Psoriasis, Cardiovascular Events, and Biologics: Lights and Shadows
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Nowadays, it is well established a link between psoriasis and cardiovascular (CV) diseases. A series of different overlapping mechanisms including inflammation, homeostasis dysregulation, and genetic susceptibility are thought to underlie this association. Advances in understanding the molecular patterns involved in the complex scenario of psoriasis have highlighted a tight correlation with atherosclerosis. Indeed, common profiles are shared in term of inflammatory cytokines and cell types. In the last decade, the management of psoriasis patients has been revolutionized with the introduction of biological therapies, such as tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), interleukin (IL)-12/23, and IL-17 inhibitors. In clinical setting, the effectiveness of these therapies as well as the incidence of CV events is related to the type of biologics. In particular, anti-TNF-α agents seem to reduce these events in psoriasis patients whereas anti-IL-12/23 agents related CV events reduction still remain to clarify. It has to be taken into account that IL-12/23 inhibitors have a shorter post-marketing surveillance period. An even more restricted observational time is available for anti-IL-17 agents. IL-17 is associated with psoriasis, vascular disease, and inflammation. However, IL-17 role in atherosclerosis is still debated, exerting both pro-atherogenic and anti-atherogenic effects depending on the specific context. In this review, we will discuss the differences between the onset of CV events in psoriasis patients, referred to specific biological therapy and the underlying immunological mechanism. Given the development of new therapeutic strategies, the investigation of these inhibitors impact on heart failure outcome is extremely important.
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PSORIASIS AND CARDIOVASCULAR (CV) EVENTS

The relationship between psoriasis (PsO) and an increased incidence of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACEs) has been observed for decades, since McDonald and Calabresi first demonstrated that the risk associated with arterial and vascular diseases was 2.2 times higher in more of 300 hospitalized patients with PsO compared with controls with other dermatological conditions (1). Since

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; CRP, C-reactive protein; CT, computed tomography; CV, cardiovascular; CVD, cardiovascular disease; FDG, 18F-fluoro-deoxyglucose; GPRD, General Practice Research Database; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; IFN, interferon; IL, interleukin; IMT, intima-media thickness; IRs, incidence rates; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; MACEs, major adverse cardiovascular events; MCP, monocyte chemoattractant protein; ML, myocardial infarction; MTFX, methotrexate; OR, odds ratio; PASI, psoriasis area severity index; PET, positron emission tomography; RBP4, retinol-binding protein 4; RCTs, random control trials; Th, T helper; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; TNFi, TNF-α inhibitors; V-CAM, vascular cell adhesion molecule; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.
then, several studies have confirmed these findings, convincingly proving that patients with Pso have an effective higher risk of developing severe CV events, such as myocardial infarction (MI) and stroke (2). In 2006, using the General Practice Research Database (GPRD) source, Gelfand et al. suggested that Pso is an independent risk factor for acute MI and cardiovascular disease (CVD), particularly in young patients, and that this risk is most significant in patients with severe disease (3). In 2007, Ludwig and colleagues also identified Pso as a possible independent risk factor for CVD development founding a significantly increased prevalence and severity of the CVD indicator coronary artery calcification factor in these patients (4). In addition, increases in the prevalence of other independent "traditional" risk factors for CVD, including smoking, excess alcohol intake, as well as obesity, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and insulin resistance (the common underlying factors of metabolic syndrome), have been also reported in psoriatic patients (5–7). However, despite the evidences, some studies failed to find a significant independent association between Pso and CVD (8, 9). In 2015, using the same population-based GPRD, Parisi et al. conducted a series of multivariable analyses on patients with incident Pso concluding that neither Pso nor severe Pso are associated with a risk of MACE even after adjustment for traditional CVD risk factors (10). To date, the debate is whether or not this link represents a causal relationship or is a predisposition due to the underlying risk factors exhibited by patients with severe Pso (9, 10). The main hypothesis is that chronic inflammation which occurs in Pso is more than skin deep and results in a “psoriatic march” driving systemic mechanisms that are shared with other chronic inflammatory diseases, including atherosclerosis (Figure 1) (11–14). This concept was introduced for the first time in 2011 by Boehncke and colleagues to describe how systemic psoriatic inflammation may lead to insulin resistance as well as endothelial cell dysfunction, causing atherosclerosis, the major pathological change preceding MI and stroke development (15). Indeed, psoriatic patients with altered glucose metabolism and insulin resistance showed an increased arterial stiffness compared with healthy subjects, with a positive correlation between arterial stiffness and Pso disease duration (16, 17). Understanding why Pso may be a risk factor for atherosclerosis requires a basic understanding of their shared pathogenic features. In 2012, Flammer and Rutschitzka proposed the theory of "two plaques for one syndrome" since molecular mechanisms as well as pro-inflammatory cytokine profile of psoriatic lesions are remarkably similar to that of atherosclerosis ones, with a comparable inflammatory infiltrate of T cells, macrophages, and monocytes (18, 19). In addition, both diseases display a common pattern of T-cell activation, with T helper (Th)1 and Th17 cytokine upregulation, as well as increased local and systemic expression of adhesion molecules and endothelins (19). Thus, there are displacements of inflammatory cells between lesional psoriatic skin, peripheral circulation, and atheromatous plaques of coronary vasculature caused by the releasing cytokines derived from the skin and inflammatory mediators derived from Pso lesions into the circulation, together with an upregulation of cell adhesion molecules (20). Moreover, Pso-associated pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as interferon (IFN)-γ, tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), and interleukin (IL)-17, have been found increased in atherosclerotic plaques and sera of patients with unstable CVD (21, 22). Similarly, increased expression of well known CV biomarkers, including monocyte chemotactic protein (MCP)-1 and macrophage-derived chemokines, have been measured in the lesional skin and serum of psoriatic patients suggesting shared inflammatory pathways linking Pso and CVD (23). Recently, Kolliker Frers et al. have demonstrated that pro-atherogenic inflammation marker C-reactive protein (CRP) and soluble intercellular adhesion molecule-1 levels are increased also in psoriatic patients with no CV history or traditional CV risk factors, compared with healthy subjects, as well as in patients with recent-onset PsA, even in the absence of CV risks. These data reinforce the concept that that the degree of atherosclerosis tendency might be related to the amount of the inflammatory psoriatic burden and highlight the importance of primary prevention in Pso also in those psoriatic patients with no history of CV events (24).

