Hypoxic Stress Induced by Hydralazine Leads to a Loss of Blood-Brain Barrier Integrity and an Increase in Efflux Transporter Activity
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Abstract

Understanding cellular and molecular mechanisms induced by hypoxic stress is crucial to reduce blood-brain barrier (BBB) disruption in some neurological diseases. Since the brain is a complex organ, it makes the interpretation of in vivo data difficult, so BBB studies are often investigated using in vitro models. However, the investigation of hypoxia in cellular pathways is complex with physical hypoxia because HIF-1α (factor induced by hypoxia) has a short half-life. We had set up an innovative and original method of induction of hypoxic stress by hydralazine that was more reproducible, which allowed us to study its impact on an in vitro BBB model. Our results showed that hydralazine, a mimetic agent of the hypoxia pathway, had the same effect as physical hypoxia, with few cytotoxicity effects on our cells. Hypoxic stress led to an increase of BBB permeability which corresponded to an opening of our BBB model. Study of tight junction proteins revealed that this hypoxic stress decreased ZO-1 but not occludin expression. In contrast, cells established a defence mechanism by increasing expression and activity of their efflux transporters (Pgp and MRP-1). This induction method of hypoxic stress by hydralazine is simple, reproducible, controllable and suitable to understand the cellular and molecular mechanisms involved by hypoxia on the BBB.

Introduction

The blood-brain barrier (BBB) is a multicellular neurovascular unit formed of brain microvascular endothelial cells which are surrounded and supported by astrocytes, pericytes and extracellular matrix[1]. Brain microvascular endothelial cells control the transport of substances between blood and the brain via efflux pumps (transcellular transport) and tight junction (TJ) complexes (paracellular transport)[2]. This specialized phenotype allows a suitable protection for the brain[3–5]. Moreover, these barrier properties are mostly induced and maintained by the close opposition between brain microvascular endothelial cells and astrocytes[6,7]. During the last decades it was described that BBB disruption contributed to the pathophysiology of
some neurological diseases such as Alzheimer’s disease, multiple sclerosis, Parkinson’s disease and stroke. Since the brain is a complex organ, it makes the interpretation of in vivo data difficult, so BBB studies are often investigated using in vitro models\[8,9\]. Hypoxia is a common feature that characterizes many of these diseases and represents a major stress factor that leads to BBB disruption\[2,10,11\]. The cellular response to hypoxia is mainly driven through the activation of the hypoxia-induced factor 1 (HIF-1) pathway\[12,13\]. Under normoxic conditions, oxygen regulates the HIF-1α subunit which is rapidly degraded by prolyl hydroxylation that targets its degradation in the proteasome. Hypoxia inhibits the prolyl hydroxylase domain leading to stabilization of the HIF-1α subunit in the cytoplasm. Then it is translocated to the nucleus where it binds to hypoxia responsive elements in promoter regions of target genes involved in cellular adaptation to hypoxic stress and induces their expression\[12\]. HIF-1α seemed to be a key factor to decrease the BBB’s permeability\[13\]. Elucidation of the cellular and molecular mechanisms induced by hypoxic stress is complex with physical hypoxia because HIF-1α has a short half-life. In this regard, a wide variety of prolyl hydroxylase domain (PHD) inhibitors, which lead to a stabilization of HIF-1α, have been developed. These inhibitors allow to create hypoxic stress and represent a useful method to investigate the BBB’s disruption by hypoxia. The most used in the literature is cobalt chloride (CoCl₂)\[12,14,15\]. Cervelatti et al, used CoCl₂ to achieve stabilization of HIF-1α because it inhibits PHD by blocking the catalysis of prolyl hydroxylases\[16\]. However, CoCl₂ is a rather highly cytotoxic agent for some cell types because CoCl₂ activates caspase-3 which leads to apoptosis\[16\]. Hydralazine is a vasodilator used to treat severe hypertension, congestive heart failure, myocardial infarction and preeclampsia\[17\]. Hydralazine also shows a capacity to induce a transient and physiological HIF-1α overexpression by inhibiting PHD activity\[18\]. In the literature, hydralazine was only used to mimic a hypoxic state in in vivo and in vitro cancer models\[19\]. Hydralazine could represent a suitable and innovative way to study the cellular mechanism involved in hypoxic stress on the BBB and thereby understand the BBB disruption observed in several neurological diseases.

