Analysis of influenza vaccination coverage among the elderly living in Rome, based on a deprivation index, 2009-2013

V. VUKOVIC1,2, R. LILLINI1, F. ASTA3, F. CHINI4, C. DE WAURE1,7
1 Section of Hygiene, Institute of Public Health, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Rome, Italy; 2 Institute for Biomedicine, Eurac Research, Affiliated Institute of the University of Lübeck, Bolzano, Italy; 3 Department of Health Sciences (DISSAL), University of Genoa, Italy; 4 Analytical Epidemiology & Health Impact, Fondazione IRCCS “Istituto Nazionale Tumori”, Milan, Italy; 5 Department of Epidemiology Lazio Regional Health Service - ASL Roma 1, Rome, Italy; 6 Regional Directorate for Health and Social Policy, Lazio Region, Rome, Italy; 7 Department of Experimental Medicine, University of Perugia, Italy

Keywords
Influenza vaccination • Vaccine coverage • Deprivation • Elderly

Introduction. Elderly people are more likely to develop influenza-related complications. However, despite the recommendations, the optimal vaccination coverage is not reached. The use of deprivation indexes can help to identify subgroups with lower vaccination uptake. We analyzed vaccination coverage among elderly subjects living in the city of Rome on the basis of their socioeconomic characteristics by using a local deprivation index.

Methods. We focused on the population aged ≥65 years living in the city of Rome from 2009 to 2013. Information on vaccination coverage was collected from general practitioners. A combination of multivariate techniques, including multiple linear regression, factor and cluster analysis, was used to construct a composite area-based Index of Socio-Economic and Health Deprivation (SEHDI). The index was calculated for each census tract on the basis of data from the 2001 Italian census.

Results. The majority of elderly subjects living in Rome belonged to the medium (40.4%) and medium-high (24%) deprivation groups; only 4.5% of the population was in the low-deprivation group. An inverse relationship was found between influenza vaccination coverage and the deprivation index: elderly subjects in the low-deprivation group displayed lower coverage (55.45%) than those in the high-deprivation group (57.59%). Specifically, vaccination coverage decreased with the increase of replacement index, employment rate and the percentage of: single and divorced individuals; university and high-school graduates; employees, entrepreneurs and freelancers, family assistants, students; foreigners and stateless persons residing in Italy; families consisting of one person.

Conclusions. Our results show an inverse relationship between deprivation and vaccination coverage and may help to identify subgroups that could benefit from targeted initiatives to increase vaccination coverage.
in the elderly population [12, 13]. Indeed, influenza vaccination coverage shows local variations [14, 15] and is considerably lower among individuals from socioeconomically deprived areas [14, 16]. Our recent systematic review also concluded that individuals from different groups at risk for influenza were less likely to be vaccinated if they lived in more deprived areas in comparison with those from affluent areas, regardless of the vaccine type (seasonal or pandemic) [17]. Depprivation indexes are measures of the level of deprivation in an area that take into consideration the multidimensional aspects of social stratification; their implementation at the population level could be a useful strategy for measuring health disparities and distinguishing non-vaccinating groups [18].

The aim of our study was to identify groups of subjects aged ≥ 65 years with lower vaccination coverage, living in the city of Rome, on the basis of their socioeconomic characteristics. To this end, we constructed and applied a local deprivation index.

**Methods**

**STUDY POPULATION**

The study focused on elderly subjects ≥ 65 years old living in the city of Rome from 2009 to 2013. Rome is located in the central part of Italy, in the Lazio region, has a population of about 2.5 million and a surface area of 1,290 km². Elderly people constitute 21.5-22.4% of the population. The city of Rome is located in the central part of Italy, in the Lazio region, has a population of about 2.5 million and a surface area of 1,290 km². Elderly people constitute 21.5-22.4% of the population. The study period ranged from a minimum of 554,028 to a maximum of 590,603 elderly people, with men accounting for between 40.8% and 40.9% [21-23].

To ascertain vaccination coverage, all general practitioners (GPs) working in the city of Rome were considered.

