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Objectives This study investigates renal dysfunction in areas without known environmental cadmium pollution and calculates the threshold level of urinary cadmium.

Methods Urinary total protein, β2-microglobulin (β2-MG), and N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase (NAG), used as indicators of renal dysfunction, and urinary cadmium concentration, used as an indicator of cadmium exposure, were measured in two sets of 24-hour urine samples from each of 828 participants (410 men, 418 women), aged 40–59 years and living in three areas without any known environmental cadmium pollution. In multiple regression and logistic regression analyses the association between indicators of cadmium exposure and indicators of renal dysfunction were studied. The lower 95% confidence limit of the dose (benchmark dose) corresponding to a 5% (BMDL5) or 10% (BMDL10) level of each indicator of renal dysfunction above the background level was calculated as the threshold level of urinary cadmium.

Results With all the expressed units [g creatinine–1 and day–1] in the multiple regression analysis, the partial regression coefficients showed a significant association between urinary cadmium concentration and total protein, β2-MG, and NAG for both genders, except for total protein for women (g creatinine–1 and day–1). The same results were obtained for both genders in the logistic regression analysis. The BMDL10 was 0.6–1.2 µg/g creatinine and 0.8–1.6 µg/day for the men and 1.2–3.6 µg/g creatinine, and 0.5–4.7 µg/day for the women.

Conclusions Cadmium exposure and the levels of the indicators of renal dysfunction were associated among the men and women aged 40–59 years in areas without any known environmental cadmium pollution. The threshold level of urinary cadmium in Japan seems to be almost the same as in Belgium and Sweden.

Key terms renal effects.

It is well known that long-term exposure to cadmium (Cd) in the general environment causes renal dysfunction (1, 2). Over the past several years, we have demonstrated a dose-response relationship between indicators of health effects and the cadmium concentration in rice, lifetime cadmium intake as an indicator of external cadmium exposure, and the cadmium concentration in urine as an indicator of internal dose and have calculated threshold levels of cadmium exposure (3–12). As a result, we found that the tolerable levels of cadmium in rice in cadmium-polluted areas of the Kakehashi River basin in the Ishikawa Prefecture and the Jinzu River basin in the Toyama Prefecture were lower than 0.4 ppm, the level over which the Japanese government prohibits trading (3–5). We have reported that the tolerable level of lifetime cadmium intake for both genders in the Kakehashi River basin is about 2 grams (6–8), and less than 1.58 grams in the Jinzu River basin (12). These levels of lifetime cadmium intake in both areas were small, being equal to or less than twofold the
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quantity of cadmium intake in the general environment in Japan. For inhabitants of cadmium-polluted areas in the Kakehashi River basin, the threshold level of urinary cadmium calculated from our previous study was estimated to be 1.6–3.0 µg/g creatinine (cr) for men and 2.3–4.6 µg/g cr for women; these values were almost the same as the mean urinary cadmium concentration in the control group (11). In other words, it became clear that the margin between the threshold level of cadmium exposure and the levels of cadmium exposure in the control group was not big even when the calculation was done using different indicators of cadmium exposure. When these results are considered, it is possible that renal effects are induced by exposure to cadmium even in a so-called cadmium unpolluted area of the general environment in Japan. Thus we next investigated the association between the cadmium concentration in either blood or urine and indicators of renal dysfunction, including total protein, β2-microglobulin (β2-MG), and N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase (NAG) for a total of 2753 inhabitants >50 years of age (1105 men, 1648 women) in three areas not polluted by cadmium. As a result, we showed the presence of renal effects induced by cadmium exposure in the general environment without any known cadmium pollution (13–15). In contrast, Ikeda and his colleagues carried out a similar study, using blood and urine samples collected from 607 women who lived in areas of 30 survey sites in prefectures throughout Japan, but they could not find a clear dose-response relationship between cadmium exposure and indicators of renal effects (16). Therefore, in this study, we investigated the presence of renal effects induced by cadmium exposure using 24-hour urine samples of men and women aged 40–59 years in three areas different from those in the previous studies. Furthermore, the lower limit of a benchmark dose (BMDL = benchmark dose, low) was calculated from a benchmark dose (BMD) as the threshold level for urinary cadmium.

