Chemically speciated mass size distribution, particle effective density and origin of non-refractory PM$_1$ measured at a rural background site in Central Europe
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Abstract

The seasonal variability of non-refractory PM$_1$ (NR-PM$_1$) was studied at a rural background site (National Atmospheric Observatory Košetice – NAOK) in the Czech Republic to examine the impact of atmospheric regional and long-range transport in Central Europe. NR-PM$_1$ measurements were performed by compact time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometry (C-ToF-AMS), and the chemically speciated mass size distributions, effective density, and origin were discussed. The average PM$_1$ concentrations, calculated as the sum of the NR-PM$_1$ (after collection efficiency corrections – CE corrections of 0.4 and 0.33 in summer and winter, respectively) and the equivalent black carbon (eBC) concentrations measured by an aethalometer (AE), were 8.58 ± 3.70 µg m$^{-3}$ in summer and 10.08 ± 8.04 µg m$^{-3}$ in winter. Organics dominated during both campaigns (summer/winter: 4.97 ± 2.92/4.55 ± 4.40 µg m$^{-3}$), followed by SO$_4^{2-}$ in summer (1.68 ± 0.81/1.36 ± 1.38 µg m$^{-3}$) and NO$_3^-$ in winter (0.67 ± 0.38/2.03 ± 1.71 µg m$^{-3}$). The accumulation mode dominated the average mass size distribution during both seasons, with larger particles of all species measured in winter (mode diameters: Org: 334/413 nm, NO$_3^-$: 377/501 nm, SO$_4^{2-}$: 400/547 nm, and NH$_4^+$: 489/515 nm) pointing to regional and long-range transport. However, since the winter aerosols were less oxidized than the summer aerosols (comparing fragments f$_{44}$ and f$_{43}$), the importance of local sources in the cold part of the year was not negligible. The average PM$_1$ particle effective density, defined as the ratio of the mass to the volume of a particle, corresponded to higher inorganic contents during both seasons (summer: ∼1.30 g cm$^{-3}$ and winter: ∼1.40 g cm$^{-3}$). However, the effective densities during episodes of higher mass concentrations calculated based on the particle number (mobility diameter) and mass size distribution (vacuum aerodynamic diameter) were even higher, ranging from 1.40 – 1.60 g cm$^{-3}$ in summer and from 1.40 – 1.75 g cm$^{-3}$ in winter. Although aged continental air masses from the SE were rare in summer (7%), they were...
connected with the highest concentrations of all NR-PM$_1$ species, especially $SO_4^{2-}$ and $NH_4^+$. In winter, slow continental air masses from the SW (44%) were linked to inversion conditions over Central Europe and were associated with the highest concentrations among all NR-PM$_1$ measurements.

1. Introduction

Studies on airborne particulate matter (PM) are needed to better understand its temporal and spatial variations, atmospheric processing, long-term trends, adverse health and environmental consequences, and pollution sources (Putaud, et al., 2004; Tørseth et al., 2012; Belis et al., 2013; EEA 2019). Aerosol particles can be characterized by many different properties such as number concentration, mass concentration, particle size, mass, volume, density, etc. Particle density is an important physical property of atmospheric particles and is linked to particle emission sources and atmospheric physical and chemical ageing processes. The effective density, which is defined as the ratio of the mass of the particle to its apparent volume, assuming a spherical particle, and can be estimated by comparing the size distributions of the aerodynamic and mobility diameters, is a quantity reflecting the physiochemical properties of aerosol particles (e.g., DeCarlo 2004; Pitz et al., 2003, 2008; Hu et al., 2012; Qiao et al., 2018).

Over the last decades, a growing number of scientific studies have investigated the detailed chemical composition of PM with variable temporal resolutions (1, 12, and 24 hours or higher) using offline filter analyses (Putaud et al., 2010; Watson and Chow, 2011). Nowadays, online methods with high temporal resolutions (30 min and less) are available, as aerosol mass spectrometers (AMSs) are utilized that quantitatively measure chemical composition as well as the chemically resolved size distributions of submicron non-refractory PM (NR-PM$_1$) (Jayne et al., 2000; Jimenez et al., 2003). Although measuring the seasonal variability of NR-PM$_1$ is becoming more common (Bressi et al., 2021), systematic studies considering chemically speciated mass size distributions are still rare. The available studies have also focused on new particle formation and growth, temporal variations, and the origin and sources of particles, including results presented from urban (Drewnick et al., 2004; Dall’Osto et al., 2009; Hersey et al., 2011; Freutel et al., 2013; Salimi et al., 2015; Kubelová et al., 2015), forestry (Allan et al., 2006), mid-altitude (Freney et al. 2011) and rural (Poulain et al., 2011; Milic et al., 2017) background environments.

Measurements obtained at rural background sites that are representative of wider areas are important for investigating the influence of regional and long-range transport as well as the long-term trends in PM characteristics. In the Czech Republic, the National Atmospheric Observatory Košetice (NAOK), officially classified as a Central European rural background site, is involved in the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP), Aerosol, Clouds, and Trace Gases Research Infrastructure Network (ACTRIS), and Global Atmosphere Watch (GAW) network. This site has been characterized in terms of the local PM$_{2.5}$ chemical composition and seasonal variability (Schwarz et al., 2016), the PM$_1$ isotopic composition (Vodička et al., 2019) and the PAHs that are bound to PM$_1$ (Křímal and Mikuška, 2020). Studies conducted at NAOK have also characterized the long-term trends of atmospheric carbonaceous aerosols (Mbengue et al., 2018, 2020) and PM$_{2.5}$ elemental compositions and sources (Pokorná et al., 2018). The particle number size distribution (PNSD) and influence of in-cloud and below-cloud scavenging have been investigated with long-term measurements by
Zíková and Ždímal (2013, 2016). However, detailed work focused on the seasonal variability in PM chemical composition data with high temporal and spatial resolutions is still lacking at this site. Therefore, this paper aims to assess NR-PM$_1$ (organics, sulphate, nitrate, ammonium and chloride) based on the chemically speciated mass size distribution, particle effective density, and origin during intensive campaigns in summer and winter at NAOK.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Instrumentation

Two intensive sampling campaigns were carried out in July 2019 (1.7. – 31.7.) and in January-February 2020 (16.1. – 10.2.) at NAOK. During the campaigns, several physical and chemical atmospheric aerosol properties were measured together with complete meteorological data collected from a professional meteorological station (WMO station 11628).

The size-resolved NR-PM$_1$ chemical composition (the sum of organic, sulphate, nitrate, ammonium and chloride) was measured by a compact time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer (C-ToF-AMS, Aerodyne, USA, Drewnick et al., 2005) with a 5-min temporal resolution. The instrument was connected to an inlet consisting of a PM$_{2.5}$ sampling head (16.7 l min$^{-1}$) and a Nafion dryer (Perma Pure MD-110-24P-4). Isokinetic sub-sampling was used to split the flow into AMS (0.1-l min$^{-1}$) from the main flow. The AMS size, flow, and ionization efficiency (IE) calibrations were performed in the brute-force single-particle mode (BFSP, Drewnick et al., 2005, monodisperse 350-nm ammonium nitrate aerosol particles) at the beginning of each campaign. Additionally, the measurements were performed with a HEPA filter applied to the inlet to account for zero-value measurements and to adjust the fragmentation table (Allan et al., 2004).

