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Abstract

Purpose of Review While the Zika virus (ZIKV) has been noted for its distinct neurotropism and identified as a significant cause for a congenital infection syndrome, there has been increased recognition of the importance to better understand the clinical impact of non-congenital ZIKV infection in infants and children. This article reviews reports of perinatal and breast milk transmission of ZIKV and summarizes current clinical outcome data of pediatric non-congenital ZIKV infection.

Recent Findings Perinatal transmission and presence of infective ZIKV particles in breast milk have been reported. Most symptomatic non-congenital ZIKV infection in infants and children is mild and self-limited, but long-term follow-up studies are lacking.

Summary The mechanism and impact of perinatal and breast milk transmission is unclear. The current WHO infant feeding guidelines in areas of ZIKV transmission support breastfeeding. Unless any scientific data raise concern regarding transmission via breastfeeding, the current guidelines should continue to be followed. Prospective population-based cohort studies to investigate maternal, perinatal, infant, and child outcomes are needed.
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Introduction

Zika virus (ZIKV) infection, now well recognized among the expanding list of pathogens known to cause congenital malformations in the developing fetus, has spread to more than 70 countries and to many areas where competent vectors are present. The World Health Organization (WHO) continues to call for high vigilance and pediatric health care providers may wonder whether Zika virus, just as the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in the 1990s, will lead to another paradigm shift in the pediatric health care landscape [1, 2].

ZIKV represents a previously obscure flavivirus with close relationship to Japanese encephalitis, West Nile, dengue, and yellow fever viruses, all of which are primarily transmitted by mosquitoes. ZIKV was originally discovered in Uganda in 1947 and has continued to expand its geographic range ever since, from equatorial Africa and Asia to the Pacific Islands, then further afield to South and Central America and the Caribbean.

It was primarily the unexpected increased incidence of microcephaly and other neurologic malformations in fetuses and newborns born to mothers infected with ZIKV during pregnancy in Brazil that lead the WHO to declare the ZIKV epidemic a Public Health Emergency of International Concern [3]. Anatomic brain abnormalities in infants with congenital ZIKV infection have been described in detail and may include microcephaly, hydrocephaly/hydranencephaly, absent structures (e.g., corpus callosum), neuronal migration disorders, severe cortical thinning, cerebral calcifications, and brain asymmetry [4]. In its maximal form, the severe anatomic abnormalities including severe microcephaly, partial collapse of the skull with overlapping sutures, occipital bone prominence, a small or absent anterior fontanel, and scalp rugae have been found to fulfill the criteria of a previously reported fetal brain disruption sequence [5, 6]. Because some of those...
features are rarely seen with other congenital infections and seem specific to congenital ZIKV infection, the congenital Zika syndrome as a distinct clinical phenotype has been postulated [7].

The clinical manifestations and complications observed in newborns affected by congenital ZIKV infection are extensive and have been associated not only with many central nervous system, ocular, auditory, and motor and limb abnormalities but also possibly with distinct digestive and respiratory dysfunctions [4••, 8]. The full clinical spectrum will only become apparent through prospective long-term follow-up investigations of affected pregnant women and their infants regardless of symptoms at birth, as is currently being pursued by the National Institutes of Health-funded Zika in Infants and Pregnancy cohort study [9].

ZIKV’s notable neuropotsim and apparent capabilities to pass through the blood-brain barrier raises concerns that infants and young children infected with ZIKV perinatally or postnatally may also be at risk for neurodegenerative disease or developmental delay [2]. Compared to infants exposed to ZIKV in utero, there have probably been a multitude of infants and children exposed to ZIKV by a non-congenital route. However, only a few reports have been published on the clinical course and long-term outcomes of non-congenital ZIKV infection in children [10••]. This review will therefore focus on what is known about perinatal transmission routes and in particular, transmission through breastfeeding as well as the clinical impact of non-congenitally acquired ZIKV infection in infants and children.

**Demonstration of Zika Virus in Breast Milk**

ZIKV is primarily transmitted by mosquitoes of the *Aedes* genus, especially *Aedes aegypti* [11]. However, with an increasing number of cases resulting from non-mosquito-borne transmission being reported, investigators have also attempted to better understand routes of nonvector transmission including potential breastfeeding-related transmission [12, 13••]. This seems to be especially important given the existing evidence, although limited, of perinatal and breast milk transmission of several arboviral infections including dengue virus (DENV) [14–18], chikungunya virus (CHIKV) [19, 20], West Nile virus (WNV) [21–23], and yellow fever virus (YFV) [24, 25].

