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Abstract  Children’s health could be monitored through basic motion skills, for example, running, walking, jumping, sliding, galloping, skipping, hopping, and leaping. Children could be determined as healthy if they can perform those movements properly aligning with their development phase. This study is a part of research development in order to develop test instruments of basic locomotor skills through puzzle-based activity. This study aims to describe elementary school students’ health levels through 8 basic locomotor skills measured by the test instruments. The data were collected through a descriptive approach. The participants consisted of 60 students in a class, Ciracas, East Jakarta. The study shows that 35 students (58.33%) received a good score in walking, 29 students (48.33%) received a good score in running, while 38 students received a good score in jumping, hopping, leaping, galloping, skipping, and sliding. According to the results, students’ health levels in this study varied as 25 students (42%) received overall good scores, 20 students (33%) received overall average scores, while 15 students (25%) received an overall bad score.
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1. Introduction

Physical activities are more favourable from the perspective of 7 to 8 years old first-grade elementary school students. Carson et al., Johnstone, Hughes, Martin, & Reilly (2018) described that children who are involved in frequent physical activities could be benefited from their health. To be precise, frequent physical activities may reduce the risk of cancer, depression, diabetes, and prevent obesity. It also enhances students’ cognitive development, develops healthy bones, psychosocial, and cardiometabolic [1, 2]. Healthy and active lifestyles during the early stages of childhood will help improve their motor development throughout the growing process [3]. Physical activities that could be conducted by children are various, for example, active play.

Johnstone, Hughes, Bonnar, Booth, & Reilly described that the approach of active play could address the low rate of physical activity and enhance students’ basic motion skills [4]. Westlund Stewart, Divine, O, & Law also described that Task Force on Community Preventive Services is recommended to schools that incorporate it has managed to enhance students’ physical activity through modification of social circle and behaviour [5]. Increasing the number of physical activity areas in playgrounds in school and after school play an important role in children’s physical activity habits [6]. Thus, the approach of active playing should be considered and incorporated by physical education teachers in schools.

The basic locomotor motion is the movement of the body from one place to another where it becomes the teaching material for physical education teachers in grade
one elementary school. Through basic locomotor movements, students will explore their ability to move. This activity is one of the structured physical activities that students can do at school.

M Dipa, Sari & M. Mashur (2018), Pratiwi et al (2019), Sari Sujarwo & Sukiri (2020) described their study results that active play could be incorporated through games which enhance students’ basic motion skills. Also, the teachers could modify the medium of learning in schools during active play [7]–[9]. Active play motivates the students to actively participate during physical education class through designing a fun learning activity with appropriate assessment instruments to measure students’ development in the elementary school level that could provide meaningful learning activity for the students.

Basic locomotor skills in the education context are supplementary activity incorporated by PE teachers in order to reach goals based on the elementary school curriculum. It consists of students’ movement which moves from one spot to another spot, for example, walking, jumping, sliding, hopping, galloping, skipping, and leaping. These movements are also determined as part of active physical play that enhances students’ body function in order to achieve proficiency in conducting various basic motion skills which contribute to enhanced body health.

The problem that exists is how to achieve the basic locomotor motion of first-grade elementary school students. Whether the teacher has maximized the process of teaching activities to reach the achievement of learning outcomes for locomotor basic motion is well illustrated. This portrait is needed in which through the data obtained, the quality of the physical activity can be described.

Wibowo, Nugroho, & Sulton (2018) described their study results in examining the correlation between fundamental movement skills (FMS) and games performance of elementary students in modified invasion game activities, the results show that there are significant correlations between the two variables [10]. Capio, Sit, Eguia, Abernethy, & Masters (2015) described that FMS is able to influence children’s physical activity (PA) and children who are influenced showed a tendency to be more active in learning [11]. Ng & Button (2018) conducted a meta-analysis study which described positive correlations between PA, FMS, MVPA, and TPA during childhood [12]. D. Jones, A. Innerd, E. Giles et al (2020) also shared similar results with the previous studies which described the positive correlation between PA and FMS during childhood [13].

The previous studies have shown that generally, proficiency in basic motion skills have a positive influence on physical activities through active play activities which could be structured or flexible play, and teachers could also modify tools and medium of learning to enhance students’ happiness during learning through social circle intervention. The efforts will make students more active in learning in the long-term, thus developing students’ fundamental movement skills.

