Night-Time Temperature Reprieves Enhance the Thermal Tolerance of a Symbiotic Cnidarian
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Responses of cnidarian-Symbiodiniaceae associations to warming are determined, in part, by high-frequency temperature variability. Yet, the role of such variability in determining specific maximum temperature thresholds of cnidarian holobionts (the ecological units comprised of cnidarian hosts and associated microorganisms, including Symbiodiniaceae) remains untested. Here we contrasted the thermal resilience (that is the ability to resist stress) of a model symbiotic cnidarian from the Red Sea (jellyfish of the genus Cassiopea) under stable and diel oscillating temperature conditions that provide night-time reprieves from daily maximum temperatures. Holobionts were subjected to two thermal trajectories; one that increased but plateaued at 2°C below identified bleaching thresholds and another that increased incrementally until holobionts bleached. We used behavior, growth, photochemical efficiency, Symbiodiniaceae (symbiont) cell density, and total chlorophyll cell content to characterize thermal resilience and examined Symbiodiniaceae community composition responses at 1 and 13 days of exposure, and post-bleaching. Lower night-time temperatures, resulting in lower daily mean temperatures, allowed holobionts to withstand daily maximum temperatures close to their bleaching thresholds for two extra days than those under stable temperature conditions. Lower night-time temperatures increased the bleaching threshold of the holobionts, whereby holobionts exposed to night-time thermal reprieves tolerated a more extreme daily mean temperature of 40.6°C and reached a daily thermal maxima 4°C higher than those under stable temperature conditions. However, post-bleaching observations indicate that night-time temperature reprieves did not prevent symbiont cell or pigment loss. Symbiodiniaceae communities were unaffected by lower night-time temperatures and no directional changes indicative of symbiont shuffling/ selection of thermally tolerant lineages were observed. We show that stable experimental treatments may fail to accurately identify maximum thermal thresholds of non-calcifying cnidarians and limit their relevance to in situ environments that are often characterized by high levels of temperature fluctuations.
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INTRODUCTION

Ongoing anthropogenic climate change has heightened the need to understand potential drivers of resilience (defined here as the ability to resist stress, sensu Palumbi et al., 2008) of marine biota. This is especially urgent for the endosymbiotic relationship between cnidarian hosts and dinoflagellates of the family Symbiodiniaceae that fundamentally drives the productivity of tropical and temperate reefs worldwide (Muscatine and Porter, 1977). Indeed, the breakdown of these endosymbiotic relationships in response to warming ocean temperatures (commonly known as bleaching) has caused unprecedented degradation of coral reef ecosystems in recent decades (Hughes et al., 2018), yet our knowledge of factors driving cnidarian thermal resilience is incomplete.

Many experimental assessments of cnidarian responses to thermal stress apply static, carefully controlled elevated temperature scenarios (typically within ±1°C of mean treatment levels, e.g., Bähr et al., 2016; Horvath et al., 2016) to calcifying cnidarians. Such experimental data have been critical to our current understanding of mechanisms underlying bleaching (Lesser, 1997; Warner et al., 1999) and facilitated uniform comparisons of thermal tolerance among calcifying cnidarian taxa (Ulstrup et al., 2006; Negri et al., 2007). However, subjecting biota to stable (static) conditions can be inconsistent with the naturally dynamic temperature conditions inherent to the coastal zone and other shallow ecosystems (Putnam and Edmunds, 2011; Lima and Wethey, 2012) that cnidarians typically inhabit. Some studies of cnidarian bleaching under thermal stress incorporate temperature fluctuations into experimental thermal regimes (e.g., Grottoli et al., 2014; Krueger et al., 2015), providing realistic assessments of thermal tolerance. Although cnidarian bleaching predominantly occurs in response to elevated temperature (Glynn, 1993; Hughes et al., 2017), physiological responses to thermal stress depend on multiple factors (Fitt et al., 2001), including absolute temperature, the duration of exposure to elevated temperature, and the rate at which temperatures rise (Hoegh-Guldberg and Smith, 1989; Jokiel and Coles, 1990; Middlebrook et al., 2010).

The extent of temperature variability within coastal ecosystems is often site-specific. Temperatures may vary by up to 10°C within diel cycles (e.g., Safaie et al., 2018), and be moderated by numerous factors, including depth, tidal-flushing (Leichter and Miller, 1999), and waves (Davis et al., 2011). Field observations of coral reef ecosystems suggest that historical temperature variability (over annual to century timescales) may determine the physiological tolerance (Thompson and Van Woefsik, 2009; Oliver and Palumbi, 2011) and performance (Castillo et al., 2012) of coral holobionts under thermal stress. Cnidarian resilience to particular thermal regimes may result from host adaptation or acclimatization (Barshis et al., 2013), selection of thermally tolerant Symbiodiniaceae lineages (Hume et al., 2015), and altered bacterial microbiomes (Ziegler et al., 2017). On a global scale, “high-frequency” temperature variability (defined here as within diel cycles) can reduce the risk of coral bleaching (Safaie et al., 2018). These observations are consistent with a recent review, which reports that coral reef biota exposed to varying temperatures are more tolerant to climate change (Rivest et al., 2017). Although experimental studies are limited, cnidarian responses to thermal variation are inconsistent since oscillations in temperature can either alleviate the impacts of warming (Mayfield et al., 2012; Jiang et al., 2017) or induce more severe effects (Putnam and Edmunds, 2008, 2011). Such assessments, however, have seldom considered non-calcifying symbiotic cnidarians, which are widespread in shallow subtropical and tropical coastal waters (Muller-Parker and Davy, 2001; Ohdera et al., 2018).

