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ABSTRACT

We present the BOSS Lyman-α (Lyα) Forest Sample from SDSS Data Release 9, comprising 54,468 quasar spectra with $z_{\text{qso}} > 2.15$ suitable for Lyα forest analysis. This data set probes the intergalactic medium with absorption redshifts $2.0 < z_{\text{Lyα}} < 5.7$ over an area of 3275 deg$^2$, and encompasses an approximate comoving volume of $20 \, h^{-3} \text{Gpc}^3$. With each spectrum, we have included several products designed to aid in Lyα forest analysis: improved sky masks that flag pixels where data may be unreliable, corrections for known biases in the pipeline estimated noise, masks for the cores of damped Lyα systems and corrections for their wings, and estimates of the unabsorbed continua so that the observed flux can be converted to a fractional transmission. The continua are derived using a principal component fit to the quasar spectrum redward of rest-frame Lyα. Improved sky masks that flag pixels where data may be unreliable, corrections for known biases in the pipeline estimated noise, masks for the cores of damped Lyα systems and corrections for their wings, and estimates of the unabsorbed continua so that the observed flux can be converted to a fractional transmission. The continua are derived using a principal component fit to the quasar spectrum redward of rest-frame Lyα. Improved sky masks that flag pixels where data may be unreliable, corrections for known biases in the pipeline estimated noise, masks for the cores of damped Lyα systems and corrections for their wings, and estimates of the unabsorbed continua so that the observed flux can be converted to a fractional transmission. The continua are derived using a principal component fit to the quasar spectrum redward of rest-frame Lyα.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The Lyman-α (Lyα) forest (Lynds 1971) is the ubiquitous absorption pattern observed in the spectra of high-redshift quasars, caused by Lyα $\lambda 1216$ absorption of residual neutral hydrogen embedded in a highly photo-ionized $(n_{\text{HI}}/n_{\text{H}} \lesssim 10^{-5})$ intergalactic medium (IGM; see, e.g., Gunn & Peterson 1965; Rauch 1998; Meiksin 2009). Over the past two decades,
studies using both numerical and semi-analytic methods have established that the Lyα forest directly traces the underlying dark matter fluctuations in intergalactic space (Cen et al. 1994; Bi et al. 1995; Zhang et al. 1995; Hernquist et al. 1996; Miralda-Escude et al. 1996; Bi & Davidsen 1997; Hui et al. 1997; Theuns et al. 1998). This theoretical insight has enabled the Lyα forest to be used as a cosmological probe of the high-redshift (z ≳ 2) universe (e.g., Croft et al. 1998, 2002; McDonald et al. 2000, 2005, 2006; Zaldarriaga et al. 2003; Viel et al. 2004).

In particular, the picture of the Lyα forest as a continuous tracer of the underlying dark matter density implies that observers no longer must resolve individual forest lines to measure large-scale correlations (Croft et al. 1998; Weinberg et al. 2003)—this advance enables the use of moderate-resolution spectra that do not fully resolve the Lyα forest absorption to perform measurements of large-scale structure at z ≳ 2. McDonald et al. (2006) used a sample of 3035 moderate-resolution Lyα forest spectra from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; York et al. 2000) to measure the one-dimensional (1D) flux power spectrum at z = 2.2–4.2, allowing constraints to be placed on the linear matter power spectrum (McDonald et al. 2005; Seljak et al. 2005) and neutrino masses (Seljak et al. 2006). At higher quasar sightline densities, correlations can be measured in the transverse direction across different sightlines. McDonald & Eisenstein (2007) proposed that three-dimensional (3D) measurements of the Lyα forest flux correlation could be used to measure the baryon acoustic oscillation (BAO) signature at scales of ≈100 h⁻¹ Mpc.

One of the key goals of the Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey (BOSS; Dawson et al. 2013) of SDSS-III (Eisenstein et al. 2011) is to carry out precision BAO measurements from the Lyα forest at z ≳ 2.5; for recent cosmological results from the BOSS galaxy redshift survey see, e.g., Anderson et al. (2012), Sánchez et al. (2012), and Reid et al. (2012). Over its projected 4.5 year survey period, BOSS aims to obtain spectra of 170,000 quasars with z ≳ 2, with an areal density of 15–20 deg⁻². The first public release of BOSS spectra was through SDSS Data Release 9 (DR9; Ahn et al. 2012) in 2012 July, comprising the first 1.5 years of BOSS observations spanning 2009 December–2011 July. DR9 comprises 535,995 new galaxy spectra and 102,100 quasar spectra at all redshifts, spanning 2009 December–2011 July. DR9 comprises 535,995 new galaxy spectra and 102,100 quasar spectra at all redshifts, spanning 2009 December–2011 July. BOSS, SEGUE-2, MARVELS, and APOGEE; see Eisenstein et al. (2011).
factor-of-two decrease in sky background, enabling studies of a larger number of faint galaxies and quasars than what was possible in SDSS. Both spectrographs separate the light into a blue and a red camera, covering the wavelength range of 361 nm–1014 nm with a resolving power $\lambda/\Delta\lambda$ ranging from 1300 at the blue end to 2600 at the red end.

As described in Dawson et al. (2013), a typical plate is designed with 80 “sky” fibers assigned to locations with no detected objects from SDSS imaging to provide an estimate of the sky background. In addition, each plate includes 20 “standard star” fibers that are assigned to objects photometrically classified as F stars to calibrate the spectral response of the instrument. About 160–200 fibers (40 deg$^{-2}$) are assigned to quasar candidates to probe neutral hydrogen via absorption in the Ly$\alpha$ forest. The photometric classification and selection of quasar candidates for BOSS spectroscopy produces 15–18 z > 2.15 quasars deg$^{-2}$ (see Ross et al. 2012).

Exposure times for each plate are determined during observations to obtain a uniform depth across the survey; on average, a plate is observed for five individual exposures of 15 minutes each. The data are processed and calibrated by a data reduction pipeline referred to as “idlspec2d” (D. J. Schlegel et al. 2013, in preparation). The functions of idlspec2d that are of consequence to Ly$\alpha$ studies occur primarily in the first stage of the pipeline, where data are extracted from the CCD images. In this stage, the variance for each pixel is estimated using read noise and the observed photon counts, sky background is subtracted using a model derived from the sky fibers, and flux calibration is performed using the spectra from the standard stars. Each exposure produces a sample of independent, flux-calibrated spectra for each object on the plate. These spectra are wavelength sampled corresponding to the native CCD row spacing, which can vary from exposure to exposure due to flexure and focus changes. For each object, the individual flux-calibrated spectra from each exposure are compared to the “primary” spectrum with the highest signal-to-noise ratio (S/N). A low-order polynomial is derived to provide a wavelength-dependent flux correction of each individual spectrum to match the spectrophotometry of the primary exposure. Finally, the individual spectra are combined into a single spectrum that is binned into vacuum wavelength pixels of $\Delta \log(\lambda) = 10^{-4}$, i.e., $\Delta \nu = 69.02$ km s$^{-1}$. Each co-added spectrum is automatically redshifted and classified in the final stage of idlspec2d (Bolton et al. 2012).

