The seroprevalence of human brucellosis in different age groups patients and other associated risk factors in Duhok, Iraq

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Brucellosis is a zoonotic disease contributing to significant health and economic problems worldwide. The aim of this study was to investigate the seroprevalence and the associated risk factors of brucellosis among people admitted to Azadi general hospital, Duhok, Iraq. Patients and Methods: A total of 1283 patient blood samples (603 males and 680 females) were collected through the period of January 2017 to December 2017 from people at different age groups admitting Azadi general hospital, Duhok, Iraq. All collected sera were screened for the seroprevalence of Brucella using Rose Bengal plate test kit. Results: Among the 1283 blood samples, the overall seroprevalence of brucellosis was 17.8%. The highest rate was recorded in age group between 21–30 years (22.7%) and the different distribution of human brucellosis among age groups was statistically significant (p=0.0076). The frequency of brucellosis in females (20%) was significantly higher than males (15.3%) (p=0.026). The distribution of brucellosis according to the seasonal changes was statistically significant (p= 0.003) (25.64% in July and 9.75% in December). Conclusions: The incidence of the brucellosis is high, and it remains a challenging health problem. The age, gender, and seasonal changes are considered as important risk factors for the distribution of the diseases. Surveillance programs and active screening for brucellosis are essential to prevent, control, and to reduce the incidence of the brucellosis.
**INTRODUCTION**

Brucellosis is a systemic infectious disease transmitted from certain animals to human (1). This zoonotic disease is endemic in Iraq and worldwide (2). It has various terms such as Malta fever, undulant fever and Mediterranean fever (3). More than 0.5 million human brucellosis cases are reported annually and in some countries, the incidence rate is more than 10 cases per 100,000 population (4,5). The most pathogenic and invasive species of Brucella that infect human are B. melitensis, followed by B. suis, B. abortus and B. canis (3,6). Human acquires brucellosis through direct contact with infected animals or their products (2). Brucellosis in human is manifested by a various range of clinical symptoms and signs and the undulant fever is the most important symptom (1). The symptoms of the brucellosis in the positive serological tests results include sweating, weakness, and myalgia (4). Other initial symptoms may include headache, fatigue, weight loss, anorexia, muscle and joints pain. Furthermore, asymptomatic infections can also occur in humans (4). This zoonotic disease is considered serious and sometimes chronic disease that contributing to significant health and economic problems (1). Although brucellosis has been successfully eradicated in many countries, it is still endemic in many parts of the world (5,9) such as the countries of Eastern Mediterranean Region, Middle East, Africa, Mediterranean basin, central Asia, and Latin America. Most parts of Iraq are still endemic for the disease in animals as well as humans (10). Therefore, identifying the risk factors of brucellosis is very important to understand the nature of the disease and its transmission routes for the eradication of human brucellosis. Thus, the aims of this study were to assess the present status of seroprevalence of brucellosis among patient populations in Duhok, Iraq, and to investigate the significant effects of some factors such as sex, age, and seasonal variation on the distribution of brucellosis cases.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

**Sample collection**

A total of 1283 blood samples were collected from patients at different age groups who attended Azadi general hospital, Duhok, Kurdistan region, Iraq through the period from January 2017 to December 2017. All patients with clinical suspicion of brucellosis, who would normally present for laboratory-based brucellosis testing, were included in this study. Of these, 603 (47%) were male patients and 680 (53%) were females. About 5 ml of blood sample was collected in a sterile vacutainer from each patient. Then, the blood samples were centrifuged at 2000 rpm for five minutes to obtain the serums. The serum sample of each patient was transferred into 1ml microtubes and aliquots were prepared (to prevent the repeated freezing-thawing process) and stored at -20°C until performing the serological test.

**Rose Bengal test**

All collected sera were screened for the presence of antibodies against Brucella using the commercially available Rose Bengal plate test kit (Institute-Pourquie/France) as recommended by the manufacturer. Briefly, 30µL of plain serum were dispensed on a white glossy ceramic tile and mixed with an equal volume of the Rose Bengal test antigen. The serum and the antigen were mixed thoroughly and rapidly at room temperature for 4 minutes and any visible agglutination was taken as a positive result. Otherwise, the result was considered as negative (11,12).

**Data analysis**

All statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS 20 software. Chi-squared test was used to assess the associations between variables. P value of <0.05 was considered as significant.
RESULTS

Seroprevalence of brucellosis in human

The results revealed that anti-brucella antibodies were detected in 228 out of 1283 blood samples representing the overall 17.8% of the seroprevalence of brucellosis (Table 1).

Age distribution of brucellosis

Table 1. Age distribution of brucellosis

| Age group (Years) | No. of samples | No. of Positive (%) |
|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| ≤ 10              | 169            | 21 (12.4)           |
| 11 – 20           | 234            | 41 (17.5)           |
| 21 – 30           | 273            | 62 (22.7)           |
| 31 – 40           | 283            | 59 (20.8)           |
| 41 – 50           | 194            | 30 (15.5)           |
| > 51              | 130            | 15 (11.5)           |
| Total             | 1283           | 228 (17.8)          |

Gender difference of brucellosis

In the present study, there was a significant difference (p=0.026) of the frequency of brucellosis in females patients (136; 20%) than males (92; 15.3%) (Table 2). In males, the highest percentage of infected patients was at age group 21-30 years (27; 21.4%) and the lowest (7; 8.97%) was at age ≤10 years. There was a significant difference found between these two age groups (p=0.020). In females, the age group 21-30 years showed highest infection rate (35; 23.81%) and the lowest infection rate was at age group >51 years (8; 14.04%). But the differences between these two age groups were statistically not significant (p=0.124).

