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ABSTRACT
In this paper, we show how the two-fluid equations describing the evolution of a dust and gas mixture can be re-formulated to describe a single fluid moving with the barycentric velocity of the mixture. This leads to evolution equations for the total density, momentum, the differential velocity between the dust and the gas phases and either the dust-to-gas ratio or the dust fraction. The equations are similar to the usual equations of gas dynamics, providing a convenient way to extend existing codes to simulate two-fluid mixtures without modifying the code architecture. Our approach avoids the inherent difficulties related to the standard approach where the two phases are separate and coupled via a drag term. In particular, the requirements of infinite spatial and temporal resolution as the stopping time tends to zero are no longer necessary. This means that both small and large grains can be straightforwardly treated with the same method, with no need for complicated implicit schemes. Since there is only one resolution scale the method also avoids the problem of unphysical trapping of one fluid (e.g. dust) below the resolution of the other. We also derive a simplified set of equations applicable to the case of strong drag/small grains, consisting of the standard fluid equations with a modified sound speed, plus an advection–diffusion equation for the dust-to-gas ratio. This provides a simple and fast way to evolve the mixture when the stopping time is smaller than the Courant time step. We present a smoothed particle hydrodynamics implementation in a companion paper.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Dust. It pervades the interstellar medium, molecular clouds, young stellar systems and protoplanetary discs, and is the material from which planets are formed. Grain properties are inferred via a range observational techniques at multiple wavelengths, including spectral emission distributions, scattered light images, thermal emission maps, mid-infrared spectroscopy, polarimetry and molecular emissions (see Pinte et al. 2008 for a detailed analysis). We can thus determine the spatial distribution, composition, crystallinity and even more importantly, grain sizes $s$. The largest fraction of the dust mass lies in the small grains since the dust size distribution is found to vary as $n(s) \propto s^{-3.5}$ (Mathis, Rumpl & Nordsieck 1977).

Simulating grain evolution in astrophysical objects is of primary importance since they play a crucial role in transferring energy, being the main source of thermal infrared emission. They thus affect the thermodynamics of the gas and – in turn – its dynamics. This is key to astrophysical processes that are controlled by the ability of the system to dissipate its gravitational energy, such as star and planet formation. However, computing the local opacities self-consistently taking into account the local evolution of the dust population and in general, simulating dust and gas mixtures evolution is quite challenging. Dust grains mainly interact with the surrounding gas by microscopic collisions between gas molecules and the dust grains. This results in a macroscopic drag force which damps the differential velocity between the two phases. The typical time-scale for the damping is called the stopping time $t_s$, which usually increases when the grain size decreases. Numerical methods thus need to be efficient over a wide range of dust sizes encountered in astrophysical systems. This is particularly the case in protoplanetary discs, where grains with different sizes become spatially differentiated by dynamical processes (Weidenschilling 1977; Nakagawa, Sekiya & Hayashi 1986; Garaud & Lin 2004; Youdin & Goodman 2005; Carballido, Fromang & Papaloizou 2006).

In two previous papers (Laibe & Price 2012a,b, hereafter LP12a, LP12b), we have detailed and benchmarked a Lagrangian smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH) formalism to treat astrophysical dust–gas mixtures. This scheme used a variable SPH smoothing length, SPH terms for the conservative part of the equations derived from a Lagrangian, and a ‘double-hump’ shaped kernel that was found to improve the accuracy of the drag terms in both linear and non-linear drag regimes. However, alongside the numerous tests we performed, we faced two rather fundamental difficulties which...
were not related to SPH but inherent to the two-fluid formalism. First, if dust particles concentrate below the resolution length of the gas phase, they tend to become trapped there, since they no longer feel any differential forces from the gas (see Ayliffe et al. 2012 for a discussion of this issue in SPH simulations; and Price & Federrath 2010 for the same issue in a grid-based context). Secondly, when handling the specific problem of strong drag regimes (corresponding to small grains), we found two limitations which lead to a prohibitive computational cost: (1) the drastically small time steps required for the numerical stability of explicit schemes or the complexity of the implicit schemes involved, and less trivially (2) a high spatial resolution required to resolve the differential velocity between the gas and the dust in order to simulate the correct physical dissipation rate. The latter occurs because even if the differential velocity between the fluids is damped after a few stopping times $t_s$, the gas pressure causes a small spatial dephasing between the gas and dust. When the resolution is too low $(\Delta x \lesssim t_s c_s$, see LP12a), the dephasing from numerical simulations is artificially too large and the energy is overdissipated.

This means that it is essentially impossible to simulate small grains accurately using the two-fluid approach with standard fluids codes, since both infinitely small time steps and an infinitely large spatial resolution are required in the limit $t_s \to 0$. Worse still, this limit corresponds to the rather obvious limit in which the two fluids are perfectly coupled and move precisely as a single fluid, albeit with a sound speed modified by the dust to gas ratio. For astrophysics this means that it is not currently possible to simulate the small, micron to cm-sized grains accurately with *any* existing dust–gas code where dust is simulated using particles, and certainly not possible to simulate both small and large (metre-to-planetesimal sized) grains with the same technique.

In this paper, we show how the equations describing gas–dust mixtures can be reformulated to represent a single fluid moving with the barycentre of the mixture, leading to a set of equations only slightly modified from the usual equations of gas dynamics, with additional evolution equations for the differential velocity and the dust-to-gas ratio. This approach, though initially developed with the large drag/small grain regime in mind, turns out to be both general and elegant, since the important physical quantities of the mixture are computed directly, avoiding all of the artificial complications which arise in the two-fluid treatment.

The equations for the evolution of the single fluid are derived in Section 2 in primitive and conservative forms for the formalism to be relevant for both Lagrangian and Eulerian methods. This set of equations is completely general and can be used to simulate both large and small grains. In Section 3, we show how they can be further simplified in the specific limit of small grains and subsonic differential motion, leading to the standard equations of gas dynamics (with a modified sound speed), coupled with an advection–diffusion equation for the dust-to-gas ratio. In Section 4, we demonstrate that the main physical effects associated with dust, including linear waves, shocks and the streaming instability, can all be captured with this simplified approach, and give the appropriate criterion for the use of the simplified formulation in numerical codes.

