Higher Stomatal Density Improves Photosynthetic Induction and Biomass Production in Arabidopsis Under Fluctuating Light
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Stomatal density (SD) is closely associated with photosynthetic and growth characteristics in plants. In the field, light intensity can fluctuate drastically within a day. The objective of the present study is to examine how higher SD affects stomatal conductance (gs) and CO2 assimilation rate (A) dynamics, biomass production and water use under fluctuating light. Here, we compared the photosynthetic and growth characteristics under constant and fluctuating light among three lines of Arabidopsis thaliana (L.): the wild type (WT), STOMAGEN/EPFL9-overexpressing line (ST-OX), and EPIDERMAL PATTERNING FACTOR 1 knockout line (epf1). ST-OX and epf1 showed 268.1 and 46.5% higher SD than WT (p < 0.05). Guard cell length of ST-OX was 10.0% lower than that of WT (p < 0.01). There were no significant variations in gas exchange parameters at steady state between WT and ST-OX or epf1, although these parameters tended to be higher in ST-OX and epf1 than WT. On the other hand, ST-OX and epf1 showed faster A induction than WT after step increase in light owing to the higher gs under initial dark condition. In addition, ST-OX and epf1 showed initially faster gs induction and, at the later phase, slower gs induction. Cumulative CO2 assimilation in ST-OX and epf1 was 57.6 and 78.8% higher than WT attributable to faster A induction with reduction of water use efficiency (WUE). epf1 yielded 25.6% higher biomass than WT under fluctuating light (p < 0.01). In the present study, higher SD resulted in faster photosynthetic induction owing to the higher initial gs. epf1, with a moderate increase in SD, achieved greater biomass production than WT under fluctuating light. These results suggest that higher SD can be beneficial to improve biomass production in plants under fluctuating light conditions.
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INTRODUCTION

Enhancing leaf photosynthesis has been attempted to drive further increases in biomass production in crop plants (von Caemmerer and Evans, 2010; Yamori et al., 2016; Sakoda et al., 2018). Gas diffusional resistance from the atmosphere to the chloroplast is one of the limiting factors for leaf photosynthetic capacity (Farquhar and Sharkey, 1982). Stomata, pores on the epidermis of plant leaves, function to maintain the balance between CO\textsubscript{2} uptake for photosynthesis and water loss for transpiration (Mcdad and Brodribb, 2012). It has been highlighted that the conductance to gas diffusion via stomata (g\textsubscript{s}) can be a major determinant of CO\textsubscript{2} assimilation rate (A) (Wong et al., 1979). The potential of g\textsubscript{s} is mainly determined by the size, depth, and opening of single stoma, and their density (Franks and Beirling, 2009). It has been controversial how the change in the stomatal density (SD), defined as the stoma number per unit leaf area, affects photosynthetic and growth characteristics in plants (Lawson and Blatt, 2014). Doheny-Adams et al. (2012) reported that lower SD yielded higher growth rate and biomass production in Arabidopsis under constant light owing to the favorable water condition and temperature for metabolism and low metabolic cost for stomatal development (Doheny-Adams et al., 2012). Contrastingly, lower SD resulted in the depression of g\textsubscript{s} and/or A in Arabidopsis and poplar plants (Büssis et al., 2006; Yoo et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2016). An SDD1 knockout line of Arabidopsis with higher SD showed higher g\textsubscript{s} and A than a wild-type line, depending on light condition (Schlüter et al., 2003). Previously, we reported that higher SD by overexpressing STOMAGEN/EPFL9 resulted in the enhancement of g\textsubscript{s} and A in Arabidopsis under constant and high light conditions (Tanaka et al., 2013). Therefore, SD manipulation could have the potential to enhance photosynthetic and growth characteristics in plants, even though that effect can depend on the species or environmental conditions.

