This paper presents synthesis and photophysical investigation of cyclometalated water-soluble Pt(II) and Ir(III) complexes containing auxiliary sulfonated diphosphine (bis(diphenylphosphino)benzene (dpbb), P2P4) ligand. The complexes demonstrate considerable variations in excitation (extending up to 450 nm) and emission bands (with maxima ranging from ca. 450 to ca. 650 nm), as well as in the sensitivity of excited state lifetimes to molecular oxygen (from almost negligible to more than 4-fold increase in degassed solution). Moreover, all the complexes possess high two-photon absorption cross sections (400–500 GM for Pt complexes, and 600–700 GM for Ir complexes). Despite their negative net charge, all the complexes demonstrate good uptake by HeLa cells and low cytotoxicity within the concentration and time ranges suitable for two-photon phosphorescence lifetime (PLIM) microscopy. The most promising complex, [[ppy]2Ir(sulfo-dpbb)] [IrI*], upon incubation in HeLa cells demonstrates two-fold lifetime variations under normal and nitrogen atmosphere, correspondingly. Moreover, its in vivo evaluation in athymic nude mice bearing HeLa tumors did not reveal acute toxicity upon both intravenous and topical injections. Finally, Ir1* demonstrated statistically significant difference in lifetimes between normal tissue (muscle) and tumor in macroscopic in vivo PLIM imaging.
Application of suitable phosphorescent probes for oxygen content analysis gives valuable information, which is helpful for tumor localization and tracking its development and heterogeneity and even control of drug effect onto the tumor state. Oxygen is one of the major exogenous compounds in aerobic biological systems and any deviation from “normoxia state” indicates crucial changes in physiological status of the cells and organs studied. The oxygenation mapping with phosphorescent probes has found diverse utilization in various medical research such as oncology (see above), determination of local $O_2$ concentration in bone marrow, retina, and live brain, tophographic oxygen mapping at the macroscopic level as well as monitoring of in situ oxygenation of respiring cells and their responses to metabolic stimulation.

However, for successful application of the probes, in particular, in in vivo experiments, it is highly desirable to use the compounds having specific properties, such as (i) solubility and stability in aqueous media accompanied with internalization into living cells, (ii) emission and excitation shifted as close as possible to the “window of transparency” of biological tissues (650–900 nm), and (iii) appreciable response of emission parameters to the changes in oxygen concentration. The first group of characteristics determines the presence of the probes in living cells and intrinsically implies their amphiphilic nature. Indeed, on the one hand, the probe has to be water (incubation media)-soluble (i.e., to display hydrophilicity) to reach the target from the blood flow; on the other hand, it also has to be hydrophobic to cross phospholipidic cell membranes. Consequently, the design of suitable molecules has to take into account both features, which is a challenging synthetic task.

In general, organometallic complexes are relatively hydrophobic because of the presence in their coordination sphere the ligands based on developed aromatic systems, which are extremely hydrophobic and normally give complete insolubility of these compounds in aqueous media. Thus, it is necessary to incorporate into ligands environment hydrophilic moieties to make the complex molecule amphiphilic. A few approaches can be employed to attain the aim. Encapsulation of luminescent complexes into a cavity of relatively large water-soluble molecules, covalent, and non-covalent conjugation with biomolecules substantially increase emitters compatibility with aqueous media to give internalizable luminescent species. In the case of cationic metal complexes a choice of suitable counterions (halides, acetate, etc.) may be helpful in conversion of initially water insoluble entities into readily soluble organometallic emitters. Attachment of hydrophilic substituents (e.g. amino, amido–carboxy, imidazo-groups, monosaccharides and peptides) and water soluble polymeric fragments (polyethylene glycol, polyvinylpirrolidone) to aromatic chromophores and ancillary ligands makes the luminescent probes soluble in physiological media thus extending the area of their application to in vivo experiments.

Another group of ligands, which ensure water-solubility of the corresponding complexes and found a very wide application in homogeneous catalysis, are sulfonated $N$-heterocyclic carbenes, polypyridines and phosphines. Based on this type of ligands, a rather large variety of water-soluble luminescent Ru(n), Au(i), Au(m), Pt(n) and Ir(n) complexes were prepared and characterized. However, these complexes were rarely used in bioimaging and their suitability for advanced imaging experiments needs to be further explored.

In this paper we present systematic synthesis of cyclometalated water-soluble Pt(n) and Ir(n) complexes containing auxiliary sulfonated diphosphine (bis(diphenylphosphino) benzene (dpdpb), P*P*) and investigation of their structure and photophysical characteristics, which display considerable variations in emission gap, lifetime and sensitivity to molecular oxygen. The complexes obtained were applied as phosphorescent probes to study their internalization into cancer cells and to image molecular oxygen distribution in in vitro and in vivo tumor models using PLIM technique with one- and two-photon laser excitation.

