Designing interphases for practical aqueous zinc flow batteries with high power density and high areal capacity
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Aqueous zinc flow batteries (AZFBs) with high power density and high areal capacity are attractive, both in terms of cost and safety. A number of fundamental challenges associated with out-of-plane growth and undesirable side reactions on the anode side, as well as sluggish reaction kinetics and active material loss on the cathode side, limit practical deployment of these batteries. We investigated artificial interphases created using a simple electrospray methodology as a strategy for addressing each of these challenges. The effectiveness of the electrospray interphases in full cell zinc-iodine flow batteries was evaluated and reported; it is possible to simultaneously achieve high power density [115 milliwatts per square centimeter (mW/cm²)] and high areal capacity [25 milliamperes hour per square centimeter (mA-hr/cm²)]. Last, we extended it to aqueous zinc-bromine and zinc-vanadium flow batteries of contemporary interest. It is again found that high power density (255 and 260 mW/cm², respectively) and high areal capacity (20 mA-hr/cm²) can be simultaneously achieved in AZFBs.

INTRODUCTION

Energy storage technologies, such as lithium (Li) batteries (1), fuel cells (2), and flow batteries (3), have attracted substantial research and public attention recently. While some of this attention reflects the emergence of electrical energy storage (EES) as an enabling technology in multiple sectors, a substantial component stems from the still largely unmet market demand for EES technologies that can achieve the scale and cost characteristics required under the emergent “electrify everything” scenario demanded to meaningfully lower humanity’s rising greenhouse gas emissions. During the past 20 years, Li-ion batteries (LIBs) have emerged as a promising, even market-dominant technology platform because of their versatility and relative maturity of knowledge concerning both the enabling chemistry-, cell-, manufacturing-, and system-level aspects (4). Intrinsic challenges ranging from the flammability of the most promising organic electrolytes, limited energy density, and relatively high cost per kilowatt-hour of stored energy have motivated a large volume of application-focused and fundamental research and development. A number of fundamental challenges associated with out-of-plane growth and undesirable side reactions on the anode side, as well as sluggish reaction kinetics and active material loss on the cathode side, limit practical deployment of these batteries. We investigated artificial interphases created using a simple electrospray methodology as a strategy for addressing each of these challenges. The effectiveness of the electrospray interphases in full cell zinc-iodine flow batteries was evaluated and reported; it is possible to simultaneously achieve high power density [115 milliwatts per square centimeter (mW/cm²)] and high areal capacity [25 milliamperes hour per square centimeter (mA-hr/cm²)]. Last, we extended it to aqueous zinc-bromine and zinc-vanadium flow batteries of contemporary interest. It is again found that high power density (255 and 260 mW/cm², respectively) and high areal capacity (20 mA-hr/cm²) can be simultaneously achieved in AZFBs.
(ii) preventing mossy/dendritic Zn growth during recharge; (iii) minimizing parasitic redox reactions and side products, which can passivate the electrodes; and (iv) limiting/eliminating gas evolution produced by water splitting (Fig. 1) (20–22). For instance, to achieve high power density, a high average discharge voltage must be maintained under high current density. Fundamentally, high average discharge voltage means that interfacial diffusion kinetics and reaction kinetics need to be improved to stabilize the interfacial ion concentration gradient, and high current density means that serious side reactions and unregulated reaction rate need to be suppressed. In addition to that, high areal capacity also introduces dendrite problems for zinc sides (23, 24) and "ion crossover" problems for cathode side (25). Hence, to improve the overall performance of AZFBs with high power density and areal capacity, several problems posed by the high current density and high areal capacity need to be considered. First, as Fig. 1 shows, for zinc electrodeposition at the anode side, strong interfacial reaction rate resulting from high current density drives the fast growth rate of Zn dendrite, which readily shortcircuits the battery or leads to the formation of "orphaned zinc" and poor reversibility (26). In addition, because of the close reduction potential between zinc ions and hydrogen ions (27), hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) is aggravated under high current density, which results in (i) the low coulombic efficiency (CE) of reversible Zn electrodeposition and (ii) formation of side product ZnO, the non-conductive nature of which has a negative influence on the electrodeposition morphology and efficiency. Next, for cathode side, the slow reaction kinetics and weak adsorption ability stress the importance of interfacial engineering to accommodate the superfast reaction rate (28). Otherwise, the serious ion crossover either covers the whole Nafion membrane, highly decreasing the ion conductivity, or mixes with the anode electrolyte leading to the failure of the battery. As a final point, the ionic conductivity of the membrane has a rather large effect on the energy efficiency of the battery cell (15, 29). With high ion conductivity, the mass transport potential decreases, and the energy efficiency increases.

