HIV infection and multidrug resistant tuberculosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis
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Abstract

Background: Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) in HIV infected individuals is a serious threat to global efforts to combat tuberculosis. Inconsistent findings on the association between HIV infection and MDR-TB were present in many studies. We aimed to review existing data on the relationship between HIV infection and MDR-TB systematically to assess the contribution of HIV on MDR-TB worldwide. We also investigated the patterns of MDR-TB by age, country-wise income, study designs, and global regions.

Methods: We utilized PubMed, Google Scholar, and ScienceDirect databases to select eligible studies for meta-analysis that were published between January 1, 2010, and July 30, 2020. The random-effects model was used to obtain the pooled odds ratio of the crude association between HIV and MDR-TB with a 95% confidence interval. We investigated the potential publication-bias by checking funnel plot asymmetry and using the Egger's test. Moreover, we assessed the heterogeneity using the $I^2$ statistic. Sensitivity analysis was performed based on sample size and adjustment factors. The protocol was registered with PROSPERO-CRD42019132752.

Results: We identified 1603 studies through a database search, and after subsequent eliminations we selected 54 studies including 430,534 TB patients. The pooled odds of MDR-TB was 1.42 times higher in HIV-positive patients than HIV-negative patients (OR=1.42, CI=1.17–1.71, $I^2=75.8\%$). Subgroup analysis revealed that the estimated pooled odds for South-East Asian countries was 1.86, which is the highest in WHO regions (OR=1.86, CI=1.30–2.67, $I^2=0.00\%$), followed by Europe and Africa. The effect estimate was found to be higher for primary MDR-TB (OR=2.76, CI=1.70–4.46, $I^2=0.00\%$). There was also a trend towards increased odds of MDR-TB for HIV patients older than 40 years (OR=1.56, CI=1.17–2.06). The association was found to be significant in high-burden TB countries (OR=1.75, CI=1.39–2.19) and in high-income countries (OR=1.55, CI=1.06–2.27).

Conclusion: Such findings indicate that HIV infection raises the risk of MDR-TB, and after contrasting it with the results of the earlier pooled study, it appeared to be an upward risk trend. Moreover, we found that the risk is the highest in the South-East Asian region. A balanced allocation of resources is needed to halt both primary and secondary MDR-TB, particularly in HIV infected people with 40 years of age and older.
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Background

Tuberculosis is a global public health problem causing illness among millions each year. In 2018, there were an estimated 10 million new TB cases, and 8.6% were living with HIV [1]. The care and control of tuberculosis are threatened by the emergence and amplification of multi-drug resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB). It was declared as a public health crisis by WHO in 2013 [2]. Globally, there were an estimated 484,000 incident cases of MDR/Rifampicin-resistant tuberculosis (RR-TB). Of them, about 39% (186772) were notified, and 32% (156071) enrolled for treatment in 2018 [1]. The large gap in diagnosis and treatment increases the likelihood of transmission of TB plus MDR-TB [3]. Poor TB treatment outcome is also reported in TB-HIV co-infected patients, which further ignites MDR-TB development [4-6]. A WHO report shows that 3.4% of new cases and 18% of previously treated TB cases are estimated to have MDR/RR-TB [1]. However, HIV positive individuals are 20 times more likely to develop active TB than those without HIV [7]. Despite enormous concerted measures taken to control tuberculosis and reduce HIV-associated deaths, it ranks among the top ten causes of death worldwide [1]. About 0.25 million deaths were attributed to TB associated with HIV, and nearly 15% of all global tuberculosis deaths were contributed by MDR-TB in 2018 [1].

Drug-resistant tuberculosis (DR-TB) is defined as a case of one or more anti-TB drugs resistant to bacteria that cause TB. There are various forms of DR-TB: mono-drug-resistant TB (mono-DR-TB), polydrug-resistant TB (poly-DR-TB), rifampicin-resistant TB (RR-TB), multidrug-resistant TB (MDR-TB), and extensively drug-resistant TB (XDR-TB). MDR-TB is a form of TB that does not respond to at least isoniazid and rifampicin, the two most potent anti-TB drugs. The XDR-TB is also a form of MDR-TB resistant to isoniazid and rifampicin, plus any fluoroquinolone and at least one of three injectable second-line drugs (i.e., amikacin, kanamycin, or capreomycin). RR-TB is classified as a case of TB, which shows either rifampicin (R) resistance only or accompanied by resistance to other anti-TB drugs in different forms. About half a million people worldwide acquired MDR-TB in 2017, and a further 161,000 people with RR-resistant TB were newly diagnosed with MDR-TB [1]. China, India, and Russia were the countries with the largest number of MDR / RR-TB cases (47% of the global total). Approximately 6.2% of these cases were XDR-TB [1].