**Study population and methods**

**Study population**

The INTERMAP study (17), a basic epidemiologic investigation, was performed to clarify unanswered questions on the role of multiple dietary factors in the etiology of unfavorable blood pressure patterns that prevail in most middle-aged and older people. It is an international cooperative 17-sample population study of 4660 men and women aged 40–59 years, conducted in four countries (China, Japan, the United Kingdom, the United States). The 24-hour urine collections were obtained twice per participant in the study (18). We obtained the 24-urine specimens from three centers in Japan (Toyama, Shiga, and Wakayama) in 1997 and 1998. Participants were selected randomly from population lists and stratified by age and gender to give approximately equal numbers (65 persons) in each of two 10-year age and gender groups. The participation rate among the selected participants was 93% in Japan (17). The target group comprised 828 participants (410 men, 418 women, who collected urine samples both times). It consisted of 292 participants from Toyama (145 men, 147 women), 249 participants from Shiga (121 men, 128 women), and 287 participants from Wakayama (144 men, 143 women). No participant in the target population had occupational exposure to heavy metals. Smokers and nonsmokers were included among the participants examined since smoking habit did not significantly affect the relationship between cadmium exposure and renal effects in the previous study on the general environment in Japan (15).

**Collection of samples and analytical method**

In this study, we obtained two sets of 24-hour urine samples from each participant and decided to use the mean. The two values of excreted substances measured in the 24-hour urine samples obtained from the same participant agreed well [creatinine: r = 0.82, coefficient of variation (CV) = 31.2; protein: r = 0.87, CV = 143.5; β2-MG: r = 0.71, CV = 140.5; NAG: r = 0.54, CV = 102.5; Cd: r = 0.94, CV = 97.5]. Thus we thought that the excretion levels of substances in the 24-hour urine samples were stable. The 24-hour urine samples consisted of samples collected every 4 hours as one unit and put into six polyethylene containers. Boric acid was added to the polyethylene containers beforehand as an antiseptic. The participants recorded the beginning and ending of the sampling time, and we corrected it precisely to 24 hours. At the end of the sampling, the participants were asked to describe the conditions of the urine sampling by selecting one of the following: (i) spilled several drops from the container, (ii) spilled more than several drops, (iii) saved all the urine. The urine samples from which more than several drops were spilled were excluded from this analysis. The total urine specimens were mixed well and put into polyethylene bottles. Thereafter the polyethylene bottles were kept frozen at −20°C until the analysis. The containers and polyethylene bottles were checked for cadmium, and cadmium was not detected. All of the urinary samples were >pH 5.5 since boric acid was added to the polyethylene container beforehand. The urinary indicators of renal dysfunction were the same as those used in previous studies (ie, total protein, β2-MG, and NAG) (13–15). Total protein was analyzed using a kit (Tonein-TP, Otsuka Pharmacy, Japan), β2-MG was measured for all specimens by radioimmunoassay (Pharmacia β2-micro RIA, Pharmacia Diag-
The urinary cadmium concentration was measured by graphite-furnace atomic absorption spectrometry using a Hitachi Model Z-8100 (19). Creatinine was determined by the Jaffe reaction method (20). The detection limits were 1 mg/l for protein, 1 µg/l for β₂-MG, 0.05 U/l for NAG, 0.05 µg/l for cadmium, and 0.005 g/l for creatinine. The degree of imprecision of each analysis was estimated from duplicate measurements. The correlation coefficients among the double samples were 0.98–1.00 and the slopes of all the correlation equations were about 1.0. The accuracy of the measurements was checked using control urine or serum (observed value/the standard one = 96–102).

**Statistical analysis**

The values were expressed in the following two ways: corrected creatinine unit (g cr⁻¹) and 24-hour excretion (day⁻¹). In the multiple regression model, total protein, β₂-MG, and NAG, as indicators of renal dysfunction, were used as criterion variables, age and urinary cadmium excretion (an indicator of cadmium exposure) were the explanatory variables, and the analysis was performed for the men and women separately. In the logistic model, total protein, β₂-MG, and NAG were employed as criterion variables, age and urinary cadmium excretion were the explanatory variables (continuous variables), and the analysis was performed for the men and women separately. The cut-off values for the criterion variables were defined as the 84% upper limit values (geometric mean × geometric standard deviation), which were calculated from the values of the target population on the assumption of a log-normal distribution. The cut-off values of each substance are shown in table 1 for each expressed unit and gender. Urinary cadmium excretion was divided into four to six subgroups by each expressed unit, and the prevalence of each urinary substance in each subgroup was calculated using the 84% upper limit value of this group.

Then we calculated the BMD and the BMDL. BMD is the cadmium excretion level in urine that can be expected to yield an excess prevalence of abnormal levels of the substance used as an indicator for renal dysfunction of 0.10 or 0.05, respectively. BMDL is the cadmium excretion of the lower limit in urine of the 95% confidence intervals of the BMD (21, 22). The analysis of the regression and curve estimation was performed with Benchmark Dose Software (version 3.1.1) available from the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The BMD and BMDL values were calculated by a quantal linear model when a distribution of the prevalence of abnormal levels of the substance used as the indicator for renal dysfunction fit their model. A significant difference was found between the expected values and the observed ones in the case of a quantal linear model for NAG (day⁻¹) for the women (P=0.03). Thus a log-logistic model was instead fit to the NAG (day⁻¹) for the women.

