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ABSTRACT: Cyclohexene is an important intermediate during the oxidation of cycloalkanes, which comprise a significant portion of real fuels. Thus, experimental data sets and kinetic models of cyclohexene play an important role in the understanding of the combustion of cycloalkanes and real fuels. In this work, an experimental and kinetic modeling study of the high-temperature ignition of cyclohexene is performed. Ignition delay time (IDT) measurements are carried out in a high-pressure shock tube (HPST). The studied pressures are 5, 10, and 20 bar; the equivalence ratios are 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0; and the temperatures range from 980 to 1400 K for IDT in HPST. It is shown that the IDTs of cyclohexene exhibit Arrhenius behaviors as a function of temperature, and the IDTs decrease as the equivalence ratio and pressure increase. The experimental results are simulated using three previous detailed kinetic mechanisms and an updated detailed mechanism in this work. The updated detailed kinetic mechanism exhibits good agreement with experimental results. Reaction path analysis and sensitivity analysis are performed to provide insights into the chemical kinetics controlling the ignition of cyclohexene. The results demonstrate that different detailed kinetic mechanisms are significantly different, and there are still no unified conclusions about the major reaction path for cyclohexene oxidation. However, it is worth noting that the abstraction reaction by oxygen at the allylic site and the submechanism of cyclopentene are of significant importance for the accurate prediction of IDTs of cyclohexene. The present experimental data set and kinetic model should be valuable to improve our understanding of the combustion chemistry of cycloalkanes.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cycloalkanes represent an important class of ingredients in real fuels, i.e., gasoline, diesel, and jet fuels.1−3 Thus, a better understanding of the combustion characteristics and combustion chemical kinetics of real fuels requires a fundamental understanding of the combustion chemistry of cycloalkanes.4,5 During the past decades, various experimental measurements have been performed to obtain the combustion properties of cycloalkanes, i.e., ignition, flame speed, soot tendency, and species profiles during the oxidation of cycloalkanes with various molecular structures.6−15 Detailed kinetic models are also developed to simulate experimental measurements and to gain insight into the fundamental chemical kinetics during fuel combustion.7,10,12,14−16

Previous studies have shown that unsaturated cyclic hydrocarbons can be rapidly obtained during the oxidation of cycloalkanes, and they play an important role in the oxidation of cycloalkanes.10,17,18 However, very fewer work has been performed to systematically investigate the oxidation and pyrolysis process of unsaturated cyclic hydrocarbons. Cyclohexene, the simplest C6 unsaturated cyclic hydrocarbons, has been detected in a large amount during the oxidation of methylcyclohexane and ethylcyclohexane.10,17 Previous sensitivity analysis and reaction path analysis results indicated that the cyclohexene submechanism is important in accurately predicting the combustion properties of cycloalkanes.10,17 Thus, the development of a kinetic mechanism for cyclohexene together with experimental studies to derive mechanism validation targets, i.e., ignition and laminar flame speed, is of crucial importance in mechanism development for cycloalkanes. But experimental and kinetic modeling studies on cyclohexene are very scarce. A systematic study on the ignition property of cyclohexene was reported by Dayma et al.,19 who used a shock tube facility and kinetic modeling to provide a better understanding of its ignition characteristics. Other studies on cyclohexene and related unsaturated cyclic hydrocarbons are usually for specific purposes, i.e., soot formation. Kim et al. studied the soot tendencies of cyclohexene and methyl cyclohexene isomers using experimental and theoretical calculations,13 while Wang et al. studied the benzene formation...
pathway during the pyrolysis of cyclohexene.\textsuperscript{20} Giarracca et al. performed experimental and kinetic modeling studies on the ignition of cyclohexane, cyclohexene, and cyclohexadiene to demonstrate the unsaturation effect.\textsuperscript{21} However, large discrepancies exist between the experimentally measured ignition delay time (IDT) and modeling results. Further, after a detailed survey of existing detailed mechanisms related to cyclohexene,\textsuperscript{16,20} some of them assumed that the initial reactions of cyclohexene were thermal decomposition to ethylene and 1,3-butadiene and the abstraction reactions at the allylic site by neglecting the other reaction sites, which is too simplified.

