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Abstract

Introduction Obesity has been linked to increased risk of breast cancer in postmenopausal women. Increased peripheral production of estrogens has been regarded as the main cause for this association, but other features of increased body fat mass may also play a part. Leptin is a protein produced mainly by adipose tissue and may represent a growth factor in cancer. We examined the association between leptin plasma levels and mammographic density, a biomarker for breast cancer risk.

Methods We included data from postmenopausal women aged 55 and older, who participated in a cross-sectional mammography study in Tromsø, Norway. Mammograms, plasma leptin measurements as well as information on anthropometric and hormonal/reproductive factors were available from 967 women. We assessed mammographic density using a previously validated computer-assisted method. Multiple linear regression analysis was applied to investigate the association between mammographic density and quartiles of plasma leptin concentration. Because we hypothesized that the effect of leptin on mammographic density could vary depending on the amount of nondense or fat tissue in the breast, we also performed analyses on plasma leptin levels and mammographic density within tertiles of mammographic nondense area.

Results After adjusting for age, postmenopausal hormone use, number of full-term pregnancies and age of first birth, there was an inverse association between leptin and absolute mammographic density ($P_{\text{trend}} = 0.001$). When we additionally adjusted for body mass index and mammographic nondense area, no statistically significant association between plasma leptin and mammographic density was found ($P_{\text{trend}} = 0.16$). Stratified analyses suggested that the association between plasma leptin and mammographic density could differ with the amount of fat tissue in the breast.

Conclusion We found no overall consistent association between the plasma concentration of leptin and absolute mammographic density. Although weak, there was some suggestion that the association between leptin and mammographic density could differ with the amount of fat tissue in the breast.

Introduction Obesity has been associated with increased risk of postmenopausal breast cancer in epidemiological studies [1-3]. The increased conversion of androgens to estrogens by the aromatase enzyme in peripheral adipose tissues [4] along with reduced levels of serum sex hormone binding globulin have been hypothesized to be the main link between obesity and increased risk of postmenopausal breast cancer [2]. Whether the influence of estrogens on the breast tissue is direct or is mediated via other factors, however, has not been established [5]. In addition to being the main site for
production of postmenopausal estrogens, adipocytes secrete a number of biological active polypeptides, the adipocytokines [6], some of which may be involved in breast cancer development [7].

Leptin, the most thoroughly studied adipocytokine, is a protein hormone produced mainly by the white adipose tissue [8,9], but is also expressed at other sites, such as in the gastric epithelium [10], the placenta [11], osteoblasts [12], skeletal muscle cells [13] and mammary epithelium [14]. Leptin regulates appetite and energy expenditure by signaling nutritional status to the hypothalamus [15], but is also involved in a number of other processes including the regulation of reproduction and immune response [16,17].

Leptin may act as a growth factor in cancer [18], including the epithelial cancers of colon and breast [19]. Leptin promotes angiogenesis [20-22] and might thereby directly stimulate growth of breast cancer cells [23].

The radiographic appearance of a mammogram is determined by the relative amounts of translucent fat tissue to the denser epithelial and stromal (fibrous) tissues [24]. Mammographic density is a measure of the radiodense area on the mammogram. Both the amount of radiodense tissue (absolute mammographic density) and the percentage of total breast area that appears radiologically dense (percentage mammographic density) have been shown to be associated with breast cancer risk; women with the most dense mammograms having a four to six times higher risk of developing breast cancer compared with women with no densities [25-27]. It was recently shown that ductal carcinoma in situ tumors tend to arise in the area of the breast corresponding to the dense part of the mammogram [28], and it has been suggested that mammographic density represents an early biomarker for breast cancer risk [29].

In the present cross-sectional study, we wanted to examine the association between plasma leptin concentration and mammographic density. We hypothesized that the growth-promoting properties of leptin, by stimulating proliferation of epithelial tissue and/or stromal tissue of the breast, could potentially increase the density of the mammogram. As absolute mammographic density is under smaller influence by breast fat and body fat measures than percentage mammographic density, we used absolute density as the main mammographic density variable in our analyses.