This research was conducted to capture the achievement of basic locomotor movements of first grade elementary school students where through these activities it is expected that the achievement of physical activity of first grade primary school students can also be illustrated.

2. Materials and Methods

Research development has been studying basic locomotor motions level in improving the health of elementary school students through developing instruments to measure students’ basic locomotor skills which incorporate puzzle-based activity. The aim of this study is to describe elementary school students’ health achievement through measurement instruments.

This study incorporated descriptive quantitative as its methodology design through tests in which a series of data were collected. The participants of this study consist of 60 elementary school students in one class. The samples were about 8-9 years old with an average weight of 26-29 kg and an average height of 128-134 cm. The school is located in Ciracas, East Jakarta. The test instrument of basic locomotor skills is based on a puzzle game that was incorporated to observe 8 basic locomotor skills which consist of walking, running, jumping, sliding, leaping, galloping, skipping, and hopping [5, 12, 13].

Operational Definition

Students’ health level was determined through physical activity achievement by conducting the basic pattern of locomotor skills which consist of running, walking, jumping, galloping, sliding, hopping, leaping, and skipping with puzzle-based activity approach.

Conceptual Definition

Students’ health level is overall physical activity in the form of 8 basic locomotor skills which are conducted through puzzle-based activity. The students were measured based on their maximum and minimum score. The dimension of basic locomotor skills consists of 4 indicators which are head, body, arms, and limbs. If all 4 indicators are conducted successfully, the students will receive an overall score of 4. To be precise, the formula of measuring students’ score is described as below:

\[
\text{Overall Score} = \frac{\text{Score Received}}{\text{Total Score}} \times 100\% 
\]

The following table 1 is a locomotor basic movement research instrument for first grade students which were developed with eight locomotor basics.
Table 1. Locomotor Basic Movement Skills Instruments for First Grade Students

| Skills | Indicators | Description |
|--------|------------|-------------|
| Walk   | Head Body Arms Legs | The eyes view are straight forward, the hands are swinging back and forth, the body position is upright, and the feet move forward alternately. |
| Run    | Head Body Arms Legs | Straight forward view, relaxed body position and leaning forward, hands swing back and forth alternately, knee position raised with both feet moved quickly and flying towards to the front. |
| Slide  | Head Body Arms Legs | The body moves sideways, the eyes look horizontally, the arms move following the movement of the body, and the legs are not crossed. |
| Leap   | Head Body Arms Legs | Both legs are raised, moving forward alternately for several steps and then jumping and preceded landing on one leg, swinging hands following body movements, straight eyesight. |
| Gallop | Head Body Arms Legs | A straight forward view, the position of the foot is lifted alternately and moves forward, relaxing body, the position of the hand follows body movements. |
| Skip   | Head Body Arms Legs | The feet jump alternately (up and down), the position of the body upright moves to float, a straight forward view, the hand swings following the body movements. |
| Hop    | Head Body Arms Legs | One of the legs is lifted up alternately and moves forward, one hand straight up opposite the leg raised up, body position is upright, and when landing begins with one leg as a pedestal. |
| Jump   | Head Body Arms Legs | The position of the body is lifted and moves from one place to another place, hands are swinging forward following body movements, feet are raised forward (down and up) quickly, knees are bent when landing. |

3. Results and Discussion

The Data on test results in this study are presented in two parts. These are the average scores for each locomotor base motion item and the average score for the whole basic motion item. The distribution result data is presented to determine the level of student ability in each test item and also the overall motion variable in first-grade students in elementary school.

The data is obtained from the basic motion test on 60 students of the first-grade elementary school in the Ciracas area of East Jakarta which the site was possible for the researchers in conducting this data collection. Following are the data obtained on each basic motion item.

Table 2. Number of Students Based on Locomotor Basic Motion Tests per Item

| No | Items | Student Total |
|----|-------|---------------|
|    |       | Good | Medium | Less |
| 1. | Walking | 35   | 24    | 1    |
| 2. | Running | 29   | 27    | 4    |
| 3. | Jumping | 14   | 38    | 8    |
| 4. | Sliding |      |       |      |
| 5. | Hopping |      |       |      |
| 6. | Galloping |     |       |      |
| 7. | Skipping |      |       |      |
| 8. | Leaping |      |       |      |

