Revisiting sea-level budget by considering all potential impact factors for global mean sea-level change estimation
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Accurate estimates of global sea-level change from the observations of Altimetry, Argo and Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) and GRACE Follow-on (GRACE-FO) are of great value for investigating the global sea-level budget. In this study, we analyzed the global sea-level change over the period from January 2005 to December 2019 by considering all potential impact factors, i.e. three factors for Altimetry observations (two Altimetry products, ocean bottom deformation (OBD) and glacial isostatic adjustment (GIA)), three factors for Argo observations (four Argo products, salinity product error and deep-ocean steric sea-level change), and seven factors for GRACE/GRACE-FO observations including three official RL06 solutions, five spatial filtering methods, three GIA models, two C₂₀ (degree 2 order 0) products, Geocenter motion, GAD field and global mass conservation. The seven impact factors of GRACE/GRACE-FO observations lead to ninety combinations for the post-processing of global mean barystatic sea-level change estimation, whose rates range from 2.00 to 2.45 mm/year. The total uncertainty of global barystatic sea-level change rate is ± 0.27 mm/year at the 95% confidence level, estimated as the standard deviation of the differences between the different datasets constituting the ensembles. The statistical results show that the preferred GIA model developed by Caron et al. in 2018 can improve the closure of the global sea-level budget by 0.20–0.30 mm/year, which is comparable with that of neglecting the halosteric component. About 30.8% of total combinations (GRACE/GRACE-FO plus Argo) can close the global sea-level budget within 1-sigma (0.23 mm/year) of Altimetry observations, 88.9% within 2-sigma. Once the adopted factors including GRACE/GRACE-FO solutions from Center for Space Research (CSR), Caron18 GIA model, SWENSON filtering and Argo product from China Second Institute of Oceanography, the linear trend of global steric sea-level change derived from GRACE/GRACE-FO plus Argo observations is 3.85 ± 0.14 mm/year, nearly closed to 3.90 ± 0.23 mm/year of Altimetry observations.

Since 1993, the global mean sea level (GMSL) has risen more than 8 cm with a rate of 3.30 mm/year estimated from satellite Altimetry observations with inverse barometer corrections, called steric sea-level change, which is dominated by two components: the ocean mass redistribution and steric changes. About one-third of the global sea-level rise can be attributed to ocean thermal expansion and salinity changes (steric component) that can be measured down to 2000 m depth by the Argo project since 2005, the rest (barystatic component) is attributed to the ocean mass contribution related to ice sheets, glaciers and land water storage, which can be measured by Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) and GRACE Follow-on (GRACE-FO) missions launched in 2002 and 2018, respectively. Therefore the global sea-level budget can be expressed as follows,

\[
\text{GMSL}_{\text{sterodynamic}} = \text{GMSL}_{\text{steric}} + \text{GMSL}_{\text{barystatic}},
\]

where, \(\text{GMSL}_{\text{sterodynamic}}\) is the global mean stericodynamic sea-level change, \(\text{GMSL}_{\text{steric}}\) is the steric component including thermosteric and halosteric contributions and \(\text{GMSL}_{\text{barystatic}}\) is the barystatic component. Considering that the global averaged halosteric contribution should be essentially zero due to the salinity conservation, many studies only consider the thermosteric sea-level change to investigate the global sea-level budget. Besides, since the ocean thermal expansion changes are only measured for the upper 2000 m of the ocean by Argo floats and
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the ocean mass change will cause Ocean Bottom Deformation (OBD), the OBD and deep-ocean thermosteric sea-level change (> 2000 m) should be considered when studying the global sea-level budget. Then the updated global sea-level budget equation is expressed as follows,

$$GMSL_{\text{thermosteric}} = GMSL_{\text{OBD}} = GMSL_{\text{thermosteric}} + GMSL_{\text{thermosteric (deep)}} + GMSL_{\text{barystatic}},$$

where $GMSL_{\text{OBD}}$ denotes the effect of OBD and $GMSL_{\text{thermosteric (deep)}}$ is the deep-ocean thermosteric sea-level change.

Many previous publications have tried to close the global sea-level budget using different datasets and post-processed strategies over different periods, however, the misclosure is quite different (Table 1). From Table 1, we can clearly find that adopting different Altimetry products will lead to certain differences in estimated global mean sterodynamic sea-level change rates, for example, $3.17 \pm 0.67$ mm/year over 2005–2012, $3.49 \pm 0.14$ mm/year over 2004–2015, $3.50 \pm 0.20$ mm/year over 2005–2015, and $3.79 \pm 0.18$ mm/year over 2005–2015, similarly for both RL06 solutions of GRACE/GRACE-FO and Argo observations. Recently published studies have shown that the global sea-level budget can be closed within the respective uncertainties during the GRACE era, however, appears no longer closed after GRACE-FO and Argo observations. Recently published studies have shown that the global sea-level budget can be closed within the respective uncertainties during the GRACE era, however, appears no longer closed after GRACE-FO and Argo observations.