2 SINGLE-FLUID MODEL

2.1 Two-fluid equations

In astrophysical problems, dust and gas mixtures are usually treated by two continuous phases that interact via a drag term (Saffman 1962; see e.g. LP12a for a particular implementation). The dust fluid is treated as a presssureless fluid. The equations for the conservation of density and momentum are therefore given by

\[
\frac{\partial \rho_g}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_g \mathbf{v}_g) = 0, \quad (1)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \rho_d}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_d \mathbf{v}_d) = 0, \quad (2)
\]

\[
\rho_g \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_g}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_g \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_g \right) = \rho_g f + K (\mathbf{v}_d - \mathbf{v}_g) - \nabla P_g, \quad (3)
\]

\[
\rho_d \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_d}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_d \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_d \right) = \rho_d f - K (\mathbf{v}_d - \mathbf{v}_g), \quad (4)
\]

where the subscripts ‘g’ and ‘d’ refer to the gas and dust, respectively, and $K$ is the drag coefficient which is a function of the local gas and dust parameters, as well as the differential velocity between the fluids (see LP12b for an extensive discussion of drag regimes). In the following, we will denote $c_s$, the gas sound speed such as $\delta P_g = c_s^2 \delta \rho_g$, and $t_s$ the typical drag stopping time given by

\[
t_s \equiv \frac{\rho_d \rho_g}{K (\rho_g + \rho_d)}. \quad (5)
\]

Some studies adopt $t_{\text{stop}} = t_s K$ for the stopping time. We use the definition given by equation (5) since it is more physically relevant as we will see hereafter. Qualitatively, two limiting behaviours occur for the mixture’s evolution, depending on the value of $t_s$ compared to the other physical typical time. If $t_s$ is large (weak drag, i.e. large grains in astrophysics), the drag dissipates the differential kinetic energy between the phases slowly and is essentially perturbative. From a numerical point of view, such drag terms can be integrated by a straightforward explicit integration. If $t_s$ is small (strong drag, i.e. small grains in astrophysics), the drag controls the evolution of the mixture since momentum between the two phases is almost instantaneously exchanged. The behaviour of the mixture becomes less intuitive. In LP12a, we have illustrated the behaviour of a gas and dust mixture at strong drag regimes with the DUSTYwave problem. After a typical time $t_s$, the initial differential velocity between the fluids is damped and the barycentre of the fluid propagates with a modified sound speed $c_s$ (see below, equation 101). However, since $t_s$ remains finite, the gas pressure makes the gas propagate a small distance $c_s t_s$ with respect to the dust and both waves in the gas and the dust are slightly dephased. This small dephasing is then damped by the drag but regenerated by the pressure, leading to a dissipation in the evolution of the mixture, while both phases remain closely coupled.

The evolution equation for the specific internal energy of the gas is given by

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v}_g \cdot \nabla) u = - \frac{P_g}{\rho_g} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_g) + K (\mathbf{v}_d - \mathbf{v}_g)^2, \quad (6)
\]

the last term representing the dissipation of heat due to drag.

2.2 One-fluid model

Without loss of generality, equations (1)–(4) and (6) can be reformulated as a single fluid, moving with the barycentric velocity,

\[
\mathbf{v} = \frac{\rho_g \mathbf{v}_g + \rho_d \mathbf{v}_d}{\rho_g + \rho_d}, \quad (7)
\]
and evolving the differential velocity between the two phases, $\Delta v$, defined according to

$$\Delta v \equiv v_\Delta - v_g. \quad (8)$$

In the barycentric frame, the total density $\rho \equiv \rho_g + \rho_d$ and the dust to gas ratio $\rho_d/\rho_g$ are the natural quantities to study the evolution of the mixture. Using the identities

$$v_g = v - \frac{\rho_d}{\rho} \Delta v, \quad (9)$$

$$v_d = v + \frac{\rho_g}{\rho} \Delta v, \quad (10)$$

equations (1)–(4) become

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho v) = 0, \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + (v \cdot \nabla) v = - \frac{\nabla P_g}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\rho_d \rho_d}{\rho} \Delta v \Delta v \right), \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\rho_g}{\rho} \right) + v \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{\rho_g}{\rho} \right) = - \frac{\rho}{\rho_g} \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\rho_d \rho_d}{\rho} \Delta v \right), \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Delta v}{\partial t} + (v \cdot \nabla) \Delta v = - \frac{\Delta v}{t_s} + \nabla P_g \rho_g - \nabla \rho \Delta v + \frac{1}{2} \nabla \left( \frac{\rho_d - \rho_d \Delta v^2}{\rho_d + \rho_g} \right), \quad (14)$$

The evolution of the gas internal energy becomes

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \epsilon \frac{\rho}{\rho_g} \right) + v \cdot \nabla \left( \epsilon \frac{\rho}{\rho_g} \right) = - \frac{\epsilon}{\rho} \left( \nabla P_g + \frac{\rho_d}{\rho} (\Delta v \cdot \nabla) \Delta v \right), \quad (15)$$
or equivalently, the entropy evolves according to

$$\frac{d S}{d t} - \frac{\rho}{\rho_g} \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\rho_d}{\rho} \Delta v \Delta v \right) = \frac{\rho_d}{\rho_g} \frac{d \rho_d}{d t} + \frac{\rho_d}{\rho_g} \frac{d \Delta v}{d t}, \quad (16)$$

where $T$ is the local gas temperature. As expected, the differential velocity between the gas and the dust is a dissipative and irreversible source of entropy.

In the Lagrangian frame comoving with the fluid barycentre, the equations can be simplified further using the total time derivative

$$\frac{d X}{d t} = \frac{d \hat{r}}{d t} + v \cdot \nabla, \quad (17)$$

such that the evolution of the position, $X$, of a fluid particle of this single fluid is given by $dX/dt = v$. Thus equations (11)–(14) simplify to

$$\frac{d \rho}{d t} = - \rho (\nabla \cdot v), \quad (18)$$

$$\frac{d v}{d t} = - \frac{\nabla P_g}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\rho_d \rho_d}{\rho} \Delta v \Delta v \right), \quad (19)$$

$$\frac{d}{d t} \left( \frac{\rho_g}{\rho} \right) = - \frac{\rho}{\rho_g} \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\rho_d \rho_d}{\rho} \Delta v \right), \quad (20)$$

$$\frac{d \Delta v}{d t} = - \frac{\Delta v}{t_s} + \nabla P_g \rho_g - \nabla \rho \Delta v + \frac{1}{2} \nabla \left( \frac{\rho_d - \rho_d \Delta v^2}{\rho_d + \rho_g} \right), \quad (21)$$

while the internal energy equation is given by

$$\frac{d \epsilon}{d t} = - \frac{P_g}{\rho_g} (\nabla \cdot v) + \frac{\rho_d}{\rho} (\Delta v \cdot \nabla) u + \frac{\rho_d}{\rho} \frac{\Delta v^2}{t_s}. \quad (22)$$

The specific entropy of the gas evolves according to

$$\frac{d s}{d t} = \frac{\rho_d \Delta v^2}{T \rho \ t_s}, \quad (23)$$

showing that the drag is the only source of entropy in the mixture.