Based on the above considerations, this work first performs an ignition study of cyclohexene under high-temperature conditions using a high-pressure shock tube (HPST). The measured experimental results are simulated using three detailed chemical kinetic mechanisms. An updated detailed mechanism based on the NUIGMech1.1 core mechanism\textsuperscript{22} has been developed, which shows better performance in the prediction of IDTs. Reaction path analysis and sensitivity analysis are then performed to provide insight into the kinetics controlling the ignition of cyclohexene.

2. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

The IDT experiments were performed in a high-pressure shock tube at the North University of China (NUC). The facility has been detailed in previous studies,\textsuperscript{3,23} and thus is briefly described here. The HPST is composed of a 3.0 m driver section, a 6.8 m driven section, and a 0.3 m double diaphragm section connecting the driven and driver sections with an inner diameter of 100 mm. The incident shock velocity is measured using five PCB 113B26 piezoelectric pressure transducers mounted on the sidewall of the driven section. The pressure transducer mounted 20 mm from the end wall of the driven section was used to record the pressure-time profiles. All pressure and emission signals are recorded using two digital TiePie Handyscope HS4 oscilloscopes. The reflected wave pressure and temperature are determined using the one-dimensional normal shock relations by the Gaseq program.\textsuperscript{24} The pressures and emissions of OH\textsuperscript{*} at 306.5 nm behind reflected shock waves are recorded after bursting of the diaphragms. IDT is defined as the time interval between the arrival of the reflected shock wave and the onset of OH\textsuperscript{*} emission at the side wall observation location. From Figure 1, it can be seen that the maximum rate of increase of the pressure signal is consistent with the sudden increase in the OH signal. The onset of ignition is observed in the OH\textsuperscript{*} emission history defined by linearly extrapolating the maximum slope to the baseline of the emission trace. Figure 1 shows an example of the pressure and OH\textsuperscript{*} emission signal traces for cyclohexene in air. It can be seen that the IDTs measured by the onset of OH\textsuperscript{*} emission and the maximum rate of increase of the pressure signal are almost the same.

All mixtures are prepared in stainless-steel mixture tanks according to Dalton’s law of partial pressure, and the prepared mixture is maintained at least 12 h before experiments to ensure complete vaporization and homogeneity. A glass syringe is used to extract a certain amount of liquid fuel and then fill it into the stainless-steel mixture tanks through the injection port of the gas distribution pipeline. The purities of oxygen and nitrogen used in this experiment are larger than 99.5%. Helium is used as driven gas in HPST, and the purity is also 99.99%. Cyclohexene was provided by Shanghai Macklin Biochemical Co., Ltd. with a 99.0% purity. A heating system with seven thermocouples placed along the mixing tank, shock tube, and the sampling tube was used to maintain the experimental system with a temperature of 398 K to avoid adsorption of the liquid fuel. Table 1 lists the HPST experimental conditions in this work. The overall uncertainty in the measured IDTs from HPST can be controlled within ±20% based on previous analysis,\textsuperscript{5,23} and are generally consistent with the other related facilities.\textsuperscript{25–28}

3. CHEMICAL KINETIC MODELING

In this work, three previously developed detailed mechanisms, i.e., the JetSurf 2.0 mechanism\textsuperscript{6} and the mechanisms developed by Serinyel et al.\textsuperscript{29} and Giarracca et al.\textsuperscript{21} are first used to simulate the measured IDTs. Specifically, the JetSurf 2.0 mechanism was developed for high-temperature combustion chemistry of mono-cyclohexanes from cyclohexane to n-butyl cyclohexane, and the chemical kinetics of cyclohexene was simplified. The Serinyel mechanism\textsuperscript{16} was developed to predict the measured species profiles of low- and high-

![Figure 1. Typical pressure and OH\textsuperscript{*} emission signal traces for cyclohexene measured in this work. The equivalence ratio is 1.0 (compositions are shown in Table 1) with initial temperature and pressure at 1036.9 K and 9.7 bar, respectively. The left and right y-axis denote the pressure signal and the OH\textsuperscript{*} emission signal at the same experimental condition, respectively.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.2c02229)

