Microcalorimetry was adapted to the study of glycolytic oscillations in suspensions of intact yeast cells. A correction procedure was developed for the distortion of the amplitude and phase of the heat signal, caused by the slow response of the calorimeter. This made it possible to observe oscillations in the heat production rate with a period of less than 1 min, and a relative amplitude of 5–10%. By simultaneously measuring the heat flux and concentrations of glycolytic metabolites, and by comparing acetaldehyde-induced phase shifts of the heat flux oscillations with those of NADH oscillations, the heat flux was found to be 100° out of phase with glucose 6-phosphate, 80° out of phase with fructose 1,6-bisphosphate, and in phase with NADH. The flux measurement made possible by microcalorimetry allowed the recognition of (i) changes in metabolic capacity that may affect glycolytic dynamics, (ii) implications of glucose carrier kinetics for glycolytic dynamics and (iii) the continued requirement for an acetaldehyde trapping agent for the oscillations.

Under certain experimental conditions, suspensions of yeast cells exhibit sustained oscillations in the concentrations of several glycolytic intermediates (1–3). Glycolytic oscillations in yeast have been discovered some 30 years ago (see, for review, Ref. 4) and have subsequently been found in extracts of many organisms, including insects (5), mammals (6), and plants (7). Also with respect to yeast, the study of glycolytic oscillations has been mainly carried out in cell-free extracts. In suspensions of intact yeast cells, most reported oscillations were damped (see, e.g., Refs. 2 and 8–10). Sustained oscillations have also been observed (1, 11). More recently, the experimental conditions have been explicitly described that determine whether sustained or transient oscillations are obtained in suspensions of intact yeast cells (3, 12). Sustained oscillations continue until the cells run out of substrate (Ref. 12; see also Fig. 4); damped oscillations disappear before the substrate is consumed. We use these sustained oscillations in suspensions of yeast cells as a model system to study the control of time-dependent, yet steady, metabolic systems.

The fact that sustained oscillations can be observed in a population of cells, implies that some synchronization mechanism prevents the cells from running out of phase (13–16).

Recently, acetaldehyde was identified as the metabolite that couples the individual cells (17). Consequently, not only control by internal parameters is of interest, but also the control via the extracellular acetaldehyde concentration. The latter control cannot be studied in cell-free extracts.

The metabolic oscillations are readily monitored through NAD(P)H fluorescence. To monitor other metabolites, samples have to be taken, quenched and extracted, either with trichloroacetic or perchloric acid (8), or with methanol and chloroform at −40 °C (18). Crucial thermodynamic variables such as the redox potential and the phosphorylation potential oscillate significantly (3, 19). From a thermodynamic point of view, it has been suggested that such oscillations may increase the efficiency of free-energy transduction (20), which may have been an evolutionary reason for the occurrence of glycolytic oscillations. On the other hand, it may be surprising, that cells can cope with significant oscillations in their energetics, which are generally believed to be homeostatically controlled. One of the tools that is often used in the study of energetics of metabolism (21, 22), most notably growth, is microcalorimetry: a method that allows non-invasive measurement of the enthalpy liberation of a system. Microcalorimetry gives quantitative information regarding the exchange of energy between the system and its environment. Such information has been helpful for the quantitative understanding of bioenergetics and efficiency of metabolism and growth (21–25).

In addition, microcalorimetry offers the opportunity to measure a total flux rather than the time dependence of the concentration of a metabolic intermediate. Although oscillating (local) rates have been calculated from stepwise increasing ethanol (7, 26) and decreasing glucose (27) or fructose (7) concentrations, direct measurement of an oscillating flux in intact yeast cells has not been accomplished yet. Indeed, the rate obtained by measuring the time course of, e.g., ethanol does only correspond to the (local) rate of alcohol dehydrogenase, and does not correspond to the overall flux, as such a flux is not defined under oscillating conditions (26). Furthermore, the accuracy necessary for the measurement of such oscillating accumulation of the ethanol concentration severely constrains the conditions under which the oscillations are still experimentally detectable. The rate of heat production that is measured by calorimetry quantifies the overall enthalpy effects of all the metabolic activities in the cell. This overall heat flux is therefore a clearly defined (and accurately measurable) flux even in the oscillatory mode. Importantly, the average heat flux does relate to the average metabolic flux, and differences in specific heat production rates may point to differences in either the average metabolic flux or differences in the metabolic products. These properties make microcalorimetry a potentially powerful tool in the study of metabolic dynamics.