Throughout this section, we have assumed that the volume occupied by the dust grains is negligible. For astrophysical applications – with micron to kilometre-sized grains in simulations on au or parsec scales – this is an extremely good approximation, but it can be important in non-astrophysical problems (see Fan & Zhu 1998 for various examples). For completeness we give the one-fluid equations generalized to finite volume grains in Appendix A.

It should be noted that while physical, the use of the dust-to-gas ratio introduces an artificial singularity in the equations when the mixture is only made of dust ($\rho_g = 0$). A convenient way to overcome this difficulty is to use the dust fraction $\epsilon = \rho_d/\rho$ instead of the dust-to-gas ratio. The gas and the dust densities are calculated according to $\rho_g = (1 - \epsilon) \rho$ and $\rho_d = \epsilon \rho$, respectively. Equations (18)–(22) become

$$\frac{d \rho}{d t} = - \rho (\nabla \cdot v), \quad (24)$$

$$\frac{d \epsilon}{d t} = - \frac{\epsilon}{\rho} (\nabla \cdot \left( \epsilon \left( 1 - \epsilon \right) \rho \Delta v \right), \quad (25)$$

$$\frac{d v}{d t} = - \frac{\nabla P_g}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot \left( \epsilon \left( 1 - \epsilon \right) \rho \Delta v \Delta v \right) + f, \quad (26)$$

$$\frac{d \Delta v}{d t} = - \frac{\Delta v}{t_s} + \frac{\nabla P_g}{\rho} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \left[ \epsilon (2\epsilon - 1) \Delta v \Delta v \right], \quad (27)$$

$$\frac{d \epsilon}{d t} = - \frac{P_g}{\rho_g} (\nabla \cdot v - \epsilon \Delta v \Delta v \Delta v) + \epsilon (\Delta v \cdot \nabla) u + \frac{\Delta v^2}{t_s}, \quad (28)$$

where the stopping time $t_s$, reads

$$t_s = \frac{\epsilon (1 - \epsilon) \rho}{K}. \quad (29)$$

### 2.3 Advantages of the one-fluid approach

While mathematically equivalent to equations (1)–(6), the barycentric formulation of the dust gas equations has a number of key advantages for the numerical solution of dust–gas mixtures. In particular they are the following.

(i) The equations can be solved on a single fluid that moves with the barycentric velocity $v$, rather than requiring two fluids. In turn, this implies only one resolution scale in numerical models, avoiding the problems associated with mismatched spatial resolutions discussed above (cf. Price & Federrath 2010; Ayliffe et al. 2012; LP12a).

(ii) The form of the continuity and acceleration equations (equations 18 and 19) are similar or identical to the usual equations of hydrodynamics, with a minor modification to the pressure gradient and one additional term in the acceleration equation.
(iii) The dust-to-gas ratio, the critical parameter in most astrophysical problems, is explicitly evolved. Furthermore, both the physics producing a change in the dust-to-gas ratio, and the limit in which the dust-to-gas ratio is constant, are clear.

(iv) Drag terms between the two fluids do not have to be explicitly evaluated, meaning treatment of complicated or non-linear drag regimes is straightforward.

(v) The evolution equation for $\Delta v$ (equation 21) is analogous to the induction equation for magnetohydrodynamics or the evolution of vorticity in incompressible flows, with additional source $(\nabla P_0/\rho_g)$ and decay $(-\Delta v/t_e)$ terms.

(vi) Implicit treatment of the decay term in equation (21) in the limit of $t_e \to 0$ can be trivially achieved using operator splitting, since the exact solution is known.

(vii) The equations can be simplified further in the limit of strong drag/short stopping times, as we discuss below.

Equations (18), (19) and (21) have been used in a reduced form (assuming an incompressible fluid) for analytic studies of instabilities in protoplanetary discs (Youdin & Goodman 2005; Chiang 2008; Barranco 2009; Lee et al. 2010; Jacquet, Balbus & Latter 2011). However, equation (20) (or equivalently equation 25) – the most important equation in the barycentric formulation – has to our knowledge not been derived elsewhere.

2.4 Physical interpretation

Equation (18) is a standard equation of mass conservation for the total mass of the system. Equation (19) is also similar to a single-fluid momentum conservation equation, except that (1) the gas pressure gradient is divided by the total density of the fluid, thus taking into account the inertia of the dust and (2) the dissipated energy from the differential velocity between the fluid acts like a kinetic pressure for the fluid. Indeed, in equation (61) the term $\frac{1}{2} \rho \mathbf{v}^2$ is the dynamical kinetic energy of the mixture, while the second term is the density of energy internal to the mixture (which is equivalent to a pressure). The effect of these terms on the evolution of the fluid vorticity $\mathbf{Q} = \nabla \times \mathbf{v}$ is given by the relation

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{Q}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} = -\rho (\mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla) - \rho \mathbf{f} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{f} \cdot \nabla g$$

for a single gaseous fluid. However, vorticity can also be created between the fluids, the dust-to-gas ratio is advected with the mixture. This equation can alternatively be rewritten as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\rho_\text{d}}{\rho_\text{g}} \right) + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \left( \frac{\rho_\text{d}}{\rho_\text{g}} \right) = -\frac{\rho_\text{d}}{\rho_\text{g}} (\nabla \cdot \Delta v) - \frac{\rho_\text{d}}{\rho_\text{g}} \frac{1}{\rho_\text{g}} (\Delta v \cdot \nabla) + \frac{1}{\rho_\text{g}} \frac{\rho_\text{d}}{\rho_\text{g}} (\Delta v \cdot \nabla) - \frac{1 - \rho_\text{d}/\rho_\text{g}}{1 + \rho_\text{d}/\rho_\text{g}} (\Delta v \cdot \nabla) \left( \frac{\rho_\text{d}}{\rho_\text{g}} \right).$$

Interestingly, it becomes transparent that even if $\Delta v$ and $\rho$ are constant, the dust-to-gas ratio can change if $\rho_\text{d}$ and $\rho_\text{g}$ are different (this occurs in the case of the streaming instability).

Equation (21) shows that the source of differential velocity between the fluids is the pressure gradient (i.e. if $\nabla P_\text{s} = 0$ and $\Delta v = 0$ initially, no differential velocity is generated). This differential velocity is also damped by the drag term. Equation (21) is the only equation where $t_e$ is involved.

