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GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

Fe(II) interaction with cement phases was studied by means of co-precipitation and sorption experiments in combination with X-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) spectroscopy. Oxidation of Fe(II) was fast in alkaline conditions and therefore, a methodology was developed which allowed Fe(II) to be stabilised in the sorption experiments and to prepare samples for spectroscopy. X-ray diffraction (XRD) of the co-precipitation samples showed uptake of a small portion of Fe(II) by calcium-silicate-hydrates (C-S-H) in the interlayer indicated by an increase in the interlayer spacing. Fe(II) incorporation by AFm phases was not indicated. Wet chemical experiments using \(^{55}\)Fe radiotracer revealed linear sorption of Fe(II) irrespective of the Ca/Si ratio of C-S-H and equilibrium pH. The \(K_d\) values for Fe(II) sorption on C-S-H are more than three orders of magnitude lower as compared to Fe(III), while they are comparable to those of other bivalent metal cations. XAFS spectroscopy showed Fe(II) binding by C-S-H in an octahedral coordination environment. The large number of neighbouring atoms rules out the formation of a single surface-bound Fe(II) species. Instead the data suggest presence of Fe(II) in a structurally bound entity. The data from XRD and XAFS spectroscopy suggests the presence of both surface- and interlayer-bound Fe(II) species.
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1. Introduction

Corrosion-induced damage is by far the most common cause of deterioration limiting the durability of reinforced concrete and thus the service life of concrete structures (e.g. [1,2]). Corrosion of reinforcing steel bars accounts for the conversion of Fe(0) into Fe(II,III) corrosion products and the interaction of Fe(II,III) ions with cement phases at the iron-cement interface (e.g. [1,3,4]). The interaction of ferrous iron (Fe(II)) with cement phases is presently poorly understood. Stefanoni et al. [5] developed a conceptual model which describes the fate of ferrous ions released by anodic iron dissolution in terms of a competition between the kinetics of Fe(II) oxidation and its transport in the pore solution of concrete. The model predictions suggest that the pore solution is always under-saturated with respect to Fe(II)-containing solids in natural corrosion environments, which allows Fe(II) diffusion to be observed up to a few millimetres away from the steel surface. Fe(II) ions remain in the dissolved chemical form and diffuse before being oxidised to Fe(III). Below the Fe(II) solubility in alkaline conditions, Fe(II) uptake by cement phases determines the aqueous Fe(II) concentration in the cementitious environment and consequently the concentration gradient relevant to diffusive transport.

Assessment of the interaction of ferrous iron with cement phases is further significant in connection with the safe disposal of low- and intermediate-level radioactive waste (L/ILW). For example, the planned cement-based deep-mined geological L/ILW repository in Switzerland will contain large amounts of irradiated metallic waste, in particular iron and carbon steel embedded in cementitious materials, which corrode over time [6]. Limited information is available on the long-term geochemical evolution of the interface between iron/steel and cement in anoxic conditions (e.g. [7]), in particular Fe(II,III) uptake by cement phases and possible changes of the mineral composition of cement paste due to corrosion-induced effects. Note that cementitious materials will act as the main barriers retarding the release of radionuclides from the cementitious near field into the host rock.

Archaeological artefacts buried in anoxic carbonated soil environments showed siderite, iron hydroxy carbonates and magnetite as the main corrosion products (e.g. [7,8]). In carbonate-depleted conditions, the formation of magnetite ("black rust") was observed to be the main corrosion product, for example reinforcement steel subjected to anoxic corrosion for almost one hundred years [9]. Nevertheless, there is no evidence from current studies on the anoxic corrosion of artefacts whether or not Fe(II) may interact with cement phases over the service life of an L/ILW repository, that is up to 10^5 years.

The interaction of ferric iron (Fe(III)) with cement phases has been investigated in detail over the past decade. Fe(III) was found to replace Al(III) in Al(III)-bearing cement phases, such as Fe- ettringite [10,11], Fe-AFm phases [12,13], as well as siliceous hydrogarnet [14]. In addition, synchrotron-radiation-based studies showed that Fe/Al siliceous hydrogarnet is the thermodynamically most stable Fe(III)-bearing phase in Portland cement [15,16]. Recent investigations revealed strong uptake of Fe(III) by colloidal calcium-silicate-hydrate (C-S-H) phases [17], which is the most abundant constituent of cement paste and the most important host phase controlling the uptake of metal cations by cementitious materials [18]. Structural studies showed Fe(III) uptake into the interlayer of C-S-H phases at Ca/Si ratios of 1.2 and 1.5, while Fe(III) bonding on the surface of C-S-H phases was suggested to occur at a low Ca/Si ratio of 0.8 [17].

Studies on Fe(II) interaction with C-S-H phases are especially challenging due to the limited stability field of Fe(II) in strongly alkaline conditions [19]. By contrast, the uptake of various bivalent, redox-stable cations by C-S-H phases has been investigated in the last decades, such as Zn(II) [20–22], Sr(II) [23], Ra(II) [24,25], Pb(II) [26,27] and Ba(II) [28]. In addition to the immobilisation of bivalent metal cations, C-S-H phases play a prominent role in the immobilisation of tri- and tetravalent actinides [29–33]. The latter studies provided ample evidence that, in C-S-H, Ca(II) substitution by trivalent actinides in the CaO layer and by tetravalent actinides in the interlayer are the dominant mechanisms of actinide binding.

The present study aimed at developing a sound methodology for investigating the interaction of Fe(II) with cement phases (C-S-H, monosulphate, and monocarbonate) on the basis of wet chemistry experiments carried out in alkaline anoxic conditions and by using X-ray absorption spectroscopy (EXAFS, XANES). Sorption experiments with C-S-H phases were conducted in electrochemical cells in order to control the redox state of ferrous iron. X-ray absorption near edge spectroscopy (XANES) was employed to verify the redox state of Fe(II). A sorption mechanism for Fe(II) binding by C-S-H phases is proposed on the basis of the X-ray diffraction (XRD) data from the co-precipitation experiments and structural parameters derived from extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectroscopy. Eventually, the extent of Fe(II) interaction with C-S-H phases is compared with that of bivalent metal cations.

2. Materials and methods

All solutions were prepared using Fluka or Merck analytical grade ("pro analysis") chemicals. Ultrapure (deionised, de-carbonated) water (Milli-Q® water, 18.2 MΩ cm resistance) used for the preparation of solutions and for sample dilution was generated by a Milli-Q Gradient A10 purification system (Millipore, USA). Ultrapure water was boiled for 2 h under continuous N2 purge in order to prepare degassed water. The O2 concentration of the degassed water was measured and found to be below the detection limit (1 ppb) of a PreSens PSt6 planar oxygen sensor (Regensburg, Germany). All wet chemistry experiments were conducted in glove boxes under an inert N2 atmosphere (O2, CO2 < 0.1 ppm).

