EUS-guided gallbladder drainage in patients with cirrhosis: results of a multicenter retrospective study

Introduction

Acute cholecystitis is a common medical condition, conventionally treated with cholecystectomy, either open or laparoscopic [1]. Nearly 700,000 cholecystectomies are performed annually in the United States, making it one of the most common surgeries performed [2], however, many patients with cholecystitis may be poor operative candidates due to comorbid conditions that increase risk for perioperative morbidity and mortality [3].

Percutaneous transhepatic gallbladder drainage (PTGBD) has demonstrated efficacy in temporary decompression of the gallbladder [4–6] PTGBD is limited by presence of severe coagulopathy, anatomically inaccessible gallbladders, and is associated with adverse events (AEs) including catheter dislodgment, cellulitis, pneumothorax, bleeding, and infection [7, 8].
In addition, there is a high recurrence rate of cholecystitis if the catheter is removed [9].

Endoscopic gallbladder drainage was developed to supplant the need for PTGBD in treatment of cholecystitis in patients deemed unfit for surgery due to comorbidities or advanced malignancy unable to undergo cholecystectomy [10]. Endoscopic options include endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) with transpapillary placement of a plastic stent into the cystic duct and endoscopic ultrasound-directed gallbladder drainage (EUS-GBD) [11]. ERCP and EUS-GBD have demonstrated similar efficacy, however, EUS-GBD has a higher technical success rate, particularly when the gallbladder is distended or the cystic duct is inaccessible due to tortuosity or obstruction [12].

Cirrhosis has historically been considered a relative, if not absolute, contraindication to laparoscopic cholecystectomy [3]. Gallstone prevalence in patients with cirrhosis ranges from 25% to 30%, being at least twice that of the general population with a higher incidence in decompensated cirrhosis, irrespective of etiology [13]. Untreated, cholecystitis with or without gallstone disease can lead to repeat admissions for pain, or may lead to gallbladder perforation and death [14]. Sparse data exist on use of EUS-GBD in management of cholecystitis in patients with cirrhosis. The current study aimed to describe the outcome of EUS-GBD for cholecystitis in patients with cirrhosis.

Patients and methods

This retrospective series involved two large tertiary referral centers with two therapeutic endoscopists (THB and JN) performing EUS-GBD. The institutional review board at each center approved this study. All consecutive adult patients (age ≥ 18 yr) who underwent EUS-GBD for cholecystitis between August 1, 2014 and December 31, 2018 were identified using the electronic medical record. Patients without documented cirrhosis were excluded from analysis. Clinical and procedural data were collected, including etiology of cirrhosis, Child-Pugh score at time of procedure, model for end-stage liver disease sodium (MELD-Na) score at time of procedure, indication for EUS-GBD, endoscopic data (length and diameter of stent, anastomotic location, procedural findings), procedure-related AEs, post-procedural symptoms, and clinical success, when available. The primary endpoint was the rate of technical success, defined as EUS-guided placement of a lumen-apposing metal stent (LAMS) from the gastrointestinal lumen to the gallbladder. AEs were graded according to the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy lexicon [15]. Hepatic decompensation within 30 days following the procedure was defined according to the European Association for the Study of the Liver guidelines [16]. Sepsis was defined according to the Society of Critical Care Medicine [17]. Clinical success was defined as relief of symptoms of cholecystitis.

Statistical analyses were performed using Stata version 15.1 (StataCorp, Texas, United States). All continuous variables are expressed as mean ± standard deviation and categorical variables are expressed as proportions (%). Because of a small number of events, we did not perform a logistic regression analysis. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Neither sample size nor statistical power was calculated as this was not a comparative study.

