ADP-ribosylation of Translation Elongation Factor 2 by Diphtheria Toxin in Yeast Inhibits Translation and Cell Separation*
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**Background:** Diphtheria toxin inhibits translation by ADP-ribosylation of elongation factor 2.

**Results:** Diphtheria toxin expression results in accumulation of cells that fail to separate following mitosis.

**Conclusion:** Diphtheria toxin expression has unique effects on translocation and the production of specific proteins.

**Significance:** Understanding how ADP-ribosylated elongation factor 2 affects translocation will increase knowledge of translation and potentially lead to new treatments for toxin exposure.

Eukaryotic translation elongation factor 2 (eEF2) facilitates the movement of the peptidyl tRNA-mRNA complex from the A site of the ribosome to the P site during protein synthesis. ADP-ribosylation (ADP\(^R\)) of eEF2 by bacterial toxins on a unique diphthamide residue inhibits its translocation activity, but the mechanism is unclear. We have employed a hormone-inducible diphtheria toxin (DT) expression system in Saccharomyces cerevisiae which allows for the rapid induction of ADP\(^R\)-eEF2 to examine the effects of DT *in vivo*. ADP\(^R\) of eEF2 resulted in a decrease in total protein synthesis consistent with a defect in translation elongation. Association of eEF2 with polyribosomes, however, was unchanged upon expression of DT. Upon prolonged exposure to DT, cells with an abnormal morphology and increased DNA content accumulated. This observation was specific to DT expression and was not observed when translation elongation was inhibited by other methods. Examination of these cells by electron microscopy indicated a defect in cell separation following mitosis. These results suggest that expression of proteins late in the cell cycle is particularly sensitive to inhibition by ADP\(^R\)-eEF2.

Translation elongation is a cycle of repeated aminoacyl-tRNA (aa-tRNA)\(^2\) binding, peptidyl bond formation, and ribosomal movement catalyzed in most systems by two soluble elongation factors (for review, see Ref. 1). Eukaryotic elongation factor 1A (eEF1A) binds and delivers aa-tRNA to the A site of the ribosome in a GTP-dependent manner. When a codon-anticodon match is detected by the ribosome, the GTPase activity of eEF1A is stimulated leading to aa-tRNA release into the A site. Following peptidyl bond formation the peptidyl-tRNA:mRNA hybrid is moved from the A site to the P site to position the next codon for decoding. This translocation event is catalyzed by another G protein, eukaryotic elongation factor 2 (eEF2). Both EFs are highly conserved and essential for protein synthesis.

Crystal structures of eEF2 revealed that it consists of five domains, where the three N-terminal domains are similar to eEF1A, aside from a unique insertion in the GTP binding domain, whereas domains IV and V form an extension (2). Interestingly, the overall structure of eEF2 shows molecular mimicry to the eEF1A-aa-tRNA complex (2). Cryo-EM structures of eEF2 bound to the ribosome demonstrated that the tip of domain IV, which constitutes the anticyodon mimic site of eEF2, is located near the ribosomal A site and plays an important role in translocation and translational fidelity (3, 4). Positioned within this region of domain IV is a unique diphthamide residue that results from the multistep enzymatic conversion of a single histidine (His-715 and His-699 in humans and yeast, respectively) (5–7). The diphthamide modification is found only on eEF2 and is unique to eukaryotes (8). The role of this residue in the normal process of translation elongation and cell growth is unclear because *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* with mutations in the diphthamide synthesis pathway are viable (6, 9, 10). However, the absence of diphthamide-modified eEF2 has been associated with altered translational fidelity in both yeast and mammals (4, 11).

Translation elongation is the target of several human bacterial pathogens. *Corynebacterium diphtheria*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Vibrio cholerae* each produce a toxin that ADP-ribosylates (ADP\(^B\)) eEF2 on the diphthamide residue in domain IV, and this modification inhibits eEF2 activity (12, 13). The presence of the diphthamide modification on eEF2 is essential for its ADP\(^R\) by bacterial toxins (4, 14, 15). In fact, attempts to isolate diphtheria toxin (DT)-resistant mutants have thus far identified only eEF2 mutants that are no longer diphthamide-modified or mutations in the genes responsible for the enzymatic conversion of histidine to diphthamide (for review, see Ref. 12). The mechanism through which ADP\(^B\) inhibits eEF2 function and results in cell death, however, remains unknown.

