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The significance of SMARCB1 in the pathogenesis of renal cell carcinoma with rhabdoid features
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ABSTRACT

Background: Renal cell carcinoma with rhabdoid features (RCC-RF) is an aggressive histologic variant in the adults and is usually unresponsive to standard chemotherapy.

Methods: Expression of SMARCB1/INI1 was examined in primary RCC-RF (n = 5). Stable INI1 with/without epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) knockdown cell lines were created in the ACHN and 786-O RCC cell lines and measured for epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)-related signaling pathways. Chemosensitivity to targeted drugs was tested after knocking down of INI1 in both cell lines. The outcome of co-targeting of INI1 and EGFR in RCC was examined using a tumorigenicity assay.

Results: Expression of INI1 was markedly reduced at both transcriptional and translational levels in primary RCC-RF. Immunohistochemical expression of INI1 protein was lost in the nuclei of rhabdoid cells compared with conventional RCC (n = 8). Using two cell lines with different genetic background, we showed that knocking down of INI1 activates the EGFR signaling with up-regulated AKT and ERK pathways and sensitizes cancer cells to Erlotinib treatment in vitro. However, cell-line dependent effects were also demonstrated with reference to impact of INI1 or EGFR on cell growth, migration and response to Gefitinib or Everolimus treatment in vitro.

Conclusion: Inactivation of INI1 may play a role in the pathogenesis of RCC-RF. Erlotinib is recommended in the management of patients with INI1-related RCC.
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Background

Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) is the most common type of kidney cancer (85%) and accounts for 2–3% of all malignant neoplasms in adults [1]. Currently, 5-year survival ranges from 85% for patients with organ-confined disease after partial or radical nephrectomy to 10% in patients with metastatic disease [1]. For those with localized diseases, surgery and cryoablation are the preferred treatments. For metastatic disease, check point inhibitor-based immunotherapy and targeted therapies, especially vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) targeting agents, are typically the main treatment options, and with removal of the kidney if eligible [1,2]. However, many tumor subtypes, papillary
RCC (pRCC) for example, are resistant to targeted or immunotherapy [3]. Thus, a better understanding of molecular mechanisms underlying disease progression and drug resistance is indispensable to provide maximum therapeutic efficacy and improve patient prognosis.

Malignant rhabdoid tumor (MRT) is an aggressive tumor and predominantly occurs in the kidney and brain (atyypical teratoid/rhabdoid tumors) of infants and young children. MRT has characteristic histological features of loosely cohesive polygonal cells with eccentric vesicular nuclei, prominent nucleoli, abundant eosinophilic inclusions like cyttoplasm, and immunoreactivity for both cytokeratin and vimentin [4]. Classical MRT has a mutation inactivation of integrase interactor 1 (INI1/hSNF5, SMARCB1, and BAF47) tumor suppressor gene at 22q11-23. The INI1 protein (47 kDa) is a core subunit of the switch/sucrose non-fermentable (hSWI/SNF) chromatin remodeling complex and is important in multiple nuclear processes, such as transcription, DNA replication, and DNA repair [5,6], and a negative regulator of cell cycle [7]. INI1 induces cell arrest at G0/G1 phase by directly repressing cyclin D1 and activating the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors p16INK4a and p21WAF1. It can also control actin cytoskeleton network [8], which is implicated in tumor invasion and metastasis.

Foci of high-grade cancer cells with rhabdoid features may occur in around 4% of adult RCCs (RCC-RF) of several histological subtypes [9]. The rhabdoid cells have histological, immunohistochemical, and ultrastructural features reminiscent of MRTs with a characteristic epithelioid appearance, eccentric and enlarged nuclei, macronucleoli, and abundant cyttoplasm [9-14, 15]. RCC-RF is usually related to advanced clinical staging and associated with a poor patient prognosis. Clinical observations reported that RCC-RF appears to respond to tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) of Sorafenib or Sunitinib [16]. Nevertheless, the molecular pathogenesis of RCC-RF remains ambiguous.

Both chromosome 3p loss and VHL gene mutation, the same genetic alterations of clear cell RCC (cCRCC), have been reported in RCC-RF [20]. A molecular genetic study also discovered combined loss of the BAP1, PBRM1 and TP53 suppressor genes in some of RCC-RFs [21]. Contradictory findings were reported in immunohistochemistry of rhabdoid cells [13,20,22-24]. For example, earlier studies showed retained nuclear INI1 expression in rhabdoid cells of several RCC variants: e.g., cribriform, sarcomatoid and micropapillary [13]. In contrast, one study demonstrated loss of INI1 nuclear expression in all three cases of RCC-RF [23]. However, a recent large cohort study found variable losses of at least 1 SWI/SNF complex subunit in 21 of 32 cases (65%) of undifferentiated/rhabdoid component of RCC [24]. Notably, all 4 cases with a loss of INI1 showed intact expression of the remaining SWI/SNF proteins, implying that INI1 might be more oncogenic than other components of the complex.

