Prediction of gestational diabetes based on explainable deep learning and fog computing
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Abstract

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is one of the pregnancy complications that endanger both mothers and babies. GDM is usually diagnosed at 22–26 weeks of gestation. However, early prediction is preferable because it may decrease the risk. The continuous monitoring of the mother’s vital signs helps in predicting any deterioration during pregnancy. The originality of this research is to provide a comprehensive framework for pregnancy monitoring. The proposed Data Replacement and Prediction Framework consists of three layers, which are: (i) Internet of things (IoT) Layer, (ii) Fog Layer, and (iii) Cloud Layer. The first layer used IoT sensors to aggregate vital signs from pregnancies using invasive and non-invasive sensors. The vital signs are then transmitted to fog nodes to be processed and finally stored in the cloud layer. The main contribution in this research is located in the fog layer, producing the GDM module to implement two influential tasks which are as follows: (i) Data Finding Methodology (DFM), and (ii) Explainable Prediction Algorithm (EPM) using DNN. First, the DFM is used to replace the unused data to free up the cache space for new incoming data items. The cache replacement is very important in the case of the healthcare system as the incoming vital signs are frequent and must be replaced continuously. Second, the EPM is used to predict the occurrence of GDM in the second trimester of the pregnancy. To evaluate our model, we extracted data from 16,354 pregnant women from the medical information mart for intensive care (MIMIC III) benchmark dataset. For each woman, vital signs, demographic data, and laboratory tests were aggregated. The results of the prediction model are superior to the state-of-the-art (ACC $= 0.957$, AUC $= 0.942$). Regarding explainability, we used Shapley additive explanation (SHAP) framework to provide local and global explanations for the developed models. Overall, the proposed framework is medically intuitive and allows the early prediction of GDM with a cost-effective solution.

1 Introduction

GDM is a pregnancy-related irregular glucose-level status. It is a common pregnancy complication that is recognized in 3–10% of pregnancies (Risk et al. 2007; Zhu and Zhang 2016). GDM is usually diagnosed between 22 and 26 weeks of gestation and may result in high-risk complications for both women and infants. These complications include respiratory problems, metabolic disorders, premature delivery, and the fetus gaining weight that may hamper the birthing process. Although GDM normally goes away after birth, women are still at a high risk of developing type 2 diabetes, with a cumulative incidence of 30–50% within 5–10 years following the index pregnancy (Zhu and Zhang 2016; Egan et al. 2021). Several studies have found that high-risk complications can be prevented if the medical intervention begins in the first or second trimester (Christophi et al. 2008).
Therefore, early detection of GDM is critical for averting a range of problems, including: (i) Evidence suggests that pre-diabetes treatment response varies when GDM history is taken into consideration (Christophi et al. 2008; Aroda, et al. 2015). (ii) Individualized risk prediction and treatment response estimation could also help in the selection of pre-diabetes treatment (Kent 2015; Herman, et al. 2017). (iii) Women with a history of GDM can learn about their future diabetes risk and how metformin and/or ILI might help (Herman et al. 2017; Intervention and Metformin 2006). Individual risk estimation could help doctors make better clinical decisions and make diabetes prevention programs more efficient, cost-effective, and patient-centered (Kent 2015; Herman et al. 2017).

There are several models available to assess the risk of developing diabetes in the general population (Mathur et al. 2011; Lindstrom 2008). However, few people use multivariable models to help customize preventive treatments to specific people (Kent 2015; Herman et al. 2017; Lindstrom 2008; Costa et al. 2012). Predictor variables in models specifically designed for women with previous GDM frequently incorporate measurements taken during or shortly after pregnancy (e.g., insulin use during pregnancy or breastfeeding history) (Lindstrom 2008; Ekelund et al. 2009; Ignell et al. 2016).

In the last decades, several studies have used data from electronic health records (EHR) to diagnose and forecast patient future events, such as mortality prediction (Awad et al. 2017; El-rashidy et al. 2020), epilepsy prediction (Adams et al. 2015; El-Rashidy et al. 2019a), predict heart problems (Forkan and Khalil 2016), GDM complications (Zhang et al. 2020; Ahmadi and Mirbagheri 2019), etc. However, only a few studies have been conducted to predict GDM (Burlina et al. 2016; Saávidou et al. 2010). For example: (i) a recent study developed a model to predict GDM, which included pregnancy body mass index (BMI), and gestational age, fasting glucose. (ii) Xiong et al. (Zheng et al. 2019a) decided to develop a risk prediction mechanism for the first 19 weeks using high-potential GDM predictors (light GBM), a support vector Machine (SVM) and a light gradient boosting Machine (iii) Zheng et al. (2019b) presented a simple method for detecting GDM in early pregnancy using biochemical markers and the ML method. (iv) According to Shen et al. (2020), the research on the best AI approach for GDM prediction required the least number of clinical devices and trainees to construct an AI-based application (AI). (D Care 2018; Qiu et al. 2017).

