Rates of Diffusion of Fluorescent Molecules via Cell-to-Cell Membrane Channels in a Developing Tissue
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ABSTRACT Diffusion coefficients for the intercellular movement of fluorescent tracers have been measured in the epidermis of a larval beetle. Fluorescent tracer was injected into a cell and the spread of tracer from cell to cell in this monolayer was recorded by a TV camera. Fluorescence intensities were digitized from the TV images at successive times after the start of injection at various distances from the source by a microcomputer interfaced with a video analyzer. From the relationship between concentration (measured as light intensity), time and distance, an effective diffusion coefficient ($D_e$) is calculated for the tracer in the tissue. In newly ecdysed epidermis, $D_e$ for carboxyfluorescein (CF) is $2.7 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s, and $D_e$ for lissamine rhodamine B (LRB) is $1.2 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s, whereas in intermolt epidermis the $D_e$'s for CF and LRB are $3.7 \times 10^{-7}$ and $1.2 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s, respectively. These diffusion coefficients are only an order of magnitude lower than their values in water. The ratio of $D_e$ for the two tracers at these two stages of development differs from the ratio predicted in cytoplasm alone, with the movement of the slightly larger molecule (LRB) being impeded relative to that of the smaller molecule (CF). This suggests that the properties of the membrane channels amplify differences in the rates of movement of molecules of similar size. This may be important during cell patterning in development. $D_e$ for CF was also monitored as junctional resistance was increased in the epidermis. During 30 min of exposure to 0.25 mM chlorpromazine, $D_e$ dropped to 20% of its initial value of $5 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s, implying that the junctional membrane, rather than cytoplasm, is the major barrier to molecular diffusion among the cells.

Cell-to-cell membrane channels function in the maintenance of homeostasis among cells in a tissue, the mediation of hormonal responses, and possibly in coordinating cellular activities in developing and differentiating tissues (2, 22, 25). These channels permit the movement of ions and small molecules from the cytoplasm of one cell to that of another (24, 25). It has been proposed that these channels may also allow the diffusion of key molecules (morphogens) among cells for the establishment of gradients of positional information during development (11, 53). Recent evidence suggests that at developmental compartment boundaries the movement of larger dye molecules via these channels may be impeded but that the movement of inorganic ions is not (3, 23, 47, 50). It becomes important, therefore, to determine the precise relationship between these two levels of junctional communication and whether they may be regulated independently (by such factors as cytosolic pH [39] and Ca$^{2+}$ levels [35, 36], and transjunctional voltage [38]).

Although junctional conductance to inorganic ions has been measured in developing cells and cells in culture (reviewed in reference 25), few estimates of the diffusion rate of organic molecules among cells within tissues exist. The problem of measuring the rate of movement of molecules via these cell-to-cell channels has been approached in a number of ways. A "cut-end" approach (originally developed by Weidmann [48]) has been used to calculate the movement of K$^+$ (48), tetraethylammonium ions (49), cAMP (42), fluorescein (12), and procion yellow (16) through the intercalated disks of mammalian cardiac muscle and 2-deoxyglucose in mammalian myometrium (9). This technique requires large pieces of essentially homogeneous tissues, and that the cells be arranged in an almost linear fashion. Michalke (28) determined the rate of movement of [H]hypoxanthine from prelabeled to unlabeled cells in cultures of liver cells as they grew confluent.

More recently, the microinjection of fluorescent dyes has permitted the direct visualization of the movement of molecules from cell to cell. The combination of fluorescence...
microscopy with either photographic or video microscopy (4, 17, 44, 51) permits both the direct observation of the process and later quantitation of the images. Complicated geometries (usually nonhomogeneous cell volumes and shapes) have been a problem in these recent studies. Nevertheless, the movement of (a) sodium fluorescein in atrioventricular node cells (32), (b) derivatives of fluorescein in earthworm giant axons (4), (c) carboxyfluorescein in cultured pancreatic islet cells (18), and (d) lucifer yellow in Novikoff hepatoma cells (21) has been measured.

We present here effective diffusion coefficients for fluorescent molecules measured in a cell sheet by a computer–video method. The tissue used, the epidermis of the mealworm Tenebrio molitor, has a simple geometry (a monolayer of cells of uniform height [7]), and its cells possess gap junctions (8). In addition, the junctional conductance of the epidermal cells has been previously measured in response to a variety of treatments, including hormone application (7) exposure to Ca ++ ionophore and cyclic nucleotides (6) and to inhibitors of calmodulin (20).

