 2018). In any case, the co-measurement of IP$_{25}$ and various phytoplankton biomarkers was first proposed to differentiate between these end-members, since absent IP$_{25}$ but elevated phytoplankton biomarker concentrations would likely reflect ice-free conditions, while the absence (or very low abundance) of both biomarker types would be more consistent with intervals of permanent (or near permanent) ice cover (Müller et al 2009). Thereafter, Müller et al (2011) suggested combining IP$_{25}$ and open-water phytoplankton lipid biomarker concentrations in the form of a so-called phytoplankton marker-IP$_{25}$ index (PIP$_{25}$ see equation (3)). Importantly, the employment of this biomarker-based ratio method necessitates the use of a balance factor $c$ (see equation (4)) to take account of the significant imbalance that often exists between IP$_{25}$ and phytoplankton biomarker concentrations (Müller et al 2011). The balance factor $c$ is derived either from mean IP$_{25}$ and phytoplankton biomarker concentrations measured in an individual down-core sediment profile (e.g. Müller et al 2012, Cabedo-Sanz et al 2013) or is given as a fixed value, which can be obtained from a regional or pan-Arctic study of surface sediments (e.g. Xiao et al 2015, Smik et al 2016). However, the suitability of both approaches is in need of further investigation.

To date, three different PIP$_{25}$ have been used as indices for semi-quantitative proxy measures of sea ice change in some paleo sea ice reconstructions in the Arctic Ocean. These employ epi-brassicasterol (P$_{31}$IP$_{25}$, e.g. Müller et al 2011), dinosterol (P$_{33}$IP$_{25}$, e.g. Stoyanova et al 2013), or a tri-unsaturated HBI (HBI III) (P$_{33}$IP$_{25}$, e.g. Belt et al 2015, Smik et al 2016) as the phytoplankton-derived biomarkers. According to Müller et al (2011), PIP$_{25}$ values greater than ca. 0.75 are indicative of extended sea ice cover, while values between 0.1 and 0.5 suggest variable or less ice cover. In the Barents Sea, surface sediment data exhibit a strong linear relationship between P$_{31}$IP$_{25}$ and spring sea ice concentration (SpSIC), with outcomes far less dependent on the balance factor $c$ than for P$_{33}$IP$_{25}$ obtained from the same sediments (Smik et al 2016). This relationship, which uses a fixed, regional $c$ value of 0.63, has been applied subsequently to obtain semi-quantitative estimates of SpSIC for the Barents Sea over Holocene and longer timeframes (Cabeado-Sanz and Belt 2016, Berben et al 2017, Köseoğlu et al 2018).

Notably, virtually all previous investigations into the use of the PIP$_{25}$ index have been based either on surface sediments, which are generally attributed to the most recent (years-decades) deposition, or down-core records spanning hundreds to millions of years (see Belt 2018 for a review). In contrast, relatively few studies have focused on IP$_{25}$ and other biomarker data in short cores representing recent decades-centuries, for which documented and high-resolution modelled sea ice records could be used for comparison, testing, and calibration purposes (Alonso-García et al 2013, Weckström et al 2013, Cormier et al 2016, Pieníkowska et al 2017). Further, only one such short core has previously been studied from a location (northern Barents Sea; Vare et al 2010, Köseoğlu et al 2018) with essentially uniform (and extensive) spring sea ice conditions against which corresponding consistency in biomarker data could be evaluated.

In the current study, we therefore measured IP$_{25}$, HBI III, epi-brassicasterol, and dinosterol concentrations in a multicore collected from a site in the Chukchi Sea and compared the corresponding PIP$_{25}$ records with other published sea ice records (Walsh et al 2016) and modelled sea ice properties in historical simulations from the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5; Taylor et al 2012). Since the core site is one that has experienced relatively constant spring sea ice conditions but declining summer sea ice extent over the most recent centuries, it provided a good opportunity to identify the most suitable biomarker-based proxy method for reconstructing such sea ice trends in the relatively recent past, but beyond that of surface sediments. In doing so, we further show the potential of the P$_{31}$IP$_{25}$ approach for subsequent application to paleo sea ice reconstruction in longer-term records.

