High-resolution MEGARA Integral-field Unit Spectroscopy and Structural Analysis of a Fast-rotating, Disky Bulge in NGC 7025
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Abstract

Disky bulges in spiral galaxies are commonly thought to form out of disk materials (mainly) via bar-driven secular processes. They are structurally and dynamically distinct from “classical bulges,” which are built in violent merger events. We use high-resolution GTC/MEGARA integral-field unit spectroscopic observations of the Sa galaxy NGC 7025, obtained during the MEGARA commissioning run, together with detailed 1D and 2D decompositions of this galaxy’s Sloan Digital Sky Survey i-band data to investigate the formation of its disky (bulge) component, which makes up ~30% of the total galaxy light. With a Sérsic index $n \approx 1.80 \pm 0.24$, a half-light radius $R_e \approx 1.70 \pm 0.43$ kpc, and stellar mass $M_* \approx (4.34 \pm 1.70) \times 10^{10}\,M_\odot$, this bulge dominates the galaxy light distribution in the inner $R \approx 15''$ (~4.7 kpc). Measuring the spins ($\lambda$) and ellipticities ($\epsilon$) enclosed within nine different circular apertures with radii $R \leq R_e$, we show that the bulge, which exhibits a spin track of outwardly rising $\lambda$ and $\epsilon$, is a fast rotator for all the apertures considered. Our findings suggest that this inner disky component is a pseudo-bulge, consistent with the stellar and dust spiral patterns seen in the galaxy down to the innermost regions but in contrast to the classical bulge interpretation favored in the past. We propose that a secular process involving the tightly wound stellar spiral arms of NGC 7025 may be driving gas and stars out of the disk into the inner regions of the galaxy, building up the massive pseudo-bulge.
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1. Introduction

A large number (~70%) of observed spiral and S0 galaxies contain a bulge component (e.g., Gavazzi et al. 2000, their Figure 13; Böker et al. 2003; Allen et al. 2006; Cameron et al. 2009). Excluding the innermost regions, bulges are typically evident by the dominance of the inner stellar light distribution of their host galaxies with respect to the outer disk’s light profile. Earlier models of galaxy formation predicted that present-day bulges and elliptical galaxies are pressure-supported systems with old stellar populations, described by the $R^{1/4}$ law (de Vaucouleurs 1948) and formed hierarchically through mergers of smaller systems (e.g., Barnes 1988; Kauffmann 1996) or built in the monolithic collapse of protogalactic gas clouds (Eggen et al. 1962).Confirming the existence of such pressure-supported elliptical “classical bulges” generally well described by the Sérsic (1968) $R^{1/n}$ model, subsequent studies have revealed diskylike “pseudo-bulges,” which show significant rotation and tend to have a range of ages and low $n \leq 2$ Sérsic light profiles (e.g., Kormendy 1982, 1993; Kormendy & Illingworth 1982; Pfenniger 1993; Courteau et al. 1996; Carollo et al. 1997; Kormendy & Kennicutt 2004; Athanassoula 2005; Laurikainen et al. 2007; Fisher & Drory 2008; Graham & Worley 2008; Gadotti 2009; Kormendy 2013; Erwin et al. 2015; Tonini et al. 2016; Catalán-Torrecilla et al. 2017). The difference between classical bulges and pseudo-bulges is thought to reflect two distinct bulge formation paths, although both types of bulges can coexist in a galaxy (Erwin et al. 2003; Athanassoula 2005; Peletier 2008; Erwin et al. 2015; Dullo et al. 2016).

Pseudo-bulges are largely believed to be formed out of disks via secular evolution driven by non-axisymmetric structural features, such as bars. However, little is known about the formation of pseudo-bulges in unbarred disk galaxies, which account for roughly 30% of local disk galaxies (de Vaucouleurs 1963; Knapen et al. 2000; Sheth et al. 2008; Buta et al. 2015; Erwin 2018). Alternative pseudo-bulge formation channels have recently been discussed in the literature. For example, pseudo-bulges have been suggested to be built through gas-rich minor and/or major galaxy merger events (e.g., Springel & Hernquist 2005; Eliche-Moral et al. 2011; Guedes et al. 2013; Querejeta et al. 2015; Athanassoula et al. 2016; Sauvaget et al. 2018) or formed at a high redshift via starbursts (Okamoto 2013) or through clumps that sink to the galaxy center by dynamical friction (Inoue & Saiho 12).

Detailed structural and stellar kinematic studies of pseudo-bulges enable us to discriminate between these different formation scenarios, but the lack of robust bulge diagnostic...
criteria presents a major challenge for the identification of pseudo-bulges (e.g., Graham 2013; Neumann et al. 2017). Graham (2013, his Section 4.3) provided cautionary remarks about the misidentification of pseudo-bulges and classical bulges when using criteria based, for example, on the bulge’s Sérsic index, rotation, and stellar age (Kormendy & Kennicutt 2004, their Section 4; Fisher & Drory 2008). A related issue in the structural analysis of bulges is the failure to account for small- and intermediate-scale components when modeling the stellar light distributions of disk galaxies. Dullo et al. (2016, 2017, 2018; see also Graham et al. 2016) showed that neglecting to fit components such as bars, disks, and spiral arms as a separate component can systematically bias the Sérsic index and flux of the bulge component toward higher values (e.g., de Jong 1996; Laurikainen et al. 2005; Gadotti 2008).

Here we investigate the bulge of the spiral (Sa) galaxy NGC 7025 (RC3; de Vaucouleurs et al. 1991). This galaxy is a promising candidate to explore the agents that drive the buildup of pseudo-bulges out of disk materials via secular evolution in unbarred galaxies. It is the only unbarred isolated galaxy in the sample of 49 CALIFA galaxies by Holmes et al. (2015) which displays noncircular, barlike flows. The galaxy is among the first galaxies observed with the MEGARA integral-field unit (IFU) as part of the commissioning run of the MEGARA instrument. The CALIFA kinematic maps for the galaxy by Falcón-Barroso et al. (2017), their Figures A.1 and A.2, extracted using the medium-resolution CALIFA data, have a large field of view which extends to the outer disk regions of the galaxy. These maps complement our MEGARA data, which have higher spatial and spectral resolutions and cover the inner half-light radius of the bulge. For comparison, the medium-resolution CALIFA data have a spectral resolution $R_{\text{spec}} \approx 1650$ at $\lambda \approx 4500$ Å (Falcón-Barroso et al. 2017), while the lowest spectral resolution of MEGARA is $R_{\text{spec}} \approx 6000$.