In the field, light intensity can fluctuate at different scales, from less seconds to minutes, over the course of a day owing to changes in the solar radiation, cloud cover, or self-shading in the plant canopy (Kaiser et al., 2018). The gradual increase in A can be shown after the transition from low to high light intensity, and this phenomenon is called “photosynthetic induction.” A simulation analysis demonstrated that the potential loss of the cumulative amount of CO\textsubscript{2} assimilation caused by photosynthetic induction can reach at least 21% in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) and soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merr.) (Taylor and Long, 2017; Tanaka et al., 2019). In rice (Oryza sativa L.) and soybean, there is genotypic variation in the speed of photosynthetic induction, which causes significant differences in the cumulative carbon gain under fluctuating light (Soleh et al., 2016, 2017; Adachi et al., 2019). Consequently, speeding up photosynthetic induction can yield more efficient carbon gain, which will open a new pathway to improve biomass production in plants under field conditions.

Photosynthetic induction is typically limited by three phases of the biochemical and diffusional processes: (1) activation of electron transport, (2) activation of the enzymes in the Calvin-Benson cycle, and (3) stomatal opening (Pearcy, 1990; Yamori, 2016; Yamori et al., 2020). Especially, the activation of Rubisco (5–10 min for full induction) and stomatal opening (20–30 min for full induction) constitute a major limitation to photosynthetic induction (Yamori et al., 2012; Carmo-Silva and Salvucci, 2013). The overexpression of PATROLI, controlling the translocation of a major H\textsuperscript{+}-ATPase (AHA1) to the plasma membrane, resulted in faster g\textsubscript{s} induction to fluctuating light in Arabidopsis without the change in SD (Hashimoto-Sugimoto et al., 2013). Arabidopsis knockout mutants of ABA transporter, which plays pivotal roles in stomatal closure, improved stomatal response to fluctuating light and photosynthesis (Shimadzu et al., 2019). Furthermore, the rapid stomatal response is important for plants to achieve high water use efficiency (WUE) (Qu et al., 2016). Notably, the faster stomatal opening improved the photosynthetic induction and thus biomass production in Arabidopsis under the fluctuating light (Papanatsiou et al., 2019; Kimura et al., 2020). These facts evidence that rapid stomatal response can be beneficial for the effective carbon gain and water use under fluctuating light conditions. However, how SD changes affect g\textsubscript{s} and A dynamics, biomass production, and water use under these conditions has been understudied (Drake et al., 2013; Papanatsiou et al., 2016; Schuler et al., 2017; Vlaet-Chabrand et al., 2017).

It is hypothesized that higher SD results in higher initial g\textsubscript{s} (Tanaka et al., 2013), which can contribute to faster photosynthetic induction due to the lower stomatal limitation under the fluctuating light. The objective of this study was to examine how higher SD affects the photosynthetic and growth characteristics in plants under fluctuating light conditions. Here, we investigated the induction response of g\textsubscript{s}, A, transpiration rate (E) and water use efficiency (WUE) after step increase in light by gas exchange measurements, and biomass production under fluctuating light conditions in the three Arabidopsis lines differing in SD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

The peptide signals in a family of EPIDERMAL PATTERNING FACTOR (EPF) were identified to function in the stomatal development of Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh) (Hara et al., 2007). It has been demonstrated that EPF1 and EPF2 combine with the receptor-like protein, TOO MANY MOUTHS (TMM) and ERECTA family leucine-rich repeat-receptor-like kinases and, consequently, restrain a specific process in stomatal development. Contrastingly, STOMAGEN/EPFL9 combines with TMM competitively to EPF1 and EPF2, and promote stomatal development (Sugano et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2015). In the present study, Columbia-0 (CS60000) of Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh, was used as a wild-type line (WT). In addition, we used STOMAGEN/EPFL9 overexpressing line (ST-OX10-3; ST-OX) which was used in Tanaka et al. (2013), and an EPF1 knockout line (SALK_137549) (epf1-1; epfl) which was used in Sugano et al. (2010).

