Prospective Trial of Sacroiliac Joint Fusion Using 3D-Printed Triangular Titanium Implants: 24-Month Follow-Up

Vikas Patel 1
Don Kovalsky 2
S Craig Meyer 3
Abhineet Chowdhary 4
Julie LaCombe 4
Harry Lockstadt 5
Fernando Techy 6
Casey Langel 7
Robert Limoni 8
Philip Yuan 9
Andy Kranenburg 10
Daniel Cher 11
Gabriel Tender 12

Department of Orthopedics, University of Colorado, Aurora, CO, USA; Orthopaedic Center of Southern Illinois, Mt. Vernon, IL, USA; Columbia Orthopaedic Medical Group, Columbia, MO, USA; Overlake Medical Center, Bellevue, WA, USA; Bluegrass Orthopaedics, Lexington, KY, USA; ClinTech Center for Spine Health, Johnstown, CO, USA; The B.A.C.K. Center, Melbourne, FL, USA; BayCare Clinic Orthopedics & Sports Medicine, Green Bay, WI, USA; Memorial Orthopaedic Surgical Group, Long Beach, CA, USA; South Oregon Orthopedics, Medford, OR, USA; SI-BONE, Inc, Santa Clara, CA, USA; Department of Neurosurgery, Louisiana State University, New Orleans, LA, USA

Background: Strong evidence supports minimally invasive sacroiliac joint (SIJ) fusion using triangular titanium implants (TTI) for chronic SIJ dysfunction.

Objective: To report safety and effectiveness of SIJF using a 3D-printed TTI at 24 months.

Methods: SIJF with TTI was performed in 51 subjects. Structured follow-up occurred at 3, 6, 12 and 24 months. Both quality of life questionnaires and functional tests were performed at all study visits.

Results: 84% of subjects were available for 24-month follow-up. Observed were rapid and persistent improvements in dysfunction due to pain (Oswestry Disability Index [ODI], mean 52.8 at baseline and 28.3 at 24 months, p<0.0001) and SIJ pain ratings (mean 78.5 at baseline [0–100 scale] to 21.5 at 24 months). Opioid use for SIJ pain decreased markedly from baseline. Physical function tests impaired by SIJ pain showed persistent improvements compared to baseline. There was no evidence of device breakage, migration or subsidence and few late adverse events occurred attributable to the device.

Conclusion: In this prospective study, SIJF using 3D-printed TTI resulted in immediate, marked and persistent improvements in pain and quality of life, with improved physical function, reduced opioid use and a low rate of late device-related adverse events.

Level of Evidence: Level II.
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Plain Language Summary

Fifty-one patients with chronic sacroiliac (SI) joint pain underwent surgical fusion of the SI joint at 11 centers in the United States. At two years, patients showed large, sustained improvement in pain, disability and quality of life as well related to SI joint pain as improvements in physical function and decreased use of opioid medications for pain relief.

The sacroiliac joint (SIJ) is thought to be responsible for up to 30% of all chronic low back pain.1–5 While evidence for non-surgical treatment of SIJ dysfunction is very sparse, increasing evidence supports the use of lateral transiliac SIJF. The most commonly reported device is triangular titanium implants (TTI, iFuse Implant System, SI-BONE, Inc., Santa Clara, California, USA), with positive results from prospective trials6–8 and case series.9–14 Prior TTI studies reported use of solid (milled and coated) implants. More recently, 3D-printing of titanium implants has become more common. 3D-printing allows
Figure 1 Improvement in SIJ pain (top), Oswestry Disability index (middle) and EuroQOL-5D time trade-off index over time comparing the current study (SALLY, green) with two prior randomized trials (INSITE [black] and iMIA [orange]), both of which compared SIJ fusion (solid lines) to non-surgical management (dotted lines) and a large single-arm trial SIFI (blue solid line).
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creation of implant surfaces that optimize bone adherence, even in the setting of smoking or osteoporosis.\textsuperscript{15,16} Previously, we reported positive clinical and radiographic outcomes at one year from a prospective multicenter clinical trial (NCT03122899) of SIJF using 3D-printed TTI in patients with chronic SIJ dysfunction.\textsuperscript{17} Herein we report 2-year follow-up from the same cohort.

As described previously,\textsuperscript{17} patients in 11 US spine surgeons’ clinics were diagnosed with SIJ dysfunction using a standardized algorithm consisting of typical history findings (buttock pain, possible radiation, often worse with prolonged sitting on the affected side), positive response to standardized physical examinations and at least a 50% reduction in acute pain on image-guided injection of local anesthetic into the target joint. Upon confirmation of eligibility and signing the consent form, baseline demographics, medical history and responses to quality of life questionnaires (Oswestry Disability Index,\textsuperscript{18} visual analog scale SIJ pain ratings [0–100 scale], and EuroQOL-5D-3L\textsuperscript{19}) were recorded. Subjects underwent minimally invasive lateral transiliac SIJ fusion using 3D-printed TTI (iFuse-3D) and an associated instrument set. After hospital discharge, subjects returned to clinic at 3, 6, 12 and 24 months for repeat questionnaire assessment and review of adverse events. Final follow-up will be at 5 years.

