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Abstract: Anthropic pressure is one of the main drivers of landscape change and biodiversity loss. Artificial nighttime light, which can affect species behavior, is an important human-induced threat to biodiversity, but it is often ignored in ecological connectivity research. To mitigate the adverse impacts of artificial lighting on biodiversity, this study integrates artificial nighttime light in landscape ecology and analyzes the influence of artificial nighttime light on landscape connectivity. A quantitative approach integrating nighttime light brightness from a Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) with a normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) from a Moderate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) is proposed to estimate the matrix resistance, which can identify the sensitive areas that are disrupted by nighttime light. It was found that the nightscape in the study area is significantly disrupted by nighttime light and the matrix resistance in the center of the study area significantly increases. Compared to the least-cost routes from the NDVI, the “dark” least-cost ecological corridors constructed using our approach apparently change in both location and distance. The corridors moved to the outer suburbs and rural areas, and the maximum increase in distance of the least-cost paths was 37.94%. Due to less disturbance from human activity and the maintenance of a pristine nightscape, “dark” ecological corridors can reduce the adverse effects of night lights and contribute to biodiversity. However, natural habitats have been greatly affected by nighttime light with the increase in global illumination, and it is essential that we improve public awareness of light pollution and formulate light-reduction policies and legislation.
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1. Introduction

Over the last several centuries, increasing anthropic pressures and land-use changes have fragmented natural habitats and caused rapid declines in biodiversity [1]. Conservation scientists believe that large and well-connected patches can contribute to higher biodiversity [2,3]. Hence, it is necessary to construct ecological network on large-scale land areas [4,5]. Ecological networks mainly consist of habitat patches and ecological corridors [6]. To construct effective ecological networks, it is important to consider the size of the habitat patches, which needs to be big enough to support populations of the focal species [7,8]. In addition, ecological corridors need to be constructed between different habitat patches to encourage the unimpeded movement of various species and the flow of natural processes [9]. The ecological network is of great significance in the protection of biodiversity in fragmented ecosystems, and is highly valued by planners and land managers, being widely constructed all over the world [10].
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The construction of ecological networks can conserve biodiversity, largely because they restore and maintain ecological connectivity [11]. Ecological connectivity can be divided into structural connectivity and functional connectivity [12]. Structural connectivity only considers the continuity of habitat patches in space, while functional connectivity focuses on the movements of organisms between habitat patches [3]. As it may be difficult to track enough individuals of some species, tracking umbrella species can identify connectivity areas for a set of species [13]. Theoretically, the construction of ecological corridors can be conducive to the migration of organisms among fragmented habitat patches and can alleviate the negative effects of habitat fragmentation; therefore, the construction of ecological corridors has been regarded as one of the main means of regional biodiversity conservation [3]. There is a growing number of quantitative approaches to modeling ecological corridors, such as circuit theory, individual-based modeling, and least-cost modeling [14–16]. Least-cost modeling is based on graph theory and incorporates the effects of the intervening matrix. A resistance surface is needed in the model which indicates the difficulty of species’ movements. Matrix resistance can be estimated by experts based on empirical knowledge of the behavior of the focal species and environmental variables, such as land use, land cover, elevation, hydrology, and slope [17–22]. Matrix resistance can also be estimated based on naturalness which assumes that as naturalness increases, resistance to species movements decreases [23,24]. Baldwin et al. calculated matrix resistance based on the human footprint [25]. The normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI), which is computed from satellite imagery and linked to vegetation cover and animal distribution and abundance, can provide the information needed to estimate matrix resistance [26,27].