Global tuberculosis burden study 2015 suggests taking the necessary steps to prevent HIV for reducing the burden of tuberculosis [8]. Estimates reveal that around 1.7 billion of the world’s population has latent tuberculosis infection [1]. Of them, 5–15% of cases are at risk of developing active diseases during their lifetime, and people living with HIV have a higher risk of falling ill [9, 10]. Alarmingly, it appeared from a mathematical model that there were approximately 19 million people globally with latent MDR tuberculosis infection (10% were at risk of active disease) in 2015 [11]. Undoubtedly, latency is affected by HIV infection and is one of the most potent risk factors for converting into active disease, drug-susceptible, or drug-resistance [10-12].

The surge of MDR-TB occurrence in HIV-prevalent settings is of great public health importance. An updated understanding of the magnitude of association is needed with the accumulation of recent evidence that supports a positive association between HIV and MDR-TB [13, 14]. Additionally, two systematic reviews revealed an increased risk of transmission-associated MDR-TB (primary) among HIV positive individuals [15, 16]. Mesfin et al. conducted the latest systematic review and meta-analysis on the relationship between HIV infection and MDR-TB in 2014, which included research from 1994 to 2011. Even after the latest meta-analysis, conflicting results on the association were found in numerous studies conducted during the last decade. The objective was to provide an up-to-date pooled risk estimate on the relationship between HIV infection and MDR-TB growth and compare the risk pattern of MDR-TB with previous systematic reviews.

Methods

Search strategy

We researched on PubMed, Google Scholar, and Science Direct databases to select eligible peer-reviewed articles for our systematic review and meta-analysis. Relevant observational studies (cross-sectional, case-control, and cohort) were screened to assess the association between HIV infection and MDR-TB, published from January 1, 2010, to July 30, 2020 (date of the last search). The screening language was restricted to English. The particular keywords used for searching articles were “Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis” or “MDR-TB” or “Drug-resistant TB” or “Risk factors of MDR-TB” or “Predictors of MDR-TB” and “HIV” or “Human Immunodeficiency Virus”. A summary of search terms is provided in Appendix A. Search results were compiled using a citation management software Zotero. In addition to databases used, we explored references of selected studies to incorporate all potential pertinent articles to construct our summary estimates. The study adheres to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and meta-analysis (PRISMA) guidelines [17]. The protocol was registered in the PROSPERO database-CRD42019132752.
Selection criteria
The primary outcome of the meta-analysis was an unadjusted odds ratio exhibiting the crude association between HIV infection and MDR-TB. The articles that reported or provided adequate data to calculate the frequency of MDR-TB and non-MDR-TB, subdivided by HIV status (positive or negative), were included in our systematic review. We included studies where MDR-TB is the outcome of interest. We contacted the author for the insufficient information given in any study. If the author didn’t reply, we excluded the article. To be eligible for inclusion, any drug susceptibility testing method (culture on solid and/or liquid media, molecular techniques, clinical records, Table 1) were accepted for the diagnosis of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (Mycobacterium tuberculosis strain resistant at least to rifampicin and isoniazid). The comparison group (non-MDR-TB) consisted of drug-susceptible tuberculosis patients and/or resistant to any single drug. HIV status was ascertained by HIV test reports, clinical/hospital records, databases/registers, or directly from patients. Studies reported on non-tuberculous mycobacterium, case reports, case studies, systematic review, meta-analysis, a duplicate publication of the same study, and studies with only abstract were excluded. We also didn’t include grey literature (theses and dissertations). Studies with less than three HIV/MDR-TB participants were excluded because these studies cause the analysis to be heterogeneous. Titles and abstracts of the studies obtained from database searches were screened independently by two reviewers ZZS and FH. Full text of potential articles was further reviewed for eligibility regardless of the study base (hospital or population). Articles might have been eliminated for more than one rationale. Any disagreements were discussed with senior authors (JB or AH) until a consensus was reached or by the arbitration of AH alone.

Data extraction
A pre-specified and standardized form was used for data abstraction. For the estimation of the crude odds ratios, key data on the number of MDR-TB HIV positive, number of MDR-TB HIV negative, number of non-MDR-TB HIV positive, and number of non-MDR-TB HIV negative patients were extracted from each study. Additionally, data on the name of the first author, country, year of publication, years of recruitment, study design (cross-sectional, case-control or cohort), source of data (hospital/medical records, lab reports, database/register, or direct from the patients), methods carried out to determine drug resistance pattern, number of enrolled TB patients, the mean or median age of the MDR-TB cases, proportion of male patients among MDR-TB cases (%), type of MDR-TB (primary, secondary or both), form of tuberculosis (pulmonary, both pulmonary and extra-pulmonary or not defined), and proportion of the patients with extra-pulmonary tuberculosis among MDR-TB cases (%) were entered in the spreadsheet. We further stratified the articles based on global regions (defined by World Health Organization) income level (World Bank classification by income, GNI per capita) and burden for MDR-TB (list used by WHO 2016–2020) of the countries [1, 72]. Data abstraction from individual studies was executed by two trios of investigators (AI, JB & ZZS and HRK, DHH & FH), from March 2019 to June 2020. The presence of any inconsistency in data extraction was verified by a seventh investigator (AH).

