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ABSTRACT

Safflower (Carthamus tinctorius L.) is a multipurpose crop, grown for its high quality edible oil (poly unsaturated and monounsaturated fatty acids), and as a horticultural crop (vegetable and cut flower). It is also grown for flavouring and colouring foods, as herbal tea, livestock feed, pharmaceuticals, dyes, paints and biodiesel. The objective of this study was to evaluate the influence of safflower genotype on the nutritional quality of whole seed used as livestock feed. Nine safflower genotypes (eight exotic and one local) were grown in winter and summer. The seeds were harvested at physiological maturity and analysed for different nutritional variables. Whole safflower seeds dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP), neutral detergent fibre (NDF), acid detergent fibre (ADF), acid detergent lignin (ADL) and ash varied significantly, depending on genotype and growing season. The seed mineral content (P, K, Mg and Na) also varied across genotypes. Safflower whole seeds have great potential to serve as an excellent livestock feed in semi-arid conditions.
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RÉSUMÉ

Le carthame (Carthamus tinctorius L.) est une culture polyvalente, cultivée pour son huile comestible de haute qualité (acides gras polyinsaturés et monoinsaturés) et comme culture horticole (légume et fleur coupée). Il est également cultivé pour aromatiser et colorer les aliments, comme les tisanes, les aliments pour le bétail, les produits pharmaceutiques, les colorants, les peintures et le biodiesel. L’objectif de cette étude était d’évaluer l’influence du génotype du carthame sur la qualité nutritionnelle des semences entières utilisées comme aliments pour le bétail. Neuf génotypes de carthame (huit exotiques et un local) ont été cultivés en hiver et en été. Les graines ont été récoltées à maturité physiologique et analysées pour différentes variables nutritionnelles. Les matières sèches (DM) de carthame entier, les protéines brutes (CP), les fibres détergentes neutres (NDF), les fibres détergentes acides (ADF), la lignine détergente acide (ADL) et les cendres varient considérablement selon le génotype et la saison de croissance. La teneur en minéraux des graines (P, K, Mg et Na) variait également d’un génotype à l’autre. Les graines de carthame entières ont un grand potentiel pour servir d’excellent aliment pour le bétail dans des conditions semi-arides.

Mots Clés: Carthamus tinctorius, fibre détergente neutre, protéine
INTRODUCTION

Safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) is a multipurpose oil seed crop that is drought, heat, cold and salinity tolerant. It is one of the neglected and minor crops around the globe (Weiss, 2000; Emongor, 2010). It is good for human consumption, as well as for animal feeding mostly in the form of hay or silage (Bar-Tal *et al*., 2008). Safflower forage has been reported to be palatable, with feed values and yields similar to or better than oats and alfalfa (Smith, 1996; Wichman, 1996; Berglund *et al*., 2007). Grazed safflower has been shown to support satisfactory growth rates in Australian steers (French *et al*., 1988) and improve fertility in Canadian ewes (Stanford *et al*., 2001).

Safflower oil cake is reportedly a valuable animal feed (Weiss, 2000). Hulled safflower cake has been reported to contain protein and fibre of 20-25 and up to 60% (Voicu *et al*., 2009; Chidoh, 2012; Jacob, 2015). Safflower cakes produced from dehulled safflower seeds can contain protein and fibre contents of 35-50% and 10-15% fibre (Voicu *et al*., 2009; Jacob, 2015). The hull is the main source of fibre in the seed, so the level of crude fiber in safflower cake varies depending on the level of hulls remaining (Voicu *et al*., 2009; Jacob, 2015).

The safflower cake from dehulled seeds can be used in compound feeds for pigs and poultry as a source for supplemental amino acids lysine, methionine and cysteine (Voicu *et al*., 2009). The safflower cake with high fibre content has been recommended for ruminant feed (Voicu *et al*., 2009; Dschaak *et al*., 2010; Jacob, 2015).

