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Abstract: Background: Intraoperative hypotension is common in patients having non-cardiac surgery and associated with postoperative acute myocardial injury, acute kidney injury, and mortality. Avoiding intraoperative hypotension is a complex task for anesthesiologists. Using artificial intelligence to predict hypotension from clinical and hemodynamic data is an innovative and intriguing approach. The Acumen™ Hypotension Prediction Index (HPI) software (Edwards Lifesciences; Irvine, CA, USA) was developed using artificial intelligence—specifically machine learning—and predicts hypotension from blood pressure waveform features. We aimed to describe the incidence, duration, severity, and causes of intraoperative hypotension when using HPI monitoring in patients having elective major non-cardiac surgery. Methods: We built up a European, multicenter, prospective, observational registry including at least 700 evaluable patients from five European countries. The registry includes consenting adults (≥18 years) who were scheduled for elective major non-cardiac surgery under general anesthesia that was expected to last at least 120 min and in whom arterial catheter placement and HPI monitoring was planned. The major objectives are to quantify and characterize intraoperative hypotension (defined as a mean arterial pressure [MAP] < 65 mmHg) when using HPI monitoring. This includes the time-weighted average (TWA) MAP < 65 mmHg, the number of episodes of a MAP < 65 mmHg, the proportion of patients with at least one episode (1 min or more) of a MAP < 65 mmHg, and the absolute maximum decrease below a...
1. Introduction

Intraoperative hypotension—i.e., low arterial blood pressure during surgery—is common in patients having non-cardiac surgery with general anesthesia [1]. Intraoperative hypotension is associated with postoperative acute myocardial and kidney injury [2–6] and postoperative mortality [2,7–9]. Intraoperative hypotension is a potentially modifiable risk factor for postoperative morbidity and mortality and should be avoided [10,11]. Avoiding intraoperative hypotension is a complex task for anesthesiologists [12]. Current blood pressure management is mainly reactive—with fluids and vasopressors being given to treat hypotension when it has already occurred. However, hypotension is a late clinical sign of hemodynamic instability and exhausted compensatory mechanisms. Predicting hypotension before it becomes clinically apparent, therefore, may allow preemptive treatment and help avoid hypotension [13]. Using artificial intelligence to predict hypotension from hemodynamic data is an innovative and intriguing approach.

The AcumenTM Hypotension Prediction Index (HPI) software (Edwards Lifesciences; Irvine, CA, USA) was developed using artificial intelligence—specifically machine learning—and predicts hypotension from blood pressure waveform features [14]. The HPI is a unitless number ranging from 0 to 100. It indicates the likelihood that a patient will develop hypotension, defined as a mean arterial pressure (MAP) of less than 65 mmHg for at least one minute. The higher the HPI, the higher the likelihood that hypotension will occur shortly. HPI values over 85 trigger acoustic and visual alarms, a pop-up window, and a “secondary screen”. The secondary screen displays advanced hemodynamic variables to support clinicians to diagnose the cause of impending hypotension and initiate causal treatment.

We aimed to investigate whether using HPI monitoring helps avoid intraoperative hypotension. We built up a European, multicenter, prospective, observational registry to describe the incidence, duration, severity, and causes of intraoperative hypotension when using HPI monitoring in patients having elective major non-cardiac surgery.

2. Materials and Methods

EU-HYPROTECT is a European, multicenter, prospective, observational registry in patients having elective major non-cardiac surgery. The registry was conducted in accordance with the recommendations guiding physicians in biomedical research involving human subjects adopted by the 18th World Medical Assembly, Helsinki, Finland, 1964 and later versions, and in accordance with the European Medical Device Regulation (Regulation (EU) 2017/745 of 5 April 2017), and ISO 14155:2020. Ethics committee approval was obtained for each site prior to patient documentation. Patients provided written informed consent before study inclusion (unless waived by the local ethics committee due to the observational nature of the study). The study was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT04972266) on 22 July 2021 (Table 1). This article adheres to the Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials (SPIRIT) statement [15]. The first patient was enrolled in this registry on 27th September 2021.
Table 1. Trial registration data.

