Magnetic and Thermodynamic Properties of the Three-Dimensional Periodic Anderson Hamiltonian
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The three dimensional periodic Anderson model is studied with Quantum Monte Carlo. We find that the cross-over to the Kondo singlet regime is remarkably sharp at low temperatures, and that the behavior of magnetic correlations is consistently reflected in both the thermodynamics and the density of states. The abruptness of the transition suggests that energy changes associated with the screening of local moments by conduction electrons might be sufficient to drive large volume changes in systems where applied pressure tunes the ratio of interband hybridization to correlation energy.
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The problem of localized, highly correlated electrons hybridizing with a conduction band is one of long-standing interest [1]. Our understanding of the underlying physics has recently been increased through new analytic approaches [2,3,4], and numeric methods like Quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) [5,6,7]. These techniques have emphasized the connection between static magnetic properties and the dynamic response like the density of states.

However, what has been much less carefully explored by QMC is the link to thermodynamics. An intriguing problem for which a detailed understanding of the thermodynamics is essential is the “volume–collapse” transition in rare earth metals. This phenomenon occurs with the application of pressure to certain Lanthanides and gives rise to first order phase transitions with unusually large volume changes (14% for Cerium and 9% for Praseodymium) [8,9]. Accompanying the change in volume is a change in the magnetism: On the expanded, highly correlated, side of the transition, the f electrons have well defined moments, while on the contracted, less correlated, side these moments disappear or are expected to disappear. The low-volume α phase of Ce is paramagnetic, as are the early actinides which are considered to be analogs for the collapsed rare earth phases [10].

Even the qualitative origin of this phenomenon is still under debate. One suggestion is that the pressure–induced change in the ratio of the interaction strength to bandwidth gives rise to a Mott transition of the 4f electrons accompanied by loss of magnetic order [11]. An alternate proposition is that the rapid change in the 4f–valence electron coupling leads to a “Kondo volume collapse” [12]. In both cases, there are dramatic thermodynamic (e.g., pressure–volume) as well as magnetic signatures of the phenomenon.

In this paper we will establish the connection between the thermodynamics and the magnetic properties of the symmetric periodic Anderson model (PAM) in three dimensions. While previous efforts have focused on the Anderson impurity model [13,14], the capabilities of modern massively parallel computers now make feasible rigorous QMC calculations for the more realistic periodic model, which has so far received little attention in three dimensions. Our key results are:

- The dependence of the singlet correlation function on the interband hybridization shows an increasingly sharp structure as the temperature is lowered, indicating a very rapid cross–over between a regime where the f sites have unscreened moments and one in which the moments are quenched by the conduction electrons.
- A sharp thermodynamic feature exists at the same interband hybridization as this change in the singlet correlator. To analyze this, we introduce a new approach to the calculation of the free energy $F$, and show it obeys various analytic sum–rules.
- The pressure difference at the transition inferred from $F$ is reasonably consistent with experimental pressure–volume data on Ce, Pr, and Gd, given the approximate representation of the electronic structure.

The periodic Anderson Hamiltonian is

$$H = \sum_{\alpha \sigma} \epsilon_k d_{k\alpha}^\dagger d_{k\sigma} + \sum_{\alpha \sigma} V_k (d_{k\alpha}^\dagger f_{k\sigma} + f_{k\sigma}^\dagger d_{k\alpha})$$

$$+ U_f \sum_{\sigma} (n_{f\uparrow} \frac{1}{2} - n_{f\downarrow} \frac{1}{2})$$

$$+ \sum_{i \sigma} \epsilon_f n_{f\sigma} - \mu \sum_{i \sigma} (n_{f\sigma} + n_{i\sigma}).$$  

We choose a simple cubic structure for which,

$$\epsilon_k = -2t_{dd} \cos k_x a + \cos k_y a + \cos k_z a,$$

$$V_k = -2t_{fd} \cos k_x a + \cos k_y a + \cos k_z a,$$  

where $a$ is the lattice constant. The dispersion of $V_k$ reflects our choice of near–neighbor (as opposed to on–site) hybridization of the $f$ and $d$ electrons [15]. Parameter values and temperature $T$ in this work are given in units of $t_{dd}$. We take $U_f = 6$, consistent with the rare
tropy is then [13], to be about half of the number of data points. The number of fitting parameters ($E$) = $T$ provides an exact treatment (to within statistical errors and finite size effects) of the correlations. There is no “sign problem” for the symmetric PAM, allowing accurate simulations at low temperatures.

