Switched reaction specificity in polyesterases towards amide bond hydrolysis by enzyme engineering†
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The recalcitrance of plastics like nylon and other polyamides contributes to environmental problems (e.g. microplastics in oceans) and restricts possibilities for recycling. The fact that hitherto discovered amidases (EC 3.5.1. and 3.5.2.) only show no, or low, activity on polyamides currently obstructs biotechnological-assisted depolymerization of man-made materials. In this work, we capitalized on enzyme engineering to enhance the promiscuous amidase activity of polyesterases. Through enzyme design we created a reallocated water network adapted for hydrogen bond formation to synthetic amide backbones for enhanced transition state stabilization in the polyester-hydrolyzing biocatalysts. This novel concept enabled increased catalytic efficiency towards amide-containing soluble substrates. The afforded enhanced hydrolysis of the amide bond-containing insoluble substrate 3PA 6,6 by designed variants was aligned with improved transition state stabilization identified by molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. Furthermore, the presence of a favorable water-molecule network that interacted with synthetic amides in the variants resulted in a reduced activity on polyethylene terephthalate (PET). Our data demonstrate the potential of using enzyme engineering to improve the amidase activity for polyesterases to act on synthetic amide-containing polymers.

Introduction

Since their introduction in the twentieth century, polymers have found applications extensively in packaging, in the manufacturing of vehicles, airplanes and advanced medicinal and electronic devices and are indispensable for our everyday life. Although the proportion of bio-based monomers in the annual 300 Mton production of synthetic polymers is increasing (currently representing 1%), many man-made polymers are non-biodegradable. Discarded polymers get fragmented through abiotic mechanisms into smaller and inert pieces (≤5 mm) referred to as microplastics. As only 1–2% of all plastics are recycled in Europe and in the US and up to 43% of the plastic used worldwide is disposed of in landfills, the impact of post-consumer polymer waste on our environment and health can be tremendous. Innovative approaches to valorize this source of secondary raw material and minimize our impact on the environment are urgently needed.

One approach consists of capitalizing on mild enzymatic hydrolysis to depolymerize macromolecular architectures. Due to the high specificity of enzymes, this would allow for step-wise recovery of pure hydrolysis products even from polymer mixtures or composite materials. Enzymatic hydrolysis of the surface of polymers by extracellular hydrolases was reported to be the first step of the biotic degradation of polyesters by microorganisms. Thus, several studies have focused on the enzymatic hydrolysis of polyesters using members of the z/β-hydrolase superfamily, including cutinases and lipases, to increase wettability and dye staining, and to decrease pilling and hydrophobicity. Recently, it was reported that the bacterium Ideonella sakaiensis is able to degrade and assimilate the polyester polyethylene terephthalate (PET).

Due to resonance stabilization, chemical hydrolysis of an amide bond proceeds three orders of magnitude slower than that of an ester bond. Moreover, even if amide and peptide bonds share the same features, amide bonds in polyamides (PA)
are separated by extended carbon chains (Fig. S1†), which permit the formation of a more rigid and crystalline structure. Nylon 6 (polycaprolactam) (Fig. S1B, left†) forms intermolecular H-bonds which are longer and therefore weaker, while nylon 6,6(poly(hexamethylene adipamide)) (Fig. S1B, right†) contains short H-bonds which yield a strong and dense molecular architecture with a high melting temperature (255–265 °C in comparison with 210–220 °C for nylon 6).14 Thus, mild enzymatic depolymerization of inert polyamide backbones is challenging. Some enzymes have been reported to hydrolyze nylon oligomers, which are by-products of nylon production.15–17 Efficient enzymatic hydrolysis of polyamides seems to be considerably more difficult.18 Since nylon is used in fashion and as light-weight metal-replacements it has been found to significantly contribute to the ~10–20 Mtons of plastics that end up in the oceans each year.19,20 Therefore, nylon-degrading biocatalysts would constitute one important class of designer enzymes allowing recycling of wastes to valuable building blocks. To meet this formidable challenge, enzyme engineering and design tools are greatly needed as evolution did not yet have had sufficient time to develop enzymes efficient towards hydrolysis of synthetic polyamides. In this work, enzyme engineering afforded polyesterase variants with up to 15-fold higher activity in hydrolysis of the insoluble amide model substrate N3, N6-dihexyladipamide (3PA 6,6).

