Quantized chiral anomaly materials cloak
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Chiral anomaly materials (CAM, e.g., axion insulator, topological insulator and some of Weyl semimetal) are new states of quantum matter. Anomalous Hall effect can occur in CAM, the anomalous Hall effect is closely related to the topological magneto-electric effect, i.e., when an electric field is applied to CAM, not only the electric field is induced, but also the magnetic field, vice versa. According to those properties, we design an electric cloak with quantized CAM and conductor, and a magnetic cloak with quantized CAM and superconductor. Simulation and calculation results show that the electric cloak can cloak applied electric field and induce magnetic field, and the magnetic cloak can cloak applied magnetic field and induce electric field. When applied electric field is generated by a point charge, the monopole can be obtained.

In the past few years, electromagnetic invisibility cloaks have drawn enormous attention. Many achievements of invisibility cloak have been motivated thanks to the pioneering theoretical work\(^1\)–\(^4\). Inspired by those theoretical works, varieties of electromagnetic wave cloak\(^5\)–\(^11\), acoustic wave cloak\(^12\)–\(^14\), matter wave cloak\(^15\), mass diffusion cloak\(^16\), heat diffusion cloak\(^17\)–\(^20\), magnetic cloak\(^21\)–\(^23\), electric cloak\(^24\)–\(^26\) have been theoretically designed and experimentally demonstrated. Generally speaking, it is difficult to construct a perfect invisible electromagnetic wave cloak by natural material, for it requires inhomogeneous and anisotropic material, yet a perfect dc electric cloak can be fabricated from natural material. Utilizing resistor network, Yang et al. designed anisotropic electric conductance and experimentally demonstrated dc electric cloak\(^24\), their work shows that the dc electric cloak can guide electric current around the cloaked region smoothly. Utilizing ferromagnetic and superconductor, Gómory et al. experimentally realized a magnetic cloak\(^22\), which did not require anisotropic metamaterial owing to zero permeability of superconductor. With the similar method, we designed a bi-layer steady current cloak by the use of insulator and conductor\(^25\), the bi-layer steady current cloak did not require anisotropic metamaterial owing to zero conductivity of insulator either. According to the similarity of the electric conduction equation and heat diffusion equation, the bi-layer heat cloak is designed\(^19\),\(^20\), the bi-layer heat cloak can shield heat diffusion flux, however, the heat shielding is temporal heat diffusion protection rather than a permanent heat diffusion protection, in the steady state, the cloaked region eventually achieves a constant temperature.

Topological insulator is a new state of quantum matter, the concept of the topological insulator can be defined with topological field theory\(^27\)–\(^33\), and topological band theory\(^34\),\(^35\). Under an applied electric field, a quantized Hall current is induced on the surface of the topological insulator, which in turn generates a magnetic polarization and vice versa, namely, electric field induces magnetic field, and magnetic field induces electric field\(^27\),\(^28\). Axion insulator is also a new state of quantum matter\(^36\)–\(^38\), when an applied electric field is applied on an axion insulator, the electric field can also induce magnetic field, vice versa.

