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The advent of topological insulators (TIs) [1–3] and topological superconductors (TSCs) [4] has greatly broadened our understanding of topological phases in quantum systems. While the concepts of TIs and TSCs originate from topological band theory of noninteracting electrons or quasiparticles, recent theoretical breakthroughs [5–10] have found that interactions can, in principle, change the fundamental properties of these topological phases dramatically, thus, creating a new dimension to explore. In particular, interactions can drive the gapless Dirac fermion surface states of three-dimensional (3D) TIs and TSCs into topologically ordered phases that are gapped and symmetry preserving. Nonetheless, such a surface manifests the topological property of the bulk in a subtle but unambiguous way: its anyon excitations have anomalous symmetry transformation properties, which cannot be realized in any two-dimensional (2D) system with the same symmetry.

Given the profound consequences of interactions in TIs and TSCs, the effect of interactions in topological phases protected by spatial symmetries of crystalline solids, commonly referred to as topological crystalline insulators (TCIs) [11], is now gaining wide attention. A wide array of TCI phases with various crystal symmetries have been found in the framework of topological band theory [12,13]. One class of TCIs has been predicted and observed in the IV-VI semiconductors SnTe, Pb$_{1-x}$Sn$_x$Se, and Pb$_{1-x}$Sn$_x$Te [14–17]. The topological nature of these materials is warranted by a particular mirror symmetry of the underlying rocksalt crystal and is manifested by the presence of topological surface states on mirror-symmetric crystal faces. Remarkably, there surface states were found to become gapped under structural distortions that break the mirror symmetry [18,19], confirming the mechanism of crystalline protection unique to TCIs [14].

The study of interacting TCIs has just begun. A recent work by Isobe and Fu [20] shows that in the presence of interactions, the classification of 3D TCIs protected by mirror symmetry (i.e., the SnTe class) reduces from being characterized by an integer known as the mirror Chern number [21] (hereafter denoted by $n$) to its $\mathbb{Z}_8$ subgroup. This implies that interactions can turn the $n = 8$ surface states, which consist of eight copies of 2D massless Dirac fermions with the same chirality, into a completely trivial phase that is gapped, mirror symmetric, and without intrinsic topological order. It remains an open question what interactions can do to TCIs with $n \neq 0 \mod 8$. In this work, we take the first step to study strongly interacting TCI surface states for the case $n = 4 \mod 8$.

Our main result is that the surface of a 3D TCI with mirror Chern number $n = 4 \mod 8$ can become a gapped and mirror-symmetric state with $\mathbb{Z}_2$ topological order. Remarkably, the mirror symmetry acts on this state in an anomalous way that all three types of anyons carry fractionalized mirror quantum number $\tilde{M}^2 = -1$ (in this Letter we use $\tilde{M}$ to represent the projective representation of mirror symmetry $M$ acting on an anyon), which cannot be realized in a purely 2D system. Furthermore, the anomalous mirror-symmetry fractionalization protects a twofold degeneracy between two mirror-symmetry-related edges. Such anomalous mirror-symmetry fractionalization cannot be realized in a 2D system, including a 2D $\mathbb{Z}_2$ spin liquid state [22]. Hence, our finding constrains the possible ways of fractionalizing the mirror symmetry in a 2D $\mathbb{Z}_2$ spin liquid [23,24]. Brief reviews of 3D TCIs, 2D $\mathbb{Z}_2$ spin...
liquids, and their edge theory are available in the Supplemental Material [25].

**Noninteracting TCIs.**—We begin by considering non-interacting TCIs protected by the mirror symmetry $x \rightarrow -x$. With the mirror symmetry, the extra $U(1)$ symmetry in a TCI does not change the classification in 3D compared to a mirror-protected topological crystalline superconductor. Hence, for convenience, we choose a TCI as our starting point, although the $U(1)$ symmetry plays no role in this work. As we will explain in Sec. II of the Supplemental Material [25], in order to produce an anomalous work, we study interacting surface states of TCIs with $n = 4$. Starting from four copies of Dirac fermions in the noninteracting limit, we will introduce microscopic interactions and explicitly construct a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ topologically ordered phase on the TCI surface, which is gapped and mirror symmetric.

