Screening of key genes associated with R-CHOP immunotherapy and construction of a prognostic risk model in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma
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Abstract. Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) is a common subtype of non-Hodgkin lymphoma, which is curable in the majority of patients treated with rituximab plus cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine and prednisone (R-CHOP) immunotherapy. However, the therapeutic mechanism of R-CHOP has not been elucidated. The GSE32918 and GSE57611 datasets were retrieved from The Gene Expression Omnibus database. The differentially expressed genes (DEGs) associated with R-CHOP therapy were identified using limma. Combined with prognostic information in GSE32918, DEGs found to be significantly associated with prognosis were selected using univariate cox regression analysis and a risk prediction model was constructed. Based on this model, the samples in the training set (GSE32918) were divided into high and low risk score groups according to the median risk score. A total of 801 DEGs were identified between the R-CHOP treated DLBCL and primary DLBCL samples, from this 116 prognosis-associated genes were selected. Using Cox proportional hazards model, an optimal combination of 12 genes [including calcium/calmodulin dependent protein kinase I (CAMK1), hippocalcin like 4 (HPCAL4) and ephrin A5 (EFNA5)] was selected, and the sample risk score prediction model was constructed and validated. The DEGs between high risk score and low risk score groups were significantly enriched in functions associated with ‘response to DNA damage stimulus’, and pathways including ‘cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction’ and ‘cell cycle’. The optimal combination of the 12 genes, including CAMK1, HPCAL4 and EFNA5, was found to be useful in predicting the prognosis of patients with DLBCL after R-CHOP treatment. Therefore, these genes may be affected by R-CHOP in DLBCL.

Introduction

Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) is a B-cell malignancy. B-cells are a type of white blood cell responsible for producing antibodies. DLBCL is the most common subtype of non-Hodgkin lymphoma among adults, accounting for 25-40% of new cases annually worldwide (1). CD20, a cell-surface protein that is expressed almost exclusively on mature B-cells, is expressed in >90% of DLBCL cases (2). The conventional chemotherapy regimen for DLBCL has been dominated by cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine and prednisone (cHoP) since the 1970s. Patients with advanced stage DLBCL have a remission rate of 45-55% and a cure rate of 30-35% with standard cHoP chemotherapy (3). However, it is known that drug resistance is a major obstacle to the success of cancer chemotherapy (4). For DLBCL, 60-70% of patients relapse or develop resistance to CHOP chemotherapy, with a 5-year overall survival rate of 40-50% (5).

Rituximab is a chimeric IgG1 monoclonal antibody targeting CD20. The CD20-binding region of rituximab was derived from a mouse monoclonal antibody through genetic engineering (4,6). It has been reported that rituximab is effective for the treatment of refractory or relapsed lymphomas, and that it also has activity in refractory or relapsed DLBCL (7,8). Since the late 1990s, adding rituximab to conventional CHOP (R-CHOP) for DLBCL treatment has significantly improved the survival rate across all risk groups (6,9). In 2003, R-CHOP was proposed as a first-line standard therapy for DLBCL in the National Comprehensive Cancer Network guideline (10,11). DLBCL is curable in the majority of patients treated with R-CHOP (12), nevertheless, the therapeutic mechanism of R-CHOP has not been elucidated.
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In the present study, the genes associated with R-CHOP therapy were selected by comparing expression in primary DLBCL with DLBCL after the treatment with R-CHOP. Additionally, a prognosis prediction model for patients with DLBCL treated with R-CHOP was constructed based on prognosis-related genes. The present study comprehensively screened for genes associated with R-CHOP therapy in DLBCL, and evaluated the relationship between gene expression and prognosis.

Materials and methods

Data screening and normalization. Expression profiles were retrieved from the National Center of Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus (ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) database with ‘diffuse large B-cell lymphoma’, ‘Homo sapiens’ and ‘R-CHOP’ as the key words. The selection criteria for the dataset were as follows: i) Data are gene expression profiles; ii) data from solid tumors at lymph nodes samples from patients with DLBCL (not blood or cell lines); iii) datasets contained DLBCL samples that did not receive and received R-CHOP treatment; and iv) data also had clinical prognostic information.

