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Abstract
The Darboux-dressing transformations developed in Degasperis and Lombardo (2007 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 40 961–77) are here applied to construct soliton solutions for a class of boomeronic-type equations. The vacuum (i.e. vanishing) solution and the generic plane wave solution are both dressed to yield one-soliton solutions. The formulae are specialized to the particularly interesting case of the resonant interaction of three waves, a well-known model which is of boomeronic type. For this equation a novel solution which describes three locked dark pulses (simulton) is introduced.

PACS numbers: 02.30.Ik, 02.30.Jr

1. Introduction

Many phenomena of wave propagation in one-dimensional media in various physical contexts are modelled by systems of partial differential equations (PDEs). Among them, integrable models are of special interest and are the focus of our investigation. In fact, the present paper follows our work [1] to which we refer the reader for the general background and motivations. Our interest in this subject is particularly motivated by the introduction and investigation of boomeron solutions in models of interest in nonlinear optics. These solutions are soliton solutions whose behaviour differs from that of standard solitons (such as for the Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) and nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equations). In fact they have different asymptotic velocities at \( t = +\infty \) and \( t = -\infty \). They were first introduced long ago in [2] (see also [3]) in connection with the hierarchy of the matrix KdV equation, and more recently boomerons appeared again in geometry [4] and optics [5–9]. The special properties of boomerons stem from the fact that they are solutions of multicomponent field equations.
whose integrability requires a matrix formulation of the corresponding Lax pair (see below) together with a richer (non-commutative) algebraic structure. The characteristic property of this structure is that the flows of the attached hierarchy may not commute with each other (see [10] and [11]). The technique of constructing soliton solutions that we adopt here goes via the Darboux-dressing transformations (DDTs) which yield a new (dressed) solution from a given (naked or seed) solution of the system of wave equations of interest. This method may be formulated as a change of the Lax pair of linear equations via a transformation which adds one pole to the dependence of its solution on the complex spectral variable. Though the formal setting of this construction is well known [12–15], the actual construction is detailed in [1] where the explicit algorithmic procedure is given. In particular, there we show that the position of the pole, whether it lies off or on the real axis, leads to different expressions of the dressed solution. In its turn, as we show here, this difference plays a crucial role in accounting for different boundary values of the wave fields, namely boundary values which are appropriate to bright solitons (localized pulses with exponential decay) or dark solitons (localized pulses in a non-vanishing background).

To make this paper as self-contained as possible, in this first section we set up the general formalism of matrix evolution equations we have introduced in [1] by keeping the same notation as introduced there. In section 2, the DDT construction, which is discussed in detail in [1], is briefly reported. Section 3 is devoted to the soliton expressions of both bright and dark type in the general matrix form. However, for the investigation of these expressions, we prefer to focus on one particular reduction. This and other reductions lead to models which seem to deserve special attention because of their potential applicability. Thus section 4 is devoted to novel simulton solutions of the well-known system which describes the interaction of three waves in quadratic media with the resonant condition \( \omega_2 - \omega_1 = \omega_3 \) and \( k_2 - k_1 = k_3 \) on their frequencies and wave numbers, respectively. Section 5 contains a few remarks and conclusions.

The starting point of our investigation is the following Lax pair of matrix ordinary differential equations (ODEs):

\[
\psi_x = X \psi, \quad \psi_t = T \psi, \tag{1}
\]

where \( \psi \), \( X \) and \( T \) are \( N \times N \) square matrices, \( \psi = \psi(x, t, k) \) being a common solution of the two linear ODEs (1), while \( X = X(x, t, k) \) and \( T = T(x, t, k) \) depend on the coordinate \( x \), the time \( t \) and the complex spectral parameter \( k \) according to the definitions

\[
X(x, t, k) = ik\sigma + Q(x, t), \tag{2a}
\]

\[
T(x, t, k) = 2ikC - \sigma W + \sigma [C, Q(x, t)], \tag{2b}
\]

where \( [A, B] \) stands for the commutator \( AB - BA \). We note that, in order to simplify our analysis, we have chosen the \( X \) and \( T \) matrices to be first degree in the spectral variable \( k \). This choice has two consequences: first, it lets the two independent variables \( x \) and \( t \) play a similar role so that their interpretation as space and time can change according to the physical application and, second, it leads to wave equations which are dispersionless when linearized around the vanishing solution. Dispersion terms can be easily introduced with only technical changes of the method (see [1] where the dispersive term of Schrödinger type has been considered). In equations (1) \( \sigma \) is the diagonal constant matrix,

\[
\sigma = \begin{pmatrix}
1_{N^{(+)\times N^{(+)}}^2} & 0_{N^{(+)\times N^{(-)}}^2} \\
0_{N^{(-)\times N^{(+)}}^2} & -1_{N^{(-)\times N^{(-)}}^2}
\end{pmatrix}, \tag{3}
\]

while \( C \) is an arbitrary constant block-diagonal matrix,

\[
C = \begin{pmatrix}
C^{(+) \times N^{(+)}}_N & 0_{N^{(+)\times N^{(-)}}^2} \\
0_{N^{(-)\times N^{(+)}}^2} & C^{(-)}
\end{pmatrix}, \tag{4}
\]
where $C^{(+)}$ and $C^{(-)}$ are respectively $N^{(+)} \times N^{(+)}$ and $N^{(-)} \times N^{(-)}$ constant square matrices, with $N = N^{(+)} + N^{(-)}$, $N^{(+)}$ and $N^{(-)}$ being arbitrary positive integers. The potentials $Q = Q(x, t)$ and $W = W(x, t)$ are the off-diagonal and block-diagonal matrices, respectively (a superscripted dagger stands for Hermitian conjugation):

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{N^{(+)} \times N^{(+)}} & S^{(+)}U^\dagger S^{(-)}^{-1} \\ U & 0_{N^{(-)} \times N^{(-)}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad W = \begin{pmatrix} W^{(+)} & 0_{N^{(+)} \times N^{(-)}} \\ 0_{N^{(-)} \times N^{(+)}} & W^{(-)} \end{pmatrix},$$

(5)

where the block $U = U(x, t)$ is a rectangular $N^{(-)} \times N^{(+)}$ matrix, while the two blocks $W^{(\pm)}$ are square $N^{(\pm)} \times N^{(\pm)}$ matrices. Moreover $S^{(+)}$ and $S^{(-)}$ are $N^{(+)} \times N^{(+)}$ and $N^{(-)} \times N^{(-)}$ diagonal matrices, respectively, whose diagonal elements $s^{(\pm)}_n$, with no loss of generality, are just signs, namely

$$S^{(\pm)} = \text{diag}\{s^{(\pm)}_1, \ldots, s^{(\pm)}_{N^{(\pm)}}\}, \quad s^{(\pm)}_n^2 = 1.$$  

(6)

The compatibility of the two ODEs (1) entails the matrix first-order differential equations

$$U_t = U_xC^{(+)} - C^{(-)}U_x + UW^{(+)} + W^{(-)}U,$$  

(7a)

$$W^{(+)}_x = [C^{(+)}, S^{(+)}U^\dagger S^{(-)}^{-1}U],$$  

(7b)

$$W^{(-)}_x = [C^{(-)}, US^{(+)}U^\dagger S^{(-)}],$$  

(7c)

provided the square $N^{(\pm)} \times N^{(\pm)}$ matrices $C^{(\pm)}$ and $W^{(\pm)}$ satisfy the conditions

$$C^{(\pm)}t = S^{(\pm)}C^{(\pm)}S^{(\pm)}$$  

(8)

and

$$W^{(\pm)}t = -S^{(\pm)}W^{(\pm)}S^{(\pm)}.$$  

(9)

The reduced form (5) of the matrix $Q(x, t)$ is well motivated by the fact that it captures several interesting models of multicomponent wave interactions in weakly nonlinear media, see [10, 11] and sections 4 and 5.

2. The DDT algorithm

The method of construction of explicit solutions of the Lax equations (1), and therefore of the nonlinear evolution equations (7), may be formulated in more than one way [12–15]. Here, we sketch the scheme we developed in [1] where full details are given. Let $Q^{(0)}(x, t)$ and $W^{(0)}(x, t)$ be a pair of matrices with the same block structure (5) of $Q(x, t)$ and $W(x, t)$. This assumption defines, therefore, also the block matrices $U^{(0)}(x, t)$ and $W^{(0)(\pm)}(x, t)$. Let then $\psi^{(0)}(x, t, k)$ be a corresponding nonsingular (i.e. with non-vanishing determinant) matrix solution of

$$\psi^{(0)}_x = X^{(0)}\psi^{(0)}, \quad \psi^{(0)}_t = T^{(0)}\psi^{(0)},$$  

(10)

where $X^{(0)}(x, t, k)$ and $T^{(0)}(x, t, k)$ have expressions (2a) and (2b) with $Q$ and $W$ replaced by $Q^{(0)}$ and $W^{(0)}$. Since both compatibility conditions, $\psi^{(0)}_{xt} = \psi^{(0)}_{tx}$ and $\psi^{(0)}_{xt} = \psi^{(0)}_{tx}$, are satisfied, $U^{(0)}(x, t)$, $W^{(0)(\pm)}(x, t)$ and $U^{(0)}(x, t)$, $W^{(0)(\pm)}(x, t)$ are just two different solutions of the same matrix evolution equations (7). Consider now the matrix $D = \psi^{(0)}\psi^{(0)\dagger}$ or, equivalently, the relation

$$\psi(x, t, k) = D(x, t, k)\psi^{(0)}(x, t, k),$$  

(11)

