Phylogenomic Analyses and Reclassification of Species within the Genus *Tsukamurella*: Insights to Species Definition in the Post-genomic Era
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Owing to the highly similar phenotypic profiles, protein spectra and 16S rRNA gene sequences observed between three pairs of *Tsukamurella* species (*Tsukamurella pulmonis*/*Tsukamurella spongiae*, *Tsukamurella tyrosinosolvens*/*Tsukamurella carboxydivorans*, and *Tsukamurella pseudospumae*/*Tsukamurella sunchonensis*), we hypothesize that the six *Tsukamurella* species may have been misclassified and that there may only be three *Tsukamurella* species. In this study, we characterized the type strains of these six *Tsukamurella* species by tradition DNA–DNA hybridization (DDH) and “digital DDH” after genome sequencing to determine their exact taxonomic positions. Traditional DDH showed 81.2 ± 0.6% to 99.7 ± 1.0% DNA–DNA relatedness between the two *Tsukamurella* species in each of the three pairs, which was above the threshold for same species designation. “Digital DDH” based on Genome-To-Genome Distance Calculator and Average Nucleotide Identity for the three pairs also showed similarity results in the range of 82.3–92.9 and 98.1–99.1%, respectively, in line with results of traditional DDH. Based on these evidence and according to Rules 23a and 42 of the *Bacteriological Code*, we propose that *T. spongiae* Olson et al. 2007, should be reclassified as a later heterotypic synonym of *T. pulmonis* Yassin et al. 1996, *T. carboxydivorans* Park et al. 2009, as a later heterotypic synonym of *T. tyrosinosolvens* Yassin et al. 1997, and *T. sunchonensis* Seong et al. 2008 as a later heterotypic synonym of *T. pseudospumae* Nam et al. 2004. With the advancement of genome sequencing technologies, classification of bacterial species can be readily achieved by “digital DDH” than traditional DDH.
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INTRODUCTION

Traditionally, classification of bacteria is performed on the basis of their phenotypic characteristics, such as the appearance of the bacterium under light microscope after Gram staining, growth requirements, biochemical tests, and chemotaxonomic characteristics. However, the results of individual biochemical tests may vary among different strains of the same bacterial species, leading to variations in their biochemical profiles. Therefore, it is often difficult to determine whether a strain belongs to a new species or if it is just a variant of an existing species. In the 1970s, the discovery of conserved small ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene sequences has marked the beginning of a new era for the study of evolution and classification of living organisms (Fox et al., 1977; Gupta et al., 1983). These rRNA gene sequences are, in general, highly conserved within living organisms of the same genus and species, but different between organisms of different genera and species. With the subsequent invention of PCR and automated DNA sequencing technology in the 1990s, 16S rRNA gene sequences have been widely used for phylogenetic, bacterial classification and identification. Numerous bacterial genera and species have been re-classified and renamed, and many novel bacterial genera and species have been discovered (Woo et al., 2008). However, careful analysis of 16S rRNA data is needed to avoid misinterpretation of species classification, especially in cases where taxonomic claims are proposed on the basis of the 16S rRNA data alone. For example, two strains that share 96% 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity are determined to be members of different species; but in some cases, two strains that share 98% 16S rRNA gene similarity may or may not be members of the same species (Stackebrandt and Goebel, 1994; Palys et al., 1997). As a result, it is difficult to use a universal cut-off value for classifying all bacteria to the species level. Other data sets should always be used in support of the claims.

In view of these problems, DNA–DNA hybridization (DDH) has remained the gold standard for classification in bacterial taxonomy. It represents a universally applicable technique that offers genome-wide comparisons between any bacteria, and a universal DDH value of 70% is proposed to be the criterion for bacterial species delineation (Wayne, 1988). However, this traditional method is time-consuming and labor-intensive, and it is difficult to compare DDH results between different laboratories objectively. Given these drawbacks, bacterial taxonomists have been actively searching for alternative methods to replace the traditional DDH for bacterial classification and species assignment (Goris et al., 2007). In the era of genomics, massive amounts of high-quality bacterial genome sequences can be easily obtained by high-throughput sequencing approach. As a result, different attempts have been made to replace the traditional wet-lab DDH with in silico genome-to-genome comparison. Among the various “digital DDH” methods studied, the Genome-To-Genome Distance Calculator (GGDC) and Average Nucleotide Identity (ANI) calculator were shown to yield good correlation with wet-lab DDH values (Goris et al., 2007; Auch et al., 2010). GGDC operates on the same scale as the wet-lab DDH method and use the value of 70% as a cut-off for species delineation (Auch et al., 2010). ANI, on the other hand, estimates the average nucleotide identity between two genomic datasets, and an ANI value in the range of 94–96% has been suggested as the substitute for a wet-lab DDH value of 70% (Konstantinidis and Tiedje, 2005; Goris et al., 2007; Richter and Rosselló-Móra, 2009).