This study was designed to investigate potential molecular mechanisms underlying INI1 in the pathogenesis of RCC-RF. Current targeted therapy agents for RCC were tested on stable INI1 knockdown (KD) cell lines in vitro to identify candidate drugs in the management of patients with RCC-RF.

Methods

Cell culture and chemicals

Two RCC cell lines (ACHN and 786-O) were selected for this model experiment. The ACHN cells harbor a PRC2 specific mutation in c-MET without VHL mutations, while 786-O is a VHL-defective cRCC cell line with HIF-1α overexpression [25]. Both cell lines were cultured in RPMI1640 (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA) with 10% fetal bovine serum (HyClone, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Boston, MA), 1% antibiotic-antimycotic solution (Caiison Lab, Inc., North Logan, UT). The INI1 KD stable clones were selected by Blasticidin (Invitrogen Corp., Carlsbad, CA). Stable INI1/ PGE2 receptor 1 (EP1) double KD pools were sorted by BD FACSAria™ cell sorter (Becton Dickinson, Miami, FL). Prostaflandalin E2 (PGE2) was purchased from Cayman Chemical Company, Inc. (Ann Arbor, MI). MK-2894 was purchased from ApexBio Technology Inc. (Houston, TX). EGF was purchased from Invitrogen Corp. (Carlsbad, CA).

Microarray analysis

RNA (0.2 μg) of ACHN vector or INI1 stable KD cell line was amplified by a Low Input Quick-Amp Labeling kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) and labeled with Cy3 (CyDye, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) during in vitro transcription process. The Cy3-labeled cRNA (0.6 μg) was incubated with fragmentation buffer at 60 °C for 30 min, fragmented to an average size at about 50–100 nucleotides, and then pooled and hybridized to Agilent SurePrint G3 Human GE 8 × 60 K Microarray (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) at 65 °C for 17 h. After washing and drying, microarrays were scanned with an Agilent microarray scanner (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) at 535 nm for Cy3 and analyzed by Feature extraction10.5.1.1 software (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). The normalization software was used to correct background intensity and quantify the signals for each feature.

Transient transfection

Cells were transfected with miRNA using Lipofectamine® LTX reagent (Invitrogen Corp., Carlsbad, CA). Cells were seeded 5 × 10^5 in 60 mm dishes or 6-well plate and allowed to achieve 50% to 70% confluence. The miRNA (3ug) was mixed with plus reagent in 100 ul serum free culture medium and Lipofectamine® LTX before use. The resulting mixture was incubated for 30 min at room temperature to form transfection complex. Then, transfection complex (~100 μl) was added dropwise to the well containing cells, mixed gently by rocking back and forth and incubated at 37 °C in a CO2 incubator for 48 h prior to testing for transgene expression. The specific miRNA sense sequences are as follows:

  *RP1-5′-TGCTGGCGATAGATGTA-CACCCAAAGTTTGGCCAGTCTGATTTGGTTGATCCCCTCGG-3′*

To stable ACHN INI1 KD and ACHN INI1&EP1 KD cell lines were selected in blasticidin after transient transfected with INI1 knockdown. The positive colonies were screening by INI1 Western blotting to confirm the INI1 knockdown efficiency.

RT-PCR (reverse transcription- polymerase chain reaction)

Total RNA was extracted by Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The RNA (3 μg) was added in a sterile RNase-free micro-centrifuge tube and supplemented with 1 μg of Oligo(dT) to a total volume of 5 μl. The tubes were heated to 76 °C for 5 min to destroy secondary structure of RNA. Then, tubes were incubated on ice for 5 min. After spinning down, following components were added to the annealed primers/templates in the order of M-MLV RT 5X reaction buffer (5 μl), dNTP (10 mM, 1 μl), M-MLV RT (H-) (1 μl, 200 units), and nuclease-free water to a final volume of 20 μl.

Real-time (PCR) analysis

Total RNA was isolated using TRIzol according to manufacturer’s instruction. Samples (2 μg) were reversely transcribed to synthesize first-strand cDNA using oligo dT and Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase (Promega Corp., Madison, WI). A real-time PCR system (LightCycler™, Roche, Indianapolis, IN) and SYBR Green I labeling were used to measure the expression level of target genes.

