Comparative Study of Nanosecond Electric Fields *In Vitro* and *In Vivo* on Hepatocellular Carcinoma Indicate Macrophage Infiltration Contribute to Tumor Ablation *In Vivo*
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**Abstract**

**Background and Aim:** Recurrence and metastasis are associated with poor prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma even in the patients who have undergone radical resection. Therefore, effective treatment is urgently needed for improvement of patients’ survival. Previously, we reported that nanosecond pulse electric fields (nsPEFs) can ablate melanoma by induction of apoptosis and inhibition of angiogenesis. This study aims to investigate the *in vivo* ablation strategy by comparing the dose effect of nanosecond electric fields *in vitro* and *in vivo* on hepatocellular carcinoma.

**Materials and Methods:** Four hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines HepG2, SMMC7721, Hep1-6, and HCCLM3 were pulsed to test the anti-proliferation and anti-migration ability of 100 ns nsPEFs *in vitro*. The animal model of human subdermal xenograft HCCLM3 cells into BALB/c nude mouse was used to test the anti-tumor effect and macrophage infiltration *in vivo*.

**Results:** *In vitro* assays showed anti-tumor effect of nsPEFs is dose-dependant. But the *in vivo* study showed the strategy of low dose and multiple treatments is superior to high dose single treatment. The macrophages infiltration significantly increased in the tumors which were treated by multiple low dose nsPEFs.

**Conclusion:** The low dose multiple nsPEFs application is more efficient than high dose single treatment in inhibiting the tumor volume *in vivo*, which is quite different from the dose-effect relationship *in vitro*. Beside the electric field strength, the macrophage involvement must be considered to account for effect variability and toxicology *in vivo*.
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**Introduction**

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) ranks the second leading cause of cancer death and causes over 600,000 new cases annually in China [1]. Liver transplantation or radical surgery is not always possible. Recently nanosecond pulsed electric fields (nsPEFs) have been proved effective in ablating different solid tumors [2]. Pulsed power is originally developed in World War II for weapons to store energy and release it very quickly to produce immediate high power. The applications have been extended to environment, agriculture, biology and medicine, its most important medical application of nsPEFs is tumor ablation. A number of studies have demonstrated that nsPEFs can stimulate apoptosis and ablate solid tumors such as melanomas [3], pancreatic cancer [4], basal carcinoma [5], hepatocellular carcinoma [6], cutaneous papilloma and squamous cell carcinoma [7].

The main characteristics of nsPEFs are their high power and low energy which lead to very little heat production. nsPEFs can produce highly compressed power, ultra short pulse, rapid rise time and high electric fields. The pulse is very short and penetrates into the cell before the plasma membrane is fully changed [8].

*In vitro* and *in vivo* experimental studies have broadened the understanding of the mechanisms by which nsPEFs mediate tumor
eradication. nsPEFs can induce DNA damage, and eventually cell death through a variety of mechanisms including transient ion-permeable nanopores formation on both the plasma membrane [9] and intracellular membranes [10], apoptosis initiation and angiogenesis inhibition [11]. In vivo treatments try to kill as much tumor as possible while balancing damage to normal tissues around [12]. Many research groups have noticed that different cancer cells react differently to the same nsPEFs treatment, but the variability still remain incompletely understood. The critical experimental observation that the tumors in vivo are profoundly affected by the immunocompetence of the host remains generally ignored. Recently, in an allograft model system nsPEFs are found able to inhibit the growth of secondary tumors [13]. The relevance of this immune reaction induced by nsPEFs was noticed, which raise a new question: with the circulation and immune system, does the body show the same dose relationship of nsPEFs as in the in vitro assays? The effect of high and low dose nsPEFs are compared in vitro and in vivo. The involvement of macrophage in vivo is especially investigated.

Materials and Methods

All studies on mice were conducted in accordance with the National Institute Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animal. The animal protocol has been approved by the Committee of the Ethics of Animal Experiments of Zhejiang University.

