Astrocytes detect and upregulate transmission at inhibitory synapses of somatostatin interneurons onto pyramidal cells
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Astrocytes are important regulators of excitatory synaptic networks. However, astrocytes regulation of inhibitory synaptic systems remains ill defined. This is particularly relevant since GABAergic interneurons regulate the activity of excitatory cells and shape network function. To address this issue, we combined optogenetics and pharmacological approaches, two-photon confocal imaging and whole-cell recordings to specifically activate hippocampal somatostatin or parvalbumin-expressing interneurons (SOM-INs or PV-INs), while monitoring inhibitory synaptic currents in pyramidal cells and Ca²⁺ responses in astrocytes. We found that astrocytes detect SOM-IN synaptic activity via GABA₂R and GAT-3-dependent Ca²⁺ signaling mechanisms, the latter triggering the release of ATP. In turn, ATP is converted into adenosine, activating A₁Rs and upregulating SOM-IN synaptic inhibition of pyramidal cells, but not PV-IN inhibition. Our findings uncover functional interactions between a specific subpopulation of interneurons, astrocytes and pyramidal cells, involved in positive feedback autoregulation of dendritic inhibition of pyramidal cells.
Information processing in the hippocampus relies on an intricate circuit of excitatory projection cells and local inhibitory interneurons, where interneurons orchestrate the pattern of excitation and synchronization of the neuronal network. Additionally, astrocytes regulate transmission in hippocampal circuits through bidirectional communication with neurons. This intimate structural and functional interaction between astrocyte, pre-synaptic terminal and postsynaptic cell, termed “tripartite synapse”, proposes that astrocytes sense synaptic activity through membrane receptors, which leads to increased intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) levels, triggering glutamate release. Glutamiters, in turn, act on neurons regulating their synaptic and extrasynaptic activity, enabling temporal and spatial integration of information. Mounting evidence demonstrated that astrocyte-derived purines adjust synaptic efficacy to the needs of the particular network. For example, ATP released by hippocampal astrocytes, is converted extracellularly into adenosine, which acts on presynaptic adenosine A\(_{1}\) receptors (A\(_{1}\)R), established inhibitors of excitatory transmission\(^{2-8}\) and involved in heterosynaptic depression. This important mechanism participates in sleep regulation\(^9\) and hippocampus-related cognition\(^10\). Conversely, purinergic signaling in astrocytes increases basal excitatory transmission through activation of facilitatory A\(_{2A}\) receptors (A\(_{2A}\)R)\(^{11}\). Thus, hippocampal astrocytes use a balance of A\(_{1}\)R–A\(_{2A}\)R activation to bidirectionally modulate synaptic plasticity and influence cognitive processes.

While many studies investigated astrocyte modulation of excitatory components of synaptic networks, the involvement of astrocytes at inhibitory synapses is still largely undefined. Astrocytes respond to exogenous GABA application but also to endogenous GABAergic activity with Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations via several mechanisms, including GABAA receptor (GABA\(_{A}\)R)\(^{12}\), GABAB receptors (GABA\(_{B}\)R)\(^{3,14-16}\), and GABA transporters (GATs)\(^{13,17,18}\). Such endogenous activation of GABA receptors and transporters in astrocytes evokes astrocytic release of glutamate\(^{14,19,20}\) or ATP\(^3\), efflux of chloride\(^{13}\) and alterations in GATs activity\(^{21-23}\), processes that can modulate neuronal activity. Interestingly, sustained depolarization of astrocytes producing intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) increases potentiates miniature inhibitory postsynaptic currents (mIPSCs) in hippocampal pyramidal cells. Also, reduction of astrocyte resting Ca\(^{2+}\) levels mediated by TRPA1 cation channels decreases inhibitory synaptic responses in interneurons by reducing GAT-3-mediated GABA transport. However, it lacked effect at pyramidal cell inhibitory synapses, suggesting modulatory mechanisms specific to some inhibitory synapses in hippocampal networks. Indeed, highly compartmentalized inhibitory synapses onto hippocampal pyramidal cells originate from heterogeneous interneuron subtypes\(^{1,25}\) and it remains to be determined how astrocytes influence interneuron-specific inhibitory synapses.

In the hippocampus, pyramidal cell dendritic regions are densely populated by astrocytes with fine astrocytic processes surrounding dendrites and contacting a large proportion of synapses. We demonstrated that astrocytic-driven heterosynaptic depression occurred at excitatory synapses on pyramidal cell apical dendrites. However, pyramidal cells also receive a significant part of their inhibitory synapses in these dendritic regions. Somatostatin-expressing interneurons (SOM-Is) are a major group of interneurons targeting pyramidal cell dendrites. SOM-Is regulate synaptic integration, dendritic burst firing and synaptic plasticity of pyramidal cells, and play a crucial role in hippocampal-dependent contextual fear learning. In contrast, another major type of interneurons, parvalbumin-expressing interneurons (PV-Is), target the perisomatic domain of pyramidal cells. PV-Is control spike timing of pyramidal cells and are essential for spatial working memory. In addition, it has been recently demonstrated that astrocytes in neocortex are differentially affected by optogenetic activation of interneurons. SOM-Is activation results in robust GABA\(_{A}\) receptor-mediated Ca\(^{2+}\) elevations in astrocytes whereas PV-Is activation induces weak Ca\(^{2+}\) elevations. Thus, SOM-Is and PV-Is synapses onto pyramidal cell are interesting potential targets for astrocyte regulation. To address this question, we used cell-specific expression of channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2) in SOM-Is or PV-Is, whole-cell recordings from pyramidal cells, 2-photon Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging in astrocytes, and pharmacological approaches to examine astrocyte interactions at SOM-Is and PV-Is inhibitory synapses on pyramidal cells. We found an endogenous mechanism of astrocyte-mediated upregulation of SOM-Is, but not PV-Is, inhibitory synapses on pyramidal cells as revealed by the blockade of GAT-3 activity, inhibition of Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling in astrocytes and prevention of the extracellular conversion of ATP to adenosine or A1Rs activation. Our findings suggest a cell-specific interaction between SOM-Is, astrocytes, and pyramidal cells responsible for positive feedback autoregulation of dendritic inhibition of hippocampal pyramidal cells.

