DISCOVERY OF X-RAY EMISSION FROM YOUNG SUNS IN THE SMALL MAGELLANIC CLOUD

L. M. Oskinova1, W. Sun2, C. J. Evans3, V. Hénault-Brunet4, Y.-H. Chu5, J. S. Gallagher III6, M. A. Guerrero7, R. A. Gruendl8, M. Güdel9, S. Silich9, Y. Chen2, Y. Nazé10, R. Hainich1, and J. Reyes-Iturbide11

1 Institute for Physics and Astronomy, University Potsdam, D-14476 Potsdam, Germany
2 Department of Astronomy, Nanjing University, Nanjing, 210093 Jiangsu, China
3 UK Astronomy Technology Centre, Royal Observatory Edinburgh, Blackford Hill, Edinburgh EH9 3HJ, UK
4 Scottish Universities Physics Alliance (SUPA), Institute for Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Blackford Hill, Edinburgh EH9 3HJ, UK
5 Department of Astronomy, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 5534 Sterling, 475 North Charter Street, Madison, WI 53706, USA
6 Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía, IAA-CSIC, Glorieta de la Astronomía s/n, E-18008 Granada, Spain
7 Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna, Türkenschanzstrasse 17, A-1180 Vienna, Austria
8 Instituto Nacional de Astrofísica, Óptica y Electrónica, AP 51, 72000 Puebla, Mexico
9 GAPHE, Département AGO, Université de Liège, Allée du 6 Août 17, Bât. BSC, B-4000 Liège, Belgium
10 LATO-DCET/Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz, Rodovia Jorge Amado, km 16, 45662-000 Ilhéus, BA, Brazil

Received 2012 August 12; accepted 2013 January 15; published 2013 February 15

ABSTRACT

We report the discovery of extended X-ray emission within the young star cluster NGC 602a in the Wing of the Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC) based on observations obtained with the Chandra X-Ray Observatory. X-ray emission is detected from the cluster core area with the highest stellar density and from a dusty ridge surrounding the H ii region. We use a census of massive stars in the cluster to demonstrate that a cluster wind or wind-blowed bubble is unlikely to provide a significant contribution to the X-ray emission detected from the central area of the cluster. We therefore suggest that X-ray emission at the cluster core originates from an ensemble of low- and solar-mass pre-main-sequence (PMS) stars, each of which would be too weak in X-rays to be detected individually. We attribute the X-ray emission from the dusty ridge to the embedded tight cluster of the newborn stars known in this area from infrared studies. Assuming that the levels of X-ray activity in young stars in the low-metallicity environment of NGC 602a are comparable to their Galactic counterparts, then the detected spatial distribution, spectral properties, and level of X-ray emission are largely consistent with those expected from low- and solar-mass PMS stars and young stellar objects (YSOs). This is the first discovery of X-ray emission attributable to PMS stars and YSOs in the SMC, which suggests that the accretion and dynamo processes in young, low-mass objects in the SMC resemble those in the Galaxy.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The eastern “Wing” of the Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC) provides us with an excellent laboratory to investigate the role of environment in star formation and stellar evolution when compared to Galactic studies. The Wing has a low content of gas, dust, and stars, with a comparably low metallicity to that found in the main body of the SMC (e.g., Lee et al. 2005). These are typical conditions for low-metallicity dwarf irregular galaxies, which are the most common type among all star-forming galaxies (Gallagher & Hunter 1984).

The most significant site of star formation in the Wing is NGC 602, which is a conglomeration of at least three stellar clusters: NGC 602a (immersed in the LHA 115-N 90 H ii region; Henize 1956), with NGC 602b adjacent to the north, and NGC 602c ~11’ to the northeast (Westerlund 1964). Cignoni et al. (2009) advocated a discussion of the mass distribution towards the young stellar population of NGC 602a of 18.7 mag (also see the discussion by Evans et al. 2012); we adopt this distance in the analysis presented here.

The images of NGC 602a from the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS)12 reveal a star-forming region with a striking ring morphology, as shown in Figure 1. Massive OB-type stars shine within the broken ring, while lower-mass, pre-main-sequence (PMS) stars are distributed around them (e.g., Carlson et al. 2007; Gouliermis et al. 2007, 2012). Infrared (IR) images from the Spitzer Space Telescope show the same morphology, with numerous embedded, young stellar objects (YSOs) revealed in the dusty ridges (e.g., Carlson et al. 2011).

From analysis of the HST-ACS and Spitzer observations, Cignoni et al. (2009) and Carlson et al. (2011) have argued that the stars in NGC 602a belong to one of the three distinct age groups: (1) 6–8 Gyr old metal-poor field stars; (2) hot massive stars with ages of a few Myr responsible for the ionization of N 90 and low-mass PMS stars of the same age; and (3) tens of kyr old YSOs (Class 0.5-I) embedded in the dusty ridges and pillars. The cluster mass has been estimated as ~2000 M⊙ (Cignoni et al. 2009; Carlson et al. 2011). The star formation rate in NGC 602a was determined by Cignoni et al. (2009) to have reached (0.3–0.7) × 10−3 M⊙ yr−1 in the last 2.5 Myr, comparable to that found in Galactic OB associations.

As part of a combined X-ray–optical study of NGC 602 and its associated Hα supergiant shell (“SGS-SMC”); Meaburn (1980) we obtained deep X-ray imaging of NGC 602 with the Chandra X-Ray Observatory, giving the best opportunity to date to study the SMC’s Wing at X-ray wavelengths. This paper presents and discusses these new observations.

12 http://hubblesite.org/newscenter/archive/releases/2007/04
Figure 1. Color-composite image of NGC 602a, constructed from observations with Spitzer-IRAC at 8 μm (red, AOR 12485120), HST-ACS with the F658N filter (green, data set J92FA6), and Chandra ACIS-I in the 0.5–7.0 keV band (adaptively smoothed, in blue). Image size is ≈ 2′ × 2′ (≈ 32 pc × 32 pc).