Our results show that the rate of diffusion of small cytoplasmic molecules from cell to cell is very rapid, and their movement in this tissue is about an order of magnitude slower than that in water. In addition, we show that the membrane channels connecting the cells appear to retard the movement of one of a pair of molecules of similar size.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Preparation: Penultimate (0.06-0.08 g) and ultimate (>0.12 g) instar larvae of Tenebrio molitor were collected immediately after ecysis and kept at 26–27°C. Before use, animals were anesthetized and sterilized by immersion into 70% methanol for 5 min. Squares of epidermis were excised from the ventral surface of abdominal segments III–VI and placed into tissue culture medium, pH 6.7 (7), except that medium M199 (Gibco Laboratories, Grand Island, NY) was used in place of medium HB597 (Connaught Laboratories, Toronto, Ontario). All fat body and adhering muscle was removed. Preparations not used immediately were kept in an incubator at 27°C in an atmosphere containing 2% CO₂. Each preparation consisted of a monolayer of ~20,000 epidermal cells of uniform height (10 ± 1 μm in intermolt larvae [7]; 16–18 μm in newly ecysed larvae [8]), attached to the rigid and transparent cuticle. The epidermis was clamped into a 35-mm diam petri dish containing ~20,000 epidermal cells of uniform height (10 ± 1 μm in intermolt larvae [7]; 16–18 μm in newly ecysed larvae [8]), attached to the rigid and transparent cuticle. The epidermis was clamped into a 35-mm diam petri dish containing medium, and the cells in the center of the preparation were observed with a Zeiss IM35 inverted microscope (Carl Zeiss, Inc., New York) fitted with phase-epifluorescence optics (x 40 Neofluar objective, numerical aperture 0.75). Chlorpromazine (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) was made up as a 0.25 mM solution in tissue culture medium.

Tracer Injection: Two fluorescent dyes, 6-carboxyfluorescein (mol wt 376; Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, NY) and lissamine rhodamine B200 (mol wt 559; Polysciences, Inc., Warrington, PA), were used as cell-to-cell tracers. They were made up as 10 mM solutions in distilled water; the pH was adjusted to 7.0 with 1 N NaOH, and then filtered through a Millipore filter (0.2-μm pore size, Millipore Corp., Bedford, MA). Glass microelectrodes (Kwikfill capillary tubing, No. 1800F; W-P Instruments, Inc., New Haven, CT) were pulled on a vertical microelectrode puller (Narashige Scientific Laboratory, Tokyo). Electrode tips were back-filled with dye solutions by capillary action and mounted onto de F. micromanipulators (CH Beaudouin, Paris) in the electrophysiological setup described previously (7). The preparation was grounded through an Ag/AgCl electrode and a KCl/agar bridge immersed in the bathing medium. Tracer was injected into the cells by ionophoresis using a continuous train of hyperpolarizing current pulses (0.2-s duration, one per second) of 6 nA unless otherwise stated. This pulse caused a small drop in membrane potential of ~3 mV in the source cell.

Each electrode was first checked with its tip in the culture medium (at some distance from the tissue) to see that its resistance was acceptably low (between 100 and 300 MΩ) and that it delivered fluorescent puffs of tracer into the medium when the ionophoretic current was turned on. The electrode was then inserted into a cell. The current pulses aided electrode penetration greatly. The dye delivered into the medium near the injected cell diffused rapidly away and did not obscure its detection in the source cell. Because the microelectrode also recorded the membrane potential of the source cell and the moment of electrode entry, the quality of the penetration and the stability of the cell during injection could be monitored. These dye-filled electrodes had higher resistance than our conventional KCl-filled electrodes (15–40 MΩ). They recorded a lower range of apparent membrane potential (20–40 mV) because of low electrometer impedance. After several penetrations with a dye electrode, the normal range of membrane potential for a particular epidermal preparation became apparent. If the membrane potential fell during injection, that particular "spread" of tracer was rejected. A 30-s period of injection was sufficient for the calculation of diffusion coefficients.

Carboxyfluorescein (CF) and lissamine rhodamine B (LRB) were excited by light from a mercury vapor lamp (HBO 50 W) using the following Zeiss interference-filter sets: excitation filters, BP485 (CF) and BP546 (LRB); barrier filters, BP520 (CF) and LP580 (LRB); with dichroic mirrors: FT510 (CF), and FT580 (LRB).

Video Recording and Analysis: A modified RCA silicon-intensified target (SIT) television camera (TC1030/3H; RCA Electro-Optics & Devices, Lancaster, PA) was attached via a Zeiss TV connector to the cimage of the inverted microscope. Both the automatic gain control and target control of this camera provided fixed gain which could be adjusted manually. The procedure for these modifications is described in the RCA 1030H service manual (33). All quantitative fluorescence measurements were made with the automatic gain control and target control in the same fixed position. A black reference (darkest portion of the image) was set by placing a strip of black tape on the photocell in the intermediate-image plane (33).