**DATA SOURCES**

Data on influenza vaccination coverage were provided by the Lazio Health and Social Policy Directorate, which collects and validates data from the GPs. The data covered the influenza seasons from 2009-10 to 2013-14 and included the street addresses of GPs’ offices. These latter were georeferenced in terms of census tract by the Statistics Office of the city of Rome. Demographic and socioeconomic characteristics of the study population were collected from the National Institute for Statistics, whereas the number of observed deaths due to all causes and to specific causes were obtained from the Department of Epidemiology of the Regional Health Service of the Lazio region. The data obtained were stratified by gender and census tract, as per the 2001 national census. The ICD-10 codes were converted into ICD-9 codes for the query.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

A composite area-based Index of Socio-Economic and Health Deprivation (SEHDI) was constructed by using a combination of multivariate techniques, including multiple linear regression, factor and cluster analysis for the local population, as reported elsewhere [20]. In calculating the index, each census tract was used as the unit of observation. Standardized Mortality Ratios (SMRs) were calculated on the basis of observed deaths, computed at the regional level, in order to validate the index with respect to the relationship between deprivation and the causes of death, as reported in the literature [19], and to describe the health condition of the population considered. SMRs were computed as the ratio between observed and expected mortality. Expected cases were computed on the basis of expected regional mortality (overall and for each specific cause, by gender and age-group). SMR values higher than 1 indicated a risk of mortality higher than the regional one, while SMR values lower than 1 indicated a risk of mortality lower than the regional one.

The relationship between the SEHDI and vaccination coverage was analyzed by means of one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) (statistical significance at p < 0.05) in order to evaluate the trend among the deprivation groups. A Pearson bivariate correlation, with statistical significance threshold at p < 0.05, was used to test the association between single specific socioeconomic and demographic census variables and the vaccination coverage in order to identify peculiar characteristics that could influence vaccination uptake.

The relationship between the SEHDI and mortality due to all causes and to specific causes was tested through ANOVA (statistical significance at p < 0.05) [19]. SMR and the results of ANOVA were reported for all-cause mortality and two causes of deaths, namely cardiovascular and respiratory diseases, as well as for influenza and pneumonia. These choices were supported by the fact that the calculation of influenza-associated deaths generally takes into consideration respiratory and circulatory diseases as underlying causes of death.

**Results**

During the study period, the population ranged from a minimum of 554,028 to a maximum of 590,603 elderly people, with men accounting for between 40.8% and 40.9% [21-23].

According to the factor analysis for the construction of the SEHDI, the contribution of the first factor to the explanation of the whole variance was 18.6%; this factor comprised the following variables: percentage of widows/widowers, percentage of males, old-age index, index of structural dependence, and average number of members of households. The contribution of the second factor was 13.8%; the third and fourth factors contributed 9.6% and 9.5%, respectively, while the last factor had a value of 7.7% (Tab. I).

According to results, the category of medium deprivation comprised the highest percentage (40.4%) of elderly people living in the city of Rome; 22.7% were classed as medium-low deprived while about 1/3 belonged to the categories medium-high (24%) or high (8.4%) deprivation. The low-deprivation group accounted for only 4.5%. Mapping was performed in order to show the
spatial distribution of the various groups in the city; the distribution of census tracts, with their assigned index value, is shown in Fig. 1. The population of the historical center of Rome has a higher socioeconomic position than the rest of the city. A greater concentration of subjects belonging to high and medium-high deprivation groups can be seen in the peripheral quarters of the city, particularly in the eastern, north-western and southern areas (Fig. 1).

A significant linear trend was found in the correlation between vaccination coverage and the deprivation index, with coverage increasing slightly as deprivation increases: from 55.45% in the low-deprivation group to 57.59% in the high-deprivation group (Fig. 2). Specifically, vaccination coverage decreased as the following variables increased: replacement index, employment rate and the percentages of: singles, divorced; graduates and higher school graduates; employees, entrepreneurs and freelancers, family assistants, students; foreigners and stateless persons residing in Italy; one-person families.