Experimental assessments of temperature variability often compare cnidarian responses under stable versus variable treatments with the same mean temperature. Such comparisons, however, expose holobionts in variable treatments to daily temperatures that are alternatively higher and lower than those in stable treatments (e.g., Putnam and Edmunds, 2008; Mayfield et al., 2012). Inconsistent findings among such assessments may, in part, depend on the amplitude and nature of temperature fluctuations tested. For instance, constant exposure to 31°C inhibited settlement of Pocillopora damicornis larvae by ~50%, whereas larvae exposed to temperatures varying between 30 and 33°C exhibited no thermal stress (Jiang et al., 2017). Physiological responses of Pocillopora meandrina and Porites rus exposed to temperatures varying between 26 and 30°C were similar to those continuously exposed to 30°C, despite the mean temperature in the variable treatment being ~2°C lower (Putnam and Edmunds, 2011). The selection of maximum temperatures in variable and stable treatments is an important consideration because Jensen’s inequality predicts that cooler and warmer periods during thermal regimes will have disproportionate effects on the physiological responses of organisms (Ruel and Ayres, 1999; Denny, 2017). Indeed, cnidarians often exist close to their upper thermal limit and relatively small temperature excursions above the mean summer maximum, even for short periods (i.e., hours), can facilitate the breakdown of cnidarian-algal symbioses (Baker et al., 2008). These observations pose an interesting question as to whether night-time thermal reprieves that provide lower daily mean temperatures enhance resilience of cnidarians to daily maximum temperatures. We are not aware, however, of any experimental tests that quantify the potential role of night-time temperature reprieves from daytime temperature maxima in influencing the thermal resilience of cnidarians or algal symbiont assemblages.

To understand potential mechanisms underlying cnidarian thermal tolerance, recent studies have investigated cnidarians that inhabit naturally high and variable temperature environments (e.g., Oliver and Palumbi, 2011; Schoepf et al., 2015). Jellyfishes of the genus Cassiopea are emerging as highly versatile cnidarian models to investigate the relationship between cnidarian hosts and Symbiodiniaceae spp. in response to environmental change (Klein et al., 2017; Ohadera et al., 2018). Like their anthozoan counterparts (anemones and corals), Cassiopea spp. harbor dense intracellular populations of Symbiodiniaceae spp. and inhabit shallow tropical and sub-tropical waters (Lampert, 2016). Cassiopea spp. are
considered robust cnidarians because they can persist under a broad range of temperature and salinity conditions (Klein et al., 2016a; Morandini et al., 2017) and are prevalent in shallow lagoon systems (Lampert, 2016). These habitats are often characterized by intense light and variable yet elevated temperatures in summer relative to other well-flushed coastal waters. Nevertheless, elevated temperatures can disrupt the endosymbiotic relationship between Symbiodiniaceae spp. and Cassiopea hosts, leading to thermally induced bleaching similar to that experienced by corals and anemones (e.g., Fitt and Costley, 1998; McGill and Pomory, 2008).

Here, we characterize the thermal resilience of Cassiopea sp. holobionts from the Red Sea and report our findings in three main parts (henceforth referred to as Part I, II, and III). In Part I, we report the first observations of a natural bleaching event of Cassiopea sp. medusae in a shallow lagoon ecosystem in the central Red Sea, characterized by extreme but highly variable temperature conditions. In Part II, we tested the thermal resilience of Cassiopea sp. and in hospite Symbiodiniaceae communities under “stable” versus oscillating increasing temperature regimes with uniform maximum temperatures, where the regimes increased incrementally but “plateaued” at 2°C below their identified bleaching threshold. Specifically, we hypothesized that exposure to lower night-time temperatures would extend the period over which holobionts could withstand daily maximum temperatures close to their upper thermal threshold. As a corollary, Part III contrasted the thermal resilience of Cassiopea sp. and in hospite Symbiodiniaceae communities under “stable” versus oscillating increasing temperature regimes with the same maximum temperatures, where the regimes increased incrementally until medusae bleached. We hypothesized that holobionts exposed to lower night-time temperatures, and lower daily mean temperatures, would bleach at higher daily maximum temperatures than those exposed to “stable” increments in temperature.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Part I: Cassiopea sp. Bleaching Event Observations

Bleaching of Cassiopea sp. medusae (Supplementary Figure S1) was observed in a semi-enclosed shallow lagoon ecosystem (0.2–1.0 m depth, 22.39°N, 39.13°E) near Thuwal, Saudi Arabia, in the central Red Sea on the 12th September 2017. In situ seawater temperature data were unavailable for the shallow lagoon system at the time of bleaching so historical atmospheric temperature data were retrospectively obtained from AccuWeather® (sensu, Mueller et al., 2018) for Thuwal, Saudi Arabia. These data included atmospheric temperatures for the time of bleaching (1st June–28th September 2017) and 15-year average temperatures for the same period. Near-real-time (NRT) sea level anomaly (0.25° × 0.25° grid layer resolution) data for the same period and location were generated using E.U Copernicus Marine Service Information2 (sensu, Kürten et al., 2019).

