One-year safety and quality of life outcomes in patients with atrial fibrillation on dronedarone: prospective, non-interventional study in German ambulatory care

Background

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common sustained cardiac arrhythmia occurring in about 1% of the general population (10% of all people aged >80 years are suffering from AF) [1]. Over 6 million Europeans suffer from this arrhythmia and its prevalence is estimated to increase significantly within the next decades as the population ages and manifests more comorbidities [2, 3].

AF is associated with a doubling of overall mortality and a fivefold increased risk of stroke [4, 5]. Clinical symptoms may include palpitations, dyspnoea or synapses with significant impairment of quality of life (QoL). On the other hand AF can also occur unnoticed unless incidentally found or until complications occur [2, 6]. A number of newer investigations, which used a non-interventional design similar to ours, showed substantially compromised QoL in AF patients [7–12].

Dronedarone is an antiarrhythmic drug which has a benzofuran moiety as amiodarone but does not possess the iodine part affecting thyroid function [13]. Due to differences such as a methyl sulphonyl group the lipophilicity of the new agent compared with amiodarone was reduced and its plasma half-life substantially shortened thought to reduce organ toxicity due to cumulative effects.

The drug has been launched in 2010 in Germany under the brand name Multaq®. Based on the results of new trials and pharmacovigilance reports its labelling has been amended several times. According to the current summary of product characteristics, Multaq® is indicated for the maintenance of sinus rhythm after successful cardioversion in adult clinically stable patients with paroxysmal or persistent AF [14]. Due to its safety profile, the agent should only be prescribed after alternative treatment options have been considered. Multaq® should not be given to patients with left ventricular systolic dysfunction or to patients with current or previous episodes of heart failure [14]. Careful monitoring during dronedarone administration is recommended with regular assessment of cardiac, hepatic and pulmonary function.

While dronedarone has been extensively documented in the context of clinical studies [15], there is a paucity of data on the use under real life conditions, with the exception of a retrospective database analysis of all patients treated with the drug between 2010 and 2012 in Sweden [16]. The real life patient population often differs from patient cohorts in controlled clinical studies with regards to demographic characteristics, comorbidities and concomitant diseases. Data collected in non-interventional studies like IMPULS can complement the findings of pivotal studies. We aimed to collect such data, with particular focus on QoL.

Methods

Study design and timelines. IMPULS was a prospective multicentre non-interventional study (NIS) according to § 67 (6) German Drug Law (AMG) to document the management/treatment of consecutive patients treated with Multaq® over a period of 12 months. All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975 (in its most recently amended version). The study materials were reviewed and approved by the ethics committees of the Ärztekammer Westfalen-Lippe and of the Medical Faculty of Wilhelms University of Münster. Informed consent was obtained from all patients included in the study. The study was performed between January 2012
Patients. Patients were eligible for documentation, if they (1) were newly treated with dronedarone or were on maintenance treatment with dronedarone no longer than 3 months; (2) had paroxysmal or persistent AF and at least one cardiovascular risk factor (arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus, previous stroke, transient ischaemic attack, arterial embolism, left atrial diameter ≥ 50 mm); (3) had provided written informed consent to participate in the study. No explicit exclusion criteria were applied to avoid selection bias. All diagnoses were provided by the treating physician and were not adjudicated by third parties.

No diagnostic measures or treatment methods were stipulated, but remained in the sole responsibility of the participating physicians. At baseline and after approximately 6 and 12 months, respectively, the physicians documented diagnostic and therapeutic parameters as assessed under routine treatment or as available from additional sources such as, e.g., hospital reports or patient charts.

In addition, patients were asked to complete QoL questionnaires at baseline and at their 6-month (FU1) and 12-month follow-up (FU2) visits at the physician’s office. As generic instruments, the 100-point EQ-5D visual analogue scale (VAS) [17] and the short form 12 (SF-12) [18] were applied. Further, the AF-QoL questionnaire as disease-specific questionnaire was administered [19, 20].

Parameters. At baseline, characteristics on demographics (gender, age and employment status), basic data and vital signs, cardiac risk factors, cardiac history and concomitant diseases were noted. Particular focus was on hepatic function (recording of last alanine aminotransferase (ALT) values, renal function (creatinine value)), and in the case of vitamin K treatment, the international normalised ratio.

