Golgi membranes and Golgi-derived vesicles are associated with multiple cytoskeletal proteins and motors, the diversity and distribution of which have not yet been defined. Carrier vesicles were separated from Golgi membranes, using an in vitro budding assay, and different populations of vesicles were separated using sucrose density gradients. Three main populations of vesicles labeled with β-COP, γ-adaptin, or p200/myosin II were separated and analyzed for the presence of actin/actin-binding proteins. β-Actin was bound to Golgi cisternae and to all populations of newly budded vesicles. Centrinactin was selectively associated with vesicles and cisternae and to all populations of newly budded vesicles. Centrinactin was selectively associated with vesicles and cisternae and to all populations of newly budded vesicles. Dynactin was bound to Golgi cisternae and to all populations of newly budded vesicles.

Protein trafficking into and out of the Golgi complex requires multiple populations of membrane-bound vesicles or tubules. Each vesicle population is associated with distinct sets of coat complexes, G proteins, and SNARE proteins designed to ensure the selective trafficking of cargo proteins. The Golgi complex is also associated with diverse cytoskeletal elements, and Golgi-derived vesicles must, it now appears, carry multiple molecular motors in order to interact with different cytoskeletal structures (1, 2). Microtubules and microtubule-based motors participate in positioning of the Golgi complex within the cell and in vesicular transport between the endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi as well as in some post-Golgi pathways (3). Elements of the actin cytoskeleton are also involved in Golgi trafficking. The p200/myosin II protein, analogous to the heavy chain of nonmuscle myosin IIA, binds specifically to vesicles budding off the trans-Golgi network (TGN) in many cells (4–7). Brush border myosin I has been localized to Golgi membranes, vesicles, and secretory granules (8–11). Dynactin and myosin I are found on the same carrier vesicles budding off the TGN in epithelial cells (11). Myosin 5a can interact with actin as well as with microtubules (12), and diverse roles, ranging from synaptic vesicle transport (13) to the movement of smooth endoplasmic reticulum and pigment granules, have been proposed for myosin 5a (14). Recent studies show that some isoforms of cytoskeletal proteins associate uniquely with the Golgi, suggesting that the Golgi has its own, dedicated cytoskeleton. A Golgi-specific kinesin-like protein (Rab-kinisin; Ref. 15), a Golgi-specific isoform of spectrin (16), and two isoforms of ankyrin that are concentrated on the Golgi complex (17, 18) have been identified.

The potential roles of actin filaments and their associated proteins in either maintaining Golgi structure or in vesicular trafficking are not well understood. In most cells the Golgi complex is closely aligned with the microtubule-organizing center, but such a morphologically distinct, stable connection to the Golgi complex is not evident for microfilaments. The association of actin and actin-binding proteins with Golgi membranes might therefore be more dynamic. The use of actin-polymerizing or -depolymerizing drugs has served to generally implicate the actin cytoskeleton in vesicular trafficking, especially in endocytic pathways in intact cells (19, 20). Secretory yeast mutants have provided the most direct evidence for a requirement for actin in vesicular transport. The temperature-sensitive mutant of Myo2p, a myosin V gene product of the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, has defective post-Golgi transport and accumulates vesicles destined for the vacuole and for exocytosis (21–25). Mutation of the Act-1 genes in yeast block secretion (26). These studies demonstrate that the actin cytoskeleton, at some level, does participate in vesicle trafficking, but specific roles for actin in various stages of vesicle budding, transport, or targeting remain unknown.