Our construction is inspired by the work of Senthil and Fisher [30] and Senthil and Motrunich [30,31] on fractionalized insulators. We construct on the surface of an $n = 4$ TCI a Higgs phase with an $xy$-order parameter $\langle b \rangle \neq 0$, which is odd under the mirror symmetry and gaps the Dirac fermions. Next, we couple these gapped fermions to additional degrees of freedom $a_{\mu}$ that are introduced to mimic a $U(1)$ gauge field. This gauge field $a_{\mu}$ plays three crucial roles: (i) the coupling between matter and $a_{\mu}$ restores the otherwise broken $U(1)$ symmetry and, thus, the mirror symmetry along with it; (ii) the Goldstone mode is eaten by the gauge boson and becomes massive; (iii) since the $xy$-order parameter carries $U(1)$ charge 2, the $U(1)$ gauge group is broken to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ subgroup in the Higgs phase. Because of these properties, the Higgs phase thus constructed is a gapped and mirror-symmetric phase with $\mathbb{Z}_2$ topological order.

We now elaborate on the construction (details of this construction can be found in Sec. III of the Supplemental Material [25]). First, we relabel the fermion flavors $A = 1, \ldots, 4$ using a spin index $s = \uparrow, \downarrow$ and a $U(1)$-charge index $a = \pm$ (unrelated to the electric charge). We take fermion interactions that are invariant under both the $SU(2)$ spin rotation and the $U(1)$ rotation

$$U(1): \psi_{as} \rightarrow e^{iab}\psi_{as}, \quad a = \pm. \tag{3}$$

Moreover, we introduce a boson field $b(x,y)$ that carries $U(1)$-charge 2 and is odd under mirror symmetry,

$$U(1): b \rightarrow e^{2ib}, \quad M: b(x,y) \rightarrow -b(-x,y), \tag{4}$$

and couple this boson to the massless Dirac fermions as follows:

$$H_{bf} = Vb^{\dagger}\psi_{as}\tau_{ab}\sigma_{s}\psi_{bs} + \text{H.c.} \tag{5}$$

When these bosons condense, $\langle b \rangle \neq 0$ spontaneously breaks both the $U(1)$ and mirror symmetry, and gaps out the fermions.

Finally, we introduce another boson vector field $a_{\mu}(x,y)$, which couples to $b$ and $\psi_{as}$ through minimal coupling. An effective theory of this system has the following form,
\[ L = -i\psi_s^\dagger e^i(\partial_\mu + i a_\mu \tau_z)\psi_s + (b\psi_s^\dagger \tau^+ \sigma_z \psi_s + \text{H.c.}) \\
+ \frac{1}{2g}(|\partial_\mu - 2ia_\mu|b|^2 + r|b|^2 + u|b|^4 + F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}, \] 

where the matrices \( a^0 = 1, a^x = \sigma_x, \) and \( a^y = \sigma_y. \) Furthermore, we add to the effective action an interaction term \( U N^2, \) where \( N = \psi^\dagger \tau^+ \psi + 2b^\dagger b - \nabla \cdot E (E_i = F_{0i} = \partial_0 a_i - \partial_i a_0) \) is the electric field strength.) In the limit of \( U \to \infty, \) this enforces the local constraint \( N = 0. \) As a result, the bare fermion \( \psi_s \) and boson \( b \) are no longer low-energy excitations, since adding them to the ground state violates the constraint \( N = 0 \) and costs an energy \( U. \) Therefore, in the low-energy effective model, the constraint \( N = 0. \) In terms of these quasiparticles, the effective theory becomes

\[ L = -i\psi_s^\dagger e^i(\partial_\mu + i a_\mu \tau_z)\psi_s + (b\psi_s^\dagger \tau^+ \sigma_z \psi_s + \text{H.c.}) \\
+ \frac{1}{2g}(|\partial_\mu - 2ia_\mu|b|^2 + r|b|^2 + u|b|^4 + F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}. \] 

Furthermore, a \( U(1) \) gauge symmetry emerges in the low-energy Hilbert space defined by the local constraint \( N = 0 \) [32]. Specifically, the constraint is the Gauss law, and it restricts the low-energy Hilbert space to states that are invariant under the gauge transformation

\[ U_\phi: \psi_s \to e^{i\phi_s} \psi_s, \quad b \to be^{2i\phi}, a_\mu \to a_\mu - \partial_\mu \phi. \] 

In this effective theory with the emergent \( U(1) \) gauge field, condensing the boson \( b \) no longer breaks the global \( U(1) \) and the mirror symmetries, as it instead breaks the \( U(1) \) gauge symmetry to \( Z_2. \) Naively, the mirror symmetry maps \( \langle b \rangle \) to \(-\langle b \rangle \). However, these two symmetry breaking vacua are equivalent because they are related by the gauge symmetry transformation \( U_{Z_2}: b \to -b. \) This restoration of mirror symmetry becomes clearly manifested if we assume that \( b \) and \( \psi_s \) transform projectively under mirror symmetry with the additional \( U(1) \) gauge transformation \( U_{Z_2}. \)

\[ M: \psi_s(r) \to i\tau_z \otimes \sigma_z \psi_s(r); \quad b(r) \to b(r). \] 