In the present study, we evaluated and validated the potentiality of hydralazine as a hypoxia mimetic agent in comparison to physical hypoxia (standard method of hypoxia induction). Impact of hypoxic stress induced by hydralazine and physical hypoxia on BBB integrity was determined using a coculture in-contact model composed of the immortalized cell line bEnd.3 \[20\] and the C6 cell line (rat malignant glioma cells which display astrocytic properties\[9\]). This approach allowed interaction between endothelial cells and astrocytic cells. Then impact of hypoxic stress was assessed by studying endothelial paracellular permeability with transendothelial electrical resistance (TEER) measurements and absolute membrane permeability was determined with sodium fluorescein (Na-F)\[21,22\]. Evaluation of transport was also investigated on expression and activity of two efflux transporters (Pgp and MRP-1) and two TJP proteins (ZO-1 and occludin). Our results showed that hydralazine represented a suitable, original and reproducible way to create a reproducible hypoxic environment since it had the same effect as physical hypoxia. This mimetic agent was further used to evaluate the impact of hypoxic stress on the integrity of our BBB model to understand the cellular mechanism involved by hypoxia.

**Materials and Methods**

**Chemicals and reagents**

Hydralazine, BCECF-AM, probenecid, verapamil and Na-F were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Quentin Fallavier, France). MTT (methyl-thiazolyl-tetrazolium) kit and DMEM (Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium) were also purchased from Sigma. All reagents for the
LDH release test were purchased from Promega Corporation (Madison, USA). Antibodies and reagents for the detection of HIF-1α were products of R&D systems (Lille, France). All compounds for Ringer-Hepes buffer were purchased from Sigma.

Occludin and ZO-1 antibodies were purchased from Life Technologies (Saint Aubin, France), Pgp and MRP-1 antibodies were purchased from GeneTex (San Antonio, Texas, U.S. A) and Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, Texas, U.S.A), respectively.

bEnd.3 cells and C6 cells were obtained from the ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA).

Cell culture inserts for 24-well (0.4 μm pore diameter size, transparent PET membrane) were purchased from Corning distributors (Sigma). EVOM voltohmmeter system was purchased from World Precision Instruments (Hertfordshire, UK).

**In vitro cytotoxicity assay**

Cytotoxicity of hydralazine was measured by MTT method to determine the least cytotoxic concentration. Growing cells were seeded at 10,000 cells/well using a 96-well microplate that was supplemented with 100 μl DMEM. Cells were allowed to grow for 48 h before they were exposed to drugs. Then cells were treated with various concentrations of hydralazine. Phosphate buffer saline (PBS) 1X was used to dissolve drugs. After the treated cells were incubated for 24 h, 20 μl MTT was added and the plates were incubated at 37°C for 4 h. To dissolve formazan, 100 μl DMSO was added and the plates were measured at 570 nm with a spectrophotometer. The least cytotoxic values were determined by plotting the drug concentrations versus the survival ratio of treated cells.

Then we also evaluated cell death with the LDH release method to confirm the least cytotoxic concentration of hydralazine given by MTT results. For that, cells were seeded in 96-well plates at 10,000 cells/well. 100 μM of hydralazine was added during 2h (time of stress hypoxic that was used in this study) and 24 h (time chosen to determine the least cytotoxic concentration). After exposure period, a lysis solution was used in control wells to generate a maximum LDH release. Then cells were incubated with cytotox-ONE™ reagent during 10 min. A stop solution was added before recording fluorescence with an excitation wavelength of 560 nm and an emission wavelength of 590 nm. The average fluorescence values of the culture medium background (wells without cells) were substracted from fluorescence values of experimental wells. Then percent cytotoxicity was given by the following equation:

\[
\%\text{cytotoxicity} = 100 \times \frac{(\text{experimental} - \text{culture medium background})}{(\text{maximum LDH release} - \text{culture medium background})}
\]

**Exposure to physical hypoxia**

To induce hypoxia, our in vitro BBB model was placed into a special chamber equipped with a thermostat housing that allowed us to incubate our cells at 37°C. Then cells were exposed to either normoxic or hypoxic conditions (2% O₂), as was previously described by Fischer et al[9].