Proteins participating in vesicular transport interact with highly specific membrane domains, either on cisternal membranes in different parts of the Golgi stack or on selected vesicles. The distribution of individual proteins thus often indicates their sites of action in different pathways or steps of vesicle transport. The current study was undertaken to determine the distributions of actin and actin-associated proteins with Golgi membranes and with Golgi-derived vesicles. Using an in vitro assay to generate different populations of Golgi-derived vesicles, we have analyzed the distinct arrays of actin, myosins II, tropomyosin isoforms, and other proteins associ-
Atteded with different membrane domains. Probably through the actions of one or more of these proteins, newly budded vesicles have the ability to bind to actin filaments.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Antibodies**—The monoclonal antibody (mAb) AD7, which recognizes p200/myosin II, has previously been characterized (4). Three antibodies were used to detect tropomyosin isoforms; the CG3 mAb raised against a peptide encoded by the Tm5NM5 gene (27) reacts with its Tm5NM1, -2, -3, and -4 isoforms. A subset of these isoforms (Tm5NM1-2 and 2) is more specifically recognized by the W59d antibody raised against the product of the 9d exon (28). The polyclonal α,β,γ antisera recognizes Tms 2, 3, 5a, and 5b encoded by the αTm5m gene and Tm1 from the β-Tm gene (29). The γ-adaptin antibody was a kind gift from Dr. R. S. Adepelte (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). The p23 antibody was provided by Dr. J. Gruenberg (University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland), the p200/myosin II, has previously been characterized (4). Three antibodies were used to detect tropomyosin isoforms; the CG3 mAb raised against a peptide encoded by the Tm5NM5 (27) reacts with its Tm5NM1, -2, -3, and -4 isoforms. A subset of these isoforms (Tm5NM1-2 and 2) is more specifically recognized by the W59d antibody raised against the product of the 9d exon (28). The polyclonal α,β,γ antisera recognizes Tms 2, 3, 5a, and 5b encoded by the αTm5m gene and Tm1 from the β-Tm gene (29). The γ-adaptin antibody was a kind gift from Dr. R. S. Adepelte (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). The p23 antibody was provided by Dr. J. Gruenberg (University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland), the p200/myosin II, has previously been characterized (4).

**Preparation of Golgi Membranes and Separation of Golgi-derived Vesicles**—Golgi-rich membranes were prepared from rat liver homogenates using a procedure modified from Leelavathi et al. (30). Briefly, a post-nuclear supernatant of homogenized rat liver was layered onto a discontinuous sucrose gradient consisting of 2.5 ml of 1.3 M and 0.86 M sucrose solutions overlaid with 2 ml of 0.6 M sucrose. The gradients were centrifuged at 144,000 × g for 70 min. The supernatant of the 0.5 M sucrose layer was collected as cytosol, and the band above the 0.86 M sucrose interface was harvested as Golgi membranes. Snap-frozen cytosol and membrane samples were re-ultracentrifuged prior to use. An in vitro assay for vesicle budding was used (31–33). Washed Golgi membranes were resuspended in HKM buffer (20 mM HEPES KOH, 15 mM magnesium acetate, 25 mM Hepes-KOH, pH 7.4) and preincubated with 0.1 mM GTP-S for 5 min at 37 °C, after which precleared cytosol (14 mg) was added and the reaction was continued for 30 min at 37 °C in the presence of 0.5 mM ATP at 37 °C for 45 min. Jasplakinolide (JAS, Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) was added at a final concentration of 1 μM to polymerize/stabilize F-actin; we have shown previously that under these conditions cytosolic G-actin is efficiently converted to F-actin (20). F-actin was pelleted from the cytosol by low speed centrifugation (17,500 × g for 10 min at 4 °C). Vesicles were then separated from cytosol by discontinuous sucrose gradient centrifugation on 200 mM sucrose (dissodium salt) in a 2-ml total volume. The reaction was terminated by incubation for 10 min in an ice-water slurry. The gradients were centrifuged at 144,000 × g for 70 min. The supernatant of the 0.5 M sucrose layer was collected as cytosol, and the band above the 0.86 M sucrose interface was harvested as Golgi membranes. Snap-frozen cytosol and membrane samples were re-ultracentrifuged prior to use. An in vitro assay for vesicle budding was used (31–33). Washed Golgi membranes were resuspended in HKM buffer (20 mM HEPES KOH, 15 mM magnesium acetate, 25 mM Hepes-KOH, pH 7.4) and preincubated with 0.1 mM GTP-S for 5 min at 37 °C, after which precleared cytosol (14 mg) was added and the reaction was continued for 30 min at 37 °C in the presence of 0.5 mM diethiothreitol and an ATP-regenerating system (4.6 U/ml creatine phosphokinase, 81 mM creatine phosphate, and 28.6 mM ATP) in a 2-ml total volume. The reaction was terminated by incubation for 10 min in an ice-water slurry. The gradients were centrifuged at 144,000 × g for 70 min. The supernatant of the 0.5 M sucrose layer was collected as cytosol, and the band above the 0.86 M sucrose interface was harvested as Golgi membranes. Snap-frozen cytosol and membrane samples were re-ultracentrifuged prior to use. An in vitro assay for vesicle budding was used (31–33). Washed Golgi membranes were resuspended in HKM buffer (20 mM HEPES KOH, 15 mM magnesium acetate, 25 mM Hepes-KOH, pH 7.4) and preincubated with 0.1 mM GTP-S for 5 min at 37 °C, after which precleared cytosol (14 mg) was added and the reaction was continued for 30 min at 37 °C in the presence of 0.5 mM ATP at 37 °C for 45 min. Jasplakinolide (JAS, Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) was added at a final concentration of 1 μM to polymerize/stabilize F-actin; we have shown previously that under these conditions cytosolic G-actin is efficiently converted to F-actin (20). F-actin was pelleted from the cytosol by low speed centrifugation (17,500 × g for 10 min at 4 °C). Vesicles were then separated from cytosol by discontinuous sucrose gradient centrifugation on 200 μl of 2.1 M sucrose, overlaid with 200 μl of 20% sucrose (w/w), and 2 ml of the cytosol/vesicle mixture (100,000 × g for 90 min at 4 °C). All sucrose solutions were prepared in HKM buffer. The cytosol was collected from the loading zone, and the budded vesicles were harvested at the 2.1 M sucrose interface.