Table 3. Percentage Obtained from the Locomotor Basic Motion Test per Item

| No | Items | Percentage (%) |
|----|-------|----------------|
|    |       | Good | Medium | Less  |
| 1. | Walking | 58,33 | 40    | 1,67 |
| 2. | Running | 48,33 | 45    | 6,67 |
| 3. | Jumping |      |       |      |
| 4. | Sliding |      |       |      |
| 5. | Hopping |      |       |      |
| 6. | Galloping |      |       |      |
| 7. | Skipping |      |       |      |
| 8. | Leaping |      |       |      |

From the data table 2 and table 3, it was found that the eight basic locomotor motions of the students on each test item showed varying gains. From the eight basic movements, six items namely jumping, sliding, hopping, galloping, skipping, and leaping, illustrate the acquirement of the medium category, an average of 60 students there are 38 in the moderate category or around 63.33% and the acquisition of good categories only 14 students or around 23.33% and fewer categories as many as 8 students with a percentage of around 13.33% (see table 2 & 3). While the two locomotor basic motion items, namely walking and jumping, illustrate better gains because the number of students in the good category reached more than the six other items. Walking based on good categories illustrated as many as 35 students (58.33%) and running 29 students (48.33%) while based on the medium categories being drawn walking 24 students (40%) and running 27 students (45%), and based on the less categories with a very small number were walking 1 student (1.67%) and running 4 students (6.67%) (see table 2 and 3).

Distribution of further research data is presented in the form of an average of all locomotor basic motion variables, through which this data will illustrate eight overall levels of student ability. The following picture 1 is the presented data.
Yusamawati et. al., 2019 states that learning outcomes obtained by students in locomotor basic motion material are influenced by many factors including student input, teacher quality, school support [16]. Ivan Serbetar states that for optimal perceptual-motor development, stimulating home environment should be accompanied by enjoyable play and exercise facilities and surroundings, which should be accessible, well structured, and should increase children’s opportunity to be physically active and to develop motor skills [17]. Eka Sari, 2014 explained the results of her research that parenting for children in active play activities at primary school age affects the basic ability of children at that age [18]. According to Logan and Hardy in Wesley O'Brien, it is important to note that these basic movement patterns are not naturally acquired during the process of maturation, movement practitioners need to structure and implement developmentally appropriate activities, specific teaching and learning (with feedback) during physical education with continuous education teachers in the framework of designing, implementing and even evaluating student learning activities in elementary school. Active student involvement in physical activity through locomotor basic motion was done with a condition of awareness that these activities can motivate them to carry out with sufficient time setting and a set of appropriate strategies; the problems related to health are things that might not happen.

Based on data from research on several existing samples, it is illustrated that the results of the locomotor basic motion of first grade elementary school students in the Ciracas area are in the good category (picture 1) where the number of samples shows a higher number with 42% students. Through these results it can also be described in general that students have good physical activity. Gralla, McDonald, et. al., 2019 wrote that physical activity in youth can produce greater health benefits than average or low activity as evidenced by the results of research he has done [23]. L. Bolger, Linda A., et. al., 2019 in his research to investigate the correlation between fundamental movement skills and signs of health of elementary school children in Ireland resulted in the conclusion that various types of FMS are important for the cardiorespiratory fitness of physical activity (PA) children [24].

The results obtained from this study related to the locomotor basic motion of 60 first-grade elementary school students in the Ciracas area of East Jakarta illustrates how the achievement of locomotor basic motion skills and expectations also on their health level. Students who move actively with good locomotor basic motion results give a temporary picture that they are healthy because they can move actively by displaying their abilities through these activities. To measure more deeply the level of health caused by locomotor basic motion activities, in terms of the capacity of bodily functions, whether it is proven that good results are also needed, a deeper study and collaboration with researchers who have

![Picture 1. Number of Students and Percentage Level of Locomotor Basic Motion Abilities Based on Overall Locomotor Basic Motion Items](image-url)
the capacity in diagnosing and measure their health levels in depth.

4. Conclusions
Based on the results of the study it was concluded that the level of locomotor basic mobility ability of 60 first-grade elementary school students in the Ciracas area of East Jakarta was in a good category as seen from the number of students who were in the three categories compiled showing a greater number with 25 students (42%), and students who are in the medium category with a total of 20 (33%) and only 15 students (25%) are in the poor category. Based on these results a special study needs to be undertaken in collaboration with pediatric sports researchers whether with the level of physical activity through locomotor basic motion the student's health function and capacity are validly proven in the study.
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