Compared to Altimetry and Argo observations, accurate quantification of global mean barystatic sea-level changes from RL06 solutions of GRACE/GRACE-FO observations has been more challenging because more factors affect the quantification of global mean barystatic sea-level changes from RL06 solutions of GRACE/GRACE-FO observations has been more challenging because more factors affect the quantification of global mean barystatic sea-level changes. For example, spatial filtering for reducing strong noise, replacing the $C_{20}$ (degree 2 order 0) coefficients of RL06 solutions of GRACE/GRACE-FO observations with that from Satellite Laser Ranging (SLR), Geocenter motion correction (GC, degree-1 SH coefficients) and Glacial Isostatic Adjustment (GIA) correction. Chen et al. investigated the four impact factors on global mean barystatic sea-level change estimates and found that there exists a linear trend misclosure from 0.36 to 0.58 mm/year relative to Altimetry minus Argo estimates over the period from January 2005 to December 2016, and a systematic annual phase lag between GRACE/GRACE-FO and Altimetry minus Argo estimates due to the enforced mass conservation in RL06 solutions of GRACE/GRACE-FO observations. However, to correctly implement global mass conservation, the negative of the GAC $C_{00}$ coefficients (representing the total mass of the atmosphere) should be added to RL06 solutions of GRACE/GRACE-FO observations. Note that GAC is the GRACE supplementary data product of gravity change caused by global non-tidal atmospheric and high-frequency oceanic mass changes. Besides, for ocean applications, the GAD (i.e., GAD-GRACE supplementary data product of gravity change over the ocean caused by non-tidal atmospheric surface pressure and high-frequency oceanic mass changes) should be added back after its monthly ocean average is removed, which are normally neglected due to the slight linear trend difference of global mean barystatic sea-level change. In summary, all potential impact factors of global sea-level change estimates are listed in Fig. 1.

Table 1. Published estimates of global mean sea-level change rates from Altimetry, Argo, GRACE and GRACE-FO solutions [mm/year]. All the statistical results are part results of these above references. Steric includes thermosteric and halosteric contributions and Thermosteric includes only thermosteric contribution.

| GMSL rates          | Time-span       | Sterodynamic (Altimetry) | Barystatic (GRACE-FO) | Steric (Argo) | Note               |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| Chambers et al.     | 2005.1–2014.12  | $3.17 \pm 0.67$          | $2.11 \pm 0.36$       | $0.97 \pm 0.15$ | Steric             |
| Dieng et al.        | 2004.1–2015.12  | $3.49 \pm 0.14$          | $2.24 \pm 0.10$       | $1.14 \pm 0.09$ | Steric             |
| WCRP Global Sea Level Budget Group | 2005.1–2015.12  | $3.50 \pm 0.20$          | $2.30 \pm 0.19$       | $1.30 \pm 0.40$ | Thermosteric       |
| Chen et al.         | 2005.1–2015.12  | $3.79 \pm 0.18$          | $2.61 \pm 0.14$       | $1.11 \pm 0.10$ | Steric             |
| Vishwakarma et al.  | 2005.1–2015.12  | $3.11 \pm 0.24$          | $1.63 \pm 0.20$       | $1.22 \pm 0.12$ | Steric             |
| Chen et al.         | 2005.1–2016.12  | $3.87 \pm 0.16$          | $2.39 \pm 0.16$       | $1.12 \pm 0.08$ | Steric             |
| Wang et al.         | 2005.1–2016.12  | $3.76 \pm 0.12$          | $2.43 \pm 0.14$       | $1.16 \pm 0.08$ | Steric             |
| Chen et al.         | 2005.1–2020.4   | $3.92 \pm 0.30$          | $2.22 \pm 0.10$       | $1.00 \pm 0.22$ | Steric             |
| Barnoul et al.      | 2005.1–2019.12  | $3.96 \pm 0.23$          | $2.14 \pm 0.02$       | $1.31 \pm 0.05$ | Thermosteric       |