THERAPEUTIC IMPLICATIONS

The growing body of evidences pointing to increases in MI and CV events in psoriatic patients has raised the question whether treating cutaneous disease might also prevent heart attacks and decrease CVD risk development. Moreover, the postulated hypothesis that inflammatory cascade activated in Pso contributes to the atherosclerotic process has laid the groundwork for supposing that the anti-inflammatory Pso therapy could theoretically improve also atherosclerosis and reduce the risk of MACE (25). Prodanovich et al. first reported that treatment with methotrexate (MTX), especially when used at low doses and in combination with folic acid supplementation, was able to reduce the rate of vascular disease in patients with Pso or rheumatoid arthritis (RA) (26). A subsequent meta-analysis of 10 studies confirmed these data concluding that the use of MTX resulted in 21% lower risk of CVD and 18% lower risk of MI (27). Moreover, data from a large health-care system in USA proved that decreased MI risk in psoriatic patients treated with MTX was greater than those receiving topical therapy (28). In 2013, using a population-based Danish cohort, an observational study found that the risk of MACE development decreased in psoriatic patients in treatment with MTX compared with other non-biological agents, including oral retinoids, cyclosporine, and phototherapy (29). Indeed, treatment with cyclosporine, leading to impaired renal function and hypertension, may negatively affect the MACE profile in these patients by increasing the risk of hypertension and dyslipidemia (30). For that reason, in psoriatic patients, cyclosporine should only be used for a limited period and should be substituted with another systemic agent once the skin condition is improved (30). Similarly, acitretin, the most commonly agent used to treat generalized pustular Pso, has been associated with an increased risk of hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and CVD even if several investigations have shown that retinoids also improve and ameliorate the formation of atherosclerotic plaques (31, 32). Moreover, Boehncke et al. found that also treatment with fumaric acid esters resulted in an improvement of CV risk biomarkers in patients with moderate-to-severe plaque-type Pso. In particular, the authors...
demonstrated that a continuous systemic therapy with fumaric acid esters, behind reducing Psoriasis severity, was able to improve the endothelial vasodilator function, reduce serum levels of CRP, and increase the potentially cardioprotective adiponectin (33). However, it has been with the introduction of biological therapies that Psoriasis treatment expectations and long-term control have greatly improved and the idea that these therapies, more than the systemic ones, might reduce the risk of CVD reinforced (34). To date, although several biological therapies have been approved and licensed for the treatment of Psoriasis, their CV safety profile is not yet well established (35). Currently, it is unclear whether any of these therapies, which include TNF-α inhibitors (TNFi) (infliximab, etanercept, and adalimumab); inhibitors of the p40 subunit common to IL-12 and IL-23 (ustekinumab and briakinumab); IL-17A inhibitors (secukinumab and ixekizumab), and its receptor antagonist (brodalumab) could alter the risk of CVD development (35). Thus, the aim of this review has been to evaluate whether possible associations exist between currently licensed biological therapies and risk of MACEs in adult patients with Psoriasis.