In Botswana, annual precipitation and evapotranspiration ranges between 200–650 mm and 1800–3000 mm, respectively, depending on season (Emongor *et al*., 2008). Rainfall is erratic, unreliable and poorly distributed, yet it is accompanied by high temperatures, and water is by far the most limiting factor to agricultural production (Emongor, 2009). Drought is a common phenomenon which negatively affects the already fragile food and agricultural situation in the country. During drought and the winter dry months livestock feed is scarce and imported feeds become expensive for livestock farmers. Therefore, growing a drought, saline and winter tolerant crop such as safflower will improve food security, reduce reliance on feed imports and improve income levels of farmers in Botswana. The objective of this study was to evaluate the influence of safflower genotype on the nutritional quality of whole seed when used as livestock feed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental site. A field experiment was conducted in winter and summer growing seasons, at the Botswana University of Agriculture and Natural Resources Content Farm, situated at Notwane, Sebele, (24°35’ S: 25°58’ E) at an altitude of 998 m above sea level. The trials were done between May - October 2015 (winter) and January-April 2016 (summer). The experimental site had mean maximum and minimum temperature of 33.1-34.7 and 19.2–19.5 ºC, respectively. The rainfall amount varied between 250-600 mm per *annum*. The soils are deep and of sandy loam texture.

Experimental design and management. The genotypes evaluated included Kiama Composite (local cultivar used as control), PI-537632-1038-USA, PI-3044-BJ-2621-Iran, PI-537598-Sina-USA, PI-407616-BJ-2131-Turkey, PI-537634-1040-USA, PI-537668-BJ-1085-USA, PI-314650-Milutin-114-Kazakhistan, and PI-306830-BJ-1632-India. The experimental design was a randomised complete block design, with three replications. Blocking was done in order to spread the effects of the 1% slope in the experimental site.
Safflower was planted in single rows in experimental units measuring 5 m x 5 m. Seeds were sown at a depth of 6 mm. Plant spacing was 0.40 m (inter-row) by 0.25 m (intra-row).

Field preparation was done using a disc plough, then harrowed to achieve a fine tilth for seed sowing. Weeding was done using a hoe, by cultivating between rows throughout the crop growth cycle. Irrigation was done once a week for 2 hours (11 mm-pump discharge rate to sprinklers measured by propeller flow metre). Fertiliser application was done at 80 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) (calcium ammonium nitrate -28% N) and 50 kg P ha\(^{-1}\) (single super phosphate-10.5% P).

After plants reached physiological maturity, plants were harvested in an area of 4 m\(^2\) in the centre of the experimental plots. Seeds were threshed and weighed to determine seed yield per hectare. The seeds harvested served as experimental samples. All the plants within the 4 m\(^2\) in the centre of the experimental plots were used in seed harvesting.

Dry matter (DM) was determined by oven drying seeds for 72 hours at 66 °C, to constant mass (AOAC, 2000). The dried samples were ground in a mill to pass a 1mm sieve for further laboratory analyses. Crude protein was determined using the Kjeldahl digestion method (AOAC, 2000). Assays for neutral detergent fibre (NDF) and acid detergent fibre (ADF) were done according to AOAC (2000). Foracid detergent lignin (ADL) analysis was performed using the adaptation of Van Soest detergent Scheme (ANKOM Daisy II Incubator), which utilises the ADF method (Van Soest et al., 1991).

The amount of ash in the samples was ascertained by completely burning to ash, samples previously used in ADL procedure (ANKOM method) in a muffle furnace at 550 to 600 °C for 2 hours (AOAC, 2000). Crude protein was analysed using Elemental Analysis for dummies System (EAS), 2010.

For mineral analysis,0.5g of previously grounded safflower whole seeds were first digested in 10 ml of concentrated sulphuric acid (98%), and 2 ml of 15-45% hydrogen peroxide in a digestion block at 420 °C for 8 hours. After digestion, samples were allowed to cool for 2 hours and then transferred into 200 ml volumetric flasks, before filling with distilled water to the mark. Analyses for P, K, Ca, Mg, Na, Fe, Zn, Mn and Cu were determined using Inductively Coupled Plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) (AOAC, 2000).

**RESULTS**

The effects of safflower genotype on whole seed DM, ash, CP and fibre are presented in Tables 1 and 2. Safflower genotypes grown either in winter or summer did not significantly (P > 0.05) differ in DM content (Table 1). The whole seed DM content ranged between 94.5-96.1% and 91.9-94.5% in winter and summer grown plants, respectively. The average DM of safflower grown in winter was 95.4%, which was significantly (P < 0.05) higher than DM content of 93.4% for summer grown plants (Table 1).

