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RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HUMAN DISEASE AND EXPERIMENTAL ANIMAL MODELS

Although first reports about neuromyelitis optica (NMO) appeared over 100 years ago (11, 19), a clear distinction between NMO and multiple sclerosis (MS) only became possible in the past decade, when Lennon et al discovered a serum autoantibody marker of NMO, the so-called NMO-immunoglobulin G (IgG) (34) antibody, and subsequently identified aquaporin 4 (AQ4P) as the target structure of these antibodies on astrocytes (33). Since then, our knowledge about NMO has grown exponentially.

NMO is a severe autoimmune disease of the central nervous system (CNS), which is characterized by the formation of large, often necrotic lesions preferentially affecting the optic nerves and spinal cord (Figure 1). Astrocytes are the prime target of the immune-system in NMO patients (33). These cells express large amounts of the water channel AQ4P, which are recognized by AQ4P-specific autoantibodies in the vast majority of NMO patients. Some patients show only a limited form of NMO typically characterized by recurrent attacks of either optic neuritis or longitudinally extensive transverse myelitis in the setting of AQ4P antibody seropositivity. These patients are referred to as having NMO spectrum disorder (NMOSD) (27). It is likely, however, that NMOSD and NMO are the same disease.

Based on the availability of a known circulating autoantibody and a defined target antigen, one would have expected that the development of appropriate animal models would be a straightforward task. However, the simple transfer of AQ4P antibodies into naïve animals was not sufficient to induce experimental NMO (8). This is somewhat akin to the human situation wherein patients may harbor AQ4P-specific serum antibodies for many years without showing clinical signs of NMO (41). As the factors needed for NMO-IgG to induce clinically overt disease are known, it remains challenging to induce experimental NMO. Modeling NMO is further complicated by the fact that NMO-IgG recognizes conformational epitopes of AQ4P (3) that are not available when recombinant, unfolded protein is used for immunization. The epitopes require immunogen consisting of properly folded AQ4P, which is not soluble or requires toxic concentrations of triton for solubilization. Further, when membrane lysates of AQ4P-transfected cells are used for immunization, they can trigger unwanted antibody responses against other membrane components of the transfectants as well (own observations). Given all these obstacles, there currently is no reproducible experimental NMO model with long-term, robust AQ4P-specific antibody expression available, let alone with a spontaneous onset of AQ4P-specific, antibody-mediated CNS pathology. Despite these limitations, it is possible to create experimental models that reproduce important aspects of human NMO and to use them for addressing key questions raised by clinicians and pathologists about the pathogenic significance of AQ4P-specific autoantibodies in NMO, the contribution of different cells and cytokines to lesion formation, and the role of AQ4P-specific T cells in the disease process.

“PATHOGENIC OR EPIPHENOMENON?” —THE SIGNIFICANCE OF AQ4P-SPECIFIC AUTOANTIBODIES REVEALED BY ANIMAL MODELS

The clinical observation that most NMO/NMOSD patients harbor serum autoantibodies against AQ4P (33, 34) immediately raised two important questions: (i) Are the antibodies just an epiphenomenon of the disease, unrelated to the initiation of NMO lesions, or are they pathogenic? (ii) And if pathogenic, under which conditions do the antibodies enter the CNS to initiate the formation of astrocyte-destructive lesions? The first question was relatively easy...
to address in experimental models. As NMO patients who experience steroid-unresponsive severe exacerbation are often treated with plasma exchange, this provides large amounts of NMO–IgG-containing plasma, which can be further purified and used for experimental purposes. Because these NMO-IgGs recognize AQP4 on the surface of living astrocytes (33) and react with AQP4 in humans (33), rats (8), and mice (33), their pathogenic potential can be easily tested in experimental animals. First attempts to induce experimental NMO by injecting NMO-IgG into the circulation/peritoneum of healthy rodents led to NMO-IgG seropositive animals but failed to produce CNS lesions (8). These early experiments clearly demonstrated that an intact blood–brain barrier (BBB) efficiently shields the CNS from the entry of antibodies. Even the leaky BBB of immature rodents (8, 47) or a pertussis toxin- or lipopolysaccharide-permeabilized BBB of adult animals (47) did not permit lesion formation with AQP4 loss in NMO-IgG seropositive animals. Therefore, NMO animal models were designed in which NMO-IgG could reach the CNS parenchyma either in the context of CNS inflammation, when the BBB was opened, or via direct intracerebral injection, thereby circumventing the BBB.