For analyzing photosynthetic and stomatal traits, six plants per line were sown and grown in the soil in the growth chamber at
an air humidity of 60%, CO₂ concentration of 400 µmol mol⁻¹ and a photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of 100 µmol photon m⁻² s⁻¹ for the gas exchange analysis. The day/night period was set to 8/16 h with a constant air temperature of 22°C. We randomly changed plant arrangement every 3–4 days during their growth period to avoid the spacing effects. For the biomass analysis, plants were sown and grown in the soil at an air temperature of 22°C and a PPFD of 120 µmol photon m⁻² s⁻¹ for 24 days after sowing with the day/night period of 8/16 h. Subsequently, four plants per line were subjected to constant and fluctuating light conditions, for 20 days with a day/night cycle of 12/12 h. During daytime, the light intensity in the constant light condition was changed from a PPFD of 60 µmol photon m⁻² s⁻¹ for 4 h to 500 µmol photon m⁻² s⁻¹ for 4 h, followed by 60 µmol photon m⁻² s⁻¹ for 4 h, while a PPFD of 60 µmol photon m⁻² s⁻¹ for 10 min after 500 µmol photon m⁻² s⁻¹ for 5 min was repeated for 12 h in the fluctuating light condition as described in Kimura et al. (2020). Plants were exposed to the same total amount of light intensity per day under both light conditions. We randomly changed plant arrangement every 3–4 days during their growth period to avoid the spacing effects. Dry weight of above ground biomass grown under each light condition was evaluated at 44 days after sowing.

Evaluation of Stomatal Density, Size, and Clustering
The stomatal density (SD), size (Lg), and clustering were evaluated in the leaves of the six plants per line at the same growth stage as the gas exchange measurements were conducted. We used the six leaves of the three plants in which gas exchange measurements were conducted and in the other three plants. A section of the leaf (5 × 5 mm) was excised and immediately fixed in the solution (Ethanol : acetic acid = 9:1, v/v) overnight. The fixed tissues were cleared in chloral hydrate solution (chloral hydrate : glycerol : water = 8:1:2, w/v/v) overnight. The cleared tissues were stained with safranin-O solution (200 µg ml⁻¹) for 30 min to 1 h. The abaxial side of the leaf was observed at a 200 × magnification using an optical microscope and six digital images (0.072 mm²) were obtained per leaf (CX31 and DP21, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). We used imaging analysis software, ImageJ (NIH, Bethesda, MD, United States) to assess the stomatal number and guard cell length from the images. SD was calculated from the stomatal number per unit leaf area. Lg, defined as guard cell length, of all the stomata (2–86 stomata) was measured in each image. Each clustering category (2–5 er) means the number of clustered stomata. The percentage of clustered stomata to total number was measured for each clustering category from 2 to 5 as described in Hara et al. (2007). The mean values of each trait were calculated in six images obtained from each leaf. Subsequently, the average value of each trait for six leaves was calculated for each line.

Gas Exchange Measurements
Gas exchange measurements were conducted using a portable gas-exchange system LI-6400 (LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, United States). All plants were kept in the dark (a PPFD of 0 µmol photon m⁻² s⁻¹) overnight before and during the measurements. In the leaf chamber, we set flow rate at 300 µmol s⁻¹, CO₂ concentration at 400 µmol mol⁻¹, and air temperature at 25°C. After the leaf was clamped in the chamber, light intensity was kept at a PPFD of 0 µmol photon m⁻² s⁻¹ for the initial 10 min and, subsequently, under a PPFD of 500 µmol photon m⁻² s⁻¹ for 120 min. A, gs, intercellular CO₂ concentration (Ci), and E were recorded every 10 s during the measurements. WUE was calculated as the ratio of A to E. Gas exchange measurements were conducted with three plants per line during 68 to 73 days after sowing.