which can be viewed as a transformation $\psi^{(0)} \rightarrow \psi$. The DDT method consists of searching for the dressing matrix $D(x, t, k)$ for a given $\psi^{(0)}$. The expression of the matrix $D(x, t, k)$ is
found by assigning *a priori* its explicit dependence on the spectral parameter $k$. We assume that this transformation is near identity and adds one simple pole to the $k$-dependence of the solution $\psi^{(0)}(x, t, k)$, namely

$$D(x, t, k) = 1 + \frac{R(x, t)}{k - \alpha},$$  

(12)

where $R(x, t)$ is the residue matrix and the parameter $\alpha$ is the pole position in the complex plane of the spectral variable $k$. In [1], we derive the expression of the residue matrix $R(x, t)$ and we show that this expression crucially depends on the position of the pole $k = \alpha$, the distinction between the case in which the pole is off the real axis, $\alpha \neq \alpha^*$, and the case in which the pole is on the real axis, $\alpha = \alpha^*$. In the following section, we show that this distinction is relevant when constructing soliton solutions with vanishing or non-vanishing asymptotic values of $U(x, t)$ as $|x| \to \infty$. Here, we merely report the following expression of the new solution $U(x, t)$, $W^{(\pm)}(x, t)$ in these two cases:

$$U = U^{(0)} - \frac{z^{(\pm)}(\mathbf{e}^{(\pm)})S^{(\pm)}}{E(x, t)},$$  

(13a)

$$W^{(\pm)} = W^{(0)(\pm)} - \frac{[C^{(\pm)}z^{(\pm)}\mathbf{e}^{(\pm)}]S^{(\pm)}}{E(x, t)}.$$  

(13b)

$S^{(\pm)}$ is the sign matrix (6), $C^{(\pm)}$ is the $N^{(\pm)} \times N^{(\pm)}$ constant matrix as defined in (4) with the condition (8) and the $N^{(\pm)}$-dimensional vector $\mathbf{e}^{(\pm)}$ is defined below, see (18). The difference between the two cases is mainly in the expression of the real denominator function $E(x, t) = \mathcal{E}^+(x, t)$. Thus when the pole $\alpha$ is complex with a non-vanishing imaginary part (i.e. $\alpha \neq \alpha^*$), the denominator becomes [1]

$$E(x, t) = \mathcal{E}_C(x, t) = \frac{1}{2(\alpha - \alpha^*)}[(\mathbf{e}^{(\pm)}, S^{(\pm)}\mathbf{e}^{(\pm)}) - (\mathbf{e}^{(-)}, S^{(-)}\mathbf{e}^{(-)})],$$  

(14)

while, if the pole $\alpha$ is real (i.e. $\alpha = \alpha^*$), the expression of the denominator is quite different from the previous one and reads [1]

$$E(x, t) = \mathcal{E}_R(x, t) \equiv \mathcal{E}_0 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_0}^{x} dx' \, g(x', t) + \int_{t_0}^{t} dt' \, m(x_0, t'),$$  

(15)

where $\mathcal{E}_0$, $x_0$ and $t_0$ are arbitrary real constants and the scalar functions $g(x, t)$ and $m(x, t)$ are defined by the expressions

$$g(x, t) = (\mathbf{e}^{(\pm)}, S^{(\pm)}\mathbf{e}^{(\pm)}) + (\mathbf{e}^{(-)}, S^{(-)}\mathbf{e}^{(-)}),$$

$$m(x, t) = (\mathbf{e}^{(\pm)}, S^{(\pm)}C^{(\pm)}\mathbf{e}^{(\pm)}) - (\mathbf{e}^{(-)}, S^{(-)}C^{(-)}\mathbf{e}^{(-)}).$$  

(16)

Moreover, in this second case, i.e. $\alpha = \alpha^*$, the two vectors $\mathbf{e}^{(\pm)}$ and $\mathbf{e}^{(-)}$ are constrained by the relation $(\mathbf{e}^{(\pm)}, S^{(\pm)}\mathbf{e}^{(\pm)}) - (\mathbf{e}^{(-)}, S^{(-)}\mathbf{e}^{(-)}) = 0$, which is a consequence of the reduction conditions (5), (8) and (9) (see [1] for details). The bracket $(a, b)$ in the previous formulae stands for the (nonsymmetrical) scalar product of two vectors,

$$(a, b) = a^I b_I = \sum_j a_j^* b_j,$$  

(17)

and the vectors $\mathbf{e}^{(\pm)}$ and $\mathbf{e}^{(-)}$ are $N^{(\pm)}$-dimensional and $N^{(-)}$-dimensional, respectively. They are defined by the explicit block expression

$$\begin{pmatrix} z^{(\pm)}(x, t) \\ z^{(-)}(x, t) \end{pmatrix} = \psi^{(0)}(x, t, \alpha^*) \begin{pmatrix} z_0^{(\pm)} \\ z_0^{(-)} \end{pmatrix},$$  

(18)
where the two complex vectors $z_0^{(+)}$ and $z_0^{(-)}$ are constant in both cases; they are arbitrary if $\alpha \neq \alpha^*$, while, if $\alpha = \alpha^*$ is real, these constant vectors have to meet the same condition satisfied by $z^{(+)}$ and $z^{(-)}$, namely
\[
(z_0^{(+)}, S^{(+)}) - (z_0^{(-)}, S^{(-)}) = 0.
\] (19)
As for expression (15), we observe that, because of the conservation law $g_t = 2m_x$, the differential form $g \, dx + 2m \, dt$ is exact and therefore the denominator expression (15) can be computed as the integral
\[
\mathcal{E}(x, t) = \mathcal{E}_B(x, t) = E_0 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{z_0}^{z_1} [g(x', t') \, dx' + 2m(x', t') \, dt']
\] (20)
along any curve in the $(x, t)$ plane.

We end this section by noting that these explicit formulae are meant to serve as the main tools to construct solitons, and, by repeated application of the DDT, multisoliton solutions of those systems of multicomponent wave equations which can be obtained by reduction of the general matrix PDEs (7). However, they have been obtained by algebra and local integration of differential equations by leaving out two important ingredients of solutions of applicative interest, namely their boundary values and their boundedness. These two issues will be addressed in the following sections where explicit expressions of solutions will be displayed.