Parts II and III: Manipulative Experimental Approach

Cassiopea sp. medusae (bell diameter range: 42–95 mm) were collected from depths of 0.3–0.6 m in the King Abdullah Economic City Lagoon (22°23′46.44″N, 39°7′25.05″E) in the Central Red Sea in November 2017. Medusae were immediately transported to the laboratory at the ambient temperature of 28°C and transferred to four 100 L aquaria filled with ambient (unfiltered) seawater. Medusae were maintained at 28°C (±0.7°C) with a salinity of 41 ppt, under a 14:10 h (light: dark) cycle of ~450 μmol photons m−2 s−1 (photosynthetic active radiation [PAR]) for 5 days, consistent with the conditions they experienced in the King Abdullah Economic City Lagoon at the time of collection. Ambient seawater flowed continuously through the aquaria at a rate of ~120 L h−1. Medusae were fed daily using a combination of newly hatched Artemia nauplii and commercial cnidarian food; Zoo-blast (Continuum Aquatics™) and Medusa-G (100–350 μm particle size, Exotic Aquaculture – Sanderia Group Ltd.).

The control treatment was maintained at 28°C and used to ensure incubator conditions were optimal for medusae health during both experiments (Parts II and III, Figures 1A,B, respectively). The “Plateau” experiment (Part II) consisted of two thermal treatments (Figure 1A). Temperature in the Stable Plateau treatment [Splat] was raised by 1°C d−1 from the ambient temperature of 28°C until the temperature reached 35°C (Figure 1A) where it was maintained for 5 days to acclimate medusae to typical summer temperature conditions. Temperature in the Splat treatment was then raised to 37°C, equivalent to 2°C below the identified bleaching threshold (B1−2 = 37°C, see Figure 1), and held at 37°C until medusae bleached (Figure 1A). The Oscillating Plateau [Oplat] treatment followed the same thermal trajectory as the Splat treatment but temperature conditions oscillated; whereby the daily maximum and minimum temperatures increased by 1°C d−1 until a 5°C difference in the minimum and maximum temperatures was attained (Figure 1A). This approach ensured that the magnitude of thermal fluctuation (daily max – min) increased incrementally from the ambient (constant) temperature of 28°C at a rate of 1°C day−1 until the magnitude of fluctuation reached 5°C d−1 after 6 days of exposure (i.e., Figure 1A). The Oplat treatment was then maintained under 5°C d−1 temperature fluctuations and held at a maximum temperature of 35°C for 5 days. After summer acclimation, maximum and minimum temperatures were raised by 2°C in the Oplat treatment and held at a maximum temperature of 37°C (Figure 1) until medusae bleached.

The “Increasing” experiment (Part III) comprised two thermal treatments (Figure 1B) and commenced 3 days prior to the “Plateau” experiment so that the bleaching threshold could be identified (B1, Figure 1B). Bleaching end-points in the Sincr and Oincr treatments were identified as Day 14 (at constant exposure to 39°C), and Day 16 (at maximum exposure to 43°C),
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1https://www.accuweather.com/

2http://marine.copernicus.eu/
respectively (Figure 1B). Temperature conditions in the Stable Increasing (S\textsubscript{Incr}) and Oscillating increasing treatments (O\textsubscript{Incr}) followed the same thermal trajectories as those in the S\textsubscript{Plat} and O\textsubscript{Plat} but after summer acclimation were raised 2°C d\textsuperscript{-1} until they bleached (Figure 1B). Medusae were considered “bleached” when parts of a medusa appeared distinctly pale and / or obvious decreases in pigmentation were observed overall relative to photographs taken at Day 1 of the experiment (sensu, DeMartini et al., 2010; Putnam and Edmunds, 2011).

Individual medusae were transferred into 2 L glass aquaria and 11 medusae were allocated to each treatment employed in Part II (S\textsubscript{Plat} and O\textsubscript{Plat}) and Part III (S\textsubscript{Incr} and O\textsubscript{Incr}), including the control. Consistent size ranges of medusae were allocated to each treatment (see Supplementary Table S1). Temperature and light regimes were manipulated using biological incubators (Percival Scientific©). Replicates from each treatment were allocated to the same incubator but to minimize artifacts associated with individual incubators, treatments were randomly reallocated to a different incubator every second day. Each aquarium was aerated at the surface to ensure adequate flow and normoxic conditions, and a loose-fitting plastic lid was placed over each aquarium to minimize evaporation. All holobionts were acclimated to incubator conditions for 48 h before their respective treatments commenced and exposed to identical diel light conditions throughout the experiment, which consisted of a 15:9 h light: dark cycle where maximum PAR reached 400–450 µmol photons m\textsuperscript{-2} s\textsuperscript{-1} between 9:00 and 16:00 local time (Supplementary Figure S2). Individual PAR (Odyssey©) and temperature (HOBO\textsuperscript{®}) sensors were submersed in separate aquaria (without medusae) within each incubator and were cycled among incubators to record PAR and water temperatures for each treatment (Supplementary Figures S2, S3). Medusae were fed a combination of newly hatched Artemia nauplii and Medusa-G daily. Medusae were fed for 2 h, after which any debris was removed from the aquarium using a pipette and ~80% of the seawater was exchanged with fresh seawater of the temperature appropriate for each treatment. Salinity was maintained at ~41ppt.