With the specific aim of innovating practical, cost-effective AZFB systems that offer high power, high energy, and long life, inexpensive materials are preferred (9). On this basis, effective and low-cost materials will be the first choice for our validation. Second, for the zinc electrodeposition process, interfacial metal-substrate bonding has been reported to be effective in our previous works (30), especially under high current density and areal capacity. For example, epitaxy growth of Zn crystals has high reversibility by aligned graphene layers (23), which can be selected to construct the interfacial metal-substrate bonding to stabilize the zinc electrodeposition. Third, to stress the importance of the interfacial reaction and adsorption process at the cathode side, inexpensive materials such as activated carbon (AC) [0.111 USD/kg; Silicon Products (P) Associates] (31) with high surface area are chosen here to improve the interfacial properties. With strong adsorption ability of AC, both reactant and products can be fixed at the cathode surface, which is beneficial for interfacial kinetics. Significantly, for three-dimensional (3D) electrode structure of the flow battery electrodes, unlike 2D electrode, conformal and controllable coating process is much harder to achieve. Taking aligned graphene as an example, graphene layers can be aligned using doctor blades for 2D electrodes, which is impossible for 3D electrodes. Although chemical vapor deposition (32) and atomic layer deposition (33) are possible choices for 3D electrode interfacial engineering, the high cost of these processes hinders the application in AZFBs. Considering large-scale application and expense, electrospray (34), which is a mature industrial technology, is singled out as a good candidate for 3D electrode interfacial engineering work. We have added high-speed air through the sheath layer of the coaxial nozzle system of our air-controlled electrospray to synergistically combine
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**Fig. 1. Fundamental barriers for practical AZFBs.** Schematic illustrating the fundamental barriers to fast-charging practical AZFBs, which are addressed in the present study.
high-speed air and high electric force as driving forces, which has shown to be very effective in controlling the assembly of 2D materials such as graphene in various battery electrode applications (35, 36). Relying on the strong electrostatic force and high-speed air flow, the graphene layer can be aligned, and the interfacial coating process for both anode and cathode sides is more controllable. On the basis of all these requirements and chosen materials, we first choose an aqueous Zn-iodine flow battery as an example to prove our designing principles and interfacial engineering works and then extend this interfacial engineering work to other AZFBs, such as Zn-bromine and Zn-vanadium batteries. Notably, these interfacial engineering processes are general to most AZFB systems and can achieve high power density (115 mW/cm² for Zn-iodine flow batteries, 255 mW/cm² for Zn-bromine flow batteries, and 260 mW/cm² for Zn-vanadium flow batteries), areal capacity, and long cycling performance for all validation models (Fig. 2) (16, 25, 28, 37–41). Our interfacial engineering works, therefore, provide an exciting pathway toward low-cost and large-scale storage of electrical energy.

