Expression of melatonin receptors in triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) in African American and Caucasian women: relation to survival
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Abstract In the normal rodent breast, the pineal hormone melatonin controls the development of ductal and alveolar tissue. Melatonin counteracts tumor occurrence and tumor cell progression in vivo and in vitro in animal and human breast cancer cell cultures. It acts predominantly through its melatonin MT1 receptor. Our aim was to investigate the presence or absence of the MT1 melatonin receptor in the aggressive triple negative group of human breast carcinoma (TNBC) and its possible relationship to the course of the disease. A total of 167 patients with a ER−, PR−, Her-2/neu− phenotype in which tissue for receptor studies was available were examined. The MT1 receptor immunostain was evaluated semiquantitatively as staining intensity (0, 1, 2, 3), percentage of stained cells and the weighted index (WI) (staining intensity times percentage of stained cells). A score of WI < 60 was regarded as “negative”. There was a striking difference in incidence of MT1 positivity and staining intensity between carcinomas in African American (AA) and Caucasian (C) women. The AA showed a higher incidence of MT1 negative tumors (41/84 = 48.8 % in AA, 6/51 = 11.8 % in C) and a lower average WI. MT1 positivity in TNBC was associated with a lower stage and a smaller tumor size at time of diagnosis. In multivariable survival analysis, MT1 negative TNBC in all cases regardless of race showed a significantly higher hazard ratio for disease progression, shorter progression free survival, and disease-related death, and shorter OS. This was especially pronounced in the AA group but did...
not reach statistical significance in the smaller group of C alone. These results suggest that melatonin or a melatonin receptor agonist may be useful biologic additions in the treatment of some forms of TNBC, especially in AA who generally show a more aggressive course of their disease.
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Introduction

Melatonin is the main secretory product of the pineal gland. It is secreted in a high amplitude circadian periodic pattern, the timing of which is determined by the environmental light–dark regimen [1, 2]. Melatonin acts both through non-receptor-dependent anti-oxidative and receptor-dependent mechanisms. Two types of G-protein-bound specific high affinity receptors (MT1 and MT2) are described [3–5].

In addition to effects upon the endocrine and immune systems, melatonin exerts, via the MT1 receptor, direct regulatory actions on cell proliferation [4]. In the normal rodent breast, melatonin controls the development of ductal and alveolar tissue [6], and counteracts tumor occurrence and tumor cell proliferation in vivo and in vitro (e.g., Hill et al. [6]; Blask et al. [7]). Anti-proliferative actions of melatonin on human and animal tumor cell lines and in animal models have been reported for breast cancer [6, 8–10], prostate cancer [11, 12], colon cancer [13], some but not all ovarian cancers [14], endometrial cancer [15], liver cancer (hepatoma 7288 CTC) [16], pancreatic cancer [17], malignant melanoma [18–20], oral squamous cell carcinoma [21], and glioma cells [22].

The oncostatic and anti-invasive actions of melatonin and of melatonin receptor agonists [23] are mediated via the MT1 melatonin receptor [6], are enhanced by MT1 receptor overexpression [6, 24], and are inhibited by luzindole, a MT1 inhibitor [6]. In physiologic concentration, melatonin suppresses the growth of both estrogen receptor alpha (ERα)-positive (MCF-7, T47D, ZR 75-1) and some ERα-negative (MDA-MB-468) human breast cancer cell lines in vitro. The proliferation of other ERα-negative cell lines (MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-336, and BT-20) is not inhibited by melatonin [6, 8, 25].

In some studies, the expression of the MT1 receptor correlated positively with the expression of the ERα receptor [26]. By way of activating its MT1 receptor, melatonin can suppress the development of cancer via a broad spectrum of mechanisms with and without involvement of ERα [6]. In rodents, melatonin in vivo inhibits the development and growth of both carcinogen-induced [27, 28] and spontaneously developing [29, 30] mammary tumors acting through melatonin receptor-mediated mechanisms [31, 32].

