Alternative seed treatment methods for plant pathogen control in sweet pepper crops
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ABSTRACT: The health and physiological quality of sweet pepper seeds were assessed along with the use of alternative methods by heat therapy, plant extracts, chemical and biological products to control plant pathogens associated to the seeds. The in vitro experiments assessed the effect of the treatments applied to the seeds to control the identified plant pathogens. After treatment, the seeds were plated, incubated and assessed after seven days. An in vivo experiment was also carried out with the treatments that presented the best plant pathogen control in the seeds, which were treated and sown and after 30 days the plants were sprayed with a C. gloeosporioides suspension. The severity was assessed by a score scale specific to the pathogen system. The treatments with best plant pathogen control in the seeds were aqueous basil extract 5%, heat therapy 45° C/25 minutes, Thiophanate methyl, Quality® and B. methylotrophicus isolate, MGSS 274. In anthracnose management, the basil extract, MGSS 274 and Quality® reduced disease severity in sweet pepper plants.
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Métodos alternativos de tratamento de sementes no controle de fitopatógenos na cultura do pimentão

RESUMO: O objetivo deste trabalho foi avaliar a qualidade sanitária e fisiológica de sementes de pimentão e o uso de métodos alternativos via termoterapia, extratos vegetais, produtos químicos e biológicos, no controle dos fitopatógenos associados a essas sementes. Os experimentos in vitro consistiram na avaliação do efeito dos tratamentos aplicados nas sementes no controle dos fitopatógenos identificados. Após tratadas, as sementes foram plaqueadas, incubadas e avaliadas após sete dias. Também foi conduzido experimento in vivo com os tratamentos que apresentaram melhor controle dos fitopatógenos nas sementes, estas foram tratadas e semeadas e, após 30 dias, as plantas foram pulverizadas com suspensão de C. gloeosporioides. Para avaliação da severidade adotou-se escala de nota específica para o patossistema. Os tratamentos com melhor controle de fitopatógenos nas sementes foram extrato aquoso de manjericão 5%, termoterapia 45 °C/25 minutos, Thiopanato metílico, Quality® e o isolado de B. methylotrophicus, MGSS 274. No manejo da antracnose, o extrato de manjericão, o isolado MGSS 274 e o produto Quality® promoveram redução da severidade da doença em plantas de pimentão.
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**Introduction**

The sweet pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) belongs to the family of the Solanaceae. It is very important socially and economically in Brazil, because it is one of the most consumed vegetables and cultivated throughout the country (Monteiro Neto et al., 2016). The cropping area is approximately 13,000 ha and fruit production is about 350.000 tons (Abrafrutas & CNA, 2018). One of the main bottlenecks to its production is disease occurrence in the crop (Trecha et al., 2017).

Vegetables are usually susceptible to disease, especially those transmitted by seeds. Seeds are one of the main means of pathogen survival and dispersion (Pereira et al., 2015). Anthracnose is a highly destructive disease that affects several crops, including the sweet pepper and the causal agent is the fungus *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* (Penz) (Araújo et al., 2016). Other plant pathogens are also found in association with sweet pepper seeds, such as the genera *Aspergillus* spp., *Penicillium* spp. and *Rhizopus* sp., described in the literature as having the potential to deteriorate grains and seeds and produce mycotoxins highly toxic to people, animals and plants (Reverberi et al., 2010).

Seed health can be affected by the joint action of plant pathogenic fungi that cause harm and also hinder crop development (Santos et al., 2016). They can also reduce the physiological quality with negative impacts on germination and further damage the results of studies, carried out under laboratory conditions (Cunha et al., 2017).

A relevant aspect of health quality is healthy seeding production, because seeds are susceptible to attack from plant pathogens that cause deterioration, wounds and anomalies in the seedlings (Piveta et al., 2010). Another aspect is the control of seed-transmitted diseases, because of the need to reduce and prevent transmission over long distances which can be achieved through seed health treatment, that can reduce and control the disease causal agents diseases without damaging the physiological potential (Braga et al., 2010; Flávio et al., 2014). Thus, the use of alternative controls, such as biological and physical methods, in addition to chemical methods, have presented significant results in eliminating or reducing the infective inoculum from plant pathogens in seeds (Santos et al., 2016).

In this context, the aims of the present study was to assess the health and physiological quality of sweet pepper seeds and the use of alternative methods, such as heat therapy, plant extracts, chemical and biological products to control plant pathogens in these seeds.

**Materials and Methods**

The experiments were carried out in the Plant Pathology Laboratory and in a greenhouse. Seeds of the sweet pepper cultivar All Big were used for the experiments.

