1. Introduction

Spices are the building blocks of flavour in food application since ancient times. These are not only used due to flavouring agent but also as medicinal and food preservative. Many spices have been cultivated for their aromatic, fragrant, pungent, or any other desirable properties including the seed (coriander, caraway), berry (allspice, black pepper), bark (cinnamon), rhizome (ginger, turmeric), flower (saffron), bulb (garlic, onion), fruit (cardamom), flower bud (clove) and the leaf of *Cinnamomum tamala* (bay, tezpat) and *Murraya koenigii* (kari, curry)\(^1\).

\(^1\)Corresponding author: Manindra Mohan Department of Chemistry, D.A.V. (P.G.) College, Dehradun–248001 Uttarakhand (India) Phone: +919760209128 Email: manmicro59@gmail.com

Cinnamomum tamala Nees. (Lauraceae), a medium sized tree is distributed in tropical and subtropical Himalayas at the altitudes of 1000–2400m\(^2\). In India, the tree is cultivated commercially in certain parts of the country for leaf production and essential oils. In the leaf oil of *C. tamala*, four chemotypes namely cinnamaldehyde (Uttarakhand), eugenol (North East India), cinnamaldehyde–linalool (Himachal Pradesh) and linalool rich type (Assam) are reported in different parts of the country\(^3\). Tezpat leaves and oils are used in flavouring foods, beverages, in perfumery and pharmaceutical industries\(^4,5\).

*Murraya koenigii* (L.) Spreng. (Rutaceae) is a strong smelling perennial shrub or small tree commonly found in forests as undergrowth, cultivated in India for its aromatic leaves and for ornament\(^6\). Fresh leaves, dried leaf powder and volatile oils are extensively used in India for flavouring many food...
The leaves of *C. tamala* and *M. koenigii* were collected from Dehradun in the month of April, 2011. Voucher specimens have been duly identified and deposited in the herbarium of Botanical Survey of India (BIS), northern circle, Dehradun (Acc. No.113522 and 113523 respectively).

2.2. Extraction of oils

The shade dried leaves of *C. tamala* and *M. koenigii* were subjected to separate hydro-distillation for 4 hours using a Clevenger apparatus. The oils obtained over dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and stored at 4°C for GC, GC/MS and microbiological analysis.

2.3. Gas chromatography and Gas chromatography–Mass spectroscopy analyses

About 0.1 μl of each pure oil sample was subjected to GC and GC/MS analyses. The GC was composed of an Agilent Technology 6890 N gas chromatograph data handling system equipped with a split–splitless injector and fitted with a FID using N₂ as the carrier gas. The column was HP-5 capillary column (30 m x 0.32 mm, 0.25 μm film thickness) and temperature program was used as follows: initial temperature of 60°C (hold: 2 min) programmed at a rate of 3°C /min to a final temperature of 220°C (hold: 5 min). Temperatures of the injector and FID were maintained at 210°C and 250°C, respectively.

The GC/MS analyses were carried out on a Perkin Elmer Clarus 500 (Shelton, CT 06484, USA) gas chromatograph equipped with a split–splitless injector (split ratio 50:1) data handling system. The column was an Rtx®-5 capillary columns (60 m x 0.32 mm, 0.25 μm film thickness), Helium (He) was the carrier gas at a flow rate 1.0 ml/min. The GC was interfaced with (Perkin Elmer Clarus 500) mass detector operating in the EI+ mode. The mass spectra were generally recorded over 40–500 amu that revealed the total ion current (TIC) chromatograms. Temperature program was used as the same as described above for GC analyses. The temperatures of the injector, transfer line and ion source were maintained at 210°C, 210°C and 200°C, respectively.

Identification of the individual components was made by matching their recorded mass spectra with the library (NIST/ Pfleger/Wiley) provided by the instrument software, and by comparing their calculated retention indices with literature value[11]. Relative area percentages of the individual components were obtained from GC–FID analyses.

2.4. Antimicrobial activity

The susceptibility of essential oils of *C. tamala* and *M. koenigii* were tested on nine reference strains, which included five bacterial strains (Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29213, Methicillin Resistant *S. aureus* [MRSA] 15187 Clinical Isolate, Vancomycin Resistant *E. faecalis* (VRE) Clinical Isolate, *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853) and four fungal strains (*Candida albicans* ATCC 90028, *Candida parapsilosis* ATCC 22019, *Aspergillus fumigatus* MTCC 1811 and *Aspergillus niger* ATCC 16040). These strains were procured from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) and Microbial Type Culture Collection (MTCC, Chandigarh, India).

