Eukaryotic replication origins: Strength in flexibility
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ABSTRACT
The eukaryotic replicative DNA helicase, Mcm2-7, is loaded in inactive form as a double hexameric complex around double-stranded DNA. To ensure that replication origins fire no more than once per S phase, activation of the Mcm2-7 helicase is temporally separated from Mcm2-7 loading in the cell cycle. This 2-step mechanism requires that inactive Mcm2-7 complexes be maintained for variable periods of time in a topologically bound state on chromatin, which may create a steric obstacle to other DNA transactions. We have recently found in the budding yeast, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, that Mcm2-7 double hexamers can respond to collisions with transcription complexes by sliding along the DNA template. Importantly, Mcm2-7 double hexamers remain functional after displacement along DNA and support replication initiation from sites distal to the origin. These results reveal a novel mechanism to specify eukaryotic replication origin sites and to maintain replication origin competence without the need for Mcm2-7 reloading.
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Introduction
Eukaryotic chromosomes form the template for numerous protein complexes that transcribe, repair, or replicate the genome, or that organize the chromosomal DNA into distinct chromatin domains. The concurrence of these events on the same DNA template can lead to mutual interference with potentially deleterious effects on genome stability. A prominent example is transcription-replication conflict, in which collisions between replication forks and transcription complexes can lead to DNA breaks and genome instability.

Eukaryotic replication origins may be particularly susceptible to interference due to the prolonged retention of the replicative DNA helicase at the origin prior to origin firing. This derives from the fact that eukaryotes have evolved a 2-step origin activation mechanism that prevents repeated origin firing within 1 cell cycle. Central to this re-replication control strategy is the loading cycle of the eukaryotic replicative DNA helicase, Mcm2-7. Unlike bacterial replicative DNA helicases, the Mcm2-7 complex is loaded in inactive form at the origin, and activation of the Mcm2-7 helicase is restricted to the subsequent S phase. Since replication origin firing genome-wide occurs throughout S phase, with some origins firing early and others late in S phase, individual Mcm2-7 complexes must reside for variable periods of time at the origin. This delay in helicase activation may explain why Mcm2-7 complexes, unlike bacterial replicative DNA helicases, are loaded around double-stranded DNA, as such a mechanism avoids the generation and persistence of damage-sensitive single-stranded DNA at replication origins in the absence of ongoing DNA synthesis. On the other hand, this configuration locks Mcm2-7 complexes in a topologically bound state around DNA that could act as a steric block to other forms of DNA metabolism.

Various lines of evidence demonstrate the exceptional stability of origin-bound Mcm2-7 complexes prior to activation. For example, native origin-bound Mcm2-7 complexes functionally resist high salt elution from budding yeast and Xenopus chromatin, as do Mcm2-7 complexes loaded around DNA in vitro with purified budding yeast proteins, while fluorescence recovery after photo-bleaching (FRAP) experiments in live hamster and C. elegans cells demonstrate that chromatin-bound Mcm2-7 complexes exchange unusually slowly
with the soluble pool of Mcm2-7 in G1 phase.9-11 Contrary to these observations, Mcm2-7 complexes are rapidly lost from chromatin in G1 phase-arrested budding yeast cells upon depletion of the Mcm2-7 loading factors ORC and Cdc6, suggesting that Mcm2-7 complexes need to be constantly reloaded to be maintained on chromosomes under this condition.12-15 The mechanism by which Mcm2-7 complexes are removed from chromosomes in G1-arrested budding yeast cells is not known. Nonetheless, Mcm2-7 complexes in all eukaryotic cells must remain stably chromosome-bound during both normal S phase and checkpoint-induced S phase arrest, as reloading at this stage cannot occur due to replication control mechanisms.2,16

These observations raise the question how Mcm2-7 complexes are maintained at origins in vivo, where they face the risk of disruption by other forms of DNA metabolism. To maintain Mcm2-7 complexes at origins is important, because DNA replication has to initiate from a sufficiently high number of origin sites to ensure timely completion of genome duplication before the onset of mitosis, and to reduce the chance of replication fork failure, which increases with the distance replication forks have to travel between adjacent replication origins.17,18