3. Soliton solutions

We now construct explicit solutions of the general matrix equation (7) by means of DDTs. The general expressions we derive here will be investigated in more detail in particular cases in the following section. In the present analysis, the matrix-valued function $U(x, t)$, which appears in the spectral equation $\psi_x = X \psi$, with (2a) and (5), is restricted to be in one of two different functional classes. The first one is the class $B$ of those (bounded) functions $U(x, t)$ which vanish sufficiently fast, for any $t$, as $|x| \to \infty$:
\[
U(x, t) \in B \quad \text{if} \quad \lim_{|x| \to \infty} U(x, t) = 0.
\] (21)
Bright solitons are in this class. We point out here that, if $U(x, t)$ is in $B$, the matrices $W^{(+)}(x, t)$ which satisfy equations (7b) and (7c) are necessarily $x$-independent (possibly $t$-dependent) when $|x| \to \infty$. In particular, we choose
\[
W^{(+)}(\infty, t) = -F^{(+)} , \quad W^{(-)}(-\infty, t) = F^{(-)} \quad \text{if} \quad U(x, t) \in B \ ,
\] (22)
$F^{(\pm)}$ being two arbitrary constant matrices. We limit our construction to the one-soliton solution which is obtained by choosing in the DDT algorithm the seed solution as the trivial solution $U^{(0)}(x, t) = 0$, $W^{(0)(\pm)}(x, t) = \mp F^{(\pm)}$. The second class, $D$, is the class of those (bounded) functions $U(x, t)$ which do not vanish as $|x| \to \infty$, as they asymptotically behave like plane waves:
\[
U(x, t) \in D \quad \text{if} \quad \lim_{|x| \to \infty} U(x, t) = U_{\pm PW}(x, t),
\] (23)
where the functions $U_{+ PW}(x, t)$ and $U_{- PW}(x, t)$ are two generally different plane wave solutions of (7). If $U(x, t)$ is in this class, also the two matrices $W^{(\pm)}(x, t)$ which satisfy equations (7b) and (7c) behave as plane waves, as shown below. The explicit expression of plane wave solutions is reported below, but its computation requires some tedious algebra which is not reported here. In fact, it turns out that the plane wave solutions can be solutions only of two special cases of the general evolution equations (7). The first (second) case obtains by requiring that the constant matrix $C^{(+)}$ ($C^{(-)}$) be proportional to the identity matrix with the
consequence that the matrix $W^{(s)}(x, t)$ ($W^{(-)}(x, t)$) is constant, see (7b) (see (7c)). However
in this case both $C^{(-)}$ and $W^{(s)}(x, t)$ ($C^{(-)}$ and $W^{(-)}(x, t)$) can be easily transformed away so
that setting $C^{(-)} = W^{(-)} = 0$ reduces the system (7) to the two coupled equations
\[
U_t = -C^{(-)} U_x + W^{(-)} U, \tag{24a}
\]
\[
W^{(-)} = \begin{bmatrix} C^{(-)}, & US^{(s)}U^\dagger S^{(-)} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{24b}
\]
while, in the second case, namely $C^{(-)} = W^{(-)} = 0$, the system (7) reduces to the two coupled
equations:
\[
U_t = U_t C^{(+)} + U W^{(+)}, \tag{25a}
\]
\[
W^{(+)} = \begin{bmatrix} C^{(+)}, & S^{(+)}U^\dagger S^{(-)} U \end{bmatrix}. \tag{25b}
\]
Moreover, we apply a similarity transformation to equations (24) ((25)) in such a way that the
non-vanishing matrix $C^{(-)}$ ($C^{(+)}$) be diagonal (and real, see (8)):
\[
C^{(-)} = \text{diag}\{c_1^{(-)}, \ldots, c_{N^{(-)}}^{(-)}\}, \quad c_j^{(-)} = c_j^{(-)*}, \tag{26}
\]
in the first case, and
\[
C^{(+)} = \text{diag}\{c_1^{(+)}, \ldots, c_{N^{(+)}}^{(+)}\}, \quad c_j^{(+)} = c_j^{(+)*}, \tag{27}
\]
in the second case. We also assume, for the sake of simplicity, that $c_j^{(\pm)} \neq c_n^{(\pm)}$ if $j \neq n$ and, with no loss of generality, that the diagonal part of the matrix $W^{(-)}$ ($W^{(+)}$) be vanishing,
namely $W^{(-)}(x, t) = 0, j = 1, \ldots, N^{(-)}$ ($W^{(+)}(x, t) = 0, j = 1, \ldots, N^{(+)}$). In the first case
we find that the plane wave matrix solution $U(x, t)$ has the dyadic expression
\[
U(x, t) = \exp[i(K^{(-)}x - \Omega^{(-)} t)]A^{(-)}A^{(+)*}, \tag{28}
\]
while the $N^{(-)} \times N^{(-)}$ matrix $W^{(-)}(x, t)$ is found to be
\[
W^{(-)}(x, t) = -i\exp[i(K^{(-)}x - \Omega^{(-)} t)]B^{(-)}\exp[-i(K^{(-)}x - \Omega^{(-)} t)], \tag{29}
\]
with the following specifications: $K^{(-)}$ is an arbitrary real constant diagonal $N^{(-)} \times N^{(-)}$
matrix,
\[
K^{(-)} = \text{diag}\{k_1^{(-)}, \ldots, k_{N^{(-)}}^{(-)}\}, \tag{30}
\]
with the assumption $k_j^{(-)} \neq k_n^{(-)}$ if $j \neq n$; the $N^{(-)} \times N^{(-)}$ matrix $\Omega^{(-)}$ is also a constant, real
and diagonal matrix
\[
\Omega^{(-)} = \text{diag}\{\omega_1^{(-)}, \ldots, \omega_{N^{(-)}}^{(-)}\}, \tag{31}
\]
whose entries take the expression
\[
oclineq{0}{1}^{(-)} c_j^{(-)} k_j^{(-)} + (A^{(+)}, S^{(+)} A^{(+)}) \sum_{n=1, n \neq j}^{N^{(-)}} S_n^{(-)} A_n^{(+)*} \left( \frac{c_j^{(-)} - c_n^{(-)}}{k_j^{(-)} - k_n^{(-)}} \right), \tag{32}
\]
$A^{(+)}$ and $A^{(-)}$ are arbitrary constant vectors of dimensions $N^{(+)}$ and $N^{(-)}$, respectively, and
$B^{(-)}$ is the $N^{(-)} \times N^{(-)}$ off-diagonal matrix whose entries are
\[
B_{jj}^{(-)} = 0, \quad B_{jn}^{(-)} = (A^{(+)}, S^{(+)} A^{(+)}) S_n^{(-)} A_j^{(-)*} A_n^{(-)*} \left( \frac{c_j^{(-)} - c_n^{(-)}}{k_j^{(-)} - k_n^{(-)}} \right), \quad j \neq n. \tag{33}
\]
Thus these multicomponent plane wave solutions are characterized by $N^{(-)}$ wave numbers $k_j^{(-)}$ and frequencies $\omega_j^{(-)}, j = 1, \ldots, N^{(-)}$, and by $N^{(+)}$ amplitudes $A_n^{(+)}$ and $N^{(-)}$ amplitudes
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frequencies implies that the amplitude vectors $A^{(-)}$, which are the components of the $N^{(-)}$-dimensional vector $A^{(-)}$ and $N^{(+)}$-dimensional vector $A^{(+)}$, respectively. We complete the definition of the class $D$ of solutions for the special case (24) of the general equation (7) by adding the requirement that the asymptotic plane wave solutions $U_{-PW}$ at $x = -\infty$ and $U_{+PW}$ at $x = +\infty$, see definition (23), have the same wave numbers $k_j^{(\pm)}$ and frequencies $\omega_j^{(\pm)}$ and may differ from each other only because they have different amplitudes $A^{(+)}$ and $A^{(-)}$. This requirement together with expression (32) of the frequencies implies that the amplitude vectors $A^{(+)}$ and $A^{(-)}$ at $x = -\infty$ should be related to the amplitude vectors $A^{(+)}_0$ and $A^{(-)}_0$ at $x = +\infty$ by the simple relations

$$A^{(+)} = \Phi^{(+)} A^{(+)}_0, \quad A^{(-)} = \exp(i\Theta^{(-)}) A^{(-)}_0,$$

(34)

where $\Phi^{(+)}$ is a constant $N^{(+)} \times N^{(+)}$ matrix in the $SL(N^{(+)})$ group defined by the condition

$$\Phi^{(+)} S^{(+)} \Phi^{(+)} = S^{(+)},$$

(35)

and $\Theta^{(-)}$ is a constant real diagonal $N^{(-)} \times N^{(-)}$ matrix, $\Theta^{(-)} = \text{diag}\{\theta_1^{(-)}, \ldots, \theta_{N^{(-)}}^{(-)}\}$. This family of plane wave solutions (28) and (29) allows for a complete definition of the class $D$ of solutions of equations (24) in the first case. In the second case the plane wave solutions are described by similar expressions, which read

$$U(x, t) = A^{(-)} A^{(+)} \exp[i(K^{(\pm)} x - \Omega^{(\pm)} t)],$$

(36)

while the $N^{(+)} \times N^{(+)}$ matrix $W^{(+)}(x, t)$ is found to be

$$W^{(+)}(x, t) = i \exp[-i(K^{(\pm)} x - \Omega^{(\pm)} t)] B^{(+)} \exp[i(K^{(\pm)} x - \Omega^{(\pm)} t)],$$

(37)

with the following specifications: $K^{(\pm)}$ is an arbitrary real constant diagonal $N^{(+)} \times N^{(\pm)}$ matrix,

$$K^{(\pm)} = \text{diag}\{k_1^{(\pm)}, \ldots, k_{N^{(\pm)}}^{(\pm)}\},$$

(38)

with the assumption $k_j^{(\pm)} \neq k_n^{(\pm)}$ if $j \neq n$; the $N^{(+)} \times N^{(\pm)}$ matrix $\Omega^{(\pm)}$ is also a constant, real and diagonal matrix:

$$\Omega^{(\pm)} = \text{diag}\{\omega_1^{(\pm)}, \ldots, \omega_{N^{(\pm)}}^{(\pm)}\},$$

(39)

whose entries take the expression

$$\omega_j^{(\pm)} = -c_j^{(\pm)} k_j^{(\pm)} - (A^{(-)}, S^{(\pm)} A^{(-)}) \sum_{n=1,n \neq j}^{N^{(\pm)}} S_n^{(\pm)} |A_n^{(\pm)}|^2 \left(\frac{c_j^{(\pm)} - c_n^{(\pm)}}{k_j^{(\pm)} - k_n^{(\pm)}}\right);$$

(40)

$A^{(\pm)}$ and $A^{(\pm)}$ are again arbitrary constant vectors of dimensions $N^{(\pm)}$ and $N^{(\pm)}$, respectively, and $B^{(\pm)}$ is the $N^{(\pm)} \times N^{(\pm)}$ off-diagonal matrix whose entries are

$$B_{jj}^{(\pm)} = 0, \quad B_{jn}^{(\pm)} = (A^{(-)}, S^{(\pm)} A^{(-)}) S_j^{(\pm)} A_j^{(\pm)} (A^{(\pm)}, A^{(\pm)}) \left(\frac{c_j^{(\pm)} - c_n^{(\pm)}}{k_j^{(\pm)} - k_n^{(\pm)}}\right), \quad j \neq n.$$

(41)

Again these multicomponent plane wave solutions are characterized by $N^{(\pm)}$ wave numbers $k_j^{(\pm)}$ and frequencies $\omega_j^{(\pm)}$, $j = 1, \ldots, N^{(\pm)}$, and by $N^{(\pm)}$ amplitudes $A_n^{(\pm)}$ and $N^{(\pm)}$ amplitudes $A_j^{(\pm)}$, which are the components of the $N^{(\pm)}$-dimensional vector $A^{(\pm)}$ and $N^{(\pm)}$-dimensional vector $A^{(\pm)}$, respectively. Also in this case the definition of the class $D$ of solutions for the special case (25) of the general equation (7) is completed by the requirement that the asymptotic plane wave solutions $U_{-PW}$ at $x = -\infty$ and $U_{+PW}$ at $x = +\infty$, see definition (23), have the same wave numbers $k_j^{(\pm)}$ and frequencies $\omega_j^{(\pm)}$ and may differ from each other only because they have different amplitudes $A^{(\pm)}$ and $A^{(\pm)}$. This requirement, together with expression (40)
of the frequencies, implies that the amplitude vectors $A_+^\alpha$ and $A_-^\alpha$ at $x = -\infty$ should be related to the amplitude vectors $A_+^{(s)}$ and $A_-^{(s)}$ at $x = +\infty$ by the relations
\[ A_+^\alpha = \Phi^{(-)} A_-^\alpha, \quad A_-^\alpha = \exp(i\Theta^{(s)}) A_+^{(s)}, \]
where $\Phi^{(-)}$ is a constant $N(-) \times N(-)$ matrix in the $SL(N(-))$ group defined by the condition
\[ \Phi^{(-)} S^{(-)} \Phi^{(-)} = S^{(-)}, \]
and $\Theta^{(s)}$ is a constant real diagonal $N^{(s)} \times N^{(s)}$ matrix, $\Theta^{(s)} = \text{diag}\{\theta^{(s)}_1, \ldots, \theta^{(s)}_{N^{(s)}}\}$. This family of plane wave solutions (36) and (37) allows for a complete definition of the class $D$ of solutions of equations (25) in the second case.