Data Processing
To evaluate the induction speeds of A and gs, we calculated \( A_{\text{induction}} \) and \( g_{\text{s induction}} \) defined as the following equations:

\[
A_{\text{induction}} = \frac{A_f - A_i}{A_f - A_i}
\]

\[
g_{\text{s induction}} = \frac{g_{sf} - g_{si}}{g_{sf} - g_{si}}
\]

where \( A_i \) and \( g_{si} \) represent steady-state values under a PPFD of 0 µmol photon m⁻² s⁻¹, steady-state A and \( g_s \), \( A_f \) and \( g_{sf} \), represent the maximum values which were reached in 120 min under a PPFD of 500 µmol photon m⁻² s⁻¹, and \( A_f, g_{sf} \) represent values at a given time under illumination. We evaluated the differences in the time when \( A_{\text{induction}} \) and \( g_{s induction} \) reached the closest values of 5, 10, 20, 40, 60, and 80% of those maximum values after step change in light from 0 to 500 µmol photon m⁻² s⁻¹ (\( t_{5–80 gs} \) and \( t_{5–80 A} \)) between WT and ST-OX or epf1.

The cumulative CO₂ assimilation (CCA) and transpiration (CE) under fluctuating light were calculated by summing A and E in first 10 min under illumination after the initial dark period. An integrated WUE (WUEi) was calculated as the ratio of CCA to CE. Assuming the absence of induction response of A to the step increase in light, a theoretically maximum CCA (CCAi) was defined by the following equation:

\[
CCA_i = A_f \cdot T_{500}
\]

where \( T_{500} \) is the seconds for which the light intensity was maintained at 500 µmol photon m⁻² s⁻¹ for 10 min. The potential loss rate of CCA caused by photosynthetic induction was defined by the following equation:

\[
\text{Loss rate} = \left( 1 - \frac{CCA}{CCA_i} \right) \times 100
\]

Statistical Analysis
The variation in stomatal size and all the parameters of photosynthetic and growth characteristics were compared between WT and ST-OX or epf1 by a Dunnett’s test. Steel test was applied to evaluate SD variation between WT and ST-OX or epf1 because the distribution of values was extremely different among the lines. Statistical analysis was conducted using R software version 3. 6. 1 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).
RESULTS

Stomatal Density, Size, and Clustering
We evaluated stomatal density (SD), size ($L_g$) and clustering in the three Arabidopsis lines. ST-OX and eff1 showed 268.1 and 46.5% higher SD than WT ($p < 0.05$) (Figure 1A). $L_g$ of ST-OX was 10.0% lower than that of WT ($p < 0.01$) (Figure 1B). Stomatal clustering was scarcely observed in WT, while two to five stomata were clustered in ST-OX and eff1 (Figure 1C). The ratio of stomata in each clustering category was higher in ST-OX than that in eff1.

Photosynthesis and Stomatal Conductance After Step Increase in Light
To examine how higher SD affects the photosynthetic characteristics under the fluctuating light, we conducted gas exchange measurements. ST-OX and eff1 maintained higher $g_s$, $C_i$, $A$, and $E$ than WT under the non-steady state at high light intensity (500 μmol photon m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) (Figures 2A–D), while they showed lower WUE (Figure 2E). Under the steady state, there was no significant difference in $g_s$ and $A$ between WT and ST-OX or eff1, although these parameters of ST-OX and eff1 tended to be higher than those of WT (Supplementary Figure S1).

Subsequently, we evaluated the induction speed of $g_s$ and $A$ to the step increase in light in the three Arabidopsis lines. After the change from darkness (0 μmol photon m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) to high light, $g_s$ induction was initially faster in ST-OX and eff1 than WT during photosynthetic induction, while it was slower in ST-OX and eff1 than WT at the later phase (Figure 3A). $g_s$ in WT and eff1 was fully induced at 80 min after step increase in light, while that of ST-OX slightly but continuously increased in 120 min (Figure 2A). $t_{50g_s}$ in ST-OX and eff1 was significantly shorter than that in WT ($p < 0.05$) (Figure 3B). On the other hand, $t_{50g_s}$ in ST-OX and eff1 were significantly larger than that in WT ($p < 0.05$). A induction was faster in ST-OX and eff1 than WT after the step increase in light (Figure 2A). $t_{50A}$ in ST-OX and eff1 and $t_{50A}$ in eff1 were significantly shorter than that in WT ($p < 0.05$) (Figure 3D). In the steady state under darkness, $g_{si}$ in ST-OX and eff1 were 264.5% ($p < 0.01$) and 160.6% higher (not significant), respectively, than that in WT (Figure 3E). $t_{50A}$ decreased with the increase in $g_{si}$ when $g_{si} < 0.074$, and it was constantly independent of $g_{si}$ for $g_{si} > 0.074$ (Figure 3F).

