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The coastal cliff Møns Klint, situated in eastern Denmark at the south-eastern margin of the Danish Basin, is an important locality for the study of the Maastrichtian of northern Europe (Fig. 1). The 6 km long and up to 130 m high cliff is composed of large glaciotectonic thrust sheets of white chalk and Quaternary deposits.

The Hvidskud thrust sheet, situated in the southern part of the cliff, exposes the thickest succession at Møns Klint comprising c. 60 m of lower Maastrichtian white chalk with flint bands and nodules, and abundant Thalassinoides burrows (Figs 2, 3). Previous stratigraphic studies of the succession are based on foraminifera, coccoliths, dinoflagellates, belemnites and brachiopods (Birkelund 1957; Steinich 1965; Surlyk 1970, 1979, 1982, 1984; Suryk & Birkelund 1977; Schulz 1979). In particular, a detailed zonation was established on the basis of micromorphic brachiopods obtained from washed bulk samples (Surlyk 1969, 1970, 1979, 1982, 1984). Many of these species have a limited vertical distribution, occur in great numbers...
and have proved to be useful for biostratigraphic zonation and correlation of the chalk in north-western Europe (Steinich 1965; Surlyk 1970, 1984; Johansen & Surlyk 1990).

Many of the stratigraphically important microfossils from low latitudes are either absent or rare in the Maastrichtian chalk of north-western Europe, making it difficult to correlate precisely the Danish Maastrichtian brachiopod zonation with the newest Maastrichtian time scale (Thibault et al. 2012a, b). Recently, the Danish Maastrichtian has been correlated with the δ¹³C stratigraphy and calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphy of north-western Europe (Voigt et al. 2010, 2012; Thibault et al. 2012a, b; Surlyk et al. 2013).

Along with the development of an astronomical Maastrichtian time scale (Husson et al. 2011; Thibault et al. 2012b), this allows the establishment of an age model for the Hvidskud succession within the framework of the δ¹³C and nannofossil stratigraphies established for the Danish lower Maastrichtian.

The aim of the study is to establish a new calcareous nannofossil and δ¹³C stratigraphy for the Hvidskud succession and to correlate these data with the brachiopod zonation of Surlyk (1984). An age model is proposed for the Hvidskud succession based on these results combined with δ¹³C and nannofossil data for north-western Europe (Voigt et al. 2010, 2012; Thibault et al. 2012a, b) and the new astronomical time scale for the Maastrichtian (Husson et al. 2011; Thibault et al. 2012b). Additionally, climatic implications can be drawn for bottom waters of the lower Maastrichtian in the Danish Basin based on new isotopic results from micromorphic brachiopods.

Regional setting and stratigraphy

The Danish Basin is NW–SE elongated and is limited to the NE by the inverted and faulted Sorgenfrei–Tornquist Zone and to the S by the Ringkøbing–Fyn High. Møns Klint is situated over the eastern end of the high (Fig. 1). During the Cretaceous the basin was characterised by regional subsidence, interrupted by phases of inversion and relative uplift of the Sorgenfrei–Tornquist Zone (Liboriussen et al. 1987; Håkansson & Surlyk 1997; Vejbæk & Andersen 2002; Mogensen & Korstgård 2003). The Late Cretaceous experienced an ongoing sea-level rise, culminating in one of the globally highest sea-level stands during the Phanerozoic, resulting in the flooding of much of northern Europe (e.g. Haq et al. 1988; Komizy et al. 2008). The chalk, which is essentially a pelagic sediment, was deposited throughout the late Cenomanian to Maastrichtian, and the Campanian–Maastrichtian interval reaches thicknesses of up to several hundreds of metres in the Danish Basin (Stenestad 1972; Surlyk & Lykke-Andersen 2007; Rasmussen & Surlyk 2012; Surlyk et al. 2013). Monotonous, benthos-poor chalk was deposited in relatively deep water in the central parts of the basin. On the other hand, abundant minor omission surfaces and rich benthic fossil assemblages in parts of the Maastrichtian chalk of Møns Klint, including the Hvidskud succession, indicate that deposition occurred in relatively shallower water above the Ringkøbing–Fyn High, however still well below the photic zone and storm wave base (Fig. 3; Surlyk & Birkelund 1977).

The Hvidskud succession comprises the spinosa-
Table 1. Stratigraphic heights of brachiopod bio-events (first occurrences, FOs) in the Hvidskud succession, Møns Klint. Heights from Surlyk (1979).

| Bio-events (FOs)          | m    | Maastrichtian substage   | Brachiopod zones (Surlyk 1984) |
|---------------------------|------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Trigonosemus pulchellus   | 31.5 | Base upper lower         | pulchellus-pulchellus          |
| Terebratulina subtilis    | 22.7 | lower lower              | subtilis-pulchellus            |

