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ABSTRACT

Hierarchically porous biocompatible Mg-Al-Cl type LDH composites containing aluminum hydroxide (Alhy) have been prepared using a phase-separation process. The sol-gel synthesis allows for the hierarchical pores of the LDH-Alhy composites to be tuned, leading to a high specific solid surface area per unit volume available for high molecular weight protein adsorptions. A linear relationship between effective surface area, SEFF, and loading capacity of a
model protein, bovine serum albumin (BSA) is established following successful control of the structure of the LDH-Alhy composite. The threshold of mean pore diameter, \( D_{pm} \), above which BSA is effectively adsorbed on the surface of LDH-Alhy composites, is deduced as 20 nm. In particular, LDH-Alhy composite aerogels obtained via supercritical drying exhibits extremely high capacity for protein loading (996 mg/g) due to a large mean mesopore diameter (> 30 nm). The protein loading on LDH-Alhy is >14 times that of a reference LDH material (70 mg/g) prepared via a standard procedure. Importantly, BSA molecules pre-adsorbed on porous composites were successfully released on soaking in ionic solutions (HPO\(_4^{2-}\) and Cl\(^{-}\)aq.). The superior capability of the biocompatible LDH materials for loading, encapsulation, and releasing large quantity of proteins was clearly demonstrated.

Introduction

Protein immobilization on solid surfaces is of relevance to a wide range of research areas with potential applications in biotechnology and physiology.\(^1\) The activity of immobilized proteins is an important consideration which affects inorganic/bio interfacial properties, such as antifouling and antibacterial properties,\(^2\) and hemo-/bio-compatibilities.\(^3\) Various solids have been studied as supports for proteins,\(^1\) including layered double hydroxides (LDHs), which are promising candidates due to their outstanding biocompatibility and an ability to limit denaturation of immobilized proteins.\(^4\) The active conformation of proteins is retained on two-dimensionally flat and highly hydrophilic surfaces of LDHs,\(^5\) to avoid denaturation which otherwise takes place on curved inorganic surfaces.\(^6,7\) As a result, heme proteins, which usually
denature on inorganic solids, can be immobilized on LDH surfaces without losing their inherent activity. Immobilized heme proteins are currently used as bio-electrodes with high sensitivity.\textsuperscript{8,9}

Synthesizing LDHs with meso/macropores is highly promising to achieve high capacity loading of protein. The rational design of the porous structure in nm to sub-\(\mu\)m scale is especially important because micropores (< 2 nm) and relatively small mesopores, that typically adsorb ion/small molecules, cannot accommodate large protein molecules (~tens of nm in size). The surface area accessible by proteins (defined here as effective surface area, \(S_{\text{EFF}}\)) strongly depends on the pore diameter, \(D_p\), of porous LDHs. To date, LDHs of micron- and submicron scale structures have been reported as particles,\textsuperscript{10,11} sheets,\textsuperscript{12} plates with grooves,\textsuperscript{13} however, increasing \(S_{\text{EFF}}\) is challenging and hard to achieve with these materials. This is because LDH crystals as building-blocks used to assemble materials are relatively large, typically in sub-\(\mu\)m.\textsuperscript{13,14} Limiting the dimensions of LDH crystals to nanoscale, assembling them into 3D porous solids, and optimizing their meso and macroporous structures remains a big challenge when attempting to maximize \(S_{\text{EFF}}\) and thereby protein loading. Recently, we have reported the preparation of monolithic LDHs with hierarchical pores.\textsuperscript{15} The hierarchical pores of macro (1 \(\mu\)m) and meso (8 nm) formed spontaneously via a facile sol-gel reaction. It was also reported that target oxyanions (\(\text{CrO}_4^{2-}\), \(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\), \(\text{MoO}_4^{2-}\), etc) and small molecules diffuse rapidly through the macropores and adsorb on a large surface derived from the mesopores.\textsuperscript{16} However, the development of LDHs with tens-nm-pores which are optimized to maximize \(S_{\text{EFF}}\) through structural hierarchy is still needed in order to exploit the applications of biocompatible solid supports with a high capacity for protein loading.