The development of specific antibodies [33] allowed the study of MT1 receptors in histologic sections of human breast and breast carcinomas [26, 34]. With immunohistochemistry, MT1 cytoplasmic activity is expressed in benign luminal breast epithelial cells. The receptor was absent or weak in ducts and acini in 68% of cases. In contrast, moderate to strong reactivity was seen in most (75%) of the cases of ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) and invasive ductal carcinoma (IDC). In the available studies, no mention was made of the triple negative phenotype of breast cancer.

The triple negative variant of human breast cancer (TNBC) (ER−, PR−, HER2/neu−) includes several different molecular patterns and different phenotypes with varying expression of basal and luminal markers [35–37], and differences in course and outcome [37, 38]. There are differences between ethnic groups with a higher incidence and more aggressive course in AA women [39] and in Hispanic women [40]. The MT1 receptor status in TNBC is at this time unknown. The use of melatonin as supportive treatment of breast cancer has been suggested on the basis of preclinical data [7, 9, 41] and several small clinical trials [42–46], none including the triple negative phenotype. There is presently no targeted biologic treatment for these tumors as is available for ER-positive and HER2-positive cancers. If subgroups of TNBC with high MT1 activity can be identified, the possibility exists that melatonin can be added to the usual chemotherapy. The goal of this study is to identify the presence or absence of the MT1 melatonin receptor in TNBC in African American (AA) and Caucasian (C) women, and to explore clinical correlations between clinicopathologic parameters, cancer markers and the course of the disease with the expression of MT1.

Methods

With Investigation Review Board (IRB) approval, the surgical pathology files for 2003 to 2008 at Emory University and Grady Memorial Hospitals (Atlanta, Georgia) were searched for breast carcinomas that did not immunostain for ER, and PR and which were scored as 0, 1+, or 2+ immunohistochemically (IHC), with fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) as non-amplified HER2. A total of 167 TNBC were identified in which formalin fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tissue for MT1 receptor studies was available. Of these, 84 were AA and 53 C women. Since not all variables were available in all women, the numbers in some tables are different. The population statistics of the AA and C women are summarized in Table 1. An additional group of five subjects were of Asian descendent.
Immunohistochemistry

Tissue microarrays (TMA) were constructed using two 1.0-mm tissue cores from each cancer; 1.0-mm cores of normal breast tissue were included as controls. TMAs were immunostained for the MT1 receptor and a series of biomarkers of interest using the antibodies, positive controls, and cut-offs for “positive” listed in Table 2. Negative controls were concurrently run, with the primary antibody replaced with buffer.

Five micron sections of the TMAs were stained with predetermined appropriate dilutions using the Dako Autostainer (Dako, Carpinteria, CA). MT1 receptor was determined with the melatonin receptor antibody in the kit by Bioscience Research Reagents (formerly Chemicon, Temecula, CA). In addition to the MT1 receptor, 18 biomarkers were evaluated in each tissue (Table 2). Antigen retrieval was performed in citrate buffer at pH 6.0 under a pressure of 15 pounds per square inch for 3 min. For CK8, citrate buffer was replaced by EDTA at pH 8.0. The EnVision + Dual Link Kit (Dako) which uses a polymer was the detection method used according to the manufacturer’s instructions, with diaminobenzidine as the chromogen and hematoxylin as counterstain. For androgen receptor (AR), the LSAB2 DAKO detection kit was used.

All slides were examined by the same experienced breast pathologist who was blinded in regards to ethnic background and controls. The immunohistochemical expression of MT1 was semi-quantitatively graded as staining intensity (0, 1, 2, and 3) and as percentage of cells stained (0–100 %). The product of these two measurements resulted in a WI. In MT1 receptor staining, a WI of 60 or more was called positive, and

Table 1  Triple negative carcinomas of the breast (TNBC) in African American (AA) and Caucasian (C) women: number, ethnicity, and age of patients with TNBC

| Ethnic group | Below 50 years | Above 50 years | All Patients |
|--------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|
|              | No. | Age | No. | Age | No. | Age |
|              | Mean | ±SD | Mean | ±SD | Mean | ±SD |
| African American | 35  | 38.7 | 6.6  | 46  | 61.2 | 8.9  |
| Caucasian    | 10  | 43.9 | 4.8  | 43  | 62.7 | 10.4 |