The whole seed ash content of safflower genotypes grown in winter differed significantly (P < 0.05) (Table 1). Genotype PI-537634-1040-USA had ash content of 1.41%, which was significantly (P < 0.05) higher than the ash contents of the genotypes Kiama Composite, PI-537598-Sina-USA and PI-407616-BJ-2131-Turkey (Table 1).
TABLE 1. Effect of safflower genotype on safflower whole seed dry matter, ash and crude protein grown in winter and summer in Botswana

| Genotype                  | DM (%)     | Ash (%)    | CP (%)     |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
|                           | Winter     | Summer     | Winter     | Summer     | Winter     | Summer     |
| Kiama composite           | 95.5       | 92.2       | 1.0        | 1.24       | 17.1       | 16.8       |
| PI-537632-1038-USA        | 96.1       | 94.1       | 1.23       | 1.16       | 18.1       | 17.4       |
| PI-30441-BJ-2621-Iran     | 95.2       | 93.1       | 1.28       | 1.14       | 18.2       | 19.1       |
| PI-537598-SINA-USA        | 94.5       | 94.5       | 1.1        | 1.19       | 16.7       | 16.9       |
| PI-407616-BJ-2131-Turkey  | 95.7       | 91.9       | 1.13       | 1.14       | 18.5       | 17.6       |
| PI-537634-1040-USA        | 94.7       | 94.5       | 1.41       | 1.24       | 17.6       | 16.9       |
| PI-537668-BJ-1085-USA     | 95.8       | 92.8       | 0.95       | 1.22       | 17.6       | 17.0       |
| PI-314650-Milutin-114     | 95.5       | 93.8       | 0.96       | 1.16       | 16.3       | 17.0       |
| PI-306830-BJ-1632-India   | 95.5       | 93.7       | 1.05       | 1.2        | 18.1       | 18.2       |

Significance: NS NS * NS NS *

LSD (0.05): 1.64 3.66 0.35 0.19 4.07 1.24

* = NS, Significant at P = 0.05 and non-significant, respectively. DM = Dry matter, CP = Crude protein

TABLE 2. Effect of safflower genotype whole seed fiber content grown in winter and summer in Botswana

| Genotype                  | NDF (%)    | ADF (%)    | ADL (%)    |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
|                           | Winter     | Summer     | Winter     | Summer     | Winter     | Summer     |
| Kiama composite           | 49.0       | 46.0       | 48.0       | 43.6       | 19.0       | 14.9       |
| PI-537632-1038-USA        | 48.0       | 43.9       | 46.0       | 41.3       | 14.0       | 14.0       |
| PI-30441-BJ-2621-Iran     | 46.0       | 42.6       | 47.3       | 43.9       | 19.3       | 16.0       |
| PI-537598-SINA-USA        | 47.7       | 45.3       | 44.5       | 43.3       | 19.6       | 15.3       |
| PI-407616-BJ-2131-Turkey  | 47.3       | 45.0       | 44.3       | 39.7       | 17.5       | 13.5       |
| PI-537634-1040-USA        | 43.3       | 44.7       | 47.3       | 43.3       | 18.7       | 15.3       |
| PI-537668-BJ-1085-USA     | 50.3       | 46.7       | 46.7       | 44.6       | 20.7       | 15.3       |
| PI-314650-Milutin-114     | 49.3       | 45.5       | 42.8       | 41.6       | 17.4       | 14.2       |
| PI-306830-BJ-1632-India   | 48.7       | 46.7       | 43.2       | 42.2       | 15.3       | 15.4       |

Significance: ** **** * * *** *

LSD (0.05): 3.24 1.54 4.04 3.13 2.82 2.44

*,**,***,**** Significant at P = 0.05, 0.01, 0.001 and 0.0001, respectively. NDF = Neutral detergent fibre, ADF = Acid detergent fibre, and ADL = Acid detergent lignin
During summer, safflower genotypes did not significantly (P > 0.05) differ in their whole seed ash content (Table 1). The whole seed ash content ranged between 0.95-1.41% and 1.14-1.24% in winter and summer grown, respectively. The average whole seed ash content was 1.12 and 1.19% in winter and summer grown safflower, respectively (Table 1).

Safflower genotypes did not significantly (P > 0.05) differ in whole seed CP content (Table 1). However, in summer safflower genotypes had significant (P < 0.05) different contents of whole seed CP (Table 1). Genotype PI-3044-BJ-2621-Iran had whole seed CP content of 19.1%, which was significantly (P < 0.05) higher than the CP values of all other the genotypes, except for genotype PI-306830-BJ-1632-India (Table 1). Whole seed CP content ranged between 16.3-18.5% and 16.8-19.1% in winter and summer grown plants, respectively. On average, whole seed CP content was only slightly higher in safflower grown in winter (17.6%) than summer (17.4%).

