Investigation of alpha band of the electroencephalogram before and after a task of proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation
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The proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation (PNF) sets up a feature of treatment developed with the objective to facilitate and improve the motor performance. The aim of this study was to investigate in healthy female individuals the effects of electrophysiological of a diagonal of the PNF upper limb. The sample consisted of 30 female participants aged between 18 to 28 years, randomly divided into 3 groups (G1, G2, and G3). The three groups had 2 moments of electroencephalographic signal detection, before and after the task. The statistical neurophysiological design allowed the analysis of the relative power of alpha band in three leads (Fz, F7, and F8). Thus, a three-way mixed factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to investigate the factor inter subjects (groups) and intrasubjects (areas and moments), a two-way ANOVA to investigate the interactions between the three factors, and a one-way ANOVA to analyze separately the factors time and area. A $P \leq 0.05$ was considered as significance level. The results showed significant increase of alpha band in the three groups analyzed, being more evident to the G2 group. Therefore, the PNF can be considered favorable also in relation to the cortical behavior, reinforcing its use in rehabilitation processes, especially in the clinical practice of physiotherapy.
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INTRODUCTION

The central nervous system (CNS) modifies or improves the motor responses through the motor learning (Alencar et al., 2011), and the latter can be acquired or restored through proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation (PNF), which constitutes a treatment resource developed with the objective of facilitating and improving the individuals’ motor performance with impairment in voluntary motor function (Joshi and Akre, 2015; Lee, 2016; Ribeiro et al., 2014). The PNF movement patterns perform a biarticular muscles movement, therefore, it makes their movements similar to actions found in the people’s daily routine and even with the exercises in sports practice (Kofotolis et al., 2005; Mesquita et al., 2015). The analysis of the repercussions generated by the application of the maneuvers regarding the electro-neurophysiological behavior can be performed through electroencephalography (EEG), which according to the study by Hosokawa et al. (1985) the change in the level of cortical excitation due to postural changes and patterns of movements such as investments in PNF, could be detected through the tool.
EEG investigates a brain electrical activity in different areas of the scalp, describing it in wave form or band, and in turn, varies in frequency and amplitude (Lee et al., 2015). Frequently used for the diseases diagnosis and for the medical follow-up of people with dementia (Han, 2013), epilepsy, and other clinical conditions (Cunha et al., 2009). Regarding physiotherapy, it has been used to evaluate individuals’ cortical activity of during an application or simulation of motor and cognitive tasks (Machado et al., 2014).

The alpha band (8–13 Hz) constitutes one of the main components of the cortical electrical signal (Sanei and Chambers, 2007), since they are dominant in the occipital region during rest and surveillance states (Klimesch et al., 2011; Osipova et al., 2008) and it is still considered a relevant predator of the effective cortical information processing and inhibitor of conflicting processes cerebral cortex, for example, during cognitive and sensory-motor activities (Babiloni et al., 2010; Park et al., 2015). It should also be noted that alpha band activity is inversely proportional to cortical activation (Machado et al., 2007; Machado et al., 2014). Studies that associate investigation of cortical behavior during the PNF use are still scarce in the literature. Thus, the present study aimed to investigate in healthy female individuals the electroneuropsychological effects of an upper limb diagonal of PNF.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample composed of 30 female participants with the age range from 18 to 28 years, weight between 50 and 80 kg (mean ± standard deviation, 57.6 ± 7.3), allowing a variation of ±2 kg (Moreira et al., 2017). This is a controlled cross-sectional study developed at the Cerebral Mapping and Functionality Laboratory from the Federal University of Piauí, Parnaíba - Piauí/Brazil, approved by the Research Ethics Committee (No. 1.087.478) and complying with the Criterias of Ethics in Research with Human Subjects included in the Declaration of Helsinki and Resolution (No. 466/2012) of the National Health Council.

In order to ensure better sample homogeneity in the muscle strength level, only female students were selected. The inclusion criteria adopted in the present study were: sedentary young women, age group between 18 and 28 years, body mass index (BMI) between 18.5 and 24.9 kg/m² according to the WHO Expert Committee (1995) characterizes the range of normality for BMI, and, that they should not be familiar with the basic PNF principle. All these criteria were adopted with the purpose of making the sample more homogeneous. Young patients with musculoskeletal or joint pathologies in the right shoulder, cardiopulmonary or neurological pathologies with functional limitation to perform active-free and resisted movements, amputees and patients with sensory or cognitive deficits that made it impossible to perform the requested movement were excluded from the study. Regarding EEG, those who used psychotrophic or psychoactive drugs and who had slept less than 8 hr the night before the experiment were excluded.

