Gold, an alternative to platinum group metals in automobile catalytic converters
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Abstract Catalytic convertors based on the use of platinum, palladium and rhodium play a major role in the cleaning of automobile emissions. Gold, when dispersed as nano-sized particles, has demonstrated significant activity in the conversion of toxic components, including carbon monoxide, unburnt hydrocarbons and nitrogen oxides, in engine emissions and some advantages over the platinum group metals. Some research outcomes on the application of nano-sized gold for the conversion of these components are reviewed. Several key issues in relation to its performance and applicability in catalytic convertors such as low-temperature activity and thermal stability and the possibilities of substituting platinum group metals for automobile emission control with gold are discussed.
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Introduction

Incompletely burnt hydrocarbons (HCs), CO and nitrogen oxides (NOx; including NO and NO2) produced by gasoline and diesel internal combustion engine vehicles are major air pollutants in cities [1]. To comply with current environmental protection legislation, highly efficient catalysts for the complete elimination of these compounds are needed [1]. Since 1981, three-way catalysts (TWCs) have been used in vehicle emission control in many countries. Such catalytic converters have three simultaneous tasks [2]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Reduction of nitrogen oxides to nitrogen:} & \quad 2\text{NO}_x \rightarrow x\text{O}_2 + \text{N}_2 \\
\text{Oxidation of carbon monoxide to carbon dioxide:} & \quad 2\text{CO} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{CO}_2 \\
\text{Oxidation of HCs to CO}_2\text{and water:} & \quad \text{HC} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\end{align*}
\]

For the cleaning of exhaust from diesel engines, particulate matter, especially carbonaceous particulates, also need to be considered.

Commercial catalytic converters consist of three main components [3]:

1. The core, or substrate, which has a large surface area to support the catalyst and therefore is often called a catalyst support.
2. The washcoat, a very thin layer of paint or sealer on the catalyst support, is used to increase the core surface area by making it rougher and more irregular.
3. The catalyst itself. This is the key element in a catalytic converter and is composed most often of precious metals, i.e. platinum group metals (PGMs) including Pt, Pd and Rh [3]. Cerium, iron, manganese and nickel are also used, but each has its own limitations [3].

The annual global supply of Pt is only about 210 t [4]. The global Pt consumption has been doubled in the last decade, and production of automotive catalysts is the
biggest consumer of it, accounting for over 50% of the total Pt demand [5]. The annual world production of Rh is only 7 to 8 t [6]. The rarity of these metals imposes a requirement for their sustainable consumption and eventual replacement with less scarce metals [7]. Au is more readily available and has a lower market price than Pt and Rh [8]. As a consequence, there has been an increasing interest in the application of Au in automobile emission control since it has demonstrated excellent catalytic activity when dispersed as fine particles [9, 10].

Apart from considerations regarding the availability and cost of PGMs, some other essential requirements for TWCs must be taken into account. First of all, as lean-burn engines (fuel burning in excess of air) are becoming more popular for economic reasons, simultaneous conversion of NOx, CO and HCs under oxidising conditions with conventional TWCs becomes difficult without using complicated technology [11]. Secondly, as the low-temperature activity of TWCs is very limited, “cold-start” problems are likely to be encountered [12]. This review discusses the catalytic applications of nano-sized Au in the conversion of NOx, CO and HCs reported in literature and the feasibility of substituting PGMs in automotive catalytic converters with Au. Some important considerations in the application of a nano-sized Au catalyst for emission control, including its low-temperature activity and thermal stability, and possible approaches towards overcoming its inherent limitations are highlighted.

Catalytic conversion of pollutants in automobile emissions using Au nanoparticles

It has long been believed that gold is too inert to be useful as a catalyst [13]. Nevertheless, in the late 1980s, Haruta et al. [14] reported that a supported gold catalyst was able to catalyse the oxidation of CO to CO2 even at −70°C. The catalyst they prepared consisted of gold dispersed as nanoparticles on a support, and the high catalytic activity was attributed to the small particle size of Au [14]. Compared to their bulk counterparts, gold nanoparticles (GNPs) often exhibit outstanding catalytic properties resulting from their nanometer size, which gives them increased surface-to-volume ratios and chemical potentials [15]. Following the pioneering study of Haruta, there has been significant research undertaken, and encouraging results have been reported on the application of GNPs to the removal of toxic components from automobile emissions.