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

In the context of deep X-ray observations, the Orion Nebular Cluster (ONC, M 42) provides a useful Galactic comparison. Indeed, the ONC—located at a distance of ≳ 0.4 kpc (e.g., Sandstrom et al. 2007)—is one of the best-studied young, massive star clusters. It has a cluster stellar mass of ≳ 1800 M⊙ within 2 pc (Hillenbrand & Hartmann 1998) and contains multiple stellar generations with ages of 0.1–3 Myr, with a lower limit to the recent star formation rate of ≳ 10^{-4} M⊙ yr^{-1}. The cluster core is compact with a radius ≲ 0.5 pc (Hillenbrand 1997; Hillenbrand & Hartmann 1998). Most pertinent, the ONC has been studied extensively in X-rays (e.g., Schulz et al. 2001; Feigelson et al. 2002; Flaccomio et al. 2003; Güdel et al. 2008), with deep Chandra observations revealing the X-ray properties of its young stars (see the ApJS special issue, vol. 160, Getman et al. 2005), which are considered a template for massive star-forming region.

The mass, size, stellar mass history, and star formation history of the ONC are comparable to NGC 602a. The stellar initial mass function (IMF) for stars more massive than 1 M⊙ in NGC 602a was found by Schmalzl et al. (2008) to be similar to a Salpeter IMF, i.e., comparable to the field IMF in the solar neighborhood. Albeit there is a difference in the exact number of high-mass stars between the ONC (six stars; Hillenbrand 1997) versus NGC 602a (10 stars; Schmalzl et al. 2008), such differences are to be expected from stochastic sampling of the IMF at relatively low mass star clusters (e.g., Cerviño & Valls-Gabaud 2003).

In accordance with other results found for the SMC clusters (e.g., NGC 346; Massey et al. 1995; Sabbi et al. 2008; De Marchi et al. 2011), this suggests that the star formation process is similar between the SMC and the Galaxy (e.g., Chabrier 2003). However, it is not yet clear how low metallicity of the SMC affects the physical properties of the stars. In massive stars the wind momentum may be dependent on metallicity (e.g., Puls et al. 1996). In young low-mass stars the metallicity effects could lead to different coronal and accretion properties. Additionally, a metal-poor environment might lead to reduced radiative losses from X-rays, thus affecting disk formation. For example, Yasui et al. (2009) suggested that stars forming in a low-metallicity environment experience disk dispersal a few Myr earlier compared to those with solar abundances. The dynamo process that powers X-ray coronae may also be affected by metallicity (e.g., Pizzolato et al. 2001).

There are only very few deep X-ray observations of star-forming regions in environments that are very different to our Galactic neighborhood (e.g., Caramazza et al. 2012). Previous X-ray observations of star-forming regions in the SMC were not sensitive enough to detect the X-ray emission from low- and solar-mass stars (e.g., Nazé et al. 2003). The new Chandra data presented here provide us with an opportunity to investigate the X-ray behavior of young stellar populations in the low metallicity of the SMC for the first time.

In this paper we present the Chandra observations, which have revealed extended X-ray emission in NGC 602a. Section 2 presents the observations, data reduction, the detected point sources, and the details of the extended X-ray emission. The X-ray emission from massive stars is considered in Section 3. Section 4 discusses the possible origins of the extended emission, with a summary given in Section 5. In the Appendix, the
details about the X-ray point sources are provided and the neutral column density toward NGC 602a is deduced.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

The data were obtained with the Chandra ACIS-I detector. The observations comprised 11 exposures acquired between 2010 March 31 and 2010 April 29 with an effective exposure time of 290.7 ks. A combined optical, IR, and X-ray image of the cluster is shown in Figure 1.

The Chandra data-reduction package ciao (v4.3) was used for calibration of the X-ray data and extraction of the spectra.14 Point-like sources were detected in three broadbands ("S": 0.5–2.0 keV, "H": 2.0–8.0 keV, and "B": 0.5–8.0 keV) using the procedures from Wang (2004).

The detection procedures use a combination of algorithms: wavelet detection, a "sliding-box" method, and maximum likelihood centroid fitting. The source count rates are estimated from the net counts within the 90% energy-encircled radius determined by the telescope/ACIS point-spread function (PSF; Jerius et al. 2000). The PSFs are different in the soft and hard bands, therefore the point-source detection was undertaken in the individual bands. The detection limit is characterized by a threshold probability (we used $1 \times 10^{-6}$), which describes the probability of a false detection of a random variation above the background as a point source.

For our observations we used the position-dependent 70% energy-encircled radius as the detection aperture (Jerius et al. 2000), corresponding to $\sim$1″ (0.3 pc) in NGC 602a. The adopted threshold probability allowed us to detect point sources with a count rate of 0.05 counts ks$^{-1}$ in a broadband, corresponding to 14 source counts (3$\sigma$ detection). No flaring activity within NGC 602a was detected during our observations.

2.1. Point Sources Detected in NGC 602a

Nine point sources were detected within 2′ ($\sim$32 pc) of the core of NGC 602a. These are summarized in Table 1 and their locations are overlaid on an optical HST image in Figure 2. Source 2 has no optical counterparts within a radius of 1″ (cf. the source catalog from Schmalzl et al. 2008); we believe it to be a background source. The counterparts of the remaining sources are discussed in more detail in the Appendix. Two (sources 4 and 5) are located in the dense core of NGC 602a, with source 5 apparently coincident with a late O-type star. Source 3 is a newly discovered quasar, QSO J012930$-733311$. Using our own optical spectra obtained with VLT-FLAMES we determined its redshift as $z = 2.4$.