Fig. 3. Correlation of brachiopod and nannofossil zonations with bulk rock stable isotope profiles of the Hvidskud succession together with stable isotope data from the micromorphic brachiopod Terebratulina faujasii. Calcareous nannofossil and stable isotope data from this study. The range of pristine brachiopod δ¹⁸O values represents the least altered signal for bottom water conditions and may thus be used to estimate the minimum palaeotemperature of bottom waters of the Chalk Sea in the Danish Basin. Note the relatively light stable isotope values of the brachiopod specimens considered to be altered from SEM inspection. Error bars represent the reproducibility (2σ) of in-house reference material. Log after Surlyk & Birkelund (1977).
Preparation of microbrachiopods

In order to retrieve monospecific stable isotope and trace element signals, 24 micromorphic brachiopods (distributed over 13 sample levels) of the species *Terebratulina faujasii* were prepared. This species was chosen for analysis as it occurs throughout the succession. Two samples were prepared for each stratigraphic level with one exception where the amount of shell material only allowed preparation of a single sample (Table 4). Articulate brachiopod shells are composed of an outer finely granular primary layer and an interior fibrous or lamellar secondary layer, both consisting of low-Mg calcite (e.g. Griesshaber et al. 2007). They may develop an additional prismatic tertiary layer (Parkinson et al. 2005). The secondary layer is very resistant to diagenesis (e.g. Veizer et al. 1999; Korte et al. 2008), and it has been documented that this layer, in contrast to the primary layer, is commonly precipitated in or close to isotopic equilibrium with seawater (Carpenter & Lohmann 1995; Parkinson et al. 2005). In order to remove the primary layer and possible attached chalk from the brachiopod shells, the following method was developed by R. Harlou at the Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, University of Copenhagen. The brachiopod shells were carefully cleaned by alternate leaching with 1M acetic acid (CH₃COOH) and cleaning/neutralising with deionised water (MilliQ H₂O).

Sample preparation

Bulk samples were dried at 40°C for 48 hours in order to extract possible interstitial fluids from the chalk.
The latter also stopped the process of leaching if added in excess. This treatment is excellent for cleaning the shell surfaces and removing the primary layers of articulate brachiopods when preparing for isotope measurements (Fig. 4). The shells were subsequently dried at 40°C for 24 hours in order to extract residual interstitial fluids from the carbonate.

Calcaryeous nannofossil biostratigraphy

Dried bulk samples were gently disaggregated in a mortar and 50 mg (±0.5 mg) of the treated sediment was dispersed in 50 ml of deionised water buffered with diluted ammonium to avoid dissolution. The suspension was homogenised by treating it in an ultrasonic bath for 10 s and subsequently stirred with a magnet before aliquots of 0.75 ml of the suspension were evenly distributed on microscope slides by using a micropipette (see Koch & Young 2007). A total of 21 slides were produced, covering the complete succession and representing a stratigraphic resolution of 2 m.

Stratigraphic distribution

A semiquantitative analysis of the stratigraphic distribution of calcareous nannofossils was performed (Table 2) based on counting of species abundances (randomly counting of specimens at a magnification of ×1600). Counting was performed on >150 fields of view (FOVs) for each microscope slide. Counts were determined as follows: a species is common (C) if one to 10 specimens were observed in each FOV; there are few (F) if one specimen was observed in every two to 10 FOVs; a species is rare (R) if one specimen was observed in 11 to 100 FOVs and very rare (VR) if one specimen was observed in 100 to 200 FOVs; single (S) means that only one specimen was observed during the entire investigation of a single slide. The nannofossil biozonations are established using the last occurrence (LO) of key nannofossil markers (Fig. 5; Table 2). The biozonations of Burnett (1998) and Fritsen (1999) are applied (Fig. 6).

The Upper Cretaceous chalk of the North Sea and Danish Basin suffered from considerable redeposition.
tion (e.g. Esmerode et al. 2008; Anderskouv & Surlyk 2011), suggesting that the precision of first and last occurrences of calcareous nannofossil markers may sometimes be disturbed and lead to uncertainties (Thibault et al. 2012a). Single spotty occurrences were therefore discarded as these are more likely to be re-worked than in situ. Additional FOVs, up to an entire traverse of a slide, were examined to document rare species. Three modes of preservation of calcareous nannofossils (very poor, poor and good) have been considered, using the visual criteria of Roth (1983) for etching and overgrowth.

Stable isotope analyses

Approximately 200 to 900 µg of brachiopod shell fragments and bulk rock carbonate samples were transferred into glass vials (3.5 ml), sealed with rubber septa, and flushed with clean helium for 240 s. The aliquots were subsequently treated with ~0.05 ml anhydrous orthophosphoric acid (>100%) and equilibrated for >100 min at 70°C using a multiflow unit. The resultant CO$_2$ was analysed for carbon and oxygen isotopic compositions using the Micromass Iso Prime Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer at the Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, University of Copenhagen. The raw data were corrected for weight dependent effects by measuring the Copenhagen in-house reference material (LEO: Carrara marble), covering the weight ranges of the analysed sample sets. Carbon and oxygen isotope values are expressed in per mil relative to the V-PDB reference (Tables 3, 4). The reproducibility (2σd) of the analysis, controlled by multiple measurements of the in-house reference material, was 0.18‰ for oxygen and 0.08‰ for carbon over a period of one year (2011, n = 649). For more analytical details see Ullmann et al. (2013).