We here prepare biocompatible composites of LDH and aluminum hydroxide (Alhy) with two levels of hierarchical tunable pores in the range of tens-nm and a few-\(\mu\)m. Dependence of
the mean mesopore diameter $D_{\text{pm}}$ on the synthesis parameters were investigated to tune the hierarchical porosity of the LDH-Alhy. Then, the adsorption of a large protein, bovine serum albumin (BSA) on the LDH-Alhy surfaces of various $S_{\text{EFF}}$ was conducted to establish a relationship between $S_{\text{EFF}}$ and loading capacity (Fig. 1a). $D_{\text{pm}}$ could be tuned from 12 nm to 53 nm, leading to a wide range of $S_{\text{EFF}}$ for adsorption of BSA molecules. Particular interest was focused on aerogels obtained via supercritical drying on which considerable adsorption of the large protein molecules were achieved due to the maximized $S_{\text{EFF}}$. Finally, the capability of the LDH-Alhy to release the immobilized protein in $\text{HPO}_4^{2-}$ and $\text{Cl}^{-}\text{aq.}$ solutions was investigated (Fig. 1b). The encapsulation as well as the release of BSA was attempted by inducing the shrinkage of porous matrix after BSA loading (Fig. 1c). The results demonstrated here provide key quantitative insights into protein loading on hydroxide-based biocompatible materials that display 14 times higher loading capacity than referential LDH materials.

**Experimental**

**Chemical.** Aluminum chloride hexahydrate ($\text{AlCl}_3\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O}; 98\%$) and magnesium chloride hexahydrate ($\text{MgCl}_2\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O}; 98\%$) were used as inorganic sources. A mixture of ultrapure water and ethanol ($\text{EtOH}; 99.5\%$) was used as a solvent. ($\pm$)-Propylene oxide ($\text{PO}; >99\%$) and isopropyl alcohol ($\text{IPA}; 99.7\%$) were employed as a basic reagent and a liquid for sample washing, respectively. Poly(ethylene oxide) ($\text{PEO}; \text{Mv} = 1 \times 10^6$) was used as an organic additive. Dipotassium hydrogen phosphate ($\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4; >99\%$) and sodium chloride ($\text{NaCl}; >99\%$), hydrochloric acid ($\text{HCl}; 36.0 \text{wt}\%$) were used to trigger desorption of BSA. PO, PEO, and BSA were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. and all other reagents were from Wako Pure Chemicals
Industries, Ltd. All chemicals were used as received. For comparison, reference LDH (Ref-LDH) was prepared by a standard pH constant coprecipitation approach\textsuperscript{18} with a chemical composition of $[\text{Mg}_{0.68}\text{Al}_{0.32}(\text{OH})_{2}\text{Cl}_{0.21}(\text{CO}_3^{2-})_{0.06}:y\text{H}_2\text{O}]$. A commercial LDH (Com-LDH $\text{Mg}_{0.75}\text{Al}_{0.25}(\text{OH})_2(\text{CO}_3)_{0.125}\cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$, Wako Pure Chemicals Industries, Ltd) was purchased and used for the further comparison.

**Preparation of LDH-Alhy xerogels and aerogels.** Typically, $\text{AlCl}_3\cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (1.58 g; 6.55 mmol), $\text{MgCl}_2\cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (1.06 g; 5.23 mmol), and various amount ($W_{\text{PEO}}$) g of PEO were dissolved in a mixture of water/ethanol (4.00 mL/3.00 mL). PO (1.82 mL; 26.2 mmol) was added to this solution maintained at 25 °C and stirred for 1 min to yield a homogenous sol. The sol was transferred to a polystyrene container, sealed, and kept at 40 °C. After 24 h, an opaque gel thus obtained was soaked in IPA for 1 h to exchange the water/EtOH solvent remained inside pores with IPA. This process was repeated at least 8 times with fresh IPA. Then, the gel was solvothermally treated in IPA at 180 °C for 24 h, followed by either ambient or supercritical drying. The ambient drying was performed at 40 and 120 °C in an oven to yield xerogels, named as “X-LDH-40” and “X-LDH-120”, respectively. Supercritical drying was conducted with supercritical CO$_2$ (80 °C and 14.0 MPa), yielding aerogels which are labeled as “A-LDH” in this following.