NMO/experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) models

The first set of experimental models was based on two observations: (i) the presence of inflammatory cells in NMO lesions (36); and (ii) on previous experiences with studying the pathogenicity of other CNS antigen-specific IgGs, using antibodies directed against the myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG) recognized on the surface of myelin sheaths and oligodendrocytes (31, 35). Here we knew from older studies that these antibodies were pathogenic and (i) on previous experiences with studying the pathogenicity of other CNS antigen-specific IgGs, using antibodies directed against the myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG) recognized on the surface of myelin sheaths and oligodendrocytes (31, 35). Here we knew from older studies that these antibodies were pathogenic when introduced into the CNS of Lewis rats in the course of EAE, a paradigm of T cell-mediated inflammatory disease of the CNS.

EAE can be induced either by activating CNS antigen-specific T cells by adjuvant-assisted immunization with the respective CNS antigen (active EAE) or by injecting in vitro-activated, CNS antigen-specific T cells into naïve syngeneic rats (passive EAE). When the animals show the first clinical signs of CNS inflammation (ie, weight loss or mild neurological symptoms like the loss of tail tonicity), the BBB is open and facilitates the entry of serum proteins into the CNS parenchyma. When the immune system is supplemented at this time point with MOG-specific antibodies, these IgGs cross through the opened BBB and enter the CNS parenchyma, bind to the surface of myelin sheaths, fix complement and initiate demyelination (31, 35). Using a similar approach, we induced EAE and supplemented the immune system with NMO–IgG-containing AQP4-specific antibodies at the time of first clinical symptoms, thereby permitting these antibodies to enter into the CNS. These antibodies were shown to bind to the surface of astrocytes in a rosette-like pattern very similar to the pattern seen in human NMO lesions, fix complement at these sites and trigger the formation of astrocyte-destructive lesions (3, 8, 23). Hence, these NMO/EAE models clearly showed that the AQP4-specific antibodies found in NMO patients were pathogenic and not merely epiphenomena of the disease process. Moreover, CNS pathology in both active and passive NMO/EAE was similar to the initial tissue alterations observed in some NMO lesions. NMO/EAE lesions were characterized by massive infiltration of neutrophils at lesion sites, along with complement deposition on subpial and perivascular astrocyte processes and profound loss of astrocytes (3, 8). At later stages characterized by the presence of macrophages/activated microglia containing myelin degradation products and/or by overt demyelination (3), secondary oligodendrocyte destruction was also observed (Figure 2). The NMO/EAE model clearly demonstrates that T cells are required to open the BBB for the entry of antibodies and complement, which themselves are not sufficient to initiate lesions, as learned from

Figure 1. Pathology of neuromyelitis optica NMO. A–D. Cervical spinal cord from an NMO patient, showing large destructive lesions, which mainly affect the central portions of the cord and show complete loss of myelin (A), of glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) (B), of aquaporin (AQP) 1 (C) and of AQP 4 (D); more peripheral portions of the lesions reveal in part preserved astrocytes with variable loss of AQP 1 and complete loss of AQP 4; x: 6.6, E–L. Active lesion of NMO with partial loss of myelin (E), more pronounced loss of GFAP (F), severe loss of AQP 1 (G) and complete loss of AQP 4 (H); such lesions contain T cells (I), abundant numbers of macrophages (J), activated complement (C9neo antigen) present in a rosette-like manner around vessels (K), and abundant granulocytes and eosinophils (L). E–K: x 200; I: x 1000. LFB = luxol fast blue.
NMO-IgG transfer into animals with a developmentally leaky (8, 47) or a pertussis toxin- or lipopolysaccharide-permeabilized BBB (47), but also require additional effector mechanisms for the initiation of astrocyte-destructive lesions. Hence, NMO/EAE is a suitable model to study the initial stages of lesion formation in NMO. However, this model also has disadvantages. First, it requires large amounts of patient-derived NMO-IgG (10 mg) for a single NMO/EAE animal. Second, NMO/EAE in Lewis rats is a TH1 cell-driven CNS disease, whereas NMO in humans may have a TH17 bias (16). Although mice show this TH17 bias (40), they cannot currently be used for the induction of NMO/EAE, as human NMO-IgG can only fix and activate rat (3, 8), but not murine complement (49).