Other research (Wu et al. 2021; Nuzzo et al. 2021) sought to develop models based on risk factors discovered in the first trimester that can predict an abnormal OGTT at 24–28 weeks. They considered various indicators that predict GDM, including scoring methods, glucose biochemistry assays, and glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) levels have all been used in different populations with varying degrees of success (Meertens et al. 2019; Olmedo et al. 2017). According to a clinical study, GDM can be prevented if a comprehensive lifestyle change is introduced before the 20th week of pregnancy (Shen et al. 2016; Ramos et al. 2019).

Unless the previously developed models for GDM perform well, all of them neglect the explainability issue, focusing instead on enhancing the performance of the ML model. Therefore, most of them are not accepted in the medical field. The importance of explainability in ML applications has grown since it helps toward providing a transparent model that could explain the output decision. Traditional models that deal with hundreds of variables struggle to understand the impact of each feature on the overall decision, and the features that make the developed model shift toward one of the classes. Another important issue is the ongoing explainability of models, which is used to determine the variable importance and the effect of changes in the developed model. Our goal was to develop a clinical diabetes risk prediction model for women who have already been diagnosed with GDM.

The prediction model is based on the vital signs obtained from a set of sensors connected to the woman. And when we talk about sensors sending data, it leads to talking about IoT (Talaat et al. 2019). IoT generates a huge amount of data which must be sent to cloud-based Data Centers. To minimize the latency, which is a key concern in such cases like healthcare (Atlam 2018), Fog Computing (FC) is a mandatory decision. The FC is not a replacement for Cloud Computing, but rather an extension of it that makes use of resources from edge devices (Bonomi et al. 2012). Hence, the FC increases QoS parameters such as bandwidth efficiency and energy consumption while also lowering the latency (Talaat et al. 2020).

The originality of this research is that it provides a comprehensive framework for monitoring pregnant women. The proposed Data Replacement and Prediction Framework (DRPF) is divided into three layers: (i) IoT, (ii) Fog, and (iii) Cloud. The first layer used IoT sensors to obtain vital indicators from pregnant women using invasive and non-invasive sensors. The vital indicators are transmitted to fog nodes to be processed and finally stored in the cloud layer. The key contribution in this research is in the fog layer producing the GDM module to perform two influential tasks: (i) Data Finding Methodology (DFM), and (ii) Explainable Prediction Algorithm (EPM) using DNN. First, the DFM is used to replace the unused data to free up the cache space for new incoming data items. The cache replacement is very important in the healthcare system since incoming vital signs are frequent and must be replaced continuously. Second, the EPM is used to predict
the occurrence of GDM that may occur in the second tri-
mester of the pregnancy.

2 Background and basic concepts

This section introduces some concepts in the field of Probabilistic Neural Networks (PNN), fog in healthcare applications, and data caching in fog.

2.1 Probabilistic neural networks (PNN)

A probabilistic neural network (PNN) is a type of feed forward neural network that is commonly used to solve classification and pattern recognition tasks. A Parzen window and a non-parametric function are used to approximate the parent probability distribution function (PDF) of each class in the PNN method. PNN is organized into a four-layer multilayered feed-forward network (Kar-thikeyan 2008; Venkatesh and Gopal 2011): (i) Input layer: is made up of nodes that each have a collection of metrics. (ii) Pattern layer: Each example in the training data set has its own neuron. It calculates the test case’s Euclidean distance from the neuron’s center point, then uses the sigma values to apply the Radial Basis Function (RBF) kernel function. (iii) Summation layer: For each class, executes a sum operation on the outputs from each as the highest-scoring label node. PNN has a number of advantages, including: (Kar-thikeyan 2008): (1) PNN networks predict target probability scores with high accuracy, and (2) As the size of the representative training set grows, it is guaranteed to converge to an optimal classifier second layer. (iv) Output layer: takes all of the summation nodes’ outputs and outputs the maximum.

PNNs are a scalable alternative to classic back-propagation neural networks in classification and pattern recognition applications. They don’t require the massive forward and backward calculations that regular neural networks do. They can also deal with a variety of training data. These networks leverage the concept of probability theory to reduce misclassifications when applied to a classification problem.

2.2 Explainability and interpretability of deep learning models

Explainable AI (XAI) is a framework that is used to open the black box of machine learning and help in understanding the output of the machine learning models (Vel-lido 2019). Explainability is also defined as the degree to which humans could understand the ML decision (Zheng et al. 2019), provide insights on the ML model, and discuss the logic behind this decision. Applying XAI provides three main advantages include (1) provides a clear explanation and boosts trust in the developed model. (2) Enables model troubleshooting (3) specifies the source of the model basis. Explainability and accuracy are considered two separate issues that should be maintained when building ML models. Generally, algorithms with high accuracy performance cannot provide a coherent explanation for their decisions and vice versa. The two main types of AI explainability include a global method that is used to understand the overall behavior of the model and the effect of each feature in the output decision and a local method is used to clarify the decision of the model for each instance (El-Sappagh et al. 2021). The interpretable model is very critical, especially in the medical domains, to translating the output decision into human-understandable language.