Fig. 1 illustrates the arrangement of the equipment for video recording and analysis. The video signal from the camera was sent to an RCA video time-date generator (TC 1440B), and the combined signal was recorded on a Sony (TVO-9000) 3/4-in time-lapse videocassette recorder. The composite video signal from the tape-recorder was coupled to a Colorado Video Analyzer 321 (Colorado Video, Inc., Boulder, CO) and the image displayed on an RCA 14-in black and white monitor (TC1214). The brightness or light intensity (in volts) along a vertical cursor that intersected each of the horizontal TV lines of a particular TV field (known as "slowscan," see Fig. 3) was digitized by an A/D converter (Mountain Hardware Inc., Scotts Valley, CA) and by appropriate software within an Apple Ile microcomputer (Apple Computer, Inc., Cupertino, CA). The field counter used vertical and horizontal synchronization from the video signal and a machine language subroutine to time correctly the sampling of the slowscan signal (13). The epidermis was oriented on the microscope stage so that its anterior–posterior axis ran parallel to the direction of electrode entry. Tracer spreads were analyzed perpendicular to this axis. For each spread, the concentration of tracer was related to the light intensity; distance was measured in TV lines (calibrated with a micrometer slide as 1.01 μm/TV line), and time was measured by counting TV fields (60 fields/s). On playback of the tape, the vertical cursor of the video analyzer was placed across the center of the image of the dye spread. The field counter was set to sample every 60th or 120th TV field. The

\[1\text{ Abbreviations used in this paper: CF, carboxyfluorescein; CPZ, chlorpromazine; } D_e \text{, effective diffusion coefficient; LRB, lissamine rhodamine B; SIT, silicon-intensified target.} \]
The voltages associated with this transect of the video signal were directly from the oscilloscope screen and are the voltages (mean ± SD, n = 3-6 for each dye and concentration) of peak fluorescence concentration above which concentration-dependent quenching (self-quenching limited by several factors. The upper end of the range is set by the dye concentration above which concentration-dependent quenching (self-quenching or the inner-filter effect) occurs, and the relationship occurs. Because tracer concentration is highest at the source in a typical dye spread, quenching would result in the SIT camera detecting reduced fluorescence in this region. When tested in the microrefractometer well, we found that no quenching of CF in medium at pH 6.5 occurred below 2 × 10^{-4} M. With a 3% transmission neutral density filter in the beam path. The effective diffusion coefficients of CF and LRB were therefore determined within these concentration ranges, with this 3% neutral density filter in the light path.

Relationship between Dye Concentration and Fluorescence Emission: The practical range of cytoplasmic concentration of a fluorescent tracer used to determine its effective diffusion coefficient in a tissue is limited by several factors. The upper end of the range is set by the dye concentration at which concentration-dependent quenching (self-quenching or the inner-filter effect) occurs, and the relationship occurs. Because tracer concentration is highest at the source in a typical dye spread, quenching would result in the SIT camera detecting reduced fluorescence in this region. When tested in the microrefractometer well, we found that no quenching of CF in medium at pH 6.5 occurred below 2 × 10^{-4} M. With a 3% transmission neutral density filter in the beam path. The effective diffusion coefficients of CF and LRB were therefore determined within these concentration ranges, with this 3% neutral density filter in the light path.

Theoretical Analysis of the Spreads of Fluorescent Tracer: The rate at which diffusion occurs is usually expressed in terms of a diffusion coefficient (D) that relates flux of material to its concentration gradient. The geometry of the epidermis and the method of injection of tracer allow its movement to be described in terms of the diffusion of material from a continuous line source in two dimensions, characterized by the equation:

\[
C(t, r) = \frac{S}{4\pi Dh} \frac{r^2}{4Dh} e^{-\frac{r^2}{4Dh}}
\]

where \(C(t, r)\) is the concentration of material (here a fluorescent tracer) at a distance \(r\) from the source at a time \(t\) from the start of injection, \(S\) is the delivery rate of the material, \(D\) is its diffusion coefficient, \(h\) is the thickness of the two-dimensional sheet in which the material is diffusing (here the height of the monolayer of cells), and \(x\) is a constant (5, 37). Initially, the concentration of tracer is zero. At \(r = 0\) and \(t = 0\) a constant tracer delivery \((S)\) begins. The concentration at infinity approaches zero. Since \(S\) is in moles per second, \(h\) in centimeters, and \(D\) in centimeters^2 per second, the term \(S/4\pi Dh\) has units of concentration, moles per cubic centimeter. If the dimensionless ratio \(x = r^2/4Dh\) is small (i.e., 0 < x < π, reference 1) the exponential integral (Ei) has the series expansion:

\[
Ei(x) = -\gamma - \ln(x) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n x^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n x^n}{n!}.
\]
where \( y \) is Euler’s constant (0.5772). For values of \( x < 0.1 \) (0 < \( x < 0.1 \), Eq. 1 becomes the following logarithmic function:
\[
C(r, t) = \frac{S}{4\pi Dh} \ln \left( \frac{4Dt}{r^2} \right) - \gamma \frac{S}{4\pi Dh},
\]
(3)
which upon rearrangement gives:
\[
C(r, t) = \frac{S}{4\pi Dh} \ln \left( \frac{4Dt}{r^2} \right) + \frac{S}{4\pi Dh} \ln (D) - \gamma,
\]
(4)
(an equation of the form \( y = mx + b \); i.e., a plot of \( y \) vs. \( \ln(x) \) gives a straight line of slope \( m \) and \( y \)-intercept of \( b \) [at \( \ln(x) = 0 \]). A plot of concentration (expressed here as light intensity, since camera response is proportional to dye concentration over most of its useful range; see section on camera calibration) vs. \( 4t/r^2 \) on semilog paper gives a straight line with
\[
m = \frac{S}{4\pi Dh}
\]
(5)
and
\[
b = \frac{S}{4\pi Dh} [\ln(D) - \gamma].
\]
(6)
The \( y \)-intercept can be rewritten as
\[
b = m [\ln(D) - \gamma],
\]
(7)
which can then be rearranged to give an equation for calculating the diffusion coefficient of the material (\( D \)):
\[
D = e^{(b/m)} + \gamma
\]
(8)
Similarly, \( D \) can be calculated using the \( x \)-intercept. In this situation:
\[
D = \frac{e^b - \gamma}{x-intercept}.
\]
D calculated in either way is independent both of sheet thickness and of the rate at which the material is delivered. If we assume \( 4Dt/r^2 \) to be >10 (\( x = r^2/4Dt < 0.1 \), as mentioned above), it follows that the curves generated by the exponential integral function and the logarithmic function superpose at \( 4t/r^2 \geq 2 \times 10^3 \), for values of \( D < 5 \times 10^{-3} \) cm/s. Consequently, only points on the logarithmic curves (see Figs. 4c, 6b, 7a, and 8a) >2 \( \times 10^7 \) s/cm² were used to determine slope \( m \).

The diffusion coefficient for tracer in the epidermis is a total or effective diffusion coefficient. From the slope and the \( y \)-intercept of the straight line drawn through the linear portion of the curve in Fig. 4c, \( D_e \) for CF in this preparation was calculated using Eq. 8 as 3.5 \( \times 10^{-7} \) cm²/s.

It is possible, using this value of \( D_e \) to compare the full relationship, as predicted by Eq. 1, between light intensity and \( 4t/r^2 \) with the actual data. The relationship predicted by Eq. 1 is shown as a dashed line in Fig. 4c. There is agreement between the light intensities for different values of \( 4t/r^2 \) obtained in theory and in practice. At low values of \( 4t/r^2 \), the theoretically predicted light intensities and those obtained experimentally climb slowly until the slope becomes linear. In the linear region, the exponential integral (Eq. 2) and the logarithmic approximation (Eq. 8) become indistinguishable.

There also appears to be a stage-specific localization of CF in certain organelles. In newly ecdysed epidermis, CF binds to irregularly shaped structures, giving a mottled appearance to the fluorescence image (Fig. 3). The spread of CF in intermolt cells (Fig. 5b) is qualitatively different from that in newly ecdysed cells inasmuch as tracer is localized more in the nuclear region. CF did not enter damaged cells and cytoplasmic vacuoles. These were rare and any region in the tissue containing them was avoided in the quantitative analysis.

**LISSAMINE RHODAMINE B:** Compared with CF, both the qualitative appearance and the rate of LRB movement in the epidermis differ (Fig. 5). The cell-to-cell spread of LRB is more homogeneous, as it binds less than CF to cytoplasmic organelles. Consequently, the intensity of LRB fluorescence within each cell is fairly uniform, and as the local tissue concentration of the tracer builds up during injection, small downward “steps” in fluorescence, corresponding to successive orders of cells, become visible in the video image (Fig. 5c). These steps were not seen in the CF spreads, except when the rate of tracer movement in the epidermis was reduced experimentally (see below).

That the rate of movement of LRB (1.8 \( \times 1.4 \times 1.1 \) nm, channel-limiting dimension underlined2) is much slower than that of CF (1.2 \( \times 1.2 \times 0.8 \) nm). This is qualitatively evident from Fig. 5, b and c, which shows that the extent of the LRB spread is less than that of CF after the same period of injection. Quantitatively, the topographic plots for LRB (Fig. 6a) are steeper than those for CF (Fig. 4a). For example, whereas CF fluorescence could be detected 30 \( \mu \)m from the source cell after 11 s of injection (Fig. 4a), LRB fluorescence could not be detected beyond 20 \( \mu \)m at this time (Fig. 6a).

