Tooth Loss Is Associated With Increased Risk of Dementia and With a Dose-Response Relationship
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Objective: Both tooth loss and dementia are age-related and frequently occurring diseases. Increasing attention has been given to explore the pathogenesis related to oral-brain function disorders. The present study was performed to evaluate the association between tooth loss and dementia through a dose-response meta-analysis.

Methods: Relevant cohort studies were searched from online databases up until June 20, 2018, which examined the association between tooth loss and the risk of dementia. Literature selection according to inclusion and exclusion criteria, as well as data extraction from included studies were completed independently by two reviewers. Data syntheses in this meta-analysis were performed using Stata 12.0 software.

Results: A total of 8 cohort studies were included, containing a total of 14,362 samples and 2,072 dementia patients. The result of the meta-analysis indicated that patients with tooth loss faced a 1.34 times greater risk of developing dementia (RR = 1.34, 95% CI = 1.19-1.51). The result from this dose-response meta-analysis in a linear model, suggested that every missed tooth might increase the risk of dementia by 1.01 times (RR = 1.01, 95% CI = 1.00-1.02). Further subgroup analyses pointed out that tooth loss patients without dentures may have a higher risk of dementia than those with dentures (with denture: RR = 0.98, 95% CI = 0.87-1.10; without denture: RR = 1.53, 95% CI = 1.19-1.97); at the same time, the study design, study area and education level of the study participants, might also have some effect on the results.

Conclusions: Tooth loss may be a risk factor for the development of dementia. In addition, there is a dose-response relationship with the increase of missing teeth.
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INTRODUCTION

Tooth loss frequently occurs in patients with dental caries, periodontal diseases, tumors, traumatism and retrogression, accompanied by masticatory dysfunction, hypotrophic absorption, pronunciation difficulty and anile appearance. Nowadays, tooth loss is no longer regarded as a simple local disorder, but also as a risk factor for systemic diseases, such as cardiovascular diseases.
Dementia is a disease with progressive degeneration of the central nervous system, with Alzheimer’s disease (AD) as the most common type and accounts for 60–70% of all dementia cases (Mayeux, 2003). The main clinical features of dementia include cognitive impairment, abnormal mind and behavior and decreased ability of daily life. To our knowledge, both tooth loss and dementia are common age-related diseases (Busby et al., 2014; Lawton et al., 2015), and along with the progression of population aging, increasing attention has been devoted to the association between oral-brain function disorders (Lehrer et al., 2015; Kothari et al., 2016; Pillai et al., 2018), tooth loss and dementia (Chen et al., 2010; Minn et al., 2013; Singhrao et al., 2014). Recently, several systematic reviews and meta-analyses have examined the link between tooth loss and cognitive status (Shen et al., 2016; Tonsekar et al., 2017; Oh et al., 2018). Based on existing studies, we conducted a dose-response analysis on relevant cohort studies to provide updated evidence on the relationship between the number of teeth lost and dementia.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Our study conformed to the recommended Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement (Moher et al., 2009).

**Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria**

According to the instruction of the “PICOS (population, exposure, control, outcome, and study design),” eligible studies were selected based on the following inclusion criteria: (1) interested population consisted of patients suffering tooth loss and/or dementia without restrictions on gender, age and race; (2) interested exposure was tooth loss; (3) participants in the control group had no tooth loss; (4) interested outcome was referred to dementia; (5) study design restrictively followed cohort design; and (6) with full text and relevant data as follows: hazard ratio (HR), odds ratio (OR), relative risk (RR) with 95% confidential interval (CI) or raw data for calculating crude HR, OR, or RR with corresponding 95% CI. If outcomes were duplicated or shared in more than one study from one institution, the most comprehensive study was chosen for the analysis.

Studies would be excluded if they conformed to any one of the following conditions: (1) animal experiments; (2) focusing on risk factors (such as periodontitis and caries) for tooth loss but without risk estimation on tooth loss; (3) interested outcomes could only be classified into clinical manifestations of dementia (such as cognitive decline, memory impairment and daily living ability decline), but did not conform to dementia itself.

**Search Strategy**

We searched the databases of PubMed, Embase, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) and the International Clinical Trial Registry Platform (ICTRP) for relevant cohort studies published before June 20, 2018, and the references of relevant papers were also examined to detect additional studies. The following items were applied in literature searching: “tooth loss” or “edentulous” or “edentulism” or “periodontal disease” combined with “dementia” or “Alzheimer’s disease” or “risk factor.”

