Exogenously applied growth promoters modulate the antioxidant enzyme system to improve the cotton productivity under water stress conditions
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Abstract

Great climatic inconsistency and increased frequent occurrences of severe conditions results in plants being exposed to water stress at various growth stages, thus adversely affecting the productivity. This investigation was planned to minimize the water stress induced-losses to cotton plants with the exogenous application of growth promoters i.e. distilled water, salicylic acid (0.5 mM), jasmonic acid (50 μM) and moringa leaf extract (MLE30) including control (un sprayed). Cotton plants were exposed to water stress with the application of irrigation water at 10 (well-watered) and 30 day intervals (severe water stress). Results indicated that water stress severely reduced the cotton productivity. It was observed that exogenous application of salicylic acid and moringa leaf extract improved the productivity both under well-watered and water stress conditions as compared to other treatments. However, exogenous application of salicylic acid had greater influence on the studied parameters than MLE, although the insignificant differences were documented in most of the traits. Exogenous application of salicylic acid reduced the cell injury percentage, improved the cell membrane thermostability and produced significantly higher content of leaf protein, superoxide dismutase (SOD), peroxidase (POD) and catalase (CAT) that modulated the negative influence of water stress on yield contributing attributes and produced maximum seed cotton yield. These results indicate that exogenous application of SA and MLE helped the cotton plants to become more tolerant to water stress-induced losses by adjusting the membrane characteristics and improving their antioxidant defence mechanism.

Introduction

Cotton growth and productivity is adversely affected by abiotic stresses such as salinity, flooding, high temperature and water stress (Saifuddin et al., 2016). Out of these factors, water stress is one of the key threats to plants that leads to modifications at all levels from ecological, morphological, physiological, biochemical and molecular characteristics of plants (Muscolo et al., 2014). Water stress at vegetative stage reduced the plant growth and development that resulting in the reduction of root and shoot length and their fresh and dry biomass, therefore roots and shoots may become thinner or thicker (Ashraf and Foolad, 2007). However, cotton plants are highly sensitive to water stress during flowering and boll formation stage that results in slow growth and increase the shedding rate of flowers and bolls (Kawakami et al., 2010; Isokpehi et al., 2011). Cotton seed yield was also reduced with the decline in total number of bolls per plant under water stress (Hamada, 2000; Golldack et al., 2014).

Adverse impacts of water stress on cotton plants can be reduced with the exogenous application of plant growth promoters (Farooq et al., 2009). Salicylic acid (SA) is a naturally occurring plant hormone working as a vital signaling molecule with pervasive distribution in plants and contributes in numerous plant physiology processes (Clarke et al., 2000). SA plays fundamental roles in plants including seed germination, growth, respiration, stomatal movement, cell division, cell elongation, sink/source regulation, photosynthetic activity and protein synthesis of the crop plants (Arfan et al., 2007). Exogenous application of SA to water stressed plants diminishes the cell membrane damage and improves the activities of antioxidant enzymes such as SOD, CAT and POD in leaves (Khan et al., 2014).

Another class of phytohormones, Jasmonic acid (JA) is an endogenous regulator derived from the metabolism of fatty acids membrane. It plays significant role in controlling stress reactions, plant growth and development in crested wheat grass (Shan and Liang, 2010). The primary function of JA under water stress condition is to avoid water loss to sustain cell turgor and water gradient uptake into the cell. Besides osmoregulation function, compatible solutes protect enzymes, scavenges free oxygen radicals and protect membrane structures and integrity (Ashraf and Foolad, 2007). JA responsible for regulation of numerous physiological
and metabolic processes in plants such as vegetative growth, stamina and trichome development, regulates anthocyanin production, senescence and fruit settings (Yoshida et al., 2009).