2Molecular dimensions were measured from CPK space-filling models with the channel-limiting dimensions being defined as the second largest of the measurements.
Tissue autofluorescence, using the LRB excitation/emission filters, was <10% of the range of digital light intensities measured.

The $4t/r^2$ curve for LRB is shifted to the right (Fig. 6b), compared with that of CF (Fig. 5c). As a rough indicator, the further to the right the curve, given comparable slopes, the lower the effective diffusion coefficient calculated from Eq. 8.

The LRB curve in Fig. 6b corresponds to a $D_e$ of $1.0 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s.

The calculated $D_e$'s for CF and LRB in newly ecdysed and intermolt tissues are summarized in Table I. The diffusion coefficient of CF in intermolt tissue is higher than that in newly ecdysed tissue, and at both developmental stages greater than LRB. Occasionally, the movement of both tracers was...
appeared to raise De to 4.3 x 10^{-7} \text{cm}^2/\text{s} (top curve in Fig. 7). The digital light intensities (Li) plotted against log(time) show the following linear relationship at selected distances (r) from the source: \( \text{Li} = (S/4\pi Dr) \ln(t) + (S/4\pi Dr)(\ln[4 Dr^2] - \gamma) \). The mean De calculated from the lines drawn through the sets of points is 3.4 (±0.2) x 10^{-7} \text{cm}^2/\text{s}. (○, 10 \mu m; ●, 15 \mu m; □, 20 \mu m; ■, 25 \mu m; △, 30 \mu m; ▲, 40 \mu m; ▼, 50 \mu m; ▽, 60 \mu m). Near the source cell (such as at 10 and 15 \mu m), where high light intensities are seen, the points fall away from the predicted relationship because of the response characteristics of the camera (see Fig. 2). Further from the source (as seen at 50 \mu m) light intensities increase slowly with time (as predicted by Eq. 1) until the linear relationship given above holds. (c) 4t/r^2 plot. When the light intensities are plotted against 4t/r^2 all the data points fall on a single curve. The data plotted here and the symbols used are the same as in b. From the maximum slope (30.8, using natural logarithms) and y-intercept (−47.6) for the solid line drawn by eye through these points, a De of 3.5 x 10^{-7} \text{cm}^2/\text{s} was calculated (see Eq. 7). The dashed line represents the exponential integral evaluated for this De. A simplified form of Eq. 2 for programmable calculators found in reference 26 (pp. 61–63) was used.

Influence of Tracer Delivery Rate and Iontophoretic Current on De

The rate of delivery of fluorescent tracer into the epidermis may be varied by alteration of the iatophoretic current. In theory the delivery rate does not influence De (Eq. 3), but the train of current pulses used to drive tracer from the electrode into the source cell may also affect its movement in the tissue. Although tracer movement is not dependent on the pulses of electrotonic current that spread radially away from the source cell into the tissue during injection—tracer continues to move when the current is turned off—the spread of current during injection of tracer may raise its effective diffusion coefficient.

The influence of different current strengths on the delivery rate of CF (a negatively charged tracer) into the epidermis is shown in Fig. 7. a and b. Increasing the iatophoretic current raises the rate of injection and consequently the light intensity at any selected combination of time and distance from the source. The De calculated from the lower three curves in Fig. 7a, 2.2–2.5 x 10^{-7} \text{cm}^2/\text{s}, suggests that it is not influenced by the tracer delivery rate. It was noted, however, that the iatophoretic current associated with the fastest delivery rate appeared to raise De to 4.3 x 10^{-7} \text{cm}^2/\text{s} (top curve in Fig. 7a). Fig. 7a is in agreement with theory that predicts that for constant D but increasing dye delivery, the slope of the 4t/r^2 curve changes but the x-intercept does not.

To study the effects of iontophoresis in more detail, current strengths ranging from 0.1 to 10 nA were tested, and the results are summarized in Fig. 7b. The length (0.2 s) and the frequency (one per second) of the current pulses were kept constant. Increasing the current strength 100 times raised De only 1.6 times. Indeed, the slight slope to the regression line through the data in Fig. 7b may not be due entirely to iatophoretic effects, but to camera saturation beyond the source cell when strong currents are used (see Discussion). The extrapolation to zero current in Fig. 7b gives the De for CF as 2.3 x 10^{-7} \text{cm}^2/\text{s}, which is within the range of error for De of this tracer determined when the standard current strength of 6 nA was used.