**NMO-IgG/complement intracerebral injection models**

In this model system, the BBB was not opened by the effects of CNS antigen-specific T cells. Rather, this was bypassed by direct, repeated infusions of NMO-IgG and human complement into the cerebral hemisphere or ventricular system of experimental mice (49). The pathogenicity of human NMO-IgG was apparent in these models. The first signs of astrocyte injury appeared 12 h after the injections and included loss of AQP4 expression, glial cell edema, some myelin breakdown and early axonal injury. Seven days later, perivascular deposition of activated complement components, loss of AQP4 expression, loss of astrocytes and extensive demyelination as well as neuronal cell death were observed. By this time point, extensive inflammatory cell infiltration could also be observed, dominated by mononuclear and polymorphonuclear cells (49). Because the BBB is circumvented by intracerebral injection in this experimental model, T cells are not needed to open it. Consequently, macrophage- and granulocyte-infiltrated lesions with a comparable loss of AQP4 reactivity and demyelination were also seen after injection of NMO-IgG and human complement into the brains of T cell-deficient nude mice (51). This experimental model also revealed the importance of neutrophils for lesion formation, as neuroinflammation, myelin loss and AQP4 loss were reduced in neutropenic mice and in mice treated with neutrophil protease inhibitors (50).

Like the NMO/EAE model described earlier, the NMO-IgG/complement-infusion model has both advantages and disadvantages. Because this model requires much less NMO-IgG than the NMO/EAE models, it is an ideal tool for therapeutic studies such as the initial testing of small molecules to inhibit binding of NMO-IgG to its cellular targets in vivo (57, 58) or of drugs inhibiting neutrophil effector molecules (50). Because this model works in mice, studies can be extended to the large zoo of transgenic or knockout mice currently available, which will certainly give further insight into the role of individual molecules in lesion formation (or its prevention). The disadvantages of this model are:
Cytokine-injection NMO models

The animal models described earlier clearly reveal that NMO-IgG is pathogenic in sufficiently high concentration in the CNS parenchyma and when it is able to fix complement upon binding to its target structures in the CNS. Both models were also clearly associated with inflammation (3, 8, 49). This raises the question of whether pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines also contribute to lesion formation with AQP4 loss in the presence of NMO-IgG and complement. To address this point, the cytokines interleukin-1 beta, tumor necrosis factor alpha, interleukin-6, interferon gamma or the chemokine CXCL2 were stereotactically injected into the striatum of NMO–IgG-seropositive rats. One day later, all injected cytokines and chemokines led to profound leakage of immunoglobulins into the injected hemisphere, but only interleukin-1 beta triggered the formation of perivascular, neutrophil-infiltrated lesions with AQP4 loss and complement-mediated astrocyte destruction distant from the needle tract (26). These effects of interleukin-1 beta were at least in part mediated by its action on the BBB, as treatment of rat brain endothelial cells with interleukin-1 beta, but not with any other cytokine or chemokine applied at the same concentration and over the same period of time, caused profound up-regulation of granulocyte-recruiting and supporting molecules in these cells (26). Moreover, injections of interleukin-1 beta caused higher numbers of blood vessels with perivascular, cellular C1q reactivity than any other cytokine tested. These data clearly confirmed that interleukin-1 beta facilitates the entry of neutrophils and the breakdown of the BBB. Because activated microglia/macrophages in active NMO lesions contain more interleukin-1 beta than those found in active MS lesions (26), this cytokine could be an important secondary factor in lesion formation, possibly by paving the way for rapid lesion growth and amplified immune cell recruitment to this site (26). This model also affords an opportunity to study the contribution of defined single cytokines (instead of the cytokine cocktails secreted by immune cells) to lesion initiation and/or evolution in NMO–IgG-seropositive rats. Unfortunately, this model also relies on surgical manipulation of the CNS.