**Results**

**A\(_{1}\)R upregulates inhibition of pyramidal cells by SOM-Is**

We examined the implication of astrocytes at dendritic inhibitory synapses onto CA1 pyramidal cells using a cell-specific optogenetic approach with Cre-dependent expression of channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2) in dendrite-projecting somatostatin interneurons (SOM-Is). We used SOM-ChR2/EYFP transgenic mice, in combination with whole-cell recording of inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs) in CA1 pyramidal cells in acute hippocampal slices (Fig. 1a, b). Graded optogenetic stimulation of SOM-Is (light pulse duration 0.5–5 ms; 0.1 Hz) elicited gradually increasing depolarization and firing (1–2 action potentials) in current-clamp recordings from SOM-Is (Supplementary Figure 1a). The same optogenetic stimulation of SOM-Is evoked GABA\(_{A}\)R-mediated IPSCs (SOM-IPSCs) of increasing amplitude in pyramidal cells (Supplementary Figures 1c and 1e).

We first determined whether adenosine, resultant from catabolism of ATP released by astrocytes, and acting on A\(_{1}\)Rs could regulate synaptic inhibition by SOM-Is of pyramidal cells. We bath-applied the selective A\(_{1}\)R antagonist DPCPX (100 nM) to block endogenous adenosine activation of A\(_{1}\)Rs. Optogenetic stimulation of SOM-Is evoked SOM-IPSCs in CA1 pyramidal cells that remained stable during vehicle application and after washout (Fig. 1c, h). In contrast, SOM-IPSC amplitude decreased during application of DPCPX (64.4 ± 7.4% of control Fig. 1h), which reversed upon washout (Fig. 1d). These results suggest that SOM-IPSCs are upregulated by endogenous adenosine activating A\(_{1}\)Rs. This was not due to DPCPX effect on SOM-Is and decreasing their response to optogenetic stimulation since responses of SOM-Is to optogenetic stimulation (Fig. 1g) were similar in control, DPCPX and after washout (action potential number: 1.75 ± 0.25; 1.67 ± 0.33, and 1.5 ± 0.30, respectively; n = 4 cells; p > 0.05). These data indicate that DPCPX directly modulates SOM-IPSCs, suggesting that endogenous adenosine acting on A\(_{1}\)Rs upregulates SOM-IPSCs in pyramidal cells.

We next tested whether the adenosine involved in A\(_{1}\)R modulation of SOM-IPSCs is a product of breakdown of extracellular ATP, presumably released from astrocytes. We prevented the extracellular catabolism of ATP into adenosine by using AMP-CP (200 μM), an inhibitor of CD73/ecto-5’-nucleotidase that converts 5’-AMP into adenosine. AMP-CP reversibly decreased SOM-IPSC amplitude (57.1 ± 10.6% of control Fig. 1e, h). Application of AMP-CP after a prior application of DPCPX failed to further decrease SOM-IPSCs (66.5 ± 5.9% of control Fig. 1f, i). These results suggest that the source of adenosine producing...
endogenous activation of A1Rs and upregulation of SOM-IPSCs originates from the breakdown of extracellular ATP.

Astrocyte Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signaling upregulates inhibition by SOM-INs. Previous work suggested that astrocyte Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signaling triggers ATP release leading to adenosine formation and activation of A1Rs\textsuperscript{3–10}. Therefore, we explored the role of astrocyte Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signaling in the upregulation of SOM-IN inhibition. We used intracellular dialysis of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} chelator BAPTA to impair astrocyte Ca\textsuperscript{2+} responses (as previously\textsuperscript{3,11}) and examined the effect on inhibition of pyramidal cells by SOM-INs (Fig. 2a).
Astrocytes in *stratum radiatum* were identified by labeling with 0.25 μM sulforhodamine 101 red fluorescent dye (SR101, 0.25 μM), as described previously.37,38 We verified that SR101 labels astrocytes (Supplementary Figure 2a) without affecting membrane properties and spontaneous IPSCs in pyramidal cells (Supplementary Figure 2c), as previously suggested for EPSCs using a higher concentration.

During whole-cell recording from pyramidal cells, an astrocyte in *stratum radiatum* was contacted with a patch electrode containing low (0.1 mM) or high (20 mM) concentrations of BAPTA in cell-attached configuration. SOM-IPSCs were evoked by optogenetic stimulation of SOM-INs, keeping the astrocyte membrane intact to prevent BAPTA diffusion into the cell. Then, whole-cell configuration was established to enable BAPTA diffusion into the astrocyte. The patch pipette also contained Alexa 488 (100 μM) to visualize the astrocyte synctium (~10–15 Alexa Fluor 488-labeled astrocytes covering an area ~100 μm in diameter) (Fig. 2b). After 20 min of astrocyte dialysis with 20 mM BAPTA, SOM-IPSCs were reduced (57.2 ± 4.4% of control, Fig. 2d–e). Similar dialysis of astrocytes with low concentration (0.1 mM) of BAPTA did not alter SOM-IPSCs (98.0 ± 9.0% of control, Fig. 2c, e). These results indicate that endogenous Ca2+ activity in astrocytes upregulates SOM-IN inhibition of pyramidal cells.

**SOM-IN activation evokes Ca2+ signals in astrocytes.** Since astrocyte Ca2+-dependent processes upregulate SOM-IN inhibition of pyramidal cells, we next examined whether astrocytes respond to SOM-IN synaptic activity with Ca2+ changes by analyzing Ca2+ responses elicited in astrocytes by optogenetic stimulation of SOM-INs (Fig. 3a).