In most studies, however, differences in the landscapes between daytime and nighttime conditions are ignored. The outdoor nighttime light environment has been transformed by the gradual spread of urbanization and electrification [28,29]. Direct light from electrical lighting, reflected light from the surrounding areas, and sky glow scattered in the atmosphere create a highly polluted environment [30,31]. Approximately 30% of all vertebrates and more than 60% of all invertebrates around the world are nocturnal [32]. Artificial nighttime light has disrupted the natural cycles of light and dark; altered natural light regimes spatially, temporally, and spectrally; affected the foraging and reproduction patterns of organisms; changed behavior and movement patterns, especially in light-repelled species; and formed barriers of continuously lit linear pathways, such as roads [30,33–36]. Nocturnal satellite images of the Earth provide a convenient way to monitor spatial variability and temporal changes in night lights; thus, this technique has been widely used to examine the ecological impacts of artificial light pollution. Historically, research on remote sensing nighttime light has primarily used Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP) imagery. The Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) sensor that was launched in 2011, which offers higher spatial resolution and improved capabilities for quantifying nighttime brightness, has shown better results than the DMSP sensor [37].

Most research has focused on the detrimental consequences of artificial nighttime light on a species level. However, there has been a lack of attention paid to artificial nighttime light on a landscape level. With the increase in global illumination, natural habitats have been greatly affected by nighttime light. Some vegetated areas which are considered to provide high matrix permeability and have a high conservation value have been polluted by nighttime light. As nighttime light may damage the spatial behavior of nocturnal species [38], moving through these areas will come at a greater cost to them. In addition, there will be an increase in the matrix resistance and a decrease in connectivity in these vegetated areas.

In this study, we considered the influence of artificial nighttime light on landscape ecology and proposed a new method to estimate matrix resistance based on the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) and VIIRS data to construct “dark” ecological corridors. We analyzed the detrimental consequences of artificial nighttime light on the matrix in a fast-growing urbanized landscape in Wuhan in Central China. Matrix resistance was
calibrated using our own methods, and, for contrast, we calculated matrix resistance from NDVI data alone. Next, we used least-cost modeling to build ecological corridors and compared the differences between day and night. Our study provides another perspective on how lights could affect species movement at night, and can help to optimize landscape planning and mitigate the adverse consequences of artificial lights in macroecology.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

To study the impacts of artificial nighttime light on landscape connectivity, Wuhan, a fast-growing city, was selected as the study site. It is situated in the middle reaches of the Yangtze River region in Central China, between the parallels 29°58′ N and 31°22′ N and the meridians 113°41′ E and 115°05′ E (Figure 1). The total area of Wuhan is 8594 km², of which 22.88% is under forest cover. Wuhan has a subtropical monsoon climate, and the forest cover is mainly dominated by evergreen broad-leaved forests and deciduous broad-leaved forests. The main nocturnal species in the study area include *Bufo gargarizans*, *Fejervarya multistriata*, *Myotis chinensis*, *Rhinolophus sinicus*, and some Strigiforme species, such as the Collared Owl and Red Horned Owl. As a result of rapid urbanization in recent decades, the natural habitats have shrunk and become fragmented, and anthropization is one of the main risk factors that threatens the landscape connectivity and biodiversity [39].

For connectivity analysis, source patches need to be selected according to patch area, landscape suitability, and accessibility [40]. In the study area, the source patches contained 56 forest patches with the minimum ecological threshold set at 100 ha [41,42]. Forest patches were scattered in this landscape with complex shapes and edges due to human influence on the land surface. The average area was 714.09 ha, ranging from 106.19 to 12,137.48 ha, and their total area covered 4.58% of the whole study area. The vector map of forest patches was created from land surveys by the Wuhan Land Resources and Planning Bureau.

2.2. Data Sources and Processing

The Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) aboard the Suomi-NPP satellite was launched on 28 October 2011, collecting high-quality nighttime images in the Day/Night Band (DNB). The 2015 VIIRS Nighttime Lights Annual Composite (from 20150101 to 20151231) from a version 1 suite of average radiance composite images was downloaded from https://eogdata.mines.edu/products/vnl/ (accessed on 15 October 2015).
In 2020, the products were produced in 15 arc-second geographic grids and distributed in radiance values with units in nW/cm²/sr [43]. The “vcm-orm” image for this study was cloud-free, and fires and other ephemeral lights were filtered out. The VIIRS DNB image was resampled at 250 m to match the spatial resolution of the MODIS NDVI datasets described below.

