Receipt of evidence-based brief cessation interventions by health professionals and use of cessation assisted treatments among current adult cigarette-only smokers: National Adult Tobacco Survey, 2009–2010
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Abstract

Background: Helping tobacco smokers to quit during a medical visit is a clinical and public health priority. Research suggests that most health professionals engage their patients in at least some of the ‘5 A’s’ of the brief cessation intervention recommended in the U.S. Public Health Service Clinical Practice Guideline, but information on the extent to which patients act on this intervention is uncertain. We assessed current cigarette-only smokers’ self-reported receipt of the 5 A’s to determine the odds of using optimal cessation assisted treatments (a combination of counseling and medication).

Methods: Data came from the 2009–2010 National Adult Tobacco Survey (NATS), a nationally representative landline and mobile phone survey of adults aged ≥18 years. Among current cigarette-only smokers who visited a health professional in the past 12 months, we assessed patients’ self-reported receipt of the 5 A’s, use of the combination of counseling and medication for smoking cessation, and use of other cessation treatments. We used logistic regression to examine whether receipt of the 5 A’s during a recent clinic visit was associated with use of cessation treatments (counseling, medication, or a combination of counseling and medication) among current cigarette-only smokers.

Results: In this large sample (N = 10,801) of current cigarette-only smokers who visited a health professional in the past 12 months, 6.3 % reported use of both counseling and medication for smoking cessation within the past year. Other assisted cessation treatments used to quit were: medication (19.6 %); class or program (3.8 %); one-on-one counseling (3.7 %); and telephone quitline (2.6 %). Current cigarette-only smokers who reported receiving all 5 A’s during a recent clinic visit were more likely to use counseling (odds ratio [OR]: 11.2, 95 % confidence interval [CI]: 7.1–17.5), medication (OR: 6.2, 95 % CI: 4.3–9.0), or a combination of counseling and medication (OR: 14.6, 95 % CI: 9.3–23.0), compared to smokers who received one or none of the 5 A’s components.

Conclusions: Receipt of the ‘5 A’s’ intervention was associated with a significant increase in patients’ use of recommended counseling and medication for cessation. It is important for health professionals to deliver all 5 A’s when conducting brief cessation interventions with patients who smoke.
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**Background**

In the United States, approximately 480,000 people die from a smoking-related illness each year [1]. Smoking cessation can significantly reduce the risk of developing smoking-related diseases and increase life expectancy [1, 2]. A United States Public Health Service (USPHS) Clinical Practice Guideline emphasizes the importance of health professionals providing tobacco dependence treatment to their patients [3]. The Guideline recommends that health professionals follow a brief, evidence-based cessation intervention known as the ‘5 A’s’: Ask about tobacco use, Advise tobacco users to quit, Assess willingness to make a quit attempt, Assist tobacco users in making a quit attempt, and Arrange for follow-up. The Guideline also notes that tobacco dependence treatments are highly cost-effective [3–5]. Although effective clinical interventions exist, patient-reported data suggest that health professionals do not consistently deliver evidence-based cessation treatments to patients who smoke [3, 6].

Quitting smoking is difficult and often requires multiple quit attempts, so it is important for health professionals to repeatedly address cessation with their patients who smoke [7]. As part of the 5 A’s, the USPHS Clinical Practice Guideline recommends that health professionals routinely provide brief counseling and recommend medications (unless contraindicated) for tobacco cessation. Although the combination of counseling and medication is more effective for smoking cessation than either counseling or medication alone, smokers’ use of this combined approach is limited [8, 9]. Collectively, these data underscore the importance of health professionals and the health systems in which they work, to deliver all five of the 5 A’s at every clinic visit [3].

Health professionals have frequent contact with their patients, have high credibility, and play an important role in educating their patients about the consequences of smoking [3, 7]. However, no recent studies have examined the extent to which patients actually use cessation treatments recommended during the medical encounter. While reports suggest delivery of the 5 A’s intervention yields greater patient use of cessation services, many health professionals do not routinely provide all of these components [7]. Thus, more in-depth information on patient-reported receipt of the 5 A’s and how this affects patients’ use of cessation assisted treatments may help guide efforts to increase health professionals’ delivery of all components of this evidence-based intervention. To address this gap in the literature, this study assessed the association between smokers’ self-reported receipt of the 5 A’s and use of cessation assisted treatments, including the optimal recommended combination of counseling and medication.

