Expression Profiling of Castanea Genes during Resistant and Susceptible Interactions with the Oomycete Pathogen Phytophthora cinnamomi Reveal Possible Mechanisms of Immunity
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The most dangerous pathogen affecting the production of chestnuts is Phytophthora cinnamomi a hemibiotrophic that causes root rot, also known as ink disease. Little information has been acquired in chestnut on the molecular defense strategies against this pathogen. The expression of eight candidate genes potentially involved in the defense to P. cinnamomi was quantified by digital PCR in Castanea genotypes showing different susceptibility to the pathogen. Seven of the eight candidate genes displayed differentially expressed levels depending on genotype and time-point after inoculation. Cast_Gnk2-like revealed to be the most expressed gene across all experiments and the one that best discriminates between susceptible and resistant genotypes. Our data suggest that the pre-formed defenses are crucial for the resistance of Castanea crenata to P. cinnamomi. A lower and delayed expression of the eight studied genes was found in the susceptible Castanea sativa, which may be related with the establishment and spread of the disease in this species. A working model integrating the obtained results is presented.
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INTRODUCTION

The European chestnut tree (Castanea sativa Mill.), also known as sweet chestnut, is a species of flowering tree of the Fagaceae family, native to Europe and Asia Minor and widely cultivated throughout the temperate world. In the Mediterranean region, the European chestnut has a significant economic role mainly because of the high quality of its nuts, which production is about 117,207 tons per year (FAOSTAT, 2016, faostat.fao.org).

The ubiquitous hemibiotrophic oomycete Phytophthora cinnamomi is the most severe pathogen affecting European chestnut, causing root rot and death, resulting in large losses in chestnut production. In Portugal, there was a decrease of 27.3% in the distribution area of chestnut between 2002 and 2004, due to P. cinnamomi infections (Martins et al., 2007). P. cinnamomi has an exceptionally wide host range, being able to destroy thousands of plant species worldwide and...
causing devastating impacts in natural ecosystems, agriculture, horticulture, forestry and in the nursery industry (Hardham, 2005; Cahill et al., 2008; Robin et al., 2012; Kamoun et al., 2014). Among chestnuts, the Japanese chestnut (*Castanea crenata* Sieb. et Zucc) and the Chinese chestnut (*Castanea mollissima* Bl.) show resistance to *P. cinnamomi* (Crandall et al., 1945). Therefore, these East Asian species have been used in chestnut breeding programs as donors of resistance to root rot in Europe since the last century.

Plants developed diverse constitutive and inducible defense mechanisms against pathogens. Three different defense layers have been recognized (Freeman and Beattie, 2008). In the first layer, pre-existing mechanisms comprise the first line of immune defense and include physic-chemical barriers, such as waxy cuticular layers, cell wall and antimicrobial compound (Doughari, 2015). The second and third layers are inducible: the PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI) layer that relies on the recognition of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) by pattern recognition receptor (PRR), activating early resistance responses, such as transcriptional reprogramming; and the effector-triggered immunity (ETI) layer, which is elicited by pathogen effectors and activates host resistance genes that usually results in hypersensitive response (HR) mediated by salicylic acid (SA) signaling (Jones and Dangl, 2006; Zhang et al., 2013; Cui et al., 2015).

Plant defense mechanisms against *Phytophthora* have been studied in different species at the histological, physiological, biochemical, and molecular levels (recently reviewed in Fawke et al., 2015 and Oßwald et al., 2014). These authors report that all three layers of defense against *Phytophthora* are active in the hosts. In particular, authors mention as part of defense mechanisms the presence of genes involved in oxidative stress (e.g., peroxidases), SA-responsive genes (mainly pathogenesis related proteins), resistance genes involved in effectors recognition (TIR-NBS-LRR) and membrane associated transcription factors (NAC family). In Fagaceae, *P. cinnamomi-Quercus suber* interactions have been studied at the transcriptomic level, and a hypothetical molecular mechanism model has been proposed where only ETI is described (Coelho et al., 2011).