The antibacterial and antifungal activities of the essential oils of *C. tamala* and *M. koenigii* were performed by broth micro dilution methods as per the guidelines of Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (formerly, the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards[12]) by using Mueller–Hinton broth (MHB; Becton–Dickinson, Cockeysville, MD, USA) supplemented with calcium (25 mg/L) and magnesium (12.5 mg/L) for bacterial strains while as RPMI 1640 medium buffered to a pH of 7.0 with 0.165M MOPS (both from Sigma) was used for fungal strains. Two fold serial dilutions of the oils were prepared in the respective test medium in amounts of 100 μl per well in 96–well U-bottom microtiter plates (Tarson, Kolkata, India). Stock inoculum suspensions of the bacteria, *Candida* species and *Aspergillus* species were prepared in sterile normal saline (0.85 %) containing 0.05 % polysorbate 20 (NST) from overnight (7–days for *Aspergillus* species) cultures grown on Trypticase soy agar (TSA; Becton–Dickinson) and potato dextrose agar (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, Mich.) respectively at 35°C. Inocula were verified for each assay by plating onto agar plates for colony enumeration. These suspensions were further diluted in the respective test medium and a 100 μl volume of this diluted inoculum was...
added to each well of the plate, resulting in a final inoculum concentration of $5 \times 10^5$ CFU/ml for bacteria, $0.5 \times 10^6$ to $2.5 \times 10^6$ CFU/ml for Candida species while $0.4 \times 10^5$ to $5 \times 10^6$ CFU/ml for Aspergillus species\cite{12,13,14}. The final concentration of essential oils ranged from 0.003 μl/ml to 5 μl/ml. Ciprofloxacin and Amphotericin B were served as the standard drug control for bacterial and fungal cultures respectively. The microtiter plates were incubated at 35°C for 24 h for bacterial cultures and 48 h for fungal cultures. The plates were read visually and the MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of test sample that prevented visible growth with respect to the growth control.

3. Results

The composition of the oils of *C. tamala* and *M. koenigii* are given in Table 1. As is shown, the oil of *C. tamala* was characterized by large amount of oxygenated monoterpenes (92.10%) with cinnamaldehyde (37.85%) and cis-linalool oxide (29.99%) being the major constituents found. Earlier, cinnamaldehyde, linalool and cinnamyl acetate rich types were reported from Uttarakhand region\cite{5}. The present study reports the occurrence of cis-linalool oxide in abundance from Dehradun region. The other notable constituents in *C. tamala* oil were found as linalool (7.71%), cinnamyl acetate (5.37%) and 1,8-cineole (3.23%). The oil of *M. koenigii* was found to be rich in monoterpenes (70.17%) with α-pinene (39.93%), sabinene (13.31%), β-pinene (7.62%) and limonene (4.42%) being the major constituents. The percentage of identified sesquiterpenes was relatively small (16.86%) with trans-caryophyllene (9.02%) being the major one. 2-Furyl methyl ketone (4.80%) was also found in appreciable amount in this oil. The chemical profile of *M. koenigii* wildly grown in Dehradun region was investigated earlier\cite{15}. Our result was found qualitatively similar, but differs quantitatively due to the presence of α-pinene (51.7%) in higher amount than our study. Overall, the *M. koenigii* oil from Dehradun region is α-pinene rich chemotype.

The MIC of the essential oil of *C. tamala* and *M. koenigii* against nine microorganisms were tested and the results are tabulated in table 2. The strongest activity (MIC = 0.3 – 0.6 μl/ml) was shown in *C. tamala* oil against all the four fungal pathogens, whereas oil was found comparatively less effective against bacterial pathogens (MIC = 2.5 μl/ml). On the other hand, *M. koenigii* oil exhibited weak antimicrobial activity against all the microorganisms (>MIC = 5 μl/ml).

