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Abstract

Background: Data about the use of positive inotropic agents in patients hospitalized with acute decompensated heart failure (ADHF) is limited.

Methods: The records of 8066 patients with ADHF who were hospitalized at Hamad Medical Corporation, Qatar from 1991 to 2013 were analyzed to explore demographics and clinical characteristics of the patients according to inotropic agents use.

Results: Eight hundred fifty eight patients [10.6 %, 95 % CI (10 to 11.3 %)] received intravenous inotropic support. Patients receiving inotropes were more likely to be female and have preserved ejection fraction when compared to those not receiving inotropic agents. Comorbidities associated with higher likelihood of receiving inotropic treatment included acute myocardial infarction, chronic renal impairment, dyslipidemia, hypertension, obesity and hyperglycemia. Patient on inotropes were more likely to undergone percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), intra-aortic balloon pump support and intubation. There were no differences in the mean plasma BNP and CK-MB levels between the 2 groups. Heart failure patients receiving inotropes also were more likely to have complications including ventricular tachycardia (2.0 % vs. 0.9 %, \( p = 0.003 \)), prolonged hospital stay (8.0 vs. 5.0 days, \( p = 0.001 \)), cardiac arrest (14.6 % vs. 3.2 %, \( p = 0.001 \)) and in-hospital mortality (30.8 % vs. 9.1 %, \( p = 0.001 \)). Over the study period there was an increase use of inotropic agents and decreased mortality rates.

Conclusion: Inotropic use increased over the period whereas; female gender and conventional cardiac risk factors were predictors of inotropic agents use in the study.
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Background
Acute heart failure refers to a group of clinical syndromes due to either new-onset heart failure (HF) or decompensation of chronic HF with symptoms necessitating hospitalization, emergency department visits, or unscheduled medical attention [1]. Heart failure is a growing problem resulting in significant morbidity and mortality and is the most common cause of hospital admissions in the United States resulting in approximately 1.1 million hospitalizations annually [2–4].

Common causes of ADHF are coronary artery disease (CAD) or valvular abnormalities. However, most patients hospitalized with ADHF have a worsening of preexisting HF, while up to 20% of patients have no prior diagnosis of HF [5].

Patients with ADHF typically present with symptoms that range in severity from mild volume overload to life-threatening cardiogenic shock and multi-organ failure [6]. A general goal in the management includes improved symptoms with hemodynamic stabilization and increased use of evidence-based therapies to reduce recurrent hospitalization and mortality.

Based on the recent American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association guidelines for the diagnosis and management of heart failure, patients who are refractory to diuretics should be managed with vasodilators as first-line therapy in the absence of symptomatic hypotension or systolic blood pressure of less than 90 mmHg. These guidelines also state that the use of intravenous positive inotropic agents is a valid option to improve contractility for hospitalized patients with heart failure who have evidence of low ejection fraction, hemodynamic compromise, cardiogenic shock, or decreased organ perfusion [7]. Of note, the clinical practice guidelines of the Heart Failure Society of America [8] and the European Society of Cardiology [9] have similar recommendations to that of the American College Cardiology/American Heart Association. Positive inotropic agents, on the other hand, have not been shown to reduce length of hospital stay or mortality when added to standard care [10]. Additionally, milrinone and dobutamine have also been shown to be proarrhythmic and cause more symptomatic hypotension in randomized controlled trials compared to standard care [11]. Several observational studies including that of the Acute Decompensated Heart Failure National Registry (ADHERE) have also shown increases in mortality with inotropes when compared with vasodilator therapy [12]. However, data about trends of inotropic agents use in ADHF patients over a period of time is lacking.

We analyzed predictors of overall use of positive inotropic agents and associated outcomes in patients hospitalized with acute decompensated heart failure over 22-years period.