Western blotting

Cells were lysed by radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (NaCl: 150 mM, NP-40:1%, sodium deoxycholate: 0.5%, Tris–HCl (pH 7.6): 50 rpm mM). The protease inhibitor mixture (1 mM NaVO4, 2 mM EGTA, 1

Translational Oncology 14 (2021) 101175

Y.-W. Wang et al.
mM PMSF, 10 µg/mL aprotinin, 10 µg/mL leupeptin, 1 mM ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid) was added before use. Then, whole cell lysates were incubated on ice for 15 min and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 20 min. Total protein was measured by Bradford protein assay. According to the size of target protein, a total of 30 µg - 50 µg proteins were separated by 6 - 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis at 150 V for 15–20 min (for stacking gel), 160 V for 45–50 min (for running gel), and then transferred to 0.45 µm polyvinylidene fluoride membrane (Roche Applied Science) at 110 V for 80 min. The 5% skim milk or 5% bovine serum albumin was used for blocking at room temperature for 1 hr. Primary antibody was hybridized at 4 °C overnight, and then incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse (1:10,000, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Boston, MA), anti-rabbit (1:2500, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Boston, MA) or anti-goat IgG antibody (1:2500, Santa Cruz, CA). The dilution of each antibody was as follows: epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) (1:1000, Santa Cruz, CA), phospho-EGFR (Y1173) (1:1000, Abcam, Cambridge, MA), Akt (1:1000, GeneTex Inc., Irvine, CA), phosphor-Akt (ser473) (1:1000, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Boston, MA), phosphor-Erk1/2 (1:1000, Abcam, Cambridge, MA), Erk1/2 (1:1000, Abcam, Cambridge, MA), EP1 (1:500, Abcam, Cambridge, MA), EP2 (1:1000, Abcam, Cambridge, MA), COX-1 (1:1000, GeneTex Inc., Irvine, CA), BAP-1 (D1W9B, 1:1000, Cell Signaling Technology Inc., Danvers, MA), PB1 (1:1000; GeneTex Inc., Irvine, CA), VEGF (1:1000, GeneTex Inc., Irvine, CA), VEGFC (1:1000, GeneTex Inc., Irvine, CA), and β-actin (1:5000, GeneTex Inc., Irvine, CA).

Cell viability assay

ACHN cells (1 × 10³) and 786-O cells (2.5 × 10⁵) were seeded per well in 96-well plate containing 100 µl medium and wait for attachment to the bottom. Anti-cancer drugs were treated with indicated doses of thymidylate synthase inhibitor (Capecitabine; Xeloda®) ranged from 0, 1.25 µM to 20 µM, anti-VEGF antibody (Bevacizumab; Avastin®) ranged from 0, 1.25 µM, 2.5 µM, 5 µM, 10 µM to 20 µM, mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) inhibitor (Everolimus) ranged from 0, 0.625 µM, 1.25 µM, 2.5 µM, 5 µM to 10 µM, VEGF receptor inhibitors of Sorafenib ranged from 0, 1.25 µM, 2.5 µM, 5 µM, 10 µM to 20 µM, and Sunitinib ranged from 0, 1.25 µM, 2.5 µM, 5 µM, 10 µM to 20 µM, and EGFR monotherapy inhibitors of Gefitinib ranged from 0, 1.25 µM, 2.5 µM, 5 µM, 10 µM to 20 µM, and Erlotinib ranged from 0, 0.125 µM, 2.5 µM, 5 µM to 10 µM. They were dissolved in 10% serum medium and incubated for 72 hr. The supernatant was removed and replaced with 3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl) – 2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) solution (50 µl) (2 mg/ml, Invitrogen Corp., Carlsbad, CA.) in each well, incubated in 37 °C with 5% CO2 incubator for 4 hr. The supernatant was removed and replaced with 50 µl dimethyl sulfoxide per well to dissolve purple formazan crystals. The absorbance (optical density) was measured at wavelength of 570 nm. All of target therapy drugs were got from Dr. Chia-Jui Yen (Department of Internal Medicine, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University).

Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

The IHC was performed according to standard procedure. Briefly, tissue sections were incubated at RT for 2 h with monoclonal antibody raised against INI1 (1:200, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Santa Cruz, CA), VEGF (1:200, GeneTex Inc., Irvine, CA), VEGFC (1:100, GeneTex Inc., Irvine, CA), or EGFR (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Santa Cruz, CA). The optimal dilution was determined by using human kidney as a positive control. Then, StrAviGen Super Sensitive MultiLink kit (BioGenex Laboratories, Inc., San Ramon, CA) was used to detect the resulting immune complex. Peroxidase activity was visualized using an aminothiol carbazole substrate kit (Zymed Laboratories, Inc., San Francisco, CA).