Cumulatively, all experimental models described earlier clearly revealed that NMO-IgG is pathogenic when it can overcome the constraints placed by an impermeable BBB and when additional cellular/molecular effector mechanisms are in place to amplify immune cascade.

THE QUEST FOR PATHOGENIC AQP4-SPECIFIC T CELLS IN ANIMAL MODELS

Having established that AQP4-specific antibodies of NMO patients were pathogenic, investigators moved on to study AQP4-specific T cell responses. Several questions were raised. Do these T cells only facilitate antibody production, or can they also induce inflammatory lesions in the CNS? Lewis rats were used to help address these questions. Because all autoimmune T cell responses in these animals were restricted by major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II (RT1.BL) products, we used an epitope-prediction model (62) to identify AQP4 peptides binding to these MHC class II molecules. We found two perfect epitope matches. The first epitope, AQP4220–228, contributed to the extracellular loop E of AQP4, while the second epitope, AQP4396–404, was contained in the intracellular C-terminal domain of AQP4 (45). When Lewis rats were immunized with peptides containing these epitope sequences, there was no evidence for clinical or histological CNS disease, probably because of the low numbers of specific T cells in the immune repertoire of the immunized animals. However, when Lewis rats were challenged with large numbers of activated T cells recognizing any one of the selected AQP4 peptides, the situation was completely different. Then, inflammatory lesions along the entire neuraxis were seen, especially at sites of high AQP4 expression like the glial lamellae of the basal/lateral hypothalamus or spinal cord dorsal horn at the thoracic or lumbar/sacral level, although the optic system was spared. In all inflammatory lesions, astrocytes remained intact and AQP4 expression was preserved (45). The picture changed when AQP4-specific T cells were acting in NMO–IgG-seropositive animals. Then, the lesions were more numerous and larger, and perivascular lesions with neutrophil infiltration and AQP4 loss ensued (45). Overall, however, the encephalitogenic potential of the different AQP4 peptide-specific T cell lines was very low and did not culminate in clinical deficits (45). Instead, another peculiarity of the AQP4-specific T cells became obvious, especially after co-transfer of these cells with NMO-IgG. Inflammation was also observed in two other AQP4-expressing organ systems: in kidneys, which contain AQP4-positive collecting ducts (56) and developed interstitial nephritis, and in muscles containing AQP4-positive fast-twitch skeletal muscle fibers (22), which revealed foci of inflammation limited to their connective tissue compartment (45). To date, renal disease has not been described in NMO patients, but there are a few reports of NMO patients presenting with elevated levels of creatine kinase as a marker for muscle injury (10, 12, 55, 69).

Many questions concerning AQP4-specific T cell reactivities remain and have to be addressed further in T cell transfer-induced animal models of CNS inflammation. For example, we do not know whether the AQP4 epitopes used so far are dominantly generated in the course of natural processing of AQP4 by antigen-presenting cells in Lewis rats (45). AQP4-specific T cells have also been characterized in mice (20, 40), and it will probably become clear soon which strains of rat or mice are better suited to mimic the lesion tropism observed in NMO. However, we cannot yet exclude an additional possibility: that lesions in NMO patients are initiated by T cells with other, more CNS-selective antigen specificities, especially as the AQP4-specific T cells tested so far also induced inflammation in peripheral organs. Future experiments will hopefully answer some of these questions.