Three type fermions play a fundamental role in our understanding of nature\(^39\): Dirac, Weyl and Majorana fermions. Dirac fermions have been observed for decades in high-energy physics, Weyl and Majorana fermions have not been observed in high-energy physics, but, Dirac\(^40\)–\(^42\), Weyl\(^38\),\(^39\),\(^43\)–\(^47\) and Majorana\(^44\)–\(^52\) fermions have been discovered in condensate matter. Weyl semimetal\(^38\),\(^39\),\(^43\)–\(^47\) is a new state of quantum matter, the chiral anomaly of Weyl semimetal\(^53\)–\(^60\) leads to the result that an applied electric field induces magnetic polarization and an applied magnetic field induces electric polarization. Chiral anomaly\(^27\),\(^37\),\(^38\) would emerge in all topological insulator and axion insulator, some of Weyl semimetal can generate chiral anomaly\(^53\)–\(^60\) too, the chiral anomaly\(^54\),\(^55\) is closely related to the topological magneto-electric response, utilizing the property of topological magneto-electric response, we designed an electric cloak with CAM and conductor bi-layer shell, and a magnetic cloak with CAM and superconductor bi-layer shell. The simulation and calculation results show that the CAM and conductor bi-layer electric cloak can cloak electric field and induce magnetic field; and the CAM and superconductor bi-layer magnetic cloak can cloak magnetic field and induce electric field.
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Cloaking magnetic field and inducing electric field. The electromagnetic response of three-dimensional insulator is described by the Maxwell action $S_0 = \frac{8}{\pi} \int d^3x d\tau (\varepsilon E^2 - B^2/\mu)$, together with a topological $\theta$ term $S_\theta = \int \theta d^2A_e \cdot B \mu \varepsilon$, here $E$ and $B$ are the electric field and magnetic flux density, respectively, $\varepsilon$ and $\mu$ are material-dependent permittivity and permeability, respectively, $\alpha = e^2/\hbar c$ is the fine-structure constant, $e$ is the electron charge, $h$ is Plank's constant, $c$ is the speed of light, and $\theta$ is the dimensionless parameter describing the insulator, which refers to the axion field in high-energy physics. $\theta$ is also called topological magneto-electric polarizability. When time reversal symmetry as well as spatial symmetry like inversion are broken, the topological magneto-electric polarizability $\theta$ is not quantized and is very small, for example, the magneto-electric polarizability of $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$ and $\text{BiFeO}_3$ is about $10^{-3}$ and $10^{-4}$, respectively. How to improve magneto-electric polarizability? The key point is to quantize the magneto-electric polarizability. When time reversal invariant, the magneto-electric polarizability $\theta$ is quantized, i.e., $\theta = (2n + 1)\pi$ ($n$ is integral), in this work, we take $\theta = \pi$.

Because the electric field induces magnetic polarization and the magnetic field induces electric polarization, the constituent relations of CAM can be written as, (SI unit) $\vec{D} = \varepsilon_0 \vec{E} - (\varepsilon_0/\mu_0)^{1/2}(\alpha/\pi)\vec{B}$ and $\vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{H} + (\varepsilon_0/\mu_0)^{1/2}(\alpha/\pi)\vec{E}$, the constituent relations can be also written as

$$\vec{D} = \varepsilon_0 \left[\varepsilon + \mu \frac{\alpha^2 \theta^2}{\pi^2}\right] \vec{E} - \mu_0 \varepsilon_0 \frac{\alpha \theta}{\pi} \vec{H},$$

$$\vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{H} - \mu_0 \varepsilon_0 \frac{\alpha \theta}{\pi} \vec{E},$$

where $\varepsilon_0 = 8.85 \times 10^{-12} \text{ F/m}$ and $\mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7} \text{ H/m}$ are the permittivity and permeability of the free space, respectively.

Figure 1a (3D model) and Fig. 1b (cross section $z = 0$) show the bi-layer cylindrical magnetic cloak, the outer layer is CAM, and the inner layer is superconductor, the permittivity and permeability of the CAM are $\varepsilon_2$ and $\mu_2$, respectively, the permittivity and permeability of the superconductor are $\varepsilon_3$ and $\mu_3$, respectively, the outer radius and inner radius of the CAM are $a$ and $b$, respectively, the outer radius and inner radius of the superconductor are...
b and c, respectively. The permittivity and permeability of the surroundings are ε₁ and μ₁, respectively, the permittivity and permeability of the cloaked region are ε₂ and μ₂, respectively. When a uniform magnetic field \( \mathbf{H}_0 \) is applied to the cloak, the magnetic scalar potential in the cylindrical coordinate system \( (r, \theta, z) \) in the four regions can be written as

\[
\phi_{m1} = (-H_{r1} + A_1 r) \cos \theta, \quad \phi_{m2} = (B_1 r + C_1 r) \cos \theta, \quad \phi_{m3} = (D_1 r + E_1 r) \cos \theta, \quad \phi_{m4} = F_1 \cos \theta,
\]