To characterize collisions between origin-bound Mcm2-7 and other protein complexes we have recently studied the effects of transcriptional interference at budding yeast replication origins.19 Surprisingly, we found that Mcm2-7 complexes can slide ahead of elongating RNA polymerases and subsequently initiate replication from sites distal to the loading site at the origin. These observations demonstrate (i) a molecular mechanism for the maintenance of Mcm2-7 complexes on DNA that is not dependent on the reloading of Mcm2-7, and (ii) reveal that eukaryotic replication origins, unlike those of bacteria, are inherently flexible and can be specified even after the replicative DNA helicase has been loaded on the DNA.

Mcm2-7 complexes are loaded as double hexameric rings around DNA

Mcm2-7 proteins assemble into ring-shaped heterohexameric complexes with a defined subunit order. As members of the AAA+ family of ATPases they form composite ATP-binding sites at subunit interfaces and utilize the energy of ATP-binding and hydrolysis to thread DNA through the central channel of the Mcm2-7 ring during DNA unwinding.20 The Mcm2-7 ring thus has to be transiently opened and closed around DNA during Mcm2-7 origin loading. This is achieved by an incompletely understood ATP-dependent remodeling mechanism that involves the coordinated action of a set of loading factors, including the origin recognition complex (ORC), Cdc6, and Cdt1, in addition to being dependent on ATP hydrolysis by Mcm2-7.21 ORC and Cdc6 assemble into a complex at the origin and cooperatively recruit the Mcm2-7 complex, which at this stage is bound by Cdt1. Cdc6 and

---

Figure 1. Activity states of the replicative DNA helicase at bacterial and eukaryotic replication origins. In bacteria the replicative DNA helicase is loaded in active form around single-stranded DNA at the origin (left). In eukaryotes, the replicative DNA helicase is loaded in inactive form around double-stranded DNA at the origin; activation of the helicase is temporally separated from helicase loading in the cell cycle (right). The initiator protein(s) direct the loading of the replicative DNA helicase. G1 and S indicate the G1 phase and S phase cell cycle stages in eukaryotes.
Cdt1 act transiently during the loading reaction and are released from the origin during the Mcm2-7 loading reaction. Although ORC remains bound at the origin following Mcm2-7 loading, it does not maintain physical contact with Mcm2-7 and can be eluted from DNA in vitro without disrupting origin activity.

Intriguingly, in the course of the reaction 2 individual Mcm2-7 rings are loaded in opposite orientation into a stable, double-hexameric complex around double-stranded DNA. The individual hexamers are held together at the conserved N-terminal domains of their subunits, while the C-terminal AAA+ motor domains, which are proximal to the fork during DNA unwinding, are facing outwards. A long continuous channel that is wide enough to accommodate double-stranded DNA runs lengthwise through the Mcm2-7 double hexamer (DH), which is consistent with electron microscopic images of DNA-bound Mcm2-7 DHs after tungsten rotary shadow casting that suggest that double-stranded DNA passes longitudinally through the complex. The head-to-head configuration of the Mcm2-7 DH thus provides a molecular mechanism for the establishment of bidirectional DNA synthesis at eukaryotic origins.

Mcm2-7 DHs are mobile

Mcm2-7 loading onto DNA has been reconstituted with purified budding yeast proteins, and reconstituted budding yeast Mcm2-7 DHs are functional to support regulated origin firing in vitro. Intriguingly, by comparing the residence times of reconstituted Mcm2-7 DHs on linear versus circular DNA it was found that Mcm2-7 DHs, similar to other proteins encircling DNA, can slide along and off the ends of DNA.