With regards to AF, the following information was documented: month of first diagnosis, type, current rhythm according to last ECG and symptoms. Further, therapy within the last 12 months, hospitalisations due to AF or other reasons, current therapy for the prevention of thromboembolic complications, management of AF in the last 12 months were documented. At 3 months, ALT and creatinine values between the initiation of dronedarone therapy and the visit were noted.

At the two FU visits at 6 months and 12 months, physicians predominantly documented current symptoms, therapy for AF and anticoagulation, newly occurring vascular events (transient ischaemic attacks, stroke, myocardial infarction, heart failure or other cardiac events) and detailed information on cardioversion or other therapy. Medication for rhythm control of AF was recorded by Vaughan-Williams classification.

Data entry and analysis. Data were collected using paper–pencil case record forms. Duplicate data entry was performed by the contract research organisation, and plausibility checks were executed using a validation plan.

The safety set consisted of 549 patients, the enrolled set of 534 patients and the full analysis set of all patients treated at least once with dronedarone and FU data available for 342 patients (Fig. 1).

Continuous variables are reported as mean with standard deviation, categorical variables as percentage of patient population. Due to incomplete answers and multiple answering options, observed numbers and percentages do not always add up to exactly 100 %. Comparisons between baseline and FU were performed with the two-tailed Student’s paired sample t-test. Data were analysed using the SAS statistical package Version 9.2.
Abstract
Background and aims. The multichannel blocker dronedarone is currently indicated for the maintenance of sinus rhythm after successful cardioversion in adult clinically stable patients with paroxysmal or persistent atrial fibrillation (AF), with careful monitoring of cardiac, hepatic and renal function. We aimed to investigate patients’ quality of life (QoL) and tolerability and effectiveness of dronedarone under real life conditions.

Methods. In the 1-year prospective, non-interventional IMPULS study, 161 office-based cardiologists, general practitioners and internists throughout Germany documented 549 patients with AF who were currently or newly prescribed dronedarone (safety set, SS). Of those, 342 patients (full analysis set, FAS) provided data on QoL at baseline, 6 months and 12 months.

Results. Mean age of patients was 67.6/66.3 years; 53.0%/57.3% were men (SS/FAS). AF type at inclusion in the SS/FAS was paroxysmal in 71.9%/71.3% and persistent in 26.0%/26.6% (missing in 2.0%/2.0%). The proportion of patients in sinus rhythm increased from 44.6% at baseline to 70.2% (SS). The mean value on the 100-point visual analogue scale (EuroQoL EQ-5D) increased from 62.3 ± 17.1 at baseline by 11.4 ± 18.7 points (FAS, p < 0.0001). The AF-QoL Psychological Domain improved from 44.6 ± 22.6 at baseline by 16.0 ± 23.5 points at 1 year (p < 0.0001), the AF-QoL physical domain from 49.5 ± 22.1 by 10.9 ± 22.5 points (p < 0.0001), and the AF-QoL sexual domain from 61.8 ± 27.1 by 6.6 ± 28.2 points (p < 0.0001). In all, 136 patients (24.8% of all patients in the safety set) had at least one adverse drug reaction (ADR) causally related to dronedarone.

Conclusions. Various dimensions of quality of life of patients with AF were improved on dronedarone under clinical practice conditions. No previously unknown safety issues were noted.
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Sicherheit und Lebensqualität bei Patienten mit Vorhofflimmern unter Therapie mit Dronedaron: prospektive, nicht-interventionelle Studie über 1 Jahr in der ambulanten Versorgung in Deutschland

Zusammenfassung
Hintergrund und Ziele. Der Mehrkanalblocker Dronedaron ist – nachdem alternative Behandlungsoptionen in Erwägung gezogen wurden – angezeigt zum Erhalt des Sinusrhythmus nach einer erfolgreichen Kardioversion bei erwachsenen, klinisch stabilen Patienten mit paroxysmalen oder persistierenden Vorhofflimmern (VHF). Die kardiale, hepatische und renale Funktion sind zu kontrollieren. Wir untersuchten die Lebensqualität der Patienten unter Dronedaron sowie die Verträglichkeit und Wirksamkeit der des Antiarrhythmikums unter Praxisbedingungen.