The main ingredients of the algebraic construction of solutions $U(x, t)$, $W^{(\pm)}(x, t)$ are the seed solutions $U^{(0)}(x, t)$, $W^{(0)(\pm)}(x, t)$ together with the corresponding solution $\psi^{(0)}(x, t, k)$ of the Lax equations (10) to which the DDT applies (see (11)). In its turn, the DDT introduces additional parameters in the new solution, one being the pole $\alpha$ in the complex $k$-plane, while other parameters come from the residue matrix. In this respect, a word of warning is appropriate. A generic choice of these parameters does not necessarily yield a solution $U(x, t)$, $W^{(\pm)}(x, t)$ which is acceptable in the sense that (i) it is bounded (i.e. it is singularity free in the whole $(x, t)$ plane), and (ii) it is localized (i.e. it belongs to the same class, either $B$ or $D$, of $U^{(0)}(x, t)$). Meeting these acceptability requirements is a property of the new solution $U(x, t)$, $W^{(\pm)}(x, t)$ that has to be checked, depending on all parameters which enter in the construction, including the sign matrices $S^{(+)}$ and $S^{(-)}$. This analysis is quite simple in the scalar case, while in the matrix case, which we are currently dealing with, it requires some effort. Here we limit our attention to the case in which the seed solution $U^{(0)}(x, t)$, $W^{(0)(\pm)}(x, t)$ is the simplest solution of the functional class to which $U^{(0)}(x, t)$ belongs. As a consequence, we obtain explicit expressions of the one-soliton solution. Even so, the acceptability requirements mentioned above will be investigated only a posteriori and in particularly interesting cases (see section 4).

As for the pole $\alpha$ introduced by the DDT, see (12), we point out that its position, whether on the real axis or off the real axis, depends on the boundary values of $U(x, t)$ which characterize the functional classes $B$ and $D$. Indeed, in the construction of soliton solutions the pole $\alpha$ has a spectral meaning since it belongs to the discrete spectrum of the Lax operator $L(U) = -i\sigma_3 \partial_t + i\sigma_2 Q$, see the spectral equation (1) with (2a). In general, if $U(x, t)$ belongs to the class $B$, then the discrete spectrum of the operator $L(U)$ cannot be on the real axis and only Darboux matrices $D$ with $\alpha \neq \alpha^*$ may give rise to physically meaningful solutions. On the other hand, if $U(x, t)$ belongs instead to the class $D$, then the corresponding operator $L(U)$ may have gaps of the continuum spectrum on the real axis. In this case, the Darboux transformation, with $\alpha = \alpha^*$ lying in one of these gaps, yields meaningful solutions. The computation in this last case, which is precisely the one of dark solitons, is made difficult by the gap structure of the spectrum which gets more and more complicate the higher the two integers $N^{(+)}$ and $N^{(-)}$ are.

### 3.1. Bright solitons

Let us first consider solutions belonging to the class $B$. In this case the seed solution of (7) is $U^{(0)}(x, t) = 0$ and $W^{(0)(\pm)}(x, t) = \mp F^{(\pm)} = \text{constant}$ matrix. The corresponding solution $\psi^{(0)}(x, t, k)$ of the Lax equations (10) is
\[ \psi^{(0)}(x, t, k) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi^{(0)(+)}(x, t, k) & 0 \\ 0 & \psi^{(0)(-)}(x, t, k) \end{pmatrix}, \]
where the blocks \( \psi^{(0)\pm}(x, t, k) \) are
\[
\psi^{(0)\pm}(x, t, k) = \exp[\pm i k x] \exp[2i k C^{(\pm)} + F^{(\pm)} t].
\] (45)

We now follow the DDT algorithm of section 2 by computing the vectors \( z^{(\pm)}(x, t) \), see (18), whose expression is
\[
z^{(\pm)}(x, t) = \exp(\pm i \alpha x) \Pi^{(\pm)}(t) z_0^{(\pm)},
\] (46)
where we have introduced the time-dependent matrices
\[
\Pi^{(\pm)}(t) = \exp[2i \alpha C^{(\pm)} + F^{(\pm)} t].
\] (47)

In the present case, the DDT with a real pole, \( \alpha = \alpha^* \), has to be ruled out since it leads to a solution \( U(x, t), W^{(\pm)}(x, t) \) which is not in the class \( B \) or \( D \). Thus the pole \( \alpha \) has to lie off the real axis, \( \alpha \neq \alpha^* \), and the DDT formulae (13) with \( U^{(0)}(x, t) = 0, W^{(0)}(x, t) = \mp F^{(\pm)} \) yield the one-soliton solution
\[
U(x, t) = -\frac{4b}{\Delta} \exp(-2i \alpha x) \Pi^{(-)}(t) B_0 \Pi^{(\pm)}(t) S^{(\pm)},
\] (48)
\[
W^{(\pm)}(x, t) = \mp F^{(\pm)} - \frac{4b}{\Delta} \exp(\pm 2b x) \left[ C^{(\pm)}, \Pi^{(\pm)}(t) B_0 \Pi^{(\pm)}(t) S^{(\pm)} \right],
\] (49)
where
\[
\Delta(x, t) = (z^{(+)}, S^{(+)}) z^{(+)}) - (z^{(-)}, S^{(-)} z^{(-)})
\[
= \exp(2b x) \left[ z_0^{(+)}, \Pi^{(+)}(t) S^{(+) \Pi^{(+)}}(t) z_0^{(+)}) \right.
\[
- \exp(-2b x) \left[ z_0^{(-)}, \Pi^{(-)}(t) S^{(-)} \Pi^{(-)}(t) z_0^{(-)} \right].
\] (50)

Here \( \alpha = a + ib \), namely \( a \) and \( b \) are real parameters (the real and imaginary part of the complex pole \( \alpha \)), respectively. In these expressions we have also introduced for convenience the following constant matrices:
\[
B_0 = z_0^{(-)} z_0^{(+)}, \quad B^{(\pm)}_0 = z_0^{(\pm)} z_0^{(\pm)}.
\] (51)

Expressions (48) and (49) are an acceptable, i.e. bounded, solution if and only if the inequality
\[
\left( z_0^{(+)}, \Pi^{(+)}(t) S^{(+) \Pi^{(+)}}(t) z_0^{(+)}) \right) \left( z_0^{(-)}, \Pi^{(-)}(t) S^{(-)} \Pi^{(-)}(t) z_0^{(-)} \right) < 0
\] (52)
holds at any time. For instance, if \( S^{(+) = 1} \) and \( S^{(-)} = -1 \), this condition is satisfied for any vector \( z_0^{(\pm)} \). With this condition, this multi-parameter solution \( U(x, t), W^{(\pm)}(x, t) \) is the one-soliton solution of the system (7) which clearly belongs to the class \( B \). Indeed, while expression (48) of \( U(x, t) \) exponentially decays as \( |x| \rightarrow \infty \), in this limit the matrix \( W^{(\pm)}(x, t) \) goes to a matrix whose expression can be easily derived from the formulae (49) together with (50), and which depends only on time. This special solution has been introduced in [10] for the system (7) with an additional dispersive term of the Schrödinger type. There it has been shown that these solutions exhibit a rich phenomenology as a consequence of the interplay of the matrices \( C^{(\pm)} \) and \( F^{(\pm)} \). These soliton solutions may well feature processes such as decay, excitation, pair creation and annihilation, and they are known, according to their behaviour, as boomerons, trappons or simultons (see also section 4 for additional references).

3.2. Dark solitons

We now turn our attention to the one-soliton solution which asymptotically behaves as a plane wave and is therefore in the class \( D \). We limit the present construction of this solution to the evolution equations (24), namely to the special case \( C^{(0)} = W^{(0)} = 0 \). For this reason, and
to simplify the notation, in this subsection we drop the superscript \((-\)) wherever reasonable, namely we set \(C^{(-)} \equiv C, c_j^{(-)} \equiv c_j, K^{(-)} \equiv K, k_j^{(-)} \equiv k_j, \Omega^{(-)} \equiv \Omega, \omega_j^{(-)} \equiv \omega_j\) and \(B^{(-)} \equiv B\). The construction of the one-soliton solution of equations (25) in the other case \(C^{(-)} = W^{(-)} = 0\) is quite similar and is not reported. The solution is obtained by applying the DDT to the plane wave background solution (28) and (29) according to the formulae given in section 2, see (13) and (20). Therefore, we first compute the corresponding expression of the solution \(\psi^{(0)}(x, t, k)\) of the Lax equations (10). To this end we more conveniently write the seed matrices \(Q^{(0)}(x, t)\) and \(W^{(0)}(x, t)\) in the form

\[
Q^{(0)} = GQG^{-1}, \quad W^{(0)} = GVW^{-1},
\]

where

\[
G = G(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \exp[i(Kx - \Omega t)] \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S^{(+)}A^{(-)}S^{(-)} \\ A^{(-)}A^{(+)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad W = -i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}.
\]

