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Abstract

Background

Free-living amoeba (FLA) such as *Acanthamoeba* spp. are ubiquitous protozoa that graze on microorganisms such as bacteria, viruses and algae. However, amoebic resistant microorganisms (ARM) such as *Pseudomonas* spp. evade being killed by amoeba thus multiplying within the free-living amoeba. Free-living amoeba may therefore enhance transmission of *Pseudomonas* spp. across hospital environments thus contributing to the burden of nosocomial infections and spread of antimicrobial resistance. In Kenya, nosocomial *Pseudomonas* spp. infections present a major health challenge but the role of free-living amoeba such as *Acanthamoeba* spp. in transmission of these infections has so far not been assessed. This study aimed at the isolation of *Acanthamoeba* spp. from various surfaces and equipment and molecular detection of the *Acanthamoeba*-associated *Pseudomonas* spp.

Methods

This was a descriptive cross-sectional study in which 153 swabs in duplicate (306) were collected from selected surfaces and equipment. *Acanthamoeba* spp. cultures were performed on one batch of 153 swabs and bacterial cultures on the other batch of 153 swabs. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed to detect *Pseudomonas* sp. genomic deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) within *Acanthamoeba* spp. isolates.

Results

The proportion of swabs that had *Acanthamoeba* spp. isolates was 93.5% (n = 153) while that of bacterial isolates was 82.4% (n = 153). Of 168 bacterial isolates obtained, 10.7% was *Pseudomonas* spp. *Acanthamoeba* spp. subcultures were done on 62.7% (n = 153) primary *Acanthamoeba* spp. isolates. Only 22.9% (n = 96) of the *Acanthamoeba* spp. subcultures were successful. *Pseudomonas* sp. genomic DNA was detected in 45.5% (n = 22) of all positive *Acanthamoeba* spp. subcultures using PCR.

Conclusion

*Pseudomonas* sp., an ARM, exists within *Acanthamoeba* spp. on various surfaces and equipment at KNH ICU. This suggests a possible role of *Acanthamoeba* spp. in Acanthamoeba- ARM associated nosocomial infections transmission and in spread of antimicrobial resistant genes across microorganisms in hospitals.

Introduction
Free living amoeba (FLA) such as *Acanthamoeba* spp. are ubiquitous protozoa that have been isolated in virtually all environments in nature and in anthropogenic milieu globally (Saeed et al., 2012; Chow and Glaser, 2014; Lakhundi, Siddiqui and Khan, 2015; Fabres et al., 2016; Rubenina et al., 2017). FLA phagocytose microorganisms from the environment such as bacteria, algae, fungi, protozoa and particles rich in energy for nutrition (Gimenez et al., 2011; Aç et al., 2013; Ovrutsky et al., 2013; Cervero-Aragó et al., 2015; Fabres et al., 2016).

However, some amoeba resistant microorganisms (ARMs) resist amoebic killing and proliferate within FLA following phagocytosis. These ARMs are released into the environment as free pathogens or in vesicles (Greub and Raoult, 2004; Barnard, 2015). Common human pathogenic ARMs include bacteria of the families *Pseudomonaceae, Enterobacteriaceae, Mycobacteraceae* and *Vibrionaceae*, and viruses (Greub and Raoult, 2004; Aç et al., 2013; Ovrutsky et al., 2013; Guimaraes et al., 2016).

FLA hosting ARMs act as concealed niches of microorganisms that propagate continuous circulation of pathogens and drug resistant genes across hosts and the environment (da Rocha-Azevedo, Tanowitz and Marciano-Cabral, 2009; Lamrabet et al., 2012; Altayyar et al., 2016; Fabres et al., 2016). Other effects of FLA- ARMs interactions include ARMs enhanced pathogenicity and virulence, ARMs training against macrophages, protection against harsh conditions and contribution to nosocomial infections burden despite stringent infection control measures (Horn, 2001; Khan and Siddiqui, 2014; Fabres et al., 2016; Balczun and Scheid, 2017; Rubenina et al., 2017).

