The 5′-terminal 32 basepairs conserved between genome segments A and B contain a major promoter element of infectious bursal disease virus
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Summary. The regions of the infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) genome with regulatory function are not known. In the present study, progressively deleted lengths of the 5′ noncoding region of segment A were constructed in pGL3 vectors having SV40 enhancer or promoter, and a luciferase (LUC) reporter gene. Transient transfections of the constructs made in a promoter-less pGL3-Enhancer vector when transfected in Vero cells and the lysates assayed for LUC expression, allowed the localization of maximal activity to the 32-nucleotide stretch (precursor polyprotein ORF positions −131 to −100), which is highly conserved at the 5′ end of both genome segments. This fragment, when evaluated in parallel in an enhancer-less pGL3-Promoter vector demonstrated no activity. To determine if this region is recognized by IBDV replicative proteins, we engineered modifications in an enhancer-less pGL3-Promoter vector where the terminal 32-bp fragment, the full-length noncoding region, or the noncoding region with the 32-bp fragment deleted was positioned in either the plus-sense or the minus-sense orientation immediately downstream of the SV40 promoter and upstream of the LUC gene. Transfections of these constructs in IBDV-infected and uninfected Vero cells resulted in the endogenous generation of recombinant viral-LUC RNAs containing the 5′ terminal viral RNA sequences in either the plus-sense or the minus-sense orientation. LUC assays of the infected cell lysates showed up-regulated expression of LUC only with constructs containing the 32-bp fragment in the minus-sense orientation. Deletion of this 32-bp fragment abolished such LUC expression. We therefore conclude that the 5′-terminal 32 base pairs of genomic segment A contain a major promoter element in IBDV. In addition, our results show that IBDV replicative proteins recognize and transcribe single-stranded RNA in vivo.
Introduction

Infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) is a member of the genus *Avibirnavirus* of the family *Birnaviridae*. Members of this family are characterized by a double-stranded (ds)RNA genome consisting of two segments, A and B, within a non-enveloped single-shelled icosahedral capsid of 60–65 nm diameter [7]. The genome segments A (approx. 3 300 bp) and B (approx. 2 820 bp) have the putative viral RNA polymerase attached to their 5′ ends through a phosphodiester bond between a guanine residue and a serine [4], forming a genome linked protein, VPg [5, 24]. This viral protein, which is encoded by segment B [23], also occurs in virions as a 90 kDa polypeptide (VP1) linked to short stretches of viral RNA [14]. VP1 of IBDV has sequence similarity with known RNA-dependent RNA polymerases of other dsRNA and positive single-stranded (ss) RNA viruses [8]. Segment A encodes five viral proteins from two partially overlapping open reading frames (ORF): VP5 (16.5–17 kDa) from the small ORF [16, 17] and a 109-kDa precursor polyprotein from the larger ORF, that is processed into structural proteins VP2 (40–45 kDa), VP3 (32–34 kDa) and VP4 (28–30.5 kDa) [3, 12, 15, 17]. VP4 is also a viral protease [1]. The function of VP5 is not known.

All dsRNA viruses require the introduction of a virion-associated polymerase complex into the host cell and its subsequent activation to initiate the viral replication cycle. The biochemical processes underlying this activation of transcription have been studied in several dsRNA viruses [13, 21, 33, 34]. In birnaviruses, transcription is initiated when the virus penetrates the host cell without the need for uncoating or degradation of the capsid, and the precursor molecules gain access to the viral polymerase-template complex by passing through the capsid [33]. Recent experiments with infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV) and IBDV indicate that virion-associated VP1 also catalyzes a guanylylation reaction which serves to prime viral RNA synthesis; apparently, only the plus strands of the two genome segments are synthesized in vitro, which remain base-paired to their minus strand templates [6]. However, the regulatory sequences involved in IBDV RNA synthesis have received no experimental attention.

