Cellular Diversity and Differential Subcellular Localization of the G-Protein Gαo Subunit in the Mouse Cerebellum
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Heterotrimeric guanine nucleotide-binding proteins (G proteins) transduce signals from G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) to effector ion channels and enzymes. Gαo, a member of the pertussis toxin-sensitive Gi/o family, is widely expressed in the brain, although its role within a neuronal context remains largely unknown. Using immunohistochemical and quantitative immunoelectron microscopy techniques, we have investigated the expression, cellular and subcellular localization of Gαo in the cerebellar cortex. Histoblot revealed that Gαo is expressed in many brain regions, including the cerebellum. At the cellular level, Gαo protein was distributed in Purkinje cells, basket cells, stellate cells, granule cells and Golgi cells. At the subcellular level, pre-embedding immunoelectron microscopy revealed mainly a postsynaptic localization of Gαo along the extrasynaptic plasma membrane of Purkinje cell dendritic shafts and spines, and dendrites of basket, stellate and granule cells. To a lesser extent, immunolabeling for Gαo was localized in different types of axon terminals establishing excitatory synapses. Moreover, post-embedding immunoelectron microscopy revealed the synaptic localization of Gαo on PSDs of glutamatergic synapses between Purkinje cell spines and parallel fiber terminals and its co-localization with GABAB1 in the same spines. Quantitative analysis of Gαo immunoparticles revealed they preferentially localized on the cytoplasmic face of the plasma membrane. Furthermore, the analysis revealed a high concentration of Gαo around excitatory synapses on Purkinje cell dendritic spines, but a uniform distribution in granule cell dendrites. These molecular-anatomical findings suggest that Gαo is a major signal transducer of specific GPCRs in different neuronal populations in the cerebellum.
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INTRODUCTION

The interplay among neurons of the cerebellar cortex is key to achieving different functions, including fine motor control, maintenance of balance and posture, perception, memory and cognition (Ito, 2001, 2006). The cerebellar cortex is a trilaminar structure formed by the molecular layer, the Purkinje cell layer, and the granule cell layer. Purkinje cells (PCs), the only output neurons
of the cerebellar cortex, extend their dendrites through the molecular layer where they receive inputs from climbing fibers. They also receive inputs from parallel fibers originating in granule cells (GCs), which integrate sensory information arriving through the mossy fibers to modulate the activity of PCs (Ito, 2001, 2006). In addition to ion channels activation that cause the firing of neurons, the function of cerebellar cells also depends on the signaling through G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) transducing stimuli across the plasma membrane (Oldham and Hamm, 2008; Weis and Kobylka, 2018).

In the brain, GPCRs contribute to the regulation of neurotransmission and neuronal excitability (Wettschureck and Offermanns, 2005; Oldham and Hamm, 2008). The activation of GPCRs induces a conformational change that modifies the function of associated intracellular GTP binding proteins (G-proteins), consisting of three subunits, α, β, and γ (Oldham and Hamm, 2008) that transduce extracellular signals from GPCRs to downstream effector molecules such as enzymes and ion channels (Oldham and Hamm, 2008). This process requires an exchange of GDP for GTP on the coupled G-protein α subunit, leading to dissociation of Gβγ subunits (Oldham and Hamm, 2008). The dysfunction in GPCR signaling, frequently caused by abnormal activation or overexpression of their associated G proteins, can lead to brain diseases including depression, Parkinson's disease, Alzheimer's disease, Huntington's disease or multiple sclerosis (Borroto-Escuela et al., 2017; Azam et al., 2020). Thus, G proteins instead of GPCRs provides alternative molecular targets in drug discovery for combating diseases that affect different organs including the brain (Li et al., 2020).

The functional diversity of G proteins is paralleled to the molecular diversity of G-protein subunits. To date, 21 different mammalian Gα subunits, 6 Gβ subunits and 12 Gγ subunits, several of which exist in alternatively spliced variants, have been identified (Simon et al., 1991; Neer, 1995; Oldham and Hamm, 2008). Gα subunits define the specificity of GPCR signal transduction and have been classified according to the degree of sequence homology into four families: Gαq/o, Gα12/13 (Wettschureck and Offermanns, 2005). The pertussis toxin-sensitive Gαi/o family comprises Gαi2 and Gαo, of which Gαo is the most abundant in brain tissue. In situ hybridization and immunohistochemical studies have shown that high densities of Gαo protein are present in the frontal cortex, cerebellum, hypothalamus, hippocampus, and substantia nigra (Worley et al., 1986; Schüller et al., 2001). Accordingly, Gαo knockout mice have neurological defects such as seizures, hyperactivity, poor motor coordination and abnormal sexual behavior (Jiang et al., 1998; Choi et al., 2016).

Despite the involvement of Gαo in large part of the modulatory signaling in the brain (Wettschureck and Offermanns, 2005) and its large potential as therapeutic targets (Li et al., 2020), our understanding of Gαo distribution in different neuron populations and its organization in different neuronal compartments is limited. To this end, we employed quantitative pre- and post-embedding immunoelectron microscopic techniques to unravel subcellular localization patterns of Gαo in different cerebellar cell types. Interestingly, we found that this G protein subunit exhibited distinct subcellular localization patterns throughout the cerebellar cortex, suggesting its involvement in regulating specific signaling pathways in post- and pre-synaptic compartments.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals**

Four adult male C57BL/6J mice obtained from Charles River Laboratories (Barcelona, Spain) and housed in the Animal House Facilities of the Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha (Albacete, Spain), were used in the present study. All mice were maintained in cages on a 12-h light/12-h dark cycle at 24°C and received food and water ad libitum. Care and handling of animals prior to and during experimental procedures was in accordance with European Union regulations (86/609/EC), and all protocols and methodologies were approved and supervised by the local Animal Care and Use Committee.