NDVI, calculated from reflectance in the red and near-infrared wavebands, is one of the most commonly used vegetation indices for characterizing vegetation conditions and variations. The 2015 16-day NDVI datasets (observations from 20151021 to 20151231) were downloaded from the MODIS (Moderate-Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer) MOD13Q1 V6 dataset at a spatial resolution of 250 m in 2020 [44]. Images were checked for possible contamination using the MOD13Q1 quality layer [45]. Next, the average NDVI value for 2015 was calculated from the 16-day NDVI images.

2.3. Analysis Methods
2.3.1. Matrix Resistance from the Nighttime Light Adjusted Vegetation Index (NAVI)

NDVI, the most commonly used vegetation index, is strongly related to biological diversity and can easily provide an approximation of habitat suitability and matrix resistance for species [46]. Since its invention, electrical lighting has been brought to nearly every corner of the planet and has dramatically transformed the outdoor nighttime light environment and nightscape. Nighttime light represents the human influence on ecosystems during the night and has influenced the movements of nocturnal species [29].

In this study, we propose the nighttime light adjusted vegetation index (NAVI), which considers the influence of nighttime light on habitat suitability and integrates nighttime light into NDVI to study the suitability of the matrix for organisms during the night [47]. It is defined in the following expression:

\[ \text{NAVI} = (1 - \text{NTL}) \times \text{NDVI} \]

where NDVI is calculated as \( \text{NDVI} = (\text{NIR} - \text{RED})/(\text{NIR} + \text{RED}) \), where NIR and RED are the near-infrared and red wavebands from MODIS. NDVI values range between \(-1\) and \(+1\). Denser vegetation cover always means higher NDVI values. For the MODIS NDVI, negative values usually represent water and glaciers. Nighttime light was from the VIIRS DNB, which was normalized by the brightest pixel in the scene (i.e., dividing the radiance value of each pixel by the maximum value in the scene). In order to eliminate the influence of extremely high values in urban areas, the average value of the most prosperous area of the study area was calculated as the maximum brightness value. Subsequently, the nighttime light brightness value for all pixels were divided by the maximum value. For those with a normalized nighttime light value higher than 1, the value was converted to 1, constraining the values of normalized nighttime light (NTL) between 0 and 1.

According to the NAVI, denser and darker areas mean a lower cost for organisms to move through them. Thus, the reciprocal of the NAVI (RNAVI) is taken as a measure for matrix resistance:

\[ \text{RNAVI} = \frac{1}{\text{NDVI} \times (1 - \text{NTL})} \]

For contrast, we also estimated matrix resistance with NDVI only. The resistance values were calculated by the reciprocal of NDVI (RNDVI):

\[ \text{RNDVI} = \frac{1}{\text{NDVI}} \]

The resistance value was set to 1000 when the NDVI or NAVI value was less than 0.001.

2.3.2. Least-Cost Path

The least-cost path analysis is based on graph theory and calculates effective distances and optimal pathways based on the minimum cumulative resistance (MCR, which is the
cumulative distance from a certain landscape unit with the resistance value linked to the ecological source) between habitat patches [19]. The cost distance function is an algorithm of the grid data, moving from the center to the periphery of the eight units. As shown in Equation (4), the cumulative cost that any \(N_i\) moves to four vertically adjacent units \(N_{i+1}\) is half of the total cost coefficient moving from \(N_i\) to \(N_{i+1}\). As shown in Equation (5), the cumulative cost that any \(N_i\) moves to four diagonally adjacent units \(N_{i+1}\) is the product of half of the total cost coefficient moving from \(N_i\) to \(N_{i+1}\) and the square root of 2:

\[
N_{i+1} = N_i + \frac{r_i + r_{i+1}}{2} \tag{4}
\]

\[
N_{i+1} = N_i + \sqrt{2} \times \frac{(r_i + r_{i+1})}{2} \tag{5}
\]

where \(N_i\) and \(r_i\) represent the cumulative cost and the cost coefficient of unit \(i\), respectively; \(N_{i+1}\) and \(r_{i+1}\) represent the cumulative cost and the cost coefficient of moving to unit \(i + 1\), respectively.