Most recently, the complete noncoding sequences of the genomic segments A and B of IBDV have been determined, showing that both segments are 85.3% identical in the initial 32 nucleotides at the 5′ end of the plus strands [18, 25]. In the North American strains of IBDV, the last 5 nucleotides at the 3′ end of the plus strands are also identical between the genome segments [18]. In this investigation, we use transient transfections in Vero cells with a series of pGL3-Promoter and -Enhancer vector constructs of progressively deleted lengths of the viral noncoding regions ligated to a luciferase (LUC) reporter gene (Promega) to map the *cis*-acting regulatory sequences of IBDV. To determine if the 5′ noncoding region is recognized by the IBDV replicative proteins, transient transfections were performed in Vero cells infected with IBDV. For this, modified pGL3-Promoter recombinant constructs were used where the
terminal 32-bp fragment, the full-length noncoding region, and the noncoding region with the 32-bp fragment deleted were inserted either in plus-sense or minus-sense orientation, flanked upstream by the SV40 promoter and immediately downstream by a LUC reporter gene. Here we demonstrate that the 5′-terminal 32-nucleotide stretch of segment A of IBDV contains a major promoter element for IBDV genome replication and expression.

Materials and methods

Virus and cells

IBDV strain OH of serotype 2 was the source of all cDNA sequences used in this study. Vero cells were used to propagate the virus and for transient plasmid DNA transfections. Vero cell monolayers were grown in Eagle’s Minimal Essential Medium (EMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) which was reduced to 2% in the maintenance medium.

Reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction and cDNA cloning

For first strand cDNA synthesis, purified IBDV dsRNA in 90% DMSO and IBDV-specific primer SA06 (nt 1520–1539), 5′-TCT CGA TTT GCA TGG GCT AG-3′, were used to copy 5′ end plus strand RNA of segment A with the SuperScript II RT (Gibco-BRL) as previously described [18]. The cDNA clone containing the complete 5′ noncoding region (corresponding to precursor polyprotein ORF positions –131 to +12) was amplified by PCR with primers SA11 (nt-1-18), 5′-gcg acg cgt GGA TAC GAT CGG TCT GAA-3′, and SA12 (nt 126–143), 5′-ctc cat C AGG TTT GTC ATC GCT GC-3′, from the IBDV genome segment A sequence [18], engineered to contain restriction sites MluI and BglII (underlined; the non-viral sequences are indicated in lowercase letters), respectively. The resulting PCR product was subcloned into pCRII vector (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol to give clone pPIIA containing the insert cDNA of IBDV segment A 5′ terminal region (nt-1–143). The sequence of this clone was verified using the standard dideoxy chain termination method [31].

Plasmid DNA constructions

Clone pPIIA was the source of the full-length 5′ noncoding region of OH-IBDV genome segment A cDNA. The MluI-BglII insert DNA of this clone was subcloned into the MluI and BglII polylinker sites of both pGL3-Promoter GeneLight vector and the pGL3-Enhancer GeneLight vector (Promega) immediately upstream of the LUC reporter gene to give clones pPA131 and pEA131, respectively (Fig. 1). The pGL3-Promoter vector contains an SV40 promoter (enhancer-less pGL3-Promoter) while the pGL3-Enhancer vector contains an SV40 enhancer (promoter-less pGL3-Enhancer) and the pGL3-Control vector contains both SV40 promoter and enhancer. All the vectors have the firefly luciferase gene as the genetic reporter (Fig. 1).

Progressive deletions in the 5′ noncoding region of OH-IBDV genome segment A (Fig. 1) were created by digestion of pEA131 with KpnI (nt 5 in the polylinker region of pGL3 vectors) to produce a 3′ overhang followed by treatment with Exonuclease III [29]. The products were treated with S1 nuclease to remove the single-stranded regions followed by Klenow fill-in and were subsequently self ligated with T4 DNA ligase [29]. Deletion
endpoints of the selected plasmid constructs were verified by sequencing using the standard dideoxy chain termination method [31].

