Intralaminar and tectal projections to the subthalamus in the rat
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Abstract

Projections from the posterior intralaminar thalamic nuclei and the superior colliculus (SC) to the subthalamic nucleus (STN) and the zona incerta (ZI) have been described in the primate and rodent. The aims of this study was to investigate several questions on these projections, using modern neurotracing techniques in rats, to advance our understanding of the role of STN and ZI. We examined whether projection patterns to the subthalamus can be used to identify homologues of the primate centromedian (CM) and the parafascicular nucleus (Pf) in the rodent, the topography of the projection including what percent of intralaminar neurons participate in the projections, and electron microscopic examination of intralaminar synaptic boutons in STN. The aim on the SC-subthalamic projection was to examine whether STN is the main target of the projection. This study revealed: (i) the areas similar to primate CM and Pf could be recognized in the rat; (ii) the Pf-like area sends a very heavy topographically organized projection to STN but very sparse projection to ZI, which suggested that Pf might control basal ganglia function through STN; (iii) the projection from the CM-like area to the subthalamus was very sparse; (iv) Pf boutons and randomly sampled asymmetrical synapses had similar distributions on the dendrites of STN neurons; and (v) the lateral part of the deep layers of SC sends a very heavy projection to ZI and moderate to sparse projection to limited parts of STN, suggesting that SC is involved in a limited control of basal ganglia function.

Introduction

The main nuclei in the subthalamus are the subthalamic nucleus (STN) and the zona incerta (ZI). STN is considered to be an input nucleus of the basal ganglia because it receives inputs from outside the basal ganglia and sends its outputs mainly to the nuclei within the basal ganglia (Kita, 1994). Glutamatergic inputs from the posterior intralaminar thalamic nuclei and the superior colliculus (SC) to the subthalamus have been described, in addition to well described cortico and pedunculopontine inputs (Sugimoto et al., 1983; Groenewegen & Berendse, 1990; Mouroux & Feger, 1993; Tokuno et al., 1994; Bevan & Bolam, 1995; Bevan et al., 1995; Coizet et al., 2009; Kita & Kita, 2011; Watson et al., 2015). There are several issues regarding the intralaminar and SC projections to STN and ZI that need to be clarified to advance our understanding of the role of these nuclei. The present study examined the following anatomical questions using sensitive Fluoro-Gold (FG) retrograde and lentivirus (LV) anterograde tracing methods.

The posterior intralaminar thalamic nuclei project to multiple basal ganglia nuclei including the striatum, the external segments of the globus pallidus, and the subthalamus (Groenewegen & Berendse, 1994; Bevan et al., 1995; Deschenes et al., 1996; Yasukawa et al., 2004; Galvan & Smith, 2011). The posterior intralaminar thalamic nuclei in the primate and the feline consist of the centromedian (CM) and the parafascicular nucleus (Pf), which can be identified by differences in their histological features and in their projection sites (Kuhlenbeck, 1954; Albe-Fessard et al., 1966; Sadikot et al., 1992; Parent & Hazrati, 1995; Smith et al., 2014). The posterior intralaminar nuclei send topographically organized heavy projections to the striatum. In the monkey, the medial two-thirds of CM projects to the sensorimotor territory, the anterior part of Pf to the limbic territory, and the caudal and the dorsolateral extension of Pf to the association territory of the striatum (Smith et al., 2014). In the rodent, identifying CM and Pf by histological features is difficult, and the designation Pf has been used to cover both nuclei, although early researchers described two nuclei, and some studies on the Pf-striatal projections suggested that the CM equivalent exists in rodent Pf (Groenewegen & Berendse, 1994; Galvan & Smith, 2011). In order to avoid confusion, we will use the designation CM+Pf for the rat.
in this report, Deschenes et al. (1996) suggested that the ethmoid thalamic nucleus (Eth) be included in the posterior intralaminar nuclei based on the similarity of cellular morphology and the projection sites. Thus we examined whether newer histological methods and projection patterns to the subthalamus can be used to differentiate CM, Pf, and Eth in the rodent.

