Synthetic flavonoids as potential antiviral agents against SARS-CoV-2 main protease
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1. Introduction

The novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) is an infectious and contagious disease, caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome Coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2). Since its first appearance in Wuhan city, Hubei, China dates back in December 2019, the infection has spread exponentially in about 200 countries due to international travel. Because of the rapid transmission and absence of pre-existing immunity against the new virus in humans, the outbreak was declared a pandemic by WHO on 11th March 2020 (Mahase, 2020; Wang et al., 2020; Whitworth, 2020). As per the weakly situation report, released by the WHO on 11th October 2020, more than 37 million confirmed cases of COVID-19 infections have been reported worldwide with over a million global deaths (WHO, 2020). After the virus transmission in humans, the initial symptoms appears within an incubation period of 2–14 days, varying from mild flu-like symptoms to moderate acute severe respiratory syndrome characterized by a high grade fever, cough, extreme tiredness, body aches, and difficulty in breathing. In some patients, the infection can lead to a fatal and severe pneumonia-like illness requiring hospitalization (Song et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2020; Zou et al., 2020).
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ABSTRACT
The COVID-19 pandemic has claimed more than a million lives worldwide within a short time span. Due to the unavailability of specific antiviral drugs or vaccine, the infections are causing panic both in general public and among healthcare providers. Therefore, an urgent discovery and development of effective antiviral drug for the treatment of COVID-19 is highly desired. Targeting the main protease (Mpro) of the causative agent, SARS-CoV-2 has great potential for drug discovery and drug repurposing efforts. Published crystal structures of SARS-CoV-2 Mpro further facilitated in silico investigations for discovering new inhibitors against Mpro. The present study aimed to screen several libraries of synthetic flavonoids and benzisothiazolinones as potential SARS-CoV-2 Mpro inhibitors using in silico methods. The short-listed compounds after virtual screening were filtered through SwissADME modeling tool to remove molecules with unfavorable pharmacokinetics and medicinal properties. The drug-like molecules were further subjected to iterative docking for the identification of top binders of SARS-CoV-2 Mpro. Finally, molecular dynamic (MD) simulations and binding free energy calculations were performed for the evaluation of the dynamic behavior, stability of protein–ligand complex, and binding affinity, resulting in the identification of thioflavonol, TF-9 as a potential inhibitor of Mpro. The computational studies further revealed the binding of TF-9 close to catalytic dyad and interactions with conserved residues in the S1 subsite of the substrate binding site. Our in-silico study demonstrated that synthetic analogs of flavonoids, particularly thioflavonols, have a strong tendency to inhibit the main protease Mpro, and thereby inhibit the reproduction of SARS-CoV-2.

Abbreviations: ADME: Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism, and Excretion; Asn: Asparagine; Asp: Aspartic acid; BOF: Benzylxyloflavone; CADD: Computer-aided drug designing; Cys: Cysteine; F: 3-Hydroxyflavonol/Flavonol; FG: Flavonol glycoside; Glu: Glutamic acid; Gln: Glutamine; GPF: Grid parameter file; His: Histidine; Leu: Leucine; MCMM: Monte Carlo multiple minimum method; Mpro: Main protease; Met: Methionine; OA: 3-Oxoaurone; PBD: Protein Data Bank; Phe: Phenylalanine; SAR-CoV-2: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; TA: 3-Thiaaurone; TF: 3-Thioflavonol; Tyr: Tyrosine; WHO: World health organization.
Currently, there exists no specific antiviral drug for the treatment or vaccine for the prevention of COVID-19. Under the severity of infection and increased threat of hospitalization due to COVID-19, several efforts have been launched for the development of potential therapeutics to combat SARS-CoV-2 (Ciliberto et al., 2020; Hage-Melim et al., 2020; Standing, 2020; Villoutreix et al., 2020). By using both in vitro experiments and in silico virtual screenings, many drug discovery and drug repurposing efforts have been initiated by exploiting the viral entry mechanism or essential viral enzymes as drug target (Hage-Melim et al., 2020; Muralidharan et al., 2020; Shah et al., 2020).