We use the X-ray spectral analysis of QSO J012930$-733311$ to estimate the neutral hydrogen column density toward NGC 602a (see Appendix A.2). Throughout this paper we use a two component absorption model, with Galactic foreground absorption at $6 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Wilms et al. 2000) and a second component with SMC abundances at $2 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$.

2.2. Extended X-Ray Emission

The high angular resolution of Chandra allowed us to disentangle bright point sources from areas of extended X-ray emission. After subtraction of the point sources, inspection of

---

14 All X-ray spectra discussed here were analyzed using the xspec v12 spectral fitting package (Arnaud 1996).
the adaptively smoothed X-ray images reveals apparent areas of extended, diffuse emission in NGC 602a (see Figures 1–4). The largest area of extended emission is centered on the central part of NGC 602a, with a more compact area of emission coincident with the sub-cluster of YSOs Y327 (Carlson et al. 2011). The third area is to the north of the cluster and coincides with a galaxy seen in the HST images (see Figure 2).

Formal detection of extended X-ray emission must satisfy two criteria: (1) the extended emission shall be above the background fluctuations and (2) it should be truly extended, with a radial profile that is wider than that of the PSF. The first criterion is checked using image contours at the 5σ and 3σ levels (e.g., Figure 2). Once an area is identified as possible extended emission, its extent is checked by building a radial profile, which is then compared with the profile from a point-like source of similar brightness (see Figure 5). Gouliermis et al. (2012) showed that NGC 602a is hierarchically structured, and identified sub-clusters that differ in age, mass, and size (their Table 1). Two of the areas of extended X-ray emission coincide with their two most populous sub-clusters (see Figure 4), which are now discussed in more detail.

2.2.1. Sub-cluster 1: the Core of NGC 602a

At least seven OB-type stars and numerous lower-mass stars reside in “sub-cluster 1” (Gouliermis et al. 2012), with the stellar density distribution comparable to the distribution of extended X-ray emission (see Figure 4). A comparison of the radial profile of the X-ray emission filling the interior of sub-cluster 1 with the ACIS PSF is shown in Figure 5, demonstrating that the X-ray emission is indeed extended.

After removal of the detected point sources, an X-ray spectrum was extracted from an area of ∼18 pc² (as shown by the solid circle in Figure 6). We investigated in detail the effects of the background. The spectra obtained using different background areas are similar, and they are always above the background level. For example, the total (together with background) count rates (over 0.5–8.0 keV band) of extended X-ray emission from sub-cluster 1 is (5.0 ± 1.0) × 10⁻⁴ counts s⁻¹, while the ambient background count rate in the same band is (0.6 ± 1.0) × 10⁻⁴ counts s⁻¹. Therefore, the source spectrum is about 3σ above the background spectrum.

To derive the spectral parameters, we first attempted a spectral fit with a two-temperature plasma model. Unfortunately, due to the small number of the spectral counts, the 2-T model parameters could not be constrained. Therefore, we use an APEC isothermal plasma model, adopting SMC abundances and the absorption model as obtained from the fits to the nearby, newly discovered QSO J012930–733311 (see Appendix A.2). The best-fit one-temperature model has kT = 2.1 ± 1.3 keV with an emission measure of (10 ± 3) × 10⁵⁵ cm⁻³. The model-observed flux is 2 × 10⁻¹⁵ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻² in the 0.5–8.0 keV range. 

Table 1

| Source (1) | CXOU Name (2) | δs (°) (3) | CR (counts ks⁻¹) (4) | HR (5) | HR1 (6) | Flag (7) | Counterparts (8) |
|-----------|---------------|------------|----------------------|--------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| 1         | J012915.85−733240.7 | 0.7        | 0.05 ± 0.02          | ...    | ...     | B       |      |
| 2         | J012924.03−733236.3 | 0.4        | 0.26 ± 0.04          | 0.10 ± 0.18 | 1.00 ± 0.00 | H,B,S | ? |
| 3         | J012930.17−733310.7 | 0.3        | 15.02 ± 0.32         | −0.26 ± 0.03  | 0.42 ± 0.03 | B,S,H | QSO J012930–733311 |
| 4         | J012930.98−733344.2 | 0.5        | 0.08 ± 0.03          | ...    | ...     | S       | Cluster center?|
| 5         | J012931.29−733342.2 | 0.5        | 0.06 ± 0.02          | ...    | ...     | S       | O9.5V star? |
| 6         | J012935.13−733242.8 | 0.4        | 0.18 ± 0.04          | −0.91 ± 0.18  | 0.50 ± 0.19 | S,B | ? |
| 7         | J012935.79−733233.1 | 0.4        | 0.21 ± 0.04          | ...    | 0.43 ± 0.19 | S,B | Galaxy "G372" |
| 8         | J012939.52−733355.3 | 0.5        | 0.25 ± 0.04          | 0.08 ± 0.20  | 0.75 ± 0.19 | B,S,H | ? |
| 9         | J012942.31−733353.5 | 0.5        | 0.17 ± 0.03          | ...    | ...     | B,S,H | Galaxy |

Notes. Definition of the Chandra bands: 0.5–1 (S1), 1–2 (S2), 2–4 (H1), and 4–8 keV (H2), with S = S1 + S2, H = H1 + H2, and B = S + H. Column 1: generic source number; Column 2: adopted Chandra identification; Column 3: positional uncertainty (1σ) calculated from the maximum likelihood centroiding error and an approximate off-axis angle (r) dependent systematic error: 0.2 + 1.4(r/8)², (an approximation to Figure 4 from Feigelson et al. 2002), and added in quadrature; Column 4: on-axis source broadband count rate (the sum of the exposure-corrected count rates in the four bands); Columns 5 and 6: the hardness ratios, defined as HR = (H − S2)/(H + S2), and HR1 = (S2 − S1)/S; Column 7: “B,” “S,” or “H” indicates the band in which a source is detected and the source of the quoted position in Column 2; Column 8: information on counterparts, see the Appendix for further details.
2.2.2. Sub-cluster 2: the Tip of “Elephant Trunk”

Extended X-ray emission (over an area of ~12 pc$^2$ or ~55 arcsec$^2$) is also detected from “sub-cluster 2,” the second most populous sub-cluster in the region (Gouliermis et al. 2012). Located at the tip of an “elephant trunk” associated with star formation and on the northeastern limb of the N 90 H II region, sub-cluster 2 is a good example of a compact cluster at an earlier evolutionary stage than NGC 602a.

