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An analysis of the Wigner function for identical particles is presented. Four situations have been considered. i) A scattering process between two indistinguishable electrons described by a minimum uncertainty wave packets showing the exchange and correlation hole in Wigner phase space. ii) An equilibrium ensemble of N electrons in a one-dimensional box and in a one-dimensional harmonic potential showing that the reduced single particle Wigner function as a function of the energy defined in the Wigner phase-space tends to a Fermi distribution. iii) The reduced one-particle transport-equation for the Wigner function in the case of interacting electrons showing the need for the two-particle reduced Wigner function within the BBGKY hierarchy scheme. iv) The electron-phonon interaction in the two-particle case showing co-participation of two electrons in the interaction with the phonon bath.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Highly sophisticated technologies produce physical systems, and in particular semiconductor devices, of very small dimensions, comparable with electron wavelength or with electron coherence lengths. Under such conditions, semi-classical dynamics is not justified in principle and interference effects due to the linear superpositions of quantum states have to be considered. Among the possible different approaches, the Wigner-function (WF) has proved to be very useful for studying quantum electronic transport [1, 2, 3, 4], owing to its strong analogy with the semiclassical picture, since it explicitly refers to variables defined in an (r,p) Wigner phase space, together with a rigorous description of electron dynamics in quantum terms.

In this work we present an analysis of the WF for identical particles. Even thought the WF was defined from its very beginning for the study of many-particle physics, in electron transport theory it has been used mainly in its one-particle version. The importance of the many-body problem derives from the fact that any real physical system one can think of is composed of a set of interacting bodies. Moreover, since we are dealing with quantum mechanical systems the symmetry properties that describe the behavior of identical particles play an essential role. The present paper will be focused mainly on the last subject.

In particular, four situations will be analyzed: i) A scattering process between two indistinguishable electrons described by minimum uncertainty wave packets, showing the exchange and correlation hole in Wigner phase space. ii) An equilibrium ensemble of N electrons in a box and in a harmonic potential, showing that the sum of the values of the WF that correspond to points in the Wigner phase-space with energy in a given interval, tends to a Fermi distribution. iii) The transport equation for interacting electrons, showing the BBGKY hierarchy when the integral, over the degrees of freedom of all the particles but one, are performed [5-8]. iv) The electron-phonon interaction in the case of two particles, where new Keldysh diagrams [9] appear with respect to the one-electron case [5].

II. WIGNER FUNCTION FOR MANY IDENTICAL PARTICLES

The WF was introduced by Wigner in 1932 to study quantum corrections to classical statistical mechanics [1, 2, 3, 11]. Thus, even though it is now used mainly in single particle problems, from the very beginning this function was defined for N particles as:

$$f_W(r_1,p_1,...,r_N,p_N,t) = \int ds_1...ds_N e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum s_ip_i} \times \psi\left(r_1 + \frac{s_1}{2},...,r_N + \frac{s_N}{2},t\right) \times \psi^*\left(r_1 - \frac{s_1}{2},...,r_N - \frac{s_N}{2},t\right).$$

(1)

In the case of identical particles, the wave function describing the many-body system satisfy well known symmetry relations. When the position coordinates of two particles are interchanged the wave function remains unaffected (bosons) or changes sign (fermions). Since the WF is bilinear in the wave function it remains the same if the positions and, accordingly, the Wigner momenta of two particles are exchanged.

This symmetry property of the WF allows the definition of a reduced M-particle WF in a system of N particles as [12, 13]:

$$\text{Red}_{M,N}(W) = \int ds_1...ds_{N-M} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum s_ip_i} \times \psi\left(r_1 + \frac{s_1}{2},...,r_{N-M} + \frac{s_{N-M}}{2},t\right) \times \psi^*\left(r_1 - \frac{s_1}{2},...,r_{N-M} - \frac{s_{N-M}}{2},t\right).$$

(2)
where the superscript \((N)\) indicates that the reduced \(M\)-particle WF is defined in a system with \(N\) particles. Note that in the case where \(M = 1\) the above equation becomes:

\[
f_W^{(N)}(r_1, p_1, ..., r_M, p_M, t) = \frac{N!}{(N-M)!h^{3(N-M)}} \int dr_{M+1} dp_{M+1} ... dr_N dp_N f_W(r_1, p_1, ..., r_N, p_N, t),
\]  

