Fluorescent triazolium for sensing fluoride anions in semi-aqueous solution†
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A new pyrene-appended triazolium has been synthesized and explored as a highly efficient receptor for fluoride anions. In an CH$_3$CN–H$_2$O competitive solution, this probe shows an exclusive fluorescence turn-off sensing of F$^-$ over other anions. $^1$H NMR titrations and density functional theory (DFT) calculations revealed that the triazolium (CH)$^+$, sulfonamide NH and pyrenyl CH donors were involved in hydrogen bonding interactions with F$^-$ anion to form a stable 1–F$^-$ complex. In addition, the probe was successfully applied to the detection of F$^-$ from inorganic origins in the solid state.

Introduction

The development of chemosensors for the sensing and recognition of fluoride anions has been of growing interest for the past few decades due to its crucial role in biosystems. 1–3 Fluoride is an essential element for the body. Appropriate fluoride ingestion can prevent dental cavities and osteofluorosis. 4–5 However, chronic exposure to high levels of fluoride causes severe diseases such as dental and skeletal fluorosis, kidney and gastric disorders, and urolithiasis in humans. 6–7 Therefore, sensitive and accurate detection of fluoride anions in environmental and biological system is in high demand.

Until now, many analytical methods including inductively coupled plasma, atomic emission spectroscopy, electrochemical methods, absorption and emission spectroscopy have been reported for detection of fluoride anion. 8–12 Among them, the fluorescent technique has been attracted particular attention for its low-cost, high sensitivity and easy operation. 13–17 Thus, many fluorescent fluoride probes based on different interaction mechanisms, including hydrogen bonding, 18–21 Lewis acid complexation 24–28 and desilylation reaction, 29–33 have been developed. Owing to the smallest size and high hydration enthalpy (~505 kJ mol$^{-1}$) of fluoride anion in aqueous solution, 34 the complexation and sensing of fluoride anion in aqueous media is mainly limited in the fluoride anion-induced chemical reactions systems. Hydrogen bonding type sensors are only capable of sensing F$^-$ in pure organic solvent, or in the presence of a small amount of water, which often suffer interference from alkaline anions such as AcO$^-$ and H$_2$PO$_4^-$–. 35–38 In addition, most of these probes can detect tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) rather than inorganic fluoride such as KF. 39,40

Recently, the click derived triazolium has attracted much attention in anions supramolecular chemistry because of its specific (C–H)$^+\cdots$– F$^-$ anion hydrogen bond interaction. 41–43 Several groups, including ours, have used triazolium as a platform for anions recognition. 44–45 Thus, a series of triazolium-based probe for fluorescence sensing of F$^-$, H$_2$PO$_4^-$ and other anions have been well established in organic solution. However, systems for F$^-$ recognition with high selectivity in aqueous solution were still rare. Herein, we report a new fluorescence triazolium 1 (Scheme 1) for anions recognition. With the pyrene as the fluorescence signal moiety, the triazolium (CH)$^+$ and sulfonamide NH as the hydrogen bonding donors, probe 1 shows an exclusive fluorescence turn-off sensing of inorganic fluoride anion KF in CH$_3$CN–H$_2$O (9 : 1, v/v) solution. The binding mechanism between probe 1 and F$^-$ was investigated in detail by various spectral techniques (UV-vis, fluorescence and $^1$H NMR) and DFT calculations.

Results and discussion

The synthetic route of the target probe 1 was shown in Scheme 1. The precursors 1-N-(2-propynyl)pyrene sulfonamide (2) and benzyl azide (3) were first prepared by the literature methods. 56,57 Click reaction compounds 2 and 3, the neutral triazole 4 was then synthesized. Alkylation of 4 with (MeO)$_3$BF$_4$ in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ solution obtained the triazolium probe 1 with high yield (82% yield). The chemical structure of 1 was fully characterized by $^1$H NMR, $^{13}$C NMR, TOF-ESI-MS and the X-ray single crystal analysis.

