Nitrofurantoin and Fosfomycin, effective oral empirical treatment options against multidrug resistant Escherichia coli
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Abstract

Objective: The present study is designed to monitor the antibiotic susceptibility pattern of Escherichia coli to assist in forecasting empirical therapy of urinary tract infection.

Materials and Methods: It is a retrospective cross-sectional study. It was carried out at Dow Diagnostic Research and Reference Laboratory for a period of 3 months from February 2017 to April 2017. Data of a total of 5000 urine culture and sensitivity test reports were taken from the medical record. The data were analyzed by SPSS version 16.

Results: Out of 5000 urine samples processed, 1565 showed significant bacterial growth. Escherichia coli was the most common pathogen isolated. Meropenem, Amikacin, Fosfomycin, and Nitrofurantoin respectively were found to be the most effective antibiotics against Escherichia coli.

Conclusion: Fosfomycin and Nitrofurantoin are effective oral antibiotics against Escherichia coli causing urinary tract infection. The present study may help clinicians in making a rational choice of empirical treatment of the patients.
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Introduction

Urinary tract infection (UTI) is among the most common bacterial infections affecting all age groups.\(^1\) It has been estimated that UTIs cause around 8.1 million visits to healthcare personnel annually presenting as one of the major reasons for global economic burden.\(^2\) Prevalence of UTI is affected by multiple factors such as age, poor personal hygiene, pregnancy, urinary catheterization, genitourinary tract abnormalities, and co-morbidities like diabetes, HIV infection.\(^3\) UTIs affect both genders but it is more common in the female population. It has been assessed that more than 50% of all women experience at least one UTI during their lifetime, with 20-30% presenting with recurrent UTI due to their anatomy and reproductive physiology.\(^4\) Clinically UTI may present as asymptomatic, acute, chronic, complicated, or uncomplicated depending upon the type of infectious agent, part of urinary tract involved, and patient’s immune response.\(^5\) Most UTIs are treated empirically based on the culture and sensitivity pattern generated by regional microbiology laboratories. The spectrum of antimicrobial susceptibility of UTI pathogens varies from time to time and in different geographic areas. Therefore regular monitoring of the antibiotic susceptibility pattern of uropathogens is very important. However, due to the indiscriminate use of antibiotics, there is high emergence of antibiotic resistance among urinary pathogens making it a major public health problem.\(^6\) At present, new antibiotics that have been approved, are mostly for parental use, so for oral empirical treatment, we have very limited options. Literature search shows that multidrug-resistant *Eschericia coli* (*E. coli*) still retains susceptibility to two oral antibiotics are Nitrofurantoin and Fosfomycin. Both maintain high urinary concentration and minimal toxicity in comparison to newer antibiotics and have been considered as safe and effective drugs for the treatment of uncomplicated urinary tract infection in women.\(^7\)

With this background, a study is designed to determine the antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *E. coli* which may help in prescribing effective empirical treatment of urinary tract infection.

Materials and Methods

This retrospective cross-sectional study was conducted in the Microbiology section of DDRRL. Urine culture and sensitivity reports were collected from medical records of the Microbiology section of DDRRL after taking approval from Institutional Review Board (IRB) having Reference No. IRB-1794/ DUHS/ Approval/ 2021. This retrospective data record is maintained by the database of LIS (Laboratory Information System) under the supervision of Director DDRRL. The data contains information about the patient’s age, gender, isolated organism, and antibiotic susceptibility pattern. The culture reports included samples from both inpatient and outpatient departments during a period from February 2017 to April 2017. A sample size of 5000 subjects was taken which achieved 98% power to detect a difference of 3.9% between two diagnostic tests (Fosfomycin & Nitrofurantoin) whose sensitivities were 95.8% and 91.9% respectively. This procedure used PASS version 15 software, a two-sided McNemar test with a 95% confidence interval. The prevalence of disease in the population was 73.2%. The proportion of discordant pairs was 35.1%.