It should be noted that the total energy of the mixture $E_m$ is

$$E_m = m \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}^2 + u_m \right),$$

where

$$u_m = (1 - \epsilon) u(s, (1 - \epsilon) \rho) + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon (1 - \epsilon) \Delta v^2,$$

$\epsilon$ and $\sigma$ denote the internal energy and entropy of the gas (and not of the mixture), respectively. Thus, the kinetic energy of the mixture is not the sum of the kinetic energies of its components since a term arising from the differential velocities goes into the internal energy.

2.5 Generalized formulation with arbitrary gas/dust forces

In general there may be additional forces that act separately on either the gas phase or the dust phase beyond those given in equations (1)–(4). Examples include viscous and magnetohydrodynamic forces. In the most general case, the one-fluid equations are given by

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = -\rho (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}),$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = \frac{\rho_\text{d}}{\rho} f_\text{d} + \frac{\rho_\text{g}}{\rho} f_\text{g} - \frac{1}{\rho} (\rho_\text{d} \rho_\text{g} \rho_\text{g}) (\nabla \cdot \Delta v) + \mathbf{f},$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\rho_\text{d}}{\rho_\text{g}} \right) = -\frac{\rho_\text{d}}{\rho_\text{g}} (\rho_\text{d} \rho_\text{g} \rho_\text{g} \rho_\text{g}) (\nabla \cdot \Delta v),$$

$$\frac{d\Delta v}{dt} = \frac{\Delta v}{t_e} + (f_\text{d} - f_\text{g}) - (\Delta v \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho_\text{d} - \rho_\text{g}}{\rho_\text{d} + \rho_\text{g}} (\Delta v^2),$$

where $f_\text{d}$ refers to the forces acting only on the gas phase (e.g. pressure and viscous forces), $f_\text{g}$ is any force acting only on the dust phase and $\mathbf{f}$ is the force acting on both phases (e.g. gravity). As previously, equation (25) can equivalently be used in place of equation (36).

2.6 Conservative formulation

An important constraint on any numerical implementation is that all of the conservation laws should be satisfied. In Laibe & Price (2014, hereafter Paper II) we show that our SPH implementation of equations (24)–(29) conserves all of these quantities exactly, demonstrating that there is no disadvantage with respect to the two-fluid formulation in terms of conservation properties. For Eulerian codes this may be demonstrated by showing that the equations can be written as a hyperbolic system in conservative form.

The conservative part of the local equations of evolution of the mixture can be derived directly from the conservation of physical quantities over a volume of fluid $V$. This volume moves with a velocity $\mathbf{U}$, which can be the fluid velocity $\mathbf{v}$ (for the mixture, the barycentric velocity) or a different velocity. Denoting $dS\mathbf{n}$ the
elementary surface vector of the volume, the transport theorem provides the evolution of an integral quantity over \( V \):
\[
\frac{\delta}{\delta t} \left( \int_V b \, dV \right) = \int_V \frac{\delta b}{\delta t} \, dV + \int_S b \,( U \cdot n) \, dS,
\]
where \( b \) is a tensorial field of any order. Additional discontinuities in the quantity \( b \) over the volume \( V \) would add additional terms in the right-hand side of equation (38), which we have neglected. If \( U = v \), then \( \frac{\delta}{\delta t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \).

### 2.6.1 Conservation of mass

The mass of gas and dust contained in the volume \( V \) are given by
\[
M_g = \int_V \rho_g dV = \int_V (1 - \epsilon) \rho \, dV,
\]
\[
M_d = \int_V \rho_d dV = \int_V \epsilon \rho \, dV.
\]
From equation (38) we obtain
\[
\frac{dM_g}{dt} = 0,
\]
\[
\frac{dM_d}{dt} = 0,
\]
where \( \frac{\delta}{\delta t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v \cdot \nabla \) and \( \frac{\delta}{\delta t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_d \cdot \nabla \) are the comoving derivatives for the gas and the dust, respectively. Using the transport theorem with \( U = v \) and \( U = v_d \), respectively, and the divergence theorem, equations (41) and (42) result in two integral equations whose integrands are zero, implying two local conservation equations:
\[
\frac{\partial (1 - \epsilon) \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left[ (1 - \epsilon) \rho v - \rho \epsilon (1 - \epsilon) \Delta v \right] = 0,
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \rho \epsilon}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot [\rho \epsilon v + \rho \epsilon (1 - \epsilon) \Delta v] = 0.
\]
Interestingly, using the theorem of transport with the velocity \( v \) instead of the gas and the dust velocities gives
\[
\frac{dM_g}{dt} = \int_S \rho \epsilon (1 - \epsilon) \Delta v \cdot n \, dS,
\]
\[
\frac{dM_d}{dt} = -\int_S \rho \epsilon (1 - \epsilon) \Delta v \cdot n \, dS.
\]
In the case where the volume \( V \) is the entire space, the surface integrals of equations (45) and (46) go to zero and \( \frac{dM}{dt} = \frac{dM_g}{dt} = \frac{dM_d}{dt} = 0 \).

### 2.6.2 Conservation of momentum

The specific momentum of the gas and dust phases may be defined according to
\[
P_g \equiv \int_V \rho_g v \, dV = \int_V (1 - \epsilon) \rho \, (v - \epsilon \Delta v) \, dV,
\]
\[
P_d \equiv \int_V \rho_d v \, dV = \int_V \epsilon \rho \, (v + (1 - \epsilon) \Delta v) \, dV,
\]
where the forces should balance over the volume \( V \). Neglecting external forces acting on the mixture and assuming the only surface forces are the gas and dust pressure gradients, we have
\[
\frac{dP_g}{dt} = -\int_S P_g n \, dS,
\]
\[
\frac{dP_d}{dt} = 0,
\]
where \( P_g \) is the gas pressure. These in turn result in the local conservation equations:
\[
\frac{\partial \rho (1 - \epsilon) (v - \epsilon \Delta v)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left[ (1 - \epsilon) (v - \epsilon \Delta v) (v - \epsilon \Delta v) + P_g I \right] = 0,
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \rho \epsilon (v + (1 - \epsilon) \Delta v)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot [\rho \epsilon (v + (1 - \epsilon) \Delta v) (v + (1 - \epsilon) \Delta v)] = 0.
\]
If the volume is advected with the velocity \( v \), equations (52) and (53) can be rewritten as
\[
\frac{dP_g}{dt} = -\int_S P_g n \, dS + \int_S \rho \epsilon (1 - \epsilon) (v - \epsilon \Delta v) \Delta v \cdot n \, dS,
\]
\[
\frac{dP_d}{dt} = \int_S \rho \epsilon (1 - \epsilon) (v + (1 - \epsilon) \Delta v) \Delta v \cdot n \, dS,
\]
The new terms in the right-hand sides of equations (56) and (57) consist of momentum fluxes associated with the density fluxes related to the differential advection of each phase (equations 45 and 46). It is important to note that even if the conservation of the total mass in a local volume is ensured, the total momentum \( \mathbf{P} = P_g + P_d \) is not conserved for the local volume. Indeed, summing equations (56) and (57), we obtain
\[
\frac{dP}{dt} = -\int_S P_g n \, dS - \int_S \rho \epsilon (1 - \epsilon) \Delta v \Delta v \cdot n \, dS.
\]
Thus, even if mass fluxes of gas and dust counterbalance each other, they bring a net flux of total momentum in \( V \) which is similar to the
contribution of an anisotropic pressure. Using the gradient and the divergence theorems, equation (58) results in a local conservation equation given by
\[
\partial_t \rho v + \nabla \cdot \left[ \rho u v + P_s I + \rho \epsilon (1 - \epsilon) \Delta v \Delta v \right] = 0,
\]
which can also be obtained by summing equations (56) and (57). Note that this anisotropic pressure term brings additional terms in the energy equation as well (see below). In the case where \( V \) is the entire space, the surface terms go to zero and \( \frac{dP}{dt} = 0 \).

