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ABSTRACT: Premature rupture of membrane before 37 wks. gestation is known as preterm premature rupture of membrane (PPROM). Aim of this study is to apply recommended management in PPROM so as to have best maternal and fetal outcome and to study various maternal and neonatal complications and various neonatal morbidities in relation to gestation age (GA) and birth weight. METHOD: 200 patients with PPROM were included in the study. Maternal and fetal status closely monitored, cases were followed during delivery and postnatally, mode of delivery, total weight, APGAR score, maternal and fetal outcome recorded. Statistical analysis was done by using chi square test. RESULTS: 26.5% cases were PPROM remote from term and 73.5% cases were PPROM near term. PPROM nearer the term less was the latency. Out of 200 PPROM cases 171 delivered vaginally and 29 delivered by LSCS. Maternal morbidity was observed in 33 cases. In this study 95% live birth and 5% were still birth. RDS was the commonest neonatal morbidities 32% followed by neonatal sepsis 20.0%. CONCLUSION: Premature infant puts immense burden on the economy and health care resources of the country. Therefore, management of PPROM requires accurate diagnosis and evaluation of the risks and benefits of continued pregnancy or expeditious delivery. KEYWORDS: Preterm Premature Rupture of Membrane (PPROM), Neonatal Death (NND), Neonatal Sepsis (NNS), Intraventricular Hemorrhage (IVH).

INTRODUCTION: Premature rupture of membrane (PROM) is the rupture of the fetal membranes before the onset of labor. In most cases this occurs near term, but when membrane rupture occurs before 37 weeks gestation it is known as preterm premature rupture of membrane (PPROM). It is one of the leading identifiable causes of pre-maturity. PPROM is a condition that occurs in 3% of all pregnancies and is responsible for approximately 30% of all preterm deliveries¹. Eighty five percent of neonatal morbidity and mortality is result of prematurity. PPROM remote from term is associated with significant perinatal morbidity and mortality that decreases with advancing gestational age at delivery. Alternatively, PPROM near term with expeditious delivery of non-infected and non-asphyxiated infants is associated with a high likelihood of survival and a low risk of severe morbidity.

When PROM occurs remote from term, significant risks of morbidity and mortality are present for both the mother and fetus. Thus, the physician caring for the pregnant woman plays an important role in management and need to be familiar with potential complications and possible interventions to minimize risks and maximize the probability of the desired outcome.

There is an enhanced risk of cord compression/prolapse and infectious morbidity, particularly so if cesarean section becomes eventually necessary. Approximately two-thirds of the patients with PROM are delivered within the next 4 days and the rest within 1 week. The time between the rupture of membranes and onset of labor (latent period) may extend from hours to days, generally shorter the gestation period longer the latent period.
At present our goals as far as the preventive aspects are concerned concentrate upon minimizing or avoiding the development of the most serious complication namely sepsis. It is recognized that the likelihood of sepsis increases as a function of time from the moment the fetal membranes rupture. Fetal infection may occur when maternal vital signs are normal. Moreover the question of the long term sequelae in the newborn to prolong exposure to intrauterine infection still needs to be answered. The fact that fetal and neonatal results should not be gauged by perinatal mortality rates only, but should include the ultimate outcome of the infants some months or a year or more following birth.

PROM is such a common and important event in obstetrics that it is surprising to find a tremendous divergence of opinion concerning its proper management. At the outset it can be said that as yet no one management strategy has emerged superior to all others.

MATERIAL AND METHODS: The present prospective study was conducted in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, S.S. Medical College and associated Gandhi Memorial Hospital, Rewa. The study was conducted on spontaneous preterm premature rupture of membrane on 200 cases.

All patients from July 2010 to October 2011, who presented with preterm premature rupture of membrane before 37 completed weeks of gestation included in the study.

Detailed history regarding age, education and social status of patients and dietary habits of patients were recorded. Patients were classified into booked, partially booked/unbooked according to number of antenatal visits. The obstetric history included duration of gestation estimated by naegele’s rule (1st day of LMP) and confirmed by recorded PV examination of 1st trimester and any 1st/2nd trimester sonography if available. Duration of leaking calculated from time since leaking started.

