Self-reported health behaviors, including sleep, correlate with doctor-informed medical conditions: data from the 2011 Health Related Behaviors Survey of U.S. Active Duty Military Personnel
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Abstract

Background: Health behaviors and cardiometabolic disease risk factors may differ between military and civilian populations; therefore, in U.S. active duty military personnel, we assessed relationships between demographic characteristics, self-reported health behaviors, and doctor-informed medical conditions.

Methods: Data were self-reported by 27,034 active duty military and Coast Guard personnel who responded to the 2011 Department of Defense Health Related Behaviors Survey. Multivariate linear and logistic regressions were used to estimate cross-sectional associations between (1) demographic characteristics (age, sex, service branch, marital status, children, race/ethnicity, pay grade) and self-reported behaviors (exercise, diet, smoking, alcohol, sleep); (2) demographic characteristics and doctor-informed medical conditions (hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, low high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, hyperglycemia) and overweight/obesity; and (3) behaviors and doctor-informed medical conditions.

Results: Among respondents (age 29.9 ± 0.1 years, 14.7% female), females reported higher intake than men of fruit, vegetables, and dairy; those with higher education reported higher intakes of whole grains; those currently married and/or residing with children reported higher intake of starches. Older age and female sex were associated with higher odds (ORs 1.25 to 12.54 versus the youngest age group) of overweight/obesity. Older age and female sex were also associated with lower odds (ORs 0.29 to 0.65 versus male sex) of doctor-informed medical conditions, except for blood glucose, for which females had higher odds. Those currently married had higher odds of high cholesterol and overweight/obesity, and separated/divorced/widowed respondents had higher odds of high blood pressure and high cholesterol. Short sleep duration (< 5 versus 7–8 h/night) was associated with higher odds (ORs 1.36 to 2.22) of any given doctor-informed medical condition. Strength training was associated with lower probability of high cholesterol, high triglycerides, and low HDL, and higher probability of overweight/obesity. Dietary factors were variably associated with doctor-informed medical conditions and overweight/obesity.

Conclusions: This study observed pronounced associations between health behaviors—especially sleep—and medical conditions, thus adding to evidence that sleep is a critical, potentially modifiable behavior within this population. When possible, adequate sleep should continue to be promoted as an important part of overall health and wellness throughout the military community.
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Background
The U.S. Military maintains extensive records on the health of US Military personnel and their families via its health-care system. However, information regarding health behaviors is not usually present in these records. Therefore, to systematically assess health behaviors among active duty personnel, the U.S. Department of Defense conducts a triennial Health Related Behaviors Survey (HRBS) of active duty military personnel [1], which assesses a range of self-reported health behaviors and risks, such as physical activity, diet, drug and alcohol use, posttraumatic stress, and doctor-informed medical conditions. The representative survey includes responses from participants in all branches of the Department of Defense (DoD) (Army, Navy, Marine Corps, and Air Force), as well as U.S. Coast Guard (USCG) members. The survey is voluntary and anonymous, and is used to guide the health-related policies in the Armed Forces. The HRBS provides data that are otherwise unavailable in this large subset of the U.S. population, approximately 1.3 million service members, since active duty military are excluded from national or state health-related surveys, such as the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) or the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS).

HRBS data have been used in a number of ways to study active duty and USCG personnel, for example, to identify whether they meet the Healthy People 2010 objectives [2], to describe mental health patterns and associations [3–5], and assess changes over time in self-reported overweight/obesity [6, 7]. In depth analysis of demographic characteristics and health-related behaviors, and their association with self-reported health outcomes, may alter the manner in which military personnel are assessed and counseled for potential modifiable and non-modifiable demographic and behavioral risks. Furthermore, associations between health behaviors and disease risk factors may be different in military versus non-military populations due to differences in occupational demands and lifestyle characteristics. For example, deployment absences and frequent moves are sources of family stress that are more common in military than civilian families [8, 9]. Military personnel are required to meet standards of physical fitness and weight (or body composition) [10] and engage in regular physical training [11, 12]. Military personnel are part of a workforce with institutionalized standards and a unique warrior culture and ethos not present in the civilian workforce [13]. The unique occupational demands frequently involve high risk activities (e.g., combat), which are an inherent part of military service [14]. Also in contrast to civilians, and of specific relevance to the present research, military personnel and their dependents are eligible to receive healthcare at little or no cost [9]. Therefore, the aims of this study were to examine relationships between generally unmodifiable sociodemographic factors (e.g., age, sex, race/ethnicity) and self-reported health behaviors (e.g., exercise and diet), as well as relationships between these sociodemographic factors and self-reported, doctor-informed medical conditions (i.e., hypertension, dyslipidemia, and hyperglycemia) and overweight/obesity. We also examined the relationships between these self-reported health behaviors and the medical conditions.

