Activin A receptor type 1-mediated BMP signaling regulates RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis via canonical SMAD signaling pathway
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ABSTRACT

Bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) are important mediators of osteoclast differentiation. Although accumulating evidence has implicated BMPs in osteoblastogenesis, the mechanisms by which BMPs regulate osteoclastogenesis remain unclear. Activin A receptor type 1 (ACVR1) is a BMP type 1 receptor essential for skeletal development. Here, we observed that BMP-7, which preferentially binds to ACVR1, promotes osteoclast differentiation, suggesting ACVR1 is involved in osteoclastogenesis. To further investigate this, we isolated osteoclasts from either Acvr1-floxed mice or mice with constitutively activated Acvr1 (caAcvr1) carrying tamoxifen-inducible Cre driven by a Ubiquitin promotor, and induced Cre activity in culture. Osteoclasts from the Acvr1-floxed mice had reduced osteoclast numbers and demineralization activity, whereas those from the caAcvr1-mutant mice formed large osteoclasts and demineralized pits, suggesting that BMP signaling through ACVR1 regulates osteoclast fusion and activity. To further investigate this, we isolated osteoclasts from either Acvr1-floxed mice or mice with constitutively activated Acvr1 (caAcvr1) carrying tamoxifen-inducible Cre driven by a Ubiquitin promotor, and induced Cre activity in culture. Osteoclasts from the Acvr1-floxed mice had reduced osteoclast numbers and demineralization activity, whereas those from the caAcvr1-mutant mice formed large osteoclasts and demineralized pits, suggesting that BMP signaling through ACVR1 regulates osteoclast fusion and activity. It is reported that BMP-2 bind to BMPR1A, another BMP type 1 receptor, whereas BMP-7 bind to ACVR1 to activate SMAD1/5/9 signaling. Here, Bmpr1a-disrupted osteoclasts displayed reduced phospho-SMAD1/5/9 (pSMAD1/5/9) levels when induced by BMP-2, while no impacts on pSMAD1/5/9 were observed when induced by BMP-7. In contract, Acvr1-disrupted osteoclasts displayed reduced pSMAD1/5/9 levels when induced either by BMP-2 or BMP-7, suggesting that ACVR1 is the major receptor for transducing BMP-7 signals in osteoclasts. Indeed, LDN-193189 and LDN-212854—which specifically block SMAD1/5/9 phosphorylation—inhibited osteoclastogenesis of caAcvr1-mutant osteoclasts. Moreover, increased BMP signaling promoted nuclear translocation of nuclear factor of activated T cells 1 (NFATc1), which was inhibited by LDN treatments. Taken together, ACVR1-mediated BMP-SMAD signaling activates NFATc1, a regulatory protein crucial for RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis.

Osteoclasts are bone cells responsible for removing old bone and inducing osteoblast-mediated bone formation, playing an essential role in renewing bone tissue and maintaining bone mass. However, pathological activation of osteoclast activity causes serious health conditions such as postmenopausal osteoporosis, Paget’s disease, and bone cancer. Therefore, the mechanisms regulating osteoclast differentiation, including cell fusion and bone resorptive function, is critical for maintaining normal bone homeostasis. Osteoblasts secrete Macrophage Colony Stimulating Factor (M-CSF) and Receptor Activator of Nuclear factor-κB Ligand (RANKL) to regulate the activation of osteoclasts, and those factors are required for survival and differentiation of osteoclasts (1-3).
Binding of RANKL to its receptor, RANK, which is expressed in osteoclasts, induces the recruitment of Tumor necrosis factor (TNF) Receptor-Associated Factor 6 (TRAF6), activating downstream signaling pathways such as Nuclear Factor kappa B (NF-κB), Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase (MAPK), and c-Fos pathways (4). RANKL/RANK signaling also activates Nuclear Factor-Activated T cells 1 (NFATc1), a master transcription factor for osteoclast differentiation, and subsequently regulates osteoclast-specific genes including tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP), osteoclast-associated receptor (OSCAR) and cathepsin K (5,6).

Recently, additional factors have been identified that cooperate with RANKL signaling. For example, TNF-α and Interleukin-1α (IL-1α) promote osteoclast differentiation, whereas IL-4 and interferon-γ inhibit RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis (7). Bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), members of the Transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) superfamily, were initially found due to their ability to induce ectopic bone in soft tissues (8). BMP ligands bind to heterotetrameric receptor complexes consisting of two type 1 receptors and two type 2 receptors, which activate type 1 receptors and transduce intracellular signaling via SMAD1/5/9 proteins (9,10). BMP signaling can also be mediated via non-SMAD pathways (i.e., SMAD independent pathways or non-canonical BMP signaling pathways) including MAPK and Phosphatidylinositol 3-Kinase (PI3K)/AKT pathways. Three type 1 receptors, type IA (BMPR1A or ALK3), type IB (BMPR1B or ALK6), and Activin A receptor type 1 (ACVR1 or ALK2) have been identified that bind to BMP ligands. BMP-2 and BMP-4 preferentially bind to BMPR1A, while BMP-6 and BMP-7 bind to ACVR1 (9,10). While each type 1 receptor has a distinct function or an overlapping function during embryogenesis, osteoblastogenesis, and chondrogenesis (11-13), their roles in osteoclastogenesis remain unclear.

We previously reported that deletion of Bmpr1a using Lysosome 2-Cre (LysM-Cre) and Cathepsin K-Cre (Ctsk-Cre) mice resulted in decreased osteoclast number in vivo (14,15). We also demonstrated that disruption of Bmpr1a in primary bone marrow osteoclasts led to impaired osteoclast fusion without affecting bone-resorbing activity in vitro (16). However, Bmpr1b KO mice displayed no changes in osteoclast numbers in vivo, while osteoclasts from Bmpr1b KO mice displayed an increase in osteoclast formation and a decrease in bone-resorbing activity in vitro (17). These results suggest BMPR1A and BMPR1B play a distinct role in the differentiation and function of osteoclasts. ACVR1 is another BMP type 1 receptor that plays an essential role during skeletal development. Deficient ACVR1 signaling results in embryonic lethality (12,18). Enhanced ACVR1 signaling in early embryos also leads to lethality (19), whereas the same in adult mice induces heterotopic ossification, an ectopic bone formation in soft tissues (20). We reported that disruption of Acvr1 in osteoblasts exhibits similar phenotypes in long bones as that of Bmpr1a (21-24), while those receptors have distinct functions during chondrogenesis (13). Therefore, BMP signaling mediated by each receptor may have unique tissue-specific functions. BMP ligands such as BMP-2 and BMP-7 enhance osteoclast differentiation (25), but the signaling mechanisms through ACVR1 in osteoclasts remain to be explored.

To investigate the role of ACVR1-mediated BMP signaling in osteoclastogenesis, we isolated bone marrow mononuclear cells (BMMs) from either Acvr1-floxed mice or mice with constitutively activated Acvr1 (caAcvr1) carrying tamoxifen-inducible Cre driven by a Ubiquitin promoter (Ubi-Cre), and induced Cre activity by (Z)-4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT) during osteoclast differentiation in culture. Here, we demonstrated that BMP-7, which preferentially binds to ACVR1, promoted RANKL-dependent osteoclastogenesis. Although BMP-2 induced SMAD1/5/9 phosphorylation via ACVR1 and BMPR1A, BMP-7 activated SMAD1/5/9 predominantly via ACVR1, suggesting that ACVR1 is a major receptor for transducing BMP-7–induced SMAD1/5/9 signaling in osteoclasts. Osteoclasts from Acvr1-floxed mice decreased osteoclast numbers and demineralization activity when Cre activity was induced, while those from caAcvr1-mutant mice showed increased osteoclast differentiation and activity, indicating that ACVR1 is involved in osteoclastogenesis. Mechanistic studies demonstrated that LDN-193189 and LDN-212854 (specific inhibitors for SMAD1/5/9 phosphorylation) reduced fusion and activity of osteoclasts isolated from caAcvr1-mutant mice. Additionally, inhibition of p38 activity reduced the
differentiation and activity of caAcvr1-mutant osteoclasts. Furthermore, increased BMP signaling enhanced nuclear localization of NFATc1, which was inhibited by the LDN treatment in early osteoclastogenesis, suggesting BMP-SMAD signaling enhances RANKL-dependent NFATc1 activation in osteoclasts. Taken together, these data suggest that ACVR1-mediated BMP signaling regulates RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis via canonical SMAD signaling pathway.

