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SUMMARY
The adult hair follicle (HF) undergoes successive regeneration driven by resident epithelial stem cells and neighboring mesenchyme. Recent work described the existence of HF dermal stem cells (hfDSCs), but the genetic regulation of hfDSCs and their daughter cell lineages in HF regeneration remains unknown. Here we prospectively isolate functionally distinct mesenchymal compartment in the HF (dermal cup [DC; includes hfDSCs] and dermal papilla) and define the transcriptional programs involved in hfDSC function and acquisition of divergent mesenchymal fates. From this, we demonstrate cross-compartment mesenchymal signaling within the HF niche, whereby DP-derived R-spondins act to stimulate proliferation of both hfDSCs and epithelial progenitors during HF regeneration. Our findings describe unique transcriptional programs that underlie the functional heterogeneity among specialized fibroblasts within the adult HF and identify a novel regulator of mesenchymal progenitor function during tissue regeneration.

INTRODUCTION
Owing to its capacity for continuous cyclic growth and degeneration throughout adult life, the hair follicle (HF) provides a powerful model to study stem cell dynamics and molecular cross talk that is required to enable tissue regeneration. Considerable work has focused on the hair follicle epithelial stem cell lineage (Hsu et al., 2011; Joost et al., 2016; Taylor et al., 2000; Tumbar et al., 2004), but our understanding of the supporting mesenchymal cells, their functional diversity, and the molecular signals that regulate their inductive capacity remain poorly understood.

The HF mesenchyme can be divided into three functionally distinct compartments. The dermal papilla (DP) is a small cellular aggregate residing at the base of each HF, which provides signals to initiate and coordinate epithelial progenitor function to enable regeneration (Clavel et al., 2012; Jahoda et al., 1984). Indeed, transplantation of DP is sufficient to induce ectopic hair growth, whereas ablation of the DP impairs HF growth emphasizing the importance of the mesenchymal niche (Jahoda et al., 1984; Rompolas et al., 2012). The connective tissue sheath (CTS; also called the dermal sheath; DS) surrounds the transient regenerative segment of the HF and is contiguous with the DP. The DS compartment can be distinguished by its continuous expression of alpha smooth muscle actin (αSMA). Recent work has described a functional hierarchy within these compartments and the existence of a self-renewing dermal stem/progenitor cells (hair follicle dermal stem cell [HFDC]) that reside at the anagen dermal cup (DC) and function to populate both DP and the DS at the onset of each new regenerative cycle (Rahmani et al., 2014). hfDSCs can be prospectively isolated from Sox2-expressing cells in the DS and form self-renewing colonies in vitro that are able to reconstitute the HF mesenchyme and initiate de novo hair follicle formation (Biernaskie et al., 2009; Rahmani et al., 2014). Indeed, understanding the cellular communication that occurs between HF mesenchyme and epithelial cells to enable HF regeneration will have important implications for maintaining skin health or in developing regenerative therapies to better repair damaged skin or to restore hair growth.

To this end, we prospectively isolated hfDSCs and their differentiated progeny from adult skin at the onset of HF regeneration and then performed bulk RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) to establish gene expression signatures for each mesenchymal compartment. Employing both in vitro and in vivo approaches, we demonstrate inter-compartment mesenchymal signaling during the initiation of hair growth, whereby...
R-spondins are secreted from the DP to synchronously stimulate proliferation of both hfDSCs and epithelial progenitors.

RESULTS
Prospective Isolation of Distinct Functional Compartments within the Adult HF Mesenchyme
To begin dissecting the adult mesenchymal lineage within the HF, and to understand the transcriptional programs that underlie their distinct functions within each mesenchymal compartment (Figure 1A), we generated aSMAdsRed:Sox2GFP mice to enable prospective identification of each mesenchymal compartment. This included hfDSCs that reside in the most proximal region of the DS of anagen HFs (called the dermal cup). DC cells that include hfDSCs are uniquely identified by their co-expression of aSMA and Sox2 (aSMAdsRed+:Sox2GFP+; Figures 1B and 1E), whereas the DP exhibits only Sox2 expression (Biernaskie et al., 2009; Chi et al., 2015, Driskell et al., 2009; Figure 1B). Arrector pili muscle cells (which also express aSMA) were excluded based on their robust expression of ITGa8 (ITGa8Hi). DP cells were identified as aSMAdsRedNEG/Sox2:eGFP+ve and further enriched by collecting the ITGa9+ve fraction, which marks DP cells (Figures 1B–1F) but excludes cutaneous glial cells that also express SOX2 (Biernaskie et al., 2009; Clavel et al., 2012). As a comparative population of non-hair follicle dermis, aSMAdsRedNEG/Sox2:eGFPNEG/ITGa8NEG cells were also collected, which are hereafter referred to as the interfollicular dermis (IFD; Figure 1G). Additional staining can be found in Figure S1.

Gene Expression Analysis Reveals Distinct Molecular Signatures for hfDSCs and Their Progeny
RNA-seq libraries were generated for each sample cell population (n = 3/population): DC, DP, and IFD. Each replicate sample originated from different litters of mice and contained pooled samples of two to five mice in order to collect sufficient number of cells and obtain high-quality RNA. Principle component analysis (PCA) identified three distinct cell populations with clustered replicates (Figure 2A). All populations (DC, DP, and IFD) exhibited low variation between replicates and showed unique gene expression profiles. Commonalities across mesenchymal compartments is shown as a Euler plot in Figure 2B.

To begin to understand the molecular regulators that define the unique fate and functions ascribed to each of these adult fibroblast subtypes, we developed genetic signatures for each compartment. Signatures were defined as transcripts exhibiting a ≥2-fold differential upregulation (FPKM > 5; adjusted p value <0.05), in comparison with the other mesenchymal cell populations (Figure 2C). Lists of the 50 most differentially expressed upregulated signature genes from each HF mesenchymal compartment are shown in Figure 2D. The combined gene expression of DC and DP populations generated the signature gene list of HF mesenchyme (HFM; in comparison to IFD; Figure 2D). Gene ontology analysis identified several important functional themes for each mesenchymal compartment (Figure 2E). Interestingly, the DC signature was associated with regulation of cell-cell adhesion, actin-based cell projections, and cytoskeleton components (Figure 2E), which may encompass dividing cells as well as differentiation to mature dermal sheath fates. The DP compartment was highlighted by regulation of hair cycle, growth factor activity, intracellular receptor signaling pathways, and neuron recognition/neurotransmitter activity (Figure 2E). As a whole, the HF mesenchyme signature was most notably associated with Bmp binding (Figure 2E), which is a well-documented pathway involved in the HF regeneration cycle (Kobielak et al., 2003; Rendl et al., 2008).

Validation of Identified Signature Genes within the HF Mesenchyme
Differential expression of identified genes from each compartment was validated through TaqMan quantitative-PCR (Figures 3A–3C), immunohistochemistry (Figures 3D–3H), and RNAscope (Figures 3I–3M). Candidate genes were chosen based on enrichment within a singular compartment and expressing (1) previously demonstrated cellular markers, (2) transcription factors that might regulate cell proliferation, (3) ligands-related stem cell signaling, or (4) extracellular receptors. qPCR was performed in biological and technical triplicate, using samples that were entirely distinct from those used for RNA-seq. RNA-seq log2-fold change in gene expression of the selected signature genes was highly correlated (r = 0.78; Figure 3A) with that of the qPCR demonstrating the validity of our data. From this, we identified several novel or signature genes associated with each mesenchymal compartment. Within DC we validated expression of Epha3, Hic1, Itgα11, Lgfbp2, Mcam, Pcp4, Pdgfrl, and Tnnt1 (Figure 3B). For DP, we confirmed
the expression of Fgf7, Hey2, Pax1, Prlr, Rspo3, Sostdc1, and Vcan (Figure 3C). Given the marked elevation of Rspo3 in the DP, we re-examined Lgr receptor expression in our RNA-seq data and found that transcripts for each were largely present in DP, DC, and IFD (Figure 3D). Using immunofluorescence we confirmed the presence of encoded protein for Vcan, Runx3, and Rspo2 within the DP (Figures 3E–3G) as well as Itgα8 and CD200 (Figures 3H and 3I), both of which are novel membrane receptors corresponding to the murine DC. Using RNAscope, we validated the presence of Rspo3 and Spock3 mRNA in the DP (Figures 3J and 3K) and Adams18 and the Rspo receptor Lgr6 mRNA in the DC (Figures 3L and 3M). Interestingly, unlike Lgr6, expression of Lgr4 mRNA appeared restricted to the HF epithelium.
Figure 2. RNA-Seq Analysis Reveals a Distinct Molecular Signature for Each Hair Follicle Mesenchymal Compartment

(A) Principal component analysis (PCA) of global gene expression profiles of DC, DP, and IFD comparing PC1 and PC2. Component percent contribution to variance is noted in each axis title.

