Physical Properties of Ba$_2$Mn$_2$Sb$_2$O Single Crystals
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We report both experimental and theoretical investigations of the physical properties of Ba$_2$Mn$_2$Sb$_2$O single crystals. This material exhibits a hexagonal structure with lattice constants: a = 4.7029(15) Å and c = 19.9401(27) Å, as obtained from powder X-ray diffraction measurements, and in agreement with structural optimization through density functional theory (DFT) calculations. The magnetic susceptibility and specific heat show anomalies at $T_N = 60$ K, consistent with antiferromagnetic ordering. However, the magnitude of $T_N$ is significantly smaller than the Curie-Weiss temperature ($|\theta_{CW}| \approx 560$ K), suggesting a magnetic system of reduced dimensionality. The temperature dependence of both the in-plane and out-of-plane resistivity changes from an activated at $T > T_x \sim 200$ K to a logarithmic at $T < T_x$. Correspondingly, the magnetic susceptibility displays a bump at $T_x$. DFT calculations at the DFT + U level support the experimental observation of an antiferromagnetic ground state.

PACS numbers: 75.40.Cx, 71.15.Mb, 75.47.Lx, 65.40.Ba

I. INTRODUCTION

The discovery of superconductivity in Fe-based layered compounds has sparked immense interest in the physics and chemistry communities, reminiscent of the excitement that accompanied the discovery of the high-$T_c$ cuprate superconductors more than two decades ago. Although both families form similar layered structures, the building blocks are different, with tetrahedral FeX$_4$ tetrahedra and the other in the MnO$_2$ layers. Computational studies have also been carried out to help understand its physical properties.

II. EXPERIMENTAL AND COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

To grow Ba$_2$Mn$_2$Sb$_2$O single crystals, stoichiometric amounts of high-purity Ba pieces (99 % Alfa Aesar), Mn powder (99.95 % Alfa Aesar), Sb powder (99.5 % Alfa Aesar) and MnO$_2$ powder (99.997 % Alfa Aesar) are mixed in the ratio 4 : 3 : 4 : 1. The mixture is placed in an alumina crucible sealed in an evacuated quartz tube. The samples are first heated to 1150°C at the rate of 150°C/h. This temperature is held for 15 h, after which the samples are cooled to 700°C at the rate of 4°C/h, and finally cooled down to room temperature by turning off the power. Shiny black plate-like crystals are obtained in the size of 5 × 5 × 0.2 mm$^3$, as shown in the inset of Fig. 1(b).

The phase of the as-grown crystals was characterized using a Scintag XDS-2000 powder X-ray diffractometer using Cu Kα radiation ($\lambda = 1.54056$ Å). Electrical trans-
port and heat capacity measurements were carried out with a Quantum Design physical property measurement system (PPMS). Both in-plane and c-axis resistivities were measured using the standard four-probe technique. Thin Pt wires were used as electrical leads and attached to the sample using silver paste. The magnetic properties were measured using a Quantum Design magnetic property measurement system (MPMS) between 2 and 400 K, and a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) between 300 K and 700 K in a PPMS.

We compare the experimental results with the electronic structure calculations. These calculations were performed using the general potential linearized augmented plane wave (LAPW) method as implemented in the WIEN2K electronic structure code. LAPW radii of 2.5 Bohr were used for Ba and Sb, while LAPW radii of 2.01 Bohr and 1.78 Bohr were used for Mn and O, respectively. The calculations were done for the hexagonal crystal structure (space group P6\textsubscript{3}/mmc) with optimized lattice parameters of $a = 4.7096$ Å and $c = 20.0298$ Å obtained from our computations. The unit cell of Ba\textsubscript{2}Mn\textsubscript{2}Sb\textsubscript{2}O contains two inequivalent Ba sites. Table I shows the locations of these inequivalent Ba sites as well as those of other atoms in the crystal with their Wyckoff positions. The computations were based on RK_{max} = 7.0, where $R$ is the smallest LAPW sphere radius and K_{max} is the interstitial plane wave cutoff. The Brillouin zone integration was done using a $9 \times 9 \times 2$ reciprocal space mesh. The core states were treated relativistically, and the spin-orbit interaction was included self-consistently through the second variational step. In all our computations, no shape approximation was made on either the potential or the charge density.