Modeling AQP4-antibody-negative NMO

All the animal models described above dealt with antibody or T cell questions arising from the most frequent subset of NMO
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patients: those who are seropositive for AQP4-specific, pathogenic antibodies. However, there are also patients who fulfill all criteria for diagnosis of NMO on the basis of clinical and radiological findings, but are AQP4-antibody-seronegative. Some of these patients were shown to harbor serum autoantibodies against MOG (21, 28, 37, 48). Although it is currently not clear whether these antibodies affect disease progression or severity (63), it is tempting to speculate that they contribute to lesions with myelin loss and therefore may be pathogenic. This speculation is supported by the fact that these MOG-specific antibodies were identified in cell-based assays and recognized “their” specific antigen on the surface of MOG-transfected cells (21, 28, 37, 48), which is a prerequisite for binding anti-MOG antibodies to their target structure in the intact organism. This binding profile resembles that seen in the murine monoclonal antibody 8-18C5, an anti-MOG antibody with a known pathogenic role in the induction of demyelinated lesions (31, 35).

Because it is unresolved whether anti-MOG antibody-positive NMO patients respond in the same way to currently available treatment options as anti-AQP4 antibody-positive NMO patients (63), therapeutic studies for this subtype of NMO may become important. Such studies would greatly benefit from the use of double-transgenic mice overrepresenting MOG-specific T cells and demyelinating MOG-specific antibodies in their immune repertoire. These have been produced in two different laboratories (6, 30). These double-transgenics are present on the genetic background of C57BL/6 mice and develop an EAE-like neurological syndrome described as “spontaneous opticospinal encephalomyelitis (OSE)” (30) or “Devic-like disease” (6). In this experimental model, large numbers of animals spontaneously develop paralytic disease with inflammatory, demyelinating lesions in optic nerves and spinal cords (6, 30).

Current animal models and patient reality

The key finding of all NMO experimental models has been the pathogenicity of NMO-IgG in combination with availability, the contribution of T cells, other mononuclear cells, granulocytes and interleukin-1 beta to the formation of astrocyte-destructive lesions, and the development of lesions at NMOtypical sites in double-transgenic mice harboring MOG-specific T cells and demyelinating MOG-specific antibodies. However, notable differences exist between humans and rodent models which may impact findings.

First and foremost, the target structures of pathogenic antibody responses, for example, the astrocytes of the central gray matter, differ between rodents and humans. Both rodent and human astrocytes express AQP4, which is an important prerequisite for all experiments done so far. While AQP4 seems to be the only aquaporin in rat (39) or mouse (13) astrocytes, human astrocytes additionally express AQP1 (39), a water channel that may partially compensate for the loss of AQP4 reactivity and function (Figure 3). In addition, rodent and human astrocytes differ in size. Human protoplasmic gray matter astrocytes have a 27-fold greater volume and a 10-fold increase in numbers of processes compared with their rodent counterparts (42). Moreover, while a single human protoplasmic astrocyte supports and modulates the function of ~2 million synapses, its rodent counterpart only supports ~90 000 (42). Because of this increased complexity, damage to human astrocytes might affect larger areas of tissue and more adjacent cells than damage caused to rodent astrocytes. This may be one of several factors accounting for the proportionally larger lesions in NMO patients compared with lesions generated in experimental rodent models.

Second, humans and rodents also differ with regard to their polymorphonuclear cells. For example, although total white blood cell count is similar in humans, rats and mice, the percentage of neutrophils is dramatically different. In humans, neutrophils represent ~45%–75% of all leukocytes in peripheral blood (29), whereas in Lewis rats they constitute approximately 35% (70) and in mice, 5%–27% (7, 71) of leukocytes. Interestingly, the percentage of neutrophils in the blood is a determinant of the size of lesions associated with loss of AQP4 reactivity. Mice, when rendered neutropenic by injections with granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) prior to the intrahemispheric injection of NMO-IgG and human complement, had significantly larger areas of AQP4 loss and myelin loss than animals receiving only saline (50). Moreover, NMO patients have more neutrophils among their peripheral leukocytes during acute exacerbation than during remission (50). Notably, the inadvertent administration of G-CSF in an NMO patient caused a marked rise in peripheral neutrophil count followed by a severe attack of NMO (17).