(2)

where the upper sign is for bosons and the lower for fermions. In the WF expression it is possible to identify two different types of terms. The first one is characterized by the product of single-particle WFs. In each of these contributions, from the different wave functions, \(N\) WF are obtained that are evaluated in a particular permutation of the variable indices as, for example: \(f_W(p_1, W_1(r_1)) f_W(p_2, W_2(r_2)) f_W(p_3, W_3(r_3)) ... f_W(p_N, W_N(r_N)) = f_W(r_1, p_1, ..., r_N, p_N, t)\). The number of factors \(N\) in equation (2) simplify to \(N\) in equation (3) since this is the number of equivalent ways one can reduce the \(N\)-particle WF when the particles themselves are supposed to be identical.

\[
f_W(r_1, p_1, ..., r_N) = \psi_1(r_1) \psi_2(r_2) \psi_3(r_3) ... \psi_N(r_N) + \psi_1(r_2) \psi_2(r_1) \psi_3(r_3) ... \psi_N(r_N) + \psi_1(r_3) \psi_2(r_2) \psi_3(r_1) ... \psi_N(r_N) + ... \]

(4)

The second type of contributions accounts for the exchange effects and vanishes when the wave functions \(\psi_n(r)\) do not overlap. These terms are constituted by integrals of the product of \(N\) factors \(\psi_n, \psi_n^\ast\), one for each of the \(N\) wavefunctions \(\psi_n\). In these terms at list two products \(\psi_n(r_i + s_i/2) \psi_n^\ast(r_j - s_j/2)\) are evaluated with \(i \neq j\). It is the presence of such factors that makes impossible to obtain the many-particle WF in terms of single-particle WFs. The number of factors \(\psi_n, \psi_n^\ast\), where \(\psi_n\) and \(\psi_n^\ast\) correspond to different particles, appearing in a given integral can range from 2 to \(N\). As an example the WF in the case of \(N = 2\) reads:

\[
f_W(r_1, p_1, r_2, p_2) = f_W(r_1, p_1) f_W(r_2, p_2) + f_W(r_2, p_2) f_W(r_1, p_1)
\]

\[
\pm \frac{1}{h^6} \int ds_1 ds_2 e^{-i \left( s_1 p_1 + s_2 p_2 \right)}
\]

\[
\times \left[ \psi_1 \left( r_1 + \frac{s_1}{2} \right) \psi_1^\ast \left( r_2 - \frac{s_2}{2} \right) \psi_2 \left( r_2 + \frac{s_2}{2} \right) \psi_2^\ast \left( r_1 - \frac{s_1}{2} \right) + \psi_1 \left( r_2 + \frac{s_2}{2} \right) \psi_1^\ast \left( r_1 - \frac{s_1}{2} \right) \psi_2 \left( r_2 + \frac{s_2}{2} \right) \psi_2^\ast \left( r_1 + \frac{s_1}{2} \right) \right],
\]  

(5)

where 4 terms appear, 2 for each kind of contribution. The two-particle system is treated in details in [14].

**B. Example of Two Colliding Electrons**

A one-dimensional situation where two fermions collide with each other has been simulated. The Schrödinger
FIG. 1: One dimensional reduced single-particle WF of two interacting electrons at different times. The figure clearly shows the exchange hole due to the Pauli exclusion principle.

The equation was solved with initial conditions given by two minimum-uncertainty wave packets interacting through the Coulomb potential and the WF was evaluated at different time steps (see Fig. 1).

In this figure we plot the one-particle reduced WF of the system for the case of two Gaussian wave packets with opposite central wave vectors. Since we are dealing with a one dimensional system, the two particles are expected to decelerate, scatter, and then move away from each other.

At \( t = 0 \) we suppose the two particles to be described by an antisymmetric wave function. In Fig. 1.B the system is shown 2 ps after the Coulomb interaction is switched on. At the beginning of the scattering process the exchange hole due to the Pauli’s exclusion principle appears. In part 1.C the two particles are shown when their mutual distance has reached the minimum value. In this case the exchange hole is maximally evident. When the two particles are moving far enough from each other the exchange hole tends to disappear (part 1.D).