A spectrum of an object is identified by its plate, fiber number, and the modified Julian day (MJD) of the last exposure contributing to the co-add. A small number of objects have been multiply observed, and each has multiple spectra with different plate–MJD–fiber combinations. SDSS-III Data Release 9 (DR9; Ahn et al. 2012) makes available these spectra as one FITS-file per plate–MJD–fiber (with the file prefix “spec”), enabling re-distribution of the exact subset of the spectra used for a particular analysis or catalog. The full version of these files includes both the co-added spectrum and the individual exposure spectra; the “lite” version does not include the individual exposures. The format of these files is described in detail within the SDSS-III Web site. Header Data Unit (HDU) 1 of these files contains vectors with the vacuum wavelength solution (in logarithmic units), co-added observed flux density (in units of $10^{-17}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ Å$^{-1}$), estimated inverse variance of the noise, and bit mask vectors—these are listed in the top half of Table 1. The spectra released (labeled with the file prefix “speclya”) with this paper expand this format to include additional masks, noise corrections, DLA system corrections, and a continuum fit as described in Section 4. Only HDU 1 is changed; other HDUs are the same as the original DR9 files.

### Table 1

| Spectral Products in HDU 1 of the “speclya” Product |
|---------------------------------------------------|
| **Standard Pipeline Products**                     |
| **FLUX** Co-added and calibrated flux density in units of $10^{-17}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ Å$^{-1}$ |
| **LOGLAM** Logarithm of wavelength in angstroms    |
| **IVAR** Inverse variance of flux                  |
| **AND_MASK** AND mask                              |
| **OR_MASK** OR mask                                |
| **MDISP** Wavelength dispersion in dloglam units   |
| **SKY** Subtracted sky flux density in units of $10^{-17}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ Å$^{-1}$        |
| **MODEL** Pipeline best model fit used for classification and redshift                       |
| **Value-added Products**                           |
| **MASK_COMB** Combined mask incorporating pipeline masks, skyline masks, and DLA masks          |
| **NOISE_CORR** Pipeline noise corrections          |
| **DLA_CORR** Flux corrections for known DLAs       |
| **CONT** Estimated quasar continuum in 1040–1600 Å rest frame, in units of $10^{-17}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ Å$^{-1}$ |

### Notes.

1 See http://www.sdss3.org/dr9/algorithms/bitmask_specpixmask.php for detailed description of the BOSS spectrum bitmask system.
2 See Bolton et al. (2012).
3 See Table 4 for listing of combined masks.

of the noise, and bit mask vectors—these are listed in the top half of Table 1. The spectra released (labeled with the file prefix “speclya”) with this paper expand this format to include additional masks, noise corrections, DLA system corrections, and a continuum fit as described in Section 4. Only HDU 1 is changed; other HDUs are the same as the original DR9 files.

### 3. SAMPLE SELECTION

In this section, we describe the spectrum-level cuts in order to select a useful sample of Ly$\alpha$ forest spectra from the overall BOSS DR9 sample.

We use as a parent catalog the BOSS DR9 quasar catalog of 87,822 objects visually confirmed as quasars (Pâris et al. 2012, hereafter DR9Q). In addition to identifying quasars from the targeted candidates and flagging artifacts in the data, the visual inspection process of DR9Q also provides a visual refinement of the pipeline redshift estimates as well as identification of BAL quasars and DLA absorbers. The redshift distribution of the $z_{\text{qso}} > 2$ quasars is shown in Figure 1, where we have adopted the visual inspection redshift, $z_{\text{VIS}}$, as the quasar redshift (this definition is used throughout the paper unless noted otherwise). DR9Q lists only unique quasars; in the case of quasars that have multiple spectra, the catalog lists only the spectra (i.e., plate–MJD–fiber combination) with the highest S/N.

It is clear from Figure 1 that the BOSS quasar target selection (Ross et al. 2012; Bovy et al. 2011) has selected an unprecedented number of high-redshift ($z_{\text{qso}} > 2$) quasars with accessible Ly$\alpha$ forest. In principle, the minimum usable quasar redshift is that at which the quasar rest-frame Ly$\alpha$ redshifts past the 3600 Å blue-end cutoff of the BOSS spectrograph, $z_{\text{qso}} > 1.96$. The absorber redshift distribution of all nominal Ly$\alpha$ forest pixels in DR9Q is illustrated by the black histogram in Figure 2. However, for Ly$\alpha$ forest analysis we want to ensure that each sightline contains a reasonable number of Ly$\alpha$ forest pixels in order to allow stable continuum fitting, and cross-checks involving line-of-sight fluctuations. We therefore set the minimum quasar redshift to $z_{\text{qso}} \geq 2.15$: this ensures at

---

29 Most notably plate 3615 and 3647, which have together covered the same 7.1 deg$^2$ field six times in DR9.
30 http://data.sdss3.org/datamodel/files/BOSS_SPECTRO_REDUX/RUN2D/spectra/PLATE4/spec.html
least $N_{\text{pix}} \approx 157$ usable Ly$\alpha$ forest pixels (corresponding to a minimum velocity pathlength of $\Delta v = 10,800$ km s$^{-1}$) in each sightline.\footnote{Where $N_{\text{pix}} = (\log_{10} \lambda_{\text{max}} - \log_{10} \lambda_{\text{min}}) / 10^4$, $\lambda_{\text{min}} = 3600$ Å is the nominal BOSS blue-end cutoff, and $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 1185 \times (1 + 2.15) = 3733$ Å is set by the red end of the quasar Ly$\alpha$ forest region.} This criterion excludes less than 0.9% of all possible Ly$\alpha$ forest pixels, which are in any case from the noisy blue end of the BOSS spectrographs, and hence carry less weight in any analysis. The resulting pixel distribution is illustrated by the red curve in Figure 2, although this also includes pixel-level cuts (Section 4.1). For consistency with the SDSS Ly$\alpha$ forest analysis of McDonald et al. (2006), we have defined the Ly$\alpha$ forest region in each sightline to be 1041–1185 Å in the quasar rest frame. This range is chosen to avoid the quasar Ly$\alpha$ and Ly$\beta$ emission lines by $\sim$8000 km s$^{-1}$ and $\sim$5000 km s$^{-1}$, respectively, where continuum fitting is more difficult due to the large gradients in the quasar continuum. Our chosen wavelength range also conservatively avoids the quasar proximity zone on the red end.

In addition, BAL troughs may affect our continuum fitting and possibly introduce intrinsic quasar absorption into the Ly$\alpha$ forest region. Therefore, we discard the 5848 quasars visually flagged as BAL quasars ($\text{BAL\_FLAG\_VI} = 1$) in DR9Q. Since our continuum-estimation technique uses the 1030 Å < $\lambda_{\text{rest}}$ < 1600 Å range in the quasar rest-frame spectrum, we also discard spectra in which more than 20% of the pixels within this region are masked by the pipeline (see Section 4.1.1). Similarly, we require that no more than 20% of pixels within the 1041 Å < $\lambda_{\text{rest}}$ < 1185 Å Ly$\alpha$ forest region are masked by the pipeline (see Section 4.1).

Next, we make a cut based on the S/N of the spectra. While the S/N requirements for 3D Ly$\alpha$ forest flux correlation analysis are modest (McDonald & Eisenstein 2007; McQuinn & White 2011), it is difficult to estimate continua from extremely noisy spectra. In the worst cases, even normalization is impossible. We therefore require our sample spectra to have a minimum median S/N > 0.5 pixel$^{-1}$ evaluated over the 1268–1380 Å rest frame (redward of the quasar Ly$\alpha$ line), since quasar spectra with an S/N below this are difficult to normalize properly. In addition, we mandated a minimum median Ly$\alpha$ forest S/N > 0.2 pixel$^{-1}$ (after applying the noise corrections described in Section 4.2), since the majority of spectra below this criterion have negative continua. We also cut spectra with more than one DLA (see Section 4.3) within the Ly$\alpha$ forest region. Therefore, we discard the 5848 quasars visually flagged as BAL quasars ($\text{BAL\_FLAG\_VI} = 1$) in DR9Q.