Data and processing strategies

GRACE and GRACE-FO data. We adopt the RL06 solutions of GRACE/GRACE-FO observations provided by the Center for Space Research (CSR), German Geoforschungszentrum (GFZ) and Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) covering the period from January 2005 to December 2019 with 30 months missing data to estimate...
global mean barystatic sea-level changes. The spherical harmonics (SH) coefficients of the RL06 solutions are truncated to the degree and order 60 and centered with the mean-field of the study period. Two SLR \( C_{20} \) coefficients (CSR SLR and GSFC SLR Technical Note 14 (TN-14)) are used to replace the \( C_{20} \) coefficients of RL06 solutions of GRACE and GRACE-FO observations\(^21,25\). Since the RL06 solutions do not contain degree-1 (\( C_{11}, S_{11}, C_{10} \)) coefficients and the longest SLR degree-1 coefficients are just until 2017, only independent estimates of CSR GRACE Technical Note 13 (TN-13)\(^26\) are used for geocenter motion correction. Three GIA models are used to evaluate the impacts on global mean barystatic sea-level change estimates, mainly including ICE6G-D\(^27\), Caron18\(^28\) and A13\(^29\). A 300-km Gaussian smoothing and five different decorrelation filter methods are used to filter the strong noise, specifically including SWENSON\(^30\), P4M6\(^31\), P4M15\(^32\), DUAN\(^33\) and DDK13\(^34\). To reduce the land signal leakage\(^35\), we compute the global mean barystatic sea-level change by averaging global ocean grid points farther than 300 km from the coast with the latitudes from 64.5° S to 64.5° N (to be consistent with Altimetry and Argo latitude sampling discussed later), with the area weighting which can be approximately replaced by cosine latitude weighting. The time series of GRACE/GRACE-FO, Altimetry and Argo observations are all fitted with least-squares fitting by introducing the linear trend, annual and semi-annual terms. Note that the periods of 161 days and 3.73 years are removed for correcting the S2 and K2 ocean tide aliasing\(^18\).

**Altimetry and Argo data.** Two different estimates of the Altimetry-based global gridded sea-level anomaly products are used in this study: (a) the 0.25° × 0.25° daily Altimetry Sea Surface Height (SSH) data, provided by the Archiving, Validation, and Interpretation of Satellite Oceanographic (AVISO), are averaged into monthly intervals to compute the global steric sea-level change; (b) the 0.25° × 0.25° daily gridded SSH data named SEALEVEL\_GLO\_PHY\_CLIMATE\_14\_MY\_008_057, which are provided by the (CMEMS) Copernicus Marine Environment Monitoring Service. The global mean steric sea-level change is computed over global oceans farther than 300 km from the coast between the latitudes of 64.5° S and 64.5° N. Normally the GIA impacts for Altimetry observations are corrected by adding a constant value of −0.30 mm/year\(^36\). Considering the study area in this study, the recomputed mean GIA impact is −0.28 mm/year by using the ICE5G-VM2 model that is downloaded from https://www.atmos. physics.utoronto.ca/~peltier/data.php.

The shallow steric sea-level change (<2000 m) is determined from four gridded subsurface Argo 1° × 1° products (including temperature, salinity and pressure datasets), which are provided by the International Pacific Research Center (IPRC), the Scripps Institute of Oceanography (SIO), Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology (JAMSTEC) and China Second Institute of Oceanography (CSIIO) respectively. Steric sea-level change is computed by using the Argo products as\(^37\),

\[
SL_{\text{steric}} = -\frac{1}{\rho_0} \cdot \int_{-h}^{0} \Delta \rho \cdot dz, \tag{3}
\]

where, \( \rho_0 \) is the mean density of seawater (1027 kg/m\(^3\)), and \( \Delta \rho \) is the density change as a function of temperature, salinity and pressure, which can be computed using the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) standard equations\(^38\). Since the mean salinity is used in Eq. (3), any salinity effect on steric sea-level change is not considered here and we only focus on the thermosteric contribution. Then the global mean thermosteric sea-level change is computed over global oceans farther than 300 km from the coast between the latitudes from 64.5° S to 64.5° N. For the deep-ocean thermosteric component to the global mean separately, normally taking an estimate of 0.10 mm/year\(^19\), here in this study we adopt 0.12 ± 0.03 mm/year according to the estimated result of Chang et al.\(^39\).
Global sterodynamic sea-level change from Altimetry observations. The sterodynamic sea-level change that equates to a sum of barystatic and thermosteric sea-level change can be directly estimated by satellite Altimetry since 1993. The global mean sterodynamic sea-level changes estimated from two satellite Altimetry products over the period from January 2005 to December 2019 are presented in Fig. 2, which agree well with each other, with an average trend of 3.87 ± 0.23 mm/year after correcting the GIA effect by adding a constant of 0.28 mm/year. The same uncertainty (0.23 mm/year) as Barnoud et al. was estimated by taking into account all sources of errors affecting the Altimetry-based global mean sterodynamic sea-level change estimation over the same study period. Considering there exist 30 missing months in GRACE/GRACE-FO RL06 solutions over 2005–2019, after the same missing months are deleted from Altimetry observations, the re-estimated global mean sterodynamic sea-level change rate is 3.82 ± 0.23 mm/year, with a slight difference of 0.05 mm/year, the corresponding statistical results are presented in Table 2. Besides, OBD should be corrected due to the changes in ocean mass load, which are computed using the RL06 solutions following the method of Vishwakarma et al. The linear trend of the global mean OBD series is − 0.08 ± 0.01 mm/year over the period from January 2005 to December 2019.