Table 2. Distribution chart of selected calcareous nannofossil bio-events (last occurrences) in the Hvidskud succession, Møns Klint

| Sample numbers | Stratigraphic height (m) | Prediscosphaera stoveri | Kamptnerius magnificus | Arkhangelskiella cymbiformis var N | Calculites obscurus | Biscutum coronum | Biscutum magnum | Zeugrhabdotus biocenococcus | Reinhardtites levis | Prediscosphaera splendens | Tranolithus oderatus | Broinsonia paraconferta | Reinhardtites anthophorus | Preservation |
|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| 81             | 40                       | C                        | F                      | F                               | R                 | R              | R             | S                          |                 |                        |               |                         | UC19                    | P            |
| 77             | 38                       | R                        | F                       | R                               | R                 | R              |                |               |                 |                        |               |                         | UC19                    | VP           |
| 73             | 36                       | R                        | C                       | R                               | R                 |                 |                |               |                 |                        |               |                         | UC19                    | VP           |
| 69             | 34                       | F                        | F                       | F                               | R                 | R              | R             |               |                 |                        |               |                         | UC18                    | VP           |
| 65             | 32                       | F                        | F                       | R                               | C                 | R              |                |               |                 |                        |               |                         | UC18                    | VP           |
| 61             | 30                       | F                        | F                       | R                               | R                 | R              | R             |               |                 |                        |               |                         | UC18                    | P            |
| 57             | 28                       | F                        | C                       | F                               | C                 | R              | F             |               |                 |                        |               |                         | UC18                    | G            |
| 53             | 26                       | C                        | F                       | R                               | C                 | F              | F             |               |                 |                        |               |                         | UC18                    | P            |
| 49             | 24                       | F                        | C                       | R                               | C                 | R              | R             | R             |                 |                        |               |                         | UC18                    | P            |
| 45             | 22                       | F                        | F                       | F                               | C                 | R              | F             |               |                 |                        |               |                         | UC17                    | G            |
| 41             | 20                       | F                        | C                       | R                               | C                 | F              | F             |               |                 |                        |               |                         | UC17                    | G            |
| 37             | 18                       | F                        | F                       | F                               | R                 | R              | R             |               |                 |                        |               |                         | UC17                    | G            |
| 33             | 16                       | C                        | F                       | C                               | F                 | R              | F             | R             |               |                        |               |                         | UC16d                   | G            |
| 29             | 14                       | F                        | F                       | R                               | F                 | R              | R             |               |                 |                        |               |                         | UC16d                   | G            |
| 25             | 12                       | C                        | F                       | R                               | R                 | F             |               | VR            |                 |                        |               |                         | UC16d                   | G            |
| 21             | 10                       | F                        | F                       | F                               | R                 | R             |               | F             | VR             |                        |               |                         | UC16d                   | G            |
| 17             | 8                        | F                        | F                       | C                               | F                 | R             |               | VR            |                 |                        |               |                         | UC16d                   | G            |
| 13             | 6                        | R                        | C                       | F                               | R                 | F             | R             |               |                 |                        |               |                         | UC16d                   | G            |
| 9              | 4                        | C                        | F                       | F                               | R                 | F             | R             |               |                 |                        |               |                         | UC16d                   | G            |
| 5              | 2                        | C                        | R                       | F                               | R                 | R             | R             |               |                 |                        |               |                         | UC16d                   | G            |
| 1              | 0                        | R                        | F                       | F                               | VR                | VR            | F             | R             |               |                        |               |                         | UC16d                   | G            |

C, Common (= 1–10 specimens/FOV); F, Few (= 1 specimen/2–10 FOVs); R, Rare (1 specimen/11–100 FOVs); VR, Very Rare (1 specimen/100–200 FOVs); S, Single specimen
Brachiopod element ratios

Remains of the reacted sample aliquots from the mass spectrometric analysis were used for element ratio measurements of the brachiopod shells. All samples were diluted to a nominal Ca concentration of 25 µg/g using 2% HNO₃. Samples were measured using a Perkin Elmer Optima 7000 DV ICP-OES at the Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, University of Copenhagen, using a

