The juxtamembrane domain of the E-cadherin cytoplasmic tail contributes to its interaction with Myosin VI
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Introduction

Classical cadherin adhesion receptors mediate cell-cell interactions in all solid tissues in the body.1-3 This is exemplified by the prototypical epithelial cadherin, E-cadherin, which supports tissue morphogenesis during development4 and whose function is often disrupted as carcinomas progress to invasion and metastasis.4 E-cadherin, like other classical cadherins, functions as a membrane-spanning macromolecular complex. The cadherin ectodomain mediates adhesive binding while its cytoplasmic tail can interact, directly or indirectly, with a diverse range of cytoplasmic proteins that influence signaling, membrane trafficking and the cytoskeleton. Of the latter interacting proteins, the best understood are the catenins, which form a stoichiometric complex with cadherin.5 Both β-catenin and p120-catenin bind directly to the cadherin cytoplasmic tail (Fig. 1),6 while α-catenin is scaffolded into the cadherin complex by association with β-catenin.7

It has long been recognized that cadherins function in close functional and biochemical cooperation with the actin cytoskeleton. Special attention has focused on the role that α-catenin may play in allowing the cadherin molecular complex to bind actin filaments (reviewed in ref. 8). However, it is becoming apparent that cadherins can recruit, and associate, with a range of cytoskeletal proteins that regulate filament dynamics, organization and contractility. One such protein is the atypical myosin, Myosin VI, which localizes to cadherin junctions as they mature and contributes to that junctional development.8 Myosin VI is unusual among myosins as it is a minus-end directed motor that is capable of functioning as a tension-activated anchor,9,10 linking cargo to the actin cytoskeleton. Co-immunoprecipitation analysis revealed that Myosin VI associates with E-cadherin and we recently reported that uncoupling of Myosin VI from E-cadherin contributed to acute junctional disruption when cells were treated with hepatocyte growth factor (HGF).11 Myosin VI may then play an important role in regulated coupling of cadherins to the actin cytoskeleton. We further demonstrated the capacity for cadherin and Myosin VI to interact directly, as recombinant proteins bearing the C-terminal cargo-binding domain of Myosin VI could bind to the isolated cytoplasmic domain of E-cadherin.12 In the current report we extend our in vitro analysis of the Myosin VI-cadherin interaction to further define the regions of these molecules responsible for their association.

Results

The Myosin VI tail contains several distinct regions10,11 and we recently reported that the C-terminal cargo-binding domain (CBD) could directly interact with the cytoplasmic tail of E-cadherin in vitro.12 This is demonstrated further in Figure 2, showing the interaction of recombinant proteins consisting of the Myo VI-CBD bearing a Myc-tag and the cytoplasmic tail of E-cadherin that was fused to GST (E-cad-cyto).

We then sought to further define the binding interaction between Myo VI-CBD and E-cad-cyto. Accordingly, we first constructed a series of c-terminal truncation mutants of the cadherin cytoplasmic domain (Fig. 1). These included E-Cad-cyto-NSS,
which truncates within the β-catenin-binding domain; E-cad-cyto-PYD, which deletes the whole β-catenin-binding domain; and E-cad-cyto-YYD, which retains the juxtamembrane 28 amino acids of the cytoplasmic tail but removes the p120-catenin binding site as well as the β-catenin-binding site. All these mutants, including the shortest, E-cad-cyto-YYD, were able to interact with Myo VI-CBD with similar efficiency (Fig. 2). Although on occasions the short E-Cad-cyto-YYD mutant appeared to bind more strongly than the longer fragments (as illustrated in Figure 2), this was not a consistent finding. This suggested that the juxtamembrane region of the cadherin cytoplasmic tail might be sufficient to bind Myo VI-CBD.

To extend our analysis, we constructed a further series of mutants that included N-terminal mutations of E-cad-cyto (Figs. 1 and 3). A polypeptide bearing only the C-terminal 31 amino acids of the cytoplasmic tail did not bind Myo VI-CBD. However, two mutants that contain regions of the cytoplasmic tail adjacent to the juxtamembrane sequence also displayed the capacity to bind Myo VI-CBD. This suggests that the juxtamembrane sequence, although sufficient to bind Myo VI-CBD in vitro, may not be solely necessary for this interaction.

