Revisiting olfactory receptors as putative drivers of cancer
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Abstract

Background: Olfactory receptors (ORs) recognize odorant molecules and activate a signal transduction pathway that ultimately leads to the perception of smell. This process also modulates the apoptotic cycle of olfactory sensory neurons in an olfactory receptor-specific manner. Recent reports indicate that some olfactory receptors are expressed in tissues other than the olfactory epithelium suggesting that they may have pleiotropic roles.

Methods: We investigated the expression of 301 olfactory receptor genes in a comprehensive panel of 968 cancer cell lines.

Results: Forty-nine per cent of cell lines show expression of at least one olfactory receptor gene. Some receptors display a broad pattern of expression across tumour types, while others were expressed in cell lines from a particular tissue. Additionally, most of the cancer cell lines expressing olfactory receptors express the effectors necessary for OR-mediated signal transduction. Remarkably, among cancer cell lines, OR2C3 is exclusively expressed in melanoma lines. We also confirmed the expression of OR2C3 in human melanomas, but not in normal melanocytes.

Conclusions: The pattern of OR2C3 expression is suggestive of a functional role in the development and/or progression of melanoma. Some olfactory receptors may contribute to tumorigenesis.
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Introduction
The olfactory receptors (ORs) represent the largest multi-gene family in the human genome and account for approximately 400 functional genes and 4–500 pseudogenes\(^2\). ORs encode G-protein-coupled receptor proteins that bind volatile molecules via their extracellular domain. Upon binding, they activate a signal transduction pathway that results in the generation of an electrical signal in olfactory sensory neurons (OSNs) which, after integration in the brain, results in the perception of smell\(^3\). This process involves the activation of a heterotrimeric G protein by the OR, that in turn activates adenylate cyclase resulting in the production of cyclic AMP (cAMP). cAMP acts as a second messenger that opens cyclic nucleotide gated channels which allow the depolarization of the membrane and the generation of an action potential in the activated OSN\(^4\). In parallel, ligand binding also activates pathways involved in survival and proliferation\(^5\), such as the MAPK, Rho and AKT signalling cascades\(^6\). Additionally, some ORs share high levels of identity with chemokine receptors and as such play a role in migration; indeed ORs mediate the projection of the OSN axons towards odorant-specific glomerular structures in the olfactory bulb\(^7\).

ORs are frequently neglected in cancer genomic and transcriptomic studies because it is assumed that their specialised role in the olfactory epithelium makes them unlikely to contribute to cancer development. Nonetheless, their ability to sense small organic molecules, combined with the transduction of a survival signal and/or a migratory stimulus could render them functional in cancer cells\(^8\)\(^,\)\(^9\). In OSNs the ORs bind their ligand(s) in solution within the liquid layer of mucus\(^10\); consequently they may be functionally triggered in other body fluids. Moreover, it has been shown that some ORs are expressed in tissues other than OSNs\(^11\)\(^,\)\(^12\)\(^,\)\(^13\) and a functional role for ORs has been demonstrated in sperm\(^14\), muscle\(^15\), kidney\(^16\), carotid body\(^17\) and lung\(^18\). Recent studies have also shown that OR51E2 (also known as PSGR) is involved in the regulation of prostate cancer cell migration and proliferation, and is a potential marker of patient outcome\(^19\)\(^,\)\(^20\). Therefore, we sought to evaluate the profile of OR expression in cancer cell lines and cancers.

Methods
Datasets and analysis
We investigated the expression of olfactory receptors in a broad panel of 968 cancer cell lines, using the RMA-normalised\(^21\) microarray expression data available for each of 17,419 loci (annotation BrainArray v.10\(^\text{a}\)). Data were available for each cell line in the deeply characterized COSMIC cell line collection (Iorio et al.\(^22\)). Information on these cancer cell lines is available from the COSMIC website: http://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cell_lines. Raw expression data is publicly available via ArrayExpress (accession number: E-MTAB-3610; https://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress/experiments/E-MTAB-3610/).

