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Abstract
This paper examine the causal relationship between CO₂ emission, human capital, and economic growth using an autoregressive distributed lag model during a period of 1981–2017. The result showed that CO₂ emission has a negative effect in the long-run and positive effect in the short-run, while human capital has a positive effect in the long-run and negative effect in the short-run on economic growth in Ethiopia. The result of Granger causality showed that a bidirectional causal relationship from reduction of CO₂ emission to economic growth, from human capital to economic growth and a unidirectional causal relationship between human capital and reduction of CO₂ emission in Ethiopia. However, there is no significant causality from human capital to reduction of CO₂ emission. Policymakers should emphasize human capital development and establish policies, strategies, and programs related to the reduction of CO₂ emission in Ethiopia.
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1. Introduction
In recent research, the relationship between economic growth and climate change is an important issue. Climate change is an obstacle to economic growth (Mc Sweeney et al., 2010; Abidoye & Odusola, 2015; Robinson et al., 2013; Stern, 2008). The world economy is facing serious challenges with global climate change. To address this problem, there is international pressure to reduce carbon emissions for all countries. Change in the trend among economic activities, taking into account the environmental values (Costantini & Monni, 2008; Muttarak & Lutz, 2014; Striessnig, Lutz, & Patt, 2013). A change in the production system with technological changes helps to save energy and reduce emissions.

Carbon dioxide (CO₂) emission is the major source of global climate change (IPCC, 2013; World Bank, 2010). Global warming; rainfall fluctuations: disease; decreased agricultural production; melt of ice; countries flooded; increased locusts reproduction; animal death, and migration are major indicators of climate change. The loss of biodiversity, destruction of forests, air and water pollution, chemical and energy production risks are some challenges of the environment.

Climate change is the result of pollutants released into the atmosphere by human activity (World Bank, 2010; UN, 1992). Human- made activities have been to increase the concentration of CO₂ in the atmosphere. The concentration of CO₂ emission levels increasing in the atmosphere leads to increases global temperature more than two degrees (IPCC, 2013). The costs of climate change avoiding that to reduce about 1%- 3.5 % from the gain of global gross domestic product in 2050 (Stern, 2008).

Education is very important factor for people to understand about climate change and its impact on the environment and can reduce vulnerability to climate change (UNESCO, 2011). Human capital is an important issue that directly affects the economic growth or indirectly the generation of innovation and technology (Claude and Ralph, 2016). Economic growth depends on human capital (World Bank, 2018). The low levels of human capital development have negative consequences on economic activities.

Human capital and its linkage with CO₂ emissions are essential element for climate change. Human capital development is an important factors that to improve the production process (Becker, 1975; Smith, 1904; UN, 1990) and more efficient of utilization of resources and helps to produce more output using less energy and materials, which has completely reduced the problem of over exploitation of natural resources and the environment degradation (Dinda, 2009).

Ethiopia has experienced a fastest economy growth dependent mainly on agriculture but historically the country has been affected by weather extremes and vulnerable to the effects of climate change. In fact that, it does not have passable monitoring systems for the forecast of extreme events or the assessment of possible changes in weather patterns; as a result, the situations of human capital development still remain challenging (World Bank, 2018; UN, 2018). The status for the human development index is very low (UN, 2019).

As human capital development improves, economic growth can reduce carbon emissions in developing countries like Ethiopia. There are several studies observed that the causal linkage between carbon dioxide emission and economic growth (Abidoye & Odusola, 2015; Andersson & Karpestam, 2013; Aye & Edoja, 2017; Nuryartono & Rifai, 2017; Nyasha, Gwenhure, & Odhiambo, 2018; Robinson, Strzepek, & Cervigni, 2013; Alam, 2014; Azomahou et al., 2005; Jardón et al., 2017; Kasperowicz, 2015; Mikayilov et al., 2018; Ru et al., 2018; Saidi &
Hammamni, 2015; Wang et al., 2017) and human capital with CO₂ emission (Bashir et al., 2019; Bano et al., 2018; Bashir & Susetyo, 2018; Li & Ouyang, 2019).