**Effect of hydralazine treatment or physical hypoxia on hypoxia metabolic pathway**

Effect of hydralazine treatment or physical hypoxia was validated by the study of HIF-1α expression (the key regulation of hypoxic response) to determine the effective dose where HIF-1α was induced. For that, cells were seeded onto a 96-well plate at a density of 10,000 cells/well. Then cells were treated with hydralazine (50 and 100μM, the least cytotoxic doses defined by...
cytotoxic test) or exposed to physical hypoxia during 2 h. Finally, cells were fixed to the support with 4% formaldehyde and the expression of HIF-1α was determined by whole cell-ELISA. For that, anti-HIF-1α antibodies were added at 4°C overnight (diluted at 1/100), then cells were incubated with secondary antibodies for 2 h at room temperature (diluted at 1/500). Fluorescence was measured with a fluorescence spectrophotometer with 540 nm excitation and 600 nm emission wavelengths.

Furthermore, hydralazine is a vasodilator used for treating severe hypertension. So we verified the specificity of hydralazine to produce a cellular hypoxic state by comparing it to another vasodilator, prazosin, as a negative control.

In vitro BBB model set up

The BBB model was composed of bEnd.3 cells (immortalized mouse brain endothelial cells) and C6 cells. All cells were used before passage number 30 (passages 12–28 for bEnd.3 cells and 10–30 for C6 cells), which corresponded to the time where these cells may begin to lose their BBB properties, as cited by the supplier and in a previous study [23].

Once the cells reached subconfluency, they were placed onto cell culture inserts for 24-well plates. Fig 1 shows a schematic description of the in vitro BBB model.

For the procedure of contact coculture, the transwell filter was inverted and C6 cells were seeded onto the abluminal side of the filter at a density of 4x10⁴ cells/cm². Then cells were placed at 37°C for 6 h (time necessary for the cells to adhere to the membrane of the insert). Afterwards, the insert was flipped back and C6 cells were cultured for two days in DMEM. At the end of two days, bEnd.3 cells were seeded onto the luminal side of the transwell filter at a density of 4x10⁵ cells/cm² and cocultured with C6 cells for eight days.

TEER measurements

To characterize the formation of a tight endothelial cell monolayer, TEER was recorded using an EVOM resistance meter. One electrode was placed on the luminal side and the other electrode on the abluminal side. These two electrodes were separated by the endothelial layer. The TEER measurements of blank filters (without cells) were subtracted from those of filters with cells. Then the resulting value was multiplied by the membrane area to obtain the TEER measurements in Ω·cm².

Sodium fluorescein permeability measurements

Endothelial paracellular barrier function was also evaluated by measuring the permeability of cells to Na-F (MW = 376 Da). First the medium was removed and cells were washed with Ringer-Hepes prewarmed buffer (5 mM Hepes, 5.2 mM KCl, 2.2 mM CaCl₂, 0.2 mM MgCl₂, 6 mM NaHCO₃ and 2.8 mM glucose). Then Ringer-Hepes buffer containing 10μg/ml of Na-F was loaded onto the luminal side of the insert and incubated at 37°C for 1 h. Samples were removed from the abluminal chamber at 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 min and immediately
replaced with fresh Ringer-Hepes buffer. The concentration of Na-F was determined using a fluorescence multiwell plate reader (Ex (λ) 485 nm; Em (λ) 530 nm). Transendothelial permeability coefficient (Pe) was calculated as previously described by Deli et al [24]. The increment in cleared volume was calculated by dividing the amount of compound in the receiver compartment by the drug concentration in the donor compartment. The volume cleared was plotted versus time and the slope estimated by linear regression analysis. Then the average cleared volume was plotted versus time, and permeability x surface area product value for endothelial monolayer (PSe) was calculated as follows:

\[
\frac{1}{P_{\text{endothelial}}} = \frac{1}{P_{\text{total}}} - \frac{1}{P_{\text{insert}}}
\]

Where P total corresponded to the experimental data and PS insert corresponded to the insert without endothelial cells (insert with only C6).