Two IR-bright, massive YSOs were identified in 3.6 μm Spitzer images by Gouliermis et al. (2007, their sources 54 and 57). Carlson et al. (2011) collectively refer to these, and other nearby bright sources, as “Y327” (this label is also used to identify the sub-cluster in Figure 2) and identified them as Class I YSOs (younger than ~10$^5$ yr). Class I YSOs are surrounded by in-falling dusty envelopes, which continue to accrete mass and produce outflows (Adams et al. 1987).

Only 22 counts were detected from the Chandra observations of sub-cluster 2, none of which were at energies below 1 keV, confirming that this object is highly embedded. Comparing the...
number of counts at different radii with those expected from a point source confirms that X-ray emission is extended at this position. It is difficult to estimate the intrinsic luminosity as we do not know the column density and extinction law in this region. Adopting an ad hoc $N_H = 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ with a thermal plasma with temperature $\sim 2$ keV we estimate the model-dependent X-ray luminosity of sub-cluster 2 to be $L_X \approx 6 \times 10^{32}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in the 0.5–8.0 keV band.

3. X-RAY EMISSION FROM MASSIVE STARS IN NGC 602a

On the basis of HST photometry, Schmalzl et al. (2008) identified the 10 brightest stars of NGC 602a as massive stars (i.e., with inferred spectral types of B0.5 or earlier). Among all these stars, only the O9.5V star SGD 13 is marginally detected in our observations (see the discussion in Appendix A.4).

For Galactic single and binary OB stars, the bolometric and X-ray luminosities are seen to correlate as $\log L_X / L_{bol} \sim -7$ (e.g., Seward et al. 1979; Pallavicini et al. 1981; Berghofer et al. 1997; Oskinova 2005; Nazé 2009). X-ray detections of normal massive stars in other galaxies are scarce so it is unknown how this correlation scales with environment. Given that the strength of stellar winds decreases with metallicity (e.g., Puls et al. 1996; Vink et al. 2001; Mokiem et al. 2007; Gräfener & Hamann 2008), we expect that O-type stars in the SMC are less X-ray luminous than in the Galaxy.

To estimate an upper limit to the X-ray luminosity of undetected OB-type stars in NGC 602a, we adopt the line-of-sight neutral hydrogen value from analysis of the nearby QSO X-ray spectrum (Appendix A.2) and assume that the X-ray spectral model is similar to that found typically in Galactic massive stars, i.e., an optically thin plasma in collisional equilibrium, characterized by $T \sim 5$ MK. With these assumptions, any massive stars not detected by Chandra in NGC 602a should have X-ray luminosities of $\lesssim 1.5 \times 10^{32}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Adopting this limit, if massive stars in the SMC were as X-ray luminous as their Galactic counterparts, then only the most massive stars (with spectral types earlier than O4 V) would have been detected.

Using optical spectroscopy with VLT-FLAMES of massive stars in and around NGC 602a (R. Hainich et al. 2013, in preparation) we found that Sk 183 is the only star in NGC 602a with such an early spectral type. However, it was not detected in our X-ray observations. Given the detection limit calculated above, the maximum X-ray luminosity for Sk 183 (compared to its bolometric luminosity) is $\log L_X / L_{bol} \lesssim -7.2$.

After Sk 183, the next most massive stars known in the cluster are late O-type dwarfs (e.g., Hutchings et al. 1991; R. Hainich et al. 2013, in preparation). The X-ray luminosities of Galactic late O-type stars are typically much lower than the detection limit of the Chandra observations in NGC 602a. For instance, both $\xi$ Oph (O9 V) and $\mu$ Col (O9.5 V) have $L_X \approx (1.2–1.3) \times 10^{31}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (Oskinova et al. 2001; Huenemoerder et al. 2012), and in both cases this corresponds to $\sim 10^{-7}$ of their bolometric luminosity.

The correlation of X-ray to bolometric luminosity can have a spread of up to 1 dex (e.g., Nazé et al. 2011). However, if $L_X$ exceeds $10^{-7} L_{bol}$ it strongly indicates that the star is either a colliding-wind binary (e.g., Stevens et al. 1992; Antokhin et al. 2004; Pollock & Corcoran 2006), or has a magnetically confined wind (e.g., Babel & Montmerle 1997; Donati et al. 2002). In these cases one would also expect relatively hard X-ray emission (e.g., Gagné et al. 2005; Ignace et al. 2013; Nazé et al. 2012). Even more significant X-ray fluxes would be expected from massive stars with a degenerate (neutron star or black hole) companion, for which $\log L_X / L_{bol} \lesssim -4 \ldots -3$ (Liu et al. 2005).

The fraction of binaries among O-type stars is very high (up to 70%), especially in young clusters (Chini et al. 2012; Sana et al. 2012). It is likely that there are binaries among massive stars in NGC 602a. The non-detection of these stars in X-rays shows that a colliding wind binary phenomenon is quite rare among dwarfs O-type stars. This may be due to the weakness of their stellar winds, as X-ray luminosity scales with $M^2$ (Stevens et al. 1992). Therefore, the upper limits on X-ray emission from massive stars in NGC 602a are in agreement with expectations.

