Biochemical and structural basis for YTH domain of human YTHDC1 binding to methylated adenine in DNA
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ABSTRACT
The recently characterized mammalian writer (methyltransferase) and eraser (demethylase) of the DNA N6-methyladenine (N6mA) methyl mark act on single-stranded (ss) and transiently-unpaired DNA. As YTH domain-containing proteins bind N6mA-containing RNA in mammalian cells, we investigated whether mammalian YTH domains are also methyl mark readers of N6mA DNA. Here, we show that the YTH domain of YTHDC1 (known to localize in the nucleus) binds ssDNA containing N6mA, with a 10 nM dissociation constant. This binding is stronger by a factor of 5 than in an RNA context, tested under the same conditions. However, the YTH domains of YTHDF2 and YTHDF1 (predominantly cytoplasmic) exhibited the opposite effect with binding to RNA having a 10× stronger binding than to the corresponding DNA. We determined two structures of the YTH domain of YTHDC1 in complex with N6mA-containing ssDNA, which illustrated that YTHDC1 binds the methylated adenine in a single-stranded region flanked by duplexed DNA. We discuss the hypothesis that the writer-reader-eraser of N6mA-containing ssDNA is associated with maintaining genome stability. Structural comparison of YTH and SRA domains (the latter a DNA methyltransferase) revealed them to be diverse members of a larger family of DNA/RNA modification readers, apparently having originated from bacterial modification-dependent restriction enzymes.

INTRODUCTION
DNA methylation in bacteria and archaea is common, occurring at ring carbon C5 of the cytosine (yielding 5-methylcytosine, 5mC), or the exocyclic amino groups of either cytosine at N4 (yielding N4-methylcytosine, N4mC) or adenine at N6 (yielding N6-methyladenine, N6mA) (1,2). The great majority (if not all) of bacterial and archaeal DNA methyltransferases (MTases) are associated with a set of conserved sequence motifs important for three essential functions: binding methyl donor S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM), binding the DNA substrate, and catalyzing the methyl transfer between the donor and substrate (3–5). It was these predictive motifs that aided in the discovery of mammalian DNA cytosine-C5-specific Dnmt1 (6) and Dnmt3 (7).

The existence of 5mC was first reported in DNA from ox spleen ~70 years ago (8), whereas detection of N6mA in mammalian DNA was reported only recently, in parallel with development and application of ultrasensitive mass spectrometry. There are three debatable issues regarding N6mA in mammalian DNA: (i) whether low-level N6mA can be accurately detected, (ii) how N6mA is generated and (iii) what the potential functions of N6mA might be.

First, low levels of N6mA have been reported in DNA from mouse (9,10), human (11,12), and human malignant brain tumor glioblastoma (13). Other studies have failed to detect N6mA in several mouse tissues and mitochondrial DNA from human placenta (14), DNA isolated from mouse embryonic stem cells or brain and liver tissue (15) or cultured human cells (16). Nevertheless, more recent reports present evidence for low levels of N6mA in DNA from cultured human and mouse cells; however, that modified adenosine is incorporated (at least in part) by DNA polymerase using deoxy-N6mA converted from RNA-derived ribo-N6mA, via the nucleotide salvage pathway (17,18).

Second, there is also uncertainty regarding the mammalian enzyme(s) (suggested to include HemK2/N6AMA1, MettL3-MettL14 complex and MettL4) responsible for generating N6mA in mammalian DNA (10,13,19–21). Human HemK2, which was thought to be a DNA N6mA MTase (11), is actually a protein...
MTase active on glutamine and lysine (19,20,22,23). Guided by the sequential order of conserved sequence motifs unique to Class β amino (N6mA and N4mC) MTases (4,24,25), we identified human MettL3–MettL14 as a DNA adenine MTase active on single-strand and unpaired DNA in vitro (26). Previous to that, MettL3–MettL14 had been characterized extensively as generating N6mA in RNA ((27,28) and references therein). Murine MettL4 is responsible for N6mA deposition in genomic elements associated with transcriptional silencing (10). Intriguingly, recombinant human MettL4 expressed in human embryonic kidney (HEK293T) cells has in vitro enzymatic activity on mitochondrial DNA (21), whereas recombinant human MettL4 purified from Escherichia coli has RNA MTase activity (29).

Third, having N6mA in DNA might be a double-edged sword. One potential advantage of having N6mA in DNA might help to reduce the mutagenic potential of cellular 8-oxo-2′-deoxyguanosine (8-oxo-dGTP) (30). Opposite to the template adenosine, 8-oxo-dGTP can be misincorporated into DNA using Hoogsteen pairing that involves the exocyclic amino group of adenosine. Methylation of that adenosine amino group makes N6mA:8-oxo-dG significantly less stable than the A:8-oxo-dG Hoogsteen pair in the DNA duplex region, resulting in less efficient misincorporation of 8-oxo-dGTP opposite N6mA by human DNA polymerase β (30). This observation might be related to the association between MettL3–MettL14 recruitment to DNA damaged sites, and its methyltransfer activity being required for the repair (31). On the other hand, one potential disadvantage of having N6mA (or N4mC) in DNA, compared to 5mC, is that the methylated amino group of N6mA (or N4mC) is involved in normal hydrogen-bonding for Watson-Crick base pairing, while the cytosine C5 position is not. Indeed, incorporation of N6mA into a DNA template causes DNA polymerase Pol II pausing, associated with the lower stability and slower kinetics of base pairing, and the stability of N6mA:U base pair is weakened (32). Similar site-specific pausing at N6mA, by a modified phage DNA polymerase inserting T across from a template N6mA, underlies the ability of PacBio SMRT sequencers to detect methylated adenosines (33), and there is additional evidence for weaker N6mA:T than A:T base pairs (34–36).

