LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Discovery of a second pulsating intermediate helium-enriched sdOB star

M. Latour\textsuperscript{1}, E. M. Green\textsuperscript{2}, and G. Fontaine\textsuperscript{3}

\textsuperscript{1} Institute for Astrophysics, Georg-August-University, Friedrich-Hund-Platz 1, 37077 Göttingen, Germany
e-mail: marilyn.latour@uni-goettingen.de
\textsuperscript{2} Steward Observatory, University of Arizona, 933 North Cherry Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA
e-mail: bgreen@as.arizona.edu
\textsuperscript{3} Département de Physique, Université de Montréal, Succ. Centre-Ville, 6128 Montréal, QC H3C 3J7, Canada
e-mail: fontaine@astro.umontreal.ca

Received 19 February 2019 / Accepted 8 March 2019

ABSTRACT

We present the discovery of long-period, low-amplitude, \(g\)-mode pulsations in the intermediate He-rich hot subdwarf (sdOB) star Feige 46. So far, only one other He-enriched sdOB star (LS IV–14\textsuperscript{116}) was known to exhibit such pulsations. From our ground-based light curves of Feige 46, we extracted five independent periodicities ranging from 2294 s to 3400 s. We fit our optical spectrum of the star with our grid of non-local thermodynamic equilibrium (NLTE) model atmospheres and derived the following atmospheric parameters: \(T_{\text{eff}} = 36120 \pm 230\, \text{K}\), \(\log g = 5.93 \pm 0.04\), and \(\log N(\He)/N(\H) = -0.32 \pm 0.03\) (formal fitting errors only). These parameters are very similar to those of LS IV–14\textsuperscript{116} and place Feige 46 well outside of the instability strip where the hydrogen-rich \(g\)-mode sdB pulsators are found. We used the Gaia parallax and proper motion of Feige 46 to perform a kinematic analysis of this star and found that it likely belongs to the Galactic halo population. This is most certainly an intriguing and interesting result given that LS IV–14\textsuperscript{116} is also a halo object. The mechanism responsible for the pulsations in these two peculiar objects remains unclear, but a possible scenario involves the \(\epsilon\)-mechanism. Although they are the only two members in their class of variable stars, these pulsators appear to have more in common than just their pulsation properties.
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1. Introduction

The vast majority of subdwarf B (sdB) stars\textsuperscript{1} are compact, hot, helium-core burning objects that lost almost all of their hydrogen envelope before the He-flash, which left them unable to sustain H-shell burning. Consequently, their masses are close to the canonical value required for the He-flash (\(\sim 0.48\, M_\odot\)), to which their remaining hydrogen envelope contributes only very little (\(\lesssim 0.02\, M_\odot\)). The discovery of the first pulsating sdB stars (Kilkenny et al. 1997) two decades ago proved to be a stepping stone for our understanding of these particular objects (see Heber 2016 and Charpinet et al. 2016 for recent reviews). Asteroseismic modeling of these objects has allowed probing their structural properties, such as core size and composition (e.g., Charpinet et al. 2011; Van Groote et al. 2013), rotation (Charpinet et al. 2018), and stellar masses (Fontaine et al. 2012 and references therein). Pulsating hot subdwarfs show pressure (\(p\)) and gravity (\(g\)) mode instabilities. The former appear as rapid periodic variations of a few millimagnitudes on a timescale of a few minutes, while the latter are characterized by slower variations with periods between 0.5 and 4 h and even smaller amplitudes, \(< 1\) millimag. The two types of sdB pulsation modes are excited in two distinct instability regions in the log \(g\)-\(T_{\text{eff}}\) plane, with the slow, \(g\)-mode pulsators (formally referred to as V1093 Her stars) found at cooler effective temperatures (22–29 kK) than their rapidly pulsating V361 Hya counterparts (29–36 kK). Interestingly, some stars at the boundary between the two instability domains show both \(p\)- and \(g\)-mode pulsations (e.g., Schuh et al. 2006). The instability regions are observationally and theoretically well defined (Charpinet et al. 2001; Bloemen et al. 2014), although the boundary between the two types becomes more fuzzy when viewed with space-borne sensitivity. Both classes of pulsating hot subdwarfs can be successfully modeled in terms of the same driving mechanism: a classical opacity (\(\kappa\)) mechanism associated with a local overabundance of iron and nickel in the driving region (Charpinet et al. 1997).