Ten years ago, a breeding program was initiated in Portugal to introgress resistance genes of Asian species (*C. mollissima* and *C. crenata*) into *C. sativa*, by controlled crosses (Costa et al., 2011). Nevertheless, the knowledge about the molecular mechanism driving chestnut resistance to the ink disease, caused by *P. cinnamomi* is still scarce. To overcome such limitation, a study has been conducted to identify candidate genes differentially expressed in roots of the susceptible species, *C. sativa*, and the resistant one, *C. crenata*, observed after *P. cinnamomi* inoculation (Serrazina et al., 2015). In this work pools of RNA from 2, 4, and 7 days of inoculated and non-inoculated roots of the two species were sequenced using a Roche 454 platform. Upon infection, Japanese chestnut up regulated twice the number of differentially expressed genes when compared with the susceptible European chestnut. Differential expression analysis revealed that in *C. crenata* genes related to response to biotic stresses were more expressed than in *C. sativa*. After *P. cinnamomi* inoculation, the differential expressed genes identified between both species were involved in recognition of pathogen attack, regulation of plant immune response, stress adaptation and recovery. While this approach constituted a valuable contribution to the *Castanea* genomic resources, more precise studies are required to validate the candidate genes identified and to understand the molecular mechanisms of resistance to *P. cinnamomi* in the *Castanea* genus.

The aim of this study is to evaluate the early expression of candidate resistance genes to *P. cinnamomi* infection (0, 24, and 48 h) in *C. sativa* and a *C. crenata*, as well as in four hybrids (three *C. sativa* × *C. crenata* genotypes and a *C. sativa* × *C. mollissima*) with different responses to *P. cinnamomi*, produced by the Portuguese chestnut breeding program and to add to the understanding of the molecular mechanisms of resistance to this pathogen in the *Castanea* genus.

Among the different methods available to quantify gene expression in plants, digital PCR (dPCR) is emerging as an absolute quantification method with high precision, sensitivity and specificity (Majumdar et al., 2015). This new technology has been mainly used for biomedicine research (Kinza et al., 2015; Salvi et al., 2015; Sefrioui et al., 2015; Stabley et al., 2015). However, some studies in plant science using dPCR have also been recently released (Bahder et al., 2016; Ge et al., 2016; Kadam et al., 2016; Stevanato and Biscarini, 2016).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Plant Material and *P. cinnamomi* Inoculation

Six chestnut genotypes showing different levels of resistance after inoculation with the pathogen were used in this work. In Table 1 a characterization of the resistance levels of each genotype is provided. *C. crenata* (resistant) and *C. sativa* (susceptible) genotypes were provided by TRAGSA nursery (Grupo TRAGSA-SEPI, Maceda, Spain) and correspond to the genotypes used by Serrazina et al. (2015) for root transcriptomes sequencing. Four hybrid genotypes with different responses to *P. cinnamomi* were selected from the on-going chestnut breeding program (Santos et al., 2015): three *C. sativa* × *C. crenata* hybrids (SC55, SC914 and SC903) and a *C. sativa* × *C. mollissima* hybrid (SM904), selected as a resistance control.

All plant material used in this study was multiplied by *in vitro* propagation (Supplementary Figure 1). First, individual shoots from mother trees were established and multiplied on Murashige and Skoog medium (half concentration of NH4NO3 and KNO3), supplemented with 1 g/L and 0.1 g/L benzylaminopurine, respectively, 30 g/L sucrose and 8 g/L phyto-agar. Elongated shoots were transferred to Murashige and Skoog medium described above (without phyto-hormones) plus 3 g/L charcoal for 7–10 days. Rooting phase consists on dipping elongated shoots into 1 g/L indolebutyric acid for 1 min and then placed

---

*Abbreviations: Cu/µL, Copy number per µL; Q53D, QuantStudio™ 3D Digital PCR System; dPCR, Digital PCR; SC, *C. sativa* × *C. crenata* hybrids; SM, *C. sativa* × *C. mollissima* hybrid; HR, Hypersensitive Response; SA, Salicylic Acid.*
at a wet porous substrate, perlite:vermiculite (1:1), for 3 weeks. Rooted plants are transferred to pots with peat:vermiculite:perlite (1:1:1). All propagation steps were performed under controlled conditions with temperatures ranging between 18 and 24°C, photoperiod 16 h light/8 h dark.

*P. cinnamomi* root inoculation was performed 80 days after plant acclimatization under controlled conditions and according to Santos et al. (2015). Briefly, *P. cinnamomi* inoculum was prepared by growing mycelia on sterilized vermiculite, which were thoroughly moistened with a solution of 200 mL V8 vegetable juice, 3 g of calcium carbonate and 800 mL distilled water. Afterwards, this mixture was incubated for 3 weeks in darkness at 25°C. Inoculum was placed into the substrate of each pot at a concentration of 5% (v/v), minimizing root disturbance, and flooded for 1 h to stimulate zoospore release, promoting the root infection and disease development. Aiming to cover diverse facets of host defense response, three root biological replicates were harvested per genotype at 0 (uninfected), 24 and 72 hpi, corresponding to different stages of pathogen colonization (Redondo et al., 2015). Roots were gently washed and separated from the aerial part, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until RNA isolation.

