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Abstract
This article aims to describe an authentic project-based assessment model in improving students’ Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) on Sociology learning. This study used a mix-method design that applied ADDIE procedural to gain valid and reliable outcomes. Data collection used multiple techniques involving guided-interviews, survey, validity test, item instrument sheet, HOTS multiple questions with students’ elicitation and observation sheet. The study results revealed that: (1) A characteristic of the authentic project-based assessment model refers to extensive assessments such as performance, attitude, presentation, product, project, and comprehension. Both performance and attitude assessments used during the project assessment. (2) An implementation of the authentic project-based assessment model is valid and reliable after giving an experimental treatment in the class of X IIS SMAN 1 Padang that related to cognitive, affective and skills area. (3) An authentic project-based assessment model increased students’ Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) regarding critical thinking and problem-solving aspects, communication skill aspects, creativity and innovation aspects, and collaboration aspects.
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Introduction
Educational and learning development is a subsystem of national development. A better quality of education and learning that takes place in and out of school, it will have a positive effect in human resources development. Also, it will be a productive workforce for the national development in the next period. On the other hand, education and learning are less qualified and less productive. It means that it will produce less qualified and less productive human resources.

In connection with it, UNESCO (1997: 86) formulated four pillars of education. It is learning to know, learning to do, learning to be and learning to live together. The four pillars are showing that education and learning cannot separate from individuals and their life. So, the individual as an education and learning product should be able to develop themselves fully and can live in a global society that is full of dynamics and competition.

In the 21st century life, a variety of skills should be mastered by an individual. It expected that education prepares students to master some skills to become successful people in their life. The essential and necessary skills in the 21st century are critical thinking skills, collaboration skills, communication skills, creative and innovative thinking skills, anda variety of other skills consisting of
problem-solving skills and metacognition. Therefore, some unique skills needed to empower in learning activities such as critical thinking skills, problem-solving, metacognition, communication skills, collaboration skills, creative and innovative skills, and information literacy.

In the 21st century, updating and optimizing a learning quality is a necessity to improve and develop achievement. One of the learning strategies that used is project-based learning (Weissinger, 2004; Arends, 2012). The project-based learning is an approach that focuses on student learning by giving the responsibility to complete the project so that learning is about a real-life situation (Arends & Kilcher, 2010). The essential questions can assign an assignee to do an activity as a problem topic in a student method storing. It is the starting point for investigating and discovering (Arends, 2012) as well as for collaborating and managing tasks among students (Arends & Kilcher, 2010). According to Arends (2012), a syntax of project-based learning will orient students to the matter, organize students to learn, guide individual and group investigation, develop and present project activities undertaken by students, analyze and evaluate the problem-solving process. It is also supported by McMahon (2007) who stated that the learning process by involving students in various activities showed an improvement of students’ thinking skills regarding their critical and creative thinking. Also, students who got learning of the real-world setting can retain the knowledge longer than those who taught through traditional teaching. Even though, it may be less than traditional classroom learners.

In Indonesia, project-based learning is one of the strategies that recommended in the new curriculum. It has implemented since 2013. A high-level thinking skill has not been able to be adequately implemented by teachers, especially Sociology teachers in West Sumatra. Although, the high-level capability is assumed to be useful for students. Some problems faced by educational practitioners at the moment regarding successful learning. Based on achievement of learning outcomes, it has been able to make a learning experience as a primary target of learning process and product. A learning assessment has not been able to provide a picture of students achievement. Therefore, the assessment of learning outcome did not provide feedback for students to develop their cognitive, affective, and skill optimally.

In principle, a learning process can mutually focus on learning outcomes. If the learning process focused on the acquisition of cognitive materials and values, it would sort and position itself to focus on achieving a process score and learning outcomes (G. Brown, J. Bull, and M. Pendlebury, 1997). However, the development of thinking skills, character building, and students’ skill would not realize if the learning has not been able to provide real feedback about student achievement in learning. While 90% of the value given, it depends on what it is not visible, not only on a timed written exam but also a final report of material that their teacher assigns (P. Race and G. Brown, 2002).

