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Abstract: The world currently is reeling under acute shortage in essential resources for human existence due to growing population and elevated living standards. Today society at large demands more contributions from engineering community in terms of devising better and cost effective solutions to address existing problems. The engineering practice hence demands better skill sets that are honed to meet present day societal expectations. This situation demands improved teaching-learning methods that prepares engineering graduates to operate in multidisciplinary teams to accomplish the targeted solution or goal.

The present work showcases implementation of effective pedagogical approach in Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) laboratory course prescribed for interdisciplinary PG Programme in Energy Systems Engineering. The course intends to enable students in usage of computational tools that includes commercial and open source software packages for fluid flow analysis. The CFD course has application in diverse engineering domains as a precursor for selection and optimization of functional elements in products that involve fluid flows. The course delivery posed several challenges due to diversity within student group and need of mathematical proficiency. The exercises designed in CFD lab-course related to execution of complete cycle of analysis taking into account formulation and solution of fluid flow and heat transfer aspects. The course thereby related to attainment of Programme outcomes (POs) covering areas of Research capabilities, Competence on use of modern computational tools, Multi-disciplinary team activity and communication aspects.

The results obtained provide useful inputs to develop measures that will improve the delivery of the course for next batch of students opting the course.
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1. Introduction

CFD has emerged as a powerful tool for design and research studies involving heat and mass transfer related problems. The CFD approach has made in-roads as an effective means for analysis in majority of the engineering disciplines. The wide application range of CFD has made it a multidisciplinary platform for the refinement of envisaged products related to electrical, electronics, construction, food processing, manufacturing and space technology. The CFD tools have been successfully deployed into different engineering problems like aerofoil design, optimization of air gap in generator, heat sink design for electronic gadgets and microwave heating.

The nature of current engineering problems has shifted to multi-physics arena that commands integration of different physical processes dependent on time and space as observed in micro electro mechanical systems and combustion reaction in IC engines. This scenario of product design mandates for an engineer to have strong skillsets in numerical modeling as evident from research activities in renewable energy. The industries today are heavily dependent on CFD tool for research and development activities that involve product optimization before installation or launching the system.

There are some challenges in teaching CFD for the students which include strong mathematics skills and programming skills. CFD lab is a time intensive course with student performance evaluation based on the level of active involvement to analyze the independently defined task. The lab course helps students to connect to real world problem and obtain the solution through use of computational tool. Industries partners with educational
institutes for CFD related research that leads to some breakthrough solutions to industry problems.

2. Literature review
The experiences of different educational institutes gravitate on the need to enhance quality of education at all three levels that includes student, teacher and educational institution. The frame work promotes on time solutions to existing societal problems and adds value all three levels of pedagogy cycle. The modified approach addresses educational reforms that demands adoption of newer and better learning models to promote innovation in education. To develop pedagogical set up for the new teaching technique to achieve learning in all three layers of education. To ensure quality education the soundness between the layers and constructive alignment in the layer was a prerequisite. The proposed model for effective learning integrated information, motivation, activity, interaction and production. [1]

The better pedagogy for teaching CFD course was to develop core mathematics through step-by-step procedure with no assumptions to neglect any terms. CFD course should foster clear understanding of conservation laws and mathematical equations that are helpful for effective use of CFD [2]. The importance of selecting simple real time problem for the introduction of CFD lab with comparison of solutions obtained by coding problem and commercial CFD package was emphasized to motivate students that promoted attainment of learning outcomes [3].

The use of CFD software tools ensured better quality of analysis due to lower time consumption as compared to lecture mode of delivery on the topic. This reduces startup time as well as valuable lecture time. CFD software tools provide structured learning experience, ensuring proper pedagogy to assimilate theoretical concepts linked to the solution. Simultaneous analytical theory and experimental correlation to engineering problems can be visualized with the help of CFD software tool [4]. The CFD results through simulation study allowed student to visualize flow based on contour plots that significantly enhanced learning. The tool provided provision for changes in the geometry and fluid properties facilitating students to explore the effects of these variables [5].