*This work was supported, in whole or in part, by National Institutes of Health R21 AI076245.

To whom correspondence should be addressed: Dept. of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, 675 Hoes La., Piscataway, NJ 08854. Tel.: 732-235-5450; Fax: 732-235-5223; E-mail: kinzytg@rutgers.edu.

2 The abbreviations used are: aa-tRNA, aminoacyl tRNA; ADP\(^B\), ADP-ribo-sylation; DT, diphtheria toxin; DT\(^\text{inducible} \) DT plasmid; DT\(^\text{resistant} \) eEF2, eukaryotic elongation factor 2.
Several studies have shown that ADPR-eEF2 is significantly inhibited in its ability to support in vitro translation (16, 17); however, determining which individual step(s) in the eEF2 cycle are affected has proven difficult. For example, the position of the toxin-induced modification at the tip of domain IV suggests that it may influence ribosome binding. Studies have shown that ADPR-eEF2 binds ribosomes as well as the unmodified protein (18-20), and cryo-EM studies of ADPR-eEF2 bound to ribosomes containing a P site tRNA support these results (3). However, others have shown that ADPR-eEF2 displays a specific defect in binding to pretranslocation ribosomes (21). Furthermore, early studies reported that ADPR-eEF2 showed a decrease in GTP binding (22) whereas more recent fluorescent analyses showed no difference in GTP binding by ADPR-eEF2 (18). Taken together, these biochemical experiments suggest that ADPR-eEF2 is able to bind GTP and the ribosome but is unable either to efficiently or accurately promote translocation.

In this paper, we examine the effects of ADPR-eEF2 on its function in the cellular environment. Because eEF2 is highly conserved and both yeast and mammalian cells are sensitive to intracellular DT, we utilized an inducible expression system for the catalytic fragment of DT in S. cerevisiae to study the effects of ADPR-eEF2. Strains expressing DT were unable to grow on intracellular DT, we utilized an inducible expression system for the catalytic fragment of DT in S. cerevisiae to study the effects of ADPR-eEF2. Strains expressing DT were unable to grow on ADPR-eEF2.

ADPR-eEF2 shows no significant defects in ribosome binding. Prolonged culture of yeast expressing DT led to an increase in DNA content which was shown to be consistent with a defect in cell separation. This effect was not seen with other eEF2 mutants that reduce total translation. Together, these data suggest that protein synthesis is important for the final stages of cell division and that this synthesis is particularly sensitive to inhibition by ADPR-eEF2.

### EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

**Strains and Growth Conditions—S. cerevisiae** Strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. DPH2 was disrupted in DBY12100 by homologous recombination using a PCR fragment amplified from the Open Biosystems null collection. Recombination was confirmed by PCR using primers 5’-GCTTCGTACATCCACCTT-3’ and 5’-CTGAGCCGAGGAGCCGTAAT-3’. DNA was transformed by the lithium acetate method (23). For galactose induction of DT, liquid cultures of the indicated strains were pregrown at 30 °C to exponential phase in complete medium lacking the appropriate amino acids and containing either 2% raffinose or 2% sucrose. The culture was then split, and the cells were pelleted and resuspended in medium containing either 2% glucose or 2% galactose. The induction was carried out at 30 °C for the indicated time with dilution as required. For DT induction using β-estradiol, liquid cultures of the indicated strains were grown to exponential phase in complete medium lacking uracil at 30 °C. The cultures were then split in half, and either 50 nM β-estradiol (Tocris Bioscience) or an equal amount of 100% ethanol was added. The induction was carried out at 30 °C for the indicated time with dilution as required. For cycloheximide treatment, cells were grown in the presence of 175 ng/ml cycloheximide in complete medium at 30 °C for 24 h with dilution.