Figure 1 shows the temperature and $t_{fd}$ dependence of the singlet correlation function of near-neighbor sites $i,j$, 

$$c_{fd} = \langle \vec{S}_{fi} \cdot \vec{S}_{dj} \rangle.$$  

Here $\vec{S}_{fi} = (f_{i\uparrow} f_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger}) \vec{t} (f_{j\uparrow} f_{j\downarrow})$ and similarly for $\vec{S}_{dj}$.

For weak interband hybridization, $c_{fd}$ is small and the $f$ moments are unscreened by the conduction electrons. At low temperature, a sharp change is seen to occur at $t_{fd} \approx 0.6$ to a phase where such screening is well established.

The crucial feature in Figs. 2 and 3 is the rapid change in slope at low temperatures of $\Delta E$ and $\Delta F$ near $t_{fd} = 0.6$. This behavior is hard to discern in the full thermodynamic functions whose variation with $t_{fd}$ is $\approx 20$ times larger than seen for these difference functions. It arises from the QMC results, and not from HF transitions, since the AFHF solution is stable throughout the $t_{fd}$ region, and not from HF transitions, since the AFHF solution is stable throughout the $t_{fd}$ region.

At small $t_{fd}$, the AFHF energy accurately tracks the QMC. However, at intermediate coupling the QMC results break away, reflecting the failure of HF to pick up the singlet correlations. A perturbation approach (labelled $\Sigma(2)$) described in the text has some of the correct features seen in QMC.

The number of fitting parameters ($E_0$, $c_n$, $\Delta$) was taken to be about half of the number of data points. The entropy is then [13],

$$S(T) = S_0 + \frac{1}{T} \sum_n c_n (1 + \frac{T}{n\Delta}) e^{-n\Delta/T}.$$  

Fig. 3 shows a plot of the resulting free energy difference $\Delta F(T) = F_{QMC}(T) - F_{AFHF}(T)$.

The number of fitting parameters ($E_0$, $c_n$, $\Delta$) were performed for each $t_{fd}$, so that the smoothness of the resultant curves in Fig. 3 is a measure of the success of this procedure. Another is that our fit yields $\sum_n c_n / n \Delta$ to within $\sim 3\%$ of the expected value [13] for $t_{fd} \geq 0.8$. This sum is smaller by $\ln 2$ to within $\sim 3\%$ for $t_{fd} \leq 0.5$, reflecting magnetic disorder of the spins below our lowest temperature ($T = 0.08$) in this regime, and consequent validity of the fit only for $T \geq 0.08$.
1.3 eV for Ce, Pr, and Gd, respectively. The low-T slope change in Figs. 2 and 3 is $\partial \Delta F / \partial \ln t_{fd} = 0.2 - 0.3$ eV, which gives the crudeness of the present representation of the rare earth valence electrons is reasonably consistent.

The QMC calculations were carried out for a $4^3$-site lattice. As a systematic exploration of system size for these three-dimensional calculations would be prohibitive, we have used a second-order self-energy approach to estimate the size effects, as well as to explore what analytic approximations might be more suitable than HF to capture the thermodynamics. The solid ($4^3$), dash-dot ($6^3$), and dash ($8^3$) curves in Fig. 2 labelled $\Sigma^{(2)}$ were obtained from a finite-T version of the self-energy approach of Steiner et al. [5]. The Dyson equation for the interacting Green's function matrix $G_k$ is solved based on a second order (in $U$) expression for the self-energy $\Sigma^{(2)}((G_k^{(0)}))$, determined from the paramagnetic HF result $G_k^{(0)}$. The trend in the $\Delta E^{(2)}$ curves for periodic clusters of $4^3$, $6^3$, and $8^3$ sites suggests that finite size effects [6] do not alter the qualitative physics and, indeed, move the position of the transition in the correct direction for comparison with experiment, namely to higher values of $\partial \Delta F / \partial \ln t_{fd}$.

