Noncoplanar spin canting in lightly-doped ferromagnetic Kondo lattice model on a triangular lattice
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Abstract. Effect of the coupling to mobile carriers on the 120° antiferromagnetic state is investigated in a ferromagnetic Kondo lattice model on a frustrated triangular lattice. Using a variational calculation for various spin orderings up to a four-site unit cell, we identify the ground-state phase diagram with focusing on the lightly-doped region. We find that an electron doping from the band bottom immediately destabilizes a 120° coplanar antiferromagnetic order and induces a noncoplanar three-sublattice ordering accompanied by an intervening phase separation. This noncoplanar phase has an umbrella-type spin configuration with a net magnetic moment and a finite spin scalar chirality. This spin-canting state emerges in competition between the antiferromagnetic superexchange interaction and the ferromagnetic double-exchange interaction under geometrical frustration. In contrast, a hole doping from the band top retains the 120°-ordered state up to a finite doping concentration and does not lead to a noncoplanar ordering.

1. Introduction
Coupling between charge and spin degrees of freedom is a fertile ground for various fascinating phenomena in strongly correlated electron systems. One of the fundamental models for describing the interplay is the ferromagnetic Kondo lattice model, or equivalently, the double-exchange (DE) model [1]. The model includes the ferromagnetic Hund’s-rule coupling between itinerant electrons and localized spins as well as the antiferromagnetic (AF) superexchange (SE) interaction between localized spins. In addition to the early researches [1, 2, 3], the rediscovery of the colossal magnetoresistance (CMR) effect has stimulated intensive studies and many interesting properties have been revealed [4, 5, 6]. Particularly, in the lightly-doped regions, intricate behaviors show up because of the keen competition between the AF SE interaction and the ferromagnetic DE interaction induced by mobile carriers. In the early stage of the study, de Gennes predicted a spin canting state with a spin-flop type ordering, which smoothly connects collinear AF ordering in the undoped insulator and ferromagnetism in a doped metal [3]. Later, the scenario has been revisited; it was argued that a phase separation (PS) takes place to hinder the canting state and play an important role in the CMR effect [6, 7].

Recently, increasing interest has been devoted to the effect of geometrical frustration of the lattice structure on the charge-spin coupled systems. This has been inspired by the discovery of peculiar transport properties, such as an unconventional anomalous Hall effect, in some pyrochlore oxides [8, 9, 10]. Theoretically, it was shown that, in the ferromagnetic Kondo lattice
model on a two-dimensional kagome lattice, the coupling to a noncoplanar spin ordering leads to the anomalous Hall effect via the so-called Berry phase from a finite spin scalar chirality [11]. The idea was extended to other frustrated lattices, such as a face-centered-cubic lattice [12], a triangular lattice [13, 14], and a pyrochlore lattice [15, 16]. Among them, in the triangular-lattice systems that we focus on in the present study, it was pointed out that a perfect nesting of the Fermi surface at 3/4 electron filling might lead to a noncoplanar four-sublattice ordering and the anomalous Hall effect [13]. The authors performed careful energy comparison among possible spin orderings, and found that a noncoplanar four-sublattice spin ordering with a finite spin scalar chirality emerges near 1/4 filling in a wider parameter range than in the 3/4 filling case [14]. The 1/4 filling phase was later confirmed by Monte Carlo simulation [17, 18]. Such triangular-lattice charge-spin coupled systems have also drawn attention experimentally, e.g., in some delafossite oxides [19, 20, 21, 22].

