Somatic Embryogenesis in Iberian Grapevine (Vitis vinifera) Cultivars Using Carpels as Initial Explants: Protocol Establishment and Histological Evaluation
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Abstract: Trincadeira and Aragonez are two important grapevine cultivars in the Iberian Peninsula, used for high quality red wines production. Both cultivars are strongly affected by fungal diseases, with consequent high loses on plant productivity and fruit quality. A successful protocol for plant regeneration via somatic embryogenesis (SE) was established for both cultivars allowing further plant improvement based on gene transfer technology. Several factors were evaluated during the three different phases which characterize an SE plant regeneration protocol. The culture room temperature during the induction phase, a parameter usually accepted as standard by most researchers, proved in these trials to significantly affect the embryo induction rates. Concerning embryo conversion, it was specially affected by the embryo developmental stage, by the intensity and duration of the chilling treatment and by the supplementation of conversion culture medium with activated charcoal. The responses obtained, both for induction and conversion, proved to be highly genotype dependent. Calli structure, as well as embryo integrity, was histologically observed, allowing to characterize embryonic and non-embryonic masses and to identify abnormalities on embryo development.
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Abbreviations

BAP: 6-benzylaminopurine;
2,4-D: 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid;
NAA: 1-naphthalene acetic acid;
SE: somatic embryogenesis;
IM: induction medium;
DM: differentiation medium;
CM: conversion medium.

1. Introduction

The grapevine (Vitis spp.) is one of the most important fruit crops worldwide, with a total vineyard area of 7.5 Mha [1]. Spain and Portugal, two important wine producers, contribute with 19% of the world’s vineyards. The Vitis vinifera L. cultivars Trincadeira and Aragonez, the latter also named Tinta Roriz in the north of Portugal and Tempranillo in Spain, are important for high-quality red wines production in the Iberian Peninsula.

Like most V. vinifera, those cultivars present a high sensitivity to powdery and downy mildew diseases, a problem that can lead into a dramatic decrease of the winegrower economic profit. Improving the disease resistance of these cultivars would be welcome, especially if their important agronomic traits were not altered [2].

The use of genetic engineering techniques would have a real impact on grapevine breeding, due to the
ability of directly transfer a single trait into the genome, while leaving unchanged the distinctive characteristics of the cultivar.

As stated by Gray et al. [3], several techniques including cell culture systems to allow efficient plant regeneration, advanced gene insertion and genomic analyses, experimented huge developments recently. These techniques are being used for high-throughput expression analysis of a wide range of grapevine-derived promoters and disease-related genes, being envisioned that future research efforts will be extended to the study of promoters and genes functioning to enhance other important traits, such as fruit quality and plant vigor [3]. This knowledge on differential gene expression dealing with important agronomical traits, may present a new opportunity not only for transgenic, but also for precision (“cisgenic”) and transient plant transformation approaches.

Efficient protocols for genetic transformation of both cultivars under evaluation were already established [4, 5], but, the development of an efficient plant regeneration system from cultured cells and tissues, a prerequisite to successfully apply those genetic transformation protocols, was not accomplished yet.

SE in grapevine was first achieved in 1970s and represents nowadays one of the most suitable tools for genetic improvement in the Vitis genus. This is related with the high proliferation rates and regeneration skills that can be attained [6-11], associated with the frequent single cell origin of the somatic embryos, which avoids the problem of chimer regeneration [12]. However, the efficiency of this methodology is still dependent on a complex interaction among different factors like the genotype of donor plant, the explant type and developmental stage, or the chemical media composition [13-20].

In V. vinifera the most successful and reliable protocols for SE induction and subsequent embryo conversion, were obtained using reproductive organs (anthers and ovaries) as initial explants [5, 7, 15, 19, 21-36] and the microspore and the ovule developmental stages were stated as major factors affecting embryogenesis efficiency [17, 19, 33, 37].

Difficulties in producing mature and normally developed somatic embryos, able to evolve into normal plants, are an additional limitation for the SE grapevine protocols [28, 29, 38, 39]. The embryo developmental stage, its dormancy level and the composition of the culture media, are just a few factors limiting the success of this procedure [31, 40]. Nevertheless, also here, the genotype dependence is referred as the major factor affecting the ability of embryos conversion [7].