2.3 Fogs in healthcare applications

Healthcare services and applications are delay-sensitive. They deal with the private data of the patients (Aazam et al. 2015). The patients’ data contain very sensitive and personal information, so the data location must be secured. High latency may cause many problems in tele-health and telemedicine applications, which makes FC a suitable paradigm in healthcare applications (Khan et al. 2021; Anmadi et al. 2021). A simple sensor-to-cloud architecture is impractical for many health informatics applications. Regulations prohibit the storage of patient data outside a hospital in specific instances (Quy et al. 2021; Gupta and Dhurandher 2021). Because of patient safety concerns in the event of network and data center failures, reliance exclusively on remote data centers is also unsuitable for some applications (Habibi et al. 2020). FC is one possibility for bridging the gap between sensors and analytics in health informatics.

2.4 Data caching in fog

Reduced latency is a critical issue in the fog computing paradigm as the number of time-sensitive applications grows (Gupta and Dhurandher 2021; Shahid et al. 2020). As a result, one of the goals of an effective IoT application is to reduce fog computing latency (Khan et al. 2021; Unger et al. 2019). This approach uses popularity-based caching to achieve this goal, with a strong emphasis on the users’ interests.

Data caching is a crucial topic in FC for boosting data availability and decreasing access latency. Because each Fog Node (FN) is so small, cache replenishment is a key concern. Cache replacement achieves load balancing in the FC context by ensuring data availability. The most common data caching approach in FC is cooperative caching. In this case, each FN’s local cache is shared with its
neighbors, resulting in a large unified cache. Each node in a cooperative caching system can obtain data not only from its local cache but also from the caches of its neighbors (Kraemer et al. 2020; Quy et al. 2021; Lloret 2019).

As a result, the data availability is maximized, the access delay is minimized, and the response time for the end-user layer is reduced. FNs share data in various fog applications, including healthcare (Ali et al. 2020), smart homes (Elhayatmy et al. 2018), industrial systems (Pop et al. 2020; Shahid et al. 2020), and intelligent traffic signals (Rahul and Aron 2021). As a result, sharing cache content among FNs has numerous advantages. The cache replacement method reduces response time by selecting a suitable set of data for caching. When the cache fills up, a data item must be removed to create room for the data that must be fetched (El-Rashidy et al. 2021b, 2020). The performance will improve if the least used data object is used.

3 Related work

3.1 Utilizing fog computing in healthcare systems

Real-time monitoring is required for healthcare applications. The cloud cannot meet real-time requirements (Verma and Sood 2018; Khaloufi et al. 2020). The cloud is ineffective for latency-sensitive applications. FC has been presented as a solution to these problems. Ahmad et al. (2016) proposed a health fog system in which FC serves as an intermediary layer between the cloud and the end-user. With this three-layer architecture, communication expenses are reduced.

Dilibal et al. (2020) proposed a smart FC architecture to reduce network latency and traffic. In this three-layer design, requests can be processed locally before being transmitted to the cloud. FC serves as an intermediary layer that improves network services while reducing the downsides of IoT. Fog nodes are used in the healthcare IoT to reduce latency (Khan et al. 2021). Greco et al. (Yi et al. 2015; Gupta and Dhurandher 2021) proposed a layered architecture aimed at addressing health monitoring issues. There are two types of health monitoring problems: static and dynamic monitoring.

Alli et al. (2020) proposed an IoT-Fog-Cloud ecosystem. It is an intriguing architecture in which IoT devices respond to user requests. The end devices are at the bottom, the fog layer is in the middle, and the cloud layer is at the top. This architecture supports localized computation, fog edge computing, and remote computing. Abdelmoneem et al. (2021) described a system that dynamically distributes healthcare tasks across cloud and FC. This architecture will handle a wide range of health conditions and a large number of individuals.

3.2 Utilizing deep learning in predicting GDM

Predicting pregnancy deterioration is considered a critical issue in the medical field. Several researchers have recently used ML and DL to predict GDM and its consequences. For example, Wang (2021) predicts GDM using various ML algorithms including random forest (RF), SVM, and artificial neural network (ANN). The model, which was tested using data collected from different hospitals in Eastern China, resulted in inaccuracies ranging from 81 to 86%. Another study (Qiu et al. 2017) used patient EHR to predict GDM during early pregnancy based. The authors first employed six ML to improve accuracy (SVM, NN, logistic regression (LR), Bayesian network, and CHAID tree) and then developed an effective hybrid model. The accuracy for training and testing was 86.5% and 84.7%, respectively. Similarly, Sumathi (Sumathi and Meganathan 2022) proposes a voting ensemble classifier based on multiple ML techniques such as (LR, SVM, RF, and K-nearest neighbor) that results in an accuracy of 94.24%.

