AGN–Host Interaction in IC 5063. I. Large-scale X-Ray Morphology and Spectral Analysis
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Abstract

We report the analysis of the deep (∼270 ks) X-ray Chandra data of one of the most radio-loud, Seyfert 2 galaxies in the nearby universe (z = 0.01135), IC 5063. The alignment of the radio structure with the galactic disk and ionized bicone, enables us to study the effects of both radio jet and nuclear irradiation on the interstellar medium (ISM). The nuclear and bicone spectra suggest a low photoionization phase mixed with a more ionized or thermal gas component, while the cross-cone spectrum is dominated by shocked and collisionally ionized gas emission. The clumpy morphology of the soft (<3 keV) X-ray emission along the jet trails, and the large (∼2.4 kpc) filamentary structure perpendicular to the radio jets at softer energies (<1.5 keV), suggest a large contribution of the jet–ISM interaction to the circumnuclear gas emission. The hard X-ray continuum (>3 keV) and the Fe Kα 6.4 keV emission are both extended to kpc size along the bicone direction, suggesting an interaction of nuclear photons with dense clouds in the galaxy disk, as observed in other Compton Thick (CT) active nuclei. The northwest cone spectrum also exhibits an Fe XXV emission line, which appears spatially extended and spatially correlated with the most intense radio hot-spot, suggesting jet–ISM interaction.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Active galactic nuclei (16); X-ray active galactic nuclei (2035)

1. Introduction

Accreting supermassive black holes (SMBHs) in galactic nuclei release a large amount of energy in the form of radiation, winds, and radio jets, which interact with the interstellar medium (ISM) affecting the host galaxy evolution (e.g., Silk & Rees 1998; Di Matteo et al. 2005). The process linking the active galactic nucleus (AGN) energy and the surrounding environment is called AGN feedback.

In very luminous quasars, radiative-driven outflows (kinetic-mode feedback) are thought to play a crucial role in the SMBH host galaxy coevolution (Ferrarese & Ford 2005), by transferring less than 10% of the energy and momentum to the ISM (Fiore et al. 2017). The most extreme example of AGN feedback occurs in very massive galaxies in cluster cores through radio jets (jet-mode feedback), which push away the hot X-ray-emitting gas, producing cavities and shock fronts in the intracluster medium, and regulate temperature and entropy in there (Gitti et al. 2012; Russell et al. 2013; Gupta et al. 2020).

However, moderate radio jets are also observed in local Seyfert galaxies. These jets are less powerful and extended than those in powerful radio galaxies and interact with the gas on galactic scales, the ISM (Morganti et al. 1999; Thew et al. 2000). While the complex nature of the interaction is still under study, there is evidence that radio jets can produce galactic winds that, in turn, interact with dense multiphase gas (Rosario et al. 2008; Riffel et al. 2014, and references therein). Both neutral and ionized outflows have been observed in radio galaxies (Morganti et al. 2003; Emonts et al. 2005; Holt et al. 2008; Lehner et al. 2011; Dasya & Combes 2012; Combes et al. 2013). Studying the origin and the impact of these processes is fundamental for a total understanding of feedback (Mukherjee et al. 2016).

In this paper we analyze the X-ray Chandra data of the nearby (z = 0.01135) elliptical, type II (Inglis et al. 1993) galaxy IC 5063. It is one of the most radio-loud (P1.4 GHz = 6.3 × 1023 W Hz−1; Morganti et al. 1998) Seyfert 2 galaxies in the local universe. It shows an ionizing radiation field with an “X” morphology (Colina et al. 1991) and a complex system of dust lanes, likely due to merger remnants (Morganti et al. 1998; Oosterloo et al. 2017). High-resolution radio data (ATCA at 8 GHz Morganti et al. 1998) reveal a triple radio structure (1.3 kpc) along the PA of ∼295° with a total flux density of 230 mJy, consisting of a nuclear blob and two hot spots, i.e., termination points where the jets collide with the gas; most of the flux (195 mJy) is emitted from the northwest radio hot spot.

IC 5063 is a perfect laboratory to explore with Chandra both the extended X-ray emission (e.g., Levenson et al. 2006), which is not diluted by the nuclear continuum, and the physical processes involved in the jet–ISM interaction. Indeed, it is a rare system because the radio jets lie in the same plane of the H I galactic disk (PA ∼ 300°; Danziger et al. 1981; Morganti et al. 1998), allowing a full interaction between the mechanical energy released by an AGN and the host galaxy.

One of the effects observed from the coupling between jet and ISM gas in IC 5063 are large-scale outflows. Morganti et al. (1998) found the first case of an AGN-driven massive outflow of neutral H I gas in IC 5063 close to the bright NW radio lobe. Outflowing components are detected in warm...
ionized gas (Morganti et al. 2007; Dasyra et al. 2015; Venturi et al. 2021), atomic gas (Oosterloo et al. 2000), and warm and cold molecular gas (Tadhunter et al. 2014; Morganti et al. 2015; Dasyra et al. 2016; Oosterloo et al. 2017), with velocity dispersions \( \sim 700 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). Other interesting features are observed perpendicular to the radio jet, including an extension of the radio continuum at 1.4 GHz by Morganti et al. (1998), a giant low-ionization ([N II] and [S II]) loop by Maksym et al. (2020a), and high velocity dispersion of H\( \alpha \) and [O III] emission lines by Venturi et al. (2021). These features suggest a lateral outflow, in agreement with the simulation by Mukherjee et al. (2018) of IC 5063.

The analysis of the X-ray observations of IC 5063 allows us to study both the innermost emission of the AGN and the effects of the radio jet on the hot circumnuclear gas. The depth of our Chandra data enables us to perform spatially resolved analyses, constraining the dominant processes and allowing us to investigate the feedback scenario in this specific case.

This is the first paper based on our study of the properties of the X-ray hot gas in IC 5063 with deep (272 ks) Chandra observations (PI G. Fabbiano). IC 5063 has already been observed in X-rays with ASCA (Vignali et al. 1997), ROSAT (Pfeffermann et al. 1987), and Suzaku broadband plus Swift BOSS (Tazaki et al. 2011). However, it is only with the high spatial resolution of Chandra that we can separately study the spectral properties of the point-like nuclear source and of the surrounding extended X-ray emission. Here we also investigate the spectral dependence of the X-ray hot gas in IC 5063 with deep Chandra observations.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we describe the X-ray Chandra data, and we show the procedure of reduction and alignment applied on these observations, to optimize the 1/8 subpixel analysis. We report the results of the spatial analysis of the X-ray emission at different energy bands in Section 3. We then perform the spectral analysis both for the nuclear and for the extended emission in Appendix A and Appendix B, respectively. Finally, we discuss our results in Section 5 and summarize our findings and conclusions in Section 6.

Throughout this paper we adopt cosmological parameters \( H_0 = 67.7, \Omega_{\Lambda,0} = 0.69, \) and \( \Omega_{m,0} = 0.31 \) (Planck Collaboration et al. 2018). At the redshift of IC 5063 (luminosity distance \( D_L = 50.7 \text{ Mpc} \)) the physical scale is \( \sim 240 \text{ pc arcsec}^{-1} \).

## 2. Data Reduction and Analysis

In this paper we analyze six Chandra ACIS-S (Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer-Spectral-component) subarray mode observations of IC 5063 (Table 1) obtained in 2018/2019 with a cumulative exposure time of 238 ks (P.I. G. Fabbiano). These observations are combined with an archival Chandra ACIS-S full-array mode observation (ObsID: 7878; P.I. D. Evans) obtained in 2007, reaching a cumulative effective exposure time of 272 ks.

The data were reprocessed following the standard pipeline with the Chandra Interactive Analysis of Observations (CIAO 4.12 Fruscione et al. 2006) and the Chandra Calibration Data Base (CALDB 4.9.0 Graedel et al. 2007). We removed background flares exceeding 3\( \sigma \) from the light curve of the single observations using the \texttt{lc\_sigma\_clip} task, based on an iterative sigma-clipping algorithm, thus reaching a final cumulative exposure time of \( \sim 268 \text{ ks} \).

### 2.1. Merging

The Chandra observations are merged using the CIAO tool \texttt{reproject\_obs}, adopting the deepest observation ObsID 21466 as a reference frame. To produce the most accurate merged data set to enable subpixel analysis with image pixel size 1/8 ACIS pixel (0.7492), we explore different ways of aligning the data from each observation.

#### 2.1.1. Aligning Off-nuclear Point Sources

We used off-nuclear point-like sources in the field of view, <2\text{"} away from nuclear point source, to get a first alignment with the \texttt{reproject\_aspect} tool (hereafter off-nuclear alignment method). These sources were detected with the CIAO \texttt{wavdetect} tool, adopting \( \sqrt{2\text{-}series} \) scales as the wavelet parameter and a threshold significance of \( 1 \times 10^{-6} \) false detections per pixel.

By comparing the offsets of the point-source centroids in the various observations relative to the final merged image in the 0.3–7.0 keV energy band, we find that this method yields a position accuracy of \( \sim 0.5 \text{ instrumental ACIS pixel} \).

#### 2.1.2. Aligning the Nuclear Peak Positions

Another method we use to obtain merged data is to align the counts peak positions of the nuclear source in each observation (hereafter nuclear alignment method). Given the high count rate of the bright nuclear source, the position of the counts peak could be affected by pileup. Except for ObsID 7878, the ACIS observations were performed in subarray mode to minimize pileup. However, using the CIAO \texttt{pileup\_map} tool, we find that the pileup fraction reaches 16% and 33% per pixel in the 0.3–7.0 keV subarray and full-array observations, respectively.