### Selection of Candidate Genes

Genes were selected from the 283 *C. crenata* differentially expressed genes (DEGs), previously identified by Serrazina et al. (2015). Transcriptomic data sets are publicly available on the Hardwood Genomics Project website (http://hardwoodgenomics.org/) and in the Short Read Archive at NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) with the reference PRJNA215368. In this study, gene selection parameters were: (1) DEGs with the log2 of the ratio between *C. crenata* inoculated (*Cci*) and non-inoculated (*Ccn*) reads higher than 1.5 (Log2*Cci/Ccn* > 1.5); (2) The correspondent DEGs in *C. sativa* transcriptomes with Log2*Csi/Csn* < 1.5 or absent; (3) DEGs not involved in general biological processes, such as oxidative, metabolic and transporter activities; (4) DEGs involved in defense response and categorized in pathogen recognition which usually triggers resistance signaling pathways, anti-pathogen proteins, cell wall modification proteins and transcription factors involved in the regulation of other defense related processes.

### Primer and Probe Design

Primers and TaqMan®-Probes sequences were designed using Primer 3 software version 0.4.0 (available at http://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3-0.4.0/primer3/) and were synthesized by Life Technologies. Conserved domain sequences were avoided to primer design in order to increase the specificity. Primer selection parameters were set: primer size of 18–20 bp, a product size range of 100–150 bp; a primer melting temperature of 58–60°C; primer GC content of 30–60%, primer with no more than two G/C in the last five 3′ end nucleotides and no more than three G’s runs within the sequences. TaqMan®-Probes design followed the same criteria, except size between 18 and 30 bp and melting temperatures ranging 68–70°C. Probes were labeled with FAM or VIC dye on the 5′ end and NFQ (Non-fluorescent Quencher) on the 3′ end.

### RNA Isolation and cDNA Synthesis

Total RNA from root tissue was isolated as described in le Provost et al. (2007), without DNase treatment. mRNA was purified using the Dynabeads® mRNA Purification Kit (Life Technologies) using half volume of dynabeads and buffers according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA and mRNA quality was assessed by measuring the ratios of absorbance at 260/280 and 230/280 using a nanodrop; the results obtained were, in average, absorbance260/280 = 1.92 and absorbance230/280 = 1.77. mRNA was used for cDNA synthesis using RevertAid H Minus Reverse Transcriptase kit (ThermoFisher Scientific). 0.5 µg of oligo(dT)18 primer and DEPC-treated water to make 12.5 µl were added to 50 ng of mRNA and incubated at 65°C for 5 min. Then, 1x reaction buffer [250 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.3 at 25°C), 250 mM KCl, 20 mM MgCl2, 50 mM DTT], 20 units of ribolock RNase inhibitor, dNTP Mix (1 mM final concentration) and 200 units of RevertAid H Minus Reverse Transcriptase were added to the previous mixture and incubated 60 min at 42°C. Reverse transcriptase was inactivated by heating at 70°C for 10 min.

### QuantStudio™ 3D Digital PCR

QS3D digital PCR System (Life Technologies) was used to quantify gene expression of eight *P. cinnamomi* resistance candidate genes in the roots of the six chestnut genotypes under study. 0.125 to 2.5 ng of cDNA and two TaqMan® probes (specific primers/probe mix) one labeled with FAM and the other with VIC were added to the QS3D master mix. Each QS3D chip was loaded with 14.5 µL reaction and sealed, using an automatic chip loader (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The QS3D chip amplification was performed on the dual flat-block GeneAmp® PCR System 9700 thermal cycler with the following conditions: 96°C 10 min, 60°C 2 min and 98°C 30 s

---

**TABLE 1 | Characterization of six chestnut genotypes showing different levels of resistance after inoculation with *P. cinnamomi***

| Sample Name | Species | Origin | Survival's percentage | Days of survival (average) | Level of resistance |
|-------------|---------|--------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| C. sativa   | Castanea sativa | TRAGSA, Spain | 0                     | 7                         | Susceptible        |
| C. crenata  | Castanea crenata | TRAGSA, Spain | 83                    | –                         | Resistant          |
| SM904       | C. sativa × C. mollissima (F1) | Portugal | 46                    | –                         | Resistant          |
| SC55        | C. sativa × C. crenata (F1) | Portugal | 38                    | –                         | Resistant          |
| SC914       | C. sativa × C. crenata (F1) | Portugal | 0                     | 29                        | Intermediate       |
| SC903       | C. sativa × C. crenata (F1) | Portugal | 0                     | 10                        | Susceptible        |