**Experimental section**

**General comments**

Cyclometalated precursors $[(C^N)Pt(DMSO)Cl]^{27}$, $[(C^N)IrCl_2]^{29}$, were prepared according to the published procedures. Other reagents were used as received. The solution $\text{H}_3\text{Si}^1\text{H}_2\text{Ir}^{19}$ NMR and $\text{H}^1-\text{H}$ COSY spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance 400 and Avance 500 spectrometers with chemical shifts referenced to residual solvent resonances or with respect to $85\%$ H$_3$PO$_4$, $\delta = 0$ ppm. Electrospray ionization (ESI) mass spectra were recorded on a maXis H ESI-QTOF instrument (Bruker, Germany) in the ESI$^+$ and ESI$^-$ mode. Microanalyses were carried out at the analytical laboratory of the University of Eastern Finland.

**Photophysical measurements**

The photophysical measurements in solution were carried out using distilled 1,2-dichloroethane, water was purified with a Simplicity Water Purification System, Merck Millipore (type 1 water). The solutions for lifetime and quantum yield measurements in the absence of oxygen were carefully deoxygenated with three freeze–pump cycles and bubbling solution with argon. UV/Vis spectra were recorded with a Shimadzu UV-1800 spectrophotometer at concentrations of ca. $1 \times 10^{-5}$ M (1 cm cuvettes). Emission and excitation spectra in solution were recorded on a FluoMax-4 (JY Horiba Inc., Japan) spectrofluorimeter using concentration of ca. $4 \times 10^{-5}$ M. The emission quantum yield in solutions was determined by the comparative method using coumarin 102 in distilled ethanol ($\Phi_\text{r} = 0.764$) as the standard with the refraction indexes of 1,2-dichloroethane, water, and ethanol equal to 1.44, 1.33, and 1.36, respectively. Lifetimes in solution were determined by the Time-Correlated Single Photon Counting (TCSPC) method. The lifetime data were fitted using the John-Yvon software package and the Origin 9.0 program. Oxygen-dependent phosphorescence lifetime studies were performed using an Edinburgh (FS920) fluorimeter, Edinburgh FL 900 photon-counting system with a hydrogen-filled lamp as the excitation source (Edinburgh Instruments, UK). Oxygen concentration was adjusted using gas dilution system DS-02 (Peak technology, Taiwan) equipped with
mass flow controller EL-FLOW Base (Bronkhorst, Netherlands, 1500 sccm) with nitrogen as the dilution gas. The gas system was connected to thermostatic cuvette holder GS21530 (Specac, UK) with temperature controller Eurotherm 2216e (Specac, UK).

Before phosphorescence decay measurements each sample was bubbled with pre-mixed gas at a defined oxygen concentration for 30 minutes, the temperature of the holder was fixed at 37 °C. The emission decays were fitted using Edinburg Instruments software by nonlinear least square fitting method with the deconvolution of instrument response function.

Complex Pt1

[ppyPt(DMSO)Cl] (25 mg, 0.054 mmol) and 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)benzene (dpbb, 24 mg, 0.054 mmol) were dissolved in degassed CH2Cl2 (5 mL) (Scheme 1). Then silver trifluoromethanesulfonate (AgOTf, 14 mg, 0.055 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h under a nitrogen atmosphere, and then it was evaporated to dryness. Recrystallization by slow evaporation of a dichloromethane/hexane solution of Pt1 at +4 °C gave pale green crystalline material (54 mg, 98%).

$^{1}H$ NMR (400 MHz, CD3OD, 298 K): δ 8.42 (m with broad 195Pt satellites, 1H1), 8.17 (d, 3JH–H = 8.2 Hz, 1H4), 8.06 (t, 3JH–H = 7.8 Hz, 1H3), 7.89 – 7.48 (m, 25H5,9 – 13), 7.24 – 7.15 (m, 2H6,8), 7.02 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H2) ppm. $^{31}P$ NMR (162 MHz, CD3OD, 298 K): δ 48.44 (d, 1JP–Pt = 1814 Hz, 1P), 36.69 (d, 1JP–Pt = 3760 Hz, 1P) ppm. ES MS (m/z): [M – CF3SO3]+ 795.174 (calc. 795.166). Anal. calc. for C48H37F3NO3P2PtS $\cdot$ CH2Cl2 (%): C 53.17; H 3.55; N 1.27; S 2.90. Found: C 53.32; H 3.40; N 1.48; S 3.24.

Complex Pt2

Prepared analogously to Pt1 using [btpyPt(DMSO)Cl] as starting material (orange crystalline solid, 92%).