Table 2  Antibodies used for immunohistological analysis

| Antibody                    | Clone       | Dilution | Source                      | Positive control | Cut-off valuesa |
|-----------------------------|-------------|----------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Melatonin receptor MT1      | OPA1-15641  | 1:40     | Bioscience Research         | Pineal           | WIb > 60        |
| CK5 or 5/6                  | D5/16B4     | 1:80     | DAKO                        | Mesothelioma     | 5 %             |
| CK7                        | OB-TL 12/30 | 1:40     | DAKO                        | Non-small cell carcinoma of the lung | 5 %             |
| CK8                        | 35BH11      | 1:40     | DAKO                        | Pancreatic carcinoma | 5 %             |
| CK14                       | NCL-LL002   | 1:40     | Novostra                    | Placenta         | 5 %             |
| CK18                       | DC10        | 1:10     | DAKO                        | Carcinoid tumor  | 5 %             |
| CK19                       | BA17        | 1:50     | DAKO                        | Colon carcinoma  | 5 %             |
| Vimentin                   | V9          | 1:320    | DAKO                        | Signet ring cell carcinoma | 5 %             |
| CD44                       | DF-1485     | 1:2      | Zymed                       | Prostate         | 5 %             |
| c-Kit (CD 117)             | Polyclonal  | 1:800    | DAKO                        | Gastrointestinal stromal tumor | 1 %             |
| Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) | FDA-Approved kit | Pre diluted | DAKO | Colon carcinoma | 1 %             |
| Insulin-like growth factor receptor (IGFR) | Polyclonal | 1:80 | Santa Cruz Biotech | Prostate cancer | WIb > 200 |
| P-cadherin (P-CADH)         | 56C1        | 1:40     | Novostra                    | Placenta         | 10 %            |
| p53                        | D07         | 1:80     | DAKO                        | Colon carcinoma  | 10 %            |
| p63                        | 4A4         | 1:160    | Neomarkers                  | Squamous cell carcinoma of skin | 1 %             |
| Topoisomerase (TOPO)        | Ki-S1       | 1:160    | DAKO                        | Melanoma of the skin | 5 %             |
| Androgen receptor (AR)      | F39.4.1     | 1:40     | DAKO                        | Breast carcinoma | 5 %             |
| Ki-67                      | Mib-1       | 1:160    | DAKO                        | Tonsil           | 10 %            |
| Survivin (SVNC)            | 3F343 (SC-73083) | 1:60 | Santa Cruz Biotech | Lymph node | 10 %            |
| ZEB                        | E20 (SC-10572) | 1:100 | Santa Cruz Biotech | Breast cancer | 10 %            |

a Percentage of cells with antibody staining
b Weighted index (WI): Intensity of staining × Percentage of positive cells
any WI below this cut-off was negative (Fig. 1). The concordance of two separate cores for MT1 was assessed in 91 patients, and for each core the expression of MT1 was categorized as positive and negative. Kappa statistics were used with $\kappa = 0.54$ ($p < 0.001$).

Suitable cut-off values, based upon percentage of cells staining, and WIs were determined individually for each of the other markers as listed in Table 2. Carcinomas were considered positive if the percentage of cells with antibody staining was greater than or equal to the cut-off value of the respective marker. Percentages (0–100 %) were averaged between the two cores. Antigen expression is cytoplasmic for MT1, cytokeratins, vimentin, and CD44; membranous for c-Kit, EGFR, and P-cadherin; and nuclear for p53, p63, topoisomerase (TOPT), AR, Ki-67, and survivin.

### Statistical methods

The optimal cut point for MT1 was determined by the maximum log rank test statistic method [47] based on MT1 WI. For univariate association with MT1 (negative vs. positive), numerical covariates were analyzed by ANOVA/ Wilcoxon sum rank test and Chi-Square/Fisher’ exact test for categorical covariates. Multivariable logistic regression model was conducted to predict MT1 negative by backward elimination, in which a start up variable list contains all significant variables in the univariate association.