Cells and Animals

Human hepatocellular carcinoma cell line SMMC7721, HepG2, HCCLM3 and murine hepatocellular carcinoma cell line Hep1-6 were purchased from Chinese Academy of Science. Cells were grown in culture medium containing 10% FBS, penicillin (100 unit/mL), and streptomycin (0.1 mg/mL). These cell lines were incubated at 37°C in a humidified incubator under an atmosphere of 5% CO2.

BALB/c nude mice were purchased from Shanghai Experimental Animal Centre, Chinese Academy of Science. Experimental animals were kept in the central animal facility of the Zhejiang University School of Medicine and housed in laminar-flow cabinets under specific pathogen-free conditions with a 12 h
light/dark cycle. All studies on mice were conducted in accordance with the National Institute Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animal.

Tumor Implantation and Tumor Volume Measurement
A metastatic human HCC animal model was established by implanting tumor tissue into the nude mouse subcutaneously. One injection was administered into each mouse. Mice were anesthetized by inhalation anesthesia. Before and after the treatment, tumors were measured and imaged by surface photography. Tumor volume was calculated by diameters measured with a caliper, using the formula $V = \frac{1}{6}D_1^2D_2$, where $D_1$ and $D_2$ are short and long tumor diameters, respectively [14].

Pulse Generator and nsPEFs Parameters
A pulsor with Blumlein line configuration generated 100 nanosecond pulses. Pulses were applied at 0–60 kV/cm and 0.5 Hz. The pulse generator, voltage and pulsing pattern of nsPEFs were described previously [7]. The energy is written as

$$W = \frac{1}{2}VR^2N$$

Where $W$ is the energy (joule), $\tau$ is the pulse duration, $V$ is the voltage across the electrodes (volt), $R$ is the tissue resistance ($\Omega$), and $N$ is the pulse number. To study the dose effect in vitro, the pulse number was fixed to 10 and voltage ranged from 0–60 kV/cm [15].

Electrode Design and Delivery
The delivery device consists of two plates with a copper hollow hemisphere in the middle to hold the tumor (the inner diameter of 10 mm and a depth of 4 mm). The distance between two plates is adjustable by two screws to fit the tumor size. The space between the inner plate and tumor is filled with conductive gel to avoid spark in air. The plates made by electrical insulating material can protect the normal skin and the copper sphere restricts nsPEFs application only to those tissues located inside the cavity. The field strength is nearly even in the center of hemisphere and declines in the edge. The illustration of plate electrode is shown in Figure 1. (The design is shown in Figure 1A. The different profiles are shown in Figure 1B and Figure 1C).
(SR, n = 6), single treatment with 300 pulses group (S300, n = 7) and three treatments with 100 pulses group (T100, n = 8). T100 group had the second and the third treatment at one-day interval after initial treatment and on those days other groups only had anesthesia. Two weeks later the mice tumor volume in control group was beyond 2 cm³ and all mice were euthanized. Experimental animals in different groups were shown in figure S1.

Cell Survival and Caspase Activation after Nanosecond Pulsed Electric Fields in vitro

Human hepatocellular carcinoma cell line SMMC7721, HepG2, HCCLM3 and murine hepatocellular carcinoma cell line Hep1-6 were cultured to log phase. Cells were harvested and re-suspended in fresh medium with 10% FBS, 1×10⁶ cells were placed in 0.2 cm gap cuvettes (Biosmith, Biorad) and exposed to 100 ns nsPEFs from 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 kV/cm. After nsPEFs treatment, cells were seeded in 6-well plates (3.3×10⁵ cells/well). Twenty-four hours later, cells were detached and trypan blue negative cells were counted. The cell numbers were normalized as 100% to control cells. Or incubate for 60 minutes for caspase antibody fluorescent staining. The cells were counted and normalized to control cells as 100%. The experiment were repeated 3 times and shown as mean ± SD.