A total of 5 studies have so far studied the presence and viability of ZIKV in breast milk of 9 women who had developed symptomatic ZIKV infection around the time of delivery or during the newborn’s 1st year of life while breastfeeding (Table 1) [26•, 27–29, 30••]. Overall, in six of nine women examined (67%), ZIKV was identified in their breast milk by RT-PCR, and in four (44%), viable ZIKV could be demonstrated in cell culture suggesting infectivity (Table 1). Altogether, those studies suggest that women who have had a ZIKV infection shortly before onset of lactation or while lactating may be very likely excreting ZIKV in their breast milk that retains viability and hence could serve as a source for mother-to-infant transmission.

**Perinatal and Breastfeeding-Related Transmission of Zika Virus**

The first two documented cases of perinatal transmission were reported in the context of the ZIKV outbreak in French Polynesia in 2013/2014 (Table 1: case #1 and #2). ZIKV infection was confirmed in two newborns by blood RT-PCR on day 3 and on day 4 of life, respectively. Only one became symptomatic and developed a rash, leukopenia, thrombocytopenia, and prolonged subclinical hepatitis (minor aminotransferase elevation, 2–3 times upper limit of normal, resolved by age 4 months). Their mothers had developed symptomatic ZIKV infection 2 days before and 3 days after delivery, respectively [26•]. The authors suspected that the infants’ transmission most likely occurred by transplacental route or during delivery. As the breast milk was positive for ZIKV by RT-PCR breast milk transmission was considered but deemed less likely as the virus was not found replicative in the breast milk samples. Both infants were reexamined at age 32 and 30 months, respectively, and assessment with the Child Development Assessment Scale (CDAS) did not indicate any developmental neurocognitive problems [31••, 32]. However, the authors caution that the exclusion of late-onset cognitive or sensory deficits will require longer follow-up.

A third case of perinatal transmission was suspected in a newborn delivered from a mother who became symptomatic with ZIKV infection on the day of delivery (Table 1: case #3). The infant’s blood RT-PCR result was described as “ambiguous” and absence of clinical symptoms was reported. According to a personal communication of the lead author recorded in a subsequent publication reviewing this case, no long-term complications were noted at 8 months of age [13••]. Potential breast milk transmission was considered as the inoculation of the breast milk samples onto Vero cells showed the presence of infective viral particles [27].

The most compelling evidence for potential breast milk transmission of ZIKV infection was reported in a 5-month-old infant who was exclusively breastfed when the mother developed a symptomatic ZIKV infection (Table 1: case #9). Breast milk samples revealed replicative ZIKV; whole genome sequencing and subsequent full genome comparison of the two ZIKV strains isolated from the breast milk and the infant’s blood indicated >99% identity between the two strains [30••].

As ZIKV infection is frequently asymptomatic, ZIKV infection of newborns and infants during the perinatal period or
Table 1  Evaluation of ZIKV in breastfeeding mother-infant pairs