\[P_{\text{endothelial}}\] divided by the surface area (A) in cm² (0.33 cm² for a 24-transwell) generated the endothelial permeability coefficient (Pe in 10⁻⁶ cm.s⁻¹) and calculated as follows:

\[Pe = \frac{P_{\text{endothelial}}}{A}\]

**Whole cell ELISA of Pgp, MRP1, ZO-1 and occludin**

Cocultured cells were washed with 1% BSA in PBS at pH 7.4 and fixed for 20 min at room temperature with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS at pH 7.4. Then inserts were washed again and overlaid with 3% H₂O₂ in methanol for 30 min to block endogenous peroxidase, followed by 20% normal goat serum to block unspecific immunoglobulin binding. Cells were incubated all night at 4°C with either monoclonal mouse anti-Pgp (2 μg/ml), monoclonal mouse anti-MRP-1 (10 μg/ml), rabbit anti-ZO-1 (4 μg/ml) or rabbit anti-occludin (1 μg/ml) antibodies. Then cells were washed and peroxidase conjugated anti-mouse IgG was added as a secondary antibody for 2 h at room temperature (diluted at 1/500). After washing several times, 0.1% of o-phenylenediamine and 0.002% H₂O₂ in 0.05 M citrate buffer at pH 4.5 was added for 10 min at room temperature and in the dark. The colour reaction was measured with a spectrophotometer at 490 nm, as described by Cioni et al [3].

**Drug transporter functional assays**

The functionality of Pgp and MRP-1 was tested by assessing the release of the substrate BCEC-F-AM (specific for Pgp and MRP-1) in the absence or presence of specific inhibitors such as verapamil for Pgp and probenecid for MRP-1. The cocultured cells were washed and cultured in DMEM. Then cells were preincubated for 15 min in the absence or presence of inhibitors at 37°C (40 μM verapamil and 1 mM probenecid, concentrations were determined previously [25]). Inhibitors were added in the luminal compartment to study the transport from the luminal to the abluminal side and conversely. The inserts were incubated with 1 mM of BCECF-AM for 1 h at 37°C. Finally, 100 μl was sampled from each compartment and fluorescence was measured with a fluorescence spectrophotometer at 493 nm excitation and 515 nm emission wavelengths.

**Statistical analysis**

The values are expressed as the means ± s.e.m. Statistical analysis was performed using Student’s t-test. One-way and two-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey-Kramer’s tests.
Results

In vitro cytotoxicity assay

The incubation of 24 h allowed us to determine the least cytotoxic concentration that we should use in our study. bEnd.3 cells were exposed to various concentrations of hydralazine (25–300 μM). Cytotoxicity activity was evaluated, in a first time, by the MTT method (Fig 2). IC₅₀ value of hydralazine was 200 μM. We chose to use 100 μM of hydralazine for our study because at this concentration we had few cytotoxicity effects and confirmed Knowles’s results [18]. Then we could confirm that 100 μM of hydralazine was least cytotoxic by evaluating cell death with the LDH release method (S1 Fig).

Effective dose of drugs induced HIF-1 pathway

Hydralazine is a chemical agent which could mimic hypoxia since it inhibited PHD that negatively regulates HIF-1. First we determined the effective dose of hydralazine which induced HIF-1α overexpression. For that, bEnd.3 cells were exposed to 50 and 100 μM of hydralazine during 2 h and we compared it to the expression of HIF-1α after exposure to 2% O₂. The concentration 50 μM of hydralazine did not induce HIF-1α (data not shown). After 2 h treatment of 100 μM hydralazine, the level of HIF-1α significantly increased to 84.5 ± 2.04 μg/ml (versus 15 ± 1.8 μg/ml for normoxia) (p < 0.001); whereas physical hypoxia significantly induced HIF-1α after 2 h of exposure with an increase to 72.86 ± 2.6 μg/ml (versus 15 ± 1.8 μg/ml for normoxia) (p < 0.001) (Fig 3). Consequently, we used the concentration of 100 μM and 2 h of exposure in our study.