SR101-positive astrocytes in *stratum radiatum* were recorded in whole-cell current-clamp with pipettes containing CaSiR-1 (100 μM), a near-infrared Ca2+ indicator with a maximum light-absorption spectrum (~650 nm) distinct from ChR2 (~473 nm)39,40 (Fig. 3b). Ca2+ transients were elicited in astrocyte processes by optogenetic stimulation of SOM-INs (trains of 5 ms pulses at 1 Hz for 5 s, optimal stimulation described in Supplementary Figure 3a–b) (Fig. 3c). SOM-INs optogenetic stimulation induced Ca2+ transients in all astrocyte processes analyzed (*n* = 26; amplitude 27.2 ± 2.6% ΔF/F; Fig. 3c, e). Optogenetic stimulation in slices from non-Chr2 expressing mice (SOM-Cre mice) did not elicit astrocyte Ca2+ transients (Fig. 3d, *n* = 4). Whole-cell current-clamp recordings from YFP-expressing SOM-INs revealed that optogenetic stimulation evoked on average 2 APs per pulse (Supplementary Figure 3c, *n* = 4).

We tested the potential contribution of GABAARs3,14–16 and GAT-313,17,18 to SOM-IN-evoked Ca2+ transients in astrocytes. First, we examined the distribution of GAT-3 and GABAARs in relation to CA1 astrocytes using immunohistochemistry. As previously, GAT-3 immunoreactivity was localized in GFAP/S100β-positive astrocytic processes (Fig. 3f, g), with high levels in *strata pyramidale, radiatum, and lacunaeum-moleculare* (Supplementary Figure 4). Similarly, GABAARs were ubiquitous throughout CA1 region (Supplementary Figure 4) and co-localized with GAT-3 on GFAP/S100β-positive astrocytic processes (Fig. 3f–g and Supplementary Figure 4). These results suggest that GAT-3 and GABAARs co-localize in astrocytic processes in pyramidal cell dendritic area.

Next we tested the involvement of GAT-3 and GABAAR in the astrocyte Ca2+ transients evoked by optogenetic stimulation of SOM-INs with bath-application of the GAT-3-specific inhibitor (S)-SNAP-5114 (100 μM) and the selective GABAAR antagonist CGP55845 (2 μM). Application of vehicle did not affect astrocyte Ca2+ transient amplitude (103.4 ± 9.0% of control, Fig. 3e, k) but (S)-SNAP-5114 decreased the amplitude of Ca2+ transients (47.7 ± 4.0% of control Fig. 3h, k). Application of CGP55845 also decreased the amplitude of astrocytic Ca2+ responses (71.0 ± 1.2% of control, Fig. 3i, k), but the reduction was smaller than the one induced by (S)-SNAP-5114 (Fig. 3j). Combined treatment with (S)-SNAP-5114 and CGP55845, to inhibit both GAT-3 and GABAAR, had cumulative effects (20.1 ± 2.0% of control Fig. 3j–k). Hence, optogenetic stimulation of SOM-INs induces Ca2+ transients in astrocytes that are mediated predominantly via GAT-3 but also partially by GABAARs.

**Astrocyte GAT-3 upregulates inhibition by SOM-INs.** Since both GAT-3 and GABAAR were involved in astrocytic Ca2+ responses evoked by SOM-INs stimulation, we evaluated whether GAT-3 and GABAAR actions in astrocytes regulate inhibition of pyramidal cells by SOM-INs. While vehicle treatment (Fig. 4a) had no effect, application of (S)-SNAP-5114 reversibly decreased the amplitude of SOM-IPSCs evoked by optogenetic stimulation (57.0 ± 6.5% of control Fig. 4b, d). In contrast, vehicle treatment (Fig. 4a) or CGP55845 application (Fig. 4c) did not affect SOM-IPSC amplitude (107 ± 5.0% and 92.2 ± 10.8% of control, respectively, Fig. 4d). These results suggest that endogenous activation of GAT-3 (but not GABAAR) upregulates inhibition by SOM-INs. Interestingly, GAT-3 and GFAP/S100β-positive...
astrocytic processes in stratum radiatum were in close proximity to EYFP-labeled axonal projections of SOM-INs (Fig. 4e, f).

We next asked whether astrocyte Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling was involved in the GAT-3 modulation of SOM-IPSCs using BAPTA dialysis in astrocytes prior to GAT-3 antagonist perfusion. As before, BAPTA dialysis decreased the amplitude of SOM-IPSCs (59.3 ± 4.0% of control Fig. 4h). However, (S)-SNAP-5114 failed to further decrease SOM-IPSC amplitude after BAPTA dialysis (59.8 ± 3.7% of control Fig. 4g, h). These results indicate that BAPTA in astrocytes occluded the effect of GAT-3 blockade, suggesting that GAT-3 and Ca\(^{2+}\) activity in astrocytes upregulated SOM-IN inhibition of pyramidal cells via a common mechanism.

**GAT-3 blockade occludes A\(_1\)R modulation of SOM-IN inhibition.** Our results suggest that astrocyte GAT-3 activation leads to ATP release, activation of A\(_1\)Rs and upregulation of SOM-IN inhibition of pyramidal cells. Next, we performed occlusion experiments to test this premise. We first applied GAT-3 inhibitor (S)-SNAP-5114 and observed a reduction in SOM-IPSC amplitude (57.0 ± 4.0% of control Fig. 5a, c). Interestingly, application of the A\(_1\)R antagonist DPCPX, failed to produce a further decrease in SOM-IPSCs (58.2 ± 3.3% of control Fig. 5a, c). These results suggest that prior blockade of GAT-3 prevents A\(_1\)R modulation of SOM-IPSCs.

