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Abstract — In this paper, we look at two different approaches for estimating copula parameters. The first approach is based on Kendall's tau and Spearman's rho rank correlation coefficients, which are based on Kendall's tau and Spearman's rho rank correlation coefficients, respectively, defined as:

\[
\tau = p\left(\frac{X_1^{(1)} - X_2^{(2)}}{X_1^{(1)} + X_2^{(2)}} > 0\right) - p\left(\frac{X_1^{(1)} - X_2^{(2)}}{X_1^{(1)} + X_2^{(2)}} < 0\right)
\]

\[
\rho = 3 \left\{ p\left(\frac{X_1^{(1)} - X_2^{(2)}}{X_1^{(1)} + X_2^{(2)}} > 0\right) - p\left(\frac{X_1^{(1)} - X_2^{(2)}}{X_1^{(1)} + X_2^{(2)}} < 0\right) \right\}
\]

where \(X_1^{(1)}, X_2^{(2)}, X_1^{(2)}, X_2^{(3)}\) are three independent (iid) copies of the bivariate random vector \((X_1, X_2)\). However, they may be represented as follows:

\[
\tau(C) = 4 \int_{[0,1]^2} C(u)du - 1 \quad (2)
\]

\[
\rho(C) = 12 \int_{[0,1]^2} C(u)du - 3 \quad (3)
\]

which shows that Spearman's rho and Kendall's tau depend on the underlying copula \(C\) (they can be also considered as moments of the copula). Subsequently, many authors were interested by copula families of the Archimedean class for the application of these methods, due to their mathematical structure and to their ease properties despite the high dimensional level.

In copula approaches viewpoint and concerning their estimate, if the margins \(F_1, \ldots, F_n\) are known, then we bring to classic statistical inference methods. But, because the margins are generally unknown, mainly two approaches can be adopted for its estimations parametric and nonparametric. Nevertheless, in the first approach we estimate the margins parametrically, i.e., the resulting estimate of \(C\) will be entirely parametric, we suppose that the marginal belongs to a family indexed by a parameter, so to estimate the margins, it suffices to estimate their parameter. Although in the other case the margins will estimate non-parametrically, i.e., we do not assume that the margins belong to any family, then it will be semi-parametric copula estimation, for example with the version of the empirical estimator given by:

\[
\hat{F}_i(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n I(x_k \leq x) \quad (4)
\]

Key words — Archimedean copula, Parametric estimation, Simulation study, R software, Semi-parametric approaches.

I. INTRODUCTION

In mathematics, a copula is a function that serves as the primary link between the multivariate distribution function and its univariate marginals, as proven by Sklar's theorem (1959). Regardless of the shape of the margins, the action of the copula is to represent the characteristics of dependence that are associated with each of the random variables. Under certain conditions, Sklar's theorem [14] shows that there is a unique copula function \(C\), which is given by:

\[
F(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = C(F_1(x_1), \ldots, F_n(x_n)) \quad (1)
\]

where \(F(x_1, \ldots, x_n)\) is the joint distribution of \(X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)\) and \(F_1(x_1), \ldots, F_n(x_n)\) are the margins.

Nelsen [14], Genest and MacKay [9] describe a variety of copula functions that can be used to fit a wide variety of dependence types. Our concentration in this paper will focus on the Archimedean copulas class for reasons of dependency modeling and its approaches, particularly in actuarial science and financial risk management, then our main reason for focusing on this class is:

1. they are easy to construct,
2. have interesting properties that further facilitate the modeling of dependency structures,
3. a large variety of copula families belonging to this class. They are often characterized by a generator, which is a function, thus reducing the search for a large dimensional distribution function (for more details about Archimedean copulas see part (2)).

Several methods for estimating copula parameters have been developed, among the most important, the minimum distance (MD) [17], a function of margin inference (IFM) [28], [29], the concordance methods based on [15], Genest,

[23], also known as tau-inversion and rho-inversion, which are based on Kendall’s tau and Spearman’s rho rank correlation coefficients respectively, defined as:
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Therefore, the parameter of the copula must be estimated, whatever the way we estimate the margins. Our focus for the application is done on the Archimedean class of copulas in R software, which is free statistical calculation software. It works on all platforms and is available and has many existing features characterized by contributed packages.

Writing an R package for copulas was natural, this package (copula) mainly allows us to build and work easily with the Archimedean Copulas [20][10].