**Structural characterization.** Fine structures of the samples were observed by a field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM; S-4800, Hitachi, Japan). Crystal phases of the obtained samples were identified by X-ray diffraction (XRD; MultiFlex, Rigaku, Japan) using CuK$\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda$ = 0.154 nm). Micro–mesoporous characters of the samples were investigated by a N$_2$ adsorption–desorption apparatus (BELSORP-mini II, Bel Japan Inc., Japan). Prior to the measurement, the samples (A-LDH, X-LDH, Com-LDH, and Ref-LDH) were subjected to quick
heat treatment to remove water adsorbed at 500 °C, and then further outgassed under vacuum at 200 °C. The pore size distribution was calculated from the adsorption branch of the isotherm by the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) method. Mean pore diameter, $D_{pm}$, and total pore volume, $V_p$ (cumulative pore volume calculated from pores with $D_p < 183$ nm) were estimated from the distribution curves. The BJH method was also applied to assess partial specific surface area ($S_{(>x\text{nm})}$) derived from pores larger than a cut-off value. For example, $S_{(>5\text{nm})}$ corresponds to a specific surface area obtained by integrating surfaces of pores with $D_p > 5$ nm. The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method was also applied to estimate specific surface area, $S_{\text{BET}}$.

Synchrotron X-ray micro-computed tomography ($\mu$-CT) was employed to non-destructively obtain three dimensional (3D) images of the drying process of the monoliths. High resolution synchrotron-based X-ray tomographic image was obtained from the Diamond-Manchester branchline I13-2 at Diamond Light Source. The samples were kept at 300 K on the beamline using an in house environmental stage. A polychromatic filtered parallel-beam setup was used with a 0.81 μm effective pixel size and ~2 μm spatial resolution. Over the 180° rotation, 3600 projections were collected at 0.05 s exposure time and tomographically reconstructed into a 3D volume using software developed at Diamond Light Source. Visualisation package Avizo® was used to produce the 3D images and quantify shrinkage. The gel samples for the $\mu$-CT measurements were prepared from AlCl$_3$·6H$_2$O (2.19 g), MgCl$_2$·6H$_2$O (0.553 g), WPEO = 0.03 g, water (4.00 mL), ethanol (3.00 mL), and PO (2.27mL).

**BSA sorption test.** Each of X-LDH (25 mg/mL), A-LDH (1.5 mg/mL), Ref-LDH (7.5 mg/mL), and Com-LDH (10 mg/mL; Mg$_6$Al$_2$(OH)$_{16}$CO$_3$·4H$_2$O, Wako Pure Chemicals Industries, Ltd) was dispersed in aqueous BSA solutions of various concentrations (1.0-3.5 mg/mL) (Supporting note is in SI). The mixtures were placed at 25 °C for 72 h. Supernatants of respective mixtures
were collected through a membrane filter (0.45 μm), and analyzed by ultraviolet-visible spectroscopy (UV-Vis: V-670 spectrophotometer, JASCO Corp.) The concentration of BSA was estimated by Beer-Lambert law using peak intensity at 277.4 nm. The amount of adsorbed BSA was calculated from equation (1),

\[
C_s = \frac{(C_i - C_{eq}) \times V}{m}
\]  

(1)

where \(C_s\) (mg/g) is the amount of BSA adsorbed by LDH, \(C_i\) (mg/mL) and \(C_{eq}\) (mg/mL) are initial and equilibrium concentrations of BSA in the solution, \(V\) (mL) is the volume of the solution, and \(m\) (g) is the mass of LDH. Freundlich equation (eq. (2)) was used as isotherm model for BSA adsorption on the sample solids.

\[
log C_s = logK_f + \frac{1}{n_f} logC_{eq}
\]  

