Comparative cytogenetic analysis of fishes in the genus *Trichopodus* (Osphronemidae) in Thailand
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**Abstract.** Sapiwong W, Wongchantra P, Thongnet W, Mingkwan B, Chaiyasen P, Pinmongkonkhal S, Pinthong K, Tanomtong A. 2021. Comparative cytogenetic analysis of fishes in the genus Trichopodus (Osphronemidae) in Thailand. Biodiversitas 22: 3029-3036. Comparative cytogenetic study of four species of the genus Trichopodus including *T. leerii*, *T. microlepis*, *T. pectoralis*, and *T. trichopterus* from Thailand, was carried out. The specimens were collected from the Basins throughout Thailand. Chromosome preparation was directly performed from the kidney tissues. Conventional staining by Giemsa solution, Ag–NOR banding by silver nitrate solution and C-banding by NaOH solution were conducted to stain the chromosomes. Results showed that four species studied have the same diploid number and fundamental number as 46 composing of all telocentric chromosomes. Karyotypes consisting of large-medium-small sizes in *T. leerii*, *T. microlepis*, *T. pectoralis* and *T. trichopterus* were 16–28–2, 14–32–0, 20–26–0 and 10–30–6 chromosomes, respectively. The marker chromosomes which present the NOR positions are the single pair in all species but there are differences in the locations and pairs such as pairs no. 1, 7, 2 and 1, respectively. Most species except *T. trichopterus*, NOR sites locate at interstitial region adjacent to the centromere. *T. trichopterus* had the telomeric NORs. Constitutive heterochromatin blocks displayed at the centromeric/pericentromeric regions of all chromosomes in *T. leerii* and *T. microlepis* whereas in *T. pectoralis* and *T. trichopterus*, those presented at not only centromeres of several chromosome pairs, but they also were found at interstitial sites. The obtained finding, cytogenetic data has species-specific. Thus, it can be used for further study on taxonomy. Moreover, this data shows the close relationship of genetics among these species so that the application for breeding may be used in the future.
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**INTRODUCTION**

A *Trichopodus* genus which is formerly included in *Trichogaster* (Peapke 2009; Töpfer and Schlindler 2009) is tropical freshwater labyrinth fish of the gourami or family Osphronemidae and subfamily Trichogastrinae distributed in Southeast Asia. Gouramis of the genus *Trichopodus* are closely related to those of *Trichogaster* (formerly Colisa). Species of both genera have long and thread-like pelvic fins (known as “feelers” in the aquaria trade) used to sense the environment. However, *Trichopodus* species have shorter dorsal fin base in juvenile stage, when sexually mature, they are much larger (Peapke 2009; Töpfer and Schlindler 2009). There are currently six recognized species in this genus including *Trichopodus cantoris*, pearl gourami (*T. leerii*), moonlight gourami (*T. microlepis*), snakeskin gourami (*T. pectoralis*), *T. poptae* and three spot gourami (*T. trichopterus*) (Peapke 2009). In Thailand, there are only four species recorded in this subfamily and only genus *Trichopodus* is native species. Three species including *T. microlepis*, *T. pectoralis* and *T. trichopterus* are distributed throughout Thailand while *T. leerii* is native to the To Daeng peat swamp forest, Narathiwat Province, Southern Thailand. These fishes are well-known as economic fish of Thailand. *T. trichopterus* is popular as fish food species and booming in aquaculture while left three species to have beautiful color as attractive species in a popular ornamental fish (Sapiwong et al. 2010). Although the gourami fishes are important for national economy of Thailand, there was quite scarce of cytogenetics in these fishes especially for the banding analysis of their chromosomes. Up to the present, there were ten species in the subfamily Trichogastrinae that have been cytogenetically reported. The diploid chromosome number (2n) is 46 or 48 chromosomes and the fundamental number (NF) ranges between 46 and 86 (Table 1). The study on fish chromosomes is the basic knowledge that can be applied for several fields such as classification, evolution, heredity, systematic (Kumar et al. 2014), breeding, rapid production of inbred lines, and cytotaxonomy (Kumar et al. 2014; Abu-Almaayt et al. 2017). Furthermore, cytogenetic studies on fishes also have been used as biological indicators to determine the ecological toxicology (Promsid et al. 2015; Talukdar et al. 2017) and cytogenetic techniques have been
widely applied to improve farmed stocks in many aquaculture species in the World (Chandra and Fropp-Bayat 2021).

