The VIMOS Ultra-Deep Survey: ~10 000 galaxies with spectroscopic redshifts to study galaxy assembly at early epochs $2 < z \lesssim 6$
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ABSTRACT

We present the VIMOS Ultra Deep Survey (VUDS), a spectroscopic redshift survey of ~10 000 very faint galaxies to study the major phase of galaxy assembly $2 < z \lesssim 6$. The survey covers 1 deg$^2$ in 3 separate fields: COSMOS, ECDFS and VVDS-02h, with targets selection based on an inclusive combination of photometric redshifts and color properties. Spectra covering 3650 $< \lambda < 9350$Å are obtained with VIMOS on the ESO-VLT with integration times of 14h. Here we present the survey strategy, the target selection, the data processing, as well as the redshift measurement process, emphasizing the specific methods adapted to this high redshift range. The spectra quality and redshift reliability are discussed, and we derive a completeness in redshift measurement of 91%, or 74% for the most reliable measurements, down to $i_{AB} = 25$, and measurements are performed all the way down to $i_{AB} = 27$. The redshift distribution of the main sample peaks at $z = 3 - 4$ and extends over a large redshift range mainly in $2 < z < 6$. At $3 < z < 5$, the galaxies cover a large range of luminosities $-23 < M_{AB} < -20.5$, stellar mass $10^9 M_\odot < M_\star < 10^{10} M_\odot$, and star formation rates $1 M_\odot$/yr $< SFR < 10 M_\odot$/yr. We discuss the spectral properties of galaxies using individual as well as stacked spectra. The comparison between spectroscopic and photometric redshifts as well as color selection demonstrate the effectiveness of our selection scheme. With ~ 6000 galaxies with reliable spectroscopic redshifts in $2 < z < 6$ expected when complete, this survey is the largest at these redshifts and offers the opportunity for unprecedented studies of the star-forming galaxy population and its distribution in large scale structures during the major phase of galaxy assembly.
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1. Introduction

The study of the first billion years of galaxy evolution is one of the key frontiers of modern cosmology. The current theoretical paradigm rests on the hierarchical build-up of dark matter halos in a $\Lambda$CDM cosmology (see e.g. Mo, van den Bosch & White 2010). Galaxies formed in these deep potential wells, are expected to transform primordial gas into stars from the initial reservoir and to be fed from new accreted gas. As dark matter halos merge, galaxies in them are also expected to merge, these events deeply transforming the dynamics and overall star, gas, and dark matter content of the merging galaxies into the newly formed one. Along with these processes super-massive black

Based on data obtained with the European Southern Observatory Very Large Telescope, Paranal, Chile, under Large Program 185.A-0791.
holes are expected to form at the bottom of the potential wells. Complex processes are invoked to regulate the growth of galaxies, including supernovae or AGN feedback possibly quenching star formation, or the role of different environments impacting the way galaxies are nurtured. All these processes are combined in increasingly sophisticated numerical models producing galaxy simulations in representative volumes (e.g. Springel et al. 2008) coupled to semi-analytic description of galaxy evolution (e.g. Guo et al. 2011). These simulations face the challenge to reproduce both the internal physics in complex galaxy systems and the general volume-averaged properties of large galaxy populations as a function of cosmic time, and need better constraints from observations to be thoroughly tested.

Impressive progress has been made on the observational front over the past two decades in an attempt to test and detail a galaxy formation and evolution scenario from robust measurements. A key element driving observational progress is the need to cover all major phases of galaxy evolution from the early formation and galaxy assembly to today, a formidable endeavor. Deep galaxy surveys have flourished to conduct this exploration. The latest few billion years have been extensively mapped by surveys like the 2dFGRS (Colless et al. 2001) and then the various stages of the SDSS (Abazajian et al. 2009), setting a firm observational reference for status of galaxies after more than 13 billion years of evolution. At larger redshifts deep surveys are providing a complex picture with strong evolutionary features like the build-up along cosmic time of stellar mass in galaxies of different types or the star formation history. Different types of imaging and spectroscopic surveys are playing a complementary role, the deepest studies being performed in photometry and augmented with photometric redshifts (e.g. Ilbert et al. 2006), and with spectroscopic surveys bringing accurate redshifts and spectro-photometry, spectral features properties, as well as internal velocity information. The contribution of space observations in combination with ground-based surveys has been key to provide morphological information (Rix et al. 2004, Koekemoer et al. 2007, Koekemoer et al. 2011) and access to photometric bands invisible from the ground either in the far UV or in the mid to far infrared. Despite this progress, the exploration of early phases of galaxy formation and evolution is still largely incomplete. We do not know which objects ignited first at the end of the dark ages, when and how the universe was reionised, when and how the first massive galaxies formed, and the importance of any physical connection between galaxy and black-hole formation and growth. Star-forming galaxies are providing key information to understand how galaxies grow with time, in particular enabling to measure fundamental quantities such as the cosmic star formation history (e.g. Lilly et al. 1996, Madau et al. 1996, Tresse et al. 2007, Bouwens et al. 2009, Cucciati et al. 2012, Madau & Dickinson 2014), and the history of stellar mass assembly (e.g. Arnouts et al. 2007, Ilbert et al. 2013).

At redshifts z ∼ 1 the pioneering CFRS survey (Lilly et al. 1995, Le Fèvre et al. 1995) was followed by more extensive galaxy redshift surveys covering larger volumes like the DEEP2 (Davis et al. 2003), the VVDS (Le Fèvre et al. 2005a, Le Fèvre et al. 2013), zCOSMOS (Lilly et al., 2007), now reaching the 100,000 redshift mark at z ∼ 1 with VIPERS (Guzzo et al. 2014). These surveys have brought a wealth of quantitative and accurate measurements now reaching large enough areas of a few tens of square degrees and volumes of ∼ 5 × 10^5 h^3 Mpc^3 so that the most fundamental statistical characteristics describing the galaxy population like the luminosity function (LF), the mass function (MF) or the correlation function (CF) are becoming very accurate and less affected by the cosmic variance related to the small fields of earlier studies. At higher redshifts (z > ∼ 2), the rapid progress has been driven by the effectiveness in selecting high redshift galaxies and, most importantly, by the impressive gains in sensitivity and efficiency provided by high multiplex multi-slit spectrographs like LIRIS (Oke et al. 1995) and DEIMOS (Faber et al. 2003) on the Keck telescope, FORS (Appenzeller et al. 1998) and VIMOS (Le Fèvre et al. 2003) on the VLT. The effectiveness of the Lyman-break galaxies (LBG) selection has provided the capability to find large numbers of galaxies at z > 2.5, and is continuing to be the single-most used technique to select galaxies at the highest possible redshifts (e.g. Steidel et al. 2003, Bouwens et al. 2009, Ellis et al. 2013). It is supplemented by narrow band imaging techniques isolating Lyman-α emitters (LAE; Taniguchi et al. 2005, Shimasaku et al. 2006; Ouchi et al. 2008), highly efficient when reaching sufficiently deep to dig deeper into the LAE luminosity function at increasingly high redshifts. In addition to these pre-selection techniques, deep purely magnitude selected surveys were conducted in order to probe a large population mostly free of pre-selection biases. The largest to date probing redshifts z > 1.5 is the i-band magnitude selected VVDS survey covering up to z ∼ 6.5 with > 1000 galaxies with redshifts z ≥ 2 (Le Fèvre et al. 2005a, 2013). Other magnitude selected surveys have attempted using redder bands to alleviate selecting only galaxies with strong rest-frame UV continuum. The K20 survey used K-band magnitude selection down to K = 20 to identify extremely red objects (EROs) at z ∼ 1.5 – 2 which turned out to be dust obscured star forming galaxy or old passive early-type galaxies (Cimatti et al. 2002). In a following work the GMASS survey selected objects on the basis of Spitzer NIR photometry with m_Ks < 23.0 (AB) coupled to photometric redshift z_phot > 1.4 to identify a few hundred galaxies with 1.5 < z < 3, including 13 red passive galaxies (Cimatti et al. 2008). However, and despite these attempts, the approach using pure magnitude selection is costly in observing time when going much beyond redshift z ∼ 2 or so.

Performing a complete galaxy census is a basic Astronomy input necessary for any subsequent astrophysical analysis. While at redshifts z ∼ 1 this census is mostly complete down to stellar mass 10^8 M⊙, it is not yet the case at redshifts z > 1 for several reasons. First, the color selection schemes applied to photometric samples to extract the high redshift populations, while efficient to identify galaxies, are affected by significant incompleteness, loosing some fraction of the population at the selected redshift, or by contamination from galaxies at other redshifts. While the latter can be dealt with by obtaining spectroscopic redshifts, the former remains a serious difficulty especially at faint magnitude and at the highest redshifts. Unfortunately the level of incompleteness strongly depends on the photometric filters used for imaging, the depth of the observations, as well as the image quality, which requires a case by case study involving source simulations complicated by the need to make a priori hypotheses on the properties of galaxies one is trying to establish. It was realised that color-color selection like the LBG technique at z > 2.5 or like the BzK working at z ∼ 2 (Daddi et al. 2004) would miss a part of the general galaxy population in their selection process (Le Fèvre et al. 2005b), therefore making the galaxy census incomplete (Le Fèvre et al. 2014). The consequences of this maybe far-reaching, as incompleteness in counts leads to underestimates in luminosity density, star formation rates, as well as mass density, just to cite these few. An important aspect of ongoing and future studies is to revisit galaxy counts as a function of redshift making sure that no significant population is missing and that no significant bias is introduced in deriving astrophysical quantities.
A key element is then the availability of large samples of galaxies with a well defined and well controlled selection function. Spectroscopic redshift surveys play a key role as they provide samples with confirmed redshifts. Photometric redshift surveys are widely used and have now reached an impressive accuracy. However, the level of ‘catastrophic failures’ when photometric redshifts disagree with their training set of spectroscopic redshifts, even if low at a few percent (Ilbert et al. 2013), could still produce large unknowns because of the shape of the N(z) of flux limited samples. An error of 1% at the peak, z = 1, of the N(z) of a i_{AB} = 25 sample could spread galaxies with wrong photometric redshifts to higher redshifts, e.g. at z ~ 3 where the projected galaxy density is less than 10 times the N(z) at peak, which could then represent several tens of percent of uncertainty. One recent example is the difficulty to distinguish z ~ 5 very massive objects from lower redshifts z ~ 2 galaxies of lower mass ( Wiklind et al. 2008, Caputi et al. 2012). Obtaining a spectroscopic redshift therefore remains a fundamental measurement.