### Plasmids and DNA Manipulations—Plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. The catalytic fragment of DT was cloned from pLMY101 (24) as a BamHI fragment into pTKB372 under control of the GAL1 promoter to produce pTKB1121. The SacI/XhoI fragment containing GAL1-DT induces pTKB1121. The Sacl/XhoI fragment containing GAL1-DT was cloned into pRS316 to create DTIND (pTKB1122). eEF2 Purification, Mass Spectrometry, and Native Gel Electrophoresis/Immunoblotting—eEF2-His6 was purified from the indicated strains and analyzed by mass spectrometry using established methods (4, 25). Total protein extracts were collected by glass bead lysis in buffer containing 100 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 20% glycerol (v/v), 1 mM DTT, and 1 mM PMSF. Extracts were resolved on a 4–20% Tris-glycine native gel (Novex) and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes using standard techniques. Immunoblotting was performed using a rabbit anti-eEF2 antibody raised against S. cerevisiae eEF2.

### Total Protein Synthesis and Polyribosome Profile Analysis—Incorporation of [35S]methionine into total cellular protein was measured as described (26). At 1-h intervals, the optical density (OD500) of the cultures was determined, and 1-ml aliquots were removed to monitor [35S]methionine incorporation by cold tri-

### TABLE 1

#### S. cerevisiae strains and plasmids

| Strain       | Genotype Ref.                                      | Plasmid                          | Ref. |
|--------------|----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------|
| W303         | Matα leu2-3,112, trp1-1 can1-100, ura3-1 ade2-1 his3-11,15 | pLMY101 GAL1-DT, URA3, 2µ | 41   |
| DBY12100     | Matα (PGAL10+y)+gal1A::loxP, leu2Δ, PACT7-GEV, NatMX, gal4Δ1 LEU2, ura3Δ, HphMX4, HAP1+ | pTKB1122 GAL1-DT, URA3, CEN | 41   |
| TKY1713      | Matα (PGAL10+y)+gal1A::loxP, leu2Δ, PACT7-GEV, NatMX, gal4Δ1 LEU2, ura3Δ, HphMX4, dph2Δ, KanMX, HAP1+ | pTKB372 GAL1-DT, URA3, CEN | 41   |
| TKY675       | Matα ade2 leu2 ura3 his3 leu2 trp1 eft1::HIS3 | pTKB1122 eft2-His6, LEU2, CEN | 41   |
| TKY825       | Matα ade2 leu2 ura3 his3 leu2 trp1 eft1::HIS3 | pTKB1122 eft2::TRP1, HIS6, LEU2, CEN | 41   |
| TKY1367      | Matα ade2 leu2 ura3 his3 leu2 trp1 eft1::HIS3 | pTKB1122 eft2-TRP1, HIS6, LEU2, CEN | 41   |

---

**Total Protein Synthesis and Polyribosome Profile Analysis—Incorporation of [35S]methionine into total cellular protein was measured as described (26). At 1-h intervals, the optical density (OD500) of the cultures was determined, and 1-ml aliquots were removed to monitor [35S]methionine incorporation by cold tri-**
chboroacic acid precipitation. Samples from all time points were analyzed in duplicate. Polyribosomes were harvested and analyzed as described (27). Fractions (0.9 ml) were collected using a Frac-920 (GE Healthcare), run on 8% SDS-polyacrylamide gels, and analyzed by immunoblotting with the indicated antibodies. ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health) was used to quantify the area under the 80S and polyribosome peaks.

Flow Cytometry and Light Microscopy— Cultures were grown and treated with β-estradiol as described above. A 

A 

A 

2.5% glutaraldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). 

pared 2.5% glutaraldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). 

Next, strains expressing both wild-type and DTR-eEF2 were used to study translation elongation in more detail. It became apparent during the course of these experiments that a control strain expressing two differentially tagged wild-type copies of eEF2 (eEF2-His, and eEF2-HA) was capable of growth at a reduced rate in liquid media upon DT induction (data not shown). This growth obviated the need to employ DT-eEF2 mutants to maintain growth. Therefore, to analyze the effect of ADPR-eEF2 on translation in vivo, galactose-inducible DT (pTKB1122) was expressed in the wild-type W303 yeast strain. This strain was unable to grow on galactose-containing agar plates but still proliferated in galactose-containing liquid media albeit at a much reduced rate relative to a wild-type strain (data not shown), thus permitting analysis of translation in the presence of ADPR-eEF2. Total protein synthesis was measured in glucose media as a control and in galactose media to induce DT. Together, these data suggest that ADPR-eEF2 is produced in the presence of DT-eEF2 mutants and that ADPR-eEF2 does not block the function of DT-eEF2 mutant proteins in translocation in vivo.