$^{1}H$ NMR (400 MHz, CD3OD, 298 K): δ 8.35 – 8.31 (m, 2H1,4), 8.28 (d, 3JH–H = 7.8 Hz, 1H5), 8.11 (t, 3JH–H = 8.2 Hz, 1H3), 7.86 – 7.74 (m, 11H), 7.66 – 7.60 (m, 6H), 7.59 – 7.51 (m, 8H), 7.45 (t, 3JH–H = 7.7 Hz, 1H4), 7.28 (t, 3JH–H = 7.6 Hz, 1H1), 6.95 (t, 3JH–H = 6.6 Hz, 1H2) ppm. $^{31}P$ NMR (162 MHz, CD3OD, 298 K): δ 48.33 (d, 1JP–Pt = 2163 Hz, 1P), 36.69 (d, 1JP–Pt = 3462 Hz, 1P) ppm. ES MS (m/z): [M – CF3SO3]+ 851.144 (calc. 851.138). Anal. calc. for C44H32F3NO3P2PtS2 $\cdot$ CH2Cl2 (%): C 49.78; H 3.16; N 1.29; S 5.91. Found: C 49.77; H 3.32; N 1.29; S 5.77.

Complex Pt3

Prepared analogously to Pt1 using [bfpyPtb(DMSO)Cl] as starting material (yellow crystalline solid, 90%).

$^{1}H$ NMR (400 MHz, CD3OD, 298 K): δ 8.19 (m with broad 195Pt satellites, 1H1), 8.06 (td, 3JH–H = 7.8, 1.3 Hz, 1H3), 7.99 (d, 3JH–H = 7.8 Hz, 1H1), 7.93 – 7.89 (m, 1Hpp), 7.86 – 7.74 (m, 12Hpp), 7.69 – 7.58 (m, 8Hpp), 7.54 – 7.50 (m, 4Hpp), 7.29 (t, 3JH–H = 7.5 Hz, 1H6), 7.20 (t, 3JH–H = 7.7 Hz, 1H7), 7.11 (d, 3JH–H = 8.2 Hz, 1H8), 6.96 (m, 1H2) ppm. $^{31}P$ NMR (162 MHz, CD3OD, 298 K): δ 48.64 (d, 1JP–Pt = 2161 Hz, 1P), 36.69 (d, 1JP–Pt = 3498 Hz, 1P) ppm. ES MS (m/z): [M – CF3SO3]+ 835.168 (calc. 835.161). Anal. calc. for C44H32F3NO3P2PtS2 $\cdot$ CH2Cl2 (%): C 49.78; H 3.16; N 1.29; S 5.91. Found: C 49.77; H 3.32; N 1.29; S 5.77.

Scheme 1  Preparation schemes and chemical structures of the Pt and Ir complexes used in the study. Water-soluble complexes are denoted by asterisks.
was applied to all data. Structural refinements were carried out using SHELXL-2014.62 One phenyl ring of the diphosphine ligand in Pt1 and triflate counterion were disordered between two orientations each and were refined with occupancies of 0.63/0.37 and 0.69/0.31, respectively; geometry and displacement restraints and constraints were applied to these moieties. The crystallization solvent in Pt2 was partially lost from the crystal and could not be resolved unambiguously. The contribution of the missing solvent to the calculated structure factors was taken into account by using a SQUEEZE routine of PLATON.63 The missing solvent was not taken into account in the unit cell content. The structure of 6 was refined as a 2-component inversion twin. All H atoms in 1–6 and 8–11 were positioned geometrically and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with C–H = 0.95–0.99 Å and $U_{iso}$ = 1.2–1.5$U_{eq}$ (parent atom). The crystallographic details are summarized in Table S1.†

Two-photon image experiments in vitro

For cell imaging experiments, about $5 \times 10^4$ HeLa cells were seeded in a 35 mm glass-bottom dish well with 2 mL of DMEM included. Incubation condition is at 37 °C and CO2 to air ratio of 5:95. After 24 h of incubation, each dish was washed three times with PBS buffer solution and then introduced with 50 μM of iridium complex (for Ir1* and Ir2*) or 75 μM of platinum complex (for Pt2*, Pt3*, Pt4*). After this 18 hours incubation of metal complex, HeLa cells were washed three times with PBS buffer solution and then added phenol red-free culture medium in each dish. Finally, HeLa cells were studied by a Zeiss LSM710 confocal laser-scanning microscope with 63× objective for observation (P-APO, 1.40 oil immersion). The 80 MHz, 100 fs mode-locked Ti:sapphire laser (Mai-Tai DeepSee, Spectra-Physics) was used as a two-photon excitation light source. The temperature was maintained at 37 °C during the experiments.

