Contribution of orbital forcing and Deccan volcanism to global climatic and biotic changes across the Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary at Zumaia, Spain
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ABSTRACT

Untangling the timing of the environmental effects of Deccan volcanism with respect to the Chicxulub impact is instrumental to fully assessing the contributions of both to climate change over the Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary (KPB) interval. Despite recent improvements in radiometric age calibrations, the accuracy of age constraints and correlations is insufficient to resolve the exact mechanisms leading to environmental and climate change in the 1 m.y. across the KPB. We present new high-resolution planktic foraminiferal, geochemical, and geophysical data from the Zumaia section (Spain), calibrated to an updated orbitally tuned age model. We provide a revised chronology for the major carbon isotope excursions (CIEs) and planktic foraminiferal events and test temporal relationships with different models of the eruptive phases of the Deccan Traps. Our data show that the major CIEs near the KPB, i.e., the late Maastrichtian warming event (66.25–66.10 Ma) and the Dan-C2 event (65.8–65.7 Ma), are synchronous with the last and the first 405 k.y. eccentricity maximum of the Maastrichtian and the Danian, respectively, and that the minor Lower C29n event (65.48–65.41 Ma) is well constrained to a short eccentricity maximum. Conversely, we obtained evidence of abrupt environmental change likely related to Deccan volcanism at ca. 65.9 Ma, based on a bloom of opportunistic triserial guembelitriids (Chiloguembelitria). The orbital, isotopic, and paleobiological temporal relationships with Deccan volcanism established here provide new insights into the role of Deccan volcanism in climate and environmental change in the 1 m.y. across the KPB.

INTRODUCTION

The Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary (KPB) is one of the best-documented intervals in Earth’s history and yet remains a subject of extensive research (Hull et al., 2020). Episodes of global climatic change during the ~1 m.y. across the KPB include, among others, the Late Maastrichtian Warming Event (LMWE) and the Danian Dan-C2 event. The LMWE is associated with a transient 2–5°C global warming ~150–300 k.y. prior to the KPB (Woelders et al., 2017), and the Dan-C2 event with a 4°C sea-surface temperature rise ~200–300 k.y. after the KPB (Quillévéré et al., 2008). Recent improvements in radiometric dating (³⁶Ar/³⁹Ar and U-Pb) of Deccan volcanism (Schoene et al., 2019; Sprain et al., 2019) suggest causal links between Deccan activity and climatic perturbations around the KPB (Barnet et al., 2018; Krahl et al., 2020). However, uncertainties in correlation mean that the timing of Deccan volcanism and its climatic effects over the KPB interval are still under intense discussion (e.g., Hull et al., 2020; Keller et al., 2020). Complete and expanded climate-sensitive archives with detailed age control are crucial to provide new insights into this classic problem.

The Zumaia outcrop in northwestern Spain (Fig. 1) is an auxiliary section for the Global Boundary Stratotype Section and Point (GSSP) for the base of the Danian (Molina et al., 2009). The KPB at Zumaia is marked by an altered microtektite-rich airfall layer overlain by a 9-cm-thick blackish clay bed, which together form the KPB clay (Figs. S1B–S1E in the Supplemental Material), separating the rhythmic marl-limestone alternations of Maastrichtian and Danian ages. The exceptional and cyclic exposure of the Zumaia outcrop (Fig. S1A) forms the basis of cyclostratigraphic age models (e.g., Batenburg et al. [2012] for the Maastrichtian, and Dinares-Turell et al. [2014] for the Paleocene). We present integrated Maastrichtian and Danian astrochronologies over a 1-m.y.-long interval, providing ages for paleobiological and paleoclimate events identified in new high-resolution micropaleontological, geochemical, and geophysical data. Detailed age control of episodes of climate change during the KPB interval, i.e., the LMWE, Dan-C2, and the Lower C29n (LC29n) events, allows us to test to what degree Deccan volcanism and orbital forcing contributed to environmental change.