**Results**

The number of participants examined, the median, and the 5% and 95% values for age, the volume of urine, and the excretion of creatinine, cadmium, total protein, β₂-MG, and NAG are shown in table 2 according to the area of residence and gender.

**Table 2. Urinalysis by area. (cr = creatinine, M = median, 5 = 5% percentile, 95 = 95% percentile, TP = Toyama prefecture, SP = Shiga prefecture, WP = Wakayama prefecture)**

| Gender N | Age (years) | Volume (l) | Creatinine (g/day) | Cadmium (µg/g cr) | Protein (µg/g cr) | β₂-MG (µg/g cr) | N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase (U/g cr) |
|----------|-------------|------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|
|          | M 5 95      | M 5 95     | M 5 95             | M 5 95           | M 5 95           | M 5 95         | M 5 95                              |
| Men      |                  |            |                    |                  |                  |                |                                    |
| TP       | 145          | 50 42 57   | 1.486 0.971        | 2.269 1.42 1.03  | 1.81 2.0 0.7     | 4.5 2.8 0.9   | 6.8 45 25 142 62 38 161 155        |
| SP       | 121          | 49 51 58   | 1.637 0.905        | 2.532 1.39 0.97  | 1.68 0.8 0.2     | 2.7 1.2 0.3   | 3.5 33 19 86 44 21 125 64          |
| WP       | 144.95       | 49 51 59   | 1.426 0.818        | 2.246 1.63 1.25  | 2.36 0.4 1.0     | 1.7 0.1 1.6   | 3.6 22 89 61 33 132 108 38        |
| Total    | 410          | 49 51 58   | 1.499 0.885        | 2.410 1.47 1.03  | 2.09 0.8 0.2     | 3.8 1.3 2.4   | 4.8 39 20 97 57 28 146 110        |
| Women    |                  |            |                    |                  |                  |                |                                    |
| TP       | 147          | 49 51 57   | 1.303 0.840        | 2.051 0.93 0.698 | 1.20 4.2 1.9     | 10.1 3.9 1.7   | 9.7 53 36 115 48 33 107 200        |
| SP       | 128          | 49 51 59   | 1.556 0.795        | 2.426 0.87 0.667 | 1.17 1.7 0.6     | 3.6 1.5 0.6   | 3.4 45 15 88 39 38 17 95 47        |
| WP       | 143          | 49 51 57   | 1.112 0.650        | 1.958 1.03 0.77  | 1.31 0.8 0.3     | 1.8 0.9 1.9   | 4.5 29 66 46 25 82 155 63         |
| Total    | 418          | 49 51 58   | 1.316 0.715        | 2.205 0.95 0.698 | 1.24 1.8 0.4     | 2.1 1.6 0.4   | 7.3 27 42 99 45 21 95 156         |
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Table 3. Median, 5% percentile (5%) and 95% percentile (95%) of protein, \( \beta_2 \)-microglobulin (\( \beta_2 \)-MG), and N-acetyl-\( \beta \)-D-glucosaminidase (NAG) in urine by gender and urinary cadmium levels. (\( N = \) number of subjects examined)