Phenotypic data is presented as percentage of survival and days of survival after inoculation. Hybrid phenotyping data was assessed in Santos et al. (2015). Genotype SC903 is the most susceptible hybrid and genotype SM904 the most resistant hybrid to *P. cinnamomi* inoculation.
for 40 cycles, then 60°C for 2 min and hold at 25°C (avoiding chip condensation). After amplification, the chips were imaged on the QS3D Instrument, which assesses raw data and calculates the estimated concentration of the nucleic acid sequence targeted by FAM and VIC labeled probes assuming a Poisson distribution (Fazekas de St Groth, 1982). Data analysis and management were performed using QuantStudio™ 3D Analysis Suite™ software (https://apps.lifetechnologies.com/quantstudio3d/). Chip quality control was calculated based on the number of partitions that exceed the selected quality threshold (fixed automatically at 0.5) on the total number of wells filled correctly. The software automatically removed data points that did not meet the default quality threshold. Cn/µL were calculated by software taking into account the dilution factor.

To estimate the absolute copies of template molecules, present in the sample volume, the software applies a quantification algorithm based on the Poisson model. The estimated Cn/µL mean values are presented in a confidence interval at 95%. Standard deviation was calculated assuming the Poisson distribution of the data. Shapiro-Wilk test was used to confirm the type of data distribution. Comparison of gene expression between C. sativa and each of the other genotypes was done using the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney (non-parametric) test.

RESULTS

P. cinnamomi Phenotyping

The hybrid genotypes used in this study were previously phenotyped to P. cinnamomi susceptibility after root inoculation (Santos et al., 2015). Results obtained are consistent with those previously published. Forty-six percent of SM904 plants survived to the inoculation; in these cases, the average of days crossed. On the other hand, none of the SC914 and SC903 plants survived to the inoculation; in these cases, the average of days crosses. About 38% of SC55 plants also survived to the inoculation, this being the most resistant hybrid under study. About 83% of C. crenata × C. sativa crosses. On the other hand, none of the SC914 and SC903 plants survived to the inoculation; in these cases, the average of days of survival were used to discriminate their level of response (Table 1). Response to P. cinnamomi was also evaluated for C. sativa and C. crenata genotypes showing contrasting responses: C. sativa plants died 1 week after inoculation, while 83% of C. crenata plants survived to inoculation (Table 1).

Resistence Candidate Genes to P. cinnamomi

Using the gene selection parameters defined, eight candidate genes were identified (Table 2). These genes codify proteins potentially involved in the three layers of defense to P. cinnamomi infection, previously described (Freeman and Beattie, 2008) two pathogen recognition proteins (Cast_LRR-RLK and Cast_C2CD) which trigger resistance signaling pathways; three transcription factors (Cast_WRKY 31, Cast_ABR1 and Cast_Myb4) involved in the regulation of other defense processes; a ubiquitination regulator (Cast_RNF5); a cell wall modification enzyme (Cast_PE-2) and an antifungal protein (Cast_Gnk2-like). All genes selected were up-regulated after inoculation in C. crenata root transcriptomes (Serrazina et al., 2015).

The P. cinnamomi resistance candidate genes, their respective contig name (Serrazina et al., 2015), primers and TaqMan®. Probes sequences are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

Accuracy and Precision of QS3D Quantification Method

QuantStudio™ 3D AnalysisSuite™ software evaluates if the data on a chip are reliable based upon loading, signal, and noise features. Quality indicators (red, yellow or green flags, corresponding from low to high quality, respectively) are displayed for each chip. As an example, the output of the chips used to quantify Cast_WRKY 31 and Cast_Myb4 expression in three biological replicates (1 chip per replicate) of C. crenata genotype, 48 hpi, are shown in Supplementary Figure 2. The continuous green color displayed in each chip confirms high quality loading (Supplementary Figure 2A). Nevertheless, some condensation occurred on the corners, presented by yellow or red data points. White dots were automatically filtered out because they did not meet the default quality threshold. A random distribution of each target gene amplified (FAM, VIC or both dyes) and negative reactions (non-amplified wells) are shown (Supplementary Figures 2B,C). Clustering of the scatter plots of the biological triplicates allowed verifying the technical homogeneity of the results. The dilution factor was considered by the software to calculate the number of counts per microliter (Cn/µL).