15 We note that binary stars and magnetic stars can also exhibit average X-ray luminosities and soft X-ray spectra (Drake et al. 1994; Oskinova 2005; Nazé 2009; Oskinova et al. 2011).
Given the deep optical and IR imaging available, the census of massive stars in NGC 602 can be assumed to be complete (to first order) and, aside from the notable high X-ray luminosity of source 5 ($L_X = 3 \times 10^{32}$ erg s$^{-1}$; see the discussion in Appendix A.4), it seems extremely unlikely that the extended emission in sub-cluster 1 could be accounted for by an unresolved/undetected population of high-mass stars.

4. ORIGINS OF THE EXTENDED EMISSION

In this section, we discuss the origins of the extended X-ray emission detected in sub-clusters 1 and 2.

4.1. Extended Emission from a Wind-blown Bubble?

The intracluster gas in a stellar cluster can be heated by the mechanical energy input from stellar winds. This can result in an outflow of a hot cluster wind and creation of a wind-blown bubble (WBB). Indeed, the diffuse X-ray emission from hot gas is often observed in star-forming regions (e.g., Townsley et al. 2003, 2011; Güdel et al. 2008). The density and the temperature of the hot, X-ray emitting gas is largely determined by the kinetic energy input and depends sensitively on the cluster mass, age, and metallicity (Chu & Mac Low 1990; Stevens & Hartwell 2003; Oskinova 2005). Theoretical models from Silich et al. (2005) indicate that the X-ray production efficiency by the diffuse intracluster gas is generally low, with $L_X/L_{mech} \ll 1\%$, as found by Smith et al. (2005). In the absence of recent SNe, the heating of the WBB is entirely due to the stellar winds, and is determined by the total number of massive stars and kinetic energy of their winds.

As was already discussed above, 10 massive stars in NGC 602a are known—an average number for a cluster of such mass. The most massive star in NGC 602a, Sk 183, is $\approx 45''$ ($\sim 12$ pc) to the northwest of sub-cluster 1. The earliest type stars known in sub-cluster 1 are three late O-type dwarfs (see Appendices A.3 and A.4).

To estimate the combined feedback from stellar winds in this sub-cluster, we need to know their mass-loss rates and wind velocities. Mokiem et al. (2006) investigated wind parameters for late O-type stars in the SMC. Their mass-loss rates (in the range of $10^{-7}$ to $10^{-8} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$) were obtained from analysis of the observed He profiles. In late O-dwarfs, Hα is in absorption and does not significantly depart from a pure photospheric profile. Combining optical and UV spectroscopy helps to set more stringent limits on mass-loss rates. The UV spectra obtained with HST STIS combined with optical spectroscopy were used by Bouret et al. (2003) to analyze O-dwarfs in the SMC. They derived mass-loss rate $1 \times 10^{-10} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ and $v_\infty \sim 1000$ km s$^{-1}$ for a O9.5V-type star. Similarly, combining optical and UV spectroscopy, Martins et al. (2004) determined that the winds of O-dwarfs in the SMC cluster N 81 (located in the SMC Wing) are weak, with mass-loss rates typically lower than $\sim 10^{-8} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ and rather low wind velocities. Oskinova et al. (2007) showed that to derive accurate mass-loss rate from UV resonance lines, stellar wind clumping has to be correctly accounted for in the radiative transfer and that in this case, the consistent mass-loss rates are obtained from the analysis of Hα and the UV lines. These mass-loss rates are only factors 2–3 lower than the theoretical predictions (e.g., Mokiem et al. 2006). The new Monte Carlo fully three-dimensional stellar wind models that account for the interclump medium confirm this result (Śułtan et al. 2012).

With all these in mind, we adopt $M = 10^{-8}$–$10^{-9} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ and $v_\infty = 1000$ km s$^{-1}$ for the three O9.5V stars in sub-cluster 1. The other stars in NGC 602a are early B-type (or later) dwarfs, so their wind kinetic energies will be even smaller (see wind analysis of B-dwarfs in Oskinova et al. 2011). Thus, the combined input of kinetic energy from stellar winds in sub-cluster 1 is $L_{mech} \lesssim 10^{33}$–$10^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$, which is too small to power the detected extended X-ray emission. This conclusion is supported by the morphology of the extended X-ray emission. Typically, WBBs have limb-brightened morphologies, where the diffuse X-ray emission is encompassed by, e.g., Hα shells (Chu & Mac Low 1990; Toalá et al. 2012), but no such shell is seen around sub-cluster 1 (e.g., Figure 2).

Beyond these considerations, we are unable to firmly exclude a contribution to the extended emission in sub-cluster 1 from a WBB. This is because, as noted in Section 2.2.1, the quality of the X-ray spectrum does not allow us to test multi-temperature spectral fits (Figure 6). Also, we note that the experience from X-ray observations in the ONC suggests that Chandra is not ideally suited for detection of WBBs because of its rather low sensitivity for soft X-rays. The diffuse, hot ($T \sim 2$ MK) plasma discovered in the ONC by Güdel et al. (2008) was from XMM-Newton observations, and was not detected by Chandra (Feigelson et al. 2005). In part this was due to lower sensitivity of Chandra to soft X-rays. Moreover, the ONC is absorbed by a “veil” of neutral gas (O’Dell et al. 2011), which was evident in the $N_{\text{H}}$ measurements from Chandra; in the region further out where the diffuse emission was observed by XMM-Newton, the H1 column density is much smaller.

Lastly, we also note that Güdel et al. (2008) explained all of the hard, extended X-ray emission observed in the ONC as being due to PMS stars. Arthur (2012) has recently presented hydrodynamic models for the expansion of WBBs inside evolving HII regions, adopting stellar wind parameters and a rate of ionizing photons appropriate to $2^1$ Ori C. She found that the model overpredicts the emission at higher energies compared with the observations. New physical ingredients (e.g., mass-loading, non-spherical models) may be required to reconcile WBB models for Orion with the observations. Thus, to firmly test a WBB model for NGC 602a, we require dedicated theoretical models to arrive at robust predictions about their X-ray emission.