Among the package advantages is the evaluation of the Archimedean copulas, currently widely used and well-known as Ali-Mikhail-Haq, Clayton, Frank, Gumbel, and Joe. Therefore, in regard to association measures, Kendall’s tau and the coefficients of dependence are implemented.

In this paper, two approaches are presented for estimating copulas, the parametric and semi-parametric one. In the first approach, two methods are presented, the maximum likelihood method (also known as the MLE method) and the inference function method for margins (IFM), which simplify the estimation procedure when the MLE method is impracticable.

Even so, because the margins will be estimated non-parametrically, a semi-parametric approach is required. Nonetheless, for this type of estimation, the method of moments based on Kendall's tau and Spearman's rho is presented. For the reasons stated previously, the class of Archimedean copulas was selected as an application. However, the purpose of this paper is to introduce this type of copula, as well as its applications, methodology, and visualization in R software.

The remaining sections of the paper are organized as follows. In section two, some basic concepts concerning copula and their characterization have been presented, notably the Archimedean copulas. Two methods of copula estimation using parametric approaches are presented in section three, where the margins have been parametrically estimated. In section four, where the margins are estimated non-parametrically, a semi-parametric estimation was presented using the method of moments based on Kendall's tau and Spearman's rho, respectively. In part five of the paper, we used the MLE estimator to introduce the log-likelihood function and the estimation of a copula using two stages dedicated to this method using censored data. Part six includes a conclusion and discussion. For illustration, the Appendix interacts with a key in R.

II. ARCHIMEDEAN COPULAS AND THEIR CHARACTERIZATION

The mathematical properties of the Archimedean class are characterized by a generator function as we have already said. Let \( u = (u_1, ..., u_d) \) a \( d \)-dimensional unit vector, where \( u \in [0,1]^d \) and assuming that \( \varphi \) a mapping defined by:

\[
\varphi: [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0,1]
\]

called a generator and satisfies the following conditions:

- \( \varphi(1) = 0 \) (5)

- \( \varphi \) is continuous, convex, decreasing function, i.e.,

\[
\varphi(t) < 0 \text{ and } \varphi''(t) > 0, \text{ for } 0 < t < 1 \] (6)

An Archimedean copula is a copula of the form:

\[
C(u) = \varphi(\varphi^{-1}(u_1) + \cdots + \varphi^{-1}(u_d)) \tag{7}
\]

where \( \varphi^{-1} \) is the inverse function of the generator \( \varphi \), that can be indexed by the association parameter \( \theta \), thus a whole family of copulas can be Archimedean. Notice that, one of the nice properties of Archimedean copula is that the distributions function \( K_\theta(t) = P(C_\theta \leq t) \) of \( C_\theta \) can represents according to its generator, where \( C_\theta \) is the empirical version of \( C \). See Theorem 4.3.4 in Nelsen [14], then for any \( t \in [0,1] \), we have:

\[
K_\theta(t) = t - \frac{\varphi_\theta(t)}{\varphi'_\theta(t)}
\]

Therefore, the corresponding density is:

\[
K'_\theta(t) = \frac{\varphi''_\theta(t)\varphi_\theta(t)}{(\varphi'_\theta(t))^2}
\]

In order for (7) to be a copula, the generator must be a d-monotonic function see Kimberling (1974) in particular reference to t-norms, Hofert [27] in respect of copula reworking.

Therefore, for any function \( \varphi \) which satisfies conditions (5) and (6) we can say that a function of the form (7) is an Archimedean copula if and only if \( \varphi \in \Theta \), where

\[
\Theta = [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0,1] \text{ and } \varphi \text{ is } d\text{-monotone.}
\]

The advantage of using Archimedean copulas in mathematics is the realization of dimensionality reduction, while the copula of n-variables is a function with n-places, the generator \( \varphi \) never takes only one argument. In the bivariate case, the means by which \( \varphi \) generates the copula is according to:

\[
\varphi(C(u,v)) = \varphi(u) + \varphi(v) \tag{8}
\]

If \( \varphi(0) = \infty \), the generator is termed strict, and the inverse function \( \varphi^{-1} \) exists. In this case, from (8), the copula is recovered by:

\[
C(u,v) = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(u) + \varphi(v))
\]

Hence, the non-strict generators are those for which \( \varphi(0) < \infty \), we say that the generators have a singular component, in this case we must begin by defining a pseudo-inverse function \( \varphi^{-1} \). As an example:

\[
\varphi(t) = 1 - t \rightarrow \varphi^{-1}(t) = \max(1 - t; 0).
\]

Noted that:

\[
\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(u) + \varphi(v)) = \max(u + v - 1; 0) = W
\]

The lower Frenet bound for (bivariate) copulas is Archimedean. For more details on Archimedean copulas...
(strict and not strict), see Nelsen [14], Genest and MacKay [9], Genest and Rivet [8], Jouini and Clemen [30], Mari and Kotz [12]. Many applications on Archimedean copula have been discussed in finance, actuarial science, see [18] who uses Archimedean copulas to induce dependence among random variables.