To determine the specific action of the vasodilator hydralazine as a hypoxia mimetic, we compared hydralazine with another vasodilator, prazosin (data not shown). The results showed...
that the addition of prazosin had no effect on the expression of HIF-1α and, therefore, did not have an effect on the hypoxia metabolic pathway.

Impact of physical hypoxia and hydralazine on BBB integrity

TEER measurements. At the optimal TEER measurement (day 6 established at the laboratory), cells were exposed 2 h to physical hypoxia or hydralazine. Fig 4 shows the impact of these exposures on TEER in an in vitro BBB model. A decrease in TEER was observed after 2 h for each exposure. For hydralazine, TEER significantly decreased by 13.3%. TEER values varied

Fig 3. HIF-1 expression induced by each exposure. bEnd.3 cells were exposed to 100 μM hydralazine or physical hypoxia. Results were presented as mean value ± s.e.m (n = 8) and normalized. *** P < 0.001 normoxia vs. hypoxia.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0158010.g003

Fig 4. Transendothelial electrical resistance (TEER) measurement after cells of the BBB model were exposed to 100 μM hydralazine or physical hypoxia during 2 h. Results were presented as mean value ± s.e.m (n = 6). *** P < 0.05 normoxia vs. hypoxia.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0158010.g004
from 91.5 ± 1.1 to 79.36 ± 1.5 Ω.cm² (p < 0.001). For physical hypoxia, TEER significantly decreased by 17.2%. TEER values varied from 91.5 ± 1.1 to 75.80 ± 6.4 Ω.cm² (p < 0.001).

**Membrane permeability.** Absolute membrane permeability was determined after 2 h exposure to hydralazine or physical hypoxia, and results are shown in Fig 5. Coefficient permeability of Na-F in the BBB model significantly increased from 1.21 ± 0.08x10⁻⁶ to 4.67 ± 0.53x10⁻⁶ cm.s⁻¹, for physical hypoxia (p < 0.01). Cells of the BBB model treated with hydralazine showed a significant increase in Na-F permeability (p < 0.01). The permeability coefficient of Na-F varied from 1.21 ± 0.08x10⁻⁶ to 3.64 ± 0.23x10⁻⁶ cm.s⁻¹. There was no significant difference between the two exposures.

**Paracellular transport mediated tight junction proteins occludin and ZO-1.** Determination of occludin and ZO-1 expressions in our in vitro BBB model were established after hydralazine or physical hypoxia exposures (2h) (Fig 6). Expression of ZO-1 significantly
decreased after hydralazine or physical hypoxia exposures (p = 0.028 and p = 0.024, respectively). Expression of ZO-1 decreased by 35% with hydralazine and by 44% with physical hypoxia. There was no significant difference between hydralazine or physical hypoxia. There was also no significant difference for occludin expression with these two exposures. The concentration of occludin varied from 1.68 ± 0.02 to 1.51 ± 0.29 μg/ml with hydralazine and from 1.68 ± 0.02 to 1.49 ± 0.2 μg/ml with physical hypoxia.

**Transendothelial transport mediated efflux transporters.** Transendothelial transport was evaluated by studying the transport of a substrate of Pgp and MRP-1, i.e., BCECF-AM, with a method developed in our laboratory[26]. BCECF-AM is cleaved by intracellular esterase into a fluorescent component BCECF. We studied the release of BCECF in the upper compartment A (transport A to B) and in the lower compartment B (transport B to A). Fig 7A shows a significant decrease of extracellular BCECF release during the transport from B to A (p = 0.039). Pumps were more active on apical membranes of bEnd.3 cells (transport from A to B) than on C6’s membranes, since BCECF was more efflux. We also noticed that this release was due to Pgp and MRP-1, since a significant decrease of extracellular BCECF was observed when cells were respectively incubated with verapamil (p = 0.022) or probenecid (p = 0.035), two inhibitors of these pumps. Then transendothelial transport after hypoxic stress was evaluated. We investigated if the transport of BCECF from A to B was changed after hydralazine or physical hypoxia exposure (2h) (Fig 7B). We noticed that efflux transporters prevented the entry of BCECF in cells, since an important transendothelial transport was observed after each exposure. A significant increase of extracellular BCECF was also observed after hydralazine.