Y. Liu et al. first studied the impact of several types of features and multiclass feature combinations on predicting GDM (Ali et al. 2020). They developed a feature screening method to automatically filter the appropriate number of features based on the importance of traits. Then, they vectorized features using depth representation methods like network embedding, analyzed the relationship between features using a similarity measurement method, and finally applied it to the classification model for prediction. This approach could automatically learn some aspects based on both domain knowledge rather than artificial rules, resulting in superior results, unless the enhanced performance of the developed model necessitates extra time and money to manage data by humans.

When the features are filtered by Wideband Bandpass Filters as in Elhayatmy et al. (2018), the accuracy, F1 value, and AUC value of LR are 0.809, 0.881, and 0.825, respectively, representing a 12% gain over when the feature is not used. The findings showed that a data drive based on electronic medical records can significantly improve the accuracy of predicting gestational diabetes. Zhong et al. (Pop et al. 2020) developed a method to assess the risk of GDM in second-trimester pregnancy. This model, which is based on several risk factors, has a high predictive value for developing GDM in pregnant Chinese women and may be useful in directing future clinical practice. However, there was no significant difference in terms of liver function between the two groups, which is an important indicator of visceral fat metabolism (especially hepatic fat metabolism).
Schwartz et al. (2021) developed and internally verified a therapeutically effective prediction model for women with past GDM, that includes fasting glucose, HbA1c, BMI, treatment arm, and BMI by treatment arm interaction. Integrating personalized diabetes risk prediction into pre-diabetes therapy decision-making should help researchers better understand the benefits of ILI and/or metformin in diabetes prevention. A clinical prediction model was devised for personalized decision-making in the management of pre-diabetes in women with past GDM. For women with a prior GDM, the estimated incidence of diabetes without therapy was 37.4%, compared with 20.0% with comprehensive lifestyle modification or metformin treatment. It is officially predicated on the presumption of a lady who has previously undergone a GDM. In most circumstances, it is not very accurate. Guo et al. developed a simple nomogram for pregnant Chinese women (Guo et al. 2020), which can be used to predict the likelihood of developing GDM during the first antenatal visit. This method can detect GDM early, allowing for more effective management to improve maternal outcomes. The AUC statistic is concerned just with prediction accuracy. A model with a higher AUC but a little lower sensitivity might be a better choice for clinical application. As a result, we used decision-analytic approaches based on our findings and theory to assess the worthiness of a model or other alternatives.

4 The proposed data replacement and prediction framework (DRPF)

One of the most significant applications related to the goals of IoT is an efficient healthcare system. In this regard, many factors should be taken into consideration, such as time, the privacy of data, and accuracy. The healthcare system should be reliable and accessible at all times. Accordingly, this research is concerned with designing an IoT-Fog-based healthcare system, as shown in Fig. 1. The proposed DRPF consists of three layers, which are: (i) IoT Layer, (ii) Fog Layer, and (iii) Cloud Layer. The IoT layer contains the IoT devices (pulse oximeter, ECG monitor, etc.) to observe the user status. The fog layer is responsible for handling the incoming requests and forwards them to the appropriate FN. The fog layer is divided into a set of fog regions, and layer 3 is the cloud datacenters. The roles of the proposed layers are detailed in the following subsections.

4.1 IoT layer

IoT devices are used because they provide a wide range of flexibility, for example, if a patient requires constant care, he or she can remain at home rather than in a hospital and be monitored frequently using IoT technology. The data transferred from the sensor to the control device and then to the monitoring center are affected by noise, impairing the data quality. Monitoring a large number of users on the IoT demands more storage and infrastructure, which can be avoided by storing data in the cloud.

4.2 Cloud layer

Cloud data centers are located at a remote distance away from IoT devices, which leads to high latency. This issue negatively affects the response time for real-time applications such as critical health monitoring systems, traffic monitoring, and emergency fire. Furthermore, IoT sources are geographically extended and can generate a large volume of data sent to the cloud for processing, which results in overloading. The edge computational resources can address the previously described challenges in IoT systems.

The patient data generated from the IoT sensors are delivered to the user application that uses the proposed GDM module. The used application sends its data to be processed in the fog layer. The main module called GDM Module is implemented and runs in the fog layer, as shown in Fig. 2. The GDM module is used to predict GDM with low latency.

4.3 Fog layer

Fog can be considered a computing paradigm that performs IoT applications at the network’s edge. The Fog improves the QoS metrics such as (bandwidth efficiency and energy consumption) and reduces latency (Ghosh et al. 2020). The main mission of fog is to deliver data and bring it closer to the user.