RESULTS

Creating aligned graphene by electrospray

Nonuniform zinc electrodeposition leads to the formation of mossy/dendritic deposition, ultimately leading to battery failure by a number of processes, including shorting, orphaned zinc, and electrode passivation due to the formation of insulating side products (ZnO), especially at high current density (9, 39). To overcome these problems, interfacial metal-substrate bonding, such as Zn-graphene bonding, which can provide a high chemical potential for the zinc nucleation process is a potential method (30). Here, strong interfacial Zn 2+ reduction process can be regulated by the zinc crystal epitaxy growth process enabled by well-aligned graphene layers (Fig. 3A), in which epitaxy growth can provide high nucleation chemical potential. Aligning graphene layers conformally on the surface of a 3D electrode, such as carbon felt (CF), is generally a difficult process, especially when the goal is to achieve controllable, aligned graphene layers. Hence, we used electrostatic force to align the graphene layers (Fig. 3A), and by doing this, the amount of graphene can be determined by controlling the electrospraying time and flow rate, meaning that thin aligned graphene layers can be achieved. Compared with the doctor-blade shear graphene (DG) coating process, electrospray graphene (EG) can be better controlled in terms of orientation and amount. As x-ray diffraction (XRD) (Fig. 3B) shows, thin aligned EG layers only show the (002) plane, which is different from the DG layers that show the small intensity of the (101) plane and (004) plane, indicating the better-aligned effect by electrostatic force. The full width at half maximum (FWHM) decreases with EG (FWHM, 0.24), further proving the better-aligned crystalline states compared with DG (FWHM, 0.45) (42). The shift of (002) plane should result from the strong electrostatic force applied to the graphene layer. The Raman spectrum (Fig. 3C) can further prove this result: Band D shows the degree of defects of the graphene layers, meaning that the higher intensity the band D has, the more defects the graphene layers have (43, 44). As Fig. 3C depicts, the ratio between bands D and G decreases, indicating that the graphene layers are better aligned (parallel to the substrate) with fewer defects by electrostatic force. The morphology of the DG layers in the large-scale CF (DG@CF) shows that DG only covers the surface of the CF, which means that it does not immerse into the 3D carbon fiber structure (fig. S1). Furthermore, the porous structure of the 3D CF, which provides space for the flowing electrolyte, is blocked by the DG (fig. S1). In stark contrast, the morphology of the EG layers in CF (EG@CF) is smooth and uniform at each 3D carbon fiber (fig. S1). Moreover, the porous structure of 3D CF is not affected by the smooth thin EG layers. Thus, it is concluded that the 3D aligned graphene coating layers can be achieved by the electrospray process.

Significantly, better-aligned graphene by electrostatic force can not only regulate the zinc electrodeposition but also lower the HER catalytic activity, which may result from less edge exposure since the edge is generally regarded as catalytic sites (45–47). As illustrated in Fig. 3D, the HER current density of the EG@CF is much lower than that of blank CF and DG@CF, which are more disordered. Suppressing HER is of great importance to the zinc electrodeposition process because: (i) HER has a negative influence on the overall efficiency of the Zn electrodeposition process since the reduction of hydrogen ions is ineffective and (ii) because of the hydrogen ion reactions—the left OH − reacted with the Zn deposits, resulting in the formation of nonconductive ZnO layers, which, on one aspect, affects the interfacial ion transportation and, on the other aspect, leads to the coarse Zn electrodeposition morphology and, quickly, to the failure of the whole battery. In the blank CF surface, high-intensity signals of ZnO peaks were observed after 20 cycles (Fig. 3E), proving that side reactions (HER) lead to the generation of a large number of side products. Because of the high ratio of ZnO after cycling (fig. S2), the morphology of Zn electrodeposition is coarse and porous (fig. S3) and covers the whole surface of the CF. In stark contrast, the ratio between Zn deposits and ZnO layers after 20 cycles remains low (Fig. 3E and fig. S2) in the EG@CF surface, which is consistent with the HER current results.