Our isophotal analysis of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) images of NGC 7025 reveals a “disky” bulge. Recently, Neumann et al. (2017), Rizzo et al. (2018), and Gilhuly & Courteau (2018) fit a 2D Sérsic bulge + exponential disk model to the galaxy’s SDSS images. Neumann et al. (2017) argued that the galaxy has a merger-built classical bulge with a bulge-to-total flux ratio $(B/T) \approx 0.44$, consistent with the fit by Gilhuly & Courteau (2018), which yielded a high Sérsic index for the bulge ($n \approx 5.1$), suggestive of a classical bulge. In contrast, the bulge + disk fit by Rizzo et al. (2018) yielded a lower value of $n$ ($\approx 2.4$) and a lower $B/T$ of $\approx 0.3$ for the bulge component.

High-resolution structural and stellar kinematic studies of the bulges of unbarred spiral galaxies such as NGC 7025 are of interest because they can provide clues to the processes of galaxy formation and evolution. For the first time, we perform 1D and 2D four-component (i.e., point source + bulge + intermediate-scale spiral-arm component + outer disk) fits to the SDSS data of NGC 7025. Combining these fits together with the analyses of the galaxy images and our new high-resolution MEGARA IFU spectroscopic observations, we favor a pseudo-bulge interpretation for the bulge, which is built via secular evolution driven by the spiral arms in the galaxy. Introducing the MEGARA instrument in Section 2.1.1, we go on to describe our MEGARA spectroscopic observations of the galaxy and the subsequent data reduction steps in Sections 2.1.2 and 2.1.3, respectively. The SDSS data for the galaxy and the corresponding data reduction steps are detailed in Section 2.2. Our structural decompositions, a color profile, and an analysis of the stellar kinematics are given in Section 3. We discuss NGC 7025’s pseudo-bulge formation and provide a brief summary in Section 5.

Throughout this paper, we assume a cosmological model with $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.7$, and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.3$. This yields a luminosity distance of 67.3 Mpc and a scale of 316 pc arcsec$^{-1}$ for NGC 7025 (NED$^6$).

2. Data

2.1. MEGARA Spectroscopy

2.1.1. MEGARA

MEGARA$^8$ (Multi-Espectrógrafo en GTC de Alta Resolución para Astronomía) is a new optical IFU and multi-object spectrograph (MOS) installed on the 10.4 m Gran Telescopio CANARIAS (GTC) in La Palma (G. de Paz et al. 2018, in preparation). Both the MEGARA IFU and MOS have low, medium, and high spectral resolutions $R_{\text{spec}}$ of $\approx 6000$, 12,000, and 20,000, respectively. The MEGARA IFU/MOS VPH gratings cover a wavelength range of $\approx 3653$–9686 Å.

The MEGARA IFU encompasses 567 contiguous hexagonal fibers, each with a long diagonal of 0.62”, resulting in an $12.5 \times 11.3$ arcsec$^2$ field of view in the shape of a rectangle. A total of eight static fiber bundles with 56 dedicated hexagonal fibers, located at the outermost parts of the field of view far from the IFU (1.5 $\lesssim R \lesssim 2$’), deliver simultaneous sky observations.

2.1.2. MEGARA Observations

MEGARA IFU spectra of NGC 7025 were obtained in all VPH gratings as part of the instrument’s commissioning run between 2017 June 24 and August 31. In this paper, we focus only on the high-resolution and low-resolution spectra of the galaxy obtained in MEGARA’s VPH863-HR grating (henceforth referred to as HR-I) and VPH570-LR grating (henceforth, LR-V), respectively (Figures 1 and 2). The HR-I spectra have a wavelength range $\approx 8372$–8882 Å, while the LR-V spectra cover $\approx 5144$–6168 Å. This yields reciprocal linear dispersions of 0.13 Å pixel$^{-1}$ and 0.27 Å pixel$^{-1}$ for the HR-I and LR-V spectra, respectively. For the HR-I spectra, the full width at half-maximum (FWHM) resolution at the central wavelength is $0.42$ Å $\approx 15$ km s$^{-1}$, while for LR-V, FWHM $\approx 0.95$ Å $\approx 50$ km s$^{-1}$ (Gil de Paz et al. 2016).

The LR-V and HR-I spectra of NGC 7025 were obtained on the nights of 2017 August 1 and 2, respectively. We obtained three exposures of NGC 7025 per VPH: $3 \times 900$ s for both the HR-I and LR-V VPH gratings. The seeing conditions ranged between 0”7 and 1”1. To flux-calibrate the HR-I spectra, $3 \times 45$ s exposures of the flux standard star BD+174708 were obtained under seeing and airmass conditions similar to those of the science exposures. For the LR-V spectra, we obtained $3 \times 30$ s exposures of the standard star BD+332642. We also obtained calibration data, including ThNe and ThAr arc lamps, halogen lamps, and twilight spectra.

2.1.3. Data Reduction

The raw IFU spectra of NGC 7025, “cleaned” of cosmic rays by interactively using the CLEANEST$^9$ (N. Cardiel et al. 2018,

---

7. https://ned.ipac.caltech.edu
8. https://guix.fis.ucm.es/megara
9. http://cleanest.readthedocs.io/en/latest/
in preparation) software package, were processed using the MEGARA data reduction pipeline\(^ {10}\) (MDRP; S. Pascual 2018, in preparation). First, we masked the bad pixels in the IFU and subtracted a bias frame. The spectra were then processed using the MDRP tasks TRACE and MODELMAP by tracing the fibers across the flat halogen lamp frames. MDRP was used to perform the wavelength calibration of the HR-I and LR-V spectra using ThNe and ThAr arc lamp frames, respectively, to an accuracy of $< 0.01$ Å and $< 0.03$ Å rms values. MDRP corrects for spaxel-to-spaxel sensitivity and fiber-to-fiber transmission variations using our halogen and twilight flat frames, respectively. The spectra were flux-calibrated by comparing our observations of the flux standard star with the star’s calibrated spectra provided by the CALSPEC calibration database.\(^ {11}\) Finally, MDRP subtracts a median sky spectrum, determined using spectra from the 56 dedicated sky fibers, to generate fully reduced row-stacked spectra (RSS), where the rows pertain to the spectra of the individual 623 (= 567 + 56) science and sky fibers. The MDRP task CUBE transforms these RSS files into data cubes, but we use the RSS data for the stellar kinematic study in this paper. Figures 1 and 2 show the reduced central ($R < 1''$) spectra of NGC 7025.

### 2.2. SDSS Imaging Data

There are no high-resolution *Hubble Space Telescope (HST)* images available for NGC 7025. Therefore, 13/51 × 9/83 bias-subtracted and flat-fielded SDSS $g$- and $i$-band images of NGC 7025 were retrieved from the SDSS Data Release 7 (DR7) database.\(^ {12}\) These images contain a “soft bias” of 1000 DN, added to each pixel. In order to better correct for the galaxy’s nuclear dust spiral, we used the $i$-band image for the detailed structural analysis (see Figures 3 and 4). We used the $g$- and $i$-band data to extract the $g - i$ color profile of the galaxy (Figure 3).