Four Argo products (IPRC, SIO, CSIO and JAMSTEC) are used to compute the global mean thermosteric sea-level change. Due to the salinity conservation over global oceans, we neglect the halosteric component so as to avoid underestimating the linear trend because of the fast salinity drift error after 2016. Figure 3 shows the global mean thermosteric sea-level changes derived from four Argo products, we can find that Argo-based estimates of IPRC, SIO and CSIO agree well with each other, with slight difference relative to that from JAMSTEC. After deleting 30 missing months are deleted from Altimetry observations, the re-estimated global mean thermosteric sea-level change rate is 1.40 ± 0.05 mm/year over the period from January 2005 and December 2019.
Global barystatic sea-level change from GRACE/GRACE-FO gravity field solutions. From "Global stericodynamic sea-level change from Altimetry observations" and "Global thermosteric sea-level change from Argo observations" sections, the global mean stericodynamic and thermosteric change series are derived from Altimetry and Argo observations after corresponding impact factors (GIA, OBD, Deep-ocean thermosteric sea-level change) being corrected over the period from January 2005 to December 2019. In this subsection, we will estimate the global mean barystatic sea-level changes using the RL06 solutions of GRACE/GRACE-FO observations. As mentioned in "Introduction" section, the barystatic sea-level change estimates from GRACE/GRACE-FO RL06 solutions are easily affected by the adopted different post-processing strategies, mainly including three official processing centers (CSR, GFZ, JPL), Geocenter motion (GRACE TN-13), two SLR C20 coefficients (CSR SLR vs GSFC SLR TN14), SLR C20 (degree 3 order 0) coefficients (GSFC SLR TN-14), five decorrelation filter methods (P4M6, P4M15, SWENSON (P3M6), DUAN and DDK1), Gaussian smoothing (300 km), signal leakage correction (300 km buffer zone), three GIA models (A13, ICE6G-D and Caron18). These post-processing strategies will lead to ninety combined solutions of global mean barystatic sea-level change estimates, with which we can investigate the effect of these factors on estimating global mean barystatic sea-level changes and closing the global sea-level budget.

RL06 solutions from three official processing centers. Different processing strategies of GRACE/GRACE-FO solutions will bring certain differences in estimating global mean barystatic sea-level change. Three RL06 solutions from different official processing centers are used to estimate the global mean barystatic sea-level change rate over the period from January 2005 to December 2019 in the open ocean mass farther than 300 km from the coast between the latitudes from 64.5° S to 64.5° N. Figure 4a shows the global mean barystatic sea-level change series and linear trends of three official RL06 solutions computed with the global mean barystatic sea-level changes of ninety combined solutions, in which the largest linear trend differences reach 0.09 mm/year (Table 4).

C20 coefficients correction. Due to the limited ability of GRACE/GRACE-FO for estimating C20 coefficients, two different SLR C20 products (CSR SLR vs GSFC SLR TN14) are adopted to replace the GRACE/GRACE-FO C20 coefficients over the period from January 2005 to December 2019, the results indicating that two SLR C20 products have the same effects on global mean barystatic sea-level change rate and slight differences in the amplitudes and phases of annual and semi-annual components, the statistical results are presented in Fig. 4b and Table 4.
Spatial filtering methods. The applied different decorrelation filtering method has a small effect on the estimated global mean barystatic sea-level changes at both seasonal and long-term time scales. The averaged global mean barystatic sea-level change rates related to different filtering methods with all possible combined solutions range from 2.15 ± 0.05 to 2.23 ± 0.05 mm/year (Fig. 4c). However, except for the filtering method of DDK1 (2.15 ± 0.05 mm/year), the differences in the global mean barystatic sea-level change rates are less than 0.02 mm/year among the other four filtering methods. When no filtering is applied, the global mean barystatic sea-level change rate is 2.32 ± 0.05 mm/year, slightly larger than those of all filtering methods (Table 4).