RESULTS

The diphthamide residue resulting from post-translational modification of a conserved histidine in eukaryotic EF2 is the known site of action of DT. Mutations in the S. cerevisiae eEF2 anticodon mimicry domain at or near this His-699 confer dominant resistance to DT expression in S. cerevisiae (4). The DT resistance (DT 

A

of wild-type eEF2 in the presence of the dominant DTR

eEF2 mutant. I698A, A, strain TKY1367 containing the Gal-inducible DT plasmid (pLMY101), eEF2-His6 (pTKB1051), and eEF2-I698A-HA (pTKB1050) was grown for 24 h in C-Ura medium with either 2% glucose or 2% galactose. Whole cell extracts were prepared, separated by SDS-PAGE, and immunoblot- ed with the indicated antibodies. B, tandem MS spectra of the ADPR

tryptic fragment 686VNILDVTLHADAIHR700 from eEF2-His6, purified from the strain described in A, y, ions are marked. Peaks that are marked with an asterisk are peaks of neutral loss of 601 Da.

FIGURE 1. ADPR 
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FIGURE 2. Growth in galactose has significant effects on translation in W303 cells. A, W303 cells containing either an empty vector or galactose-inducible DT plasmid (DTIND) were grown to log phase in C-Met-Ura with 2% sucrose at 30 °C. DT expression was induced for 2 h by growth in medium containing 2% galactose. [35S]Methionine was then added and total protein synthesis measured by trichloroacetic acid precipitation. Incorporation (counts per minute) is expressed per A_{600} unit. Each time point was performed in duplicate, and results include ± S.D. (error bars). A representative experiment is shown. Empty vector in glucose (●), empty vector in galactose (■), DTIND in glucose (▲) and DTIND in galactose (×) are indicated. B, strains were grown in C-Ura medium as in A and fixed following induction by incubation in 1% formaldehyde for 1 h on ice. Ribosome extracts were collected and centrifuged on a sucrose gradient. Representative A_{254} traces are shown. C, fractions were collected from the polyribosome gradients in ▲, and eEF2 was localized by immunoblotting.

was specifically affected by ADPR-eEF2, polyribosome analysis was performed in the presence and absence of DT. As shown in Fig. 2B (upper panels), growth of control cells in galactose-containing media had a significant effect on the polyribosome profile. Growth in galactose resulted in an increase in the amount of 80S ribosomes detected in cells containing the empty vector. An increase in 80S ribosomes was also detected in DT-expressing cells in galactose although to a lesser extent. Immunoblotting of eEF2 in fractions from the polyribosome gradients suggested that the majority of eEF2 was associated with the 80S ribosome peak in galactose grown cells (Fig. 2C). Consequently, the observed changes in total protein synthesis, polyribosome profile, and eEF2 localization in galactose media in the absence of DT suggest that the induction conditions used in these experiments may mask some of the effects of ADPR-eEF2 on translation elongation.

To avoid metabolic induced effects on translation, hormone-inducible expression of DT was employed (30). In this system, a chimeric transactivator drives rapid expression from the GAL1 promoter upon addition of β-estradiol, which has been shown to have minimal effects on the cellular transcriptional program (30). β-Estradiol treatment of this strain had no observable effects on growth rate or polyribosome profile thus demonstrating that, on its own, β-estradiol has little effect on translation (Figs. 3B and 4B, upper panels). Transformation of the GAL1-DT plasmid pTKB1122 into DBY12100 resulted in the absence of growth on agar plates containing β-estradiol and reduced growth in liquid media containing the hormone (Fig. 3, A and B). Addition of the ADP-ribose moiety to eEF2 results in the addition of two negative charges derived from the phosphate groups. It has been shown that this change in charge can be used to separate ADPR-eEF2 from unmodified eEF2 by native gel electrophoresis and immunoblotting (31). Using this method, ADPR-eEF2 could be detected as early as 15 min following the addition of β-estradiol (Fig. 3C). Whereas the majority of eEF2 was modified to the ADPR form following hormone addition, a small portion of eEF2 that appeared to remain unmodified could be detected. This observation was not unexpected given that previous mass spectrometric analysis of wild-type eEF2 has shown that ~20% of the purified protein lacks the diphthamide modification and thus would not be ADPR by DT (4, 32). In summary, β-estradiol induction of DT provides a clean and rapid system for the analysis of the effects of ADPR-eEF2 on translation without the metabolic effects on translation seen with galactose induction.