On the other hand, to prove the interfacial Zn−C (graphene) bonding at the EG@CF surface, x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed. As shown in fig. S4, no C−Zn peak was detected on the surface of Zn deposits (1 mA-hour/cm²) in the EG@CF, meaning that the interfacial metal-substrate bond was not exposed, while a clear C−Zn peak appeared after sputtering the Zn deposits. The C−Zn peak is located at 283.374 eV, which is consistent with the previous report (48). The results show that the interfacial C−Zn bond
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**Fig. 2. Power density and areal capacity achieved in this work.** Comparison of the power density and areal capacity achieved in various AZFB systems reported in this work, compared to the respective state-of-the-art performance reported in contemporary literature studies.
indeed exists as we expect and may have good control over the reversible Zn electrodeposition processes. Plating/stripping CE of Zn deposits can further prove the good reversibility of 3D EG@CF substrate under high current density. As Fig. 3F shows, compared with the CE and cycling life of the blank CF (98%, 150 cycles) and DG@CF (98.5%, 120 cycles), the average CE of EG@CF reaches 99.5% and can stably cycle over 300 cycles. The plot of voltage-areal capacity shows the stability of the Zn electrodeposition using the EG@CF (fig. S5). Moreover, unlike blank CF, which shows coarse, dendritic Zn electrodeposition morphology at high current density (fig. S3), Zn deposit morphologies in the EG@CF are uniform at different cycles (10th, 20th, 30th, and 50th) as shown in fig. S6. Even at the large-scale CF (35 cm²) (Fig. 3G), unlike coarse and nonuniform Zn deposits in the CF surface, Zn deposits in the EG@CF are uniform and smooth over the whole surface, which benefits from the introduction of interfacial metal-substrate bonds. Furthermore, we found that the thickness of the EG layers should be around ~1 μm to avoid all the problems mentioned above (e.g., blocking pores and exposing edge). Increasing the thickness of EG layers leads to the low CE for full Zn–iodine flow batteries (fig. S7). Together, we can conclude that most of the problems including side reactions and dendrite can be solved and high stability Zn anode in flow battery can be achieved at the better-aligned graphene interphase (EG@CF).

Adsorption process at cathode side

The reaction at the cathode is generally regarded as the limiting step that determines the overall performance of a flow battery when operated at a high current density (40). Here, we first choose I⁻/I₃⁻ iodine cathode as a validation example to explore the problems at a high current density and design a suitable cathode interface. Then, these general interface–designing principles are extended to all AZFBs, all of which can achieve high power density, high areal capacity, and good stability. For iodine cathode, as illustrated in Fig. 4A, the cathode side with blank CF can only work within a few cycles at a current density of 80 mA/cm² or cannot work at 100 mA/cm². To diagnose the underlying problems of the iodine reaction process, we first cycled...
the flow battery at 80 mA/cm² for a few cycles and then opened the flow battery. As shown in fig. S8A, the voltage polarization gradually increased during the charge and discharge processes in the first few cycles, which means that the resistance kept increasing during the cycling. Unexpectedly, solid iodine was found to cover the whole Nafion surface (Fig. 4B), which may result in the quick failure of the whole battery. To further evaluate this assumption, we removed a slice of the solid iodine on the membrane surface and reran the full...
battery cell. It was observed that cells run normally at low current density (fig. S8B), and postmortem analysis indicated that the electrode reactions occur dominantly at the sections of electrode with the iodine layer removed, proving our assumption that the accumulation of solid iodine led to the premature battery failure (fig. S9). Because of the non-ion-conductive nature of the solid iodine covering, the battery would be disconnected after the Nafion membrane was completely covered. This kind of membrane cover results from the fast interfacial reaction rate at the cathode electrode under high current density, but the accumulated products are hard to be adsorbed at the electrode surface and have the propensity to be absorbed into the Nafion surface. Last, the Nafion membrane is totally covered by the product. In summary, on the basis of all results, for the I⁻/I₃⁻ reaction process at high current density, the interfacial reaction should include two steps: step 1, 3I⁻ + 2e⁻ → I₃⁻; step 2, I₃⁻ → solid iodine. I₃⁻ mainly comes from step 1. If the generated I₃⁻ cannot be fixed at the cathode surface, as the electrolyte concentration of I₃⁻ increases, then the I₃⁻ will deposit at the preferred surface, such as the Nafion membrane. On the other hand, if I₃⁻ can be fixed at the cathode surface, as the interfacial concentration of I₃⁻ increases, then I₃⁻ will deposit at the cathode surface as solid iodine (fig. S9). Hence, for the cathode side, interfacial adsorption, for both reactant and product, is the key point, especially for high current density.

