Enhanced Anti-Bacterial Activity Of Biogenic Silver Nanoparticles Synthesized From Terminalia mantaly Extracts

Background: The global increase in outbreaks and mortality rates associated with multi-drug-resistant (MDR) bacteria is a major health concern and calls for alternative treatments. Natural-derived products have shown potential in combating the most dreadful diseases, and therefore serve as an effective source of bioactive compounds that can be used as antibacterial agents. These compounds are able to reduce metal ions and cap nanoparticles to form biogenic nanoparticles (NPs) with remarkable anti-bacterial activities. This study explores the use of Terminalia mantaly (TM) extracts for the synthesis of biogenic silver NPs (TM-AgNPs) and the evaluation of their antibacterial activity.

Methods: TM-AgNPs were synthetized by the reduction of AgNO$_3$ with aqueous and methanolic TM extracts. UV–visible (UV-vis) spectrophotometry, Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS), Transmission Electron Microscopy, and Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) analyses were used to characterise the TM-AgNPs. Anti-bacterial activity of the TM extracts and TM-AgNPs was evaluated against eight bacterial strains using the broth microdilution assay. The growth inhibitory kinetics of the bio-active TM-AgNPs was assessed on susceptible strains for a period of 8 hrs.

Results: Polycrystalline biogenic AgNPs with anisotropic shapes and diameter range of 11 to 83 nm were synthesized from the TM extracts. The biogenic TM-AgNPs showed significant antibacterial activity compared to their respective extracts. The MIC values for TM-AgNPs and extracts were 3 and 125 µg/mL, respectively. Biogenic AgNPs synthesised from the aqueous TM leaf extract at 25°C (TML-AgNPs-25°C) showed significant antibacterial activity against all the bacterial strains tested in this study. Their bactericidal effect was particularly higher against the Streptococcus pneumoniae and Haemophilus influenzae.

Conclusion: This study demonstrated the ability of TM extracts to synthesize biogenic AgNPs. The NPs synthesized from the aqueous TM extracts demonstrated higher antibacterial activity against the tested microorganisms compared to the methanolic extracts. Studies are underway to identify the phytochemicals involved in NP synthesis and their mechanism of action.
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Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is increasingly recognized as a growing global health problem and accounts for over 700,000 deaths annually. AMR following bacterial infections in particular, is a major concern due to their high prevalence and mortality rates in both developed and developing countries. Europe and the United States of America (USA) have been reported to have high rates of AM-resistant bacterial infections and associated mortality rates. More than 20,000 people in
Europe and the USA succumb to antibiotic-resistant bacterial infections per annum. Thus, the problems associated with the rise of multi-drug-resistant (MDR) bacterial infections have prompted a need for novel and cost-effective antimicrobial agents. Recent advancements in nanotechnology-based medicines have opened new horizons for developing strategies that can combat AMR. Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) have shown excellent bactericidal properties against a range of microorganisms, including the most problematic antibiotic-resistant strains such as *Bacillus subtilis*, *Escherichia coli*, *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus faecalis*. NPs compared to their bulk counterparts have unique properties attributed to their small size, which can increase the efficacy and bioavailability of antimicrobial agents. A variety of protocols for the synthesis of different geometric shapes and sizes of AgNPs have been reported. However, most of the protocols involve the use of toxic chemicals as reducing and capping agents which are likely to produce toxic by-products. The by-products might have adverse effects on human health and the environment. Conversely, green biosynthetic methods that use natural products as both reducing and capping agents have emerged as alternative synthesis routes. The green synthesis of nanomaterials has generated a great interest as it provides a simple, cost-effective, readily scalable, and eco-friendly products with minimal toxicity towards human beings. Natural products are used extensively as reducing as well as capping agents to prevent the agglomeration and increase the stability of the synthesized AgNPs. The synthesis of AgNPs of different shapes and sizes using various plants extracts has been reported. Such biogenic AgNPs demonstrated enhanced antibacterial activity on a wide spectrum of multi-drug-resistant gram-positive and -negative bacterial pathogens. The precise mechanism of antibacterial activity of AgNPs is not fully understood. It is speculated that their small size could increase oxidative stress in the cells by generating reactive oxygen species or attacking the fatty acids in the cell membranes resulting in increased lipid peroxidation. AgNPs once inside the bacterial cells, destabilizes the intracellular structures and biomolecules, consequently inducing death.

The current study reports on the synthesis of AgNPs using aqueous and methanolic extracts of the *Terminalia mantaly* (TM) plant. The study also investigates the antibacterial activity of TM extracts and AgNPs. Extracts from *Terminalia* species (Combretaceae) such as *Terminalia catappa*, *Terminalia bellirica*, *Terminalia bentazoe*, *Terminalia mellueri*, *Terminalia arjuna* and *Terminalia cheduba* have been widely used by traditional healers to treat diseases such as cancer, dysentery, diabetes, mycosis and bacterial infections. *Terminalia* extracts are commonly rich in phenolics, flavonoids, alkaloids, triterpenoids, and tannins. These phytochemicals can potentially be used as reducing agents in the synthesis of colloidal gold and silver metallic NPs. Previous studies have shown that TM extracts can inhibit the growth of a wide range of pathogenic bacteria. Moreover, silver and gold NPs synthesized from *Terminalia* species namely *Terminalia cheduba*, *Terminalia catappa*, *Terminalia mellueri*, *Terminalia bentazoe* and *Terminalia bellirica* have demonstrated a wide range of biological activities such as antibacterial, antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties. To the best of our knowledge, this will be the first study to demonstrate the biosynthesis and antibacterial activity of AgNPs produced from TM extracts.