**Results**

**Budding and Separation of Golgi-derived Vesicles**—In vitro budding assays were performed using rat liver Golgi membranes and cytosol in the presence of GTP-S. Vesicle budding is demonstrated by the de novo membrane binding of two cytosolic proteins, p200/myosin II and β-COP (Fig. 1). Small amounts (<10%) of the coatomer protein β-COP and of p200/myosin II are present on freshly isolated, washed Golgi membranes (Fig. 1). Newly budded vesicles were initially separated from resident cisternae and cytosol by centrifugation. The budded vesicle pellet is enriched in p200/myosin II and β-COP, compared with the original Golgi membranes, showing that coated vesicles have been generated during the assay and that these cytosolic proteins have specifically bound to them (Fig. 1). Some of the bound p200/myosin II and β-COP on the remnant Golgi cisternae (Fig. 1) represents attachment to additional budding sites or to budding vesicles that have not yet been released.

Golgi-derived vesicles were then separated on a second gradient, and fractions were analyzed by immunoblotting and densitometry to demonstrate the distribution of a range of vesicle-associated proteins (Fig. 2). The distributions of three proteins, p200/myosin II, β-COP, and γ-adaptin, were found to vary across the gradient (Fig. 2). Immunogold labeling has previously been confirmed that β-COP (as part of the coatomer or COPI I complex), γ-adaptin (a component of AP-1 clathrin-coated vesicles), and p200/myosin II are indeed localized on separate vesicles (5, 35). Thus the overlapping, but nevertheless distinct, peak distributions of p200/myosin II, β-COP, and γ-adaptin correspond to different populations of vesicles (Fig. 2). β-COP has a broader distribution throughout the gradient peaking at fractions F3–F7, and these same vesicles are labeled for p23, an integral membrane protein of coatomer-coated vesicles and the cis-Golgi network (36–39) (Fig. 2A). γ-Adaptin was resuspended and incubated with the vesicle fractions in the presence of GTP-S for 30 min at 37 °C. F-actin was then pelleted by centrifugation at low speed, and the resulting pellets and supernatants were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting to detect actin-bound vesicle-associated proteins and by electron microscopy to examine actin-bound vesicles.**

**SDS-PAGE and Immunoblotting**—Samples for SDS-PAGE were separated on 5–15% gradient gels (34). Proteins were transferred onto Immobilon-P membrane in 15 mM Tris, 120 mM glycine, 20% methanol; membranes were routinely stained with 0.1% Coomassie Brilliant Blue R250 to check protein loading. For immunoblotting, transfers were blotted in Blotto (20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 5% skim milk, 1% Triton X-100), antibody incubations were carried out in Blotto for 1–2 h at room temperature or overnight at 4 °C, and bound antibodies were detected with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated secondary antibodies with 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate/nirot blue tetrazolium or with chemiluminescence.

**Immunoelectron Microscopy**—Golgi-enriched membranes and budded vesicles generated in the in vitro budding assay were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline overnight at 4 °C, collected on Formvar-carbon-coated copper grids by floating grids on 5-μl drops of suspensions of fixed budded membranes for 5 min at room temperature, then washed and stained with 1.8% methylcellulose containing 0.4% uranyl acetate for 10 min on ice. Negative contrast of whole mounts was obtained by staining with 1% uranyl acetate for 1 min and removal of excess stain with Whatman filter paper. Specimens were examined in a Joel 1010 transmission electron microscope.