The number of Vitis species and cultivars to whom SE protocols were developed is continuously increasing [16, 23, 35] but the technique is far away from becoming routinely and widely used, which explains the need to develop improved methodologies for each valuable genotype. In fact, most reports on grapevine SE are focused on few elite cultivars.

Portugal and Spain hold up one of the most important grapevine genetic pools in the world, with more than 350 distinctive cultivars already classified, but, few reports on successful SE for those cultivars are known.

In this study, an efficient protocol was established for the regeneration of two Iberian V. vinifera cultivars through SE. The effect of the inflorescences chilling pre-treatment and incubation temperature on SE efficiency was evaluated. The efficiency of growth regulators (2,4-D or NAA in combination with BAP) on embryos differentiation was also tested. Embryo developmental stage and distinct physical and chemical conditions were analyzed to develop the most appropriate protocol for embryos conversion.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Plant Material

Based on correlations previously established between the flower bud/anther dimensions, the
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2.2 Culture Conditions

2.2.1 Embryogenesis Induction

Chilling pre-treatment of the inflorescences and incubation temperature of the *in vitro* cultures were the factors under evaluation. To analyze the effect of chilling pre-treatment, the inflorescences involved in wet paper were placed into plastic bags and kept at 4 °C during 0, 7 and 14 d, under dark conditions, before *in vitro* inoculation. After each period the inflorescences were surface sterilized, the carpels aseptically excised and inoculated in IM [17] supplemented with 5 µM of 2,4-D and 1 µM of BAP. The cultures were incubated in the dark for 30 d at 25, 28, or 35 °C. Twenty-five carpels were placed per Petri dish (6 cm Ø) (see Fig. 1 for the complete experimental design).

2.2.2 Embryogenesis Differentiation

Carpels presenting calli development were transferred into fresh IM, supplemented with 1 g/L of hydrolysed casein and lacking L-phenilalanine. This medium, named DM, was added with 2,4-D or NAA and BAP, in the following proportions: 0:0, 1:0 or 5:1. Cultures were kept at 25 °C, with a 16 h photoperiod and 34 µmol/m²/s of light intensity, provided by Philips day-light fluorescent lamps.

2.2.3 Embryo Conversion

Embryos belonging to three predefined groups (I. globular and heart-shape stages; II. torpedo and early cotyledonary stages; III. late cotyledonary stage), were individualized and horizontally inoculated on Petri dishes (6 cm Ø) containing CM, which consists in IM formulation devoid of growth regulators (for embryo stage identification see Fig. 2). The cultures were kept under the same growth condition as described for embryogenesis differentiation. Distinct physical and chemical conditions were also tested after selection of the stage II (torpedo and early cotyledonary stages) as the most appropriate for embryo conversion. Embryos at that stage were horizontally inoculated on Petri dishes (6 cm Ø) containing CM. The following factors were tested: addition of activated charcoal (2 mg/L); addition of BAP (0.4 µM) or GA₃ (1 µM); cold treatment, studied by keeping the embryos at 4 °C for 5, 15 or 25 d. All cultures were kept on the same conditions as previously described. Trials consisted in four repetitions per treatment, each repetition corresponding to one Petri dish with 25 embryos. All developed embryos (1 cm length) arising from the previously described treatments, were vertically inoculated in test-tubes (one embryo per tube) containing CM and maintained under the growth conditions previously described.

2.3 Histology

To evaluate the cellular differences among the several calli types, samples of callogenic tissue were collected, placed on a microscope blade and observed, using an Olympus CX40 light microscope, after being smashed in a few drops of acetic orcein (1 g/L).

To analyze the origin of the embryonic calli and/or adventitious embryos, explants were collected weekly at 0, 7, 14, 21 and 28 d after *in vitro* inoculation and prepared for histological observation according to the procedure previously described by Cardoso *et al.* [17].

2.4 Data Analysis

The effect of chilling pre-treatment and incubation temperature on embryogenesis induction was evaluated by the number of explants exhibiting embryogenic calli 30 d after inoculation in IM.