To further confirm this mechanism we tested if application of A\(_1\)R agonist N\(^6\)-cyclopentyladenosine (N\(^6\)-CPA, 1 µM) during the blockade of GAT-3 could up-regulate inhibition by SOM-INs. In the presence of the GAT-3 inhibitor (S)-SNAP-5114 that decreased SOM-IPSC amplitude (59.3 ± 5.9% of control), application of the A\(_1\)R agonist N\(^6\)-CPA increased SOM-IPSC amplitude (72.8 ± 5.9% of control Fig. 5b, d). This effect of N\(^6\)-CPA was blocked by application of the A\(_1\)R antagonist DPCPX (Fig. 5b, d). However under basal conditions (in absence of inhibitors), application of N\(^6\)-CPA did not affect SOM-IPSC amplitude (99.0 ± 10.1% of control, Fig. 5f). Overall, these results are consistent with a GAT-3 activation of astrocytes leading to ATP release, activation of A\(_1\)Rs and upregulation of SOM-IN inhibition (Fig. 5g).
A1R and astrocyte GAT-3 do not regulate PV-IN inhibition. We next examined if A1R-mediated and GAT-3-mediated astrocytic modulation of synaptic inhibition of pyramidal cells also regulates inhibition by other interneuron types. We targeted ChR2 expression to PV-INs and recorded IPSCs evoked in CA1 pyramidal cells of PV-ChR2/EYFP transgenic mice by optogenetic stimulation (Fig. 6a, b). Graded optogenetic stimulation of PV-INs (light pulse duration 0.4–1 ms; 0.1 Hz) evoked GABAAR-mediated IPSCs (PV-IPSCs) of increasing amplitude in pyramidal cells (Supplementary Figure 1d and 1f).

Next we used the same pharmacological approach to determine if endogenous activation of A1Rs regulates inhibition by PV-INs. Application of DPCPX (100 nM) failed to affect PV-IPSC amplitude (99.9 ± 6.0% of control, Fig. 6d, f), indicating that PV-IN inhibition of pyramidal cells is not subject to endogenous regulation by A1Rs. Subsequently, we assessed if astrocytic GAT-3 activation regulates PV-IN inhibition using (S)-SNAP-5114. Application of (S)-SNAP-5114 (10 μM) did not change PV-IPSC amplitude (95.3 ± 9.1% of control Fig. 6e, f), showing that PV-IN inhibition of pyramidal cells is unaffected by the blockade of GAT-3. Thus, A1R-mediated and GAT-3-mediated astrocytic regulation of synaptic inhibition of pyramidal cells may be specific to inhibition by SOM-INs.

A1Rs, GAT-3, and astrocyte Ca2+ depress spontaneous IPSCs. Synaptic inhibition of CA1 pyramidal cells originates from diverse interneurons. Unlike IPSCs evoked by optogenetic stimulation of SOM-INs, spontaneous inhibitory postsynaptic currents (sIPSCs) in pyramidal cells reflect activation of inhibitory synapses originating from other types of interneurons. Therefore, we examined whether GABA_A-mediated sIPSCs (Supplementary Figure 1g, 2b) were similarly regulated. Application of the A1R antagonist DPCPX (100 nM) led to a reversible increase in sIPSC amplitude (128.10 ± 6.0% of control Fig. 7a–c) and no change in frequency (97.14 ± 4.0% of control). This effect is the opposite of DPCPX actions on SOM-IPSCs (Fig. 1d, h) but consistent with adenosine-mediated presynaptic depression at inhibitory synapses.[48–51]. This suggests pathway-specific A1R-mediated mechanisms differentially regulating inhibitory synapses from somatostatin and other interneurons.

We next tested the importance of extracellular ATP hydrolysis using application of the CD73/ecto 5’ nucleotidase inhibitor (AMP-CP, 200 μM). It had no effect on sIPSC amplitude (99.00 ± 7.0% of control) or frequency (104.50 ± 5.0% of control) (Fig. 7d–f). This is in contrast to effects on SOM-IPSCs (Fig. 1e, h), implying that adenosine eliciting A1R-mediated depression of sIPSCs was not ATP-derived. This suggests that, unlike the modulation of SOM-INs inhibition, adenosine-mediated modulation of sIPSCs does not originate from ATP released from astrocytes.

Finally, we tested if astrocyte Ca2+ signaling was involved in the GAT-3 modulation of sIPSCs. Application of (S)-SNAP-5114 after BPATA dialysis failed to further increase sIPSC amplitude (129.10 ± 3.0% of control) and frequency (119.54 ± 6.0% of control) (Fig. 7j, l). These results indicate that BPATA in astrocytes occluded the effect of GAT-3 blockade, implying an endogenous suppression of spontaneous inhibitory synaptic activity by GAT-3-mediated Ca2+ activity in astrocytes. These findings suggest differential actions of GAT-3-mediated Ca2+ activity in astrocytes in the regulation of inhibitory synapses originating from somatostatin and other interneurons.