The genus Tsukamurella was first proposed in 1988 by Collins and colleagues using both chemotaxonomic data and 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis (Collins et al., 1988), although taxonomic history of the genus can be dated back to 1941, when the first strain of this group of bacteria was isolated from mycetomes and ovaries of bed bugs (Cimex lectularius), and was initially named Corynebacterium paurometabolum (Steinhaus, 1941). Members of Tsukamurella are Gram-positive, aerobic, catalase-positive, and partially acid-fast, with morphology similar to the related genera of the order Actinomycetales, including Mycobacterium, Nocardia, Corynebacterium, Gordonia, and Rhodococcus. Chemotaxonomic characteristics, such as fatty acid, mycolic acid, menaquinone, and polar lipid composition, have been shown to be particularly useful to study the relatedness of actinomycete and coryneform systematics (Collins and Jones, 1982; Collins et al., 1982). At the time of writing, there are 13 species to be included in the genus Tsukamurella according to the current state of the taxonomy, with only seven species associated with human infections. The most common Tsukamurella infections in human are related to the presence of indwelling devices (Larkin et al., 1999; Shaer and Gadgebku, 2001; Schwartz et al., 2002; Almehmi et al., 2004; Bouza et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2011). The disease spectra of Tsukamurella further extends to infections related to ophthalmologic diseases (Woo et al., 2003, 2009), and recently, we have reported the discovery of two novel Tsukamurella species, Tsukamurella hongkongensis and Tsukamurella sinensis, from patients with keratitis and conjunctivitis (Teng et al., 2015). Tsukamurella is also found in different environmental sources as well as in animals (Maeda et al., 2010; Jiang et al., 2013). However, as nucleotide differences between the 16S rRNA gene sequences of different Tsukamurella species are less than 1% (Woo et al., 2003), defining a Tsukamurella strain as a novel species relies heavily on DDH results. However, it is important to note that DDH results may vary depending on the identity of the DNA used and any experimental problems cannot be checked in a different laboratory using the same samples, hence it is possible that Tsukamurella strains may be wrongly classified on the basis of DDH data alone.

During the process of characterization of T. hongkongensis and T. sinensis with other type strains of known Tsukamurella species, we observed that some of the known Tsukamurella species shared identical 16S rRNA gene sequence and highly similar phenotypic characteristics using the same testing method (Teng et al., 2015). Our results showed that almost identical phenotypic profiles were observed between Tsukamurella pulmonis CCUG 35732T (Yassin et al., 1996) and Tsukamurella spongiae DSM 44990T (Olson et al., 2007), between Tsukamurella tyrosinosolvens CCUG 38499T (Yassin et al., 1997) and Tsukamurella carboxydovorans JCM 15482T (Park et al., 2009), and between Tsukamurella pseudospumae JCM 13375T (Nam et al., 2004) and Tsukamurella sunchonensis JCM 15929T (Seong et al., 2003; Euzéby, 2008). However,
phenotypic characteristics of these six Tsukamurella species obtained from our study were not comparable to those obtained from the original publications because different culture medium, reading interval and incubation conditions of the phenotypic tests have been applied in different studies (Yassin et al., 1996, 1997; Seong et al., 2003; Nam et al., 2004; Olson et al., 2007; Park et al., 2009). We further characterized these strains by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS), in which the hierarchical cluster analysis dendrogram also revealed the same clustering patterns among these three pairs of Tsukamurella type strains. Therefore, we hypothesized that these six Tsukamurella species may have been misclassified and some of them may belong to the same Tsukamurella species. In fact, when some of these "novel" Tsukamurella species were described, only a marginal DDH result of around 62% was observed between T. tyrosinosolvens CCUG 38499T and T. carboxydivorans JCM 15482T (Park et al., 2009). In this study, we re-characterized these six Tsukamurella species by performing the traditional DDH again. In addition, we sequenced their genomes, which represented the first genomes to be sequenced for T. pulmonis, T. spongiae, T. tyrosinosolvens, T. carboxydivorans, T. pseudospumae, and T. sunchonensis, and performed "digital DDH" studies to determine their exact taxonomic positions using a phylogenomics approach.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial Strains

The six strains included in this study were type strains and obtained from four culture collections, with T. pulmonis CCUG 35732T and T. tyrosinosolvens CCUG 38499T obtained from Culture Collection, University of Gothenburg, Sweden (CCUG), T. spongiae DSM 44990T from Leibniz Institute DSMZ—German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures, Germany (DSMZ), and T. sunchonensis JCM 15929T, T. pseudospumae JCM 13375T, and T. carboxydivorans JCM 15482T from Japan Collection of Microorganisms (JCM), Japan.

Phenotypic Characterization

The six strains were phenotypically characterized in detail. Bacteria were streaked on Columbia agar with 5% defibrinated sheep blood and incubated at 25°C under aerobic condition for 2 days to observe the color of the colonies. Growth response to different temperatures (25, 37, and 42°C) were determined by spreading bacterial cells of 0.5 McFarland on Columbia agar with 5% defibrinated sheep blood agar followed by incubation under aerobic condition for up to 7 days. Hydrolysis of tyrosine and xanthine were tested as described previously (Conville and Witebsky, 2007). Biochemical data were obtained using the API 50 CH systems (bioMérieux, France) at 25°C according to manufacturers' instructions. Reading intervals and durations of the tests followed the protocol suggested by Kattar et al. (2001). Cellular fatty acids were extracted directly from lyophilized cells (approximately 30 mg of original wet weight) grown on Columbia agar with 5% defibrinated sheep blood at 25°C for 2 days and analyzed by gas chromatography as described by Microbial ID, Inc. (Sasser, 1990) with peak-naming performed using MIDI, Inc. Sherlock Rapid Libraries and Methods.