**Results**

**BMP-2 and BMP-7 enhanced RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis**

All type 1 BMP receptors have similar structures, but they exert a distinct function in a tissue-specific manner (9,10,26,27). To investigate their roles in osteoclasts, we evaluated the levels of mRNA of each type 1 receptor in different cell types by quantitative reverse-transcribed PCR (qRT-PCR). We isolated calvarial osteoblasts (OBs) from newborn mice, and bone marrow stromal cells (BMSCs), bone marrow osteoclasts (BMOCs), and spleen osteoclasts (SPOCs) from weaning stage mice. We previously demonstrated that expression levels of Bmpr1a and Acvr1 are similar in OBs (28). Therefore, we compared the relative expression levels of each type 1 receptor in BMSCs, BMOCs, and SPOCs to those in OBs (Fig. 1A). The expression levels of Acvr1 in BMSCs were similar with those in OBs, while the expression levels of Acvr1 in BMOCs and SPOCs were nearly half that of the OBs (BMOC, 48%; SPOC, 49%). For Bmpr1a, its expression levels were 48% in BMSCs, whereas Bmpr1a expression in BMOCs and SPOCs were significantly lower than that seen in OBs (BMOCs, 0.59%; SPOCs, 3.1%). Bmpr1b was expressed at low levels in BMSCs (1.7%), BMOCs (1.4%), and SPOCs (0.40%) compared with OBs. These results indicate that Acvr1 is highly expressed both in osteoblasts and osteoclasts, while Bmpr1a is expressed at higher levels only in osteoblasts.

Each type 1 receptor shows different binding affinities with BMP ligands (9,10). To investigate the action of different BMP ligands in osteoclast differentiation, exogeneous BMPs were added to the culture. BMMs were incubated with or without 50 ng/mL of RANKL and treated with either BMP-2 (100 ng/mL) or BMP-7 (100 ng/mL) for 5 days, and levels of osteoclastogenesis were assessed by TRAP staining (Fig. 1B). Although neither BMP-2 nor BMP-7 induced osteoclast differentiation without RANKL, BMP-2, and BMP-7 significantly increased the number of TRAP-positive cells (i.e., those with 3 or more nuclei) as well as the number of nuclei per osteoclast in the presence of RANKL (Fig. 1C, D), indicating that exogeneous BMPs enhance RANKL-mediated osteoclast differentiation. Bone resorption by osteoclasts occurs through demineralization of bone matrix by local acidic environment, and secondly through degradation of the collagen I-rich matrix by secretion of cathepsin K, MMPs or TRAP (29). Therefore, we used hydroxyapatite-coated plates and quantified the demineralization area to obtain a readout of osteoclast activity. The result showed that both BMP-2 and BMP-7 promoted demineralization activity, suggestive of increased bone-resorbing activity in osteoclasts by the BMP treatment (Fig. 1B, E). It is still possible, however, that the recombinant BMP proteins used in the present study do not have equal specific activities. Therefore, we evaluated dose-dependent increases of pSMAD1/5/9 in osteoclasts. BMP-2 (10, 50 and 100 ng/mL) transduced higher SMAD1/5/9 signaling activity than the corresponding amounts of BMP-7, while 200 ng/mL of BMP-2 and BMP-7 transduced comparable levels of SMAD1/5/9 signals (Fig. S1A). Next, we tested dose-dependency of Id1 expression with BMP-2 and BMP-7, a faithful target of SMAD1/5/9 signals. We found that BMP-7 treatments (10, 50, 100 and 200 ng/mL) showed higher Id1 expression levels than the equivalent amounts of BMP-2 (Fig. S1B). Despite small discrepancies in their specific activities in terms of signaling activities, BMP-2 and BMP-7 displayed comparable impacts on osteoclast behaviors. Both BMP-2 and BMP-7 ranging from 10 to 200 ng/mL in culture increased the number of osteoclasts at the similar level (Fig. S1C, D). Osteoclast fusion was efficiently induced by 100 ng/mL of both BMP-2 and BMP-7 at the equivalent level (Fig. S1C, E). Therefore, we decided to use 100 ng/mL of BMP-2 and BMP-7 to determine the function of each BMP ligand on osteoclastogenesis in the present study.

**Levels of pSMAD1/5/9 and pp38 were increased in early osteoclastogenesis**
BMPs transduce signals through canonical SMAD and non-canonical “non-SMAD” signaling pathways such as MAPK and PI3K/AKT. Given reports that the expression levels of BMP-2 increase during osteoclastogenesis (30), we tested whether BMP-2 and BMP-7 were produced by RANKL-stimulated osteoclasts to activate BMP signaling. Consistent with the previous study, expression levels of Bmp2 and Bmp7 were increased after RANKL treatment (Fig. 2A). Next, we evaluated the activation of SMAD and non-SMAD signals via endogenous BMPs during osteoclast differentiation by western blot (Fig. 2B). The results showed that pSMAD1/5/9 levels were increased after RANKL treatment (2.0-fold increase) and kept higher levels for up to 5 days, suggesting that BMP-SMAD signaling is activated by endogenous BMPs secreted from RANKL-stimulated osteoclasts. For non-SMAD signaling pathways, pp38 levels were slightly increased after RANKL treatment (1.3-fold increase) and decreased at day 5. In contrast, pERK levels were low in the early stage of osteoclasts but upregulated at day 5 of RANKL treatment (3.1-fold increase). The pAKT levels were high without RANKL, and no change was observed on day 1 of RANKL treatment (1.0-fold increase); pAKT levels increased at day 3, then decreased at day 5. These results suggest that BMP-mediated SMAD1/5/9 or p38 signaling may play a role in early osteoclast differentiation, while the role of ERK or AKT signaling in the early stage of osteoclastogenesis may be minimal. To further dissect BMP-ligand dependency in early osteoclastogenesis, cells were treated with Noggin, a BMP antagonist, to block interaction between BMP ligands and their receptors. Interestingly, increased pSMAD1/5/9 levels in RANKL-stimulated osteoclasts were attenuated by Noggin treatment, while pp38, pERK and pAKT levels remained high (Fig. 2C). Consistent with the previous study (30), Noggin inhibited osteoclast fusion in control cells (Fig. S2C). These results suggest that BMPs secreted from RANKL-stimulated osteoclasts activate SMAD1/5/9 signaling activity and promote osteoclast fusion, while activation of p38, ERK and AKT signaling by RANKL treatment may not require BMP ligand-receptor interaction.

NFATc1 plays critical roles during osteoclastogenesis by regulating osteoclast differentiation marker genes such as TRAP and Cathepsin K. NFAT proteins are heavily phosphorylated and localized in the cytoplasm of resting cells (31,32). An increase in intracellular Ca2+ activates calcineurin, which dephosphorylates NFATc1, leading to the nuclear translocation of NFATc1 to activate target gene expression during osteoclastogenesis (33). We observed that NFATc1 levels were increased at day 3 but reduced at day 5 of RANKL treatment (Fig. 2B). However, the nuclear translocation of NFATc1 in osteoclasts was increased at day 5 of RANKL treatment (Fig. S2A, B), suggesting increased dephosphorylated forms of NFATc1 proteins despite a reduction in total NFATc1 protein levels.

**BMP signaling via ACVR1 promotes RANKL-mediated osteoclastogenesis**

To address whether BMP signaling through ACVR1 plays a role in RANKL-dependent osteoclastogenesis, we generated Acvr1 cKO (Acvr1fx;Ubi-CreTM) and control (Acvr1fx/+;Ubi-CreTM) mice, and isolated BMMs for in vitro osteoclast differentiation assay. BMMs were cultured for 5 days in the presence of M-CSF and RANKL, and Cre activity was induced by 4-OHT (100 ng/mL) at the same time to convert the floxed allele to the Cre-recombined null allele. Given that the levels of osteoclast differentiation of BMMs from heterozygous Acvr1 (Acvr1fx/) and wild-type (Acvr1+/+) mice were similar, we used BMMs from Acvr1fx;Ubi-CreTM mice as controls for the rest of the experiments. The Acvr1 cKO cells showed a significant decrease in the number of TRAP-positive cells when compared to control cells (Fig. 3A, B). The number of nuclei per cell in Acvr1 cKO osteoclasts was fewer than that in control osteoclasts (Fig. 3C), and therefore the number of large osteoclasts (i.e., those with 6 or more nuclei) was significantly reduced in Acvr1 cKO cells (Fig. 3D). These results suggest that BMP signaling through ACVR1 plays a role in RANKL-mediated osteoclast fusion. For the demineralization assay, Acvr1 cKO osteoclasts resulted in a significant reduction in the demineralized area compared to control osteoclasts (Fig. 3A, E), suggesting that ACVR1-mediated BMP signaling is also involved in osteoclast activity. To assess cell proliferation or cell death during osteoclast differentiation, we quantified total number of nuclei in culture by DAPI staining. There was no significant difference in total number of nuclei between control and cKO
osteoclast cultures after RANKL treatment (Fig. 3F), suggesting reduced TRAP-positive cells in cKO osteoclasts was not due to cell death but due to impaired cell fusion events (Fig. 3C-D). qRT-PCR analysis demonstrated that expression levels of osteoclast differentiation marker genes were downregulated in Acvr1 cKO osteoclasts compared to control osteoclasts (Fig. 3G). Therefore, BMP signaling through ACVR1 promotes RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis.