| Data Category                          | Information                                                                 |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Primary registry and trial identifying number | ClinicalTrials.gov, identifier: NCT04972266                                  |
| Date of registration in primary registry | 22 July 2021                                                                |
| Secondary identifying numbers          | n.a.                                                                        |
| Source(s) of monetary or material support | Edwards Lifesciences SA, Route de l’Etraz 70, 1260 Nyon, Switzerland        |
| Primary sponsor                        | Edwards Lifesciences SA, Route de l’Etraz 70, 1260 Nyon, Switzerland        |
| Secondary sponsor(s)                   | n.a.                                                                        |
| Contact for public queries             | IPPMed—Institute for Pharmacology and Preventive Medicine GmbH, Cloppenburg, Germany  
email: claudia.lueske@ippmed.de, daniel.greinert@ippmed.de |
| Contact for scientific queries         | Prof. Dr. Bernd Saugel  
Department of Anesthesiology  
Center of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine  
University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany  
email: b.saugel@uke.de |
| Public title                          | The EU-HYPROTECT Registry                                                   |
| Scientific title                       | Acumen™ Hypotension Prediction Index software to prevent intraoperative hypotension during major non-cardiac surgery (EU-HYPROTECT): study protocol for a European multicenter prospective observational registry |
| Countries of recruitment               | France, Germany, Italy, Spain, United Kingdom                               |
| Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied | Intraoperative hypotension, postoperative complications                    |
| Intervention(s)                       | n.a.                                                                        |
| Key inclusion and exclusion criteria   | Inclusion criteria: consenting adults (≥ 18 years) who were scheduled for elective non-cardiac surgery under general anesthesia that was expected to last at least 120 min and in whom arterial catheter placement was planned for clinical indications independent of the study and in whom hypotension prediction index monitoring was planned.  
Exclusion criteria: patients having emergency surgery, nephrectomy, and liver or kidney transplantation; patients with atrial fibrillation and/or sepsis (according to current Sepsis-3 definition); patients with American Society of Anesthesiology physical status classification V or VI; patients who were not able to understand the nature, significance, and scope of the investigation; pregnant women; patients without signed informed consent/data protection statement; and patients participating in interventional trials. |
| Study type                             | Multicenter prospective observational registry                              |
| Date of first enrolment                | 27 September 2021                                                           |
| Target sample size                     | 700 patients evaluable                                                      |
| Recruitment status                     | Recruitment complete                                                       |
| Key outcome(s)                         | • To describe the time-weighted average (TWA) mean arterial pressure (MAP) < 65 mmHg when using Hypotension Prediction Index monitoring in patients having elective major non-cardiac surgery.  
• TWA MAP < 60 mmHg and < 55 mmHg; the area under a MAP of 65 mmHg, 60 mmHg, and 55 mmHg  
• Number of episodes of a MAP < 65 mmHg, < 60 mmHg, and < 55 mmHg  
• Proportion of patients with at least one episode (1 min or more) of a MAP < 65 mmHg, < 60 mmHg, and < 55 mmHg  
• Absolute maximum decrease below a MAP of 65 mmHg, 60 mmHg, and 55 mmHg  
• Assess causes of intraoperative hypotension. |

MAP: mean arterial pressure, n.a.: not applicable, TWA: time-weighted average.
2.1. Participating Sites

We collected data from 12 medical centers in 5 European countries (France, Germany, Italy, Spain, and United Kingdom). All participating sites had experience performing clinical research with patient-centered outcomes, agreed to the feasibility of recruitment, had electronic medical records containing procedural perioperative data of surgical patients, and verified the ability to recruit patients. Written informed consent was obtained from patients by the research team.

Patients in the registry were monitored using an advanced hemodynamic monitoring system, the Acumen™ IQ sensor (Edwards Lifesciences) and the Hemosphere platform (Edwards Lifesciences), which calculates and continuously displays the HPI. Because using the HPI requires training and education, the registry was performed in medical centers that routinely use HPI monitoring with the Acumen™ IQ sensor and the Hemosphere platform.

2.2. Patients

We planned to include at least 700 evaluable patients in the registry. We included consenting adults (≥18 years) who were scheduled for elective major non-cardiac surgery under general anesthesia that was expected to last at least 120 min and in whom arterial catheter placement and HPI monitoring was planned for clinical indications. We did not include patients having emergency surgery, nephrectomy, and liver or kidney transplantation; patients with atrial fibrillation and/or sepsis (according to current Sepsis-3 definition); patients with American Society of Anesthesiology physical status classification V or VI; patients who were not able to understand the nature, significance, and scope of the investigation; pregnant women; patients without signed informed consent/data protection statement; and patients participating in interventional trials.

