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Abstract

Introduction: In a healthy organization, psychological health and physical health are as important as production and productivity; and healthy workers have higher productivity. Regarding lack of information about workers’ general health profile in Qom Province, this study aimed to assess and compare the staffs’ general health and its components among different workplaces in 2014.

Methods: In a cross-sectional study, 2,276 employees working at 46 industries and organizations completed a standardized General Health Questionnaire (GHQ 28) and a demographic questionnaire. Data were analyzed using t-test, ANOVA, and Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient by IBM SPSS version 20.

Results: The mean age of the participants was 32.22 (±7.55) years. Seventy-nine point four percent of participants were married and the rest were single. Highest and lowest scores belonged to social dysfunction and depression, respectively. Also, total score of staffs’ general health was 17.87 ± 10.93. The results showed that, in spite of the non-relationship between general health score difference among married and single personnel (p > 0.05), there was a significant difference between men and women and among organizations and industries with regards to general health score (p < 0.05), and drivers had the most difference with others. The relationship between workers’ ages and GH was significant (p < 0.05, Pearson’s bivariate correlation coefficient = -0.05).

Conclusion: The findings of this study collectively indicated that participants had an acceptable condition for mental factors, such as depression, but not in viewpoints of social dysfunction. In other words, staffs’ interfaces with circumstances and personal innovation/creativity in the workplaces are at risk. Altogether, the general health score in the studied population was suitable in its entirety.
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1. Introduction

Based on the World Health Organization’s (WHO) definition, health is a state of complete physical, mental, and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease (1). Also, General Health (GH) as a sub-directory of the health system is the general condition of the body or mind with reference to soundness and vigor. On the other hand, GH is defined as systematic activities and social acts based on the prevention, Science & Art for disease management, increasing expectancy of life and health promotion that lead to welfare (2, 3). In the organizational views, Health issues would be vital. Creating Healthy Organizations will help to strengthen the links between people and performance in humanly sustainable ways. In this situation, managers would focus on workers’ safety and health issues, which are equal to organizational productivity and financial benefits (4). Doubtless, healthy workforces are a key factor to performance of work and success (5).
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Workers in their own workplaces are exposed to many different hazards and hazardous conditions that can threaten health and life. Although some hazards are less likely to happen in some work spaces than others, it's important to assess which hazards are most damaging to the organization and its employees (6). Apart from the chemical, physical, mechanical, biological, and ergonomic agents, spiritual pressure and mental tensions exist in workplaces. These factors and their effects on humans must be controlled. If anybody spent about one-third of their own day at work, it is necessary to assess and control adverse situations based on this fact, (7, 8). It should be noted that things that affect large portions of the employees really affect small- and medium-sized businesses more than large businesses.

Although some hazards are less likely to happen in some workplaces than others, it's important to assess which hazards are most damaging to employees. Some may pose more serious threats to employees’ welfare, and still others will result in the most time lost or be the most costly. For example, ergonomic challenges lead to musculoskeletal disorders (MSD) (9, 10), physical or psychosocial factors result in cardiovascular diseases (11), and mental stressors create mental disorders and diseases (12). Also, the literature has shown meaningful relationships between different health components, social disturbances, and mental disorders. Anxiety and depression (13), quality of life (14), work absenteeism (15), mental load (16), psychosomatic symptoms (17), occupational dissatisfaction and burnouts (18), sleepiness (19), and sleep quality (20) are some examples of social and mental challenges. Besides, occupational disease and job accidents are very important conflicts (21). In some research, the relationship between health status and occupational accidents has been well documented (21-23).

Many factors can contribute to the creation of a general health profile for working communities (24). Job selection procedures, lifestyles, family-society interactions (25), educational and personal development (26), organizational culture and mental health planning (27), management procedure and job security (28), job and mental consultation (29), economic affluence (30), stress and anxiety management (31), spirituality, ethics, and formal or informal grouping are all factors that affect the general health of workers (27, 28, 31). Employees with anxiety attacks (32), depression (32), severe stress (28), psychosomatic disorders and somatic signs (33, 34), chronic pain (35), social conflicts and sleep disturbance (15) can certainly not be productive and predictable as well as useful for their organizations and countries (36). Data were acquired from general health assessment in workers’ communities in order to solve problems relating to lack of information in Iranian populations; it could be used by policy-makers and managers for integrated planning and work health promotion. One of the best procedures for mental status assessment is clinical experimentation. However, cost and complexity of this evaluation are considerable. Also, these experiments are time-consuming and thus their ability for large-scale designs is limited. Nowadays, a simple and fluent tool, the Goldberg questionnaire, is recommended (37). Based on this tool, Noorbala et al. reported that between 11.9% and 23.8% of the Iranian adult population suffers mental disorders that require mental health care (38). Some studies also have focused on Iranian workers, such as forest workers (39), Petrochemical shift workers (40), Metal workers (41, 42), Miners (15), and health care workers (43). However, these studies generally focused on a specific industry. Accordingly, it seems there was no possibility of generalizing their results to other industries and working communities in Iran. The general objective of this research was to determine the general health status of workers among different workplaces in Qom Province, Iran, in 2014. This Province was selected because of 1) lack of related information about general health profile and mental health status among its working community as well as in Iran, 2) according to the latest statistics published in Iran (44), Qom Province has the highest rate of population growth in the country and one of the reasons has been migration and accumulation of different ethnic working groups. Most of the immigrants were faced with many challenges and their mental health or other lifestyle indices were not suitable (45), and 3) a wide variety of small and medium-scale industries in the Province. Technological changes are influencing the health status of employees all over the world (46). On this basis, since Qom is one of the rapidly industrialized provinces in Iran (44) and in order to evaluate the impact of this change on the health of workers, this study was designed. The outcome of this study would be occupational safety and health promotion, disease management, accident control in the workplaces, aiding the related organizations for better performance, and policy-making through appropriate decision-making. Such information may as well be used for efficient national or local mental health intervention.

