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Abstract: Brucella, a Gram-negative bacterium with a high infective capacity and a wide spectrum of hosts in the animal world, is found in terrestrial and marine mammals, as well as amphibians. This broad spectrum of hosts is closely related to the non-classical virulence factors that allow this pathogen to establish its replicative niche, colonizing epithelial and immune system cells, evading the host’s defenses and defensive response. While motility is the primary role of the flagellum in most bacteria, in Brucella, the flagellum is involved in virulence, infectivity, cell growth, and biofilm formation, all of which are very important facts in a bacterium that to date has been described as a non-motile organism. Evidence of the expression of these flagellar proteins that are present in Brucella makes it possible to hypothesize certain evolutionary aspects as to where a free-living bacterium eventually acquired genetic material from environmental microorganisms, including flagellar genes, conferring on it the ability to reach other hosts (mammals), and, under selective pressure from the environment, can express these genes, helping it to evade the immune response. This review summarizes relevant aspects of the presence of flagellar proteins and puts into context their relevance in certain functions associated with the infective process. The study of these flagellar genes gives the genus Brucella a very high infectious versatility, placing it among the main organisms in urgent need of study, as it is linked to human health by direct contact with farm animals and by eventual transmission to the general population, where flagellar genes and proteins are of great relevance.
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1. Introduction

Brucella abortus is a Gram-negative, non-motile, coccobacillary bacterium and a facultative intracellular pathogen, causing disease in both animals and humans [1]. Brucellosis, the name given to the disease caused by this pathogen, is a zoonosis described in cattle and other mammals. Being an opportunistic agent, it can infect humans, and diagnosis is difficult [2]. This disease can be characterized by its ability to become a chronic infection, which in bovines can cause abortions, stillbirths, the birth of weak calves, and sterility in males [3]. It is endemic in many areas of the world, and, in humans, the main sources of infection are through the consumption of contaminated meat and dairy products and through contact with secretions from infected animals [2,4,5]. Brucella enters the host organism through traumatized skin (wounds) or through the air to the mucosa in the form of an aerosol [6]. Once inside the host organism, Brucella spreads and multiplies in the lymph nodes, spleen, liver, bone marrow, mammary glands, and sexual organs via macrophages [7]. Brucella can compromise the gastrointestinal, hepatobiliary, genitourinary, skeletal muscle, cardiovascular system, and integumentary systems [8], which can become a chronic condition, crossing the blood-brain barrier through infected monocytes like a Trojan horse and achieving re-infection in the midbrain, causing neurobrucellosis [9]. If the bacteria are not killed, they can survive for long periods inside these phagocytic cells, in phagosomes, where they can multiply by inhibiting fusion with the lysosome through rapid acidification into
the Brucella-containing vacuoles (BCVs) [4,7,10,11]. In non-phagocytic cells, Brucella tends to localize within the rough endoplasmic reticulum [2,12] where, like other intracellular bacteria, it survives in the host cell. The genus Brucella, identified in 1920 by Meyer and Shaw, currently comprises twelve species differentiated by their tropism, pathogenicity, and host phenotypic traits [13]. A decade ago, the genus contained six “classic” Brucella species (B. melitensis, B. abortus, B. suis, B. canis, B. ovis, and B. neotomae), which are also known as the “core” Brucella. Three of these species (B. melitensis, B. abortus and B. suis) are the pathogens that cause one of the most significant zoonoses worldwide [14]. The group of classic Brucella species was expanded in 2007 to include B. ceti and B. pinnipediælis, bacteria isolated from marine mammals [15]. Currently, new technological strategies like massive genetic sequencing are being used to group certain organisms with characteristics of the genus Brucella (wherein about 30 species and other serovars not previously described have been included) [16].

Due to its low infectious dose and easy transmission in the form of an aerosol, Brucella was one of the first microorganisms to enter consideration for use as a biological weapon, classified by the United States Army in the 1950s [17], and cataloged by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) as a Category-B bioterrorism agent [14]. Emphasizing the genes that command its infectious cycle, the study of Brucella offers a clearer view of its infective process and pathogenesis, and, in turn, a better understanding of how this genus establishes its replicative niche in host cells and can perpetuate its chronicity. Some years ago, an interesting finding was made regarding this bacterium, generally classified as non-motile, in terms of the expression of flagellar genes and a polar flagellum under certain conditions.

2. Bacterial Flagellum

The bacterial flagellum is a huge molecular complex made up of 20,000 to 30,000 protein subunits of approximately 30 different proteins [18]. The structural components of the flagellum can be divided into two parts: the basal body rings and the tubular axial structure [19]. The rings of the basal body form the rotary motor, together with the stator complex, composed of the cytoplasmic membrane proteins MotA and MotB [20,21]. The basal body rings consist of four main ring structures—the L ring, the P ring, the MS ring, and the C ring—where the latter two form the rotor–stator complex [22,23]. The latter complex is coupled to the proton flux, and all of this, in turn, surrounds the transporter gate formed by the FliE, FlgB, FlgC, and FlgF proteins [24]. The LP ring acts as bushing, supporting the distal rod in its rapid and stable rotation without much friction [25], which leads to flagellar movement [20,26]. Likewise, the axial structure consists of three main parts: the rod, the hook, and the filament [27,28]. The rod is a transmission shaft with an approximate length of 30 nm, which connects the rotor rings and the hook; this rod is a fairly complex helical cylinder composed of four flagellar proteins: FlgB, FlgC, FlgF, and FlgG [29,30]. The hook is a short, curved segment, approximately 55 nm long, which is made up of a helical set of subunits of the hook’s own flagellar protein (FlgE) and acts as a universal joint structure that transmits movement to the filament, regardless of its orientation. Between the filament and the hook, there is a short axial structural segment with a thinner and smoother appearance, called the hook and filament junction, formed by the adapter proteins FlgK and FlgL [24]. The filament is a thin helical structure of approximately 20 nm in diameter and typically grows to about 15 µm long, composed of approximately 20,000 flagellin protein (FlhC) subunits [31–33]; it acts as a rigid helix to produce thrust for the cell to swim in aqueous environments [34–36]. This filament ends at its apex with the Cap protein (FliD), which forms a star-shaped homo-pentamer that covers the open end of the filament and helps the assembly of FliC [37–40]. FliD was originally found to be an inhibitor of flagellin polymerization in vitro [41] but it is required for the growth of flagellin in vivo [29]. The analysis of the flagellum structure in B. melitensis by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) has identified several characteristics of the sheathed flagella of other species, although little is known about flagellar sheaths in bacte-
ria; indeed, *Brucella* is the only rhizobium that produces a sheathed flagellum [42,43]. Given the sheath surrounding the filament, the visible flagellum of *B. melitensis* has a diameter of 50 nm, which is larger than that of a sheathless flagellum. However, the diameter of the bacterial filament is usually about 20 nm but we show that the diameter of the inner filament in the sheath of *B. melitensis* is only 11 nm. Sheath production is not related to flagellar assembly in *B. melitensis*; this is because in bacterial mutants for structural flagellar proteins (Δ*fliF*, Δ*flgE*, and Δ*fliC*), a filamentous appendage is still produced, despite the absence of FlgE or FliC proteins. However, the persistence of an empty sheath in flagellar mutants has often been described in bacteria that produce a sheathed flagellum, such as *Vibrio* species and *H. pylori* [44,45]. In this light, the flagellum sheath of *B. melitensis* is an extension of the outer membrane containing LPS, which is also observed in *H. pylori*, *B. bacteriovorus*, and some *Vibrio* species [46–49].