Methods
Survey participants
The 2011 HRBS was conducted by ICF International under contract with the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs, Tricare Management Activity (TMA; now Defense Health Agency), and the USCG [15]. Primary data collection was approved by the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs/TRICARE Management Activity (OASD (HA)/TMA), Human Research Protection Office. Informed consent was required and obtained at the beginning of the HRBS, and responses were anonymous. For the present secondary data analyses, we obtained a de-identified data file from TMA through a data use agreement. Because the data were previously collected and de-identified, the protocol for the present study was deemed exempt (not human subject research) by both the U.S. Army Research Institute of Environmental Medicine (Natick, MA) and the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs/TRICARE Management Activity (OASD (HA)/TMA), Human Research Protection Office.

The sampling strategy, survey administration, and questionnaire format are extensively described in the initial report of the 2011 HRBS [15]. In brief, a stratified random sample was drawn from all non-deployed active duty Army, Navy, Marine Corps, Air Force, and USCG personnel. A second stratified random sample was drawn within each service branch using a combination of sex and pay grade as stratification variables. The resulting group was randomly divided into a group to whom invitations to take the survey were sent, as well as two other groups to use in case of a low response rate. The USCG used a different sampling method, dividing its population into two groups, one of which was sampled using geographic clustering while the other was sampled using stratification on work setting, sex, and pay grade. The eligible sample of potential respondents was 168,664 (154,011 from the DoD services and 14,653 from the USCG) [15].

Invitations to participate in the survey and reminders to complete it were distributed via email. Participants were allowed to opt out of taking the survey. The survey was available for 5 months after the initial invitations were sent in August 2011 (October 2011 for USCG). Those who did not have listed email addresses were mailed physical invitations. The response rate was 22% from the DoD services, and 37% from the USCG, with a
total of 39,877 usable, eligible responses [15]. Individuals who did not respond to questions on the variables of interest were excluded from the present analysis, for a final analytic sample size of 27,034. Characteristics of those included and excluded from the present analyses are provided in Additional file 1: Table S1.

All variables described below are derived from responses to the publicly available 2011 HRBS questionnaire [16].

Sociodemographic variables
Sociodemographic variables included in the present analysis were service branch, sex, age, race/ethnicity, education, pay grade, marital status, and presence of minor children living with the respondent. Specifically, respondents were asked to report service branch (Army, Navy, Marine Corps, Air Force, Coast Guard), sex (male, female), age (< 20, 21–25, 26–35, 36–45, 46–65 years), education (up to high school or equivalent, some college without a degree, 2-year college degree, 4-year college degree, graduate education), race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, Hispanic, and other/multiple race/ethnicity), pay grade (7 categories), current marital status (never married, married, separated/divorced/widowed), and number of children < 18 years living with the respondent at the duty station (0, ≥ 1 children).

Health behavior variables
Health behaviors assessed included modifiable lifestyle factors: dietary intake, physical activity, cigarette smoking, alcohol intake, and sleep.

The 2011 HRBS questionnaire [16] asked about food intake during a given week, specifically the frequency of consumption of fruit (including "fresh, frozen, canned, or dried"), starchy vegetables (including "white potatoes, corn, peas"), vegetables (including "fresh, frozen, canned, cooked, or raw [not fried]"), whole grains (including "rye, whole-grain bread, brown or wild rice, whole-wheat pasta, oatmeal, etc."), dairy (including "milk, yogurt, cheese, etc."), lean protein (including "baked or broiled lean [low fat] meat, eggs, natural peanut butter, nuts, beans or legumes, tofu"), snack foods (including "potato chips, corn chips, pretzels"), sweets (including "chocolate, candy, cake, pie, breakfast bars, etc."), sugary drinks (including "juice, regular soda, Kool-Aid, Yoo-hoo, sports drinks, etc."), caffeinated drinks (including "coffee, tea, or energy drinks [Red Bull, Monster, 5-Hour Energy, Power Shots, etc.]"), and fried foods (including "French fries, fried chicken, donuts, etc."). Response choices for each food were: rarely/never to ≥3 times per day. Each reported instance of eating a given food was counted as one serving of that food. For example, if a respondent reported they ate fruit twice per day, it was coded as two servings of fruit per day.

Respondents were asked about the frequency (daily to “not at all in the past 30 days”) and duration (≥60 min/day to “never in the past month”) of moderate (“exertion that raises heart rate and breathing, but you should be able to carry on a conversation comfortably during the activity”) and vigorous (“exertion that is high enough that you would find it difficult to carry on a conversation during the activity”) physical activity and strength training. Responses were recoded into minutes per week and summed for total physical activity; moderate and vigorous exercise minutes were also summed for total aerobic physical activity.

Cigarette smoking was classified into never-smoked, former smoker (abstinent for > 6 mo), or current smoker based on responses to questions on lifetime cigarette smoking, last smoking occasion, current smoking, and cigarettes per day.

Alcohol intake (drinks/day in last month) was quantified based on responses to questions on the average frequency and amount of alcohol consumed in the last month.

Sleep (hrs/d) was coded based on reported sleep hours in a typical 24-h period in the previous week. Reported typical sleep hours ≤2 or ≥16 h/d over the previous week were considered non-habitual (i.e., rare or due to extenuating circumstances such as periodic training exercise, illness, etc.).