| Cadmium level | Protein (mg/g cr) | \( \beta_2 \)-MG (\( \mu \)g/g cr) | NAG (U/g cr) |
|---------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
|               | \( \text{N Median} \) | \( \text{5% 95%} \) | \( \text{N Median} \) | \( \text{5% 95%} \) | \( \text{N Median} \) | \( \text{5% 95%} \) |
| \( \leq 0.9 \mu g/g cr \) | | | | | | |
| Men | 159 | 54 | 26 | 105 | 159 | 51 | 344 | 159 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 3.2 |
| Women | 123 | 45 | 18 | 84 | 123 | 38 | 179 | 123 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 2.9 |
| \( 1.0–1.9 \mu g/g cr \) | | | | | | | |
| Men | 122 | 56 | 26 | 125 | 122 | 47 | 365 | 122 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 4.4 |
| Women | 123 | 43 | 17 | 68 | 123 | 45 | 242 | 123 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 2.4 |
| \( 2.0–2.9 \mu g/g cr \) | | | | | | | |
| Men | 52 | 63 | 33 | 132 | 52 | 80 | 578 | 52 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 6.0 |
| Women | 62 | 46 | 21 | 70 | 62 | 47 | 305 | 62 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 3.8 |
| \( 3.0–3.9 \mu g/g cr \) | | | | | | | |
| Men | 36 | 60 | 39 | 146 | 36 | 61 | 378 | 36 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 5.3 |
| Women | 37 | 47 | 28 | 72 | 37 | 57 | 374 | 37 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 3.5 |
| \( 4.0–4.9 \mu g/g cr \) | | | | | | | |
| Men | 24 | 67 | 29 | 255 | 24 | 23 | 617 | 24 | 4.1 | 0.7 | 8.1 |
| Women | 29 | 48 | 18 | 64 | 29 | 81 | 267 | 29 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 2.8 |
| \( 5.0–5.9 \mu g/g cr \) | | | | | | | |
| Men | 7 | 70 | 37 | 74 | 7 | 318 | 312 | 7 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 5.8 |
| Women | 15 | 46 | 26 | 70 | 15 | 92 | 319 | 15 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 2.8 |
| \( \geq 6.0 \mu g/g cr \) | | | | | | | |
| Men | 10 | 76 | 41 | 114 | 10 | 134 | 1865 | 10 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 4.4 |
| Women | 29 | 52 | 21 | 107 | 29 | 58 | 540 | 29 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 5.1 |

Total

| Protein (mg/g cr) | \( \beta_2 \)-MG (\( \mu \)g/g cr) | NAG (U/g cr) |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| \( \text{N Median} \) | \( \text{5% 95%} \) | \( \text{N Median} \) | \( \text{5% 95%} \) | \( \text{N Median} \) | \( \text{5% 95%} \) |
| Men | 410 | 39 | 20 | 97 | 410 | 36 | 358 | 410 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 4.2 |
| Women | 418 | 47 | 23 | 94 | 418 | 56 | 374 | 418 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 3.5 |

Table 4. Median, 5% and 95% percentile of protein, \( \beta_2 \)-microglobulin (\( \beta_2 \)-MG), and N-acetyl-\( \beta \)-D-glucosaminidase (NAG) in urine by gender and urinary cadmium levels.

| Cadmium level | Protein (mg/day) | \( \beta_2 \)-MG (\( \mu \)g/day) | NAG (U/day) |
|---------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| \( \leq 0.9 \mu g/day \) | | | | |
| Men | 159 | 54 | 26 | 105 | 159 | 51 | 344 | 159 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 3.2 |
| Women | 123 | 45 | 18 | 84 | 123 | 38 | 179 | 123 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 2.9 |
| \( 1.0–1.9 \mu g/day \) | | | | | | | |
| Men | 122 | 56 | 26 | 125 | 122 | 47 | 365 | 122 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 4.4 |
| Women | 123 | 43 | 17 | 68 | 123 | 45 | 242 | 123 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 2.4 |
| \( 2.0–2.9 \mu g/day \) | | | | | | | |
| Men | 52 | 63 | 33 | 132 | 52 | 80 | 578 | 52 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 6.0 |
| Women | 62 | 46 | 21 | 70 | 62 | 47 | 305 | 62 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 3.8 |
| \( 3.0–3.9 \mu g/day \) | | | | | | | |
| Men | 36 | 60 | 39 | 146 | 36 | 61 | 378 | 36 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 5.3 |
| Women | 37 | 47 | 28 | 72 | 37 | 57 | 374 | 37 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 3.5 |
| \( 4.0–4.9 \mu g/day \) | | | | | | | |
| Men | 24 | 67 | 29 | 255 | 24 | 23 | 617 | 24 | 4.1 | 0.7 | 8.1 |
| Women | 29 | 48 | 18 | 64 | 29 | 81 | 267 | 29 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 2.8 |
| \( 5.0–5.9 \mu g/day \) | | | | | | | |
| Men | 7 | 70 | 37 | 74 | 7 | 318 | 312 | 7 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 5.8 |
| Women | 15 | 46 | 26 | 70 | 15 | 92 | 319 | 15 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 2.8 |
| \( \geq 6.0 \mu g/day \) | | | | | | | |
| Men | 10 | 76 | 41 | 114 | 10 | 134 | 1865 | 10 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 4.4 |
| Women | 29 | 52 | 21 | 107 | 29 | 58 | 540 | 29 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 5.1 |

Total

| Protein (mg/day) | \( \beta_2 \)-MG (\( \mu \)g/day) | NAG (U/day) |
|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| \( \text{N Median} \) | \( \text{5% 95%} \) | \( \text{N Median} \) | \( \text{5% 95%} \) | \( \text{N Median} \) | \( \text{5% 95%} \) |
| Men | 410 | 57 | 28 | 146 | 410 | 53 | 532 | 410 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 5.6 |
| Women | 418 | 45 | 21 | 94 | 418 | 52 | 374 | 418 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 3.5 |
The number of participants examined, the median, and the 5% and 95% values for total protein, β<sub>2</sub>-MG, and NAG are shown in table 3 (see p 310) (corrected creatinine unit) and table 4 (see p 310) (24-hour excretion) according to gender and urinary cadmium concentration.