Phosphorescence lifetime imaging (PLIM) in vitro

Zeiss LSM 710 (Zeiss, Germany) equipped with 80 MHz fs mode-locked Ti:sapphire laser (Mai-Tai DeepSee, Spectra-Physics) was used for the experiments. All data were collected using Plan-Apochromat 63×/1.4 oil immersion objective lens (Zeiss, Germany). To make time-resolved luminescence imaging we used time-correlated single-photon counting board SPC-150, 16-channel spectral detector PML-16-1-C and programmable pulse generator DDG-210 (Becker&Hickl GmbH, Germany). The DDG-210 is triggered by the pixel clock of the LSM 710 and delivers a laser modulation signal of programmable width, which is fed to the laser and its intensity is modulated. The modulation signal is recorded and phosphorescence emission is built up, and when it is switched off, only phosphorescence signal is collected. The detailed operation of the FLIM/PLIM with Zeiss LSM systems can be found elsewhere.67 The TCSPC data were analyzed by the SPCImage 6.0 software (Becker & Hickl GmbH, Germany).
In vitro cytotoxicity – MTT assay

For determining the cytotoxicity of metal complexes to HeLa cell, MTT assay was conducted by using a common colorimetric assay agent, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT, Roche, Germany). At first, HeLa cells were seeded in a 96-well incubation plate with 5 × 10^4 cells per well in a 90% Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (HyClone, GE Healthcare, USA) along with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (HyClone, GE Healthcare, USA). To compare the cytotoxicity effect of different metal complex concentration, six different concentrations were prepared for each plate (0–70 μM for Ir1*, Ir2*, Ir3*, Ir4*; 0–150 μM for Pt2*, Pt3*, and Pt4*). After 24 hours of incubation, every well was washed twice with PBS buffer solution, followed by addition of 200 μL of the culture medium containing 10% MTT agent. After 3 hours of reaction time, the culture medium was removed and 200 μL of dimethyl-sulfoxide (Merck, USA) was added to dissolve the deposited purple formazan crystal in the cell. The absorbance of the complexes was recorded at 595 nm. All experimental results (average and standard deviation) were calculated from three replicates collected by an ELISA reader system (VersaMax™ Microplate Spectrophotometers; Molecular-Devices, USA).

Photostability experiments

Photostability study of the metal complexes was compared with commonly used chromophores (coumarin 480 and rhodamine B), which possess similar absorption and emission bands. Samples were dissolved in PBS (10 mM, pH 7.4). Absorbances are fixed to 0.05 at 360 nm (For Ir1* and C480, λ_max = 720 nm) or at 430 nm (For Ir2*, Pt2*, Pt3*, Pt4* and rhodamine B, λ_max = 860 nm). The excitation power was 32 mW at the objective lens. Luminescence intensity at the emission maxima was recorded with continuous exposure to excitation with 50 scan times with time interval of 2.0 seconds. Data was collected by Zeiss LSM710 microscope equipped with 63× objective (P-APO, NA = 1.40, oil immersion).

Tumor model

Experiments were performed on female athymic nude mice purchased from the Pushchino animal nursery (Pushchino, Russia). To generate tumors, mice of 20–22 g body weight were injected subcutaneously in the left flank with HeLa cells (2 × 10^5 in 200 μL PBS). Experiments were carried out in 14 days after the cell injection, when the tumors reached ~10–12 mm in size. For imaging procedures the mice were anesthetized intramuscularly with a mixture of Zoletil (40 mg kg⁻¹, 50 μL, Virbac SA, Carros, France) and 2% Rometar (10 mg kg⁻¹, 10 μL, Spofo, Czech Republic), and a skin flap over the tumor was surgically opened. After image acquisition, the animals were sacrificed by cervical dislocation and the tumors were excised for histopathology. For animal study, Ir1* was dissolved in distilled water in a concentration 30 mg mL⁻¹ and injected topically, directly into the tumor or muscle 20–30 min prior to the study (50 μL of solution in 4–6 injections, total dose 75 mg kg⁻¹ body weight).

Tumor-bearing mice that did not receive the injection of Ir1* served as control.

Two-photon microscopy in vivo

An LSM 880 (Carl Zeiss, Germany) fluorescence confocal laser-scanning microscope equipped with a femtosecond Ti:Sapphire laser with a repetition rate of 80 MHz and pulse duration of 140 fs was used to obtain two-photon fluorescence images. The images were acquired through a water immersion objective C-Apochromat 40×/1.2 NA W Korr. For in vivo image acquisition, an anesthetized animal was placed on the glass cover slip, so that the objective was focused on the region of interest (tumor or muscle surface). Ir1* was excited at a wavelength of 750 nm, emission was detected in the range 430–600 nm. For spectral imaging, emitted light was collected in the spectral range of 400–662 nm under lambda mode.

PLIM in vivo

A confocal scanning system for macroscopic objects DCS-120 MACRO (Becker & Hickl GmbH, Germany) equipped with a TCSPC-based module was used for one-photon PLIM of tumors in vivo. Ir1* was excited with a picosecond diode laser at 405 nm (BDL-SMN-405, Becker&Hickl GmbH, Germany). Long pass (HQ435LP, Chroma, USA) and dichroic 560 nm (Chroma, USA) filters have been used to suppress the emission from the laser. The PLIM data were processed using SPCImage software (Becker & Hickl GmbH, Germany). Phosphorescence lifetime analysis was performed for 5 tumor nodules and 3 areas of muscles in 2 mice by selecting specific regions of interest. Data were represented as mean ± SD.