| Height (m) | Maastrichtian substage | Boreal nannofossil zonations | Burnett (1998) | Fritsen (1999) | δ¹³C (‰ V-PDB) | δ¹⁸O (‰ V-PDB) |
|------------|------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| 40.0       | upper lower UC19       | UC19                         | 1.87 –1.71     |                 |                |                    |
| 40.0       | upper lower UC19i      | UC19i                        | 1.78 –1.90     |                 |                |                    |
| 39.5       | upper lower UC19       | UC19                         | 1.83 –1.87     |                 |                |                    |
| 39.0       | upper lower UC19i      | UC19i                        | 1.65 –2.03     |                 |                |                    |
| 38.5       | upper lower UC19       | UC19                         | 1.67 –2.24     |                 |                |                    |
| 38.0       | upper lower UC19i      | UC19                         | 1.67 –2.16     |                 |                |                    |
| 37.5       | upper lower UC19i      | UC19i                        | 1.95 –1.99     |                 |                |                    |
| 37.0       | upper lower UC19       | UC19                         | 1.95 –2.03     |                 |                |                    |
| 36.5       | upper lower UC19i      | UC19                         | 1.98 –1.74     |                 |                |                    |
| 36.0       | upper lower UC19       | UC19                         | 1.85 –1.98     |                 |                |                    |
| 35.5       | upper lower UC19       | UC19                         | 1.83 –2.03     |                 |                |                    |
| 35.0       | upper lower UC19i      | UC19i                        | 1.61 –2.02     |                 |                |                    |
| 34.5       | upper lower UC19       | UC19                         | 1.76 –1.64     |                 |                |                    |
| 34.0       | upper lower Base UC19  | Base UC19i                   | 1.61 –1.91     |                 |                |                    |
| 33.5       | upper lower UC18       | UC18                         | 1.86 –1.96     |                 |                |                    |
| 33.0       | upper lower UC18       | UC18                         | 1.74 –1.96     |                 |                |                    |
| 32.5       | upper lower UC18       | UC18                         | 1.88 –1.79     |                 |                |                    |
| 32.0       | upper lower UC18       | UC18                         | 1.75 –1.79     |                 |                |                    |
| 31.5       | Base upper lower UC18  | UC18                         | 2.03 –2.01     |                 |                |                    |
| 31.0       | lower lower UC18       | UC18                         | 1.98 –2.07     |                 |                |                    |
| 30.5       | lower lower UC18       | UC18                         | 1.90 –2.04     |                 |                |                    |
| 30.0       | lower lower UC18       | UC18                         | 1.94 –2.00     |                 |                |                    |
| 29.5       | lower lower UC18       | UC18                         | 1.96 –2.18     |                 |                |                    |
| 29.0       | lower lower UC18       | UC18                         | 1.96 –1.86     |                 |                |                    |
| 28.5       | lower lower UC18       | UC18                         | 1.91 –1.87     |                 |                |                    |
| 28.0       | lower lower UC18       | UC18                         | 1.96 –1.82     |                 |                |                    |
| 27.5       | lower lower UC18       | UC18                         | 1.91 –1.88     |                 |                |                    |
| 27.0       | lower lower UC18       | UC18                         | 1.95 –1.83     |                 |                |                    |
| 26.5       | lower lower UC18       | UC18                         | 1.92 –1.82     |                 |                |                    |
| 26.0       | lower lower UC18       | UC18                         | 1.87 –2.18     |                 |                |                    |
| 25.5       | lower lower UC18i      | UC18i                        | 1.96 –1.99     |                 |                |                    |
| 25.0       | lower lower UC18       | UC18                         | 1.83 –1.85     |                 |                |                    |
| 24.5       | lower lower UC18i      | UC18                         | 1.88 –2.00     |                 |                |                    |
| 24.0       | lower lower Base UC18  | Base UC18i                   | 1.94 –1.89     |                 |                |                    |
| 23.5       | lower lower UC17       | UC17                         | 1.81 –1.87     |                 |                |                    |
| 23.0       | lower lower UC17       | UC17                         | 1.67 –1.93     |                 |                |                    |
| 22.5       | lower lower UC17       | UC17                         | 2.00 –1.79     |                 |                |                    |
| 22.0       | lower lower UC17       | UC17                         | 2.05 –1.85     |                 |                |                    |
| 21.5       | lower lower UC17       | UC17                         | 1.85 –2.00     |                 |                |                    |
| 21.0       | lower lower UC17       | UC17                         | 1.98 –1.90     |                 |                |                    |
| 20.5       | lower lower UC17       | UC17                         | 1.98 –1.79     |                 |                |                    |

Table 3. Bulk rock stable isotope data and stratigraphic position of samples
three-point calibration of matrix matched and synthetic calibration solutions. Accuracy and reproducibility were controlled by multiple measurements of the reference materials JD0-1 (dolostone) and JLs-1 (limestone). Reproducibilities (2sd) of the analyses are better than 2.6% for Mg/Ca and better than 2.2% for Sr/Ca. Reproducibility of Mn/Ca is 2.8% for JD0-1 (~150 µmol/mol) and 8.0% for JLs-1 (~29 µmol/mol). Measured ratios agree with ratios calculated by Imai et al. (1996) within 2%, apart from Mg/Ca in JLs-1, which was measured to be 8% lower.

SEM screening of micromorphic brachiopods

A total of 20 pieces of brachiopod shells were coated in gold and optically checked using the FEI Quanta 250 SEM of the Natural History Museum of Denmark, University of Copenhagen, in order to identify potential textural alteration. Photographs were taken of general and peculiar features representative of each sample (Fig. 7). The preservation state of the secondary shell layers was of particular interest. In addition, it was checked whether the primary layers were completely removed by leaching. The shell fragments were therefore extracted after the leaching process. Due to lack of material, SEM investigations were not possible for certain specimens (Table 4).