Influence of Chlorpromazine on the Rate of Diffusion of CF

The calmodulin antagonist chlorpromazine (CPZ) raises junctional resistance in the epidermis. After 20 min of exposure to 0.25 mM CPZ, the ionic resistance of the intercellular pathway increases several fold and ionic coupling between the cells is lost by ~40 min (20). Furthermore, the normal cell-to-cell movement of CF is abolished by this treatment; dye fails to pass out of the cell into which it is injected when the cells are ionically uncoupled (see Fig. 2 in reference 20; see also Fig. 9). To what extent can this method follow the changing De of CF as the epidermis uncouples during CPZ treatment?

Qualitative changes in the cell-to-cell spread of CF in CPZ-treated epidermis, compared with its spread in control epidermis, were first detected after 10–15 min of exposure. The spread of CF by this time began to resemble that of LRB in normally coupled tissue (Fig. 5c), i.e., the tracer increases in
Comparison of the rate and appearance of spread of CF and LRB in a single intermolt preparation. Sites of tracer injection are marked by a cross (+). Both fluorescence micrographs (b) and (c) were taken from the video-taped images after 30 s of tracer injection. (a) Phase-contrast image of the epidermal cells in which the lighter nuclei are seen to stand out against the darker cytoplasm. The white crosses (+) mark the sites of injection of CF (upper left, shown in b) and LRB (lower right, shown in c). The four blurred spots in the phase image are sites of sensory structures. (b) Spread of CF. The dye had spread five to six orders of cells in 30 s. While spreading rapidly from cell to cell, CF did not appear to be impeded by the cell borders but did localize in the nuclear regions of cells. (c) Spread of LRB. LRB had spread less than CF in 30 s and imaged only the first three orders of cells. With this dye it was possible to distinguish the different orders of cells around the source cell and their boundaries. Here, the source cell is surrounded by five first-order cells arranged in a five-pointed star. The fluorescence image shows a uniform fluorescence within these five first-order cells, and a lower fluorescence in the second-order cells. The effective diffusion coefficients calculated from the video-taped sequences of these two spreads were $3.9 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s for CF and $1.9 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s for LRB. Bar, 50 μm. × 640.

Concentration uniformly in each order of cells before substantial movement into the next order is seen. By 20 min of CPZ treatment, the passage of CF out of the source cell had become very slow, and almost a minute lapsed before CF built up in concentration in the first order cells. The decrease in the rate of CF movement in the epidermis during exposure to CPZ is shown quantitatively in Fig. 8. During drug treatment, the $4t/r^2$ curves shift to the right and their slopes become progressively greater (Fig. 8a). For decreasing $D$, but constant dye delivery, Eq. 4 predicts that the slopes of the $4t/r^2$ curves...
calculation of $D_e$. The reasons for this are given in Discussion.

distances <10 $\mu$m from the source did not fall on the curve. Dr calculated from this curve is $1.0 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s. The light curve here is displaced to the right and its maximum slope is slightly more acute than those of CF when analyzed after the same periods of injection. (b) $4t/r^2$ plot. Light intensities are plotted against $4t/r^2$ for the distances listed in Fig. 4b, except that the 60-$\mu$m values have been omitted. Compared with the CF curve of Fig. 5c, the curve here is displaced to the right and its maximum slope is slightly steeper. $D_e$ calculated from this curve is $1.0 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s. The light intensities measured at 5 $\mu$m from the source do not fall on the curve. In general, light intensities at distances <10 $\mu$m from the source did not fall on the $4t/r^2$ curves and were not included in the calculation of $D_e$. The reasons for this are given in Discussion.

**DISCUSSION**

The rates of diffusion of CF and LRB via intercellular membrane channels are quite rapid. Diffusion coefficients of these tracers in the epidermis are only an order of magnitude lower than those for molecules of similar molecular weight in water (compare reference 45). For example, $D$ for sucrose (342 mol wt) in water at 20°C is $4.6 \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^2$/s (43). Our findings are consistent with previous measurements of $D_e$ presented in Table II. The values in this table reflect the trend towards lower diffusion coefficients with increasing molecular weight. It is interesting to note that this decrease in $D_e$ is greater than that predicted from molecular weight alone and probably reflects restriction by channels with a finite diameter. Factors other than molecular weight, such as molecular charge (4, 14), may also affect the rates of movement of molecules from cell to cell.

We have investigated several possible sources of error in our measurement of $D_e$. It is possible that some error is involved in determining the distance from the source and times from the start of injection. Since $r$ and $t$ are determined precisely by counting horizontal (TV lines) and vertical synchronization (TV fields), respectively, any inaccuracies lie in determining $r(0)$, the start of injection. If the site of the electrode tip is misjudged or the electrode drifts within the source cell during injection, distances from the source cell will be offset. A vertical displacement of up to 5 $\mu$m either side of the source results in an error of up to $0.5 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s. (This was determined by purposely shifting $r(0)$ and then determining $D_e$.) In this case, however, the $4t/r^2$ criterion, was about $7 \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^2$/s.