**Methods**

**Data source**

The 2009–2010 National Adult Tobacco Survey (NATS) was a stratified, national landline and mobile phone survey of noninstitutionalized civilian adults aged 18 years or older residing in the 50 U.S. states and the District of Columbia. The study design has been described in detail elsewhere [10] and the data used for the study is openly available. Respondent selection varied by phone type. For landline numbers, one adult was randomly selected from each eligible household. In contrast, adults who only used mobile phones were selected through screening of a sample of mobile phone numbers. In total, 118,581 NATS interviews were completed (landline \( n = 110,634 \), mobile phone \( n = 7,947 \)) in both English and Spanish from October 20, 2009 to February 28, 2010. The national Council of American Survey and Research Organizations response rate, or the number of completed interviews divided by the number of eligible respondents in the sample, was 37.6 % (landline = 40.4 %, mobile phone = 24.9 %) [11]. The national cooperation rate, or the number of completed interviews divided by the number of eligible respondents who were successfully reached by an interviewer, was 62.3 % (landline = 61.9 %, mobile phone = 68.7 %).

Of the 118,581 respondents who completed interviews, a total of 105,896 respondents reported seeing a health care provider in the past year (Fig. 1). Of these, 10,801 were current cigarette-only smokers who had seen a health professional in the past 12 months and who provided complete demographic information (sex, age, race/ethnicity, and education). These 10,801 current cigarette smokers served as our study sample and were asked questions related to provider delivery of the 5 A’s: Ask, Advise, Assess, Assist, and Arrange (Appendix) and are presented in the standard 5 A’s order.

**Measures**

**Smoking status**

Cigarette smoking status was assessed using two standard questions. The first question was, “Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your entire life?” Those who responded yes were then asked, “Do you now smoke cigarettes every day, some days, or not at all?” Respondents who stated that they currently smoked every day or some days were considered to be a current cigarette smoker. The study assessed current smokers who did not use any other tobacco products (cigarette-only smokers).

**Receipt of brief cessation interventions**

To determine whether respondents visited a health professional, adults who smoked were asked, “In the past 12 months, have you seen a doctor, dentist, nurse, or
other health professional?” Cigarette-only smokers who had visited a health professional in the past 12 months were asked about receipt of all of the 5 A’s from their health professional. To determine if a health professional ‘Asked’ about tobacco use, respondents were asked, “In the past 12 months, did any doctor, dentist, nurse, or other health professional ask if you smoke cigarettes or use any other tobacco products?” To determine if a health professional ‘Advised’ them to quit, respondents were asked, “In the past 12 months, did any doctor, dentist, nurse, or other health professional advise you to quit smoking cigarettes or using any other tobacco products?” To determine if a health professional ‘Assessed’ willingness to make a quit attempt, respondents were asked, “The last time a health professional advised you to quit using tobacco, did they also ask if you wanted to try to quit?” To determine if a health professional ‘Assisted’ respondents in quitting, they were asked, “The last time a health professional advised you to quit using tobacco, did they also offer any assistance, information, or additional advice to help you quit?” To determine if a health professional ‘Arranged’ or scheduled a follow-up contact, they were asked, “(Did they) schedule any follow-up contacts, either in person or by phone, or arrange for someone else to call you to see how your quit attempt was going?” Response options for each question were yes, no, don’t know/not sure, or refused.

Based on the skip pattern used in the NATS, not all smokers were asked about receipt of all of the 5 A’s. The NATS questionnaire first queried smokers regarding whether they were ‘Advised’ to quit. A total of 7,324 smokers reported that they received such advice (n = 3,477 were not advised or missing). The NATS survey followed with a question on ‘Asked’ (asking about tobacco use) which was queried of the 3,477 who responded no to the ‘Advised’ question. Of these, 2,196 reported that they were ‘Asked’ and 1,281 were not asked or were missing. Because we assumed that only smokers were ‘Advised’ to quit, those who reported yes to the Advised question were recoded as responding yes to the ‘Asked’ question. This resulted in an estimated 9,520 (7,324 + 2,196) respondents reporting that they were ‘Asked’ about their tobacco use.