Additionally, 12-h PM$_1$ filter samples were collected by a sequential Leckel LVS-3 (Sven Leckel Ingenieurbüro, Germany) for subsequent chemical analyses of cations, anions and monosaccharide anhydrides using ion chromatography (Dionex ICS-5000+ system, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). More details about the methods can be found in Kozáková et al., 2019.

The particle number concentration (PNC) and particle number size distribution (PNSD) were measured every 5 min by a mobility particle size spectrometer (MPSS, IFT TROPOS, Germany, with CPC 3772, TSI USA) in the size range of 10 – 800 nm (a detailed description of the measurement set-up can be found in Zíková and Ždímal, 2013). The cumulative particle number concentrations over seven size ranges (10 – 25 nm, 25 – 50 nm, 50 – 80 nm, 80 – 150 nm, 150 – 300 nm, 300 – 800 nm, and 10 – 800 nm) were subsequently calculated from the PNSD. Additionally, the 1-h PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentrations were measured using a beta-gauge (MP101M, Environement SA, France).

The concentrations of equivalent black carbon (eBC) were estimated using a 7-wavelength aethalometer (Model AE33, Magee Scientific, Berkeley, CA, USA) sampling through a PM$_{10}$ sampling head (Leckel GmbH) with a 1-min temporal resolution. Additionally, 4-h PM$_{2.5}$ online organic and elemental carbon (OC/EC) concentrations (Sunset Laboratory Inc., USA) were measured following the shortened EUSAAR2 protocol (Cavalli et al., 2010).
2.2 Data analysis

The standard data processing procedure of AMS data (i.e., m/z calibration, baseline subtraction, and air beam correction) was carried out by running the Squirrel v1.62 program in Igor Pro data analysis software (WaveMetrics, Inc.).

The statistical data treatment was performed using R version 3.6.1 (R Core Team, 2019) with the ggplot2 (Wickham, 2016) and Openair (Carslaw and Ropkins, 2012) packages.

2.2.1 Collection efficiency determination

To determine the collection efficiency (CE; Drewnick et al., 2005) in the AMS, PM$_1$ filter sampling with subsequent ion chromatography (IC) analysis was conducted in parallel with the AMS measurements. A comparison between the sulphate concentrations measured by AMS and by IC revealed the better suitability of the CE corrections for summer (CE = 0.40; y = 0.99x, $R^2 = 0.95$) as well as for winter (CE = 0.33; y = 1.00x, $R^2 = 0.81$) in comparison to the composition-dependent CE correction (CDCE; Middlebrook et al., 2012) shown in Fig. A1.

Therefore, CE correction was applied to the AMS data for both seasons to maintain consistency in the data corrections. Similarly, using the same methodology, seasonal CE corrections (summer CE = 0.29 and winter CE = 0.35) were also successfully applied to AMS data measured at a suburban site in Prague (Kubelová et al., 2015).

2.2.2 Particle effective density calculation

Two approaches were employed to calculate the particle effective density. In the first approach, AMS data representing the mass size distributions based on the vacuum aerodynamic diameter ($D_{va}$) in the size range from 10 to 7000 nm (calculated in Squirrel software, 50 – 800 nm in reality) and MPSS data representing the dN/dlog D$_p$ in the size range from 11.3 to 987 nm were utilized. In the MPSS data, $D_{va}$ were recalculated using the mobility diameters with a density of 1.5 g cm$^{-3}$, and the $D_{va}$ were then recalculated back to mobility diameters with the assumption of spherical particles as in DeCarlo et al. (2004):

$$D_m = \frac{D_{va}}{\rho_0 \rho}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $D_m$ is the mobility diameter, $D_{va}$ is the vacuum aerodynamic diameter, $\rho_0$ is the water density, and $\rho$ is the total density of particles, resulting in the sizes ranging from 7.53 to 658 nm. The position of the main mode was compared between the AMS and MPSS data to estimate the aerosol effective density. The density was first used to recalculate the diameters and was later also used for the mass calculations. The dN data were calculated and used for the dV and dM distribution calculations.

In the second approach, the mass concentrations of NR-PM$_1$ species and eBC were converted to the estimated size-dependent density ($\rho$) based on the following equation from Salcedo et al. (2006).

$$\rho = \frac{[\text{Total}_{\text{AMS+eBC}}]}{[\text{NO}_3^-]^{1.75} + [\text{SO}_4^{2-}]^{1.52} + [\text{NH}_4^+]^{1.29} + [\text{Org}]+[\text{eBC}]^{1.77}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The densities were assumed to be approximately 1.75 g cm$^{-3}$ for ammonium nitrate, ammonium sulphate, and ammonium bisulphate (Lide, 1991); 1.52 g cm$^{-3}$ for ammonium chloride (Lide, 1991); 1.29 g cm$^{-3}$ for organic matter, and 1.77 g cm$^{-3}$ for elemental carbon (eBC).
1991); 1.20 g cm$^{-3}$ for organics (Turpin and Lim, 2001); and 1.77 g cm$^{-3}$ for black carbon (Park et al., 2004).

### 2.2.3 Cluster analysis

For both campaigns, 96-hour backwards trajectories were calculated using the Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) model (Rolph et al., 2017) with a 500-m AGL starting position and Global Data Assimilation System (GDAS) Archive Information at a resolution of 1° × 1° as input data. The calculations were initialized every 6 hours. The trajectories were further clustered using Hysplit4 software based on the total spatial variance. From HYSPLIT, the planetary boundary layer height data were extracted using the v mixing program (https://www.ready.noaa.gov/HYSPLIT_vmixing.php). For the planetary boundary layer height calculations, the 0.25° × 0.25° Global Forecast System (GFS) dataset was used as input data to obtain a 3-hour temporal resolution.

### 2.2.4. Episodes of high particle number and mass concentrations

To determine episodes of high particle number and mass concentrations, two approaches were utilized: i) the application of positive matrix factorization (PMF) to PNSDs and ii) the depiction of the mass size distribution of NR-PM$_1$ species. The episodes were studied in detail from the particle effective density and mass size distribution perspectives.

#### 2.2.4.1 PMF on PNSD

PMF (US EPA, version PMF 5.0) was applied to the seasonal 5-min PNSDs in the range from 10 nm to 800 nm to estimate the number and profile of the PNSD factors and their contributions to the receptor. Episodes in which the factor contributions to the total particle number concentrations were higher than 80 % were chosen for the subsequent particle effective density calculations.

The input data were prepared by merging three consecutive bins to reduce the noise in the raw data, decrease the number of variables, and reduce the number of zeroes in the raw data (Leoni et al., 2018). The uncertainties were calculated according to Vu et al. (2015). The total variables were calculated by summing all the bins (N10 – 800). PMF was conducted using different uncertainty input matrices and different C3 (Vu et al., 2015) to obtain the $Q_{\text{true}}$ closest to $Q_{\text{expected}}$. Different modelling uncertainties and different numbers of factors were also applied. A C3 of 0.8 was chosen.

#### 2.2.4.2 3D plots

The mass size distributions of nitrate, sulphate and organic matter are depicted in a colour-coded 3D plot showing episodes of high mass concentrations.