GIA correction. The GIA signal induces significant trends in GRACE solutions that must be removed, which is a crucial factor for estimating barystatic sea-level change from GRACE/GRACE-FO observations. The only way to correct this signal is to use GIA models. However, different groups have independently developed GIA model solutions based on the Toronto ice history reconstruction, by using different implementations of GIA codes and somehow different Earth models, GIA models significantly differ. We corrected the gravity field effect of GIA-related mass redistributions by using three different GIA modelling results: the model namely A13 by A et al.29 based on ICE-5Gv2 glaciation history from Peltier42; the model ICE-6G_D (VM5A) by Peltier et al.27,43; and the model Caron18 by Caron et al.44.
and the mean solution Caron18 by Caron et al., with the linear trends of −1.10 mm/year, −1.00 mm/year and −1.30 mm/year respectively, which accounts for about 50% of the linear trend of total global mean barystatic sea-level change. It is obvious to find that when the GIA model of Caron et al. is used, the global mean barystatic sea-level change rate is higher (2.38 ±0.05 mm/year) than the A13 and ICE6G-D models (2.18 ±0.05 and 2.08 ±0.05 mm/year), the corresponding results are presented in Fig. 4d and Table 4. Our preferred GIA model is the Caron18, which is based on the ICE-6G deglaciation history, while the model by A et al. is based on its predecessor model, ICE-5G. Besides, the A13 and ICE6G-D models are single GIA models, the Caron18 model arises as a weighted mean from a large ensemble of models, where the glaciation history and the solid-Earth rheology have been varied and validated against independent geodetic data.

Other impact factors. The atmospheric and oceanic masses (i.e., the so-called GAD product) are further needed to be added back but with the GAD mean over the ocean removed following the method of Uebbing et al. For full global barystatic sea-level change, it leads to very similar estimates with a slight difference (0.03 mm/year) for global mean barystatic sea-level change rates from January 2005 to December 2019 regardless of whether adding the GAD field back or not, however, has a certain effect on the annual and semi-annual amplitudes. There is an important issue that should be addressed is that since the CSR SLR and GSFC TN-14 C20 and C30 coefficients are estimated by restoring the GAD back, obviously, the GAD C20 and C30 coefficients should be ignored to avoid the problem of “double counting” even though the impact is relatively small. Besides, the global mass conservation correction is also corrected following the method of Chen et al.

Total uncertainty. In previous subsections of “Global barystatic sea-level change from GRACE/GRACE-FO gravity field solutions” section, we mainly analyze the impact factors (including processing center, C20, the filtering method, and the GIA correction) for estimating global mean barystatic sea-level change to determine to which extent the factors can bias GRACE/GRACE-FO estimates and compute an ensemble of ninety combinations for GRACE/GRACE-FO post-processing. The total uncertainties are estimated as the standard deviation of the differences between the different datasets constituting the ensembles. This approach is likely to underestimate the uncertainty as it only considers the variability of a limited number of datasets. Table 4 summarizes the sources of uncertainty in the GRACE/GRACE-FO estimate of the global barystatic sea-level change associated with each impact factor. In contrast to the global barystatic sea-level change rates, values vary greatly and depend significantly on the chosen factors. Based on our ensemble, the total uncertainty of the global mean barystatic sea-level change rate is ±0.27 mm/year and comparable to that of Blazquez et al. from 2005 to 2019 at the 95% confidence level when assuming a Gaussian distribution, with the mean linear trend of 2.21 ± 0.14 mm/year. Though the spread of our ensemble does certainly not represent the true uncertainty in GRACE/GRACE-FO, it can be used to evaluate the total uncertainty of all impact factors for global mean barystatic sea-level change estimation to some extent.

Global sea-level budget from Altimetry, Argo and GRACE/GRACE-FO observations. After estimating the global mean stereodynamic, thermosteric and barystatic sea-level changes from Altimetry, Argo and RL06 solutions of GRACE/GRACE-FO observations, the global sea-level budget can be investigated based on the sea-level budget Eq. (2). From “Global stereodynamic sea-level change from Altimetry observations”, “Global thermosteric sea-level change from Argo observations” and “Global barystatic sea-level change from GRACE/GRACE-FO gravity field solutions” sections, the averaged linear trends of global mean stereodynamic and thermosteric sea-level changes are 3.90 ±0.23 mm/year and 1.40 ±0.05 mm/year after correcting the corresponding impact factors over the study period. When the global barystatic sea-level changes from GRACE/GRACE-FO observations plus the Argo-based thermosteric sea-level change, it gives an alternative estimate of the global stereodynamic sea-level change with an uncertainty of ±0.28 mm/year over the period from 2005 to 2019 derived from an ensemble of the total 360 combinations (at the 95 percent confidence level assuming that the uncertainty from GRACE/GRACE-FO and Argo are independent).

Figure 5 shows the global mean stereodynamic sea-level change estimation from Altimetry and GRACE/GRACE-FO plus Argo observations over the period January 2005 to December 2019. The average linear trends are 3.61 ± 0.14 mm/year (Barystatic plus Thermosteric) and 3.90 ± 0.23 mm/year (Altimetry), respectively. From Fig. 5, it is obvious to find that the GRACE data differ around 2017 (shown in pink box of bottom subfigure) and there exist some systematic differences since 2016, mainly due to the problems in the accelerometer instrument leading to the increased errors in GRACE gravity solutions.