Among the 7,324 who were ‘Advised’ to quit, a total of 4,787 reported yes to the ‘Assessed’ question (asking about willingness to quit). Those who were ‘Advised’ were also asked the ‘Assist’ question (asked if received assistance with quitting); 4,356 respondents reported that they were ‘Assisted’ with quitting. Those who were ‘Assisted’ were then asked the ‘Arrange’ question (asked if follow-up was arranged); 642 responded yes to the ‘Arrange’ question.
On the NATS, the actual word order for the 5 As were: Advised, Asked, Assessed, Assisted, and Arranged; however, we present findings in the standard 5 A’s order (Fig. 1). To assess self-reported delivery of the 5 As, we limited the scope to cigarette-only smokers who saw a health professional in the past 12 months to examine patient-reported receipt of the 5 A intervention among those eligible. We used the same denominator (n = 10,801) for each of the 5 A items.

Receipt of specific “Assisted” interventions
Respondents who said they had been offered assistance (‘Assist’) were also asked about the provision of specific forms of assistance: “The last time a health professional advised you to quit using tobacco, did they provide you with booklets, videos, website addresses, or other information to help you quit?”; “Did they put you in contact with, or tell you how to contact, a telephone quitline, a class or program, or one-on-one counseling?”; “(Did they) recommend or prescribe a nicotine patch, nicotine gum, lozenges, nasal spray, an inhaler, or pills such as Wellbutrin, Zyban, Bupropion, Chantix, or Varenicline?”; and “Did they help you set a specific date to quit using tobacco products?”

Cessation treatment use
Respondents were asked four questions about their use of cessation resources to help them quit: “Did you call a telephone quitline?”; “Did you use a class or program to help you quit?”; “Did you use one-on-one counseling from a health professional to help you quit?”; and “Did you use any of the following medications: a nicotine patch, nicotine gum, nicotine lozenges, nicotine nasal spray, a nicotine inhaler, or pills such as Wellbutrin, Zyban, Bupropion, Chantix, or Varenicline to help you quit?” Those who answered yes to one of these questions were identified as having used that cessation treatment. Respondents who reported calling a telephone quitline, using a class or a program, or using one-on-one counseling were aggregated into a single ‘counseling’ variable. Respondents who reported using any of the medications were classified as using medication. Respondents who reported using ‘counseling’ and any cessation medication were classified as using the combination of counseling and medication.

Respondent characteristics
The following respondent characteristics were included in the analysis: sex, age (18–24, 25–34, 35–54, or ≥55 years), race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, Hispanic, or non-Hispanic other), and education (less than high school, high school diploma, some college, college educated). The race/ethnicity ‘non-Hispanic other’ category included respondents who were American Indian or Alaska Native, Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander, Asian, multiracial, or some other race.

Statistical analysis
Descriptive analyses were conducted overall and among current cigarette-only smokers by sex, age, race/ethnicity, and educational attainment. We estimated the prevalence of using counseling, medication, or a combination of counseling and medication by receipt of the 5 A’s and demographic characteristics. We conducted multivariate logistic regression analyses to estimate the association between receipt of the 5 A’s and use of cessation services (counseling, medication, or combination of counseling and medication) while adjusting for sex, age, race/ethnicity, and educational attainment. Three separate logistic regression models were constructed with use of each of the cessation services as the dependent variable, and receipt of 5 A’s and demographic variables as the independent variables. Odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. SAS-callable SUDAAN (version 9.2; Research Triangle Institute, Research Triangle Park, NC) was used to accommodate the complex sampling design. Landline data were weighted according to the selection probability of the telephone number, the number of adults in the household, and the number of landline telephone numbers in the household. Mobile phone data were weighted only according to the selection probability of the mobile phone number because a mobile phone was assumed to be used only by the person who answered [12].