To determine the level of expression of OR genes compared to the whole transcriptome, we applied mixture-distribution approaches described previously\(^23\)\(^,\)\(^24\). Briefly, we imported the cancer COSMIC cell line microarray data into R 3.2. We then binned the expression level of all the detected genes. By evaluating the frequency of genes included in each bin for an arbitrary cell line, we confirmed that the distribution of expression levels across the transcriptome was bimodal. Then for each cell line, we used the mixtools package (v1.0.4, available from CRAN) described in detail in \(^27\) to perform an E-M (expectation-maximation) algorithm decomposition of the observed bimodal distribution into two normal distributions. This approximated the classification of all genes into highly expressed and poorly/lowly expressed genes: note that the algorithm iteratively estimates both the mean and variance of each component distribution and the probability of any one observation of gene expression belonging to the “high” or “low” distributions. As an exemplar in Figure 1, we displayed the distribution of all gene-expression for cell line 905956, and plotted the algorithm-derived probabilities of any one gene belonging to low/high distributions using the mixtools “plot.EM” method. To create Supplementary Table 2, we noted the reported probabilities of any OR (and their effectors) belonging to the high or low distribution across all samples, where a gene was “highly expressed” if it had a 95% probability of belonging to the highly expressed geneset distribution. For the OR2C3 gene we performed a complementary analysis, where we varied the threshold probability needed to belong to the “highly expressed” geneset from 12.5% to 95%, and found the number of melanoma/non-melanoma cell lines with OR2C3 as “highly expressed” (Figure 2).

The log-transformed RSEM (RNA-Seq by Expectation-Maximization)-normalised Illumina transcriptome sequencing data for human melanoma tumours\(^28\), available via the TCGA website, were analysed for bimodality in the same way (Figure 3). The results shown here are in whole or part based upon data generated by the TCGA Research Network: http://cancergenome.nih.gov/.

We interrogated the expression of OR2C3 in normal tissues from 2 repositories: BioGPS and GTex. BioGPS contains expression levels from U133plus2 Affymetrix microarrays for 130 tissue types; the values shown on the Y axis are z-scores produced by the barcode function of the R package “frma” v1.0.0 (http://www.bioc conductor.org/packages/2.6/bioconductor/html/frma.html) (Figure 4A). A z-score >5 suggests that the gene is expressed. As reference for the background signal, the first column represents yeast RNA hybridization (value outlined with the green dashed line). These data are available at: http://ds.biogps.org/?dataset=BDS_00001&gene=81472. GTEx Analysis Release v6 (dbGaP accession number: phs000424.v6.p1) shows expression values as log(RPKM) (Reads Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads) from 53 tissue types, calculated from a gene model with isoforms collapsed to a single gene (Figure 4B). Box plots are shown as median and 25th and 75th percentiles; points are displayed as outliers if they are above or below 1.5 times the interquartile range.

We used data from the ENCODE database (www.encodeproject.org; accession number: SRP039354) for the samples NHEM.f_M2_Rep1, NHEM.f_M2_Rep2, NHEM_M2_Rep1, NHEM_M2_Rep2. For the interrogation of OR2C3 expression we used the expression values provided as fragment per kilobase per million mapped reads (FPKM).
Figure 1. Olfactory receptor (OR) genes are expressed in cancer cell lines. A) Histogram representing the frequency (Y axis) of genes expressed per bin of gene expression (X axis: RMA normalised log2-transformed microarray expression data) for a representative melanoma cell line (SK-MEL-5 cell line, COSMIC ID 905956). The green bars represent the OR genes, the white bars all the other genes. The green and the red lines represent the modelling of the 2 peaks of the bimodal distribution. The dashed blue line shows the threshold of expression above which genes have the probability >95% to belong to the distribution of the expressed genes (right distribution in red). B–E Histograms represent the expression of OR gene in 4 representative melanoma cell lines (LB373-MEL-D, LB2518-MEL, SK-MEL-5, GAK with COSMIC IDs 753581, 753579, 905956, 910932, respectively), values displayed as in A. B–C do not show relevant expression of any OR gene, D–E display significant expression of OR2C3 that is an outlier over the other ORs. The red arrow highlights OR2C3 expression level.
Figure 2. Frequency of OR2C3 expression in cell lines with different thresholds of stringency. The Y axis shows the number of cell lines that express OR2C3 at the threshold defined by X axis. On the X axis we showed the value of probability of belonging to the distribution of highly expressed genes (see Figure 1 and Methods) that we used as lower boundary threshold to define if OR2C3 is expressed in each cell line. The blue line indicates non melanoma cell lines, the red line indicates melanoma cell lines. OR2C3 is expressed only in melanoma cell lines till the threshold is dropped to 0.125. Number of expressing cell lines was calculated with thresholds >0.125, >0.25, >0.5, >0.75, >0.90, >0.95, >0.99.