Procedures description

After signing the informed consent form, the participants were randomly assigned to three groups with specific tasks, namely: (a) control group (G1), the participants did not perform any type of movement throughout the experiment, remaining only eyes open; (b) PNF group (G2) performed the flexion-abduction-external rotation movement with extension of elbow, wrist and fingers and radial deviation of the right upper limb. The movement starting from the right shoulder in a position of slight internal rotation with elbow extension, flexion of the wrist and fingers and ulnar deviation, with the hand resting on the medial part of the contralateral thigh; (c) PNF load group (G3), performed the same movements of G2, however, with the addition of a load to the movement.

Throughout the procedure the volunteers remained comfortably seated in a chair with their feet resting on the floor, hip and knees in 90° flexion angle, and the electroencephalographic capture cap attached to the scalp and in front of them a monitor that emitted a visual stimulus. The three groups had 2 moments of EEG signal detection, before and after the task, and in G1 the rest corresponded to the sum-up of the task times of the experimental groups (G2 and G3), which totaled an average time of 40 min. The experimental groups performed the task 81 times (9 blocks with 9 repetitions) so that each block had duration of 1’ 30” seg, with intervals of 3 min between each block, the movement being performed only with the right upper limb.

To determine the ideal G3 load and the number of suitable replicates applied during the experiment, pretests were performed with 60 randomized volunteers in 3 groups, whose load varied from 10%, 20%, and 30% of the weight of the upper limb. These are based on de Leva’s protocol (1996), in which the value of 0.0449 x body weight of each volunteer is multiplied. In addition, the Borg Effort Perception Scale of 20 points was applied. Such a scale has a score ranging from 6 to 20, the intensities being considered, from “extremely easy” to “absolute maximum” (Borg, 1982), and it is commonly used to evaluate the effort subjective perception, that is, to monitor the exercise intensity and to quantify the dyspnea, being applied directly at the fatigue moment.
(Brandt et al., 2013; Cavallazzi et al., 2005).

When comparing the perceived exertion values of each participant, it was found that the 20% load did not generate a fatigue sensation above 15 points on the Borg scale, with mean values between 11–13 (“fairly light” to “a little Difficult”) recommendations for sedentary and untrained individuals (Scherr et al., 2013), not limiting the correct maneuver execution. The load variation was measured using a precision scale (MH-Series Pocket Scale 500 g/0.1 g). It should be emphasized, therefore, that the Borg scale was used only for the subjective determination of the sensation of fatigue and through this, to standardize the amount of repetition and also the load to be used for G3. To identify the dominance of manual laterality the Edinburgh inventory was used (Oldfield, 1971).

Based on the results of the pretests the G3 participants received a pair of gym gloves (Speedo, Nottingham, UK), which was positioned in the metacarpalphalangeal joint with the adaptation of a load of 20% out of the mass of the upper limb. It is important to point out that it was placed in such a way that it allowed the range of movement of the interphalangeal and wrist joints, in order not to interfere in the correct maneuver execution.

**Data processing**

The EEG signal was captured with a BrainNet BNT 36 - EEG (EMSA-Medical Instruments, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) using the international 10–20 system and 20 channels in a Braintech-3000 EEG System (EMSA-Medical Instruments). Twenty electrodes were placed in a nylon cap (EletroCap Inc., Fairfax, VA, USA), producing monopolar derivations with the lobes of the interconnected ear, and were used as reference points. The EEG impedance was maintained at 5–10 kΩ. The data were acquired using a total range of less than 100 V. The signal from the EEG was amplified with a gain of 22.000 Hz, and analogically filtered between 0.01 Hz (high-pass) and 60 Hz (low-pass), with 240 Hz. Data Acquisition (Delphi 5.0) software, developed at the Brain Mapping Laboratory Integration and a Sensory-Motor filter notch of 60 Hz with a high-pass of 0.001 Hz and a low-pass of 60 Hz was used. The capture room was acoustically isolated and had electrical ground. The impedance of the skin-electrode interface was maintained between 5 and 10 kΩ. The data were later analyzed in the Matlab program and evaluated using the EEGlab tool consisting of an interactive useful Matlab tool for the continuous processing of EEG related events and other electrophysiological data, including independent component analyzes (ICA) (Delorme and Makeig, 2004).

**Statistical analysis**

The neurophysiological statistical design allowed the analysis of the relative power (RP) of the alpha band at the pre and posttask moments for the three groups (G1, G2, and G3). The derivations selected were Fz, F7, and F8 corresponding to areas of the prefrontal cortex (PFC). Thus, a three-way mixed factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed with factor between subjects, the “groups” (G1, G2, and G3) and intra subjects factor for “areas” (Fz, F7, and F8) and “moments” (pre- and posttask). For the three-way mixed factor ANOVA, the Mauchley’s test was used to evaluate the sphericity hypothesis and the Greenshouse-Geisser (G-G) procedure to correct the freedomdegrees. The analysis of the data normality and homoscedasticity were previously verified by the Levene and Shapiro–Wilk tests (P > 0.05). Interactions between three factors were investigated using a two-way ANOVA for repeated measurements and a one-way ANOVA of repeated measures followed by the post hoc test performed with Bonferroni corrections. A one-way repeated measures ANOVA was analyzed separately for moment and area factors, and statistical significance was considered with an alpha-Bonferroni-adjusted alpha level of P < 0.025.