In this contribution, we extend the analysis of the ferromagnetic Kondo lattice model on the triangular lattice with focusing on the lightly-doped region. As mentioned above, the geometrical frustration brings about new aspects in the charge-spin coupled systems, but the keen competition between the AF SE and the ferromagnetic DE interactions in the lightly-doped region has not been studied in detail. On the triangular lattice, the AF SE interaction stabilizes a three-sublattice 120° AF order when the electron band is empty or fulfilled. We clarify the effect of both electron and hole doping to the 120° AF states by a variational calculation of the ground-state energy for various spin states up to four-sublattice ordering. In the electron doped case, we find that the 120° order is immediately collapsed by doping and a three-sublattice spin canting phase appears with accompanying PS. In contrast to the unfrustrated cases, this canting state has a noncoplanar umbrella-type spin configuration, which shows a finite scalar spin chirality together with a net magnetic moment. On the other hand, in the hole doped case, the 120° AF state persists up to a finite doping rate and PS takes place to a ferromagnetic metal; a noncoplanar canting phase is not observed. The contrastive behavior between the electron and hole doping is discussed from the change of the density of states for the spin ordering patterns.

2. Model and method

We consider the ferromagnetic Kondo lattice model on the triangular lattice. The Hamiltonian is given by

\[ H = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle, \alpha} (c_{i, \alpha}^\dagger c_{j, \alpha} + \text{h.c.}) - J_H \sum_{i, \alpha, \beta} c_{i, \alpha}^\dagger \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha, \beta} c_{i, \beta} \cdot \vec{S}_i + J_K \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j, \tag{1} \]

where \( c_{i, \alpha}^\dagger \) \((c_{i, \alpha})\) is a creation (annihilation) operator for a conduction electron with spin \( \alpha \) at site \( i \), \( \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha, \beta} = (\sigma_{\alpha, \beta}^x, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta}^y, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta}^z) \) is a vector of Pauli matrices, and \( \vec{S}_i \) is a localized spin on site \( i \). Here, \( t \) is the transfer integral between the nearest-neighbor sites on the triangular lattice, \( J_H \) is the Hund’s-rule coupling between conduction electrons and localized spins, and \( J_K \) is the antiferromagnetic (AF) superexchange (SE) interaction between localized spins. We take \( t = 1 \) as an energy unit and consider classical spins for \( \vec{S}_i \) with \( |\vec{S}_i| = 1 \).

We investigate the ground state of the model given by Eq. (1) following the variational method taken in Ref. [14]. Namely, we compare the ground-state energies for different ordered states of the localized spins and determine the most stable ordering, while varying the electron density \( n = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i, \alpha} \langle c_{i, \alpha}^\dagger c_{i, \alpha} \rangle \) \((N \text{ is the total number of sites})\), \( J_H \), and \( J_K \). In the calculation, we consider 13 different types of ordered states, up to a four-sublattice unit cell, as shown in Fig. 1 in Ref. [14]. For the states with spin canting, we optimize the canting angle \( \theta \).
3. Result and Discussion

Figure 1 shows the result of the ground-state phase diagram at $J_K = 0.03$ in the lightly-doped region near $n = 0$. For comparison, the results at smaller $J_K$ are found in Fig. 3 in Ref. [14]. A new feature emerges in the low-density region in Fig. 1, which was not seen in the previous results for smaller $J_K$. That is, a spin-canting phase (3b) appears on the lower-$n$ side of the ferromagnetic metallic phase (1a). This state has a three-sublattice ordering with an umbrella-type noncoplanar spin configuration, as schematically shown in the figure [see also the inset of Fig. 2(a)].

Spin canting itself is expected in general in lightly-doped region because of the competition between the AF SE interaction $J_K$ and the ferromagnetic DE interaction [3]. For example, in the cubic lattice case, a plausible canting state, which naturally connects two-sublattice collinear AF state and doped ferromagnetic state, is a simple coplanar spin-flop state. However, it is not trivial what type of spin-canting order is realized in geometrically-frustrated systems. In the present triangular-lattice case, there are several possibilities of different spin canting orders, as listed in Fig. 1 in Ref. [14]; even for the three-sublattice orders, there are three different types of canting states, (3b) umbrella, (3c) coplanar cant, and (3d) 2:1 cant (see Fig. 1 in Ref. [14]). Among the possibilities, the umbrella-type one is energetically favored in the present model. Note that the umbrella state has a finite scalar spin chirality in each triangle unit, but this spatial configuration does not lead to the anomalous Hall effect.