Material and methods
Sample collection
Sediment core ARA01B-03MUC (23 cm long) was recovered from the shelf of the Chukchi Sea (73.52°N, 168.94°W, 72.5 m water depth) during the 2010 R/V ARAON Expedition (ARA01B) using a MUC 8 multicorer developed by Oktopus GmbH (figure 1(A)). The study site is influenced by Pacific waters flowing northwards across the Chukchi Shelf along three principal pathways associated with major topographic depressions in the western, eastern, and central Chukchi Sea: more saline (>32.5), nutrient-rich Anadyr Water, fresher (<31.8), more nutrient-limited Alaska Coastal Water, and intermediate salinity.
(31.8–32.5) Bering Shelf Water (e.g. Grebmeier et al 2006 and references therein; figure 1(B)). According to recent satellite observations, sea ice covers most of the Chukchi Sea from December to May, and retreats during summer with a minimum value of less than 0.6 × 10⁸ km² in September. In recent decades, sea ice concentration has especially decreased from July to November (Onarheim et al 2018). The sediments of core ARA01B-03MUC consist of olive-gray clayey silt. The sediment core was sectioned at 1 cm intervals (and a further section at 0.5 cm) on board and stored at −20°C until further treatment.

Radioisotope analyses

Sub-samples (1 cm intervals) were indirectly measured for ²¹⁰Pb activity (t₁/₂ = 22.23 ± 0.12 year) using the ²¹⁰Po method (Robbins and Edgington 1975, Nittouer et al 1979) with an SSB alpha spectrometer (Canberra Inc., PIPS) at the Korea Basic Science Institute (South Korea). The analytical error is on average 2.3 ± 0.8 mBq.g⁻¹ (figure 2). Excess ²¹⁰Pb activities (²¹⁰Pbex) were calculated by subtraction of supported level values (²¹⁰Pbsup) from total activity (²¹⁰Pbtotal). The apparent sedimentation rate (cm yr⁻¹) was calculated from ²¹⁰Pbex using the constant flux and constant sediment accumulation rate model based on a slope of the logarithmic regression line (figure 2) as follows:

Apparent sedimentation rate = −λ/b (cm yr⁻¹),

where λ is the radioisotope decay constant (²¹⁰Pb, 0.03114 per year) and b is the slope of the regression line.

Bulk geochemical analyses

Total carbon (TC) and total organic carbon (TOC) were determined at the Korea Polar Research Institute (South Korea) using a Flash 2000 organic elemental analyzer connected to a Delta V Plus isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The samples were treated with HCl (10%) in order to remove carbonates prior to TOC analysis. The final TOC value was calculated using equation (2) (Stein et al 1994):

TOC (wt%) = \[ \frac{[100 - (8.333 \times TC)]}{[(100/TOC') - 8.333]} \]  

(2)

The analytical error was less than ±0.1 wt% for TOC content.

Lipid biomarker analyses

Lipid analyses were conducted at the University of Plymouth (UK) according to Belt et al (2012). Briefly, freeze-dried sediments (ca. 1–2 g) were extracted by sonication (dichloromethane (DCM):methanol (MeOH); 2:1 v:v, 3 × 3 ml), and partially purified to remove polar components and elemental sulphur using tetrabutylammonium (TBA) sulphate reagent. Internal standards (9-octylethadec-8-ene, 9-OHD; 25-α 5α-cholestan-3β-ol; 0.01 µg) were added prior to extraction for the quantification of HBIs and sterols, respectively. The total extracts were separated into apolar (HBIs) and polar (sterols) fractions using hexane and DCM:MeOH (1:1, v:v), respectively. HBIs and sterols were analyzed using gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) with conditions described elsewhere (Belt et al 2012). The polar fractions were derivatized using N,O-bis(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide (BSTFA) prior to the GC–MS analysis. Individual compounds were identified by total ion current (TIC; m/z 50–500 mass range) chromatograms, while selective ion monitoring chromatograms were used to quantify the abundances of HBI III (m/z 346), IP₃₅ (m/z 350), epi-brassicasterol (m/z 470), and dinosterol (m/z 500). The different response factors (RFs) were applied to account for the differences in mass spectral responses of selected compounds and the internal standards. However, dinosterol
concentrations were obtained by only correcting the selected ion contributions to the total ion counts of 5-α-androstan-3β-ol and dinosterol identified in a reference sample.