Exogenous application of these plant growth promoters is the most effective approach involved in promoting plant growth and development by minimizing or alleviating the adverse effects of water stress on the plant growth and metabolism in different crops (Sadak and Dawood, 2014), but this application is expensive. Thus, there is continuous need to search for alternative safe natural sources containing nutrients, hormones and antioxidants with higher economic returns (Yasmeen et al., 2018). *Moringa oleifera* L. is one of such substitute, being explored to establish its influence on growth and productivity of wheat under stress conditions (Yasmeen et al., 2013). Moringa leaf extract (MLE) has extensively been used to improve the stress tolerance in quinoa and broad beans plants by causing modulations in biochemistry and plant physiology required for stress tolerance (Ismaiel et al., 2016). It is massive source of zeatin (a purine adenine derivative of plant hormone group cytokinin), riboflavin, vitamin A and C, phenols, mineral nutrients, antioxidant and some osmoprotectant that making it a potential bio-stimulant (Arif et al., 2019). Foliar spray of MLE has positive influences on the wheat plant growth, rate of photosynthesis, hormonal content and anti-oxidative resistance activities in stress conditions (Yasmeen et al., 2013). Exogenously applied MLE improved the antioxidant system, stimulated plant defence system, enhanced the levels of plant secondary metabolites and boosting the Phaseolus vulgaris L. (Rady and Mohamed, 2015) and rice performance under water stress conditions (Rehman et al., 2015).

Although a lot of work has been done on different crops to mitigate the adverse effects of water stress by exogenous application of above studied growth promoters. However, only a limited studies showing the potential of salicylic acid, jasmonic acid and moringa leaf extract to promote the growth and productivity of cotton under water stress conditions are available. Therefore, we hypothesized that the foliar application of selected growth promoters contributes towards improving the physiological and antioxidant attributes and enhance the cotton productivity under water stress conditions. Keeping in view the above-mentioned discussion, the present two years study was planned to investigate the efficiency of exogenous application of distilled water, SA, JA and MLE in mitigating the adverse impacts of water stress on cotton productivity, so as to explore their practical and sustainable agricultural applications.

**Materials and methods**

A field investigation was planned at agronomic research area of Bahauddin Zakriya University, Multan, Pakistan (30.2639 °N, 71.5101 °E; 123 m above sea level) during 2014 and 2015 to explore the role of plant growth promoters in mitigating the adverse impacts of water stress on Bt cotton productivity. This trial was designed in a completely randomized block with factorial arrangement having three replications. The net plot size was 5.0×3.0 m comprised of 4 rows and each row 75 cm apart in each experimental unit. The treatments included two irrigation intervals i.e., irrigation at 10 (well-watered) and 30 day intervals (water stressed) and four exogenous application of growth promoters i.e. distilled water (Arif et al., 2019), salicylic acid (0.5 mM) (Khan et al., 2014), jasmonic acid (50 μM) (Yosefi et al., 2018) and moringa leaf extract (MLE) (Yasmeen et al., 2016) including control (no foliar spray, only soil irrigations were applied according to treatments). Foliar sprays of these growth promoters were applied once at 50% vegetative growth (60 days after sowing), flowering (90 days after sowing) and boll development stages (120 days after sowing) with flat fan nozzle.

**Preparation of moringa leaf extract**

Moringa leaves extract (MLE) is potential source of zeatin that enhances the antioxidant properties of many enzymes and protects the cells from injuries (Zhang and Ervin, 2004). Young leaves of *Moringa oleifera* plants were harvested and washed several times with distilled water then stored in freezer at −5 °C for 12 h. Moringa frozen leaves were crushed in a juicer machine for extraction according to the procedure explained by Yasmeen et al. (2018). The extract was filtered twice by using Whatman No.1 filter paper and then centrifuged at 8000 g for 20 minutes and diluted 30 times with distilled water.

**Crop husbandry**

Pre-soaking irrigation (15 cm) was applied before land preparation of experimental area. A fine seedbed was prepared by cultivating the experimental area twice followed by planking and bed shaper was used for suitable beds preparation. Seeds of cotton cultivar FH-142 were dibbled manually during first week of June 2014 and 2015. Planting was processed, keeping 75 cm row-to-row and 30 cm plant-to-plant distance. To ensure successful seed germination and emergence, the furrows were irrigated 72 h after planting. Rests of the irrigations water were applied according to the treatments. Recommended dose of nitrogen fertilizer at 145 kg ha⁻¹ was broadcasted in three equal parts in the form of Urea at distinctive growth stages i.e. sowing time, beginning of bloom and peak blooming stage. Whereas, recommended dose of phosphorus (56 kg ha⁻¹) and potassium fertilizer (62 kg ha⁻¹) was broadcasted in the form of single super phosphate and sulfate of potash at sowing time, respectively. Weeds were controlled with the application of pre-emergence herbicide of Pendimethalin (Stomp-330E at 2.5 L ha⁻¹), with four-hand weeding at the 8th, 15th, 22nd and 29th days after sowing. To keep cotton crop under the threshold level, different plant protection treatments were applied. All farming practices except experimental treatments were kept similar for each plot.