Table 1. Experimental Conditions in this Work

| equivalence ratio ($\phi$) | reactant mixture (mol %) | avg. $P_x$(bar) | $T_x$ range (K) |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 0.5                       | cyclohexene 21.2, O\textsubscript{2} 78.8, N\textsubscript{2} 0.4 | 5.02            | 1060–1400       |
| 0.5                       | cyclohexene 21.2, O\textsubscript{2} 78.8, N\textsubscript{2} 0.4 | 9.90            | 980–1400        |
| 1.0                       | cyclohexene 21.2, O\textsubscript{2} 78.8, N\textsubscript{2} 0.4 | 19.97           | 1000–1300       |
| 1.0                       | cyclohexene 21.2, O\textsubscript{2} 78.8, N\textsubscript{2} 0.4 | 4.95            | 1000–1380       |
| 2.0                       | cyclohexene 21.2, O\textsubscript{2} 78.8, N\textsubscript{2} 0.4 | 19.86           | 945–1200        |
| 2.0                       | cyclohexene 21.2, O\textsubscript{2} 78.8, N\textsubscript{2} 0.4 | 9.95            | 1000–1400       |
| 2.0                       | cyclohexene 21.2, O\textsubscript{2} 78.8, N\textsubscript{2} 0.4 | 20.18           | 950–1180        |

**Figure 1.** Typical pressure and OH\textsuperscript{*} emission signal traces for cyclohexene measured in this work. The equivalence ratio is 1.0 (compositions are shown in Table 1) with initial temperature and pressure at 1036.9 K and 9.7 bar, respectively. The left and right y-axis denote the pressure signal and the OH\textsuperscript{*} emission signal at the same experimental condition, respectively.
temperature oxidation of cyclohexene in jet-stirred reactors, and cyclohexene was detected as an important intermediate. Most recently, Giarracca et al. and cyclohexene was detected as an important intermediate. temperature oxidation of cyclohexane in jet-stirred reactors, a Table 2. Selected Major Reactions in the Cyclohexene Submechanism

| no. | reaction | A         | n  | E<sub>j</sub> | reference |
|-----|----------|-----------|----|--------------|-----------|
| 1   | CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>10</sub> = C<sub>H</sub><sub>4</sub> + C<sub>H</sub><sub>4</sub> | 5.50E + 12 | 0.76 | 62 450.00 | 36 |
| 2   | CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>10</sub> = CYHEXD<sub>N</sub>13 + H<sub>2</sub> | 7.08E + 09 | 1.12 | 59 560.00 | 36 |
| 3   | CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>10</sub> + H = CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>9</sub> - R<sub>1</sub> + H<sub>2</sub> | 5.07E + 07 | 1.90 | 12 950.00 | 37 |
| 4   | CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>10</sub> + O = CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>9</sub> - R<sub>1</sub> + OH | 1.51E + 07 | 1.90 | 3740.00 | 38 |
| 5   | CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>10</sub> + OH = CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>9</sub> - R<sub>1</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O | 3.60E + 06 | 2.00 | 2500.00 | 39 |
| 6   | CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>10</sub> + CH<sub>3</sub> = CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>9</sub> - R<sub>1</sub> + CH<sub>4</sub> | 2.27E + 05 | 2.00 | 9200.00 | 40 |
| 7   | CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>10</sub> + O<sub>2</sub> = CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>9</sub> - R<sub>1</sub> + HO<sub>2</sub> | 7.40E + 08 | 1.42 | 59 630.00 | estimated from RMG<sup>11</sup> |
| 8   | CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>10</sub> + H = CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>9</sub> - R<sub>2</sub> + H<sub>2</sub> | 2.30E + 05 | 2.50 | 2490.00 | analogy<sup>16</sup> |
| 9   | CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>10</sub> + O = CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>9</sub> - R<sub>2</sub> + OH | 2.40E + 11 | 0.70 | 5880.00 | analogy<sup>16</sup> |
| 10  | CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>10</sub> + OH = CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>9</sub> - R<sub>2</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O | 4.14E + 06 | 2.00 | -298.00 | analogy<sup>16</sup> |
| 11  | CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>10</sub> + CH<sub>3</sub> = CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>9</sub> - R<sub>2</sub> + CH<sub>4</sub> | 2.94E + 00 | 3.50 | 5675.00 | analogy<sup>16</sup> |
| 12  | CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>10</sub> + O<sub>2</sub> = CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>9</sub> - R<sub>2</sub> + HO<sub>2</sub> | 1.86E + 04 | 1.20 | 8937.00 | fitted |
| 13  | CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>10</sub> + H = CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>9</sub> - R<sub>3</sub> + H<sub>2</sub> | 1.30E + 06 | 2.40 | 4471.00 | analogy<sup>42</sup> |
| 14  | CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>10</sub> + O = CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>9</sub> - R<sub>3</sub> + OH | 4.76E + 04 | 2.71 | 2106.00 | analogy<sup>42</sup> |
| 15  | CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>10</sub> + OH = CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>9</sub> - R<sub>3</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O | 2.70E + 04 | 2.39 | 393.00 | analogy<sup>42</sup> |
| 16  | CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>10</sub> + CH<sub>3</sub> = CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>9</sub> - R<sub>3</sub> + CH<sub>4</sub> | 1.51E + 00 | 3.46 | 5480.00 | analogy<sup>42</sup> |
| 17  | CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>10</sub> + O<sub>2</sub> = CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>9</sub> - R<sub>3</sub> + HO<sub>2</sub> | 1.48E + 08 | 1.42 | 49 080.00 | estimated from RMG<sup>41</sup> |
| 18  | CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>10</sub> - R<sub>2</sub> = CYHEXD<sub>N</sub>13 + H | 2.67E + 12 | 0.71 | 49 792.20 | 36 |
| 19  | CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>10</sub> - R<sub>3</sub> = CYHEXD<sub>N</sub>13 + H | 2.67E + 12 | 0.71 | 49 792.20 | 36 |
| 20  | CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>10</sub> - R<sub>3</sub> = CYHEXD<sub>N</sub>14 + H | 2.67E + 12 | 0.71 | 49 792.20 | 36 |