2.6.3 Conservation of energy
The total energy of the mixture in a given volume \( V \) is given by
\[
E = \int_V \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho v^2 + \frac{1}{2} \rho \epsilon + \rho u \right) dV
\]
\[
= \int_V \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho v^2 + \frac{1}{2} \rho \epsilon + \rho u \right) dV.
\]
Writing down the energy conservation for both the gas and the dust gives
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int_V E_g = - \int_\Sigma P_g (v - \epsilon \Delta v) n dS,
\]
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int_V E_d = 0,
\]
and thus two local conservation equations of the form
\[
\partial_t \left( \rho (1 - \epsilon) (u + \frac{1}{2} (v - \epsilon \Delta v)^2) \right) + \nabla \cdot \left( \rho (1 - \epsilon) \left(u + \frac{1}{2} (v - \epsilon \Delta v)^2 + P_s \right)(v - \epsilon \Delta v) \right) = 0,
\]
\[
\partial_t \left( \rho \epsilon (v + (1 - \epsilon) \Delta v)^2 \right) + \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho v^2 + \frac{1}{2} \rho \epsilon (1 - \epsilon) \Delta v \right) = 0.
\]
Combining equations (65) and (66) gives the total energy equation in conservative form:
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int_V \left( E_g + \epsilon \int_V (u + P_s) (v - \epsilon \Delta v) \right) = 0.
\]

2.7 Hyperbolicity

Hyperbolic systems partial differential equation (PDE) are a particular category of PDE often encountered in hydrodynamics for which specific powerful methods of resolution have been developed (Riemann solvers; e.g. Toro 1999). Writing a PDE system over the variables \( W \) in the primitive form:
\[
\partial_t W + \mathbf{A} \partial_x W = 0,
\]
the system is hyperbolic if all the eigenvalues of the matrix \( \mathbf{A} \) are real. The hyperbolicity of a system of PDEs is related to the conservative nature of the equations. A seminal hyperbolic PDE system is formed by the mass and the momentum equation for an isothermal gas. Physically, a linear perturbation of this system provides a sound wave advected by the background flow. In a two-fluid model, the PDE systems describing the evolution of a gas and dust mixture are trivially hyperbolic, since they consists of two independent systems of \( 2 \times 2 \) hyperbolic equations. The mathematical transformation to go from this two-fluid system to the one-fluid description involves (i) a change of coordinate which does not involves the derivatives of the physical quantities, performed by applying the related Jacobian matrix, and (ii) a linear change in the advection velocities. Those are both linear transformations. Thus, the eigenvalues of the matrix \( \mathbf{A} \) are all real as the eigenvalues of a similar matrix are real and the hyperbolicity of the system is preserved. In a one-dimensional case.
with a two fluids description, $W = (\rho_2, v_g, \rho_d, v_d)$ and the matrix $A$ is given by
\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
  v_g & \rho_g & 0 & 0 \\
  \frac{c_i^2}{\rho_g} & v_g & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & v_d & \rho_d \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & v_d
\end{pmatrix},
\]
(75)
whose four eigenvalues are $v_g \pm c_i, v_d, v_d$. If $W = (\rho, \epsilon, v, \Delta v)$ (one-fluid description), the matrix $\tilde{A}$ is
\[
\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix}
  v & 0 & \rho & 0 \\
  \frac{(1-\epsilon)v}{\rho} & v + \Delta v(1-2\epsilon) & 0 & \epsilon(1-\epsilon) \\
  \frac{(1-\epsilon)v^2}{\rho} + \frac{(1-\epsilon)c_i^2}{\rho} & (1-2\epsilon)\Delta v^2 - \frac{c_i^2}{\rho}v & 2\Delta v\epsilon(1-\epsilon) & 0 \\
  \frac{c_i^2}{\rho} & -\Delta v^2 + \frac{c_i^2}{\rho}v & \Delta v & (2\epsilon-1)\Delta v
\end{pmatrix}
\]
(76)
Its four real eigenvalues are $v - \epsilon \Delta v \pm c_i, v + (1-\epsilon)\Delta v, v + (1-\epsilon)t_i/\Delta v$, which are nothing else than the eigenvalues of the matrix $A$ in the new system of coordinates, as expected. This corroborates the fact that the equations of the mixture can also be written in a conservative form (equations 69–72). To solve the Riemann problem, the eigenvectors of $\tilde{A}$ are the ones of the two fluids problem in (75).

3 SIMPLIFIED EQUATIONS FOR STRONG DRAG/SMALL GRAINS

3.1 Comparison of terms

To analyse the respective order of magnitude of the different terms, we define two dimensionless quantities: the traditional Mach number $M$,
\[
M \equiv \frac{v^2}{c_i^2},
\]
(77)
and the differential Mach number,
\[
M_\Delta \equiv \frac{\Delta v^2}{c_i^2}.
\]
(78)
Using $T$ to denote the time it takes for the fluid to propagate a distance $L$ at a sound speed $c_i$, equation (21) provides the ratio between $M$ and $M_\Delta$ as a function of $t_i/T$:
\[
\frac{M_\Delta}{M} = O(1) \quad \text{if } t_i \gg T,
\]
(79)
\[
\frac{M_\Delta}{M} = O\left(\frac{t_i^2}{T^2}\right) \quad \text{if } t_i \ll T.
\]
(80)
Equations (79) and (80) define the weak and strong drag regimes, respectively.