This implies that the matrix

\[
\Phi(x, t, k) = G^{-1}(x, t)\psi^{(0)}(x, t, k)
\]

satisfies the following pair of ODEs with constant coefficients:

\[
\Phi_x = i\lambda \Phi, \quad \Phi_t = -iT \Phi.
\]

Here \(\lambda\) and \(T\) are \(N \times N\) constant matrices \((N = N^{(+)} + N^{(-)})\) with the following block form:

\[
\lambda(k) = \begin{pmatrix} k & -iS^{(+)}A^{(-)}S^{(-)} \\ -iA^{(-)}A^{(+)}S^{(-)} & -k - K \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
T(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -iS^{(+)}A^{(-)}S^{(-)}C \\ -iC A^{(-)}A^{(+)} & -2kC + B - \Omega \end{pmatrix},
\]

where all the quantities \(A^{(\pm)}, B, K, \Omega\) are defined by expressions (28)–(31) of the naked solution \(U^{(0)}, W^{(0)}\). Since the matrices \(\lambda\) and \(T\) are constant and commute with each other, \([\lambda, T] = 0\), a fundamental solution of (57) is

\[
\Phi(x, t, k) = \exp[i(x\lambda - tT)],
\]

and therefore the expression of \(\psi^{(0)}(x, t, k)\) reads

\[
\psi^{(0)}(x, t, k) = G(x, t)\exp[i(x\lambda - tT)].
\]

In order to compute the one-soliton solution \(U, W\) by applying the DDT (13), the essential step is finding the explicit expression of the vectors \(z^{(+)}\) and \(z^{(-)}\). This amounts to choosing the constant vectors \(z_0^{(+)}\) and \(z_0^{(-)}\), as in (18), in such a way that the resulting one-soliton solution be in the class \(D\). To this end we have to find the eigenvalues of the matrices \(\lambda\) and \(T\) as well as their common eigenvectors. Let \(\phi\) be an eigenvector of \(\lambda\) and \(T\) and let \(\chi\) and \(\nu\) be the corresponding eigenvalues, namely

\[
\lambda \phi = \chi \phi, \quad T \phi = \nu \phi.
\]

From the block expression (58) of the matrix \(\lambda\), the eigenvalue equation \(\lambda \phi = \chi \phi\) may be rewritten as

\[
\begin{cases}
(k - \chi)\phi^{(+)} = i(A^{(-)}S^{(-)}\phi^{(-)}), \\
(k + \chi + K)\phi^{(-)} = -i(A^{(+)}\phi^{(+)}),
\end{cases}
\]

(63)
where $\phi^{(\pm)}$ is the $N^{(\pm)}$-dimensional vector defined by our block notation

$$
\phi = \begin{pmatrix}
\phi^{(+)}
\phi^{(-)}
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

(64)

Both the eigenvector $\phi = \phi(k)$, and therefore its blocks $\phi^{(+)}(k)$ and $\phi^{(-)}(k)$, and the eigenvalues $\chi = \chi(k)$ and $\nu = \nu(k)$ depend on the complex spectral variable $k$. Several consequences of these equations easily follow and are reported here below.

**Proposition 1.** If $N^{(+)} > 1$, then there exist $N^{(+)} - 1$ linearly independent eigenvectors such that $\phi^{(+)}$ is orthogonal to the vector $A^{(+)}$, i.e. $A^{(+)}\phi^{(+)} = 0$, and $\phi^{(-)} = 0$, while their corresponding eigenvalues, see (62), are $\chi = k$ and $\nu = 0$ with multiplicity $N^{(+)} - 1$.

Because of this result, the task is that of finding the remaining $N^{(-)} + 1$ eigenvectors and eigenvalues.

**Proposition 2.** If $\phi^{(+)}$ is not orthogonal to $A^{(+)}$, $(A^{(+)}\phi^{(+)} \neq 0$, then the eigenvector takes the expression

$$
\phi = \begin{pmatrix}
\phi^{(+)}
\phi^{(-)}
\end{pmatrix} = \left( A^{(+)} , S^{(+)} A^{(+)} (k + \chi + K)^{-1} A^{(-)} \right).
$$

(65)

Of course this expression is not explicit since it contains the unknown eigenvalue $\chi$. In its turn, this corresponding eigenvalue $\chi$ is one of the $N^{(-)} + 1$ solutions of the equation

$$
\chi = k - (A^{(+)} , S^{(+)} A^{(+)} ) \sum_{j=i}^{N^{(-)}} S^{(-)}_{ij} \frac{|A_{ij}^{(-)}|^2}{\chi + k + k_j}
$$

(66)

and the corresponding eigenvalue $\nu$, see (62), is explicitly given as function of $\chi$ by the expression

$$
\nu = -(A^{(+)} , S^{(+)} A^{(+)} ) \sum_{j=i}^{N^{(-)}} S^{(-)}_{ij} \frac{|A_{ij}^{(-)}|^2}{\chi + k + k_j}.
$$

(67)

For the sake of simplicity, from now on we assume that the $N^{(-)} + 1$ eigenvalues $\chi$ which solve the equation (66) and the corresponding $N^{(-)} + 1$ eigenvalues $\nu$ given by (67), are all simple. Moreover, we consider below only the case in which the spectral variable $k$ is real, $k = k^*$.

**Proposition 3.** If $k = k^*$ and the eigenvalue $\chi$ is real, $\chi = \chi^*$, then also the eigenvalue $\nu$, corresponding to the same eigenvector, is real, $\nu = \nu^*$.

**Proposition 4.** If $k = k^*$ and $\chi$ and $\nu$ are eigenvalues corresponding to the same eigenvector, then also their complex conjugate $\chi^*$ and $\nu^*$ are eigenvalues.

This last result suggests the following notation: $N_c \geq 0$ is the number of eigenvalues $\chi = \chi_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, N_c$, which lie in the upper half-plane, $\text{Im} \chi_j > 0$. We then conclude that the $N^{(+)} + N^{(-)}$ eigenvalues of the matrix $\Lambda(T)$ are divided as follows: $N^{(+)} - 1$ eigenvalues are explicitly given by proposition 1, $2N_c$ eigenvalues come in complex conjugate pairs and the remaining $N^{(-)} + 1 - 2N_c$ eigenvalues are real. In this respect, and for future reference, we observe that, because of the Hermitiinity relation

$$
\Lambda^\dagger = \Sigma A \Sigma^\dagger, \quad T^\dagger = \Sigma T \Sigma,
$$

(68)

where

$$
\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix}
S^{(+)} & 0 \\
0 & -S^{(-)}
\end{pmatrix},
$$

(69)
if $\Sigma = \pm 1$ it follows $N_c = 0$. Moreover, because of the properties (68), the following orthogonality conditions hold true.

**Proposition 5.** If $k = k^*$, and if $\phi_j$ ($\hat{\phi}_j$), $j = 1, \ldots, N_c$, denotes the eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalues $\chi_j$ and $v_j$ ($\chi_j^*$ and $v_j^*$), then

$$
(\phi_j, \Sigma \phi_n) = 0, \quad (\hat{\phi}_j, \Sigma \hat{\phi}_n) = 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, N_c, \quad n = 1, \ldots, N_c
$$

and

$$
(\hat{\phi}_j, \Sigma \phi_n) = 0, \quad j \neq n.
$$

The only non-vanishing scalar products are therefore $(\hat{\phi}_j, \Sigma \phi_j)$, $j = 1, \ldots, N_c$.

For future reference, we also observe the following.

**Proposition 6.** If $k = k^*$ and $|k|$ is sufficiently large, then $N_c = 0$ and the $N(-1) + 1$ eigenvalues $\chi(k) = \chi^*(k)$ are all real and have the following asymptotic value (see (66)): for one of them $\chi(k) = k + O(1/k)$, while for the others $N(-1)$ eigenvalues $\chi(k) = -k - k_j + O(1/k)$.

By decreasing the value of $k$ two real eigenvalues may collide with each other and create a pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues. These collisions of eigenvalues give rise to a complicated gap structure of the spectrum of the differential operator $L(U) = -i \sigma \partial_x + i \sigma Q$. Therefore, for a given real value of $k$, the generic vector solution of the Lax pair of equations (1) is a superposition of bounded oscillating solutions corresponding to real eigenvalues $\chi(k)$ and $\nu(k)$, and of exponentially unbounded solutions corresponding to eigenvalues $\chi(k)$ and $\nu(k)$ which are instead complex. In order to construct a soliton solution in the class $D$, only a linear combination of these last unbounded solutions can enter the DDT formulae of section 2.