To evaluate the effect of pileup in estimating the correct counts peak positions of the nuclear source, we simulated the point-spread function (PSF) with and without pileup in the 0.3–7.0 keV energy band, using the Chandra Ray Tracer (ChART) Carter et al. (2003) and \texttt{marx} 5.5.0\texttt{14} tools. We then fitted these PSF models with a 2D Gaussian function and measured the average spatial offsets between the Gaussian peak position of the PSFs simulated with and without pileup. We find that the average spatial offset in the subarray observations is \( \sim 3 \text{ mas} \), <1/10 of the native ACIS pixel. Instead, in the full-array observation

| ObsID | Instrument | \( T_{\text{exp}} \) (ks) | PI | Date       |
|-------|------------|-----------------|----|------------|
| 7878  | ACIS       | 34.1            | D. Evans | 2007 Jun 15/16 |
| 21467 | ACIS       | 26.9            | G. Fabbiano | 2018 Dec 11     |
| 21999 | ACIS       | 34.1            | G. Fabbiano | 2018 Dec 13/13  |
| 22000 | ACIS       | 15.6            | G. Fabbiano | 2018 Dec 13/14  |
| 22001 | ACIS       | 29.3            | G. Fabbiano | 2018 Dec 15     |
| 22002 | ACIS       | 43.9            | G. Fabbiano | 2018 Dec 16     |
| 21466 | ACIS       | 87.7            | G. Fabbiano | 2019 Jul 23/24  |

\[ \texttt{https://cxc.harvard.edu/ciao/ahelp/reproject_obs.html} \]

\[ \text{\texttt{10}} \] That is, “1.0.1414 2.0 2.828 4.0 5.657 8.0 11.34 16.0,” which are used to get a more extensive run. See http://www.cr.sphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp/old_html/local/chandra/detect.pdf as reference.

\[ \text{\texttt{11}} \] The wavelet parameter and a threshold significance of \( 1 \times 10^{-6} \) false detections per pixel.

\[ \text{\texttt{12}} \] \texttt{https://cxc.harvard.edu/ciao/ahelp/acis_pileup.html}

\[ \text{\texttt{13}} \] \texttt{https://cxc.harvard.edu/ciao/PSFs/cht2/}

\[ \text{\texttt{14}} \] \texttt{https://space.mit.edu/cxc/marx/}

---
(ObsID 7878), which accounts for 13% of the total exposure, the offset is $\sim0.77$ native pixels. Therefore, the pileup contribution to the counts peak position in the subpixel, full-array image is not negligible.

Based on this result, we used the nuclear alignment method to merge the subarray mode observations only. The image of each observation was binned at 1/8 of the native pixel size using a subpixel event repositioning procedure and smoothed with the CIAO aconvolve tool using a Gaussian kernel of 3 image pixels. We derived the counts peak positions in these images at the 6.1–6.6 keV energy band, which is expected to be the most point-like. After reprojecting each image with wcs_update, we combined them by producing a nuclear-aligned merged image.

To select the most accurate merging method, we compared the FWHM of the Gaussian components modeling the nuclear source in the merged images in the 6.1–6.6 keV energy band. To fit the nuclear source, we used a rotating elliptical Gaussian model, i.e., the function gauss2d in Sherpa, and we extracted the FWHM of the best-fit 2D Gaussian models along the major axis. We find that the FWHM in the 6.1–6.6 keV merged image from the nuclear alignment method is $1.414 \pm 0.026$ native pixels, $\sim 1.2$ times the pre-flight PSF size in the same energy band (FWHM $\approx 1.213 \pm 0.028$ native pixels). A slightly larger FWHM ($1.453 \pm 0.024$ native pixels) is obtained by fitting the nuclear source in the off-nuclear point-source merged image at 6.1–6.6 keV. Although these values are consistent at $1\sigma$, according to this comparison the method of aligning the peaks of the nuclear counts produces a more accurate merged image.

2.1.3. Merging Subarray and Full-array Mode Observations

To obtain the final merged image, we combined the nuclear-aligned merged image and the full-array observation (7878) by aligning their off-nuclear point-like sources (Section 2.1.1). From this image, we estimated an FWHM = $1.371 ^{+0.022}_{-0.024}$ native pixels to the Gaussian model, lower than the one derived from the nuclear-aligned merged image, but consistent at $\sim 1.3\sigma$.

Figure 1 shows both the reprojected images of each observation and the merged image obtained through the best alignment method. These are 1/8 subpixel images, adaptively smoothed with the dmimgadapt tool, adopting minimum and maximum smoothing logarithmic scales of 2 and 15 native pixels, respectively, a minimum number of 4 counts under the kernel, and 15 scales to use, at the energy band 0.3–7.0 keV. The black crosses mark the peak positions (at R.A. = 20:52:02.311, decl. = $-57:04:07.623$) used as a reference for the alignment of the subarray mode observations.

3. Images and Radial Profiles

The final merged image in Figure 2 shows a prominent point-like nuclear source and fainter X-ray emission extended $\sim 12''$ ($\sim 3$ kpc) from the nucleus, in the southeast to northwest direction (P.A. $\sim 295^\circ$–$300^\circ$). This is also the direction of the ionization cone (Colina et al. 1991) and the radio jets (Morganti et al. 1998). Based on this morphology, we sliced the image in bicone and cross-cone areas, to investigate separately the circumnuclear gas morphology and extent. To optimally determine the angles of these cones, we produced a surface brightness azimuthal profile of the 0.3–7.0 keV merged image within the $1''$–$10''$ annulus centered on the nucleus. We fitted this profile with a constant plus two Gaussian components, with peaks $180^\circ$ apart and the same width ($\sigma_G$). We defined the bisector and the opening angles of the bicone sectors as the Gaussian peaks and $\pm 3\sigma_G$ widths of the Gaussian profiles, respectively. The resulting bicone areas are enclosed within P.A. $\sim 89^\circ$ and $151^\circ$, with opening angle of $\sim 62^\circ$.

We produced 1/8 subpixel images in seven energy bands (left column of Figures 3 and 4). Each image is adaptively smoothed with the dmimgadapt tool by adopting a minimum (maximum) smoothing logarithmic scale of 1 (15), a minimum of 5 counts under kernel, and 30 iterations.

To estimate the extent of the emission in each energy band, we followed the procedure of Fabbiano et al. (2018a) and Jones et al. (2020). The rightmost columns in Figures 3 and 4 show a comparison between the radial surface brightness profiles of the data (red points) and the PSF profiles (black points) in counts bin$^{-1}$ units, in the different energy bands. The radial surface brightness profiles were extracted from the 1/8 subpixel images, with bin sizes varying to contain a minimum of 25 counts. We subtracted the background counts estimated from the 11$''$ circle region centered at R.A. = 20:52:05.9100 and decl. = $-57:03:43.750$, as well as the contribution of off-nuclear point sources, at R.A. = 20:52:00.588, decl. = $-57:04:17.784$ and R.A. = 20:51:57.7932, decl. = $-57:04:06.178$. The PSF radial profiles were extracted from PSF images, which are obtained as the average of 500 simulated pileup-corrected PSF models, and normalized to the counts within 1$''$ radius centered in the nuclear source.

4. Spectral Analysis and Results

Based on the bicone and cross-cone areas obtained in Section 3, we selected four regions from which to extract the spectral data: (1) a 2$''$-radius circle, enclosing approximately 90% of the nuclear point source at the effective energy of 1 keV; and three sectors of the 2$''$–15$''$ annulus we name (2) northwest (NW) cone, (3) southeast (SE) cone, and (4) cross-cone (shown in Figure 2).

We performed separated spectral analyses of the NW and SE cones because previous works on IC 5063 show that the two cone regions host multiphase gas with different properties (i.e., density, kinematics; e.g., Dasyra et al. 2016; Morganti et al. 2007). We find that the two bicone spectra are somewhat different. The spectra extracted from the two sectors in the cross-cone region do not show significant differences.

The spectral data were extracted with the CIAO specexport script. All the spectra were background subtracted from a circular region of 15$''$ radius, located 50$''$ away from the nuclear source, free of X-ray point-like sources. The spectra were binned to have a minimum of 20 counts bin$^{-1}$ and fitted with Sherpa. For each spectrum, we included a constant Galactic absorption model with weighted average column density $N_H = 5.77 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ derived with the NASA HEASARC tool. This Galactic hydrogen column density was computed within a cone radius of 0$''$.1. The best-fit parameter errors are reported at $1\sigma$ of confidence level.
For each spectrum, we first performed a fit with a phenomenological model in order to characterize the spectral shape and to identify the most prominent emission lines in the different regions, which can then be used for imaging and further inference on the localized physical state of the plasma (see Paggi et al. 2012; Fabbiano et al. 2018b; Maksym et al. 2019). We then fitted the spectra with a range of physical models to investigate the most probable mechanisms contributing to the emission in each region (e.g., Bianchi et al. 2006; Fabbiano et al. 2018a; Jones et al. 2020). The models fitted were as follows:

**Figure 1.** Adaptively smoothed (using dmimgadapt; see text for details), 1/8 subpixel images of the all observations plus the final merged image (top left panel), which is obtained by aligning all seven images. N is up and E to the left. In each image we report a black cross indicating the counts peak position used to align the subarray mode observations, and we identify the data from which the image was derived. The only full-array mode observation, i.e., ObsID 7878, is aligned by matching the X-ray point sources in the field with respect to the deepest observation, i.e., ObsID 21466 (see text for details).

**Figure 2.** The left panel reports the adaptively smoothed (similarly to the images in Figure 1), 1/8 pixel image of the emission at the 0.3–7.0 keV band. White solid lines divide the nucleus of IC 5063 from the extended (>2") region, which, in turn, is split in four regions with opening angles of ≈ 60 and 120°, to separate the bicone from the cross-cone area. Specifically, the bicone regions are enclosed in northwest (NW) and southeast (SE) sectors and limited at the position angles 269°–331° and 89°–151°, respectively. In the right panel, we show the zoom-in of the IC 5063 nucleus. Black contours represent the radio emission observed with ATCA at 17 GHz and levels 3σ, 5σ, 10σ, 50σ, and 100σ (σ ≈ 0.11 mJy beam⁻¹; Morganti et al. 2007).
1. A leaky absorber model for the nuclear spectrum, to facilitate a comparison with previous work (Levenson et al. 2006; Fabbiano et al. 2018a).

2. A simple reflection model (PEXRAV), for the reflection of X-rays by the cold material of the accretion disk and the torus (Magdziarz & Zdziarski 1995), and a more complex reflection model (PEXMON; Nandra et al. 2007), which self-consistently generates iron and nickel emission lines (see Appendix A for details).