There are two major concerns associated with the use of GNP-based catalysts for automobile emission control. On one hand, the cold-start temperature of an engine is insufficient to achieve a high catalytic efficiency for the conversion of pollutants [16]. On the other hand, the normal temperature of the exhaust after the start-up period is too high for GNPs’ stability [17]. Consequently, a large number of studies have focussed on the improvement of the low-temperature activity and thermal stability of GNPs catalysts. Numerous studies have shown that GNP catalysts can remove CO, HCs and NOx simultaneously under proper conditions, and this is illustrated with representative literature results.

Selective catalytic reduction of NOx with hydrocarbons and CO

TWCs used in modern cars can completely convert CO, HCs and NOx emitted from traditional stoichiometric gasoline engines [18]. However, in lean-burn engines, particularly diesels that can provide better fuel economy, direct reduction of NOx under lean conditions is practically impossible, although the oxidation of CO and HCs is fairly straightforward [19]. Control of NOx from lean-burn engines demands an additional approach which may entail the use of a NOx-storage catalyst (NSC) with periodic reductive regeneration, or selective catalytic reduction with ammonia (NH3-SCR) or hydrocarbons (HC-SCR) [19].

NSC is based on periodic adsorption and subsequent reduction of NOx [20]. The catalysts in such applications contain a precious metal such as Pt which promotes the oxidation of NO to NO2. The resulting NO2 is then stored on basic adsorbents, e.g. Al2O3 and BaO. When the storage capacity is reached, rich exhaust conditions are established momentarily by engine management systems. As a result, NO2 desorbs from the adsorbent and is reduced by H2, CO and HCs present in the exhaust gas [20]. However, a serious constraint of the NSC technique arises from the susceptibility of the basic adsorbents to sulphur poisoning [20].

In NH3-SCR, NOx are selectively reduced by ammonia to nitrogen over conventional TWCs [21] or TiO2 supported V2O5/WO3 catalysts [22]. The required ammonia can be produced on board by decomposition of urea. Pt-based catalysts are not effective for this purpose at moderate and high temperatures [21]. Also, discharge of nitric acid is another disadvantage of this process [21].

Under actual diesel exhaust conditions, only moderate NOx conversion can be obtained with platinum catalysts through the HC-SRC approach unless high ratios of HCs to NOx are used. However, in this case, the process will become uneconomic because of the amount of HCs consumed [19]. Also, the comparatively low temperature of the diesel exhaust, which is usually in the range 100–400°C, makes the reduction of NOx very difficult because of the low activities of conventional catalysts below 200°C.
has been investigated over catalysts supported on six different metal oxide carriers (CeO_2, Al_2O_3, TiO_2, yttria-stabilised zirconia, ZrO_2 and W^{6+}-doped TiO_2) [29]. It was found that the nature of the dispersed metal affects strongly the maximum NO conversion efficiency and the selectivity towards N_2. For a given support, Pt catalysts are always more active for both NO reduction and propene oxidation but are much less selective towards the formation of N_2 compared to Rh catalysts. Rh catalysts are capable of selectively reducing NO, but their activity is suppressed by increasing the O_2 feed concentration, possibly due to the formation of less reactive Rh oxides. The overall conversion efficiency of NO is low, and the N_2 selectivity is unsatisfactory [29].

Dispersed Au catalysts have been studied for this purpose in recent years. Au supported on several metal oxides has been prepared with high dispersion by deposition–precipitation and co-precipitation methods [26]. These catalysts are active for the reduction of NO with C_3H_6 in the presence of O_2 and moisture [26]. The reaction temperature required for the reduction process varied depending on the type of the metal oxide support and increased in the order of α-Fe_2O_3-ZnO<MgO-TiO_2<Al_2O_3. The highest conversion to N_2 (about 70%) at 427°C was obtained over an Au/Al_2O_3 catalyst with a gold loading of 0.1–0.2% (w/w). The conversion of NO to N_2 over Au/Al_2O_3 was slightly increased by the presence of water. O_2 enhanced the conversion of NO. Taking into account that NO_2 rather than NO reacted with C_3H_6 at lower temperatures, it was suggested that the NO reduction could take place through the oxidation of NO to NO_2 and the subsequent reaction of NO_2 with C_3H_6 [26]. In order to improve the catalytic activity of Au/Al_2O_3, Mn_2O_3, which catalyses the NO oxidation to NO_2, was combined with Au/Al_2O_3 through mechanical mixing [30]. The mixture was markedly active for the reduction of NO with HCs including ethene, ethane, propene, propane and methane in the presence of O_2 and moisture at temperatures of 250°C to 500°C [30]. The performance of this catalyst is comparable to that of a Pt-loaded MFI zeolite at low temperatures, and it is considered as one of the most promising catalysts for practical emission control of lean-burn gasoline and diesel engines [30].