We observed a nonlinear significant relationship between the deprivation index and mortality due to all causes and cardiovascular diseases, with high, medium-low and low deprivation groups showing the highest SMRs. Specifically, with regard to all-cause mortality, the highest SMRs were seen in the low deprivation group among men (SMR = 1.17, 95% CI: 1.11-1.24), women (SMR = 1.36, 95% CI: 1.29-1.43) and the total population (SMR = 1.26, 95% CI: 1.22-1.31). Analogously, the highest SMRs for cardiovascular diseases were observed in low deprivation groups (SMR = 1.17, 95% CI: 1.07-1.27 in men; SMR = 1.23, 95% CI: 1.14-1.33 in women; SMR = 1.20, 95% CI: 1.13-1.27 in the total population). A significant linear trend was observed in males with respect to mortality due to respiratory diseases, with the highest SMRs being recorded in high deprivation groups (SMR = 1.14, 95% CI: 1.03-1.24) and the lowest in low deprivation groups (SMR = 0.86, 95% CI: 0.67-1.05). A significant nonlinear trend was observed in women and the total population, with the highest SMR in the high deprivation group (SMR = 1.27, 95% CI: 1.16-1.38 in

| Tab. I. Factors used for constructing the deprivation index and their corresponding contribution values. |
|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Factor 1 = 18.6% | Factor 2 = 13.8% | Factor 3 = 9.6% | Factor 4 = 9.5% | Factor 5 = 7.7% |
| % widows / widowers | % primary school diploma | Replacement index | % divorced | % family helpers |
| Index of structural dependence | % employed | % separated | % employed in agriculture |
| Old-age index | % rented homes | % students |
| % men |
| Average number of household components |
| **Total variance explained** = 59.3% |

**Fig. 1.** Map of Rome showing the spatial distribution of the deprivation index by census tract. Some census tracts (20.1%), comprising 1.3% of the entire population of Rome, were not analyzed owing to data incompleteness.
women and SMR = 1.21, 95% CI: 1.13-1.28 in the total population).
The SMR due to influenza and pneumonia showed a linear inverse correlation in men (high deprivation group: SMR = 0.66, 95% CI: 0.48-0.85; low deprivation group: SMR = 1.41, 95% CI: 0.87-1.95) and in the total population (high deprivation group: SMR = 0.76, 95% CI: 0.63-0.89; low deprivation group: SMR = 1.08, 95% CI: 0.73-1.42), while the trend was non-linear in women (low deprivation group: SMR = 0.71, 95% CI: 0.31-1.12; medium-low deprivation group: SMR = 1.05, 95% CI: 0.88-1.23) (Tab. II).

Discussion

Our study showed that more than half of the elderly population living in the city of Rome in the period 2009-2013 belonged to the medium, medium-high and high deprivation groups, and that there was an inverse relationship between influenza vaccination coverage and the SEHDI, with people in the low deprivation group showing the lowest coverage (more than two percentage points less than the high deprivation group). A linear relationship was observed between deprivation and mortality due to influenza and pneumonia in the total population and among men, with higher SMRs in low deprivation groups. By contrast, women in the low deprivation group showed the lowest SMR. The highest SMRs due to all-cause and cardiovascular disease mortality were also observed in low deprivation groups.

Socioeconomic position is a multidimensional concept and must be interpreted with caution, since different factors have been considered for its evaluation in the literature [24]. Nonetheless, our results are in concordance with those of published studies regarding the city of Rome. Indeed, the areas that displayed high deprivation in our analysis mostly correspond to those with low average income [25], low socioeconomic position [26] and a high index of social disadvantage [27].

In Rome, people belonging to medium/high social classes mainly reside in the prestigious central areas of the city, while those of lower social class tend to live in the peripheral areas. Using the socioeconomic position (SEP), Cesaroni et al. [26] found a similar distribution of socioeconomic inequalities in Rome and reported that the peripheral areas of the city (especially eastern and northern parts) had a low SEP, while the city center had a high SEP.

A recent report by the statistical office of the city of Rome concluded that the central area (municipalities I and II) and the northern part of the city had the highest economic welfare [25], while the municipalities located in the eastern part (municipality V and VI) had lower average incomes. The municipalities with the highest average income were those that displayed low or medium-low deprivation in our analysis (municipality I, II, XV) [25]. The same report also revealed that the percentage of elderly people living in conditions of economic difficulty (under € 11,000 gross a year) was higher in the eastern municipalities, particularly municipalities V and VI (32.5% and 37.5% of the total population aged ≥65 years, respectively) [25].