(2)

where \(K_f\) (mg/g) is Freundlich constant and \(n_f\) is the adsorption intensity. The BSA adsorption tests were conducted on LDH-Alhy composites prepared at \(W_{PEO} = 0, 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04\). To study BSA desorption, 30 mg of the sample which had previously adsorbed BSA in the solution of BSA concentration of 2.5 mg/mL (25 °C for 72 h) was immersed in 0.1 M NaCl and 0.1 M \(K_2HPO_4\) aq (25 °C for 72 h), respectively. The amounts of BSA molecules desorbed from the A-LDH (\(W_{PEO}=0.02\) g) and Com-LDH were analyzed by the UV-Vis technique. Some of A-LDHs were dried after BSA adsorption (before desorption test) and the effect of shrinkage on desorption was investigated. The reproducibility of adsorption isotherms were assessed on Com-LDH and X-LDH-40 (details in Supplementary Information).
Results and Discussion

Synthesis of hierarchically porous LDH-Alhy with tunable porosities

The hierarchically porous LDH-Alhy composites were prepared via hydrolysis and condensation reactions of metal salts by alkalinization in the presence of propylene oxide (PO) according to our previously published method. A nucleophilic attack of Cl\textsuperscript{−} induces the ring opening reaction of PO and subsequent alkanization increases pH of the solution to precipitate LDHs.\textsuperscript{22, 23} This reaction process yields nano-sized hydroxide particles (crystals) and leads to homogenous gelation in 15 min, due to a high degree of supersaturation generated in the reaction solution. The gelling solution phase-separates\textsuperscript{24} into LDH-Alhy-rich solid phase and PEO-rich fluid phase. Evaporative removal of the fluid phase leaves macropores (in μm range). Simultaneously, the mesopores (in nm range) were formed within the gel skeleton (Fig. 1a). The mesopore size, \(D_p\), and mesopores volume, \(V_p\), which expectedly influence the \(S_{EFF}\) and the adsorption capacity of proteins, varied as a function of \(W_{PEO}\) (the amount of PEO additive) and drying conditions.

Figure 2 shows XRD patterns and SEM images of the different LDH-Alhy composites and Ref-LDH. The composites and Ref-LDH are ascribed to hydrotalcite-type LDH with typical PXRD patterns of a R-3m hexagonal lattice.\textsuperscript{25} Crystallite sizes estimated from Scherrer’s equation using the (003) diffraction peak are 24 nm for Ref-LDH, 7.2 nm for X-LDH-40, 7.1 nm for X-LDH-120, and 6.8 nm for A-LDH. These values evidence that the crystallite sizes of X-LDH and A-LDH are comparable and far smaller than Ref-LDH used here as the reference, considered as a poorly crystallized material. Chemical analysis revealed that the LDH-Alhy composites have the composition of [Mg\textsubscript{0.66}Al\textsubscript{0.33}(OH)\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{0.33−x}(CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2−})\textsubscript{x/2}\textsubscript{y}H\textsubscript{2}O]\textsuperscript{−}\textsubscript{2.0}Al(OH)\textsubscript{3}.  
Alhy which forms porous networks together with LDH crystals display hydroxylated surface that are biocompatible with proteins, as confirmed by their use as adjuvant in some vaccines. As a result, the LDH-Alhy composites offer extensive solid surface used for protein loading.

