General health literacy assessment of Iranian women in Mashhad
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Abstract

Background: In women’s health, literacy determines their participation in self and family health promotion. Low health literacy is as barrier for understanding medical recommendations, disease prevention and health care.

Aim: To assess women’s health literacy and relative factors in Mashhad (Iran).

Methods: Women referring to healthcare centers in Mashhad in 2012 and 2013, participated in this cross-sectional study by stratified sampling method. The validated Persian version of Rapid Estimate of Adult Literacy in Medicine-revised questionnaire was used. Vocabulary comprehension and reading scores of health literacy was assessed. Comparisons were done in demographic subgroups by ANOVA, Mann-Whitney U, Kruskal-Wallis, Pearson correlation coefficient, and Chi-Square tests.

Results: In total, 250 women with a mean age of 32.1±10.23 years and the mean education level of 10.58±3.67 years were studied. The mean reading score was 11.58±2.51 and the mean vocabulary comprehension score was 17.24±4.73. Participants' health literacy score had positive correlation with age and education, and significant difference in health literacy scores between occupational groups was seen. Housewives' health literacy scores were lower than others (p<0.05).

Conclusion: Low health literacy was a common problem amongst younger women, especially among women who had less education. These women are at risk of early marriage and child bearing and require more health care. Health care professionals should use effective methods for easier transfer recommendation, also, producing medical information booklets, texts, and videos for different community subgroups through public media or even in cyberspace with clear and common words consisting of essential information.
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1. Introduction

Different understanding about health issues between physicians and patients are of great importance, since they may reduce the impact of health education and health promotion measures (1). Health literacy is the ability to read, understand, and apply printed health-related information, follow doctors’ prescriptions and use the obtained information for proper use of healthcare services (1, 2). High health literacy empowers individuals to understand, apply health-related information and instructions and find ways to use healthcare services in order to meet their needs; including familiarity with modes of transmission and prevention of common diseases and treatment methods, following doctors’ suggestions, ability to understand health brochures, and use health insurance (1-3). In a community with low health literacy, understanding and applying health-related information and guidelines, following instructions for diagnostic screening, treatment adherence is poor (3, 5). Unfamiliarity of the medical community with concept of health literacy, encumbers the doctor-patient relationship and might lead to inaccurate prediction of treatment outcomes (especially in chronic non-communicable diseases, which require patients’ understanding of their conditions and their cooperation in the course of treatment (2, 3). Ignorance of healthcare
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system planners regarding health literacy levels can lead to inaccurate predictions of the achieved results, and low health literacy is linked with adverse health outcomes and inappropriate use of healthcare services (2-4). Inadequate health literacy is associated with poor self-reported health status, poorly managed chronic diseases, poor physical and mental health, less frequently used emergency departments and hospital services, and has negative effects on women's health, ability of selfcare child care, their families’ health, directions for taking medication and so on (5-8). Some research reported that there were frequent mismatches in concepts of health-related material and peoples’ perceptions of reading medical information, which points to difficulty of text readability or unfamiliar words or scientific names (9). So, assessing peoples’ literacy levels leads to the question of how material is difficult or unclear for the general population (3, 9). In regard to the growing importance of health literacy in the improvement of community health, especially in a developing country, the aim of this study was to assess the health literacy level of Iranian women referring to healthcare centers in Mashhad.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Research design and setting

This cross-sectional study was conducted on women attending healthcare centers of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Iran in 2012 and 2013. The inclusion criteria were residing in Mashhad, reading and writing abilities, and consent to participate in the study. Foreign residents, illiteracy, having a mental disease, and aged younger than 18 years were the exclusion criteria. People who usually wore glasses for reading, were asked to wear them for the test.

2.2. Sampling

Stratified sampling was applied and simple sampling was employed in each center. Sample size was determined based on a pilot study on 20 persons and with sample size formula for estimating a single mean with specified precision, with \( Z_{\alpha} = 1.96 \), \( SD=0.05 \), \( d=0.65 \) and design effect =2.

2.3. Measurement tool

The health literacy measurement tools of Rapid Estimate of Adult Literacy in Medicine (REALM-R), is an eight-item word recognition instrument, designed for rapidly screening people for potential health literacy problems (6, 7, 10). This study questionnaire was designed based on REALM-R questionnaire, which was normalized according to Persian language and culture. We assessed vocabulary comprehension in addition to read scores in a modified Persian version. The total vocabulary comprehension score, which was considered as an indicator of health literacy, was divided into three categories. Those with scores which were lower than or equal to 50% of the total score (12 out of 24 points) were considered to have inadequate or low health literacy, and those with scores which were between 50% to 75% of the total score (18 out of 24 points) were considered to have marginal health literacy. Also, those with scores more than 75% of the total score were considered to have adequate health literacy. Validity of questionnaire was assessed by expert primary care physicians, and reliability was determined by Cronbach's alpha. The first section of the questionnaire was about socio-demographic variables, and subsequently, participants completed the health literacy questionnaire. In the Persian questionnaire, twelve items were read by each participant for assessing reading scores, and after that, participant expressed their own comprehension of each medical word. Each participant was given a list of the words. They were asked to point at the words and read each of them aloud. If the person took more than five seconds on a word, they were encouraged to move on to the next word. Medical words included “anxiety, osteoporosis, anemia pap smear, breast cancer, mammography, menopause, domestic violence, cesarean section, ovarian cyst, spotting and female reproductive infection. Two easy-to-read medical words at the beginning of the questionnaire were not scored and were positioned to decrease test anxiety and enhance confidence. Trained interviewers asked participants to read each word and then explain its meaning. The interviewer noted participants’ definitions. For example; for common cold, the participant would express the meaning of common cold by saying “In my opinion, the meaning of common cold is...” Finally, each person was given a score from zero to 12 in the reading section (based on the number of words they were able to read correctly) and zero-24 in the vocabulary comprehension (health literacy score) section (knows, rather knows, does not know).