2.3. Objectives and Outcomes

Once all the data will be collated, the major objectives will be to quantify and characterize intraoperative hypotension (defined as a mean arterial pressure (MAP) < 65 mmHg) when using HPI monitoring in patients having elective major non-cardiac surgery. This threshold was chosen because evidence from observational research suggests that the population harm threshold for postoperative organ injury is 60–70 mmHg for MAP [16] and the HPI software is trained to predict a MAP of 65 mmHg for at least one minute. Specifically, we will describe the time-weighted average (TWA) MAP < 65 mmHg (unit: mmHg)—that is the area under a MAP of 65 mmHg (unit: mmHg × minutes) divided by the total monitoring time (i.e., usually the total duration of surgery) (unit: minutes) [17,18]. We will also describe the TWA MAP < 60 mmHg and <55 mmHg; the area under a MAP of 65 mmHg, 60 mmHg, and 55 mmHg; the number of episodes of a MAP < 65 mmHg, <60 mmHg, and <55 mmHg; the proportion of patients with at least one episode (1 min or more) of a MAP < 65 mmHg, <60 mmHg, and <55 mmHg; the absolute maximum decrease below a MAP of 65 mmHg, 60 mmHg, and 55 mmHg. We will also assess the primary causes of intraoperative hypotension by analyzing advanced hemodynamic variables during hypotensive episodes (including cardiac output, stroke volume, heart rate, systemic vascular resistance, pulse pressure variation, stroke volume variation, dP/dt max, and dynamic arterial elastance). On an exploratory basis, we will describe the incidence of (1) postoperative acute myocardial injury within 3 days after surgery, (2) postoperative acute kidney injury within 3 and 7 days after surgery, (3) death within 30 days after surgery, 4) hospital readmission within 30 days after surgery, and (5) a composite outcome of non-fatal cardiac arrest and death within 30 days after surgery. For this purpose, we follow patients for 30 days after surgery (via a phone call if the patient leaves hospital earlier than 30 days after surgery).

Acute myocardial injury was defined as an increase in high-sensitivity troponin concentration within the first three postoperative days according to the definition of “myocardial injury and infarction associated with non-cardiac procedures” set forth in the Fourth Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction (2018) [19]. We considered high-sensitivity
troponin T or I values (whichever was used in each center) when measured per routine care before surgery (baseline) and on postoperative days 1, 2, or 3.

Acute kidney injury was defined based on “Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes Clinical Practice Guideline for Acute Kidney Injury” [20,21] as (a) an increase in serum creatinine concentration of ≥0.3 mg/dL within any 48-h period within the first 7 postoperative days, (b) an increase in serum creatinine of ≥50% from baseline within the first 7 postoperative days, or (c) the need for renal replacement therapy within the first 7 postoperative days. We considered serum creatinine values when measured per routine care before surgery (baseline) and on postoperative days 1 to 7. We only considered the creatine and renal replacement criteria (i.e., excluding the urine output criterion) in accordance with current recommendations [20,21] because urine output is usually not reliably recorded in patients after surgery.

Non-fatal cardiac arrest was defined as successful resuscitation from ventricular fibrillation, ventricular tachycardia, asystole, or pulseless electrical activity requiring cardiopulmonary resuscitation, pharmacological therapy, or cardiac defibrillation.

Further, we will describe the intensive care unit length of stay and hospital length of stay. It will be documented whether an institutional hemodynamic protocol was available.

We will compare the incidence, duration, and severity of intraoperative hypotension observed in patients in the present registry with those reported in previous observational and interventional studies using similar metrics quantifying intraoperative hypotension and reporting postoperative complications (“historical data” from studies published in international peer-reviewed journals).

We will also compare the incidence, duration, and severity of intraoperative hypotension observed in patients in the EU-HYPROTECT registry with those observed in patients in whom HPI monitoring was not used. Hemodynamic data from such patients are available to Edwards Lifesciences because they are being shared under the umbrella of different joint projects between Edwards Lifesciences and hospitals using Edwards Lifesciences monitoring (data share agreements are in place with all institutions sharing patient data).