**Health quality:** assessed by the Blotter Test (Brasil, 2009) method, by plating 400 seeds, divided in eight 50-seed replications. The following were assessed in the fungal population: germinated seeds (G) and non-germinated seeds (NG); healthy seeds (S) and contaminated seeds (C). The non-germinated seeds were considered as dead seeds. The data were expressed in incidence percentage.

**Physiological quality:** the standard germination, vigor and moisture test was carried out according to RAS (Brasil, 2009) and assessed by counting normal seedlings, abnormal seedlings and affected seedlings, at seven and 14 days after installation. The seed vigor was obtained by the first germination count test, carried out simultaneously with the standard germination test, assessed by the percentage of normal seedlings on the seventh day after sowing. At the end of the standard germination test using the daily data of the number of normal seedlings, the germination speed index was calculated according to Maguire (1962). The seed moisture degree was determined by the chamber method at 105 °C, for 24 hours, with two replications, according to RAS (Brasil, 2009).

**Heat therapy:** the seeds were disinfected, placed in polyamide net bags and transferred to a water bath. The combinations of time and temperature applied to the seeds were based on the pre-determined combination for the sweet pepper crop (Zambolim et al., 1997). The treatments were the following: 45º C/25 min., 45º C/30 min., 50º C/ 25 min., 50º C/30 min., 55º C/ 25 min. and 55º C/30 min. Untreated seeds were kept as control. After the treatments, the seeds were placed on petri dishes containing BSA (potato-saccharine-agar) culture medium and kept in a BOD chamber at 25±2º C. After seven days the fungal colonies were assessed. A complete randomized design was used with seven treatments, five replications and the experimental unit consisted of one dish, containing 20 seeds.

**Plant extracts:** leaves of nim (*Azadirachta indica* A. Juss), eucalyptus (*Eucalyptus citriodora* Hook), cinnamon (*Cinnamomum zeylanicum* Blume) and basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) were dried, ground and immersed in distilled water for 24 hours to extract the compounds. They were then filtered through gauze, centrifuged for two minutes at 1,800 rpm and again filtered through filter adapted in a syringe with a 22 µm cellulase membrane. Aqueous extracts were prepared at 5% (50 g extract L⁻¹ distilled water) concentration in which the seeds, after disinfection, were immersed for a period of 10 minutes. The seeds were then plated in BSA culture medium and incubated at 22±2 °C, under a 12 hours light/12 hours dark regimen. The pathogen incidence was assessed after seven days by examining the seeds individually under a stereoscopic microscope to observe and identify the plant pathogens. A complete randomized design was used with five treatments and five replications. The control was seeds immersed in distilled water.

**Chemical and biological products:** first 700 seeds were disinfected before being submitted to the treatments, through triple washing, by immersion, lasting one minute in each solution: 70% alcohol; sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) in a 3:1 ratio; and, distilled water. The treatments used were the chemical methods with the products Thiophanate methyl (70 g p.c 100
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L-1), Tebuconazole (100 mL p.c 100 L-1), Carbendazim (100 mL p.c in 400 mL water 100 kg-1 seeds) and Azyoxystrobin+Thiram (200 g p.c 100 kg-1 seeds) and with commercial biological products based on Trichoderma asperellum (Quality® - 100 g p.c 600 mL-1) and Bacillus subtilis (Rizos® - 50 mL) at doses recommended by the manufacturers. The fungicides and seeds were placed in 2.0 L plastic bags and shaken for ten minutes until the seeds were completely covered by the fungicide. The manufacturers’ recommendations were followed in the treatments with the biological products. The seeds were then plated in BSA culture medium and kept in a BOD chamber at 25±2 ºC. Assessment consisted of observing the fungus colonies using a stereoscopic microscope seven days after plating. A complete randomized block design was used with seven treatments and five replications. The control was seeds immersed in distilled water.

Microbiolization with Bacillus spp. isolates: the following isolates were used for biological control: Bacillus spp., MGSS 271 (Bacillus cereus), MGSS 274 (B. methylotrophicus), MGSS 272 (B. polymyxa), MGSS 275 (Bacillus sp.) and MGSS 273 (B. pentothisens). The isolates were obtained from the “Prof. Gilson Soares da Silva” Plant Pathogen Collection. The methodology by Ludwig et al. (2007) was adopted for the treatment that consists in prior culture of the isolates in BDA (potato-dextrose-agar), culture medium for 48-hours, followed by seed microbiolization in bacteria suspension, prepared with saline solution (NaCl 0.85%) and agitated for 30 minutes. Shortly afterwards the seeds were plated on petri dishes and BSA culture medium and kept in a BOD chamber at 25±2 ºC. After seven days the fungus colony incidence was assessed, using a stereoscopic microscope. A complete randomized design was used with six treatments and five replications, and the control seeds were immersed in distilled water.