3.2 Terminal velocity approximation

As discussed in LP12a, strong drag regimes are tremendously difficult to handle with two fluids. They can however be very easily treated by the one-fluid model since in this limit the differential velocity adds only a small correction to the barycentric velocity of the mixture. From equation (80):
\[
\frac{\partial_v \Delta v}{\Delta v/t_i} = \left| \frac{v \cdot \nabla \Delta v}{\Delta v/t_i} \right| = \left| \frac{\Delta v \cdot \nabla v}{\Delta v/t_i} \right| = O\left(\frac{t_i}{T}\right).
\]
(81)
Thus, for small grains, equation (21) simply reduces to
\[
\Delta v = \frac{\nabla P_g}{\rho_g},
\]
(82)
which is known as the terminal velocity approximation (Youdin & Goodman 2005; Chiang 2008; Barranco 2009; Lee et al. 2010; Jacquet et al. 2011). Indeed, $\Delta v/t_i$ is of order $t_i/T$ and terms containing $\Delta v^2$ can safely be neglected since they are second order in $t_i/T$. In the general case of forces acting on each phase separately (Section 2.5), the terminal velocity approximation corresponds to
\[
\Delta v = (f_d - f_g)v_t.
\]
(83)

3.3 First-order approximation

To first order in $t_i/T$, equations (18)–(21) become
\[
\frac{d\rho}{dt} = -\rho(\nabla \cdot v),
\]
(84)
\[
\frac{dv}{dt} = f - \nabla \frac{P_g}{\rho},
\]
(85)
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\rho_d}{\rho}\right) = -\rho \frac{\rho_d}{\rho} \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla P_g}{\rho} \frac{t_i}{\rho}\right).
\]
(86)
Equivalently, the dust fraction $\epsilon$ can be used, giving
\[
\frac{d\epsilon}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\rho_d \rho_d}{\rho} \left[\nabla P_g \frac{t_i}{\rho}\right]\right),
\]
(87)
in place of equation (86). Thus, in strong drag regimes, gas–dust mixtures can be described by the usual equations of fluid dynamics (with a modified sound speed, see below) coupled with one additional advection–diffusion equation for the dust-to-gas ratio. The relative drift from the dust with respect to the gas is taken into account by the term on the right-hand side of equation (86). This source term results from the fact that dust tends to accumulate in the pressure maxima and, by conservation of momentum, pushes the gas outside. The term contains a spatial second derivative and thus acts to diffuse the dust-to-gas ratio, with the effective diffusion $v_t$ being of order
\[
v_t \approx c_i^2 t_i.
\]
(88)
This diffusion-like process is therefore slow compared to the propagation of the mixture and can be easily be integrated explicitly in a numerical scheme. It should be noted that if additional forces act on the gas phase only (e.g. viscous terms, magnetic forces), they have to be added in the terminal velocity approximation and thus, inside the diffusion term in equation (86) as well.

The internal energy equation simplifies to
\[
\frac{d\epsilon}{dt} = -\frac{P_g}{\rho} \left(\nabla \cdot v\right) - \frac{P_g}{\rho} \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\rho_d}{\rho} \left[\nabla P_g \frac{t_i}{\rho}\right]\right)
\]
\[
-\frac{\rho_d}{\rho} \frac{1}{\rho} \left[\nabla P_g \frac{t_i}{\rho}\right] \left[\nabla \frac{P_g}{\rho}\right] t_i. \nabla \cdot \frac{\rho_d}{\rho} \left[\nabla P_g \frac{t_i}{\rho}\right].
\]
(89)
We show in Sections 4.2 and 4.4 that the reduced set of equations (84)–(86) are sufficient to describe some of the most important
physical effects of dust in astrophysics, including waves in a two-fluid mixture and the streaming instability in protoplanetary discs.

In conservative form, equations (84)–(87) are equivalent to
\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0, \tag{90}
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \rho \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} + P_\rho I) = 0, \tag{91}
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \mathbf{P}_\rho, \tag{92}
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \rho (1 - \epsilon) (u + P_\rho) \right) \right) = 0, \tag{93}
\]
where
\[
\tilde{c} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \rho \mathbf{v}^2 + (1 - \epsilon) \rho u. \tag{94}
\]

3.4 Zeroth-order approximation

To zeroth order in \( t/T, t_s = 0 \) (i.e. the limit of infinite drag/perfect coupling), equations (18)–(21) simply reduce to
\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0, \tag{102}
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \rho \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} + P_\rho I) = 0, \tag{103}
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \mathbf{P}_\rho, \tag{104}
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \rho (1 - \epsilon) (u + P_\rho) \right) \right) = 0. \tag{105}
\]

4 APPLICATIONS

Since the one-fluid model given by equations (18)–(21) is completely general, all of the analytic solutions described in Laibe & Price (2011) and LP12a can be equally well captured with the barycentric formulation. Here we show that not only are the solutions much simpler in the framework of our one-fluid formulation, but also that most of the important physics can be captured with the reduced sets of equations presented in Sections 3.3 and 3.4. Thus, for many problems we expect that the zeroth- or first-order approximations will be sufficient.

4.1 DUSTYBOX

The DustyBox problem (Monaghan & Kocharyan 1995; Paardekooper & Mellema 2006; Münici 2010; Laibe & Price 2011) consists of the drag-induced decay of the differential motion two fluids coupled by a drag term, assuming uniform densities \( \rho_\rho \) and \( \rho_\rho \). In the barycentric formulation, assuming constant \( \rho_\rho, \rho_\rho \) and \( \mathbf{v} \), the problem simply reduces to
\[
\frac{\partial \Delta \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\Delta \mathbf{v}}{\rho_\rho}, \tag{106}
\]
where in general \( \rho_\rho \) can itself be a function of \( \Delta \mathbf{v} \). Since \( \Delta \mathbf{v} \) is specifically evolved in the one-fluid model – in contrast to the two-fluid approach where it depends on both the gas and the dust – the DustyBox problem is straightforward.

