Molecular data show conserved DNA locations distinguishing lung cancer subtypes and regulation of immune genes
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Non-small-cell lung cancer exhibits a range of transcriptional and epigenetic patterns that not only define distinct phenotypes, but may also govern immune related genes, which have a major impact on survival.

Methods: We used open-source RNA expression and DNA methylation data of the Cancer Genome Atlas with matched non-cancerous tissue to evaluate whether these pretreatment molecular patterns also influenced genes related to the immune system and overall survival.

Results: The distinction between lung adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma are determined by 1083 conserved methylation loci and RNA expression of 203 genes which differ for >80 % of patients between the two subtypes. Using the RNA expression profiles of 6 genes, more than 95 % of patients could be correctly classified as having either adenocarcinoma or squamous cell carcinoma. Comparing tumor tissue with matched normal tissue, no differences in RNA expression were found for costimulatory and co-inhibitory genes, nor genes involved in cytokine release. However, genes involved in antigen presentation had a lower expression and a wider distribution in tumor tissue.

Discussion: Only a small number of genes, influenced by DNA methylation, determine the lung cancer subtype. The antigen presentation of cancer cells is dysfunctional, while other T cell immune functions appear to remain intact.

1. Introduction

Smoking induced lung cancer has a large number of DNA mutations while other environmental factors such as air pollution may cause a different distribution in DNA mutations, often observed in non-smokers in genes like \textit{EGFR}, \textit{BRAF}, \textit{HER2} and \textit{ALK} \cite{1}. Lung cancer is traditionally subdivided into small-cell lung cancer and non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with the latter being divided into two main subtypes, adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma (SCC).

It is known that DNA methylation is affected by age, smoking, emphysema and histological subtype \cite{2}. Changes in the methylation pattern affects RNA expression, leading not only to different...
DNA methylation, an enrichment analysis was performed ≥ 95% was distributed over all chromosomes except the X-chromosome for each chromosome characterized by individual probes with ks-score and Extended Data Table 1). The genome was determined at increasingly stringent ks-scores (Fig. 3a,b 485,577 probes) which individually could be used to correctly classify at least 85% of patients. Next, we looked into the chromosomal position of these highly conserved loci in both adenocarcinoma and SCC. Importantly, stratification for high and low methylation probes identified by the best split analysis for histological subtypes, and secondly, that methylation controls immune modulating genes in the tumor DNA and tumor-intrinsic defects must be present.

2. Results

2.1. Methylation in NSCLC

We used 1024 unique patients from NSCLC TCGA datasets. Based on DNA methylation a PCA analysis appeared to be capable of separating adenocarcinoma and SCC (Fig. 1a). The prediction model based on the PCA outcomes correctly identified all but one of the included patients as either adenocarcinoma or SCC. Importantly, stratification for high and low purity (indicated as the proportion of tumor cell content) of the processed samples did not influence the findings.Remarkably, of all methylation probes with a ks-score ≥ 0.95, the mean corrected differences ranged from +0.02 to +0.15 (scale -1 - 1), implicating a very small variation in methylation for these highly conserved loci in both phenotypes and a consistent stronger methylation of adenocarcinoma compared to SCC (Fig. 2).

After we observed significant differences in methylation probe distribution between the subtypes, we continued with a best split analysis. The algorithm identified 1083 methylation probes (out of a total of 485,577 probes) which individually could be used to correctly classify at least 85% of patients. Next, we looked into the chromosomal position of the different methylated probes. An even distribution along the genome was determined at increasingly stringent ks-scores (Fig. 3a,b and Extended Data Table 1).

The higher ks-score indicates a better separability between histologic subtypes (Supplementary Information). The methylation pattern for each chromosome characterized by individual probes with ks-score ≥ 95% was distributed over all chromosomes except the X-chromosome. Probes with a high ks-score over 97% for separability represented conserved methylated loci that preserve the difference between phenotypes.