The effect of the gold precursor and reaction conditions on the catalytic activity of gold–alumina catalysts prepared by the sol–gel process was studied in detail by Seker and Gulari [31]. Gold catalysts prepared by the sol–gel method were found to be the most active catalysts reported in the literature with the Au/Al_2O_3 catalyst showing the highest activity. Increasing the feed oxygen concentration showed many beneficial effects on catalytic activity and also resulted in the broadening of the catalyst’s temperature window of activity. Interestingly, however, the N_2 selectivity of the catalyst was
strongly dependent on the amount of water in the feed. The 100% N₂ selectivity at peak conversion, obtained under dry reaction conditions, decreased to 58% when there was only 2% water in the feed [31].

The reduction of NO by propene in the presence of excess O₂ over mechanical mixtures of Au/Al₂O₃ with a bulk oxide has been investigated [32]. When mixed with Au/Al₂O₃, MnOₓ and CoOₓ and, to a much greater extent, CeO₂, act synergistically with this catalyst, thus greatly enhancing its SCR performance [32]. In another study on HC-SCR of NO under diesel engine exhaust conditions, it was shown that an Au/Al₂O₃ catalyst exhibited high activity and 100% selectivity towards the formation of N₂ in the temperature range of 300–350°C. At higher temperature, the NO conversion decreased due to competitive oxidation of the reductants. Addition of H₂ to the feed enhanced the catalytic activity for the NO conversion to N₂ [33].

A common problem with supported Au catalysts for the HC-SCR of NOₓ is that the maximum efficiency can only be reached when the temperature is above 300°C [30–33]. Therefore, improvement in their low-temperature performance remains a major challenge. It is noteworthy that other reductive components in the exhaust gas, such as CO and H₂, are also capable of reducing NOₓ over supported Au catalysts. In one study, it was shown that under O₂-free conditions, Au-based catalysts easily outperform those based on PGMs for the reduction of NO by CO. Considerable activity was observed even at 27°C with complete conversion of NO to N₂ occurring at about 150°C [34]. However, reduction of NO to N₂ under more efficient lean-burn conditions is more difficult [34]. The reduction of NOₓ by CO was also studied over Au catalysts supported on CeO₂ and CeO₂–Al₂O₃, and the catalysts exhibited a high and stable activity and 100% selectivity towards the formation of N₂ at 200°C [35].

**Low-temperature oxidation of CO**

Considerable amounts of pollutants in automobile emissions are generated within the first 5 min after starting the engine when the temperature of the catalytic converter is not high enough for efficient conversion to occur [16, 36]. The conversion efficiency of commercial catalytic converters declines very steeply at temperatures below 350°C and is practically zero during the starting and warming-up periods [37]. These cold-start emissions impose a serious pollution problem and require the identification of new catalysts that can operate at low temperatures to achieve their abatement [38].

Au-based catalysts have been shown to have the best low-temperature activity for CO oxidation of all catalysts [39], and at low temperatures, their activity exceeds that of catalysts based on PGMs by about a factor of 5 [40, 41]. In most of these studies, the GNPs, which are generally between 2 and 10 nm in size, have been supported on various metal oxides. By loading Au on CeO₂, CO was completely oxidised at 150°C [42]. A Au supported on FeOₓ/SiO₂ catalyst, prepared by a precipitation–deposition technique, achieved complete conversion of CO at 77°C [43]. GNPs supported on sodium titanate nanotubes produced a complete conversion of CO at −43°C [44].

It has been pointed out that the performance of a gold catalyst is determined by its particle size and the support material [46]. There is some disagreement on the catalytic performance achieved using different support materials, but the broad consensus is that reducible oxides of variable stoichiometry such as MnOₓ, TiOₓ, FeOₓ and CoOₓ contribute significantly to the catalytic activity of Au in the oxidation of CO, whereas oxides of fixed stoichiometry, such as Al₂O₃, do not [47]. Supported Au catalysts prepared on oxides of fixed stoichiometry (e.g. TiO₂, ZrO₂, CeO₂, Al₂O₃, SiO₂ and MgO) have also shown that reducible oxides (e.g. CeO₂, TiO₂ and ZrO₂) enhance the catalytic activity [48]. Au on Fe₂O₃ is active for this reaction at temperatures as low as −76°C [14], a fact which alerted the wider scientific community to the unusual properties of GNPs. Excellent and sustained activity was also obtained when catalytic GNPs were combined with the already catalytic MnOₓ–CuOₓ mixtures [49].