PIP25 indices based on HBI III, epi-brassicasterol, and dinosterol (i.e. P10IP25, P0IP25, and P0IP25) were calculated according to Müller et al. (2011) using equations (3) and (4):

\[
P_{25} = \frac{[IP_{25}]}{[IP_{25}] + [\text{phytoplankton biomarker}] \times e}
\]

\[
e = \frac{[\text{mean IP}_{25} \text{ concentration}]}{[\text{mean phytoplankton biomarker concentration}]}
\]

PIP25 calculations using balance factor e values obtained from surface sediment calibrations from the Barents Sea (Smik et al. 2016) and pan-Arctic locations (Xiao et al. 2015) were also carried out (table 1).

Climate model simulations
Sea ice concentrations and sea ice thicknesses in the CMIP5 historical runs by 41 climate models for the period from AD 1862 to AD 2004 were used for comparing modelled sea ice properties with the proxy records. The CMIP5 historical runs were performed by coupled climate models to simulate observed climate changes during the 20th century, with forcing of observed atmospheric composite changes from the nineteenth century to near present (Taylor et al. 2012).

Results

210Pb geochronology
The depth profile of excess 210Pb is presented in figure 2. Measured 210Pb total activities ranged from 20 to 87 mBq g⁻¹ of dry sediment weight, and 210Pb sup between 12 and 23 cm had an average value of 22.5 ± 1.6 mBq g⁻¹ (figure 2). The concentration of excess 210Pb decreased nearly exponentially with sediment depth. The mean sedimentation rate for core ARA01B-3MUC was 0.09 cm yr⁻¹ (R² = 0.92), corresponding to a ca. 250 year record.

Proxy data
The TOC content varied between 1.6 and 2.3 wt% (table 1, figure 3). IP25 was detected throughout core ARA01B-3MUC with variable concentration (0.3–2.6 μg g⁻¹ TOC) and highest values towards the core top (table 1, figure 3). The HBI III (0.03–0.15 μg g⁻¹ TOC) and epi-brassicasterol (1.0–21.3 μg g⁻¹ TOC) concentration profiles were similar to that of IP25. However, variation in dinosterol concentration (8.9–28.6 μg g⁻¹ TOC) was different from the other two phytoplankton biomarkers. The e factors calculated from the sediment downcore data (n = 25) were
Table 1. TOC contents, biomarker concentrations, and PIP25 data obtained from ARA01B-03MUC.