Methoden. In der prospektiven, nicht-interventionsellem IMPULS-Studie dokumentierten 161 niedergelassene Kardiologen, hausärztliche Internisten und Allgemeinärzte aus Deutschland 549 Patienten mit VHF (Safety Set (SS)), die bereits Dronedaron erhielten oder denen die Substanz erstmalig verordnet wurde. Davon hatten 342 Patienten (Full-Analysis Set, FAS) Daten zur Lebensqualität zu Beginn der Studie sowie nach 6 und 12 Monaten. Das mittlere Alter der Patienten lag bei 67,6/66,3 Jahren und 53,0%/57,3% waren Männer (SS/FAS). Hinsichtlich des VHF-Typs wurde die paroxysmale Manifestation bei 71,9%/71,3% und die persistierende bei 26,0%/26,6% angegeben (fehlende Angabe bei 2,0%/2,0%) (SS/FAS). Der Anteil der Patienten im Sinusrhythmus nahm von 44,6% bei Dokumentationsbeginn auf 70,2% nach 1 Jahr zu (SS). Die Lebensqualität verbesserte sich auf der 100-stufigen visuellen Analogskala (EuroQoL EQ-5D) von 62,3 ± 17,1 Punkte nach 6 Monaten um 11,4 ± 18,7 Punkte (FAS, p < 0.0001). Die Lebensqualität verbesserte sich auf der 100-stufigen visuellen Analogskala (EuroQoL EQ-5D) von 62,3 ± 17,1 Punkten um 11,4 ± 18,7 Punkte (FAS, p < 0.0001). Das mittlere Alter der Patienten lag bei 67,6/66,3 Jahren und 53,0%/57,3% waren Männer (SS/FAS). Hinsichtlich des VHF-Typs wurde die paroxysmale Manifestation bei 71,9%/71,3% und die persistierende bei 26,0%/26,6% angegeben (fehlende Angabe bei 2,0%/2,0%) (SS/FAS). Der Anteil der Patienten im Sinusrhythmus nahm von 44,6% bei Dokumentationsbeginn auf 70,2% nach 1 Jahr zu (SS). Die Lebensqualität verbesserte sich auf der 100-stufigen visuellen Analogskala (EuroQoL EQ-5D) von 62,3 ± 17,1 Punkten um 11,4 ± 18,7 Punkte (FAS, p < 0.0001). Hinsichtlich der Dimension „psychische Beeinträchtigung“ im AF-QoL (Ausgangswert ± SD 44,6 ± 22,6) wurde eine Verbesserung von 16,0 ± 23,5 Punkten nach 1 Jahr dokumentiert. Bei „körperliche Beeinträchti-}

Results
A total of 161 office-based cardiologists, general practitioners and internists throughout Germany took part in this study.

Patient characteristics. Characteristics of patients are displayed in Table 1.

Mean age of patients was 66.3 years, 57.3% were men. Patients had paroxysmal AF in 71.4% and persistent AF in 26.6% (missing 2.1%). Comorbidities were frequent, in particular arterial hypertension, coronary artery disease and diabetes mellitus.

Primary effectiveness variables (FAS)

AF-QoL. The AF-QoL psychological domain improved from 44.6 ± 22.6 at baseline to 56.7 ± 21.7 at FU1 (i.e., 12.1 ± 20.8 points, p < 0.0001), and to 60.6 ± 22.6 at FU2 (+ 16.0 ± 23.5 points, p < 0.0001). Further, the AF-QoL physical domain im-

Table 1

| Characteristic         | SS/FAS | FAS |
|------------------------|--------|-----|
| Age (years)            | 67.6   | 66.3|
| Gender (men)           | 53.0   | 57.3|
| Paroxysmal AF (%)      | 71.9   | 71.3|
| Persistent AF (%)      | 26.0   | 26.6|
| Comorbidities          | 71.9   | 71.3|
| Arterial hypertension  | 53.0   | 57.3|
| Coronary artery disease| 12.1   | 20.8|
| Diabetes mellitus      | 6.6    | 28.2|
The mean value on the 100-point VAS increased from 62.3 ± 17.1 at baseline to 68.4 ± 26.7 at FU2 (+6.6 ± 28.2 points, p<0.0001), and to 73.1 ± 17.0 at FU1 (+10.8 ± 17.9 points, p<0.0001). Between men and women or in patients with paroxysmal versus persistent AF, there were no important differences between the proportions of patients who improved. The AF-QoL sexual domain improved from 49.5 ± 22.5 at baseline to 59.8 ± 20.5 at FU1 (i.e. +10.3 ± 19.5 points, p<0.0001) and to 60.3 ± 24.0 at FU2 (+11.4 ± 18.7 points, p<0.0001).