However, some empirical literatures in Ethiopia have examined the potential impacts of climate change on economic growth and human capital on economic growth. This show, there is not much attention and have not been able to identified the interrelationships between human capital development and carbon dioxide emission. Now there are questions about the relationship between human capital development and CO₂ emissions or the relationship between CO₂ emissions and economic growth. Thus, Can human capital effect for the reduction of CO₂ emissions in terms of economic growth? Therefore, a study is needed to determine the link between human capitals; carbon emissions and economic growth in Ethiopia.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 theoretical background on human capital and carbon dioxide emission focusing on economic growth. Section 3 describes the data and the empirical methodology. Section 4 analyses the results, and Section 5 concludes.

2. Theoretical background of production function, human capital and pollution

The main purpose of this study is to examine the relationship between human capital, carbon dioxide emission and economic growth. Following Perman et al., (2003) considering a good economy, the production output is produced by of factor inputs such as labor, capital, raw materials or land. The production function of this economy can be expressed as follow:

$$Y_t = f(M, A_t, L_t)$$

(1)

Where $Y_t$ is aggregate output in t, $L_t$ is labor force in time t, $A_t$ is technology in time t and $M$ is a by-product (pollution) during production process when using of raw materials (natural resource) from the environment in time t. Pollution is inevitable and is related to production process. Pollution per unit output may be increasing or decreasing depending on the improvement of the production technology in economic activities. The empirically form of the model can be written as:

$$GDP = \beta_0 + \beta_1 HC_{t-1} + \beta_2 CO_{2t} + \epsilon_t$$

(2)

Where GDP is the economic growth, HC is human capital and CO2 emission can be captured as pollution of a by-product. The parameters $\beta_0$, $\beta_1$, and $\beta_2$ are the output elasticity’s with respect to human capital and CO2 respectively; $t$ is the time trend and $\epsilon$ is the error term.

3. Methodology

The time-series data of the gross domestic product (GDP) (current U.S. Dollars), human capital (HC) is proxy on years of schooling and returns to education and CO₂ is proxy for carbon dioxide damage (current U.S. Dollars) which cover the period from 1981 to 2017, based the data availability. The data has been obtained from the World Development Indicators of the World Bank.

In order to investigate whether data are stationary or not and using appropriate econometric techniques for analysis of the data. Here, to determine the stationary property of the data to be apply the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) (Dickey & Fuller, 1979) and the Phillips-Peter (PP) (Phillips and Perron, 1988) tests.

The Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) bounds testing approach used to confirm long-run and short-run relationships between economic growth, human capital and CO2 emissions, which was established by (Pesaran and Shin, 1999) and (Pesaran, Shin, and Smith, 2001). The ARDL approach has more benefits compared to other existing co-integration methods; First, It does not require all variables in the study to be integrated in the same order. Second, the model estimation is more effective when the sample size is small. Third, the long-term of the model obtains an unbalanced estimate (Marques, Fuinhas and Menegaki, 2016). The mathematical representation of ARDL used in this study is as follows:

$$\Delta \ln GDP_t = \phi + \beta_1 \Delta \ln GDP_{t-1} + \beta_2 \Delta \ln HC_{t-1} + \beta_3 \Delta \ln CO_{2t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{P-1} \delta_1 \Delta \ln GDP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{P} \delta_2 \Delta \ln HC_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{P} \delta_3 \Delta \ln CO_{2t-i} + \epsilon_t$$

(3)

Where all variables are mentioned earlier, $\phi$ is the deterministic drift parameter, $\Delta$ denotes changes to existing variables, and $P$ is the optimum lags lengths. The Wald test of F test is using to test the significance of the lagged levels of variables to determine the presences of the co-integration relationship between variables in the above equation 3. The test of null hypothesis $H_0$: $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \delta_3 = 0$, meaning that, null hypothesis cannot reject for the absence of co-integration of the variables, on the other hand, alternative $H_1$, $\delta_1 \neq \delta_2 \neq \delta_3 \neq 0$ which implies that the hypothesis of the existence of such a relationship can be rejected.