Methods
a. Study setting
This study was conducted in Heart hospital, Doha, Qatar. Heart Hospital is committed to deliver safe, high quality care in cardiology and cardiothoracic surgery for the residents of Qatar, nationals and expatriates where more than 95% of cardiac patients are being treated in the Hospital, making it an ideal center for observational-based studies. The state of Qatar is located in the Arabian Peninsula having approximately 1.7 million population in 2010 most of them being Arab (40%) followed by Indians (18%), Pakistani (18%), Iranian (10%) and others (14%). In the past decade, cardiovascular diseases were the leading cause of morbidity and mortality in the country. Database for patients admitted to the hospital are maintained electronically and registered at the cardiology department since January 1991. Based on these records, patients hospitalized due to AHDF between 1991 and 2013 were retrospectively evaluated. Study (#11355) was exempted for review from Institutional Review Board (IRB), MRC, Hamad Medical Corporation (HMC) due to its retrospective nature and study did not contain identifiable private information and were not collected by investigators through intervention or interaction with individuals. The Framingham criteria were used for the diagnosis of heart failure. The concurrent presence of either 2 major criteria or 1 major and 2 minor criterion was required to establish a diagnosis of HF [13]. Major criteria included paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnea, neck vein distention, weight loss of 4.5 kg in 5 days in response to treatment, acute pulmonary edema, rales, hepatojugular reflex, S3 gallop, central venous pressure greater than 16 cm water, circulation time of 25 s, radiographic cardiomegaly, pulmonary edema, visceral congestion, or cardiomegaly at autopsy. Minor criteria on the other hand included nocturnal cough, dyspnea on ordinary exertion, a decrease in vital capacity by one third the maximal value recorded, pleural effusion, tachycardia (heart rate of at least 120 beats per minute), or bilateral ankle edema that are not attributed to any other medical condition (e.g., cirrhosis, ascites, nephrotic syndrome) [14–17].

Inotropic support is defined as the use of adrenergic agonists and phosphodiesterase III (PDE) inhibitors. Doses of inotropic support were defined as the use of dopamine ≥ 5 μg/kg/min; any dose of epinephrine, norepinephrine, dobutamine, or milrinone. On the
other hand, definition of acute myocardial infarction (MI) in this study was consistent to the World Heart Organization criteria. Bedside transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) was used to measure EF at admission to coronary intensive care unit. The presence of diabetes mellitus was based on documentation in the patient’s previous or current medical record. The diagnosis of hyperlipidemia was made by a fasting cholesterol >5.2 mmol/L in the patient’s medical record or any history of treatment of hyperlipidemia by the patient’s physician. Chronic renal impairment was defined as creatinine >1.5 upper normal range. Presence of hypertension was determined by any documentation in the medical record or treatment by the patient’s physician.

b. Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were calculated for all variables of interest. Patient characteristics were in the form of mean ± standard deviation (SD) and frequency with percentages for interval and categorical variables respectively. The frequencies of categorical variables were compared using chi-square test while comparisons were based on independent student t-test for interval data. To determine the patient characteristics that were associated with the use of inotropic agents, variables including age, sex, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, obesity, dyslipidemia, chronic renal impairment, ejection fraction, and prior MI were considered for multivariate logistic regression analysis using enter method. Adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and corresponding 95 % confidence intervals (CIs) for each factor were reported. All tests were 2-tailed and statistical difference was defined as a p value < =0.05. All data analyses were carried out using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 19.0 (SPSS, IBM, Armonk, NY).

Results

The study included 8066 patients, of whom 858 patients received inotropic agents. Baseline characteristics of the study population hospitalized with ADHF are displayed in Tables 1 and 2. The study patients were racially diverse; fewer patients in the inotropic group were Asians but more Middle-Eastern Arabs. Patients receiving inotropes were more likely to be female (38.9 % vs. 33.1 %, p = 0.001), older age (63 vs. 62 years, p = 0.004), with chronic renal impairment (18.4 % vs. 8.5 %, p = 0.001), on dialysis (1.9 % vs. 0.3 %, p = 0.001), dyslipidemic (11.2 % vs. 5.5 %, p = 0.001), hypertensive (69.3 % vs. 56.9 %, p = 0.001), obese (8.7 % vs. 6.1 %, p = 0.003) and have diabetes mellitus (66.3 % vs. 57.1 %, p = 0.001) at the time of admission. The inotropes group was also more likely to have elevated troponin levels (40.8 % vs. 25.9 %, p = 0.001) and to present with both ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) and non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI).

