Panoramic Radiograph and CBCT in Unilateral Mandibular Condylar Hyperplasia—A Case Report
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Introduction
Unilateral mandibular condylar hyperplasia is a rare developmental disorder. Mandibular condylar hyperplasia was first described in 1836 by Robert Adams.¹ The etiology and pathogenesis are poorly understood. It is self-limiting and tends to stabilize or stop with aging. It may progress slowly or rapidly, producing mandibular asymmetry, chin deviation, limited mouth opening, posterior open bite on the affected side, and cross-bite on the contralateral side.²,³ Since the growth vector of the mandible is the condyle, condylar hyperplasia is often accompanied by an increase in height of ramus or body or alveolar process of the affected side of the mandible. Imaging plays a vital role in accurate measurement of the condyle, thereby helping the treatment plan. This case report deals with the role of cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT), a recent imaging modality in diagnosis and treatment plan in a patient with condylar hyperplasia.

Case Report
A 35-year-old female patient reported to the department with the chief complaint of deviation of lower jaw toward the right side from the age of 15 years. She also noticed a swelling in her left preauricular region that was insidious in onset, small initially, and gradually attained the present size. No history of pain or clicking was found in that region. There was no history of trauma and a similar type of swelling in other parts of the body. The patient had difficulty in chewing initially; later she got adapted. The patient had a history of gastritis for 3 months and was under medication. Her dental history revealed uneventful extraction before 2 months. The patient was well oriented to time, place, and person. All her vitals were within the normal range.

On extraoral examination, facial asymmetry was noted. Chin has been deviated toward the right side. On mouth opening, facial midlines coincide and get deviated to the right side during closing of the mouth (►Fig. 1). Mouth opening...
was 35 mm. Lateral and protrusive movements were restrict-
ed. A single right submandibular lymph node measuring ~1 x 0.5 cm was palpable, soft in consistency, tender, freely
movable.

On temporomandibular joint (TMJ) examination, a well-
defined solitary swelling measuring ~1.5 x 2 cm was present
in the left preauricular region, the skin over the swelling was
stretched, and the color of the skin appears similar to
adjacent skin. Secondary changes such as ulcer and sinus
opening were not present. All inspectory findings regarding
site, size, and shape were confirmed by palpation. The
swelling was bony hard in consistency (prominent condyle)
and nontender. No warmth felt. No clicking sound, crepitus
heard. The swelling was found to move during mandibular
movements. Muscles of mastication were nontender
(Fig. 1).

On intraoral examination, dental midline did not coincide.
Generalized gingival recession and attrition were present.
Mesial tilting of 41, 42, 43 and distal tilting of 31, 32 were
present. The posterior’s mandibular teeth were lingually
tilted (44, 45, 34, 37) (Fig. 2).

The provisional diagnosis was made as unilateral condylar
hyperplasia of the mandible (left). The differential diagnosis
was osteochondroma and osteoma.

Panoramic tomography (PLANMECA Proline XC) revealed
enlarged condyle on the left side with altered shape (Fig. 3).
The cortical border was intact and the trabecular pattern was
homogenous. An increase in height of the left-side ramus of
the mandible was also noted. Mesial tilting of 41, 42, 43 and
distal tilting of 31, 32 were present.

**Asymmetry Index Calculation**
In panoramic radiographs, 18 to 21% magnification in vertical
height is seen according to Larheim and Svanæs (1986). In
1988, Habets et al proposed a Habets asymmetry
index for determining the asymmetry using panoramic
radiographs.

Habets asymmetry index (AI) was calculated from the
formula, AI = \( \frac{(R-L)}{(R+L)} \times 100\% \).

A difference of ~3% is considered as asymmetrical. AI can
be calculated for both condyle and ramus. In the present case,
AI for condyle was 12.69% and for ramus was 8.42%, showing
that condyle and ramus of both right and left side were
asymmetric (Fig. 3).

In this case, unilateral enlargement of the condyle with
the asymmetry of the mandible was clearly made out in
posteroanterior view of skull and reverse Towne’s projection
(Fig. 4).

---

**Fig. 1** (A) Deviation of mandible toward right side. (B) Swelling in the
left preauricular region. Yellow line indicates midline and red line
indicates the deviation of mandible towards right side.