Anthracnose management: the treatments used were heat therapy (45 ºC/25 minutes), aqueous basil extract, MGSS 274, the Quality® biological product (0.167 g. mL-1), and Thiophanate methyl (0.7 g p.c L-1). The sweet pepper seeds cultivar All Big were submitted to the treatments and then sown in plastic pots containing previously autoclaved soil and thinned to 2 plants/pot. Thirty-day old plants were inoculated by spraying with a conidium suspension of Colletotrichum gloeosporioides at a concentration of 10⁶ conidia mL-1, and kept in a wet chamber for 48 hours. The severity was assessed on all the plant leaves at 3, 8, 13, 18 and 23 days after inoculation using the scale of scores described by Pereira et al. (2011), adapted by Araújo et al. (2016): score 1: plants without symptoms; score 2: 1 – 10% plants with symptoms; score 3: 11 – 25% plants with symptoms; score 4: 26 – 50% plants with symptoms; score 5: 51 – 75% plants with symptoms; score 6: more than 75% plants with symptoms and/or plant death. The severity data were transformed in disease index (McKinney, 1923) and used to calculate the area under disease progress curve (AUDPC), with the formula:

$$\text{AUDPC} = \Sigma \left[ \frac{Y_i + Y_{i+1}}{2} \right] (T_{i+1} - T_i)$$

where \(Y_i + Y_{i+1}\) are the severity values observed among consecutive assessments and \(T_{i+1} - T_i\) is the time interval between the assessments (Shaner & Finney, 1977). A complete randomized design was used with six treatments and five replications, and the plot consisted of two plants per plot.

Statistical analysis of the data: the data was submitted to analysis of variance and the means of each treatment compared by the Tukey test (p < 0.05). The software InfoStat/IS (Di Rienzo, 2018) was used for the statistical analyses. The data in percentage were transformed by the vrix.

Results and Discussion

Sweet pepper seed health and physiological quality

The health assessment showed that 31% of the seeds were contaminated with the largest incidence being Rizopus stolonifer (22%) followed by Aspergillus niger (19%) and A. flavus (15%). The lowest incidences were of Phoma sp. and Fusarium sp. with only 3% and 7%, respectively (Figure 1).

Table 1 shows the results obtained in the physiological quality assessment of the sweet pepper seeds, cultivar All Big. A total of 97% of the seeds of the sweet pepper cultivar All Big germinated. A similar result was found by Vieira et al. (2018) who also observed over 90% germination for this cultivar. The assessment of normal seedlings, in the first count, showed average vigor (69%) but in the count at 14 days very high vigor (96%). Corroborating with these results Torres & Minami (2000) in an experiment with four batches of the All Big cultivar obtained at the first count vigor 41% to 7% and at 14 days, 74 to 89% of normal seedlings. Differently, Vieira et al. (2018) obtained very low vigor for this cultivar that by 14 days reached only 6.5% normal seedlings.

The results of the present research showed that 31.5% of the seeds were infected but there was no interference in

---

**Table 1. Assessment of sweet pepper All Big seed physiological quality by the germination, moisture and vigor test, 2017.**

| Germination (%) | FC (%) | M (%) | NS | AS | IS | GSI |
|----------------|--------|-------|----|----|----|-----|
| 97.00          | 69.00  | 8.07  | 96.00 | 4.00 | 31.50 | 40.10 |

Where first count (FC), moisture (M), normal seedlings (NS), abnormal seedlings (AS), infected seedlings (IS) and germination speed index (GSI).
physiological quality. Thus it is emphasized that the presence of these pathogens in seeds does not always imply loss of physiological quality such as the association of the genera Aspergillus spp. e Rhizopus spp., in lettuce and cabbage seeds, that did not influence germination (Paiva et al., 2016) and further Aspergillus niger (Rapper & Fennel), Aspergillus sp. (Micheli,) and Cladosporium cladosporioides (Fresen.) in the common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) that did not interfere in the percentage of normal seedlings due to seedling defense strategies during germination (Ferreira et al., 2017). But the presence of these pathogens may guarantee survival and dissemination.

The association of pathogens in seeds may trigger a series of complications and even cause intolerable damage, given its potential for transmitting various pathogens such as fungi, bacteria, virus and nematodes, which shows the relevance of seeds with pathogen means of survival and dispersion (Pereira et al., 2015).

The results found in the present research demonstrated the use of sweet pepper seeds cultivar All Big may guarantee good stand performance, bearing in mind the high vigor obtained.

**In vitro treatment with heat therapy**

The heat treatments significantly reduced the incidence of all the identified plant pathogens, especially at temperatures of 45 °C to 55 °C during the times of 25 minutes and 30 minutes (Table 2). In a similar study, Costa et al. (2011) treated cherry tomato seeds with water heated to 50 °C for 25 minutes and 30 minutes and reported that 30 minutes exposure was most efficient in reducing the plant pathogens Aspergillus sp. and Penicillium sp., and that the seed physiological quality was not affected.