For histoblotting, mice were deeply anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of ketamine/xylazine 1:1 (ketamine, 100 mg/Kg; xylazine, 10 mg/Kg), the cerebellum was dissected, frozen rapidly in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C. For immunohistochemistry at the light microscopic and electron microscopic level, mice were firstly deeply anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of ketamine-xylazine 1:1 (0.1 mL/kg). Once reflex activity was completely abolished, the heart was surgically exposed for perfusion fixation through the ascending aorta, first with 0.9% saline and then followed by ice-cold fixative containing 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde with 0.05% (v/v) glutaraldehyde and ~0.2% picric acid made up in 0.1 M phosphorate buffer (PB, pH 7.4) for 15 min. After perfusion, brains were removed and immersed in the same fixative for 2 h at 4°C. Tissue blocks were washed thoroughly in 0.1 M PB. Coronal 60 µm thick sections were cut on a Vibratome (Leica V1000).

**Antibodies and Chemicals**

The following primary antibodies were used: rabbit anti-Gαo polyclonal (ref#ab154001; Recombinant fragment corresponding to Human GNAO1 aa 104–338; Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom); monoclonal anti-GABAB1 (N93A/49; aa. 873–977 of rat GABAB1, cytoplasmic C-terminus, Q92U4; NeuroMab, UC Davis/NIH, United States); and guinea pig anti-PV (GP-Af1000; AB_2571615; Frontier Institute Co., Japan). The specificity of the Gαo antibodies used for immunohistochemistry at the light and electron microscopic levels is outlined in detail in the controls section and Supplementary Figures 1, 2. The characteristics and specificity of the monoclonal antibody targeting GABAB1 has been described by the manufacturer.1

The secondary antibodies used were as follows: alkaline phosphatase (AP)-goat anti-rabbit IgG (H + L) and goat anti-mouse IgG (H + L) (1:5,000; Sigma-Aldrich, Sant Louis, MO, United States), biotinylated goat anti-mouse IgG and biotinylated goat anti-rabbit pig IgG (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA), anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor®-488 and anti-guinea pig Alexa Fluor®-594 (1:500; Jackson ImmunoResearch, 1http://neuromab.ucdavis.edu/catalog.cfm
Cambridge, United Kingdom), as well as goat anti-rabbit and anti-mouse IgG coupled to 1.4 nm gold (1:100; Nanoprobes Inc., Stony Brook, NY, United States).

**Histoblotting**

The expression pattern and regional distribution of G\(_{\alpha}\)o was analyzed in mice brains, using the histoblot technique (Aguado and Luján, 2019). Briefly, horizontal cryostat sections (10 µm) were overlapped with nitrocellulose membranes moistened with 48 mM Tris-base, 39 mM glycine, 2% (w/v) sodium dodecyl sulfate and 20% (v/v) methanol for 15 min at room temperature (~20°C). After blocking in 5% (w/v) non-fat dry milk in phosphate-buffered saline for 1 h, nitrocellulose membranes were treated with DNase I (5 U/mL), washed and incubated in 2% (w/v) sodium dodecyl sulfate and 100 mM \(\beta\)-mercaptoethanol in 100 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.0) for 60 min at 45°C to remove adhering tissue residues. After extensive washing, the blots were reacted with affinity-purified anti-G\(_{\alpha}\)o antibodies (0.5 mg/mL) in blocking solution overnight at 4°C. The bound primary antibodies were detected with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibodies for 2 h (Aguado and Luján, 2019). All nitrocellulose membranes were processed in parallel and under the same conditions. Digital images were acquired by scanning the nitrocellulose membranes using a desktop scanner (HP Scanjet 8300). Image analysis and processing were performed using the Adobe Photoshop software (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA, United States) as described previously (Martín-Belmonte et al., 2020).

**Immunohistochemistry for Light Microscopy**

Immunohistochemical reactions at the light microscopic level were carried out using the immunoperoxidase method as described earlier (Luján et al., 1996). Briefly, sections were incubated in 10% normal goat serum (NGS) diluted in 50 mMTris buffer (pH 7.4) containing 0.9% NaCl (TBS), with 0.2% Triton X-100, for 1 h. Sections were incubated in anti-G\(_{\alpha}\)o (1–2 µg/ml diluted in TBS containing 1% NGS) overnight at 4°C, followed by incubation in biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, United States) diluted 1:200 in TBS containing 1% NGS for 2 h at room temperature. Sections were then transferred into avidin–biotin–peroxidase complex (ABC kit, Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, United States). Bound peroxidase enzyme activity was revealed using 3, 3-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB; 0.05% in TB, pH 7.4) as the chromogen and 0.01% \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) as the substrate. Finally, sections were air-dried and mounted prior to observation in a Leica photomicroscope (DM 2500) equipped with a digital imaging camera (Leica DFC 500).

**Immunohistochemistry for Confocal Microscopy**

Sections were firstly blocked in 10% NGS made up in TBS for 1 h before incubation in a mixture of primary antibodies for G\(_{\alpha}\)o and Parvalbumin (PV) in TBS containing 2% NGS at 4°C overnight. After several washes in TBS, the sections were incubated in a mixture of secondary antibodies (anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor\(^{®}\)-488 for G\(_{\alpha}\)o and anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor\(^{®}\)-594 for PV) made up in TBS, for 2 h at room temperature. After further washes in TBS, sections were mounted and coverslipped with fluorescence mounting medium (Vectorshield, Vector Laboratory). Immunofluorescence labeling was examined using a confocal laser-scanning microscopy (Zeiss LSM 510-Meta, Jena, Germany). Separate color channels were acquired sequentially to avoid crosstalk between fluorochromes.

**Immunohistochemistry for Electron Microscopy**

Immunohistochemical reactions at the electron microscopic level were carried out using the pre-embedding and post-embedding immunogold methods as described earlier (Luján et al., 1996). Ultrastructural analyses were performed in a Jeol-1010 (Tokyo, Japan) transmission electron microscope and image acquisition was done using a digital Gatan camera ES100W model 785 (Gatan, Inc., Pleasanton, CA, United States).

