CLINICAL OUTCOME OF AN INJECTABLE PLATELET-RICH FIBRIN AND PLATELET-RICH PLASMA ON IMMEDIATE DENTAL IMPLANT
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ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of the present study was to evaluate the implant stability with injectable-PRF and with PRP on immediate implant. Subjects & Methods: Twenty patients were selected: ten patients with immediate implant were treated with I-PRF. PRP group: ten patients with immediate implant were treated with PRP. Clinical evaluation was recorded after 0, 3 and 6 months after surgery: Modified plaque index (mPlI), Probing pocket depth around implants, and Implant stability. Results: In The present study, i- PRF application during implant surgery enhanced the stability of implants than PRP. Although the sample size was limited, this technique provided an advantage, especially when bone quality at the implant site was inadequate. Conclusion: The results of the present study demonstrate that the application of injectable platelet rich fibrin during implant surgery enhanced the stability of implants when compared to platelet rich plasma.
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INTRODUCTION

More than 30 years of clinical experience and scientific background have clearly demonstrated that oral rehabilitation with implant-supported prostheses is a safe method with high success rates1,2. The original protocol included the implant is submerged positions for a few months to avoid occlusal load and contamination from the oral cavity during the healing period. Further study have shown that the osseointegration process occurs even in a trans-mucosal environment with the same rate of success,3 Implant installation immediately after tooth extraction has been investigated in several clinical and histologic studies and today there is scientific evidence showing that osseointegration may be achieved even at implants placed in a fresh extraction socket4-7. The placement of implants in fresh extraction sockets allows placement of the implant during the same visit at which the tooth is extracted, which reduces morbidity; decreases the treatment time by reducing the surgical procedures, thus improving the psychologic approach with the patient; and may achieve an optimal esthetic result. Furthermore, placement of an implant immediately after tooth extraction may help maintain the bone crest and
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leads to ideal implant positioning from a prosthetic point of view\(^{(8,9)}\). Selection of ideal implant site is the first step in achieving functionally and esthetically successful implant prosthesis.

There is growing scientific evidence showing that osseointegration can be achieved even at implants placed in fresh extraction sockets\(^{(10)}\). When there is a bone defect in the area of implant placement, it has been shown that a combination of bone graft and membrane have resulted in better quality and quantity of regenerated bone than that expected from either a bone graft or a membrane alone\(^{(10)}\).

Platelet rich plasma (PRP) is defined as a high concentration of autologous platelets in a small volume of autologous plasma\(^{(11,12)}\). Specifically, PRP is a platelet concentration with at least 1,000,000/1 L in a 5 mL volume of plasma, when normal human platelet counts in the blood range from 150,000/1 L to 350,000/1 L. The platelets contained in this concentrate of autologous plasma release their alpha granules after the coagulation process has been locally trigged in the wound site. These alpha granules contain a cocktail of growth factors which promote proliferation, chemotaxis and the differentiation of cells, which are essential to osteogenesis. Thus, besides its procoagulant effect, PRP is a source of growth factors involved in initiating and sustaining wound healing by accelerating bone repair, promoting fibroblast proliferation, and increasing tissue vascularity\(^{(13)}\).

Platelet-rich plasma gel is formed by mixing PRP (derived from the centrifugation of autologous whole blood) with thrombin and calcium chloride. Adding thrombin and calcium chloride to PRP automatically activates the alpha granules to release the following biological growth factors: PDGF, TGF-\(\beta\), VEGF, insulin- like growth factor I, EGF and epithelial cell growth factor\(^{(14)}\).

Recently, an injectable formulation of PRF (I-PRF) has been developed without having to use anti-coagulants; I-PRF is formulated by centrifugation at lower speeds (700 rpm, 60g) for only 3 min and thus must be utilized within 15 min prior to fibrin clot formation\(^{(15)}\). Choukroun and Ghanaati, demonstrated that I-PRF contains a higher proportion of cells including leukocytes prior to the formation of a fibrin clot when compared to other platelet concentrates due to the low centrifugation speeds\(^{(16)}\). While leukocytes are not found in every formulation of platelet concentrates, they are immune cells having shown substantial importance during the host-defense response to incoming pathogens, as well as assisting in the wound healing process by secreting various growth factors\(^{(17)}\).