| Core depth (cm) | Age (yr CE) | TOC (wt%) | IP25 (μg g⁻¹ TOC) | HBI III (μg g⁻¹ TOC) | Epi-brassicasterol (μg g⁻¹ TOC) | Dinosterol (μg g⁻¹ TOC) | P₃IP₂₅ (c = 12.92²) | P₄IP₂₅ (c = 0.63²) | P₅IP₂₅ (c = 0.17²) | P₆IP₂₅ (c = 0.023²) | P₇IP₂₅ (c = 0.06²) | P₈IP₂₅ (c = 0.11²) |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 0 2010         | 3.3         | 1.9       | 0.10              | 14.9                 | 22.1                          | 0.60                   | 0.97              | 0.43              | 0.85              | 0.61              | 0.44              |
| 0.5 2005       | 3.4         | 1.6       | 0.07              | 13.2                 | 18.7                          | 0.65                   | 0.97              | 0.42              | 0.84              | 0.60              | 0.44              |
| 1 1999         | 2.9         | 2.6       | 0.11              | 18.7                 | 23.4                          | 0.65                   | 0.97              | 0.45              | 0.86              | 0.66              | 0.50              |
| 2 1988         | 2.9         | 2.4       | 0.10              | 12.9                 | 24.8                          | 0.65                   | 0.97              | 0.53              | 0.89              | 0.63              | 0.47              |
| 3 1977         | 2.6         | 2.3       | 0.15              | 21.3                 | 22.9                          | 0.54                   | 0.96              | 0.39              | 0.82              | 0.64              | 0.47              |
| 4 1966         | 2.6         | 1.8       | 0.11              | 14.6                 | 17.4                          | 0.56                   | 0.96              | 0.43              | 0.84              | 0.65              | 0.49              |
| 5 1955         | 2.5         | 1.2       | 0.12              | 4.6                  | 14.4                          | 0.44                   | 0.94              | 0.62              | 0.92              | 0.60              | 0.44              |
| 6 1944         | 2.4         | 1.3       | 0.11              | 3.5                  | 15.2                          | 0.47                   | 0.95              | 0.69              | 0.94              | 0.61              | 0.44              |
| 7 1933         | 2.3         | 1.0       | 0.11              | 5.8                  | 24.9                          | 0.42                   | 0.94              | 0.51              | 0.88              | 0.41              | 0.26              |
| 8 1923         | 2.3         | 0.7       | 0.08              | 3.2                  | 18.1                          | 0.41                   | 0.93              | 0.57              | 0.90              | 0.41              | 0.26              |
| 9 1912         | 2.3         | 0.7       | 0.08              | 5.4                  | 28.6                          | 0.41                   | 0.93              | 0.44              | 0.85              | 0.30              | 0.18              |
| 10 1901        | 2.4         | 0.6       | 0.05              | 4.3                  | 26.6                          | 0.48                   | 0.95              | 0.45              | 0.86              | 0.29              | 0.17              |
| 11 1890        | 2.3         | 0.6       | 0.06              | 2.5                  | 14.5                          | 0.45                   | 0.94              | 0.58              | 0.91              | 0.42              | 0.27              |
| 12 1879        | 2.1         | 0.5       | 0.06              | 3.3                  | 22.7                          | 0.41                   | 0.93              | 0.49              | 0.88              | 0.30              | 0.18              |
| 13 1868        | 2.3         | 0.6       | 0.09              | 1.4                  | 11.1                          | 0.33                   | 0.91              | 0.71              | 0.95              | 0.48              | 0.32              |
| 14 1857        | 2.2         | 0.7       | 0.08              | 2.8                  | 21.6                          | 0.41                   | 0.93              | 0.59              | 0.91              | 0.36              | 0.22              |
| 15 1846        | 2.3         | 0.6       | 0.06              | 1.0                  | 9.4                           | 0.43                   | 0.94              | 0.79              | 0.96              | 0.54              | 0.38              |
| 16 1835        | 2.3         | 0.5       | 0.05              | 1.2                  | 8.9                           | 0.41                   | 0.93              | 0.71              | 0.95              | 0.49              | 0.33              |
| 17 1824        | 2.2         | 0.4       | 0.07              | 1.0                  | 9.1                           | 0.32                   | 0.90              | 0.71              | 0.95              | 0.46              | 0.30              |
| 18 1813        | 2.3         | 0.4       | 0.03              | 1.6                  | 11.3                          | 0.46                   | 0.95              | 0.59              | 0.91              | 0.38              | 0.24              |
| 19 1802        | 2.4         | 0.3       | 0.04              | 1.2                  | 11.0                          | 0.37                   | 0.92              | 0.62              | 0.92              | 0.34              | 0.20              |
| 20 1791        | 2.1         | 0.3       | 0.04              | 1.4                  | 12.3                          | 0.38                   | 0.93              | 0.58              | 0.91              | 0.31              | 0.19              |
| 21 1780        | 2.1         | 0.4       | 0.04              | 1.7                  | 13.7                          | 0.39                   | 0.93              | 0.56              | 0.90              | 0.32              | 0.19              |
| 22 1769        | 2.4         | 0.3       | 0.03              | 1.4                  | 13.9                          | 0.42                   | 0.94              | 0.56              | 0.90              | 0.27              | 0.16              |
| 23 1759        | 2.2         | 0.4       | 0.03              | 1.8                  | 14.7                          | 0.53                   | 0.96              | 0.60              | 0.92              | 0.35              | 0.22              |

a Indicates this study.
b Smik et al (2016).
c Xiao et al (2015).
12.92 for HBI III, 0.17 for epi-brassicasterol, and 0.06 for dinosterol. The resulting P IIIIP25, PBIP25, and PDIP25 indices were in the ranges 0.3–0.7, 0.4–0.8 and 0.3–0.7, respectively (figure 4). The P IIIIP25 and PDIP25 trends were similar, with higher values towards the core top; however, the PBIP25 record showed a
decreasing trend during the same period. On the other hand, PIIIIP_{25} and PBIP_{25} values were consistently >0.8 when using c values of 0.63 and 0.023, determined from previous surface sediment calibrations from the Barents Sea and pan-Arctic locations, respectively (figures 4(A) and (B); Xiao et al 2015, Smik et al 2016). However, PDIP_{25} values using the pan-Arctic c value of 0.11 for dinosterol (Xiao et al 2015) were even lower than those obtained using the current core biomarker data (figure 4(C)).