To investigate the interfacial adsorption problems, AC with high surface area is used. For this purpose, an electrospray approach was used to create a smooth AC coating at a 3D CF cathode surface (AC@CF). Likewise, we noted that a coarse coating by the doctor-blade process would plug the holes of the 3D electrode, further affecting the flowing efficiency (fig. S1). First, we found that the AC@CF electrode can work at high current densities of 80 and 100 mA/cm², meaning that the solid iodine problem may be solved. After 10 cycles, we opened the flow battery and found that there is no solid iodine on the Nafion membrane surface (fig. 4C). To further see the influence of AC on the interfacial reaction process, cyclic voltammetry (CV) was performed. As shown in Fig. 4 (D and E), compared with the blank CF, the electrode capacity, which is related with the surface area at each scanning cycle, highly increases, meaning that the increased surface area can provide more adsorption and reaction sites for the iodine oxidation/reduction process. The diffusion coefficient, which is extracted from different scan rates, is higher at the AC@CF surface than that of blank CF surface (Fig. 4, F and G), which should also benefit from the strong interfacial adsorption of the reactants and products. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) experiments at different cycles can also prove the stability of improved electrode (EG@CF and AC@CF) (fig. S10). Until here, by analyzing the problems of both anode and cathode sides, our interfacial engineering works are constructed. On the basis of these interfacial surface designs, we can solve the problems mentioned above for anode and cathode sides, respectively. To further prove the effectiveness of these designing principles, the overall performance of the whole flow battery system and different kinds of flow batteries needs to be verified.

Aqueous zinc flow batteries

Figures 5 and 6 report the main results of the present study. Figure 5A shows the good rate capability of the Zn-iodine flow battery with aligned graphene (EG@CF) and AC coating (AC@CF). Significantly, the discharge voltage can maintain high even at a high current density of 100 mA/cm² (fig. S11), meaning that high power density is possible.

![Fig. 5. Electrochemical performance of aqueous Zn-iodine flow batteries. (A) Capacity versus cycle number at different rates for Zn-iodine low batteries with blank CF and EG@CF + AC@CF. (B) Average discharge voltage and power density at different current densities were obtained using EG@CF + AC@CF electrodes. Cycling performance of EG@CF + AC@CF electrodes at (C) 80 mA/cm², 5.3 mA-hour/cm² and (D) 100 mA/cm², 25 mA-hour/cm². (E) The voltage-time curve of (D). (F) The voltage-capacity curve of (D).](image-url)
here. As Fig. 5B shows, the highest power density of 115 mW/cm² for a Zn-iodine flow battery is achieved through interfacial designing. On the other hand, high areal capacity and cycling stability are other essential considerations for practical application. Significantly, at a current density of 80 mA/cm², the cycle time with the areal capacity of 5.3 mA-hour/cm² is over 1200 cycles (Fig. 5C). In stark contrast to the systems of blank CF electrodes and DG@CF (fig. S12), the Zn-iodine flow battery with the interphase of better-aligned EG@CF and AC@CF shows good stability (over 120 cycles) and high CE (99.2%) at a current density of 100 mA/cm² and an areal capacity of 25 mA-hour/cm² (Fig. 5D and fig. S13A). The voltage and current density profile confirm the stability of the battery cycling (Fig. 5, E and F, and fig. S13B). The energy density of the improved Zn-iodine flow battery system can reach 200 Wh/L catholyte (fig. S14). Together, high-performance Zn-iodine batteries with high power density, high areal capacity, and good cycling stability were achieved by interfacial designing.