Cutinases are extracellular serine hydrolases produced by bacteria and fungi to attack the plant cuticle and especially to degrade the natural polyester cutin.19–22 Cutinases and lipases have been extensively analyzed in order to increase their activity on bulky substrates.23–25 The ability of cutinases to adsorb onto hydrophobic surfaces has been widely used to hydrolyze biodegradable and non-biodegradable polyesters,26,27 such as poly(lactic acid) (PLA) and PET, respectively, which results in surface functionalization.28,29 Hydrolysis of bulky substrates can be achieved after adsorption of the enzyme on the surface of the material.25 In cutinases, as previously reported for cutinase 1 from Thermobifida cellulosilytica (TcC, formerly known as The_Cut1),28 hydrophobic patches, which are present on the surface of these enzymes, can improve the adsorption onto polymers. The latter has been demonstrated to enhance the activity of the enzyme, as shown for the introduction of heterologous domains30 or the truncation of enzyme domains to improve the hydrophobicity of the protein surface.24 Moreover, cutinases possess an exposed active site, as compared to lipases and this feature would allow the polymer chain to be attacked by the enzyme.

Enlarging the cavity of the active site of biocatalysts that hydrolyze natural polyesters like cutin, for a better fitting of the synthetic polymer chain, is a common design method to improve enzymatic activity on bulky, insoluble hydrophobic substrates.31,32 Structural analysis is used to identify specific residues, which when replaced by enzyme engineering, can lead to improved affinity and accelerated biocatalysis.33,34 However, introducing the missing key hydrogen bond acceptor in (poly) esterases, required for facilitated nitrogen inversion,35 and thus efficient (poly)amidase activity, by traditional enzyme design constitutes a bottleneck.36 In this study, we developed a novel approach for which a water network in the enzyme is adapted to the synthetic substrate to enable specific and catalytically favorable interactions.37 With their solvent exposed active site, we reasoned that cutinases would constitute suitable starting templates to achieve optimized transition state (TS) stabilization by water-restructuring mutations,38 to unlock the chemistry and spatial constraints dictated by protein side chains and, thus, to afford accelerated amide-bond hydrolysis.

We aimed at introducing amidase activity in two model cutinases that were previously reported to hydrolyze PET (Fig. 1E), namely Humicola insolens cutinase (HiC) and TcC. To study the activity on soluble substrates, p-nitrobutyranilide (pNBA) and p-nitropheryl butyrate (pNPB) (Fig. 1A and B, respectively), which contained either an amide or ester bond, respectively, were used to evaluate enzyme kinetics. In order to identify “hot-spot” positions that could be important in dictating interactions between water and the transition state (TS), molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were performed using the model substrate 3PA 6,6 (Fig. 1C) (i.e. representing an oligomer of nylon 6,6, Fig. 1D). Experimentally determined activity enhancements of variants were in agreement with the extent of transition state stabilization obtained from molecular modeling.

Materials and methods

Chemicals, films and reagents

All chemicals and reagents used in this work were of analytical grade. The model substrate N3,N6-dihexylhexanediamide (3PA
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Fig. 1 Chemical structures of the investigated model substrates used to study switched reaction specificity of polyesterases. Substrates ranged from a small aliphatic amide (A, p-nitrobutyranilide), ester (B, p-nitropheryl butyrate) to representative amide oligomer (C, N3,N6-dihexyladipamide (3PA 6,6)). The corresponding polyamide (D, poly(hexamethylene adipamide) (nylon 6,6)) is shown for reference. The polyester (poly(ethylene terephthalate) (PET)) is shown in (E).
Films of nylon (6,6) was produced according to Heumann et al. Films of nylon 6,6 and polyethylene terephthalate (PET) were purchased from Goodfellow (UK) (thickness 50 µm). Buffer components, bovine serum albumin (BSA), para-nitrophenol (pNP), para-nitrophenyl butyrate (pNPB), para-nitroaniline (pNA), and methanol were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (USA). The substrate for amidase activity assay para-nitrobutyranilide (pNBA) was purchased from AkoS GmbH (Germany).