Human and mouse homologs (Alkbh1 and Alkbh4) of the E. coli repair enzyme AlkB can function as sequence-independent DNA N6mA demethylases (9,10,13), and at least the mouse Alkbh1 prefers locally-unpaired DNA substrates (37). It is intriguing that both the writer (MTase) and eraser (demethylase) of the N6mA methyl mark act on single-stranded and transiently unpaired DNA. We wondered whether there are N6mA DNA-binding domains in mammals. For this reason, we investigated the YTH domain of YTHDC1, as YTH domain-containing proteins bind N6mA-containing RNA in mammalian cells (reviewed in (38–40) and references therein). There are five YTH domain-containing proteins in humans (DC1, DC2, DF1, DF2 and DF3), and among them YTHDC1 is known to localize in the nucleus (41), where it plays important roles in gene regulation via exon selection during pre-mRNA splicing (42). In contrast, YTHDF1 is predominantly cytoplasmic and YTHDF2 migrates to mitotic chromatin in human induced pluripotent stem cells (43). Aside from their conserved YTH domains, DC1 and DC2 are unrelated to each other and unrelated to DF1–3, based on amino acid sequence, size, and overall domain organization. The three paralogs DF1–3, on the other hand, share high amino acid identity over their entire length (38). Notably, in addition to the conserved YTH domain located in the C-terminal region of DF1–3 and DC2 and an internal region of DC1, DF1–3 and DC1 contain low-complexity sequences (P/Q/N-rich) throughout and lack recognizable modular protein domains.

Here we show that the YTH domain of YTHDC1 binds to single-stranded (ss) DNA containing N6mA, with a 10 nM dissociation constant. Under the same conditions, this YTH binding affinity for N6mA in a DNA context is stronger by a factor of 5 than such binding in an RNA context. However, the YTH domains of YTHDF1 and YTHDF2 exhibited the opposite effect with ~1.5–2× stronger binding to N6mA in ssRNA than in the corresponding DNA. We determined two structures of the YTH domain of YTHDC1 binding the methylated adenosine in ssDNA, and compared these structures to that of a previously characterized RNA-bound YTH domain of YTHDC1. Finally, we discuss the relationship between mammalian DNA base modification reader domains and bacterial modification-dependent restriction enzymes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Protein expression and purification

The protein fragments used are YTHDC1 residues 345–509 of UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot Q96MU7, YTHDF1 residues 361–559 of Q9BYJ9 and for YTHDF2 residues 391–579 of Q9Y5A9. YTHDC1 (pXC2129) and YTHDF1 (pXC2130) constructs were purchased from Addgene (plasmid #64652 and #64653) containing N-terminal 6xHis-tag. The YTH domain of human YTHDF2 as a GST-tagged fusion in a pGEX-4T1 vector was synthesized by Biomatik. Each plasmid was transformed into BL21-CodonPlus cells for expression.

Each protein was purified from 6 L of LB inoculated with overnight starter culture and grown to A600 of ~1 at 37°C; after which the samples were cooled to 16°C and induced by 1 mM isopropyl thiogalactopyranoside for 12 h. Cells were harvested at 4°C and then suspended into buffer A (20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 400 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol) to a final volume of 10 ml before undergoing sonication at 75% amplitude (3 s on and 9 s off for 2 min). The sonicated samples were centrifuged at 25 000 rpm at 4°C, for 2 h.

For His-tagged YTH domains of YTHDC1 and YTHDF1, the supernatant was passed through a 3.4 μm filter and diluted to a volume of 100 ml to a final concentration of 15 mM imidazole using buffer B (300 mM imidazole, 20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 400 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol). The filtered supernatant was loaded onto a 5 ml GE HiTrap column by a Bio-Bad NGC™ chromatography system using a flowrate of 2 ml/min and then washed for 15× column volumes with 15 mM imidazole. Each protein eluted over a broad peak starting at 60 mM imidazole. The estimated protein yields were ~65 mg per 6 L culture. The pooled fractions (~28.5 ml at 2.3 mg/ml) to which 2 mg
of TEV protease was added to cleave 6xHis tag for 15 h at 4°C while undergoing two rounds of dialysis in 3.5 kDa Snakeskin dialysis tubing (Thermo Scientific). The cleaved products (with an additional N-terminal glycine) were passed through a subtractive HisTrap column. YTHDC1 interacted with the column and was eluted with a low concentration of imidazole, whereas YTHDF1 was collected in the flow through. The proteins were concentrated to 2 ml and loaded onto a Superdex S75 10/300 GL equilibrated with buffer C (20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl) with 1 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP). The samples eluted as a symmetrical peak at an elution volume consistent with a monomer.