**Response Variables Measured**

For Parts II and III, holobiont size, behavior (bell contractions min\textsuperscript{-1}), and several photophysiological parameters (maximum photochemical efficiency (F\textsubscript{v}/F\textsubscript{m}), Symbiodiniaceae cell density and total chlorophyll (chl) cell content) were measured. Medusae growth, behavior and F\textsubscript{v}/F\textsubscript{m} were measured at Day 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, and daily thereafter. Subsets of medusae from each treatment (n = 3–4, see Figures 2, 3) were destructively sampled to measure Symbiodiniaceae cell density and total chl cell content on Day 1 (start of experiment) and Day 13 (after summer acclimation). Remaining medusae in each treatment were used to measure Symbiodiniaceae cell density and total chl cell content after bleaching. The endpoints of the treatments differed because they were determined by when the medusae bleached. Although it would have been ideal to compare a fixed endpoint among treatments, sampling immediately after bleaching allowed for a
FIGURE 2 | Mean (±1SE) (A) bell contractions (number min⁻¹), (B) change in size of medusae (%), and (C) maximum photochemical efficiency (Fv/Fm), recorded throughout the 16-day exposure period for each treatment (StPlat, OcPlat, and Control) employed in “Plateau” experiment (Part II). Letters next to data points indicate similarities (e.g., AA) or differences (e.g., AB) between the three treatments at each time point, as determined by estimated marginal means post hoc analyses, resulting from analyses reported in Supplementary Tables S2, S3. Note; sample sizes vary through time [Day 1 (n = 11), Days 4–13 (n = 7), Day 14 (n = 4), Days 15–16 (n = 3–4) (n represents number of replicates per treatment)].
FIGURE 3 | Mean (±1SE) rates of (A) total chl content (pg chl Symbiodiniaceae cell$^{-1}$) and (B) Symbiodiniaceae cell density ($\mu$g$^{-1}$ total protein) for each treatment ($S_{Plat}$, $O_{Plat}$, and Control) in the “Plateau” experiment (Part II) recorded at Day 1, 13, and post-bleaching. Letters next to data points indicate similarities (e.g., AA) or differences (e.g., AB) between the three treatments within each time point, as determined by estimated marginal means post hoc analyses, resulting from analyses reported in Supplementary Tables S4, S5. Note; sample sizes vary through time [Day 1 ($n=5$), Day 13 ($n=3$), Post-bleaching ($n=4$) ($n$ represents number of replicates per treatment)]. Days (and temperatures) at which medusae were sampled post-bleaching (shaded blue) differed, where medusae in the $S_{Plat}$ treatment bleached after 14 days of exposure and medusae in the $O_{Plat}$ treatment bleached after 16 days of exposure (see Figure 1A).
ITTS2 Analyses of Symbiodiniaceae Community Composition

We applied a recently revised taxonomic classification, which re-assigns the micro-algal genus *Symbiodinium* into multiple genera (*sensu* Symbiodiniaceae, LaJeunesse et al., 2018). The internal transcribed spacer region 2 (ITTS2) of Symbiodiniaceae ribosomal DNA was amplified from DNA extracts (using a DNeasy Plant Mini Kit, QiaGen®, following protocols detailed in Hume et al. (2018) of holobionts sacrificed at Day 1, 13, and post-bleaching to determine if the Symbiodiniaceae community composition contributed to changes in holobiont fitness in response to the treatments tested. High-throughput sequencing using the Illumina MiSeq platform was used to analyze the ITS2 amplicons followed by bioinformatical analysis using the SymPortal pipeline3 to resolve Symbiodiniaceae spp. (Hume et al., 2019). The SymPortal pipeline assumes that a host can only host one main taxon per genus (Hume et al., 2019) and categorizes intra-genomic diversity based on this assumption. However, we investigated the possibility of more than one taxon per genus within the holobionts by comparing sequence data obtained from SymPortal data to those from isolates cultured from the experimental samples (unpublished data).

Statistical Analyses

Biological response variables (bell contractions, change in medusae size and $F_v/F_m$, Symbiodiniaceae cell densities and total chl cell content) were analyzed using Linear Mixed Models (LMMs, see Table 1A). Prior to analyses, all data were checked for normality and homoscedasticity using standardized residuals and Q-Q plots and if required, data were either $Ln$, $Ln(x + 1)$, $Ln(x/a + 1)$ transformed, where $a$ was the absolute minimum value of a given dependent variable to convert negative values to positive values.

Analyses of Part II

For Part II of this study, two separate LMMs were used to analyse bell contractions, change in medusae size and $F_v/F_m$ (Table 1A). First, repeated measures LMMs were used to analyze the dependent variables to compare responses among treatments during the first 14 days of the experiment, where treatment (i.e., $S_{Plat}$, $O_{Plat}$, and control) was the fixed factor and time (Days 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, and 14) was the repeated measure (Supplementary Table S2). Second, one-way LMMs analyses were used to contrast responses between the control and the $O_{Plat}$ treatment that remained when the experiment ended on Day 16 (Supplementary Table S3). We compared Symbiodiniaceae cell densities and chl content using two separate LMMs (Table 1A). First, two-way LMMs were conducted to compare responses among treatments at Day 1 and Day 13. The fixed factors were treatment ($S_{Plat}$, $O_{Plat}$ and control) and exposure time (Days 1 and 13, Supplementary Table S4). Second, one-way LMMs were used to contrast responses among treatments ($S_{Plat}$, $O_{Plat}$, and control) post-bleaching (Supplementary Table S5).

Analyses of Part III

For Part III, two separate LMMs were used to compare bell contractions, change in medusae size, and $F_v/F_m$ among treatments ($S_{Incr}$, $O_{Incr}$, and control, Table 1B). First, repeated measures LMMs were used to compare responses among
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treatments during the first 14 days of the experiment (Days 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, and 14, Supplementary Table S6). Second, one-way LMMs were used to contrast responses of the control and the O\textsubscript{Incr} treatment, which remained at the end of the experiment (Day 16, Supplementary Table S7). To compare Symbiodiniaceae cell densities and chl content among treatments in the “Increasing” experiment we used two separate LMMs (Table 1B). First, two-way LMMs were conducted to compare responses among treatments at Day 1 and Day 13 of the experiment, where, the fixed factors were treatment (S\textsubscript{Incr}, O\textsubscript{Incr} and control) and exposure time (Days 1 and 13, see Supplementary Table S8). Second, one-way LMMs were used to compare responses among treatments (S\textsubscript{Incr}, O\textsubscript{Incr}, and control) post-bleaching (Supplementary Table S9).