In total, two datasets (GSE32918 and GSE57611) (13,14) were included in the present study. The GSE32918 dataset [including 82 germinal center B-cell like (GCB), 53 activated B-cell (ABC) and 37 Type III DLBCL] was derived from the GPL14951 Illumina HumanHT-12 WG-DASL V4.0 R2 expression beadchip platform (Illumina, Inc.). In the GSE32918 dataset there are 249 samples from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded biopsies (including 53 ABC, 82 GCB and 37 type III), including 62 DLBCL samples from 32 patients not treated with R-CHOP (palliative care or local radiotherapy) and 187 DLBCL samples from 140 patients treated with R-CHOP. Prognostic information was available for 167 patients. This dataset was used as the training dataset. The GSE57611 dataset, derived from the GPL96 [HG-U133A] Affymetrix Human Genome U133A Array (Affymetrix; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), included 148 DLBCL samples (including 79 GCB, 49 ABC and 20 unclassified DLBCLs), among which 30 samples had prognostic information. This dataset was used for validation.

For the GSE32918 dataset that was sequenced on the Illumina platform, the R package limma (version 3.34.0; https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioconductor/html/limma.html) (15) was used to transform the skewness distribution of the data to an approximately normal distribution. The data were then normalized using the median normalization method (16). Additionally, for the GSE57611 dataset sequenced using the Affymetrix platform, the R package oligo (version 1.42.0; bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioconductor/html/oligo.html) (17) was used for format conversion, filling missing data (median method) (18), background correction and data normalization (quantile method) (19).

Selection of differentially expressed genes (DEGs). Limma version 3.34.0 (15) in R 3.4.1 was used to calculate the false discovery rate (FDR) and fold change (FC) value of genes between the primary DLBCL sample and R-CHOP treated DLBCL samples in the training dataset. The genes with an FDR<0.05 and |logFC|>0.5 were considered as DEGs. On the basis of the expression level of the DEGs, Euclidean distance (20) based bilateral hierarchical clustering (21) was performed using heatmap (version 1.0.8; https://cran.r-project.org/package=pheatmap) (22) in R 3.4.1 and the results were visualized using a heatmap.

Construction and validation of the prognostic risk prediction model

Selection of the optimum combination of prognostic genes. The DEGs significantly associated with prognosis in the training set were further selected using the univariate Cox regression analysis in Survival (version 2.4; cran.r-project.org/web/packages/survival/index.html) (23) in R 3.4.1. A log-rank P<0.05 was used as the threshold. The prognosis-associated DEGs were used for Gene Ontology (GO) function and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analyses using the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (version 6.8; david.ncifcrf.gov/) (24). Subsequently, the optimum combination of prognostic genes were selected according to the hazard parameter ‘λ’ that was calculated 1,000 times using a cross-validation likelihood algorithm based on the Cox proportional hazards (Cox-PH) model (25) of L1-penalized regularized regression algorithm in the Penalized package version 0.9-51 (26) in R 3.4.1.

Establishment and verification of the risk prediction model.

Based on the aforementioned DEGs combination and Cox-PH regression coefficients, a risk score system for each sample was established using gene expression values weighted by regression coefficients. The risk score of each sample was calculated as follows: Risk score = β_{gene \_1} \times expression_{gene \_1} + β_{gene \_2} \times expression_{gene \_2} + ... + β_{gene \_n} \times expression_{gene \_n}, where β indicates the Cox-PH regression coefficient.
The samples in the training set were divided into high risk score and low risk score groups according to the median risk score. The correlation between the risk prediction model and prognosis was evaluated using Kaplan-Meier survival curves in Survival version 2.41-1 in R 3.4.1. The correlation was verified in the validation dataset (GSE57611).

**Function analysis of DEGs between high and low risk score groups.** The DEGs between high and low risk score groups were selected using limma version 3.34.0 (15) with thresholds of FDR<0.05 and $|\log_{2} FC|>0.5$. DEGs were considered to be significantly correlated with risk grouping, and were then subjected to GO and KEGG enrichment analyses, if the threshold of $P<0.05$. The analysis process is shown in Fig. 1.