| Table 1 Heart Failure Patient Characteristics and Comorbidities |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| Variable        | Inotropes   | No Inotropes   | p Value |
| Demographics    |              |                |        |
| Total number, n (%) | 858 (10.6) | 7208 (89.4) |        |
| Age, mean (SD)  | 63 ± 12     | 62 ± 12        | 0.04   |
| Male, n (%)     | 419 (61.1)  | 4270 (66.9)    | 0.001  |
| Middle-Eastern Arabs | 674 (78.6) | 5472 (73.7)    |        |
| South Asians    | 164 (19.1)  | 1562 (21.7)    |        |
| Others          | 20 (2.3)    | 174 (2.4)      | 0.001  |
| Patient Characteristics, n (%) |              |                |        |
| Smoker          | 141 (16.4)  | 1043 (14.5)    | 0.12   |
| Chronic renal impairment | 158 (18.4) | 612 (8.5)      | 0.001  |
| Dyslipidemia    | 96 (11.2)   | 394 (5.5)      | 0.001  |
| Hypertension    | 595 (69.3)  | 4100 (56.9)    | 0.001  |
| Diabetes Mellitus | 569 (66.3) | 4115 (57.1)    | 0.001  |
| Obesity         | 75 (8.7)    | 443 (6.1)      | 0.003  |
| Menopause       | 34 (4)      | 206 (2.9)      | 0.07   |
| Labs, mean (SD) |              |                |        |
| Hemoglobin (g/dl) | 11.8 ± 5 | 12 ± 4.9      | 0.04   |
| Fasting blood sugar, mmol/L | 11 ± 16 | 12 ± 48      | 0.81   |
| Serum creatinine, mmol/L | 164 ±127 | 131 ± 265 | 0.01   |
| BNP, (pg/ml) | 4195 ± 7450 | 3090 ± 7650 | 0.22   |
| Cholesterol, mmol/L | 4.3 ± 1.5 | 4.4 ± 6.6 | 0.57   |
| Low density lipoprotein (LDL), mmol/L | 2.46 ± 1.0 | 2.9 ± 9.8 | 0.42   |
| High density lipoprotein (HDL), mmol/L | 1.2 ± 1.6 | 1.6 ± 1.9 | 0.63   |
| Triglycerides, mmol/L | 1.6 ± 1.3 | 1.6 ± 7.8 | 0.98   |
| Creatinine kinase-MB, μ/L | 61 ± 192 | 74 ± 559 | 0.6    |
| Labs, n (%) |              |                |        |
| Troponin positive | 350 (40.8)  | 1866 (25.9)  | 0.001  |
| Cardiac History, n (%) |              |                |        |
| Admission ejection fraction ≤ 40 % | 200 (59.2) | 1305 (69.6) | 0.001  |
| Rheumatic heart disease | 3 (0.3) | 43 (0.6) | 0.36   |
| ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) | 74 (8.6) | 309 (43) | 0.001  |
| Non-STEMI (NSTEMI) | 53 (6.2)  | 256 (36)      | 0.001  |
| Unstable angina | 64 (7.5)   | 421 (5.8)     | 0.06   |
| Cardiomyopathy  | 125 (14.6) | 860 (11.9)    | 0.03   |
| Pulmonary hypertension | 12 (1.4) | 80 (1.1) | 0.45   |
| Valvular Heart Disease, n (%) |              |                |        |
| Mitral regurgitation | 44 (5.1)  | 373 (5.2)     | 0.95   |
| Aortic regurgitation | 11 (1.3)  | 106 (1.5)    | 0.66   |
elevation (8.6 % vs. 4.3 %, \( p = 0.001 \)) and non-ST-segment elevation (6.2 % VS. 3.6 %, \( P = 0.001 \)) myocardial infarction when compared to the non-inotropic group. The inotropic group was also more likely to undergo percutaneous coronary revascularization (2.0 % vs. 0.7 %, \( p = 0.001 \)), and have intra-aortic balloon pump support (2.6 % vs. 0.4 %, \( p = 0.001 \)). Interestingly, patients in the no inotropic support group were more likely to have lower LV ejection fraction (LVEF \( \leq \) 40 %; 69.6 % vs. 59.2 %, \( p = 0.001 \)) and more likely to develop atrial fibrillation (10.1 % vs. 6.2 %, \( p = 0.001 \)) when compared to those who received inotropic agents. There were no significant differences between the 2 groups in regards to the mean plasma BNP levels (4195 ± 7450 vs. 3090 ± 7650) and CKMB levels (61 ± 192 vs. 74 ± 559) (\( p = 0.22 \) and 0.60 respectively).