Targeting the virus-specific proteins has proven to be a very effective drug discovery strategy for the development of direct-acting antivirals (DAAs) (Ertekin et al., 2020; Holmes & Chung, 2020). Analogously, discovery and development of DAAs against SARS-CoV-2 could provide efficient drugs for the treatment of COVID-19 infection. SARS-CoV-2 genome is based on a positive-sense single-stranded RNA (ssRNA⁺) containing about 32,000 bases. The release of viral RNA into the cytoplasm of the host cells is followed by the translation of RNA into the large (∼790 kDa) viral polyprotein 1ab. For proper functioning and reproduction of the virus, the polyprotein needs to be processed by viral proteases. Together with another virus encoded Papain-like protease, the main protease (Mpro, also known as 3CLpro) of SARS-CoV-2 cleaves the viral polyprotein into several structural and non-structural components. The SARS-CoV-2 Mpro cleaves the polyprotein at ~11 sites, mostly with the recognition sequence of Leu-Gln-Ser-Ala-Gly (where ↓ indicates the cleavage site) (Zhang et al., 2020) and plays a central role in the maturation of viral proteins. Due to the essential role in the life-cycle of the virus, Mpro has become a key protein for the discovery and development of DAAs for COVID-19 (Dai et al., 2020; Jin et al., 2020; Mengist et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020).

In this context, natural products and their synthetic analogs can offer a huge repertoire for the identification of leads for further development of anti-SARS-CoV-2 therapeutics. Flavonoids account for a large and significant group of plant-derived polyphenolic secondary metabolites with a benzo-γ-pyrone (C6-C3-C6) structure, and broad-spectrum pharmacological and biological activities (Ibrahim et al., 2020; Jimenez-Alberto et al., 2020; Joshi et al., 2020; Sies & Parnham, 2020; Tripathi et al., 2020). They are ubiquitously present in the plant kingdom and have many health benefits due to their antioxidant activity (Chae et al., 2013; Panche et al., 2016; Pietta, 2000) and potent in vitro and in vivo antiviral properties (Lalani & Poh, 2020; Zakaryan et al., 2017). Based on their chemical structure, flavonoids may be categorized into various subclasses, such as flavones, flavonols, flavanone, isoflavones, and aurones, which are found in a wide variety of plants as shown in Figure 1 (a–g).

Recently, Sies and Parnham (Sies & Parnham, 2020) have reviewed the potential of ebselen (an organoselenium compound) to inhibit Mpro of SARS-CoV-2, reporting it as a promising lead compound for the inhibition of the protease. The ebselen imparts its inhibitory action, in part, by making a covalent bond between selenium and Cys-145 of the catalytic dyad. Similarly, the covalent bond formation between sulfur of BITs and cysteine residue in the substrate binding site of enzymes is well-documented in literature (King et al., 2009). We envisioned that benzisothiazolinones (BITs) could also inhibit the Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 via similar covalent bond formation, and thus warrant in silico investigations.

In present research work, we have screened several synthetic flavonoids with diverse structures (Mughal et al., 2017, 2018, 2019) and BIT-analogs (Viani et al., 2017), and explored the in silico inhibition of Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 by 3-hydroxyflavones, 4-thioflavonols, 3-O-flavonol glucosides, 3-benzoxylflavones, 3-oxoaurones, 3-thioaurones, and 1,2-benzisothiazol-3(2H)-ones. The virtual ADME/T properties were employed to assess drug-likeness and to filter molecules with unfavorable pharmacokinetics and medicinal properties, leading to identification of thioflavonols as potent inhibitors of the Mpro. The potential of synthetic flavonoids reported in this article has not been, to the best of our knowledge, previously reported.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Synthesis of compound

The syntheses of flavonoid derivatives presented in this article have been published elsewhere (Mughal et al., 2017, 2018, 2019). The synthesis of 1,2-benzisothiazol-3(2H)-ones (BITs) was accomplished via a simple reported method (Viani et al., 2017).

2.2. Conformational analyses, geometry optimization, and energy minimization of structures

All calculations were run on a Window based PC system [Intel(R) Core™ i7-8550U CPU, 4 Core(s), 8 Logical processor(s) containing 8 GB memory]. Structures were drawn using ChemDraw and imported into Maestro (Version 17.2, Schrödinger) for 3D conversion and optimization. For each molecule, conformational analysis was conducted by the Monte Carlo multiple minimum (MCMM) method embedded in the MacroModel tool of Maestro for the generation of low-energy conformations using the OPLS3 force field. The torsions were set at default values of 0° and ±180° for each rotatable bond. The maximum number of steps were set at 1000, and the energy window for saving structures was set at 25.0 kJ/mol. All redundant and duplicate conformers were eliminated by setting the maximum atom deviation cut-off to 0.5 Å. After conformational screening, the lowest-energy conformer of each molecule was finally subjected to optimization and energy minimization.