The efficiency of Acvr1 deletion was evaluated by qRT-PCR and genomic qPCR to detect a loss of exon 7. The Acvr1 null allele has intact exon 7 and therefore complete Cre recombination in the Acvr1 cKO (Acvr1loxP;Ubi-CreTM) cells results in a 50% reduction in the expression of exon 7 (28). The qRT-PCR analysis showed Acvr1 cKO osteoclasts resulted in a 34% reduction of Acvr1 expression compared to control cells (Fig. 3G). Genomic qPCR detecting exon 7 of Acvr1 showed 50% of reduction in Acvr1 cKO (Acvr1loxP;Ubi-CreTM) cells (Fig. S3A). We monitored Cre activity using ROSA26-LacZ Cre reporter mice and found LacZ-positive signals in almost all cells at day 5 of 4-OHT treatment (Fig. S3B). These results indicate that recombination events occurred efficiently in the Acvr1-floxed alleles. Interestingly, expression levels of Bmpr1a and Bmpr1b were upregulated in Acvr1 cKO cells, suggesting other receptors compensate for a loss of Acvr1 in osteoclasts. Similarly, Bmpr1a cKO osteoclasts showed higher levels of Acvr1 and Bmpr1b expression compared to control osteoclasts (Fig. S3C). Our previous study demonstrated that deletion of Bmpr1a in OBs does not alter expression levels either of Acvr1 or Bmpr1b (28), suggesting compensation by other receptors may occur in a cell type-specific manner.

**BMP-2 and BMP-7 activated SMAD1/5/9 signals via ACVR1 in osteoclasts**

It has been demonstrated that BMP-2 and BMP-4 bind to BMPR1A, and BMP-6 and BMP-7 bind to ACVR1 (9,10). However, the theory that type 1 receptors transduce distinct intracellular signaling by different ligand binding is controversial. To investigate the signaling activities through ACVR1 and BMPR1A by different BMP ligands, exogenous BMP-2 and BMP-7 were added to osteoclasts isolated from Acvr1 cKO and Bmpr1a cKO mice, and western blot analysis was conducted in each group. As a result, BMP-2 increased pSMAD1/5/9 levels in control osteoclasts (Acvr1loxP;Ubi-CreTM) but did not alter pSMAD1/5/9 levels in Acvr1 cKO osteoclasts (Fig. 4A). BMP-2 did not alter pp38, pERK or pAKT levels in control cells, and those levels were lower in Acvr1 cKO cells than those in control cells. BMP-7 increased levels of pSMAD1/5/9 in control cells, and those levels were reduced in Acvr1 cKO osteoclasts (Acvr1loxP;Ubi-CreTM) (Fig. 4B). BMP-7 did not change pp38, pERK or pAKT levels in control cells, and pAKT levels in Acvr1 cKO cells were lower than those in control cells. In contrast, BMP-2 increased pSMAD1/5/9, pERK and pAKT levels in control osteoclasts (Bmpr1aloxP;Ubi-CreTM), and those levels were reduced in Bmpr1a cKO osteoclasts (Bmpr1aloxP;Ubi-CreTM) (Fig. 4C). Bmpr1a cKO osteoclasts also showed reduced pERK and pAKT levels. BMP-7 increased pSMAD1/5/9 and pp38 levels in control osteoclasts but those levels remained unchanged in Bmpr1a cKO osteoclasts (Fig. 4D). BMP-7 did not change pERK or pAKT levels, and pAKT levels in Bmpr1a cKO cells were lower than those in control cells. These results suggest that BMP-2 can signal through ACVR1 and BMPR1A, while BMP-7 predominantly signals through ACVR1 to transduce SMAD signaling in osteoclasts.

**Enhanced BMP signaling via ACVR1 promoted RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis**

To determine the effect of enhanced BMP signaling via ACVR1 on osteoclastogenesis, we established osteoclast cultures from constitutive active Acvr1-mutant mice (caAcvr1;Ubi-CreTM) and control mice (caAcvr1). Since the EGFP cassette was inserted into the constructs to generate conditional constitutively active transgenic mice, we quantified levels of Egfp expression as a surrogate of caAcvr1 by quantitative real-time PCR (19). We observed expression of Egfp at a similar level of Gapdh in cells carrying the Cre-recombined caAcvr1 (Fig. S4A). Cre activity was monitored by using ROSA26-mTmG Cre reporter mice. At day 5 of M-CSF/RANKL and 4-OHT treatment, most of the cells were GFP-positive (Fig. S4B), suggesting efficient Cre recombination in osteoclasts. The caAcvr1-mutant cells resulted in significant increases in the number of osteoclasts observed by TRAP staining and nuclei per cell compared to control cells (Fig. 5A-C) upon
induction of Cre activity. Therefore, we observed a significant increase of large osteoclasts in caAcvr1-mutant cells (Fig. 5D). However, caAcvr1-mutant cells did not differentiate into multinucleated osteoclasts without RANKL (Fig. S4C), indicating enhanced BMP signaling promotes RANKL-dependent osteoclast fusion. In terms of osteoclast activity, the demineralized area generated by caAcvr1-mutant cells was larger than that by control cells (Fig. 5A, E), suggesting enhanced BMP signaling promotes osteoclast activity. The total number of nuclei in culture was similar between control and caAcvr1-mutant osteoclast cultures during RANKL treatment (Fig. 5F), indicating increased TRAP-positive cells in caAcvr1-mutant osteoclasts was due to increased cell fusion events. qRT-PCR analysis demonstrated that osteoclast differentiation marker genes such as Acp5 and Oscar were upregulated in caAcvr1-mutant osteoclasts (Fig. 5G). The caAcvr1-mutant osteoclasts also showed higher expression levels of Fos and Nfatc1, which regulate the expression of genes associated with osteoclast differentiation. We previously reported that caAcvr1-mutant mice show enhanced SMAD signaling pathways without changing non-SMAD pathways such as p38 and ERK in epithelial cells (34). Consistent with the previous study, 4-OHT treated caAcvr1-mutant osteoclasts displayed increased levels of pSMAD1/5/9, but no change was observed in pp38, pERK or pAKT levels (Fig. 5H, I). BMP-2 and BMP-7 increased pSMAD1/5/9 levels in control cells. BMP-2 and BMP-7 further increased pSMAD1/5/9 levels in 4-OHT treated caAcvr1-mutant osteoclasts but did not alter pp38, pERK or pAKT levels compared to 4-OHT treated caAcvr1-mutant osteoclasts without BMP treatments. These results suggest that enhanced osteoclastogenesis observed in caAcvr1-mutant cells is likely due to increased SMAD signaling activities.