Table 2. Sex distribution of brucellosis

| Age group (Years) | Male   | Female  |
|-------------------|--------|---------|
|                   | No. of samples | No. of positive (%) | No. of samples | No. of positive (%) |
| ≤10               | 78     | 7 (8.97)  | 91     | 14 (15.38)  |
| 11-20             | 94     | 12 (12.8)| 140    | 29 (20.71)  |
| 21-30             | 126    | 27 (21.4)| 147    | 35 (23.81)  |
| 31-40             | 134    | 25 (18.7)| 149    | 34 (22.82)  |
| 41-50             | 98     | 14 (14.3)| 96     | 16 (16.67)  |
| >51               | 73     | 7 (9.59) | 57     | 8 (14.04)   |
| Total             | 603    | 92 (15.3)| 680    | 136 (20)    |
Seasonal distribution of brucellosis

Seasonal variations have marked epidemiological interest in brucellosis. In this study, the rate of brucellosis ranged from 9.75% in December to 25.64% in July (p = 0.0086) (Table 3). The distribution of brucellosis according to the seasonal changes was statistically significant (p = 0.003).

Table 3. Seasonal distribution of brucellosis

| Months     | No. of samples | No. of positive (%) |
|------------|----------------|---------------------|
| January    | 95             | 18 (18.95)          |
| February   | 94             | 12 (12.77)          |
| March      | 81             | 10 (12.35)          |
| April      | 112            | 16 (14.29)          |
| May        | 99             | 16 (16.16)          |
| June       | 169            | 42 (24.85)          |
| July       | 156            | 40 (25.64)          |
| August     | 124            | 28 (22.58)          |
| September  | 101            | 16 (15.84)          |
| October    | 91             | 14 (15.38)          |
| November   | 79             | 8 (10.13)           |
| December   | 82             | 8 (9.756)           |
| Total      | 1283           | 228 (17.77)         |

DISCUSSION

Brucellosis is a zoonotic disease that is transmittable from animals to human. Although brucellosis has been controlled in most developed countries, it remains an important public health problem in developing countries. The Rose Bengal test (RBT) is a rapid screening test and although it was designed originally for screening use in veterinary medicine, but is now often used for the diagnosis of human brucellosis [13-16]. The sensitivity of the Rose Bengal plate test is more than 99%, and the rate of false-negative results is infrequent [15]. The RBT is the most common serological test used in Iraq for detection of human brucellosis.

The seroprevalence of brucellosis in the current study was 17.8%. The human brucellosis rates were varied in different studies in Iraqi provinces [2,16]. The current rate was high compared to previous studies in the region. In 2015, the prevalence rate was 9.5% in Erbil and 13.2% in Mosul [2]. However, other studies showed higher rates in the country. In 2015, high rates of a positive result by RBT were reported among people in Al-Sulaymaniyah and Baghdad regions (59.82% and 71.3% respectively) [4,16]. Our records were higher than records from neighboring countries. For example, the prevalence of brucellosis in Turkey and Saudi was 6% and 12.5% respectively [5,17]. Human brucellosis is still endemic in many parts of the world, such as Africa, Middle East, Latin America and Asia [18-20]. The main risk factors for
brucellosis are the direct contact with infected animals and consumption of unpasteurized dairy products especially those which are locally made \(^21\). Additionally, many factors can play a significant role in the variation of the incidence of brucellosis, such as the demographic, occupational, and socioeconomic factors \(^22\). Also, occupational exposure is seen in laboratory workers, veterinarians, farmers, and others who contact infected animals or tissues.

The age is one of the important risk factors for distribution the brucellosis worldwide. In the current study, the highest rate of brucellosis (22.7%) was observed in the age group 21-30 years. Comparable findings were reported from different studies locally and worldwide \(^3, 23-25\). This would be expected because the brucellosis is an occupational disease and this age group exposed longer to risk factors related to their occupation. Data revealed that people in certain occupation can get infected with brucellosis more than others \(^26\).

The prevalence of brucellosis among females was significantly higher than males. This finding was in agreement with other studies in the region. Although some studies showed that more males were affected than females \(^26, 27\), other studies revealed that the infection of brucellosis is predominant among females than males \(^2, 25, 28\). It is obvious in our region that females are more likely involved in household than males. Women are more concerned in domestic livestock and cows and other activities and as a consequence women may in greater risk to acquire the infection.

There were seasonal fluctuations in the incidence of brucellosis. The current study showed that the largest number of brucellosis cases were occurring in summer (July) while the lowest incidence occurred during winter (December). Similar seasonal pattern have been shown in several studies achieved locally and in neighboring countries \(^2, 4, 26\). This seasonal pattern of brucellosis could be due to different factors for example summer season is the delivery (parturition) season of sheep and goats which increase the chance for direct contact with infected animals, their secretions could lead to infection through inhalation or accidental skin and mucous membrane penetration. Also, in summer season more opportunities for consumption of contaminated dairy products such as raw milk or fresh cheese which increase the chance of infection \(^5, 29\).

**CONCLUSION**

Brucellosis is one of the important bacterial zoonotic diseases contributing to significant health and economic problems. It is a serious and debilitating disease that affects a variety of organs. Brucellosis is endemic in Duhok, Iraq. The incidence of the brucellosis is high and it remains a challenging health problem. Iraq has not been able to eradicate the disease and the population is still at great risk of acquiring it. The age, gender, seasonal changes are considered as important risk factors for the distribution of human brucellosis. Identifying the major risk factors for brucellosis will help to understand the nature of the disease and its transmission routes for eradication of human brucellosis. Furthermore, surveillance programs are essential to prevent and control brucellosis effectively. Also, the active screening for brucellosis will lead to early identification and consequently early initiation of therapy that will reduce the incidence of the brucellosis.
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