2.1. Chemical stability of Fe(II) in alkaline solution

The chemical stability of Fe(II) was investigated as a function of time in the pH range between 3 and 9 at initial Fe(II) concentrations below the Fe(II) solubility (∼10^-3 M at pH 9; see Fig. S5 in Supplementary Information (SI)). A stock solution of 10^-4 M FeCl2 at pH 2 was prepared and diluted in polyethylene (PE) flasks to the desired initial Fe(II) concentration. The pH was adjusted by adding appropriate volumes of 1.0 M NaOH. Ultrapure, degassed water was used and all the experiments were carried out inside the glove box with an inert N2 atmosphere to minimise O2 contamination.

2.2. Synthesis of C-S-H phases

The C-S-H phases were prepared as described elsewhere [17]. In brief, the C-S-H phases were synthesised by mixing CaO (calcium oxide) and SiO2 (silica fume Aerosil 300 from Evonik Industries AG, Germany) at different proportions according to the target Ca/Si ratios (i.e. 0.8 and 1.5) by using the "direct reaction" method reported elsewhere [34]. CaO was prepared by decomposing CaCO3 (Merck, Germany) at 1000 °C until the weight of the material was constant. CaO and SiO2 were mixed with ultrapure, degassed water to prepare a suspension at a solid-to-liquid (S/L) ratio of 5 g/L (based upon the dry mass CaO + SiO2). The equilibrium pH values of the C-S-H suspensions were 11.5 at target Ca/Si = 0.8 and 12.5 at
target Ca/Si = 1.5. The suspensions were stored in closed PE flasks. Prior to use, the suspensions were equilibrated on an end-over-end shaker for one month in the glove box with N₂ atmosphere.

2.3. Co-precipitation experiments

Co-precipitation samples of C-S-H phases, AFm (Al₂O₃-Fe₂O₃-mono) phases and AFt (Al₂O₃-Fe₂O₃-tri) phases were prepared by replacing Ca(II) with Fe(II). FeO (Merck, Germany) was used as Fe (II) source. C-S-H samples were prepared according to the procedure described in Section 2.2 by replacing 10% CaO (on a mol basis) by FeO. Monosulphate (AFmSO₄) and monocarbonate (AFmCO₃) were synthesised using Al₂O₃, CaO, FeO (replacing 10% CaO on a mol basis), and Na₂SO₄ or Na₂CO₃ (Merck, Germany), respectively. Appropriate amounts of the starting materials were mixed in 250 mL NaOH according to the stoichiometric ratio of the target compounds. The equilibrium Na concentration was 0.2 M (pH 13). The FeO-containing co-precipitation samples were aged at T = 60 °C for six months, respectively. An aliquot of each suspension was sampled for characterisation. To this end, the solid and liquid phases were separated by centrifugation (1 h at 95,000 g) under N₂ atmosphere. The solid phases were dried over a saturated NaOH solution (~8% relative humidity) in an airtight box placed in the glove box with the inert N₂ atmosphere and subsequently analysed by XRD and XANES.

2.4. Sorption experiments

The sorption experiments with Fe(II) on C-S-H phases were conducted in electrochemical cells (Fig. 1). The equipment was modified after Soltermann et al. [35] and is based on the electrochemical method reported earlier by Aeschbacher et al. [36]. The electrochemical cell consists of the bulk and matrix compartments. The bulk compartment contains the Fe(II)-containing suspension to be kept in reducing conditions whereas the matrix compartment contains only the equilibrium solution of the Fe(II)-containing suspension. The two compartments are separated by an anion exchange membrane (FAB, Fumatech BWT, Germany), which retards the diffusion of the cations between the two compartments and subsequent oxidation of Fe(II) at the anode. The cell is equipped with three electrodes. The bulk compartment harbours an Ag/AgCl reference electrode (eDAQ, Australia) and a glassy carbon working electrode type Sigradur (HTW, Germany). A coiled wire platinum auxiliary electrode (Bioanalytical Systems Inc., USA) is inserted in the matrix compartment. A VMP3 multipotentiostat (Bio-Logic, France) was used to monitor the current I (A) over time t(s) and to control the redox potential at the working electrode.

Sorption studies with Fe(II) on the synthesised C-S-H phases were carried out to quantify the sorption isotherm (sorbed Fe(II) vs. aqueous Fe(II)). Suspensions of the C-S-H phases with two different target Ca/Si ratios (0.8 and 1.5) were used at equilibrium pH (11.5 and 12.5). For each Ca/Si ratio, five electrochemical cells containing the C-S-H suspension (78 mL) were operated at Eₗ = −1.0 V (SHE) for two days prior to labelling with ⁵⁵Fe tracer. In addition, an electrochemical cell containing the ⁵⁵Fe tracer solution was prepared and equilibrated for two days at the same Eₗ to reduce Fe(III) to Fe(II). The tracer solution was prepared by labelling a FeCl₂ solution (70 mL, 5 × 10⁻³ M) with a ⁵⁵Fe radiotracer (T₁/₂ = 986 days, PerkinElmer Inc., USA, 1.7 MBq in 0.01 mL 1 M HCl) resulting in a concentration ratio of active Fe/inactive Fe = 6.9 × 10⁻⁵. An appropriate volume of reduced ⁵⁵Fe solution was added to the C-S-H suspensions (range of volume 5 × 10⁻⁵ – 10 mL), which were subsequently equilibrated for 10 days. The sorption isotherms were determined at initial Fe concentrations ranging from 2.7 × 10⁻⁷ M to 8.2 × 10⁻⁴ M. Note that the S/L ratio was slightly different in the electrochemical cells (range 4.38 – 5 g/L), which was considered during data treatment. Sampling and
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radio assay were conducted as reported previously [17]. In brief, an aliquot of each suspension was withdrawn after equilibration and diluted with 0.01 M HCl in order to dissolve the solid phase. Solid-liquid phase separation of the remaining suspension was carried out by centrifugation (1 h, 95,000 g). Note that the centrifuge liquid phase separation of the remaining suspension was carried diluted with 0.01 M HCl in order to dissolve the solid phase. Solid-aliquot of each suspension was withdrawn after equilibration and disregarded in the mass calculations. High 55Fe activities (count rate
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2.7. Synchrotron-based investigations

2.7.1. Sample preparation

Fe K-edge X-ray absorption fines structure (XAFS) spectroscopy was performed on the Fe(II)-loaded C-S-H phases synthesised according to the procedure described above. The Fe(II)-loaded C-S-H samples were prepared in two ways, firstly by applying the batch-type technique and secondly in electrochemical cells. For the preparation of the former samples, appropriate volumes of a FeCl2 solution (5 × 10⁻² M) were added to the C-S-H suspensions in centrifuge tubes in order to prepare samples with the target Fe(II) loadings (~2800 ppm). The suspensions were equilibrated for 14 days in the glove box with N2 atmosphere. After equilibration, the suspensions were centrifuged (1 h, 95,000 g), the supernatant solutions were discarded and the pastes were used for the XAFS studies. For the preparation of the samples in the electrochemical cell, appropriate volumes of the FeCl2 solution (5 × 10⁻² M) were added to the C-S-H suspensions (Ca/Si of C-S-H = 0.8 and 1.5) to achieve the target Fe(II) loadings of ~2800 ppm (≈ 0.05 mol/kg) Fe(II) and equilibrated for 2, 8 and 14 days at the applied redox potential. After equilibration, the suspensions were centrifuged (1 h, 95,000 g) inside the glovebox, the supernatant solutions were discarded and the pastes were used for the XAFS studies.