*Species symbols CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>n</sub>, CYHEXD<sub>N</sub>, and CYHEXD<sub>N</sub>1 denote cyclohexene, cyclohexa-1,3-diene, and cyclohexa-1,4-diene, respectively. The CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>n</sub>-R<sub>i</sub>, CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>n</sub>-R<sub>i</sub>, and CYC<sub>H</sub><sub>n</sub>-R<sub>i</sub> denote the three fuel radicals formed from abstraction reactions at the vinylic, allylic, and secondary alkyl sites, respectively. Rate coefficients are in the modified Arrhenius formula as \( k = AT^n \exp(-E_j/RT) \). The units are cm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and K.<p></p>

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. IDT Characteristics of Cyclohexene. Figure 2 shows the measured IDTs of cyclohexene in the air with the equivalence ratios of 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 under the pressures of 5, 10, and 20 bar and the temperature range of 945–1400 K. It is shown that for a given temperature and equivalence ratio, the pressure significantly affects the IDTs for cyclohexene. More specifically, increasing the pressure greatly reduces the IDTs. The IDTs of cyclohexene exhibit Arrhenius behaviors as a function of temperature. Generally, the IDTs of cyclohexene decrease as the equivalence ratio increases.

From Figure 2, all of the four detailed mechanisms correctly predict the variation tendencies of IDTs as a function of temperature, pressure, and equivalence ratio. However, the performance in predicting the measured absolute IDT values is still different. The JetSurF 2.0 mechanism<sup>23</sup> demonstrates good performance in predicting the IDTs of cyclohexene at equivalence ratios of 0.5 and 1.0, but the errors tend to become larger at an equivalence ratio of 2 and a pressure of 20 bar. The Serinyel mechanism<sup>29</sup> shows better performance for
most conditions except for equivalence ratios with 1.0 and 2.0 at a low-pressure condition (5 bar). The Giarracca mechanism shows better performance at high-temperature conditions above 1200 K as revealed in the original aims. Finally, it can be seen that the developed mechanism in the present work exhibits good performance for all of the studied conditions.
mechanisms at 1200 K and 20 bar with equivalence ratios of 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0. Figure 4 shows the top 10 reactions that demonstrate negative and positive effects on the IDTs of cyclohexene at 1200 K and 20 bar with an equivalence ratio of 1.0, while the detailed results at equivalence ratios of 0.5 and 2.0 are provided in Supporting Materials.