Progression free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) were associated with each clinical characteristic and immunohistochemical (IHC) MT1 expression individually and followed by a multivariable analysis through the Cox proportional hazards model by backward elimination, in which a start up variable list contains all significant variables in the univariate survival analysis. The OS is defined as days from date of diagnosis to either date of death, if the patient died, or to date of the last follow-up, if the patient is still alive. The PFS is defined as days from the date of diagnosis to the date of the first local recurrence or the first metastasis, whichever is earlier, if the patient progresses, or to the date of the last follow-up if the patient does not progress.

The correlation between two biomarkers was performed using the Spearman correlation coefficient.

The analyses and summary tables were done using SAS 9.2. The significance level was set at 0.05.

### Results

MT1 receptor and clinical characterizations

Table 3 shows the univariate correlation of MT1 with clinical characteristics at time of diagnosis. In regards to age, younger women with TNBC more frequently lacked MT1 expression. The difference was striking between ethnicities. AA women were more frequently MT1 negative (48.8 % in AA vs. 11.8 % in C). We conducted a multivariable logistic regression analysis for predicting negative MT1 expression, the odds ratio (OR) for AA compared to C was 5.91 ($p = 0.001$) after taking tumor size into account, which indicates that the relationship of MT1 expression with race was independent of the size of the tumors. The WI in patients below and above 50 years of age, was significantly higher in the C as compared to AA women (Table 4). A family history of breast cancer was more frequently associated with negative MT1 expression.

MT1-positive TNBCs were associated with a lower stage and with smaller mean tumor size at time of diagnosis. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy prior to surgery, grade of

---

**Fig. 1** TNBC immunostained with polyclonal MT1E for MT1 melatonin receptor (40×). *Left* MT1 positive, *right* MT1 negative
the tumor, lymphovascular invasion, and lymph node and distant metastases at the time of diagnosis in univariate analysis did not correlate with the MT1 expression.

**MT1 receptor expression and PFS and OS**

In multivariable survival analysis of TNBC in AA and C patients combined, tumors negative for MT1 showed significantly shorter PFS (hazard ratio, HR = 1.909, \( p = 0.0343 \)) and OS (HR = 2.015, \( p = 0.0257 \)), as did NPI, the Nottingham Prognostic Index [48], (PFS HR = 1.434, \( p = 0.0344 \); OS HR = 1.444, \( p = 0.0259 \)) (Table 5). Stage I/II tumors showed a better PFS and OS compared to stage III/IV tumors (Table 5). Table 5 shows that MT1 is independent to NPI and Stage in terms of predicting survival. In other words, it indicates that after taking patient’s disease characteristics (tumor size, LN, stage, grade as expressed by the Nottingham Prognostic Index) into account, MT1 makes an additional significant contribution to predict patient’s survival. In considering the ethnic groups separately, the differences in survival advantage of MT1 positivity and increase in HR with absence of MT1 expression was statistically significant in the entire group of patients with AA and C combined. It was especially pronounced in the AA women, but did not reach statistical significance in the smaller number of C women alone (Table 6). In regards to age, MT1 positivity was statistically significantly related to improved PFS or OS in the women above 50 years of age but not in the smaller group of women below 50 years of age (Table 7). Figure 2 shows the Kaplan–Meier survival curves for PFS and OS in AA and C women combined with MT1 positive and MT1 negative TNBC. Figure 3 shows the comparable behavior in both subpopulations.

**Correlation of MT1 WI and other biomarkers in TNBC in AA and C women**

The absolute values of the biomarkers studied and their interracial differences were discussed in a series of publications from this laboratory [39, 49–51], and only their correlations with the MT1 receptor will be presented here.

The correlation between MT1 expression, WI, and the other biomarkers studied is shown in Table 8 separately and together for AA and C women and in Table 9 according to age.