The same methodology was employed for the preparation of the Fe(II)-loaded AFm phases. A more concentrated stock solution of Fe (Cl2 (5 × 10⁻² M) was added due to the higher S/L ratio (ca. 40 g/L) of the suspensions. The suspensions were equilibrated for 2 days and had a Fe(II) loading of ~6100 ppm.

Fe(OH)2(s) was prepared in the glove box with inert N2 atmosphere by dissolving 5.56 g FeSO4·7H2O in 200 mL ultrapure, degassed water followed by subsequent addition (3 mL/min) of 150 mL 0.193 M NaOH under vigorous stirring. The precipitate was filtered, and the wet precipitate dried at 60 °C in an oven inside the glove box.

The residual wet pastes obtained from the preparation of the Fe (II)-loaded cement phases and the Fe(II) reference (diluted with boron nitride) were confined in Plexiglas sample holders and sealed with Kapton tape. The samples were taken out of the glovebox, shock frozen in liquid N2, stored in a Dewar filled with liquid N2 (CX100, Worthington Industries, USA) and shipped in cryogenic conditions to the synchrotron facilities.

2.7.2. Data collection and fitting

The XAFS studies were conducted at beamline B18 (Diamond, Didcot, UK) and at beamline BL 11–2 (Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource (SSRL), Menlo Park, USA). The two beamlines are equipped with a Si crystal monochromator (B18: Si(111); BL 11–2: Si(220)) and high-rate detectors (B18: 9-element Ge solid state detector; BL 11–2: 100-pixel Ge solid-state monolith). The monochromator angle was calibrated by assigning the energy of 7112 eV to the first inflection point of the Fe K-edge spectrum of an iron foil. Measurements of the frozen samples were performed in fluorescence mode. The risk of O2 diffusion into the samples was minimised by maintaining cryogenic temperatures with the help of liquid nitrogen (LN2) cryostats at both beamlines. For each sample, several fluorescence spectra were acquired. The data were dead-time corrected, deglitched if necessary, and merged. Extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectra and X-ray absorption near edge spectra (XANES) were recorded up to 12 Å⁻¹ and 8 Å⁻¹, respectively.

The ATHENA software package was used for data reduction following standard procedures [39]. After background subtraction, the energy was converted to photoelectron wave vector units (Å⁻¹) by assigning the ionisation energy of the Fe K-edge (E0 = 7112 eV) to the first inflection point of the absorption edge.

2.5. Spectrophotometric measurements

The ferrozine method described by Viollier et al. [38] was used to determine the Fe(II) concentration in solution. Absorbance of the Fe(II)-coloured complex was recorded with a Cary 6000i spectrophotometer (Agilent, USA) at 562 nm wavelength and using either 1 cm or 5 cm quartz cells (Starna Scientific, UK) depending on the optic path length required to quantify the Fe(II) concentration above the detection limit of the method.

2.6. XRD

X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were conducted on a PANAnalytical X’Pert Pro MDF diffractometer using CoKα radiation and an X’Celerator detector. Diffraction patterns were collected from 5° to 70° 2θ at a step size of 0.017° 2θ and a counting time of 0.6 s per step.
Radial structure functions (RSFs) were derived by Fourier transforming the $k^2$-weighted $\chi(k)$ spectra between 2.0 and 11.0 Å$^{-1}$ using a Kaiser-Bessel window function with a smoothing parameter of 4.

Least-square linear combination (LC) fitting of the XANES was performed to quantify the contribution of the reference spectra to the spectra of the co-precipitation samples expressed as the sum of the reference compounds with weighting coefficients ranging between 0 and 1. The spectra of Fe(II)- and Fe(III)-doped AFM and C-S-H C-S-H phases as well as FeO were used as references.

The EXAFS spectra of the Fe(II)-loaded C-S-H sorption samples were analysed by multi-shell fits in real space across the first three shells ($AR = 1$ to 5 Å$^{-1}$) in order to determine the structural parameters of the absorber atom (coordination number (N), bond length (R), Debye-Waller ($\sigma^2$) factor). Theoretical scattering paths were calculated using FEFF 8.4 [40,41] and different model compounds. The goodness of the fit was evaluated based on the residual factor (R-factor). The amplitude reduction factor ($S_0^2$) was fixed to 0.83 as previously reported by Wang et al. [42].

3. Results

3.1. Solubility and oxidation of Fe(II)

Solubility and redox stability of Fe(II) are the basic information required to assess the chemical behaviour of Fe(II) in cementitious environments. Fe(OH)$_2$($s$) is considered to be the solubility-limiting phase in cementitious systems in the absence of reduced sulphur species (Fig. 2a). The aqueous Fe(II) concentration is estimated to be very low, i.e. ranging in value from $10^{-8}$ M (pH 11.5) to $10^{-7}$ M (pH 10 and 13) based on recently published thermodynamic data for Fe(II) species [43–45]. The stability field of Fe(OH)$_2$ was calculated by considering the thermodynamically most stable Fe(I,III)-compounds expected to be formed in sulphur-free conditions (Fig. 2b). Fig. 2b shows that the stability field of Fe(II) is very narrow in alkaline conditions. Therefore, Fe(II) is expected to be susceptible to changes in the redox conditions and may readily oxidise to Fe(III).

The oxidation of aqueous Fe(II) below pH 7 has been described more than a century ago [46] in terms of the following kinetics:

$$\frac{-d[Fe^{2+}]}{dt} = k[Fe^{2+}][OH^-][O_2]$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $[Fe^{2+}]$ is the concentration of ferrous iron, and $[O_2]$ and $[OH^-]$ denote the oxygen and hydroxyl ion concentrations in solution. Towards the alkaline pH range the oxidation kinetics shifts to second order in the OH$^-$ concentration [47,48]. Several studies have reported Fe(II) oxidation in the acidic to near-neutral pH range in accordance with the kinetics given by Eq.(1), see e.g.[49–54], indicating a faster oxidation at increasing pH value.