METHODS AND AGE MODEL

We collected 171 rock samples in the 24.5-m-thick interval across the KPB at Zumaia for micropaleontological, geochemical, and geophysical analyses. Carbon isotope (δ¹³C), calcium carbonate (CaCO₃), magnetic susceptibility (χ), and foraminiferal analyses followed standard procedures. δ¹³C data were calibrated to the in-house NOCZ Carrara marble standard, showing analytical precision better than ±1σ (<0.1‰ for Vienna Peedee belemnite [VPDB]). See Text S1 in the Supplemental Material for detailed methods.

Our age model was constructed by correlating to the stable 405 k.y. component of long...
eccentricity-modulated precession as identified by Batenburg et al. (2012) and Dinarès-Turell et al. (2014). The tie points for age calibration were the 405 k.y. maxima and minima extracted from the La2011 astronomical solution (Laskar et al., 2011) and a KPB age of 66.001 Ma (Dinarès-Turell et al., 2014). This age for the KPB differs from those of recent U-Pb and 40Ar/39Ar dating efforts, which yielded results of 66.016 ± 0.05 Ma (Schoene et al., 2019) and 66.052 ± 0.008/0.043 Ma (Sprain et al., 2018), respectively, but falls within the uncertainties of these estimates. The stratigraphic position of the tie points is shown in Figure 2 and listed with ages in Table S1 in the Supplemental Material. We assume that each limestone-marlstone couplet represents a precession cycle, and the CaCO3 (%) and χ (m/kg) data support the identification of lithological alternations (Table S2; Fig. S2). Based on cosmic He sedimentation rates, the KPB clay spans the first ~10 k.y. of the Danian (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2001), approximately half of a precession cycle. According to the 405 k.y. cyclostratigraphic framework of Dinarès-Turell et al. (2014), the interval between the KPB and the first Danian eccentricity minimum (~50 cm above the KPB) spans 34 k.y., which is compatible with the 1.5 precession cycles estimated here (Fig. 2). Calibrated ages assigned to each sample were linearly interpolated within each precession cycle between the tie points. This method has allowed us to account for large, orbitally driven changes in sedimentation rate in the Zumaia section, providing a detailed age calibration of the recognized paleobiological and palaeoclimatic events in the 1 m.y. across the KPB (Table S2). Detailed methods, age model data, and stratigraphy are provided in Texts S1–S4.

RESULTS

Calibration of Planktic Foraminiferal Events

At Zumaia, the lowest occurrence datum (LOD) of Plummerita hantkeninoides, the index species of Biozone CF1, is at ~4.55 m (Fig. 2; Fig. S3; Table S3), i.e., 99 k.y. prior to the KPB. After intensive study, we recognized the LODs of the index species Parvularugoglobigerina longiapertura, P. eugubina, Eoglobigerina simplicissima, Parasubbotina pseudobulloides, Subbotina triloculoides, and Globanomalina compressa at 6, 23, 37, 100, 330, and 655 cm above the KPB, respectively (Fig. 2; Fig. S3; Table S4); i.e., 7, 18, 26, 68, 210, and 473 k.y. after the KPB, respectively. These datums mark the bases of the lower Danian biozones of Arenillas et al. (2004). Their equivalence with biozones P0, Po, P1a, P1b, and P1c of Wade et al. (2011) is shown in Figure 2.

