Replication Factor C Is a More Effective Proliferating Cell Nuclear Antigen (PCNA) Opener than the Checkpoint Clamp Loader, Rad24-RFC
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The proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA)\(^2\) clamp is a ring-shaped trimer composed of three identical protein monomers (1). During DNA replication, PCNA encircles and slides freely along duplex DNA, anchoring DNA polymerases \(\varepsilon\) or \(\delta\) to the template. The clamp loader, replication factor C (RFC), is part of the AAA\(^+\) family of ATPases and loads clamps onto DNA (reviewed in Ref. 2). The clamp loader uses ATP binding and hydrolysis to promote molecular interactions that catalyze the various steps of the clamp-loading reaction. RFC consists of five subunits arranged in a ring in which the subunits are held tightly together via interactions in the C-terminal domains (3). A gap between the N-terminal domains of two subunits allows DNA to enter the central chamber in the clamp loader and positions DNA into the open clamp (4). The clamp loader subunits and clamp adopt a spiral conformation that conforms to the DNA double helix. Electron microscopy studies of an archaean RFC-PCNA-DNA-ATP\(\gamma\)S complex (5) and structure-based modeling (6) suggest that PCNA forms an open lock-washer appearance that could allow the clamp to dock on the surface of the helical RFC.

Alternative RFC complexes exist in which the large RFC subunit (Rfc1) of the complex is replaced by another protein subunit such as Rad24 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Rad17 in humans), Ctf18, or Elg1 to form their respective RFC complexes, Rad24-RFC, Ctf18-RFC, and Elg1-RFC. Rad24-RFC functions as part of a DNA damage checkpoint pathway. This clamp loader loads an alternative clamp, the 9-1-1 complex, onto DNA. Loading of the 9-1-1 complex activates a DNA damage checkpoint via the protein kinase, ataxia telangiectasia mutated and Rad3-related protein (ATR) (reviewed in Refs. 7 and 8). Substitution of this single subunit, Rad24 for Rfc1, in the clamp loader complex alters the clamp specificity of the Rad24-RFC complex. Rad24-RFC can bind to PCNA and unload it from DNA, but it cannot productively load PCNA onto DNA (9, 10).

Clamp loading is a dynamic, multistep process that ultimately assembles PCNA onto DNA to allow for processive replication (reviewed in Refs. 11–13). Conformational changes in the clamp loader induced by ATP binding and hydrolysis modulate interactions of the clamp loader with the clamp and DNA to drive the clamp-loading reaction. This reaction can be divided into two phases based on ATP requirements of the clamp loader. First, ATP binding promotes clamp binding and opening, and second, ATP hydrolysis promotes release of the clamp on DNA. This study focuses on the first stage of the loading reaction, ATP-dependent clamp binding and opening.
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**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

Buffers—Assay buffer contained 30 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 150 mM sodium chloride (NaCl), 8 mM magnesium chloride, 2 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), and the addition of 4% glycerol (v/v) where indicated. PCNA was stored in Buffer A, which contains 30 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 0.5 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT, and 10% glycerol (v/v). RFC was stored in Buffer B with increased NaCl (300 mM). Buffer B is 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 0.5 mM EDTA, 50 mM NaCl, and 2 mM DTT with the addition of 10% glycerol (v/v) where indicated. Buffer C is 25 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.0), 2 mM DTT, and 10% glycerol (v/v).

RFC and Rad24-RFC Purification—Rad24-RFC as described (10) and RFC containing a truncated Rfc1 subunit, missing 283 amino acids from the N terminus, were purified as described (14, 15). The concentrations of RFC and Rad24-RFC were determined by measuring the absorbance at 280 nm in 6 M guanidine hydrochloride and 66.7 mM sodium phosphate (pH 6.5) and using calculated extinction coefficients of 162,120 and 62,120 M−1 cm−1 for RFC and Rad24-RFC, respectively (16).

PCNA Expression and Purification—Cysteine residues 22, 62, and 81 were converted to Ser, Ile-111 and Ile-181 were converted to Cys (PCNA opening mutant), and Ser-43 was converted to Cys (PCNA binding mutant) by site-directed mutagenesis of the PCNA coding sequence using the QuikChange mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Wild-type PCNA and mutants were generated and dialyzed in Buffer A, aliquoted, and stored at −80 °C. Purified PCNA was dialyzed against Buffer A and stored at 8 °C.

**Covalent Labeling of PCNA with AF488 or MDCC**—PCNA was purified following a published protocol (17, 18) at 37 °C to an optical density at 600 of 0.6–0.7, the temperature was decreased to 15 °C, and protein expression was induced by the addition of isopropyl β-d-thiogalactopyranoside to a final concentration of 1 mM.