Mcm2-7 sliding appears to depend on high salt concentrations in the reaction buffer; in solutions of lower ionic strength Mcm2-7 DHs remain stably associated with linear DNA fragments, indicating that Mcm2-7 DHs are resistant to spontaneous sliding at physiological salt concentrations. Mcm2-7 DHs are large, approximately 1.2 MDa, protein complexes of ~20 nm length, enabling them to encircle ~60 bp of B-form DNA. Intriguingly, the central channel is not straight, but exhibits a kink at the hexamer-hexamer interface that is caused by an off-register stacking of the 2 Mcm2-7 rings, which may force the DNA to bend at the channel midpoint. This DNA bending may oppose spontaneous Mcm2-7 DH sliding and in a physiological setting Mcm2-7 DH sliding may thus require coupling to an energy consuming motor, as would occur upon collision with other DNA translocases.

Mcm2-7 DH relocalization after collision with transcription complexes

To determine the fate of Mcm2-7 DHs at replication origins after collision with other DNA translocases we initially studied collisions between transcription complexes and reconstituted budding yeast Mcm2-7 DHs in vitro. To model such a collision event in vitro we employed T7 RNA polymerase (RNAP), a monomeric RNAP that affords tight control of the transcription reaction due to its dependence on specific short promoter and terminator sequences to initiate and terminate transcription, respectively. While Mcm2-7 DHs remained stably bound to circular closed DNA molecules upon transcription through the origin site, they were displaced efficiently from linear DNA molecules, demonstrating that T7 RNAP can push Mcm2-7 DHs off the free ends of DNA. Importantly, the DNA templates remained competent for replication in vitro when transcription through the origin occurred after Mcm2-7 loading. However, transcription induced a shift in the positions of Mcm2-7 DHs and initiation sites by up to several kilobase pairs, demonstrating that Mcm2-7 DHs can initiate DNA replication from non-origin sites after displacement by T7 RNAP in vitro.

To investigate the effect of collisions of RNAP with replication origins in budding yeast cells we exploited the fact that the majority of budding yeast origins is located between convergent or tandemly oriented genes. Due to the small size of the intergenic regions in budding yeast this results in the majority of the origins being located near the 3’ end of genes. To increase collisions between RNAP II and origins downstream of genes we conditionally delayed transcription termination by RNAP II using a temperature-sensitive variant of the transcription termination factor Rat1. Using ChIP-seq to map the chromosomal positions of both ORC and Mcm2-7 across the genome we found that ORC binding was not altered at non-permissive temperature in rat1-1 cells, whereas Mcm2-7 positions around origins were shifted by up to 2 kilobase pairs.
in a direction that correlated with the direction of transcription around the respective origin sites. The fact that ORC positions did not change upon defective termination by RNAP II implies that Mcm2-7 redistribution under these conditions is not a consequence of alternative Mcm2-7 loading, but due to Mcm2-7 complexes being pushed ahead of elongating RNAP II.

We mapped replication initiation sites genome-wide in rat1-1 cells using Okazaki fragment sequencing.36 Unexpectedly, origin activity was dramatically affected across all chromosomes at non-permissive temperature in rat1-1 cells, exhibiting changes in both efficiency and position. Initiation site shifts correlated with the shift in Mcm2-7 distribution and with the prevailing direction of transcription around the origins, demonstrating that RNAP II can push Mcm2-7 DHs for up to 2 kb from an origin through chromatin, and that Mcm2-7 remain competent for initiation from origin distal sites in vivo.

Previous studies in S. cerevisae have demonstrated that transcription through an origin interferes with the stability of autonomously replicating plasmids, as well as with the activity of replication origins at their native chromosomal location.37-40 At first sight these studies seem at odds with our finding that replication origins can shift upon transcriptional interference. However, it has to be noted that plasmid maintenance studies are performed under conditions of chronic transcription stress over many cell generations, which may thus interfere with the initial loading of the Mcm2-7 complex. Gros et al.,19 on the other hand, analyzed the effect of transcriptional interference restricted to 2 h in an asynchronous yeast culture, which allows detection of interference at origins both before and after Mcm2-7 loading. Moreover, previous studies analyzed origin activity exclusively at known origin sites, such that shifts in origin position would have gone unnoticed, whereas Gros et al.19 employed unbiased genome-wide approaches to monitor origin activity at every chromosomal position.