The planktic foraminiferal assemblages remained relatively stable at Zumaia during the last ~400 k.y. of the late Maastrichtian (Fig. S4), with only a minor and transient assemblage reorganization related to an increase in Heterohelix abundance (~50% to 65%–80%) from 11 to 7.5 m below the KPB (~250–150 k.y. prior to the KPB). Conversely, the lower Danian oligotaxic assemblages are characterized by a rapid succession of planktic foraminiferal acme stages (PFAS of Arenillas et al., 2006) and blooms of opportunistic nanoplankton taxa (e.g., Thracosphaera, Braarudosphaera, and Neobiscutum) (Bernaola et al., 2006, Table S2). We recognized PFAS-1 (acme of triserial guembelitrids) from the KPB up to 6 cm; PFAS-2 (acme of tiny trochospiral Parvularugoglobigerina and Palaeoglobigerina) from 6 to 55 cm; and PFAS-3 (acme of biserial Woodringina and Chiloguembelia) from 55 cm upward. A second bloom of triserial guembelitrids (Chiloguembelia) is recognized in PFAS-3 from 195 to 400 cm (Table S4). According to our age model, the bases of the three main PFASs (1, 2, and 3) are calibrated to 0, 7, and 42 k.y. after the KPB, respectively, and the Chiloguembelia bloom to 110 to 282 k.y.

Calibration of Carbon Isotope Excursions (CIEs)

In the upper Maastrichtian of Zumaia, the main decrease in δ13C is recorded between 11.05 and 4.75 m (~250 and 100 k.y.) below the KPB, showing a distinctive gradual shift to the lowest δ13C values of the studied section, except for those reached at the KPB (Fig. 3C). From 55 cm (42 k.y.) above the KPB upward, δ13C shows an overall trend to lower isotopic values, interrupted by two distinctive negative CIEs (Fig. 3C). The first, with the shape of a double spike, is recorded between 3.15 and 4.30 m (~200 and 305 k.y.) above the KPB, and the second between 7.1 and 7.9 m (~520 and 595 k.y.). We suggest that these isotopic events recognized at Zumaia correspond to the LMWE, Dan-C2, and LC29n events reported at Gubbio, Italy (Tethys; Coccioni et al., 2010; Voigt et al., 2012). Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) Site 1049 and International Ocean Discovery Program (IODP) Site U1403 (North Atlantic; Quillivic et al., 2008; Batenburg et al., 2018), and ODP Site 1262 (South Atlantic; Woelders et al., 2017), confirming the global character of these carbon-cycle perturbations (Figs. 1 and 3).

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

To investigate the potential impact of volcanism, we focus on changes in carbon-cycle and climatic events before and after the KPB boundary, excluding the Chixculub asteroid–induced KPB event. The astronomically calibrated δ13C record of Zumaia is compared in Figure 3 to those of other reference sites and sections from the Atlantic and Tethys Oceans and to the main period of Deccan Traps eruptions according to the models of Schoene et al. (2019) and Sprain et al. (2019). The LMWE, calibrated here to an age of 66.25–66.10 Ma, coincides with a minor eruptive phase of the Deccan Traps (Kalusubai and Lonavala subgroups) in both eruptive models (Fig. 3B). Recent carbon and CO2 estimations from Deccan outgassing suggest that this phase could have outgassed more CO2 than the main eruptive phases of the Wai subgroup, but this would still have been insufficient to explain the amplitude of LMWE warming (Hernandez Nava et al., 2021). However, both warming (Hull et al., 2020; Fig. 3D) and carbon-cycle changes follow the rhythm of long eccentricity (405 k.y. periodicity). The peak perturbation of the LMWE occurs at the eccentricity maximum Maass1 (Figs. 3A and 3C), rather than preceding it as suggested by Barnet et al. (2018), coinciding with increased amplitudes of orbital forcing and seasonality. We hypothesize that raised CO2 levels through Deccan outgassing during the late Maastrichtian may have amplified climate sensitivity to orbital forcing, resulting in the enhanced global climatic response of the LMWE. Similarly, late Paleocene–early Eocene hyperthermals have been linked to 405 k.y. eccentricity maxima during the emplacement of the North Atlantic igneous province (Barnet et al., 2019).