Based on these problems, the concept of authentic assessment emerged in the early 1990s, as a form of expert dissatisfaction with the weaknesses of objective tests. It mainly assesses high-level cognitive skills in doing things in real life or real-world setting. According to John Mueller (2008), an authentic assessment is a form of assessment in which students asked to perform real-world tasks that demonstrate meaningful application of essential knowledge and skills. Therefore, the authentic assessment is a type or form assessment in which students perform, implement or perform a task in real life, not just with a written test at the end of the learning activities. The authentic assessment can be done in various forms by the learning purposes such as observation, self-assessment, portfolio, authentic tasks, experiments, focused discussions, journals, analysis of events, and collaborating with each other through debates, and exhibitions. Therefore, an authentic assessment assesses not only the desired behavior, but also the attitudes, knowledge, skills, competence, and work processes in the context of real life as an integral part of the learning process. It happens to the student’s life at school or society.

To tackle problems and optimize the achievement of national education objectives, the government launched the curriculum of 2013 with some purposes. It prepares Indonesian people to
have the ability to live as individuals and citizens who are faithful, productive, creative, innovative, affective and capable contribute to society’s life, nation, state, and civilization. One of the fundamental things that happened in Indonesian curriculum is a change in the learning assessment. The assessment is an essential part of learning. By conducting assessments, educators as manager of learning activity can determine the students’ ability, the learning accuracy methods used, and the successful students in achieving the competence that has determined. Based on the assessment results, educators can make the right decision to determine the next step in making decisions. If the decision made from an incorrect appraisal result, there will undoubtedly be a mistake that may be detrimental to students or other related parties (Depdiknas, 2008).

By the curriculum of 2013, Sociology as one branch of Social Science given to high school requires the expertise of teachers to design, plan and carry out an assessment in Sociology learning. It is regarding primary competencies and indicators of achievement. Through a proper assessment, it can reveal students’ competence in studying Sociology. It objectives some dimension such as content dimension, process dimension, context dimension, affective dimension and metacognition dimension. These competencies include knowledge, attitude, and skills in a comprehensive and sustainable.

In Sociology learning, an authentic assessment serves as a driver of learning activities and also to assess the students’ learning process and outcomes. The authentic assessment in Sociology learning conducted comprehensively to assess learning input, process, and output, which includes attitudes, knowledge, and skills. It also assesses the readiness of students, as well as the learning process and learning objectives as a whole. The assessment integration of three components (input - process - output) will describe the students’ capacity, style, and learning outcomes, even able to generate learning instructional effect and nurturant effect. In brief, this article aims to describe the research result on the implementation of authentic assessment instrument on project-based Sociology learning that expected to improve the ability of high-level thinking and 4 C students.

Method

The development of authentic assessment instruments in Sociology at senior high school used Research and Development (R&D). It focused on product development regarding the authentic assessment instrument related to project-based learning in social research material. This study enhances the students’ ability of Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) and 4C. The model development of authentic assessment instruments used ADDIE development model, with the stages of Analysis, Design, Develop, Implementation, and Evaluation.

Data collection techniques used to develop an authentic assessment instrument consists of interviews, tests, observation sheets and questionnaires. The interviews and observations conducted to obtain needs analysis data. Then, the researchers designed authentic assessment instruments. The authentic assessment instruments developed consist of cognitive assessment instrument (tests), attitude assessment (spiritual and social) in the form of self-assessment and peer-assessment instruments, skills assessment (performance assessment, project), classroom observation and field visits.

Validity and reliability test used to determine the effectiveness of cognitive assessment instrument designed to enhance students’ HOTS. The validity and reliability tested through item analysis. Furthermore, the attitude and skill instruments validated by four expert validators, consisting of two experts of learning evaluation, one expert of Sociology material, one linguist and four Sociology teachers from several SMAN in Padang city. The expert validators validate the instrument by filling out a validation sheet used to determine the content validity, construct, language and practicalities of each developed instrument. A questionnaire regarding product usage used to obtain product practicalities data.
Feasibility of authentic assessment instruments regarding the validity of students’ affective, cognitive and psychomotor assessment instruments analyzed by using the Aiken V formula, as well as using alpha reliability coefficient for testing instrument reliability. Authentic instrument assessment that have been validated by experts are further improved and refined, so it deserves to test. To find out the effectiveness of the product development is done by four Sociology teachers and students of X IIS who are doing Sociology learning using Curriculum of 2013 in the second semester of academic year 2017/2018. This research conducted in class X IIS at SMAN 1 Padang consisting of 25 students.