This process of conformation of the flagellar structure has been widely investigated in *E. coli* and *Salmonella*, being a regulated process where genes that are closely linked to the order of the formation of the flagella (class I, II, and III genes) are activated in tandem [50,51]. FliDC is a master regulator, present in *E. coli* and *Salmonella*, that controls the expression of class II genes [52]; the products of these genes are structural proteins that comprise the basal body and hook as FliF and FlgE, respectively [53]. Likewise, the regulators of these class III proteins in *E. coli* are FliA (a sigma factor, also called σ28) and its anti-sigma, FlgM [32,50]. Once the structure of the hook is completed, the FlgM protein (anti-sigma) is secreted through its channel, which allows σ28 to activate the expression of class-III genes, including FliC, ending the formation of the filament [54].

3. Molecular Mechanism of Flagellar Expression in *Brucella*

Although the *Brucella* genome contains flagellar operons, there is no evidence of motility [55]. This information is quite interesting since it was previously reported that under specific growth conditions, *B. melitensis*, being non-motile, was able to form a flagellar appendage by a mechanism that has not yet been clarified [46], and recently, *Brucella* strains isolated from *Ceratophyllum adornata* frogs showed a phenotype with high motility [56]. In the genomic sequencing of isolated non-motile *Brucella*, the presence of non-functional flagellar genes has been found [57], while the analysis of genes encoding flagellar proteins in a recently described motile strain (B13-0095) revealed that all genes were fully functional [56], which could mean that these genes are expressed under environmentally selective pressure [55]. The action of certain bacterial enzymes participates indirectly to fulfill the purpose of achieving the replicative niche. An example of this is the nitrate reductase enzyme of *Brucella*, which is expressed basally in aerobic conditions but increases its expression in hypoxic conditions. This enzyme actively participates with other proteins, such as superoxide dismutase copper-zinc, (SOD Cu/Zn), performing adaptive functions against redox changes that may affect bacterial nidation during infection [58], a very important phenomenon in the survival of *Brucella* when it colonizes an organ, such as the uterus, in infected mammals [59]. This condition, wherein the presence of reactive oxygen species (ROS) increases, activates certain proteins such as MucR (a Ros-like regulator) in *B. melitensis*, *B. suis*, and *B. abortus*, which are also involved in the activation of flagellar genes in response to environmental stress [60,61]. The genes involved in the formation of the bacterial flagellum (*E. coli*; *Salmonella* spp.) differ in certain aspects from those described by Soler-Llorens, such as the location in the genome, or the size of genes and their regulation; however, they maintain the order of the assembly process of proteins of different classes and their regulatory proteins [56] (Figure 1).
In *Brucella*, it has been suggested that certain proteins participate in this regulatory cascade of flagellar genes, with certain genes coding for the sigma factors RpoD, RpoN, RpoH1, RpoH2, RpoE1, and RpoE2, where the deletion of the *rpoE1* gene increases the production of the flagellar protein FlgE [57]. In addition, this mutation also increases the gene expression of *ftcF, flgE, flaC, flaE, and flbT* (Table 1), where the expression of these genes is also controlled by the master regulator *ftcR*, which is mediated by the *rpoE1* gene product and other, as yet unknown, proteins [62].
Table 1. The gene codes of *Brucella abortus* flagellar proteins are presented; in some cases, they are described as pseudogenes and have not been studied.

| Flagellar Structure | Proteins | Function | Gene Code | Location | Reference |
|---------------------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| **Filament**        | CapD (FliD) | Term protein in the structure of the flagellum, adhesion to mucin. | BAB1_0534 | Distal end of filament | Database Kegg Genes |
|                     | FliC      | Filament subunits, immunity, coadjuvant in others species. | BAB2_1106 | Filament | [63]       |
|                     | FlgL      | Proteins that adhere the flagellum to the hook. | BAB2_1096 | Initial base of filament | [64]       |
|                     | FlgK      | Hook protein. | BAB2_1097 | External OM | [64]       |
| **Hook**            | FlgE      | Hook protein. | BAB2_1098 | External OM | [64]       |
| **Rod**             | FlgH      | Structural proteins that form the L-ring. | BAB2_0156 | External OM | [64]       |
|                     | FlgI      | Structural proteins that form the P-ring. | BAB2_0153, BAB2_0154 | PG | [64]       |
|                     | FlgG      | Structural proteins that form the channel. | BAB2_0151 | OM/PG | [64]       |
|                     | FlgF      | Structural proteins that form the MS-ring. | BAB2_0127 | IM (MS-ring) | [66]       |
|                     | FlgB      | Structural proteins that form the C-ring. | BAB2_0148 | Cytoplasm | [67]       |
|                     | FlgC      | Structural proteins that form the export apparatus. Secondary role: Stabilizing proteins of the C-ring. | BAB2_0122 | Cytoplasm | N.Y.I.B.* |
|                     | FlhA      | Structural proteins that form the export gate. Type III secretion exporter | BAB2_1089, BAB2_1091 | IM | [46]       |
| **Export Gate**     | FliO      | Pseudogene | BAB2_0158 | IM | [64]       |
|                     | FliP      | Pseudogene | BAB2_1092 | IM | [64]       |
|                     | FliQ      | Pseudogene | BAB2_1088 | IM | [64]       |
|                     | FliR      | Pseudogene | BAB2_0120 | IM | [64]       |
Table 1. Cont.

| Flagellar Structure | Proteins | Function | Gene Code | Location | Reference |
|---------------------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Regulator Genes     | FtcR     | Transcriptional regulators. | BAB2_1099 | Cytoplasm | [68]      |
|                     | FlaF     | Transcriptional regulators. | BAB2_1095 | Cytoplasm | [62]      |
|                     | FlbT     | Transcriptional regulators. | BAB2_1094 | Cytoplasm | [55]      |
|                     | FliK     | Molecular ruler for hook length control. | pseudogene | Cytoplasm | N.Y.I.B.* |
|                     | FlgD     | Cap foldases for hook. | BAB2_1093 | Cytoplasm | [64]      |
|                     | FlgN     | Chaperone for FlgK. | pseudogene | Cytoplasm | N.Y.I.B.* |
|                     | FlgA     | Chaperone for FlgJ. | BAB2_0152 | Cytoplasm | [64]      |
|                     | FlgJ     | Biosynthesis of FliR. | BAB2_1088 | Bacterial export protein | [64]      |

| fliR gene | Mannosyl-glycoprotein endo-beta-N-acetylglucosaminidase in PG | BAB1_0260 | Cytoplasm/Inner membrane | [69]      |

N.Y.I.B.*: not yet identified in Brucella; OM: Outer membrane; PG: Peptidoglycan; IM: Inner membrane; PS: Periplasmic space.