III. EQUILIBRIUM WF FOR NON INTERACTING PARTICLES IN CONFINED POTENTIALS

In this section a system of \( N \) fermions in a confined potential has been studied. In the non-interacting case the one-particle reduced WF is studied at thermal equilibrium at a temperature of \( T = 2 \) K. In order to simplify the mathematical treatment we shall introduce the second quantization notation. The \( N \)-particles wave function can thus be written as:

\[
\psi(r_1, ..., r_N) = \langle \psi | \hat{\Psi} (r_1) \hat{\Psi} (r_2) ... \hat{\Psi} (r_N) | \psi \rangle,
\]

and the WF as:

\[
f_{\psi}^{(N)}(r_1, p_1) = \frac{N}{\hbar^{3(N-1)}} \int dr_2 dp_2 ... dr_N dp_N \int ds_1 ... ds_N e^{-\frac{\hat{H}}{k_B T}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z}} \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi} (r_1 + \frac{s_1}{2}) ... \hat{\Psi} (r_N + \frac{s_N}{2}) \rangle \langle \psi | \hat{\Psi} (r_1) ... \hat{\Psi} (r_N) | \psi \rangle,
\]

where, here and in the following, \( \hat{\Psi} \) and \( \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger} \) are the creation and annihilation field operators. Since we are interested in the thermal equilibrium distribution of a fixed number of particles, the density matrix in the above equation is:

\[
| \psi \rangle \langle \psi | = \rho = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\frac{\hat{H}}{k_B T}},
\]

where \( Z \) is the partition function, \( \hat{H} \) the Hamiltonian, \( k_B \) the Boltzman constant, and \( T \) the temperature of the system. Since the particles in the system are supposed to be non interacting, and the system is supposed to be confined, the Hamiltonian in its second quantization form can easily be written in terms of the particles creation \( \hat{c}^{\dagger}_n \) and annihilation operators \( \hat{c}_n \) as:

\[
\hat{H} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n \hat{c}^{\dagger}_n \hat{c}_n,
\]
\[ \langle 0 | \ldots | 0 \rangle = \sum_{n_1} \ldots \sum_{n_N} \sum_{n_1'} \ldots \sum_{n_N'} \langle 0 | \hat{c}_{n_1'} \ldots \hat{c}_{n_N'} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \tau (\epsilon_{n_1'} + \ldots + \epsilon_{n_N'})} \hat{c}_{n_1} \ldots \hat{c}_{n_N} | 0 \rangle \times \]

\[ \psi_{n_1'} (r_1 + \frac{s_1}{2}) \ldots \psi_{n_N'} (r_N + \frac{s_N}{2}) \psi_{n_1}^* (r_N - \frac{s_N}{2}) \ldots \psi_{n_N}^* (r_1 - \frac{s_1}{2}) \]

\[ = \sum_{n_1} \ldots \sum_{n_N} \sum_{n_1'} \ldots \sum_{n_N'} \langle 0 | \hat{c}_{n_1'} \ldots \hat{c}_{n_N'} \hat{c}_{n_1} \ldots \hat{c}_{n_N} | 0 \rangle e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \tau (\epsilon_{n_1'} + \ldots + \epsilon_{n_N'})} \times \]

\[ \psi_{n_1'} (r_1 + \frac{s_1}{2}) \ldots \psi_{n_N'} (r_N + \frac{s_N}{2}) \psi_{n_1}^* (r_N - \frac{s_N}{2}) \ldots \psi_{n_N}^* (r_1 - \frac{s_1}{2}), \quad (10) \]

where \( \psi_n \) indicates the \( n \)-th eigenstate of the confining potential. In order to better understand how to treat the above equation let us focus on the two-particle case. Using the fermionic or the bosonic commutation rules for the contribution containing the creation and annihilation operators, the following identity is achieved:

\[ \langle 0 | \hat{c}_{n_1'} \hat{c}_{n_2'} \hat{c}_{n_2} \hat{c}_{n_1} | 0 \rangle = (\delta_{n_1' n_1'} \delta_{n_2' n_2'} \pm \delta_{n_1' n_2'} \delta_{n_2' n_1'}) \times \]

\[ (\delta_{n_1' n_1'} \delta_{n_2' n_2'} \pm \delta_{n_1' n_2'} \delta_{n_2' n_1'}). \quad (11) \]