Animals and in vivo tumorigenicity assay

All the animals and their care were tested and conducted according to the national and international laws and policies (Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, published by National Institutes of Health, 8th ed., Guide2011; Checklist modified by Chinese-Taipei Society of Laboratory Animal Sciences from Animal Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care). The experimental protocol was approved by the National Cheng Kung University Institutional Review Board (Number 106067). The study was conducted according to the ARRIVE (Animal Research Reporting In Vivo Experiments) requirements (detailed in Supplementary Table 1). To explore the tumorigenic effect of EP1 expression in RCC-RF phenotype, we conducted the xenograft tumor mode in mice. Briefly, eight-week-old female nonobese diabetic/severe combined immunodeficiency (NOD/SCID) mice were purchased from the NCKU Laboratory Animal Center and maintained in a pathogen-free facility under isothermal conditions with regular photoperiods. In the morning of the day (around 10AM), ACHN INII KD cells or ACHN INII & EP1 double KD cells were harvested from cultured dishes and re-suspended in serum-free RPMI1640 media. A total of 16 mice were randomly separately into two groups (n = 8 each group) and were subcutaneously injected into the loose skin on the flank either with ACHN INII KD cells or ACHN
INI1 & EP1 double KD cells ($1 \times 10^6$ cells) in $100 \mu l$ serum-free medium. This injection was carried out just outside the cage in a standard procedure (i.e., in the hand-maintaining procedure using holding between the scruff and tail base of the mice) without anesthesia and analgesia. No other experiment procedures or treatment was done except the observation and recording the tumor formation and growth every other day for at least 80 days according to the ARRIVE Guidelines for Reporting Animal Research. Tumor volumes were calculated using the formula: length $\times$ (width)$^2 \times 0.45$. Finally, mice were euthanized at the end of the experiment using intraperitoneal injection of Pentobarbital (60–100 mg/kg).

Data analysis and statistics

All of experiments were carried out in triplicate and numerical data were presented as mean $\pm$ SEM. Student’s t-test was used to compare the difference between each group. Only variables of $p < 0.05$ were considered significant.

Results

The potential significance of INI1 in the pathogenesis of RCC-RF

To investigate the significance of INI1 in the pathogenesis of RCC-RF, we first examined the rhabdoid differentiation area of RCCs using strict criteria as previously described [9-10] and paired non-neoplastic kidney. Frozen tissue samples ($n = 5$) from our cancer database were dissected for real-time PCR analysis (Fig. 1a) and western blotting (Fig. 1b). Downregulation of INI1 was assessed at both transcriptional and translational levels was demonstrated in the tissue showing rhabdoid differentiation compared with non-neoplastic kidney control. IHC for INI1 expression was performed on 8 RCC-RF samples, including those of frozen tissue collected for real-time PCR. An apparent loss of INI1 protein expression was clearly demonstrated in the nuclei of the rhabdoid cells (Fig. 1c-III and IV), as opposed to ccRCC component (Fig. 1c-I and II). Four of the patients died of cancer, and one was alive with progressive disease despite targeted therapy (Table 1).

To explore the mechanisms underlying INI1-mediated tumorigenesis of RCC-RF, stable ACHN INI1 KD clone was created (Fig. 2). Stable ACHN INI1 KD cells showed rhabdoid differentiation with large, eccentric nuclei and prominent nucleoli (arrows in Fig. 2a). Expression of INI1 was significantly suppressed at mRNA and protein levels in INI1 KD cells (Fig. 2b & 2c). Expression of E-cadherin (epithelial marker) was downregulated in sable ACHN INI1 KD cell line compared with parental cells, while both Snail (a key transcriptional repressor of E-cadherin expression in epithelial-mesenchymal transition) and EGFR were upregulated after knocking down of INI1 (Fig. 2d).

![Fig. 1. Analysis of INI1 expression in renal cell carcinoma with rhabdoid features at transcriptional and translational levels.](image)
Effect of INI1 on cell proliferation, migration and invasion in vitro

In terms of biological effects, MTT assays showed that growth rate of stable ACHN INI1 KD cells was significantly higher compared with vector control cells (p < 0.05) (Fig. 3a). Cell migration was also significantly increased (2.37 times) as was transwell invasion (2.5 times) in vitro (Fig. 3b). However, stable 786-O INI1 KD cells showed lower cell growth and migration rate in vitro compared with vector control cells (p < 0.05, respectively) (Supplementary Fig. 1).