CM+Pf projections to the subthalamus were described in the monkey, cat, and the rat. However, the reports are inconsistent regarding the topographical organization of these projections. For instance, early studies in the monkey and the cat described CM+Pf projections to ZI, STN, and the lateral hypothalamic area (LHA; Sugimoto et al., 1983; Royce & Mourey, 1985), while a more recent study in monkeys was unable to confirm any of these projections (Parent & Parent, 2005). Studies in the rat showed that Pf mainly projects to STN and has some projections to ZI and LHA (Sugimoto et al., 1983; Mouroux & Feger, 1993; Mouroux et al., 1995; Groenewegen & Berendse, 1990; Bevan et al., 1995). Thus it is unclear whether CM+Pf projections to the subthalamus control mainly basal ganglia functions through the STN and/or other functions through ZI and LHA. The reports are also unclear whether all parts of CM+Pf project to the nuclei in the subthalamus and what percent of CM+Pf neurons participate in the projections. We examined these issues using advanced neurotracing methods.

The pathways in the cortico-basal ganglia loops maintain a parallel functional topography, although functional territories in each nucleus have various degrees of overlap. For instance, monosynaptic cortico-striatal and cortico-STN projections have functional topographical organizations, and the topographical relations are maintained in subsequent polysynaptic pathways such as the corticostriato-pallido-STN and the cortico-STN-pallidal pathways (Groenewegen & Berendse, 1990; Smith et al., 2010; Kita et al., 2014). It is unknown whether the CM+Pf projections to STN match the topographical organization formed by other afferents. As Bevan et al. (1995) discussed in detail, descriptions of the topography including the main site of origin in CM+Pf in the previous studies are inconsistent (Sugimoto et al., 1983; Groenewegen & Berendse, 1994; Bevan et al., 1995; Deschenes et al., 1996). We have shown that cortical projections to the subthalamus form functional topography encompassing both STN and ZI (Kita et al., 2014). Thus, we examined the possibility that a similar functional topography exists in CM+Pf projections to the subthalamus.

We found only one electron-microscopy study on the thalamo-STN projections. Bevan et al. (1995) reported that rat CM+Pf and cortical afferents formed synaptic boutons having similar morphological features and that CM+Pf synapses were found on slightly larger dendrites than cortical ones. We reexamined the distributions of synaptic sites by comparing them to our previous data on the distribution of the postsynaptic sites of randomly sampled asymmetrical synapses (Chang et al., 1983).

The SC projection to ZI has been well documented (Roger & Cadusseau, 1985; Shammah-Lagnado et al., 1985; Kolmac et al., 1998; Tokuno et al., 1994; Mitrofani, 2005; Watson et al., 2015). The main controversy regarding the tectal projection to the subthalamus is whether main projection sites include STN. Early studies reported that SC projects mainly to the ventral ZI (Ziv) and sparsely to STN (Roger & Cadusseau, 1985; Shammah-Lagnado et al., 1985; Tokuno et al., 1994; Kolmac et al., 1998). However, recent studies reported a heavy projection that arises predominantly from the lateral SC deep layers and terminates preferentially in the rostral and dorsal sectors of the STN (Coizet et al., 2009). A more recent study confirmed early studies that SC projects mainly to Ziv and minor to STN (Watson et al., 2015). We have reexamined these questions.

Materials and methods

Animal preparation and brain sectioning

The present study used 31 male Sprague-Dawley rats (280–380 g, Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA, USA). All procedures were approved by The University of Tennessee Health Science Center Animal Care and Use Committee (approval number 13-161.0-A) and were in compliance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. To label neurons projecting to the subthalamus, the Fluoro-Gold (FG) retrograde labeling method was used. For anterograde labeling, we used lentiviruses (LV) VSVG.HIV.SIN.Synapsin.ChR2(H134R).EYFP.WP (Y483, Penn Vector core). We were familiar with this LV because we used the same virus in our physiological studies. For FG and LV injections, a glass micropipette was glued to the needle of a 10 μL Hamilton microsyringe, which was filled with 0.3% FG or viruses, 1 × 10⁶ infectious particles/μL, in saline. Rats were anesthetized (i.p.) with a mixture of Ketamine (60 mg/Kg, Pfizer, Inc. New York City, NY, USA) and Xylazine (10 mg/Kg, Agri Lab. St. Joseph, MO, USA) and placed on a stereotaxic apparatus. A craniotomy over a target area was made for the penetration of the pipette. FG (0.1 μL) or LV (0.1 μL) was injected slowly (0.02 μL/min) by advancing the microsyringe plunger with an electric actuator.