To identify and map least-cost paths, a resistance layer should be created. The resistance value of each cell is based on how the matrix facilitates or impedes movement of the species. In our study, the resistance value of each cell was measured by RNLVI or RNDVI. The least-cost paths were constructed after calculating the minimum accumulated cost surface. Cost was calculated between the edges of habitat patches. We did not set up a threshold distance and connected all the adjacent forest patches identified using the ArcGIS allocation function. These steps were undertaken with ArcGIS and Linkage Mapper [16].

2.3.3. Analysis of Nightscape Change and Comparison of Connectivity Results

With direct light from electrical lighting, reflected light from the surrounding areas, and sky glow scattered in the atmosphere, large areas were illuminated. To analyze how nighttime light was distributed in our study area and how nighttime light influenced the sources and matrix, we calculated the scope and intensity of nighttime light. We calculated a statistic of nighttime light brightness every 2 km from the brightest cell in the central city as well as values of NDVI for contrast, and then calculated the average brightness value of the source patches.

By the same method, we calculated a statistic of NDVI and NAVI values every 2 km from the brightest cell in the central city to determine the nightscape change when considering nighttime light. Next, we compared the resistance surface from NDVI and that from NAVI. The final connection results were shown as paths between forest patches. To quantitatively compare connections during the day and night, the differences between the two distances were calculated with the following equation:

\[
f(x) = \frac{(y_i - x_i)}{x_i} \tag{6}
\]

where \(x\) is the least-cost distance from NDVI, and \(y\) is the least-cost distance from NAVI.

3. Results

3.1. Analysis of Nightscape Change

In our study area, the brightest cell appeared in the central business district in Hankou, the value of which was 162.13 nW/cm\(^2\)/sr. We detected a significant decrease from the center to the margin by calculating the average values every 2 km from the brightest cell (Figure 2a). The average brightness value of core areas was 56.47 nW/cm\(^2\)/sr, and it rapidly decreased to approximately 10 nW/cm\(^2\)/sr at 20 km from the central city. Next, continuous dark areas appeared after approximately 40 km, with average brightness values of less than 1 nW/cm\(^2\)/sr (Figure 2b). Most of the forest patches were far from the central area, the average brightness value of which was 0.373 nW/cm\(^2\)/sr, showing little influence from nighttime light. However, the NDVI showed a converse pattern compared to the
distribution of nighttime light, indicating a slow linear increase from built-up areas to the rural and natural areas with a slope of 0.0078 ($R^2 = 0.9433$).

Figure 2. (a) Map showing the distribution of VIIRS nighttime brightness; (b) mean VIIRS nighttime brightness, NDVI, and NAVI every 2 km from the brightest cell.

We created an overlay analysis of the images from the NDVI and VIIRS DNB to determine how nighttime light penetrated the matrix. The NDVI and VIIRS DNB brightness values were continuous field datasets, and to better show the results, the values of both images were grouped into six categories (Table 1). The matrix with higher NDVI values and lower brightness values was more suitable for organism movement. Of the total area, 35.08% had a VIIRS DNB brightness value of more than 1 nW/cm$^2$/sr, and 11.94% had a VIIRS DNB brightness value of more than 10 nW/cm$^2$/sr. Of the total areas, 82.64% had an NDVI value of more than 0.3, in which 29.61% had a VIIRS DNB brightness value of more than 1 nW/cm$^2$/sr.