16S rRNA Gene Sequencing

The six strains were subjected to 16S rRNA gene sequencing according to previously published protocol using primers LPW27807 (5′-TGCTCAGGACGCAAAGCT-3′) and LPW27808 (5′-GAGGCTGATCCAGCGCA-3′; Teng et al., 2015). The sequences of PCR products were compared to known gene sequences in GenBank by multiple sequence alignment using Bioedit 7.1.9 (Hall, 1999). Phylogenetic tree was constructed by maximum likelihood method using the model GTR + I + G in MEGA 6.0 (Tamura et al., 2013).

MALDI-TOF MS Analysis

MALDI-TOF MS of all Tsukamurella strains was performed as previously described (Verroken et al., 2010; Lau et al., 2014; Teng et al., 2014) with slight modifications. Briefly, bacteria were first grown aerobically on horse blood agar at 37°C for 2 days. Two to three colonies were scraped in 500 µl of distilled water and boiled for 30 min. After centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 2 min, 300 µl of water followed by 900 µl of 100% ethanol was added and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 2 min twice. Supernatant was removed and pellet was air dried thoroughly. Fifty microliters of 70% formic acid was then added and mixed well with the pellet at room temperature for 15 min. Finally the solution was mixed with 50 µl of acetonitrile and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 2 min. One microliter of supernatant was loaded to a 96-well-spot polished steel target plate (Bruker Daltonik, Bremen, Germany) and air dried. One microliter of matrix solution (α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid; Sigma Aldrich, St Louis, USA) was applied and allowed to air dry. Within the range of m/z 2,000–20,000 Da, spectra were obtained with an accelerating voltage of 20 kV and collected in linear mode using the MicroFlex LT (Bruker Daltonik). Resulting data was analyzed with MALDI Biotyper 3.1 and a score of ≥1.7 and ≥2.0, as determined by the Reference Library V.3.1.2.0. Bruker Biotyper, was considered to be confident for identification on the genus and species level, respectively. Main spectral pattern (MSP) created from representative spectra of each strain were selected for hierarchical cluster analysis using the Bruker Biotyper software with default parameters (Ketterlinus et al., 2005).

Wet-Lab DNA–DNA Hybridization

DDH studies were performed between T. pulmonis CCUG 35732T and T. spongiae DSM 44990T, T. tyrosinosolvens CCUG 38499T and T. carboxydivorans JCM 15482T and T. pseudospumae JCM 13375T and T. sunchonensis JCM 15929T, respectively. Preparation of genomic DNA was performed using QIAGEN Genomic tip 100-G (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). DNA probe was prepared using reagent DIG-High Prime DNA labeling (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland) according to Seong et al. (2003), while DNA–DNA dot blot hybridization was carried out using ULTRAhyb ultrasesensive hybridization buffer (Ambion, New York, USA), DIG wash and block buffers, anti-DIG-AP and CDP-Star (Roche Diagnostics) as described previously (Woo et al., 2014; Teng et al., 2015). DDH was performed at 42°C in
triplicate for each of the probe used. To quantify the relative levels of DNA–DNA relatedness, developed X-ray films were scanned and dot intensities were analyzed using the software ImageJ 1.46r (Abramoff et al., 2004). Self-hybridization values were considered to be 100%, representing the maximal achievable signal. Values obtained with other test strains were compared with this standard (Woo et al., 2014; Teng et al., 2015). Reciprocal hybridizations were also performed.

**Genome Sequencing, Assembly, and Annotation of the Six Tsukamurella Species**

The draft genome sequences of the six Tsukamurella species were determined by high-throughput sequencing with Illumina Hi-Seq 2500. Genomic DNA of each strain was extracted from overnight cultures (37°C) grown on blood agars using the genomic DNA purification kit (Qiagen) as described previously (Woo et al., 2009; Tse et al., 2010). Extracted DNA was then sequenced by k-mer frequency spectrum analysis using SOAPec1. Prediction of protein coding regions and automatic functional annotation was performed using RAST (Rapid Annotations using Subsystem Technology) server version 2.01 (Delcher et al., 2007; Aziz et al., 2008). Genomic islands were predicted with 70% (GGDC) and 94–96% (ANI) as the substitute for a web service can be used for calculating intergenomic distances, between these six Tsukamurella species was calculated using Zisland Explorer method4 (Wei and Guo, 2011; Wei et al., 2016).