Santos et al (2016) observed in soybean seeds treated at 40 °C that the increase in exposure time to moist heat reduced the incidence of pathogenic fungi and with time over 50 minutes, obtained health greater than 95%, but the increase in temperature reduced seed germination.

In the present research, the increase in temperature up to 55 °C and 30 minutes, maintained the efficiency of the treatment in reducing the plant pathogens. Moist heat at 55 °C for 30 minutes also eradicated the fungi Rhizopus sp., Aspergillus sp. and Cladosporium sp., associated to tomato seeds and lethal to the seeds at 60 °C for 30 minutes or 60 minutes (Braga et al., 2010).

In seed treatments with hot water, regardless of the species, the temperature should be restricted to between 45° and 60 °C for a maximum period of 60 minutes. However, seed sensitivity varies from species to species, from cultivar to cultivar and often from batch to batch (Braga et al. 2010), that indicates the need to carry out the germination test before applying the treatments to the seeds for planting. Therefore, in many cases divergent results are obtained in research, due to working with live organisms, subject to the action of intrinsic and extrinsic factors to express the effect caused. Thus, heat therapy, while a physical method, although it presents low tenacity, acts promptly on the seeds that resulted in the efficient control of the plant pathogens present in the sweet pepper seeds.

**In vitro treatment with plant extracts**

In the incidence of fungi of the genus Aspergillus sp. only the basil aqueous extract presented significant difference from the control. For the species A. niger, A. fumigatus and A. flavus, almost all the treatments differed statistically from the control, except for cinnamon extract for A. fumigatus.

Regarding the incidence of Curvularia lunata, most of the treatments differed from the control except for the basil extract. For the fungus Rhizopus stolonifer all the extracts differed from the control but not from each other. For the genus Fusarium sp. there was no significant difference between the treatments and the control. But for the genus Phoma sp. only the cinnamon extract differed significantly from the control, but did not differ from the other treatments.

Most of the aqueous extracts were able to control over 50% of all the fungi incident in the seeds (Table 2). It is pointed out that the nim extract did not control the instance of Fusarium sp., which may have been due to the presence of some by-products in the plant that stimulated the fungus growth (Govindachari et al., 1998). In contrast, Brito & Nascimento

**Table 2. Plant pathogen control in All Big sweet pepper seeds, using heat therapy, 2017.**

| Incidence (medium n° Pathogens) | 45 °C | 50 °C | 55 °C |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
|                                | Control | Treatments | Treatments | Treatments |
|                                | 25 min | 30 min | 25 min | 30 min | 25 min | 30 min |
| Aspergillus flavus             | INC 1.90 a | 0.00 b | 0.00 b | 0.00 b | 0.50 b | 0.00 b | 0.00 b |
|                              | Ctr (%) | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 73.70 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Aspergillus fumigatus          | INC 1.80 a | 0.00 b | 0.00 b | 0.40 b | 0.00 b | 0.00 b | 0.00 b |
|                              | Ctr (%) | 100.00 | 100.00 | 77.80 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Aspergillus niger              | INC 2.90 a | 0.00 b | 0.00 b | 0.60 b | 0.20 b | 0.00 b | 0.00 b |
|                              | Ctr (%) | 100.00 | 100.00 | 79.30 | 93.10 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Fusarium sp.                  | INC 1.20 a | 0.00 b | 0.00 b | 0.00 b | 0.00 b | 0.00 b | 0.00 b |
|                              | Ctr (%) | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Curvularia lunata             | INC 2.10 a | 0.00 b | 0.00 b | 0.00 b | 0.00 b | 0.20 b | 0.20 b |
|                              | Ctr (%) | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Rhizopus stolonifer           | INC 3.10 a | 0.00 b | 0.00 b | 0.00 b | 0.00 b | 0.00 b | 0.00 b |
|                              | Ctr (%) | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

Where INC = incidence, Ctr = control. Values followed by the same letter did not differ by the Tukey test (p ≥ 0.05). Data transformed by x√.
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(2015) verified the efficacy of the plant toxic effect of nim and ginger extracts at low concentrations (starting at 25%) on *Curvularia eragrostidis* (P. Henn.) on inhibiting mycelial growth and sporulation, inferring that it might be a viable alternative in the control of this plant pathogen.

The plant pathogen *R. stolonifer*, in spite of its high incidence, was controlled hundred percent by all the aqueous extracts. The cinnamon extract treatment controlled 57% and up to 100% of all the fungi identified.