To address morphological differences between adenocarcinoma and SCC based on DNA methylation, an enrichment analysis was performed on the gene level based on the methylation probes that are most distinct for phenotype (ks-score > 0.95; n = 2101 mapped genes). Remarkably, these and other genes showed a low methylation rate compared to normal tissue. The main canonical pathways that are most distinct for NSCLC subtypes are DNA repair pathways (Extended Data Fig. 1). However, based on the relative methylation of these genes, mechanisms involved in response to the category "viral infections" (z-score 10.7, p < 0.001;) were more activated in SCC compared to adenocarcinoma, whereas mechanisms involved in cell death (z-score -17.8, p < 0.001) were inhibited. Central genes involved in "viral infection" that are found to be differential in SCC compared to adenocarcinoma include IFRF3, NFKB1, RELA (also known as NFKB3), STAT3, SRPK1 and TRIM.

2.2. Expression in NSCLC

We next aimed to investigate to what extent methylation influences RNA expression levels. We observed that DNA methylation explains approximately 40–55% of the inversely correlated variation in the RNA expression. This percentage, however, is not only dependent on the β-value level that would biologically lead to effective epigenetic gene suppression, but also on the correlation between gene expression and methylation (Fig. 4e). Approximately 60% of methylation probes (different to the random 50%) are inversely correlated with RNA expression by selecting only those methylation probes that are assumed to have an epigenetic suppressive effect (average β-value > 0.25) on DNA transcription and with a significant correlation (correlation coefficient > 0.5 or < -0.5). Of note, methylation probes with a negative, positive, or no relationship with gene expression could be determined as illustrated in Fig. 4b-d (Supplementary information, sub 5). In general, genes that were heavily methylated showed a lower RNA expression than genes that had a moderate or low methylation rate.

Unsupervised principal component analysis of the transcriptome led to a slightly less accurate separation of NSCLC phenotypes (Fig. 1b) than that based on DNA methylation data (Fig. 1a). Comparing tumor with non-cancerous tissue confirms that the RNA expression pattern is specific for NSCLC (Fig. 1b). The best split analysis on RNA data identified 203 genes, of which the expression was different in 85% of cases between SCC and adenocarcinoma. Of these, differences in RNA expression of five genes (KRT5, DSC3, DSG3, TP63, CALM3L3), and one miRNA (MIR205HG) combined could separate both subtypes with an accuracy of 95%.

2.3. Bilevel molecular analysis in NSCLC

We selected the top 500 methylation probes with their corresponding genes and the top 500 genes based on RNA expression and found an overlap of 41 genes related to the separation of the NSCLC phenotypes based on both DNA methylation and RNA expression (Extended Data Table 2). Gene enrichment analysis by Ingenuity Pathway Analysis revealed that TP63 was an important upstream regulator, with elevated expression in SCC compared to adenocarcinoma. Target molecules of TP63 in the list of 41 genes included CSTA, SNAI2, DST, ACTL6A, KRT7 and the miRNA MIR205HG, and their expression was in the same predicted direction as the TP63 activation in SCC.

2.4. Immune modulating genes and methylation

As expected, methylation was inversely correlated for most methylation probes, e.g. higher level of HLA-B, TAP1, CD2 methylation leads to lower RNA expression (Fig. 4a). Of note, none of the 1083 methylation probes identified by the best split analysis for histologic subtype included any of the selected immune related genes.

We performed a principal component analysis including all RNA expressing genes and determined the weight of each component of immune modulatory gene groups: T cell co-inhibitory (COINHIB), T cell co-stimulator (COSTIM), T cell antigen presentation (AGPRES) and T cell cytokines/chemokines (CYTOCHEM) (Fig. 5 and Extended Data Fig. 2). Genes in the immune related groups were gathered in two...
clusters, in PC3 and PC6–9. Antigen presenting gene expression was positively associated with co-stimulatory gene expression, not only in tumor but also in non-cancerous tissue (Extended Data Fig. 2b, c).

Genes involved in the immune response towards endogenous retroviral sequences were also more methylated in adenocarcinoma compared to SCC, but we did not study the repetitive DNA areas with high versus low methylation.

2.5. Antigen presenting gene expression in tumor

Average RNA expression of genes in the antigen presenting gene group was lower in tumor than in non-cancerous tissue and showed a larger variation (SD) in expression (Fig. 6). HLA-A, HLA-B and TAP2 RNA expression showed a decreased density, suggesting suppression of antigen presentation and processing. The other immune related gene groups in tumors also showed variation, but median values were not significantly different from non-cancerous tissue. At last, we asked ourselves what impact the different immune components have on survival. Interestingly, we were unable to identify survival benefit in an adjusted Cox regression for high expression of genes involved in antigen presentation or costimulatory function (Extended Data Table 3). Genes involved in the inhibition of the immune system also were not associated with survival.