**Pre-embedding Immunogold Method**

Free-floating sections were first incubated in 10% NGS diluted in TBS, then incubated in anti-G\(_{\alpha}\)o antibodies (1–2 µg/ml diluted in TBS containing 1% NGS) at 4°C overnight, followed by incubation in goat anti-rabbit IgG coupled to 1.4 nm gold (Nanoprobes Inc., Stony Brook, NY, United States), for 2 h at room temperature. Next, sections were postfixed in 1% glutaraldehyde and washed in double distilled water, followed by silver enhancement of the gold particles with a HQ Silver kit (Nanoprobes Inc.). Sections were then treated with osmium tetroxide (1% in 0.1 M PB), block-stained with uranyl acetate, dehydrated in graded series of ethanol and flat-embedded on glass slides in Durcupan (Fluka) resin. Regions of interest were cut at 70–90 nm on an ultramicrotome (Reichert Ultracut E, Leica, Vienna, Austria) and collected on single slot copper grids. Staining was performed on drops of 1% aqueous uranyl acetate followed by Reynolds’s lead citrate.

**Post-embedding Immunogold Method**

Ultrathin sections 80 nm thick from Lowicryl-embedded blocks of cerebellum were picked up on single slot coated nickel grids and incubated on drops of a blocking solution consisting of 2% Human Serum Albumin (HSA) in 0.05 M TBS and 0.03% (v/v) Trition X-100 (TBST) at 4°C overnight. For single labeling, the grids were incubated with anti-G\(_{\alpha}\)o antibodies (10 µg/ml in TBST with 2% HSA) at 28°C overnight and then on drops of goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to 10 nm colloidal gold particles (Nanoprobes) in 2% (w/v) HSA and 0.5% (w/v) polyethylene glycol in TBST, for 2 h at room temperature. For double labeling, the grids were incubated with anti-G\(_{\alpha}\)o antibodies (10 µg/ml in TBST with 2% HSA) and anti-GABA\(_{\gamma}\) (10 µg/ml in TBST with 2% HSA) at 28°C overnight and then on drops of goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to 10 nm colloidal gold particles and goat anti-mouse IgG conjugated to 20 nm colloidal gold particles (Nanoprobes) in 2% (w/v) HSA and 0.5% (w/v) polyethylene glycol in TBST, for 2 h at room temperature. Finally, the grids were examined in a Jeol-1010 transmission electron microscope and image acquisition was done using a digital Gatan camera ES100W model 785 (Gatan, Inc., Pleasanton, CA, United States).
were washed in TBS and counterstained for electron microscopy with saturated aqueous uranyl acetate followed by lead citrate.

**Quantification of $G_{\alpha o}$ Immunoreactivity in Cerebellar Neurons**

To establish the relative abundance of $G_{\alpha o}$ immunoreactivity in different compartments of cerebellar neurons, we used 60 µm-thick coronal slices processed for pre-embedding immunogold immunohistochemistry and 100 nm-thick ultrathin sections processed for post-embedding immunogold immunohistochemistry, as described previously (Luján et al., 1996). Briefly, three samples of tissue were obtained for each of three mice. Electron microscopic serial ultrathin sections were cut close to the surface of each block to minimize false negatives due to reduction of immunolabeling with depth. Quality of immunolabeling was evaluated by selecting areas with immunogold particles at approximately the same distance from the tissue surface. Randomly selected areas were then photographed from the selected ultrathin sections at a magnification of 35,000 X. Quantification of immunogold labeling was carried out in reference areas totaling approximately 3,000 µm², and performed in three different ways:

**Percentage of Immunoparticles for $G_{\alpha o}$**

To study the frequency of $G_{\alpha o}$ in the molecular layer and the granule cell layer, the immunoparticles identified in each reference area and present in the different subcellular compartments; dendritic spines, dendritic shafts and axon terminals, was counted. The data were expressed as a percentage of immunoparticles at a given compartment, both in the plasma membrane and at intracellular sites. In addition, using the post-embedding immunogold, the numbers of gold particles associated with postsynaptic densities (PSDs), and in addition along the extrasynaptic plasma membrane and intracellular sites in dendritic spines of PCs, were also counted. Given the low frequency of finding spine attached through their neck to parent shafts in ultrathin sections, immunoparticles were not counted on the necks of spines. Finally, the percentage of gold particles in the three subcompartments was calculated. Background labeling was calculated in the molecular layer and granule cell layer by counting the immunoparticles for $G_{\alpha o}$ detected in mitochondria, myelinated axons, or lumen of capillary, as examples of location where $G_{\alpha o}$ is not expected to be. From a total of 7,308 immunoparticles counted, only 22 (0.3%) were detected in non-specific sites. Given this very low number of background labeling, we did not subtract it in our analyses.

**Distribution of $G_{\alpha o}$ Relative to Glutamate Release Sites**

To determine the relative abundance of $G_{\alpha o}$ in dendritic spines of PCs, and their association with excitatory synapses, immunoparticles identified in each reference area and present in dendritic spines were counted. Using pre-embedding and post-embedding immunogold techniques, excitatory synapses were identified by the presence of: (i) a PSD, (ii) synaptic vesicles at the presynaptic terminal, and (iii) opposing membranes between the pre and the post-synaptic terminals. As differences in the distribution of immunoparticles among all samples were not statistically significant ($P > 0.56$, Kolmogorov–Smirnov non-parametric test) the data were pooled. The length of the dendritic spine membrane from the edge of the synaptic junction and the length from the center of each immunoparticle present was then measured along the plasma membrane to the edge of the postsynaptic density, using a digitizing tablet and appropriate software (ImageJ). Finally, the number of immunoparticles was expressed as relative frequency in 60 nm membrane segments of spine membrane, thus obtaining a normalized value of the relative abundance of $G_{\alpha o}$ along the PC spines.

To establish the relative abundance of $G_{\alpha o}$ relative to glutamate release sites in granule cell dendrites located in the glomeruli, all dendritic shafts establishing synapses with mossy fibers were counted and assessed for the presence of immunoparticles. The distribution of gold particles among different samples were not statistically significant ($P = 0.74$, Kolmogorov-Smirnov non-parametric test), and thus all data was pooled. The extrasynaptic membrane from all immunopositive dendrites was measured using a digitizing tablet and appropriate software (Sigma-Scan Pro, Jandel Scientific, Erkrath, Germany), and then divided into 60 nm bins, within the first 300 nm of the plasma membrane from a mossy fiber synapse. Finally, the number of immunoparticles was expressed as relative frequency in bins corresponding to 60 nm membrane segments of dendrite membrane (only within the first 300 nm of plasma membrane) and gold particles present beyond 300 nm were pooled to obtain a normalized value of the relative abundance of $G_{\alpha o}$ along granule cell dendrites.