**Statistical Analysis**

We assessed the association between tooth loss and dementia, through pooling RRs and corresponding 95% CIs, for the highest risk vs. the lowest risk. HRs were directly considered to be equivalent to RRs, and ORs were also treated equally as a low prevalence of dementia in all populations. Additionally, a subgroup analysis was conducted to clarify the impact of pooled HRs and ORs on the overall result. Heterogeneity was investigated using $I^2$ statistics and a Q test, and the fixed-effect model was utilized when $I^2 \leq 50\%$ and $p > 0.1$; otherwise the random-effect model was preferred (Melsen et al., 2014). Further subgroup analyses were performed based on the study area, study design, follow-up duration, denture status and some adjustment factors, respectively. In addition, a dose-response analysis was also conducted to explore the relationship between tooth loss and dementia based on a multi-category analyses according to the number of missing teeth (Greenland and Longnecker, 1992), and a data conversion was performed when the number of remaining teeth was assessed. Moreover, publication bias among included studies was examined through funnel plots and an Egger’s test, and a symmetrical funnel plot where $P > 0.05$ indicated no significant publication bias (Egger et al., 1997; Song and Gilbody, 1998). All of the statistical analyses mentioned above were accomplished using Stata 12.0 software (Orsini et al., 2012).

**RESULTS**

**Study Selection and Characteristics**

A total of 478 potentially relevant studies were firstly retrieved. Of those, 357 remained after eliminating duplicates, while 56 entered a full-text examination after assessing the titles and abstracts. Finally, eight studies (Shimazaki et al., 2001; Stein et al., 2007; Arrive et al., 2012; Paganini-Hill et al., 2012; Yamamoto et al., 2012; Batty et al., 2013; Stewart et al., 2015; Takeuchi et al., 2017)
were included in the meta-analysis. The detailed process is shown in Figure 1.

The eight eligible cohort studies were published in English, between 2001 and 2017, and included five prospective (Shimazaki et al., 2001; Arrive et al., 2012; Yamamoto et al., 2012; Batty et al., 2013; Takeuchi et al., 2017) and three retrospective (Stein et al., 2007; Paganini-Hill et al., 2012; Stewart et al., 2015) cohort studies, containing a total of 14,362 samples and 2,072 dementia patients (Shimazaki et al., 2001; Stein et al., 2007; Arrive et al., 2012; Paganini-Hill et al., 2012; Yamamoto et al., 2012; Batty et al., 2013; Stewart et al., 2015; Takeuchi et al., 2017). Among these studies, three reported discrete results for some variables, including denture status (with or without dentures; Shimazaki et al., 2001), educational level (higher or lower level; Arrive et al., 2012), and gender (male or female; Paganini-Hill et al., 2012). Consequently, each of these three reports offered two independent studies for analyses, so the final meta-analyses contained 11 datasets. Of the included publications, eight data sets obtained from six studies provided more than two classifications according to the number of teeth (Shimazaki et al., 2001; Stein et al., 2007; Paganini-Hill et al., 2012; Batty et al., 2013; Stewart et al., 2015; Takeuchi et al., 2017). And most of the studies included, reported the results using HRs; while the others used ORs. Most of the reports chose the number of remaining teeth, for tooth loss assessment, but one report (Arrive et al., 2012) adopted the number of missing teeth for the assessment. Other influential differences also existed across the studies included, such as study area, follow-up duration, denture status and important risk factors. More detailed characteristics of these studies are shown in Tables 1, 2.

**Overall Analysis**

The heterogeneity detected between the 11 datasets, was low ($I^2 = 36.30\%, P = 0.11)$, and determined the adoption of the fixed-effect model for analysis. The analysis result indicated that patients with tooth loss had a 1.34 times higher risk of developing dementia ($RR = 1.34, 95\% CI = 1.19-1.51$), as shown in Figure 2.

**Dose-Response Analysis**

A further analysis investigated the dose-response relationship of the two diseases based on six studies with eight datasets (Shimazaki et al., 2001; Stein et al., 2007; Paganini-Hill et al., 2012; Batty et al., 2013; Stewart et al., 2015; Takeuchi et al., 2017), as shown in Figure 3. No non-linear relationship was detected ($P = 0.52$) and the dose-response meta-analysis suggested that every missing tooth might increase the risk of dementia by 1.01 times ($RR = 1.01, 95\% CI = 1.00-1.02$) in a linear model, with the risk increasing to 1.18 times, when the number of missing teeth went up to 20 ($RR = 1.18, 95\% CI = 1.10-1.27$). Correspondingly, edentulous cases faced a 1.31 times higher risk of dementia ($RR = 1.31, 95\% CI = 1.17-1.47$).