Doctor-informed medical condition and overweight/obesity variables
Medical conditions in the present analysis included overweight/obesity, and 4 doctor-informed health conditions (hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, low HDL cholesterol, hyperglycemia).

Respondents were asked to report their height (feet and inches) and their weight (pounds). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as the weight (kg) divided by the square of the height (m). BMI values ≤12 or ≥50 kg/m² were considered implausible.

Respondents were asked whether they had been told by a doctor or other health care professional that they had high blood pressure, high blood sugar, high cholesterol, low HDL cholesterol ("low amounts of good cholesterol"), or high triglycerides ("blood fat"). Response choices were “No”, “Yes, within the past 2 years,” or “Yes, more than 2 years ago”. Either yes response was coded as having the condition.

Other covariates
In addition to the above, we included weight history based on whether a respondent reported having had to lose weight to enter service and if so, how much (5-lb categories ranging from 0 to ≥30 lbs). Respondents were also asked whether they were currently enrolled in mandatory weight control program (yes, no). A yes response was considered a marker of history of overweight/obesity, irrespective of current reported (calculated) BMI. We also assessed history of deployment since September 11, 2001 (yes, no).
Statistical analysis

The original survey incorporated sample weights applied post-stratification to compensate for lower response rates and disparate selection probabilities across strata and enable the sample to resemble the active duty population as a whole. Separate weights were applied for USCG members based on the mode of sampling used with the goal to reflect the entire population of the USCG [15]. In the present analysis, we used a restricted sample with complete responses on questions/variables of interest (exclusions noted above). Because responses may be missing at random, or missing completely at random, for systematic reasons (e.g., survey length), or for biased reasons (e.g., undesirable response), and because such reasons are unknown, we did not impute responses of non-completers from completer data. However, to maintain consistency of the restricted sample with the respondent sample, and thus, with the active duty population, we adjusted the original sample weights by multiplying the original weights of respondents within each stratum (service, sex, pay grade) by the number of completers in each stratum. These adjusted sample weights were used in the present analyses.

For binary dependent variables, logistic regression was used to estimate odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) of the outcome; for continuous dependent variables, linear regression was used to estimate least square means and standard errors of the dependent variable in categories of the independent variables. Survey procedures available in SAS (v9.3, Cary, North Carolina) designed to deal with complex survey designs and sampling weights were used. Statistical significance was set at $\alpha = 0.05$.

The present analyses evaluated the following associations: 1) demographic characteristics with health behaviors; 2) demographic characteristics with doctor-informed medical conditions and overweight/obesity, and 3) health behaviors with medical conditions. Models of demographic characteristics predicting either health behaviors or medical conditions included all demographic characteristics simultaneously, and additionally adjusted for enrollment in weight loss program, weight loss history, and deployment history. There were 3 models of health behavior associations with medical conditions: model 1 included all behaviors simultaneously; model 2 additionally adjusted for all demographic characteristics; model 3 additionally adjusted for enrollment in weight loss program, weight loss history, and deployment history. Results from all models can be found in the Additional file 1; for simplicity we present only the results of the fully adjusted model in the main text.

Given the large number of health behaviors evaluated, we also conducted secondary exploratory factor analysis to assess possible patterns within the 17 behaviors of diet, exercise, sleep, alcohol, smoking, that may predict medical conditions. Four factors (named “Healthy diet,” “Exercise,” and “Bad habits”; Additional file 1: Table S2) were derived using a principal components approach, with varimax orthogonal rotation, retaining eigenvalues ≥1. Factors were named based on factor loadings >|0.20| and subsequently included in logistic regression models (models 1–3, as above, where behaviors were replaced with factors) assessing their associations with medical conditions.

Results

Of the 27,034 respondents included in the analysis, 29, 17, 21, 19, and 14%, were in the Air Force, Army, Marines, Navy, and USCG, respectively (Table 1). The weighted mean (SEM) age of respondents was 29.9 (0.1) years; weighted percentages showed 14.7% were female, 69.8% identified as non-Hispanic white, 8.6% as non-Hispanic black, 25.5% had at least a high school education, 37.2% had completed some college, 58.9% were married, and 39.9% had one or more children residing with them. Just over 10% reported having to lose some weight to join a service, but only 3% reported being currently enrolled in a weight control program. A majority of respondents (61.3%) reported having been deployed at some point since September 11, 2001.

Weighted responses indicated low weekly intake of fried food, sweets, and snacks (~ 3–4 ser/wk), moderate intake of starches and sugary drinks (~ 5–6 ser/wk), and at least daily intake of fruit, vegetables, whole grains, dairy, lean meat, and caffeinated drinks (~ 8–10 ser/wk). Respondents reported 175, 116, and 104 min/wk. of moderate exercise, vigorous exercise, and strength training, respectively. Nearly 60% of the weighted sample reported never smoking. Average intake of alcohol in the prior month was a third of a drink per day, with > 20% of the weighted sample reporting no alcohol intake. Average sleep duration was 6.3 h/night, with 32% reporting 6–7 h/night—the largest sleep category.

