Heavy metal and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in cigarettes: An analytical assessment
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**ABSTRACT**

**INTRODUCTION**
Tobacco products contain heavy metals and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) that can be released during burning. The aim of this study was to measure the concentrations in tobacco of the heavy metals: Lead (Pb), Cadmium (Cd), Chrome (Cr), and Zinc (Zn); and the amount polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in tobacco and tobacco product emissions using Cambridge filter pads under U.S. Federal Trade Commission (FTC) standard conditions.

**METHODS**
In the present analytical study, samples of 25 tobacco brands of different origin were analyzed to determine both heavy metal concentrations utilizing flame atomic absorption spectroscopy (FAAS) and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons amounts using gas chromatography (GC).

**RESULTS**
The average concentrations of Pb, Cd, Cr and Zn were 4.56, 0.39, 3.31 and 1.36 μg/g, respectively. The amount of PAHs in cigarette emissions ranged from 474.17 ng/cigarette to 1060.87 ng/cigarette for tobacco brands labelled C13 and C2, respectively. The order in amount of the PAHs in the smoke of the study tobacco brands was: nap > flu > acpy > phn > fln > pyr > acp > ant > chr > bap > bbf (full names listed in main text).

**CONCLUSIONS**
Tobacco and tobacco product emissions contain significant concentrations of heavy metals and PAHs amounts, respectively.

**INTRODUCTION**

Tobacco contains more than 6000 toxic compounds that are released during the smoking process\(^1,2\) and they constitute a significant risk for a variety of respiratory and cardiovascular diseases and developing cancers. These compounds include heavy metals such as lead, cadmium, copper, arsenic, and nickel besides polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), like benzo[a]pyrene, that have been characterized as strong carcinogens for humans\(^3\).

Exposure to heavy metals due to smoking of a single cigarette can be trivial and likely not severely toxic, but their accumulation in the body through exposure over a long period of time (months, years, and decades) depends on clearance rates and constitutes a serious health concern\(^4,5\). Many heavy metals like Cd, Ni, Pb, and Cr can accumulate in organ tissues after smoking, especially Cd and Pb, which have long half-lives of 10 to 12 years within the human body. Cigarette smoking can be considered as the main access of exposure for Cd, and to a less extent Pb, in the general population\(^6-9\).

PAHs result from incomplete combustion of manmade sources like transportation, energy generation and industrial sectors. Previous researchers have determined 16 compounds of PAHs in mainstream cigarette smoke, 10 of which have significant environmental and health effects, like benzo[a]anthracene and naphthalene\(^10,11\).

The aim of this study was to measure the heavy metal concentrations of tobacco and the amount of PAHs using Cambridge filter pads under U.S. Federal Trade Commission (FTC) standard conditions.

**METHODS**
In this study, which was conducted in January 2019, in the Environmental Research Center, University of Technology, Baghdad, Iraq, 24 brands of imported cigarettes of seven different origins and one local brand (USA, UK, Korea, Turkey, France, Switzerland, and Iraq) were collected to determine both heavy metal concentrations utilizing flame atomic absorption spectroscopy.
absorption spectroscopy (FAAS), and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons amounts using gas chromatography (GC).

The investigated heavy metals were lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), chrome (Cr) and zinc (Zn). The contents of each pack were emptied in the laboratory by removing cigarette papers and filters. Tobacco samples were then pulverized by ceramic mortar and five grams of tobacco powder of each brand sample was added to 25 mL of concentrated HNO₃, then mixed well and put on a hotplate for 3 hours at 120 °C. The digested samples were filtered through 0.45 Millipore filter paper and made up to 10 mL using a volumetric flask with deionized water. The heavy metal concentrations were then analyzed using an atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Shimadzu AA-6800 graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrophotometer GFAAS, Japan).