**Sequence analysis and sequencing**

DNA and protein sequences were analyzed by CLC Main Workbench, version 7.0.3 (Qiagen, Netherlands). DNA sequencing was performed as a customer at LGC genomics (Germany) using Sanger sequencing.

**Preparation of variants**

All DNA manipulations described in this work were performed by standard methods. Megaprimer for the TcC variants, possessing the specific mutation (Table S1) were purchased from Microsynth AG (Switzerland) and used in two-stage PCR reactions to introduce mutations using *Pfu* DNA polymerase (Promega GmbH, Germany) and pET26b(+)_TcC as template. Restriction enzymes were purchased from New England Biolabs and used following the manufacturer’s protocol. Plasmid DNA was prepared using PureYield™ Plasmid Miniprep and Plasmid MidiPrep system (Promega GmbH, Germany). The amplified products were chemically transformed in *Escherichia coli* XL-10.

For HiC the codon-optimized genes (i.e. *E. coli* codon usage) of wild-type, I167Q and L64H/I167Q variants were purchased from GeneArt® (Life Technologies, USA). The genes were cloned into the vector pET26b(+) and chemically transformed by heat shock into *E. coli* XL-10.

**Bacterial strains, plasmid and media**

Bacterial cells of *E. coli* XL-10 and *E. coli* BL21-Gold(DE3) (Agilent Technologies, USA) strains were used for subcloning and expression, respectively. The vector pET26b(+) (Novagen, Germany) was used for expression of HisC and TcC wild-type and variants. Luria-Bertani (LB) medium, supplemented by 40 µg mL⁻¹ kanamycin and incubated overnight at 37 °C and grown overnight. A stock solution of 3.2 L was reached. Aliquots were taken frequently and stored at −20 °C until further analysis.

**Enzyme expression and purification**

HiC wild-type and variants. The fermentation of *E. coli* cells carrying the vector containing the genes for HiC wild-type and variants was constituted by two phases, the overnight batch phase limited by nutrient availability and the fed-batch phase during the induction of the recombinant protein expression. Cultivations were done in 5 L bioreactors (Minifors, Switzerland). The pH was controlled at pH 7.0 ± 0.2 by addition of 25% NH₄OH, oxygen saturation was maintained at PO₂ > 30%, the temperature was set at 37.0 °C ± 0.5 °C and 5% w/w Glanapont 2000 (Bussetti, Austria) was used as antifoam solution. For the batch phase, 1.75 L batch medium were sterile filtered into the bioreactor and supplemented with 40 µg mL⁻¹ kanamycin. The trace element solution was prepared in 5 N HCl and included 40 g L⁻¹ FeSO₄·7H₂O, 10 g L⁻¹ MnSO₄·H₂O, 10 g L⁻¹ AlCl₃·6H₂O, 4 g L⁻¹ CoCl₂, 2 g L⁻¹ ZnSO₄·7H₂O, 2 g L⁻¹ Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O, 1 g L⁻¹ CuCl₂·2H₂O, and 0.5 g L⁻¹ H₂BO₃. The batch was inoculated with the desired *E. coli* strains, carrying the vector for HiC wild-type or variants, directly from the 1.5 mL cryo-stock and grown overnight. After complete consumption of nutrient defining the end of the batch phase, an exponential fed-batch cultivation, which maintained a constant specific growth rate of 0.1 h⁻¹, was initiated. The substrate feed (3 g L⁻¹ KH₂PO₄, 4 g L⁻¹ K₂HPO₄, 113 g L⁻¹ glucose monohydrate, 10 g L⁻¹ Na₂C₆H₅O₇·2H₂O, 4 g L⁻¹ MgSO₄·7H₂O, 0.8 g L⁻¹ CaCl₂·2H₂O, 2 mL L⁻¹ and trace elements) was program-controlled with feedback control of feed flask weight loss and last for 3 generations (approximately 23 h). Recombinant protein expression was induced by addition of isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) to the reactor at the beginning of the fed-batch phase with 0.9 µmol IPTG per g calculated cell-dry matter (5.6 g CDM). A final volume of 3.2 L was reached. Aliquots were taken frequently and stored at −20 °C until further analysis.