### 3. Results and discussions

#### 3.1 Campaign overview

The campaigns were characterized by prevailing westerly winds with average wind speed of 3.0 ± 1.5 m s$^{-1}$ in summer and 4.5 ± 3.1 m s$^{-1}$ in winter (Fig. A2), average temperature of 18.5
± 4.7 °C in summer and 1.4 ± 3.9 °C in winter, and negligible precipitation. The average PM$_{2.5}$ was 10.9 ± 5.9 μg m$^{-3}$ in summer and 11.8 ± 9.9 μg m$^{-3}$ in winter (2019 average annual concentration: 10.1 μg m$^{-3}$, CHMI, 2019a).

Based on the PNSD, in summer, particles in the size range of 25 – 80 nm (N25 – 50 and N50 – 80) predominated, while in winter, N80 – 150 were dominant (Table 1). Particles in the size range of 25 – 80 nm, also called the Aitken mode, are typical for rural background stations and originate from the ageing of particles generated during new particle formation (NPF) events (Costabile et al., 2009). Based on a 5-year study (2013 – 2017) evaluating PNSDs at NAOK, June and July were classified as the months with the highest NPF event frequencies (38 and 36% of days, respectively, Holubová Šmejkalová et al., 2021). The prevailing accumulation-mode particles in winter were presented in Schwarz et al., 2016, as well as in Zíková and Ždímal (2013). The average PNCs recorded during the two studied seasons were lower than the annual mean total concentration (6.6 × 10$^{3}$ cm$^{-3}$, Zíková and Ždímal, 2013).

Table 1. Average cumulative particle number concentrations (cm$^{-3}$) measured by MPSS during the summer and winter campaigns.

| Size range (nm) | Summer       | Winter       |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| N10 – 25       | 979±1488     | 315±344      |
| N25 – 50       | 1726±1536    | 529±402      |
| N50 – 80       | 1112±715     | 478±492      |
| N80 – 150      | 907±472      | 606±654      |
| N150 – 300     | 508±191      | 437±368      |
| N300 – 800     | 51±41        | 86±76        |
| N10 – 800 (Total) | 4971±2794  | 2451±1749    |

### 3.2 Volume and mass closure analysis with PNSD

For the mass closure analysis, the total mass concentrations measured by AMS (the sums of the organic, sulphate, nitrate, ammonium and chloride concentrations) were complemented by the eBC mass concentrations. The average PM$_{1}$ concentrations for the summer and winter campaigns were 8.58±3.70 μg m$^{-3}$ (filter-based 12-hour PM$_{1}$ 10.10 ± 6.44 μg m$^{-3}$) and 10.08 ± 8.04 μg m$^{-3}$ (filter-based 12-hour PM$_{1}$ 11.05 ± 7.22 μg m$^{-3}$), respectively. Since the PNSD (10- to 800-nm mobility diameter) was measured continuously in parallel with the eBC and NR-PM$_{1}$ mass, mass closure of the 10-min averages was performed. To do so, two approaches were utilized: i) converting the NR-PM$_{1}$ + eBC mass concentrations into volume concentrations using the composition-dependent density and ii) converting the PNSDs into mass concentrations using a constant density of 1.5 g cm$^{-3}$. Over the summer campaign, the NR-PM$_{1}$ + eBC volume and mass concentrations agreed well with the MPSS volume and mass concentrations in comparison to the winter campaign (Fig. 1). The seasonal effect on mass closure—already reported by Poulain et al., 2020 using ACSM at rural Melpitz, as well as by Fröhlich et al., 2015 using ToF-ACSM at Jungfraujoch could be explained by higher concentrations in larger size bins of the volume size distribution in winter compared to in summer (Fig. 2), since the AMS underestimates the particle mass concentrations for the larger size bins. This is due to the specific size cutting of each instrument and the transmission efficiency of the aerodynamic lens (Poulain et al., 2020). Moreover, the constant density is a limitation of the mass approach due to the density variability within the distinct episodes.
Irregularities in the mass size distributions of nitrate, sulphate, and ammonia are discussed further in this paper.

Fig. 1. Comparison between the AMS-AE and MPSS measurements during both campaigns: volume closure (left) and mass closure (right).

3.3 Concentration and origin of NR-PM$_1$

The CE-corrected mass concentrations of NR-PM$_1$ species, calculated as functions of time during the two campaigns, are shown in Fig. A3, and the seasonal average concentrations are presented in Table 2. Organics dominated during both campaigns, followed by $SO_4^{2-}$ in summer and $NO_3^-$ in winter. The PM$_1$ IC results confirmed higher mean $SO_4^{2-}$ concentrations in summer ($SO_4^{2-}_{IC} 1.63 \pm 0.84$ $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ and $NO_3^-_{IC} 0.23 \pm 0.18$ $\mu$g m$^{-3}$). However, the mean $NO_3^-$ concentrations were slightly lower than the $SO_4^{2-}$ concentrations in winter ($NO_3^-_{IC} 0.72 \pm 0.52$ $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ and $SO_4^{2-}_{IC} 0.78 \pm 0.58$ $\mu$g m$^{-3}$). The difference between the $NO_3^-$ concentrations in NR-PM$_1$ and PM$_1$ for both seasons could be explained by the loss of ammonium nitrate from the filter due to its dissociation into its gaseous precursors. Good agreement was obtained between the summer average NR-PM$_1$ $NH_4^+$ and PM$_1$ $NH_4^+$ (0.80 $\pm$ 0.37 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ vs 0.70 $\pm$ 0.36 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$) in comparison to those obtained in winter (1.11 $\pm$ 0.99 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ vs 0.46 $\pm$ 0.35 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$). The seasonal variability in nitrate, which displayed higher concentrations in winter, was related to the thermal instability of ammonium nitrate (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006). A higher share of Cl$^-$ on NR-PM$_1$ in winter (3 %) indicates the influence of coal combustion used for domestic heating (CHMI, 2019b).

Overall, the average $SO_4^{2-}$ concentration obtained in this study was lower than that measured at the Melpitz rural background site (2.44 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ in summer and 1.66 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ in winter, Poulin et al., 2011) and lower than the values presented in previous studies by Schwarz et al. (2016) conducted at NAOK (PM$_{2.5}$ IC 2.30 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ in summer and 3.86 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ in winter) and by Kubelová et al. (2015) conducted in a Prague urban background site (2.0 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ in summer and 4.4 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ in winter). The average summer $NO_3^-$ concentration was comparable to those measured in Melpitz (0.66 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$), NAOK (PM$_{2.5}$ IC 0.55 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$) and Prague (0.80 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$); however, the winter average concentration was lower than those reported in all three studies (Melpitz: 3.62 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$, NAOK: 2.83 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$, Prague: 5.40 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$). The average organic
concentration was lower in summer but higher in winter than the values recorded in Melpitz (6.89 μg m\(^{-3}\) (51%) and 2.08 μg m\(^{-3}\) (23%), respectively). The comparison of organic mass (OM) by AMS and OC using an OCEC field analyser is shown in Fig. A4. Turpin and Lim, 2001 recommended OM/OC ratio of 2.1 for non-urban (aged) particles and of 1.6 for urban particles. In this study, the average OM/OC ratio was 2.06 (±0.68) in summer and 1.51 (±0.36) in winter. An average OM\(_1\) and OC\(_2\) of 2.1±1.4 was determined at the Hohenpeissenberg rural site in spring, referring to continental OA (Hock et al., 2002). The higher summer OM/OC ratio could be explained by the presence of more oxidized organic compounds, as the products of photochemical reactions increase the average organic molecular weight per carbon weight (Turpin and Lim, 2001). This result is consistent with the increasing OC/EC ratio observed during summer, when photochemical activity leads to larger secondary organic carbon formation (Mbengue et al., 2018, 2020). Another explanation could be the increased boundary layer height, which enables mixing from higher altitudes and therefore the entrainment of aged, and thus more oxidized, aerosols from long-range transport (Querol et al., 1998). On the other hand, the winter season is characterized by fresh emissions of hydrocarbons owing to the lowered boundary layer height in winter, which does not support the transport of oxidized pollutants within the mixing layer (Schwarz et al., 2008).