CO$_2$ Assimilation and Biomass Production Under Fluctuating Light
Cumulative CO$_2$ assimilation and transpiration were evaluated to compare the efficiency of carbon gain and water use during photosynthetic induction in the three Arabidopsis lines. CCA in ST-OX and eff1 was 57.6 and 78.8% higher ($p < 0.05$), respectively, than that in WT, while Loss rate in ST-OX and eff1 was 27.7% and 36.5% lower ($p < 0.05$) (Figures 4A,C). CE in
ST-OX and epf1 were 193.7% and 138.7% higher ($p < 0.05$), respectively, than that in WT (Figure 4B). There was no significant variation in $WUE_i$ between WT and epf1, while $WUE_i$ in ST-OX was 44.9% lower than WT ($p < 0.05$) (Figure 4D). Finally, we evaluated the biomass production under the constant (Figure 5A) and fluctuating light (Figure 5B) in the three Arabidopsis lines to examine how higher SD affects growth characteristics. Compared with WT, dry weight of the above ground biomass under constant light ($DW_{\text{constant}}$) in epf1 was similar, while that under fluctuating light ($DW_{\text{fluctuating}}$) in epf1 was 25.6% higher than that of WT ($p < 0.01$) (Figures 5C,D). There was no significant variation in $DW_{\text{constant}}$ and $DW_{\text{fluctuating}}$ between ST-OX and WT.

**DISCUSSION**

Stomata play a significant role in the regulation of gas exchange between the outside and inside of the leaf. However, how the SD change affects photosynthetic and growth characteristics in plants has been controversial, and the effect of SD change on photosynthesis and growth can vary depending on the plant species or environmental conditions. Previously, we reported that higher SD resulted in the enhancement of $g_s$ and $A$ in Arabidopsis under constant and saturated light conditions (Tanaka et al., 2013). Lawson and Blatt (2014) suggested that with higher SD, it would be instructive to determine biomass productivity under fluctuating light, although only a few studies investigated the relationship between SD and photosynthetic or growth characteristics under that condition (Drake et al., 2013; Papanatsiou et al., 2016; Schuler et al., 2017; Vialet-Chabrand et al., 2017). Here, we attempted to examine how higher SD affects $g_s$ and $A$ dynamics, biomass production, and water use in Arabidopsis under fluctuating light.

**Stomatal Density Affects the Induction of Stomatal Opening**

We revealed that the three Arabidopsis lines differing in SD showed significant differences in the dynamics of $g_s$ in the non-steady state. SD differences had significant (Vialet-Chabrand et al., 2017) or non-significant (Papanatsiou et al., 2016; Schuler et al., 2017) effect on $g_s$ induction to light transients from low to high in previous studies. In the present study, ST-OX and epf1 showed initially faster $g_s$ induction than WT, while those lines showed slower $g_s$ induction in the later phase after step increase in light from a PPFD of 0 to 500 µmol photon m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (Figures 3A,B). The different responses of $g_s$ could be attributable to the difference in the size, density, and patterning of stomata. Drake et al. (2013) reported that smaller stomata respond the fluctuating light faster than larger stomata among several species of the genus Banksia. On the contrary, smaller stomata resulted in the slower response of $g_s$ to fluctuating light in the genus Oryza (Zhang et al., 2019). In the present study, the variation in the speed of $g_s$ induction did not correspond to that in $L_g$ (Figures 1, 3), indicating that the stomatal size...
would have a minor effect on \( g_s \) induction in Arabidopsis under fluctuating light.