Figure 3. Distribution of gene expression in a representative melanoma sample. Histogram representing the frequency (Y axis) of genes expressed per bin of gene expression (X axis: expression levels are shown as [log (RSEM+1)+1], see Methods, RSEM values from TCGA repository) for a representative melanoma tumour (TCGA.D9.A4Z6.06A.12R.A266.07). The green and the red lines represent the modelling of the 2 peaks of the bimodal distribution. The dashed blue line shows the threshold of expression above which genes have the probability >95% of belonging to the distribution of the expressed genes (right distribution in green).
Figure 4. Expression of OR2C3 in normal tissues. Output of the query for OR2C3 in A) BioGPS and B) GTEx. The figure represents a screenshot of the output obtained interrogating the two databases for OR2C3 expression. In A) BioGPS represents expression level from microarray as z-scores. A value >5 suggests that the gene is expressed in that tissue. As reference for background signal, the first column represents yeast RNA hybridization (value outlined with the green dashed line). None of the analysed tissues display expression of OR2C3 (z-scores<5). In B) GTEx displays on the Y axis expression values in Log_{10} (RPKM) (Reads Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads). Box plots are shown as median and 25th and 75th percentiles; points are displayed as outliers if they are above or below 1.5 times the interquartile range. A very low expression level close to RPKM =1 (Log_{10} RPKM=0 in the figure, dashed green line) is observed only in testes.
We interrogated The Human Protein Atlas database\(^3\) (http://www.proteinatlas.org/) to investigate the expression of OR2C3 protein in melanoma tumor samples. By gene symbol we interrogated the protein expression of OR2C3 in different types of cancer.

**Results and discussion**

Since the OR family represents around 3% of human genes and encodes proteins with the ability to transduce survival signals, we hypothesized that aberrant expression of ORs in cancer cells may contribute to cancer cell survival, proliferation and migration. To support the validity of this hypothesis, we investigated the expression of OR genes in a comprehensive panel of 968 cell lines from COSMIC\(^6\). Overall we could interrogate the expression of 301 OR genes in this microarray gene expression dataset (Supplementary Table 1). The distribution of expression levels across the transcriptome was found to be bimodal; when decomposed into two normal-like distributions, OR genes could be separated into those that were lowly expressed, and others that were highly expressed\(^26\) (Figure 1A, green and red lines, respectively). OR genes were generally found to be expressed at low levels in cancer cell lines when compared to the distribution of expression levels of the whole transcriptome (see green bars in Figure 1A), with most of the cell lines displaying very low levels of expression of most OR genes (examples in Figure 1B–C). However, several cell lines displayed much higher expression of one or a few receptor genes that differentiate them from the other ORs, ranking these ORs amongst the set of genes defined as highly expressed when compared to the whole transcriptome (for instance OR2C3 in Figure 1A, 1D–E). In order to define OR genes expressed at high levels in each cell line, we identified those ORs having a 95% or greater probability of belonging to the distribution of highly expressed genes (Supplementary Table 2 and Supplementary Table 3, see details of the approach in Methods). Overall, with this criterion, 56 (18.6%) OR genes are expressed in at least one cancer cell line, and 476 (49.2%) cell lines express at least one OR gene. Interestingly, 332 (69.7% of those expressing at least one OR) cell lines express only one specific receptor; this pattern of a single highly expressed OR was previously shown to be indicative of the functional receptor in an OSN\(^44\). Some OR genes displayed high expression in several cell lines from different tumour types, such as OR4A47, OR1D5, OR4C46 and OR6B2. Others ORs were primarily expressed in a single tumour type, such as OR13A1 and OR2C3 in B cell malignancies and melanoma, respectively (Supplementary Table 2 and Supplementary Table 3). Additionally, we found that 85.95% of cell lines expressing an OR also express the functional effectors necessary for signal transduction (i.e. one of the alpha subunits of a G protein: GNA15, GNA13, GNAO1, GNA11, GNAL and adenylyl cyclase III ADCY3, see Supplementary Table 4). Therefore, most of the cell lines expressing an OR have the molecular machinery required to transduce signals from the OR to downstream pathways.

At the 95% threshold defined above, OR2C3 is expressed in 8 out of 52 melanoma cell lines (15.4%, Supplementary Table 2), but not in any other line (P<0.0001 by two-tailed Fisher’s exact test). These 8 melanoma cell lines also express OR effectors (Supplementary Table 4). Remarkably, the specificity of OR2C3 expression in melanoma cell lines is highly consistent since decreasing the probability threshold of OR expression still showed melanoma specific expression of OR2C3 (Figure 2), further supporting the validity of our analysis. OR2C3 maps within an OR cluster located towards the telomeric end of chromosome 1 (1q44), and is not in the immediate vicinity of any established melanoma oncogenes whose deregulation/amplification could interfere with its expression.

