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ABSTRACT

Skin and soft tissue infections (SSTIs) are common in the pediatric age-group in developing countries, where the risk factors are commonplace. These SSTIs may be classified for ease of management, into uncomplicated (uSSTI) and complicated SSTIs (cSSTI). Folliculitis, furuncles, impetigo, ecthyma, and erysipelas are grouped under uSSTI, whereas abscess, carbuncle, and cellulitis come under cSSTI. Most of them are secondary to Staphylococcus aureus and group I beta-hemolytic Streptococci. Antibiotic treatment must be based on antimicrobial sensitivities and local community resistance patterns. Antibiotics like beta-lactams, first-generation cephalosporins, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, and clindamycin are effective in most patients. However, methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) is a serious concern in cases worldwide, including India. Newer molecules like dalbavancin, telavancin, tigecycline, ceftaroline, and tedizolid are emerging.
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INTRODUCTION

Skin and soft tissue infections (SSTIs), also known as pyoderma, are common purulent infections of the skin caused most commonly by staphylococcal or streptococcal organisms. Eighty percent of children in endemic areas are affected by pyoderma, which can range from a superficial skin infection like impetigo to a deeper skin infection like cellulitis or abscess, sometimes even necrotizing soft tissue infection. In this narrative review, the author has discussed some of the pertinent SSTIs seen in the pediatric age-group.1

CLASSIFICATION

There are many classifications for SSTIs. It can be classified as primary (due to direct infection of unbroken skin and subcutaneous tissue) and secondary (consequent to a previous dermatosis like eczema, pediculosis, scabies, etc.). The primary pyoderma can be classified further into follicular (folliculitis, furuncles, carbuncles, and abscess) and non-follicular pyoderma (impetigo, erysipelas, cellulitis). The most common type of pyoderma are impetigo and folliculitis.

The Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) has classified the bacterial SSTIs based on practical usefulness for the clinicians: (i) skin extension: uncomplicated infections (uSSTI) with superficial involvement (impetigo, ecthyma, erysipelas, folliculitis, furunculosis), and complicated infections (cSSTI) usually with deep involvement (abscesses, cellulitis, carbuncles); (ii) rate of progression: acute (trauma-induced, bite-related, postoperative) and chronic (diabetic foot infections, stasis ulcers, pressure ulcers) wound infections; and (iii) tissue necrosis: necrotizing fasciitis (NF) (myonecrosis, gangrene) and non-necrotizing infections.2,3 An acute bacterial skin and skin structure infection (ABSSI) is defined by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (USFDA) as a bacterial infection of the skin with an area of redness, edema, or induration measuring at least 75 cm². Cellulitis/erysipelas, and major cutaneous abscesses come under this category.4

UNCOMPROMISED SKIN AND SOFT TISSUE INFECTIONS (uSSTIs)

Folliculitis and Furunculosis

Folliculitis is an acute purulent infection of the pilosebaceous unit, which presents as small red or white follicular papules. The most common form is superficial bacterial folliculitis which is usually caused by Staphylococcus aureus. A furuncle is an acute, larger, and more painful, and sometimes fluctuant, infection of a hair follicle characterized by a tender red perifollicular nodular swelling which later bursts open discharging pus and necrotic debris (Fig. 1). Staphylococcus aureus is the commonest pathogen present in almost all cases of furuncles. Risk factors for folliculitis as well as furuncles include over-crowding, affected individuals in close proximity, participation in contact sports, sharing items of personal use like towels, razor, etc., and poor personal hygiene. It may also complicate underlying skin diseases like eczema, scabies, tinea capitis, pediculosis, and psoriasis.

Multiple lesions (furunculosis) may appear in diabetics, malnourished, and immunosuppressed individuals. These infections usually occur on hairy skin like the scalp, face, neck, chest, and buttocks. On examination, small pustules with peripheral inflammation can be observed. Superficial bacterial folliculitis secondary to Staphylococcus must be differentiated from gram-negative folliculitis, pityrosporum folliculitis, viral folliculitis,
Demodex folliculitis, and acne vulgaris. Furuncles may be confused with hidradenitis suppurativa, mycobacterial infection, and acne vulgaris.

Diagnosis is generally clinical. For most simple cases of folliculitis, topical antimicrobials like 2% mupirocin or 2% fusidic acid will suffice. For small furuncles, warm compresses help in promoting drainage. For larger furuncles, incision and drainage (I&D) will be required. For extensive disease and larger lesions, empiric antibiotic therapy with cephalaxin or dicloxacillin can be started. If left untreated, there is a risk of developing into a cSSTI like cellulitis or abscess.