Examples of families of Archimedean copulas are listed in Table I. An immediate consequence of the definition of d-monotonicity is that, in the bivariate case, C in (7) is a copula if and only if if \( \varphi \in \Theta \) is convex. Furthermore, a generator \( \varphi \in \Theta \) generates an Archimedean copula in arbitrary dimensions if and only if \( \varphi \) is completely monotone.

We focus here on the latter case, where the complete monotonicity is fulfilled by several commonly used parametric generators (Archimedean copula for example).

**TABLE I: FAMILIES OF BIVARIATE ARCHIMEDEAN COPULAS**

| Family | Copulas | Parameters \( \varphi \) |
|--------|---------|---------------------------|
| AMH    | \((1 - \theta(1-u)(1-v))/\theta\) | \(\theta \in [0,1]\) |
|        | \((u^\theta + v^\theta - 1)\theta^2\) |                       |
|        | \(-\theta^{-1}\ln(1)\) |                       |
| C      | \(\frac{e^{-\theta u} - 1}{1 - e^{-\theta}}\) | \(\theta \in [0,\infty)\) |
| F      | \(\exp(-(\ln(u))^\theta)\) | \(\theta \in [0,\infty)\) |
| G      | \(\frac{1}{1 - (1-u)^\theta + (1-v)^\theta}\) | \(\theta \in [1,\infty)\) |
| J      | \(-((1-u)^\theta + (1-v)^\theta)\) | \(\theta \in [1,\infty)\) |

Table I represents particular Archimedean copulas families (completely monotone) and the expressions of their corresponding generators, the families of Ali-Mikhail-Haq (AMH), Clayton (C), Frank (F), Gumbel-Hougaard (G) and Joe (J) (See also Hofert [26]).

The parameter \( \theta \) can vary only in \((0, \infty)\). The latter is the range for which the Clayton and Frank generators are completely monotone. Also note that for the Ali-Mikhail-Haq family, Kendall’s range of attainable tau is limited in \([0, 1/3]\).

Measuring dependence between two random variables or more (also called a generalized correlation) often becomes necessary in most useful applications on copulas. These measures are cited as association measures and are generally studied in the bivariate case. Kendall’s tau is one of those measures, for a vector \((X, Y)\) is given by:

\[
\tau = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} I(X_i < X_j, Y_i > Y_j) - I(X_i > X_j, Y_i < Y_j)
\]

In a different way, the Kendall’s tau is defined as the probability of concordance less the probability of discordance \([16]\), it measures, as a number in \([-1, 1]\).

Therefore, by principle an Archimedean copula can typically be expressed in terms of their generators, i.e., generated by a twice continuously differentiable generator with:

\[
\varphi(t) > 0, t \in [0,1).
\]

Then, Kendall’s tau can be represented as:

\[
\tau = 1 + 4 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi'(t)} dt = 1 + 4 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\varphi^{-1}(t)}{\varphi'(\varphi^{-1}(t))} dt.
\]

For the proof see [14].

**TABLE II: KENDALL’S TAU FOR THE ARCHIMEDEAN COPULAS**

| Family | Copulas | Range of \( \tau \) |
|--------|---------|---------------------|
| AMH    | \(1 - (2(\theta + (1 - \theta)^2 \ln(1 - \theta))) / \theta^2\) | \(-0.1817 \leq \tau < \frac{1}{2}\) |
| C      | \(1 + (4(\theta - (\theta - 1)^2 \ln(1 - \theta))) / (\theta - 1)\) | \(0 \leq \tau < 1\) |
| F      | \((\theta - 1) / \theta\) | \(-1 \leq \tau < 1\) |
| G      | \(1 - 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k^2 + 1)(\theta^2 + 1)}\) | \(0 \leq \tau < 1\) |
| J      | \(1 - 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k^2 + 1)(\theta^2 + 1)}\) | \(0 \leq \tau < 1\) |

Table II represent the Kendall’s tau for each family given in Table I for Archimedean copulas.