There were significant (P<0.05) differences among safflower genotypes either grown in winter or summer, with respect to crude fibre (Table 2). In winter, genotype PI-537668-BJ-1085-USA had NDF of 50.3%; which was significantly (P<0.05) higher than NDF of genotypes PI-3044-BJ-2621-Iran (46.0%) and PI-537634-1040-USA (43.3%), but had statistically similar NDF with other genotypes (Table 2). Whole seed NDF ranged between 43.3-50.3 and 42.6-46.7% in winter and summer grown safflower, respectively (Table 2). The winter (47.7%) grown safflower had significantly (P < 0.05) higher whole seed NDF than its summer (45.2%) grown counterpart (Table 2). Genotype and growing season significantly (P < 0.05) influenced safflower whole seed ADF (Table 2). Variation in whole seed ADF ranged between 42.8-48.0 and 39.7 - 44.6% in winter and summer, respectively (Table 2). Furthermore, whole seed ADF was significantly (P < 0.05) higher in winter than summer growing season (Table 2). In winter, Kiama composite (48.0%) had the highest whole seed ADF, but was not statistically (P > 0.05) different from all other varieties except for PI-306830-BJ-1632-India and PI-314650-Milutin-114-Kazakhstan with 43.3 and 42.8% whole seed ADF, respectively (Table 2). Similarly, in summer, all other safflower genotypes were not statistically (P > 0.05) different from each other in terms of ADF. The exception was that of PI-537668-BJ-1085-USA, PI-30441-BJ-2621-Iran and PI-407616-BJ-2131-Turkey, which were significantly (P < 0.05) different from each other (Table 2).

Whole seed ADL significantly (P < 0.05) differed depending on the genotype and growing season (Table 2). Seed ADL ranged between 14.0-20.7% and 13.5-16.0% in winter and summer grown plants, respectively (Table 2). In winter, genotype PI-537668-BJ-1085-USA (20.7%) had significantly (P < 0.05) higher whole seed ADL than genotypes PI-407616-BJ-2131-Turkey, PI-314650-Milutin-114-Kazakhstan and PI-306830-BJ-1632-India (Table 2). It was, however, not statistically (P > 0.05) different from genotypes PI-537634-1040-USA, PI-537598-Sina-USA, and genotypes PI-30441-BJ-2621-Iran (Table 2). In winter grown safflower, genotype PI-537598-Sina-USA also had significantly (P < 0.05) higher whole seed ADL than the genotypes PI-306830-BJ-1632-India and PI-537632-1038-USA (Table 2). While in summer grown safflower, there were no significant (P > 0.05) differences between safflower genotypes with respect to whole seed ADL contents. The only exception was that of genotype PI-30441-BJ-2621-Iran, which had significantly (P<0.05) higher whole seed ADL content than the genotypes PI-407616-BJ-2131-Turkey (Table 2).

The phosphorus content of safflower whole seed significantly (P < 0.01) varied across genotypes (Table 3). Phosphorus content in whole seed varied between 5.47-7.87 mg g⁻¹ depending on safflower genotypes.
Genotype PI-306830-BJ-1632-India had the highest P content (7.87 mg g\(^{-1}\)) in safflower whole seed and was significantly (P < 0.05) higher than whole seed P contents of genotypes Kiama composite, PI-537632-1038-USA, PI-30441-BJ-2621-Iran and PI-537668-BJ-1085-USA (Table 3). However, whole seed P content of genotype PI 306830-BJ-1632-India did not significantly (P > 0.05) differ with that of genotypes PI-537634-1040-USA, PI-537636-BJ-1085-USA, PI-314650-MILUTIN-114, PI-306830-BJ-1632-India and PI-537634-1040-USA did not differ significantly (P > 0.05) (Table 3). Also, whole seed P content of genotypes PI-306830-BJ-1632-India did not significantly (P > 0.05) differ with that of genotypes PI-314650-Milutin-114-Kazakistan, Kiama Composite, PI-537668-BJ-1085-USA, PI-407616-BJ-2131-Turkey and PI-30441-BJ-2621-Iran (Table 3). However, whole K seed content of genotype PI-306830-BJ-1632-India did not significantly (P > 0.05) differ with that of genotypes PI-537634-1040-USA, PI-537636-BJ-1085-USA, PI-314650-MILUTIN-114, PI-306830-BJ-1632-India had the lowest whole seed K content of 8.44 mg g\(^{-1}\) but was not significantly (P > 0.05) different from whole seed K content of the genotype PI-407616-BJ-2131-Turkey (Table 3).