The TNBC in the C women (\( N = 10–51 \) women available for different biomarkers) showed a negative Spearman

---

**Table 3** Univariate correlation of MT1 melatonin receptor in triple negative breast cancers with clinical characteristics at time of diagnosis

| Covariate            | Level | Number | Parametric \( p \) value<sup>a</sup> | Non-parametric \( p \) value<sup>b</sup> |
|----------------------|-------|--------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
|                      |       | Positive | Negative |                                  |                                        |
| Age                  | <40   | 9       | 12       | 0.046                                | 0.053                                  |
|                      | 40–60 | 50      | 24       |                                       |                                        |
|                      | >60   | 33      | 12       |                                       |                                        |
| Race                 | Caucasian | 45 | 6         | <0.001                                | <0.001                                 |
|                      | African American | 43 | 41      |                                       |                                        |
| Family history of breast cancer | No | 39 | 14       | 0.030                                 | 0.044                                  |
|                      | Yes   | 19      | 18       |                                       |                                        |
| Stage                | I/II  | 68      | 26       | 0.017                                 | 0.029                                  |
|                      | III/IV | 21 | 20       |                                       |                                        |
| Tumor size           | Number | 92 | 48       | 0.002                                 | 0.027                                  |
|                      | Mean  | 2.42    | 3.92     |                                       |                                        |
|                      | Median | 2.15 | 2.50     |                                       |                                        |
The correlation coefficient between the WI of melatonin and the expression of CK14 (−0.307, p = 0.032) and p53 (−0.422, p = 0.003) with no statistically significant correlation to any other biomarker (Table 8).

In the AA women (N = 64–84 women available for different biomarkers), there was a positive correlation of the WI of MT1 with CD44 (0.258, p = 0.020), CK7 (0.262, p = 0.017), and CK8 (0.284, p = 0.011) and a negative correlation with EGFR (−0.219, p = 0.049), Ki-67 (−0.332, p = 0.002), and survivin (−0.324, p = 0.003).

When the biomarkers in the entire group of TNBC of both AA and C were examined together irrespective of age, statistically significant values are in bold

Table 5 Multivariate correlation of MT1 melatonin receptor in triple negative breast cancers with progress free survival (PFS) overall survival (OS) and with clinical characteristics at time of diagnosis

| Variable     | No. of subjects | Level            | Progress free survival (PFS) | Overall survival (OS) |
|--------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|
|              |                 |                  | Hazard ratio | Pr > Chi Sq | Hazard ratio | Pr > Chi Sq |
| MT1 receptor | 44              | Negative         | 1.909         | 0.0343      | 2.015         | 0.0257      |
|              | 89              | Positive (ref)   |               |             |              |             |
| NPIb         | 133             | 1 Unit increase  | 1.434         | 0.0344      | 1.444         | 0.0259      |
| Stage        | 94              | I/II             | 0.059         | <0.0001     | 0.138         | <0.0001     |
|              | 39              | III/IV (ref)     |               |             |              |             |

The total number used in the multivariable analysis was 133 patients

b NPI (Nottingham Prognostic Index): 0.2 × tumor size (cm) + LN (0 = 1, 1–3 = 2, >3 = 3) + Grade

Table 6 Tumor progression free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) in African American and Caucasian American women with triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) negative or positive (score ≥ 60) for MT1 melatonin receptor: survival analysis by race

| Survival     | TNBC-MT1 receptor negative vs. positive |
|--------------|----------------------------------------|
|              | Statistics                             |
|              | African American | Caucasian | Combined |
| Progression free survival | Hazard ratio | 2.27 | 1.97 | 2.22 |
|                     | p Value | 0.022 | 0.311 | 0.006 |
|                     | N | 84 | 51 | 137 |
| Overall survival | Hazard ratio | 0.042 | 0.086 | 0.003 |
|                  | N | 84 | 51 | 137 |