To further explore the expression of OR2C3 we interrogated a collection of human melanomas whose expression profile has been defined by RNA sequencing (TCGA\(^23\), N=474 melanomas). We applied the same approach described above and found that 14 (3%) melanomas expressed OR2C3 (Supplementary Table 5 and Supplementary Table 6, and Figure 3). OR2C3 expression in these melanomas is >130 in units of RNA-Seq by Expectation-Maximization values (RSEM). The difference in the frequency of OR2C3 expression between cell lines and melanomas could be reflective of the different gene expression platforms used (microarrays vs Illumina transcriptome sequencing), or the analysis of melanoma sub-types that are not represented in the melanoma cell line collection. Additionally, we interrogated two gene expression repositories for normal tissue types (BioGPS\(^22\) and GTEx\(^44\)) and found that OR2C3 is not expressed in any normal tissues (N=130 tissue types by BioGPS, N=53 tissue types by GTEx, Figure 4). Further, we found that OR2C3 is not expressed in epidermal melanocyte samples from ENCODE (n=4, Supplementary Table 7), thus suggesting cancer-specific expression. We next interrogated The Human Protein Atlas database\(^39\) for OR2C3 expression and found that 1 out of 11 melanoma samples express medium levels of OR2C3 protein. Together these results indicate the OR2C3 is specifically expressed in a fraction of melanomas and supports a possible role for this gene in melanoma development.

**Conclusion**

We conclude that, far from being just highly specialized components of the olfactory sub-genome, olfactory receptors should be considered as candidate cancer genes and warrant detailed functional investigation. In particular, we provided different lines of evidence that support a potential functional role for OR2C3 in melanoma.

**Data availability**

COSMIC cell line data: Raw expression data is publicly available via ArrayExpress (accession number: E-MTAB-3610; https://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress/experiments/E-MTAB-3610/).

The RSEM (RNA-Seq by Expectation-Maximization)-normalised Illumina transcriptome sequencing data for human melanoma tumours\(^29\) is available via the TCGA website (project TCGA-SKCM; https://tcga-data.nci.nih.gov/docs/publications/tcga/, now hosted at https://gdc.cancer.gov/). The data are also available from: ftp://ftp.sanger.ac.uk/pub/users/vvi/tcga_rnaseq_v2_level3_skm/tcga_skm_rnaseqv2.tsv.gz.

BioGPS expression data (GEO accession number: GSE1133) is available at http://db.biogps.org/?dataset=BDS_00001&gss=81472.

GTEx expression data for OR2C3 is available here: http://www.gtexportal.org/home/gene/OR2C3. The data were obtained from the GTEx Portal on 07/01/16.
Supplementary material

**Supplementary Table 1. List of COSMIC cell lines.** A) Complete list of COSMIC cell lines whose gene expression was interrogated. B) List of ORs that are detected by the microarray platform used to analyse gene expression for the COSMIC cell lines.

**Supplementary Table 2. Pattern of expression of olfactory receptor (OR) genes in cancer cell lines.** OR genes are displayed in columns, COSMIC cell lines are in rows with tissue and tumour type identifier. Values are the probability (from 0 to 1) of the OR gene to belong to the distribution of highly expressed genes (the right distribution represented in red line in Figure 1A). Only values above 0.95 (defined here as highly expressed) are shown and highlighted in red. Only cell lines with at least one OR above the threshold are shown, only ORs expressed above threshold in at least one cell line are shown. Full list of interrogated COSMIC cell lines and OR is presented in Supplementary Table 1A–B.

**Supplementary Table 3. Expression of ORs in cell lines summarized per tumour type.** The same data presented in Supplementary Table 2, here summarized per tumour type. The numbers represent cell lines expressing that specific receptor above the statistical threshold described in the text (>95%). Total number of cell lines per tumour type is also indicated.

**Supplementary Table 4. Expression of the OR effectors in cancer cell lines.** Genes are displayed in columns, COSMIC cell lines are in rows with tissue and tumour type identifiers shown. Values are the probability (from 0 to 1) of the OR effector genes belonging to the distribution of highly expressed genes. We show the expression of the key adenylate cyclase and the G alpha subunits associated with OR transduction. Expression of one G alpha subunit could be sufficient to transduce the signal. Only values above 0.95 are shown. Only cell lines that express adenylate cyclase 3 and one of the G alpha subunits are shown. The 8 melanoma cell lines expressing OR2C3 are highlighted in green background.