SE efficiency was evaluated by the number of somatic embryos formed and was recorded four weeks after embryogenic calli inoculation on DM. All data
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Inflorescences from ‘Aragonez’ and ‘Trincadeira’ cvs. collected in field

**Phase I**

Chilling pre-treatment of inflorescences under dark conditions for:

|   | 0 d | 7 d | 14 d |
|---|-----|-----|------|

Inflorescences decontamination and inoculation of carpels in IM

Incubation under dark at:

| Temperature |   |
|-------------|---|
| 25 °C       |   |
| 28 °C       |   |
| 35 °C       |   |

**Phase II**

Carpels with *calli* transferred to DM with auxin:citoquinin in relations:

| Ratio |   |
|-------|---|
| 0:0   |   |
| 1:0   |   |
| 5:1   |   |

Cultures incubated at 25°C under 16h photoperiod

Embryos individualized and horizontally inoculated in CM

Selection of the best embryo stage for conversion

Developed embryos (1 cm length) vertically inoculated in CM

Cultures incubated at 25°C under 16h photoperiod

Parameters affecting the conversion efficiency for the chosen embryo stage:

**Medium supplementation**

- activated charcoal (2 mg/L)
- BAP (0.4 μM)
- GA₃ (1 μM)

**Cold treatment under dark**

- 5 d
- 15 d
- 25 d

Plantlets acclimatization

Fig. 1 Scheme of the experimental design followed in the present work.

Phase I: induction phase; Phase II: differentiation phase; Phase III: conversion phase.
related with callogenesis and embryogenesis were analyzed on base of the final number of in vitro carpels and not on base of the number of inoculated explants, because some contaminations reduced the initial number of inoculated carpels.

Embryo conversion efficiency was determined by the presence of embryos showing tap root and at least one axillary bud, in relation with the total of inoculated embryos four weeks after embryos transfer to test-tubes containing CM.

Numerical data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Student-Newman-Keuls multiple means test comparison, at a significance level of \( p \leq 0.05 \) using the software SPSS version 12.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc. 2003).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Embryogenesis Induction

Chilling pre-treatment of the inflorescences at 4 °C was the first factor tested and revealed to have a negative effect on the SE induction rates for the Aragonez cultivar. Indeed, the rate of carpel calli presenting somatic embryos, was significantly higher on those arising from inflorescences not submitted to cold treatment (10.7%) (Table 1). For the Trincadeira cultivar, which always presented lower SE rates than the obtained for Aragonez, no significant differences were detected between the chilling pre-treatments and the control (Table 1).

A positive effect of cold pre-treatments of inflorescences was previously associated with an increase of the SE efficiency in some grapevine cultivars, both, for anther and ovary cultures [31, 34, 36]. When the anther culture is used, this positive effect is usually associated with the synchronization of microsporogenesis evolution stages, which seems to increase the number of microspores able to evolve into the sporophytic pathway [41]. In carpels culture, the effect of a chilling pre-treatment of inflorescences remains unclear, and in the present study, no positive results were observed. A negative effect of a 4 °C pre-treatment in cv. Aragonez, was already reported by Cutanda et al. [21], while using anthers of this cultivar as initial explants, and corroborate the results now presented for carpel culture.
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Table 1  Effect of the chilling pre-treatment on embryogenesis induction.

| 4 °C treatment (d) | Aragonez | Trincadeira |
|-------------------|----------|-------------|
| 0                 | N (n)    | Embryogenesis (%) | N (n)    | Embryogenesis (%) |
| 525 (21)          | 10.7 ± 1.5 a | 424 (17)    | 5.0 ± 1.5 a  |
| 576 (24)          | 5.9 ± 1.4 b  | 528 (22)    | 3.0 ± 1.4 a  |
| 592 (24)          | 3.3 ± 1.4 b  | 340 (14)    | 5.0 ± 1.4 a  |

N: corresponds to the number of carpels inoculated in IM after discarded the carpels with contaminations; n: corresponds to the number of Petri dishes.

Different letters in the same column means significant differences (p ≤ 0.05) based on the Student-Newman-Keuls test Post-Hoc ANOVA.