The importance of INI1 in the modulation of signaling pathway in RCC-RF

Because EGFR expression was increased (1.96 folds) in ACHN INI1 KD cells compared with vector control (VC) (Fig. 2d), the potential functional relevance in RCC was investigated. EGFR is known to be produced by renal tubular cells and secreted into human urine [26]. We showed that EGF treatment (10 ng/mL) stimulates the expression of phospho-EGFR in stable ACHN INI1 KD cells in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3d), with comparable trend observed for phospho-AKT (ser473) too. More importantly, elevated phospho-EGFR expression (3.4 folds) was demonstrated as early as 0.5 min after EGFR treatment to ACHN INI1 KD cells. In addition, both phospho-ERK and phospho-AKT (ser473) were upregulated in stable 786-O INI1 KD cells (Supplementary Fig. 2), supporting that EGFR pathway is activated in INI1-related RCC in vivo.

To evaluate the drug sensitivity in vitro, doses of conventional RCC therapeutics were tested, including capcetabine, Sorafenib, Sunitinib, Everolimus, Avastin, Erlotinib and Gefitinib. Cell viability assay showed that ACHN INI1 KD cells were sensitized to Erlotinib and Everolimus treatment in vitro compared with vector control (P < 0.05) (Fig. 4). The Gefitinib treatment showed trivial effect only. In contrast, knocking down of INI1 resulted in resistance of ACHN cells to Sorafenib treatment (P < 0.05) (Fig. 4). There was no difference of sensitivity to capcetabine, Sunitinib or Avastin (data not shown). The results suggest that downregulating INI1 activates the EGFR and mTOR signaling pathways in pRCC.

To verify the above observations, stable 786-O INI1 KD cells were tested with Erlotinib, Everolimus or Gefitinib. As with ACHN INI1 KD cells, knocking down of INI1 sensitized 786-O cells to Erlotinib treatment in vitro compared with vector control (P < 0.05) (Supplementary Fig. 3). To our surprise, stable 786-O INI1 KD cells became more resistant to Gefitinib and Everolimus treatment in vitro (P < 0.05, respectively) (Supplementary Fig. 3).

Given inconsistent chemosensitivity in vitro was observed for stable INI1 KD cells, a cDNA microarray analysis was performed on ACHN parental cell line and stable INI1 KD cells to identify additional potential therapeutic targets. A total of 1024 genes were suggested to be upregulated in association with INI1 KD (supplementary Table 2) [27]. Some of these genes, such as EGFR, are known to be involved in the pathogenesis of RCC [28]. The accuracy of profiling was preliminarily supported by western blotting for EGFR (Fig. 2d). In addition, VEGF-A165 was found to be upregulated when INI1 was knocked down in both ACHN and 786-O cells (data not shown). The significance of regulation of VEGF-A165 and VEGF-C expression by INI1 in vivo was confirmed using IHC on primary tumor and metastases of the first case in Table 1 (supplementary Fig. 4).

Signal crosstalk between PGE2/EP1 and EGFR in INI1 KD stable cells

Among candidate genes, the EP family was further chosen for investigation because of its involvement in VEGF-mediated carcinogenesis and a crosstalk with EGFR [29]. RT-PCR screening showed that both EP1 and EP4 are upregulated (1.20 times and 1.57 times, respectively) in stable ACHN INI1 KD cells compared with vector control cells (Fig. 5a). Western blotting confirmed slightly elevated expression of EP1 (1.26 folds) and EP4 (1.31 folds) proteins in stable ACHN INI1 KD cells compared with vector control (Fig. 5b).

In our microarray experiment, a higher expression of PGE2 synthase-COX1 (1.32 times) was demonstrated when INI1 was knocked down in ACHN cells (Supplementary Fig. 5a). Expression/secretion of PGE2 was higher (1.36 times) in stable ACHN INI1 KD cells compared with vector control (Supplementary Fig. 5c). In contrast, COX2 was not expressed in ACHN cells (Supplementary Fig. 5b). To elucidate the crosstalk of signaling pathway between PGE2/EP1 and EGFR, expression of phospho-akt and ERK was examined after PGE2 treatment (Fig. 5d). Treatment of PGE2 (10 μM) exhibited a dose-dependent stimulation of AKT phosphorylation in ACHN INI1 KD cells in vitro compared with ACHN VC cells (Fig. 5c). With a background of persistent higher EGFR expression in ACHN INI1 KD cells, PGE2 treatment tends to stimulate phospho-AKT expression with time compared with steadily suppressed expression in ACHN VC cells (Fig. 5d). Likewise, PGE2 treatment induced a sustained ERK1/2 phosphorylation compared with a time-dependent suppression in the ACHN VC cells. The results highly suggest the existence of a signaling crosstalk between PGE2/EP1 and EGFR in INI1-related pRCC.