| Author                        | Case # | Timing of transmission | Country of report | Age of mother (years) | Mother—clinical presentation and timing of symptom onset | Mother—ZIKV test results | Breast milk—ZIKV test results | Breastfeeding activity | Infant—ZIKV test results | Infant—clinical presentation and timing of symptom onset |
|-------------------------------|--------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| Besnard M et al. [26]         | 1      | December 2013          | French Polynesia  | Early 30s             | Pruritic rash, started 2 days before delivery and lasted for 4 days | Blood and saliva RT-PCR (+) on day 2 of infant's life | RT-PCR (+), cell culture (−) on day 3 of infant's life | Started on day of delivery | Blood and saliva RT-PCR (+) on day 3 of life | Asymptomatic |
|                               | 2      | February 2014          | French Polynesia  | Early 40s             | Pruritic rash, fever and myalgia, started 3 days after delivery | Blood RT-PCR (+) on day 1 of infant's life | RT-PCR (+), cell culture (−) on day 8 of infant's life | Started on day of delivery | Blood RT-PCR (−) at birth/day 3 of life, and (+) on day 4 of life | Rash, leukopenia, thrombocytopenia, and subclinical hepatitis |
| Dupont-Rouzeyrol et al. [27]  | 3      | July 2015              | New Caledonia     | 27                    | Fever and subsequent rash started on day of delivery | Blood RT-PCR (+) on day 3 of infant's life | RT-PCR (+), cell culture (+) on day 4 of infant's life | Started on day of delivery | Blood RT-PCR indeterminate | Asymptomatic |
| Cavalcanti MG et al. [28]     | 4      | NA                     | Brazil            | 33                    | Fever, malaise, rash (appeared on day 2 of illness) when infant aged 11 months | Blood and urine RT-PCR (+) on day 4 of illness | RT-PCR (+), cell culture (+) on day 4 of illness | Breastfeeding held for 7 days when rash appeared | Urine RT-PCR (−) | Asymptomatic |
|                               | 5      | NA                     | Brazil            | 42                    | Fever, retro-ocular pain, conjunctival injection, rash, arthralgia when infant aged 2–3 months | Blood RT-PCR (−), Urine RT-PCR (−) | RT-PCR (−) | Breastfeeding throughout maternal illness | Not tested | Asymptomatic |
|                               | 6      | NA                     | Brazil            | 28                    | Fever, conjunctival injection, rash, arthralgia when infant aged 10–11 months | Blood and urine RT-PCR (+) | RT-PCR (−) | Breastfeeding throughout maternal illness | Blood RT-PCR (−) b | Asymptomatic |
|                               | 7      | NA                     | Brazil            | 33                    | Rash, arthralgia when pregnant (28 weeks) | Blood and urine RT-PCR (−), urine PCR remained (+) for 30 days | RT-PCR (−) | Breastfeeding for 4 months after birth f | Blood and urine RT-PCR (−), IgM anti-ZIKV (−) | Asymptomatic, no evidence of congenital disease |
| Sotelo JR et al. [29]         | 8      | February 2016          | Brazil            | 28                    | Fever, myalgia, arthralgia when pregnant (36 weeks), 2 weeks before delivery | Blood RT-PCR (+) | RT-PCR (+), cell culture (+) on day 9 of infant's life | Breastfeeding avoided | Placental tissue, amniotic fluid, umbilical cord blood, and urine RT-PCR (−) | Asymptomatic |
| Blohm GM, et al. [30]         | 9      | March 2016             | Venezuela         | ?                     | Illness a when infant aged 5 months | Blood and urine RT-PCR (+) | RT-PCR (+), full genome sequencing showed >99% identity with maternal strain | Exclusively breastfed | Blood and urine RT-PCR (−) samples obtained 3 days after mother developed illness | Asymptomatic |

NA not available

a Leukopenia 4.6 × 10^9 cells/L, thrombocytopenia: nadir 65 × 10^9/ml on day 4 of life, alanine aminotransferase: maximum level 150 U/L at 2 months of age

b Child had an illness with fever and rash [Chikungunya RT-PCR (+)], timing in relation to the maternal illness not specified in the publication

c Publication did not specify when baby in relation of maternal illness was born

d Symptoms of maternal illness not specified in publication
early infancy is probably frequently missed and hence underreported. There is still a significant amount of uncertainty about the mode of transmission that may occur at this crucial time. The understanding of the placental and blood-brain barrier at the end of gestation is incomplete as studies have shown conflicting results. While it was shown that primary human trophoblast cells of full-term placentae are resistant to infection with historic ZIKV strains (ZIKV strains from Uganda and Cambodia), a contemporary ZIKV strain from Puerto Rico was able to infect human placental macrophages and mature primary human trophoblast cells [33, 34]. With respect to potential breast milk transmission, research questions regarding the dynamics of ZIKV viral load in the breast milk, exposure duration, and a better understanding of how often breast milk transmission may happen in affected populations in ZIKV-endemic regions remain unanswered [35]. The current WHO infant feeding guidelines in areas of ZIKV transmission support breastfeeding. Unless any scientific data raise concern regarding transmission via breastfeeding, the current guidelines should continue to be followed [36].