The effect magnitude was interpreted using the recommendations suggested by Hopkins et al. (2009): 0.0 = trivial; 0.2 = small; 0.6 = moderate; 1.2 = great; 2.0 = very large; 4.0 = almost perfect. A 5% probability for type I errors was adopted in all analyzes (P = 0.05). Thus, to detect if there was a real difference in the population, the statistical power was interpreted as 0.8 to 0.9, i.e., high power (Fayers and Machin, 1995). Analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics ver. 20.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA).

**RESULTS**

According to the realization of a triple factorial mixed ANOVA an interaction between the factors group, area and moment was observed (F[4,1434] = 31.583; P < 0.001; η²p = 0.08; power = 1.00) for all groups. In the analysis of the interaction between the moment and area factors in all the groups interaction was observed for G1 (F[2,478] = 138.414; P < 0.001; η²p = 0.36; power = 1.00), G2 (F[2,478] = 274.893; P < 0.001; η²p = 0.53; power = 1.00), and G3 (F[2,478] = 80.789; P < 0.001; η²p = 0.25; power = 1.00).

In the comparison of the pre- and posttask moments for the Fz derivation, the post hoc test revealed that in G1 (F[1,239] = 95.056; P < 0.001; η²p = 0.28; power = 1.00) the RP of the alpha band was higher at 0.062 µV² (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.049–0.074; P < 0.001) at the posttask moment. In G2 (F[1,239] = 26.446; P < 0.001; η²p = 0.10; power = 1.00) the RP was higher at 0.036...
Regarding the F8 derivation for the pre- and posttask moment factor, the post hoc test demonstrated that G2 (F[1.239] = 154.964; \( P < 0.001; \eta_p^2 = 0.39; \text{power} = 1.00 \)) presented a RP increase of the alpha band at 0.040 \( \mu V^2 \) (95% CI, 0.034–0.047; \( P < 0.001 \)) at the posttask moment, as well as (F[1.239] = 19.880; \( P < 0.001; \eta_p^2 = 0.07; \text{power} = 0.99 \)) presented in 0.015 \( \mu V^2 \) (95% CI, 0.008–0.022; \( P < 0.001 \)) at the posttask moment as it can be seen in Fig. 3.

In the investigation of the pretask area factor, for G1 (F[2.478] = 102.851; \( P < 0.001 \); \( \eta_p^2 = 0.30 \); \( \text{power} = 1.00 \)), the post hoc test revealed that for the F7 derivation there was an increase in RP of the alpha band by 0.038 \( \mu V^2 \) (95% CI, 0.031–0.045; \( P < 0.001 \)) compared to F8 derivation, and an increase in power of 0.031 \( \mu V^2 \) (95% CI, 0.023–0.038; \( P < 0.001 \)) compared to F8 derivation. In the comparison of F7 and F8 derivations, there was an increase in RP by 0.008 \( \mu V^2 \) (95% CI, 0.002–0.013; \( P < 0.002 \)) for the F8 derivation. In G2 (F[2.478] = 220.779; \( P < 0.001; \eta_p^2 = 0.48; \text{power} = 1.00 \)), the Fz derivation presented a RP increase in 0.102 \( \mu V^2 \) (95% CI, 0.086–0.119; \( P < 0.001 \)) when compared to F7 derivation and increase of 0.100 \( \mu V^2 \) (95% CI, 0.084–0.116; \( P < 0.001 \)) compared to F8 derivation. For G3 (F[2.478] = 128.885; \( P < 0.001; \eta_p^2 = 0.35; \text{power} = 1.00 \)), the post hoc test showed that for the Fz derivation the power was greater in 0.050 \( \mu V^2 \) (95% CI, 0.040–0.059; \( P < 0.001 \)) when compared to F7 derivation and greater in 0.054 \( \mu V^2 \) (95% CI, 0.044–0.065; \( P < 0.001 \)) compared to F8 derivation.

In the investigation of the area factor after the task for G1 (F[2.478] = 179.537; \( P < 0.001; \eta_p^2 = 0.42; \text{power} = 1.00 \)), the Fz derivation presented an increase in RP by 0.079 \( \mu V^2 \) (95% CI, 0.065–0.093; \( P < 0.001 \)) compared to F7 derivation and increase of 0.084 \( \mu V^2 \) (95% CI, 0.071–0.098; \( P < 0.001 \)) compared to F8 derivation. For G2 (F[2.478] = 205.274; \( P < 0.001; \eta_p^2 = 0.46; \text{power} = 1.00 \)), the post hoc test revealed increased RP of the alpha band.