**Recurrent furunculosis/recurrent folliculitis:** Main risk factors are nasal colonization, poor hygiene, and familial spread. Inadequate choice and duration of antibiotics also contribute to recurrent infections. The most common causative organism is *S. aureus*, especially Panton-Valentine Leucocidin (PVL)+ strains. As strategies for prevention, nasal decolonization with mupirocin (twice daily for 5 days, every month for 3 months), bleach baths, chlorhexidine gluconate (chlorhexidine 4% body wash once a day for 5 days; chlorhexidine 4% shampoo on days 1, 3, and 5), tetracyclines like doxycycline or minocycline (in children >8 years) along with clindamycin can be employed. Rifampicin must not be used for pyoderma prevention or treatment in India to prevent the emergence of resistance. Household contacts should be decolonized as well. General measures like improved hygiene, regular bathing, and avoiding sharing items of personal use are important.5,6

**Impetigo**

Impetigo is a frequent skin infection in the preschool age-group. The global burden of impetigo is especially high in the poorer countries around the globe, as a result of risk factors like hot and humid climate, overcrowding, and poor hygiene.7

Group A beta-hemolytic *Streptococcus* (GABHS) is the most common organism but the prevalence of *S. aureus* is increasing.8 There are two clinical forms: bullous and non-bullous impetigo. The non-bullous form can be caused by both the above organisms, whereas *S. aureus* (often phage type 71) alone is responsible for bullous impetigo (BI).5 Non-bullous impetigo presents as a honey-colored crust, typically on the face, around nose and mouth, extremities, and scalp. Bullous impetigo is secondary to the local production of exfoliative toxin A and B, which leads to bulla formation (Fig. 2). These rupture after 2–3 days to form a thin varnish-like crust. Lesions often heal in the center to form annular plaques.10

Uncomplicated impetigo may spontaneously resolve in 2–3 weeks without scarring. However, if left untreated, it may spread by autoinoculation. Rarely, fever, lymphadenopathy, cellulitis, osteomyelitis, septic arthritis, pneumonia, and sepsis may complicate impetigo. Non-infectious complications like scarlet fever, guttate psoriasis, and post-streptococcal glomerulonephritis, recurrent toxin-mediated perineal erythema, and staphylococcal scalded skin syndrome may also occur.11,12

Blistering (bullous) dactylitis is a type of BI, seen in the pediatric age-group. It typically presents as a tender, pus-filled bulla between 1 cm and 3 cm in size that ruptures to form erosions. The volar fat pad of the distal portion of a digit is the most commonly involved site.13,14

Differential diagnosis includes scabies, tinea corporis, and eczema. The BI must be differentiated from burns, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, and other autoimmune bullous disorders. Annular plaques of resolving BI may be confused with tinea corporis or nummular eczema.15

**Treatment**

Wet compresses like Condy’s compresses (dilute potassium permanganate compresses) should be used for crusted lesions. Topical antibiotics like mupirocin, fusidic acid, and retapamulin are the treatments of choice. They are applied to the affected areas twice daily for 5–7 days.16–19 Retapamulin is currently approved for the treatment of methicillin-sensitive skin infection only.20 Newer topical antibiotics like minocycline (1–4% foam) and ozenoxacin (1% cream) have completed phase II double-blinded trials. These are proposed to be used in a similar dosage pattern, i.e., twice daily for 7 days.21,22 Antibiotic creams like bacitracin, erythromycin, neomycin, and rifamycin are best avoided.

**In cases of extensive disease or poor response to topicals, oral antibiotics are indicated. The antibiotic choice should be guided by the culture sensitivity report and local resistance patterns. Usually, the strains of *S. aureus* responsible for causing impetigo are sensitive to methicillin and hence, penicillinase-resistant penicillins (e.g., flucloxacillin) or first-generation cephalosporins (cephalexin) will work in most cases. Indian guidelines (ICMR and National Centre for Disease Control) recommend amoxicillin/clavulanic acid as first-
line systemic treatment, although many experts believe that its use should be limited. Blistering (bullous) dactylitis can be treated by I&D under appropriate antibiotic cover.15

A 1-week course is usually enough for treatment. It may be extended to 10–14 days depending on the rate of response. Oral treatment is preferred as it is well tolerated. Oxacillin can be given if a parenteral treatment is required.1,4,23

Ecthyma

Ecthyma is a deeper form of impetigo caused by group A beta-hemolytic streptococci. It may be associated with debilitating conditions like malnutrition and an immunosuppressed state. The lesions commonly occur on the legs. They are characterized by thickly adherent brown crusted lesions and removing the crust leads to an ulcer (as opposed to erosion of impetigo) (Fig. 3). The ulcer has a punched-out appearance when the crusts are removed and they heal slowly over a few weeks. Unlike impetigo, ecthyma is accompanied by abrupt onset of fever and malaise and resolves slowly with scarring.