Results
Sample characteristics
Table 1 presents weighted data on demographic characteristics of the overall sample of current cigarette-only smokers (n = 10,801). Among adult current cigarette-only smokers, most were between 35 and 54 years of age (44.8%), non-Hispanic white (74.0%), and had a high school diploma (34.8%).

Receipt of brief cessation interventions
Among current cigarette-only smokers who had seen a health professional in the last 12 months, 88.3% were ‘Asked’ about current tobacco use, 66.4% were ‘Advised’ to quit, 43.4% were ‘Assessed’ for their willingness to quit, 38.6% were ‘Assisted’; and 6.3% reported that their health professional ‘Arranged’ a follow-up (Table 2). Rates of receipt of ‘Assisted’ interventions from a health professional were: cessation medication (24.9%); cessation materials (booklets, videos, or website addresses (24.4%); referral to counseling (a telephone quitline, a class or program, or one-on-one counseling) (17.8%); referral to counseling and prescribing medication (11.2%); and helping patients set a date to quit (5.6%).
### Cessation treatment use

Among current cigarette-only smokers, 19.6% reported using medication, 63% reported use of a combination of counseling and medication, 38% reported using a class or program, 3.7% reported using one-on-one counseling, and 2.6% reported calling a telephone quitline (Fig. 2).

### Receipt of the 5 A’s intervention and use of cessation assisted treatment

Among respondents who received all 5 A’s, 31.7% reported using counseling compared with only 3.8% who received one or none of the 5 A’s (Table 3). Logistic regression analyses indicated that respondents who received all 5 A’s had higher odds of using counseling services (OR: 11.2, 95% CI: 7.1–17.5) compared to those who received one or none of the 5 A’s. Respondents who received any four (OR: 2.4, 95% CI: 1.6–3.5) or any three (OR: 1.8, 95% CI: 1.2–2.9) of the 5 A’s had higher odds of using counseling services compared to those who received one or none of the 5 A’s. Among current cigarette-only smokers, odds of using counseling services were higher among respondents aged 35–54 years (OR: 2.9, 95% CI: 1.6–5.3) and those aged ≥55 years (OR: 2.6, 95% CI: 1.4–4.8) than those aged 18–24 years.

Among respondents who received all 5 A’s, 46.8% reported using cessation medication, compared with only 12.4% who received one or none of the 5 A’s. Logistic regression analyses indicated that respondents who received all 5 A’s had higher odds of using cessation medication (OR: 6.2, 95% CI: 4.3–9.0) compared to those who received one or none of the 5 A’s. Respondents who

### Table 1: Characteristics of current cigarette-only smokers who visited a health professional within the past 12 months

| Characteristic          | Current cigarette-only smokersa (N = 10,801) |       | 95 % CI       |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------|---------------|
| Sex                     |                                             |       |               |
| Men                     | 3935                                        | 45.3  | 43.4–47.3     |
| Women                   | 6866                                        | 54.7  | 52.7–56.6     |
| Age (years)             |                                             |       |               |
| 18–24                   | 456                                         | 9.9   | 8.6–11.2      |
| 25–34                   | 1432                                        | 21.7  | 19.9–23.4     |
| 35–54                   | 4580                                        | 44.8  | 43.0–46.7     |
| 55+                     | 4333                                        | 23.6  | 22.2–25.0     |
| Race/ethnicity          |                                             |       |               |
| White, non-Hispanic     | 8783                                        | 74.0  | 72.1–76.0     |
| Black, non-Hispanic     | 915                                         | 10.8  | 9.6–12.1      |
| Hispanic                | 366                                         | 9.5   | 7.8–11.1      |
| Other, non-Hispanic     | 737                                         | 5.6   | 4.8–6.4       |
| Education               |                                             |       |               |
| < High school           | 1191                                        | 20.3  | 18.4–22.2     |
| High school diploma     | 3182                                        | 34.8  | 33.1–36.6     |
| Some college            | 4007                                        | 32.8  | 31.2–34.5     |
| ≥ College               | 2421                                        | 12.1  | 11.2–12.9     |