**TABLE I**

$D_e$'s of Two Fluorescent Molecules in the Epidermis

| Molecule | Newly ecdysed epidermis | Intermolt epidermis |
|----------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| CF       | $2.7 \pm 0.8$ (83, 16)*  | $3.7 \pm 1.0$ (100, 23) |
| LRB      | $1.2 \pm 0.4$ (29, 7)  | $1.2 \pm 0.4$ (23, 5) |

$D_{CF}/D_{LRB}$ 2.3 3.1

* Mean ± SD (number of spreads analyzed, number of preparations used).
TABLE II

| Molecules               | Molecular weight | $D_e$ $\times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s | Tissue (reference)                  |
|-------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| K$^+$                   | 39               | 79                              | Sheep ventricular fibers (48)      |
| Tetraethylammonium      | 130              | 20                              | Sheep and calf ventricular fibers (49)|
| 2-deoxyglucose          | 190              | 17$^*$                          | Rat myometrial smooth muscle (9)   |
|                         |                  |                                 |                                    |
| Cyclic AMP              | 329              | 8.4                             | Calf ventricular muscle (42)       |
| Fluorescein             | 333              | 40                              | Canine Purkinje fibers (12)        |
| CF                      | 376              | 2.7-3.7                         | Earthworm median giant axon (4)    |
| Diiodofluorescein       | 490              | 0.5                             | Earthworm median giant axon (4)    |
| Dibutyryl cAMP          | 491              | 5.9                             | Calf ventricular muscle (42)       |
| LRB                     | 559              | 1.2                             | This paper                         |
| Tetrabromofluorescein   | 652              | 0.1                             | Earthworm median giant axon (4)    |
| Procion yellow          | 697              | 0.3                             | Sheep and calf Purkinje fibers (16)|

$^*$ Parturient rats.
$^1$ Control rats.
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**FIGURE 7** Influence of the rate of delivery (a) and strength of the iontophoretic current (b) on the $D_e$ of CF in newly ecdysed epidermis. (a) $4t/r^2$ plots of CF spreads in the epidermis of one animal at four different rates of delivery. The initial rise in all four curves occurs at about the same point along the x axis (compare Fig. 9a). The rate of delivery was increased by raising the iontophoretic current. The current strengths and calculated $D_e$'s for the four curves are (1) 0.5 nA, 2.5 $\times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s; (2) 1 nA, 2.2 $\times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s; (3) 5 nA, 2.4 $\times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s; and (4) 10 nA, 4.3 $\times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s. (b) The $D_e$ for CF climbs slightly as the iontophoretic current is raised. The line drawn through the points (means $\pm$ SD, total $n = 63$) has a slope of 1.2 $\times 10^{-8}$ cm$^2$/s$\cdot$nA and a y-intercept of 2.3 $\times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s. Plots differ from those presented in this paper, i.e., the points no longer fall on one curve. A horizontal error of up to 2 μm on either side of the source (created by moving the cursor of the video analyzer laterally) had little effect on $D_e$. An error in determining the start of injection equal to the sampling interval also had minimal effect on $D_e$ (0.2 $\times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s for an error of $\pm$2 s). Much of the error associated with determining light intensities occurred when fluorescence intensities very close to the source were measured. As a rule, values for $D_e$ were determined from light intensities sampled at 1-μm intervals between distances 10-70 μm from the center of the source cell. Light intensities <10 μm from this cell were not included in the analysis because (a) they may have resulted from sampling points lying inside the source cell, and thus the light intensities reflect cytoplasmic $D$ rather than $D_e$; (b) the diffusion of tracer at distances from the electrode tip (a point-source) less than the sheet thickness was three dimensional and not two dimensional as required by the theory; (c) values near the source tended to lie in the nonlinear portion of the camera response; and (d) excessive rates of tracer delivery into the tissue, leading to camera saturation in and around the source cell in normally coupled tissues, may raise artificially the calculated $D_e$ (Fig. 7a, top curve). Points such as those illustrated in Fig. 6b (open circles) are eliminated by not including light intensities sampled closer than 10 μm to the source. We found that provided the camera saturation did not extend beyond the source cell, the $D_e$'s determined for a given tracer at a selected stage in development were consistent. In that dye was delivered by iontophoresis, could electrical current influence the rate of dye movement among the cells? Fig. 7b shows that there is a slight effect on $D_e$ although it may be argued that there is no influence until the current exceeds 7 nA. That iontophoretic delivery has little or no effect on molecular diffusion has also been observed in brain tissue where predictions from "diffusion alone" matched observations (30). In our experiments, an iontophoretic current of 6 nA was chosen because it delivered dye into the tissue at a rate sufficient to obtain a measurable dye spread within 2 min of injection, yet cause minimal camera saturation in the region of the source cell. Although we have used pulses of iontophoretic current and not "constant" delivery as assumed by the theory, we found it unnecessary to modify the model to include periodic sources. Occasionally, small pulsatile increases in fluorescence were observed beyond the source cell.
These contributed to the scatter or the \(4t/r^2\) plots, but did not alter their general appearance.