**Proposition 7.** If the pole $\alpha$ is real, $\alpha^* = \alpha$, then the vectors $z^{(+)}$ and $z^{(-)}$ are given by the general equation (18) with (61), namely

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
z^{(+)}(x, t) \\
z^{(-)}(x, t)
\end{pmatrix} = G(x, t) \exp[i(xX - tT)] \begin{pmatrix} z_0^{(+)} \\ z_0^{(-)} \end{pmatrix},
$$

where $X = X(\alpha)$ and $T = T(\alpha)$, see (58) and (59). The constant vectors $z_0^{(+)}$ and $z_0^{(-)}$ are expressed by the linear superposition

$$
\begin{pmatrix} z_0^{(+)} \\ z_0^{(-)} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_c} (\gamma_j \phi_j + \hat{\gamma}_j \hat{\phi}_j),
$$

of the eigenvectors of $X(\alpha)$ and $T(\alpha)$ corresponding to complex eigenvalues. Here $\gamma_j$ and $\hat{\gamma}_j$ are complex coefficients which are constrained by equation (19), together with proposition 5, to satisfy the condition

$$
(z_0^{(+)} , S^{(+)} z_0^{(+)} ) - (z_0^{(-)} , S^{(-)} z_0^{(-)} ) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_c} [\gamma_j \hat{\gamma}_j (\phi_j, \Sigma \hat{\phi}_j) + \text{c.c.}] = 0.
$$

As a consequence of this proposition, the vectors $z^{(+)}(x, t)$ and $z^{(-)}(x, t)$ take the following expression:

$$
\begin{pmatrix} z^{(+)}(x, t) \\ z^{(-)}(x, t) \end{pmatrix} = G(x, t) \sum_{j=1}^{N_c} (\gamma_j e^{i\beta_j} \phi_j + \hat{\gamma}_j e^{i\beta_j} \hat{\phi}_j),
$$

where we have introduced the complex phases

$$
\beta_j = \chi_j x - v_j t, \quad j = 1, \ldots, N_c.
$$
Moreover, by taking into account the explicit block expression (65), we finally obtain the expression of the vectors $z^{(+)}$,

$$z^{(+)} = i \sum_{j=1}^{N} (Y_j e^{i\theta_j} + \hat{Y}_j e^{i\theta_j}) S^{(+)} A^{(+)}$$

(77)

and $z^{(-)}$:

$$z^{(-)} = (A^{(+)}, S^{(+)} A^{(+)} e^{i(K x - \Omega t)} \sum_{j=1}^{N} [Y_j e^{i\theta_j} (\alpha + \chi_j + K)^{-1} + \hat{Y}_j e^{i\theta_j} (\alpha + \chi_j^* + K)^{-1}] A^{(-)}$$

(78)

which enter the DDT formulae (13). From these formulae it is also clear that it remains to find the denominator function $E(x,t)$ to complete the construction of the soliton solution. Since $E_x = (1/2)g$, see (15), and $g = 2(z^{(+)}, S^{(+)} z^{(+)})$ because of definition (16) and of the condition $(z^{(+)}, S^{(+)} z^{(+)}) = (z^{(-)}, S^{(-)} z^{(-)}) = 0$, one has to first compute the function $g(x,t)$ whose expression reads

$$g(x,t) = 2(A^{(+)}, S^{(+)} A^{(+)} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (Y_j \gamma_n e^{i(\beta_j - \beta_n)} + \hat{Y}_j \hat{\gamma}_n e^{i(\beta_j - \beta_n)}) 
+ \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{j \neq n} (Y_j \hat{\gamma}_n e^{i(\beta_j - \beta_n)} + \hat{Y}_j \gamma_n e^{i(\beta_j - \beta_n)}) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} (\gamma_j \hat{\gamma}_j + \text{c.c.}) \right].$$

(79)

Note that the rhs of this expression is the sum of exponentials and a term, the last one, which does not depend on $x$ and $t$. The function $m(x,t)$, see (16), is similarly expressed as a sum of exponentials and a constant term. However, because of the relations (see (20)) $E_x = g/2$, $E_t = m$, only the constant term in the expression of $m(x,t)$ needs to be computed to obtain the explicit expression of $E(x,t)$ which finally reads

$$E(x,t) = E_0 - i(A^{(+)}, S^{(+)} A^{(+)} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left( \frac{Y_j \gamma_n}{\chi_j - \chi_n} e^{i(\beta_j - \beta_n)} + \frac{\hat{Y}_j \hat{\gamma}_n}{\chi_j^* - \chi_n} e^{i(\beta_j^* - \beta_n)} \right) 
+ \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{j \neq n} \left( \frac{Y_j \hat{\gamma}_n}{\chi_j - \chi_n} e^{i(\beta_j - \beta_n)} - \text{c.c.} \right) + \frac{1}{2} (A^{(+)}, S^{(+)} A^{(+)} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (\gamma_j \hat{\gamma}_j + \text{c.c.})$$

$$- t(A^{(+)}, S^{(+)} A^{(+)})^2 \sum_{j=1}^{N} (\gamma_j \hat{\gamma}_j (A^{(-)}, S^{(-)} C(\alpha + \chi_j + K)^{-2} A^{(-)}) + \text{c.c.}).$$

(80)

This expression of $E(x,t)$ greatly simplifies by requiring that it should not vanish for any $x$ at any fixed time $t$. In fact, if we order the eigenvalues $\chi_j = q_j + i p_j$ according to their imaginary part $p_j$ as $0 < p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_{N_c}$, the denominator function $E(x,t)$ exponentially diverges at both $x = \pm \infty$ with the leading term $-\frac{1}{2p_{N_c}} (A^{(+)}, S^{(+) A^{(+)}}) \gamma_{N_c} |^2 \exp(-2p_{N_c} x)$ as $x \to -\infty$ and $-\frac{1}{2p_{N_c}} (A^{(+)}, S^{(+) A^{(+)}}) \gamma_{N_c} |^2 \exp(2p_{N_c} x)$ as $x \to +\infty$. Since these two terms have opposite sign, one has to impose that either $\gamma_{N_c} = 0$ or that $\hat{\gamma}_{N_c} = 0$. Iterating this argument eventually leads one to conclude that a necessary condition that the denominator $E(x,t)$ never vanishes (equivalent to saying that the soliton solution is not singular) is that either all coefficients $\gamma_j$ or all coefficients $\hat{\gamma}_j$ must vanish. Note that this constraint also implies that condition (74) is automatically satisfied. We also observe that these two choices, namely $\hat{\gamma}_j = 0$ and $\gamma_j = 0$
formally obtain one from the other by changing the sign of all complex eigenvalues \( \chi_j \) and \( \nu_j \), and therefore we consider only the first choice \( \tilde{p}_j = 0 \). Thus we have the following.

**Proposition 8.** The step–by–step method of dressing a plane wave solution to obtain a novel solution amounts to inserting the expressions (see (77, 78, 80))

\[
\begin{align*}
\zeta^{(+)} &= i \sum_{j=1}^{N_c} \gamma_j \exp(i\beta_j) S^{(+)} A^{(+)} , \\
\zeta^{(-)} &= (A^{(+)}, S^{(+)}) A^{(-)} \sum_{j=1}^{N_c} \gamma_j \exp(i\beta_j)(\alpha + \chi_j + K)^{-1} A^{(-)} , \\
\mathcal{E}(x,t) &= \mathcal{E}_0 - i (A^{(+)}, S^{(+)}) A^{(-)} \sum_{j=1}^{N_c} \sum_{n=1}^{N_c} \gamma_j \nu_n^{*} \exp(i\beta_j - \nu_n^{*})
\end{align*}
\]

in the general formulae (13) with

\[
\begin{align*}
U^{(0)}(x,t) &= \exp[i(Kx - \Omega t)] A^{(-)} A^{(+)} , \\
W^{(0)}(x,t) &= 0, \quad W^{(-)}(x,t) = -i \exp[i(Kx - \Omega t)] B \exp[-i(Kx - \Omega t)] ,
\end{align*}
\]

and the constant matrix \( B = B^{(-)} \) as given by (33).

We close this section by showing that this novel solution we have constructed is, if locally bounded, in the class \( \mathcal{D} \). To this end, we compute the asymptotic behaviour of the matrices \( U(x,t) \) and \( W^{(-)}(x,t) \) for fixed \( t \) and for \( x \to \pm \infty \). This computation is straight and it yields the following expressions:

\[
\begin{align*}
U(x,t) &\to \exp[i(Kx - \Omega t)] A_{\pm}^{(-)} A^{(+)} , \quad x \to \pm \infty , \\
W^{(-)}(x,t) &\to -i \exp[i(Kx - \Omega t)] B_{\pm} \exp[-i(Kx - \Omega t)] , \quad x \to \pm \infty ,
\end{align*}
\]

where the asymptotic constant vectors \( A_{\pm}^{(-)} \) and matrices \( B_{\pm} \) are given by

\[
A^{(-)} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{-}^{(-)} \\ A_{+}^{(-)} \end{bmatrix} , \quad B^{(-)} = \begin{bmatrix} B_{-} \\ B_{+} \end{bmatrix} = \Gamma B \Gamma^{\dagger} ,
\]

where \( \Gamma = (\alpha + \chi_q + K)(\alpha + \chi_q + K)^{-1} = \text{diag}(e^{\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{\theta_{N_c}}) \),

\[
\begin{align*}
\tan(\theta_j) = -\frac{\rho_{N_j}}{\alpha + q_{N_j} + k_j} , \quad j = 1, \ldots, N_c.
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore, as displayed by our notation, the \( U \) and \( W \) parts of the solution are asymptotically plane waves of the expected form \( \exp[i(Kx - \Omega t)] A_{\pm}^{(-)} A^{(+)} \) and \( -i \exp[i(Kx - \Omega t)] B_{\pm} \exp[-i(Kx - \Omega t)] \), respectively, as \( x \to \pm \infty \) where the asymptotic constant vectors \( A_{\pm}^{(-)} \) and \( A^{(-)} \) and matrices \( B_{-} \) and \( B_{+} \) are related to each other by the unitary transformation (85), namely \( A_{\pm}^{(-)} = \Gamma A_{\pm}^{(-)} \), and similarly, \( B_{-} = \Gamma B \Gamma^{\dagger} \) where \( \Gamma \Gamma^{\dagger} = 1 \). In the following section we apply the DDT one-soliton solution construction to the simplest case \( N^{(+)} = 1, N^{(-)} = 2 \), in both classes \( \mathcal{B} \) and \( \mathcal{D} \). The choice \( N^{(+)} = 1 \), \( N^{(-)} = 2 \) leads to the three-wave resonant interaction model.
4. The 3WRI model