Moreover, the mutation of rpoE1 increases the promoter activity of the flagellar master regulator ftcR, suggesting that the protein group with RpoE1 acts upstream of ftcR, repressing its expression. FtcR is a flagellar two-component regulator described in Brucella melitensis, which is involved in the expression of class II genes, flgE (hook proteins) and flf (basal body proteins) [57,68], as well as certain proteins that regulate the expression of the class-III gene, such as FlaF, which represses FliC, and FlbT (Figure 2A). In addition to being a self-regulating protein, FlbT enables the production of the FliC protein, forming part of the expression of class III genes [70]. FliC production in Brucella melitensis is not subject to the basal body and hook termination, unlike E. coli and other bacteria [71,72]. In B. melitensis, the mutant for FlgE and FliF still produces flagellin, as previously indicated; therefore, the expression of FliC requires the FlbT regulator for its expression [71]. Genes have been shown to be involved in quorum sensing (QS) in Brucella; vjbR and blxR work as transcriptional regulators involved in the virulence of Brucella and participate in the formation of biofilms through the expression of flagellar genes [73]. VjbR is required by B. melitensis for transcription of the type-IV secretion system and the expression of several flagellar genes (fliF, flhA, motB, or flgE) that contribute to its virulence in mice, where ftcR is partially activated by VjbR [46,74] (Figure 2B). New studies have identified that FliC production is not controlled solely by the master regulator, FlbT. Along with the abovementioned components, we must mention YbeY endoribonuclease, one of the best-conserved enzymes in different organisms, as it is related to an important variety of metabolic activities including, for example, proper cell morphology, mRNA transcription levels, and virulence, as well as indirectly participating in the expression of certain flagellar proteins in B. abortus [75,76]. When the ybeY gene was mutated in Brucella abortus, it was found that the product of this gene participates indirectly in the gene expression of transcriptional regulatory proteins, since ftcR mRNA levels were elevated in the mutant strain. This is linked to the expression of FliC (flagellin), which is also significantly elevated in the ΔybeY strain [77], and, like FtcR, is required for FliC production. The increase observed in the expression of fliC mRNA in the ΔybeY strain could be due to the increase in FtcR levels (Figure 2C) [46,68].
Moreover, the mutation of rpoE1 increases the promoter activity of the flagellar genes described in Brucella at locus I. (A) Repression of flagellar expression in B. melitensis is linked by the repressor RpoE1 (and other unknown proteins) to ftcR. This master regulator allows FlaF to be expressed and inhibits the expression of fltC and fltB. (B) The expression of a unipolar flagellum in B. melitensis is linked to Ftcr, a direct regulator of flagellar protein expression; this is partially activated by the regulator VjbR, which is involved in quorum sensing and the expression of the type-IV secretion system. (C) In Brucella abortus, when the ybeY gene (BAB2_1156), an endoribonuclease, is deleted, there is an overexpression of the FtcR and this results in an increase in flagellin.

From the above information and added to the context of Brucella in the literature, it can be stated that the action of flagellar genes is not only subject to the expression of master regulators but is also related to the expression of other genes belonging to other bacterial metabolic cascades. This is clear from different studies conducted on mutant strains of different genes in Brucella (Table 2).
Table 2. Summary of the effects related to the mutation of genes encoding flagellar proteins or genes, related to their expression in *Brucella*.

| Mutant         | *Brucella* Species | Effect                                                                 | References       |
|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| ΔftcR          | *B. melitensis*    | Decreases flagellar gene expression.                                   | [68]             |
| ΔvjBR          | *B. melitensis*    | Decrease flagellar proteins expression.                                | [74,78,79]       |
| ΔblxR          | *B. abortus*       | Regulates virulence factors (TSSIV and flagella).                      | [73]             |
| ΔbvrR/bvrS     | *B. abortus*       | Decreases virulence.                                                   | [80]             |
| ΔftcR, ΔfliF, ΔflgE, ΔfliC | *B. melitensis* | Empty sheath.                                                          |                  |
| ΔflgJ          | *B. abortus*       | Decreases biofilms, virulence and infection capacity.                  | [69]             |
| ΔybeY (BAB1_2156) | *B. abortus* | Multiple dysregulations of expression of bacterial proteins, including proteins and flagellar regulators. | [77]             |
| ΔfliF, ΔflhA, ΔmotB, ΔflgE | *B. melitensis* | Dysfunction is the first step of infection or cycle of life.         | [46]             |
| ΔaibP (QS gen) | *B. melitensis*    | Expression of *fliC*, *fliF*, *flgE* and *flbT* was significantly downregulated, with enhanced *virB* genes expression and VirB8 production. | [63]             |
| ΔBM-LOV-HK (light-sensing histidine kinase) | *B. melitensis* | Sigma factor *rpoE1* downregulated, with flagellar, quorum sensing (QS). Type-IV secretion system genes were upregulated. | [81]             |
| ΔispdA         | *B. melitensis*    | Downregulation of flagellar promoter activities. Attenuation of virulence. Increase in biofilms. | [82,83]          |
| ΔRpoE1         | *B. melitensis*    | Overexpresses the flagellar protein FlgE. Increases promoter activity of the flagellar master regulator ftcR. | [62]             |