2.3. High-throughput virtual screening

The energy-minimized and optimized conformer of each molecule was subjected to the LigPrep tool of Maestro, where the OPLS3 force field was employed for the preparation of ligands at pH 7.0±1.0 using the Epik algorithm. Chirality of the 3D structures were maintained. When we
started this project, the only crystal structure for SARS-CoV-2 Mpro reported was PDB ID: 6LU7. For the preparation of the receptor, co-crystal monomeric structure (PDB ID: 6LU7) was imported from the Protein Databank and processed using the protein preparation wizard. The missing side chain (if any) were filled using Prime. All water molecules and heteroatoms (except covalently bound ligand) were removed. Hydrogen bonding assignment was optimized using PROPKA at pH 7.0 and finally the protein was minimized using the OPLS3 force field. For the preparation of docking grid, the covalently linked cognate N3 ligand was first extracted from the binding pocket of the protein and then remerged with the protein so that the ligand occupies the same position in the pocket but without the covalent bond with Cys-146. This process is important, because without it, the exclusion of the bound ligand from the substrate binding site would be difficult during the grid generation process. The centroid of the cognate ligand was selected as the grid center having x=-9.76 Å, y=11.29 Å and z=68.96 Å as xyz coordinates, respectively. The library of 101 compounds was virtually screened with flexible ligand sampling. Other than choosing the post-docking minimization, all other options were kept at the default values. Short-listing of the compounds was made with the help of a scatter plot between docking score and ligand efficiency Ln value. The molecules falling in the box (Figure S2) drawn by setting the cut-off values of the docking score of $-\Delta G=6.0 \text{kcal/mol}$ and ligand efficiency Ln value of $-1.35$, were selected for the next phase.

2.4. Assessment of ADME properties of the screened molecules

The short-listed candidates from the virtual screening were analyzed by a Swiss-ADME modeling tool for the prediction of ADME data and toxicity of the selected compounds (Daina et al., 2017). The server uses its large database to estimate the pharmacokinetic behavior, drug-likeliness, physicochemical properties, lipophilicity, water solubility and medicinal properties with high precision. The molecules exhibiting the medicinal properties violations (Lipinski, etc.), PAINS behavior and/or potential of PgP substrate were filtered off at this stage.

2.5. Iterative docking analyses

The molecules showing favorable bioavailability and medicinal properties were subjected to iterative docking analyses using the AutoDock 4.2.6 program (Morris et al., 2009). PDBQT files of the shortlisted molecules and the receptor were prepared by using AutoDock Tool (ADT). The grid parameter file (gpf) was prepared by setting the same grid-center coordinates as used before for virtual screening. The box was set large enough to encompass the whole cognate N3 ligand within its boundary, while keeping the search spacing at 0.375 Å. For docking analyses, the Lamarckian genetic algorithm method was employed by using 100 runs (iterations) with a population size of 300 and 270,000 generations.

2.6. Analysis of the docking results and selection of the best binders

The binding energies of the docked structures were predicted by the AutoDock program. The docked structures of each ligand were clustered by using the integrated clustering tool of the AutoDock 4.2.6 software on the basis of root mean square deviation (RMSD) of 1.0 Å. A cluster containing 30% or more population and with a binding energy score of $-8.0 \text{kcal/mol}$ was used as a cut-off for the ranking. For the illustration of hydrogen bonding and hydrophobic interactions of the docked structure with the receptor, we used the Chimera software. Finally, the top binders were further filtered on the basis of the favorable interactions (quality and quantity of hydrogen bonding and hydrophobic interactions) with the binding pocket residues as well as the shape complementarity.

![Chemical structures of representative flavone (a), 3-hydroxyflavone/flavonol (b), 4-thioflavonol (c), 3-oxoaurone (d), 3-thiaurone (e), 3-benzylxolflavone (f), 3-O-flavonol glucoside (g), and 1,2-benzothiazolinone (h).](image)
2.7. Molecular dynamics (MD) simulation

All simulations were carried out with the GPU implementation of the PMEMD module in Amber18. The topological parameters of monomeric protein structure were processed by ff14SB force field while General Amber force filed (GAFF) was used for the parameterization of all the ligands. The AM1-BCC partial charges were assigned to all the ligands using Antechamber. The systems were solvated using TIP3P water model by placing into a truncated water box of 8.0 Å with periodic boundary conditions. Subsequently, the systems were neutralized by the addition of counter ions. The cut-off for non-bonded interactions were set to 12.0 Å and for the calculation of long-range electrostatic interactions, Particle Ewald Mesh algorithms were used while SHAKE algorithm was employed to constrain all the bonds involving hydrogen bonds.