One difficulty in the computation of material properties is that the band gaps and related properties of most materials are generally underestimated by the standard density functional theory (DFT) approximations. To avoid this, we utilized the constrained DFT + U scheme of Anisimov and Gunnarsson as implemented by Maden and Novák in WIEN2K. With this approach, the effective Coulomb interaction ($U_{eff}$) on the Mn $d$ state is calculated self-consistently. The computed value of $U_{eff}$ is 7.07 eV. The DFT part of the computation utilized the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof generalized gradient approximation (PBE-GGA).

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The X-ray powder diffraction measurements were performed at room temperature by crushing as-grown single crystals. Figure 1(b) shows the X-ray diffraction pattern of Ba\textsubscript{2}Mn\textsubscript{2}Sb\textsubscript{2}O. As indicated in the figure, all peaks can be indexed to the hexagonal structure with space group P6\textsubscript{3}/mmc as previously reported. From our X-ray diffraction data, we obtain the lattice parameters: $a = 4.7029$ Å and $c = 19.9401$ Å at room temperature, in agreement with that reported by Brechtel et al.

Figure 2(a) displays the temperature dependence of in-plane ($\rho_{ab}$) and out-of-plane ($\rho_{c}$) resistivities of Ba\textsubscript{2}Mn\textsubscript{2}Sb\textsubscript{2}O between 2 and 320 K. Note both $\rho_{ab}$ and $\rho_{c}$ increase with decreasing temperature. However, the change is much slower below $T_x \sim 200$ K than it is at high temperatures. Correspondingly, the anisotropy, $\rho_{c}/\rho_{ab}$, is almost constant below $T_x$ as shown in the inset of Fig. 2(a), and is comparable to that seen in the $\text{AFe}_2\text{As}_2$ ($A = \text{Ba, Sr, Ca}$) system. As shown in Fig. 2(b), the application of a magnetic field, $H = 7$ T, normal to the $ab$ plane ($H \perp ab$) results in a small reduction of $\rho_{ab}$. This means that the magnetoresistance, $MR = \rho_{ab}(H) - \rho_{ab}(0)$, is negative, as shown in the inset of Fig. 2(b). Note the magnitude of $MR$ decreases more rapidly below $\sim 60$ K.

In analyzing the temperature dependence of the electrical resistivity, we find that both $\rho_{ab}(T)$ and $\rho_{c}(T)$ exhibit quantitatively similar behaviors. Figure 2(c) shows $\rho_{ab}$ versus $lnT$, which reveals a logarithmic dependence below $T_x$. From 2 to 200 K we obtain $\rho_{ab} = -2.64lnT + 104.6$ $\Omega$·cm, plotted as a solid line in Fig. 2(c). Above $T_x$ the resistivity decreases exponentially, so that we fit the in-plane electrical conductivity data as shown in Fig. 2(d) using the formula for the conductivity, $\sigma_{ab} = \sigma_0 + B \exp(-\frac{\Delta}{k_B T})$ ($\sigma_0$ and $B$ are constants, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, and $\Delta$ is the activation energy). The fit (see the solid line in Fig. 2(d)) yields $\sigma_0 = 0.086$ $\Omega^{-1}$·cm$^{-1}$, $B = 2095$ $\Omega^{-1}$·cm$^{-1}$, and $\Delta \sim 0.59$ eV. A similar gap value of $\Delta_c \sim 0.61$ eV was obtained from fitting $\rho_{c}$ (not shown).