The stomatal opening is regulated by at least three key components, blue-light receptor phototropin, plasma membrane H\(^{+}\)-ATPase, and plasma membrane inward rectifying K\(^{+}\) channels in the guard cell (Inoue and Kinoshita, 2017). The activation of H\(^{+}\)-ATPase induced by blue light as the initial signal facilitates K\(^{+}\) uptake through the inward rectifying K\(^{+}\) channel to increase the turgor pressure of guard cells, resulting in the stomatal opening. In addition, stomatal opening dynamics depend on the water status in the plant (Lawson and Blatt, 2014). With more stomata, higher metabolic cost and water uptake would be required for stomatal movement. The gas-exchange and theoretical-modeling analysis indicated that the stomatal clustering decreased the maximum value of \( g_s \) and \( A \) under the steady state because of the misplacement of stomatal pores over
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**Figure 3**: The induction speed of stomatal conductance and CO\(_2\) assimilation rate after step increase in light. The induction state of (A) stomatal conductance \((g_s)\) and (C) CO\(_2\) assimilation rate \((A)\) were evaluated in the three lines of Arabidopsis based on \( g_s^{\text{induction}} \) and \( A^{\text{induction}} \) defined as Eqs. 1 and 2, respectively, under a PPFD of 500 µmol photon m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) for 120 min after the dark period for 10 min. The time when \((B) g_s^{\text{induction}} \) and \((D) A^{\text{induction}} \) reached 5, 10, 20, 40, 60, and 80\% \((t_{5-80g} \text{ and } t_{5-80A})\) of those maximum values was compared between WT and each transgenic line. (E) The steady-state value of \( g_s \) under the dark condition \((g_s^{\text{i}})\) was compared between WT and each transgenic line. (F) The relationship was investigated between \( g_s^{\text{i}} \) and \( t_{60A} \). Vertical bars indicate the standard error \((n = 3)\). *indicates significant differences in each parameter between WT and each transgenic line at \( p < 0.05\), according to Dunnett’s test. The values in each column represent the relative value of each line to WT.
mesophyll cells (Dow and Bergmann, 2014; Lehmann and Or, 2015). It was also shown that clustering suppressed stomatal movement owing to the decreased capacity of the K⁺ flux and K⁺ accumulation in the guard cells (Papanatsiou et al., 2016). Additionally, gs induction to fluctuating light in Begonia species with clustered stomata was slower than that in those without clustered stomata (Papanatsiou et al., 2017). In this study, ST-OX and epf1 with higher SD and clustering rate showed initially faster gs induction and, at the later phase, slower induction than WT (Figures 1, 3). These results suggest that the changes in stomatal density and patterning can affect gs induction to fluctuating light owing to the change in water uptake for stomatal opening and the opening speed of single stomata.

Stomatal Density Affects the Dynamics of CO₂ Assimilation

In ST-OX and epf1, A induction to step change from darkness to high light was faster than that of WT (Figures 3C,D). Photosynthetic induction is typically limited by three phases of the biochemical or diffusional processes; (1) activation of electron transport, (2) activation of the enzymes of the Calvin-Benson cycle, and (3) stomatal opening (Pearcy, 1990; Yamori et al., 2016). The significance of stomatal limitation to photosynthetic induction depends on the initial value of gs as well as photosynthetic capacity and the induction state of biochemical processes (Kirschbaum and Pearcy, 1988). Activation speed of the electron transport and enzymes of the Calvin-Benson cycle after step increase in light intensity can be largely affected by CO₂ concentration (Jackson et al., 1991; Urban et al., 2008; Kaiser et al., 2017). The variation of gs under dark or low light conditions corresponded to that in the speed of photosynthetic induction in several plant species (Kaiser et al., 2016; Soleh et al., 2017). In this study, t60A correlated with gs if gs < 0.074 mol m⁻² s⁻¹, and it was constant regardless of gs if gs > 0.074 mol m⁻² s⁻¹ (Figure 3F). gs of WT, ST-OX, and epf1 were 0.032, 0.118, and 0.085 mol m⁻² s⁻¹, respectively (Figure 3E), suggesting that the variation in gs would cause the response difference of A. Therefore, higher SD resulted in higher initial value of gs and then higher Ci, which would contribute to the rapid activation of RuBP regeneration and carboxylation in the Calvin-Benson cycle.

