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Abstract—Extensive use of low-cost handheld cameras triggers handshakes and camera gestures to the majority of captured images. Methods to eliminate undesired camera movements from Video sequences have been developed for Video Stabilization (VS). Movement of camera-related artifacts may also affect the efficiency of most 2D video stabilization processes. By improving the motion estimation or motion fluidity process, the performance of the VS procedure can be improved. This article therefore proposes a global approach for video stabilization, which combines an efficient motion smoothing algorithm with a truncating motion calculation. Smoothing up of the high-frequency movements within frames achieves the stabilization. During the first step, the global movement estimate is selecting global motion vectors with the truncated Taylor series expansion. An FIR filter is used in this article to smooth global movement vectors by estimated motion vectors to stabilize shaky images. The proposed method eliminates undesirable movements efficiently and also conserves video information. Motion smoothening eliminates the loss of frame areas after stabilization. The method's efficiency is also compared with the latest global video stability results.

Index Terms—Video stabilization, Motion Smoothening, Taylor series, FIR Filter, IIR, Gaussian Kernel Filtering.

I. INTRODUCTION

The hand-held camera usually captures video from a standing or slow moving platform with small amount of rotations about the camera's optical axis. In the handheld case the camera is handled by the camera users (operator's) hands rather than to being mounted on a stand or any other base. Thus the captured videos suffer from inter frame unwanted motions. Therefore, video stabilization [1], [2] is becoming an indispensable technique for improving the quality of videos captured from these hand held cameras. The accuracy of the motion measurement must be as high as possible to achieve good VS. A broad range of methods are developed to evaluate motion [9 and 11]. The video may be processed as an images series or stream, called frames, in which each image is processed separately. However, using the Multi Frame processing, we can build successful algorithms using current temporal redundancies.

These algorithms [3, 4, 5, and 6], can broadly classify as; Motion compensated filtering and motion compensated predictions, motion segmentation and object tracking, video surveillance, video compression, video streaming and video stabilization.

The block diagram of video stabilization is presented in the Figure 1. There are three main stages of video stabilization process viz. motion estimation, smoothening, and compensation. Motion filtering is essential for the removal of the estimated motion vectors between the frames. During the smoothening jitters are also eliminated thus video is stabilized. In current past numerous low pass filters were designed as IIR, FIR, Kalman [1], [3], [5], and particle filter [7]. A method of the motion vector smoothening using first order IIR filters [1, 4] and Gaussian kernel smoothing [6] is used for filtering the estimated camera motions, and are defined as the weighted average value of the k previous and future frame motion vectors [6].

II. CHALLENGES

Video stabilization is a challenging task to implement. The major challenge is selection of the motion model because the simple motion models are inefficient for video with large scene depth, or when the object is closer to camera. Another major challenge is the extended period of exposure time or scene capturing time.

• The mechanical and optical image stabilization consumes large power thus battery life is reduced with these stabilization systems.
• The digital video stabilization (DVS) algorithms are computationally more complex than other stabilization methods.
• The video stabilization is most challenging task when multiple moving objects are there in the scene. Since objects are moving with different speed having different DOF, thus affected by varying blur. Thus efficient motion smoothening become difficult task in hand.

The basic stages of the video stabilization methods are shown in the Figure 1. It is generally a four stage approach.

Fig. 1. Blocks of the standard Video stabilization methods.

A. Contribution of paper

In this paper an approach of nth order FIR filter design and analysis is presented to smooth the motion vectors estimated globally. A differential global motion vector estimation method based on hierarchical truncated Taylor...
series expansion [3] is used to determine motion vectors. The performance is compared with the IIR filter as in [3 and 1]. The paper is focused to remove the inter frame motions for videos with relatively shaky motions. The proposed method smoothen the motion vectors using adaptive coefficients of the FIR filter. Thus at the end it results less missing frame areas in the accumulative motion case. This in turn reduces computation coast.

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

Lot of video stabilization (VS) methods has been designed in last two decades. The VS techniques are classified to 2D or 3D stabilization. The 2D stabilization methods are relatively less complex and efficient to estimate and smooth camera motions. Global motion estimation methods are popular in recent studied but are complex. Most of 2D VS methods assume the 2D affine model due to its low complexity.