Multiple regression analysis

The results of the multiple regression analysis are shown in table 5. All of the partial regression coefficients showed a significant association between the urinary cadmium excretion and the total protein, β<sub>2</sub>-MG, and NAG concentrations, except for the total protein concentration of the women (corrected creatinine unit and 24-h excretion). For the partial regression coefficients, only a corrected unit of NAG for the women was significant with respect to age. All the multiple correlation coefficients were significant, except for the total protein (corrected creatinine unit and 24-h excretion) concentration of the women. The highest multiple correlation coefficients for NAG were 0.44 for the men and 0.47 for the women as corrected for creatinine.

Logistic regression analysis

The results calculated from the logistic regression model are shown in table 6. The odds ratios for the urinary cadmium concentration were significantly higher than 1 for

---

**Table 5.** Criterion variables, explanatory variables, partial regression coefficients [95% confidence intervals (95% CI)], and multiple correlation coefficients in the multiple regression analysis of the results from 410 male and 418 female participants. (β<sub>2</sub>-MG = β<sub>2</sub>-microglobulin, NAG = N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase, U-Cd = urinary cadmium)

| Criterion variable | Partial regression coefficient | 95% CI | Multiple correlation coefficient | P-value |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|---------|
| **Men (N=410)**    |                               |       |                                 |         |
| Protein [expressed unit: g creatinine<sup>-1</sup>] |                               |       |                                 |         |
| Age                | 0.90                          | -0.78–2.6 | 0.17                             | P<0.001 |
| U-Cd               | 14                            | 6.0–21  |                                 |         |
| β<sub>2</sub>-MG   | 0.83                          | -3.1–4.8 | 0.22                             | P<0.001 |
| U-Cd               | 42                            | 24–59  |                                 |         |
| NAG                | 0.013                         | -0.011–0.036 | 0.44                             | P<0.001 |
| Age                | 0.51                          | 0.40–0.61 |                                 |         |
| U-Cd               | 0.71                          | -1.5–2.9 | 0.10                             | P<0.02  |
| β<sub>2</sub>-MG   | -0.25                         | -6.4–5.9 | 0.17                             | P<0.001 |
| U-Cd               | 9.3                           | 22–17  |                                 |         |
| NAG                | 0.093                         | -0.023–0.042 | 0.39                             | P<0.001 |
| Age                | 0.46                          | 0.35–0.56 |                                 |         |
| U-Cd               |                               |       |                                 |         |
| **Women (N=418)**  |                               |       |                                 |         |
| Protein [expressed unit: g creatinine<sup>-1</sup>] |                               |       |                                 |         |
| Age                | 0.26                          | -0.73–1.3 | 0.00                             | P=0.23  |
| U-Cd               | 1.5                           | -0.51–3.4 |                                 |         |
| β<sub>2</sub>-MG   | -1.7                          | -4.6–1.3 | 0.38                             | P<0.001 |
| U-Cd               | 25                            | 19–31  |                                 |         |
| NAG                | 0.018                         | 0.000–0.035 | 0.47                             | P<0.001 |
| Age                | 0.18                          | 0.15–0.22 |                                 |         |
| U-Cd               |                               |       |                                 |         |
| Protein [expressed unit: day<sup>-1</sup>] |                               |       |                                 |         |
| Age                | -0.069                        | -0.98–0.85 | 0.00                             | P=0.30  |
| U-Cd               | 0.96                          | -1.2–3.1 |                                 |         |
| β<sub>2</sub>-MG   | -2.2                          | -4.9–0.48 | 0.27                             | P<0.001 |
| U-Cd               | 19                            | 13–26  |                                 |         |
| NAG                | 0.011                         | -0.0070–0.028 | 0.38                             | P<0.001 |
| Age                | 0.17                          | 0.13–0.21 |                                 |         |