Table 2. Basic statistics of the NR-PM\(_1\) and eBC concentrations (median, mean, standard deviation (SD) and average share of species in the total concentration) measured during summer and winter. The values were calculated from five-min-resolution CE-corrected data.

|        | Org  | \(SO_2^{2-}\) | \(NO_3^-\) | \(NH_4^+\) | Cl\(^-\) | eBC |
|--------|------|---------------|------------|------------|--------|-----|
| **Summer** |      |               |            |            |        |     |
| Median (μg m\(^{-3}\)) | 4.32 | 1.53          | 0.57       | 0.75       | 0.06   | 0.36|
| Mean (μg m\(^{-3}\))  | 4.97 | 1.68          | 0.67       | 0.80       | 0.06   | 0.40|
| SD     | 2.92 | 0.81          | 0.38       | 0.37       | 0.02   | 0.20|
| Average share on NR-PM\(_1\) | 58 % | 22 %          | 9 %        | 10 %       | 1 %    | --  |
| **Winter** |      |               |            |            |        |     |
| Median (μg m\(^{-3}\)) | 3.35 | 0.98          | 1.67       | 0.93       | 0.16   | 0.84|
| Mean (μg m\(^{-3}\))  | 4.55 | 1.36          | 2.03       | 1.11       | 0.18   | 0.92|
| SD     | 4.40 | 1.38          | 1.71       | 0.99       | 0.09   | 0.77|
| Average share on NR-PM\(_1\) | 50 % | 14 %          | 22 %       | 11 %       | 3 %    | --  |

Fig. 2. shows the variations in the particle number and volume and in the sulphate, nitrate and organic size distributions as function of time. In summer, several NPF episodes were recorded (Zíková and Ždímal, 2013; Holubová Šmejkalová et al., 2021); however, accumulation-mode particles were prominent in volume and species mass size distributions. The accumulation mode of \(SO_2^{2-}\) does not show a large amount of variation, indicating a regional origin. In contrast, \(NO_3^-\) shows dial variations in mass concentrations corresponding to the local photochemical formation of this species (Fig. A5). In winter, the accumulation mode dominated all distributions and was linked to regional and/or long-range transport (see 3.4 Size distribution of NR-PM\(_1\)).
Fig. 2. Time series of particle number and volume concentrations obtained by MPSS (\(D_{\text{va}}\) recalculated from mobility diameter using a density of 1.50 g cm\(^{-3}\)) and mass size distributions of nitrate, sulphate and organics obtained by AMS in summer (top) and in winter (bottom).
Based on the mass size distributions of the species (Fig. 2), ten summer (S1 – 10) and 13 winter (W1 – 13) high-concentration episodes were selected (Table A1). The organic mass dominated in summer; however, distinct episodes of high SO$_4^{2-}$ concentrations (S2, S8, S9, S10) linked to continental air masses from the NW and S-SE were also recorded (Fig. A6). In winter, episodes of dominant SO$_4^{2-}$ (W10) and NO$_3^-$ (W1, W2, W4, W5, W6) concentrations were observed. W10 was influenced by fresh marine air masses reaching NAOK over the UK, Benelux and Germany. The episodes of high NO$_3^-$ concentrations were linked to fresh marine air masses (from the NW) as well as continental air masses (from the NW-SW, Fig. A7).

In summer, the highest Org concentrations (14.58 µg m$^{-3}$) together with the lowest SO$_4^{2-}$ and NH$_4^+$ (1.24 µg m$^{-3}$ and 0.91 µg m$^{-3}$) concentrations were observed during the S1 night-morning episode linked to western continental air masses (Table A1 and Fig. A3). S10 represents the night-morning-early afternoon episode of the highest concentrations of SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$ and NH$_4^+$ (6.14 µg m$^{-3}$, 3.37 µg m$^{-3}$, and 2.98 µg m$^{-3}$, respectively) resulting from mixed continental air masses (NW-S) that were potentially influenced by emissions from coal power plants situated in North Bohemia.

Fig. 3. Comparison of organic fragments f$_{44}$ and f$_{60}$ for the whole summer and winter campaigns (full markers) and for specific episodes (empty markers). Bars represent the standard deviation and the triangular space area typical for ambient OOAs (Ng et al., 2010).

The highest concentrations of Org (15.63 µg m$^{-3}$) as well as low concentrations of SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$ and NH$_4^+$ (0.74 µg m$^{-3}$, 0.93 µg m$^{-3}$ and 0.96 µg m$^{-3}$, respectively) measured in winter during W7 were influenced by maritime air masses (Fig. A7). Nevertheless, a one-day inversion preceded this episode (Fig. A3), characterized by less oxidized OA (Fig. 3) and f$_{44}$f$_{60}$ trending towards f$_{60}$ (Fig. A8). In contrast, the highest winter SO$_4^{2-}$ and NH$_4^+$ concentrations (7.13 µg m$^{-3}$ and 7.90 µg m$^{-3}$, respectively) measured in the W3 episode and the highest NO$_3^-$
concentrations (10.66 μg m⁻³) measured in the W6a episode were characterized by below-freezing temperatures, which probably arose due to inversion conditions in Central Europe.

Organic aerosol ageing was examined on the f44 and f60 fragments (Fig. 3). Winter aerosols were less oxidized than summer aerosols, pointing to the importance of local sources during the cold part of the year. In summer, the oxidation rate of organic aerosols within the episodes does not differ greatly, and most of the episodes revealed more oxidized organic aerosols (MOOAs) or less volatile organic aerosols (LV-OOAs). Within the summer campaign, the most oxidized aerosols were detected during afternoon episode S2 (Fig. 3), at which time the highest global radiation was also measured (Table A1). In contrast, S4, S6 and S7 represent night-time and early morning episodes, and S5 represents a night-time and morning episode, and thus less oxidized aerosols (Fig. 3). In winter, the difference between the episodes is more obvious, mainly due to the higher variability in the local sources that influence the receptor site. The W7, W8 and W9 (Fig. 3) episodes are exceptions; these episodes were linked to fresh marine air masses (Fig. A7).

