Posteroanterior Chest X-ray Image Classification with a Multilayer 1D Convolutional Neural Network-Based Classifier for Cardiomegaly Level Screening
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Abstract: Palpitations, chest tightness, and shortness of breath are early indications of cardiomegaly, which is an asymptomatic disease. Their causes and treatment strategies are different due to differing indications. Hence, early screening of cardiomegaly levels can be used to make a strategy for administering drugs and surgical treatments. In this study, we will establish a multilayer one-dimensional (1D) convolutional neural network (CNN)-based classifier for automatic cardiomegaly level screening based on chest X-ray (CXR) image classification in frontal posteroanterior view. Using two-round 1D convolutional processes in the convolutional pooling layer, two-dimensional (2D) feature maps can be converted into feature signals, which can enhance their characteristics for identifying normal condition and cardiomegaly levels. In the classification layer, a classifier based on gray relational analysis, which has a straightforward mathematical operation, is used to screen the cardiomegaly levels. Based on the collected datasets from the National Institutes of Health CXR image database, the proposed multilayer 1D CNN-based classifier with K-fold cross-validation has promising results for the intended medical purpose, with precision of 97.80%, recall of 98.20%, accuracy of 98.00%, and F1 score of 0.9799.
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1. Introduction

Common heart-related diseases include congenital heart disease, rheumatic heart disease, hypertensive heart disease, and coronary heart disease. In hypertension and coronary heart disease, the symptom of hypertension will gradually increase the load on the left ventricle and will result in a hypertrophic or enlarged heart, namely, cardiomegaly, which leads to heart failure. Most subjects with cardiomegaly have no symptoms; however, some subjects have symptoms such as shortness of breath, abnormal heartbeat (arrhythmia), edema, fatigue, and palpitations. Hence, heart size is an important indicator of cardiac enlargement, including left or right ventricular enlargement. In clinical examinations, cardiomegaly can be initially detected by electrocardiogram (exercise electrocardiogram) and posteroanterior (PA) chest X-ray (CXR) images. For further accurate examinations, cardiac echocardiography (CECHO), cardiac computed tomography (CCT), and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (CMRI) [1–4] can accurately estimate the four chambers of the heart to evaluate the enlarged heart or myocardial hypertrophy (left ventricular...
hypertrophy) in a noninvasive imaging manner. The abovementioned imaging techniques can be used to measure the heart’s size, muscle thickness, and pumping function and identify cardiomegaly, such as left and right ventricular hypertrophy. Cardiomegaly has no pain or immediate risk; thus, its causes and treatment strategies are different, including cardiac hypertrophy and ventricular enlargement. The early detection of cardiomegaly will help the determination of drug and surgical treatments. In addition, using an automatic computer-aided tool is necessary to rapidly screen cardiomegaly levels, including normal condition, mild cardiomegaly, moderate cardiomegaly, and severe cardiomegaly.

CXR imaging is the first-line examination technique and basic medical object detection for cardiopulmonary (thoracic)-related diseases, such as in the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Clinical Center database [5], including pneumonia, pneumothorax, pleural effusion, pulmonary fibrosis, lung cancer, infiltration, emphysema, cardiomegaly, and so on (14 disease labels). The NIH database has more than 30,000 patients’ privacy CXR images and their biomarker information (disease category and location) for scientific and clinical studies and can also translate the investigation results for better treatments and interventions in clinical trials [5,6]. The Japanese Society of Radiological Technology (JSRT) CXR database [7,8] is a standard digital image database with and without chest lung nodules, created by the JSRT in cooperation with the Japanese Radiological Society, containing 154 subjects with lung nodules and 93 subjects without lung nodules [8,9]. Montgomery County’s (Montgomery County, MC, USA) CXR database [10] is a publicly available database for tuberculosis, containing 80 healthy subjects and 58 tuberculosis patients. The abovementioned CXR image database has been widely used for various medical purposes in automatically detecting cardiopulmonary-related diseases, such as training artificial intelligence (AI)-based computer-aided methods, which can help clinicians or radiologists make better diagnostic decisions. For a CXR image, white shadows appear on the right/left lung lobes as solid or partially solid shadows for mass or nodules, and ground glass or cloudy shadows for pneumonia, pulmonary fibrosis, or infiltration, respectively. Thus, pulmonary-related diseases can be identified. However, both the JSRT and MC CXR databases contain no cardiomegaly subjects for our purpose. Hence, CXR images from the NIH dataset are selected in this study. Routine PA CXR images can also rapidly screen dilated cardiomyopathy, which may increase heart’s size on a PA CXR image, such as right/left atrial shadow (atrial enlargement) or right/left ventricular hypertrophy (ventricular enlargement) [11,12].

In clinical applications, the cardiothoracic ratio (CTR) is a simple index to measure the heart size using manual inspection (Figure 1), including normal condition \((0.42 < \text{CTR} \leq 0.50)\), mild/moderate cardiomegaly \((0.50 < \text{CTR} \leq 0.60)\), and severe cardiomegaly \((\text{CTR} > 0.60)\) [13–15]. However, this manual method is time consuming, and the diagnostic results are dependent on readers’ interpretations and experiences. Hence, in this study, we intend to establish a multilayer classifier for automatic CXR classification in cardiomegaly level screening, which can replace the inspection and manual methods by requiring specific expertise and experience (clinicians and radiologists) for medical imaging examinations.