Model data
The ensemble mean of annual sea ice concentrations of the CMIP5 models averaged over the region of the Chukchi Sea (70°–80°N and 150°E–150°W) showed a gradual decrease from around AD 1980 (figure 5). Notably, the winter (January–March) and spring (April–June) mean sea ice concentrations remained high (>96% and >90%, respectively), whilst, after around AD 1980, the sea ice concentrations decreased noticeably in summer (July–September) and autumn (October–December).

Discussion
The sedimentation rate (0.09 cm yr\(^{-1}\)) obtained at our core site falls within the range reported for other sediment cores from the Chukchi Shelf (0.03–0.37 cm yr\(^{-1}\)).
Cooper and Grebmeier (2018), for the Beaufort Shelf (0.14 cm yr$^{-1}$; Bringué and Rochon 2012), and on the Beaufort Sea slope (0.10–0.20 cm yr$^{-1}$; Kuzyk et al 2013). The nearly exponential decrease of the excess $^{210}$Pb suggests that mixing by bioturbation and/or physical processes was minor at our core site. Nonetheless, it should be noted that the Chukchi Shelf can have a significant degree of bioturbation due to high benthic macrofaunal populations and biomass. For example, Kuzyk et al (2013) reported surface mixed layers of 10–30 cm on the Chukchi Shelf (<200 m water depth). However, previously published data from a sediment core (73.36°N, 175.62°W) geographically closest to our core site (73.52°N, 168.94°W), has similar sedimentation rates of 0.06 and 0.08 cm yr$^{-1}$ using $^{210}$Pb and $^{137}$Cs, respectively (Cooper and Grebmeier 2018). Accordingly, it appears that our core site is located far enough north that the more southerly observations of bioturbation on the Chukchi Shelf may not be so significant.

The presence of IP$_25$ throughout core ARA01B-03MUC (figure 3) provides proxy evidence for seasonal sea ice occurrence at the core site over recent centuries. The IP$_{25}$ concentration of the core top sample (1.9 μg g$^{-1}$ TOC) was at the lower end of values (3.6 ± 1.9 μg g$^{-1}$ TOC) found previously in surface sediments from the Chukchi Plateau near to our Chukchi Shelf core site (Xiao et al 2015). The phytoplankton biomarker concentrations of the core top sample (14.9 and 22.1 μg g$^{-1}$ TOC for epi-brassicasterol and dinosterol, respectively) were also of the same order of magnitude to those from the Chukchi Plateau (77 ± 34 and 37 ± 12 μg g$^{-1}$ TOC for epi-brassicasterol and dinosterol, respectively), even though the epi-brassicasterol concentrations were slightly lower (Xiao et al 2015). The HBI III concentrations were not reported in the previous analyses of Chukchi Sea sediments (Stoyanova et al 2013, Xiao et al 2015, Polyak et al 2016, Stein et al 2017), although values in ARA01B-03MUC (1–4 ng g$^{-1}$) were within the range of those (0.1–30 μg g$^{-1}$) reported in surface sediments from the Barents Sea (e.g. Belt et al 2015, Smik et al 2016). More recently, Bai et al (2019) investigated surface sediments in the Chukchi Sea, reporting HBI III concentrations of 0.01–0.91 μg g$^{-1}$ TOC. However, the values of Bai et al (2019) cannot be compared directly with our data since, unfortunately, no instrumental RFs were used by Bai et al (2019) when calculating biomarker concentrations. Most significantly, the trend in IP$_{25}$ concentration recorded in our Chukchi Shelf core did not reflect the near-uniform spring sea ice conditions for the Chukchi Sea over recent centuries (figure 3).