**Immunofluorescence Staining**—NIH 3T3 fibroblasts grown to 8000 cells/cm² on poly-l-lysine substrate were serum-starved for 48 h, causing them to withdraw from the cell cycle into the G0 (quiescent) phase of the cell cycle. Quiescent fibroblasts were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, permeabilized with chilled (−20 °C) methanol, and then double-immunostained with the W59d antibody together with the 58K antibody that detects a peripheral Golgi membrane protein and the AD7 antibody. Goat anti-rabbit-conjugated lissamine rhodamine and goat anti-mouse-conjugated fluorescein secondary antibodies were used for detection. Staining patterns were analyzed by confocal scanning laser microscopy (Wild Leitz Instruments, Heidelberg, Germany).
peaks in fractions F4–F7, along with syntaxin 6, an integral membrane protein in TGN-derived clathrin-coated vesicles (40) (Fig. 2A). The peak distribution of p200/myosin II is in fractions F1–F5, but as yet there is no endogenous transmembrane marker with which to determine the identity of these vesicles. To ensure that the protein pattern reflects the distribution of membrane-bound proteins on vesicles in this gradient, the gradient was loaded with 1 mg of precleared cytosol and run under identical conditions. Soluble cytosolic proteins were found to distribute in fractions F8–F10 (data not shown); in these fractions, we are therefore unable to distinguish between vesicle-bound and soluble proteins (Figs. 2A and 3B). However, proteins in fractions F1–F7 were also analyzed on flotation gradients and were confirmed as being membrane-bound (data not shown). Thus, this gradient allows distinction and partial separation of three Golgi-derived vesicle populations demarked by the vesicle-associated proteins p200/myosin II, β-COP, and γ-adaptin.

Other vesicle-associated proteins were also detected on newly budded vesicles (Fig. 2A). Dynamin was distributed throughout the vesicle gradient and was not concentrated in any one vesicle population. The dynamin antibody used can recognize both dynamin I and dynamin II, and the two bands appearing in these gels could represent two isoforms or splice variants of dynamin. Rab 6, a monomeric G protein on Golgi membranes, was identified in fractions F3–F8, corresponding most closely to the distribution of β-COP labeled vesicles (Fig. 2A). Small amounts of Rab 4 were found in the gradient codistributing with the peak γ-adaptin-labeled vesicles and barely detectable levels of Rab 5 were found in fractions toward the top of the gradient. While the bulk of vesicles on this gradient were generated from Golgi membranes, detection of these early endosome-associated Rabs indicates a very small contamination by plasma membrane/early endosome derived vesicles, possibly AP2 vesicles.

**Actin and Actin-associated Proteins on Budded Vesicles**—The gradient separation of vesicle populations provided a basis for analyzing the differential distribution of vesicle-associated cytoskeletal proteins. By immunoblotting, it was noted that β-actin was routinely present in fractions of Golgi membranes and vesicles (Fig. 3A). While most of the β-actin is found in the cytosol (Fig. 3, Table I), 7% of the β-actin present is on newly isolated stacked Golgi membranes. We routinely found that some of the total membrane-bound β-actin was lost to the cytosol during the budding assay, possibly due to dissociation or depolymerization of actin. However, some of the actin re-
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**Fig. 3. Distribution of actin and actin binding proteins.**

A, β-actin was analyzed in fractions from the in vitro budding reaction by immunoblotting. Lane 1, cytosol; lane 2, isolated Golgi membrane; lane 3, cytosol containing the budded vesicles; lane 4, remnant Golgi cisternae; lane 5, remnant cytosol; lane 6, total budded vesicles. Total budded vesicles were separated from the remnant cytosol by ultracentrifugation on a sucrose step gradient. B, the distribution of actin and actin-binding proteins in the vesicle gradient was determined by immunoblotting. Actin distributes evenly across the gradient. p200/myosin II and myosin IIA are similarly in fractions F1–F5, while myosin IIB has a different distribution (F5–F8). Cenractin peaks in F3–F6 along with β-COP. Tropomyosin isoforms recognized by the α9d antibody are clustered in fractions F8 and F9, most likely representing a cytosolic distribution. Tm5 tropomyosins recognized by CG3 are found in fractions containing cytosol (F8 and F9) and are also in a specific vesicle population (F1–F4).