The other type of polymorphonuclear cells that differ between rodents and humans are the eosinophils. These cells were described in NMO lesions (36) and are also occasionally seen in lesions of murine models for anti-MOG antibody-positive NMO (30), but are absent in the rat models of anti-AQP4 antibody-positive NMO (3, 8, 24). However, this may reflect an anomaly of the animal strains used so far, because Lewis rats are also unable to recruit eosinophils to CNS lesions provoked by the combination

Figure 3. Expression of aquaporin (AQP) 1 in rat and human brain tissue. In the brain of rats (and mice), AQP1 is only expressed on epithelial cells of the choroid plexus, while astrocytes are AQP1-negative (A); this is different in humans, where AQP1 is abundantly expressed on white matter astrocytes and on single cells or small clusters of astrocytes in the grey matter (B,C); A, B: × 30; C: × 150.
of MOG-specific T cells and MOG-specific antibodies, while Brown Norway and Dark Agouti rats are able to do so (1, 54).

Third, there are still pronounced differences in the location of lesions between AQP4-antibody-positive NMO patients with predominantly opticospinal lesions and their experimental rodent counterparts, which display lesions throughout the CNS (with or without sparing of the optic system), or at sites of intrahemispheric injections (3, 8, 24). These differences between patients and models can easily be explained by technical issues. It is well established that the location of inflammatory CNS lesions in EAE results from a combination of antigen availability and T cell specificity (5). However, because the target antigen of T cells-initiating lesions in anti-AQP4 antibody-positive NMO patients is currently unknown, surrogate CNS antigen-specific T cells must be used for the induction of NMO/EAE, and these T cells target slightly different CNS areas. Moreover, the infusion of NMO-IgG and human complement and the injection of cytokines are best done in easily accessible, robust areas, which makes striatum and ventricular systems better experimental choices than optic nerves or spinal cord.

Finally, rats and mice are not able to show one particular symptom of NMO that may herald the formation of optic neuritis and transverse myelitis in a number of affected patients: intractable vomiting (2, 46). This symptom is caused by lesions in the brain stem adjoining or within the area postrema and the medullary floor of the fourth ventricle (46). However, although NMO/EAE models frequently reveal lesions at these sites, mice and rats lack the anatomical capability to vomit (67).

In summary, there are differences in size and location of lesions between human NMO patients and the relevant experimental models. Some of these differences may be overcome by modifications and refinements of current models, or they will have to be accepted as limitations when using rodent models. In spite of these limitations in the existing models, we have already learned a great deal about factors and mechanisms which may contribute to the initiation of lesions in NMO patients. These models have confirmed in vitro data suggesting that AQP4-specific autoantibodies are pathogenic. Furthermore, current models underscore the critical prerequisite for AQP4-IgG access to the CNS parenchyma across the BBB in order to bind to the extracellular domain of AQP4 on astrocytes and activate complement.

These models also reinforce the important role of T cells, granulocytes, microglia/macrophages and pro-inflammatory cytokines in lesion formation and lesion expansion. Lastly, the encephalitogenic potential of AQP4-specific T cells has been demonstrated.

**Future animal models**

The next generation of NMO animal models needs to address several key points. There is an urgent need for chronic models. So far, all experimental models for AQP4 antibody-positive NMO described earlier require the transfer of human NMO-IgG into rats or mice. While this approach works well to replicate early events of lesion formation, it will inevitably fail to mimic more chronic stages of NMO. The reason for this is quite simple: repeated transfer of immunoglobulins from different species will result in serum sickness, an immune complex-mediated systemic illness (52). This dilemma may be resolved with the use of genetically modified animals capable of producing pathogenic, AQP4-specific autoantibodies.