The LDH-Alhy composites produced here and Ref-LDH possess very different microstructures (Fig. 2). The morphology of Ref-LDH is aggregates of LDH plates with the size of 1-4 μm. On the other hand, LDH-Alhy composites possess hierarchically porous structures with a monolithic form. As well as interconnected macropores with the pore diameter of 1-2 μm, mesopores are confirmed in the gel skeletons (higher magnification images in Fig. 2). The difference of mesostructures is clearly supported by N₂ sorption measurements (Table 1). Large BET surface areas exceeding 400 m²/g are derived from the mesoporosity which are formed as interstices of constituent nanoparticles. The BJH pore size distributions of Ref-LDH, Com-LDH, and LDH-Alhy composites are plotted in Figs. S1 and S2. Com-LDH and Ref-LDH have negligible mesopores, whereas LDH-Alhy composites possess considerable pore volume of 0.94-4.27 cm³/g, originated from pores in the range of Dₚ < 150 nm. PEO changes the assembly of LDH and Alhy particles, and Dₚm decreases with increasing WₚEO (Table 1). The formation of smaller mesopores with increasing WₚEO is caused by decrease of the solvent which is to be mesopores after drying in LDH-Alhy-rich solid phase, leading to a more packed LDH and Alhy crystallites. The characteristics of mesopores also depends on the drying conditions. A-LDHs possess the largest Dₚm and Vp among the three sets of LDH-Alhy composites because the shrinkage is minimized by applying the supercritical drying. To get better insight on the shrinkage upon drying process of the monolithic LDHs, X-ray μ-CT was performed while the gels were left to dry at 300 K (Fig. 3). Figure 3 shows 3D images of a small volume of the same gel before and after drying. It shows that shrinkage was isotropic, leading to a volume shrinkage
of 85% and a linear shrinkage of 46%. The relatively large $D_{pm}$ and $V_p$ of X-LDH-120 compared to X-LDH-40 is due to smaller degree of shrinkage on drying. The faster drying at 120 °C forms cracks in the monolithic specimen and releases stress generated at the drying front, retarding isotropic shrinkage and leading to larger mesopores.\textsuperscript{28} It should be emphasized again that X-LDH-40, X-LDH-120, and A-LDHs possess the identical chemical composition and the crystallinity, and differences among these samples are only porosity in nm and μm scales. In summary, $D_{pm}$ of LDH-Alhy composites were successfully tuned between 12 nm and 53 nm by $W_{PEO}$ value and drying condition.

**Effect of pore structure on protein adsorption**

The LDH-Alhy composites with various $D_{pm}$ and $V_p$ were assessed as bio-supports with a high capacity for protein loading. As an adsorbate, BSA was used, which is a large multi-domain protein with a hydrodynamic radius of 3.6 nm and 3D size of $5\times7\times7$ nm\textsuperscript{3}.\textsuperscript{29} Serum albumin, a major soluble constituent of the plasma proteins, has many physiological functions.\textsuperscript{30} Moreover, BSA has been used as a model protein to investigate reactions of physiological disorders, such as diabetes,\textsuperscript{31} and its sustained-release,\textsuperscript{32} immobilization,\textsuperscript{3} and bio-probes are of interest.\textsuperscript{33} Aluminum hydroxide and LDH, have been individually used in the form of crystalline platelets as adsorbents for BSA molecules.\textsuperscript{34,35,36} Preliminary results (not shown) of BSA adsorption using previously-reported hierarchically porous LDHs\textsuperscript{15} (prepared by ambient drying without the solvothermal treatment) lead to negligible amount of protein adsorption. Where, mesopore size was too small to accommodate protein molecules, and only macropores were available for protein adsorption; surface area derived from macropores\textsuperscript{37} is less than 10 m\textsuperscript{2}/g.
Figure 4a represents adsorption isotherms of BSA on X-LDH-40 at different $W_{\text{PEO}}$. At $W_{\text{PEO}} = 0, 0.01, \text{and } 0.02 \text{ g}$, the adsorption of BSA was negligible. LDH-Alhy composites prepared at $W_{\text{PEO}} = 0 \text{ g}$ and 0.01 g do not possess any macropores, limiting BSA adsorption to the external surface where BSA adsorbed on the surface prevents further diffusion of protein through the mesopores into the bulk of the material. On the other hand, LDH-Alhy with hierarchically porous structure ($W_{\text{PEO}} = 0.03-0.04 \text{ g}$; macropore size: 1-2 μm) show much higher BSA loading due to the presence of co-continuous macropores channels for BSA molecules to diffuse through to majority of the available surface. Corresponding adsorption isotherms of X-LDH-120 and A-LDH are plotted in Fig. 4b and c, respectively. Due to the lack of realistic adsorption models for fitting the interactions between solid surfaces and proteins, many studies have reported the use of Freundlich model as an approximative tool to evaluate and compare various solid/protein systems.\textsuperscript{38} Freundlich adsorption isotherms for X-LDH-40, X-LDH-120, and A-LDH are represented in Fig. S3. The plots can be linearly fitted by equation (2) except for the case of X-LDH-40 at $W_{\text{PEO}} = 0.02 \text{ g}$ whose microstructure is highly inhomogeneous because of structure deformation during drying. $K_f$ value increases in the order of X-LDH-40 < X-LDH-120 < A-LDH as summarized in Table 2. The Freundlich constant, $K_f$, of A-LDH prepared with $W_{\text{PEO}} = 0.02 \text{ g}$ is 996 mg·g$^{-1}$. The value is 14 times that of Ref-LDH (70 mg·g$^{-1}$), confirming that A-LDH composites prepared in the present study exhibit excellent adsorption properties compared to X-LDH, Ref-LDH, and Com-LDH. Clearly, the porous structure is the key factor that accounts for BSA loading while different influences, slight pH change during adsorption and difference of hydroxylated surfaces, are negligible (Tables S1 and S2). Indeed, while surface of Ref-LDH with a higher zeta potential (+42 mV) should promote higher BSA adsorption than
LDH/Alhy composite (+37 mV for X-LDH-40), the reverse is observed; BSA has an isoelectric point of pI = 4.7 \textsuperscript{39} and is negatively-charged in the present adsorption condition (pH = ~7).