Table 2  Effect of the induction temperature on the embryogenesis induction.

| Incubation temperature (°C) | Aragonez | Trincadeira |
|-----------------------------|----------|-------------|
|                             | N (n)    | Embryogenesis frequency (%) | N (n)    | Embryogenesis frequency (%) |
| 25                          | 558 (23) | 3.4 ± 0.14 b | 472 (19) | 2.0 ± 1.5 a |
| 28                          | 563 (23) | 9.6 ± 0.14 a | 370 (16) | 5.0 ± 1.4 a |
| 35                          | 572 (23) | 6.4 ± 0.14 ab| 450 (18) | 5.0 ± 1.4 a |

N: corresponds to the number of carpels inoculated in IM after discarded the carpels with contaminations; n: corresponds to the number of Petri dishes.

Different letters in the same column means significant differences (p ≤ 0.05) based on the Student-Newman-Keuls test Post-Hoc ANOVA.

Regarding the incubation temperature (25, 28 and 35 °C), it significantly affected the SE induction in calli from Aragonez, with the highest rates being obtained in carpels inoculated at 28 °C and 35 °C (10% and 6%, respectively) (Table 2). For Trincadeira, where the average SE rates were lower, no significant differences were identified among the three temperatures tested (Table 2). The temperature range used during the SE induction phase, was never reported as a factor able to affect SE efficiency. Temperatures ranging from 21 °C to 26 °C are used in most of the published protocols, without any reference to previous optimizations [16, 19, 24, 26, 27, 32, 36, 42].

Concerning the histological study, carried to follow-up carpel evolution in culture, the initial observations confirmed the carpel developmental stage at the inoculation time (day 0), as being the stage I (carpels enclosing ovules as a small conical protuberance developed from the placenta). Seven days after inoculation no changes in carpels appearance were detected (Fig. 3a). After 14 d, cell divisions were observed on the ovule and callus development begun at the ovary receptacle tissues (Fig. 3b). Between 21 d and 28 d, the ovules continue their growth inside the locus (Figs. 3c and 3d), and adventitious embryos in globular and heart-shape stages were already developed from the pre-formed calli (Fig. 3e). Somatic embryos tend to naturally separate from one other and independently continue their development. At the cellular level, they are comprised by uniform small size cells, characterized by dense cytoplasm with visible starch grains and presenting prominent nuclei and nucleoli (Figs. 3f and 3g).

After 28 d in the IM, the number of calli showing development of somatic embryos greatly increased and significant changes could be observed in the external appearance of the explants. The carpels lost their green color and increased in size, but preserved its initial structure (Fig. 4a). The developed calli presented a heterogeneous appearance in structure and color, allowing to classify them in four different types: white/pale yellow with nodular appearance, brown and friable, white and compact, white and filamentous (Figs. 4a-4c).
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Fig. 3  Histological examination of immature carpel explants of Vitis vinifera cv. Trincadeira during the induction phase of SE. Longitudinal section obtained after 7 d of in vitro inoculation in IM; the ovule (ov) is visible inside the locus (oc) as a conical protuberance developed from the placenta characteristic of the ovule developmental stage I (a); section at 14 d showing the development of callus (ca) starting from the ovary receptacle region (b); section at 21 d showing the increased growth of the ovule (ov) inside the locus (c); section at 28 d showing the callus developed from the ovary receptacle tissue (d); globular embryos (arrow) developed from embryogenic callus after 21 d (e); embryos (em) observed from embryogenic callus after 28 d (f and g).

Bar in a, b, c, e, f and g: 100 μm; bar in d: 1,000 μm.
It was observed that callus morphology and embryogenic competence were highly correlated. Only the white/pale yellow granular calli presented cells able to differentiate into embryonic structures. Similar differences in callus morphology have been reported by different authors using several plant tissues as initial explant [42-45]. In all reports it has been made the association of white to pale yellow granular calli appearance with the potentiality for somatic embryo differentiation. Microscopic observation of cells from the different calli types revealed that when compared with other cell types (Figs. 4d-4f), the embryonic cells tend to be smaller, with dense cytoplasm, conspicuous nuclei and thick cell walls (Fig. 4g).