Finally, we sought to examine regions of the Myo VI-CBD that might be involved in its interaction with E-cadherin (Fig. 4). We were guided by the recently-solved structure of the association between Myo VI-CBD and Dab2,14 which demonstrated that this interaction involves two separate binding sites in Myo VI-CBD. Although Dab2 and the cadherin cytoplasmic tail share no recognizable structural domains, they have some similar properties. Both the first CBD-binding domain of Dab2 and the juxtamembrane region of the cadherin cytoplasmic tail are enriched in hydrophobic residues. Further, the second binding site of Dab2 involves charge-charge and hydrophobic interactions in a “DHDDFD” motif; and, interestingly, a similar motif (DQDFD) is found in the E-cadherin cytoplasmic tail.

Accordingly, we introduced point mutations into site 1 (L1209K) and site 2 (R1152E) of the Myo VI-CBD that are reported to perturb its interaction with Dab2.14 However, individually these mutations did not affect the ability of MyoVI-CBD to interact with the cadherin cytoplasmic tail (Fig. 4). We have not tested the double mutation of both sites to exclude redundancy in the binding mechanism, but the data suggests that cadherin binds to Myo VI by interactions distinct from those utilized by Dab2.

**Discussion**

These findings suggest that the juxtamembrane region of the cadherin cytoplasmic tail contributes to its interaction with Myosin VI. It is possible, however, that the cadherin cytoplasmic tail contains multiple binding sites for Myosin VI, as has been demonstrated for Dab2.14 This is suggested by the observation that cadherin fragments lacking the juxtamembrane region could bind the Myosin VI CBD as well as the full-length cadherin tail (Fig. 3). In this model, one site may be the juxtamembrane domain, while others are found in the cytoplasmic tail adjacent to the juxtamembrane region. Alternatively, the Myosin VI binding site may involve an extended interface overlapping both the juxtamembrane domain and its adjacent region of the cytoplasmic tail. More detailed mutational and structural analysis will be necessary to resolve this issue.

Nevertheless, our data highlight the potential capacity of the juxtamembrane region to contribute to the cadherin molecular complex. It is generally acknowledged that their capacity to bind cytoplasmic proteins is
central to the molecular mechanisms that allow classical cadherins to influence tissue organization. The best understood of these interactions include those with the “core” catenins (β-catenin, pl20-catenin and α-catenin), which are well-established to form a stoichiometric complex. However, many other cytoplasmic proteins have been reported to interact with the cadherin cytoplasmic tail, generally by co-immunoprecipitation analysis. These include a range of actin regulatory proteins and signaling molecules, many of which are likely to engage with the cadherin through interactions that are substoichiometric and much more transient than those of the core cadherin-catenin complex. Some of these interactions are indirect; for instance, centralspindlin recruits to cadherin junctions through an interaction with α-catenin. However, other interactions are direct, as our data suggests is the case for Myosin VI.

Interestingly, the juxtamembrane region of the cytoplasmic tail has also been reported to associate with ankyrin, an interaction that supported junctional integrity and may also influence the membrane traffic of E-cadherin. Further, the juxtamembrane region can make distinct contributions to cadherin function. One noteworthy example is the observation that a C-terminal cadherin mutant that deleted both the β- and pl20-catenin binding sites, but retained a short juxtamembrane sequence comparable to that which we have now found to bind Myosin VI, served as an effective dominant-inhibitory mutant when expressed in Xenopus embryonic blastomeres. It is interesting to speculate that these effects may have arisen through its capacity to sequester cytoskeletal proteins, such as ankyrin and Myosin VI. Together, these observations suggest that the juxtamembrane region of the cadherin cytoplasmic tail may contribute to cadherin function by mediating interactions with the actin cytoskeleton.

Materials and Methods

Molecular cloning. The human Myosin VI CBD was ligated into a modified pMWH6 protein expression vector which contains a 6-His and Myc tags as described previously. The sequence for murine E-cad-cyto was cloned into pGEX-2T as previously described. Truncation mutants of E Cad-cyto were generated by PCR amplification. The Myo VI-CBD L1209K mutant was generated by PCR mutagenesis using the QuikChange mutagenesis kit; the Myo VI-CBD R1152E mutant was synthesized by GeneArt.

Recombinant protein expression and in vitro binding assays. Recombinant proteins were expressed in E. coli, and protein binding studies performed, as previously reported.
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