2.4. Data collection

After defining the sample clusters based on the number and list of Mashhad city health centers, in each selected center, women referring to healthcare centers to receive health services were invited to participate in the study up to completion of the sample size of each cluster.
2.5. Ethical consideration
The protocol of this study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Mashhad University Medical Sciences. Our research conformed to legislations on Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects. Informed consent was obtained from participants before participation.

2.6. Statistical analyses
After collecting data through questionnaires, data were analyzed by SPSS version 11.5 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Descriptive statistics including frequency, mean, and standard deviation were used to describe the data, and relationship between variables was examined by ANOVA, Mann-Whitney, Kruskal-Wallis, Pearson correlation coefficient, and Chi-Square tests.

3. Results
3.1. Baseline characteristics
A total of 250 women participated in the study. The mean age of the participants was 32.18±10.23 years, minimum age of participants was 18 and maximum age was 67 years. The mean marital age was 19.2±3.65 years. The participants had an average of 1.88 children. The mean education level of participants was 10.58±4.2 years. Table 1 shows demographic characteristics of participants. Overall, 155 women (62%) had visited a doctor during the last 3 months, and among them, 40 (25%) subjects had referred to a physician for a check-up, 93 subjects (60%) due to an illness or health problem, 9 patients (5%) for both check-up and health problems, and 13 participants (8%) due to other causes. In addition, 64 (25%) participants had a previous history of hospitalization over the last year.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the study population

| Variable                  | n (%)       |
|---------------------------|-------------|
| Age group (years)         |             |
| <20                       | 13 (5.2)    |
| 20-30                     | 104 (41.6)  |
| 30-40                     | 77 (30.8)   |
| >40                       | 56 (22.4)   |
| Education level           |             |
| Less than diploma         | 109 (43.6)  |
| High-school diploma       | 87 (34.8)   |
| College education         | 54 (21.6)   |
| Job                       |             |
| Housewives                | 206 (82.4)  |
| Employees                 | 16 (6.4)    |
| Student                   | 16 (6.4)    |
| Retired                   | 4 (1.6)     |
| Workers                   | 2 (0.8)     |
| self-employed             | 4 (2.4)     |
| Participants’ spouses’    |             |
| job                       |             |
| Employee                  | 41 (16.9)   |
| Self-employed             | 134 (55.7)  |
| Retired                   | 12 (4.8)    |
| Student                   | 7 (2.8)     |
| Worker                    | 41 (16.9)   |
| Unemployed                | 5 (1.9)     |
| Marital status            |             |
| Married                   | 241 (96.4)  |
| Single                    | 4 (1.6)     |
| Divorced                  | 3 (1.2)     |
| Widowed                   | 2 (0.8)     |
| Pregnancy                 | 25 (10.0)   |
| History of hospitalization| 64 (25.6)   |
| Outpatient recent visit to doctor | 155 (62.0) |

3.2. REALM-R questionnaire results
Mean of reading score (scored from 0 to 12) was 11.58 (SD=0.96), the lowest and highest scores were 6 and 12, respectively. Mean of health literacy score (scored from 0 to 24) was 17.24 (SD=4.55), the lowest score was 4 and the highest score was 24. Most of the participants (n=128, 51.2%) had an adequate level of health literacy; followed by marginal levels in 36.8% (n=91) and inadequate (low) levels in 12.0% of participants (n=30).
3.2.1. Age
There was a significant negative correlation between the reading score and age \((r=-0.30, p=0.001)\), also, there was significant positive correlation between the health literacy score and age \((r=0.28, p=0.006)\). Assessment of the health literacy score in different age groups showed that respectively, the group under 20 years old had lower health literacy, 20 to 30 years old and 30 to 40 years old were after those, and this difference was significant comparing the groups \((p=0.002, 0.004, 0.02\) respectively), that means younger women had lower health literacy scores.