where \( A_1, B_1, C_1, D_1, E_1, F_1 \) are unknown coefficients. The relation between the magnetic field and the magnetic scalar potential is \( \mathbf{H} = -\nabla \phi_m \), where \( \mathbf{H} \) is the magnetic field, \( \phi_m \) is the magnetic scalar potential, \( \nabla = \partial/\partial r \mathbf{e}_r + (1/r) \partial/\partial \theta \mathbf{e}_\theta + \partial/\partial z \mathbf{e}_z \) is normal unit vector, \( \mathbf{e}_r \) is tangential unit vector, the magnetic field in the four regions can be obtained (See Supplementary Information). Due to the magneto-electric response of the CAM, the electric potential is induced in the four regions can be written as

\[
\phi_{e1} = (A_2 r + A_3 r) \cos \theta, \quad \phi_{e2} = (B_2 r + C_2 r) \cos \theta, \quad \phi_{e3} = (D_2 r + E_2 r) \cos \theta, \quad \phi_{e4} = F_2 \cos \theta,
\]

where \( A_2, B_2, C_2, D_2, E_2, F_2 \) are unknown coefficients. Because of no physics electric field in region I, so \( A_2 = 0 \). The relation between the electric field and the magnetic potential is \( \mathbf{E} = -\nabla \phi_e \), the electric field in the four regions can be obtained (See Supplementary Information). When \( A = 0 \), the magnetic field is still \( \mathbf{H}_0 \) in region I, when \( F = 0 \), the magnetic field vanishes in region IV, namely, the device is a magnetic cloak. When \( A_1, B_1, C_1, D_1, E_1, F_1 \) are non-zero, the electric field is induced by the applied magnetic field. That is to say, we can cloak the magnetic field and induce electric field. The calculation results show that it is impossible to obtain a cloak when the inner layer and the outer layer are both the CAM, however, when the outer layer is CAM, the inner layer is superconductor, the bi-layer cylinder can cloak applied magnetic field and induce electric field.

Supposed that the inner layer is a superconductor, namely, the magneto-electric polarizability \( \theta_3 = 0 \), the permeability of the superconductor \( \mu_3 = 0 \), we take the permittivity of the superconductor \( \epsilon_3 = 1 \). The outer layer is CAM, the quantized magneto-electric polarizability of the CAM is \( \theta_2 = \pi \), the permittivity of the CAM \( \epsilon_2 = 2 \), the fine-structure constant \( \alpha = 1/137 \), the other parameters are \( \epsilon_1 = 1, \epsilon_4 = 1, \mu_1 = 1, \mu_4 = 1 \), setting \( A = 0, F = 0 \), we can obtain the conditions of the cloak, the relations between the size parameters and material parameters are

\[
\mu_2 = \frac{(a^2 + b^2)[a^2 \epsilon_2 + 1]^2 - b^2(\epsilon_2 - 1)^2]}{X + Y + Z},
\]

where

\[
X = 4(\alpha \theta_2/\pi)^2a^2b^2, \quad Y = -(\alpha \theta_2/\pi)^2[a^2 + b^2]\left\{a^2 \epsilon_2 + 1 - b^2(\epsilon_2 - 1)\right\}, \quad Z = (a^2 - b^2)[a^2 \epsilon_2 + 1]^2 - b^2(\epsilon_2 - 1)^2].
\]