PCNA was purified following a published protocol (17, 18) at 4 °C with minor modifications as described. Cells were lysed by French press, and the lysate was cleared by centrifugation. Ammonium sulfate (0.2 g/ml) was added to the clarified lysate, and the precipitate was discarded. Additional ammonium sulfate (0.15 g/ml) was added to the supernatant, and the precipitate was recovered by centrifugation. The pellet was resuspended and dialyzed in Buffer B plus 10% glycerol. The dialyzed protein was loaded onto two 5-ml HiTrap Q-Sepharose columns in tandem (GE Healthcare) and eluted with a linear gradient of 50–700 mM NaCl. The remaining two chromatographic steps on S-Sepharose and MonoQ columns were done as described previously (18). Purified PCNA was dialyzed against Buffer A and stored at −80 °C.

Covalent Labeling of PCNA with AF488 or MDCC—Purified PCNA-I111C/I181C or PCNA-S43C (65 nmol) was incubated with a solution of 1 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl) phosphine (TCEP) final concentration in 0.2 M Tris base (pH 8.0) for 5 min at room temperature. The PCNA/TCEP solution was incubated with either 1.9 μmol of Alexa Fluor 488 C5 maleimide (AF488)3 (Molecular Probes) or 0.98 μmol of N-(2-(1-maleimidyl)ethyl)-7-(diethylamino)coumarin-3-carboxamide (MDCC) (Molecular Probes) for 4 h at room temperature. Labeled protein was purified at 4 °C by removing excess fluorophore using a desalting column (Bio-Rad P6 DG) equilibrated with Buffer A minus DTT for PCNA-MDCC followed by cation exchange chromatography on a 1-ml HiTrap Q-Sepharose (GE Healthcare) column. Labeled PCNA was dialyzed against Buffer A, aliquoted, and stored at −80 °C. The protein concentration of PCNA-AF4882 was calculated from the absorbance at 280 nm in 6 M guanidine hydrochloride and 66.7 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.5) (16) corrected for the contribution of AF488 at 280 nm (0.11 times AF488 absorbance at 495 nm) and using an extinction coefficient of 6,170 M−1 cm−1. The concentration of AF488 was calculated from the absorbance at 493 nm using an extinction coefficient of 73,000 M−1 cm−1. Based on these concentrations, 95–100% of PCNA is typically labeled by AF488. The protein concentration of PCNA-MDCC was calculated using a Bradford-type assay (Bio-Rad) with unlabeled WT PCNA standards. The concentration of MDCC was calculated from the absorbance at 436 nm using an extinction coefficient of 50,000 M−1 cm−1. Based on these concentrations, 73–100% of PCNA is typically labeled with MDCC.

**Steady State Fluorescence Assays**—Steady state fluorescence measurements were made on a QuantaMaster QM1 spectrophuorometer (Photon Technology International). Emission spectra for AF488 were measured from 505 to 605 nm using a 2.5-nm bandpass and excitation wavelength of 495 nm. Emission spectra for MDCC were measured from 450 to 550 nm using a 3.6-nm bandpass and excitation at 420 nm. Equilibrium binding assays were done by adding reagents sequentially to the cuvette starting with 72 μl of assay buffer containing ATP followed by 4 μl of PCNA-AF4882 or PCNA-MDCC, and finally, 4 μl of RFC or Rad24-RFC for a total reaction volume of 80 μl. Emission spectra were measured following each addition, and the intensities relative to free PCNA-AF4882 at 517 or PCNA-MDCC at 467 nm were plotted as a function of RFC concentration. Emission spectra were corrected for background by subtracting the signal for buffer. Dissociation constants (Kd) were calculated by fitting the observed intensity data (Iobs) to Equation 1 in which RFCo is the clamp loader concentration, PCNAo is the concentration of PCNA-AF4882 or PCNA-MDCC, Imin is the intensity of free PCNA-AF4882 or PCNA-MDCC, and Imax is the intensity of clamp loader-clamp complexes. Km is fit as adjustable parameters by nonlinear regression using KaleidaGraph and Imin was set to 1.

\[
I_{\text{obs}} = \frac{K_{d} + PCNA_{o} + RFC_{o} - \sqrt{(K_{d} + PCNA_{o} + RFC_{o})^{2} - 4PCNA_{o}RFC_{o}} \times PCNA_{o}}{2PCNA_{o}}
\]