Nearly 24% of the sample reported having at least one medical condition of five possible doctor-informed conditions: 13.0% reported high blood pressure; 12.7% high cholesterol; 5.5% high triglycerides; 6.1% low HDL cholesterol; and 1.5% high blood glucose. Nearly 63% of the sample categorized themselves as overweight or obese, and mean BMI was 26 kg/m².

Associations of sociodemographic variables and health behaviors

In fully-adjusted models, several sociodemographic characteristics were associated with health behaviors. Exercise (both aerobic and strength training) duration was longer in younger age groups, males, those in the Army or Marine Corps, service members with higher educational attainment, separated/divorced/widowed, or individuals without children present (Table 2). Alcohol intake was highest in those age 21–25 y, and lower in higher age groups, was highest in those in the Marine Corps, males, in those with
Table 1  Characteristics of 27,034 respondents to the 2011 Health Risk Behavior Survey, by service branch

| Characteristics | Air Force | Army | Marine Corps | Navy | Coast Guard | Total Sample |
|-----------------|-----------|------|--------------|------|-------------|--------------|
| **Sociodemographic** | | | | | | |
| Age, y | 7846 | 4726 | 5608 | 5169 | 3685 | 27,034 |
| < 20 y | 513 | 121 | 466 | 107 | 74 | 1281 |
| 20–≤25 y | 2245 | 638 | 1619 | 911 | 761 | 6174 |
| 25–≤30 y | 1707 | 862 | 1195 | 1051 | 910 | 6174 |
| 30–≤35 y | 1169 | 851 | 875 | 977 | 759 | 5725 |
| 35–≤40 y | 1220 | 947 | 841 | 970 | 572 | 4631 |
| > 40 y | 992 | 1307 | 612 | 1153 | 609 | 4673 |
| Sex, female | 2816 | 1647 | 1572 | 1936 | 556 | 8527 |
| Education | | | | | | |
| High school or equiv. | 1096 | 531 | 1736 | 891 | 791 | 5045 |
| Some college | 2620 | 1435 | 2051 | 1553 | 1427 | 9086 |
| 2-year college | 1608 | 656 | 420 | 757 | 391 | 3832 |
| 4-year college | 862 | 656 | 1251 | 1208 | 481 | 3832 |
| Beyond college | 1660 | 1285 | 1116 | 595 | 5241 | |
| Race/ethnicity | | | | | | |
| Non-Hispanic white | 5736 | 3062 | 3699 | 3325 | 2861 | 18,683 |
| Non-Hispanic black | 686 | 719 | 542 | 680 | 135 | 2762 |
| Hispanic | 804 | 564 | 983 | 592 | 412 | 3355 |
| Other/multiple | 620 | 381 | 384 | 572 | 277 | 2234 |
| Marital status | | | | | | |
| Never married | 2270 | 1559 | 1559 | 1323 | 942 | 6936 |
| Currently married | 4669 | 3126 | 3388 | 3138 | 2365 | 16,686 |
| Separated/divorced/ widowed | 907 | 758 | 661 | 708 | 378 | 3412 |
| Children, N | 7846 | 4726 | 5608 | 5169 | 3685 | 27,034 |
| None | 4537 | 2496 | 3116 | 2769 | 2026 | 14,944 |
| One or more | 3309 | 2230 | 2492 | 2400 | 1659 | 12,090 |
| Pay grade<sup>b</sup> | | | | | | |
| E1-E4 | 2718 | 1205 | 1850 | 957 | 993 | 7723 |
| E5-E6 | 2186 | 1084 | 1529 | 1572 | 1293 | 7664 |
| E7-E9 | 1287 | 673 | 859 | 1069 | 481 | 4369 |
| WO1-WO5 | – | – | 312 | 228 | 163 | 1220 |
| O1-O3 | 1052 | 485 | 564 | 763 | 400 | 3259 |
| O4-O10 | 603 | 485 | 564 | 763 | 355 | 2794 |
| Enrolled in current weight control program | 145 | 145 | 143 | 203 | 108 | 744 |
| Weight loss to join service | | | | | | |
| None | 7076 | 4232 | 5000 | 4734 | 3387 | 24,429 |
| < 5 lbs | 70 | 39 | 56 | 35 | 26 | 226 |
| 5–9 lbs | 186 | 143 | 156 | 102 | 74 | 661 |
| 10–14 lbs | 201 | 119 | 139 | 123 | 59 | 641 |
| 15–19 lbs | 84 | 51 | 73 | 54 | 45 | 307 |
Table 1 Characteristics of 27,034 respondents to the 2011 Health Risk Behavior Survey, by service branch (Continued)

| Characteristics | Air Force | Army | Marine Corps | Navy | Coast Guard | Total Sample |
|-----------------|-----------|------|--------------|------|-------------|--------------|
| 20–29 lbs       | 108.145   | 48.123 | 73.176 | 59.127 | 38.105 | 326.1.4 |
| ≥ 30 lbs        | 121.1.78 | 94.3.04 | 111.3.26 | 62.1.82 | 56.1.65 | 444.2.3 |
| Deployed since 9/2001 | 4384.57.25 | 3587.69.25 | 3820.57.96 | 3949.70.22 | 1980.52.58 | 17,720.61.26 |