All samples having information regarding the patient’s age, gender, and isolated organism were included in the study. However antibiotic susceptibility of antibiotics against only *E. coli* is included in the study. Samples having a lack of the above-mentioned information were excluded from the study as well as antibiotic susceptibility against organisms other than *E. coli* is excluded from the study. Urine culture samples were processed as per standard microbiological procedures and antibiotic susceptibility testing by Disk Diffusion method was performed according to CLSI guidelines 2021.\(^8\) The antibiotic discs used for susceptibility testing against *E. coli* included Amoxicillin / Clavulanic acid 30μg, Ampicillin 10μg, Amikacin 30μg, Meropenem 10μg, Piperacillin / Tazobactam 110μg, Gentamicin 10μg, Ceftriaxone 30μg, Cefuroxime 30μg, Ciprofloxacin 5μg, Cotrimoxazole 25μg, Cefixime 5μg, Nitrofurantoin 300μg and Fosfomycin 200μg. The data was entered in SPSS version 16 and statistical analysis was done. Descriptive statistics were measured in percentages.

Results

In the present study, a total of 5000 urine culture and sensitivity test report data was taken from medical records for a period of 3 months from Feb 2017 to April 2017. Out of them, 1565 (31.3%) urine samples were found positive for bacterial growth. Amongst these, 3303 (66%) samples were of female patients and 1697 (34%) samples were of male patients. The
frequency of significant bacterial growth was much greater in the patients between 51-60 years of age followed by 21-30 years of age as compared to other age groups. (Figure 1)

Among the isolated microorganisms, Gram-negative bacteria 1282 (81%) were more than Gram-positive bacteria 283 (18%). Of the gram-negative bacteria, the most frequent isolate was E. coli 938 (73%). (Table 1) E. coli was found highly sensitive to Meropenem, Amikacin, Fosfomycin, and Nitrofurantoin respectively. (Table 1)

**Table 1: Bacteria isolated from urine samples**

| Total Bacterial growth | 1565 |
|------------------------|------|
| Gram Negative Bacteria | n=1282 (81.9%) |
| **Name of Bacteria**   | **Frequency** |
| Escherichia coli       | 938 (73.2%) |
| Klebsiella species     | 160 (12.5%) |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa | 47 (3.7%) |
| Citrobacter species    | 42 (3.3%) |
| Enterobacter species   | 35 (2.7%) |
| Proteus mirabilis      | 19 (1.5%) |
| Acinetobacter species  | 16 (1.3%) |
| Pseudomonas species    | 10 (1%) |
| Proteus vulgaris       | 10 (1%) |

| Gram Positive Bacteria | n=283 (18.1%) |
| Name of Bacteria       | Frequency |
| Enterococcus           | 158 (56%) |
| Streptococcus D        | 57 (20.1%) |
| Staphylococcus species | 28 (9.9%) |
| Streptococcus species  | 28 (9.9%) |
| Staphylococcus aureus  | 12 (4.2%) |

**Table 2: Resistant pattern of E. coli in %**

| Antibiotics                     | Escherichia coli |
|---------------------------------|------------------|
|                                 | N=938            |
| Ampicillin                      | 806 (86%)        |
| Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid     | 445 (47.5%)      |
| Piperacillin/tazobactam         | 140 (15%)        |
| Ceftriaxone                     | 684 (73%)        |
| Cefixime                        | 684 (73%)        |
| Cefturoxime                     | 684 (73%)        |
| Meropenem                       | 28 (3%)*         |
| Gentamicin                      | 318 (34%)        |
| Amikacin                        | 48 (5.2%)*       |
| Ciprofloxacin                   | 590 (63%)        |
| Cotrimoxazole                   | 647 (69%)        |
| Fosfomycin                      | 39 (4.2%)*       |
| Nitrofurantoin                  | 75 (8.1%)*       |

*Microorganisms showing significant sensitivity to antibiotics.

**Discussion**

This study has emphasized on antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of E. coli. This may help physicians in the appropriate selection of antibiotics for empirical treatment of patients suffering from UTI. The prevalence of UTI in our study was found to be 31.3% which is similar to the results of the study done in Rawalpindi by Khan MI. Out of positive bacterial growth samples, 38% were females and 24% were males. Increased prevalence of UTI in females was also found in a research study done by Ullah A. in Kohat Teaching Hospital.