Competition binding assays were done by adding reagents sequentially to a cuvette starting with 68 μl of assay buffer with ATP followed by 4 μl of 400 nm PCNA-AF4882 and 4 μl of unlabeled WT PCNA, and finally, 4 μl of 400 nm RFC for a total reaction volume of 80 μl. The concentration of WT PCNA added for each measurement varied. Emission spectra were measured following each addition, and the relative...
intensity of AF488 at 517 nm was plotted as a function of WT PCNA concentration. Emission spectra were corrected for background by subtracting the signal for buffer. Data were fit to Equation 2 in which $PCNA_{WT}$ is the concentration of WT PCNA, $PCNA_{WT}$ is the concentration of WT PCNA, $I_{max}$ is the intensity in the absence of WT PCNA (set to a value of 1), and $I_{min}$ is the signal at saturating concentrations of WT PCNA (fit as an adjustable parameter, calculated value of 0.757).

$$I_{obs} = \left( \frac{PCNA_{AF}}{PCNA_{AF} + PCNA_{WT}} \right) \times (I_{max} - I_{min}) + I_{min} \quad (\text{Eq. 2})$$

Pre-steady State Fluorescence Assays—Stopped-flow fluorescence measurements were made using a SX20MV stopped-flow fluorometer (Applied Photophysics, Ltd.). Single-mix reactions, in assay buffer containing 4% glycerol, were performed by mixing equal volumes (75–120 μl) of a solution of RFC or Rad24-RFC and ATP to a solution of PCNA-AF488$_2$ or PCNA-MDCC and ATP. Data were collected for a total of 4–8 s at intervals of 0.5–0.8 ms, and six or more individual kinetic traces were averaged. Time courses were corrected for background by subtracting the signal for buffer. Fluorescence of AF488 was measured by exciting at 495 nm and using a 515-nm cut-on filter to collect emission, and MDCC fluorescence was measured by exciting at 420 nm and using a 455-nm cut-on filter to collect emission. The time courses were empirically fit using KaleidaGraph software to a single exponential (Equation 3)

$$y = a(1 - e^{-kt}) + 1 \quad (\text{Eq. 3})$$

in which $a$ is the amplitude, $k_{obs}$ is the observed rate constant, and $t$ is the reaction time.

RESULTS

Fluorescence Intensity-based Assay to Measure PCNA Opening—An intensity-based fluorescence assay was developed to measure PCNA opening. Three of the four naturally occurring Cys residues in S. cerevisiae PCNA were converted to Ser to allow for selective labeling of engineered Cys residues. The fourth Cys is buried in the protein and should not be accessible to extrinsic labeling reagents (3). Two new Cys residues were introduced by mutation of Ile-111 and Ile-181, which are located on opposite sides of monomer interfaces in PCNA (Fig. 1A, ribbon diagrams). Cys-111 and Cys-181 were labeled with AF488 in the presence of a reducing agent, TCEP, to prevent disulfide bond formation between the closely spaced cysteines. Each PCNA monomer of the mutant is labeled with two fluorophores, PCNA-AF488$_2$. The homotrimer contains six fluorophores, a pair located at each of the three monomer interfaces. The α-carbon atoms of residues 111 and 181 are located within 5.5 Å of each other (3). At this distance, the two fluorophores should be able to physically interact and self-quench. Separation of these fluorophores, which occurs upon clamp opening, will remove stacking interactions and increase fluorescence. This “relief of quench” assay has been used to measure opening of the E. coli β-clamp (19). One mechanism to physically separate the fluorophores is by denaturation with a detergent. When a 0.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) solution was added to PCNA-AF488$_2$, the fluorescence increased. The fluorescence of the denatured PCNA-AF488$_2$ in SDS was 8.6-fold greater than the fluorescence of AF488 in the native protein (Fig. 1A, gray and black traces, respectively).

![FIGURE 1. Physical separation of AF488 molecules relieves quench in fluorescence. A, emission spectra of 10 nM PCNA-AF488$_2$, in the absence (–SDS, black) and presence (+ SDS, gray) of 0.5% SDS. Inset, top and edge views of PCNA in which each monomer is colored differently and the positions of Cys-111 and Cys-181 are indicated by green and yellow spheres, respectively. B, emission spectra of free PCNA-AF488$_2$, before (gray trace) and after the addition of RFC (black trace) or RFC storage buffer (black dashed trace). Final concentrations were 10 nM PCNA-AF488$_2$, 285 nM RFC (when present), and 0.5 mM ATP.](http://www.jbc.org/)

Binding and Opening of PCNA by RFC and Rad24-RFC
PCNA Opening in Equilibrium Binding Reactions with RFC

To determine whether the introduction of site-directed mutations and/or the fluorophores affect interactions with RFC, PCNA opening was measured in equilibrium binding assays. The increase in AF488 fluorescence as a function of RFC concentration was measured at three different concentrations of PCNA-AF488: 1 nM (red circle), 5 nM (blue circle), and 10 nM (filled circles), at a constant concentration, was added to the mixture of labeled and unlabeled PCNA. The average value of relative intensity of AF488 from three independent experiments is plotted with standard deviations.