For GST-tagged YTH domain of YTHDF2, the clarified supernatant after cell lysis was passed through a gravity fed GST column with a volume of 10 ml at room temperature, washed with 170 ml of buffer A and the GST tag was cleaved on column with thrombin protease at room temperature for 2 h before elution. The cleaved product has no additional residue at the N-terminus but has an additional five residues at the C-terminus (RPHRD). The samples were concentrated and loaded onto a S75 size exclusion column as described above. Supplementary Figure S1D shows examples of purified YTH domains used in the study.

**Binding assays of protein-nucleic acids interaction**

DNA and RNA oligonucleotides containing N6mA or FAM tagged oligos were synthesized by B. Baker of New England Biolabs, Inc., and unmodified oligos were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies. Nucleic acid samples were suspended in buffer C (without TCEP) to chase from Integrated DNA Technologies. Nucleic acid sequences were designed with a thermocycler to 2 mM (ds oligo).

EMSAs were performed using the 11 nt oligo 5′ CGCGGACTCTG-3′ (A = N6mA) in a complex with YTH domain of YTHDC1 (10 mg/ml in 1:2 molar ratio of protein to DNA) in 0.1 M Bis–Tris pH 6.0, 0.2 M ammonium sulfate and 29% (w/v) polyethylene glycol (PEG) 3350. The crystals appeared after ∼15 days incubation at 19°C. The crystal shape was a consistent flat parallelogram, and suffered from minor crystal layering (Supplementary Figure S1A).

**Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA)** were performed with the same set of samples used in the MST assays. An aliquot of 5 µl of complex was loaded into 6% native PAGE gels, and run at 100 V for 30 min at 4°C in 0.5 x TBE buffer. The images were collected using a BioRad ChemiDoc imager in fluorescence mode.

**Crystallography**

The Art Robbins Gryphon Crystallization Robot was used to set up 0.4-µl sitting drops (0.2 µl of complex plus 0.2 µl of well solution) at ∼19°C of the protein–DNA complexes. A crystallization hit was observed using the 11 nt oligo 5′ CGCGGACTCTG-3′ (A = N6mA) in a complex with YTH domain of YTHDC1 (10 mg/ml in 1:2 molar ratio of protein to DNA) in 0.1 M Bis–Tris pH 6.0, 0.2 M ammonium sulfate and 29% (w/v) polyethylene glycol (PEG) 3350. The crystals appeared after ∼15 days incubation at 19°C. The crystal shape was a consistent flat parallelogram, and suffered from minor crystal layering (Supplementary Figure S1A).

At molar ratios of 2:1 YTH to DNA, protein only crystals appeared within 24 h with 0.1 M ammonium sulfate, 0.1–0.15 M Bis–Tris pH 5.5 and 23–29% PEG 3350. The protein only crystals were very birefringent (Supplementary Figure S1B). Because the YTH domain contains a hydrophobic cage consisting of two tryptophan residues interacting with methylated adenine (see Results), the crystals of the protein–DNA complex had no UV fluorescence from tryptophan (perhaps due to quenching) and little to no birefringence, while the protein only crystals had strong Trp UV fluorescence.

The second crystallization attempt used a set of three oligos from 9–10 nt with varied 3′ sequence. A 10 nt 5′ CGCGGACTTC-3′ (A = N6mA) incubated with YTH crystallized within 12 h at 19°C as heavily layered stacks with time became circular discs. This complex (in 1:2 ratio of protein to DNA) was readily crystallizable under hundreds of conditions. The problem of heterogeneous nucleation was resolved by generating a screen using the optimal crystallization condition (0.1 M Bis–Tris pH 7.0, 0.24 M ammonium sulfate, 23% PEG 3350) with increasing concentration of glycerol as an additive. Individual crystals emerged starting at ∼10% glycerol and with an eventual single crystal per crystallization drop at 20% (Supplementary Figure S1C).

Single crystals were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen by equilibrating in a cryoprotectant buffer containing the crystallization solution and 25% (v/v) ethylene glycol. X-ray diffraction data were collected at the SER-CAT beamline 22ID of the Advanced Photon Source at Argonne National Laboratory. Crystallographic datasets were processed with HKL2000 (44). Molecular replacement was performed with the PHENIX Phaser module (45) by using the known
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structure of the human YTHDC1 YTH domain (PDB ID 4R3H) as a search model. Structure refinement was performed with PHENIX Refine (46) with 5% randomly chosen reflections for the validation by the \( R_{free} \) value. COOT (47) was used for the manual building of the structure model and corrections between refinement rounds. DNA models were built into difference density during the first several rounds of refinement for the two complex structures. Structure quality was analyzed during PHENIX refinements and finally validated by the PDB validation server. Molecular graphics were generated by using PyMol (Schrödinger, LLC).