The most common FLA linked to human disease is *Acanthamoeba* spp. (Guimaraes et al., 2016; Balczun and Scheid, 2017). It has been isolated from water, soil, dust, hospital environment and equipment such as dental units, used contact lenses, ventilators, dialysis units and ocular wash stations (Moon et al. 2008; Marciano-Cabral & Cabral 2003; Jeong & Yu 2005; Lass et al. 2014; Teixeira et al. 2009). Isolates of *Acanthamoeba* spp. have also been obtained from infected human specimens such as the lungs, brain tissues, corneal biopsies, cerebral spinal fluid and genitourinary tracts (Szénási et al., 1998; Jeong and Yu, 2005; Dendana et al., 2008; Siddiqui and Khan, 2012).

*Acanthamoeba* sp. exists as trophozoites and cysts with the former being the pathogenic stage which is metabolically active and motile. The cyst is the dormant stage and it is formed at the end of growth cycle or in harsh environmental conditions. Cysts survive desiccation, temperature changes, disinfectants, biocides, radiation, chlorination, pH changes, antibiotics, osmotic pressure variations and reduced nutrients (Marciano-Cabral and Cabral, 2003a; Siripanth and Med, 2005; da Rocha-Azevedo, Tanowitz and Marciano-Cabral, 2009; Teixeira et al., 2009; Booton et al., 2010; Bertelli and Greub, 2012; Clarke et al., 2012; Cervero-Aragó et al., 2015; Chomicz et al., 2015; Hsueh and Gibson, 2015). They also act as reservoirs and sources of infections due to their ability to survive for several years in the environment (Marciano-Cabral and Cabral, 2003b; Essa et al., 2016). Both trophozoites and cysts have been isolated from the environment as well as from infected human tissues (Khan, 2003; da Rocha-Azevedo, Tanowitz and Marciano-Cabral, 2009).
Acanthamoeba spp. existence in hospital environment pose an explicit risk of expedient infections to the immunocompromised patients such as granulomatous amoebic encephalitis (GAE), sinusitis and skin lesions (Barnard, 2015; Shokri et al., 2016; Taravaud, Loiseau and Pomel, 2017; Souza, 2018). Amoebic keratitis is manifested among the immunocompetent individuals commonly in the contact lens users, patients with corneal injury and those living in places with inadequate water supply (Chappell et al., 2001; Khan, 2003; Booton et al., 2010; Clarke et al., 2012; Muchesa et al., 2014). Besides, Acanthamoeba spp. have been associated with more than 100 species of pathogenic bacteria which may be transmitted to humans and higher animals (Douesnard-Malo and Daigle, 2011; Gryseels et al., 2012; Aç et al., 2013; Fabres et al., 2016). Pseudomonas sp., a known ARM with high antibiotic and disinfectants resistance, increased virulence is also an etiologic agent of nosocomial infections (Lim and Webb, 2005).

The ubiquity of Pseudomonas sp. allows for its vast spread to patients from diverse sources including air, food, water, visitors, linen, contaminated medical personnel, contaminated surfaces and equipment such as catheters and ventilators which readily predisposes patients to nosocomial infections (Davane et al., 2014). Pseudomonas has been isolated from bacterial cultures as an etiologic agent of primary infections and/or nosocomial infections from patients at Kenyatta National Hospital Intensive Care Unit (KNH ICU) (Njoki, 2009).

Nonetheless, since most infections are linked to well-known free pathogens, the role of FLA such as Acanthamoeba spp. and ARMs such as Pseudomonas spp. in disease burden is mostly overlooked (Khan and Siddiqui, 2014). This could be one of the reasons for the escalated rates of nosocomial infections despite stringent infection control measures (Altayyar et al., 2016). This is further complicated by the lack of information on FLA, ARMs and the possible effects of their interactions and the lack of awareness among healthcare personnel in Kenya. The study therefore sought to assess the prevalence of Acanthamoeba sp. and associated Pseudomonas sp. from selected surfaces and equipment in ICU at Kenyatta National Hospital (KNH), the largest teaching and referral hospital in East and Central Africa located in Nairobi, the capital city of Kenya, in order to inform infection control policy.

Methods

This was a cross-sectional study in which Acanthamoeba spp. and free bacteria were cultured and isolated from swabs collected from selected surfaces and equipment in ICU at KNH, and detection of Pseudomonas sp. genomic DNA within the isolated Acanthamoeba spp. using PCR was done. Surfaces and equipment in the hospital ICU that could potentially act as fomites were identified and swabs collected.