Calli development was observed arising from the ovary receptacle (excision region) and from the nucellar tissues. Nakano and colleagues [32], working with *V. vinifera* L. cv. ‘Neo Mat’, also reported such pattern for callus development. The reactivity of the nucellar tissues leading to calli development was already reported for *V. vinifera* [46, 47]. Nevertheless, the non-reactiveness of those tissues was also found in several cultivars [28, 32], suggesting a genotype dependence on the callogenesis response of nucellar tissues. However, the physiological carpel stages at incubation time, can also be considered as putatively affecting that response [17]. In fact, Nakano et al. [32] worked with carpels in stage V, showing anatropous ovules with both integuments and embryo sac developed, while less developed carpels were used in the present study, with ovules looking as small conical protuberances developing from the placenta tissue (stage I) (see characterization of ovule development in Ref. [17]).

### 3.2 Embryo Differentiation

Two weeks after calli transfer into the DM, and after exposition to a 16 h photoperiod, the carpels reacquired the green color and white globular somatic embryos became visible at the surface of the embryonic carpel-derived calli (Fig. 5a). The somatic embryos development was asynchronous, being usual the presence of embryos in different developmental stages, evolving from the same embryogenic calli (Figs. 5a and 5b). Most cotyledonary embryos exhibited a well-established root/shoot axes and two well-developed cotyledons (Fig. 5b), but some abnormal embryos were also visible, with multiple deformed or fused cotyledons and lacking root meristem (Fig. 5c).

Abnormal and asynchronous maturation of the somatic embryos have been associated with low embryos conversion rates in different grapevine genotypes [28, 40, 43], which justifies the need of protocol optimization to improve somatic embryos conversion ratios of specific cultivars. Faure [48], previously investigated the problem of embryos conversion in *V. rupestris*, at morphological, histological and histochemical levels. The author reported that embryos unable to convert into plants, present a degenerated or absent shoot apex, which often turned to unorganized cellular proliferation. Same author suggested that the water-saturated atmosphere where somatic embryos arise during *in vitro* culture, could be unfavorable for its conversion, and the impermeable suberization of its superficial layers could be the result of a physiological response to that environment. Another work, dealing with embryogenic cultures of a 41B clone (*V. vinifera* cv. Chasselas × *V. berlandieri*), showed that only 3% of the differentiated somatic embryos had a normal apical meristem between two well-defined cotyledons, the remaining 97%, developed irregular cell structures in their apical area, that resulted in aberrant embryos without functional apices [40].

The effect of growth regulators on the DM was evaluated 30 d after calli transfer. For both cultivars, the addition of NAA to the DM, allowed normal embryo formation and development. However, the higher rates of embryo formation (21% in Aragonez and 36% in Trincadeira), were obtained in culture
Fig. 4 Appearance of the carpel explants 28 d after inoculation in IM showing the different callus type developed (a, b, c); microscopic observations of the cells from each callus type (d, e, f, g) (d: from fc callus; e: from wf callus; f: from wc callus; g: from ec callus).

ec: callus with a white/light yellow color and a nodular appearance corresponding to the embryogenic callus; wf: white and filamentous callus; wc: white and compact callus; fc: brown and friable callus.

Bar in a, b and c: 1,000 μm; bar in d, e and f: 100 μm; bar in g: 25 μm.
media devoid of growth regulators (Table 3).

Media supplementation with growth regulators tends to have a strong impact in SE efficiency of grapevine cultured explants, being its presence specially required during the induction phase. For the second phase of SE, in which somatic embryo differentiation and development occurs, a culture media devoid of growth regulators is usually preferred [49-51]. The data here presented also revealed the lack of growth regulators on the DM media as the most appropriate condition to promote somatic embryo differentiation in both cultivars.

3.3 Embryo Conversion

The conversion of somatic embryos into plants is reported as an additional difficulty to establish efficient SE protocols. This is related with the difficulty in producing mature and well-developed somatic embryos able to grow into normal plants [39], or associated with the difficulty to break embryo dormancy in a similar way that occurs in natural dormancy of most seeds [52].