**Phylogenomic Characteristics**

The phylogenetic tree based on entire genome sequences was constructed by neighbor-joining method using GGDC distance (formula 2) and Dietzia cinnamata (NZ_AEKG010000298.1) as the root. The tree was saved in Newick format and subsequently processed by MEGA (Tamura et al., 2013). Intergenomic distance between these six Tsukamurella species was calculated using GGDC 2.11 and ANI9 (Goris et al., 2007; Auch et al., 2010). The web service can be used for calculating intergenomic distances, with 70% (GGDC) and 94–96% (ANI) as the substitute for a wet-lab DDH value of 70% for same species delineation.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Phenotypic Characteristics**

The biochemical and physiological properties of T. pulmonis CCUG 35732T, T. spongiae DSM 44990T, T. tyrosinosolvens CCUG 38499T, T. carboxydivorans JCM 15482T, T. pseudospumae JCM 13375T, and T. sunchonensis JCM 15929T and are summarized in Table 1. Of the six Tsukamurella species, all were aerobic, non-sporulating, Gram-positive bacilli, and grew on sheep blood agar as white or orange to red colonies of <5 mm in diameter after 48 h of incubation at 25°C in ambient air. All of them grew well at 25 and 37°C but none of them grew at 42°C. T. tyrosinosolvens CCUG 38499T, T. carboxydivorans JCM 15482T, T. pseudospumae JCM 13375T, and T. sunchonensis JCM 15929T could hydrolyse tyrosine but only T. carboxydivorans JCM 15482T could hydrolyse xanthine. Sugar assimilation results using API 50 CH systems (bioMérieux, France) showed almost identical phenotypic profiles between T. pulmonis CCUG 35732T and T. spongiae DSM 44990T (48 of 49 sugar assimilation results were identical), between T. tyrosinosolvens CCUG 38499T and T. carboxydivorans JCM 15482T (48 of 49 sugar assimilation results were identical), and between T. pseudospumae JCM 13375T and T. sunchonensis JCM 15929T (45 of 49 sugar assimilation results were identical). The fatty acid profiles of the six Tsukamurella species are summarized in Table 2. The major fatty acids compositions of strains T. pulmonis CCUG 35732T, T. spongiae DSM 44990T, T. tyrosinosolvens CCUG 38499T, T. carboxydivorans JCM 15482T, T. pseudospumae JCM 13375T, and T. sunchonensis JCM 15929T included C16:0, C18:1ω9c, and 10-methyl C18:0, which is typical of members of Tsukamurella (Table 2; Goodfellow and Kumar, 2012).

**16S rRNA Gene Sequencing**

Sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene of the six Tsukamurella species showed that there were 5–56 (0.3–3.8%) base differences between their 16S rRNA gene sequences and those of the type strains of other currently recognized Tsukamurella species. Pairwise sequence similarity calculations showed identical 16S rRNA gene sequences between T. pulmonis CCUG 35732T and T. spongiae DSM 44990T, T. tyrosinosolvens CCUG 38499T, T. carboxydivorans JCM 15482T, T. pseudospumae JCM 13375T, and T. sunchonensis JCM 15929T included C16:0, C18:1ω9c, and 10-methyl C18:0, which is typical of members of Tsukamurella (Table 2; Goodfellow and Kumar, 2012).

**MALDI-TOF MS Analysis**

Consistent with the results of phenotypic characterization, dendrogram generated from hierarchical cluster analysis of MALDI-TOF MS showed that the spectra of these six Tsukamurella species and the representatives of the only two Tsukamurella species, Tsukamurella inchonensis and Tsukamurella paurometabola, available in the Brucker reference library revealed the same clustering pattern (Figure 2). Specifically, same cluster was observed between T. pulmonis CCUG 35732T and T. spongiae DSM 44990T, between T. tyrosinosolvens CCUG 38499T and T. carboxydivorans JCM 15482T, and between T. pseudospumae JCM 13375T and T. sunchonensis JCM 15929T (Figure 2).

**Wet-lab DNA–DNA Hybridization**

Using the DDH, we found that DNA–DNA relatedness between T. pulmonis CCUG 35732T and T. spongiae DSM 44990T, T. tyrosinosolvens CCUG 38499T and T. carboxydivorans JCM 15482T, and T. pseudospumae JCM 13375T and T. sunchonensis JCM 15929T were demonstrated to be >70%, respectively. T. pulmonis CCUG 35732T and T. spongiae DSM 44990T...
TABLE 1 | Phenotypic properties of *T. pulmonis* CCUG 35732<sup>T</sup>, *T. spongiae* DSM 44990<sup>T</sup>, *T. tyrosinosolvens* CCUG 38499<sup>T</sup>, *T. carboxydivorans* JCM 15482<sup>T</sup>, *T. pseudospumae* JCM 13375<sup>T</sup>, and *T. sunchonensis* JCM 15929<sup>T</sup>.