By substituting equation (11) into equation (10) for \( N = 2 \), and using it in equation (7) the following expression is obtained:

\[ f^{(2)}_W (r_1, p_1) = \frac{2}{\hbar^3 V} \int dr_2 dp_2 \int ds_1 ds_2 e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar} (s_1 \cdot p_1 + s_2 \cdot p_2)} \sum_{n_1'} \sum_{n_2'} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \tau (\epsilon_{n_1'} + \epsilon_{n_2'})} \]

\[ \times \left\{ \psi_{n_2'} (r_2 + \frac{s_2}{2}) \psi_{n_1'} (r_1 + \frac{s_1}{2}) \psi_{n_1}^* (r_1 - \frac{s_1}{2}) \psi_{n_2}^* (r_2 - \frac{s_2}{2}) \right\}, \quad (12) \]

then, performing the integrals, we finally get:

\[ f^{(2)}_W (r_1, p_1) = \frac{2}{V} \sum_{n_1} \sum_{n_2} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \tau (\epsilon_{n_1} + \epsilon_{n_2})} f_{W_{n_1}} (r_1, p_1) \]

\[ \pm \frac{2}{V} \sum_{n_1} \sum_{n_2} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \tau (\epsilon_{n_1} + \epsilon_{n_2})} \delta_{n_1 n_1'} \int ds_1 e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \tau s_1 \cdot p_1} \psi_{n_2}^* (r_1 + \frac{s_1}{2}) \psi_{n_1}^* (r_1 - \frac{s_1}{2}) \psi_{n_2}^* (r_1 - \frac{s_1}{2}) \psi_{n_2}^* (r_2 - \frac{s_2}{2}), \quad (13) \]

where \( f_{W_{n_1}} (r_1, p_1) \) indicates the WF of the \( n_1 \)-th eigenstate of the confined potential.

This expression can be written in a more compact form by identifying the bosonic and the fermionic cases:

\[ f^{(2)}_{W_{\text{fermions}}} (r_1, p_1) = \frac{2}{V} \sum_{n_1} \sum_{n_2 \neq n_1} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \tau (\epsilon_{n_1} + \epsilon_{n_2})} f_{W_{n_1}} (r_1, p_1). \quad (14) \]

\[ f^{(2)}_{W_{\text{bosons}}} (r_1, p_1) = \frac{2}{V} \sum_{n_1} \sum_{n_2} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \tau (\epsilon_{n_1} + \epsilon_{n_2})} f_{W_{n_1}} (r_1, p_1). \quad (15) \]

The generalization to the \( N \)-particle system is straightforward and gives:
\[ f^{(N)}_{W_{bosons}}(r_1, p_1) = \frac{N}{Z} \sum_{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_N} e^{-n_1 + n_2 + \ldots + n_N} f_{W_{n_1}}(r_1, p_1), \]  
(16)

\[ f^{(N)}_{W_{fermions}}(r_1, p_1) = \frac{N}{Z} \sum_{n_1 \neq n_2 \ldots \neq n_N} e^{-n_1 + n_2 + \ldots + n_N} f_{W_{n_1}}(r_1, p_1). \]  
(17)

Let us note that in the above expression for the fermionic case the following sum appears:

\[ \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{n_2 \neq n_1} \ldots \sum_{n_N \neq n_{N-1} \ldots \neq n_1} e^{-n_1 + n_2 + \ldots + n_N}. \]  
(18)

In the limit of large \( N \) and of an infinite number of allowed states with continuous energies the above term gives the Fermi function evaluated at an energy value \( \epsilon_{n_1} \).  

### A. Infinite Square Potential Well

An infinite square well potential in one dimension has been investigated at a temperature of \( T = 2 \, \text{K} \). The single-particle WF has been evaluated for \( N = 4, 6, 8 \) and 10 by means of equation (17). Then the average values of the points of the WF corresponding to energy interval \( \epsilon, \epsilon + \delta \epsilon \) have been plotted as a function of \( \epsilon \) in Fig. 2. Since the energy depends only upon the Wigner momentum of the particle \( (p^2/2m) \), the above average corresponds to the integral of the single-particle reduced WF with respect to the position variable \( (x) \). In our simulations the width of the well has been kept constant to a value of 150 nm.

A comparison between our curves and the Fermi functions is obtained by evaluating the chemical potentials \( \mu \) for \( N = 4, 6, 8 \) and 10 from a numerical solution of the equation:

\[ N = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{\epsilon/n} + 1}. \]  
(19)

The curves in Fig. 2 show a very good agreement between the Fermi function and the average of the WF for any number of particles.