This condition must be distinguished from ecthyma gangrenosum which is caused by Pseudomonas aeruginosa and usually occurs on the body flexures like axillae and anogenital area. Wound cultures can help in differentiating the two conditions.

Treatment requires beta-lactamase-resistant antibiotics for 2–3 weeks. Factors like overall poor general condition and nutrition status must be paid attention to.

Erysipelas

Erysipelas is an acute, beta-hemolytic (groups I, II, III, VII, and VI) streptococcal and uncommonly S. aureus infection of the skin involving the superficial dermal lymphatics and dermis. Erysipelas can happen following any inflammatory dermatosis or traumatic insult to the area. Clinical features are similar to cellulitis. But since it is a more superficial condition than cellulitis, hence the edges are more sharply demarcated in erysipelas (Fig. 4). Sharply demarcated, tender, erythematous plaques with an elevated advancing border is a typical feature. Face and legs are commonly involved areas. Sometimes upper extremities and genital region may also be involved. Constitutional symptoms such as fever, malaise, myalgia, and chills may be seen.24 A serious complication of erysipelas, especially for facial lesions, is cavernous sinus thrombosis. Eyes may be swollen shut with advancing erythema and edema, similar to that seen in cellulitis. Vesicles and bullae may develop on the surface followed by gangrene. Diagnosis is mainly clinical. Cultures of blood, exudate, biopsies, or swabs are low in yield and not advised. Differential diagnoses for erysipelas include acute contact dermatitis, giant urticaria, and burns.

Depending on the severity, erysipelas can be treated with oral or parenteral beta-lactams. Among the oral beta-lactams, cefprozil, cefuroxime axetil, cephalexin, cefadroxil, and beta-lactam/beta-lactamase inhibitor combinations such as amoxicillin-clavulanate have shown good efficacy. ICMM and NCDC guidelines recommend amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, cephalexin, or cloxacillin. Parenteral penicillinase-resistant penicillin, a first-generation cephalosporin, or beta-lactam/beta-lactamase inactivator combinations such as amoxicillin-clavulanate is recommended for serious cases. Repeated episodes may require long-term antimicrobial prophylaxis along with correction of risk factors. Recently, newer antibiotics like linezolid, daptomycin, tigecycline, telavancin, and ceftaroline have shown good results in SSTIs, including deep bacterial skin infections.4,25,26 However, advanced antibiotics like telavancin and ceftaroline are not yet widely used in India.

Complicated Skin and Soft Tissue Infections

Carbuncle

Bigger and deeper abscesses formed by the merging of multiple furuncles are defined as carbuncles. They are usually caused by S. aureus. They are deep, extremely painful and when they rupture, they form multiple draining sinuses, the latter being a typical pathognomonic feature. They can occur in individuals with immunosuppression and diabetes mellitus and are more commonly seen in older individuals instead of children. The most common site is the nape of the neck, back, breast, and buttocks. Incision and drainage is the treatment of choice along with empiric antibiotic therapy with action against S. aureus. The presence of many interconnecting sinuses often necessitates surgical drainage and resection to avoid recurrence. Underlying risk factors need to
be corrected. Mupirocin prophylaxis reduces nasal colonization in Staphylococcal carriers.

**Abscess**

Abscesses are walled-off collections of pus, often larger, and deeper than furuncles. They may develop on hair-bearing or non-hair bearing sites and have the same predisposing conditions as furuncles (Table 1). They are quite tender and appear as a painful induration with a pustule on the tip. Initially, the swelling is tense and later gives way to become fluctuant. Large abscesses are often encircled by erythema and induration and may be associated with constitutional symptoms.27

The most common causative organism is *S. aureus* followed by *Streptococcus*, coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus*, gram-negative organisms, and anaerobes in that order. Abscesses may also be polymicrobial depending on the cause. Close to 50% of *S. aureus* isolates have shown methicillin resistance followed by clindamycin resistance (up to 13%), and TMP-SMX resistance (up to 3%).28–30

An abscess may also be classified as simple or complicated. A simple abscess is characterized by size up to 5 cm (≤3 cm in patients 6–11 months of age and ≤4 cm in patients 1–8 years of age). A complicated abscess is defined as an abscess >5 cm in diameter (and proportionally smaller in young children), involvement of ≥2 sites, or presence of a recurrent abscess.10

Recurrences are common in patients with a skin abscess, especially if there is colonization with methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and *S. aureus* producing PVL toxin. Household contacts may also acquire the infection if adequate hygiene is not followed.

Differential diagnosis includes inflamed epidermoid or sebaceous cysts, hidradenitis suppurativa, foreign body granuloma, and mycobacterial skin infection.