**Outcome**

Patients on inotropes group had more cardiovascular complications including: ventricular tachycardia (2.0 % vs. 0.9 %, \( p = 0.003 \)), prolonged hospital stay (8.0 vs. 5.0 days, \( p = 0.001 \)), cardiac arrest (14.6 % vs. 3.2 %, \( p = 0.001 \)) and in-hospital mortality (30.8 % vs. 9.1 %, \( p = 0.001 \)) (Table 2).

**Trends**

Inotropes use over the study period significantly increased; 4.6, 9.0, 9.9 14.2 and 17.6 % (\( p = 0.001 \)) in 1991–95, 1996–2000, 2001–05, 2006–2010 and after 2010 years respectively (Table 3).

The average mortality rates in patients with ADHF receiving inotropic agents over 22 years was variable but decreased recently; 17.7, 27.1, 29.2, 18.8, and 7.3 % (\( p = 0.001 \)) in 1991–95, 1996–2000, 2001–05, 2006–2010 and after 2010 years respectively (Table 4).

**Multivariate analysis**

Table 5 shows multivariate logistic regression analysis; the adjusted odds of being treated with any positive inotropic agent were highest in patients who were a history of hypertension (OR: 1.66, 95 % CI: 1.10 to 2.5) or having chronic renal impairment (OR: 1.76, 95 % CI: 1.19 to 2.6) or preserved ejection fraction (OR: 1.92, 95 % CI: 1.27 to 2.9) after adjusting age, gender, hyperglycemia, obesity, ethnicity and old myocardial infarction.

In terms of evidence-based discharge medications, more patients in the inotropic support received beta-blockers at discharge and but ACE inhibitors when compared to patients who did not receive inotropes agents (Table 6).

**Discussion**

This 22-year observational study of patients hospitalized with HF demonstrates 10.6 % need to use inotropic agents. Patients who received inotropic agents were older, more likely to be female, local Arabs rather than Asians with worse cardiovascular risk profile. Inotropic agents group were more likely to present with acute coronary syndrome when compared to the non-inotropic agents group. Interestingly, low left ventricular ejection fraction and BNP were not predictors of its use.

The current observation of inotropic agents use is consistent with that reported from the ADHERE Registry, which reported \( \approx 10 \% \) use of inotropic agents in patients hospitalized for ADHF in the United States. It is also consistent with other reports in the literature, which varied from 3 to 12 % [4, 12, 18]. Interestingly we report significant increase of inotropic support over the 22 years period, which may suggest hospitalization of “sicker” patients, while patients with less severe heart failure are increasingly managed in the outpatient setting.

**Predictors of inotropic agents use**

Our study found that the strongest predictors of inotrope use were hypertension and chronic renal impairment. Based on data from ADHERE Registry, about 9.6 % of patients hospitalized for ADHF in the United States received an intravenous inotrope (either milrinone or dobutamine). Such patients tended to have more