Specimen collection, culture and isolation

Swabs were aseptically collected from selected surfaces and equipment in duplicate and immediately delivered to the University of Nairobi Medical Microbiology Laboratory. Bacterial cultures were performed on one batch of swabs on Blood Agar (BA) and MacConkey (MAC) agar using the streak plate procedure
and aerobically incubated at 37 °C for 18 to 24 hours (Sanders, 2012). Plain plates of BA and MAC were used as negative controls and Pseudomonas sp. ATCC 27853 inoculated on BA and MAC plates were used as positive controls under the same conditions. Bacteria identification was based on colonial morphology, haemolysis on BA, lactose fermentation, Gram stain results and biochemical tests (Bisen et al. 2012).

Acanthamoeba spp. culture and detection of ARMs from the second batch of swabs was done as described by others (Lagier et al. 2015). Acanthamoeba castellani trophozoites (ATCC 30010) were cultured on Non-Nutrient Agar (NNA) media as positive control and to confirm the suitability of NNA for its intended use (Kara et al., 2015). The swabs for use in Acanthamoeba sp. culture were suspended in 2 milliliters (ml) sterile Page saline in 13x100mm tubes and centrifuged at 1000 revolution per minute (RPM) for 10 minutes (Fukumoto et al., 2016). The sediments were inoculated on NNA culture plates overlaid with heat-killed Escherichia coli (Jeong and Yu, 2005; Trabelsi et al., 2010; Aç et al., 2013; Ovrutsky et al., 2013; Ghaderifar et al., 2018). Inoculated plates were tightly sealed with parafilm to avoid desiccation and aerobically incubated at 37°C (Douesnard-Malo and Daigle, 2011; Muchesa et al., 2014). Acanthamoeba spp. growth was monitored daily for up to 2 weeks (Booton et al. 2010; Ovrutsky et al. 2013; Lorenzo-morales et al. 2015; Marciano-Cabral et al. 2014). To confirm growth of Acanthamoeba spp., a few colonies from the amoeba feeding tracks were suspended in normal saline and observed under a light microscope (Booton et al. 2010; Chomicz et al. 2015; Panda et al. 2015). Acanthamoeba spp. from positive plates were confirmed after staining with Eosin and Methylene Blue stains (El-Sayed and Hikal, 2015). Acanthamoeba trophozoites were identified based on features such as the characteristic active motility, prominent spine-like acanthapodia on the surface of trophozoites, a large food vacuole and a nucleus whereas cysts were identified based on their irregular wrinkled outer layer, a prominent double wall enclosing an ectocyst, a polygonal shaped endocyst and a nucleus (Khan, 2006; Liang et al., 2010; Duarte et al., 2013; Yousuf, Siddiqui and Khan, 2013; Al-Ghamdi, 2016; Gad and Al-herrawy, 2016; Behnia et al., 2017; Karakavuk et al., 2017; Vijayakumar, 2018; Wang et al., 2018).

Approximately 1cm² pieces of agar were cut from positive plates and sub-cultured on new NNA agar plates overlaid with heat killed Escherichia coli and 100µg/ml gentamycin to obtain pure cultures of Acanthamoeba spp. (Bleasdale et al., 2009; Ovrutsky et al., 2013; Yousuf, Siddiqui and Khan, 2013; Lorenzo-Morales, Khan and Walocha, 2015).

Pseudomonas sp. DNA extraction from Acanthamoeba spp. isolates

Extraction of total Pseudomonas sp. genomic DNA from known positive control of Pseudomonas sp. ATCC 27853 obtained from University of Nairobi Medical Microbiology Laboratory and from Acanthamoeba sp. isolates was done separately as described by others (Paulo 2015; Aljanabi et al. 1997).

PCR detection of Pseudomonas sp. genomic DNA
Detection of *Pseudomonas* sp. genomic DNA was done using PCR (Spilker et al., 2004; Paulo, 2015). The primers PA-GS-F (5’-GACGGGTGAGTAATGCCTA-3’) and PA-GS-R (5’-CACTGGTGTTCCTTCCTATA-3’) were used to amplify 618 base pairs fragment of the *Pseudomonas* sp. genomic DNA. Agarose gel electrophoresis of the amplified products was run as described by others (Sambrook and Russell, 2006; Kafkas et al., 2012). *Pseudomonas* sp. ATCC 27853 as positive control bands were used to locate and identify amplified *Pseudomonas* sp. genomic DNA extracted from *Acanthamoeba* spp. isolates.