The involvement of INI1/PGE2 related signaling pathway in RCC-RF

To examine the potential crosstalk between PGE2 signaling and related pathway, INI1 and EP1 stable pool of double KD cells were prepared. Expression of INI1 and EP1 were verified at both mRNA and protein levels (Supplementary Fig. 6). Because expression of INI1 was comparable in both ACHN INI1 KD and ACHN INI1/EP1 double KD cells (Supplementary Fig. 6b and 6c), ACHN INI1/EP1 double KD cells were chosen as an experimental group compared with ACHN INI1 KD (control group) for examination. Both growth rate (Fig. 6a) and migration

Table 1

| Age | Sex | Stage/Grade | TTM | Metastasis | INI1 expression | Sequential Rx | TT Length | Outcome (OS after TT) |
|-----|-----|-------------|-----|------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------|----------------------|
| 60  | M   | pt2N0M0/3   | 6m  | Lung, scalp, Lymph node | Loss | IL-2, Xeloda, sorafenib (15 m), sunitinib (25 m), everolimus (3 m), | 43 m | Dead with PD (4y5m) |
| 60  | M   | pt2N0M0/3   | 12m | Lung | Loss | Sunitinib (6 m), everolimus (18 m) | 18m | Alive with PD (36 m) |
| 48  | M   | pt3N2M0/4   | 2m  | Lung | Loss | Sunitinib (6 m), Temsirolimus (0.5 m) | 6.5 m | Dead with PD (10 m) |
| 72  | F   | pt3E4M0/4   | 3m  | Brain, Lung | Loss | Temsirolimus (3 m) | 3m | Dead with PD (3 m) |
| 59  | F   | pt3E4M0/4   | 3m  | Lung, adrenal gland | Loss | Sunitinib (6 m)Everolimus (6 m) | 12m | Dead with PD (12 m) |
| 79  | F   | pt2M0/3     | 2m  | SC, Lung | Loss | Sunitinib (12 m), Everolimus (6 m) | 18m | Alive (18 m) |
| 65  | M   | pt2N0M1/4   | 0   | Lung, lymph node | Loss | Temsirolimus (3 m) | 3m | Alive (3 m) |
| 70  | M   | T3aN0M1/4   | 0   | Lung | Loss | Sunitinib (3 m) | 3m | Alive (3 m) |

TTM, time of diagnosis to metastasis; SC, subcutaneous; PD, progressive disease. TT: Targeted therapy.
Interestingly, EGFR is apparently upregulated in both CK-19 and CD10 are downregulated, while EMA is up-regulated in eccentric nuclei and prominent nucleoli. Expression of INI1 was apparently downregulated at mRNA (B) and protein (C) levels in stable INI1 KD cells; however, PBRM1 protein was not expressed in ACHN cells in our model (data not shown). Our findings suggest that down-regulation of INI1 may play a role in the pathogenesis of RCC-RF. In addition, the efficacy of INI1 in modulating VEGFs provides further support for its significance for RCC-RF. Thus, this investigation identified particular molecular mechanisms underlying INI1 inactivation in the pathogenesis of RCC-RF, in addition to BAP1 and PBRM1 [21].

The current paradigm of RCC therapy is targeted therapies, e.g., anti-VEGF-A antibody (Bevacizumab), VEGFR2 TKIs (Sunitinib and Sorafenib), or mTOR inhibitors (Temsirolimus and Everolimus). Targeted drugs are especially important when chemotherapy is ineffective for RCCs and are often given as first-line treatment in metastatic disease [31]. However, there is no consensus on which drug is the best. We found that EGF within normal urinary concentration range upregulated EGFR and its downstream PI3K/AKT and MAPK pathways in stable ACHN INI1 KD cells, with comparable results in 786-O INI1 KD cells. The increased sensitivity of stable INI1 KD cells to Erlotinib in vitro in both pRCC and ccRCC model cell lines concurs with Darr et al. [32], which demonstrated INI1-dependent phosphorylation of EGFR and its downstream signaling with increased sensitivity of Smarce1 deficient cells to EGFR signaling inhibitor. The result also concurs with recent report showing that erlotinib in combination with bevacizumab is clinically active against INI1-negative renal medullary carcinoma [33]. Together, our findings support that erlotinib could be considered in the management of patients with RCC-RF.