**Elevated osteoclast fusion and activity in caAcvr1-mutant cells was inhibited by LDN treatments**

To address how BMP signaling pathways regulate osteoclast formation and fusion, we applied several inhibitors to caAcvr1-mutant cells and evaluated their impacts on osteoclastogenesis by TRAP staining (Fig. 6A). Although caAcvr1-mutant cells showed increased osteoclast number and the number of nuclei per cell compared to control cells, BMP-2 and BMP-7 further increased the number of nuclei per cell in caAcvr1-mutant osteoclasts (Fig. 6B, C). These results suggest that even though pSMAD1/5/9 levels are high in caAcvr1-mutant osteoclasts, exogeneous BMP ligands further activate SMAD signaling and promote osteoclastogenesis through caACVR1 and other endogenous type 1 receptors. Since BMP signaling activity was increased in caAcvr1-mutant cells without ligand binding, Noggin did not alter either osteoclast number or the number of nuclei per cell in caAcvr1-mutant cells. To address the effect of SMAD-dependent signaling on osteoclast differentiation, we used selective chemical inhibitors for BMP type I receptor kinases, LDN-193189 (LDN-19 hereafter) and LDN-212854 (LDN-21 hereafter). To evaluate cytotoxic effects of LDN compounds on osteoclasts, we tested several concentrations of LDN-19 and LDN-21 on cell proliferation. As a result, more than 5 μM of LDN-19 and LDN-21 significantly decreased the number of BMMs (Fig. S5A, B), demonstrating cytotoxic effects of LDNs at higher concentrations. In terms of the specificity of LDN compounds, we previously reported that LDN-19 efficiently inhibits BMP-4-induced SMAD1/5/9 activation with substantially weaker effects on BMP-4–induced MAPK p38 activation or TGF-β–induced SMAD2 activation in pulmonary artery smooth muscle cells at concentrations up to 8 μM (35). Therefore, we decided to use 1 μM of LDN-19 and LDN-21 as a means of reducing BMP-SMAD signaling. Consistent with our previous study, 1 μM of LDN-19 significantly reduced pSMAD1/5/9 levels in BMP-treated control and caAcvr1-mutant osteoclasts without affecting non-SMAD signaling pathways (Fig. S5C, D). In contrast, LDN-21 inhibited BMP-7–mediated pSMAD1/5/9 but displayed weaker effects on BMP-2–mediated pSMAD1/5/9 (Fig. S5C, D), suggesting LDN-21 has a selective inhibitory activity against ACVR1 compared to BMPRIA as previously reported (36). As shown in Figure 6, LDN-19 and LDN-21 decreased the number of nuclei per osteoclast in caAcvr1-mutant cells to the control cell level (Fig. 6A-C), suggesting LDN treatments inhibited BMP-dependent osteoclast fusion. We further evaluated the effects of BMP-SMAD signaling on osteoclast differentiation by gene silencing. Since Smad1 and Smad5 mutants result in embryonic lethality (37-39) whereas Smad9 mutants exhibit no overt phenotype (40), we applied specific siRNAs against...
Smad1, Smad5 and Smad4 to caAcvr1-mutant cells to investigate the roles of BMP-SMAD signaling in osteclastogenesis. With efficient gene silencing effects for Smad1 (80.5%), Smad5 (66.2%) and Smad4 (62.1%) (Fig. S6A), significant reductions in osteclast number and fusion of caAcvr1-mutant cells were observed in the experimental groups (Fig. S6B-D), suggesting that BMP-SMAD signaling plays a crucial role in osteclastogenesis.

For non-SMAD pathways, caAcvr1-mutant cells treated with a p38 MAPK inhibitor, SB203580, resulted in a significant reduction in osteclast number as well as the number of nuclei per osteclast, which was much fewer than control cells. The p38 inhibitor also inhibited osteclast differentiation in control cells (Fig. S5E), suggesting p38 signaling is required for RANKL-induced osteclast differentiation. An ERK inhibitor, U0126, did not alter either the osteclast number or the number of nuclei per osteclast in caAcvr1-mutant cells, while a PI3K/AKT inhibitor, LY29004, resulted in no significant changes in osteclast numbers but decreased the number of nuclei per osteclast in caAcvr1-mutant cells, suggestive of involvement of BMP-AKT signaling in osteclast fusion events.

Next, we tested whether intracellular signaling pathways of BMPs are involved in osteclast activity (Fig. 6D). Consistent with the result in Fig. 5E, the demineralized area generated by caAcvr1-mutant osteclasts was larger than that generated by control osteclasts (Fig. 6E). Both BMP-2 and BMP-7 showed a tendency for enhancement of demineralization by caAcvr1-mutant osteclasts. Noggin did not alter the enhanced osteclast activity of caAcvr1-mutant cells. In contrast, LDN-19 and LDN-21 reduced osteclast activity of caAcvr1-mutant cells to the control cell level, suggesting inhibition of SMAD signaling could suppress BMP-dependent osteclast activity. The p38 inhibitor strongly suppressed osteclast activity of caAcvr1-mutant cells as the demineralized area was smaller than that seen in control cells. The ERK inhibitor did not alter osteclast activity of caAcvr1-mutant cells, while the PI3K/AKT inhibitor decreased the demineralized area generated by caAcvr1-mutant cells and reached control cell levels, suggesting BMP-mediated p38 or AKT signaling, but not ERK, may also play a role in caAcvr1-induced osteclast activity.

BMP-mediated SMAD signaling enhanced NFATc1 nuclear translocation during early osteclastogenesis

NFATc1 is strongly induced by RANKL and regulates multiple processes including osteclast formation, fusion, and bone-resorbing activity (5,6). NFAT proteins are dephosphorylated and translocate to the nucleus to regulate target gene expression (32,33). Therefore, we evaluated whether BMP-mediated SMAD signaling influences NFATc1 levels and its nuclear translocation at an early stage of osteclastogenesis. BMP-2 and BMP-7 increased NFATc1 protein levels in osteclasts, and that levels were reduced by LDN-19 and LDN-21 (Fig. 7A). Similarly, caAcvr1-mutant cells showed increased NFATc1 protein levels, but that levels were decreased by the LDN compounds (Fig. 7B). Next, we investigated cellular localization of NFATc1 in an early stage of osteclasts. As shown in Fig. 7C, the nuclear NFATc1 was increased in osteclast precursors treated with BMP-2 or BMP-7, and the nuclear NFATc1 was inhibited by LDN-19 and LDN-21 (Fig. 7D). The control cells treated with the LDN compounds displayed decreases in NFATc1 levels and its nuclear translocation, suggesting endogenous BMPs promote RANKL-induced NFATc1 activation during osteclast differentiation. We also observed elevated levels of nuclear NFATc1 in caAcvr1-mutant cells. These results suggest that BMP-mediated SMAD signaling dephosphorylates NFATc1 and thus leads its nuclear translocation to promote osteclast differentiation.

Inhibition of Ca^{2+}/calcineurin-mediated NFATc1 decreased osteclast differentiation of caAcvr1-mutant osteclasts

A calcium/calmodulin-dependent phosphatase, calcineurin, is required for nuclear localization of NFATc1, which is activated a surge of intracellular Ca^{2+} concentration. A 16-amino acid linear L-peptide (MAGPHPVIVITGPHEE) called VIVIT specifically interrupts calcineurin docking onto NFAT and leads to NFAT inactivation without altering calcineurin phosphatase activity (41). We found that the NFAT inhibitor strongly inhibited osteclast formation and fusion of caAcvr1-mutant cells to the control levels (Fig. 8A-C). Increased NFATc1 protein
levels in *caAcvr1*-mutant osteoclasts were decreased by the inhibitor treatment (Fig. 8D). Moreover, the NFAT inhibitor decreased demineralization ability of *caAcvr1*-mutant cells to the control level (Fig. 8E, F) These data strongly suggest that BMP-SMAD signaling enhances calcineurin binding to NFATc1 prompting nuclear translocation for osteoclastogenesis.

**Discussion**

Our findings demonstrate that ACVR1-mediated BMP signaling regulates RANKL-dependent osteoclastogenesis via the canonical SMAD signaling pathway. BMP-2 transduces signals via ACVR1 and BMPR1A, while BMP-7 predominantly utilizes ACVR1 to activate SMAD signaling. In addition, ACVR1-mediated BMP signaling is involved in osteoclast fusion and activity, while BMPR1A-mediated BMP signaling plays a role in osteoclast fusion. Furthermore, BMP-SMAD signaling enhances RANKL-mediated NFATc1 nuclear translocation. We also demonstrated that p38 and AKT are involved in osteoclast formation and activity, but those may not play a significant role in osteoclast fusion. Taken together, our study demonstrates that BMP-SMADs are major players in regulating RANKL-dependent osteoclastogenesis, and BMP signaling mediated by different type 1 receptors plays distinct roles (Fig. 8E).

We previously reported that *Bmpr1a*-deficient osteoclasts are smaller in size with fewer nuclei than control osteoclasts, while the total number of cells is increased in the mutant cells (16), suggesting BMPR1A-mediated BMP signaling is required for osteoclast fusion. Here, we demonstrated that ACVR1-mediated BMP signaling also regulates osteoclast fusion as *Acvr1*-deficient cells reduced the number of osteoclasts as well as the number of nuclei per cell. In terms of osteoclast bone-resorbing activity, *Bmpr1a*-deficient cells have normal demineralization activity (16), while *Acvr1*-deficient cells display reduced demineralization activity, suggesting ACVR1, but not BMPR1A, plays a major role in osteoclast activity. We previously demonstrated that osteoblast-specific *Acvr1* cKO mice display similar phenotypes in long bones with *Bmpr1a* cKO mice (21-24), while mice lacking *Bmpr1a* in cartilage display more severe chondrodysplasia than *Acvr1* cKO mice, suggesting each type 1 receptor has distinct roles in chondrogenesis (13). Therefore, further *in vivo* study is required to understand the action of each type 1 receptor in osteoclasts and bone homeostasis.