**TABLE I**

Relative proportions of β-actin in assay fractions

| Fractions | C | G | S1 | P1 | S2 | S2 | P2 |
|-----------|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|
| Total β-actin (%) | 93 | 7 | 99 | ≤1 | 96 | 3 |

 mains bound to remnant cisternae and a consistent proportion (3% of total) of the β-actin binds to the budded vesicles (Fig. 3, Table I). β-Actin bound to all populations of vesicles and was evenly distributed across all of the fractions of the vesicle gradient (Fig. 3B). Each vesicle fraction contained approximately 0.3% of the total vesicle-associated β-actin. Centractin was detected at low levels throughout the gradient but was particularly concentrated in the β-COP-labeled vesicle population (Fig. 3B), suggesting that it does bind preferentially to these vesicles. p200/myosin II, which has been identified as nonmuscle myosin IIA, is found on one of the TGN-derived vesicle populations, and immunoblotting with an antibody raised against the heavy chain of nonmuscle myosin IIA shows a similar distribution peaking in fractions F1–F5, as expected. In contrast, the nonmuscle myosin IIB isoform has a different distribution, peaking in fractions F6–F8. The distribution of membrane-bound myosin IIB overlaps with that of γ-adaptin vesicles, while some of the myosin IIB, in the higher fractions, may also be cytosolic (Fig. 3B). These results now show that more than one population of Golgi-derived vesicles is associated with a member of the myosin II family and that myosin II isoforms are targeted separately to specific vesicle-budding membrane domains.

Tropomyosin isoforms were analyzed on Golgi membranes and budded vesicles using two antibodies. Blotting with the α9d antibody shows several low and high molecular mass (30–38 kDa) isoforms of the αTMfast and β-TM gene families in fractions at the top of the gradient, which may represent the presence of cytosolic proteins (Fig. 3B). We found no evidence for αTMfast and β-TM tropomyosins binding to Golgi membranes before budding (data not shown) or to newly budded vesicles (Fig. 3B). Probing with an antibody (CG3) that sees all isoforms of the Tm5 gene family reveals a single band, which could represent more than one isoform, and this was present in both Golgi membrane and cytosol fractions. On the vesicle gradient, the Tm5 band is seen in fractions at the top of the gradient (F8–F9) which are likely to represent cytosolic Tm5, and in fractions F1–F4, clearly representing Tm5 bound to selected vesicles. Tm5 in these lower fractions of the gradient coincides with the distribution of p200/myosin II-labeled vesicle population (Fig. 3B).

In order to further investigate whether p200/myosin II and Tm5 are colocalized on the same vesicles, or are on different populations of co-migrating vesicles, immunostaining was carried out with the AD7 antibody to p200/myosin II and with an antibody (WS5/9d) that recognizes a subset of Tm5 gene products. Fig. 4 shows a quiescent 3T3 fibroblast labeled with the 58K antibody and the WS5/9d antiserum. Two isoforms (Tm5NM-1 and -2) co-localize identically with the 58K Golgi peripheral membrane protein in an asymmetric perinuclear fashion. However, fibroblasts double-stained with p200/myosin II (Fig. 4C) and WS5/9d (Fig. 4D) showed intense but mutually exclusive perinuclear staining patterns, suggesting that Tm5 isoforms and p200/myosin II are enriched in different compartments of the Golgi complex (Fig. 4, C and D, arrows). Comparison of p200/myosin II staining with TGN38 showed that both proteins colocalized and were enriched in the TGN (Fig. 4, E and F, respectively). This suggests that at least some of the Tm5 isoforms recognized by CG3 in the liver Golgi fractions are on separate vesicles from p200/myosin II. These observations demonstrate an actin-based structural complexity of the Golgi-derived vesicle network, and suggest that specific microfilament populations may have unique roles to play in Golgi function.

**Interaction of Actin-based Motor Proteins with F-actin**—The presence of actin and actin-binding proteins on Golgi-derived vesicles led us to investigate whether individual vesicle-associated proteins and the vesicles themselves could bind to actin filaments (Figs. 5 and 6). Rat liver cytosol (100,000 × g supernatant) contained mostly G-actin, which was not pelleted by low speed centrifugation (Fig. 5). When rat liver cytosol was incubated with the actin-polymerizing drug JAS, 50% of the total actin, detected with a general actin antibody, formed F-actin, which could be pelleted at low speed. This F-actin was then incubated with cytosol or with the newly budded vesicle fractions to test the actin-binding properties of soluble proteins (Fig. 5) and vesicles (Fig. 6), respectively. As an actin-based
motor, p200/myosin II in the cytosol would be expected to bind to actin filaments; accordingly, we found that 50% of the soluble p200/myosin II in the cytosol was pelleted along with the F-actin, under these conditions (Fig. 5). In control experiments, neither the incubation buffer nor the addition of GTP was sufficient to induce polymerization of F-actin or pelleting of p200/myosin II (Fig. 5). While 50% of p200/myosin II and myosin IIB bound to F-actin in the absence of MgATP, both myosins II were liberated upon treatment with MgATP, no longer appearing in the F-actin pellet (Fig. 6). These data confirm that both p200/myosin II (myosin IIA) and myosin IIB in the cytosol can associate with F-actin via their head domains. In contrast, centractin (30%) was pelleted with F-actin in a MgATP-independent fashion (Fig. 6). Tm5 tropomyosins also bound to F-actin in this assay, and this binding was slightly reduced in the presence of MgATP (Fig. 6).