**Tangential Distribution of $G_{\alpha o}$ Along PSDs**

To establish the tangential distribution of $G_{\alpha o}$ at PSDs of excitatory synapses in spines of PCs, quantification of immunolabeling was performed from ultrathin sections processed for post-embedding immunogold, as described earlier (Lin et al., 2008). Briefly, ultrathin sections picked up on pioloform-coated mesh grids were immunoreacted for $G_{\alpha o}$ and photographed from three animals. Postsynaptic specializations were included in the analysis only if the synaptic cleft was visible, omitting synapses cut tangentially. The distance between the midline and the edge of the PSD was divided into five bins, each bin corresponding to 10% of the PSD length in a single section, and each gold particle was assigned to a bin. The distribution obtained was mirrored across the midline for display. The data was expressed as percentage of immunoparticles along the PSD length.

**Controls**

For analyzing antibody specificity, we used the approach outline elsewhere (Rhodes and Trimmer, 2008), as no $G_{\alpha o}$ knockout mice were available. Labeling patterns are compared using different antibodies raised against non-overlapping epitopes on the same target protein (Supplementary Figures 1, 2). We found similar patterns at both the light (Supplementary Figure 1) and electron microscopic level (Supplementary Figure 2), indicating that the signal was representative of specificity of the antibody. In addition, we compared the mRNA expression...
pattern of Gnao1 gene using the Allen Brain Atlas with the immunohistochemical pattern of Gαo obtained with our antibodies. In situ hybridization showed strong signal for the Gnao1 gene in the cortex, followed by the hippocampus, striatum and cerebellum, with lower expression pattern in the thalamus. In the cerebellum, transcript for Gnao1 were detected at high levels in the soma of Purkinje cells, with lower levels in interneurons distributed through the molecular layer, and granule cells and Golgi cells in the granule cell layer. Transcripts for Gnao1 can also be seen in scattered cells among Purkinje cells, likely representing Bergmann glia. This mRNA expression pattern is fully consistent with the immunohistochemical pattern described in this manuscript. Furthermore, the primary antibody was either omitted or replaced with 5% (v/v) normal serum of the species of the primary antibody, resulting in total loss of the signal. For the pre-embedding technique, labeling patterns were also compared with those obtained by Calbindin (polyclonal rabbit anti-calbindin D-9k (CB9; Swant, Marly, Switzerland); only the antibodies against Gαo consistently labeled plasma membranes.

RESULTS

Expression and Distribution of Gαo in the Cerebellum

We first investigated the expression levels of Gαo in the brain using a Gαo subunit-specific antibody in conventional histoblots2. The brain expression of Gαo revealed region-specific differences, with strongest immunoreactivities in the hippocampus, caudate putamen, septum, cortex and cerebellum, and moderate labeling in the thalamus and midbrain nuclei (Figure 1A). In the cerebellum, immunostaining for Gαo was significantly stronger in the molecular layer and Purkinje cell layer than in the granule cell layer, in which moderate to weak labeling was found (Figures 1B,C). The white matter consistently showed very weak Gαo staining (Figures 1B,C).

Light microscopic examination by immunoperoxidase methods revealed a similar distribution pattern along all cerebellar lobules, from rostral to caudal (Figure 2A), with a strong localization on the neuropil of the molecular layer (Figures 2A–C). At the cellular level, labeling for Gαo could be seen outlining the somata of PCs (Figure 2C), as well as outlining the somata of basket and stellate cells in the molecular layer (Figures 2E–G). In the granule cell layer, Gαo immunolabeling was present in GCs and glomeruli (Figures 2B,C), and in Golgi cells (Figure 2D). Taken together our light microscopic examination revealed that Gαo is widely expressed in the adult brain and in several neuron populations in the cerebellar cortex.

Subcellular Localization of Gαo in Purkinje Cells

To investigate the subcellular localization of Gαo in cerebellar neurons, we performed electron microscopic studies using the pre-embedding immunogold technique combined with quantitative analyses in the cerebellar cortex. In the

2https://mouse.brain-map.org/experiment/show?id=506
FIGURE 2 | Regional and cellular distribution of G\textsubscript{\alpha}o in the cerebellum. (A–D) Immunoreactivity for G\textsubscript{\alpha}o in the cerebellar cortex using an immunoperoxidase method. The parasagittal photomicrography of the cerebellum (panel [A]) shows strong immunolabeling for G\textsubscript{\alpha}o in the molecular layer (ml), in somata of PCs in the Purkinje cell layer (pc) and moderate in the granule cell layer (gc), with similar distribution pattern and expression levels in all cerebellar lobules (lobules I to X). Immunoreactivity for G\textsubscript{\alpha}o was detected in the neuropil of the molecular layer, but outlined granule cells (example, asterisks), and labeled glomeruli (example, arrows) and Golgi cells (arrow in panel [D]). IC: inferior colliculus. (E–G) Co-localization of G\textsubscript{\alpha}o with Parvalbumin (PV) in basket cells (arrowheads) and stellate cells (arrows) using double-labeling immunofluorescence visualized with scanning confocal microscopy. Confocal images of the cerebellar cortex showing immunofluorescent labeling for G\textsubscript{\alpha}o (left, green) and PV (middle, red) and their colocalization in the overlay image (right). Abbreviations: ml, molecular layer; pc, Purkinje cell layer; gc, granule cell layer. Scale bars: (A) 1 mm; (B–D) 10 \mu m; (E–G) 20 \mu m.

molecular layer, immunoreactivity for G\textsubscript{\alpha}o was mainly detected postsynaptically in dendritic shafts and spines of PCs associated with the plasma membrane (Figures 3A–F). Less frequently, immunoparticles for G\textsubscript{\alpha}o were found at intracellular sites (Figures 3A–F). Most of these immunoparticles were associated with cisterns running in parallel to the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane, and identified as subsurface cisterns (SSCs), which belong to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and are free of ribosomes (Figures 3A,B). Presynaptically, a low number of G\textsubscript{\alpha}o immunoparticles were observed in parallel fiber terminals establishing excitatory synapses with spines of PCs (Figures 3C–F). In addition to PCs, immunoreactivity for G\textsubscript{\alpha}o was also observed in profiles lacking many organelles and surrounding PC-parallel fiber synapses, which were identified as Bergman glia cells (Figures 3A,C,E,F).