Prepared systems were minimized to remove the bad contacts and to correct the geometry by using 2500 cycles of steepest descent method followed by 2500 cycles of conjugate gradient method. During minimization the force restrain was gradually decreased to minimize the solvent and solute atoms. The minimized systems were gradually heated from 0 to 300 K, in an NVT ensemble followed by NPT ensemble at pressure of 1 atm to get the stable systems. Berendsen thermostat and barostat was used to maintain the temperature and pressure during NVT and NPT ensemble, respectively. Finally, the production run of 100 ns for each equilibrated system was carried out with the time step of 2 fs. The stability for all the simulated systems were analyzed by RMSD, RMSF, and RoG.

2.8. Binding free energy calculation

Theoretical calculation of binding free energy is an efficient method to estimate the binding affinities between two molecules. In this present study, we calculate the binding affinities of all the four simulated systems by using MMGBSA and MMPBSA using following equation:

$$
\Delta G_{\text{bind}} = \Delta H - T \Delta S = \Delta E_{\text{MM}} + \Delta G_{\text{sol}} - T \Delta S
$$

where $\Delta G_{\text{bind}}$ is the binding free energy between simulated receptor and a small molecule. $\Delta E_{\text{MM}}$ represented the change in the molecular mechanics energy of the gas phase, while $\Delta G_{\text{sol}}$ and $T \Delta S$ represent the change in the solvation free energy and entropy change upon binding of ligands, respectively. $\Delta E_{\text{MM}}$ includes the sum of internal energy (angle, bond and dihedral energies), electrostatic and van der Waals energies. $\Delta G_{\text{sol}}$ includes the sum of electrostatic
solvation energy as polar contribution while non-electrostatic energy as non-polar contribution. GB or PB model is used to calculate polar component while SASA (solvent accessible surface area) is used to estimate non-polar contribution. For the calculation of binding free energy, snapshots collected at every 100 ps were used from the simulation trajectories.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Chemistry

The syntheses of all compounds were accomplished as per the Scheme shown in Figure 2 and have been reported elsewhere.

3.2. Computational studies

The in silico binding analyses are becoming a hot area of scientific investigation, especially in the post COVID-19 era, for screening drugs (Ancy et al., 2020; Khan et al., 2020; Kumar et al., 2020; Marinho et al., 2020), synthetic compounds (Niu et al., 2008; Sepay et al., 2020; Ton et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2017) and natural products (Ghosh et al., 2020; Gupta et al., 2020; Gurung et al., 2020; Joshi et al., 2020; Narkhede et al., 2020) to measure the potential of these compounds for binding with the SARS-CoV-2 main protease. In the field of computer-aided drug designing (CADD), primarily used for the identification of a lead compound, the molecular docking analyses have been enormously employed for mapping and delineating the atomic level details of binding interaction between ligand and the receptor. Among different types of interactions, the hydrogen bonding, hydrophobic interaction, π–π interactions between ligand and residues in the binding site have been instrumental in explaining the binding efficacy of a receptor–ligand complex. The binding energy data (in kcal/mol) allows us to compare and rank the binding affinity of different ligands or compounds with their corresponding target receptor. A lower binding energy indicates a higher affinity of the ligand for the receptor. The ligand with the highest affinity (more negative docking score) can be chosen as the potential lead for further studies. In this study, we have applied typical drug discovery approach in silico by the initial short-listing of the potential inhibitors of M\textsuperscript{pro} from our in-house library of 101 compounds, passing them through computational ADME investigations, and finally selecting nine molecules with high docking score obtained by iterative docking analyses.

For the in silico analyses, we used a recently reported crystal structure of M\textsuperscript{pro} from SARS-CoV-2, containing a covalently linked ligand N3 (PDB ID: 6LU7) (Jin et al., 2020). The protease contains three domains: domain I from residue 8 to 101, domain II from residue 102 to 184, and domain III from residue 201 to 303. The domain I and II comprise of two antiparallel β-barrels and the third domain comprises of a globular cluster of five α-helices. A shallow cleft between the domain I and II functions as a substrate binding site for M\textsuperscript{pro}, where a dyad of His-41 and Cys-145 catalyzes the proteolytic cleavage of the viral polyprotein into several structural and non-structural viral proteins. The substrate binding site is mainly composed of hydrophobic residues, and its chemical landscape can be further subdivided into several subsites (cavities) based on the orientation of the side chains (P1’ to P4) of the peptide backbone of the bound N3 ligand in the protease as shown in the Figure 3.