**Results**

*Acanthamoeba* spp.

A total of 153 swabs were cultured on NNA for the isolation of *Acanthamoeba* spp. Almost all 143 (93.5%) of swabs were positive for *Acanthamoeba* spp. *Acanthamoeba* spp. (Figs. 1 and 2) growth was positively associated with the swab location; P = 0.008 (χ² = 71.160 df 45). Subsequent sub-cultures to purify *Acanthamoeba* spp. isolates was done on 96 of the primary *Acanthamoeba* spp. cultures of which only 22 (22.9%) were successful. There was a considerable drop in the proportion of positive *Acanthamoeba* spp. subcultures (Table 1).
Table 1
Acanthamoeba spp. Culture and Subculture

| Swab location       | No. of Swabs cultured | No. of culture plates with *Acanthamoeba* spp. growth | No. of *Acanthamoeba* spp. purification subcultures | No. of cultures positive for *Acanthamoeba* spp. upon subculture |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Sinks               | 21                    | 21 (100.0%)                                         | 13 (61.9%)                                        | 6 (46.2%)                                                     |
| ECG* machine        | 21                    | 21 (100.0%)                                         | 12 (57.1%)                                        | 1 (0.08%)                                                     |
| Bed rails           | 21                    | 20 (95.2%)                                          | 16 (76.2%)                                        | 1 (0.63%)                                                     |
| Patient lockers     | 21                    | 19 (90.5%)                                          | 11 (52.4%)                                        | 1 (9.1%)                                                      |
| Ventilators         | 16                    | 16 (100.0%)                                         | 11 (68.8%)                                        | 2 (18.2%)                                                     |
| Suction machines    | 13                    | 11 (84.6%)                                          | 9 (69.2%)                                         | 4 (44.4%)                                                     |
| Door handles        | 9                     | 6 (66.7%)                                           | 7 (77.8%)                                         | 1 (0.14%)                                                     |
| Floor               | 6                     | 5 (83.3%)                                           | 3 (50.0%)                                         | 0 (0.0%)                                                      |
| Drip stands         | 6                     | 6 (100.0%)                                          | 3 (50.0%)                                         | 0 (0.0%)                                                      |
| Soap containers     | 6                     | 6 (100.0%)                                          | 5 (83.3%)                                         | 3 (60%)                                                       |
| Telephone handles   | 3                     | 3 (100.0%)                                          | 1 (33.3%)                                         | 1 (100.0%)                                                    |
| Walls               | 2                     | 2 (100.0%)                                          | 1 (50.0%)                                         | 0 (0.0%)                                                      |
| Resuscitation trolleys | 2                | 2 (100.0%)                                          | 1 (50.0%)                                         | 0 (0.0%)                                                      |
| Nurses desks        | 2                     | 2 (100.0%)                                          | 0 (0.0%)                                          | -                                                             |
| Central monitor screens | 2            | 2 (100.0%)                                          | 2 (100.0%)                                        | 1 (50%)                                                       |
| Defibrillators      | 2                     | 1 (50.0%)                                           | 1 (50.0%)                                         | 1 (100.0%)                                                    |
| Totals              | 153                   | 143 (93.5%)                                         | 96 (62.7%)                                        | 22 (22.9%)                                                    |

*ECG = Electrocardiography*

*Acanthamoeba* spp. growth was associated with the swab location; \(P = 0.008\) (\(\chi^2 = 71.160\) df 45). All swabs collected from sinks, ECG machine, telephone handles, drip stands, soap containers, walls, ventilators, resuscitation trolleys and nurses’ desks had 100% growth of *Acanthamoeba* spp. The least growth of *Acanthamoeba* spp. was obtained from defibrillators swabs at 50% followed by door handles swabs at 66.7%.
Pseudomonas sp. genomic DNA from isolated Acanthamoeba spp.

