Alcoholic liver disease in Nepal: identifying homemade alcohol as a culprit
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Introduction

Heavy drinking is associated with the development of liver cirrhosis. The relationship between the quantity of alcohol ingested and the development of liver disease is not clearly linear. However, the risk of developing cirrhosis increases with the ingestion of ≥60–80 g/day of alcohol for 10 years or longer in men and ≥20 g/day in women, yet, even drinking at these levels, only 6%–41% develop cirrhosis. In a population-based cohort study of almost 7,000 subjects in two northern Italian communities, even among patients with very high daily alcohol intake (≥120 g/day), only 13.5% developed alcoholic liver disease (ALD). Although there is a well-known relationship between total alcohol intake and future risk for cirrhosis, other factors such as the type of alcohol consumed are sparsely studied. A prospective epidemiological study performed in Denmark concluded that, for a given daily dose of pure alcohol, the risk of cirrhosis was significantly decreased when wine accounted for 30%–50% of the total dose; a similar, although not significant, trend was found in a study from the United States.

Background: Though the type of alcohol consumed is not thought to be associated with alcoholic liver disease (ALD), some studies have shown a beverage-specific effect. In the present study, we aim to study the effects of locally brewed alcoholic beverages on the development of liver disease.

Patients and methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted at the internal medicine department of a university hospital in Nepal. All patients classified as having either alcohol abuse or alcohol dependence by the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition were evaluated for the presence of ALD.

Results: A total of 1,500 patients were screened, of which, 447 patients had ALD. Chronic liver disease (CLD) was detected in 144 patients (9.6%). Most of the patients consumed homemade locally brewed alcohol. On multivariate analysis, the following variables were found to be significantly associated with CLD: male sex (odds ratio [OR]: 1.81; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.12–2.94; P=0.02); rakshi consumption ≥30 units (OR: 2.53; 95% CI: 1.07–6.01; P=0.04); and tongba consumption (OR: 3.02; 95% CI: 1.22–7.50; P=0.02).

Conclusion: There was a significant increase in the risk of developing ALD with the consumption of rakshi and tongba after adjusting for total units consumed. The absence of striking differences between our patients with CLD and non-CLD patients with regards to the amount of alcohol consumed demonstrates that, although alcohol consumption is a prerequisite for the development of ALD, other factors like type of alcoholic beverage consumed may be involved.
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Regarding the consumption of alcohol, Nepal is a unique country. Alcohol is consumed in various forms. Types of traditional and local alcoholic beverages include country liquors (low-quality alcohol made from molasses and produced in small distilleries), homemade liquors, jad (made of rice), chhang (made of rice by a different method), and rakshi (homebrewed alcohol made out of rice, millet, or barley). While it is the ethanol in spirits that is primarily responsible for liver damage, other aliphatic alcohols have even more pronounced hepatotoxic effects. Consequently, it is important to know whether the large volume of homemade spirits consumed in this region pose an additional risk factor for the development of alcohol-induced cirrhosis. Despite the high prevalence of alcohol misuse and the easy availability of different types of alcoholic beverages, there are no studies on the prevalence of ALD. In addition, the effect of the type of alcohol consumed and drinking patterns are unknown in this setting. In the present study, the aim was to detect the prevalence of ALD in patients attending a large internal medicine outpatient clinic, and to delineate the type of alcohol consumed, as well as the drinking patterns and the risk of ALD.

Patients and methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted for 6 months (from March 15, 2009 to September 15, 2009) in the Internal Medicine Department of the B P Koirala Institute of Health Sciences (BPKIHS) (Dharam, Nepal), a university hospital serving the peoples of eastern Nepal.

Subjects

Patients attending the outpatient service of the Internal Medicine and Gastroenterology and Hepatology clinics in stable medical condition were enrolled in the study. Every fifth patient of the total new patients registered was enrolled in the study. Patients admitted to the coronary care or intensive care units, or who were too ill or confused to be interviewed, were excluded. Patients previously diagnosed with ALD and patients refusing to sign the informed consent paper were also excluded from the study. All patients provided informed written consent and the study was approved by the institutional ethical review board.