Of 51 subjects enrolled and treated between October 2017 and January 2019, 24-month follow-up was obtained in 43 (84%). Reasons for lack of follow-up included loss to follow-up (6 subjects), voluntary withdrawal (1), and death from prostate cancer (1). At enrollment, mean age was 53 years and 76% were women. SIJ pain was present for a mean of 8 years. Forty-six underwent unilateral SIJF and 5 underwent staged bilateral SIJF. Mean procedure time was 52 minutes and blood loss was minimal (<50cc in most cases). Fifty-one percent were
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\caption{Change in proportion reporting minimal difficulty performing activities related to chronic low back/pelvic pain.}
\end{figure}
Figure 3 Improvement in performance on functional tests before and 3, 6, 12 and 24 after SIJF. Top left panel shows mean time to complete 5 times sit-to-stand. Top-right panel (A,B) show mean time for transitional timed up and go. The bottom panel (C) shows mean ratings on active straight leg raise test. Small numbers are p-values from one-sample two-tailed t-tests. Values shown are mean and 95% confidence limits.
discharged from the hospital/surgical facility the day of surgery.

Mean ODI improved from 52.8 at baseline to 28.3 at 24-month follow-up; mean SIJ pain levels improved from 78.5 at baseline to 21.5 (Figure 1); mean EuroQOL-5D time trade-off index improved from 0.45 at baseline to 0.81 at follow-up. All 24-month changes were statistically significant (p<0.0001) compared to baseline. At month 24, 66% had a 15-or-more point improvement from baseline in ODI. The proportion of subjects reporting difficulty with activities of daily living improved rapidly and remained improved at each postoperative timepoint (Figure 2). Physical function, assessed active straight leg raise test (ASLR),20 five times sit-to-stand, and transitional timed up-and-go, showed immediate and sustained improvement (Figure 3). Ninety-one percent were either “satisfied” or “very satisfied” at 24 months and 79% would have the procedure again. Opioid use decreased from 59% at baseline to 18% at 24 months.

Between month 12 and 24, 30 adverse events occurred in 18 subjects. Of these, only 2 (both SIJ pain) were procedure-related. In one case, a subject had chronic SIJ pain and underwent intrathecal pump placement. This subject had previously reported chronic neuropathic pain related to implant malposition. In another subject, SIJ pain returned by between month 12 and 24; the subject underwent corticosteroid injections and radiofrequency ablation of lateral branches of L5, S1 and S2 nerve roots. An additional 5 subjects experienced pelvis-related AEs: contralateral SIJ pain (2 subject), ipsilateral SIJ pain (1 subject), gluteus medius tendonitis (1 subject), and fall causing piriformis syndrome (1 case). No subject underwent surgical revision between year 1 and 2. By year 2, 2 subjects had undergone surgical revision; one for acute irritation of the left L5 nerve root due to implant malposition and one related to pain acutely worsened by a car accident.

In summary, in our multicenter prospective study, minimally invasive SIJF using 3D-printed TTI provided immediate and sustained benefits to patients with chronic SIJ pain across all assessed endpoints, including pain, disability related to pain, quality of life, activities related to pain, reduced opioid use, satisfaction and physical functional testing. Efficacy endpoints were similar to prior trials of a predecessor device. The rate of adverse events was low and late adverse events related to the study procedure were uncommon. Not all subjects responded to treatment and not all back pain-related disability resolved (some of which was likely related to other ongoing back problems). Nonetheless, long-term results were encouraging and consistent with prior long-term reports.21–23 Our prior report17 noted 100% binding of bone to the implants’ surfaces within the ilium and sacrum, and a high rate of bridging bone within the joint itself (70% at 6 months and 77% at 12 months). These findings are consistent with the following mechanism of action for TTI: 1) immediate stabilization of the joint through press-fit of multiple implants whose triangular cross-section resists joint rotation, 2) mid-term stabilization through bone binding to implant surfaces on both sides of the joint, and 3) late intraarticular SI joint fusion. Follow-up to 24 months indicates that minimally invasive SIJF with 3D-printed TTI provides marked benefits to patients with a high benefit–risk ratio.

Ethical Approval
All sites obtained written approval from a local or central institutional review board prior to first enrollment. The study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and relevant items from ISO 14155:2011. Subjects were paid nominal amounts (approved by the IRB) for their time and expense to complete study assessments. All subjects provided written informed consent prior to participating. Institutional review boards (IRBs) overseeing the study are:
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Study data were collected using an electronic case report form system. Data entered into case report forms were 100% source-verified. Qualified requestors may obtain study data through Yale University’s Yale Open Data Access (YODA) platform. Data provided will include de-identified subject data, the study protocol and annotated case report forms. The study was sponsored by the device manufacturer (SI-BONE, Inc., Santa Clara, CA).
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