RESULTS

YTH binding to single-strand DNA containing N6mA

We used three independent methods, all of which revealed that the binding of human YTHDC1’s YTH domain, to a 11-nt single-strand (ss) oligodeoxynucleotide (DNA oligo) containing a single N6mA in the context of GGACT, had higher affinity than its binding to the corresponding ssRNA of the same length and sequence (containing GGACU). The DNA GGACT and RNA GGACU were chosen as they are the equivalent DNA or RNA recognition sequence of MettL3-MettL14 (26,48). We first used ITC to quantitatively measure the dissociation constants (\( K_D \)). The YTH domain bound the methylated DNA oligo with a \( K_D \) of 10 nM (Figure 1A). Under the same conditions, the modified RNA oligo exhibited 5-fold reduced binding affinity (Figure 1B). Because this observation was somewhat unexpected, we repeated the binding experiments with another biophysical technique, MST, to measure the strength of interaction between the YTH and oligos. Very similar to the ITC measurements, we observed a 9 nM binding affinity for the modified DNA oligo, with 5.5\( \times \) reduced affinity for the corresponding RNA oligo (Figure 1C, D). The same samples used for the MST assays were then used for an electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA), and again confirmed the enhanced affinity for the methylated DNA oligo relative to the RNA control (Figure 1E, F). Under the same conditions, we observed no measurable binding to unmodified ssDNA or ssRNA oligos, or to double-stranded (ds)DNA or RNA/DNA hybrid oligos containing N6mA on one strand (Supplementary Figure S2A).

For comparison, we also purified the corresponding YTH domains from YTHDF1 and YTHDF2 and measured their binding affinities to the same sets of DNA or RNA oligos by ITC (Figure 1G and Supplementary Figure S2B-D). These three proteins have distinct but overlapping binding specificities on RNA (49). The binding affinities for the methylated ssRNA by the three YTH domains were similar, in the range of 50–80 nM (Figure 1G). However, the three YTH domains bound to the DNA molecule very differently. While YTHDC1 bound DNA 5\( \times \) more strongly than to RNA, YTHDF1 and YTHDF2 exhibited the opposite effect by binding DNA 1.4–2.2\( \times \) weaker than to RNA. Significantly, YTH domain of YTHDC1 bound N6mA-containing DNA more strongly by a factor of 11 or 18, respectively, than did the corresponding YTH domains of YTHDF1 and YTHDF2.

Overall structure of YTHDC1 YTH domain bound to methylated DNA

We next sought to understand how the YTH domain binds methylated DNA, and why it recognizes N6mA preferentially in the context of DNA over RNA. Accordingly, we co-crystallized the YTH domain of YTHDC1, with the same 11-nt ssDNA oligo (\( 5'\text{-CGCGGACTCTG-3'} \)) containing a centrally located N6mA that we had used for the binding studies. The complex crystallized in space group \( \text{P}2_1 \), resulting in a structure determined to resolution of 1.59 Å (Supplementary Table S1). In the crystallographic asymmetric unit, there are two complexes (A and B) with each YTH domain binding a piece of ssDNA (Figure 2A). Interestingly, with the 11-nt utilized for crystallization, the 5\( ' \) end CGCG sequence base pairs with the corresponding neighboring DNA molecule, forming a 4-base pair duplex (Figure 2B). However, three nucleotides at the 3\( ' \) end have poor electron density for the bases in complex A or are completely disordered (no visible density) in complex B due to the lack of direct crystallographic packing interactions.

To improve the quality of electron density for the 3\( ' \) end, we designed a series of ssDNA oligos varying in length and/or sequence, and screened crystallizations for different space groups with different morphology (Supplementary Figure S1C). A complex formed with a 10-nt ssDNA oligo (\( 5'\text{-CGCGGACTTC-3'} \)) crystallized in space group \( \text{P}2_1 \), where we observed ordered electron density for all 10 nucleotides (Figure 2C). There are two copies of each complex (A and B), resulting in a total of four complexes within the \( \text{P}2_1 \) asymmetric unit. The ssDNA bound by molecule A stacks head-to-tail with neighboring molecules on both ends, forming a pseudo-continuous ssDNA molecule with a joint sequence of \( 5'\text{-C10-C1G2C3G4-3'} \) (Figure 2D). The DNA bound by molecule B provides the five pairing nucleotides (\( 5'\text{-CGCGG-3'} \)) for the joint sequence (Figure 2E), thus generating a continuous lattice in the crystal with a 5-base pair duplex flanking the single-strand region where YTH binds the methylated adenine (Figure 2F).

Whereas the phosphate group at the joint of the two DNA molecules, connecting \( C_{10} \) and \( C_1 \), is of course missing, the five G:C base pairs form three classic Watson–Crick hydrogen bonds, respectively, that are 2.8–2.9 Å apart (Figure 2G).

Two structural conformations of YTH-bound DNA

The protein components of the four complexes (A and B in both space groups) are highly similar, with root-mean-square deviations of 0.1–0.5 Å across 135 pairs of Ca atoms (Figure 3A). The largest deviation involves complex B in space group \( \text{C}2 \), where the 3\( ' \) nucleotides are disordered and not modeled due to lack of electron density (colored grey in Figure 3B). Among the four bound DNA molecules, only three nucleotides adopt the same conformations: N6mA at position 6, and the two 3\( ' \) nucleotides at positions 7 and 8 (C7 and T8) (Figure 3A). The remaining nucleotides exhibit varied conformations, depending on whether they are involved in base pairing. The two complexes can be distinguished by the guanosine at position 5 (G5) and whether it is involved in base pairing (conformation B) or not (conformation A) (Figure 3B, C).
Figure 1. YTH binding methylated adenine in DNA. (A, B) ITC measurements of YTHDC1 binding methylated ssDNA (panel A) or methylated ssRNA (panel B). (C, D) MST measurements and (E, F) electrophoretic mobility shift assays of YTHDC1 binding to oligos containing a single N6mA in DNA or RNA. (G) Summary of $K_D$ values of three YTH domains (see Supplementary Figure S2). Data represent the mean ± SD of $N$ independent determinations ($N = 2$ for ITC and $N = 3$ for MST).