An assumption made in this paper is that membrane junctions are the major barrier to molecular movement among epidermal cells. It does not necessarily follow, however, that changes in \(D_e\) of a tracer during development are due entirely to changes in junctional permeability, since tracer localization within cellular components may vary. For example, the lower \(D_e\) for CF seen in newly ecdysed epidermis when compared with intermolt epidermis (Table I) may be a consequence of greater dye localization at this time. Localization of a dye (whether by binding or sequestration) will lower its effective diffusion rate because \(D_e\) is a function of both cytoplasmic diffusion (which is reduced by dye localization) and cell-to-cell diffusion, where \(1/D_e = 1/D_{cytoplasm} + 1/D_{channel}\) (\(D_{channel}\) being a complex term that considers tissue geometry, channel number, and permeability). If \(D_{cytoplasm}\) and \(D_{channel}\) are of equal magnitude, then an increase, e.g., a doubling, of either one will raise \(D_e\) to the same extent. If, on the other hand, \(D_{channel}\) is considerably less than \(D_{cytoplasm}\), doubling \(D_{channel}\) will have a much greater effect on \(D_e\). The cytoplasmic diffusion coefficient of a tracer must be known before developmental changes in \(D_e\) can be attributed to changes in junctional permeability. Nevertheless, the junctions do appear to be the major determinant of \(D_e\), because (a) there is a correlation between decreased junctional conductance to ions and decreased permeability to tracers at a given stage in development when the cells are uncoupled by CPZ (see Results) and (b) the cytoplasmic diffusion coefficient for CF within cells of the epidermis is as high as \(2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}\). (A preliminary value obtained by fluorescence photobleaching recovery of the cytoplasm of intermolt epidermal cells pre-loaded with CF diacetate, in collaboration with Dr. Nils Petersen, Department of Chemistry, University of Western Ontario; CF diacetate is a nonfluorescent membrane permeable dye that is hydrolyzed to fluorescent impermeable CF [31].)

The ratio of the predicted cytoplasmic \(D_e\)'s for CF and LRB, based on molecular size, is almost unity (1.1). The cytoplasmic \(D_e\)'s for CF and LRB predicted by the Stokes–Einstein equa-

![Figure 8](image-url) Influence on \(D_e\) of changes in intercellular resistance in intermolt epidermis. (a) The \(4t/r^2\) plot for the epidermal preparation in control medium (curve 1) has a shallow slope and a \(D_e\) of \(4.8 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}\). As the cells of this preparation uncoupled on exposure to 0.25 mM CPZ, the \(4t/r^2\) curves became steeper and the position at which the curves rose shifted to the right. After 10 min of exposure (curve 2) \(D_e\) had dropped to \(2.7 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}\) and by 20 min (curve 3) to \(1.2 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}\). (b) Time course of the change in \(D_e\) for CF after exposure to CPZ at time 0. \(D_e\) dropped rapidly from a control value of \(5.0 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}\) down to \(1.0 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}\) during 30 min of exposure to the drug. Intercellular resistance rises over this 30-min period (not shown). The data shown were obtained from four preparations taken from three animals and are the means (±SD) of 12 spreads analyzed before the drug was applied and 30 spreads analyzed in its presence.

![Figure 9](image-url) Out-of-focus and scattered-light effects on the image of a dye-uncoupled cell. CF was injected into a cell in intermolt epidermis uncoupled by exposure to 0.25 mM CPZ for >30 min. Fluorescence intensities measured across this cell are shown at 7 and 27 s from the start of injection. The cell, 13–15 μm wide along the line sampled, is shown at the same scale in the micrograph above the figure. The low levels of light intensity at the cell's margins are not due to dye diffusion but to either light scattered by the cuticle (through which the cell is viewed) or to dye-filled regions of the cell that are above and below the focal plane of the objective (see text for details).
developing cells remains to be shown.

Based on simple diffusion to be set up in the few hours

the difference in the rates of movement, and increase the D

than the larger “activators” (15). The channels may amplify

been found. It is suggested that lower molecular weight “in-

development. LRB does not localize in the cells at either stage.

the stronger localization of CF in cytoplasm at this stage in

s, respectively (k = 1.38 x 10^{-23} J/deg K; T = 300 K; r = half

ratio to the levels required by the models (29). Whether the

patterning that involve morphogen diffusion (19, 26), changes

The lower ratio for newly ecdysed cells is most likely due to

molecules capable of rapid cell-to-cell movement in develop-

growth.
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