**Secondary effectiveness variables**

**SF-12.** The mean SF-12 physical summary scale increased from 42.3 ± 8.6 points at baseline to 46.2 ± 7.9 at FU1 (+4.0 ± 8.6 points, p<0.0001) and to 46.5 ± 9.0 (+4.3 ± 9.3 points, p<0.0001) at FU2. The mental summary scale increased from 43.4 ± 11.9 points at baseline to 47.9 ± 10.0 at FU1 (+4.4 ± 10.3 points, p<0.0001) and to 48.1 ± 9.8 (+4.8 ± 11.3 points, p<0.0001) at FU2.

**Rhythm control rates.** The proportion of patients in sinus rhythm increased from 44.6 % at baseline to 70.2 % at FU1 and 70.9 % at FU2.

**General health evaluation.** While at baseline, the great majority of patients reported at least slight or moderate impairment of their general health, at FU their self-reported state had considerably improved (Fig. 2).

**Safety and tolerability (safety set)**

**Overall assessment.** A total of 281 individual adverse drug reactions causally related to Multaq®, of which 165 were rated as serious and 116 as non-serious, were reported in 136 patients (24.6 % of all patients in the safety set, Table 2). Most serious ADRs (SADR) occurred in the category 'cardiac disorders' (94 SADRs), followed by 'general disorders and administration site conditions' (23 SADRs), 'investigations' (18 SADRs) and 'respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders' (11 SADRs).

AF was the most frequently noted SADR (in 13.8 % of patients). All other events occurred in less than 2 % (e.g. drug ineffectiveness in 1.5 %, heart failure in 1.3 % and dyspnoea in 1.3 %). The most frequent non-serious ADRs were increased ALT (2.0 % of patients), nausea (1.3 %) and diarrhoea (1.3 %); all other events were noted less than 1 %.

Out of the 136 patients with SADR or ADR, a total of 110 discontinued Multaq® therapy. The most frequently noted underlying conditions (Table 3) were AF (10.4 % of patients), increased ALT (2.2 % of patients), dyspnoea (2.2 % of patients) and drug ineffectiveness (1.6 % of patients).

**Laboratory values.** ALT values were increased at least 3 times above the upper reference limit in 60 patients (10.9 %). There was no specific time pattern in the occurrence of the elevations.

Elevations of creatinine at least 2 times above the upper reference limit were not documented in this study.

**Discussion**

To the best of our knowledge, the IMPULS study is the only prospective observational study that specifically documents the use of dronedarone under clinical practice conditions. Previous similar AF studies in Germany such as MOVE [21] or ATRIUM [22] were performed too early to accrue significant patient numbers.

IMPULS used similar inclusion criteria as the ATHENA study and focused on patients with AF who had additional risk factors for death [23]. In that study, patients in the dronedarone group had higher rates of bradycardia, QT-interval prolongation, nausea, diarrhoea, rash and an increased serum creatinine level than the placebo group, whereas rates of thyroid-
and pulmonary-related adverse events were not significantly different between the two groups. Dronedarone reduced the incidence of hospitalisation due to cardiovascular events or death [23].

In our study, dronedarone was well-tolerated, and the reported adverse drug reactions were in line with current knowledge. The most frequently reported reason for drug withdrawal was recurrence of AF and therefore not related to safety per se. With respect to laboratory values, the rate of abnormal liver function tests was substantially higher in IMPULS (10.9 %) compared with ATHENA (0.5 %) which might be due to the fact that in the latter trial there were no scheduled hepatic tests. Conversely, in ATHENA an increase in serum creatinine was noted in 4.7 %, compared with 0 % in our study.

A recent retrospective database analysis on all 4856 patients treated with dronedarone in Sweden during 2010–2012, i.e., before the implementation of restrictions in the labelling of the drug, showed that patients selected for treatment were low-risk and had lower mortality than expected from the general population, or than AF patients on other antiarrhythmic medication [16]. Further, the risk of incident liver disease was significantly lower among dronedarone patients than among other AF patients (HR 0.57; 95 % CI 0.34–0.92) [16].

It is important to represent the patient perspective in the management of AF [24]. Thus, the number of studies that reported QoL in AF has steadily increased in the last years. The instrument ‘typically used’ is the SF-36, but the SF-12 has also been shown to provide robust results in the Birmingham Atrial Fibrillation Treatment of the Aged study [25]. In IMPULS, two questionnaires were administered in a complimentary manner to assess QoL, namely the SF-12 and EQ-5D V AS as generic and the AF-QoL as disease-specific instrument [15, 16].