**Subgroup Analyses**

The subgroup analyses further discussed some influential factors and assessed the stability of the results according to the type of study design, study area, follow-up duration, denture status, risk expression, and adjustments for confounding factors, as shown in Table 3. No significant differences were found in the subgroup analyses, based on of follow-up duration, risk expression,
### TABLE 1 | Characteristics of included studies.

| Study                                      | Regions; Name of cohort                          | Study design       | Age(years) | Follow-up times (years) | Sample size | Cognitive assessment | Tooth loss assessment                                                                 | Results                                                                 |
|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Shimazaki et al., 2001                     | Japan; Kitakyushu City                           | Prospective cohort | 59–107     | 6                       | 158/517     | Medical records; Incident and mortality dementia                                     | Number of remaining teeth (reference ≥20 teeth)                        | With denture, OR (95%CI) 1–19 teeth: OR 1.9 (0.8–4.6) Edentulous:1.7 (0.7–4.0) Without denture, OR (95%CI) 1–19 teeth: 2.3 (0.9–5.8) Edentulous: 2.4 (0.9–6.5) |
| Stein et al., 2007                         | USA; the Nun Study                               | Retrospective cohort | 40–75      | 12                      | 32/144      | the Mini-Mental State Examination and Activities of Daily Living; Incident dementia   | Number of remaining teeth excluding non-third molars (reference 10–28 teeth) | HR (95%CI): 17–26: Reference 10–16:HR 0.4 (0.10–1.76) 1–9:HR 1.8 (0.58–5.46) 0: HR 0.9 (0.25–3.12) 10–28: Reference 0–9 teeth: HR 2.20(1.1–4.5) |
| Arrive et al., 2012                        | France; The Personnes Age’es QUID (PAQUID) Study | Prospective cohort | 66–80      | 15                      | 72/405      | DSM-III Revised; Incident dementia                                                   | Number of missing teeth (reference < 11 teeth)                         | Higher school level, HR (95%CI): ≥ 11 teeth: 1.07(0.57–2.02) Unified: 0.93(0.5–1.75)  
| Pagarnini-Hill et al., 2012                | USA; The Leisure World Cohort Study              | Retrospective cohort | 52–105 (median 81) | 18          | 1145/5468 | Follow-up questionnaires, hospital records, death certificates, and in-person evaluations; Incident dementia | Number of remaining teeth (reference ≥26 teeth)                        | Men, HR (95%CI): 16–25 teeth: 1.17 (0.86–1.58) 1–15 teeth: 1.21 (0.84–1.73) 0 teeth: 1.20 (0.77–1.87) Women, HR (95%CI): 16–25 teeth: 0.94 (0.80–1.10) 1–15 teeth: 0.96 (0.79–1.17) 0 teeth: 1.07 (0.84–1.36) |
| Yamamoto et al., 2012                      | Japan; the Aichi Gerontological Evaluation Study (AGES) Project | Prospective cohort | > 65       | 4                       | 220/4425    | Standardized questionnaire; Incident dementia                                        | Number of remaining teeth (reference ≥20 teeth)                        | HR (95%CI) with/without dentures: ≤ 19 teeth: 1.01(0.67–1.51) Few teeth with dentures: 2.70 (1.84–3.94) Few teeth without dentures 4.57 (2.63–7.94) |
| Batty et al., 2013                         | UK; Action in Diabetes and Vascular disease: Preterax and Diamicron Modified-Release Controlled Evaluation (ADVANCE) trial | Prospective cohort | 55–88      | 5                       | 109/1140    | DSM-IV                                                                            | Number of remaining teeth (reference ≥22 teeth)                        | HR (95%CI): 1–21 teeth: 1.24 (1.05, 1.46) 0 teeth: 1.48 (1.24, 1.78) |
| Stewart et al., 2015                       | Sweden; the Prospective Population Study of Women (PPSW) in Gothenburg | Retrospective cohort | 25–74      | 37                      | 158/697     | DSM-III Revised; Incident dementia                                                   | Number of remaining Teeth/reference ≥25 teeth)                        | Women, OR (95%CI) ≥25 teeth: 21–24 teeth:1.24 (0.74–2.09) 9–20 teeth: 1.25 (0.72–2.17) ≤9 teeth: 1.81 (1.03–3.19) Lowest vs. Highest Quartile: 1.62 (0.84–3.11) |