3. Photoionization models (CLOUDY; Ferland et al. 1998), consisting of a grid of values produced with the CLOUDY c08.01 package. The variables in CLOUDY are the ionization parameter\(^{23}\) (log \(U = [-3.00:2.00]\) in steps of 0.25) and hydrogen column density (log \(N_H = [19.5:23.5]\) in steps of 0.1) through the irradiated slab of gas, where the assumption is that the irradiation is from photons produced in the AGN.

4. Optically thin thermal emission (APEC; Foster et al. 2012) that can result from the thermalization of the ISM after being collisionally ionized by interaction with the radio jet or winds from either the nucleus or star-forming regions.

5. Thermal emission originating directly from the shock fronts (PSHOCK; Borkowski et al. 2001). This model assumes a plane-parallel shocked plasma with constant post-shock electron and ion temperature, element abundances, and ionization timescale, providing a useful approximation for supernova remnants, but more generally for all cases in which X-ray emission is produced in a shock front.

Following the procedure in previous work (e.g., Fabbiano et al. 2018a), the data were fitted first with a single model and then with combinations of an increasing number of models. To
establish the goodness of fit, we performed both standard statistical tests and also examined the residuals in the different spectral ranges. Details of the procedures and results are given in Appendix A for the nuclear region and in Appendix B for the extended cone and cross-cone regions.

The results of these spectral fits show that the physical state of the emitting ISM is complex (see Appendices A, B). Typically, multiple-component models are needed to account for the various spectral features, including both photoionized and thermal components. In particular, a range of ionization parameters is suggested by the data, \([\log U \sim -2.8, 1.9]\), and also a range of temperature, \([kT \sim 0.3, 2.9\) keV]. Given that we are extracting spectra from large physical regions, this complexity is not surprising. The ISM is expected to have a range of cloud densities and temperatures (see, e.g., the case of ESO 428-G014; Fabbiano et al. 2018b).

The nuclear spectrum shows a strong feature from a blend of Mg XII and Si XIII lines that require photoionization. However, a single CLOUDY component, in addition to the PEXMON AGN model, does not fit well the entire spectrum. Two-component models are required, either two CLOUDY components or a CLOUDY component plus a thermal (APEC or PSHOCK) component. For the extended emission, a hard reflection power-law and Fe Kα emission (PEXMON) is needed to fit the NW and SE cone spectra, in addition to both photoionization and collisional ionization. The cross-cone

Figure 4. Top row: 4.0–5.0 keV; second row: 5.0–6.0 keV; third row: 6.1–6.6 keV; bottom row: 7.0–8.0 keV. See caption in Figure 3 for details.
spectrum does not have an intrinsic hard emission: the hard X-ray continuum and Fe Kα line can all be explained in terms of “spillover” of the nuclear spectrum, due to the PSF wings (Appendix B).

In Section 5 below we discuss the possible scenarios allowed by the range of spectral fit results, and we refer back to these results as needed.

5. Discussion

Deep Chandra observations of nearby obscured and Compton-thick (CT; \( \log(N_H/\text{cm}^2) > 24.5 \)) AGNs are significantly improving our understanding of the nucleus and its immediate surrounding, as well as of the AGN–host interaction in gas-rich galaxy disks (e.g., NGC4151, Wang et al. 2011a, 2011b, 2011c; Mrk 573, Paggi et al. 2012; NGC 3393, Maksym et al. 2019; ESO 428-G014, Fabbiano et al. 2018a, 2018b, 2019; N G C Mrk 573, Paggi et al. 2012; NGC 7212, Jones et al. 2020). The deep Chandra observations of IC 5063 presented in this paper give us another detailed case study of these phenomena. A previous Chandra study reported extended soft X-ray emission from a kiloparsec-size [O III] ionization bicone in the host galaxy disk of IC 5063 (Gómez-Guijarro et al. 2017). This discovery motivated our deep Chandra ACIS observations, which have revealed extended emission both in the bicone direction and in the perpendicular (“cross-cone”) direction (Section 3). We have characterized the spectral properties of this emission and also that of the bright nuclear point-like source (Section 4; and see Appendices A, B for details). Below we discuss our results and their implications.

We first discuss the nuclear emission and compare our results with previous X-ray studies of this AGN (Section 5.1). We then discuss the X-ray emission of the kiloparsec-size bicone (Section 5.2), which is the region of direct interaction of the AGN with the host disk; the soft emission in the cross-cone region, which extends above and below the host disk (Section 5.3); and the energy dependence of the diffuse emission (Section 5.4), both in the cone and in the cross-cone. Finally, we discuss some evidence of possible interaction of the radio jets with the hot ISM (Section 5.5).

5.1. The Nuclear Emission

The nuclear spectrum exhibits both strong hard (>3 keV) X-ray emission and a soft excess at lower energies. These characteristics are suggestive of direct nuclear coronal emission in a leaky absorber model (Reichert et al. 1985) with a high covering fraction of 99.2% ± 0.2%, plus a reflection component. Alternatively, the spectrum can also be fitted (albeit formally less well; Table 4) by the model used in previous work on IC 5063 by Vignali et al. (1997) and Tazaki et al. (2011). This model fits the hard part of the X-ray spectrum with a power-law and reflection PEXRAV component associated with an absorption model, and it fits the soft excess with a simple power law. Although both models require a reflection and two power-law components, in the leaky absorber model the two power laws represent a single partially absorbed continuum, while in the approach used by Vignali et al. and Tazaki et al. the two components are decoupled, with quite different photon indices and intrinsic absorptions. The covering factor and the high column density we found from the fit of the nuclear spectrum are consistent with the more recent work by Baloković et al. (2018), where the torus geometry of the IC 5063 NuStar data was studied in detail.

At energies >3 keV we detect a 6.4 keV neutral Fe Kα line (14σ), with an EW ∼ 178±21 eV consistent with both Vignali et al. (1997) and Tazaki et al. (2011) for IC 5063. This low EW is typical for Seyfert 1 galaxies (<0.5 keV), while Seyfert 2 galaxies usually exhibit Fe Kα EW ∼ 0.5–2 keV (Singh et al. 2011; Shu et al. 2011). However, some Seyfert 2 galaxies have similarly low EW to IC 5063, e.g., Mrk 348 (EW ∼ 40 eV; Singh et al. 2011) and Mrk 477 (EW ∼ 100–300 eV; Hernández-García et al. 2015). These low EWs may be indicative of an “unobscured” Seyfert 2 galaxy (see, e.g., Brightman & Nandra 2008; Bianchi et al. 2012), in which, although lacking the broad-line region, the hard X-ray emission appears unabsorbed. In our case Inglis et al. (1993) detected broad emission lines in polarized flux, thus suggesting a more complex structure of the nucleus in which the broad-line region is obscured, while the primary X-ray emission can escape unattenuated. If, instead of calculating the EW from the total continuum, we measure it with respect to the PEXMON reflected continuum component (see Table 4), we obtain EW ∼ 1.96±0.62 keV, which is consistent with the standard scenario of an emission line reflected from circumnuclear material (Smith et al. 1993; Singh et al. 2011).

The most prominent feature below 3 keV is at ∼1.8 keV. We associate this feature with a blend of the Mg XI (1.745 keV; ∼5σ) and Si XIII (1.865 keV; ∼2σ) transitions, which are an indication of photoionized emission (Koss et al. 2015). Liu et al. (2019) suggested that these lines can also be related to outflowing hot gas.

Multicomponent fits to photoionization and thermal emission (Table 4) show that the nucleus is dominated by a low-photoionization (log \( U \sim −1.6 \)), high column density (log \( [N_H/\text{cm}^2] \sim 23.5 \)) gas component, which allows us to reproduce prominent emission lines at ∼1.7–1.9 keV and ∼2.3 keV. A second, high-photoionization component (log \( U \sim 1.5 \)), with similarly high column density absorption, can model the soft excess continuum. In Figure 5 we report the largest and lowest photoionization parameters used in the same model to fit the spectra of different regions of other Seyfert galaxies. The ionization parameters we estimate for the nuclear region of IC 5063 are compatible with those reported in literature. Alternatively, a collisional component with temperature \( kT \sim 1.30 \) keV and a shock model with \( kT \sim 2.87 \) keV are equally acceptable (see Table 4).

The nuclear region used for the extraction of the spectrum (Section 4) encloses the unresolved nucleus (which dominates the hard emission), the inner regions of the [O III]/Ha ionization bicone (Colina et al. 1991), and most of the region of interaction with the radio jets (Morganti et al. 1998). This could explain the complexity of the spectral parameters. In particular, the two temperatures may be consistent with shock velocities of ∼800 and ∼1200 km s⁻¹, respectively (see Table 2), assuming \( V_{\text{shock}} = \sqrt{16kT/3\mu} \) (Wang et al. 2014). Both the collisionally and shock-ionized models predict ISM densities \( n_\text{i} \sim 0.1 \) cm⁻³ (assuming a filling factor \( \eta = 1 \)), which are an order of magnitude less than the ISM density estimated in the nucleus of ESO 428-G014 (\( n_\text{i} \sim 4 \) cm⁻³; Fabbiano et al. 2018a, Table 9) and similar to those in the outer optical arcs in Mrk 573 (\( n_\text{i} \sim 0.14 \) cm⁻³; Paggi et al. 2012). This result is puzzling, as these low densities are inconsistent with these expected in gas-rich disk galaxies. They may be explained as an overestimate of the filling factor due to clumpiness in the ionized gas, or to our seeing the emission coming from a thin
physical parameters of the collisionally and shock-ionized gas in all the regions