The osteogenic property of BMP-2 and BMP-7 has been extensively studied, resulting in United States Food and Drug Administration approval of rhBMP-2 and rhBMP-7 for clinical use. Heterodimers of BMP proteins such as BMP-2/BMP-7 and BMP-4/BMP-7 exert their effects on promoting osteoblast-mediated bone formation in lower doses than corresponding homodimers (42). Although the underlying mechanisms are still unknown, these results suggest that BMP signaling through heterodimers of type 1 receptors may be more functional than that through receptor homodimers in osteoblasts. On the other hand, BMP-2/BMP-7 heterodimers induce a similar level of osteoclast-mediated bone resorption as homodimers (25). We previously reported that BMP-2 transduces signals predominantly via BMPR1A in osteoblasts (28). Here, we demonstrated that BMP-2 utilized both BMPR1A and ACVR1 to activate SMAD signaling in osteoclasts. These results suggest that the utilization of type 1 receptors and their signals in osteoclasts may be different from those in osteoblasts. Therefore, addressing the signaling mechanisms using conditional BMPR1A and ACVR1 double knockout mice may provide additional insights into how BMP signals are transduced through each type 1 receptor in bone cells. Additionally, it is reported that TGF-β and Activin have great potential to enhance RANKL-dependent osteoclast differentiation as compared to BMP-2 (43). Their study, however, primarily used RAW264.7 cells, mouse monocytic cell line, which may behave differently from primary cells that we used in this study. Although neither TGF-β or Activin transduce signals through ACVR1, the effects of each TGF-β/BMP ligand on osteoclast differentiation should be addressed in future studies.

Whether BMP signaling in osteoclastogenesis is mediated via SMAD or non-SMAD signaling pathways is not currently known. Given reports that MAPKs, not SMADs, are required for efficient osteoclast differentiation since *BmpR2*^{fl/fl};LysM-Cre osteoclasts result in reduced osteoclast differentiation with reduced MAPK levels but normal pSMAD1/5/9 levels (44). Among the inhibitors we investigated, specific p38
inhibitor SB203580 showed robust inhibition on osteoclast differentiation and demineralization. Therefore, it is tempting to speculate that BMP signaling activates the p38 pathway to regulate osteoclastogenesis. The MAPK and PI3K/AKT pathways are activated by multiple factors such as RANKL (7), and RANKL-mediated p38 activities are critical for osteoclast differentiation and bone-resorbing activity (45). Therefore, it would be challenging to differentiate the action of BMP-mediated p38 signals in osteoclastogenesis from other signaling activity. Nonetheless, we observed that enhanced BMP-SMAD signaling promoted RANKL-mediated osteoclast differentiation and activity. In addition, BMP-2 and BMP-7 promoted osteoclast fusion in caAcvr1-mutant cells without altered non-SMAD signaling activities. Moreover, Smad1/5 and Smad4 gene silencing significantly reduced osteoclast formation and fusion of caAcvr1-mutant cells. These results strongly suggest BMP-mediated SMAD plays a significant role in osteoclast fusion events. It is also reported that dorsomorphin treatments to block phosphorylation of SMAD1/5/9 inhibit osteoclast fusion (44), and the deletion of SMAD1/5 results in impaired osteoclast differentiation and bone-resorbing activity (46), further reinforcing the idea that BMP-mediated SMAD signaling plays a role in osteoclastogenesis. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that BMP-mediated p38 or AKT signaling activity plays a role in osteoclast formation and activity.

We found some discrepancies between this study and previous reports. First, we did not observe increased pERK levels at day 1 of 50 ng/mL of RANKL treatment, while others reported that ERK activation was seen after 24 hours of 100 ng/mL of RANKL stimulation (47). This may be due to the difference in the concentration of RANKL because the efficiency of osteoclast differentiation and activation are highly dependent on RANKL concentration as well as cell density at the timing of RANKL treatment (48). Second, we showed that p38 inhibitors suppress osteoclast differentiation, while LysM-Cre;p38αfl/fl mice develop osteoporotic phenotypes with an increase in the number of osteoclasts and bone resorption rate at 6-month of age (49). This discrepancy may be due to a compensation of other p38 isoforms for the loss of p38α, or the timing of Cre recombination in osteoclast-lineage cells. Third, we did not observe inhibitory effects of the ERK inhibitor on differentiation of caAcvr1-mutant osteoclasts. However, Erkl-deficient mice display reduced osteoclast development in vivo and in vitro (50). This discrepancy is most likely due to the timing of gene deletion in osteoclast-lineage cells as osteoclasts undergo distinct stages of osteoclastogenesis. We reported that BMP1A-mediated BMP signaling differentially regulates osteoclast differentiation at different stages of osteoclasts such as macrophages, pre-osteoclasts and mature multinucleated osteoclasts (14-16). Here, we tested the function of ACVR1-mediated BMP signaling in osteoclast differentiation from pre-osteoclasts towards functional osteoclasts. For these in vitro studies, we took an advantage of tamoxifen inducible Cre expressed by the Ubiquitin promoter together with RANKL stimulation. It is an important future study to conditionally delete Acvr1 using several osteoclast-lineage specific Cre mouse lines to investigate skeletal phenotypes, which will provide additional insights into how ACVR1 is involved in osteoclastogenesis.

We also demonstrated that increased BMP-SMAD signaling activities enhance RANKL-dependent NFATc1 nuclear translocation at an early stage of osteoclast differentiation. It is reported that BMP-2 increases intracellular Ca2+ in osteoblasts and that promotes NFATc1 nuclear translocation (51,52). We observed that the NFAT inhibitor, VIVIT peptide, restores enhanced osteoclast differentiation and activity of caAcvr1-mutant cells to control levels. These findings suggest that BMP-SMAD signaling may cross-talk with Ca2+-calcineurin-NFATc1 signaling in osteoclasts. Further studies will be necessary to determine the molecular cross-talk between BMP and NFATc1 signaling pathways. It is still possible, however, BMP-mediated SMAD signaling interacts with RANKL/RANK signaling pathways to activate NFATc1 signaling. RANKL/RANK signaling leads to TRAF6 recruitment to the RANK receptor complex, which activates NFATc1 through two important transcription factors NF-κB and c-Fos. We reported that BMP-2-mediated signals do not alter RANKL-mediated NF-κB pathway to activate NFATc1 (15), suggesting that RANKL-mediated NF-κB signaling may not be a target of BMP-SMAD signaling. On the other hand, RANKL-mediated c-Fos signaling might be a potential target of BMP-SMAD signaling because
a cooperation between TGF-β–mediated SMAD and c-Fos signaling on osteoclastogenesis has been reported in the previous study (53). Based on ChIP-seq and FAIRE-seq studies, TGF-β–mediated SMAD2/3 is required for activation of c-Fos and translocation of the SMAD/c-Fos complex into the nucleus, which regulates Nfatc1 expression (53). Therefore, elucidating the molecular interaction between BMP-SMAD and RANKL-mediated c-Fos signaling may provide additional insights into how BMP signaling regulates RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis.

It is still unknown whether non-SMAD signaling activities also regulate RANKL-mediated NFATc1 nuclear translocation. RANKL leads to the recruitment of TRAF6-TGF-β–activated kinase 1 (TAK1) complexes to the RANK receptor, which activates NFATc1. BMP signaling is also mediated by TAK1 via TRAF6, which induces MAPK pathways. As it has been reported that LysM-Cre;Tak1ββ mice display a defect in osteoclast differentiation (54), TAK1 plays a critical role in osteoclastogenesis. The context of TAK1 function varies from tissue to tissue, and thus, further studies addressing the action of TAK1 in osteoclastogenesis would be beneficial.