---

**Table 6.** Criterion variables, explanatory variables, and odds ratios [95% confidence intervals (95% CI)] in the logistic regression analysis of the results from the 410 male and 418 female participants. (U-Cd = urinary cadmium)

| Criterion variable | Odds ratio | 95% CI |
|--------------------|------------|-------|
| **Men**            |            |       |
| Protein [expressed unit: g creatinine<sup>-1</sup>] | 1.45        | 1.15–1.81 |
| Age                | 1.04        | 0.98–1.11 |
| U-Cd               | 1.71        | 1.38–2.14 |
| β<sub>2</sub>-MG [expressed unit: g creatinine<sup>-1</sup>] | 2.08        | 1.65–2.62 |
| Age                | 0.99        | 0.94–1.05 |
| U-Cd               | 2.08        | 1.65–2.62 |
| Protein [expressed unit: day<sup>-1</sup>] | 1.31        | 1.11–1.54 |
| Age                | 1.01        | 0.95–1.07 |
| U-Cd               | 1.38        | 1.18–1.82 |
| NAG [expressed unit: day<sup>-1</sup>] | 1.60        | 1.36–1.89 |
| **Women**          |            |       |
| Protein [expressed unit: g creatinine<sup>-1</sup>] | 1.16        | 1.05–1.29 |
| Age                | 1.01        | 0.93–1.08 |
| U-Cd               | 1.35        | 1.22–1.50 |
| β<sub>2</sub>-MG [expressed unit: g creatinine<sup>-1</sup>] | 1.25        | 1.13–1.37 |
| Age                | 1.04        | 0.98–1.10 |
| U-Cd               | 1.25        | 1.13–1.37 |
| NAG [expressed unit: day<sup>-1</sup>] | 1.18        | 1.04–1.34 |
| **Logistic regression analysis** |            |       |

*Explanatory variables were used as continuous variables.
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The total protein, $\beta_2$-MG, and NAG concentrations for all the units for both genders. An odds ratio of 1.45 for the men in association with the urinary cadmium (corrected creatinine), as reported in table 6, implies that the prevalence odds ratio for the abnormal protein level can be expected to increase by a factor 1.45 for each unit of urinary cadmium above zero. The odds ratios for age were not significant for either gender.

Benchmark dose value

The results of the BMD and BMDL calculated from the quantal linear model (setting an abnormal value above background at 10% or 5%) are shown in table 7. In addition, the analytical result from the log-logistic model was added, because the abnormal rate distribution of NAG (24-hour excretion) for the women did not fit the quantal linear model. The BMDL$_{10}$ (setting an abnormal value above background at 10%) of the urinary cadmium concentration for the total protein was 1.2 µg/g cr.

Table 7. Benchmark dose low (BMDL) estimates of urinary cadmium for proteinuria, $\beta_2$-MG-uria, and NAG-uria using quantal linear model. $^a$ (BMD = benchmark dose, $\beta_2$-MG = $\beta_2$-microglobulin, NAG = N-acetyl-$\beta$-D-glucosaminidase, cr = creatinine)

| Gender | Background | Slope | P-value | BMDL$_{10}$ | BMD$_{50}$ | BMD$_{10}$ | BMDL$_{5}$ |
|--------|------------|-------|---------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Men    | Protein    | g cr$^{-1}$ | 0.034 | 0.059 | 0.77 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
|        | day$^{-1}$ | 0.044 | 0.041 | 0.73 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
|        | $\beta_2$-MG | g cr$^{-1}$ | 0.015 | 0.107 | 0.53 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
|        | day$^{-1}$ | 0.024 | 0.067 | 0.50 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| NAG    | g cr$^{-1}$ | 0.000 | 0.150 | 0.23 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
|        | day$^{-1}$ | 0.000 | 0.104 | 0.42 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Women  | Protein    | g cr$^{-1}$ | 0.036 | 0.016 | 0.41 | 6.6 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 1.8 |
|        | day$^{-1}$ | 0.053 | 0.011 | 0.07 | 10.3 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 2.3 |
|        | $\beta_2$-MG | g cr$^{-1}$ | 0.015 | 0.059 | 0.23 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
|        | day$^{-1}$ | 0.049 | 0.047 | 0.06 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 0.8 |
| NAG    | g cr$^{-1}$ | 0.011 | 0.068 | 0.37 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
|        | day$^{-1}$ | 0.000 | 0.070 | 0.07 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 |

$a$ Quantal linear model: $P_{\text{response}} = \text{background} \times [1 - \exp(-\text{slope} \times \text{dose})]$

$b$ BMD$_{50}$ is the cadmium excretion level in urine which can be expected to yield an excess prevalence of abnormal levels of the substance used as an indication for renal dysfunction of 0.10.

$c$ BMDL$_{10}$ is the lower 95% confidence limit of BMD$_{10}$.

$d$ BMDL$_{5}$ is the lower 95% confidence limit of BMD$_{5}$.