To construct pPds-oligo 32 and pEds-oligo32 clones containing the 5’ end 32-bp cDNA of OH-IBDV genome segment A, an Mlu I-Bgl II ds DNA fragment containing the initial 32 nucleotides of the 5’ terminal sequence of segment A was commercially synthesized (Bio/Can) and subcloned into the Mlu I and Bgl II sites of the pGL3-Promoter and pGL3-Enhancer vectors, respectively (Fig. 1).

Another set of pGL3-Promoter vector constructs (pUPS32, pUMS32, pUPS131, pUMS131, pUPSΔ32, and pUMSΔ32) (Fig. 1D) for transfection of uninfected and IBDV-infected Vero cells was engineered from the pGL3-Enhancer recombinant construct (pEA131) containing the full-length 5’ noncoding region of IBDV by PCR using appropriate sets of primers with the Nco I restriction site, in order to facilitate cloning of the PCR products at the Nco I site of pGL3-Promoter vector. These amplified PCR products containing the terminal 32 bp, the full-length noncoding region or the noncoding region with the 32-bp fragment deleted were initially subcloned into pCRII vector and subsequently into the pGL3-Promoter vector at the Nco I site immediately upstream of the LUC reporter gene and downstream of the SV40 promoter (Fig. 1D). Transformants were screened for plus-sense and minus-sense orientation clones using appropriate primers [30] and the sequences of the selected clones were confirmed using the standard dideoxy chain termination method [31].

**Transient transfection of uninfected and IBDV-infected Vero cells**

Both uninfected and IBDV-infected Vero cells were transfected with 1.5 μg of plasmid DNA by the Lipofectin method (Gibco-BRL) with some modifications. Briefly, plasmid DNA in 100 μl of OptiMEM medium (Gibco-BRL) was gently mixed with 10 μl of
Lipofectin reagent (Gibco-BRL) diluted in 90 µl of OptiMEM and was incubated at room temperature for 15 min. Uninfected Vero cells grown to about 70% confluence in 35-mm tissue culture dishes, and Vero cells previously infected with OH-IBDV at a multiplicity of infection of 1.0 for 8 h, were washed two times with prewarmed OptiMEM and incubated with the appropriate plasmid DNA-Lipofectin-OptiMEM mixture in triplicates at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ in-air-atmosphere. After 6 h of incubation, the transfection mixture was replaced with 2 ml of EMEM growth medium.

**LUC assays**

Cells were lysed after 48 h by incubating with 175 µl of Cell Lysis reagent (Promega) for 10 min at room temperature. The LUC assays were carried out at room temperature by mixing 20 µl of clarified cell lysate with 100 µl of Luciferase Assay reagent (Promega) and monitoring the reaction in a scintillation counter (Packard) for 30 sec according to the manufacturers’ protocols. For each experiment, serial dilutions of standard luciferase (Sigma) were carried out to confirm the linearity of the assay. Experimental controls included the pGL3-Control, -Promoter, and -Enhancer vector DNA transfected, mock transfected, uninfected and IBDV-infected Vero cell lysates. The plasmid construct yielding the maximum LUC activity level in an experiment was considered to have 100% activity, and all other constructs in the experimental group were represented arbitrarily relative to the construct with maximum activity.

**Results**

**Localization of promoter strength in the 5' noncoding region of IBDV segment A**

Transfections of Vero cells with a series of promoter-less pGL3-Enhancer vector constructs containing a LUC reporter gene encompassing progressively deleted lengths of the 5' noncoding sequences of segment A (Fig. 1B) were used to map promoter sequences in the IBDV genome. Vero cells transfected with the recombinant pEA131 which contained the full-length 5' noncoding sequence had 7.5% LUC activity relative to that of the pGL3-Control vector whereas Vero cells transfected with constructs with the first 32, 66, and 86 bp deleted [pEAΔ32, pEAΔ66, and pEAΔ86, respectively (Fig. 1B)], had negligible LUC activity (Fig. 2A). These results suggested that the terminal 32 nucleotides at the 5' end of genome segment A may contain viral regulatory sequences.

