Catalyst Conversion Rates measurement on engine fueled with Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) using different operating temperatures
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Definitions / Abbreviations

AMFA – Alternative Motor Fuels Act; ASC – Ammonia slip catalyst; ATDC – After top dead center; BSFC – Brake Specific Fuel Consumption; CA – Crank angle degrees; CAFÉ – Corporate Average Fuel Economy; CH₄ – Methane; CI – Compressed ignition; CNG – Compressed Natural Gas; CO – Carbon monoxide; CO₂ – Carbon dioxide; CVC – Constant volume combustor; DOC – Diesel oxidation catalyist; DPF – Diesel particulate filter; FTIR – Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy; GDI – Gasoline direct injection; GHGs – Greenhouse gases; H₂ – Hydrogen; HC – Hydrocarbons; HCHO – Formaldehyde; HRR – Heat release rate; LNT – Lean NOx trap; LPG – Liquefied Petroleum Gas; M– Torque; n– Rotation speed; N₂O – Nitrous oxide; NEDC – New European driving cycle; NH₃ – Ammonia; NOₓ – Nitric oxides; O₂ – Oxygen; OC – Oxidation catalysts; P– Power; PFI – Port Fuel Injected; PM – Particulate matter; RDE – Real driving emissions test; SI – Spark ignition; T – Temperature; TTW – Tank to wheel; WLTC – Worldwide harmonized light vehicles test cycle.

1. Introduction

There is a plan in transport legislations to reach CO2 emission reduction 15% until 2025 and 37.5% until 2030 [1]. Attempts to meet increasingly stringent general standards related to GHGs [2] will form the basis for shaping the most recent Euro 7 emission [3]. This will most likely lead to the exclusion of the use of internal combustion engines used in classical transport as a single source of vehicle propulsion. This trend will force down transportation to switch drive train systems to hybrid types [4, 5] and electric drives [6], or to use H₂ vehicles [7]. Special attention should also be paid to engines of non-road and working machines as well as ships [8, 9]. One way to seek a solution to the emissions problem may be to use multiple fuels [10, 11], or fuels with lower carbon content, in engines [9, 12].

The most popular alternative fuels are LPG and CNG [13–15]. The use of these fuels in internal combustion engines is regulated by legislations 2014/94/EU, AMFA, CAFÉ and GHGs [16]. In the longer term, H₂ is considered the fuel of the future, but the competition for its use is CNG, from which H₂ can also be extracted.

Testing of an SI engine alternatively fueled with CNG showed a slight decrease in brake power of about 10% and a decrease in BSFC of about 15% at (30...80)% cylinder filling ratio settling. CNG gave a 1.6% increase in thermal efficiency and an approx. 24% higher temperature resulting in a 40% increase in NOₓ emissions. The other components of the exhaust gas (HC, CO, O₂, and CO₂) were significantly lower with CNG fueling than with gasoline fueling [17]. Engines operating in lean-burn mode became a problem. In [18], there was research with CNG engine development process it was found that feeding the SI engine with CNG resulted in a 15% decrease in brake power and about 16% decrease in BSFC. The thermal efficiency increased by 10% with respect to gasoline supply. CNG fueling resulted in a 30% increase in NOₓ emissions and a 12% lower HC emissions and up to 90% lower CO emissions comparing to petrol data results. Another challenge facing CNG power is downsizing. By using the right materials and processing targeted for CNG power, CO₂ savings of up to 50% at peak power and 20–40% in the driving cycle area, including RDE, can be achieved for this type of engine [18].

Subsequent analysis of CO₂ emissions over the TTW cycle showed that it is most favorable for gasoline/CNG fueled engines, which consume 28.8% and 6.7% less CO₂ than gasoline/LPG and diesel vehicles, respectively [19]. Comparing this to the other multifuel configurations, the diesel/CNG CO₂ emissions are 13.5% lower than the gasoline/LPG and even 1.5% lower than the diesel vehicles considered in this study. The lowest fuel cost per km was achieved by gasoline/CNG vehicles, 32% and 35% less than gasoline/LPG and diesel vehicles, respectively.