$e$ A log-logistic model was used. The intercept value is -2.12. log-logistic model: $P_{\text{response}} = \text{background} \times [1 - \exp(-\text{intercept} - \text{slope} \times \log(\text{dose}))].$

Discussion

It is well known that cadmium contamination from an upstream mine in the Jinzu River basin of the Toyama Prefecture led to the development of Itai-itai disease, representing the most severe stage of chronic cadmium intoxication in many of the inhabitants of this region since World War II (1). In this study 24-hour urine samples were collected from the Kurobe region of the Toyama Prefecture. Because another water system (non-Jinzu River water system) has been used in this region, this area is thought to be an area without any known environmental cadmium pollution. The geometric means of the cadmium concentrations in urine were 1.9 µg/g cr for men and 4.3 µg/g cr for women. Although the values in the Toyama Prefecture were higher than the values in the Shiga and Wakayama prefectures, the values were at almost the same levels as those of the previous study in the Ishikawa Prefecture (ie, 2.1 µg/g cr for men and 4.0 µg/g cr for women) (14).

In studies of health effects caused by exposure to cadmium, the urinary cadmium concentration is often used as an indicator of internal dose. Reports on cadmium levels of workers and animals have shown a close relationship between urinary cadmium excretion and the total body burden of cadmium (23–27). We found that urinary cadmium increased with the increasing lifetime cadmium intake among inhabitants of the general environment (10). Therefore, we consider urinary cadmium to be useful as an indicator of cadmium exposure in the general environment. Shimbo and his colleagues collected spot urine and peripheral blood samples, together with 24-hour duplicates of food intake from 607 non-smoking adult women in 30 general survey sites in seven administrative regions throughout Japan between 1991 and 1998 (28). The cadmium concentration in urine, blood, and food duplicates were analyzed. The researchers found that the blood cadmium level correlated closely with the urinary cadmium level, and both the blood cadmium and urinary cadmium levels correlated.
with the cadmium content of food on an individual basis (N=607), on a survey site basis (N=30), and on a regional basis (N=7). They concluded that the urinary cadmium level can be employed as a biomarker of environmental cadmium exposure; that is, also for inhabitants, the urinary cadmium concentration is useful as an indicator of cadmium exposure.

In our previous studies, we used total protein, β2-MG, and NAG in urine as indicators of renal dysfunction (13–15). The urinary concentration of total protein is considered to reflect glomerular and tubular dysfunction as a result of cadmium exposure, and urinary β2-MG and NAG are considered to reflect renal tubular dysfunction. Taylor and his co-workers also showed that these three parameters were useful as indicators of renal dysfunction in an investigation of renal effects caused by exposure to cadmium (29). Thus we used these three parameters as indicators of renal dysfunction in this study.

Our previous studies, which investigated the renal effects of cadmium exposure in areas without any known cadmium pollution, showed a dose–response relationship between cadmium concentration in blood or urine as an indicator of internal dose and indicators of renal dysfunction (total protein, β2-MG, and NAG in urine) and suggested the existence of renal effects (13–15).

Buchet et al carried out a similar study in Belgium to assess whether environmental exposure to cadmium is associated with renal dysfunction (30). They collected 1699 urine samples from four areas of Belgium with varying degrees of cadmium pollution and found significant associations between cadmium excretion and five variables [urinary excretion of retinol binding protein (RBP), NAG, β2-MG, amino acids, and calcium] in agreement with our results.

Ikeda and his co-workers, on the other hand, reported that there was no apparent association between cadmium exposure and an elevation in the urinary low-molecular-weight protein levels of Japanese women living in areas without any known environmental heavy metal pollution (16). The blood and urine samples collected from 607 women were the same samples that had been used in Shimbo’s study. The external cadmium dose was evaluated in terms of daily cadmium intake via food, whereas the cadmium levels in blood and urine were taken as internal dose indicators. In contrast to our results, they concluded that they could not find significant dose-dependent changes in the indicators of renal dysfunction [β2-MG, RBP, and α1-microglobulin (α1-MG)] using a simple regression analysis or a multiple regression analysis. However, when their multiple linear regression analysis for all 607 participants is examined in detail, the partial regression with the coefficients of the blood cadmium and urinary cadmium concentrations as explanatory variables and the α1-MG, β2-MG, and RBP as the criterion variables (corrected creatinine) were all significant except for the blood cadmium concentration with respect to RBP. In order to suppress the influence of age to a minimum, the multiple linear regression analysis was carried out for the 367 inhabitants between the ages of 41 and 60 years; it yielded the same results. Furthermore, the use of an analysis of variance in dividing the results into three groups according to the cadmium content of food showed that the blood cadmium and urinary cadmium levels increased as the cadmium content of food increased, and the concentrations of α1-MG and β2-MG as indicators of renal dysfunction also increased. They concluded that a clear dose–response relationship could not be obtained because, when three kinds of cut-off values (low, middle, and high) for low-molecular-weight protein were used, the prevalences of α1-MG-uria and β2-MG-uria increased as the cadmium content of food, blood, and urine increased only when the same low cut-off value was used as in our previous studies (13–15), but not when the middle and high cut-off values were used. However, it may not have been appropriate to use the middle or high cut-off value in studying the existence of renal dysfunction with respect to the general environment because only a few people were positive for β2-MG (2 and 4 participants of the 367 participants when divided according to the middle and high cut-off values, respectively). However, when the 95% upper limits of the controls were used to examine the dose–response relationship among the controls, the number of participants with positive findings was small. In our study, the number of male and female participants with values over the 95% upper limit was 20 and 21, respectively, and it was difficult to find a dose–response relationship. Thus we used the 84% upper limit values (65 male and 67 female participants) when we investigated the dose–response relationship in our study.