Figure 2 shows the distributions of the magnetic field and the electric field in the center line (\( y = 0 \), namely, the green line in insert) of the cross section (\( z = 0 \)), the black lines stand for the magnetic field in the center line (\( y = 0, z = 0 \)) of the cloak, and the red lines stand for the electric field in the center line (\( y = 0, z = 0 \)) of the cloak, the parameters are \( a = 0.025 \text{ m}, \ b = 0.020 \text{ m}, \ c = 0.010 \text{ m}, \ H_0 = 10000 \text{ A/m}, \ \mu_3 = 4.556 \), other parameters are the same in the Fig. 2. When \( r > 0.025 \text{ m} \), the magnetic field \( H_1 = H_0 = 10000 \text{ A/m} \) the induced electric field \( E_1 \) varies with size parameters \( a \) and \( b \), and has nothing to do with the size parameter \( c \); the induced electric field \( E_1 \) varies with material parameter \( \epsilon \), less influenced by material parameter \( \mu \). When \( 0.020 \text{ m} < r < 0.025 \text{ m} \), the magnetic field \( H_1 \) and the induced electric field \( E_2 \) both vary with size parameters \( a \) and \( b \), and have nothing to do with the size parameter \( c \); the magnetic field \( H_1 \) varies with material parameter \( \mu \), less influenced by material parameter \( \epsilon \); the induced electric field \( E_2 \) varies with material parameters \( \epsilon, \mu \), less influenced by material parameter \( \mu \). When \( 0.010 \text{ m} < r < 0.020 \text{ m} \), the magnetic field \( H_1 \) varies with size parameters \( a, b, c \) and material parameters \( \mu, \epsilon \), less influenced by material parameter \( \epsilon \); the induced electric field \( E_3 = 13166 \text{ V/m} \) is uniform. Noting that the magnetic flux density is zero in this region, this is because the superconductor (\( \mu_3 = 0 \)) repulse magnetic flux density. When \( r < 0.010 \text{ m} \), the magnetic field \( H_1 = 0 \), the induced electric field \( E_4 = 13166 \text{ V/m} \) is uniform, namely, the CAM and superconductor bi-layer cylinder cloak applied magnetic field and induced electric field. The induced electric field \( E_4 = E_3 = 13166 \text{ V/m} \) is uniform in the superconductor (0.010 m < r < 0.02 m), this is because we chose the permittivity of the superconductor \( \epsilon_3 = 1 \), when \( \epsilon_4 \neq 1 \), the induced electric field \( E_3 \) is not uniform in the
superconductor. When $\theta_2 = 0$, $\theta_1 = 0$, $\mu_3 = 0$, the CAM and superconductor bi-layer magnetic cloak is simplified as a conventional magnetic cloak$^{22,23}$, utilizing ferromagnetic and superconductor bi-layer material, Gömöry et al. experimentally demonstrated the magnetic cloak$^{22}$. The cloak is also influenced by magneto-electro-polarizability, if the magneto-electric polarizability is quantized, then $\theta = n\pi$; if the magneto-electric polarizability is not quantized, then $\theta$ is very small$^{34}$; in this case, the electric field induced by magnetic field can be ignored, and the magnetic field induced by electric field can be ignored too.

**Cloaking electric field and inducing magnetic field.** Figure 1c (3D model) and Fig. 1d (cross section $z = 0$) show the bi-layer cylindrical electric cloak, the outer layer is CAM, and the inner layer is conductor. When a uniform electric field $E_0$ is applied, the electric potential in the cylindrical coordinate system $(r, \theta, z)$ in the four regions can be written as$^{32}$

$$\phi_{11} = (-E_0 r + A/r)\cos \theta, \quad \phi_{12} = (Br + C/r)\cos \theta, \quad \phi_{13} = (Dr + E/r)\cos \theta,$$