**Materials And Methods**

**TM Sample Collection And Identification**

The TM plant materials were collected from Yaoundé (Cameroon, West Africa). Fresh leaves (TML), stem bark (TMSB) and roots (TMR) were harvested from mature TM plants. The identity of the plant was confirmed at the National Herbarium (Yaoundé, Cameroon), the reference number of the herbarium sample is 64,212/HNC.

**TM Samples Preparation And Extraction**

The plant material was washed with distilled water, cut into small pieces and dried at room temperature (25°C) in the dark. The dried samples were ground into powder using industrial food grinders. The samples were stored in a desiccator at room temperature until further processing. The aqueous and methanolic TM extracts denoted by \(_m\)TM and \(_a\)TM, respectively, were obtained by maceration procedure. Briefly, 100 g fine powder of TML, TMSB and TMR samples were soaked in 1 L of either methanol (for 48 hrs) or sterile distilled water (for 72 hrs) at room temperature. The extraction procedure was repeated three times and filtered using Whatman No 1 filter paper. The methanolic filtrates were evaporated to dryness using a rotary evaporator (Büchi 011, Flawil, Switzerland). The aqueous filtrates were lyophilized using a Martin Christ Beta 2–8 lyophilizer (Germany). The extracts were stored at 4°C.
Green Synthesis Of AgNPs Using TM Extracts

The optimal conditions for the synthesis of the AgNPs were obtained using a previously reported method. Stock concentrations (50 mg/mL) of the aqueous and methanolic extracts were prepared in distilled water. Small-scale synthesis was carried out in a 96 well plate to obtain the optimal concentration of TM extracts and temperature. Briefly, 50 µL of TM or mTM extracts (at concentrations ranging from 0.78 to 50 mg/mL) were placed in a 96 well plate and 250 µL of 3 mM AgNO₃ was added to the plant extracts. The samples were prepared in duplicate plates. One plate was incubated at 25°C and a second plate at 70°C, while shaking at 40 rpm for 24 hrs. The optimum concentration of extracts was used to scale up the AgNP synthesis from 300 µL to 2 mL. The AgNPs were recovered by centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 10 min. The AgNPs were washed with sterile distilled water to remove excess AgNO₃ and plant extract. The TM-AgNPs were re-suspended in sterile distilled water and stored at 4°C.

Characterization Of TM-AgNPs

UV-Vis Spectrophotometry And DLS Analysis

UV-vis analysis (350–700 nm) of the TM-AgNPs was performed using a POLAR star Omega microplate reader (BMG Labtech, Germany). The hydrodynamic diameter, polydispersity index (Pdi) and zeta potential of the synthesized TM-AgNPs were analysed by DLS using a Malvern Nano ZS90 Zetasizer (Malvern, UK).

HRTEM Characterization Of TM-AgNPs

The morphology, core size, and the crystallinity of the TM-AgNPs were characterized by High-Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM) using a FEI Tecnai G2 20 field-emission HRTEM (Oregon, OR, USA). HRTEM was also used for Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX) and Selected Area Electron Diffraction (SAED) analysis. The samples were prepared by drop-coating one drop of each sample onto a carbon-coated copper grid. The samples were dried under a Xenon lamp for 10 min and analysed by HRTEM. Transmission electron micrographs were captured in bright field mode at an accelerating voltage of 200 KeV. EDX spectra were collected using an EDX liquid nitrogen cooled Lithium doped silicon detector. The TEM micrographs were analysed using Image J Software (50b version 1.8.0_60, http://imagej.nih.gov/ij).

FTIR Analysis Of TM-AgNPs

FTIR analysis of the TM-AgNPs and extracts was performed using a JASCO 460 plus spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer, Massachusetts, MA, USA) with a frequency ranging from 4,000 to 400 cm⁻¹. The TM-AgNPs were dried in an oven at 70°C. The TM extracts and AgNPs powders were mixed with potassium bromide (KBr) powder and pressed into a pellet prior to FTIR analysis. Background correction was made using a reference blank KBr pellet. The baseline corrections were performed for all spectra.

Screening Of Antibacterial Activity Of TM Extracts And AgNPs

The antibacterial activity of the TM extracts and AgNPs was assessed on eight bacterial strains according to the guidelines set by Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (M07A9, 2012) with slight modifications. Some of the bacterial strains that are listed in Table 1 were a kind gift from Biodefense and Emerging Infections Research Resources Repository (BEI resources, Rockville, MD 20,852) and some were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA).

Table 1 List Of Bacterial Strains Used For Anti-Bacterial Activity

| Bacterial Strains            | Acronym                  | Reference No. | Supplier      |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Streptococcus pneumoniae     | S. pneumoniae            | ATCC 49619    | ATCC          |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae        | K. pneumoniae            | ATCC 13883    | ATCC          |
| Haemophilus influenzae       | H. influenzae            | ATCC 49247    | ATCC          |
| Shigella flexneri            | S. flexneri              | NR-518        | BEI resources |
| Salmonella enterica          | S. enterica              | NR-1355       | BEI resources |
| Salmonella enterica          | S. enterica              | NR-4294       | BEI resources |
| Salmonella enterica enterica | S. enterica enterica     | NR-4311       | BEI resources |
| Staphylococcus aureus        | S. aureus                | NR-45003      | BEI resources |

Notes: S. enterica (Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica A36 (Serovar Typhimurium) vs S. enterica (Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica 2004 Pennsylvania Tomato Outbreak, Serovar Anatum, Isolate 4).

