ABSTRACT

Objective: Phytochemicals as phenol and flavonoid have a powerful biological activity. So, this study aimed to carry out phytochemical screening, total phenol and flavonoid content in two plant species i.e. *M. rubicaulis* and *R. indica*.

Methods: The extraction of different parts of two plant species was done by maceration using ethanol. Phytochemical screening was done to confirm the presence of phytochemicals. Total phenol content was done by Folin Ciocalteu method and total flavonoid content was done by Aluminium chloride colorimetric method.

Results: Phytochemical analysis revealed the presence of flavonoid, phenol, terpenoids in both plant species. The highest concentration of phenol content was observed in the root and stem of an extract of *M. rubicaulis* i.e. 281.83±1.98 mg GAE/g dry extract weight and 225.37±0.60 mg GAE/g dry extract weight. The highest concentration of flavonoid contents was observed in the leaves of *R. indica* i.e. 462.21±4.67 mg QE/g dry extract weight followed by stem and root of *M. rubicaulis* i.e. 381.06±5.23 mg QE/g dry extract weight and 337.43±1.39 mg QE/g dry extract weight.

Conclusion: Phytochemical analysis concluded the presence of biologically important phytoconstituents like flavonoid and phenol in both plant species. Further studies should be carried out to isolate specific chemical constituents and should be used in different studies to explore their biological effects.
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Drying of the crude drug

The collected crude samples were dedusted and cleaned properly, cut into small pieces and shade dried.

Extraction

Different parts of plants were extracted by maceration process using ethanol as solvent. Shade dried samples were first ground into fine powders. Then, 25 g of each sample was weighed and macerated in 175 ml ethanol (crude drug: ethanol = 7:1) for 24 h. After 24 h, filtration was done by using filter paper and the obtained residue was again macerated with 175 ml i.e. the same volume of ethanol for 24 h. Then, obtained filtrates were mixed and dried by using a rotary vacuum evaporator and collected in the Petri dish. Again that, samples filtration was done by using filter paper and the obtained residue was again macerated with 175 ml i.e. the same volume of ethanol for 24 h. The obtained dried extracts were collected in a sample vial and preserved in the refrigerator. Extractive yield for each sample was determined by using formula;

\[
\frac{\% \text{ Yield}}{\text{Weight of extract}} / \text{Weight of crude sample} \times 100 \% ... (1)
\]

Qualitative phytochemical screening

Phytochemical screening of ethanolic extract (root, stem, and leaves) of M. rubicaulis and (flower and leaves) of R. indica were carried out to reveal the presence of secondary metabolites according to the method described previously with some modification [15].

Quantitative phytochemical screening

Determination of total phenol contents

Folin ciocalteu method was used for the determination of total phenol contents according to the method of [17] with some modifications. In brief, 1 ml of the sample solution was mixed with 4 ml of distilled water. Then, 300 μl of sodium nitrite was added and allowed to stand for 5 min. After 5 min, 300 μl aluminium chlorides were added and allowed to stand for 6 min. Then, 2 ml of sodium hydroxide was added. The mixture was stirred and the absorbance was measured at 510 nm using UV spectrophotometer and compared with standard. The total flavonoids content expressed as mg QE (Quercetin Equivalent) per g dry extract weight using a calibration curve of Quercetin (50 μg/100 μg/ml) standards.

Data analysis

The experimental data obtained were expressed as mean±standard deviation.

RESULTS

Extraction yield value

The extract yield percentage of the plant sample was given in table 1. The extract yield percentage was relatively higher in root and leaves of M. rubicaulis, and flower of R. indica while relatively lower in the stem of M. rubicaulis and leaves of R. indica.

| Scientific name       | Parts used | Sample code | % Yield value (Ethanol) |
|-----------------------|------------|-------------|------------------------|
| Mimosa rubicaulis     | Root       | A           | 8                      |
|                       | Stem       | B           | 3.2                    |
|                       | Leaves     | C           | 11.52                  |
| Reinwardita indica    | Flower     | D           | 7.95                   |
|                       | Leaves     | E           | 3.52                   |

Table 1: List of sample for the study with their extraction yield value in ethanol

| Phytochemical test | Test performed | Inferences | Mimosa rubicaulis | Reinwardita indica |
|--------------------|----------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| No.                |                |            | Root extract      | Stem extract       |
| 1                  | Alkaloid       | Mayer's Reagent | Appearance of yellow cream ppt. | -                  |
|                    |                | Wagner's Reagent | Formation of brown/reddish brown ppt. | -                  |
| 2                  | Glycosides     | Hager's Reagent | Formation of yellow color ppt. | -                  |
|                    |                | Modified      | Formation of rose pink color | -                  |
|                    |                | Borntrager's test | - | -                  |
|                    |                | Legal's test  | Formation of pink to blood-red color | -                  |
| 3                  | Flavonoids     | Alkaline Reagent Test | No intense yellow color obtained | -                  |
| 4                  | Carbohydrates  | Molish's Reagent | Formation of red ppt. | -                  |
|                    |                | Benedict's Reagent | Formtion of orange red ppt. | ++ + + + +          |
|                    |                | Fehling's Reagent | - | +                  |
| 5                  | Saponins       | Foam Test    | Produced foam lasts for more than 10 min | ++ | - |
| 6                  | Tannins        | Gelatin Test | Formation of white ppt. | +                  |
| 7                  | Resin Test     | Acetone water Test | Appearance of turbidity | +                  |
| 8                  | Phenol test    | Ferric chloride Test | Appearance of bluish black ppt. | ++ ++ + +          |
| 9                  | Terpenoids     | Salkowski's Test | Appearance reddish brown precipitates | ++ ++ + -          |
| 10                 | Proteins and aminoacid test | Xanthoproteic Test | Formation of yellow color | +                  |
| 11                 | Fixed oils and Fats test | Filter paper press Test | Appearance of oily stain on filter paper | ++ ++ + +          |