The single crystals of 1 were obtained by slow diffusion hexane into its dichloromethane solution. In the X-ray crystal structure of 1 (Fig. 1), the whole structure of the probe adopts a fold conformation. The pyrene ring is roughly parallel to
(4.3(2)) the triazolium ring, but is nearly vertical (71.4(3)) to the benzene ring. The two anion binding sites, sulfonamid NH and triazolium (CH)_+ show an anti conformation in the solid state of 1, and are involved in hydrogen bonding with the BF_4⁻ counter anions (C9–H9···F3, 2.265 Å, 178.2°; N4–H4···F4, 2.452 Å, 134.2°, symm code: 2–x, 1–y, 1–z). In addition, π–π interaction (3.435 Å) between the intermolecular pyrene rings is found in the crystal packing structure (Fig. S1†), which is further stabilized the X-ray structure of 1 in the solid state.

The recognition ability of 1 toward various anions (F⁻, Cl⁻, Br⁻, I⁻, AcO⁻, H_2PO_4⁻, CN⁻, NO_3⁻) in their potassium salts was firstly investigated by emission spectra in CH_3CN–H_2O (9:1, v/v) solution. With excitation at 360 nm, probe 1 showed a strong structured pyrene-based monomer emission at 382 nm (Fig. 2). Upon the addition of F⁻ (6 equiv.), the emission of probe 1 exhibited a large quenching effect (90% quenching), which can be easily observed from strong blue emission to dark quenching by the naked eyes. The large emission changes of 1 upon addition F⁻ may be attributed to the strong hydrogen bonding interaction between 1 and F⁻. Thus, the forming 1–F⁻ complex enhances photoinduced electron transfer quenching (PET) from the electron rich F⁻ anion to the excited state of the pyrene fluorophore. In contrast, the addition of other anions induced the emission of 1 barely changes, including the basic AcO⁻ and H_2PO_4⁻ anions, which often show interference of the F⁻ probes. Thus, probe 1 can be used as a fluorescence sensor for F⁻ in semi-aqueous solution.

Fig. 3 shows the fluorescence spectra changes of 1 with the incremental addition of KF. With increasing the concentration...
of F\textsuperscript{−}, the pyrene-based emission of 1 at 382 nm gradually decreases, and was saturated when about 6.0 equivalence of F\textsuperscript{−} was added. The binding data between 1 and F\textsuperscript{−} was investigated by the emission titration data. A 1 : 1 binding stoichiometry of the 1–F\textsuperscript{−} complex was determined from the Job’s plot (Fig. S2†). Using the Benesi–Hildebrand equation,\textsuperscript{55} the binding constant \(K_b\) of the 1–F\textsuperscript{−} was calculated to be 1.6 × 10\textsuperscript{3} M\textsuperscript{−1} (R = 0.998, Fig. S3†). Importantly, the fluorescence intensity of 1 at 382 nm versus the concentrations of F\textsuperscript{−} was in a linear manner with the concentration range from 0 \(\mu\)M to 12 \(\mu\)M (Fig. S4†). A detection limit of 39 nM for F\textsuperscript{−} was estimated for 1, which is much lower than the maximum limit of fluoride ion in drinking water (1.5 ppm, 79 \(\mu\)M) suggested by the World Health Organization (WHO).\textsuperscript{56}

The UV-vis spectra changes of 1 before and after addition of anions were also investigated. Probe 1 shows typical pyrene-based bands at 348 nm, 357 nm and 378 nm. Upon the addition of F\textsuperscript{−}, the intensity of these bands decreases with a ~2 nm blue shift (Fig. 4), indicating a strong interaction between 1 and F\textsuperscript{−}. Four clear isosbestic points at 331 nm, 363 nm, 376 nm and 380 nm were observed upon addition of F\textsuperscript{−}, which imply that only one complex species forms during the complexation. In contrast, the addition of other anions induced no UV-vis spectra changes (Fig. S5†), even with a large amount. These results are well in accordance with the emission titration results.