Results

Nannofossil bio-events, biozonations and preservation

Most of the investigated samples exhibit moderate preservation with little etching and some diagenetic overgrowth. However, samples from the uppermost part of the succession (from 30.0 m up-section) exhibit poor to very poor preservation. Nannofossil counting was performed on 12 key coccolith species (Fig. 5; Table

Table 4. Micromorphic brachiopod (Terebratulina faujasii) stable isotope, trace element and SEM data

| Samples | Additional specimen prepared | SEM inspection | SEM-determined alteration | Height (m) | δ¹³C (% V-PDB) | δ¹⁸O (% V-PDB) | Mg/Ca (mmol/mol) | Sr/Ca (mmol/mol) | Mn/Ca (µmol/mol) |
|---------|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| A2 (1)  | ×                             |                |                           | 37.1       | 1.78           | −0.60          | 10.6            | 1.21             | 124              |
| A2 (2)  |                              |                |                           | 37.1       | 2.08           | −0.47          | 10.8            | 1.25             | 114              |
| A4 (1)  |                              |                |                           | 33.5       | 1.88           | −0.99          | 10.0            | 1.15             | 138              |
| A4 (2)  | ×                             |                |                           | 33.5       | 2.00           | −0.70          | 10.6            | 1.26             | 92               |
| A5 (1)  |                              |                | ×                         | 31.5       | 2.14           | −0.57          | 9.8             | 1.35             | 76               |
| A5 (2)  | ×                             |                | ×                         | 31.5       | 1.98           | −1.33          | 9.9             | 1.03             | 147              |
| A6 (1)  |                              |                | ×                         | 29.5       | 2.05           | −1.08          | 9.6             | 1.29             | 90               |
| A6 (2)  | ×                             |                | ×                         | 29.5       | 1.99           | −1.09          | 9.9             | 1.20             | 111              |
| A7 (1)  | ×                             |                | ×                         | 28.3       | 1.85           | −2.04          | 9.3             | 0.96             | 148              |
| A7 (2)  |                              |                | ×                         | 28.3       | 1.95           | −1.26          | 10.0            | 1.23             | 106              |
| A9 (1)  |                              |                | ×                         | 25.5       | 1.88           | −1.48          | 9.6             | 0.95             | 185              |
| A9 (2)  | ×                             |                | ×                         | 25.5       | 1.74           | −1.36          | 9.9             | 0.94             | 207              |
| A11 (1) |                              |                | ×                         | 22.7       | 1.90           | −1.11          | 10.0            | 0.89             | 162              |
| A11 (2) |                              |                | ×                         | 22.7       | 1.84           | −1.20          | 10.0            | 1.12             | 129              |
| A14 (1) |                              |                | ×                         | 18.7       | 1.91           | −0.58          | 10.4            | 1.37             | 77               |
| A14 (2) | ×                             |                | ×                         | 18.7       | 1.79           | −1.56          | 10.0            | 1.03             | 147              |
| A16 (1) |                              |                | ×                         | 15.9       | 1.88           | −0.90          | 9.8             | 1.08             | 114              |
| A18 (1) |                              |                | ×                         | 13.5       | 1.93           | −0.81          | 10.3            | 1.34             | 76               |
| A18 (2) | ×                             |                | ×                         | 13.5       | 2.10           | −0.39          | 10.3            | 1.48             | 57               |
| A22 (1) |                              |                | ×                         | 9.5        | 2.08           | −0.65          | 9.8             | 1.39             | 67               |
| A22 (2) | ×                             |                | ×                         | 9.5        | 1.98           | −1.12          | 10.3            | 1.31             | 90               |
| A25 (1) |                              |                | ×                         | 6.7        | 2.08           | −0.44          | 9.5             | 1.42             | 45               |
| A25 (2) | ×                             |                | ×                         | 6.7        | 1.89           | −0.70          | 10.1            | 1.31             | 71               |
| A28 (1) |                              |                | ×                         | 3.5        | 2.11           | −0.38          | 11.4            | 1.46             | 68               |
| A28 (2) | ×                             |                | ×                         | 3.5        | 1.86           | −1.29          | 10.5            | 1.19             | 126              |

Note that sample A2 (1) and A2 (2) are from the same specimen, resulting in 25 data points. In terms of the SEM-based optical preservation assessment, six of the 20 brachiopods are considered to be altered.
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2). The LO of five of these was used to subdivide the succession into four of the main Upper Cretaceous (UC) biozones applied to the Boreal Province (BP) by Burnett (1998) and to the North Sea by Fritsen (1999) (Figs 3, 6; Table 2). The LO of *Reinhardtites anthropophorus*, defining the base of UC16iii of Fritsen (1999), is recorded in the lowermost part of the succession (4.0 m) within the *spinosa-subtilis* zone of Surlyk (1984) (Fig. 3; Table 2). The LO of *Broinsonia parca constricta* (16.0 m), defining the base of UC17, is also encountered within the *spinosa-subtilis* zone. The LO of *Tranolithus orionatus* (24.0 m) lies within the *subtilis-pulchellus* zone and defines the base of UC18. The LOs of *Reinhardtites levis* and *Zeugrhabdotus bicrescenticus* coincide with a minor hardground at 34.0 m (Figs 2, 3) and do not allow differentiation of UC19i and UC19ii, thus representing an unconformity corresponding to the missing UC19i. The LOs of *R. levis* and *Z. bicrescenticus* are thus considered to define the base of UC19ii, which is entirely encompassed by the *pulchellus-pulchellus* zone. In addition, their LOs coincide with the LO of *Prediscosphaera mgayae*. The Hvidskud succession thus encompasses the Boreal nannofossil zones UC16ii – UC19ii (Figs 3, 6).