**Treatment**

Incision and drainage is the treatment of choice. Small abscesses may resolve secondary to spontaneous drainage. For larger abscesses, one must probe the cavity to break up any loculations and express out all the pus and debris.31 Although ultrasound-guided needle aspiration has been advocated by some, I&D continues to be more superior.32 Treatment with oral antibiotics for 7–10 days after I&D can be employed. In locations with a high prevalence of MRSA, various antibiotics like TMP-SMX, clindamycin, doxycycline, minocycline (only >8 years group), linezolid, and fusidic acid may be useful.28–30,33,34

Vancomycin is the antibiotic of choice for complicated abscesses. Clindamycin may be used if the local resistance rate is <10%. Other parenteral antibiotics like teicoplanin, daptomycin, linezolid, tigecycline, and telavancin (telavancin only in the US) may be utilized for more serious infections requiring hospitalization (Table 2).36 Newer antibiotics, especially dalbavancin and tedizolid are effective against ABSSSI and MRSA.37,38 These are, however, not yet approved in India for serious MRSA infections.

Dalbavancin, a second-generation lipoglycopeptide, was recently approved by FDA and its European counterpart, the European Medicines Agency (EMA) with a two-dose regimen of 1,000 mg followed 1 week later by 500 mg administered intravenously over 30 minutes (weekly dose facilitated by its prolonged half-life). The DISCOVER 1 and DISCOVER 2 studies showed that once-weekly intravenous dalbavancin was non-inferior to twice-daily vancomycin followed by oral linezolid.40–42 Tedizolid, a novel oxazolidinone, administered daily in oral or intravenous forms, has shown promising results in ESTABLISH 1 and 2 studies.51,42 These are not yet approved for use in India.

**Cellulitis**

Group A beta-hemolytic streptococci are most commonly responsible for cellulitis. Infection of the subcutaneous tissue often follows a furuncle, a wound, or infected skin lesions. It is characterized by diffuse erythema, edema, swelling, and tenderness. The edges are ill-defined (Fig. 5). Constitutional symptoms is common in individuals older than 50 years.27

**Table 2:** Indication for hospitalization35

| Muscle or fascial involvement is suspected | Rapid progression | Signs of toxemia | Doubtful diagnosis or prognosis | Exploratory surgery is contemplated | Poor compliance with outpatient treatment |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|

**Table 3:** Risk factors for cellulitis

| Skin lesions, e.g., burns, wounds | Surgical incisions | Insect bites | Traumatic injuries, and other conditions with loss of skin integrity | Anal streptococcal colonization in children |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|

**Fig. 5:** Cellulitis of right leg
symptoms can be mild or marked. Associated lymphangitis and lymphadenopathy are quite frequent. Rarely, blisters, purpuric or ecchymotic areas, pustules, and abscessed areas may be present. Cellulitis recurrence can also occur43 (Table 3).

Cellulitis can also be of two types: complicated and uncomplicated. Cellulitis is said to be complicated if it occurs in presence of an abscess requiring surgical drainage, necrosis, gangrene, lymphadenitis, sepsis, underlying soft-tissue malformation, bite or penetrating injury, foreign body, fracture, lymphedema, medical comorbidities, and immunosuppression. Imaging is a useful tool to detect complications like gas or abscess formation and must be performed if suspected.44

Antibiotics effective against Streptococci must be started immediately. If the cellulitis is early and mild and there are no significant comorbidities, then oral beta-lactams or first-generation cephalosporin (i.e., cephalaxin) for 1–2 weeks (or until complete resolution) is sufficient. Macrolides and lincosamides can also be used, but resistance to them is increasing. For uncomplicated mild cellulitis suspected to be secondary to MRSA, there are various options. One may prescribe either oral TMP-SMX or clindamycin. Clindamycin must be used only if the local clindamycin resistance rate is <10%. The antibiotics may be continued for 1–2 weeks or until complete resolution.45,46

For uncomplicated cellulitis that is moderate/severe in nature, intravenous penicillin or first-generation cephalosporin can be started empirically, for at least 48 hours before changing over to oral. Uncomplicated moderate to severe cases with a high incidence of community MRSA should be treated by intravenous vancomycin, or TMP-SMX or clindamycin. Oral amoxicillin/clavulanic acid or cephalosporin (e.g., cephalaxin) could be instituted when systemic symptoms reduce. Antibiotics should ideally be given for 2 weeks or until complete resolution.1

The intravenous route is the first choice for more complicated and severe infections. Treatment must be started with vancomycin or teicoplanin or clindamycin and coverage against gram-negative anaerobes (i.e., piperacillin/tazobactam or imipenem-meropenem) must be added in cases of surgical site infection, bite or penetrating injury, foreign body, fracture, medical comorbidities, and immunosuppression. Scale-down to oral treatment can be done 3–5 days after intravenous treatment and when systemic symptoms have resolved.1,43,47