Health behaviors

Dietary intake (ser/wk)

| Food Group    | N | Mean ± SEM or % |
|---------------|---|----------------|
| Fruit         | 7846 | 8.74 ± 0.08 |
| Starch        | 5.94 ± 0.06 |
| Vegetables    | 9.75 ± 0.08 |
| Whole grains  | 9.70 ± 0.08 |
| Dairy         | 9.61 ± 0.07 |
| Lean meat     | 9.81 ± 0.07 |
| Snacks        | 3.66 ± 0.05 |
| Sweets        | 3.61 ± 0.05 |
| Sugary drinks | 4.68 ± 0.07 |
| Caffeinated drinks | 7.38 ± 0.08 |
| Fried food    | 2.72 ± 0.04 |

Exercise (min/wk)

| Exercise Type   | N | Mean ± SEM or % |
|-----------------|---|----------------|
| Moderate aerobic| 7846 | 159.9 ± 1.5 |
| Vigorous aerobic| 110.8 ± 1.2 |
| Strength training| 97.8 ± 1.3 |

Smoking

| Smoking Status | N | Mean ± SEM or % |
|----------------|---|----------------|
| Current        | 1221 | 16.63 |
| Former         | 1337 | 17.00 |
| Never          | 5288 | 66.38 |

Alcohol intake, drinks/d

| Intake Group    | N | Mean ± SEM or % |
|-----------------|---|----------------|
| Non-drinker     | 1784 | 22.57 |
| < 1/2 drink/d   | 4932 | 62.39 |
| 1/2–1 drink/d   | 978 | 12.69 |
| 1–2 drinks/d    | 107 | 1.64 |
| ≥ 4 drinks/d    | 34 | 0.52 |
| ≥ 4 drinks/d    | 11 | 0.19 |

Sleep, hrs/night

| Sleep Duration | N | Mean ± SEM or % |
|----------------|---|----------------|
| < 5 h/night    | 503 | 6.45 |
| 5–6 h/night    | 1158 | 14.49 |
| 6–7 h/night    | 2433 | 31.56 |
| 7–8 h/night    | 2196 | 28.01 |
| 8–9 h/night    | 1309 | 16.57 |
| 9–10 h/night   | 176 | 2.11 |
| ≥ 10 h/night   | 71 | 0.81 |

Medical conditions

| Condition                  | N | Mean ± SEM or % |
|----------------------------|---|----------------|
| High blood pressure        | 887 | 11.7 |
| High cholesterol           | 965 | 12.1 |
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lower educational attainment, non-Hispanic whites, and was lowest among those currently married and those with children present. Average sleep time was longest in the youngest age category and in those in the Air Force or Coast Guard. Current smoking was less likely in the older age categories, among those in the Army or Marine Corps, in the currently or previously married, and was more likely in males, in those with higher educational attainment, and in non-Hispanic blacks.

Dietary intake of all food groups except vegetables was highest in the youngest age category, and was lower in progressively older age categories (Table 3). Differences in food intake (e.g., starch and fried food) between service branches were small (< 2 ser/wk) or statistically the same across all sociodemographic characteristics. Females reported higher intake than males of fruit, vegetables, and dairy, and lower intake of sugary drinks and fried foods. Those with higher education reported higher intakes of fruit, vegetables, whole grains, and dairy than those with lower education. Those who reported being currently married or having children present reported higher intake of fruit, starches, vegetables, whole grains, and dairy relative to their not married or childless counterparts.

Demographic variables associated with medical conditions

In the fully-adjusted models, specific sociodemographic characteristics were associated with doctor-informed medical conditions and overweight/obesity (Table 4; Additional file 1: Table S3). Odds of all conditions were higher amongst older age compared to the youngest age category, and in males compared to females, except for high blood glucose for which females had higher odds than males.

There were no discernible patterns in reported doctor-informed medical conditions and overweight/obesity between the service branches. However, those in the Navy had markedly higher odds of high blood glucose (~ 45% higher odds compared with the Army) and those in the Coast Guard or Navy had markedly higher odds of high triglycerides (~ 54–59% higher odds compared with the Army) (Table 4).

Higher educational attainment tended to be associated with incrementally higher odds of high cholesterol, low HDL cholesterol, high triglycerides, and with lower odds of overweight/obesity, associations which were not accounted for by simultaneously adjusting for age. For example, relative to those with a high school education or equivalent, those with some college, 2 years of college, 4 years of college, and those with education beyond college, had 1.25, 1.50, 1.52, and 1.92 times the odds, respectively, of having low HDL cholesterol (Table 4).

Compared to non-Hispanic whites, non-Hispanic blacks had 68% higher odds of high blood pressure, 36% higher odds of overweight/obesity, 42% lower odds of high triglycerides, and 22% lower odds of HDL. Hispanic personnel had 39% higher odds of high blood glucose, and 43% higher odds of overweight/obesity relative to non-Hispanic whites (Table 4).