Moreover, patients of age group 51-60 years (18%) and 21-30 years (17.5%) were the most commonly affected age group which was consistent with data presented by Bitew A. in 2017. In the present study, out of 1565 (14 species), bacterial isolates were recovered, Gram-negative bacteria constituted (81%) major portion as compared to Gram-
positive bacteria (18%). This is in accordance with the study findings by Yadav M.\textsuperscript{12}

The most prevalent isolated bacteria were found to be \textit{E. coli} (73.2\%), also reported in a study conducted by Khan MI.\textsuperscript{9} In the present study, \textit{E. coli} was found to be highly sensitive to Meropenem, Amikacin, Fosfomycin, and Nitrofurantoin consistent with the results published in studies by Khan IU., Al-Zahrani J. and Pouladfar G.\textsuperscript{13,15} However it was found resistant to Ampicillin 86\%, Cephalosporin 73\%, Ciprofloxacin 63\% and Cotrimoxazole 69\%. A similar pattern was documented in many studies.\textsuperscript{9,16,17}

Literature shows that Nitrofurantoin is an active drug against \textit{E. coli}, the most common pathogen of urinary tract infection and overall resistance to it is uncommon and many multidrug-resistant pathogens are still found susceptible to it. Therefore Nitrofurantoin can be prescribed as a first-line antimicrobial option for the empirical therapy of suspected acute uncomplicated cystitis.\textsuperscript{18}

Susceptibility of Fosfomycin was also found highly effective in multidrug-resistant \textit{E. coli} in UTI in comparison to other available antibiotics. Similar results were found in many related studies\textsuperscript{19,20} which have shown that Fosfomycin is can an effective antibacterial drug for empirical treatment of UTI patients.\textsuperscript{21}

The Carbapenem group of antibiotics is still a reliable option for parental treatment of urinary tract infection as low resistance has also been observed in other studies.\textsuperscript{16,22} Moreover, our study displayed low resistance against Amikacin, compatible findings are noted in other studies as well done in India and Turkey.\textsuperscript{12,16} Therefore it can also be preferred as a parental drug for empirical therapy of UTI.

As most physicians prefer broad-spectrum antibiotics for the treatment of outpatient UTIs therefore Ciprofloxacin had remained one of the most frequently prescribed antibiotics for UTI treatment.\textsuperscript{23} However, a significant increase in antimicrobial resistance to ciprofloxacin has been noticed over a time period.\textsuperscript{24} In our study, \textit{E. coli} showed 63\% resistance to ciprofloxacin. Similarly, high Cephalosporin resistance has been recorded in multiple studies due to its unchecked prescription practices.\textsuperscript{17} They should be used with caution for empirical treatment in hospitalized patients due to their high resistance rates. Furthermore, high resistance rates of Ampicillin have also been noticed in other related studies by Bitew A. and Demir M.\textsuperscript{11,16} Therefore, it is not appropriate to use it as a single agent in empirical treatment.

Cotrimoxazole resistance was also found high in our study which is in agreement with the studies done in 2019 and 2020 respectively.\textsuperscript{16,25} Hence, it should not be considered a suitable option for empirical treatment in UTI.

The high emergence of antibiotic resistance is mainly due to unchecked doctor practices, self-medication, and non-compliance of the patients.\textsuperscript{26}

The limitation of the study is that the data was retrospective therefore it was not known whether the patient was symptomatic, asymptomatic, or critically ill. Likewise, the source of the urine samples could not also be identified whether it was midstream urine or taken from a urine bag or catheter. Similarly, the data did not sort out inpatients and outpatients separately. Moreover, patients submitting more than one sample with complaints of recurrent UTI or colonization could not be identified.

**Conclusion**

The present study revealed that Fosfomycin and Nitrofurantoin are very effective oral antibiotic options for empirical treatment against \textit{E. coli} causing urinary tract infection. They should be prescribed cautiously by the physicians to avoid the emergence of antibiotic resistance.

**References**

1. John AS, Mbolo CI, Agbo B. A review on the prevalence and predisposing factors responsible for urinary tract infection among adults. Euro J Exp Bio. 2016;6(4):7-11.
2. Schappert SM, Rechsteiner EA. Ambulatory medical care utilization estimates for 2006. 2008.
3. Fuxman B. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. The American journal of medicine. 2002;113(1):3-13.
4. Fuxman B, Barlow R, D'Arcy H, Gillespie B, Sobel JD. Urinary tract infection: self-reported incidence and associated costs. Annals of epidemiology. 2000;10(8):509-15.
5. Olowe O, Ojo-Johnson B, Makanjuola O, Olowe R, Mabayoje V. Detection of bacteriuria among human immunodeficiency virus seropositive individuals in Osogbo, south-western Nigeria. European Journal of Microbiology and Immunology. 2015;5(1):126-30.
6. Shafaii I, Gupta U, Mahmood SE, Ahmed J. Antibiotic susceptibility patterns of urinary pathogens in female outpatients. North American journal of medical sciences. 2012;4(4):163.
7. Gardiner BJ, Stewardson AJ, Abbott IJ, Peleg AY. Nitrofurantoin and fosfomycin for resistant urinary tract infections: old drugs for emerging problems. Australian prescriber. 2019;42(1):14.
8. Humphries R, Bobenchik AM, Hindler JA, Schuetz AN. Overview of Changes to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, M100. Journal of Clinical Microbiology. 2021;50(1):213.
9. Khan MI, Xu S, Ali MM, Ali R, Kazmi A, Akhtar N, et al. Assessment of multidrug resistance in bacterial isolates from urinary tract-infected patients. Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences. 2020;13(1):267-75.