Abbreviations: ATCC; American Type Culture Collection; BEI resources, biodefense and emerging infections research resources repository.
Mueller–Hinton broth (Sigma, MO, USA) was inoculated with single bacterial colonies and the cultures were incubated at 37°C with shaking at 400 rpm for 18–24 hrs. The bacterial suspensions were subsequently standardized to 0.5 McFarland (~1.5×10⁸ cells/mL) at 450 nm. Each inoculum was diluted to a final concentration of 5×10⁵ cells/mL and further dispensed in a 96 well plate at 100 µL per well. Single point inhibitory effect of TM extracts and TM-AgNPs was determined against the eight bacterial strains. To this end, 100 µL of _S. aureus_ (500 µg/mL), _m_ _S. enterica_ (500 µg/mL) or TM-AgNPs (12.5 µg/mL) were individually added to wells containing 100 µL bacteria and the plates were incubated for 24 hrs. Ampicillin was used as the positive control at 128 µg/mL. The turbidity of the bacterial culture, which was visually examined, was used as an indication of bacterial growth. Growth inhibition was defined by reduction in the turbidity of the bacterial culture. The susceptibility of the bacterial strains to the TM extracts and TM-AgNPs was expressed as the number of the strains that showed growth inhibition. The percentage of bacterial growth inhibition was calculated according to the following formula:

\[
\% \text{ Growth in hibition} = \left( \frac{\text{Number of strains in hibited by the test sample}}{\text{Total number of tested strains}} \right) \times 100
\]

**Dose–Response Studies And Evaluation Of The MIC For Active Extracts And TM-AgNPs**

MICs were determined for TM-AgNPs that inhibited bacterial growth by more than 75% (i.e. the _TMSB-70°C_ and _TML_ AgNPs-25°C) using the microdilution assay as described above. The bacteria ( _S. pneumoniae_, _K. pneumoniae_, _H. influenzae_, _S. flexneri_, _S. enterica_, _S. enterica enterica_ and _S. aureus_) were treated with increasing concentrations of the crude extracts (0–500 µg/mL) and TM-AgNPs (0–12.5 µg/mL). The negative (untreated) and positive (treatment with ampicillin at 0–128 µg/mL) controls were also included. After 24 hrs of treatment, the turbidity of the bacterial suspension was visually assessed as an indication of bacterial growth. The lowest concentration that inhibited the visible growth of bacteria was recorded as the MIC. All the experiments were performed in triplicate.

**Bacterial Growth Inhibition Kinetics Of Active TM-AgNPs**

The bacterial growth kinetics following treatment with _TMSB_ and _TML_ AgNPs was studied using a protocol that was previously published.³⁷,³⁸ Briefly, the susceptible bacterial strains were treated with the following concentrations: 1 × MIC, 1/2 × MIC and 1/4 × MIC of each AgNP. Ampicillin was used as a positive control at its MIC value. The assays were performed in triplicate in a 96 well plates. The OD of bacterial cultures was measured at 630 nm at different time points (2, 3, 4, 6, 7 and 8 hr) to monitor bacterial growth rate, and the growth curves were plotted as absorbance (OD 630 nm) versus time (hrs).

**Statistical Analysis**

The results were analysed using Graph pad prism 6.0. The data are presented as means ± SD according to one-way ANOVA test followed by a post hoc multiple comparisons (Tukey’s test). A p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant and represented by an asterisk (*). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

**Results And Discussion**

**Physical And UV Vis Analysis Of TM-AgNPs**

The colour of colloidal solutions of AgNPs can vary from yellow-green to blue, depending on the size and morphology of synthesized NPs as demonstrated by Raza et al.³⁹ For biogenic AgNPs, the variation in colours is also dependent on the concentration of the extracts and the temperature applied in the synthesis of the AgNPs.³⁸ These colour changes have been reported in several studies.⁴⁰,⁴¹ Figure 1 shows that the colour of AgNO₃/ TM extract mixtures changed to yellow, brown or green. These colour changes observed during the synthesis of AgNPs is one of the first indications that AgNP synthesis was successful. Figure 1 shows that _TMR-AgNP, TML-AgNP_ and _TMSB-AgNP_ produced a yellow colour, while _TML-AgNP_ produced a green colour and _TMSB-AgNP_ produced a brown colour.

Due to surface plasmon resonance (SPR), UV-vis spectrophotometric analysis of AgNP that are between 10 and 100 nm in size typically produces absorbance peaks (λ max) from 400 to 500 nm. As shown in Figure 2 and Table 2, the absorbance peaks of the TM-AgNPs ranged from 438 to 480 nm. This also confirms the presence of AgNPs following the reactions using TM extracts. Flavonoids and phenolic compounds are the major components in the TM extracts.³² These phenolic and hydroxylated constituents are most likely responsible for the reduction of AgNO₃ to form the AgNP.⁴² The peak intensity of AgNP synthesised at 70°C was significantly higher, which suggests that the concentration of the NPs was higher at 70°C than at 25°C.
In fact, based on UV-vis spectrophotometric analysis, synthesis of \( m \)TMSB-AgNP and \( m \)TML-AgNP at 25°C was not very successful as the peak intensities for these AgNPs were very low. The absorbance peaks for \( a \)TMR-AgNP, \( m \)TMSB-AgNP and \( m \)TML-AgNP (produced at 70°C) were much sharper compared to the absorbance peaks for \( a \)TMR-AgNP, \( m \)TMSB-AgNP and \( m \)TML-AgNP (also produced at 70°C). This suggests that \( m \)TMR-AgNP, \( m \)TMSB-AgNP and \( m \)TML-AgNP are smaller and more uniform than \( a \)TMR-AgNP, \( m \)TMSB-AgNP and \( m \)TML-AgNP.