Quantitative analysis performed on the neuropil showed that from 5,092 immunogold particles analyzed, 3,965 (77.87%) were distributed at postsynaptic compartments and 1,127 (22.13%) at presynaptic sites (Figure 4A). Postsynaptically, 23.92% of immunoparticles for G\textsubscript{\alpha}o were found in PC spines and, of those, 89.44% were distributed along the plasma membrane and
FIGURE 3 | Subcellular localization of \( \alpha_0 \) in PCs. Electron micrographs showing immunoparticles for \( \alpha_0 \) in the molecular layer of the cerebellum, as detected using a pre-embedding immunogold technique. (A–F) Most immunoparticles for \( \alpha_0 \) were distributed along the extrasynaptic plasma membrane (arrows) of dendritic shafts (Den, blue transparent overlay) and spines (s, yellow transparent overlay) of PCs contacted by parallel fiber terminals (pf, red transparent overlay). To a lesser extent, immunoparticles for \( \alpha_0 \) were detected at intracellular sites (crossed arrows) associated with intracellular membranes and more frequently with subsurface cisterns (SSCs) belonging to the endoplasmic reticulum. Presynaptically, \( \alpha_0 \) immunoparticles were also distributed along the plasma membrane and at intracellular sites (arrowheads) in axon terminals of parallel fibers (pf). In addition to PCs, immunoparticles for \( \alpha_0 \) were detected in Bergmann glia cells (double arrows). Scale bars: (A–F) 500 nm.

10.56% at intracellular sites (Figure 4A). In dendritic shafts of PCs, which contained 76.08% of all \( \alpha_0 \) immunoparticles, 79.02% were distributed along the plasma membrane and 20.98% at intracellular sites (Figure 4A). Presynaptically, 93.43% of immunoparticles for \( \alpha_0 \) were distributed along the plasma membrane and 6.57% at intracellular sites (Figure 4A).

To determine the abundance of \( \alpha_0 \) along the surface of PCs, we analyzed the plasma membrane distribution as a function of distance from the soma (Figure 4B). Quantitative analysis of immunogold distribution in four somato-dendritic domains of PCs showed a distance-dependent increase of \( \alpha_0 \) from soma to dendritic spines (Figure 4B). Thus, density was low in the somata (0.20 ± 0.01 immunoparticles/\( \mu \)m²), increased in the main dendrites in the molecular layer (1.78 ± 0.11 immunoparticles/\( \mu \)m²), and was highest in dendritic spines (5.70 ± 0.44 immunoparticles/\( \mu \)m²) and was highest in dendritic spines (11.15 ± 0.51 immunoparticles/\( \mu \)m²) (Figure 4B). This approach demonstrated that \( \alpha_0 \) followed a non-uniform distribution along the somato-dendritic surface of PCs.

Because of the high density of \( \alpha_0 \) in PC spines, we assessed how this G protein subunit is distributed relative to the glutamate release site (Figure 4C). The distribution of \( \alpha_0 \) immunoparticles (n = 345), normalized to relative frequency in 60 nm bins, showed a peak between 0 and 300 nm from the synapses, for 65% of all immunoparticles observed, with \( \alpha_0 \) density decreased markedly further within the PC spine membrane (Figure 4C). This approach revealed that on dendritic spines of PCs, \( \alpha_0 \) was preferentially localized around parallel fiber-PC excitatory synapses.

Synaptic Localization of \( \alpha_0 \) in Excitatory Synapses

Previous immuno- and electron microscopy studies have demonstrated that GABA\(_B\) receptors and GIRK channels are localized along the PSD of spines at parallel fiber-PC synapses (Kulik et al., 2002; Fernández-Alacid et al., 2009). To verify the possible location of \( \alpha_0 \) within the PSD, we carried out post-embedding immunogold electron microscopy (Figure 5). We found \( \alpha_0 \) immunoparticles in the main body of the postsynaptic density (PSD) of PC spines establishing synapses with parallel fiber terminals (Figure 5A), in addition along the extrasynaptic plasma membrane and cytoplasmic sites in dendritic spines and...
FIGURE 4 | Compartmentalization of G\(\alpha_o\) in PCs. (A) Histogram showing the percentage of immunoparticles for G\(\alpha_o\) in neuronal compartments in the molecular layer. A total of 5,092 immunoparticles in the molecular layer were analyzed, of which 78% were postsynaptic and 22% were presynaptic. Immunoparticles for G\(\alpha_o\) at postsynaptic sites were found in PC dendrites (76.08%) and in PC spines (23.92%), being mainly distributed along the plasma membrane (79.02% in dendrites and 89.44% in spines) and intracellularly (20.98% in dendrites and 10.56% in spines). Immunoparticles for G\(\alpha_o\) at presynaptic sites were detected in parallel fiber terminals mostly distributed along the plasma membrane (93.43%) and to a lesser extent at cytoplasmatic sites (6.57%). (B) Somato-dendritic gradient of G\(\alpha_o\) along the surface of PCs showing that density of immunoparticles increased significantly from soma to dendritic spines. Error bars indicate SEM; ** \(P < 0.002\); **** \(P < 0.0001\). mDen, main dendrites; SpBrDen, spiny branchlet dendrites. (C) Histogram showing the proportion of immunoparticles for G\(\alpha_o\) at a given distance from the edge of PSDs in PC spines-parallel fiber synapses. The data shows that 65% of G\(\alpha_o\) were distributed in a 60–300 nm wide band, indicating its proximity to excitatory synapses.