It was also shown that the catalyst preparation technique has a significant impact on the performance of GNPs catalysts, and hence, research has focussed on the use of various techniques to prepare supported GNPs such as co-precipitation [41], chemical vapour deposition [50], impregnation [51], grafting [52], photo-deposition [53], physical mixing [54], low-energy cluster beam deposition [55], adsorption of Au colloids on metal oxides [56] and ion-exchange [57]. In general, GNP catalysts prepared with the deposition–precipitation technique exhibited the highest activity [41].

A successful application requires a catalyst not only to show high catalytic activity but also good thermal stability against sintering. In this respect, GNP catalysts often fail because they lose much of their activity after calcination at high temperature [17]. It is generally recognized that the deactivation of the catalyst is caused by the growth of GNPs and sintering of the support [17]. Therefore, stabilisation of GNPs remains a challenge in developing...
Au catalysts. It has been demonstrated that the support material and the preparation approach play a major role in determining the thermal stability of Au catalysts [17]. A La2O3-doped Fe2O3 support was prepared by co-precipitation, and Au was loaded by deposition–precipitation. The thermal stability of the Au catalyst was enhanced considerably by La2O3 doping. Even after calcination at 500°C for 12 h, a La2O3-doped catalyst could convert 90% of CO at 28.9°C, whereas a catalyst without La2O3 achieved the same conversion efficiency at 43.5°C [17].

To overcome the low activity problem of Au catalysts prepared by impregnation, a two-step procedure was developed [58]. The resulting GNPs supported on Al2O3 had an average size of 2.4 nm. The reactivity for CO oxidation at room temperature was comparable to that for catalysts prepared by deposition–precipitation. These catalysts were stable to hydrothermal sintering, with average particle size around 4 nm after sintering in 10 mol% H2O at 600°C for 100 h [58]. The activity of Au/Al2O3 and Au/MOx/Al2O3 (M=Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu and Zn) was studied for low-temperature oxidation of CO and CH4 [59]. Generally, addition of MOx to Au/Al2O3 has been found to stabilise the small Au particles present initially on the support in heat treatments involving temperatures of up to 700°C. Unfortunately, the activity of the catalysts for low-temperature oxidation of CH4 was very low [59].

The oxidation behaviour of CO in the presence of HCs can be quite different. In general, CO oxidation is less efficient in the presence of HCs at low temperatures. For example, it was observed that the presence of acetylene strongly inhibited the oxidation of CO, but CO was readily oxidised once acetylene was completely oxidised [57]. It was assumed that this inhibition was caused by the favoured adsorption of C2H2 over CO on the catalyst [57]. Therefore, low-temperature oxidation of HCs is essential for the conversion of CO. The influence of metal oxides (e.g. CeO2, TiO2 and ZrO2) as a support for GNPs on the activity of the corresponding catalysts for the oxidation of CO and C2H2 was investigated. By using Au/CeO2, complete oxidation of CO and C2H2 in their mixture was achieved at 200°C and 180°C, respectively, whereas much higher temperatures were needed for their complete oxidation with Au/TiO2 or Au/ZrO2 catalysts [60]. This clearly indicated the possibility of low-temperature oxidation of both CO and HCs by using proper support materials. It is noteworthy that under lean-burn conditions, the emission of CO is minimal, implying that more attention needs to be paid to the oxidation of HCs [61].

Catalytic oxidation of hydrocarbons

There are two classes of unburnt hydrocarbons in exhaust gas, namely small molecules that produce intermediate combustion products resulting from the breaking of the C–C chain and larger molecules that are formed by pyro-synthesis in hot, fuel-rich zones (e.g. benzene, toluene, xylene and various polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons). Small molecules are usually difficult to oxidise and are the main products of incomplete combustion [57].

GNPs have displayed significant activity in the combustion of these organic compounds [62]. High-surface area CeO2 prepared by precipitation and calcination at low temperatures showed high-surface oxygen reducibility and high activity for benzene oxidation at low temperature [63]. The performance of Au supported on high-surface area CeO2 for the oxidation of a mixture of CO and benzene is superior to that of Au supported on TiO2, despite the excellent activity of the latter for low-temperature CO oxidation. At 250°C, both CO and benzene conversion were greater than 90% on CeO2 supported GNPs. Moisture is beneficial for the oxidation activity of CeO2-supported Au catalysts, which further improves their suitability for automobile emission control [63]. Another study showed that loading of GNPs onto a FeOx/TiO2 support significantly improved the CO2 selectivity (with CO2 as the final oxidation product) in the oxidation of toluene [64]. A similar effect was observed for the oxidation of propene [65]. A study on the oxidation of CO, hexane and benzene by air with Au supported on CeO2 and ZrO2 showed that 100% conversion of hexane was obtained with Au/Ce0.5Zr0.5O2 at 300°C and that full conversion of benzene was reached at 250°C with Au/CeO2 [66]. These HCs inhibited the oxidation of CO, whereas CO promoted the oxidation of the HCs [66].