![Figure 7A](image1.png)

![Figure 7B](image2.png)

![Figure 7C](image3.png)

**Fig 7.** (A) Transport of BCECF by Pgp and MRP-1 from compartment A to B or B to A. (B) Release of BCECF during transport from A to B after hydralazine or physical hypoxia exposure. (C) Concentrations of MRP-1 and Pgp after cells of the BBB model were exposed to 100 μM hydralazine, or 2% O2 during 2 h. Results are presented as mean value ± s.e.m (n = 3). *P < 0.05 BCECF vs. inhibitors and * P < 0.05 normoxia vs. treatment.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0158010.g007
exposure: 4.64 ± 0.29 μg/ml versus 8.84 ± 0.47 μg/ml (p = 0.039) together with physical hypoxia; where extracellular BCECF varied from 4.64 ± 0.29 μg/ml to 8.91 ± 0.8 μg/ml (p = 0.034). In parallel, we observed no significant difference between the two exposures. The relative importance between Pgp and MRP-1 was evaluated with two specific inhibitors of Pgp and MRP-1, i.e., verapamil and probenecid, respectively. We observed no significant difference between the two transporters.

In a second time, we studied the expression of Pgp and MRP-1 proteins in our in vitro BBB model after hydralazine or physical hypoxia exposure (2h) in order to understand the increase in the pump’s observed activity (Fig 7C). MRP-1 expression increased after incubation each exposure. For physical hypoxia MRP-1 increased by 27% and by 18% for hydralazine. No significant difference between control and hypoxia exposures was observed. Pgp expression significantly increased by 41% (p = 0.015) and 55% (p = 0.016) for physical hypoxia and hydralazine, respectively. There was no significant difference between the two hypoxia exposures (p = 0.065).

This study on transendothelial transport mediated efflux transporters showed that the functionality of Pgp and MRP-1 was more active on the apical membrane and this functionality was increased after hypoxic stress. This increase was explained by an overexpression of Pgp and MRP-1 proteins.

Discussion

Some neurological diseases are associated with BBB disruption. The BBB is an essential structure of the neurovascular unit because it protects the brain from ionic variations and regulates the exchange of molecules between blood circulation and brain tissue. These functions are mainly ensured by TJ proteins and efflux transporters[6,27]. These TJ proteins create a physical dam to paracellular diffusion; whereas efflux transporters act as a barrier system since they actively pump compounds out of cerebral microvascular endothelial cells, which reduce the exposure of the central nervous system to drugs. Hypoxia seems to be a characteristic of these neurological diseases and also a major stress factor that can induce BBB disruption. The cellular response to hypoxia is driven by the HIF-1 pathway [12]. However, elucidation of cellular or molecular mechanisms induced by hypoxic stress, is difficult with physical hypoxia since HIF-1α has a short half-life. In this regard, we present in this study an original method of hypoxic stress induction via a mimetic agent of the hypoxia pathway. We decided to validate our induction method by comparing the capacity of a new potential mimetic agent, hydralazine, to physical hypoxia (2% O2) in order to create a hypoxic state. This original induction method was set up to understand cellular mechanisms involved by hypoxic stress on an in vitro BBB model. Our in vitro BBB model was composed of the immortalized cell line bEnd.3 and the immortalized C6 cell line. The objective of our study was to establish a model and methodology of hypoxic induction, which requires a large number of cells and a strong reproducibility rate. These points are not always provided by the primary culture, even if primary cultures are more physiological than immortalized cell lines to set up a BBB model. This is why immortalized cell lines are good alternative methods.