This study was performed in strict accordance with the Russian national guidelines for the use of laboratory animals (the USSR Ministry of Higher and Middle Professional Education, Decreet No. 742, dated 13.11.1984) and was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Privolzhsky Research Medical University (Nizhny Novgorod, Russia).

Results and discussion

Synthesis and photophysical study of Pt(II) and Ir(III) complexes

Cyclometalated platinum(n) and iridium (m) complexes containing hydrophobic and water soluble diphenphosphate s were obtained in good yield using slightly modified conventional procedures (Scheme 1). The complexes based on sulfonated 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)benzene (dppb) display excellent solubility and stability in aqueous media, good cellular uptake and very low cytotoxicity that provide a background for their application in imaging experiments (vide infra). For details of synthetic procedures and structural characterization (XRD, mass-spectrometry, NMR spectroscopy) of the platinum and iridium compounds see ESI, Section 1.

All complexes obtained excluding platinum phenylpyridine derivatives Pt1 and Pt1* are luminescent in organic solvents (Pt2–Pt4) and in aqueous solution (Pt2*–Pt4* and Ir1*, Ir2*). Their photophysical characteristics are summarized in Table 1;
excitation and emission spectra are shown in Fig. 1. Absorption spectra and additional discussion of the spectroscopic properties are given in ESI file (Section 2).†

It can be easily seen that emission profiles of the hydrophobic and water-soluble platinum congeners (Pt4–Pt1) are essentially similar, which is indicative of negligible effect of the phosphine ligands onto emission characteristics. The platinum complexes display a rather weak luminescence in fluid media with the broaden emission bands containing ill-defined vibronic structure that is in agreement with the predominant MLCT nature of emissive excited state associated mainly with metalating ligands. Large stokes shifts and lifetimes in the microsecond domain clearly show that emission occurs from the lowest triplet manifold, i.e. phosphorescence. Expansion of aromatic system in the C–N ligand and introduction of electron accepting substituent into quinoline fragment in the Pt4/Pt4 complexes results in a considerable bathochromic shift of the emission maxima in accord with the well-known trend revealed for metalated platinum complexes.44 It has to be noted that the effect of emission quenching with molecular oxygen is very minor for these platinum complexes, which makes difficult their application as oxygen sensors, with the only exclusion of Pt2* where quantum yields in degassed aqueous solution is four times higher than that in the aerated solution.

The photophysics of the [Ir(C–N)2(X–X)]1+/0 iridium complexes (X = N, P, O) have been extensively studied during the last decade48 because of attractive luminescent characteristics of these molecular emitters, in particular, for application in bioimaging.5–10 It has to be mentioned that a complete analogue of Ir1* with non-sulfonated diphosphine (Ir1) was earlier prepared and studied experimentally and theoretically.76,77 Similar to the platinum relatives, the peak wavelengths and profiles of the absorption and emission bands for Ir1* and its Ir1 analogue68–71 are nearly identical. The result points to similarity of their electronic structure and likeness of the nature of emissive transitions. Consequently, the emission of the Ir1 and Ir1* compounds may be assigned to a combination of metal perturbed IL and MLCT excited states with substantial contribution of the former that is also indicated by vibronically structured emission band. Ir2* containing substituted quinoline fragment in the metalating ligand displays a substantial red shift of emission and transformation of the emission profile into structureless band that points to domination of MLCT process in generation of the emissive excited state in this complex. Sensitivity of emission parameters to molecular oxygen for Ir1* and Ir2* is rather different; the higher degree of emission quenching with O2 has been found for Ir1*, which may be related to lower shielding of the chromophoric center in the phenyl pyridine complex and provides a background for the complex application in phosphorescence lifetime imaging (PLIM) experiments aimed at oxygen mapping in biological samples (vide infra).

To further evaluate applicability of the water-soluble iridium complexes for the bioimaging applications, we measured their two-photon absorption (TPA) cross sections (σ2) in water via a Z-scan method (see Experimental section in ESI, and Fig. S36†). Using a femtosecond laser (λem 800 nm, 180 fs, 1 kHz), σ2 values of Ir1* and Ir2* in water were measured to be 627 ± 9 GM and 655 ± 46 GM, correspondingly (1 GM = 10−50 cm4 s per photon).