4.2 DUSTYWAVE for strong drag

The DustyWave problem consists of linear sound waves propagating in one dimension in a dust and gas mixture of uniform density with a linear drag term. DustyWave is solved in detail in Laibe & Price (2011), with the limit of strong drag regimes given in LP12a. We assume that the equilibrium velocities and densities of the single fluid is given by \( \mathbf{v} = 0, \rho = \rho_0 \) and \( \rho_0/\rho_\rho = \rho_0/\rho_\rho \). We then consider small perturbations and perform an acoustic linear expansion of equations (84)–(86), i.e.
\[
\frac{\partial \delta \rho_\rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \delta \rho_\rho}{\partial x} + \rho_0 \frac{\partial \delta \mathbf{v}}{\partial x} = 0, \tag{107}
\]
\[
\rho_0 \frac{\partial \delta \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = -\frac{c_s^2}{\rho_\rho} \frac{\partial \delta \rho_\rho}{\partial x}. \tag{108}
\]
\[
-\frac{\rho_0}{\rho_\rho} \frac{\partial \delta \rho_\rho}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\rho_\rho} \frac{\partial \delta \rho_\rho}{\partial x} = -c_s^2 \frac{\rho_0}{\rho_\rho} \frac{\partial^2 \delta \rho_\rho}{\partial x^2}. \tag{109}
\]
As this system is linear, we search for solutions that have the form of monochromatic plane waves. The total solution is a linear combination of those monochromatic plane waves whose coefficients are fixed by the initial conditions. The perturbations are assumed to be of the general form
\[ v = V e^{i(kx - \omega t)} , \]
(110)
\[ \delta \rho_k = D_k e^{i(kx - \omega t)} , \]
(111)
\[ \delta \rho_l = D_l e^{i(kx - \omega t)} . \]
(112)
Using equations (110)–(112) in equations (107)–(109), and solving for non-trivial solutions gives the following dispersion relation:
\[ w^2 \left( 1 + \frac{\rho_{d,0}}{\rho_{g,0}} \right) + i \frac{k^2 \rho_{d,0}}{\rho_{g,0}} \omega c_s^2 t_s - k^2 c_s^2 = 0 , \]
(113)
which, to first order in \( \delta \),
\[ \omega = \pm k c_s - \frac{i}{2} k^2 c_s^2 \frac{\rho_{d,0}}{\rho_{g,0}} . \]
(114)
This is precisely the solution found in LP12a for the DUSTYWAVE problem with two fluids in the strong drag regime. This illustrates that the diffusion-like term of equation (86) contains the most important effect from the drift of the gas with respect to the dust.

To test the validity of the terminal velocity approximation, we compute the relative overdissipation of the energy that occurs when using the diffusion-like term. Fig. 1 shows a contour plot of the relative error committed on the typical dissipation time of the terminal velocity approximation compared to the full DUSTYWAVE problem as a function of the ratio between the pressure time-scale \( t_p = (k c_s)^{-1} \) (i.e. the typical time for a sound wave to propagate across one wavelength) and the stopping time (x-axis) and the dust-to-gas ratio \( \rho_d/\rho_g \) (y-axis). Those have been calculated as the inverse of the minimum of the imaginary part of the roots of the respective dispersion relations.

**Fig. 1.** Contour plot of the relative error in the dissipation time-scale when using the terminal velocity approximation compared to the full DUSTYWAVE problem, as a function of the ratio between the pressure time-scale \( t_p \) and the stopping time \( t_s \) (x-axis) and the dust-to-gas ratio \( \rho_d/\rho_g \) (y-axis). The terminal velocity approximation is valid in the purple region (error less than 10 per cent), especially if \( t_p/t_s \) is \( \gtrsim 5 \)–10 (error less than 1 per cent). The approximation breaks down for small values of \( t_p/t_s \).

Fig. 1 shows that the terminal velocity approximation remains accurate so long as the stopping time \( t_s \) is smaller than the pressure time-scale \( t_p \) by more than one order of magnitude. More precisely, for a dust-to-gas ratio of \( 10^{-2} \) typical of the interstellar medium, the overdissipation is 0.01, 1, 4, 20 and 70 per cent for \( t_p/t_s = 100, 10, 5, 2 \) and 1, respectively (at the transition of intermediate drag regimes, the approximation breaks quite abruptly). The best approximation is obtained when the dust-to-gas ratio is unity. The overdissipation is 0.04 per cent for \( t_p/t_s = 5 \) and \( \rho_d/\rho_g = 1 \), and 15 per cent for \( t_p/t_s = 5 \) and \( \rho_d/\rho_g = 100 \). Thus, we recommend keeping some safety margin by using the diffusion-like term only if the stopping time is smaller than the pressure time by an order of magnitude (or control it by a criterion similar to the one adopted in Fig. 1).

In a numerical simulation, around 8 resolution lengths \( \Delta x \) per wavelength is around the minimum resolution required to resolve a sound wave properly. Under this condition and using \( \Delta t = \Delta x/c_s \) to denote the usual Courant time step, the condition for the diffusion approximation to remain valid becomes
\[ t_s \lesssim \frac{\Delta t}{2\pi} . \]
(115)
Thus, use of the terminal velocity approximation is appropriate roughly when the stopping time is comfortably shorter than the minimum time step.

### 4.3 DUSTYSHOCK

Shocks in dust–gas mixtures propagate in two distinct phases: a transient phase that occurs over a few stopping times during which the differential velocity between the gas and the dust is damped, and a stationary phase where the shock propagation is similar to that in a single fluid but with the modified sound speed \( c_s \) defined by equation (101) (Miura & Glass 1982; LP12a). Thus, while capturing the transient phase requires solving the general one-fluid equations (i.e. equations 18–22), the essential physics during the stationary phase is captured by the zeroth-order approximation discussed in Section 3.4.

Fig. 2 shows the exact solution to the Sod (1978) shock tube problem in a gas (dotted lines), compared to the stationary solution for a dust–gas mixture (solid lines), employing the zeroth-order approximation presented in Section 3.4 (here, the DUSTYSHOCK solution was computed by simply modifying the sound speed in the shock tube exact solution distributed with SPLASH; Price 2007).

Almost all astrophysical dusty shocks involve small grains (i.e. strong drag regimes), meaning that they are effectively in the stationary regime. Computing accurate solutions in this regime requires prohibitively high spatial resolution with two-fluid codes (\( \Delta x \lesssim c_s t_s \)), as demonstrated by LP12a. By contrast, the modifications required to solve equations (95)–(97) with standard gas dynamics codes are essentially trivial, and the resolution requirements are identical to those in single-fluid codes, allowing an accurate treatment of the most important effects in shocks in regions where small dust grains are highly concentrated.