**Data collection**

After 25 days of sowing, 20 randomly selected plants were tagged from each experimental unit to observe the sympodial branches, number of bolls and mean boll weight. Manual harvesting of seed cotton was performed twice in the middle two rows. Two cotton pickings were made during third week of October and first week of December during both growing seasons. Ten days after every exogenous application of growth promoters, ten leaves sample were taken from each experimental unit to observe the antioxidant enzyme activities. All the leaves samples freeze, dried and then 0.5 g powder taken from freeze-dried leaves sampled obtained previously selected tagged plants were homogenized with 50mM Na₂HPO₄-NaH₂PO₄ buffer containing 0.2 mM EDTA and 2% insoluble polyvinyl pyrrolidone in a chilled pestle and mortar. The slurry was centrifuged at 12,000×g for 20 min and the supernatant was used for enzyme activities assay. Standard protocols were adopted to measure peroxidase (POD), catalase (CAT) (Chance and Maehly, 1955), superoxide dismutase (SOD) (Giannopolitis and Reis, 1997) and total phenolic contents (Waterhouse, 2001). Cell membrane thermostability (CMT) was calculated from RCI%. Sullivan (1972) developed a heat tolerant...
test that determines relative cell injury percentage (RCI%) through measuring the amount of electrolyte leakage from leaf disks bathed in deionized water after exposure to heat treatment. By using punch machine, round leaf discs of 10 mm in diameter were made after removing fresh cotton leaves. Discs were put in the glass vials having 2 mL deionized water and washed, thereafter, 2 mL double distilled water was added to each vial and capped cotton plug. One set of tubes was placed at 50°C for one hour in a water bath, while the other set (control) was placed at 25°C for the same time. After this treatment, vials were kept at 10°C for 24 h and then brought to 25°C. Electrical conductivity (EC) meter was used to measure EC of these samples. In order to kill the tissues and release all electrolytes, vials were autoclaved for 10 minutes at 10 MPa. Final EC was again measured and used the formula given by Sullivan (1972), to calculate relative cell injury (RCI):

\[
\text{RCI} (\%) = 1 - \left\{ \frac{1 - (T_1/T_2)}{1 - (C_1/C_2)} \right\} \times 100
\]

where, \(T_1\) = initial EC value of heat-treated vial, \(C_1\) = initial EC value of control vial, \(T_2\) = final EC value of heat-treated vial, \(C_2\) = final EC value of control vial.

**Statistical procedure**

All data collected were subjected to the analysis of variance (ANOVA) by using computer-based software MSTAT C. Means of the different treatments were compared by adopting DMR test at 5% level of probability (Steel et al., 1997).

**Results**

**Yield and yield contributing characteristics**

Symподial branches are the bolls bearing branches for producing seed cotton. Foliar spray of MLE and salicylic acid to well-watered plants produced maximum symподial branches per plant (16.14 and 14.81) during first and second growing season, respectively (Table 1). While water stressed plants produced minimum symподial branches per plant (7.76 and 7.33).

Number of bolls on cotton plant mounts ups the extent of seed cotton per plant that consequently hoards the seed cotton yield per unit area. Foliar spray of salicylic acid to well-watered plants produced maximum bolls per plant (17.07 and 15.81) during first and second growing season, respectively (Table 1). While water stressed plants produced minimum bolls per plant (6.63 and 5.89).

Foliar spray of MLE to well-watered plants produced maximum boll weight (3.42 and 3.59 g) during first and second growing season (Table 1). While water stressed plants produced minimum boll weight (2.83 and 2.86 g). Statistical analysis of data revealed significant effect on interaction between foliar treatments and irrigation intervals was significant during 2014 and 2015 (Table 1).

Foliar spray of salicylic acid to well-watered plants produced maximum seed cotton yield (2323.8 and 2250.4 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) during first and second growing season, respectively (Table 1). While water stressed plants produced minimum seed cotton yield (745.3 and 672.2 kg ha\(^{-1}\)).