Fig. 3a shows measurements of the redox stability of aqueous Fe(II) at different pH values and at low O$_2$ concentrations as carried out in this study. The initial Fe(II) concentration was set to $10^{-5}$ M, which is below the solubility of Fe(OH)$_3$ up to a pH of ~9. At pH 7 and above, the measured Fe(II) concentration rapidly decreased with time indicating fast oxidation of Fe(II) to Fe(III). The oxidation was faster at pH 9 than at 8.1 or 7.2. At pH 3, Fe(II) oxidation was not observed which corresponds to earlier observations of a very slow kinetics in this pH range [56]. The rate constant was not determined as the aqueous O$_2$ concentration could not be precisely quantified and the O$_2$ content in the N$_2$ atmosphere of the glovebox was only known to be <0.1 ppm. In the initial phase, the oxidation of Fe(II) was retarded at all pH values and this phase lasted longer at decreasing pH. The reason for the pH dependence of the time lag until Fe(II) oxidation started is presently not known.

In this study, Fe(II) oxidation was observed although ultrapure water boiled under N$_2$ purge was used and all the experiments were performed inside the glove box with N$_2$ atmosphere to minimise O$_2$ contamination. Note that the O$_2$ concentration in the ultrapure, degassed ("O$_2$-free") water was found to be below the detection limit of a commercial O$_2$ sensor (detection limit ~1 ppb). Oxidation of Fe(II) presumably occurred due to the slow, but continuous ingress of O$_2$ from the glove box atmosphere (<0.1 ppm O$_2$) into the closed, but gas-tightly sealed centrifuge tubes used for the experiments.

For the sake of comparison, Fig. 3b shows the results from studies on the redox stability of Fe(II) in natural, O$_2$-saturated water samples in the weakly acidic to near-neutral pH range, i.e. seawater from the North Sea [50] and fresh water from a Swiss lake [51]. As expected, the oxidation of Fe(II) in the quasi "O$_2$-free" experiments carried out in this study was significantly slower as compared to Fe(II) oxidation in natural waters at ambient O$_2$ concentration (note the different scales in the x-axes of Fig. 3a/b). In both natural waters, the aqueous Fe(II) concentration decreased with increasing pH, as observed in the present study carried out in ultrapure, degassed water, even though the oxidation seems to be slower in seawater compared to fresh water. Differences between the two systems have been ascribed to the complexation effects of chloride [48,54].

Attempts were undertaken to stabilise Fe(II) by adding sodium dithionite (Na$_2$S$_2$O$_4$) at pH 3.0, 7.2 and 8.1 as previously reported by Soltermann et al. [35]. Preliminary tests showed that the addition of Na$_2$S$_2$O$_4$ had no detrimental effect on the ferrozine method.

Fig. 2. a) Solubility of Fe(II)-hydroxide calculated with the Gibbs Energy Minimization Selektor (CEMS) code [55] and the PSI thermodynamic database [43,44] including the thermodynamic properties of Fe(II) species from a recent review [45]; b, c) Pourbaix diagrams calculated for a total Fe(II) concentration of $10^{-5}$ M including solids (b) or aqueous species (c).
as the same Fe(II) concentrations were recorded in the samples with and without Na₂S₂O₄ (data not shown). The results revealed that Na₂S₂O₄ did not suppress the oxidation of Fe(II) in the slightly alkaline pH range, indicating that Na₂S₂O₄ cannot be used to stabilise Fe(II) in these conditions (Fig. 3a). This finding is in accordance with Stucki et al. [57] who reported that the effect of Na₂S₂O₄ as a reducing agent may depend on the pH of the solution.

In summary, the kinetic studies on the oxidation of Fe(II) in alkaline, degassed solution with a low (while not quantifiable) O₂ concentration revealed that the development of a methodology was required, which allowed Fe(II) to be stabilised for sorption experiments on C-S-H phases, in addition to the peaks at higher angles (49°/72°/87°), which is slightly below the border of water stability in strongly alkaline conditions. The latter potential was applied to establish redox conditions based on the stability field of Fe(OH)₂(s) (Fig. 2b).

3.2. Co-precipitation experiments

The remarkable stoichiometric and structural variability of cement phases, in particular of the C-S-H phase, prompted us to investigate the substitution of Ca(II) by Fe(II) although the ionic radii of the two cations differ significantly, i.e. Ca(II) with r = 1.08 to 1.20 Å in VI-VIII coordination and Fe(II) with r = 0.69 and 0.86 Å in VI low and high spin coordination, respectively [58, 59]. However, Fe(II) could replace Ca(II) in C-S-H phases in a way similar to Zn(II) (r = 0.68–0.98 in IV-VIII coordination [58]) as previously observed by Ziegler et al. [20].

The co-precipitation experiments carried out in this study aimed at assessing the possibility of Ca(II) - Fe(II) replacement in cement phases. A portion of CaO used for synthesising the cement phases was replaced by FeO (10% on a mol basis). Diffractiongrams of pure C-S-H 0.8, C-S-H 1.5, AFmSO₄, and AFmCO₃ were compared with the co-precipitation samples aged for six months at T = 60 °C (Fig. 4). The XRD data show that, despite the presence of FeO, the synthesis of the targeted cement phases was successful, while some differences in the XRD patterns of the pure phases and the co-precipitation samples were noticed. For example, peaks at 2θ = 42° were detected in the XRD pattern of AFm and C-S-H phases, in addition to the peaks at higher angles (49° and 72°; not shown), which indicate the presence of unreacted FeO. This finding shows that FeO was not completely dissolved during synthesis. Other, minor differences in the peak intensities and positions appeared in the XRD pattern of C-S-H phases, such as a broadening of the peak shoulder at 2θ = 35°. An increase of the basal spacing of both C-S-H phases was observed based on the shift of the first peak at 2θ = 9°–10° to lower values, i.e. 2θ = 7.8° in the case of C-S-H 0.8 and 2θ = 8.7° in the case of C-S-H 1.5 (Fig. 4a). This indicates changes in the phase composition in the presence of FeO and indirectly Fe(II) uptake into the interlayer of C-S-H phases. The same indicative feature was observed in co-precipitation samples aged at 25°C (data not shown).