3. Discussion

We identified epigenetic and RNA expression patterns in tumor tissue from NSCLC patients, that distinguished squamous cell lung cancer from adenocarcinoma. Especially the conserved loci with hardly variation in DNA methylation between hundreds of patients were responsible for the distinction between the subtypes. Adenocarcinoma was globally more methylated than squamous cell carcinoma. Immune adaptive mechanisms have also been described such as gene hypermethylation targeting the interleukin-6/Stat3 pathway [10].

Not only methylation but also the differences in the expression values of only six genes could explain the difference between the subtypes in 97% of patients. Involved genes were keratine 5 (KRT5), tumor protein p63 (TP63), desmoglein 3 (DSG3), calmodulin like 3 (CALML3), and the miRNA MIR205HG. All are directly or indirectly involved in tissue morphogenesis, differentiation cell adhesion, and proliferation. The predominant isoform ΔNp63α is overexpressed in SCC and may influence tissue microenvironment by recruiting proinflammatory cells. TP63 is commonly used in immunohistochemistry to differentiate SCC from adenocarcinoma, which supports the robustness of our analysis [11–13].

Next, we have shown that immune regulatory genes were included in regions marked by methylation probes with a ks-score > 95%; these methylation profiles were associated with differential expression in immune modulatory genes before therapy. These genes were located in methylation regions distinguishing pretreatment NSCLC phenotypes by distribution, but were not identified by the best split analysis, indicating that genes involved in subtype morphology and immune regulation are both regulated by methylation but belong to completely distinct gene groups.

Now we have established the relation between methylation and immune expression status, we asked ourselves whether the pretreatment expression of the immune modulating gene groups of early NSCLC patients had survival consequences. We were unable to identify any
Compared to non-cancerous tissue we observed a much broader distribution of the expression of immune modulating genes in NSCLC, while the median expression of T cell co-inhibitory, co-stimulatory, and cyto- and chemokine genes remained similar. Only the antigen presenting gene expression in NSCLC was decreased. This group consisted not only of the classical HLA-A, HLA-B and HLA-C whose expression depend on methylation but also B2M and TAP genes. By multiplexed quantitative immunofluorescence loss of expression of B2M, HLA-I heavy chains and HLA-II was observed in less than 23% of NSCLC patients [14]. Loss of B2M expression resulted in decreased or no cell surface expression of MHC class I, which impairs antigen presentation to cytotoxic T cells [15,16]. In melanoma loss of B2M and TAP1 expression reduced overall survival when treated with ipilimumab [17]. Limiting the expression of genes involved in antigen presentation is an important mechanism of tumor cells to evade the immune system [18]. In early NSCLC tumors that have an activated immune system, extensive immune editing is present in order to fit with the tumor microenvironment, as indicated by the relative depletion of neoantigens in tumors and loss of heterozygosity in HLA genes [19]. This allele-specific HLA loss may occur in about 40% of NSCLC patients [18]. We observed that in tumor and non-cancerous tissue antigen presenting and co-stimulatory gene expression was positively associated, suggesting that a higher expression of antigen presenting genes is associated with more inflammation. Although our analysis does not provide information on cell types, it suggests that the higher dosage of antigen presenting gene expression in (any) tissue associates with more co-stimulatory gene expressions from T cells. Overall, it may be concluded that the antigen presenting gene group harbors the main immune related defect in NSCLC patients.