We included aquarium ID as a random factor (or block) into all LMMs analyses to test for potential aquarium (or tank) bias. However, preliminary analyses for all dependent variables revealed this random factor as redundant and so the factor was removed and all analyses re-run. For all repeated measure LMM analyses, a range of repeated covariance structures (e.g., AR [1], AR [1]: heterogeneous, Compound Symmetry [CS]) were investigated and the best model was obtained by comparing various goodness-of-fit statistics (−2 log likelihood, Akaike information criterion [AIC] and Bayesian information criterion [BIC]). Estimated marginal means (post hoc comparisons of least-squares means) were used to identify which means differed for the significant, highest-order term.

Analyses of Symbiodiniaceae ITS2 Type Compositions

Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) analyses were used to analyze percent (%) composition of Symbiodiniaceae ITS2 types within holobionts using PRIMER6+. For Part II of this study, we analyzed the % composition of Symbiodiniaceae genetic types in treatments at Day 1 and 13 using a two-way PERMANOVA, where the fixed factors were treatment (S\textsubscript{Incr}, O\textsubscript{Incr}, and control) and exposure time (days 1 and 13, Supplementary Table S10). Second, a one-way PERMANOVA analysis was used to contrast the % composition of of Symbiodiniaceae genetic types among treatments in the “Plateau” experiment (S\textsubscript{Incr}, O\textsubscript{Incr}, and control) post-bleaching (Supplementary Table S10). For Part III, we contrasted the % composition of Symbiodiniaceae genetic types among treatments in the “Increasing” experiment using a two-way PERMANOVA. The fixed factors were treatment (S\textsubscript{Incr}, O\textsubscript{Incr}, and control) and exposure time (days 1 and 13, Supplementary Table S11). Second, a one-way PERMANOVA analysis was used to compare % composition of Symbiodiniaceae ITS2 types within holobionts in the S\textsubscript{Incr}, O\textsubscript{Incr}, and control treatments post-bleaching (Supplementary Table S10). Details of all statistical analyses (including transformations, goodness-of-fit statistics, and repeated covariance structures) are provided in the electronic Supplementary Material (Supplementary Tables S2–S11).

RESULTS

Part I: Field Observations of Cassiopea sp. Bleaching Event

Bleaching of Cassiopea sp. medusae coincided with a heat wave (atmospheric temperatures exceeded 44°C) and unusually low sea levels (−0.2 to −0.5 m, relative to mean sea water level). Specifically, maximum daily atmospheric temperature reached 49°C on 24th August 2017 but medusae did not bleach until daily temperatures >44°C persisted for 3 days (9–11th September 2017, see Supplementary Figure S3), equating to 8°C higher than the average historical maximum temperature for the month of September (15-year average). Mass mortality of Cassiopea sp. medusae occurred 5–7 days after bleaching of the holobionts.
was first observed on the 12th September 2017 (pers. obs. Klein, Hung, and Schmidt-Roach).

### Manipulative Experiments

#### Part II Hypothesis: Lower Night-Time Temperatures Extend the Period of Exposure That the Holobiont Can Persist Under Elevated Daily Thermal Maxima

Medusae in the control treatment exhibited no change in behavior (Figure 2A), grew by 6.2% ± 2.14 (mean ± 1SE, Figure 2B), and maintained high maximum photochemical efficiency (Fv/Fm, Figure 2C), indicating that incubator conditions provided optimal conditions for the holobionts. Medusae in the Stable Plateau (SPlat) treatment persisted at 37°C for only 2 days before bleaching, whereas medusae exposed to lower night-time temperatures in the Oscillating Plateau treatment (OPlat) bleached after 4 days (Figure 1). Specifically, medusae in the SPlat treatment experienced a temperature range of 36.85–37.44°C in the 2 days before bleaching (Figure 1A), whereas the inclusion of lower night-time temperatures yielded lower daily mean temperatures of 35.1°C in the OPlat treatment but temperatures reached the same daily maximum of 37°C (four day range: 32.01–37.42°C, Figure 1A, see Supplementary Table S11). Even though summer acclimation ended on Day 12, bell contractions and medusae size were similar in the SPlat and OPlat treatments for the first 13 days of the experiment (Figures 2A,B and Supplementary Table S2). Although Fv/Fm values of medusae were similar in the SPlat and OPlat treatment during summer acclimation, Fv/Fm values in the SPlat treatment were ~29% lower than OPlat treatment when the temperature reached 37°C at Day 13 (Figure 2C and Supplementary Table S2). After 2 days at 37°C (Day 14), bell contraction rates in the SPlat treatment were ~76% lower than those under oscillating temperature conditions at the same maximum temperature (OPlat) (Figure 2A). Medusae in the SPlat treatment shrunk by 32% (±2.83) after 2 days at 37°C (Day 14), whereas those under oscillating temperature conditions held at the same maximum temperature (OPlat) did not shrink (~1% ± 1.01) (Figure 2B). Although Fv/Fm values for both plateau treatments were reduced at Day 14 relative to those for the previous day, Fv/Fm values were ~32% lower in the SPlat treatment than the OPlat treatment (Figure 2C).