It is worth noting that, as expected, the agreement between the averages of WFs and the Fermi distributions is higher as the number of particles increases. However in Fig. 2 even in the case of 10 particles the value of the WF’s average corresponding to the point with \( \epsilon = 0 \) do not reach the maximal value of 1. For this reason we have plotted in Fig. 3 the system in more detail in the energy range from 0 to 10 K for a higher number of particles. As before we simulate a well 150 nm wide with an electron gas at a temperature of 2 K, in this case, however, the number of simulated particles is increased to 85. Fig. 3 shows that when the number of particles increases the value corresponding to \( \epsilon = 0 \) approaches 1.
B. Harmonic Potential

As a second example, we have studied a one-dimensional harmonic potential. Equation (17) has been evaluated for different numbers of particles (4, 6, 8, and 10) at a temperature of $T = 2$ K. The average over the points of the WF belonging to the same energy interval $(p^2/2m + \frac{1}{2}kx^2$, where $m$ is the mass of any particle in the system) are plotted in Fig. 4. The Fermi function with the chemical potential given by equation (19) is clearly approached by the corresponding average of the WF.

C. Effect of energy separation between levels

It is possible to study how the particle distributions change when the dimension of the well or the strength of the harmonic potential are varied in the case of both the infinite square well potential and the harmonic potential. When the width of the well decreases or the strength of the harmonic potential increases, the spacing between allowed energy levels increases and an oscillating behaviour shows up in the curves (see Figs. 5, 6). Our calculations have been performed in the case of a system with 10 particles at a temperature of 2 K. When the width of the well decreases from 150 nm to 70 nm, that corresponds to an energy gap decrease, from the ninth to the tenth energy level, from 3.8 to 17.1 K. When the energy spacing between the levels is bigger than the thermal energy, the particles distribution deviates from the Fermi-Dirac distribution. In the upper part of the figure the black triangles indicate the energies corresponding to the eigenstates of the harmonic potential.

FIG. 4: Average values of the points corresponding to the same energy of the reduced single-particle WF in a harmonic potential with spring constant $k = 1.54 \times 10^8$ [kg/sec$^2$]. The system in the case of $N = 4, 6, 8, 10$ particles is studied at thermal equilibrium at a temperature of 2 K. The curves clearly tend to the Fermi-Dirac distribution. In the upper part of the figure the black triangles indicate the energies corresponding to the eigenstates of the harmonic potential.

FIG. 5: Average values of the points corresponding to the same energy of the reduced single-particle WF in a 1D infinite square potential well. In a system with 10 particles at a temperature of 2 K, the width of the well has been reduced from 150 nm to 70 nm, that corresponds to an energy gap decrease, from the ninth to the tenth energy level, from 3.8 to 17.1 K. When the energy spacing between the levels is bigger than the thermal energy, the particles distribution deviates from a Fermi function.

IV. TRANSPORT EQUATION

The dynamical equation for the single-particle WF is derived by differentiating the definition of the WF itself:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_W(r, p, t) = \int dse^{-i\mathbf{sp}} \left[ \psi^*(r + \frac{s}{2}, t) \psi(r - \frac{s}{2}, t) \right]. \tag{20}$$

By means of the Schrödinger equation it is possible to evaluate the time derivative of the product of the two wave functions and to obtain the dynamical equation for the WF $\psi$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_W(r, p, t) = -\frac{p}{m} \nabla f_W(r, p, t) + \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int dp' \psi_W(r, p - p') f_W(r, p', t), \tag{21}$$

where $\psi_W$ is the interaction kernel for an external potential $V(r)$. Note that the interaction term, given by:

$$\psi_W(r, p) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int ds e^{-i ps} \left[ V(r + \frac{s}{2}) - V(r - \frac{s}{2}) \right], \tag{22}$$
depends on the values of \( V \) at points different from \( r \). However, while the non-locality of \( \mathcal{V}_W \) extends to infinity, its effect on the electron dynamics has to be considered only up to regions where the electron correlation is different from zero.

