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Abstract

Objective: Since prisoners may acquire AIDS and transmit HIV because of highly risky behaviors, implementation of educational and therapeutic programs is important in decreasing the prevalence of AIDS and transmission of HIV. This study was conducted to recognize different types of interventions and compare their effectiveness regarding prevention of AIDS and its control in prisons.

Methods: The ISI, PubMed, Google Scholar, databases were searched with use of “AIDS,” “prison,” “HIV,” and “incarceration” as keywords from January 2000 to March 2017, with access to free full text and English language as limitations. Related articles, including observational, epidemiological, case, and interventional studies, were extracted and those inconsistent with the study’s goals were excluded.

Results: Forty-three studies were finally selected. The effectiveness of interventions related to AIDS prevention and control in prisons was studied.

Conclusion: It seems that theory-based studies conducted with stakeholders participation and evaluated summatively are more successful, because they give a sense of ownership. In addition, considering prison as a setting can lead to more success of these programs.

Keywords: AIDS; prison; intervention; prevention

Introduction

Prison is a highly populated place in which the prevalence of many diseases is attributed to low level of knowledge and prisoners’ cultural poverty and disregard of ritual and social principles [1]. Studies show that highly risky behaviors in prisons such as drug injection make prisoners prone to viral infections, including hepatitis C, hepatitis B, and HIV infection. Their prevalence among incarcerated adolescents and young adults is sometimes more among the ordinary population in every society. A number of them are incarcerated for short periods, and some of them are freed temporarily, and so they maintain contact with society. Those with drug addiction are not only exposed to dangerous diseases themselves but also they can also play the role of a carrier to transmit diseases to other people [2, 3]. The first case of AIDS in prisons was reported in 1982 [4]. A study in 2002 in Canada showed that the prevalence of AIDS was 3.71% among female prisoners and 1.96% among male prisoners [5]. The prevalence of HIV positive was 1.6 and 1.9 percent respectively for male and female inmates [6]. According to a World Health Organization (WHO) report from 2017 [7], the prevalence of AIDS in
prisoners is about 3.0%, which is 15 times more than the prevalence in the normal population. It also reported that 5.0%–10.0% of prisoners are women, and the prevalence is higher among them in comparison with male prisoners. The findings indicated that the number of female prisoners increased by 16.0% in the previous 6 years [7]. Comprehensive prevention programs related to AIDS in prisons suggested by WHO include educational programs connected to AIDS, needle syringe programs (NSPs), condom distribution programs, and medical therapy programs [8]. However, no comprehensive and integrated programs have been suggested by WHO for prevention of HIV infection among prisoners. Meanwhile, it is necessary to review the current programs and also their effectiveness so as to plan, develop, and implement the interventions. This study was done to investigate comprehensive interventions and their effectiveness for prevention of AIDS and its control in prisons.

Methods
The PubMed, Google Scholar, and ISI databases were searched with use of the keywords “prison,” “HIV,” “AIDS,” and “incarceration” from January 2000 to March 2017. Articles written in English and available as free full text were selected for review. Related articles (including cohort, descriptive, interventional, and qualitative studies) were extracted. Also, credible reports and statistical figures from WHO were investigated.

First, the title of every article was assessed by two different researchers individually. Then, articles not meeting the inclusion criteria were excluded. The left articles were studied with emphasis on AIDS preventive programs and interventions related to them.

Results
Forty-three original studies, including nine systematic analyses and meta-analyses, 14 randomized controlled trials, two cohort studies, six case studies, seven cross-sectional studies, four qualitative studies, and one mixed investigation, two books, two articles from WHO website, and one article from the Canadian HIV/AIDS Legal Network were studied. In all mentioned studies, the effectiveness of educational and therapeutic interventions provided for prisoners for AIDS prevention and control were investigated.

The studies and interventions presented in this investigation are as follows: educational programs related to AIDS, HIV counseling and testing programs, provision of condoms, other measures to decrease sexual transmission, NSPs, bleach and decontamination strategies, safe tattooing programs, opioid substitution therapy, and other drug dependence treatment.