3.3. Optimization and energy minimization of structures

Computational calculations are very sensitive to minor variations in the molecular structure and affect binding score and ultimately the reproducibility of the data. To minimize the introduction of random errors, we performed extensive and exhaustive structural optimizations. First, we investigated the conformational space of each molecule and used the conformer of the lowest potential energy, assuming that it is closer to the global minimum of the molecule. Apart from the symmetric structure of BIT5n, all molecules showed more than one allowed conformation, yielding the energy differences ranging from −0.001 to −20.995 kcal/mol between the highest and the lowest allowed conformers. In general, the larger the number of rotatable bonds a molecule has, the larger the number of allowed conformers exists and hence the more time-intensive and occasionally challenging it is to predict the global minimum. As expected, each member of the FG-series demonstrated more than 240 conformers each with an average energy difference of ~ −20.8 kcal/mol. The results of the conformational search for each molecule of the tested libraries are given in Table S1–S6. Only the conformers with the minimum energy (global minimum) were used for the virtual screening and iterative docking studies.

3.4. In silico screening and initial selection of hits

The optimized structures were screened in silico for binding at the SARS-CoV-2 protease active site. The docking score and ligand efficiency of the tested molecules were calculated and drawn in XY scatter plot to facilitate the selection of potential hits. The ligand efficiency or binding efficiency is a normalized property of the ligand molecule and most commonly defined as the ratio of the binding free energy to the number of heavy atoms (non-hydrogen atoms). The XY plot between the docking score and ligand efficiency showed a widely scattered distribution of molecules around the correlation line with a poor $R^2$ coefficient. Interestingly, we observed that the XY plot between the docking score and another closely related “ligand efficiency Ln” gives a better correlation ($R^2 = 0.9$) in the scatter plot (Figure S2). The ligand efficiency Ln was calculated by using the following formula:

$$\text{Ligand efficiency Ln} = \frac{\text{docking score}}{1 + \text{Ln(heavy atoms)}}$$

The compounds showing a virtual docking score of −6.0 kcal/mol or better and a ligand efficiency Ln of −1.35 or better were short-listed as shown in the red box in the Figure S2. Consequently, screening of these 101 tested compounds resulted in short-listing of 59 compounds: 20 out of
23 (87%) from the thioflavonol (TF) library, 9 out of 10 (90%) from the flavonol glucoside (FG) library, 7 out of 10 (70%) from the benzyloxyflavone (BOF) library, 6 out of 10 (60%) from the oxoaurone (OA) and 8 out of 10 (80%) compounds from the thioaurone (TA) library as hits. The flavonol (F) library rendered only 7 out of 23 (30%) compounds as hits. Benzisothiazolinone (BIT) library showed very poor docking score for binding to the substrate-binding site of the SAR-CoV-2 Mpro and yielded only 2 out of 15 (13%) hits.

3.5. ADME screening to select lead-like molecules

Since an inhibitor must have favorable bioavailability and drug-likeness for its clinical development, we sought it imperative to filter the short-listed molecules through the ADME screen so that molecules showing pharmacologically unfavorable absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion properties are removed in the earlier stage. To meet this end, the 59 short-listed compounds were subjected to ADME analyses using a web-based SwissADME modeling tool to predict the lipophilic character, water solubility, drug-likeness, etc. as described in the following sections.

The widely used standard descriptor of lipophilicity is the partition coefficient between n-octanol and water (log P<sub>oct/w</sub>). The lipophilic profiles of our compounds were predicted using five predictive models including XLOGP3, WLOGP, MLOGP, SILICOS-IT and iLOGP. The purpose of using the multi model system is to minimize the bias in the estimation and evaluation of the consensus among the predicted values of log P<sub>oct/w</sub> (Wang et al., 2015). As shown in the Table S7, the lipophilic character of all of the short-listed compounds varies from the consensus log P<sub>oct/w</sub> value of +2.08 to +5.09, indicating that all of the short-listed compounds are highly lipophilic and meet the required criterion of drug molecule. In general, the presence of nitro group in a molecule significantly lowers the lipophilicity, whereas the presence of alkyl substituted amino group, and halogens significantly enhanced the lipophilic character. The change in lipophilic character due to the presence of methoxy group was not significant. Interestingly, the replacement of oxygen in the C=O group or in the ring B with sulfur also enhanced the lipophilicity of the molecule.

Water solubility is another important parameter to be considered to ensure the suitability of a drug molecule for oral availability or parenteral administration. We predicted the water solubility of the short-listed compounds using the SwissADME tool, which employs three different topological methods for estimating water solubility, including ESOL model, Ali model and SILICOS-IT. As shown in the Table S8, the log S values of the short-listed compounds were found in the range of −2.9 (lower negative values indicate water solubility) to −6.36 (higher negative values means poor water solubility) by ESOL model, −3.04 to −7.52 by Ali model, and −3.25 to −8.87 by SILICOS-IT method. Based on the calculation by all models, it turns out the majority of the short-listed compounds are moderately (log S between −4 to −6) water soluble, with some molecules showing good solubility (log S between −2 and −4) and some with poor water solubility (−6 to −9).