After bleaching, pigment content of Symbiodiniaceae cells was unaffected by the SPlat and OPlat thermal regimes (Figure 3A and Supplementary Table S5). Even though medusae in the OPlat treatment persisted for 2 days longer than those in the SPlat treatment, albeit at lower daily mean temperatures (SPlat = 37.05°C versus OPlat = 35.09°C), Symbiodiniaceae cell densities remained similar between the plateauing treatments after bleaching (0.695 ± 0.24 cells × 10^2 µg^−1 protein) (Figure 3B and Supplementary Table S5). ITS2 type compositions were generally homogenous among all individuals within the “Plateau” experiment (Supplementary Table S10 and Supplementary Figure S4A) at Day 1, Day 13 and post-bleaching and no directional changes indicative of adaptive symbiont shuffling/selection were observed in response to the SPlat and OPlat treatments. Therefore, thermal-induced mortality conducive to bleaching affected all Symbiodiniaceae variants equally. Consequently, any change in fitness of the holobionts in response to the treatments tested reflects a change of the physiology (and/ or abundance) of the existing Symbiodiniaceae types and/ or host, rather than a shift toward alternative types with differing physiologies. The most abundant Symbiodiniaceae genera were Symbiodinium (Clade A) and Breviolum (Clade B). However, other genera that included Cladocopium, Durusdinium, and Fugacium were identified in low abundances. SymPortal assigned an average of 64.03% of our ITS2 sequences to “defining intragenomic variants” representative of putative Symbiodiniaceae taxa (see, Hume et al., 2019). The most abundant Symbiodinium defining intragenomic variants, A1 and A4–A4m, are associated to the species S. microadriaticum and S. linucheae (Supplementary Figures S4A,B). Breviolum spp. were also present across samples (Supplementary Figures S4A,B), with the defining intragenomic variants of B1, B1-980-B1a and B1-B1a, assigned to the three species; B. antillogorgium, B. minutum, and B. pseudominutum, respectively (LaJeunesse et al., 2018).

#### Part III Hypothesis: Lower Night-Time Temperatures Increase Thermal Bleaching Thresholds of the Holobiont

Although we aimed to euthanize holobionts when bleaching occurred, one medusa from each of the SIncr and OIncr treatments died before bleaching at the final time point for each of their respective treatments SIncr = Day 14 (39°C) and OIncr = Day 16 (max 43°C). All other medusae were alive when they bleached and after response variables were measured, were euthanized. Medusae exposed to temperature oscillations (OIncr) tolerated a daily mean temperature of 40.6°C (daily range: 37.92–43.11°C) and reached a maximum temperature 4°C higher than those under stable temperature conditions (SIncr) that experienced a daily mean temperature of 38.9°C before bleaching (daily range: 38.6–39.2°C, Figure 1B). Regardless of the presence of night-time temperature reprieves, holobionts in the increasing treatments (SIncr and OIncr) responded similarly, for all response variables measured during the first 13 days of the experiment (Figures 4, 5, and Supplementary Table S6). When temperatures reached 39°C on Day 14, bell contraction rates were similar among the SIncr and OIncr treatments and 68% higher than those in the control treatment (Figure 4A). Lower night-time temperatures, however, ameliorated the negative effects on holobiont size and Fv/Fm when temperatures reached 39°C. Specifically, medusae in the OIncr treatment had not grown (mean size change: 3% ± 5.54) but those in the SIncr treatment had shrunk by 18% (±5.81, Figure 4B). These observations were consistent with those of their symbionts; whereby Fv/Fm values were ~51% lower in the SIncr treatment at Day 14 (when temperatures reached 39°C) than those in the OIncr treatment (Figure 4C).

Even though medusae in the OIncr treatment persisted until Day 16 and reached a maximum daily temperature of 43°C, pigment content of Symbiodiniaceae cells were similar in the SIncr and OIncr thermal regimes after bleaching (Figures 5A,B and Supplementary Table S9). Medusae in the SIncr and OIncr treatments exhibited similar reductions in Symbiodiniaceae cell
FIGURE 4 | Mean (±1SE) (A) bell contractions (number min⁻¹), (B) change in size of medusae (%), and (C) maximum photochemical efficiency ($F_{v}/F_{m}$), recorded throughout the 16-day exposure period for each treatment (S_incr, O_incr, and Control) employed in “Increasing” experiment (Part III). Letters next to data points indicate similarities (e.g., AA) or differences (e.g., AB) between the three treatments at each time point, as determined by estimated marginal means post hoc analyses, resulting from analyses reported in Supplementary Tables S6, S7. Note: sample sizes vary through time [Day 1 ($n=11$), Days 4–13 ($n=7$), Day 14 ($n=4$), Days 15–16 ($n=3$) ($n$ represents number of replicates per treatment)].
density (82% loss) relative to the control treatment (Figures 5A,B and Supplementary Table S9). ITS2 type compositions were similar among all medusae (Supplementary Table S11 and Supplementary Figure S4B) in the “Increasing” experiment (i.e., S_{Incr}, O_{Incr}, and control) at Day 1, 13, and post-bleaching. Symbiodiniaceae communities were thus unaffected by lower night-time temperatures and no directional changes indicative of selection of thermally tolerant lineages were observed. *Symbiodinium* (Clade A) and *Breviolum* (Clade B) dominated Symbiodiniaceae communities and compositions were consistent...
with those observed in the “Plateau” experiment, including the frequencies of other, less abundant genera (Supplementary Figures S4A,B). Sequences retrieved by this study were deposited in NCBI GenBank under BioProject ID: PRJNA494341.