Note: Among all current cigarette-only smokers who reported seeing a doctor, dentist, nurse or other health professional in the past 12 months

### Table 2: Receipt of brief cessation intervention by current cigarette-only smokers — National Adult Tobacco Survey, 2009–2010

| Brief cessation interventiona | Number | Percenta |
|------------------------------|--------|----------|
| ASK about current tobacco use |        |          |
| Yes                          | 9520   | 88.3     |
| No                           | 1281   | 11.7     |
| ADVISE to quit               |        |          |
| Yes                          | 7324   | 66.4     |
| No                           | 3477   | 33.6     |
| ASSESS willingness to quit now |          |          |
| Yes                          | 4787   | 43.4     |
| No                           | 6014   | 56.6     |
| ASSIST by providing appropriate tobacco dependence treatment and intervene to increase motivation to quitb | | |
| Yes                          | 4356   | 38.6     |
| No                           | 6445   | 61.4     |
| Provide specific ‘Assist’ options |        |          |
| Cessation medicationsc       | 2835   | 24.9%    |
| Cessation materialsd         | 2645   | 24.4%    |
| Counselinge                  | 1934   | 17.8%    |
| Counseling and medicationf   | 1269   | 11.2%    |
| Set a quit dateg             | 586    | 5.6%     |
| ARRANGE follow-up            |        |          |
| Yes                          | 642    | 6.3%     |
| No                           | 10159  | 93.7%    |

Note: Among all current cigarette-only smokers who reported seeing a doctor, dentist, nurse or other health professional in the past 12 months

aCurrent cigarette-only smokers who were surveyed about whether a health care professional had provided any of the ‘5 A’s’ brief counseling intervention

bPercentages are weighted for age, sex race/ethnicity, marital status, and education

### Cessation treatment use

Among current cigarette-only smokers, 19.6% reported using medication, 63% reported use of a combination of counseling and medication, 38% reported using a class or program, 3.7% reported using one-on-one counseling, and 2.6% reported calling a telephone quitline (Fig. 2).

### Receipt of the 5 A’s intervention and use of cessation assisted treatment

Among respondents who received all 5 A’s, 31.7% reported using counseling compared with only 3.8% who received one or none of the 5 A’s (Table 3). Logistic regression analyses indicated that respondents who received all 5 A’s had higher odds of using counseling services (OR: 11.2, 95% CI: 7.1–17.5) compared to those who received one or none of the 5 A’s. Respondents who received any four (OR: 2.4, 95% CI: 1.6–3.5) or any three (OR: 1.8, 95% CI: 1.2–2.9) of the 5 A’s had higher odds of using counseling services compared to those who received one or none of the 5 A’s. Among current cigarette-only smokers, odds of using counseling services were higher among respondents aged 35–54 years (OR: 2.9, 95% CI: 1.6–5.3) and those aged ≥55 years (OR: 2.6, 95% CI: 1.4–4.8) than those aged 18–24 years.

Among respondents who received all 5 A’s, 46.8% reported using cessation medication, compared with only 12.4% who received one or none of the 5 A’s. Logistic regression analyses indicated that respondents who received all 5 A’s had higher odds of using cessation medication (OR: 6.2, 95% CI: 4.3–9.0) compared to those who received one or none of the 5 A’s. Respondents who...
received any four (OR: 2.2, 95% CI: 1.7–2.8) or any three (OR: 1.8, 95% CI: 1.3–2.5) of the 5 A's had an increased odds of using cessation medications compared to those who received one or none of the 5 A's. Odds of using medication to try to quit were higher among respondents aged 35–54 years (OR: 2.1, 95% CI: 1.4–3.3) and those aged ≥55 years (OR: 1.6, 95% CI: 1.0–2.5) than those aged 18–24 years. Odds of using medication were higher among respondents with some college education (OR: 1.6, 95% CI: 1.1–2.2) than those without a high school degree.