Diagnostic imaging plays an important role in evaluating the dental implant patient. These examinations quantify accurate bone height, width & contour and provide information about the locations of vital anatomic structure adjacent to the sites of implant placement\(^{(18)}\). In implant dentistry, three-dimensional (3D) imaging can be realized by dental cone beam computed tomography (CBCT), offering volumetric data on jaw bones and teeth with relatively low radiation doses and costs. CBCT has a reasonably low radiation dose and a high spatial resolution\(^{(19)}\).

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

Twenty patients were selected from the outpatient clinic and classified to two groups screened for eligibility to participate in the present clinical trial. I-PRF group: ten patients with immediate implant were treated with I-PRF. PRP group: ten patients with immediate implant were treated with PRP. Age range was from 18 to 43 years were included in this study. The patients were selected on the basis of inclusion and exclusion criteria.

**Inclusion criteria include:** patients’ being free from any systemic disease and at least 18 years old of both sexes, presence of non-restorable maxillary anterior and premolar teeth associated with the buccal bone defect. Exclusion criteria include: patients with parafunctional habits or abnormal ridge relationships, those taking head and neck radiation treatment, smokers, pregnant or lactating females, and those with unacceptable oral hygiene...
Initial therapy: The initial therapy consisted of prophylaxis, supra, and subgingival scaling, subgingival debridement if needed, adjustment of fault restoration and polishing. CBCT images were obtained for all patients before surgery.

Presurgical preparation of patient:
1. I-PRF Preparation: blood samples were taken with a 24-gauge butterfly needle from the patient’s antecubital vein. Samples were collected in 9-mL glass-coated plastic tubes without anti-clotting agent and immediately centrifuged at lower speeds (700 rpm, 60g) for only 3 min and thus must be utilized within 15 min prior to fibrin clot formation.

2. PRP preparation: blood samples were taken with a 24-gauge butterfly needle from the patient’s antecubital vein. Sample was collected in 10-mL of whole blood with anticoagulant (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, EDTA) was centrifuged at (900 rpm, g) for 5 min to separate PRP and platelet poor plasma (PPP) proteins from red blood cells and then centrifuged a second time at (2000 rpm, g) for 15 min to separate PRP from PPP.

Surgical Procedures:
Each patient was asked to rinse his mouth with 0.2% chlorhexidine solution. All the surgical procedures were performed under local anesthesia and strict aseptic conditions. The atraumatic extraction of the tooth is essential to prevent damage to the buccal bone plate. After the extraction, sockets were curettage and irrigated with saline to remove granulation tissue and residual periodontal ligament. Surgical sites were prepared according to the standard procedures using standard drills, J dent implant system was used in this study. The length, which used ranged from 10mm to 13 mm, and diameter ranged from 3.2mm to 4.3m.

The dental implant platform will be position 1.5 mm apical to the alveolar crest. The I-PRF will apply to one of the dental implant osteotomies. Then, the related dental implant will thoroughly be rinsed with I-PRF liquid (I- PRF group). Control dental implants will place in the adjacent osteotomy with PRP application (PRP group).

Postoperative care and medication:
Patients were asked to follow oral hygiene instructions, medications were prescribed, and asked not to function over the surgical site for the initial 3 weeks. A soft diet was recommended throughout the remaining healing period. The patients were followed up frequently at 3 and 6 months postoperatively.