For example, for the Clayton family, \(\tau = \frac{0}{\theta + 2}\) then this family covers \(0 \leq \tau < 1\), where the quantity \(D_1(\theta)\) is the Debye function of order one, defined by:

\[
D_1(\theta) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t}{\exp(t) - 1} dt, \theta \in [0, \infty[.
\]

**III. RESULTS**

The estimation of copula parameters is the main focus of this section, even by way, over the first case, the estimation of the copula C is completely parametric, and it is assumed that the margins belong to a parameter-indexed family. Hence, in order to estimate their parameters, it is sufficient to estimate the margins. To hold this estimate, it is desirable to parameterize the copula function for statistical modeling.

Fig. 1. Archimedean generators listed in Table I.
purposes, for a reason that data can be used to shed light on the extent of the association between the random variables.

Assume that the copula \( C \) belongs to a continuous parametric family \( \{ C_\theta : \theta \in \Theta \} \), where \( \Theta \) the open subset of \( \mathbb{R}^p \) for some integer \( p \geq 1 \) represent the parameter space \( \Theta \), denote the bivariate distribution association parameter (possibly a vector). Then the copula is assumed to be parameterized as \( C_\theta \) (i.e., a copula that belongs to this family).

This notation designates a family of copulas, where the margins \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) are generally independent of \( \theta \).

Even so, estimate \( C_\theta \) amounts to estimating the vector of unknown parameters, generally carried out in two steps:

- Step 1: margins-based estimation.
- Step 2: copula-based estimation to estimate its parameters.

By using the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) firstly then certain likelihood functions are to be maximized. Sometimes, this likelihood cannot be maximized.

A. Maximum Likelihood Estimator (MLE)

Let \( \beta \) be the vector of marginal parameters and \( \theta \) be the vector of copula parameters. Given the relatively simple functional form the self-selection likelihood function under an Archimedean copula, MLE can be employed to jointly estimate all parameters of the unknown parameters vector \( (\beta_1, ..., \beta_d, \theta) \) at the same time. Assume that we observe \( d \)-independent realizations \( (\mathbf{X}_{11}, ..., \mathbf{X}_{1p}) \), \( i = 1, ..., d \), specified by margins with (CDF) cumulative distribution function \( F_i \). However, the density of \( \rho \) defined by the formula (1) is given by:

\[
 f(x_1, ..., x_d; \theta) = c_\theta(F_1, \beta_1(x_1), ..., F_d, \beta_d(x_d); \theta) \prod_{i=1}^{d} f_i(\beta_i)(x_i) \tag{9}
\]

That is associated with a sample \( (\mathbf{X}_{1i}, ..., \mathbf{X}_{ip}) \), where \( c_\theta \) is a density of a parametric copula \( C_\theta \) and \( f_i(\beta_i) \) is a density of \( F_i(\beta_i) \).

Both approaches presented seek to maximize a likelihood approximation based on (9). Consequently, the parameter vector to be estimated in the parametric approach is \( \alpha = (\beta, \theta) \) and the function of loglikelihood is given by:

\[
 l(\beta_1, ..., \beta_d, \theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log c_\theta(F_1, \beta_1(x_{i1}), ..., F_d, \beta_d(x_{ip}); \theta) \\
 + \sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log f_j(\beta_j)(x_{ij})
\]

However, the MLE of \( \theta \) will given by:

\[
 \hat{\theta}_{ML} = \arg\max l(\theta), \text{where } \theta \in \Theta.
\]

See, Lehmann and Casella [11] for more details. Under a specific copula model, the likelihood function is to be evaluated in R software by using “loglikCopula” and “loglikMvdc” packages. However, the “loglikis’ most commonly used for a model fitted by maximum likelihood.

To illustrate, let choose \( \mathcal{C} \) as a bivariate Archimedean copula (dimension \( = 2 \)), where the margins \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) are distributed under Normal \( (N(\mu, \sigma^2)) \) and exponential \( (\exp(\lambda)) \) distributions respectively. By the way, the representation of these multivariate distributions \( F_j (j = 1; 2 \text{ in our case}) \) can be done by using the “mvdc()” packages.

Since, the “fitMvdc” function is a wrapper for routine optimization in R, then to obtain the maximum likelihood estimator, a function “fitMvdc (·)” was selected.