Preparations for light microscopy

After 10 days for FG and 3 weeks for LV of survival, the rats were deeply anesthetized with a mixture of Ketamine (100 mg/Kg) and Xylazine (20 mg/Kg) and were perfused through the heart with 10–20 mL of isotonic saline followed by 200–300 mL of a fixative (mixture of 4% formaldehyde and 0.2% picric acid in a 0.12 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4). After perfusion, the brains were removed and postfixed overnight at 4 °C and then equilibrated in a 10% followed by a 30% sucrose phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). The brains were cut into 40 μm coronal sections on a freezing microtome. The serial sections were divided into 4–8 sets for different uses.

Immunohistochemistry for FG and EYFG

Immunostaining for FG and EYFP was performed for amplification and transmitted light microscopic visualization of FG-containing neurons and LV-infected axons and boutons. Sections were treated in the following order: (i) preincubated in PBS containing 0.5% non-fat dry milk and 0.2% Triton-X for 2–3 h; (ii) incubated overnight in PBS containing rabbit anti-FG serum (1 : 15 000, a gift from Dr H.T. Chang) or rabbit anti-EYFP (1 : 2000, Invitrogen, Cat# A-11122); (iii) rinsed four times with PBS and incubated in biotinylated anti-rabbit antibody (0.5 μg/mL) for 1.5 h; (iv) rinsed four times with PBS and incubated in an avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex (ABC, 1:100, Vector Laboratories) for 1.5 h; (v) rinsed four more times; and (vi) incubated in PBS containing 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (0.05%), NiCl (0.001%), and H₂O₂ (0.003%), which produced dark-blue staining of labeled neurons and axons.

Some of the sections stained for FG and EYFP were further processed for second immunostaining for the calcium binding protein parvalbumin (PV), transcription factor Forkhead box protein P2 (FoxP2), or neuron-specific nuclear protein (NeuN). STN contains abundant PV immunoreactive (PV+) axons and terminals. Thus PV staining delineates STN from surrounding structures (Fig. 1). The PV stained sections were also used for subdividing ZI into dorsal and ventral ZI (ZId and ZIv); the former is pale with scattered PV+...
neurons, while the latter is darker due to abundant PV+ axons and terminals and numerous oval-shaped PV+ neurons. The Forel field H2 is a pale, narrow border area between STN and ZIv and contains small PV negative (PV-/C0) neurons surrounded by axons. We also used FoxP2 staining to identify STN and CM+Pf as these nuclei in mice contain abundant FoxP2+ neurons (Campbell et al., 2009; Reimers-Kipping et al., 2011). The NeuN monoclonal antibody recognizes NeuN in most central nervous systems of vertebrates (information provided by Millipore) including the subthalamus, Pf, and SC (Celio and Heizmann, 1981; Kita & Kita, 2001). The sections were incubated overnight in PBS containing anti-PV monoclonal antibody (1:4000, Swant, PV235), anti-FoxP2 monoclonal antibody (1:2000, Millipore MABE415), or monoclonal antibody for NeuN (1:2000, Millipore MAB377), followed by biotinylated anti-mouse antibody (0.5 lg/mL, Vector Laboratories, BA-2000) for 1.5 h and avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex (ABC, 1:100, Vector Laboratories) for 1.5 h. Then, the peroxidase was revealed by incubating in PBS containing 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (0.05%) and H2O2 (0.003%). This reaction produced yellow-brown staining. After several rinses, immunostained sections were mounted on gelatin-coated slides, air-dried, dehydrated in graded alcohols to xylene, and cover-slipped.

**Light microscopic observation**

The slides were observed under an Olympus microscope (Olympus BX50) with a drawing tube. Original drawings of the FG labeled neurons in the thalamus and SC and YGFP labeled terminal fields in the subthalamus were made under an ×40 objective, with one mark for every approximately five neurons or 10 boutons. Many landmarks such as blood vessels and fiber bundles were also drawn. Microscopic images were acquired by a digital camera (Nikon D70). Images were assembled in Adobe Photoshop (CS4) and Deneva Canvas-X, with adjustments for contrast, brightness, and color balance to obtain optimal visual reproduction of the data.