Since our continuum-estimation technique uses the 1030 Å < $\lambda_{\text{rest}}$ < 1600 Å range in the quasar rest-frame spectrum, we also discard spectra in which more than 20% of the pixels within this region are masked by the pipeline (see Section 4.1.1). Similarly, we require that no more than 20% of pixels within the 1041 Å < $\lambda_{\text{rest}}$ < 1185 Å Ly$\alpha$ forest region are masked by the pipeline (see Section 4.1).

Next, we make a cut based on the S/N of the spectra. While the S/N requirements for 3D Ly$\alpha$ forest flux correlation analysis are modest (McDonald & Eisenstein 2007; McQuinn & White 2011), it is difficult to estimate continua from extremely noisy spectra. In the worst cases, even normalization is impossible. We therefore require our sample spectra to have a minimum median S/N > 0.5 pixel$^{-1}$ evaluated over the 1268–1380 Å rest frame (redward of the quasar Ly$\alpha$ line), since quasar spectra with an S/N below this are difficult to normalize properly. In addition, we mandated a minimum median Ly$\alpha$ forest S/N > 0.2 pixel$^{-1}$ (after applying the noise corrections described in Section 4.2), since the majority of spectra below this criterion have negative continua. We also cut spectra with more than one DLA (see Section 4.3) within the Ly$\alpha$ forest region. Therefore, we discard the 5848 quasars visually flagged as BAL quasars ($\text{BAL\_FLAG\_VI} = 1$) in DR9Q.

In addition, BAL troughs may affect our continuum fitting and possibly introduce intrinsic quasar absorption into the Ly$\alpha$ forest region. Therefore, we discard the 5848 quasars visually flagged as BAL quasars ($\text{BAL\_FLAG\_VI} = 1$) in DR9Q.
are packaged together with the original “lite” format products into new per-object spectra with the prefix “speclya.” While we have made it convenient to use the BOSS Lyα forest data with this packaging, we emphasize we have not directly applied the new products unto the data, and users must perform the necessary operations themselves.

4.1. Pixel Masks

We now describe the bitmask system to flag pixels that should be discarded for Lyα forest analysis. This process flags pixels identified by the pipeline as problematic, DLAs, and sky emission lines. These mask bits are combined in a binary sense: e.g., a pixel in which bits 1 and 3 are set will store a value of 2^1 + 2^3 = 10. These masks are stored in the $\text{MASK}_{\text{COMB}}$ vector in each spectrum, and the flags are summarized in Table 4.

4.1.1. Pipeline Mask

The BOSS spectral pipeline (idlspec2d; D. J. Schlegel et al. 2013, in preparation) utilizes a system of 25 pixel mask bits to flag problems that may have occurred during the pipeline reduction process. The $\text{ORMASK}$ vector in the co-added spectrum denotes pixels flagged by the pipeline in at least one of the individual exposures, while the $\text{ANDMASK}$ vector denotes pixels that were flagged in the equivalent CCD column of all the individual exposures. The flagged pixels often have their inverse variances set to zero by the pipeline, but the pipeline masks are more comprehensive.

In principle, all co-added pixels with $\text{ANDMASK} = 0$ are free of problems, while flagged pixels may or may not be useful depending on the user’s application and discretion. However, in the DR9 version of the pipeline mask bit 24 ("NODATA," triggered by lack of detected flux) is erroneously set in the dichroic overlap region between the blue and red cameras, even when not all individual exposures were affected. This affects 9.7% of all pixels; these are actually usable and should not be discarded.

For simplicity, we amalgamate the pipeline $\text{ANDMASK}$ into our combined mask, such that maskbit 1 indicates pixels flagged by $\text{ANDMASK}$ (except $\text{ANDMASK} = 2^{25}$).

4.1.2. Sky Mask

At the typical quasar magnitudes targeted by BOSS ($g \leq 22.0$ or $r \leq 21.85$, cf. Ross et al. 2012), the main contribution to pixel noise comes from the sky. This is particularly noticeable at pixels corresponding to the sky emission lines, where large deviations in flux are seen. These pixels should be discarded since the astrophysical signal has been washed out by the sky variance. In the pipeline, mask bit 23 ("SKYMASK") is used

---

### Table 2

| Selection Cuts for Lyα Forest Sample |
|-------------------------------------|
| Description                          | Number of Spectra |
| DR9Q quasars                        | 87,822 |
| $z_{\text{qso}} < 2.15$              | −25,891 |
| BAL quasars                         | −5,848 |
| Low $S/N$                           | −924  |
| Too many masked pixels               | −170  |
| Negative continuum                  | −521  |
| Total                               | 54,468 |

### Table 3

| Description of BOSSLyaDR9 Catalog |
|-----------------------------------|
| Column                            | Format | Description |
| SDSS-NAME                        | A19    | SDSS-DR9 designation |
| RA                               | F11.6  | Right ascension (J2000) |
| DEC                              | F11.6  | Declination (J2000) |
| THING_ID                         | I10    | Unique identifier |
| PLATE                            | I5     | Plate number |
| MJD                              | I6     | Spectroscopic MJD |
| FIBER                            | I5     | Fiber number |
| $Z_{\text{VI}}$                  | F9.4   | Visual inspection redshift from DR9Q |
| $Z_{\text{PIPE}}$                | F9.4   | BOSS pipeline redshift |
| SNR                              | F9.4   | Median $S/N$ (1268–1380 Å rest) |
| SNR_LYA                          | F9.4   | Median $S/N$ (1041–1185 Å rest) |
| CHISQ_CONT                       | F9.4   | Reduced chi-squared of continuum fit (1216–1600 Å rest) |
| CONT_FLAG                        | I2     | Continuum visual inspection flag |
| CONT_TEMPLATE                    | A8     | Quasar template used |
| Z_DLA                            | F9.4   | DLA absorption redshift |
| LOG_NHI                          | F9.4   | Logarithm of DLA H i column density in cm$^{-2}$ |

---

32. http://www.sdss3.org/dr9/algorithms/lyaf_sample.php
33. These files are not strictly “per-object” as a small number of multiply observed objects have multiple plate–MJD–fiber combinations.

---

34. http://www.sdss3.org/dr9/algorithms/bitmask_sppixmask.php
35. Note that this issue affects only the co-added spectra—users of the individual exposures should not ignore maskbit 24.
to flag pixels where the object’s estimated sky flux is (1) more than 10σ above the object flux, and (2) more than 1.25 times the median flux over the neighboring 99 pixels. However, we have found that using this criterion alone is insufficient to fully mask strong sky emission lines—this is illustrated in Figure 4, which shows the stacked spectrum of 1000 quasars centered around the O I λ5577.338 telluric emission line. The lower panel shows the corresponding inverse variances, with the pixels masked by the pipeline set to zero—the non-zero values within the envelope of the skyline indicate inadequate masking by the pipeline. In addition, weaker skylines are often left unmasked by the pipeline.