**Materials and methods**

**Study population**

We used data from the Tromsø Mammography and Breast Cancer Study, which aims to identify genetic, hormonal, reproductive and lifestyle characteristics associated with mammographic patterns/densities that may enhance the risk of developing breast cancer [30]. Briefly, women aged 55 and older, residing in the municipality of Tromsø, who attended the National Breast Cancer Screening Program at the University Hospital of North Norway during spring 2001 and 2002, were invited to participate in the study. A total of 1,041 women agreed to participate. This accounted for 70.2% of the women attending the breast cancer screening program during recruitment. Of the 1,041 women, we excluded 22 women with new or previous breast cancer and one woman currently using chemotherapy.

A blood sample was drawn and anthropometric measures were obtained from the participants on the day of the mammographic screening. The study subjects were interviewed by a trained nurse about their current and previous postmenopausal hormone therapy use, their reproductive and menstrual factors, their previous history of cancer and their smoking status. The participants were asked to complete either a four-page questionnaire (2001 participants) or an eight-page questionnaire (2002 participants) at home. The questionnaires contained items on demographic, menstrual and reproductive factors, as well as lifestyle and dietary factors. The study was approved by the Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics and the National Data Inspection Board. All participants gave written informed consent.

**Assay of plasma samples**

Nonfasting venous samples were obtained from the participants on the day of mammographic screening. Samples were stored at -20°C or colder until analysis in December 2002. Samples had been thawed once during storage. The plasma leptin concentration was measured by a competitive radioimmunoassay (Linco Research, St Charles, MO, USA) with recombinant [125I]-leptin as a tracer [31]. The intra-assay coefficient of variation was 2.4%, whereas the inter-assay coefficient of variation was 6.6%. Leptin measurements were available for 975 women.

**Processing of mammograms**

Absolute and percentage mammographic densities were determined using the University of Southern California Madena computer-based threshold method of assessing density, a method that has been described and validated elsewhere [32]. Briefly, the cranio-caudal mammographic images are digitized using a high-resolution Cobrascan CX-812 scanner (Radiographic Digital Imaging, Torrance, CA, USA) and were then viewed on a computer screen. The computer software program assigns a pixel value of 0 to the darkest (black) shade in the image and a value of 255 to the lightest (white) shade with shades of gray assigned intermediate values.

A reader first defines the total breast area using a special outlining tool. Next, the region of interest, excluding the pectoralis muscle, prominent veins and fibrous strands, is defined. The reader then uses a tinting tool to apply a yellow tint to dense pixels with grey levels at or above some threshold X and a pixel...
value of 255. The reader searches for the best threshold where all pixels $X$ within the region of interest are considered to represent mammographic densities. The software estimates the total number of pixels and the number of tinted pixels within the region of interest.

The absolute density represents the count of the tinted pixels within the region of interest. The percentage density, or the fraction (%) of the breast with densities, is the ratio of absolute density to the total breast area. As a measure of breast adipose tissue, we used the mammographic nondense area, which we estimated as the total breast area minus the absolute density. All measurements were made on the mammogram from the left breast. The density assessments were performed by GU, whereas the breast area measurements were conducted by a research assistant trained by GU. The readers were blinded to all subject characteristics.

**Data analysis**

In our preliminary analyses, we used analysis of variance to study the associations between leptin and selected variables, and the associations between absolute mammographic density and the same selected variables. We also conducted these analyses adjusted for body mass index (BMI).

The association between plasma leptin concentration and mammographic density was studied by multiple linear regression analysis with mammographic density as the outcome variable. Consistent with our previously reported findings on percentage mammographic density from this study [30], we found that absolute mammographic density decreased with higher BMI, with increasing number of full-term pregnancies and with lower age at first birth. We adjusted for these variables in the multivariate analyses, in addition to age, current use of postmenopausal hormone therapy and mammographic nondense area. In the multivariate analyses, leptin was categorized into quartiles, and the covariates were modeled as categorical variables with the following categories: BMI (<20, 20–22, 23–24, 25–26, 27–28, 29–31, 32–34, >34), age (tertiles), number of full-term pregnancies (0, 1–2, 3, >3), age at first birth (<20 years, 20–24 years, >24 years), current postmenopausal hormone therapy use (yes/no) and breast fat tissue (tertiles). In the stratified analyses, BMI was categorized as <23, 23–24, 25–26, 27–28, >28.

To meet the assumptions of normality of residuals from the regression analyses, both the leptin concentration and mammographic density were log$_{10}$-transformed in the analyses where they represented the outcome variable. Back-transformed means and 95% confidence intervals are presented. Test for trends across categories of variables were performed by treating the categories as continuous variables in the analyses. For the multivariate analyses on leptin concentration and mammographic density, complete information was available for 967 women.