The results obtained in TABLE II are established for the four most famous families of Archimedean copulas. From a sample of 1000 independent observations of such distribution with parameters margins:

\[
 \beta_1 = (\mu = 0, \sigma^2 = 1) \text{and } \beta_2 = \lambda = 1,
\]

where the copula parameter is chosen \( \theta = 2 \).

**TABLE III: ARCHIMEDEAN COPULA PARAMETERS ESTIMATION VIA MLE**

| Family       | \( \hat{\mu} \) | \( \hat{\sigma} \) | \( \hat{\lambda} \) | \( \hat{\theta} \) | Maximized log likelihood |
|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Clayton      | -0.0047        | 0.9752         | 1.0290         | 1.955          | -19.35                  |
| Frank        | -0.0021        | 0.9762         | 0.9597         | 1.778          | -23.98                  |
| Gumbel       | -0.0556        | 1.0101         | 1.0140         | 1.990          | -26.40                  |
| Joe          | -0.0235        | 1.0008         | 0.9984         | 2.030          | -21.89                  |

A richer performance includes standard marginal and copula parameter errors estimates that can be acquired by asking summary. See the following table:

**TABLE IV: STANDARD ERRORS ESTIMATES PARAMETERS VIA MLE**

| Family       | \( \hat{\mu} \) Std. Error | \( \hat{\sigma} \) Std. Error | \( \hat{\lambda} \) Std. Error | \( \hat{\theta} \) Std. Error |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Clayton      | 0.026                       | 0.016                       | 0.032                       | 0.09                        |
| Frank        | 0.030                       | 0.022                       | 0.03                        | 0.196                      |
| Gumbel       | 0.031                       | 1.019                       | 0.031                       | 0.059                      |
| Joe          | 0.022                       | 1.021                       | 0.031                       | 0.08                       |

In the parametric approach, through the use of a maximum likelihood estimator, the margins are estimated parametrically. Sometimes, this likelihood can be complicated and impossible to calculate, where the numerical optimization is available, and it might be too difficult. In these situations, another technique can propose to overcome this complication, generally called the IFM method which proceeds in two stages (the reader is invited to refer to the (IFM) method and the parametric MLE method).

B. Margin Inference Function Method (IFM)

This method was introduced by Xu [29] and Joe [16] in a general framework. He called the inference function method for margins (IFM) because estimation functions relate to likelihood score functions (univariate or multivariate).

The IFM was used primarily for multivariate models in that a multi-likelihood score functions (univariate or multivariate). A richer performance includes standard marginal and copula parameter errors estimates that can be acquired by asking summary. See the following table:

The IFM method can be decreased the computational load potentially associated with...
the estimation of the maximum likelihood. Hence, this method proceeds in two stages:

- Stage 1: Estimate the margins parameters firstly.
- Stage 2: fix the marginal parameters obtained in the first stage, and then estimate the copula parameters.

See Joe [28], [29]. By analogy, the unknown margins parameter vectors \((\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_d)\) are first estimated by:

\[
\beta_{n,j} = \arg\sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log f_i(x_{ij}) \right\} \text{, where } \beta_j \in \mathbb{R}^p
\]

For \(j = 1, \ldots, d\). By the way in the second stage, estimating the vector of the unknown copula parameter \(\theta\) is performed by:

\[
\hat{\theta}_{\text{IFM}} = \arg\sup \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log c(\hat{F}_{1,\beta_{n,1}}(x_1), \ldots, \hat{F}_{d,\beta_{n,d}}(x_d)); \theta) \right),
\]

where \(\theta \in \Theta\). The main advantage of this approach is that this method (IFM) is done in two stages. Wherever, under the MLE estimate, when models are usually multivariate, the number of parameters increases, and the numerical optimization becomes more complicated. Also, for some models, multi-dimensional numerical integration is needed, and this becomes increasing difficult, for the theoretical properties of the IFM method see [28].

To illustrate, we take into account the same setting used in the MLE estimation. The key R function is “fitCopula ()” with argument “method = "ml"”.

### TABLE V: ARCHIMEDEAN COPULA PARAMETERS ESTIMATION VIA IFM

| Family  | \(\hat{\theta}\) | Std. Error | Maximzed Log Likelihood |
|---------|-----------------|------------|-------------------------|
| Clayton | 1.956           | 0.086      | 425.8                   |
| Frank   | 1.779           | 0.195      | 41.77                   |
| Gumbel  | 1.98            | 0.051      | 3600                    |
| Joe     | 2.021           | 0.065      | 2334                    |

If the margins are carelessly specified, the estimate will be biased. Hence, despite the advantages of the IFM, we mention that this method does not prevent it from suffering the same disadvantage as an estimate of the maximum likelihood. The proof of this phenomenon was obtained in Fermanian and Scaillet [31] and Kim et al. [32].