**PSORIASIS AS IMMUNE-MEDIATED DISEASE**

Psoriasis is an immune-mediated inflammatory skin disorder that affects 2.5% of the population worldwide. While the exact etiology of psoriasis is unknown, genetic and environmental...
agents can reduce the CRP, the vascular endothelial
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course of the disease (36, 37). Moreover, the immune system plays a crucial role in the overall disease
pathogenesis, with various innate and adaptive immune cells
and pro-inflammatory mediators involved at different stages
of the disease (38). T cells are known to be the main actors
in the pathogenesis, in particular Th1 and Th17 lymphocytes
contribute through the inflammatory cytokines release that
promote further recruitment of immune cells, keratinocyte prolifera- tion, and inflammation (39). More specifically, CD4+ and
CD8+ T cells with an IL-17-secretory phenotype are important
contributors owing to their production of the pro-inflammatory
cytokines IL-17, IL-22, and TNF\(\alpha\) (40). For over 30 years, Pso
was thought to involve a Th1 response, driven by the cytokines
IFN-\(\gamma\) and IL-12; however, the discovery of the Th17 cell popula-
tion has revolutionized the complex scenario of Pso. Indeed,
the IL-23/Th17 cell signaling axis effects on keratinocytes and
infiltrating immune cells in the skin has shaped the current
disease model of Pso. Therefore, psoriatic disease is understood
as a patterned response to chronic activation of the IL-23/Th17
axis (36). Recently, it has been identified T-regulatory cells
expressing IL-17A in which, Foxp3 expression is progressively
lost, whereas ROR\(\gamma\) expression is increased (39, 40). This process
is upregulated by IL-23 and concurring to the chronic inflamma-
tion seen in Pso. In particular, IL-23 regulates the maintenance
of Th17 cells, whereas IL-17 and TNF mediate effector functions
of innate (TNF) and adaptive (TNF, IL-17) immune cells.

EFFECTS OF BIOLOGICAL THERAPY
ON CV RISK IN PSORIATIC PATIENTS

Anti-TNF-\(\alpha\) Agents
Pso is considered a T cell-mediated immune disease with a
mixed Th1/Th17 cytokines environment. The interplay of these
cytokines has a central role in the disease process by causing
the spread of local inflammation at systemic levels. Specifically,
elevated levels of TNF-\(\alpha\) and soluble TNF receptors have been
found in lesional psoriatic skin and in the serum of patients with
severe Pso, which similarly reflect those detected in congestive
heart failure (CHF) patients (41–43). TNF-\(\alpha\) activation system
can lead to different outcomes: (i) the development of athero-
sclerosis, (ii) the deterioration of cardiac functions, and (iii) the
vascular smooth muscle cell remodeling (44–46). Since 2004,
US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved TNFi,
such as etanercept, infliximab, and adalimumab for Pso treat-
ment. Most recently, five TNFi biosimilars have been approved
by FDA for Pso: infliximab-dyyb, infliximab-abda, etanercept-
szsz, adalimumab-atto, and adalimumab-adbm (47, 48). The effective-
ness of these biologics in the management of psoriatic patients
has been highlighted since the earliest literature (49–54).