Leptin concentration was correlated with the body fat measures of BMI (Spearman’s rank correlation, $r_{sp} = 0.56$, $P < 0.001$), waist circumference ($r_{sp} = 0.52$, $P < 0.001$) and breast fat tissue ($r_{sp} = 0.40$, $P < 0.001$). The body fat measures of BMI, breast fat tissue and waist circumference were also correlated ($0.66 \leq r_{sp} \leq 0.87$, with the highest correlation of 0.87 between BMI and waist circumference). Because we wanted to investigate the effect of leptin as a possible growth factor independent of body fat, we performed several analyses adjusting for BMI, mammographic nondense area (representing breast fat) and waist circumference one at a time or together, and with various categorizations of the variables.

In the following, we present results adjusted for BMI and/or mammographic nondense area. Additional adjustment for

### Table 1

**Characteristics of women included in the study ($n = 967$)**

| Characteristic                                      | Value                   |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Age (years)                                         | 60 (55–71)              |
| Body mass index (kg/m$^2$)                          | 26.7 (13.1–47.2)        |
| Number of full-term pregnancies                     | 3 (0–11)                |
| Age at first birth ($n = 816$)                       | 22 (15–39)              |
| Absolute mammographic density (cm$^2$)              | 14.7 (0–155.2)          |
| Percentage mammographic density (%)                 | 9.6 (0–69.2)            |
| Leptin (ng/ml)                                      | 14.5 (1.0–72.0)         |
| Current postmenopausal hormone therapy use          | 253 (26)                |
| Smoking ($n = 906$)                                 |                         |
| Current daily smoking                               | 268 (30)                |
| Current nonsmoking                                  | 638 (70)                |

Data presented as the median (range) or number of observations (percentage).
Table 2

