Factors associated with subcentimeter pulmonary nodule outcomes followed with computed tomography imaging in oncology patients
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HIGHLIGHTS

- PNs with irregular, lobuled or spiculated margins exhibited faster growth than PNs with regular, smooth margins.
- Malignancy was significantly associated with male gender, a colorectal cancer diagnosis and advanced stage disease.
- Oncologic patients should have an individualized CT follow-up strategy, as the rate of malignant pulmonary nodules is higher than in the general population.
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Technological advancements in computed tomography (CT) have enabled the frequent detection of small pulmonary nodules (PNs), especially in patients with an oncologic history. It is important to determine if the malignant versus benign etiology of PNs be determined. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the behavior and clinical/radiological characteristics of subcentimeter PNs detected by CT in oncologic patients.

Methods: An observational, longitudinal, retrospective and single-center study was conducted with a sample of 100 patients with a diagnosis of a primary malignant solid tumor outside of the lungs who developed indeterminate subcentimeter PNs (n = 251) detected on consecutive thoracic CT scans from 2015 to 2017. Follow-up CTs for each patients were examined in each of three periods (0–3 months, 3–6 months, and 6 months to 1 year).

Results: In our study sample, 28 patients (28 %) showed one or more signs suspicious of pulmonary metastasis, including ≥50 % PN growth, nodule growth followed by size reduction in patients undergoing chemotherapy, and the appearance of multiple nodules. The majority (56 %) of the PNs were detected during the 3–6-month follow-up CT scan. PNs with irregular, lobuled, or spiculated margins exhibited faster growth than PNs with regular, smooth margins. Malignancy of PNs was found to be significantly associated with being male, a primary colorectal cancer diagnosis, and advanced stage disease.

Conclusion: Our findings reinforce the necessity of an individualized CT follow-up strategy for patients with an oncologic history, as well as the importance of early nodule screening, with the inter-scan interval being dependent on the primary neoplasm.

1. Introduction

Differentiation between benign and malignant nodules is critical upon the detection of subcentimeter pulmonary nodules (PNs) in cancer patients. In patients with a history of cancer, solid PNs greater than 5 mm in diameter have an increased chance of malignancy, whereas PNs
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that are less than 5 mm in diameter and those juxtaposed to the visceral pleura or interlobar fissures that are smaller than 15 mm are usually benign (scars, granulomas, or intraparenchymal lymph nodes) [1]. In addition to size and location, features such as irregular contours and doubling times in the range of 30–720 days increase indicate increased malignancy risk [1].

Common origins of metastatic PNs include primary cancers of the breast, head and neck, skin (melanoma), colon, kidney, muscle (sarcomas), and germ cells [2,3]. These metastases are usually manifestations of hematogenous disease spread. However, sometimes PNs are due to locoregional spread (e.g., breast or prostate carcinoma), and the type and stage of the primary neoplasia also influences a PN’s risk of malignancy [7]. Patients with high-grade cancers, and those with lymph node metastasis in particular, are at increased risk of malignant PN growth [8]. Hence, the monitoring of small emergent PNs (i.e., < 10 mm or < 5 mm) can improve oncological control and thus, potentially, improve survival outcomes when such PNs can be resected. It is important to note that, even in cancer patients, most PNs smaller than 10 mm in diameter that are within 10–20 mm of the pleura are benign [9].

PNs can be identified by chest x-ray or computed tomography (CT) examinations. In 2009, Munden et al. published an early study on the use of multidetector CT to evaluate pulmonary subcentimeter nodules in cancer patients, demonstrating that almost 30 % of the observed nodules were malignant and amenable to being identified within the first year of follow-up. Multidetector CT is now being used commonly to detect pulmonary micronodules, 1–2 mm in diameter [1,10]. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the behavior and clinical/radiological characteristics of subcentimeter PNs detected by multidetector CT in oncologic patients.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study design and patient population