4. Flagellar Proteins as Virulence Factors in *Brucella* spp.

Virulence factors are molecules produced by pathogens that favor their infective capacity, allowing them to colonize host tissue, evade the host immune response, enter and exit cells, or acquire nutrients (e.g., iron). These are key elements in the adaptation and survival of pathogens in the host organism [84]. The most important characteristic of *Brucella* is the ability to survive and multiply within both phagocytic and non-phagocytic cells [85]. There is also evidence that certain proteins that make up part of the flagellum participate in mechanisms that allow the bacterium to establish its replicative niche and its infective chronicity in the host [57,63,64,68,69]. These flagellar virulence factors have even been studied as immunogenic agents in murine models for the development of brucellosis vaccines [67]. Bacteria of the genus *Brucella* have been described for some time as non-motile organisms [1]; however, certain findings reveal the presence of operons that contain flagellar genes [86], and, in certain species of *Brucella*, under special conditions—either cultivation or environmental—functional bacterial flagella are present [46,87]. For the induction of
the disease, as indicated previously, *Brucella* must adhere, invade, and survive within mammalian cells. As previously mentioned, the flagellar genes of *B. abortus*, present in its genome, are an interesting finding in relation to a bacterium described as non-motile [86]. This discovery may indicate that these proteins are involved in other vital functions for the establishment of bacterial infection, as in the case of the FlgJ protein, which, when encoded by the open reading frame BAB1_0260, participating in virulence and being mutated, limits the ability to form biofilms in vitro [69,88]. In *E. coli*, *Salmonella*, and other bacterial groups, this flagellar conformation and its subsequent formation begin with the action of the FlgJ protein. FlgJ is the rod cap protein most closely related to the early stages of flagellum formation and rod assembly; once the latter is formed, FlgJ is cleaved from the emerging flagellum and is essential for its genesis since it has dual canonical activity [89], hydrolysis on the PG and scaffold activity, enabling the assembly of the initial units of the flagellum in the periplasmic space [90]. It has been shown in *S. enterica* that the FlgJ muramidase plays an important role in flagellum synthesis, being secreted through the type-III flagellar export system and exhibiting its enzymatic activity in the C-terminal end [89,91]. This domain, of approximately 164 amino acids in length, presents two important catalytic residues for this muramidase activity. This is given by glutamic acid (E233) and aspartic acid (D248), the function of which is to make a hole in the PG, thus allowing the penetration of the shaft through the periplasmic space so that the hook and the filament can later assemble in the extracellular space [91]. It has been reported, however, that in *S. enterica*, mutants for flgJ maintain the ability to swim after long incubation times, which indicates that muramidase activity is not entirely necessary for flagellum formation, suggesting that flagellum formation is due to the assembly upon biogenesis of new membranes and new PG [92]. Likewise, in *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*, SltF is present, a muramidase associated with the flagellar system. Unlike the FlgJ of *S. enterica*, it is transported to the periplasm by the Sec translocase pathway, with the ability to produce a hole in the PG layer for the subsequent penetration of the flagellar structure [93,94]. In the case of *Caulobacter crescentus*, a bacterium that has a cell cycle very similar to *Brucella* [95], it possesses PleA, an enzyme homologous to lytic transglycosylase. This protein contains a region that is similar to a peptidoglycan-hydrolytic active site; a point mutation at this site in PleA results in the loss of the flagellum and pilus biogenesis. Furthermore, PleA is required for flagellar assembly, indicating its involvement during flagellum formation [96]. *B. abortus* has a flagellar protein with hydrolase activity on peptidoglycan, the FlgJ protein (BAB1_0260) located in genomic island three (GI-3) (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein/82615263, accessed on 15 November 2021), which may be involved in the virulence of this species [69]. Although the muramidase activity has not yet been studied in *Brucella*, its activity in that part of the PG is doubtful; however, the bioinformatic bases suggest an important role for FlgJ in the initial stages of flagellar genesis, due to its glucosaminidase activity (https://www.kegg.jp/dbget-bin/www_bget?bmf:BAB1_0260, accessed on 15 November 2021). A comparative analysis of the amino acid sequence encoding FlgJ from *Brucella* with other bacteria reveals that the FlgJ from *Brucella* does not have the typical conserved sequences of FlgJ with muramidase activity found in *E. coli* and *S. enterica* (Figure 3). Even so, according to some databases, FlgJ from *Brucella* presents activity on the PG (acetylglucosaminidase activity). Like other FlgJ from other bacteria, it could be hypothesized that an extra element might be participating in this muramidase activity, to make a hole in the peptidoglycan before the assembly of proteins in the flagellum. This occurs in *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* or *Caulobacter crescentus*, as mentioned above, where other PG lytic enzymes would participate, together with the FlgJ from *Brucella*. This would, therefore, enable the correct assembly of the base of the flagella in the bacterial membrane. By obtaining mutants for the BAB1_0260 in *B. abortus*, it was possible to evaluate whether FlgJ was involved in pathogenicity as a virulence factor, showing that its deletion is not lethal to bacterial survival [69,97,98]. The FlgJ hydrolyzing activity on peptidoglycan (PG) plays an important role in the growth of this bacterium, specifically during the remodeling of PG during its cell division, which would explain why the elimination of the flgJ gene significantly reduces its growth. The
bacterial flagellum is associated with functions that differ among bacteria. In addition to motility, it may be related to adherence and biofilm formation [99,100], processes closely related to the chronicity of the infections caused by different bacterial groups [101,102]. Biofilms are extracellular polymeric elements (EPS) produced by microorganisms, which include polysaccharides, proteins, nucleic acids, and lipids, which enable their adhesion to various surfaces and the interaction among bacteria (cell communication, competition, cooperation, or the horizontal movement of genes) [103]. The molecular mechanisms of how Brucella generates biofilms and the participating elements have scarcely been explored, since their replicative niche is cells in living tissue, which makes their study in this area difficult. This finding shows that FlgJ participates in the adherence or secretion of proteins involved in the process, making it clear that in the formation of biomass associated with B. abortus 2308 biofilms, FlgJ is directly related to the levels of bacterial growth and division [69]. This suggests that reduced bacterial adherence decreases the colonization of host tissues, where several factors are involved, including the flagellum [104]. Likewise, microarray assay analyses have found that the effect of erythritol on the expression of B. melitensis genes (cultivated with or without erythritol) was shown to be the upregulation of two main virulence pathways, in response to erythritol exposure—the VirB type IV secretion system and flagellar proteins (the third-largest cluster of orthologous groups), suggesting a role for erythritol in virulence [82].

| Name | p-value | Motif Locations |
|------|---------|-----------------|
| Brucella abortus BAB1_0260 | 7.85x10^-17 | ![Motif Locations](image) |
| Ecoli_97-3250_Peptidoglycan_hydrolase_FlgJ | 0.000x10^0 | ![Motif Locations](image) |
| Ecoli_MBT-5_Peptidoglycan_hydrolase_FlgJ | 0.000x10^0 | ![Motif Locations](image) |
| Ecoli2015C-4944_Peptidoglycan_hydrolase_FlgJ | 0.000x10^0 | ![Motif Locations](image) |
| Senterica_FDAARGOS_678_Peptidoglycan_hydrolase_FlgJ | 0.000x10^0 | ![Motif Locations](image) |
| Senterica_LT2_Peptidoglycan_hydrolase_FlgJ | 0.000x10^0 | ![Motif Locations](image) |

Figure 3. p-value of conserved motifs related to flgJ gene of Brucella abortus 2308. Bioinformatics tool used: http://meme-suite.org (accessed on 15 November 2021).

5. Evolutionary Aspects of Brucella and Flagellar Genes

One of the orders that fall within the class of Alpha-proteobacteria is the order of the Rhizobiales; among the families of this order are the Brucellaceae, with genera such as Mycoplasma, Ochrobactrum and Brucella, and the family Rhizobiaceae, which includes the genera Allorhizobium, Azorhizobium, Bradyrhizobium, Mesorhizobium, Rhizobium and Sinorhizobium. The genus Brucella developed as intracellular animal pathogens, while the genus Rhizobium is associated with soil and forms nitrogen-fixing nodules but, according to this evolutionary background, they have similar evolutionary characteristics [105]. Some evidence to hypothesize an evolutionary relationship between Brucellaceae and Rhizobiaceae is given by the presence of certain genes that they have in common; for example, some genes involved in symbiosis in Sinorhizobium meliloti are homologous to genes involved in Brucella pathogenesis [106]. The bacA gene that is involved in the symbiosis of Sinorhizobium meliloti has its homologous gene in Brucella abortus, which plays a role in the survival of Brucella in macrophages and in its pathogenesis in mice [106,107]. Along with the above findings and in relation to the bacterial flagellum, we should mention that Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens, the nitrogen-fixing symbiont of soybean, possesses two flagellar systems that
evolved independently [105,108,109]. This dual flagellar system, present in such bacteria, performs its function as a single flagellum, which is similar to the polar flagellum of *Brucella* spp. [109,110]. A comparative analysis of the genetic sequences encoding the FliC protein of *Brucella abortus* 2308 flagella revealed that bacteria of different genera in the order Rhizobiales show high homology of sequences and structural domains of the FliC protein (Figure 4). This is in conjunction with the consideration that a high percentage of bacteria of the Rhizobiales order are considered to be environmental microorganisms and present bacterial flagella [111–113].

![Figure 4](image-url)

Thus, we could speculate that *Brucella* diverged from common ancestors that are generally associated with soil, which are plant symbionts; that, like *Brucella*, they are intracellular and, therefore, do not require the expression of flagella. However, if the genes that encode the vast majority of the constituent proteins of a flagellum are subjected to selective pressure from their environment, the flagellum can be expressed [46,108]. Furthermore, all this is closely related to the proposition that *Brucella*’s ancestor was probably a free-living plant-related bacterium, possessing one chromosome and evolving into an animal parasite with two chromosomes [114] (Figure 5).

Within this evolutionary process, *Brucella* has acquired different foreign DNA fragments by horizontal transfer, which are distributed throughout the genome, and which encode several proteins, mainly of unknown function [115]. Yet, among these genes, there are some that encode virulence factors, where some flagellar proteins that are present in certain *Brucella* ORFs are worthy of note [69,86,116,117].
Figure 5. (A) In terms of its evolutionary origin, it is postulated that Brucella may have been a free-living bacterium. (B) Over time, and according to its evolution, it remained in contact with other microorganisms, such as soil bacteria and fungi, managing to acquire certain genes that, therefore, improved its metabolic resources. (C) Environmental changes helped give it the ability to adapt to new hosts, such as eukaryotic cells. In Brucella, certain virulence factors that are different from other bacteria, like its LPS, its type-four secretion system (T4SS) and the BvrR/BvrS system, enable Brucella to interact with the host cell surface and form an early Brucella-containing vacuole (BCV) for its subsequent interaction with the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), where bacteria multiply and reach their replicative niche. Likewise, the presence of flagellar genes and the active participation of flagellum proteins in different functions, such as the translocation of proteins to the outside and the formation of adhered biofilm-associated biomass gave Brucella the ability to be a successful organism in achieving its infectious chronicity and evading the immune response of the host organism.