Since the sky calibration fibers in BOSS are themselves processed by the standard pipeline—including the sky subtraction estimated from all sky fibers in each plate—the resulting residual spectra can be used to analyze the efficacy of the latter procedure. The mean and rms of these sky residuals is shown in Figure 5. Using this, we generate a list of sky wavelengths to be masked as follows: we first define a “sky continuum” as the mean within these side bands. This quantity is then averaged over all DR9Q quasars; with the varying quasar redshifts, this gives us a wavelength-dependent measure of the accuracy of the pipeline noise estimation (blue points in Figure 6). If the pipeline yields a perfect noise estimate, the plotted quantity should be unity at all wavelengths; on the other hand, under (over) estimates will produce values below (above) unity. The flux

![Figure 4](https://example.com/figure4.png)

**Figure 4.** Upper panel: stacked flux from 1000 quasar spectra with $z_{\text{qso}} = 3.08-3.18$ that have a flat intrinsic spectrum ($\lambda_{\text{rest}} \approx 1350$ Å) around the 5577.338 Å O I sky emission line. The features are caused by increased noise variance from the skyline. The vertical dotted lines provide a visual reference point for the extent of the skyline’s effect on the spectrum. Lower panel: the pipeline noise inverse variance, where masked pixels have been set to zero using the pipeline masks (black solid line) and our sky mask described in Section 4.1.2 (dashed red line). The non-zero pixels within the dotted vertical lines indicate that the pipeline masks do not adequately account for the O I line, whereas our new sky mask has done so thoroughly.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

4.2. Noise Corrections

An estimate of the noise associated with each pixel in each spectrum, $\sigma_p$, is provided by idlspec2d. However, the pipeline is known to suffer from systematic underestimates of the noise (see, e.g., McDonald et al. 2006; Desjacques et al. 2007). To investigate the extent of this, we examine the pixel variance in spectral regions that are intrinsically smooth and flat. We use two $\Delta \lambda_{\text{rest}} \sim 50$ Å regions of quasar spectra (called “side bands”), redward of the Lyα peak (so as not to be affected by absorption from the Lyα forest) and where the quasar continuum is relatively flat with rest-frame wavelength: $1330 \text{ Å} < \lambda_{\text{rest}} < 1380$ Å and $1450 \text{ Å} < \lambda_{\text{rest}} < 1500$ Å. For each individual quasar, we then compute the ratio of the pipeline error estimate, $\sigma_p$, to the rms of the flux dispersion about the mean within these side bands. This quantity is then averaged over all DR9Q quasars; with the varying quasar redshifts, this gives us a wavelength-dependent measure of the accuracy of the pipeline noise estimation (blue points in Figure 6). If the pipeline yields a perfect noise estimate, the plotted quantity should be unity at all wavelengths; on the other hand, under (over) estimates will produce values below (above) unity. The flux
dispersion in the blue part of the spectra ($\lambda < 4000 \, \text{Å}$) is seen to be about 15% larger than expected from the noise estimate given by the pipeline. The discrepancy decreases with increasing wavelength, and the two estimates are in agreement at $\lambda \approx 5700 \, \text{Å}$.

This test clearly indicates a wavelength-dependent miscalibration of the noise. However, since a fraction of the flux rms in the quasar side bands comes from intervening metals along the sightline, this procedure could be overly conservative in deriving the underestimation of the pipeline noise. Instead we recalibrate the pixel noise using three independent contributions derived from the data, which we shall now describe.

Because the wavelength solution can vary between exposures, we first define a common wavelength grid with 2.5 Å pixels, about three times larger than on individual exposures. The flux $f$ in a given rebinned pixel is the weighted average of the flux of the contributing pixels of the original spectrum, with the weight taken to be the pixel inverse variance $\sigma_p^{-2}$. Input pixels which overlap two rebinned pixels are assigned to whichever rebinned pixel they overlap the most. The correction terms $\text{cor}_{\text{co-add}}(\lambda)$, $\text{cor}_{\text{exp}}$ and $\text{cor}_{\text{flux}}(\lambda, f)$ described below are computed from these rebinned single-exposure and co-added spectra. The total correction to the pixel noise is given by

$$\text{cor}_{\text{tot}}(\lambda, f) = \text{cor}_{\text{exp}} \times \text{cor}_{\text{co-add}}(\lambda) \times \text{cor}_{\text{flux}}(f, \lambda).$$

The various noise correction factors are as follows.

1. **Individual Exposure Correction**, $\text{cor}_{\text{exp}}$. We check the reliability of the pipeline error estimates on the individual exposures that comprise each BOSS spectrum. For instance, for $N$ exposures of an object, the distribution of the pull $S$
defined by

\[ S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N/2}} \sum_{i=0}^{N/2} \frac{f_{2i+1} - f_{2i}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{p,2i+1}^2 + \sigma_{p,2i}^2}} \]

should be a Gaussian with zero mean and \( \sigma_S = 1 \). In case of an odd total number of exposures, the last one is arbitrarily dropped in the computation of \( S \). We calculate \( \sigma_y \) for each quasar as the rms over the wavelength range of the Ly\( \alpha \) forest and use that as a per-quasar correction, \( \text{cor} \text{exp} = 1/\sigma_y(\lambda) \). In Figure 6 we plot \( \text{cor} \text{exp} \) as a function of the average observer-frame wavelength of the Ly\( \alpha \) forest, binned over multiple quasars per wavelength bin. The results indicate an underestimate of the pixel noise by about 6%, with a wavelength dependence of less than 3%.

2. Co-addition Correction, \( \text{cor} \text{co-add}(\lambda) \). We examine the propagation of the noise estimate in the co-addition process by comparing the noise given by the pipeline on the co-added frame (variance \( \sigma_{p,\text{co-add}}^2 \)) to the noise computed from the weighted mean of the \( N \) exposures that contributed to the co-add, with variance \( \sigma_{p,\text{mean}}^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sigma_{p,i}^2/N \) where the \( \sigma_{p,i} \) here are not corrected by \( \text{cor} \text{exp} \) since we assume that the noise estimate errors in individual exposures and those introduced by the co-addition process are orthogonal. The correction term for the co-addition process is defined by \( \text{cor} \text{co-add} = \sigma_{p,\text{co-add}}/\sigma_{p,\text{mean}} \). This increases with wavelength, from about 0.95 at \( \lambda = 4000 \) Å to about 1.10 at \( \lambda = 6000 \) Å, and is shown as the yellow points in Figure 6.

3. Flux-dependent Correction, \( \text{cor} \text{flux}(f, \lambda) \). Within a given side band, the ratio of the pixel noise, corrected by \( \text{cor} \text{co-add} \times \text{cor} \text{exp} \), to the flux dispersion in the same rest-frame wavelength range for all quasars exhibits a flux dependence. We correct for this effect by applying a linear correction \( \text{cor} \text{flux}(f, \lambda) \), which we fit separately in five distinct wavelength bins, with the corrections bounded at \( \text{cor} \text{flux} \gg 0.9 \). For typical fluxes in the Ly\( \alpha \) forest, the correction ranges between 1% and 5% for \( \lambda < 5000 \) Å and up to 9% for \( \lambda > 5500 \) Å. This mean over the spectra in our sample is shown as the black points in Figure 6.

The pipeline noise estimate is divided by the overall noise correction, \( \sigma_\text{cor} = \sigma_p/\text{cor}_\text{cor}(\lambda, f) \), to yield a more accurate noise estimate. The average correction for our spectra is shown as the red points in Figure 6. The corrections for each object in our sample are stored in the \texttt{NOISE\_CORR} vector of the corresponding spectrum. We have derived the above corrections only for the blue side of the spectra, \( \lambda \leq 6300 \) Å, which reaches up to \( z_{\text{cor}} = 4.18 \) (see Figure 2), which comprises the vast majority of Ly\( \alpha \) forest pixels. Pixels with \( \lambda > 6300 \) Å have their noise corrections set to unity, \( \text{cor}_\text{tot} = 1.0 \), such that the pipeline noise remains uncorrected on the red side of the spectra.