Figure 5 shows plots of $K_f$ values of LDH-Alhy composites against $S_{(>x\text{nm})}$ that is a specific surface area obtained by integrating surface areas derived from pores of $D_p > x$ nm; for example, $S_{(>5\text{nm})}$ is a sum of surface areas derived from pores with a diameter of $D_p > 5$ nm. The LDH-Alhy composites prepared in the present study have tunable pore characteristics and $S_{(>x\text{nm})}$ was controllable to a large extent. Figure 5 shows $K_f$ values against $S_{(>x\text{nm})}$ for $x = 5$, 10, 15, and 20 nm pore size. $K_f$ and $S_{(>5\text{nm})}$ do not have correlation because relatively small mesopores cannot accommodate BSA. The surface area, which is derived from the mesopores whose sizes are insufficient for BSA adsorption, less contributes to $S_{(>x\text{nm})}$ as $x$ value becomes larger. As a result, linear least square fitting gives better correlation coefficient $r$ with increasing $x$. At $x = 15$ nm and 20 nm, $r$ was estimated as 0.77 and 0.92, respectively. These results reveal that $D_p$ of ca. 20 nm is the threshold which allows to accommodate and adsorb BSA molecules on the entire surfaces of mesopores. Although the pores of 10-20 nm is apparently larger than the size of BSA molecules ($5 \times 7 \times 7$ nm$^3$), adsorption on the surface of these relatively small mesopores does not take place efficiently because entrance of mesopores is blocked by the first few BSA molecules adsorbing there. This effect was indeed observed for X-LDH-40 ($W_{\text{PEO}} = 0-0.02$), in which mesopores ($D_{pm} < 15$ nm) in the absence of macropores exhibited negligible adsorption (Fig. 4a). Similar size effect of mesopores on BSA adsorption was qualitatively reported on mesoporous silica, where SBA-15 with the pore diameter of 24 nm showed much better BSA adsorption than those with 3.8 and 7.7 nm in diameters.\textsuperscript{40} The present results give a very systematic and quantitative evidence for a threshold in pore diameter for effective adsorption of BSA molecules.
to mesoporous materials. The approach demonstrated here will be a promising platform to maximize $S_{\text{EFF}}$ for respective proteins with different molecular sizes.