2.4. Data Collection and Management

Personal patient data remain at the participating centers. Pseudonymized clinical data (according to the data collection schedule set out in Table 2) were captured by an electronic case report form which can be reached via a secure website. To enter the data, investigators used a confidential and personalized login and password. The electronic case report form was designed to allow automatic and manual checks for plausibility and completeness. Each active site was visited, and all patients were monitored according to a predefined monitoring plan by the Contract Research Organization of the study (IPPMed; Institute for Pharmacology and Preventive Medicine GmbH, Cloppenburg, Germany or a respective representative). Per routine, monitoring visits confirmed that informed consent was obtained and checked that values recorded in the electronic case report form matched source documents. Data were also statistically audited using the method of Carlisle [22] and similar approaches. Pseudonymized data are accessible to the participating center, the principal investigator, and IPPMed or its authorized representatives. Pseudonymized data will be stored in the database for 10 years unless legal requirements demand longer storage. Adverse event data were sent to the competent national authorities and ethics committees involved. Protocol modifications were reported to ethics committees, investigators, and ClinicalTrials.gov. Clinical data from the registry will be published or presented using pseudonymized data only.
Table 2. Data collection schedule.

|                             | Screening Visit | Baseline Visit | Surgery Visit | Postoperative Data | Registry Exit |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Inclusion/exclusion criteria| X               |                |               |                    |               |
| ASA classification          | X               |                |               |                    |               |
| Signed informed consent 1   | X               |                |               |                    |               |
| Demographics                | X               |                |               |                    |               |
| Comorbidities               | X               |                |               |                    |               |
| Medications                 | X               |                |               |                    |               |
| Lab values                  | X               |                | X             |                    |               |
| Type of surgery             | X               |                |               |                    |               |
| Vital signs                 | X               |                |               |                    |               |
| Procedural details, anesthesia & surgery | X | | | | |
| Safety parameter/complications | X               |                | X             |                    |               |
| Length of hospital stay     | X               |                |               |                    |               |
| Registry exit               | X               |                |               |                    |               |

1 Consent needed to be given prior to the procedure. ASA, American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status classification; X, data were collected at the specific timepoints.

2.5. Statistics

The sample size was estimated based on published data. A randomized controlled trial reported a TWA MAP < 65 mmHg of 0.44 mmHg (25% and 75% percentiles, 0.23 and 0.72 mmHg) without and 0.10 mmHg (25% and 75% percentiles, 0.01 and 0.43 mmHg) with HPI monitoring [18]. Considering the wide interquartile range of TWA MAP < 65 mmHg, we planned to include at least 700 evaluable patients in this registry.

Statistical analysis will be performed for the total registry population, as well as for subgroups. We will perform descriptive analyses to describe and quantify intraoperative hypotension, patient characteristics, and perioperative data. For continuous variables, means with standard deviations will be presented for normally distributed data and medians with 25th and 75th percentiles for non-normally distributed data. For categorical variables, numbers and percentages will be shown. Patients with missing data will be excluded from the analysis. All statistical analyses will be performed using IBM SPSS Statistics (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) or R Core Team (Version 4.2.1; R Core Team/R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria; https://www.R-project.org/; date accessed: 19 September 2022).

2.6. Data Monitoring

There were no monitoring visits prior to site and patient enrollment. Physicians and registry personnel were required to make themselves familiar with the registry protocol, eCRF, requirements, and procedures.

According to a predefined monitoring plan, a fixed percentage of sites were randomly selected and monitored by IPPMed. In these centers, source data verification was performed according to a pre-specified monitoring plan. If findings from data management will raise doubts with regard to data quality at a specific site, additional monitoring visits may be performed (risk-based monitoring).

3. Discussion

With the data from this registry, we will describe the incidence, duration, severity, and causes of intraoperative hypotension when using HPI monitoring in patients having
elective major non-cardiac surgery. The HPI software uses machine learning to predict hypotension from changes in blood pressure waveform features.

Intraoperative hypotension has been identified as a common and potentially modifiable risk factor for postoperative organ injury in patients having non-cardiac surgery [10,11]. Anesthesiologists should, therefore, consider avoiding intraoperative hypotension as a mainstay of intraoperative hemodynamic management. However, avoiding intraoperative hypotension is challenging [12] because hypotension can have numerous causes, including vasodilation due to anesthetic drugs or systemic inflammation, hypovolemia due to bleeding, or low cardiac output due to impaired cardiac contractility. The pathophysiological rationale behind using machine learning-based predictive monitoring is that predicting hypotension before it becomes clinically apparent may allow preemptive or timely treatment and help avoid hypotension [13]. This pathophysiological rationale is reasonable because hypotension is preceded by subtle hemodynamic changes that are imperceptible for the human eye and current monitoring methods [23,24]. Real-time hemodynamic monitoring combined with machine learning may identify these subtle hemodynamic changes preceding hypotension [11,23–25].