The performance of unpromoted and promoted with MOx (M: alkali, alkaline earth, transition metals or cerium) Au/Al2O3 catalysts has been studied for combustion of saturated hydrocarbons including methane and propane [67]. Temperatures above 400°C are required to oxidise CH4, compared to temperatures of only above 250°C for C3H8. The addition of various MOx to Au/Al2O3 improved the catalytic activity in both methane and propane oxidation. For methane oxidation, the most efficient promoters of the catalytic activity of Au/Al2O3 were found to be FeOx and MnOx. These results and the results obtained by other authors suggest that the role of the alkali or alkaline earth metal oxides is related to the stabilisation of the GNPs, whereas transition metal oxides and CeO2 may be involved in oxygen activation [67].

The research outlined above has shown that complete oxidation of hydrocarbons requires higher temperatures than that for CO and NOx. In general, unsaturated hydrocarbons are easier to be oxidised than saturated hydrocarbons. For instance, by using an alumina supported Au catalyst, complete oxidation of ethylene occurs at 300°C, while complete oxidation of ethane can only be achieved at...
700°C [38, 57]. Of the saturated hydrocarbons, methane is the most resistant to complete oxidation [68]. Usually, a temperature of at least 500°C is needed for its complete oxidation [1, 67]. However, some promising results have been reported on the oxidation of saturated hydrocarbons at lower temperatures. In one study, Au catalysts on oxide supports for the total oxidation of methane, ethane and propane were prepared by co-precipitation with CoO, MnO₂, CuO, Fe₂O₃ and CeO₂; deposition–precipitation with TiO₂ or impregnation with CoO and MnO₂ [38]. Many of the oxide supports act as active alkane activation catalysts at high temperatures (e.g. MnO₂ and CoO₂). The addition of Au to these active materials for alkane combustion has led to a substantial increase in the catalytic activity in all cases investigated [38]. Au/CoO₂ was identified as the most effective catalyst for alkane oxidation and was found to retain a constant high activity over a 48-hour test period. Interestingly, no correlation between alkane oxidation and CO oxidation was established in these studies, indicating that different mechanisms of oxygen activation and/or different active sites were involved [38]. It was shown that 95% of CH₄ was oxidised at 300°C which was much better than with the other catalysts hitherto reported. Oxidation of higher alkanes is more easily achieved than that of CH₄. For example, C₂H₆ and C₃H₈ were completely oxidised at 250°C and 200°C, respectively, using Au/CoO₂ [38]. These results imply that complete combustion of HCs in exhaust gases could be readily achieved with the aid of a proper Au catalyst once the temperature of the catalyst has reached a suitable level (∼300°C).

The use of supported gold alloys for catalytic conversion of engine emissions

While GNP catalysts have displayed several advantages over conventional TWCs, the use of gold alloys can be expected to further improve automobile emission control. The structural and catalytic properties of SiO₂- and TiO₂-supported Pt–Au bimetallic catalysts prepared by co-impregnation were compared with catalysts of similar composition, synthesized from a Pt₂Au₄(C≡CBut)₈ cluster precursor [69]. The smallest metal particles were formed when the bimetallic cluster was used as a precursor and TiO₂ as the support. The cluster-derived Pt₂Au₄/TiO₂ catalysts exhibited low-temperature CO oxidation activity [69].

Mixed-metal Au/Pt catalysts were also prepared by means of polyamidoamine dendrimers [70]. Removal of the organic dendrimer by heating at 300°C under an oxygen stream, followed by treatment at 300°C under flowing hydrogen, gave intimately mixed Au/Pt catalysts with metal particle sizes of <4 nm. The stabilised nanoparticles were then adsorbed onto oxide supports (e.g. SiO₂ or TiO₂) and thermally activated to produce supported mixed-metal catalysts. The resulting Au₁₆Pt₁₆/SiO₂ catalyst had a light-off temperature of ∼30°C, whereas the equivalent Au₃₂ catalyst lighted off at ∼130°C and the equivalent Pt₃₂ catalyst at ∼80°C [70]. The catalytic activity of this catalyst was investigated in CO oxidation, toluene hydrogenation and the reduction of NO by propene.