Immunostaining of Tumor Sections in vivo

The dissected tumor samples for immunohistochemistry were fixed in phosphate-buffered neutral formalin, embedded in paraffin, and cut into 5-μm-thick sections. Tissue sections were deparaffinized, rehydrated and microwave-heated in sodium citrate buffer for antigen retrieval. Then, the sections were incubated with 3% hydrogen peroxide/PBS for 5 min, and blocked with SuperBlock solution (Pierce). Immunodetection was stained by fluorescent immunostaining of caspase (1:500, Abcam, Hong Kong) and Mac 387 (1:200, Abcam, Hong Kong). All the slides were observed and photographed with an Axioskop microscope (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany).

Detection of Cell Metastasis and Motility Capability

At 1 h after nsPEFs treatment, the treated surviving cells at the same number were obtained to perform transwell assays based on transwell chambers (Millipore, USA). Briefly, the dead cells were removed and the rest cells were adjusted to the same number, suspended in serum-free medium and seeded into the upper chambers; 10% FBS was added to the bottom chambers. After 24 h, the cells on the upper side were removed with a cotton swab, while the cells on the bottom side of the filter were fixed, stained and counted. Wound assay reflecting tumor cell migration
On day 16, tumor volume in T100 (0.40 cm³) was significantly smaller than that in CT (1.73 cm³). Before the treatment (day 0), there was no significant difference in the tumor volumes among the groups. The tumor volume on the nsPEFs treatment day, one week post treatment and the two weeks post treatment were calculated (Figure 4). Before the treatment (day 0), there was no significant difference in the tumor volumes among four groups (around 0.4 cm³). On day 8 post nsPEFs treatment, tumor volume in T100 (0.42±0.11) cm³ was significantly decreased compared to CT (1.25±0.63) cm³ and S300 (0.87±0.71) cm³. On day 16, tumor volume in T100 (0.40±0.14) cm³ was significantly smaller than that in CT (1.73±0.51) cm³ and S300 (0.91±0.48) cm³.

capability was done in 24-well plates with scratches introduced to the subconfluent cell monolayer by a plastic pipette tip. After 12, 24 and 48 hours, the scratch area was photographed and the wound distance between edges was measured and averaged from 5 points per 1 wound area. Experiments were repeated in triplicates.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS 15.0 for windows (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Quantitative variables were expressed as means ± SD and analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test. Results were considered statistically significant at P<0.05.

Results
1. Cell Death and Caspase Activation Caused by nsPEFs are Dose Dependent in vitro
Cells were harvested with trypsin and resuspended in fresh medium with 10% FBS and then placed in 0.2 cm gap cuvettes. They were exposed to ten pulses of 100 nsPEFs from 0 to 60 kV/cm. After 24 hours, surviving cells were counted and normalized to control cells as 100% (Figure 2A). The different cell lines of HCC showed varying nsPEFs-vulnerability (hep1-6>HepG2>SMMC7721>HCCLM3), but all of their cell death rate increased when the nsPEFs raised from 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 to 60 kV/cm. The caspase activation rate was also dependent on the nsPEFs strength. When the strength increased from 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 to 60 kV/cm, the caspase activation rate increased accordingly (Figure 2B and 2C). When the pulse duration and pulse number are fixed, the strength is the dominant factor in the dose-effect relationship.

2. The Migration and Motility Capability Inhibition is Dose-dependent in vitro
Four samples of HCC cells were pretreated with nsPEFs as described above. The wound distance between edges was measured and averaged from 5 points per 1 wound area. The nsPEFs treated cells showed decreased migration capability in a dose-dependant manner (P<0.05) (Figure 3A HepG2 under 40 kV/cm). Quantification analysis indicates reduced motility capability in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 3B HepG2 under 40 kV/cm). Similar effect of nsPEFs was also observed in SMMC7721, HCCLM3 and Hep-l-6 cells (data not shown).

3. nsPEFs Ablate HCC Tumor in vivo
The nsPEFs treatment began when tumor volume was 410±203 mm³ and terminated when tumor volume reached 2 cm³. In T100, S300 and SR group tumor volume was significantly inhibited (P<0.01) as shown in Figure 4. The tumors on the mice of the four groups were shown in figure S1.