Besides, we further present the linear trends of the global sea-level budget from January 2005 to December 2019 for Altimetry, Argo and GRACE/GRACE-FO observations over the sub-ensembles with five main factors (processing center, filtering method, GIA model, C20 correction and Argo product) in Fig. 6. For the linear trend, about 30.8% of 360 combinations (barystatic plus thermosteric) can stereotype the global sea-level budget within 1-sigma of Altimetry observations if the adopted factors include the Caron18 GIA model and 88.9% within 2-sigma. When the impact factors are CSR (processing center), CSR SLR C20, SWENSON (filtering method), Caron18 GIA model and CSIO (Argo), respectively, the largest global mean stereodynamic (barystatic plus thermosteric) sea-level change rate among 360 combinations is 3.85 ±0.14 mm/year, nearly closed to the 3.90 ± 0.23 of Altimetry observations, which are consistent with the results of Tables 3 and 4.

Conclusions and discussions
There exist many impact factors for investigating the global sea-level budget using the GRACE/GRACE-FO RL06 solutions, Altimetry and Argo observations. It is normally recognized that the sea-level budget can be closed within the uncertainty at a global scale, however, failed to be closed since 2016, seems have a systematic
difference between the global mean stericodynamic sea-level change obtained by the Altimetry and GRACE/GRACE-FO plus Argo data\textsuperscript{17,45,46}. Through the comparison of comprehensive experiments, we take all potential impact factors (shown in Fig. 1) into account to evaluate their effect on the closure of the global sea-level budget from 2005 to 2019. The new results from Altimetry show substantially larger global mean stericodynamic sea-level change rates than those of GRACE/GRACE-FO plus Argo observations, which are comparable to similar estimates from previous studies (as summarized in Table 1). For global barystatic sea-level change estimation, GIA correction has a more remarkable effect than other impact factors, whereas the preferred Caron\textsuperscript{18} GIA model can contribute more to improve the closure of the global sea-level budget than the A13 and ICE6G-D models. The ensemble-mean global barystatic sea-level change rate is 2.21 mm/year with a total uncertainty of ±0.27 mm/year at a 95% confidence level estimated with the different datasets constituting the ensemble of ninety post-processed combined solutions.

Due to the fast salinity drift error of some Argo data after 2016, which introduced a negative trend leading to the underestimation of steric sea-level change. The re-assessment global sea-level budget just with the thermosteric sea-level change component can decrease the misclosure ~0.30 mm/year. We use four Argo products (IPRC, SIO, CSIO and JAMSTEC) to estimate global thermosteric sea-level change, with a mean linear trend of 1.40 ± 0.05 mm/year after adding the deep-ocean thermosteric contribution of 0.12 ± 0.03 mm/year estimated by Chang et al.\textsuperscript{39}. After considering all potential impact factors, the updated results show that the linear trends of 360 combinations of global stericodynamic sea-level change derived from GRACE/GRACE-FO plus Argo range from 3.40 ± 0.28 to 3.85 ± 0.28 mm/year, consistent with 3.90 ± 0.46 mm/year of Altimetry observations at a 95 percent confidence level. Considering that the GRACE-FO mission has been observed about four years, further accumulation of observation data will be better to investigate the remaining misclosure of global sea-level budget among three independent observation systems.

Figure 5. The global mean stericodynamic and sea-level change estimation from Altimetry and Argo plus GRACE and GRACE-FO observations and corresponding differences from 2005 to 2019.
Data availability

The merged Mean Sea Level Anomalies (MSLA) from TOPEX/Poseidon, Jason-1/2, ERS-1/2, and Envisat observations are provided by the Archiving, Validation, and Interpretation of Satellite Oceanographic (AVISO) data (http://www.aviso.oceanobs.com/). The 0.25°×0.25° daily Altimetry SSH data are downloaded from the website of CMEMS https://resources.marine.copernicus.eu/. The RL06 GRACE/GRACE-FO gravity field solutions are downloaded from the International Centre for Global Earth Models website (http://icgem.gfz-potsdam.de/series/01_GRACE/CSR). Two Geocenter motion series of CSR GRACE Technical Note 13 geocenter motion series is downloaded from https://podaac-tools.jpl.nasa.gov/. The CSR SLR C20 spherical harmonics coefficients (http://download.csr.utexas.edu/pub/slr/degree_2/) and GSFC SLR Technical Note 14 C20 (https://podaac-tools.jpl.nasa.gov/) are used to replace GRACE/GRACE-FO C20 coefficients. Besides, GRACE Technical Note 14 C30 coefficients are also used to replace the GRACE-FO C30 coefficients, which are available at the website of https://podaac-tools.jpl.nasa.gov/. Three GIA models are adopted to evaluate their impacts on global mean barystatic sea-level change, including ICE6G-D model from http://www.atmosphys.physics.utoronto.ca/~peltier/data.php; Caron18 model (https://vesl.jpl.nasa.gov/solid-earth/gia/), A13 ftp://podaac-ftp.jpl.nasa.gov/allData/tellus/L3/pgr/.