The f60 fragment was used as a biomass-burning (BB) marker. If ambient aerosols are characterized by f60 higher than 0.003, they are considered to be influenced by BB emissions (Cubison et al., 2011). During both campaigns, the average f60 was 0.003, in contrast to the presence of levoglucosan in the PM₁ samples during both seasons (summer average 0.02 ± 0.02 μg m⁻³ and winter average 0.18 ± 0.20 μg m⁻³). Levoglucosan concentrations point to BB influence, which was similarly discussed in previous studies conducted at NAOK by Schwarz et al. (2016) and Mbengue et al. (2020). Additionally, a comparison of fragments f44 and f60 enabled us to assess the presence of fresh organic aerosols emitted by BB (e.g., Milic et al., 2017) revealing that aged organic aerosols from BB influenced the site during both seasons (Fig. A9). The comparison of organic fragments f44 and f60 determined at the rural and urban background sites shows a difference in the ageing of BB emissions, with the presence of fresh organic aerosols at the urban site and aged organic aerosols at the rural site in winter (Fig. A9).

To determine the origin of NR-PM₁ species, back-trajectories describing their air mass origins were clustered using the HYSPLIT model into 6 and 5 clusters in summer and winter, respectively (Fig. 4.) and linked to the organic, nitrate, sulphate, ammonium and chloride concentrations. A seasonal difference was observed in the air mass back-trajectories, with continental air masses prevailing in summer and marine air masses prevailing in winter.

In summer, cluster #1 (continental air masses from the W-NW, 29%) and cluster #3 (fresh marine air masses from the NW, 28%) were most frequent. Although aged continental air masses from the SE probably related to stable anticyclonic conditions (cluster #6) were rare (7%), they were connected with the highest concentrations of all NR-PM₁ species, especially SO₄²⁻, NH₄⁺ and Cl⁻. NO₃⁻ was linked to fresh marine air masses (cluster #4, 7%), and Org was linked to continental air masses coming from the W-NW (#1 and #5, 29% and 19%, respectively) (Fig. 4.).

In winter, slow continental air masses from the SW cluster #1 (44%) prevailed. The air masses remaining over Central Europe, likely under inversion conditions, were associated with the highest concentrations of all NR-PM₁ species except Cl⁻ since there was no statistically significant difference among the clusters at the 0.05 level (Fig. 4.). The high pollution loads over Central Europe agree well with the high average mass concentrations of secondary species
during periods when air masses are advected from Central Europe to Paris (Freney et al., 2011, Crippa et al., 2013; Freutel et al., 2013, Freney et al., 2014).

Fig. 4. Geographical locations of the means of the clusters observed in summer (A) and winter (B) along with boxplots of the organic, nitrate, sulphate, ammonium and chloride concentrations in individual clusters measured during the summer (C) and winter (D) campaigns. The boxes are colour coded as the clusters, the black horizontal line is the median, the boxes border the 25th and 75th percentiles and the whiskers represent 1.5 x IQR.
3.4 Size distribution of NR-PM₁:
The average mass size distributions of the main NR-PM₁ species (except chloride) during the entire summer and winter campaign are presented in Table 3. To determine the mode diameters and the widths of the size distributions, the mass distributions were fitted with log-normal modes using the Igor MultiPeak Package as follows:

\[ y = M \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{\ln(x/x_0)}{\text{width}} \right)^2 \right]. \]  

(3)

where \( M \) is the amplitude, \( x_0 \) is the peak position in nm, and width denotes the peak width. For each season, the mean spectra were fitted separately with one peak, and fitting was also performed for episodes S1-10 and W1-13. Due to the long duration of episode W6, the episode was split into two sections: W6a (67 hours) and W6b (25.5 hours).

The accumulation mode dominated the average mass size distributions during both campaigns, with larger particles of all species observed in winter (Table 3). Shifts towards larger \( SO_4^{2-} \), \( NO_3^- \) and \( NH_4^+ \) particles in winter compared to summer were also observed in a previous study by Schwarz et al. (2012) that determined urban aerosol chemical compositions and size distributions using a 7-stage impactor with an upstream diffusion aerosol drier. The \( SO_4^{2-} \) particles were significantly larger than the \( NO_3^- \) particles during both measurement campaigns except for during two episodes (W7 and W9) with regional transport (Table 3). An accumulation mode of \( SO_4^{2-} \) with regional origin was even detected during a Mexico City Metropolitan Area field study by Salcedo et al. (2006). Dall’Osto et al. (2009) also observed two nitrate particle types at an urban background site, both of which were internally mixed with sulphate, ammonium and carbon: the locally produced particles were smaller than 300 nm, and the regional particles peaked at 600 nm. In a study by Schwarz et al. (2012) at an urban site in Prague, two types of \( SO_4^{2-} \) particles were determined. \( SO_4^{2-} \) particles in sea-influenced aerosol samples showed maxima between 210 and 330 nm (condensation growth) for both seasons, and \( SO_4^{2-} \) particles in continental-influenced samples showed maxima between 500 and 890 nm in winter and between 330 and 500 nm in summer (droplet-phase growth). \( NO_3^- \) particles with maxima between 330 nm and \( \sim 500 \) nm were observed under maritime and continental air masses during both seasons. Freutel et al., 2013 observed a single mode of NR-PM₁ species of approximately 300 nm under marine air masses as well as a shift of the accumulation mode to a larger size (approximately 400 nm) during a summer campaign in the Paris region due to aerosol particle ageing of continental air masses from Central Europe. During a summer measurement campaign in New York, the average mass distributions of \( NO_3^- \), \( SO_4^{2-} \) and \( NH_4^+ \) were monomodal, with mode diameters of 440 nm, 450 nm and 400 nm, respectively, and the average Org mass distribution was bimodal, with mode diameters of 80 nm and 360 nm (Drewnick et al., 2004). A study by Freney et al. (2011) conducted during three seasons at the Puy-de-Dôme research station presented a major accumulation mode of NR-PM₁ species peaking at 600 nm, indicating aged aerosol particles.
Table 3. Average size distributions of species measured by AMS (Dp corresponds to the vacuum aerodynamic diameter (Dva)) for the summer (left) and winter (right) campaigns.

|        | Org | SO$_4^{2-}$ | NO$_3^-$ | NH$_4^+$ |
|--------|-----|-------------|----------|----------|
| Summer | Dva (nm) | 334 | 377 | 401 | 497 |
| Winter | Dva (nm) | 413 | 501 | 547 | 517 |

In summer, the smallest mode diameters of Org (279 nm) and NO$_3^-$ (253 nm) were observed during the S7 episode, while for SO$_4^{2-}$ and NH$_4^+$ (325 nm and 335 nm, respectively), they were influenced by continental air masses of regional origin during the S2 episode (from the N-NE-E, Fig. A6). In contrast, the largest mode diameters (Org: 466 nm, NO$_3^-$: 491 nm, SO$_4^{2-}$: 494 nm and NH$_4^+$: 478 nm) were recorded during the S10 episode by continental long-range transport from the W-NW (Fig. A6). The smallest mode diameters of all species (Org: 295 nm, NO$_3^-$: 240 nm, SO$_4^{2-}$: 242 nm and NH$_4^+$: 365 nm) in winter (W8) were linked to fresh marine air masses, and the largest winter diameters (Org: 563 nm, NO$_3^-$: 609 nm, SO$_4^{2-}$: 636 nm and NH$_4^+$: 607 nm, W3) were linked to the regional and long-range transport of air masses of continental origin and were also probably influenced by inversion conditions (Fig. A7). Additionally, as expected, the Org particle size showed growth, and the increasing mode diameter was more significant in the winter season, with the ageing of aerosols resulting in oxygenated organic aerosols (Fig. 5).