These values are comparable to the highest TPA cross section values among the iridium complexes reported in the literature.72–75 As for the titled platinum complexes, the same excitation wavelength (800 nm) is applied at Pt2*, Pt3* and Pt4* in water, and their TPA cross sections were measured to be 416 ± 29 GM, 400 ±

| Table 1 | Photophysical properties of complexes |
|--------|-------------------------------------|
| No.    | Abs, nm (ε × 10−3, M⁻¹ cm⁻¹)       | λex, nm | λem, nm | τobs (aer/deg), μs | Φ (aer/deg), % |
| Pt1*   | 232 (80), 280 sh (21), 315 (6.5), 325 sh (6.0), 350 sh (2.5) | 315, 415 | 525 sh, 577 | 0.53/0.91† | 0.64/2.61 |
|        | Non luminescent in solution          |          |          |                  |               |
| Pt2*   | 237 (85), 270 sh (36), 280 sh (29), 330 sh (6.6), 415 (3.1) | 232, 68, 280 sh (21), 305 sh (12), 415 (2.4) | 360, 410 sh | 620 sh, 648 | 0.18/0.38† | 0.57/0.78 |
| Pt3*   | 225 sh (78), 245 sh (72), 275 sh (31), 358 (8.3), 410 sh (2.6) | 232, 68, 280 sh (21), 305 sh (12), 415 (2.4) | 360, 410 sh | 620 sh, 648 | 0.18/0.38† | 0.57/0.78 |
| Pt4*   | 230 (85), 280 sh (22), 300 sh (13), 353 (5.2) | 225 sh (78), 245 sh (72), 275 sh (31), 358 (8.3), 410 sh (2.6) | 360, 420 sh | 620 sh, 648 | 0.18/0.38† | 0.57/0.78 |
| Ir1*   | 232 (85), 280 sh (22), 300 sh (13), 353 (5.2) | 230 (85), 280 sh (22), 300 sh (13), 353 (5.2) | 360, 420 sh | 620 sh, 648 | 0.18/0.38† | 0.57/0.78 |
| Ir2*   | 232 (85), 280 sh (22), 300 sh (13), 353 (5.2) | 230 (85), 280 sh (22), 300 sh (13), 353 (5.2) | 360, 420 sh | 620 sh, 648 | 0.18/0.38† | 0.57/0.78 |
| Pt1    | 265 sh (34), 320 (7.5), 332 (7.5), 358 (3.2), 375 sh (2.2) | 265 sh (34), 320 (7.5), 332 (7.5), 358 (3.2), 375 sh (2.2) | 360, 420 sh | 620 sh, 648 | 0.18/0.38† | 0.57/0.78 |
| Pt2    | 269 (33), 305 sh (12), 337 (7.1), 426 (3.5) | 269 (33), 305 sh (12), 337 (7.1), 426 (3.5) | 360, 420 sh | 620 sh, 648 | 0.18/0.38† | 0.57/0.78 |
| Pt3    | 264 (35), 275 sh (25), 283 sh (20), 305 sh (13), 425 sh (2.7) | 264 (35), 275 sh (25), 283 sh (20), 305 sh (13), 425 sh (2.7) | 360, 420 sh | 620 sh, 655 | 0.09/0.10 | 0.05/0.08 |
| Pt4    | 270 sh (29), 278 (28), 285 (28), 363 (10), 420 sh (3.2) | 270 sh (29), 278 (28), 285 (28), 363 (10), 420 sh (3.2) | 360, 420 sh | 620 sh, 655 | 0.09/0.10 | 0.05/0.08 |

a In aqueous solution. b In 1,2-dichloroethane. c Observed emission lifetime is calculated from the data on double-exponential decay – τobs = (A1τ1² + A2τ2²)/(A1τ1 + A2τ2).
Analyses of the whole set of the photophysical data including measurements of TPA cross-sections show that Ir1* is the most suitable species for oxygen concentration mapping using PLIM technique since it shows the largest dynamic range of lifetimes (more than 4-fold increase in degassed state) and the highest $\Phi_{\text{deg}}$ and $\sigma_2$ values. To evaluate applicability of this complex for PLIM measurements we carried out a series of test experiments in solution, in vitro and in vivo: quantification of the lifetime characteristics on the oxygen concentration in solution, cytotoxicity assay and two photon imaging in PLIM mode on HeLa cells, as well as in vivo PLIM of nude mice bearing HeLa tumors.

**Phosphorescence quenching**

We performed exploration of Ir1* response to variations in oxygen concentration in attempts to monitor the cell hypoxia effect due to its potential correlation with tumor proliferation.\textsuperscript{26} In some cases, for example, the intracellular oxygen concentration in solid tumors can drop down to almost $0\%$.\textsuperscript{26,27,81} There have been a number of oxygen-sensitive luminescent materials designed for monitoring intracellular oxygen level and tumor hypoxia,\textsuperscript{11,21,82–85} among which the phosphors based on transition-metal complexes have attracted considerable attention for their promising applications in imaging. The dynamic quenching of phosphorescence due to collisional energy transfer to the triplet ground state $O_2$ molecules results in the radiationless deactivation of the phosphor lowest triplet state and lets phosphorescent emitters become suitable $O_2$ sensors. Practically, $O_2$ sensors based on this phenomenon increase their emission intensity and lifetime as the oxygen concentration decreases thus making detection of tumor hypoxia possible.