Clinical Characteristics of ZIKV Infection in Children Acquired Postnatally

Wherever incidence rates for ZIKV infection were studied during the more recent outbreaks, higher attack rates were noted for females compared to males and older age compared to younger age [37–39]. Reasons for this observation are not clear and may be related to health care seeking behavior and testing preferences. Overall, it has been estimated previously that about 80% of ZIKV infections are asymptomatic [37, 40]. However, a more recent ZIKV seroprevalence study from French Polynesia estimated the proportion of asymptomatic infections to be 53% overall and only 29% for school children aged 6–16 years [41]. Descriptions of the spectrum of clinical characteristics of ZIKV infection specific to pediatric patients are still limited. For one, published reports of recent large outbreaks (e.g., in Yap and Colombia) summarized the clinical characteristics for children and adults combined only as aggregate information, thus precluding the opportunity to assess for differences in the clinical presentation and course of illness according to age [37, 38]. Moreover, in the report from Yap, young children <3 years were even excluded from the household survey component of the study that was meant to determine the extent of the outbreak and identify risk factors [37]. Karwowski et al. [42] summarized ten pediatric cases reported in six publications between 1954 and 2016 from Nigeria, Indonesia, Cambodia, The Philippines, New Caledonia, and Colombia [43–48]. The median age of the reported pediatric cases was 13.5 years, and only one child was a toddler (aged 3 years) (Table 2). While all had fever, arthralgia and conjunctivitis were reported in three and two children, respectively, and none reported a rash. Of note, gastrointestinal symptoms (i.e., abdominal pain, anorexia, nausea, vomiting, constipation, or diarrhea) were described for seven of the reported pediatric cases. One child had a

Table 2  Clinical characteristics of pediatric Zika virus infections

| Author           | Number of cases | Median age (range), years | Female gender, N (%) | Symptoms, N (%) | Neurological complications | Hospitalized |
|------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| Karwowski MP et al.a | 10              | 13.5 (3–16)               | 4 (40)               | 10 (100) 0 (0) 2 (20) 3 (30) | ID            | ID                       |
| Goodman AB, et al.b,c | 158             | 14 (0.1–17)               | 88 (56)              | 87 (55) 129 (82) 45 (29) 44 (28) 0 (0) | 2 (1)        |
| Li J, et al. | 14              | 11 (0.5–16)               | 7 (50)               | 9 (64) 14 (100) 4 (29) 2 (14) 0 (0) | 14 (100)     |

ID incomplete data, see text

a This report describes a total of 10 pediatric cases reported in 6 publications [39–44]. Other reported symptoms include malaise (N = 5), headache (N = 5), dizziness (N = 3), myalgia (N = 4), and sore throat (N = 2)

b All cases were reported from the continental US, and they were travel-associated. Children living in Puerto Rico and other US territories were excluded

c 70% had ≥2 of the four signs and symptoms, and 54% had fever and rash, 33% had ≥ three of the primary signs and symptoms. Other reported symptoms include headache, myalgia, vomiting, diarrhea, retro-orbital pain, chills, and throat

d One child (aged 4 years) required inpatient care for 3 days because of fever, cough, and poor oral intake, and another child (aged 1 year) was hospitalized for 1 day

e ZIKV infections were locally acquired

f At presentation only 50% had rash; the maximum duration of rash among patients with ZIKV infection only (N = 13) was 4 days. Other relevant symptoms reported included headache and myalgia reported by three patients, respectively

* One of two had a coinfection with dengue

b All had been admitted only because of temporary quarantine mandate in Singapore between 27 August and 5 September 2016
concurrent malaria infection, and one a concurrent DENV infection. No particular complications were noted in the context of those coinfections. However, another case was reported to have an episode of hypotension, and another case an episode of hematuria. Complete recovery was mentioned for four, while the course of a 15-year-old girl with sickle cell disease was complicated by ARDS, hemotherax, and splenic sequestration. ZIKV infection was confirmed by RT-PCR, while test results for DENV, CHIKV, YFV, and malaria were negative. She required intensive care and subsequently died. For five cases, no comment was made about outcome.

The largest population-based case series comes from the USA including a total of 158 confirmed or probable travel-associated pediatric cases (<18 years) that were reported to ArboNET, CDC's national arboviral disease surveillance system, until September 9, 2016 [10]. Children living in Puerto Rico and other US territories were not included in this analysis. Most cases were reported from the state of Florida, New York, and California. The median age was 14 years, 142 (90%) were of school age (aged ≥5 years), 16 (10%) were 0–4 years old, and 5 teenagers (aged 16–17) were pregnant. Fever and rash were the predominant symptoms and signs, two children (aged 1 and 4 years) required hospitalization, and there was no mortality nor reported neurologic complications (Table 2). It was noted that there were no differences among age groups in the proportion of the clinical features observed. The authors acknowledge that the inclusion of only symptomatic cases in this report may be one of several limitations. Other limitations include a bias towards testing females of childbearing age, a lack of rigorous reporting system (the only optional reporting of symptoms is to ArboNET), and the lack of systematically collected clinical follow-up data [10].