Machine learning, in general, is the study of computer algorithms that automatically learn and improve with experience using large data sets and thus allowing the identification and analysis of features from a vast amount of data. Over the past decade, the development and application of machine learning algorithms across a range of industries—including healthcare—has grown substantially. Using machine learning to predict hemodynamic instability is an intriguing approach that has the potential to initiate a paradigm shift in hemodynamic monitoring and management—from reactive to predictive monitoring and proactive management.

The HPI algorithm was developed by Hatib and co-workers [14] for the real-time prediction of hypotension. The HPI algorithm was modeled and cross-validated in 1334 surgical and critically ill patients using 545,959 min of arterial blood pressure waveform recordings and 25,461 episodes of hypotension [14]. It was externally validated in 204 surgical patients [14]. The HPI predicts hypotension—in the current version defined as a MAP < 65 mmHg for at least 1 min—from 23 individual arterial blood pressure waveform features (that were identified from 3022 individual and 2,606,147 combinatorial waveform features) [14].

Validation studies in surgical patients suggest that the HPI may predict hypotension 15 min before the event with a sensitivity and specificity of more than 80% [14,26]. HPI-guided blood pressure management reduced intraoperative hypotension compared to routine care in small preliminary trials in patients having hip arthroplasty [27] and general non-cardiac surgery—but not in a trial randomizing 212 non-cardiac surgery patients to HPI-guided or routine blood pressure management [28].

We included consenting adults having elective major non-cardiac surgery with general anesthesia in whom arterial catheter placement and HPI monitoring was planned for clinical indications independent of the study. We used duration of surgery (at least 120 min) to select patients having major surgery who are at particular risk of developing hypotension. Although the HPI algorithm also works on blood pressure waveforms recorded non-invasively using a finger-cuff device [29,30], we only included patients in whom blood pressure is monitored invasively using an arterial catheter because intraarterial blood pressure monitoring remains the clinical reference method [31]. Patients having emergency surgery were not included because these patients would not have enough time to provide informed consent. Patients with atrial fibrillation were not included because the HPI algorithm is not validated in patients with atrial fibrillation. Sepsis causes marked alterations in cardiovascular dynamics—including alterations in vasomotor tone and blood pressure regulation. We, therefore, also excluded septic patients from the registry.

To quantify intraoperative hypotension, we will report the TWA MAP < 65 mmHg—i.e., the area under a MAP of 65 mmHg divided by the total duration of surgery [17,18]. TWA MAP reflects the combination of the duration and severity of hypotension—and thus
is a measure of hypotension that is clinically meaningful. In a preliminary randomized trial, the median TWA MAP < 65 mmHg was 0.44 mmHg without HPI monitoring and 0.10 mmHg with HPI monitoring [18]. However, TWA MAP is not routinely used in clinical practice and, thus, clinicians will not be familiar with this measure. We will, therefore, also describe measures of hypotension that are frequently used in clinical practice—including the number of episodes below certain MAP thresholds and the proportion of patients with at least one episode (1 min or more) below certain MAP thresholds.

Intraoperative hypotension can have different causes [10,32]. A detailed understanding of underlying causes could allow treating intraoperative hypotension causally with specific interventions. We will, therefore, also assess causes of intraoperative hypotension by analyzing advanced hemodynamic variables during hypotensive episodes (including cardiac output, stroke volume, heart rate, systemic vascular resistance, and pulse pressure variation).

The goal of any intraoperative hemodynamic monitoring and management strategy is to improve postoperative patient-centered outcomes. The registry will not have sufficient power to investigate the effect of HPI monitoring on patient-centered outcomes. Nevertheless, we will describe the incidence of postoperative acute myocardial and kidney injury, postoperative death, hospital re-admission, and a composite outcome of non-fatal cardiac arrest and death on an exploratory basis. We considered stroke as potential further outcome as it is a major postoperative complication. However, overt (not covered) postoperative strokes occur rarely compared to other major postoperative complications. Additionally, there are conflicting data on a potential association between intraoperative hypotension and postoperative stroke in non-cardiac surgery patients (with many studies indicating that there is no strong association [2,33,34]). Finally, we discussed whether delirium is a potentially valuable outcome. Although it is common, the initial diagnosis of delirium mostly relies on suspicion, and often will go undetected or is misdiagnosed. Furthermore, a thorough clinical evaluation is considered the gold standard for its diagnosis, and there is no biomarker with high sensitivity and specificity. However, investigating postoperative delirium would require assessing patients several times a day during the first postoperative days. Although European Guidelines [35] advocate the post-operative assessment of delirium, it was decided not to document it in the current study.