### Table 1

| Components                        | C. tamala | M. koenigii |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| 2-furyl methyl ketone             | 893       | –           |
| α-pinene                          | 933       | 0.95        |
| α-thujene                         | 926       | –           |
| camphene                          | 949       | 0.69        |
| benzaldehyde                      | 963       | 3.15        |
| sabineene                         | 972       | –           |
| β-pinene                          | 980       | 0.55        |
| β-myrcene                         | 994       | –           |
| α-terpinene                       | 1021      | 0.46        |
| p-cymene                          | 1029      | 1.06        |
| limonene                          | 1031      | 0.26        |
| 1,8-cineole                       | 1035      | 3.23        |
| cis-ocimene                       | 1038      | –           |
| γ-terpinene                       | 1062      | –           |
| acetophenone                      | 1065      | 0.19        |
| cis-sabinene hydrocarbons         | 1070      | –           |
| cis-linalool oxide                | 1078      | 29.99       |
| α-terpinolene                     | 1085      | –           |
| linalool                          | 1103      | 7.71        |
| trans-sabinene hydrocarbons       | 1109      | –           |
| 3-phenyl propanal                 | 1165      | 1.68        |
| borneol                           | 1166      | 0.55        |
| terpinen-4-ol                     | 1180      | 0.27        |
| α-terpineol                       | 1184      | 0.63        |
| geraniol                          | 1268      | –           |
| cinnamaldehyde                    | 1282      | 37.85       |
| bornyl acetate                    | 1286      | 1.48        |
| α-copaene                         | 1379      | –           |
| β-bourbonene                      | 1386      | –           |
| β-elemene                         | 1393      | –           |
| cis-jasmone                       | 1394      | –           |
| trans-caryophyllene               | 1420      | –           |
| β-cedrene                         | 1421      | –           |
| β-gurjunene                       | 1426      | –           |
| cinnamyl acetate                  | 1443      | 5.37        |
| spathulenol                       | 1453      | 0.21        |
| β-farnesene                       | 1454      | –           |
| α-humulene                        | 1456      | 1.38        |
| germacrene D                      | 1485      | 1.96        |
| bicyclgermacrene                  | 1492      | –           |
| α-farnesene                       | 1508      | –           |
| β-bisabolene                      | 1510      | –           |
| δ-cadinene                        | 1524      | –           |
| cis-nerolidol                     | 1534      | 0.14        |
| caryophyllene oxide               | 1581      | 0.30        |
| τ-cadinol                         | 1611      | –           |
| δ-cadinol                         | 1636      | 0.28        |
| monoterpenes hydrocarbons         | 3.51      | 70.17       |
| oxygenated monoterpenoids         | 92.10     | 8.61        |
| sesquiterpenes hydrocarbons       | –         | 16.86       |
| oxygenated sesquiterpenoids       | 0.51      | 1.05        |
| Total identified compounds        | 96.12     | 96.79       |
Table 2
The Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) values of essential oils of C. tamala and M. koenigii against selected microorganisms

| Microorganisms Tested | C. tamala MIC (μl/ml) | M. koenigii MIC (μl/ml) |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Bacterial strains     |                       |                        |
| Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29213 | 2.5 | 5 |
| Methicillin Resistant S. aureus (MRSA) 15187, Clinical Isolate | 2.5 | >5 |
| Vancomycin Resistant E. faecalis (VRE), Clinical Isolate | 2.5 | >5 |
| Escherichia coli ATCC 25922 | 2.5 | >5 |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853 | 2.5 | >5 |
| Fungal strains        |                       |                        |
| Candida albicans ATCC 90028 | 0.6 | >5 |
| Candida parapsilosis ATCC 22019 | 0.6 | >5 |
| Aspergillus fumigatus MTCC 1811 | 0.3 | >5 |
| Aspergillus niger ATCC 16404 | 0.6 | >5 |

4. Discussion

The present study suggested that the volatile oil of C. tamala possess effective antifungal and antibacterial activity due to their major compounds as compared with M. koenigii oil. It can be said that the concentration of cinnamaldehyde and linalool oxide, the major compounds in C. tamala oil was responsible for inhibition of tested pathogens, especially against fungal strains viz. Candida albicans, Candida parapsilosis, Aspergillus fumigatus and Aspergillus niger. Although in some earlier studies, the aqueous and alcoholic extracts of M. koenigii effectively inhibited bacterial and fungal growth[16,17,18]. Further, the antimicrobial activity of essential oils is required to be analyzed repeatedly from different locations of the country so as to exploit these for logical scientific research.
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