Several caveats should be kept in mind regarding these noise corrections. Some of the errors in the pipeline noise estimates arise from scatter in the broadband fluxing of the individual exposures and act as a covariance between the individual pixels. As such, our noise corrections do not take into account off-diagonal terms of this overall covariance. We also note that there is an uncertainty of several percent regarding these noise corrections, e.g., the “side-band” and “total correction” curves in Figure 6 disagree by several percent although the overall wavelength dependence is in good agreement. However, 3D correlation analyses should not be sensitive to errors in the noise estimates although 1D analyses will require a more careful approach than what we have presented here.

We expect the pipeline noise estimates to be significantly improved when the new spectral extraction algorithm of Bolton & Schlegel (2010) is implemented in subsequent BOSS data releases. Alternatively, K.-G. Lee et al. (2013, in preparation) will describe a probabilistic method for accurate noise estimation that allows separation of photon-counting and CCD noise components.

4.3. Damped Ly\( \alpha \) Absorbers

The cosmological utility of the optically thin Ly\( \alpha \) forest \( (N_{\text{HI}} \lesssim 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-2}) \) relies on the fact that the absorption field is a weakly nonlinear tracer of the underlying dark matter fluctuations. DLAs (see Wolfe et al. 2005 for a review) are collapsed objects with neutral column densities of \( N_{\text{HI}} \geq 2 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) that do not have the same correspondence with the large-scale density field. Moreover, each individual DLA causes large damped absorption profiles that affect large swathes \( \Delta v \geq 5000 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) of affected sightlines. It is thus preferable to remove DLAs from any analysis of the large-scale Ly\( \alpha \) forest, although note that it is impossible to detect and remove all DLAs from the data, especially in the noisier spectra.

In their early analysis of BOSS data, Slosar et al. (2011) had simply discarded sightlines that contained DLAs identified by visual inspection. This is a sub-optimal approach, since while approximately 10% of all Ly\( \alpha \) forest sightlines contain DLAs, only \( \sim 10\% \) of the Ly\( \alpha \) forest pixels in each affected sightline are directly impacted by the DLA. It would therefore be more economical to mask the saturated absorption cores of the DLAs, and correct for the effect of their broad damping wings in affected spectra.

To deal with DLAs, we use a combination of three different methods, described in W. Carithers et al. (2012, in preparation) to detect DLAs in the BOSS quasar sightlines: visual inspection, Fisher Discriminant Analysis (FDA), and template cross-correlation.

As mentioned above, all DR9Q spectra are visually inspected and spectra are flagged when a DLA is recognized by the inspector. In addition, we employ two automated procedures for identifying DLAs. The first, described in Noterdaeme et al. (2012), uses a set of DLA absorption profile templates of various column densities that are cross-correlated with the quasar spectra. If the correlation coefficient is sufficiently high, a fit to a Voigt profile is performed to measure the column density and DLA redshift. If associated metal absorption lines (e.g., Si\( \text{IV} \) \( \lambda\lambda 1393.75, 1402.77 \) and C\( \text{IV} \) \( \lambda\lambda 1548.19, 1550.77 \)) are present redward of the quasar Ly\( \alpha \) emission line, they are used to refine the redshift. The second automated method, described in W. Carithers et al. (2012, in preparation), is based on a Fisher Discriminant (Fisher 1936) machine-learning algorithm. After an initial screening that identifies spectral regions that are consistent with zero flux density and inconsistent with the continuum, a fit to a Voigt profile is performed. The errors and chi-squares from the fit, along with the initial screening probability, are passed to a Fisher Discriminant that has been trained on the visual identification DLA sample. Metal lines, when present, are used by this method as well to refine the DLA redshift.

Any DLA recognition algorithm must balance the requirements for efficiency and purity, and the most severe challenge is in the regime of low \( S/N \) and low column density. Each of the three methods has strengths and weaknesses in this
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regard. To retain both high efficiency and high purity, we define a concordance catalog (W. Carithers et al. 2012, in preparation) consisting of all DLAs found by at least two of the three methods (in practice, the majority are found by all three techniques). In those cases where a DLA is found by both the template and FDA methods, the average of the two redshifts and column densities is used. Both these methods have been tested on the same FDA methods, the average of the two redshifts and column densities is used. Both these methods have been tested on the same optimisation function and is hence unlikely to bias BAO analyses. See Font-Ribera & Miralda-Escudé (2012) for a detailed discussion on the effect of DLAs and LLSs on the Ly$\alpha$ forest correlation function and is hence unlikely to bias BAO analyses. See Font-Ribera & Miralda-Escudé (2012) for a detailed discussion on the effect of DLAs and LLSs on the Ly$\alpha$ forest correlation function.

Note that we have a low detection efficiency of DLAs in low-S/N spectra, and therefore many such DLAs remained undetected. However, these should not strongly affect correlation analyses due to the low weight accorded to such noisy pixels. In addition, we have not attempted to detect LLS absorbers with $Z_{\text{DLA}} < 2$ and $Z_{\text{LLS}} < 2$ since at BOSS resolution these systems can be robustly detected only through their Lyman-break absorption at $\lambda_{\text{rest}} < 912$ Å, which is only possible for $z > 2.9$ quasars (assuming BOSS wavelength coverage). The undetected DLAs and LLSs will affect correlation analyses of the Ly$\alpha$ forest, although this bias is considerably smaller than that which would have been contributed by the DLAs we have detected. In addition, this bias has a smooth “broadband” effect on the correlation function and is hence unlikely to bias BAO analyses. See Font-Ribera & Miralda-Escudé (2012) for a detailed discussion on the effect of DLAs and LLSs on the Ly$\alpha$ forest correlation function.

Figure 7. Spectrum of a Ly$\alpha$ forest sightline with a DLA at $z_{\text{DLA}} = 2.475$, with a neutral hydrogen column density $\log_{10} N_{\text{HI}} = 21.50$. The blue curve is the DLA absorption profile corresponding to the aforementioned parameters, multiplied by the assumed quasar continuum (dashed line). The red spectrum shows the same spectrum after applying the steps described in Section 4.3: the damping wing corrections have been applied (Equation (5)), while we have set the flux to zero within the masked DLA core for illustrative purposes.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
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\[ W \approx \frac{e^2}{m_e c^2} N_{\text{HI}} f_\alpha \lambda_\alpha \left( \frac{\gamma_\alpha \lambda_\alpha}{c} \right)^{1/2}, \]

where $\lambda_\alpha = 1216$ Å is the rest-frame wavelength of the hydrogen Ly$\alpha$ transition, $e$ is the electron charge, $m_e$ is the electron mass, $c$ is the speed of light, $N_{\text{HI}}$ is the H I column density of the DLA, $f_\alpha$ is the Ly$\alpha$ oscillator strength, and $\gamma_\alpha$ is the sum of the Einstein $A$ coefficients for the transition. Pixels that are masked due to DLAs are flagged by maskbit 3 in our combined mask.

Beyond this region, we correct for the damping wings of the DLA by multiplying each pixel in the spectrum with $\exp(\tau_{\text{wing}}(\Delta\lambda))$, where

\[ \tau_{\text{wing}}(\Delta\lambda) = \frac{e^2}{m_e c^2} \frac{\gamma_\alpha \lambda_\alpha}{4\pi} f_\alpha N_{\text{HI}} \lambda_\alpha \left( \frac{\lambda}{\Delta\lambda} \right)^2 \]

and $\Delta\lambda \equiv \lambda - \lambda_\alpha$ is the wavelength separation in the DLA rest frame. Each of the spectra in our sample includes a vector, DLA_CORR, that stores the damping wing corrections $\epsilon_{\text{DLA}} \equiv \exp(\tau_{\text{wing}})$; this is set to unity in pixels unaffected by intervening DLAs. The correction is also set to unity within the DLA cores described by Equation (4), with the assumption that the pixels would have already been masked or discarded. The DLA correction vector should be multiplied into the flux and noise vectors; alternatively, users might opt to make more stringent cuts based on the value of the damping wing corrections. Figure 7 shows a DLA in our sample, along with the masks and corrections that we have applied to correct for it.