Selected variables in relation to leptin and absolute mammographic densitya

| Variables                        | n       | Leptin concentration (ng/ml) | Leptin concentration (ng/ml) adjusted for BMIb | P valuec | Absolute mammographic density (cm²) | Absolute mammographic density (cm²) adjusted for BMIb | P valuec |
|----------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------|
| **Age in tertiles (n = 967)**    |         |                             |                                               |          |                                      |                                                     |          |
| 55–58 years                      | 318     | 14.0 (12.9–15.2)            | 13.4 (12.5–14.4)                              |          | 13.3 (11.6–15.2)                     | 12.6 (11.0–14.4)                                     |          |
| 59–63 years                      | 340     | 14.4 (13.3–15.6)            | 13.6 (12.7–14.6)                              |          | 10.9 (9.6–12.4)                      | 10.3 (9.0–11.7)                                      |          |
| 64–71 years                      | 309     | 14.1 (13.0–15.3)            | 13.4 (12.5–14.4)                              | 0.98     | 10.5 (9.2–12.1)                      | 10.1 (8.8–11.5)                                      | 0.01     |
| **Number of full-term pregnancies (n = 967)** |         |                             |                                               |          |                                      |                                                     |          |
| 0                                | 69      | 14.7 (12.4–17.5)            | 14.9 (12.9–17.2)                              |          | 19.7 (15.0–26.1)                     | 18.1 (13.9–23.7)                                     |          |
| 1–2                              | 385     | 12.9 (12.0–13.8)            | 12.6 (11.8–13.4)                              |          | 13.8 (12.3–15.5)                     | 13.0 (11.5–14.7)                                     |          |
| 3                                | 309     | 14.6 (13.5–15.9)            | 14.2 (13.2–15.3)                              |          | 11.4 (10.0–13.0)                     | 10.6 (9.3–12.19)                                     |          |
| 4 and more                       | 204     | 15.9 (14.4–17.6)            | 13.8 (12.6–15.0)                              | 0.30     | 6.8 (5.8–8.0)                        | 6.8 (5.8–8.0)                                       | <0.001   |
| **Age at first birth (n = 898)** |         |                             |                                               |          |                                      |                                                     |          |
| (82 have imputed values)         |         |                             |                                               |          |                                      |                                                     |          |
| <20 years                        | 129     | 16.3 (14.4–18.5)            | 14.4 (12.9–16.0)                              |          | 7.2 (5.9–8.9)                        | 7.1 (5.8–8.7)                                       |          |
| 20–24 years                      | 535     | 14.1 (13.2–15.0)            | 13.2 (12.5–14.0)                              |          | 10.9 (9.9–12.1)                      | 10.2 (9.2–11.4)                                     |          |
| >24 years                        | 234     | 13.1 (12.0–14.4)            | 12.7 (11.7–14.0)                              | 0.08     | 14.2 (12.1–16.5)                     | 13.3 (11.4–15.5)                                     | <0.001   |
| **Postmenopausal hormone use (n = 967)** |         |                             |                                               |          |                                      |                                                     |          |
| Current use                      | 253     | 13.5 (12.3–14.8)            | 13.5 (12.5–14.6)                              |          | 16.7 (14.4–19.3)                     | 15.1 (13.0–17.6)                                     |          |
| Current nonuse                   | 714     | 14.4 (13.6–15.2)            | 13.5 (12.8–14.2)                              | 0.97     | 10.1 (9.2–11.0)                      | 9.8 (8.9–10.7)                                       | <0.001   |
| **Smoking (n = 906)**            |         |                             |                                               |          |                                      |                                                     |          |
| Current nonsmoking               | 638     | 15.5 (14.7–16.4)            | 14.1 (13.4–14.8)                              |          | 11.6 (10.6–12.8)                     | 11.6 (10.5–12.8)                                     |          |
| Current daily smoking            | 268     | 11.4 (10.4–12.4)            | 12.4 (11.5–13.4)                              | 0.01     | 11.2 (9.7–13.0)                      | 9.4 (8.1–10.9)                                       | 0.02     |
| **Alcohol consumption (100 g alcohol/month) (n = 877)** |         |                             |                                               |          |                                      |                                                     |          |
| No alcohol                       | 225     | 14.0 (12.7–15.4)            | 13.1 (12.1–14.2)                              |          | 10.4 (8.9–12.2)                      | 10.4 (8.9–12.1)                                     |          |
| Lower tertile (<0.42)            | 206     | 14.5 (13.1–16.0)            | 13.8 (13.0–15.1)                              |          | 10.5 (8.9–12.4)                      | 9.9 (8.4–11.7)                                       |          |
| Mid tertile (0.42–1.1)           | 213     | 15.1 (13.6–16.6)            | 13.9 (12.7–15.1)                              |          | 10.5 (8.9–12.3)                      | 10.2 (8.7–12.1)                                     |          |
| Upper tertile (>1.1)             | 233     | 13.5 (12.3–14.9)            | 13.7 (12.6–14.9)                              | 0.48     | 14.2 (12.2–16.6)                     | 12.7 (10.8–14.8)                                     | 0.06     |
| **BMI (n = 967)**                |         |                             |                                               |          |                                      |                                                     |          |
| <25                              | 330     | 9.0 (8.4–9.6)               |                                               |          | 16.4 (14.5–18.6)                     |                                                     |          |
| 25–30                            | 393     | 15.1 (14.2–16.0)            |                                               |          | 11.8 (10.5–13.3)                     |                                                     |          |
| >30                              | 244     | 23.8 (22.0–25.8)            | <0.001                                        |          | 6.8 (5.8–7.8)                        | <0.001                                             |          |
| **Waist circumference in tertiles (n = 965)** |         |                             |                                               |          |                                      |                                                     |          |
| <83.6 cm                         | 323     | 9.1 (8.5–9.8)               |                                               |          | 16.3 (14.3–18.5)                     |                                                     |          |
| 83.6–94.1 cm                     | 326     | 14.4 (13.4–15.4)            |                                               |          | 12.7 (11.2–14.4)                     |                                                     |          |
| >94.1 cm                         | 316     | 21.9 (20.4–23.5)            | <0.001                                        |          | 7.3 (6.4–8.3)                        | <0.001                                             |          |
| **Mammographic nondense area in tertiles (n = 967)** |         |                             |                                               |          |                                      |                                                     |          |
| <120.1 cm²                       | 325     | 9.8 (9.1–10.6)              | 12.4 (11.5–13.4)                              |          | 20.3 (18.0–22.9)                     | 18.2 (15.8–20.9)                                     |          |
| 120.1–172.8 cm²                  | 319     | 15.0 (14.0–16.2)            | 14.1 (13.1–15.2)                              |          | 12.2 (10.8–13.8)                     | 11.2 (9.8–12.9)                                     |          |
| >172.8 cm²                       | 323     | 19.3 (17.9–20.8)            | 14.1 (13.1–15.2)                              | 0.03     | 6.1 (5.4–6.9)                        | 6.4 (5.6–7.5)                                       | <0.001   |
Table 2 (Continued)

| Mammographic percentage density in tertiles (n = 967) | 322 | 17.5 (16.2–19.0) | 13.4 (12.5–14.4) |
|-----------------------------------------------|----|------------------|------------------|
| < 4.5%                                        | 322 | 13.8 (12.7–14.9) | 13.6 (12.7–14.6) |
| 4.5–15.5%                                     | 323 | 11.8 (10.9–12.7) | 13.5 (12.5–14.5) |
| > 15.5%                                       | 323 | 11.8 (10.9–12.7) | 13.5 (12.5–14.5) |