Results and Discussion

Project-based authentic assessment is an integrated learning assessment. It takes place during the process and the end of a lesson. All of this project-based authentic assessment used a range of 1-4 rating to facilitate the assessment and reporting the learning outcomes according to the curriculum of 2013. The learning syntax adapted from project-based learning by integrating judgment on the stages of project development. An assessment of the learning syntax showed in Table 1 below:

| No. | Time Allocation       | Learning Syntax                  | Type Assessment          |
|-----|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1   | The first and second meeting | Start with the Essential Question | Attitude assessment      |
| 2   | The third, fourth, and fifth meeting | Design a Plan or the Project | Performance assessment   |
| 3   | Tune the Project       |                                   | Presentation assessment   |
| 4   | The sixth and seventh meeting | Do and Monitor Project Progress | Performance assessment, Attitude assessment |
| 5   | The eighth and ninth meeting | Exhibit the Project              | Product assessment, Presentation assessment |
| 6   | The tenth meeting      | Evaluate the Experience          | Knowledge assessment     |

The development of authentic assessment instruments on social research materials has been carried out through several stages by using the development model of ADDIE. In the analysis stage, the researchers analyzed the assessment instruments used in four SMAN in Padang. Based on the initial analysis result, the instrument assessment on Sociology learning at senior high school prepared by teachers only focused on written test, either in the form of objective test or essay test. The written test measure students’ cognitive aspects. According to Mueller’s (2005), the assessment method should be able to measure all aspects that students know and do. It means that another type of assessment is needed to measure all aspects that students know and do can be measured. According to this situation, it is necessary to develop an authentic assessment instrument based on the performance and products performed by students in the learning process.

From the analysis result, it found that the existing assessment instruments do not fit to the standards of process assessment and students’ learning outcomes regarding the curriculum of 2013. It showed the unavailability of the assessment rubric containing measurement indicators, measurement descriptors, and weighted scores. These used as a primary measurement of students’ ability to solve problems in every aspect of competence (affective, cognitive and psychomotor), especially in social research materials. Based on the analysis results, the researchers analyzed needs analysis on the assessment objectives, techniques and forms of assessment, and assessment instrument by the standards assessment process and students’ learning outcomes in the curriculum of 2013. It showed in Table 2 below:
Table 2. The Results of Needs Analysis on Authentic Assessment Instruments

| Competence Aspects | Assessment Objectives | Assessment Techniques | Forms of Assessment |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Attitude (spiritual and social) | Value Internalized Character | Self assessment Peer assessment | Questionnaires (Rating Scale) |
| Knowledge | Understand Apply Analyze Evaluate Create | Written test | Objective Test |
| Abstract skills | Observe Process Present Reasoning | Performance assessment Project assessment | Rating Scale |
| Discipline skills | Perception Readiness Imitate Accustom Into action original | Performance assessment Project assessment | Rating Scale |

At the stage of design, the researchers prepared a schedule for producing products and determining validation team of experts consisting of four expert validators and four Sociology teachers. Also, the researchers set of product instruments assessment. It specially developed based on objectives assessment, techniques assessment, and forms of assessment for each aspect of student competence. The resulting design specifications include instrument shape, weighted score, and some assessment items. The components of 4C student's ability developed in this study showed in Table 3 below:

Table 3. The Development of Capability Indicators 4 C

| Capabilities Developed | Communication | Collaboration | Critical Thinking and Problem-Solving | Creativity and Innovation |
|------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Indicator              | • Demonstrate Exchange ideas and explain ideas | • Work in groups Discuss Transform ideas Listen | • Identify problem Formulate problem Evaluate performance Set options Analyze | • Compare Evaluate Contribute ideas into real world Solve problem |

