Subungual osteochondroma: case report and review of clinical, radiologic and ultrasound presentation versus subungual exostosis

Abstract

While osteochondromas represent the most common primary bone tumors of the foot, the subungual presentation is less frequent. These lesions appear as firm solitary nodules with a tendency to grow, causing deformity of the overlying nail. We present the case of subungual osteochondroma with its characteristic clinical and radiologic features, adding the complementary visualization of its ultrasound findings, which have barely been described in published literature, unlike the subungual exostosis, its main differential diagnosis.
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Introduction

Osteochondromas represent the most common bone tumor. They are benign osteocartilaginous outgrowths that affect bones with endochondral growth affecting in very few cases small bones, when these tumors settle under the skin they are termed subungual osteochondromas.2–4 They are, altogether with subungual exostosis, the most common bony lesions affecting the nail unit.5 Some authors consider subungual exostosis a variant of osteochondroma or even a single entity1,5,6 whereas others have described differences among them7,8 one of these being its US findings (Table 1).

Table 1 Differential diagnosis between subungual osteochondroma and subungual exostosis

| Bone origin | Subungual osteochondroma | Subungual exostosis |
|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Endochondral ossification1,5,17 | Fibrous tissue1,5,17 |
| In proximity with the metaphyseal area2,8,9 | Distal, not related to the metaphyseal area2,8,9 |
| Histology | Cap is composed of hyaline cartilage2,8,9 | Cap is composed of fibrocartilage2,8,9 |
| Radiography findings | Juxtaepiphyseal protuberances in continuity with the underlying bone cortex and medullary channel1,2,9 | Protuberances lack clear continuity of both the medullary cavity and cortex1,2,9,10 |
| Ultrasound findings | Well-defined rounded hypoechoic images with increased vascularization13,14 | Hyperechoic linear images, with posterior acoustic shadowing with relative hypovascularity or mild vascularity14,16 |

Case synopsis

A 12-year-old male, with no relevant medical history, was evaluated for a painful outgrowth under the second toenail of his left foot for over a month and a half, coinciding with the beginning of soccer season. Physical examination revealed a yellowish hyperkeratotic subungual tumor with a well-defined erythematous base protruding towards the medial side of the nail plate. Dermoscopy showed a yellowish-brown hyperkeratotic protuberance over an erythematous nodule with linear vessels, and no atypia signs (Figure 1). Short and long axis images of high-resolution ultrasound (US) of the medial aspect of the distal phalanx showed a hypoechoic band in keeping with the cartilaginous cap, bounded by an echogenic bony protuberance on its deep surface, enlarging the nail bed and displacing upwards the nail plates (Figure 2). X-ray of the foot showed a bony excrescence in the distal phalanx, compatible with an osteochondroma (Figure 3). The patient was referred to the department of Traumatology for surgical removal and diagnosis confirmed by histopathological examination.
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Discussion

Osteochondromas are benign osteocartilaginous outgrowths. Their mechanism of production in osteochondromas is still elusive. Some believe they arise from an abnormal periosteal development, while others consider a congenital origin secondary to genetic activation, this proved to be true in the case of multiple osteochondromas associated with EXT1/2 gene mutations. They are more frequently observed in adolescents and young adults, and in those located in the distal phalanx of fingers and toes, age of presentation ranges from 10 to 25 years. Grisafi et al. and Schulze and Hebert referred males are twice as affected as females, and history of trauma or microtrauma—such as an ill-fitted shoe—is frequently found. Clinically, they present as firm solitary nodules, with or without associated nail plate deformity, and run asymptomatic or with pain and oppression in the affected finger or toe. Clinical differential diagnosis of either subungual osteochondromas or exostosis includes ingrown toenails, verruca vulgaris, pyogenic granulomas, glomus tumors, fibromas, keratoacanthomas, squamous cell carcinomas, subungual malignant melanomas as well as bacterial or mycotic infections.

Subungual osteochondroma’s US findings have been briefly described as well-defined rounded hypoechoic images with increased vascularization. Our case showed a hypoechoic band in keeping with the cartilaginous cap, bounded by an echogenic bony protuberance on its deep surface, enlarging the nail bed and displacing upwards the nail plates; however, Color Doppler US did not reveal vascularity. On the other hand, subungual exostosis are described as hyperechoic linear images, with posterior acoustic shadowing, located immediately under the nail plates and directly connected to the bone margin of the distal phalanx, with a relative hypovascularity or mild vascularity. The nail bed is similarly enlarged and displaced upward.

Radiography shows the presence of juxtaepiphyseal protuberances, in proximity with the metaphyseal area—differentiating from subungual exostosis—of long or short bones, in continuity with the underlying bone cortex and medullary channel.

On histology, a hyaline cartilage cap with a high number of chondrocytes is observed, unlike subungual exostosis in which the cap is composed of fibrocartilage. Surgery is the treatment of choice in symptomatic cases and in those tumors with vascular or nerve compression.

Conclusion

In the diagnostic assessment of nail lesions sonography has become a useful, noninvasive adjunctive tool, allowing a real-time evaluation, widening our initial differential diagnosis. Unlike exostosis, subungual osteochondromas have barely been described in revised literature, perhaps due to similarity between both entities. However, we believe in the importance of discriminating in what increasingly seems to be two separate entities, imaging included.
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