**Biochemical characteristics**

Relative cell injury percentage is a marker of cellular membrane thermostability. Maximum relative cell injury percentage (49.49 and 51.49%) was produced from water stressed plant in control plots during 2014 and 2015. While foliar application of MLE to well-watered plants produced minimum RCI (39.15 and 41.82%). Statistical analysis of variance showed significant effects on interaction between foliar treatments and irrigation intervals was significant during 2014 and 2015 (Table 2).

Cell membrane thermo stability was recorded indirectly through assessing RCI that exposes the relative electrical conductivity (REC) i.e., the comparative volume of electrolytes leaked out due to injury in cell membranes instigated by heat shock. Foliar spray of MLE to well-watered plants produced significantly higher cell membrane thermo stability (30.50 and 32.83) during first and second growing season, respectively (Table 2). While water stressed plants produced minimum cell membrane thermo stability (22.02 and 24.02).

Foliar spray of MLE and salicylic acid to well-watered plants produced significantly higher leaf total soluble protein (24.98 and 24.43 mg g\(^{-1}\)) during first and second growing season, respectively (Table 2). While water stressed plants produced minimum leaf total soluble protein (16.45 and 15.88 mg g\(^{-1}\)) during both growing seasons.

**Table 1.** Influence of growth promoters on the symподial branches, bolls harvested, mean boll weight (g) and seed cotton yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) contributing attributes of cotton.

| Water level          | Foliar spray of growth promoters | Symподial branches 2014 | Symподial branches 2015 | Bolls harvested 2014 | Bolls harvested 2015 | Mean boll weight (g) 2014 | Mean boll weight (g) 2015 | Seed cotton yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) 2014 | Seed cotton yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) 2015 |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Well-watered         | Control                          | 12.44d                  | 12.10c                  | 13.72c               | 12.39c                  | 3.24ac                    | 3.37a                     | 1775.3c                            | 1670.8b                            |
|                     | Distilled water                  | 13.80c                  | 12.87c                  | 14.75c               | 13.83c                  | 3.30ab                    | 3.44a                     | 1947.6c                            | 1843.5c                            |
|                     | Salicylic acid                   | 16.14b                  | 14.81c                  | 17.07c               | 15.81c                  | 3.46bc                    | 3.59a                     | 2323.8c                            | 2250.4c                            |
|                     | Jasmonic acid                    | 14.61ab                 | 13.17c                  | 15.27c               | 13.61bc                 | 3.32ab                    | 3.46a                     | 2026.5c                            | 1881.8c                            |
|                     | Moringa leaf extract             | 15.44b                  | 14.30c                  | 16.48b               | 14.50bc                 | 3.42b                     | 3.59a                     | 2246.7c                            | 2142.3c                            |
| Irrigation at 30 days interval | Control                          | 7.76d                   | 7.33c                   | 6.83d                | 5.89c                   | 2.83d                     | 2.86c                     | 745.3d                             | 672.2d                             |
|                     | Distilled water                  | 8.19d                   | 7.85c                   | 7.07d                | 6.21b                   | 2.93c                     | 2.90c                     | 834.3d                             | 718.4c                             |
|                     | Salicylic acid                   | 9.30d                   | 8.60c                   | 9.16d                | 8.50d                   | 3.06c                     | 3.03b                     | 1117.0d                            | 1028.7c                            |
|                     | Jasmonic acid                    | 8.61d                   | 7.94c                   | 8.89d                | 6.39d                   | 2.95c                     | 2.97b                     | 958.1d                             | 757.2c                             |
|                     | Moringa leaf extract             | 8.82d                   | 8.15c                   | 9.03d                | 8.30d                   | 3.05bc                    | 3.02c                     | 1100.6d                            | 997.2c                             |

LSD 0.05\(\) (1.6352, 1.5166, 1.8979, 1.7980, 0.3226, 0.3057, 281.26, 234.47)

\(^a\) Means followed by same letter(s) are not significantly different at P<0.05.
Foliar spray of MLE to well-watered plants produced maximum total phenolic contents (14.67 and 14.31 mg g⁻¹) during first and second growing season, respectively. While water stressed plants produced minimum total phenolic contents (11.11 and 11.34 mg g⁻¹).