Prior to undertaking the procedure, all patients were informed of the risks, benefits, and alternatives of proceeding with EUS-GBD and each provided written informed consent. General anesthesia and fluoroscopy were used in all cases. Patients with acute cholecystitis were continued on their antibiotic therapy; in the absence of infection, pre-procedural antibiotics were not routinely administered. The technique of EUS-GBD was performed as follows: a standard therapeutic channel oblique linear echoendoscope (GF-UCT180, Olympus America, Center Valley, Pennsylvania, United States) was passed into the duodenum, stomach (3 cases) or jejunum (1 case) to visualize the gallbladder. Interposing vessels were identified by Doppler so that they could be avoided. One of two approaches was used. In the first, a 19G needle (Expect, Boston Scientific, Marlborough, Massachusetts, United States) preloaded with water-soluble contrast was used to puncture the gastrointestinal lumen into the gallbladder and entry was confirmed by contrast injection (Fig.1). The needle was flushed with saline and a 0.025-inch, 450-cm hydrophilic-tipped guidewire (VisiGlide, Olympus) was passed into the gallbladder (Fig. 2). The needle was withdrawn and the LAMS (AXIOS-EC, Boston Scientific, Marlborough, Massachusetts, United States) and electrocautery device was introduced through the working channel. Current was applied to the cautery tip and advanced into the gallbladder over the guidewire, with the distal portion deployed into the gallbladder and proximal into the gastrointestinal lumen (Fig. 3). In the latter cases and when the gallbladder was distended, the electrocautery-enhanced LAMS was passed...
directly into the gallbladder without a guidewire. In all technically successful cases performed by one endoscopist (THB) a 7Fr double pigtail plastic stent was placed within the LAMS over a guidewire.

Results

A total of 15 patients (UNC: 12, WVU: 3, 9 females, 6 males) met inclusion criteria and underwent EUS-GBD during the study period. Mean patient age was 61 ± 17.1 years, mean MELD-Na 15 ± 7, and Child-Pugh classes were A (n = 3), B (n = 10) and C (n = 2) (Table 1). Etiology of cirrhosis was HCV (n = 2), alcohol (n = 4), non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (n = 8), and autoimmune hepatitis (n = 1). Indications for EUS-GBD included acute cholecystitis (n = 11), chronic cholecystitis (n = 2), and recurrent gallstone pancreatitis (n = 2). Nine patients had undergone surgical evaluation and been deemed to have a prohibitively high risk for perioperative morbidity and mortality. Three patients had previously had a percutaneous drain placed and EUS-GBD was being performed for gallbladder drain internalization. Three patients were septic at the time of the procedure.

The technical success rate for EUS-GBD was 93.3% (n = 14) (Table 2). Mean procedure time was 64 ± 59 minutes and initial puncture site was duodenum (n = 11), stomach (n = 3) and

---

**Table 1** Patient demographics.

| EUS-GBD patients with cirrhosis (n=15) |   |
|----------------------------------------|---|
| Age, mean ± SD                         | 61 ± 17.1|
| Number of females (%)                  | 9 60 |
| Etiology of cirrhosis                  |   |
| Chronic Hepatitis C virus, n (%)       | 2 13|
| Alcohol, n (%)                         | 4 27|
| Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, n (%) | 8 53|
| Autoimmune, n (%)                      | 1 7|
| Child-Pugh class                       |   |
| A                                      | 3 20|
| B                                      | 10 67|
| C                                      | 2 13|
| Ascites grade at time of procedure     |   |
| 0                                      | 5 33|
| 1                                      | 7 47|
| 2                                      | 3 20|
| 3                                      | 0 0|
| INR at time of procedure               |   |
| <1.5                                   | 8 53|
| 1.5 – 2.5                              | 7 47|
| MELD-Na, mean ± SD                     | 15 ± 7|
| Indication for EUS-GBD                 |   |
| Acute cholecystitis, n (%)              | 11 73|
| Chronic cholecystitis, n (%)            | 2 13|
| Recurrent gallstone pancreatitis, n (%) | 2 13|
| Surgical consultation for cholecystectomy, n (%) | 9 60|
| Indwelling percutaneous cholecystostomy tube, n (%) | 3 20|

EUS-GBD, endoscopic ultrasound-guided gallbladder drainage; SD, standard deviation; INR, international normalized ratio; MELD, model for end-stage liver disease.
The EUS-GBD stent was endoscopically removed in seven patients (46.6%) after a mean of 179 ± 230 days; cholecystitis did not recur in any of these patients. No patients later underwent cholecystectomy, as their operative candidacy did not improve. One patient went on to receive an orthotopic liver transplant; [18,19] operative documentation noted increased surgical complexity due to the dense scarring of the porta hepatitis with the duodenum adherent to the gallbladder fossa, making dissection extremely challenging due to deformed anatomy and scar tissue. The duodenotomy created by the EUS-GBD was oversewn with gastric decompression via nasogastric tube and total parenteral nutrition. Eight patients did not have their stents removed and EUS-GBD served as destination therapy. Fourteen patients (93.3%) went on to achieve clinical success, with relief of symptoms of cholecystitis.