However, conflicting in vitro response of stable INI1 KD cells to Everolimus and Gefitinib between pRCC and ccRCC model cell lines is noteworthy. Likewise, activation of AKT and ERK pathways in INI1-related pRCC by PGE2 treatment in vitro supports for a signaling cross-talk between EP and EGFR [34]. The growth of ACHN INI1/EP1 double KD cells in vitro and in vivo seems to support the implication of PGE2/EP1 pathway inhibitor in the treatment of RCC-RF. To our surprise, expression of VEGF-A was inhibited together with upregulated VEGF-C in INI1 KD and EP1 KD cells (Supplementary Fig. 4); however, divergent effects were observed when EP1 was knocked down on 786-O INI1 KD cells in vitro (Supplementary Fig. 8). Thus, whether inhibiting EP1 pathway could activate superfluous signaling requires further investigation. Currently, we have no experimental data to justify the inconsistency. A differential network of oncogenic signaling pathways between c-MET mutation and VHL-defective RCCs maybe the most plausible explanation. On the other hand, this investigation highlights the impact of subtype-specific molecular abnormalities on the selection of molecularly targeted approaches for patients with RCC.

Conclusion

In summary, loss of INI1 expression was demonstrated in adult RCC-

### Fig. 2. Establishment of stable ACHN INI1 KD cell line.

(A) The stable ACHN INI1 KD cells showed rhabdoid differentiation with large, eccentric nuclei and prominent nucleoli. Expression of INI1 was apparently downregulated at mRNA (B) and protein (C) levels in stable INI1 KD cells compared with parental cells. (D) Biomarker expression screening showed that both CK19 and CD10 are downregulated, while EMA is up-regulated in INI1 stable KD cells. Interestingly, EGFR is apparently upregulated in INI1 stable KD cells. Quantitation of each biomarker expression was demonstrated in the right panel.

(Supplementary Fig. 7) in vitro were significantly inhibited in stable INI1/EP1 double KD cells compared with ACHN INI1 KD cells \((p < 0.001)\). The in vivo tumorigenicity assay showed that xenograft growth of ACHN INI1 KD cells in NOD/SCID mice were significantly inhibited when EP1 was also knocked down \((p < 0.001)\) (Fig. 2b). A significantly higher proliferation rate in vitro was demonstrated for 786-O INI1/EP1 double KD cells compared with vector control \((p < 0.05)\) (Supplementary Fig. 8). Again, the result is contradictory to that of ACHN INI1/EP1 double KD cells. We found that expression of phosphor-AKT (ser473) is not suppressed in stable 786-O INI1/EP1 double KD cells, while both phospho-ERK and total ERK were down-regulated compared with vector control (Supplementary Fig. 9).

### Discussion

Rhabdoid cells can be found in different subtypes of adult RCC [9–14], with most frequently reported in ccRCC [9]. RCC-RF are usually associated with high-grade histology, advanced clinical staging, sarcomatoid dedifferentiation, and are considered the endpoint of clonal evolution [9–16, 30]. We provide direct evidence that expression of INI1 is down-regulated in RCC-RF at both transcriptional and translational levels. This is consistent with two recent studies [23, 24], but not with earlier report [13] that showing retained nuclear INI1 staining. Although combined loss of BAP1 and PBRM1 was reported to associate with rhabdoid histology of RCC [21], BAP1 expression was inhibited in stable INI1 KD cells; however, PBRM1 protein was not expressed in ACHN cells in our model (data not shown). Our findings suggest that down-regulation of INI1 may play a role in the pathogenesis of RCC-RF. In addition, the efficacy of INI1 in modulating VEGFs provides further support for its significance for RCC-RF. Thus, this investigation identified particular molecular mechanisms underlying INI1 inactivation in the pathogenesis of RCC-RF, in addition to BAP1 and PBRM1 [21].

The current paradigm of RCC therapy is targeted therapies, e.g., anti-VEGF-A antibody (Bevacizumab), VEGFR2 TKIs (Sunitinib and Sorafenib), or mTOR inhibitors (Temsirolimus and Everolimus). Targeted drugs are especially important when chemotherapy is ineffective for RCCs and are often given as first-line treatment in metastatic disease [31]. However, there is no consensus on which drug is the best. We found that EGF within normal urinary concentration range upregulated EGFR and its downstream PI3K/AKT and MAPK pathways in stable ACHN INI1 KD cells, with comparable results in 786-O INI1 KD cells. The increased sensitivity of stable INI1 KD cells to Erlotinib in vitro in both pRCC and ccRCC model cell lines concurs with Darr et al. [32], which demonstrated INI1-dependent phosphorylation of EGFR and its downstream signaling with increased sensitivity of Smarce1 deficient cells to EGFR signaling inhibitor. The result also concurs with recent report showing that erlotinib in combination with bevacizumab is clinically active against INI1-negative renal medullary carcinoma [33]. Together, our findings support that erlotinib could be considered in the management of patients with RCC-RF.