| Region     | Model [tot] | $Y_{\text{tot}}$ ($10^5$ cm$^{-3}$) | $L_{\text{tot},0.3-10}$ keV (10$^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$) | $n_{\text{tot}}$ (cm$^{-3}$) | $E_{\text{tot}}$ (10$^{37}$ erg) | $P_{\text{tot}}$ (10$^{12}$ dyne cm$^{-2}$) | $t_{\text{cool}}$ (yr) | $M_{\text{tot}}$ (10$^{9}$ $M_\odot$) | $v_{\text{shock}}$ (km s$^{-1}$) |
|------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Nucleus    | APEC [AC]   | 0.14                                | 3.2                             | 0.11$^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$ | 0.5$^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$           | 248 $^{+114}_{-114}$             | 0.5$^{+0.4}_{-0.4}$           | 1.2$^{+0.5}_{-0.5}$           | 779$^{+355}_{-355}$          |
|            | PShock [PC] | ...                                 | 6.2                             | 0.12$^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$ | 1.4$^{+0.3}_{-0.3}$           | 671 $^{+162}_{-162}$             | 0.7$^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$           | 1.4$^{+0.5}_{-0.5}$           | 1200$^{+1029}_{-940}$         |
| NW cone    | APEC [AC]   | 4.10                                | 1.4                             | 0.012$^{+0.006}_{-0.006}$ | 1.4$^{+0.5}_{-0.5}$           | 22.9 $^{+8.4}_{-8.4}$            | 3.1$^{+2.4}_{-2.4}$           | 4.0$^{+2.0}_{-2.0}$           | 712$^{+255}_{-255}$           |
| SE cone    | APEC [AC]   | 4.10                                | 1.0                             | 0.011$^{+0.007}_{-0.007}$ | 1.9$^{+0.9}_{-0.9}$           | 30.4 $^{+14.9}_{-14.9}$          | 6.1$^{+16}_{-16}$             | 4.0$^{+2.0}_{-2.0}$           | 826$^{+255}_{-255}$           |
| Cross-cone | PShock [PP] | 27.9                                | 3.9                             | 0.018$^{+0.010}_{-0.010}$ | 9.7$^{+3.0}_{-3.0}$           | 23.3 $^{+7.1}_{-7.1}$            | 7.5$^{+5.1}_{-5.1}$           | 41$^{+26}_{-26}$             | 854$^{+255}_{-255}$           |
|            | PShock [PP] | ...                                 | 4.2                             | 0.006$^{+0.002}_{-0.002}$ | 5.1$^{+1.5}_{-1.5}$           | 12.2 $^{+3.6}_{-3.6}$            | 3.8$^{+1.8}_{-1.8}$           | 14$^{+4}_{-4}$               | 719$^{+255}_{-255}$           |
|            | PShock [PC] | ...                                 | 3.7                             | 0.005$^{+0.003}_{-0.003}$ | 7.6$^{+2.7}_{-2.7}$           | 18.1 $^{+6.3}_{-6.3}$            | 6.5$^{+2.5}_{-2.5}$           | 15$^{+4}_{-4}$               | 926$^{+255}_{-255}$           |
|            | PShock [PA] | ...                                 | 5.7                             | 0.006$^{+0.002}_{-0.002}$ | 10$^{+2.4}_{-2.4}$            | 24.7 $^{+4.7}_{-4.7}$            | 5.7$^{+4.5}_{-4.5}$           | 14$^{+4}_{-4}$               | 1044$^{+255}_{-255}$          |
|            | APEC [PA]   | ...                                 | 1.7                             | 0.005$^{+0.003}_{-0.003}$ | 2.0$^{+0.5}_{-0.5}$           | 4.8 $^{+1.3}_{-1.3}$             | 3.7$^{+1.8}_{-1.8}$           | 11$^{+4}_{-4}$               | 521$^{+255}_{-255}$           |
|            | APEC [AC]   | ...                                 | 2.2                             | 0.006$^{+0.003}_{-0.003}$ | 6.2$^{+2.8}_{-2.8}$           | 14.8 $^{+6.2}_{-6.2}$            | 8.8$^{+3.3}_{-3.3}$           | 14$^{+4}_{-4}$               | 786$^{+255}_{-255}$           |
|            | APEC [AA]   | ...                                 | 3.6                             | 0.008$^{+0.003}_{-0.003}$ | 7.7$^{+3.4}_{-3.4}$           | 18.5 $^{+5.6}_{-5.6}$            | 6.7$^{+3.0}_{-3.0}$           | 18$^{+4}_{-4}$               | 779$^{+255}_{-255}$           |
|            | APEC [AA]   | ...                                 | 3.6                             | 0.008$^{+0.003}_{-0.003}$ | 2.0$^{+1.8}_{-1.8}$           | 4.7 $^{+2.3}_{-2.3}$             | 1.7$^{+1.8}_{-1.8}$           | 18$^{+4}_{-4}$               | 388$^{+255}_{-255}$           |

Note.  
^a “Model” represents the template from which we derived the values, and the complete configuration of the model to which it belongs is “tot,” where A = APEC, C = CLOUDY, P = PShock. We assumed a filling factor $\eta = 1$. We therefore show all the parameters as a function of the filling factor. Errors are quoted at 1σ significance.

5.2. The Ionized Bicone

Figures 2 and 3 clearly show the presence of X-ray emission stretching E-W along the bicone direction out to $\sim$2 kpc from the nucleus. This emission is particularly prominent in the soft band (<3 keV). Extended soft (<3 keV) X-ray emission has been observed in Seyfert 1.5–2 galaxies, spatially correlated with the [O III] emission (Bianchi et al. 2006, 2010; Levenson et al. 2006), suggesting a common origin largely due to photoionization, and partially to collisional ionization, of circumnuclear clouds (Wang et al. 2011b; Matt et al. 2013). Prominent emission lines are found in the spectrum below 3.0 keV, typically observed in CT Seyfert (Wang et al. 2011c; Fabbiano et al. 2018a; Maksym et al. 2019; Jones et al. 2020). These lines include Ne X, Mg XI, Si XIII, Si XIV, S XV, and the Fe L complex. Given the ACIS spectral resolutions, these lines are typically blended in the observed spectra (Table 5 in Appendix B). The best-fit models of the bicone spectra need to include, at least, one photoionization component. Focusing on the best-fit models consisting of two photoionized phases, Figure 5 shows that the NW cone photoionization parameters put it at the periphery of the Seyfert 2 distribution, toward lower $U_{\text{low}}$. In this case, the presence of two quite different photoionization phases in the bicone direction could be justified by the X-shape morphology of the ionization cones (Colina et al. 1991), implying the coexistence of two net separated regions differently illuminated by the central AGN. In both cone regions the higher-photoionization component can be replaced by a collisionally ionized component with temperature $kT \approx 1.2$ keV.

The resulting average ISM density is $\sim$0.01 cm$^{-3}$ for both sides, where we are considering average density of thermal gas in a spherical shell with angle 60° and from $\sim$0.5 to $\sim$3.4 kpc. As in the nucleus, these densities are lower than those reported for other Seyfert 2 galaxies (Paggi et al. 2012; Fabbiano et al. 2018a; Maksym et al. 2019). This suggests more clumpiness of the hot gas in IC 5063. Since these densities are $\sim$1/10 of those observed in the nuclear region, the cooling times are correspondingly longer, $\sim$3.0 $\times$ 10$^4$ yr (Table 2).
Figures 3 and 4 show that the bicone emission is present also at energies >3 keV. Harder extended components (in both the continuum emission above ~3 keV and the neutral 6.4 keV Fe Kα line) have been detected with Chandra in AGNs (see Bauer et al. 2015; Fabbiano et al. 2017; Jones et al. 2020, 2021; Ma et al. 2020). The bicone spectra clearly show a roughly flat hard X-ray continuum in IC 5063, which needs to be fitted with a reflection component, whose normalization is weaker (by a factor 2) and photon index is steeper (Γ = 2.7) compared to that of the nuclear spectrum (Γ = 1.45). In agreement with Fabbiano et al. (2017), we suggest that the steeper hard X-ray continuum seen in extended regions implies that this is due to the scattered and/or fluorescent intrinsic emission escaping unattenuated in the bicone direction.

Table 3 gives both the percentages of the counts with respect to the nuclear region and the excess counts over the PSF, in the merged image and in the different sectors (as defined in Section 4) and energy bands. At energies <3 keV the percentage of counts in the bicone regions is significantly larger than the percentage predicted for the nuclear spillover (Appendix B.1). Of these excess counts, 66% are from within the bicone sectors, which represent 1/3 of the total external area.

A neutral iron emission line is observed in both the bicone spectra, and most of this feature is modeled by a reflection PEXMON component (e.g., George & Fabian 1991; Matt et al. 1991) with solar abundance, while a small (∼16%) contribution is reproduced with the photoionization CLOUDY model. However, in the energy band 6.1–6.6 keV, which is dominated by this Fe Kα emission, 60% (44/73) of the counts in the 2″–15″ annulus are in the NW cone. This excess of Fe Kα emission corresponds to the protrusion at a projected distance of 5″ (1.2 kpc) in Figure 4 at these energies. This protrusion of the neutral iron emission in the NW cone is well visible in the azimuthal surface brightness profile in Figure 6, showing a 2σ–3σ significance. The Fe Kα emission spatially correlated with the most intense radio hot spot suggests the presence of high-density clouds in this region. These clouds may fluoresce because of the interaction with AGN photons escaping in the jet direction. Alternatively, X-rays may also be produced locally by jet-induced shocks and interact with the clouds (see Section 5.5).

5.3. The Cross-cone Emission

Figure 3 and Table 3 show significant extended emission in the cross-cone region. This emission is more prominent at energies <1.5 keV and extends out to a radius of ~3 kpc from the nucleus. Figure 7 shows the 0.3–1.5 keV image, adaptively smoothed with Gaussian kernels ranging from 0.5 to 30 image 1/8 of instrumental pixel in 30 iterations and 10 counts under the kernel. The color scale has been chosen to minimize the visual impact of the nuclear and bicone emission.