The manipulation of experimental animals should also be minimized. Currently available experimental NMO models depend not only on the presence of human NMO-IgG, but also (in mice) on the application of human complement or (in rats) on the injection of large numbers of CNS antigen-specific T cells or adjuvant-assisted immunization with CNS antigens. The latter approach might be especially problematic as complete Freund’s adjuvants (CFA), which is typically used for immunizations, contains components of the avirulent H37Ra strain of mycobacterium tuberculosis. According to a recent systematic study regarding para-infectious NMO syndromes, associations with varicella zoster virus or mycobacterium tuberculosis/mycobacterium pneumonia have been reported (53). Because mycobacteria express proteins with sequence homology to AQP4 (14, 43, 53), immunization procedures employing CFA might induce T cell responses against such proteins, which may explain an observation by one laboratory that “pre-treatment with CFA alone was sufficient for AQP4-Ab to induce astrocytic damage in vivo” (24).

To date, in all NMO animal models created, the focus of interest was just on one antigen specificity, for example, AQP4. However, many NMO patients have coexisting autoimmune diseases, most frequently (in more than 40% of all cases) systemic lupus erythematosus or Sjögren syndrome (44, 65), but also to lesser extent, myasthenia gravis (18, 32), autoimmune thyroid disease, type I diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis (32), and others. Consequently, NMO patients often harbor multiple autoantibodies specific for the relevant coexisting diseases. Hence, the underlying mechanisms for this autoimmune phenotype and even possible consequences of additional antibodies for the disease process should be explored.

In addition, the pathogenicity of human, NMO-patient-derived, AQP4-specific T cell clones should be investigated. Are these T cells pathogenic, and are they able to direct CNS inflammation to typical NMO sites in the CNS? To address these points, humanized mouse models similar to the ones produced by Lars Fugger and colleagues might become the research tool of choice (38), that is, transgenic mice expressing three different human components involved in antigen presentation and T cell recognition: the HLA-DPB1*0501 molecule, which is an MHC class II gene found in individuals of Japanese (61, 68) or Southern Han Chinese (60) descent; or the HLA-DRB1*0301/HLA-DRB3*0202 molecule, which has been described in American patients with NMO (59); the variable domains of T cell receptor alpha and beta chains derived from an NMO-patient-derived T-cell clone recognizing a single immunodominant AQP4 peptide in the context of such HLA molecules (59), and the human CD4 coreceptor. Because human and mouse AQP4 have a 93% identity at the amino acid level (using the respective GenBank sequences GI:18490380 and GI:1857925 for comparison), and because mice and man process antigens in a similar way (38), such an experiment might be feasible.

Future experimental NMO animal models should also consider the contribution of genetic factors to NMO pathogenesis. AQP4–antibody-seropositive NMO patients are predominantly women; most frequently show relapsing/remitting disease courses, display longitudinally extensive lesions in the spinal cord and/or the optic tract, and exhibit systemic symptoms such as myalgia and arthralgia. It is therefore important to consider gender differences in NMO animal models. In addition, the fact that NMO is a relapsing-remitting disease should be mimicked in an experimental model. As yet, only one model (Lars Fugger and colleagues) has used a relapsing-remitting design (38). It is important to reexamine the split tolerance approach in the context of NMO, and test whether NMO-IgG preparations from affected individuals induce protective responses in experimental animal models. On the other hand, currently, only a few experimental NMO models provide the basis for testing other therapeutic approaches, and thus, it is crucial to develop experimental NMO models which are feasible.
system, and have astrocyte-destructive lesions as the pathological substrate. However, differences between patient cohorts exist (27). For example, Afro-Caribbean patients are typically younger at disease onset and are more likely to develop visual disability than Caucasians or Japanese Asians (27), while motor disabilities, wheelchair dependence and death from NMO prevail in Caucasians (27). Differences in clinical course and disability are also seen in EAE experiments using different strains of rat or mouse. Therefore, it is very likely that similar differences are also observed when different experimental NMO models are established.