A. Electron-Electron Scattering

Let us study the transport equation for electron-electron scattering. In the case where no phonons nor external forces are present, the potential \( V(r_1, r_2...r_N) \) is given by the Coulomb interaction, the transport equation reads:

\[
\partial_t f_W(r_1, p_1, ..., r_N, p_N, t) = -\sum_i \frac{p_i}{m} \nabla_{r_i} f_W(r_1, p_1, ..., r_i, p_i, ..., r_N, p_N, t) + \frac{1}{\hbar^3} \sum_i \sum_j \int dp'_i dp'_j \delta(\Delta p_i + \Delta p_j) V_W(|r_i - r_j|, \Delta p_i - \Delta p_j) f_W(r_1, p_1, ..., r_i, p'_i, ..., r_j, p'_j, ...r_N, p_N, t),
\]

(23)

where \( V_W \) is the potential kernel of the Wigner equation and \( \Delta p = p - p' \). As done before, in order to get a better understanding of the above equation, the kernel that describes the electron-electron interaction is studied for \( N = 2 \):

\[
V_W(r_1, r_2, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int ds_1 ds_2 e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot s_1 + \mathbf{p}_2 \cdot s_2)} \times \left[ V \left( r_1 + \frac{s_1}{2}, r_2 + \frac{s_2}{2} \right) - V \left( r_1 - \frac{s_1}{2}, r_2 - \frac{s_2}{2} \right) \right].
\]

(24)

Since the Coulomb interaction depends only upon the distance between the two particles it is useful to re-write the above equation using the new variables \( x = r_1 - r_2 \), \( s = s_1 - s_2 \), and \( s' = (\mathbf{p}_1 s_2 + \mathbf{p}_2 s_1)/(\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2) \):
\[
V_W(r_1, r_2, p_1, p_2) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int ds \int ds' e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} (p_1 + p_2) s} \left[ V(\frac{x + s}{2}) - V(\frac{x - s}{2}) \right]
\]
\[
= \frac{\hbar^3}{i\hbar} \delta(p_1 + p_2) \int ds e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} (p_1 - p_2) s} \left[ V(\frac{x + s}{2}) - V(\frac{x - s}{2}) \right]
\]
\[
= \hbar^3 \delta(p_1 + p_2) V_W(r_1 - r_2, p_1 - p_2).
\]

Thus the factor \(\delta(\Delta p_i + \Delta p_j)\) appearing in equation (23) represents the constrain for the total momentum conservation while the difference \((\Delta p_i - \Delta p_j)\) indicates that the interaction depends only upon the momentum transfer between particle \(i\) and \(j\).

When the single-particle reduced WF in the case of \(N\) particles is evaluated, equation (23) reads:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f^{(N)}_W(r, p, t) = -\frac{p}{m} \nabla_r f^{(N)}_W(r, p, t)
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{\hbar^3} \int dq dp_e \int dp' V_W(|r - q|, 2\Delta p) \times f^{(N)}_W(r, p', q, p_e, t),
\]
where \(r\) and \(p\) are the position and the Wigner momentum of the considered particle and, \(q\) and \(p_e\) indicate the position coordinates of one of the remaining \(N - 1\) particles. It should be noticed that all the particles are interacting with each other, but, due to their indistinguishability, all the contributions are identical and sum up to balance the factorials appearing in equation (2).

The above expression shows that the transport equation for the reduced single-particle WF depends on the reduced two-particle WF. When the transport equation for the reduced two-particle WF is evaluated, the electron-electron interaction term depends upon the three-particle reduced WF and so on for the transport equation for the other reduced WFs. It is the Wigner picture of the BBGKY hierarchy.

When the WF is written in terms of antisymmetric single-particle wave functions, as we have seen in equation (5), two types of contributions can be identified. It is then possible to study how the transport equation reads when only the contributions due to non overlapping wave functions are considered:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f^{(N)}_W(r, p, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sum_i f^{(N)}_{W_i}(r, p, t)
\]
\[
= -\frac{p}{m} \nabla_r f^{(N)}_W(r, p, t) + \frac{1}{\hbar^3} \sum_i \int dp' dq V_W(|r - q|, 2\Delta p) \left[ \sum_{j \neq i} |\psi_j(q)|^2 \right] \times f^{(N)}_{W_i}(r, p', t).
\]

Besides a Liouvillian contribution, an interaction term appears where each one-particle contribution interacts with all the others as in the Hartree approximation. In the case of overlapping wave functions also the other kind of contributions (as studied in equation (5)) must be considered, and the exchange term is restored.

### B. Electron-Phonon Scattering for the 2-Electrons WF

The e-ph interaction term for the dynamical equation for two electrons is made out of eight terms as follows:
where $\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{p}_2$ are the Wigner phase space coordinates of the two particles. In the above equation o.p. stands for other particle and indicates the four terms where $\mathbf{r}_2$ replaces $\mathbf{r}_1$ in the exponential factors and $\mathbf{p}_2$ undergoes a variation of $\hbar \mathbf{q}'/2$ while $\mathbf{p}_1$ remains unchanged.