Unfortunately, the total number of galaxies spectroscopically confirmed today by all these surveys at z > 2 is still limited. Published LBG samples reach ~2000 redshifts at z ~ 3, only ~150 at z ~ 4, and a few tens of galaxies beyond that (e.g. Steidel et al., 2003, Vanzella et al., 2009, Bielby et al. 2013). Samples of LAE galaxies selected with narrow band techniques and confirmed in spectroscopy reach a few hundred objects beyond z = 3 (Ouchi et al. 2008, Kashikawa, 2011). The VVDS has assembled ~35000 galaxies with spectroscopic redshifts down to i_{AB} = 24.75, but the high redshift tail at z > 2 contains about 1000 galaxies (Le Fèvre et al. 2014). In front of the difficulty of obtaining large samples of spectroscopically confirmed galaxies, many surveys use samples defined solely on the basis of photometric color selection techniques like LBG or other simple color cuts, relying on completeness and contamination estimates difficult to control. To overcome the uncertainties linked to small existing spectroscopic samples, and to understand the biases and limitations of photometry-based studies in their ability to provide a complete census of the galaxy population, extremely deep spectroscopic surveys over large volumes are needed.

Here we present VUDS, the VIMOS Ultra Deep Survey of ∼10 000 galaxies specifically designed to study the early phases of galaxy evolution 2 < z < 6+. The VUDS sample contains an unprecedented number of galaxies with secure spectroscopic redshifts at this epoch, obtained in three different fields: COSMOS, ECDFS and VVDS-02h. The survey design including the target selection is based mainly on photometric redshifts, as presented in Section 2. The VIMOS multi-slit spectroscopic observations, the data reduction and redshift measurement scheme are discussed in Section 3. Properties of the VUDS sample are presented in Section 4 including the redshift distribution of the sample, the distribution of intrinsic properties like stellar mass and star formation rate, and the average spectra properties based on high signal-to-noise stacked spectra. After comparing to other surveys in Section 5 we summarize our results in Section 6 and conclude on the usefulness of the VUDS to study the early phases of galaxy assembly with unprecedented accuracy.

All magnitudes are given in the AB system unless specified, and we use a Cosmology with Ω_M = 0.3, Ω_Λ = 0.7 and h = 0.7.

### 2. Survey design

#### 2.1. Science drivers

When the VUDS survey observing time proposal was approved at the end of 2009, spectroscopic redshift surveys were still of limited scope at the epoch of galaxy assembly significantly beyond redshifts z ~ 2 – 3. The VUDS survey therefore aimed to address several core science goals at the epoch 2 < z < 6+,

1. including: (i) the early history of the global star formation rate, (ii) the build up of the mass function for different galaxy populations, and the contribution to mass assembly and star formation of merging, feedback and accretion, (iii) the study of very young galaxies in their early stages of assembly, including the earliest stellar populations like Pop-III, (iv) the identification of proto-structures and the effects of environment at early stages of galaxy evolution, (v) the study of the clustering of galaxies to infer the mass growth of underlying dark matter halos,

These broad science goals still remain hot science topics today and are the main focus of VUDS.

#### 2.2. Survey strategy overview

The VUDS survey is designed to study the galaxy population beyond redshift z ~ 2 and up to the highest redshifts possible in the wavelength range up to λ = 9350Å accessible with the VIMOS spectrograph on the VLT (see below), corresponding to Lyα at a redshift up to z ~ 6.6. A total of one square degree is observed in three well separated fields, the COSMOS field, the extended Chandra Deep Field South (ECDFS) and the VVDS-02h field, in order to mitigate cosmic variance effects. This program was awarded Large Program status with 640h of VLT-VIMOS observing time.

A key feature of the survey is the target selection. It is primarily based on photometric redshifts and their probability distribution function (PDF), complemented by color-color selections and analysis of the SED shape when not already in the photo-z selection. When the geometry of the observed slit-masks allows it after placing the previous priority targets, a purely magnitude-selected random sample of galaxies with 23 ≤ i_{AB} ≤ 25 has been added to the target list. This is further described in Section 2.3.

The second key feature is the extended wavelength coverage of the VIMOS spectroscopic observations covering 3650 ≤ λ ≤ 9350Å, which minimizes any instrument-induced redshift desert and strongly reduces the degeneracies in redshift measurements (Le Fèvre et al. 2014).

The last key point is the integration time of ≈14h per target, which allows reaching a S/N on the continuum at 8500Å of S/N = 5 for i_{AB} = 25, and S/N = 5 for an emission line with a flux F = 1.5 × 10^{-18} erg/s/cm²/Å.

#### 2.3. Survey fields

VUDS covers three of the most observed extragalactic fields: the COSMOS field, the ECDFS and the VVDS-02h (also known as the CFHTLS-D1/XMM-LS field). A total of 0.5 square degrees are observed in the COSMOS field, 0.31 square degrees in the VVDS-02h field, and 0.14 square degrees in the ECDFS.

The fields location and covered area for each are summarized in Table 1 and identified in Figures 1 to 3.
2.4. Multi-wavelength data and photometric catalogues

By design the three survey fields cumulate a wealth of deep multi-wavelength data and spectroscopy. This is an important part of VUDS as multi-wavelength coverage of the spectral energy distribution (SED) is essential in combination with accurate spectroscopic redshifts, in particular to derive key physical quantities like absolute magnitudes, stellar masses, star formation rates or ages. We describe for each field the most relevant existing data.

The COSMOS field (Scoville et al. 2007) is extensively observed at all wavelengths. Its location was setup close to one of the original VVDS fields, centered to a region with less galactic extinction when better extinction maps became available. The field was observed with HST-ACS in the F814W filter (Koekemoer et al. 2007) providing high spatial resolution imaging down to a depth $AB(F814W) = 27.2$ $(5\sigma)$. Extensive imaging observations were obtained in $g$, $r$, $i$, and $z$ bands from the Subaru SuprimeCam (Taniguchi et al. 2007), as well as from the CFHT Megacam from the CFHT-Legacy Survey including the u-band, and photometry is available from 12 medium bands (references available in Ilbert et al. 2009). The UltraVista survey is acquiring very deep near-infrared imaging in the $Y$, $J$, $H$ and $K$ bands using the VIRCAM camera on the VISTA telescope (McCracken et al. 2012). The UltraVista DR2 release reaches a depth $K_{AB} = 24.8$ at $5\sigma$ (in 2 arcsec apertures), and it is planned to increase the depth down to $K_{AB} = 25.5$ in half the area by the end of the survey. The VUDS VIMOS pointings are placed in a way to optimize the overlap with the deeper UltraVista strips (Figure 1). Following on the initial Spitzer observations (Sanders et al. 2007), deeper Spitzer-warm observations are obtained by the Splash program, reaching $AB = 25.4$ $(5\sigma$ at 3.6$\mu m$) (Capak et al. in prep.). The COSMOS field has deep Herschel imaging reaching $8 m$ $Jy$ at 250 $\mu m$ (Oliver et al. 2012). The CANDELS survey (Grogin et al. 2011) in this field includes WFC3 imaging in the F125W (J) and F160W (H) filters down to $H_{AB} = 27.1$ $(5\sigma)$; the CANDELS area is almost entirely covered by the VUDS pointings (Figure 1). Extensive spectroscopy was obtained in the COSMOS field prior to VUDS. The zCOSMOS survey (Lilly et al. 2007) contains 20 000 galaxies with $0 < z < 1.2$ selected with $i_{AB} < 22.5$ and ~6000 galaxies selected with $g_{AB} = 25 - 25.5$ $m$ bands down to $i_{AB} = 21.5$ (Lilly et al. in preparation). Deep spectroscopy from Keck has identified several hundred galaxies in the same redshift range as VUDS (e.g. Capak et al. 2011). VUDS targeting avoided to reobserve those galaxies with existing reliable redshifts, when known. A wealth of observations at other wavelengths are available in this field, we refer the reader to the COSMOS web site (http://cosmos.astro.caltech.edu/) for the detailed list and properties.

The ECDFS field is the focus of a number of deep multi-wavelength surveys. Built around the field with deep 1Ms Subaru SuprimeCam (Taniguchi et al. 2007), as well as from the CANDELS survey (Grogin et al. 2012) adding WFC3 near-IR imaging reaching as deep as $H_{AB} = 27.3 - 27.6$. The SERVS Spitzer-warm obtained 3.6 and 4.5 $\mu m$ imaging data down to $AB = 23.1$ (Madau et al. 2012).