Detail past obstetric history of patient was recorded. An account was taken regarding duration of gestation of previous pregnancies, mode of their termination and outcome with a view to find out whether it was responsible for premature rupture of membrane (eg. incompetent OS, repeated miscarriage or malformation of fetus).

Complete physical examinations of patients were conducted. It included general examination, to note for any evidence of occult /overt infection and systemic examination was conducted to rule out possible causes of PPROM: e.g. pregnancy induced hypertension, heart diseases, respiratory diseases etc. Obstetric examination included a record of height of uterus, presentation of fetus, presence or absence of fetal heart sound, presence of liquor, presence of uterine contraction.

PPROM were confirmed if on speculum examination, there was amniotic fluid seen draining through the cervical OS along with reduced amniotic fluid index on ultrasound. In equivocal cases nitrazene test was performed for confirmation.

Laboratory investigation included blood group, hemoglobin percentage, total and differential white cell count and ESR. In cases of suspected infection high vaginal swab culture and sensitivity was sent.

All patients with PPROM were put on conservative management if no signs of infection were present. Active management was done if any sign of infection was present.

Administration of prophylactic antibiotics initially intravenous ampicillin 2gm followed by 1gm IV every 6 hourly for 24 hours followed by amoxicillin 250mg orally every 8 hourly for 10 days,
and administration of betamethasone 12mg intramuscularly (2 doses 24 hours apart) in pregnancies less than 34 weeks.

Maternal and fetal status was closely monitored for development of chorioamnionitis, labor or fetal compromise. The criteria for maternal infection was temperature >38°C with one or more of the following signs, uterine tenderness, fetal or maternal tachycardia or foul smelling amniotic fluid draining per vaginum in absence of any obvious reason for elevated temperature.

Expectant management was abandoned and delivery expedited if any of the following criteria were noted chorioamnionitis, active labor, fetal compromise, gestational age 36 weeks or more.

Patients were followed during labor, delivery and postnatally. Mode of delivery, fetal weight, APGAR score, and maternal and neonatal outcome were recorded including composite major neonatal morbidities (RDs, IVH, NEC, NNS, Pneumonitis) maternal infection morbidity (endometrium or chorioamnionitis) and neonatal and maternal length of stay.

The results were analyzed regarding their correlation with demographic and obstetrical variable along with mode of delivery low birth weight, perinatal morbidity and mortality and maternal morbidity. Statistical analysis was done by using chi square test.

RESULT: Among 11072 deliveries 200 women with PPROM identified so the incidence of PPROM was 1.8% out of which 26.5% cases were between 28-30 weeks, 33.5% between 31-33 weeks and 40.0% were between 34-36 weeks.

In this study maximum numbers of women 118 (59.0%) were between 21-25 years and mean age was 23.52±3.70 due to early marriages and short birth interval. Most of the patients included in study belong to low socioeconomic status. Out of 200 cases 50% cases were unbooked and 31% partially booked.

Incidence of PPROM was 50% in primigravida, 27.5% in gravida II and 23.0% in multi. History of previous preterm deliveries present in 37 (18.5%) cases and 50 (25.0%) women had history of abortion. Latent period which is the time from membrane rupture until delivery is inversely proportional to the gestational age at which PPROM occurred. 6.8% cases with PROM at 28-30 weeks had latency ≤ 24 hours while 57.1% cases at same GA had latency ≤ 72 hours. Similarly 68.18% cases at 34-36 weeks had latency ≤ 24 hours and 4.76% cases at same GA had latency < 72 hours.

| S.No. | Latency | 28-30 wks. | 31-33 wks. | 34-36 wks. |
|-------|---------|------------|------------|------------|
| 1.    | ≤ 24 hrs. (44) | 3 (6.8%)   | 11 (25%)   | 30 (68.18%) |
| 2.    | 25-48 hrs. (82) | 8 (9.8%)   | 30 (36.5%) | 44 (53.6%)  |
| 3.    | 49-72 hrs. (32) | 18 (56.2%) | 10 (31.2%) | 04 (12.5%)  |
| 4.    | > 72 hrs. (42)  | 24 (57.1%) | 16 (38%)   | 02 (4.76%)  |
| Total | 200      | 53         | 67         | 80         |