(B) Modified Euler diagram depicting numbers of differentially expressed genes in each cell population comparison with \( R^2 \)-fold differential gene expression.
and not the DC or CTS (Figure 3N). Images showing positive and negative control probes are provided for comparison (Figures 3O and 3P).

Regulators of Inductive Function and Mesenchymal Cross Talk

Although signatures for the developing neonatal DP have been described (Rendl et al., 2005; Rezza et al., 2016; Sennett et al., 2013), a comprehensive transcriptional profile for the adult early anagen DP is lacking. We therefore compared our DP signature with previously published “core” neonatal anagen DP genes and found 54 enriched transcripts in common (Figure S2A and S2B). To further define the transcriptional programs associated with inductive competency, we generated a list of shared transcripts showing the highest level of expression between hfDSC and DP compartments, and differentially expressed compared with interfollicular dermal fibroblasts (IFD), which might also include upper dermal sheath cells, but neither of which exhibit inductive function (McElwee et al., 2003; Figure S2D). These genes included Ogn, Timp2, CD248, Pcolce, Dpt, Timp1/2, Serping1, Clec3b, Ly6a, Hic1, Ccl8, Pi16, and others (Table S1 for complete lists). We also probed potential receptor-ligand interactions that may underlie cross talk between the mesenchyme and adjacent melanocytes, keratinocytes comprising the matrix cells, and hair germ/transit-amplifying cells (TACs) (Hsu et al., 2014), as well as potential inter-compartmental communication between mesenchymal cells (Figure S3). DP cells are enriched for ligands Edn3 and Nrg2, which bind to melanocyte receptors Ednrb and Erbb4, genes related to melanocyte differentiation. DP cells also express the Hedgehog signaling pathway antagonist, Hhip, which interacts with the morphogen Shh, secreted by transit-amplifying cells (TACs), shown to be necessary for adult HF cycling (Wang et al., 2000). Furthermore, DP cells express the ligand Aloxe3, which can be cross-linked by the membrane-bound enzyme Tgm1 on nearby matrix/TACs to facilitate epithelial cell differentiation. Potential inter-mesenchymal cross talk is indicated by expression of ligand/receptor pairings such as Dcc/Ntn1 and Edn3/Ednra/b between DP cells and hfDSCs. Our adult mouse DP signature gene list was also compared with other published mouse DP signature lists to determine definitive DP genes that are conserved across hair types and from embryogenesis to adulthood (Figures S2A–S2D) (Rendl et al., 2005; Rezza et al., 2016; Sennett et al., 2015). Essential DP genes include Chodl, Crabp1/2, Edn3, Fgf7/10, Hhip, Itga9, Pappa, Rsop2-4, Serpine3, and Sfrp1/2 (Figures S2B and S2D). Of particular note are the genes conserved between mouse and human DP, when compared with previous microarray data (Higgins et al., 2013; Ohyama et al., 2012) (Figures S2E and S2F). Our DP signature shared 28 genes in common with these previous signatures. One example was Gpx3, which serves to protect cells from oxidative stress by catalyzing the reduction of hydrogen peroxide and hydroperoxides and has been reported as a downstream target of thyroid-stimulating hormone signaling in HF fibroblasts (Bodo et al., 2009). Dio2 was also identified and similarly catalyzes production of bioactive thyroid hormone and has also been reported as a signature gene for human HF bulge stem cells (Ohyama et al., 2006). Conserved DP signature genes between human and mouse include Chodl, Crabp1, Dio2, Edn3, Gpm6b, Gpx3, Hhip, Pappa2, Rsop2, Sfrp1/2, Sostdc, and Spard1 (Figure S2F).

R-spondin2/3 Promotes Proliferation of Isolated hfDSCs

Specific components of the Wnt-signaling pathway were highly enriched throughout the adult HF mesenchyme (Figures 2D and S2B). Most notable was the robust compartment-specific expression of all four R-spondin ligands within the DP (also observed in neonatal DP; Rendl et al., 2005; Rezza et al., 2016; Sennett et al., 2015) (Table S1). R-spondins are potent Wnt enhancers and play a critical role in regulating somatic stem cells in several organs including epithelial stem/progenitors in the HF and intestinal crypt (Abo and Clevers, 2012). Intriguingly, our transcriptomic dissection of the mesenchyme also revealed expression of R-spondin receptors Lgr4/5/6 in neighboring DC cells as well as in IFD (Figure 3D; Table S1). All other data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request. Indeed our in situ hybridization on early anagen skin validated the expression of Lgr6, but not Lgr4, within the DC and neighboring epithelial matrix (Figures 3M and 3N). One recent
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lated hfDSCs were treated with RSPO2/3 separately and concurrently (Figure S4). Treatment of either We next asked whether RSPO2 and RSPO3 have a redundant or additive effect on isolated hfDSCs. Iso-
ratio no f o t h m e s n e c h y m a la n de p i t h e l i a lp r o g e n i t o r s . (Figures 4H and 4I). Together, these results suggest that both Rspo2 and 3 are sufficient to induce prolif-
erate. To determine whether RSPO3 induces its proliferative effects through the canonical Wnt signaling, isolated cells were treated with DKK1, a known inhibitor of Wnt signaling (Niida et al., 2004) in the presence or absence of RSPO3. Addition of DKK1 mitigated the increase in colony formation observed with RSPO3 (Figures S4–S4G). In parallel, we also blocked Wnt signaling by adding a cocktail of two small molecule inhibitors of the Wnt pathway (IWP2 and IWR1-endo) (Chen et al., 2009) and similarly showed that it was sufficient to block the growth potentiation provided by RSPO3 (Figures S6H–S6I). Together these data suggest that stimulation of hfDSC by R-spondin signaling occurs via the canonical Wnt-signaling pathway.
Figure 4. R-spondins-2 and -3 Stimulate Proliferation of Prospectively Isolated hfDSCs and Hair Follicle Keratinocytes
(A) Early anagen follicle from α-SMACreER<sup>T2</sup>:Rosa<sup>YFP</sup> skin showing Rspo2 (red) in DP cells, surrounded by hfDSCs (green). High magnification inset (blue box) shown at right. HG, hair germ. Scale bar, 10 μm.
(B) Schematic showing FACS isolation of hfDSCs (αSMA<sup>dsRed</sup><sup>+++</sup> Sox2GFP<sup>+++</sup>) from anagen (P26) skin.
(C) Phase contrast images of isolated hfDSCs grown for 10 days in the absence or presence of recombinant mouse Rspo2 or 3 protein and/or the GSK-inhibitor CHIR99021 (D). Scale bar, 50 μm.
(D) Quantification of hfDSC colony numbers. Experiments included no treatment and DMSO only controls. Mean ± SEM (n = 3 biological replicates, ** indicates P < 0.01).
(E) Distribution of colony sizes following exposure to DMSO, TGFβ2, RSPO2, or RSPO3. Data are mean ± SEM.

*Figures adapted from [X] (2020).*
Figure 4. Continued

(F and G) Quantification of colony (F) number and (G) size of FACS-isolated hfDSCs grown in equivalent conditions, with the addition of TGFβ2, RSPO2, or RSPO3 treatment. Data are mean ± SEM (n = 3 biological replicates; *, p < 0.05; **p < 0.01).