### DLS And FTIR Analyses Of TM-AgNPs

The hydrodynamic diameter, zeta potential and polydispersity index (Pdi) of the TM-AgNPs were measured by the DLS. As shown in Table 2, the sizes of the TM-AgNPs varied depending on the extract used for the synthesis and temperature (25°C versus 70°C) at which synthesis was done. The hydrodynamic diameter of the TM-AgNPs ranged from 11 to 83 nm. The \( a \)TML extract produced smaller AgNPs compared to the other extracts. The zeta potential of the synthesized TM-AgNPs ranged from −12 to −37 mV. Zeta potential is an important parameter used to assess the charge of the NP surface and predicts the long-term stability of the NPs. NPs with negative zeta potential values suggest there are strong repulsion forces between the NPs, which will prevent the agglomeration of the NPs in solution.\(^{11,43}\) NPs with a zeta potential within the +30 mV to −30 mV range are considered to be stable, while those outside this range will likely aggregate due to inter-particle van der Waal’s attractions. The \( a \)TMSB-AgNPs were the only NPs with zeta potential values outside this range (−34 and −37 mV for TM-AgNPs synthesized at 25°C and 70°C, respectively) (Table 2). This suggests that all the TM-AgNPs except for \( m \)TMSB-AgNPs are stable. The Pdi, which is an indication of uniformity, is an important parameter of NPs to consider when assessing the application of NPs, since Pdi can affect the surface conjugation chemistry and NP aggregation.\(^{44}\) According to the International Organization for Standardization (ISO),\(^ {45}\) Pdi values >0.7 indicate that the samples have a very broad size distribution, while Pdi values ≤0.5 are more monodispersed.\(^ {49}\) Based on the Pdi values obtained for \( a \)TM-AgNPs, \( a \)TMSB-AgNPs, \( a \)TMR-AgNPs and \( m \)TMR-AgNPs synthesised at 25°C, these TM-AgNPs are monodispersed. Similarly, \( a \)TML-AgNPs and \( m \)TMSB-AgNPs synthesised at 70°C are also monodispersed.
Various phytochemicals that are present in the plant extracts are expected to play a role in the synthesis of AgNPs. These phytochemicals are involved in the reduction of AgNO₃ and stabilization of the AgNPs. Different chemical classes influence the production of AgNPs, as well as their shape, size and bio-activity. 

Abbreviations: AgNPs, silver nanoparticles; TM, Terminalia mantaly; TML-AgNPs, AgNPs from TM leaf extracts; TMSB-AgNPs, AgNPs from TM stem bark extract; TMR-AgNPs, AgNPs from TM root extracts; SPR, surface plasmon resonance; UV, ultraviolet; PD, particle diameter; ZP, Zeta potential; Pdi, Polydispersity index; DLS, dynamic light scattering.

Table 2 SPR And DLS Analysis Of TM-AgNPs Synthesized At 25°C And 70°C

| TM-AgNPs | [Extract] (mg/mL) | λmax (nm) | PD (nm) | Pdi | ZP (mV) | [Extract] (mg/mL) | λmax (nm) | PD (nm) | Pdi | ZP (mV) |
|-----------|------------------|-----------|---------|-----|---------|------------------|-----------|---------|-----|---------|
| TML-AgNPs | 0.78             | 438       | 11      | 0.50| −24     | 1.56             | 460       | 18      | 0.40| −26     |
| TML-AgNPs | 1.56             | 474       | 44      | 0.60| −22     | 1.56             | 458       | 22      | 0.80| −29     |
| TMSB-AgNPs| 0.78             | 464       | 28      | 0.22| −34     | 1.56             | 460       | 56      | 0.46| −37     |
| TMSB-AgNPs| 1.56             | 480       | 60      | 0.62| −12     | 1.56             | 458       | 58      | 0.53| −12     |
| TMR-AgNPs | 3.12             | 472       | 39      | 0.40| −23     | 3.125            | 478       | 30      | 0.88| −24     |
| TMR-AgNPs | 0.78             | 450       | 83      | 0.48| −22     | 0.78             | 438       | 67      | 0.51| −28     |

Abbreviations: AgNPs, silver nanoparticles; TM, Terminalia mantaly; _a_, aqueous extracts; _m_, methanolic extracts; TML-AgNPs, AgNPs from TM leaf extracts; TMSB-AgNPs, AgNPs from TM stem bark extracts; TMR-AgNPs, AgNPs from TM root extracts; SPR, surface plasmon resonance; UV, ultraviolet; PD, particle diameter; ZP, Zeta potential; Pdi, Polydispersity index; DLS, dynamic light scattering.

Figure 2 UV–vis spectral profiles of TM-AgNPs synthesised at 25°C and 70°C.

Notes: AgNPs were synthesized by reducing AgNO₃ with aqueous (A) and methanolic (B) TM extracts. The optical properties of the NPs were measured by UV–vis spectrophotometry.

Abbreviations: AgNPs, silver nanoparticles; TM, Terminalia mantaly; TML-AgNPs, AgNPs from TM leaf extract; TMSB-AgNPs, AgNPs from TM stem bark extract; TMR-AgNPs, AgNPs from TM root extract.
such, it is expected that some of the phytochemicals that are present in the extract will be present on the TM-AgNPs. Comparative FTIR spectroscopy analysis between the TM extracts and the accompanying AgNPs was used to demonstrate this (Figure 3). In Figure 3, the FTIR spectrum of aTML-AgNPs synthesized at 25°C is compared to the spectrum of the TML extract, while the aTMSB-AgNPs synthesized at 70°C is compared to the aTMSB extract. Several commonalities between the spectra of the extracts and their respective TM-AgNPs could be identified. This suggests that the extracts and their respective TM-AgNPs share similar functional groups, which originate from phytochemicals that were involved in the synthesis of the TM-AgNPs. Shifts in the peak in the FTIR spectra of aTMSB and aTML extracts and their respective AgNPs are summarized in Table 3. In particular, the spectra of aTML extract and AgNPs produced at 25°C were characterized by methyl (C-H) rock alkanes vibration band at 1338.32 and 1384.39 cm⁻¹, and the C-H stretching observed between 2946.61 and 2830.64 cm⁻¹. Moreover, there was a C=C double-bond stretching at 1640.29 and 1638.56 cm⁻¹. Additionally, strong OH group vibrations assigned to phenol bands at 3647.29 and 3712.96 cm⁻¹ were detected in both samples (Figure 4A and B).