Traditional AI-based methods, such as machine learning (ML) classifiers, have been applied for identifying pulmonary-related diseases from CXR images. However, ML methods require handcrafted techniques for feature extraction. To overcome such limitation, deep learning (DL)-based methods with multi convolutional-pooling layers perform the automatic end-to-end image enhancement, noise removal, feature extraction, and classification tasks [16,17], such as U-Net [18,19], Chexnet [20], and CardiacNet [21] for medical imaging applications, for example, cardiomegaly and pneumonia detection and image segmentation of left atrium and proximal pulmonary veins. A DL-based multilayer classifier, based on a two-dimensional (2D) convolutional neural network (CNN), is constructed by multiple layers and integrated alternating convolutional and pooling processing layers for feature enhancement and extraction, followed by one or more fully connected layers to perform the classification tasks; examples include DenseNet (Dense Convolutional Network) [6] and ResNet (Residual Network)/FC-ResNets (Fully Convolutional Residual Network) [22,23]. The abovementioned methods have provided promising results in dealing with 2D im-
ages/medical images, video frames, and spectral images [6,18,19,22–24]. Hence, feature extraction and classification tasks are integrated into a single learning model for automatically classification applications and can also work with noisy data to improve image resolution for increasing the classification accuracy. However, a traditional multilayer CNN-based scheme may combine the multi convolutional-pooling layers and ML methods, such as artificial neural network, with backpropagation (forward and backpropagation neural network) and Bayesian network, which will increase the computational complexity level and require a large volume of training dataset for training the classifier, resulting in overfitting problems. In addition, the implementation of a CNN-based pattern recognition scheme is required on a graphics processing unit (GPU) to accelerate the training process and parallelize computations with large-scale training data for image classification.

Therefore, in addressing the abovementioned problems, this study aims to simplify the complexity of image processing and classification for designing a multilayer one-dimensional (1D) CNN-based classifier. After region of interest (ROI) extraction, a 2D feature map of the heart in a matrix form can be converted into a vector form as a feature signal by flattening. Hence, the proposed multilayer 1D CNN-based classifier can deal with 1D feature signals for PA CXR image classification for cardiomegaly level screening. The multi-1D convolutional processing can continually enhance the incoming feature signals and then increase accurate identification, such as electrocardiogram, phonoangiograph, photoplethysmography classifications, and sleep apnea detection [25–29]. In addition, subsequent 1D convolutional-pooling processes use simpler linear weighted sums to deal with the 1D feature signals and can also remove unwanted noise. In clinical applications, this process has a real-time and simple architectural implementation, which has a compact configuration to perform multi-1D kernel convolutional processes [27,28,30,31] for signal feature extractions. Then, the downsampling (pooling) process is used to reduce the dimensionality of enhanced feature signals and multi-convolutional outputs. Overfitting can be overcome by reducing the dimensions of the feature signals. In the classification layer, a gray relation analysis (GRA) [32,33]-based fully connected layer is connected to the pooling layer from the convolutional layer to perform multiclass classifications, which are used to differentiate normal condition from mild/moderate cardiomegaly or severe cardiomegaly with the capability of nonlinear separability. In experimental valida-

![Figure 1. Measurement of cardiothoracic ratio (CTR) on PA CXR view for cardiomegaly assessment.](image-url)
tions, PA CXR images are obtained from the National Institutes of Health (NIH, Rockville Pike, Bethesda, Maryland) Clinical Center database [5,6,34], including training and testing datasets for training the classifier and validating the classifier’s feasibility in clinical applications. Using cross-validation, the experimental results will indicate the classifier’s efficiency for automatic cardiomegaly level screening on PA CXR images, with precision (%), recall (%), accuracy (%), and F1 score indexes [16].

The remainder of this study is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the materials and methods, including the experimental setup, CXR image collection and preprocessing, and multilayer 1D CNN design for establishing a classifier for cardiomegaly level screening. Sections 3 and 4 present the feasibility tests and experiment results for clinical applications, and the conclusions, respectively.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Experimental Setup

The database of NIH CXR images comprised 112,120 PA CXR images with disease labels from 30,805 patients, which were collected from 1992 to 2015 and stored in hospitals’ picture archiving and communication systems [5]. This database showed common thoracic diseases, such as lung cancer/nodule mass, lung fibrosis, pulmonary embolic disease, lung infections, and cardiomegaly, which can be detected and located with multi-labels (containing 14 disease labels) for further validation by using artificial intelligence methods. In clinical applications, the CTR is an index to measure the enlargement of the cardiac silhouette, and CTR can be represented as follows [13–15]:

\[
CTR = \frac{MHCD}{MHTD} \tag{1}
\]

\[
MHCD = D_1 + D_2 \tag{2}
\]

where the CTR index is measured on PA CXR view (as seen in Figure 1), which is the ratio of maximal horizontal cardiac diameter to maximal horizontal thoracic diameter; \(D_1\) is the greatest perpendicular diameter from the midline to the right heart border; \(D_2\) is the greatest perpendicular diameter from the midline to the left heart border. “Normal condition” is determined as \(0.42 < CTR \leq 0.50\); \(<0.42\) is usually considered as pathologic, which indicates “smaller heart,” and \(>0.50\) is usually used to predict mortality and abnormal conditions, such as non-fatal myocardial infarction or coronary death, indicating \(0.50 < CTR \leq 0.55\) as “mild cardiomegaly,” \(0.55 < CTR \leq 0.60\) as “moderate cardiomegaly,” and \(CTR > 0.60\) as “severe cardiomegaly,” which is also correlated with left ventricular (LV) size and LV ejection fraction for assessing LV systolic function [4]. In addition, atrial enlargement may result from congenital and acquired conditions, such as ventricular septal defect, patent ductus arteriosus, mitral stenosis, or LV failure, which can also show characteristic findings on a frontal CXR image. Hence, the CTR index can be used as a threshold value to label the level of cardiomegaly. Then, the labeled CXR images can be used to train the proposed 1D CNN-based classifier to separate “normal condition” from “mild/moderate cardiomegaly” or “severe cardiomegaly.”