The linear combination (LC) fitting approach was used to estimate the proportion of FeO and possibly newly formed Fe(II)-bearing cement phases in the co-precipitation samples (Table 1, Fig. S6 in SI). The precipitation of Fe(OH)₂(s) during the course of the experiments could be excluded as this phase was also used as a reference in the LC fitting approach. Fe(III)-loaded references and Fe(OH)₂(s) were also considered in the fitting in order to account for the possibility of Fe(II) oxidation in the course of the synthesis of the Fe(II)-containing samples. The XANES of Fe(II)-loaded C-S-H phases are reported elsewhere [17]. Nevertheless, presence of Fe(III) species was not observed in the samples. FeO was found to be the main component in all co-precipitation samples. The results show that small portions of Fe(II) could be accommodated by C-S-H phases, while Fe(II) uptake by AFm phases is less likely as indicated by the lower proportion of Fe(II)-AFmSO₄ and Fe(II)-AFmCO₃ species contributing to the XANES spectra of the co-precipitation samples as well as the higher R-factor. The results suggest that in particular the formation of Fe(II)-bearing C-S-H phases could occur to some extent in the co-precipitation experiments with the C-S-H phases. The indicative co-precipitation experiments prompted us to further explore the possibility of Fe(II) uptake by C-S-H phases rather than by AFm phases.

3.3. Fe(II) interaction with C-S-H phases

3.3.1. Sorption isotherms

Fe(II) sorption isotherm were determined on the C-S-H phases with target Ca/Si ratios = 0.8 and 1.5 after 8 days equilibration. The sorption isotherms were found to be linear (slope = 1) over the entire aqueous Fe(II) concentration range between 10⁻³ and 10⁻¹ M for both Ca/Si ratios (Fig. 5). Note that precipitation of Fe(OH)₂(s) was not observed in the given Fe(II) concentration range, while thermodynamic calculations suggested that the solubility...
limit with respect to Fe(OH)$_2$(s) is exceeded (Fig. 2a). A tentative explanation for this observation can be proposed with a view to possible kinetic and/or thermodynamic limitations. Kinetics: Precipitation of Fe(OH)$_2$(s) in these systems may be retarded and equilibrium may not be achieved within the 8 days of equilibration. Thermodynamics: An amorphous solid phase Fe(OH)$_2$(am) may form in these systems, which has a significantly higher solubility limit as defined by the currently available thermodynamic data.

The results further show that the C-S-H composition had no measurable effect on Fe(II) sorption as the data expressed in terms of mol/kg for C-S-H 0.8 and 1.5 agree well within the experimental uncertainty range. A slightly higher Fe(II) uptake results for the C-S-H phase with the higher Ca/Si ratio if the Fe(II) loading is expressed in terms of mol Fe(II)/mol Si (y-axis on the right hand side). The $K_d$ values derived from the isotherm measurements were determined to be 0.08 ± 0.06 m$^3$/kg for Ca/Si = 0.8 and 0.09 ± 0.06 m$^3$/kg for Ca/Si = 1.5, which is significantly lower than the $K_d$ values determined for Fe(III) (Fig. 5) [17].

### 3.3.2. Coordination environment of Fe(II) sorbed onto C-S-H phases

The coordination environment of Fe(II) in Fe(II)-loaded C-S-H phases (target Ca/Si ratio = 0.8 and 1.5) was determined after equilibration for 2 and 8 days (Fig. 6). Preliminary tests showed that Fe(II) was stable under the beam in the cryostat set-up used for the measurements (see Fig. S2 in SI). The Fe K-edge EXAFS spectra were found to be identical after the two periods of equilibration. This indicates that the dominant coordination environment was established within two days of reaction. This finding further shows that Fe(II) sorption on C-S-H phases is a fast process. The slightly different features of the backscattering contributions in the EXAFS spectra between Ca/Si = 0.8 and Ca/Si = 1.5, such as the double peak in the k range ~4 Å$^{-1}$ and the k range ~7 Å$^{-1}$ (shaded areas in
Fig. 6 a) suggest that the Ca/Si ratio of C-S-H phases could have an effect on the coordination environment of Fe(II).

In the first step of data analysis, the Fe K-edge EXAFS spectra of the Fe(II)-loaded C-S-H samples were compared with that of Fe(OH)$_2$(s) (Fig. 6). The EXAFS spectra showed distinctly different spectral features in the k range above ~6.5 Å$^{-1}$, while similarities in the EXAFS spectra were noted in the k range below ~6.5 Å$^{-1}$. In addition, it was noticed that the XANES of the Fe(II)-loaded C-S-H phases were clearly different from the XANES of Fe(OH)$_2$ (Fig. S4 in SI). Structural parameters were determined for Fe(OH)$_2$ which agree with literature data (Table 2). Attempts were made to fit the EXAFS spectra of the Fe(II)-loaded C-S-H phases by using the parameters derived for Fe(OH)$_2$. The resulting structural parameters were unrealistic, indicating that the coordination environment of Fe(II) in C-S-H phases is different from that of Fe(II) in Fe(OH)$_2$.

Fitting of the EXAFS spectra of the Fe(II)-loaded C-S-H samples was successful by using the structure of the Fe-Si-Ca rich mineral babingtonite as structural model (Ca$_2$(Fe$_2$+,Mn)$^2$Fe$^{3+}$Si$_5$O$_{14}$(OH)$_2$). The latter model was previously used to fit Fe(III)-C-S-H EXAFS spectra [17]. All Fourier transforms showed a pronounced peak at R + ΔR ~1.5 Å accounting for the backscattering contribution of the oxygen shell (Fig. 6b). All samples showed the same structural parameters within the experimental uncertainties (Table 2). The number of neighbouring oxygen atoms varied between 5.2 ± 1.4 and 6 (fixed) suggesting an octahedral rather than a tetrahedral coordination environment. The derived Fe-O distances (R$_{Fe-O}$) ranged between 2.07 Å and 2.10 Å.