Educational programs related to AIDS
The studies show that the effectiveness of these programs should be investigated more. They can be effective when they are implemented extensively. The studies suggested some ways to make these programs more effective. The suggestions consisted of providing educational programs on AIDS and other infectious diseases for prisoners and prison staffs, providing individual educational programs for them, and access to condoms and syringes [9]. Dolan et al. [10] implemented an educational programs related to HIV in Siberia. They presented the importance of disease and its transmission routes, risk factors, signs, and possible treatments in educational sessions by means of brochures and films. They found that knowledge of disease and transmission routes increased significantly among drug-dependent male prisoners after intervention rather than before intervention.

A study conducted in Nigeria among prisoners in Lagos illustrated that few of them knew about AIDS and that most of the prisoners were prone to acquire this disease because of lack of knowledge. It was suggested that a comprehensive educational program should be provided for them [11].

In Brazil, Alves Peres et al. [12] studied the knowledge, attitude, and practices of male prisoners and the effectiveness of an educational program. They concluded that the best improvement would not be achieved unless educational programs would have been provided based on the prisoners’ needs. They also found that use of graphic and visual materials such as music, educational pamphlets, and educational films could increase prisoners’ participation and their knowledge and improve their attitude and practices.

Moreover, Petrova and Garcia-Retamero [13] studied the effectiveness of educational programs for infectious diseases transmitted through sexual intercourse. They found that such programs could decrease the risk of disease transmission by 4.0%. Fogel et al. [14] conducted a randomized controlled
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trial among American female prisoners to find the effectiveness of a behavioral intervention (Providing Opportunities For Women’s Empowerment, Risk-Reduction, and Relationships, or POWER) in decreasing the HIV–sexually transmitted infection (STI). The results indicated that the prisoners in the intervention group had a higher level of knowledge than the prisoners in the control group. The number of risky behaviors was lessened significantly among the prisoners in the intervention group. These programs could diminish the prevalence of contagious diseases such as AIDS among prisoners. However, this reduction was not significant. Fasula et al. [15] and Fogel et al. [16] showed that knowledge development should not be the only field of concern, and that cooperation of different sectors, including prison officials, researchers, and healthcare personnel, must be considered to decrease the present problems and develop effective educational programs.

HIV counseling and testing programs
These programs are conducted at the beginning of incarceration and during incarceration. Although they are not the main part of the comprehensive programs in prisons, they provide a chance for prisoners with a diagnosis of HIV infection to have easy access to preventive devices and to control highly risky behaviors. Some studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of these programs, such as easy access to counseling and diagnostic tests for all prisoners, and also access to therapeutic methods for HIV-positive prisoners [17, 18]. A study indicated that the rate of requests for these tests ranged from 39.0% to 83.0% among prisoners [8]. Some investigations have presented important influencing determinants related to doing these tests. They included doing the test in front of other people, unsuitable counseling services, and lack of continuous follow-ups [19–21].

An investigation was conducted to change the attitude of incarcerated adolescents and also improve their knowledge, self-efficacy and behavioral intention about using condoms. The most significant change was seen in the knowledge of using condoms. It was shown that HIV preventive interventions succeed when they are precisely based on theories and evaluation [20]. Another study was conducted in Taiwan among substance-dependent prisoners according to the transtheoretical model [19]. It was effective in changing positively their knowledge of AIDS, self-efficacy related to highly risky behaviors associated with this disease, and also their readiness to change. 22.1 percent of the participants took the advantage of an educational program by stepping toward the next stages of change. This research revealed the considerable role of the transtheoretical model in prisoners by providing positive chances to change. This will happen if personal motivation and motivation-related needs are considered in the earlier stages of change.

Maryland’s prevention case management program was applied in an investigation among female prisoners. It showed positive changes in behavior intention and attitude toward condoms, self-efficacy for condom use, and decreased in injected-substance abuse [17].

Motshabi et al. [22] studied a counseling program for diagnostic testing in one of South Africa’s prisons. They found a converse correlation between health condition and agreement to undergo diagnostic testing. However, there was no correlation between demographic characteristics and willingness to undergo diagnostic testing.

Roshanfekr et al. [23] investigated the effectiveness of harm reduction programs among addicted prisoners in seven Iranian prisons. Diagnostic tests performed at the beginning of incarceration revealed that 57.0% of prisoners used substances such as amphetamines, cannabis, and morphine. Two months later, the tests were repeated for those prisoners, and it was shown that 10.0% of them still abused drugs. This research proved that diagnostic test programs and counseling result in simultaneous decreases in substance abuse and highly risky behaviors among prisoners.