The exclusive UV-vis and emission spectra response of 1 toward the strong basic anion F\textsuperscript{−} may be attributed to the existing multiple hydrogen bonding between 1 and F\textsuperscript{−}. Therefore, the \(^1\)H NMR titration experiments of 1 with progressive addition of F\textsuperscript{−} were carried out both in CD\textsubscript{3}CN and CD\textsubscript{3}CN–D\textsubscript{2}O (9 : 1, v/v) solutions (Fig. 5). For solubility problem, TBAF was used instead of KF here. In CD\textsubscript{3}CN solution, the two typical anion binding donors, triazolium proton Ha and sulfonamide proton Hb in 1, were located in 7.03 ppm and 6.49 ppm, respectively. Upon the addition of F\textsuperscript{−}, the triazolium (CH)\textsuperscript{+} proton leaded about a 0.13 ppm down-field shift (from 7.03 ppm to 7.16 ppm, when 4.0 equiv. F\textsuperscript{−} was added), while the sulfonamide proton disappeared quickly, implying strong hydrogen bonding between these two donors and F\textsuperscript{−} anion. Interestingly, a large down-field shift was also observed in the \(\alpha\)-position proton of the pyrene ring (Hc, from 8.89 ppm to 9.19 ppm, \(\Delta\delta = 0.30\) ppm), indicating that this CH proton may also be an additional anion binding donor for the electron withdrawing group sulfonamide. Neutral CH–anion hydrogen bond was often observed in the aryl CH group when electron withdrawing substituents were present.\textsuperscript{57}

The \(^1\)H NMR titrations of 1 to F\textsuperscript{−} in CD\textsubscript{3}CN–D\textsubscript{2}O (9 : 1, v/v) solution were a little different from that in pure CD\textsubscript{3}CN solution. The sulfonamide proton Hb of free probe 1 disappeared for the deuterium exchange with D\textsubscript{2}O. Upon the addition of F\textsuperscript{−}, this proton was observed at 5.46 ppm and increased with increasing the concentration of F\textsuperscript{−}. Simultaneously, an obvious down-field shift was found in protons Ha (\(\Delta\delta = 0.14\) ppm) and Hc (\(\Delta\delta = 0.06\) ppm) with addition of F\textsuperscript{−}. These results revealed that the three protons, NH, (CH)+ and pyrenyl CH donors, still show strong hydrogen bonding interaction with F\textsuperscript{−} in this competitive solvent, as that in pure CD\textsubscript{3}CN solution.

The multiple hydrogen bonding interactions between 1 and F\textsuperscript{−} were further confirmed by the DFT calculations. The optimized structure of 1–F\textsuperscript{−} complex in gas phase is shown in Fig. 6. In the presence of F\textsuperscript{−}, the sulfonamid and triazolium donors prefers a syn conformation rather than anti conformation by rotation to form an electropositive cavity for the anions. According to the suggested geometry cutoffs for D–H····A hydrogen bond definition,\textsuperscript{58,59} the sulfonamide proton, the triazolium proton, the \(\alpha\)-position pyrene proton and even the \(\alpha\)-position phenyl proton are involved hydrogen bonding chelating with F\textsuperscript{−} anion, with the H····F hydrogen bonding distance values of 1.53 Å, 1.54 Å, 1.95 Å and 2.28 Å, respectively. According to the H····F bond distance, the anions binding ability of these donors follows the order, NH (~ (CH)\textsuperscript{+}) > pyrenyl CH > phenyl CH, which is in accordance with \(^1\)H NMR titration results. Thus, these multiple hydrogen bonding interactions make 1 tightly bind with F\textsuperscript{−} anion, even in CD\textsubscript{3}CN–D\textsubscript{2}O competitive solvent condition.