Received: 2 January 2022; Accepted: 2 June 2022
Published online: 17 June 2022

References

1. Gregory, J. M. et al. Concepts and terminology for sea level: Mean, variability and change, both local and global. Surv. Geophys. 40, 1251–1289. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-019-00523-z (2019).
2. WCRP Global Sea Level Budget Group. Global sea level budget 1993–present. Earth Syst. Sci. Data 10, 1551–1590. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-1551-2018 (2018).
3. Chen, J. L. et al. Quantification of ocean mass change using gravity recovery and climate experiment, satellite altimeter, and Argo floats observations. J. Geophys. Res.-Sol. Earth 123, 10212–10225. https://doi.org/10.1002/2018J B016095 (2018).
4. Llovel, W. et al. Global ocean freshening, ocean mass increase and global mean sea level rise over 2005–2015. Sci. Rep. 9(1), 17717. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-42339-2 (2019).
5. Nerem, R. S., Chambers, D. P., Choe, C. & Mitchum, G. T. Estimating mean sea level change from the TOPEX and Jason altimeter missions. Mar. Geod. 33(51), 435–446. https://doi.org/10.1080/01490419.2010.491031 (2010).
6. Gregory, J. M. & Lowe, J. A. Predictions of global and regional sea-level rise using AOGCMs with and without flux adjustment. Geophys. Res. Lett. 27, 3069–3072. https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GL011228 (2000).
7. Uebbing, B., Kusche, J., Rietbroek, R. & Landerer, F. Processing choices affect ocean mass estimates from GRACE. J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans 124, 1029–1044. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JC014341 (2019).
8. Frederikse, T., Riva, R. & King, M. A. Ocean bottom deformation due to present-day mass redistribution and its impact on sea level observations. Geophys. Res. Lett. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL075419 (2017).
9. Vishwakarma, B. D., Royston, S., Riva, R., Westaway, R. M. & Bamber, J. L. Sea level budgets should account for ocean bottom deformation. Geophys. Res. Lett. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL086492 (2020).
10. Cazenave, A. et al. Sea level budget over 2003–2008: A reevaluation from GRACE space gravimetry, satellite Altimetry and Argo. Glob. Planet. Change 65(1–2), 83–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2008.10.004 (2009).
11. Llovel, W., Willis, J. K., Landerer, F. W. & Fukumori, I. Deep-ocean contribution to sea level and energy budget not detectable over the past decade. Nat. Clim. Change 11(4), 1031–1035. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2387 (2014).
12. Chambers, D. P. et al. Evaluation of the global mean sea level budget between 1993 and 2014. Surv. Geophys. 38, 309–327. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-016-9381-3 (2017).
13. Dieng, H. B., Cazenave, A., Meysignac, B. & Blain, M. New estimate of the current rate of sea level rise from a sea level budget approach. Geophys. Res. Lett. 44, 3774–3778. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL073308 (2017).
14. Chen, J. L. et al. Quantification of ocean mass change using gravity recovery and climate experiment, satellite altimeter, and GRACE floats observations. J. Geophys. Res.-Sol. Earth 123, 10212–10225. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JB016095 (2018).
15. Chen, J. Global sea level mass change from GRACE and GRACE follow-on and Altimeter and Argo measurements. Geophys. Res. Lett. 47, e2020GL090656. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL090656 (2020).
16. Chen, J., Tapley, B., Sea, K.-W., Wilson, C. & Ries, J. Improved quantification of global mean ocean mass change using GRAVITY's new released Tongji-Grace2018 Solution. Geophys. Res. Lett. 46, 13984–13991. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL085519 (2019).
17. Barnaud, A. et al. Contributions of Altimetry and Argo to non-closure of the global mean sea level budget since 2016. Geophys. Res. Lett. https://doi.org/10.1002/2021GL092824 (2021).
18. Wang, F., Shen, Y., Chen, Q. & Sun, Y. Reduced misclosure of global sea-level budget using new released Tongji-Grace2018 Solution. Sci. Rep. 11, 17667. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-96880-w (2021).
19. Blazquez, A. et al. Exploring the uncertainty in gravity estimates of the mass redistributions at the earth surface: Implications for the global water and sea level budgets. Geophys. J. Int. 215, 415–430. https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggy293 (2018).
20. Jeon, T., Sea, K.-W., Youn, K., Chen, J. L. & Wilson, C. R. Global sea level change signatures observed by GRACE satellite gravimetry measurements. Geophys. Res. Lett. 46, 13984–13991. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL085519 (2019).