In the semi-parametric approach, we do not assume that the margins \((F_1, \ldots, F_d)\) belong to any parametric family. Then, we estimate them directly by the nonparametric estimator given by (4). Therefore, we replace the margins by their estimate in (9), to estimate \(\theta\), and we maximize the part of the likelihood involving \(\theta\). For more details, see [7].

The consistency of the estimator it is asymptotically normal under some conditions. However, despite these properties of convergence, it is not, in general efficient, except in the case of the bivariate Gaussian copula. See [6], [32].

IV. SEMI-PARAMETRIC ESTIMATION METHODS FOR COPULA

In the previous section, we established parametric methods to estimate copula parameters, where the estimation constructed on the margins is completely parametric. In this section, we focus on non-parametric estimation of these margins by using a moment’s estimator, performed on coefficients of dependency (Kendall’s tau and Spearman’s rho), which leads us to pass automatically to a semi-parametric approach. By the same way, the application is made on Archimedean copulas.

A. Copula Estimation via Moment Estimator Based on Kendall’s Tau and Spearman’s Rho

The estimation methods based on the correlation coefficients of Spearman’s rho or Kendall’s tau rank are called tau-inversion methods (respectively, rho-inversion) or concordance method (mentioned in part one), take advantage of the relationship between these coefficients of dependency and the parameter of the copula \(\theta\). Early references on the former in a copula setting are, among others, Oakes [15], Genest [23], Genest and Rivest [8].

We have seen the definition of the dependency coefficients given by formulas (2) and (3). However, for the pair \((X_i, X_j)\) the empirical version of these coefficients is given by:

\[
\hat{\tau}_{ij} = \left( \frac{n}{2} \right)^{-1} \sum \text{sign}(X_i^{(p)} - X_j^{(p)})(X_i^{(p)} - X_j^{(p)})
\]

\[
\hat{\rho}_{ij} = \frac{\sum_{p=1}^{n}(\bar{U}_i^{(p)} - \bar{U}_j^{(p)})^2 \sum_{p=1}^{n}(\bar{U}_i^{(p)} - \bar{U}_j^{(p)})}{\sum_{p=1}^{n}(\bar{U}_i^{(p)} - \bar{U}_j^{(p)})^2}
\]

where \(\bar{U}_i^{(p)} = \bar{F}_i(X_i^{(p)})\) and \(\bar{U}_j = \sum_{p=1}^{n} \bar{U}_i^{(p)} / n\), \(i = 1, \ldots, d\) and:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{sign}(x) &= 1 & \text{if } x > 0 \\
\text{sign}(x) &= -1 & \text{if } x < 0 \\
\text{sign}(x) &= 0 & \text{if } x = 0
\end{align*}
\]

Then, the estimators \(\hat{\theta}\) obtained by tau-inversion methods (respectively, rho-inversion methods) are given respectively by:

\[
\tau(\hat{\theta}) = \hat{\tau}_{ij} \text{then } \hat{\theta} = \tau^{-1}(\hat{\tau}_{ij})
\]

\[
\rho(\hat{\theta}) = \hat{\rho}_{ij} \text{then } \hat{\theta} = \rho^{-1}(\hat{\rho}_{ij})
\]

where \(\tau^{-1}\) and \(\rho^{-1}\) are the inverses of \(\tau\) and \(\rho\) respectively, if they exist.

In the bivariate case (\(d = 2\)) and when there is only one real parameter to estimate, the tau-inversion method applies to match the estimate under the model with its empirical estimate. Therefore, the estimator \(\hat{\theta}\) satisfies:

\[
\tau(\hat{\theta}) = \hat{\tau}_{1,2} \text{, then } \hat{\theta} = \tau^{-1}(\hat{\tau}_{1,2})
\]

These two estimators are consistent and asymptotically unbiased. Noted that the inversion method of Kendall’s tau or Spearman’s rho is belonging to a semi-parametric approach,
since the expressions of their empirical version for margins $F_1, \ldots, F_d$ are implicitly estimated non-parametrically by (4).