#### 2.2.1. Data Reduction

The SDSS images were reduced using standard IRAF tasks (Dullo et al. 2017, 2018, references therein). Subtracting the “soft bias,” we determined the sky background levels as the average of the medians of the sky values from several $10 \times 10$ pixel boxes, far from the galaxy. An initial mask was generated by running SEXTRACTOR (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) and was then combined with a manual mask to avoid the dust spiral in the galaxy as well as the bright foreground stars, background galaxies, and chip defects in the images (Figures 4 and 5). We used this mask and the IRAF task ELLIPSE (Jedrzejewski 1987) to the sky-subtracted images following the steps outlined in Dullo et al. (2017, 2018). The results of the ELLIPSE fitting
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\(^{10}\) http://megara-drp.readthedocs.io/en/latest/

\(^{11}\) http://www.stsci.edu/hst/observatory/crds/calspec.html

\(^{12}\) http://www.sdss.org
were fed into the IRAF task BMODEL to create a model image of the galaxy. This model image was subtracted from the science image to create an initial residual image and an improved mask (Figure 4, middle). We then reran the ELLIPSE fitting and BMODEL. Figure 3 shows the results of the ELLIPSE fitting, including the major-axis surface brightness, isophote shape parameter \( B_4 \), ellipticity, and position angle profiles of NGC 7025. Figure 5 (left) shows the final residual image. The surface brightnesses were calibrated using the zero-points, extinction coefficients, and airmass values given in the DR7 tsField files.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Photometric Structural Analysis

Figure 3 shows our 1D four-component decomposition of the SDSS i-band surface brightness profile of NGC 7025. The fit, which contains a Gaussian \( n = 0.5 \) nuclear component, a Sérsic bulge, a Sérsic intermediate-scale component, and an outer exponential \( n = 1 \) disk, describes the light profile very well as revealed by the small rms residual value of \( \sim 0.023 \) mag arcsec\(^{-2} \) (Figure 3). This detailed multicomponent fit was performed following procedures similar to those in Dullo et al. (2017, 2018). For each component, the model profile was convolved with a Gaussian point-spread function (PSF) with an FWHM \( \sim 0''98 \). The FWHM of the PSF, which we measured using several stars in the SDSS i-band image, agrees with that given in the SDSS DR7 tsField file.

We additionally performed a 2D four-component decomposition of the SDSS i-band image using IMFIT\(^\text{13} \) (Erwin 2015). We used the result from the 1D fitting as an input for the 2D fitting. To convolve the model images, we used a Moffat PSF image generated using the IMFIT task MAKEIMAGE. Figure 4 (right) shows the best-fitting 2D Gaussian nuclear component + Sérsic bulge + Sérsic intermediate-scale component + outer exponential disk model image that describes the 2D light distribution of the galaxy. IMFIT subtracted this model image from the galaxy image to produce the residual image (Figure 5, right). Tables 1 and 2 list the best-fitting model parameters from the 1D and 2D decompositions.

Both the ELLIPSE and IMFIT residual images reveal a dust spiral pattern which extends from the galaxy center out to \( R \sim 24'' \), indicative of recent star formation activity in the galaxy. Also apparent in these residual images are two tightly wound stellar spiral arms.\(^\text{13} \) http://www.mpe.mpg.de/~erwin/code/imfit/

---

\(^\text{13} \) http://www.mpe.mpg.de/~erwin/code/imfit/
Table 1

Structural Parameters

| Col. 1 Parameters | 1D Fit (Major Axis) | 2D Fit (IMFIT) |
|-------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| \( R_{e,\text{bul}} \) (arcsec) | 18.77 | 18.61 |
| \( R_{e,\text{int}} \) (arcsec) | 5.23 | 4.68 |
| \( R_{e,\text{bul}} \) (kpc) | 1.65 | 1.48 |
| \( n_{\text{bul}} \) | 1.80 | 1.52 |
| \( n_{\text{int}} \) | 22.04 | 21.82 |
| \( R_{e,\text{disk}} \) (arcsec) | 20.15 | 17.90 |
| \( m_{\text{fit}} \) (mag) | 0.21 | 0.24 |
| \( M_{\text{bul}} \) (mag) | 20.55 | 20.44 |
| \( h \) (arcsec) | 28.89 | 23.76 |
| \( B/T \) | 16.95 | 16.01 |
| \( B/D \) | -22.53 | -22.59 |
| \( M/L_{\text{bul}} \) | 0.30 | 0.28 |
| \( \log (M_{e,\text{bul}}/M_{\odot}) \) | 0.48 | 0.48 |
| Notes. | 0.63 | 0.63 |

20% | 15% | 25% | 20% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 40% |

Notes. 1D and 2D structural parameters from the Gaussian point source + Sérsc pseudo-bulge + Sérsc intermediate component + exponential outer disk model fit to the SDSS \( i \)-band major-axis light profile and to the SDSS \( i \)-band image of NGC 7025, respectively (Figures 3–5). Col. 1–7: Sérsc model parameters for the pseudo-bulge and intermediate-scale component. Col. 8–9: best-fitting parameters for the outer exponential disk. Col. 10: apparent magnitude of the point source. Col. 11: absolute magnitude of the pseudo-bulge calculated using Col. 1–3 and corrected for galactic extinction, surface brightness dimming, and internal dust attenuation. Col. 12–13: bulge-to-total and bulge-to-disk flux ratios. The above dust and surface brightness dimming corrections were not applied to these flux ratios. Col. 14–15: \( i \)-band mass-to-light ratio \((M/L_{\text{bul}})\) and stellar mass of the pseudo-bulge \((M_{\text{bul}})\). \( \mu_e \) and \( \mu_0 \) in arcsec\(^{-2} \). The last row shows the 1σ uncertainties on the fit parameters, flux ratios, and stellar masses—see the text for details. The uncertainties associated with \( M_{e,\text{bul}} \) and \( M_{\text{bul}} \) are 0.95 mag and 0.2 mag, respectively. The uncertainty on \( \mu_e \) and \( \mu_0 \) is \( \sim 0.025 \) mag arcsec\(^{-2} \).