| TABLE 2 | Candidate genes identification. |
| --- | --- |
| Gene acronyms | Log2(Cci/Ccn) | P-value | BLAST best hit (Species) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Cast_Gnk2-like | 2.88 | 1.13e-12 | Gnk2-homologous domain, Cysteine-rich repeat secretory protein 38 (Oryza sativa) |
| Cast_PE-2 | 2.98 | 4.90e-08 | Pectinesterase 2 (Populus trichocarpa) |
| Cast_ABR1 | 4.64 | 2.30e-13 | Pathogenesis-related transcriptional factor, Ethylene-responsive transcription factor (AP2/ERF) ABR1 (Ricinus communis) |
| Cast_C2CD | 2.48 | 6.60e-05 | C2 calcium-dependent membrane targeting, C2 domain-containing protein (Arabidopsis thaliana) |
| Cast_LRR-RLK | 2.32 | 6.35e-07 | LRR receptor-like serine/threonine-protein kinase (Ricinus communis) |
| Cast_Myb4 | 2.95 | 1.28e-08 | SANT domain, DNA binding, Myb-related protein Myb4 (Vitis vinifera) |
| Cast_WRKY 31 | 1.71 | 8.15e-06 | WRKY transcription factor 31 (Arabidopsis thaliana) |
| Cast_RNF5 | 2.97 | 1.19e-05 | Zinc finger, RING finger protein 5 (Lactobacillus crispatus) |

Log2 ratio between C. crenata inoculated and C. crenata non-inoculated. P-value and BLAST best hit information is available in Serrazina et al. (2015).
Chestnut Gene Expression Profiling

Transcripts copy number variation among the three time-points for six chestnut genotypes is presented in Figures 1, 2. The Cn/μL ranged from approximately 100 to 27,000, with the lowest values obtained for the expression of the C. sativa genotype and the most susceptible C. sativa × C. crenata hybrid (SC903) under non-inoculated conditions (Figures 1, 2). Aside from Cast_ABR1, transcription factors presented the lowest Cn/μL, particularly in the most susceptible genotypes and in the two first time points (non-inoculated and 24 hpi).

Before inoculation there is a clear differentiation in gene expression between C. sativa and C. crenata. Except for Cast_ABR1 and Cast_RNF5, the pre-inoculated expression of all other genes is significantly higher in C. crenata (Figure 1). This pattern, with some variation, holds for the two most resistant hybrids tested (SM904 and SC55).

The expression profiles varied depending on genotype susceptibility, mainly for the Cast_Gnk2-like, Cast_PE-2, Cast_LRR-RLK and Cast_Myb4 genes (Figures 1, 2A,B,E,F). Cast_Gnk2-like was revealed to be the most expressed gene, whose expression increases from the most susceptible to the most resistance genotype (Figures 1A, 2A). On the other hand, Cast_RNF5 and Cast_C2CD displayed to have the less variation between samples and time points. In most cases, transcript abundance was higher in C. crenata than in C. sativa. Regardless, there is little evidence of correlation between gene expression and resistance when hybrids are considered.

In all the analyzed profiles, the expression levels of the eight candidate genes changed along the time points. Before inoculation with the pathogen, transcripts of all candidate genes accumulated to higher levels in C. crenata than in C. sativa, mainly for Cast_Gnk2-like, Cast_PE-2, Cast_C2CD, Cast_LRR-RLK and Cast_Myb4 genes (Figure 1). These differences were significant (α = 0.05) between C. sativa and C. crenata, as well as, between C. sativa and hybrids, for all genes under study. Nevertheless, the intermediate C. sativa × C. crenata hybrid (SC914) showed similar Cn/μL with C. sativa in non-inoculated samples for Cast_PE-2, Cast_C2CD and Cast_LRR-RLK. Except for Cast_Gnk2-like, Cast_C2CD and Cast_LRR-RLK, resistant C. sativa × C. mollissima hybrid (SM904) showed similar expression profiles to C. crenata, in non-inoculation conditions.

Considering the whole experiment, there is a tendency for the higher accumulation of the transcripts after 48 hpi. However, in the majority of cases, Cast_Myb4 is more expressed at 24 hpi than 48 hpi. This difference observed between time points decreases gradually from the resistant C. crenata to the susceptible genotypes, reaching the point where C. sativa expression is higher at 48 hpi (Figure 2F).

DISCUSSION

Basic knowledge on the molecular defense mechanisms against P. cinnamomi infection is required in both resistant and susceptible genotypes. The expression of eight resistance candidate genes was evaluated before and after inoculation of C. sativa, C. crenata and four interspecific hybrids of the on-going Portuguese chestnut breeding program. C. crenata showed the highest expression of these genes, especially under non-inoculated conditions, opposing to C. sativa, in which the lower transcripts abundance was measured. This is similar the observed in the analyses of the transcriptome where, except for Cast_Myb4 and Cast_WRKY31, all other studied genes are expressed at higher levels prior infection in C. crenata vs. C. sativa. The results seem to show that effectiveness of the first layer of defense mechanisms may explain the difference in P. cinnamomi resistance between C. sativa and C. crenata. Resistance may have evolved during host-pathogen coevolution, since P. cinnamomi is native to Asia (Ko et al., 1978; Zentmyer, 1988; Zhang et al., 1994) and C. crenata (Japanese chestnut) seem to be the ancestral of the other species of Castanea genus (Lang et al., 2007). Nevertheless, the correlation between gene expression and resistance seems to be weaker for the hybrid genotypes.