For CI engines, there are two ways to run on CNG. The first one is to use both fuels simultaneously and co-combustion diesel fuel together with CNG. Increasing the share of CNG co-combusted with diesel fuel results in delayed auto-ignition of the mixture and shortens the combustion time. Stabilization of engine operation is obtained at (30...45)% CNG share. In this range, HC, CO and significantly CO₂ emission of the dual-fuel engine are reduced [20]. Additionally, in the low load range, a delay in CNG injection timing (130°ATDC – crank angle degree manually set in ecu algorithm) is proposed resulting in an increase in cylinder pressure and an increase in HRR with a decrease in HC, CO and PM emissions [21]. The optimal CNG injection timing for a dual-fuel engine at low load was considered to be 110°CA. In addition to downsizing, work is also being done on other engine designs, such as the RI-CNG having a sub-chamber above the main combustion chamber. By modifying the CI engine by adding a CVC, an attempt was made to solve the problem of removing gas remaining in the sub-chamber from the previous cycle. Preliminary tests gave promising results, both in terms of engine performance indicators and exhaust emissions indicating the prospects for further modifications [22].
The second way to use CNG as a fuel in a CI engine is a conversion involving a switch to SI operation. Besides the obvious advantages of this way of powering, there is one problem related to the fact that the SI engine should reach at least 1500 rev/min for the operating speed range [23], which for some CI engines undergoing conversion lies in the high speed range. In [24], a study of bus engines was presented in which one was powered by CNG only and did not have any aftertreatment systems, and the other was powered by diesel by equipping it with OC and then with DPF. It was found that transient emissions were significantly higher than steady state emissions. Emissions of toxic compounds from the CNG-fueled engine (without after-treatment systems) and from the low-sulfur diesel vehicle fitted with DPF were lower than from the low-sulfur diesel vehicle fitted with OC. A comprehensive review of separate as well as simultaneous CO and CH₄ oxidation reactions to control emissions from CNG vehicles is presented in [25]. PM emission is also a very important emission issue. In [26], a study was conducted on PM>23 nm emissions for CNG fueled engines. They were shown to suck an order of magnitude lower than for GDI and PFI engines. Analysis of particle size distributions showed that in many cases they are below the regulated limit of 23 nm (Euro 6b) and even below 10 nm. In addition to testing for homologation legislation, a number of non-regulated pollutants (NH₃, N₂O, CH₄ and HCHO) are also being tested, which is important for CNG fueling. Using an FTIR instrument, it was possible to comprehensively evaluate these constituents from Euro 6d, Euro 6c and Euro 6d-TEMP vehicles operating in CI, SI modes and powered by CNG during RDE tests [27]. NH₃ emissions were up to 49 mg/km for the SI engine, up to 69 mg/km for the CNG-fueled engine and up to 17 mg/km for the CI engine with SCR. On the other hand, N₂O and CH₄ emissions were up to 9.8 gCOequiv/km for the CI engine with DOC, LNT and ASC.

The aim of the study was to evaluate the possibility of conversion of a CI engine fueled classically with diesel fuel to operate in the SI mode when fueled with CNG. In the research, apart from the possibility of conversion itself through appropriate modifications within the engine necessary for its operation, the influence of the presence of catalysts on HC conversion was analyzed. The study was limited to two different designs of catalysts operating at different exhaust gas temperatures.

2. Object of the research

The object of the research was a Cummins 6BT engine. The basic technical data of the tested engine in its original version (fueled with diesel oil) have been presented in Table 1.

The technical data of the original Cummins 6BT engine. Data taken from Ref. [28]

| Parameter          | Value                                      |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| model              | 6BT / R6 / 12V, 4-stroke, turbocharged     |
| engine displacement| 5.9 cm³                                    |
| max. power at engine speed | 215 kW at 2200 rev/min                   |
| max. torque at engine speed  | 597 N·m at rev/min                     |
| bore               | 102 mm                                     |
| stroke             | 119 mm                                     |
| compression ratio   | 17:1                                       |

The Cummins 6BT engine has undergone numerous modifications in order to adapt it to run on compressed natural gas (CNG). A sequential gas injection system and an ignition system controlled by a STAG 600 MF system were installed. The compression ratio was also lowered from 17:1 to 11.5:1 by replacing the pistons. An electronic throttle body BOSCH 0280 780 009 was installed to regulate the degree of filling the cylinders. In the exhaust system, a WBO lambda probe Bosch LSU 4.9 was installed to evaluate the combustion process. The research course included a comparison of two different catalytic converters, which also had to be adapted to the connections resulting from the design of the exhaust system.

Two three-functional catalysts differing in mass of particular conversion sections were used in tests, both tested catalysts being characterized by the same tubule density coefficient at the level of 300 CPSI. In the tested catalyst No. 1 (Cat_1) the monolithic conversion section s₁ has a mass of 800 g, section s₂ has a mass of 1000 g, section s₃ has a mass of 1000 g. For catalyst No. 2 (Cat_2) respectively the masses of the sections are s₁ = 800 g, s₂ = 600 g, s₃ = 600 g.

3. Research methodology

In the course of the study, a SuperFlow SF-902 water-braked dynamometer was used to load the Cummins 6BT engine. HC emissions were evaluated using a Maha MGT-5 analyzer. The exhaust gas temperature after the catalytic converter was measured using a T-208P EGT probe connected to the exhaust gas temperature controller of a Stag 600 MF engine controller.

The SuperFlow SF-902 dynamometer operated in a mode of two control parameters. The first one was the temperature after the catalyst (set for two variants), and the second one was the variable engine speed (900...1900) rev/min. At particular rotational speeds (step every 100 rev/min) and fixed values of exhaust temperatures and of values HC for both catalyst types.

Basic technical data of the measurement equipment have been presented in Table 2.