Table 2

Structural Parameters

| Col. 2 Parameters | 2D Fit (IMFIT) |
|-------------------|----------------|
| \( P.A_{\text{bul}} \) (°) | 46.78 |
| \( \epsilon_{\text{bul}} \) | 0.21 |
| \( c_{\text{bul}} \) | -0.05 |
| \( P.A_{\text{int}} \) (°) | 40.01 |
| \( \epsilon_{\text{int}} \) | 0.37 |
| \( c_{\text{int}} \) | -0.01 |
| \( P.A_{\text{disk}} \) (°) | 42.41 |
| \( \epsilon_{\text{disk}} \) | 0.25 |

Notes. Similar to Table 1 but showing the remaining structural parameters from the 2D decomposition with IMFIT. The IMFIT isophote shape parameter \( c_{\text{int}} \) as given by Erwin (2015, their Equation 25) is positive/negative for boxy/disky isophotes, not to be confused with the IRAF/ELLIPSE \( B_A \).

and orientations of the (spiral-arm) component and outer disk from the ELLIPSE isophote fitting are, unsurprisingly, similar.

Given that we fit a Sérsc model to the intermediate-scale spiral-arm component, the flux and Sérsc index of the bulge as well as the flux of the outer disk may be biased to lower values. Concerned about this, we went further and performed a 2D four-component decomposition of the galaxy image using GALFIT (Peng et al. 2010; see Table 3 and Figure 6), fitting the GALFIT logarithmic spiral (log-tanh) model image (Figure 6, left; see Peng et al. 2010, their Equation 29) to the spiral-arm component. The GALFIT residual image in Figure 6 (right) shows some stellar spiral-arm structures that we missed in our modeling. However, we avoided performing a more sophisticated fit, due to strong degeneracies between the fit parameters. Our GALFIT and IMFIT decompositions agree very well except for the spiral-arm component model. The GALFIT best-fitting parameters for the point source and outer disk are similar to those from IMFIT; thus we do not show them in Table 3. The best-fitting parameters of the bulge (e.g., \( n \), \( R_e \), and \( M_I \)) from GALFIT are in good agreement with those from IMFIT (see Tables 1–3). For example, \( n_{\text{bul},\text{GALFIT}} \) (\( \sim 1.92 \pm 0.30 \)) agrees well within the uncertainties with \( n_{\text{bul},\text{IMFIT}} \) (\( \sim 1.52 \pm 0.23 \)). The agreement between our 1D and 2D decompositions is very good (Figure 5, Tables 1 and 2), although the apparent magnitude of the innermost nuclear component, obtained using the best-fitting 1D (major-axis) structural parameters and the pertinent ellipticity (\( \sim 17.0 \) mag), differs somewhat from that of the 2D fit (\( \sim 16.0 \) mag). Since this faint Gaussian nuclear component (which accounts for 0.5%–1.1% of the total galaxy light) is round (\( \epsilon \sim 0.12 \)), we identify it as a point source (e.g., Dullo & Graham 2015). However, the disky isophotes inside \( 0''8 \) may suggest the presence of a nuclear disk (instead of a point source) that is poorly constrained due to the nuclear dust spiral and PSF of the SDSS data.

To determine the uncertainties on the fit parameters and associated quantities (magnitudes, flux ratios, and stellar masses), we ran a series of Monte Carlo simulations. We took into account the rms residual scatter of the fit (Figures 3, 4, and 6); possible contamination of the surface brightness profile, due to the bright foreground star and dust spiral; and errors from incorrect sky subtraction to create 200 realizations of the galaxy’s light profile. Each of these realizations was decomposed into four components in a manner similar to the aforementioned modeling of the actual light profile of the galaxy. Tables 1 and 2 show the 1σ uncertainties calculated using the best-fitting parameters from the 200 light profile decompositions.

3.2. Bulge Identification: Pseudo versus Classical

This work focuses on NGC 7015’s bulge. Importantly, we want to determine whether the bulge is a “classical bulge” formed through violent merger processes or a “pseudo-bulge” built out of the disk material via secular evolution or formed by other mechanisms. Here, we do so by considering the Sérsc index, ellipticity, position angle (P.A.), \( B_A \), and bulge-to-total flux ratio (\( B/T \)) of the galaxy.

Having an ellipticity \( \epsilon_{\text{bul}} \sim 0.19^{+0.06}_{-0.05} \), the bulge with a low Sérsc index \( n \sim 1.5–1.9 \) and a major-axis half-light radius of \( R_e \sim 5''2 \approx 1.7 \) kpc dominates the galaxy light in the inner regions (\( R \lesssim 15'' \); Figures 3 and 4 and Tables 1 and 2). We note that most pseudo-bulges have a Sérsc index \( n < 2 \), while most classical bulges have \( n > 2 \) (e.g., Kormendy & Kennicutt 2004; Kormendy 2016). The outer disk with \( \epsilon_{\text{disk}} \sim 0.33^{+0.04}_{-0.07} \) dominates at \( R > 15'' \). Secularly built bulges tend to have
ellipticities and position angles similar to those of their associated outer disks (e.g., Kormendy & Kennicutt 2004; Fisher & Drory 2008). However, pseudo-bulges, akin to classical bulges, can be rounder than their disks (e.g., Fisher & Drory 2008, their Section 4; Erwin et al. 2015; Dullo et al. 2016). Fisher & Drory (2008) noted that the formation of pseudo-bulges that are flatter than their outer disks can be due to bar bucklings and/or unstable disks which move stars above the plane of the disks (e.g., Pfenniger & Norman 1990; Martínez-Valpuesta et al. 2006). For NGC 7025, $\epsilon_{\text{bul}}/\epsilon_{\text{disk}} \sim 0.59^{+0.20}_{-0.16}$. The ratio of the average ellipticity of the bulge to that of the disk for 30% of the 53 pseudo-bulges in Fisher & Drory (2008, their Figure 11 and Table 3) is $\langle \epsilon_{\text{bul}} \rangle / \langle \epsilon_{\text{disk}} \rangle < 0.60$. For about half of their pseudo-bulges, the value of $\langle \epsilon_{\text{bul}} \rangle / \langle \epsilon_{\text{disk}} \rangle$ or $\langle \epsilon_{\text{bul}} \epsilon_{\text{disk}} \rangle$ is $\lesssim 0.70$, and for 10% of their pseudo-bulges, $\langle \epsilon_{\text{bul}} \rangle / \langle \epsilon_{\text{disk}} \rangle \sim 0.60$, similar to that of NGC 7025. Omitting the most PSF-affected region, the position angle of the bulge of NGC 7025 is only modestly (i.e., $5^{\circ}$–$10^{\circ}$) twisted from that of the outer disk (Figure 3; see also Figure 4 and Table 2). Pseudo-bulges displaying such degrees of alignment with their outer disks are presented in Kormendy & Kennicutt (2004, their Figures 10 and 12), Erwin et al. (2015, their Figures 3 and 5), and Dullo et al. (2016, their Figure 4), among others. Importantly, the outer part of NGC 7025’s bulge has the same position angle as its disk.