As controls, we also tested whether other cytosolic, vesicle-associated proteins, which are not known to bind actin, could be pelleted with F-actin. Soluble forms of β-COP and γ-adaptin did not pellet in significant amounts in either the presence or absence of MgATP and therefore did not bind specifically to F-actin (Fig. 6). While 50% of p200/myosin II and myosin IIB bound to F-actin in the absence of MgATP, both myosins II were liberated upon treatment with MgATP, no longer appearing in the F-actin pellet (Fig. 6). These data confirm that both p200/myosin II (myosin IIA) and myosin IIB in the cytosol can associate with F-actin via their head domains. In contrast, centractin (30%) was pelleted with F-actin in a MgATP-independent fashion (Fig. 6). Tm5 tropomyosins also bound to F-actin in this assay, and this binding was slightly reduced in the presence of MgATP (Fig. 6).

As controls, we also tested whether other cytosolic, vesicle-associated proteins, which are not known to bind actin, could be pelleted with F-actin. Soluble forms of β-COP and γ-adaptin did not pellet in significant amounts in either the presence or absence of MgATP and therefore did not bind specifically to F-actin (Fig. 6). While 50% of p200/myosin II and myosin IIB bound to F-actin in the absence of MgATP, both myosins II were liberated upon treatment with MgATP, no longer appearing in the F-actin pellet (Fig. 6). These data confirm that both p200/myosin II (myosin IIA) and myosin IIB in the cytosol can associate with F-actin via their head domains. In contrast, centractin (30%) was pelleted with F-actin in a MgATP-independent fashion (Fig. 6). Tm5 tropomyosins also bound to F-actin in this assay, and this binding was slightly reduced in the presence of MgATP (Fig. 6).

As controls, we also tested whether other cytosolic, vesicle-associated proteins, which are not known to bind actin, could be pelleted with F-actin. Soluble forms of β-COP and γ-adaptin did not pellet in significant amounts in either the presence or absence of MgATP and therefore did not bind specifically to F-actin (Fig. 6). While 50% of p200/myosin II and myosin IIB bound to F-actin in the absence of MgATP, both myosins II were liberated upon treatment with MgATP, no longer appearing in the F-actin pellet (Fig. 6). These data confirm that both p200/myosin II (myosin IIA) and myosin IIB in the cytosol can associate with F-actin via their head domains. In contrast, centractin (30%) was pelleted with F-actin in a MgATP-independent fashion (Fig. 6). Tm5 tropomyosins also bound to F-actin in this assay, and this binding was slightly reduced in the presence of MgATP (Fig. 6).

Golgi-derived Vesicle Populations Associate with F-actin—Having confirmed that some of the vesicle-associated proteins have the ability to bind to F-actin, we next investigated whether intact, newly budded vesicles themselves could bind to F-actin (Figs. 7 and 8). Actin was polymerized with JAS from rat liver cytosol, in the presence of MgATP to avoid saturation of F-actin with soluble motors such as p200/myosin II, and the F-actin was then pelleted at low speed (Fig. 7, P1). Vesicles were collected from separated fractions of the vesicle separation gradient. In order to initially collect vesicles, the sucrose concentration was reduced, but this did not release any of the membrane-bound β-COP or p200/myosin II (Fig. 7, S2). The vesicles were resuspended and incubated with cytosol containing F-actin in the presence of GTPγS (to avoid loss of vesicle coats) in the absence of MgATP, and F-actin was then pelleted...
at low speed under conditions that did not pellet vesicles alone. Nearly all of the vesicles in fractions collected from the p200/myosin II peak and the β-COP peak bound to the F-actin. Small amounts of β-COP in the supernatant could be due to saturation of F-actin binding for these vesicles or due to some uncoating of vesicles. In order to confirm that intact vesicles bind to F-actin, the pelleted vesicles were also examined by electron microscopy. Different types of intact, coated vesicles were identified in the budded vesicle fraction (Fig. 8, A–C). Coated vesicles and vesicle profiles were found in the F-actin pellet (Fig. 8D), and some vesicle profiles can be seen bound to actin filaments (Fig. 8, D and E). Negative contrast of the F-actin/vesicle pellet reveals superpolymerized F-actin (Fig. 8F, arrows) as well as normal F-actin (Fig. 8F, arrowheads) around vesicles. Taken together, these data show that newly budded Golgi-derived vesicles can bind to F-actin, presumably through the interaction of one or more of the actin-binding proteins present on each vesicle population.