In conformation B, guanosine G5 pairs with cytidine C10 of the neighboring DNA molecule (Figure 3D). Three protein residues (Met438, Gly433 and Met434) make van der Waals contact with N2 and N3 atoms of the guanosine G5 base and its ribose ring (Figure 3D). In conformation A, the guanosine G5 base makes intra-molecular interactions with the phosphate group bridging between nucleotides G2 and C3, the N2 atom of the guanosine G5 makes a direct hydrogen bond with one of the phosphate oxygen atoms, and there are two water-mediated interactions with the same phosphate group (Figure 3E). In addition, the guanosine ring of G5 stacks with two 5-membered rings—the ribose of the preceding guanosine G4 and the proline ring of Pro381 (Figure 3F). While the interactions with the guanosine G5 seen in the two conformations do not provide base specific recognition, whether or not G5 is stacked with the 5′ bases, these interactions with YTH residues presumably enhance the binding affinity.

Interactions with N6mA-containing DNA

The methylated adenosine N6mA is inserted into a hydrophobic pocket (Figure 4A), where the base ring is stacked between Trp377 on one side and Leu439 and Met434 on the other side (Figure 4B). At the bottom of the pocket lies the indole ring of Trp428, juxtaposed to the methyl group of N6mA (Figure 4B). The adenosine ring is involved in four hydrogen bonds with, respectively, the sidechain of Asn367 (via Ade $N_1$), the main-chain amide nitrogen atoms of Asn363 (via Ade $N_3$), the main-chain carboxyl oxygen atom of Ser378 (via Ade N6 amino group), and a water molecule (via Ade N7) (Figure 4C). The water molecule is trapped at the protein-DNA interface, tetrahedrally coordinated with the Ade N7, the indole nitrogen of Trp377, the hydroxyl oxygen of Thr379, and one of the carboxylate oxygen atoms of Asp476 (Figure 4D). This pattern of interactions fully saturates the hydrogen-bonding capacity of polar atoms on the adenosine ring and, together with van der Waals contacts with the methyl group, defines the specificity for the methylated adenosine in the binding pocket.

The next nucleotide, cytidine C7, is stacked in-between the side-chain of Arg475 and the following thymidine T8 of the same strand, both of which are solvent exposed (Figure 4E). In addition, cytidine C7 makes a direct but weak hydrogen bond (3.2 Å) with the main-chain amide nitrogen atom of Gly474, and a water-mediated interaction with the side chain of Asn466 (Figure 4F). Like guanosine G5, the interactions with cytidine C7 are not base-specific recognition. Interestingly, the guanidine group of Arg475 occupies the position where the N6mA would normally be located if it stacks with neighboring nucleotides (Figure 4G).

We note that numerous genome-wide studies did not produce an agreeable consensus DNA sequence containing N6mA from mammalian cells: sequences reported include TTTTTAGAAGC or TAC[A/G]GA in mouse ES cells (9,10), [G/C]AGG[C/T] in a human genome (especially in the mitochondria) (11), TGGATGGA in human glioblastoma (13), and CT[T/C/A]ATC in human mitochondria (21). From the face value of these studies, it seems that the sequences immediately before and after N6mA are both variable. In the current structures with bound ssDNA, the nucleotides (G5 and C7) before and after N6mA are not engaged in base specific interactions with YTH. We modeled the other three nucleotides (G/A/T) at position 7 and calculated binding interfaces between YTH and modeled nucleotides (Supplementary Figure S3). The differences in the binding interface are negligible.

YTH–DNA phosphate interactions

There are direct protein–DNA phosphate contacts concentrated on the three phosphates 3′ to the N6mA (labeled as P1, P2 and P3 in Figure 4H). Three positively-charged...
residues (Arg475, Lys361 and Lys472) lie on the highly
basic surface (Figure 4A), and interact directly with the
three respective negatively-charged phosphate groups (Fig-
ure 4I-K). In addition, the main chain amide nitrogen atoms
of Asp476 and Glu405 form hydrogen bonds with, respec-
tively, one of the non-bridging oxygen atoms of the P1
and P2 phosphate groups (Figure 4I, J). In addition to
these direct protein–DNA interactions, a complex network
of ordered water molecules interconnects bases, phosphate
groups, and amino acids. In the case of P3, protein atoms
might function as one of the connecting groups in a water
network surrounding the charged phosphate group (Figure
4L).