$$\phi_{44} = Fr \cos \theta,$$

where $A, B, C, D, E$ and $F$ are unknown coefficients. The relation between the electric field and the electric potential is $E = -\nabla \phi$, the electric field in the four regions can be obtained (See Supplementary Information). Due to the topological magneto-electro-response of the CAM, namely, the electric field induces magnetic field, the magnetic scalar potential in the four regions is induced $\phi_{m1} = (A_0 r + A_1/r)\cos \theta$, $\phi_{m2} = (B_0 r + C_0/r)\cos \theta$, $\phi_{m3} = (D_0 r + E_0/r)\cos \theta$, $\phi_{m4} = F\cos \theta$, where $A_0, A_1, B_0, C_0, D_0, E_0$ and, $F_1$ are unknown coefficients. The relation between the magnetic field and the magnetic scalar potential is $H = -\nabla \phi_m$, the magnetic field in the four regions can also be obtained (See Supplementary Information). Because of no physical magnetic field in region I, so $A_0 = 0$. When $A = 0$, the electric field is still $E_0$ in region I; when $F = 0$, the electric field vanishes in region IV, namely, the device is an electric cloak. When $A_0, B_0, C_0, D_0, E_0, F_1$ and $F_2$ are non-zero, the magnetic fields are induced by the applied electric field. That is to say, we can cloak the electric field and induce magnetic field. The calculation results show that it is impossible to obtain a cloak when the inner layer and the outer layer are both the CAM, however, when the outer layer is CAM, the inner layer is conductor, the bi-layer cylinder can cloak electric field and induce magnetic field. Supposed that the inner layer is a conductor, namely, the magneto-electric polarizability of the conductor is $\theta_1 = 0$, the permittivity of conductor is $\varepsilon_3 \to \infty$, setting $A = 0$, $F = 0$, we can obtain the conditions of the electric cloak.

Figure 4 shows the distributions of the electric field and the magnetic field in the center line ($y = 0$, namely, the green line in insert) of the cloak, and the red lines stand for the magnetic field in the center line ($y = 0, z = 0$) of the cloak, the applied electric field $E_3 = 10000 \text{ V/m}$, the permittivity of the surrounding and the conductor is $\varepsilon_1 = 9.11$ and $\varepsilon_3 \to \infty$, respectively, the magneto-electric polarizability of the conductor is $\theta_1 = 0$, the other parameters are the same in the Fig. 3. When $r > 0.025 \text{ m}$, the electric field $E_3 = 10000 \text{ V/m}$, the induced magnetic field $H_3$ varies with size parameters $a$ and $b$, and has nothing to do with the size parameter $c$; the induced magnetic field $H_3$ varies with material parameter $\mu$, less influenced by material parameter $\varepsilon$. When $0.020 \text{ m} < r < 0.025 \text{ m}$, the electric field $E_3$ and the induced magnetic field $H_2$ both vary with size parameters $a$ and $b$, and have nothing to do with the size parameter $c$; the electric field $E_2$ varies with material parameters $\varepsilon$, less influenced by material parameter $\mu$, the induced magnetic field $H_2$ varies with material parameters $\mu$, less influenced by material parameter $\varepsilon$. When $0.010 \text{ m} < r < 0.020 \text{ m}$, the electric field $E_3 = 0$, this is because the electric field is zero in conductor, yet the induced magnetic field $H_3 = 0.0968 \text{ A/m}$; when $r < 0.010 \text{ m}$, the electric field $E_3 = 0$, the induced magnetic field $H_3 = 0.0968 \text{ A/m}$ is uniform. Namely, the CAM and conductor bi-layer can cloak applied electric field and induce magnetic field, the induced magnetic field is very weak. Noting that $H_4 = H_5 = 0.0968 \text{ A/m}$, this is because we chose $\mu_3 = \mu_4 = 1$, if $\mu_3 = \mu_3$, then $H_3 = H_4$. If $\theta_1 = 0$, $\theta_2 = 0$, our cloak is simplified as a conventional electric cloak, utilizing dielectric and conductor, Lan et al. designed bi-layer electrostatic cloak, and experimentally demonstrated bi-layer electrostatic cloak$^{35}$. When $\varepsilon_3 = 0$ (the permittivity of the dual superconductor$^{36}$ is zero),
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the CAM and dual superconductor bi-layer electric cloak can be designed. Noting that \( \varepsilon_1 = 9.11 \) is very large, we can decrease \( \varepsilon_1 \) by adjusting size parameters \( a \) and \( b \).