2.2. CXR Image Collection and Preprocessing

The PA CXR images can be converted from the Digital Imaging and Communication in Medicine format to a tagged image file (TIF) format. The TIF is a lossless image format that can lower the computation time for automatic CXR image examinations. Each size of the CXR image is specified at 1024 (width) \(\times\) 1024 (length) pixel image, 8 bits/pixel, with 0–255 grayscale values. Digital image processing should speed up the pattern recognition task; hence, we performed resizing to downscale images from 1024 \(\times\) 1024 pixels to 420 \(\times\) 420 pixels (as seen in Figure 1), which are converted from the DICOM format to a TIF format, and then used a bounding box (BB) to extract the ROI at 100 \(\times\) 200 BB and obtain the heart pathologic information from the midline to the right heart border and from
the midline to the left heart border, as shown in the ROI extractions in Figure 2, thereby keeping sufficient image visual details for indicating atrial and ventricular information, respectively. We will select 200 patients (images) from the NIH CXR image database, including 100 patients with labeled cardiomegaly and 100 patients labeled no finding (normal condition). The feature maps can be mined from such patients to explore the proposed classifier and validate classifier’s performances.

Figure 2. Feature map extraction with ROI extraction, normalization, and flattening; first and second convolutional processes; and pooling process. (a) For cardiomegaly, CTR = 0.69; (b) for normal condition, CTR = 0.39.

2.3. Multilayer 1D Convolutional Neural Network Design
2.3.1. Feature Extraction Layer with Two-Round 1D Convolutional Processes

In analyzing the 2D CXR images, in the feature extraction layer, we performed normalization and flattening (FLAT) processes to convert the CXR image from matrix form \((100 \times 200)\) to vector form \((1 \times 20,000)\). \(\text{FLAT}_x\) in a vector form is presented as follows:

\[
\text{FLAT}_x = \text{FLAT}\left(\frac{l_{xy}}{255}\right)
\]

where \(l_{xy} \in [0, 255]\) is the pixel value at location \((x, y)\) in a \(n \times m\) image \((n = 100\) and \(m = 200)\), \(x = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n\), and \(y = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, m\). In addition, \(\text{FLAT}_x\) is known as the feature signal. Then, two 1D convolutional operators in this study were used to perform
two-round enhancement by using \( X_c[i] = FLATI_{c,i}[i]*H_c[j] \) (symbol “\(*\)” is the convolution operator), which can be presented in a discrete-time convolutional form [16]:

\[
X_c[i] = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} H_c[j]FLATI_{c,i}[i - j], \quad H_c[j] = \exp\left[\frac{-1}{2}\left(\frac{j-1}{\sigma}\right)^2\right]
\]

where \( FLATI_{c,i}[i] \) is the feature signal in the \( c \)th convolutional process; \( i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n \); \( n \) is the number of convolutional processes for feature enhancement (Figure 2); \( H_c[j] \) is the discrete Gaussian window with the sliding stride = 1 for 1D signal process, which is used to deal with two-round feature signals with sampling point, \( j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, M_c - 1 \) (Index, \( M_c \) is the data length of the 1D convolution mask, \( M_1 = 200 \) and \( M_2 = 25 \) in this study). The enhanced feature signal, \( X_c[i] \), at the \( c \)th convolutional process can be obtained by the summation of all the multiplications of \( FLATI_{c,i}[i-j] \) and the weighted values of \( H_c[j] \) (Figure 2). Then, downsampling (pooling) [15,16,18,19] was used to reduce the dimension of the enhanced feature signal, which can be expressed as follows:

\[
x[i] = \frac{1}{\text{max}(X_2)}X_2[200i]
\]

and

\[
n' \approx \frac{N + M - 2}{200}
\]

where \( n' \) is the pooling process, which is obtained with the sliding stride = 200, and “\( \text{max}(X_2) \)” is the maximum value in signal stream \( X_2 \). Hence, the two-round 1D convolutional and pooling processes were used to enhance the incoming feature signal and remove the noise, as shown in the feature maps for cardiomegaly and normal condition in Figure 2, which can obtain the stable feature parameters for identifying cardiomegaly levels. Thus, in this study, flattening, two-round 1D convolutional processes, and pooling can be combined in the feature extraction layer for feature signal enhancement and noise filtering, as seen in Figure 3.

Figure 3. Architecture of the proposed multilayer 1D convolutional neural network-based classifier for cardiomegaly level screening.

2.3.2. Classification Layer with a GRA-Based Classifier

The GRA-based classifier is based on similarity and dissimilarity among various variables of processes to automatically label the possible class, and it provides information of gray relation levels for prediction and decision-making applications. Its pattern recognition scheme is used to perform the classification task without optimization algorithm
and iteration computations [16]. In the classification layer, as seen in Figure 3, a multilayer fully connected network, consisting of an input layer, GRA layer, summation layer, and output layer, was used to establish a GRA-based classifier that is fed a 2D ROI map for cardiomegaly level screening. In the GRA layer, the gray grades as Gaussian functions were used to measure the similarity between a reference feature signal (testing feature signal) and comparative feature signals (training feature signals), which were represented as \( x_0 = [x_1(0), x_2(0), x_3(0), \ldots, x_i(0), \ldots, x_{100}(0)] \) and \( x_k = [x_1(k), x_2(k), x_3(k), \ldots, x_i(k), \ldots, x_{100}(k)], k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, K \), respectively. The output of the gray grade, \( g(k) \), can be defined as follows [16,35]:

\[
g(k) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{ED(k)^2}{\sigma^2}\right)\right), \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, K
\]  

where \( ED(k) \) is the Euclidean distance (ED); \( K \) is the number of training feature signals, and \( \sigma \) is the standard deviation, which can be represented as follows [32–36]:

\[
ED(k) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{100} (\Delta d_i(k))^2}, \quad d_i(k) = x_i(0) - x_i(k), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 100, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, K,
\]