The quantity $B_4$ in the output of IRAF/ELLIPSE quantifies the deviations of isophotes from pure ellipses: $B_4$ is negative/positive for boxy/disky isophotes (Jedrzejewski 1987). Outside the PSF-affected region, the bulge of NGC 7025 is disky inside $R \sim 5''$, turning into boxy at $6'' \lesssim R < 10''$ before becoming disky again over $10'' \lesssim R \lesssim 15''$. The $B_4$ values of the bulge’s disky isophotes are typically $\sim 0.010$, with 20%–30% associated uncertainties, in fair agreement with those of the disky isophotes from a large portion of the galaxy’s disk-dominated region ($B_4 \sim 0.007–0.015$; see Figure 3). Note that the values of $|B_4|$ are typically $<0.02$ for elliptical, lenticular, and early-type spiral galaxies (e.g., Graham et al. 2012, their Figure 4), but disky/boxy isophotes of galaxies with bars, dust, and/or prominent spiral structures can have $|B_4|$ values $>0.02$. Although disky isophotes in disk galaxies are primarily due to disks, the presence of bars, rings, and strong spiral arms can also result in disky isophotes. However, the latter are often accompanied by strong isophotal twists and local extrema in ellipticities (e.g., see Dullo et al. 2016).

From the integration of the 1D and 2D model components, accounting for the ellipticity of the 1D components, we measured the galaxy’s bulge-to-total and bulge-to-disk flux ratios to be $B/T \sim 0.28$–$0.30$ and $B/D \sim 0.48$, respectively (Table 1). This is in excellent agreement with Erwin et al. (2015), who found a mean $B/T \sim 0.33$ for their sample of pseudo-bulges (see also Fisher & Drory 2008, their Figure 11). The 1D decomposition (Figure 3) gives a dust-corrected SDSS $i$-band absolute magnitude for the bulge $M_{i,\text{bul}} \sim -22.53$ mag, while our IMFIT and GALFIT 2D decompositions yield $M_{i,\text{bul}} \sim -22.59$ mag and $-22.55$ mag, respectively. We corrected the observed absolute bulge magnitudes for inclination and internal dust extinction (Driver et al. 2008, their Table 1 and Equations 1 and 2), galactic extinction, and surface brightness dimming. These $i$-band absolute magnitudes were converted into solar luminosities using an absolute magnitude for the Sun of $M_{i,\odot} = 4.53$ AB mag. To convert the luminosities into stellar masses $M_{i,\text{bul}}$, we computed the bulge’s mass-to-light ratio ($M/L_{i,\text{bul}}$) using its $g - i$ color of 1.40 mag (Figure 3) and the color–$M/L$ relation by Into & Portinari (2013, their Table 3). This yielded $M/L_{i,\text{bul}} \sim 0.63$; thus $M_{i,\text{bul}} \sim (4.21 - 4.47) \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$.

In summary, the ellipticity, position angle, $n$, $B/T$, and predominantly disky isophotes of NGC 7025’s bulge together with the presence of dust and stellar spiral structures in the galaxy (Figure 5) strongly suggest a modestly flattened pseudo-bulge. Indeed, Kormendy (2016) noted that classical bulges cannot have spiral structures. Building on our structural analysis, in Section 3.3 we present the stellar kinematics of the bulge.

### 3.2.1. Comparison with Past Decomposition

Recently, Neumann et al. (2017), Rizzo et al. (2018), and Gilhuly & Courteau (2018) fit a 2D Sérsic bulge + exponential disk model to the SDSS images of NGC 7025 without accounting for the intermediate (spiral-arm) component. As warned by Dullo et al. (2016, 2017, 2018; see also Dullo & Graham 2012, 2013, 2014; Graham et al. 2016), neglecting to

---

**Figure 6.** Left panel: GALFIT model image of the spiral-arm component in NGC 7025. Right panel: residual image which is generated after subtracting the GALFIT model image of NGC 7025, which contains the spiral-arm component (left panel), from the original SDSS image of the galaxy.
fit intermediate-scale components (including bars, disks, and spiral arms) as a separate component can systematically bias the Sérsic index and flux of the inner bulge toward higher values. Indeed, Neumann et al. (2017) argued that their Sérsic index for the bulge of NGC 7025 ($n \sim 2.7$), the bulge’s position in the Kormendy relation, and the galaxy’s concentration index and velocity dispersion gradient all favor a merger-built “classical” bulge with $B/T \sim 0.44$. Similarly, due to the flux of the intermediate (spiral-arm) component which was attributed to the bulge, the bulge–disk decomposition by Gilhuly & Courteau (2018) yielded a high Sérsic index for the bulge ($n \sim 5.1$), indicating a slow-rotating classical
bulge. Rizzo et al. (2018) classify NGC 7025 as an early-type S0a. Their modeling of the bulge light distribution for this galaxy yielded $n \sim 2.4$ and $B/T \sim 0.37$; both these figures are larger than ours (see Tables 1 and 3).

3.3. Spectroscopy

3.3.1. Stellar Kinematics

The MEGARA HR-I and LR-V spectra for NGC 7025 have a high signal-to-noise ratio per spaxel $(S/N)$ of 12–100 at the central regions, compared to the low $S/N$ of ~1–5 at the outer parts of the IFU. We excluded all fibers with $S/N < 3$, which are shown in light gray in Figure 7, and spatially binned the data using the 2D Voronoi binning technique by Cappellari & Copin (2003). For the HR-I spectra, we found that forcing each bin’s minimum $S/N$ threshold to 7 results in a reliable measurement of the stellar rotation and stellar velocity dispersion for the pseudo-bulge of NGC 7025, with optimum spatial resolution over $R \lesssim 7''$. For the LR-V spectra, with $S/N$ higher than that of the HR-I data, a minimum $S/N$ threshold of 15 allows a robust stellar kinematic measurement for the pseudo-bulge.

We fit the binned MEGARA HR-I and LR-V spectra with the E-MILES and MILES stellar templates, respectively (Vazdekis et al. 2016), to determine the stellar kinematics (the stellar rotation $V$, stellar velocity dispersion $\sigma$, skewness $h_3$, and kurtosis $h_4$) of the galaxy using the penalized pixel-fitting code (pPXF) by Cappellari & EMSellem (2004; see also Cappellari et al. 2017; Figures 1, 2, and 7). These template spectra span a range of ages (0.063 Gyr to 17.78 Gyr) and metallicities ($-2.32 < [\mathrm{Fe}/\mathrm{H}] < +0.22$). We adopted a Salpeter initial mass function (Salpeter 1955) for this massive spiral galaxy as suggested by Dutton et al. (2015). The E-MILES/MILES templates that we used have a spectral resolution of $\mathrm{FWHM} = 2.50 \, \AA$ and velocity dispersions $\sigma \sim 40 \, \mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ and 60 $\, \mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ at the central wavelengths of the MEGARA HR-I and LR-V spectra, respectively (Vazdekis et al. 2016, their Figure 8). These can be compared to the MEGARA spectral resolutions of $\mathrm{FWHM} \sim 0.42 \, \AA \approx 15 \, \mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ and $\mathrm{FWHM} \sim 0.95 \, \AA \approx 50 \, \mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ for the HR-I and LR-V spectra, respectively (see Section 2.1.2). Given that NGC 7025’s bulge has broad stellar absorption features (i.e., $\sigma \gtrsim 155 \, \mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$), it was not necessary to convolve the high-resolution MEGARA spectra to degrade them to the resolution of the E-MILES/MILES template spectra (Figures 1, 2, and 7).