**Results**

**Data preprocessing and DEG screening.** After normalization, the DEGs between R-CHOP-treated and primary DLBCL samples were screened. In total, 801 DEGs were identified, including 386 that were upregulated and 415 that were downregulated (Fig. 2A). The Log$_{2}$ Kernel density curve based on the expression of the DEGs is shown in Fig. 2B. As shown in Fig. 2B, 51.81% (415/801) of DEGs were significantly downregulated and 48.19% (386/801) of DEGs were significantly upregulated in R-CHOP treated DLBCL samples. The bidirectional hierarchical clustering heatmap based on the expression levels of the DEGs is shown in Fig. 2C. The DEGs in the different samples separated clearly, as represented by the distinct colors in the heatmap.

**Construction and validation of the prognostic risk prediction model**

**Selection of the optimal combination of prognostic genes.** Based on the identified DEGs and the prognostic information in GSE32918, a total of 116 genes that were significantly correlated with prognosis were selected using univariate Cox regression analysis. Functional analysis of the 116 genes
Table I. GO and KEGG pathway enrichment results for the differentially expressed genes that were significantly correlated with prognosis.

### A, Biological process

| Term                                      | Count | P-value   |
|-------------------------------------------|-------|-----------|
| GO:0007411~axon guidance                  | 6     | 0.003641  |
| GO:0007229~integrin-mediated signaling pathway | 5     | 0.003814  |
| GO:0045892~negative regulation of transcription, DNA-templated | 10   | 0.004883  |
| GO:0045893~positive regulation of transcription, DNA-templated | 10   | 0.005975  |
| GO:0016055~Wnt signaling pathway          | 6     | 0.007186  |
| GO:0007165~signal transduction            | 16    | 0.00733   |
| GO:0051726~regulation of cell cycle       | 5     | 0.008414  |
| GO:0030036~actin cytoskeleton organization | 5     | 0.009895  |
| GO:0043547~positive regulation of GTPase activity | 9     | 0.029303  |
| GO:0006355~regulation of transcription, DNA-templated | 17   | 0.030824  |
| GO:0042127~regulation of cell proliferation | 5     | 0.031547  |

### B, Cellular component

| Term                                      | Count | P-value   |
|-------------------------------------------|-------|-----------|
| GO:0016020~membrane                       | 25    | 0.003065  |
| GO:0005667~transcription factor complex    | 6     | 0.00656   |
| GO:0005886~plasma membrane                 | 36    | 0.018833  |

### C, Molecular function

| Term                                      | Count | P-value   |
|-------------------------------------------|-------|-----------|
| GO:0005125~cytokine activity              | 5     | 0.025713  |
| GO:0005515~protein binding                | 67    | 0.027873  |
| GO:0003700~transcription factor activity, sequence-specific DNA binding | 12   | 0.041048  |
| GO:0044212~transcription regulatory region DNA binding | 5     | 0.04659   |

### D, KEGG pathway

| Term                                      | Count | P-value   |
|-------------------------------------------|-------|-----------|
| hsa04060:Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction | 7     | 0.00103   |
| hsa04014:Ras signaling pathway            | 6     | 0.00354   |
| hsa04015:Rap1 signaling pathway           | 5     | 0.008964  |
| hsa05200:Pathways in cancer               | 7     | 0.009679  |
| hsa04062:Chemokine signaling pathway      | 4     | 0.019075  |
| hsa04151:PI3K-Akt signaling pathway       | 5     | 0.030569  |
| hsa04310:Wnt signaling pathway            | 3     | 0.030827  |
| hsa04514:Cell adhesion molecules (CAMs)    | 3     | 0.032028  |

GO, Gene Ontology; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.

identified 18 significant GO terms, including 11 biological processes (BP), four molecular functions (MF) and three cellular components (CC), and eight KEGG pathways (Table I). The results of this analysis showed that the DEGs identified were significantly associated with the cell cycle and transcription regulation associated biological processes, as well as significantly involved in ‘hsa04060:cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction’ and ‘hsa04014:Ras signaling pathway’.
Figure 3. Selection of optimal prognostic genes. (A) Curve of cvl screening for the $\lambda$ parameter. The optimized parameter $\lambda$, indicator of the hazard, was obtained by 1,000 rounds of cross-validated likelihood (cvl) circular calculation. The horizontal and vertical axes represent different values of $\lambda$ and cvl, respectively. The crossing of the red dotted line represents $\lambda$ of 10.289 when cvl takes the maximum (-475.733). (B) Coefficient distribution diagram of the optimal combination of prognostic genes based on the Cox proportional hazards model. cvl, cross-validation likelihood; ANKS1B, ankyrin repeat and sterile α motif domain-containing protein 1B; CAMK1, calcium/calmodulin dependent protein kinase 1; CES, carboxylesterase 1; CTLA4, cytotoxic T-lymphocyte associated protein 4; CXCL2, C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 2; DNAJC12, DnaJ heat shock protein family member C12; EFNA5, ephrin A5; FEZ1, fasciculation and elongation protein ζ1; HOPX, HOP homeobox; HPCAL4, hippocalcin like 4; IL17RB, interleukin 17 receptor B; MUC16, mucin 16, cell surface associated.