These results indicate that S300 and T100 had no lethal impact on tumor bearing mice. Both S900 and T100 can inhibit tumor growth, reducing tumor burden. Compared to S900, T100 showed a superior efficacy in reducing tumor volume.

4. Macrophage Infiltration Contributes to the Tumor Ablation in vivo
H&E stain showed the one day after nsPEFs treatment, tumor in T100 group shrank dramatically (figure 5B) compared with S300 (Figure 5A). Tumor nodules in T100 group (figure 5B) was surrounded by a remarkable infiltration of inflammatory cells with significant amount of tumor cells compared with S300 group (figure 5A) which demonstrated few inflammatory cells. The MAC387-positive cells were found in the edge of tumor and especially within perivascular areas as shown in T100 group. There was no macrophage infiltration in 7 mice of CT (0/7), mild macrophage infiltration in 4 mice of S300 (4/7) and more macrophage infiltration in 10 mice of T100 (intense in 6 mice, 6/10, and mild in 2 mice, 2/10). The macrophage infiltration reflect host defensive reaction was activated in the tumor.

Discussion
The curative treatment for HCC is surgical resection and liver transplantation, while most patients are in advanced stage and lose the chance of surgery. Other palliative treatments such as nsPEFs provide an opportunity [2]. nsPEFs deliver high energy to the targeted tumor without much heat production [16]. nsPEFs have good controllability by setting parameters, so the treatment is accurate with a well designed electrode. But in the tumor ablation experiment in vivo, the strategy has never been studied with strict controlled settings. The extremely high nsPEFs have been tried to ablate tumor in animal tumor model in which tumor volume is smaller than 2 cm³ [3,6,11]. But in clinical practice, early stage HCC patients with small tumors choose radical resection as the first line treatment. Those who come to localregional ablation usually have tumors bigger than 3.5 cm in diameter. A single session of radiofrequency ablation (RFA) on HCC hardly attained complete ablation [2]. The big chunk of tumor requires rational strategy to obtain the complete ablation.

In vitro assays showed nsPEFs affect tumor cells in a dose-dependant manner. In the previous study [3,4], thousands of pulses had been tried to kill tumor cells at once. But this comparative study in vitro and in vivo showed dose-effect relationship is not the same. Although the in vitro assays indicate HCC cell death, caspase activation and motility capability damage caused by nsPEFs are dose-dependant effect, in vivo study showed the different results. The single high dose treatment such as S300 does not cause the most significant anti-tumor effect. Low dose but...
multiple treatments such as T100 gain the significant tumor ablation.

Our study suggests that in vivo treatment strategy need to be further investigated. The host immune surveillance, the organ self-defensive barrier and electric conductivity of different tissues should all be considered in a whole system. The in vitro assays are not always accurate when used solely to predict the in vivo parameters and toxicity. For big tumors, nsPEFs ablation may reduce the tumor volume gradually instead of an immediate damage as radiofrequency ablation does.

Human solid tumors are often infiltrated by lymphocytes, and the degree of the infiltration appears to be a favorable prognostic factor in hepatocellular carcinoma. This study indicates nsPEFs treatment cause a direct modulation of the tumor microenvironment through macrophage infiltration in the local tumors. The macrophages fight against local tumors and act as an effective immune response. This study proved nsPEFs treatment is a safe ablation method. With proper parameters and strategy, nsPEFs can ablate the hepatocellular carcinoma greatly with macrophage infiltration.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 The HCC tumor bearing nude mice were randomly divided into four groups. Control group (CT) had no nsPEFs treatment, n = 7; single nsPEFs treatment group (S300) was treated single time with 300 pulses, n = 7, three treatments group (T100) were treated three times with 100 pulses, n = 8; surgical resection group (SR) had the radical resection of the tumor, n = 6.