The high-resolution MEGARA stellar velocity ($V$) maps of NGC 7025, which extend to roughly the major-axis half-light radius of the pseudo-bulge ($R_{e,\text{maj}} \approx 5''$), reveal a significant rotation around the photometric minor axis of the galaxy, rising up to $|V| \sim 200 \, \mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ (Figures 7(a) and (e)). The pseudo-bulge has a stellar velocity dispersion of $\sigma \sim 230 \, \mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ near the center (i.e., at $R \lesssim 0.5R_e$; Figures 7(b) and (f)). At larger radii ($0.5R_e \lesssim R \lesssim R_e$), the $\sigma$ map extracted using the HR-I data shows that large parts of the pseudo-bulge display $\sigma \approx 270$–350 $\, \mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$, but there are regions with $\sigma \approx 155$–220 $\, \mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ (Figure 7(b)). In contrast, the $\sigma$ map based on the LR-V spectra shows that the pseudo-bulge typically has $\sigma \sim 155$–220 $\, \mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ at $0.5R_e \lesssim R \lesssim R_e$. This discrepancy is primarily due to the contrasting $S/N$ of the HR-I and LR-V spectra, i.e., $\langle S/N \rangle_{\text{HR-I}} \sim 10$–35 versus $\langle S/N \rangle_{\text{LR-V}} \sim 20$–60. The $\sigma$ maps are less reliably constrained for the HR-I data with modest $S/N \sim 10$. It is also in part because the HR-I spectra are weakly sensitive to localized dust absorption in the central regions of the galaxy, compared to the LR-V spectra. These detailed MEGARA $V$ and $\sigma$ maps together with the Falcón-Barroso et al. (2017, their Figures A10 and A27) low-resolution CALIFA $V$ and $\sigma$ maps, with larger radial extent reaching $R \sim 30''$, reveal kinematic regularity in the galaxy—that is, an overall outwardly increasing (declining) rotation (stellar velocity dispersion). In agreement with our decomposition, these large field-of-view kinematic maps by Falcón-Barroso et al. (2017) show that NGC 7025 exhibits a high degree of rotation ($|V| > 150 \, \mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ at $R \gtrsim 25''$, i.e., at radii where the outer disk dominates the pseudo-bulge.

Because the HR-I spectra have somewhat low $S/N$ at $R \gtrsim 0.5R_e$, the corresponding $h_3$ and $h_4$ values are poorly constrained (Figures 7(c) and (d)). For the LR-V spectra, the $h_3$ map is anticorrelated with the $V$ map, as expected for disky rotating systems (Figure 7; see also Bender et al. 1994; González-García et al. 2006; Krajnović et al. 2008; van de Sande et al. 2017). The pseudo-bulge typically has $h_4 > 0$ at $R \lesssim R_e$ (Figure 7(h)).

3.3.2. $V/\sigma$

The disky pseudo-bulge in NGC 7025 is evident from the decompositions and isophotal analysis in Section 3.1. The correspondence between the central structure of early-type galaxies and their kinematics results in the disky/boxy, “fast rotator”/”slow rotator” dichotomy (e.g., Bender et al. 1989; Franx et al. 1991; Faber et al. 1997). The former are thought to structurally and kinematically resemble the rotating bulges in spiral galaxies. The ratio of stellar rotation to velocity dispersion ($V/\sigma$) together with ellipticity $e$ has been used to determine whether a system is supported by rotation or by anisotropic velocity dispersion (e.g., Illingworth 1977; Kormendy 1982; Davies et al. 1983). We followed the recent approach by Fabricius et al. (2012) and Erwin et al. (2015): first correct $V$ for inclination ($i$) as $V_{\text{corr}} = V \sin (i)$ and then determine $V_{\text{corr}}/\sigma$ for NGC 7025 with $i \sim 53^\circ$3 (HyperLeda). Paturol et al. 2003). We adopted a kinematic classification where rotation-supported systems have regions with $V_{\text{corr}}/\sigma > 1$, while for pressure-supported systems $V_{\text{corr}}/\sigma < 1$ (e.g., Fabricius et al. 2012; Erwin et al. 2015). Figure 8 shows the HR-I and LR-V $V_{\text{corr}}/\sigma$ maps of NGC 7025’s pseudo-bulge. These maps show that $|V_{\text{corr}}/\sigma|$ climbs to $\sim 1.5$, revealing that the pseudo-bulge is mainly supported by rotation.

3.3.3. Specific Angular Momentum $\lambda_R$

Using the SAURON IFU data for a sample of 48 early-type galaxies, Emsellem et al. (2007) suggested the parameter $\lambda_R$ for discriminating between slow and fast rotators in their sample. This (spin) parameter $\lambda_R$, a proxy for the stellar angular momentum per unit mass, is defined as

$$\lambda_{R_{\text{max}}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{maj}}} F_i R_i |V_i|}{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{maj}}} F_i R_i \sqrt{|V_i|^2 + \sigma_i^2}},$$
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where $F_i$, $R_i$, $V_i$, and $\sigma_i$ are the flux, circular radius, velocity, and velocity dispersion of the $i$th spatial bin, respectively. Having measured the half-light spin parameter $\lambda_e = \lambda(R_e = 4.68)$ and average ellipticity of the galaxy within $R_e$ ($\epsilon_e$), in Figure 9 (left) we place NGC 7025 in the $\lambda_e - \epsilon_e$ diagram and compare it with the ATLAS$^{3D}$ sample of 260 early-type galaxies (Emsellem et al. 2011). Fast rotators are defined by Emsellem et al. (2011) as those galaxies with $\lambda_e > 0.31 \times \sqrt{\epsilon_e}$ and thus occupying the region above the dashed boundary line in Figure 9 (left), while those with $\lambda_e < 0.31 \times \sqrt{\epsilon_e}$, which lie below this boundary line, are slow rotators. We note that there are “intermediate-type” galaxies with $\lambda_e = 0.31 \times \sqrt{\epsilon_e}$. Figure 9 clearly reveals that NGC 7025’s pseudo-bulge is a fast rotator.