Screening for alcohol misuse: questionnaires and interviews

The medical personnel and two research nurses were trained for 7 days before the beginning of the study in order to be able to administer the questionnaires uniformly. The alcohol section of the Structured Clinical Interview Diagnosis (SCID) for the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV), which is a semi-structured questionnaire, was used as a reference standard (gold standard) for alcohol dependence and alcohol abuse. The SCID for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders (SCID-I) is a semi-structured interview for making the major DSM-IV Axis I diagnoses. Patients were interviewed about alcohol issues using the alcohol section of the SCID for the DSM-IV. Finally, patients were classified into one of three categories: no alcohol problem (abstinent or low-risk drinker); alcohol abuse; or alcohol dependence.

For alcohol intake, a colored illustrated picture of the glass or vessel commonly used to consume alcoholic beverages was used in all cases to quantify alcohol use. The participants were asked about their daily intake of different types of alcoholic beverages in a typical week. These were added up to yield the weekly intake. Then, the following questions were asked: “Are there any days where you drunk more?”; “Would you say that last week is representative of the last 12 months?”; and “If no, can you explain to me in which sense?” Total units drunk per week were calculated adjusting for the extra drink. The duration of alcohol misuse, defined as years since the onset of alcohol misuse at the time of the interview, was recorded. The predominant type of alcohol consumed within the last month was recorded with five possibilities: rakshi; chhang; tongba; sprits; or beer or mixed type of alcohol. A standard drink contains 10 g of alcohol. Binge drinking was defined as the intake of ≥5 drinks for males and ≥4 drinks for females within a 2-hour period. Particular attention was devoted to quantify locally brewed beverages. Thus, several samples of locally brewed alcohols were analyzed for their alcohol concentration in the laboratory of the Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève (Geneva University Hospitals, Geneva, Switzerland) and the following results were obtained: rakshi, 25%; chhang, 12%; and tongba, 5.5%.

Screening for liver disease

All patients that were classified as having either alcohol abuse or alcohol dependence by the nurse were examined by two investigators from BPKIHS for the presence of clinical signs of liver disease; blood samples were taken by the nurse on the same day. A detailed physical examination was performed to detect the signs of liver disease, such as the presence of jaundice, spider naevi, palmer erythema, abdominal collaterals, a palpable firm liver, and ascites. Blood samples were collected to check for serum bilirubin, serum alanine aminotransferase, serum aspartate aminotransferase, γ-glutamyl transferase,
prothrombin time, mean cell volume, and platelet count. Each patient who had two abnormal blood tests or physical signs suggestive of liver damage were classified as having suspected liver disease, and further work up of these patients was carried out to confirm the presence of liver disease. The following additional investigations were performed in these groups of patients: 1) other liver function tests like serum alkaline phosphatase, serum total protein, serum albumin, serum globulin, blood assay of hemoglobin, total white blood cell count, blood glucose, serum ferritin, and total iron binding capacity; moreover, the presence of hepatitis B and hepatitis C were detected by determining the presence of the surface antigen for hepatitis B virus (HBsAg) and antihepatitis C virus in the serum; 2) ultrasonography of the liver, biliary system, pancreas, and spleen, with measurement of the portal vein diameter, as well as a Doppler study of the portal vein and hepatic venous blood flow; and 3) other diagnostic procedures, such as percutaneous liver biopsy, were performed if indicated.

Diagnosis of ALD was based on a history of significant alcohol consumption, physical signs of liver disease, biochemical parameters, imaging studies, and liver biopsy in selected cases. Liver biopsy was performed according to the indications for liver biopsy.

Classification of liver disease

Patients were classified into one of two groups: chronic liver disease (CLD); or no detectable liver disease, according to the presence of cirrhosis at liver biopsy or to the presence of the following criteria: 1. spider nevi, jaundice, palmar erythema, ascites, flapping tremor, spleen enlargement; 2. platelet count <140,000/mm³; 3. portal vein diameter >12 mm or irregular margins of the liver parenchyma at ultrasonography; and 4. esophageal or fundal varices at upper gastrointestinal endoscopy.