The FTIR spectra of aTMSB extract and AgNPs produced at 70° C showed fewer similarities between the two samples (Figure 3C and D). The spectra of aTMSB extract revealed intense bands at 1048.80 cm⁻¹ attributed to carbonyls (C=O) vibrations that were absent on aTMSB-AgNPs produced. Some similarities in the two samples were observed; the spectra of aTMSB extract and AgNPs revealed bands at 1384.03 and 1384.96 corresponding to methyl (C-H) rock alkanes deformation, 1639.21 and 1638.68 bands attributed to stretch alkenes (C=C), 2930.41 and 2789.28 bands corresponding to C-H stretching, 3717.06 and 3731.61 intense bands attributed to O-H from alcohols and phenols vibrations. The shift in the different functional groups might be caused by the polyphenolics, flavonoids, and terpenoids present in the TM extracts. The same phytochemicals might be responsible for reducing, capping and stabilization of the biogenic AgNPs. Several studies reported that the active components in the plant extracts such as terpenoids and flavonoids act as reducing agent of the silver precursor to form AgNPs.

**HRTEM And EDX Analyses Of Bio-Active TM-AgNPs**

The morphology and size of aTML-AgNPs and aTMSB-AgNPs varied as demonstrated by the HRTEM micrographs in Figure 4A. The AgNPs displayed heterogeneous and polydispersed characteristics. The aTML produced mostly spherical AgNPs at 25°C whereas the same sample produced various geometric shapes such as triangular,
tetrahedral and hexagonal shapes at 70°C. Similar shapes as those of \(a\) TML-AgNPs were observed in AgNPs synthesized by the \(a\) TMSB extract. These anisotropic shapes were likely due to the reducing and capping phytochemicals which not only provided thermodynamic stability but also defined the NP bio-activities.

The crystalline nature of the biogenic AgNPs was confirmed by their SAED patterns highlighted in Figure 4B. The Bragg reflection planes on the face-centered cubic (fcc) patterns of TM-AgNPs, i.e. (111), (200), (220), (311), and (331) matched those in the database of the Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards (JCPDS no. 00-004-0784, USA)\(^{51,52}\) and confirmed that the synthesized AgNPs are composed of pure crystalline silver. These findings are further supported by similar fcc patterns reported for the AgNPs synthesized from \textit{Cannabis sativa}.\(^{51,53}\)

Further characterization of the elemental composition of the TM-AgNPs by EDX confirmed the presence of a signal characteristic of elemental silver. The Energy Dispersive Absorption photographs of the selected TM-AgNPs in Figure 5 displays the Ag\(^+\) peaks at 3 keV. Metallic AgNPs typically show a strong signal peak at this position due to their SPR.\(^{54}\) Peaks of other elements such as Cu and C were also detected, where C corresponds to the organic matrix and lacy carbon on the TEM grid, Cu is observed since the grid is made up of Cu, and the S, Si, Cl and N peaks correspond to the molecules capping the TM-AgNPs.

### Antibacterial Activity Of TM Extracts And AgNPs

The antibacterial effects of TM extracts and AgNPs were evaluated against eight bacterial strains, of which two were Gram positive (\textit{S. pneumoniae} and \textit{S. aureus}) and the other six were Gram negative. The bacterial cultures were treated with a single dose of 500 µg/mL of TM extracts and 12.5 µg/mL of TM-AgNPs. This was done as a quick and easy test of the antimicrobial

| Peak Position In Extracts (cm\(^{-1}\)) | Peak Position In AgNPs At 25 °C (cm\(^{-1}\)) | Shift In Position (cm\(^{-1}\)) | Type Of Chemical Bond | Peak Position In Extracts (cm\(^{-1}\)) | Peak Position In AgNPs At 70°C (cm\(^{-1}\)) | Shift In Position (cm\(^{-1}\)) | Type Of Chemical Bond |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1338.32                                | 1384.39                                       | +46.07                         | C–H methyl rock alkanes| 1048.80                               | --------                                 | --------                                 | C–O Stretch                       |
| 1640.29                                | 1638.56                                       | –1.73                          | –C=C=– stretch alkenes | 1131.16                               | --------                                 | --------                                 | –C=C– stretch alkenes            |
| 1876.99                                | 1884.90                                       | +7.91                          | C=O Anhydrides         | 1384.03                               | 1384.96                                     | +0.93                                 | C–H methyl rock alkanes        |
| 2946.61                                | 2830.64                                       | –115.97                        | H=C=O:C–H stretch aldehydes | 1639.21                               | 1638.68                                     | –0.53                                 | –C=C– stretch alkenes          |
| 3530.47                                | 3417.07                                       | –112.48                        | OH Alcohol, phenols    | 2016.03                               | 2034.58                                     | +18.55                                | –C=C– stretch alkenes          |
| 3545.10                                | 3417.99                                       | –127.11                        | H–C=O:C–H stretch aldehydes | 2930.41                               | 2789.28                                     | –141.13                               | OH Alcohol, phenols            |
| 3647.29                                | 3712.96                                       | +65.67                         |                              | 3530.72                               | 3530.35                                     | +0.37                                 |                              |
|                                        |                                               |                                |                        | 3544.94                               | 3544.99                                     | +0.05                                 |                              |
|                                        |                                               |                                |                        | 3717.06                               | 3731.61                                     | +14.55                                |                              |

\textbf{Note:} Shift values were calculated by subtracting the peak position in TM-AgNPs from the peak position in TM-extracts.