Conventional polymerase chain reaction was performed on a total of 22 positive Acanthamoeba spp. subcultures to detect Pseudomonas sp. genomic DNA within Acanthamoeba spp. isolates. Of the 22 PCR tested subcultures, 6 had been obtained from swabs collected from sinks, 4 from suction machines, 3 from soap containers, 2 from ventilators and 1 each from the ECG, door handles, telephone handles, bed rails, patient lockers, central monitors and defibrillators. Pseudomonas sp. genomic DNA within Acanthamoeba spp. isolates was detected from almost half 10 (45.5%) of the PCR tested Acanthamoeba spp. subcultures. Of the 10 PCR positive subcultures, 4 were from sinks, 2 from suction machines and 1 each from bedrails, ventilators, door handles and soap containers. The proportion of the surfaces and equipment with PCR detected Pseudomonas sp. genomic DNA was 6.5% (n = 153). Nevertheless, there was no association between swab location and detection of Pseudomonas sp. genomic DNA by PCR (p = 0.590; $\chi^2 = 13.160$ df 15). The Gel electrophoresis images for the detection of Pseudomonas sp. genomic DNA after PCR amplification are shown in Fig. 3.

Bacterial cultures

The second batch of 153 swabs collected from selected surfaces and equipment at KNH ICU was cultured on BA and MAC agar for the isolation and identification of bacteria. Of the 153 cultured swabs 143 (82.4%) swabs had bacterial growth. A total of 168 bacterial isolates were identified of which Staphylococcus aureus was the most prevalent – 68 (44.4%) followed by coagulase negative Staphylococcus – 42 (27.5%), Klebsiella spp. 19 (12.4%) and Pseudomonas spp. – 18 (10.7%). The least isolated bacteria were Escherichia coli and Serattia spp. with 1 (0.7%) isolate each.

Discussion

The study, aiming at isolating Acanthamoeba spp. and detecting Pseudomonas sp. genomic DNA within the isolated Acanthamoeba spp. from selected surfaces and equipment was conducted in the ICU at Kenyatta National Hospital. To the best of our knowledge this was the first study on Acanthamoeba spp. and the associated ARMIs in Kenya.

Acanthamoeba spp. were detected in 93.5% of the 153 swabs on various surfaces and equipment. There was an association observed between Acanthamoeba spp. growth and the swab location; P = 0.008 ($\chi^2 = 71.160$ df 45). These results are in agreement with the 99.1% prevalence of Acanthamoeba spp. reported in water matrices samples in Spain (Izquierdo et al., 2013; Montalbano et al., 2015). The prevalence reported in the present study could be attributed to accumulation of bacterial mat and dirt on the surfaces and equipment. A similar explanation has been advanced by others who noted that Acanthamoeba spp. are attracted to areas with abundant bacteria and high organic matter which provide nutrition for the protozoan (Sente et al., 2016; Balczun and Scheid, 2017). In contrast, a study conducted in the Middle East obtained a low prevalence of 34.4 % of Acanthamoeba spp. that was related to frequent destruction of biofilms thus reducing bacteria on which FLA feed (Ghaderifar et al., 2018).
*Pseudomonas* sp. genomic DNA was detected from *Acanthamoeba* spp. isolates using PCR. A total of 96 (62.7%) primary *Acanthamoeba* spp. isolates were subcultured of which only 22 (22.9%) were successful. PCR for the detection of *Pseudomonas* sp. genomic DNA was performed on the 22 *Acanthamoeba* spp. positive subcultures. *Pseudomonas* sp. genomic DNA was detected in 10 (45%) samples. These results are similar to findings of a study detecting bacterial endosymbionts in clinical *Acanthamoeba* spp. isolates where *Pseudomonas* spp. was the most prevalent (59.1%) endosymbiotic bacteria (Iovieno et al., 2011).

Invasion of and survival within FLA by bacteria is enhanced by effector proteins secreted by Type III or Type IV secretory systems expressed by majority of amoeba resistant bacteria (ARB). The released effector proteins manipulate FLA defense system in the favour of the ARB. This has been reported in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* which expresses Type III secretory system that releases effector proteins capable of lysing FLA (Kara et al., 2015). This could partly explain the drop in the number of positive *Acanthamoeba* spp. subcultures from primary cultures assuming that effector proteins released by *Pseudomonas* spp. could have lysed majority of primary *Acanthamoeba* spp isolates.