(Continued)
TABLE 1 | Continued

| Study | Regions; Name of cohort | Study design | Age(years) | Follow-up times (years) | Sample size | Cognitive assessment | Tooth loss assessment | Results |
|-------|--------------------------|-------------|------------|------------------------|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Takeuchi et al., 2017 | Japan; The Hisayama Study | Prospective cohort | ≥60 | 5 | 180/1566 | DSM-III Revised; Incident dementia | Number of remaining Teeth (reference ≥20 teeth) | HR (95% CI) 10–19 teeth: 1.62 (1.06–2.46) \(1–9\) teeth: HR 1.81 (1.11–2.94) 0 teeth: HR 1.63 (0.95–2.80) |

DSM-IV, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-IV; HR, hazard ratio; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidential interval; CCD, Cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases. *Unified data were from the reciprocal of the original data.*

**TABLE 2 | Adjustment status of included studies.**

| Study | Adjustment for covariates | Adjustment strength |
|-------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Shimazaki et al., 2001 | Age, physical health, type of institution, cerebrovascular disorder, denture use | Weak |
| Stein et al., 2007 | Age, education and apolipoprotein E4 allele | Weak |
| Arrive et al., 2012 | Gender, body mass index, diabetes, depression, hypertension and ischemic cardiopathy / history of brain stroke | Weak |
| Paganini-Hill et al., 2012 | Age at entry, smoking, alcohol, caffeine, active activities, other activities, body mass index, high blood pressure, angina pectoris, heart attack, stroke, diabetes mellitus, rheumatoid arthritis, cancer, education, head trauma, and family history of dementia | Strong |
| Yamamoto et al., 2012 | Age, household income, body mass index, present illness, alcohol consumption, exercise, and forgetfulness | Weak |
| Batty et al., 2013 | Age, sex, European Quality of Life-5 Dimensions (EQ-5D), socioeconomic, cigarette smoking, alcohol intake, vigorous physical activity in previous week, Hemoglobin A1c, creatinine, body mass index, total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, resting heart rate, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, age at completion of highest level of education | Strong |
| Stewart et al., 2015 | Age, education, social class, stroke, myocardial infarction, diabetes mellitus, smoking status, systolic blood pressure, body mass index, and cholesterol level defined in quartile groups with pacifier categories for missing data | Strong |
| Takeuchi et al., 2017 | Sex, age, occupation, education, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, history of stroke, alcohol intake, tooth brushing frequency, regular visits to the dentist, and denture use | Strong |

the strength of adjustment and adjustments for smoking, alcohol, and cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases; while significant differences were detected when stratified by the study design, study area, denture status, and adjustment for education. The study design was dramatically related to the final result (Prospective cohort: \(RR = 1.17, 95\% \ CI = 0.97-1.41;\) Retrospective cohort: \(RR = 1.24, 95\% \ CI = 1.12-1.37).\) When stratified by the study area, a significant association was only found for those conducted in Europe (Asia: \(RR = 1.33, 95\% \ CI = 0.99-1.77;\) America: \(RR = 1.16 95\% \ CI = 0.95-1.42;\) Europe: \(RR = 1.48, 95\% \ CI = 1.25-1.74).\) Eight data sets obtained from three publications were used to analyze the risk of dementia related to tooth loss among the patients with and without dentures (Shimazaki et al., 2001; Paganini-Hill et al., 2012; Yamamoto et al., 2012) and the results showed a distinct relationship in the no-denture group only (with denture: \(RR = 0.98, 95\% \ CI = 0.87-1.10;\) without denture: \(RR = 1.53, 95\% \ CI = 1.19-1.97).\) A significant association was detected for the study’s results, with an adjustment for education, while the significance disappeared when lacking such adjustments (Yes: \(RR = 1.36, 95\% \ CI = 1.19-1.54;\) No: \(RR = 1.45, 95\% \ CI = 0.92-2.29).\)

**Publication Bias**

The symmetry in Figure 4 is not perfect, but still implied the absence of significant publication bias, which was confirmed by Egger’s test \((P = 0.29).\)

**DISCUSSION**

Our present study investigated the association between tooth loss and dementia based on eight cohort studies involving a total of 14,362 cases and 2,072 dementia patients. The result of this meta-analysis indicated that tooth loss statistically increased the risk of dementia by 1.34-fold. A further dose-response analysis detected a linearity association between the two diseases, suggesting that the more teeth are missing, the higher the risk of dementia. In subgroup analyses, we found that the association between the two illnesses might be affected by certain factors, such as study design, study area, denture status and education level,
but was not affected by follow-up duration, risk expression, the strength of confounding factor adjustments, or adjustments for smoking, alcohol and cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases.