Microcalorimetry has been used for many decades to study...
Flux Oscillations in Intact Yeast Cells

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strain and Growth Conditions—In all experiments, the Saccharomyces cerevisiae diploid strain X2180 was used. Cells were grown and prepared as described in Ref. 19.

Calorimetry Set-up and Calibration—To measure oscillations in the calorimeter, 20–40 ml of cells in a 100-ml Erlenmeyer flask were incubated in a water bath at 21 °C. The cells were stirred by a magnetic stirrer at 250 rpm. Using a peristaltic pump (Perista pump Atto AC-2110), the cells were transported via Teflon tubings to the calorimeter and back to the Erlenmeyer flask. The calorimeter (Thermometric 2277 TAM, Thermometric AB, Jarfalla, Sweden; Ref. 35) was thermostated at 21 °C. The flow rate of the pump was 90 ml/h, resulting in a total transport time of 3–4 min. Care was taken to keep the water bath as well as the room temperature at 21 °C, to avoid temperature-dependent frequency changes during the transport of the cells to the calorimeter and back.

Measurement of Glycolytic Intermediates and Fluxes during Oscillatory Heat Production—To induce oscillations, glucose was added to a cell suspension, to a final concentration of 20 mM, 4 min later followed by potassium cyanide (KCN), to a final concentration of 4 mM. The cell density of the suspension was always around 5 mg of protein/ml (36), unless specified otherwise. To measure glycolytic intermediates simultaneously with the heat flow, samples of 0.5 ml were taken every 5 s from the Erlenmeyer flask, quenched in 0.25 ml of 15% perchloric acid, vortexed at maximum speed, and put at −20 °C. Subsequently the samples were thawed on ice, vortexed several times, centrifuged in an Eppendorf centrifuge for 5 min at maximal speed, and neutralized with potassium hydroxide. After spinning down the precipitate, the supernatants were analyzed for glucose 6-phosphate and fructose 1,6-bisphosphate in an automatic analyzer (COBAS BIO, Roche) as described (18).

To measure glycolytic fluxes, samples were taken at 1-min intervals, 5–17 min after cyanide addition, quenched 1:1 in 10% trichloroacetic acid, vortexed, and put on ice. The samples were analyzed for glucose, ethanol, and glycerol by NADH-linked enzymatic analyses according to Bergmeyer (37).

Correction of the Calorimetric Signal—The relatively slow response of the calorimeter to changes in the heat production necessitated correction of the data. This correction was based on the Tian equation (38–40),

\[
\frac{C(t+1) - R(t)}{R(t) + \tau + 1} = \frac{R(t+2) - R(t)}{2} \quad \text{(Eq. 1)}
\]

where \(C(t)\) is the corrected, “true” signal, \(R(t)\) is the raw signal, and \(\tau\) is the time constant of the calorimeter. The expression \(C(t+1)\) is the corrected signal 1 s after the raw signal was measured.

RESULTS

Oscillations in Heat Production Rate—When yeast cells harvested at the diauxic shift between glucose and ethanol are starved for 2 h and are given glucose and cyanide, they exhibit sustained oscillations in NADH fluorescence and in the concentration of a number of glycolytic intermediates (3, 19). Fig. 1A shows that also the calorimetric signal oscillated under these conditions. When the cells entered the flow cell (at \(t = 3\) min), an increase in the rate of heat production was observed, probably due to respiration of some endogenous substrates. Upon addition of glucose 5 min later, a sharp increase in the heat flow was observed. The heat flow reached a maximum after 2 min, and then decreased again. After some 20 min, the heat flux decreased to the low level before the addition of glucose and remained low.