To further determine the regulatory activity of the 32-bp fragment of IBDV genome segment A, a construct containing only the first 32 bp (pEds-oligo32) was prepared in a promoter-less pGL3 vector (Fig. 1B) and transfected in Vero cells. The LUC activity of pEds-oligo 32 was 54.8% relative to that of the pGL3-Control vector, and represented a 7.8%-fold increase over that of the pEA131 construct containing the full-length 5' noncoding region. Figure 2B shows the LUC activity of constructs pEA131, pEAΔ32, pEAΔ66, and the pGL3-Control vector relative to pEds-oligo32. These data indicate the presence of a strong promoter element in the first 32 bp of the 5' terminal noncoding region of IBDV segment A.
Analysis of the 32-bp 5' terminal sequence of IBDV segment A for enhancer activity

To rule out enhancer activities in this region, we also made enhancer-less pGL3 constructs containing the full-length noncoding region (pPA131), the first 32 bp of the 5' end of segment A (pPds-oligo32) and the 5' noncoding region with the first 32 bp deleted (pPAΔ32) (Fig. 1C). These constructs were transfected in Vero cells in parallel with the pGL3-Control vector. As shown in Fig. 3, pPds-oligo32 had no LUC activity and therefore no enhancer activity whereas pPA131 and pPAΔ32 exhibited 27% and 8.2% LUC activity, respectively, compared to the pGL3-Control vector.
The first 32-bp 5′-terminal sequence of segment A is a major IBDV promoter region

To demonstrate the biological importance of this 32-bp 5′-terminal sequence for IBDV genome replication and expression, transfection assays were carried out in IBDV-infected and uninfected Vero cells with a different set of pGL3-promoter vector constructs that were engineered to contain either the plus-sense or the minus-sense full-length noncoding region (pUPS131, pUMS131) or with the first 32 bp deleted (pUPSΔ32, pUMSΔ32) or containing only the first 32 bp of the 5′ noncoding region (pUPS32, pUMS32) downstream of the SV40 promoter (Fig. 1D). These constructs, upon transfection in IBDV-infected Vero cells, allowed the generation of endogenous plus-sense LUC RNAs by cellular RNA polymerase from the SV40 promoter. This RNA contained immediately upstream of the LUC initiation codon the minus-sense or the plus-sense respective viral RNAs. Figure 4 shows nearly 3 and 7-fold up-regulated LUC expression levels by the minus-sense full-length (pUMS131) and terminal 32-bp fragment (pUMS32) constructs, respectively, over those of the corresponding constructs with plus-sense orientation (pUPS131 and pUPS32). Such amplified expression levels exhibited by pUMS131 and pUMS32 constructs were in marked contrast to those of the two constructs where the terminal 32-bp fragment was deleted (pUPSΔ32 and pUMSΔ32) which showed no increase in