---

**Table 2 In-hospital Procedures and Complications**

| Variable                      | Inotropes | No Inotropes | \( p \) Value |
|-------------------------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|
| **Procedures, n (%)**         |           |              |               |
| Intraaortic balloon pump (IABP) | 22 (2.6)  | 28 (0.4)     | 0.001         |
| Swan-Ganz catheterization     | 47 (5.5)  | 82 (1.1)     | 0.001         |
| Percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) | 17 (2)  | 54 (0.7)     | 0.001         |
| Coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) | 61 (7.1) | 652 (9)      | 0.06          |
| Intubation                    | 58 (6.8)  | 76 (1.1)     | 0.001         |
| Dialysis                      | 16 (1.9)  | 22 (0.3)     | 0.001         |
| **Complications, n (%)**      |           |              |               |
| Cerebrovascular accident (CVA)| 4 (0.5)   | 39 (0.5)     | 0.78          |
| Left bundle branch block (LBBB)| 29 (3.4) | 223 (3.1)    | 0.65          |
| Supraventricular tachycardia (SVT)| 3 (0.3) | 13 (0.2)     | 0.3           |
| Ventricular tachycardia       | 17 (2)    | 65 (0.9)     | 0.003         |
| Atrial fibrillation           | 53 (6.2)  | 726 (10.1)   | 0.001         |
| Ventricular fibrillation      | 5 (0.6)   | 10 (0.1)     | 0.004         |
| Cardiac arrest                | 125 (14.6)| 232 (3.2)    | 0.001         |
| In-hospital mortality         | 193 (30.8)| 407 (9.1)    | 0.001         |
| **Complications, mean (SD)**  |           |              |               |
| Length of CCU stay            | 5 ± 7     | 4 ± 5        | 0.02          |
| Total days hospitalized       | 8 ± 34    | 5 ± 103      | 0.001         |
severe disease, including lower blood pressure, lower ejection fraction, and higher blood urea nitrogen. The use of inotropes in the context of normal ejection fraction in our study is also striking because this signifies an overuse of inotropic medications, a fact that is consistently discouraged. Based on (ADHERE) Registry, out of more than 150,000 patients, fewer than 3% had a systolic BP of <90 mmHg while approximately 50% had a preserved systolic function. However, about 14% of the patients in ADHERE were treated with an intravenous inotropic agent indicating an overuse of such agents. Many studies have revealed that the use of inotropic agents may be associated with increased mortality, especially in patients with preserved left ventricular function. Therefore, it is recommended that positive inotropes only be used in patients who require inotropic support for low cardiac output.

However, better LVEF observed in inotropic group in our study may be explained by the susceptibility of these patients to a sudden drop in ejection fraction, even though small, compared to patients pre-conditioned to chronic low ejection fraction. Moreover, our study patients were not stratified based on other reversible conditions like sepsis or infection that can lead to an increase in demand and where the use of inotropes is assumed to improve outcomes.

One of the strongest predictors of inotrope use in our study was hypertension. Large multicenter registries revealed that about 70% of patient hospitalized with ADHF have fluid overload, normal to elevated blood pressure with relatively preserved end-organ function, and no evidence of cardiogenic shock [4, 6, 20]. Therefore, the use of inotropes, with the main goal of improving end-organ perfusion, in such patients is not rational. Of note, for the majority of patients with ADHF with normal or elevated systemic blood pressure, there are no data to support a role for inotropic therapy. In this context, it is worth mentioning that 9% of patients in the ADHERE Registry received a positive inotrope (dobutamine or milrinone), although only 2% of patients were hypotensive. This means that more than 75% of patients had no clinical indication for their use signifying an overuse of such medications.

Based on the European Society of Cardiology guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute HF, patients with ADHF can be classified into one of six groups based on the clinical presentation [9]. The first 3 categories of patients comprise more than 90% of ADHF presentations. These patients normally have relatively preserved left ventricular function with signs and symptoms of pulmonary edema and hypertension. Regardless, these findings emphasize the need for well-designed prospective trials to further establish the appropriate use of intravenous inotropic therapy in this population of patients.

The presence of renal dysfunction is relatively common in heart failure and can reduce the adequacy of diuresis. Renal dysfunction in our analysis was a strong predictor of inotropic use. This observation can be explained by the fact that when increases in intravenous bolus or infusions of loop diuretics and addition of metolazone does not lead to an adequate diuretic response, facilitation of diuresis may be enhanced by inotropic therapy by presumably improved renal blood flow through modest increases in cardiac output [21]. Moreover, at our institution, low-dose dopamine is used in combination with diuretic therapy, on the supposition that it can increase renal perfusion and subsequent diuresis. In general, patients with clinical evidence for end-organ hypoperfusion are mostly referred to as having a low output state. While hypotension is classically considered a powerful predictor of this hemodynamic profile, clinical exam findings may inaccurately identify such patients who may not even present with hypotension [22]. Of note, patients with long standing heart failure, low output states can be associated with more subtle clinical findings, such as nausea, abdominal pain, slowed mentation, and fatigue [23]. An extremely important clinical finding indicating impaired end-organ perfusion is worsening renal function (so-called cardiorenal syndrome), a finding that usually occurs after initiating intravenous loop diuretics [24]. More recent data suggest that many