(H) Adult (p26) epithelial keratinocytes grown for 10 days in the absence or presence of recombinant mouse RSPO2 or RSPO3 protein and immunostained with Keratin-5. Scale bar, 50 μm.

(I) Quantification of mean keratinocyte colony size from (H) (mean ± SEM, n = 3 biological replicates, ***, ***, ** indicate p < 0.01, 0.001, respectively).

Exogenous R-spondin Is Sufficient to Induce Precocious Anagen and Depletion of Rspo3 within DP Delays HF Growth

To test the sufficiency of RSPO2/3 to activate HF stem/progenitors toward initiation of HF regeneration in vivo, we performed intradermal injections of RSPO2 or 3 into resting telogen skin (P55). Controls included injection of either TGFβ2, a known inducer of anagen (growth), or BSA vehicle. Unsurprisingly, vehicle control injections remained in resting phase (telogen) for the duration of the 4-week experiment (Figure S5A). In contrast, application of either RSPO2 or RSPO3 initiated a rapid onset of anagen hair growth (Figures 5C and 5D) that was indistinguishable from injection of TGFβ2 (Figure 5B).

To determine the functional importance of DP-derived Rspo3 in regulating hfDSCs and HF regeneration in vivo, we generated Prominin1CreER122;Rspo3fllox/flox mice (Figure 5E). Prominin-1 (CD133) is enriched in DP cells, thereby allowing specific deletion of Rspo3 within the DP compartment (Zhou et al., 2016). Tamoxifen was applied at either postnatal day 2–4 (Figure 5F) or at the onset of the second anagen (P20–24) (Figure 5G). In either experiment, conditional depletion of Rspo3 (Rspo3flox/flox) within the DP resulted in delayed natural hair regrowth relative to Rspo3+/+ controls after tamoxifen injection (Figures 5F and 5G). The distribution of HF stages was markedly altered in Rspo3−/− showing the majority of HFs remaining in early or mid anagen, whereas Rspo3+/+ mice had a majority of late anagen HFs (Figures 5H and 5I). The knockdown efficiency of Rspo3 in Prom1+ cells was confirmed through qPCR (Figure 5J). To verify the specificity of Rspo3 within the DP, we generated dSMACreER122;Rspo3fllox/flox mice, where Rspo3 was conditionally deleted from DC, but not the DP. Here, we did not observe any changes in HF growth over two consecutive depilation induced cycles (Figure S4; n = 3 per genotype), demonstrating that Rspo3 is derived specifically from the mesenchymal cells residing in the DP and not other mesenchymal cells. These results show that DP-derived R-spondins are sufficient to initiate progenitor activation and HF regeneration during competent telogen but are not required for initiation of HF regeneration.

R-spondin Enhances Proliferation of Isolated Adult Human Dermal Progenitors In Vitro

To begin to translate our findings to human dermal fibroblast biology, we performed immunofluorescence staining on adult human scalp skin sections and confirmed the presence of several identified transcripts. In the human anagen DP, we observed robust expression of candidate genes Runx and Vcan (Figures 6A and 6B). Pax1 and Bgn was found in the human DC (Figures 6C and 6D). Immunostaining of RSPO2 confirmed enrichment in the DP and DC, with expression in the epithelium as well (Figure 6E). To determine whether R-spondins act as instructive signals for human mesenchymal progenitors, we performed colony formation assays on primary adult human dermal progenitors in the presence or absence of R-spondin2/3 for 14 days (Figure 6F) and observed a >3-fold increase in colony number and a 20%–40% increase in colony size (Figures 6G and 6H). Lastly, immunostaining confirmed the presence of LGR4, suggesting that RSPO2/3 may act directly on human dermal progenitors (Figure 6I). Next, the cultured dermal progenitors were pulsed with BrdU at passage 2 (day 5) for 18 h and BrdU uptake was quantified by flow cytometry (Figure 6J) to determine whether RSPO3 similarly enhances proliferation of human dermal progenitors. Gating for BrdU fluorescence intensity was identified with positive and negative controls, and the same settings were used to quantify the percentage of cells undergoing DNA replication (0, 1, or 2 times) during the 18-h pulse period (Figures 6K and 6L). Indeed, the largest proportion of cells in the control cultures had completed one replication cycle, whereas the majority of cells in the RSPO3-treated cultures had initiated a second cycle (Figure 6M) suggesting that RSPO3 may accelerate the rate of cell cycling. Lastly, to determine whether R-spondins may be influencing the number of proliferating cells or preventing cell death, flow cytometry was used to quantify the percentage of proliferating (Ki67+) and apoptotic (Casp3+) cells after RSPO3 or RSPO3 + CHIR treatment (S6A). Indeed, there was a modest but significant increase in Ki67+ cells (Figures S6B and S6D) and also a similar increase in apoptotic Casp3+ cells (Figures S6C and S6E) suggesting that neither RSPO3 or CHIR treatments impact cell survival. Notably, there was an increase in the total number of colonies, as well as an increase in the fold increase in colony number and size compared to vehicle control (Figures S6G and S6H).
Figure 5. Exogenous R-spondin 2/3 Is Sufficient to Stimulate Hair Follicle Regeneration In vivo and Deficiency in DP-Derived R-spondin 3 Delays Progression of Anagen
(A–D) Images of skin following intradermal injection of (A) BSA, (B) TGFβ2, (C) RSPO2, and (D) RSPO3 into resting phase (telogen) adult mouse back skin. At left are ventral views of skin at each injection site. Red indicates fluorescent beads used to identify injection site. In the main panel, nuclei are stained with Hoechst (gray). Green dashed lines outline the injection site. Dashed boxes (yellow, telogen; blue, anagen) indicate high magnification insets of individual follicles shown at right.
(E) Schematic depicting Prominin-1CreERT2:Rspo3lox mice used to specifically delete Rspo3 from the dermal papilla.
number of cells after RSPO3 + CHIR treatment (Figure S6F). Taken together, the data suggest that R-spondin signaling is sufficient to stimulate proliferation of both rodent and human HF mesenchymal progenitors.

**ITGA5 Marks the Connective Tissue Sheath and Enables Prospective Enrichment of Human Dermal Progenitors**

Lastly, we examined several extracellular proteins identified within the DC/hfDSC signature to determine whether these could be used to prospectively identify dermal progenitors in adult human skin. We found that, similar to its expression in mouse DC, ITGA5 was also highly enriched in human HF DC and CTS (Figure 7A) with modest expression in the lower DP. Expression of ITGA5 was also found in the HF upper sheath (Figure 7B) but not in the neighboring IFD (Figures 7C and 7D). To determine whether this could be used as a prospective marker, adult human scalp skin was dissociated into single cells, then viable ITGA5- and ITGA5+ were collected via fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) and grown in proliferation media for two passages alongside unsorted human progenitor cells (Figures 7E–7G). Both unsorted and ITGA5+ fractions exhibited robust clonal colony formation over serial passages, whereas ITGA5- cells failed to generate any colonies (Figures 7H and 7I). Taken together, this suggests that the dermal stem/progenitor population within the adult human dermis is largely contained within the ITGA5-expressing population, which is largely represented by the HF DC/CTS.

**DISCUSSION**

Our molecular dissection of the adult HF mesenchyme provides new insight into the transcriptional programs that underlie the functional diversity among fibroblast populations within the adult skin. We provide a novel transcriptional characterization of the hfDSC lineage; this includes its resident stem cell pool (hfDSCs in the DC) and a DP signature during the onset of adult HF regeneration, which complements existing knowledge of the neonatal DP (Rendl et al., 2005; Rezza et al., 2016; Sennett et al., 2015). We show that R-spondins stimulate proliferation of epithelial progenitors and hfDSCs in vitro and in vivo within the HF niche, suggesting that R-spondins may serve to initiate synchronous activation of epithelial and mesenchymal HF regeneration.