Studies reported in the literature confirm the results of the present study, regarding the anti-fungal capacity of the aqueous extracts. For example, in the study by Camatti-Sartori et al. (2011) with basil extract at 50% concentration, inhibited more than 40% of the plant pathogens of the genus *Fusarium* sp. Basil has been mentioned in the literature because of its antimicrobial activity (Wuaden et al., 2018), that is associated mainly to the compounds Eugenol and Linalol, present in its essential oils, that act on fungus and bacteria inhibition (Koroch et al., 2017). On the other hand, Flávio et al. (2014) reported the efficiency of cinnamon aqueous extract and basil essential oil at different concentrations in reducing plant pathogen incidence in sorghum seeds, especially on the fungus *Curvularia*, but they were toxic to the seed vigor.

In the present study, the aqueous extracts reduced plant pathogen reduction in the seeds, maybe because of the presence of biologically active compounds in extracts of eucalyptus, nim, cinnamon and basil, that denotes the potential of using these aqueous extracts as natural anti-fungal agents in seed treatments.

In vitro treatments with chemical and biological products

In the *in vitro* experiments with chemical and biological products, all the treatments differed significantly from the control, with more than 75% control for most of the pathogens in the seeds, without differences, except for the biological product Rizos®, for the fungus *A. niger*, that differed from the control and the other treatments, with control of under 75% (Table 4).

### Table 3. Plant pathogen control in All Big sweet pepper seeds by in vitro treatment with plant extracts, 2017.

| Incidence (medium nº Pathogens) | Control | Eucalyptus | Nim | Cinnamon | Basil |
|---------------------------------|---------|------------|-----|----------|-------|
| **Aspergillus sp.**              | INC     | 2.10 a     | 1.60 ab | 1.10 ab | 0.40 ab | 0.30 b |
|                                 | Ctr (%) | 23.80      | 47.60  | 80.90    | 85.70  |
| **A. flavus**                   | INC     | 2.20 a     | 0.20 b | 0.20 b   | 0.40 b  | 0.00 b |
|                                 | Ctr (%) | 90.90      | 90.90  | 81.80    | 100.00 |
| **A. fumigatus**                | INC     | 1.90 a     | 0.20 b | 0.00 b   | 0.80 ab | 0.20 b |
|                                 | Ctr (%) | 89.50      | 100.00 | 57.90    | 89.50  |
| **A. niger**                    | INC     | 2.60 a     | 1.10 b | 0.40 b   | 0.00 b  | 0.00 b |
|                                 | Ctr (%) | 57.70      | 84.60  | 100.00   | 100.00 |
| **Curvularia lunata**           | INC     | 1.40 a     | 0.20 b | 0.00 b   | 0.00 b  | 0.70 ab |
|                                 | Ctr (%) | 85.70      | 100.00 | 100.00   | 50.00  |
| **Fusarium sp.**                | INC     | 0.60 ab    | 0.00 b | 1.00 a   | 0.00 b  | 0.00 b |
|                                 | Ctr (%) | 100.00     | NC     | 100.00   | 100.00 |
| **Rhizopus stolonifer**         | INC     | 2.30 a     | 0.00 b | 0.00 b   | 0.00 b  | 0.00 b |
|                                 | Ctr (%) | 100.00     | 100.00 | 100.00   | 100.00 |
| **Phoma sp.**                   | INC     | 1.50 a     | 0.40 ab | 0.80 ab | 0.00 b  | 0.30 ab |
|                                 | Ctr (%) | 73.30      | 46.70  | 100.00   | 80.00  |

Where INC = incidence, Ctr = control, NC = not controlled. Values followed by the same letter do not differ by the Tukey test (p ≥ 0.05). Data transformed by √x.

### Table 4. Plant pathogen control in All Big sweet pepper seeds by treatment with chemical and biological products, 2017.