In addition, given the high-resolution data afforded by MEGARA, we attempted to characterize the rotation of the pseudo-bulge as a function of its radius. Figure 9 (right) plots spin tracks for the HR-I and LR-V data created by measuring $\lambda(R)$ and $\epsilon(R)$ within nine different circular apertures with radii $R = (0.07, 0.18, 0.29, 0.41, 0.53, 0.64, 0.76, 0.87, 1.00) \times R_e$. These tracks reveal that the pseudo-bulge, which has outwardly rising $\lambda(R)$ and $\epsilon(R)$ for $0.3 \lesssim R/R_e \lesssim 1.0$, is consistent with being a fast rotator for all the apertures we considered. It is worth noting that the spin tracks by Graham et al. (2017, their Figure 9) differ from ours. Instead of using circular apertures as done here, they suggested determining $\lambda(R)$ within elliptical annuli to better represent the rotation profiles for their galaxies with intermediate-scale disks (see also Bellstedt et al. 2017). Given that we are interested in determining the radial $\lambda(R)$ profiles only for NGC 7025’s pseudo-bulge component, $\lambda$ values measured using circular apertures are more meaningful than those determined within elliptical annuli.

4. Discussion

4.1. The Nature of the Disky Bulge in NGC 7025

High-resolution MEGARA spectroscopy of the early-type spiral (Sa) galaxy NGC 7025, obtained as part of the commissioning run of the MEGARA instrument, reveals a disky bulge that is supported by rotation. As noted in the preceding sections, this bulge is well fitted by a Sérsic model with a low Sérsic index ($n \sim 1.5$–$1.9$). Its properties are generally consistent with a secular-driven formation scenario (e.g., Kormendy 1982, 1993; Pfenniger 1993; Courteau et al. 1996; Carollo et al. 1997; Athanassoula 2005; Laurikainen et al. 2007; Fisher & Drory 2008), as such favoring a pseudo-bulge interpretation. In contrast, Neumann et al. (2017) and Gilhuly & Courteau (2018) advocated a classical bulge for the galaxy. The 2D decompositions of the SDSS images of NGC 7025 by Neumann et al. (2017) and Gilhuly & Courteau (2018) were performed without accounting for the galaxy intermediate (spiral-arm) component (see also Rizzo et al. 2018). This biased their Sérsic index and flux for the bulge component toward higher values, which are expected for classical bulges (see Section 3.2.1).

As mentioned in the introduction, in the secular evolution picture, pseudo-bulges are thought to have formed (slowly) via the inward funneling of disk materials facilitated by non-axisymmetric features, such as bars, ovals, lenses, or spiral arms (e.g., Pfenniger & Norman 1990; Kormendy 1993; Kormendy & Kennicutt 2004; Laurikainen et al. 2007; Fisher & Drory 2008; Graham & Worley 2008; Laurikainen et al. 2010; Méndez-Abreu et al. 2014; Erwin et al. 2015; Tonini et al. 2016). Therefore, the prediction is that there is coupling between the pseudo-bulges and their surrounding large-scale disks as revealed, for example, by the nearly constant bulge-to-disk size ratios ($R_e/h$) of $\sim 0.20$ and $0.24$ for late- and early-type spirals, respectively (MacArthur et al. 2003; see also Courteau et al. 1996; Graham 2001; Fisher & Drory 2008; Graham & Worley 2008; Gadotti 2009). Laurikainen et al. (2010) found median $R_e/h$ values of 0.15 and 0.20 for their S0a and S0 galaxies. For NGC 7025, we found $R_e/h \sim 0.18–0.20$ (Table 1). Given that MacArthur et al. (2003) did not account for spiral arms in their light profile decompositions, our $R_e/h$ value for NGC 7025 is generally in good agreement with those of early-type spiral and S0 galaxies (MacArthur et al. 2003; Laurikainen et al. 2010).

NGC 7025’s pseudo-bulge fulfills three pseudo-bulge identification criteria by Kormendy & Kennicutt (2004):

1. Having rotationally supported dynamics (Figures 7–9)
2. Having a nearly exponential light profile (Figures 3 and 4 and Tables 1 and 3) and dust and stellar spiral structures which extend all the way into the galaxy center (Figures 5 and 6)
3. Furthermore, our $n$ values for the pseudo-bulge agree with the Fisher & Drory (2008) pseudo-bulge ($n \lesssim 2$) versus classical bulge ($n \gtrsim 2$) divide (see also Neumann et al. 2017, who advocated a similar $n$-based pseudo-bulge/classical bulge dichotomy but using a threshold $n = 1.5$ instead of 2). However, we refer the reader to a detailed discussion by Graham (2013, see his Section 4.3), who highlighted the danger of using such bulge classification criteria alone.

Our pseudo-bulge-to-total flux ratio for NGC 7025 ($B/T \sim 0.28–30$) is in excellent agreement with Erwin et al. (2015), who reported a mean $B/T$ of $\sim 0.33$ for his sample of pseudo-bulges (see also Fisher & Drory 2008, their Figure 11), and is akin to the $B/T$ values of $\sim 0.25–0.35$ typically reported for other spiral and lenticular galaxies (see, e.g., Fisher & Drory 2008; Graham & Worley 2008; Gadotti 2009; Weinzierl et al. 2009; Laurikainen et al. 2010; Dullo et al. 2016, references therein).

Pseudo-bulges and their surrounding disks are expected to have similar colors, relatively bluer than those of classical bulges built in violent merging events (e.g., Peletier & Balcells 1996; Carollo et al. 1997; Fisher & Drory 2008; Driver et al. 2007; Cameron et al. 2009; Gadotti 2009). Excluding the most PSF-affected region ($R \lesssim 1\arcsec$) and going out from the center, the $g - i$ color profile of NGC 7025 plotted in Figure 3 becomes gradually bluer; it then levels at intermediate radii ($\sim 10'' \lesssim R \lesssim 40''$) before turning redder at large radii where the disk dominates. Not only does the galaxy color profile agree with the secular evolution scenario, it also resembles the “U-shaped” color profile of “Type II” galaxies (Bakos et al. 2008, their Figure 1), having truncated exponential profiles believed to arise from disk instabilities driven by bars (e.g., Pohlen & Trujillo 2006; Borlaff et al. 2016; Catalán-Torrejilla et al. 2017; Bouquin et al. 2018). However, the $g - i$ color of NGC 7025 ($\sim 1.49 \pm 0.11$; see Figure 5) is markedly redder than the typical $g - i = 1.06 \pm 0.17$ color reported for Sa galaxies (Shimasaku et al. 2001, their Table 1). We have converted their $g^* - i^*$ colors into $g - i$ using the SDSS DR7 photometric equations. The presence of dust may partly explain the redder color of the galaxy.