2. Material and Methods
2.1. Research setting and sampling
This cross-sectional study was designed and carried out among 2,276 workers who were working in 37 companies, organizations, and industries. These workers were selected randomly. The fields of research were located at Qom Province in the central part of Iran in 2014.
2.2. Measurement tool
The demographic questionnaire focused on age, gender, and marital status, and the main tool for our study was the general health questionnaire (GHQ-28) that was introduced by Goldberg. This questionnaire is a valid and reliable tool for the determination of mental health status between different societies and communities. GHQ has four sub-scales: somatic symptoms, sleeplessness, social dysfunction, and depression. For scaling of the questionnaire, a Likert technique was used, and scoring of questions was done in a 4-grade style (1, 2, 3 and 4). Lower scores indicated higher level of mental health and vice versa. Based on the literature, the ultimate scores for all workers were calculated to be 84, and a cut-off point of 23 was selected for evaluation purposes. Hence, people with scores higher than 23 were considered suspects of disorder (47). Also, for sub-scales, the selected cut-off point was equal to 6. Based on this fact, participants with a score lower than 6 were considered as healthy workers (48). The range of scores in all of the sub-scales was defined between 0 and 21.

2.3. Validity and reliability
As mentioned before, GHQ is a valid and reliable tool. In one research effort, the correlation factor between GHQ and the Minnesota questionnaire was reported to be equal to 0.54 (49). Other works have revealed that this tool was reliable, based on the Cronbach’s alpha value of 0.93 (50). Regarding the Persian version of this questionnaire, Taghavi et al. carried out the survey of validity and reliability and approved both (51).

2.4. Ethical consideration
The results were collected and reported based on the approved ethical code of Qom University of Medical Sciences. All workers were informed that inclusion in and exclusion from the study were voluntary. All names and other related information of the participants were considered private and confidential.

2.5. Data analysis
Statistical analyses, such as independent samples t-test, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), and Pearson correlation tests were used appropriately for data evaluation by SPSS-IBM version 20.

3. Results
3.1. General description
Most of the respondents (2143 out of 2,276) were males (94.2%), and 1,808 of them (79.4%) were married. Mean and standard deviation of ages were reported as 32.22 ± 7.55. Employees of 37 companies and organizations who participated in this study based on fields and types of activities were divided into nine groups. With regards to the table, the food industry group outweighed the other groups with 27.9% of samples. Metal industries, which had 439 workers (19.3%) in the research and construction industry was in minority (n = 112 and 4.9%).