Extended soft X-ray emission, perpendicular to the bicone axis, has been observed in other AGNs (Wang et al. 2011c; Paggi et al. 2012; Fabbiano et al. 2018a; Maksym et al. 2019; Jones et al. 2020, 2021). This emission is not expected from the classical AGN unification paradigm (Antonucci 1993). A possible explanation could be that the nuclear torus may be porous and allows part of the nuclear photoionizing continuum to escape (Nenkova et al. 2008). The volume of the cross-cone is 4 times the volume of the bicone, and photons with energies 0.3–1.5 keV in the cross-cone are 73% of those in the bicone. Therefore, following Fabbiano et al. (2018a), the transmission of the torus in the cross-cone direction is 20%, twice that found for ESO 428-G014. Alternatively, this emission may be due to hot outflows from the galactic disk of IC 5063, caused by the interaction of the jet with the ISM, as predicted by the simulations of Mukherjee et al. (2018). This scenario would be in agreement with the conclusions in Maksym et al. (2020b), Maksym et al. (2020a), and Venturi et al. (2021), which found...
suggestions for lateral outflows as a consequence of the radio jet–ISM interaction, detecting “dark rays” in Hubble Space Telescope (HST) near-infrared data perpendicular to the galaxy disk as a suggestion for the presence of large-scale dust, a low-ionization [S II] emission loop, and high velocity dispersion of Hα and [O III] emission lines in the cross-cone area, respectively. The best phenomenological model of the cross-cone spectrum (see Appendix B.3.3) consists of a steep $\Gamma = 3$ power law plus a flat reflection component ($\Gamma < 1.2$). The spectrum shows an excess at 0.9–1 keV, which could be due to blended Fe L emission lines (e.g., Fe XXI [1.009 keV]) and may also include Ne IX [0.915 keV] and/or Ne X [1.022 keV] lines. It does not exhibit the strong emission lines at $\sim 1.8$ keV or $\sim 2.3$ keV observed in the nuclear and bicone spectra, which can only be reproduced by photoionization models. In the cross-cone region, we find no evidence for a neutral iron line at 6.4 keV.

The cross-cone spectrum can be fitted with a mix of any two components among photoionization, collisional ionization, and shock ionization models. The principal photoionization component has $\log U \sim 0.8$ and very low column densities $\log(N_H/\text{cm}^2) < 19.7$. For the fit with two components of photoionized gas, the second phase has a higher ionization parameter $\log U \sim 1.7$ and $\log(N_H/\text{cm}^2) < 20.6$. Figure 5 shows that both the ionization parameters for the cross-cone spectrum are larger than those found by Paggi et al. (2012) for the cross-cone of Mrk 573. These high values may suggest that the emission is not due to photoionization from AGN photons escaping from a leaky torus but instead is prevalently thermal.

The temperatures we find for shock-ionized gas range from 0.5 to 3 keV, while the collisionally ionized gas temperatures are between 0.3 and 1.4 keV. The normalization values of the thermal models imply nominal densities of the emitting gas $n_e \sim 0.006 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (see Table 2), a factor $\sim 2$ lower than those in the bicone region. Given the large volume, the range of masses ($\sim(1-4) \times 10^7 M_\odot$) in the cross-cone is larger than what we observed in the bicone regions. Collisionally and/or shock-ionized gas would be consistent with the predictions of the Mukherjee et al. (2018) simulations, in which, in the later stage of the jet–ISM interaction, gas at $T \sim 10^7 \text{ K}$ would be swept away from the disk in the form of large-scale filamentary winds with velocities $>500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The observed gas densities, however, are a factor of 10 lower than expected for perpendicular filaments in Mukherjee et al. (2018) simulations. Alternatively, if we assume that this thermal gas has a density $n_e \sim 0.1$–0.3 cm$^{-3}$, as predicted by Mukherjee et al. (2018) (see their Figures 2 and 4), we derive a filling factor $\eta < 0.01$. This is exactly consistent with the filling factor lower than 1% estimated by Oosterloo et al. (2017) in ALMA observations of molecular gas (CO transitions). Hence, we suggest that the filling factor is far from unity and that the hot and cold phases of the gas, in the inner jet-affected regions, exhibit a similar clumpiness. The cohabitation of the hot X-ray-emitting and molecular CO-emitting gas in the jet-disturbed circumnuclear regions is observed in other objects (e.g., Grossová et al. 2019; Feruglio et al. 2020). That these two different gas phases share the same clumpiness suggests that the radio jet impact has a similar fragmentation effect on these two phases. According to a typical scenario, the high pressure due to the jet compresses and accelerates fast, shock-driven, lateral outflows, increasing the density and temperature of the molecular gas (Oosterloo et al. 2017) and producing both soft X-ray photons by shock and hard X-ray photons by scattering by dense clouds (Fabbiano et al. 2017). However, the question remains whether this cold phase was already present in the circumnuclear medium, mixed with the hot-phase gas, before the impact with the radio jet, or whether it represents gas that, for some reason, has cooled down or has not warmed up. A careful spatial analysis comparing cold molecular gas and its hot phase in the jet-affected region is planned to get a more complete picture. In conclusion, emission from shocked and/or collisionally ionized gas is preferred over photoionized gas for the cross-cone region in IC 5063.

5.4. Energy Dependence of the Extent

Fabbiano et al. (2018a) noticed that the extent of the large-scale kiloparsec-size emission of the obscured AGN ESO 428-G014 decreases with increasing photon energy, and they suggested that this effect may be related to a more central concentration of the denser molecular clouds responsible for the reflection and scattering of the higher-energy nuclear photons in the galaxy disk. More recently, Jones et al. (2021) have confirmed this effect for a sample of five CT AGNs studied with Chandra. We find a similar behavior in IC 5063, as shown by both Table 3 and Figure 8, which compares the large-scale extent of the emission of IC 5063 in different energy bands with that of ESO 428-G014, following Fabbiano et al. (2018a). Figure 8 shows that at energies $<4\text{ keV}$ in both AGNs the extent is larger in the cone direction. The decrease in the extent of the X-ray emission, at energies $<4\text{ keV}$, along the cross-cone direction in IC 5063 is similar to that found for ESO 428-G014. The extent of the bicone regions in IC 5063 is a steeper function of energy than in ESO 428-G014.

Jones et al. (2021) associate the energy dependence of the extent of the bicone with its inclination relative to the galactic disk. However, this angle is small ($\Delta PA \sim$ few degrees) both for ESO 428-G014 (Riffel et al. 2006) and for IC 5063.
(Colina et al. 1991), indicating that something else may contribute to this different energy dependence. Future investigations of a larger sample of CT AGNs/Seyfert 2 galaxies are needed to further probe this point.

At higher energies (>4 keV) the extents along the bicone and cross-cone direction in IC 5063 are similar, indicating symmetrical extent of the emission. Similar cases have been found in Jones et al. (2021). However, Table 3 shows that the emission along the cross-cone is less significant than that in the bicone.

The hard emission is likely due to reflection off molecular clouds, while the soft X-ray emission is likely from both thermal optically thin and AGN-photoionized low-density ISM. The possible differences in the radial energy dependence between IC 5063 and ESO 428-G014 probably then reflect differences in the molecular cloud distributions in the two galaxies.

5.5. The Effect of the Radio Jets on the Hot ISM of the Bicone

Figure 3 shows that the radial surface brightness profile of the bicone region at energies <1.5 keV shows a noticeable “flattening” in the region within ~3° (i.e., ~700 pc), a radius roughly consistent with the terminal hot spots of the radio jet in IC 5063 (Morganti et al. 2007). We speculate that this enhancement of X-ray surface brightness may be connected with the interaction of the radio jet with the ISM in the same region (e.g., Sutherland et al. 1993; Falcke et al. 1998; Gallimore et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2009; Mukherjee et al. 2018). The NW cone spectrum shows a ≈3σ broadband feature consistent with FeXXV at ~6.7 keV. Figure 9 shows a 1/8 subpixel image in the 6.5–6.8 keV energy band, smoothed with a kernel radius of 10 subpixels, as a proxy of the distribution of the ionized FeXXV emission. The radio ATCA contours at 24 GHz (Morganti et al. 1998) are superimposed in green. This image shows a protrusion in the NW sector at a distance of 800 pc from the nucleus, which is spatially correlated with the 24 GHz radio hot spot. To estimate the significance of this extended ionized iron feature, we plotted the surface brightness azimuthal profile inside the 2°–3°5 annulus (purple in Figure 9) in the 6.5–6.8 keV image, with a bin size of 60°. The azimuthal profile is shown in Figure 10, in which the dashed red lines separate the different sectors and the dashed green line represents the average value estimated in all the sectors, excluding the NW cone. We find 2.4σ and 3.7σ significance of the surface brightness excess in the NW sector relative to the average value and to the background, respectively. This excess is consistent with the image in Figure 9. We do not detect a similar significant feature in the SW cross-cone sector. The Fe–Kα 6.7 keV line is typically associated with emission from collisionally (or shock) ionized gas (e.g., NGC 6240; Netzer et al. 2005; Ferruglio et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2014; Fabbiano et al. 2020). The Fe XXV line has been assumed to have a nuclear origin in most works; however, it is observed to be extended out to 40 pc in NGC 4945 (Marinucci et al. 2017) and to 2.1 kpc in NGC 6240 (Netzer et al. 2005), in which it appears like a bridge connecting two merged nuclei (Fabbiano et al. 2020). In IC 5063 this feature is seen only in the NW cone region, where outflowing multiphase gas has been observed (Morganti et al. 2007; Tadhunter et al. 2014; Dasyra et al. 2015, 2016; Morganti et al. 2015; Oosterloo et al. 2017). We speculate that its origin, as well as that of the perturbed gas, may be related to the interaction of the radio jet with the ISM.

6. Summary and Conclusions

We have presented the spatial and spectral analyses of deep (270 ks) X-ray Chandra observations of the Seyfert 2 IC 5063. One of the most powerful radio Seyfert 2 galaxies in the local universe ($P_{1.4\,GHz} = 3 \times 10^{23}\,\text{W Hz}^{-1}$), IC 5063 is characterized by radio jets interacting with the dense galactic disks, in a region partially cospatial with the [O III] ionized bicone (Danziger et al. 1981; Morganti et al. 1998). It therefore provides a unique laboratory for investigating the interaction of nuclear activity (high-energy radiation, radio jets, and possibly
nuclear winds) with the ISM of a gas-rich galaxy. In summary, we find the following:

1. The nuclear AGN spectrum (extracted from a circle of 2" radius) shows both a hard continuum with an Fe–Kα line at 6.4 keV and a soft excess at energies >2 keV. We model the intense hard (>2 keV) X-ray continuum with a reflection component and a leaky absorber with covering fraction ∼99.2% ± 0.2% and column density ∼3 × 10^{23} cm^{-2}. The soft X-ray excess is reproduced with photoionized gas with high density (N_H ≥ 10^{23} cm^{-2}) and relatively low ionization parameter (log U ~ −1.6), mixed with either a more ionized phase of the gas (log U ~ 1.5) or a thermal collisionally/shock component with temperature kT ~ 1–3 keV (all these values are in Table 4, Appendix A).