Among respondents who received all 5 A's, 29.0% reported using a combination of counseling and medication compared with 8.2% who received four of the 5 A's. These findings suggest that delivery of all five elements of the 5 A's intervention is associated with greater use of cessation treatments. Moreover, findings suggest that any four or three of 5A's could also significantly promote cessation treatments compared to one or none of the 5 A's. Among current cigarette-only smokers who received all 5 A's, 29.0% reported using a combination of counseling and medication compared with 8.2% who received four of the 5 A's. These findings suggest that delivery of all five elements of the 5 A's intervention is associated with greater use of cessation treatments. Moreover, findings suggest that any four or three of 5A's could also significantly promote cessation treatments compared to one or none of the 5 A's. The ABC pathway (Ask, Brief advice, Cessation) from New Zealand incorporates the 5 A's into three steps and emphasizes the important role health professionals play in offering tobacco users guidance to access cessation support [13]. However the importance of delivering all five elements of the 5 A's intervention is relevant, given data suggesting that a large proportion of health professionals now deliver the first 2 A's (Ask, Advise) but that a much lower percentage deliver the final two A's (Assist, Arrange) [6, 14–17]. This may be because ‘Assisting’ with cessation and ‘Arranging’ follow-up are often time consuming, may not be reimbursed, and require effective communication skills to tailor the intervention to patients’ needs [18–20]. Our findings highlight the potential benefits

**Discussion**

Current cigarette-only smokers who reported receiving the full 5 A's intervention (Ask, Advise, Assess, Assist, Arrange) were approximately 15 times as likely to report using the combination of counseling and medication (optimal treatment recommended by the USPHS Clinical Practice Guideline) as those who received one or none of the 5 A's. Additionally, we found that smokers who received any three or four components of the 5 A's were more likely to use cessation treatment compared to smokers who received one or none of the 5 A's. Among current cigarette-only smokers who received all 5 A's, 29.0% reported using a combination of counseling and medication compared with 8.2% who received four of the 5 A's. These findings suggest that delivery of all five elements of the 5 A's intervention is associated with greater use of cessation treatments. Moreover, findings suggest that any four or three of 5A's could also significantly promote cessation treatments compared to one or none of the 5 A's. The ABC pathway (Ask, Brief advice, Cessation) from New Zealand incorporates the 5 A's into three steps and emphasizes the important role health professionals play in offering tobacco users guidance to access cessation support [13]. However the importance of delivering all five elements of the 5 A's intervention is relevant, given data suggesting that a large proportion of health professionals now deliver the first 2 A's (Ask, Advise) but that a much lower percentage deliver the final two A's (Assist, Arrange) [6, 14–17]. This may be because ‘Assisting’ with cessation and ‘Arranging’ follow-up are often time consuming, may not be reimbursed, and require effective communication skills to tailor the intervention to patients’ needs [18–20]. Our findings highlight the potential benefits
to smokers when health professionals adopt the full complement of recommended evidence-based cessation treatments [3].

In this large sample of current cigarette-only smokers who reported seeing a health professional within the last year, a marginal number reported being ‘Assessed’ for their willingness to quit or ‘Assisted’ by offer of cessation treatments, such as a telephone quitline. However, the finding that a minority of current smokers recalled having received the ‘Assist’ and ‘Arrange’ component of the 5 A’s is consistent with previous studies on patient use of tobacco dependence treatments [15, 16, 21], and suggests the need for continued efforts to expand health professionals’ implementation of brief advice and cessation support. The ‘Assess’ step is key to determining the best approach to take for ‘Assist’ and what should be done about ‘Arrange’. To enhance cessation, at each office visit after advising the smoker to quit, health professionals should ‘Assess’ each smoker’s willingness to make a quit attempt and tailor the ‘Assist’ and ‘Arrange’ components to address the smoker’s readiness to quit [3]. Given that providers who are proactive in ‘Assisting’ patients to use evidence-based tobacco cessation treatments have a significant impact on long-term quit rates [22], health professionals may be most effective in helping their patients quit smoking by providing assistance.
and arranging follow-up for smokers to maximize quit attempts, treatment use, and quit rates [23, 24]. This study also noted that patient participation in any of the ‘Assist’ strategies (counseling, medication, combination of counseling and medication) was higher in those aged 35 or older than those aged 18–24. The finding that older patients are more likely to use cessation assisted treatment could be related to health professionals spending more time with this population in efforts to improve patient adherence to treatment; but may also be due to the fact that older patients often have a variety of chronic health conditions and demonstrate a greater interest in quitting [19]. Further delivery of tobacco education including media campaigns such as the CDC Tips from Former Smokers campaign can motivate youth tobacco users to try to quit and to seek information on quitting [25]. Population-based strategies including providing telephone cessation counseling [25] can motivate tobacco users to quit while simultaneously making evidence-based cessation treatments readily available particularly to those who are vulnerable to social and environmental influences of cigarette use [26]. Since most cigarette smokers are receptive to their physicians’ advice and willing to discuss quitting smoking [3], our findings suggest that opportunities exist for health professionals to improve delivery of tobacco cessation assistance, including counseling and provision of medications, to increase patient use of these strategies.