Figure 4. Prediction model and overall survival Kaplan-Meier curves. Kaplan-Meier overall survival curves (left) and receiver operating characteristic curves (right) based on the optimal gene combination in (A) training set (GSE32918) and (B) validation set (GSE57611). Blue and red curves represent the low and high risk score groups, respectively. AUC, area under curve.
The optimal combination of prognostic genes was determined using the Cox-PH model. When the parameter \( \lambda \) was 10.289, the cross-validation likelihood reached the maximum value of -475.733 (Fig. 3A). Under this parameter, the Cox-PH model identified a 12-gene combination (Fig. 3B). The 12 genes are listed in Table II.

**Table II. Optimal genes list based on Cox proportional hazards model of L1-penalized regularization regression algorithm.**

| Gene          | Coef | Hazard ratio | P-value       |
|---------------|------|--------------|---------------|
| ANKS1B        | -0.605 | 0.767       | (0.658-0.895) | 6.568x10^{-4} |
| CAMK1         | -0.179 | 0.837       | (0.729-0.959) | 1.045x10^{-2} |
| CES1          | -0.496 | 0.846       | (0.751-0.953) | 5.423x10^{-3} |
| CTLA4         | -1.468 | 0.716       | (0.627-0.817) | 5.008x10^{-7} |
| CXCL2         | 0.948   | 1.559       | (1.219-1.994) | 5.637x10^{-4} |
| DNAJC12       | 0.379   | 1.480       | (1.239-1.767) | 1.332x10^{-5} |
| EFN5          | -0.619 | 0.811       | (0.719-0.915) | 5.862x10^{-4} |
| FEZ1          | 0.317   | 1.214       | (1.059-1.390) | 5.066x10^{-3} |
| HPox          | -0.114 | 0.859       | (0.750-0.984) | 2.703x10^{-2} |
| HPCAL4        | -0.039 | 0.666       | (0.531-0.837) | 4.057x10^{-4} |
| IL17RB        | 0.187   | 1.137       | (1.023-1.263) | 1.627x10^{-2} |
| MUC16         | -0.348 | 0.837       | (0.731-0.957) | 8.829x10^{-3} |

Coef, coefficient; ANKS1B, ankyrin repeat and sterile alpha motif domain-containing protein 1B; CAMK1, calcium/calcmodulin dependent protein kinase I; CES, carboxylesterase 1; CTLA4, cytotoxic T-lymphocyte associated protein 4; CXCL2, C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 2; DNAJC12, DnaJ heat shock protein family member C12; EFN5, ephrin A5; FEZ1, fasciculation and elongation protein zeta 1; HPox, Hop homeobox; HPCAL4, hippocalbin like 4; IL17RB, interleukin 17 receptor B; MUC16, mucin 16, cell surface associated.

The prediction model of l1-penalized regularization regression algorithm was constructed as follows: Risk score = (-0.605) x expression\(_{ANKS1B}\) + (-0.179) x expression\(_{CAMK1}\) + (-0.496) x expression\(_{CES1}\) + (-1.468) x expression\(_{CTLA4}\) + (0.948) x expression\(_{CXCL2}\) + (0.379) x expression\(_{DNAJC12}\) + (-0.619) x expression\(_{EFN5}\) + (0.317) x expression\(_{FEZ1}\) + (-0.114) x expression\(_{HPox}\) + (-0.039) x expression\(_{HPCAL4}\) + (0.187) x expression\(_{IL17RB}\) + (-0.348) x expression\(_{MUC16}\).