The PAHs were: naphthalene (nap), acenaphthene (acpy), fluorene (flu), acenaphthene (acp), phenanthrene (phen), anthracene (ant), fluoranthene (fln), benzo[a]pyrene (bap), chrysene (chr), benzo[b,k]fluoranthene (bbf), and pyrene (pyr). Cigarette mainstream smoke was collected on Cambridge filter pads under U.S. Federal Trade Commission (FTC) standard conditions with 2- second breath duration, a 35-mL puff volume at a frequency of one puff per minute. The smoke samples were analyzed by gas chromatography (GC) together with a Thermal Energy Analyzer (TEA) (Orion Research, Beverly, MA), as described elsewhere.

**RESULTS**

**Heavy metals**

Table 1 summarizes the concentrations of the heavy metals for the study tobacco brands. Pb concentrations ranged from 1.24–9.26 μg/g with an average value of 4.56 μg/g. Brand C19 recorded the highest concentration value of Pb while C11 recorded the lowest concentration value with significant differences at p≤0.05. Concentrations of Cd ranged from not detected (ND) in C1 and C20 samples, to the highest value of 1.56 μg/g in C15, with an average value of 0.40 μg/g with significant differences at p≤0.05. The Cr concentration values ranged from ND in C5, C7 and C13 samples to the highest concentration of 6.73 μg/g in the C23, and an average value of 3.32 μg/g with significant differences at p≤0.05. Finally, concentrations of Zn ranged from 0.17–3.11 μg/g with an average 1.369 μg/g, the highest level in the C21 sample while the lowest level was in the C17 sample, with significant differences at p≤0.05. Generally, the concentrations of the heavy metals varied considerably among the brands.

**Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon**

In this study, 11 PAHs amounts were identified and are listed in Table 2. The results show that the levels (ng/cigarette) of PAHs in emissions ranged: 101–400, 35–198, 10–225, 10.9–27.02, 2.66–8.57 and 21.3–84.8 for nap, acpy, flu, acp, phen, ant, fln, bap, chr, bbf, and pyr, respectively. The nap was present in higher amounts compared to other PAHs in all tobacco samples. The order of the total amount of the PAHs in the smoke of the study tobacco brands was: nap > flu > acpy > phen > fln > pyr > acp > ant > chr > bap > bbf.

| Brands | CFW (g) | Moisture content (%) | Pb  | Cd   | Cr   | Zn   | TCHM |
|--------|--------|----------------------|-----|------|------|------|------|
| C1     | 0.66   | 11.31                | 3.12| ND   | 1.17 | 2.25 | 6.54 |
| C2     | 0.57   | 12.43                | 6.15| 0.27 | 1.27 | 0.42 | 8.11 |
| C3     | 0.61   | 12.66                | 3.34| 0.16 | 2.79 | 0.79 | 7.07 |
| C4     | 0.63   | 11.72                | 3.42| 0.31 | 1.45 | 0.78 | 5.95 |
| C5     | 0.63   | 11.92                | 4.42| 0.33 | ND   | 0.89 | 5.64 |
| C6     | 0.64   | 11.11                | 5.51| 0.47 | 3.01 | 0.47 | 9.45 |
| C7     | 0.62   | 12.13                | 4.35| 0.33 | ND   | 0.37 | 5.04 |
| C8     | 0.61   | 12.80                | 3.13| 0.17 | 1.68 | 1.07 | 6.05 |
| C9     | 0.58   | 12.56                | 5.17| 0.13 | 1.23 | 1.08 | 7.60 |
| C10    | 0.74   | 11.24                | 3.12| 0.13 | 1.96 | 2.98 | 8.19 |
| C11    | 0.63   | 11.67                | 1.24| 0.20 | 2.63 | 1.47 | 5.54 |
| C12    | 0.63   | 12.93                | 3.15| 0.43 | 1.78 | 2.11 | 7.47 |
| C13    | 0.63   | 13.43                | 2.42| 0.39 | ND   | 2.01 | 4.82 |
| C14    | 0.61   | 12.45                | 4.51| 0.39 | 5.63 | 1.56 | 12.09|
| C15    | 0.62   | 12.26                | 5.13| 1.56 | 0.92 | 0.77 | 8.38 |
| C16    | 0.61   | 12.44                | 2.93| 0.83 | 2.86 | 2.11 | 8.74 |
| C17    | 0.54   | 11.38                | 5.23| 0.83 | 3.12 | 0.17 | 9.35 |
| C18    | 0.66   | 12.38                | 3.94| 1.01 | 2.44 | 0.46 | 7.84 |
| C19    | 0.66   | 11.45                | 9.26| 0.26 | 2.71 | 1.72 | 13.95|
| C20    | 0.63   | 11.88                | 9.24| ND   | 7.23 | 2.47 | 18.94|
| C21    | 0.65   | 12.45                | 7.24| 0.13 | 2.17 | 3.11 | 12.64|
| C22    | 0.66   | 12.49                | 5.12| 0.30 | 3.13 | 2.12 | 10.66|
| C23    | 0.56   | 12.21                | 5.18| 0.57 | 6.73 | 1.01 | 13.48|
| C24    | 0.78   | 11.38                | 2.15| 0.46 | 2.23 | 1.10 | 5.93 |
| C25    | 0.63   | 11.67                | 5.634| 0.54 | 0.65 | 0.98 | 7.80 |
| Min    | 0.54   | 11.11                | 1.24| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 4.82 |
| Max    | 0.74   | 13.43                | 9.26| 1.56 | 6.73 | 3.11 | 18.94|
| Average| 0.63   | 12.09                | 4.56| 0.40 | 3.32 | 1.37 | 8.69 |
| SD     | 0.05   | 0.59                 | 0.00| 0.34 | 1.91 | 0.82 | 3.28 |