6. Flagellum and Immune Response against Brucella

The function of flagella as bacterial nanomachines is to mediate motility. The flagellar filament, composed of a single protein, flagellin, is assembled by the basal body via a pathway homologous to the type 3 secretion system (T3SS) [118]. In motile bacteria, such as Bartonella species (lineages 1–3, except Bartonella bovic), flagella are thought to mediate erythrocyte internalization as a mechanical force or as adhesion molecules [119]. The Bartonella species of lineage 4 that are non-motile, due to the loss of flagella, mediate interaction with erythrocytes through Trw T4SS, suggesting that Trw has functionally replaced the flagella in establishing interaction with erythrocytes [120]. Brucella are non-motile bacteria but flagella genes are essential for their virulence [121]. Given that Brucella is also found in erythrocytes [122], we could speculate that there is a mechanism similar to the one mentioned earlier, where flagellar genes play a fundamental role in the survival and stealth of Brucella, even, one could say, in the evasion of the immune response. Brucella spp. evade detection through TLR4 by producing a poorly recognized form of lipid A. Brucella lipid A contains a much longer fatty-acid residue (C28) than enterobacterial LPS (C12–C16), and this modification greatly decreases its endotoxic properties by reducing TLR4 agonist activity and, thus, the host immune response [123]. In addition to TLR4 evasion, Brucella evades detection by TLR5 by producing a flagellin that lacks the TLR5 agonist domain [124]. As a result, Brucella flagellin is a poor inducer of TLR5-mediated inflammatory responses [123]. In addition to manipulating T cell-mediated immune responses, Brucella can also control T
cell-independent immune responses [125]. Therefore, it is likely that Brucella induces partial and transient immunosuppression to establish a chronic infection [126,127]. Conversely, certain flagellar proteins have been examined for their possible immunogenic value as vaccine candidates. Five flagellar genes (BAB1_0260 (FlgJ); BAB2_0122 (FliN); BAB2_0150; BAB2_1086; BAB2_1093) were taken into consideration for their ability to induce humoral and cellular responses and to protect mice against B. abortus. FlgJ and FliN proved to be protective antigens that produced humoral and cellular responses in mice [128]. In addition to the above findings, studies with the FlIC protein have shown it to be a new potential antigen candidate for the development of a subunit vaccine against Brucella [129,130].

7. Conclusions and Outlook

In recent years, many new species of Brucella have been discovered, mainly pathogens of marine mammals and others capable of infecting terrestrial mammals, in addition to flagellated species present in amphibians [86]. This complicates the execution of control programs since the recently characterized Brucella species have high genetic flexibility and many of these isolates are motile, fast-growing, able to survive in the soil, more resistant to unfavorable conditions of environmental acidity, and more able to adapt to new non-mammalian hosts, such as amphibians, rapidly adapting to their environment to broaden their host range [87]. As Brucella is a pathogen with different routes of infection, it can survive in and out of mammalian hosts for a long time, even under unfavorable conditions. Brucella is characterized as being a stealthy microbe that tends to chronicity, instead of causing an acute fatal infection, standing out for being a successful microorganism by evading the immune response and keeping its hosts alive to maintain its own survival. Flagellar biogenesis shows interesting variations among different proteobacteria and even among members of Alphaproteobacteria. The flagellar genes of Brucella are needed to establish infection in vivo in mice; however, the molecular basis of the impact of the flagellum on virulence in Brucella is still to be determined. This is evidenced by certain species of Brucella, such as B. melitensis 16M, B. ovis, B. abortus 2308, and certain Brucella spp. in amphibians expressing flagellar proteins and/or flagella at the intracellular level [46,64,68,69,87]. This is an event of great importance, considering that today there is a strong relationship between humans and farm animals, which will eventually lead to an increase in this zoonosis caused by Brucella. If we pay attention to the routes of infection that Brucella has, in relation to its scope in the mechanisms of pathogenesis and transmission of the infection, we may hypothesize its relationship to the possible expression of the flagellum during invasion, and its persistence in red blood cells in the murine model [122]. This phenomenon is closely related to the role that the flagellum could have during its residence inside blood-sucking insects [131]. With all the above, we could hypothesize that the flagellum is playing an important role in bacterial survival at the extracellular, intracellular, or environmental level. The study of the bacterial flagellum in a non-motile bacterium is undoubtedly a challenge that deserves attention since it seems to be directly involved in its survival in different hosts and in a hostile environment.

Some methodologies have been proposed in the literature to explain the importance of flagellar genetic sequences involved in the abovementioned events. The creation of mutants, the study of biofilm formation, and the infective capacity both in vitro and in vivo [69] are part of the most successful methodology for preliminary assays. Likewise, the study of flagellar protein translocation by different types of transporters, where the role of these proteins in Brucella virulence could be evaluated [132], might point out certain functional evidence between different bacterial structures. Flow cytometry analysis in infected cells, to discern the appearance of markers involved in the immune response [130], as well as immunoprecipitation assays to see eventual protein interactions [130], and simple experiments such as staining of the flagella at different growth times under a variety of conditions [66] would provide further data to clarify certain unknowns about flagellar function and structure. Furthermore, in the field of immunology, the preparation of vaccines of different flagellar proteins in search of potential immunogenic agents [133], and the use
of bioinformatics tools for comparative study with other bacteria [134,135], with differing degrees of similarity in the mechanisms of infection are appropriate methodologies to gain more background information. This will allow us to understand more fully the structure–function relationship of the flagellum in an organism described to date as a non-motile bacterium.

**Author Contributions:** Image making, literature search, hypothesis conjecture, original writing-drafting, writing-revising and editing; R.F.C.-R.; Imaging and research, M.F.-C.; research and writing, R.E.M.; research and writing, R.S.-S.; research and writing, A.C.; fund acquisition, project administration, writing-revising and editing, À.A.O. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** Grant 1180122 from the Fondo Nacional de Desarrollo Científico y Tecnológico (FONDECYT), Santiago, Chile.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein/82615263, visualized based on data from the website https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/; https://www.kegg.jp/dbget-bin/www_bget?bmfbAB1_0260, visualized based on data from the website https://www.genome.jp/ (all accessed on 15 November 2021).