Finally, our analysis revealed that higher methylation was observed in genes involved in the immune response towards endogenous retroviral sequences in adenocarcinoma compared to SCC. Disruption of methylation in both subtypes leads to different retroviral expressions. Moreover, analyzing a wide spectrum of over 2000 involved genes with the highest subtype separability revealed viral involvement, likely retroviral or transposon loci. As we know, human endogenous retroviruses are under epigenetic control and rarely expressed in normal tissue [20,21]. Hypomethylation of the LINE family member L1 occurs in multiple solid cancers and cell lines [22,23]. Lung squamous cell carcinoma has elevated ERVH-5 and other RNA derived endogenous retrovirus expression that were associated with low cytolytic activity [24]. We observed that IRF3, NF-κB and STAT pathways are critical in the production of type I interferons downstream of pathogen recognition receptors. They detect viral RNA and DNA [25]. The genes SRPK1 and TRIM4 are found to regulate these virus-induced IFN induction pathways [26,27]. This provides further molecular evidence of the presumed importance of (retro)viral infection in predominantly squamous cell carcinoma as previously observed in squamous cell carcinomas that provide information on cell types, it suggests that the higher dosage of antigen presenting gene expression in (any) tissue associates with more co-stimulatory gene expressions from T cells. Overall, it may be concluded that the antigen presenting gene group harbors the main immune related defect in NSCLC patients.

Finally, our analysis revealed that higher methylation was observed in genes involved in the immune response towards endogenous retroviral sequences in adenocarcinoma compared to SCC. Disruption of methylation in both subtypes leads to different retroviral expressions. Moreover, analyzing a wide spectrum of over 2000 involved genes with the highest subtype separability revealed viral involvement, likely retroviral or transposon loci. As we know, human endogenous retroviruses are under epigenetic control and rarely expressed in normal tissue [20,21]. Hypomethylation of the LINE family member L1 occurs in multiple solid cancers and cell lines [22,23]. Lung squamous cell carcinoma has elevated ERVH-5 and other RNA derived endogenous retrovirus expression that were associated with low cytolytic activity [24]. We observed that IRF3, NF-κB and STAT pathways are critical in the production of type I interferons downstream of pathogen recognition receptors. They detect viral RNA and DNA [25]. The genes SRPK1 and TRIM4 are found to regulate these virus-induced IFN induction pathways [26,27]. This provides further molecular evidence of the presumed importance of (retro)viral infection in predominantly squamous cell carcinoma as previously observed in squamous cell carcinomas that...
contained viral DNA [28]. Importantly, a significant proportion of the differentiating methylation probes suppresses viral and retroviral associated genes. Further investigation is needed into the exact nature of those repetitive areas that are hyper- or hypomethylated.

All studies have limitations. Importantly, the tumor samples have varying tumor content (at least 40%) tough, as shown by stratification, this had no consequences for our findings. In order to perform our enrichment analysis of the epigenetic background across NSCLC phenotypes on a gene level methylation signals were averaged. Although this approach resulted in relevant and consistent findings, it may lead to inevitable loss of information as its effect varies across different gene regions. For instance, hypermethylation of high density CpG regions has been recognized to strongly associate with gene expression regulation [29]. Lastly, splicing variants and small cumulative effects within several genes in the same pathway have not taken into consideration in the RNA expression analysis. Alternative splicing may have a functional impact and is increased in cancer compared to normal tissue [29].

Together these results show that NSCLC phenotypes are largely determined by epigenetic regulation of a small conserved group of genes, involved in extracellular matrix and cell structure. Methylation controls immune related genes – also those involved in endogenous retroviral sequences - that show a larger expression diversity in tumor than in non-cancerous tissue. Decreased expression of genes involved in antigen presentation and costimulation genes are flagged for chromosome location. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article).