CFW: cigarette filler weight. ND: not detected. TCHM: total content of heavy metals.
DISCUSSION
PAHs and other compounds have been identified in tobacco components and in mainstream cigarette smoke in previous studies. Generally, the findings of the present study agree with those of Yershova et al. who measured sixteen PAHs compound amounts in mainstream cigarette smoke by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry. They determined the amount of three PAHs compounds: 15 ± 69 ng/cigarette for benzo[a]pyrene, 119 ± 66 ng/cigarette for phenanthrene, and 37 ± 619 ng/cigarette for pyrene. Their results are comparable to the values of the present study.

Limitations
Limitations include the small sample size of products assessed.

CONCLUSIONS
Smoking of cigarettes is a concern as it is an essential cause of inhalation of highly toxic elements, not only to the smoker but also, through passive smoking, to non-smokers. The results of this study provide an overview of four heavy metal concentrations (Pb, Cd, Cr, and Zn) measured in tobacco products. This study also provides a survey of

Table 2. The polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAHs)* amount (ng/cigarette) in 25 tobacco brands

| Brands | nap | acpy | flu | acp | phn | ant | fln | bap | chr | bbf | pyr | Total (ng/cig) |
|--------|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----------------|
| C1     | 400 | 76   | 267 | 55  | 29  | 9.1 | 45  | 10.11| 11.3 | 5.14 | 57.6 | 965.25         |
| C2     | 278 | 198  | 284 | 11.4| 77  | 44.8| 78  | 11.14| 12.9 | 6.23 | 59.4 | 1060.87        |
| C3     | 392 | 87   | 189 | 65  | 88  | 56.3| 90  | 17.15| 18.1 | 3.54 | 21.3 | 1027.39        |
| C4     | 229 | 59   | 155 | 51.1| 52  | 76.4| 54  | 22.51| 22.3 | 6.17 | 84.8 | 812.28         |
| C5     | 378 | 98   | 245 | 13.9| 61  | 34.11| 66  | 7.14 | 15.9 | 4.42 | 57.7 | 981.17         |
| C6     | 345 | 177  | 54  | 78.2| 78  | 61.1| 39  | 5.93 | 15.12| 4.60 | 62.4 | 920.35         |
| C7     | 112 | 109  | 38  | 34.2| 91  | 12.8| 56  | 12.42| 20.6 | 7.22 | 41.2 | 534.44         |
| C8     | 267 | 56   | 77  | 65.8| 123 | 14.4| 46  | 14.65| 23.9 | 5.25 | 35.5 | 728.5          |
| C9     | 324 | 79   | 65  | 34.8| 144 | 67.5| 48  | 17.75| 25.7 | 8.51 | 79.3 | 893.55         |
| C10    | 386 | 99   | 37  | 18.6| 45  | 77.6| 67  | 8.16 | 16.5 | 4.46 | 41.3 | 800.62         |
| C11    | 138 | 35   | 188 | 15.4| 67  | 82.2| 82  | 5.13 | 12.5 | 2.60 | 75.1 | 702.93         |