\textbf{Abbreviations:} FTIR, Fourier-transform infrared; AgNPs, silver nanoparticles; TM, \textit{Terminalia mantaly}; \(a\) TML, aqueous TM leaf extracts; \(a\) TMSB, aqueous TM stem bark.
activity of the TM extracts and TM-AgNPs. Figure 6 and Table 4 show that four of the treatments (\textsubscript{a}TML-AgNPs-25\textdegree C (\textsubscript{a}TML-AgNPs synthesised at 25\textdegree C), \textsubscript{a}TML-AgNPs-70\textdegree C (\textsubscript{a}TML-AgNPs synthesised at 70\textdegree C), \textsubscript{a}TMSB-AgNPs-25\textdegree C (\textsubscript{a}TMSB-AgNPs synthesised at 25\textdegree C) and \textsubscript{m}TMSB) inhibited the growth in a significant number of the eight bacterial strains tested in this study. \textsubscript{a}TML-AgNPs-25\textdegree C and \textsubscript{a}TML-AgNPs-70\textdegree C inhibited the growth in all eight strains, while \textsubscript{a}TMSB-AgNPs-25\textdegree C and \textsubscript{m}TMSB inhibited the growth in 80% and 50% of the strains, respectively. \textsubscript{m}TMSB was the most active extract and inhibited growth in a significant number (four) of the strains. Generally, three of the strains (\textit{K. pneumoniae}, \textit{H. influenzae} and \textit{S. flexneri}) were more susceptible to the effects of the treatments, while \textit{S. enterica enterica} was more resistant (Table 4).

The lowest concentration of the treatments required to inhibit visible growth of the bacteria (i.e. the MIC) was determined after 24 hr treatment with the TM extracts and AgNPs. The MIC values for the treatments are summarized in Table 5. The lowest MIC value (3.12 µg/mL) was obtained for \textsubscript{a}TMSB-AgNPs-25\textdegree C and \textsubscript{a}TML-AgNPs-25\textdegree C against \textit{H. influenzae}. 

\textbf{Figure 4} HRTEM micrographs and SAED patterns of selected TM-AgNPs. 
\textbf{Notes:} The size, shape and SAED patterns of \textsubscript{a}TML-25\textdegree C and \textsubscript{m}TML-70\textdegree C AgNPs were analyzed by HRTEM. Scale bar from 20 to 50 nm. 
\textbf{Abbreviations:} AgNPs, silver nanoparticles; TM, \textit{Terminalia mantaly}; \textsubscript{a}TML, aqueous TM leaf extract; \textsubscript{a}TMSB, aqueous TM stem bark; HRTEM, high resolution transmission electron microscope; SAED, selected area electron diffraction.
aTML-AgNPs-25°C and aTMSB-AgNPs-70°C appears to have more significant antimicrobial activity than the other TM-AgNPs. This is based on the findings that these two TM-AgNPs have the lowest MIC values against the largest number of bacterial strains. MIC values for aTML-AgNPs-25°C varied between 6.24 and 12.50 µg/mL in six of the eight strains, while the MIC values for aTMSB-AgNPs-70°C varied between 3.12 and 6.24 µg/mL in five of the eight strains.

The absence of antibacterial activity observed in some of the AgNPs may be due to various factors, either the phytochemicals responsible for capping the NPs had adsorbed to the NPs through its active site, or the phytochemicals simply have no antibacterial activity as demonstrated by the crude extracts. Size of the NPs also plays a role in NP activity. NPs with smaller sizes are usually more efficient as they can easily pass through cellular barriers and disrupt the physiological functions of the bacteria once internalized. As such, the efficacy of aTML-AgNPs could be attributed to their smaller diameter. Their small size could allow for efficient binding and uptake by bacteria.

Figure 5 (A,B) EDX spectra of AgNPs synthesized from aTML and aTMSB AgNPs.
Notes: Silver nanocrystallites display an optical absorption band peak at approximately 3 keV (red arrow), which is typical of the absorption of metallic silver nanocrystallites due to their SPR. Abbreviations: AgNPs, silver nanoparticles; TM, Terminalia mantaly; aTML, aqueous TM leaf extract; aTMSB, aqueous TM stem bark; EDX, Energy Dispersive X-ray.

Figure 6 Screening of the antibacterial effects of TM extracts and AgNPs against a panel of bacterial strains.
Notes: Eight bacterial strains were treated with TM extracts and AgNPs for 24 hrs. Inhibitory effects of TM samples are expressed based on the number of strains that showed growth inhibition. ***P < 0.001.
Abbreviations: AgNPs, silver nanoparticles; TM, Terminalia mantaly; a, represents aqueous extracts; m, represents methanolic extracts; TML, TM leaf extracts; TMR, TM root extracts; TMSB, TM stem bark extracts.
Furthermore, the active phytochemicals at specific temperatures might be responsible for the biological activities of the AgNPs. Additionally, the active phytochemicals at specific temperatures might be responsible for the biological activities of the AgNPs. Furthermore, the active phytochemicals at specific temperatures might be responsible for the biological activities of the AgNPs. Furthermore, the active phytochemicals at specific temperatures might be responsible for the biological activities of the AgNPs. Furthermore, the active phytochemicals at specific temperatures might be responsible for the biological activities of the AgNPs.