In a first step, we demonstrated that these two exposures (hydralazine or physical hypoxia) were able to induce a hypoxic state on our cells since we observed an increase of HIF-1α protein expression. We found that hydralazine induced an overexpression of HIF-1α after 2 h at 100 μM (Fig 3). This has been also confirmed by other researchers using this agent [18] or by physical hypoxia (Fig 3). We decided to use this concentration to investigate the consequences of hypoxic stress on our in vitro BBB model. Our results showed that hypoxic stress induced by hydralazine or physical hypoxia significantly decreased TEER value (Fig 4) and
significantly increased membrane permeability to fluorescein sodium (Fig 5). Taken together, these results demonstrated that BBB lost its physical barrier properties after hypoxic stress since ionic compounds (measured by TEER value) and molecules (measured by fluorescein sodium) could cross cell membranes. To understand this loss, we investigated the expression of TJ proteins since they are in charge of these physical barrier properties. Hypoxic stress significantly decreased ZO-1 but not occludin expression (Fig 6). This result confirmed those obtained by Engelhard et al with a CoCl₂ approach (another mimetic agent of the hypoxia pathway)[12]. This suggested that HIF-1α signalling impacts ZO-1 localization but has no effect on occludin localization. This must be explained by the fact that ZO-1 acts an important role in the development and barrier maintenance of the BBB[28]. Moreover, animal experiments (knockdown for occludin) showed that occludin was not essential for the establishment of TJ complexes despite the fact a loss of this protein was involved in many diseases. Occludin would participate in the regulation of calcium flux through the BBB[29]. Then we investigated the impact of hypoxic stress on efflux transporters as they confer a protection for the brain by rejecting potential dangerous compounds. We showed that Pgp and MRP-1 were more present on apical membranes of brain endothelial cells (Fig 7A), which confirmed literature data[30–32]. We further investigated the impact of hypoxic stress on this apical transport (Fig 7B). Indeed, we showed that endothelial cells of our in vitro BBB model released more BCECF, with hydralazine or physical hypoxia, than cells exposed to normoxia. This increase demonstrated that Pgp and MRP-1 were significantly active since they rejected more BCECF during hypoxic stress; but we noticed no significant difference between the two pumps. This result was shown in tumours cells which are rather hypoxic cells. In these cells, HIF-1α was overexpressed and was associated with an increase of Pgp and MRP-1 activity, which explained a chemoresistance for these cells[33]. Finally, we showed that this increase in activity was linked to an overexpression of Pgp and MRP-1 protein after hypoxic stress (Fig 7C). Taken together, these results demonstrated that cells established a defence mechanism to protect the brain against hypoxic stress; but it would create a resistance to therapy, as it has been described for anti-epileptic drugs and chemotherapy[34]. This would be taken into consideration during the development of therapeutics.

This study was undertaken to validate hydralazine as a suitable hypoxia inductor in order to investigate and understand cellular and molecular impacts of hypoxic stress on the BBB. Our results presented hydralazine as a suitable candidate to create a hypoxic state in our in vitro BBB model, since it was able to induce HIF-1α as physical hypoxia and with few cytotoxicity effects. This result is in line with those described in the literature [18]. Hypoxic stress induced by hydralazine led to an increase of permeability due to a loss of ZO-1 at TJ sites. In contrast, this hypoxic stress induced a defence cellular mechanism with an overexpression of Pgp and MRP-1 which associated with an increase of the pump’s activity. Finally, we showed similar results to those obtained by physical hypoxia (2% of O₂ during 2 h). However, hypoxic alternative methods with mimetic agents could limit studies. Indeed, Shweta et al, showed that cobalt chloride, another mimetic agent, induced an inflammatory response by producing proinflammatory molecules like TNF-α, IL-6 or NO[35]. Moreover, Zhigalova et al, explained that cobalt chloride could activate other molecular pathways[36]. These activations of molecular pathways have not been shown with hydralazine in the literature because of the few studies on this molecule[18], but it could be a possibility. Nevertheless, hydralazine seems to be a good way to understand the first cellular and molecular steps caused by hypoxia on BBB, since our results with hydralazine showed similar results to those obtained with physical hypoxia.
Conclusion

Induction of hypoxic stress using hydralazine is an innovative and acceptable method to understand the early stages of the consequences of hypoxic stress on the BBB. This induction method has many advantages because hypoxic stress is controlled, reproducible and reversible. It is also less expensive. We are confident that this innovative induction method will allow the understanding of cellular and molecular mechanisms activated at the BBB during pathological states and will bring us some necessary answers for the development of therapeutics.

Supporting Information

S1 Fig. Cytotoxicity effect of drugs in bEnd.3 cells. Cells were incubated with 100 μM of hydralazine during 2 h and 24 h. Cytotoxicity was measured by the LDH release method. The results are presented as mean value for triplicate.
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