Safflower genotypes significantly (P < 0.05) influenced whole seed calcium (Ca) content (Table 3). The whole seed Ca content ranged between 9.45-11.33 mg g\(^{-1}\) (Table 3). Genotype PI-306830-BJ-1632-India had whole seed K content of 12.26 mg g\(^{-1}\) and it was significantly (P < 0.0001) higher than that of genotypes PI-314650-Milutin-114-Kazakhstan, Kiama Composite, PI-537668-BJ-1085-USA, PI-407616-BJ-2131-Turkey and PI-30441-BJ-2621-Iran (Table 3). However, whole K seed content of genotype PI-306830-BJ-1632-India did not significantly (P > 0.05) differ with that of genotypes PI-537634-1040-USA, PI-537636-BJ-1085-USA, PI-314650-MILUTIN-114, PI-306830-BJ-1632-India and PI-537634-1040-USA did not differ significantly (P > 0.05) (Table 3).

There were also significant (P < 0.05) differences in the whole seed potassium (K) content of the genotypes (Table 3). The K content of the genotypes varied between 8.44-12.26 mg g\(^{-1}\) (Table 3). Genotype PI-306830-BJ-1632-India had the highest whole seed K content of 12.26 mg g\(^{-1}\) and it was significantly (P < 0.0001) higher than that of genotypes PI-314650-Milutin-114-Kazakhstan, Kiama Composite, PI-537668-BJ-1085-USA, PI-407616-BJ-2131-Turkey and PI-30441-BJ-2621-Iran (Table 3). However, whole K seed content of genotype PI-306830-BJ-1632-India did not significantly (P > 0.05) differ with that of genotypes PI-537634-1040-USA, PI-537636-BJ-1085-USA, PI-314650-MILUTIN-114, PI-306830-BJ-1632-India and PI-537634-1040-USA did not differ significantly (P > 0.05) (Table 3). Also, whole seed P content of genotypes Kiama Composite, PI-30441-BJ-2621-Iran, PI-537668-BJ-1085-USA, PI-407616-BJ-2131-Turkey, PI-537632-1038-USA, PI-537636-BJ-1085-USA, PI-537598-Sina-USA and PI-537634-1040-USA did not differ significantly (P > 0.05) (Table 3).
Safflower genotype significantly (P < 0.05) influenced whole seed magnesium (Mg) content (Table 3). Whole seed Mg content ranged between 4.37-5.55 mg g⁻¹ depending on genotype (Table 3). Genotype PI-306830-BJ-1632-India had whole seed Mg content of 55 mg g⁻¹, which was significantly (P < 0.05) higher than whole seed Mg content of genotypes PI-407616-BJ-2131-Turkey, Kiama Composite, PI-30441-BJ-2621-Iran and PI-537668-BJ-1085-USA, which had whole seed Mg contents of 4.72, 4.69, 4.54, 4.37 mg g⁻¹, respectively (Table 3). However, whole seed Mg content of genotype PI-306830-BJ-1632-India was not significantly (P > 0.05) different from that of the genotypes PI-537598-Sina-USA (5.38 mg g⁻¹), PI-314650-Milutin-114-Kazakistan (5.28 mg g⁻¹), PI-537634-1040-USA (4.80 mg g⁻¹) and PI-537632-1038-USA (4.75 mg g⁻¹) (Table 3).

Genotype PI-537668-BJ-1085-USA had the lowest whole seed Mg content of 4.37 mg g⁻¹, but was not significantly (P > 0.05) different from whole seed Mg contents of the genotypes Kiama Composite, PI-537634-1040-USA, PI-537632-1038-USA, PI-407616-BJ-2131-Turkey and PI-30441-BJ-2621-Iran (Table 3). Sodium content of safflower whole seed significantly (P < 0.05) differed depending on genotype (Table 3). Whole seed Na content ranged between 3.24-3.57 mg g⁻¹, depending genotype (Table 3). Genotype PI-537598-Sina-USA had significantly (P < 0.05) higher whole seed Na content than genotypes PI-407616-BJ-2131-Turkey, PI-537634-1040-USA and PI-30441-BJ-2621-Iran (Table 3). However, genotype PI-537598-Sina-USA which had the highest whole seed Na content of 3.57 mg g⁻¹ was not significantly (P > 0.05) different from whole seed Na content of genotypes PI-306830-BJ-1632-India and PI-314650-Milutin-114-Kazakistan (Table 3). Genotype PI-537634-1040-USA had the lowest whole seed Na content of 3.24 mg g⁻¹, but was not significantly (P > 0.05) from the whole seed Na content of genotypes PI-537668-BJ-1085-USA, PI-407616-BJ-2131-Turkey, PI-537634-1040-USA and PI-30441-BJ-2621-Iran (Table 3).