### Table 4: Comparison of the Bacterial Susceptibility to TM Extracts and AgNPs

| Treatment            | S. enterica<sup>a</sup> | S. pneumoniae | S. aureus | K. pneumoniae | S. enterica<sup>a</sup> subsp. enterica | S. enterica<sup>a</sup> | S. flexneri | H. influenzae |
|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| TMSB                 | –                       | –             | –         | –             | –                                      | –                       | –           | –            |
| TMSB-AgNPs-25°C      | –                       | –             | –         | –             | –                                      | –                       | –           | –            |
| TMSB-AgNPs-70°C      | –                       | –             | –         | –             | –                                      | –                       | –           | –            |
| TMSB                 | –                       | ✓             | ✓         | ✓             | ✓                                      | ✓                       | –           | –            |
| TMSB-AgNPs-25°C      | –                       | –             | –         | –             | –                                      | ✓                       | –           | –            |
| TMSB                 | ✓                       | ✓             | ✓         | ✓             | ✓                                      | ✓                       | –           | –            |
| TMSB-AgNPs-70°C      | ✓                       | ✓             | ✓         | ✓             | ✓                                      | ✓                       | –           | –            |
| TMSB                 | ✓                       | ✓             | ✓         | ✓             | ✓                                      | ✓                       | –           | –            |
| TMSB-AgNPs-25°C      | –                       | –             | –         | –             | –                                      | –                       | –           | –            |
| TMSB                 | –                       | –             | –         | –             | –                                      | –                       | –           | –            |
| TMSB-AgNPs-70°C      | –                       | –             | –         | –             | –                                      | –                       | –           | –            |
| TMSB                 | ✓                       | ✓             | ✓         | ✓             | ✓                                      | ✓                       | –           | –            |
| TMSB-AgNPs-25°C      | –                       | –             | –         | –             | –                                      | –                       | –           | –            |
| TMSB                 | –                       | –             | –         | –             | –                                      | –                       | –           | –            |
| TMSB-AgNPs-70°C      | –                       | –             | –         | –             | –                                      | –                       | –           | –            |
| TMSB                 | ✓                       | ✓             | ✓         | ✓             | ✓                                      | ✓                       | –           | –            |

**Notes:** Eight bacterial strains were treated with TM extracts and AgNPs for 24 hrs. Inhibitory effects of TM samples were visually assessed to identify the strains that were susceptible to TM extracts and AgNPs. The TM-AgNPs were the most active and inhibited growth of all bacterial strains, compared to the crude extracts and other AgNPs. S. enterica<sup>a</sup> (Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica A36 (Serovar Typhimurium)) vs. S. enterica<sup>a</sup> (Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica 2004 Pennsylvania Tomato Outbreak, Serovar Anatum, Isolate 4).

**Abbreviations:** AgNPs, Silver nanoparticles; TM, Terminalia mantaly; a, represents aqueous extracts; m, represents methanolic extracts; TML, TM leaf extracts; TMR, TM root extracts; TMSB, TM stem bark extracts; S. pneumoniae, Streptococcus pneumoniae; S. enterica enterica, Salmonella enterica enterica; H. influenzae, Haemophilus influenzae, S. flexneri, Shigella flexneri; K. pneumoniae, Klebsiella pneumoniae; S. aureus, Staphylococcus aureus, S. enterica Enterica; –, no bacterial activity; ✓, bacterial activity.

### Table 5: MIC for Crude TM Extracts and Its Derivate AgNPs on Selected Bacterial Strains

| Treatment            | MIC (µg/mL)                                                                 |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                      | S. enterica<sup>a</sup> | S. pneumoniae | S. aureus | K. pneumoniae | S. enterica<sup>a</sup> | S. enterica<sup>a</sup> | S. flexneri | H. influenzae |
| TMSB                 | >500                        | >500          | >500      | >500          | >500                     | >500                     | >500        | 125          |
| TMSB-AgNPs-25°C      | >12.50                      | >12.50        | >12.50    | >12.50        | >12.50                   | >12.50                   | >12.50      | 3.12         |
| TMSB-AgNPs-70°C      | >12.50                      | >12.50        | >12.50    | >12.50        | >12.50                   | >12.50                   | >12.50      | >12.50       |
| TMSB                 | >500                        | >500          | >500      | >500          | >500                     | >500                     | >500        | >500         |
| TMSB-AgNPs-25°C      | >12.50                      | >12.50        | >12.50    | >12.50        | >12.50                   | >12.50                   | >12.50      | >12.50       |
| TMSB                 | >500                        | >500          | >500      | >500          | >500                     | >500                     | >500        | >500         |
| TMSB-AgNPs-70°C      | >12.50                      | >12.50        | >12.50    | >12.50        | >12.50                   | >12.50                   | >12.50      | >12.50       |
| TMR                  | >500                        | >500          | >500      | >500          | >500                     | >500                     | >500        | >500         |
| TMR-AgNPs-25°C       | >12.50                      | >12.50        | >12.50    | >12.50        | >12.50                   | >12.50                   | >12.50      | >12.50       |
| Ampicillin           | 32                          | 4             | 8         | 16            | 32                       | 16                       | 32          | 16           |

**Notes:** S. enterica<sup>a</sup> (Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica A36 (Serovar Typhimurium)) vs. S. enterica<sup>a</sup> (Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica 2004 Pennsylvania Tomato Outbreak, Serovar Anatum, Isolate 4).