The $Z_{\text{DLA}}$ and $\log_{10} N_{\text{HI}}$ fields in our catalog (Table 3) list the DLA absorber redshift and base-10 logarithm of the neutral hydrogen column density (in cm$^{-2}$), respectively, for each spectrum in our sample. Both fields are set to $-1$ in spectra where no DLAs are detected.

36 Where the continuum is, in this case, defined separately within each algorithm; see Noterdaeme et al. (2012) and W. Carithers et al. (2012, in preparation) for details.
4.4. Quasar Continua

In any Lyα forest analysis, the Lyα forest transmission is obtained by dividing the observed flux by an estimate for the intrinsic quasar continuum. This is a non-trivial step even in high-S/N spectra. Traditionally, power-law extrapolation from λ_{rest} > 1216 Å has been used to estimate the quasar continuum in noisy spectra (e.g., Press et al. 1993). However, this technique is now known to be unreliable due to a break in the quasar continuum at λ_{rest} ≈ 1200 Å (Telfer et al. 2002). Moreover, the uncertain blue-end spectrophotometry in BOSS (see Section 5.1) makes continuum extrapolations highly unreliable. It is thus necessary to use the information in the Lyα forest itself to estimate the continuum.

For each BOSS DR9 quasar spectrum that satisfies our selection criteria in Section 3, we provide a continuum estimate using a modified version of the MF-PCA technique described in Lee et al. (2012). This technique is essentially a two-step process: an initial PCA fit to the λ_{rest} > 1216 Å region of the quasar spectrum to predict the shape of the Lyα forest continuum, followed by a “mean-flux regulation” step to ensure that the continuum amplitude is consistent with published constraints on the Lyα forest mean-flux, \( F(z) \).

4.4.1. PCA Fitting

The first step in our continuum-estimation process is to fit PCA templates to the quasar spectrum redward of its Lyα emission line, in the interval λ_{rest} = 1216–1600 Å.

However, since intervening metal absorption in that region might bias our continuum fit, we first execute a procedure to identify and mask these absorbers prior to fitting the continuum. For this purpose, we follow the procedure described in Lundgren et al. (2009). First, we define a pseudo-continuum by using a variation of a moving mean that robustly fits both the quasar emission lines and flatter spectral regions over a broad range of quasar spectral morphologies. Residual absorption features in the normalized spectrum are then each fit with a Gaussian to produce estimates of the equivalent width, \( W \), and associated errors \( \sigma_w \). Absorption lines detected with \( W/\sigma_w \geq 3 \) have their pixel inverse variances set to zero and ignored in the subsequent steps.37

We obtain the initial PCA continuum, \( C_{\text{PCA}} \), by performing an inverse-variance-weighted least-squares fit to the 1216 Å < λ_{rest} < 1600 Å region redward of the quasar Lyα emission line, using quasar templates with eight principal components. Two different PCA quasar templates were employed: (1) Suzuki et al. (2005) who used \( z < 1 \) quasars observed by the Faint Object Spectrograph onboard the Hubble Space Telescope, in which the λ_{rest} < 1216 Å continuum can be clearly defined due to the lower absorber density; and (2) Pâris et al. (2011) who selected a sample of \( z \sim 3 \) quasars with high S/N from SDSS DR7 and carried out spline fitting on the Lyα forest continuum to estimate the intrinsic quasar spectrum in that region. Both templates are used to fit each BOSS quasar; the better-fitted template is then chosen based on the reduced \( \chi^2 \) of the fit—this is denoted by either “SUZUKI05” or “PARI11” in the CONT TEMPLATE field of our catalog (Table 3). We find that for the DR9 sample, about 85% of the quasars were better represented by the Suzuki et al. (2005) templates while 15% were better fit with the Pâris et al. (2011) templates; in contrast, the corresponding percentages in DR7 (cf. Lee et al. 2012) were 30% and 70%, respectively.

However, not all the BOSS quasars are well described by either of the quasar templates described above, in which case we cannot obtain a well-fitted PCA continuum. There are also cases in which strong absorption systems lying on top the quasar emission lines (most notably Lyα) were not identified by the absorption-masking procedure, which biases the continuum fit. Initially, we attempted to use the reduced \( \chi^2 \) statistic, \( \chi^2/\nu \), to quantify the fit quality, where \( \nu = N_{\text{pix}} - 1 \). We found that while most objects with \( \chi^2/\nu > 2 \) were indeed badly fitted, many unsatisfactory fits had \( \chi^2/\nu \sim 1 \), mostly in situations where absorption features were fitted by the principal components, giving unphysical continua. We have therefore visually inspected all the fitted continua in the rest-frame region redward of 1216 Å, and flagged objects that were not well fit by our PCA templates. We have listed both the reduced chi-squared and visual continuum flags in the CHISQ_CONT and CONT_FLAG fields, respectively, of the BOSSLyaDR9_cat catalog.

Our convention for the visual inspection continuum flags is as follows.

1. CONT_FLAG=1. The fitted PCA continuum appears to describe the intrinsic quasar continuum well at \( \lambda_{rest} > 1216 \) Å. We allow unphysical features in the continua (e.g., the “absorption feature” near \( \lambda_{rest} = 1216 \) Å in panel (a) of Figure 8), if they do not impact the overall fit. This comprises 98.3% of all spectra in our sample.

2. CONT_FLAG=2. The fitted PCA continuum is badly fit and does not resemble the intrinsic quasar spectrum. These cases tend to be caused by either very strong absorbers that have eluded our masking process, or quasars with continuum shapes that are not captured by our templates (see panels (d) and (e) in Figure 8). These comprise 1.7% of all spectra in our sample.

Because we apply the mean-flux regulation step (next section), even the worst continua with CONT_FLAG=2 should yield rms continuum errors well under \( \sim 10\% \). We therefore do not recommend that users discard spectra based on these flags, but use them as a possible systematic check.

4.4.2. Mean-flux Regulation

The initial PCA continuum fit, \( C_{\text{PCA}} \), provides a prediction for the shape of the weak quasar emission lines in the 1041 Å < \( \lambda_{rest} < 1185 \) Å region, but the overall amplitude is uncertain due to the quasar power-law break and spectrophotometric errors. We therefore require that each quasar continuum matches the expected Lyα forest mean-flux evolution, given by Faucher-Giguère et al. (2008)—we use their power-law-only fit without metal corrections:

\[
(F)(z) = \exp[-0.001845(1 + z_{abs})^{3.924}],
\]

where \( z_{abs} \) is the absorber redshift.

37 This absorber masking step was not done in Lee et al. (2012)—they instead used a iterative clipping method that was less effective in discarding intervening absorbers.
Figure 8. Spectra (black) of randomly selected quasars from our sample, and their corresponding MF-PCA continua (red). The two lower panels illustrate objects with inferior continuum fits (CONT_FLAG = 2): in panel (d), a case where strong absorbers have stymied our efforts at absorption masking and biased the continuum fit; in panel (e), a weak emission-line quasar that is not represented in our quasar templates. These unsatisfactory continua comprise only 1.7% of the total sample.

We fit a linear correction function of the form \((a + b\lambda_{\text{rest}})\), such that the final continuum, \(C_{\text{MF}}\), yields a mean flux in agreement with Equation (6). This is different from Lee et al. (2012), who performed this step using a quadratic fitting function of the form \((1 + a\hat{\lambda} + b\hat{\lambda}^2)\), where \(\hat{\lambda} = \lambda_{\text{rest}}/1280 \text{ Å} - 1\)—we changed to the linear correction function since it is easier to compute analytic corrections for large-scale power along the line of sight (e.g., Appendix A in Slosar et al. 2011).