Under water stress condition, foliar spray of MLE produced significantly higher superoxide dismutase (65.04 IU min⁻¹ mg protein⁻¹) during first growing season (Table 3). While foliar application of distilled water on well-watered plants produced minimum superoxide dismutase (54.53 IU min⁻¹ mg protein⁻¹).

Under water stress condition, foliar spray of MLE produced significantly higher peroxidase (6.56 and 6.46 mmol min⁻¹ mg protein⁻¹) during first and second growing season, respectively (Table 3). While well-watered plants produced minimum peroxidase (5.23 and 5.41 mmol min⁻¹ mg protein⁻¹) with the exogenous application of distilled water. Statistical analysis of variance showed significant variations between foliar treatments and irrigation interval was significant during 2014 and 2015 (Table 3).

Foliar spray of MLE to water stressed plants produced significantly higher catalase (77.76 mmol min⁻¹ mg protein⁻¹) during first growing season (Table 3). While foliar application of salicylic acid produced significantly higher number of sympodial branches per plant that suggest the role of salicylic acid in improving cotton reproductive growth under water stress conditions (Noreen et al., 2015).

Bolls is an essential attribute, which directly correlated to cotton productivity (Bibi et al., 2010). In this study water stress had negative effect on the total number of bolls per plant and mean boll weight. The LSD at (0.05) probability was computed to bring

### Table 2. Influence of growth promoters on the RCI%, CMT, Leaf soluble protein (mg g⁻¹) and phenolic contents (mg g⁻¹) attributes of cotton.

| Water level          | Foliar spray of growth promoters | Relative cell injury percentage | Cell membrane thermostability | Leaf soluble protein (mg g⁻¹) | Phenolic contents (mg g⁻¹) |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
|                     |                                  | 2014                          | 2015                          | 2014                          | 2015                      |
| Well-watered        | Control                          | 42.72bc                       | 46.05bc                       | 25.48c                        | 28.14bd                   | 19.04bc                   | 18.37bd                    | 12.61cd                   | 12.94ce                   |
|                     | Distilled water                  | 42.56bc                       | 44.23bc                       | 26.34c                        | 29.00bc                    | 21.43bc                   | 20.67bc                    | 12.90bd                   | 13.23bc                   |
|                     | Salicylic acid                   | 39.15bc                       | 41.82e                        | 30.50a                        | 32.70a                     | 24.77a                    | 24.43a                     | 13.83bc                   | 14.16b                    |
|                     | Jasmonic acid                    | 41.20bc                       | 43.54e                        | 27.52c                        | 30.39ab                    | 23.05a                    | 22.69ab                    | 13.33bc                   | 13.39bc                   |
|                     | Moringa leaf extract             | 40.48bc                       | 42.15de                       | 29.03hb                       | 32.83a                     | 24.38a                    | 23.31ab                    | 14.67bc                   | 14.31b                    |
| Irrigation at 30 days interval | Control                          | 49.49a                        | 51.49a                        | 22.02c                        | 24.02e                     | 16.45c                    | 15.83e                     | 11.11bc                   | 11.34bc                   |
|                     | Distilled water                  | 47.28ab                       | 48.95ac                       | 22.61c                        | 25.61d                     | 16.71c                    | 16.20c                     | 11.31bc                   | 11.51bc                   |
|                     | Salicylic acid                   | 45.49ac                       | 47.49ad                       | 23.16bc                       | 26.19ce                    | 18.74c                    | 18.08c                     | 12.89bd                   | 12.55bc                   |
|                     | Jasmonic acid                    | 47.46ac                       | 49.46bd                       | 22.82c                        | 25.52de                    | 18.10c                    | 17.44c                     | 12.12bc                   | 11.78bc                   |
|                     | Moringa leaf extract             | 44.73ac                       | 46.07be                       | 23.57c                        | 26.57ce                    | 18.43c                    | 17.76c                     | 12.98bc                   | 12.65bc                   |