**Abbreviations:** AgNPs, Silver nanoparticles; TM, Terminalia mantaly; a, represents aqueous extracts; m, represents methanolic extracts; TML, TM leaf extracts; TMR, TM root extracts; TMSB, TM stem bark extracts; S. pneumoniae, Streptococcus pneumoniae; S. enterica enterica, Salmonella enterica enterica; H. influenzae, Haemophilus influenzae, S. flexneri, Shigella flexneri; K. pneumoniae, Klebsiella pneumoniae; S. aureus, Staphylococcus aureus, S. enterica- Salmonella enterica; –, no bacterial activity; ✓, bacterial activity.
Figure 7 Growth inhibitory activities of TML-AgNPs-25°C against selected bacterial strains.

Notes: Growth curves of (A) S. pneumoniae, (B) S. enterica enterica, (C) H. influenzae, (D) S. flexneri, (E) K. pneumoniae, and (F) S. enterica (Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica A36 Serovar Typhimurium) after 24 hr treatment. **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.

Abbreviations: AgNPs, Silver nanoparticles; TM, Terminalia mantaly; TML-AgNPs, AgNPs synthesized from aqueous leaf extracts from TM; S. pneumoniae, Streptococcus pneumoniae; S. enterica enterica, Salmonella enterica enterica; H. influenzae, Haemophilus influenzae; S. flexneri, Shigella flexneri; K. pneumoniae, Klebsiella pneumoniae; S. aureus, Staphylococcus aureus; S. enterica, Salmonella enterica.
Figure 8 Growth inhibitory activities of TMSB-AgNPs-70°C against selected bacterial strains.

Notes: Growth curves of (A) S. pneumoniae, (B) S. enterica enterica, (C) H. influenzae, (D) S. flexineri, (E) K. pneumoniae, and (F) S. aureus after 24 hr treatment. ***P < 0.001.

Abbreviations: AgNPs, Silver nanoparticles; TM, Terminalia mantaly; TMSB-AgNPs, AgNPs synthesized from aqueous Stem Bark extracts from TM; S. pneumoniae, Streptococcus pneumoniae; S. enterica enterica, Salmonella enterica enterica; H. influenzae, Haemophilus influenzae; S. flexineri, Shigella flexineri; K. pneumoniae, Klebsiella pneumoniae; S. aureus, Staphylococcus aureus; S. enterica, Salmonella enterica.
the same response in bacteria, their respective AgNPs possess enhanced antibacterial activities against both Gram-negative and Gram-positive strains.

**Growth Inhibitory Kinetics Of TM-AgNPs**

Based on the results for the antibacterial screening (Figure 6), TML-AgNPs synthesised at 25°C (TML-AgNPs-25°C) and TMSB-AgNPs synthesised at 70°C (TMSB-AgNPs-70°C) showed the highest antibacterial activities. The bacterial growth kinetics of several bacterial strains was evaluated in response to treatment with these two TM-AgNPs. Figures 7 and 8 show the effects of TML-AgNPs-25°C and TMSB-AgNPs-70°C, respectively.

Changes in optical density (OD) were used to assess bacterial growth. This provides an easy and efficient way to quantify bacterial growth over time. A decrease in the absorbance indicates the inhibition of bacterial growth, an effect that can be attributed to the death of cells that is blocked in the stationary phase. As shown in Figures 7 and 8, both TM-AgNPs caused a time- and dose-dependent growth inhibition in the selected strains. Of the two TM-AgNPs, TML-AgNPs-25°C (Figure 7) was more effective and had the highest bactericidal effect against the microorganisms. TML-AgNPs-25°C suppressed the growth of all six bacterial strains tested. Interestingly, the growth curve for *S. enterica* shows that the inhibitory effects of ampicillin diminish after 4 hrs, while inhibitory effects of TML-AgNPs-25°C are maintained for the duration of the experiment at all the concentrations tested. The bacteriostatic effects of TMSB-AgNPs-70°C were observed against all strains except for *H. influenzae* and *S. aureus* (Figure 8). The growth curves of *S. enterica enterica* and *S. flexneri* show that TMSB-AgNPs-70°C is able to effectively inhibit the growth of these two organisms from 2 to 8 hrs at all the concentrations tested. Only higher concentrations (6.24 µg/mL) of TMSB-AgNPs-70°C were effective on *S. pneumoniae* and *S. aureus* at all time points. In general, the Gram-negative bacteria were more susceptible to the effects of the TM-AgNPs than Gram-positive bacteria (*S. pneumoniae* and *S. aureus*). This might be due to the structural differences between the two bacterial types, a key component being the cell membrane of the Gram-positive bacteria, where the thick peptidoglycan layer acts as a barrier against penetration of foreign materials. The thick and negatively charged peptidoglycan layer in Gram-positive bacteria has been shown to entrap Ag⁺ ions onto the cell wall and block their activity. The exact mechanism of antibacterial action of AgNPs is not yet known. Nonetheless, several studies have demonstrated that bactericidal effects of the AgNPs are strongly influenced by their size, shape, concentration, and colloidal state.

**Conclusion**

This study reports on a plant-mediated approach to synthesize AgNPs using TM leaf, stem bark and root extracts as both reducing and capping agents. The biogenic TML and TMSB AgNPs remained stable for more than 2 weeks, while those within a diameter ranging from 11 to 60 nm exhibited remarkable antibacterial activity against the tested pathogenic microorganisms. The TM AgNPs demonstrated the highest bactericidal effect, and compared to the crude extracts, their activity was ≥20-fold higher. The rise in the number of antimicrobial-resistant bacterial strains underpins the need for alternative antimicrobial agents. The biogenic AgNPs described in this study can potentially be used as an alternative to conventional antimicrobial agents. Further studies are underway to study the anti-microbial mechanism of TM-AgNPs and identify the phytochemicals involved in the synthesis of the NPs.
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