**Evolutionarily-conserved interaction with N6mA**

Interestingly, the residues involved in the contacts to N6mA
are more highly conserved than the other DNA contacts
(Supplementary Table S2). While there were no obvious or-
thologs for YTHDC1, YTHDF1 or YTHDF2 in Agnatha
(jawless fish), the other six vertebrate classes had clear or-
thologs for all three proteins, with some positions conserved
across both classes and YTH proteins. Of the DNA con-
tacts in YTHDC1 YTH domain, 4/8 (50%) that contact
N6mA involve positions highly conserved across the three
proteins in six classes (Ser362, Asn363, Asn367, Trp377,
Ser378, Thr379, Trp428 and Asp476; invariant residues in
bold), while this is true for just 3/12 (25%) that make other
DNA contacts (Lys361, Leu380, Pro381, Glu405, Gly433,
Met434, Met438, Leu439, Asn466, Lys472, Gly474 and
Arg475). The invariant residues concentrated in construc-
tion of the binding site provide direct binding to the methyl
base and binding of the cytidine immediately 3’ to N6mA (Lys361, Asn466 and Arg475; Figure 4E–H). This suggests that recognition of the methyl-
lated base and binding of the 3’ cytosine are particularly
well-conserved among YTH proteins, and are consistent
with the extensive structural recognition and binding of the
two nucleotides (N6mA6-C7) described earlier in this sec-
tion.
Comparison between DNA and RNA bound YTH domains

The YTH domain of YTHDC1 had been structurally characterized in complex with a 5-nt RNA (5′-GGACU-3′) where the underlined A is methylated at the N6 atom (PDB 4R3I (50)). [YTHDC1 also binds RNA containing A methylated at the N1 atom (51) but, as this does not occur normally in DNA, we have not studied it here.] Superimposition of RNA- and DNA-bound YTH domains resulted in highly similar structures, having a RMSD of just 0.25 Å across 130 pairs of Cα atoms (Figure 5A). For the RNA component, the 3′ ACU is superimposable with the corresponding DNA ACT, whereas the 5′ guanosine (G4) points in completely different directions in the two structures (Figure 5B). The internal (Ade-adjacent) guanosine, corresponding to G5 of the DNA used in our study, has a similar backbone conformation in both structures, but the guanosine ring is rotated ∼180° along the glycosidic bond between the base and sugar group (Figure 5C). The conformational changes in RNA between these two 5′ guanosine nucleotides (G4 and G5) resulted in the loss of protein interactions described above for the DNA conformations (Figure 3), presumably partly responsible for reduced affinity of the RNA binding.

We next examined effects of the presence (ribose) or absence (deoxyribose) of the 2′-hydroxyl group (OH) in the backbone sugar of the two equivalent sequences. The 2′-OH of guanosine G4 in RNA points toward the solvent and does not engage in any interactions. The addition of 2′-OH to G5 in RNA moves the ribose ring more than 1 Å away from the protein relative to its position in DNA (Figure 5D). In DNA, three hydrophobic residues (Leu380, Met434 and Met438) encompass the deoxyribose C2′ atom. A loop in the RNA complex, that contains Met434 and Met438, undergoes movement of between 1.2 Å (residue prior to Met434) and 2.2 Å (residue after Met438) relative to that of the DNA-bound conformation (Figure 5D). This loop movement is significant considering the overall RMSD of 0.25 Å between the two YTH domain structures. Furthermore, in the 1.18 Å-resolution structure of the apo-YTH domain (Supplementary Table S1), the corresponding loop in the absence of DNA is less ordered and contains discontinuous electron density in the main-chain between Gly433 and Lys437, as well as in the side chains of Met434 and Met438, suggesting that the loop is stabilized by the bound DNA substrate (Supplementary Figure S4A).

The inclusion of a 2′-OH on the methylated deoxyadenosine (N6mA) disrupts the Arg404–Asn363 interaction, which runs in parallel and makes van der Waals contacts with the sugar-phosphate backbone in the DNA-bound conformation (Figure 5E). In the absence of DNA, the Arg404 interacts with a negatively-charged sulfate group (used for crystallization), which occupies an equivalent position of the P1 phosphate group (Supplementary Figure S4A). The comparison among the

Figure 3. Two YTH-bound DNA conformations. (A) Superimposition of four complexes, A and B (black and dark gray) in space group $C_2$ (PDB: 6WE9) and A and B (magenta and cyan) in space group $P_2_1$ (PDB: 6WEA). (B) Superimposition of two B complexes in space groups $C_2$ (gray) and $P_2_1$ (cyan). (C) Superimposition of two A complexes in space groups $C_2$ (black) and $P_2_1$ (magenta). (D) Guanine G5 of complex B involves in base pairing. (E, F) Guanine G5 of complex A involves in intra-molecular interaction and protein–DNA interaction. The omit $F_o - F_c$ electron density map (light grey) contoured at 4σ above the mean. Panels D–F are depicted from the structure (PDB: 6WEA).
three structures—YTH alone, YTH-DNA and YTH-RNA—suggests that the Arg404–Asn363 bridge is unique to the deoxyribose of DNA-bound form. In contrast, the conserved aromatic cage for binding the methylated adenine base of DNA or RNA is rigid, and adopts the same conformation with and without bound substrate (Supplementary Figure S4B).

Finally, 2′-OH groups on cytidine C7 and uridine at position 8 in RNA ligands are accommodated without the need for protein conformational adjustment (Figure 5F and G). Taken together, the addition of 2′-OH groups in RNA resulted in three circumstances: (i) accommodation without changes in the protein conformation (at C7 and U8), (ii) disruption, unique to DNA, of intra-molecular interactions between two protein residues (at N6mA) and (iii) repulsion between protein and RNA (at G5). The differences, in the conformations of nucleotides G4, G5 (Figure 3D and F), and N6mA at position 6 (Figure 5E), and their varied interactions with the YTH domain of YTHDC1, might explain the limited but significant increase in binding affinity for DNA over RNA (Figure 1).