Low-temperature CO oxidation over a Pd/Au nanoalloy catalysts supported on silica was studied. A mixture of Pd (90%)/Au (10%) (72.5%) and Pd (31%)/Au (69%) (27.5%) was found to be remarkably active at 27°C while at the same time this catalyst was more stable than a pure Au catalyst [71].

A computational study of the catalytic activity of Pd atoms incorporated into Au(111) facet showed that the Pd monomer, dimer and trimer exhibited high activity for the oxidation of CO according to Eq. 4 which proceeded via an association mechanism in which the intermediate OOCO was formed [72].

\[
\text{CO} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{O}
\]  

(4)

Significantly, a low-energy barrier (0.19–0.32 eV) was found for the formation of OOCO. The atomic oxygen produced by this reaction (Eq. 4) was removed by another CO on a Pd-decorated Au cluster via Langmuir–Hinshelwood or Eley–Rideal mechanism. This study indicated that Pd ensembles incorporated into Au(111) facet markedly improved the catalytic activity of the gold nanoclusters [72]. These results indicate improved catalytic performance, and some examples may also show a decrease in the cost of the alloyed catalysts relative to pure Au catalysts.

A practical example: a GNP catalyst for the cleanup of diesel engine emissions

Although there are numerous publications on the application of GNP catalysts for the elimination of industrial pollutants or some of their bi-component mixtures emitted from automotive engines, reports on the elimination of mixtures of NOₓ, CO and HCs are scarce. A major obstacle to achieving this goal is the lower thermal stability of GNP catalysts which is dictated by the low melting point of Au of 1,068°C, compared to 1,769°C for Pt. It is assumed that this probably means that GNP catalysts may not be suitable for use in TWCs that operate at high temperatures (>600°C) [73].

Nevertheless, Nanostellar Inc., a USA-based company, has announced a diesel emissions cleaning technology with the introduction of Au as an oxidation catalyst [73]. The gold-based catalyst, NS Gold™, is now in production with one of the four largest European diesel car manufacturers...
[74]. It is reported that this catalyst enables manufacturers of light- and heavy-duty diesel engines to reduce noxious emissions by as much as 40% more than with Pt catalysts at the same cost [75, 76]. When compared to Pt–Pd catalysts, the use of NS Gold™ can potentially increase HCs oxidation activity by 15–20% at equal precious metal cost. With a tri-metal formulation of Au, Pt and Pd, NS Gold™ allows the proportions of each metal to be adjusted to meet engine-specific performance targets and stabilise the overall cost of diesel catalysts, despite fluctuations in the price of precious metals [75, 76]. It is reported that NS Gold™ is potentially suitable for treating all lean-burn exhausts. Applications include, but are not limited to, treating particulates and hydrocarbons in soot filters, stationary-source volatile organic compound emissions and ammonia slip in SCR systems [75, 76].

Future directions and challenges

As mentioned above, major concerns in the application of Au-based catalysts in automobile emission control include their low-temperature activity and thermal stability. These may be the main challenges and focuses in any future study. Diesel engine emissions have lower temperatures than those produced by petrol engines [77]. This could be the main reason that GNPs are more applicable to diesel engine emissions. Practical applications of GNPs catalyst in the treatment of exhausts from gasoline engines have not yet been reported. However, such catalysts have some unique properties compared to their PGM-based counterparts. PGM-based TWCs lack low-temperature start-up activity and exhibit problems during prolonged idling, resulting in the catalyst monolith not operating until a light-off temperature of about 300°C (at this temperature a catalytic converter achieves a 50% conversion) required for the oxidation of HCs. GNPs catalysts have better low-temperature activity [73]. In particular, they have shown activity for the reduction of NOx in lean-burn exhaust at both high and relatively low temperatures, although their low-temperature activity remains to be improved [30].

To compensate for the unsatisfied low-temperature activity of catalytic converters, several potential solutions for the cold-start problem have been proposed. One strategy is based on quickly bringing the catalyst to working temperature, including close-coupled or manifold mounted catalysts [75], electrically heated catalysts [78], exhaust gas ignition (EGI) [79, 80] and combustion heated catalysts [81]. A variety of heat storage devices have also been suggested, all of which work on the principle of retaining heat from the time of the last car’s shutdown until the following cold start [82]. Another strategy is to trap hydrocarbons during cold start for release after the catalyst has reached operating temperature [79, 83]. In general, all of these cold-start solutions benefit further through the application of improved catalyst technology (so-called low-light-off catalysts) which allows the catalyst to operate at lower temperatures and with leaner starting engines (with the exception of EGI). These engines provide an exhaust with less unburnt HCs and more oxygen at start-up [36].