4.3.1 The proposed GDM module

The proposed GDM module is composed of two main sub-modules: (i) Data Finding Methodology (DFM), and (ii) Explainable Prediction Algorithm (EPM) using DNN.

4.3.1.1 Data finding methodology (DFM) The DFM is used to replace the unused data to free up the cache space for the new incoming data items. The cache replacement is very important in the case of the healthcare system since the incoming vital signs are frequent and must be replaced continuously. Caching in a fog environment is constrained by bandwidth limitations, power limitations, and cache space limitations. A good replacement mechanism is necessary to discriminate between data items that should be preserved in the cache and those that should be discarded when the cache is full.
The network is divided into fog regions and each region has a Master Node (MN) that manages the communication in each fog region. The MN collects the required features of each FN, such as (i) Existing Data (ED), (ii) Time-To-Live (TTL), and (iii) cache size. The MN periodically checks each data feature to delete the data items with zero TTL. If the Fog cache’s server is full and there is incoming data, the MN can decide to delete a data item according to some criteria. As shown in Table 1, each FN has a table called Data Cache Table, which contains information about each di in its cache memory such as: (data item (di), Access Time (TA), Size of data (S), Access Frequency (FA), Access Count (AC), TTL, and Cache Free Size (CFS)).

The DFM leads to periodically update the cache and decrease the latency. The suggestive measures have been taken into account to measure the performance of the caching schemes are: (i) Hit Ratio (HR), (ii) access latency, and (iii) power consumption. The access latency is defined as the average packet delay over a multi-hop route. It is used as a measure of the accessibility of the nodes.

The algorithm can use PNN to decide to remove a data item and replace it with new incoming data according to its features. The inputs to the PNN are TA, AC, and FA. The output of PNN is Data Replace (DR). DR can be either Yes or No. The steps of PNN-based cache replacement strategy are shown in Algorithm 1.

Using PNN, algorithm can decide to remove a data item and replace it with new incoming data according to its features. The input to the PNN is: TA, AC, and FA. The output of PNN is Data Replace (DR). DR can be either Yes or No. The steps of PNN-based cache replacement strategy are shown in Algorithm 1.
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Fig. 2 Explainable prediction algorithm (EPM) using DNN

Table 1 Data Cache Table (DCT)

| Data item (di) | Access time (TA) | Size of data (S) | Access frequency (Fa) | Access count (AC) | Time-to-live (TTL) | Cache free size (CFS) |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Refers to data item’s number | The time at which data item enters the cache of the FN | Size of data item | The value of how many times di was accessed. It indicates the importance of the di | Each data item maintains a count which gives the number of FNs having the same data | TTL is a time period given to each di when it is located at this cache | The free space in the cache |
4.3.1.2 Explainable prediction algorithm (EPM) using DNN  This section proposed an EPM model that detected the prevalence of GDM among pregnancies. Furthermore, to provide an understandable explanation of the predicted output, we evaluated our model based on the MIMIC III dataset (Alistair et al. 2016; Saeed et al. 2002) as shown in Fig. 3. The proposed EPM consists of four main steps: (a) Data Collection: collecting the required dataset using

PostgreSQL, extracting data from various tables such as (patients, chart events, D_itmes, lab-events, and input_events), (b) Data Preprocessing: The output from the first step is cleaned and preprocessed using various steps including (removing outliers, standardization, and balancing), (c) Feature Extraction: using DNN to develop a classification model that could detect the occurrence of GDM. (d) Developing DL model: The output decision then

---

**Fig. 3 Selected data**
used SHAP explainer to provide an understandable explanation of the developed decision. The performance of our model was evaluated using unseen data to ensure that the efficiency of the proposed model is promising, accurate, and explainable.

Data collection Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care III (MIMIC III) is a benchmark dataset developed by MIT Lab. It includes HER data for patients inside the intensive care unit. MIMIC III is accessible by obtaining confirmation from Physionet Organization. MIMIC III includes the data for 53,422 distinct patients. 4750 measurements and 390 laboratory tests were included in the MIMIC III dataset. As shown in Fig. 3, we extract data from the MIMIC III dataset in this research, including patient’s demographics (i.e., age, gender, BMI), vital signs (i.e., heart rate, respiratory rate, glucose level, etc.), and laboratory tests (i.e., Albumin, Creatine, Cholesterol, sodium, etc.).

The present study was conducted on 8740 pregnant women according to inclusion criteria including: (i) female gender that was adult (age \( \geq 20 \)). (ii) Recorded as pregnant in mimic iii database (item_id (pregnant = 225,082, pregnant due date = 225,083). Gestational age between 6 and 26 weeks. Existing of required vital signs and laboratory tests. Features used in EPM are detailed in Table 2.