### Table 3 Trend of inotropic support in Heart Failure patients

| Trend in years | 1991–95 | 1996–2000 | 2001–05 | 2006–10 | >2010 | P value |
|----------------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|-------|--------|
| Inotropic Support | 65 (4.6%) | 159 (9.0%) | 201 (9.9%) | 277 (14.2%) | 154 (17.6%) | 0.001 |

### Table 4 Trend of mortality in Heart Failure patients

| Trend in years | 1991–95 | 1996–2000 | 2001–05 | 2006–10 | >2010 | P value |
|----------------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|-------|--------|
| Mortality | 17.7 % | 27.1 % | 29.2 % | 18.8 % | 7.3 % | 0.001 |
patients with cardiorenal syndrome have a relatively preserved cardiac output and hypertension making them amenable to treatment with inotropes [25].

**Outcomes**

Our analysis provides data that focus on outcomes related to the mortality of patients treated with inotropic medications. This consideration is important when comparing our results to other published studies evaluating mortality. Inotropic therapy in certain studies has been shown to have detrimental effects [5, 10, 26–36], although another meta-analysis was unable to confirm this view [37]. In ADHERE registry; more than 65,000 patients from 263 centers across the United States admitted with heart failure were retrospectively analyzed. Data were utilized to describe the management trends and outcomes of those patients. Based on the registry, use of short-term vasodilator therapy was associated with significantly lower in hospital mortality when compared to positive inotropic treatment. A propensity score matching was used to adjust for confounding factors when comparing patients in both arms. Similarly, the impact of intravenous inotropic therapy on mortality in patients hospitalized with ADHF has been validated in the Outcomes of a Prospective Trial of Intravenous Milrinone for Exacerbation of Chronic Heart Failure (OPTIME-CHF) study. In this placebo-controlled, randomized, double-blind clinical trial, the use of intravenous milrinone when compared to placebo was associated with a higher rate of early treatment failure, sustained hypotension (10.7 % vs. 3.2 %, \( p < 0.001 \)), and new onset atrial fibrillation or flutter (4.6 % vs. 1.5 %, \( p = 0.004 \)) [10]. There were no improvements in total days hospitalized, symptomatic relief, or mortality with milrinone compared with placebo. Our analysis revealed that intravenous inotropic use was associated with a significant increase in the risk of mortality. This risk association could not be attributed to the use of inotropic medications. Therefore, controlling for other potential risk factors as possible using a propensity score-adjusted multivariable analysis would be better utilized to conclude a significant worse prognosis with the independent use of inotropes compared with the other group. Of note, in terms of predictors of in-hospital mortality, of the 39 variables examined in ADHERE, the strongest predictor was a blood urea nitrogen (BUN) of 43 mg/dL or higher. This was followed by a systolic blood pressure of less than 115 mmHg and a SCr of 2.75 mg/dL or greater.

In-hospital mortality in the inotrope-treated patients in our study was close to 31 %. In ADHERE, patients treated with Inotropes had a high mortality rate (19 %) than all other non-inodilator-treated patients (14 %) or patients with preserved ejection fraction not treated with inotropes (2 %) [12]. Proposed mechanisms of increased mortality with inotropes in our study include in-hospital events such as tachyarrhythmias or subclinical myocardial ischemia and apoptosis through increased sympathetic stimulation and intramyocardial calcium accumulation with the use of inotropes. Additionally, many studies have revealed that the use of inotropic agents may be associated with increased mortality, especially in patients with preserved left ventricular function, which is also consistent with our observations.

The use of intravenous inotropes increased over the last years despite the absence of a reasonable indication with a trend in increasing mortality.

In addition to evaluating the in-hospital mortality rate, our study also considered other relevant end points, such as complications of inotropic support, length of CCU stay, and length of hospital stay. As opposed to the results of (OPTIME-CHF), our analysis revealed lower incidence of atrial fibrillation in patients treated with inotropic support. This fact is interesting as patients in this group had more cardiovascular risk factors for developing atrial fibrillation. However, patients on inotropes had more episodes of ventricular tachycardia and cardiac arrest; associated rhythm was ventricular fibrillation. We infer that to the use of inotropic agents that are known to trigger ventricular tachyarrhythmias as such complications have been confirmed in randomized trials of inotropic agents versus placebo or vasodilator.