| Characteristics       | *T. pulmonis* CCUG 35732<sup>T</sup> | *T. spongiae* DSM 44990<sup>T</sup> | *T. tyrosinosolvens* CCUG 38499<sup>T</sup> | *T. carboxydivorans* JCM 15482<sup>T</sup> | *T. pseudospumae* JCM 13375<sup>T</sup> | *T. sunchonensis* JCM 15929<sup>T</sup> |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Colony color          | White                               | Orange, red                     | White                           | White                           | Orange, red                     | Orange, red                     |
| Xanthine              | –                                   | –                               | +                               | –                               | +                               | –                               |
| Tyrosine              | –                                   | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               |
| Growth at 25°C        | +                                   | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               |
| Growth at 37°C        | +                                   | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               |
| Growth at 42°C        | –                                   | –                               | –                               | –                               | –                               | –                               |
| Assimilation of *      |                                     |                                 |                                 |                                 |                                 |                                 |
| Glycerol             | +                                   | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               |
| d-Arabinose          | +                                   | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               |
| d-Ribose            | –                                   | –                               | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               |
| d-Galactose          | +                                   | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               |
| d-Glucose             | +                                  | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               |
| d-Fructose          | +                                   | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               |
| d-Mannose           | –                                   | –                               | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               |
| myo-Inositol         | –                                   | –                               | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               |
| d-Mannitol           | +                                   | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               |
| d-Sorbitol           | +                                   | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               |
| methyl-d-Glucopyranoside | –                                   | –                               | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               |
| N-acetyl glucosamine | +                                   | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               |
| Arbutin              | –                                   | –                               | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               |
| Esculin ferric citrate | +                                   | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               |
| d-Salicin            | –                                   | –                               | +                               | –                               | –                               | –                               |
| d-Cellobiose         | –                                   | –                               | +                               | –                               | –                               | –                               |
| d-Maltose            | –                                   | –                               | +                               | –                               | –                               | –                               |
| d-Saccharose         | +                                   | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               |
| d-Trehalose          | +                                   | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               |
| d-Melezitose         | –                                   | –                               | +                               | –                               | –                               | –                               |
| Xyitol              | –                                   | –                               | +                               | –                               | –                               | –                               |
| d-Turanose           | +                                   | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               |
| l-Fucose             | +                                   | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               |
| d-Arabinitol         | +                                   | +                               | +                               | –                               | –                               | –                               |
| Potassium gluconate  | +                                   | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               |
| 2-Ketogluconate      | –                                   | –                               | +                               | +                               | +                               | +                               |

*Negative results in all six isolates were not shown in this table. All results are obtained from this study.*

possessed 91.1 ± 3.9% (mean ± SD, n = 3, *T. pulmonis* CCUG 35732<sup>T</sup> as probe) or 84.2 ± 3.2% DNA–DNA relatedness (mean ± SD, n = 3, *T. spongiae* DSM 44990<sup>T</sup> as probe). *T. tyrosinosolvens* CCUG 38499<sup>T</sup> and *T. carboxydivorans* JCM 15482<sup>T</sup> possessed 90.5 ± 1.3% (mean ± SD, n = 3, *T. tyrosinosolvens* CCUG 38499<sup>T</sup> as probe) or 81.2 ± 0.6% DNA–DNA relatedness (mean ± SD, n = 3, *T. carboxydivorans* JCM 15482<sup>T</sup> as probe). *T. pseudospumae* JCM 13375<sup>T</sup> and *T. sunchonensis* JCM 15929<sup>T</sup> possessed 95.1 ± 4.9% DNA–DNA relatedness (mean ± SD, n = 3, *T. pseudospumae* JCM 13375<sup>T</sup> as probe) or 99.7 ± 1.0% (mean ± SD, n = 3, *T. sunchonensis* JCM 15929<sup>T</sup> as probe). According to the criterion for species delineation using DDH, the present results (>70% DDH) suggested that these six *Tsukamurella* species should be reclassified as three distinct species. It is worth noting though, that the present DDH values are different from those obtained in the previous studies, in which the DNA–DNA relatedness between *T. pulmonis* CCUG 35732<sup>T</sup> and *T. spongiae* DSM 44990<sup>T</sup> was 48.0 ± 1.3% (mean ± SD, n = 3, *T. spongiae* DSM 44990<sup>T</sup> as probe; Olson et al., 2007) and that of *T. tyrosinosolvens* CCUG 38499<sup>T</sup> and *T. carboxydivorans* JCM 15482<sup>T</sup> was 62.7 ± 3.4% (mean ± SD, n = 3, *T. carboxydivorans* JCM 15482<sup>T</sup> as probe; Park et al., 2009). To further verify our results, we sequenced the genomes of these six *Tsukamurella* species for accurate species classification.

**General Features of the Genomes**

We sequenced the first draft genomes of the type strains of the six *Tsukamurella* species using high-throughput sequencing to investigate their genetic relatedness and to confirm their taxonomic positions. Sequencing generated 2.12 million
TABLE 2 | Fatty acid compositions (%) of *T. pulmonis* CCUG 35732^T, *T. spongiae* DSM 44990^T, *T. tyrosinosolvens* CCUG 38499^T, *T. carboxydivorans* JCM 15482^T, *T. pseudospumae* JCM 13375^T, and *T. sunchonensis* JCM 15929^T.

| Fatty acid          | *T. pulmonis* CCUG 35732^T | *T. spongiae* DSM 44990^T | *T. tyrosinosolvens* CCUG 38499^T | *T. carboxydivorans* JCM 15482^T | *T. pseudospumae* JCM 13375^T | *T. sunchonensis* JCM 15929^T |
|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| C14:0               | 4.0                        | 3.4                       | 4.1                              | 3.9                              | 4.1                            | 2.5                            |
| C16:1ω8c           | 2.5                        | 1.1                       | 2.6                              | 2.0                              | 2.4                            | 1.6                            |
| C16:0               | 22.6                       | 22.1                      | 23.0                             | 25.1                             | 26.4                           | 25.4                           |
| C17:1ω8c           | --                        | --                        | 1.2                              | 1.2                              | --                             | --                             |
| C17:0               | --                        | --                        | 1.0                              | 1.5                              | --                             | --                             |
| C18:1ω7c           | 34.9                       | 36.4                      | 32.8                             | 33.5                             | 36.3                           | 27.4                           |
| C18:0               | 3.1                        | 2.7                       | 1.5                              | 1.4                              | 1.0                            | 1.5                            |
| 10-methyl C18:0     | 10.7                       | 10.0                      | 13.4                             | 10.8                             | 10.9                           | 21.0                           |
| C20:1ω9c           | 3.3                        | 1.1                       | 1.8                              | 2.0                              | 1.6                            | 1.2                            |
| SF1                 | 15.2                       | 19.5                      | 16.4                             | 15.2                             | 15.6                           | 16.2                           |