2. Consistent with previous work (Bianchi et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2011a; Fabbiano et al. 2017; Ma et al. 2020; Jones et al. 2021), most of the soft (<3 keV) X-ray emission is extended (out to ~3.5 kpc) along the bicone direction (Figure 3), which is also the direction of the radio jets. This extended emission is modeled with a phase of low ionization (log U ~ −1.7, −2.7) and less obscured (N_H < 10^{21} cm^{-2}) gas with respect to the nuclear component, plus a more ionized (log U ~ 1.8) phase of the gas or collisionally excited gas with kT ~ 1–1.3 keV. The increase of soft X-ray emission along the jet (Figure 3) suggests jet–ISM interaction as a likely trigger for most of this emission.

3. As in Fabbiano et al. (2017), we detected kiloparsec-scale diffuse emission of the hard (3–6 keV) X-ray continuum along the bicone direction. The spectrum is fitted with a reflection component steeper (Γ ~ 2.7) than the nuclear one (Γ ~ 1.5). The 6.4 keV Fe–Kα line is found in both the SE and NW cone spectrum. Moreover, we find a broad feature at 6.1–6.6 keV in the NW sector (Figure 6), spatially correlated with the most intense radio hot spot. In the same area, the NW cone spectrum suggests Fe XXV emission associated with the NW radio hot spot (Figures 9 and 10). The Fe XXV ionized iron emission suggests shocks triggered by the radio jet–ISM interaction. The presence of neutral 6.4 keV Fe–Kα emission in the same areas suggests reflection of the AGN photons from dense molecular clouds in the region. These clouds may be responsible for stopping the nuclear jet.

4. The emission at energies <1.5 keV shows a significant (~30σ; Table 3) extent (~3 kpc; Figure 7) along the cross-cone area, i.e., perpendicular to the ionization cone and radio jets. The soft X-ray excess of the spectrum extracted from this region is well reproduced with a mix of any two components among photoionization, collisional ionization, and shock ionization models, implying two possible scenarios:

(a) Most of this emission is due to photoionization by AGNs (as found in Wang et al. 2011a; Paggi et al. 2012; Fabbiano et al. 2018a), thus suggesting a porosity of the obscuring torus that allows for a transmission fraction of ~20%, twice that estimated in ESO 428-G014 (Fabbiano et al. 2018a). In this case the spectrum is modeled with two highly ionized (log U ~ 0.8–1.8) components compared with typical values in literature (e.g., Paggi et al. 2012; see Figure 5).

(b) Thermal gas provides a large contribution to this emission, suggesting the presence of hot (kT ~ 0.3–2 keV) phase outflows perpendicular to the jet direction with velocities v_{shock} ~ 400–1000 km s^{-1}. This scenario is in agreement with both simulations of the radio jet–ISM interaction in IC 5063 (Mukherjee et al. 2018) and optical observations of a low-ionization [S II] loop detected with HST (Maksym et al. 2020a), high velocity dispersion [O III] and Hα emission lines found in MUSE data (Venturi et al. 2021), and possible dust-displacing outflows in Maksym et al. (2020b), all predicting lateral hot-phase outflows.

5. The electron density of the collisionally and shock-ionized gas (Table 2) estimated in all the regions for a filling factor η = 1 is much lower than the values typically observed in other AGNs (e.g., Paggi et al. 2012; Fabbiano et al. 2018a). If the thermal gas in the cross-cone has values n_e ~ 0.1–0.3 cm^{-3} as predicted in Mukherjee et al. (2018) simulations, we estimate a η < 0.01. The same filling factor is also found by Oosterloo et al. (2017) for CO molecular gas, suggesting a similar clumpiness of the hot and cold phase.

In this paper we have investigated the large-scale morphology and the spectral properties of the X-ray emission of hot gas in IC 5063. In our next paper (in preparation) we plan to perform a multiwavelength analysis and comparison of the morphology of the diffuse emission, to better investigate the nature of multiphase ISM gas under the effects of interaction with radio jets and outflows.
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Appendix A
Spectral Analysis of the Nuclear Region

We analyzed the nuclear spectrum over the 0.3–8.5 keV energy band, extracted with the specextract task from a circular region of 2″ radius, which includes more than 90% of the PSF emission, as described in Section 4. We found 29,228 total net counts in the 0.3–7.0 keV energy band and an $L_{2–10\text{ keV}} = 2 \times 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. For the analysis of the nuclear spectrum we did not use the full-array mode observation (i.e., 7878) in order to minimize pileup (see Section 2.1.2).

A.1. Leaky Absorber Model of the Nuclear Spectrum

We first fitted the nuclear spectrum with a “leaky absorber” model applying a partial covering absorption model24 to a power law (XSPOWERLAW25): partcov(xshpabs) xspowerlaw.

Following previous works on obscured AGNs (Levenson et al. 2006;Fabbianno et al. 2018a), we added components to the model, guided by the $\gtrsim 2\sigma$ contiguous residuals and F-test results. We first added a simple reflection (PEXRAV27) component with solar abundance, forcing it to have the same photon index of the power law. Then, we included the most prominent emission lines one at a time and left their energy and normalization free to vary, while fixing the width of the lines to the Chandra spectral resolution (~100 eV). We then introduced additional emission lines to remove remnant contiguous significant residuals at $\gtrsim 2\sigma$.

The data, best-fit model, and residuals are shown in Figure 11. The model yields a power-law component and a reflection component with photon index $\Gamma = 1.45 \pm 0.10$ and $N_H \approx (2.9 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ with a covering fraction of 99.2% ± 0.2%, which is clearly required to get a good fit of the soft excess. These results are consistent with the values observed in Seyfert 2 galaxies (e.g., Cappi et al. 2006;Vasylenko et al. 2015). In addition, there are a total of five emission lines, including Fe–Kα emission with an equivalent width (EW) $\approx 178^{+55}_{-41}$ eV. Table 4 gives the best-fit parameters, as well as the energies and normalizations of the emission lines.

A.1.1. Consistency with Previous Works on IC 5063

We estimated a total observed $L_{2–10\text{ keV}} \sim 4 \times 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ similar to that of Tazaki et al. (2011) ($L_{2–10\text{ keV}} \sim 5.6 \times 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$), both in a $\approx 2.5$-radius region, but one order of magnitude lower than that of Vignali et al. (1997) ($2 \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$). We fitted the soft excess power-law model used by both Vignali et al. (1997) and Tazaki et al. (2011) to the nuclear spectrum of IC 5063. This model consists of a power law plus a 6.4 keV Gaussian emission line, i.e., the neutral iron Kα emission, and a reflection plus a fixed power-law component with a photon index $\Gamma = 1.7$, as well as an associated intrinsic absorption (see Tazaki et al. 2011). For the Compton reflection component PEXRAV we fix solar abundance and the cosine of the inclination angle of the scattering disk at cos(Incl) = 0.45. The soft (<2 keV) X-ray excess is modeled with an unabsorbed $\Gamma = 2.2$ power law, as often found in the spectra of Seyfert 2 galaxies (e.g., Guainazzi & Bianchi 2007;Bianchi et al. 2009).

Figure 12 shows the data, best-fit model, and residuals, and Table 4 lists the best-fit parameters. By fixing the photon indices as used in Vignali et al. (1997) and Tazaki et al. (2011), we find a similar column density for the power law ($3.50^{+0.09}_{-0.12} \times 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) and reflection ($3.11^{+0.26}_{-0.29} \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) components. This suggests that the shape of the nuclear spectrum of IC 5063 has remained unchanged from 1994 (Vignali et al. 1997) to 2009 (Tazaki et al. 2011) to the present.

In conclusion, we use the leaky absorber as the best-fit model, as it is the simpler one and has only marginally worse $\chi^2$ (Table 4).

A.2. Physical Models of the Nuclear Spectrum

We investigated the physical mechanisms responsible for the X-ray emission in the nucleus, by fitting the emission lines in the spectrum with a combination of photoionization (CLOUDY; Ferland et al. 1998), optically thin thermal (APEC; Foster et al. 2012),28 and shock (PSHOCK; Borkowski et al. 2001)29 models. We followed a similar procedure to that used

24 https://cxc.harvard.edu/sherpa/ahelp/load_xspartcov.html
25 https://cxc.harvard.edu/sherpa/ahelp/xspowerlaw.html; to model the primary emission from the hot corona.
26 A model comparison test between two competing models of the data set with a different number of degrees of freedom, based on the best-fit statistics of each fit.
27 https://cxc.cfa.harvard.edu/sherpa/ahelp/xspexrav.html; representing the reflection of the upscattered photons onto the cold material of the accretion disk and the torus. We fix fold_E = 200, rel.rfl = -1, and cosIncl = 0.45.
28 https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/xanadu/xspec/manual/XSmodelApec.html
29 https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/xanadu/xspec/manual/ XSmoldPshock.html
### Table 4
Best-fit Parameters and $\chi^2_{\text{red}}$/dof of Empirical (top) and Physical (bottom) Best-fit Models Used to Fit IC 5063 Nuclear Spectrum (29242 Counts at 0.3–7.0 keV) in the 0.3–8.5 keV Energy Band

#### Best-fit Empirical Models

| Model          | $\chi^2$/dof | $\Gamma$ | norm$_{\text{emp}}$ (photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | $N^0_{\text{H}}$ ($\times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$) | norm$_{\text{eff}}$ (photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | $N^0_{\text{H}}$ ($\times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$) |
|----------------|-------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| (A) Leaky absorber | 0.960/421  | 1.45 ± 0.10 | $2.54 \pm 0.44 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.99 \pm 0.19$ | $1.85 \pm 0.15 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.71 \pm 0.14$ |
| (B) 2 pl + refl | 0.932/414  | 1.70          | $3.07 \pm 0.22 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.49 \pm 0.40$ | $7.62 \pm 1.79 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.11 \pm 1.11$ |