A practical strategy to rapidly elevate the catalyst’s temperature in the start-up period is to oxidise CO quickly and heat the catalyst using the heat released from this reaction [36]. As mentioned above, Au catalysts have excellent low-temperature activity in the oxidation of CO. However, some HCs are capable of strongly inhibiting this oxidation process [57]. A novel technology combining a HCs trapping system and ambient temperature CO oxidation has shown an excellent performance in the cold-start engine emission treatment using a Pd–Pt catalyst [36]. It can be assumed that such a combined technology is also feasible for Au catalytic systems. Further improvement of the low-temperature activity of Au catalysts for the reduction of NOx and the oxidation of HCs may be the most economic solution to this issue.

There are also reports on the improvement of the thermal stability of GNPs based on different approaches. An Au/SiO2 catalyst was prepared by growing 2.5 nm GNPs on a fused silica support using the physical vapour deposition technique of magnetron sputtering. The resulting catalyst was thermally stable up to at least 500°C when sealed in an oxygen containing environment [84]. This high thermal stability was attributed to the absence of residual impurities, ensured by the halide-free production method and a strong bond (about 3 eV per bond, estimated by density functional theory calculations) between gold and defects at the silica surface. The Au/SiO2 catalyst has been found to be less active for CO oxidation than Au/TiO2 catalysts. However, it can be regenerated far more easily, thus allowing full recovery of its activity after deactivation [84]. The addition of different metal oxides (MOx) to Au/Al2O3 catalysts, used for the total oxidation of methane, has shown that MOx stabilise GNPs on Al2O3 and the resulting catalysts are thermally resistant up to 700°C [59]. Improvement in the thermal stability of GNPs-based catalysts has been also achieved by doping with La2O3 [17]. It is evident that further improvement of the overall performance of Au catalysts will be mainly decided by the use of the best support materials [17, 26, 48, 85].

Although the thermal stability of GNPs catalysts seems to be quite limited due to their low Tammann temperature of 395°C, where atomic surface mobility becomes significant [86], a modification of the mechanical manifold for the delivery of the exhaust emission to the catalyst may provide a possible way of optimizing the temperature of the catalyst and its efficiency. For example, direct introduction of the exhaust gas through the catalyst during the start-up
period and leading the exhaust to the catalyst through a cooling manifold after the start-up period may maximize the activity of the catalyst during the start-up period and minimize the high temperature effect on the catalyst’s stability afterwards.

Poisoning by sulphur compounds is also a significant concern in catalytic converters. There have been reports on the development of sulphur-resistant catalysts based on supported GNPs [87]. Results show that the developed Au/FeO catalyst can remove CO completely at −22°C and has a high resistance to humidity and sulphur poisoning [87]. The addition of CeO₂ to an Au/Al₂O₃ catalyst improved the sulphur tolerance for CO oxidation [88]. A support for a catalyst for controlling exhaust emissions which contained a high-surface area γ-alumina with a monomolecular layer of a second oxide (e.g. TiO₂, CeO₂ or ZrO₂) was reported [89]. It was claimed that catalysts prepared by using this support displayed outstanding thermal stability and resistance to sulphur poisoning [89]. A method was also described for preparing GNPs supported on CoOₓ which exhibited high resistance to SO₂ and H₂O and high catalytic activity for the selective oxidation of NO at a relatively low temperature of 120°C [90]. Interestingly, it was found that SO₂ enhanced the catalytic activity of Au/CoOₓ in the oxidation of NO [91]. However, development of catalysts with high sulphur resistance remains a challenge.

In summary, it is well-known that very low amounts of NOₓ are produced during the start-up stage of engines and these oxides can be reduced with HCs after this stage in the presence of excess O₂ and H₂O when supported GNPs are used. Also, numerous results have shown that GNPs can catalyse the oxidation of HCs below the Tammann temperature of gold. By doping some metal oxides such as La₂O₃, CeO₂ and CoOₓ, one can further improve the thermal stability and the catalytic activity of GNPs in the abatement of NOₓ, CO and HCs. In addition, by using combined technologies, such as HCs trapping systems in combination with low-temperature CO oxidation, one can largely overcome the inherent drawbacks of GNPs. Thus, it seems reasonable to assume that GNPs can become a viable substitute for PGMs in the treatment of automobile emissions.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