A positive association between the two diseases, detected in tooth loss patients without dentures, might be partially explained by the alteration of chewing ability (Savikko et al., 2013; Teixeira et al., 2014). A further explanation may include that masticatory dysfunction after tooth loss, may lead to decreased cerebral blood circulation and neurotransmitter secretion (Lin, 2018). Some scholars think that masticatory movement could promote blood supply to the brain and then prevent dementia, based on the fact that prosthodontic treatment with dentures can effectively improve the disorders described above (Yamamoto et al., 2012; Luraschi et al., 2013; Banu et al., 2016). Periodontal disease, a frequently discussed cause of tooth loss, can stimulate the release of some cytokines which are related to the activation of molecules contributing to neurodegeneration, such as C-reactive protein (CRP), tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), immunoglobulin G (IgG), interleukin-1β (IL-1β), and interleukin-6 (IL-6) (Kamer et al., 2009; Koyama et al., 2013; Dursun et al., 2015; Liesz et al., 2015). Nutritional deficiency related to tooth loss has also been regarded as a marker for dementia, especially when the deficiency involves lessened intakes of protein, essential vitamins such as sodium, vitamin D, vitamin B1, vitamin B6, niacin, pantothenic acid, phospholipids, and other micronutrients, all of which are essential for the formation of new synapses associated with human memory (Perera and Ekanayake, 2012; Engelborghs et al., 2014). Some researchers have also suggested that essential nutrients can not only reduce the risk of oral diseases, including tooth loss, but can also reduce the susceptibility to dementia (Kim et al., 2007; Jimenez et al., 2014). Possible mechanisms of such a decline may be related to certain functions of some essential nutrients. For example, vitamin D has been reported to be able to inhibit the activity of some cytokines related to periodontitis and dementia by decreasing IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-α expression (Tang et al., 2013; Jayedi et al., 2018).
TABLE 3 | Results of the meta-analysis.

| Overall and subgroup analysis | No. of studies | Meta-analysis | Effect model | Heterogeneity test |
|-------------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|
|                               |                | RR (95%CI)   | P-value | I² (%) | P-value within group | P-value between group |
| Overall                       | 11             | 1.34(1.19–1.51) | 0.00 | Fixed | 36.3 | 0.11 | – |
| STUDY DESIGN                  |                |              |          |        |                  |                   |
| Prospective cohort            | 7              | 1.43(1.23–1.66) | 0.00 | Fixed | 34.4 | 0.17 | 0.16 |
| Retrospective cohort          | 4              | 1.20(0.99–1.45) | 0.07 | Fixed | 33.7 | 0.21 | – |
| STUDY AREA                    |                |              |          |        |                  |                   |
| Asia                          | 4              | 1.33(0.99–1.77) | 0.06 | Fixed | 24.6 | 0.26 | 0.20 |
| America                       | 3              | 1.16(0.95–1.42) | 0.14 | Fixed | 44.8 | 0.16 | – |
| Europe                        | 4              | 1.48(1.25–1.74) | 0.00 | Fixed | 36.3 | 0.18 | – |
| FOLLOW-UP TIME                |                |              |          |        |                  |                   |
| <10                           | 5              | 1.44(1.23–1.55) | 0.00 | Fixed | 8.7  | 0.36 | 0.17 |
| ≥10                           | 6              | 1.36(1.01–1.83) | 0.046 | Random | 47.1 | 0.09 | – |
| DENTURE STATUS                |                |              |          |        |                  |                   |
| With denture                  | 4              | 0.98(0.87–1.10) | 0.74 | Fixed | <0.01 | 0.93 | <0.01 |
| Without denture               | 4              | 1.53(1.19–1.97) | 0.00 | Fixed | 14.7 | 0.32 | – |
| RISK EXPRESSION               |                |              |          |        |                  |                   |
| HR                            | 4              | 1.27(1.04–1.46) | 0.02 | Random | 47.9 | 0.08 | 0.06 |
| OR                            | 7              | 1.90(1.29–2.80) | 0.00 | Fixed | <0.01 | 0.88 | – |
| ADJUSTMENT STRENGTH           |                |              |          |        |                  |                   |
| Weak                          | 6              | 1.56(1.03–2.36) | 0.04 | Random | 50.5 | 0.07 | 0.88 |
| Strong                        | 5              | 1.33(1.17–1.53) | 0.00 | Fixed | 28.2 | 0.23 | – |
| ADJUSTMENT FOR SMOKING        |                |              |          |        |                  |                   |
| Yes                           | 4              | 1.32(1.19–1.51) | 0.00 | Fixed | 40.1 | 0.17 | 0.62 |
| No                            | 7              | 1.41(1.11–1.79) | 0.01 | Fixed | 42.5 | 1.11 | – |
| ADJUSTMENT FOR ALCOHOL        |                |              |          |        |                  |                   |
| Yes                           | 5              | 1.29(1.14–1.46) | 0.00 | Fixed | 40.7 | 0.15 | 0.11 |
| No                            | 6              | 1.69(1.24–2.41) | 0.00 | Fixed | 22.3 | 0.27 | – |
| ADJUSTMENT FOR EDUCATION      |                |              |          |        |                  |                   |
| Yes                           | 6              | 1.36(1.19–1.54) | 0.00 | Fixed | 32.9 | 0.19 | 0.64 |
| No                            | 5              | 1.45(0.92–2.29) | 0.11 | Random | 50.2 | 0.09 | – |
| ADJUSTMENT FOR CCD            |                |              |          |        |                  |                   |
| Yes                           | 8              | 1.25(1.05–1.48) | 0.01 | Fixed | 31.0 | 0.18 | 0.28 |
| No                            | 3              | 1.40(1.00–1.63) | 0.047 | Random | 54.4 | 0.11 | – |