**Ability for encapsulation and releasing of immobilized proteins**

The capability of encapsulating immobilized protein and their sustained-release are also important feature for bio-adsorbents. A previous study reported that BSA desorption from Zn-Al LDH took place by the addition of competitive anionic adsorbates. Herein, HPO$_4^{2-}$ and Cl$^-$ ions were used as competitive ions to release pre-adsorbed BSA molecules. Table 3 summarizes the results of protein desorption from A-LDH and Com-LDH. 52% of BSA desorbed in 72 h from A-LDH in the case of using HPO$_4^{2-}$ as competitive anion, which is comparable to that from the Com-LDH (49%). This result confirms that the protein desorption as well as the adsorption takes place with a large capacity for the present LDH-Alhy composites. Cl$^-$ ions did not induce the desorption of BSA both for Com-LDH and A-LDH, which is due to the lower affinity of Cl$^-$ and LDH surface, and smaller minus charge compared to HPO$_4^{2-}$. While the LDH-Alhy composites (X-LDH and A-LDH) have a weight ratio of LDH : Alhy = 1 : 1, the desorption percentage by Cl$^-$ of these composites is almost same as pure LDH (~2%) (Table 3). A simple integration of respective surfaces of LDH and Al(OH)$_3$ cannot explain the low BSA desorption from A-LDH composite observed with Cl$^-$ as the counter ion, because BSA desorption easily occurs on Alhy (50-60%) by using Cl$^-$ as a competitive ion. The unique surface texture of A-LDH, the nanomosaic surface composed of Alhy and LDH nanoparticles, would result in the peculiar restricted desorption, though further evidence is required. BSA molecules were also entrapped in the matrix of the composite by first immobilizing BSA within A-LDH which was
then dried to trap the protein within as the gel shrunk. As summarized in Table 3 and Fig. 6, the desorption of BSA molecules by $\text{HPO}_4^{2-}$ from dried samples was <23%, which is less than half that of the non-dried sample of its original dimension (52%). These results demonstrate the possibilities of encapsulation and extended-release of various protein molecules with a high capacity based on tunable hierarchically porous LDH. Combining LDH materials with various selectivities towards different molecules/ions will further open up biocompatible separation and purification required for biomedical applications.\textsuperscript{42}

### Conclusions

The preparation of biocompatible LDH-Alhy composites with an optimized $S_{\text{EFF}}$ for large protein molecules is presented. $S_{\text{EFF}}$ could be altered by the synthesis parameters, such as the amount of polymer additive and the drying conditions used. Capacity for protein loading was investigated with BSA as a model protein, which revealed the existence of a threshold on smaller critical mesopore size required to accommodate BSA molecules to be ca. $D_p = 20$ nm. Especially, the LDH-Alhy aerogel ($W_{\text{PEO}} = 0.02$ g) with a hierarchically porous structure and median mesopore size of 53.2 nm exhibited a remarkably high protein loading ($K_f = 996$ mg·g$^{-1}$) when compared to Ref-LDH and Com-LDH standards. The BSA molecules pre-adsorbed on the composite were released by $\text{HPO}_4^{2-}$ as a competitive adsorbate. While, when $\text{Cl}^-$ was the competing ion, BSA release was retarded to the extent comparable to pure LDH; presumably because of the unique surface texture, nanomosaic of LDH and Alhy. The tunable mesostructures in the range of nm to tens of nm are applicable to molecular sieving and purification of protein solutions. Further
investigation based on this platform would open up many possibilities of using these hierarchically porous LDH-Alhy composites in various applications.

Figures

Figure 1. Schematic illustration depicting the research carried out in this study. (a) LDH nanocrystals and Alhy nanoparticles form biocompatible solids with hierarchically porous structures. (b) The pre-adsorbed proteins (BSA) released by soaking the composite in solutions containing competitive anionic adsorbates (HPO$_4^{2-}$ and Cl$^-$). (c) Large shrinkage of mesoporous networks (length shrinkage of 50 %) upon drying leading to successfully-encapsulated BSA molecules.
Figure 2. XRD patterns and FE-SEM images of Ref-LDH, X-LDH-40, X-LDH-120, and A-LDH. (Hydrotalcite JCPDS# 00-022-0700). $W_{\text{PEO}} = 0.03$ g. *: impurity NaCl phase.
Figure 3. 3D and 2D X-ray micro-computed tomography (μ-CT) images of the LDH-Alhy composites before (wet gel) and after (xerogel) drying at 300 K. The wet gel sample for μ-CT was prepared at Mg/Al = 0.3 and $W_{\text{PEO}}$=0.03 g. Isotropic shrinkage took place during the drying, leading to the volume shrinkage of 85% and the linear shrinkage of 46%. Images were reconstructed from the same part of the monolithic sample. The yellow dotted lines in 3D images represent 2D planes shown as 2D images.
Figure 4. BSA adsorption isotherms on (a) X-LDH-40, (b) X-LDH-120, (c) A-LDH at 25 °C. Adsorption isotherms of BSA on A-LDH prepared at $W_{\text{PEO}} = 0$ and 0.01 g (without macropores) are not reproducible.