**DISCUSSION**

The budding assay described in this paper yields multiple populations of intact, coated vesicles derived from Golgi membranes (Fig. 9). When the full complement of vesicles was further separated by gradient centrifugation, three distinct populations were harvested and identified by the presence of the vesicle-associated proteins p200/myosin II, β-COP, and γ-adaptin as markers. Each of these proteins from the cytosol bound to Golgi membranes in a GTP-dependent fashion during the budding assay, and each was then recovered in distinct peak fractions of the vesicle separation gradient. The separation of these vesicles is consistent with results obtained by immunoelectron microscopy showing that β-COP and p200/myosin II (S) and γ-adaptin and p200/myosin II (S5) are on separate populations of Golgi-derived vesicles. In addition, there are undoubtedly other types of Golgi-derived vesicles present. For instance, β-COP has also been found on non-clathrin-coated vesicles budding off other parts of the Golgi complex, including the TGN (41). Two other types of vesicles that bud off the TGN are potentially also present within this gradient: vesicles labeled with the p230 protein, which are distinct from those bearing p200/myosin II (42); and those defined by the AP-3 adapter complex, which bud off the TGN for transit to late endosomes and lysosomes (43). Our data also suggest that fractions F1–F4 on the gradient contain separate populations of vesicles labeled for either p200/myosin II or for Tm5 isoforms.

Other proteins were found on one or more populations of vesicles. The Rab family of monomeric G proteins bind to different classes of transport vesicles and commonly serve as compartment markers. Rab 6 was found to codistribute with β-COP, consistent with it being on vesicles involved in trafficking in early parts of the Golgi stack, most likely those involved in retrograde transport (44). Dynamin is a GTPase implicated in the scission of clathrin-coated vesicles at the plasma membrane (45, 46). Dynamin II has been localized on the TGN, where it appears to be involved in the budding of at least two vesicle populations: clathrin-coated vesicles and constitutive secretory vesicles labeled for pIgA-R (47). Our data similarly indicate a GTP-dependent binding of dynamin II to Golgi membranes and additionally show that dynamin is distributed across the whole gradient and is therefore associated with multiple populations of vesicles and that dynamin does stay attached to the membranes on newly budded vesicles after their release. The presence of dynamin on all budded vesicles suggests that it may form part of a generalized budding machinery, perhaps by aiding vesicle interactions with both microtubules and microfilaments, through binding to profilin and amphipathins (48, 49).