Based on this model, the risk score of each sample in GSE32918 (the training set) was calculated and the samples were divided into high risk score and low risk score groups according to the median risk score. Kaplan-Meier survival curves were used to evaluate overall survival prognosis in the 167 DLBCL samples in the training set. As shown in Fig. 4A, the patients with a high risk score had significantly shorter survival times (P=1.84x10^{-5}). The prediction model had a high sensitivity, with the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) of 0.942. Additionally, the prediction performance of the model was confirmed using the validation set. Patients with high risk scores had shorter survival times (P=3.96x10^{-7}; AUC=0.889; Fig. 4B).

In order to analyze the performance of the prediction model in DLBCL and R-CHOP-treated DLBCL samples, the samples in the training set were divided into DLBCL and R-CHOP-treated DLBCL groups, and the risk score model was analyzed in the two sets of samples. As shown in Fig. 5, the predictive ability of the risk prediction model in the R-CHOP-treated DLBCL group (P=4.38x10^{-7}) was superior to that in the untreated DLBCL group (P=0.453). This indicated that the risk score model had a high prediction power in R-CHOP-treated DLBCLs.

**Function annotation of risk associated genes.** A total of 729 DEGs were identified comparing the high and low risk score samples in the training set, among which 314 DEGs were significantly downregulated and 415 were significantly upregulated in the high risk score group (Fig. 6A). The volcano plot is shown in Fig. 6A and the heatmap based on the expression level changes of the DEGs, with the risk score, is shown in Fig. 6B. These DEGs were used for functional annotation; 370 significant GO terms (16 BP, nine CC and five MF) and nine KEGG pathways were identified (Table III and Fig. 7). The DEGs were significantly enriched in functions associated with ‘response to DNA damage stimulus’, ‘cell cycle’ and the ‘cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction’ pathways.

**Discussion**

In the present study, 801 DEGs were identified between R-CHOP-treated DLBCL and primary DLBCL samples. From the 801 DEGs, 116 prognosis-associated genes were identified; these genes were significantly enriched in 18 GO terms and eight pathways. Using the Cox-PH model, an optimal combination of 12 genes was selected, and the sample risk score prediction model was constructed and validated. The samples in the training set were divided into high and low risk score groups based on the risk score. The DEGs between high and low risk score groups were significantly enriched in functions associated with ‘response to DNA damage stimulus’, ‘cell cycle’ and the ‘cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction’ pathway.

It has been reported that the signal transduction system, comprising growth factors, cytoplasmic secondary messengers and transmembrane receptor proteins, optimizes the growth and metastasis of tumor cells in malignancies, and is therefore...
considered as a target for cancer therapy (27). Functional enrichment analysis showed that calcium/calmodulin dependent protein kinase I (CAMKI), a component of the calmodulin-dependent protein kinase cascade, and hippocalcin like 4 (HPCAL4) were enriched in functions associated with ‘signal transduction’ (GO:0007165). The roles of CAMKI and HPCAL4 in cancer have not been fully analyzed to the best of our knowledge, but it is speculated that CAMKI and HPCAL4 may be targets of R-CHOP in DLBCL given their association with signal transduction.

Among the 12 genes, five were found to be associated with ‘protein binding’ (GO:0005515), including CAMKI, cytotoxic T-lymphocyte associated protein 4 (CTLA4), fascaplysin and elongation protein 1Ω (FEZ1), HOX homeobox (HOPX), and mucin 16, cell surface associated (MUC16). CTLA4 is a co-inhibitory receptor that regulates T-cell activation during the initiation and maintenance of adaptive immune responses. The blocking of CTLA4 promotes antitumor T-cell immunity (28). In addition, rituximab could enhance the production of CTLA4 by regulatory T-cells (29) and B-cells (30). FEZ1 encodes a 67 kDa leucine-zipper protein with a region similar to cAMP-dependent activated protein (31), which has been found to be mutated in solid tumors (32). In FEZ1 null cancer cells, FEZ1 introduction has been reported to reduce cell growth, while FEZ1 inhibition stimulates cell growth, suggesting a role for FEZ1 in human cancer (33). HOPX belongs to the homeobox gene family and is ubiquitously expressed in normal tissues (34). It has been reported that enforced HOPX expression inhibits tumor progression and that HOPX knockdown restores tumor aggressiveness (35). MUC16 is a member of the mucin family that is reported to be involved in tumorigenicity and therapeutic resistance in pancreatic cancer (36). Moreover, MUC16 is overexpressed in multiple types of cancer and has an important role in acquired resistance to therapy (37). However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no previous evidence showing the association of these DEGs with CD20 or DLBCL. Given the roles of the five genes in cancer, it is speculated that R-CHOP may target CAMKI, CTLA4, FEZ1, HOPX and MUC16. The negative effect of CTLA4 on risk scores in DLBCL samples showed that the increased expression of CTLA4 contributed to the efficiency of rituximab therapy. Similar hypotheses could be made for the CAMKI, MUC16 and HOPX genes.