The crossover from the activated to the much slower increase in resistivity at low temperatures indicates that the system has a finite (albeit low) carrier density as $T$ approaches zero. However, the low-temperature logarithmic behavior of both $\rho_{ab}$ and $\rho_{c}$ suggests anomalous temperature dependence of the scattering rate for the remaining carriers. The magnetic properties shown below (see Fig. 3) may offer a clue to the origin of this scatter-
TABLE I: The atomic positions and the Wyckoff numbers for the atoms in Ba$_2$Mn$_2$Sb$_2$O. Experimental data is adapted from Ref. 14.

| Site | Wyckoff | Symmetry | Charge | x | y | z | Atomic environment |
|------|---------|----------|--------|---|---|---|-------------------|
| Mn1  | 4f      | 3m.      | Mn2+  | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | single atom O     |
| O1   | 2d      | -6m2     | Sb3-  | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | trigonal bipyramid Mn$_2$Ba$_3$ |
| O2   | 2b      | -6m2     | Ba2+  | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | coplanar triangle O$_3$ |
| O3   | 2a      | -3m      | Ba2+  | 0 | 0 | 0 | octahedron Sb$_6$ |

Atomic positions as obtained from geometrical optimization

| Site | Wyckoff | Symmetry | Charge | x | y | z | Atomic environment |
|------|---------|----------|--------|---|---|---|-------------------|
| Mn1  | 4f      | 3m.      | Mn2+  | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | single atom O     |
| O1   | 2d      | -6m2     | Sb3-  | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | trigonal bipyramid Mn$_2$Ba$_3$ |
| O2   | 2b      | -6m2     | Ba2+  | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | coplanar triangle O$_3$ |
| O3   | 2a      | -3m      | Ba2+  | 0 | 0 | 0 | octahedron Sb$_6$ |

The magnetic susceptibility $\chi = M/H$ was measured at an applied magnetic field $H = 1$ kOe between 2 and 700 K. Figure 3 shows the temperature dependence of the in-plane ($\chi_{ab}$) and the out-of-plane ($\chi_{c}$) susceptibilities in zero-field-cooling (zfc) and field-cooling (fc) conditions. Several features are worth noticing: (1) there is almost no difference between $\chi(H||ab)$ and $\chi(H||c)$ above $\sim 60$ K, i.e., the susceptibility is isotropic; (2) below 60 K $\chi(H||ab)$ decreases while $\chi(H||c)$ increases; and (3) there is a broad bump in $\chi_{ab}$ and $\chi_{c}$ centered around $T \sim T_c$. These features are reminiscent of another transition-metal oxide K$_2$V$_3$O$_8$. While it is a two-dimensional antiferromagnet, its magnetic susceptibility remains isotropic above $T_N$. According to Lumsden et al., this is the consequence of Heisenberg magnetic interactions. The bump seen in the magnetic susceptibility of K$_2$V$_3$O$_8$ is due to competition between the antisymmetric Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya (DM) interaction and the symmetric Heisenberg interaction. The competition may also result in a canted magnetic structure. For Ba$_2$Mn$_2$Sb$_2$O, it is unclear whether the DM interaction should be taken into account. However the increase of $\chi_{c}$ below $T_N$ suggests that spins are canted as well in Ba$_2$Mn$_2$Sb$_2$O, which gives rise to weak ferromagnetism along the c-direction. This is further supported by the non-linear field dependence of c-axis magnetization below $T_N$ (not shown). On the other hand, the characteristic temperature where $\chi$ shows a bump coincides with the crossover temperature, $T_c$, where the resistivities ($\rho_{ab}$ and $\rho_{c}$) change their temperature dependence. As will be discussed later, this suggests that there may be another mechanism to cause the change in both the magnetic susceptibility and electrical resistivity.