Currently married respondents, compared to those who were never married, had significantly higher odds of high cholesterol and overweight/obesity, while those who were separated/divorced/widowed had significantly higher odds of high blood pressure. Having children present in the home was also associated with having a medical condition: compared to those without children living with them, those with children had 1.25, 1.50, 1.52, and 1.92 times the odds, respectively, of having low HDL cholesterol (Table 4).

Behaviors associated doctor-informed medical conditions and overweight/obesity

Of the 17 health behaviors assessed, the health behavior most consistently associated with every doctor-informed medical condition and overweight/obesity was shorter duration of sleep. In fully adjusted models, compared to
sleeping 7–8 h/night, sleeping < 5 h/night was associated with 1.36 (95% CI 1.18–1.57, for overweight/obesity) to up to 2.22 (95% CI 1.89–2.61, for hypertension) times the odds of having a medical condition (Fig. 1a–f; Additional file 1: Table S4). Odds of most conditions also tended to be higher at sleep durations < 6 h/night, relative to 7–8 h/night. Sleep durations of 8 h/night or longer were not statistically different from 7 to 8 h/night.

In addition, in fully adjusted models, strength training was associated with 2–5% lower odds of lipid-related conditions (i.e., high cholesterol, high triglycerides, and low HDL) per 30 min/wk. increment, as well as high blood pressure, but slightly higher odds of overweight/obesity (4% per 30 min/wk. increment). Neither vigorous nor moderate exercise was consistently associated with medical conditions. Compared with never-smoking,
current smoking, in fully adjusted models, was associated with higher odds of high blood pressure, low HDL cholesterol, and high triglycerides; only former smoking was associated with higher odds of overweight/obesity. Alcohol intake was also associated with higher odds of high blood pressure and blood glucose (Fig. 1a–f; Additional file 1: Table S4).

Among the 11 dietary factors, across models, lean meats and sweets were associated with lower odds of high blood pressure, dairy with lower odds of high cholesterol, starch with lower odds of high triglycerides, and dairy and lean meat with lower odds of low HDL cholesterol. Intake of whole grains, snacks, sweets, and sugary drinks were associated with significantly lower odds of overweight/obesity,
while caffeinated drinks were associated with higher odds (Fig. 1a–f; Additional file 1: Table S4).

**Factor analyses of behaviors and risks**

Exploratory factor analyses conducted found that 4 factors explained 9% of the variance in the original behavioral variables (Additional file 1: Table S2). They were characterized as “Healthy diet” (based on high loadings of fruit, starch, vegetable, whole grain, dairy, and lean meat intake), “Unhealthy diet” (based on high loadings of starch, snacks, sweets, sugary drinks, fried food, and caffeinated drinks), “Exercise” (based on high loadings of moderate and vigorous aerobic exercise and strength training), and “Bad habits” (based on high loadings of alcohol, smoking, and caffeinated drinks, and inverse loading of sleep). The factors were associated with medical conditions in
generally expected ways: higher “Healthy diet” was consistently inversely associated with odds of high blood pressure, high cholesterol, high triglycerides, low HDL cholesterol, and overweight/obesity (Table 5). Higher “Unhealthy diet” was only consistently associated with lower odds of overweight/obesity. “Exercise” was consistently associated with lower odds of high cholesterol, high triglycerides, high blood glucose, and low HDL cholesterol, and was associated with higher odds overweight/obesity. Finally, “Bad habits” was strongly