While the majority of pulsating hot subdwarfs belong to these two classes, each well described by asteroseismology, a few of these pulsators remain theoretically challenging: the few hotter sdO pulsators (Randall et al. 2016; Kilkenny et al. 2017), and the very unusual sdOB star LS IV–14\textsuperscript{116} (Green et al. 2011). Although the latter has been previously referred to as an sdB star, its spectrum, showing a strong He\textsuperscript{i} 4686 Å line, is better classified as sdOB, a more specific term for objects in the transition region between sdB and sdO stars. LS IV–14\textsuperscript{116} is better classified as sdOB, a more specific term for objects in the transition region between sdB and sdO stars. LS IV–14\textsuperscript{116} is slightly hotter sdOB stars because they are nearly identical in an evolutionary sense.

\footnote{1 The reduced spectrum is only available at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr (130.79.128.5) or via http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/qcat?J/A+A/623/L12
\footnote{1 We note that the term "sdB stars" is often understood to include the slightly hotter sdOB stars because they are nearly identical in an evolutionary sense.}
Fig. 1. Left panel: all light curves obtained for Feige 46. The data have been shifted arbitrarily along the x- and y-axes for visualization purposes. The y-axis is expressed in units of fractional brightness intensity (the residual amplitude of the pulsation relative to the mean brightness of the star). Right panel: zoomed-in view of the Fourier transform of the entire data set in the 0–0.8 mHz range where the periodicities are found. The lower transforms show the successive steps of prewhitening by the three and six frequencies indicated. The dashed horizontal lines indicate the $4\sigma$ noise level.

shows long-period ($P \sim 2000$–5000 s), multiperiodic luminosity variations even though its atmospheric parameters place the star on the hotter side of the $p$-mode instability strip, where its long pulsation periods cannot be explained by the iron-bump $\kappa$-mechanism (Ahmad & Jeffery 2005; Green et al. 2011). In addition to its distinctive pulsation properties, LS IV$^\circ$116 has very peculiar atmospheric abundances: it contains the highest overabundances of Sr, Y, and Zr that have been reported in a hot subdwarf, and it is also one of the very few subdwarfs known to have an atmosphere that is enriched in helium (Naslim et al. 2011). In addition, Randall et al. (2015) found that it belongs to the halo population based on its kinematic properties. The star was therefore suggested to be the prototype of a new class of pulsating hot subdwarf referred to as He-sdBV (Kilkenny et al. 2010). However, LS IV$^\circ$116 remained the only member of its class for almost a decade. We note that two other variable helium-enriched hot subdwarfs were discovered with the Keplert satellite (UVO 0825+15 and KIC 1718290; Jeffery et al. 2017; Østensen et al. 2012), but because of their discrepant pulsation properties and, in the case of KIC 1718290, lower $T_{\text{eff}}$, they probably do not belong to the same class of pulsators as LS IV$^\circ$116.

In this Letter, we present Feige 46 as the long sought-after second member of the He-sdBV class. Our extensive photometric monitoring of the star has revealed multiperiodic variations that are very similar to those seen in LS IV$^\circ$116, and our spectral analysis demonstrates that the atmospheric parameters of the two stars are strikingly similar. This discovery is all the more exciting because Feige 46 is bright enough ($V = 13.2$) to be observed by the TESS satellite and to allow high-resolution spectroscopic follow-up and more detailed investigation of its atmospheric properties.