Rho GTPases could also be potential targets of BMP signaling that regulate bone resorption, one of the unique functions in osteoclasts. Interestingly, despite a significant increase in demineralization activity of caAcvr1-mutant osteoclasts, the expression levels of Cathepsin K and Mmp9 (bone resorption markers) remained unchanged. Therefore, BMP signaling may be responsible for other bone resorption processes such as adherence to bone, cytoskeletal reorganization, lysosomal trafficking or protease exocytosis. Specifically, osteoclasts form unique cytoskeletal structures called actin rings and ruffled borders to resorb bone matrix efficiently (55). BMP-2 regulates actin cytoskeletal reorganization in myoblasts via Rho GTPases (56). The Rho subfamily of small GTPases (e.g., Rho, Rac, and Cdc42) plays a critical role in differentiation and actin organization in various cell types including osteoclasts (57). While BMPs may regulate Rho GTPase signaling, the molecular interaction and the action of BMP-mediated Rho GTPase signaling in osteoclasts are still unknown. Indeed, osteoclasts form another unique cytoskeletal structure called a tunneling nanotube (TNT), which is a long membrane projection connecting distant cells required for osteoclast fusion (58). Further studies will provide insights into how BMP signaling regulates cytoskeletal organization in osteoclasts.

In summary, we demonstrate that ACVR1-mediated BMP signaling in osteoclasts regulates RANKL-dependent osteoclastogenesis. BMP-2 and BMP-7 bind to BMPR1A and ACVR1, while BMP-7 predominantly uses ACVR1 for signaling in osteoclasts. ACVR1 plays a role in osteoclast fusion and activity, while BMPR1A is primarily responsible for osteoclast fusion. Activating BMP-SMAD signaling promotes NFATc1 activation. Taken together, our study demonstrates that ACVR1-mediated BMP signaling plays a critical role in RANKL–induced osteoclastogenesis via canonical SMAD signaling pathway. This study provides beneficial information for the clinical use of BMPs and helps us understand the mechanism of pathologic bone alterations in patients.

**Experimental procedures**

**Mice**

The generation of null mice and conditional mice for Acvr1 or Bmpr1a is previously reported (11,12,59,60). To generate mice for bone marrow osteoclast isolation, mice heterozygous null for Acvr1 or Bmpr1a carrying tamoxifen (TM)-inducible Cre fusion protein CreERT2 under the Ubiquitin promoter (Ndor1Tg(Ubic-cre/ERT)1Ejb, No. 008085, The Jackson Laboratory) (Acvr1+/+;Ubi-CreTM, Bmpr1a+/−;Ubi-CreTM) were bred with mice homozygous for the conditional allele for Acvr1 or Bmpr1a also homozygous for ROSA26 Cre Reporter (R26R) (Acvr1f/f;R26R/R26R or Bmpr1af/f;R26R/R26R) (12,59,61). The Acvr1 null allele lacks sequences encoded by exon 5 which is critical for its receptor kinase activity (12), and the Cre-recombined Acvr1 allele lacks sequences encoded by exon 7. Mice genotyped as Acvr1f/f;Ubi-CreTM and Bmpr1af/f;Ubi-CreTM were designed as mutants, and Acvr1f/f;Ubi-CreTM and Bmpr1af/f;Ubi-CreTM as controls. The mouse line carrying the Cre-inducible caAcvr1 transgene was described previously (19). Subsequently, these mice were bred with Ubi-CreTM mice to obtain caAcvr1;Ubi-CreTM. Mice genotyped caAcvr1;Ubi-CreTM were designed as mutants and caAcvr1 without Ubi-CreTM transgene as controls. All mice were maintained on a mixed background of 129S6 and C57BL6/J. They were housed in cages in a
temperature-controlled room (20°C) with a 12-hour light/dark cycle. All animal experiments were performed in accordance with the policy and federal law of judicious use of vertebrate animals as approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Michigan.

**Inhibitors**

The BMP receptor kinase inhibitors LDN-193189 and LDN-212854 were kindly provided by Dr. Paul Yu. LDN compounds, SB203580 (Calbiochem, 559389), U0126 (Calbiochem, 662005) and LY294002 (Calbiochem, 440202) were dissolved in DMSO to prepare 1 mM of solution and used as 1 µM in culture. Recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein 2 (rhBMP-2), recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein 7 (rhBMP-7), recombinant human Noggin (rhNoggin) and NFAT inhibitor (VIVIT peptide; 249537-73-3) were obtained from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN).

**Isolation of cells**

Control and mutant bone marrow cells and spleen cells were collected from 3-week-old mice. Red blood cells were lysed with RBC Lysis buffer (eBioscience, San Diego, CA). After culturing overnight in alpha minimum essential medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (Denville Scientific, Inc. Metuchen, NJ) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen), non-adherent cells were harvested as BMMs and adherent cells were harvested as bone marrow stromal cells (BMSCs). BMMs were proliferated with 20 ng/mL recombinant murine M-CSF (PeproTech, Rocky Hill, NJ) for 4 days, followed by another 5 days of differentiation into mature osteoclasts using 20-ng/mL M-CSF and 50-ng/mL recombinant murine soluble RANKL (PeproTech). Ubi-CreTM expression was induced by administering 100 ng/mL of 4-OHT. Primary osteoblasts (OBs) were isolated from newborn calvaria by enzymatic digestion and used at passage 3.

**Osteoclast assay**

To assess the efficacy of osteoclast formation, cells were seeded in 48-well plates (1.5 x10⁴ cells/well) and cultured with M-CSF and RANKL for 5 days, followed by TRAP staining (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). The total number of osteoclasts (i.e., those with 3 or more nuclei), the number of nuclei per cell and the number of small (i.e., those with 3 to 5 nuclei) and large (i.e., those with 6 or more nuclei) osteoclasts were counted. To determine effects on bone-resorbing activity in osteoclasts, we assessed demineralization activity on calcium phosphate plates; RANKL-stimulated BMMs were seeded onto Osteo Assay Surface plates (Corning, Tewksbury, MA) and differentiated for 7 days. After cells were removed from the plate, the formation of pits was visualized by von Kossa staining. The demineralized area was measured using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). To assess total number of nuclei in culture during osteoclast differentiation, cells were stained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Invitrogen) and at least 10 fields were counted.

**Quantitative PCR**

Total RNA was isolated from osteoclasts using TRIzol (Ambion, Foster City, CA). cDNA was synthesized from 500 ng of RNA using SuperScript II cDNA Synthesis (Invitrogen) with random hexamers (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s instruction. The following PCR probes were purchased from Taqman (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Probes were: Bmpr1a: Mm00477650_m1; Bmpr1b: Mm00432117_m1; Acvr1: Mm01331069_m1 and Gapdh: Mm99999915_g1. The primers used for real-time PCR were: Gapdh (Forward) 5'-CGTCCCCGTAGACAAATTGGT-3'; (Reverse) 5'-TTGATGGCAACAATCTCCAC-3'; Acp5 (Forward) 5'-CTCTCTGACAGATGGCA-3'; (Reverse) 5'-GAGTTGGCCACACAGCATCAC-3'; Oscar (Forward) 5'-TGGCGGTTGTGACCTTCA-3'; (Reverse) 5'-GATCCGTTACCAGCAGTTCAGA-3'; Cathepsin K (Forward) 5'-AGGGAAGCAAGCAGATGGCA-3'; (Reverse) 5'-GCTGCTGGAGATCATTTAG-3'; Nfatc1 (Forward) 5'-CTCGAAGACAGACTGGAGCAT-3'; (Reverse) 5'-CGGCTGCTCCTCCGCTCTCATAG-3'; Mmp9 (Forward) 5'-CGCTCATTACCCGCTGTAT-3'; (Reverse) 5'-CCGTGGAGGTATAGTGGA-3'. Data were normalized to Gapdh by the 2-ΔΔct method. The amplification specificity was confirmed by melting curves.

**Western blot**
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Cells were lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 1% sodium deoxycholate). Subsequently, cell lysates were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (MilliporeSigma, Burlington, MA). The following antibodies were used: rabbit anti-phospho-SMAD1/5/9 (1:1000, 13820), rabbit anti-SMAD1 (1:1000, 9743), rabbit anti-phospho-p44/42 MAPK (ERK) (1:1000, 4376), rabbit anti-p44/42 MAPK (ERK) (1:1000, 4695), rabbit anti-phospho-p38 MAPK (1:1000, 4631), rabbit anti-p38 MAPK (1:1000, 9212), rabbit anti-phospho-AKT (1:1000, 4060), rabbit anti-AKT (1:1000, 9272) and rabbit anti-GAPDH (1:2000, 2118) from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA), and mouse anti-NFATc1 (1:500, sc-7294) and mouse anti-cathepsin K (1:500, sc-48353) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, TX). Specific bindings were visualized with SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). The protein levels of phosphorylated forms of p38, ERK, and AKT were normalized to the respective total form, pSMAD1/5/9 levels were normalized to tSMAD1, and NFATc1 and cathepsin K levels were normalized to GAPDH by using ImageJ.