**Acknowledgments:** This work was supported by Grant 1180122 from the Fondo Nacional de Desarrollo Científico y Tecnológico (FONDECYT), Santiago, Chile.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. Alton, G.G.; Jones, L.M.; Pietz, D.E. *Monograph Series*, 2nd ed.; Geneva.55; World Health Organization, Laboratory Techniques in Brucellosis: Geneva, Switzerland, 1975.
2. Corbel, J.M. Brucellosis: An overview. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 1997, 3, 213–221. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
3. Dean, A.S.; Schelling, E.; Bonfoh, B.; Kulo, A.E. Deletion in the Gene BruAb2_0168 of *Brucella abortus* Strains: Diagnostic Challenges. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 2014, 20, 550-553. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Pizarro-Cerdá, J.; Moreno, E.; Gorvel, J.P. Invasion and intracellular trafficking of *Brucella abortus* in nonphagocytic cells. *Microbes Infect.* 2000, 2, 829–835. [CrossRef]
5. Rivers, R.; Andrews, E.; Donoso, G.; Oñate, A. *Brucella abortus*: Immunity, vaccines and prevention strategies based on nucleic acids. *Arch. Med. Vet.* 2006, 38, 7–18. [CrossRef]
6. Zapata, M.R.; Santos, J.S. Brucellosis. *Med. Programa Form. Méd. Contin. Acreditado* 2014, 11, 3045–3053. [CrossRef]
7. Ko, J.; Splitter, G.A. Molecular Host-Pathogen Interaction in Brucellosis: Current Understanding and Future Approaches to Vaccine Development for Mice and Humans. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 2003, 16, 65–78. [CrossRef]
8. Sohn, A.H.; Probert, W.S.; Glaser, C.A.; Gupta, N.; Bollen, A.W.; Wong, J.D.; McDonald, W.C. Human Neurobrucellosis with Intracerebral Granuloma Caused by a Marine Mammal *Brucella* spp. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2003, 9, 485–488. [CrossRef]
9. Miraglia, M.C.; Rodriguez, A.M.; Barrionuevo, P.; Rodriguez, J.; Kim, K.S.; Dennis, V.A.; Delpino, M.V.; Giambartolomei, G.H. *Brucella abortus* Traverses Brain Microvascular Endothelial Cells Using Infected Monocytes as a Trojan Horse. *Front. Cell Infect. Microbiol.* 2018, 8, 200. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
10. Dornand, J.; Gross, A.; Lafont, V.; Liautard, J.; Oliaro, J.; Liautard, J.P. The innate immune response against *Brucella* in humans. *Vet. Microbiol.* 2002, 90, 383–394. [CrossRef]
11. Celi, J.; Chastellier, C.; de Franchini, D.-M.; Pizarro-Cerda, J.; Moreno, E.; Gorvel, J.P. *Brucella* evades macrophage killing via VirB-dependent sustained interactions with the endoplasmic reticulum. *J. Exp. Med.* 2003, 198, 545–556. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
12. Glowacka, P.; Zakowska, D.; Naylor, K.; Niemiec, P.; Bielawska-Drozdz, A. *Brucella*—Virulence Factors, Pathogenesis and Treatment. *Pol. J. Microbiol.* 2018, 67, 151–161. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
13. Scholz, H.C.; Vergnaud, G. Molecular characterisation of *Brucella* species. *Rev. Sci. Tech.* 2013, 32, 149–162. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
14. Pappas, G.; Panagopoulou, P.; Christou, L.; Akritidis, N. *Brucella* as a biological weapon. *Cell Mol. Life Sci.* 2006, 63, 2229–2236. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
15. Foster, J.T.; Beckstrom-Sternberg, S.M.; Pearson, T.; Beckstrom-Sternberg, J.S.; Chain, P.S.G.; Roberto, F.F.; Hnath, J.; Brettin, T.; Keim, P. Whole-genome-based phylogeny and divergence of the genus *Brucella*. *J. Bacteriol.* 2009, 191, 2864–2870. [CrossRef]
16. Hordt, A.; Lopez, M.G.; Meier-Kolthoff, J.P.; Schleuning, M.; Weinhold, L.M.; Tindall, B.J.; Gronow, S.; Kyrpides, N.C.; Woyke, T.; Goker, M. Analysis of 1000+ Type Strain Genomes Substantially Improves Taxonomic Classification of Alphaproteobacteria. *Front. Microbiol.* 2020, 11, 468. [CrossRef]
17. Olsen, S.C.; Boggia, P.; White, D.M.; McNunn, T. Biosafety Concerns Related to Brucella and Its Potential Use as a Bioweapon. *Appl. Biosaf.* 2018, 23, 77–90. [CrossRef]
18. Chaban, B.; Hughes, H.V.; Semin, M.B. The flagellum in bacterial pathogens: For motility and a whole lot more. *Cell Dev. Biol.* 2015, 46, 91–103. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
19. Francis, N.R.; Sosinsky, G.E.; Thomas, D.; De Rosier, D.J. Isolation, characterization, and structure of bacterial flagellar motors containing the switch complex. *J. Mol. Biol.* 1994, 235, 1261–1270. [CrossRef]
20. Blair, D.E.; Berg, H.C. Restoration of torque in defective flagellar motors. *Science* 1988, 242, 1678–1681. [CrossRef]
21. Blair, D.E.; Berg, H.C. The MotA protein of *E. coli* is a proton-conducting component of the flagellar motor. *Cell* 1990, 60, 439–449. [CrossRef]
22. Suzuki, H.; Yonekura, K.; Namba, K. Structure of the rotor of the bacterial flagellar motor revealed by electron cryomicroscopy and single-particle image analysis. *J. Mol. Biol.* 2004, 337, 105–113. [CrossRef]
23. Thomas, D.R.; Francis, N.R.; Xu, C.; DeRosier, D.J. The three dimensional structure of the flagellar rotor from a clockwiselocked mutant of *Salmonella enterica* serovar *typhimurium*. *J. Bacteriol.* 2006, 188, 7039–7048. [CrossRef]
24. Imada, K. Bacterial flagellar axial structure and its construction. *Biophys. Rev.* 2017, 10, 559–570. [CrossRef]
25. Yamaguchi, T.; Makino, F.; Miya, T.; Minamino, T.; Kato, T.; Namba, K. Structure of the molecular bushing of the bacterial flagellar motor. *Nat. Commun.* 2021, 12, 4469. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
26. Manson, M.D.; Tedesco, P.; Berg, H.C.; Harold, F.M.; Van der Drift, C. A protonmotive force drives bacterial flagella. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1977, 4, 3060–3064. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
27. De Pamphilis, M.L.; Adler, J. Purification of intact flagella from *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis*. *J. Bacteriol.* 1971, 105, 376–383. [CrossRef]
28. De Pamphilis, M.L.; Adler, J. Fine structure and isolation of the hook–basal body complex of flagella from *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis*. *J. Bacteriol.* 1971, 105, 384–395. [CrossRef]
29. Homma, M.; DeRosier, D.J.; Macnab, R.M. Flagellar hook and hook-associated proteins of *Salmonella typhimurium* and their relationship to other axial components of the flagellum. *J. Mol. Biol.* 1990, 213, 819–832. [CrossRef]
30. Kubori, T.; Shimamoto, N.; Yamaguchi, S.; Namba, K.; Aizawa, S.-I. Morphological pathway of flagellar assembly in *Salmonella typhimurium*. *J. Mol. Biol.* 1992, 226, 433–446. [CrossRef]
31. Macnab, R.M. Flagella and motility. In *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* subtilis; 2nd ed.; Neidhart, F.C., Ed.; American Society of Microbiology: Washington, DC, USA, 1996; pp. 123–145.
32. McCarter, L.L. Regulation of flagella. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 2006, 9, 180–186. [CrossRef]
33. Paradis, G.; Chevance, F.F.V.; Liou, W.; Renault, T.T.; Hughes, K.T.; Rainville, T.; Erhardt, M. Variability in bacterial flagella re-growth patterns after breakage. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, 7, 1282. [CrossRef]
34. Macnab, R.M. Type III flagellar protein export and flagellar assembly. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2004, 1694, 207–217. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
35. Macnab, R.M. How bacteria assemble flagella. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 2003, 57, 77–100. [CrossRef]
36. Minamino, T.; Imada, K.; Namba, K. Mechanisms of type III protein export for bacterial flagellar assembly. *Mol. BioSyst.* 2008, 4, 1105–1115. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
37. Ikeda, T.; Asakura, S.; Kamesaki, R. “Cap” on the tip of *Salmonella* flagella. *J. Mol. Biol.* 1985, 184, 735–737. [CrossRef]
38. Ikeda, T.; Yamaguchi, S.; Hotani, H. Flagellar growth in a filament less *Salmonella* fliD mutant supplemented with purified hook associated protein 2. *J. Biochem* 1993, 114, 39–44. [CrossRef]
39. Ikeda, T.; Oosawa, K.; Hotani, H. Self-assembly of the filament capping protein, FliD, of bacterial flagella into an annular structure. *J. Mol. Biol.* 1996, 259, 679–686. [CrossRef]
40. Maki, S.; Vonderviszt, F.; Furukawa, Y.; Imada, K.; Namba, K. Plugging interactions of HAP2 pentamer into the distal end of flagellar filament revealed by electron microscopy. *J. Mol. Biol.* 1998, 277, 771–777. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
41. Ikeda, T.; Kamesaki, R.; Yamaguchi, S. In vitro polymerization of flagellin excreted by a short-flagellum *Salmonella typhimurium* mutant. *J. Bacteriol.* 1984, 159, 787–789. [CrossRef]
42. McCarter, L.L. Polar flagellar motility of the *Vibrionaceae*. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 2001, 65, 445–462. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
43. Sjöblad, R.D.; Emala, C.W.; Doetsch, R.N. Invited review: Bacterial flagellar sheaths: Structures in search of a function. *Cell Motil. Cytoskel.* 1983, 3, 93–103. [CrossRef]
44. Richardson, K. Roles of motility and flagellar structure in pathogenicity of *Vibrio cholerae*: Analysis of motility mutants in three animal models. *Infect. Immun.* 1991, 59, 2727–2736. [CrossRef]
45. Schirm, M.; Soo, E.C.; Aubry, A.J.; Austin, J.; Tibault, P.; Logan, S.M. Structural, genetic and functional characterization of the flagellin glycosylation process in *Helicobacter pylori*. *Mol. Microbiol.* 2003, 48, 1579–1592. [CrossRef]
46. Fretin, D.; Fauconnier, A.; Kohler, S.; Halling, S.; Leonard, S.; Nijskens, C.; Ferooz, J.; Lestrade, P.; Delrue, R.M.; Danese, I. The sheathed flagellum of *Brucella melitensis* is involved in persistence in a murine model of infection. *Cell Microbiol.* 2005, 7, 687–698. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
47. Allen, R.D.; Baumann, P. Structure and arrangement of flagella in species of the genus Beneckea and *Photobacterium fischeri*. *J. Bacteriol.* 1971, 107, 295–302. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
48. Geis, G.; Suerbaum, S.; Forshoff, B.; Leving, H.; Opferkuch, W. Ultrastructure and biochemical studies of the flagellar sheath of *Helicobacter pylori*. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 1993, 38, 371–377. [CrossRef]
103. Burmølle, M.; Thomsen, T.R.; Fazli, M.; Dige, I.; Christensen, L.; Homøe, P.; Tvede, M.; Nyvad, B.; Tolker-Nielsen, T.; Givskov, M.; et al. Altered Transcription of the B. melitensis Vaccine Candidate 16M∆φr8, Implications for Development of Genetically Marked Live Vaccine. *Indian J. Microbiol.* 2012, 52, 575–580. [CrossRef]