Table 1. Matrices for distribution of NDVI and VIIRS nighttime brightness.

| VIIRS Nighttime Brightness (nW/cm$^2$/sr) | NDVI ≤ 1 | 1–10 | 10–20 | 20–30 | 30–40 | >40 | Sum |
|-----------------------------------------|----------|------|-------|------|------|-----|-----|
| ≤ 0                                     | 2.276%   | 1.529% | 0.358% | 0.156% | 0.023% | 0.014% | 4.357% |
| 0–0.1                                   | 1.383%   | 0.646% | 0.108% | 0.035% | 0.011% | 0.007% | 2.190% |
| 0.1–0.3                                  | 3.089%   | 2.655% | 1.616% | 1.416% | 0.991% | 1.046% | 10.813% |
| 0.3–0.5                                  | 29.442%  | 13.494% | 2.970% | 1.788% | 0.665% | 0.323% | 48.681% |
| 0.5–0.7                                  | 28.549%  | 4.786% | 0.303% | 0.082% | 0.019% | 0.005% | 33.745% |
| >0.7                                    | 0.181% | 0.028% | 0.006% | 0.000% | 0.000% | 0.000% | 0.215% |

Sum: sum of each row or column.
Table 1. Matrices for distribution of NDVI and VIIRS nighttime brightness.

| NDVI | ≤0  | 0–0.1 | 0.1–0.3 | 0.3–0.5 | 0.5–0.7 | >0.7 | sum |
|------|-----|-------|---------|---------|---------|------|-----|
| Sum  | 4.357% | 2.190% | 10.813% | 48.681% | 33.745% | 0.215% | 100.00% |

VIIRS Nighttime Brightness (nW/cm²/sr)

We compared the average value of the NDVI and NAVI every 2 km from the center (Figure 2b). The original NDVI values showed a smooth increase from the center to the margin. Due to the influence of nighttime light, the NAVI values showed apparent variation, especially within 40 km. The NAVI values in the central area became extremely low due to the presence of built-up districts with the highest intensities of artificial light all night. Further from the city, the difference narrowed, and NAVI values were almost the same as those of NDVI. Two frequency histograms are shown in Figure 3 to compare the statistical distributions of the values of the NDVI and NAVI. The grid numbers of the NAVI experienced a significant increase of approximately 0 due to the extremely high brightness values in the central city, and then a smooth increase within 0.25 and a decrease within 0.36.

3.2. Comparison of Ecological Network Results

The reciprocal of NDVI or NAVI was used to estimate the matrix resistance. Two resistance surfaces were built. To better show the difference between the two resistance surfaces, we subtracted RNDVI from RNAVI. The result is shown in Figure 4. Since nighttime light primarily influenced the central part of the study area, it was apparent that values in the center were brighter than on the periphery. More energy and resources were required for organisms to cross the central area.

The ecological networks in our study area were visualized in two ways: as least-cost paths or least-cost corridors between forest patches. The least-cost paths were the routes along which the least resistance was accumulated (lines with different colors in Figure 5). Actual ecological corridors usually require a certain width and have a different cost compared with the least-cost paths. The least-cost corridors are the bands with a certain width and have the minimum difference in cost compared with the least-cost paths. The least-cost paths were classified into five groups according to their distances by natural breaks (Figure 5a,b). In general, distances in marginal areas were shorter than in the central area owing to better matrix qualities and less human influence in both maps. Distances calculated from RNAVI were longer than those from RNDVI.

The differences between two distances were calculated with Equation (6). Most routes in the north experienced a change of less than 1% due to the minimal influence of nighttime light. However, some routes experienced a large change in both location and distances. We located the routes that changed location and changed more than 5% in distance, arranged them in order from large to small variation, and renumbered them, where 1 represented the largest change at 37.94% (Figure 6). These routes were distributed in the center of the study area, moving to the outer suburbs and rural areas when nighttime light was considered. Although there was usually a high greening rate in the suburbs, nighttime light had a great impact on the nightscape of the suburbs and the matrix quality deteriorated with the expansion of the city, the extension of urban roads, and the penetration of urban lifestyle, changing the location and distances of these routes.
Figure 3. Histogram of NDVI and NAVI.