Micro-nutrient mineral composition of safflower whole seeds grown in winter are presented in Table 4. Zinc content of safflower whole seed significantly (P < 0.05) varied across genotypes (Table 4). Whole seed Zn content of safflower seeds ranged between 90 and 120 µg g⁻¹ (Table 4). Genotypes PI-306830-BJ-1632-India and PI-537598-Sina-USA had similar whole seed Zn content of 120 µg g⁻¹, which was significantly (P < 0.05) higher than whole seed Zn content of the genotype PI-30441-BJ-2621-Iran, but was not significantly (P > 0.05) different from the whole seed Zn content of the other genotypes (Table 4). Safflower genotypes significantly (P < 0.01) influenced the iron (Fe) content of safflower whole seed (Table 4). Whole seed Fe content ranged between 50-80 µg g⁻¹ (Table 4). Genotype PI-537598-Sina-USA had significantly (P < 0.01) higher whole seed Fe content than PI-537668-BJ-1085-USA, but was not significantly (P > 0.05) different from
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Micro-nutrient mineral composition of safflower whole seeds grown in winter are presented in Table 4. Zinc content of safflower whole seed significantly (P < 0.05) varied across genotypes (Table 4). Whole seed Zn content of safflower seeds ranged between 90 and 120 µg g⁻¹ (Table 4). Genotypes PI-306830-BJ-1632-India and PI-537598-Sina-USA had similar whole seed Zn content of 120 µg g⁻¹, which was significantly (P < 0.05) higher than whole seed Zn content of the genotype PI-30441-BJ-2621-Iran, but was not significantly (P > 0.05) different from the whole seed Zn content of the other genotypes (Table 4).

Safflower genotypes significantly (P < 0.01) influenced the iron (Fe) content of safflower whole seed (Table 4). Whole seed Fe content ranged between 50-80 µg g⁻¹ (Table 4). Genotype PI-537598-Sina-USA had significantly (P < 0.01) higher whole seed Fe content than PI-537668-BJ-1085-USA, but was not significantly (P > 0.05) different from
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Safflower genotypes significantly (P < 0.05) differed in their whole seed manganese (Mn) content (Table 4). Whole seed Mn content of safflower seeds ranged between 30–50 µg g⁻¹ depending on genotype (Table 4). Safflower genotypes PI-306830-BJ-1632-India and PI-407616-BJ-2131-Turkey had whole seed Mn content of 50 µg g⁻¹, which was significantly (P < 0.01) higher than the whole seed Mn content of genotypes PI-537598-Sina-USA, PI-537632-1038-USA, Kiama Composite and PI-537634-1040-USA (Table 4). However, whole seed Mn content of the genotypes PI-306830-BJ-1632-India and PI-407616-BJ-2131-Turkey did not significantly (P > 0.05) differ from the whole seed Mn content of genotypes PI-537598-Sina-USA, PI-537632-1038-USA, Kiama Composite and PI-537634-1040-USA (Table 4). On the contrary, the whole seed copper (Cu) content of safflower did not significant (P > 0.05) differ with genotype (Table 4). Whole seed Cu content of safflower ranged between 140–170 µg g⁻¹ depending on genotype (Table 4).

### DISCUSSION

Results of this study have shown that, despite the significant genetic effects of safflower on whole seed nutritional composition, ash, macro- and micro-nutrients, levels of DM, CP, crude fibre (NDF, ADF and ADL), macro- and micro-mineral nutrients (P, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Na, Zn, Fe, Mn and Cu) were within the nutritional contents used to qualify an animal feed for quality purposes. According to Van Saun (2016) and Ball et al. (2017), the potential animal feed should contain greater than 85% DM, greater than 9% CP, 50–60% NDF, less than 35% ADF and 1–4% ash content on DM basis. Safflower whole seed, depending on genotype and growing season, contained DM, crude fibre and ash contents which were within the recommended range for animal feed (Tables 1 and 2).