| Incidence (medium nº Pathogens) | Control | Carbendazin | Thiophanate methyl | Azoxtrobin +Thiran | Tebuconazole | Quality* | Rizos |
|---------------------------------|---------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|----------|-------|
| **Aspergillus sp.**             | INC     | 1.20 a      | 0.00 b             | 0.00 b             | 0.00 b       | 0.00 b   | 0.00 b |
|                                 | Ctr (%) | 100.00      | 100.00             | 100.00             | 100.00       | 100.00   | 100.00 |
| **A. flavus**                   | INC     | 1.90 a      | 0.00 b             | 0.00 b             | 0.00 b       | 0.00 b   | 0.00 b |
|                                 | Ctr (%) | 100.00      | 100.00             | 100.00             | 100.00       | 100.00   | 100.00 |
| **A. fumigatus**                | INC     | 1.70 a      | 0.00 b             | 0.00 b             | 0.00 b       | 0.00 b   | 0.50 b |
|                                 | Ctr (%) | 100.00      | 100.00             | 100.00             | 100.00       | 100.00   | 70.60 |
| **A. niger**                    | INC     | 2.20 a      | 0.00 c             | 0.00 c             | 0.00 c       | 0.00 c   | 0.90 b |
|                                 | Ctr (%) | 100.00      | 100.00             | 100.00             | 100.00       | 100.00   | 59.00 |
| **Curvularia lunata**           | INC     | 1.60 a      | 0.20 b             | 0.00 b             | 0.00 b       | 0.00 b   | 0.20 b |
|                                 | Ctr (%) | 94.00       | 100.00             | 100.00             | 100.00       | 100.00   | 94.00 |
| **Fusarium sp.**                | INC     | 0.90 a      | 0.00 b             | 0.00 b             | 0.00 b       | 0.00 b   | 0.00 b |
|                                 | Ctr (%) | 100.00      | 100.00             | 100.00             | 100.00       | 100.00   | 100.00 |
| **Rhizopus stolonifer**         | INC     | 3.60 a      | 0.00 b             | 0.00 b             | 0.70 b       | 0.00 b   | 0.00 b |
|                                 | Ctr (%) | 100.00      | 100.00             | 80.60              | 100.00       | 100.00   | 100.00 |

Where INC = incidence, Ctr = control. Values followed by the same letter do not differ by the Tukey test (p ≥ 0.05). Data transformed by √x.
The products used were shown to be efficient in controlling the plant pathogens present in the sweet pepper seeds, especially the fungicides Thiophanate methyl and Tebuconazole and the Quality® biological product in controlling 100% of all the plant pathogens identified.

The identified fungi, belonging to the genera *Aspergillus* sp. *Curvularia lunata* *Fusarium e Rhizopus* sp., were controlled 100% by the fungicides Thiophanate methyl and Tebuconazole. Similar results were described by Fischer et al. (2012) when the effects were assessed of 10 fungicides on reduction of mycelial growth of the causal agents of anthracnose (*C. gloeosporioides* and *C. acutatum*) and guava black spot (*Guignardia psidii* Ullasa & Rawal [Anamorfo: *Phylllosticta psidiicola* (Petrák)]), where the fungicides Carbendazim and Thiophanate methyl inhibited over 60% of the *C. gloeosporioides* growth, but for the *C. acutatum* isolates the fungicides Mancozebe, Thiophanate methyl and Captan were not efficient.

Treatment with Carbendazim controlled up to 100% of the plant pathogens and did not interfere in the seed germination. Different results were reported by Fantinel et al. (2015), *Acca sellowiana* (O. Berg) Burret seeds, observed that treatment with Carbendazim, although it eradicated the fungi *Aspergillus flavus* and *A. niger*, *Phomopsis* sp. and *Alternaria* sp., was toxic to seed germination.

The biological product Quality® eradicated all the fungi identified. Corroborating with these results, Lazarotto et al. (2013) observed that cedar seeds (*Cedrella fissilis* L.) treated with chemical and biological product based on *Trichoderma* sp. and the two combined (biological + chemical) eradicated the pathogen (*Rhizoctonia* sp.) that was naturally infecting the seeds. Oliveira et al. (2016) observed that fungitoxic activity of the antagonists *Trichoderma* sp. and *B. subtilis*, *in vitro* on *Colletotrichum musae* mycelial growth with reductions of 84.0% and 74.0%, respectively, and *in vivo* the *Trichoderma* sp. isolates were more efficient with 56% anthracnose inhibition in the banana.

The plant pathogen fungi reproduction structures, the spores, are a large potential for infection in plants and are easily disseminated. Thus the results of this study indicate that the efficiency of the product is related to its capacity to inhibit the pathogenic agent, in the various action mechanisms of the chemical and biological products such as inhibiting the germination tube emission and in the degradation of the pathogen cell wall or by the release of volatile and non-volatile secondary metabolites via hyperparasitism, in addition to competition for oxygen, nutrients and space.

**In vitro treatment with biological control**

In the *in vitro* experiment, with biological control, where the seeds were microbiolized with *Bacillus* isolates, the genera *Aspergillus* sp., *Curvularia sp., Fusarium sp. and Rhizopus sp. predominated (Table 5). For most of the fungi identified, all the *Bacillus* isolates differed significantly from the control, with no differences except for the fungus *Fusarium* sp. that did not show statistical difference.

Microbiolization with *Bacillus* isolates controlled up to 100% of the fungi identified in all the seeds. Regarding the potential for plant pathogen reduction, the isolates of *Bacillus, Bacillus pumilus* and *Bacillus licheniformis* isolates microbiolized in soybean seeds also reduced the plant pathogens by 99.83% and 99.22%, respectively (Bezerra et al., 2013).