The most recently published case series of ZIKV infection in children comes from Singapore [49]. It is the first pediatric case series of ZIKV infections from Southeast Asia. A temporary containment phase (27 August to 5 September 2017) led to the identification of 14 pediatric cases of locally acquired ZIKV infection that had been admitted to KK Women’s and Children’s Hospital, which at the time was the designated ZIKV quarantine hospital. With a median age of 11 years, most (N = 9) affected children in this series were of school age, followed by two preschool children (3.9 and 4.1 years old), two toddlers (1.3 and 2.2 years old), and only one infant (6 months old). Most children presented with fever and rash and half had concurrent cough or runny nose on presentation (Table 2). Of those with complete blood count testing on presentation (N = 12), a third had evidence of leukopenia with neutropenia (N = 2) or lymphopenia (N = 4), while all had normal platelet counts. One case of a 15-year-old boy with a DENV coinfection stood out as he had on day 3 of illness a single episode of hypotension that responded to intravenous hydration and developed thrombocytopenia with a nadir of 74 × 10⁹/L. This case illustrates what has been shown in vitro that DENV immunity may be associated with antibody-dependent enhancement of infection with ZIKV [50]. All children in this series recovered without any complications, and none died, required higher level of care or developed neurologic complications, although there was no long-term follow-up information available [49].

In regard to neurologic complications, cases of ZIKV-associated Guillain-Barré syndrome and meningoencephalitis have apparently been reported occasionally among children during the outbreak in Brazil [10], although no pediatric cases were reported from the recently reported seven countries case series [51]. In addition to the above described fatal case of a 15-year-old girl from Colombia, investigators have reported one other death in a 16-year-old girl from Brazil [10]. She was hospitalized with headache, nausea, and petechial rash. ZIKV infection was confirmed by RT-PCR. More detailed information including DENV, CHIKV, and YFV test results were not available. No other deaths or complicated disease courses were reported in children that were studied in the context of the outbreaks on Yap Island or French Polynesia [37, 38].

Conclusions

There has been rapid progress into our understanding of the ZIKV’s neurotropism and its effect on the fetal brain in utero since the beginning of the outbreak in the Americas. Most children with non-congenital ZIKV infection are asymptomatic or are affected by a generally mild and self-limited illness. However, there is lack of pediatric data describing the full clinical spectrum including effects on child development as a result of the congenital ZIKV infection. Also, lacking are descriptions of ZIKV infection in infants and children acquired by a non-congenital route. Two cases of perinatal ZIKV infection have been described in the literature with no evidence of neurocognitive deficits after approximately 30 months of follow-up. Recognition of the presence of infective ZIKV in breast milk raises concern about potential breast milk transmission of ZIKV in endemic areas. Nevertheless, only one likely case of breast milk transmission has been reported, based on the isolation of an identical ZIKV strain in a nursing mother and her infant. Better understanding is needed regarding the pathomechanisms and incidence of transmission related to breast milk in ZIKV-endemic regions. The current WHO infant feeding guidelines in areas of ZIKV transmission support breastfeeding. Unless any scientific data raise concern regarding transmission via breastfeeding, the current guidelines should continue to be followed. Prospective population-based cohort studies to investigate maternal, perinatal, infant, and child outcomes are urgently needed, as results of such research may likely influence future pediatric practice.
Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of Interest Dr. Hagmann declares no conflicts of interest.

Human and Animal Rights and Informed Consent This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by the authors.

References

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

• Of importance
  • Of major importance

1. World Health Organization. Situation report: Zika virus. http://www.who.int/emergencies/zika-virus/situation-report/10-march-2017/en/. Accessed 10 May 2017.

2. Hotez PJ. What does Zika virus mean for the children of the Americas? JAMA Pediatr. 2016;170(8):787–9.

3. World Health Organization. WHO statement on the first meeting of the International Health Regulations (2005) Emergency Committee on Zika virus and observed increase in neurological disorders and neonatal malformations http://www.who.int/mediacentre/news/statements/2016/1st-emergency-committee-zika/en/. Accessed 10 May 2017.

4. Kapogiannis BG, Chakhtoura N, Hazra R, Spong CY. Bridging knowledge gaps to understand how Zika virus exposure and infection affect child development. JAMA Pediatr. 2017;171(5):478–85. This special communication, summarizing the results of a recent workshop organized by the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development and partners Institutes at the National Institutes of Health, defines succinctly the research needed to address knowledge gaps and inform practice related to Zika virus exposure and clinical impact in children.