The other two DEGs, C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 2 (CXCL2) and ephrin A5 (EFNA5) were found to be involved in several signaling pathways, including the ‘Ras signaling pathway’, ‘chemokine signaling pathway’ and ‘PI3K-Akt signaling pathway’. These signaling mechanisms are fundamental pathways in normal and tumor cells, even if tumor cells may be more reliant on these pathways (38). The Ras signaling pathway is commonly activated in tumors (38). Rational therapies targeting the Ras signaling pathways inhibit tumor survival, growth and spread (39). The PI3K-Akt signaling pathway regulates multiple tumorigenesis-associated cellular processes, including cell proliferation, growth and motility (40). Mutations or altered expression levels of components of the PI3K-Akt pathway are implicated in human cancer (41). Therefore, several drugs, alone and in combination, including rituximab, targeting the PI3K-Akt signaling pathway are in clinical trials, in both hematologic malignancies and solid tumors (42,43). It has been previously reported that rituximab-induced apoptosis in the B-cell lymphoma cell line SD07 is mediated by PI3K-Akt dephosphorylation or suppression (43). The normal development of cells depends on chemokines and their receptors, and tumor progression also requires stimulation by chemokines (44). CXCL2, which is part of the CXC chemokine family, promotes inflammation and supports tumor growth (45). Taken together, it is speculated that CXCL2 and EFNA5 may be targeted by R-CHOP via these signaling pathways. However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no evidence showing the association of CXCL2 alteration with DLBCL, R-CHOP therapy or rituximab.

Interleukin 17 receptor B (IL17RB) was enriched in the ‘cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction’ pathway (hsa04060). IL17RB, a proinflammatory cytokine, is the receptor of IL17B (46). Cytokines play important roles in the localization of normal or malignant B-cells in tissues (47). IL17RB
Table III. GO and KEGG pathway enrichment results for DEGs.

A, Biological process

| Term                                         | Count | P-value     |
|----------------------------------------------|-------|-------------|
| GO:0006974~response to DNA damage stimulus   | 32    | 2.47x10^{-4}|
| GO:0006281~DNA repair                        | 26    | 4.14x10^{-4}|
| GO:0045184~establishment of protein localization | 50    | 0.002456    |
| GO:0006259~DNA metabolic process             | 36    | 0.002753    |
| GO:0015031~protein transport                 | 49    | 0.003342    |
| GO:0008104~protein localization              | 55    | 0.003533    |
| GO:0022402~cell cycle process                | 38    | 0.00521     |
| GO:0033554~cellular response to stress       | 38    | 0.005394    |
| GO:0007049~cell cycle                        | 48    | 0.007516    |
| GO:0051301~cell division                     | 22    | 0.013451    |
| GO:0022403~cell cycle phase                  | 28    | 0.016532    |
| GO:0000278~mitotic cell cycle                | 25    | 0.024163    |
| GO:0032989~cellular component morphogenesis  | 26    | 0.029787    |
| GO:0042127~regulation of cell proliferation   | 45    | 0.032887    |
| GO:0000279~M phase                           | 22    | 0.038695    |
| GO:0042981~regulation of apoptosis           | 45    | 0.045193    |