The simultaneous learning of theory and laboratory courses helped in improvement of teaching modules and facilitated students to understand course in greater depth [6]. The present education system has to be aligned towards integration of different disciplines into transcend disciplinary specialization by inter, multi and trans-disciplinary approaches. The industry view dictates necessity to have practical knowledge rather than restricting to only theoretical knowhow [7]. The pedagogy research proposed restructuring of education system to enhance effectiveness of education that included the shift from,

- Whole class to small group.
- Lecture to coaching mode for more engaged students.
- Work with better students to work with all students.
- Assessment based on test performance to assessment based on products, progress and effort.
- Competitive to cooperative social structure.
- All learners learning same things to different students learning different things.
- Verbal thinking to the integration of the visual and verbal thinking.[8]

3. Details of Course for pedagogy reforms
The Energy systems Engineering PG program spans two year duration offered to students holding UG degree in various streams of Engineering including streams of Mechanical and Electrical.

The program is designed such as to stress more on teaching how to learn rather than just teaching the subjects which is realized by self-study component. The different courses taught in the program are Computational fluid dynamics, Conventional energy conversion systems, Renewable energy conversion systems (mainly focusing on Wind and Solar energy systems), Systems modelling and analysis and Sustainable building design. Each subject facilitates student to work on course project which involves application of theory knowledge of the subject in to live examples. After completion of three semesters each student has to individually handle the individual research project which takes lion share in the credits allotted for the program. In which the student has to implement the theoretical knowledge gained in the program to create innovative product. In doing so CFD tool skills helped students to create and understand the behavior of the product computationally saving time. In order to improve the skills in dealing with CFD tool this lab course was introduced as part of the PG Programme.

The lab course was designed for beginners with limited knowledge of 3D modelling software packages and hence the course commenced with introduction to the geometry, Grid generation, Specifications of boundary conditions, Different methods used for the simulation and analysis of simulation results. Each session included relevant introduction on the topic and governing equations were discussed. This approach helped clear visualization of CFD tool instead of treating it as a black box. The hands-on experience on CFD was ensured to students in this lab sessions through extensive activity based learning through simulation tools.

4. Methodology of Course Delivery
The course was delivered based on the Lebrun’s learning model that emphasized on integration of Information, Motivation, Activities, interaction and production. In the beginning of the course the learning outcomes are set which in turn made the course goal oriented. The details of the outcome based jargon connected to CFD course is briefed in this section.

Course learning outcomes:
By the end of the course student should be able to

- Model the 3D geometry for given problem.
- Select appropriate dimensions for flow domain.
- Select proper mesh.
- Define initial and boundary conditions.
- Test convergence of solution.
- Interpret post processing results.

Fig. 1. Details of Students background

Majority of the students (64.71%) were from electrical science background not familiar with the modelling tools. In order to provide bridging knowledge on basics of modeling to students from electrical background and simultaneously keeping Mechanical science students in the flow in the same time a shift from whole class to small group is realized. Group of 3 members was created such that each group contained one member from mechanical background and 2 students from electrical science background.

Fig. 2. Conceptual approach for course delivery

To enhance the learning ability coaching methodology adopted rather than giving lecture on the course.

Fig. 3. Methodology of CFD course delivery

5. Results and Discussions:
The results obtained from the performance assessment of the student and student feedback for the course are put in graphical form and discussed in this section.
The following conclusions were drawn through the qualitative and quantitative analysis of the present study:

1. The Lebrun Learning model of think-pair-share was effective to disseminate knowledge of interdisciplinary nature to learning group with diverse background.
2. The students are more receptive to learning through peer groups that are meticulously formed to generate a culture of interdependence.
3. The effectiveness of the approach adopted for the lab course was evident through the results of attainment in PO4, PO5, PO6 and PO8 that respectively were rated at 20%, 70%, 50% and 40% strong agreement.
4. The qualitative assessment indicated that 20% of the respondents were not in the delight zone in terms of effectiveness of team-work attribute addressed through the proposed approach. This deviation was attributed to individual personality traits within the learners that prohibits team formation and working in groups.
5. The course delivery resulted in a net positive value addition in the selected domain area in CFD but however with standard deviations of scores in CFD lab course within Electrical stream and Mechanical stream to be respectively 4.45 and 3.90 while the overall standard deviation was 5.36 for both streams put together.
6. In absolute grading terminology student performance in the lab course was 83% and 18% under S grade respectively for mechanical and electrical streams indicating that interdisciplinary courses strongly aligned to a particular stream poses challenges in terms of receptivity by the alien stream in the group.
7. The pedagogical approach for interdisciplinary program should be designed to align the interests of participating groups and build a synergic fusion to tackle real world problems that are largely inter-disciplinary in content and execution.
8. The present ordeal needs implementation of certain modifications that can incorporate other approaches like Kolb experiential and BYO-IDEAHO learning models.