**Cloaking electric field of point electric charge and inducing monopole.** Figure 1e (3D model) and Fig. 1f (cross section \( z = 0 \)) show the bi-layer spherical cloak, the outer layer is CAM, and the inner layer is conductor. Q is a point electric charge. The electric potential in the four regions is (See Supplementary Information)

\[
\phi_{11} = \sum\left(-\left(Q/4\pi\varepsilon_0\right)\rho_n/r_n^{m+1} + A_{n1}/r_n^{m+1}\right)P_n(\cos \theta), \quad \phi_{12} = \sum\left(B\rho_3/r_3^{m+1}\right)P_n(\cos \theta), \quad \phi_{13} = \sum\left(C\rho_3/r_3^{m+1}\right)P_n(\cos \theta), \quad \phi_{14} = \sum\left(D\rho_3/r_3^{m+1}\right)P_n(\cos \theta)
\]

where \( A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n, E_n, F_n \) are unknown coefficients. Because of no physics magnetic field in region I, so \( A_{n1} = 0 \). We can obtain the magnetic field in the four regions (See Supplementary Information). When \( A_{n1} = 0 \), the only electric field of the point electric charge is in region I; when \( F_{n2} = 0 \), the electric field vanishes in region IV, namely, the device is an electric cloak. When \( A_{n1} = 0, B_{n1}, C_{n1}, D_{n1}, E_{n1}, F_{n1} \) are non-zero, the magnetic field is induced by the point electric charge. That is to say, we can cloak the electric field and induce magnetic field. Supposed that the inner layer is a conductor, setting \( A_{n1} = 0, F_{n2} = 0 \), solving the boundary conditions, we can obtain \( A_{n1}, B_{n1}, C_{n1}, D_{n1}, E_{n1}, F_{n1} \) according to magnetic charge formulation63, the interesting thing take places, namely, many monopoles are induced in the four regions. For example, neglecting infinitesimal of the higher order (See Supplementary Information), we obtain coefficient

\[
A_n = \left(-\alpha e_i/e_2\right)\left(e_0/\mu_0\right)^{1/2}(Q/4\pi\varepsilon_0)n/(2n + 1)\pi/2
\]

and the magnetic potential in region I,

\[
\phi_{12} = -\left(\alpha e_i/e_2\right)\left(e_0/\mu_0\right)^{1/2}\sum\left(Q/4\pi\varepsilon_0\right)n/(2n + 1)\pi/2
\]

That is to say, the monopole is induced by a point electric charge, the monopole charge may be positive or negative, the positive or negative is decided by angle \( \theta \). Expanding Eq. (4), we can obtain a monopole in the inversion point (See Supplementary Information).