Parameters of the measuring equipment. Data taken from Ref. [29,30]

| Parameter          | Measurement device | Range       | Accuracy   |
|--------------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|
| Rotation speed: n, rev/min | SuperFlow SF-902   | 0 - 9,000   | ±1         |
| Torque: M, N·m     | SuperFlow SF-902   | 0 - 1,627   | ±0.25%     |
| Power: P, kW       | SuperFlow SF-902   | 0 - 1,119   | ±0.25%     |
| Hydrocarbons: HC, ppm | Maha MGT-5       | 0 – 40000 (methane) | ±12    |
| Temperature: T, °C  | STAG 600 MF EGT controller | 0 – 1150 | ±2.5       |
4. Results and discussion

In the majority of scientific papers, comparative studies of power systems are carried out by comparison on the basis of full load engine characteristics and performance [31, 32], or in the course of tests in accordance with legislative requirements (NEDC, WLTP and RDE) [33, 34]. Some publications include regulatory characteristics with varying degrees of control and different choices of analyzed parameters [35]. However, all these cases do not allow to create guidelines for comprehensive engine evaluation. Therefore, further work on regulatory characteristics in areas not yet in the spectrum is indicated. Based on this assumption and taking into account achievements of other researchers, an attempt was made to assess the degree of HC conversion in catalytic converters of various designs responsible for cleaning engine exhaust gases used for CNG fueling at fixed exhaust gas temperatures and variable engine rotational speed. Very important in this case was the software controlling the engine operating parameters to enable the test cycle.

In Fig. 1 shows the temperature values for Cat_1 and Cat_2 tests. In spite of slight variations over the range of engine crankshaft speeds tested (900...1900) ±1 rev/min, the average exhaust gas temperature after the catalyst was $T_{Cat_1} = 513±2.5°C$ for Cat_1, while Cat_2 was $T_{Cat_2} = 432±2.5°C$. Although the average temperatures are slightly different from the set-point, they should be considered representative.

In order to provide the exhaust gas temperature required by the test range, it was necessary to vary external indicators such as power $P$ and torque $M$ (Fig. 2). It should be emphasized here that the goal was to maintain the exhaust gas temperature and speed while adjusting the engine power stage and load. Maintaining the exhaust gas temperature at around $500±2.5°C$ with the Cat_1 test (Fig. 2) was accompanied by an increase in the values of $P$ and $M$. The maximum values were about 70% higher than with the Cat_2 test at $500±2.5°C$ ($n = 1300±1$ rev/min). There is a gradual decrease in the external indices at Cat_2, while significant fluctuations were observed at Cat_1. This confirms the supposition of a significant energy requirement to raise the exhaust gas temperature.

Regardless of the type of catalyst used and the exhaust gas temperature, HC emissions both upstream and downstream of the catalyst increase with increasing speed (Fig. 3). The nature of the HC increase has a larger gradient for the case of Cat_1 and an exhaust gas temperature of $500±2.5°C$. Also for this case, there are larger differences in emissions upstream and downstream of the catalyst.
1. Conversion of a diesel-powered CI engine to a CNG-powered SI engine is possible by changing the compression ratio, using an air throttle and dedicated ignition and power systems.

2. Tests carried out at fixed/decreased exhaust temperature (400 and 500) ±2.5°C and variable speed (900–1900) ±1 rev/min allowed to evaluate the degree of HC conversion in catalytic converters of various designs.

3. Catalyst 1 operating at 500±2.5°C showed 23.5% higher conversion than catalyst 2 operating at a lower temperature (400±2.5°C) in the investigated range of rotational speeds.

4. In order to be able to achieve the required exhaust temperature in the test course, it was required that the engine generated up to 70% higher external indicator values in the form of power at the required temperature of 500±2.5°C in the catalyst 1 tests, relative to the catalyst 2 test at 400±2.5°C.
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EVALUATION OF THE CONVERSION RATE REGARDING HYDROCARBONS CONTAINED IN THE EXHAUST EASES OF AN ENGINE FUELLED WITH COMPRESSED NATURAL GAS (CNG) USING DIFFERENT CATALYSTS OPERATING AT DIFFERENT TEMPERATURES

Summary

Further restrictions on the use of compression-ignition engines in transportation are prompting the search for adaptations to run on other fuels. One of the most popular alternative fuels is Compressed Natural Gas (CNG), which

due to its low carbon content can be competitive with classical fuels. This paper presents the results of testing a Cummins 6BT compression ignition engine that has undergone numerous modifications to convert to CNG power. The sequential gas injection system and the ignition system were installed in this engine. The compression ratio was also lowered from 16.5 to 11.5 by replacing the pistons. Tests conducted on an engine dynamometer were to show the differences in emission and conversion in the catalyst of hydrocarbons contained in the exhaust gases. Two structurally different catalysts operating at different exhaust temperatures (400 and 500)±2.5°C were used. The catalyst operating at 500±2.5°C showed a 23.5% higher conversion rate than the catalyst operating at a lower temperature in the range of the speed range tested. Also the external indicators, such as power and torque for the case of higher operating temperature took values over 70% higher. The research is one of the stages of a comprehensive assessment of the possibility of adaptation of compression ignition engines to CNG-only fueling.
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