4.2. Sub-cluster 1: X-Ray Emission from Pre-main-sequence Stars

X-ray observations of Galactic star-forming regions have revealed that essentially all low- and solar-mass PMS stars are X-ray sources. For example, Chandra observations of the ONC detected 98.5% of the PMS stars known from optical and IR studies (Preibisch & Feigelson 2005). Thus, sufficiently deep X-ray observations of star clusters should be sensitive to the PMS population and the numerous (but individually faint) sources spread over a cluster may dominate the extended X-ray emission. This is especially true in a young clusters before the first supernovae and when the input of kinetic energy from massive stars is low due to their relative youth (e.g., Oskinova 2005)—sub-cluster 1 in NGC 602a is at such evolutionary stage.

There is a rich population of PMS stars in NGC 602a (Carlson et al. 2007; Schmalzl et al. 2008). Carlson et al. (2011) commented that low-mass PMS stars (with $0.6 M_\odot < M < 3 M_\odot$) were the most remarkable feature in the optical color-magnitude diagram of NGC 602a, with ages of generally less than $\sim 5$ Myr. While some clumps of the PMS stars appear in the
dusty outskirts of the cluster, the majority are concentrated in sub-cluster 1 (with an excess of 1000 observed stars; Gouliermis et al. 2012). We still know little about activity and accretion onto lower mass PMS stars in a low-metallicity environment such as the SMC except that their Hα emission properties appear to be relatively normal (De Marchi et al. 2011). We hypothesize that the properties of PMS stars in NGC 602a are similar to those in the ONC. To test this hypothesis, we check whether we can explain the observed extended X-ray emission by assuming that stars of similar mass and age have the same X-ray properties in the ONC and NGC 602a.

The Chandra observations of the ONC allowed Preibisch & Feigelson (2005) to obtain X-ray luminosities of stars in different mass bins. They found that for PMS stars with ages in the range ~0.1–10 Myr stellar activity decays only mildly with age. We employ their statistical correlations (from their Table 1) between stellar mass, age, and X-ray luminosity in the 0.5–8 keV band and in four mass bins, namely, 0.1–0.2, 0.2–0.4, 0.4–1, and 1–2 $M_\odot$.

For simplicity, we assume that all PMS stars in NGC 602a are coeval with ages of 4 Myr. At this age, intermediate-mass stars (2–8 $M_\odot$) would normally be recognized as Herbig-type objects. Because HAeBe stars are not expected to possess an outer convective layer, X-ray emission detected from these objects is often attributed to low-mass companions. There is however evidence to suggest that in at least some HAeBe stars intrinsic X-ray emission can originate from solar-like magnetic coronae or magnetically confined winds or shock heated plasma in the jet or wind (Telleschi et al. 2007; Günther & Schmitt 2009). Whatever the origin of X-ray emission from intermediate-mass young stars is, their X-ray luminosity is moderate, not exceeding a few $\times 10^{29}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Therefore, we assume that these stars are X-ray dim, and that their X-ray luminosity can be attributed to the less massive companion in binaries (e.g., Evans et al. 2011).

We assume a standard broken power law form for the IMF (Kroupa 2001) and randomly sample stars with masses between 0.1 $M_\odot$ and 50 $M_\odot$ (similar to the mass range for which Carlson et al. 2011 estimated the mass of the cluster) from this IMF. The results are not very sensitive to the high-mass cutoff used due to the small number of stars in these bins. For a cluster mass of $\approx 2250 M_\odot$, the number of stars between 0.1 $M_\odot$ and 2 $M_\odot$ is $\approx 3400$. Their cumulative X-ray luminosity is $L_X \approx 2.3 \times 10^{31}$ erg s$^{-1}$.

To check how sensitive the X-ray luminosity is to the cluster mass, we also considered a lower limit of $\approx 1600 M_\odot$ for the total cluster mass as found by Cignoni et al. (2009) from optical data alone. In this case, the number of stars between 0.1 $M_\odot$ and 2 $M_\odot$ is $\approx 2500$, and the total X-ray luminosity is $L_X \approx 1.7 \times 10^{31}$ erg s$^{-1}$.

The cluster mass reported by Carlson et al. (2011) and Cignoni et al. (2009) is for the whole of NGC 602a. Based on the results of Gouliermis et al. (2012), we might expect the mass of sub-cluster 1, and hence the number of stars in this region, to be $\approx 25\%$ smaller, so the above numbers would need to be scaled down accordingly.

With these assumptions, the model X-ray luminosity of PMS stars in NGC 602a is $L_X \lesssim 2 \times 10^{31}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (0.5–8 keV band), in excellent agreement with the result from the spectral fits of the extended emission from sub-cluster 1 (see Section 2.2.1).

The spectral shape of extended X-ray emission from sub-cluster 1 also agrees well with the global X-ray properties of the low-mass population associated with the ONC from Feigelson et al. (2005). They found that a composite spectrum of low-mass stars in the ONC can be well represented by a two-temperature spectral model with $kT_1 = 0.5$ keV and $kT_2 = 3.3$ keV (with non-solar abundances), in modest agreement with those from the spectral fits in Section 2.2.1.

Thus, we believe that the extended X-ray emission is explained as originating from the unresolved population of low-mass, X-ray active PMS stars.

### 4.3. Sub-cluster 2: X-Ray Emission from Embedded Class I YSOs

The extended X-ray emission is also detected from the second most populous sub-cluster (see Section 2.2.2). We attribute this emission to the unresolved population of Class I YSOs.