The ARDL bounds testing approach for co-integration analysis has two steps. The first step, to determine the existence of a long run co-integrating relationship among the variables by using the F-statistics or Wald-coefficient test by comparing the critical values proposed by Pesaran, Shin, and Smith, (2001). According to Pesaran, Shin, and Smith, (2001), there are two critical values, such as lower and upper bound critical for a given level of significance. The I(0) critical values referred to as lower-bound while the I(1) critical values referred to as upper-bound critical values. If the calculated F-Statistic is higher than the upper bounds, the null hypothesis of no co-integration is rejected, which is indicating, the existence of a long-run co-integration relationship between the
variables. If the calculated F-statistic is below the lower bound, the null hypothesis of no co-integration is cannot be rejected, meaning that the absence of a long-run equilibrium relationship. In the second step, estimating the coefficient of long-run and short-run and the coefficient of error-correction model (ECM). The error correction model based on the ARDL approach is established as follows.

\[
\Delta \ln GDP_t = \gamma_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \gamma_i \Delta \ln GDP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{q} \gamma_i \Delta \ln HC_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \gamma_i \ln CO_{2t-i} + \gamma_4 ECM_{t-1} + \epsilon_t \tag{4}
\]

Where ECM (-1) is the speed of adjustment of parameter which is must be negative and significant.

4. Results and discussion

This part is to examine the causal relationship between carbon dioxide emission, human capital, and economic growth in Ethiopia. To this effect, the time series data property was examined. The dynamic relationship was done using the ARDL model after conducting a pre-estimation test.

4.1. Unit root test

The stationary tests examine the stationary property of variables for the order of integration of each series of data. With this regard, to determine stationarity property of the variables used namely Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test and the Phillips Perron (PP) test (Table 1).

| ADF Level | t-Stat | P-Val |
|-----------|--------|-------|
| Intercept | 2.966  | 0.4525|
| Intercept and Trend | -0.678 | 0.9746|
| ADF 1st Diff. Intercept | -4.211 | 0.0006|
| ADF 1st Diff. Intercept and Trend | -5.331 | 0.0000|
| lnGDP | -1.318 | 0.6208|
| lnHC | -1.677 | 0.4431|
| lnCO2 | 0.9811 | -0.806|
| lnGDP | -0.579 | 0.9800|
| lnHC | 0.9811 | -1.061|
| lnCO2 | -0.556 | 0.9811|
| lnGDP | -1.318 | 0.6208|
| lnHC | -1.677 | 0.4431|
| lnCO2 | 0.9811 | -0.806|

ADF and PP tests with only intercept and intercept and trend at 1% level of significance

The ARDL bounds test is considering assumption of variables integrated at I (0) or I (1) (Pesaran, Shin, and Smith, 2001). Thus, the result of the ADF and the PP test of unit root test of the variables were non-stationarity at level and stationary at the first difference and reject of null hypothesis for the variables captured in this study. Therefore, all the variables were integrated at order one.

4.2. ARDL bounds tests

The existence of the long-run and short run relationships among the variables of interest was determined using ARDL bounds test (Table 2).

| Test Statistic | Value | K |
|----------------|-------|---|
| F-statistic    | 10.017| 2 |
| Critical Value Bounds Significance | 10 Bound | 11 Bound |
| 10%            | 3.17  | 4.14 |
| 5%             | 3.79  | 4.85 |
| 2.5%           | 4.41  | 5.52 |
| 1%             | 5.15  | 6.36 |

The F-statistic tests the null hypothesis of no co-integration

As seen in Table 2 the above, the joint significance of the variables using the ADRL bound test indicates the presence of long-run relationship (co-integration) at 1 percent level of significance. The calculated F-statistic (10.017) is more than the upper-bound of 1 percent critical value (6.36). Therefore, there is a long-run relationship between the variables. Once the variables have confirmed co-integration, the next step is to estimate the error
The study applied the Granger causality test to indicate the direction of causality between human capital, climate change (CO2), and economic growth. The results of Granger causality tests were reported in Table 4. The null hypothesis of the lnHC does not Granger Cause lnGDP; lnCO2 does not Granger Cause lnGDP; lnGDP does not Granger Cause lnHC; lnGDP does not Granger Cause lnCO2 and lnCO2 does not Granger Cause lnHC was rejected at the 5% significance level. The result was shown carbon dioxide emissions cause economic growth and the opposite is true. Also, human capital causes economic growth and the opposite is true. This means, there is a bidirectional causality of human capital and carbon dioxide emissions with economic growth. On the other hand, carbon dioxide emission causes human capital. However, the opposite is invalid. Meaning that, there is a unidirectional causality relation between carbon dioxide and human capital. The short-run estimation of the result, human capital contribution is very low for the rising of carbon dioxide emissions. This finding has been proven to be consistent with previous studies by (Bano et al., 2018).