The VVDS-02h field was originally defined as the 'deep field' of the VVDS survey. It was observed in BVRI at CFHT (Le Fèvre et al. 2004), followed by deeper $u'$, $g$, $r$, $i$ observations as the D1 deep field in the CFHTLS survey reaching $i_{AB} = 25.44$ at 50% completeness in the latest DR7 (Cuillandre et al. 2012). Deep infrared imaging has been obtained with the WIRCAM at CFHT in YJHK bands down to $K_{SAB} = 24.8$ also at 50% completeness (Bielby et al. 2012). Extensive multi-slit spectroscopy has been obtained with VIMOS as the 'Deep' and 'Ultra-Deep' surveys of the VVDS, with magnitude-selected samples down to $i_{AB} = 24$ and $i_{AB} = 24.75$ respectively. A total of 11 139 objects have spectroscopic redshift measurements from the VVDS, including 774 with $z > 2$. This field was observed in all Spitzer bands as part of the SWIRE survey (Lonsdale et al. 2003), reaching a magnitude in the 3.6 microns band of $AB = 21.5$. More recently the SERVS survey obtained deeper data with Spitzer in the 3.6 $\mu m$ and 4.5 $\mu m$ bands down to $AB = 23.1$ at 3.6 $\mu m$ (Madau et al. 2012). It is one of the fields of the HERMES survey (Oliver et al. 2012), matched to CFHTLS and VVDS data (Lemaux et al. 2014). A number of other deep data are available including X-ray (Pierre et al. 2004) and radio (Bondi et al. 2003) observations.

In each field photometric catalogues including at least from u to 4.5 $\mu m$ bands have been assembled, matching different imaging sources, and extracting photometry in 2 arcsec apertures in dual mode using SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996).

2.5. Spectroscopic target selection

As shown from the VVDS, the redshift distribution of faint galaxies, e.g. down to $i_{AB} = 25$ is peaked at $z \sim 1.3 - 1.5$, with a rapidly decreasing high redshift tail (Le Fèvre et al. 2014). Magnitude selection is then inefficient in picking-up $z > 2$ galaxies among the far more numerous foreground. This prompted the use of the Lyman-break selection technique, as pioneered by Steidel and collaborators (Steidel et al., 1996). This technique makes simple use of the color properties of galaxies as a function of redshift, identifying a locus in color-color space associated to specific redshift ranges.

The development of the photometric redshift technique and its demonstrated success in terms of the redshift measurement accuracy with typical errors of less 5% on $\Delta z/(1 + z)$ and a low rate of catastrophic errors when compared to spectroscopic redshifts (e.g. Ilbert et al. 2010, Cardamone et al. 2010) has opened new perspectives in pre-selecting galaxies. In essence, photometric redshift techniques are a generalisation of color selection techniques such as LBG or BzK to the complete spectral energy distribution (SED) which must verify a color distribution across wavelengths compatible with reference galaxy templates. We elected to use the best possible photometric redshifts as our main selection criterion. Photometric redshifts have been computed with the code Le Phare (Arnouts et al. 1999, Ilbert et al. 2006) using the multi-band photometric catalogues covering from the u-band to the Spitzer 4.5$\mu m$ band. The techniques are described in Ilbert et al. (2009), and more recently in Ilbert et al. (2013). The observed photometric data are correlated against 33 templates covering a range from early to late type galaxies of different ages, star formation histories and metallicities, leaving the E(B-V) extinction as a free parameter. Emission lines with a flux empirically computed from the UV continuum flux are added to the templates. The ‘best’ redshift is assigned from
the median of the marginalized redshift probability distribution function (PDF).

To select the spectroscopic targets in VUDS we followed an inclusive rather than exclusive strategy, adding samples pre-selected from several different criteria, as described below:

- The spectroscopic targets must verify $z_{\text{phot}} + 1\sigma \geq 2.4$, and $i_{AB} \leq 25$. As degeneracies are known to occur, we selected sources for which either the first or the second peak in the photometric redshift probability distribution function satisfy this criterion.
- Sources which were not selected from the primary $z_{\text{phot}}$ criterion but satisfy one of the $ugr$, $gri$ or $riz$ LBG color–color selection criteria have been added to the target list.
- The full SED over all filters available in ugrizYJHK is used to identify galaxies with a break in the continuum and not identified by any of the $z_{\text{phot}}$ or LBG criteria, extending the dropout technique. These targets are allowed to have $N_{\text{slit}} \leq 24$ and hence may not necessarily be brighter than $i_{AB} = 25$.
- Finally, when space on the slit mask was still available after the above selections, a random sample of galaxies with $23 \leq i_{AB} \leq 25$ has been selected.

For the last half of the survey observations, priority for slit placement was given to the targets with $z_{\text{est}} \geq 4$ to increase the number of objects at these redshifts in the final sample.

In adding these different selection criteria we aim at maximizing the pre-selection of objects above $z = 2.4$. Given the dispersion of photometric redshift errors, we expect the redshift distribution of VUDS sources to start rising at $z = 2$. While the selection strategy described above could lead to an increase in the contamination from objects at lower redshifts, this contamination remains quite small as described below. For each of the targeted samples, slits are placed at random using the vmmps slit mask design software (Bottini et al. 2005), providing a fair and representative sample of the general population.

These various selection criteria are summarized in Table 2. A large fraction $\sim 75\%$ of the sample has been selected on the basis of the first peak of photometric redshifts, $\sim 15\%$ on the second peak of photometric redshifts, and the rest $\sim 10\%$ about equally split between the LBG color–color selection and purely magnitude selected samples.

3. Observations, data processing, and redshift measurement

3.1. VIMOS on the VLT

The Visible Multi-Object Spectrograph (VIMOS) is installed on the European Southern Observatory Very Large Telescope unit 3 Melipal. VIMOS is a wide field imaging multi-slit spectrograph (Le Fèvre et al. 2003), offering broad band imaging capabilities, as well as multi-slit spectroscopy. VIMOS is a high performance Multi-Object Spectrograph (MOS) with 4 parallel channels, each a complete imaging-spectrograph with a field of view $8 \times 7$ arcmin$^2$, or a total field of 224 arcmin$^2$. The key features of VIMOS are a high multiplex (number of slits) and the excellent sky subtraction accuracy reaching $\sigma_{\text{sky residual}} \approx 0.1\%$ of the sky signal (Le Fèvre et al. 2013).

For VUDS we use the low resolution multi-slit mode of VIMOS; with the 4 channels, this offers the largest multiplex for multi-slit spectroscopy. Following Scodeggio et al. (2009) we have optimized the slit length allowing lengths as small as 6 arcseconds, maximizing the number of observed slits.

3.2. VIMOS observations

We observe a total of 16 VIMOS pointings, at the coordinates of the pointings identified in Table 2. Fifteen pointings are observed with both the LRBLUE grism covering 3650 $\leq \lambda \leq 6800$Å and the LRRED grism covering 5500 $\leq \lambda \leq 9350$Å, leading to a full wavelength coverage of 3650 $\leq \lambda \leq 9350$Å. With slits one arcsecond wide, these grisms provide a spectral resolution $R = 230$ quite uniform over the wavelength range. At this resolution, each of the four 2048 $\times$ 4096 pixels detectors can accommodate 3–4 full length spectra along the dispersion direction, and given the projected space density of VUDS targets we therefore observe on average $N_{\text{slit}} \approx 600$ individual slits simultaneously. Each slit may contain not only the VUDS pre-selected target but also serendipitous objects falling in the slit by chance.

One pointing on the ECDFS (#3) has been observed with the MR grating. This setup covers 5000 $\leq \lambda \leq 9500$Å with a spectral resolution $R = 580$. With this resolution about 2 full length spectra can be placed along the dispersion direction, and a total of $\sim 220$ objects have been observed in this pointing. The targets for this pointing have been optimized towards the highest redshifts $z > 4.5$; the improved resolution compared to the LR grisms making it somewhat easier, in principle, to identify emission lines like Ly$\alpha$ between the OH sky emission features (but possibly at the expense of sensitivity on continuum measurements).

Most VUDS observations were obtained after the CCD detectors were upgraded in summer 2010. At that time, the original blue–sensitive thinned E2V CCDs were changed to red–optimized thick E2V CCDs in 2010 (Hammersley et al. 2010). The global VIMOS sensitivity at 9000Å increased by $\sim 2\times$, making it comparable to the FORS2 sensitivity in the red with a field of view 4.8x larger, and significantly reducing the fringing above 8000Å thanks to the thicker substrate.

To reach a total integration of 14h, each of the LRBLUE or LRRED grism observations consist on average of 13 observing blocks (OBs) executed at the telescope. Each OB includes three spectroscopic exposures of 1250 to 1350 seconds obtained dithering $-0.75, 0, +0.75$ arcseconds along the slit. The OBs specify the observing conditions that must be met, including a seeing better than 1 arcsecond, sky transparency set to ‘clear’, airmass less than 1.5, dark time with constraints on the moon phase and distance from the field (lunar illumination 0.3 to 0.5, and distance to the observed field of more than 60-90 degrees). Arc lamp and flat field calibrations are obtained after each set of 3 OBs, and flux calibration on standard stars are performed in the standard ESO procedure. When ready, OBs are sent to the VLT-VIMOS service observing queue via the P2PP tool and get executed when the atmospheric and moon conditions are met.

3.3. Spectroscopic data processing, redshift measurement, and reliability flags

The spectroscopic data processing followed the same general principles as defined for the VVDS (Le Fèvre et al. 2005a, 2013). We summarize this process below and emphasize the specific data processing steps that we follow for VUDS.