TcC wild-type and variants. Single colonies freshly transformed were picked from agar plates containing 40 µg mL⁻¹ kanamycin and inoculated in 20 mL LB-medium supplemented with 40 µg mL⁻¹ kanamycin and incubated overnight at 37 °C and 150 rpm. This culture was diluted in 200 mL LB-medium containing 40 µg mL⁻¹ kanamycin to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.1 and incubated at 37 °C and 150 rpm to reach an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6–0.8. The culture was induced with 0.05 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) for 20 h at 20 °C. The inactive variant TcC_Ser131Ala was expressed and purified as previously described.

**Purification of His-tagged enzymes.** Cell lysis and purification by nickel-immobilized metal ion affinity chromatography (Ni-IMAC) were carried out as previously described by Herrero et al. Buffer was exchanged to 0.1 M Tris–HCl pH 7 by PD-10 desalting columns (GE Healthcare, USA) and the solution was concentrated by Vivaspin 20, 10 000 Da MWCO (Sartorius AG, Germany).

**Protein analysis**

Bradford assay using Bio-Rad Protein Assay kit was carried out to measure the protein concentration using bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) as standard. Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was performed according to Laemmli using precast gels (Tecan, Austria) carrying the vector containing the genes for HiC wild-type and variants. Luria-Bertani (LB) medium, supplemented by 40 µg mL⁻¹ kanamycin and incubated overnight at 37 °C and grown overnight. A stock solution of 3.2 L was reached. Aliquots were taken frequently and stored at −20 °C until further analysis.

**Enzyme activity assay**

**Esterase activity.** Esterase activity was measured using pNPB as substrate, as previously described in 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer pH 7 at 25 °C following the reaction at 405 nm for 5 min using a Tecan Infinite M200 (Tecan Austria GmbH).
A blank reaction was carried out containing buffer instead of enzyme solution. The molar extinction coefficient (ε$_{405}$) for pNP was calculated as 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer pH 7 as 8.31 M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$. One unit of enzyme activity (U) was defined as the amount of enzyme releasing 1 μmol of pNP per minute under the given experimental conditions. Specific activity was expressed as U mg$^{-1}$ of protein. Substrate specificity (k$_{cat}$/K$_{S}$) was calculated in a substrate range of 0.3 to 6.3 mM pNP. Kinetic data were calculated by simple weighted non-linear regression of the Michaelis–Menten equation using the SigmaPlot software, version 12.5 (Systat Software Inc).

**Amidase activity.** Amidase activity was measured using pNBA. The substrate was first dissolved in 100% DMSO, to a final concentration of 100 mM. Afterwards this solution was diluted in 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer pH 7 to a final concentration of 2.25 mM. The amidase activity was measured at 405 nm and 25 °C for 4 hours using a substrate range of 0.01 to 2.04 mM pNBA and compared to the wild-type enzyme. The molar extinction coefficient (ε$_{405}$) for para-nitroaniline (pNA) was calculated as 15.9 M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$. One unit of enzyme activity (U) was defined as the amount of enzyme releasing 1 μmol of pNA per minute under the given experimental conditions. Specific activity, substrate specificity and kinetic data were carried out as reported above.