*Analysis of variance. Leptin and absolute mammographic density were log-transformed, reported means are back-transformed.

*Adjusted for body mass index (BMI), categorized as <20, 20–22, 23–24, 25–26, 27–28, 29–31, 32–34, >34.

For dichotomous variables, *P* values were obtained from analysis of variance, otherwise *P* values were obtained from test for trend. Analyses are adjusted for BMI; except for BMI and waist circumference, where the trend tests refer to the crude analyses.

waist circumference, smoking and alcohol consumption yielded essentially similar results, and are not presented.

Furthermore, because of the correlation (r_sp = 0.56) between our main independent variable, leptin concentration, and BMI, we additionally performed our multivariate analyses with leptin concentration adjusted for BMI by the residual method [33].

We hypothesized that the association between plasma leptin concentration and absolute mammographic density could be modified by the amount of fat tissue in the breast. We therefore also performed multivariate analyses within tertiles of the mammographic nondense area.

Of the 967 women in the analyses, 898 reported one or more full-term pregnancies. For 82 of these women, information on age at first birth was not available. In order to keep these women in the adjusted analyses we replaced the missing values with the median age at first birth for women with the same age and same number of full-term pregnancies.

From the multiple linear regression model of plasma leptin and absolute mammographic density, we report R^2 values for the total model and the R^2 change values for the plasma leptin concentration. The statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows (version 11.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). All *P* values are two-sided. We considered *P* < 0.05 statistically significant.

Results

The characteristics of the study subjects are summarized in Table 1. The median absolute mammographic density was 14.7 cm^2^ (range, 0–155.2 cm^2^) and the median plasma leptin concentration was 14.5 ng/ml (range, 1.0–72.0 ng/ml).

Table 2 presents the associations between selected variables and leptin concentration as well as absolute mammographic density. After adjustment for BMI, the leptin concentration decreased statistically nonsignificantly with higher age at first birth, and smokers had significantly lower plasma leptin levels than nonsmokers. Moreover, plasma leptin concentration was positively and statistically significantly associated with BMI, waist circumference and the nondense area of the mammogram. Absolute mammographic density decreased statistically significantly with age, with increasing number of full-term pregnancies and was lower with an early first birth. Women using postmenopausal hormone therapy had a significantly higher absolute mammographic density, on average 5.3 cm^2^, compared with non-hormone users. Absolute mammographic density was inversely and statistically significantly associated with BMI, waist circumference and the nondense area of the mammogram.

Plasma leptin concentration and mammographic density

Plasma leptin levels and absolute mammographic density were weakly correlated (r_sp = -0.12, *P* < 0.001), and a statistically significant inverse association was found in the unadjusted regression analysis (Table 3). After adjustment for age, number of full-term pregnancies, age at first birth, use of postmenopausal hormone therapy, BMI and mammographic nondense area, this inverse association was no longer apparent. The pattern was essentially the same for the percentage mammographic density (Table 3). When we replaced the leptin concentration with that adjusted for BMI by the residual method in the multivariate analyses, the association between leptin concentration and mammographic density remained essentially unchanged. For the absolute density, *P*_trend changed from 0.32 to 0.28, and for the percentage density, *P*_trend changed from 0.58 to 0.43 (analyses not adjusted for nondense mammographic area) (results not shown).