**Fig. 2** Tilting of lower anteriors toward left side.

**Fig. 3** (A) Vertical condylar asymmetry index described by Habets. (B)
The highest point of the condyle; (02) the most lateral point of the
condyle; (01) the most lateral points of the mandibular ramus; (RH)
the mandibular ramus height and (CH) condylar height.

**Fig. 4** (A) Posteroanterior view of skull shows deviation of mandible
toward right side. (B) Reverse Towne’s projection shows left condylar
enlargement.
CBCT of right and left TMJs was advised for more accurate measurements of the condylar dimensions.

After studying the various views, the left-side condyle and neck were found to be enlarged in all the three planes (►Figs. 5 and 6). The shape of the condyle and the articular tubercle was altered. The shape of the left condyle resembles a heart in the coronal section with a bony projection extending anteriorly that was seen in the sagittal section. The cortical border was intact. The trabecular pattern of the condyle was homogenous except for an area of radiopacity measuring 0.3 cm in diameter. The articular tubercle was flattened with an increased dimension anteroposterior dimension.

Measurements were made in all three planes using the software (Horos v3.3.6) (►Table 1). The maximum difference in dimension was observed in the sagittal section that suggests that the condyle was more enlarged anteroposteriorly. The Hounsfield unit of both the condyles was indicated as calculated by the software (►Fig. 7).

According to Obwegeser and Makek classification, the present case is type III condylar hyperplasia (chin deviation

| Table 1 | Measurements of both condyle in all planes using CBCT |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------|
|          | Right condyle (mm) | Left condyle (mm) |
| Axial section (mediolateral dimension) | 18.3 | 26.7 |
| Coronal section (mediolateral dimension) | 18.7 | 22.9 |
| Sagittal section (anteroposterior dimension) | 9.6 | 23.2 |

Abbreviation: CBCT, cone-beam computed tomography.
toward the contralateral side with a sloping rima oris, midline shift, possible open bite and/or cross-bite) and according to Wolford classification, the present case is CH type 1B (unilateral mandibular elongation chin deviation toward contralateral side, midline shift to contralateral side, lingual deviation of contralateral mandibular molars, possible posterior cross-bite, ipsilateral Class III occlusion).1

Following the diagnosis, the surgical correction procedure was advised for the patient. However, the patient refused the treatment procedure.

Discussion

TMJ condylar hyperplasia is a reactive hyperplastic growth of the condyle, in which there are an impressive adaptive capacity and compensatory mechanisms of the human body. It is illustrated as a rare unilateral growth of the mandibular condyle, characterized by excessive bone growth resulting in facial asymmetry.1,7

The condylar cartilage is a secondary cartilage that is highly responsible to mechanical stimuli and responds differently to various hormonal and chemical agents. Growth at the condyle is pressure adapted. The cap of the condyle undergoes endochondral ossification, whereas the rest of the condyle and the neck undergoes intramembranous ossification. The zone of growth in the condyle contains proliferative cells like skeletoblasts and prechondroblasts, that are exposed to the environment and are moldable to external stimuli. It has a multidirectional proliferative capacity.8

Condylar hyperplasia may be due to overactive cartilage or persistent cartilaginous rests, increasing the thickness of the entire cartilaginous and precartilaginous layers. Condylar hyperplasia is more common in the females before the age of 20 years. It is usually unilateral, self-limiting, and gets arrested with the termination of skeletal growth.

Facial asymmetry involving the TMJ can be TMJ pathology, unilateral condylar hyperplasia, unilateral mandibular condylar osteoma or osteoblastoma, and condylar resorption, among which unilateral condylar hyperplasia has the features of progressively worsening Class III occlusal relationship with a contralateral cross-bite, mandibular, and chin deviation to the opposite side. These characteristic features of condylar hyperplasia are seen in the present case.9