G\(\alpha_o\) Co-localizes With GABA\(_B\) Receptors in Purkinje Cells

Previous studies have shown that GPCRs and effector ion channels are expressed in different neuronal populations of the cerebellum (Aguado et al., 2008; Ciruela et al., 2010). To determine whether G\(\alpha_o\) are present in the same compartment of PCs expressing GABA\(_B\) receptors, double label post-embedding immunogold electron microscopy was performed (Figure 6). Throughout the molecular layer, immunoparticles for G\(\alpha_o\) were detected in the PSD as well as in the extrasynaptic plasma membrane that also labeled for GABA\(_B1\) (Figures 6A,B). Due to the spatial resolution of the post-embedding technique, of around 26 nm when using 20-nm immunoparticles or around 21 nm when using 10-nm immunoparticles, a distance wider than the synaptic cleft, some of the immunoparticles for GABA\(_B1\) were detected on the synaptic cleft (Figure 6A) or immediately on the plasma membrane of the axon terminal (Figure 6B). Regardless of this technical limitation, immunoparticles for G\(\alpha_o\) and GABA\(_{B1}\) were detected in close proximity both at

shafts (Figures 5A,B). Quantitative analysis demonstrated that synaptic localization of G\(\alpha_o\) represented a small fraction (5% of all immunoparticles) compared to the main extrasynaptic localization (plasma membrane: 75% of all immunoparticles; intracellular: 20% of all immunoparticles) in spines and dendrites (Figure 5C). Within its synaptic localization, immunoparticles for G\(\alpha_o\) decreased markedly toward the center of the junction and concentrate in the edges of the PSD (Figure 5D), suggesting a subsynaptic preference of the G-protein subunit.
FIGURE 5 | Subsynaptic localization of \( \alpha_o \) in PCs. Electron micrographs of the molecular layer of the cerebellum showing \( \alpha_o \) immunoparticles in excitatory synapses of PCs using a post-embedding immunogold method. (A,B) Immunoparticles for \( \alpha_o \) were observed in the PSD of PC spines (s) (arrowheads) establishing excitatory synapses with parallel fiber terminals (pf). They were also observed along the extrasynaptic plasma membrane (arrows) of PC spines (s) and PC dendrites (Den), and at intracellular sites (crossed arrows). (C) Histogram showing the percentage of immunoparticles for \( \alpha_o \) in different compartments of PC spines. A total of 1,632 \( \alpha_o \) immunoparticles were analyzed in PC spines, of which 5% were located in PSDs, 75% along the extrasynaptic plasma membrane and 20% at cytoplasmic sites. (D) Quantitative analysis showing the tangential distribution of immunoparticles for \( \alpha_o \) across the PSD. \( \alpha_o \) immunoparticles were preferentially located at the edges of the PSD, with the density decreasing toward the middle of the synapse. Scale bars: (A,B) 500 nm.

FIGURE 6 | \( \alpha_o \) and GABA\( \beta_1 \) reside in the same dendritic spine of PCs. Electron micrographs of the molecular layer of the mouse cerebellar cortex showing immunoreactivity for \( \alpha_o \) (10 nm particles, arrowheads) and GABA\( \beta_1 \) (20 nm particles, arrows), as detected using a double-labeling post-embedding immunogold method. \( \alpha_o \) and GABA\( \beta_1 \) were observed at PSDs of excitatory synapses and extrasynaptic sites between PC spines (s) and parallel fibers (pf), many times very close to each other. Scale bars: (A,B) 500 nm.
Subcellular Localization of $G_\alpha_o$ in Stellate and Basket Cells

In addition to PCs, immunoparticles for $G_\alpha_o$ were localized in stellate cells (Figures 7A,B) in basket cells (Figures 7C,D), which were identified based on their smooth dendritic shafts receiving multiple symmetrical and asymmetrical synapses on the surface and their location in the outer two thirds and the inner third of the molecular layer, respectively. Immunoparticles for $G_\alpha_o$ were also found in axon terminals establishing excitatory synapses with basket cell dendrites (Figures 7A,C). Quantitative analysis performed on the dendrites of stellate cells revealed that from 407 immunoparticles analyzed, 368 (90.4%) were distributed along the plasma membrane and 39 (9.6%) were found at cytoplasmic sites associated with intracellular membranes (Figure 7B). Similarly, from 1,661 immunogold particles analyzed, 1,525 (92%) were distributed along the plasma membrane and 136 (8%) were found at cytoplasmic sites associated with intracellular membranes (Figure 7D).

Subcellular Localization of $G_\alpha_o$ in the Granule Cell Layer

The subcellular localization of $G_\alpha_o$ in the granule cell layer was determined on samples taken from areas with only GCs and areas with cerebellar glomeruli (Figures 8A–E). Immunoreactivity for $G_\alpha_o$ was found in the somatic plasma membrane of GCs (Figures 8A,B), and in addition along the plasma membrane and intracellular sites of the three neuronal elements forming the cerebellar glomeruli (Figures 8B,C). To determine how $G_\alpha_o$ is organized in glomeruli, quantitative analysis was performed. Data revealed that immunoparticles were primarily associated with dendrites of GCs (63.58% along the plasma membrane and 13.95% at intracellular sites; $n = 1,701$ particles in 270 dendrites), establishing excitatory synapses with mossy fibers (Figure 8D). Immunoparticles for $G_\alpha_o$ were also present presynaptically in mossy fiber

![Figure 7](image-url)