The output from the first step is cleaned and preprocessed using different steps including removing outliers, standardization, and balancing (Li et al. 2010). The steps of data preprocessing are as follows: (i) Data balancing: Class imbalance is a common problem, especially with the medical dataset. In MIMIC III, a minor number of pregnant women have GDM, which may lead to the problem of an imbalanced dataset. Two main techniques commonly used to handle this issue include oversampling (Mao et al. 2019) and under-sampling (Kaur and Gosain 2018). Oversampling techniques are used to increase the number of samples in the minority class, such as the synthetic minority oversampling technique, whereas under-sampling techniques such as Tomek link and random under-sampling are used to remove samples from the majority class. In this study, we used the random under-sampling technique to keep the data balance. The main advantage of using the under-sampling technique is that it does not introduce noise into the dataset. (ii) Handle missing values: The MIMIC dataset has approximately 15–20% of missing data. Several statistical techniques have been used to impute the missing data. 

| Feature_ID | Feature_Name | UOM | Average for GDM | Average for non-GDM | P_Value |
|------------|--------------|-----|-----------------|---------------------|---------|
| 3692       | BMI          | –   | 28 ± 6.2        | 21.66 ± 3.2         | < 0.05  |
| 3583       | Weight Change| kg  | 12 ± 12.8       | 10 ± 7.9            | < 0.05  |
| 3446       | Gestational age| –   | 24.4 ± 1.2     | 18 ± 2.3            | < 0.05  |
| 1127       | WBC (4–10,000) | (*103/μm) | 9.48 ± 2.6 | 8.87 ± 1.3          | < 0.05  |
| 626        | Neutrophil | %   | 69.21 ± 8.9     | 71 ± 8.7            | < 0.05  |
| 220,635    | PC (%)       | –   | 0.20 ± 0.05     | 0.17 ± 0.61         | < 0.01  |
| 220,645    | Sodium       | mEq/L | 142 ± 3.2 | 135 ± 4.2            | < 0.05  |
| 223,830    | PH (arterial) | –   | 7.45 ± 0.2      | 7.35 ± 2.2          | < 0.01  |
| 223,751    | Non-Invasive Blood Pressure | mmHg | 125 ± 5.8/90 ± 5.6 | 115 ± 3.8/75 ± 3.4 | < 0.05  |
| 2381, 220,045 | Heart rate | Bit per M | 70 ± 23 | 60 ± 22            | < 0.05  |
| 646, 652   | SpO2 (%)     | –   | 95 ± 4.2        | 95 ± 5              | < 0.05  |
| 1124       | Platelet     | (× 103/μm) | 231.0 ± 62.6 | 198.0 ± 62.6         | < 0.05  |
| 783        | lymphocyte   | %   | 25.9 ± 7.4      | 24.8 ± 6.9          | < 0.05  |
| 772,227,456 | Albumin (>3.2) | (g/L) | 44 ± 9.8 | 3412.2            | < 0.05  |
| 1529       | Glucose      | mg/dL | 100 ± 25.3     | 90 ± 22.7           | < 0.05  |
| 1525       | Creatinine   | mg/dL | 0.7 ± 0.5      | 0.6 ± 0.2           | < 0.02  |
| 1523       | Chloride     | (mEq/L) | 100 ± 3.2 | 96 ± 4.4          | < 0.05  |
| 3684       | Vitamin E    | mg/l | 9.20 ± 2.37     | 10.80 ± 5.01        | < 0.05  |
| 1522       | Calcium      | mg/dL | 9.3 ± 1.8      | 8.6 ± 2.2           | < 0.05  |
values, such as expectation maximization (Moon 1996),
hot decking encoding (Joenssen and Bankhofer 2012), etc.
In this study, we removed data with more than 50%
missing data. We only selected patients who had at least
one record for each vital sign per day. Then, the forward
and backward filling are used to fill the patient’s data. (iii)
Scaling data: The extracted features have different values,
which may vary from their value. These variations usually
affect classifier performance. Therefore, we scaled all
features to a range from 0 to −1 using Minmax scales
(Rachkidi 2015; Jäger et al. 2021).

Feature extraction In this section, we extracted two
feature subsets A, and B as shown in Table 3. The feature
set A: included the main vital signs (heart rate, glucose
level, SpO2, blood pressure, etc.), and some laboratory tests
include (PCT, total bilirubin, etc.). The feature set B:
included all features in feature A, and other pregnancy-
related features such as Gestational age, weight change,
and other laboratory features such as Lymphocyte, Sodium,
Vitamin E, Neutrophil, etc. These features have a critical
effect on GDM detection. For example, Vitamin E is a
critical measure to maintain the metabolism of the body
and scavenging radical activities. The deficiency of Vita-
mamin E among pregnancies may lead to vascular endothelial,
the incidence of GDM, and hypertension, in addition to
placental and premature birth (Kraemer et al. 2020).
Therefore, considering vitamin E is important in GDM
prediction. The same is true for lymphocytes, the count
decreases during the first and the second trimesters and
increases during the third. Increased lymphocytes could
potentially contribute to irregular glucose levels.