Anti-TNF-\(\alpha\) agents can reduce the CRP, the vascular endothelial
growth factor (VEGF), and the chemotactic factors (e.g., VCAM-1,
E-selectin, IL-8, and MCP-1) (55, 56) as well as the Th17 cell
count in the peripheral blood of psoriatic patients (49, 57). In fact,
TNFi stop CD4+ T cells differentiation into Th1, Th17, and Th22
cells and the consequent release of IL-17A, IL-17F, and IL-22
(47, 58). Although the evidence indicate that TNFi are effective
in reducing the systemic inflammation, it is still debated whether
anti-TNF-\(\alpha\) agents can decrease CV risk in these patients or
they only represent a random association. It has been reported
in literature that psoriatic patients who received TNFi showed
an improvement of psoriasis area severity index (PASI) score
characterized by a reduction of CV risk biomarkers (e.g., CRP,
VEGF, and resistin serum levels) after 24 weeks of therapy (59).
Additional studies have highlighted that the vascular function
was somehow restored after 10 weeks of etanercept treatment,
leading to a significant reduction in CRP levels and improve-
ments of insulin sensitivity (60, 61). The same authors also proved
that TNFi statistically led to a significant reduction (\(P = 0.0001\))
of retinol-binding protein 4 (RBP4) circulating levels in psoriatic
patients (62). RBP4 is highly linked to subclinical atherosclerosis,
due to its positive correlation to carotid intima-media thickness
(IMT) indicator (61, 62). Recently, it has been assumed that psor-
riatic patients treated with TNFi showed IMT indicator decrease
associated with appropriate therapeutic responses (63). Another
experimental study has reported the aortic inflammation
reduction by \(^{18}\)F-fluoro-deoxyglucose (FDG)-positron emission
tomography/computed tomography (CT) in 30 psoriatic patients
who received adalimumab (64). Similarly, the TNFi effects on
the development of atherosclerosis has been studied in 58 psoriatic
patients during a 13-month period by CT imaging (65). In addi-
tion, the patients who did not received TNFi showed a significant
progression of the coronary calcification, while the TNFi-treated
group did not. Another research based on echocardiographic
data confirmed the improvement of the myocardial function in
18 psoriatic subjects treated with TNFi and IL12/23 inhibitors
(66). Likewise, right ventricular systolic function was improved
in a 30-month study of 44 psoriatic patients treated with TNFi
(67). Therefore, anti-TNF-\(\alpha\) agents might be identified as CV
protective factors together with statin drug, female gender, and
age (68). However, no therapeutic effect of TNFi has been demon-
strated in CHF patients classified as grade III–IV by the New
York Heart Association. Initially, etanercept seemed to have beneficial
effects in heart failure patients (69). Subsequently, a large study
consisting of 1,500 patients with symptomatic heart failure has
shown no relation to mortality or hospitalizations after treatment
with etanercept (70). Other clinical trials have reported a higher
percentage of mortality in CHF patients who received infliximab
at 10 mg/kg (higher dose than that used in Pso and RA) than the
controls (71, 72). These preliminary discovers have provided the
evidence for the contraindication against the use of TNFi in CHF
patients. Nowadays, anti-TNF-\(\alpha\) drugs are not contraindicated in
patients with heart diseases other than CHF (34). Random control
trials (RCTs) including severe psoriatic patients who received at
least one of TNFi, resulted in a number of MACEs (35, 73–76).
Overall, the pooled analysis confirmed that no statistically sig-
ificant difference exists between patients treated with biologics
or placebo (35) (Table 1). Considering TNFi separately, there
was also no statistically significant difference in patients receiv-
ing etanercept, infliximab, or adalimumab (35). In addition, the
incidence rates (IRs) of MACEs were reduced by the use of anti-
TNF-\(\alpha\) agents respect to conventional therapies (28, 29, 77, 78).
The use of TNFi for patients with Pso or psoriatic arthritis was
associated with a 55% reduction in the incidence of MI compared
TABLE 1 | Main randomized controlled trials and meta-analysis studies on the rates of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACEs) in psoriatic patients treated with biological agents.

| Biological agents | Reference | No. of patients or trials | MACE risk (IR or OR) | Follow-up period |
|-------------------|-----------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| TNFi              | Rungapiromnan et al. (35) | 18 RCTs comparing TNFi (4 adalimumab, 9 etanercept, 5 infliximab) vs placebo | OR, 0.67 (95% CI, 0.10–4.63, P = 0.69) | 8–50 weeks |
| Ustekinumab (anti-IL12/23p40) | Reich et al. (82, 83) | 1582 ustekinumab vs 732 placebo-treated patients | OR, 0.3% (95% CI, 0.1–0.70) vs 0.0% (95% CI, 0.0–0.5%) | 20 weeks |
|                    | Tzellos et al. (84) | 9 RCTs (ustekinumab vs placebo) | OR, 3.96 (95% CI, 0.51–30.41; P = 0.19) | 30 weeks |
|                    | Rungapiromnan et al. (35) | 7 RCTs (ustekinumab vs placebo) | OR, 4.48 (95% CI, 0.24–84.77; P = 0.32) | 30 weeks |
| Briakinumab (anti-IL12/23p40) | Langley et al. (85) | 1258 briakinumab vs 624 placebo-treated patients | OR, 1.33% (95% CI, 0.43–3.10) vs 0.60% (95% CI, 0.35–0.94) | 12 weeks |
|                    | Tzellos et al. (86) | 9 RCTs (briakinumab vs placebo) | OR, 4.47 (95% CI, 0.69–28.89; P = 0.12) | 30 weeks |
| Ustekinumab and Briakinumab | Tzellos et al. (86) | 9 RCTs (ustekinumab and briakinumab vs placebo) | OR, 4.23, (95% CI, 1.07–16.75; P = 0.04) | 30 weeks |
| Secukinumab (anti-IL17) | van de Kerkhof et al. (87) | 10 phase II/III clinical trials | OR, 0.35% (95% CI, 0.10–0.90) | 52 weeks |
|                    | Egeberg et al. (88) | 196 patients | IR, 3.1% (95% CI, 1.1–8.1) | 104 weeks |
| Ixekizumab (anti-IL17) | Strober et al. (69) | 7 RCTs (UNCOVER-1, -2, and -3 plus an additional 4 phase I-III studies) | 38 [0.6] | 60 weeks |
| Brodualmab (anti-IL17R) | Papp et al. (90) | 1 phase III clinical trial (AMAGINE-1) | 5 (1.0) | 52 weeks |