Figure 3. Substrate binding Pocket of M<sup>pro</sup> from SARS-CoV-2 and location of subsites. A) Display of the covalently linked N3 ligand (cyan) co-crystalized with the protease (shown as grey surface). The lactam moiety at P1 is located in a cavity of S1 (yellow), whereas the leucine side chain is deeply buried in the cavity of S2 (magenta). The P3 (valine) is solvent exposed and alanine at P4 is located in the S4 pocket (green). The residue lining the subsite are shown in matching color sticks. B) More open view of the subsites of the substrate binding sites.

Table 1. Selected potential of M<sup>pro</sup> of SARS-CoV-2.

| Entry | Compound | Docking score (kcal/mol) | Cluster size (tolerance = 1 Å) |
|-------|----------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1     | TF-9     | −8.7                     | 44                             |
| 2     | TF-7     | −8.5                     | 87                             |
| 3     | TF-6     | −8.5                     | 66                             |
| 4     | BOF-9    | −8.3                     | 34                             |
| 5     | TF-19    | −8.2                     | 70                             |
| 6     | F-7      | −8.2                     | 91                             |
| 7     | TF-2     | −8.1                     | 93                             |
| 8     | TF-22    | −8.1                     | 73                             |
| 9     | TF-4     | −8.0                     | 93                             |
| 10    | N3       | −5.4                     | 25                             |

23 (87%) from the thioflavonol (TF) library, 9 out of 10 (90%) from the flavonol glucoside (FG) library, 7 out of 10 (70%) from the benzyloxyflavone (BOF) library, 6 out of 10 (60%) from the oxoaurone (OA) and 8 out of 10 (80%) compounds from the thioaurone (TA) library as hits. The flavonol (F) library rendered only 7 out of 23 (30%) compounds as hits. Benzisothiazolinone (BIT) library showed very poor docking score for binding to the substrate-binding site of the SAR-CoV-2 M<sup>pro</sup> and yielded only 2 out of 15 (13%) hits.
(Table S9) further highlights the drug-like potential of these molecules. All short-listed flavonol glucosides (FG-series) and one thioflavonol, TF-14, showed very low GI absorption. All other compounds showed high GI track absorption making them suitable for oral dosing. Almost half of the short-listed compounds passed the BBB permeability test. Except for FG-7 and TF-13, the short-listed compounds are not substrate of P-glycoprotein (Pgp). Many short-listed molecules show binding interactions with metabolic enzymes (CYP1A2, CYP2C19, CYP2C9, CYP2D6 and CYP3A4). Such interaction can cause toxicity and/or degradation of molecule. The log Kp parameter is helpful in the prediction of skin permeability. Higher negative values of log Kp make a molecule less permeable to penetrate the skin. The log Kp values of the short-listed compounds vary between $3.99$ and $8.59$ cm/s as shown in Table S9. The molecules showing unfavorable absorption, solubility and metabolism were filtered off from further evaluation.

It is quite interesting to note that the several molecules, despite having attractive docking score were filtered off in the light of the ADMT analyses. All compounds from the FG series were found to violate Lipinski rule, along with three compounds from the TA series (TA-2, TA-3 and TA-7), and were thus filtered off. The remaining compounds from the TA series showed at least one PAINS alert and were thus also dropped. Finally, the list was further refined to remove those molecules that showed more than 1 violation against lead-likeness. Thus, four molecules from the BOF series, one molecule from F series, two molecules from OA series, and four molecules from the TF series were dropped from further analyses. After careful structural inspection and removing the molecules showing unfavorable pharmacokinetics and medical properties, only 31 compounds were taken to the last step, where iterative docking analyses were conducted to select the molecule with a potential to inhibit the $M_{\text{pro}}$ of SARS-CoV-2.

### 3.6. Iterative docking of the selected top molecules

The compounds passing through the filter of computational pharmacokinetics and bioavailability analyses were further subjected to iterative docking and clustering analyses (Table S11). The molecules showing a docking score better than $-8.0$ kcal/mol and clustering of more than 30% of the population resulted in the identification of nine compounds as potential inhibitors of $M_{\text{pro}}$ of SARS-CoV-2 as shown in Table 1. Again, most of these compounds were from TF-series (seven compounds) and one compound each from the BOF and F series.

All the selected compounds were found to dock at the substrate-binding site, close to the catalytic dyad of His-41 and Cys-145 as shown in the Figure 4. The ring A of all molecules was found to be deep inside the hydrophobic cavity of S2 surrounding by the side chains of His-41, Met-54, Tyr-54 and Met-165 and supported further by the alkyl portion of Asp-187. Position of ring B of all molecules expect TF-9 were oriented at the S4 where the side chains of Met-165, Leu-167, Phe-185, Gln-182 form a small hydrophobic cavity. In case of TF-9, the benzyl group (ring C) was oriented at the S1 subsite, which is surrounded by the side chains of Phe-140, Asn-142, Glu-166, His-163 and His-172.