\[
\sigma^2 = (\Delta d_{\text{max}} - \Delta d_{\text{min}})^2 \quad \begin{cases} \Delta d_{\text{min}} = \min_{i\in[k]}(\Delta d_i(k)) \\ \Delta d_{\text{max}} = \max_{i\in[k]}(\Delta d_i(k)) \end{cases}, \quad (\Delta d_{\text{max}} - \Delta d_{\text{min}}) \neq 0
\]

where \( d_i(k) \) is the difference between a testing feature signal and training feature signals; \( \Delta d_{\text{max}} \) and \( \Delta d_{\text{min}} \) are the maximum and minimum deviation values, respectively; the standard deviation, \( \sigma \), can be automatically determined by \( \Delta d_{\text{max}} - \Delta d_{\text{min}} \), and \( K \) training data are created by feature signals, including (1) normal condition (Nor: \( 0.42 < CTR < 0.60 \)), (2) mild/moderate cardiomegaly (\( 0.50 < CTR < 0.60 \)), and (3) severe cardiomegaly (\( CTR > 0.60 \)) [13–15]. Then, classifier’s output can be normalized as follows:

\[
y_j = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k g(k)}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} g(k)} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k s_j, \quad s_j = \frac{g(k)}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} g(k)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, m
\]

\[
Y_j = \begin{cases} 1, & y_j \geq 0.50 \\ 0, & y_j < 0.50 \end{cases}
\]

where \( w_{kj} \) is the network weighted values between the GRA layer and summation layer, which can be set by \( K \times 3 \) (\( m = 3 \)) output training data, as denoted by binary values, 1 or 0; the output weighted values in vector form can be labeled as [Nor, Mild/Moderate Cardiomegaly, severe cardiomegaly] = [0/1, 0/1, 0/1] and encoded as (1) Nor: [1,0,0], (2) Mild/Moderate Cardiomegaly: [0,1,0], and (3) Severe Cardiomegaly: [0,0,1]. The final output can be determined by setting the threshold value at 0.5 to confirm the presence (value 1) or absence (value 0) of the disease.

2.4. Classifier’s Performance Validation

The NIH CXR images [5,6,34] were used to evaluate the performance of the proposed multilayer classifier for rapidly screening cardiomegaly levels. The classifier’s performance was validated using the Recall (%), Precision (%), Accuracy (%), and F1 Score indexes, which can be defined as follows [16,33,36]:

\[
\text{Recall} \% = \left( \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \right) \times 100\%
\]

\[
\text{Precision} \% = \left( \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \right) \times 100\%
\]
where TP and TN are the true positive and true negative, respectively; FP and FN are the false positive and false negative, respectively. In order to achieve the medical purpose, we constructed a workflow for automatic cardiomegaly level screening, including PA CXR images collection, CTR evaluation for heart sizes measurement, ROI extraction, feature signals extraction, classifier training, classifier’s performance evaluation, and keeping its medical purpose in clinical application, as seen the flowchart in Figure 4.

Figure 4. Flowchart of automatic cardiomegaly level screening for multilayer classifier design in clinical application.

3. Experimental Results and Discussion

3.1. Experimental Setup and Testing Results

This study aimed to use the PA CXR images to screen the cardiomegaly levels, including the normal condition (0.42 < CTR ≤ 0.50), mild/moderate cardiomegaly (0.50 < CTR ≤ 0.60), and severe cardiomegaly (CTR > 0.60). Each CXR image was enrolled from the NIH CXR image database [5,6,34] and was resized from 1024 × 1024 pixels to 420 × 420 pixels (96 dpi, with a bit depth of 32 bits). A total of 200 CXR images were collected and divided into 100 images to train the proposed classifier and 100 images to validate classifier’s performance, including 100 normal condition images (labeled no finding, CTR ≤ 0.50) and 100 cardiomegaly images (CTR > 0.50), as seen in Figure 5. The 200 CXR images included 100 normal condition images, 48 mild/moderate cardiomegaly images, and 52 severe cardiomegaly images. In this study, four processes were used for cardiomegaly level screening: (1) ROI extraction using the contour method [37–39], (2) feature signal transformation using flattening, (3) feature signal enhancement using two-round 1D convolutional processes, and (4) automatic feature signal classification using a GRA-based fully connected network. The contour search algorithms, such as active contour or Tanaka contour [37–40], could use the grayscale values or gray gradient of the image to search the heart contour in a specific region. This information could be used to plot colored contour lines for ROI extraction with 100 × 200 BB, as shown in the flowchart of feature signal extraction in Figure 5. Then, the ROI was fed to the proposed multilayer 1D CNN-based classifier for cardiomegaly level screening. The proposed classifier’s algorithm was implemented on a tablet PC using a high-level graphical programming language in LabVIEW and MATLAB (NI™ , Austin, TX, USA), and the GPU (NVIDIA® GeForce® RTX™ 2080 Ti, 1755MHz, 11GB GDDR6) was used to speed up the execution time of pattern recognition tasks. Table 1 shows related data of the proposed multilayer 1D and 2D CNN-based classifiers, including their layer functions, manners, and feature maps. Feasibility tests and comparisons between multilayer 1D and 2D CNN-based classifiers (as seen in Table 1) were shown as follows.
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This study aimed to use the PA CXR images to screen the cardiomegaly levels, including the normal condition ($0.42 < \text{CTR} \leq 0.50$), mild/moderate cardiomegaly ($0.50 < \text{CTR} \leq 0.60$), and severe cardiomegaly ($\text{CTR} > 0.60$). Each CXR image was enrolled from the NIH CXR image database [5,6,34] and was resized from $1024 \times 1024$ pixels to $420 \times 420$ pixels (96 dpi, with a bit depth of 32 bits). A total of 200 CXR images were collected and divided into 100 images to train the proposed classifier and 100 images to validate classifier’s performance, including 100 normal condition images (labeled no finding, $\text{CTR} \leq 0.50$) and 100 cardiomegaly images ($\text{CTR} > 0.50$), as seen in Figure 5. The 200 CXR images included 100 normal condition images, 48 mild/moderate cardiomegaly images, and 52 severe cardiomegaly images. In this study, four processes were used for cardiomegaly level screening: (1) ROI extraction using the contour method [37–39], (2) feature signal transformation using flattening, (3) feature signal enhancement using two-round 1D convolutional processes, and (4) automatic feature signal classification using a GRA-based fully connected network. The contour search algorithms, such as active contour or Tanaka contour [37–40], could use the grayscale values or gray gradient of the image to search the heart contour in a specific region. This information could be used to plot colored contour lines for ROI extraction with $100 \times 200$ BB, as shown in the flowchart of feature signal extraction in Figure 5. Then, the ROI was fed to the proposed multilayer 1D CNN-based classifier for cardiomegaly level screening. The proposed classifier’s algorithm was implemented on a tablet PC using a high-level graphical programming language in LabVIEW and MATLAB (NI™, Austin, TX, USA), and the GPU (NVIDIA ® GeForce® RTX™ 2080 Ti, 1755MHz, 11GB GDDR6) was used to speed up the execution time of pattern recognition tasks. Table 1 shows related data of the proposed multilayer 1D and 2D CNN-based classifiers, including their layer functions, manners, and feature maps.