It should ne noted that the ability of Mcm2-7 DHs to initiate DNA replication after collision with RNAP is not without limits. For example, we observed that origin efficiency was globally reduced in rat1-1 cells at non-permissive temperature.19 Moreover, at several sites we observed repositioning of Mcm2-7 without a corresponding shift in initiation site position, indicating that the Mcm2-7 complexes had lost their replication competence after displacement by RNAP. The reason for this is not known, but in a previous study we had identified DNA templates that supported Mcm2-7 loading, but were defective for DNA replication in vitro, indicating that Mcm2-7 DHs loaded around certain DNA sequences cannot initiate DNA replication.7 Reduced origin efficiency in rat1-1 cells may thus be due to a combination of factors, including reduced initiation efficiency of Mcm2-7 DHs repositioned around non-canonical origin sites and reduced Mcm2-7 loading efficiency at origins that are transcribed prior to Mcm2-7 loading. The ability of Mcm2-7 DHs to slide upon collision with other proteins may therefore be most relevant under normal growth conditions, when interference levels at origins are low. On the other hand, the fact that Okazaki fragments mapped to both strands of the chromosomal DNA over wide regions of the genome in rat1-1 cells at non-permissive temperature may also indicate that initiation sites were simply more widely dispersed than can be detected by the population average-based methods of ChIP-seq and Okazaki fragment sequencing.

**DNA sequence-independent Mcm2-7 activation**

The observation that DNA replication can initiate from non-origin sites in budding yeast is surprising given that replication origin function in budding yeast, in striking contrast to other eukaryotes,17 depends on specific DNA sequences.41-44 However, specific origin sequences seem to be important for Mcm2-7 loading, rather than Mcm2-7 activation, in budding yeast. The only conserved sequence element at budding yeast origins, the ARS consensus sequence (ACS), forms part of the ORC binding site,45 while additional origin sequences adjacent to the ACS, known as B elements, though important for full origin activity in vivo,42 are not conserved. The DNA across B elements exhibits a significant nucleotide skew, suggesting that the structure of DNA at B elements, rather than specific DNA sequences, may be important for origin activity, possibly to establish a nucleosome-free region around chromosomal origins that is permissive for Mcm2-7 loading on chromatin.46,47 Naked DNA should, therefore, bypass the requirement for generation of a nucleosome-free region at the origin and permit Mcm2-7 loading at sites that might otherwise not be accessible within chromatin, which is supported by in vitro replication studies.7,30 The lack of conserved sequences outside of the ACS thus implies that budding yeast
Mcm2-7 are loaded around non-conserved DNA sequences at the origin, which is consistent with specific DNA sequences not being mechanistically required for Mcm2-7 DH activation throughout eukaryotes.

**Two levels of eukaryotic replication origin flexibility**

Surprisingly, while budding yeast chromosomes are normally replicated from specific origin sites, deletion of these sites does not disrupt the replication competence of derivatives of chromosome III and VI in *vivo*. Although a potential involvement of alternative, e.g. recombination-based, replication mechanisms in the maintenance of origin-depleted chromosome derivatives has not been directly investigated, the stability of origin-depleted chromosome III derivatives is severely impaired in *orc2-1* mutant cells, indicating that DNA replication initiates by the canonical mechanism from ectopic sites in this setting. This finding is consistent with observations made with purified budding yeast proteins *in vitro*, in which ORC was found to normally direct Mcm2-7 loading at a canonical origin site present in the DNA template, but would direct Mcm2-7 loading at lower affinity non-canonical sites if the canonical origin site was mutated. Targeting ORC to specific high affinity sites *in vivo* may increase the efficiency of Mcm2-7 loading in the budding yeast genome, where intergenic space is sparse, while the ability to direct replication initiation from non-canonical sites may increase the robustness of the replication competence of chromosomes when canonical sites get disrupted. Budding yeast replication origins are thus intrinsically flexible not only due to Mcm2-7 DH mobility, but also due to the flexibility of ORC to direct the loading of Mcm2-7 at non-canonical sites (Fig. 2).