To analyze translation in this system, total protein synthesis was measured in the presence and absence of β-estradiol. ADPR of eEF2 by DT led to a 2–3-fold decrease in total pro-
protein synthesis which persisted over at least 5 h of growth (Fig. 4A). This decrease in overall protein synthesis corresponded with an approximate 2-fold increase in the polyribosome to monoribosome ratio (Fig. 4B, lower panels). These data are consistent with ADP R of eEF2 leading to an elongation defect. Addition of cycloheximide to the cultures prior to polyribosome harvest was able to further increase the accumulation of polyribosomes (data not shown), which suggests that the elongation block induced by ADP R-eEF2 is not complete. Finally, eEF2 localization within the polyribosome gradient was analyzed by Western blotting with an eEF2 polyclonal antibody (Fig. 4C). No significant change in eEF2 localization within the polyribosome gradient was observed upon expression of DT. These data suggest that ADP R of eEF2 does not significantly affect the ability of eEF2 to bind or dissociate from the ribosome in vivo.

The residual slow growth observed in DBY12100 cells expressing DT could be due to either a reduction in growth rate or an increase in cell death. To address this question, cells exposed to β-estradiol for 24 h were analyzed by phase contrast microscopy. Interestingly, an increase in abnormal cell morphology was observed in strains expressing DT compared with uninduced cells. Approximately 19% of the cells expressing DT appeared to have two buds with occasional cells having more than two buds (see Fig. 6A, lower panels). These abnormal cells were detected at low levels in uninduced strains containing the DT IN expression plasmid (∼4%) and at very low levels in β-estradiol-treated control strains containing an empty vector (∼1%). Thus, the cell morphology defect is not caused by hormone exposure. The presence of these multibudded cells suggested a defect in cell cycle progression. β-Estradiol-treated cells were also analyzed for DNA content by flow cytometry. Cells with >2 N DNA content were readily detectable by flow cytometry after induction of DT and were absent in untreated cells and β-estradiol-treated control strains (Fig. 5A and data not shown). These data suggest that ADP R of eEF2 leads to specific defects in cell cycle progression.

It is possible that perturbation in translation elongation itself may lead to similar changes in cell morphology. To address this question, DNA content was analyzed in a strain containing the eEF2 mutant, eEF2-D696A, which is known to cause significant defects in growth rate and total protein synthesis (4) and in cells treated with a known translation elongation inhibitor, cycloheximide. No change in total DNA content was observed under either condition (Fig. 5, B and C). To demonstrate that the effect of DT on cell morphology is dependent on the ADP R of eEF2, DPH2 was deleted in the DBY12100 strain background. In the absence of DPH2, eEF2 lacks diphthamide and is therefore not able to be ADP R by DT. As expected, this dph2Δ strain was resistant to DT expression (data not shown). It was also resistant to the formation of the abnormal cell morphology (data not shown) and the altered flow cytometry distribution (Fig. 5D) induced by DT. In summary, these data show the abnormal cell morphology in response to DT is specific and requires ADP R-eEF2.

The increase in DNA content observed in the presence of ADP R-eEF2 may result from defects in DNA separation during mitosis or alternatively may be the result of cytokinesis defects. To determine whether mitosis was compromised, cells expressing DT were fixed and stained with DAPI to visualize DNA. Each bud of the large multibudded cells contained a single nucleus as shown in Fig. 6A, suggesting that nuclear separation was not affected by DT expression. Smaller secondary buds did not contain nuclear DNA as would be expected because nuclear division is linked by bud size (33). To analyze cytokinesis, cells expressing ADP R-eEF2 were examined by transmission EM to visualize the bud neck. During cytokinesis in budding yeast, the actin myosin ring that is formed in the late stages of mitosis contracts and the membrane ingresses (for review, see Ref. 34).
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As the ring contracts, a layer of chitin, known as the primary septum, is laid down from either end of the bud neck, effectively separating the cytoplasm of the mother and daughter cell. The secondary septum composed of cell wall components then forms on either side of the primary septum. Finally, the cells are separated by the action of chitinase and glucanases. In cells expressing DT, the primary and secondary septa appeared to form normally, suggesting that it is the very last step in the cell division process, which is compromised in strains with ADPR-eEF2 (Fig. 6B). Together, these data suggest that the mother cell initiates a second bud in the presence of ADP<sup>R</sup>-eEF2 despite failure of the primary daughter cell to be released and that this failure to separate may be due to an inability to degrade the primary septa.