#### Emission Lines

| Energy (keV) | Flux ($10^{-6}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | Identified Emission Lines$^d$ |
|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| (A)          |                                 |                                |
| 1.32 ± 0.02  | 0.87 ± 0.27                     | Mg XI [1.331 keV]              |
| 1.33 ± 0.03  | 0.84 ± 0.28                     | blend Mg XII [1.745 keV] + Si XIII [1.865 keV]/Fe XXIV [1.778 keV] |
| 1.47 ± 0.02  | 0.93 ± 0.29                     | S XV [2.461 keV]              |
| 1.76 ± 0.02  | 1.31 ± 0.40                     | Fe–Kα [6.4 keV]               |
| 1.85 ± 0.03  | 0.78 ± 0.31                     | Fe XXI [1.009 keV]/Ne X [1.022 keV] |
| 2.33 ± 0.03  | 0.75 ± 0.31                     | Mg XI [1.331 keV]              |
| 2.47 ± 0.02  | 0.80 ± 0.28                     | Mg XII [1.473 keV]             |
| 3.69 ± 0.01  | 20.2 ± 0.19                     | Mg XII [1.745 keV]/Fe XXIV [1.778 keV] |

#### Best-fit Photoionization Models

| $\chi^2$/dof | $\Gamma^a$ | $N^0_{\text{H}}$ ($\times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$) | CvrFract (%) | log $U$ | log ($N_{\text{H}}$/cm$^{-2}$) | $kT$ (keV) | EM ($10^{-6}$ cm$^{-5}$) |
|-------------|------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|--------|---------------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| 0.966/427   | 1.41$^{+0.12}_{-0.15}$ | 29.14$^{+1.49}_{-1.68}$                  | 99.7$^{+0.2}_{-0.3}$ | $-1.60^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$ | $>23.5$ | 
| 0.977/427   | 1.31 ± 0.11 | 28.26$^{+1.33}_{-1.26}$                  | 99.4 ± 0.2 | $-1.50^{+0.08}_{-0.09}$ | $>23.5$ | $^{a}$1.21$^{+0.13}_{-0.18}$ | 4.98$^{+2.13}_{-1.84}$ |
| 0.978/427   | 1.31 ± 0.11 | 28.17$^{+1.41}_{-0.30}$                  | 99.4 ± 0.4  | $-1.50^{+0.08}_{-0.09}$ | $>23.3$ | $^{a}$2.87$^{+1.11}_{-1.76}$ | 6.49$^{+3.00}_{-1.00}$ |

Notes.  

$^a$ Same photon index for the power-law and reflection components.

$^b$ Column density associated with a partial covering model with covering fraction 99.2% ± 0.2%.

$^c$ Photon indices are fixed according to Vignali et al. (1997) and Tazaki et al. (2011).

$^d$ Identification emission lines from atomdb.org database.

EM is the normalization of the collisional (APEC) and shock (PSHOCK) ionization model equivalent to $10^{-16} \int E n_e n_{\text{H}} dV$, with $D_{\lambda}$ the angular distance and $n_e$ and $n_{\text{H}}$ the electron and hydrogen density, respectively; $^{a/bh}$ indicates temperature and normalization of the shock/thermal model.
for the spectral analysis of ESO 428-G014 (Fabbiano et al. 2018a). In particular, the photoionization model consists of a grid of values produced with the CLOUDY c08.01 package. The variables in CLOUDY are the ionization parameter\(^{30}\) (log \(U = [-3.00:2.00]\) in steps of 0.25) and hydrogen column density (log \(N_H = [19.5:23.5]\) in steps of 0.1) through the irradiated slab of gas. The APEC model generates a spectral emission from a collisionally ionized, and optically thin, diffuse hot \((10^4 < T_e < 10^9)\) plasma assuming a thermal collisional ionization equilibrium and that the collisional excitation dominates. The collisional excited plasma may be powered by a shock-confined outflow (see Maksym et al. 2019). We also considered the PSHOCK model, as it allows for modeling a total or partial collisionless heating of electrons in the shock front (Borkowski et al. 2001). In particular, Borkowski et al. (2001) assume a plane-parallel shocked plasma model with constant post-shock electron and ion temperature, element abundances, and ionization timescale, providing a useful approximation for supernova remnants, but more generally for all cases in which X-ray emission is produced in a shock front.

We added the physical models to those of the leaky absorber plus reflection models (Appendix A.1). The PEXRAV model was replaced by the reflection PEXMON\(^{31}\) model (Nandra et al. 2007), which self-consistently generates iron and nickel emission lines.

We initially set the normalizations of the partially absorbed power-law and reflection components to zero to allow the inclusion of new models in the soft (<3 keV) band. After fitting the new models, all the parameters of the leaky absorber model were allowed to vary. The choice of the best fit is based on the statistical \(F\)-test, the shape of the residuals as the ratio between data and model, and the physical plausibility of the fit parameters, as discussed below.

We started considering the physical models individually and then increased the complexity of the model by adding additional components up to a maximum of three physical models. We estimated the significance of the improvement due to an additional component with the \(F\)-test.

The strong emission feature at \(~1.7–1.9\) keV, likely arising from a blend of Mg XII and Si XIII emission lines, is a clear signature of the presence of photoionized gas: the collisional (APEC) and shock (PSHOCK) models fail to reproduce this emission feature. Therefore, we at least require one photoionization CLOUDY component in each model below.

A single CLOUDY model gives a fit with good overall statistics, i.e., reduced \(\chi^2 \approx 1\), but still leaves significant (~\(4\sigma\)) residuals at low energies <0.7 keV, at ~1.7–1.9 keV and ~2.3 keV (see Figure 13).

To minimize these residuals, we fitted the spectrum with the following two-component combinations: two photoionization, photoionization + collisional ionization, and photoionization + shocked ionization models (Figure 14). Based on the \(F\)-test, we obtain a significant improvement in all cases, leaving only ~\(2.5\sigma\) significance contiguous residuals at <0.7 keV and at ~1.7–1.9 keV and ~2.3 keV, where the strongest emission lines are located. The best-fits are obtained by considering two CLOUDY components \((\chi^2_{\text{R}} / \text{dof} = 0.966 / 427)\). Adding an APEC or PSHOCK component gives similar reduced \(\chi^2_{\text{R}} / \text{dof}\) (0.970/427 and 0.978/427, respectively). We find no improvement with three-component models. The best-fit parameters are reported in Table 4. The best-fit model indicates the presence of both low (log \(U = -1.6 \pm 0.1\)) and high (log \(U = 1.5 \pm 0.1\)) photoionization gas with high column density (log \([N_H / \text{cm}^2]\) > 22.9) consistent with the high column density (log \([N_H / \text{cm}^2]\) = 23.46\(^{+0.03}_{-0.02}\)) of the direct power law in the same fit.

In the other two cases the fits suggest a low-photoionization, high-density gas and either collisional emission with temperature \(kT = 1.3 \pm 0.2\) keV or shocked gas with \(kT = 2.87^{+1.11}_{-1.76}\) keV.

Appendix B
Spectral Analysis of the Extended Regions
Here we report the results of the spectral analysis of the diffuse gas from \(2^\prime\) to \(15^\prime\) (0.5–3.6 kpc), in the NW, SE, and cross-core sectors (see Section 4). We are interested exclusively in exploring the extended emission. For this purpose, the PSF wing contribution of the nuclear emission has to be excluded. We therefore modeled the nuclear spillover spectral component for each region, described in detail in Appendix B.1. We also estimated the X-ray binary (XRB) contribution to the X-ray emission at 2–10 keV and found it to be negligible (<2.2% with respect the total X-ray 2–10 keV emission) in all cases.

B.1. Nuclear Spillover and X-Ray Binary Contribution
To model the spectra of the extended regions, we need first to evaluate and remove the contamination of the strong nuclear spectrum, spilling outside the central \(2^\prime\) region in each region, due to the PSF wings.\(^{32}\) The contribution of the nuclear

\(^{30}\) \(U \equiv \int L_{\text{ion}} / 4\pi r^2 c n_e\), with \(r\) the distance of the gas from the source, \(L_{\text{ion}}\) the ionizing luminosity, \(\nu_R\) the Rydberg frequency, and \(n_e\) the electron density.

\(^{31}\) https://cxc.cfa.harvard.edu/sherpa/ahelp/xpexmon.html

\(^{32}\) https://cxc.harvard.edu/ciao/ahelp/psf.html
emission in the external regions is estimated from the PSF model of the merged image. This PSF model was obtained by combining 500 PSF realizations produced for each observation with the ChaRT and MARX 5.5.0 tools, to give the same signal-to-noise ratio as the observation. As Chandra PSFs change with energy, we produced multiple PSF models at different energy bands. To evaluate the residual emission due to the nucleus in each sector, we computed, in each PSF image, the ratio between the net counts in a given external region and in the central circle of 2″ radius. These ratios represent the percentage of nuclear emission contributing to the spectral emission in each external region and are used to rescale the best fit of the nuclear spectrum, thus producing the final nuclear spillover models.

Figure 15 shows the percentage of nuclear emission contribution in each region versus energy. Notice that, for the single cross-cone and bicone sectors, the PSF spillover is approximately equal to and below 1%. For the entire annular extended region the fraction of nuclear spillover reaches a peak of 5% at 5 keV. However, the PSF models are subject to statistical uncertainties estimated to be less than 10% within 2″ of the centroid for on-axis PSFs, with an additional uncertainty of ~5% for off-axis PSFs. We therefore assume that errors of our nuclear spillover are ~10%–20%.

Another contamination to the X-ray spectra of the extended regions, although less significant than nuclear spillover, derives from the emission of the stellar population. However, while this X-ray stellar contribution is negligible with respect to the nuclear spectrum, it may be of greater relevance in regions farther away from the AGN. In particular, the XRBs are the main contributors in the 2–10 keV band (Persic & Rephaeli 2002).