Two AEs occurred in this cohort (13.3%). One patient developed pancreatitis 1 week after stent placement and was managed supportively; this was considered a mild AE. In a second patient, the LAMS was maldeployed with the distal end in the gallbladder and the proximal end between the gastric wall and gallbladder; this was managed surgically with an ultimate favorable clinical outcome, but was considered a severe AE. One patient with Child-Pugh class C cirrhosis was admitted 3 weeks after the procedure for hepatic decompensation including gastrointestinal hemorrhage, hepatic encephalopathy, and worsening ascites. Mean length of follow-up post-procedure was 373 ± 367.3 days. One death (6.6%) occurred due to underlying malignancy in a patient with stage IV pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; this occurred 37 days following the procedure after the patient was on home hospice care.

**Discussion**

Patients with cirrhosis and symptomatic gallbladder disease offer unique challenges and require a tailored approach to therapy. This group of patients is more likely to develop surgical complications including bleeding due to impaired coagulation, infection due to compromised immune function, and poor wound healing due to malnutrition and/or ascites [20]. Cholecystectomy is a particularly high-risk surgery in this patient population, particular in patients with Child-Pugh Class C cirrhosis, hypoalbuminemia, and portal hypertension [21]. Management of cirrhotic patients with acute or chronic cholecystitis has largely employed percutaneous drainage for gallbladder decompression. For most patients in this demographic, the drain is often destination therapy, without plans for cholecystectomy at a later date as their operative candidacy does not meet the distance between gallbladder and gastrointestinal lumen. In nine cases (60%), a plastic pigtail stent was placed within the metal stent to decrease risk for stent occlusion; plastic stents were lengths of 3 cm (n = 1), 4 cm (n = 7) or 7 cm (n = 1) with diameters of 7 French (n = 8) or 10 French (n = 1). In the two patients with recurrent gallstone pancreatitis, one patient had a pre-procedural magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography demonstrating no stones in the common bile duct and the other patient had an ERCP with removal of choledocholithiasis prior to EUS-GBD.

*Table 2* Procedural and outcomes data.

| EUS-GBD in patients with cirrhosis (n = 15) |   |
|---------------------------------------------|---|
| Technical success, n (%)                    | 14 (93.3) |
| Procedure time, mean ± SD, minutes          | 64 ± 59 |
| Initial puncture site                        |   |
| Duodenum                                     | 11 |
| Stomach                                      | 3 |
| Jejunum                                      | 1 |
| Portion of gallbladder used                  |   |
| Neck                                         | 4 |
| Body                                         | 11 |
| Gallbladder wall thickness, mean ± SD, mm    | 7 ± 3.6 |
| Stent diameters                              |   |
| 10 mm                                        | 12 |
| 15 mm                                        | 3 |
| Coaxial plastic stent placement, n (%)       | 9 (60) |
| Clinical outcome                            |   |
| Outpatient cases, n (%)                      | 3 (20) |
| Length of hospital stay post-procedure, mean ± SD, days | 2.9 ± 3.9 |
| Adverse events (severity grade)              |   |
| Postprocedural pancreatitis, mild            | 1 (6.7) |
| Stent misdeploy requiring surgery, severe    | 1 (6.7) |
| Patients with hepatic decompensation following EUS-GBD, n (%) | 1 (6.7) |
| Eventual EUS-GBD stent exchange with plastic stent, n (%) | 7 (46.6) |
| Length of time stent left in place prior to exchange, mean ± SD, days | 179 ± 230 |
| Patients who went on to orthotopic liver transplant, n (%) | 1 (6.7) |
| Length of follow-up, median (IQR)           | 174, 58, 674 (58, 674) |
| Patients with clinical success, n (%)        | 14 (93.0) |
| Deaths during follow-up period, n (%)        | 1 (6.7) |