3.2. General health (GH)
After the returned surveys were examined and filtered for incomplete items, all of them were passed, and the total of 2276 responses was used in the analysis. The results showed that general health had a mean score of 17.87 and its SD was measured as 10.93. The services group had the lowest total general health score (15.60 ± 9.46), and, in contrast, drivers had the highest (21.45 ± 12.88). Table 1 depicts the mean and SD of total GH and its scales among nine different groups of occupations and all workers in the study. Social dysfunction and depression got 6.08 and 2.55, respectively, as their mean scores as the highest and lowest. About the depression scale, people who worked in the services group had mean ± SD of 1.85 ± 2.89 (lowest score), and the food and construction industries were next. Welders (3.39 ± 3.54), distribution, and chemicals had the most signs of depression. Social dysfunction in two groups of services (5.66 ± 2.66) and welding (6.91 ± 3.33) differed from the others. Sleeplessness scale had its least score in the services group (4.32 ± 3.92) and in the food and construction industries. Considering 6 and 23 as cut-off points of the four scales and total GH (52), respectively, eight organizations (out of 37, 21.6%) in sleeplessness, and 16 in social dysfunctions (43.2%) were in unhealthy status. But, none of the 37 organizations was unhealthy in somatic symptoms, depression, or total general health. With respect to the nine industrial groups in Table 2, employees in two groups of drivers and chemical industry were unacceptable in sleeplessness. Furthermore, welders, drivers, and workers in assembly and chemical industries had a mean score of more than the related cut-off point in social dysfunction. Additional information was gathered in Table 2. Five variable differentiations among the nine groups were analyzed using ANOVA and between males and females and were based on marital status, independent t-test was applied, and the related results are presented in Table 3. GH and its scales, except for social dysfunction, had a significant difference with regards to gender (p < 0.05) and occupational groups (p < 0.01) and drivers had the
most difference with others. On the other hand, social dysfunction and depression differed between married and single respondents (p < 0.05). Finally, relationship between workers’ age and GH and its sub-factors were assessed by the Pearson correlation test, and those were significant in all cases (p < 0.05, Pearson's bivariate correlation coefficient (p-coefficient) = -0.05)). General health and its four scales were significantly correlated (p < 0.01) and acceptable, and the highest coefficient was related to sleeplessness (0.88), and the lowest coefficient was for social dysfunction (0.73).

Table 1. General health and its scales description in different job sectors, Mean (SD), (N=2276)

| Occupational groups (n) | GH | General health scales |
|------------------------|----|-----------------------|
|                        |    | Somatic symptoms     | Sleeplessness | Social dysfunction | Depression |
| Services (259)         | 15.60 (9.46) | 3.76 (2.88) | 4.32 (3.92) | 5.66 (2.66) | 1.85 (2.89) |
| Assembly (237)         | 17.86 (10.95) | 3.79 (2.99) | 5.05 (3.99) | 6.27 (3.26) | 2.76 (3.38) |
| Distribution (125)     | 17.52 (10.21) | 3.96 (3.09) | 4.77 (3.88) | 5.82 (2.42) | 2.97 (3.57) |
| Metal working (439)    | 17.85 (10.69) | 4.24 (3.17) | 4.99 (3.94) | 5.91 (2.84) | 2.68 (3.38) |
| Welding (138)          | 21.41 (9.98) | 5.28 (2.91) | 5.83 (3.71) | 6.91 (3.33) | 3.39 (3.54) |
| Food sector (639)      | 16.56 (10.54) | 3.87 (3.10) | 4.50 (3.99) | 5.88 (2.82) | 2.31 (3.44) |
| Chemical (116)         | 20.59 (12.12) | 5.11 (3.91) | 6.16 (4.47) | 6.44 (3.04) | 2.87 (3.88) |
| Construction (112)     | 16.92 (10.87) | 3.89 (3.22) | 4.61 (4.46) | 5.89 (2.76) | 2.53 (3.22) |
| Driving (214)          | 21.45 (12.88) | 5.71 (3.78) | 6.22 (4.26) | 6.82 (3.25) | 2.69 (3.77) |
| Total (2276)           | 17.87 (10.93) | 4.25 (3.25) | 4.98 (4.05) | 6.08 (2.94) | 2.55 (3.44) |

Table 2. Frequency (percentage) of healthy ones among workers, organizations and groups

| Factors                  | Workers (n=2276) | Organizations (n=37) | Occupational groups (n=9) |
|--------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Somatic symptoms        | 1817 (79.8)      | 0                    | 0                        |
| Sleeplessness            | 1597 (70.2)      | 8 (21.6)             | 2 (22.22)                |
| Social dysfunction       | 1372 (60.3)      | 16 (43.2)            | 4 (44.44)                |
| Depression               | 1990 (87.4)      | 0                    | 0                        |
| GH                       | 1714 (75.3)      | 0                    | 0                        |

Table 3. Comparing GH and its scales scores among occupational groups and between sex and marital status groups

| Comparison group | GH | General health scales |
|------------------|----|-----------------------|
|                  |    | Somatic symptoms     | Sleeplessness | Social dysfunction | Depression |
| Sex              |    |                       |               |                   |            |
| Men              | 17.63 | 4.14                 | 4.93         | 6.06              | 2.50       |
| Women            | 21.64 | 5.99                 | 5.84         | 6.48              | 3.32       |
| P                | 0.001 | 0.001                | 0.011        | 0.105             | 0.008      |
| Marital status   |    |                       |               |                   |            |
| Married          | 17.65 | 4.25                 | 5.00         | 6.01              | 2.38       |
| Single           | 18.70 | 4.25                 | 4.90         | 6.34              | 3.21       |
| P                | 0.08  | 0.553                | 0.212        | 0.043             | 0.001      |
| Occupational groups (P) | 0.001 | 0.001               | 0.001        | 0.001             | 0.001      |