Immunofluorescence staining
BMMs were grown on 8-well chamber slides (1.5 x 10^4 cells/well) in the presence of M-CSF and RANKL for 48 hours. Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 minutes and incubated with cold methanol for 10 minutes at -20°C. Cells were sequentially incubated in 5% bovine serum albumin for 60 minutes and mouse anti-NFATc1 mAb (1:200) at 4°C overnight. Alexa Fluor 598 donkey anti-mouse IgG (1:200, Invitrogen) was used as a secondary antibody. Slides were mounted with ProLong Gold antifade reagent (Invitrogen). Cells were rated positive for nuclear localization of NFATc1 if the fluorescence intensity of the nuclei exceeded that of the cytoplasm.

Statistical analysis
Statistical difference between the two groups were analyzed using Student’s two-tailed unpaired t-test, and those among three or more groups using one-way ANOVA, followed by a Turkey test and Dunnett’s test. We considered p<0.05 as statistically significant. All experiments were repeated in three or more biological replicates per group and repeated at least three times.
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Abbreviations and nomenclature
The abbreviations used are: BMPs, bone morphogenetic proteins; ACVR1, Activin type 1 receptor; RANKL, receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB ligand; M-CSF, macrophage colony stimulating factor, BMMs, bone marrow mononuclear cells; TRAP, tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase; NFATc1, nuclear factor activated T cells 1.
ACVR1 required for osteoclastogenesis

Figure 1. BMP-2 and BMP-7 enhanced RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis. (A) Expression levels of Acvr1, Bmpr1a, and Bmpr1b in different types of cells were measured by quantitative reverse-transcribed PCR (qRT-PCR). Calvarial osteoblasts (OB) were isolated from newborn mice. Bone marrow stromal cells (BMSC), bone marrow osteoclasts (BMOC), and spleen osteoclasts (SPOC) were isolated from weaning stage mice. n = 6 (OB), 4 (BMSC, BMOC, SPOC) (B) Bone marrow mononuclear cells (BMMs) were seeded in 48-well plates (1.5 x 10^4 cells/well) in the presence of M-CSF (20 ng/ml) and RANKL (50 ng/ml). Cells were stained with TRAP at day 5. For the demineralization assay, BMMs were seeded onto OsteoAssay Surface plates (1.0 x 10^4 cells/well) and differentiated for 7 days. BMP-2 (100 ng/mL) and BMP-7 (100 ng/mL) were added every other day. Scale bars: 500 µm. (C) TRAP-positive cells containing 3 or more nuclei were counted as osteoclasts. n = 6. (D) The number of nuclei per cell was analyzed. n = 6. (E) The demineralized pits generated by osteoclasts was visualized by von Kossa staining. The demineralization area was measured using ImageJ. n = 6. Values represent the mean ± SD. Differences were assessed by one-way ANOVA, followed by a Turkey test, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001.

Figure 2. Levels of pSMAD1/5/9 and pp38 were increased during early osteoclastogenesis. (A) BMMs were seeded in 6-well plates (1.5 x 10^5 cells/well) with M-CSF (20 ng/ml) and RANKL (50 ng/ml). After culturing for 24 hours, total RNA was extracted from cells, and the expression levels of Bmp2 and Bmp7 were assessed by qRT-PCR. n = 4. (B) BMMs were incubated with M-CSF (20 ng/mL) (Day 0). M-CSF (20 ng/mL) and RANKL (50 ng/mL) were added every 48 hours up to 5 days. Cell protein lysates were collected at the indicated day and subjected to western blot analysis. The protein levels of phosphorylated forms of p38, ERK, and AKT were normalized to the respective total form, and pSMAD1/5/9 levels were normalized to tSMAD1, and NFATc1 and cathepsin K (CTSK) levels were normalized to GAPDH using ImageJ. Fold increases of protein levels are relative to cells without RANKL (Day 0). Representative images of protein bands are shown, n = 3. (C) BMMs were incubated with M-CSF (20 ng/mL), and then cells were treated with M-CSF (20 ng/mL), RANKL (50 ng/mL) or Noggin (250 ng/mL) for 24 hours. Cell protein lysates were collected and subjected to western blot analysis. Representative images of protein bands are shown, n = 3. Values represent the mean ± SD. Differences were assessed by Student's t-test, **p<0.01.

Figure 3. BMP signaling via ACVR1 promoted RANKL-dependent osteoclastogenesis. (A) For TRAP staining, BMMs from control (Acvr1fl/fl;Ubi-CreTM) and Acvr1 cKO (Acvr1fx/-;Ubi-CreTM) mice were seeded in 48-well plates (1.5 x 10^4 cells/well) with M-CSF (20 ng/mL) and RANKL (50 ng/mL) for 5 days, and Cre activity was induced by 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT, 100 ng/ml) at the same time. For the demineralization assay, BMMs were seeded onto OsteoAssay Surface plates (1.0 x 10^4 cells/well) and incubated for 7 days. Scale bars: 500 µm. (B) TRAP-positive cells containing 3 or more nuclei were counted as osteoclasts. n = 6. (C) The number of nuclei per cell was analyzed. n = 6. (D) Relative number of small osteoclasts (i.e., those with 3 to 5 nuclei) and large osteoclasts (i.e., those with 6 or more nuclei) were quantified. n = 6. (E) The demineralized pits generated by osteoclasts was visualized by von Kossa staining. The demineralization area was measured using ImageJ. n = 8. (F) After staining nuclei with DAPI, the total number of nuclei in culture was quantified during RANKL treatment from day 1 to day 5. n = 6. (G) After culturing for 5 days, total RNA was extracted from osteoclasts, and the expression levels of osteoclast marker genes were assessed by qRT-PCR. n = 4 (control), 6 (cKO). (H) Comparison of expression levels of Acvr1, Bmpr1a, and Bmpr1b between controls and Acvr1 cKO osteoclasts were measured by qRT-PCR. n = 8. Values represent the mean ± SD. Differences were assessed by Student's t-test, *p<0.05, **p<0.01.

Figure 4. BMP-2 and BMP-7 activated SMAD1/5/9 signals via ACVR1. BMMs were cultured in the presence of M-CSF (20 ng/ml), RANKL (50 ng/ml) and 4-hydroxytamoxifen (100 ng/mL) for 2 days. Differentiated osteoclasts were stimulated with BMP-2 (100 ng/mL) and BMP-7 (100 ng/mL) for 30
minutes, and protein lysates were harvested. The activation of SMAD and non-SMAD signaling in osteoclasts was evaluated by western blot for total and phosphorylated forms of SMAD1/5/9, p38, ERK, and AKT. (A, B) Control (Acvr1fx/+; Ubi-CreTm) and Acvr1 cKO (Acvr1fx/-; Ubi-CreTm) osteoclasts were stimulated with BMP-2 (100 ng/mL) (A) and BMP-7 (100 ng/mL) (B) for 30 minutes. (C, D) Control (Bmpr1afx/+; Ubi-CreTm) and Bmpr1a cKO (Bmpr1afx/-; Ubi-CreTm) osteoclasts were stimulated with BMP-2 (100 ng/mL) (C) and BMP-7 (100 ng/mL) (D) for 30 minutes. The protein levels of phosphorylated forms of p38, ERK, and AKT were normalized to the respective total form, and pSMAD1/5/9 levels were normalized to tSMAD1 using ImageJ. Fold increases of protein levels are relative to unstimulated control cells. Representative images of protein bands are shown. n = 3. Values represent the mean ± SD. Differences were assessed by one-way ANOVA, followed by a Turkey test, *p<0.05, **p<0.01.