The simplest and yet most celebrated system of partial differential equations which serves as a particular example of the results presented in the previous sections is the one describing the resonant interaction of three waves in a medium with quadratic nonlinearity. In our notation of section 2, this system is obtained by choosing, for instance, $N^{(+)} = 1$, $N^{(-)} = 2$, $C^{(+) = 0}$, $C^{(-)} = C = C^* = \text{diag}[c_1, c_2]$, $c_1 \neq c_2$, $S^{(+) = 1}$, $S^{(-)} = S = \text{diag}[s_1, s_2]$, and by requiring that the solution be of the form

$$U(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} u_1(x, t) \\ u_2(x, t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad W^{(+)}(x, t) = 0, \quad W^{(-)}(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ s_1 w(x, t) - s_2 w^*(x, t) \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(87)

In this case the general matrix PDEs (7) reduce to the following system of three coupled first-order equations (3WRI equations):

$$u_{1t} + c_1 u_{1x} = -s_2 w^* u_2, \quad u_{2t} + c_2 u_{2x} = s_1 w u_1, \quad w_x = (c_2 - c_1) u_1^* u_2,$$

(88)

whose integrability was first proved in [16]. It should be pointed out that this system, being first order, is covariant with respect to arbitrary linear transformations of the coordinate plane $(x, t)$ in itself. This implies that one can give it the form, and attach to the independent variables $x, t$ the physical meaning, which is appropriate to the particular application of interest. Therefore, we discuss the system (88) with the understanding that all formulae we display here can be easily translated into corresponding results for any other form of the 3WRI equations which is covariantly related to it.

Let us consider first the one-soliton solution in the class $B$. This solution is constructed by mere application of the DDT formulae corresponding to a complex pole $\alpha = a + ib$, namely (48), (49) and (50) which in this case read

$$U(x, t) = -\frac{4b z_0^{(+)}(t)}{\Delta} \exp(-2iax) \Pi(t) z_0^{(-)}(t),$$

(89)

$$w(x, t) = f - \frac{4b(c_2 - c_1)}{\Delta} \exp(-2bx) \left[ \Pi(t) z_0^{(-)}(t) \Pi^\dagger(t) \right]_{21},$$

(90)

where

$$\Delta(x, t) = \exp(2bx) |z_0^{(+)}|^2 - \exp(-2bx) (z_0^{(-)}(t), \Pi^\dagger(t) S \Pi(t) z_0^{(-)}(t)).$$

(91)

The constant complex parameters which characterize this solution are: $z_0^{(+)}$, the two-dimensional vector $z_0^{(-)}$ and $f$ which comes from the naked solution (see (49))

$$F^{(-)} := F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ s_1 f \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(92)

Moreover the $2 \times 2$ time-dependent matrix $\Pi(t)$ is (see (47))

$$\Pi(t) = \exp[(2iaC + F)t]$$

(93)

and the notation $[M]_{21}$ on the right-hand side of (90) indicates the matrix element $M_{21}$ of the matrix $M$. From expression (91) of the denominator function $\Delta(x, t)$ it follows that this soliton solution is singular, due to the zeros of this denominator, if the signs $s_1$ and $s_2$ are $s_1 = s_2 = 1$, $s_1 = -s_2 = 1$ and $s_1 = -s_2 = -1$ and it is instead regular, i.e. bounded in the entire $(x, t)$ plane, only if $s_1 = s_2 = -1$. In the particular case in which the parameter $f$ vanishes, $f = 0$, this solution has been first introduced in [16] and fully investigated in [17–20]. It describes the interaction of three bright-type pulses and its experimental
observation in optics has been recently reported [21]. The case corresponding to \( f \neq 0 \) was discovered and investigated later in [11] where the soliton behaviour was shown to be of the boomeronic type [22]. These soliton solutions describe the interaction of two bright pulses \( u_1(x, t) \) and \( u_2(x, t) \) and a third pulse, \( w(x, t) \), which instead is of dark type as it does not vanish when \( x \to \pm \infty \). Corresponding to various choices of the linear group velocities \( c_1, c_2 \), this solution features a rich phenomenology which has been recently shown to be of applicative interest in optical processes in quadratically nonlinear media [5–8]. The properties of this soliton solution do not need to be reported here, since they have been detailed in the literature quoted above.

Let us turn our attention to the soliton solution in the class \( D \). We consider only those solutions which are obtained by applying the DDT technique with a real pole \( \alpha = \alpha^* \), see (12), and this amounts to dressing a plane wave solution. Thus, we apply to the 3WRI system (88) the general formulæ displayed in subsection 3.2 as follows. By setting \( A^{(+)} = 1 \) and \( A^{(-)} = A \), this being a two-dimensional constant complex vector, in (82a), (82b) and (33), the naked plane wave solution takes the expression

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi^{(0)}_j &= e^{i[k_j(x-\omega_j t)]} A_j, \\
\psi^{(0)} &= -i \left( \frac{c_2 - c_1}{k_2 - k_1} \right) A_2 A_1^* e^{i[(k_2 - k_1) x - (\omega_2 - \omega_1) t]},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) are arbitrary real wave numbers and the corresponding frequencies \( \omega_1, \omega_2 \) are

\[
\omega_1 = c_1 k_1 + s_2 |A_2|^2 \left( \frac{c_2 - c_1}{k_2 - k_1} \right), \\
\omega_2 = c_2 k_2 + s_1 |A_1|^2 \left( \frac{c_2 - c_1}{k_2 - k_1} \right).
\]

The continuous spectrum of the spectral problem \( \psi^{(0)}_i = X^{(0)} \psi^{(0)}_i \), see (10), which corresponds to this plane wave may either coincide with the entire real \( k \)-axis, or may be the real axis with one or two gaps (see below). A gap \( \mathcal{I}_g \) is \( k : k_+ \leq k \leq k_- \) of the continuous spectrum is defined as an interval of the real axis such that, for \( k \in \mathcal{I}_g \), the \( 3 \times 3 \) matrix \( X(k) \), see (58),

\[
X(k) = \\
\begin{pmatrix}
\alpha & -is_1 A_1^* & -is_2 A_2^* \\
-iA_1 & -k - k_1 & 0 \\
-iA_2 & 0 & -k - k_2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

has one real eigenvalue and two complex (conjugate) eigenvalues, in contrast with the continuous spectrum where the three eigenvalues are all real. The very existence of a gap depends on the given data \( k_1, k_2, A_1, A_2, s_1, s_2 \). For instance if \( s_1 = s_2 = -1 \), the matrix (96) is Hermitian and therefore no gap may occur. In the present case the eigenvalues, which solve the equation (66), are the roots of the third degree polynomial

\[
\mathcal{P}(\chi) = (\chi - k)^3 + d_2 (\chi - k)^2 + d_1 (\chi - k) + d_0,
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
d_2(k) &= 4k + k_1 + k_2, \\
d_1(k) &= 4k^2 + 2k(k_1 + k_2) + k_1 k_2 + s_1 |A_1|^2 + s_2 |A_2|^2, \\
d_0(k) &= 2k(s_1 |A_1|^2 + s_2 |A_2|^2) + s_1 k_2 |A_1|^2 + s_2 k_1 |A_2|^2
\end{align*}
\]

and the eigenvalues may be explicitly given by Cardano formulæ. However we skip such details and we limit our present discussion to the observation that the spectrum can have at most two gaps. This result follows from the expression DIS\((k)\) of the discriminant of the equation \( \mathcal{P}(\chi) = 0 \), which comes to be a fourth degree polynomial of the spectral variable \( k \), namely

\[
\text{DIS}(k) = -\frac{4}{27} (k_1 - k_2)^2 k^4 + D_3 k^3 + D_2 k^2 + D_1 k + D_0,
\]
where the real coefficients $D_k$ depend only on the given data $k_1$, $k_2$, $A_1$, $A_2$, $s_1$, $s_2$. Indeed, since the three eigenvalues, namely the roots of $P(\chi)$, are all real if the discriminant is negative, $DIS(k) < 0$, while two of them are complex conjugate if the discriminant is positive, $DIS(k) > 0$, the three eigenvalues are certainly all real for large enough $|k|$ because the coefficient of the highest power of $DIS(k)$ is negative (see also proposition 6). Therefore, if the four roots of $DIS(k)$ are all complex (i.e. with a non-vanishing imaginary part) then there are no gaps in the spectrum. If instead only two roots are real, $DIS(k_-) = DIS(k_+) = 0$, $k_- < k_+$, the spectrum has one gap $k_- < k < k_+$, with these two roots $k_\pm$ being the endpoints of the gap interval. Finally, if all roots of $DIS(k)$ are real, $DIS(k_1) = DIS(k_2) = DIS(k_3) = DIS(k_4) = 0$, $k_1 < k_2 < k_3 < k_4$, the spectrum has two gaps, $k_1 < k < k_2$ and $k_3 < k < k_4$ (see figure 1).

In order to proceed further with our construction of the soliton solution, we assume the given data $k_1$, $k_2$, $A_1$, $A_2$, $s_1$, $s_2$ such that the continuous spectrum has at least one gap $I_0$ and that the real pole $\alpha$ of the DDT lies inside this gap, $\alpha \in I_0$.