Quality assessment
Two trios of investigators (AI, JB & ZZS and HRK, DHH & FH) scored each study to ascertain methodological quality independently. The corresponding author (AH) subsequently examined all the assessments. Furthermore, contentions aroused in the course of quality scoring were resolved through discussions between investigators. It was evaluated using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS). It consists of three domains: selection, comparability, and exposure or outcome of interest. Few points on the NOS related to appropriate methods for evaluating exposure variables and outcomes were designed for the relevance to our research question (Supplementary file 1). Two outcome groups were considered comparable if the HIV status was adjusted for the previous history of diagnosis with tuberculosis and/or any other sociodemographic variable (e.g., age, sex, etc.). These studies also reflect high or medium NOS scores (Supplementary file 2). Articles were given scores to reflect methodological stringency, lucidity, and transparency in reporting. Nevertheless, we did not exclude any articles based on quality scoring. It may exclude studies coming from resource-limited settings. Therefore, we did not provide insight into this particular cluster of studies. Moreover, the PRISMA statement consists of a checklist of 27 items and is given in Supplementary file 3.

Statistical analysis
We performed data analysis using meta and metafor packages in the R statistical software (version 3.5.1). We calculated crude odds ratio with a 95% confidence interval (CI) for individual study from the abstracted frequencies (numerator and denominators). After that, we estimated the pooled odds ratio (overall) using the random-effects model, allowing the true effect size to vary from study to study. The summary estimate (OR) for the association between HIV...
Table 1: Characteristics of the included studies in the meta-analysis (according to the order of year)

| Author [Ref] | Year | Study design | Study period | Country | Number of TB patients | MDR-TB cases | % of male (MDR-TB cases) | Mean/ Median age (MDR-TB cases), years | TB form | % of EPTB (MDR-TB cases) | MDR-TB type | % of PTC (MDR-TB cases) |
|--------------|------|--------------|--------------|---------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|------------------------|------------|------------------------|
| Brito et al. [18] | 2010 | Cross-sectional | 2004–2006 | Brazil | 595 | 44 | 72.73 | 40.1 | PTB, EPTB | | | 1°, 2° | 61.36 |
| Andrew et al. [19] | 2010 | Case-control | 2005–2007 | South Africa | 378 | 123 | 50 | –34 | PTB, EPTB | | | 1°, 2° | 71.75 |
| Sangare et al. [20] | 2010 | Cross-sectional | 2005–2006 | Burkina Faso | 550 | 47 | NA | NA | PTB | | | 1°, 2° | 81.03 |
| Sangare et al. [21] | 2011 | Cross-sectional | 2005–2006 | Burkina Faso | 316 | 42 | 87.66 | NA | NA | | | 1°, 2° | 85.71 |
| Gudo et al. [22] | 2011 | Cross-sectional | 2007–2008 | Mozambique | 1102 | 27 | 61.8 | –35 | PTB | | | 1° | 0 |
| Vadhavi et al. [23] | 2011 | Cross-sectional | 2009 | India | 250 | 184 | 61.95 | 33.06 | PTB, EPTB | | | 1°, 2° | 62.5 |
| Macedo et al. [24] | 2012 | Cross-sectional | 2008–2010 | Portugal | 2093 | 50 | 78 | –42 | PTB, EPTB | | | 1°, 2° | 30 |
| Padilla et al. [25] | 2012 | Cross-sectional | 2009–2010 | Swaziland | 840 | 122 | 37.70 | –33 | PTB | | | 1°, 2° | 77.87 |
| van Halsera et al. [26] | 2012 | Cross-sectional | 2002–2008 | South Africa | 2431 | 168 | NA | NA | NA | | | 1°, 2° | 82.74 |
| Ricks et al. [27] | 2012 | Case-control | 2007–2009 | Namibia | 368 | 117 | 56 | 36 | PTB, EPTB | | | 1°, 2° | 97 |
| Tessema et al. [28] | 2012 | Cross-sectional | 2009 | Ethiopia | 260 | 13 | 53.85 | NA | PTB | | | 1°, 2° | 61.54 |
| Coelho et al. [29] | 2012 | Cross-sectional | 2000–2004 | Brazil | 671 | 32 | NA | 39.2 | PTB | | | 1°, 2° | 68.7 |
| Ullasova et al. [30] | 2013 | Cross-sectional | 2010–2011 | Uzbekistan | 1037 | 372 | 57.07 | –45 | PTB | | | 1°, 2° | NA |
| Minion et al. [31] | 2013 | Surveillance | 1997–2008 | Canada | 15,993 | 177 | 59.35 | 30 | PTB | | | 1°, 2° | 31.10 |
| Sethi et al. [32] | 2013 | Cross-sectional | 2006–2010 | India | 219 | 39 | 66.67 | 36.6 | PTB | | | 1°, 2° | 69.23 |
| Van Den Hof et al. [33] | 2013 | Cross-sectional | 2007–2011 | Kazakhstan | 146,461 | 18,338 | 65.81 | NA | PTB, EPTB | | | 1°, 2° | 85.9 |
| Lukoye et al. [34] | 2013 | Cross-sectional | 2009–2011 | Uganda | 1537 | 31 | 67.74 | –35 | PTB | | | 1°, 2° | 45.16 |
| Hang et al. [35] | 2013 | Cross-sectional | 2007–2009 | Vietnam | 546 | 22 | NA | 38.6 | PTB | | | 1° | 0 |
| Skahina et al. [36] | 2013 | Cross-sectional | 2010–2011 | Belarus | 1420 | 612 | 80 | –46 | PTB | | | 1°, 2° | 75.60 |
| Satti et al. [37] | 2013 | Cohort | 2007–2011 | Lesotho | 148 | 47 | NA | NA | PTB | | | 2° | 100 |
| Hirpa et al. [38] | 2013 | Case-control | 2011–2012 | Ethiopia | 268 | 134 | 60.50 | 25.1 | PTB, EPTB | | | 3 | 2° | 100 |
| Mor et al. [39] | 2014 | Cross-sectional | 1999–2010 | Israel | 3552 | 207 | 75.40 | 43 | PTB, EPTB | | | 3.90 | 2° | 100 |
| Post et al. [40] | 2014 | Cross-sectional | 2004–2006 | Belarus, Latvia, Russia, Romania, Ukraine | 144 | 55 | 74.6 | 30.2 | PTB, EPTB | | | 3.6 | 1°, 2° | 16.4 |
| Metcalfe et al. [41] | 2014 | Cross-sectional | 2011–2012 | Zimbabwe | 129 | 25 | 52 | –34 | PTB | | | 1°, 2° | 12 |
| Shariff et al. [42] | 2015 | Case-control | 2013–2014 | Malaysia | 150 | 30 | 66.70 | 51 | PTB | | | 1°, 2° | 66.70 |
| Jitmuang et al. [43] | 2015 | Case-control | 2010–2012 | Thailand | 188 | 47 | 57.40 | 48.9 | PTB, EPTB | | | 10.60 | 1°, 2° | 53.20 |
| Chuchottaworn et al. [44] | 2015 | Case-control | 2007–2013 | Thailand | 290 | 145 | 65.50 | 46.2 | PTB | | | 1°, 2° | 96.60 |
| Elmi et al. [45] | 2015 | Case-control | 2010–2014 | Malaysia | 314 | 105 | 66.70 | 51 | PTB | | | 1°, 2° | 50.50 |
Table 1 Characteristics of the included studies in the meta-analysis (according to the order of year) (Continued)