TF-9, containing a nitro group at the meta-position of the ring B is predicted to be the best inhibitor of SARS-CoV-2 $M_{\text{pro}}$ with a favorable binding energy of $-8.70$ kcal/mol along with appropriate pharmacokinetics and drug-like properties. The benzene ring of the chromenthione was found resting in the S2 cavity and exhibited hydrophobic interactions with side chain of Met165, Asp187 and Arg188. Most importantly the meta-nitro group of ring B is involved in multiple hydrogen bond interactions with Ser144 and Cys145 in the S1 subsite as shown in Figure 5. Additionally, the...
hydroxyl group is engaged in hydrogen bonding with the backbone –NH of Glu166 of S1 subsite. Both aromatic rings A and B demonstrate π–π interactions with His-41 and His-163, respectively. It is quite interesting to note that the residues Ans-142 and Gln-189 seem to hold both ring A and B of TF-9 in their respective cavities and functioning as lids of the S1 and S2 subsites.

Binding features of other thioflavonols, TF-2, TF-4, TF-6, TF-7, TF-19 and TF-22 were mutually identical but in stark contrast to the binding pattern of TF-9. Contrary to TF-9, the chromonthione moiety of these molecules, while still in the S2 subsite, but appeared to be flipped 180° around the C3 hydroxyl group to position the ring B of these molecules towards the S4 subsite. The docking scores of these flavonols were found in the range of –8.0 kcal/mol to –8.50 kcal/mol as shown in Figure 6 and S3–S8. The thio-ketone moiety was pointing towards the S1 subsite of the active site and the neighboring hydroxyl group was involved in hydrogen bonding interactions with backbone C=O and –NH of Glu166. The substituent at the para position of ring B were involved in favorable hydrogen bonding interactions with the backbone –NH group of Gln-192 at a distance of 3.56 Å. The strength of hydrogen bonding with Gln-192 seems to depend on the charge density and polarity of the substituents. In case of electron rich –NO₂ and –COO- substituents in TF-6 and TF-19 the hydrogen bonding interactions were shown at relatively smaller distances of 2.88 Å and 2.83 Å, respectively (Figures S3 and S4). The F-substituent of TF-22 also acted as hydrogen bond acceptor and demonstrated a long distance (3.11 Å) F⋯H–N interaction with the backbone of Gln-192 (Figure S7). The ortho- and meta-CF₃ substituent on the ring B of TF-2 and TF-4 protrude in the solvent exposed S3 subsite and thus yielded a higher binding energy score (Table 1). The residues showing important hydrophobic interactions are shown in the panel B of Figures 6 and S3–S8.

One molecule from the benzyloxyflavone (BOF) series, i.e. BOF-9 demonstrated very good docking score (~8.36 kcal/mol), as well as favorable pharmacokinetics and medicinal properties (Tables S7–S10). The binding pattern is reminiscent to TF-7; however due to the presence of an additional ring C at the C-3 position of the chromone moiety, the S1 subsite was also engaged in the binding. The benzyl ring (ring C) of BOF-9 occupies the S1 subsite, where the backbone –NH group of residue Glu-166 assist in a hydrogen bonding interaction with oxygen of the benzyloxy group as shown in Figure 7. All other hydrophobic interactions were more or less identical to the previously discussed molecules. Finally, the oxygen analog of TF-7, i.e. F-7, showed the binding energy score of ~8.22 kcal/mol indicating the presence of C=S bond in place of C=O in flavonoids can increase the binding affinity by lowering the binding score up to ~0.3 kcal/mol. All hydrogen bonding and hydrophobic interactions of F-7 (see Figure S5) were found identical to those observed for TF-7.

### 3.7. MD simulation

For the determination of structural stability, multiple molecular dynamic simulations were run with the most stable docked models. On the bases of docking scores, two compounds from TF-series (TF-9 and TF-7), one compound from BOF-series (BOF-9) and one compound from F-series (F-7) were subjected to MD simulation. The stability of the simulated systems was evaluated by calculating RMSD, root mean square fluctuation (RMSF) and radius of gyration (RoG).