**Preparations for electron microscopy**

Glutaraldehyde (0.1%) was added to the fixative for the rats used for the electron microscopic (EM) observation of EYFP-labeled boutons, and the brains were cut into 50 µm thick horizontal sections on a Vibratome. The sections containing STN were equilibrated in 30% sucrose, freeze-thawed in liquid nitrogen, and then processed similarly to those described for light microscopy, with the exception that all the procedures were performed at 4 °C, and the sections were not treated with Triton X-100. After diaminobenzidine reaction, sections were postfixed with 1% osmium tetroxide, stained en bloc in 1.5% aqueous uranyl acetate, infiltrated with Epon-Araldite resin, mounted on Liquid Releasing Agent (Electron Microscopy Sciences, 70880) coated glass slides, and coverslipped. Areas of STN containing EYFP-labeled boutons were remounted on plastic blocks, and ultrathin (55–60 nm) sections were cut. The thin sections were examined and photomicrographed at 60 KV on a JEOL 1200 microscope and at 100 KV on a Hitachi H7500 microscope.
Results

Methods to identify STN, ZI, CM+Pf, and other areas

We identified the dorsal border of STN using PV and FoxP2 immunohistochemistry (Fig. 1A and B). The FoxP2 and PV staining also clearly revealed a thin extension of STN in the dorsolateral direction (Fig. 1A). We found that over 95% of rat STN neurons are FoxP2+ (Kita and Kita, unpublished data). ZI is free of FoxP2+ cells, and LHA contains scattered FoxP2+ neurons. The PV staining separates PV+ neuron and fiber-rich ZIv from PV-poor ZId.

The existence of a high population of FoxP2 neurons and high cell density distinguished CM+Pf from the surrounding areas (Fig. 1C and D). We estimated that over 90% of CM+Pf neurons were Foxp2+ (Kita and Kita, unpublished data). Figure 1C shows that the density of neurons in the ventrolateral part of CM+Pf is noticeably lower than the dorsolateral and medial parts of CM+Pf. The Eth contained neurons of similar size and shape as the FoxP2+ CM+Pf neurons and was located dorsal to the parvicellular part of the subparafascicular thalamic nucleus that contains small horizontally oriented FoxP2 neurons (Fig. 1E and F). FoxP2+ neurons were also found in the reticular area dorsal to Eth and the posterior thalamic nuclear group lateral to Eth. We drew the dorsal and lateral borders of Eth based on differences in the cell density. Because the dorsal and lateral borders were often not determined unequivocally, cell count analysis in Eth was not included.

Fluoro-Gold retrograde tracing

FG was injected to STN of eight rats. When FG injection covered the mid-rostral and mid-medial parts of STN, numerous FG-labeled (FG+) neurons were observed in the dorsolateral part of CM+Pf (Figs 2A and 3). Eth also contained a large number of FG+ neurons (Fig. 2A). When FG injection site covered the medial part of STN and an adjacent part of LHA, numerous FG+ neurons were observed in the medial part of CM+Pf (Fig. 2B). In both cases, FG+ neurons were observed in the ventrolateral part of CM+Pf. In all cases, sparsely distributed labeled neurons were also observed in the mediodorsal thalamic nucleus, the central thalamic nucleus, the posterior part of the anterior pretectal nucleus (APT), and the periventricular gray. FG+ neurons were also sparsely distributed in the posterior thalamic nucleus, the deep layers of SC, and the central gray. These FG tracing results suggest that the posterior intralaminar nuclei, CM+Pf and Eth, are major origins of STN projections and that the anterior intralaminar nuclei, midline thalamic nuclei, and SC projections to STN are minor. The percentage of FG+ neurons in heavily labeled areas of CM+Pf was estimated using cases of FG injection covering a large portion of STN and sections doubly immunostained for FG and NeuN (Fig. 3). Figure 3C shows a plotting of a section that resulted in the highest percentage, 31.8%, of all CM+Pf neurons in this section. When only the dorsolateral area was counted, 52.1% were FG+ neurons.