The general outline of the VUDS data processing is now a standard for multi-slit spectroscopy. We use the VIPGI environment to process the spectra (Scodeggio et al. 2005). First the 40 individual 2D spectrograms coming from the 13 OBs for one of the LRBLUE or LRRED observations are extracted finding the location of the slit projection on the detector using the expecta-
Galactic extinction. The atmosphere absorbs photons depending on atmospheric absorption, atmospheric refraction, and proceeded to add three well-defined corrections to the spectra: placed on sky objects using an atmospheric absorption, (2) atmospheric refraction, and (3) prescription defined for the Paranal observatory in Patat et al. (2011). In addition atmospheric refraction acts as a small prism angle, the angle of the slit to the zenithal angle. With slit-masks a spectrum with length depending on the airmass and paralactic angle, the angle of the slit to the zenithal angle. With slit-masks placed on sky objects using an r-band filter prior to spectroscopic observations, and slits one arcsecond wide, this may in-duce a significant loss of uv-blue photons falling out of the slit. The Galactic extinction on distant sources also produces a significant loss of uv-blue photons falling out of the slit if the object extension is larger than the slit width. Adding these corrections we are able to correct the occuring in that band if the object extension is larger than the slit width. Adding these corrections we are able to correct the uv-blue spectroscopic flux in such a way that there is excellent agreement between the spectroscopic flux and the photometric flux measurements at better than the 5% level in all wavelengths 3650 ≤ λ ≤ 9350Å. This is of particular importance when fitting the spectra to reference templates in order to derive inter-
nal galaxy properties (Thomas et al., in prep.). One of the last observations obtained on this program includes a number of repeated observations on a sub-sample of VUDS galaxies. When processed it will allow to estimate redshift (velocity) measurements accuracy, as well as to have an independent check of the reliability of each of the redshift reliability flags (see below). Based on the previous VVDS, zCOSMOS and VIPERS surveys with the same VIMOS instrument, we expect the redshift accuracy to be in the range dz/(1 + z) = 0.0005 – 0.0007, or an absolute velocity accuracy 150 – 200 km/s (Le Fèvre et al. 2013). Relative velocities in the same slit (along the slit profile) can be measured to a better precision using e.g. accurate spectral line fitting.

The 2D and 1D spectra are then available for spectroscopic redshift measurements using the EZ environment (Garilli et al. 2010). The core algorithm to find a redshift is the cross-correlation with templates, confronted to a separate estimate of an emission line redshift when applicable. A key element for the cross-correlation engine to deliver a robust measurement is the availability of reference templates covering a large range of galaxy and star types, as well as a large range of rest wavelengths. This last point has to be carefully dealt with when measuring the highest redshift galaxies, as it is necessary that the templates go far enough in the UV, bluer than the Lyman-912Å limit, for the wavelength overlap between the observed galaxy and the redshifted template to be large enough to provide a robust correlation signal. We have used templates built over the years from VIMOS observations for the VVDS (Le Fèvre et al. 2005a, 2013) and the zCOSMOS survey (Lilly et al. 2007). As is relevant for z > 2 we have used templates with and without Lyman-α emission.

The redshift measurements are first obtained from an automated run with EZ. This serves as a basis for a visual examination of each spectrum, with an iteration on the redshift measurement using EZ in manual mode, if necessary. We find that at these high redshifts more than half of the spectra need manual intervention to properly measure a redshift. This is mainly due to residual defects in the spectra like sky features residuals after sky subtraction or second order spectra superimposition. As the manual intervention remains an important feature in this process, we have implemented a method to minimize measurement biases linked to one single person. One spectrum is measured by two team members separately, and these measurements are then confronted to produce a single measurement agreed on by the two measurers. With this scheme, we have implemented the same redshift reliability estimator as developed for the CFRS (Le Fèvre et al. 1995) and refined for the VVDS (Le Fèvre et al. 2005b, 2013), zCOSMOS (Lilly et al. 2007) or the VIPERS (Guizzo et al. 2013) surveys. The reliability of a redshift measurement is expressed with a flag giving the range of probability for a redshift to be right. The reliability flag may take the following values:

- 0: No redshift could be assigned (the redshifts are then set to 99999).
- 1: 50–75% probability to be correct
- 2: 75–85% probability to be correct
- 3: 95–100% probability to be correct
- 4: 100% probability to be correct
- 9: spectrum with a single emission line. The redshift given is the most probable given the observed continuum, it has a ~ 80% probability to be correct.
The probabilities associated to these reliability flags are remarkably stable because of the process involving several independent people, smoothing out individual biases (Le Fèvre et al. 2013).

VUDS enters a redshift domain which has never been probed by spectroscopic redshift surveys on this scale. The expertise of the VUDS team members grew steadily as more and more of the data was being processed. Upon examination of the first measurements we realised that redshifts were probably wrongly assigned for a small but sizeable (~10%) fraction of the objects. Several standard cases for erroneous measurements were identified, including the possible confusion between early M stars (M0-M3) and z ~ 5 absorption-line only galaxies (going both ways), the assignment of Lyα-1215Å instead of OII-3727Å (or vice-versa), the setting of a continuum break to the Balmer-D4000 break rather than the Lyα break (or vice-versa). In addition, the reliability flag as defined above was sometimes either too cautious when a measurer found a spectrum he/she was not yet familiar with, or too optimistic. In view of this, we opted to create a ‘Tiger Team’ (OLF, PC, EV, BG, DM, VLB, OLF, LP, LTa) in charge to conduct an additional redshift check, provided with a set of well defined reference cases and their treatment. This redshift check was done again by two independent people going through all the measured spectra, separately identifying which ones needed to have their redshift and/or reliability flag modified, and agreeing on the modifications. The check of all pointings was done by four pairs of 2 people, each couple proposing a list of modifications to be examined and agreed upon by the other ‘Tiger team’ members. We compare in Figure 4 the old redshifts with the Tiger team redshifts which summarizes this process. At the end of this process, about 10% of the objects had either a redshift or a flag change. While this does not guarantee that there are no more obvious ‘catastrophic failures’ in spectroscopic redshift measurements, this process ensures a homogeneous treatment of all spectra and the reduction of the main degeneracies present in measuring the redshifts of high redshift galaxies. Obviously, for the fainter objects where the information content of the spectra is not sufficient to solve a possible degeneracy, the reliability flag is assigned to the ‘flag 1’ category.

One key element of the selection function of the VUDS sample is the target sampling rate (TSR) defined as the ratio of the spectroscopic targets to the parent photometric population from which the spectroscopic targets have been selected. We find a global TSR of ~30% for the VUDS survey, similar for the three observed fields. With respect to the total population with iAB ≤ 25, the parent sample of galaxies satisfying the VUDS selection criteria represents 10%, and hence the observed VUDS sample represents 3.3% of all galaxies with iAB ≤ 25. The most reliable redshifts including flag 2, 3, 4 and 9 account for 70.2% of the sample, flags 1 represent 21.4% and 8.4% could not be measured (flag 0). The spectroscopic success rate (SSR) as a function of magnitude is shown in Figure 5. Down to iAB = 25 91% of targets have a redshift measurement (flags 1, 2, 3, 4, 9), and 74.3% have a reliable measurement (flags 2, 3, 4, 9). This fraction is decreasing to 58% in the last 0.25 magnitude bin before iAB = 25. The spectroscopic success rate then goes down with magnitude with a reliable redshift obtained for ~30% of the galaxies targeted at iAB = 26. A complete description of the survey selection function including the analysis of the spectroscopic success rate at different redshifts will be provided elsewhere (Tasca et al. in prep.).

The experience gained in this process is invaluable for future massive high redshift surveys. As in Le Fèvre et al. (2013), we emphasize that redshift measurement at these high redshifts is a complex process which deserves dedicated and expert care beyond a simplistic ‘good’ vs. ‘bad’ redshift measurement scheme to fully exploit the information content of faint object spectroscopy at the instrumental limit. This is further discussed in Section 5.5 in view of the a posteriori comparison between spectroscopic and photometric redshifts.

3.4. VUDS spectra

We present sample spectra over the redshift range of the survey in Figures 5 to Figures 7. The signal-to-noise per sampling element (~7Å) of the spectra at 1500Å rest-wavelength has a mean of S/N = 4.5, and σS/N = 2.1, the S/N per spectral resolution element being ~2x higher. This gives access to a range of spectral features and properties for each individual galaxy. The main spectral lines identified in individual spectra are Lyman–α1215Å (in emission or in absorption), OII1330, CIII1334, the SiIV-OIV doublet at 1439-1403Å, SiIII1527, CIV1549, FeII1608, HeII1640, AlII1671, AlIII1856, CIII1909 (see the list in Table 2). Below Lyman–α, and depending on the IGM absorption, Lyman–β, Lyman–γ and the Lyman limit at 912Å can be identified. The average spectral properties in different redshift ranges are described in section 4.3.

An overview of the VUDS galaxy population over 2 < z < 6 is shown in Figure 6. This figure is built from all spectra with reliability flags 3 and 4 in 2 < z < 4 and all spectra with flags 2, 3 and 4 for z > 4 assembled in one single image, one spectrum per image line. The display is quite striking as the eye is able to follow up some of the weakest spectral features up to the highest redshifts. The Lyα line is readily visible in absorption or emission all along the redshift range, with the blue wing of the broad damped Lyα clearly visible in all spectra. Going to the higher redshifts one can note the Lyβ, Lyγ, and Lyman-break (912Å).

3.5. Comparison between spectroscopic and photometric redshifts

With VUDS targets selected in large part from their photometric redshifts (Table 2), we make here a comparison between the photometric redshifts zphot computed from the multi-wavelength data set and used as an input to the target selection, and the spectroscopic redshifts zspec. We compare zphot and zspec for the VUDS sample with reliable flags 3 and 4 in Figure 7. As close to 100% of the zspec (flags 3, 4) are the ‘truth’, we can directly test the accuracy and degeneracies of the zphot. We present in Figure 5 the distribution of δz = (zspec − zphot)/(1+zspec) for flags 3+4 and 2+9 for z < 1.5 and z > 2 separations arbitrarily chosen to distinguish a low redshift regime where the zphot computation heavily relies on rest-frame visible domain features like the D4000 spectral break, and a high redshift domain where the computation rests on UV rest-frame features like the Lyα break produced by the intervening IGM and the Lyman continuum limit. The width of the distribution for flags 3+4 below redshift z = 1.5 is an excellent σ(δz/(1+z)) = 0.02, particularly in the COSMOS and ECDFS fields which benefit from medium band photometry. Above redshift z = 2 it is about double which is still excellent but signals the increasing difficulty to assign accurate photometric redshifts from broad band photometry only. It is immediately visible in Figure 7 that there is a secondary tight relation with a low zphot corresponding to a high zspec, which is easily explained by the degeneracy between the D4000 continuum break and the Lyman–α break when com-
puting $z_{\text{phot}}$ and our use of the secondary peak of the $z_{\text{phot}}$ PDF to select spectroscopic targets. We draw in Figure 11 the relation $z_{\text{phot}} = (1215/4000) \times (1 + z_{\text{spec}}) - 1$ and ±15% around this mean. A number of galaxies are well within these limits, representing 9% of the flag 3 and 4 sample at $z > 2$. In all, 95.2% of our flag 3+4 sample at $z_{\text{spec}} > 2$ verify either $z_{\text{spec}} = z_{\text{phot}}$ or $z_{\text{phot}} = (1215/4000) \times (1 + z_{\text{spec}}) - 1$ within 15%, meaning that our selection function is particularly effective in picking up galaxies at these redshifts, with low ‘catastrophic failure’ rate, as further discussed in Tasca et al. (in prep.).