Table 2

| Sample  | Fe(II) (ppm) | Time (days) | Shell  | N  | R  (Å) | R$_{Fe-O}$ (Å) | R$_{Fe-Ca}$ (Å) | R$_{Fe-Si}$ (Å) | σ$^2$ (Å$^2$) | ΔE (eV) | R-factor |
|---------|--------------|-------------|--------|----|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|---------|----------|
| Fe(OH)$_2$   | -             | 2           | Fe-O   | 5.2 | 2.14   | 0.006          | 2.36           | 0.006          |             | 0.010   | 0.005    |
|            | Fe-O         | 6           | Fe-Fe  | 4.9 (1.2)| 2.09 (0.05) | 0.010 (0.005) | 1.87 (1.6) | 0.015          |             |         |          |
|            | Fe-O         | 5.2 (1.8)   | Fe-Fe  | 3.26 (0.02) | 0.009 (0.004) |             |               |                | 3.45 (2.6) | 0.012    |          |
| C-S-H 1.5   | 2800         | 2           | Fe-O   | 5.4 (1.8) | 2.09 (0.03) | 0.009 (0.005) |               |                | 3.36 (0.06) | 0.003   |          |
|            | Fe-O         | 3.3 (1.9)   | Fe-Ca  | 3.45 (0.02) | 0.003 (0.002) |             |               |                | 4.03 (0.04) | 0.001   |          |
|            | Fe-Fe        | 1.6 (1.2)   | Fe-Si  | 2.07 (0.02) | 0.009 (0.004) | 4.44 (1.6) | 0.021          | 3.45 (0.02) | 0.003   |          |
| C-S-H 1.5   | 2800         | 8           | Fe-O   | 5.2 (1.4) | 2.07 (0.02) | 0.009 (0.004) | 4.44 (1.6) | 0.021          | 3.35 (0.06) | 0.001   |          |
|            | Fe-O         | 4.1 (1.6)   | Fe-Ca  | 3.35 (0.06) | 0.001 (0.002) |             |               |                | 3.37 (0.09) | 0.008   |          |
|            | Fe-Si        | 2           | Fe-Si  | 3.32 (0.05) | 0.003 (0.002) |             |               |                | 2.10 (0.03) | 0.010   | 0.003    |
|            | Fe-Fe        | 6           | Fe-Fe  | 3.32 (0.05) | 0.003 (0.002) |             |               |                | 2.10 (0.03) | 0.010   | 0.003    |
|            | Fe-Ca        | 3.1 (1.8)   | Fe-Ca  | 3.42 (0.05) | 0.003 (0.002) |             |               |                | 2.10 (0.03) | 0.010   | 0.003    |
| C-S-H 0.8   | 2800         | 2           | Fe-O   | 5.3 (2.0) | 2.09 (0.03) | 0.010 (0.005) | 5.66 (1.4) | 0.019          | 3.34 (0.02) | 0.001   |          |
|            | Fe-O         | 5.3 (2.0)   | Fe-Ca  | 3.34 (0.02) | 0.001 (0.002) |             |               |                | 3.34 (0.02) | 0.001   |          |
| C-S-H 0.8   | 2800         | 8           | Fe-O   | 5.3 (2.0) | 2.09 (0.03) | 0.010 (0.005) | 5.66 (1.4) | 0.019          | 3.34 (0.02) | 0.001   |          |
|            | Fe-O         | 5.3 (2.0)   | Fe-Ca  | 3.34 (0.02) | 0.001 (0.002) |             |               |                | 3.34 (0.02) | 0.001   |          |
|            | Fe-Si        | 3.8 (1.8)   | Fe-Si  | 3.44 (0.02) | 0.001 (0.002) |             |               |                | 3.44 (0.02) | 0.001   |          |

a) Zhu and Elzinga [61].

* Fixed parameters.
Debye-Waller factor \((\sigma^2)\) varied between 0.009 ± 0.005 Å\(^2\) and 0.010 ± 0.005 Å\(^2\).

Presence of further backscattering atoms was indicated by the broad peak at \(R + AR\) = 27 Å. This peak could accurately be fitted by considering Fe-Ca and Fe-Si backscattering contributions. The fitting was not successful if one of the latter contributions was omitted or if a different backscattering path was considered, such as Fe-Fe. The Fe-Ca and Fe-Si interatomic distances were found to agree within the uncertainties for the two C-S-H phases (Ca: 3.32 Å (±0.05 Å) to 3.34 Å (±0.02 Å) in C-S-H 0.8 and 3.35 Å (±0.06 Å) to 3.36 Å (±0.06 Å) in C-S-H 1.5; Si: 3.42 Å (±0.05 Å) to 3.44 Å (±0.02 Å) in C-S-H 0.8 and 3.37 Å (±0.09 Å) to 3.45 Å (±0.02 Å) in C-S-H 1.5). Nevertheless, differences in the coordination environments of Fe(II) on the two C-S-H phases are reflected by differences in the number of neighbouring Ca and Si atoms (Ca: 3.1 (±1.8) and 2.4 (±1.6) on C-S-H 0.8 and 3.3 (±1.9) and 4.1 (±1.6) on C-S-H 1.5; Si: 4 and 3.8 (±1.8) on C-S-H 0.8 and 1.6 (±1.2) and 2 on C-S-H 1.5). The number of neighbouring Ca atoms tends to be higher in C-S-H 1.5 as compared to C-S-H 0.8, while the opposite is true for the number of neighbouring Si atoms.

### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1. Development of a methodology for Fe(II) studies in cementitious environments

The present study shows that a thorough control of the experimental conditions is required to investigate the fate of Fe(II) in cementitious environments. Important constraints are the limited solubility of Fe(OH)\(_2\)(s), the very narrow range of the redox stability of Fe(II) (Fig. 2) and fast oxidation of Fe(II) in strongly alkaline conditions (Fig. 3). The experimental methodology reported in this study has been developed in a stepwise manner with the aim of addressing the above constraints.

Oxidation of Fe(II) to Fe(III) is a fast process in the neutral to alkaline pH range (Fig. 3). We were able to monitor Fe(II) oxidation in ultrapure, degassed (“O\(_2\)-free”) water up to pH 9, which is at the lower limit of the pH range relevant to cementitious systems. The term “O\(_2\)-free” refers to the procedure applied to deplete O\(_2\) from water, i.e. by boiling ultrapure water under a N\(_2\) purge for 2 h. After this treatment, residual O\(_2\) below 1 ppb (detection limit of a commercial O\(_2\) sensor) was observed, while it is expected that the water may still contain traces of O\(_2\). It is anticipated that Fe(II) oxidation to Fe(III) will be even faster in the pH range above 9 in the given “O\(_2\)-free” conditions. In strongly alkaline conditions, the concentration range of aqueous Fe(II) is constrained by the presence of traces of dissolved O\(_2\) and the precipitation of Fe(OH)\(_2\). Hence, further verification of the Fe(II) oxidation kinetics in alkaline media will be very challenging for two reasons: i) O\(_2\) has to be quantified at ultra-low concentrations, and ii) a very sensitive analytical technique for Fe(II) quantification is required to conduct the experiments in the Fe(II) concentration range below the solubility limit of Fe(OH)\(_2\)(s).

The results from the wet chemistry studies on the redox stability of Fe(II) further prompted us to develop a robust methodology for Fe(II) studies in alkaline conditions (see Fig. S1 in SI). Stabilisation of Fe(II) in cementitious environments was found to be challenging. Our attempts to maintain the + II oxidation state by the addition of reducing agents were not successful in acidic and weakly alkaline conditions. Furthermore, we noticed that Fe(II) sorption studies in strongly alkaline conditions could not be carried out as standard batch-type experiments at low Fe(II) concentrations, even though the experiments were performed in a N\(_2\) controlled atmosphere (O\(_2\), CO\(_2\) < 0.1 ppm) and by using ultrapure, degassed water (see Fig. S1 in SI). As the standard approach for sorption studies in cementitious environments was not applicable to Fe(II), an approach based on the use of electrochemical cells for redox control was developed (see Fig. S1 in SI). The use of an electrochemical cell enabled us to control the redox potential and stabilise Fe(II) in strongly alkaline solutions over a time period up to about two weeks. Nevertheless, the approach does not allow long-term stabilisation of Fe(II) as O\(_2\) is slowly but continuously produced by the anodic electrochemical reaction.