FG injections confined to ZI were made in seven rats and resulted in a high concentration of FG+ neurons in the rostromedial part of the deep layers of SC and the caudodorsal part of the APT. Many FG+ neurons were also distributed in the thalamic reticular area, the posterior thalamic nuclear group, the deep mesencephalic nucleus, the periventricular gray, the anterior part of the periaqueductal gray, and scattered FG+ neurons were present in the lateral habenular nucleus and Pf (Fig. 2C). These FG tracing results suggest that SC and the posterior part of the APT project heavily to ZI.
**Lentiviruses anterograde tracing**

Anterograde tracing experiments using LV were performed to substantiate the results of FG tracing experiments. LV injections in CM+Pf were performed in 10 rats. LV injections to the lateral part of CM+Pf resulted in heavy labeling of axons and terminals in the lateral and middle parts of STN and moderate labeling in the medial 1/3 of STN (Fig. 4A). These injections resulted in dense labeling in a large area of the striatum including the rostromedial and lateral sensorimotor territory and the middle association territory. In the cerebral cortex, moderate labeling was observed in the anterior part of the medial agranular cortex and in the lateral orbital cortex and very sparse labeling in the lateral agranular cortex and caudal part of the medial agranular cortices. LV injection in the medial part of CM+Pf resulted in heavily labeled axons and terminals in the medial 2/3 of STN (Fig. 4B). These injections resulted in dense labeling in the the accumbens, the ventral and medial limbic part of the striatum, moderate labeling in the middle association part of the striatum, and very sparse labeling in the medial agranular cortex and the insular cortex. In both cases, the Forel field H2 and LHA contained moderate densities of labeled terminals, and ZI contained very sparse labeling of terminals (Figs 4 and 5). LV injections that covered the rostral part of CM+Pf and the adjacent centrolateral thalamic nucleus resulted in moderate labeling in the rostromedial half of STN, and injections to the anterior intralaminar thalamic nuclei alone resulted in very sparse labeling of axons in STN and ZI (figures not shown).

The axons leaving CM+Pf traveled rostrally and entered the internal capsule. The collaterals of some ascending main axons descended through the internal capsule and entered STN from its rostral or ventral border, as shown previously by Deschenes et al. (1996). Some axons entered STN from the ventral border, and these were often collaterals of axons that innervate further caudal structures including the red nucleus and the deep mesencephalic nucleus. LV-labeled axons in STN were thin and bore both en passant and pedunculated boutons (Fig. 5C).

EM examination of 104 CM+Pf synaptic boutons in STN was performed. Eighty of 104 boutons synapsed on dendritic shafts with the shortest diameters ranging 0.13–1.48 μm (mean ± SD; 0.63 ± 0.30), and 24 synapsed on spines with the shortest diameters averaging 0.30 ± 0.11 μm (Fig. 6). Figure 6D shows that CM+Pf axons synapse on slightly smaller dendrites than randomly sampled asymmetric synapses (data from Chang et al., 1983), however the difference was insignificant. The morphological features of synaptic boutons forming synapses on dendrites and spines were very similar. The size of the boutons was 0.80 ± 0.24 and 0.49 ± 0.13 μm in the longest and shortest diameters, respectively. The boutons contained round vesicles and made asymmetrical synaptic contact with the length of the active zone of 0.28 ± 0.10 μm (Fig. 6).

LV injections in SC were performed in six rats. LV injection in the lateral part of SC resulted in moderate to dense labeling of axons and boutons in the ventral part of ZIv, the Forel field H2, and moderate labeling in a thin part of the dorsolateral STN adjacent to ZIv. Moderate labeling of axons was also observed in ZIld and sparse labeling in the medial part of STN and adjacent LHA (Figs 4C and 5). LV injection in the mid-medialateral part of SC resulted in a similar distribution pattern of labeled-axons in the subthalamus with slightly less dense labeling compared to the LV injections in the lateral part of SC (Fig. 4D). LV injection in the medial part of SC resulted in very sparse labeling in ZI and STN (Figure not shown). The results of FG and LV tracing show that a thin dorsolateral part of STN receives moderate projection and the ventromedial part of STN and adjacent LHA receive sparse projections from the lateral part of SC.

The ZI, the Forel field H2, and the dorsolateral part of STN were innervated by SC axons arriving from multiple directions. Most of the axons traveled from SC in an anterolateral direction through the lateral posterior thalamic nucleus, then rostroventrally through either the lateral or medial border of the medial geniculate nucleus, and then entered the lateral part of ZI and traveled in both rostral and medial directions within ZI. Another group of axons diffusely spread and traveled in the rostroventral direction through the deep mesencephalic nucleus and the rostrally adjacent thalamic reticular area, traveled across the medial lemniscus and the superior cerebellar peduncle, and then entered ZIld. The labeled-axons in the subthalamus were thin and bore both en passant and pedunculated boutons (Fig. 5F).