5. Moore CA, Weaver DD, Bull MJ. Fetal brain disruption sequence. J Pediatr. 1990;116(3):383–6.

6. Culjat M, Darling SE, Nerukar VR, Ching N, Kumar M, Min SK, et al. Clinical and imaging findings in an infant with Zika embryopathy. Clin Infect Dis. 2016;63(6):805–11.

7. Moore CA, Staples JE, Dobyns WB, Pessoa A, Ventura CV, Borges da Fonseca E, et al. Characterizing the pattern of anomalies in congenital Zika syndrome for pediatric clinicians. JAMA Pediatr. 2017;171(3):288–95.

8. Brasil P, Pereira JP, Moreira ME, Ribeiro Nogueira RM, Damasceno L, Wakimoto M, et al. Zika virus infection in pregnant women in Rio de Janeiro. N Engl J Med. 2016;375:2321–34.

9. National Institutes of Health. NIH launches large study of pregnant women in areas affected by Zika virus, international effort to enroll approximately 10,000 women. https://www.nih.gov/news-events/news-releases/nih-launches-large-study-pregnant-women-areas-affected-zika-virus. Accessed 10 May 2017.

10. Goodman AB, Dzubiela EJ, Powell K, Bitsko RH, Langley N, Franks JL, et al. Characteristics of children aged <18 years with Zika virus disease acquired postnatally—U.S. States, January 2015–July 2016. MMWR. 2016;65(39):1082–5. This report provides the largest population-based case series of pediatric non-congenitally acquired Zika virus infection.

11. Musso D, Gubler DJ. Zika virus. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2016;29:487–524.

12. Grisocht F, Puhud M, Hatz C, Schlagenhauf P. Non-vector-borne transmission of Zika virus: a systematic review. Travel Med Infect Dis. 2016;14(4):313–30.

13. Colt S, Garcia-Casal MN, Peña-Rosas JP, Finkelstein JL, Rayco-Solon P, Weise Prinzo ZC, et al. Transmission of Zika virus through breast milk and other breastfeeding-related bodily-fluids: a systematic review. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017;11(4):e0005528. doi: 10.1371/journal. This study provides a succinct discussion of breast milk-related Zika virus transmission.

14. Tan PC, Rajasingam G, Devi S, Omar SZ. Dengue infection in pregnancy: prevalence, vertical transmission, and pregnancy outcome. Obstet Gynecol. 2008;111(5):1111–7. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e31816a49fc.

15. Pouliot SH, Xiong X, Harville E, Paz-Soldan V, Tomashke KM, Breart G, et al. Maternal dengue and pregnancy outcomes: a systematic review. Obstet Gynecol Surv. 2010;65(2):107–18.

16. Basurko C, Gousseff M, Guindi WE. Maternal and foetal consequences of dengue fever during pregnancy. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2009;147(1):29–32. doi: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2009.06.028.

17. Adam I, Jumaa AM, Elbashir HM, Karsany MS. Maternal and perinatal outcomes of dengue in Port Sudan, Eastern Sudan. Virol J. 2010;7:153. doi: 10.1186/1743-422X-7-153.

18. Barthel A, Gourinat AC, Cazorla C, Joubert C, Dupont-Rouzyrol M, Descloux E. Breast milk as a possible route of vertical transmission of dengue virus? Clin Infect Dis. 2013;57(3):415–7. doi: 10.1093/cid/cit277.

19. Fritel X, Rollot O, Gerardin P, Gauzere BA, Bideault J, Lagarde L, et al. Chikungunya virus infection during pregnancy, Reunion, France, 2006. Emerg Infect Dis. 2010;16(3):418–20. doi: 10.3201/ eid1604.091403.

20. Gerardin P, Barau G, Michault A, Bintner M, Randrianaivo H, Choker G, et al. Multidisciplinary prospective study of mother-to-child chikungunya virus infections on the island of La Reunion. PLoS Med. 2008;5(3):e60. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.0050060.

21. Stewart RD, Bryant SN, Sheffield JS. West Nile virus infection in pregnancy. Case Rep Infect Dis. 2013;2013:351872.

22. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Possible West Nile virus transmission to an infant through breast-feeding—Michigan, 2002. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2002;51(39):877–8.