In analysis stratified by tertiles of mammographic nondense area, no statistically significant association was found between the plasma leptin concentration and the absolute mammographic density in the strata representing the women with the lowest or the highest breast fat content (Table 4). In the stratum with the medium nondense area, the absolute mammographic density increased statistically significantly across quartiles of leptin. Women in the highest quartile of plasma leptin concentration had, on average, 9.7 cm^2^ higher absolute mammographic density compared with the women in the lowest quartile (*P*_trend = 0.003). This apparent effect modification was statistically borderline significant (*P* for interaction = 0.05). Stratified analyses not adjusted for BMI gave essentially the same results (*P* for interaction = 0.06). For the percentage density, the association between leptin
concentration and mammographic density resembled those of the absolute mammographic density, with an inverse association in the lower stratum of nondense mammographic area ($P_{\text{trend}} = 0.05$), a positive association in the medium stratum ($P_{\text{trend}} = 0.004$) and no association in the upper stratum ($P_{\text{trend}} = 0.76$) (results not shown).

We also performed the analysis on leptin concentration and absolute mammographic density in the strata of the second tertile and the third tertile of nondense mammographic area combined. In this new stratum, the absolute density was on average 3.9 cm$^2$ higher in the highest quartile of plasma leptin concentration compared with the lowest quartile ($P_{\text{trend}} = 0.12, P$ for interaction = 0.23) (results not shown). When we...
nondense mammographic area (n = 945) or to women in the 5th-95th percentiles of nondense mammographic area (n = 872), or to non-current hormone therapy users (n = 714), the associations between leptin concentration and mammographic density were essentially unchanged.

In the adjusted analyses of plasma leptin levels and absolute mammographic density, with a model where both BMI and nondense area were included, the $R^2$ value was 22.6% ($P < 0.001$) and the $R^2$ change value for leptin concentration was 0.6% ($P = 0.05$).

**Discussion**

Epidemiological studies on leptin and breast cancer have been scarce and inconclusive. One small case-control study found no association between the serum leptin concentration and breast cancer among postmenopausal women, but found an inverse association among premenopausal women [34]. Another small case-control study performed among premenopausal women [35] reported a statistically nonsignificant positive association between serum leptin levels and the risk of carcinoma in situ of the breast. A Swedish nested case-control study on postmenopausal women [36] found no statistically significant association between plasma levels of leptin and breast cancer risk. One earlier study [37] evaluated the association between circulating leptin levels among premenopausal women and mammographic density, assessed by the Wolfe’s classification system. In that study, leptin was inversely associated with high-risk mammographic patterns. This is in accordance with our analyses not adjusted for body fat measures.

The present study found a crude inverse association between leptin concentration and mammographic density. This association disappeared after adjustment for body fat measures. The small $R^2$ change of 0.6% for leptin concentration suggests a marginal, if any, role for leptin in determining mammographic density. We did find, however, a statistically significant increase in mammographic density across quartiles of leptin concentration among the women with a medium content of nondense tissue or breast fat.

Because leptin is produced and secreted mainly from the adipose tissue, it may seem inappropriate from a biologic point of view to separate the association between leptin concentration and mammographic density from that of the association between body fat and mammographic density. Furthermore, it may seem puzzling that fat measures are inversely associated with mammographic density, whereas tentatively a positive association could exist between leptin and mammographic density, as suggested from our stratified analyses adjusted for fat measures.

Obesity is associated with increased breast cancer risk in postmenopausal women [1]. Paradoxically, obesity has shown to be associated with favorable mammographic patterns, regardless of methods of mammographic assessment [38-40]. The reason why obesity should be related to a smaller amount of fibroglandular tissue in the breast is unclear [41]. To our knowledge it is not known what determines the relative amounts of fat and stromal tissue during human female breast development. Interestingly, it is well known from dairy science that high-energy feeding in heifers (a young cow that has not had a calf) increases mammary fat deposits and decreases the number of epithelial cells [42]. It has been suggested that leptin might, via a regulatory effect on DNA synthesis in bovine mammary epithelial cells, mediate such an effect [43]. Furthermore, even if body fat measures are inversely associated with mammographic density, there could still be positive associations between specific fat-related substances [6] and mammographic density. To investigate an association between leptin and mammographic density, we therefore had to adjust for the confounding effect of body fat.

Although percentage mammographic density is a more commonly used estimate, absolute density has also been associated with breast cancer [26,27,44,45]. Absolute density may be a more appropriate marker in studies where body fat mass is investigated, such as in dietary studies [46-48].