94. Hirano, T.; Minamino, T.; Macnab, R.M. The role in flagellar rod assembly of the N-terminal domain of *Brucella abortus* VjR. *Cell. Microbiol.* 2009, 11, 1034–1043. [CrossRef]

92. Nambu, T.; Minamino, T.; Macnab, R.M.; Kutsukake, K. Peptidoglycan-hydrolyzing activity of the FlgJ protein, essential for flagellar motility. *Microbes Infect.* 2007, 9, 1555–1561. [CrossRef]

102. Hall-Stoodley, L.; Stoodley, P. Evolving concepts in biofilm infections. *Cell. Microbiol.* 2009, 11, 1034–1043. [CrossRef]

88. Almiró, M.A.; Roset, M.S.; Sanjuan, N. The aggregation of *Brucella abortus* occurs under microaerobic conditions and promotes desiccation tolerance and biofilm formation. *Open Microbiol. J.* 2013, 7, 87–91. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

96. Viollier, P.H.; Shapiro, L. A lytic transglycosylase homologue, PleA, is required for the assembly of pili and the flagellum at the cell pole. *Caulobacter crescentus* cell pole. *Mol. Microbiol.* 2003, 49, 331–345. [CrossRef]

78. Ke, Y.; Wang, Y.; Yuan, X.; Zhong, Z.; Qu, Q.; Zhou, D.; Zeng, X.; Xu, J.; Wang, Z.; Du, X.; et al. Altered Transcription of the B. melitensis Vaccine Candidate 16M∆φr8, Implications for Development of Genetically Marked Live Vaccine. *Indian J. Microbiol.* 2012, 52, 575–580. [CrossRef]

90. Nakamura, S.; Minamino, T. Flagella-Driven Motility of Bacteria. *Bioinformatics* 2019, 9, 279. [CrossRef]

101. Guttenplan, S.B.; Kearns, D.B. Regulation of flagellar motility during biofilm formation. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 2013, 37, 849–871. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

95. Petersen, E.; Rajashekara, G.; Sanakkayala, N.; Eskra, L.; Harms, J.; Splitter, G. Erythritol triggers expression of virulence traits in *Brucella abortus*. *FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol.* 2010, 59, 324–336. [CrossRef]
104. Fleeming, H.-C.; Wingender, J. The biofilm matrix. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2010, 43, 623–633. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

105. Klemm, P.; Vebjorn, R.M.; Hancock, V. Prevention of bacterial adhesion. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2010, 88, 451–459. [CrossRef]

106. Mengucci, F.; Dardis, C.; Mongiardini, E.J.; Altalhagebothi, M.J.; Partridge, J.D.; Kojima, S.; Homma, M.; Quelas, J.I.; Lodeiro, A.R. Characterization of FlgJ. Proteins in *Bradyrhizobium* dazoefficiens. Lateral FlgJ Supports Swimming Motility, and Subpolar FlgJ Modulates the Lateral Flagellar System. *J. Bacteriol.* 2020, 202, e00708-19. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

107. Gándara, B.; Merino, A.L.; Rogel, M.A.; Martínez-Romero, E. Limited genetic diversity of *Brucella* spp. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 2001, 39, 235–240. [CrossRef]

108. LeVier, K.; Phillips, R.W.; Gripe, V.K.; Roop, R.M., 2nd; Walker, G.C. Similar requirements of a plant symbiont and a mammalian pathogen for prolonged intracellular survival. *Science* 2000, 287, 2492–2493. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

109. Liu, R.; Ochman, H. Origins of flagellar gene operons and secondary flagellar systems. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 2016, 363, 222. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

110. Garrido-Sanz, D.; Redondo-Nieto, M.; Mongiardini, E.J.; Blanco-Romero, E.; Durán, D.; Quelas, J.I.; Martín, M.; Rivilla, R.; Lodeiro, A.R.; Altalhagebothi, M.J. Phylogenomic analyses of *Bradyrhizobium* reveal uneven distribution of the lateral and subpolar flagellar systems, which extends to Rhizobiales. *Microorganisms* 2019, 7, 50. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