This Higgs phase obtained by condensing the charge-2 \( b \) field indeed has a \( Z_2 \) topological order when the number of Dirac fermions is \( n = 4 \) [10,33]. This can be understood by identifying the Bogoliubov quasiparticle \( \psi \) and vortices as the anyons \( e, m, \) and \( \epsilon \) (see Sec. II of the Supplemental Material [25] for the definition of the notation) in the \( Z_2 \) topological order. \( \psi \) becomes the \( e \) anyon as both are fermions. As the Higgs field gaps out four Dirac fermions, there are four Majorana fermions or two complex fermion zero modes in each vortex core. Hence, there are two types of vortices whose core has even or odd fermion parity, respectively. In the case of \( n = 4, \) it can be shown that the vortices carry Bose statistics (see Sec. IV of the Supplemental Material [25] for details), and they are mapped to the \( m \) and \( e \) anyons in the \( Z_2 \) topological order, respectively.

**Mirror-symmetry fractionalization.**—Now we consider how the mirror symmetry acts in the \( Z_2 \) spin liquid phase described by Eq. (7). In this effective theory, the \( \psi \) field is the fermionic anyon \( e. \) Equation (9) implies that it carries \( \tilde{M}^2 = -1. \)

Next, we consider how the mirror symmetry acts on the \( m \) anyon, which is a vortex of the Higgs field where all core states are empty. Since the mirror symmetry preserves the Higgs field \( \langle \tilde{b} \rangle \) but maps \( x \) to \(-x, \) it maps a vortex to an antivortex. Therefore, we consider a mirror-symmetric configuration with one vortex and one antivortex, as shown in Fig. 1(a). The symmetry fractionalization of \( \tilde{M}^2 = \pm 1 \) can be detected from the \( M \) parity of the fermion wave function with such a vortex-antivortex pair [34]: for two bosonic vortices, the mirror parity is equal to \( \tilde{M}^2. \)

From Eq. (7), we get the fermion Hamiltonian

\[ H = v\psi_s^\dagger (k_s \sigma_x - k_s \sigma_y) \psi_s + \psi_s^\dagger (\langle \tilde{b} \rangle \tau^+ + \langle \tilde{b} \rangle \tau^-) \sigma_z \psi_s. \] 

It has a particle-hole symmetry \( \Xi: \psi \to \sigma_y \psi \) which maps \( H \) to \( \Xi H \Xi = -H. \) This implies that its spectrum is symmetric with respect to zero. Assume that the dimension of the whole Hilbert space is \( 4N; \) there are \( 2N \) states with positive energy and \( 2N \) states with negative energy. For the vortex configuration in Fig. 1(a), there are four complex zero modes, two from each vortex core, which are all unoccupied. Excluding these four states, there

![FIG. 1 (color online). (a) A vortex and an antivortex. The direction of the arrow represents the phase of the Higgs field \( \langle \tilde{b} \rangle. \) The dotted line is the mirror axis. (b) Illustration of the fermion spectrum flow from the left to the right as we create a vortex-antivortex pair from the vacuum and move them far apart. In this process, four vortex core states are separated from the bulk spectrum, two from the conducting band and two from the valence band, and become degenerate zero modes. As illustrated in the inset, the core states are twofold degenerate with spin \( s = \pm 1. \)](image)
are $2N-2$ states with negative energy, which are all occupied in the fermion wave function.

Next, we consider the mirror eigenvalues of these $2N-2$ occupied fermion states. Since $\psi$ carries $M^2 = -1$, each state has mirror eigenvalue $\lambda_M = \pm i$. Because both $H_1, \Xi$ and $M$ are diagonal in pseudospin $s = \uparrow, \downarrow$, all occupied states are pseudospin doublets, and two states in each doublet have the same $\lambda_M$. Hence, the mirror eigenvalue of all occupied states organized as $N-1$ doublets is $(-1)^{N-1} = -1$. Therefore, the wave function of two empty vortices is odd under mirror symmetry, which implies that the $e$ particle has the symmetry fractionalization $M^2 = -1$. Combining the results that both $e$ and $e$ carry $M^2 = -1$, we conclude that the $m$ anyon also has $M^2 = -1$ (see the discussion in Sec. II of the Supplemental Material [25]).