21. Loomis, B. D., Rachlin, K. E. & Luthcke, S. Improved Earth oblateness rate reveals increased ice sheet losses and mass-driven sea level change. Geophys. Res. Lett. 46, 6795–6802. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL081540 (2019).
22. Flechtner, F. et al. What can be expected from the GRACE-FO laser ranging interferometer for earth science applications? Surv. Geophys. 37(2), 453–470. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-015-9338-y (2016).
23. Barnoud, A. & Argus, D. Comment on “an assessment of the ICE-6G_C(VM5a) glacial isostatic adjustment model” by Purcell et al.. Geophys. Res. Lett. 47, e2020GL090656. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL090656 (2020).
24. Commachio, A. et al. New estimate of the current rate of sea level rise from a sea level budget approach. Surv. Geophys. 41, 13519. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-018-9372-8 (2018).
25. Cheng, M. K. & Ries, J. R. Monthly Estimates of $C_m$ from 5 SLR Satellites Based on GRACE RL06 Models, GRACE Technical Note 11, The GRACE Project, Center for Space Research, University of Texas at Austin. https://podac-tools.jpl.nasa.gov/drive/files/allData/. Accessed 20 June 2021 (2018).
26. Leuilliette, E. W. & Miller, L. Closing the sea level budget with Altimetry, Argo, and GRACE. Geophys. Res. Lett. https://doi.org/10.1002/2019GL086101 (2019).
27. Kim, J. S., Sea, K.-W., Chen, J. & Wilson, C. R. Uncertainty in GRACE/GRACE-follow on global ocean mass change estimates due to mis-modeled glacial isostatic adjustment and geocenter motion. Sci. Rep. 12, 6617. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-10628-8 (2022).
28. Loomis, B. D., Rachlin, K. E. & Luthcke, S. Improved Earth oblateness rate reveals increased ice sheet losses and mass-driven sea level rise. Geophys. Res. Lett. 46, 6910–6917. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL084645 (2019).
29. Landerer, F. Monthly Estimates of Degree-1 (geocenter) Gravity Coefficients, Generated from GRACE (04-2002-06/2017) and GRACE-FO (06/2018-) RL06 Solutions, GRACE Technical Note 13, The GRACE Project, NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory; https://podac-tools.jpl.nasa.gov/drive/files/allData/. Accessed 20 June 2021 (2019).
30. Peltier, R., Argus, D. & Drummond, R. Comment on “an assessment of the ICE-6G_C(VM5a) glacial isostatic adjustment model” by Purcell et al. J. Geophys. Res.-Sol. Earth 123, 2019–2028. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JB013844 (2018).
31. Caron, L. et al. GLA model statistics for GRACE hydrology, cryosphere, and ocean science. Geophys. Res. Lett. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL076454 (2018).
32. Geruo, A., Wahr, J. & Zhong, S. Computations of the viscoelastic response of a 3-D compressible Earth to surface loading: An application to glacial isostatic adjustment in Antarctica and Canada. Geophys. J. Int. 192(2), 557–572. https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/gg0030 (2013).
33. Swenson, S. & Wahr, J. Post-processing removal of correlated errors in GRACE data. Geophys. Res. Lett. 33, L08402. https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL025285 (2006).
34. Chen, J., Wilson, C., Tapley, B. & Grand, S. GRACE detects Coseismic and Postseismic deformation from the Sumatra-Andaman earthquake. Geophys. Res. Lett. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL030556 (2007).
35. Chen, J. L., Tapley, B., Sea, K.-W., Wilson, C. & Ries, J. Improved quantification of ocean mass change using Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment, Satellite Altimeter, and GRACE floats observations. J. Geophys. Res.-Sol. Earth 123, 450–487. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JB011176 (2015).
36. Chen, J. L. et al. Applications and challenges of GRACE and GRACE follow-on satellite gravimetry. Surv. Geophys. 43, 305–345. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-021-09685-x (2022).
37. Chang, L. & Sun, W. Consistency analysis of GRACE and GRACE-FO data in the study of global mean sea level change. Geod. Geodyn. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geod.2021.11.005 (2021).

Acknowledgements
This work is funded by the National Science Foundation of China (41731069 and 41974002) and the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFA0603103).
Author contributions
F.W.: Methodology, Investigation, Validation, Writing-original draft. Y.S.: Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Writing-review & editing. Q.C.: Formal analysis, Writing-review & editing. J.G.: Formal analysis, Writing-review. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Competing interests
The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information
Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Y.S.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022