In the development stage, the product manufactured according to product specifications. Three groups of assessment instruments are the attitude instruments (affective), knowledge, and skills (psychomotor). These are gradually validated by the experts' assessment consisting of content validity, construct validity, linguistic, and practicalities. The expert's judgment results in the validity, linguistic and practicality aspects of three instruments assessments developed generally and worthy used. The feasibility criteria of project-based authentic assessment assessed from the validity level
and average content of Aiken V is 0.775. It means that it is a very good criterion. So, the data summary of experts judgment result showed in Table 4 below:

| Instruments Assessed                  | Average Score | Criteria   | Validity | Criteria |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|------------|----------|----------|
| Observation sheets of spiritual       | 42.4          | Very good  | 0.77     | Valid    |
| attitudes observation                 |               |            |          |          |
| Observation sheets of social attitudes| 42.4          | Very good  | 0.78     | Valid    |
| observation                          |               |            |          |          |
| Self-assessment sheets                | 42.4          | Very good  | 0.79     | Valid    |
| Peer-assessment sheets                | 41.8          | Very good  | 0.76     | Valid    |
| Written test assessment sheets        | 40.6          | Very good  | 0.74     | Valid    |
| Performance assessment sheets         | 41.2          | Very good  | 0.79     | Valid    |
| Project assessment sheets             | 42.6          | Very good  | 0.80     | Valid    |

A reliability test result used readability sheets by experts and teachers. Then, it analyzed by using interrater test. It showed in Table 5 below:

| Instruments Assessed                  | Reliability  | Criteria |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|----------|
| Observation sheets of spiritual        | 0.809        | High     |
| attitudes observation                 |              |          |
| Observation sheets of social attitudes| 0.837        | High     |
| observation                          |              |          |
| Self-assessment sheets                | 0.857        | High     |
| Peer-assessment sheets                | 0.498        | Enough   |
| Written test assessment sheets        | 0.845        | High     |
| Performance assessment sheets         | 0.764        | High     |
| Project assessment sheets             | 0.996        | High     |

An average of reliability rate is 0.800. It means that it is a high criterion. In brief, the authentic instrument assessment developed was feasible and could be implemented.

In implementation stage, the instruments assessment that revised and declared eligible by experts tested on a small scale in ten students and two Sociology teachers. In trial result, it showed that an effective instruments assessment improved students learning outcomes. An average score of post-test is 78.21. An average score of peer assessment is 79.76. An average score of project task is 81.47. A final average score of the cognitive domain is 79.58. An average score of the performance test is 83.44. An
average of learning outcomes is 80.19. The practical assessment used based on students and teachers’ response result. The small-scale revisions and trials used in large-scale trials.

To find out the feasibility of the instrument and to test the effectiveness of the product, a large-scale trial conducted in four Sociology’s teachers and 25 students of class X IIS SMA Negeri 1 Kota Padang as the subject of trials. The large-scale trials analysis results in a positive change of student learning outcomes described as follows. The first, characteristic of a project-based authentic instrument assessment suitable for high school students in Sociology subjects. It consists of performance appraisal (classroom discussion, discussion process assessment, and field survey observation), attitude assessment (peer assessment and self-assessment), presentation assessment, project and product assessment, and knowledge assessment. The performance appraisal and attitude assessment undertook during the project creation process. While the assessment of the presentation, project, product and knowledge assessment conducted at the end of the project activity. The second, achievement of Sociology competence in the implementation of project-based authentic instrument assessment at class X IIS 1 on social research material showed 3.0 (B) for a mean, 3.5 (B +) for attitude assessment’s mean, and 3.1 (B) for skill assessment’s mean.

An assessment nature is an assessment to assess the process and student learning outcomes (Sudijono, 2011). Authentic assessment instrument proved to measure process and result of student learning. Pretest conducted at the beginning of learning that finds out the fundamental knowledge of the students. Posttest at the end of learning to know the impact of project-based learning. The project learning process is carried out to develop students’ 4C skills, critical thinking skill, collaboration skill, communication skill, and creative and innovative thinking skills. Assessment instruments of the class discussion process, field survey observation assessment, peer assessment and attitude assessment conducted during class discussions and project-making process. While presentation assessment, project product assessment in the form of project appraisal or individual and group tasks, and knowledge assessment conducted at the end of project activities when students display their project results in front of the class.