In addition, we compared YTHDC1-DNA interactions with that of YTHDF1-RNA (52). The similarity between the two sets of interactions lies in the binding of modified adenosine (N6mA) and its following nucleotide (Supplementary Figure S5). We note that one of the major difficulties in the structural comparisons between DNA-bound and RNA-bound forms of YTH domains is due to the shorter nucleotides observed in the RNA-bound structures: by 5-nt in YTHDC1 and 4-nt in YTHDF1. Thus, the effect of longer strands on the geometry of nucleotides (i.e. secondary and tertiary RNA structure) surrounding N6mA cannot be addressed in the current comparison. We also note that our ITC data (Figure 1) do not support an enthalpy difference between the binding modes of DNA and RNA by the YTH domain of YTHDC1.

Connection of YTH to bacterial (modified cytosine restriction) McrBC

SET and RING finger-associated (SRA) domains (Figure 6A, B) were originally characterized in mammalian proteomes as readers of hemi-methylated CpG sequences—that is, sequences containing 5mC in only one strand, such as arise following DNA replication (53,54). SRA domains are also widespread in bacterial species, often associated with modification-dependent restriction endonucleases (55,56) and references therein). We suggest that, like the SRA do-
Figure 5. Comparison between DNA- and RNA-bound YTH. (A) Superimposition of complex A in space group $P2_1$ and RNA-YTH complex (PDB: 4R3I). The DNA ACT (magenta) and RNA ACU (blue) are superimposable. (B) Difference in guanine G4 binding. (C) Difference in guanine G5 binding. (D) The effect of 2'-OH group of G5 pushes RNA molecule away. (E) The effect of 2-OH group of N6mA interrupts an Arg404-Asn363 interaction. (F, G) The 2-OH groups of cytosine C7 and uracil U8 have no visible impact on YTH binding.

main itself, the YTH domain may also have bacterial origins. McrBC (modified cytosine restriction) is an antiphage defense system of *E. coli*, which specifically cleaves invading DNA that is methylated in specific ways (57–59). The McrBC restriction system consists of two distinct subunits: McrB, comprising an N-terminal DNA binding domain (McrB-N) and a C-terminal AAA+ ATPase domain for GTP hydrolysis, and McrC, harboring an endonuclease domain (60). The McrB-N DNA binding domain recognizes DNA containing modified cytosine residues (61) and flips out of the duplex various modified cytosines including N4mC, 5mC and 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC) (58,59) (Figure 6C). This base flipping is independent of the sequence context of the target modified base. Interestingly McrB-N binds cytosine derivatives in the order of descending affinity of N4mC > 5mC > 5hmC (59).

There is no mammalian protein domain currently known to bind N4mC; but MettL15 introduces N4mC in rRNA (62). However, the DUF55 domain of human thymocyte nuclear protein 1 (THYN1; also known as Thy28) shares a structuralfold with the YTH domain (63,64), and has been suggested to bind DNA containing modified cytosine (65), while YTHDF2 binds 5mC in RNA (66). We note that the methyl groups of N4mC and N6mA share their location on the exocyclic amino groups of cytosine (at N4) and adenine (at N6) (Figure 6D), and the MTases responsible for these two types of amino (N4-cytosine and N6-adenine) methylation belong to the same MTase family group (4,25).

Recently, the structure of the McrB-N domain from the archaeon *Thermococcus gammatolerans* revealed a striking similarity to that of the eukaryotic YTH domain (67) (Figure 6E). This YTH-like DNA binding domain binds with about the same affinity to either double-stranded or single-stranded DNA containing N6mA, with an increased affinity relative to its binding to unmodified or 5mC-containing oligos. In contrast, it shows little affinity for RNA substrates, whether they are methylated or unmethylated. Looking at these various modification-dependent binding domains together, they share a common structural feature - a twisted anti-parallel β-sheet that forms an arch-like structure, with a variable number of helices packed against the outer surface (Figure 6). The inner surface of the arch, where DNA is bound, contains an aromatic pocket which defines the binding site of the modified nucleotide (Figure 6), which is flipped out from the DNA duplex if the substrates are double-strand DNA. A common helix lies in the back of the arch (colored red in Figure 6), perhaps functioning as a gatekeeper to control the access to the aromatic cage. The YTH domain of YTHDC1 contains an additional helix in front of the arch (colored brown in Figure 6F). The unique features for each domain lie in the number and length of their β-strands. Some strands are as long as 20-residues and curved, responsible for the arch-like appearance. A longer strand can be disrupted into two shorter strands linked by an inserted bulged segment. We consider the SRA and YTH domains as diverse members of a larger family of DNA/RNA modification readers.