Figure 5. Enhanced BMP signaling through ACVR1 promoted osteoclastogenesis. (A) For TRAP staining, BMMs from control (caAcvr1) and caAcvr1 mutant (caAcvr1; Ubi-CreTm) mice were seeded in 48-well plates (1.5 x 10⁴ cells/well) in the presence of M-CSF (20 ng/mL) and RANKL (50 ng/mL) for 5 days, and Cre activity was induced by 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT, 100 ng/ml) at the same time. For the demineralization assay, BMMs were seeded onto OsteoAssay plates (1.0 x 10⁴ cells/well) and incubated for 7 days. Scale bars: 500 µm. (B) TRAP-positive cells containing 3 or more nuclei were counted as osteoclasts. n = 12. (C) The number of nuclei per cell was analyzed. n = 12. (D) Relative number small osteoclasts (i.e., those with 3 to 5 nuclei) and large osteoclasts (i.e., those with 6 or more nuclei) were quantified. n = 12. (E) The demineralized pits generated by osteoclasts was visualized by von Kossa staining. The pit area was measured using ImageJ. The value of each group was compared to non-treatment group of control (caAcvr1; Ubi-CreTm) TRAP-positive cells containing 3 or more nuclei were counted as osteoclasts. n = 4. (F) After staining nuclei with DAPI, the total number of nuclei in culture was quantified during RANKL treatment from day 1 to day 5. n = 6. (G) After culturing for 5 days, total RNA was extracted from osteoclasts, and the expression levels of osteoclast marker genes were assessed by qRT-PCR. n = 6 (control), 5 (mutant). (H, I) The activation of Smad and non-Smad signaling was assessed by western blot. BMMs were cultured in the presence of M-CSF (20 ng/ml), RANKL (50 ng/ml) and 4-OHT (100 ng/ml) for 2 days. Differentiated osteoclasts were stimulated with BMP-2 (100 ng/mL) (H) and BMP-7 (100 ng/mL) (I) for 30 minutes and protein lysates were harvested. The protein levels of phosphorylated forms of p38, ERK, and AKT were normalized to the respective total form, and pSMAD1/5/9 levels were normalized to tSMAD1 using ImageJ. Fold increases of protein levels are relative to unstimulated control cells. Representative images of protein bands are shown. n = 3. Values represent the mean ± SD. Differences were assessed by Student's t-test and one-way ANOVA, followed by a Turkey test, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001.

Figure 6. LDNs inhibited osteoclast fusion and activity of caAcvr1-mutant cells. (A) BMMs from control (caAcvr1) and caAcvr1-mutant (caAcvr1; Ubi-CreTm) mice were seeded in 48-well plates (1.5 x 10⁴ cells/well) with M-CSF (20 ng/mL), RANKL (100 ng/ml) and 4-hydroxytamoxifen (100 ng/mL) for 5 days and TRAP staining was conducted. caAcvr1-mutant cells were treated with DMSO (0.1%, as non-treatment), BMP-2 (100 ng/mL), BMP-7 (100 ng/mL), Noggin (250 ng/mL), LDN-19 (LDN-193189, 1µM), LDN-21 (LDN-212854, 1µM), p38 MAPK inhibitor (SB203580, 1µM), ERK inhibitor (U0126, 1µM), and PI3K/AKT inhibitor (LY294002, 1µM). Control cells were treated with DMSO at a final concentration of 0.1%. The value of each group was compared to the non-treatment group. Scale bars: 500 µm. (B) TRAP-positive cells containing 3 or more nuclei were counted as osteoclasts. n = 4. (C) The number of nuclei per cell was analyzed. n = 4. (D) Cells were incubated with M-CSF (20 ng/mL), RANKL (100 ng/mL) and 4-hydroxytamoxifen (100 ng/mL) for 7 days. The demineralized pits generated by osteoclasts were visualized by von Kossa staining. Scale bars: 500 µm. (E) The demineralized area was measured using ImageJ. The value of each group was compared to non-treatment group of caAcvr1-mutant cells. n = 4. Values represent the mean ± SD. Differences were assessed by one-way ANOVA, followed by a Dunnett test, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001.
Figure 7. BMP-mediated SMAD signaling enhanced NFATc1 nuclear translocation in early osteoclastogenesis. (A) BMMs from control mice were incubated with M-CSF (20 ng/mL), RANKL (100 ng/mL) and 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT, 100 ng/mL), and cells were treated with BMP-2 (100 ng/mL), BMP-7 (100 ng/mL), LDN-19 (LDN-193189, 1µM), and LDN-21 (LDN-212854, 1µM) for 2 days. Control cells were treated with DMSO at a final concentration of 0.1%. The protein levels of NFATc1 and GAPDH were measured by western blot. n =3. (B) BMMs from control (caAcvr1) and caAcvr1-mutant (caAcvr1;Ubi-CreTM) mice were incubated with LDN-19 and LDN-21 for 2 days, and the protein lysate was harvested. The protein levels of NFATc1 were normalized to GAPDH using ImageJ. Fold increases of protein levels are relative to unstimulated control cells. Representative images of protein bands are shown. n =3. (C) BMMs from control (caAcvr1) and caAcvr1-mutant (caAcvr1;Ubi-CreTM) mice were incubated with M-CSF (20 ng/mL), RANKL (100 ng/mL) and 4-OHT(100 ng/mL), and cells were treated with BMP-2 (100 ng/mL), BMP-7 (100 ng/mL), LDN-19 (LDN-193189, 1µM) and LDN-21 (LDN-212854, 1µM) for 2 days. Control cells were treated with DMSO at a final concentration of 0.1%. NFATc1 localization was assessed by immunofluorescence (red), and nuclei (blue) were stained with DAPI. Low- and high-magnification images were shown. Scale bars: 50 µm. (D) NFATc1 nuclear translocation was expressed as a percentage of the total number of osteoclasts. Cells were rated positive for nuclear localization of NFATc1 if the fluorescence intensity of the nuclei exceeded that of the cytoplasm. n =6. Values represent the mean ± SD. Differences were assessed by one-way ANOVA, followed by a Turkey test. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001.

Figure 8. Inhibition of calcineurin-mediated NFATc1 activation decreased osteoclast differentiation and activity of caAcvr1-mutant cells. (A) BMMs from control (caAcvr1) and caAcvr1-mutant (caAcvr1;Ubi-CreTM) mice were incubated with M-CSF (20 ng/mL), RANKL (100 ng/mL), and 4-OHT(100 ng/mL) for 5 days. Cells were treated with an NFAT inhibitor (VIVIT peptide) every other day and TRAP staining was conducted. Scale bars: 500 µm. (B) TRAP-positive cells containing 3 or more nuclei were counted as osteoclasts. The number of nuclei per cell was analyzed. n =8. (C) BMMs from control (caAcvr1) and caAcvr1-mutant (caAcvr1;Ubi-CreTM) mice were incubated with the NFAT inhibitor for 2 days, and the protein lysate was harvested. The protein levels of NFATc1 were normalized to GAPDH using ImageJ. Fold increases of protein levels are relative to untreated control cells. Representative images of protein bands are shown. n =3. (D) Cells were incubated with M-CSF (20 ng/mL), RANKL (100 ng/mL) and 4-hydroxytamoxifen (100 ng/mL) for 7 days. The demineralized pits generated by osteoclasts were visualized by von Kossa staining. Scale bars: 500 µm. (E) The demineralized area was measured using ImageJ. n =4. Values represent the mean ± SD. Differences were assessed by one-way ANOVA, followed by a Turkey test. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001. (F) A schematic diagram showing how BMP signaling through each type I receptor differentially regulates RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis.
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A

|          | BMP2 | BMP7 |
|----------|------|------|
| LDN-19   | -    | +    |
| LDN-21   | -    | +    |
| NFATc1/GAPDH | 1.0  | 0.7  |
| GAPDH    | 0.7  | 0.7  |

B

|          | 4-OHT | LDN-19 | LDN-21 |
|----------|-------|--------|--------|
| NFATc1/GAPDH | 1.0  | 1.6   | 0.1   |
| GAPDH    | 0.4   | 0.7   | 0.7   |

C

Control

LDN-19

LDN-21

BMP2

BMP7

caAcv1,Ubi-Cre

caAcv1,Ubi-Cre + LDN-19

caAcv1,Ubi-Cre + LDN-21

D

|          | Control | BMP7 | BMP2 | caAcv1,Ubi-Cre |
|----------|---------|------|------|----------------|
| NFATc1 translocation (%) |
| LDN-19   | -       | -    | -    | -              |
| LDN-21   | -       | -    | -    | -              |
Figure 8

A. Immunohistochemical staining for NFATc1 in various cell lines.

B. Bar graph showing the number of osteoclasts and nuclei per cell at different NFATi concentrations.

C. Western blot analysis of NFATc1 and GAPDH expression levels.

D. Western blot analysis of NFATc1 and GAPDH expression levels at different NFATi concentrations.

E. Immunohistochemical staining for demineralization in various cell lines.

F. Bar graph showing the percentage of demineralization at different NFATi concentrations.

G. Schematic diagram illustrating the role of BMP-2, BMP-7, and other signaling pathways in osteoclast formation and function.
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