Outlet obstructed constipation and fecal incontinence: is rehabilitation treatment the way? Myth or reality
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ABSTRACT – Pelvic floor rehabilitation aims to address perineal functional and anatomic alterations as well as thoraco-abdominal mechanic dysfunctions leading to procto-urologic diseases like constipation, fecal and urinary incontinence, and pelvic pain. They require a multidimensional approach, with a significant impact on patients quality of life. An exhaustive clinical and instrumental protocol to assess defecation disorders should include clinical and instrumental evaluation as well as several clinical/physiatric parameters. All these parameters must be considered in order to recognize and define any potential factor playing a role in the functional aspects of incontinence, constipation and pelvic pain. After such evaluation, having precisely identified any thoraco-abdomino-perineal anatomic and functional alterations, a pelvi-perineal rehabilitation program can be carried out to correct the abovementioned alterations and to obtain clinical improvement. The success of the rehabilitative process is linked to several factors such as a careful evaluation of the patient, aimed to select the most appropriate and specific targeted rehabilitative therapy, the therapist’s scrupulous hard work, especially as regards the patient’s emotional and psychic state, and finally the patient’s compliance in undertaking the therapy itself, especially at home. These factors may deeply influence the overall outcomes of the rehabilitative therapies, ranging from “real” success to illusion “myth”.
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Keypoints
• Patients affected by defecatory disorders necessitate by a novel multidimensional approach.
• In addition to the well knowledge pelvic floor evaluation (clinical scores and instrumental features) we propose a clinical-physiatric assessment.
• The clinical-physiatric evaluation add a functional evaluation of thorax, abdomen and perineum all considered as three different parts of the same whole.

INTRODUCTION

Pelvic floor dysfunction is a widespread condition caused by injury, alteration and degeneration of pelvic floor support tissues and, as any functional disorder, it is related to either anatomical or functional factors. It is a complex nosographic entity whose correct identification requires a multidimensional approach, with a significant impact on patients daily activity and quality of life. Currently, in order to reduce the possibility of postoperative inadequate results or complications, several surgeons tend to address these patients to pelvic floor rehabilitation, without a careful clinical and instrumental evaluation. Achieving good long-term outcome is an ambitious project, that needs to be well investigated and standardized. It is in fact of paramount importance to accomplish a detailed evaluation of physiatric and instrumental features in order to identify patient’s suitability for pelvi-perineal rehabilitation treatment. The paper aims to exhaustively analyze the functional aspects involved in those physio-pathologic mechanisms that lead to pelvic disorders (e.g. constipation, fecal and urinary incontinence), and to describe the currently available re-educational and rehabilitative tools based on our referral center experience.

Bowel ano-rectal disorders

Constipation and fecal incontinence are very common in developed countries with 12% to 19% of adults being affected. They are the most common defecatory disorders, recognizing functional and anatomical basis. Constipation consists in the difficult defecation of hard stools, that leads to a prolonged single evacuation (over 15 minutes), increased straining and tenesmus. It is either related to an inadequate introduction of dietary elements or to colorectal anatomical or functional disorders42. Constipation can in fact be simply related to a bad bowel management as happening for an apparently broken-down car suspected of engine failure, resulting in just lack of fuel instead. Thus, colon needs its fuel that is composed of three elements that are water, fibers and probiotics. An adequate diagnostic process about constipation shouldn’t in fact lack of information about the stool feces42. On the other hand,
constipation may have functional causes such as compromised coordination between rectum and anus and an altered synergy of thoraco-abdominal muscles (both improvable with rehabilitation treatment). Functional constipation can be defined as “colic” when associated to a slow colonic transit and “rectal”, when associated to pelviperineal dyssynergia (outlet dysfunction type). Obviously, rectal constipation can be determined by anatomical defects of the rectum itself, such as rectocoele and recto-retal intussusception. Such anatomical alterations can only be treated by a surgical approach. Pelviperineal rehabilitation is nowadays recognized as a proper treatment approach for constipation, associated with dietary and behavioral modifications when failure of conventional therapies may occur, when the diagnosis of ano-rectal functional constipation is correct, and the coordination disturbance is studied and clinically severe. Fecal incontinence (patient’s impossibility to hold feces or gas), is as well largely associated to functional alterations such as sphincteral muscular deficit, sensory deficit or muscular innervation deficit, all of these features can be amended by adequate pelvic floor rehabilitation. Pelvic floor rehabilitation has a sort of established indication in fecal incontinence, according to literature in fact, pelvic floor rehabilitation may only be certainly useful for active incontinence even though, in passive incontinence, rehabilitation may be used to obtain striated muscle strengthening and a better awareness of the pelvic floor for better symptom management. In our referral centre, we’ve had discrete results both in active, passive and mixed incontinence when due to functional matters. Certainly, concomitant anatomical alterations like anal sphincteral damage or previous rectal surgery can make rehabilitation programs less effective and should be addressed to surgery.

FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT

Clinical-physiatric evaluation

In order to improve pelvic floor dynamics, proctologists should identify causes of pathologic evacuation. A physiological defecation act is not only controlled by rectal and anal synergy, but also by muscular dynamics between thorax, abdomen and perineum, in addition to a correct posture. The ability in realizing correct synergetic movements is in fact not always properly developed in general population. Literature data is still very poor on this topic: there’s lack of a proper set of values that might help objectifying when to indicate pelvic floor rehabilitation and how to quantify results. Thus, in consideration of the difficulty of obtaining shared normal values, every centre still uses its own values and clinical evaluations to deal with this kind of approach. Evaluation of patients reporting pelvic floor dysfunction is now committed to different clinical or instrumental scores, only graduating the presence and severity of the disease as Wexner and Altomare scores.

We believe, instead, that a proper diagnostic process should combine, in addition to clinical and instrumental values, several clinical/physiatric parameters such as puborectalis muscle function, perineal defecation reflex, agonist and antagonist muscle synergies, and last but not least, postural examination (lumbar lordosis) and respiratory function. We are aware that this kind of diagnostic approach is not standardized or objectionable yet, but our beliefs are strongly supported by our large clinical experience. In our protocol all these parameters must be considered in order to recognize and define any functional aspect of incontinence, constipation and pelvic pain. We currently study all of these aspects as follows:

Puborectalis function can be evaluated with rectal exploration, but also with ano-rectal manometry, dynamic defecography and transanal ultrasonography. If the pubo-rectal muscle relaxes, the axis between the rectum and anal canal aligns, and the stool can transit. This explains the importance of pubo-rectal muscle evaluation to define whether relaxation is absent, incomplete or paradoxical.

The pubococcygeal test (PC) is performed by inserting a finger, hooking the anal canal and vagina in order to evaluate muscle tonic and phasic contraction, muscular strength and symmetry of the left and right muscular branches.

Perineal defense reflex is considered as an expression of correct abdomino-perineal dynamics. It evaluates the action of the pelvic floor during forced expiration, when the diaphragm generates a high intra-abdominal pressure. The patient is asked to cough, to allow the proctologist to register the contraction of perianal muscles, that cause a physiological increase (positive reflex) or a pathological drop (negative reflex). Pathological drop is associated with urinary, gas incontinence and soiling. It is mandatory to also evaluate muscular synergies by anal contraction with the patient in Sims position. The recruitment of agonist muscles, such as the gluteus and abductor groups, during anal sphincter contraction can be caused by the patient’s incapacity to selectively recruit the correct muscles for the requested order. Vice versa, the identification of antagonist muscles, (abdominals) during the anal sphincter contraction phase represents a conflict between the abdominal and perineal muscles. Posture may also affect pelvic floor dysyneurities. Its examination should be performed by a plumb line, that helps evaluating lumbar lordosis. It impacts on orientation of the sacral promontory, anorectal angle and pubococcygeal tone. All these important functional and anatomical parameters are part of the clinical physiatric Brusciano Rehabilitation Score System.