**FIGURE 7** | Subcellular localization of $G_\alpha_o$ in basket and stellate cells. Electron micrographs showing immunoparticles for $G_\alpha_o$ in the molecular layer of the cerebellum, as detected using a pre-embedding immunogold technique. (A,B) In the outer two-thirds of the molecular layer, immunoparticles for $G_\alpha_o$ were distributed in smooth dendritic shafts receiving excitatory synapses on their surface, identified as stellate cells (SC Den, green transparent overlay). From a total of 407 $G_\alpha_o$ immunoparticles analyzed, 368 (90.4%) were located along the plasma membrane (PM) (arrows) and only 39 (9.6%) at intracellular sites (Intra) (crossed arrows). (C,D) In the inner third of the molecular layer, immunoparticles for $G_\alpha_o$ were distributed in smooth dendritic shafts receiving excitatory synapses on their surface, identified as basket cells (BC Den, green transparent overlay). From a total of 1,661 $G_\alpha_o$ immunoparticles analyzed in basket cell dendrites, 1,525 (91.81%) were located along the PM (arrows) and only 8.19% at intracellular sites (Intra) (crossed arrows). Immunoparticles for $G_\alpha_o$ were also found in axon terminals (arrowheads) (at) establishing excitatory synapses with basket cell dendrites. Scale bars: (A,C) 500 nm.
Subcellular localization of G\textsubscript{\alpha}\text{O} in the granule cell layer. Electron micrographs showing immunoparticles for G\textsubscript{\alpha}\text{O} in different neuronal elements present in the granule cell layer of the cerebellum, as detected using a pre-embedding immunogold technique. (A–C) Immunoparticles for G\textsubscript{\alpha}\text{O} were found along the somatic plasma membrane (arrows) and intracellular sites (crossed arrows) of granule cells (GC). Outside granule cell somata, G\textsubscript{\alpha}\text{O} immunoparticles were detected in glomeruli, mainly distributed in the plasma membrane (arrows) and intracellularly (crossed arrows) in granule cell dendrites (Den, blue transparent overlay), as well as presynaptically in mossy fibre terminals (mf, green transparent overlay) in the plasma membrane (arrowheads) and intracellularly (double arrowheads). Scale bars: (A–C), 500 nm. (D) Histogram showing the percentage of immunoparticles for G\textsubscript{\alpha}\text{O} in glomeruli. A total of 2,194 immunoparticles were analyzed, of which 77.53% were detected in GC dendrites (Den GCs), 20.10% in mossy fibre terminals and only 2.37% in axons of Golgi cells, being mainly distributed along the plasma membrane. (E) Histogram showing the proportion of immunoparticles for G\textsubscript{\alpha}\text{O} (n = 346 immunoparticles on 90 dendrites) relative to the release of glutamate GC dendrite-mossy fiber synapses. The data shows that 80% of G\textsubscript{\alpha}\text{O} were distributed in a 60–300 nm wide band, indicating its proximity to mossy fiber synapses.

To gain further insights into the subcellular compartmentalization of G\textsubscript{\alpha}\text{O} in the dendrites of GCs, we analyzed its distribution in relation to the glutamate release site (Figure 8E). Analyzing the position of G\textsubscript{\alpha}\text{O} immunoparticles (n = 346 on 90 dendrites) in relation to the closest edge of the postsynaptic membrane specialization, we determined that 80% of the immunoparticles for G\textsubscript{\alpha}\text{O} were present close to the GC dendrite–mossy fiber synapse, within a distance of 300 nm from the edge of mossy fiber synapses (Figure 8E). This data indicates an enrichment of G\textsubscript{\alpha}\text{O} in the vicinity of excitatory synapses on GC dendrites.
DISCUSSION

Despite the importance of G proteins and upstream GPCRs in defining functions of cerebellar circuits, the cellular and subcellular localization of many G protein subunits remains mostly elusive. $G_{\alpha o}$ is one of the most abundant G-protein subunits in the brain, but its functions are poorly understood. The identification of the subcellular compartments and cell types where G-protein subunits are functional could be associated to physiological characteristics to better explain the structure-function relationship of $G_{\alpha o}$. Thus, taking advantage of pre- and post-embedding immunoelectron microscopy we demonstrated a major postsynaptic and occasionally presynaptic localization of $G_{\alpha o}$ in the cerebellar cortex. Quantitative analyses revealed a large proportion of $G_{\alpha o}$ on extrasynaptic plasma membrane in several cerebellar neurons, in addition to be expressed along PSDs of glutamategic synapses between PC spines and parallel fiber terminals. Here we show the first detailed description of the subcellular localization of $G_{\alpha o}$ in cerebellar circuits, providing insights into cell- and neuronal compartment-specific roles of $G_{\alpha o}$.

Differential Expression and Cell Type-Specific Localization of $G_{\alpha o}$ in the Cerebellar Cortex

Determining the brain expression pattern of $G_{\alpha o}$ is an important step to unraveling the molecular basis of its signaling in different regions. Using the histoblot technique, we demonstrated region-specific differences in the protein expression patterns of $G_{\alpha o}$ in the brain, consistent with earlier in situ hybridization studies and autoradiographic studies (Worley et al., 1986; Schüller et al., 2001; Cha et al., 2019). Although widely expressed, important differences in $G_{\alpha o}$ were observed between different brain regions. Such disparity may reflect G protein expression within individual cell types, as well as innervation patterns to specific brain regions.

Mice lacking $G_{\alpha o}$ highlighted the crucial role of this G protein subunit in the correct development of the cerebellar cortex, which affected mainly the PCs and GCs (Cha et al., 2019). Consistent with this observation, we found that $G_{\alpha o}$ is distributed in many cerebellar neurons, i.e., PCs, GCs, basket cells, stellate cells, and Golgi cells. Previous studies have examined the cerebellar distribution of $G_{\alpha o}$ subunit protein (Schüller et al., 2001; Cha et al., 2019). Although the data obtained in these reports are fully in agreement with those presented here, our data provide a more detailed and comprehensive cellular map of the $G_{\alpha o}$ distribution, extending the list of cell types expressing $G_{\alpha o}$ in the cerebellum.

Preferential Localization of $G_{\alpha o}$ on Somato-Dendritic Compartments of Cerebellar Neurons

At the light microscopic level, our data indicated a widespread distribution of $G_{\alpha o}$ throughout the cerebellar cortex. The use of pre-embedding immunogold revealed that immunolabeling detected in the molecular layer of the cerebellar cortex is attributed to the abundance of $G_{\alpha o}$ in PCs, where most immunoparticles localized to the cytoplasmic face of the plasma membrane. At this location, they function as relay proteins between membrane-bound GPCRs, including GABA$_B$ receptors, and their target signaling molecules (Wettscureck and Offermanns, 2005; de Oliveira et al., 2019). Our ultrastructural localization of immunoreactivity for $G_{\alpha o}$ is in line with immunohistochemical studies reporting the high levels of $G_{\alpha o}$ in the cerebellar cortex (Worley et al., 1986; Schüller et al., 2001), although we extend previous data providing a precise subcellular localization pattern of $G_{\alpha o}$ en different cerebellar neurons and cellular compartments.