Physical and Chemical Barriers to P. cinnamomi Infection

The secretion of toxic compounds is an effective defense mechanism against pathogens in plants (Wittstock and Gershenzon, 2002; Montesinos, 2007). Ginkobilobin-2 (Gnk2) is a protein secreted by Ginkgo biloba seeds that exhibits an antifungal activity (Wang and Ng, 2000; Sawano et al., 2007). Gnk2 has a plant-specific cysteine-rich motif DUF26 (domain of unknown function 26, also known as stress-antifungal domain: PF01657) which belongs to cysteine-rich receptor-like kinases (CRKs) (Miyakawa et al., 2014) not showing any similarity with other known antimicrobial proteins (Sawano et al., 2007; Miyakawa et al., 2014). It was recently shown that Gnk2 can also activate actin-dependent cell death (Gao et al., 2016). Therefore, Cast_Gnk2-like may prevent pathogen growth either by its chemical properties or by inducing HR-related cell death.

The highest Cast_Gnk2-like expression registered in non-inoculation conditions suggests that C. crenata root surroundings may be a hostile environment for fungal and fungal-like pathogens, such as P. cinnamomi. On the other hand, C. sativa showed a very low Cast_Gnk2-like expression level, even after pathogen inoculation. Considering the whole experiment, Cast_Gnk2-like was the most expressed gene and that best discriminates between susceptible and resistant genotypes (Figures 1A, 2A). The isolation and purification of Cast_Gnk2-like protein may have biotechnological applications, such as the development of an antimicrobial phytopharmaceutical against P. cinnamomi.

A crucial constitutive defense is the formation of wall appositions that comprise a physical barrier to pathogen growth (Hardham and Blackman, 2010). The reinforcement of plant cell walls by calcium-pectate gel apposition with the involvement of pectinesterases have been shown to confer resistance to Phytophthora species (Kieffer, 2000; Wiethölter et al., 2003). In this study, expression levels of Cast_PE-2 show that this enzyme may have a role on P. cinnamomi resistance in chestnut. Compared with C. sativa, C. crenata exhibited higher Cast_PE-2
FIGURE 1 | Radar plots of copy number/µL of the eight genes in non-inoculated roots. Starting on top and following clockwise, C. crenata, C. sativa, SC903, SC914, SC55, and SM904. (A), Cast_Gnk2-like; (B), Cast_PE-2; (C), Cast_ABR1; (D), Cast_C2CD; (E), Cast_LRR-RLK; (F), Cast_Myb4; (G), Cast_WRKY31; and (H), Cast_RNF5.
FIGURE 2 | Copy number (Cn)/µL variation of the eight genes under study. Data is presented from *C. sativa* and *C. crenata*, from the *C. sativa × C. crenata* hybrids, from the most susceptible (SC903) to the most resistant (SC55), and from the resistant *C. sativa × C. mollissima* hybrid (SM904). For each genotype, Cn/µL for 0 hpi (not inoculated), 24 hpi and 48 hpi is shown. The mean value of each bar corresponds to the quantification of biological triplicates, calculated by the software assuming a Poisson distribution; error bars correspond to standard deviations. Y-axis, copy number/µL; X-axis, sample name × treatment; NI, non-inoculated; hpi, hours post-inoculation. (A) Scale adjusted to 30,000 copies/µL; (B–D), scale adjusted to 20,000 copies/µL; (E–H), scale adjusted to 5,000 copies/µL.
expression levels in all time points, mainly in the non-inoculated samples (about 10x more), suggesting that their cell walls may be more resistant to pathogen penetration. After the first pathogen contact, Cast_PE-2 expression increases, suggesting a possible continuing apposition of pectates in cell walls, probably to inhibit further colonization. This seems to be more important in a late stage of infection (48 hpi) except for the C. sativa × C. mollissima hybrid. Possibly, other resistance mechanisms may be activated earlier in this hybrid and control the infection.