111. Mongiardini, E.J.; Quelas, J.I.; Dardis, C.; Altalhagebothi, M.J.; Lodeiro, A.R. Transcriptional Control of the Lateral-Flagellar Genes of *Bradyrhizobium* dazoefficiens. *J. Bacteriol.* 2017, 199, e00253-17. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

112. Sun, L.; Yao, L.; Gao, X.; Huang, K.; Bai, N.; Lyu, W.; Chen, W. *Falsobrochobactrum shanghiense* sp. nov., isolated from paddy soil and emended description of the genus *Falsobrochobacteriu*. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 2019, 69, 778–782. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

113. Duarte, C.M.; Basile, L.A.; Salqueziugu, A.; Lepek, V.C. The transcriptional factor Tsl is involved in a negative regulation of swimming motility in *Mesorhizobium loti* MAFF30099. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 2016, 201, 363, 222. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

114. Lai, Q.; Yu, Z.; Wang, J.; Zhong, H.; Sun, F.; Wang, L.; Wang, B.; Shao, Z. *Nitrireductus pacificus* sp. nov., isolated from a pyrene-degrading consortium. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 2016, 61, 1386–1391. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

115. Scholz, H.C.; Hubalek, Z.; Sedlack, I.; Vergnaud, G.; Tomaso, H.; Al Daghoub, S.; Melzer, F.; Kämpfer, P.; Neubauer, H.; Cloeckaert, A.; et al. *Brucella microti* sp. nov., isolated from the common vole *Microtus arvalis*. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 2008, 58, 375–382. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

116. Wattam, A.; Foster, J.; Mane, S.; Beckstrom-Sternberg, S.; Beckstrom-Sternberg, J.; Dickerman, A. Comparative Phylogenomics and Evolution of the *Brucella* Reveal a Path to Virulence. *J. Bacteriol.* 2014, 196, 920. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

117. Roop, M.; Gaines, M.; Anderson, S.; Caswell, C.; Martin, W. Survival of the fittest: How *Brucella* survive intracellularly. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2001, 67, 6627–6631. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

118. Mongiardini, E.J.; Quelas, J.I.; Dardis, C.; Altalhagebothi, M.J.; Lodeiro, A.R. Transcriptional Control of the Lateral-Flagellar Genes of *Bradyrhizobium* dazoefficiens. *J. Bacteriol.* 2017, 199, e00253-17. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

119. Sun, L.; Yao, L.; Gao, X.; Huang, K.; Bai, N.; Lyu, W.; Chen, W. *Falsobrochobactrum shanghiense* sp. nov., isolated from paddy soil and emended description of the genus *Falsobrochobacteriu*. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 2019, 69, 778–782. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

120. Duarte, C.M.; Basile, L.A.; Salqueziugu, A.; Lepek, V.C. The transcriptional factor Tsl is involved in a negative regulation of swimming motility in *Mesorhizobium loti* MAFF30099. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 2016, 201, 363, 222. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

121. Lai, Q.; Yu, Z.; Wang, J.; Zhong, H.; Sun, F.; Wang, L.; Wang, B.; Shao, Z. *Nitrireductus pacificus* sp. nov., isolated from a pyrene-degrading consortium. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 2016, 61, 1386–1391. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

122. Scholz, H.C.; Hubalek, Z.; Sedlack, I.; Vergnaud, G.; Tomaso, H.; Al Daghoub, S.; Melzer, F.; Kämpfer, P.; Neubauer, H.; Cloeckaert, A.; et al. *Brucella microti* sp. nov., isolated from the common vole *Microtus arvalis*. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 2008, 58, 375–382. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

123. Vitry, M.A.; Hanot Mambres, D.; Deghelt, M.; Hack, K.; Machelart, A.; Lhomme, F.; Vanderwinden, J.M.; Vermeersch, M.; De Trez, C.; Pérez-Morga, D.; et al. *Brucella melitensis* invades murine erythrocytes during infection. *Infect. Immun.* 2014, 82, 3927–3938. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

124. Walker, T.S.; Winkler, H.H. *Bartonella bacilliformis*: Colonial types and erythrocyte adherence. *Infect. Immun.* 1998, 66, 480–486. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

125. Dehio, C. Infection-associated type IV secretion systems of *Bartonella* and their diverse roles in host cell interaction. *Cell. Microbiol.* 2008, 10, 1591–1598. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

126. Lestrade, P.; Dricot, A.; Delrue, R.M.; Lambert, C.; Martinelli, V.; De Bolle, X.; Letesson, J.J.; Tibor, A. Attenuated signature-tagged mutagenesis mutants of *Brucella melitensis* identified during the acute phase of infection in mice. *Infect. Immun.* 2003, 71, 7053–7060. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

127. Vitry, M.A.; Hanot Mambres, D.; Deghelt, M.; Hack, K.; Machelart, A.; Lhomme, F.; Vanderwinden, J.M.; Vermeersch, M.; De Trez, C.; Pérez-Morga, D.; et al. *Brucella melitensis* invades murine erythrocytes during infection. *Infect. Immun.* 2014, 82, 3927–3938. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

128. Dehio, C. Infection-associated type IV secretion systems of *Bartonella* and their diverse roles in host cell interaction. *Cell. Microbiol.* 2008, 10, 1591–1598. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

129. Li, X.; Xu, J.; Xie, Y.; Qiu, Y.; Fu, S.; Yuan, X.; Ke, Y.; Yu, S.; Du, X.; Cui, M.; et al. Vaccination with recombinant flagellar proteins FlgJ and Fln induce protection against *Brucella abortus* 544 infection in BALB/c mice. *Vet. Microbiol.* 2012, 161, 137–144. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

130. Sadeghi, Z.; Farsi-Ramandi, M.; Azizi, M.; Bouzari, S. Mannosylated chitosan nanoparticles loaded with FlcC antigen as a novel vaccine candidate against *Brucella melitensis* and *Brucella abortus* infection. *J. Biotechnol.* 2020, 310, 89–96. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
131. Sadeghi, Z.; Fasihi-Ramandi, M.; Bouzari, S. Brucella antigens (BhuA, 7α-HSDH, FliC) in poly I:C adjuvant as potential vaccine candidates against brucellosis. *J. Immunol. Methods* 2021, 113, 172. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

132. Neglia, G.; Veneziano, V.; De Carlo, E.; Galiero, G.; Borriello, G.; Francillo, M.; Campanile, G.; Zicarelli, L.; Manna, L. Detection of *Brucella abortus* DNA and RNA in different stages of development of the sucking louse *Haematopinus tuberculatus*. *BMC Vet. Res.* 2013, 9, 236. [CrossRef]

133. Yan, X.; Hu, S.; Yang, Y.; Xu, D.; Li, H.; Liu, W.; He, X.; Li, G.; Cai, W.; Bu, Z. The Twin-Arginine Translocation System Is Important for Stress Resistance and Virulence of *Brucella melitensis*. *Infect. Immun.* 2020, 88, e00389-20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

134. Ma, Z.; Li, R.; Hu, R.; Deng, X.; Xu, Y.; Zheng, W.; Yi, J.; Wang, Y.; Chen, C. *Brucella abortus* BspJ Is a Nucleomodulin That Inhibits Macrophage Apoptosis and Promotes Intracellular Survival of *Brucella*. *Front. Microbiol.* 2020, 11, 599205. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

135. Chen, Z.; Zhu, Y.; Sha, T.; Li, Z.; Li, Y.; Zhang, F.; Ding, J. Design of a new multi-epitope vaccine against *Brucella* based on T and B cell epitopes using bioinformatics methods. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 2021, 149, e136. [CrossRef] [PubMed]