Despite the large column densities in Galactic star-forming regions, Class I YSOs are routinely detected in X-rays, with typical luminosities of $L_X \lesssim 10^{30}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (e.g., Getman et al. 2002; Preibisch & Feigelson 2005) and $L_X \approx 10^{32}$ L$_{bol}$ (e.g., Winston et al. 2010). Magnetic effects as well as accretion and jets are the likely key mechanisms responsible for X-ray production (Forbrich & Preibisch 2007).

The most energetic YSO at the tip of the “Pillar of Creation” in the Eagle Nebula has $L_X \approx 3 \times 10^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (e.g., Guarchelo et al. 2012), so dozens of such energetic YSOs would be needed to explain the X-ray luminosity of sub-cluster 2. Given that sub-cluster 2 is embedded in the dusty ridge, the absorption of X-rays will depend on the abundances and dust properties of that material (Wilms et al. 2000; Gordon et al. 2003), thus the estimates of the luminosity may be affected by the adopted extinction. An alternative explanation may involve true diffuse X-ray emission, e.g., as was suggested by Oskinova et al. (2010) who reported hard, diffuse X-rays from the Galactic star-forming region ON 2. Nevertheless, despite the large uncertainty in the estimated X-ray luminosity, one of the key results from the Chandra observations is the first X-ray detection of an embedded cluster of YSOs in the SMC.

### 5. DISCUSSION

X-ray observations of star-forming regions in the Galaxy have provided us with a high-energy perspective on stellar nurseries via studies of both individual stars and their overall stellar populations. The Chandra observations in the Wing of the SMC presented here allowed us to obtain a high-energy view of a star-forming region in a very different environment. In addition to detections of point sources, the new observations have revealed extended X-ray emission emanating from the two most populous sub-clusters in NGC 602a.

Optical and IR observations of NGC 602a have shown that the basic properties of its low-mass stellar population do not appear significantly different from those in Galactic clusters with comparable mass and age. The continued star formation in the broken ring around NGC 602a is also analogous to regions seen in the Galaxy, which are often considered as an indication of triggered second generations of star formation (e.g., Koenig et al. 2012).

Observations of the gas in the N 90 H II region demonstrated it to be nearly quiescent (Nigrata et al. 2008), suggesting that there has not yet been a supernova explosion in the cluster.\footnote{The non-thermal radio source in the vicinity of NGC 602a discussed by Nigrata et al. as a possible supernova remnant is now identified with QSO J012930–733311.} A supernova remnant recently discovered at $\approx 110$ pc to the
west of NGC 602a (Hénault-Brunet et al. 2012) is most likely not related to this cluster, but rather to the general massive star population in the SMC’s Wing. Stellar winds can therefore be expected to be the principal source of kinetic energy input into the intracluster medium, but at a reduced level compared with Galactic stars due to the weaker winds at the low metallicity of the SMC. In this context it is notable that the most massive (Sk 183) is not detected in X-rays, in keeping with its low mass-loss rate (Evans et al. 2012). As might be expected for the low stellar wind efficiency calculated in Section 4.1, we do not see compelling evidence for a hot, diffuse gas filling the full cluster volume and originating from a cluster wind or a WBB. In this scenario, photoionization (which is dominated by Sk 183) is the primary driving mechanism in the evolution of the H ii region N 90 (Wilms et al. 2000). We therefore suggest that the extended X-ray emission arises from the unresolved population of low-mass PMS stars (Section 4.2). As no individual PMS star (nor any flaring) is detected, this conclusion is based on the assumption that the coronal properties of the PMS stars in NGC 602a are comparable to the well-studied PMS stars in the ONC. Adopting the X-ray luminosities from PMS stars in the ONC, we calculated an expected X-ray luminosity for sub-cluster 1 (on the basis of the known population of PMS stars). The predicted luminosity matches the luminosity of the extended emission obtained from our observations.

X-ray emission from PMS stars uniquely traces their magnetic activity, and provides an empirical foundation to the theory of magnetic dynamos—one of the key ingredient in stellar physics. We speculate that if the X-ray properties of PMS stars are indeed comparable in different environments, then other related properties, such as the formation and evolution of protoplanetary disks, are also likely to be similar.

The detection of hard X-ray emission from the YSOs residing at the tip of the “elephant trunk” (sub-cluster 2) is also significant. Only 22 counts were detected (with no spectral or temporal information) but, in combination with the insights obtained from IR studies, such a detection suggests that the X-ray behavior for the accretion and magnetospheric interactions in YSOs in the SMC arise from a similar mechanism to that in the Galaxy.
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APPENDIX

COUNTERPARTS TO DETECTED X-RAY POINT SOURCES IN NGC 602a

The positions of the nine point sources detected in the Chandra observations are overlaid on the optical HST image shown in Figure 2. As noted in Section 2.1, source 2 lacks a convincing optical counterpart, we now discuss the other eight in turn.

A.1. Sources 1 and 8

Sources 1 and 8 have faint optical counterparts. Source 1 is 1″6 away from SGD 3373 (25.6 mag in F555W filter; Schmalzl et al. 2008). Source 8 coincides with SGD 3012 (26.8 mag in F555W filter; Schmalzl et al. 2008). We assume that these objects are background active galactic nuclei.

A.2. Source 3 QSO J012930−733311 and the Neutral Hydrogen Absorption Estimates

Source 3 is the most luminous X-ray object in NGC 602a, and corresponds to the candidate YSO from Gouliermis et al. (2007, their source 52) and Carlson et al. (2011, their Y283). FLAMES spectroscopy revealed this source to be a quasar (QSO) at a redshift of $z = 2.438 ± 0.003$ (labeled as “QSO” in Figure 2). Following the IAU recommendations on nomenclature we designate this as QSO J012930−733311 ($\alpha = 01^h29^m30^s$; $\delta = −73^\circ33′10″$; J2000). The X-ray spectrum of the QSO can be well fitted by an absorbed power-law model with $\Gamma ≈ 1.8$, and its observed flux is $1.1 \times 10^{-13}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$. Its spectrum and the best-fit model are shown in Figure 7.