| Author [Ref] | Year | Study design | Study period | Country | Number of TB patients | MDR-TB cases | % of male (MDR-TB cases) | Mean/Median age (MDR-TB cases), years | TB form | % of EPTB (MDR-TB cases) | MDR-TB type | % of PTC (MDR-TB cases) |
|--------------|------|--------------|--------------|---------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|------------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| Mulisa et al. [46] | 2015 | Case-control | 2013–2014 | Ethiopia | 265 | 88 | 56.80 | 33 | PTB | NA | 1°,2° | 90.90 |
| Mulu et al. [47] | 2015 | Case-control | 2013–2014 | Ethiopia | 306 | 153 | 57.50 | 35 | PTB, EPTB | 7.80 | 1°,2° | 96.10 |
| Gunther et al. [48] | 2015 | Case-control | 2010–2011 | 23 TBNET sites in 16 countries in Europe | 756 | 380 | 62.89 | 36 | PTB | NA | 1°,2° | 35 |
| Ermoha et al. [49] | 2015 | Cross-sectional | 2012 | Russia | 229 | 44 | 77.30 | 36 | PTB | NA | 1° | 0 |
| Abdella et al. [50] | 2015 | Cross-sectional | 2012–2013 | Ethiopia | 70 | 22 | NA | 32 | PTB | 0 | 2° | 100 |
| Tadasse [51] | 2015 | Cross-sectional | 2008–2011 | Ethiopia | 439 | 113 | 64.60 | 29 | PTB, EPTB | 11.50 | 1°,2° | 99.11 |
| Salindi et al. [52] | 2016 | Cohort | 2011–2014 | Georgia | 318 | 52 | 71.15 | 50 | PTB | NA | 1° | 0 |
| Lee et al. [53] | 2016 | Case-control | 2011–2014 | South Korea | 1606 | NA | NA | 4.5 | PTB, EPTB | 4.5 | 1°,2° | 39.85 |
| Ershova et al. [54] | 2017 | Cross-sectional | 2008–2013 | Ethiopia | 710 | 229 | 47.6 | 31.7 | PTB | NA | 1°,2° | NA |
| Workicho et al. [55] | 2017 | Case-control | 2013 | Ethiopia | 180 | 90 | 45.60 | 29.4 | PTB | NA | 1°,2° | 91.10 |
| Sinha et al. [56] | 2017 | Cross-sectional | 2012–2014 | India | 235 | 124 | 45.16 | 35.7 | PTB, EPTB | 9.68 | 1°,2° | 25.80 |
| Mesfin et al. [57] | 2018 | Cross-sectional | 2015–2016 | Ethiopia | 226 | 89 | 41.60 | 34.4 | PTB | NA | 1°,2° | 82 |
| Gobena et al. [58] | 2018 | Case-control | 2016–2017 | Ethiopia | 132 | 59 | 61 | 30.2 | PTB, EPTB | 3.40 | 1°,2° | 64 |
| Kusumawati et al. [59] | 2018 | Cross-sectional | 2010–2013 | Indonesia | 842 | 98 | 72.40 | 44.5 | PTB | NA | 1°,2° | 64.30 |
| Pavlenko et al. [60] | 2018 | Cross-sectional | 2013–2014 | Ukraine | 1658 | 474 | 75 | 43 | PTB | NA | 1°,2° | 37.92 |
| Desissa et al. [61] | 2018 | Case-control | 2016 | Ethiopia | 219 | 73 | 45.20 | 32.69 | PTB, EPTB | 26 | 1°,2° | 65.80 |
| Gaborit et al. [62] | 2018 | Case-control | 2002–2013 | France | 134 | 44 | 75 | 33 | PTB, EPTB | 36.36 | 1°,2° | 52.27 |
| Alene et al. [63] | 2019 | Cross-sectional | 2010–2015 | Ethiopia | 452 | 242 | 60.70 | 31 | PTB, EPTB | 6.20 | 1°,2° | 93 |
| Baya et al. [64] | 2019 | Cross-sectional | 2007–2016 | Mali | 214 | 134 | 76.12 | 39.3 | PTB | NA | 2° | 100 |
| Zurcher et al. [65] | 2019 | Cross-sectional | 2013–2016 | Cote d’Ivoire, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Kenya, Nigeria, South Africa, Peru, Thailand | 871 | 163 | 60 | 33.2 | PTB | NA | 2° | 100 |
| Okethwangu et al. [66] | 2019 | Case-control | 2013–2017 | Uganda | 125 | 33 | NA | 42 | PTB | NA | 2° | 100 |
| Fikre et al. [67] | 2019 | Case-control | 2016–2018 | Ethiopia | 204 | 102 | 67.70 | 35.6 | PTB, EPTB | 8.80 | 1°,2° | 72.50 |
| Elduma et al. [68] | 2019 | Case-control | 2017–2019 | Sudan | 1290 | 430 | 69.77 | 37.3 | PTB | NA | 1°,2° | 67.90 |
| Chan et al. [69] | 2020 | Surveillance | 2011–2016 | USA | 45,209 | 615 | 52.2 | 45 | PTB, EPTB | NA | 1°,2° | 18.04 |
| Araya et al. [70] | 2020 | Cohort | 2006–2016 | Brazil | 167,726 | 866 | 70.7 | 45 | PTB, EPTB | 3.4 | 1°,2° | 54.70 |
| Hirama et al. [71] | 2020 | Cohort | 2010–2016 | Canada | 402 | 46 | 47.8 | 45 | PTB, EPTB | 26.08 | 1°,2° | 34.80 |