**Bulk rock stable isotope results**

A total of 82 bulk rock samples were analysed. The resulting δ¹³C curve (Fig. 3) is characterised by an overall positive trend superimposed by several positive and negative fluctuations of higher order between 1.6 and 2.1‰. The lowermost part of the succession exhibits a positive excursion from 1.7 to 2.0‰ which is interrupted by a negative shift down to 1.6‰ at 9.0 m. The overlying interval is characterised by a progressive 0.5‰ increase, interrupted by two short-term negative excursions. The remaining part of the succession is characterised by an overall negative excursion from 2.0 to 1.8‰, interrupted by a significant short positive excursion.

The bulk rock oxygen isotope trend (Fig. 3) is characterised by an overall 0.2‰ decrease, with superimposed higher order positive and negative oscillations between –2.2 to –1.4‰. A five-point moving average was applied to the δ¹⁸O data in order to highlight possible fluctuations and inflection points in the succession (Fig. 3).

A cross-plot of carbon and oxygen isotopes (Fig. 8) allows testing of diagenetic imprints which may result in a positive correlation (Jenkyns *et al.* 1995; Mitchell *et al.* 1997). The absence of a significant correlation in the bulk rock data suggests a relatively low impact of diagenesis.
Discussion

Diagenetic impact on brachiopod isotopic data

The strong negative correlations of Sr/Ca with Mn/Ca and δ¹⁸O with Mn/Ca point to an important diagenetic imprint on the brachiopods (cf. Brand & Veizer 1981). Significantly, the six specimens that are considered to be altered by means of the SEM-based preservation assessment, are also the specimens with highest Mn/

Screenings, trace element and stable isotope results for brachiopods

Binocular and SEM screenings show that the ultrastructures such as smooth surfaces and anvil-shaped crystals of the fibrous and lamellar structures of the secondary layers of most of the brachiopods are well-preserved (Fig. 7). Features resulting from the leaching process are ignored in this consideration. Six specimens show signs of diagenetic dissolution resulting in irregular surfaces. These specimens are considered to be altered (Fig. 7; Table 4). From the SEM images it can also be concluded that the leaching of the brachiopods has successfully removed the primary shell layers and adhered chalk.

The stable isotope values from the brachiopods are on average heavier than those of the bulk samples (Figs 3, 8). The brachiopod δ¹³C trend shows a slight decline of ~0.2‰ (2.0 to 1.8‰) between 3.5 and 18.7 m, and a subsequent ~0.2‰ incline with a short-lived positive excursion (2.1‰) at 31.5 m. The brachiopod δ¹⁸O trend shows a similar trend in the lower and upper part of the succession, and an overall negative excursion between 3.5 and 28.3 m with a change of ~1.6‰ and the lightest value down to ~2.0‰.

A cross-plot of the carbon and oxygen isotope values reveals a weak positive correlation (Fig. 8), which might indicate diagenetic alteration of the brachiopod shells (cf. Jenkyns et al. 1995; Mitchell et al. 1997).

Mg/Ca ratios range from 9.3 to 11.4 mmol/mol with an average of 10.1 mmol/mol. Sr/Ca ratios range from 0.89 to 1.48 mmol/mol and are strongly negatively correlated with Mn/Ca ratios that range from 45 to 207 µmol/mol (r² = 0.88) (Fig. 9). Negative correlations of Mn/Ca with r² > 0.5 are also observed for δ¹³C and δ¹⁸O (Fig. 9).
Ca ratios, the lowest Sr/Ca ratios (Figs 3, 8; Table 4). Therefore, long-term trends in brachiopod δ¹³O cannot be interpreted here. However, it can be inferred that values corresponding to the upper-left of the correlation lines point to the least altered values and probably reflect near to primary bottom water δ¹³O values (Fig. 9).

Correlation of brachiopod and nannofossil zonations with carbon isotope stratigraphy

Carbon isotope records have proved to be a powerful tool for stratigraphic correlation (Gale et al. 1993; Tsiokos et al. 2004; Voigt et al. 2010, 2012; Batenburg et al. 2012; Thibault et al. 2012a, b). Calibrating δ¹³C curves with detailed biostratigraphic information additionally improves the stratigraphic reliability and helps to correlate biostratigraphic schemes between different palaeobiogeographic realms (Thibault et al. 2012a, b). The bulk δ¹³C excursions of the Hvidskud succession, together with the nannofossil biostratigraphy, can be used for this purpose. A similar succession of nannofossil bio-events has been found in the upper Campanian – Maastrichtian Stevns-1 core, Stevns Klint, eastern Denmark (Fig. 1), from which a standard δ¹³C curve was established for the Boreal Realm (Thibault et al. 2012a; Surlyk et al. 2013). The Stevns-1 core was drilled only 30 km from Møns Klint and displays an almost identical sequence of nannofossil bio-events from the LO of *R. anthophorus* when compared with Hvidskud (Fig. 10; Thibault et al. 2012a).