IR, incidence rate; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; RCTs, randomized controlled trials.
*MACE occurring in ≥1 patient, n [IR].
+MACE occurring in ≥1 patient, n [IR].
+aMACE occurring in ≥1 patient, n [IR].

with those patients who were only treated with topical therapy (28). Similarly, psoriatic patients treated with TNFi displayed a significantly lower risk of MI when compared with MTX (79). Furthermore, treatment with TNFi is associated with an 11% reduced CV risk every 6 months of additional treatment (79). On the other hand, some authors suggested that these therapies do not seem to reduce the risk of MI, because they increase patients' weight and cholesterol levels after 3 or 6 months of therapy (66, 79, 80). These results could be related to a subsequently biological effect of TNFi due to neutralization of TNF-α cachetic properties (81). On the contrary, stable lipids levels were found in psoriatic patients treated with adalimumab, etanercept, or infliximab for 13 months (65). Psoriatic patients randomized to adalimumab or non-systemic treatment (topical therapies or phototherapy) showed no changes in total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein, low-density lipoprotein, or triglycerides after 15 weeks of treatment (64). Despite some scientific reports overshadows TNFi role on CV risk, current studies support TNFi beneficial effects on cardio metabolic parameters. To conclude, although literature remains heterogeneous, in part due to methodological differences, anti-TNF-α agents exert a protective effect on CV risk.