Fig. 5. Relationship between organic fragment f44 and the size of the organic fraction during episodes of high NR-PM$_1$ species mass concentrations in both seasons.
3.5 Particle effective density

The particle effective density was calculated for each episode of high particle numbers and mass concentrations. The episodes were determined as follows: i) PMF application to PNSDs and ii) depiction of mass size distributions of NR-PM$_1$ species in a 3D plot (Fig. 2).

The PMF model was run several times until the most physically meaningful results and the best diagnostics were obtained. The two-sided size bins containing variables (9.7 nm, 11.5 nm, 557.2 nm and 733.6 nm; midpoint of the size bins) were set as weak along with the total variables (N10 – 800). The model was run with different factor numbers (3 – 8). The most stable solution was found when 6 factors in summer and 5 factors in winter were considered (Fig. A10). With all runs converged, the scaled residuals were normally distributed, any unmapped factors were detected with bootstrap error estimations. No swaps were observed with the displacement error analysis, indicating that the solution was stable (Table A2). The non-normalized PNSD (N cm$^{-3}$) was analysed using the model.

3.5.1 Episodes of high particle number concentrations

One high-particle-contribution episode occurred in summer, and eight short episodes occurred in winter (W1$_{MPSS}$, factor 3 of 5 and W2$_{MPSS}$ – W8$_{MPSS}$, factor 1 of 5; the durations ranged from 25 to 95 minutes). No NR-PM$_1$ data were available for effective density calculations during the summer period (3rd July from 9:20 to 10:05). The effective density ranged between 1.40 and 1.85 g cm$^{-3}$ (Table 4). During W1$_{MPSS}$, accumulation-mode particles dominated (F3, mode diameter ~429 nm, Fig. A10) with an effective density of 1.85 g cm$^{-3}$. A density of 1.85 g cm$^{-3}$ corresponds to black carbon (Martins et al., 1998), and a density of 2.0 g cm$^{-3}$ relates to aged biomass-burning particles (Moffet et al., 2008). The remaining episodes (W2$_{MPSS}$ – W8$_{MPSS}$) were linked mainly to particles of the Aitken mode (F1, mode diameter ~32 nm, Fig. A10) with effective densities ranging from 1.40 to 1.60 g cm$^{-3}$. Rissler et al. (2014) observed the dominance of particles with effective density ~ 1.4 g cm$^{-3}$ at a rural background site (Vavihill, Sweden) during the winter months, and Qiao et al. (2018) reported a decrease in particle effective densities ranging from 1.43 to 1.55 g cm$^{-3}$ at rural sites (Changping, China) with increasing particle sizes.

Table 4. Particle effective densities (g cm$^{-3}$) calculated during episodes of high particle contributions to N10 – 800 using MPSS data.

| Episode MPSS | W1 | W2 | W3 | W4 | W5 | W6 | W7 | W8 |
|--------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Density      | 1.85 | 1.45 | 1.50 | 1.55 | 1.45 | 1.55 | 1.40 | 1.60 |
| # of spectra | 13  | 8   | 8   | 19  | 7   | 5   | 8   | 8   |

3.5.2 Episodes of high mass concentrations

The densities calculated based on the particle mass size distributions using Eq. (1) corresponding to the episodes discussed in section 3.4 (Size distribution of NR-PM$_1$) ranged from 1.40 – 1.60 g cm$^{-3}$ in summer and from 1.30 – 1.75 g cm$^{-3}$ in winter (Table 5, Fig. A11 and Fig. A12). In comparison, the densities calculated using Eq. (2) were lower in both seasons, ranging from 1.30 to 1.40 g cm$^{-3}$ in summer (with a seasonal average of 1.34 ± 0.28 g cm$^{-3}$) and from 1.30 to 1.50 g cm$^{-3}$ in winter (with a seasonal average of 1.44 ± 0.16 g cm$^{-3}$) (Table 5).
The average summer density did not show a diurnal trend compared to the winter density (Fig. A13), followed by a diurnal trend (inverse dependence) observed for organics (Fig. A5). The summer diurnal variation in the concentrations of organics was flatter than that in winter and was not sufficient to significantly affect the diurnal density trend. In summer, we observed the most significant diurnal trend for nitrate, but the absolute concentrations of nitrate were low, and this variation therefore did not significantly affect the summer diurnal density trend (Fig. A5).

In summer, with a higher ratio of ammonium sulphate, the density increased. In winter, the density was influenced by the inorganic content (ammonium nitrate and sulphate). In both seasons, the density increased with a decrease in the organic ratio and vice versa. This relation evidently arises from the parameters in Eq. (2) (Fig. A14). The largest uncertainty in the PM density calculations performed using Eq. (2) is linked to the density of organics, which was set to 1.2 g cm\(^{-3}\). The density applied for the organic fraction refers to the urban and urban background stations (Turpin and Lim, 2001), and the organics density of a rural background site is expected to be higher than that of an urban site due to organic aerosol ageing. However, a density of 1.2 g cm\(^{-3}\) was also utilized in a study conducted by Freney et al. (2011) at a mid-altitude Puy-de-Dôme site and in a study conducted by Poulain et al. (2020) at a rural site in Melpitz. In this study, as the mass fraction of organics in the aerosols increased, the density calculated using Eq. (2) converged to a value of 1.2 g cm\(^{-3}\) (Fig. A14).

Table 5. Particle effective densities (g cm\(^{-3}\)) calculated during episodes of high mass concentrations using AMS data.

| Episode AMS | S1  | S2  | S3  | S4  | S5  | S6  | S7  | S8  | S9  | S10 |
|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Density\(^{*}\) | 1.45 | 1.60 | 1.50 | 1.55 | 1.40 | 1.45 | 1.45 | 1.45 | 1.45 | 1.50 |
| Density\(^{**}\) | 1.30 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 1.35 | 1.40 | 1.40 |
| # of spectra | 145  | 61  | 73  | 61  | 49  | 109 | 109 | 133 | 265 | 169 |

| Episode AMS | W1  | W2  | W3  | W4  | W5  | W6a | W6b | W7  | W8  | W9  | W10 | W11 | W12 | W13 |
|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Density\(^{**}\) | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.50 | 1.60 | 1.70 | 1.6 | 1.55 | 1.55 | 1.60 | 1.45 | 1.75 | 1.50 | 1.60 | 1.55 |
| # of spectra | 175  | 229 | 337 | 85  | 25  | 805 | 307 | 19  | 25  | 19  | 97  | 115 | 31  | 139 |

\(^{*}\) Density calculated using Eq. 1.

\(^{**}\) Density calculated using Eq. 2 (Salcedo et al., 2006).

The differences between the densities obtained using the two approaches (spectra fitting – Eq. 1 versus chemical equation – Eq. 2.), ranging from 2 – 12% in summer and 7 – 19% in winter, indicate the presence of different compounds of lower or higher densities that are not taken into consideration by the effective density calculations, as well as the lower density used for organics in Eq. (2), the physical characteristics of the particles, such as the particle size, porosity and non-compactness, and calculation uncertainties that are primarily related to the single CE correction used for the whole data set. The smaller differences between the two approaches obtained in summer indicate aerosol particles composed mainly of NR-PM\(_1\) species along with...
eBC. In winter, the differences were larger, and both negative (compounds with lower densities and/or particle physical characteristics) and positive (compounds with higher densities) differences were obtained. However, the larger differences in winter could be strongly influenced by the considerable CE correction applied to the AMS data.