Two clinicians, the principal investigator (BP) and one hepatologist from HUG (AH) independently assigned patients to the two categories. In case of disagreement, the files of the patients were reviewed in a common meeting before reaching a conclusion.

Statistical analysis

The demographic and clinical characteristics of patients with and without CLD were compared using Pearson's chi-squared test for categorical data or Student's t-test for continuous variables. Logistic regression was performed to assess the impact of clinical and sociobehavioral variables on the likelihood of having CLD in this particular population of alcohol abusers. A backward stepwise procedure was used to select the independent predictors and confounders using P<0.25 at entry and P<0.005 to keep the variables in the model. The variable age was forced into the model, as it is a well-known confounder of ALD. The type of alcohol was assessed as an independent predictor of CLD. For rakshi and chhang, we created a categorical variable based on the quartiles of distribution (<5 units, 5–13 units, 13–30 units, and ≥30 units of ethanol; and <5 units, 5–15 units, 15–30 units, and ≥30 units of ethanol, respectively). For tongba, we created a dichotomous variable due to the narrower distribution of units of ethanol linked with tongba (<3 units and ≥3 units of ethanol). Finally, in order to assess the independent variables, we created one variable assessing the association with complementary units of ethanol found in other types of alcohol (beer, wine, and whisky) by using the following cutoffs: <5 units of ethanol per day; 5–10 units of ethanol per day; and ≥10 units of ethanol per day. Goodness of fit was assessed using the Hosmer–Lemeshow test. The predictive value of the multivariate model was defined with the area under the curve and its 95% confidence interval (CI). Data were analyzed using Stata IC10 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA). All P-values were two-sided and the level of significance was set at P<0.05.

Results

Among the 1,500 patients screened, 447 patients were included (Figure 1). Their demographic and clinical characteristics are presented in Table 1. Among the patients studied, 144 (108 males; 36 females) had CLD. The majority of patients in the CLD group were in Child–Turcotte–Pugh (CTP) A (number [n]=69), followed by CTP B (n=48), and CTP C (n=27).

Data concerning detailed alcohol consumption are also presented in Table 1. The mean ± standard deviation units of alcohol consumed per day was higher in the CLD group (23.8±19.8) than in the non-CLD group (19.9±21.2); this increase pertained to all types of alcoholic beverages examined. However, these differences did not reach statistical significance (P=0.06). Most of the patients consumed homemade, locally brewed alcohol, like chhang (62%), rakshi (77%), and tongba (16.3%). Very few patients consumed commercially available spirits (2.5%) or wine (12.3%). Though most of the patients were mixed drinkers, 95 were excessive rakshi drinkers and 50 were exclusive chhang drinkers.
Several predictors of CLD were assessed in Table 2 using univariate logistic regression analysis. The following variables were found to be significantly associated with CLD: male sex (odds ratio [OR]: 1.94; 95% CI: 1.25–3.02; \( P = 0.003 \)); rakshi consumption of 13–30 units (OR: 1.81; 95% CI: 1.08–3.04; \( P = 0.03 \)) and ≥30 units (OR: 2.99; 95% CI: 1.37–6.52; \( P = 0.0006 \)); and tongba consumption (OR: 2.91; 95% CI: 1.24–6.80; \( P = 0.01 \)).

On multivariate analysis (Table 3), the following variables were found to be significantly associated with CLD: male sex (OR: 1.81; 95% CI: 1.12–2.94; \( P = 0.02 \)); rakshi consumption ≥30 units (OR: 2.53; 95% CI: 1.07–6.01; \( P = 0.04 \)); and tongba consumption (OR: 3.02; 95% CI: 1.22–7.50; \( P = 0.02 \)).