Regarding the effect of the different *Bacillus* isolates on microbial antagonism, there was no significant difference among the isolates tested, but they were significantly efficient in reducing the fungus colonies.

There are several studies in the literature that confirm antagonism of the genus *Bacillus* to plant pathogens, such as the findings by Oliveira et al. (2016), when testing the inhibition potential of the antagonists *Levedura IA8* (L), *Trichoderma* sp. (TR) and *B. subtilis* (Bs) in banana anthracnose (*Colletotrichum musae*) control. Rios Velasco et al. (2016) also observed that *Bacillus* species cause the inhibition of *Botrytis cinerea* Pers. fungus colonies with up to 69% root growth inhibition (PRGI).

In the present research, *B. methylotrophicus* eradicated all the plant pathogens, including the fungus *Fusarium* sp. It is

### Table 5. Plant pathogen control associated to the All Big sweet pepper seeds, microbiolized with *Bacillus* sp. isolates. 2017.

| Incidence (medium nº Pathogens) | Treatment | Control | MGSS 274 | MGSS 272 | MGSS 273 | Bacillus | MG SS271 |
|-------------------------------|-----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| **Aspergillus sp.**            |           |         |          |          |          |          |          |
| INC                           | 1.30 a    | 0.00 b  | 0.00 b   | 0.00 b   | 0.00 b   | 0.00 b   | 0.00 b   |
| Ctr (%)                       | 100.00    | 100.00  | 100.00   | 100.00   | 100.00   | 100.00   | 100.00   |
| **A. flavus**                 |           |         |          |          |          |          |          |
| INC                           | 2.40 a    | 0.00 b  | 0.30 b   | 0.00 b   | 0.70 b   | 0.00 b   | 0.00 b   |
| Ctr (%)                       | 100.00    | 87.50   | 100.00   | 70.80    | 100.00   | 100.00   |          |
| **A. fumigatus**              |           |         |          |          |          |          |          |
| INC                           | 2.20 a    | 0.00 b  | 0.00 b   | 0.40 b   | 0.00 b   | 0.00 b   | 0.00 b   |
| Ctr (%)                       | 100.00    | 100.00  | 81.80    | 100.00   | 100.00   |          |          |
| **A. niger**                  |           |         |          |          |          |          |          |
| INC                           | 2.80 a    | 0.00 b  | 0.40 b   | 0.60 b   | 0.60 b   | 0.40 b   | 0.40 b   |
| Ctr (%)                       | 100.00    | 85.70   | 78.60    | 78.60    | 85.70    |          |          |
| **Curvularia lunata**         |           |         |          |          |          |          |          |
| INC                           | 2.10 a    | 0.00 b  | 0.30 b   | 0.00 b   | 0.00 b   | 0.20 b   | 0.20 b   |
| Ctr (%)                       | 100.00    | 85.70   | 100.00   | 100.00   | 100.00   | 90.50    |          |
| **Fusarium sp.**              |           |         |          |          |          |          |          |
| INC                           | 0.90 a    | 0.00 a  | 0.30 a   | 0.20 a   | 0.00 a   | 0.00 a   | 0.00 a   |
| Ctr (%)                       | 100.00    | 66.70   | 77.80    | 100.00   | 100.00   |          |          |
| **Rhizopus stolonifer**       |           |         |          |          |          |          |          |
| INC                           | 3.00 a    | 0.00 b  | 0.00 b   | 0.00 b   | 0.00 b   | 0.00 b   | 0.00 b   |
| Ctr (%)                       | 100.00    | 100.00  | 100.00   | 100.00   | 100.00   |          |          |

Where INC = incidence, Ctr = control, MSS 272 = *B. polymyxa*; MSS 273 = *B. pentathenticus*; MSS 271 = *B. cereus* e MSS 274 = *B. methylotrophicus*. Values followed by the same letter do not differ by the Tukey test (p ≥ 0.05). Data transformed by √x.
important to emphasize that the species *B. methylotrophicus* is mentioned in the literature because of its great biocontrol potential, due to its greater antagonistic effect on plant pathogens (Rios Velasco et al., 2016; Paz et al., 2018).

In Santa Cruz cultivar tomato seeds, microbiolization with *B. methylotrophicus* helped induce systemic resistance in plants to fusarium wilt (*Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* Schlecht Snyder & Hansen) by activating the enzymes peroxidase and polyphenoloxidase and the disease was reduced by 75% (Campos Neto et al., 2018). *B. methylotrophicus* isolates also presented highly efficient fungistatic action on the mycelial growth of *Corynespora cassiicola* (Berk & Curt.) Wei causal agent of the papaya tree white spot (Paz et al., 2018).