This was an observational, longitudinal, retrospective and single-center study carried out after submission and approval by the Institutional Research Ethics Committee of the A.C. Camargo Cancer Center. Consecutive follow-up chest CT scans were performed on cancer patients between the years of 2015 and 2017. The inclusion criteria were: PNs < 10 mm; a cancer diagnosis confirmed by histopathological analysis; CT follow-up for ≥ 1 year with segmented analyzes between 0–3 months, 3–6 months, and 6 months to 1 year; and at least three chest CT scans within a year. The follow-up time period refers to the time since the patient’s original staging diagnosis by CT. The exclusion criteria were: calcified nodules; and primary lung cancer diagnosis. The study patients were stratified according to demographic (age and gender), clinical (cancer diagnosis, staging and therapeutic status), and the following radiological criteria: PN size (<4 mm; 4.1–6.0 mm; and 6.1–9.0 mm), growth rate (≥50 % and <50 %), margin regularity (regular or spiculated), and location (lobe, laterality, and central or peripheral).

2.2. CT and characterization of PNs

Images were acquired on a 16-channel scanner (Brilliance CT Big Bore, Philips Medical Systems (Cleveland), Inc., USA) with a 16 × 0.75 collimation technique, 0.938 pitch, 0.5 s rotation, 1-mm slice thickness, 1-mm slice interval, 120 Kv, and 300 mA/slice. Only 1-mm-thick images from the same scanner were considered. PNs were measured with electronic calipers, always on the same computer. The largest transverse diameter was taken as the PN size.

Malignancy was defined based on histological diagnosis of biopsied or surgically resected specimens. The need for follow-up due to malignancy suspicion was signified by PN growth of ≥50 % in the largest transverse diameter, growth followed by a reduction during chemotherapy, and the appearance of multiple PNs with a secondary tomographic aspect. The PNs were stratified into three subgroups: (I) PNs that grew; (II) stable PNs; and (III) PNs that shrank, whether or not under chemotherapy.

All exams were reviewed by two physicians specialized in radiology and diagnostic imaging, using high-precision electronic calipers for measurement and always using the same software on the same computer. Doubtful cases were resolved by consensus. The procedures and materials described herein were used in accordance with established protocols and controlled studies published in the literature.

2.3. Data analysis

Absolute (N) and relative (%) frequencies are reported. Chi-square (all frequency data and group comparisons with ≥5 observations per group) and Fisher’s exact tests (all others) were used. All data obtained were stored in a database and analyzed with SPSS version 20.0.0. Results with a type I error probability ≤ 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Sample characteristics

The study sample of 100 patients included 53 women (53 %) and 47 men (47 %) with a mean (± standard deviation) age of 57.8 ± 15.9 years (median, 59 years). The most common primary tumor types were colorectal neoplasms, breast neoplasms, head and neck neoplasms, and sarcomas. The full distribution of primary tumor types are reported in Table 1.

A total of 251 PNs were evaluated in 332 CT scans, and the average evaluated PN size was 4.767 mm. The 251 evaluated PNs included 131 that were ≤4 mm (52.2 %), 75 that were 4.1–6.0 mm (29.9 %), and 45 that were 6.1–9.0 mm (17.9 %) in diameter. With respect to PN change over time, 175 (69.7 %) remained stable, 50 (19.9 %) showed an increase in their dimensions, 20 (8 %) showed a reduction in their dimensions, and 6 (2.4 %) showed a dimension increase followed by a reduction. Of the 50 PNs that grew, 44 (88 %) increased in size by ≥50 %. Most of the PNs that grew (28/50, 56 %) showed the most...
pronounced increase in size within the 3–6-month follow-up period \( (p < 0.0001 \text{ vs. other follow-up periods}) \); only 3/50 (6 \%) grew within the first 3 months and 19/50 (38 \%) grew after 6 months. An example of a subcentimetric PN that increased in size over a 4-month interval in a patient diagnosed with rectal adenocarcinoma is shown in Fig. 1.