In the present study, the embryo developmental stage appeared as a crucial factor in conversion efficiency. For both cultivars, the total embryo conversion rates were higher when somatic embryos were individualized in the torpedo and early cotyledonal stages (group II) (Table 4). Embryos individualized on early developmental stages (group I), tend to turn brown, with no further visible development.
Table 3  Effect of the growth regulators 2,4-D and NAA combined with BAP on somatic embryos differentiation.

|                | CM Aragonez | Trincadeira |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|
| Control        | 48 (8)      | 102 (17)    |
| 1 μM NAA: 0 μM BAP | 72 (12)      | 72 (12)     |
| 5 μM NAA: 1 μM BAP | 72 (12)      | 72 (12)     |
| 1 μM 2,4-D: 0 μM BAP | 72 (12)      | 72 (12)     |
| 5 μM 2,4-D: 1 μM BAP | 72 (12)      | 60 (10)     |

N: corresponds to the number of carpels transferred to CM; n: corresponds to the number of Petri dishes analysed.
Different letters in the same column means significant differences ($p \leq 0.05$) based on the Student-Newman-Keuls test Post-Hoc ANOVA.

Table 4  Effect of the initial embryo developmental stage on the embryo conversion.

| Embryo developmental stage | Aragonez  | Trincadeira |
|----------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| Group I: globular, cordiforme and beginning of torpedo | 100 0 ± 1.12 c | 100 0 ± 1.07 c |
| Group II: torpedo and beginning of cotyledonary | 100 13 ± 1.12 a | 100 21 ± 1.07 a |
| Group III: cotyledonary | 100 7 ± 1.12 b | 100 9 ± 1.07 b |

Nº ie: number of inoculated embryos in Petri dishes containing CM; ce: converted embryos.
Different letters in the same column means significant differences ($p \leq 0.05$) based on the Student-Newman-Keuls test Post-Hoc ANOVA.

In the same way, late individualization of somatic embryos (group III), were usually associated with abnormal development and consequent low conversion rates. Emershad and Ramming [53], already reported the embryo size as a critical factor on the potentiality of the embryos to convert into normal plants. Accordingly, smaller embryos (1-1.99 mm), as well as the bigger ones (5-7.99 mm), present low conversion potentiality.

Despite previous reports showing that cold treatment as well as gibberellic acid, can successfully be used to overcome embryo dormancy in grape somatic embryos [43, 52, 54], in the present research, the positive effect of those factors, highly depended on the genotype.

The effectiveness of media supplementation with 1 μM GA$_3$ revealed to differently affect the two genotypes under evaluation, being the conversion rates significantly higher than the control in Trincadeira, 52% compared with 49% and lower in Aragonez, with only 1% of conversion rate, in comparison with the 11% achieved in the control (Table 5). For both cultivars, the presence of GA$_3$ was associated with the development of malformed cotyledons.

The use of a cold treatment after embryo individualization and inoculation in CM, also revealed a marked effect on the number of recovered embryos. The incubation at 4 °C for 5 d showed the highest embryos conversion rate for both cultivars, with approximately two-fold increase of embryos conversion percentage in Aragonez over the control. Larger periods of incubation were associated in both cultivars with a decrease on the embryo’s conversion efficiency.

It can be said that both GA$_3$ and cold treatments can increase the embryo conversion rates, but their effects are highly depending on the genotype. This result indicates that both factors affect the same biological process as earlier proposed by several authors [43, 49, 52, 55-57].

Although low somatic embryos conversion rates have been associated with abnormal morphology and dormancy, that have led to the manipulation of factors to directly overcome dormancy (use of gibberellins and cold treatments), some other factors have also been considered to promote embryo conversion. The
exogenous supplement of CM media with cytokines is one of those factors.

It is well known that cytokinin plays key roles in the regulation of root and shoot development and have been demonstrated that its exogenous application induces an enlargement of the shoot meristem (see review in Ref. [58]). Previous works developed in *V. longii* and *V. rotundifolia* showed that the addition of 1 μM BAP to the culture medium promoted shoot elongation [6, 59]. Nevertheless, in the present trials, BAP (0.4 μM) didn’t show, in both cultivars, a significant increase of embryos conversion rate, and, in both cases, it was associated with the development of friable white calli in the hypocotyl region. The differential behavior upon application of IBA in the culture medium could not only be associated with the different concentrations of BAP used but also be associated with a genotype effect.