![Figure 5. Distributions and statistics of normal condition (100 subjects), mild/moderate cardiomegaly (48 subjects), and severe cardiomegaly (52 subjects). (a) Training dataset for training classifier and (b) testing dataset for validating classifier.](image)

Table 1. Related data of the multilayer 1D and 2D CNN-based classifiers.

| Classifier                  | Layer Function                                                                 | Manner                                      | Feature Map                   |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Multilayer 1D CNN-based     | Image Preprocessing                                                           | ROI Extraction and Flattening Process       | FLATlx ($1 \times 20,000$)    |
| Classifiers                 | 1st Feature Extraction Layer                                                  | 1D Convolutional Process with Discrete Gaussian Function (Data Length of Convolution Mask, $M = 200$, Stride = 1) | $X1 (1 \times 20,000)$        |
|                            | 2nd Feature Extraction Layer                                                  | 1D Convolutional Process with Discrete Gaussian Function (Data Length of Convolution Mask, $M = 25$, Stride = 1) | $X2 (1 \times 20,000)$        |
|                            | Simplifying Feature Layer                                                     | 1D Pooling Processes (Stride = 200)         | $x (1 \times 100)$            |
|                            | Classification Layer                                                          | Multilayer Connected Network: 100 input nodes, 100 GRA nodes, 4 summation nodes, 3 output nodes | Input Feature Signal (1 × 100) |
|                            |                                                                               | GRA Algorithm [20,25]                       |                               |
| Multilayer 2D CNN-based     | Image Preprocessing                                                           | ROI Map (100 × 200)                         |                               |
| Classifiers                 | 1st Feature Extraction Layer                                                  | 2D Kernel Convolutional Process (Stride = 1) | $X1 (100 \times 200)$         |
|                            | 2nd Feature Extraction Layer                                                  | 2D Kernel Convolutional Process (Stride = 1) nad Maximum Pooling Process (Stride = 2) | $X2 (25 \times 50)$           |
|                            | ×Flattening Layer                                                             | Flattening Process                          | $x (1 \times 1250)$           |
|                            | Classification Layer                                                          | Multilayer Connected Network: 1250 input nodes, 100 pattern nodes, 4 summation nodes, 3 output nodes | Input Feature Signal (1 × 1250) |
|                            |                                                                               | Learning Algorithm: Gradient Descent Method |                               |
Randomly selecting 100 enrolled subjects from the NIH CXR image database, including 50 normal condition images, 24 mild/moderate cardiomegaly images, and 26 severe cardiomegaly images, we could extract the feature signals using two-round 1D convolutional processes and could simplify the feature parameters using 1D pooling, which could be used to establish the GRA-based multilayer classifier. In the classification layer, the structure of the GRA-based classifier could be determined by 100 comparative feature signals and 100 output feature patterns (desired labeled classes, including Nor, Mild/Moderate Cardiomegaly, and Severe Cardiomegaly). Then, we could set 100 input nodes, 100 GRA nodes, four summation nodes, and three output nodes. In the feature extraction layer, two-round 1D convolutional processes used the discrete Gaussian function (with stride = 1) to extract and enhance the feature signals (shown in vector form in Figure 6). In the pooling layer, the dimension of the feature signal was rescaled from $1 \times 20,000$ to $1 \times 100$ (with stride = 200). Then, this $1 \times 100$ pooling feature signal was fed into the inputs of the GRA-based classifier and used to perform the pattern recognition task (shown in feature signals for cardiomegaly and normal condition in Figure 7), which could be used to identify the normal condition and cardiomegaly. In the learning stage, the GRA-based classifier performed a straightforward mathematical operation (from Equation (7) to Equation (11)) to deal with the incoming feature signals without iterative computations to adjust the network parameter. The network parameter in the GRA layer was automatically determined by using Equations (8) and (9). For 100 randomly selected CXR testing images, through 10-fold cross-validation tests, the experimental results are shown in Table 2, with an average precision (%) of 97.40% (as the positive predictive value, PPV) and an average recall (%) of 96.64% for predicting mild/moderate cardiomegaly and severe cardiomegaly and accurately identifying abnormality (TP), respectively; an average accuracy of 97.00% for correctly identifying normal and abnormal; and an average F1 score of 0.9701 for evaluating the classifier’s performance to separate the normal condition from cardiomegaly, which was greater than 0.9000, indicating the great potential of the proposed classifier as a classifier model. In addition, the recall (%) as the index of PPV was greater than 80%, indicating the predictive performance of the classifier. For example, as shown in the fold #1 in Table 2, we had 48 correct classifications (TN) and two failures (FN) in identifying normal condition, 22 correct classifications (TP) and two failures (FP) in identifying mild/moderate cardiomegaly, and 26 correct classifications (TP) in identifying severe cardiomegaly. For each fold test, the overall classifier computation had an average of 0.6160 s of CPU time to identify the possible pathology level. As shown in Table 2, the manual method with CTR estimation could also agree with the possible pathology, at an average CTR of 0.4452 ± 0.0383 and 0.6556 ± 0.0725 for the identified normal condition and cardiomegaly, respectively. Hence, we could recommend the use of the multilayer 1D CNN-based classifier to automatically screen the presence of cardiomegaly level on PA CXR images in clinical applications.