**Discussion**

This study shows that among patients with alcohol use disorders (either abuse or dependence), ALD was present in 32.2%. In our study, we found that most of our patients drank locally-made alcoholic beverages. Furthermore, careful eval-

### Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population

| Variables                           | Chronic liver disease (n=144) | No liver disease (n=303) | Total (n=447) | P-value |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Sex, n (%)                          |                              |                         |               | 0.003   |
| Males                               | 108 (75.0)                   | 184 (60.7)              | 292 (65.3)    |         |
| females                             | 36 (25.0)                    | 119 (39.3)              | 155 (34.7)    |         |
| Mean age in years (SD)              | 54.0 (±10.9)                 | 53.2 (±13.7)            | 53.5 (±12.9)  | 0.55    |
| Smokers, n (%)                      | 96 (66.7)                    | 218 (71.9)              | 314 (70.3)    | 0.25    |
| Mean packs per year (SD)            | 16.5 (±16.4)                 | 16.6 (±20.1)            | 16.6 (±19.0)  | 0.97    |
| Mean BMI (SD)                       | 22.7 (±3.4)                  | 22.0 (±3.9)             | 22.2 (±3.8)   | 0.04    |
| Alcohol dependence, n (%)           |                              |                         |               | <0.001  |
| No                                  | 12 (8.3)                     | 72 (23.8)               | 84 (18.8)     |         |
| Yes                                 | 132 (91.7)                   | 231 (76.2)              | 363 (81.2)    |         |
| Mean units of alcohol per day (SD)  | 23.8 (±19.8)                 | 19.9 (±21.1)            | 21.1 (±20.8)  | 0.06    |
| Types of alcohol consumed*, n (%)   |                              |                         |               |         |
| Rakshi                              | 107 (74.3)                   | 237 (78.2)              | 344 (77.0)    | 0.36    |
| Chhang                              | 77 (53.5)                    | 200 (66.0)              | 277 (62.0)    | 0.01    |
| Tongba                              | 28 (19.4)                    | 45 (14.9)               | 73 (16.3)     | 0.22    |
| Beer                                | 30 (20.8)                    | 50 (16.9)               | 80 (17.9)     | 0.26    |
| Wine                                | 20 (13.9)                    | 35 (11.6)               | 55 (12.3)     | 0.75    |
| Whisky                              | 4 (2.8)                      | 7 (2.3)                 | 11 (2.5)      | 0.48    |
| Mean age at first alcohol use (SD)   | 17.3 (±7.8)                  | 16.5 (±7.8)             |               | 0.30    |
| Mean age of start of alcohol abuse or dependency (SD) | 21.6 (±8.4) | 20.9 (±7.7) | 0.43 |
| Mean time of alcohol intake in years (SD) | 32.5 (±14.2) | 32.0 (±15.1) | 32.2 (±14.8) | 0.75 |

**Note:** *Proportion of each type of alcohol consumption among patients with and without chronic liver disease.

**Abbreviations:** n, number; SD, standard deviation; BMI, body mass index.
Table 2 Factors associated with the likelihood of having chronic liver disease (univariate analysis)

| Variables | OR   | 95% CI          | P-value |
|-----------|------|-----------------|---------|
| Sex       |      |                 |         |
| Females   | 1.00 |                 | 0.003   |
| Males     | 1.94 | 1.25–3.02       | 0.10    |
| Chhang consumption in units of ethanol per day* | |                  |         |
| < 5       | 1.00 |                 |         |
| 5–15      | 0.70 | 0.44–1.13       | 0.14    |
| 15–30     | 0.76 | 0.45–1.29       | 0.30    |
| ≥ 30      | 0.35 | 0.14–0.87       | 0.03    |
| Rakshi consumption in units of ethanol per day* | |                  |         |
| < 5       | 1.00 |                 | <0.001  |
| 5–15      | 0.61 | 0.36–1.02       | 0.06    |
| 15–30     | 1.81 | 1.08–3.04       | 0.03    |
| ≥ 30      | 2.99 | 1.37–6.52       | 0.006   |
| Tongba consumption in units of ethanol per day** | |                  | 0.01    |
| < 3       | 1.00 |                 |         |
| ≥ 3       | 2.91 | 1.24–6.80       | 0.02    |
| Beer consumption in units of ethanol per day** | |                  |         |
| < 3       | 1.00 |                 |         |
| ≥ 3       | 2.27 | 1.16–4.44       | 0.005   |
| Wine consumption in units of ethanol per day | |                  | 0.14    |
| 1.02 | 0.92–1.13 | 0.75    |
| Whisky consumption in units of ethanol per day | |                  |         |
| 1.25 | 0.93–1.69 | 0.14    |
| Total units of ethanol per day*** | |                  |         |
| < 10 | 1.00 |                 | 0.17    |
| 10–15 | 1.07 | 0.58–1.98       | 0.83    |
| 15–26 | 1.65 | 0.94–2.87       | 0.08    |
| ≥ 26 | 1.61 | 0.92–2.83       | 0.10    |