Provision of condoms
A number of studies have reported provision of condoms in prisons free of charge based on WHO’s comprehensive program. Most of them have proven the effectiveness in preventing the transmission of HIV by sexual contacts. However, some of them mentioned the shortage of this provision among prisoners [24]. Yap et al. [25] indicated that free access to condoms in prisons in New South Wales led to increase their use during 5 year-study period. In addition, WHO suggested the following measures making the program more effective: free-of-charge provision of condoms, easy access to condoms, presentation of
the program to prisoners and prison officials, and easy access to condom provision programs in prisons [26]. Dolan et al. also found that 52 and 25 percent of the prisoners used condom in, respectively, their anal and oral sex behaviors [27]. May and Williams [28] showed that condom provision can decrease the transmission of sexually related infections significantly. Leibowitz et al. [29] achieved the same results. Moreover, they concluded that the expenses related to AIDS treatment were also diminished. Harawa et al. [30] studied the sexual behaviors of prisoners and the rate of condom use among prisoners in the United States. They indicated that condom provision can lessen the transmission of HIV drastically in spite of the high level of risky sexual behaviors among the prisoners studied. Fundamentally, condom provision is one of the main parts of AIDS prevention, and the conducted studies have shown its success. Also, they concluded that prison officials supported the condom provision program more than before. In other words, prisoners use condoms as long as they have access to them [29, 31].

Other measures to decrease sexual transmission
The reports from different countries indicate sexual harassment and other forms of sexual aggression as one of the problems in prisons. They lead to health problems such as AIDS and other sexual diseases. There are some ways to solve this problem through determining strategies to diagnose, prevent, and deucee all forms of sexual aggression in prisons, establishment of therapeutic methods for victims (postexposure prophylaxis), and also peer education [32, 33]. A study conducted in Lebanon investigate the conditions in prisons [33]. It revealed that prisons should be considered as a community and their members should be take into account more. Although these strategies have some shortcomings, they lead to improved life quality of prisoners.

Needle and syringe programs
Since substance injection is so widespread in prisons around the world and leads to increased risk of infectious diseases, needle and syringe provision among prisoners is recommended. Several studies have reported use of such programs in most prisons in many countries. They indicate that such a program has been accepted by prisoners who inject drugs. This has resulted in reduction of common use among them and, consequently, diminished HIV infection incidence [34–36].

Mogg and Levy [37] indicated that the potential efficacy of programs to change attitude or the behaviors related to NSP in prisons has not been investigated. However, there are some theories for justifying this failure. Social marketing, cognitive behavioral psychology, social identity theory, and peace studies declaring peoples’ viewpoints about NSP should be reevaluated if they are convinced to discuss an NSP or not. Also, the participation of all stakeholders, such as prison officials, can give a sense of ownership and assist in the success of the programs. Sawangjit et al. [38] found that inclusion of NSP in other therapeutic programs can increase their effectiveness rather than programs without an NSP. In comparison with the total life-time cost of 55640 dollars for treatment of an individual with HIV, it can be decreased from 0.8 to 2.1 percent by implementing NSPs.

Some studies indicated that NSP leads to a considerable decrease in common syringe use and the possibility of disease transmission among prisoners. Also, it can diminish the abuse dose and mortality associated with substance overdose [34, 39].

Bleach and decontamination strategies
One of the strategies for reduction of harm from HIV infection includes using decontaminating materials for sterilization of syringes/needles. Decontaminants have been distributed in many prisons in different countries, such as Canada, Iran, France, Indonesia, and Australia. The effectiveness of these materials in prisons is not known yet, but this program can be used as a supporter of NSP in prisons [8].

Jürgens et al. [36] studied Canadian prisons. They revealed that it cannot be stated that provision of decontaminants in prisons can necessarily decrease transmission of infections among prisoners.

Safe tattooing program
Some studies have reported suitable facilities and devices that makes tattooing safe. It can be suggested to prisons’ officials performing themselves some affairs such as tattooing for prisoners in a safe way.
Hellard et al. [40] studied tattooing in the prisons of Austria. They found that 27 percent of the cases had been tattooed by the shared use of syringe or needle. Prisoners who injected drugs were more likely to have acquired a tattoo in prison in comparison with other prisoners, and 41.0% of tattooed participants had been tattooed in prison.