If complicated, severe cellulitis secondary to MRSA, glycopeptides, and newer antibiotics like linezolid, daptomycin, telavancin (latter only in the USA), and tigecycline can be considered.32 Dalbavancin and tedizolid, in limited use outside India currently, also can be administered on a case-by-case basis.4,26,48

In cases of risk factors for P. aeruginosa (i.e., surgical drainage, bite or penetrating injury, foreign body, fracture, medical comorbidities, immunosuppression), a 14–21-day course of oral ciprofloxacin should be considered.

Necrotizing Fasciitis

Necrotizing fasciitis (NF) is a subset of the aggressive SSTIs that cause necrosis of the muscle fascia and subcutaneous tissues. It can affect any body part, including, but not limited to, scrotum and perineum, the abdominal wall, or the extremities. The infection has a rapid rate of progression with a high mortality rate of close to 30%.4,49

Necrotizing fasciitis is classified into three types, depending on microbiological findings. Type I is characterized by polymicrobial infection, caused by both aerobic and anaerobic bacteria which are often bowel flora derived. This subtype is more common in older individuals. Type 2 NF is usually monomicrobial and is secondary to gram-positive organisms like S. aureus (including MRSA) and group A Streptococci (GAS) alone or in synergism. Toxin production leading to toxic shock syndrome has been suggested as one of the mechanisms. This type is not associated with any specific age-group. Some experts have proposed type III infection that is caused by uncommon and often marine-related organisms like Peptostreptococcus spp., Enterobacteriaceae, Proteus spp., Pseudomonas spp., Klebsiella pneumoniae, Vibrio vulnificus, and Aeromonas hydrophila. These organisms are more virulent and produce more severe clinical manifestations.4

The overlying skin, which appears unaffected initially, gradually turns erythematous, reddish-purple to bluish-grey hue. It rapidly becomes indurated, shiny, and warm. Crepitus may be present. At this stage, there is extreme tenderness which is out of proportion to the symptoms. Skin necrosis and ulceration begin in the next 3–5 days. Bullae, ecchymosis, dysesthesia, and paresthesia may be present which ultimately result in cutaneous gangrene. Pain comes down after thrombosis of small vessels and destruction of the superficial nerves in the skin. If left untreated, it will rapidly progress to fever, tachycardia, and sepsis. The infection can spread rapidly within hours hence suspicion should be high for NF in the presence of intense pain. Patients may have underlying comorbidities like a history of skin or mucosal breach secondary to surgery, immunosuppression, malignancy, vascular disease, or diabetes.50

Laboratory risk indicator for necrotizing infection (LRINEC) score is used to stratify the severity of NF. It takes into account the total white blood cell count, hemoglobin, sodium, glucose, creatinine, and C-reactive protein values and scores of 6 or more are indicative of NF.51

Diagnosis is mainly clinical. Gram's stain and culture and sensitivity of the aspirated material help confirm the diagnosis. Imaging technologies like bedside color Doppler ultrasound and computed tomography (CT) scan (imaging investigation of choice) can also aid in early diagnosis.

Surgery is the treatment of choice and must not be delayed for want of any lab or imaging tests. A recent meta-analysis found that time is of the essence while treating necrotizing soft tissue infections. Mortality was significantly lower for patients who underwent surgery within 6 hours (19% mortality rate) after presentation compared to when surgery was delayed >6 hours (32% mortality rate). Source control is extremely important and this may include surgical debridement and removal of invasive devices. Amputation of the extremity may need to be performed if the infection is severe and continuing to spread.52 Vacuum-assisted closure aids in wound healing.53

Antibiotics covering MRSA like vancomycin or linezolid in combination with piperacillin-tazobactam, a carbapenem, or ceftriaxone-metronidazole should be started at the earliest. Clindamycin should also be included in empiric therapy due to its effect on toxins released by certain organisms, including S. aureus and GAS. Once the investigation results are available, the clinician can switch to specific therapy keeping in mind local antibiotic susceptibility patterns. For known or suspected Vibrio spp. Necrotizing fasciitis, doxycycline plus a third-generation cephalosporin is recommended. For known or suspected Aeromonas infections, doxycycline is recommended in combination with piperacillin-tazobactam or a carbapenem. For suspected Vibrio, doxycycline plus a third-generation cephalosporin is recommended. Vancomycin or linezolid is recommended in combination with a carbapenem.
with ciprofloxacin. I.V. fluids and vasopressors must be kept handy for hemodynamically unstable patients. \(^ {49,54}\)

A recent review found insufficient evidence for or against the use of adjunctive hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT). For centers with HBOT readily available, its use can be considered, but should not be a substitute for surgical or antimicrobial therapy. Intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) has been suggested as a treatment for superantigen-mediated toxic shock syndrome due to streptococcal or staphylococcal NF. It is believed that it binds and inactivates circulating superantigens, thereby blunting the superantigen-mediated cytokine cascade. However, data supporting this remain anecdotal. \(^ {53,54}\)

Commonly used antibiotics with their pediatric dosages are depicted in Table 4 and 5.

### Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*

Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, both community and hospital-acquired, is increasingly becoming a common isolate from various SSTIs, thus posing a serious worldwide health concern.

---

**Table 4:** Table summarizing NCDC (2016), AIIMS, and ICMR (2019) treatment guidelines for antimicrobial use in skin and soft tissue infections

| Condition | Organism | Empiric antibiotics (presumptive antibiotics) | Alternative antibiotics | Comments |
|-----------|----------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| Impetigo with numerous lesions, ecthyma, bullous impetigo, to control transmission during outbreaks | *Staphylococcus aureus* | Amoxicillin-clavulanate | Cephalexin or cefuroxime | Duration 5–7 days; if suspicion of MRSA: preferred: linezolid; alternative: cotrimoxazole |
| Non-bullous impetigo (few lesions) | Group A Streptococcus (GAS) | Topical mupirocin BD | Topical fusidic acid BD | Blood culture is not essential–duration: 5–7 days |
| Furunculosis | *Staphylococcus aureus* | Amoxicillin-clavulanate or ceftriaxone IV for severe infection | Clindamycin | Duration–5–7 days; get pus cultures before starting antibiotics; parenteral route to be considered for severe infection only |
| Abscess | *S. pyogenes*, oral anaerobes | Amoxicillin-clavulanate OR ampicillin-sulbactam (for severe infection) | Clindamycin | Duration 5–7 days |
| | *S. aureus*, facultative gram-negative anaerobes | | Linezolid OR, vancomycin, PLUS, ciprofloxacin | |
| Erysipelas with no signs of systemic toxicity | Group A Streptococcus (GAS) | Amoxicillin-clavulanate | Cephalexin or cefuroxime | Duration 5–7 days |
| Erysipelas with signs of systemic toxicity/Rapid progression of symptoms despite 48 hours of oral treatment | Group A Streptococcus (GAS) | Injection ceftriaxone | Clindamycin | Duration 5–14 days |
| Cellulitis without signs of systemic toxicity | *Streptococcus pyogenes* (common), *Staphylococcus aureus* | Tab amoxicillin-clavulanate for 5–7 days | Cefazolin; Or cephalexin; Or clindamycin | If MRSA suspected–cotrimoxazole or linezolid |
| Cellulitis with signs of systemic toxicity/rapid progression of symptoms despite 48 hours of oral/proximity of the lesion to an indwelling medical device | *Streptococcus pyogenes* (common), *Staphylococcus aureus* | Injection vancomycin or injection ceftriaxone or injection amoxicillin-clavulanate | Teicoplanin or clindamycin | Other alternatives: linezolid or daptomycin for 5–14 days |
| Necrotizing fasciitis | *Streptococcus pyogenes*, *S. aureus*, anaerobes, Enterobacteriaceae (polymicrobial) | Piperacillin-tazobactam or ceftoperazone-sulbactam AND clindamycin Plus, MRSA coverage (vancomycin/teicoplanin/linezolid) | Imipenem or meropenem AND clindamycin plus, MRSA coverage linezolid/daptomycin | Duration depends on the progress; early surgical intervention crucial |
| | *Aeromonas/V. vulnificus* (suspect when the history of exposure to freshwater or saltwater, respectively) | Ciprofloxacin + doxycycline | | Generally, 14 days if adequate source control achieved |
### Table 5: Dosage guide for commonly used antimicrobial agents in skin and soft tissue infections