Growth of PNs was observed more frequently in men (14/47, 42.4 \%) than in women (7/53, 15.2 \%), showing a significant effect of sex \( (p = 0.04) \). Meanwhile, the frequency of size-stable PNs did not differ significantly between men (33/47, 70 \%) and women (46/53, 87 \%; \( p > 0.05 \)). Notably, \( \geq 50 \% \) growth was markedly more common in patients undergoing a chemotherapy regimen (37/38, 97 \%) than in patients not currently undergoing a chemotherapy regimen (7/12, 58 \%; \( p = 0.002 \)). PNs that exhibited growth were most frequently encountered in patients with advanced disease compared to observations in patients with a stable disease, reduction, or growth followed by reduction during chemotherapy (Table 2).

A total of 20 PNs (8 \% of all PNs examined) shrank, of which 17 (85 \%) were in patients undergoing chemotherapy, showing a statistically significant association between chemotherapy and PN shrinking behavior \( (p < 0.0001 \text{ vs. not in chemotherapy}) \) (Fig. 2). In 11 (91.7 \%) of the 12 PNs that underwent biopsy or surgical excision, the result was positive for malignancy (metastasis). Among these 11 PNs, 10 (90.9 \%) were growing and one (9.1 \%) was stable \( (p = .006) \).

The most prevalent primary tumors in our cohort of patients with PNs were colorectal cancer and breast cancer. Ultimately 16/45 (35.5 \%) and 8/40 (20.0 \%) PNs detected in patients diagnosed with colorectal cancer and breast cancer, respectively, were determined to be malignant. As shown in Table 3, we observed very significant association between primary tumor type, between these two major cancer types, and the growth, stability, or reduction behavior observed. PNs in patients with primary colorectal tumors showed a greater tendency toward \( > 50 \% \) growth, whereas PNs in patients with primary breast tumors showed greater tendency toward \( > 50 \% \) reduction or stability (Table 3). PNs with irregular, spiculated, and lobulated margins more frequently showed growth than PNs with regular margins (Table 4).

4. Discussion

In the present study, we found that about a third of the cancer patients followed developed subcentimeter PNs with characteristics suspicious for malignancy. Malignancy of PNs was found to be significantly associated with being male, a primary colorectal cancer diagnosis, and

![Fig. 1. Non-enhanced chest CT, axial view, lung window, of the right upper lobe in a 28-year-old patient diagnosed with rectal adenocarcinoma. In 4 months, a PN (arrows) detected in a follow-up scan grew from 4.9 mm (A) to 7.0 mm (B), an increase of <50 \%.](image-url)
advanced stage disease. PNs with irregular, lobulated, or spiculated margins were more likely to be malignant than PNs with regular margins. Most of the suspicious PNs grew during the 3–6-month CT follow-up period. In our series, PNs were most commonly associated with colorectal cancer and breast cancer, with the former being significantly more frequently associated with malignant PNs than the latter. Our finding of 35.5 % of PNs in patients with colorectal cancer with suspicion to being malignant is not consistent with Nordholm-Carstensen et al.’s [8] reported 9 % indeterminate nodules (with an average 10.8 % malignancy rate among those) for PNs in patients diagnosed with colorectal cancer.

Various recommendations have been useful for improving imaging cost-effectiveness for healthcare systems in the management of PNs. Recommendations regarding the diagnostic and therapeutic management of PNs of an undetermined nature depend upon patient history. According to the consensus of the Fleischner Society, CT follow-up may be unnecessary for patients with PNs without a history of cancer owing to their low incidence of PN malignancy (<1 % for PNs <6 mm), whereas 12-month CT follow-up is recommended for patients at risk of malignancy due to a history of cancer [11]. Meanwhile, according to the LUNG-RADS® guidelines provided by the American College of Radiology, smokers should be screened for lung neoplasms to allow for early

Table 2
Analysis of associations between disease staging at the time of diagnosis and PN evolution.

| Evolution | Total by evolution | Disease stage | | | |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|
|           |                   | Localized (stage I/II) | Advanced (stage III/IV) | Unknown | P-value |
| Stable    | 175 (69.7 %)      | 55 (31.4 %) | 87 (49.7 %) | 33 (18.9 %) | <.001 |
| Growth    | 50 (19.9 %)       | 7 (14.0 %) | 40 (80.0 %) | 3 (6.0 %) | <.001 |
| Reduction | 20 (8.0 %)        | 11 (55.0 %) | 3 (15.0 %) | 6 (30.0 %) | <.001 |
| Growth + reduction during chemotherapy | 6 (2.3 %) | 4 (66.7 %) | 2 (33.3 %) | 0 (0.0 %) | <.001 |
| All evolutions | 251 (100 %) | 77 (100 %) | 132 (100 %) | 42 (100 %) | <.001 |