Developing DL model The DL model includes 20 input
dimensions using dense and dropout layers. Dense layers
are considered neural networks that are deeply connected.
Each neuron in each layer receives an output from the
previous layers. Dense layers are also used to change the
vector dimension. A dropout layer is a regularization
 technique that is used to avoid overfitting by randomly
ignoring some neurons during the training process (Smieja
et al. 2020). As shown in Fig. 4, in the hidden layers, we
used the activation function rectified linear activation
function or “ReLU,” it is a linear activation function that
produces the input directly if it is positive, otherwise, it will
produce zero. In the last layer, we used the sigmoid acti-
vation function for binary classification (Khan et al. 2021).
This produces a robust network with a good generalization
ability and minimum likelihood to overfit. (Khan et al.
2021).

5 Results

To predict GDM, we used the basic feature set in model A,
such as the patient’s age, heart rate, blood pressure, and
other vital signs. EPM achieves adequate performance
(Accuracy = 0.902%, AUC = 0.912%). Model B used the
same features as model A, in addition to other features
including gestational age, weight change, and other

Table 3 Features used in model A and model B

| Model | Features |
|-------|----------|
| A     | Age, BMI, Respiratory rate, Heart Rate, Glucose level, SPO2, blood pressure, Calcium, Sodium, PH (Arterial), Total Bilirubin, PCT |
| B     | Age, BMI, Weight change, Gestational age, Respiratory rate, Heart Rate, Glucose level, blood pressure, BUN, PH (Arterial), SPO2, PTT, Vitamin E, Neutrophil, Lymphocyte, Glucose, Creatinine, Creatinine, Calcium, Sodium, PCT |

| DL Model | Accuracy (%) | Precision (%) | Recall (%) | F-score (%) | AUC (%) |
|----------|--------------|---------------|------------|-------------|---------|
| Model A  | 0.926        | 0.9321        | 0.8431     | 0.902       | 0.912   |
| Model B  | 0.957        | 0.949         | 0.892      | 0.937       | 0.942   |
laboratory tests such as Albumin, and vitamin E, which have an impact on GDM incidence. The results demonstrate that the performance increased when adding weight change and gestational change (Accuracy = 0.957%, AUC = 0.942%). From the previous experiments, we observed the following: (i) patients with GDM ranged from 25 to 45 years (average 32.1 ± 5.6). (ii) GDM usually appeared in gestational age between 19 and 26 weeks. (iii) Both BMI and weight change during pregnancy is highly associated with GDM. GDM average for BMI was 28 ± 6.2 and for non-GDM was 21.66 ± 3.2). (iv) GDM in pregnant women was associated with a significant difference ($P < 0.05$) in liver and kidney functions that reflected in high values for Albumin, BUN, SBP, TC, etc. The overall results are illustrated in Table 4 and Fig. 5.

### 5.1 Statistical analysis

To ensure the superiority of the developed DNN model, both model a and b are compared using Freidman test.
Freidman test is a non-parametric test that is used to determine if there is a significant difference between models. In order to choose the best performance model according to statistical test, the average rank for each model is calculated based on the Nemenyi test (Friedman 1990). Results of the Nemenyi test could be visualized using the critical difference diagram. Figure 6 shows a comparison between classification models based on the critical difference calculated based on the results of the Nemenyi test for all models. The test shows a significance difference between the developed models (Statistics = 9.855, \( P \leq 0.005 \)). Figure 7 shows that model B gives improved performance over model A (i.e., AUC = 0.942, \( P \leq 0.005 \)) followed by the same feature set after.

### Table 5: List of abbreviations

| Term  | Description                                      |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------|
| GDM   | Gestational diabetes mellitus                    |
| DL    | Deep learning                                    |
| AUC   | Area under the roc curve                         |
| PHR   | Patient health record                            |
| HER   | Electronic health record                         |
| PA    | Predictive analytics                             |
| PNN   | Probabilistic neural networks                     |
| FC    | Fog computing                                    |
| CC    | Cloud computing                                  |
| IOT   | Internet of things                               |

### Table 6: The Performance metrics to evaluate the proposed DSP scheme

| Metric                  | Definition                                                                 |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Hit ratio (HR)           | The number of successful requests per time interval T to the total number of requests during the same time interval |
| Access latency          | Defined as the average packet delay over a multi-hop route. It is used as a measure of the accessibility of the nodes |
| Power consumption       | The average power consumed over a multi-hop route                             |