Thus, although the conclusion of Ikeda and his colleagues differed from ours with respect to the influence of cadmium exposure in the environment, it is meaningful that the significant relationship between cadmium in urine or blood and indicators of renal dysfunction was found either in our previous studies (13–15) or in the study of Ikeda et al (16). It should be mentioned that the urinary cadmium levels were similar in these studies since the studies were carried out on inhabitants living in the general environment. There is an opinion in Japan that the significant relationship between cadmium in urine and indicators of renal dysfunction is found because the excretory mechanism for both is the same or similar. In other words, although there seems to be a dose–response relationship, it does not reflect renal dysfunction by cadmium exposure; that is to say, it is only to observe the phenomenon that those
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who excrete a lot of cadmium are excreting many indicators of renal dysfunction. However, it should be emphasized that there was also a dose–response relationship between cadmium in blood, which is independent of the excretory mechanism, and indicators of renal dysfunction (15).

Buchet et al reported that the critical concentration level of cadmium in urine was estimated to be 2–4 μg/day with a 10% prevalence of values being abnormal, using β2-MG and NAG as indicators of renal dysfunction in the aforementioned study (30). In our study, the BMDL10 of β2-MG was 1.1 μg/day for the men and 1.6 μg/day for the women; in the case of using the corrected creatinine value, it was 0.7 μg/g cr for the men and 1.3 μg/g cr for the women. The quantal linear model has generally been used to calculate BMD, and this model gave a good fit with the present data. Therefore, the quantal linear model was used to estimate the threshold level of urinary cadmium in this study. Hayano and his colleagues reported that the critical cadmium concentration that corresponded to the prevalence rate of β2-MG-uria for the controls in the obtained logistic regression analysis was 1.6–3.0 μg/g cr for the men and 2.3–4.6 μg/g cr for the women when β2-MG was used as an indicator of renal dysfunction for the inhabitants of the cadmium-polluted Kakehashi River basin (the cut-off value was 1000 μg/g cr) (11). On the other hand, Järup and his colleagues reported that a dose–response relationship was found between urinary cadmium and α1-MG among 1021 Swedes exposed to cadmium either occupationally or environmentally, with the use of the upper 95% limit as a cut-off value, and the odds ratio was 6.0 (31). Furthermore, they calculated that an increased tubular proteinuria of 10% in a 53-year-old participant (the mean age of the reference group) occurs at a urinary cadmium concentration of 1 μg/g cr. In our study, using β2-MG as an indicator of renal tubular dysfunction, the threshold level of urinary cadmium for a 53-year-old participant was calculated to be 0.5 μg/g cr for men and 1.6 μg/g cr for women when the same procedure as Järup’s was used. It is thought that further investigation is necessary to determine whether it is appropriate to adopt the BMDL value in the calculation of the critical concentration level of cadmium exposure in the environment. In a summary of our aforementioned studies, the threshold level of urinary cadmium in Japan was inferred to be almost the same as in Belgium and Sweden.

The significance of the slight kidney effect observed in our study is not yet clear. However, it should be pointed out that even a slightly increased urinary excretion of β2-MG (300 μg/g cr) is associated with increased mortality (32). The cut-off values 233 μg/g cr for men and 274 μg/g cr for women were used for β2-MG in the analyses in our study; these values do not differ much from the 300 μg/g used in the previous study. From this point of view, the existence of a dose–response relationship for cadmium exposure in the general environment is thought to be important and indicates an urgent need for another study evaluating the significance of this relationship, especially with respect to mortality in the general population.
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