Fig. 3. Enhancer strength of the 5′ noncoding region of segment A of IBDV. The luciferase activity levels of pGL3-Promoter constructs pPA131, pPAΔ32, and pPds-oligo32 are represented here arbitrarily relative to that of pGL3-Control vector.
LUC expression in IBDV-infected cells. These data showed that IBDV replicative proteins in the IBDV-infected Vero cells recognized the regulatory regions in the 5′ noncoding region resulting in modulation of the LUC expression. In uninfected cells, slightly increased LUC activity was detected only with constructs containing the full-length noncoding region (pUPS131 and pUMS131) and the noncoding region with the 32-bp fragment deleted (pUPSΔ32 and pUMSΔ32), which was attributed to the presence of enhancer-like sequences in the noncoding region. Constructs containing only the terminal 32-bp fragment (pUPS32 and pUMS32) showed no such increase in LUC activity when transfected in uninfected cells, in agreement with data from the transfection experiments with the pPds-oligo32 construct (Fig. 3). The transfected pGL3-Promoter (pGL3-P) vector in Fig. 4 shows slightly higher LUC activity over some of the other constructs used in infected and uninfected cells because the viral cDNA inserts were ligated at the Nco I site immediately upstream of the LUC initiation codon instead of the polylinker site as in pGL3-Promoter GeneLight vector (Promega). This resulted in relatively lower translation efficiency for all the modified constructs (Fig. 1D), due to displacement of the Kozak consensus sequence 5′ of the LUC gene of pGL3-Promoter GeneLight vector (Promega).

Discussion

The 5′ terminal sequence of the plus strand RNA in both genome segments consists of a 32-base consensus sequence that is identical in all six IBDV strains examined to date whereas the 3′ end is variable except for the last 5 bases which are similar [18]. Transcription of the birnavirus genome is by a semiconservative strand displacement mechanism, with only the plus strand RNAs of the two genome segments synthesized in vitro [6]. Plus-sense RNA transcripts synthesized in vivo are either sequestered by ribosomes for translation, or are packaged into empty procapsids, where they serve as templates for minus strand synthesis similar to what has been reported for other dsRNA viruses [10, 27]. We present here for the first time, data that demonstrates that the initial 32-bp stretch of sequence at the 5′ end of genome segment A (precursor polyprotein ORF positions −131 to −100) is a major promoter element of IBDV.

In the first set of transfection experiments in uninfected Vero cells, with progressively deleted constructs of the 5′ noncoding region of segment A, only the full-length construct (pEA131) and the construct with the first 32-bp fragment (pEds-oligo32) had significant LUC activity when examined for promoter strength. The full-length construct (pPA131) and the construct of the noncoding region with the terminal 32-bp fragment deleted (pPAΔ32), but not the construct with the terminal 32-bp fragment (pPds-oligo32), also showed LUC activity when tested for enhancer activity (Fig. 3). The promoter and enhancer activities of the these constructs in uninfected Vero cells were unexpected as there is no report of sequence identity between IBDV VP1 and DNA-dependent RNA polymerases. However, since Vero cells are permissive to
IBDV replication, it is possible that the viral cDNA inserts in the constructs were recognized by cellular transcription factors thereby modulating LUC expression in uninfected Vero cells.

To further determine whether the apparent promoter activity of the terminal 32-bp fragment could be recognized by IBDV replicative proteins, selected regions of IBDV segment A terminal RNA sequences were generated endogenously in vivo. For this, transiently transfected modified pGL3-Promoter constructs were made in which in vivo transcription initiated by cellular RNA polymerase II recognizing the SV40 promoter positioned upstream of the viral terminal cDNA sequences that were flanked downstream by the luciferase coding region (Fig. 1D) would generate recombinant viral-LUC RNAs when transfected in Vero cells. This strategy is analogous to that previously used in the mutational analysis of influenza virus promoter elements in vivo [26], where cellular RNA polymerase I was used for in vivo transcription of transfected constructs containing recombinant viral terminal cDNA sequences with CAT reporter gene. As shown in Fig. 4, virtually all the up-regulated LUC activity in infected cells could be attributed to the endogenous generation of viral minus-sense RNA of the terminal 32 bp upstream of the LUC AUG codon resulting from the transcription by cellular RNA polymerase II recognizing the SV40

![Fig. 4. Comparison of the promoter strength of the 5' noncoding region of segment A of IBDV in virus-infected and uninfected Vero cells. The values represent the results of at least three independent experiments in which the constructs pUMS32, pUPS32, pUMS131, pUPS131, pUMSΔ32 and pUPSΔ32 were tested in parallel with that of pGL3-Promoter vector. The values of the constructs in A are represented arbitrarily relative to that of pUMS32 and those in B, relative to that of pUMSΔ32. The standard error of the mean was less than 11% in all cases](image-url)
promoter in constructs pUMS32 and pUMS131 (Fig. 1D). Like other viral RNAs with specific cis-acting signals that serve to promote specific viral RNA synthesis [28], the presence of the conserved 32-nucleotide stretch recognized by IBDV replicative proteins would preclude cellular mRNA from entering into the RNA replication of the virus.