The competition experiments were then carried out to investigate the selectivity and anti-interference of the probe 1.
for F⁻ anion detection. The fluorescence changes of 1 at 382 nm by adding various anions (Cl⁻, Br⁻, I⁻, NO₃⁻, H₂PO₄⁻, CN⁻ and AcO⁻) and then addition of F⁻ in CH₃CN–H₂O (90 : 10 v/v) solution are shown in Fig. 7. There is no significant changes in the fluorescence spectra compared with that observed by the addition of F⁻ alone, indicating that probe 1 exhibits excellent selectivity toward fluoride anion and good anti-interference ability against other coexisting anions.

Furthermore, the potential practical applicability of probe 1 in solid state for detection of F⁻ was also investigated according to the method in the literature. A CH₃CN solution of 1 (0.5 mM) was dropped onto the Whatman filter paper and dried...
in the air, a strong blue fluorescent spot was observed, indicating the probe has a good disperse in the filter paper matrix, and then suppress the aggregation-caused quenching (ACQ) of the probe. However, when a spot of F⁻ solution was added to the test kits (Fig. 8a), the strong blue spot change to the dark quenching. However, the addition of other competitive anions leads no response of the fluorescence. In addition, a thin layer chromatography (TLC) plate experiment also investigated by the literature methods (Fig. 8b). The same fluorescence response from strong blue emission to dark quenching was also observed upon interaction with F⁻. Thus, probe 1 can detect F⁻ in solid state not only by solution-coated TLC, but also using paper strips.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we have been successfully designed and synthesized a pyrene based anion receptor 1 bearing triazolium and sulfonamide donors. Probe 1 shows fluorescence turn-off sensing of inorganic F⁻ (KF) in CH₂CN–H₂O competitive solution, and can detect it at the parts per million level. The ¹H NMR titration experiments proposed that the triazolium (CH)⁺, the sulfonamide NH and even the pyrenyl CH donors play an important role to form a stable 1:1 stoichiometry 1–F⁻ complex, which is further confirmed by the DFT calculations. Furthermore, this probe was successfully applied as a solid state optical sensor for detection of F⁻. Considering the good selectivity and sensitivity of probe 1 for the detection of F⁻ in aqueous system, these novel findings will give important information for designing more sophisticated sensors for fluoride anion.

Experimental section

General instrumentation and reagents

All the starting materials for synthesis were commercially available and used as received. The solvents used for UV-vis and emission measurements were purified by standard procedures. 1-N-(2-Propynyl)pyrene sulfonamide (2) and benzyl azide (3) were prepared by the literature method.⁵⁶ ⁵²

UV-vis spectra were recorded on a Hitachi UV-3010 spectrophotometer. Emission spectra were recorded on a Hitachi F-4500 spectrophotometer. The excitation and emission slit width (5 nm) and the scan rate (250 mV s⁻¹) were kept constant for all of the experiments. NMR spectra were recorded using a Varian instrument (400 MHz) in DMSO-d₆ (Brucker, Switzerland); ¹H NMR 400 MHz, ¹³C NMR 101 MHz). HR MS were recorded using LC/MSD TRAP XCT Plus (1200 Agilent). The anions are potassium salts.