A study conducted in Canada indicated that some measures, such as providing suitable places for doing tattooing safely and safe tattooing educational programs, lead to decrease disease transmission. The advantages of such programs include decreasing disease incidence and the risk of disease transmission, the protection of prisoners and prison officials against disease, and development of people’s awareness of diseases transmitted through blood [41].

A study in Canadian prisons considered tattooing as a risk factor for hepatitis C virus infection and HIV infection [42]. Qualitative and quantitative data were obtained from five prisons in which tattooing was done and the history of injecting drugs existed. The study affirmed the necessity of tattooing safely with regard to sterilization for supporting health in prisons. The interviews showed multiple dangers existed in tattooing innately that should be modified by the implementation of safe tattooing programs [42].

**Opioid substitution therapy and other drug dependence treatment**

Methadone maintenance therapy (MMT) has been used in all prisons around the world. The studies indicated the effectiveness of MMT in minimizing the drug injection dose and decreasing common syringe use. The advantages of this method also include protecting prisoners’ health and that of their counterparts. Other medical therapies have not been effective in preventing AIDS. However, few investigations have been conducted on the effectiveness of these methods [43–45].

Farnia et al. [46] showed the effectiveness of MMT in decreasing opioid abuse in prisons and transmission of diseases through blood among Iranian prisons. From 2000 to 2008, the number of prisoners who received MMT increased from 100 to 25,000. Torrens et al. [44] found in their study in Spain that MMT can lessen the risk of HIV transmission. Larney et al. [47] studied the impact of opioid therapy programs in diminishing substance abuse in prisoners after their release and return to prison in Australia. They revealed that these programs could decrease repetitive incarceration about 20.0% among such prisoners.

Some factors can increase the effectiveness of such programs. They include use of skillful and trained staffs, provision of special guidelines with definite goals and their effective performance, and encouragement of governmental and nongovernmental organizations to participate in these programs [48]. Qualified communication among clients and prison officials assure prisoners that they will not be sued to be prosecuted after release from prison during their treatment course, and improving follow-up systems are some suggestions to develop these programs [47].

**Conclusion**

The studies showed that suitable design of educational programs can affect prisoners’ awareness of AIDS. However, it is not easy to study their effectiveness. So, we posed the question of whether these programs affect significantly the decrease of HIV transmission among prisoners.

Counseling and diagnostic testing are not considered as the final goals of these programs, but they help to diagnose and treat AIDS and also provide supportive programs for prisoners and decrease the risk of HIV transmission to them.

Condom provision programs have been used effectively in several countries. The studies indicate that these programs have been supported more than before by officials and prisoners. They also showed if prisoners have access to condoms, they use them in their sexual contacts.

The investigations illustrated the importance of NSPs whenever AIDS is prevalent. They lead to lessening of the risk of HIV transmission and also extra expenses for disease therapy.

The investigations showed the effectiveness of medical therapy programs related to AIDS. MMT, as one of the most preventive and AIDS control therapies, can be effective whenever it is given appropriately. MMT can diminish the frequency of medicine use and also use of a common syringe. Principally, effective and accessible therapies influence health and safety in prisons. However, few studies have been conducted to determine the impact of decontaminant provision.
and safe tattooing programs in prisons, so it is not easy to evaluate their effectiveness.

Theory-based interventions conducted with the participation of stakeholders and evaluated summatively seemed to be more successful. This was because of cooperation to perform them. On the other hand, prison should be viewed as a setting holistically and all its parts, including prisoners, prison staff, prison management, the prison environment, and the family members of the prisoners, must be taken into consideration.

Finally, it can be concluded that multidimensional interventions are more effective in diminishing the incidence and prevalence of AIDS in prisons rather than establishing every single intervention individually.
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Significance statement
Prison is a highly populated place in which the prisoners are considerably prone to HIV/AIDS. This is mainly due to the prevalence of risky behaviors among them. Moreover, this population deprive of the effective preventive cares in all preventive levels. However, different programs have been implemented to diagnose, prevent and treat HIV/AIDS in prisons in the last decades. Meanwhile, no single review article has been done up to the end of monitoring process of the studied articles in which these measures would have been illustrated based on comparing their effectiveness. The present study aimed to recognize the most important AIDS preventive programs conducted in prisons from 2000 to 2017 through a review article.
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