Discussion
Our findings reveal the existence of a dynamic endogenous mechanism by which astrocytes enhance SOM-IN inhibition of pyramidal cells, mediating a positive feedback autoregulation of dendritic inhibition of hippocampal pyramidal cells. We found that in situ CA1 hippocampal astrocytes sense endogenous GABA released by SOM-INs via GAT-3-mediated Ca2+ signaling. Our data suggest that SOM-IN synaptic activity activates GAT-3-mediated Ca2+ signaling in astrocytes, leading to ATP release and ensuing extracellular conversion into adenosine, followed by activation of A1Rs and enhancement of synaptic inhibition of pyramidal cells by SOM-INs. This astrocytic regulation appears specific to SOM-INs since inhibition of pyramidal cells by PV-INs is unaffected by A1R and GAT-3 blockade. In addition, our
results show a different astrocyte-mediated modulation of spontaneous inhibitory responses in pyramidal cells, confirming a differential astrocytic regulation of inhibitory synapses made by SOM-INs and other types of interneurons on pyramidal cells. The endogenous astrocyte-mediated upregulation of SOM-IN inhibitory synapses on pyramidal cells provides evidence for a direct endogenous interaction between astrocytes, a specific subpopulation of inhibitory interneurons, and pyramidal cells which regulates hippocampal inhibitory synaptic transmission. Previous work established that astrocytes, like neurons, are endowed with GABA\textsubscript{A} and GABA\textsubscript{B} receptors. Astrocyte GABA\textsubscript{A}Rs contribute to morphological differentiation of astrocyte processes, whereas astrocyte GABA\textsubscript{B}Rs participate in integration and modulation of neuronal activity\textsuperscript{53}. Moreover, astrocytes express high-affinity GATs that remove the neurotransmitter from the synaptic cleft and limit spillover to neighboring synapses\textsuperscript{54,55}. Of the four subtypes of GATs, GAT-3 is found exclusively in astrocytic processes in cortex and...
hippocampus13,17,18 and GABA<sub>R</sub>14–16 have also been implicated in Ca<sup>2+</sup> signaling in astrocytes. In particular, GABA-evoked Ca<sup>2+</sup> events in olfactory bulb astrocytes are fully prevented by GAT-3 blockers, only partially by GABA<sub>R</sub> antagonists and not affected by GABA<sub>R</sub> antagonists17. These observations are consistent with our findings that optogenetic stimulation of SOM-INs induced Ca<sup>2+</sup> transients in astrocytes via GAT-3 and GABA<sub>R</sub>s (Fig. 3). Interestingly, our results highlight a key contribution of astrocytic GAT-3-mediated Ca<sup>2+</sup> signaling to upregulation of synaptic inhibition, as revealed by the blockade of GAT-3, but not GABA<sub>R</sub>s, of SOM-IN evoked IPSCs in pyramidal cells (Fig. 4). As previously suggested17,18, GAT-3-mediated Ca<sup>2+</sup> events could involve GAT-3 activation leading to inhibition of Na<sup>+</sup>/Ca<sup>2+</sup><sup>+</sup> exchanger and subsequent Ca<sup>2+</sup>-induced Ca<sup>2+</sup> release from internal stores. This may be an autoregulated mechanism since astrocytic Ca<sup>2+</sup> signals can conversely modulate GAT-3 activity and protein levels26. The possibility of a coordinated transporter/receptor mechanism involving GAT-3 and GABA<sub>R</sub>s in Ca<sup>2+</sup> transients in astrocytes is also possible, owing to their intimate co-localization in astrocytes (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Fig. 4) and a recent report showing that GABA<sub>R</sub>s controls GAT-3 levels in astrocytes in vivo during synaptogenesis56.

Previous work showed that activation of Schaffer collaterals evoked interneuron-mediated Ca<sup>2+</sup> signaling in astrocytes dependent on GABA<sub>R</sub>2 and GAT-318 mechanisms, with subsequent ATP-derived adenosine formation, A<sub>R</sub> activation and heterosynaptic depression of excitatory transmission. In addition, astrocytes can increase Schaffer collateral excitatory transmission through the release of adenosine that activates facilitatory A<sub>2A</sub> receptors (A<sub>2A</sub>R), suggesting that hippocampal astrocytes can use a balance of A<sub>1</sub>R–A<sub>2A</sub>R activation to bi-directionally modulate hippocampal excitatory synapses. However, the direct contribution of astrocytes and adenosine signaling to GABAergic inhibitory activity remains underexplored12. An early observation by Nedergaard’s group showed that large sustained depolarizations of astrocytes produced potentiation of miniature IPSCs in pyramidal cells, which was prevented by BAPTA dialysis in astrocytes14. A more recent publication showed that astrocyte Ca<sup>2+</sup> chelation did not affect mIPSCs in pyramidal cells but reduced mIPSCs in hippocampal stratum radiatum interneurons by interfering with GAT-3 function and increasing ambient GABA levels23. As a whole, these observations suggest that mIPSCs in pyramidal neurons are less susceptible to ambient GABA and that hippocampal astrocytes differentially regulate basal transmission at inhibitory synapses onto interneurons and pyramidal cells.

Conversely, whether astrocyte modulation of inhibitory synapses is specific to certain types of inhibitory interneurons remains under-investigated. The difficulty resides in part from the diverse nature of inhibitory transmission, with heterogeneous interneuron populations contacting pyramidal cells and acting on different sub-cellular compartments and time-windows1,25,28. Nevertheless, it has been recently shown that optogenetic activation of hippocampal astrocytes increases the firing frequency of cholecystokinin-expressing interneurons (CCK-INs), but not PV-INs via ATP release and decrease pyramidal cells excitability via adenosine57. Our data show that astrocytes differentially sense endogenous synaptic activity at PV-IN and SOM-IN synapses to, in turn, increase the efficacy at SOM-IN synapses on pyramidal cells. This is indicative of a specific communication between a particular subpopulation of interneurons and astrocytes involved in the positive feedback autoregulation of dendritic inhibition of pyramidal cells.