There is striking consistency between the singlet correlations in Fig. 1 and the energy and free energy differences in Figs. 2, 3. In all cases there is a rather abrupt switch in low-T behavior across $t_{fd} \approx 0.6$, which anneals with increasing temperature. The anomalies are largely gone above $T \sim 0.5$, an upper bound for what might be a critical temperature in the present model. The actual critical temperature will reflect competition between effects like these in $\Delta F$ and the volume dependence of a realistic generalization of $F_{\text{AFHF}}$. An important term in $\Delta F$ is the QMC entropy, which reflects disordered spins for small $t_{fd}$ at the lowest temperature $T = 0.08$, in contrast to both larger $t_{fd}$ values at this temperature, as well as the stable AFHF solution throughout the range plotted in Fig. 3, where the entropy is approximately minimal. Consequently, $\Delta F$ includes a $-T \ln 2$ entropy term at small $t_{fd}$, but not at large $t_{fd}$, which serves to level out the $\Delta F$ curves as temperature is increased.

![FIG. 3. The difference in free energies between QMC and antiferromagnetic Hartree–Fock solutions. At strong coupling (small $t_{fd}$) the agreement in the free energy is good apart from an overall shift of $T \ln 2$ associated with the tendency of HF to overestimate the magnetic order. As in Fig. 2, at intermediate coupling $\Delta F$ becomes sizeable.](image)

A more complete picture of the PAM is given by the density of states, $N_f(\omega)$, which we obtain using the Maximum Entropy method [8] to perform the analytic continuation of the imaginary time Greens function computed in QMC. The results for different $t_{fd}$ at fixed $T = 0.2$ are shown in Fig. 4. $N_f(\omega)$ evolves from a structure with upper and lower Hubbard bands separated by a gap $U_f$ at small $t_{fd}$ to a regime where broadened remnants of these bands are still evident but additional resonant peaks characteristic of Kondo singlet formation have also developed. As $t_{fd}$ is increased, central resonances appear and are sharpest at $t_{fd} \approx 0.6$, indicating the onset of singlet formation. Further increase in $t_{fd}$ enhances the weight in this central region at the expense of the Hubbard sidebands.

The precise nature of the gap in the density of states at the Fermi surface, $\omega = 0$, is still open to interpretation. For the half-filled, single band Hubbard Hamiltonian, $N(\omega)$ has a similar gap which evolves continuously from a predominantly Mott–Hubbard character, for $U >> W$, to a Slater gap associated with antiferromagnetic order, for $U << W$. Similarly, the two–band model considered here has a Mott gap at small $t_{fd}$, while the gap at larger $t_{fd}$ could originate either as a result of long range antiferromagnetic order on the $f$ sites, or, alternately, reflect a “coherence gap” associated with singlet formation. The competition between these two latter effects on $N(\omega)$ is well documented in a lower dimension [4]. Here, studies of the $f-f$ correlation function show no signs of AF...
long-range order at $t_{fd} = 0.6$ and $T = 0.2 > T_{\text{Neel}}$, which suggests these resonances signal singlet formation, not AFLRO. Analytic continuation of two particle Green's functions, like the magnetic susceptibility, will lend further insight into this question, but is very difficult and remains to be done.

In this paper we have shown that there is a striking consistency between the location of sharp cross-overs in the singlet magnetic and thermodynamic properties of the three–dimensional periodic Anderson model. The $f$ density of states shows a structure expected to arise from singlet correlations. Finally, estimates of the associated change in free energy are of the same order of magnitude as observed in the rare earth volume collapse transitions.

Two important issues remain open. The first is the extension to Hamiltonians with the full rare earth orbital complexity. Initial studies of how the Mott transition varies with band degeneracy in the Hubbard model, and other issues, already exist within approximate numerical approaches like dynamical mean field theory. The second, related, issue concerns band filling. Studies with many $f$ orbitals will require working away from the symmetric point.
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