The umbrella phase is developed by increasing $J_K$ in the region near the border of the ferromagnetic phase to PS as $J_K$ increases. PS occurs to the $n = 0$ state, in which itinerant electrons are absent and the localized spins are $120^\circ$ ordered by $J_K$. It is noteworthy that the umbrella phase is always accompanied by PS. The situation is demonstrated in Fig. 2. Figure 2(a) shows the energy per site at a fixed canting angle $\theta$ for various values of $\theta$. Here, $\theta = 0^\circ$ and $\theta = 90^\circ$ correspond to the ferromagnetic phase (1a) and the three-sublattice $120^\circ$-ordered phase (3a), respectively. As $\theta$ decreases from $90^\circ$, the energy at $n = 0$ increases because of the loss of the SE energy. At the same time, the initial slope $dE/dn|_{n=0}$ becomes steeper because the kinetic energy of electrons becomes larger for smaller $\theta$ via the DE mechanism. Hence, the envelope of the energy curves $E(n, \theta)$ becomes convex upward in the low-density limit. The convex behavior is a sign of PS. The phase-separated region is determined by drawing a tangent line from the energy at $n = 0$, $E(n = 0, \theta = 90^\circ)$, to the envelope of the energy curves $E(n > 0, \theta < 90^\circ)$. In the present case at $J_K = 0.03$ and $J_H = 10$, the tangent line touches the envelope at $n \approx 0.06$ with the energy curve for $\theta \approx 50^\circ$; i.e., the system exhibits PS between the $120^\circ$-ordered state at $(n, \theta) = (0, 90^\circ)$ and the umbrella-type canting state at $(n, \theta) \approx (0.06, 50^\circ)$. 
Figure 2. (a) Energy per site of the umbrella-type noncoplanar ordered state. Different curves represent the energies for a fixed different canting angle $\theta$. The data are calculated at $J_K = 0.03$ and $J_H = 10$. Note that $\theta = 0^\circ$ and $\theta = 90^\circ$ are equivalent to (1a) ferromagnetic phase and (3a) three-sublattice $120^\circ$-ordered phase, respectively. The straight black line shows a tangent line to define the phase-separated region. The insets show schematic pictures of the spin configuration of the umbrella state and the triangular lattice. (b) $n$ dependence of $\theta$ which gives the lowest energy. The gray region denotes the phase-separated region. See the text for details.

Similar behavior is observed for all the regions of the umbrella phase, which accounts for the reason why the umbrella phase always appears with accompanied by PS.

The canting angle $\theta$ which gives the lowest-energy envelope changes continuously in the umbrella phase, as seen in Fig. 2(a). Figure 2(b) gives a plot of the optimized $\theta$ as a function of $n$. The result shows that, as $n$ increases, $\theta$ starts from about $50^\circ$ at the border of PS at $n \approx 0.06$, and gradually decreases to zero when approaching the boundary to the ferromagnetic phase at $n \approx 0.13$.

The value of the optimized $\theta$ depends not only on $n$ but also on $J_H$ (and $J_K$). The behavior is summarized in Fig. 3 at $J_K = 0.03$. As shown in the figure, $\theta$ decreases as $n$ and $J_H$ increase. This is reasonable since larger $n$ and $J_H$ enhance the DE ferromagnetic interaction which favors smaller canting angle $\theta$ (larger net magnetic moment). This change of $\theta$ clearly demonstrates that the noncoplanar umbrella phase is stabilized in the competition between the AF SE interaction $J_K$, which is dominant at less carrier doping and small $J_H$, and the DE ferromagnetic interaction enhanced by doping and $J_H$.

In contrast to the above results for the electron doped case, a hole doping from the band top $n = 1$ does not lead to a noncoplanar canting state. In the hole-doped case, $120^\circ$ coplanar ordering persists up to a finite doping concentration, and PS takes place between the doped $120^\circ$ AF metal and a ferromagnetic metal when $J_H$ is sufficiently large. The situation is unchanged against the value of $J_K$; a typical phase diagram for $n \sim 1$ is found in the result at $J_K = 0.01$ in Fig. 3 in Ref. [14].