Anti-IL-12/23 Agents

The basis for developing anti-IL-12/23 biological drugs was encouraged by evidences that mice deficient in the subunit p40, shared by both IL-12 and IL-23, are resistant to experimentally induced autoimmune conditions, such as psoriasis (91, 92). Levels of IL-12/23p40 mRNA are more elevated in psoriatic than in healthy skin (93, 94). Cytokines induced by IL-12 (such as IFN-γ) and by IL-23 (such as IL-17A, IL-17F, and IL-22) are increased in psoriatic plaques (94). Moreover, in the last two decades, these inflammatory mediators have also been shown to contribute in CVD development. Indeed, it has been shown that serum levels of IL-12 and IL-23 are augmented in patients with CVD (95, 96). IL-12 and IL-23 are presented in atherosclerotic plaque and thereby affecting the pro-inflammatory status in these patients (96–98). Taken together, these evidences suggest that targeting IL-12/23 could represent a valid therapeutic option for psoriatic disease, with benefits on cutaneous involvement and on CV comorbidities. The efficacy of anti-IL-12/23p40 biological drugs (ustekinumab and briakinumab) for psoriasis treatment (Table 2) has been evaluated in two phase III RCTs and in four phase III RCTs, respectively (82, 85, 99–103). The hypothesis that these agents could possibly increase CV risk while improving cutaneous disease is unexpected. Nevertheless, safety concerns have been raised regarding the possibility of an increased risk of MACEs with the utilize of anti-IL-12/23 biological agents. We will discuss on lights and shadows of this hot question. In 2011, Reich et al. (83) evaluated this point due to the fact that in the ustekinumab clinical studies target population reported a higher rate of MACEs than placebo one. In the 1,582 ustekinumab-treated patients enrolled in the phase II and III placebo-controlled psoriasis studies, five MACE events occurred (0.3%; 95% CI, 0.1–0.70) vs 0.0% (95% CI, 0.0–0.5%) (83). In particular, an important numerical imbalance in MACE rate was observed in the phase II trial with a 4:1 randomization ratio (risk difference 0.2%; 95% CI, 1.2–1.2 and 0.1%; 95% CI, 0.7–0.7% for PHOENIX 1 and 2, respectively) (83) (Table 1). During the 12/20-week follow-up periods, MACE events did not show tendency to cluster. Indeed, the five MACEs occurred at weeks 2, 6, 10, 14, and 17 (83). The standardized IR
in these studies ranged between 0.34 and 0.52, a rate lower than that estimated for the general population in the USA or in psoriatic patients (83). Moreover, all patients who experienced MACEs had at least three established CV risk factors. Longer term analyses demonstrated that rates of CV events remained low with up to 3 years. However, the absence of a control group precludes definitive assessment of the effect of ustekinumab on CV risk (83). Thus, data from short-term, controlled trials give only partial information regarding the possible impact of ustekinumab on CV risk: the results suggest neither harmful nor beneficial effects. However, a smaller risk increase cannot be totally ruled out. Concerning another anti-IL-12/23p40 monoclonal antibody, briakinumab, the question is even more burning. Results from one of the four clinical trials have reported more MACE events in briakinumab-treated patients compared with placebo ones over a 12-week period (81). In details, 18 MACE cases had been recorded with 4 CV deaths compared with no events in placebo group. The frequency of MACE was spread in an apparently uniform manner over time. The standardized IRs were 1.33/100 patient-years (95% CI, 0.43–3.10) respect to 0.60 (95% CI, 0.35–0.94) in the placebo-controlled phase (Table 1). In patients with two or more CV risk factors, the IR was even elevated (2.15 events/100 patient-years). For this reason, two industry-independent meta-analyses of nine randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, monotherapy trials have been conducted to deeper examine the possible association of MACEs with anti-IL-12/23 agents (84, 104) (Table 1). The meta-analysis by Ryan et al. found no increased risk of MACEs in treated patients compared with placebo ones, using the Mantel–Haenszel fixed-statistical effects model (104). On the other hand, Tzellos et al. analysis showed that the odds ratio (OR) for briakinumab- and ustekinumab-treated patients was not statistically significant (OR 4.47, 95% CI, 0.69–28.89, \( P = 0.12 \); OR 3.96, 95% CI, 0.51–30.41, \( P = 0.19 \), respectively). When combined, the OR for MACEs between patients treated with biological agents and those receiving placebo was found to be statistically significant (OR = 4.23, 95% CI, 1.07–16.75, \( P = 0.04 \)) (84). In this case, the statistical effects model used was the Peto One-Step OR method. The divergence in these results has been attributable to the use of two different methods to estimate the risk. The Mantel–Haenszel fixed-statistical model using zero-cell corrections provides estimates for all studies, including zero event ones. This make it less suitable for meta-analysis of rare events (105–108) resulting in low statistical power. MACE event rates were estimated to be 0.28, 0.35, and 0.31% for ustekinumab, briakinumab, and both, respectively (108). It has been established that in case of an event IR of 1% or less, the best statistical approach is Peto method (105). However, the Peto OR method excluding trials with zero events from the analysis can lead to an overestimation of true relative risk. Moreover, neither the analysis by Tzellos et al. nor that by Ryan et al. have been adjusted for dropouts; this likely resulted in an overestimation of true risk (106). A large 5-year post-marketing study on the use of ustekinumab for psoriasis did not support the hypothesis of an augmented risk of MACEs (109). Moreover, an imbalance in MACE event rate has not been reported in other therapeutic indications of ustekinumab, such as psoriatic arthritis and inflammatory bowel disease (110–112). In addition, to date, the FDA has not communicated any changes regarding the prescribing for ustekinumab related to CV risk. More recently, a new meta-analysis has been performed by Rungpipromman et al. (35) to evaluate the impact of biological therapies on risk of MACEs (Table 1). In this meta-analysis, they have selected RCTs in which patients received only licensed dose regimens of biological therapiess. For this reason, briakinumab has been excluded from the analysis. Peto ORs with 95% CIs has been applied for statistical analyses. Regarding ustekinumab, no statistically significant difference in risk of MACEs has been reported respect to placebo (OR 4.48, 95% CI, 0.24–84.77, \( P = 0.32 \)); similarly, comparing ustekinumab 45 mg with 90 mg the OR was not statistically significant (OR 1.00, 95% CI, 0.06–16.03, \( P = 1.00 \)) (35). The most important limitation is that these findings were mainly based on small sample sizes and short-term follow-up (ranging from 12 to