The detection of *Pseudomonas* sp. genomic DNA within *Acanthamoeba* spp. isolates confirms a potential health risk to patients at KNH ICU should these patients acquire ARM infections. This is because the mechanisms used by ARMs to evade amoebic killing could also be used to evade macrophage killing (Balczun and Scheid, 2017). Also, in the event that drug resistant bacteria coexist with drug susceptible bacteria within the same *Acanthamoeba* sp. host, the *Acanthamoeba* sp. could become a hot spot of drug resistant gene transfer which could end up increasing the spread of drug resistant bacteria (Bertelli and Greub, 2012; Fukumoto et al., 2016). The study confirmed the co-existence of *Pseudomonas* spp. within *Acanthamoeba* spp in a hospital set up. A study in South Africa that detected ARMs within FLA suggested the latter could act as survival and proliferation niches from where ARMs can be transmitted to immunosuppressed patients in hospitals (Muchesa et al., 2017). Although there was no statistically significant association between swab location and detection of *Pseudomonas* sp. genomic DNA, the present study confirms that *Acanthamoeba* spp. are ubiquitous and raises a concern for intensive care unit patients.

Of the 168 bacterial isolates identified in the study, 44.4% were *Staphylococcus aureus*, 27.5% coagulase negative Staphylococcus, 11.3% *Klebsiella* spp. and 10.7% *Pseudomonas* spp. among others. Majority of these bacteria have been implicated in nosocomial infections and resistance to the commonly used antibiotics. This findings are agreement with those of previous studies on agents of nosocomial infections at KNH ICU where between 12.5% and 25.8% prevalence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* have been reported (Ngumi, 2006; Inyama et al., 2011)

In the study, *Pseudomonas* sp. DNA was detected from *Acanthamoeba* spp. isolates obtained from 6.5% of the swabbed surfaces and equipment at KNH ICU. This indicates that, although infection control efforts focused on curbing transmission of the bacterium at KNH ICU have been put in place, *Pseudomonas* spp. could still be surviving within the ubiquitous protozoa which could reseed the
environment (Costa et al., 2010). This is important considering that the disinfectants currently used to clean surfaces in many health facilities are not effective against FLA such as *Acanthamoeba* spp. (Iqbal, Siddiqui and Khan, 2014). Consequently, control measures against the spread of drug resistant pathogenic bacteria could also be implicated especially in hospital setting.

**Conclusion**

The findings of this study demonstrate that *Acanthamoeba* sp., a free-living amoeba proliferates on various important surfaces and equipment in the ICU at KNH despite routine cleaning activities. This is most likely to be happening not only in other units of the hospital but in other hospitals as well. The study also demonstrates that *Acanthamoeba* spp. in the ICU may harbor and act as protected niche for potentially pathogenic bacteria such as *Pseudomonas* sp. from where the bacteria are re-introduced in the environment thus frustrating nosocomial infection control efforts. This calls for design and implementation of more rigorous cleaning, disinfection and sterilization strategies that are effective against FLA. Drug resistance in pathogenic bacteria is a major global health challenge and future studies to shed light on the role of *Acanthamoeba* spp. and other FLA in the spread of the antimicrobial drug resistance are needed.
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**Figures**
Figure 1

Eosin Stained Acanthamoeba Trophozoites Displaying Prominent Identification Features as Observed Under Light Microscope. (A to E) Trophozoites of Acanthamoeba spp. with acanthapodia (red arrow) used for locomotion and food uptake; (D and E) Trophozoites with a large food vacuole (blue arrow) and a nucleus (yellow arrow).

Figure 2

Acanthamoeba Cysts Showing Distinguishing Features on Different Staining Techniques as Observed Under Light Microscope. (A) Cyst on wet saline mount; (B, C and D) Eosin stained cysts and (E) Methylene blue stained cysts. Irregular wrinkled outer layer (green arrows); double wall enclosing the Ectocyst (purple arrow) and the inner Endocyst polygonal in shape (yellow arrow) and Nucleus (black arrow).
Figure 3

Gel Electrophoresis Image for Pseudomonas sp. Genomic DNA Detection by PCR Molecular weight marker QIAGEN GelPilot 100bp plus ladder (100 -1500bp) (cat.no.239035) was used to locate and identify amplified Pseudomonas sp. genomic DNA (618 base pairs) extracted from Acanthamoeba spp. isolates. Pseudomonas aeruginosa (ATCC 27853) was used as a positive control.