2. Pulsational properties

The variable nature of Feige 46 was discovered in February 2018 during a photometric search for asteroseismically interesting hot subdwarf stars at the 1.55 m Kuiper telescope of Steward Observatory on Mt. Bigelow, using the Mont4K CCD camera\(^2\). Following the realization that this star closely resembles LS IV$^\circ$116, with low-amplitude luminosity variations on a timescale of about 45 min that are at odds with its sdOB spectrum (see Sect. 3), extensive follow-up was performed during 13 additional nights on the Kuiper telescope (see Table A.1). This resulted in 71.19 h of time-series observations with an average sampling time of 30 s, taken through a broadband Schott 8612 filter. The images were reduced using standard IRAF photometric data reduction tasks. The light curves were constructed relative to five reasonably bright reference stars distributed symmetrically around Feige 46 in the 9.7′ × 9.7′ Mont4K field of view. The photometric aperture size was set to either 2.25 times the average FWHM of the six measured stars or 15 pixels (≈6.45″), whichever was smaller, to avoid contamination from the fainter visual companion 13″ northwest of Feige 46. Light curves for each of the reference stars relative to the four others confirmed that none were variable above the level of the photometric noise. The light curves for Feige 46 are shown in the left panel of Fig. 1 in the same order as the observations in Table A.1.

The time-series photometry gathered for Feige 46 was analyzed in a standard way using a combination of Fourier analysis, least-square fits to the light curve, and prewhitening techniques as described in Billères et al. (2000). The light-curve analysis

\(^2\) http://james.as.arizona.edu/~psmith/61inch/instruments.html
and a helium abundance of log $N$(He). We find that these stars are metal- and synthetic spectra were computed using the TLUSTY and SYNOPS codes (Hubeny & Lanz 2011), including a metal-dynamic equilibrium (NLTE) model atmospheres, which are representative of Feige 46 using our grid of non-local thermodynamic equilibrium (NLTE) model atmospheres (Brassard et al. 2010). Figure 2 shows the resulting best fit to the observed spectrum with high S/N revealing six frequency peaks, whose characteristics are listed in Table 1. The phases given in the table are relative to the beginning of the first run on UT 2018 February 26. The uncertainties and the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) were computed as described in Green et al. (2011). We found that the oscillation periods of Feige 46 are in the range of 2294–3400 s, which overlaps the range of periods detected in LS IV−14 116 (1953–5083 s; Green et al. 2011) quite well. The low amplitudes are typical of $g$-mode pulsations. The right panel of Fig. 1 presents the Fourier amplitude spectrum of the full data set (upper curve) in the 0–0.8 mHz range where the pulsating periods of Feige 46 are found. The lower curves are the resulting Fourier transforms after prewhitening by the indicated three and six frequency peaks. The structure of the main oscillation peak is compatible with the rotational splitting of an $l = 1$ mode, of which two out of the three components have an S/N above the 4.5σ limit. A central component is present at a frequency of 435.670 ± 0.014 μHz, but only with an S/N = 3.4. The average frequency difference (∆f) between the peaks is 0.132 μHz. Assuming that these are high-radial order $k$-modes, this frequency splitting indicates a slow rotation with a period of ~43 days.

### Table 1. Harmonic oscillations detected in the light curve of Feige 46.

| Period (s) | Frequency (μHz) | Amplitude (%) | Phase (s) | S/N |
|-----------|----------------|--------------|-----------|-----|
| 2294.673 ± 0.020$^a$ | 435.792 ± 0.004 | 0.290 ± 0.015 | 420 ± 19 | 15.1 |
| 2296.064 ± 0.024$^a$ | 435.528 ± 0.005 | 0.243 ± 0.015 | 1056 ± 23 | 12.7 |
| 2585.797 ± 0.083 | 386.728 ± 0.012 | 0.090 ± 0.015 | 670 ± 70 | 4.7 |
| 2757.738 ± 0.032 | 362.616 ± 0.004 | 0.267 ± 0.015 | 2210 ± 25 | 13.9 |
| 2999.184 ± 0.072 | 333.424 ± 0.008 | 0.140 ± 0.015 | 1528 ± 53 | 7.3 |
| 3400.713 ± 0.057 | 294.056 ± 0.005 | 0.225 ± 0.015 | 2520 ± 37 | 11.7 |

Notes. $^a$These modes are part of a possible rotation triplet.