LSD 0.05p 6.5779 5.4191 6.6476 3.2611 3.9514 2.7934 1.0808 1.4136

**Means followed by same letter(s) are not significantly different at P<0.05.

### Table 3. Influence of growth promoters on SOD (IU min⁻¹ mg protein⁻¹), POD (mmol min⁻¹ mg protein⁻¹) and CAT (mmol min⁻¹ mg protein⁻¹) of cotton.

| Water level          | Foliar spray of growth promoters | Superoxide dismutase (IU min⁻¹ mg protein⁻¹) | Peroxidase (mmol min⁻¹ mg protein⁻¹) | Catalase (mmol min⁻¹ mg protein⁻¹) |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                     |                                  | 2014                          | 2015                          | 2014                          | 2015                          |
| Well-watered        | Control                          | 55.05bc                       | 57.38                          | 5.28c                         | 5.41c                         | 66.28                        | 70.95b                        |
|                     | Distilled water                  | 54.53c                        | 57.86                          | 5.23c                         | 5.57c                         | 67.32                        | 71.32bc                       |
|                     | Salicylic acid                   | 63.36ab                       | 61.36                          | 5.96e                         | 6.13e                         | 72.65                        | 74.09b                        |
|                     | Jasmonic acid                    | 60.68a                        | 59.00                          | 5.91e                         | 5.97ab                        | 69.81                        | 72.14bc                       |
|                     | Moringa leaf extract             | 64.69a                        | 62.02                          | 6.17ab                        | 6.41b                         | 73.68                        | 75.34b                        |
| Irrigation at 30 days interval | Control                          | 59.82bc                       | 58.73                          | 6.17ab                        | 6.00ab                        | 70.09                        | 72.42bc                       |
|                     | Distilled water                  | 58.21ac                       | 59.87                          | 5.86c                         | 6.19a                         | 71.14                        | 73.61bc                       |
|                     | Salicylic acid                   | 65.04a                        | 61.91                          | 6.56c                         | 6.30a                         | 73.59                        | 77.76b                        |
|                     | Jasmonic acid                    | 62.13a                        | 59.89                          | 6.24ab                        | 6.08a                         | 72.34                        | 74.34bc                       |
|                     | Moringa leaf extract             | 64.21a                        | 62.37                          | 6.43a                         | 6.46a                         | 74.42                        | 76.92bc                       |

LSD 0.05p 8.4313 n.s. 0.3977 0.4962 n.s. 6.5324

**Means followed by same letter(s) are not significantly different at P<0.05.

### Discussion

Water stress has been stated as one of the severe abiotic stresses currently influencing agricultural crops. In present study the executed water stress adversely affect nearly all the morphological and physiological attributes of cotton plants, which ultimately reduced the productivity (Anjum et al., 2014). A sympodial branch in cotton is one of the key factors influencing the cotton productivity (Bibi et al., 2010). In the present study, maximum number of sympodial branches per plant was observed in well-watered conditions against the minimum were noted under water stress conditions. Presence of considerable variation in cotton for sympodial branches in response to water stress was also observed by Ratnakumari and Subbarammama (2006) and Sahito et al. (2015). Foliar application of salicylic acid produced significantly higher number of sympodial branches per plant that suggest the role of salicylic acid in improving cotton reproductive growth under water stress conditions (Noreen et al., 2015).
the mean differences more clear. Application of irrigation water at 10 day intervals produced maximum number of bolls per plant against the minimum was observed with the application of irriga-
tion water at 30 day intervals. It might be due to the fact that bolls
are greatly dependent on pre-flowering reserved photo-assimilate
and water stress at flower and boll formation stage adversely
affected the number and size of bolls. It is also possible that the
considerable reduction in bolls per plant in water stress conditions
can be associated with lower assimilate production during photo-
synthesis and its disturbance in the allocation of flowing and
reserved photo-assimilates to bolls (Veesar et al., 2018). Foliar
spray of salicylic acid prompted significant increase in bolls reten-
tion under water stress situations. It might be due to the fact that
foliar spray of SA improved the production of antioxidant enzymes
and osmolytes in higher amount triggered the cotton crop to sur-
vive the stressful circumstances through quick translocation of
photo-assimilates from vegetative to reproductive structures
(Arfan et al., 2007). Reduction in mean boll weight under water
stress conditions might be associated with the minimum level of
carbohydrates reserved in vegetative organs before fertilization
(Borra’s et al., 2003). Bolls treated with growth promoters have
greater photosynthetic sinks for carbohydrates and other metabo-
lites that improved the boll setting percentage and mean boll
weight (Güllüoğlu, 2004; Ren et al., 2013). The existence of
cytokin in MLE assist in yielding more and superior bolls (Arif
et al., 2019).