Lei Zhang et al [1] have recently designed a global VS method using quadratic energy minimization problem. They have used the global similarity invariant motion model. This work has motivated for working towards the global method of VS, Liu Wen et al [4] have presented the Feature based VS method for the ship board video. They uses adaptive Harris algorithm for motion estimation and then Fourier series based curve fitting is used for removing the unwanted camera motions. But method was feature based and efficiency may vary with the different kind of edges in video objects. Hany Farid [7] had first proposed the basic truncated Taylor series expansion method for global motion estimation by minimizing the inter frame quadratic error equation. They proposed temporal derivatives using 1D separable kernel filters for motion estimation.

Yang et.al [10] in 2006 proposed the adaptive image stabilization algorithm using multi-resolution sub-sampled based block motion estimation to determine global motion vectors. They designed adaptive IIR filter for smoothening the inter frame jitters. An author also uses a 2D compensation method to eliminate motion orthogonal to the panning direction. A. J. Crawford et al [11] have initially proposed a simplest method to predict smooth camera path using a low-pass IIR filter. A. Litvin et al [12] have proposed a probabilistic based motion smoothening method for video stabilization using the adaptive Kalman filter application. Kalman filter was used for estimating the smooth camera trajectory. Richard et al [14] have designed an FIR filter for the image stabilization but have demonstrated large missing image areas. The performance is compared with the Kalman filter for stabilization. Feng Liu et al [15] propose a 3D content preserving features based global motion estimation method and have also made input videos global with content preserving videos 2 and 4. In this paper an optimum FIR filter is proposed to smooth the estimated global motion vectors. The coefficient and order of filter are varied for smoothening.

IV. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

Block diagram of proposed VS algorithm is presented in Figure 2. In proposed method global motion is estimated based on the hierarchical motion estimation. Then for motion smoothening FIR filter is proposed to use. The proposed motion smoothening method significantly removes the unwanted inter frame motions.

A. Truncated Global Motion Estimation

In this paper a truncated Taylor series based global motion estimation is proposed to determine motion between inter frames of 2D videos. The 2D motion is modeled using the affine motion model for inter frames defined as \( f(x,y,t) \) and \( f(x,y,t-1) \). Global motion vectors can be estimated using truncated Taylor series expansion as in [7]. The motion between frames are formulated as \( f(x,y,t) = f(m_1x + m_2y + m_3, m_4x + m_5y + m_6, t - 1) \) (1)

Where \( A = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & m_2 \\ m_4 & m_5 \end{pmatrix} \) and \( T = \begin{pmatrix} m_3 \\ m_6 \end{pmatrix} \) (2)

In order to estimate the affine parameters, we define the following quadratic error function to be minimized.

\[
E(m) = \sum_{x,y} [f(x,y,t) - f(m_1x + m_2y + m_3, m_4x + m_5y + m_6, t - 1)]^2 \tag{3}
\]

This can be approximated as first order truncated Taylor expansion (Ref [3, 7] for detail) as

\[
f(m) = \sum_{x,y} [f(x,y,t) - f(x,y,t-1)] \tag{4}
\]

This equation is solved for motion vector estimation and the estimated global vectors in the x and y direction are given

\[
GMV(x) = [GMV_x(x)GMV_y(x)] \tag{5}
\]

B. FIR Motion Smoothing

Where the accumulated smoothed motion vectors \( ASMV(t) \) are defined as:

\[
ASMV(t) = [ASMV_x(t) ASMV_y(t)] \tag{6}
\]

In order to implement a FIR filter the filter’s impulse response is convolved with the original input signal. These filters are used by many researchers [9, and 14] in past to smooth motion in image/video sequences. Filter utilizes the information available in finite number of recent and future global motion vectors. FIR filters smoothen the data vector \( x \) with the filter described by numerator and denominator coefficient vectors \( a \) and \( b \) respectively. Filter depends on the current and past four frames motion vectors. Frames are filtered with filter coefficients.
The number of past frames can be modified as needed and the filter coefficients may be adjusted accordingly. Filtering is performed as:

\[ S_{MY_{2D}}(n, blk) = \sum_{k=0}^{K} b_k \cdot S_{MY_{1D}}(n-k, blk) \]  

An FIR filter requires more computation time and memory than IIR filter but is efficient. In this paper for the performance comparison FIR filter with numerator coefficient vectors is set to 1 to normalize the filter coefficients, and denominator coefficient is set to 0.2 and 2 with standard filter order of 31.