Respiratory dynamic correlation

A physiologic defecation is not only related to a correct action of the pelvic floor, but also requires a synergic function of thoracic and abdominal muscles. The rectal filling sensation works as trigger for the defecatory act, then a Valsalva maneuver is physiologically requested; thoracic diaphragm is pushed downward, abdominal muscles are contracted, and the pelvi-perineal floor descend determining a significant increase of the intra-rectal pressure with an effective straining on defecation. Indeed, if our target is to improve perineal function and ameliorate defecation, it is mandatory to also highlight the contribution of either diaphragm and abdominal muscles to the Valsalva maneuver. As by now it is well known, this maneuver is mainly affected by the position of diaphragm during the maximal intra-abdominal strength. Indeed, the pressure generated inside the abdominal cavity is inversely proportional to the radius of the sphere (Laplace’s law). Given the tension of the contracted anterior abdominal wall along with the proper alignment of the spinal column, the other element playing a substantial role in either reducing volume or increasing intra-abdominal pressure, is contraction and descent of the diaphragmatic muscles. This movement may appear simple and ordinary, but it is largely affected instead by the type and method of respiratory mechanics. “Costal” breathing achieves a minimal upper/lower movement, while a correct “diaphragmatic” breath allows a wider excursion. In patients with predominant costal breathing, an absent or ineffective diaphragmatic movement can be improved by simple exercises focused on respiratory coordination.
**Instrumental evaluation**

Instrumental indexes, especially manometric ones, are nowadays deeply known and fully used to indicate rehabilitation. What we aim to add, is that objective parameters alone do not complete the diagnostic process, if they are not properly inserted in the patient functional evaluation of thorax, abdomen and perineum; all considered as three different parts of the same whole. The clinical-physiatriac evaluation we apply in our referral centre can in fact be considered a novel approach in the assessment of the pelvic floor dysfunctional patient. Nevertheless, in order to achieve a full evaluation of the functional or anatomical alterations, instrumental findings are mandatory. The anorectal manometry evaluates the pressures and volumes of the rectum and anal canal, highlighting significant alterations in patients with constipation and incontinence. Incontinence is often characterized by lower basal pressures and lower pressure during voluntary contraction, as reflected by altered rectal sensitivity and recto-anal inhibitory reflex. Rectal compliance is reduced with increased spontaneous relaxations. In constipation, anal pressure profile can either be normal or hypertonic, but associated with altered recto-anal inhibitory reflex and reduced sensibility to defece, only evocated by higher volumes. This is consistent with the principle that the association of adequate anal canal relaxation and lower residual pressure determines a sufficient gradient for defeceation\(^{(27-30)}\). Defecography shows better than any other investigation the rectal morphology by showing the relationship between the anal canal and pubococygeal level (i.e. rectocele or recto-rectal intussusceptions), and investigating them during defeceatory function (e.g. rest, straining and defeceation act). An incomplete or absent relaxation of the puborectal muscle, with the subsequent incomplete or absent extension of anorectal angle, is a typical finding of constipation. In incontinent patients the most common feature is the loss of barium particles during coughing. Endoanal and perineal ultrasound is mandatory to exclude presence of sphincteral defects (i.e. lesions, inhomogeneity, outright interruptions) that can cause idiopathic or traumatic incontinence, and moreover it is able to assess puborectal muscle function\(^{(31,32)}\).

**Rehabilitation treatment**

Rehabilitation should start with a patient’s re-educational phase. This process aims to improve an altered bodily function; it is not merely cognitive, while it prepares the patient toward an active, rather than a passive, role with a deep participation during the healing process. Even according to Bocchini et al., this phase is as important as the others as it has the aim of improving anorectal physiological functions corticalization through a progressively better awareness of such body areas\(^{(22)}\).