In summary, the gapped $Z_2$ surface state we constructed has an anomalous mirror-symmetry fractionalization that both types of anyons carry $M^2 = -1$, which cannot be realized in a genuine 2D system. For comparison, it was shown in Sec. II of the Supplemental Material [25] that applying the same construction to a 2D lattice model results in a $Z_2$ phase with a different mirror-symmetry fractionalization which is not anomalous.

**Mirror anomaly.**—The anomalous crystal symmetry fractionalization presented in the surface topological order implies a symmetry-protected topological degeneracy associated with the edges of the surface topological ordered region. This mirror anomaly is a remnant of the anomalous surface fermion modes in the free-fermion limit. To see this, we consider the setup presented in Fig. 2, in which the $Z_2$ surface topologically ordered state is terminated at two edges symmetric with respect to the mirror plane, by two regions with opposite $\langle b \rangle = \pm 1$ on either side of the mirror plane, respectively.

This setup itself does not break the mirror symmetry, and all local excitations can be gapped everywhere on the surface. Particularly, since the $Z_2$ topological order is not chiral, its edge can be gapped out by condensing either $e$ or $m$ anyons on the edge [35]. The edges next to an ordered phase with $\langle b \rangle \neq 0$ are $e$ edges, as condensing $e$ breaks the global $U(1)$ symmetry. A $Z_2$ spin liquid state on an infinite cylinder has four degenerate ground states $|\Psi_a\rangle$, each has one type of anyon flux $a = 1, e, m, e$ going through the cylinder. On a finite cylinder with two $e$ edges, only $|\Psi_1\rangle$ and $|\Psi_e\rangle$ remain degenerate, because adding an $m$ or $e$ anyon on the edge costs a finite energy. In a generic $Z_2$ state, this degeneracy can be further lifted by tunneling an $e$ anyon between the two edges, $H_t = \lambda e^\dagger_e e^\dagger_R + \text{H.c.}$, where $e^\dagger_L$ creates two $e$ anyons on the two edges, respectively. However, the $e$ anyon carries $M^2 = -1$; therefore, the tunneling term $H_t$ is odd under mirror and, thus, forbidden by $M$. As a result, this twofold topological degeneracy is protected by the mirror symmetry even in the limit of $L \to 0$. This argument is formulated using the effective edge Lagrangian in the Supplemental Material [25].

In the limit of $L \to 0$, this topological degeneracy becomes a local degeneracy protected by the mirror symmetry. Therefore, if the $Z_2$ topological order is killed by collapsing two gapped edges, the ground state is either gapless or mirror-symmetry breaking, and this cannot be avoided regardless of edge types because all types of anyons have $M^2 = -1$. This topological degeneracy reveals the anomalous nature of this mirror-symmetry fractionalization. Furthermore, if we collapse two gapless edges of the $Z_2$ state, the edges remain gapless because the anyon tunneling is forbidden by $M$. Hence, we get a gapless domain wall with central charge $c = 1 + 1 = 2$, which recovers the edge with four chiral fermion modes in the aforementioned free-fermion limit. This is explained in more detail in Sec. VI of the Supplemental Material [25].

**Conclusion.**—In this Letter, we show that the surface of a 3D mirror TCI with mirror Chern number $n = 4$, containing four gapless Dirac fermion modes in the free limit, can be gapped out without breaking the mirror symmetry by a $Z_2$ topological order. This surface $Z_2$ topological order has an anomalous mirror-symmetry fractionalization in which all three types of anyons carry fractionalized mirror-symmetry quantum number $M^2 = -1$, and such a topological order cannot be realized in a purely 2D system.

Our finding also puts constraints on possible ways to fractionalize the mirror symmetry in a 2D $Z_2$ quantum spin liquid [24,36]. The result of this work indicates that the combination that both the $e$ and $m$ carry the fractionalized $M^2 = -1$ is anomalous and cannot be realized in a 2D $Z_2$ spin liquid. Furthermore, our result can be easily generalized to also rule out the combination that the $e$ anyon carries spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ and $m$ anyon carries $M^2 = -1$ [37], because if $e$ carries $M^2 = +1$, we can define a new mirror symmetry $M' = Me^{i\pi s}$, for which both $e$ and $m$ carry $\langle M'^2 \rangle = -1$, and, therefore, this combination is also anomalous. In summary, our finding implies that the vison must carry $M^2 = +1$ in a $Z_2$ spin liquid where the spinon carries a half-integer spin.
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