V. COMPENSATION OF MOTION

In this paper we choose the motion compensation from Gaussian kernel filtering us. Using Gaussian filtering eliminates areas that are incomplete. The offset vectors are calculated from frame to frame,

\[ C_t = \sum_{i \in N_t} T^j_i \ast G(k) \]  

Where, \( G(k) \) is kernel filter as defined by [3], and \( N_t \) is the neighborhood given as;

\[ N_t = m : k - n \leq m \leq k + n \]  

The motion compensated frames \( f^C_t \) can be warped from the original frame \( f_t \) by

\[ f^C_t(x, y, t) = C_t \ast f_t(x, y, t) \]  

Thus using the \( C_t \) coefficients compensated frames are obtained.

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The 2.4 GHz and MATLAB Core2 Duo processor is used to develop the proposed global system of video stabilization. A motion smoothing method for extracting movement vectors by filtering global motion vectors is being proposed. The proposed method is contrasted to current methods, as seen in [1] and [3]. The method is used in this regard. As seen in the Feng Lu[15], open input videos are being checked for the efficiency of the proposed video stabilization algorithm. The two video sequences input are 3D video content 2 and 3D video 4 content, as shown in Figure 3.

![Fig 3. Input videos a) Content preserving video 2, b) Content preserving video 4 [15]](image)

Videos are with slow and largest shaky motion of object and camera moving with speed around <10 m/s

Motion Smoothening

The proposed method measures, using a differential motion assessment, the accumulated world movement vectors in X and Y directions. Then FIR coefficients are chosen in accordance with eq 8) to smooth the approximate vectors of motion X and Y. Filter smoothes inter frame movements and thus stabilizes the video effectively. The FIR philter proposed is equipped with 31st order adaptive FIR philtres. The vector of the filtered numbering coefficient =0.2 is normalized to a=1 as in Figure4 with the Denominator Coefficient. Compared with the traditional Deshaker method [16], the results of the proposed approach are as shown in Figure 4.
Fig. 4 Results of the stabilized results with proposed FIR filter method (for Content 3D video 2)

Fig. 5. Comparison of smoothen X and Y translation with various motion smoothening method for Content 3D video 4

a) Input video frames (25th, 50th, 75th and 100th) Feng Liu [15]
b) Stabilized result with temporal mean filtering method
c) Stabilized with Deshaker method [16]
d) Stabilized result with proposed IIR smoothening method [3]
e) Stabilization with FIR filter method proposed

Fig 6. Comparison of results of various stabilize motion smoothening frames for Content 3D video 4 Feng Liu [15]
The results comparison of smoothen vectors as X and Y translation with different motion smoothening method for Content 3D video 4 video is presented in the Figure 5 below. In this video a person is walking front towards the building. The respective 25th frames with mean, IIR, Desheker and FIR filter are shown in the Figure 6 for stabilizing Content 3D video 4 as referred to Feng Lu [15].

In the Figure 6 comparing the frames with respect to the white line in the center of frame it can be observed the proposed FIR filtered frames are better stabilized. As it gives complete frame areas and compared to Desheker where frame is cropped on top. The brightness of the FIR filter is much better then comparative to IIR filtered method.

VII CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper truncated inter frame motion estimation along with FIR filter to smoothen the motion is proposed. The differential global motion vectors are accumulated and filtered for stabilizing the video. In order to compensate the missing frame areas the Gaussian compensation is used. The performance of the proposed method is compared with the state of art filters with respect to proposed global motion estimation method. Experiments have been conducted on a wide variety of video sequences. Performance is compared based on smote=then X and Y translation vectors using IIR, Desheker and FIR filter and temporal mean filters.

The proposed method of motion smoothening with the FIR filter performs better for various types of motion and jitters. It can be seen that visual quality of frames are also relatively better in FIR filtered results. Although compared to IIR filter the FIR filter is slightly computationally costly.
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