In Fig. 1B the same experiment is shown, but now the calorimetric signal has been corrected for the slow response of the calorimeter. Upon entering the flow cell, this corrected heat production rate increased immediately and remained constant. In addition, the heat production rate upon glucose addition was high immediately and decreased continuously. This coincided with the culture becoming anaerobic due to high respiration at a high cell density. When cyanide was added subsequently, the oxygen level increased due to diffusion of oxygen into the vessel and inhibition of respiration (results not shown). The spikes accompanying the entering of the cells in the flow cell, are caused by some air in the tubing, that separated the cells from the water. Similarly, the spikes accompanying the addition of glucose and cyanide are caused by air. Most notably, the amplitude of the oscillation was much larger, and the phase of the oscillation was shifted as compared to the oscillation in the uncorrected signal (Fig. 1A).

The amplitude of the oscillation in Fig. 1A was approximately 4 \(\mu W\), which was about 8 times lower than the amplitude after correction (30 \(\mu W\)). Furthermore, the phase of the
oscillatory calorimetric signal was 80° behind that of the corrected one. These differences in the amplitude and phase of the oscillations were consistent with the equations for correction of calorimetric data, based on the Tian equation and on the approximation of the oscillations by a harmonic function (see also “Appendix”).

The Phase Relation of the Heat Flow with Other Oscillating Variables—Two methods were used to obtain the phase relation between the heat flux and oscillating metabolite concentrations: measurement of glycolytic intermediates by perchloric acid extraction and acetaldehyde-induced phase shifts. Four minutes after induction of an oscillation, samples were taken, extracted with perchloric acid, and analyzed for glycolytic intermediates as described under “Materials and Methods.” Fig. 2 shows a typical result for the intracellular glucose 6-phosphate and fructose 1,6-bisphosphate concentrations, together with the measured heat flux. Glucose 6-phosphate and fructose 1,6-bisphosphate were approximately 180° out of phase. The phase difference between glucose 6-phosphate and the heat production rate was 100°.

We also made use of the ability of acetaldehyde to induce phase shifts. These phase shifts depend on the phase of addition (17, 43). For the heat production rate, the same relation was found as for NADH: a maximal phase shift at 0°, i.e. at the inflection point of rising heat flow (data not shown). Similarly, no phase shift was observed when acetaldehyde was added at 180°, i.e. at the inflection point of decreasing heat flow.

Growth Phase Dependence of the Oscillations—The damping of the oscillations in NADH fluorescence induced by glucose and cyanide depends on the growth phase at which the cells are harvested; cells harvested around the transition between glucose fermentation to ethanol growth exhibit sustained oscillations in NADH, whereas cells harvested before or after this transition showed damped oscillations (3). In the latter cases, it is not clear whether the damping is caused by a change in the cellular make-up, such that all individual cells exhibit damping, or whether the cells continue to oscillate, but run out of phase (15). In Fig. 3, the specific heat flux measured under the same conditions as in Fig. 1 is plotted in time, for three batches of cells: cells harvested at exponential growth, at transition state, and at growth on ethanol. The damping of heat flux oscillations was also stronger for the cells harvested at exponential phase or during growth on ethanol than for the cells harvested at diauxic shift. Upon addition of cyanide, the cells harvested at exponential phase showed a higher specific heat flux than the cells harvested later during growth (50 μW mg of

![Fig. 1. Oscillation in the heat production rate measured by the microcalorimeter without (A) and with correction (B). Cells were prepared as described under “Materials and Methods.” At t = 3 min, the cells enter the calorimeter; glucose and cyanide were added as indicated by the arrows. The inset in panel B is an enlargement of the uncorrected and the corrected data.](image)

![Fig. 2. Phase relation between heat flow and metabolites. Cells were prepared and oscillations induced as described under “Materials and Methods.” Four min after cyanide addition, samples were taken every 5 s, quenched and extracted in perchloric acid, and analyzed for glucose 6-phosphate (solid symbols) and fructose 1,6-bisphosphate (open symbols). The uppermost solid line indicates the heat flux that was measured simultaneously.](image)
Effects of the Correction of the Calorimetric Signal—In this paper, we have demonstrated oscillations in the heat-production rate accompanying glycolysis of yeast. Correction of the data for the kinetics of the calorimeter proved to be essential to obtain the true amplitude and phase of the oscillation. For our limited purposes, the simplest correction method, the Tian equation, sufficed. This was because the response function of the calorimeter in the time window around the period of the oscillation corresponded to a single exponential. Other methods, such as an extended Tian equation using two time constants (35) or the more general fast Fourier Transformation method (41, 44), may be required when more subtle properties than amplitude, frequency, and phase of heat production following a single sine function are studied (e.g. when studying derivations from the sine function).