MDR-TB Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis, PTB Pulmonary tuberculosis, EPTB Extra-pulmonary tuberculosis, PTC Previously treated cases
infection and MDR-TB were reported with 95% CI. The calculated odds ratio of each study and the combined effect estimate with 95% CI were graphically represented by forest plots. Publication bias was assessed by observing the symmetry of funnel plots visually. Further confirmation was conducted using Egger’s test (weighted regression with multiplicative dispersion model), while the p-value < 0.10 was suggestive of statistical significance. Heterogeneity across the selected studies was assessed by $I^2$ statistic ( >75% signifies substantial, 50–75% moderate and 25–50% low heterogeneity). The $I^2$ statistic represents the percentage of total variation across studies due to heterogeneity rather than chance. We also conducted a sensitivity analysis that removed the study contributing to the highest weight to evaluate the robustness of the findings.

Analysis of the subgroups was carried out to determine the pooled odds ratio for each group and to look for potential explanations of the heterogeneity. Pre-determined subgroups were WHO global regions (Africa, Europe, South-east Asia, America, Pacific, and Eastern Mediterranean), the income level of the country (high, upper-middle, lower-middle and low), the burden for MDR-TB on the country (high and low), design of the study (cross-sectional, case-control and cohort), type of MDR-TB (primary, secondary and both), mean or median age 40 years and older, and diagnostic method used for MDR-TB (culture and culture and/or molecular technique). Funnel plot asymmetry and egger’s tests were done to assess the presence of publication bias in each subgroup.