RR, relative risk; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; OR, odds ratio; CCD, Cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases.

Apart from the present meta-analysis, three previously published meta-analyses have explored the association between tooth loss/periodontitis and dementia, and revealed that tooth loss might be a risk factor for the development of dementia (Shen et al., 2016; Tonsekar et al., 2017; Oh et al., 2018). Additionally, these three meta-analyses not only paid close attention to dementia, but also to cognitive decline, such as the studies by Oh et al. (2018) and by Tonsekar et al. (2017) based on cohort studies; and Shen et al. (2016) based on cross-sectional, case-control, and cohort studies. Based on published articles, our study targeted reports with confirmed diagnosis criteria for dementia and cohort design. In addition, we adopted dose-response analysis to discuss the correction of the tooth number and the level of dementia risk, and subgroup analyses clarified possible confounding factors affecting the result. What’s more, the results were reliable considering the homogeneity between the studies that were included ($I^2 =$
36.30%, \( P = 0.11 \) and the lack of significant publication bias \( (P = 0.29) \).

However, there were some limitations in this study. First, only eight studies meeting inclusion criteria were included into the meta-analysis. Second, it may not be appropriate to pool HRs and ORs directly without data conversion, though the subgroup analysis indicated that the overall result was not significantly affected by the different risk expressions. Third, our study failed to explore the association of different types of dementia, because most studies did not specifically report the subtypes of dementia, with only one study separately stating the subtypes of Alzheimer's disease and vascular dementia (Takeuchi et al., 2017).

In conclusion, the results from this study indicated that tooth loss may be a risk factor for dementia, and dementia risk may increase with the increase in the number of teeth lost. In addition, timely prosthodontic treatment with dentures may reduce the risk of dementia related to tooth loss. Therefore, in clinical practice, tooth loss should be regarded as a marker for some systemic disorders, including dementia. Moreover, necessary intervention should be adopted for tooth loss. However, it remains to be determined whether removable dentures can be safely employed in tooth loss patients with dementia. Internal connections of chewing ability, nutrient intakes, increased inflammatory markers, and psychosocial factors, as well as clarifying the susceptibility among tooth loss patients to the various subtypes of dementia, should be further explored.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

JC, W-DL, and X-TZ designed the study. C-JR and L-YX performed the data searches and collected the data, JS re-checked the data. LW and X-TZ performed the analysis. JC and LW wrote the manuscript, W-DL and X-TZ reviewed the manuscript.

**REFERENCES**

Arrive, E., Letenneur, L., Matharan, F., Laporte, C., Helmer, C., Barberger-Gateau, P., et al. (2012). Oral health condition of French elderly and risk of dementia: a longitudinal cohort study. *Community Dent. Oral. Epidemiol.* 40, 230–238. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0528.2011.00650.x

Banu, R. F., Veeravalli, P. T., and Kumar, V. A. (2016). Comparative evaluation of changes in brain activity and cognitive function of edentulous patients, with dentures and two-implant supported mandibular overdenture-pilot study. *Clin. Implant. Dent. Relat. Res.* 18, 580–587. doi: 10.1111/cid.12336

Batty, G. D., Li, Q., Huxley, R., Zoungas, S., Taylor, B. A., Neal, B., et al. (2013). Oral disease in relation to future risk of dementia and cognitive decline: prospective cohort study based on the Action in Diabetes and Vascular Disease: Preterax and Diamicro Modified-Release Controlled Evaluation (ADVANCE) trial. *Eur. Psychiatry* 28, 49–52. doi: 10.1016/j.eurpsy.2011.07.005