We can use the distribution of $(z_{\text{spec}}, z_{\text{phot}})$ for flags 3 and 4 to evaluate the level of agreement between $z_{\text{phot}}$ and $z_{\text{spec}}$ for other spectroscopic reliability flags 2, 1 and 9. The comparison between $z_{\text{phot}}$ and $z_{\text{spec}}$ for reliability flag 2, 1 and 9 are presented in Figure 12, 13 and 14, respectively. These plots are qualitatively similar to Figure 11 with the 1:1 and the 4000:1215 relationships well populated. For flags 2+9 and $z > 2$ we find $\sigma(\delta z) = 0.044$ hence not much different from the flags 3+4 distribution, and with about 75% to 80% of the objects in this category within 15% of the 1:1 and 1215:4000 relations, which fully supports that these redshifts have a high level of reliability. As noted above, the secondary peak in these distributions for $z > 2$ is produced by the degeneracy between the D4000 and 1215A continuum breaks. This peak is more pronounced for flag 2+9, with about 23% of the objects in this category within 15% of the 1215:4000 relation, meaning that the objects with these reliability flags are more prone to this degeneracy. A possible reason is that the magnitudes of flag 2+9 objects are on average fainter than the flag 3+4 counterparts. Defining the catastrophic failure rate in the selection of $z > 2.4$ VUDS galaxies as the fraction of galaxies which are outside either $|z_{\text{spec}} - z_{\text{phot}}| \leq 0.15 \times (1 + z_{\text{spec}})$ or $z_{\text{phot}} - (1215/4000) \times (1 + z_{\text{spec}}) - 1 \leq 0.15$, it is 20% for flag 2, 37% for flag 1 and 24% for flag 9. Taking into account the intrinsic catastrophic failure rate of 5% for photometric redshifts as observed for flag 3+4 this gives a qualitative estimate on the reliability level of the different spectroscopy flags.

Based on the excellent match between spectroscopic and photometric redshifts obtained for flag 3 and 4, we have added a decimal point to the reliability flag as defined in Section 4.3 translating the level of agreement between the spectroscopic redshift and the photometric redshift for each galaxy. This decimal point may take five different values from 1 for a poor agreement, to 5 for an excellent agreement; more specifically: *1 means that the spectroscopic and photometric redshifts have a difference $dz = |z_{\text{spec}} - z_{\text{phot}}| / (1 + z_{\text{spec}}) \geq 0.5$, *2 is for $0.3 \leq dz < 0.5$, *3 for $0.2 \leq dz < 0.3$, *4 for $0.1 \leq dz < 0.2$, and *5 for $dz < 0.1$. Adding a *.5 decimal therefore further increases the reliability of the spectroscopic redshift measurement, while a *1 decimal would rather lower it. However, for the higher spectroscopic reliability flag 3 and 4, a low photometric decimal *1 or .2 would rather indicate that the photometric redshift is likely to be incorrect. This scheme allows to define a sample depending on the level of robustness required by a particular analysis.

This analysis brings two general comments for studies based on photometric redshifts. First, if VUDS targets had been selected based only on the primary peak of the $z_{\text{phot}}$ PDF, ~17.5% of the sample with $z \geq 2$ would have been lost compared to our selection. Second, for studies based only on a $z_{\text{phot}}$ sample, which necessarily assign a redshift using the primary peak of the redshift PDF, 14.2% of high redshift galaxies would be missed because they would be wrongly placed at low redshift instead of $z > 2.3$. This average value shows a variation with redshift, which will be discussed in a forthcoming paper.

4. General properties of the VUDS sample

In this section we report on the main properties of the VUDS sample, giving an overview of the parameter space probed by the survey. As of this writing, the VUDS sample contains 6 250 objects with a measured redshift, including 6 003 galaxies, 20 AGNs and 227 stars, and no redshift measurement could be obtained for 750 objects. These numbers will increase by 15-20% when data processing will be completed. The projected sky distribution of the VUDS sample follows the layout of the VIMOS pointings, as identified in Figures 1 to 3. With 8 pointings on the COSMOS field, ~4 150 objects previously without measurements have been observed and ~3 700 have now spectroscopic redshift measurements (these numbers are being revised following the last data processing), covering a total area of 1800 arcmin$^2$. Over 1125 arcmin$^2$ in the VVDS-02h field the data for ~2 300 targets have been processed and ~2 100 objects have now spectroscopic redshifts, and we expect 20% more when data processing will be completed. In the ECDFS, one pointing has been processed so far with ~550 objects observed and ~500 with a redshift measurement, and with 2 more pointings to process (one with LR and one with MR grisms) we expect this number to increase by about 60%. We use the current sample to discuss general properties of the sample below.

4.1. Sample properties

The efficiency of the survey target selection can be estimated from the redshift distribution of the observed sources.

The redshift distribution of the VUDS sample is shown in Figure 10. The N(z) is bimodal, with a high redshift component from $z \sim 2$ to $z \sim 6.5$, and a low redshift component mainly at $z \leq 1.5$. The sample above $z = 2$ is the sample of interest for the main science goals of VUDS; it is representing about 80% of the total sample, with currently more than 4500 objects with redshift measured with $z \geq 2$, and, extrapolating for the remaining data to be processed the VUDS sample, it will contain ~6 000 objects with $2 < z < 6.5$ in the end. VUDS is today the largest sample of galaxies with spectroscopic redshifts in any of the redshift bins $2 < z < 3$, $3 < z < 4$, $4 < z < 4.7$ or $4.7 < z < 5.3$, as further discussed in Section 5.

The sample below $z = 2$ is made of several sub-samples: (i) galaxies for which the second peak of the $z_{\text{phot}}$ PDF is at $z > 2.4$ but which turned out to be at the lower redshift indicated by the first peak, (ii) galaxies for which the selection criteria for high redshift failed and which are rather at low redshifts, (iii) galaxies with $t_{\text{lab}} \leq 25$ which have been used as mask fillers and for which the N(z) is expected to peak at $z \sim 1.5$ (Le Fèvre et al. 2014), and (iv) some serendipitous sources falling in the slits by chance. The mean redshift for the sample above $z = 2$ is $\bar{z} = 3.0$. About 10% of the sample is above $z = 4$, and the high redshift tail goes up beyond redshift $z \sim 6$, the highest reliable redshift so far being $z = 6.5363$. The current redshift distribution for $z \geq 2$ in the COSMOS, ECDFS and VVDS-02h fields is shown in Figure 17 to Figure 19. The redshift bin of $dz = 0.01$ enables to show the strong clustering present at all redshifts probed by the survey. Some of the densest peaks are remarkable examples of clustering in the early universe, as discussed in Cucciati et al. (submitted) and Lemaux et al. (submitted).

As our sample is $z_{\text{phot}}$ selected, it is interesting to check were the VUDS galaxies are distributed in several standard color-color diagrams. Following other studies (e.g. Kurk et al. 2013, Le Fèvre et al. 2014), this a posteriori analysis gives those studies using color-color selection an indication of both the ef-
iciency of the selection and the contamination by galaxies at other redshifts than the redshift of interest in the color space selected. We examine here the gzK diagram used to select galaxies with $1.4 < z < 2.5$, and the ugr diagram for galaxies with $2.5 < z < 3.5$. Other color-color diagrams like the gri or riz for $3.5 < z < 4.5$ and $4.5 < z < 5.5$, will be investigated in a forthcoming paper.

The BzK selection is based on the identification of the Balmer and D4000 break crossing the z band for $1.4 < z < 2.5$ (Daddi et al. 2004). We present the gzK diagram in Figure 20 with the color-color area adjusted to take into account the different wavelength coverage of the filter compared to the B filter (Bielby et al. 2012, Le Fèvre et al. 2014). The gzK criteria are efficient to select 92% of the VUDS galaxies with $K < 24$. However, we note that the level of contamination of a gzK selected sample down to $K_{AB} = 24$ would be quite high as 58% of galaxies in the selection area of the gzK diagram selecting the $1.4 < z < 2.5$ redshift range would be outside this range at $z < 1.4$ or $z > 2.5$ (right panel of Figure 20). At $K_{AB} = 22$ we find this contamination to be lower, at the $\sim 30\%$ level. This trend is similar to that found by Le Fèvre et al. (2014), who identified a magnitude-dependent contamination level. As the VUDS data is selected with $z_{phot} > 2.4$ the contamination level is further enhanced compared to the pure $i-band$ magnitude selection of the VVDS.

Going to higher redshifts, we show the distribution of VUDS galaxies with $2.5 < z < 3.5$ in the ugr color-color diagram in Figure 21. Here again a large fraction $\sim 80\%$ of galaxies appear in the expected locus of the color-color diagram. The contamination by galaxies at $z < 2.5$ or $z > 3.5$ is quite high at about $40\%$. We note that we did not attempt to optimize the redshift range on the basis of the exact shape of the photometric bands used for the CFHTLS photometry used in this plot, but we rather elected to show the distribution of a large population.