The reason why the $120^\circ$ AF order is stable against the hole doping is understood from the density of states (DOS). Figure 4 shows DOS for the $120^\circ$ coplanar AF phase, in comparison with typical DOS for the umbrella canting states including the ferromagnetic state. The data are calculated at $J_H = 10$ and $J_K = 0$. The result shows that the band top energy $\varepsilon = 13f$ is unchanged for $\theta$. This is confirmed by analytical calculations. In particular, a consideration in the limit of $J_H \rightarrow \infty$ provides simple understanding of the form of DOS in terms of the renormalization of the transfer integrals and the flux introduced by the Berry phase [2, 23].
Canting angle $\theta$ in the umbrella phase as functions of $n$ and $J_H$ at $J_K = 0.03$. The ferromagnetic phase ($\theta = 0^\circ$) and the 120°-ordered phase ($\theta = 90^\circ$) are also shown by black and white, respectively, whereas other phases are omitted (the hatched areas). The gray areas are the phase separation.

While the band top energy is unchanged, the value of DOS at the band top is smaller for $\theta > 0$ compared to the $\theta = 0$ ferromagnetic state. For these situations, the kinetic energy by hole doping becomes lower for $\theta > 0$ states. Among the $\theta > 0$ states, the 120° AF state has the lowest AF SE energy, and therefore, the 120° AF ordering remains stable against the hole doping for $J_K > 0$.

DOS in Fig. 4 also accounts for the reason why electron doping from $n = 0$ immediately collapses the 120° AF state and causes PS to a canted state. As shown in the figure, the band-bottom energy is lower for smaller $\theta$, and hence, the electron doping to the 120° AF state costs the energy than the canted states. At $n = 0$, the 120° AF ordering becomes stable by a small energy gain from the AF SE coupling, $-3J_K$ per site. The energy gain is compensated by infinitesimally small doping to a canted state with smaller $\theta$ because of the lower band bottom. Therefore the electron doping leads to a sudden PS to a canted state, as demonstrated above.

The contrastive behavior between electron and hole doping is expected to be observed around an insulating state at half filling $n = 0.5$. At $n = 0.5$, the 120° coplanar AF ordering is stable and the system becomes insulating for $J_H \gtrsim 2$ (see Fig. 3 in Ref. [14]). In the insulating state for larger $J_H$, DOS just below and above the gap behave similar to the band top and bottom, respectively, as shown in Fig. 4. In fact, as a preliminary result, we find a similar contrastive behavior around $n = 0.5$: a noncoplanar canting state appears against electron doping with
PS, while the 120° AF order retains up to a finite hole doping. The details will be reported elsewhere.

Finally, let us discuss briefly the effect of the band structure on these properties by including the next-nearest-neighbor hopping $t'$ in the model (1). We find that, when $t'$ has an opposite sign to $t$, $\theta$ dependence of DOS at the band edges is qualitatively unchanged. For $t'$ with the same sign as $t$, the band top becomes higher for smaller $\theta$, while the band bottom remains lower for smaller $\theta$, at least, for small $t'$. Hence, we expect the similar results for the models including $t'$ irrespective of its sign. Further analyses including the detailed energy comparison among different spin states will be reported elsewhere.

4. Summary

We have investigated the lightly-doped regions of the ferromagnetic Kondo lattice model on the triangular lattice by variational calculations. We have found that a three-sublattice noncoplanar phase is stabilized by electron doping from the band bottom. The noncoplanar spin canting phase has an umbrella-type spin configuration with a finite spin scalar chirality in each triangular plaquette. This phase always appears accompanied by a phase separation to the 120° coplanar antiferromagnetic insulating state at zero doping. On the contrary, hole doping from the band top does not lead to such a noncoplanar spin canting state. These peculiar properties are the consequence of geometrical frustration in the charge-spin coupled system in which the antiferromagnetic superexchange interaction and the ferromagnetic double-exchange interaction compete with each other.
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