One of our most intriguing findings was that secreted factors emanating from the DP not only modulate adjacent epithelial progenitor function but also provide reciprocal signaling to neighboring mesenchymal progenitors (hfDSCs). R-spondins are a family of secreted proteins that act as potent enhancers of Wnt signaling. In the presence of Wnt ligands, R-spondins bind the leucine-rich repeat-containing G-protein-coupled receptors, LGR4–6, and inhibit the Wnt regulators RNF43 and ZNRF3 (Carmon et al., 2011, 2012; de Lau et al., 2014; Ruffner et al., 2012), ultimately preventing degradation of β-catenin and prolonging Wnt activation. Previous studies have described a role for R-spondins in various epithelial stem cell niches such as the HF, small intestine, colon, and stomach (Barker et al., 2007, 2010; Jaks et al., 2008; van der Flier and Clevers, 2009). Indeed, treatment of Lgr5+ bulge epithelial and intestinal crypt cells with Rspo1 had a potent effect on cell proliferation (Jaks et al., 2008; Sato et al., 2009). Here, we found a similar effect in isolated epithelial keratinocytes and demonstrate that R-spondins induce a similarly robust effect on proliferation and self-renewal of prospectively isolated hfDSCs. We propose that the DP secretes R-spondins to synchronously instruct activation of bulge/hair germ progenitors and neighboring hfDSCs in order to enable coordinated HF regeneration.
ITG provides new accessible markers to enable prospective isolation of DC/CTS cells for further studies. Notably, besides indicating potential novel signaling pathways that modulate DC/CTS function, this also proposes several novel extracellular proteins in the DC/hfDSC population, including CD200 and ITG.

An important finding from our compartment-specific transcriptomic profiles was the identification of Wnt signaling, in part through R-spondin potentiation, as a critical regulator of human hfDSCs. Our cell culture work suggests that RSPO2/3 promote proliferation of both HF epithelial progenitors and mesenchymal hfDSCs. Hence, R-spondins may serve to synchronously activate both progenitor pools to enable coordinated growth of the HF. Given that exposure to R-spondins also caused a robust increase in proliferation/self-renewal of isolated sphere-forming adult human dermal progenitors (a surrogate for hfDSCs), this may be an important regulator of cutaneous stem cells in human skin, although further work is needed.

Our results also serve to extend recent work showing that intradermal injection of recombinant Rsps1/2 following depletion was sufficient to extend the length of anagen hair growth in mice (Smith et al., 2016). We found that exogenous R-spondins 2/3 were sufficient to induce precocious anagen in competent (telogen) HFs, likely when adequate levels of endogenous Wnts are present. We also show that a loss of DP-specific Rsps3 caused a delay in HF regeneration during the second anagen. Although this effect was relatively modest, it is possible that other R-spondin family members (in particular Rsps2) may compensate for the loss of Rsps3 (Neufeld et al., 2012). Indeed, our cell culture work suggests that RSPO2/3 may have redundant effects in vitro. Moreover, tamoxifen inducible Cre-recombination via the Prominin1 promoter did not initiate recombination in all DP cells (52.5 ± 9.6% of HFs exhibited tdTomato expression in DP following tamoxifen application), which may limit the phenotype following Rsps3 deletion. Nevertheless, together, our data show that the DP is a rich source of R-spondins that stimulate proliferation of both HF epithelial progenitors and mesenchymal hfDSCs. Hence, R-spondins may serve to synchronously activate both progenitor pools to enable coordinated growth of the HF. Given that exposure to R-spondins also caused a robust increase in proliferation/self-renewal of isolated sphere-forming adult human dermal progenitors (a surrogate for hfDSCs), this may be an important regulator of cutaneous stem cells in human skin, although further work is needed.

An important finding from our compartment-specific transcriptomic profiles was the identification of several novel extracellular proteins in the DC/hfDSC population, including CD200 and ITGα5, -8, and -11. Besides indicating potential novel signaling pathways that modulate DC/CTS function, this also provides new accessible markers to enable prospective isolation of DC/CTS cells for further studies. Notably, ITGα5 also reliably marked the bulk of the anagen HF mesenchyme and prospective isolation enabled marked enrichment of human colony-forming dermal progenitors thus providing a useful tool for isolation of HF mesenchymal cells for future commercial or therapeutic applications looking into treatment of skin diseases such as chronic wound healing and skin cancers.

More generally, this work reinforces the role of R-spondins as important modulators of stem cell function and tissue regeneration in a variety of organs (Schuijers and Clevers, 2012). Our data confirm that the molecular machinery for R-spondin signaling is present in colony-forming dermal progenitors isolated from adult human scalp, which express the R-spondin receptor LGR4. Further support for this comes from Yi et al. who showed that LGR4 is present in the adult human HF epithelia and mesenchyme (Yi et al., 2013). Our results confirm that addition of R-spondin to isolated human scalp-derived dermal progenitors causes a marked increase in cell division and colony formation, closely resembling our results from rodent hfDSCs. Our cell culture work suggests that RSPO2/3 promote proliferation through the canonical Wnt pathway. Specification of hfDSCs might be further regulated by other regulators of Wnt signaling found in the HF mesenchymal signatures, such as SFRP1/2/4, secreted Wnt regulators that were abundant in the DP. Thus, Wnt signaling, in part through R-spondin potentiation, may be important for the expansion of mesenchymal progenitors from human hair follicles ex vivo, while maintaining their inductive capacity for future therapeutic efforts toward restoration of hair follicle growth.

Our transcriptomic characterization of the adult DP provides new insight into the signals that enable coordinated activation of multiple progenitor pools to enable the organized tissue regeneration. Although
Figure 7. Itgα5+ Dermal Cells from Human Scalp Are Enriched for Human Dermal Progenitors

(A–D) Adult human scalp hair follicle immunostained for Itgα5 (red) and Hoechst (gray). (A) Representative image zoomed into the hair follicle bulb. Scale bar, 50 μm. (B) Representative image zoomed into the upper sheath and (C, D) low-magnification images showing the follicle and the interfollicular dermis. Scale bars, 100 μm.
there is considerable overlap (54 genes in common; ∼26% concordance) with previously described DP signatures from neonatal skin (Rendl et al., 2005; Sennett et al., 2015), our data impart new insights into the inductive program involved in adult regeneration as opposed to developmental morphogenesis. Previous work has examined the adult telogen DP (Greco et al., 2009), but this study is the first to describe the transcriptional changes associated with the DP at the onset of adult anagen. By comparing with isolated human DP signatures, we provide a “core” adult DP signature that appears conserved across species. Identifying “definitive DP” signature genes are of paramount importance because they may indicate essential transcriptional programs that are enabled during development and recapitulated during adult regeneration.

Although we describe unique signatures for each mesenchymal compartment, there was an intriguing similarity between DP/hfDSC signatures. Indeed, our previous work showed that hfDSCs generate new DP cells that take up residence in different regions of the DP (Rahmani et al., 2014). It may be that hfDSC progeny that reside within the most proximal regions of the DP do not fully commit to a DP fate and thus represent a temporary, intermediary state. This would be consistent with our previous finding that Lef1+ve hfDSC progeny in the DP can exit this niche during catagen and subsequently re-enter the hfDSC pool following catagen remodeling of the niche (Rahmani et al., 2014). The migration and temporary residence of some hfDSCs progeny in the DP may explain the relatedness of the DP/hfDSC signature. To further investigate this similarity, we constructed an “inductive” signature, combining genes common to DP and hfDSCs that are most differentially expressed compared with the IFD cell population. These genes may hold particular importance as they represent a subset of fibroblasts that are uniquely endowed with inductive potential.

In summary, our findings provide a comprehensive molecular characterization of the adult hfDSC lineage, including their derivatives within the adult DP. R-spondins secreted from the DP appear to synchronously activate proliferation of both hfDSCs and epithelial stem/progenitors within the regenerating HF, highlighting both the source of R-spondin within the adult HF and clarifying its specific function. This work provides unique insight into the transcriptional identities of two functionally distinct compartments within the adult HF, thereby highlighting the functional diversity within tissue fibroblasts and their important contributions to tissue regeneration.