Busby, M., Martin, J. A., Matthews, R., Burke, F. J., and Chapple, I. (2014). The relationship between oral health risk and disease status and age, and the significance for general dental practice funding by capitulation. *Br. Dent. J.* 217:E19. doi: 10.1038/sj.bdj.2014.1006

Chen, X., Shuman, S. K., Hodges, J. S., Gatewood, L. C., and Xu, J. (2010). Dental health, nutritional status and recent onset dementia in a Korean community population. *Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* 22, 850–855. doi: 10.1002/gps.1750

Kisely, S., Baghaie, H., Laloo, R., Siskind, D., and Johnson, N. W. (2015). A systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between poor oral health and severe mental illness. *Psychosom. Med.* 77, 83–92. doi: 10.1097/PSY.000000000000133

Kothari, M., Spin-Neto, R., and Nielsen, J. F. (2016). Comprehensive oral-health assessment of individuals with acquired brain-injury in neuro-rehabilitation setting. *Brain. Inj.* 30, 1103–1108. doi: 10.3109/02699052.2016.1167244

Koyama, A., O’Brien, J., Weuve, J., Blacker, D., Metti, A. L., and Yaffe, K. (2013). The role of peripheral inflammatory markers in dementia and Alzheimer’s disease: a meta-analysis. *J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* 68, 433–440. doi: 10.1093/gerona/gls187

Lafon, A., Pereira, B., Dufour, T., Rigouby, V., Giroud, M., Bejot, Y., et al. (2014). Periodontal disease and stroke: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Eur. J. Neurol.* 21, 1155–1161, e1166–e1175. doi: 10.1111/ene.12415

Lawton, D. M., Gasquoine, P. G., and Weiner, A. A. (2015). Age of dementia diagnosis in community dwelling bingual and monolingual Hispanic Americans. *Cortex* 66, 141–145. doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2014.11.017

Lehrer, S., Green, S., and Rosenzweig, K. E. (2015). Lack of correlation between benign brain tumors and markers of oral health. *N.Y. State Dent. J.* 81, 41–43.

Liesz, A., Roth, S., Zorn, M., Sun, L., Hofmann, K., and Velkamp, R. (2015). Acquired Immunoglobulin G deficiency in stroke patients and experimental brain ischemia. *Exp. Neurol.* 271, 46–52. doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2015.04.021

Lin, C. S. (2018). Revisiting the link between cognitive decline and masticatory dysfunction. *BMC Geriatr.* 18:5. doi: 10.1186/s12877-017-0693-2

Luraschi, J., Korgaonkar, M. S., Whittle, T., Schimmel, M., Muller, F., and Klineberg, I. (2013). Neuroplasticity in the adaptation to prosthodontic treatment. *J. Orofac. Pain* 27, 206–216. doi: 10.11607/jop.1097

Mayeux, R. (2003). Epidemiology of neurodegeneration. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 26, 81–104. doi: 10.1146/annurev.neuro.26.040302.094919

Melsen, W. G., Bootsma, M. C., Rovers, M. M., and Bonten, M. J. (2014). The effects of clinical and statistical heterogeneity on the predictive
values of results from meta-analyses. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 20, 123–129. doi: 10.1111/1469-0691.12494

Minn, Y. K., Suk, S. H., Park, H., Cheong, J. S., Yang, H., Lee, S., et al. (2013). Tooth loss is associated with brain white matter change and silent infarction among adults without dementia and stroke. *J. Korean. Med. Sci.* 28, 929–933. doi: 10.3346/jkms.2013.28.6.929

Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., and Altman, D. G. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med.* 6:e1000097. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097

Nascimento, G. G., Leite, F. R., Conceicao, D. A., Ferrua, C. P., Singh, A., and Demarco, F. F. (2016). Is there a relationship between obesity and tooth loss and edentulism? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes. Rev.* 17, 587–598. doi: 10.1111/obr.12418

Oh, B., Han, D. H., Han, K. T., Liu, X., Ukken, J., Chang, C., et al. (2018). Association between residual teeth number in later life and incidence of dementia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Geriatr.* 18:48. doi: 10.1186/s12877-018-0729-z

Orsini, N., Li, R., Wolk, A., Khudyakov, P., and Spiegelman, D. (2012). Meta-analysis for linear and nonlinear dose-response relations: examples, an evaluation of approximations, and software. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 175, 66–73. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwr265