In addition, the weighting is carried out differently. In Lee et al. (2012), the correction function was fitted to the Ly\(\alpha\) forest split into three rest-frame bins, with the weights in each bin given by the inverse variance estimated through a bootstrap procedure; for our continua, we instead fit the correction function directly to the individual pixels, with weights given by the inverse of

\[
\sigma^2 = \sigma^2_N + \sigma^2_F, \quad \text{where } \sigma^2_N \text{ is the corrected (see Section 4.2) pipeline noise variance and}
\]

\[
\sigma^2_F(z) = 0.065[(1 + z_{\text{abs}})/3.25]^{3.8}\langle F \rangle^2(z) \quad (7)
\]

is the intrinsic variance of the Ly\(\alpha\) forest within a 69 km s\(^{-1}\) pixel, as estimated from the redshift evolution of the power spectrum (McDonald et al. 2006); and \(\langle F \rangle(z)\) is given by Equation (6). In this fit, we use only pixels with \(\lambda \geq 3625 \text{ Å}\) in order to avoid the regions most severely affected by the sky noise (cf. Figure 5).

The mean-flux regulation corrections are applied to the initial continuum estimate, \(C_{\text{PCA}}\), blueward of 1185 Å. This introduces a discontinuity at 1185 Å in the final continuum
that is unphysical, but we do not expect any practical issues to arise from this discontinuity if our assumed Lyα forest range is adopted. We have found that a small number (~500) of extremely low-S/N (\( \lesssim 1 \)) spectra have continuum that go negative at some wavelengths. Since this situation is clearly unphysical, we therefore discard these quasars from the overall sample.

For all 54,468 quasars in our sample, we provide estimated continua (in the CONT vector of each file) that cover the quasar rest-frame range 1040–1600 Å; the continua outside of this range are set to zero. From the tests on mock spectra by Lee (2012), we expect the typical rms error of the MF-PCA continua to be around 6% at S/N ~ 2 pixel\(^{-1}\) (evaluated within the forest), dropping to ~4% at higher S/N (\( \gtrsim 5 \) pixel\(^{-1}\)).

Several caveats must be kept in mind with regard to our continua. First, because the MF-PCA method requires an external constraint of the Lyα forest mean-flux evolution, the continua presented here cannot be used to make an independent measurement of the Lyα forest mean flux—they are primarily intended to provide a good per-pixel continuum estimate at the expense of zeroth-order information on the mean flux. Another possible issue is that the mean-flux regulation removes large-scale flux power along the line of sight, which means that our continua will not yield accurate measurements of one-dimensional flux power unless corrections are applied (A. Font-Ribera 2012, private communication). In addition, the method would introduce some correlations in the continua in neighboring lines of sight. Nevertheless, we do not expect this effect to bias measurements of the BAO peak position.

5. KNOWN SYSTEMATICS

In this section, we describe several issues in the BOSS spectra that could have an impact on cosmological analyses.

5.1. Spectrophotometric Errors

To improve the blue-end S/N for Lyα forest analysis at \( z \approx 2 \), we have made the following modifications in the way that quasar fibers are attached to the plug plates on the BOSS spectrograph: (1) thin (175–300 \( \mu \)m) washers were attached to the plate plug holes to provide an axial offset, and (2) the positions of the quasar fibers are offset by up to ~0.5 in order to maximize the light entering the fiber when taking into account the atmospheric differential refraction at the designed plate hour angle (Dawson et al. 2013). These adjustments shift the effective focus from 5300 Å (as originally designed) to ~4000 Å, which improves the blue-end S/N for Lyα forest analysis. However, at time of writing the flux standard stars are observed only through fibers without these offsets, rendering the spectrophotometric calibration highly uncertain on the blue end. A BOSS ancillary program is now in place to observe a number of spectrophotometric standard stars through the quasar fibers in order to improve the spectrophotometric calibration, but the results of this program will not be incorporated until future data releases.

Furthermore, the blue end of the spectrum is more susceptible to differential atmospheric refraction, causing the spectrophotometry of the spectra to vary as a function of observed zenith angle. This effect is illustrated in Figure 9, where we show three spectra of a BOSS quasar that had been observed on multiple nights. An important consequence of this uncertain spectrophotometry is that quasar continua cannot be directly extrapolated from redward (\( \lambda_{\text{rest}} > 1216 \) Å) of the quasar Lyα emission line, e.g., using a power law. Direct extrapolation generally produces a large continuum error even in spectra with good flux calibration, but the existing spectrophotometric errors in BOSS means that direct extrapolation will be biased on average (see Figure 5 in DR9Q).

However, the MF-PCA continua included with this sample ameliorates the spectrophotometric errors. This effect is illustrated in Figure 10, where we compare the transmitted flux fields extracted from the multiple observations of the same object shown in Figure 9, with MF-PCA continua fitted to each individual spectrum. One sees from the top panel that the resultant flux fields appear consistent with each other within the noise, despite the large differences in spectrophotometry as seen in Figure 9. We further quantify this by computing another form of the pull:

\[
\chi_{ij}(\lambda) = \frac{F_{i}(\lambda) - F_{j}(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\sigma_{C,i}^{2}(\lambda) + \sigma_{C,j}^{2}(\lambda)}},
\]

where subscripts \( i \) and \( j \) denote observations at different epochs, \( F \) is the transmitted (i.e., continuum-normalized) flux from each observation, and \( \sigma_{C} \) is the corrected and continuum-normalized pipeline noise. The values of \( \chi_{ij} \) from the multiple observations are shown as a function of wavelength in the middle panel of Figure 10. The bottom panel shows the combined histogram of the \( \chi_{ij} \) values evaluated from the five different epochs available for this quasar in DR9. This appears Gaussian with a standard deviation, \( \sigma_{\chi} \approx 1 \), implying that pixel noise is sufficient to account for the variance in the derived transmission fields and the variance from the spectrophotometric errors has been corrected.

We have carried out a similar analysis for a small number of high-S/N quasars observed at multiple epochs, and found that most (~80%) of them had \( \sigma_{\chi} \approx 1 \) to within 10%. This indicates that errors in the relative spectrophotometry are significantly ameliorated by our continuum method, although these effects are not completely removed in all the objects.
5.2. Flux Calibration Artifacts

We showed in Section 4.1.2 that imperfect subtraction of prominent sky emission lines can lead to spectral artifacts if not carefully dealt with. However, imperfect flux calibration can also lead to artifacts. This conversion from counts to flux is achieved, in part, by placing fibers on F sub-dwarf stars and using them as spectrophotometric standards. The derived calibration vectors are largely fixed for all fibers plugged into each plate, fed to each of the two BOSS spectrographs. These vectors can be characterized as constant for fibers 1–500 and 501–1000 and therefore their flux calibration may vary from “half-plate” to “half-plate.” These spectrophotometric standards show pronounced Balmer absorption lines and these must be masked and interpolated over for accurate fluxing. There are potential systematic errors associated with this procedure as discussed in the DR2 and DR6 release papers (Abazajian et al. 2004; Adelman-McCarthy et al. 2006); these were ameliorated in the pipeline reduction of those releases but seem to have reappeared in the DR9 spectra.