*Bacillus* isolates present great potential for biocontrol, given their capacity to produce 1 g of anti-fungus metabolites such as surfactin, iturins and furgicins that are lipopeptide families, with anti-fungicide capacity and growth inhibition on a wide variety of plant pathogens (Lanna Filho, 2010). Thus, the *Bacillus* isolates may have acted in different ways or used different action mechanisms to inhibit the identified pathogens, either by competition, antibiotic production or the release of volatile compounds either alone or by the interaction of these mechanisms.

**Anthracnose management in sweet pepper**

Assessments made at 3, 8, 13 and 18 days after inoculating the pathogen (DAI) were not significantly different among the treatment severity means (Figure 2). However, at 23 days after inoculation, significant differences were observed among the treatments. The control treatment presented mean 4.35% severity, the treatments based on basil plant extract at 5.00%; the *Bacillus methylotrophicus* isolates and the commercial Quality® biological product presented the same results with the lowest severity values, and were statistically different from the control (*p < 0.008*).

The heat treatments at 45°C/25 minutes and Thiophanate methyl presented higher anthracnose severity values in the plants, 4.16% and 4.35%, respectively, which were equivalent to the control and did not differ from the other treatments (Figure 3).

The treatments based on *B. methylotrophicus*, Quality® (*T. asperellum*) and basil aqueous extract reduced anthracnose severity in sweet pepper and showed the inhibition potential of these treatments on the initial development of plant pathogens, with significant effect regarding the other treatments. Campos Neto et al. (2018) observed that *B. methylotrophicus* microbiolization on Santa Cruz cultivar tomato seeds reduced Fusarium wilt by almost 80% and also activated the enzymes peroxidase and polyphenoloxidase that induced the systemic resistance of the plants to the disease.

Oliveira et al. (2016) observed that the biocontrol agents *Trichoderma* sp. and *Bacillus subtilis* were efficacious in controlling banana anthracnose (*Colletotrichum musae*) in vivo, confirming the inhibition potential and the highest efficiency was obtained with *Trichoderma* sp. isolate that inhibited about 56% of the disease. *Bacillus* isolates in treatment of chick cowpea seeds for *Rhizoctonia solani*, control, etiological agent of Mela, *in vivo*, retarded disease incidence and reduced the leaf fall percentage (Schurt et al., 2017).

Similar results to those of the present research with commercial products based on *Trichoderma* ssp. were reported by Fantinel et al. (2018) in the *in vitro* control of *Colletotrichum siamense*, mainly by *Trichoderma koningiopsis* and indicated this species, with potential for studies as a biocontrol agent of guava anthracnose. In a study on biological control of tomato plant late blight caused by *Phytophthora infestans* (Mont.) de Bary, using *Trichoderma harzianum* and *T. longibrachiatum* isolates, the results up to 50 days after transplant showed the protective action of these antagonists against late blight (Souza et al., 2014). The efficiency of these *Trichoderma* species in...
disease control may be related to the peculiar characteristics of the species, such as the capacity to dribble the pathogen defense lines, competitiveness with the soil biota and resistance to abiotic factors (Bae & Knudsen, 2005).

In the present study, analysis of variance of the area underneath the disease progress curve (AUDPC) did not show significant differences between the treatments. The treatments that presented the lowest percentages of the area affected by the disease were basal at 5%, *B. methylotrophicus* isolate and Quality® (*T. asperellum*), around 51.00. Heat treatment at 45 °C/25 min. and Thiophanate methyl, resulted in values of 52.01 and 53.37 AUDPC, respectively. The non-significance of the effect of treatments on AUDPC may be associated to the fact that the treatments did not present significant differences between the means of the assessments at 3, 8 13 and 18 days after inoculation. The AUDPC variable is used by some authors because it represents the total epidemic, because it considers the disturbances that the crop is subject to at all stages of development (Hikishima et al., 2010; Marcuzzo et al., 2019). However, because it is an integral variable, obtained only after carrying out all the assessments of the end of the cycle, it is not possible to obtain responses on the damage during harvest, that may under or overestimate the results (Hikishima et al., 2010).

These results allow inference on the need to assess methods and techniques of seed treatments and the association of methods with pre-and post harvest application to make sweet pepper cropping feasible with efficient means of anthracnose control, such as non-pollutant and atoxic alternatives with no residual effect.

**Conclusions**

The alternative methods based on basil and cinnamon extracts, the use of heat therapy and adopting biological control agents based on *Bacillus methylotrophicus* and *Trichoderma asperellum* presented greater fungistatic and fungitoxic action and controlled the plant pathogens, in sweet pepper seeds.

The results obtained are an important alternative with potential to treat sweet pepper seeds and a possible support for future use in integrated disease management programs.
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