This a posteriori color-color analysis shows that the VUDS sample is behaving as generally expected for galaxies at these redshifts. The photometric redshift selection allows to identify galaxies beyond the classical color-color locus of the gzK and ugr diagrams. We also point out the strong contamination present in color-color selected samples from galaxies at other redshifts outside the targeted redshift range.

4.2. Absolute magnitudes, stellar masses and star formation rates

The distribution of apparent magnitudes with redshift is shown in Figure 22. In all $\sim 90\%$ of the distribution is within $23 \leq i_{AB} \leq 25$, while $\sim 10\%$ have $25 \leq i_{AB} \leq 27$.

The VUDS sample covers a large range of galaxy physical properties. Using the VUDS spectroscopic redshifts we perform SED fitting on the multi-wavelength photometry using the code Le Phare (Ilbert et al. 2006), as described in Ilbert et al. (2013). We summarize here a few key points, but we refer to the recent description of the fitting process in Ilbert et al. (2013) for a detailed account and associated limitations. Galaxy luminosities are transformed into stellar mass using the best fit synthetic template, from a list of templates built from Bruzual & Charlot (2003) stellar population synthesis models with 3 metallicities ($Z = 0.004$, $Z = 0.008$, and solar $Z = 0.02$), and exponentially declining and delayed SFR with 9 different $\tau$ values from 0.1 to 30 Gyr. We use a Calzetti (2000) extinction law, and emission lines are added to the synthetic spectra as described in Ilbert et al. (2009). The output of this fitting process includes among other parameters: absolute magnitudes integrated into standard bands, stellar masses, star formation rates, and extinction. The distributions in absolute NUV (2300Å rest) magnitude, stellar masses, and star formation rate of the sample are presented in Figure 23. At redshifts $z \sim 3 - 4$ the NUV absolute magnitude ranges from $NUV = -20.5$ to $NUV = -23$, the stellar mass from $10^{9}M_{\odot}$ to $10^{10}M_{\odot}$, and the star formation rate from below $1M_{\odot}$/yr up to several hundred $M_{\odot}$/yr.

The VUDS survey therefore covers a large parameters space both in the observed properties and the physical properties of the sample galaxies.

4.3. Average spectral properties: stacked spectra

Stacked spectra have been produced from previous surveys, like Shapley et al. (2003) for $z \sim 3$, or for B, V and R dropout galaxies (Vanzella et al. 2009). The large statistics and wavelength coverage of VUDS offers the opportunity to produce composite spectra over a large redshift coverage. The average spectral properties of galaxies over the redshift range $2 < z < 5$ are derived from stacking VUDS spectra in different redshift bins. For each redshift bin, the average spectra are produced using the ochoose task in IRAF, averaging spectra after scaling to the same median continuum value, i.e. luminosity weighted, and weighting spectra to their mean flux in the same rest-frame wavelength range. Average spectra using sigma clipping, removing at each wavelength pixel those with a value $1.5 - 3$ times the $1\sigma$ value, have been compared to the straight weighted average described above, and very little difference have been observed when the number of spectra is large ($> 50$). For smaller samples, sigma clipping helps improving the S/N of the stacks by removing e.g. the left-over signatures of the sky subtraction process.

The average spectra of all galaxies for several redshift bins are shown in Figures 24-26 together with the average spectra of those with and without Ly-$\alpha$ emission. We discuss some of the key features below.

We find that the fraction of galaxies with any trace of Ly-$\alpha$ emission is strongly changing with redshift with $30.6 \pm 1.8\%$ in $2 \leq z \leq 3$, $38.3 \pm 2.9\%$ in $3 \leq z \leq 4$, $61.6 \pm 7.0\%$ in $4 \leq z \leq 4.7$, and $66.6 \pm 14.2\%$ in $4.7 \leq z \leq 5.3$. The detailed properties of the Ly-$\alpha$ emitting fraction and implications are examined in details in the accompanying paper by Cassata et al. (submitted).

Besides Ly-$\alpha$ a number of spectral features are noteworthy, as indicated in Table 4. The main absorption features redder than Ly-$\alpha$ include the SiII1260, OII1303, CII1334, SiIV1394/1403, SiII1527, CIV1549 lines. In emission, weak CIII1909 is quite common, and one can identify S-shape absorption-emission features for e.g. SiII1260, OII1303 or CIV1549, indicative of strong outflows. The HeII1640 emission is ubiquitous, as seen on all spectra where this line is in the wavelength range (Figures 23 to 26). This line, when several hundreds of km/s in width, indicates the presence of strong winds around Wolf-Rayet stars. If narrow it may indicate some other processes like the presence of a population of low-metallicity stars with properties akin to Population III (Cassata et al. 2013).

Below the Ly-$\alpha$ line, the main features identified in galaxies at these redshifts are SiII1192, and then the Lyβ and Lyγ lines, followed by the Lyman-limit producing a continuum break at 912Å. It is interesting to note that the flux below 912Å in our stacked spectra is not zero as would be expected if the Lyman continuum escape fraction was 0%. We observe a significant detection of flux below the Lyman-limit in the stacked spectra of galaxies at $3 < z < 4$, $4 < z < 4.7$ and $4.7 < z < 5.3$. The ra-
tio of continuum flux density in the [1400,1500]Å range over the flux in [800,900]Å range below the 912Å Lyman-limit is \( f_{1500}/f_{900} = 32 \pm 3, 39 \pm 5, \) and 33 \( \pm 10, \) in these three redshift bins respectively. Although these measurements are based on a much larger sample covering a larger redshift range, these values are comparable to the observed value of 58 \( \pm 18 \) reported by Shapley et al. (2006) at \( z \sim 3. \) While it is tempting to interpret this in terms of the Lyman-continuum escape fraction, we note that at the faint magnitudes we are observing, the flux observed below 912Å in stacked spectra could also be coming in part from objects more or less contaminated by lower redshift interlopers producing observed flux below that of the Lyman-limit at the rest-frame of the distant source (e.g. Vanzella et al. 2010). The observed non-zero flux below 912Å has consequences on the selection of high redshift galaxies based on the Lyman-break technique, as expected colors of high redshift galaxies are affected by apriori hypotheses, e.g. on the \( f_{1500}/f_{900} \) ratio. This and the corrected Lyman escape fraction will be analyzed in forthcoming papers.

Our stacked spectra beautifully show the evolution of the 'stair-case' pattern of IGM absorption as a function of redshift produced by continuum blanketing from the Lyman series of galaxies along the line of sight in the volume probed (Madau 1995). The comparison of the observed mean and distribution of IGM absorption properties as a function of redshift in VUDS to the models of Madau (1995) and Meiksin (2010) will be discussed in Thomas et al. (in preparation).

A detailed analysis of the spectral properties of stacked spectra and individual galaxies in the VUDS sample will be presented in forthcoming papers.

5. Comparison with other spectroscopic surveys at high redshifts

Large spectroscopic surveys at \( z > 2 \) published in the literature are understandably relatively limited compared to surveys at \( z < 1.5 \) because of the faintness of the sources and requirements on deep imaging to perform pre-selection.

We compile a list, likely not exhaustive, of the main surveys in the redshift range \( 2 < z < 7 \) in Table 5 and we show the corresponding redshift distribution of these surveys in Figure 29. The largest numbers of galaxies can be found in the range \( 2 < z < 3 \) with the LBG-selected surveys of Steidel et al. (1999, 2003, 2004), the zCOSMOS-Deep survey (Lilly et al. 2007, Lilly et al. in prep.), the VLRS (Bielby et al. 2013), and the VVDS-Deep/Ultra-Deep (Le Fèvre et al. 2013). In the redshift range \( 2.5 < z < 3.5 \), these surveys accumulate \( \sim 2700 \) galaxies with spectroscopic redshifts. The VUDS survey contributes \( \sim 2800 \) spectroscopic redshifts, therefore as much as all these other surveys combined, but in a single well-controlled survey.

Beyond redshift \( z \sim 3.5 \) both the number of spectroscopic surveys and the number of galaxies with measured spectroscopic redshifts dramatically fall down. Spectroscopic campaigns on the GOODS-South area with VIMOS and FORS2 on the VLT have produced about 114 galaxies with redshifts \( z \sim 3-6 \). The Keck-DEIMOS surveys of Stark et al. 2010 contribute more than 300 galaxies in the range \( 3 < z < 6.5 \). VUDS brings more than 800 new galaxies with spectroscopic redshifts in the range \( 3.5 < z < 5 \), or about twice the number of all other surveys combined as can be seen in Figure 29.

At the highest redshifts \( z > 5 \), we enter the game of single redshift confirmations following-up on galaxies identified as dropout, i.e. with a sharp change in flux between two adjacent photometric bands (e.g. Stark et al. 2010, Curtis-Lake et al. 2012), or following narrow-band Lyman Alpha Emitter candidates (e.g. Ouchi et al. 2010). These different surveys are bringing several tens of spectroscopic identifications beyond \( z = 5 \). VUDS contributes about 60 new sources with spectroscopic redshifts \( z > 5 \). This sample is being consolidated, particularly at \( z > 6 \), and will be the subject of future studies.

An important element to keep in perspective is the area which has been sampled by a survey. As discussed in Moster et al. (2011), the fluctuations in number density of objects observed in deep surveys result from the cosmic variance in the distribution of galaxies in large scale structures. Surveys with one square degree at \( z \sim 3 \) will be subject to an uncertainty of about 10% from cosmic variance, in addition to the uncertainties related to the number of objects in a survey. For fields of the GOODS size (~ 150arcmin\(^2\)), the cosmic variance at \( z \sim 3 \) is expected to be in excess of 50% for galaxies with stellar masses \( M_\star > 10^{10} M_\odot \).