10. Ullah A, Shah SRH, Almugadam BS, Sadiqui S. Prevalence of symptomatic urinary tract infections and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of isolated uropathogens in kohat region of Pakistan. MOJ Biol Med. 2018;3(4):85-9.

11. Bitew A, Moldi T, Chanie M. Species distribution and antibiotic susceptibility profile of bacterial uropathogens among patients complaining urinary tract infections. BMC infectious diseases. 2017;17(1):1-8.

12. Yadav M, Pal R, Damrolien S, Khumanthem SD. Microbial spectrum of urinary tract infections and its antibioticogram in a tertiary care hospital. Int J Res Med Sci. 2017;5(6):2718-22.

13. Khan IU, Mirza IA, Ikram A, Afzal A, Ali S, Hussain A, et al. Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of bacteria isolated from patients with urinary tract infection. Journal of the College of Physicians and Surgeons Pakistan. 2014;24(11):840-4.

14. Al-Zahrani J, Al Dossari K, Gabr AH, Ahmed A-f, Al Shahrani SA, Al-Ghamdi S. Antimicrobial resistance patterns of Uropathogens isolated from adult women with acute uncomplicated cystitis. BMC microbiology. 2019;19(1):237.

15. Pouladfar G, Basiratnia M, Anvarinejad M, Abbasi P, Amirmezoo F, Zare S. The antibiotic susceptibility patterns of uropathogens among children with urinary tract infection in Shiraz. Medicine. 2017;96(37).

16. Demir M, Kazanasmaz H. Uropathogens and antibiotic resistance in the community and hospital-induced urinary tract infected children. Journal of Global Antimicrobial Resistance. 2020;20:68-73.

17. Prasada S, Bhat A, Bhat S, Mulki SS, Tulasidas S. Changing antibiotic susceptibility pattern in uropathogenic Escherichia coli over a period of 5 years in a tertiary care center. Infection and Drug Resistance. 2019;12:1439.

18. Sanchez GV, Babiker A, Master RN, Luu T, Mathur A, Bordon J. Antibiotic resistance among urinary isolates from female outpatients in the United States in 2003 and 2012. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy. 2016;60(5):2680-3.

19. Tulara NK. Nitrofurantoin and fosfomycin for extended spectrum beta-lactamases producing Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae. Journal of global infectious diseases. 2018;10(1):19.

20. Patel B, Patel K, Shetty A, Soman R, Rodrigues C. Fosfomycin susceptibility in urinary tract Enterobacteriaceae. The Journal of the Association of Physicians of India. 2017;65(9):14-6.

21. Fajfr M, Louda M, Paterová P, Ryšková L, Pacovský J, Košina J, et al. The susceptibility to fosfomycin of Gram-negative bacteria isolates from urinary tract infection in the Czech Republic: data from a unicentric study. BMC urology. 2017;17(1):1-6.

22. Mehrishi P, Faujdar SS, Kumar S, Solanki S, Sharma A. Antibiotic susceptibility profile of uropathogens in rural population of Himachal Pradesh, India: Where We are heading? Biomedical and Biotechnology Research Journal (BBRJ). 2019;3(5):171.

23. Shapiro DJ, Hicks IA, Pavia AT, Hersh AL. Antibiotic prescribing for adults in ambulatory care in the USA, 2007–09. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. 2014;69(1):234-40.

24. Sanchez GV, Baird AMG, Karlowsky JA, Master RN, Bordon JM. Nitrofurantoin retains antimicrobial activity against multidrug-resistant urinary Escherichia coli from US outpatients. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. 2014;69(12):3259-62.

25. Chanda W, Manyepa M, Chikwanda E, Duka V, Chileshe J, Tembo M, et al. Evaluation of antibiotic susceptibility patterns of pathogens isolated from routine laboratory specimens at Ndola Teaching Hospital: A retrospective study. Plos one. 2019;14(12):e0226676.