The variety of instructional design makes students happy to follow the lesson. It showed by students’ enthusiasm in every learning activity. The average descriptions of various ways of appraisal above, it showed that the average student experiences changes in learning outcomes. If the outcomes (observation, self-assessment, peers) and skills assessment (project appraisal and performance) are high, students with low posttest results would not necessarily have low learning outcomes. Conversely, students with high posttest results would not necessarily high learning results, if the outcomes donot balance the attitude and high skills. Indeed, there are groups of students whose cognitive learning outcomes have decreased. It proves that students who have high intelligence may not necessarily interact interpersonally and cooperate with peers. It also proves that they have not been able to internalize the values in Sociology learning into students’ lives. So, their application of science to life is still lacking. The peer rating scores tend to be low. This finding is similar to the research conducted by Saad & Boujaoude (2012) which states that students who have high test results, not always accompanied by high social skills.

Analysis of the practicality of authentic instruments assessment on large-scale trials conducted by student and teacher responses. The average student gave a positive response of 76.4% with good criteria for the application of this authentic assessment instrument. Data of student response support the responses they provide. The average students admitted happily to learn sociology, especially social research materials that studied in context. A person’s affective aspect positively correlated with performance and organizational skills. However, it does not necessarily positively correlate to cognitive aspects (Meyer et al., 2002). Also, based on students questionnaire response, it found that majority of students who responded with very good categories also had good performance and project scores.
Teacher response results obtained an average of 81.7%. Based on the criteria of practicality Hobri (2009), the instruments are said to be practical if 80% of respondents or more in giving a positive response. From 4 teachers, two teachers responded with good criteria, and two teachers responded with very good criteria. However, the analysis of the questionnaire indicates that several things need to be improved to gain the practicality of using the instrument. It is because the instrument assessment considered to increase the burden on teachers. So, the teachers’ willingness to apply the instruments in each material is still lacking. Other cause are the lack of teachers’ willingness, teachers’ understanding and knowledge of the principle assessment and the learning pattern. Each teacher who has not applied the principle of authentic learning and high-level thinking does not characterize authentic assessment. Saad & Boujaoude (2012) describes the relationship between the level of knowledge and teaching practices in the classroom. It can be anticipated by the socialization of the making and use of instruments so that teachers become accustomed to using assessment instruments.

In the evaluation stage, two aspects highlight in this study is the development of authentic assessment instrument design and the impact use of authentic assessment instruments. The first, the authentic assessment instruments developed by the principles of authentic assessment. However, regarding implementation, the authentic assessments have a positive impact. If teachers also designed the learning based on real settings or authentic learning, students can feel a real learning experience. The use of this instrument requires considerable time to measure and assess every aspect of student competence in curriculum learning. More than one assessor who incorporated in the team teaching required to measure and assess every aspect of student competence who demonstrated by each student. However, if this authentic assessment instrument conducted in the learning process, it will bring the integration implications of all components such as affective, cognitive, and psychomotor. In brief, it provides feedback on student’s self-development of students’ hard skills and soft skills.

Based on the evaluation results, the use of authentic assessment instrument revealed that (1) students’ communication skills are better than indicators of exchanging ideas and explain ideas. (2) Authentic tasks of collaboration capabilities encourage the ability development to work in groups, discuss and transform ideas. (3) In critical thinking and problem-solving, this instrument measure some abilities such as (a) understand the problem, (b) plan for problem-solving, (c) solve problems according to plan, (d) evaluate problem-solving results, and (e) communicate problem-solving results. (4) In creativity and innovation, this instrument measure all the indicators developed and contributed the most excellent aspect of ideas into the real world.

Conclusions

Authentic assessment instruments designed and implemented in Sociology proved valid, reliable, practical and efficient. It developed in the aspects of knowledge, affective, and skills that are capable of enhancing students’ Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) and 4C (communication, collaboration, critical thinking and problem-solving, and creativity and innovation). The assignment steps in this instrument are designed and implemented in a real-world setting where students can collaborate to construct their tasks through the experience of learning. The surrounding social phenomena to be comprehensively integrated and holistically assessed the developing student ability. The assessment purpose provides feedback in improving the quality of learning process and teacher competence development. It also provides information for learners to be motivated to develop their ability. The assessment process in learning expected to accommodate cognitive, affective and psychomotor aspects of students. Because not all students have high cognitive both affective and psychomotor abilities, the learning process and assessment relate to the appraisal principles. These are the principle of continuity, comprehensive, fair and objective, cooperative and practical.
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