**Supplementary Table 5. Pattern of expression of OR genes in human melanoma.** ORs are displayed in columns, melanoma samples with TCGA ID are displayed in rows. Values are the probability of the OR gene to belong to the distribution of expressed gene (the right distribution represented in red line in Supplementary Figure 2). Only values above 0.95 are shown and highlighted in red. Only melanomas with at least one OR above the threshold are shown, only ORs expressed above threshold in a melanoma are shown. Full list of interrogated melanomas and OR is shown in Supplementary Table 6. OR2C3 is highlighted in yellow background. ORs detected in melanomas, but not measured in cell lines for the absence of probes in the microarray, are highlighted with a grey background. Data from TCGA.

**Supplementary Table 6. List of TCGA samples and OR genes interrogated.** The table includes the full list of melanoma samples analysed to generate Supplementary Table 5, and the full list of OR genes that were detected in the analysis of TCGA gene expression data.

**Supplementary Table 7. Expression level of OR2C3 in primary melanocytes.** Table with the fragment per kilobase per million mapped reads (FPKM) values obtained for OR2C3 in the ENCODE melanocyte samples NHEM.f_M2_Rep1, NHEM.f_M2_Rep2, NHEM_M2_Rep1, NHEM_M2_Rep2 (4 samples total).

Encode data is available here: [www.encodeproject.org](http://www.encodeproject.org); accession number: SRP039354.

Human Protein Atlas (version 16) data for all antibody HPA053920 melanoma samples is available here: [http://www.proteinatlas.org/ENSG00000196242-OR2C3/cancer/tissue/melanoma](http://www.proteinatlas.org/ENSG00000196242-OR2C3/cancer/tissue/melanoma).
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In this work, Ranzani and colleagues report an extensive analysis of the expression of olfactory receptors (ORs) and elements of their downstream signaling cascades in cancer cell lines. Interestingly, they have found that about half of cancer cell lines express at least one OR gene and most of them express only one specific receptor. Some OR genes are highly expressed across different tumor types whilst others are highly expressed in a single specific tumor type. OR2C3 in particular, which was not previously related to cancer, was found highly expressed in several melanoma cell lines but not in other cancer cell lines or in normal melanocytes.

The data in the manuscript are convincing, substantiating and expanding the role of ORs as potential target or biomarkers in cancer.

However several ORs, such as OR51E1, OR51E2 and OR2W3, which have been previously described to be upregulated in cancer tissues (1-5) do not emerge as highly expressed ORs in the analysis reported this manuscript. A discussion on different methodologies used in different studies and the utility of cell lines as tumor models would make the article scientifically sound.

As stated in the paper, only 1 out of 11 melanoma samples reported in the Human Protein Atlas database express OR2C3 protein. An independent analysis of OR2C3 protein expression in a higher number of samples would provide further robustness to the data reported.
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**David M. Lin**
Department of Biomedical Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

The authors have completed a scientifically sound analysis of olfactory receptor expression, with a suitably complete explanation of the study design, methods, and datasets. The authors are to be commended for the breadth of their analysis. Suggestions for improvement are as follows:

1. The most obvious concern is the lack of independent validation of their analysis (e.g. qRT-
PCR, in situ hybridization, IHC, etc.). A possible alternative would be to ask whether their analysis detects olfactory receptors previously shown to be expressed in certain cancer types. These include 51E2 (cited by the authors as an impetus for these studies), 2AT4 (leukemia), 51E1 (lung, gastric, neuroendocrine), OR2W3 (pancreatic), and others. All of these receptors were detected by the authors, but their expression patterns (Supplemental Table 1) do not always match published expression patterns. A discussion describing the sensitivity and/or limitations of their analysis would be helpful.

2. The authors ignore the trace amino acid receptors (TAARs), which are also considered olfactory receptors. While the expression of family members outside of the olfactory epithelium is thought to be limited (with the exception of TAAR1), it would have been interesting to know if they detected any such expression in cancer cells. At a minimum, they should note why they excluded these receptors from their analysis. MS4As have also recently been implicated in odorant sensing, but given the recent nature of this discovery, it is not surprising that they were not included in this work.

3. The authors assert that olfactory receptor expression in cancer has essentially been neglected in cancer research. This statement is in part in the eye of the beholder, however, multiple papers have been published recently showing functional analyses of olfactory receptor signaling on cancer progression. The authors should consider expanding their citations. Some of these studies are listed below.

4. In the abstract, the authors state that "recent" reports indicate the presence of non-epithelial olfactory expression. But such expression is hardly recent, and has been known since the late 1990's, as I'm sure the authors are aware.
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