Our correction method makes use of the time derivative of the calorimeter signal. As the calorimeter collected data points discontinuously, i.e. every 2 s, the time derivative of the signal had to be approximated either by fitting the data to a function or by using the tangent between successive points. We chose the latter method, which is valid provided that the time interval between the data points is much smaller than the period of the oscillation (see “Appendix”). This was the case at 21 °C, at which the period of an oscillation was approximately 50 s. Using the equations given under “Appendix” and in Ref. 39, it can be calculated that under our conditions, the phase correction should be fairly insensitive to changes in the frequency, whereas the amplitude correction should be sensitive.

Characterization of Heat Flow Oscillations—The amplitude of the heat flux oscillation was 5–10% of the average heat flux. The same relative amplitude has been observed in yeast extracts (34, 44). It is important to realize that the amplitude of the heat flux cannot be directly related to the amplitude of the glycolytic flux. The definition of a flux through a metabolic pathway requires that all rates of that pathway are equal. Accordingly, an oscillation in the glycolytic flux requires that the rates of all reactions oscillate in phase and with the same amplitude. As a consequence, the amplitudes of the oscillations in metabolite concentrations should be very small. This is clearly not the case (see, for instance, Refs. 8 and 19 and Fig. 2). Rather, the changes in the metabolite concentrations are caused by the differences in successive reaction rates and seem to be caused primarily by differences in amplitude (26, 45). Although the amplitude of the oscillation in heat flux may not be related directly to a metabolic flux, the average heat flux does correspond to the average metabolic flux.

Two methods were used to establish the phase relation between heat flux and oscillating metabolites in intact cells. Samples were taken out of the Erlenmeyer flask from which the cells were transported to the calorimeter (see “Materials and Methods”), and the glycolytic intermediates were determined by perchloric acid extraction and subsequent enzymatic analyses. We took care that the temperature, and thus the frequency (46), was the same everywhere, so that the phase of the oscillation in the Erlenmeyer flask and that in the measuring unit were identical. The heat flow lagged 100° behind glucose 6-phosphate and oscillated some 80 ahead of fructose 1,6-bisphosphate.

The phase relative to that of NADH oscillations was determined by the experiments with acetaldehyde. The dependence
Microcalorimetry as a Tool to Study the Dynamics of Metabolism—Glycolytic oscillations and metabolic dynamics can be studied by a variety of techniques, among which NADH fluorescence and sampling of intracellular metabolites are most frequently used. Provided that sufficient time resolution can be achieved, microcalorimetry can add significantly to these techniques. Here we have shown how the time resolution can be achieved, microcalorimetry can add significantly to these techniques. The concentrations of glucose 6-phosphate and fructose 1,6-bisphosphate are in line with those of earlier reports (7, 8, 19).

One might suggest that the heat flux measurements are not necessary because they can be calculated from biochemical analysis of oscillating metabolite concentrations. Using the oscillating metabolite concentrations, together with the accurate measurement of (oscillating) end product formation, it is in principle possible to calculate the rates of all individual reactions (26, 45). These rates, combined with the concomitant reaction enthalpies, should yield the contribution of each reaction to the net heat flux of the system (22, 24, 28). However, in extensive attempts we were not able to account fully for the measured heat flux in terms of the individual reaction rates and their enthalpies (calculations not shown). It turned out that the accuracy necessary for such calculations is beyond experimental possibilities, especially were the glucose and end products are concerned, and accurate thermodynamic data for some glycolytic reactions, especially for those where the triose phosphates are involved, are not available (but see, e.g., Refs. 47–50). Clearly, although theoretically heat flux oscillations can be calculated from oscillating metabolite concentrations, in practice the former must be determined experimentally in systems as complex as glycolytic oscillations in intact yeast cells.