### 4.4 Streaming instability for strong drag

The streaming instability develops in dust and gas mixtures in differential rotation when the gas is submitted to an external background pressure gradient. In equilibrium, this background pressure gradient generates a differential velocity between the gas and the dust, leading the dust to migrate inwards and the gas outwards. The analytic
expression for the radial and azimuthal velocities in both phases at stationary equilibrium have been derived by Nakagawa et al. (1986, hereafter NSH86). Perturbing this equilibrium can eventually lead to instabilities. In this case, energy is pumped from the background differential rotation due to the global pressure gradient and to force instabilities. In this case, energy is pumped from the background.

\[
\frac{\partial \delta \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \delta \rho}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \delta \rho}{\partial z} = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \delta v_r}{\partial t} + \delta v_r \left( \Omega + \frac{\partial r \Omega}{\partial r} \right) = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \delta v_z}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\rho_0} \frac{\partial \delta P_g}{\partial z} - \frac{\delta \rho}{\rho}.
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\rho_{k,0}} \frac{\partial \delta \rho}{\partial t} = -\rho_{k,0} t_{\text{stop}} \left\{ \frac{\rho_{k,0}}{\rho_0} \nabla \cdot \nabla (\delta P_k) - g_e \rho_{k,0} \nabla (\delta \rho)^2 \right\}.
\]

Searching for non-trivial solutions of the form \( e^{ik_x x + ik_z z - \omega t} \), with \( \kappa \) the epicyclic frequency and \( k^2 = k_x^2 + k_z^2 \), we obtain the following dispersion relation:

\[
-\frac{\rho_{d,0}}{\rho_0} t_{\text{stop}} \omega^2 + \omega^3 + \left( \frac{\rho_{d,0}}{\rho_0} \kappa^2 + k_x \frac{\rho_{d,0}}{\rho_0} g_e \right) t_{\text{stop}} \omega^2 = 0,
\]

which is identical to the one given by Jacquet et al. (2011). This result is not surprising since the terminal velocity approximation is a good approximation for the streaming instability (Youdin & Goodman 2005). Importantly, this shows that the physical processes relevant in protoplanetary discs are accounted for by the diffusion-like term in equation (86).

5 CONCLUSION

We have shown how the two-fluid equations describing the evolution of a mixture of dust and gas can be reformulated in terms of a single fluid moving with the barycentric velocity of the mixture. The formulation consists of differential equations for the total mass \( \rho \), the barycentric velocity \( \mathbf{v} \), the differential velocity \( \Delta \mathbf{v} \) and the dust-to-gas ratio \( \rho_d/\rho_0 \) (or equivalently, the dust fraction \( \rho_d/\rho \)) that can be written in a form appropriate for both Lagrangian and Eulerian codes. The first two of these are identical (for \( \rho \)) or only slight modifications (for \( \mathbf{v} \)) of the usual equations of gas dynamics. Evolving \( \Delta \mathbf{v} \) greatly simplifies the drag between the fluids, reducing it to a simple exponential decay, meaning that it is easy to solve this equation for both weak and strong drag regimes, and thus capture the dynamics of both small and large grains within the same formulation. Finally, explicit evolution of the dust-to-gas ratio means that it is straightforward to follow the concentration of solid material, which plays a crucial role in planet formation.
The one-fluid approach solves with physics the two most fundamental issues related to numerical simulations of two-fluid dust and gas mixtures. First, the presence of only one resolution scale in the simulation means that the problem of overconcentration of one fluid below the resolution of the other cannot occur. Secondly, the equations reduce identically to single-fluid gas dynamics in the limit of infinite drag, avoiding the need for both infinite spatial resolution and infinitesimally small time steps that would be necessary with the two-fluid approach.

We have also shown that strong drag regimes can be handled in an even simpler manner by adding a diffusion-like term in the simplified formulation – which can be implemented with only minor modifications to existing single-fluid codes – was shown to remain stable. The linear growth of the streaming instability. This in the mixtures, including the propagation of sound waves and shocks, and the linear growth of the streaming instability. This simplified formulation – which can be implemented with only minor modifications to existing single-fluid codes – was shown to remain sufficiently accurate for use in numerical simulations provided the stopping time \( t_s \) is smaller than the minimum (Courant) time step.

The nature of the evolution equations mean that this formalism lends itself readily to implementation in existing numerical codes. In a companion paper (Paper II), we describe an implementation of the one-fluid formulation with the SPH method, though we stress that the approach is equally useful for both SPH and grid-based codes.
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APPENDIX A: FINITE VOLUME OF DUST PARTICLES

If dust grains occupy a finite volume, the volume fraction \( \theta \) defined by

\[
\theta = 1 - \frac{\hat{\rho}_d}{\rho_d} \quad (A1)
\]

is no longer equal to unity. In this case, the volume densities of gas and dust \( \hat{\rho}_g \) and \( \hat{\rho}_d \) are distinguished from the intrinsic densities \( \rho_g \) and \( \rho_d \) according to

\[
\hat{\rho}_d = (1 - \theta)\rho_d, \quad (A2)
\]

\[
\hat{\rho}_g = \theta\rho_g. \quad (A3)
\]

Equations (18)–(21) should be modified accordingly, i.e.

\[
\frac{\partial \hat{\rho}}{\partial t} + \nabla (\hat{\rho} \mathbf{v}) = 0, \quad (A4)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} = - \nabla \left( \frac{P_g + P_d}{\rho_d} \right) - \frac{1}{\rho_d} \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\hat{\rho}_g \hat{\rho}_d}{\hat{\rho}_d} \Delta \mathbf{v} \Delta \mathbf{v} \right), \quad (A5)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\hat{\rho}_d}{\rho_d} \right) + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{\hat{\rho}_d}{\rho_d} \right) = - \frac{\nabla P_d}{\rho_d} \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\hat{\rho}_g \hat{\rho}_d}{\rho_d} \Delta \mathbf{v} \right), \quad (A6)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \Delta \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \Delta \mathbf{v} = - \frac{\Delta \mathbf{v}}{t_s} + \frac{\nabla P_d}{\rho_d} \left[ \theta - \frac{1 - \theta}{\hat{\rho}_d} \right] - \frac{\nabla P_d}{\hat{\rho}_d} \left( \frac{\hat{\rho}_d - \hat{\rho}_g}{\hat{\rho}_d + \hat{\rho}_g} \Delta \mathbf{v} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{v} \right), \quad (A7)
\]

where \( \hat{\rho} = \hat{\rho}_g + \hat{\rho}_d \) and \( \mathbf{v} = \frac{\hat{\rho}_g \mathbf{v}_g + \hat{\rho}_d \mathbf{v}_d}{\hat{\rho}} \). We have also included a dust pressure \( P_d \) for complete generality.
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