Therefore, if we suppose that $C$ is the family of Gumbel copulas, then the estimator of $\theta$ by the tau-inversion method:

$$\tau(C_\theta) = 1 - \frac{1}{\theta}$$

In R software, the name of the function corresponding to $\tau^{-1}$ is iTau().

To illustrate, we suggest that the copula selected is belonging to a bivariate Archimedean family with a single parameter $\theta = 2$, where the margins are distributed under a standard normal. To applied, let a 1000 independent observations the following table summarizes our application in R:

| Family   | Tau theo value | Std. Error | $\theta$ estimate |
|----------|----------------|------------|-------------------|
| Clayton  | 0.5026         | 0.068      | 2.027             |
| Frank    | 0.1909         | 0.031      | 1.7786            |
| Gumbel   | 0.4939         | 0.065      | 1.9760            |
| Joe      | 0.3551         | 0.048      | 2.000             |

By the use of a standard error, we find the values corresponding across each copula indicated in the last columns of the previous table. By analogy using the same propositions as the previous one when the estimate is based on Spearman’s rho. The results of the application are summarized in Table VII:

| Family   | Tau theo value | Std. Error | $\theta$ estimate |
|----------|----------------|------------|-------------------|
| Clayton  | 0.6840         | 0.019      | 2.0078            |
| Frank    | 0.2843         | 0.030      | 1.7763            |
| Gumbel   | 0.6756         | 0.019      | 1.9746            |

The censored and uncensored samples (artificial data) for a type of non-Archimedean copula (bivariate Gaussian copula) are presented in the following figures.

V. APPLICATION: MLE ESTIMATOR FOR CENSORED DATA

A. Application for non-Archimedean Copula

When the variables contain censored observations (either left, right, or interval censoring), the copula is illustrated also by a two-step parametric MLE approach:

- Estimate the marginal parameters.
- Set the marginal parameters to the estimated values in the first step, then estimate the copula parameters.

A bivariate (non-Archimedean) copula fits the R code presented in the appendix to the data where one of the variables contains censored observations. However, all functions are required by the two parametric stages MLE estimation method to fit a copula.

The packages copula, “MASS”, “survival”, “SPREDA” are needed by all the procedures in R, therefore we make them installed and loaded by the “install.packages(.)” and “library” functions respectively.

The function for computing the log-likelihood in censoring is given by “loglikCensored”. To illustrate, we generate some artificial data using a non-Archimedean copula (bivariate Gaussian copula), for a censored observation that will subsequently be treated as right-censored cases.

Assume that the first marginal is a normal distribution $N(\text{mean} = 5, \text{sd} = 0.8)$, and the second marginal is a Weibull distribution with parameters (location=2.4 and scale=1.3). The censored and uncensored samples (artificial data) for a type of non-Archimedean copula (bivariate Gaussian copula) are presented in the following figure.
B. Application for an Archimedean Copula

In this part of the paper, we are focused on an Archimedean copula family, where the data is arbitrarily censored by the same way using a bivariate Gumbel copula with parameter 3 to generate artificial data.

Assuming that the first marginal is distributed under normal distribution \(N(\text{mean} = 5, \text{sd} = 0.8)\), and the second marginal is distributed under a Weibull distribution with parameters (location=2.4 and scale=1.3).

Then, the same procedure will apply to the rest of the programs we saw previously, see appendix.

![Fig. 4. Censored and incensored artificial data for a bivariate Gumbel copula.](image)

However, to visualize Gumbel’s copula log-likelihood functions. Fig. 5 shows the visualization of a logarithmic likelihood function used to estimate bivariate Gumbel copula parameters under censoring observations (arbitrary censoring).

By the way, we are including the MLE, confidence interval, and the true value for the copula parameter.

![Fig. 5. Fitting a bivariate Gumbel’s copula under arbitrary censoring.](image)

When we use the two-stages of the IFM estimation method, the standard error for the copula parameter is normally underappreciated (i.e., too small). The reason for this underappreciated is that the marginal IFM was set during the second step of the adjustment process. Therefore, when estimating the copula parameter at this second stage, it does not consider the margins.

VI. CONCLUSION

Two approaches are generally used for estimating copulas, the parametric and semi-parametric one. In the first case, the resulting estimate of \(C\) will be completely parametric, we assume that the marginal belongs to a parameter-indexed family, so it is sufficient to estimate their parameter in order to estimate the margins parametrically. Even, if it will be a semi-parametric approach, we don’t assuming that the margins belong to any family, so the margins will be estimated non-parametrically.