We specialize further expressions (81) to the present case, namely

$$z^{(+)} = i\gamma e^{i\theta}, \quad z^{(-)} = \frac{\gamma e^{i(\beta k_1 x - \nu t)}}{\alpha + k_j + q + ip} A_j, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

$$E_0 = \frac{|\gamma|^2 e^{-2im\beta}}{2p},$$

(100)

with the following specifications: $\chi = q + ip$ is the complex eigenvalue of the matrix $X(\alpha)$, see (96), which lies in the upper half of the complex plane (i.e. $p > 0$), $\beta = \chi x - \nu t$ and $\nu$ is the corresponding $T(\alpha)$ eigenvalue, see (62), given by (67), namely

$$\nu = -\frac{s_1 \epsilon_1}{\alpha + q + k_1 + ip} |A_1|^2 - \frac{s_2 \epsilon_2}{\alpha + q + k_2 + ip} |A_2|^2.$$

(101)

Inserting these expressions in the general DDT formula (13), and using the notation $E_0 = -|\gamma|^2 \exp(-2z_0)/(2p)$ and the new variable,

$$\xi = \text{Im} \beta - \delta_0 = p(x - \nu t) - \xi_0,$$

(102)

finally yields the one-soliton expression

$$u_j = A_j e^{i(k_j x - \nu_j t)} \left( \frac{e^\xi + e^{2i\theta_j} e^{-\xi}}{e^\xi + e^{-\xi}} \right), \quad j = 1, 2,$$

(103a)
This solution is a dark simulton as it describes three pulses travelling on a non-vanishing asymptotic plateau (|\alpha| \approx |A_1|, ||u| \approx |(c_2 - c_1)/(k_2 - k_1)|A_1||A_2|) and locked together to travel at the same velocity \( V \), see (102). This common velocity is obtained via the definition of the variable \( \xi \), which implies \( \text{Im} \ v = \rho \text{Im} \ V \), and it takes the expression (see (101))

\[
V = \frac{s_1c_1|A_1|^2}{(\alpha + q + k_1)^2 + p^2} + \frac{s_2c_2|A_2|^2}{(\alpha + q + k_2)^2 + p^2}.
\]

This expression is not explicit since it gives \( V \) in terms of the eigenvalue \( \chi = q + ip \); we note however that it implies the following:

**Proposition 9.** If we assume, for definiteness, the ordering \( c_1 < c_2 \), then, according to the signs \( s_1 \) and \( s_2 \), we find that

\[
c_1 < V_- \leq V \leq V_+ < c_2, \quad \text{if} \quad s_1 = s_2 = 1, \tag{105}
\]

\[
V = c_1 - (c_2 - c_1)\frac{|A_2|^2}{(\alpha + q + k_1)^2 + p^2}, \quad -\infty < V_- \leq V \leq V_+ < c_1, \quad \text{if} \quad s_1 = 1, \quad s_2 = -1, \tag{106}
\]

\[
V = c_2 + (c_2 - c_1)\frac{|A_2|^2}{(\alpha + q + k_2)^2 + p^2}, \quad c_2 < V_- \leq V \leq V_+ < +\infty, \quad \text{if} \quad s_1 = -1, \quad s_2 = 1. \tag{107}
\]

The results of proposition 9 are a straight consequence of the relation

\[
1 = \frac{s_1|A_1|^2}{(\alpha + q + k_1)^2 + p^2} + \frac{s_2|A_2|^2}{(\alpha + q + k_2)^2 + p^2}, \tag{108}
\]

which follows from the imaginary part of the equation

\[
q + ip = \alpha - \frac{s_1}{\alpha + q + k_1 + ip}|A_1|^2 - \frac{s_2}{\alpha + q + k_2 + ip}|A_2|^2, \tag{109}
\]

this being just the present case form of (66). We also note that relation (108) shows again that this soliton solution does not exist if \( s_1 = s_2 = -1 \).

Figure 2 shows examples in which only one gap is present. If two gaps \( I_{k_1}^{(1)} \) and \( I_{k_2}^{(2)} \) exist, where \( I_{k_i}^{(i)} \) is characterized by \( k_i^{(i)} \leq k \leq k_i^{(i)}, i = 1, 2 \), the results are similar, e.g. in the case of \( s_1 = s_2 = 1 \) the velocity of the simulton \( V \) lies in \( c_1 < V_- \leq V \leq V_+ < c_2 \) when \( \alpha \) varies in the gaps; however, the graph is different in the two gaps (see figure 3).

Finally, it should be pointed out that this solution, as explicitly shown by (103), describes the nonlinear interaction of three plane waves whose amplitudes asymptotically, namely at \( t = \pm \infty \), acquire a phase-shift. The expression of these phase-shifts is explicitly given by the general formula (86) which now reads

\[
\tan(\theta_j) = -\frac{p}{\alpha + q + k_j}, \quad j = 1, 2. \tag{110}
\]

As an instance, the dependence of the phase-shifts \( \theta_1 \) and \( \theta_2 \) on the parameter \( \alpha \) inside the gap, \( k_- < \alpha < k_+ \), is plotted in figure 4.

Similarly, if there exist two gaps \( I_{k_i}^{(1)} \) and \( I_{k_i}^{(2)} \) we observe that the behaviour of the phases \( \theta_1 \) and \( \theta_2 \) as functions of \( \alpha \) is different in the two gaps. An example of such dependence is displayed in figure 5.
Figure 2. $k_1 = -k_2 = 1$, $A_1 = A_2 = 2$, $c_1 = 1.5$, $c_2 = 1.6$. On the left: $s_1 = s_2 = 1$ and $c_1 < V_- \leq V \leq V_+ < c_2$. On the right: $s_1 = -s_2 = 1$ and $-\infty < V_- \leq V \leq V_+ < c_1$.

Figure 3. $k_1 = 7$, $k_2 = 2$, $A_1 = 1$, $A_2 = 1/2$, $c_1 = 1.5$, $c_2 = 1.6$; $s_1 = s_2 = 1$. On the left: $k_1^{(1)} = -4.5$, $k_2^{(1)} = -2.6$. On the right: $k_1^{(2)} = -1.5$, $k_2^{(2)} = -0.5$. In both gaps $c_1 < V_- \leq V \leq V_+ < c_2$.

Figure 4. $k_1 = -k_2 = 1$, $A_1 = A_2 = 2$. On the left: $s_1 = s_2 = 1$. On the right: $s_1 = -s_2 = 1$. Here $c_1 = 1.5$, $c_2 = 1.6$.
5. Conclusions and remarks

The Zakharov–Shabat spectral problem, which leads to an important class of integrable partial differential equations, for instance the nonlinear Schrödinger, sine-Gordon and modified Korteweg–de Vries equations, was generalized to deal with matrix and vector-dependent variables a long time ago. This matrix generalization has been recently revisited in [10] and [11] and further generalized in order to derive integrable matrix partial differential equations with matrix, rather than scalar, coefficients. This generalization generates new integrable systems whose soliton dynamics may have peculiar properties which are known as boomeronic and are different from those of standard solitons. In a previous paper [1], we adapted the standard Darboux-dressing method to construct soliton solutions for a class of boomeronic-type equations. In this paper, we have carried out this construction and obtained explicit expressions by dressing both the vacuum (i.e. vanishing) solution and the generic plane wave solution. We have specialized our formulae to the particularly interesting case of the resonant interaction of three waves, a well-known model which is of boomeronic type. For this equation we have constructed a novel solution which describes three locked dark pulses. The stability of this solution, with respect to the sign of the coupling constants, is not investigated here and remains to be verified. Other potentially applicable integrable models are included in the present scheme. One of them, the double three-wave resonant interaction (D3WRI) equation, seems particularly interesting in both fluid dynamics and nonlinear optics. In our present scheme, this system of five partial differential equations takes the form

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_{1\nu} + c_1 u_{1\xi} & = -s_2 w^* u_2, \\
    u_{2\nu} + c_2 u_{2\xi} & = s_1 w u_1, \\
    v_{1\nu} + c_1 v_{1\xi} & = -s_2 w u_2, \\
    v_{2\nu} + c_2 v_{2\xi} & = s_1 w v_1, \\
    w_\xi & = (c_2 - c_1)(u_1^* u_2 + s_1 v_1 u_2),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( s_1 = \pm 1, s_2 = \pm 1, s = \pm 1 \) are just signs. While these equations are obviously integrable, they may also follow, via the multiscale (alias slowly varying amplitude) approximation, from the resonant interaction of two triads of quasi-plane waves (with a
communal mode), whose wave numbers \( k_1, k_2, q_1, q_2, k_3 \) and frequencies \( \omega_1, \omega_2, v_1, v_2, \omega_3 \) satisfy the resonance condition

\[
k_2 - k_1 = q_2 - q_1 = k_3, \quad \omega_2 - \omega_1 = v_2 - v_1 = \omega_3.
\]

Regarding the 3WRI and the D3WRI equations, the following remark is appropriate. Since they are first-order differential equations any nonsingular linear coordinate transformation of the \((x, t)\) plane in itself leaves these equations formally covariant. As a consequence, in different applications the coordinates \(x\) and \(t\) may be given different physical meaning. Since we have constructed special solutions, this meaning is irrelevant in our present context. In contrast, the meaning of these coordinates \(x\) and \(t\) becomes relevant if one addresses the initial value problem, since one has to single out the evolution variable. Indeed in our present dressing construction, the two linear equations of the Lax pair \((1)\) have been treated in the same way. Instead, the solution of the initial value problem requires that one of the two Lax equations play the role of the spectral problem which provides the spectral Fourier-type data, while the other linear equation entails the evolution of such data. In this respect we point out that the initial value problem associated to the variable \(t\) as evolution variable \((time\) in the usual physical meaning) is still unsolved. This is due to the fact that the matrix \(T(x, t, k)\), see \((2b)\), depends asymptotically, as \(x \to \pm \infty\), on the unknown matrix \(U\) through the asymptotic values of the matrices \(W^{(\pm)}\), see the system \((7)\). Finding the solution of this open problem is left to future investigation.
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