Microcalorimetry as a Tool to Study the Dynamics of Metabolism—Glycolytic oscillations and metabolic dynamics can be studied by a variety of techniques, among which NADH fluorometry and sampling of intracellular metabolites are most frequently used. Provided that sufficient time resolution can be achieved, microcalorimetry can add significantly to these techniques. Here we have shown how the time resolution can be made sufficient for the detection of metabolic dynamics at the subminute time scale. Oscillations of 5% amplitude could still be detected at an accuracy exceeding that of sampling of intracellular metabolites, and comparable to that of NADH fluorescence. Accordingly, the provisions for the application of microcalorimetry to (this type of) metabolic dynamics have been met. What type of additional information does microcalorimetry provide, as compared to the other methods, or what type of information does it provide more readily or accurately?

A second asset of our calorimetric setup is that it primarily measures flux rather than the concentration of some metabolite or coenzyme. NADH fluorescence measurements do reveal glycolytic oscillations but the average NADH fluorescence does not relate to the average glycolytic flux. The average heat flow does relate to that flux. In this paper, we have profited in three ways from this additional information of microcalorimetry: (i) it suggested differences in flux distribution between cells that exhibited different dynamic behavior, (ii) it led us to a possible link between glycolytic dynamics and glucose transport, and (iii) it showed that failure of cell-to-cell signaling rather than impediment of glycolysis per se limited the duration of sustained glycolytic oscillations. In the following paragraphs, we shall elaborate on these findings.

Previously, we established that the tendency of populations of yeast cells to exhibit sustained oscillations depends on the growth phase in which they are harvested (3). Cells grown on glucose and harvested at the diauxic shift oscillate until the added glucose is consumed; cells harvested before or after the diauxic shift stop oscillating before the glucose is depleted. However, we did not understand the metabolic reasons for this difference. The microcalorimetric flux measurements now suggested a possible explanation. We found differences in the specific average heat flux between cells harvested at exponential growth on glucose and cells harvested at the diauxic shift or later. These differences in the specific heat production rates could be caused by differences in average flux, by differences in the products formed, or by a combination of the two. The measurement of the rate of glucose consumption and that of ethanol and glycerol production suggested the latter; cells harvested during respiro-fermentative growth did not consume glucose any faster, but produced more ethanol per glucose consumed as compared to cells harvested later during growth. The glycerol production rates were comparable. When glycolysis takes place in a buffered medium the standard enthalpy of the conversion of 1 mol of glucose to 2 mol of ethanol and CO₂ is $-126$ kJ/mol (24, 32, 51). Using this value for $\Delta H$, 85% of the heat flux difference is accounted for by the difference in ethanol formation between the cells harvested at exponential growth or later (calculation not shown).

These results leave open the possibility that the difference in dynamic behavior, i.e. the higher damping of the cells harvested at exponential phase, is due to differences in metabolic capacity between the cells. The benefit of calorimetry resides in the fact that these differences in specific heat flux and thus in metabolic fluxes are immediately obvious, and prompted directions for further research. By contrast, the similar specific heat fluxes of cells harvested at transition phase and those harvested during ethanol growth may be seen as an indication of a problem in communication between the individual cells. This is
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cose transport system with only a high affinity for glucose (glycolytic flux. Cells harvested at transition phase have a glu-
quently, the transport rate will be significantly affected by the 
dcrease in extracellular glucose, and this then affects the 
glycolytic flux. Cells harvested at transition phase have a glu-
ose transport system with only a high affinity for glucose (KM = 1.7 mM; Ref. 52), and are therefore much less affected by a 
5

heat flux in the former. The decrease in flux may be explained 
later during growth is the gradual decrease with time of the 

oscillation.

1.7 mM; Ref. 52), and are therefore much less affected by a 

decrease in extracellular glucose. Indeed, only when the glu-
ose concentration becomes very low, 

decrease in extracellular glucose. Indeed, only when the glu-
ose concentration becomes very low, i.e. at the end of the 

experiment, does the heat flux drop. These subtle changes in 

heat flux drop. These subtle changes in flux yield important suggestions about the control of the trans-
port step on the overall flux through glycolysis, which are now 

subject of further study. Conventional flux determinations by 
following glucose consumption or ethanol production such as 
done in this study, are not accurate enough to reveal such 
subtle changes in flux. Heat flux measurement by microcal-

orimetry is the application of microcalorimetry as a method to 
measure flux with high precision, is thus very promising in the 

study of regulation and control of metabolic fluxes (53, 54).