Fitting the data above 350 K with a Curie-Weiss expression $\chi = \chi_0 + \frac{C_{CW}}{T - \Theta_{CW}}$ ($\chi_0$, $C_{CW}$, and $\Theta_{CW}$ are constants), we obtain $\Theta_{CW} = -564$ K, $C_{CW} = 9.24$ cm$^3$/mol, and $\chi_0 = -6.6 \times 10^{-5}$ cm$^3$/mol (see the solid line in Fig. 3a). With a Curie-Weiss constant $C_{CW} = \frac{N_A \mu_{eff}^2}{3k_B}$, we estimate the effective magnetic moment, $\mu_{eff} = 2.7 \mu_B$/f.u. The negative $\Theta_{CW}$ suggests antiferromagnetic correlations at high temperatures leading to long-range antiferromagnetic ordering at $T_N \sim 60$ K. As shown in the inset of Fig. 3, $\chi_{ab}$ decreases, indicating that ordered magnetic moments are in the $a-b$ plane. The fact that $\Theta_{CW}$ is much higher than $T_N$ suggests low-dimensional magnetism, because the given crystal structure is not likely to cause magnetic frustration.

To confirm the phase transition at $T_N$, we have measured the specific heat of Ba$_2$Mn$_2$Sb$_2$O at constant pressure. As shown in Fig. 4, there is clearly an anomaly at $T_N$, an indication of a phase transition. If we estimate the background by fitting the data away from $T_N$, as shown in the inset of Fig. 4 by the dashed line, we can obtain the specific heat change $\Delta C_p$ due to the phase transition. By integrating $\int \frac{\Delta C_p}{T}dT$, we find an entropy change $\Delta S \sim 1.55$ J/mol-K. This value is much smaller than the theoretical expectation $S_M = R \ln (2S + 1) = 14.9$ J/mol-K, ($R = 8.314$ J/mol-K, with full moment $S = 5/2$ for Mn$^{2+}$). This implies that the entropy is either removed prior to the transition and/or results from a reduced effective magnetic moment.

To help understand these experimental results we have performed first-principles ab-initio electronic structure computations. In order to establish the ground state of Ba$_2$Mn$_2$Sb$_2$O, we carried out calculations on several configurations: ferromagnetic, antiferromagnetic, and non-magnetic. The results of our computations show that the antiferromagnetic state is the most stable one. We used the A-type antiferromagnetic alignment, i.e., the magnetic structure having ferromagnetic alignment in planes but antiferromagnetic coupling between planes. In this structure, no supercell is needed. As shown in Fig. 5(b), the band structure of Ba$_2$Mn$_2$Sb$_2$O along the high symmetry points of the Brillouin zone, while Figure 5(c)
shows the density of states.

The conduction bands are predominantly Mn 3d states while the valence bands are formed by a strong hybridization between Mn 3d and Sb 5p with O 2p at ~ 2.5 eV below the bottom of the conduction band. Also, we find a very large energy difference, $E_{\text{Diff}} = 0.21$ eV/Mn, between the ferromagnetic (the next stable state) and antiferromagnetic (ground state phase) orderings. This is indeed supported by the large Curie-Weiss temperature $(|\Theta_{\text{CW}}| \approx 560 \text{ K})$ extracted from the high-temperature susceptibility. The maximum of the valence bands occurs at the $\Gamma$ point and the minimum of the conduction bands is at the $M$ point. The minimal indirect gap between $M$ and $\Gamma$ is 0.686 eV, in close agreement with the experimental values of 0.59 and 0.61 eV deduced from the conductivity $\sigma_{\text{ab}}$ and $\sigma_{c}$, respectively. The effective mass in the conduction band is $m^{*} \sim 4.09 \ m_{0}$ (where $m_{0}$ is the bare electron mass). In the valence band, the effective mass tensor is rather anisotropic but the masses are of the same order. We also calculated the magnetic moment per formula unit. The computed effective mag-
netic moment $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 2.52 \mu_B$/f.u., is in good agreement with the experimental value of $2.7 \mu_B$/f.u. obtained from a Curie-Weiss fit to the high temperature susceptibility. Treating the compound as an intrinsic semiconductor, we obtain a rough estimate of the carrier concentration at room temperature $n_T \sim 3.5 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$. The computed gap, or an order or magnitude greater if we take the gap values from the resistivity fit above. Simple estimate of the Drude relaxation time using the former value of the carrier density yields $\tau \sim 4.5 \times 10^{-12}$ s. The carrier mobility at room temperature $\mu = \sigma/ne \sim 2 \times 10^3$ cm$^2$/V$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$. Residual conductivity at low $T$ indicates that there are mobile carriers that are not induced by temperature, but their density is low: even if we take the value of the room temperature mobility as a guide, the non-intrinsic carrier density $n_i \sim 10^{14}$ cm$^{-3}$ is sufficient to give the residual $\rho_{ab}$. In reality, the mobility likely grows by more than an order of magnitude as the temperature is lowered, and therefore an even lower carrier density suffices. Self-consistent structural and geometrical optimization yields lattice parameters: $a = 4.7096$ Å and $c = 20.0298$ Å. These are consistent with the values determined experimentally. The geometrical optimization reproduced the atomic positions of Ba$_2$Mn$_2$Sb$_2$O as shown in Table I.