We compare in Figure 30 the number of spectra per square degree vs. the area for different surveys, a high redshift version of that presented in Baldry et al. (2010) and updated by Le Fèvre et al. (2013). While at \( z \sim 3 \), several surveys have surveyed about 1 square degree (Steidel et al. 2003, Lilly et al. 2007, Bieby et al. 2013, or Le Fèvre et al. 2013), the highest redshift surveys have surveyed only about 0.1 deg\(^2\) (e.g. Vanzella et al. 2009, Stark et al. 2010). In this context, VUDS has selected galaxies at any redshift \( z > 2 \) in three different fields for a total of \( \sim 1 \) deg\(^2\).

6. Summary

The VIMOS Ultra Deep Survey (VUDS) is a deep spectroscopic redshift survey aiming to study the early phases of galaxy assembly at \( 2 < z < 6.5 \) from a sample of \( \sim 10000 \) galaxies observed with the VIMOS multi-slit spectrograph at the ESO-VLT. The survey target selection is based on photometric redshifts derived from extensive multi-wavelength data, combined to color and color-color selection as well as magnitude-selection. Most of the sample is limited down to \( i_{AB} = 25 \), but galaxies are observed as faint as \( i_{AB} = 27 \).

The combination of a wide wavelength coverage from 3650Å to 9350Å, and exposure times of \( \sim 14 \) h, lead to a spectroscopic success rate in redshift measurement of about 91% (74% for spectroscopic reliability flags 2 to 9) down to \( i_{AB} = 25 \). The comparison of photometric redshifts to the VUDS spectroscopic redshifts shows that the VUDS strategy minimizes the loss of galaxy populations compared to more restrictive selection criteria.

We report on the general properties of the sample based on \( \sim 80\% \) of the data which has already been processed. The redshift distribution of the current sample at \( z \geq 2 \) peaks at a mean \( z = 3 \), and extends beyond \( z = 6 \). A secondary sample at \( z < 2 \) is the result of the selection function and provides interesting very low intrinsic luminosity galaxies. The average spectral properties of galaxies with \( z > 2 \) are discussed based on high S/N stacks of VUDS spectra in several increasing redshift bins. Galaxies with and without Ly\( \alpha \) in emission are found at any redshift, but it is found that the fraction of galaxies with Ly\( \alpha \) in emission increases with redshift. This is quantified in the accompanying paper by Cassata et al. (submitted). Using stacked spectra we find that there is observed flux below the 912Å Lyman limit in all the redshift ranges explored, the origin of this is being investigated and will be the subject of future papers.

Following an early measurement of the merger rate at \( z \sim 3 \) (Tasca et al. 2013), several papers presenting results from the
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Table 1. VUDS fields

| Field          | $\alpha_{2000}$ | $\delta_{2000}$ | $b$  | $l$  | Area       | Depth       |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|------|------------|-------------|
| COSMOS         | 10h00m04.0s     | +02 deg 12'40''| 42.1 | 236.8| 1800 arcmin$^2$ | $i_{AB} \geq 25$ |
| ECDFS          | 03h32m28.0s     | −27 deg 48'30''| 54.0 | 223.5| 675 arcmin$^2$  | $i_{AB} \geq 25$ |
| VVDS-02h       | 02h26m00.0s     | −04 deg 30'00''| 57.5 | 172.0| 1125 arcmin$^2$ | $i_{AB} \geq 25$ |

Table 2. VUDS spectroscopic target selection criteria

| Criterion                  | Value | Limiting magnitude | Fraction of targets |
|----------------------------|-------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Photometric redshift, 1st PDF peak | $z_{phot} + 1\sigma \geq 2.4$ | $22.5 \leq i_{AB} \leq 25$ | 58.3% |
| Photometric redshift, 2nd PDF peak | $z_{phot} \geq 2.4$ | $22.5 \leq i_{AB} \leq 25$ | 19.3% |
| LBG ugr                   | $2.7 < z < 3.5$ | $i_{AB} \leq 27$ | 1.9%        |
| LBG gri                   | $3.5 < z < 4.5$ | $i_{AB} \leq 27$ | 1.9%        |
| LBG riz                   | $4.5 < z < 5.5$ | $i_{AB} \leq 27$ | 3.6%        |
| Break SED-based           | −      | $K_{AB} \leq 24$ | 3.5%        |
| Magnitude-selected        | −      | $23 \leq i_{AB} \leq 25$ | 11.5%     |

Table 3. VUDS: observed VIMOS fields

| Pointing      | $\alpha_{2000}$ | $\delta_{2000}$ | Grism           |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| COSMOS-P01    | 09h59m02.39s    | +01 deg 54'35.9'' | LRBLUE & LRRED  |
| COSMOS-P02    | 10h00m04.08s    | +01 deg 54'35.9'' | LRBLUE & LRRED  |
| COSMOS-P03    | 10h01m05.76s    | +01 deg 54'35.9'' | LRBLUE & LRRED  |
| COSMOS-P04    | 09h59m02.39s    | +02 deg 12'41.4'' | LRBLUE & LRRED  |
| COSMOS-P05    | 10h00m04.08s    | +02 deg 12'41.4'' | LRBLUE & LRRED  |
| COSMOS-P06    | 10h01m05.76s    | +02 deg 12'41.4'' | LRBLUE & LRRED  |
| COSMOS-P07    | 10h00m04.08s    | +02 deg 30'46.7'' | LRBLUE & LRRED  |
| COSMOS-P08    | 10h01m05.76s    | +02 deg 30'46.7'' | LRBLUE & LRRED  |
| ECDFS-P01     | 03h32m25.99s    | −27 deg 41'59.9'' | LRBLUE & LRRED  |
| ECDFS-P02     | 03h32m34.00s    | −27 deg 53'59.9'' | LRBLUE & LRRED  |
| ECDFS-P03$^a$ | 03h32m15.00s    | −27 deg 49'59.9'' | MR              |
| VVDS02-P01    | 02h26m44.51s    | −04 deg 16'42.8'' | LRBLUE & LRRED  |
| VVDS02-P02    | 02h25m40.34s    | −04 deg 16'42.8'' | LRBLUE & LRRED  |
| VVDS02-P03    | 02h26m44.51s    | −04 deg 34'50.3'' | LRBLUE & LRRED  |
| VVDS02-P04    | 02h25m40.34s    | −04 deg 34'50.3'' | LRBLUE & LRRED  |
| VVDS02-P05    | 02h24m36.14s    | −04 deg 44'57.9'' | LRBLUE & LRRED  |

$^a$ The ECDFS-P03 has been observed with a VIMOS PA=70deg on the sky.
Table 4. Main spectral features in VUDS spectra

| Spectral line | λ_{rest} (Å) | Line type       |
|---------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Lyman–limit   | 912.0        | Continuum break |
| Lyman–γ       | 972.0        | HI absorption   |
| Lyman–β       | 1025.2       | HI absorption   |
| SiII1192      | 1192.0       | ISM, blend 1190+1193 |
| Lyman–α       | 1215.7       | HI emission & absorption |
| SiII1260      | 1260.4       | ISM             |
| OI+SiII-1303  | 1303.2       | ISM, blend      |
| CII1334       | 1334.5       | ISM             |
| SiIV1394      | 1392.0       | ISM, blend      |
| SiIV1403      | 1402.8       | ISM             |
| SiII1527      | 1526.7       | ISM             |
| CIV1549       | 1549.1       | ISM, blend 1548.2+1550.8 |

Table 5. Comparison of the VUDS survey with other spectroscopic redshift surveys at z > 2 in the literature, by order of increasing mean redshift

| Survey        | Area deg^2 | Depth i_AB eq. | N_{obj} in Survey | N_{obj} at z ≥ 2 | z_{range} | z_{mean} | Selection | Reference               |
|---------------|------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------------------|
| VVDS-Deep     | 0.74       | 24.00          | 11 601            | 634              | 0–5       | 0.92     | 17.5 ≤ i_{AB} ≤ 24.0  | Le Fèvre et al. 2013    |
| VVDS-UDeep    | 0.14       | 24.75          | 941               | 341              | 0–4.5     | 1.38     | 23.0 ≤ i_{AB} ≤ 24.75 | Le Fèvre et al. 2014    |
| zCosmos-Deep  | 0.48       | R = 25.5       | 851               | 588              | 1.4–2.5   | 2.0      | BM – BX              | Steidel et al. 2004     |
| VLRS          | 1.62       | 24.7           | 2 135             | 2 135            | 2–3.5     | 2.8      | 23 < R < 25           | Bielby et al. 2013      |
| GOODS         | 0.38       | R = 25.0       | 1 000             | 2 732            | 2.7–3.5   | 3.2      | R_{AB} < 25.5+color  | Steidel et al. 2003     |
| GOODS         | 0.09       | z = 26         | 887               | 114              | 3         | 3.5      | (i_{775} – z_{850}) > 0.6, z_{850} < 26  | Vanzella et al. 2009andref.therein |
| VVDS-LAE      | 0.74       | 25.00          | 237               | 237              | 0–6.7     | 3.5      | 23.0 ≤ i_{AB} ≤ 24.75 | Cassata et al. 2011     |
| LBG-z4        | 0.38       | 25.0           | 3 000             | 3 000            | 3.5–4.5   | 4.0      | I_{AB} < 25color     | Steidel et al. 1999     |
| Dropouts-z456 | 0.09       | 25.0           | 3 100             | 310              | 3–6.5     | 4.5      | Dropout, Lyα break   | Stark et al. 2010       |
| LAE-z6        | 1          | 27             | 16                | 16               | 6.5       | 6.5      | LAE narrow band      | Ouchi et al. 2010       |