Lower number of sympodial branches, bolls per plant and
mean boll weight under water stressed conditions ultimately
reduced the cotton productivity, which could be due to the fact that
yield contributing attributes are dependent on the availability of
soil moisture and antioxidant enzymes activities (Farooq et al.,
2009; Korres et al., 2016). However, exogenously applied salicylic
acid and MLE considerably improved the yield and yield con-
tributing attributes that showed promising effect of these sub-
stances on several physiological processes (Wutipraditkul et al.,
2015). As foliar spray of growth promoters improved the rate of
photosynthesis, contributing to photo-assimilates flow toward
bolls to increase carbohydrate provision during reproductive stage
(Blaise et al., 2009). It was observed that foliar spray of macro and
micronutrients particularly MLE was found promising to enhance
flowering, bolls setting percentage and seed cotton yield (Arif
et al., 2019). This rise might also be due to presence of zeatin in MLE
that improves the antioxidant properties of various enzymes and
protects the cell under adverse conditions (Zhang and Ervin,
2004).

RCI% is a consistent feature for the screening of water stress
tolerant plant. Under well-watered condition, plant cell membranes
remain intact, however under water stress condition, the mem-
branes of plant cells are damaged that leads to the release of cell
content. Therefore the present results indicated the lower value of
RCI% in well-watered condition than water stress condition
(Akbar and Hussain, 2019). In response to water stress, cotton
plant increased the production of phenolic compounds (Sánchez-
Rodríguez and Rubio-Wilhelmi, 2010). Thus, phenolic compounds
provide important physiological and ecological duties, being main-
ly involved in protection against various kinds of stresses (Ayaz
et al., 2000; Mittler, 2006; Myung-Min et al., 2010). Phenolic com-
 pounds prevent the oxidative burst of plant cells and hence defend
the plants from injury of proteins and lipids, RNA and DNA struc-
tures (Apel and Hirt, 2004). In present study water stress signifi-
cantly reduced the total phenolic contents. Foliar application of
growth promoters can assist plants in mitigating water stress
enabling regulation of phenolic acids (Garg, 2003). Among these
growth promoters, MLE produced maximum phenolic contents
during both growing seasons, which protect plant tissues against
oxidative damage under water stress (Ali and Ghada, 2014).

The capability of cotton plants to endure water stress depends
on the production of the antioxidant enzymes such as SOD, CAT
and POD (Alexieva et al., 2001). In present investigation the activ-
ity of enzymes (SOD, POD and CAT) improved considerably in
water stressed environments. Whereas minimum SOD, CAT and
POD were observed under well-watered conditions (Zhang et al.,
2007; Ahmadizadeh et al., 2011). Foliar spray of MLE and sali-
cylic in water stress situations significantly increased the produc-
tion of antioxidants enzymes. Foliar spray of salicylic acid on
water stressed plants considerably reduced the relative cell injury
percentage and improved the antioxidants enzyme activities (SOD,
POD, CAT and phenolic contents) therefore, enhancing the toler-
ance to stressed conditions (Hayat et al., 2008). Likewise, MLE
being rich source of growth promoting hormones improved the
production of antioxidant activities. Activation of self-defence sys-
 tem by exogenous application of MLE is also associated with high-
er mineral contents present in moringa leaves making it excellent
plant growth promoter (Yasmeen et al., 2013).

Conclusions

Water stress significantly reduced the cotton productivity. This
decline in productivity was the consequence of reduced sympodial
branches, bolls harvested and mean boll weight that was associated
with impaired morphological, physiological and biochemical char-
acteristics of cotton plants. Exogenous application of plant growth
promoters particularly salicylic acid and moringa leaf extract mod-
ulated the water stress induced losses to cotton plants. These
growth promoters also enhance the antioxidant enzymes (SOD,
POD and CAT; phenolic contents) activities, reduced relative cell
injury percentage and improved cell membrane thermostability of
cotton plants under water stress conditions.
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