Statistically significant values are in bold

Table 7 Tumor progression free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) in patients above and below 50 years of age with triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) negative or positive (score ≥ 60) for MT1 melatonin receptor: survival analysis by age

| Survival     | TNBC-MT1 receptor negative vs. positive |
|--------------|----------------------------------------|
|              | Statistics                             |
|              | Age < 50 | Age > 50 | Combined |
| Progression free survival | HR | 1.62 | 2.66 | 2.12 |
|                     | p Value | 0.269 | **0.011** | **0.009** |
|                     | N | 54 | 86 | 140 |
| Overall survival | HR | 2.02 | 2.95 | 2.42 |
|                  | p Value | 0.124 | **0.008** | **0.003** |
|                  | N | 54 | 86 | 140 |

Statistically significant values are in bold

a Hazard ratio

correlation coefficient between the WI of melatonin and the expression of CK14 (−0.307, p = 0.032) and p53 (−0.422, p = 0.003) with no statistically significant correlation to any other biomarker (Table 8).

In the AA women (N = 64–84 women available for different biomarkers), there was a positive correlation of the WI of MT1 with CD44 (0.258, p = 0.020), CK7 (0.262, p = 0.017), and CK8 (0.284, p = 0.011) and a negative correlation with EGFR (−0.219, p = 0.049), Ki-67 (−0.332, p = 0.002), and survivin (−0.324, p = 0.003).

When the biomarkers in the entire group of TNBC of both AA and C were examined together irrespective of age,
positive correlations of the WI of MT1 was found with the AR (0.191, \(p = 0.026\)), CD44 (0.303, \(p < 0.001\)), CK19 (0.310, \(p < 0.001\)), CK5 (0.330, \(p < 0.001\)), CK7 (0.279, \(p = 0.001\)), CK8 (0.367, \(p = 0.001\)), and IGFR (0.257, \(p = 0.006\)), and negative correlations were observed with Ki-67 (−0.326, \(p < 0.001\)), p53 (−0.296, \(p < 0.001\)), and survivin (−0.256, \(p = 0.011\)). For survivin, only 10 tumors were available in C. The WI of CK5/6, c-Kit, p63, p-CADH, TOPO, UPARNC, Vimentin, and ZEB did not show any statistically significant correlation with MT1 receptors in AA or C-tumors.

The analysis based on this database could not confirm that the status of Ki-67 and p53 can predict OS or PFS, and hence was not able to assess the independence of MT1 relative to those two biomarkers in terms of survival. However, based on the multivariate analysis (Table 5), we can conclude that MT1 was independent of stage and NPI (Nottingham Prognostic Index: 0.2 \(t\)umor size (cm) + LN + Grade).

Compared according to age AA and C patients combined (Table 9) showed in the TNBC of women below 50 years of age, a positive correlation between the WI of MT1 and the expression of CD44, CK19, CK8, and IGFR, and a negative correlation with p53 and survivin. In women above 50 years of age, there were statistically significant positive correlations between MT1 and AR, CD44, CK19, CK5, CK7, and C8, and negative correlations with Ki-67 and p53. In both age groups combined, a positive correlation was found in AR, CD44, CK18, CK19, CK5, CK7, CK8, IGFR, and a negative correlation in Ki-67, p53, and survivin.

**Discussion**

MT1 receptors were present in a percentage of TNBC with marked differences in expression between AA and C women. The frequency and staining intensity of MT1 expression was significantly higher in the tumors in C compared to AA women. This difference between the TNBC in C and in AA women is of interest in view of their different biologic behaviors [37, 39, 52]. In regards to the course of the disease, patients with absent or low MT1 expression showed a worse prognosis with shorter DFS and OS than patients with MT1-positive tumors. This was manifest in the population as a whole with AA and C combined, and was statistically significant in the AA TNBC, but did not quite reach statistical significance in the smaller group of C alone, though these patients did exhibit

![Fig. 3 Overall and progression free survival of African American and Caucasian Women with TNBC with and without MT1 melatonin receptors](image-url)
a higher positivity rate and stronger expression of MT1 and a more favorable prognosis than the AA group. MT1 expression was associated with other prognostically favorable features like smaller tumor size and lower grade at the time of diagnosis, which appears to be associated with less aggressive TNBC with more favorable outcome. MT1 was negatively correlated with the proliferation marker Ki-67 which is associated with an unfavorable outcome in other forms of breast cancer [53, 54], and in the AA only with EGFR, which is also associated with an unfavorable outcome in TNBC [38, 55]. In this context, the possibility of a loss of MT1 expression through clonal evolution in the larger and more aggressive tumors may have to be considered. MT1 expression was uniform at different sites of the same tumor as expressed by the high degree of concordance.