7. Acknowledgements
The authors express their heartfelt gratitude to the Management KLE Society Belagavi, honorable Vice-Chancellor, KLE Tech. University Hubballi, TEQIP funding agency and all other individuals and Departments for their support provided during the implementation of new pedagogical approach.

References
1. Marcel Lebrun, Quality Towards an Expected Harmony: Pedagogy and Technology Speaking Together About Innovation, AACE Journal, 15(2) 2007, 115-130.
2. Jiyuan Tu, Guan-Heng Yeoh, Chaoqun Liu, Computational Fluid Dynamics: A Practical Approach, Elsevier publications, Second edition, 2013.
3. Willy Morschiedt, Simeon Cavadias, Frederic Rousseau, Bradley Da Silva, Pollution of the Rhine River: An Introduction to Numerical Modelling, Education for Chemical Engineers, 8, 2013, 119-123.
4. Curtis J.S, Henthom, K, Moeykens, S, Krishnan. M., Enhancing the Teaching of Fluid Mechanics and Transport Phenomena via Flow Lab- a Computational Fluid Dynamics Tool, Proceedings of ASME Heat transfer/ Fluid Engineering Summer Conference, 2004, 165-174.
5. Madeira, L.M., Alves, M.A., Rodrigues, A.E, Teaching Non Ideal Reactors with CFD Tools, Chemical Engineering Education, Volume 38, 2004, 154-160.
6. Stern, F., Xing, T., Muste, M., Yarborough, D., Rothmayer, A., Rajagopalan, G., Caughey, D., Bhaskaran, R., Smith, S., Hutchings, B. Integration of Simulation Technology into Undergraduate Engineering Courses and Laboratories, ASEE Annual Conference Proceedings, 2003, pp 2807-2818.
7. Jennifer M. Gidley, Evolution of Education: From Weak Signals to Rich Imaginaries of educational futures, Futures 44, 2012, 46-54.
8. Bagley, C., & Hunter, B. (1992). Restructuring, constructivism, and technology: Forging a new relationship. Educational Technology, 7, 22-27.
## ANNEXURE 1

Table A1. Assessment criteria used to analyze the effectiveness of the course

| Assessment Criteria | Weightage |
|---------------------|-----------|
| **PO-4** Ability to carry out research by identifying the problem, synthesis through literature survey, designing and conducting the experiments, analyzing and interpreting the results related to energy systems engineering. | 40% |
| **PO-5** Ability to use modern computational tools in modeling, simulation and analysis of energy systems engineering related problems. | 40% |
| **PO-6** Ability to participate effectively in multidisciplinary teams and contribute towards achieving common goals of the team. | 10% |
| **PO-8** Ability to communicate effectively in both oral and written forms with superiors, subordinates, peers and other stakeholders. | 10% |

## ANNEXURE 2

Feedback form for Qualitative assessment of CFD Lab course

**Course title and code:** CFD Lab MESL505

I Course content and delivery

Indicate your perception on the given parameters based on your experience from the stated course

**Rate on 1 to 5 scale:** 1: strong disagreement …….. 5: Strong agreement

| Sl.No | Parameters | Rating (1-5 scale) |
|-------|------------|--------------------|
| 1     | Contents of the lab |                     |
| 2     | Modeling of the given problem |               |
| 3     | Improvement in competence to handle the tool individually |           |
| 4     | Work in multidisciplinary teams |                |
| 5     | Effectiveness to communicate in oral and written mode |            |
| 6     | Quality of delivery of course content |               |
| 7     | Competence to analyze real world fluid flow problem |        |

II Value addition through CFD Lab course

Indicate your perception on the given parameters with respect to value addition through the CFD Lab course

**Rate on 1 to 5 scale:** 1: Strong disagreement …….. 5: Strong agreement

| Sl.No | Parameters | Before CFD lab | On completion of CFD lab |
|-------|------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| 1     | Geometry creation |                |                         |
| 2     | Grid generation |                 |                         |
| 3     | Specifying Boundary conditions |             |                         |
| 4     | Solving of CFD problem |             |                         |
| 5     | Convergence of result |              |                         |
| 6     | Interpretation of CFD results |         |                         |