The ratio of phosphorescence lifetime is related to the concentration of existing quencher, i.e., oxygen, according to the well-known Stern–Volmer eqn (1),

$$\frac{I_0}{I} = \frac{\tau_0}{\tau} = 1 + K_{SV}[Q] \quad (1)$$

where $I$ and $\tau$ are the phosphorescence emission intensity and lifetime at a certain quencher concentration $[Q]$, $I_0$ and $\tau_0$ correspond to zero quencher concentration, and $K_{SV}$ is the Stern–Volmer constant. Fig. 2a shows the phosphorescence decay of Ir1* under various oxygen pressures at 37 °C. The resulting Stern–Volmer plot shown in Fig. 2b renders a $K_{SV}$ value of 5.54 atm$^{-1}$. This, together with its good emission yield and excellent stability in the course of the PLIM experiments (3–5 minutes, \textit{vide infra}), meets the requirement of hypoxia effect monitoring by PLIM.\textsuperscript{82}

**Cellular uptake and cytotoxicity of Ir1**

We applied laser-scanning microscopy (LSM) combined with two-photon excitation technique to study cellular uptake of water-soluble complexes developed in the present study. The two-photon excitation microscopy offers larger penetration depth and lower photodamage for intact tissue image in comparison with one-photon excitation.\textsuperscript{31,86} The LSM
experiments indicated successful uptake of Ir1* by HeLa cells (18 hours incubation), and its primarily location within the cytoplasm (Fig. S37†). Note that similar behavior was observed for other water-soluble complexes (Fig. S38†) indicating that negatively charged complexes bearing sulfo groups can penetrate cells despite of electrostatic repulsion at cell membrane. This behavior can be attributed to amphiphilic nature of these complexes bearing not only hydrophilic phosphine ligands but also hydrophobic groups facilitating crossing cell membranes.

Since cell-permeable transition metal complexes can potentially be highly cytotoxic, we have also performed MTT assay test to evaluate their cytotoxicity. The results (Fig. S39†) show that, upon incubation of HeLa cells with Ir1* and Ir2* up to 70 μM for 24 hours, more than 95% of HeLa cells has survived regardless of the complexes concentrations, affirming low cytotoxicity for both Ir1* and Ir2*. Analogously, the Pt complexes revealed no cytotoxic effect up to 70 μM for 24 hours, and rather high IC50 values ranging from 110 to 140 μM (Fig. S40†).

**Two-photon in vitro PLIM on HeLa cells**

For O2 sensing, the detection modalities can be categorized by emission intensity and lifetime measurements. The emission intensity assay can be attained either by monitoring at a single wavelength of O2-sensitive dye or by the ratiometric intensity assay can be attained either by monitoring at a single wavelength of O2-sensitive dye or by the ratiometric intensity assay by changing the excitation wavelength. Unfortunately, this modality commonly encounters interferences from autofluorescence and dye delivery dynamics to the cell, which makes it difficult to quantify oxygen content.12 Compared with nanosecond-scale autofluorescence, fortunately, the phosphorescence originating from transition metal complexes possesses much longer (microsecond) lifetimes, so that the time-gated method is a superior technique benefitting from the above difference in the time scale.13 Accordingly, the phosphorescence lifetime imaging (PLIM) becomes a powerful tool in investigating lifetime distribution of phosphorescent materials in cell or tissue and hence in tracking of oxygen concentrations.14 Fig. 3 shows two-photon absorption PLIM of HeLa cells incubated with 50 μM Ir1* under standard oxygenated environment (Fig. 3a–c) and under N2 saturated atmosphere (Fig. 3d–f). In these images (panels b and e) the phosphorescence lifetime is symbolized by colors from blue (short decay) to red (long decay). The data obtained (Fig. 3c and f) indicate that the lifetime distribution of Ir1* in HeLa cells under the N2 saturated atmosphere is significantly longer than that in the standard atmosphere. The amplitude weighted mean lifetime was calculated to be 1.05 and 2.17 μs for standard and N2 saturated atmosphere, respectively (with relative uncertainty in lifetime calculations not exceeding 5%), affirming the potential of Ir1* for detecting intracellular oxygen level and hence monitoring hypoxia in vitro.

The photostability of phosphorescence probes plays a key role in PLIM measurements. Under the high photon flux used in two photon excitation, photobleaching may take place during the data acquisition. This may cause a serious problem for PLIM since it requires a few minutes to collect sufficient data for lifetime analyses. For a fair photostability evaluation, we compared iridium complexes Ir1* and Ir2* with common luminophores coumarin 480 (C480) and rhodamine B.