4. Summary and conclusions

This study is the first of its kind in the Czech Republic, assessing the seasonal variability of NR-PM$_1$ based on its chemically speciated mass size distribution, density, and origin at a rural background site. The impacts of atmospheric regional and long-range transport in Central Europe were examined based on intensive measurement campaigns conducted at National Atmospheric Observatory Košetice (NAOK) in summer 2019 and winter 2020.

The CE correction performed based on comparisons between sulphate concentrations measured by AMS and IC was applied to the NR-PM$_1$ data (0.4 in summer and 0.33 in winter), resulting in very good agreement between the AMS and MPSS volume and mass concentration in summer (slope=1.08, $R^2=0.96$ and slope=1.00, $R^2=0.97$, respectively) and winter (slope=0.93, $R^2=0.94$ and slope=0.89, $R^2=0.94$, respectively). Near-real-time and systematic comparisons with reference methods represent the best way to obtain quality assurance of the AMS data and are needed to better characterize the robustness of the AMS data over long sampling time (Poulain et al., 2020).

The average NR-PM$_1$+eBC concentrations were 8.58±3.70 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ in summer and 10.08±8.04 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ in winter, with organics dominating during both seasons, followed by $SO_4^{2-}$ in summer and $NO_3^-$ in winter. The different seasonal compositions in PM$_1$ were caused by different sources and variable properties of individual compounds and were related to different meteorological conditions during these two seasons in the Czech Republic, as was previously mentioned by Kubelová et al., 2015.

The accumulation mode dominated the average mass size distributions during both seasons, with larger particles of all species in winter linked to seasonally differentiated regional and long-range origins as well as to the variability in the local sources primarily observed in winter. Although summer-aged continental air masses from the SE were rare (7%), they were connected to the highest concentrations of all NR-PM$_1$ species. In winter, the slow continental air masses from the SW (44%) linked to inversion conditions over Central Europe were associated with the highest concentrations of organics, sulphate, nitrate, and ammonium.

The application of PMF on the PNSD enabled us to distinguish eight episodes of high particle contributions to N10-800 to calculate the particle effective density based on the particle number and mass size distributions. Additionally, a comparison of spectra fitting and chemical-based calculations for determining the particle effective density during episodes of high mass concentrations revealed differences in these two approaches due to the presence of compounds that were not taken into consideration by the density calculations, such as particle physical characteristics and calculation uncertainties.
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APPENDIX

Figure A1. Comparison of sulphate concentration measured by AMS and retrieved from PM$_{1}$ filter analysis by IC with applied CDCE correction (left) and constant CE correction (right) for both measurement seasons.

Figure A2. Wind rose summer (left) and winter (right).
Figure A3. Mass concentration of Org, NO$_3^-$, SO$_4^{2-}$, and NH$_4^+$ measured by AMS with applied constant collection efficiency (CE) correction for summer (top) and winter (bottom) campaign with marked episodes of higher mass concentrations, mixing layer height and particle effective density calculated using Eq. (2) in the main text from Salcedo et al., 2006.
Figure A4. Comparison of organic mass concentration measured on-line by AMS (Org CE corrected) and by OCEC analyser in summer and winter.

Figure A5. Diurnal trends of the NR-PM$_1$ species (common colour code) in summer (left) and winter (right).
Table A1. Overview table presenting mass (M) and median diameter (d) of NR-PM$_1$ species calculated by fitting log-normal function to the AMS size distributions for the selected episodes in summer (S1 – S10) and winter (W1 – 13) along with meteorology recorded during the episodes (relative humidity – RH, global radiation – GR, temperature – T, wind speed – WS and wind direction – WD).

| Episode | Start | End | Duration [h] | M$_{\text{Org}}$ [ug m$^{-3}$] | M$_{\text{NO}_x}$ [ug m$^{-3}$] | M$_{\text{SO}_4}^{2-}$ [ug m$^{-3}$] | M$_{\text{NH}_4}$ [ug m$^{-3}$] | d$_{\text{Org}}$ [nm] | d$_{\text{NO}_x}$ [nm] | d$_{\text{SO}_4}^{2-}$ [nm] | d$_{\text{NH}_4}$ [nm] |
|---------|------|-----|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| S1      | 7.19 | 7.19 | 12          | 14.58           | 0.82            | 1.24           | 0.91           | 314           | 285           | 414           | 498           |
| S2      | 7.21 | 7.21 | 5           | 6.33            | 0.49            | 4.70           | 1.52           | 307           | 304           | 325           | 335           |
| S3      | 7.19 | 7.19 | 6           | 6.71            | 2.00            | 1.84           | 1.15           | 373           | 421           | 470           | 453           |
| S4      | 7.20 | 7.20 | 5           | 8.41            | 2.03            | 1.58           | 1.21           | 365           | 388           | 467           | 466           |
| S5      | 7.21 | 7.21 | 4           | 10.83           | 1.01            | 1.53           | 0.95           | 358           | 333           | 473           | 504           |
| S6      | 7.24 | 7.24 | 9           | 8.94            | 0.97            | 1.59           | 1.07           | 284           | 271           | 366           | 412           |
| S7      | 7.26 | 7.26 | 9           | 9.25            | 0.98            | 1.43           | 0.99           | 279           | 253           | 382           | 454           |
| S8      | 7.27 | 8.08 | 10          | 9.63            | 1.36            | 3.54           | 1.56           | 399           | 412           | 439           | 436           |
| S9      | 7.28 | 13.00| 22          | 6.78            | 1.16            | 4.49           | 1.76           | 409           | 414           | 440           | 439           |
| S10     | 7.30 | 14.00| 14          | 9.57            | 3.37            | 6.14           | 2.98           | 466           | 491           | 494           | 478           |
| W1      | 1.16 | 1.17 | 14.5        | 8.60            | 5.63            | 1.39           | 3.47           | 357           | 378           | 447           | 392           |
| W2      | 1.17 | 1.18 | 19          | 4.04            | 5.84            | 1.45           | 3.83           | 356           | 428           | 456           | 429           |
| W3      | 1.21 | 1.22 | 28          | 9.33            | 7.50            | 7.13           | 7.90           | 563           | 609           | 636           | 607           |
| W4      | 1.23 | 1.23 | 7           | 1.90            | 7.04            | 1.89           | 4.48           | 388           | 386           | 487           | 410           |
| W5      | 1.23 | 1.23 | 2           | 4.26            | 7.27            | 3.20           | 5.46           | 357           | 386           | 433           | 391           |
| W6      | 1.23 | 1.27 | 93          | 7.82            | 9.40            | 4.18           | 6.76           | 460           | 586           | 630           | 588           |
| W6a     | 1.23 | 1.26 | 67          | 6.18            | 10.66           | 4.15           | 7.55           | 523           | 584           | 629           | 584           |
| W6b     | 1.26 | 1.27 | 25.5        | 13.23           | 6.37            | 4.34           | 4.89           | 398           | 571           | 625           | 593           |
| W7      | 2.12 | 2.12 | 1.5         | 15.63           | 0.93            | 0.74           | 0.96           | 336           | 276           | 241           | 390           |
| W8      | 2.12 | 2.12 | 2           | 10.32           | 0.72            | 0.62           | 0.90           | 295           | 240           | 242           | 365           |
| W9      | 2.22 | 2.22 | 1.5         | 10.12           | 0.17            | 0.41           | 0.76           | 296           | 787           | 287           | 392           |
| W10     | 2.62 | 2.62 | 8           | 2.15            | 2.66            | 4.19           | 3.35           | 385           | 479           | 473           | 462           |
| W11     | 2.72 | 2.82 | 9.5         | 5.76            | 5.09            | 2.50           | 3.30           | 366           | 419           | 488           | 446           |
| W12     | 2.82 | 2.82 | 2.5         | 6.52            | 5.23            | 2.27           | 3.06           | 387           | 461           | 523           | 478           |
| W13     | 2.82 | 2.92 | 11.5        | 7.72            | 8.12            | 1.93           | 4.35           | 379           | 436           | 498           | 451           |