Dissociation constants ($K_d$) were calculated from three independent experiments at each concentration of PCNA-AF488. $K_d$ values were 4.5 ± 0.1, 2.6 ± 0.1, and 3.0 ± 1.0 nM at 1, 5, and 10 nM PCNA-AF488, respectively. These values are in agreement with each other and with a previously reported $K_d$ value (1.3 nM) (20).

To verify that RFC binds the PCNA-AF488$_2$, mutant with the same affinity as wild-type PCNA, binding was also measured in a competition assay. In this assay, RFC-PCNA-AF488$_2$ binding was measured in the presence of increasing concentrations of unlabeled WT PCNA. As the concentration of unlabeled competitor PCNA increased, the amount of RFC bound to PCNA-AF488$_2$ decreased with the interaction reaching mid-point at equal concentrations of labeled and unlabeled PCNA. The data can be fit by an equation (Equation 2) that simply takes into account the fraction of the total PCNA that is labeled. This demonstrates that RFC binds both PCNA-AF488$_2$ and WT PCNA with the same affinity. Together, these binding experiments show that PCNA-AF488$_2$ interacts with RFC in a manner similar to WT PCNA and that the introduction of mutations and fluorophores does not affect this interaction.

PCNA Opening by Rad24-RFC—Previous studies have shown that Rad24-RFC can remove or unload PCNA from a...
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circular DNA plasmid but cannot load PCNA onto DNA (10). To determine what the molecular basis for this observation is, PCNA opening by Rad24-RFC was measured. The PCNA opening assay provides two pieces of information. 1) The clamp loader concentration dependence of the increase in AF488 fluorescence provides a measure of the binding interaction, and 2) the relative fluorescence intensity of AF488 at saturating concentrations of clamp loader provides a measure of the fraction of clamps in an open conformation. When PCNA-AF488 was titrated with Rad24-RFC, there was very little increase in AF488 fluorescence. Opening data for assays containing 10 nM PCNA-AF488 and either RFC (filled circles) or Rad24-RFC (filled squares) in the presence of 0.5 mM ATP are shown in Fig. 3A. For comparison, PCNA-AF488 was titrated with RFC in the absence of ATP (Fig. 3A, open circles), which is required for efficient clamp opening. Based on the relative fluorescence of AF488 at high clamp loader concentrations, the clamp-opening activity of Rad24-RFC in the presence of ATP is similar to the weak clamp-opening activity of RFC in the absence of ATP.

The clamp-opening activity of Rad24-RFC could be weak because Rad24-RFC has a lower affinity for PCNA than RFC. To determine whether this is the case, PCNA binding was measured for both clamp loaders. A binding assay that has been reported for ATP binding Rad24-RFC (22). Therefore, it is likely that Rad24-RFC is saturated with ATP at the 0.5 mM concentrations used in our experiments. Interestingly, when RFC binding to PCNA-MDCC was measured in the absence of ATP (Fig. 3B, open circles), a $K_d$ value of $38 \pm 6$ nM was calculated that is similar to that for Rad24-RFC binding PCNA-MDCC in the presence of ATP. At saturating concentrations of RFC in the absence of ATP, the fluorescence of MDCC increases to a greater extent than in the presence of ATP. This likely reflects different interactions between the clamp loader and the clamp in the presence and absence of ATP.

Real-time PCNA Opening by RFC and Rad24-RFC—PCNA binding and opening by RFC were measured in real time by monitoring the increase in AF488 and MDCC fluorescence when a solution of RFC (200 nM final concentration) and ATP was added to a solution of labeled PCNA (20 nM final concentration) and ATP. The increase in MDCC fluorescence due to PCNA-MDCC binding PCNA-MDCC (Fig. 4A, gray trace) was much faster than the increase in AF488 fluorescence due to PCNA-AF488 opening (Fig. 4A, black trace). Observed rates of binding and opening calculated from single exponential fits of the data were 41 and 2.3 s$^{-1}$, respectively. The observed rate of PCNA opening in assays containing 20 nM PCNA-AF488, 200 nM Rad24-RFC or RFC, and 0.5 mM ATP. The amplitude for the Rad24-RFC time course was small as expected from steady state assays; however, an exponential fit of the binding data yielded an observed rate of 1.5 s$^{-1}$ (Fig. 4B, black trace). This is similar to the rate of 2.3 s$^{-1}$ for RFC opening PCNA (Fig. 4B, gray trace) and suggests that the defect in PCNA opening by Rad24-RFC may stem from a decreased ability of this clamp loader to stabilize the open conformation (i.e. the PCNA closing rate is likely faster for Rad24-RFC).