Notes: Cost difference means the minimum difference in the cost of passing a certain cell compared with the least-cost paths.
The differences between the two distances were calculated with Equation (6). Most routes in the north experienced a change of less than 1% due to the minimal influence of nighttime light. However, some routes experienced a large change in both location and distances. We located the routes that changed location and changed more than 5% in distance, arranged them in order from large to small variation, and renumbered them, where 1 represented the largest change at 37.94% (Figure 6). These routes were distributed in the center of the study area, moving to the outer suburbs and rural areas when nighttime light was considered. Although there was usually a high greening rate in the suburbs, nighttime light had a great impact on the nightscape of the suburbs and the matrix quality deteriorated with the expansion of the city, the extension of urban roads, and the penetration of urban lifestyle, changing the location and distances of these routes.
Figure 5. (a) Paths from RNDVI; (b) paths from RNAVI; (c) magnified area A; (d) magnified area B.

Notes: Cost difference means the minimum difference in the cost of passing a certain cell compared with the least-cost paths.
4. Discussion

It is important to consider human influence on ecological connectivity and biodiversity conservation. Human influence is one of the main drivers of landscape change and loss of biodiversity [48,49]. Nighttime light pollution caused by humans has influenced the physiological and behavioral pattern of organisms, and thus threatened biodiversity, but it has been persistently ignored in macroecology and ecological connectivity research [50,51]. We found that the matrix in our study area was significantly disrupted by nighttime light. The brightness value of 11.94% of the study area was more than 10 nW/cm²/sr, of which the NDVI value of 51.6% was more than 0.3. Nighttime light caused the matrix to become more complex and fragmented and changed the landscape during night, which affected the simulation results of ecological corridors. Ecological corridors are fundamental elements in ecological networks due to their key role in maintaining or restoring ecological connectivity, and in the conservation of biodiversity. In order to facilitate the movement of as many species as possible, ecological corridors need to have high vegetation coverage and as little human disturbance as possible [3]. If nighttime light is not contemplated in the construction of the corridors, some vegetated areas with high light pollution may be considered as ecological corridors of high conservation value. In consequence, some nocturnal species such as bats, owls, and fireflies may suffer negative impacts. For example, it has been found that light pollution may damage the spatial behavior of bats and cause a reduction in their survival and reproductive capacity [52]. Therefore, if light pollution is not considered in the planning and protection of ecological corridors, the absence of effective protection for nocturnal species may lead to cascade effects which may result in a deterioration in ecosystem function and biodiversity protection on a landscape scale [53]. Hence, it is important in practice to consider the detrimental consequences of artificial nighttime light on the matrix when constructing ecological corridors.

Our analysis is based on graph theory and uses the least-cost path to model structural connectivity, in which NDVI and VIIRS DNB data are integrated to estimate matrix resistance. The NDVI, a widely used vegetation index in ecological and geographical research, can indicate the extent of vegetation cover and matrix quality. VIIRS data, which display the extent of night lights, is an ideal proxy to map the land surface that is influenced, or may be influenced, by human activity. In many development zones, lights illuminate the matrix along the newly built roads and construction sites before they are deeply disrupted. Hence, ecological corridors that are constructed using our approach can contribute more
to landscape connectivity and biodiversity due to less disturbance from human activity and the maintenance of the pristine nightscape. Additionally, both NDVI and VIIRS DNB data can be easily accessed from remote sensing, and will facilitate the dynamic planning, monitoring, and protection of ecological corridors. However, it is notable that there are seasonal changes in NDVI and VIIRS nighttime brightness. The seasonal changes of the NDVI in the study area, which are highly correlated with the seasonal growth of vegetation, are significant because the forest cover is mainly dominated by evergreen broad-leaved forests and deciduous broad-leaved forests. As shown in Figure 7, the highest NDVI value appears in August (summer in the lunar calendar) and the lowest NDVI value appears in February (winter in the lunar calendar). The seasonal changes in VIIRS nighttime brightness have been found to be positively correlated with seasonal changes in snow cover and albedo, and negatively correlated with seasonal changes in vegetation cover [54]. Moreover, human activity seasonality could also impact the seasonal changes in nighttime brightness [55]. As shown in Figure 7, the lowest values of nighttime brightness appear in February and March, which may be due to the Spring Festival holidays. In our study, yearly static images were used to construct ecological corridors on a large scale. However, if constructing ecological corridors for specific species on a small scale, seasonal changes may need to be considered.