10.1371/journal.pone.0086421.g005
Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Mr. Frank Reidy for his generous support on pulse generator and to Professor Stephen Beebe from Frank Reidy Research Center, Old Dominion University, U.S.A for the valuable comments.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: Xinhua Chen S. Yin SZ.
Performed the experiments: Xinhua Chen S. Yin CH Xinmei Chen KJ S. Ye XF. Analyzed the data: Xinhua Chen S. Yin. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: SF HX LZ. Wrote the paper: Xinhua Chen.

References

1. Bakiri L, Wagner EF (2013) Mouse models for liver cancer. Mol Oncol 7: 206–223.
2. Beebe SJ, Chen X, Liu JA, Schoenbach KH (2011) Nanosecond pulsed electric field ablation of hepatocellular carcinoma. Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc 2011: 6861–6865.
3. Nuccitelli R, Tran K, Sheikh S, Athos B, Kreis M, et al. (2010) Optimized nanosecond pulsed electric field therapy can cause murine malignant melanomas to self-destruct with a single treatment. Int J Cancer 127: 1727–1736.
4. Nuccitelli R, Huynh J, Lai K, Wood R, Kreis M, et al. (2013). Nanoelectroablation of human pancreatic carcinoma in a murine xenograft model without recurrence. Int J Cancer. 132(4): 1933–1939.
5. Nuccitelli R, Tran K, Athos B, Kreis M, Nuccitelli P, et al. (2012) Nanoelectroablation therapy for murine basal cell carcinoma. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 424: 446–450.
6. Chen X, Zhuang J, Kolb JF, Schoenbach KH, Beebe SJ (2012) Long term survival of mice with hepatocellular carcinoma after pulse power ablation with nanosecond pulsed electric fields. Technol Cancer Res Treat 11: 83–93.
7. Wang J, Guo J, Wu S, Feng H, Sun S, et al. (2012) Synergistic effects of nanosecond pulsed electric fields combined with low concentration of gemcitabine on human oral squamous cell carcinoma in vitro. PLoS One 7: e43213.
8. Beebe SJ, White J, Blackmore PF, Deng Y, Somers K, et al. (2003) Diverse effects of nanosecond pulsed electric fields on cells and tissues. DNA Cell Biol 22: 785–796.
9. Pakhomov AG, Shevin R, White JA, Kolb JF, Pakhomova ON, et al. (2007) Membrane permeabilization and cell damage by ultrashort electric field shocks. Arch Biochem Biophys 465: 109–118.
10. Schoenbach KH, Beebe SJ, Buescher ES (2001) Intracellular effect of ultrashort electrical pulses. Bioelectromagnetics 22(6): 440–5.
11. Chen X, Kolb JF, Swanson RJ, Schoenbach KH, Beebe SJ (2010) Apoptosis initiation and angiogenesis inhibition: melanoma targets for nanosecond pulsed electric fields. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res 23: 554–563.
12. Chen X, James Swanson R, Kolb JF, Nuccitelli R, Schoenbach KH (2009) Histopathology of normal skin and melanomas after nanosecond pulsed electric field treatment. Melanoma Res 19: 361–371.
13. Nuccitelli R, Tran K, Lai K, Huynh J, Athos B, et al. (2012) Non-thermal nanoelectroablation of UV-induced murine melanomas stimulates an immune response. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res 25: 618–629.
14. Kwon S, Germaine D Agollah, Wu G, Chan W, et al. (2013). Direct visualization of changes of lymphatic function and drainage pathways in lymph node metastasis of B16F10 melanoma using near-infrared fluorescence imaging. Biomed Opt Express. 4(6): 967–977.
15. Chen X, Schoenbach KH, Zheng S, Swanson RJ (2011) Comparative study of long- and short-pulsed electric fields for treating melanoma in an in vivo mouse model. In Vivo 25: 23–27.
16. Nuccitelli R, Piquetti U, Chen X, Ford W, James Swanson R, et al. (2006) Nanosecond pulsed electric fields cause melanomas to self-destruct. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 343: 351–360.