**Discussion**

This study revealed that the areas similar to CM and Pf of the primate could be recognized in the rat. The Pf-like area sends heavy topographically organized projection to the entire STN but very...
sparse projection to ZI, which suggests that Pf might effectively control basal ganglia function through STN. The projection from CM-like area to the subthalamus was very sparse. The lateral part of the deep layers of SC sends very heavy projection to ZI and moderate to sparse projection to limited parts of STN, suggesting that SC is involved in a limited control of basal ganglia function. Together with other known anatomical connections and physiological data, the possible functionality of the intralaminar and tectal connections to the subthalamus is discussed below.

**Identification of CM and Pf**

We used FoxP2 immunostaining to identify STN and CM+Pf, as these nuclei contain abundant FoxP2+ neurons (Campbell et al., 2009; Reimers-Kipping et al., 2011). Indeed, we found that more than 90% of the neurons in STN and CM+Pf were FoxP2+ (Kita and Kita, unpublished data).

Although the designation Pf has often been used for rodent posterior intralaminar nuclei in recent publications, early investigators considered the lateral part of CM+Pf in the rodent as homologous to CM in the primate (e.g., Kuhlenbeck, 1954; Albe-Fessard et al., 1966). Some neurotracing studies on the thalamo-striatal projections also suggested that a CM equivalent exists in rodent CM+Pf (Groe newegen & Berendse, 1994; Galvan & Smith, 2011) because the CM equivalent projected mainly to the sensorimotor territory of the striatum similarly to the projections in the cat and the monkey. In this study on rat brain sections, the use of NeuN and FoxP2 immunostaining methods, which visualize neurons and nuclei much more clearly than Nissl staining, revealed very cell dense dorsolateral and medial parts and a less dense ventrolateral part of CM+Pf. Our previous anterograde tracing study in rats revealed that the ventrolateral part of CM+Pf projects to the sensorimotor and association/limbic territories of the striatum, and that dorsolateral and medial Pf project to the sensorimotor and association/limbic territories of the striatum.
respectively (Fig. 7; Yasukawa et al., 2004). We have re-confirmed these CM+Pf projections to the striatum using the FG retrograde tracing method (Kita and Kita, unpublished observation). The similarities of the posterior intralaminar nuclei among the different species described above clearly indicate that the rat CM+Pf indeed consists of recognizable CM and Pf parts, similar to cat and monkeys.

### CM+Pf and Eth projection to STN

We estimated that approximately 50% of neurons in the dorsolateral and medial CM+Pf project to STN. Conversely, very few neurons in
the ventrolateral part of CM-Pf project to STN, which is similar to the observation in monkeys that CM sends a very sparse projection to STN (Sadikot et al., 1992). A single neuron tracing study in rats reported that approximately 20% of the axons of lateral CM-Pf neurons innervating the striatum and the cortex emitted collaterals innervating STN (Deschenes et al., 1996). The difference in the numbers may be attributed to the difference in sampling areas, since only a very small number of neurons in the ventrolateral part of CM-Pf project to STN, as described above.

LV injections in CM-Pf resulted in very dense labeling of axons and boutons in STN and moderate labeling in the lateral part of LHA. The latter receives inputs from the limbic parts of the basal ganglia including the limbic territory of the striatum and the ventral pallidum (Groenewegen & Berendse, 1990). LV injections in CM-Pf resulted in very sparse labeling in ZI. Thus the present results showed that CM-Pf densely and exclusively innervates to basal ganglia related structures. Previous results using biotinylated dextran amine (BDA) or Phaseolus vulgaris-leucoagglutinin (PHA-L) methods implied that CM-Pf projects to both STN and ZIv (Sugimoto et al., 1983; Groenewegen & Berendse, 1990; Bevan et al., 1995). We believe the differences are due to the LV method, which is superior for labeling fine axons and do not label axons passing through the injection site.