While a randomized trial would have been desirable, available data on intraoperative hypotension when HPI monitoring is used in a multicenter setting in Europe are scarce. As such, there was a lack of data for a sample size calculation and further observational data were required. The results of this registry study will inform the design of future randomized trials investigating whether HPI-guided blood pressure management improves patient-centered outcomes in patients having surgery compared to routine blood pressure management. Specifically, the registry will provide event rate estimates necessary for robust sample size estimations for randomized trials.

4. Trial Status

The protocol version is 1.4 with an effective date of 19 May 2022. Patient recruitment began in September 2021 and was completed in May 2022. The 30-day follow-up was completed in June 2022.

Author Contributions: M.I.M.G., P.B. and B.S.: conception and design of study, writing of the study protocol and manuscript. D.G.-L., É.G. and M.S.: members of the steering committee, acquisition of study data and critical revision of the manuscript. D.G.-L., É.G., M.S., É.C., S.J.D., A.D., G.D., U.H.F., E.N., J.R.-M., H.W. and B.S.: site investigators, acquisition of study data and critical revision of the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: Edwards Lifesciences SA, Department of Critical Care, Route de l’Etraz 70, 1260 Nyon, Switzerland funded the study and acts as the legal sponsor. The sponsor/funder had an active role in the design of the study. The collection, analysis, and interpretation of the data will be a collaborative effort of all investigators, who will also write the manuscript.
Institutional Review Board Statement: Ethics committee approval was obtained for each site prior to patient documentation. Patients needed to provide written informed consent before study inclusion (unless waived by the local ethics committee due to the observational nature of the study). EU-Hyprotect was not considered as a clinical investigation for France as it is a non-interventional registry which is conducted according to standard of care. This study is compliant with the MR-004 from the CNIL and only requires a declaration of conformity to the CNIL and a registration on the Health Data Hub online platform for its conduction (reference: https://www.health-data-hub.fr/projets/experience-europeenne-de-lutilisation-du-logiciel-acumentm-hypotension-prediction-index). For all other sites, the approval was obtained from the following ethics committees:

1. Research Ethics Committee with Medical Products of Cantabria (N/A)
2. Ethics Committee of the Department of Medicine of Justus Liebig University Giessen (AZ 141/21)
3. Ethics Committee of the Department of Medicine of Ruhr-University Bochum (21-7299)
4. Ethics Committee of the Department of Medicine of University of Marburg (Philipps University of Marburg) (AZ 168/21)
5. Ethics Committee of the Hamburg Medical Association (2021-200157-BO-bet)
6. Research Ethics Committee of the Hospital Universitario Infanta Leonor and Hospital Virgen de la Torre (095-21)
7. The Marche Region Ethics Committee (2021 464)
8. The Marche Region Ethics Committee (2021 465)
9. Ethics Committee of The Fondazione Policlinico Universitario Agostino Gemelli IRCCS (4423).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: Aggregated data may be available from the Sponsor upon reasonable request. Data will be accessible by the sponsor, the Contract Research Organization, and the steering committee. Local data will be accessible for each center.

Acknowledgments: We acknowledge the support of all participating patients and their physicians. We also acknowledge the tremendous contribution of the staff at Edwards Lifesciences, especially Edward Hembrow, Tim van den Boom, Anne Halfmann, Pierre Sibleau, Barbara Plasschaert, Volker Haag, Giulia Torricella and Alessia Longo. We further appreciate the excellent project management secured by Daniel Greinert, Marie Ziellnki and Claudia Lüske at the Institute for Pharmacology and Preventive Medicine (Cloppenburg, Germany). Data are captured using the s4trials software provided by Software for Trials Europe GmbH (Berlin, Germany).