Figure 5 shows the distributions of the electric field and the magnetic field in the center line (\( y = 0 \), namely, the green line in insert) of the cross section (\( z = 0 \), the black lines stand for the electric field in the center line (\( y = 0, z = 0 \)) of the cloak, and the red lines stand for the induced magnetic field in the center line (\( y = 0, z = 0 \)) of the cloak, the parameters are \( n = 1, Q = 1.0 \times 10^{-7} \text{C}, L = 0.30 \text{m}, \varepsilon_1 = 8.295, \) the other parameters are the same as in Fig. 4. When \( r > 0.025 \text{m} \), the electric field is the field of the point electric charge, the induced magnetic field varies with space. When \( 0.20 \text{m} < r < 0.025 \text{m} \), the electric field and the induced magnetic field both vary with space. When \( 0.010 \text{m} < r < 0.020 \text{m} \), the electric field is equal to zero, this is because the electric field is zero in conductor, the magnetic field \( H_1 = 0.0048 \text{A/m} \) is uniform, noting that the magnetic field is a uniform field in this region, this is because we chose the permeability of the CAM \( \mu_1 = 1 \), when \( \mu_1 = 1 \), the magnetic field is not uniform. When \( r < 0.010 \text{m} \), the electric field is equal to zero, the magnetic field \( H_2 = 0.0048 \text{A/m} \) is uniform. Namely, the CAM and conductor spherical bi-layer cloaked applied electric field and induced magnetic field, the induced magnetic field is rather weak.
Utilizing quantized Faraday and Kerr rotation effect of topological insulator, Wu et al. measured fine-structure constant\(^6\). By measuring electric field or magnetic field of our cloaks, we can also measure the fine-structure constant, Plank's constant, topological magneto-electric polarizability and electron charge. It offers a new way for designing various magneto-electric material cloaks such as carpet cloak\(^2\), phase shift cloak\(^6\). The topological insulator\(^27\) as a magneto-electric material can cloak electric field and induce magnetic field (or monopole), or cloak magnetic field and induce electric field, yet most of topological insulators (for example Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\)) involve p-electron orbit\(^37,38\), whose Coulomb interaction is weak and cannot support magnetism, the control ability to magnetic field is weak. The axion insulator\(^37,38\) as a magneto-electric material can also cloak electric field and induce magnetic field (or monopole), or cloak magnetic field and induce electric field. The axion insulator can be obtained by the Coulomb correlation and exotic electronic properties, for example, when Coulomb correlation \(U < 0.4\) eV, compound CaOs\(_2\)O\(_4\) is a metal; when \(0.4\) eV \(< U < 0.9\) eV, compound CaOs\(_2\)O\(_4\) is an axion insulator; when \(0.9\) eV \(< U < 1.4\) eV, compound CaOs\(_2\)O\(_4\) is a Weyl semimetal; when \(U > 1.4\) eV, compound CaOs\(_2\)O\(_4\) is a Mott insulator\(^39\).

### Conclusion

Utilizing CAM and superconductor (conductor), we designed bi-layer cloak. According to the electromagnetic field theory, we obtain the magnetic scalar potential and electric potential. We demonstrated that the CAM and superconductor bi-layer can cloak applied electric field and induce magnetic field, and the CAM and conductor bi-layer can cloak applied electric field and induce magnetic field. Those cloaks can be experimentally demonstrated and be applied to electric and magnetic field switch, namely, magnetic field controls electric field or electric field controls magnetic field. By measuring electric field and magnetic field, we can measure the fine-structure constant or topological magneto-electric polarizability.

### Methods

In the conventional material, the electric field induces electric polarization; the magnetic field induces magnetic polarization. In the chiral anomaly materials, e.g., axion insulator, topological insulator and some of Weyl semi-metal, the anomalous Hall effect emerge\(^37,66\), the anomalous Hall effect is closely related to the topological magneto-electric effect, i.e., when an electric field is applied to CAM, both the electric and magnetic field are induced. Utilizing those properties, the constitute relations of the CAM are obtained

\[
\vec{D} = \varepsilon_0 (\varepsilon + \mu_0 \beta^2 \gamma \pi^2) \vec{E} - \mu_0 \theta_\phi \int_{\phi} \vec{H} \cdot \vec{n} d\phi / \pi \varepsilon_0 \ , \ \vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{H} - \mu_0 \theta_\phi \int_{\phi} H_\phi \vec{n} d\phi / \pi \varepsilon_0 .
\]

Considering the symmetry, in the cylindrical coordinate system \((r, \theta, z)\), the solution of the Laplace equation is \(\phi = \sum (M_r r^n + N_r r^{n+1}) P_n (\cos \theta)\) in the spherical coordinate system \((r, \theta, \phi)\), the solution of the Laplace equation is \(\phi = \sum (M_r r^n + N_r r^{n+1}) P_n (\cos \theta)\). According to relation between the electric field and the electric potential \(\vec{E} = -\nabla \phi\), and the magnetic field and the magnetic scalar potential \(\vec{H} = -\nabla \phi_{\phi}\), we obtain magnetic field and magnetic scalar potential in different regions, according to the constitute relations of the CAM, and the boundary conditions of the electric field and magnetic field, we obtain the conditions of the cloak. Utilizing the Legendre mother function, we expand the electric potential of point electric charge (See Supplementary Information).
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