| Variable | Adjusted OR | 95 % CI | p value |
|----------|-------------|---------|---------|
| Age      | 0.99        | 0.98–1.01 | 0.33   |
| Male     | 0.90        | 0.64–1.27 | 0.55   |
| Diabetes Mellitus | 1.04 | 0.72–1.50 | 0.84   |
| Hypertension | 1.66 | 1.10–2.50 | 0.02   |
| Obesity  | 1.06        | 0.66–1.70 | 0.81   |
| Chronic renal failure | 1.76 | 1.19–2.60 | 0.005  |
| Ejection fraction >40 | 1.92 | 1.27–2.90 | 0.002  |
| Middle-Eastern Arabs | 1.10 | 0.76–1.58 | 0.62   |
| Old myocardial infarction | 1.19 | 0.82–1.71 | 0.36   |

**Table 5** Multivariate predictors of Inotropes in Patients Hospitalized with ADHF

| Variable                  | Inotropes | No Inotropes | P Value |
|---------------------------|-----------|--------------|---------|
| ß-blocker                 | 275 (32.1)| 1908 (26.5)  | 0.001   |
| ACE/ARB\(^a\)             | 321 (37.4)| 4346 (60.3)  | 0.001   |
| Calcium channel blockers  | 352 (41)  | 768 (10.7)   | 0.001   |
| Digoxin                   | 28 (3.3)  | 389 (5.4)    | 0.008   |
| Amiodarone                | 53 (6.2)  | 394 (5.5)    | 0.39    |
| Furosemide                | 545 (63.5)| 6012 (83.4)  | 0.001   |
| Spironolactone            | 35 (4.1)  | 456 (6.3)    | 0.009   |

\(^a\)ACE/ARB: Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors/Angiotensin receptor blockers
medications used for heart failure [38, 39]. Therefore, the use of such medications warrant close monitoring and correction of any cause that can contribute to development of arrhythmias.

Length of CCU stay and overall hospital stay was longer in patients treated with inotropic medications group. This result is consistent with other registries. For example, patients who received inotropic agents in ADHERE had more than double the length of stay (12.9 vs. 5.8 days; \( P < 0.0001 \)) compared with those who were not treated with inotropes. Moreover, in a subgroup analysis of ADHERE, patients with preserved systolic function treated with inotropic agents had longer length of hospital stay (12.9 vs. 5.8 days; \( P < 0.0001 \)) and more than nine-fold increase in mortality rate (19 vs. 2 %; \( P < 0.0001 \)) compared with patients who did not receive inotropes [40].

One of the important goals in the management of patients with ADHF is to implement the use of evidence-based therapies to reduce recurrent hospitalization and mortality, which include angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and beta-blockers [41]. According to our analysis, more patients in the inotropic support group received beta-blockers but less ACE inhibitors compared to no inotropes group (Table 6). This can be explained by the fact that patients in the inotropic support had more tachy-arrhythmias that augmented the use of beta-blockers. Of note, ACE inhibitors provide rapid improvement in hemodynamics and renal function that may facilitate the subsequent initiation of betablockers.

Limitations of the study
Our study had several limitations. First, it is historical and observational in design with its inherent limitations including missing data or measurement errors. Additionally, patients were not randomly assigned to the treatment groups and, as a result, both groups differed in demographics and disease-associated factors related to the outcomes of interest. Because it is not possible to identify and adjust for all possible factors, the differences between patients in both group and the influences of other nonmeasured factors cannot be totally excluded. However, we controlled for between-group differences through multivariate analysis that took into consideration differences in baseline demographics and disease-associated factors related to the predictors of inotropes use. We also did not categorize patients based on the inotropic medication given. The study design cannot also overcome a bias from the inclusion of a select group of severe patients who may have been channeled to the inotropic support group with subsequent worse outcomes. Additionally, our database did not include clinical data of left ventricular ejection fraction and BNP throughout 22 years, which are important determinants of inotrope use and thus may contribute to improved risk adjustments.

Conclusion
Conventional cardiac risk factors predict the use of inotropic support in heart failure patients. However, there was some overuse of inotropes in patients with hypertension and preserved ejection fraction. Inotropic agents use increased over the years.
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