As shown the short-run dynamics relationship between carbon dioxide emission, human capitals and economic growth. Carbon dioxide emission has a significant effect on economic growth in the short-run. But human capital has insignificant or less impact on economic growth in Ethiopia in the short term. Because, the status of human development index of the county is very low. Which means 50.9 percent of its population are illiterate (UN, 2019). The rural populations of the country still a serious challenge to access the education system.

According to the results in table 3, the coefficient of the error correction term must be a negative sign and statistically significant. Therefore, the coefficient of the error correction term is negative and statistically significant at 1 percent. This represents a 91.8 percent of the disequilibrium of the preceding year’s shock adjusting back to the long-run equilibrium in the present year.

### 4.3. Granger causality

The study applied the Granger-causality test to indicate the direction of causality between human capital, climate change (CO2), and economic growth. The results of Granger causality tests were reported in Table 4. The null hypothesis of the lnHC does not Granger Cause lnGDP; lnCO2 does not Granger Cause lnGDP; lnGDP does not Granger Cause lnHC; lnGDP does not Granger Cause lnCO2 and lnCO2 does not Granger Cause lnHC was rejected at the 5% significance level. The result was shown carbon dioxide emissions cause economic growth and the opposite is true. Also, human capital causes economic growth and the opposite is true. This means, there is a bidirectional causality of human capital and carbon dioxide emissions with economic growth. On the other hand, carbon dioxide emission causes human capital. However, the opposite is invalid. Meaning that, there is a unidirectional causality relation between carbon dioxide and human capital. The short-run estimation of the result, human capital contribution is very low for the rising of carbon dioxide emissions. This finding has been proven to be consistent with previous studies by (Bano et al., 2018).

### 4.4. Diagnostic and stability tests

The diagnostic tests employed to confirm the ARDL model fitness of test for heteroskedasticity; serial correlation;
normality and model specification were reported in Table 5. The problem of heteroskedasticity was tested by Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey test and the null hypothesis of the heteroskedasticity test cannot reject at the 5% level of significance. This means, there is no heteroskedasticity problem in the residuals. The serial correlation was tested by Breusch-Godfrey serial correlation LM test and null hypothesis of serial correlation cannot reject at the 5% level of significance. The result showed that no serial correlation. The functional misspecification was tested by the Ramsey RESET test and the null hypothesis of functional form cannot be rejected at the 5% significance level. This means, it is the specification form. The normality test by Jarque-Bera test and the null hypothesis of no normality distribution is cannot reject at 5% level of significant. The result show the residual is normality distributed.

Table 5: ARDL Model Diagnostic Tests

| Tests                           | Probability |
|--------------------------------|-------------|
| Heteroskedasticity Test        | 0.3031      |
| Breusch-Godfrey Serial Correlation LM Test | 0.6658      |
| Jarque-Bera Test normality test | 0.25939     |
| Ramsey RESET Test              | 0.5477      |
| Durbin-Watson d-statistic      | 1.854894    |

Statistical significance at 5% levels, respectively

In order to identify the structural stability of short-run and long-run coefficients in the ARDL model to applied the CUSUM and CUSUM of Squares residual tests. Fig. 1 and 2 show the CUSUM and CUSUM of Squares residual tests of the ARDL model lie within the 5% significance level. This means, both long-run and short-run coefficients were verify and validate the ARDL integration test.

5. Conclusion

This study examined the causal relationship between CO2 emission, human capital, and economic growth in Ethiopia. The study used autoregressive distributed lag bounds estimation technique and data were covered from the period of 1981-2017. The ARDL model used to investigate the existence of a long-run and short-run relationship among the variables; and the Granger causality within VAR to test the direction of causality between the variables.

Results indicate that carbon dioxide emissions variables have a negative impact and human capital positive impact on economic growth in the long-run and co-integration in the model economic growth as a dependent variable. The results of Granger causality from economic growth to carbon dioxide emissions or from carbon dioxide emissions to economic growth and from economic growth to human capital or from human capital to economic growth is a bidirectional causal relationship from reduction of CO2 emission to economic growth, from human capital to economic growth and a unidirectional causal relationship between human capital and reduction of CO2 emission. However, there is no significant Granger causality human capital to carbon dioxide emissions in the short run.
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