An important characteristic of Nucleolar Organizer Regions (NORs) in fish is related to that it has inter- and intra-species polymorphism. NORs characters are considered as a cytotaxonomic marker for cytotoxiconomic studies and also have been used for studying of phylogenetic relationships among the Cyprinid fishes (Kasiroek et al. 2017; Saenjundaeng et al. 2018a,b; Supiwong et al. 2018). Constitutive heterochromatin distributions on the chromosomes were widely studied in some fish groups (Vicari et al. 2006; Mesquita et al. 2008; Takai 2012). Generally, most constitutive heterochromatins can be revealed at interstitial regions in some Pomacentrid fishes to support that the chromosomal evolution in this fish is related to chromosome fusion (Takai 2012). Moreover, constitutive heterochromatins are also highly accumulated on the W sex chromosome in Parodon hilarii (Parodontidae) (Moreira-Filho et al. 1993), Characidium fish (Crenuchidae) (Vicari et al. 2008) and Lignobrycon myersi (Triportheidae) (Rodrigues et al. 2016).

As mention above, chromosomal analysis is very important and clearly exhibits the benefits. Moreover, the NORs characteristics and constitutive heterochromatin in almost and all species of the genus Trichopodus, have not been studied. Accordingly, the present study is the first report for comparative cytogenetics in the genus Trichopodus from Thailand by using Ag–NOR banding and C–banding techniques. The knowledge can provide cytogenetic data for supporting the studies of systematics, breeding improvement, and evolutionary relationship in this family.

Table 1. Review of cytotaxonomic reports of the subfamily Trichogasterinae

| Species                  | 2n | NF                  | Karyotype formula                  | NORs | References |
|--------------------------|----|---------------------|-----------------------------------|------|------------|
| Trichogaster chuna       | 46 | 74                  | 20m+8sm+6st+12a                   | –    | Araí (2011) |
|                          | 46 | 66                  | 20m+20st+a                        | –    | Araí (2011) |
|                          | 46 | 86                  | 28m+12sm+6a                       | –    | Araí (2011) |
|                          | 46 | 64                  | 10m+8sm+28a                       | –    | Araí (2011) |
| Trichogaster fasciata    | 48 | 48                  | 48a/t                             | –    | Araí (2011) |
|                          | 48 | 74                  | 14m+12sm+22a/t                    | –    | Araí (2011) |
|                          | 48 | 78                  | 8m+20sm+12st+8a/t                 | –    | Araí (2011) |
|                          | 48 | 78                  | 18m+12sm+18a/t                    | –    | Araí (2011) |
|                          | 48 | 68                  | 20m+12st+16a/t                    | –    | Araí (2011) |
|                          | 48 | 80–81               | 16m+16sm+15a/t(16a/t)             | –    | Araí (2011) |
|                          | 48 | 80                  | 16m+16sm+16a                      | –    | Araí (2011) |
|                          | 48 | 83                  | 15m+16sm+4st+13a/t                | 6    | Araí (2011) |
|                          | 48 | 86                  | 16m+16sm+6st+10a/t                | 2    | Araí (2011) |
| Trichogaster labiosa     | 48 | 66                  | 12m+6sm+12st+18a/t                | –    | Araí (2011) |
|                          | 48 | 82                  | 22m+12sm+4st+12a                  | –    | Araí (2011) |
|                          | 48 | 68                  | 20m+10st+18a/t                    | –    | Araí (2011) |
|                          | 48 | 86                  | 22m+16sm+10a                      | –    | Araí (2011) |
|                          | 46 | 70                  | 24m/sm+22a/t                      | –    | Araí (2011) |
|                          | 46 | 6 –                 | 26m+1sm/mt+19a/t                  | –    | Araí (2011) |
| Trichogaster latius      | 46 | 70                  | 14m+10sm+12st+10a                 | –    | Araí (2011) |
|                          | 46 | 66                  | 20m+8st+18a/t                     | –    | Araí (2011) |
|                          | 46 | 66                  | 14m+6sm+26a                       | –    | Araí (2011) |
| Trichogaster sumatranus  | 48 | 48                  | 48st/a                            | –    | Araí (2011) |
| Trichopodus cantoris     | 46 | 46                  | 46/a/t                            | –    | Araí (2011) |
| Trichopodus leeri        | 46 | 46                  | 46/a/t                            | –    | Araí (2011) |
|                          | 46 | 46                  | 46/a/t                            | –    | Abú-Abmaaty et al. (2017) |
|                          | 46 | 46                  | 46t                               | 2    | Present study |
| Trichopodus microlepis   | 48 | 48                  | 48a/t                             | –    | Araí (2011) |
|                          | 46 | 46                  | 46/a/t                            | –    | Araí (2011) |
|                          | 46 | 46                  | 46/a/t                            | –    | Seetapan and Khamma-Ai (2007) |
| Trichopodus pectoralis   | 46 | 46                  | 46/a/t                            | –    | Araí (2011) |
|                          | 46 | 46                  | 46/a/t                            | –    | Araí (2011) |
|                          | 46 | 46                  | 46/a/t                            | –    | Seetapan and Khamma-Ai (2007) |
|                          | 46 | 46                  | 46t                               | 2    | Present study |
| Trichopodus trichopterus | 46 | 46                  | 46/a/t                            | –    | Araí (2011) |
|                          | 46 | 46                  | 46/a/t                            | –    | Araí (2011) |
|                          | 46 | 46                  | 46/a/t                            | –    | Araí (2011) |
|                          | 46 | 46                  | 46/t                              | 2    | Supiwong et al. (2010) |
|                          | 46 | 46                  | 46/t                              | –    | Abú-Abmaaty et al. (2017) |
|                          | 46 | 46                  | 46t                               | 2    | Present study |