The general trends of the three IP$_{25}$ records calculated using the $c$ values based on the current sediment core data (i.e. using equation (4)) were dependent on the specific phytoplankton-derived biomarkers used (figure 4). Thus, while both P$_{III}$IP$_{25}$ and P$_{II}$IP$_{25}$ records showed an increasing sea ice trend towards the core-top (ca. recent decades), the P$_{II}$IP$_{25}$ values decreased over the same interval. However, in all three cases, only relatively few PIP$_{25}$ values were above the proposed threshold (0.75; Müller et al 2011) indicative of the extensive sea ice cover that characterizes the core site, with many substantially lower (i.e. below 0.5; figure 4). In contrast, P$_{III}$IP$_{25}$ and P$_{II}$IP$_{25}$ values were all greater than 0.75 when using $c$ values of 0.63 and 0.023 obtained from the Barents Sea (Smik et al 2016) and pan-Arctic (Xiao et al 2015) databases, respectively, indicative of extensive sea ice cover (Müller et al 2011), a well-known feature of the core site. Use of the pan-Arctic $c$ value of 0.11 for P$_{III}$IP$_{25}$, however, gave consistently low values (P$_{III}$IP$_{25}$ ca. 0.2–0.5; figure 4), implying variable or low sea ice extent (Müller et al 2011) and, therefore, under-estimates of sea ice conditions at the core site. On the basis of these outcomes, the most reliable measures of spring sea ice concentration for the core site were derived from PIP$_{25}$ values using IP$_{25}$ and HBI III or epi-brassicasterol (but not dinosterol), and using fixed values for $c$. This conclusion is supported further through conversion of PIP$_{25}$ data to estimates of SpSIC using the calibrations of Smik et al (2016) and Xiao et al (2015). Thus, P$_{III}$IP$_{25}$-derived SpSIC estimates were all >78% in close agreement with the modelled values (>90%) and those from satellite data (https://nsidc.org/data/nsidc-0051) in the modern era (figure 6). The corresponding P$_{II}$IP$_{25}$-derived SpSIC was, however, slightly over-estimated (108% – 127%), while the P$_{III}$IP$_{25}$-derived values were mainly well below 50% (figure 6). As such, the closest agreement between biomarker-derived and modelled SpSIC over recent centuries was obtained using P$_{III}$IP$_{25}$ data based on IP$_{25}$ and HBI III using a $c$ value obtained from Barents Sea surface sediments (i.e. $c = 0.63$). Further refinement of the optimal absolute value of $c$ for the Chukchi Sea might potentially be obtained through future and more accurate quantitative analysis of surface sediments from the study region.

Finally, we note that the only biomarker record that mimics the well-known pronounced reduction in late summer sea ice cover in the Chukchi Sea in recent decades (figure 4(D); Walsh et al 2016) was the P$_{III}$IP$_{25}$ profile using a value of $c$ based on the core data (i.e. Figure 4(B); $c = 0.17$). Since some recently reported sediment trap data from the Chukchi Sea showed that the epi-brassicasterol flux was still relatively high in late summer, while the HBI III flux was reduced in summer compared to spring values (Bai et al 2019), it follows that certain sterols potentially integrate, to some degree, both spring and summer conditions. Accordingly, the major production of IP$_{25}$ in sea ice and HBI III in open waters along the sea ice edge during the spring season appear to provide the most reliable biomarker pair for estimating PIP$_{25}$-derived SpSIC in the Chukchi Sea, while the additional use of P$_{III}$IP$_{25}$ may potentially provide complementary insights into subsequent summer sea ice trends.
Conclusions

The northern hemisphere sea ice biomarker IP$_{25}$ was present throughout multicore ARA01B-03MUC retrieved from the Chukchi Shelf, reflecting the occurrence of seasonal sea ice at the core site over recent centuries. PIP$_{25}$ data were most consistent with the well-known (and modelled) relatively constant spring sea ice conditions over recent centuries when HBI III and epi-brassicasterol were employed as the phytoplankton counterparts to IP$_{25}$, and when using fixed values for the balance factor $c$ obtained from some previous calibrations studies based on regional or pan-Arctic surface sediments. In contrast, PIP$_{25}$ values based on IP$_{25}$ and dinosterol, or by using $c$ values for all three phytoplankton biomarkers obtained from the current core data, gave unrealistic and generally too low estimates of SpSIC. The resemblance of PIP$_{25}$ data based on IP$_{25}$ and epi-brassicasterol in ARA01B-03MUC to the recent summer sea ice decline potentially reflects the longer production season of this biomarker compared to HBI III; however, this will require testing through further surface sediment and seasonal in situ water column analyses.
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