*SF1 (summed feature 1): C16:1ω6c and/or C16:1ω7c and/or iso-C15:0 2-OH; All results were obtained from this study.*

-- Less than 1% or not detected.

FIGURE 1 | Phylogenetic tree showing the relationship of *Tsukamurella* species using 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis. The tree was constructed by maximum likelihood method using *D. cinnamea* (NZ_AEKG01000298.1) as the root. A total of 1407 nucleotide positions were included in the analysis. Bootstrap values were calculated from 1000 replicates. The scale bar indicates the number of substitutions per site. Names and accession numbers are given as cited in GenBank database. Sequences that were obtained in this study are marked by an asterisk.

TABLE 3 | Results of draft genome assembly of the six *Tsukamurella* species.

| Genome assembly data | *T. pulmonis* CCUG 35732^T | *T. spongiae* DSM 44990^T | *T. tyrosinosolvens* CCUG 38499^T | *T. carboxydivorans* JCM 15482^T | *T. pseudospumae* JCM 13375^T | *T. sunchonensis* JCM 15929^T |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Genome size (Mb)     | 4.74                        | 4.60                      | 5.23                             | 4.95                             | 4.95                           | 5.21                           |
| G+C content (%)      | 70.4                        | 71.1                      | 71.2                             | 71.2                             | 70.6                           | 70.5                           |
| No. of contigs       | 62                          | 51                        | 73                               | 29                               | 70                             | 133                            |
| No. of predicted protein-coding genes | 4,538 | 4,412 | 5,191 | 4,709 | 4,823 | 4,823 |

paired-end reads per strain (estimated 80× coverage). After *de novo* assembly, the six draft genomes ranged from 4.6 to 5.2 Mb in length (G+C content 70.4–71.2%) distributed in 18–74 large contigs (>500 bp; GenBank accession numbers LSRE01000000–LSRJ01000000). All contigs generated for each strain were submitted to the RAST version 2.0 annotation server respectively, resulting in 4,412–5,191 protein-coding sequences (CDTs; Table 3). To facilitate the subsequent genomic analysis, genome sequences of *T. paurometabola* DSM 20162^T* (GenBank accession number NC_014158.1) and “*T. algeriensis*” 1534 (GenBank accession number NZ_HE997626.1), the only two available genome sequences in GenBank, were also
FIGURE 2 | Dendrogram generated from hierarchical cluster analysis of MALDI-TOF MS spectra of the six *Tsukamurella* species characterized in this study and the two *Tsukamurella* species included in the Bruker database. Distances are displayed in relative units.

Phylogenomic Analyses of the Six *Tsukamurella* Species

With the availability of these six *Tsukamurella* genome sequences, as well as the genome sequences of *T. paurometabola* DSM 20162\(^T\) and *T. algeriensis* 1534 from GenBank, we used two independent “digital DDH” methods, GGDC and ANI, to estimate the overall similarity between the genomes of two strains, which in turn determined the genome-based species delineation among these six *Tsukamurella* species. Consistent with the results obtained by traditional DDH, *in silico* genome-to-genome comparison unambiguously showed that for *T. pulmonis* CCUG 35732\(^T\) and *T. spongiae* DSM 44990\(^T\), *T. tyrosinosolvens* CCUG 38499\(^T\) and *T. carboxydovorans* JCM 15482\(^T\), and *T. pseudospumae* JCM 13375\(^T\) and *T. sunchonensis* JCM 15929\(^T\), GGDC and ANI values for more than 70 and 94–96%, respectively, were observed (Table 4). As these calculated values are above the threshold for same species designation, it was suggested that the current six *Tsukamurella* species should be reclassified as only three distinct *Tsukamurella* species.