Synthesis of 4

A mixture of 1-N-(2-propynyl)pyrene sulfonamide 2 (127 mg, 0.45 mmol), benzyl azide 3 (67 mg, 0.5 mmol), CuSO₄·5H₂O (25 mg, 0.1 mmol) and sodium ascorbate (40 mg, 0.2 mmol) were dissolved in 4 mL DMF solution. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h under nitrogen atmosphere. Then 30 mL H₂O was added to get the precipitate. The solid was filtered, and purified by silica gel column chromatography using CH₂Cl₂: CH₂OH (95:5, v/v) as the eluent to get 4 as a white powder (176 mg, yield 87%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆, δ ppm): 8.93 (s, 1H), 8.64 (s, 1H), 8.58 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 7.21 (s, 3H), 7.02 (s, 2H), 5.24 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 4.5 (s, 2H), 4.10 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃/CD₃OD, δ ppm): 134.0, 133.7, 132.6, 132.4, 131.6, 130.8, 126.8, 57.47, 41.82. HR-MS (APCI) m/z: calcd for C₂₅H₂₅N₂O₅S: 453.1384; found 453.1379.
Synthesis of 1
Under a nitrogen atmosphere, 275 mg (0.5 mmol) 4 and 300 mg (2.0 mmol) (Me2O)BF4 was dissolved in 10 mL CH2Cl2 and stirred at room temperature for three days. Then 2 mL MeOH was added to quench the reaction. The organic solvent was removed under a vacuum pressure. The solid residue was then purified by silica gel column chromatography (CH3OH : CH2Cl2 = 1 : 9, v/v) to give 1 as a yellow solid (171 mg, 82% yield). 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6, δ ppm): 8.93 (s, 1H), 8.64 (s, 1H), 8.58-8.17 (m, 8H), 7.64 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 4.5 (s, 2H), 4.10 (s, 2H).

General UV-vis and emission spectra measurements in solution
Stock solutions of anions in their potassium salts (0.1 M) were prepared in aqueous solution. Stock solution of receptor 1 (0.1 M) was prepared in CH3CN solution. The concentration of 1 in the UV-vis and emission titrations was 2.0 × 10⁻¹⁰ M in CH3CN-H2O (9 : 1, v/v) solution. During the titration, the anions stock solutions were added into a solution of 1 by a micro injector. The whole volume of the final system can be considered constant because the volume of anions solution added is negligible compared to that of receptor’s solution.

Calculation of the association constant and the detection limit (LOD)
The binding constant of 1–F⁻ was obtained from the fluorescence titration data using the Benesi-Hildebrand equation:

\[
\frac{1}{I - I_0} = \frac{1}{I' - I_0} + \frac{1}{K(I' - I_0)[guest]}
\]

where \(I_0\) is the intensity of fluorescence of 1 without F⁻, \(I\) is the intensity with a particular concentration of F⁻, \(I'\) is the intensity of the fully complexed form at the highest concentration of F⁻, and \(K\) is the binding constant.

The LOD was calculated using the formula \(3\delta/k\) using the emission titration data, where \(\delta\) was the standard deviation of blank (10 samples) and \(k\) was the slope between intensity difference versus sample concentration.

Detection of F⁻ on solid state under UV light
The CH3CN solution of 1 (0.5 mM) was first dropped to a piece of Whatman filter paper, and dried at room temperature for 4 h. The obtained fluorescence spots were then dropped anions (0.5 mM in aqueous solution) using a micropipette. After drying the strips under vacuum, the results were observed with 365 nm.

Thin-layer chromatography (TLC, spectrochem GF254 silica gel-coated plates) photo was also obtained by the similar method of Whatman filter paper.

Calculation method
Geometries of 1 and 1–F⁻ complexation were optimized by DFT (B3LYP) method. A 6-31G(d, p) was selected for C, H, O, S and N atoms. No imaginary frequencies were available after vibration analysis of the optimized structures, which implied that the optimized structure was at the real minimum on the potential energy surfaces (PES). All the calculations were performed using Gaussian 09 program package.

Crystallography
Single-crystal X-ray diffraction measurements were collected on a Bruker CCD diffractometer using a graphite monochromated Mo Kα radiation (λ = 0.71069 Å) at 296(2) K. Intensity data were collected in the variable ω-scan mode. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined on F² by using full-matrix least-squares methods with the SHELXL-97 program package. All non-hydrogen atoms were located in successive difference Fourier syntheses and refined with anisotropic thermal factors. The hydrogen atoms were introduced geometrically.
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