Limitations of the Study

The partial phenotype observed in our Rspo3 KO experiments may be due to redundancy by other Rspo family members within the hair follicle mesenchyme.

Validation of candidate genes is limited to gene expression owing to a lack of verified, reliable antibodies.

Although Prom1 is highly enriched in DP, its expression is not universally expressed by all DP cells and its expression varies throughout the HF cycle. As such, genetic deletion of Rspo3 using a Prom1CreERT2 driver likely does not completely abolish Rspo3 in the DP. This may further explain the partial phenotype we have observed, in addition to redundancy and partial compensation by other R-spondin family members (e.g., Rspo2).

The sorting strategy used in our experiments may not capture DP and DC populations exclusively. Cell sorting will enrich for these populations, but there is a risk of contaminating cell types that is inherent with this technique and will be included in any bulk RNA-seq preparation. This limitation is not unique to our work but a general limitation of all bulk RNA-seq experiments. Unfortunately, single cell RNA-seq technologies were not yet available at the time when this work was undertaken. This limitation necessitated the various forms of candidate target validation included in this manuscript.
Stem Cell exhibit properties of adult dermal stem cells. Cell B.M., Marra, M., Pevny, L., and Miller, F.D. (2009).
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Transcriptional Profiling of the Adult Hair Follicle Mesenchyme Reveals R-spondin as a Novel Regulator of Dermal Progenitor Function
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Supplementary Figure Legends

Supplementary Figure S1: Compartment-specific staining within the adult hair follicle mesenchyme. Related to Figure 1.

(A-E) Immunostaining in the adult hair follicle for cell surface markers of dermal sheath and dermal papilla (A-B) Cd200, (C) Itgα5, (D) Itgα8 and (E) Itgα9, using fate-mapped αSMACreER\textsuperscript{T2}:ROSA\textsuperscript{eYFP} mice to mark mesenchymal cells for colocalization. Markers are stained in red, YFP is in green and Hoechst is in grey. Scale bar = 50 um.
Supplementary Figure S2: RNA-Seq analysis identifies hair follicle mesenchymal inductive signature. Related to Figure 2.

(A) Table of “inductive” signature genes, comprising genes (up-regulated) differentially expressed and common to DC and DP cells, as compared to IFD. Genes were excluded on a basis of q-value <0.05 and a log2-fold change >5. FPKM values for each gene and each cell population are included.

(B) Gene ontology analysis of biological processes for the “inductive” signature in (A), but expanded to include genes that are >10-fold differentially expressed, rather than based on a log2-fold change >5. A table of this extended inductive signature can be found in Supplementary Table S1.

(C-D) Venn diagram comparing the DP signature (Supplementary Table S1) and the “Core DP” signature published by Sennett et al., *Dev. Cell*, 2015. This comparison highlights the similarities and differences between adult and neonate dermal papilla signature genes. A list of the common genes can be found in (D). (E-F) Venn diagram comparing our adult mouse DP signature (Supplementary Table S1) and the human DP signatures published by Ohyama et al., *J. Cell Sci.*, 2012, and Higgins et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci*. This comparison highlights the similarities and differences between adult mouse dermal papilla and adult human dermal papilla signature genes. A list of the common genes can be found in (F).
A. Adult mouse DP signature (513)

- Neonate mouse “core DP”

Rezza et al., 2016 (202)

- Adult mouse DP signature (513)

B. DP Signature/DP Core

- Chodl
- Hhip
- Rspo2
- Clmn
- Igfbp5
- Rspo3
- Ctn1
- Itga9
- Rspo4
- Col23A1
- Kcn3
- Scube3
- Crbp1
- Ldb2
- Sfmbt2
- Crbp2
- Lrrtm3
- Sfmbt2
- Crispld1
- Ltb1
- Sfrp1
- Dcc
- Maob
- Shisa9
- Dio2
- Mc2R
- Slc16A2
- Dnali1
- Ncoa7
- Slc5A7
- Edn3
- Ndnf
- Snap91
- Fgf10
- Nrg2
- Sod3
- Fgf7
- Pappa
- Spock3
- Gdf10
- Pappa2
- Steap2
- Gldn
- Pcdh11X
- Tbr
- Gpr165
- Piezo2
- Thsd7A
- Greb1L
- Ptp1z1
- Trpm3
- Grin3A
- Rab39B
- Zcchc18

C. Adult mouse DP signature (513)

- Adult mouse DP microarray

Rendl et al., 2005 (180)

- Cebpa
- Gdf10
- Prlr
- Chodl
- Gpx3
- Ptprz1
- Ctn1
- Hhip
- Slc16A2
- Crbp1
- Hsp1
- Sfrp2
- Crbp2
- Inhba
- Slc5A7
- Dio2
- Itga9
- Snap91
- Edn3
- Ltb1
- Sostdc1
- Fgf10
- Nkd2
- Sox2
- Fgf7
- Pappa
- Zic3
- Fst
- Prdm1

D. DP signature

- Chodl
- Gdf10
- Prlr
- Clmn
- Igfbp5
- Rspo3
- Ctn1
- Itga9
- Rspo4
- Col23A1
- Kcn3
- Scube3
- Crbp1
- Ldb2
- Sfmbt2
- Crbp2
- Lrrtm3
- Sfmbt2
- Crispld1
- Ltb1
- Sfrp1
- Dcc
- Maob
- Shisa9
- Dio2
- Mc2R
- Slc16A2
- Dnali1
- Ncoa7
- Slc5A7
- Edn3
- Ndnf
- Snap91
- Fgf10
- Nrg2
- Sod3
- Fgf7
- Pappa
- Spock3
- Gdf10
- Pappa2
- Steap2
- Gldn
- Pcdh11X
- Tbr
- Gpr165
- Piezo2
- Thsd7A
- Greb1L
- Ptp1z1
- Trpm3
- Grin3A
- Rab39B
- Zcchc18

E. Adult mouse DP signature (513)

- Adult human DP signature

Ohyama et al., 2012 (113)

- Adult human DP signature

Higgins et al., 2013 (67)

F. mDP sig vs. Higgins huDP

- Chodl
- Crbp1
- Gpx3
- Hhip
- Itm2A
- Pappa2
- Ptd
- Rs100
- Rsopo2
- Slc16B
- Sfrp1
- Sfrp2
- Sostdc1

- Clca2
- Pik3R1
- Ptgs2
- Tmem100

- A2M
- Dio2
- Edn3
- Gpm6B
- Sparcl1

- All combined
Supplementary Figure S3: Receptor-ligand interactions mediating cellular communication in quiescent and regenerating hair follicles. Related to Figure 2.

Three tables list potential direct receptor-ligand protein interactions between adult dermal papilla signature genes and anagen epithelial, telogen epithelial, and anagen mesenchymal cell populations (hfDSCs: hair follicle dermal stem cells; ORS: outer root sheath; TACs: transit-amplifying cells (epithelial)).
**Melanocytes**

- Dermal papilla: Bulge/ORS
  - Ptprz1
  - Il1r2
  - Rspo1-4
  - Lgr5

- Dermal papilla: Matrix/TACs
  - Sfrp4
  - Sfrp1
  - Hhip
  - Aloxe3

- Dermal papilla: Melanocytes
  - Ly6d
  - Edn3
  - Nrg2

**Bulge**

- Gpc6

**Epithelial (telogen)**

- Dermal papilla: Bulge
  - Fgf1

- Dermal papilla: Hair germ
  - Sfrp1
  - Sfrp2
  - Fst

**Dermal sheath**

- Thbs1

**Transit-amplifying cells (TACs)**

- Thbs1

**Melanocytes**

- Wnt8a

**Dermal papilla**

- Sfrp1

**Outer root sheath (ORS)**

- Ntn1

**Mesenchymal (anagen)**

- Mesenchymal (anagen)
  - Dermal papilla: Dermal Cup
    - Dcc
    - Lypd3
    - Edn3

- Dermal papilla: Hair germ
  - Endod1
  - Ednra
  - Ednrb

**Receptor**

- Receptor

**Ligand**

- Ligand

**Other**

- Other
Supplementary Figure S4: RSPO2 and RSPO3 show redundant effects and promotes proliferation through the canonical Wnt pathway in vitro. Related to Figure 4 and 5.