The level of whole seed DM suggests that whole seeds of safflower genotypes used in this study can serve as excellent quality livestock feed for beef and dairy animals. Walker (2006) and Malakian et al. (2011) also reported whole safflower seeds to contain 94.4

---

### TABLE 4. Micro-mineral composition of safflower whole seed grown in winter in Botswana

| Genotype                          | Zn  | Fe  | Mn  | Cu  |
|----------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Kiama composite                  | 110 | 70  | 40  | 170 |
| PI-537632-1038-USA               | 110 | 60  | 40  | 160 |
| PI-30441-BJ-2621-Iran            | 90  | 60  | 30  | 150 |
| PI-537598-SINA-USA               | 120 | 80  | 40  | 160 |
| PI-407616-BJ-2131-Turkey         | 110 | 70  | 30  | 140 |
| PI-537634-1040-USA               | 100 | 70  | 40  | 140 |
| PI-537668-BJ-1085-USA            | 100 | 50  | 30  | 140 |
| PI-314650-MILUTIN-114            | 100 | 70  | 30  | 150 |
| PI-306830-BJ-1632-India          | 120 | 70  | 50  | 140 |

Significance

*: **, NS, Significant at P = 0.05, 0.01 and non-significant, respectively. Means separated using the Least Significant Difference (LSD) at P = 0.05.
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and 93% DM, respectively. With the exception of the safflower genotype PI-537598-Sina-USA, all genotypes in the present study had significantly (P < 0.05) higher whole seed DM in winter than summer growing seasons. Winter grown safflower plants accumulated more seed DM matter than their summer counterparts because of the longer maturation period of about 138 days after emergence in winter compared to summer with 90 days after emergence in summer. Research by Nepal Government (2012) reported that whole safflower seed contained 13.5% CP which was acceptable based on the protein requirements of livestock. In Australia, safflower whole seed was fed to beef and dairy cattle and sheep as feed supplement, or mixed in feed rations (OGTR, 2015). Bottger et al. (2002) reported that lactating beef cows fed with high-linoleate safflower whole seeds were capable of maintaining good body condition. The present study has demonstrated that, all safflower genotypes investigated can be used as sources of protein for livestock feed, especially ruminants.

It is clear from the present study that whole safflower seed NDF, which ranged between 46.0 and 50.3% was of high nutritional quality for feed for livestock. All genotypes used in the study produced seeds that could be used to formulate high quality animal feed. Godfrey (2006) reported that safflower whole seeds contain between 34.3 and 50.7% NDF, depending on genotype; which was somewhat inferior to the observations in the present study. Malakian et al. (2011) and Oguz et al. (2007) also reported that safflower whole seeds contained about 40–45% NDF.

Results of the present study showed that whole seed ADF ranged between 39.7 - 48.0% depending on safflower genotype and growing season (Table 2). The results imply that safflower whole seeds were less digestible, contradicting findings of several workers (Godfrey, 2006; Malakian et al., 2011; Oguz et al., 2014), who reported that safflower whole seeds contained less than 35% ADF. The contradiction between our results and those of the others could be attributed to genotype and environmental differences.

Walker (2006) and Alobeid et al. (2010) reported that safflower whole seeds contained 40.0 and 50 % ADF, respectively, and they concluded that fibres alone should not be used to qualify an animal feed, but other variables such as CP, mineral content and DM should also be considered. In light of this result and the contradictory observations made above, to achieve the desirable ADF, the genotypes used in the present study could be dehulled before being used for livestock feed. The quality of the whole safflower seed used for livestock feed is reported to be variable depending on the amount of hulls (Dajue and Mündel, 1996; Dschaak et al., 2010; Jacob, 2015). Dehulled safflower seeds contains protein and fiber of about 35-50% and 10-15% fiber, respectively (Gohl, 1982; Voicu et al., 2009; Jacob, 2015). Alternatively, safflower genotypes with lower hull percentage could be introduced to Botswana for this purpose.