---

**TABLE 2** | FDA approval biological drugs for psoriasis.

| Biological drug            | Biological structure                  | Mechanism of action | FDA approval for psoriasis (year) |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|
| Etanercept                 | Soluble TNFR2 coupled to Fc portion of IgG1 | Anti-TNF-α       | 2004                             |
| Infliximab                 | Human/mouse chimeric IgG1 mAb        | Anti-TNF-α         | 2006                             |
| Adalimumab                 | Human IgG1 mAb                        | Anti-TNF-α         | 2008                             |
| Ustekinumab                | Human IgG1 mAb                        | Anti-p40 IL-12/23  | 2009                             |
| Secukinumab                | Human IgG1x mAb                       | Anti-IL17A         | 2015                             |
| Adalimumab atto (biosimilar) | Human IgG1 mAb                     | Anti-TNF-α         | 2016                             |
| Etanercept-szzs (biosimilar) | Soluble TNFR2 coupled to Fc portion of IgG1 | Anti-TNF-α       | 2016                             |
| Infliximab-dyyb (biosimilar) | Human/mouse chimeric IgG1 mAb        | Anti-TNF-α         | 2016                             |
| Ixekizumab                 | Humanized IgG4 mAb                    | Anti-IL17A         | 2016                             |
| Adalimumab-adbm (biosimilar) | Human IgG1 mAb                     | Anti-TNF-α         | 2017                             |
| Infliximab-abda (biosimilar) | Human/mouse chimeric IgG1 mAb        | Anti-TNF-α         | 2017                             |
| Brodalumab                 | Human IgG2 mAb                        | Anti-IL17RA        | 2017                             |
| Gusekumab                  | Human IgG1I. mAb                      | Anti-p19 IL-23     | 2017                             |

1. Available from: http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Press_release/2011/01/WC500100769.pdf (Accessed: February 8, 2018).
This is an important aspect to considering since it has been demonstrated that during the initial stage of therapy with ustekinumab inflammatory pro-atherogenic mediators such as IL-12/23 p40 temporarily increased and then dramatically decreased at week 32 (113, 114). Thus, other post-marketing studies and novel larger randomized controlled trials will be needed to continue the surveillance to assess the potential association connecting the use of anti-IL-12/23p40 biological drugs and increased CV risk.

### Anti-IL17 Agents

In the past decade, the research has been focused on Th17 cells, which during differentiation secrete IL-17. IL-17 cytokine family consists of six members (IL-17A, B, C, D, E, and F). IL-17A (referred to here as IL-17) is among them, the major isoform (115). IL-17 is produced by Th17 cells and also by other cell subtypes, including γδ T, natural killer, etc. (116). However, interest in the Th17 population in relationship to Pso increased when numbers of circulating Th17 cells and IL-17 expression levels were found upregulated in the Pso lesional skin compared with non-lesional skin (49, 117). Recently, several anti-IL-17 agents have been developed. These primarily include anti-IL-17A monoclonal antibodies, secukinumab and ixekizumab, and brodalumab, an anti-IL-17 receptor monoclonal antibody. These biological agents that target the IL-17 signaling pathway have currently been evaluated and approved for the treatment of moderate-to-severe plaque Pso. The results reported by studies on efficacy and safety of IL-17 inhibitors are very promising. They showed the superiority of these new biological agents respect to both placebo and other biologics, such as ustekinumab and etanercept. For the first time, these trials include as end point the percentage of patient that achieving 90 or 100% of clinical improvement (PASI-90 or PASI-100, respectively) (47).

In addition, although IL-17 antagonists had a higher rate of any adverse events than placebo, there was no significant difference in severe adverse events. This suggests that IL-17 antagonists are well tolerated (118). Even if the effects of IL-17 therapy on CVD in psoriatic patients is yet to be fully elucidated. Indeed, the interest on MACE is a crucial and much debated point. Likewise, Th17 pathway has a crucial role in CVD (22). Indeed, IL-17 is also involved in angiogenesis process, and on the synthesis of MMPs and CRP. Thus, in theory, Th17 cells could also play a crucial role in atherosclerotic and in CVD. Reports in atherosclerosis showed a conflicting result on IL-17 and Th17 cells in disease onset and plaque stability. IL-17 may exhibit both pro- and anti-atherogenic effects, depending on the inflammatory context (115). IL-17 pro-atherogenic effects may result from the induction of pro-inflammatory cytokines or chemokines (IL-6, GM-CSF, CCL2, and CXCL1) by endothelial cells or macrophages. The IL-17 atheroprotective effects may be dued by IFN-γ decreased and to its inhibitory effect on the expression of vascular cell adhesion molecule, this molecule is important to mediating the accumulation of monocytes and T cells within the lesions. Indeed, it was showed that IL-17, IL-21, and IL-23 were found in atherosclerotic plaques and associated with increased inflammation and plaque vulnerability (119). By contrast, Taleb et al. have reported a role for IL-17 in promoting plaque stability. Indeed, IL-17 expression in human carotid lesions was related to a fibrous phenotype with a lower macrophage and higher smooth muscle cell content (120). Despite some data suggesting increased levels of Th17 cells and IL-17 in patients with acute coronary syndromes (121, 122), the bulk of evidence indicate that circulating IL-17 levels are similar in patients with or without coronary artery disease (123). Indeed, some authors have reported that the patients with IL-17 low levels were more susceptible to the risk of death and recurrent MI (124). On the other hand, several experimental evidences and biomarker studies, which indicate a link between IL17 and instability in atherosclerotic plaques, supporting the hypothesis that in part explain the high risk of MI in Pso patients (22). Indeed, Pso patients have significantly elevated IL-17 serum levels (49) and they have a high risk to developing CV comorbidities. The conception that Th17 cytokines may provide a link between Pso and CVD comes from literature data that reporting a pathogenic role of IL-17 in Pso and examining the Th17 axis contribution into atherosclerosis (125).