Specific measures were also taken to prevent Fe(II)-doped samples from being oxidised due to exposition to air or even traces of O\(_2\). To this end, Fe(II)-doped samples were kept in cryogenic environments whenever possible. For example, the Fe(II)-loaded C–S–H samples were frozen in liquid N\(_2\), stored at cryogenic temperature in a Dewar vessel containing liquid N\(_2\) and further, the XAFS measurements were carried out on samples mounted in LN\(_2\) cryostats. These measures prevented samples from being oxidised due to air exposure and from being altered by beam-induced damages (Fig. S2 in SI).

#### 4.2. Fe(II) sorption process

The uptake mechanism of Fe(II) by C-S-H phases (Ca/Si ratio = 0.8 and 1.5) can be assessed based on the main findings from the co-precipitation, wet chemistry, and spectroscopic experiments, which are summarised as follows.

- An increase of the basal spacing by XRD, i.e. a shift of the first peak at 2\(\theta\) = 9°–10° to lower values for both C-S-H phases, indicating Fe(II) uptake into the interlayer;
- Limited Fe(II)-Ca(II) replacement, i.e. 2% Ca (on a mol basis) have been replaced by Fe(II) as determined with LC fitting of the XANES data, which corresponds to Fe/Si ratios =0.02 in C-S-H 0.8 and ~0.05 in C-S-H 1.5.

The sorption studies with Fe(II) on the C-S-H phases show:

- Uptake of Fe(II) is fast and the equilibrium state is achieved within 2 days, according to EXAFS spectroscopy, upon contacting the C-S-H suspensions with Fe(II);
- Fe(II) sorption onto C-S-H phases is linear in the aqueous Fe(II) concentration range up to \(10^{-3}\) M indicating that the same type of sorption sites are available for Fe(II) binding at low and high Fe-concentrations;
- Fe(OH)\(_2\)(s) does not precipitate in the Fe(II) concentration range covered by the sorption isotherm, hence limiting the solubility of Fe(II), while precipitation cannot be excluded above aqueous Fe(II) concentrations of \(10^{-3}\) M;
- The composition of the C-S-H phase (Ca/Si ratio) and thus pH has no measurable effect on the uptake of Fe(II), although a reduction in Fe(II) sorption at higher pH could be expected due to hydrolysis as previously observed in the case of Fe(III) [17];
- The uptake of Fe(II) by C-S-H phases is much weaker than that of Fe(III) on the same type of cement phases, resulting in a difference in the sorption value \((K_d)\) of the two oxidation states of Fe by >3 orders of magnitude.

The spectroscopic investigations on the Fe(II)-loaded C-S-H samples show:

- Absence of Fe(OH)\(_2\)(s) in the Fe(II) concentration range of the sorption isotherm, thus supporting conclusions drawn from the wet chemistry experiments;
- Fe(II) is coordinated in octahedral geometry.
• Fe(II) sorbed onto C-S-H phases has a large number of neighbouring Ca (~2 and ~4 in C-S-H 0.8 and 1.5, respectively) and Si atoms (~4 and ~2 in C-S-H 0.8 and 1.5, respectively).

The results from the co-precipitation experiments reveal that partial replacement of Ca(II) by Fe(II) may occur in C-S-H phases, while complete stoichiometric substitution is not supported by the data. The large difference in the ionic radii of Ca(II) (r = 1.08 Å in VI-VIII coordination) and Fe(II) (r = 0.69 and 0.86 Å in VI low and high spin coordination, respectively) does not allow quantitative replacement of the two bivalent metal cations. However, partial Ca(II)-Fe(II) substitution occurs either on surface sites and/or in the interlayer of the C-S-H phases. The XRD data of the C-S-H co-precipitation samples indicate Fe(II) uptake into the interlayer as evidenced from an increase in the basal spacing for both C-S-H phases. Mass balance considerations resulted in Fe/Si ratios ~0.02 in case of the C-S-H phase with Ca/Si = 0.8, and ~0.05 in case of the C-S-H phase with Ca/Si = 1.5. L’Hopital et al. [62] showed that an Al/Si ratio = 0.05 of Al-bearing C-A-S-H gives rise to a significant increase in the basal spacing compared to Al-free C-S-H phase. A clear difference in the basal spacing in C-A-S-H phases as compared to C-S-H phases was further reported by Renaudin et al. [63]. Thus, the evidence is that an increase in the basal spacing, even at low Fe/Si ratio, is attributable to Fe(II) uptake into the interlayer of C-S-H phases as previously reported for Al(III).

Modelling of the EXAFS spectra was performed on the assumption that Fe(II) bonding in the interlayer might be the dominant uptake process. Attempts were made to identify the position of Fe(II) in the interlayer of the C-S-H phases using the tobermorite structure [64]. The same approach was previously applied in the case of Fe(III) [17]. For example, Fe-Ca replacement in the interlayer was tested and a Fe atom was inserted close to the bridging site of the interlayer. Furthermore, two other possible Fe positions in the interlayer were considered, i.e. close to the pair sites and Ca substitution at the bridging site [17]. Eventually, a Fe atom was placed at the edge site of C-S-H phases. Nevertheless, all structural parameters derived based on the tobermorite structural model were unrealistic. We therefore concluded that the formation of solely Fe(II) interlayer species is not supported by the EXAFS data.

Additional evidence against Fe(II) bonding in the interlayer as the dominant uptake process results from the experiment where a Fe(II)-loaded C-S-H sample was exposed to air (Fig. S3 in SI). The results show that oxidised Fe(II) does not occupy the same structural positions in C-S-H 1.5 as compared to sorbed Fe(III) onto the same C-S-H phase (see Fig. S4 in SI). This finding supports the idea that, contrary to Fe(III), Fe(II) is not taken up predominantly by the interlayer in a manner similar to Fe(III) bonding on C-S-H 0.8 and 1.5, respectively, in the pH range between 11.5 and 12.5 as the sorption data agree within the experimental uncertainty. Nevertheless, a decrease in the Fe(II) sorption on the C-S-H phases is anticipated with increasing pH, similar to Fe(III) sorption on TiO2 [17], as the concentration of the aqueous Fe(OH)2 species linearly increases with increasing pH above pH 11 [Fig. S5 in SI] [66]. The experimental findings thus suggest that pH dependence of Fe(II) sorption on C-S-H phases cannot be resolved within the uncertainty of the data or that other species present in solution, such as Ca, have an effect on the extent of Fe(II) sorption on C-S-H phases. At present, the reason for pH-independent Fe(II) sorption on C-S-H phases cannot be explained.