| Antibiotic                     | Pediatric dose                                                                 | Side effects                                                                 |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Amoxicillin-clavulanate (Co-amoxiclav) | Based on amoxicillin dose: 125–250 mg Q 8 hours; children weighing <40 kg: 20–40 mg/kg/day (amoxicillin in 2–3 divided doses; infants <3 months: up to 30 mg/kg/day in divided doses every 12 hours | Rash, diarrhea, abdominal, AST ALT elevation                                |
| Ampicillin-sulbactam            | ≥1 year: Usual dose: 300 mg (ampicillin 200 mg and sulbactam 100 mg)/kg/day, to be given in 4 equally divided doses; up to 200–300 mg/kg/day of ampicillin, given in 4 equally divided doses may be used in infants ≥1 month of age | Thrombophlebitis, fatigue, headache, GI disturbance, dysuria, urinary retention |
| Azithromycin                    | 10 mg/kg/day once daily                                                        | Leukopenia, transient elevation of liver enzymes, renal toxicity              |
| Cefadroxil                      | 30 mg/kg/day in 2 doses                                                        | Rash, eosinophilia                                                           |
| Cephalexin                      | 25–100 mg/kg/day in 3–4 divided doses; max 4 g daily                           | Transient neutropenia, AST and ALT elevation, arthralgia, rash, eosinophilia  |
| Cefazolin                       | >1 month: 25–50 mg/kg/day in 3–4 divided doses; max 100 mg/kg daily for severe infections | Nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, rash, leukopenia, elevated transaminases          |
| Cefoperazone-sulbactam          | Ratio of cefoperazone:sulbactam is 1:1. Doses are expressed in terms of cefoperazone. Recommended dose: 20–40 mg/kg/day given in divided doses every 6–12 hours. For serious infections: up to 160 mg/kg/day given in 2–4 divided doses. Max dose of sulbactam 80 mg/kg/day | Rash, eosinophilia, GI disturbances                                           |
| Cefuroxime                      | 30–60 mg/kg/day, may increase to 100 mg/kg/day if necessary, in 3–4 divided doses | Nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, anaphylaxis, pseudomembranous colitis, Steven–Johnson syndrome |
| Ceftriaxone                     | <50 kg: 25–50 mg/kg once daily increased to 80 mg/kg in severe infections; doses >50 mg/kg should be given as IV infusion; IV infusion in neonates should be given over 60 minutes; Max dose (neonates): 50 mg/kg/day | Anaphylaxis, diarrhea, local reactions, blood dyscrasias, rash, elevated transaminases |
| Ciprofloxacin                   | 20–30 mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses                                             | Nausea, vomiting, abdominal discomfort, arthralgia, photosensitivity transient elevation of liver enzymes |
| Clindamycin                     | 15–40 mg/kg/day in 3–4 divided doses; children weighing <10 kg should receive at least 37.5 mg Q 8 hours | Diarrhea, nausea, pseudomembranous colitis, skin rash, erythema multiforme, raised ALT AST, thrombocytopenia, leukopenia |
| Cloxacillin                     | 50–100 mg/kg/day in 3–4 divided doses                                           | Dose-related neutropenia, elevated AST, ALT, cholecystitis interstitial nephritis |
| Cotrimoxazole                   | –10 mg/kg/day in 2 divided doses; 6 weeks to 5 months: 120 mg BD; 6 months to 5 years: 240 mg BD; 6–12 years: 480 mg BD | Megaloblastic anemia, disturbance, rash, erythema multiforme major/minor     |
| Daptomycin                      | 12–17 years: 5 mg/kg IV Q 24 hours; 7–11 years: 7 mg/kg IV Q 24 hours; 2–6 years: 9 mg/kg IV Q 24 hours; 1 to <2 years: 10 mg/kg IV Q 24 hours; <1 year: safety and efficacy not established | Insomnia, chest pain, elevated creatine phosphokinase, edema                |
| Doxycycline                     | >8 years and <45 kg: initially 4 mg/kg in 2 divided doses followed by 2 mg/kg daily | Permanent staining of teeth, rash, GI disturbance, hemolytic anemia, thrombocytopenia |
| Linezolid                       | 10 mg/kg/dose 6–8 hourly (oral, IV)                                            | Peripheral and optic neuropathy, thrombocytopenia, hypertension, myelosuppression, colitis |
| Imipenem                        | >40 kg: 1–2 g IV in 3–4 divided doses (max dose 4 g/day or 50 mg/kg); >3 months and <40 kg: 15–25 mg/kg Q 6 hours by IV infusion (max dose 2 g/day); neonates and infants <3 months: 25 mg/kg Q 6–12 hours | Skin rash, eosinophilia, fever, tongue discoloration, altered taste          |
| Meropenem                       | >50 kg: 500 mg Q 8 hours; ≥3 months and <50 kg: 10 mg (max 500 mg every 8 hours) | Hypotension, transient elevation of liver enzymes, renal modification in renal failure |
| Metronidazole                   | 7.5 mg/kg/day dose 3 times/day                                                  | Nausea, metallic taste, disulfiram-like reaction with alcohol, peripheral neuropathy |
| Piperacillin–tazobactam         | 2–8 months: 80 mg of piperacillin/kg Q 8 hours; ≥9 months and ≤40 kg: 100 mg of piperacillin/kg Q 8 hours | Leukopenia, transient elevation of liver enzymes, renal toxicity            |