From the above comparison, we note that the computed results are consistent with those obtained experimentally. In particular, the computed effective moment is very close to the experimental value obtained from the Curie-Weiss fit. While Mn$^{2+}$ can be in high-spin ($S = 5/2$), intermediate ($S = 3/2$), or low-spin ($S = 1/2$) states, it is possible that there is a partial cancellation of the spin by an antialigned moment from the Sb $5p$ band of the Sb$_3$O cage surrounding Mn, as seen in Yb$_{14}$MnSb$_{11}$. According to the X-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) measurements, the Sb$_4$ cage in Yb$_{14}$MnSb$_{11}$ provides a moment $S = 1$ opposite to the Mn moment due to hybridization between the Mn 3$d$ and Sb 5$p$ orbitals. The strong hybridization of Sb 5$p$ with Mn 3$d$ is confirmed by our computations as explained above. In our ab-initio calculations, the magnetic moment of Sb is found to be significant with an antialigned moment of $\sim -0.1 \mu_B$ as observed in Yb$_{14}$MnSb$_{11}$. If we assume that the induced magnetic moment of the Sb$_3$O cage is slightly less than $S = 1$, and Mn$^{2+}$ is in the intermediate spin state ($S = 3/2$), the effective magnetic moment would be close to our experimental and calculated results. For each MnPn$_4$ (Pn = P, As, Sb, and Bi), accompanying the induced magnetic moment, there is a hole per formula unit in the bonding valence bands. We anticipate a similar situation in Ba$_2$Mn$_2$Sb$_2$O. The effective hole concentration for each MnSb$_3$O could be slightly different from that of MnPn$_4$. The question is whether these holes interact with the local magnetic moment provided by Mn$^{2+}$ (i.e., Kondo effect), as seen in Yb$_{14}$MnSb$_{11}$. The logarithmic temperature dependence of the electrical resistivity (see Fig. 2(c)), and the decrease of magnetic susceptibility (see Fig. 3) below $\sim 200$ K, seem to be consistent with the Kondo picture.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have studied the structural, electronic, magnetic, and thermodynamic properties of Ba$_2$Mn$_2$Sb$_2$O single crystals. This compound exhibits semiconductor behavior with thermally activated electrical conduction at high temperatures, where the energy gap was found to be $\sim 0.59$ eV from experiment and $\sim 0.686$ eV from band calculations. The magnetic susceptibility reveals a bump at $T_x \sim 200$ K and an antiferromagnetic transition at $T_N \sim 60$ K. The latter was confirmed by specific heat measurements, indicating a true phase transition. While the present analysis and discussion is in no way conclusive, we hope that it will spur interest to further study this material.
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