We found that FG injections to STN labeled many Eth neurons. Eth is considered a member of the posterior intralaminar nuclei because it projects to the striatum (Parent & De Bellefeuille, 1983; Hu & Jayaraman, 1986; Deschenes et al., 1996). Deschenes et al. (1996) further showed that the somato-dendritic morphological features of Eth neurons are very similar to those of CM-Pf neurons and that their axons projecting to the striatum and the cerebral cortex emit collaterals innervating both segments of the globus pallidus. Our study contributes additional insight that many of these neurons are FoxP2+ and there axons extend collaterals to STN.

**Topography of CM-Pf projection to STN**

CM-Pf projection to STN showed a mediolateral and rostrocaudal parallel topography as reported by Bevan et al. (1995). There were slight differences from other reports in the rat (e.g., Sugimoto et al., 1983; Groenewegen & Berendse, 1990), which may be due to the differences in the tracer injection sites, as Bevan et al. (1995) discussed. The present results revealed differences in the functional topographic organization between the rat and the monkey. In the rat, the dorsolateral part of CM-Pf, which projects to the striatal sensorimotor and association territories, projects heavily to the lateral and middle parts of STN. The medial part of CM-Pf, which projects to the striatal association/limbic territories, projects heavily to the medial 2/3 of STN and adjacent LHA (Fig. 7). Thus, the entire STN is covered with heavy projection from CM-Pf. In the monkey, Sadikot et al. (1992) found using the PHA-L method that the middle to lateral sensorimotor territory of STN receives very sparse projection from CM and the medial association and limbic territories of STN receive moderate projection from Pf. Thus, unlike the rat, the lateral half of monkey STN receives sparse projection from CM-Pf. A single axon tracing study using the BDA method in the monkey did not find any CM-Pf neurons sending collaterals to STN (Parent & Parent, 2005), which implies that the number of neurons projecting to STN may be very small in the primate. The differences described above probably reflect species differences in that the rodent lacks a large frontoparietal association cortex and its associated projection areas in the striatum and STN and/or that CM-Pf to STN projections in the rodent have heavier roles in controlling the activity of STN neurons.

Another possibility is that the PHA-L and BDA methods used in the monkey studies were unable to reveal the entire extent of fine collateral axons in STN.

**Synaptic sites**

The present EM study confirmed a previous study that CM-Pf axons form synapses on dendrites and spines of STN neurons (Bevan et al., 1995). The distribution of the diameter of dendrites suggested that postsynaptic sites are secondary or more distal dendritic shafts (Kita et al., 1983). The comparison of the diameter of post synaptic dendrites suggested that CM-Pf axons synapse on similar size of dendrites to randomly sampled asymmetric synapses, which included those formed by local collateral axons of STN neurons, cortical, thalamic, and pedunculopontine axons (data from Chang et al., 1983).

**Functional implications of CM-Pf projection to STN**

Kimura’s group found that monkey CM-Pf neurons responded to a wide variety of sensory stimuli, including somatic, visual, and auditory, that were behaviorally relevant and that the pattern of responses in CM and Pf differ significantly. Neurons in Pf responded predominantly with a short-latency facilitation, and were therefore named the SLF type, and those in CM with a long-latency facilitation (LLF), with some LLF preceded by a short-latency suppression (Matsumoto et al., 2001; Minamimoto et al., 2005). In their behavioral choice experiments, SLF neurons responded very strongly to cue and to invalidly cued target visual stimuli, which would evoke attentional orienting in monkeys. In contrast, LLF neurons showed a short-latency suppression after cue and subsequent increase after cue/or target stimuli.