The eight terms appearing on the r.h.s. of the above equation have simple physical interpretations: the e-ph interaction occurs as emission or absorption of a quantum of any mode $\mathbf{q}$ and this may appear in the state on the left or on the right of the bilinear expression that defines the WF. Each elementary interaction or vertex changes only one of the two sets of variables of the WF; more precisely, one of the occupation numbers $n_q$ is changed by unity and one of the electron momenta is changed by half of the phonon momentum.

In analogy with the Chambers formulation of the classical kinetic equation it is possible to introduce new variables $(\mathbf{r}_1^*, \mathbf{p}_1^*, t^*)$ that allow us to obtain an integral form of the dynamical equation for the WF. This integral equation is in a closed form and can be solved by iteratively substituting it into itself, leading to what is known as its Neumann expansion.

Equation (28) gives 8 terms for the contribution of the first order of the Neumann expansion, 64 terms for the contribution of the second order and so on for the higher order terms. In order to obtain meaningful physical quantities, however, the trace over the phonon modes must be performed, leading to a vanishing contribution for each term corresponding to an odd order in the Neumann expansion. Only terms with an even number of vertices give dyagonal (in the phonon modes) contributions different from zero.

As stated before, the second order in the Neumann expansion gives 64 terms. Among these, 32 yield contributions dyagonal in the phonon modes and survive to the trace operation, 16 terms refer to one particle and 16 to the other. For each particle 8 terms are the complex conjugate of the other 8 and can be summed together leading to 8 contributions for each particle. Among these it is possible to recognize four standard interactions undergone by each particle: real emission; real absorption; virtual emission and virtual absorption.

The main difference to the single particle case lies in the eight (four for each particle) remaining terms. In the two-particle case the phonon occupation number can be changed, in the first or second set of values in the arguments of the WF, not just by one electron loosing (gaining) a Wigner momentum equal to half the phonon momentum in each of the two vertices but also by the action of two electrons. One electron looses or gains half phonon momentum in the first vertex and another electron looses or gains half phonon momentum in the second vertex. Since we are dealing with identical particles we don’t know which electron interact with the phonon bath in the first or in the second vertex. These four terms are real or virtual emissions or absorptions where the interaction with the phonon bath is shared between the two electrons.

It should be recalled that the $\mathbf{p}$ variable of the WF is obtained as a linear combination of two electron momenta. A specific value $\mathbf{p}$ is obtained as $\mathbf{p} = \hbar (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)/2$ where $\mathbf{k}_1$ and $\mathbf{k}_2$ range from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$. For this reason the Wigner momentum undergoes a change corresponding to half of the phonon momentum at each interaction vertex.

In Fig. 7 by means of the Keldysh-diagram formalism, two of the co-participated graphs are shown: a real phonon emission due to electron-electron cooperation and a virtual emission respectively. In each case both the Keldysh diagram representing the transition and the corresponding Wigner path undergone by the two electrons are shown. Since the Keldysh diagrams are in the density matrix (DM) representation, four time-lines appear, two for each electron. The first and the third lines correspond to the first wave function of the DM while the other two correspond to the second wave function. In the upper box at time $t = t_1$ one electron in the first wave function of the DM emits a phonon and at time $t = t_2$ another electron in the second wave function emits the same phonon.
FIG. 7: Real emission of a phonon mode in mutual participation by two electrons. One electron $e_1$ changes its Wigner momentum by half of the phonon momentum at time $t = t_1$ and the other electron $e_2$ does the same at a later time $t = t_2$ (upper bow). Virtual emission where one electron loses a Wigner momentum equal to half the momentum of the phonon while the other electron gains the same amount (lower bow).

In the lower box of Fig. 7 at time $t = t_2$ an electron absorbs the phonon emitted at $t = t_1$, corresponding to a virtual emission.

V. CONCLUSION

We have developed a model based on the WF formalism that allows to introduce the symmetry effect in a system where electrons interact with each other and with the phonon bath. We have shown how this formalism can be useful by applying it to different situations: the study of the electron-electron scattering, the study of the thermal distribution of N particles in confining potentials, and the study of the two-electron dynamics in the presence of electron-phonon scattering. We have also shown that with the WF it is possible to reproduce a Fermi like distribution defining the energy in the Wigner phase-space.
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