4. Discussion

This study made it clear that men are susceptible to anxiety, sleep disorder, and depression. Scientific findings depict that the mental health of male workers has been neglected (53). Also, care should be taken about females at work. Women are more at risk of work-related injuries and accidents (54). This sort of employees would experience more mental reactions in workplaces due to some physiological limitations, and their multiple roles in families and societies under stress will be more likely to result in anxiety and depression. Total general health and its four scales scores compared among nine groups of occupations by ANOVA, and the outcomes showed that all five factors were significantly different from a statistical perspective (p < 0.01). Besides, t-test results depicted that all five studied factors, except social dysfunction, differed between men and women (p < 0.05); males had lower scores than females in all significant cases. On the other hand, just depression was different between married and single workers, with married workers getting lower scores. A previous study among women showed that 26.7% of studied females had somatic symptoms. Thirty five percent suffered from sleeplessness, and 45% of them were confronted with problems in social functions (54). In this research, women had higher scores. In other words, females were more likely to have mental disorders. The mean of all sub-factors and the total score of GH (21.64) were very close.
to the cut-off points, i.e., 6 and 23, with the meaning that outcomes are really on the borderline. Therefore, we suggested a periodic assessment of the mental health of female workers. It is obviously clear from the information in Table 4 that marriage due to the provision of a positive climate with better psychological status for employees affected depression, and those who were married had better conditions on this scale than single workers. This finding was in line with Shahrokhi’s study (54).

The relationship between stresses resulted from outside the organization, especially at home, with the total stress of workers, general health, and occupational mental disorders that directly reduce productivity, and this was one of the most important issues that researchers recently have taken into account (25). Based on research conducted by Fan et al. (25), the main cause of stress in men and women was domestic, along with low job security, and social support at work; each of these was correlated strongly with anxiety and depression. The results of a cohort study that included 7,482 people and was about fatigue at workplaces, indicated that 8.2% of men and 10% of women had signs of anxiety, and 7.1% males and 6.2% of females were depressed in their work environments (55). That result about anxiety of women was more relevant to this study. Work capacity was analyzed by deductive content analysis in a qualitative study, and it illustrated the effect of anxiety and depression on time management and provision of negative job demands; besides, it makes workers panic, which reduced their work capacity, so workers tended towards burnout (56, 57). Emotional exhaustion of stressed workers boosted 10 more times in return for one score increase in GH (58). Working communities in Iran, the same as in other countries, are faced with some challenges in the workplaces that have not been addressed extensively in scientific documents. Sleep disorders, quality of life, and absence from the work phenomena are from these challenges. Sleeplessness were measured and reported among results for workers of Qom Province. Results from many researchers have indicated that anxiety and depression lead to sleep problems, decreased quality of life of workers, and work absence (59-61). It is noticeable that absence from work increases with increase in age and when general health is at stake (14). These cases need to be assessed more in Iran. Therefore, in various jobs and with respect to organizational culture and climate, employees revealed different levels of sub-factors. As an example, drivers had the most problems in the total score of GH; it was expected because of low levels of social supports and job security.

Despite the fact that some studies showed a relationship between anxiety, depression, and sleep disorder with age (61, 14), it was not significant in this study. This difference can be as a result of young participants in our study. The results can be explained in this way, i.e., the increase in work experience will cause a reduction in general health. In addition, sleeplessness and somatic symptoms had the highest relationships with total GH and were totally the same as the outcome of Nagyova et al.’s research (62). Twenty point two percent (20.2%) of workers reported physical symptoms, such as pain. Relation between somatic pains and mental factors was too complicated and required more attention. Relationship of burnout and cardiovascular diseases as two criteria of mental pressures and physical problems was considered (63). The findings illustrated a close relationship between mental factors and somatic disorders. One more thing, only 39.7% of studied workers did not report social dysfunctions. This sort of problem was reported in work communities, so their prevention and handling required careful caring about psycho-social factors at workplaces (55). It should be noted that this survey was conducted using the 28-item General Health Questionnaire, which was not a systematic clinical interview, and it was unable to evaluate different disorders. Also, the industries were located in different locations of the Province, and they parted ways with those that needed too much time to gather data. These were the main limitations of the study.

5. Conclusions
Generally, workers’ general health was in acceptable state among the studied organizations. Although 24.7% of respondents suffered from poor general health status, it is vividly clear that conditions should be improved to keep and increase efficiency and working capacity of workers in the framework of supportive plans. On the other side, social support, reward system, job enrichment and acceptable behavior that are capable of helping employees to behave more positively and to dominant job stress can reduce mental health issues at workplaces. Therefore, careful thoughts are recommended about them in Iranian organizations. It is also recommended that considerations be made regarding ethnic variability in other similar studies.
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