With regard to the association between vaccination coverage and deprivation, our findings are not in line with those of most studies. Indeed, most studies con-
Tab. II. Standardized mortality due to all causes and to cardiovascular and respiratory diseases, pneumonia and influenza in the period 2009-2013.

| Deprivation groups | All causes 65+ M | All causes 65+ F | Total all causes 65+ |
|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|
|                    | Observed        | SMR 95% CI      | Observed            | SMR 95% CI      | Observed            | SMR 95% CI      |
|                    | Lower Upper     | Lower Upper     | Lower Upper         |                   | Lower Upper         |                   |
| High deprivation   | 5912            | 1.13 1.10-1.16 | 7670               | 1.22 1.20-1.25   | 15582              | 1.18 1.16-1.20   |
| Medium-high        | 13800           | 1.07 1.05-1.09 | 17469              | 1.16 1.14-1.18   | 31269              | 1.12 1.11-1.13   |
| Medium deprivation | 20156           | 1.06 1.05-1.07 | 24656              | 1.16 1.15-1.17   | 44812              | 1.11 1.10-1.12   |
| Medium-low         | 9599            | 1.14 1.12-1.17 | 10552              | 1.24 1.21-1.26   | 20151              | 1.19 1.17-1.21   |
| Low deprivation    | 1451            | 1.17 1.11-1.24 | 1515               | 1.36 1.29-1.43   | 2966               | 1.26 1.22-1.31   |
| Total              | 50918           | 1.09 1.08-1.10 | 61862              | 1.18 1.18-1.19   | 112780             | 1.14 1.13-1.15   |

| Trend              | p<0.05 n.l.     |                   | p<0.05 n.l.        |                   | p<0.05 n.l.        |                   |

| Cardiovascular      | All causes 65+ M | All causes 65+ F | Total Cardiovascular |
|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|
|                    | Observed        | SMR 95% CI      | Observed            | SMR 95% CI      | Observed            | SMR 95% CI      |
|                    | Lower Upper     | Lower Upper     | Lower Upper         |                   | Lower Upper         |                   |
| High deprivation   | 2100            | 1.17 1.12-1.22 | 3288               | 1.15 1.11-1.19   | 5388               | 1.16 1.15-1.19   |
| Medium-high        | 5072            | 1.15 1.12-1.18 | 7544               | 1.10 1.08-1.13   | 12616              | 1.12 1.10-1.14   |
| Medium deprivation | 7185            | 1.10 1.07-1.12 | 10796              | 1.12 1.10-1.14   | 17981              | 1.11 1.09-1.13   |
| Medium-low         | 3518            | 1.15 1.11-1.19 | 4417               | 1.14 1.11-1.17   | 7735               | 1.14 1.12-1.17   |
| Low deprivation    | 497             | 1.17 1.07-1.27 | 623                | 1.23 1.14-1.35   | 1120               | 1.20 1.13-1.27   |
| Total              | 18172           | 1.13 1.11-1.15 | 26668              | 1.12 1.11-1.14   | 44840              | 1.13 1.12-1.14   |

| Trend              | p<0.05 l.       |                   | p<0.05 n.l.        |                   | p<0.05 l.         |                   |

| Respiratory        | All causes 65+ M | All causes 65+ F | Total Respiratory |
|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
|                    | Observed        | SMR 95% CI      | Observed            | SMR 95% CI      | Observed            | SMR 95% CI      |
|                    | Lower Upper     | Lower Upper     | Lower Upper         |                   | Lower Upper         |                   |
| High deprivation   | 447             | 1.14 1.05-1.24 | 521                | 1.27 1.16-1.38   | 968                | 1.21 1.15-1.28   |
| Medium-high        | 1004            | 1.04 0.97-1.10 | 1095               | 1.11 1.04-1.18   | 2097               | 1.07 1.05-1.12   |
| Medium deprivation | 1440            | 1.01 0.96-1.06 | 1611               | 1.16 1.10-1.22   | 3051               | 1.08 1.04-1.12   |
| Medium-low         | 668             | 1.06 0.98-1.14 | 704                | 1.26 1.17-1.36   | 1372               | 1.15 1.09-1.22   |
| Low deprivation    | 80              | 0.86 0.67-1.05 | 85                 | 1.14 0.90-1.39   | 165                | 0.98 0.85-1.14   |
| Total              | 3639            | 1.04 1.00-1.07 | 4012               | 1.17 1.14-1.21   | 7651               | 1.10 1.08-1.13   |