In this paper, we are interested by the two approaches, where two estimators for this approach are proposed. The maximum-likelihood is called also the MLE method and the inference function method for margins (IFM) which simplifies the estimation procedure when it is impracticable by the MLE method.

Even so, for a semi-parametric approach, the method of moments based on Kendall’s tau and Spearman’s rho is presented. An extension of the copula moment method, regarded as a generalization of the tau-inverse method, has been presented in a generalized context.

As an application of the MLE estimator, two classes of Archimedean and non-Archimedean copulas were introduced. The first class was chosen because of its number of interesting properties and the efficiency of its results. In addition, the second class was chosen to be compared with the first.

Hence the purpose of this paper is to present a particular class of copulas (Archimedean), their uses, and their approach in statistics. However, their illustration in the R language-focused mainly on the semi-parametric approach, it was discussed in part five of the paper under censored data to introduce the log-likelihood function and the estimation of a copula using two stages consecrated for this method.

One of the perspectives is the modeling of this class of copula-based on the CM’s estimator in the case of censored data.

APPENDIX

As an illustration in R software, the key function for the maximum likelihood:

- The illustration of the maximum likelihood estimator to illustrate the Gumbel copula needs at first to assert the function `gumbelCopula()` with the real value of parameter.
- To illustrate the margins as \(N(\mu = 0, \sigma^2 = 1)\) and \(\text{exp}(\lambda = 1)\), it is enough to assert the `mvdc()` function.
- To maximize a log-likelihood function you need to use the `fitMvdc()` for illustration.

The key function for the moment estimator Based on Kendall’s Tau and Spearman’s Rho:

- The illustration of the moment estimator Based on Kendall’s Tau and Spearman’s Rho needs the same procedure given above for margins, except that the function used to the corresponding copula parameter estimate is `iTau()` and `iRho()` respectively.
- However, the standard error is returned by the function `summary()`.
Application on non-Archimedean copula under censoring data:

- For a censored and incensored artificial data using a bivariate Gaussian copula, we generate the margins distribution by mvd(copula=..., c("norm", "myWeibull"), list(list(mean=..., sd=...), list(location=..., scale=...))). Where the first marginal is a normal distribution, and the second marginal is a Weibull distribution.

- To visualize the copula: we draw sample, inspect the generated data and we apply random censoring to the observations by select myWeibull() function, then ifelse(xy[,2]> censored, 0, 1) where xy[,2] <- pmin(xy[,2], censored). Hence, the censored observations will subsequently be treated as right censored cases.

- To plot the censored data we assert the plot() function, as well as, we choose the legend and the col.

To fit a bivariate Gaussian copula to the artificial data by means of the two-stage estimation method.

- **Stage 1:** fit the marginal’s, and obtain the marginal parameters by:

  (normMLE<- fitdistr(copula=c("norm", "myWeibull")),
  normMean1 <- normMLE$estimate
  normSD1 <- normMLE$estimate
  (weibullMLE<- survreg(copula=c("weibull"),
  weibulllocation2 <- coef(weibullMLE)
  weibullScale2 <- weibullMLE$scale
  Compute the cumulative probabilities for the marginal’s bycbind(pnorm(),
  pmyWeibull()) function.

- **Stage 2:** fix the parameters of the marginal’s and estimate the copula parameter by:

  Starting value for the copula parameter:
  taurEst<- sin(cor(..., ..., method="kendall")*pi/2)).
  fitGaussian<- optim(taurEst, loglikCensored, method="BFGS", copula=...
  Apply MLE for the copula parameter using
  fitGaussian$par function.

- To visualize the logarithmic likelihood function used to estimate the parameter of the copula, we need to select the Kendall’s tau value taus<- seq(-1, 0, length.out=100).

- By the way, when data is censored the R key for copula is loglikCensored(), lik<- sapply(taus, function() loglikCensored(param=..., copula=...).

- Then we call the function plot(taus, lik, type=", xlab="", ylab="").

- To include the MLE for the copula parameter we declareabline(v=fitGaussian$par) function.

- To include the confidence interval for the copula parameter we declare abline(v=ci) function.

- To include the true value for the copula parameter we assert abline(v=myCop@parameters) function.

- To add a legend we assert legend() function.

Application for an Archimedean copula under censoring:

By the same way, using in non-Archimedean copula we just need to change the Gaussian copula by the corresponding Archimedean copula.
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