### Table 7: Four data item parameters located at DCT

| Data item (di) | Access time (TA) | Size of data (S) | Access frequency (FA) | Access count (AC) | Time-to-live (TTL) | Energy (E) | Distance (D) | Cache free size (CFS) |
|----------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| d1             | 0.936            | 0.213            | 0.769                 | 0.0455            | 0.245              | 0.0818     | 3            | 0.120                 |
| d2             | 0.5              | 0.0833           | 0.324                 | 0.264             | 0.748              | 0.136      | 2            | 0.0005                |
| d3             | 0.155            | 0.824            | 0.287                 | 0.973             | 0.985              | 0.791      | 5            | 0.610                 |
| d4             | 0.5              | 0.62             | 0.528                 | 0.973             | 0.145              | 0.482      | 2            | 0.487                 |

### Table 8: Comparing of DFM with the top state-of-the-art caching strategy

| Algorithm      | Year | Hit ratio (HR) % | Access latency | Power consumption |
|----------------|------|------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| DFM            | 2022 | 92.11            | 1.007          | 3747              |
| DSP (Li et al. 2010) | 2019 | 91.54            | 1.541          | 3815              |
5.2 Evaluation of explainability of DL model

5.2.1 Global explainability

In this section, we use SHAP summary plots to show the behavior of the developed model in terms of different values of several features. Figure 7 shows one feature per horizontal line and the number of dots represents the correlation between the feature and the overall decision. The color of the dots represents the correlation (Red for high, and blue for low). We can draw the following observations from Fig. 7. (i) Albumin and weight change correlate significantly with GDM prediction, and higher values have a positive impact on predicting GDM. (ii) High PTT values have a negative effect on GDM prediction. (iii) The summary plot allows the specification of the effect of the outliers. For example, while the weight change is not the most important feature, it does have an impact in some circumstances. This appeared in the extended tail that was distrusted in both directions.

5.2.2 Local explainability

In this section, we use SHAP plots to explain the output decision in each case (local explainability). Figure 8 shows a GDM case with probability of 73% having GDM. It also shows the most influential feature values that move the result toward the positive class such as gestational age = 19, albumin = 56.75, neutrophil = 96.47, and other factors that move the decision not to have GDM, including weight change = 2.31.

The all-previous mentioned abbreviations are listed as shown in Table 5.

5.3 The performance metrics for DFM

The common performance metrics which are used to measure the performance of the caching schemes are: (i) Hit Ratio (HR), (ii) access latency, and (iii) power consumption. Table 6 summarizes the definitions of the performance metrics.

Assume the four data items located at the DCT have the parameters values shown in Table 7. And a new incoming data item (d_{new}) needs to be located at the DCT. The size of d_{new} is 0.204 MB.

The performance of DFM comparing with the top state-of-the-art caching strategy is shown in Table 8.

From Table 7, it is shown that DFM has achieved the highest HR, the lowest access latency, and the lowest power consumption due to the high accuracy of using the PNN.

6 Conclusion

This research provided a comprehensive framework for monitoring pregnant women. The proposed DRPF consists of three layers, which are: (i) IoT Layer, (ii) Fog Layer, and (iii) Cloud Layer. The first layer used IoT sensors to aggregate vital signs from pregnancies using invasive and non-invasive sensors. Vital signs are transmitted to fog nodes for processing and finally stored in the cloud layer. The main contribution of this research is to the fog layer producing GDM module to implement two influential tasks which are: (i) DFM, and (ii) Explainable Prediction Algorithm (EPM) using DNN. First, the DFM is used to replace the unused data to free the cache space for the new incoming data items. The cache replacement is very important in the case of the healthcare system as the incoming vital signs are frequent and must be replaced continuously. Secondly, the EPM is used to predict the occurrence of GDM that may occur in the second trimester of pregnancy. The first DL model (model A) is based on vital signs, laboratory tests, and patient demographics. The second DL model (model B) used the same features, in addition to other pregnant features including weight change, gestational age, Lymphocyte, Sodium, Vitamin E, Neutrophil, etc. Utilizing Fog computing provides reduced latency when compared to cloud computing due to the use of only low-end computers, mobile phones, and personal devices in fog computing. The proposed system monitors the pregnant’s vital signs (body temperature, heart rate, and blood pressure values, etc.) that obtained from the sensors that are embedded into a wearable device and notifies the doctors or caregivers in real time if there occur any contradictions in the normal threshold value using the machine learning algorithms. The notification can also be set for the patients to alert them about the periodical medications or diet to be maintained by the patients. The cloud layer stores the big data into the cloud for future references for the hospitals and the researchers. Our study findings reported that patients’ age, BMI, blood pressure, and Lymphocyte vitamin E are mainly associated with GDM diagnosing. The proposed model achieves accurate and promising results from an academic perspective. However, we still need to close from real-world scenarios. Therefore, in the future, we intend to apply our model on a large scale of pregnant patients to ensure the generalization ability of our research.
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