To model the absorbing column of gas toward QSO J012930−733311, we used two components to account for Galactic and local SMC absorption. The X-ray spectrum of QSO J012930−733311 does not show apparent signs of the intrinsic absorption by the QSO, as well as any cosmological absorption (e.g., Behar et al. 2011), so these components were ignored. Assuming solar abundances, the Galactic foreground absorption was fixed at a column density of $6 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Wilms et al. 2000). A second component (with SMC abundances) was fitted, yielding a value of $(2.0 ± 0.4) \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$.

This value compares well with the SMC neutral hydrogen density toward NGC 602a of $(2.0–2.5) \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ from the radio maps from Stanimirovic et al. (1999), which are sensitive to all angular (spatial) scales between 98′′ (26 pc) and 4′′ (4 kpc).

The reddening toward Sk 183 was estimated by Evans et al. (2012) to be $E(B − V) = 0.09$ mag. This corresponds to $\approx 4 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Bouchet et al. 1985).

We therefore have at least three independent estimates—in good agreement—of the absorbing column toward NGC 602a, i.e., from fits to the X-ray spectrum of QSO J012930−733311, from the H ii maps of the SMC (Stanimirovic et al. 1999), and from optical photometry/spectroscopy of the massive stars in the cluster.

A.3. Source 4

Source 4 is 1″04 (∼0.3 pc) from star HTCP 2 (Hutchings et al. 1991), which they classified as O9. HTCP 2 was later resolved into a close pair of stars by the HST imaging (see Figure 8); SGD 5 and 12, with 15.371 mag and 15.816 mag in the F555W filter, respectively (Schmalzl et al. 2008). The FLAMES–Medusa fibers subtend 1″2 on the sky, so our spectroscopy of HTCP 2 will include contributions from both SGD 5
Figure 7. Upper panel: the Chandra ACIS-I spectrum of QSO J012930–733311 with the best-fitting absorbed power-law model (solid lines; see Appendix A.2); lower panel: residuals between the model and the observed spectrum.

Figure 8. HST F658N image of the central $\sim10'' \times 10''$ (2.6 pc $\times$ 2.6 pc) of NGC 602a. Bright OB-type stars are labeled with identifications from Schmalzl et al. (2008, SGD). Point sources detected in the Chandra observations (see Table 1) are shown by the white circles (with a radius of 0''.7).

and 12. Within the separate spectra we saw no qualitative evidence for radial velocity shifts, and the combined spectrum was classified as O9.5 V. The astrometric uncertainty on the position of the X-ray source is 0''.5 (see Table 1), thus we cannot securely identify SGD 5 and/or 12 as counterparts. Nevertheless, we note that source 4 has a modest X-ray count rate and is detected in a soft band, which would be expected from a normal OB-type star.
A.4. Source 5

There are two sources within the astrometric uncertainty of the X-ray position for source 5 (see Figure 8). Both potential counterparts have photometry from Schmalzl et al. (2008): SGD 13 has 15.663 mag in F555W filter and 15.871 mag in F814W filter; SGD 119 has 19.201 mag in F555W filter and 19.228 mag in F814W filter. We have an optical spectrum of SGD 13 from FLAMES, but it was only observed with the Giraaffe LR02 setting (covering $\lambda \lambda 3960-4564$). We provisionally classify the spectrum as O9.5:V, but note that we lack full coverage of the optical region usually employed for classification of massive stars. Although SGD 13 and 119 are only separated by $\sim 0.5$, their relative magnitudes mean that SGD 13 should dominate the spectrum.

To investigate the nature of the fainter source, we take the HST filters as approximately $V$ and $I$ bands, and adopt the intrinsic color for an O9.5 dwarf from Johnson (1966) and extinction relations from Howarth (1983). The approximate line-intrinsic color for an O9.5 dwarf from Johnson (1966) and filters as approximately HST.

A.5. Source 6

Source 6 is coincident with the candidate YSO “Y358” from Carlson et al. (2011). At the distance of NGC 602a, its X-ray luminosity would be $L_X \approx 6 \times 10^{32}$ erg s$^{-1}$, which is rather high for a low-mass YSO. The optical magnitudes of Y358 are too faint for it to be a young massive star in this region of relatively low extinction (22.695 mag in F555W filter and 21.970 mag in F814W filter; SGD 119 has 19.201 mag in F555W filter and 19.228 mag in F814W filter. We have an optical spectrum of SGD 13 from FLAMES, but it was only observed with the Giraaffe LR02 setting (covering $\lambda \lambda 3960-4564$ ). We provisionally classify the spectrum as O9.5:V, but note that we lack full coverage of the optical region usually employed for classification of massive stars. Although SGD 13 and 119 are only separated by $\sim 0.5$, their relative magnitudes mean that SGD 13 should dominate the spectrum.

To investigate the nature of the fainter source, we take the HST filters as approximately $V$ and $I$ bands, and adopt the intrinsic color for an O9.5 dwarf from Johnson (1966) and extinction relations from Howarth (1983). The approximate line-intrinsic color for an O9.5 dwarf from Johnson (1966) and filters as approximately HST.

A.6. Source 7

Source 7 coincides with a spiral galaxy (“G372” from Carlson et al. 2011), which can be seen clearly in the HST image (Figure 2).

A.7. Source 9

Source 9 is $\approx 1''6$ away from an optical source SGD 3593 (F555W = 25.892 mag and F814W = 24.809 mag Schmalzl et al. 2008). Visual inspection of the HST ACS images shows that the optical object is fuzzy and strongly resembles a galaxy. We thus believe that Chandra source 9 is a background galaxy.