4.2. Formation of NGC 7025’s Pseudo-bulge

Within the secular evolution formation scenario, massive pseudo-bulges are closely linked to strong bars, owing to the high efficiency of such bars at funneling disk materials toward the inner regions of galaxies (e.g., Kormendy & Kennicutt 2004; Durbala et al. 2008; Cheung et al. 2013). Although NGC 7025 does not contain a bar or an oval structure, its compact ($R_e \sim 1.48–2.06$ kpc) pseudo-bulge is massive, i.e., a stellar mass $M_{*,\text{bulge}} \sim (4.21–4.47) \times 10^{10} M_\odot$, only a factor of $1.66–3.33$ less massive than the 21 local compact ($R_e \lesssim 2$ kpc) and massive ($0.7 \times 10^{11} M_\odot < M_e < 1.4 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$) bulges identified by Graham et al. (2015). The latter bulges were shown to have physical properties similar to those of the compact, massive high-redshift galaxies found at $z \sim 1.5–2$ (Dullo & Graham 2013; Graham 2013; Dullo 2014; Graham et al. 2015; de la Rosa et al. 2016). We tentatively propose that a secular process involving the tightly wound stellar arms of NGC 7025 may be driving gas and stars out of the disk into the inner regions of the galaxy, building up its massive pseudo-bulge (e.g., Carollo et al. 1997; Kormendy & Kennicutt 2004; Laurikainen et al. 2007). Indeed, NGC 7025 is the only unbarred isolated galaxy from the sample of 49 CALIFA galaxies by Holmes et al. (2015) which exhibits noncircular, barlike flows. Simulations have shown bar dissolution caused by central mass concentrations (e.g., Norman et al. 1996; Shen & Sellwood 2004; Athanassoula et al. 2005), but this process is not well understood. Given the low concentration of the light distribution of NGC 7025’s pseudo-bulge as revealed by the low $n$ value, it seems unlikely that the galaxy had a bar in the past that was destroyed by the above process.

4.3. Alternative Pseudo-bulge Formation Scenarios

Alternative pseudo-bulge formation scenarios have been discussed in the literature. For example, simulations have shown pseudo-bulges built via high-redshift starbursts with minor contributions from secular evolution (Okamoto 2013) and through gas-rich minor and/or major galaxy merger events (e.g., Springel & Hernquist 2005; Eliche-Moral et al. 2011; Guedes et al. 2013; Querejeta et al. 2015; Athanassoula et al. 2016; Tonini et al. 2016; Sauvaget et al. 2018). In the former scenario, the starburst-built pseudo-bulges form before their surrounding disks, and they are already in place at redshifts of $z \sim 2–3$. This is similar to the high-redshift clump pseudo-bulge formation scenario by Inoue & Saitoh (2012), but both these high-redshift scenarios are inconsistent with (i) the simulations by Elmegreen et al. (2008), which revealed that the coalescence of high-redshift clumps due to disk instabilities leads to the formation of bulges that resemble classical bulges, and with (ii) the color profile of NGC 7025 mentioned above (see Figure 3). For the merger-driven scenarios, the pseudo-bulges tend to have young stellar populations (except for those by Guedes et al. 2013), and they are formed prior to their surrounding disks. However, NGC 7025 is an isolated spiral galaxy; its low-density environment does not favor the merger-driven scenario. Indeed, $\sim 88\%$ of the sample of isolated galaxies studied by Hirschmann et al. (2013) have experienced at most one minor merger over their lifetime (see also Durbala et al. 2008). Also, Martig et al. (2012) reported that most of their isolated spiral galaxies with $B/T < 0.3$ experienced only minor mergers since $z \sim 2$. Using theoretical galaxy formation models, Tonini et al. (2016, their Figure 9) also reported that bulges built through secular evolution dominate the galaxy mass distribution at intermediate masses, $10 \lesssim \log (M_*/M_\odot) \lesssim 11$; in contrast, merger-built bulges dominate at the lower- and higher-mass ends (see also Martig et al. 2012).

Furthermore, it has been shown that galaxy mergers or accretion of external gas can produce kinematically distinct (counterrotating) stellar components in galaxies (e.g., Kormendy 1984; Balcells & Quinn 1990; Bertola et al. 1992; Kannappan & Fabricant 2001; Krajnovi\v{c} et al. 2011; Dullo et al. 2018, their Section 4.3.2). Krajnovi\v{c} et al. (2011) identified such kinematic features in roughly 26\% of their ATLAS$^3D$ sample of 260 early-type galaxies. The absence of any significant peculiarity in the stellar kinematics of NGC 7025 (Figure 7 and Falcón-Barroso et al. 2017) provides further support for the secular evolution scenario, but this is not to say that merger events cannot build bulges with featureless kinematics.

---
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5. Conclusions

This paper presents the first data and scientific results of MEGARA, a high-resolution IFU and MOS installed on the GTC. We carried out MEGARA IFU observations of the Sa galaxy NGC 7025. The results from these observations show that MEGARA is able to deliver high-resolution IFU data ideal for studying the central kinematic properties of nearby galaxies. We also performed detailed 1D and 2D decompositions of this galaxy’s SDSS i-band data into a Gaussian ($n = 0.5$) nuclear component, a Sérsic bulge, a Sérsic intermediate-scale spiral-arm component, and an outer exponential ($n = 1$) disk. The main results from this work are as follows.

1. We found that NGC 7025’s bulge has a Sérsic index $n \sim 1.80 \pm 0.24$, a half-light radius $R_e \sim 1.70 \pm 0.43$ kpc, stellar mass $M_e \sim (4.34 \pm 1.70) \times 10^{10} M_\odot$, and a bulge-to-total flux ratio $B/T \sim 0.30$.

2. We have presented the spins ($\lambda$) and ellipticities ($\epsilon$) of the bulge enclosed within nine different circular apertures with radii $R \leq R_e$, revealing that the bulge, which exhibits a spin track of outwardly rising $\lambda$ and $\epsilon$, is a fast rotator for all the apertures considered. Correcting the bulge’s stellar velocity $V$ for inclination, we constructed $V_{\text{corr}}/\sigma$ maps, which show that the bulge is mainly supported by rotation.

3. The photometric and kinematic findings given previously strongly favor a pseudo-bulge in NGC 7025, in contrast to past works, which have advocated a classical bulge interpretation.

4. Our results for NGC 7025 are broadly consistent with the secular evolution model of pseudo-bulge formation. The disky pseudo-bulge of the galaxy may have formed naturally from the outer disk, slowly driven by the tightly wound stellar spiral arms. Examination of the stellar kinematics and population together with a careful analysis of high-resolution HST images for a large number of unbarred late-type galaxies is desirable to check how frequent massive ($M_e \sim 5 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$) pseudo-bulges can be built up by secular evolution with spiral arms as the driving agents.
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