The transition from a short period of low to high light is frequently observed in the crop canopy throughout the day (Tanaka et al., 2019). The present study confirmed that higher SD resulted in faster A induction after step increase in light from darkness, which can be observed at the limited part of the day in field. It is not clear how SD affects gs and A induction after the adaptation to low light for short period. It has been
considered that stomatal opening and Rubisco activation would not be a major limitation to A under such light conditions since these would not change rapidly (MuAusland et al., 2016). A rapid change in the RuBP regeneration was reported to limit photosynthetic induction under high light after a short period of low light or darkness (Kobza and Edwards, 1987; Sassenrath-Cole and Pearcy, 1994). On the other hand, the significant stomatal limitation to photosynthesis has been shown in Arabidopsis (Kimura et al., 2020) and rice (Yamori et al., 2020) under natural light conditions where the light fluctuations are highly variable. Future study is required to elucidate that higher SD would be beneficial for carbon gain under more rapid and frequent fluctuation of light.

**Stomatal Density Affects Biomass Production Under the Fluctuating Light**

Manipulating CO₂ diffusion via stomata has been attempted to enhance photosynthetic capacity and induction in plants. Under constant light conditions, overexpression of H⁺-ATPase (AHA2) in guard cells resulted in higher gs as well as A, leading to greater biomass production in Arabidopsis (Wang et al., 2014). In addition, Arabidopsis plants with stay-opening or fast-moving stomata have been shown to achieve greater carbon gain and biomass production under fluctuating light conditions (Papanatsiou et al., 2019; Kimura et al., 2020). These studies confirmed the significant limitation of photosynthesis imposed by stomata, and the potential of gs to improve biomass production of plants under field. On the other hand, higher gs generally results in lower WUE, which can depress the benefit of greater photosynthetic performance for biomass production (Tanaka et al., 2013; Kimura et al., 2020). Under drought condition, transgenic plants with lower SD and gs exhibited improved growth performance owing to high WUE in several species (Yoo et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2016; Caine et al., 2018). It is, therefore, import to optimize a balance between carbon gain and water loss via stomata for plant growth depending on water conditions (Lawson and Blatt, 2014; MuAusland et al., 2016).

\( DW_{\text{fluctuating}} \) was much lower than \( DW_{\text{constant}} \) in three Arabidopsis lines, although the total amount of light intensity exposed to the plants was equal between both light conditions (Figure 5). This difference would be caused by the loss of carbon gain owing to photosynthetic induction under fluctuating light condition. \( DW_{\text{constant}} \) in ST-OX was slightly lower than that in WT, although steady-state A was significantly or slightly higher in Tanaka et al. (2013) and this study, respectively (Figures 2, 5). The increase in water loss would have a negative effect on biomass production in ST-OX under constant light (Tanaka et al., 2013). ST-OX showed significantly lower WUE during photosynthetic induction in the present study (Figures 2, 4). Despite of these conditions (Papanatsiou et al., 2019; Kimura et al., 2020). These studies confirmed the significant limitation of photosynthesis imposed by stomata, and the potential of gs to improve biomass production of plants under field. On the other hand, higher gs generally results in lower WUE, which can depress the benefit of greater photosynthetic performance for biomass production (Tanaka et al., 2013; Kimura et al., 2020). Under drought condition, transgenic plants with lower SD and gs exhibited improved growth performance owing to high WUE in several species (Yoo et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2016; Caine et al., 2018). It is, therefore, import to optimize a balance between carbon gain and water loss via stomata for plant growth depending on water conditions (Lawson and Blatt, 2014; MuAusland et al., 2016).