DISCUSSION

Sliding clamps are assembled onto DNA by clamp loaders where they bind to DNA polymerases to increase the processivity of DNA synthesis and overall efficiency of replication in a process that is conserved from bacteria to humans (reviewed in Refs. 12 and 23). Although many of the structural and functional features of the replication machinery are conserved, studies using different organisms have shown that there is some divergence in the mechanistic details of the reactions. Here, we developed a fluorescence intensity-based assay to investigate the PCNA opening reaction catalyzed by RFC and the DNA damage checkpoint clamp loader, Rad24-RFC. The clamp-opening assay takes advantage of self-quenching fluorophores located within close proximity on either side of the PCNA monomer interfaces. Although this assay does not provide distance measurements that can be obtained from FRET-based assays, it is a robust and simple method for measuring the fraction of clamps that are open under a given set of conditions. Rates of PCNA opening measured in our relief of quench assay ($2.2 \pm 0.1$ s$^{-1}$) were identical to the rate of PCNA opening ($2.13 \pm 0.05$ s$^{-1}$) measured using a FRET-based assay (24).

Clamp loaders must hold clamps in an open conformation to load clamps onto DNA, and this opening reaction appears to

---

4 M. R. Marzahn and L. B. Bloom, manuscript in preparation.
differ between species. The bacteriophage T4 clamp loader binds clamps that have transiently opened in solution (25, 26), whereas the E. coli clamp loader binds closed clamps prior to opening them (19, 27, 28). To determine which mechanism is utilized by RFC, rates of PCNA binding and opening were measured under identical conditions (Fig. 4A), and the rate of RFC-PCNA binding was nearly 20-fold faster than the rate of PCNA opening. These kinetic data show that RFC binds closed PCNA prior to PCNA opening in a mechanism similar to the E. coli clamp loader. Interestingly, the rate of clamp opening by RFC is about 5-fold slower than the rate of clamp opening by the E. coli γ complex. The mechanistic basis for this difference is not clear, but the E. coli clamp loader may be a more robust clamp opener than RFC. Given the greater stability of the β-clamp (29), the E. coli clamp opener may actively pry the β-clamp open, whereas RFC may bind PCNA and wait for PCNA to open. Computational studies suggest that RFC simply stabilizes the open conformation of PCNA rather than destabilizing the closed conformation to crack PCNA open (30). Thus, the mechanism for clamp opening by RFC may be intermediate between the bacteriophage T4 clamp loader that captures open clamps in solution and the E. coli clamp loader that actively opens closed clamps.

ATP binding to clamp loaders increases the affinity of the clamp loaders for clamps. The binding affinity of the E. coli clamp loader for the clamp increases by 2–3 orders of magnitude in the presence of ATP (31, 32). There is a smaller difference in Kₐ values, about an order of magnitude, for RFC binding PCNA in the presence and absence of ATP (Fig. 3B). The smaller difference is due to stronger binding in the absence of ATP as Kₐ values for the E. coli γ complex-β-clamp (31) and RFC-PCNA interactions are about the same in the presence of ATP. Another interesting difference between the E. coli and S. cerevisiae clamp loaders is that there is no measurable formation of open clamp loader-clamp complexes in the absence of ATP for the E. coli γ complex (19). In contrast, based on the relative fluorescence of AF488 at saturating RFC concentrations, about 20% of the number of open clamp loader-clamp complexes in the absence of ATP for the E. coli γ complex (19). In contrast, based on the relative fluorescence of AF488 at saturating RFC concentrations, about 20% of the number of open clamp loader-clamp complexes in the absence of ATP for the E. coli γ complex (19).

The PCNA binding and opening activities of Rad24-RFC are weaker than those for RFC. The Kₐ value for the Rad24-RFC-PCNA interaction in the presence of ATP is about the same as for the weaker RFC-PCNA interaction in the absence of ATP. The relative fluorescence of AF488 at saturating concentrations of Rad24-RFC is about 8% of that for RFC, showing that a much smaller fraction of clamps is open. The weaker clamp-opening activity of Rad24-RFC certainly contributes to the inability of Rad24-RFC to load PCNA on DNA but may not be the only factor involved. However, the weak opening activity may be sufficient to allow Rad24-RFC to unload PCNA from DNA, a reaction that may be catalyzed by a more transient interaction.
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