Note: 2n: diploid chromosome number, NF: fundamental number (number of chromosome arm), m: metacentric, sm: submetacentric, a: acrocentric, t: telocentric, NORs: nucleolar organizer regions, and –: not available
Figure 1. Map showing the sampling sites and characteristics of the Trichopodus specimens: A. T. leeri, B. T. microlepis, C. T. pectoralis, and D. T. trichopterus; scale bars = 2 cm

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection

Ten males and ten females of each species of the Trichopodus were collected from different sites as follows: T. leeri (the To Daeng peat swamp forest, Narathiwat Province), T. microlepis (the Chao Phraya Basin, Sing Buri Province), T. pectoralis (the Chi Basin, Maha Sarakham Province) and T. trichopterus (Nong Khai Province), in Thailand (Figure 1). The fishes were transferred to laboratory aquaria and kept under standard conditions for three days before the experiments. The procedures followed ethical protocols; anesthesia was conducted by being in freeze before euthanasia, as approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Khon Kaen University, based on the Ethics of Animal Experimentation of the National Research Council of Thailand IACUC–KKU–9/60.

Cytogenetic study

Chromosomes were directly prepared in vivo (Supiwong et al. 2012a; 2012b; 2013; Ferreira et al. 2021). The chromosomes were then stained by three techniques. Conventional staining technique was carried out by using 20% Giemsa’s solution (Sangpakdee et al. 2015; Sangpakdee et al. 2017; Chaiyasan et al. 2018). Ag–NOR staining was conducted by using 50% silver nitrate solution (Sreeputhorn et al. 2017; Supiwong et al. 2017; Getlekha and Tanomtong 2020), and C-banding has performed the method of Supiwong et al. (2019). Chromosome counting was performed on mitotic metaphase cells under a light microscope. Twenty cells (from each specimen), clearly observable and well-spread chromosomes were selected and photographed (selected from all specimens). The lengths of short arm (Ls) and long arm (Ll) were measured and the length of total chromosome was calculated (LT, LT = Ls×Ll). The relative length (RL), the centromeric index
(CI), and standard deviation (S.D.) of RL and CI were calculated (Phimphan et al. 2013; Pinthong et al. 2017; Juntaree and Supiwong 2020). The CI (q/p) between 0.500–0.599, 0.600–0.699, 0.700–0.899, and 0.900–1.000 were described as metacentric (m), submetacentric (sm),acrocentric (a), and telocentric (t) chromosomes, respectively (Saenjundaeng et al. 2018a,b; Pissaparn et al. 2020; Phimphan et al. 2020). The classify of chromosomal sizes, karyotyping and diagramming methods were followed by Tanomtong et al. (2014), Jantarat et al. (2017), and Chooseangjaew et al. (2017).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chromosome number (2n), fundamental number (NF), and karyotype of the Trichopodus

Four studied Trichopodus species have the same diploid number (2n) and fundamental number (NF) as 46 composing of all telocentric chromosomes (Figure 2). Karyotypes classifying as large-medium-small sizes compose of 16–28–2, 14–32–0, 20–26–0 and 10–30–6 chromosomes in T. leerii, T. microlepis, T. pectoralis and T. trichopterus, respectively (Figure 3). The differentiated sizes related to sex chromosomes were not observed. The karyotype formulae for these species are as follows:

- **T. leerii**: 2n (diploid) 46 = L16+ M28+S2 or 2n (diploid) 46 = 46t
- **T. microlepis**: 2n (diploid) 46 = L14+ M32 or 2n (diploid) 46 = 46t
- **T. pectoralis**: 2n (diploid) 46 = L20+ M26 or 2n (diploid) 46 = 46t
- **T. trichopterus**: 2n (diploid) 46 = L10+ M30+S6 or 2n (diploid) 46 = 46t

Chromosome markers of the Trichopodus

The determination of chromosomal markers for all studied species except T. trichopterus, was firstly obtained in the present study by using the Ag-NOR staining technique. The marker chromosomes which present the NOR positions are the single pair in all species but there are differences in the locations and pairs such as pairs no. 1, 7, 2 and 1 in T. leerii, T. microlepis, T. pectoralis and T. trichopterus, respectively. NOR sites locate at interstitial region adjacent to the centromere of the chromosomes (interstitial NOR) in three species whereas in T. trichopterus, those located at the region adjacent to telomeric position of long arm (telomeric NOR) (Figures 2 and 3).

Figure 2. Karyotypes of four Trichopodus, 2n = 46 by conventional staining, Ag-NOR banding and C-banding techniques; A. T. leerii, B. T. microlepis, C. T. pectoralis and D. T. trichopterus; arrows indicate nucleolar organizer region/NOR. Scale bars. 5 µm
The determination of constitutive heterochromatin on chromosomes for all studied species, was firstly analyzed in the present study by using the C–banding technique. Constitutive heterochromatin blocks displayed at the centromeric/pericentromeric regions of all chromosomes in *T. leerii*, *T. microlepis*, and *T. pectoralis* whereas in *T. trichopterus*, those presented at not only centromeres of several chromosome pairs (except pairs 8–11, 14, 16, 18–20), but they also were found at interstitial sites in the chromosome pairs 1, 6, 14 and 19. However, in *T. leerii*, constitutive heterochromatin blocks were revealed at interstitial sites near the centromeric regions of the chromosome pairs 1 and 8 while in *T. pectoralis*, those were also observed at telomeric positions of the chromosome pairs 3, 5, 6, 12, 14, 19 and 20, and interstitial sites of the chromosome pairs 1–5, 7, 110-13, 16, 18 and 22 (Figures 2 and 3).
Discussion

Chromosome number (2n), fundamental number (NF), and karyotypes of the Trichopodus

The diploid chromosome number (2n) of all Trichopodus studied was found as 46 chromosomes (Figures 2 and 3). This result is coincident with previous reports (Supiwong et al. 2010; Abu–Almaaty et al. 2017). Moreover, this character is also the same in the species Trichopodus cantoris, Trichogaster chuna and Trichogaster lalius (Arai 2011). These species have the diploid chromosome number of 2n=46, which is an apparent modal diploid number of the Trichopodus. Accordingly, it can be concluded that diploid chromosome number in this genus is conserved. However, it differs from T. microlepis reported by Seetapan and Khamma-Ai (2007) and Arai (2011), the most species of the genus Trichogaster (T. labiosa, T. fasciata, T. labiosus, T. sumatranus) which had 2n=48 (Arai 2011) (Table 1).

The fundamental number (NF) of all Trichopodus was 46 in both male and female specimens. The karyotypes consisted of 46 telocentric chromosomes (all as mono-arm chromosomes). These results are agreeable with the previous reports of all Trichopodus species (Magtoon et al. 2007; Seetapan and Khamma-Ai 2007; Supiwong et al. 2010; Arai 2011; Abu–Almaaty et al. 2017). However, they are different from all of the genus Trichogaster (Arai 2011). The NFs of the genus Trichogaster range from 48 to 86 and karyotypes composed of both mono- and bi-arms chromosomes. Nirchio et al. (2002) proposed that species with high NF is advanced state or apomorphic character whereas one with low NF is a primitive state or plesiomorphic character. Thus, the Trichopodus seems to be a primitive karyotype than Trichogaster. Although four Trichopodus studied have 2n, NF and the type of chromosome, there are differences in the number of chromosome sizes in karyotypes such as T. leerii and T. trichopterus had three sizes of chromosomes as large, medium and small while T. microlepis and T. pectoralis had only two sizes including large and medium. Thus, karyotype formulae have differences among these species. Moreover, there is no evidence of heteromorphic sex chromosomes in these species which according to all species of this genus (Magtoon et al. 2007; Seetapan and Khamma-Ai 2007; Supiwong et al. 2010; Arai 2011; Abu–Almaaty et al. 2017). Similar to several gourami fishes, no cytologically distinguishable sex chromosomes were distinguished. However, the ZZ/Z0 and XX/X0 sex chromosome systems were revealed in Trichogaster lalius while ZZ/ZW sex chromosome system was observed in Trichogaster fasciata (Arai 2011) and Belontia hasselti (Chaiyasan et al. 2021).