The second phase aims to heal functional alterations by different techniques (biofeedback, electrostimulation, physikinesitherapy and volumetric rehabilitation) in order to address every single alteration. These techniques should be considered as systematic part of a whole process, not single separable steps, and therefore an undetermined and unweighted medical indication of “just do a biofeedback!” should be avoided\(^{(25)}\).

Correct rehabilitation is composed of three main steps:

1. Re-educational feature: patients are taught anatomical and physiological functions of the body area which will be treated, in order to best interact during rehabilitation; they have to gain proper acknowledge about anatomical and functional aspects of their perineum in order to correctly govern it.

2. Practical exercise to obtain functional and postural recovery. In case of electrostimulation, anal or vaginal probes perform electrical stimulation of nerves and muscles, allowing an appropriate and precise visualization of patient’s muscular activity. Given probes are connected to a monitor, patients can observe their muscular activity simultaneously (biofeedback), learning coordination while eliminating their mistakes. Its reliability to increase the patient’s awareness during both contraction and relaxation training is now well assessed\(^{(33)}\).

   The volumetric rehabilitation method artificially simulates urge or delayed need to defece, trough graduated enema, modulating rectal sensibility threshold with the aim of normalizing their physiological function. In addition, with physikinesitherapy the rehabilitator basically uses their hands to rebalance bodily functions, after a careful evaluation of the patient’s osteo-muscular state. It is in fact an active technique with the aim of allowing the patient to learn or re-learn correct muscle and functional behaviors forgotten or never learnt, in order to lead to a normal defeceation. Assisted and against-resistance exercises are performed to adequately stimulate the perineal muscles and properly involve synergic muscles and those of the anal sphincter. In this phase, the patient is also trained throughout easy exercises on how to gain the correct respiratory dynamics because of the contribution of either diaphragm and abdominal muscles to the Valsalva maneuver, as explained before.

3. Home self-executing of gained movements and consciousness in everyday life, as natural patient’s behavior.

In order to reach a successful rehabilitation and maintain a normal bodily function, patients should keep what they have learned about bodily anatomy and rehabilitation exercises, with a complete summarize and correct coordination of the techniques during everyday life.

Rehabilitation therefore begins in the rehabilitative ambulatory but continues at home and is constantly carried out until the patient is healed and thereafter (like in every workout training). Moreover, advantages of rehabilitation program can only be effective if patient actively participates not only physically, but also mentally\(^{(34)}\).

**CONCLUSION**

The overall path of rehabilitation consists in strengthening and harmonizing the different muscles of the pelvic floor, not only with their self but also with the rest of the body. The acts of retention or expulsion (urinating and/or defeceating) does not solely depend upon the pelvic floor but it consists in a complex result of simultaneus coordination with the pelvic floor itself, with the chest and abdomen. For an exhaustive assessment of a dysfunctional patient, clinical status, instrumental features, and physiatic patterns, should be evaluated in order to define which patients will best benefit from a pelviperineal rehabilitation program\(^{(17)}\). It should be modulated on patient’s emotional and psychic state, and its ability to collaborate in undertaking a proper therapy when back home.

Thus, the mere correction of single alterations found during the physio-clinical study might not be effective in healing every defecatory or urinary disorder and their related symptoms, but surely improves the clinical conditions with positive impact on Wexner and Pescatori score. On the other hand, the improvements of Brusciano Score parameters could not reflect in a clinically relevant improvement of symptoms. Overall outcomes of the
rehabilitative process is linked to several different factors and may deeply change results, ranging from “real” success to illu-
sion “myth”. It is clear why surgery should be considered as a
standard of care only in case of clinical symptoms related to not
modifiable anatomical defects.

This paper aims to spread the concept that pelvi-perineal re-
habilitation should be adopted as part of a multi-modal therapy,
only after having detected the proper indications, while if it is
only suggested in case of failure of previous other treatments, as
it usually currently happens, it may achieve poor results and thus
remain a false “myth”.
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