All p values are from Fisher’s exact tests. Within evolution groups, p values reflect association between disease stage and the outcome of evolution. For the all evolutions totals, p value represents significance of overall association between disease stage and evolution.
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Table 4

Analysis of associations between cancer type and neoplasm behavior (outcome).

| Neoplasm behavior | Cancer type, N (%) | p-value P |
|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|
|                   | Colorectal        | Breast    |               |
| Growth > 50 %     | 16 (35.6 %)       | 0 (0 %)   | <.0001       |
| Growth < 50 %     | 1 (2.22 %)        | 0 (0 %)   | >.999        |
| Stable            | 26 (57.8 %)       | 32 (80 %) | .0364        |
| Reduction < 50 %  | 2 (4.44 %)        | 0 (0 %)   | .4958        |
| Reduction > 50 %  | 0(0 %)            | 8 (20 %)  | .0016        |
| Total, all behaviors | 45 (100 %) | 40 (100 %) | <.0001       |

All p values are from Fisher’s exact tests. Within each neoplasm behavior group, p values reflect association between cancer type and the outcome variable of growth/reduction behavior. For the all behavioral totals, p value represents significance of overall effect of cancer type on evolution outcome.

detection. In one such high-risk population lung cancer screening program, Wood et al. observed a high proportion of benignity among PNs that exhibit size stability [12].

In contrast, a consensus has not been established regarding the management of PNs in patients with a known malignancy. The incidence of malignant subcentimeter PNs is substantially higher in patients with a history of cancer compared to the general population [9] and the diagnosis of colorectal cancer, and advanced disease stage. Malignancy was

Cancer type, N (%) was observed in 17.5 % (44/251) of PNs. For PNs that were ≤4.0 mm, 4.1-6.0 mm, and 6.1-9.0 mm, expressive growth was observed in 12.2 % (16/131), 17.3 % (13/75), and 33.3 % (15/45) of PNs, respectively. Proportionately, these data are quite similar given that our data reflect only 1 year of follow-up whereas Munder et al.’s study included 2 years of follow-up [1]. Our finding of most PN growth occurring in the 3-6-month follow-up period (including 56 % of the cases) was also consistent with Munder et al.’s report [1]. Notably, Munder et al. found that 85 % of PNs that shrank were found in patients undergoing chemotherapy, inferring a probable malignant etiology [1].

Histopathological analysis, the definitive way to discern whether a PN is benign or malignant, is not feasible in all cases. Manhire et al. [13] recommended performing lung biopsies for diagnostic confirmation when there are multiple PNs, which portends a greater chance of metastasis, or when PNs show progression despite prolonged remission of the primary disease. However, many of the cases in the present study wherein subcentimeter PNs were suspicious for malignancy would not have met these criteria. Our study affirms the practice of using CT to detect subcentimeter PNs and characterizing them in most cases. Notwithstanding, positron emission tomography-CT can be used to clarify the nature of detected PNs whose characterization is elusive in cancer patients [16].

The present study has a couple noteworthy limitations. Firstly, due to the retrospective nature of this work, the CT examination intervals were not standardized and staging information was incomplete in some cases [1]. Secondly, because of the added complexity and challenges associated with obtaining sufficient tissue for histopathology when following very small PNs, lung biopsies were not performed in many cases for the present sample of subcentimeter PNs.

In conclusion, we found that about one third of cancer patients followed at our center developed CT-detectable subcentimeter PNs that presented with characteristics considered suspicious for malignancy. Patient characteristics found to be significantly associated with a higher frequency of malignancy were being male, a primary oncological diagnosis of colorectal cancer, and advanced disease stage. Malignancy was also significantly associated with PNs with irregular, lobulated, or spiculated margins, compared to the incidence observed for PNs with regular margins. Most of the PNs that were suspicious for metastases showed growth during the CT follow-up period of 3–6 months after the first CT (staging) examination. These findings reinforce the importance of early CT follow-up within the first year of follow-up of oncological patients diagnosed with a solid tumor cancer.