Results

Our systematic review identified 1603 studies through a database search. After eliminating the duplicates, titles and abstracts of 1188 articles were scanned by the investigators to retrieve a set of relevant studies for further review. We subsequently narrowed down to 145 possible studies, and three were added for full-text evaluation through manual search from the reference lists of included studies. Finally, 54 studies were selected in our systematic review and meta-analysis, including 430,534 TB patients (Fig. 1).

The characteristics of the selected studies can be found in Table 1. (*Studies were excluded because of more than one rationale and the references of excluded studies are given in Supplementary file 4.)*

The retrieved articles represented 36 countries from all WHO global regions (Africa, Europe, South-east Asia, America, Pacific, and Eastern Mediterranean). Of the 54 studies, three were conducted in multiple centers in different countries (Table 1). Nearly half of studies were reported from the African region. Many of the studies were performed in Ethiopia and from high MDR-TB burden countries.

The systematic review included 20 case-control studies and 31 studies considering tuberculosis as a pulmonary form. Of the 54 studies, 42 investigated both primary and secondary MDR-TB. The proportion of MDR-TB cases with extra-pulmonary tuberculosis varied from 2 to 36.36%. The proportion of previously treated tuberculosis among multidrug-resistant cases ranged from 12.00 to 99.11% (39 studies). It shows from Table 1 that only culture-confirmed MDR-TB cases were reported in 37 of the studies.

It appears from Fig. 2 that the overall pooled odds ratio is 1.42 (95% CI= 1.17–1.71), which suggests that the odds of developing MDR-TB in HIV-infected patients was 42% higher than those of HIV-negative individuals. The evidence of publication bias was tested by visual examination of funnel plot symmetry, and further, the absence of the publication bias was supported by the Egger test ($p=0.36$) and is shown in Fig. 3.

For the South-East Asian countries, the pooled odds of MDR-TB was 1.86 times higher for HIV positives than HIV negative individuals (OR=1.86, 95% CI= 1.30–2.67). Most studies obtained from the African region had a pooled odds ratio of 1.41 (95% CI= 1.05–1.89). Results from WHO regions indicate that MDR-TB among HIV-persons is more in South-East Asian countries compared to other regions. The pooled ORs show lower odds of developing MDR-TB among HIV patients in upper-middle-income countries (OR=1.26, 95% CI=0.86–1.86) and low-income countries (OR=1.40, 95% CI=0.99–1.98) compared to high-income countries. The pooled odds ratio in the high TB-burden countries (OR=1.75, 95% CI= 1.39–2.19) was found to be significantly higher compared to the low TB-burden countries (OR=1.0, 95% CI= 0.72–1.39).

Findings from subgroup analysis in Table 2 shows the pooled odds ratio of the cross-sectional studies was 1.53 (95% CI= 1.20–1.96). Cohort studies provides odds ratio of 1.33 (95% CI=1.09–1.62), and case-control studies provides odds ratio of 1.22 (95% CI=0.87–1.71). Cross-sectional studies contribute about 70% of the weight of the overall meta-analysis research. For primary MDR-TB, the estimate was higher than secondary MDR-TB (OR=2.76, 95% CI= 1.70–4.46) with no heterogeneity among the studies. The majority of studies included both primary and secondary MDR-TB (42 studies), and subgroup analysis reveals that the odds of developing both primary and secondary MDR-TB in people infected with HIV.
were 1.42 times more compared to the individuals without HIV (OR=1.42, 95% CI= 1.16–1.74). Furthermore, the trend towards the development of MDR-TB in HIV-positive people increased with age (i.e., mean or median age of cases 40 years and older, OR=1.56, 95% CI= 1.17–2.06, and for below 40 years of age is OR=1.45, 95% CI= 1.11–1.91). In HIV infected patients, the pooled odds ratio of culturally confirmed MDR-TB cases (OR=1.52, 95% CI=1.20–1.93) was slightly higher than the mixed (i.e., culture and molecular technique) (OR=1.30, 95% CI=0.93–1.81). Only one study (OR=1.27, 95% CI= 0.6–2.17) considered the diagnosis of MDR-TB by molecular technique.

**Sensitivity analysis**

We conducted sensitivity analyses that excluded each of the following types of studies: studies with fewer than 1000 participants; studies from countries in Africa; and studies published in 2015 or earlier. Forest plots are...
reported in Supplementary file 5. When considering studies of more than 1000 participants, the OR of MDR-TB among HIV infected individuals tends to be 1.41 (OR=1.41, CI=1.13–1.76). The OR is 1.38 (CI=1.01–1.88) considering studies published after 2015. The OR is also 1.46 when studies outside African studies (OR=1.46, CI=1.14–1.87) are considered. The findings are, therefore, similar to the meta-analysis with 54 studies.