A dedicated spectrin/ankyrin network is assembled on Golgi membranes (16, 18) and, although the functions of this network are not yet understood, it has potential roles in maintaining Golgi structure, in marshaling transmembrane proteins within the plane of Golgi membranes and/or in vesicular transport (50). Based on the equivalent spectrin structure at the plasma membrane, it is reasonable to assume that short actin filaments or actin-like molecules are also associated with the Golgi complex. Centractin (ARP1) is an actin-related protein shown to associate with spectrin on plasma membranes and on Golgi membranes (51). Our data show that centractin is on newly budded vesicles and, unlike actin itself, it is concentrated on COP I vesicles. As part of the dynactin complex (52), centractin has the potential to link Golgi membranes or vesicles with microtubules. COP I vesicles are known to associate with microtubules (53) and have recently been shown to associate with spectrin/ankyrin (50), suggesting several possible roles for centractin on these vesicles. Our results also indicate that small amounts of actin itself, as the β-actin isofrom (<10%), is stably associated with Golgi membranes and that some β-actin is bound to budded vesicles. Unlike the other vesicle-associated proteins, β-actin was slightly de-enriched in the budded vesicle fraction compared with the Golgi stack, suggesting that relatively more of the actin is retained on non-budding membrane domains of Golgi cisternae. In addition, β-actin was present on all newly budded vesicles and, when tested, vesicles from all fractions appeared to be equally competent to bind to F-actin. The presence of membrane-associated actin with both the Golgi cisternae and with budding vesicles suggests that actin filaments could be both a structural component of the Golgi stack as well as participating in trafficking. By electron microscopy, under normal conditions of the budding reaction, we did not observe prominent actin filaments associated with budded vesicles. Polymerized actin produced in the presence of JAS could, however, be seen associated directly with vesicles. Thus, actin present on Golgi membranes and/or on vesicles under physiological conditions may be composed primarily of short filaments, or actin filaments may associate with the membranes only transiently. Actin on budded vesicles could be transferred from the donor Golgi membranes, or new short filaments could...
arise from local nucleation sites on the surface of vesicles. Tropomyosins associate closely with actin filaments and serve to regulate filament stability and length. Cells typically express several types and isoforms of tropomyosins, and there is growing evidence to show that specific isoforms can be differentially localized on actin filaments throughout cells (54). Tropomyosins of the Tm5 family, recognized by the CG3 antibody, were detected here on rat liver Golgi membranes and were on at least one specific vesicle population. This correlates well with the demonstration that Tm5NM-1 and Tm5NM-2 isoforms of the Tm5 family are localized on Golgi membranes in fibroblasts, as shown by immunofluorescence. In contrast, tropomyosins detected by the α9d antibody, were not found on vesicles. These findings indicate that tropomyosin isoforms mark specific populations of microfilaments associated with discrete cellular subcompartments. It also provides compelling evidence that these different filament populations have specific cellular functions (55). Actin filament heterogeneity may be regulated throughout cells and even within the Golgi milieu by the differential placement of tropomyosins associated with membranes and vesicles. One of the two tropomyosin isoforms in S. cerevisiae has been implicated in post-Golgi trafficking (56) by the finding that temperature-sensitive mutants of the TPM1 gene accumulate Golgi-derived transport vesicles. A functional interaction of tropomyosin with a myosin V in this pathway is further suggested, since the TPM1 gene shows synthetic lethality with the MYO2 gene (56). An important role of tropomyosins in vesicle trafficking could potentially be to liaise with myosin motors in coordinating filament stability and vesicle movement.

Myosins of multiple families appear in association with Golgi membranes and on newly budded vesicles in cells (1, 35). p200/myosin II is homologous to the heavy chain of non-muscle myosin IIA (MHCIIA) and is on a specific subset of TGN-derived vesicles (35, 57). It is interesting to note that non-muscle myosin IIB is on different Golgi membranes and vesicles. In several cell types, nonmuscle myosins IIA and IIB have been found on distinct membrane domains and have been ascribed to different cellular functions such as cytokinesis and cell motility (58, 59). The current data, however, provide the first indication that both non-muscle myosin II isoforms could be involved in trafficking and that there is highly specific targeting of each protein to distinct vesicle-budding domains of Golgi membranes. Myosin I is also found on vesicles budding off Golgi membranes, where it is proposed to provide motor activity for vesicle movement along actin filaments at the cell periphery (10). The non-muscle myosins IIA and B, both in the cytosol
and on vesicles, are oriented for actin binding in a MgATP-dependent motor-like configuration. p200/myosin II binds to the vesicles in a GTP-dependent fashion during budding, and it dissociates from vesicles soon after budding, suggesting it may function only in the locale of the Golgi. However, there are conflicting reports about whether p200/myosin II is an essential participant in the vesicle budding reaction (6, 60). Thus, as with actin/membrane interfaces in other parts of the cell, the surfaces of Golgi-derived vesicles appear to harbor multiple classes of motors, perhaps for a series of temporal interactions with microfilaments. Possible mechanisms for binding vesicles to actin filaments, and their associated proteins, include direct binding via myosins I or II or indirect association of actin with the vesicle surface through spectrin/ankyrin assemblies. Membrane binding through any of the known mechanisms for vesicle-associated proteins, for instance through different actions of ARF-GTP (50), is likely to result in dynamic or temporary binding of microfilaments to vesicles.

These data add to the emerging evidence that the Golgi complex is associated with the actin cytoskeleton through multiple families of actin-binding proteins or actin-based motors. Moreover, we show that specific isoforms or members of these families are placed strategically and specifically on specific membrane domains, or on specific vesicle populations. The binding of specific isoforms of Tam5 tropomyosins to selected vesicles suggests a mechanism for customizing actin filament stability during vesicle budding or trafficking. Isoforms of myosin II on different vesicles could also provide for different motor activity, vesicle movement, or attachment to actin filaments during budding. A key issue to now resolve is how individual actin-binding proteins and actin filaments bind to vesicles and the relationships of these proteins during budding or subsequent trafficking.
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