DISCUSSION

Here, we present evidence that lower night-time temperatures provide a reprieve from daytime temperature extremes and increase the resilience of the Cassiopea sp. holobiont to bleaching. Specifically, lower night-time temperatures that yielded lower daily mean temperatures extended the duration at which the holobionts could withstand daily thermal maxima close to their bleaching threshold by 2 days and increased the daily maximum and mean temperatures medusae could withstand before bleaching by 4 and 1.7°C, respectively. Although we cannot compare our findings with any other experimental test of thermal resilience under steady versus oscillating regimes, a growing body of field (Oliver and Palumbi, 2011; Safaei et al., 2018) and experimental (Mayfield et al., 2012; Jiang et al., 2017) evidence highlights a fundamental role of temperature variability, particularly within diel timescales, in determining cnidarian responses to elevated temperatures. One of the few studies to contrast cnidarian holobiont responses under stable versus ephemeral exposure to the same maximum temperature reported that daily temperature fluctuations (between 26 and 30°C) elicited similar deleterious responses on two scleractinian corals (Pocillopora meandrina and Porites rus) to those exposed to constant temperature conditions (held at 30°C, Putnam and Edmunds, 2011). In the current study, however, observations of Cassiopea sp. holobionts at temperatures preceding bleaching thresholds are inconsistent with the findings reported for P. meandrina and P. rus (Putnam and Edmunds, 2011). For instance, when temperatures reached 39°C, holobionts exposed to the S\text{inc} temperature regime had higher rates of bell contractions, reduced body size and inhibited photochemical efficiency of Symbiodiniaceae relative to holobionts under oscillating temperature conditions (O\text{inc}) at the equivalent maximum temperature. Together, these observations highlight the need to further investigate potential non-linear responses over diel cycles (Ruel and Ayres, 1999; Denny, 2017) and indicate that non-variable temperature manipulations alone may misrepresent cnidarian physiological responses that inhabit thermally variable ecosystems.

Temperature levels (Negri et al., 2007), duration of exposure (Dunn et al., 2004), and rates of increase (Middlebrook et al., 2010) in seawater temperature influence cnidarian physiological responses to thermal stress. It remains uncertain, however, how these metrics interact over spatial and temporal scales to drive cnidarian resilience or susceptibility to heating events (Putnam and Edmunds, 2011). Hypothesis II, and hence the “Plateau” experiment in the current study, was inspired by observations of a natural Cassiopea sp. bleaching event in a semi-enclosed lagoon in the Central Red Sea, where the breakdown of Cassiopea-Symbiodiniaceae associations occurred after extreme temperatures persisted for 3 days even though one day of exposure to more extreme temperature conditions occurred 16 days prior. Although it would have been ideal to obtain in situ data for the exact thermal regime that triggered the natural bleaching event reported here, our experimental data are highly consistent with these observations. Indeed, Cassiopea sp. holobionts held at 37°C in the Stable Plateau (S\text{Plat}) treatment bleached 2 days prior to those exposed to the nighttime thermal reprieves held at the same maximum temperature (O\text{Plat}). Consistent with the “Increasing” experiment, nighttime thermal reprieves alleviated the negative impacts on holobiont size and photochemical efficiency of medusae in the “plateau” treatments. Intriguingly, however, observations of medusae behavior contrasted with those of the “Increasing” experiment since rates of bell contractions increased rather than decreased, relative to the controls. These findings are also contrary to observations of Cassiopea sp. medusae acclimated to thermal conditions of the northern Red Sea, where acute (1–2 h) and chronic exposure (2 weeks) to +7°C warming increased rates of bell contractions (Ajbour et al., 2017), similar to our observations of Cassiopea in the “Increasing” experiment. Even so, these data demonstrate that bleaching of Cassiopea sp. is not driven exclusively by absolute temperature values but instead, can be induced by several metrics of seawater temperature change.

Inhibition of photophysiological metrics and reduced body mass can coincide with natural thermal bleaching of coral holobionts (Fitt et al., 2000; Wooldridge, 2013). Even though the extent to which biological responses of Cassiopea were affected depended on the presence of night-time temperature reprieves, inhibition of F\text{v}/F\text{m} preceded obvious signs of holobiont bleaching and reductions in body size coincided with holobiont bleaching, regardless of their thermal treatment. Although night-time thermal reprieves extended the duration at which the holobionts could persist at daily maximum temperatures in the “Plateau” experiment and enhanced thermal thresholds of Cassiopea under the “Increasing” regimes, oscillations did not prevent expulsion of Symbiodiniaceae cells or pigment loss. These findings are particularly interesting given the substantial differences among thermal conditions under which Cassiopea sp. bleached. Observations of Symbiodiniaceae and pigment loss in Cassiopea sp. are greater than those reported for coral holobiont responses under steady versus oscillating temperature regimes (Jones et al., 1998; Ulstrup et al., 2006). For instance, Symbiodiniaceae cell densities in P. meandrina and P. rus declined by between 17 and 45% after 24 h of ephemeral exposure to 30°C (26–30°C), matching Symbiodiniaceae cell density losses observed under stable exposure to 30°C (Putnam and Edmunds, 2011). In the current study, all Cassiopea sp. exposed to thermal treatments had reduced Symbiodiniaceae densities and chl content that ranged between 71–93% and 51–64%, respectively. These data are consistent with those of the only other published observation of natural Cassiopea sp. bleaching where medusae exhibited ~80% Symbiodiniaceae cell loss (Fitt and Costley, 1998).