To illustrate these artifacts, in Figure 11 we stack the ratio of the flux and the best-fit pipeline PCA model (Bolton et al. 2012) from all 28,848 good quasar spectra in the DR9 sample where the observed spectroscopic r-band magnitude was brighter than 20.5 (CLASS=“QSO,” WARNING=0, SPECTROSYNFLUX[2] > 6.3 nanomaggies38). These ratios, and the formal pipeline errors, are combined at each observer-frame (barycenter) wavelength using a weighted mean with 3σ outlier rejection. We exclude any data points within 100 Å of 31 possible emission line locations at the quasar redshift, blueward of Lyα, or where the template flux density is lower than 0.5 erg s⁻¹ cm⁻² Å⁻¹. These exclusions imply that only the smooth quasar continuum at λ<1216 Å contributes to the stack, while at λ<4000 Å only low-redshift quasars at z<2.0 contribute.

In the resulting ratio shown in Figure 11, we see unwanted wavelength-dependent structure at the ~2%–3% level. The prominent Ca ii H&K absorption lines, at 3968.5 Å and 3933.7 Å, respectively, are thought to be some combination of absorption by the solar neighborhood, the interstellar medium, and the local interplanetary medium, and the local interstellar medium.

38 Defined such that an object with flux f in nanomaggies has an AB magnitude m_{AB} = 22.5 – 2.5 log_{10}(f); see http://data.sdss3.org/datamodel/glossary.html#nanomaggies.
and the Milky Way halo. In addition, artifacts are present at Balmer transition wavelengths due to imperfect correction of standard star absorption lines.

At the time of writing, this issue has not yet been fully corrected in the BOSS pipeline, so users must take this effect into account in their analyses. As an interim solution, the ratio shown in Figure 11 can be used as a correction vector and has been made publicly available with our sample (see Section 6 for download instructions)—the DR9 pipeline fluxes should be divided by this correction vector to remove the Balmer features, and other fluxing artifacts, on average. This correction was applied to the spectra prior to the continuum fitting process in Section 4.4, but it is not otherwise incorporated into the fluxes in individual “speclya” spectra—users need to carry out this procedure themselves.

It should be noted that Busca et al. (2012) find that the magnitude of these artifacts is comparable for the two BOSS spectrographs and that the square root of the half-plate-to-half-plate variance is no larger than 20%–100% of the mean deviation (depending on the test applied). They conclude that the error introduced by half-plate-wide deviations from this correction vector is insignificant for their analysis.

6. DATA ACCESS AND USAGE GUIDELINES

The files associated with the BOSS DR9 Lyα Forest Sample described in this paper can be downloaded from the SDSS-III Web site.39 We have generated BOSSLyaDR9_cat, a catalog listing the objects in this sample along with the additional information useful for Lyα forest analysis (described in Table 3). It is available in both FITS and ASCII formats.

The main components of the sample are individual “speclya” spectral files corresponding to each object in our sample. These files are a value-added version of the “lite” per-object BOSS format (see Section 2), but with additional masks and corrections as listed in Table 1. Note that these masks and corrections have not been applied to the pipeline flux, $f_p$, nor inverse variances, $w_p \equiv \sigma_p^{-2}$ by default, but are included as separate vectors in each file. The flux correction described in Section 5.2 is available in a separate file, residcorr_v5_4_45.dat, which can also be downloaded from the aforementioned Web site.

For a standard analysis, users should use all objects listed by their unique plate–MJD–fiber combination in the catalog, and each object will have a corresponding “speclya” spectrum file labeled by plate–MJD–fiber, grouped in subdirectories by plate number. The Lyα forest pixels in the range 1041 Å < $\lambda_{\text{rest}}$ < 1185 Å should be selected from each spectrum in the catalog, where the quasar rest frame is defined with respect to the redshift given by the $Z_{VI}$ (visual inspection redshift) field in the catalog. Pixels with zero inverse variance or non-zero bits in the MASK_COMBO vector should then be discarded or masked. The pipeline flux, $f_p$ (FLUX in the speclya files), is then divided by the flux calibration corrections, $w_{p,i} \equiv \sigma_p^{-2}$, multiplied by the DLA damping wing corrections, $\epsilon_{\text{dl}}$, and divided by the MF-PCA continua, $C_{\text{MF}}$ (CONT), to obtain the transmitted Lyα forest flux. The same operations are applied to the pipeline noise, $\sigma_p$ (although this is stored as the inverse variance, $w_p \equiv \sigma_p^{-2}$, IVAR in the data files), but with the additional step of dividing by the noise corrections $\text{cor}_{\text{tot}}$ (NOISE_CORR).

In other words, the Lyα forest transmission field, $F_i$, is extracted from each spectrum $i$ like so:

$$F_i(z_a) = f_{p,i}(\lambda) \left( \frac{\epsilon_{\text{dl}}(\lambda)}{\epsilon_{\text{flux}}(\lambda) C_{\text{MF}}(\lambda)} \right),$$

where $(1 + z_a) = \lambda/1215.67$ Å.

The corresponding inverse variance weights are derived from the pipeline inverse variances, $w_{p,i}$, as follows:

$$w_{F,i}(z_a) = w_{p,i}(\lambda) \cos^2(\lambda) \left( \frac{\epsilon_{\text{flux}}(\lambda) C_{\text{MF}}(\lambda)}{\epsilon_{\text{dl}}(\lambda)} \right)^2.$$

39 http://www.sdss3.org/dr9/algorithms/lyaf_sample.php

39 Interpolated to the individual wavelength grids from residcorr_v5_4_45.dat described in Section 5.2.
All pixels with \( \text{MASK}_\text{COMB} \) set or \( w_{p,i} = 0 \) should be masked or discarded.

7. CONCLUSIONS

We present the public release of the BOSS DR9 Ly\( \alpha \) Forest Sample, a set of 54,468 spectra suitable for Ly\( \alpha \) forest analysis selected from the BOSS DR9 quasar catalog, taking into account criteria such as redshift, S/N, and quality of spectra. For each spectrum, we also provide the following products designed to aid in Ly\( \alpha \) forest analysis.

1. A simple maskbit system to flag pixels that may be affected by pipeline artifacts or sky emission lines, or that lie within DLA cores.
2. Corrections for DLA damping wings.
3. Noise correction vectors to make the pipeline noise estimate consistent with the actual pixel dispersions.
4. An MF-PCA continuum estimate accurate to 5\% rms at the median S/N of the data.

In addition, we have also discussed two systematics in the data that may affect Ly\( \alpha \) forest analysis. The relative spectrophotometry is uncertain due to steps in the observational procedure taken to boost the Ly\( \alpha \) forest S/N, but we argue that the MF-PCA continua provided here remove these effects to first order. We also discuss artifacts in the spectra caused by the errors in the flux calibration, and provide a global correction as an interim solution prior to a more thorough solution within the BOSS pipeline.

While this sample is a convenient resource for users intending to work with the BOSS Ly\( \alpha \) forest data, we encourage users to make their own decision on cuts and corrections, as necessary, to optimize their analysis. This compilation also serves as a fiducial sample—to enable straightforward cross-comparison, users should run their analysis on the full sample with the value-added products fully implemented (Section 6), in addition to analyses incorporating alternative cuts, corrections, or continuum normalizations. The BOSS Collaboration has adopted this strategy for our Ly\( \alpha \) forest BAO analysis.

The BOSS DR9 Ly\( \alpha \) Forest Sample is an unprecedented data set: it encompasses a comoving volume of \( \sim 20 \, h^{-3} \, \text{Gpc}^3 \) and represents a dense sampling at \( \sim 16 \) quasar sightlines per square degree. We hope that readers who have not previously worked with Ly\( \alpha \) forest data will take advantage of this unique data set to make their own contribution to our understanding of the high-redshift universe.
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