Table 4

Analysis of associations between PN margin type and PN evolution (outcome).

| Evolution | Margin type, N (%) | p |
|-----------|--------------------|---|
|           | Regular | Irregular | Spiculated | Lobulated |
| Stable    | 156 (75.0 %) | 11 (36.7 %) | 3 (60 %) | 5 (62.5 %) | <.0001 |
| Growth    | 32 (15.4 %) | 13 (43.3 %) | 2 (40 %) | 3 (37.5 %) | .0011 |
| Reduction | 3 (10 %) | 0 (0 %) | 0 (0 %) | 0 (0 %) | .0001 |
| Increase, then reduction during chemotherapy | 3 (14 %) | 3 (10 %) | 0 (0 %) | 0 (0 %) | .0826 |
| Total, all classes | 208 (100 %) | 30 (100 %) | 5 (100 %) | 8 (100 %) | .0010 |

All p values are from Fisher’s exact tests. Within each evolution class, p values reflect association between margin type and the outcome variable of evolution. For the evolution classes totals, p value represents significance of overall effect of margin type on evolution outcome.

With respect to follow-up time, in a study of micro-PNs (≤4.0 mm) in size, Munden et al. [1] detected micro-PNs suspicious of malignancy in 29/102 cancer patients, and 90 % of those that showed PN disease progression were found within the first year of follow-up. In our study, with a similar sample (100 patients with history of cancer outside of the lungs and PNs 2-9 mm in diameter), expressive growth (increase > 50 %) was observed in 17.5 % (44/251) of PNs. For PNs that were ≤4.0 mm, 4.1-6.0 mm, and 6.1-9.0 mm, expressive growth was observed in 12.2 % (16/131), 17.3 % (13/75), and 33.3 % (15/45) of PNs, respectively. Proportionately, these data are quite similar given that our data reflect only 1 year of follow-up whereas Munder et al.’s study included 2 years of follow-up [1]. Our finding of most PN growth occurring in the 3-6-month follow-up period (including 56 % of the cases) was also consistent with Munder et al.’s report [1]. Notably, Munder et al. found that 85 % of PNs that shrank were found in patients undergoing chemotherapy, inferring a probable malignant etiology [1].

Histopathological analysis, the definitive way to discern whether a PN is benign or malignant, is not feasible in all cases. Manhire et al. [13] recommended performing lung biopsies for diagnostic confirmation when there are multiple PNs, which portends a greater chance of metastasis, or when PNs show progression despite prolonged remission of the primary disease. However, many of the cases in the present study wherein subcentimeter PNs were suspicious for malignancy would not have met these criteria. Our study affirms the practice of using CT to detect subcentimeter PNs and characterizing them in most cases. Notwithstanding, positron emission tomography-CT can be used to clarify the nature of detected PNs whose characterization is elusive in cancer patients [16].

The present study has a couple noteworthy limitations. Firstly, due to the retrospective nature of this work, the CT examination intervals were not standardized and staging information was incomplete in some cases [1]. Secondly, because of the added complexity and challenges associated with obtaining sufficient tissue for histopathology when following very small PNs, lung biopsies were not performed in many cases for the present sample of subcentimeter PNs.

In conclusion, we found that about one third of cancer patients followed at our center developed CT-detectable subcentimeter PNs that presented with characteristics considered suspicious for malignancy. Patient characteristics found to be significantly associated with a higher frequency of malignancy were being male, a primary oncological diagnosis of colorectal cancer, and advanced disease stage. Malignancy was also significantly associated with PNs with irregular, lobulated, or spiculated margins, compared to the incidence observed for PNs with regular margins. Most of the PNs that were suspicious for metastases showed growth during the CT follow-up period of 3–6 months after the first CT (staging) examination. These findings reinforce the importance of early CT follow-up within the first year of follow-up of oncological patients diagnosed with a solid tumor cancer.
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