**Hydrolysis of polyethylene terephthalate (PET)**

In order to determine the activity towards bulky polyesters, 5 μM HIC and TeC wild-type and variants were incubated with 0.5 × 1 cm amorphous PET films (50 μm thickness) in 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer pH 7 for 72 h at 50 °C and 100 rpm. In order to remove impurities, PET films were previously washed with three different washing steps at 50 °C and 100 rpm, each step for 30 min, firstly with 5 g L$^{-1}$ Triton X-100, then with 0.1 M sodium carbonate and finally with MQ water. After incubation the supernatant was treated to precipitate the enzyme by pouring ice-cold methanol in a ratio of 1:1. The sample was then acidified with 6 M HCl to a pH 4 and then centrifuged at 14 000×g at 0 °C for 15 min. Finally the supernatant was filtered through a 0.22 μm filter and analyzed by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) in a Hewlett Packard series 1050 system, coupled with an Agilent Poroshell 120 Ec-C18, 30 × 50 mm, 2.7 μm column with a precolumn Poroshell 120 Ec-C18, 3.0 × 5.0 mm, 2.7 μm, UHPLC guard column (Agilent Technologies, USA) heated at 40 °C with a fluorescence detector. The samples were eluted using a non-linear gradient with a constant flow rate of 0.75 mL min$^{-1}$. Concentration of formic acid (A) was always kept at 0.01%. The gradient started with 10% methanol (B) and 80% water (C) at 1 min, 50% B and 40% C at 8 min, 90% B and 0% C at 11 min. The injection volume of the sample was 10 μL. The release products were detected via UV spectroscopy at 241 nm.$^{47}$

**Hydrolysis of model substrate 3 PA 6,6**

To investigate the activity of the enzymes and their variants towards amide bonds, 10 mg 3PA 6,6 model substrate was incubated in the presence of 5 μM enzyme in 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer pH 7 at 50 °C and 100 rpm. After hydrolysis the samples were frozen and lyophilized for 48 h, until complete removal of water. The powder was resuspended in 100% methanol. After centrifugation at 14 000 rpm the solution was filtered through a 0.2 μm PSTF filter and placed in a glass vial. Calibration standards of 3PA 6,6 in methanol for gas chromatography-flame-ionization detection (GC-FID) were prepared in a range of 1 to 250 mg L$^{-1}$. The GC-FID analyses were performed using a Hewlett-Packard (Palo Alto, CA). A J&W Scientific (Folsom, CA) DB-17MS capillary column (30 m × 250 μm × 0.25 μm) was used with nitrogen as the carrier gas. A splitless injection mode (1 μL) was used with the following GC temperatures: injection port, 300 °C; initial column temperature, 40 °C; initial hold time, 0.5 min; first temperature ramp, 20 °C min$^{-1}$; second column temperature, 70 °C; second hold time, 1 min; second temperature ramp, 30 °C min$^{-1}$; final column temperature, 340 °C; final hold time, 2 min. The total GC-FID run time was 14 min.

**Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS)**

In order to determine possible release of building blocks from the bulky substrate nylon 6,6, after incubation of the enzyme with the polymer, the supernatant of the reactions was lyophilized using a Christ Freeze dryer Beta 1-16, 220 V, 50 Hz, 1.2 kW. The lyophilized samples were resuspended in ethyl acetate (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) and filtered through a 0.2 μm PSTF filter and placed in a glass vial. The GC-MS analyses of the supernatant were performed using an Agilent 7890A (Santa Clara, CA) equipped with a mass selective detector 5975C VL MSD with triple axis. The system was equipped with a PAL-xt autosampler (CTC Analytics AG, Switzerland). A J&W Scientific (Folsom, CA) DB-17MS capillary column (30 m × 250 μm × 0.25 μm) was used with nitrogen as the carrier gas. A deactivated glass wool tapered bleed temperature optimization (BTO) was used as an inlet liner and a splitless injection mode (1 μL) was used with the following GC temperatures: injection port, 300 °C; initial column temperature, 50 °C; initial hold time, 2 min; first temperature ramp, 7 °C min$^{-1}$; second column temperature 100 °C; second hold time, 1 min; second temperature ramp, 6 °C min$^{-1}$; third column temperature 220 °C; third hold time, 2 min; third temperature ramp, 20 °C min$^{-1}$; final column temperature, 340 °C; final hold time, 5 min; total run time, 43 min. The MSD source was kept at 230 °C, the quadrupole at 190 °C, the transfer line at 300 °C with a scan range between 25 and 500 AMU with a gain factor of 5. The solvent was delayed for 2.8 min.