Under the same power and excitation wavelength (λexc = 720 nm for Ir1* and C480, and λexc = 860 nm for Ir2*, Pt2*, Pt3*, Pt4* and rhodamine B), together with 50 scans (acquisition time of every scan is 1.94 second), each scan interval of 2 seconds, the emission intensity of C480 and rhodamine B dropped rapidly, whereas the emission intensity of Ir1* and Ir2* still exceeded 97% of the first scan emission intensity (see Fig. 4). In the similar way, photostabilities of Pt complexes were found to be higher than that of rhodamine B, though slightly lower than those of Ir complexes (Fig. S41†). We thus concluded that the titled water-soluble iridium complexes, especially Ir1*, are robust and have great photostability suitable for the PLIM measurements in vitro. In the next section, we have then evaluated its applicability for PLIM experiments in vivo.

**Evaluation of Ir1* applicability for in vivo PLIM imaging**

In order to demonstrate the applicability of Ir1* to oxygen measurements in animal tissues, we performed in vivo experiments on mice with HeLa tumor xenografts.
In this study we found that Ir1* shows detectable phosphorescence in tumor tissue upon local injection, whereas a intravenous administration of Ir1* in the same dose (75 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) tumor uptake was insufficient for the phosphorescence detection using available technique. It is important to mention that no acute toxicity effects were observed in mice neither after local, nor after systemic administration of the probe. Examples of phosphorescence lifetime image of the tumor and the phosphorescence decay curve are shown in Fig. 5. The tumor areas had phosphorescence lifetimes 2590 ± 60 ns. In the muscle, the phosphorescence lifetime was 2410 ± 70 ns (Fig. S42†). The phosphorescence decay curves were fitted with a single-exponential decay mode with an average goodness of fit less than 1.2. The average number of the analysed photons per curve were not less than 5000. Slightly longer phosphorescence lifetime in the tumor (\(p < 0.001\)) was, likely, due to reduced level of oxygenation as compared to muscle tissue. Reduced tumor oxygenation compared to surrounding normal tissue (muscle) was previously demonstrated in vivo using PLIM and O\(_2\)-sensors for different subcutaneous mouse tumor models.\(^{23}\)

After PLIM, the tumors were examined in vivo on confocal laser scanning microscope to analyze distribution of the probe at the microscopic level (Fig. 5d–f). It was revealed that intratumoral administration of Ir1* resulted in cellular internalization of the dye and staining of the cell cytoplasm along with connective tissue (collagen). A parallel detection of the emission spectra (the lambda scan) confirmed the accumulation of Ir1* in the tissue. Measurements made for control tumor without an injection of Ir1* did not show any phosphorescence signal.

Meanwhile, the phosphorescence lifetimes recorded in the tissue were generally longer than the values in solutions and in cultured HeLa cells. Besides oxygen concentration, some other factors are supposed to affect the phosphorescence lifetimes.
of oxygen sensitive probes, e.g. binding to proteins, temperature, pH. To estimate the effect of the protein binding, we performed additional PLIM measurements of Ir1* in distilled water and in the presence of 10% fetal bovine serum (Table S4†). We found that the presence of the serum proteins does not result in considerable change of the phosphorescence lifetimes. Consequently, interaction with proteins cannot be the reason for elongation of the phosphorescence lifetimes in living tissue. To convert the PLIM map into oxygen map, intracellular calibration of the lifetime vs. oxygen concentration is needed. Nevertheless, these pilot experiments on tumor-bearing mice illustrate the high potential of novel Ir1* complex for in vivo mapping of oxygen level in tissue.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, we present synthesis and photophysical investigation of cyclometalated water-soluble Pt(II) and Ir(III) complexes containing auxiliary sulfonated diphosphine (bis(diphenylphosphino)benzene (dpbb), P=Por ligand. The complexes demonstrate considerable variations in excitation (extending up to 450 nm) and emission band wavelengths (maxima ranging from ca. 450 to ca. 650 nm), as well as in sensitivity of excited-state lifetimes to molecular oxygen, from almost negligible to more than 4-fold increase in degassed solution. Moreover, all the complexes possess high two-photon absorption cross sections (400–500 GM for Pt complexes, and 600–700 GM for Ir complexes).

Despite their negative net charge, all these complexes demonstrate good uptake by HeLa cells and low cytotoxicity within the concentration and time ranges suitable for two-photon phosphorescence lifetime (PLIM) microscopy. The most promising complex, [[ppy]Ir(sulfo-dppb)] (Ir1*), demonstrates two-fold lifetime changes in HeLa cell incubated under normal and nitrogen atmosphere, correspondingly. Further, in vivo evaluation of Ir1* in athymic nude mice bearing HeLa xenografts did not reveal acute toxicity upon both intravenous administration and topical injection. Finally, Ir1* demonstrated statistically significant difference in lifetimes between normal tissue (muscle) and tumor in whole-body in vivo PLIM imaging. Though the pilot experiments on tumor-bearing mice illustrate the high potential of novel Ir1* complex for in vivo mapping of oxygen level in tissue, improvement of the phosphorescence quantum yield and selectivity of the complex to tumor are desirable, and this work is now in progress.
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