We demonstrated that BAPTA dialysis into astrocytes differentially, and bi-directionally, modulates SOM-IN-evoked IPSCs (Fig. 2) and spontaneous IPSCs (Fig. 7), suggesting that endogenous astrocytic Ca<sup>2+</sup> signaling enhances inhibition of pyramidal cells by SOM-INs but reduces pyramidal cell inhibition by other interneuron populations. It is important to note that while somatic recordings of pyramidal cells can detect distant synaptic inhibitory currents along the complete somato-dendritic axis if evoked by stimulation (i.e. SOM-IPSCs), they can only detect spontaneous IPSCs generated at proximal somatic and dendritic synapses46,47. Because of this intrinsic technical limitation, the sIPSCs measured in our experiments most likely reflect activation of perisomatic synapses whereas the SOM-IPSCs mostly originate from dendritic synapses. This implies that the differential effects of GAT-3-mediated Ca<sup>2+</sup> activity in astrocytes, and A<sub>R</sub> modulation, on SOM-IN-evoked IPSCs and sIPSCs are due to selective regulation of inhibitory synapses originating from different types of interneurons. Hence, our findings suggest the existence of pathway-specific functional interactions of astrocytes with different types of interneuron inhibitory synapses onto pyramidal cells, emphasizing the need to carefully distinguish between the different components of inhibitory circuits to identify astrocyte function at inhibitory synapses12,25,28. Moreover, our results suggest that by differently regulating diverse forms of inhibition, astrocytes may exert multiple functions in the regulation of synaptic integration along the somato-dendritic axis of pyramidal cells.
A potential problem with the BAPTA experiments is if BAPTA spread to gap junction-connected neighboring astrocytes it could as well leak to the extracellular space via hemichannels. Thus, in experiments with the high concentration of BAPTA (20 mM), leakage could impact on extracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and hence synaptic transmission. However, we have previously carried out experiments with a pipette containing BAPTA in the extracellular space to rule out potential effects of BAPTA leakage\textsuperscript{3,11}. Moreover, the increased sIPSC amplitude in Fig. 7j–l argues against such an effect.
SOM-INs are a major interneuron subgroup\(^1,29\) with their axons targeting dendrites of pyramidal cells\(^33\), as well as other interneurons in pyramidal cell dendritic area\(^32\). Pharmacological and optogenetic experiments showed that CA1 SOM-INs suppress pyramidal cell firing rate and burst spiking evoked by stimulation in vitro\(^30\) and during spatial mapping in vivo\(^31\). Moreover, SOM-INs are critically involved in hippocampal-dependent learning since silencing SOM-INs during fear learning was shown to impair long-term contextual memory\(^33\). Our findings that astrocytes modulate SOM-IN inhibition of pyramidal cells suggest that such astrocyte-mediated positive feedback autoregulation of dendritic inhibition of hippocampal pyramidal cells could be important for hippocampal-dependent memory. At another level of regulation, astrocytes are able to influence rhythmic firing of neurons\(^37,35\), therefore it would also be relevant to investigate the relationship between astrocyte and inhibitory synapses in the modulation of rhythmic brain activities that are important for hippocampal functions.

The neuromodulator adenosine is known to regulate GABAergic activity via A₁R activation in the hippocampus. Indeed, in normal\(^48\) and pathological conditions\(^49\), A₁R activation indirectly depressed polysynaptic inhibition in hippocampal pyramidal cells via a presynaptic inhibition of excitatory inputs onto inhibitory cells, but was unable to directly affect GABA\(_{A}\)R-mediated monosynaptic inhibition in pyramidal cells. In addition, A₁R activation was also shown to suppress tonic GABA\(_{A}\)R-mediated inhibition in pyramidal cells and CB\(_1\)-expressing inhibitory interneurons\(^48\). Our findings that endogenous A₁R activation suppresses spontaneous inhibitory responses in pyramidal cells and up-regulates SOM-IN, but not PV-IN, mediated-inhibition of pyramidal cells suggest that different subcellular pools of A₁Rs may be responsible for the selective regulation of different inhibitory synapses on pyramidal cells\(^49\). However, the mechanisms responsible for these differential A₁R actions remain to be identified. In hippocampal pyramidal neurons, A₁Rs are present both pre-synaptically, where they inhibit neurotransmitter release through G-protein-coupled inhibition of voltage-dependent Ca\(^{2+}\) channels, and postsynaptically, where activation leads to G-protein-dependent activation of inwardly rectifying K\(^+\) channels, inhibition of voltage-dependent Ca\(^{2+}\) channels and decreased excitability\(^48,51,59\). Since spontaneous IPSCs were recorded during blockade of glutamate transmission, A₁R-mediated presynaptic inhibition of excitatory afferents to interneurons is unlikely. Therefore, the observed decreases in spontaneous IPSCs mediated by A₁R activation could result from a decrease in presynaptic GABA release from other interneuron subpopulations, as previously suggested\(^50\). Conversely, enhancement of SOM-IN evoked IPSCs by A₁R activation could be due to postsynaptic inhibition of adenylate cyclase, reduced PKA activity and increased postsynaptic GABA\(_{A}\)R function. Similar mechanisms were suggested for the enhancement of inhibition following ischemia\(^59\).

Our results uncover an endogenous and selective interaction between SOM-INs, astrocytes, and pyramidal cells involved in a positive feedback autoregulation of dendritic inhibition of pyramidal cells. Since we found that similar regulation is not present at PV-IN inhibitory synapses, it will be important to determine whether all astrocytes are able to respond to GABAergic synaptic activity, or if different astrocyte subpopulations respond selectively to activity of distinct GABAergic interneurons. Furthermore, it will be interesting to identify the multiple cellular mechanisms involved in the interaction between GABA\(_{A}\)R, GAT-3, and A₁R activation of astrocytes, and whether these differ in astrocytic interactions with different population of interneurons. Finally, understanding the significance of interneuron/astrocytes/pyramidal cell communication in the modulation of hippocampal-dependent cognitive processes, or in pathological conditions such as epilepsy, should prove interesting for understanding hippocampal function, and potentially unveiling novel astrocyte-dependent pathological mechanisms.

**Methods**

*Mice.* All experiments were approved by and performed in accordance with guidelines for maintenance and care of animals of the Canadian Council of Animal Care and Université de Montréal. To express the light-gated ion channel channelrhodopsin-2 in SOM-INs and PV-INs, heterozygous SOM or PV-IRES-Cre-ChR2(H134R)/EYFP mice (SOM or PV-ChR2/EYFP) were obtained by crossing SOM-IRES-Cre mice (kindly provided by Z. I. Huang—Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY; JAX no. 013045)\(^36\) or Pvalb\(^{tm1(cry)}\)\(^{Mm} \) (PV-Cre; Jackson Labs; JAX no. 008669) with ChR2(H134R)/EYFP Ai32 mice (Jackson Labs; JAX no. 012569). Experiments were performed on 1–2 months old mice of either sex.