DISCUSSION

Here we characterized, for the first time, the *in vitro* binding activity of the YTH domain of human YTHDC1 as preferentially targeting DNA, relative to RNA. YTH binds N6mA in the context of ssDNA, an activity comparable to
Figure 6. The 5mC-binding SRA domain and N6mA-binding YTH domain are members of a larger family of modified nucleotide binding proteins associated with bacterial modification-dependent endonucleases. The conserved β-strands are in green and one conserved helix (colored in red) is behind the arch. The other α-helices are in gray. DNA strands are in ribbon with the flipped base in stick presentation. (A) MspJI SRA domain (with 5mC). (B) mouse UHRF1 SRA domain (with 5mC). (C) E. coli McrB-N DNA binding domain (with N4mC). (D) Three kinds of methylated DNA bases. (E) Thermococcus McrB-N in complex with a piece of dsDNA (with Ade). We note that a 19-bp duplex DNA was used for co-crystallization with Thermococcus McrB-N (67): a piece of 6-bp was observed that aligned tail-to-end in the crystal lattice and left no space for the missing two thirds of remaining oligo duplex. There are discrepancies of bases in the structure deposited in the PDB (6P0G) and that described in the publication (67): 5mC vs C on one strand and T versus C on the other strand. Nevertheless, the flipped base is an unmodified adenine. (F) Human YTHDC1 YTH domain (with N6mA) has a unique helix (colored in brown) in front of the arch. The modified bases are bound in a cage formed by 2–3 aromatic residues.

that found in the human enzymatic activities of the MettL3–14 MTase complex and the demethylase Alkbh1, as they each act on damaged or unpaired DNA (26,37). Additional study will be required to address whether YTHDC1 is involved in the recognition of DNA adenine methylation in vivo and, if so, its impact on chromatin organization.

However, the following considerations suggest the hypothesis that genomic N6mA is associated with maintaining genome stability. First, upon ultraviolet irradiation, the human MTase complex MettL3-L14 is recruited within 2 min to the damaged sites, and MettL3 methylation activity is required for the DNA repair (31). Second, while DNA sequences are normally base paired in a canonical double helix, transient local unwinding of dsDNA occurs during the processes of transcription (forming a transcriptional bubble (68); Supplementary Figure S6A), replication (such as the single-strand regions between Okazaki fragments in the legging strand synthesis), recombination, and DNA repair. Furthermore, the existence of these transient non-B DNA structures is prolonged under physiological or biological stresses. For example, the accumulation of replication-associated ssDNA gaps has been observed in tumor cells (69–71). Accumulation of R-loops, a specific DNA-RNA hybrid with an unpaired ssDNA strand formed during transcription, is associated with disease in the context of cellular stress (72,73), and N6mA-associated R-loop accumulates during the cell cycle (43). Resolution of the four-way Holliday junction, the central intermediate of recombination, results in two dsDNA helices linked by a ssDNA region containing a single base gap (74) (Supplementary Figure S6B); and the gapped DNA is anisotropically bent (75). Perhaps cells respond to the inherent topological stress that arises from non-B DNA by coating ssDNA with protective protein complexes, that include the stress-induced writer-reader-eraser of N6mA-containing ssDNA. This would be consistent with the requirement of MettL3 methylation ac-
tivity for UV-induced DNA repair (31). A single-nucleotide-resolution sequencing study revealed N6m clusters associated with single-strand DNA binding protein on the human mitochondrial genome (12).

The appearance of ssDNA has been observed in *E. coli* as well as mammalian cells. For example, ssDNA can be induced by stress-induced DNA duplex destabilization (SIDD) in *E. coli* (76), and ssDNA is a common feature of the mammalian genome potentially involved in gene regulation (77). In *E. coli*, heterologous site-specific adenine methylation can induce the SOS DNA repair genes due to the action of the modification-specific endonuclease Mrr (modified DNA rejection and restriction) (78). In *Caulobacter crescentus*, the cell cycle-regulated DNA adenine MTase (CcrM) binds DNA by strand-separation of dsDNA and creates a bubble at its recognition site (79) (Supplementary Figure S6C). CcrM is active on both dsDNA and ssDNA as well as mismatches within or immediately outside of the recognition sequence (80,81).

Finally, we note that many nucleic acid-modifying enzymes are able to modify both DNA and RNA (82) and references therein). This group of enzymes include members of the AlkB family, involved in the direct reversal of alkylation damage to both DNA and RNA (83), and members of the Apobec family of cytidine deaminases (84). Tet2, one of the ten-eleven translocation proteins initially discovered as DNA 5mc dioxygenases (85), mediates oxidation of 5mc in mRNA (86,87). Murine MettL4 was reported to be responsible for N6mA deposition in genic elements, corresponding with transcriptional silencing (10). Interestingly, recombinant human MettL4 expressed in HEK293T cells has *in vitro* enzymatic activity on mitochondrial DNA (21), whereas recombinant human MettL4 expressed in *E. coli* has RNA MTase activity (29). The former study showed that MettL4 localizes with mitochondria in all tested tissues (21) and the latter study found mainly nuclear localization of an exogenously introduced MettL4, and failed to identify appreciable levels of N6mA in mitochondrial DNA (29). The difference among these various studies illustrates the complex nature of DNA vs. RNA adenine methylation in mammalian genomes, and the accumulation of N6mA in DNA and/or RNA might reflect diverse cellular and mitochondrial stress responses under different laboratory conditions. The 5-fold difference in affinity, of the YTHDC1 YTH domain preference for DNA (Figure 1), therefore takes nothing away from the significance of YTH protein activities on RNA but suggests an additional layer of complexity involving mammalian DNA adenine methylation and its potential role in maintaining genome stability.
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