Figure 5. $K_f$ vs. $S_{(>x\text{nm})}$ of LDH-Alhy composites. $K_f$ values listed of LDH-Alhy composites listed in Table 2 are plotted. $S_{(>x\text{nm})}$: specific surface areas derived from the pores larger than $x$ nm. The results of linear least square fitting are depicted with the plots. $r$: correlation coefficient.
Figure 6. Schematic illustration showing the controllable release of BSA adsorbed on A-LDH.

### Tables

**Table 1.** Mesopore characteristics of LDH-Alhy composites.

|         | X-LDH-40 | X-LDH-120 | A-LDH | Ref-LDH | Com-LDH |
|---------|-----------|-----------|-------|---------|---------|
| $W_{PEO}/g$ | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | – | – |
| $D_{pm}/nm$ | 14.1 | 14.1 | 12.3 | 19.9 | 16.9 | 15.5 | 53.2 | 40.8 | 38.1 | – | – |
| $V_p/cm^3g^{-1}$ | 0.94 | 1.04 | 0.95 | 1.44 | 1.70 | 1.40 | 3.82 | 4.27 | 3.15 | – | – |
| $S_{BET}/m^2g^{-1}$ | 390 | 420 | 389 | 394 | 477 | 397 | 397 | 502 | 380 | 34 | 123 |
| $S_{(>2nm)}/m^2g^{-1}$ | 357 | 343 | 351 | 418 | 521 | 439 | 359 | 491 | 391 | 40 | 77 |

**Table 2.** Summary of Freundlich fitting of BSA on solid surfaces.
Table 3. Capability of controllable release of BSA from the LDH-Alhy composite. *BSA adsorbed A-LDH was dried and immersed in aqueous solution of releasing agent, HPO$_4^{2-}$.

| Entry    | $W_{PEO}$ (mg·g$^{-1}$) | $K_f$ (mg·g$^{-1}$) | $1/n_f$ | $R^2$ |
|----------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------|-------|
| X-LDH-40 | 0.02                    | NA                  | NA      | NA    |
|          | 0.03                    | 56                  | 0.28    | 0.97  |
|          | 0.04                    | 86                  | 0.41    | 0.95  |
| X-LDH-120| 0.02                    | 158                 | 0.24    | 0.96  |
|          | 0.03                    | 128                 | 0.19    | 0.94  |
|          | 0.04                    | 121                 | 0.17    | 0.78  |
| A-LDH    | 0.02                    | 996                 | 0.23    | 0.97  |
|          | 0.03                    | 724                 | 0.32    | 0.98  |
|          | 0.04                    | 676                 | 0.40    | 0.85  |
| Ref-LDH  | –                       | 70                  | 0.32    | 0.93  |
| Com-LDH  | –                       | 138                 | 0.23    | 0.97  |

| Entry    | Releasing agent | Releasing percentage (%) |
|----------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| A-LDH    | HPO$_4^{2-}$    | 52                       |
|          | Cl$^-$         | 1.8                      |
|          | HPO$_4^{2-}$    | 23                       |
| Com-LDH  | HPO$_4^{2-}$    | 49                       |
|          | Cl$^-$         | 1.1                      |
ASSOCIATED CONTENT

**Supporting Information.** N\textsubscript{2} sorption isotherms and BJH pore size distributions of LDH-Alhy and referential samples; linearized form of the adsorption isotherm according to Freundlich mode; influences of heat drying temperature, pH, and sample mass on BSA adsorption; reproducibility of analysis based on Freundlich equation; influence of thermal treatment prior to N\textsubscript{2} adsorption measurements. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.
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