Conflicts of Interest: The registry was sponsored and funded by Edwards Lifesciences and a device of the company was used. M. Ignacio Monge Garcia: M.I.M.G. has been an employee of Edwards Lifesciences at the onset of the registry. Étienne Gayat: EG is a consultant to Edwards Lifesciences, received consultant fees from Baxter and research grant from Radiometer and Philips. Michael Sander: M.S. is a consultant for and has received honoraria for giving lectures from Edwards Lifesciences. He has received research funding for this project and other investigator-initiated trials. M.S is a consultant for and has received honoraria for giving lectures from Amomed. M.S. has received honoraria for giving lectures from Orion Pharma. Peter Bramlage: P.B. is a consultant to Edwards Lifesciences and his institution IPPMed received research funding for the organization of this project. Elisabetta Cerutti: EC has received honoraria for giving lectures from Edwards Lifesciences and MSD. Simon Davies: S.D. is a consultant for and has received honoraria for giving lectures, and restricted and unrestricted research grants from Edwards Lifesciences. Gaetano Draisci (G.D.) has received honoraria for giving lectures from Edwards Lifesciences. Ulrich H. Frey: U.H.F. is a consultant for and has received honoraria for giving lectures from Edwards Lifesciences. U.H.F. has received honoraria for giving lectures from CSL Behring. Javier Ripollés-Melchor: J.R.-M. is a consultant for and has received honoraria for giving lectures from Edwards Lifesciences. Bernd Saugel: B.S. is a consultant for and has received honoraria for giving lectures from Edwards Lifesciences Inc. (Irvine, CA, USA). B.S. is a consultant for and has received institutional restricted research grants and honoraria for giving lectures from Pulsion Medical Systems SE (Feldkirchen, Germany). B.S. has received honoraria for giving lectures from Getinge AB (Gothenburg, Sweden). B.S. has received institutional restricted research grants and honoraria for giving lectures from CNSystems Medizintechnik GmbH (Graz, Austria). B.S. is a consultant for and has received honoraria for giving lectures from Philips Medizin Systeme Böblingen GmbH (Böblingen, Germany). B.S. is a consultant for and has received institutional restricted research grants and honoraria for giving lectures from GE Healthcare (Chicago, IL, USA). B.S. is a consultant for and has received honoraria for giving lectures from Vygon (Aachen, Germany).
B.S. is a consultant for and has received honoraria for giving lectures from Baxter (Deerfield, IL, USA). B.S. is a consultant for and has received institutional restricted research grants from Retia Medical LLC. (Valhalla, NY, USA). B.S. has received institutional restricted research grants from Osypka Medical (Berlin, Germany). B.S. was a consultant for and has received institutional restricted research grants from Tensys Medical Inc. (San Diego, CA, USA). Daniel García-López (D.G.-L.) is a consultant for and has received honoraria for giving lectures from Edwards Lifesciences. Abele Donati (A.D.), Eric Noll (E.N.), Hinnerk Wulf (H.W.) declared to have no potential conflict of interest.

Investigators' List:

France: Etienne Gayat, Marie-Céline Fournier, Fariza Abeud (Hôpital Lariboisière, Paris); Eric Noll, Nathalie Reinbold (Les Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg, Strasbourg).

Germany: Ulrich Frey, Petra Bischoff, Carla Grundmann, Kirsten Präkelt, Julian Runge (Marien Hospital Herne, Herne); Bernd Saugel, Moritz Flick, Karim Kouz (University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf (UKE), Hamburg); Michael Sander, Susanne Sanker, Melanie Markmann, Ute Flechtner, Marit Habicher, Amir Ali-Akbari, Stefan Henkel, Richard Schramm, Ferdinand C. Steinsberger, Felix Schreiner, Christian Koch, Anna-Lena Sauer, Emmanuel Schneck (Universitätsklinikum Giessen und Marburg, Giessen); Hinnerk Wulf, Tilo Koch, Benjamin Vojnar, Ruzica Axt, Gesa Nebeling (Universitätsklinikum Giessen und Marburg, Marburg).

United Kingdom: Simon Davies, Harriet Pearson, Zoe Scott, Joanne Ingham, Lisa Carr-Knott, Nicola Marshall, Zoe Cinquina, David Thompson (York and Scarborough Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, York).

Italy: Geatano Draisci, Luciano Frassanito (Fondazione Policlinico Universitario Agostino Gemelli IRCCS, Rome); Elisabetta Cerutti, Diego Tavoletti, Ivana Lisanti, Paolo Cerchiara (Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria Ospedali Riuniti di Ancona, Ancona); Abele Donati, Erika Casarotta, Andrea Carsetti, Silvia Loggi (Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria Ospedali Riuniti di Ancona, Ancona).