3.2.2. Education
Also, there was seen a significant positive correlation between women’s education years with reading score \((r=0.46, p=0.01)\), and health literacy score \((r=0.38, p=0.03)\). The Kruskal-Wallis test showed significant differences between level of education and health literacy, that health literacy score in women with less than diploma education was significantly lower than from high school graduates and those who had college education \((p=0.02)\), also, those with high-school diploma were significantly lower in health literacy score compared to those who had college education \((p=0.02)\). According to Scheffe's analysis, those with adequate health literacy were 7.4 years older than those with inadequate health literacy \((p=0.001)\), and were 4.6 years older than those with marginal levels of health literacy \((p=0.02)\). The Dunnett T3 post-hoc test showed that the marital age of those with adequate health literacy was 2.6 years \((p=0.002)\) more than those with low levels of health literacy; also, those with marginal health literacy levels were married 1.61 years \((p=0.04)\) later than those with inadequate health literacy.

3.2.3. Women’s Job
The ANOVA test showed no significant difference between occupational groups in terms of reading scores \((p=0.28)\); however, a significant difference was seen in health literacy scores between occupational groups \((p=0.001)\). After performing post-hoc analysis (Dunnett T3), it was found that housewives' health literacy scores were lower \((4.3\) scores) than the employees and retired women \((p=0.02, 0.04\) respectively).

3.2.4. Spouses’ Job
Women, whose spouses were employees, had significantly higher health literacy scores \((4.53\) scores), compared to those who were workers \((p=0.001)\); they were also greater \((2.5\) scores) than those with self-employed husbands \((p=0.01)\).

3.2.5. Marital Status
There was a significant positive correlation between age of marriage with reading scores \((r=0.13, p=0.04)\), and also health literacy scores \((r=0.24, p=0.002)\). The ANOVA test did not show significant differences between health literacy scores and marital status, and not in pregnancy \((p=0.45, 0.32\) respectively).

3.2.6. Hospitalization and physician referral
Women with history of hospitalization had higher health literacy \((p=0.4)\) but did not show a significant difference between health literacy levels and recent physician referral \((p=0.14)\).

4. Discussion
This study is amongst the first studies to assess the health literacy of women in Iran. The results of this study showed that nearly half of the women who participated in this study, had a literacy level below the adequate level of literacy. Of the participants, 12% had inadequate, and 36.8 % had marginal health literacy levels. The results of the only conducted survey in five provinces of Iran yielded lower health literacy levels \((25.9%)\) in participants \((5)\); the difference is probably due to the fact that the current study was only performed on subjects referring to healthcare centers. People with low health literacy, are less informed about their health status and receive less preventive healthcare services \((8)\). In other words, the possibility exists that women using primary healthcare services can benefit from a higher level of health literacy; in fact, this study was conducted among people with higher health literacy \((8, 9)\). The results of the national assessment of literacy \((2006)\) in the United States showed that 36% of adults have limited health literacy \((inadequate or marginal health literacy)\). In other words, these individuals can only understand part of a short simple text \((lower than basic health literacy)\); in longer text, they can find the information, provided that the text is clear and simple \((basic health literacy)\) \((2)\). Health literacy is considered to be an important element in a woman's ability to engage in health promotion and disease prevention activities for themselves and their children. Without adequate understanding of healthcare-related information, it would be difficult or impossible for a woman to make an informed decision, which would result in optimum health of themselves and their families \((9, 11)\). In similar studies conducted on pregnant women with diabetes and mothers in
postpartum phase, the adequate health literacy level was higher than (56-80%) that of the current study; this might be due to the higher literacy level of participants in those studies (12-14). In this study, years of education was significantly associated with health literacy level. This relationship has been detected in some other studies (11-14). Although based on the findings of this study, health literacy was positively correlated with the level of education, there was a significant percentage of limited health literacy among the higher educated groups; in fact, 25% of those with a college degree, and more than 40% of people with a high school and pre-university diploma had inadequate or marginal health literacy; these findings were consistent with those of other studies (2, 4, 14). Saunders et al. in a review study, suggested that 66% of people with limited health literacy had high-school diploma or higher education (8). Maybe academic years are not considered as a valid independent indicator of reading comprehension skills and assessment of health literacy, based on the latest education degree, it is not valid (15, 16). Our study results indicated a positive relationship between age and health literacy level, but some studies have reported a negative correlation between age and health literacy level (15, 17). Some studies could not find a significant association between age and health literacy among pregnant women (17). Finally, marital status was not significantly associated with the level of health literacy in this study. This might be due to the limited number of individuals, referring to healthcare centers; therefore, further research is required in this area. Assessment and determination of the level of functional literacy are vital for healthcare systems in order to design effective methods for conveying information to people who have limited reading comprehension skills. In fact, through assessment, we can be ensured that people can understand their health status and follow health guidelines (12). Because of work time in care centers, most women who referred to health centers were housewives, and working women had less participation in this study.

5. Conclusions
This study revealed that nearly half of the women in this study had inadequate levels of literacy, which is a common problem in healthcare centers of Mashhad. Healthcare center staff and health professionals should use effective methods for information transfer and easier health recommendation, and produce medical information booklets, texts, and/or videos for different subgroups of the community in public media or even in cyberspace with clear and common words consisting of essential information.
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