(A) Experimental outline of the isolation of TdTomato+ cells from αSMACreER^{T2}:Rosa^{TdTomato}o mice. FACS isolated cells plated at 50,000 cells/mL and were grown in proliferation media with the treatment of drugs at day 1, 3 and 6. The cultures were analyzed for spherical colonies and total cell number at day 9.

(B) Representative images of isolated cells grown in no treatment, RSPO2, RSPO3 and both Rspo2/3. Scale bars = 200µm.

(C-D) Quantification of (C) spherical colony number and (D) total number of cells in B. n = 3 technical replicates from cells isolated from 3 biological replicate mice. *p<0.05 and ***p<0.001, ANOVA Tukey.

(E) Representative images of isolated cells grown in no treatment, DKK1, RSPO3 and RSPO3 + DKK1. Scale bars = 200µm.

(F-G) Quantification of (F) spherical colony number and (G) total number of cells in E. n = 6 technical replicates from cells isolated from 6 biological replicate mice. *p<0.05, ANOVA Tukey.

(H) Representative images of isolated cells grown in no treatment, low dose IWR cocktail called 2i (combination of 5µM IWP2 and 10µM IWR1-endo), RSPO3 and RSPO3 + 2i. Scale bars = 200µm.

(I) Total spherical colony number in H. n = 3 technical replicates from cells isolated from 3 biological replicate mice. *p<0.05, ANOVA Tukey.
Supplementary Figure S5: Deletion of R-spondin 3 in DS cells does not affect hair follicle regeneration. Related to Figure 5.

(A) Schematic depicting αSMACreER^{T2}:Rspo3^{fox/fox} mice generated to specifically delete Rspo3 from the dermal sheath (including hfDSCs).

(B) Experimental schedule and representative images showing hair regrowth in αSMACreER^{T2}:Rspo3^{+/+} (top) or αSMACreER^{T2}:Rspo3^{--} (bottom) mice. All mice were treated with tamoxifen at postnatal day 23, 24 to induce recombination, depilated at P60 (1PD) and at P90 (2PD). Hair regrowth was monitored for 15 days post-depilation (PD) (n=3).
Supplementary Figure S6: Treatment of human dermal progenitors with RSPO3 and CHIR elicit minute changes in proliferating and apoptotic cells. Related to Figure 6.

(A) Experimental schematic of the treatment of human dermal progenitors with RSPO3 and/or CHIR before flow cytometry analysis. n = 3 technical culture replicates from 1 biological human sample.

(B, C) Representative flow cytometry plots for (B) Ki67\(^+\) and (C) Casp3\(^+\) cells in human dermal progenitors. Negative and positive isotype controls were used to define positive gates.

(D) Percentage of all cells positive for Ki67 in no treatment, RSPO3 and RSPO3 + CHIR treatment groups. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ANOVA Tukey.

(E) Percentage of all cells positive for Casp3 in no treatment, RSPO3 and RSPO3 + CHIR treatment groups. ****p<0.0001, ANOVA Tukey.

(F) Total number of cells after 7 days of culture in no treatment, RSPO3 and RSPO3 + CHIR treatment groups. *p<0.05, ANOVA Tukey.
**Supplementary Table S2: Antibodies. Related to Figures 1, 3, 4, 6, and 7.**

Antibodies used for immunohistochemistry, immunocytochemistry and FACS, including supplier information and concentrations used.

| Antibody Target | Catalogue #   | Supplier          | Cellular Location | Concentration |
|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| CD200/OX2       | ab33734       | abcam             | Membrane          | 1:200         |
| ITGα5           | ab150361      | abcam             | Membrane          | 1:100         |
| ITGα8           | AF4076        | R&D systems       | Membrane          | 1:200         |
| ITGα9           | AF3827        | R&D systems       | Membrane          | 1:100         |
| LGR4 (clone 5A3)| (clone 5A3)   | Qingyun Liu Lab   | Membrane          | 1:50          |
| Pax1            | ab95227       | abcam             | Nucleus           | 1:100         |
| Rspo2           | ab73761       | abcam             | Extracellular     | 1:50          |
| Runx            | ab92336       | abcam             | Nucleus           | 1:200         |
| Versican (B)    | AB1033        | EMD Millipore     | Extracellular, organelle | 1:500     |
| αSMA            | MADT381       | Millipore         | Cytoplasm         | 1:250         |
| Krt5            | PRB-160P      | Covance           | Cytoplasm         | 1:500         |
| Ki67            | 4328926       | Invitrogen        | Nucleus           | 1:100         |
| Caspase3        | ab13847       | Abcam             | Cytoplasm         | 1:100         |
| hITGα5          | 328009        | eBioscience       | Membrane          | 1:100         |
Supplementary Table S3: RNAscope Probes. Related to Figure 3.

| Antibody Target | Catalogue #    | Supplier |
|-----------------|----------------|----------|
| Rspo3           | 402011-C3      | ACDBio   |
| Spock3          | 545651         | ACDBio   |
| Adamts18        | 452251         | ACDBio   |
| Lgr4            | 318328         | ACDBio   |
| Lgr6            | 404961         | ACDBio   |
| Positive control| 320881         | ACDBio   |
| Negative control| 320871         | ACDBio   |

Supplementary Table S4: qPCR Probes. Related to Figure 3.

Contains the list of genes tested by qPCR (Fig 3A-C) and the associated Taqman Assay IDs.

| Gene Symbol | ThermoFisher Taqman Assay ID |
|-------------|-------------------------------|
| Epha3       | Mm00580743_m1                 |
| Fgf7        | Mm00433291_m1                 |
| Fzd5        | Mm00445623_s1                 |
| Hey2        | Mm00469280_m1                 |
| Hic1        | Mm03058120_m1                 |
| Igfbp2      | Mm00492632_m1                 |
| Itga11      | Hs01012939_m1                 |
| Gene  | Accession       |
|-------|----------------|
| Mcam  | Mm00522397_m1  |
| Pax1  | Mm00435490_m1  |
| Pcp4  | Mm00500973_m1  |
| Pdgfrl| Mm00452798_m1  |
| Prlr  | Mm04336676_m1  |
| Rspo3 | Mm01188251_m1  |
| Sostdc1| Mm03024258_s1 |
| Tnnt1 | Mm00449089_m1  |
| Vcan  | Mm01283062_m1  |
Transparent Methods

Animal husbandry and handling

All procedures received prior approval of the University of Calgary Animal Care Committee and were completed in accordance with guidelines set by the Canadian Council on Animal Care. The $\alpha$SMA:dsRed (Magness et al., 2004), Sox2:GFP (Ellis et al., 2004), $\alpha$SMACreERT2:RosaYFP/ $\alpha$SMACreERT2:RosaTdTomato (Rahmani et al., 2014) and $Rspo3^{flox}$ (Neufeld et al., 2012) mice have been previously described. To label hfDSC progeny, all $\alpha$SMACreERT2:RosaYFP/ $\alpha$SMACreERT2:RosaTdTomato mice were treated with 4-hydroxytamoxifen (tamoxifen;4-OHT) at P3/4 or P23/24. $Prom1CreERT2$ (Zhu et al., 2009) mice were obtained from Jax (stock# 017743). Unless otherwise noted, male and female mice were used for experiments.