Sr, Ba and Ra are the metal cations with K0 values most similar to Fe(II) at high Ca/Si ratios of the C-S-H phase. Sr, Ba and Ra species are dominated by Sr2+, Ba2+ and Ra2+ ions even at high pH values, while the Fe(II) speciation is dominated by Fe(OH)2+. The K0 values of Sr, Ba and Ra increase with decreasing Ca/Si ratio of the C-S-H phases contrary to Fe(II) where no apparent effect of the Ca/Si ratio on Fe(II) uptake has been observed. It should be noted that the aqueous Ca concentration in equilibrium with the C-S-H suspensions increases with increasing Ca/Si ratio [67]. Hence, an increase in the K0 value with decreasing Ca/Si ratio of the C-S-H phases as observed in case of the alkaline earth metals...
indicates a cation exchange process involving the latter metal cations and Ca. The uptake of Sr$^{2+}$, Ba$^{2+}$ and Ra$^{2+}$ by C-S-H phases has been modelled in terms of a cation exchange process with Ca$^{2+}$ [23–25,28] and, in addition, in the case of Ra$^{2+}$ and Ba$^{2+}$, also by surface complexation (SC) on silanol-like sites [25,28].

The hydrolysis behaviour of Pb is similar to Fe(II) above pH 11 with Pb(OH)$_4$ as the dominant hydrolysis product. As for the alkaline earth metals, Pb uptake by C-S-H phases increases with decreasing Ca/Si ratio. The sorption process was modelled in terms of surface complexation on silanol-like surface sites [26,27]. Similarly, the extent of Zn(II) uptake was found to depend on the Ca/Si ratio of C-S-H phases, suggesting an increase of the uptake with decreasing Ca/Si ratio [20–22]. Nevertheless, the $K_d$ values for Zn uptake by C-S-H phases are significantly higher than those of the alkaline earth metals and Pb, thus indicating a different sorption mechanism. Ziegler et al. [22] proposed that Zn(II) uptake occurs in two steps, i.e. adsorption onto the surface of C-S-H phases and subsequent diffusion into the C-S-H structure whereby the Zn(OH)$_4$ tetrahedra substitute for the silicate bridging tetrahedra and/or at terminal silicate chain sites rather than occupying interlayer positions [21]. Thus, the currently available sorption data suggest that the sorption mechanism of Fe(II) is likely to be comparable to that of the alkaline earth metals and Pb, while a different sorption mechanisms accounts for the significantly stronger bonding of Zn by C-S-H phases. Similar sorption mechanisms further implies the potential for sorption competition between Fe(II) and other bivalent metal cations.

### Table 3
Summary of the available literature data for the sorption of selected bivalent metal cations on C-S-H phases (Ca/Si ratio, S/L ratio, pH, $K_d$, and reference). For details on sorption mechanisms see text (SC = surface complexation, ads. = adsorption, incorp. = incorporation).

| Ca/Si | S/L (g/L) | pH | $K_d$ (m$^3$/kg) | Sorption mechanism | Reference |
|-------|-----------|----|-----------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Fe(II) | 0.8 | 5 | 11.5 | 0.08 | surface-bound | this study |
| Sr(II) | 0.75 | 19 | 10.1 | 0.09 | surface-bound | this study |
| Sr(II) | 1.04 | 19 | 12 | 0.2 | cation exchange | Tits et al. [23] |
| Sr(II) | 1.65 | 19 | 12.5 | 0.01 | cation exchange | Tits et al. [23] |
| Ba(II) | 0.8 | 10 | 10.4 | 5.6 | cation exchange | Titts et al. [28] |
| Ba(II) | 1 | 10 | 11.4 | 3.2 | cation exchange | Titts et al. [28] |
| Ba(II) | 1.2 | 10 | 12.2 | 1.3 | SC + cation exchange | Titts et al. [28] |
| Ba(II) | 1.6 | 10 | 12.4 | 0.18 | SC + cation exchange | Titts et al. [28] |
| Ra(II) | 0.96 | 5 | 11.5 | 2 | cation exchange | Titts et al. [24] |
| Ra(II) | 1.6 | 5 | 12.5 | 0.1 | cation exchange | Titts et al. [24] |
| Ra(II) | 0.64 | 5 | 13.3 | 12 | cation exchange | Titts et al. [24] |
| Ra(II) | 0.96 | 5 | 13.3 | 3 | cation exchange | Titts et al. [24] |
| Ra(II) | 1.19 | 5 | 13.3 | 1 | cation exchange | Titts et al. [24] |
| Ra(II) | 0.8 | 10 | 10.4 | 13.8 | SC + cation exchange | Olmeda et al. [25] |
| Ra(II) | 1 | 10 | 11.4 | 7.08 | SC + cation exchange | Olmeda et al. [25] |
| Ra(II) | 1.2 | 10 | 12.2 | 5.75 | SC + cation exchange | Olmeda et al. [25] |
| Ra(II) | 1.6 | 10 | 12.4 | 0.96 | SC + cation exchange | Olmeda et al. [25] |
| Zn(II) | 1 | 2 | 11.7 | 282 | SC + cation exchange | Ziegler et al. [22] |
| Zn(II) | 1 | 2 | 12.48 | 40 | SC + cation exchange | Ziegler et al. [22] |
| Zn(II) | 1 | 2 | 12.78 | 20 | SC + cation exchange | Ziegler et al. [22] |
| Pb(II) | 0.83 | 0.2 | 11.6 | 5.7 | surface-bound | Pointeau [27] |
| Pb(II) | 1 | 0.2 | 11.9 | 3.6 | surface-bound | Pointeau [27] |
| Pb(II) | 1.25 | 0.2 | 12.25 | 0.9 | surface-bound | Pointeau [27] |
Fe(II) is octahedrally coordinated in C-S-H phases. The large number of Ca and Si neighbours derived from the EXAFS data can be interpreted in terms of the presence of equal proportions of surface complexes and interlayer Fe(II) species, as Fe(II) uptake into the interlayer is evidenced from XRD analysis of co-precipitation samples aged for longer periods of time. An alternative coordination environment accounting for Fe(II) location in the near-surface structure of C-S-H phases (e.g., a separate secondary phase with no Fe(II) neighbours or a cluster-type structural arrangement) is also conceivable with a view to the short equilibration time of the sorption samples, while the latter structural model is not in agreement with the XRD data.
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