DISCUSSION

Eukaryotic elongation factor 2 is the target of a class of bacterial mono-ADP-ribosyltransferase toxins which include the prototype, DT, exotoxin A from P. aeruginosa, and cholix toxin from V. cholera. Exposure of eukaryotic cells to these toxins leads to inhibition of protein synthesis and cell growth. Despite intensive investigation, the detailed mechanism of how ADPR of eEF2 affects its ability to function as a translocase and kill cells remains unknown. We have established a conditional DT expression system in S. cerevisiae which allows this question to be addressed in a genetically tractable model organism. This system in which DT expression is rapidly induced upon addition of hormone has several advantages over other methods.
previously used for regulated toxin expression. For example, the galactose-inducible expression system commonly used in yeast has significant effects on protein synthesis upon changing carbon source making it difficult to isolate the specific downstream effects of ADP$_{\text{r}}$-eEF2 (Fig. 2 and Ref. 35). Induction of DT by $\beta$-estradiol, however, had no observable effects on growth or translation (Fig. 3). In addition, leaky expression of DT in the $\beta$-estradiol system is minimal. Other vectors containing either a copper-inducible or a tetracycline-repressible DT expression construct were prepared and resulted in severe inhibition of growth even in the absence of induction which is consistent with leaky expression.$^3$

Using the hormone-inducible DT expression system, we demonstrated that yeast could grow at a reduced rate in liquid media in the presence of ADP$_{\text{r}}$-eEF2, allowing us to examine its effects on translation in vivo. This result was not anticipated as previous work had suggested that a single molecule of DT was sufficient to arrest growth of mammalian cells (36), and other experiments in yeast had shown an inability to form colonies upon DT induction (24, 37). In addition, we found that protein synthesis was not completely inhibited in this system, and the reduction in total protein synthesis correlated well with the reduction in cell growth. Growth of DT-expressing cells under these conditions can be accounted for in several ways. Because all assays were performed on populations rather than at the single cell level, it is possible that the growth we observe is due to specific subpopulations that maintain lower or no ADP$_{\text{r}}$-eEF2. This result is unlikely, however, given that the cultures have been maintained for over 24 h with no apparent change in overall growth rate. Alternatively, within each cell a fraction of eEF2 may remain unmodified, and this low level of eEF2 activity is able to support reduced growth. In support of this possibility, non-ADP$_{\text{r}}$-eEF2 is present in Western blots following DT induction (Fig. 3C), and previous work has shown that that ~20% of purified eEF2 lacks the diphthamide modification required for DT to ADP$_{\text{r}}$-eEF2 (Ref. 4 and data not shown). Therefore, a minor pool of unmodified eEF2 may allow for the limited growth observed in this system.