Because the same model atmosphere grid was used and the spectra were obtained with an identical instrumental setup, the atmospheric parameters of v are directly comparable to those derived for LS IV−14 116 by Green et al. (2011). $T_{\text{eff}} = 34900$ K, log g = 5.9 and log N(He)/N(H) = −0.6. The analysis of a high-resolution spectrum of LS IV−14 116 with the same models corroborates these values (Randall et al. 2015). Thus both stars have similar atmospheric parameters, in addition to comparable luminosity variations. Although Feige 46 is somewhat more helium-enriched than LS IV−14 116, it is still classified as an intermediate He-rich subdwarf because its helium abundance is above solar (log N(He)/N(H) = −1), but its atmosphere is still dominated, although only mildly, by hydrogen. The higher effective temperature and stronger He i 4686 line of Feige 46 with respect to LS IV−14 116 probably explains why Feige 46 was previously classified as an sdOB star (Feige 1958; Graham 1970), while LS IV−14 116 was considered an sdB. The discrepancy in spectral type between the two stars is at odds with their similar atmospheric parameters. The two stars are more accurately described as sdOB because the He i and He ii lines are clearly visible in their spectra. Therefore, we now refer to the two pulsators as He-sdOBs instead of using the previous He-sdBV nomenclature. This allows us to distinguish them from the cooler He-sdBs (such as JL 87 and KIC 1718290) and the hotter He-sdOs, whose properties are different from those of LS IV−14 116 and Feige 46 (see also Sect. 2.2 of Heber 2009 for additional details on spectral classification). On the other hand, given the variety of spectral classification schemes and the growing number of pulsation properties that are discovered in hot subdwarfs, it might be clearer to refer to these two particular pulsators and potential future members of their class by the name of the prototype: LS IV−14 116, also named V366 Aqr in the general catalog of variable stars (Samus et al. 2017).

Feige 46 has been little studied in the past. The most recent atmospheric analysis we found in the literature is that of Bauer & Husfeld (1995), who derived $T_{\text{eff}} = 37500$ ± 1500 K, log g = 5.25 ± 0.25, and abundances of C and N close to solar. They also estimated a spectroscopic distance of 1200$^{+325}_{-300}$ pc. Their analysis was based on data obtained with the CASPEC Cassegrain echelle spectrograph mounted on the 3.6 m ESO telescope at La Silla Observatory in Chile. On the other hand, Kudritzki (1976) derived $T_{\text{eff}} = 41000$ K and log g ~ 6.3 based on Strömgren and Balmer jump colors.

Using newly available parallax and photometric measurements from Gaia, the derived atmospheric parameters can be verified by computing the spectroscopic distance and comparing this with the distance derived from the parallax. We performed this exercise for both LS IV−14 116 and Feige 46, assuming a mass of 0.47 $M_\odot$, which is consistent with the mean sdB mass reported by Fontaine et al. (2012), and we used our model

### 3. Spectroscopic properties

Feige 46 is part of the Arizona-Montréal spectroscopic sample (Fontaine et al. 2014) and was observed multiple times with the 2.3 m Bok telescope at Steward Observatory on Kitt Peak between 2004 and 2011. The observations were obtained with the B&C spectrograph using the 400 mm−1 grating in first order and a 2.5′′ slit, resulting in a wide wavelength coverage (3620–6900 Å) and a rather low resolution of 8.7 Å. Our final spectrum with high S/N was constructed from five median-filtered individual spectra that were first cross-correlated to correct for possible variations in radial velocity (RV). However, no RV variations were found above the observational noise level ($σ \sim 10$ km s$^{-1}$).

We derived the atmospheric parameters ($T_{\text{eff}}$, log g, and helium abundance) of Feige 46 using our grid of non-local thermodynamic equilibrium (NLTE) model atmospheres, which are especially well suited for analyses of sdB stars. The models and synthetic spectra were computed using the TLUSTY and SYNOPS codes (Hubeny & Lanz 2011), including a metal-rich composition typical of sdB stars (Blanchette et al. 2008; Brassard et al. 2010). Figure 2 shows the resulting best fit to the normalized Balmer and helium lines in the observed spectrum. We find $T_{\text{eff}} = 36100 ± 230$ K, log g = 5.93 ± 0.04, and a helium abundance of log N(He)/N(H) = −0.32 ± 0.03. The quoted uncertainties are formal errors returned by the χ$^2$-minimization procedure and are therefore only lower limits.