**Molecular dynamic (MD) simulations**

Molecular dynamics simulations on *Humicola insolens* cutinase was based on the PDB file 4OYY$^{48}$ using YASARA$^{48}$ version 14.5.21. For *Thermobifida cellulosilytica* cutinase 1, the PDB file 5LUI was used.$^{49}$ For both enzyme structures, missing hydrogens were added and the corresponding hydrogen network was optimized by using Amber03 force field and keeping all heavy atoms fixed. Crystallographic waters were kept. For the van der Waals interactions, a cut-off
of 7.86 Å was used and PME accounted for long-range electrostatics. The structures were minimized through repeated steps of short molecular dynamics and energy minimizations, initially by releasing fixed waters and then on all atoms. The obtained structures were finally subjected to simulated annealing. The second tetrahedral intermediate formed during acylation of the catalytic serine (S131 for TcC and S103 for HiC, respectively) represented the TS for nitrogen inversion. The tetrahedral intermediate was constructed by covalent attachment of the 3PA 6,6 substrate. For HiC, the construction of the tetrahedral intermediate was guided by a previously generated homology model. Force field parameterization for the tetrahedral intermediate was obtained by the AUTOSMILES methodology as implemented in YASARA. All simulations were performed in a water box that contained approximately 4000 (for HiC) and 5000 (for TcC) explicit water molecules. The pH was set to 7.4 and corresponding protonation states of enzymes side chains was predicted by the built-in empirical method in YASARA. The introduced His in the HiC L64H/I167Q variant was kept unprotonated throughout the MD simulation (predicted pKₐ 7.2). The simulation cell was neutralized through the addition of 0.9% NaCl. All simulations were performed under standard conditions under the canonical ensemble at 298 K using a Berendsen thermostat (and the Amber03 force field). MD-simulations were performed for 120 ns (with 20 ns equilibration phase and 100 ns production phase) and in duplicate. For the duplicates, different initial random seeds were obtained by a slight change in the simulation temperature (by 0.0012 K). All possible water networks in proximity of the reacting amide group of the substrate were analyzed. A weak hydrogen bond was defined as an interaction between donor and acceptor with a hydrogen bond distance of ≤3 Å, and angle ≥120°.

**Results and discussion**

**Enzyme design and molecular dynamics analysis of variants on insoluble substrate**

We hypothesized that de-shielding the protein backbone to afford enhanced polarity and reduced steric hindrance at identified sites would be beneficial in providing enhanced access of “spectator” water molecules to the TS, as previously discussed in the context of protein unfolding. From a basal energy minimization of the second tetrahedral intermediate representing the TS for nitrogen inversion, potential hot-spot residues were identified. For TcC (Fig. 2A), the side chain of I179 (shown in balls) obstructs access of water molecules to the modeled transition state. For HiC (Fig. 2B), the side chains of L64 and I167 (shown in balls) prevents the interaction between water and the TS representing hydrolysis of 3PA 6,6. We reasoned that mutating these identified hydrophobic sites to small, polar residues would enable water to access the TS and to engage in hydrogen bonding to the reacting NH-group of the amide substrate. In order to test the different hot-spots, in the case of HiC, two different variants were constructed, HiC_L64H_I167Q and HiC_L64H_I167Q. In the case of TcC, three single variants were constructed, TcC_I179A, TcC_I179N, and TcC_I179Q. 100 ns MD-simulations run in duplicate suggested that variants of TcC (Fig. 2C, left) were capable of weak hydrogen bond formation accepted by water in the modeled TS, which was associated with higher experimentally determined activity of 3PA 6,6 hydrolysis. In contrast, HiC variants (Fig. 2C, right) did not display significantly higher abundances of productive water clusters.