EUS-GBD, endoscopic ultrasound-guided gallbladder drainage; SD, standard deviation; IQR, interquartile range.
improve over time. Percutaneous gallbladder drains carry a risk of dislodgement, which may be increased by ascites or hepatic encephalopathy. Another option for management of cholecystitis in cirrhotic patients is transpapillary cystic duct placement via ERCP [22]. This procedure may be challenging due to acute angulation in the cystic duct or obstruction from choledocholithiasis. In addition, long-term drainage is a concern due to the narrow diameter of the plastic stent used. A third nonsurgical option for management of gallbladder disease has arisen in recent years in the form of EUS-GBD, however, little data exist on its use in patients with cirrhosis.

The current study was a multicenter, retrospective analysis of consecutive patients with cirrhosis who underwent EUS-GBD for management of non-operative gallbladder disease. This was a heterogeneous group with a wide age range (33 – 91 years) and diverse underlying etiologies for liver disease. The MELD-Na score was a mean of 15, which correlates in most studies with an estimated 3-month mortality of 6.0% [23]. The mortality rate in our cohort (6.6%) approximates this estimate with one patient death during follow up; however, the cause of death was not directly related to cirrhosis, but rather, metastatic pancreatic cancer.

In our cohort, one patient with cirrhosis due to autoimmune hepatitis underwent EUS-GBD for management of acute cholecystitis and later on to receive an orthotopic liver transplant. On review of pre-procedural imaging, ERCP with transpapillary cystic duct stenting was not felt to be possible and PTGBD was limited by hepatic encephalopathy with concern for high risk of drain dislodgement. Though EUS-GBD was felt to be the best option at the time of the patient’s critical illness from acute cholecystitis, we feel that in the majority of cases, EUS-GBD should not be used in patients being considered for liver transplantation. The added surgical complexity from gallbladder scarring and duodenotomy may prohibit the patient from undergoing the life-saving operation, an outcome that no endoscopist would wish for their patient if it can be prevented. The decision to perform EUS-GBD should be made in a multidisciplinary fashion, with agreement from the transplant surgery team when applicable.

Long-term placement of an expandable metal stent into the gallbladder is not advisable as there are concerns for delayed bleeding and perforation. In addition, removal after a prolonged indwelling period may not possible due to degradation of the stent covering; this could hypothetically lead to obstruction from tissue hyperplasia. However, there is currently no agreed upon duration to leave an expandable metal stent in place following EUS-GBD. Our typical approach to stent exchange is similar to the strategy employed in walled-off pancreatic necrosis drainage. In most cases of EUS-GBD, we electively replace the stent after tract maturation (2 – 4 weeks) with plastic pigtail stents to allow for continuous drainage without concern for recurrent cholecystitis. This plastic stent can be placed in most circumstances with a forward-viewing upper endoscope and provides an opportunity to assess the fistulous tract and extract accessible gallstones if present.

Limitations of the current study include retrospective design and relatively limited sample size. In addition, few patients with advanced cirrhosis, as determined by Child-Pugh Class, were included in this study. This is likely due to patient selection factors including increased risk for post-procedural bleeding, technical complexity of stent placement with comorbid ascites, and risk for infection due to impaired immune function. Future studies should be performed in a prospective fashion, ideally in a randomized controlled trial against either PTGBD or ERCP with transpapillary cystic duct stent placement.

**Conclusion**

In summary, the current study shows the feasibility of using EUS-GBD in patients with cirrhosis and gallbladder disease who are deemed nonsurgical candidates due to perioperative risk. This approach, using a LAMS, is safe and efficacious in management of gallbladder disease in this patient population. We believe such an approach will be preferred over percutaneous therapy, however, further studies are needed to determine optimal patient selection and procedural technique. As the growing burden of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease leads to an increasing patient population with comorbid cirrhosis and gallstone disease, further innovation is needed to effectively care for these patients.
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