For the same training dataset, we could also establish a multilayer 2D CNN-based classifier, as a fully connecting topology network, consisting of a two-round 2D convolutional layer, a pooling layer, a flattening layer, and a fully connecting classification network (related classifier data are shown in Table 1). In the convolutional layer, two-round 2D convolutional processes with two $3 \times 3$ Kernel masks (with stride = 1) in each convolutional process were also used to enhance ROI and to filter unwanted noises. In the pooling and flattening layers, the maximum pooling process (with stride = 2) was used to reduce the dimension of the feature map from the convolutional layer and then to flatten the feature map in matrix form to the feature pattern in vector form from $100 \times 200$ and $25 \times 50$ to $1 \times 1250$. In the classification layer, we could establish a multilayer classifier, consisting of 1250 input nodes, 100 pattern nodes, four summation nodes, and three output nodes (Table 1). Table 3 shows the experimental results of 10-fold cross-validation tests, with an average precision (%) of 97.80% and an average recall (%) of 98.20% for predicting the possible cardiomegaly level and correctly identifying TP, respectively; an average accuracy of 98.00% for correctly identifying normal and cardiomegaly; and an average F1 score of 0.9799 for verifying the multilayer 2D CNN-based classifier. For each fold test, overall classifier’s computations
took an average of 0.6380 s of CPU time to identify the possible pathology level. Compared with the experimental results of two classifiers, overall evaluation indexes of the multilayer 2D CNN-based classifier were slightly greater than the proposed multilayer classifier. However, the multilayer 2D CNN-based classifier would increase the computational complexity levels, such as the determination of the number of convolutional pooling layers and the size of convolutional masks and optimization (learning) of the algorithm required for training the CNN and addressing overfitting. In contrast to the multilayer 2D CNN-based classifier, the proposed multilayer classifier could be trained using less-labeled data and with real-time implementation. However, the multilayer 2D CNN-based classifier may not be feasible in real-time applications, due to its requirement of more feature parameters for training data, increased high computational complexity with iteration computations, and the need for a GPU to accelerate the training process and parallelize computations. Hence, under the same architecture, such as convolutional pooling layers and classification layer, the performance of the multilayer 1D CNN-based classifier was superior to that of the 2D CNN-based classifier, including simple linear weighted sums for the 1D convolutional process to deal with feature signals and straightforward mathematical operation with adaptive network parameter adjustment for performing pattern recognition tasks.

Figure 6. Flowchart of PA CXR image feature signal enhancement and extraction for cardiomegaly level screening.
for correctly identifying normal and abnormal; and an average F1 score of 0.9701 for evaluating the classifier's performance to separate the normal condition from cardiomegaly, which was greater than 0.9000, indicating the great potential of the proposed classifier as a classifier model. In addition, the recall (%) as the index of PPV was greater than 80%, indicating the predictive performance of the classifier. For example, as shown in the fold #1 in Table 2, we had 48 correct classifications (TN) and two failures (FN) in identifying normal condition, 22 correct classifications (TP) and two failures (FP) in identifying mild/moderate cardiomegaly, and 26 correct classifications (TP) in identifying severe cardiomegaly. For each fold test, the overall classifier computation had an average of 0.6160 s of CPU time to identify the possible pathology level. As shown in Table 2, the manual method with CTR estimation could also agree with the possible pathology, at an average CTR of 0.4452 ± 0.0383 and 0.6556 ± 0.0725 for the identified normal condition and cardiomegaly, respectively. Hence, we could recommend the use of the multilayer 1D CNN-based classifier to automatically screen the presence of cardiomegaly level on PA CXR images in clinical applications.

Figure 7. Feature signals for cardiomegaly and normal condition. (a) Feature signals for cardiomegaly (CTR = 0.60, 0.56, and 0.52), (b) Feature signals for normal condition (CTR < 0.50).

Table 2. Experimental results of 10-fold cross validation for the proposed multilayer classifier.