| C12    | 259 | 65   | 56  | 13.9| 17  | 12.6| 76  | 11.9 | 18.55| 5.42 | 26.12| 561.47         |
| C13    | 101 | 87   | 33  | 8.2 | 82  | 23.8| 39  | 13.9 | 18.26| 6.11 | 61.9 | 474.17         |
| C14    | 287 | 59   | 98  | 45.8| 41  | 29.3| 72  | 11.0 | 10.9 | 3.63 | 84.1 | 741.7          |
| C15    | 367 | 39   | 54  | 33.9| 166 | 18.9| 81  | 12.8 | 21.5 | 5.11 | 38.4 | 837.6          |
| C16    | 369 | 66   | 10  | 87  | 67  | 45.6| 90  | 17.2 | 27.02| 5.18 | 72.1 | 856.02         |
| C17    | 289 | 78   | 77  | 73.6| 89  | 5.8 | 86  | 18.0 | 20.33| 4.24 | 35.2 | 776.13         |
| C18    | 356 | 62   | 87  | 77.0| 45  | 7.7 | 83  | 11.6 | 16.56| 3.12 | 21.8 | 770.78         |
| C19    | 129 | 73   | 83  | 62.0| 76  | 9.2 | 56  | 8.31 | 17.57| 2.92 | 33.8 | 550.78         |
| C20    | 289 | 99   | 79  | 69  | 87  | 86.3| 44  | 12.79| 15.69| 5.14 | 81.3 | 868.22         |
| C21    | 187 | 76   | 39  | 71.4| 44  | 71.2| 67  | 7.9  | 15.97| 5.34 | 28.6 | 613.41         |
| C22    | 111 | 78   | 66  | 53.0| 123 | 33.9| 83  | 2.0  | 13.53| 4.23 | 75.8 | 643.46         |
| C23    | 246 | 64   | 93  | 67.0| 111 | 71.4| 45  | 12.8 | 15.03| 6.81 | 66.3 | 798.34         |
| C24    | 298 | 69   | 26  | 77.2| 123 | 18.7| 61  | 12.2 | 26.13| 7.33 | 54.9 | 773.46         |
| C25    | 267 | 98   | 59  | 67.3| 129 | 23.8| 77  | 10.0 | 15.52| 5.55 | 22.4 | 774.57         |
| Average| 272.16| 83.44| 98.36| 49.98| 82.2 | 39.78| 65.24| 11.77| 17.89| 5.12 | 52.73| 624.37         |
| Min    | 101 | 35   | 10  | 8.2 | 17  | 5.8 | 39  | 2    | 10.9 | 2.66 | 21.3 | 474.17         |
| Max    | 400 | 198  | 284 | 87  | 166 | 86.3| 90  | 22.51| 27.02| 8.57 | 84.8 | 1060.87        |
| SD     | 93.64| 35.621| 75.69| 24.52| 36.85| 26.84| 16.63| 4.50 | 4.46 | 1.42 | 20.96| 151.90         |

*PAHs: naphthalene (nap), acenaphthene (acpy), fluorene (flu), acenaphthene (acp), phenanthrene (phn), anthracene (ant), fluoranthene (fln), benzo[a]pyrene (bap), chrysene (chr), benzo[b,k] fluoranthene (bbf), and pyrene (pyr).
PAH mainstream smoke yields of popular cigarette brands consumed in Iraq. The study identifies essential variations in PAH levels in the mainstream smoke of different commercial cigarettes. We propose a more detailed study to be conducted on the many other toxic metals present in tobacco products for a comprehensive understanding of the toxicity of tobacco products.
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