Susceptibility of phototrophic cnidarians to environmental perturbation corresponds, at least partially, to distinct in hospite Symbiodiniaceae species compositions (Berkelmans and Van Oppen, 2006). Differences in species-specific performance
under stress can result in an increased proportion of tolerant algal symbionts, a process called symbiont shuffling (Cunning et al., 2015). Consequently, even minor changes in symbiont compositions can be adaptively beneficial (Cunning et al., 2015). However, in Parts II and III of this study, the dominant Symbiodiniaceae species were *Symbiodinium microadriaticum* and *S. linucheae* (assigned by their ITS2 types, A1 and A4–A4m, respectively). As we detected no difference is Symbiodiniaceae communities among holobionts (even post-bleaching) within either experiment, there was no evidence of major symbiont shuffling/selection of the dominant symbiont populations. Nevertheless, it is possible that the short duration of our experiments/thermal regimes could have precluded the potential selection of thermally tolerant Symbiodiniaceae lineages (but see, Lewis and Coffroth, 2004). Our findings that the genus *Symbiodinium* (clade A) dominates *Cassiopea* sp. aligns with previous observations of *Cassiopea* sp. holobionts from the Red Sea (e.g., Lampert et al., 2012) but, until now, the level of cryptic diversity of the marginally represented clades within *Cassiopea* holobionts has been seldom considered, likely due to the lack of resolution of previous methods like DGG gel analysis. In holobionts studied here, two taxa of the same genus (A1 and A4–A4m) dominated the community at similar frequencies. These findings suggest that previous observations of apparent specificity of adult *Cassiopea* for single Symbiodiniaceae sp. may have failed to detect the cryptic diversity of marginally represented sp. and co-occurrence of intra-genus strains (Lampert et al., 2012). For this, future experiments of *Cassiopea* holobionts may consider establishing isolate cultures of Symbiodiniaceae spp. from experimental samples to determine potential co-occurrence of intra-genus taxa.

Generally, the degree of phenotypic plasticity in organisms is positively related with environmental variability (Kenkel and Matz, 2016). The influence of high-frequency temperature oscillations on holobiont fitness is not uniform across all host-Symbiodiniaceae associations. In fact, some studies of coral-cnidarians report no effect of temperature heterogeneity (e.g., Putnam and Edmunds, 2011) or even more severe effects (e.g., Putnam and Edmunds, 2008) in response to thermal stress. Indeed, inconsistent findings among such assessments may depend on the nature and magnitude of temperature fluctuations tested but may also be contingent on the thermal history of particular cnidarian associations. For example, on small spatial scales, corals that live in more variable temperature environments are more tolerant to thermal extremes than conspecifics that inhabit less thermally variable conditions (Thompson and Van Woesik, 2009; Barshis et al., 2013; Schoepf et al., 2015). *Cassiopea* spp., including those studied here from the central Red Sea, often inhabit shallow waters, characterized by high levels of UV radiation and temperature variability (McGill and Pomory, 2008; Lampert, 2016). Thus, it is reasonable to hypothesize that extreme and variable thermal conditions within such habitats contribute to the acquired thermal resilience of *Cassiopea*-Symbiodiniaceae associations (Ohdera et al., 2018). Although temperature conditions are less severe in the northern Red Sea than those of the central Red Sea (Chaidez et al., 2017), aquarium-reared *Cassiopea* sp. acclimated to typical summer temperatures in the Gulf of Aqaba were resilient to a +7°C increase in temperature (Aljbour et al., 2017). Indeed, medusae exposed to 32°C for 2 weeks exhibited increased body mass, similar bell sizes and mitochondrial electron transport (ETS) rates relative to their conspecifics in the control treatment (25°C, Aljbour et al., 2017). Thermal resilience of *Cassiopea* sp. could be acquired via genetic adaption and/ or acclimatization processes in the host (Kingsolver and Huey, 1998) or Symbiodiniaceae spp. (Chakravarti et al., 2017), however, without further research such mechanisms remain speculative but warrant investigation.

Projections of coral holobiont responses to rising ocean temperatures rely mostly on field observations of bleaching events (e.g., Logan et al., 2014) and empirical data typically resulting from experiments (e.g., Logan et al., 2014) that employ static temperature conditions (Jokiel and Coles, 1990). Evidence presented here suggests that neglecting to incorporate natural thermal regimes in experimental designs may result in inaccurate thermal threshold estimations and thus, cnidarian resilience to warming conditions. Indeed, recent research highlights the importance of incorporating high-frequency temperature variability into projection models to improve predictions and foresee complex patterns in bleaching prevalence (see, Safaie et al., 2018). For this, greater spatiotemporal resolution of surface sea temperature data from satellite remote sensing (Safaie et al., 2018) and model predictions are required, along with further taxaspecific empirical data of thermal resilience and bleaching thresholds under high-frequency temperature variability, such as those obtained here.

**CONCLUSION**

Night-time thermal reprieves that provided lower daily mean temperatures permitted *Cassiopea* holobionts to persist at higher daily mean and maximum temperatures before bleaching, thereby enhancing thermal resilience of *Cassiopea*. Non-calcifying holobionts, such as the benthic jellyfish tested here, may thus have a greater competitive advantage in more thermally variable ecosystems but this effect may ultimately depend on the nature of fluctuations tested and be restricted to particular associations that exhibit existing plasticity to temperature heterogeneity. Combined, these findings highlight the need to consider the selection of maximum temperatures in studies assessing the influence of temperature variation and highlight the need to investigate potential non-linear responses over diel cycles to fully unravel the role of night-time thermal reprieves. Whilst temperature oscillations may ameliorate the impacts of heating on particular associations, cnidarians can be sensitive to other, highly variable drivers of biological responses inherent to coastal and other shallow ecosystems (e.g., pCO₂: Price et al., 2012, e.g., UV: Lesser and Farrell, 2004;
Klein et al., 2016b). Thus, to understand whether this potentially important role of night-time temperature reprieves holds when other, highly variable drivers coincide, future experimental tests should consider the effects of additional drivers in combination with temperature heterogeneity innate to coastal ecosystems.
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