Allergy – Patients with Atopic Dermatitis Express Themselves Through a Questionnaire
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Introduction: Allergies are becoming more prevalent across the globe and can be linked to several skin diseases, particularly atopic dermatitis (AD). Disruption of the immune system in the skin can lead to inflammatory diseases such as atopic and contact dermatitis, skin infections, and allergies. This is especially evident in processes such as “atopic march”, where in childhood, the development of atopic dermatitis can later lead to food allergies, allergic rhinitis and asthma.

Objective: The aim of this international online survey is to study the link between self-reported doctor-diagnosed AD and allergy prevalence.

Methodology: Our survey queried a representative sample of the general population over the age of 18 from five countries (Brazil, China, Russia, the USA and France).

Results: A total of 9399 participants answered the entire online questionnaire. Among them, 2483 (26.4%) had an allergy diagnosed by a doctor (1243 with food allergies (13.2%), 1564 with respiratory allergies (16.6%) and 1669 with skin allergies (17.7%)). There were 794 (31.9%) participants with current AD in the allergy group and 640 (9.25%) in the group without allergies (p<0.001), and there were 1299 (52.3%) participants with CAD in the allergy group versus 1368 (19.8%) in the group without allergies (p<0.001). Multivariate analysis showed ORs of 3.24 [2.98, 3.63] (p<0.001) for current AD and 2.4 [2.09, 2.74] (p<0.001) for CAD. There was no significant interaction between AD and CAD (p=0.6).

Conclusion: A total of 26.4% of survey respondents reported having doctor-diagnosed allergies. Among these patients, half reported having AD during childhood, and 1/3 reported having a current AD. CAD and AD patients clearly have a higher risk of having an allergy than patients without CAD or AD.
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The aim of this international online survey is to study the link between self-reported doctor-diagnosed AD and allergy prevalence.

Methodology

Our study queried a representative sample of the general population over the age of 18 from five countries (Brazil, France and Russia: n=2000; United States: n=2050; China: n=3050). Participants were selected with the use of a stratified random sampling method from a database including several million Internet users who agreed to participate in various panel surveys.4

All participants were asked to complete a structured digital questionnaire. Because this study used completely anonymized data and did not involve patient contact, institutional review board approval was not required.

However, before answering the questionnaire, each respondent was informed of the nature of the survey, that anonymity would be respected and that no collected information would allow any identification.

The respondents could stop answering the questionnaire at the time of their choice without any explanation.

By answering the questionnaire, the respondent confirmed his or her agreement, and completion of the survey was deemed to be informed consent.

After collecting sociodemographic information, questions were asked about the presence of allergies and atopic dermatitis either currently (AD) or during childhood (CAD). Participants were then asked about the type of allergy they had and whether the allergy was diagnosed by a doctor. We defined an allergy patient only as a patient who had been diagnosed by a doctor. We then used global allergy and each type of allergy as the outcomes of univariate and multivariate logistic regression, adjusting for age and sex. We tested the presence of an interaction between childhood atopic dermatitis and/or current atopic dermatitis and allergy.

Results

A total of 9399 participants answered the entire online form (4787 women, age 40.9 ± 14.5). Among them, 2483 (26.4%) had an allergy diagnosed by a doctor (1243 with food allergies (13.2%), 1564 with respiratory allergies (16.6%) and 1669 with skin allergies (17.7%)). There were 794 (31.9%) participants with current AD in the allergy group and 640 (9.25%) in the group without allergies (p<0.001) and 1299 (52.3%) participants with CAD in the allergy group versus 1368 (19.8%) in the group without allergies (p<0.001).

Multivariate analysis for all allergies showed an odds ratio (OR) of 3.24 [2.98, 3.63] (p<0.001) for current AD and an OR of 2.4 [2.09, 2.74] (p<0.001) for CAD. There was no significant interaction between AD and CAD (p=0.6) (Table 1). The multivariate analysis with respiratory allergy as an outcome showed ORs of 3.51 [3.07, 4.01] for CDA and of 2.25 [1.95, 2.6] for current DA, without interaction (p=0.8) (Table 2). For food and skin allergies, there was a significant interaction between DA and CDA (p=0.004 for food allergies and p=0.009 for skin allergies). Therefore, we presented the results in four groups. For food allergies having neither AD nor CAD (N=6464, 68.7%) as the reference group, for AD without CAD (N=1166, 12.4%), the OR was 3.58 [2.56, 4.91] (p<0.001); for CAD without AD (N=1501, 15.9%), the OR was 4.65 [3.97, 4.46] (p<0.001); and for AD and CAD (N=268, 2.85%), the OR was 9.69 [8.27, 11.36] (p<0.001) (Table 3). For skin allergies having neither AD nor CAD as the reference group, for AD without CAD, the OR was 4.25 [3.17, 5.663] (p<0.001); for CAD without AD, the OR was 5.02 [4.34, 5.81]; and for AD and CAD, the OR was 13.8 [11.9, 16] (Table 4).

Conclusion

In this self-reported survey of a representative sample of the general populations of 5 different countries (Brazil, China, France, Russia and United States), we showed that current AD patient is statistically significantly higher than symptom-free patients (p<0.001) and there was no significant interaction observed between AD and CAD (p=0.6).

Table 1 Multivariate Analysis of the Association Between Any Allergy and Atopic Dermatitis

| Variable                  | Odds Ratio (OR) [CI 95%] | p    |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|------|
| Age                      | 1.02 [0.99,1.06]        | 0.2  |
| Sex                      | 0.7 [0.64,0.78]         | <0.001|
| DA (N=1434)              | 2.4 [2.09,2.74]         | <0.001|
| DA_Childhood (N=2667)    | 3.24 [2.89,3.63]        | <0.001|

Abbreviations: CAD, childhood atopic dermatitis; AD, atopic dermatitis; CI, confidence interval.

Table 2 Multivariate Analysis of the Association Between Respiratory Allergy and Atopic Dermatitis

| Variable                  | Odds Ratio (OR) [CI 95%] | p    |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|------|
| Age                      | 1.02 [0.98,1.07]        | 0.258|
| Sex                      | 0.76 [0.68,0.86]        | <0.001|
| AD                       | 2.25 [1.95,2.6]         | <0.001|
| CAD                      | 3.51 [3.07,4.01]        | <0.001|

Abbreviations: CAD, childhood atopic dermatitis; AD, atopic dermatitis; CI, confidence interval.
of developing allergies later in life. Furthermore, AD is frequently treated with corticosteroids, and minimizing skin barrier dysfunction may be one way to prevent future allergies.

Nevertheless, CAD and current AD are not the only risk factors for developing allergies; 44.1% of patients with diagnosed allergies have neither CAD nor current AD. Allergies can develop via transcutaneous sensitization; thus, taking care of primary barrier deficiency in at least some individuals with sensitive skin is of primary importance to limit the prevalence of allergies across the globe.²

With the rising prevalence of allergies, it is increasingly important to better characterize their nature and implications. Until the pathogenesis is fully understood, the skin and its barrier function remain important targets for preventive and curative strategies.
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