Cell isolation

Back skin from adult postnatal day 26 male C57Bl/6 mice was treated with dispase (StemCell Technologies) for 30 mins at 37 °C to remove epidermis. Remaining dermis was dissociated using 0.2% collagenase for 2 hours at 37 °C. Cell suspensions were diluted with cold Hank’s Buffered Salt Solution (HBSS; Life Technologies), strained through 40 µm cell filters (Falcon) and centrifuged at 280 X g. Cell pellets were resuspended in FACS sorting buffer (1% bovine serum albumin in HBSS). DP cells were identified as $\alpha$SMA:dsRed-ve Sox2:GFP+ve Integrin alpha-9+ve cells. hfDSC cells were identified as $\alpha$SMA:dsRed+ve Sox2:GFP+ve cells. Interfollicular dermis (IFD) were identified as $\alpha$SMA:dsRed-ve Sox2GFP-ve Integrin alpha-8-ve. FACS-isolation was performed using a FACSARia III (BD Biosciences) and analyzed using FlowJo software. All sort panels included eFluor 780 viability dye (eBioscience) to exclude dead cells and debris. Single colour and appropriate isotype controls were used for compensation and gating. Post-sort analysis showed approximately 94-98% purity. After sorting, cells were again washed in HBSS, centrifuged and the cell pellet was stored at -80 °C.
**RNA isolation, sequencing, and analysis**

Due to the infrequency of target cells within each mesenchymal population, cells were FACS isolated from multiple animals (6, 8, 3 and 3 animals each for DP, DC and IFD, respectively) and pooled. mRNA was isolated directly from frozen cell pellets using Dynabeads mRNA DIRECT Micro Purification Kit (Ambion). RNA sample quality and quantity were then analyzed using a 2200 Tapestation (Agilent) and a Qubit 2.0 fluorometer and sequenced using the SOLiD 5500xl system (Applied Biosystems), and were sequenced to a depth of (3.5-5) x 10^7 reads per library. Aligned sequence data was analyzed first for QC using JMP Genomics software, then using the Cufflinks software package to obtain differentially expressed signature genes for further functional analysis (Trapnell et al., 2013; Trapnell et al., 2012; Trapnell et al., 2010). Gene expression was further characterized using Panther and Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (Mi et al., 2013). GO analysis was performed on signature genes with Panther version 10.0 Release 2015-05-15, using an overrepresentation test (release 20150430, p<0.05) and Bonferroni correction for multiple testing against *Mus musculus* reference list, using select categories from complete annotation data sets (Mi et al., 2016).

**Immunohistochemistry**

Adult C57Bl/6 back skin and adult human scalp skin were fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde overnight, washed 3x in PBS and incubated in 30% sucrose overnight before being snap frozen in Clear Frozen Section Compound (VWR). Frozen tissue blocks were sectioned using a Leica 3050S cryostat at 20-50 µm onto Superfrost slides (Fisher) and stored at -80 °C. Alternatively, fresh back skin was snap frozen as above, and then fixed on slide for <10 min in 4% PFA. Frozen tissue sections were rehydrated using PBS, then blocked with 10% normal serum containing 0.3% Triton-X100 for 45 mins. Primary antibodies were incubated overnight at 4 °C, washed 3x with PBS and then incubated with Alexa Fluor secondary antibodies (Invitrogen) at 1:1,000 for 1 hour. After 1x PBS wash, sections were stained with 1 ug/mL of Hoechst 33258 for 5 minutes and washed again 3x in PBS before covering with Permafluor (Thermo Scientific) and a cover slip. Imaging was done with an Observer epifluorescence (Zeiss)
or SP8 spectral confocal microscope (Leica). A list of antibodies can be found in Supplementary Table S2.

**In situ hybridization with RNAscope**

RNAscope was performed according to manufacture instructions, using only materials provided in the RNAscope 2.0 HD Assay kit (ACDBio). In brief, frozen, fixed tissue sections were thawed from -80°C storage and allowed to dry for 30 minutes. The slides were washed with PBS for 5 minutes and antigen retrieval was performed for 5 minutes in boiling antigen retrieval solution provided in the kit (ACDBio). Slides were washed with 100% EtOH and then treated with Protease 3 (ACDBio) for 40 minutes at 40°C. After washing 2x with ddH2O (ACDBio) for 5 mins, slides were incubated in 1:50 dilutions of select probes (ACDBio) for 2 hrs in 40°C. After washing with wash buffer (ACDBio), the slides were incubated with AMP1 (ACDBio) for 30 min, AMP2 (ACDBio) for 15 min, AMP3 (ACDBio) for 30 min and AMP4 (ACDBio) for 15 min at 40°C. DAPI staining (ACDBio) was introduced for 1 min before the final wash. For tissues acquire from aSMACreER^{T2}:RosaYFP mice, the mice were treated with 4-OHT at P3/4 and the backskin was collected at P28. Information for all probes used in this report are found in Supplementary Table S3.

**RT-qPCR**

Total RNA was purified from FACS-sorted cell populations as above. FAM or Vic TaqMan probes (Life Technologies) were used. RNA (27.6 µg) was reverse transcribed using High Capacity cDNA synthesis kit (Applied Biosystems). cDNA samples were then amplified for 14 cycles using TaqMan PreAmp Master Mix Kit (Applied Biosystems) as per manufacturer’s instructions. Preamplification uniformity was evaluated by comparing the ∆∆CT for each TaqMan probe from a non-limiting sample of unsorted dermal cells that had been amplified to the same sample that had not been amplified. Quantitative PCR was performed using TaqMan Fast Advanced Master Mix and samples were run using 7500 Fast Real Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). Foldchange in gene expression was calculated using the ∆∆CT method with hprt serving as housekeeping gene. Each cell population of interest (DP and DC) was
compared to the control IFD population with expression set to 1. A list of assay reference IDs for each probe set can be found in Supplementary Table S4.

In vitro proliferation assays

Mouse hfDSCs were FACS-isolated from adult early anagen (p26) back skin and grown in bFGF (50 ng/mL, Peprotech), 1% B27 Supplement (Life Technologies) and 1% pen/strep (StemCell Technologies) for 10 days 37 °C, in presence or absence of recombinant mouse TGFβ2 (0.04 ng/μL, R&D Systems), RSPO2/3 (0.2 ng/μL, R&D Systems) and/or the Wnt agonist CHIR99021 (3 μM, StemCell Technologies). Control cultures received either equivalent volumes of vehicle (PBS or DMSO) respectively. Similar to above, second passage human dermal colony-forming progenitors were grown in the presence or absence of RSPO2/3 for 14 days and proliferation was assessed. Epithelial cells were isolated from mouse back skin (see Animals, cell isolation and FACS section above) and grown in mouse Keratinocyte-SFM medium (ThermoFisher) in 96-well format. Back skin from postnatal day 2 C57Bl/6 mice was treated with dispase (StemCell Technologies) to remove epidermis. Epidermis was then floated on 0.25% Trypsin and hair follicle buds were scraped using a scalpel and filtered. Epithelial buds were then dissociated to single cells using Trypsin and were plated at 2 x 10^4 cells/mL in the presence or absence of RSPO2/3 for 3 weeks. Colony number and size were then quantified for each condition. Colonies were tracked over time, and in the case of merged colonies, size was estimated based on colony edge upon merging. Images for quantification of colony size and number in multiwell plates were obtained using an Observer inverted epifluorescence microscope (Carl Zeiss) or IN Cell Analyzer (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) and measured using Zeiss Axiovision software. Unless otherwise stated, colonies were identified as having a minimum diameter of 75 μm.

In vitro drug treatment assays

*aSMACreER^T2:RosaTdTomato* mice were treated with tamoxifen at P23/24 to label all hfDSC progeny including CTS and some DP cells (Rahmani et al., 2014). The backskin was collected from mice p28-30 and dissociated into single cells as previously
described in the “Cell isolation” section. TdTomato+ cells were collected using a FACSAria II (BD Biosciences) cell sorter and cultured in proliferation media at concentrations of 50,000 cells/mL as above (Figure S4A). Primary culture cells were treated with RSPO2 (0.2 ng/uL, R&D Systems), RSPO3 (0.2 ng/uL, R&D Systems), DKK1 (10 ng/mL, R&D Systems), and/or a combination of IWP2 (5 μM or 7.5 μM, Tocris Bioscience) and IWR1-endo (10 μM or 15 μM, Tocris Bioscience) at culture day 1, 3 and 6. Spherical colony numbers and total number of cells were quantified at day 9.