There appears to be a difference in pineal function and circulating melatonin concentrations and the development of breast cancer. Melatonin levels in first morning urine were reported to show an inverse correlation with breast cancer risk [56]. Conditions which favor melatonin suppression by light during the night, including shiftwork, have been reported to represent risk factors for the development of breast cancer [56–60]. In human subjects, with suppression of melatonin, e.g., by light during the night as experienced by shiftworkers exposed over a prolonged time span to nightwork, an increase of breast cancer incidence has been reported [60]. A most recent report found this increase to occur predominantly in TNBC [61].

A strong inverse correlation was observed between circulating plasma melatonin concentration and the amounts of ER and PR in the primary tumor [62]. There is a relation between the circadian rhythms in plasma melatonin and the steroid receptor content of the primary breast cancer.

Women with ER or PR positive tumors show a significantly lower mean plasma melatonin day–night difference than did patients with ER or PR negative tumors [62].

Melatonin acts over several signaling pathways that control normal breast epithelium and breast cancer [6, 63–66]. The anti-proliferative effects of the circadian melatonin signal are in general, but not exclusively, mediated by activation of MT1.

In ER-positive human breast cancer cells, melatonin suppresses ERα mRNA expression and estrogen-induced transcriptional activity [23]. Melatonin regulates the transcriptional activity of other members of the nuclear receptor super family of enzymes involved in peripheral estrogen metabolism. Beyond that melatonin blocks the uptake of linoleic acid and its transformation in 13-hydroxyoctadecadienoic acid (HODE), a mitogenic compound [64]. Melatonin also exerts anti-invasive and anti-metastatic effects through blockade of 38 phosphorylation and matrix metalloprotein expression [6, 63].

Overexpression of the MT1 receptor in transfected MCF-7 human breast cancer cells induced inhibition of aromatase mRNA expression [24] and inhibited human MCF-7 cell proliferation-derived mammary tumor formation in male mice [67, 68]. Melatonin agonists exhibit enhanced antitumor potency in experimental models [23]. Additional new melatonin agonists with a variation of properties are being developed in research laboratories and by industry [5, 69, 70]. The anti-proliferative effect of MT1 receptor overexpression was blocked by a MT1/MT2 receptor antagonist (S20928 Servier) [67].

Manipulation of MT1 receptors by the anti-epileptic valproic acid has been reported and may be of interest for enhancement of melatonin effects upon cell proliferation. Clinically relevant concentrations of valproic acid

| Table 9 Correlation between MT1 expression (as weighted index [WI]) and biomarkers (WI) by age |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Biomarker        | <50 years of age | >50 years of age | All ages        |
|                  | Coefficienta    | p Value         | N               | Coefficienta    | p Value         | N               | Coefficienta    | p Value         | N               |
| Androgen         | 0.010           | 0.943           | 51              | 0.273           | 0.012           | 85              | 0.191           | 0.026           | 85              |
| receptor (AR)    |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| CD44             | 0.355           | **0.010**       | 52              | 0.269           | **0.013**       | 85              | 0.303           | <0.001          | 52              |
| CK14             | −0.110          | 0.432           | 53              | −0.005          | 0.966           | 83              | −0.058          | 0.503           | 53              |
| CK18             | 0.181           | 0.200           | 52              | 0.150           | 0.180           | 82              | 0.194           | **0.024**       | 82              |
| CK19             | 0.355           | **0.010**       | 52              | 0.259           | **0.018**       | 84              | 0.310           | <0.001          | 84              |
| CK5              | 0.133           | 0.338           | 54              | 0.436           | **<0.001**      | 84              | 0.330           | <0.001          | 84              |
| CK7              | 0.217           | 0.119           | 53              | 0.280           | **0.010**       | 84              | 0.279           | **0.001**       | 84              |
| CK8              | 0.296           | **0.032**       | 53              | 0.375           | **0.001**       | 81              | 0.367           | <0.001          | 81              |
| EGFR             | −0.104          | 0.461           | 52              | −0.033          | 0.768           | 84              | −0.069          | 0.425           | 84              |
| IGFR             | 0.313           | **0.041**       | 43              | 0.216           | 0.075           | 69              | 0.257           | 0.006           | 69              |
| Ki67             | −0.233          | 0.091           | 54              | −0.383          | **<0.001**      | 84              | −0.326          | <0.001          | 84              |
| p53              | −0.306          | **0.026**       | 53              | −0.280          | **0.011**       | 82              | −0.296          | <0.001          | 82              |
| SVNC             | −0.358          | **0.020**       | 42              | −0.214          | 0.116           | 55              | −0.256          | **0.011**       | 55              |