Table 5 Odds ratios (95% CI) of medical conditions by derived behavioral factors

| Factor          | Name               | Model 1            | Model 2            | Model 3            |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|                 | Odds of high blood pressure (N cases = 3713) |                    |                    |                    |
| 1               | Healthy diet       | 0.86 (0.81–0.90)   | 0.88 (0.83–0.93)   | 0.87 (0.83–0.93)   |
| 2               | Unhealthy diet     | 0.89 (0.83–0.94)   | 0.96 (0.90–1.02)   | 0.97 (0.91–1.03)   |
| 3               | Exercise           | 0.89 (0.84–0.94)   | 0.95 (0.90–1.01)   | 0.95 (0.89–1.01)   |
| 4               | Bad habits         | 1.40 (1.34–1.48)   | 1.41 (1.33–1.49)   | 1.39 (1.31–1.48)   |
|                 | Odds of high triglycerides (N cases = 1475) |                    |                    |                    |
| 1               | Healthy diet       | 0.89 (0.83–0.96)   | 0.83 (0.77–0.90)   | 0.83 (0.77–0.90)   |
| 2               | Unhealthy diet     | 0.77 (0.71–0.84)   | 0.93 (0.85–1.02)   | 0.94 (0.85–1.03)   |
| 3               | Exercise           | 0.61 (0.56–0.67)   | 0.79 (0.72–0.86)   | 0.78 (0.71–0.85)   |
| 4               | Bad habits         | 1.11 (1.04–1.18)   | 1.16 (1.07–1.26)   | 1.15 (1.06–1.26)   |
|                 | High blood glucose (N cases = 499) |                    |                    |                    |
| 1               | Healthy diet       | 0.85 (0.73–0.99)   | 0.87 (0.76–1.01)   | 0.87 (0.75–1.00)   |
| 2               | Unhealthy diet     | 0.72 (0.61–0.86)   | 0.85 (0.72–1.00)   | 0.87 (0.74–1.03)   |
| 3               | Exercise           | 0.64 (0.52–0.77)   | 0.75 (0.62–0.91)   | 0.74 (0.61–0.90)   |
| 4               | Bad habits         | 1.21 (1.08–1.36)   | 1.25 (1.09–1.44)   | 1.25 (1.08–1.44)   |
|                 | Low HDL cholesterol (N cases = 1921) |                    |                    |                    |
| 1               | Healthy diet       | 0.91 (0.85–0.98)   | 0.86 (0.80–0.93)   | 0.86 (0.80–0.93)   |
| 2               | Unhealthy diet     | 0.81 (0.75–0.88)   | 0.97 (0.89–1.06)   | 0.98 (0.90–1.07)   |
| 3               | Exercise           | 0.63 (0.58–0.69)   | 0.79 (0.72–0.87)   | 0.79 (0.72–0.86)   |
| 4               | Bad habits         | 1.11 (1.04–1.19)   | 1.16 (1.07–1.27)   | 1.16 (1.06–1.26)   |
|                 | Odds of overweight/obesity (N cases = 16,292) |                    |                    |                    |
| 1               | Healthy diet       | 0.94 (0.91–0.98)   | 0.94 (0.91–0.99)   | 0.95 (0.91–0.99)   |
| 2               | Unhealthy diet     | 0.75 (0.72–0.78)   | 0.78 (0.74–0.81)   | 0.80 (0.76–0.83)   |
| 3               | Exercise           | 1.05 (1.01–1.09)   | 1.11 (1.06–1.16)   | 1.12 (1.07–1.18)   |
| 4               | Bad habits         | 1.20 (1.15–1.25)   | 1.10 (1.05–1.16)   | 1.09 (1.04–1.15)   |

a Logistic regressions were weighted by sample weights and can be interpreted as odds of the outcome per unit increase in the factor score. Model 1 was adjusted for all factors simultaneously. Model 2 was additionally adjusted for all sociodemographic characteristics (see Table 1). Model 3 was additionally adjusted for current enrollment in a weight-loss program, history of weight loss, and history of deployment. For additional details on the derived factors, see Additional file 1: Table S2. In bold text are odds ratios that are significantly different from the reference category.
associated with higher odds of high blood pressure, high
cholesterol, high triglycerides, high blood glucose, low
HDL cholesterol, and overweight/obesity.

Discussion

We used a large anonymous self-reported survey of the
Armed Forces to assess relationships between sociode-
mographic characteristics, health behaviors, and
doctor-informed medical conditions and overweight/
obesity. When we examined individual health behaviors
and medical conditions, by far the most consistent and
largest relationship was between low sleep duration and
higher odds of every medical condition. Otherwise, other
behaviors, including dietary and exercise behaviors, were
inconsistently associated with medical conditions. Age,
sex, marital status, and presence of children appeared to
be the only relatively consistent sociodemographic
factors related to health behaviors. Older individuals,
females, and those residing with children tended to exer-
cise less, but eat healthier foods and not smoke. Older
individuals also slept less, as did those with children
present. Those who were married appeared to have con-
sumed a better diet, exercised more, and slept more than
their non-married counterparts. In terms of medical
conditions, older age and female sex appeared to be the
only consistent drivers of higher and lower odds,
respectively, of the presence of a given medical condi-
tion. Relationships of race/ethnicity, education, service
branch, and marital status with medical conditions were
inconsistent. Finally, in exploratory factor analyses of
health behaviors, associations of behavioral patterns—
two patterns in particular—with medical conditions were
associated with medical conditions as expected: the
pattern characterized by a “healthy” diet was associated
with lower odds of all medical conditions except high
blood pressure, while the pattern characterized by “bad”
habits (including lack of sleep) was associated with
higher odds of every medical condition.

We observed robust associations between short sleep and
each medical condition in the present study, ranging from
36% higher odds of overweight/obesity to over double the
odds of hypertension, in contrast to inconsistent findings
for diet and exercise, and smoking. There is increasing
evidence of the importance of sleep to health [17–23], for
example, a 2015 meta-analysis of 10 prospective cohort
studies investigating sleep in relation to type 2 diabetes risk
reported a U-shaped, dose-response relationship between
sleep duration and diabetes risk [22]. The lowest risk was
observed at sleep durations of 7–8 h/day, with incremen-
tally higher risk of diabetes below or above that duration.
Several reports have linked short sleep durations with
higher risk of overweight/obesity [17, 20, 21], including an
analysis of the representative U.S. population, in which
authors reported that, relative to those who slept 7–8 h/
day, very short sleepers (<5 h) had 30% higher odds of
being overweight and were twice as likely to be obese, while
(5–6 h) short sleepers had 20% higher odds of being
overweight and 57% higher odds of being obese [20]. In
addition, a 2013 meta-analysis of 17 cohorts investigating
the relationship between sleep duration and incident
hypertension reported that short sleep duration conferred
21% higher risk of developing the condition [18]. Because
recommendations to change diet, exercise, and smoking
behaviors, but not sleep behaviors, are a part of many pri-
mary and secondary prevention recommendations [24–26],
it is possible that individuals are more likely to make
changes to these behaviors, rather than sleep, in an effort to
prevent or as a result of receiving a diagnosis. In fact, sleep
is not mentioned as a modifiable risk factor in disease
prevention/management guidelines from the American
Diabetes Association or from the American College of
Cardiology/American Heart Association [24–26], and thus
may not be a part of lifestyle changes individuals undertake
to modify their perceived disease risk.