The Institute of Medicine found that helping tobacco users to quit is essential to reduce tobacco use [27]. Our finding that 38.6 % of NATS current cigarette smokers reported receiving ‘Assistance’ to quit highlights the opportunity for health professionals to expand delivery of the ‘Assist’ brief intervention step [17]. We also found that less than 25 % of respondents reported receipt of any specific ‘Assisted’ strategy (cessation medication, cessation materials, counseling, counseling and medication, set a quit date) from a health professional. A number of factors are responsible for the lack of consistent delivery of brief cessation interventions, including time constraints, lack of expertise, lack of financial incentives, fear of alienating patients, and skepticism about smokers being able to quit [28]. The 2008 USPHS Guidelines cite a number of health system barriers that may impede clinicians’ assessment and treatment of smokers, including inadequate institutional support for routine assessment and treatment of tobacco use, a lack of insurance coverage for tobacco use treatment, or inadequate payment for treatment [3]. Health system changes that integrate cessation interventions into routine clinical care have been found to increase the likelihood that health professionals consistently screen patients for tobacco use and intervene with patients who use tobacco, thereby making evidence-based tobacco dependence treatment the standard of care and increasing cessation [3, 5, 29].

We found that use of the both counseling and medication treatment components, which is the optimal approach recommended by the USPHS Clinical Practice Guideline [3] to help smokers quit, was considerably higher among current smokers who recalled having received such counseling and medication during a medical encounter. Because tobacco dependence is a chronic condition that often requires multiple cessation attempts, primary care providers must repeatedly address cessation with their patients who use tobacco. The U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) is an independent, volunteer panel of national experts on prevention and evidence-based medicine. Their role is to make recommendations based on the body of peer-reviewed evidence about clinical preventive services and indicate the quality of the evidence using one of five letter grades (A, B, C, D, or I) [4]. The USPSTF assigns a letter grade A or B to recommend a preventive service where there is high certainty that the net benefit is moderate to substantial. Medicare, and a number of state Medicaid programs have recently expanded coverage of cessation treatments [30, 31]. In addition, several provisions in the 2010 Affordable Care Act expanded private and Medicaid cessation coverage. More specifically, the legislation requires all non-grandfathered private plans to cover with no cost sharing preventive services that receive an A or B rating from the USPSTF, which includes tobacco cessation interventions [32]. In May 2014, the United States Departments of Labor, Treasury, and Health and Human Services released a guidance document that provided specificity regarding the nature of Affordable Care Act tobacco cessation coverage – that it must include at least two quit attempts per year with coverage for both cessation counseling and medication [33]. Moreover, as of October 2010, the Affordable Care Act requires state traditional Medicaid programs to cover a comprehensive cessation benefit for pregnant women [32]. Effective January 2014, the legislation also bars states from excluding FDA-approved cessation medications from their coverage for all traditional Medicaid enrollees [32]. In sum, recent insurance policy changes may increase the capacity of clinicians to help their patients quit [32].