![Figure 7. Monthly mean VIIRS nighttime brightness, NDVI in 2015.](image)

Our results offer a preliminary basis for understanding how nighttime light influences ecological connectivity. Due to the adverse effects of nighttime light on nocturnal species, moving through a matrix polluted by nighttime light comes at a higher cost, meaning that the matrix permeability and connectivity decrease. Comparing the connectivity results using two distances, routes in the central area apparently changed in both location and distance. The routes moved to the outer suburbs and rural areas, and the maximum increase in distance of the least-cost paths reached 37.94%. Though ecological corridors constructed using our approach can reduce the adverse effects of night lights, it is not enough for the protection of ecosystems and biodiversity. With the increase in global illumination, natural habitats have been greatly affected by nighttime light, and the risk of losing many light-sensitive species also increases [56]. However, light pollution is different from other forms of pollution (e.g., air pollution), and it is relatively easy to reverse. Measures such as reducing the intensity of lighting, changing the spectral composition of lighting, and limiting the duration of lighting could be implemented to effectively reduce light pollution [57]. Light reduction policies and legislation may be effective. However, due to a poor understanding of light pollution, improving public awareness and participation is also very significant [58].
However, the application of satellite-based nighttime light data has some limitations. Typical nighttime light images, such as DMSP and VIIRS, have disadvantages such as low resolution and blooming effect, which make it difficult for fine planning and management of ecological corridors on a small scale [59]. Further development of the light detection sensor and data processing algorithms are still of great importance, with the possibility of providing more data products with a higher resolution and a higher detection ability. Moreover, the DNB band of VIIRS, used as a proxy for nighttime light in this study, only represents light intensity. Indeed, light intensity is just one of the properties of light that can affect species behavior, with the spectral composition of light and the duration of lighting also being important [60]. Hence, an integration of nighttime light data and other data from both satellite-based and ground-based observation would merit more efforts in the future.

5. Conclusions

To mitigate the adverse consequences of artificial lights on biodiversity, this study integrated artificial nighttime light in landscape ecology and analyzed the influence of artificial nighttime light on landscape connectivity. A quantitative approach integrating data of nighttime light from VIIRS DNB with NDVI from MODIS was proposed to estimate matrix resistance, which can identify the sensitive areas that are disrupted by nighttime light. It was found that matrix resistance in the center of the study area significantly increased and the nightscape and ecological connectivity in our study area was significantly disrupted by nighttime light. Compared to the least-cost routes from the NDVI, the “dark” ecological corridors constructed using our approach in the center of study area apparently changed in both location and distance. The corridors moved to the outer suburbs and rural areas, and the maximum increase in distance of the least-cost paths was 37.94%. Due to less disturbance from human activity and the maintenance of a pristine nightscape, “dark” ecological corridors can reduce the adverse effects of night lights and contribute to biodiversity. However, with an increase in global illumination, natural habitats have been greatly affected by nighttime light, and it is essential that we improve public awareness of light pollution and formulate light-reduction policies and legislation.
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