**Expression and biochemical characterization of variants**

The expression of the corresponding codon-optimized genes in Escherichia coli BL21-Gold(DE3) was first assayed in shake flasks revealing that TcC wild type and variants were expressed in high amounts (Fig. 3A). In contrast, HiC wild type and designed variants did not show overexpression notwithstanding codon optimization and thus expression by fed-batch bioreactors was required, to reach sufficient amounts of enzyme to be used for downstream processing (Fig. 3A). Initial experimental analysis of purified variants on the selected small substrates (pNPB and pNBA) revealed a general trend: variants targeted for redesigned water networks (Fig. 2), displayed enhanced specificity for amides and decreased specificity for esters (Fig. 3B, Fig. S2†). The most prominent effect was observed for HiC L64H/I167Q that showed increased catalytic efficiency towards pNBA (5-fold increase in kₐcat/Kₐ and a significant decrease in esterase activity (13-fold) as compared to that of wild-type HiC, which together resulted in a 66-fold change in relative reaction specificity in favor of amide bond hydrolysis. For TcC, only the variant I179Q displayed a higher catalytic efficiency for amide bond hydrolysis (10-fold) as compared to that of wild-type (Fig. 3B), whereas all three variants showed a 5 to 10 fold in loss of their esterase activity. Among the three variants, TcC I179Q showed the highest change in relative reaction specificity (Fig. 3B).

**Enhanced amidase activity towards oligomers**

In order to test the ability of the HiC and TcC variants to act on amide-containing insoluble substrates, the hydrolysis of the small substrate 3PA 6,6 (Fig. 1C and S3†) was analyzed both experimentally and computationally (Fig. 2C and Table 1). Remarkably, all designed TcC variants showed higher activity with 3PA 6,6 hydrolysis rates being accelerated 6- to 15-fold (Table 1). Indeed, it was found that the relative abundance of weak hydrogen bonds to the reacting amide group in the modeled TS correlated with the experimentally determined hydrolytic activity, especially in the case of TcC variants (Fig. 2C and Table 1), which points towards an important role for chemistry in contributing to the rate-limiting step. For HiC, engineered variants did not show significantly altered propensities of hydrogen bond formation compared to wild type (up to 3-fold change), in contrast to TcC for which the probability increased one order of magnitude (up to 14-fold). This observation is aligned with the lower activities displayed by HiC variants. It is possible that our strategy to capitalize on a homology model
previously published by us for HiC impacted the design. The MD analysis confirmed that the preferred hydrogen bond acceptor in the modeled TS of the variants was a water molecule network (Fig. S4 and Tables S2–S4†), in line with our hypothesis that water-restructuring mutations (Fig. 2) dictate interactions between water and the TS for 3PA 6,6 hydrolysis. In fact, for TcC a water network was the only possibility for the I179A variant (Table 1, Fig. S4†). Moreover, the introduced mutations were found to impact the density of water around the TS for 3PA 6,6 hydrolysis (Table S4†).

Analysis of root mean square deviation (RMSD) throughout the 100 ns simulation did not reveal conformational changes in the variants (Fig. S5†). The RMSF values were 0.5 Å for TcC wild type and variants, whereas the corresponding values were 0.7 Å for HiC wild type and I167Q variant and 1.0 Å for the double mutant respectively. This could point towards a more plastic structure for HiC and we cannot rule out dynamical effects contributing to catalysis.

Experimental tests of the activity of the designer enzymes on nylon 6,6 showed that this polymer was not able to be hydrolyzed in a high yield and only small amounts of release products were detected by GC/MS (Fig. S6–S7†). This was probably due to the strong hydrogen bonding present in the structure of nylon 6,6.

Loss of polyesterase activity

Although, cutinases have been widely reported to be able to hydrolyze the polyester PET (Fig. S8†), the two cutinases used in this work, HiC and TcC, showed different hydrolysis
activity on PET. On the one hand, HiC wild type showed a 25-fold higher activity on PET than that of TcC, which corresponds to the release of almost 200 moles of products per mol of biocatalyst (Fig. 4A and B), similarly to what was shown towards the soluble substrate pNPB (Fig. 3B). Remarkably, the variant HiC L64H/I167Q showed a complete loss of activity on PET, while HiC I167Q showed a striking decrease in activity of almost 94%. Instead, TcC variants did not show a complete loss of polyesterase activity. The establishment of a water network for stabilization of the nitrogen inversion needed for the hydrolysis of the amide bond was able to reduce their ability to hydrolyze ester bond. A possible explanation is electrostatic repulsion between water and the oxygen atoms of the ester-containing substrate as previously discussed.