From Figure 4, it is shown that the sensitive reactions in different detailed mechanisms exhibit very large differences. In the JetSurF 2.0 mechanism, the top sensitive reactions are mostly relevant to the C₆–C₄ molecules except for the initial reactions of cyclohexene (denoted as C₆H₁₀) to the formation of a 2-cyclohexenyl radical (SAXcC₆H₄) and related products and the following reactions of SAXcC₆H₄. It is worth noting that the initial abstraction reactions are simplified for cyclohexene in the JetSurF 2.0 mechanism by only considering the abstraction reactions at the allyl site to the formation of the 2-cyclohexenyl radical. At a high-pressure condition, it can be seen that the reactions of H₂O₂ show large sensitive coefficients during the oxidation of cyclohexene. Besides, the reactions relevant to 1,3-butadiene (C₄H₆) and ethylene (C₂H₄) also demonstrate a large effect on the IDT of cyclohexene since the decomposition reaction of cyclohexene to 1,3-butadiene and ethylene is the dominant reaction during the oxidation of cyclohexene as will be revealed from reaction path analysis in the following section.

In the Serinyel mechanism, a large number of the initial reactions of cyclohexene (denoted as C₆H₁₀/Z/#6) exhibit large sensitivity coefficients on the IDT. Unlike the JetSurF 2.0 mechanism, the two initial decomposition reactions of cyclohexene to the formation of 1,3-butadiene and ethylene (C₄H₆Z + C₄H₂Z₂) and 1,3-cyclohexadiene hydrogen (C₆H₆-13 + H₂) show negative and positive effects on the IDT of cyclohexene, respectively, indicating that the two reactions are competitive during the oxidation of cyclohexene. In addition, besides the abstraction reactions at the allyl site to the formation of a 2-cyclohexenyl radical (denoted as RC₆C₆H₆/Z/#6Y), the abstraction reaction at the allyl site by a OH radical to the formation of a 3-cyclohexenyl radical (denoted as RC₆C₆H₆/Z/#6Z) also plays an important role in determining the IDT, indicating the importance of considering all possible reactions to the development of a comprehensive reaction mechanism for cyclohexene combustion chemistry.

In the Giarracca mechanism, the top sensitive reactions affecting the IDT of cyclohexene tend to be much more complicated. Besides the initial decomposition and abstraction reactions of cyclohexene (C₆H₁₀#), the reactions relevant to benzene (C₆H₆#), 1-methylcyclohexene (MC_1), and methylcyclopentadiene (MCPD) show a large effect on the IDT of cyclohexene, which may be probably induced by the large number of reactions relevant to the cyclic fuels involved in the research. It is also worth noting that the abstraction reactions at the vinylc site of cyclohexene are not considered in the Giarracca mechanism, which makes it not a comprehensive mechanism.

4.2 Sensitivity Analysis Results. The detailed kinetic differences and key reactions controlling the ignition characteristics of cyclohexene are discussed in detail in the following section using sensitivity analysis and reaction path analysis. To identify key reactions affecting the ignition properties of cyclohexene, brute-force sensitivity analysis is performed using the four detailed kinetic mechanisms. Sensitivity analysis is conducted for IDT assuming a closed homogeneous batch reactor at constant volume. The sensitivity coefficient is computed via \( S_i = (r(2^*k_i) - r(k_i))/r(k_i) \), in which \( k_i \) is the rate constant of reaction \( i \), \( r(2^*k_i) \) is the IDT when the rate constant of reaction \( i \) is doubled, and \( r(k_i) \) is the original predicted IDT. Thus, a negative value for sensitivity means that the ignition delay becomes shorter with increasing rate constant of reaction \( i \), and vice versa. The sensitivity coefficients are computed through a one-by-one procedure by changing the rate constant of one reaction at a time. Sensitivity analysis is carried out using the four detailed mechanisms and the following equations using the four kinetic modeling results and experimental measurements.