**Discussion**

About half a million TB patients were included from 54 studies in our systematic review and meta-analysis. Based on the findings of this meta-analysis, the odds of MDR-TB among HIV-positive cases were 1.42 times higher, and this was statistically significant. An earlier pooled study by Mesfin et al. (OR=1.24, 95% CI=1.04–1.43) included 24 studies published from 1994 to 2011, and our finding appears to indicate an upward trend of odds ratio after being compared to it. Moreover, the 18 cross-sectional studies from Mesfin et al. gave pooled effect estimate for MDR-TB and HIV was 1.26 (OR=1.26, 95% CI=1.02–1.49) while the result from our meta-analysis (30 studies) is 1.55 (OR=1.55, 95% CI=1.26–1.95). Another meta-analysis (1988–2007) did not report a pooled effect estimate due to high heterogeneity among the studies [15]. Comparing with Mesfin et al. meta-analysis, it suggests over the last 10 years that people diagnosed with HIV are more likely to have MDR-TB.

Subgroup analysis by the WHO global regions reveals that the OR of South-East Asia was found the highest, followed by Europe and Africa. The WHO South-East Asia region is a home for a quarter of the world’s population, with a 44% TB burden, and the second-highest HIV prevalence [1]. Additionally, one-third of the global MDR-TB lies in this region [1]. In Europe, the proportion of MDR-TB among HIV-infected people increased sharply between 2008 and 2017, from 3 to 12%. The aforementioned data ratifies how our results reflect a major risk of MDR-TB among HIV patients.

Furthermore, the estimated pooled OR was found to be significant for the high TB burden countries. In our meta-analysis, with the age of 40 years and older, the pooled odds ratio of developing MDR-TB among HIV infected individuals continues to increase significantly. Findings from the subgroup study also showed that the pooled odds ratio of the cross-sectional studies was higher than that of the
cohort studies. Similar findings were also seen in the previous meta-analysis [16].

Another notable finding was the significant association between HIV infection and primary MDR-TB. This finding corresponds to the previous two systematic reviews [15, 16]. In many instances, despite being infected primarily with drug-resistant strains and subsequent development of MDR-TB (primary), it will initially be reported as drug-susceptible tuberculosis. Drug susceptibility test is not routinely done in some settings and performed only after the failure of initial treatment, which will normally be classified as secondary MDR-TB [73]. We found an insignificant pooled odds ratio between HIV and MDR-TB in low-income countries, especially in Africa. It highlights the need to develop effective drug-resistance diagnosis in many resource-limited settings. Among the high-income countries, the combined estimate was found higher than that of the low-income countries. It is important to gain a clear understanding of these mechanisms to build effective strategies to control the expansion of MDR-TB in HIV patients.

In our systematic review, we found most studies considered the diagnosis of MDR-TB as a phenotypic approach that confirmed by culture. The pooled odds ratio of MDR-TB was considerably higher for studies when a diagnosis for MDR-TB was made by culture-confirmed than the mixed or molecular technique. Such culture-confirmed traditional approaches take months to confirm the diagnosis of MDR-TB and ultimately lead to delay in treatment, increased transmission, and poor outcome [74]. However, it should also be noted that the diagnosis of tuberculosis in patients infected with HIV is difficult due to reduced bacterial load and cavitation, as well as poor performance of the standard diagnostic tools [75–77]. Additionally, HIV-associated super-infection may be a motivating factor for drug-susceptible conversion to resistant tuberculosis [78]. Research also shows that multiple tuberculosis strain infections frequently interfere in HIV patients [79, 80]. It may also show misleading phenotypic drug-resistance diagnosis [81]. As such, the extent of spread when confirming MDR-TB by a traditional method is far more deadly than is illustrated, especially in HIV-endemic settings.

We acknowledge that our systematic review and meta-analysis has limitations. There was disproportionate allocation of studies among the six WHO regions. Additionally, the included studies were mostly from Africa (i.e., 25 of 54 studies from Africa). Moreover, the largest nation, China, is not included in the study. Besides, the database search language was restricted to English. Therefore, the above limitations might curb the generalizability of our findings. Moreover, substantial heterogeneity was observed between the studies, although it was...
expected to be from the differences in MDR-TB and HIV ascertainment, study design, and data collection methods between the selected studies. Mild or no heterogeneity was also observed in a different subgroup analysis. We included only the observational studies which are susceptible to selection bias.

**Conclusion**

The meta-analysis clearly shows a growing trend in MDR-TB risk among HIV-infected people. Balanced resource allocation for Asian, European and African countries should be considered to halt both primary and secondary MDR-TB, especially among those with increasing age. As such, the enhancement of the diagnosis and proper overall management of MDR-TB among HIV-positive individuals has become crucial in achieving WHO’s goals of ‘End TB’ by 2035.

**Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-020-05749-2.

**Table 2** Summary results of subgroup analysis

| Subgroup               | No of studies (TB patients) | Summary OR | 95% CI     | I² (%) | p-value* |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|------------|--------|----------|
| **WHO Region**         |                             |            |            |        |          |
| South-East Asia        | 6 (1947)                    | 1.86       | 1.30–2.67  | 0.00   | 0.07     |
| Europe                 | 11 (154031)                 | 1.79       | 1.42–2.27  | 52.90  | 0.01     |
| Eastern Mediterranean  | 1 (634)                     | 0.44       | 0.15–1.31  | –      | –        |
| Africa                 | 25 (14723)                  | 1.41       | 1.06–1.89  | 76.65  | 0.53     |
| America                | 6 (255640)                  | 1.17       | 0.75–1.84  | 74.15  | 0.98     |
| Western Pacific        | 4 (2559)                    | 1.11       | 0.32–3.89  | 82.89  | 0.97     |
| **TB Burden countries**|                             |            |            |        |          |
| Low                    | 18                          | 1.00       | 0.72–1.39  | 63.91  | 0.71     |
| High                   | 30                          | 1.75       | 1.39–2.19  | 76.68  | 0.09     |
| **Countries by income level** |                     |            |            |        |          |
| High                   | 7                           | 1.55       | 1.06–2.27  | 50.85  | 0.09     |
| Upper-middle           | 14                          | 1.26       | 0.86–1.86  | 87.0   | 0.85     |
| Low-middle             | 11                          | 1.66       | 1.23–2.26  | 43.91  | 0.31     |
| Low                    | 19                          | 1.40       | 0.99–1.98  | 78.44  | 0.97     |
| **Study design**       |                             |            |            |        |          |
| Cross-sectional*       | 30                          | 1.53       | 1.20–1.96  | 71.6   | 0.27     |
| Case-control           | 20                          | 1.22       | 0.87–1.71  | 75.77  | 0.26     |
| Cohort                 | 4                           | 1.33       | 1.09–1.62  | 0.00   | 0.20     |
| **Outcome MDR-TB type**|                             |            |            |        |          |
| Primary                | 4                           | 2.76       | 1.70–4.46  | 0.00   | 0.87     |
| Both                   | 42                          | 1.42       | 1.16–1.74  | 80.63  | 0.50     |
| Secondary              | 8                           | 1.08       | 0.64–1.82  | 76.26  | 0.18     |
| **Mean/Median Age (MDR-TB)** |                     |            |            |        |          |
| > 40                   | 15                          | 1.56       | 1.17–2.06  | 71.66  | 0.57     |
| <=40                   | 32                          | 1.45       | 1.11–1.91  | 78.85  | 0.09     |
| **Diagnosis of MDR-TB by** |                         |            |            |        |          |
| Culture                | 37                          | 1.52       | 1.20–1.93  | 80.56  | 0.40     |
| Mixed                  | 14                          | 1.30       | 0.93–1.81  | 76.97  | 0.48     |
| Molecular technique    | 1                           | 1.27       | 0.6–2.17   | –      | –        |
| Overall                | 54                          | 1.42       | 1.17–1.71  | 75.8   | 0.36     |

**MDR-TB** Multidrug resistant tuberculosis, **HIV** Human Immunodeficiency Virus, **CI** Confidence Interval

* two surveillance were included into cross-sectional studies

* p value for Egger’s test for publication bias

---

**Additional file 1**: Table S1. Study quality assessment details for case-control, cohort, and cross-sectional studies.

**Additional file 2**: Table S2. Quality Assessment of Included Studies by New-Castle Ottawa Scale.

**Additional file 3**: Table S3. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis (PRISMA) checklist.
Appendix

Table 3 Search strategy

A. PubMed
#1 "Multidrug resistant tuberculosis" [All Fields] OR "MDR-TB" [All Fields] OR "Drug resistant TB" [All Fields] OR "Risk factors of MDR-TB" [All Fields] OR "Predictors of MDR-TB" [All Fields] 21,717
#2 "HIV" [All Fields] OR "Human Immunodeficiency Virus" [All Fields] 287,526
#3 #1 AND #2 9423

Searching date starting from 01/01/2010 to 30/07/2020

B. Google scholar
#1 "Multidrug resistant tuberculosis" OR "MDR-TB" OR "Drug resistant TB" OR "Risk factors of MDR-TB" OR "Predictors of MDR-TB" 226,850
#2 "HIV" OR "Human Immunodeficiency Virus" 1,570
#3 #1 AND #2 154,780

Searching date starting from 01/01/2010 to 30/07/2020

C. Science direct
#1 "Multidrug resistant tuberculosis" OR "MDR-TB" OR "Drug resistant TB" OR "Risk factors of MDR-TB" OR "Predictors of MDR-TB" 25,448
#2 "HIV" OR "Human Immunodeficiency Virus" 192,835
#3 #1 AND #2 20,070

Searching date starting from 01/01/2010 to 30/07/2020

Additional file 4. References of studies excluded after full-text review.
Additional file 5. Sensitivity analysis.

Abbreviations
MDR-TB: Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis; HIV: Human immunodeficiency virus; WHO: World Health Organization; OR: Odds Ratio; CI: Confidence interval of odds ratio
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