The genotype dependence previously associated with embryos conversion efficiency in *V. vinifera* [7, 19], also seen here as affecting the capacity of Trincadeira and Aragonez embryos conversion in all treatments tested (chilling and media supplementation with activated charcoal, BAP or GA3) lead to the identification of different optimal conditions for somatic embryos conversion.

Cold treatment with embryo incubation during 5 d at 4 °C presented the highest embryo conversion rates in Aragonez, with 24% of the total inoculated embryos converted to normal plantlets (Table 5). However, culture medium supplementation with activated charcoal revealed to be the most appropriate for Trincadeira embryo conversion with 57% of conversion rate (Table 5, Fig. 5d).

Activated charcoal has been used by some researchers in culture medium associated with the different steps of a SE protocol, that includes the embryogenic calli induction [11], embryos differentiation [11, 28, 60] and conversion of somatic embryos to plantlets [23, 43, 61]. Nevertheless, only one study [43] carried on grapevine, reported a positive effect of activated charcoal on somatic embryos conversion.

Several reasons have been pointed out to explain the positive effect of activated charcoal associated to the SE. Hébert-Soulé *et al.* [60], mentioned that the role of activated charcoal is related with the absorption of potential germination inhibitors and remaining hormones, allowing the germination of torpedo-embryos and their conversion into plantlets.

### Table 5  Effect of different treatments on embryos conversion.

| Treatments                | Aragonez | Trincadeira |
|---------------------------|----------|-------------|
|                           | ie       | ce (%)      | ie           | ce (%)      |
| Medium supplements        |          |             |              |
| Control (*)               | 100      | 11 ± 1.32 b | 100          | 49 ± 1.62 d |
| Activated charcoal (2 mg/L)| 100      | 14 ± 1.32 a | 100          | 57 ± 1.62 a |
| BAP (0.4 μM)              | 100      | 7 ± 1.32 c  | 100          | 54 ± 1.62 b |
| GA3 (1 μM)                | 100      | 1 ± 1.32 d  | 100          | 52 ± 1.62 c |
| Incubation at 4 °C        |          |             |              |
| Control (0 d)             | 100      | 11 ± 1.32 c | 100          | 49 ± 1.32 a |
| 5 d                      | 100      | 24 ± 1.32 a | 100          | 49 ± 1.32 a |
| 15 d                     | 100      | 22 ± 1.32 b | 100          | 35 ± 1.32 b |
| 25 d                     | 100      | 8 ± 1.32 d  | 100          | 28 ± 1.32 c |

*The control for medium supplement treatments correspond to a medium devoid activated charcoal, BAP and GA3; ie: number of inoculated embryos in tubes containing CM; ce: converted embryos. Different letters in the same column means significant differences (p ≤ 0.05) based on the Student-Newman-Keuls test Post-Hoc ANOVA.*
From the total of successfully converted embryos, 82% of the in vitro Trincadeira plantlets and 33% of the Aragonez were acclimatized to the ex vitro conditions (Fig. 5e).

4. Conclusions

Despite the better results globally achieved with the cultivar Aragonez, it can be assumed that, in general, the most reliable conditions for SE induction and differentiation phases are the same for the two cultivars under evaluation. The absence of cold pre-treatments on the inflorescences and culture incubation temperature of 28 °C were the conditions selected for SE induction. The use of CM, lacking on growth regulators, revealed to be the most appropriate condition for the embryo differentiation phase in both cultivars. Concerning its conversion, somatic embryos showed high genotype dependence with the inoculation during 5 d at 4 °C being suitable for Aragonez, while the presence of activated charcoal in the CM revealed to be the most appropriate methodology for embryo conversion in Trincadeira. The histological observations allowed to identify the tissues involved on the SE process and revealed that the embryogenic calli preferentially appear from the receptacle parenchyma cells, while no cell division was observed in the embryo sac. It was possible to conclude that only the calli with nodular appearance, presenting cells with dense cytoplasm, visible starch grains and prominent nuclei and nucleoli, were able to differentiate into embryonic structures. Asynchronous embryos differentiation and formation of abnormal embryos were visible in both cultivars.
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