B, Cellular component

| Term                                         | Count | P-value     |
|----------------------------------------------|-------|-------------|
| GO:0031974~membrane-enclosed lumen           | 101   | 5.31x10^{-4}|
| GO:0043233~organelle lumen                   | 99    | 6.35x10^{-4}|
| GO:0070013~intracellular organelle lumen     | 97    | 6.75x10^{-4}|
| GO:0031981~nuclear lumen                     | 76    | 0.008073    |
| GO:0019898~extrinsic to membrane             | 31    | 0.012421    |
| GO:0000793~condensed chromosome              | 12    | 0.012999    |
| GO:0009897~external side of plasma membrane  | 14    | 0.017106    |
| GO:0005578~proteinaceous extracellular matrix| 21    | 0.028573    |
| GO:0043235~receptor complex                  | 10    | 0.039703    |

C, Molecular function

| Term                                         | Count | P-value     |
|----------------------------------------------|-------|-------------|
| GO:0019955~cytokine binding                  | 11    | 0.014221    |
| GO:0019900~kinase binding                    | 15    | 0.015941    |
| GO:0005524~ATP binding                       | 75    | 0.041085    |
| GO:0019899~enzyme binding                    | 31    | 0.042744    |
| GO:0030554~adenyl nucleotide binding         | 79    | 0.046193    |

D, KEGG pathway

| Term                                         | Count | P-value     |
|----------------------------------------------|-------|-------------|
| hsa04060:Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction | 20    | 0.002507    |
| hsa04115:p53 signaling pathway               | 7     | 0.008288    |
| hsa00590:Arachidonic acid metabolism         | 5     | 0.024164    |
| hsa00010:Glycolysis/Gluconeogenesis          | 5     | 0.028166    |
| hsa04062:Chemokine signaling pathway         | 11    | 0.032858    |
| hsa04660:T cell receptor signaling pathway   | 7     | 0.035535    |
is expressed in endocrine tissues and is expressed in normal mammary epithelial cells at negligible levels (48). However, IL17RB was previously found to be highly expressed in malignant mammary epithelial cells (49). The overexpression of IL17B has been reported to be associated with poor prognosis in breast cancer (50). Previous studies have reported that certain cytokines, including interleukin-4 and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) upregulate the expression of the CD20 antigen, increasing the efficacy of rituximab (51,52). IL-17 and TNF-α are inflammatory cytokines that are co-expressed by T helper 17 cells in a number of tumors (53). The findings indicate that IL17RB has a role in R-CHOP-mediated therapy for DLBCL.
In addition to the aforementioned genes, the remaining three DEGs were not enriched in GO terms or pathways. Ankyrin repeat and sterile α motif domain-containing protein 1B (ANKS1B) is involved in tyrosine kinase signal transduction (54), and is primarily expressed in the brain and testis (55). ANKS1B is involved in apoptosis, and thus, has potential functions in cancer development (56). A previous study demonstrated that the expression of ANKS1B gene is associated with the development of smoking-related clear cell renal cell carcinoma (57). Carboxylesterase 1 (CES1) is a member of the carboxylesterase family and is important in phase I metabolism (58). Carboxylesterases mediate the metabolism of drug compounds with thioster, ester and amide linkages, and the detoxification of xenobiotics (59). Carboxylesterases are found to hydrolyze two anticancer agents, capicitabine and irinotecan (60). DNAJ heat shock protein family member C12 (DNAJC12) encodes a member of a subclass of the heat shock protein 40 kDa family that is involved in a number of important biological functions (61,62). High expression of DNAJC12 functions as a negative predictive factor for the response to neoadjuvant concurrent chemotherapy in rectal cancer (63). Little information is available regarding these genes, and their role in DLBCL and other diseases. The combination of these genes in the risk prediction model suggests their important roles in DLBCL therapy. It is speculated that ANKS1B, CES1 and DNAJC12 may serve as important target genes in R-CHOP therapy in DLBCL.

In conclusion, the optimal combination of 12 genes to predict prognosis risk, including CAMKI, HPCALA, CXCL2 and EFNA5, was selected based on the differential expression of these genes between R-CHOP-treated DLBCL and primary DLBCL groups. These genes were utilized in the construction of the prognosis prediction model in DLBCL after R-CHOP treatment. These genes may also serve as target genes of R-CHOP in DLBCL. To the best of our knowledge, most of these DEGs have not been reported to be associated with DLBCL and CD20 or rituximab-mediated therapy, highlighting the novel insights the present study provides into the pathogenesis and treatment of DLBCL.
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