Feige 46 has been little studied in the past. The most recent atmospheric analysis we found in the literature is that of Bauer & Husfeld (1995), who derived $T_{\text{eff}} = 37500 ± 1500$ K, log g = 5.25 ± 0.25, and abundances of C and N close to solar. They also estimated a spectroscopic distance of 1200$^{+325}_{-300}$ pc. Their analysis was based on data obtained with the CASPEC Cassegrain echelle spectrograph mounted on the 3.6 m ESO telescope at La Silla Observatory in Chile. On the other hand, Kudritzki (1976) derived $T_{\text{eff}} = 41000$ K and log g ~ 6.3 based on Strömgren and Balmer jump colors.

Using newly available parallax and photometric measurements from Gaia, the derived atmospheric parameters can be verified by computing the spectroscopic distance and comparing this with the distance derived from the parallax. We performed this exercise for both LS IV−14 116 and Feige 46, assuming a mass of 0.47 $M_\odot$, which is consistent with the mean sdB mass reported by Fontaine et al. (2012), and we used our model...
spectra to compute synthetic G(BP) and G(RP) absolute magnitudes. The comparison with the apparent magnitudes from Gaia DR2 resulted in spectroscopic distances of 426 ± 27 pc for LS IV−14°116 and 514 ± 31 pc for Feige 46. These agree very well with the distances derived from their Gaia parallaxes, 420 ± 14 pc and 538 ± 26 pc, respectively, overlapping within 1σ. In addition, the inferred reddenings, $E(B−V) = 0.028 ± 0.006$ for LS IV−14°116 and 0.005 ± 0.005 for Feige 46, are lower than the maximum values of 0.038 and 0.028 along the two lines of sight (Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011).

4. Discussion

Intermediate He-rich subdwarfs are relatively rare objects (Geier et al. 2017), and some show extreme overabundances of trans-iron elements (Ge, Sr, Y, Zr, and Pb; Jeffery et al. 2017; Wild & Jeffery 2018). Among these, LS IV−14°116 stands out because its atmosphere is especially enriched in Sr, Y, and Zr (Naslim et al. 2013). These high overabundances render these elements detectable not only in their UV spectra, as commonly seen in other sdBs, but also in the optical region. It seems plausible that the atmosphere of Feige 46 may also display similar chemical peculiarities. O’Toole (2004) reported the detection of Pb in a spectrum of Feige 46 observed with the Goddard High Resolution Spectrograph (GHRS) on board the Hubble Space Telescope (HST; ~1320−1520 Å), but there has been no modern high-resolution optical spectroscopy for this star. Further analysis of the GHRS data, especially in combination with new optical data, would be of utmost interest to address the chemical portrait of Feige 46.

Another peculiar aspect of LS IV−14°116 is its membership in the Galactic halo population, which was confirmed by its Galactic velocity components and retrograde orbit around the Galactic center (Randall et al. 2015). We conducted a similar analysis using the Gaia DR2 proper motion and parallax data (Gaia Collaboration 2018). We used the RV value reported by Drilling & Heber (1987) of 90 km s$^{-1}$ with a more conservative error of 4 km s$^{-1}$. We then followed the procedure described by Randall et al. (2015) to derive the Galactic radial and rotational velocity components of Feige 46 ($U = 126.9 ± 4.5$ km s$^{-1}$, $V = 48.9 ± 6.1$ km s$^{-1}$), and to recompute those of LS IV−14°116 ($U = 171.1 ± 3.1$ km s$^{-1}$, $V = −44.8 ± 7.9$ km s$^{-1}$). The positions of the two stars in the $U−V$ diagram are shown in Fig. 3 along with the 3σ contours expected for the thin- and thick-disk populations. Like LS IV−14°116, Feige 46 lies outside these contours, indicating that it has kinematic properties typical of the halo population. Still, halo kinematics do not necessarily imply that the stars were formed in situ. Some sdBs with extreme kinematics are likely to have acquired such properties after dynamical interactions, for instance, with the central black hole of the Milky Way (Tillich et al. 2011; Ziegerer et al. 2017). Nevertheless, the kinematics of LS IV−14°116 and Feige 46 are not particularly extreme, and a halo origin remains a plausible explanation.