| Fold | Precision (%) | Recall (%) | Accuracy (%) | F1 Score | Average CTR for Normal | Average CTR for Cardiomegaly |
|------|---------------|------------|--------------|----------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1    | 96.00 (TP: 48, FP: 2) | 96.00 (TP: 48, FN: 2) | 96.00 | 0.9600 | 0.4452 ± 0.0383 | 0.6556 ± 0.0725 |
| 2    | 100.00 (TP: 50, FP: 0) | 94.34 (TP: 50, FN: 3) | 97.00 | 0.9709 | 0.4476 ± 0.0399 | 0.5900 ± 0.0579 |
| 3    | 96.00 (TP: 48, FP: 2) | 96.00 (TP: 48, FN: 2) | 96.00 | 0.9600 | 0.4416 ± 0.1212 | 0.6496 ± 0.0754 |
| 4    | 100.00 (TP: 50, FP: 0) | 96.15 (TP: 50, FN: 2) | 98.00 | 0.9804 | 0.4336 ± 0.0323 | 0.6452 ± 0.0762 |
| 5    | 100.00 (TP: 50, FP: 0) | 100.00 (TP: 50, FN: 0) | 100.00 | 1.0000 | 0.4380 ± 0.0338 | 0.6320 ± 0.0786 |
| 6    | 96.00 (TP: 48, FP: 2) | 96.00 (TP: 48, FN: 2) | 96.00 | 0.9600 | 0.4320 ± 0.0336 | 0.5780 ± 0.0532 |
| 7    | 94.00 (TP: 47, FP: 3) | 95.92 (TP: 48, FN: 2) | 95.00 | 0.9494 | 0.4348 ± 0.0344 | 0.6296 ± 0.0778 |
| 8    | 96.00 (TP: 48, FP: 2) | 96.00 (TP: 48, FN: 2) | 96.00 | 0.9600 | 0.4384 ± 0.0342 | 0.6436 ± 0.0758 |
| 9    | 100.00 (TP: 50, FP: 0) | 100.00 (TP: 50, FN: 0) | 100.00 | 1.0000 | 0.4380 ± 0.0338 | 0.6384 ± 0.0779 |
| 10   | 96.00 (TP: 48, FP: 2) | 96.00 (TP: 48, FN: 2) | 96.00 | 0.9600 | 0.4280 ± 0.0312 | 0.6448 ± 0.0747 |
| Average | 97.40 | 96.64 | 97.00 | 0.9701 | 0.4377 | 0.6307 |
Table 3. Experimental results of 10-fold cross-validation for multilayer 2D CNN-based classifier.

| Fold | Precision (%) | Recall (%) | Accuracy (%) | F1 Score | Average CTR for Normal | Average CTR for Cardiomegaly |
|------|---------------|------------|--------------|----------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1    | 98.00 (TP: 49, FP: 1) | 98.00 (TP: 49, FN: 1) | 98.00 | 0.9800 | 0.4452 ± 0.0383 | 0.6556 ± 0.0725 |
| 2    | 100.00 (TP: 50, FP: 0) | 100.00 (TP: 50, FN: 0) | 100.00 | 1.0000 | 0.4476 ± 0.0399 | 0.5900 ± 0.0579 |
| 3    | 96.00 (TP: 48, FP: 2) | 96.00 (TP: 48, FN: 2) | 96.00 | 0.9600 | 0.4416 ± 0.1212 | 0.6496 ± 0.0754 |
| 4    | 98.00 (TP: 49, FN: 1) | 98.00 (TP: 49, FN: 1) | 98.00 | 0.9800 | 0.4336 ± 0.0323 | 0.6452 ± 0.0762 |
| 5    | 96.00 (TP: 48, FP: 2) | 100.00 (TP: 48, FN: 0) | 100.00 | 0.9796 | 0.4380 ± 0.0338 | 0.6320 ± 0.0786 |
| 6    | 100.00 (TP: 50, FP: 0) | 100.00 (TP: 50, FN: 0) | 100.00 | 1.0000 | 0.4320 ± 0.0336 | 0.5780 ± 0.0532 |
| 7    | 98.00 (TP: 49, FN: 1) | 98.00 (TP: 49, FN: 1) | 98.00 | 0.9800 | 0.4348 ± 0.0344 | 0.6296 ± 0.0778 |
| 8    | 96.00 (TP: 48, FP: 2) | 96.00 (TP: 48, FN: 2) | 96.00 | 0.9600 | 0.4384 ± 0.0342 | 0.6436 ± 0.0758 |
| 9    | 100.00 (TP: 50, FP: 0) | 100.00 (TP: 50, FN: 0) | 100.00 | 1.0000 | 0.4380 ± 0.0338 | 0.6384 ± 0.0779 |
| 10   | 96.00 (TP: 48, FP: 2) | 96.00 (TP: 48, FN: 2) | 96.00 | 0.9600 | 0.4280 ± 0.0312 | 0.6448 ± 0.0747 |
| Average | 97.80 | 98.20 | 98.00 | 0.9799 | 0.4377 | 0.6307 |

3.2. Discussion

We had developed a multilayer 1D CNN-based classifier using PA CXR image classification to screen the disease present in normal condition or cardiomegaly. Through experimental tests, 10-fold cross-validations were performed (as seen in Tables 2 and 3). Promising results with an average precision of 97.80%, an average recall of 98.20%, an average accuracy of 98.00%, and an average F1 score of 0.9799 were used to evaluate the performance of the classifier. The performance of the proposed multilayer classifier was superior to that of the traditional multilayer 2D CNN-based classifier. Based on the manual inspection method, the results of CTR indexes were consistent with the possible pathology levels (as seen in Tables 2 and 3), which had good accuracy for identifying the cardiomegaly levels. However, the manual inspection method was time consuming, and its diagnostic results were dependent on clinicians’ and radiologists’ experience. For automatic cardiomegaly level screening, segmentation-based methods, such as active shape model, pixel classification model, and Harris operator [41], could be used to segment the heart and lung regions. Then, the heart region (contour) could be localized to detect the heart boundaries and measure the CTR index for evaluating the enlarged heart or myocardial hypertrophy. In reference [41], a sensitivity of 77% and specificity of 76% were obtained in identifying the cardiomegaly levels. The 2D-CTR (ratio between the boundary perimeter of the heart region and the boundary perimeter of the entire thoracic region) [42] was more closely correlated with the cardiac function than the traditional CTR (as higher indexes for determining abnormality). In addition, as seen in Table 4, the deep learning-based methods, such as 2D U-Net, U-Net + Dense Conditional Random Field (CRF), XLSor model, X-RayNet model, and U-Net with VGG-16 encoding [13,43–46], were used to train a boundary segmentor to automatically segment heart and lung regions in a PA CXR image and then calculate the CTR index. The VGG-16 Model, U-Net-based CNN Algorithm, and CNN-based ResNet model [22,47–50] were also used to design a multi-label classifier for screening cardiomegaly images at borderline, moderate and severe levels (or cardiomegaly,
and no-cardiomegaly). The abovementioned fully connected CNN-based models usually used more than 10 convolutional-pooling layers for performing the image preprocessing and postprocessing tasks to filter noise, enhance feature, extract features, and then increase the identification accuracy. Hence, these methods could provide a good image resolution and improve the performance for cardiomegaly screening; for example, in literature [13], with lung and heart segmentation and CTR estimation, higher sensitivity of 97% and negative predictive value of 96% for automatic CTR calculation; in literature [48], accuracy of greater than 93% for diagnosis and precise localization of cardiomegaly disease; in literature [49], accuracy of 92% and higher AUC of 0.9408 for detecting cardiomegaly. Hence, these multilayer CNN-based classifiers could provide a good solution for cardiomegaly level screening. However, excessive multi convolutional processes could lead to losing the position and the orientation of the desired object, and excessive multi pooling processes would lose valuable information relating to spatial relationships between features [51]. In addition, multi convolutional-pooling processes and training tasks with a large datasets must be performed with GPU hardware for complex computational processes. Therefore, we had modified the traditional 2D CNN-based multilayer classifier as a 1D CNN-based multilayer classifier to simplify the convolutional process and computational complexity level for performing pattern recognition tasks. Some advantages of the proposed classifier were shown below:

Table 4. Comparison of the cardiomegaly level screening methods.

| Literature | Image Database | Method | Medical Purpose |
|------------|----------------|--------|----------------|
| [13]       | NIH CXR Image Database (500 PA CXR Images) [5] | 2D U-Net and U-Net + Dense Conditional Random Field (CRF) | Lung and Heart Segmentation and CTR Estimation |
| [42]       | JSRT Database (247 PA CXR Images) [7,8] and Montgomery dataset (138 PA CXR Images) [10] | Standard U-Net and XL Sor Model [43] | Lung and Heart Segmentation and CTR Estimation |
| [44]       | JSRT Database (247 PA CXR Images) [7,8] | X-RayNet #1 and X-ray Net #2 | Lung, Heart, and Clavicle Bones Segmentation and CTR Estimation |
| [45]       | Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital (7517 PA CXR Images) [45] | U-Net with VGG-16 encoding | Lung and Heart Boundary Location and CTR Estimation |
| [46]       | NIH CXR Image Database (566 PA CXR Images) [5] | VGG-16 Model [47] | Cardiomegaly Classification (Cardiomegaly, No-Cardiomegaly) |
| [48]       | ChestX-ray8 Database (1010 PA CXR Images) [6] | U-Net-based CNN Algorithm | Diagnosis and Precise Localization of Cardiomegaly Disease |
| [49]       | Indiana Dataset (332 PA CXR Images) [49] | ImageNet (DCN) Binary Classification | Abnormality Detection and Localization |
| [50]       | NIH CXR Image Database (1800 PA CXR Images) [5] | CNN-based ResNet [51] and Explainable Feature Map | Cardiomegaly Diagnosis |
| Proposed Method | NIH CXR Image Database (200 PA CXR Images) [5] | Two-round 1D Convolutional Processes + GRA-based Fully Connected Network | Cardiomegaly Level Screening (Normal, Mild/Moderate, and Severe) |
• the feature signals could be enhanced by two-round 1D convolutional processes;
• the different cardiomegaly levels could be quantified by two-round 1D convolutional processes which could be used to separate normal from mild/moderate or severe levels (as seen in Figure 7);
• the dimension of feature signals could also be reduced by 1D downsampling process to address the overfitting problems;
• the CRA-based classifier performs classification task with straightforward mathematical operations without complex iteration computations and learning algorithm requirement.

The abovementioned proposed method and other deep-learning-based methods had promising results for heart and lung heart segmentation [13,42,44,45] and diagnosis and localization of cardiomegaly diseases [46,48–50]. However, there were some limitations: for example, these methods were designed to be used for their medical purpose in automatic cardiomegaly screening in CXR images and CTR estimation, which could further expand their indications to identify other pathologies in CXR images; and these studies only selected the CXR images of normal and cardiomegaly from other pathologies, such as pneumonia, pleural effusion, pulmonary fibrosis, and pericardium, which would affect the classifier’s ability to achieve our medical purpose. In addition, our proposed classifier could offer promising results in cardiomegaly levels screening, and had a limitation in identifying heart enlargement or myocardial hypertrophy. Hence, the determination of the heart size, such as four chambers (ventricles and atriums), could be evaluated by using CECHO, CCT, and CMRI imaging techniques [1–4]. For first-line examination with chest radiography, the proposed method could rapidly screen cardiomegaly levels and then help provide more attention on follow-up examination and treatment.

4. Conclusions

In clinical applications, imaging examinations, such as CECHO, CCT, and CMRI [1–4], were superior to PA CXR in accurately evaluating the heart chamber size and determining the heart chamber, which showed promising sensitivity and specificity in determining cardiac chamber sizes and high correlation between CTR indexes and heart sizes. Based on PA CXR imaging classification, the proposed multilayer classifier could directly screen the cardiomegaly levels in preliminary imaging examination. Hence, through experimental tests, we obtained a high F1 score (>95%) and PPV index (>80%) for screening the normal condition and cardiomegaly, respectively. This method could replace the manual inspection technique for specific tasks requiring expertise and experience in medical image examinations. We could continuously develop its intended medical purpose in real-world application by using the adaptive pattern recognition scheme with a new training dataset, such as clinical data, the JSRT database, or the ChestX-ray8 database.
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