*Slice preparation.* Transverse hippocampal slices were obtained from 4 to 8-week-old SOM-ChR2/EYFP or PV-ChR2/EYFP mice\(^46\). Animals were anesthetized with isoflurane and the brain was rapidly excised and placed in ice-cold choline-based cutting solution saturated with 95% O\(_2\) and 5% CO\(_2\) containing the following (in mM): 120 choline chloride, 3 KCl, 1.25 NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), 26 NaHCO\(_3\), 8 MgCl\(_2\), 20 glucose, pH 7.4 and 295 mOsmol. A block of brain tissue containing the hippocampus was prepared and transverse hippocampal slices (300 μm thick) were cut on a vibratome (Leica VT1000S, Nussloch, Germany). Slices were transferred to oxygenated artificial CSF (ACSF) at 33 ± 0.5 °C containing the following (in mM): 130 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), 26 NaHCO\(_3\), 10 glucose, 1.3 MgCl\(_2\), 2 CaCl\(_2\), pH 7.3–7.4, and 305–310 mOsmol, and allowed to recover for at least 1 h before being placed in oxygenated ACSF at room temperature (RT). For experiments, the slices were transferred to a recording chamber where they were perfused (2.5 ml/min) with ACSF at 32–34 °C for the course of the experiment. The NMDA receptor antagonist AP-5 (20 μM) and the AMPA and Kainate receptor antagonist NBQX (10 μM) were present in the superfuse of all experiments. Slices were used for a maximum of 6 h after cutting.

*Cell identification.* CA1 pyramidal cells, astrocytes and SOM-INs and PV-INs were identified using an infrared camera (70 series; Dage-MTI, Michigan City, IN).
Fig. 6 Endogenous activation of A1R and astrocytic GAT-3 do not regulate inhibition of pyramidal cells by PV-INs. a Diagram of experimental arrangement with selective optogenetic stimulation of PV-INs expressing ChR2-EYFP and whole-cell recordings of pyramidal cells (PC). b Top: low-magnification fluorescence microscopy image with green excitation filter of the hippocampus from PV-ChR2/EYFP mice. Scale bar 100 µm. Bottom left: higher magnification fluorescence image of ChR2-EYFP labeling of PV-INs in CA1 area. Bottom right: Parvalbumin immunostaining is strongest in and around stratum pyramidale. c Representative voltage-clamp traces showing unchanged PV-IPSCs evoked in pyramidal cells by optogenetic stimulation (blue vertical bar) before (control; left, black), 20 min after vehicle application (0.01% DMSO; middle, red) and 30 min after washout (right, blue). d Representative traces showing unchanged PV-IPSCs amplitude in pyramidal cells after 20 min application of the A1R antagonist DPCPX (100 nM, red). e Representative traces showing unchanged PV-IPSCs amplitude in pyramidal cells after 20 min application of the GAT-3 blocker (S)-SNAP-5114 (100 µM, red). f Summary bar graph depicting no significant change in the amplitude of PV-IPSCs in pyramidal cells. Vehicle (n = 7), DPCPX (n = 7) and (S)-SNAP-5114 (n = 6). PC pyramidal cell, PV parvalbumin interneuron, a alveus, o stratum oriens, p stratum pyramidale, r stratum radiatum, lm stratum lacunosum-moleculare (see Supplementary Table 1 for detailed statistical tests)
Electrophysiology. Whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings of CA1 pyramidal cells were obtained using borosilicate glass pipettes (3–5 MΩ) filled with intracellular solution containing the following (in mM): 130 CsCl, 10 NaCl, 1 HEPS, 1 EGTA, 0.1 CaCl2, 10 creatine-PO4 di(tris), 4 ATP-Mg, 0.4 GTP-Na and 5 lidocaine N-ethyl bromide (QX-314; voltage-gated Na+ channel blocker) (pH 7.2 adjusted with CsOH: 285–290 mOsmol). Data was acquired using a Multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices) and digitized using a Digidata 1320A digitizer and pClamp 10.3 (Molecular Devices). Recordings were low-pass filtered at 2 kHz and digitized at 20 kHz. Series resistance (Rs) was 10–25 MΩ and regularly monitored during experiments. Data were included only if the holding current and Rs were stable (±20% change) throughout the experiment. GABA_A receptor-mediated inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs) were recorded with pyramidal cells held at −60 mV (Cl− reversal potential = 0 mV) and confirmed with the antagonist Gabazine (5 μM, Sigma/Aldrich) (Supplementary Fig. 1c, d).

Whole-cell current-clamp recordings of EYFP-expressing SOM-INs and PV-INs were performed using borosilicate glass pipettes (3–5 MΩ) filled with a solution containing (in mM): 130 K-glucotide, 10 HEPES, 5 KCl, 5 NaCl, 4.0 ATP-Mg, 0.3 GTP-Na, 10 Na2 creatine-PO4 (pH 7.2–7.3 adjusted with KOH; 290–295 mOsmol). SOM-INs were characterized by a fast-spiking firing pattern with constant adaptation ratio upon the delivery of a suprathreshold depolarizing current. Whole-cell current-clamp recordings of astrocytes were performed using borosilicate glass pipettes (3–7 MΩ) filled with a solution containing (in mM): 125 KMeSO4, 10 HEPS, 4 MgCl2, 4 ATP-Mg, 0.4 GTP-Na, 10 Na2 creatine-PO4, 0.1 Alexa Fluor 488 (pH 7.2–7.3 adjusted with KOH; 295–300 mOsmol), as previously described. For experiments with BAPTA tetrapotassium salt (0.1 or 20 mM, Sigma/Aldrich) the concentration of KMeSO4 was adjusted to maintain the concentration of potassium ions (15). Astrocytes were identified by their low membrane input resistance (4–15 MΩ), hyperpolarized resting membrane potential (~70−90 mV), linear current-voltage profile (in voltage-clamp mode), lack of action potentials (see Supplementary Fig. 2b), and extensive synctium revealed by the diffusion of Alexa Fluor 488. Astrocyte recordings were kept only if resting membrane potential was stable and at least −70 mV.