The proposed reclassification is also supported by the phylogenomic analyses (Figure 4) and whole genome sequence comparison (Figure 5) using the draft genome sequences of the six *Tsukamurella* species. The phylogenetic tree constructed using the draft genomes sequences (Figure 4) was concordant to the clustering pattern as observed in phenotypic (Table 1) and MALDI-TOF MS analyses (Figure 2). As for genome sequence comparison, high protein sequence identities (> 90% as indicated by blue color) were observed in each orthologous gene between the genomes of *T. pulmonis* CCUG 35732\(^T\) and *T. spongiae* DSM 44990\(^T\), *T. tyrosinosolvens* CCUG 38499\(^T\) and *T. carboxydovorans* JCM 15482\(^T\), and *T. pseudospumae* JCM 13375\(^T\) and *T. sunchonensis* JCM 15929\(^T\) (Figure 5A). Interestingly, although relatively high sequence similarity was observed between the genomes of *T. tyrosinosolvens* CCUG 38499\(^T\) and *T. carboxydovorans* JCM 15482\(^T\) (Figure 5B), and between the genomes of *T. pseudospumae* JCM 13375\(^T\) and *T. sunchonensis* JCM 15929\(^T\) (Figure 5C). Interestingly, although relatively high sequence similarity was observed between the genomes of *T. tyrosinosolvens* CCUG 38499\(^T\) and *T. carboxydovorans* JCM 15482\(^T\), some regions were found to be uniquely present in the type strain of *T. tyrosinosolvens* only. These unique regions are likely to be genomic islands as predicted by Zisland Explorer method (Figure 5B). RAST analysis showed that most of the ORFs within these putative genomic islands were annotated as hypothetic proteins, phage
FIGURE 3 | Distributions of predicted coding sequence function in the annotated genomes according to RAST subsystems. The draft genome sequences of the present six Tsukamurella species as well as those of T. paurometabola DSM 20162<sup>T</sup> and "T. algeriensis" 1534 were analyzed. Each column indicates the number of CDSs of each Tsukamurella species in different subsystems showing in different color.

TABLE 4 | Intergenomic distance between the draft genomes of the six currently recognized Tsukamurella species represented by ANI (upper triangle) and GGDC (lower triangle) values (%).

| Strains               | T. pulmonis CCUG 35732<sup>T</sup> | T. spongiae DSM 44990<sup>T</sup> | T. tyrosinosolvens CCUG 38499<sup>T</sup> | T. carboxydivorans JCM 15482<sup>T</sup> | T. pseudospumae JCM 13375<sup>T</sup> | T. sunchonensis JCM 15929<sup>T</sup> |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| T. pulmonis CCUG 35732<sup>T</sup> | –                                 | 99.1                             | 87.3                                     | 87.2                                  | 84.6                                | 84.8                                |
| T. spongiae DSM 44990<sup>T</sup> | 92.9                              | –                                | 87.3                                     | 87.3                                  | 84.5                                | 84.8                                |
| T. tyrosinosolvens CCUG 38499<sup>T</sup> | 34.3                              | 34.3                             | –                                        | 98.8                                  | 85.1                                | 85.1                                |
| T. carboxydivorans JCM 15482<sup>T</sup> | 34.0                              | 33.9                             | 90.4                                     | –                                     | 85.0                                | 84.8                                |
| T. pseudospumae JCM 13375<sup>T</sup> | 28.4                              | 28.4                             | 29.4                                     | 29.4                                  | –                                   | 98.1                                |
| T. sunchonensis JCM 15929<sup>T</sup> | 28.8                              | 28.8                             | 29.5                                     | 29.5                                  | 82.3                                | –                                   |

Species with >70% GGDC and >94–96% ANI values, suggesting same species delineation, are bolded.

FIGURE 4 | Phylogenetic relationship among Tsukamurella strains with genome sequence available. Neighbor-joining tree constructed on the basis of draft genome sequences GGDC distance (formula 2) and D. cinnamea (NZ_AEKG01000298.1) as the root. Scale bar indicates mean number of nucleotide substitutions per site on the respective branch. Names and accession numbers are given as cited in GenBank. Sequences that were obtained in this study are marked by an asterisk.
related proteins and mobile element proteins. As the type strain of *T. tyrosinosolvens* was isolated from blood culture of a patient with cardiac pacemaker implants, whereas *T. carboxydivorans* JCM 15482 orange was isolated from soil, the pathogenic role of these genomic islands warrants further investigations. Given all genomic evidence, *T. spongiae* DSM 44990 yellow, *T. carboxydivorans* JCM 15482 orange, and *T. sunchonensis* JCM 15929 orange likely represent previously misclassified isolates that actually belong to the same species of *T. pulmonis*, *T. tyrosinosolvens*, and *T. sunchonensis*, respectively. According to Rules 23a and 42 of the Bacteriological Code (Lapage et al., 1992), we propose that *T. spongiae* Olson et al. 2007 (Olson et al., 2007), *T. carboxydivorans* Park et al. 2009 (Park et al., 2009), and *T. sunchonensis* Seong et al. 2008 (Seong et al., 2003) should be reclassified as later heterotypic synonyms of *T. pulmonis* Yassin et al. 1996 (Yassin et al., 1996), *T. tyrosinosolvens* Yassin et al. 1997 (Yassin et al., 1997), and *T. pseudospumae* Nam et al. 2004 (Nam et al., 2004), respectively.