In the present study, whole seed ADL ranged between 13.5 - 20.7% depending on safflower genotype and growing season (Table 2). Our results indicated that safflower whole seeds had low ADL thus, would lead to high livestock feed intake and digestibility. The present results are in agreement with those of Dixon et al. (2003), who reported that safflower whole seed contained an ADL value of 15.5%. Acid detergent lignin measures the amount of lignin contained in an animal feed. Lignin is indigestible and hence has a negative impact on cellulose digestibility. As lignin content in a feed increases, digestibility of cellulose decreases, thereby lowering the amount of energy potentially available to the animal (Ball et al., 2017). Moreover, at high ADL, NDF and ADF contents in animal feed, feed intake and digestibility decreases, and animal productivity may decrease (Van Saun, 2016; Ball et al., 2017). The present results demonstrated that whole safflower had
excellent values of NDF and ADL, but ADF was high and could be lowered by dehulling. Whole seed ash content was between 0.95 and 1.41% on DM basis, depending on safflower genotype and growing season, but was within the normal range for animal feed (Schroeder, 2012; Van Saun, 2016). Ash is the total mineral content of animal feed, and it does not separate individual minerals (macro- and micro-minerals) of interest from silica and other less important minerals (Van Saun, 2016; Ball et al., 2017). It is important to understand what constitutes the normal ash content of a feed because if ash content is abnormally high, there is a high possibility that the feed is contaminated with soil which is undesirable (Hoffman and Tayson, 2005). Safflower whole seed has been reported to contain 2.10-5.54% ash (Nagaraj, 1993; Rahamatalla et al., 1998; Malakian et al., 2011). The results of the current study confirmed that safflower whole seed are an excellent source of minerals for livestock feed.

Genetic variation in mineral composition of safflower whole seeds was also observed in the current study (Table 3). The P, K, Ca, Mg, Na, Zn, Fe, Mn and Cu contents in the present study showed that safflower whole seeds can provide both macro- and micro-nutrients to livestock. The P requirement in livestock is reported to be between 0.25-0.30% (NRC, 2001). The safflower whole seed Ca:P ratio was 1.6:1, while the desirable Ca:P ratio in livestock feed should be between 2:1 and 1:1 (IPNI, 1999). It was reported that whole safflower seeds contain 0.32-0.67% P (USDH, 2010; Nepal Government, 2012; Heuze et al., 2012).

NRC (2001) reported the mineral requirement of livestock to be between 0.25 - 0.30% P, 0.60 - 0.70% K, 0.50 - 0.60% Ca and 0.10% Mg, thus any feed providing these mineral compositions was regarded as a high quality animal feed. Ibrahim and Hasan (2005) reported safflower whole seeds to contain 0.6% P and 0.76% K. Nepal Government (2012) reported that safflower whole seeds contained 0.32 and 0.24% P and Ca, respectively. The levels of P, K, Ca, Mg and Na obtained in the present study, are higher than the suggested ranges above, implying that safflower whole seeds was a quality animal feed.

In the present study, whole safflower seeds contained 90–120 ppm Zn depending on genotype. USDH (2010) reported that safflower whole seeds which contained 50 ppm of Zn was significantly lower than our results probably because of differences in genotypes and growth environment.

The Fe requirements for cattle, sheep and goats are 50 and 30 - 75 ppm, respectively. In the present study, safflower whole seeds contained 50–80 ppm Fe depending on genotype, which is adequate for cattle, sheep and goats. Nepal Government (2012) and NRC (2001) reported that safflower whole seeds contained 46 and 59 ppm Fe, respectively. Also in the present study, whole safflower seeds contained 30-50 ppm Mn depending on genotype which was sufficient to meet the Mn requirements cattle, sheep and poultry such as chicken and turkey (Smith, 1996; Weiss, 2000; Wand, 2010). USDH (2010) and Smith (1996) reported that whole safflower seeds contained 20 and 20.4 ppm Mn.

Whole safflower seeds contained 140 - 170 ppm Cu depending on genotype, which was above the recommended range and may cause Cu toxicity if Mo is deficient. USDH (2010) reported that safflower seeds contained 17.3 ppm Cu. The results of the present study showed that safflower whole seeds are excellent sources of macro-nutrients (P, K, Ca, Mg, Na) and micro-nutrients (Zn, Fe, Mn and Cu) for livestock feed. This suggests that whole safflower seed can be used in livestock feed and feed ration formulations.

CONCLUSION

The nutritional composition of safflower whole seed is greatly influenced by safflower genotypes and the growing season. Both
summer and winter grown safflower yielded seed of excellent quality for animal feeding and livestock ration formulation. Due to the high CP, fibre and macro-and micro-nutrients in safflower whole seeds, it was recommended that safflower growing be promoted in the arid-and semi-arid regions such as Botswana to serve as livestock and poultry feed, and be used for livestock feed ration formulation, for this will improve food security in the country.
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