The only exception is that the LO of *Z. bicrescenticus* occurs stratigraphically slightly higher in Stevns-1 than the coincident LOs of *R. levis* and *P. mgayae*. This is in contrast to the Hvidskud succession where the LOs of these three species are coincident (Fig. 10). The three bio-horizons occur at the hardground at 34.0 m, suggesting that the UC19i subzone is missing at Hvidskud (Figs 3, 10).

The δ¹³C records of Hvidskud and Stevns-1 are correlated and calibrated by the nannofossil bio-events, allowing the establishment of a precise δ¹³C stratigraphy for the Hvidskud succession (Fig. 10). The Stevns-1 core was sampled at a much higher resolution than the Hvidskud succession, and ambiguities in the correlation of the δ¹³C profiles may thus exist. However, the correlation is strongly supported by a precise correlation of nannofossil bio-horizons between the two sites. The LO of *R. anthophorus* in both successions allows correlation of the lowermost part of the Hvidskud succession with Stevns-1. The lowermost 0.3% increase in the Hvidskud succession corresponds to the short-lived 0.2% positive excursion of the M1+ δ¹³C event in Stevns-1 (Thibault et al. 2012a), and occurs within UC16dβ of Burnett (1998) and the *spinosa-subtilis* zone of Surlyk (1984). The onset of event M1– is marked by an abrupt negative excursion in both successions and the top of this event coincides with the LO of *T. orinatus* (base of UC18). The M1– δ¹³C event thus corresponds to the upper part of *spinosa-subtilis* and the lowermost part of *subtilis-pulchellus* brachiopod zones of Surlyk (1984). The LO of *B. parca constricta* is recorded within M1– in both successions (Thibault et al. 2012a) (Fig. 10). The overlying part of the Hvidskud succession can only be correlated with confidence on the basis of nannofossil bio-events. The LOs of *P. mgayae*, *R. levis* and *Z. bicrescenticus* appear concomitantly in the level of the hardground-related unconformity and thus confirm omission in this level. Their last occurrences, however, coincide with a significant negative δ¹³C excursion in both successions, which can be used as a tie-point to subdivide the M2+ event in the uppermost part of the Hvidskud succession. The M2+ δ¹³C event extends from the LO of *T. orinatus* and is comprised by UC18 and UC19 and the *subtilis-pulchellus* and *pulchellus-pulchellus* brachiopod zones (Fig. 10). As in the lowermost part of the succession, the relatively low sampling resolution, compared to that of Stevns-1, prohibits further correlation between the top of the Hvidskud succession and Stevns-1. The Hvidskud succession thus encompasses δ¹³C events M1+ to M2+ of Thibault et al. (2012a).

The Maastrichtian Stage has recently been astronomically calibrated, and a precise geochronological age model has been proposed (e.g. Husson et al. 2011, 2012; Batenburg et al. 2012; Thibault et al. 2012b). In particular, an integrated framework of magnetostratigraphy, micro- and nannofossil biostratigraphy, cyclostratigraphy and δ¹³C stratigraphy was established for the upper Campanian – Maastrichtian succession of Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) Site 762C, Leg 122, drilled in the western part of the central Exmouth Plateau off north-western Australia, eastern Indian Ocean (Galbrun 1992; Husson et al. 2011, 2012; Thibault et al. 2012b). This site was characterised by nearly continuous pelagic to hemipelagic sedimentation, allowing counting of cycles based on colour changes in the core and thereby the development of a cyclostratigraphic framework. The duration of each magnetochron encountered in the core was inferred from cycle counting, using an age of 66 Ma for the K–Pg boundary (Husson et al. 2011, 2012). ODP Site 762C thus constitutes a solid basis for large-scale correlations of the upper Campanian – Maastrichtian interval.

Sedimentation at the Indian Ocean ODP site took place within a mid-latitude, transitional calcareous plankton province of the southern hemisphere. Thibault et al. (2012b) noticed that many Maastrich-
tian calcareous nannofossil bio-events were time-transgressive between this southern province and the Tethyan and Boreal realms. The δ13C record for ODP 762C is, however, remarkably similar to that of Stevns-1 (Fig. 10; Thibault et al. 2012b). Stevns-1 can therefore be used as a reference curve for correlation of the Hvidskud succession with ODP 762C. This allows further chronostratigraphic constraints for the age model of the Hvidskud succession.