However, conflicting in vitro response of stable INI1 KD cells to Everolimus and Gefitinib between pRCC and ccRCC model cell lines is noteworthy. Likewise, activation of AKT and ERK pathways in INI1-related pRCC by PGE2 treatment in vitro supports for a signaling cross-talk between EP and EGFR [34]. The growth of ACHN INI1/EP1 double KD cells in vitro and in vivo seems to support the implication of PGE2/EP1 pathway inhibitor in the treatment of RCC-RF. To our surprise, expression of VEGF-A was inhibited together with upregulated VEGF-C in INI1 KD and EP1 KD cells (Supplementary Fig. 4); however, divergent effects were observed when EP1 was knocked down on 786-O INI1 KD cells in vitro (Supplementary Fig. 8). Thus, whether inhibiting EP1 pathway could activate superfluous signaling requires further investigation. Currently, we have no experimental data to justify the inconsistency. A differential network of oncogenic signaling pathways between c-MET mutation and VHL-defective RCCs maybe the most plausible explanation. On the other hand, this investigation highlights the impact of subtype-specific molecular abnormalities on the selection of molecularly targeted approaches for patients with RCC.
Fig. 3. Effect of INI1 on cell proliferation, migration, and invasion in vitro.

(A) ACHN INI1 KD cells showed a significantly higher proliferation rate in vitro compared with vector control cells. Data are representative of 3 independent experiments and expressed as mean ± SD (P < 0.05; t-test). (B) An increased cell migration was demonstrated for INI1 KD cells than vector control cells. Quantitation data are representative of 3 independent experiments and expressed as mean ± SD (P < 0.05; t-test). (C) Cell invasion assessed by transwell assay was also higher in INI1 KD cells than vector control. Quantitation data are representative of 3 independent experiments and expressed as mean ± SD (P < 0.001; t-test). (D) Cells were starved for 24 h and then treated with EGF (10 ng/mL) for different periods. Expression of phosphorylation status of EGFR, AKT, and ERK1/2 was examined by immunoblotting. The phospho-EGFR and phospho-AKT (ser473) were upregulated in stable ACHN INI1 KD cells. The ratio of p-EGFR, p-AKT, and p-ERK1/2 was normalized using total protein as a reference.
RF and down-regulation of INI1 may contribute to the pathogenesis of RCC-RF. Erlotinib is recommended in the treatment of INI1-related RCCs. More research is needed to clarify the efficacy of second and third generations of TKIs in the treatment of RCC-RFs.
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Fig. 4. Response of ACHN INI1 KD cells to target therapy in vitro.
The INI1 KD cells were tested for sensitivity to target therapy in vitro. Cells (1 x 10^3/ well) were seeded in 96-well plates. After incubation with anticancer drug for 72 h, MTT reagent was added for 4 h to a final concentration of 0.5 mg/mL. The absorbance of formazan was measured at 570 nm. Dimethylsulfoxide was used as a control. Our data showed that ACHN INI1 KD cells were sensitized to Everolimus or Erlotinib treatment in vitro. Data are representative of 3 independent experiments and expressed as mean ± SD (P < 0.05: t-test).
Fig. 5. Expression of prostaglandin E (PGE)-type receptor family and COX-2/PGE2 pathway in INI1 KD cells.
(A) Expression of endogenous PGE-type receptor family (EP family) was assessed using RT-PCR. The result of quantitation data was shown on the right panel. The sh-SYSY cell line cDNA was used as a positive control. (B) Expression of EP1 & EP4 protein in INI1 KD cells was assessed using western blot and quantitation data was shown on the right panel. Both EP1 and EP4 were upregulated in stable ACHN INI1 KD cells analyzed by RT-PCR and western blotting. (C) A time- and dose-dependent activation of AKT phosphorylation was demonstrated in PGE2-treated cells. (D) The phosphorylation status of EGFR, AKT, and ERK1/2 were examined using immunoblotting. PGE2 treatment exhibited a time- and dose-dependent stimulation of AKT phosphorylation, and ERK1/2 phosphorylation was time-dependently upregulated too. The ratio of phospho-AKT and phospho-ERK1/2 was normalized using total protein as a reference.
three independent experiments and expressed as mean ± SD. (B) Six-eight-week-old female NOD/SCID mice were subcutaneously injected with 1 

(A) The INI1 KD & EP1 double KD cells had a significantly lower proliferation (P < 0.05: t-test) than that of INI1 KD cells (p < 0.001). Data are representative of three independent experiments and expressed as mean ± SD. (B) Six-eight-week-old female NOD/SCID mice were subcutaneously injected with 1 × 10^6 INI1 KD cells or INI1 KD & EP1 double KD cells (each group: n = 8). The in vivo tumorigenicity assay showed that xenograft growth of INI1 & EP1 double KD cells was significantly (P < 0.001) inhibited compared with ACHN INI1 KD cells.
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