Spain: Daniel García-López, Manuel de la Hera Madrazo, Gabriel Escudero Gómez, Jose Luis Rábago Moriyón, Marta Alonso Fernández, Eduardo Larraz Már mol, Rodrigo Sancho Carranco, Guillermo Tejón Pérez, Gina Lladó-Jordán, Julia Castaño Álvarez, Carlos López Sánchez, Pablo del Olmo Ruiloba, Carlos Fernández Aldea (Hospital Universitario Marques de Valdecilla, Santander); Javier Ripollés-Melchor, Ane Abad-Motos, Alicia Ruiz-Escobar, Paula Fernandez (Hospital Universitario Infanta Leonor, Madrid).

Abbreviations

AUT area under the threshold
HPI Hypotension Prediction Index
MAP mean arterial pressure
TWA time-weighted average

References

1. Bijker, J.B.; van Klei, W.A.; Kappen, T.H.; van Wolswinkel, L.; Moons, K.G.; Kalkman, C.J. Incidence of intraoperative hypotension as a function of the chosen definition. Literature definitions applied to a retrospective cohort using automated data collection. *Anesthesiology* 2007, 107, 213–220. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. Gregory, A.; Stapelfeldt, W.H.; Khanna, A.K.; Smischney, N.J.; Boero, I.J.; Chen, Q.; Stevens, M.; Shaw, A.D. Intraoperative Hypotension Is Associated With Adverse Clinical Outcomes After Noncardiac Surgery. *Anesth. Analg.* 2021, 132, 1654–1665. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
3. Salmasi, V.; Maheshwari, K.; Yang, D.; Mascha, E.J.; Singh, A.; Sessler, D.I.; Kurz, A. Relationship between Intraoperative Hypotension, Defined by Either Reduction from Baseline or Absolute Thresholds, and Acute Kidney and Myocardial Injury after Noncardiac Surgery. A Retrospective Cohort Analysis. *Anesthesiology* 2017, 126, 47–65. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Sessler, D.I.; Khanna, A.K. Perioperative myocardial injury and the contribution of hypotension. *Intensive Care Med.* 2018, 44, 811–822. [CrossRef]
5. Sun, L.Y.; Wijeysundera, D.N.; Tait, G.A.; Beattie, W.S. Association of intraoperative hypotension with acute kidney injury after elective noncardiac surgery. *Anesthesiology* 2015, 123, 515–523. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
29. Maheshwari, K.; Buddi, S.; Jian, Z.; Settels, J.; Shimada, T.; Cohen, B.; Sessler, D.I.; Hatib, F. Performance of the Hypotension Prediction Index with non-invasive arterial pressure waveforms in non-cardiac surgical patients. *J. Clin. Monit. Comput.* 2021, 35, 71–78. [CrossRef]

30. Wijnberge, M.; van der Ster, B.J.P.; Geerts, B.F.; de Beer, F.; Beurskens, C.; Emal, D.; Hollmann, M.W.; Vlaar, A.P.J.; Veelo, D.P. Clinical performance of a machine-learning algorithm to predict intra-operative hypotension with noninvasive arterial pressure waveforms. A cohort study. *Eur. J. Anaesthesiol.* 2021, 38, 609–615. [CrossRef]

31. Saugel, B.; Kouz, K.; Meidert, A.S.; Schulte-Uentrop, L.; Romagnoli, S. How to measure blood pressure using an arterial catheter. A systematic 5-step approach. *Crit. Care* 2020, 24, 172. [CrossRef]

32. Molnar, Z.; Benes, J.; Saugel, B. Intraoperative hypotension is just the tip of the iceberg. A call for multimodal, individualised, contextualised management of intraoperative cardiovascular dynamics. *Br. J. Anaesth.* 2020, 125, 419–423. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

33. Bijker, J.B.; Gelb, A.W. Review article. The role of hypotension in perioperative stroke. *Can. J. Anaesth.* 2013, 60, 159–167. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

34. Hsieh, J.K.; Dalton, J.E.; Yang, D.; Farag, E.S.; Sessler, D.I.; Kurz, A.M. The Association Between Mild Intraoperative Hypotension and Stroke in General Surgery Patients. *Anesth. Analg.* 2016, 123, 933–939. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

35. Aldecoa, C.; Aldecoa, C.; Bettelli, G.; Bilotta, F.; Sanders, R.D.; Audisio, R.; Borozdina, A.; Cherubini, A.; Jones, C.; Kehlet, H.; et al. European Society of Anaesthesiology evidence-based and consensus-based guideline on postoperative delirium. *Eur J Anaesthesiol.* 2017, 34, 192–214. [CrossRef] [PubMed]