In PCs, the majority of $G_{\alpha o}$ immunoparticles were localized to spines, postsynaptic to glutamategic parallel fiber axon terminals, mainly at extrasynaptic sites using pre-embedding immunogold approaches, consistent with the reported subcellular localization of GABA$_B$ receptors (Kulik et al., 2002; Fernández-Alacid et al., 2009). However, when the pre-embedding immunogold method is applied to molecules present at the PSD of excitatory synapses, their detection is hampered (Luján et al., 1996; Fritschy et al., 1998; Watanabe et al., 1998). These difficulties are overcome using the post-embedding immunogold method (Fritschy et al., 1998). Therefore, we applied this approach, resulting in labeling for $G_{\alpha o}$ along the main body of PC-parallel fiber synapses. Consistent with our data, proteomic studies using a TAP-tagged PSD-95 knockin mice showed that $G_{\alpha o}$ appears in postsynaptic densities in vivo (Fernández et al., 2009). Our quantitative analysis showed that this synaptic location of $G_{\alpha o}$ represented a small proportion compared to that located along the extrasynaptic plasma membrane and cytoplasmic sites. In agreement with previous studies using similar quantitative immunohistochemical approaches that reported the presence of GABA$_B$ receptors in the same synaptic compartments (Kulik et al., 2002; Fernández-Alacid et al., 2009), we further revealed using double labeling immunogold that GABA$_B$ receptors and $G_{\alpha o}$ occupied the same PSD in PC-parallel fiber synapses. Furthermore, the combination of two gold particles of different size allowed us to demonstrate that $G_{\alpha o}$ and GABA$_B$ receptors are also spatially close to each other. Compiling evidence showed that GABA$_B$ receptors form macromolecular complexes with G proteins and downstream effectors (Clancy et al., 2005; Fowler et al., 2007; Fernández-Alacid et al., 2009; Ciruela et al., 2010; Laviv et al., 2011; Fajardo-Serrano et al., 2013; Schwenik et al., 2016). Thus, it seems reasonable to think that the $G_{\alpha o}$ subunits act to regulate the activity of GABA$_B$ receptors at synaptic and extrasynaptic sites in PCs, in proximity forming macromolecular complexes, where other combining molecules like effector channels and regulatory proteins can be present in the signalosome.

In contrast to the large proportion of $G_{\alpha o}$ around glutamategic synapses in PCs, immunolabeling for $G_{\alpha o}$ was random around dendritic shafts of stellate cells, basket cells and GCs, three neuron types known to express GABA$_B$ receptors (Billinton et al., 1999; Bischoff et al., 1999; Ige et al., 2000). Our quantitative analysis demonstrated a uniform distribution on dendritic shafts of GCs, with no preference in
the G\textsubscript{\(o\)} localization around excitatory synapses established by mossy fibers. In agreement with this distribution pattern, previous studies established that GABA\(B\) receptors show a similar subcellular localization in GC dendrites (Ciruela et al., 2010). Altogether, the ubiquitous distribution of G\textsubscript{\(o\)} in many cerebellar cells suggests the involvement of this G-protein subunit in most cerebellar circuits. The close spatial and functional relation of G\textsubscript{\(o\)} and GABA\(B\) receptors in the same compartments of those neurons remains to be demonstrated.

Additional Subcellular Localizations of G\textsubscript{\(o\)} in the Cerebellar Cortex

In addition to the preferential localization of G\textsubscript{\(o\)} on somatodendritic compartments of PCs, basket cells, stellate cells and GCs, our ultrastructural data also revealed its presence presynaptically in axon terminals from different sources including parallel fibers, mossy fibers, and Golgi cell axons. Existing evidence has shown that signaling through G\textsubscript{i/o} mediate presynaptic inhibitory effects on neurotransmitter release in axon terminals (Brown and Sihra, 2008). Our immunogold labeling showing association of G\textsubscript{\(o\)} with the active zone and extrasynaptic membrane of axon terminals establishing asymmetrical synapses is virtually identical to that described for GABA\(B\) receptors (Fernández-Alacid et al., 2009). These data suggest the involvement of G\textsubscript{\(o\)} in the regulation of neurotransmitter release in the cerebellum, although a direct demonstration is needed. Activation of presynaptic G\textsubscript{i/o}-coupled GABA\(B\) receptors suppress glutamate release in presynaptic PF terminals by dampening Ca\(^{2+}\) influx via P/Q-type and N-type voltage-gated Ca\(^{2+}\) (Ca\(_{\text{V}}\)) channels (Huston et al., 1995). The intermolecular association underlying GPCR-mediated presynaptic inhibition at cerebellar synapses is still unresolved. Our finding suggests that compartmentalization of G\textsubscript{\(o\)} is necessary to underly presynaptic inhibition at cerebellar synapses.

The preferential localization of G\textsubscript{\(o\)} along the plasma membrane of different cerebral neurons, either on postsynaptic and presynaptic sites, favors the classical view by which heterotrimeric G proteins remain associated with the cytoplasmic surface of the plasma membrane during their cellular signaling functions (Oldham and Hamm, 2008). However, our data revealed that G\textsubscript{\(o\)} can be found at diverse subcellular locations. We reveals that around 20% of all immunoparticles were found at intracellular sites, and most of them around subsurface cisternae, which are part of the smooth ER beneath and parallel the plasma membrane of PCs (Palay and Chan-Palay, 1974) and function as a Ca\(^{2+}\) store (Satoh et al., 1990). Similar subcellular location was described for the G\textsubscript{\(q/11\)} subunit (Tanaka et al., 2000), and may represent either a cytoplasmic pool of the G\textsubscript{\(o\)} subunit or a translocation molecule from the plasma membrane acting as a transducer between membrane-bound receptors and intracellular effectors.

In conclusion, we report here for the first time the cellular and ultrastructural distribution of G\textsubscript{\(o\)}, which exhibit distinct patterns of subcellular localization across cerebellar neurons. This is physiologically important because implies specific functions for G\textsubscript{\(o\)} in the modulation of cellular responses and signaling cascades in a cell-type dependent manner. While further efforts are necessary to confirm the exact mechanism, our data also suggests postsynaptic and presynaptic roles for G\textsubscript{\(o\)} within these neuronal types.
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