The similarity between Feige 46 and LS IV−14°116 in terms of both photometric variability and atmospheric properties
leaves little doubt that the two stars are pulsating through the same mechanism. Their variability cannot be explained by the iron-bump $\kappa$-mechanism that is at work in the vast majority of pulsating hot subdwarfs. Their temperatures and surface gravities place them in the instability region where only $p$-modes would be excited by this mechanism, but no such short-period pulsations are observed in either star, only the unanticipated long-period pulsations. The possibility that a strong magnetic field produces the chemical anomalies and luminosity variability seen in LS IV$-14^\circ$116 was suggested by Naslim et al. (2011), but was later discarded based on spectropolarimetric observations of the star (Randall et al. 2015). The RV variations seen in but was later discarded based on spectropolarimetric observations of the star (Randall et al. 2015). The RV variations seen in

$\epsilon$

the observed pulsations in both stars is a matter of debate: the evolutionary models, Battich et al. (2018) showed that long-period pulsations in the intermediate He-rich sdOB star Feige 46. The delayed flash is more explosive and causes substantial enrichment of carbon and oxygen in the envelope, which has previously only been defined by the unique prototype

Sowicka et al. 2018). These two He-sdOBVs are currently the most promising candidates for this pulsation mechanism.

An alternative $\kappa$-mechanism was recently suggested by Saio & Jeffery (2019), in which the excitation is driven by carbon and oxygen opacity bumps. Although their models can excite pulsations in the observed period range, the stellar structure needed to do so, a 0.5 $M_\odot$ helium main-sequence star with a substantial enrichment of carbon and/or oxygen in the envelope, is very challenging to explain from an evolutionary perspective.

5. Conclusion

We have reported the discovery of long-period $g$-mode pulsations in the intermediate He-rich sdOB star Feige 46. The atmospheric parameters and helium abundance of the star confirm that this object belongs to the He-sdOBV pulsating class, which has previously only been defined by the unique prototype LS IV$-14^\circ$116. The excitation mechanism that is responsible for the observed pulsations in both stars is a matter of debate: the two suggested models, the $\epsilon$-mechanism and the $\kappa$-mechanism through carbon and oxygen opacity-bumps, each have their own shortcomings. In this context, the pulsation periods observed in the two stars, and potentially in other similar objects, should be of great help in testing and refining evolutionary models. The kinematic properties of Feige 46 indicate that it belongs to the Galactic halo population, just like LS IV$-14^\circ$116. The halo origin as well as the probable single nature and slow rotation of both stars place further constraints on the evolutionary history of these enigmatic objects.
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## Appendix A: Additional table

**Table A.1.** Journal of photometric observations of Feige 46.

| Date (UT)   | Start of run (HJD2458170+) | Number of frames (h) | Length  |
|------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| 2018-Feb-26| 5.87597                     | 425                  | 3.613   |
| 2018-Feb-27| 6.72110                     | 853                  | 7.251   |
| 2018-Mar-06| 13.71209                    | 556                  | 4.726   |
| 2018-Mar-25| 32.66519                    | 300                  | 2.550   |
| 2018-Mar-27| 34.76409                    | 498                  | 4.233   |
| 2018-Mar-28| 35.64764                    | 850                  | 7.225   |
| 2018-Mar-29| 36.71110                    | 286                  | 2.431   |
| 2018-Apr-02| 40.61363                    | 906                  | 7.701   |
| 2018-Apr-03| 41.61282                    | 911                  | 7.744   |
| 2018-Apr-14| 52.61746                    | 771                  | 6.554   |
| 2018-Apr-15| 53.61904                    | 768                  | 6.528   |
| 2018-Apr-29| 67.23060                    | 563                  | 4.786   |
| 2018-Apr-30| 68.62797                    | 271                  | 2.304   |
| 2018-May-25| 93.63795                    | 441                  | 3.749   |