Figure 5 depicts the possible sequence of transcription events in the up-regulation of LUC expression in IBDV-infected Vero cells. Upon transfection, plasmid DNA constructs were transcribed by cellular RNA polymerase II recognizing the SV40 promoter. The resulting transcripts were either translated into LUC or served as templates for transcription by the viral RNA polymerase provided in trans in IBDV-infected Vero cells. Since transfections with pUMS32 and pUMS131 constructs alone resulted in up-regulated LUC expression (Fig. 4), only the cellular RNA polymerase II transcripts containing

| Plus-sense orientation | Minus-sense orientation |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Plasmid DNA            |                         |
| SV40-P                 |                         |
| 5'-IBDV-NC             |                         |
| LUC                    |                         |
|                         |                         |
| Recombinant RNA        |                         |
| 5'-IBDV-NC (+)         |                         |
| LUC                    |                         |
|                         |                         |
| Translation             |                         |
| LUC                    |                         |
| (detected by LUC assay) |                         |
|                         |                         |
| Viral RNA polymerase   |                         |
| (IBDV replicative proteins) |                 |
| 5'-IBDV-NC (-)         |                         |
| LUC                    |                         |
|                         |                         |
|                         |                         |
| Translation             |                         |
| LUC                    |                         |
| (detected by LUC assay) |                         |

**Fig. 5.** Schematic diagram of the in vivo transcription of the recombinant viral-LUC DNA constructs. The plasmid DNA with 5'(+)-IBDV-NC represents constructs pUPS131 and pUPS32 with the plus-sense orientation 5' → 3' of the noncoding region of segment A of IBDV downstream of the SV40 promoter. The plasmid DNA with 5'(-)-IBDV-NC represents constructs pUMS131 and pUMS32 with the minus-sense orientation 5' → 3' of the noncoding region of segment A of IBDV downstream of the SV40 promoter. For the recombinant RNA, 5'(+)-IBDV-NC and 5'(-)-IBDV-NC denote the plus strand RNA and the minus strand RNA, respectively; the 5' → 3' or 3' → 5' direction is also indicated below each RNA strand.
the 5′-terminal viral RNA sequence in the minus-sense orientation were initially recognized by the viral RNA polymerase. It also follows that the viral RNA polymerase was able to utilize ssRNA as template for RNA synthesis, and that upon recognition of the promoter sequence in the terminal viral RNA, transcription could proceed in either direction. Probably 5′–3′ interactions of viral RNA strands are important in determining the direction of transcription. Because of amplification in LUC expression in pUMS32 and pUMS131, the LUC transcripts used for translation must have been at least the second generation transcripts of the viral RNA polymerase. This implies that the viral RNA polymerase was also able to use its own plus-sense transcripts as templates for transcription. Since the plus-sense transcripts of cellular RNA polymerase II (in 5′–3′ orientation) were apparently not used as templates for transcription, it is possible that the plus-sense transcripts of the viral RNA polymerase (in 3′–5′ orientation) were somehow modified. It is not known whether the difference in orientation of the plus-sense transcripts was sufficient to allow the viral RNA polymerase to utilize its own plus-sense transcripts as templates for RNA synthesis. However, the results clearly indicate that while the viral terminal sequences of both negative and positive polarities served as templates for transcription by the viral RNA polymerase, the plus-sense templates were transcribed only if they were transcription products of the viral RNA polymerase (Fig. 5). This would account for the differences in LUC expression in IBDV-infected cells transfected with constructs in minus-sense and plus-sense orientations (Fig. 4).