23. Hinckley AF, O’Leary DR, Hayes EB. Transmission of West Nile virus through human breast milk seems to be rare. Pediatrics. 2007;119(3):e666–71.

24. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Transmission of yellow fever virus through breastfeeding—Brazil, 2009. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2010;59(5):130–2.

25. Kuhn S, Twele-Montecinos L, MacDonald J, Webster P, Law B. Case report: probable transmission of vaccine strain of yellow fever virus to an infant via breast milk. CMAJ. 2011;183(4):E243–5. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.100619.

26. Besnard M, Lastère S, Teissier A, Cao-Lormeau V, Musso D. Evidence of perinatal transmission of Zika virus, French Polynesia, December 2013 and February 2014. Euro Surveill. 2014;19:20751. This report contains the first report of two infants with perinatally acquired Zika virus infection.

27. DuPont-Rouzyrol M, Biron A, O’Connor O, Hugueno E, Descloux E. Infectious Zika viral particles in breastmilk. Lancet. 2016;387(10023):1051.

28. Cavalcanti MG, Cabral-Castro MJ, Goncalves JLS, Santana LS, Scarlatelli Pimenta E, et al. Zika virus shedding on human milk during lactation: an unlikely source of infection? Int J Infect Dis. 2017;57:70–2.

29. Sotelo JR, Sotelo AB, Sotelo F, Doi AM, Pinho J, Oliveira R, et al. Persistence of Zika virus in breast milk after infection in late stage of pregnancy. Emerg Infect Dis. 2017;23(5):854–6. doi: 10.3201/eid2305.161538.
30. Blohm GM, Lednicky JA, Márquez M, White SK, Loeb JC, Pacheco CA, et al. Complete genome sequences of identical Zika virus isolates in a nursing mother and her infant. Genome Announc. 2017;5:e00231–17. doi: 10.1128/genomeA.00231-17. This report represents the most compelling evidence that breast milk transmission of Zika virus can occur.

31. Besnard M, Dub T, Gérardin P. Outcomes for 2 children after perinatal acquisition of Zika virus infection, French Polynesia, 2013–2014. Emerg Infect Dis. 2017; doi: 10.3201/eid2308.170198. This report offers hitherto the only published long term follow up information of infants with perinatal Zika virus infection.

32. Child Development Assessment Scale, http://www.ged-cdas.ca. Accessed on May 20, 2017.

33. Quicke KM, Bowen JR, Johnson EL, McDonald CE, Ma H, O’Neal JT, et al. Zika virus infects human placental macrophages. Cell Host Microbe. 2016;20:83–90.

34. Aagaard KM, Lahon A, Suter MA, Arya RP, Seferovic MD, Vogt MB, et al. Primary human placental trophoblasts are permissive for Zika virus (ZIKV) replication. Sci Rep. 2017;7:41389.

35. Pfaender S, Vielle NJ, Ebert N, Steinmann E, Alves MP, Thiel V. Inactivation of Zika virus in human breast milk by prolonged storage and pasteurization. Virus Res. 2017;228:58–60.

36. Guideline: infant feeding in areas of Zika virus transmission. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016.

37. Duffy MR, Chen TH, Hancock WT, Powers AM, Kool JL, Lanciotti RS, et al. Zika virus outbreak on Yap Island, Federated States of Micronesia. N Engl J Med. 2009;360:2536–43.

38. Pacheco O, Beltrán M, Nelson CA, Valencia D, Tolosa N, Farr SL, et al. Zika virus disease in Colombia—preliminary report. N Engl J Med. 2016; doi:10.1056/NEJMoai1604037.

39. Li J, Chong CY, Tan NWH, Yung CF, Tee NWS, Thoon KC. Characteristics of Zika virus disease in children: clinical, hematological and virological findings from an outbreak in Singapore. Clin Infect Dis. 2017;64(10):1445–8. This study is the first pediatric case series of Zika virus infection from Southeast Asia.

40. Dejnirattisai W, Supasa P, Wongwinit W, Rouvinski A, Barba-Spaeth G, Duangchinda T, et al. Dengue virus sero-cross-reactivity drives antibody-dependent enhancement of infection with Zika virus. Nat Immunol. 2016;17:1102–8.

41. Aubry A, Teissier A, Huart M, Merceron S, Vanhomwegen J, Roche C, et al. Zika virus seroreivalence, French Polynesia, 2014–2015. Emerg Infect Dis. 2016;23(4):669–72.