VUDS

| Survey        | Area deg^2 | Depth i_AB eq. | N_{obj} in Survey | N_{obj} at z ≥ 2 | z_{range} | z_{mean} | Selection | Reference               |
|---------------|------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------------------|
| VUDS          | 1          | 25–27          | ~ 10 000          | ~ 6 000          | 2–6.7     | 3.7      | i_{AB} < 25+photo-z   | This paper               |

* Adjusted to take into account new data not yet processed
Fig. 1. Layout of the observed 8 VUDS VIMOS pointings in the COSMOS field. The center of each VIMOS pointing is identified by a cross with the pointing number (see Table 3), while the imprint of the 4 quadrants is in magenta. The positions of the UltraVista Deep stripes overlapping with the VUDS area are identified by the blue regions. The CANDELS ACS-F814W (in green) and WFC3-F160W (in red) areas are indicated. The size of the image is $1 \times 1$ deg$^2$. 
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Fig. 2. Layout of the observed 3 VUDS VIMOS pointings in the ECDFS field. The center of each VIMOS pointing is identified by a cross with the pointing number (see Table 3), while the imprint of the 4 quadrants is in magenta. The positions of the CANDELS WFC3 deep area is indicated in green, and the CANDELS wide area in blue. The red region indicates the WFC3 coverage of the ERS. The outline of the existing ACS-F814W imaging is identified in orange. The size of the image is 0.67 x 0.67 deg$^2$. 
Fig. 3. Layout of the observed 5 VUDS VIMOS pointings in the VVDS-02h field. The center of each VIMOS pointing is identified by a cross with the pointing number (see Table 3), while the imprint of the 4 quadrants is in magenta. The size of the image is $1 \times 1$ deg$^2$. All of the VIMOS pointings are covered by CFHTLS visible photometry and WIRDS near infrared photometry.
Fig. 4. Comparison between VUDS spectroscopic redshifts before and after the Tiger Team (TT) check of redshifts measured after a first measurement pass (see text). About 10% of all objects had a redshift change (6%) or a flag change (4%). Red circles represent objects for which the reliability flag was downgraded after the Tiger Team work; filled cyan circles are those objects with upgraded flags; and blue triangles are objects keeping the same flag. Objects which had undetermined redshifts (flag=0) before the TT work are placed at $z_{\text{before}} = 8$ and represented with orange starred symbols. Objects which have undetermined redshifts after the TT work (either keeping their original undetermined status or the TT work decided to downgrade them) are the red circles at $z_{\text{after}} = 8$. 
Fig. 5. Spectroscopic success rate versus $i_{AB}$ magnitude, for all objects with a redshift measurement (light grey) and all objects with a $>75\%$ reliable redshift measurement (flags 2, 3, 4, and 9; dark grey).
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Fig. 6. Sample VUDS spectra in the range 2 < z < 3.
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Fig. 7. Sample VUDS spectra in the range $3 < z < 4$. 
Fig. 8. Sample VUDS spectra in the range $4 < z < 5$. 
Fig. 9. Sample VUDS spectra in the range $5 < z < 6$. 
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Fig. 10. Complete overview of the spectra obtained in the VUDS survey, with the wavelength going from 3650 to 9350Å on the X-axis. The redshift is increasing (in a non linear way) as indicated along the left Y-axis, with the corresponding look-back time indicate along the right Y-axis. The image is build with the spectra of all $2 < z < 4$ VUDS galaxies with flags 3 and 4, and all spectra with flags 2, 3 and 4 for $z > 4$, ordered one per image line by increasing redshift. All the main emission and absorption lines can be readily identified on this image, even faint ones, thanks to the increased contrast produced by the continuous display of spectra. The main spectral lines are identified on the top left (below Lyα) and at the bottom of the plot (above Lyα), as listed in Table 4. The vertical bands appearing at fixed wavelength in the red correspond to increased residual noise after subtraction of the strong atmospheric OH bands.
Fig. 11. Comparison between VUDS spectroscopic redshifts with spectroscopic flag 3 and 4 (95-100% reliable) and the SED-derived photometric redshifts using the Le Phare code. Galaxies in the three different fields are identified as filled squares (COSMOS field), open triangles (VVDS-02h), and open circles (ECDFS). The 1:1 equality relation is drawn as a continuous line with 15% errors expressed as $0.15 \times (1 + z)$ drawn as dashed lines. The known degeneracy of photometric redshifts between a Balmer–$4000\text{Å}$ break and a $\text{Ly}\alpha–1215\text{Å}$ break is identified by the dot-dash line with 15% errors as the dotted lines.
Fig. 12. Same as Figure 11 but for spectroscopic flags 2 (≈ 85% reliable).
Fig. 13. Same as Figure 11 but for spectroscopic flags 1 ($\approx 50\% - 70\%$ reliable).
Fig. 14. Same as Figure 11 but for spectroscopic flags 9 (∼ 80% reliable).
Fig. 15. Distribution of the difference between photometric redshifts and VUDS spectroscopic redshifts for flags 3+4 (top panels) and flags 2+9 (bottom panels), for redshifts $z < 1.5$ (left panels) and redshifts $z > 2$ (right panels). The number of galaxies is indicated in each panel together with the $\sigma$ of the distribution, and the fraction of galaxies verifying $\delta z = (z_{\text{spec}} - z_{\text{phot}})/(1 + z_{\text{spec}}) \leq 0.1$. The distribution peaked at 0 is for the main sample, while a secondary peak appearing beyond $\delta z = 0.5$ is produced by the degeneracy between the D4000 and the 1215Å continuum breaks (see text).
Fig. 16. The current redshift distribution from 6057 galaxies already processed in the VUDS survey, for all objects with a redshift measurement (light grey) and all objects with a > 80% reliable redshift measurement (flags 2, 3, 4, and 9; dark grey).
Fig. 17. The current redshift distribution from 3495 galaxies already identified in the COSMOS field by the VUDS survey (all objects with a redshift measurement are used).
Fig. 18. The current redshift distribution from 514 galaxies already identified in the ECDFS field by the VUDS survey (all objects with a redshift measurement are used).
Fig. 19. The current redshift distribution from 2059 galaxies already identified in the VVDS-02h field by the VUDS survey (all objects with a redshift measurement are used).
Fig. 20. (left panel) $(g-z)$ vs. $(z-K)$ color-color diagram for VUDS galaxies with $1.4 < z < 2.5$ (flag 3+4: red squares, flag 2: blue triangles). (right panel) same for galaxies either with $z < 1.4$ (open squares) or $z > 2.5$ (magenta filled squares). Star are represented by green starred symbols. The $gzK$ selection would have selected 91% of the VUDS galaxies with $1.4 < z < 2.5$, but with a high level of contamination as 58% of galaxies in the selection area of the $gzK$ are outside the redshift range $1.4 < z < 2.5$, coming mostly from galaxies at $z > 2.5$. 
(left panel) (u-g) vs. (g-r) color-color diagram for VUDS galaxies with 2.5 < z < 3.5 (flag 3+4: red squares, flag 2: blue triangles). (right panel) same for galaxies either with z < 2.5 (open squares) or z > 3.5 (magenta filled squares). The ugr selection would have selected 80% of the VUDS galaxies with 2.5 < z < 3.5, but with a high level of contamination as 40% of galaxies in the selection area of the ugr are outside the redshift range 2.5 < z < 3.5.
Fig. 22. (top panel) $i_{AB}$ magnitude - redshift distribution for the full VUDS sample (grey points) and for serendipitous objects in the slits (blue points). (bottom panel) Distribution of $i_{AB}$ magnitudes in the VUDS survey for all objects observed (light grey), and for those with a redshift measurement (any non 0 flag, dark grey). The $i_{AB} = 25$ imposed on the sample selected by photometric redshifts is indicated. The fainter objects are pre-selected from one of the other color or SED criteria.
Fig. 23. The distribution of absolute U-band magnitudes (bottom), stellar masses (center), and star formation rate (top). Stellar masses and star formation rates are derived from template SED fitting at the spectroscopic redshift (see text). Black squares are for galaxies with reliability flags 3 and 4, orange filled circles are for flag 2, and blue dots are for flag 1.
Fig. 24. Average rest-frame spectra ($F_\lambda$) of galaxies with flags 3 and 4 in VUDS with $2 \leq z \leq 3$: (Top): stack of all galaxy spectra; (Middle): stack of galaxies with Ly-$\alpha$ in emission; (Bottom): stack of galaxies with Ly-$\alpha$ in absorption.
Fig. 25. Average rest-frame spectra ($F_{\lambda}$) of galaxies with flags 3 and 4 in VUDS with $3 \leq z \leq 4$: (Top): stack of all galaxy spectra; (Middle): stack of galaxies with Ly-\(\alpha\) in emission; (Bottom): stack of galaxies with Ly-\(\alpha\) in absorption.
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Fig. 27. Average rest-frame spectra ($F_{\lambda}$) of galaxies with flags 2, 3 and 4 in VUDS with $4.7 \leq z \leq 5.3$: (Top): stack of all galaxy spectra; (Middle): stack of galaxies with Ly-\(\alpha\) in emission; (Bottom): stack of galaxies with Ly-\(\alpha\) in absorption.
Fig. 28. Average rest-frame spectra ($F_\lambda$) of galaxies with flags 2, 3 and 4 in VUDS with $5.3 \leq z \leq 6.5$. 
Fig. 29. Comparison of the redshift distribution of the VUDS survey with other published spectroscopic surveys at \( z > 2 \) (as listed in Table 5). The VUDS counts from existing measurements have been scaled by 1.2 to account for the data not yet processed at the time of this writing.
Fig. 30. Comparison of the number density of spectra in the VUDS survey with other published spectroscopic surveys at $z > 2$ (as listed in Table 5). The VUDS counts have been scaled by 1.2 to account for the data not yet processed at the time of this writing. Dashed lines are for surveys with a total number of spectra as indicated.