Chromosome markers of the Trichopodus

Present study was firstly accomplished by using Ag-NOR staining in all species analyzed except T. trichopterus. The NORs are used as markers to detect species-specific character and indicate intra- and interspecies chromosomal polymorphism in many groups of fishes (Cioffi et al. 2015; Phimphan et al. 2015; Sarasen et al. 2018; Kasirock et al. 2017; Yeesin et al. 2021). The Ag-NOR positions were shown on the single chromosome pair. They are the same as in T. trichopterus (Supiwong et al. 2010) and T. fasciata reported by Arai (2011) but there is difference in T. fasciata which had three pairs of NORs (Arai 2011) and Betta splendens which had two pairs of NORs (Furgala–Selezniov et al. 2008). Gold and Amemiya (1986) suggested that the occurrence of multiple NORs in fishes was considered to be apomorphic or advance condition whereas single pair of NORs was considered to be plesiomorphic or a primitive condition (Kumar et al. 2013). Considering for NOR loci among four species of this genus in present and previous studies, although all species had a single NOR pair, the NOR positions are different. The present results revealed that T. leerii, T. microlepis, and T. pectoralis had interstitial NORs closely to centromeres on the chromosome pairs 1, 7, and 2, respectively, whereas T. trichopterus had telomeric NORs (region adjacent to the telomere) on the chromosome pair 2 (Supiwong et al. 2010) or the chromosome pair 1 (present study). Gornung (2013) suggested that in fishes, the location of NORs in a terminal position, and close to the centromere, is also considered to be a primitive feature as found in T. leerii, T. microlepis, and T. pectoralis. Thus, T. trichopterus seems to be part of advance trait due to its NOR position. The chromosomal evolution from ancestor in T. trichopterus, has been occurred through pericentric inversion process in NOR-bearing chromosomes. This may be the reason for the description of NOR loci difference between T. trichopterus and other Trichopodus. Therefore, the NOR-bearing chromosome markers can be used as a tool for classification in this fish group. A single NOR pair is considered as a primitive state in fish group. Single NORs are also widespread in several fish taxa (Khakhong et al. 2014; Sochorová et al. 2018).

Patterns of constitutive heterochromatins on the chromosomes of the Trichopodus

The present study is the first report of the constitutive heterochromatin distributions on the chromosomes in the genus Trichopodus using C-banding. There are various patterns among four species. The constitutive heterochromatic blocks were observed at centromeric and pericentromeric regions of all chromosomes and with no clear interstitial and telomeric positive C-bands in T. leerii and T. microlepis while those were found at all centromeric/pericentromeric, several telomeric/ peritelomeric and interstitial regions in T. pectoralis. Moreover, in T. trichopterus, both centromeric/pericentromeric and interstitial regions of several chromosome pairs shown the positive C-bands. It indicates that the chromosomes of T. leerii and T. microlepis are conserved and non-related to chromosomal fusion or an increase in heterochromatin during evolution. This result is similar to some species in another family of the order Perciformes such as Geophagus brasiliensis and C. facetum in the Cichlidae family (Vicari et al. 2006). Plectroglyphidodon lacrymatus, Chrysiptera leucopoma, C. rex, and Neoglyphidodon melas in the Pomacentridae family (Takai 2012). However, it seems to be that there was chromosomal fusion or an increase in heterochromatin during evolution in T. pectoralis and T.
trichopterus. This may be similar to several species which presented the complex types of positive C-bands, e.g., Symphysodon haralidii, S. aequifasciatus, S. discus (Cichlidae) having heterochromatic blocks on the pericentromeric regions of all chromosomes and the proximal regions of both arms of some chromosomes (Mesquita et al. 2008). N. nigrois (Pomacentridae) exhibiting the distribution of C-bands in most centromeric regions and including many terminals and interstitial regions (Takai 2012). The idiogram shows a continuous length gradation of chromosomes. The size differences between the largest and smallest chromosomes show approximately two-fold. Idiograms by conventional staining, Ag-NOR banding, and C-banding are shown in Figure 3. They also indicate the differences of NOR mashed chromosomes and constitutive heterochromatin patterns on the chromosomes among the genus Trichopodus.

In conclusion, NOR phenotype and constitutive heterochromatin patterns on the chromosomes are specific to species in the genus Trichopodus. For more information about the chromosomal diversity and chromosomal evolution in this genus, more techniques such as molecular cytogenic should be further studied.
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