The most common benign tumor of condyle is osteochondroma followed by osteoma. In the present case, the patient was asymptomatic, whereas in the case of osteochondroma and osteoma, the patient may experience pain, clicking sound on the affected side, and is rarely asymptomatic.2 Radiographic features of this case were a well-defined altered condyle, altered articular eminence, thickened condylar neck, and increased ramus height on the affected side. The cortical border was well defined and the trabecular pattern was homogenous except for a 0.3 cm area of radiopacity. In case of osteochondroma and osteoma, the condyle is irregular in shape and the trabecular pattern is heterogeneous. The articular eminence may be altered to compensate the altered condyle changes. The alteration in the condylar neck and ramus may be secondary to degenerative changes due to altered forces on the joint. Hence, the history of onset of the lesion (observed at her age of 15 years) and from the above features, it is an indication of developmental disorder of the mandibular condyle probably mandibular condylar hyperplasia. Since osteochondroma and osteoma are benign tumors, there will be no such secondary degenerative changes as noted in condylar hyperplasia.

A panoramic radiograph is generally a quick and convenient radiographic technique that is used for assessing mandibular lesions. It has a low radiation dose and covers broad coverage of facial bones and teeth3,4 Since panoramic radiography involves distortion and elongation, CBCT was used to get more accurate measurements.

With CBCT, high-resolution images can be obtained with reduced radiation exposure to the patient when compared with multislice CT.10 It also can produce images resembling panoramic images with which the dentists are familiar (pseudopanoramic). Alveolar buccolingual cross-sectional images can also be produced by routine CBCT software that is also an advantage. Surface rendering can be done and the image analysis can also be done by the end-users. Carestream9300 S 3D extraoral imaging system (Carestream Health, Inc., Rochester, New York, United States) was used for obtaining CBCT images. Radiation exposure to the patient in CBCT was: 90 kVP, 5 mAs, and scan time 8.01s. Radiation exposure to the patient was 568 mGycm2. Field of view for single TMJ was 180 × 180 × 180 μm.

Direct measurements of mandible were done in panoramic software (Romexis) and the asymmetry index was determined by Habets method. This method revealed both the condyle and ramus of the mandible of the present case were asymmetric. The altered articular tubercle and condylar neck were better appreciated on CBCT than a panoramic radiograph. In a panoramic radiograph, the shape of the condyle was noted to be enlarged, whereas in CBCT the sagittal section showed a bony projection extending anteriorly. Pinpoint radiopacity in the condylar trabecular pattern was appreciated only in CBCT, where a homogenous trabecular pattern was seen in a panoramic radiograph. In the
isotopes, so that the um from the bone tissue will be absorbed by the radio-behind nuclear imaging is hydroxyapatite crystals and calcification. The completion of growth. osteotomies with or without Le Fort I leveling osteotomy, split ramus osteotomies, unilateral or bilateral maxillary hyperplasia include unliteral sagittal split, bilateral sagittal tomography, and single-photon emission computed tomography can be advised before surgery that helps to determine the degree of osteoblast activity. The principle behind nuclear imaging is hydroxyapatite crystals and calcium from the bone tissue will be absorbed by the radio-isotopes, so that the fixation intensity is proportional to the degree of osteoblast activity.

The primary treatment protocol for unilateral condylar hyperplasia is surgery followed by orthodontic teeth correction. The various surgical methods for unilateral condylar hyperplasia include unliteral sagittal split, bilateral sagittal split ramus osteotomies, unilateral or bilateral maxillary osteotomies with or without Le Fort I leveling osteotomy, and condylectomy. Surgical correction is indicated only after the completion of growth. Following surgery, joint functional exercises can be advised to recover mandible lateral and vertical movements and also to minimize the occurrence of intra-articular adhesions and capsular retractions. The various joint functional exercises include massage, masticatory, and cervical muscle relaxation, joint distraction, joint kinesiotherapy, joint mobilization exercises, mandibular mobilization exercises with hyperboloid and against resistance for muscular reinforcement.

**Conclusion**

Condylar hyperplasia is a rare unilateral (rarely bilateral) growth of the mandibular condyle, characterized by excessive bone growth resulting in facial asymmetry. CBCT, an advanced imaging, can be used in diagnosis, assessment, and treatment planning of unilateral condylar hyperplasia of the mandible. The role of CBCT in the measurements for the assessment of condylar hyperplasia and vertical height of ramus is emphasized.
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