Systems-level interventions can facilitate the delivery of all of the 5 A’s and increase cessation [5, 29]. Such interventions include the use of provider reminder systems, which prompt health professionals to assess smoking status during each medical visit and to intervene with patients who smoke [3, 26, 34]. Another example of a systems intervention is electronic health records, which can help health professionals monitor patients’ smoking status and support delivery of evidence-based cessation interventions and referrals [35]. Strategic
efforts to reconfigure policies and systems to increase delivery of cessation services in the health care setting may include the use non-physician staff to administer some of the 5 As (e.g., Asking, Assisting, or Arranging) [5, 23]. Reimbursement of clinicians for making counseling and other cessation treatment a routine part of care may also support delivery of evidence-based cessation interventions [3, 24].

This study has several limitations. First, although the dataset was large and representative of the U.S. population, these data reflect patients’ self-reported receipt and use of cessation interventions from a health professional, which may be subject to recall bias. Additional research that examines provider behavior through a monitoring system, electronic medical record, or direct observation of the medical encounter may be beneficial. Second, these data are cross-sectional, and thus, it was not possible to assess causal relationships between provider delivery of the 5 A’s and patient use of cessation treatments. Third, questionnaire design did not allow estimates to be categorized by health professional type; therefore, we could not examine provision of tobacco cessation interventions by different health professions (i.e., doctor, dentist, nurse, or other health professional). Fourth, the study questions asked whether the 5 A behaviors had occurred in any visit in the past year, and it is not possible to determine the timeframe for cessation assisted treatment use, or how frequently multiple behaviors occurred in any given encounter. Fifth, the question order of the 5 A’s was not asked in the typical traditional format and do not fully align with measures used elsewhere in the literature. While the NATS survey included all of the 5 A’s, because of the skip pattern, it was not possible to determine if the question order of all of the 5A’s impacted cessation treatment use. Moreover, misclassification bias could have occurred because those who were never asked an item due to the skip pattern or answered ‘don’t know’ or refused were included in the denominator. Sixth, analyses do not include ever smokers who may have visited a health professional in the past 12 months but who quit more than 30 days before the survey was administered. Consequently, recall bias may exist as uptake of the 5 A’s (receipt of cessation interventions among smokers interested in quitting) among then smokers with an ongoing quit attempt lasting 30 days or more was not measured.

Conclusions
We demonstrate for the first time that cigarette-only smokers who receive all of the 5 A’s during a medical encounter are more likely to use counseling and medication to quit, compared to smokers who receive one or none of the 5 A’s. Among smokers who reported receiving any cessation assistance during a recent clinic visit, the most common treatment used was cessation medication, followed by the combination of counseling and medication, a class or program, one-on-one counseling, and calling a telephone quitline. Given that patients who receive all five of the 5 A’s are 15 times more likely to report using the most effective cessation treatment (medication and counseling), whenever feasible health professionals should be encouraged to deliver all 5 A’s with patients who smoke. Such efforts may help maximize treatment use.

Appendix
Very brief U.S. Public Health Service Clinical Practice Guideline ‘5 A’s’ intervention and related National Adult Tobacco Survey questions.

1: Ask: Identify all tobacco users.
Question: The last time a health professional advised you to quit using tobacco, did they also ask if you wanted to try to quit?

2: Advise: Urge or advise tobacco users to quit.
Question: In the past 12 months, did any doctor, dentist, nurse, or other health professional advise you to quit smoking cigarettes or using any other tobacco products?

3: Assess: Determine willingness to quit or make a quit attempt.
Question: The last time a health professional advised you to quit using tobacco, did they also ask if you wanted to try to quit?

4: Assist: Aid the patient in quitting.
Question: In the past 12 months, did any doctor, dentist, nurse, or other health professional advise you to quit smoking cigarettes or using any other tobacco products?

5: Arrange: Provide or schedule follow-up contact.
Question: Did they schedule any follow-up contacts, either in person or by phone, or arrange for someone else to call you to see how your quit attempt was going?

Note: The actual word order of the questions was: Advised, Asked, Assessed, Assisted, and Arranged; however, questions are presented in the standard 5 A’s order.
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