Contd…
Bacterial Skin and Soft Tissue Infections in Children

Table 6: Risk factors for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* colonization in children

| Risk Factor | Example |
|-------------|---------|
| Age <6 months and 8–13 years | Male sex |
| No. of siblings | Children on camping trips |
| Children in daycare | MRSA-positive parent |
| Household members working in a healthcare facility | Previous hospitalization of a family member |
| Regular visit to a healthcare facility and previous hospitalization | History of an indwelling catheter or other medical devices |
| Chronic skin diseases |  

Studies across the country have shown varying results regarding MRSA prevalence. Out of 709 hospitalized patients with nosocomial *S. aureus* SSTIs at three tertiary care hospitals in New Delhi, MRSA was associated with 41, 31, and 7.5% of infections at each of the three hospitals. In another survey carried out in a tertiary care center in Tamil Nadu, 46% out of 106 *S. aureus* isolates were methicillin-resistant. In a study conducted in Mumbai, 619 isolates were recovered in various SSTIs. No MRSA was found in CA SSTIs, whereas 45% of HA *S. aureus* strains were methicillin-resistant. Hence, MRSA prevalence varies not only from region to region but also from institution to institution within a region. It is important to know MRSA prevalence in one’s hospital or local community for an effective antibiotic prescription. Strategies for managing this issue necessitate knowledge of the local prevalence of MRSA, and patient risk factors (Table 6).

The following recommendations (2016) from National Centre for Disease Control, India must be kept in mind while treating MRSA infections:

- Though MRSA strains may be reported as susceptible to fluoroquinolones, aminoglycosides, chloramphenicol, and doxycycline *in vitro*, these drugs are NOT to be used alone or as initial treatment for serious MRSA infections.
- Rifampicin use should be avoided in diseases other than mycobacterial diseases. Rifampicin should not be prescribed in our country for any treatment other than for *Mycobacteria* and chemoprophylaxis of meningococcal meningitis in clinically indicated populations. Rifampicin should not be prescribed alone as an anti-bacterial.

**Table 6: Risk factors for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* colonization in children**

| Risk Factor | Example |
|-------------|---------|
| Age <6 months and 8–13 years | Male sex |
| No. of siblings | Children on camping trips |
| Children in daycare | MRSA-positive parent |
| Household members working in a healthcare facility | Previous hospitalization of a family member |
| Regular visit to a healthcare facility and previous hospitalization | History of an indwelling catheter or other medical devices |
| Chronic skin diseases |  

**Diagnosis of Skin and Soft Tissue Infections**

- Gram’s stained smear: This is a rapid and simple bedside test that can give initial information about the causative organism.
- The culture and sensitivity of purulent material help in selecting the right antibiotic. Care should be taken to avoid superficial swabs, as they would yield only commensal microorganisms.
- Blood cultures are not useful for SSTIs because of low positive yield. Across various studies, the rates of true-positive blood culture have ranged from 0 to <3%. Isolated organisms usually include MRSA, MSSA, *S. pyogenes*, and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and thus, doing a blood culture usually does not lead to any change in antibiotics. The current guidelines from the IDSA do not recommend blood cultures for SSTIs. However, blood cultures may be for cSSTIs in immuno-suppressed individuals, animal bites, surgical or traumatic wounds, infected ulcers, burns, and in neonates given their relative immunocompromised state compared with older children.
- Molecular diagnosis: Traditional bacterial culture and sensitivity methods may be associated with a delay in results. Molecular techniques, including PCR-based technologies, are often employed to arrive at an accurate diagnosis quickly. These are especially very useful for SSTIs caused by *S. aureus* as they can rapidly detect PVL encoding genes from the pus samples.
- Imaging: X-ray helps in detecting the presence of gas in the soft tissues, suggesting a necrotizing infection, and also reveals any underlying osteomyelitis. Computed tomography scans and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are being used increasingly, with the latter considered the investigation of choice for SSTI because of its great soft-tissue contrast. However,
ultrasonography (US) may be more advantageous in some settings, given that it is economical, easy to perform, does not have side effects, and can be performed even in individuals with contraindications to MRI. It has a good degree of sensitivity in differentiating cellulitis from the abscess.4

**Conclusion**

Bacterial SSTIs are the commonest infections in the Indian pediatric population and other developing countries. They not only contribute to significant morbidity and mortality but they also have important consequences as far as the economic impact is considered. Because of the ubiquitous occurrence and trivial nature of the lesions, parents often neglect to seek healthcare facilities for pyoderma which can lead to complications later on. Hence, awareness regarding the importance of medical care for common skin infections should be created among the general population and primary healthcare workers. Information and education about risk factors and strategies for their mitigation must be a part of various health programs.
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