**Pathogen Recognition and Successive Host Response Regulation**

Generally, during pathogen infection, PAMPs are recognized by pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs) at the plant’s cell surface. The best-studied class of plant PRRs are receptor-like kinases (RLKs), which have an ectodomain of leucine-rich repeats (LRRs) involved in PAMP perception (Jones and Dangl, 2006; Boller and Felix, 2009; ten Hove et al., 2011). Resistance related LRR proteins have been shown to be differentially expressed in global transcript profiling studies in *Phytophthora* spp. infection response (Ballvora et al., 2002; van der Vossen et al., 2003; Gao et al., 2005; Boava et al., 2011; Coelho et al., 2011; Mahomed and Berg, 2011). Contrasting to *C. sativa*, *C. crenata* has a much higher (about 10x more) Cast_LRR-RLK expression before inoculation (Figures 1E, 2E), which may mediate a fast and effective response against *P. cinnamomi*, suggesting that this earlier recognition is part of the resistance phenotype. Furthermore, Cast_LRR-RLK expression increased after *P. cinnamomi* inoculation for all *Castanea* genotypes. Considering the previous studies on LRR biological functions in Fagaceae, Cast_LRR-RLK may recognize and interact with PAMPs molecules, secreted by *P. cinnamomi*, activating downstream signaling responses (Coelho et al., 2011).

RLKs have an intracellular kinase domain involved in a downstream signaling via MAPK cascades which trigger defense-related pathways by transcription factors activation (Pitzschke et al., 2009; Tena et al., 2011), such as WRKY, MYB and Ethylene-responsive transcription factors (Oñate-Sánchez and Singh, 2002; Kim and Zhang, 2004; Dubos et al., 2010). WRKY proteins regulate pathogen- and salicylic-acid (SA)-responsive genes having a pivotal role in host response to stress (Eulgem, 2000; Dong et al., 2003; Eulgem and Somssich, 2007; Yang et al., 2009; Shimoto et al., 2012). In particular, the overexpression of WRKY 31 in rice seedlings after treatment with a hemibiotrophic fungus (*Magnaporthe grisea*) was associated with blockade of pathogen invasion (Zhang et al., 2008). Cast_WRKY 31 may have a role in the response of chestnut to *P. cinnamomi* infection, since its expression increased in inoculated samples when compared with non-inoculated ones, probably regulating SA-responsive genes expression. This increase seems more consistent in the more resistant hybrids.

SA induces defense responses against biotrophic pathogens (Loake and Grant, 2007; Vlot et al., 2009). High concentrations of endogenous SA may induce HR (Mur et al., 2008). SA was not quantified in this work, but Serrazina et al. (2015) found nine differentially expressed genes between infected and non-infected *C. crenata* (*Calcium-dependent protein kinase, Patatin-05, Sulfate transporter 3.1, Ocs element-binding factor 1, Arginine decarboxylase, Probable glutathione S-transferase, Pto-interacting protein 1, Acidic endochitinase and 3-ketoacyl-CoA synthase 11*) whose expression is described to be regulated by SA.

The balance between SA and other phytohormones is increasingly recognized as central to the outcome of plant-pathogen interactions (de Torres-Zabala et al., 2009). Abscisic acid (ABA) disrupts SA-mediated response and suppresses the expression of many defense-related genes. The ethylene-responsive transcription factor ABRI is a negative regulator of ABA signaling pathway in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Pandey et al., 2005) and its expression allows SA and lignin accumulation (Mohr and Cahill, 2007; de Torres-Zabala et al., 2009; Boatwright and Pajerowska-Mukhtar, 2013). Cast_ABRI expression was triggered after *P. cinnamomi* inoculation, earlier in the more resistant genotypes, suggesting that ABA may be repressed after pathogen perception. In the resistant *C. crenata* genotype the relatively low increase of Cast_ABRI expression may due to the efficiency of other resistant mechanisms that avoid pathogen colonization, or by independence of ABA suppression for SA signaling activation.

Genes of the MYB transcription factor family are involved in the control of specific processes including responses to biotic stresses (Dubos et al., 2010). MYB4 has been shown to repress transcription of cinnamate 4-hydroxylase (C4H) enzyme (Hemm et al., 2001). C4H catalyze the second step of the main phenylpropanoid pathway, leading to the synthesis of lignin, pigments, and defense molecules. Inactivation of C4H allows the accumulation of SA in elicited cells (Schoch et al., 2002). The expression balance of Cast_MYB4 in *Castanea* genotypes may regulate SA accumulation vs. synthesis of phenylpropanoids. The ratio of Cast_MYb4 expression between 24/48 hpi decreased progressively from the resistant *C. crenata*, to *C. sativa × C. crenata* hybrids (the most resistant to the most susceptible) to the susceptible *C. sativa*. This indicates that SA signaling may be faster (24 hpi) in resistant genotypes than in susceptible ones. As mentioned before, elevated concentrations of endogenous SA will induce expression of Cast_Gnk2-like and Cast_WRKY31. For resistant genotypes (*C. crenata* and SC55), after a probable early induction of SA pathways, expression of Cast_MYb4 decreases at 48 hpi, which may allow the synthesis of lignin and other defense molecules.