In military populations, the critical importance of sleep
has only recently become part of the “Performance Triad”,
an educational initiative introduced in 2013 on health
readiness and wellness including 1) physical activity; 2)
nutrition; and 3) sleep [27, 28]. Our data therefore confirm
the importance of the inclusion of sleep in the “Triad”
initiative. In our study, poor sleep may also be a complex
product of poor health, and occupational or personal
stress [29, 30]. Other studies have indicated that sleep
disorders, including insomnia and sleep apnea, appear to
be more common in service members than in civilians
[31–33]. Our observations using self-reported survey data,
on the strong relationship between poor sleep and behav-iors and medical conditions, agree with other studies
using self-reported surveys of military personnel [34].

Our observations regarding select sociodemographic
characteristics, notably age, sex, and marital status, as
being associated with select health behaviors generally
agree with existing evidence [2, 7]. With the exception of
odds of high blood glucose, which was higher in females
versus males, other associations of age and sex—well-
known biological drivers of health risks—were as generally
expected. Married individuals reported better health
behaviors than never- or previously married individuals;
however this did not necessarily translate into lower odds
of having medical conditions for married individuals.
Given the cross-sectional nature of the study design, we
can only speculate as to why: first, married individuals
may be more likely to seek routine medical care at the
urging of their spouse [35–37], and thus have been told by
a physician of a prevalent condition that would have
otherwise gone undetected. Second, cross-sectional ana-
lyses preclude assessment of sequential behaviors; the
reported behaviors may be in response to a diagnosis, a
form of reverse causality. In addition, although analyses were adjusted for age and presence of children, as well as other sociodemographic characteristics, relationships between marital status and medical conditions are nuanced and complicated by time-related factors, including secular trends [37–39]. For example, a recent study using data from a panel of nationally representative households observed that while a protective association of long marriage on women’s self-reported health was evident in the earlier birth cohort (born 1955–1964), it had reversed in the most recent birth cohort (born 1975–1984) [39].

There were other unexpected associations. For example, we observed intake of snacks, sweets, and sugary drinks (in addition to the “Unhealthy diet” factor which included these foods) were associated with lower odds of overweight/obesity, which is generally the opposite of what would be expected given evidence regarding the long-term negative consequences of consuming these foods on health [40–43]. These associations held despite adjusting for history of weight loss and current enrollment in a weight control program. It is possible this finding reflects the generally young age of the respondents in this military population, or that some respondents were simply not at risk of overweight. This observation, in fact, appears to be consistent with cross-sectional nationally representative NHANES data on snacking and sugary beverages in both adolescents [44] and adults [45] which have shown no clearly discernible associations with overweight or obesity. In addition, state-based BRFSS 2013 data indicate that sugar-sweetened beverage intake declines with higher age. Intake was most prevalent among younger adults (age 18–24 y), at 43% reporting consuming these beverages one or more times per day, while those in older age groups reported less consumption (38% of those age 25–34 y, 30% in those age 35–54 y, and 19% in those age ≥55 y) [46]. On the other hand, our exploratory observations regarding the “healthy diet” pattern and favorable odds of medical conditions were consistent with dietary pattern literature [47–50].

As noted above, our analyses are limited by the cross-sectional nature of the survey, which precludes causal inferences. Particularly when assessing health behaviors and medical conditions concurrently, a behavioral pattern may reflect a subset of respondents who have changed their behaviors as result of having been alerted to a health risk [51–56]. Furthermore, we were limited to gross rather than granular assessments of dietary components. We were also likely limited in the validity of our inferences by social desirability response bias of self-reported data. In addition, non-response bias, length of the survey, and fear of loss of anonymity among either non-respondents or due to selective non-responses in those excluded from the present analysis may also limit our ability to make inferences or generalize our findings to the broader Armed Services, despite using revised sampling weights to accommodate exclusions.

Conclusions
This study presents relationships between sociodemographic characteristics, health behaviors, and doctor-informed medical conditions and overweight/obesity in the U.S. Armed Forces, which are typically not included, or not separately reported, in national or state health surveys.

Despite aforementioned limitations and our inability to deduce causal relationships, we observed pronounced associations between health behaviors—especially sleep duration—and medical conditions, thus adding to evidence that sleep is a critical, potentially modifiable behavior within this population. It is recognized that there are times when sleep restriction is unavoidable in this population (e.g., during periodic training and mission scenarios), however, adequate sleep should continue to be promoted as an important part of overall health and wellness throughout the military community.
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