Table No. 1: Relation of GA with Latency

χ²=73.821 Degree of freedom=6 p<0.0001 Significant

In this study 85.5% had vaginal delivery while 14.5% delivered by cesarean section. There were no instrumental deliveries. Out of 200 cases 40 women had maternal complication, 5 (2.5%)
women with PPROM were admitted with chorioamnionitis. None of the patient developed chorioamnionitis while on expectant management. Abruptio developed in 4%; PPH in 3.0% and puerperal pyrexia in 8.0%. In present study risk of chorioamnionitis and abruption increased proportionally with latency. Maximum occurrence 60.0% of chorioamnionitis was in cases with latent period >72 hours. Similarly risk of abruption increased (50.0%) with increased latency period due to decreased liquor volume. Puerperal pyrexia increased proportionally with latency maximum 37.5% cases had pyrexia after 72 hours latency. Table no. 2.

| S. No. | Maternal Morbidity | <24 hrs. | 25-48 | 49-72 | >72 |
|--------|---------------------|----------|-------|-------|-----|
| 1.     | Chorioamnionitis n=5| 0        | 1(20%)| 1(20%)| 3(60%)|
| 2.     | Abruptio n=8        | 0        | 2(25%)| 2(25%)| 4(50%)|
| 3.     | PPH n=6             | 03 (50.0)| 03(50%)| 0    | 0   |
| 4.     | Puerperal Pyrexia n=16| 1(6.2%)| 4(25.0%)| 5(31.2%)| 6(37.5%)|

Table No. 2: Maternal morbidity in relation to latency

χ²=16.059  Degree of freedom=9  p<0.0657 Not significant

Perinatal Complications: In present study out of total neonatal morbidities and co-morbidities, most common mortality was respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) in 30.0%, neonatal sepsis (NNS) in 20.0%, while that of pneumonia, necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC), intraventricular hemorrhage (IVS) were 5.0%, 2.5%, 2.5% respectively. table no. 3

|       | n=64 RDS | n=40 NNS | n=10 Pneumonia | n=5 IVH | n=5 NEC |
|-------|----------|----------|----------------|---------|---------|
| 28-30 (n=53) | 46 (86%) | 26(49%) | 6(11.3%) | 3(5.6%) | 5(9.4%) |
| 31-33 (n=67) | 14(20.8%) | 10(14.9%) | 2(2.9%) | 2(2.9%) | 0 |
| 34-36 (n=80) | 4(5%) | 4(5%) | 2(2.5%) | 0 | 0 |

Table No. 3: Relation of GA to Neonatal morbidities

χ²=6.003  Degree of freedom=8  p<0.646 Not significant

Most of neonatal morbidities were found at 28-30 weeks gestation, RDS in 46 (86.0%), neonatal sepsis in 26 (49.0%) and pneumonia in 6 (11.3%) babies while at 34-36 weeks respiratory distress syndrome in 4 (5.0%), neonatal sepsis in 4 (5.0%), pneumonia in 2 (2.5%) babies. Number of babies with low Apgar score who also required advanced resuscitation was 52.0%. Neonatal death occurred in 1.5% babies having Apgar score <3 at 5 min.

In this study 95% were live births and 5.0% were still births. All 190 live babies were admitted in NICU, out of them 14.2% were neonatal deaths and 86.0% survived. Maximum still birth 44.4% and neonatal death 22.2% were babies of ≤ 1kg but with increasing birth weight survival rate increased to 100% for babies of > 2.5kg and no still birth, neonatal death found. table no. 4.
| S.No. | Birth Weight | SB n=10 | NND n=21 | Survival n=169 |
|-------|--------------|---------|---------|---------------|
| 1.    | ≤ 1 kg =9    | 4 (44.4)| 2 (22.2)| 03 (33.33)    |
| 2.    | 1.1-1.5 =53  | 4 (7.5)| 13 (24.5)| 36 (67.9)    |
| 3.    | 1.6-2 =66    | 1 (1.5)| 6 (9.0)| 59 (89.3)    |
| 4.    | 2.1-2.5 =63  | 1 (1.5)| 0       | 62 (98.4)    |
| 5.    | >2.5 =9      | 0       | 0       | 09 (100.0)    |

Table no. 4: Neonatal outcome according to birth weight (n=200)