Table 1  Molecular dynamics and experimental analysis of the conversion of 3PA 6,6

| Variant         | Relative abundance of a hydrogen bond donated by the reacting NH-group and accepted by the engineered side chain in TS $^a$ (%) | Relative abundance of a hydrogen bond donated by the reacting NH-group and accepted by water in TS $^b$ (%) | Total relative abundance of a hydrogen bond (%) | Experimental activity mol $^{-1}$ C$^c$ |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| TcC wild type   | —                                                                                               | 0.3                                                                                             | 0.3                                         | 80 ± 37                                  |
| TcC I179A       | —                                                                                               | 2.5                                                                                             | 2.5                                         | 540 ± 32                                 |
| TcC I179N       | 2.4                                                                                             | 1.4                                                                                             | 3.7                                         | 1196 ± 43                                |
| TcC I179Q       | 0.2                                                                                             | 0.5                                                                                             | 0.7                                         | 485 ± 27                                 |
| HiC wild type   | —                                                                                               | 0.5                                                                                             | 0.5                                         | 1227 ± 327                               |
| HiC I167Q       | —                                                                                               | 1.4                                                                                             | 1.4                                         | 543 ± 122                                |
| HiCL64H/I167Q   | —                                                                                               | 0.6                                                                                             | 0.6                                         | 634 ± 203                                |

$^a$ Refers to weak hydrogen bonds. Average value from analysis of duplicate 100 ns MD-simulations. For each trajectory of wild type and variants, 38 000 snapshots were analyzed for TcC and 40 000 snapshots for HiC. $^b$ Total relative probability of formation of a weak key hydrogen bond to the scissile NH-group. $^c$ The experiments were run in potassium phosphate buffer pH 7 at 50 °C and 100 rpm.
Conclusions

In this study, we have successfully demonstrated that the constitution of a water network to facilitate the nitrogen inversion mechanism by H-bonding, which is present in amidases and proteases, can increase promiscuity of polyesters to act on amide-containing substrates. Cutinases, and especially HiC and TcC, have been widely used for the hydrolysis of polyesters due to their ability to hydrolyze the natural hydrophobic polymer cutin, thanks to their active site present on the surface of the enzyme. The substitution of selected hydrophobic amino acids to polar residues enabled water to access the transition state and stabilize it by hydrogen bond formation. This approach was explored by analysis of different substrates which contain either amide- or ester-bonds and the ability of the variants to accept both soluble and insoluble substrates was confirmed. We identified several variants of TcC that showed significantly accelerated rates of hydrolysis of insoluble amides represented by 3PA 6,6. Both HiC variants showed improved amidase activity on the small substrate pNBA, which reached, in the case of L64H/I167Q, almost 66-fold increased relative reaction specificity compared to the HiC wild-type. Interestingly, the latter variant, L64H/I167Q, showed a complete loss of its polyesterase activity on the bulky substrate PET. However, all the other tested variants retained a partial activity on the recalcitrant polymer, probably due to the lacking combination of the two substitutions. To the best of our knowledge, no microorganism has been identified so far, which is able to degrade the industrial bulky polymer nylon 6,6. Herein, we have shown that a reconfigured water network adapted to the synthetic polymer backbone and obtained by enzyme design can turn polyester-degrading enzymes into amidases by providing efficient transition state stabilization. Further improvements of the variants which carry the amidase activity may increase their activity and could pave the way towards the formation of a “nylonidase” and the recovery of valuable polyamide building blocks from complex plastic mixtures, composites and blends through selective depolymerization of the polyamide constituents.
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