It has been proposed that ADP$_{\text{r}}$ of eEF2 interferes with its ability to bind the ribosome. This effect could manifest as an inability to bind pretranslocation ribosomes or an inability to dissociate from post-translocation ribosomes. Ribosome binding by ADP$_{\text{r}}$-eEF2 has been studied extensively in vitro with mixed results (3, 18–22, 42). Using an in vivo approach, we examined ADP$_{\text{r}}$-eEF2 binding to polyribosomes in cellular extracts and found no significant difference in the steady-state level of eEF2 bound to ribosomes in DT-expressing cells compared with uninduced cells. Because ADP$_{\text{r}}$-eEF2 was not distinguished from unmodified eEF2 in these experiments, it is possible that binding by ADP$_{\text{r}}$-eEF2 is not being detected. However, the shift of the majority of eEF2 in native gel electrophoresis upon expression of DT and its ability to be detected by our eEF2 polyclonal antibody suggest that we are primarily observing polyribosome binding by ADP$_{\text{r}}$-eEF2. In addition, the dominant DT resistance and therefore cell viability conferred by eEF2 mutations in the anticodon mimicry domain observed in this and our previous work argue against an inability of ADP$_{\text{r}}$-eEF2 to dissociate from polyribosomes following translocation (4). If ADP$_{\text{r}}$-eEF2 remained bound to the polyribosomes a dominant interfering effect of ADP$_{\text{r}}$-eEF2 would be expected rather than the dominant resistance observed. Therefore, our data suggest that ribosome binding by eEF2 is unaffected by ADP$_{\text{r}}$, but its ability to act as a translocase is compromised. Although the exact mechanism of translocation is not completely understood, biochemical, structural, and dynamic single molecule experiments in bacteria have suggested that translocation involves a series of conformational changes of the ribosome (for review, see Ref. 43). ADP$_{\text{r}}$ of eEF2 may either inhibit some of these conformational changes or promote the formation of inactive conformations through its interaction with the A site of the ribosome.

Prolonged exposure to DT led to an accumulation of yeast cells with abnormal morphology and distribution by flow cytometry. Nuclear staining and EM of the bud neck indicate that this abnormal morphology is the result of a failure of the mother and daughter cell to separate prior to re-entry into the cell cycle. Previous experiments have shown using cycloheximide and synchronized cell populations that protein synthesis is required for cytokinesis/cell separation (44). However, in our experiments the cell separation defect was seen only upon DT expression and not when translation elongation was inhibited by eEF2 mutations or sublethal doses of cycloheximide. The difference between these results in the presence of cycloheximide is likely due to the dose used and/or the time at which the cells were examined. We used ~5 times less cycloheximide such that the culture continued to grow but at a reduced growth rate so as to be similar to what we observed with DT induction and not a complete inhibition of protein synthesis. Unsynchronized cells were also observed 24 h after treatment and therefore, may arrest at other points in the cell cycle. We also demonstrated that the diphthamide modification of eEF2 was required for the DT effect on morphology confirming that it is downstream of ADP$_{\text{r}}$-eEF2. These results suggest a model in which ADP$_{\text{r}}$ of eEF2 reduces its ability to function as a translocase, slowing the rate of elongation and inhibiting the synthesis of specific proteins required for cell cycle progression. This inhibition of translocation by ADP$_{\text{r}}$ of eEF2 is unique, however, in that similar effects are not seen when translocation is inhibited by other means. Control of gene expression at the level of translation elongation is supported by an increasing body of experimental evidence (45–47) whereas the effects of elongation defects on the expression of particular mRNAs is just beginning to be explored (48).

The persistence of the primary septum separating the mother and daughter cells in DT-expressing cells suggests that candidates for such proteins might include chitinase, which degrades the primary septum, as well as glucanases required for cell wall degradation and remodeling. Interestingly, expression of many of the enzymes required for cell separation, including CTSI (chitinase) and ENG1 (an endo-1,3-$\beta$-glucanase) occurs only in the daughter cell (49, 50). Thus, protein synthesis in the daughter cell may be more sensitive to ADP$_{\text{r}}$-eEF2. Whether the daughter cells can eventually separate from the mother and

---

$^3$ M. K. Mateyak and T. G. Kinzy, unpublished observations.
whether they are capable of further cell division or permanently arrested is unknown.

The yeast system we have employed to study the effects of DT expression on protein synthesis and cell growth shares similar characteristics with studies of mammalian cells intoxicated with DT. For example, analysis of protein synthesis inhibition in individual cells in response to DT showed that prolonged exposure to cytosolic toxin was required to achieve maximal inhibition of protein synthesis (51). This observation suggests that mammalian cells, like yeast, may also be capable of low levels of protein synthesis at early time points following DT exposure. In addition, treatment of purified rat liver eEF2 with DT indicated the presence of a small fraction of eEF2 which could not be ADPβ (38). This fraction of eEF2 may represent eEF2 that is already endogenously ADPβ (39, 40) or eEF2 that lacks the diphthamide modification as we have observed in S. cerevisiae. Consequently, other observations made in the yeast system may also apply to mammalian cells, including effects of ADPβ–eEF2 on the expression of specific proteins.
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