From the LMWE to the KPB, a gradual cooling is recognized worldwide (Woelders et al., 2017; Barnet et al., 2018; Hull et al., 2020). This pre-KPB cooling did not cause substantial changes in the foraminiferal assemblages of Zumaia, except for the extinction of Archaeoglobigerina cretacea (Fig. 2; Fig. S3). This points to planktic foraminiferal evolutionary and environmental stability during the latest Maastrichtian, as previously suggested (Gilbert et al., 2021a, and references therein). At
Zumaia, an absence of pre-KPB perturbations in the global carbon cycle is also observed, which calls into question the hypothesis by Schoene et al. (2019) and Keller et al. (2020) of a Deccan eruptive megapulse in the late Maastrichtian, associated with the emplacement of the Poladpur Formation (Fig. 3).

After the KPB, the first carbon cycle perturbation in the Paleocene is the Dan-C2 event (dated here at 65.8–65.7 Ma), which is characterized by two distinctive CIEs according to bulk and calcareous plankton δ13C data (Quillévéré et al., 2008). Whether the Dan-C2 event represents a true hyperthermal is debated due to the absence of bottom-water warming (Barrett et al., 2019). The Dan-C2 event is closely aligned with two short eccentricity maxima within the long eccentricity maximum Psea1 (∼350 k.y.) than the duration of the Dan-C2 event (∼100 k.y.), beginning long before it and ending long after it, and thus refuting a direct cause-effect relationship. According to Schoene et al. (2019), the Ambenali Formation was emplaced during a volcanic megapulse well before the beginning of the Dan-C2 event, suggesting seemingly unlinked events. In addition, Hull et al. (2020) and Fendley et al. (2020) have recently proposed that Deccan volcanic outgassing alone was insufficient to significantly alter early Danian climate. By contrast, a combination of both orbital forcing and an overall increase in atmospheric CO2 associated with Ambenali Formation emplacement could have caused the carbon cycle to briefly cross a critical threshold for carbon release twice, resulting in the double-peak CIE of the Dan-C2 event. Double-peak CIEs characterize several Paleogene hyperthermals (Cocioni et al., 2012) and have been related to extreme orbital configurations separated by an interlude of rapid replenishment (<100 k.y.) of carbon reservoirs (Barnet et al., 2019).

Finally, the LC29n event (65.48–65.41 Ma) seems to be minor, is not reported worldwide, and is not accompanied by relevant paleoenvironmental and paleobiological changes. Nevertheless, it coincides with a short eccentricity maximum (Figs. 3A and 3C) and possibly with the final stages of Deccan volcanism according to the model of Sprain et al. (2019).

According to our data, unlike the Dan-C2 and LC29n events, the onset of the bloom of eutrophic and/or opportunistic Chiloguembelitria (commonly assigned to the genus Guembelitria) is consistently coeval with the onset of Ambenali Formation emplacement at ca. 65.9 Ma (Figs. 3A and 3B). This bloom has been recognized at Zumaia and other open-ocean sites worldwide (Fig. S5), such as Deep Sea Drilling Project (DSDP) Site 577 (central Pacific; Smit and Romein, 1985), Agost (Spain; Canudo et al., 1991), ODP Site 528 (South Atlantic; H’Hondt and Keller, 1991), Gubbio (Italy; Cocioni et al., 2010), El Kef (Tunisia; Arenillas et al., 2018), and Caravaca (Spain; Gilabert et al., 2021b).
We hypothesize that the massive input of nutrients to the surface ocean due to effusive Deccan volcanism may have been a stressor for pelagic ecosystems starting ~90 k.y. before the Dan-C2 event. The residence time of nutrients in the surface ocean may have been longer in the Danian due to the reduction in the efficiency of the biological pump (Birch et al., 2016), which could have allowed for a worldwide proliferation of opportunistic taxa in the open ocean for longer time intervals. Nonetheless, the absence of global changes in temperature and the carbon cycle prior to the Dan-C2 event suggests that orbital forcing was required to exacerbate the effect of long-term CO₂ emissions of Deccan volcanism during the Dan-C2 event. Our integrated stratigraphic results from Zumaia thus explain why the eruptive events of the Deccan Traps left a pronounced climatic signature only when they coincided with times of enhanced orbital forcing.
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