Finally, the contribution of environmental factors should be more fully addressed. It is striking that among the most prevalent inflammatory demyelinating diseases of the CNS, MS predominates in Europe and Northern America, while NMO predominates in Asia and the Caribbean (64). Environmental factors could in part account for this observation. According to a recent study, clonally expanded AQP4-specific T cells of NMO patients display cross-reactivity with an ABC transporter molecule of Clostridium perfringens (59), a common pathogen responsible for food poisoning (9). This finding immediately leads to two questions: (i) Are we facing a situation similar to one previously observed in MS patients, in which T cell clones specific for myelin basic protein were shown to cross-react with peptides derived from the Herpes simplex virus, Epstein–Barr virus, influenza type A virus, adenovirus type 12 or Pseudomonas aeruginosa (66) and none of the pathogens identified could be correlated with the onset of MS? Then, the cross-reactivity of human AQP4-specific T cells with a C. perfringens-derived epitope would just be another example of the fact that a single T cell receptor recognizes several distinct, structurally related peptides (66). (ii) Or does it indicate a real involvement of C. perfringens in the disease process, as has been noted before for mycobacteria tuberculosis/pneumonia (53)? If so, immunization of appropriate (perhaps even humanized) experimental animals with C. perfringens should lead not only to AQP4-specific T cell responses, but also to the production of AQP4-specific, pathogenic autoantibodies.

Environmental factors contributing to the development of NMO, however, do not necessarily have to be pathogens. They can also be commensal microbes, as the composition and molecular makeup of gut microbiota may change with diet. A very impressive example of the contribution of nutrition to gut microbiota is the evolutionary recent transfer of genes for carbohydrate-active enzymes (porphyranases) from marine bacteria thriving on red algae of the genus Porphyra to commensal gut bacteria of the Japanese population. This transfer most likely resulted from the consumption of nori (Porphyra spp.), traditionally used in Japan to prepare sushi (15). Interestingly, changes in lifestyle from a Japanese traditional style to a modern Western style, including changes in diet, may underlie the currently observed shift in frequency from Japanese-type MS (opticospinal MS, possibly including NMO/NMOSD, as the study was performed before AQP4-antibody testing became available in 2004/2005) to Western-type MS (conventional MS with lesions at multiple sites of the CNS including cerebrum, cerebellum and brain stem) from 1:0.5 in patients born in the 1920s to 1:4 in patients born after the 1970s (25).

Commensal microbes can cooperate with CNS autoantigens to trigger autoimmune CNS disease. This cooperation has recently been shown in MOG-specific T cell receptor transgenic mice which spontaneously developed EAE under specific pathogen-free conditions, but not under completely germ-free conditions (4). However, previously germ-free mice came down with EAE again, when their gut was recolonized with conventional commensal microbiota. Hence, gut microbes contribute to the recruitment and activation of autoantibody-producing B cells from the immune repertoire (4), and it will be interesting to determine the role of gut microbes in anti-AQP4 responses. The tools for such studies, AQP4-specific T cell receptor transgenic animals, may soon be available.

OUTLOOK

Ten years ago, the prognosis for NMO patients was very poor. NMO could not be properly distinguished from MS, and the diagnostic value and pathogenicity of AQP4-specific antibodies was yet to be discovered. Today, we know that the vast majority of NMO/NMOSD patients are seropositive for AQP4-specific antibodies, that these antibodies are pathogenic and cooperate with complement, encephalitogenic T cells, neutrophils and cytokines in the formation of large granulocyte-infiltrated, astrocyte-destructive lesions, and that NMO patients harbor expanded populations of potentially pathogenic AQP4-specific T cells. Ongoing research focused on developing relevant NMO experimental models is expected to provide new insights regarding the critical points involved in the transition from an AQP4 antibody-seropositive state to overt clinical disease, as well as lead to the identification of novel therapeutic targets with improved efficacy and limited toxicity. A multidisciplinary effort between clinicians, pathologists and basic scientists will hopefully soon lead to this reality.
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