We used the star formation rate (SFR)–$L_{2-10\,\text{keV}}$ correlation in Lehmer et al. (2010) to estimate the total X-ray emission expected from the XRB population in IC 5063. The SFR was obtained from the $L_{\text{FIR}}$–SFR relation in Satyapal et al. (2005), with a far-infrared luminosity within a ~12 kpc radius region of $L_{\text{FIR}} = 4.7 \times 10^{10} L_\odot$ according to Wiklind et al. (1995) and Morganti et al. (1998). From these calculations, we predicted a total luminosity from the XRBs of $L_{2-10\,\text{keV}} = 2.5^{+5.9}_{-1.1} \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Conservatively, assuming
a uniform distribution of the XRB population, we derived the XRB luminosity at 2–10 keV expected in the extended regions, depending on their area relative to the total area of a circle of 12 kpc radius (where the \( L_{\text{FR}} \) was extracted). Based on Persic & Rephaeli (2002), a power law with a fixed photon index \( \Gamma = 1.2 \) as spectral shape for the XRB emission was assumed. In conclusion, in each region the XRB emission at the 2–10 keV energy band is \( \approx 5\% \) of the emission due to the nuclear spillover in the same energy band, i.e., 0.1% of the central 2\( ^\circ \) counts. The XRB contribution is never more than 2.2% of the observed counts into NW, SE, and cross-cone regions and so can be safely neglected.

**B.2. Empirical Fits**

We fitted the spectra extracted from the outer regions (i.e., NW cone, SE cone, and cross-cone) with phenomenological models plus emission lines. For each spectrum we fixed the nuclear spillover component (Appendix B.1).

We first fitted a power-law soft excess model as in Appendix A.1. Fitting with only power law plus prominent lines, we obtain a \( \chi^2 \approx 1 \) and few significant residuals at <0.8 keV in the bicone and >3 keV energies in the cross-cone spectra, respectively. The residuals were removed by adding a reflection PEXRAV component with photon index linked to the power law, significantly improving the statistics (\( \chi^2 \approx 0.9 \)) according to the \( F \)-test.

In the bicone spectra the reflection component is required to fit the hard (>3 keV) X-ray part of the spectrum with a roughly equal contribution from the nuclear spillover component. Instead, in the cross-cone spectrum the nuclear spillover contributes \( \approx 80\% \pm 20\% \) (Appendix B.1) of the hard X-ray continuum. It could explain the totality of the emission within uncertainties, so that a reflection component would not be required. However, as the nuclear spillover model could change differentially at different energies, we decided to keep its amplitude fixed and to add a reflection PEXRAV component with photon index free to vary, in order to remove contiguous residuals at high energy (>5 keV).

In summary, the best-fit models (top panels; Figure 16) of all spectra consist of a power law, a PEXRAV reflection component, and Gaussian emission lines. The best-fit properties and the identified emission lines are reported in Table 5, and we also show the \( L_{2-10 \text{ keV}} \) estimated in each region.

The bottom panels of Figure 16 show the 5.8–6.8 keV energy band, which includes the neutral and ionized Fe K emission lines. In the bicone the 6.4 keV neutral iron transition is double the expected contribution of the nuclear spillover. In the NW and SE cone spectra we find Fe K\( \alpha \) lines with EW \( \approx 898_{-564}^{+1350} \) eV and \( \approx 796_{-531}^{+1612} \) eV, respectively. Instead, the Fe K\( \alpha \) line in the cross-cone spectrum is consistent with the nuclear spillover alone.

The NW cone spectrum has a weak broad feature, which can be fitted with the Fe K\( \alpha \) line plus an emission line at 6.57\( ^{+0.12} \) keV, with EW \( \approx 1216_{-602}^{+1249} \) eV at 2.7\( \sigma \) significance. This energy is consistent with the Fe XXV 6.7 keV line at 1.1\( \sigma \) and has roughly the same intensity as the neutral iron line.

**B.3. Physical Models in the Extended Regions**

In this section, we examine the mechanisms responsible for the X-ray emission in extended regions. As in Appendix A.2 for the nuclear spectrum, we fit a combination of CLOUDY, PSHOCK, and APEC (with solar abundances) models. In this case we also
include the nuclear spillover contribution (Appendix B.1). We initially fit the spectra with a single physical component and then added additional components as required. We added up to four components to the models as in Appendix A.2.

We show the data/model residuals, $\chi^2_R$, and degree of freedom of the intermediate spectral fits in Figure 18, while images of the selected best-fit models, with residuals, are reported in the following sections.

### B.3.1. NW Cone

We first fitted the NW cone spectrum with one-component models. A single phase of collisionally or shock-ionized gas fails to model the total spectrum because, as for the nuclear spectrum these components are not able to fit the intense emission feature at $\sim$1.7–1.9 keV. Using a single photoionization CLOUDY component, we obtain a good statistic ($\chi^2_R = 1.033$), but also some significant ($>2\sigma$) residuals as
shown in Figure 18, suggesting that we need additional model components. Therefore, we applied the following two-component combinations: two photoionization, photoionization + collisional ionization, photoionization + PEXMON reflection, and photoionization + shock ionization components. All these combinations provide a good $\chi^2_{\text{p}} \approx 1$; however, they fail to model the neutral ($\gtrsim 6.4$ keV) and ionized ($\gtrsim 6.6$ keV) iron emission lines, as well as the emission at $\sim 1$ and $\sim 2.3$ keV.

In particular, to fit the hard ($\gtrsim 2$ keV) X-ray part of the spectrum, we need to add a reflection PEXMON model, which gives a reduced $\chi^2_{\text{p}} \lesssim 0.8$ and no significant ($\lesssim 2\sigma$) contiguous residuals. Therefore, we considered the three-component combinations consisting of a PEXMON plus either two photoionization or photoionization + collisional ionization, or photoionization + shock ionization model. We obtain no improvement by adding further components to the two-component + PEXMON models.
Using PEXMON plus photoionization ($\chi^2_R = 0.674$) models and plus photoionization + collisional ionization ($\chi^2_R = 0.647$) models improves the $\chi^2$ at $\sim 30\%$ confidence level, relative to the model including a shock-ionized gas ($\chi^2_R = 0.803$). We therefore consider the combination of photoionization and the collisionally components as the best-fit models (see Figure 17).

Both these models require the presence of a low-photoionization ($\log U \approx -2.7$) component, with column density $\log [N_H/cm^2] < 20.7$, and a reflected power law with photon index $\Gamma \approx 2.1$ and normalization $\approx 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, i.e., $\sim 10$ times lower than that of the nuclear reflection component. In addition, the fit suggests either a thermal $kT \approx 1$ keV gas or a highly photoionized ($\log U \approx 1.88$) gas with column density $\log [N_H/cm^2] < 20$. In both cases, the PEXMON template accounts for $>80\%$ of the 6.4 keV emission line, linking it to reflection.

### B.3.2. SE Cone

The SE cone exhibits photoionization features similar to the NW cone spectrum, except for a less intense emission peak at $\sim 1$ keV with respect to the 1.7–1.9 keV emission lines. Fitting these lines requires at least one CLOUDY component of photoionized gas emission in composite models. All the two-component model fits yield $\chi^2_R \leq 1$, but most exhibit significant ($\geq 2\sigma$) contiguous residuals at $>3$ keV (Figure 18). The model including shock-ionized emission is discarded owing to implausible high temperatures predicted for the shocked gas ($>10$ keV).

As in the NW cone, a PEXMON reflection is included to fit the hard ($>2$ keV) X-ray continuum and the 6.4 keV neutral iron emission line. Using a photoionization + PEXMON reflection model reduces the residuals at $>3$ keV, achieving a reduced $\chi^2_R = 0.983$. However, residuals remain at $\approx 1.75$ and 2.2 keV, suggesting additional spectral components. No significant residuals are found by using three-component models: a reflection and a photoionized phase plus either another photoionization or a collisionally ionized component. Including a shock model creates more residuals (Figure 18). These two best-fit models, as well as residuals, are shown in Figure 19, and the best-fit parameters are reported in Table 5. In both cases we have a low-photoionization gas ($\log U < -1.7$) with $\log [N_H/cm^2] \approx 22$ and a reflected PEXMON component with a photon index $\Gamma \approx 2.5$. The remaining emission is ambiguously fitted by either a photoionization component with a large photoionization parameter ($\log U = 1.75$) and column density $\log [N_H/cm^2] \approx 21.6$ or a collisional APEC component with temperature $kT \approx 1.36$ keV.

### B.3.3. Cross-cone

The cross-cone spectrum (see Appendix B.2) is different from that of the bicone. It shows an intense hard ($>3$ keV) X-ray continuum with a similar shape to the nuclear spectrum and also a prominent soft excess around $\sim 1$ keV, decreasing toward 2 keV. The hard spectral emission is dominated by spillover of the nucleus emission. We fit the soft excess with photoionization, collisional, and shock models. We include a PEXRAV reflection component to fit the remaining $\sim 20\%$ of the $>3$ keV emission, in excess of the nominal spillover predictions, as with the phenomenological model (Appendix B.2). Most or all of the Fe Kα line in the cross-cone spectrum ($\gtrsim 60\%$) is due to the nuclear spillover. Because the physical models do not contribute to energies $\gtrsim 3$ keV, we evaluate the fit-statistic only for the soft (0.3–3.0 keV) X-ray part of the spectrum.

Adding model components to the baseline PEXRAV, we find good statistics ($\chi^2_R \gtrsim 1$), but with wide contiguous residuals. Using PEXRAV plus two-component models, we obtain both a reduced $\chi^2_R \approx 0.8$ and few residuals at $\sim 1.6$ keV, at $<2\sigma$ significance. Adding additional components does not improve the fit.

We show all the best-fit models in Figure 20 and the respective parameters in Table 5. The temperature of the gas in the collisional and shock phase is always less than or similar to $\sim 1.2$ and 2.1 keV, respectively. The CLOUDY component implies the presence of photoionized gas with $\log U \approx 0.75$ and column density $\log [N_H/cm^2] < 19.7$. The two CLOUDY component fit also requires gas with high photoionization parameter ($\log U \approx 1.75$).
Figure 20. Soft (0.3–3 keV) X-ray spectrum extracted in the cross-cone sectors, best-fit physical models (red lines), and data/model residuals. Models consists of CLOUDY (blue, sky-blue lines), APEC (green, greenlime lines), and PSHOCK (magenta, purple lines) components. The black and orange lines are the nuclear spillover plus XRB spectral emission and the PEXRAV reflection component, respectively.
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