**Origin specification by transcription**

Transcription has long been recognized to influence the distribution of replication origins along eukaryotic chromosomes. For example, DNA replication initiates promiscuously along the chromosomes of higher eukaryotes until the onset of transcription at the mid-blastula transition, when initiation sites are restricted to non-transcribed intergenic regions. Another well-studied example is the initiation zone located between the convergent dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) and 2BE2121 genes in CHO cells, the boundaries of which appear to be similarly circumscribed by ongoing transcription. The incompatibility of transcription and replication initiation thus explains the predominantly intergenic positioning of replication origins from yeast to human. It is commonly presumed that transcription inhibits the licensing of replication origins by preventing the loading of Mcm2-7 complexes. Our data suggest that transcription complexes may, in addition, clear transcription units from replication origins by redistributing Mcm2-7 DHs from their sites of loading to regions downstream. This model is further supported by the recent observation that Mcm2-7 complexes, but not ORC, are redistributed along chromosomes in *Drosophila* cells in a manner that correlates with the local transcription landscape.

Because transcription termination occurs stochastically in eukaryotes, collisions between RNAP and downstream replication origins could lead to a dispersive redistribution of Mcm2-7 DHs, which may contribute to the establishment of the broad initiation zones typically observed in higher eukaryotes.

The small intergenic regions in budding yeast are incompatible with the formation of broad initiation zones. Yet, stochastic transcription termination, pervasive transcription, and heterogenous mRNA transcription may all lead to frequent collisions between transcription complexes and Mcm2-7 DHs at budding yeast origins, and transcripts have indeed been mapped to a large fraction of budding yeast origins. The stochastic nature of such collisions and the potentially limited ensuing shift in origin positions may hinder detection of origin shifts in the budding yeast genome during normal growth.

**Conclusions**

Replication origins in the budding yeast, *S. cerevisiae*, have been thought to fundamentally differ from those in higher eukaryotes due to their dependence on specific DNA sequences. Recent findings mitigate this notion by demonstrating that budding yeast origins exhibit a significant degree of flexibility. Firstly, ORC can direct functional Mcm2-7 loading at non-canonical sites if canonical origins are not available, and secondly, Mcm2-7 DHs can respond to collisions with DNA translocases by sliding away from the origin and initiating DNA replication from origin distal sites. This indicates that eukaryotic replication origins are not static genetic elements, but are mobile physical
entities in the form of Mcm2-7 DHs bound around DNA. Adoption of a flexible strategy to specify and maintain replication origins, instead of a strictly deterministic one, might increase the robustness of the replication competence of eukaryotic chromosomes.

While we have focused our discussion here primarily on collisions with the transcription machinery, it has to be noted that Mcm2-7 double hexamers can be pushed along DNA by a variety of DNA translocases. It will, therefore, be interesting to determine how other forms of DNA metabolism may influence eukaryotic origin positions in vivo. Moreover, it will be interesting to learn if Mcm2-7 DHs can be pushed ahead of replication forks, where they could potentially serve as a local Mcm2-7 reservoir to support replication restart at sites of replication fork failure. While the benefits of enhanced mobility for Mcm2-7 DH stability on chromatin may seem obvious in light of the genomic traffic occurring on eukaryotic chromosomes, the flip side of such increased Mcm2-7 DH stability may be that it could pose a barrier for the removal of unused Mcm2-7 DHs at dormant origins from chromatin during S phase progression. While a regulated ubiquitin-dependent degradation mechanism has been proposed to mediate the removal of active Mcm2-7 helicase complexes from chromatin during the termination of DNA replication, it will be interesting to find out if unused Mcm2-7 DHs are similarly removed from chromatin by a regulated unloading mechanism, or by simple stochastic disintegration upon collision between opposing replication forks.
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