Statistically significant values are in bold

a Spearman correlation coefficient

| Estimated Effect | Coefficienta | p Value | N   | Coefficienta | p Value | N   |
|------------------|--------------|---------|-----|--------------|---------|-----|
| Androgen receptor (AR) | 0.010 | 0.943 | 51 | 0.273 | 0.012 | 85 |
| CD44 | 0.355 | **0.010** | 52 | 0.269 | **0.013** | 85 |
| CK14 | −0.110 | 0.432 | 53 | −0.005 | 0.966 | 83 |
| CK18 | 0.181 | 0.200 | 52 | 0.150 | 0.180 | 82 |
| CK19 | 0.355 | **0.010** | 52 | 0.259 | **0.018** | 84 |
| CK5 | 0.133 | 0.338 | 54 | 0.436 | **<0.001** | 84 |
| CK7 | 0.217 | 0.119 | 53 | 0.280 | **0.010** | 84 |
| CK8 | 0.296 | **0.032** | 53 | 0.375 | **0.001** | 81 |
| EGFR | −0.104 | 0.461 | 52 | −0.033 | 0.768 | 84 |
| IGFR | 0.313 | **0.041** | 43 | 0.216 | 0.075 | 69 |
| Ki67 | −0.233 | 0.091 | 54 | −0.383 | **<0.001** | 84 |
| p53 | −0.306 | **0.026** | 53 | −0.280 | **0.011** | 82 |
| SVNC | −0.358 | **0.020** | 42 | −0.214 | 0.116 | 55 |

Women with ER or PR positive tumors show a significantly lower mean plasma melatonin day–night difference than did patients with ER or PR negative tumors [62].
upregulate melatonin MT1 receptor expression in human breast cancer cells (MCF-7B) [71]. There was an enhanced anti-proliferation effect on these cells through a combination of valproic acid and melatonin [71]. Tumor cell growth inhibition in vitro and in vivo by valproic acid and other anti-epileptic agents has been reported in animal experiments [72–76]. The anti-proliferation effect of anti-epileptic drugs may be related to their MT1 up-regulation.

Although the association of the MT1 expression with a more favorable course of TNBC is not direct evidence for melatonin effects on this tumor, the extensive experimentation on the mechanistic aspects of apparent melatonin effects makes a causal relation appear likely.

Randomized controlled trials of melatonin treatment, including breast cancer, have been evaluated in two meta-analyses of published reports [77, 78]. It was concluded that melatonin as an adjuvant therapy to cancer may lead to improvement in tumor remission and survival and may ameliorate the side-effects of chemotherapy or radiotherapy. Our findings of the apparently favorable aspects of MT1 receptor expression in patients with TNBC support these findings and suggest the possible utility of melatonin or of longer acting melatonin agonists [23] in TNBC, a type of tumor for which no other targeted treatment is available at this time. This effect may be linked to the presence of MT1 receptors in the tumor but also other mechanisms like immune stimulation by melatonin [79] have to be considered. More research in this direction is needed.
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