Paganini-Hill, A., White, S. C., and Atchison, K. A. (2012). Dentition, dental health habits, and dementia: the Leisure World Cohort Study. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* 60, 1556–1563. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2012.04064.x

Perera, R., and Ekanayake, L. (2012). Relationship between nutritional status and tooth loss in an older population from Sri Lanka. *Gerodontology* 29, e566–570. doi: 10.1111/j.1741-2358.2011.00518.x

Pillai, R. S., Iyer, K., Spin-Neto, R., Kothari, S. F., Nielsen, J. F., and Kothari, M. (2018). Oral health and brain injury: causal or casual relation? *Cerébrovasc. Dis. Extra.* 8, 1–15. doi: 10.1159/000484989

Savikko, N., Saarela, R. K., Soini, H., Muurinen, S., Suominen, M. H., and Pitkala, K. H. (2013). Chewing ability and dementia. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* 61, 849–851. doi: 10.1111/jgs.12333

Shen, T., Lv, J., Wang, L., Wang, W., and Zhang, D. (2016). Association between tooth loss and dementia among older people: a meta-analysis. *Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* 31, 953–955. doi: 10.1002/igs.4396

Shi, J., Leng, W., Zhao, L., Deng, C., Xu, C., Wang, J., et al. (2018). Tooth loss and cancer risk: a dose-response meta analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Oncotarget* 9, 15090–15100. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.23850

Shimazaki, Y., Soh, I., Saito, T., Yamashita, Y., Koga, T., Miyazaki, H., et al. (2001). Influence of dentition status on physical disability, mental impairment, and mortality in institutionalized elderly people. *J. Dent. Res.* 80, 340–345. doi: 10.1177/00220345010800010801

Singhraj, S. K., Harding, A., Simmons, T., Robinson, S., Kesavalu, L., and Creen, S. (2014). Oral inflammation, tooth loss, risk factors, and association with progression of Alzheimer’s disease. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* 42, 723–737. doi: 10.3233/JAD-140387

Song, F., and Gilbody, S. (1998). Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. Increase in studies of publication bias coincided with increasing use of meta-analysis. *BMJ* 316:471.

Stein, P. S., Desrosiers, M., Donegan, S. J., Yepes, J. F., and Kryscio, R. J. (2007). Tooth loss, dementia and neuropathology in the Nun study. *J. Am. Dent. Assoc.* 138, 1314–1322. doi: 10.14219/jada.archive.2007.0046

Stewart, R., Stenman, U., Hakeberg, M., Hagglin, C., Gustafson, D., and Skoog, I. (2015). Associations between oral health and risk of dementia in a 37-year follow-up study: the prospective population study of women in Gothenburg. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* 63, 100–105. doi: 10.1111/jgs.13194

Takeuchi, K., Ohara, T., Furuta, M., Takeshita, T., Shibata, Y., Hata, J., et al. (2017). Tooth loss and risk of dementia in the community: the hisayama study. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* 65, e95–e100. doi: 10.1111/jgs.14791

Tang, X., Pan, Y., and Zhao, Y. (2013). Vitamin D inhibits the expression of interleukin-8 in human periodontal ligament cells stimulated with Porphyromonas gingivalis. *Arch. Oral. Biol.* 58, 397–407. doi: 10.1016/j.archoralbio.2012.09.010

Teixeira, F. B., Pereira Fernandes Lde, M., Noronha, P. A., Dos Santos, M. A., Gomes-Leal, W., Ferraz Maia Cdo, S., et al. (2014). Masticatory deficiency as a risk factor for cognitive dysfunction. *Int. J. Med. Sci.* 11, 209–214. doi: 10.7150/ijms.6801

Tonsekar, P. P., Jiang, S. S., and Yue, G. (2017). Periodontal disease, tooth loss and dementia: is there a link? A systematic review. *Gerodontology* 34, 151–163. doi: 10.1111/ger.12261

Yamamoto, T., Kondo, K., Hirai, H., Nakade, M., Aida, J., and Hirata, Y. (2012). Association between self-reported dental health status and onset of dementia: a 4-year prospective cohort study of older Japanese adults from the Aichi Gerontological Evaluation Study (AGES) Project. *Psychosom. Med.* 74, 241–248. doi: 10.1097/PSY.0b013e318246dffb

Zeng, X. T., Luo, W., Huang, W., Wang, Q., Guo, Y., and Leng, W. D. (2013). Tooth loss and head and neck cancer: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *PLoS ONE* 8:e79074. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0079074

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Chen, Ren, Wu, Xia, Shao, Leng and Zeng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.