To further illustrate the general utility of the interfacial designing principles, zinc-bromine and Zn-vanadium batteries were further used here. For the latter battery system, considering the high cost of all vanadium batteries (V₂O₅, 21.38 USD/kg), the replacement of Zn will modestly lower the cost of the whole battery system (9, 37, 49). Notably, the results reported here for Zn-vanadium batteries are meant to be illustrative—more work is required to improve this system for practical application. For example, considering the acidic environment on the vanadium side of the cell, the proton crossover problem might be addressed via a buffering chamber. By analogy to the design of the zinc-iodine battery system, high discharge voltages can also be maintained under high current density (fig. S15). Therefore, high power density can be achieved at both zinc-bromine (255 mW/cm²) (Fig. 6A) and Zn-vanadium (260 mW/cm²) (Fig. 6D) batteries with the designed interface, respectively. Compared with the blank CF electrode (fig. S16), good cycling stability (Fig. 6, B and E) and high areal capacity (Fig. 6, C and F) were also confirmed at these extended battery systems with better-aligned graphene layers + AC (EG@CF and AC@CF). The energy densities for zinc-bromine and Zn-vanadium battery are 282 and 56 Wh/L catholyte, respectively (fig. S14). Since we used single-side flow batteries here, which only flow the anolyte, the high discharge of depth was achieved in all AZFB systems (fig. S17). Hence, we concluded that by rationally designing the interphase at the 3D electrode surface, high-performance AZFBs can be designed and meet the requirements of practical applications, which pave the way for the further development of AZFBs.

**DISCUSSION**

In summary, we reported the designing principles for high-performance AZFBs in terms of anodes and cathodes. For anode sides, introducing metal-substrate bonding can regulate the Zn electrodeposition morphology and suppress side reactions. For cathode sides, increasing the interfacial adsorption ability is the key point that influences the reaction and cycling process. Electrospray, which is a mature industrial technology, can accommodate the designing principles for 3D electrodes used in flow batteries. Electrostatic force can not only create better-aligned graphene interphase but also control the coating amount and uniform morphology. However, electrode interface
designing can only regulate the interfacial properties, which means that the ion movement in the electrolyte still limits the performance of the flow battery at high current densities, such as energy efficiency. Membrane designing with high ion conductivity is another important point, which has been stressed in some recent works (15, 29).

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Materials
Zn foil (99.97%), ZnBr₂, ZnI₂, KI, KCl, VOSO₄, H₂SO₄, graphene, and AC were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Carbon black Super-P was purchased from TIMCAL. Deionized (DI) water was obtained from Milli-Q water purification system. The resistivity of the DI water was 18.2 megohm-cm at room temperature. Free-standing interwoven carbon fibers (plain carbon cloth 1071 HCB) and CF were purchased from the Fuel Cell Store. The Nafion 115 was purchased from Dupont.

Preparation of electrolytes
For electrodeposition and battery performance characterization, ZnBr₂ and ZnI₂ were dissolved in DI water to prepare 3 M electrolyte.

Preparation of electrospray ink
The anode conductive ink was graphene-dissolved in DI water, and the cathode ink consisted of four primary components—AC, carbon black Super-P (TIMCAL), 20 weight % (wt %) of Nafion dispersion (Ion Power), and DI water. The Nafion dispersion acted as a binder and a good surfactant to mix the carbon in water. It was found that the best anode ink composition was 4 wt % of graphene and 96 wt % of black Super-P (TIMCAL), 20 weight % (wt %) of Nafion dispersion, and 88 wt % of DI water. The ink was then ultrasonicated for 60 min before use to remain well dispersed.

Electrospray processing condition
The ink solution was then switched to different 5-ml syringes with a needle (tube gauge, 10; shell gauge, 17). Ten pounds per square inch gauge of air was applied through the shell of the needle, while the pump (Harvard Apparatus) pushed the syringes to spray the solution out. The current collector we used was 5-mm by 7-mm CF an 800-m-diameter analysis spot. Photoelectrons were collected at a 55° emission angle with source to analyzer angle of 70°. A hemispherical analyzer determined electron kinetic energy, using a pass energy of 150 eV for wide/survey scans and 50 eV for high-resolution scans. Powder XRD was performed on Bruker D8 Advance ECO powder diffractometer with a Cu Kα x-ray source. CV and linear sweep voltammetry was performed using a CH 600E electrochemical workstation. Renishaw inVia confocal Raman microscope is used for Raman tests of electrolytes (excitation wavelength, 785 nm).