| Episode | Start | End | Duration [h] | RH [%] | GR [W m$^{-2}$] | T [°C] | WS [m s$^{-1}$] | WD |
|---------|------|-----|-------------|-------|----------------|-------|----------------|----|
| S1      | 7.19 | 7.19 | 12          | 49    | 318            | 25.8  | 3.7            | W-SW |
| S2      | 7.21 | 7.21 | 5           | 44    | 566            | 22.8  | 3.7            | N-NNW|
| S3      | 7.19 | 7.19 | 6           | 91    | 92             | 17.3  | 1.5            | S-SE-W|
| S4      | 7.20 | 7.20 | 5           | 97    | 28             | 14.9  | 1.3            | SE   |
| S5      | 7.21 | 7.21 | 4           | 68    | 31             | 19.7  | 2.5            | SW-NW|
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| Date   | Hour  | Temperature | Wind Direction |
|--------|-------|-------------|----------------|
| S6     | 7.24.19 21:00 | 7.25.19 6:00 | 9 | 68 | 13 | 18.2 | 1.2 | SW-SE |
| S7     | 7.26.19 0:00 | 7.27.19 8:00 | 9 | 59 | 148 | 19.1 | 2.3 | W     |
| S8     | 7.28.19 15:00 | 7.29.19 13:00 | 10 | 75 | 297 | 21.3 | 3.4 | SE    |
| S9     | 7.30.19 0:00 | 7.30.19 14:00 | 22 | 81 | 156 | 20.5 | 2.4 | W-NW-SE |
| S10    | 8.1.20 14:00 | 8.1.20 11:00 | 93 | 93 | 34 | -1.1 | 1.7 | SE-S-SW |
| W1     | 1.1.20 15:30 | 1.1.20 6:00 | 14.5 | 92 | 3 | 1.1 | 2.1 | SE |
| W2     | 1.17.20 21:00 | 1.18.20 16:00 | 19 | 96 | 13 | 0.4 | 2.0 | SE-NW |
| W3     | 1.21.20 13:00 | 1.22.20 17:00 | 28 | 93 | 77 | -3.8 | 2.5 | W-NW-SW |
| W4     | 1.23.20 1:00 | 1.23.20 8:00 | 7 | 88 | 0 | 0.1 | 1.7 | W-NW |
| W5     | 1.23.20 10:00 | 1.23.20 12:00 | 2 | 73 | 120 | 0.6 | 1.9 | SE |
| W6     | 1.23.20 14:00 | 1.27.20 11:00 | 93 | 93 | 34 | -1.1 | 1.7 | SE-S-SW |
| W6a    | 1.23.20 14:00 | 1.26.20 9:00 | 67 | 94 | 20 | -2.4 | 2.0 | SE-S |
| W6b    | 1.26.20 9:30 | 1.27.20 11:00 | 25.5 | 98 | 43 | -1.0 | 1.1 | SE |
| W7     | 2.1.20 7:30 | 2.1.20 9:00 | 1.5 | 77 | 22 | 9.2 | 3.9 | SW |
| W8     | 2.1.20 12:00 | 2.1.20 14:00 | 2 | 69 | 201 | 11.9 | 7.5 | SW |
| W9     | 2.2.20 6:00 | 2.2.20 7:30 | 1.5 | 75 | 0 | 4.1 | 8.1 | W |
| W10    | 2.6.20 10:00 | 2.6.20 18:00 | 8 | 76 | 112 | 0.4 | 6.0 | W-NW |
| W11    | 2.7.20 16:00 | 2.8.20 1:30 | 9.5 | 92 | 4 | 0.9 | 1.5 | SE |
| W12    | 2.8.20 9:30 | 2.8.20 12:00 | 2.5 | 85 | 237 | 0.8 | 3.9 | SE |
| W13    | 2.8.20 13:00 | 2.9.20 0:30 | 11.5 | 84 | 86 | 0.6 | 2.7 | SE |
Figure A6. Backward air mass trajectories calculated by HYSPLIT for corresponding summer episodes (S1 – S10) of high concentration of species size distributions.
Figure continues.
Figure A7. Backward air mass trajectories calculated by HYSPLIT for corresponding winter episodes (W1 – W13) of high concentration of species size distributions.
Figure A8. Comparison of organic fragments $f_{44}$ and $f_{60}$ for the whole campaigns (full markers) and for the specific episodes (empty markers). Bars represent standard deviation and the triangular space area of biomass burning (BB) influence and dashed line a limit for a negligible fresh BB influence (Cubison et al., 2011).

Figure A9. Comparison of organic fragments $f_{44}$ and $f_{60}$ determined at rural background site (NAOK) and urban background site (Prague, study by Kubelová et al., 2015) during summer and winter seasons.
Figure A10. PNSD factor profiles for summer (top) and winter (bottom) campaign. The bars represent the number size distribution (y-axis on the left), and the lines represent the contribution as a percentage (y-axis on the right).
Table A2. Summary of PMF diagnostics for PNSD.

| Diagnostic                  | Summer   | Winter   |
|-----------------------------|----------|----------|
| N. of observations          | 8684     | 7414     |
| Missing values               | 6.8%     | 0%       |
| Number of factors            | 6        | 5        |
| $Q_{\text{expected}}$        | 161224   | 103701   |
| $Q_{\text{true}}$            | 129774   | 102925   |
| $Q_{\text{robust}}$          | 130657   | 103495   |
| Species with $Q/Q_{\text{expected}}>2$ | 0       | 263      |
| Extra modelling uncertainty  | 4.8%     | 4.0%     |
| DISP swaps                   | 0        | 0        |
| BS mapping                   | 100%     | 100%     |
Figure A11. Fit of AMS and MPSS mass size distribution spectra of summer episodes (S1 – S10) for density calculation.
Figure A12. Fit of AMS and MPSS mass size distribution spectra of winter episodes (W1 – W13) for density calculation.
Figure A13. Diurnal trends of average effective particle density calculated based on Eq. (2) in the main text from Salcedo et al., 2006 in summer (left) and winter (right).
Figure A14. Relationship between density, calculated according to Eq., and mass fractions of the main NR-PM$_1$ species.