In addition to MAPK cascades regulation to activate transcription factors, the defense regulation could be also calcium-dependent, since intracellular calcium increases upon pathogen recognition (Ma and Berkowitz, 2007). Calcium rapid and transient bursts act as a key second messenger in cell signaling, inducing HR to prevent pathogen colonization (Lecourieux et al., 2002, 2006; Ma and Berkowitz, 2007). C2 domains are ubiquitous structural modules that act in Ca²⁺-dependent membrane binding. Several small C2 proteins in plants have been shown to be involved pathogen responses (Kim et al., 2003; Lecourieux et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2009). The expression profile of *Cast_C2 domain* is not in accordance with the resistant phenotypes. Nevertheless, the expression of *Cast_C2 domain* in *C. crenata* in non-inoculation conditions is noteworthy (Figures 1D, 2D). The role of *Cast_C2 domain* to *P. cinnamomi* infection warrants further investigation. Likewise, Cast_RNF5 showed to have the least variation between
samples and time points (Figure 2H). Possibly, Cast_RNF5 may have a role in response to *P. cinnamomi* infection, but that transcriptional regulation is not an important component of regulation.

**Hypothetical *P. cinnamomi* Response Mechanism in *Castanea***

The expression profiles obtained suggest that susceptible and resistant plants may share the same response mechanisms. Despite, resistant plants show a much higher constitutive expression of the tested candidate genes before inoculation. A working model describing part of the molecular interaction of *Castanea* spp. to *P. cinnamomi* infection is presented (Figure 3): resistant genotypes present a higher expression of genes in non-inoculation conditions that may be part of a constitutive defense mechanism that prepare and protect the plant in advance to *P. cinnamomi* infection by secreting antifungal proteins and having stronger cell walls even before the contact with the pathogen. If *P. cinnamomi* overcomes those chemical and physical barriers, specific pathogen recognition proteins are earlier and more expressed in the resistant genotypes when compared to the susceptible ones. Thereafter, the transcription of the host will probably be reprogrammed via signal transduction and SA signaling. HR-related cell death is probably activated and cell walls may be reinforced in non-infected tissues, preventing further colonization.

In conclusion, the first layer of defense seems to be active and decisive in the resistance of *C. crenata* to *P. cinnamomi*. A lower and delayed expression of the eight studied genes was found in *C. sativa*, which may be related with the sensitivity of this species toward the disease. One probable explanation for this difference can be the allelic variation of the genes or gene-promoters that in *C. sativa* may condition the levels of gene expression before inoculation. *C. mollissima*, also a resistant species, may share with *C. crenata* some of the allelic variants that allow an efficient level of resistance against *P. cinnamomi*. This will be object of further research. Natural selection could have had an active role in keeping those allelic variants, since Asian species have evolved in contact with *P. cinnamomi*. This study is part of an ongoing Portuguese breeding program to introduce resistance to *P. cinnamomi* in *C. sativa*. This knowledge may contribute for the development of strategies to control ink disease in chestnut and other woody plants, which may include early selection of resistant genotypes.
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Supplementary Figure 1 | Plant biological replicates of the different genotypes used in this study, obtained by in vitro culture. (A–D) In vitro micropropagation phases, (A) Establishment of an axillary node explant from the F1 mother plant; (B) Shoot multiplication; (C) Shoot elongation; (D) Pre-rooting in activated charcoal medium. (E–Q) Ex-vitro phases; (E) Ex-vitro rooting, (F) Acclimatization, plantlets primary hardening; (G) Plantlets with 80 days after acclimatization used for inoculation.

Supplementary Figure 2 | Representative Q3D chip views and respective plot of Cast_WRKY 31 and Cast_Myb4 expression for three biological replicates (C. crenata, 48 hpi). (A) Chip views depicting color by quality. (B) Chip views depicting color by call. (C) Scatter plot view from merging the three biological replicates. The data points on chip (B) and plot views (C) are color-coded according to the following fluorophores’ color: FAM (blue), VIC (red), FAM + VIC (green) and not amplified (yellow). Relative intensities of FAM were plotted against VIC.

Supplementary Table 1 | Primers and probe sequences and fluorophores of eight Castanea crenata (Japanese, resistant) candidate genes to R. cinnamomi resistance. Contig names like in (Serrazina et al., 2015).
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