An important aspect of our experimental system is that for 
the cells harvested at the diauxic shift the oscillations are 

essentially sustained. Here the word “essentially” serves to 
indicate that the conditions limiting the duration of the oscil-
lations are understood. One of these was the presence of suf-

ficient glucose (16). Another was suggested by microcalorimetry in the case where readdition of glucose failed to restart the 
oscillations. In the case where glucose was added for the third 
time, after the second amount of glucose was consumed, the 
total amount of heat produced and the average heat flux were 
the same for the second and the third addition of glucose. 
However, the second glucose addition caused a train of oscilla-
tions, whereas the third addition did not. Our provisional ex-
planation, that products accumulated during the first two glu-
ose consumption phases inhibited glycolysis and hence its 
oscillations, was falsified by the calorimetric finding that the 
heat flux and the total amount of heat produced during con-
sumption of the glucose was unchanged. This led us to specu-
late that the cells were still able to oscillate, but no longer able to 
do so in phase. The continuous production of acetaldehyde 
during consumption of glucose might have titrated the cyanide 
away (12, 55), such that at the third addition of glucose, too 
little cyanide was left to keep the acetaldehyde concentration 
within the range where it can act as a synchronizer (17). This 
hypothesis was confirmed by adding more cyanide before the 
third addition of glucose (Fig. 4). With the extra cyanide, oscil-
lations could be observed after the third glucose addition; with-
out the cyanide, the third glucose addition did not trigger an 
oscillation.

In conclusion, we have shown the additional value of micro-
calorimetry as a tool to study metabolic dynamics. Hitherto 
poorly understood differences in dynamic behavior, as de-
scribed in the literature, were re-examined with microcalorim-
etry, which led to further insight in the metabolic basis of the 
observed differences, and prompted directions for further re-
search. Furthermore, the most promising use of the microcal-
orimeter may be that as an accurate “fluxmeter,” which hope-
fully will find more applications in the study of control and 
regulation of metabolic fluxes.
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APPENDIX

We used the modified Tian equation to correct discrete data 
points with a time interval of 2 s, rather than functions (see “Materials and Methods”). In the general case, with a sample 
interval of $2\delta t$, the modified Tian equation becomes:

\begin{equation}
C(t + \delta t) = R(t) + \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{\delta t} \right) \frac{R(t) + 2\delta t R(t) - R(t)}{2} \tag{Eq. A1}
\end{equation}

Approximating $R(t)$ by a complex harmonic function, 

\begin{equation}
R(t) = pe^{it} \tag{Eq. A2}
\end{equation}

so that, combining Equations A1 and A2:

\begin{equation}
C(t + \delta t) = \frac{1}{2} e^{it} \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{\delta t} + \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{\delta t}\right) e^{2it}\right) \tag{Eq. A3}
\end{equation}

Important is the ratio between $C(t + \delta t)$ and $R(t + \delta t)$:

\begin{equation}
R(t + \delta t) = 2 \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{\delta t} e^{-\delta t} + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{\delta t}\right) e^{\delta t}\right) \tag{Eq. A4}
\end{equation}

The phase difference between $C$ and $R$, $\Delta \phi$, is:

\begin{equation}
\Delta \phi = \arg[C(t + \delta t)] - \arg[R(t + \delta t)] \tag{Eq. A5}
\end{equation}

Furthermore, the amplitude ratio, $\alpha$, is:

\begin{equation}
\alpha = \frac{C(t + \delta t)}{R(t + \delta t)} = \sqrt{\cos^2(\omega \delta t) + \left(\frac{\tau}{\delta t}\right)^2 \sin^2(\omega \delta t)} \tag{Eq. A6}
\end{equation}

where $|C(t + \delta t) / R(t + \delta t)|$ is the modulus (radius) of $C(t + \delta t)$. The validity of the approximations in Equations A5 and A6 depend on the time constant, the angular velocity, 
and the sampling interval. Under our conditions, i.e. a time 
constant of $\tau = 60$ s, an angular velocity $\omega = 0.13$ rad s$^{-1}$ and 
a sample interval of 2 s, i.e. $\delta t = 1$ s, the error in the approxi-
mation of Equation A5 was <0.1%, and in Equation A6, 2.1%.
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