1. Bukhtiyarova MV, Ivanova AS, Slavinskaya EM, Plyasova LM, Rogov VA, Kaichev VV, Nosko AS (2011) Fuel 90:1245–1256
2. Bera P, Hegde MS (2010) J Ind Inst Sci 90:299–325
3. Kotsakis GC, Stamatelos AM (1997) Prog Energy Combust Sci 23:1–39
4. Glaister BJ, Mudd GM (2010) Miner Eng 23:438–450
5. Parmon VN, Simagina VI, Milova LP (2010) Catal Indus 2:199–205
6. Rhodium. http://periodic.lanl.gov/elements/45.html
7. Will gold replace platinum in catalytic converters? http://seekingalpha.com/article/58794-will-gold-replace-platinum-in-catalytic-converters
8. Müller J, Frimmel HE (2010) Open Geol J 4:29–34
9. Cortie MB, van der Lingen E (2002) Mater Forum 26:1–14
10. Patrick G, van der Lingen E, Corri CW, Holliday RJ, Thompson DT (2004) Topics Catal 30/31:273–279
11. Kim MH (2007) Korean J Chem Eng 24:209–222
12. Gao F, Wang Y, Goodman DW (2009) J Catal 268:115–121
13. Bond GC (2001) J Catal 209:117–119
14. Haruta M, Kobayashi T, Sano H, Yamada M (1987) Chem Lett 16:405–408
15. Zhou X, Xu W, Liu G, Panda D, Chen P (2010) J Am Chem Soc 132:138–146
16. Cesur I (2011) Inter J Physical Sci 6:418–424
17. Liu R, Zhang C, Ma J (2010) J Rare Earths 28:376–382
18. Twigg MV (2005) Phil Trans R Soc A 363:1013–1033
19. Twigg MV (2007) Appl Catal B 70:2–15
20. Narula CK, Daw CS, Hoard JWH, Hammer T (2005) Int J Appl Ceram Technol 2:452–466
21. Harrison B, Diwell AF, Wyatt M (1985) Platinum Metals Rev 29:50–56
22. Tufano V, Turco M (1993) Appl Catal B 2:9–26
23. Komatsu T, Tomokuni K, Konishi M, Shirai T (2010) The Open Catal J 3:24–29
24. Iwamoto M, Furukawa H, Mine Y, Uemura F, Mikuriya S, Kagawa S (1986) J Chem Soc Chem Commun 1272–1273
25. Kikuchi E, Yogo K (1994) Catal Today 22:73–86
26. Ueda A, Oshima T, Haruta M (1997) Appl Catal B 12:81–93
27. Amiridis MD, Roberts KL, Pereira CJ (1997) Appl Catal B 132:138–146
28. Shen S-C, Kawi S (2001) Catal Today 65:101–103
29. Kotsifa A, Koniarides DI, Verykios XE (2008) Appl Catal B 80:260–270
30. Ueda A, Haruta M (1998) Appl Catal B 18:115–121
31. Seker E, Gulari E (2002) Appl Catal A 232:203–217
32. Niakolas D, Andronikou C, Papadopoulou C, Matralis H (2006) Catal Today 112:184–187
33. Miquerol P, Granger P, Jagtap N, Umbarkar S, Dongare M, Dujardin C (2010) J Mol Catal A 322:90–97
34. Ueda A, Haruta M (1999) Gold Bull 32:3–11
35. Ilieva L, Pantaleo G, Ivanov I, Venezia AM, Andreeva D (2006) Appl Catal B 65:101–109
36. Lafyatis DS, Ansell GP, Bennett SC, Frosta JC, Millington PJ, Rajaram RR, Walker AP, Ballinger TH (1998) Appl Catal B 18:123–135
37. Korin E, Reshef R, Tshernichovesky D, Sher E (1999) Reducing cold-start emission from internal combustion engines by means of a catalytic converter embedded in a phase-change material. Proc Instrn Mech Engrs D 575–583
38. Solsona BE, García T, Jones C, Taylor SH, Carley AF, Hutchings GJ (2006) Appl Catal A 312:67–76
39. Grisel R, Westrate KJ, Gluhoi A, Nieuwenhuys BE (2002) Gold Bull 35:39–45
40. Dekkers MAP, Lippits MJ, Nieuwenhuys BE (1998) Catal Lett 56:195
41. Haruta M, Yamada N, Kobayashi T, Iijima S (1989) J Catal 115:301–309
42. Carabineiro SAC, Silva AMT, Dražić G, Tavares PB, Figueiredo JL (2010) Catal Today 154:215