A role for leptin in breast cancer tumorigenesis has been hypothesized based on the detection of leptin protein in human breast tumors [49], the detection of leptin receptors and the proliferative effect of leptin in breast cancer cell lines [23,50,51]. Lack of oncogene-induced mammary tumors in genetically obese mice, leptin-deficient (ob/ob) mice [52] or leptin-receptor-deficient (db/db) mice [53] have also been discussed in light of this hypothesis. The influence of leptin on normal breast tissue, however, is less investigated. Leptin receptors have been detected in the normal human breast epithelial HBL100 cell line [23], whereas investigators failed to demonstrate any significant immunoreactivity of the leptin receptor (OB-R) in normal breast gland [54]. Leptin mRNA expression has been detected in normal breast tissue and in secretory breast epithelial cells [14], in benign epithelial hyperplasia as well as in normal epithelial cells in the vicinity of malignant lesions [55]. Breast epithelial cells, therefore, might under certain circumstances themselves produce and secrete leptin. Normal breast tissue appears to have lower or absent leptin expression compared with cells of benign breast lesions and malignant ductal cells [54,55]. These observations could indicate a role for leptin during malignant transformation of breast cells.

Although it is particularly the effect of leptin on epithelial mammary duct cells of the breast that has been studied, leptin might promote growth in other cell populations of the breast,
such as fibroblasts [56], and thereby cause stromal alterations reflected by increased mammographic density [57].

Because the level of leptin in breast fat might be biologically important, it seems probable that plasma levels as a marker for breast level leptin are only comparable in women with the same amount of breast adipose tissue – or, in other words, that breast adipose tissue may be a modifier of the leptin-mammographic density association. We therefore stratified our analyses on the mammographic nondense area, and a role for breast fat in modifying the association between leptin and mammographic density was suggested ($P$ for interaction = 0.05).

It is possible that, with increasing breast fat, locally secreted leptin would exert most influence on the breast epithelial/stromal tissue, and plasma leptin would be less important. This could be a biological explanation for our finding that the mammographic density increased significantly across quartiles of plasma leptin levels in the medium stratum of breast fat, whereas this increase was not found in the upper stratum. If plasma leptin is associated with increased mammographic density in breasts only containing a modest amount of fat, however, we would expect the strongest association between plasma leptin concentration and mammographic density in the first stratum of breast fat. This is not what we found, indicating that our results may be due to chance.

There is some evidence for an association between estrogen and leptin, but the direction of this association is not clear. Estrogens have been shown by some investigators [58], but not all [59], to stimulate leptin production from female omental adipose tissue in vitro. Furthermore, it is unclear whether plasma leptin levels are affected by endogenous estrogens [60,61], by menopause or by the use of hormone replacement therapy [62]. In the present study, leptin levels did not differ with the use of postmenopausal hormone therapy, and neither was the association between plasma leptin concentration and mammographic density modified by hormone use (categorized as current use/non-current use; $P$ for interaction = 0.23). We were unable to adjust for endogenous estrogen levels, but we adjusted for obesity, the major determinant of estrogen levels in postmenopausal women [63]. Leptin has been shown to stimulate the action of the aromatase enzyme in some women [64]. If estrogens mediate the effect of leptin on mammographic density, this would make estrogens an intermediate step in the association between leptin and mammographic density in our analyses, and should as such not be adjusted for.

The strengths of our study are the large sample size, and that it was a part of a population-based screening project with a high attendance rate. The reader of the mammograms was experienced, and was blinded to the characteristics of the women. Limitations of our study include potential misclassification of plasma leptin levels because the participants were nonfasting [65] and their blood samples were not drawn at the same time of the day [66]. Some misclassification could arise because leptin was measured only once, although single measurements of circulating leptin appear to reflect long-term levels rather well [67,68]. These misclassifications would most probably bias our results towards the null. In the stratified analyses, the low number of women in some of the leptin quartiles limits the interpretation of our findings.

The BMI as a measure of body fat is not accurate [69], and there could be a residual confounding effect by body fat on the association between leptin concentration and mammographic density. This would tend to obscure a positive association. Furthermore, the estimation of breast fat using the nondense component on the mammogram, a two-dimensional picture, is a crude approximation of the volume of mammary adipose tissue, and several other fat-secreted substances have been suggested to provide links between obesity and breast cancer, such as adiponectin [71], hepatocyte growth factor [72] and IL-6 [7], perhaps through interfering with insulin resistance and estrogen synthesis [73]. The association of these substances with mammographic density is to our knowledge not known.

**Conclusion**

Our results do not support a growth-stimulating effect of plasma leptin on mammographic density. Although weak and possibly due to chance, we found some evidence that breast fat may modify the association between the leptin concentration and mammographic density.
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