\( DW_{\text{fluctuating}} \) was much lower than \( DW_{\text{constant}} \) in three Arabidopsis lines, although the total amount of light intensity exposed to the plants was equal between both light conditions (Figure 5). This difference would be caused by the loss of carbon gain owing to photosynthetic induction under fluctuating light condition. \( DW_{\text{constant}} \) in ST-OX was slightly lower than that in WT, although steady-state A was significantly or slightly higher in Tanaka et al. (2013) and this study, respectively (Figures 2, 5). The increase in water loss would have a negative effect on biomass production in ST-OX under constant light (Tanaka et al., 2013). ST-OX showed significantly lower WUE during photosynthetic induction in the present study (Figures 2, 4). Despite of these conditions (Papanatsiou et al., 2019; Kimura et al., 2020). These studies confirmed the significant limitation of photosynthesis imposed by stomata, and the potential of gs to improve biomass production of plants under field. On the other hand, higher gs generally results in lower WUE, which can depress the benefit of greater photosynthetic performance for biomass production (Tanaka et al., 2013; Kimura et al., 2020). Under drought condition, transgenic plants with lower SD and gs exhibited improved growth performance owing to high WUE in several species (Yoo et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2016; Caine et al., 2018). It is, therefore, import to optimize a balance between carbon gain and water loss via stomata for plant growth depending on water conditions (Lawson and Blatt, 2014; MuAusland et al., 2016).

\( DW_{\text{fluctuating}} \) was much lower than \( DW_{\text{constant}} \) in three Arabidopsis lines, although the total amount of light intensity exposed to the plants was equal between both light conditions (Figure 5). This difference would be caused by the loss of carbon gain owing to photosynthetic induction under fluctuating light condition. \( DW_{\text{constant}} \) in ST-OX was slightly lower than that in WT, although steady-state A was significantly or slightly higher in Tanaka et al. (2013) and this study, respectively (Figures 2, 5). The increase in water loss would have a negative effect on biomass production in ST-OX under constant light (Tanaka et al., 2013). ST-OX showed significantly lower WUE during photosynthetic induction in the present study (Figures 2, 4). Despite of these

![FIGURE 5](image-url) Biomass production under the constant and fluctuating light conditions. Dry weight of the above ground biomass was evaluated in the three lines of Arabidopsis under (C) the constant (\( DW_{\text{constant}} \)) and (D) fluctuating (\( DW_{\text{fluctuating}} \)) light conditions as described in (A) and (B). Vertical bars indicate the standard error (\( n = 4 \)). ** indicates significant differences in each parameter between WT and each transgenic line at \( p < 0.01 \), according to Dunnett's test. The values in each column represent the relative value of each line to WT.
penalties resulting from the drastic increase in SD, DW fluctuating in ST-OX was 10.5% higher than that in WT with no significance. Moreover, biomass production in epf1, with moderate increase in SD, was significantly higher than that in WT under fluctuating light, while there was no difference between these two lines under constant light (Figure 5). It is possible that a moderate increase in SD could achieve more efficient carbon gain attributable to the faster response of A in Arabidopsis under fluctuating light, while it would cause small penalties on water loss for stomatal movement. Overall, higher SD can be beneficial to improve biomass production in plants under fluctuating light conditions under favorable water conditions.

**CONCLUSION**

Under fluctuating light, there was a significant variation in the photosynthetic and growth characteristics among Arabidopsis lines differing in the stomatal density (SD). Higher SD resulted in faster CO₂ assimilation rate (A) induction to fluctuating light owing to the higher initial value of the stomatal conductance (gₛ) and faster gₛ induction in the early phase of photosynthetic induction. On the other hand, higher SD resulted in slower gₛ induction in the later phase of photosynthetic induction. epf1, with a moderate increase in SD, achieved more efficient carbon gain with small penalty on water use efficiency attributable to the faster A induction, which would contribute to higher biomass production than that in WT under fluctuating light. This study suggests that higher SD can be beneficial to improve biomass production in plants under fluctuating light.
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