\[
MAD = \frac{1}{n} \sum |\text{IDT}_{\text{model}} - \text{IDT}_{\text{exp}}| \tag{21}
\]

\[
\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (\text{IDT}_{\text{model}} - \text{MAD})^2}{n}} \tag{22}
\]

\[
\text{MSE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum (\text{IDT}_{\text{model}} - \text{IDT}_{\text{exp}})^2 \tag{23}
\]

\[
\text{MAPE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum \frac{|\text{IDT}_{\text{model}} - \text{IDT}_{\text{exp}}|}{\text{IDT}_{\text{exp}}} \times 100 \tag{24}
\]

In the above equations, \( n \) represents the total number of measured IDTs with a value of 93. Figure 3 shows the computed error analysis values using the four kinetic modeling results. It can be seen that the comparisons shown in Figure 3 demonstrate that the present mechanism tends to perform better against the IDT measurements than the other three mechanisms.

Figure 3. Statistical error analysis of the four mechanisms in the prediction of the measured IDTs of cyclohexene in this work. The MAPE values are not multiplied by 100, as shown in eq 24, for clarity.
initial reactions of cyclohexene are the most sensitive reactions affecting the IDT of cyclohexene. However, it is also observed that the initial abstraction reaction by oxygen to the formation of the 2-cyclohexenyl radical and the HO$_2$ radical tends to be important in all mechanisms.

Figure 5 shows the rate constants of the abstraction reaction of cyclohexene by oxygen to the formation of the 2-cyclohexenyl radical and the HO$_2$ radical as a function of temperature from different mechanisms together with estimations from RMG software. For comparison, the computed reaction rate constants by Zhou et al. are shown.
butene and 1-pentene at the secondary allylic site together with those for propene at the primary allylic site were studied. The rate constants used in the JetSurF 2.0 mechanism shown in Figure 5 were fitted from the reverse reaction, which was an analogy to the rate constants of propene with oxygen. The rate constants for the reverse reaction, i.e., allyl + HO$_2$ = C$_3$H$_6$O + O$_2$, are a constant value as a function of temperature, indicating that the reaction constants of propene + O$_2$ as a function of temperature are mainly affected via the thermodynamics properties changes. As shown in Figure 5, the computed rate constants tend to show large deviations compared with the computed results. The rate constants used in the Serinyel mechanism and estimated from group additivity in RMG tend to be close because they were both based on thermochemical kinetic methods. However, the rate rules used in RMG for this reaction tend to be much lower than the other rate constants. However, the rate rules in RMG show good agreement with the computed rate constants for propene with O$_2$, indicating that the small molecular reaction rate constants were probably used for large reaction systems.

Considering the importance of this reaction, the rate constants from this literature are initially used for kinetic modeling studies, and it is demonstrated that the rate constants of this reaction significantly affect the predicted IDTs as temperature changes. In combination with sensitivity analysis at several temperature conditions, the rate constants are refitted in the temperature range 950–1500 K, and it can be seen that the refitted rate constants lie between the literature values. Especially, at high-temperature conditions, the rate constants are very close to the rate constants for 1-butene and 1-pentene. However, at low-temperature conditions lower than 1150 K, large deviations exist among the various sources, indicating that future studies on these reaction classes are still not accurate enough. The updated mechanism in this work exhibits better performance in predicting the measured IDTs of cyclohexene at high-temperature conditions. However, further accurate estimation of the rate constants of this reaction using either a theoretical kinetic study or experimental investigation is still desired.