Table 3 ADR leading to withdrawal of Multaq® (safety set)

| System Organ Class                         | Term                        | Frequency | % of patients |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------|
| Cardiac disorders                          | Arrhythmia                  | 2         | 0.36          |
|                                            | Atrial fibrillation         | 60        | 10.38         |
|                                            | Bradycardia                 | 2         | 0.36          |
|                                            | Cardiac failure             | 7         | 1.28          |
|                                            | Left ventricular dysfunction| 2         | 0.36          |
|                                            | Palpitations                | 3         | 0.55          |
|                                            | Tachyarrhythmia             | 2         | 0.36          |
| General disorders and administration site conditions | Condition aggravated | 2         | 0.36          |
|                                            | Drug ineffective            | 10        | 1.64          |
|                                            | Drug intolerance            | 4         | 0.73          |
|                                            | Fatigue                     | 2         | 0.36          |
|                                            | General physical health deterioration | 2 | 0.36 |
|                                            | Ill-defined disorder        | 4         | 0.73          |
|                                            | Local swelling              | 2         | 0.36          |
|                                            | Malaise                     | 3         | 0.55          |
|                                            | Oedema peripheral           | 2         | 0.36          |
| Investigations                             | Alanine aminotransferase ↑  | 12        | 2.19          |
|                                            | Aspartate aminotransferase ↑| 2         | 0.36          |
|                                            | Blood creatinine ↑          | 3         | 0.55          |
|                                            | Gamma-glutamyltransferase ↑ | 2         | 0.36          |
|                                            | Hepatic enzyme ↑            | 4         | 0.73          |
|                                            | International normalised ratio ↑ | 2 | 0.36 |
|                                            | Liver function test abnormal| 3         | 0.55          |
|                                            | Transaminases ↑             | 4         | 0.73          |
| Gastrointestinal disorders                 | Abdominal discomfort        | 5         | 0.91          |
|                                            | Abdominal pain upper        | 2         | 0.36          |
|                                            | Diarrhoea                   | 7         | 1.28          |
|                                            | Gastrointestinal disorder   | 2         | 0.36          |
|                                            | Nausea                      | 7         | 1.28          |
| Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders | Dyspnoea                   | 12        | 2.19          |
|                                            | Dyspnoea exertional         | 2         | 0.36          |
|                                            | Interstitial lung disease   | 2         | 0.36          |
| Nervous system disorders                   | Dizziness                   | 4         | 0.73          |
|                                            | Headache                    | 2         | 0.36          |
|                                            | Syncope                     | 3         | 0.55          |
| Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders     | Hyperhidrosis               | 2         | 0.36          |
|                                            | Rash                        | 3         | 0.55          |

Table shows reactions that occurred in more than 1 patient. ↑, increased.
many), the MOVE cross-sectional study is particularly useful to compare results [27]. On the 100-point VAS, the 3354 patients overall had a value of 68 ± 18 points (paroxysmal 70, persistent 68, parma-
ent 66 points) and thus a lower value compared with IMPULS (66 at baseline, 74 at FU). In MOVE, [27] QoL scores were slightly worse in women compared to men, for all types of AF. It was notable that during the FU of the study in IM-
PULS, QoL on all instruments was substantially improved with the greatest ef-
fect already at the first FU visit. The ob-
served improvement of II points on the VAS corresponds to a clinical improve-
ment of about one category in the Euro-
pean Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA)
score (which is reported in four classes) and thus represents a significant effect [28]. This finding may be due to the clini-
cal effect of dronedarone, but could also be an unspecific consequence of inten-
sive care of patients in the context of this study.

Limitations. A number of limitations need to be addressed when the current re-
sults are interpreted. Given IMPULS was an open-label non-randomised study, dif-
f erent biases can obscure any true cau-
sal association [29]. As participating cen-
tres may have more scientific interest in particular in QoL investigations, results may not reflect outcomes in less well-or-
ganized institutions. Clinical decisions of the treating physicians may assign select-
ed patients to drug treatment guided by disease severity, presence of comorbid-
ities and other factors. This can potential-
ally introduce allocation or channelling bi-
as and confound the association between treatment and outcomes. The sample size was relatively small, and therefore the study was not powered to detect previously unknown, rare side effects of dronedar-
one. FU periods longer than 1 year are de-
sirable to assess the long-term effects of the drug.

In conclusion, in this contemporary study on the use of dronedarone under clinical practice various dimensions of QoL of patients with AF were improved in the long term. No previously unknown safety issues were identified.
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