**PROPOSAL FOR A RECLASSIFICATION OF SPECIES WITHIN THE GENUS TSUKAMURELLA**

In this study, we sequenced the first draft genomes of six *Tsukamurella* species and unambiguously determined their phylogenetic positions using a phylogenomics approach. Consistent with the results of 16S rRNA gene sequencing, phenotypic and MALDI-TOF MS analyses, additional genomic evidences, including intergenomic distance comparisons using GGDC and ANI, phylogenetic analysis and whole genome comparison based on the draft genomes, support that the currently recognized six *Tsukamurella* species should be reclassified as three species only. According to Rules 23a and 42 of the Bacteriological Code (Lapage et al., 1992), it is proposed that *T. spongiae* Olson et al. 2007 (Olson et al., 2007) should be reclassified as a later heterotypic synonym of *T. pulmonis* *Tsukamurella*.
Emended Description of *T. pulmonis*

Yassin et al. 1996 (Yassin et al., 1996)

*Tsukamurella pulmonis* (pul. mo’ nis. L. gen. masc. n. pulmonis, of the lung, referring to the organ from which the bacterium was isolated).

**Heterotypic Synonym: T. spongiae Olson et al. 2007** ( Olson et al., 2007)

The characteristics of *T. pulmonis* are described by Yassin et al. (1996), with the following amendments. Growst on Columbia agar with 5% defibrinated sheep blood agar as white or orange to red and roughly emulsified colonies, 2 mm in diameter, with irregular spreading margins after 48 h of incubation at 25°C under aerobic conditions. As determined by API 50 CH kit, cells are capable of assimilate glycerol, D-arabinose, D-galactose, D-glucose, D-fructose, D-mannose, *myo*-inositol, D-mannitol, D-sorbitol, *methyl*-D-glucopyranoside, N-acetyl glucosamine, arbutin, esculin ferric citrate, D-maltose, D-saccharose, D-trehalose, D-melezitose, xyloitol, D-turanose, L-fucose, D-arabitol, potassium gluconate, and 2-ketogluconate. The major fatty acids (>10% of the total) are C₁₀₀, C₁₈₁₀₉₁₀, 10-methyl C₁₈₀ and summed feature 1 (C₁₆₁₀₆₆ and/or C₁₆₁₀₇₆ and/or iso-C₁₅₀ 2-0H).

The type strain, CCUG 38499 announcer (Terminal 10112 announcer = DSM 44234 announcer), was isolated from blood culture of a patient with cardiac pacemaker implants. The G+C content of the type strain is 71.2 mol%. The reference strain, JCM 15482 (=ECCM 25885), is the nomenclatural type of *T. carboxydivorans* Park et al. 2009 (Park et al., 2009), and was isolated from soil sample from a roadside. The G+C content of strain JCM 15482 is 71.2 mol%. The GenBank accession numbers of whole genome sequence of the type strain and reference strain are LSRJ00000000.1 and LSRG00000000.1, respectively.

Emended Description of *T. pseudospumae*

Nam et al. 2004 (Nam et al., 2004)

*Tsukamurella pseudospumae* (pseu.do.spu’mae. Gr. adj. pseudes false; L. gen. n. pseudospumae of foam and specific epithet of bacterial species; N. L. n. pseudospumae the false spumae, referring to the close relationship to *Tsukamurella spumae*).

**Heterotypic Synonym: T. sunchonensis Seong et al. 2008** ( Seong et al., 2003)

The characteristics of *T. pseudospumae* are described by Nam et al. (2004), with the following amendments. As determined by API 50 CH kit, cells are capable of grow on brain–heart infusion agar. Positive for hydrolysis of tyrosine. Assimilates glycerol, D-ribose, D-galactose, D-glucose, D-fructose, D-mannose, *myo*-inositol, D-mannitol, D-sorbitol, *methyl*-D-glucopyranoside, N-acetyl glucosamine, esculin ferric citrate, D-maltose, D-saccharose, D-trehalose, D-melezitose, xyloitol, D-turanose, L-fucose, D-arabitol, potassium gluconate, and 2-ketogluconate. The major fatty acids (>10 % of the total) are C₁₀₀, C₁₈₁₀₉₁₀, 10-methyl C₁₈₀ and summed feature 1 (C₁₆₁₀₆₆ and/or C₁₆₁₀₇₆ and/or iso-C₁₅₀ 2-0H).

The type strain, JCM 13375 announcer (=DSM 44118 announcer), was isolated from extensive foam in the aeration basin of an activated sludge process. The G+C content of the type strain is 70.6 mol%. The reference strain, JCM 15929 (=NRRL B-24668), is the nomenclatural type of *T. carboxydivorans* Park et al. 2009 (Park et al., 2009), and was isolated from blood culture of a patient with cardiac pacemaker implants. The G+C content of strain JCM 15929 is 70.5 mol%. The GenBank accession numbers of whole genome sequence of the type strain and reference strain are LSRJ00000000.1 and LSRG00000000.1, respectively.

**DATA ACCESSIBILITY**

This Whole Genome Shotgun project has been deposited in DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession numbers LSRF00000000.1 and LSE00000000.1. The version described in
this paper is version LSRE00000000.1–LSRJ00000000.1. The GenBank accession numbers for the draft genome sequences of Tsukamurella pseudospumae JCM 13375T, Tsukamurella sunchonensis JCM 15929T, Tsukamurella carboxydovorans JCM 15482T, Tsukamurella pulmonis CCUG 35732T, Tsukamurella spongiae DSM 44990T and Tsukamurella tyrosinosolvens CCUG 38499T are LSRE00000000.1, LSRF00000000.1, LSRG00000000.1, LSRH00000000.1, LSRJ00000000.1, and LSRJ00000000.1, respectively.
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