Although both SLF and LLF neurons might be involved in evoking attentional orienting in the monkey, the differences in the response patterns and anatomical connections between CM and Pf suggest involvement in different functional roles. The anatomical connections discussed above suggest that CM may control basal ganglia activity mainly through the sensorimotor territories of the striatum and the globus pallidus, whereas Pf controls through the association and limbic territories of the striatum, the globus pallidus, and STN. Recent studies revealed that intralaminar projection to the striatum can control activity of striatal projection neurons and cholinergic interneurons (Ding et al., 2010; Ellender et al., 2013; Alloway et al., 2014). The physiological features of the intralaminar projections to the pallidum are unknown. Electrical stimulation of CM-Pf evoked a short latency strong excitation in STN neurons in the rat (Mouroux et al., 1995). The present anatomical results are consistent with the strong CM-Pf to STN excitation. STN neurons in the rat and the monkey responded to attentional visual, auditory, and somatic stimuli with the latency consistent with excitation driven by SLF in Pf (Matsumura et al., 1992; Cheruel et al., 1996; Sieger et al., 2015). Activation of STN can evoke very short latency excitation in the basal ganglia output nuclei, the globus pallidus internal segment (GPI) and the substantia nigra pars reticulata (SNr), while striatum-GPi/SNr are slow inhibitory connections (Kita et al., 1983). Thus, behaviorally relevant SLF can reach the basal ganglia output nuclei more quickly through the Pf-STN-GPi/SNr pathway than via the CM-Pf-striato-GPi/SNr pathway. The functional topographical organization of the CM-Pf-striato-GPi/SNr pathway is better segregated than that of the highly overlapped Pf-STN-GPi/SNr pathway. These anatomical and physiological data imply that the Pf-STN-GPi/SNr and CM-Pf-striato-GPi/SNr pathways play different...
roles. If the CM+Pf-striatum-GPi/SNr pathway is involved in generating attentively oriented behavior (Matsumoto et al., 2001; Minamimoto et al., 2005; Ding et al., 2010), and if the monosynaptic cortico-STN pathway acts as a brake on execution following conflict or errors by increasing the decision threshold (Frank, 2006; Cavanagh et al., 2011; Ratcliff & Frank, 2012), it is conceivable that the Pf-STN-GPi/SNr pathway is involved in the generation of a very fast internal braking mechanism to prevent or suppress attentional stimulus-invoked panic actions. Another hypothesis is that the pathway may drive preparatory baseline elevation of GPi/SNr activity before the striatum-mediated selected action signal arrives, which may provide higher accuracy behavior with slowed reaction times (Zaghoul et al., 2012).

**SC projection to the subthalamus**

The SC projection to ZI has been well documented (Roger & Cadusseau, 1985; Shammah-Lagnado et al., 1985; Kolmac et al., 1998; Tokuno et al., 1994; Mitrofanis, 2005; Watson et al., 2015). The main controversy regarding the tectal projection to the subthalamus is whether the main projection sites of SC include STN. Our results showed that the rostrotemporal part of the deep layers of SC projects heavily to ZIV and moderately to Zld. The lateral part of SC also projects moderately to the very thin limited area of the dorsolateral STN, which is ventrally adjacent to ZIV, and very sparsely to the medial part of STN. The SC-projecting area of the dorsolateral STN receives projections from the visual cortex (Kita et al., 2014), suggesting the possible convergence of SC and visual cortex mediated visual signals in this area. The STN projection was sparse from the middle to medial parts of SC. The SC projection to STN that we observed was resembled to that reported by Coizet et al. (2009), although we confirmed other studies that the main SC projection site in the subthalamus is ZI (Roger & Cadusseau, 1985; Shammah-Lagnado et al., 1985; Tokuno et al., 1994; Kolmac et al., 1998; Watson et al., 2015). It is likely that the reasons for the inconsistency in previous reports were due to the differences in the tracer injection sites and tracers used.
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**Abbreviations**

APT, Anterior pretectal nucleus; CL, Centrolateral thalamic nucleus; CM, Centromedian thalamic nucleus; Eth, Ethmoid thalamic nucleus; EYFP, Enhanced yellow fluorescent protein; FG, Fluoro-Gold; FoxxP2, Forkhead box protein P2; fr, Fasciculus retroflexus; GPi, Globus pallidus internal segment; H2, Forel field H2; LHA, Lateral hypothalamic area; LHb, Lateral habenular nucleus; LLF, Long-latency facilitation; LV, Lentiviruses; MD, Mediodorsal thalamic nucleus; NeuN, Neuron-specific nuclear protein; PAG, Periaqueductal gray; Pf, Parafascicular nucleus; Po, Posterior thalamic nuclear group; PV, Parvalbumin; PVG, Periventricular gray; SC, Superior colliculus; SLF, Short-latency facilitation; SNr, Substantia nigra pars reticulata; SPPC, Subparafascicular thalamic nucleus, parvicellular part; STN, Subthalamic nucleus; Zl, Zona incerta; Zld, Dorsal zona incerta; Zlv, Ventral zona incerta.
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