RMSD represents the average deviation from the initial structure over time and stability of the system. The evaluation of RMSD of all the four simulated complexes revealed that all the systems were significantly stable with considerable fluctuations during the course of 100 ns simulation (Figure 8). The average RMSDs of Mpro, TF-9, TF-7, BOF-9, and F-7 were 3.15 ± 0.42 nm, 2.88 ± 0.33 nm, 3.40 ± 0.48 nm,
3.15 ± 0.57 nm and 3.24 ± 0.63 nm, respectively. The trajectory of simulations showed constant presence of inhibitors at the binding site. In case of TF-9, the system gradually reached to the equilibrium in 5 ns and remained stable throughout the 100 ns of simulation. Contrarily, in case of TF-7, BOF-9 and F-7 minor fluctuations were observed in comparison to Mpro and TF-9. However, all the three systems were stabilized after 90 ns and remained stable till the end of the simulation. The inhibitor F-7 fluctuated more as compare to other simulated systems while TF-9 was less deviated from the initial structure, attributing to the favorable and tight binding of this compound. The evaluation of fluctuation or flexibility of the amino acid residues upon binding of the ligands showed similar pattern for all the four simulated systems expect for Mpro, which showed variable fluctuation throughout the simulation. As evident from Figure 9, the regions with most fluctuations, i.e. the loop containing the residue 43-55, loop-antiparallel β-sheets-loop containing the residues 148–160, and the loop containing the residues 185–200, reside close to the active site of Mpro. These loop regions near active site fluctuate to accommodate ligands in the active site which indicate that the regions could be essential for the interaction of the inhibitors with Mpro. With the exception of these loop regions, the RMSF analysis indicated stable interactions for each simulated complex in comparison of Mpro. Thus, both RMSD and RMSF analyses revealed stable interaction of all the ligands upon binding at Mpro.

To determine the compactness of the simulated systems RoG was calculated. The results of RoG demonstrated that all
the four simulated systems remained significantly compact during the course of 100 ns simulation (Figure 10). However F-7 showed some variable fluctuation but after 85 ns the system gain stability and remain stable till the end of the simulation. The average RoG for TF-9, TF-7, BOF-9 and F-7 was found to be 22.34 ± 0.12, 22.56 ± 0.12, 22.31 ± 0.17 and 22.28 ± 0.21 nm, respectively. Consequently, the analysis of time-dependent behavior of all the four systems indicate that all the ligands reside well in the active site and stabilize the protein.

3.8. Binding free energy

All the four simulated systems were further subjected to binding free energy calculations by using MM-GBSA and MM-PBSA methods. The binding affinities or ΔG Binding (GB) for TF-9, TF-7, BOF-9 and F-7 were found to be −29.07, −11.55, −8.70 kcal/mol, respectively, indicating that TF-9 was more tightly bound to M^pro than other inhibitors. The contribution of individual energy components upon binding of the ligands are enlisted in Table 2. Analysis of the individual components indicated that the E_vdw was the most favorable contributing factor in the overall binding energy of all the tested models. This implies that non-polar and medium polar residues of loop 130-147, i.e. Leu141, Asn142, Gly143, Cys-145, and of β12, i.e. His163, Met-165, Glu166 provide major contribution in binding. In case of TF-9 E_vdw interactions play major role in binding of the ligand. Nevertheless, the electrostatic interaction between the polar substituents of TF-9 and Glu166, Gin189 and Gin192 of the binding pocket showed significant contributions. The inhibitor F-7 showed the second strongest binding interaction with M^pro (ΔGB Bind = −25.3 kcal/mol), showing contributions of E_vdw and E_ele analogous to TF-9. Overall, the results indicated that electrostatic and van der Waals interactions were the predominant factors for the binding of the inhibitors to the SARS-CoV-2 M^pro.

4. Conclusions

SARS-CoV-2 has appeared as a potential threat to human health globally. In this study, we have conducted in silico screening of 101 synthetic derivatives of natural products and identified TF-9 as a highly promising inhibitor of M^pro of SARS-CoV-2 with predicted binding energy of −8.70 kcal/mol along with 8 other inhibitors with the binding energy between −8.0 to −8.50 kcal/mol. The docking analyses indicated that the identified compounds bind at the substrate binding site, specifically in the S1, S2 and S4 subsites, exhibiting critical hydrophobic, electrostatic and hydrogen bonding interactions with conserved residues of the active site of SARS-CoV-2 M^pro. The identified compounds further demonstrated favorable pharmacokinetics properties and drug-like behavior. Finally, MD simulations revealed the stability of the docked structures at the binding sites. Moreover, binding free energy analysis indicated the significant contribution of van der Waals and electrostatic interactions in the ligand binding. Our findings suggest that the thioflavonols have the potential to inhibit SARS-CoV-2 M^pro, thereby inhibiting the replication of the virus and can be a potential candidate against SARS-CoV-2.
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