Electrochemical measurements
Galvanostatic charge/discharge performance of half cells in coin cell was tested on Newark battery test systems at room temperature. Electrochemical studies were performed using CR2032 coin cells. The area of electrodes in this study is 1.98 cm². Zn half cells were assembled using a Zn foil and a 3D CF separated by a glass fiber (GF/A, Whatman), and 50 μl of ZnI₂ electrolyte (3M) was used here. For all flow battery systems, we used single flow battery systems, in which only the anolyte is flowing through the electrode with the flow rate of 20 ml/min and the catholyte is stationary. This kind of design can improve the utilization of the catholyte. All catholyte volume that we used here was 4 ml, except for the vanadium system, which was 8 ml.

In the Zn/I₂ flow battery, the anolyte and the catholyte were both 6 M KI and 3 M ZnBr₂. CFs were current collectors on both sides. For the Zn/Br₂ flow battery, the electrolyte was a combination of 3 M KCl and 2 M ZnBr₂. The effective area of the electrode was 35 cm² (5 cm by 7 cm). In the Zn-vanadium flow battery, the anolyte was 2 M ZnBr₂ and 3 M KCl, while the catholyte was 1 M VOSO₄ in 4 M H₂SO₄. The effective area of the electrode was 15 cm² (3 cm by 5 cm). The commercially available cation exchange membrane (Nafion 115, Dupont, USA) was used as the separator. The Nafion 115 membranes were treated with 5% H₂SO₄ under 100°C for 2 hours and then washed with DI water at 100°C for 1 hour.

The catholyte of the flow battery would be prepumped into the cathode side and then blocked with pipes, and then 40 ml of electrolyte would be circulated as anolyte. The electrode we used was C200 (AvCarb, 6 mm in thickness), and the separator was Nafion 115 (Ion Power). For Zn/I₂ flow battery, the charging settings were 15 min and 3 A, and discharge settings were 0.2 V and 3 A. For both charge and discharge processes, the current density would reach 100 mA/cm², and the areal capacity would reach 25 mA-hour/cm². In the Zn/Br₂ flow battery, the charging settings were 15 min and 2.8 A, and discharge settings were 0.6 V and 2.8 A. The battery could reach a current density of 80 mA/cm² and an areal capacity of 20 mA-hour/cm². For the Zn-vanadium flow battery, the charging settings were 15 min and 1.2 A, while the discharge settings were 0.8 V and 1.2 A. The battery could reach a current density of 80 mA/cm² and an areal capacity of 20 mA-hour/cm² for both charge and discharge processes.

Characterization of materials
Field-emission scanning electron microscopy was carried out on Zeiss Gemini 500 scanning electron microscope equipped with Bruker energy dispersive spectroscopy detector to study the electrodeposition morphology of Zn. Powder XRD was performed on Bruker D8 Advance ECO powder diffractometer with a Cu Kα x-ray source. CV and linear sweep voltammetry was performed using a CH 600E electrochemical workstation. Renishaw inVia confocal Raman microscope is used for Raman tests of electrolytes (excitation wavelength, 785 nm). XPS was analyzed using a Surface Science Instruments SSX-100 ESCA spectrometer with operating pressure ca. 1 × 10⁻⁹ torr. Monochromatic Al Kα x-rays (1486.6 eV) with photoelectrons collected from an 800-μm-diameter analysis spot. Photoelectrons were collected at a 55° emission angle with source to analyzer angle of 70°. A hemispherical analyzer determined electron kinetic energy, using a pass energy of 150 eV for wide/survey scans and 50 eV for high-resolution scans. A flood gun was used for charge neutralization of nonconductive samples. EIS measurements was performed using a Solartron in a frequency range from 7 MHz to 50 mHz with an AC polarization of 20 mV.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS**
Supplementary material for this article is available at https://science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.abc4456
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