Fig. 7 Distinct modulation of sIPSCs in pyramidal cells by A1Rs, GAT-3, and astrocyte Ca2+ activity. a–c Representative sIPSC traces (a), cumulative probability plots (b), and summary bar graphs (c) showing the increase in sIPSC amplitude, but not frequency, after 20 min application of the A1R antagonist DPCPX, and the return to control after 30 min washout (n = 7). d–f Representative sIPSC traces (d), cumulative probability plots (e), and summary bar graphs (f) showing the increase in both sIPSC amplitude and frequency, after 20 min application of the GAT-3 inhibitor (S)-SNAP-5114, and the return to control after washout (n = 7). j–l Representative sIPSC traces (j), cumulative probability plots (k), and summary bar graphs (l) showing the increase in both sIPSC amplitude and frequency 20 min after whole-cell break-in and BAPTA dialysis in astrocytes, and absence of further effects on sIPSCs with additional application of (S)-SNAP-5114 (n = 6). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 (see Supplementary Table 1 for detailed statistical tests).
Calcium imaging of astrocytes. Whole-cell current-clamp recordings were obtained from SR101-positive astrocytes in acute hippocampal slices from SOM-ChR2/EYFP mice. Cells were loaded with the near-infrared Ca2+ indicator CaSIR-161, via the patch pipette (100 μm CaSIR-1 potassium salt; Geryo Chemical, Inc, Sapporo, Japan). Ca2+ imaging was performed with a LSM 510 confocal laser-scanning microscope and software (Carl Zeiss, Kirkland, Quebec, Canada) in the presence of AP-5 (20 μM), NBQX (10 μM), mGluR5 antagonist MPEP (25 μM), and when indicated Gabazine (5 μM). SR101 was excited with the 543 nm laser and detected using a 565–615 nm band-pass filter. CasiR1 was excited with the 633 nm laser (attenuated to 10–15% of maximum power) and detected using a 650 nm long-pass filter. To image Ca2+ responses in astrocyte processes, images (256 × 256 pixels) were acquired at a rate of 5 frames/s. Fluorescence intensity was determined in individual astrocytes by measuring the average pixel values in 2 × 2 pixels) were acquired at a rate of 5 frames/s. Fluorescence intensity was determined in individual astrocytes by measuring the average pixel values in 2–3 circular regions of interest (ROIs—2 μm diameter) placed over random proximal astroglial processes (1–2 processes per astrocyte) and subtracted to a control extracellular background. ROI Changes in fluorescence (ΔF/F) were calculated as relative changes of fluorescence over baseline fluorescence and expressed as %ΔF/F0 = (Fpost–Fbaseline)/Fbaseline × 100. Images were further analyzed off-line with LSM 510 (Carl Zeiss) software and Graph-pad Prism software (Version 5.0, GraphPad, USA).

Immunohistochemistry. SOM-ChR2/EYFP and PV-ChR2/EYFP mice (4–8 week old) were deeply anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (1 mg/kg; MTC Pharmaceuticals, Cambridge, Ontario, Canada) and transcardially perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde in ice-cold 0.1 M phosphate buffered saline (PBS). The brains were removed, post-fixed overnight, washed in PBS and cryo-preserved in 30% sucrose. Coronal sections (50 μm thick) were obtained using a freezing microtome (Leica SM2000R), permeabilized with 0.4% or 0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS (15–30 min) and unspecific binding was blocked with 10% normal goat serum in 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS. Sections were then incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C. Antibodies used were: Rabbit polyclonal Anti-GFP (1/200), Thermoscientific #A-11122), Guinea Pig polyclonal Anti-GAT-3 (1/500), Synaptic Systems #274304), Mouse monoclonal Anti-GABA-R1 (1/400, Santa Cruz Biotecno #sc-166408), Mouse monoclonal Anti-CAMKII (1/200, Thermoscientific #M-7201), Rabbit polyclonal Anti-α-1 (1/500), Mouse monoclonal anti-Parvalbumin (1/5000, Millipore #MAB1572), and Rabbit polyclonal Anti-S100 (1/500, Dako #Z0311). Sections were rinsed 3 × 10 min in PBS and then incubated with secondary antibodies for 90 min at RT. For the quadruple immunolabeling with GAT-3, GABAAR, GFP, and S100, each primary antibody was incubated individually and washed as described above. Secondary antibodies used were: Donkey Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-rabbit IgGs (1/500, ThermoFisher #A21206), Donkey Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated anti-guinea pig IgG (1/500, Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories #706-585-148), Goat Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (1/500, Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories #706-585-148), Donkey Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (1/500, Thermofisher #A31571), and Goat Rhodamine-Red-X conjugated anti-mouse IgG (1/200, Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories #115-295-205). Sections were rinsed, mounted with Vectashield mounting medium, and examined by fluorescence or Zeiss LSM 510 confocal laser scanning microscope.

Drugs and chemicals. Reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, unless stated otherwise. Stock solutions were made and diluted in ACSF just before bath application. Drugs used were A81R selective antagonist DPCPX (100 μM), A81R selective agonist N9-CPA (1 μM, Tocris Bioscience), Ecto-5'-nucleotidase/CD73 inhibitor AMP-CP (200 μM, Tocris Bioscience), GAT-3 blocker (5)-SNAP 5114 (100 μM, Tocris Bioscience), GABAAR selective antagonist CGP55845A (2 μM, Tocris Bioscience), GABAAR selective agonist Gabazine (5 μM), mGluR5 selective antagonist MPEP (25 μM, Tocris Bioscience), selective calcium channel antagonists BAY-537 (10 μM), SR101 (10 μM), Tocris Bioscience).

Statistical analyses. Results are presented as mean ± SEM. Data with one variable (e.g., BAPTA) were analyzed with the two-tailed Student’s t-test or Mann–Whitney test. Data with more than two conditions (e.g., drugs, washout) were first screened for a Gaussian distribution with Kolmogorov–Smirnov test followed by analysis either with one-way/repeated measures ANOVA or Kruskal–Wallis/Friedman test when needed and Tukey’s multiple-comparison parametric post hoc test (data with Gaussian distribution) or by a Dunn’s multiple-comparison non-parametric post hoc test (data with non-Gaussian distribution). Graphical significance levels were *p<0.05; **p<0.01 and ***p<0.001. All data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism software (Version 5.0, GraphPad, USA).
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