It is possible that the regions analyzed for regulatory functions in the present study might have exerted differential translation efficiencies on the in vivo generated recombinant viral-LUC RNA transcripts. However, the absence of higher levels of expression in the constructs lacking the viral terminal 32-bp fragment (pUPSΔ32 and pUMSΔ32) in transfected infected cells in contrast to the strong stimulation by constructs with the 32-bp fragment (pUMS32 and pUMS131) (Fig. 4) indicates that such differential translation efficiencies were not a factor in the up-regulation of LUC expression, and that IBDV-specific amplification indeed took place rendering endogenously generated terminal viral RNA sequences from these constructs biologically active. Moreover, the LUC assays of the uninfected cells transfected with the same pGL3-Promoter recombinant constructs (Fig. 4) when run in close parallel with those of the initial transfections (Fig. 3) ruled out any enhancer activity in the viral terminal 32-bp fragment. Additional evidence consistent with our interpretation that the 5′-terminal 32-nucleotide stretch of genome segment A contains a major promoter region of IBDV are: (i) this minimal region is highly conserved between the two genome segments [18], suggesting it has functional significance common to the two segments; (ii) this region had maximal promoter strength with no detectable enhancer activity, of the five constructs containing viral noncoding sequences transfected in uninfected cells, and the six promoter vector constructs transfected in IBDV-infected and uninfected cells; and (iii) this sequence at the 3′ end of the minus strands for both genome
segments A and B has considerable sequence identity with sequences at the alphavirus initiation site for subgenomic RNA synthesis [20], the polymerase recognition core of rotavirus gene 8 mRNA [28] and the unique consensus intergenic sequences of mouse hepatitis virus [21, 32] (Fig. 6), which have been suggested to play an essential role in transcription. Any nucleotide differences between IBDV segments A and B within the 32-bp conserved region (Fig. 6) may represent positions of lesser importance of recognition by the viral RNA polymerase. By the same token, sequence differences between viruses in the promoter sequences aligned in Fig. 6 may be a reflection of differences in specificities of the various viral RNA polymerases.

The mode of IBDV genome expression is similar to that of dsRNA bacteriophage φ6 but different from that of other animal dsRNA viruses such as rotavirus and reovirus. Birnaviruses [6] and bacteriophage φ6 [9, 35, 36] show a semiconservative strand displacement transcription mechanism in contrast to the conservative mechanism exhibited by rotavirus [11, 27] and reovirus [2, 19]. Birnaviruses are also unique among dsRNA viruses studied so far in having a VPg attached to the 5' end of both genome segments, circularizing them [24]. Furthermore, they are the only dsRNA viruses which show in vitro viral protein-

**Fig. 6.** Alignment of the 32-nucleotide stretch at the 3' end of the minus strands of genome segments A and B of IBDV with minus strand sequences at initiation sites of some alphaviruses [19]; **RRV** Ross river virus; **SIN** Sindbis virus; **SFV** Semliki forest virus; **MBV** Middleburg virus; **WEE** Western equine encephalitis virus; **EEE** Eastern equine encephalitis virus; polymerase recognition core in rotavirus gene 8 mRNA [28]; and consensus intergenic sequences of mouse hepatitis virus (MHV) [32]. Gaps are introduced to align the sequences. Colons denote sequence identity between viruses and between genome segments A and B of IBDV.
primed RNA synthesis [6]. The regulatory region for genome expression identified on the viral 5'-terminal noncoding region in the present study is likely to play a major role in the virus replication cycle. However, our results do not rule out the possibility that other regulatory sequences could be located at the 3'-terminus of the genome segments as well. The availability of an in vivo assay for viral regulatory regions provides a tool with which to further study these (and other) interactions in birnavirus replication.
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