A precise correlation of the M1+ δ13C event between Hvidskud and ODP 762C is not possible, as the correlation between Hvidskud and Stevns-1 in this interval is solely based on the LO of R. anthophorus (Fig. 10). The same problem applies in the upper part of the M2+ event above the LOs of R. levis, Z. bicrescenticus and P. mgayae. The M1+ and M2+ events up until the LOs of R. levis, Z. bicrescenticus and P. mgayae can, however, be confidently correlated between the successions, allowing construction of an age model for this interval. Calibration to the ODP 762C profile reliably suggests a geochronological duration of this 25 m thick interval of 70.72 Ma – 70.22 Ma, encompassing the 405 kyr eccentricity cycles Ma13r – Ma11 within magnetochron C31r and nannofossil zone UC18 of the transitional province (cf. Husson et al. 2011; Thibault et al. 2012b). This corresponds to an inferred sedimentation rate of 5.0 cm kyr⁻¹ (Fig. 10). Assuming that the sedimentation rate was largely uniform throughout, it can be used to calculate an estimated age for the base of the Hvidskud succession on the basis of its thickness. An age for the top of the succession cannot be determined due to the hiatus represented by the hardground unconformity at 34.0 m. The Hvidskud succession extends from ~70.9 Ma to at least 70.22 Ma, thus covering a time interval of at least 680 kyr (Fig. 10).

Climatic implications

The Maastrichtian was characterised by an overall long-term global cooling, superimposed by pronounced climate and temperature fluctuations (Li & Keller 1998a, b, 1999; Barrera & Savin 1999; Thibault & Gardin 2006, 2007). In the Hvidskud succession, the strong co-variation of Sr/Ca with Mn/Ca is indicative of a diagenetic trend (Brand & Veizer 1981). It is noticeable that the lightest δ18O values in brachiopods are close to bulk δ13C values (Figs 3, 8). Considering that the calcareous nannofossil assemblage points to some degree of diagenesis, as suggested from the microscope-based preservation assessment (Table 2), it is possible that a relatively warm or hypersaline diagenetic fluid impacted both brachiopod and bulk carbonates in the succession. For this reason, it is not reasonable to interpret bulk δ18O data in further detail. After discarding brachiopod δ18O values aligned on
the diagenetic correlation trend (Fig. 9), it appears that the few heaviest brachiopod values of −0.4 to −0.6‰ would represent the least altered signal for bottom water conditions (Figs 3, 9). A good preservation of the shell material in these specimens is also supported by low Mn/Ca ratios of ~50 µmol/mol and by the SEM screening which reveals that the shells are among the best preserved with well-preserved calcitic layered structures (Fig. 7). This near-pristine range of values (Fig. 3) may thus be used to estimate the minimum palaeotemperature of bottom waters of the Chalk Sea in the Danish Basin. Using the equation of Anderson and Arthur (1983) and a seawater δ¹⁸O of −1‰ for a Late Cretaceous ice-free world, these heaviest brachiopod δ¹⁸O values translate to a range of 13.6 to 14.3°C for bottom waters of the Chalk Sea during the early Maastrichtian cooling, at a palaeolatitude of c. 45°N. These palaeotemperature estimates may however be biased by the postulated presence of a small Antarctic ice cap at that time (Barrera & Savin 1999; Gallagher et al. 2008; Bowman et al. 2013).

Conclusions
A new nannofossil and δ¹³C stratigraphy is established for the Hvidskud succession and correlated with the brachiopod zonation of Surlyk (1984). A chronostratigraphic and geochronological age model is proposed for the succession on the basis of correlation with the cored boreholes Stevns-1 and ODP Site 762C. Seawater temperatures are additionally inferred from combined bulk rock and brachiopod stable isotope data together with the distribution of calcareous nannofossils, brachiopod trace elements and SEM data.

The Hvidskud succession encompasses the Boreal nannofossil zones UC16ii to UC19ii within the lower Maastrichtian. These nannofossil zones are now tied to the brachiopod zonation.

Carbon isotope stratigraphy of the Hvidskud succession and correlation with the Stevns-1 core allow recognition of δ¹³C events M1+ to M2+. The correlation of Hvidskud with Stevns-1 and the tie of this interval to the astronomically calibrated ODP Site 762C constrains an age of ~70.9 Ma for the base of the succession. The succession extends across the 405 kyr eccentricity cycles Ma₁₃ – Ma₁₁ in magnetostratigraphic C31r and across the Boreal lower lower and upper lower Maastrichtian boundary. The entire succession covers a time interval of at least 680 kyr, with an average sedimentation rate of 5.0 cm kyr⁻¹.

The chalk of Hvidskud was deposited during the early Maastrichtian cooling period and bulk oxygen isotope values remain relatively stable in the studied interval. A slight trend towards lighter values towards the top of the succession may represent the onset of the mid-Maastrichtian warming. Most brachiopod δ¹⁸O values point to an impact of diagenesis. Near-pristine shell values can be narrowed to a range of ~0.4 to ~0.6‰. Considering a Late Cretaceous ice-free world, these values translate into a range of temperatures of 13.6 to 14.3°C for bottom waters of the Danish Chalk Sea (45°N) during the early Maastrichtian cooling episode. These estimates may however require correction if the presence of continental ice in Antarctica during the Maastrichtian is confirmed in the future.
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