Utero-ovarian ligament fibroid—an unusual location of extrauterine fibroids
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ABSTRACT

Utero-ovarian ligament fibroids are among the rarest sites for extrauterine leiomyomas. Broad ligament fibroids are relatively common. They can be either asymptomatic or present with chronic pelvic pain and pressure symptoms. They can be confused with an ovarian mass, broad ligament cyst or a pedunculated fibroid. There are high chances of missing it clinically. Ultrasonography, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography scan are the imaging techniques used to diagnose such conditions, MRI being the most accurate in ruling out other broad ligament masses with suspected ovarian, tubal or isolated broad ligament cyst. Here is a rare case report of a pedunculated extrauterine leiomyoma with its origin in the utero-ovarian ligament.
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INTRODUCTION

Leiomyoma is the most common slow growing benign tumor of smooth muscles. They constitute up to 20-30% of the reproductive age group.1 The commonest site of pelvic leiomyomas is uterus. Leiomyomas rarely arise from extrauterine sites, the most common being broad ligament with an incidence of <1%.2 Other less common sites include round ligament, ovarian ligament and ovaries. The broad ligament tumors can be either epithelial or mesenchymal, with epithelial tumors being most common. Among the mesenchymal tumors, leiomyoma is the commonest.3

It is clinical diagnostic dilemma to differentiate and clearly define the origin of an adnexal mass. It can be either of uterine origin or an ovarian origin. Here, we have an interesting case report as to how it was missed/misdiagnosed on imaging and on clinical assessment.

CASE REPORT

A 45-year female with para 3, live 3 presented with complaints of a lump in the lower abdomen accompanied with pain in left flank and inguinal region since 15 days. The patient incidentally noticed lump in the suprapubic region approximately measuring the size of a cricket ball. She also complained of increased frequency of micturition, not accompanied by burning, sense of incomplete evacuation or fever. The pain in the left flank and inguinal region was localized, continuous, dull aching with no aggravating or relieving factors. Her menstrual cycle was regular lasting for 4 days coming over 28-30 days, painful, using 2 pads per day with moderate flow. Her vitals and systemic examination were normal. Per-abdomen examination revealed a firm mass corresponding to 16 weeks fetal size with side to side mobility. On speculum examination, the cervix was normal looking, deviated to left and vaginal mucosa was healthy. On vaginal examination, the cervix was firm, deviated to left and the uterus was irregularly enlarged,
14-16 weeks fetal size, deviated to right with fullness in right fornix, while the left fornix was free. Her hematological and biochemical parameters were normal. Liquid-based cytology was negative for intraepithelial lesion or malignancy. Endometrial biopsy was inconclusive. Ultrasonography pelvis revealed asymmetrically enlarged uterus with multiple heteroechogenic well-defined lesions with foci of calcification in myometrium, suggestive of fibroids with largest measuring 6.9x9 cm in the left lateral wall. Endometrial lining appeared normal and bilateral ovaries were not visualized.

After a clinical and radiological assessment, the patient was planned for total abdominal hysterectomy. Intraoperatively, the uterus was 14 weeks size with multiple subcentimetric subserosal fibroids on anterior wall. A large firm pedunculated mass measuring 8x6 cm was seen arising from left ovarian ligament. Bilateral tubes and ovaries were grossly normal. Uterovesical fold of peritoneum was dissected and bladder pushed down. Rest of the steps of hysterectomy were done in the usual manner. The specimen was sent for histopathology (Figure 1).

**DISCUSSION**

Broad ligament disorders are rare. They remain asymptomatic or give vague complaints unless they get enormously enlarged and cause compression effect thereby presenting with bowel and bladder symptoms. So, there are high chances to miss them clinically. Some conditions of the broad ligament leading to chronic pelvic pain include anatomic defects, rupture of congenital mesonephric cyst causing defects in broad ligament, broad ligament lacerations leading to hypermobility of cervix (Allen master’s syndrome), para metritis, posterior broad ligament endometrioma, and benign and malignant tumors.  

Leiomyomas are quite common in the reproductive age group of females. They can present with menstrual disturbances, pressure symptoms like bladder and bowel dysfunctions and with infertility or recurrent pregnancy loss if they distort the uterine cavity. Sometimes the myomas undergo secondary changes like degeneration, infarction, necrosis, haemorrhage and very rarely show sarcomatous changes. Broad ligament leiomyomas are among the commonest extraterine site where leiomyomas are found. Many case reports have been published where broad ligament fibroid mimics an ovarian mass. Also, there occurs a confusion in differentiating a broad ligament fibroid from a metastatic ovarian carcinoma as it can be associated with pseudo-Meigs syndrome causing an elevation of CA 125 levels. Here is a case report of broad ligament leiomyoma arising from utero-ovarian ligament and impinging on the left lateral pelvic wall which was missed both on clinical examination and imaging study.  

Differential diagnosis of a broad ligament fibroid includes solid ovarian mass, pedunculated subserosal fibroid, broad ligament cysts or lymphadenopathy. The diagnosis can be made by pelvic examination and imaging techniques like USG, CT scan and MRI. MRI is the most accurate technique for localizing leiomyoma. It is a good modality to differentiate between an ovarian, tubal or a pedunculated fibroid and provides imaging planes that are not available on transabdominal or transvaginal ultrasounds. Also, secondary changes like degenerations, calcifications, necrosis and sarcoma can be detected by MRI. The final diagnosis is made by histopathology. There are case reports mentioning very massive broad ligament fibroids weighing up to 3.8 kg.

**CONCLUSION**

Broad ligaments fibroids are pelvic masses which can present with vague symptoms or may remain asymptomatic. They can be confused with an ovarian mass clinically and by elevated CA-125 levels. MRI is the imaging modality of choice as the diagnosis may be missed on ultrasonography. Broad ligament is a common site for extraterine leiomyomas but utero-ovarian ligament is a very rare site for the same. The present case...
is unique as there are few reports of utero-ovarian ligament fibroids in medical literature.
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