![Fig. 1. Derivation Fz. Statistically significant values between groups are represented by * and within the groups represented by ☆.](https://doi.org/10.12965/jer.1734990.495)

![Fig. 2. Derivation F7. Statistically significant values between groups are represented by * and within the groups represented by ☆.](https://www.e-jer.org)

![Fig. 3. Derivation F8. Statistically significant values between groups are represented by * and within the groups represented by ☆.](https://www.e-jer.org)
DISCUSSION

The hypothesis for this study was that the diagonal of the upper limb (flexion, abduction, and external rotation of the shoulder) based on the PNF would produce an increase of the RP in the alpha band, that is, it would generate electoneurophysiological repercussions in cerebral cortex level, being that these repercussions would be verified with greater amplitude when an extra load was added to the member performing this task. Although there is no consensus on the actual role of PFC, the literature suggests its relation with attention maintenance, selection of actions and planning capacity, and these mechanisms are similar in performing a PNF maneuver (Kostopoulos and Petrides, 2016; Monk et al., 2006).

According to the results found, in the comparison of the pre- and posttask moments, it was possible to observe a statistically significant difference in the three derivations Fz, F7, and F8, and in almost all groups analyzed. The increase in RP found at the posttask moment for G1 in the analysis of the Fz and F7 derivations can be explained due to the fact that the participants did not perform any type of motor action during the whole experiment, remaining only with open eyes and attentive, besides that, that the resting time may have produced drowsiness in the participants, suggesting a low cortical activity. In this context, it should be remembered that the activity of the alpha band is inversely proportional to the cortical activity (Machado et al., 2007), being still related to the alertness and attention processes (Park et al., 2015).

The experimental groups, that is, G2 and G3 presented statistically significant results in the three derivations analyzed, being G2 the one with the highest RP. In both groups, the participants were previously educated about the positioning and correct execution of the PNF maneuver, starting only when they were able to do this task (Adler et al., 2007). Moraes et al. (2007) suggest that alpha band activity also increases after exercise, especially when compared to resting states. This result corroborates the fact that diagonal movement needs more attention to its execution (Adler et al., 2007).

In the investigation of the cortical area factor before and after the task, the results showed RP increase of the alpha band at both moments, being more evident in the Fz derivation in all the analyzed groups in relation to the other derivations, however, it should be emphasized that this increase was higher in G2, especially before the task. This may be explained due to fact that this region of the cortex, more precisely the dorsomedial PFC, is related to working memory (Raschle et al., 2015), which is understood as the individual’s cognitive ability to temporarily keep information about a certain action in the mind, as well as to serve as a guide for decision-making and future motor actions (Yang et al., 2014).

For the RP increase in the Fz derivation, especially in G2, it is assumed that this area of the cortex remained more active, since the participant already had a knowledge of the task that would be performed later, since it was previously trained, besides the Diagonal motion requires more attention because it is a movement that activates the CNS generally speaking (Rosário, 2011), acting on the three motion planes (frontal, sagittal, and transverse), and consequently promoting a greater neuromuscular recruitment (Pereira and Gonçalves, 2012; Rhyu et al., 2015). For this result one can also consider the fact that this derivation is located in a region of interconnection of the brain lateral areas, presumably assuming an increase in power concentration.

In the cortical area factor before the task only for the F7 and F8 derivations, the RP was higher in F8 derivation for the G1. In the other groups, both derivations showed no statistically significant difference. However, for such factor after the task, only G3 showed a significant difference between the aforementioned two derivations, the F7 derivation presented the highest increase in RP. The justification for this is that this derivation corresponds to the left ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (VLPFC), and this basically plays a
crucial role in the action selection (Stern et al., 2000) and in the cognitive functions control such as memory, being more active during conditions that require the semantic knowledge of specific objectives (Badre and Wagner, 2007). In addition, left VLPFC is located in the left hemisphere and the maneuver was performed only in the right upper limb, since the left hemisphere commands the tasks performed in the right hemisphere (Herrmann and Ribeiro, 2003; Pereira, 2004).

In conclusion, this study showed that both experimental groups presented significant results. However, regarding the group that performed the diagonal in an active-free way with the one that received an extra load, G1 demonstrated more positive repercussions in the cortical activity, suggesting then that not necessarily an additional load needs to be applied in motor activities so that the cerebral cortex responds more effectively. The influence of motor activities, such as the diagonal of the upper limb based on PNF on the cerebral cortex, are still far from being fully understood, however, the present study showed that such a concept of treatment can be considered favorable also in relation to the cortical behavior, since its muscular benefits are already well-disseminated in the literature, thus reinforcing its use and efficacy in the rehabilitation processes and treatments potentialization of patients, especially in the clinical physiotherapy practice.
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