4.3. Reaction Path Analysis. To further demonstrate the differences in the detailed mechanisms of cyclohexene, reaction path analysis is carried out using the time-integrated element flux analysis during the ignition simulation processes. Figure 6 shows the carbon element flux analysis results for cyclohexene during the ignition process at 1200 K and 20 bar with an equivalence ratio of 1.0 using the JetSurF 2.0, Serinyel, and present mechanisms. The results from the Giarracca mechanism are not explicitly shown due to the large deviations compared with the measured IDTs. Due to the simplicity of the submechanism in the JetSurF 2.0 mechanism, it can be seen that the reaction path for cyclohexene is rather simple. At the studied high-temperature conditions, the direct decomposition reaction of cyclohexene to ethylene and 1,3-butadiene consumes approximately 40% of cyclohexene, while the abstraction reactions at the three different reaction sites consumes about 60% of cyclohexene. It can be seen that the abstraction reactions to the formation of 2-cyclohexenyl radical are dominant, which mostly converts into cyclopentene. The major reaction path derived from the present mechanism is very similar to that from the Serinyel mechanism. However, the absolute percent of conversions are different mainly due to the adopted different reaction rate constants for dominant reactions. Both the Serinyel and present mechanisms indicate that the cyclopentene submechanism should be important for cyclohexene. However, due to the lack of systematic and consistent rate constants mainly for the initial abstraction reactions of cyclopentene and cyclohexene, the accurate development of detailed kinetic mechanisms for cyclopentene and cyclohexene is still a challenge. However, the present work provides Supporting Material for further mechanism optimization.

4.4. Extended Validation of the Updated Mechanism. To further validate the present detailed mechanism, additional kinetic simulations for ignition of cyclohexene are carried out, as shown in Figure 6. It can be seen that the present detailed
mechanism also shows acceptable performance for the measured IDTs by Dayma et al.,\textsuperscript{19} while the results for the experimental results by Lu et al.\textsuperscript{51} are very good. Major deviations between the simulation results and experimental results are mainly induced by the measured experimental results from different groups, as shown in Figure 7. At an equivalence ratio of 0.5, it is shown that the measured IDTs at 8.7 bar tend to be faster than the present work even at 10 bar. Some of the deviations are mostly probably induced by the different diluent gases and the corresponding concentrations. From both Figures 7 and 8, it can be seen that our present experimental results are in good consistence with the results by Lu et al.\textsuperscript{51} The different concentrations of cyclohexane, as shown by Dayma et al.,\textsuperscript{19} also exhibit a large effect on the measured IDTs. The deviations among the measured IDTs from the three experiments are mainly distributed at high-temperature conditions above 1250 K. Overall, the present work provides an additional experimental IDT result at high-pressure conditions for kinetic model optimization.

5. CONCLUSIONS

This work reports an experimental and kinetic modeling study of the high-temperature ignition of cyclohexene under a wide range of combustion conditions. Specifically, ignition delay times (IDTs) for cyclohexene are measured in a high-pressure shock tube (HPST) with pressures of 5, 10, and 20 bar, equivalence ratios of 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 in temperatures ranging from 980 to 1400 K. The experimental results are simulated using three previous detailed kinetic mechanisms and an updated detailed mechanism in this work. Reaction path analysis and sensitivity analysis are performed to provide insight into the chemical kinetics controlling the ignition of cyclohexene. Major conclusions are summarized as follows:

(1) The ignition characteristics of cyclohexene as a function of pressure, temperature, and equivalence ratio are systematically studied. Generally, the pressure significantly affects the IDTs for cyclohexene, and increasing the pressure greatly reduces the IDTs. The IDTs of cyclohexene exhibit Arrhenius behaviors as a function of temperature. The IDTs of cyclohexene decrease as the equivalence ratio increases. No obvious negative temperature coefficient region is observed even at a pressure up to 20 bar.

(2) Three literature detailed kinetic models are used to simulate the present experimental results, and large deviations still exist. An updated detailed kinetic model is also developed and demonstrates good agreements with the measured IDTs.
(3) Sensitivity analysis results indicate that the top reactions affecting the IDTs are different using different detailed mechanisms. However, it is worth noting that the most detailed mechanisms indicate that the abstraction reaction by oxygen at the allylic site is significant, even though it is not a major contribution to the consumption of cyclohexene.

(4) Reaction path analysis results reveal that different detailed kinetic mechanisms are significantly different, and until now, there are still no unified conclusions about the major reaction path for cyclohexene oxidation. It is shown that the submechanism of cyclopentene is important during the oxidation of cyclohexene.

(5) Sensitivity analysis and reaction path analysis results indicate that high-level theoretical studies in combination with experimental studies on the initial decomposition and abstraction reactions of cyclohexene and cyclopentene are imperative to develop and optimize the detailed kinetic mechanism of cyclohexene.
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