Notes: *Category cutoffs based on the median units.
**Category cutoffs based on the median units.
***category cutoffs based on the quartiles of distribution of those variables.

Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Table 3 Factors associated with the likelihood of having chronic liver disease (multivariate analysis)

| Variables | OR   | 95% CI          | P-value |
|-----------|------|-----------------|---------|
| Sex       |      |                 |         |
| Females   | 1.00 |                 | 0.02    |
| Males     | 1.81 | 1.12–2.94       | 0.10    |
| Age       |      |                 |         |
| < 45 years | 1.00 |                 |         |
| ≥ 45–53 years | 1.26 | 0.68–2.33 | 0.47    |
| ≥ 54–63 years | 1.92 | 1.06–3.49 | 0.03    |
| > 63 years | 1.02 | 0.55–1.88       | 0.95    |
| Chhang consumption in units of ethanol per day | |                  | 0.35    |
| < 5       | 1.00 |                 |         |
| 5–15      | 1.07 | 0.62–1.85       | 0.005   |
| 16–30     | 1.07 | 0.60–1.94       | 0.10    |
| > 30      | 0.44 | 0.17–1.16       | 0.10    |
| Rakshi consumption in units of ethanol per day | |                  | 0.005   |
| < 5       | 1.00 |                 |         |
| 5–15      | 0.64 | 0.37–1.10       | 0.47    |
| 16–30     | 1.63 | 0.91–2.93       | 0.10    |
| > 30      | 2.53 | 1.07–6.01       | 0.04    |
| Tongba consumption in units of ethanol per day | |                  | 0.02    |
| < 3       | 1.00 |                 |         |
| ≥ 3       | 3.02 | 1.22–7.50       | 0.13    |
| Total units of other alcoholic beverages consumed per day | |                  |         |
| < 5       | 1.00 |                 |         |
| 5–10      | 1.45 | 0.62–3.38       | 0.40    |
| > 10      | 2.98 | 0.97–9.11       | 0.06    |

Notes: Hosmer–Lemeshow test, P=0.49 (goodness of fit); area under the curve, 69.6%; 95% CI: 64.3%–74.9% (predictive value of the model).
Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

The evaluation of drinking patterns and types of alcohol used showed a significant increase in the risk of developing ALD with the consumption of rakshi and tongba, after adjusting for total units consumed. Interestingly, there was no increased risk of ALD with the consumption of chang, though the percentage of alcohol in chang may be more than that in tongba. We admit that the alcohol concentration in chang may vary according to the freshness of the beverage and the fermentation process. However, we took great care to collect three samples of chang and to measure the alcohol content. In addition, 39 of the exclusive 50 chang drinkers were alcohol dependent, which suggests that the chang used by our patients did contain a significant amount of alcohol, but that it also had limited toxicity. It may be speculated that the limited toxicity of chang in our population may be due to the absence of cofactors suspected to potentiate liver disease, such as nutritional/antioxidant deficiency, metabolic syndrome, and so on. However, we did not identify any social, professional, or economic differences between chang drinkers, on the other hand, and users of other alcoholic beverages, on the other. Obesity and poor nutrition may contribute variably to the development of ALD. Indeed, in a large study of hospitalized patients with varying severity of ALD, nutritional/malnutrition (especially the type caused by deficient protein and calories) was closely associated (although not necessarily causal) with the severity of liver injury. All patients with clinical evidence for ALD (regardless of severity) exhibited some features of malnutrition. Obesity potentiates the severity of alcohol-induced liver damage. While alcohol is a major cause of liver cirrhosis, recent evidence suggests that excess body weight may also play a role. In our study, we found a significantly high body mass index (BMI) in patients with CLD, as compared to patients with no liver disease, though the mean BMI levels in both the groups were within normal.
limits. However, there was a linear increase in the risk for the development of CLD with increasing rakshi consumption, and a significant risk in patients consuming ≥30 units per day. We do not know the cause of toxicity of rakshi. The toxicity of rakshi may be related to the manufacturing process, the fermentation process, and the additives used. It may also be possible that rakshi drinkers are more exposed to other known cofactors for liver disease than chang drinkers, which were not recorded in this study.