| Trend              | p<0.05 l.       |                   | p<0.05 n.l.        |                   | p<0.05 l.         |                   |

| Influenza and pneumonia | All causes 65+ M | All causes 65+ F | Total Influenza and pneumonia |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
|                        | Observed        | SMR 95% CI      | Observed            | SMR 95% CI      | Observed            | SMR 95% CI      |
|                        | Lower Upper     | Lower Upper     | Lower Upper         |                   | Lower Upper         |                   |
| High deprivation       | 52              | 0.66 0.48-0.85 | 80                 | 0.84 0.66-1.05   | 132                | 0.76 0.63-0.89   |
| Medium-high deprivation| 155             | 0.70 0.58-0.82 | 202                | 0.89 0.76-1.01   | 357                | 0.80 0.72-0.89   |
| Medium deprivation     | 217             | 0.76 0.66-0.87 | 272                | 0.85 0.75-0.95   | 489                | 0.81 0.74-0.88   |
| Medium-low deprivation | 94              | 0.75 0.60-0.90 | 136                | 1.05 0.88-1.25   | 230                | 0.90 0.79-1.02   |
| Low deprivation        | 26              | 1.41 0.87-1.95 | 12                 | 0.71 0.51-1.12   | 38                 | 1.08 0.73-1.42   |
| Total                  | 524             | 0.75 0.69-0.81 | 702                | 0.89 0.82-0.95   | 1226               | 0.82 0.78-0.87   |

| Trend              | p<0.05 l.       |                   | p<0.05 n.l.        |                   | p<0.05 l.         |                   |

SMR: Standardized Mortality Ratio; M: male; F: female; l.: linear; n.l.: non-linear.
Conducted in elderly populations across different seasons and countries have reported higher influenza vaccination uptake in low deprivation groups [14, 15, 28]. Only two studies, conducted in Colombia [29] and Germany [30], had findings similar to ours, and reported that elderly people from higher social classes had lower influenza vaccination coverage than those from lower and middle classes. We hypothesize that our findings might be the consequence of anti-vaccination campaigns [31], which have grown in Italy, and of the increased perception of the potential risks of vaccination [32], as people from higher socioeconomic classes may be more susceptible to both influences. Another possible explanation is that, in the high deprivation groups, people with chronic and/or co-morbid conditions are more likely to visit health-care facilities and have vaccination advised and given [29].

Our finding of an association between vaccination coverage and deprivation is to some extent corroborated by the relationship between deprivation and mortality. Indeed, in our study, both all-cause SMRs and SMRs for cardiovascular diseases and for influenza and pneumonia showed the highest values in low deprivation groups, although the SMRs for respiratory diseases displayed the opposite trend. Nevertheless, the evidence on the specific topic of influenza-associated mortality and socio-economic condition is still contrasting. A recent cross-sectional ecological study conducted in 15 European cities showed that SMRs for influenza and pneumonia in women were directly associated with socioeconomic deprivation in London, Rotterdam and Kosice and inversely associated in Madrid, while in men a direct association was found in all 15 cities [33].

Our study has some limitations. The main one is that it did not allow us to make inferences about causal association, as the observation unit was the census tract. Indeed, the ecological fallacy could not be ruled out. Another limit may be connected with the possible under-reporting of vaccination coverage by GPs and, therefore, the possible incompleteness of the data. On the other hand, one of the main strengths of the study lies in its use of validated administrative data, which makes the analysis transparent and repeatable.

Conclusions

In conclusion, our study allowed us to robustly depict the situation of deprivation of elderly people living in the city of Rome and to ascertain that people from low deprivation groups showed lower vaccination coverage. These results should be taken into account in the organization of vaccination campaigns and should prompt differential intervention according to the local area.
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