χ²=63.444 Degree of freedom=8 p<0.0001 Significant

Maximum still birth (11.3%) and neonatal death 24.5%were in babies belonging to 28-30 weeks of gestation but with increasing gestational age survival increased to 98.75% at 34-36 weeks of gestation with no neonatal death. table no. 5.

| S.No. | Gestational age | SB n=10 | NND n=21 | Survival n=169 |
|-------|-----------------|---------|---------|---------------|
| 1.    | 28-30 =53       | 6(11.3%)| 13(24.5%)| 34 (64.15%)   |
| 2.    | 31-33 =67       | 3(4.4%) | 8(11.9%) | 56 (83.5%)    |
| 3.    | 34-36 =80       | 1(1.25%)| 0       | 79 (98.75%)   |

Table No. 5: Neonatal outcome according to GA (n=200)

χ²=29.514 Degree of freedom=4 p<0.0001 Significant

DISCUSSION: Most Studies found higher incidence of PPROM 68.8% in women belonging to low socioeconomic status. In study by Arij Faksh Doa et al² incidence of PPROM was 64.5% in primigravida, 17.8% in gravida II and 17.75% in multi gravida. While in study by Joelle M Lieman et al³ found 43% women with PPROM were primigravida, incidence of PPROM was 7.2% in which 48.8% cases were between 34-36, 26% between 31-33 weeks, 14.4% between 28-30 weeks, 3.4% at 27 weeks and 7.2% at less than 26 weeks.

Hannah ME et al⁴ studied patients at term and revealed that 95% of patients delivered within one day of PROM.

Study by Tahir, Aleem M et al⁵ cesarean section rate was 14% whereas in study by Fatemeh Tauassoli et al⁶ cesarean section rate was 32.0%.

Prospective study by Fatemeh Tauassoli et al⁶ had incidence of chorioamnionitis 5% and that of placental abruption was 5.8% which is close to present study. Women at high risk of infection because of membrane rupture, prolonged labor and multiple cervical examinations have 5-6% incidence of metritis after vaginal delivery. If there occurs intrapartum chorioamnionitis risk of persistent uterine infection increases to 13%⁷.

Mercer BM et al⁸ in their study demonstrated significant reduction in the incidence of chorioamnionitis (11%) in the women with immediate delivery following PPROM as compared with those in expectant management group (28%).
Sims EJ et al\(^9\) reported 17% rate of RDS in neonates with maternal PPROM. Incidence of RDS in present study was higher. This result may be effect of higher rates of neonatal survival when delivery occurred at an earlier gestational age possibly indicating that the complications witnessed were related more closely to the effect of preterm birth rather than PPROM.

Joelle M et al\(^3\) found that RDS was the most common major morbidity noted across each gestational age group. Its incidence along with composite major neonatal morbidities was significantly higher among pregnancies delivered at 33 wks. or less weeks of gestation after PPROM as compared with those who delivered at 36 weeks.

In study by Taylor and Graite\(^10\) Perinatal mortality was 25% while in present study perinatal mortality was 10.5% which is comparable to reported by Multer et al\(^11\). This is most likely as a result of use of antenatal antibiotics, corticosteroid and improved neonatal care. Another reason could be that in previous studies gestational age at onset of PPROM was taken remote from viability to 32 weeks.

In retrospective study of Lee C Yang et al\(^12\) perinatal survival based on gestational age at onset of PROM was 12.1% at <23 wks., 60% at 23 weeks and 100% in 24-26 weeks gestational age group.

**CONCLUSION:** PPROM is one of the important causes of preterm birth that can result in high perinatal morbidity & mortality along with maternal morbidity. Looking after a premature infant puts immense burden on the economy and health care resources of the country. Therefore management of PPROM requires accurate diagnosis and evaluation of the risks and benefits of continued pregnancy or expeditious delivery. An understanding of gestational age dependent neonatal morbidity and mortality is important in determining the potential benefits of conservative management of preterm PROM at any gestation. It is important that the patient should be well informed regarding the potential for subsequent maternal, fetal and neonatal complications regardless of the management approach. Risk scoring strategies involving the demographic variable along with previous history of preterm deliveries should be developed to identify high risk cases and treating them prior to rupture of membrane.
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