There are conflicting data regarding the type of alcohol consumed and the risk for developing liver disease. Gronbaek et al.18 found that the risk of developing cirrhosis in wine drinkers was less than 50% of the risk in nonwine drinkers for any given level of total alcohol intake, while beer and spirit drinking did not modify the relationship between total alcohol intake and the risk of developing cirrhosis. This may be because of the fact that a person who prefers beer is more likely to become a heavy or excessive drinker than a person who prefers wine.18 However, the data regarding a possible lower risk of liver injury in wine drinkers are conflicting.19,20 In a study performed in India, ALD occurred more commonly with the consumption of illicit liquor, despite its lower alcohol content.21 In an prospective study of 258 alcohol-abusing men, Sorensen et al.22 did not find any relationship between preferred alcohol type and the subsequent incidence of cirrhosis. However, data regarding only the preference for beer or spirits, but not for wine, were available in that study. In yet another study, researchers found that when the alcohol intake is high, the risk for developing alcoholic cirrhosis is equal, irrespective of the type of alcoholic beverage.20 Conversely, Becker et al.19 demonstrated a lower risk for alcohol-induced cirrhosis in wine drinkers. Free radical formation after alcohol intake and a reduced level of antioxidants has been implicated in the pathogenesis of alcoholic liver disease.23,24 Nonalcoholic constituents of wine have antioxidant properties.25,26 Furthermore, an antioxidant effect of the nonalcoholic constituents of wine has most often been suggested to account for the beneficial effect of wine over other alcoholic beverages.27,28 Kerr et al.29 also found beverage-specific associations with cirrhosis.

In Nepal, wine is consumed very rarely, mainly because it is expensive by local standards, and since locally brewed alcohol is available at much cheaper rates and it is also more widely available. Locally brewed alcoholic beverages frequently contain aliphatic alcohols as byproducts, and the amount of these contaminants in spirits varies considerably depending on the raw materials and production methods used.30 Consequently, it is plausible that the differences in alcohol consumption among countries may vary, not only in quantity, but also in quality. There is, however, no information on the composition of locally-produced alcohol in this region, and concerns about the potentially toxic effects of contaminants (such as aliphatic alcohols) may explain the results of our study, which showed a significant association between ALD and rakshi and tongba consumption, and no liver disease with the consumption of chang. As liver biopsy was not performed in all cases, one could suspect a misclassification of ALD. However, in our study, the diagnosis of ALD was made either based on histological examination of the liver, or on the presence of several well-defined and widely accepted medical criteria when liver biopsy was not performed. For the control group, we used an inverse procedure, which excluded all the medical signs that were potentially associated with liver cirrhosis before the final classification (this was indicative of not having liver disease). Performing a liver biopsy in this group of patients was not possible for obvious ethical reasons. Altogether, the risk of an error in classification was thus extremely low.

Conclusion

The findings of this study provide a precise picture of drinking patterns that are profoundly influenced by cultural habits and the availability of homemade beverages. In addition, the increased risk of ALD in rakshi consumers compared to consumers of other alcoholic beverages (after adjusting for total units consumed) indicates the possibility of specific toxicity for some homemade alcoholic beverages. The absence of striking differences between our CLD patients and non-CLD patients with respect to the amount of alcohol consumed demonstrates that although alcohol consumption is a prerequisite for the development of ALD, other factors like type of alcoholic beverage consumed and excess body weight may be involved.
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