4. Methods

4.1. Study cohort and data acquisition

Patients with treatment naive NSCLC, adenocarcinoma and SCC, whose DNA methylation and RNA expression data from the resected tumor was available in the public domain, were selected from two different profiling platforms (RNA sequencing resulting in 60,483 mRNA expression values and methylation profiling by Infinium HM450 platform resulting in 485,577 DNA methylation β-values) at the TCGA Research Network (http://cancergenome.nih.gov/). Details on methods and data generation of the RNA sequencing and DNA methylation can be found in the original TCGA landmark papers [3,4] Duplicate
samples, those with missing histological diagnosis, and those with disease recurrences were removed. In total, 1024 unique NSCLC patients with tumor tissue were selected of whom 154 patients provided additional normal tissue. Patients with normal tissue provided 108 samples for the normal RNA expression dataset and 74 samples for the normal DNA methylation dataset; 28 patients provided samples for both methods. The tumor RNA expression dataset consisted of 1014 tumor samples (513 adenocarcinoma and 501 SCC) and the tumor methylation dataset consisted of 828 tumor samples (458 adenocarcinoma and 370 SCC). We extracted clinical and pathological data on age, gender, histology, stage of disease, tumor cell percentage and survival calculated from time of diagnosis to time of death or last follow-up (Extended Fig. 4. Relation between DNA methylation for immune modulating genes determined by one probe and gene expression at four quartile levels. A) Immune modulating genes identified by probes with ks-score ≥ 70% (adenocarcinoma vs. SCC) show an inverse relationship between expression and methylation for most genes. In this example, LAG3 and B3GAT1 show the opposite expression effect at low and moderate methylation, respectively. Examples are shown of probes with B) the highest negative correlation, C) highest positive correlation and D) no correlation between DNA methylation and RNA expression. E) The percentage of methylation probes that are inversely correlated with RNA expression depends on the cut-off of the correlation between methylation and gene expression of a probe and minimal average methylation.
Data Table 4). The dataset was analyzed during a hackathon session, in which data scientists in collaboration with physicians competed to create a “functioning” product by the end of the 3-day event.

4.2. Data curation and statistical methods

All datasets were filtered and curated for non-significantly associated features after preprocessing of the files. ComBat, an empirical Bayes location-scaling method was applied to rule out potential cohort bias in the RNA expression data as a consequence of different study sites and laboratories, whereas BEclear was used in the DNA methylation data (Supplementary Information). As co-variant we used gender, because this factor has a high variance over the batches and its value is known for all samples. We started with principal component analysis (PCA) to discern underlying structure of the database, e.g. the total gene expression versus the immune modulating gene groups expressions.

For RNA expression and DNA methylation data we used non-parametric tests. The separation of the cancer types was compared before and after bias correction with both the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and Mann-Whitney U test. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests provides a ks-score, which determines whether the given distributions of two groups are the same or different with a probability of 1-ks-score (Supplementary Information). After we determined differences in distributions of methylation probes, an algorithm was developed using separate cut-off values for DNA methylation and RNA expression to identify the most predictive genes to classify the NSCLC subtypes. This best split analysis determined whether a cut-off value could provide a best split analysis determined whether a cut-off value could provide a

Four main immune modulatory gene groups were distinguished, involved in T cell antigen presentation (AGPRES), T cell co-inhibitory (COINHIB), T cell co-stimulator (COSTIM) and T cell cytokines/chemokines (CYTOCHEM). The influence of these gene groups were investigated on the individual principle components. The y-axis represents the loading of a gene in the DNA expression dataset to a principle component. The red boxes indicate all genes in the DNA expression dataset, whereas the other boxes represent the genes of selected immune modulating gene groups. All immune modulating gene groups are most pronounced in PC7, PC8 and PC9. The midline in the boxplot is the median of data in that component, with the lower and upper limits of the box being the first and third quartile, respectively. By default, the whiskers will extend up to 1.5 times the interquartile range from the top (bottom) of the box. If there are any data beyond that distance, they are represented individually as black dots (outliers). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article).

Four immune modulatory gene groups as compared with all gene expressions in non-small cell lung cancers shows two clusters of increase (PC3 and PC6-9).

Gene densities of all AGPRES genes were calculated within R using a kernel density estimate from the distribution of RNA expression of NSCLC and non-cancerous tissue.

To study the relationship between expression of immune related gene groups (AGPRES, COSTIM, COINHIB, CYTOCHEM) and overall survival, a multivariate Cox regression analysis was used with age, gender, smoking (pack years), tumor type and stage of disease as co-variates (patient factors with p < 0.1 from univariate analysis included). The expression of pretreatment immune related gene groups was used as a categorical variable with two levels divided by the median (high and low overall expression of all involved genes). Hazard ratios (HR) and 95 % confidence intervals (CI) are reported.

To investigate the biological pathways, Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) was used to perform gene enrichment analyses on these gene lists.
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Fig. 6. RNA expression of immune modulating genes in tumor and their matched non-cancerous tissue. Density distribution of immune modulating gene expressions in 106 NSCLC tumors and their matched non-cancerous tissue. The antigen presenting gene expressions show a different density distribution compared to non-cancerous expressions, while the density of coinhibitory, costimulatory, and cyto- and chemokine gene expressions were largely similar.
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