**Intradermal injections of candidate recombinant proteins**

Recombinant TGFβ2 (100 ng; R&D Systems), R-spondin2 and -3 (400 ng; R&D Systems), or 0.1% BSA (vehicle controls) were co-injected with 2 μL red fluorescent FluoSpheres (Life Technologies) in αSMA:CreER<sup>T2</sup>:Rosa<sup>YFP</sup> mice. All injections starting at mid-telogen (P55-57) and were injected for three consecutive days. Hair growth was photographed daily at the injection sites for two weeks.

**Rspo3 in vivo conditional deletion**

For deletion of <i>Rspo3</i> in adult DP cells, <i>Rspo3<sup>flox/flox</sup></i> mice were crossed with a Prom1Cre<sup>ER</sup>T2 mice. Prom1CreER<sup>T2</sup>:Rspo3<sup>flox/flox</sup> and Prom1CreER<sup>T2</sup>:Rspo3<sup>+/+</sup> were treated with 4OHT by intraperitoneal injection (0.25 mg or 1 mg per neonatal or adult mouse, respectively) at P2-4 (n=3), P2-4,20-21 (n=1) or P20-24 (n=4). Images were taken at P25, 27 and 30 to determine the onset of hair regeneration. Quantification of HF cycle stage was completed using a previously published classification system (Müller-Röver et al., 2001). Anagen I-II were classified as “early anagen”, Anagen IIIa-IV were classified as “mid anagen” and Anagen V-VI were classified as “late anagen”. A total of 50 HFs were analyzed from each animal. To assess the specificity of <i>Rspo3</i> deficiency, αSMACreER<sup>T2</sup> mice (where Cre is expressed specifically in the HF dermal sheath) were also crossed with <i>Rspo3<sup>flox/flox</sup></i> mice. αSMACreER<sup>T2</sup>:Rspo3<sup>flox/flox</sup> and αSMACreER<sup>T2</sup>:Rspo3<sup>+/+</sup> were treated with tamoxifen at postnatal days 3 and 4 and again at P23 and P24 (n=3). Back skin was depilated at P60 and hair regeneration was tracked until P90. Back skin was depilated for the second time on P90 and tracked to determine onset of hair regeneration.
**Human dermal progenitor culture**

Human skin was obtained through the Southern Alberta Tissue Recovery and Transplant Program. All experiments using human skin cells received prior approval from the Conjoint Health Research Ethics Board at the University of Calgary. As a surrogate for human hfDSCs, second passage human dermal progenitors (a.k.a skin-derived precursors; ‘SKPs’) were isolated and grown as non-adherent colony-forming cells as previously described (González et al., 2017; Hagner and Biernaskie, 2013; Toma et al., 2005) from the discarded surgical scalp skin of three independent human patients (2 male, 1 female), ranging from 46 to 74 year of age. Briefly, hair was trimmed, exterior skin surface was washed with 70% ethanol and underlying fat debrided. Skin floated on dispase (5 mg/mL, STEMCELL Technologies) for 4 hrs at 37°C. The epidermis was then manually removed with fine forceps and dermis was minced using scalpels and incubated in collagenase IV (2 mg/mL, Worthington) in a water bath at 37°C for 4 hours. Tissue was triturated hourly and supernatant was collected, filtered through a 70 μm filter and centrifuged for 6 mins at 200 rcf. After 4 hrs, the total cell suspension was centrifuged and then resuspended in proliferation media (PM), containing basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF, 40 ng/mL, BD Biosciences), platelet-derived growth factor-BB (PDGF-BB, 25 ng/mL, BD Biosciences), B27 Supplement (2%, Invitrogen), penicillin/streptomycin (1%, Invitrogen) and fungizone (0.4%, Invitrogen) in DMEM low glucose/F12 (3:1, Invitrogen). Cells were plated at 24,000 cells/cm² and fed every 3-4 days. For colony formation assays, primary colonies were dissociated using collagenase IV (2 mg/mL, Worthington) to single cells and resuspended at a density of 10,000 cells per mL in proliferation media. Cells (n=4 replicates per condition) were fed with 80 ng/well fresh media every 4 days. After 14 days, the cells were fixed using 2% paraformaldehyde. Wells were imaged and colonies counted and measured using an Observer inverted epifluorescence microscope (Zeiss). Human dermal progenitors were then stained for LGR4 (Rat, clone 5A3; generously provided by the Qingyun Liu Lab, University of Texas).
Flow cytometry analysis of human dermal progenitors

Human dermal progenitors were isolated and cultured as previously described in the “Human dermal progenitor culture” section and passaged twice before experimentation. Second passage cells were treated with RSPO3 (0.2 ng/uL, R&D Systems), or a combination of RSPO3 (0.2 ng/uL, R&D Systems) and CHIR99021 (3 µM, StemCell Technologies) at days 1, 3 and 6 (Figure S6A). At day 7, all media including cells were transferred into a 15mL canonical tube and spun down at 200 rcf for 6 min before removing the supernatant. The cell pellet was resuspended in 1mL of collagenase IV (2 mg/mL, Worthington), incubated in a 37°C water bath at for 10 minutes and triturated every 5 minutes to dissociate into single cells. The cell solutions were topped up to 10mL with PBS, filtered through a 70µm filter and spun down at 200 rcf for 6 minutes. Supernatant was removed and the cell pellet was resuspended in 1mL of 4% PFA in PBS to fix the cells for 10 minutes on ice. At this point, a 10uL sample was taken from each sample to count the total number of cells. The fixed cell solution was washed and spun down at 200rcf for 6 minutes. The supernatant was removed and then cell pellet was resuspended in 0.5% Triton-x in PBS for 10 minutes at room temperature. After wash and removal of supernatant, the cells were resuspended in 1uL/million cells of primary antibodies in 1% BSA in HBSS () and incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes. The cells were washed, spun and the supernatant was removed. Resuspended in 0.1uL/million cells of appropriate secondary antibodies (Invitrogen) for 15 minutes before washing. The stained cells were transferred on ice for flow cytometry analysis. Flow cytometry was performed using FACSAnia III (BD Biosciences) and analyzed using FlowJo software. Single colour and appropriate isotype controls were used for compensation and gating.

BrdU pulse chase

Human dermal progenitor cells were isolated and cultured to second passage. The second passage cells were grown in proliferation media, as mentioned previously and treated with RSPO3 (0.2 ng/uL, R&D Systems) at days 1, 3 (Figure 6J). At days 5, the cells were treated with BrdU (1mM) from the BD Pharmingen BrdU Flow Kit
(BDBioscience) and chased for 18 hours. After 18 hours of BrdU chase, the cells and media were collected and stained for BrdU as per manufacture protocol (BDBioscience). 7-AAD staining was omitted from the protocol. Flow cytometry was performed using a FACSARia III (BD Biosciences) and analyzed using FlowJo software. Negative and positive controls were used for compensation and gating.

**Antibodies**

A full list of primary antibodies for immunohistochemistry and FACS can be found in Supplementary Table S2. Secondary antibodies Alexa fluor 488, 555 and 647 (Invitrogen) were used to detect primary antibodies. For FACS, Alexa 647 (Life Technologies) was used with primary antibodies. All sort panels included eFluor 780 viability dye (eBioscience).

**Statistics and reproducibility**

For the main RNA-Seq analysis, Cufflinks software was used to determine differential expression, while the analysis of adult telogen hair germ, bulge and DP used limma software. All other statistical calculations were performed using Graphpad Prism 5. Variance for all data is expressed as ± standard error of the mean. Statistical tests used to determine significance for each experiment is stated in the corresponding figure legend. A p-value <0.05 was considered significant. The investigators were not blinded during experiments. All experiments used at least n = 3 biological replicates as described in each figure legend. No statistical method was used to predetermine sample size but was based on previous work. All experiments presented were reproducible and no animals were excluded from analyses.
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