Note: ++: Strong intensity reaction, +: Medium intensity reaction, -: Weak intensity reaction, --: Non detected
Qualitative phytochemical analysis

The qualitative phytochemical analysis revealed the presence of flavonoid, phenol, carbohydrate, resin, protein, fixed oil, and terpenoids in the ethanolic extract of both plant species i.e. *M. rubicaulis* and *R. indica* but alkaloid was absent in *M. rubicaulis* extract and glycoside was absent in both *M. rubicaulis* and *R. indica* extract which had been tabulated in table 2.

Total phenol contents

The calibration curve of Gallic acid as standard at concentrations 50 mg/l, 100 mg/l, 200 mg/l, 300 mg/l, 400 mg/l, and 500 mg/l, as shown in fig. 1. The total phenolic content of the different extract was expressed as mg of GAE per g dry extract. The highest concentration of phenol content was observed in root and stem of extract of *M. rubicaulis* i.e. 281.83±1.98 mg GAE/g dry extract weight and 225.37±0.60 mg GAE/g dry extract weight and the lowest concentration of phenol content was observed in flower extract of *R. indica* i.e. 24.33±0.26 mg GAE/g dry extract weight as shown in table 3.

Total flavonoid contents

The total flavonoid content was performed by precipitating with aluminium chloride in an alkalinized medium. Quercetin was taken as standard and the calibration curve of Quercetin as at concentrations 50 mg/l, 100 mg/l, 200 mg/l, 300 mg/l, 400 mg/l, and 500 mg/l as shown in fig. 2. The highest concentration of flavonoid contents were observed in the leaves of *R. indica* i.e. 462.21±4.67 mg QE/g dry extract weight followed by stem and root of *M. rubicaulis* i.e. 381.06±5.23 mg QE/g dry extract weight and 337.43±1.39 mg QE/g dry extract weight The lowest concentration of flavonoid content was observed in the leaves of *M. rubicaulis* i.e. 159.85±5.23 mg QE/g dry extract weight. The total flavonoid contents of plant extract were listed in table 4.
DISCUSSION

Phytochemical screening is done to reveal the presence of important phytoconstituent in plant samples, which helps in discovering of novel drugs having any pharmacological activity [18] and finding out the ingenuity of drug [19]. This study revealed the presence of flavonoid, phenol, tannin, carbohydrates, etc. in both species. It showed that most of the biologically active constituents are present in both plant species. This study showed a similar observation done in the previous in methanolic extract of M. rubicaulis as the presence of flavonoids like quercetin, lutein, and tannin [9].

Phenol is a secondary metabolite and has free radical scavenging activity due to the presence of the hydroxyl group. The phenolic compounds from the sample cause the reduction of heteropoly acids (Phosphomolybdic-phosphotungstic acid) contained in Folin-ciocalteu reagent that changes from yellow color to Prussian blue which can be measured in absorbance at 725 nm [20]. So, the intensity of the blue color is directly proportional to the phenolic content [5]. In this study, phenol content was found to be high in root and stem of M. rubicaulis i.e. 281.83±1.98 mg GAE/g dry extract weight and 225.37±0.60 mg GAE/g dry extract weight. Those parts of plant extracts which showed better antioxidant activity have higher phenol content. But R. indica contains lower phenol contents. This observation is comparatively lower than the previous study done on aqueous and methanolic extracts of R. indica leaves, which showed a significant total phenolic content DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-piridylihydrayl) and anion scavenging activity [21].

Flavonoid is one of the most widespread and important secondary metabolites that possess various biological activities i.e. they are good scavengers of the most oxidizing molecule, including singlet oxygen, and various free radicals [22]. The total flavonoid content was determined by using the aluminium chloride colorimetric method. Flavonoid in the presence of aluminium chloride has intense yellow fluorescence which is observed in the UV spectrometer at 510 nm [17]. Among the plant extracts studied, total flavonoid contents were found to be high in leaves of R. indica followed by stem and root of M. rubicaulis i.e. 462.21±4.67 mg QE/g dry extract weight, 381.06±5.23 mg QE/g dry extract weight, and 337.43±1.39 mg QE/g dry extract weight. There is no correlation between phenolic content and flavonoid content of leaves extract of R. indica. This indicates that the leaves of R. indica may contain the flavonoids without the OH in aromatic benzene ring [23].

So, plants containing phenolic and flavonoids compound are of interest in the biological research for the discovery of novel leads molecules [24, 25].

CONCLUSION

Both qualitative and quantitative phytochemical analysis concluded the presence of biologically important phytoconstituents like flavonoid and phenol in the ethanolic extract of both plant species. So these two plant species could be used as a natural antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, wound healing agent, etc. In further, a different study should be carried out to isolate specific chemical constituents as well as to explore their biological effects in the proper scientific ways which could be beneficial in the treatment and controlling of various diseases.
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