As regarding secukinumab, data reported that there is a favorable safety profile in patients with moderate-to-severe plaque Pso over a total follow-up period of 52 weeks in a pooled safety analysis of 10 studies. However, at baseline, more subjects in the secukinumab groups had CV risk than comparator groups. Even if overall exposure-adjusted IRs of adjudicated MACE in secukinumab-treated subjects was comparable to etanercept-treated subjects (87). During the first 12 weeks of secukinumab studies, MACEs were reported in three patients receiving secukinumab 300 mg (0.26%), in no patients receiving etanercept, and in one patient treated with placebo. Over 52 weeks, exposure-adjusted IRs of MACEs were comparable in patients receiving secukinumab 300 mg (0.42/100 subject-years), secukinumab 150 mg (0.35/100 subject-years), and etanercept (0.34/100 subject-years), despite the presence of higher baseline CV risk factors in the two secukinumab groups (Table 1). All patients with a MACE had CV risk factors, such as hypertension, dyslipidemia, etc. (87). In summary, from this comprehensive analysis of pooled safety data from 10 studies reported that the incidences of MACE in secukinumab-treated patients was low. A very recent real-life observational study of 195 patients (Table 1) treated with secukinumab for up to 2 years reported that 2% of patients suffered a CV event yielding a conspicuously elevated IR compared with findings from the secukinumab phase III clinical trial program, although the absolute numbers were very low (n = 4) (88).

The other antibody that inhibits IL-17 is ixekizumab; recently, safety data from a 12-week induction period, a 12- to 60-week maintenance period, and from all ixekizumab-treated patients were presented. The analysis of these data essentially reported similar rates of MACE between ixekizumab and etanercept and low rates of MACE with continued exposure for ixekizumab until week 60 (Table 1) (89). In particular, during the induction period, the between-group rates of adjudicated MACE were similar. The MACE individual components did not change substantially with longer exposure to ixekizumab. 7 of 4,035 ixekizumab-treated patients experienced vascular death. At baseline, patients subsequently developing MACE had a
higher prevalence of risk factors for acute atherothrombotic events than patients without MACE. In conclusion, ixekizumab use was not associated with an increased risk of MACE. The safety profile reported in this analysis study is consistent with previous reports for ixekizumab (76, 126).

As regarding Brodalumab that selectively targets human IL-17RA and antagonizes the IL-17 pathway, it was considered safety from analysis of a prospective study, indeed incidences of serious adverse events in the induction phase were low among groups. There were no reports of MACEs during the induction phase, and only five reports (exposure-adjusted event rate of 1.0/100 patient-years) through week 52 (Table 1) (90). Conversely, in another phase III study, brodalumab treatment was compared with ustekinumab treatment in psoriasis, and the adverse effects were more recurrent in the brodalumab group than in the ustekinumab one. Indeed, in the AMAGINE-2 study, one death by stroke happened during the induction phase, and five deaths occurred through week 52; whereas in the AMAGINE-3 study, two deaths occurred: one from cardiac arrest and one from accidental death (127). However, the sizes of study populations, which were adequate for efficacy and common adverse event assessments, may have been inadequate to assess rare adverse events, which would require longer follow-up of large numbers of patients to better understand the safety profile of brodalumab.

Taken together, results from short-term safety and efficacy trials exploring anti-IL-17 therapy in psoriatic patients suggest no increased CVD risk compared with placebo or other classes of biologics used in Pso. Indeed, we may infer that IL-17 antagonists could possibly play an outstanding role in reducing CV morbidity. Even if there are several limitations in these clinical trials, e.g., patients highly selected, short duration of treatment with placebo or TNFi in relative few number of patients compared with the number of patients receiving IL-17 inhibitors. The advent of IL-17 biologics has improved significantly PsO disease (Table 2), but time will tell whether this IL-17 inhibition will also improve CVD outcomes in PsO.
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**CONCLUSION**
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