FIG (1) a; Intraoral photograph of atraumatic extraction of tooth (periosteum), b; Intraoral photograph after tooth extraction, c; Intraoral photograph after PRP prepared, d &e; Intraoral photograph showing implant insertion , f; and g; Intraoral photograph showing ostell measurements on the day of surgery, h, Intraoral photograph showing ostell after 3 months, I, Intraoral photograph showing ostell after 6 months, and j, Final restoration.
Clinical evaluation was recorded after 0, 3 and 6 months after surgery:

1) Modified plaque index (mPlI)
2) Probing pocket depth around implants
3) Implant stability

RESULTS

In the present study, i-PRF application during implant surgery enhanced the stability of implants than PRP. Although the sample size was limited, this technique provided an advantage, especially when bone quality at the implant site was inadequate.

Table (1) summarizes comparison between the two studied groups according to modified plaque index: at 3 and 6 months, there was a statistically non-significant difference between groups regarding modified plaque index. According to Peri-implant pocket depth (PD): at 3 months, there was a statistically non-significant difference between groups regarding Peri-implant pocket depth (PD). At 6 months, there was a statistically a significant difference between groups regarding Peri-implant pocket depth (PD). I-PRF group was lower in Peri-implant pocket depth (PD) than PRP group.

According to stability: at implant placement and 3 months, there was a statistically non-significant difference between groups regarding stability. At 6 months, there was a statistically a significant difference between groups regarding stability, I-PRF group was higher stability than PRP group.

TABLE (1): Summarizes comparison between the two studied groups according to modified plaque index, Peri-implant pocket depth (PD), and Stability.

|                | PRP (n = 10) | I-PRF (n = 10) | P   |
|----------------|--------------|----------------|-----|
| Modified plaque index |              |                |     |
| 3 months        | 0.60 ± 0.52  | 0.40 ± 0.52    | 0.481|
| 6 months        | 0.90 ± 0.74  | 0.60 ± 0.52    | 0.436|
| Peri-implant pocket depth (PD) |          |                |     |
| 3 months        | 1.0 ± 0.47   | 0.90 ± 0.57    | 0.739|
| 6 months        | 2.10 ± 0.57  | 1.30 ± 0.48    | 0.005*|
| Stability       |              |                |     |
| At implant placement (0) | 36.60 ±2.91  | 35.70 ±3.09    | 0.511|
| 3rd month (at loading) | 66.30 ±9.87  | 70.50±11.79    | 0.399|
| 6th month       | 76.30 ±8.14  | 85.50±10.02    | 0.037*|

t: Student t-test  
p: p value for comparing between the studied groups  
*: Statistically significant at p ≤ 0.05

FIG (2) a; Intraoral photograph of atrumatic tooth extraction of tooth, b; Intra oral photograph showing injectable platelet rich fibrin, c; & d; Intra oral photographs showing insertion of implant and injectable platelet rich fibrin, e; On the surgery day, f; After 3 months, g; After 6 months, h, Intraoral photograph showing complete clearance of upper arch, implants and I-PRF insertion photographs and j, Final restoration.
DISCUSSION

Today, the esthetic expectations of patients represent a new challenge in modern implant dentistry. Researchers have attempted to accelerate and enhance the process of osseointegration so that patients’ functional and esthetic problems can be resolved faster. The aim of the present study was to compare the influence of both PRP and i-PRF on implant stability. While PRP has been investigated in numerous studies as a regenerative blood concentrate, more recently the development of newer platelet formulations with the aim of removing secondary byproducts such as anti-coagulants has been proposed. Much research has shown that PRP releases most of its growth factors at very early time periods whereas PRF induces a more gradual and sustained release of growth factors (20). It has been shown that within the first 10 min, up to 70% of growth factors are released to the surrounding environment with nearly 100% released within the first hour (20). These growth factors, despite being released at early time points, have a significant influence on the cellular behavior of many cell types (21-23). With respect to osteoblasts, many studies have previously shown that PRP has a positive influence on either osteoblast proliferation or differentiation (22-25).

PRP hypothesized that the added addition of anti-coagulants has potentially either caused a cytotoxic effect in vivo or significantly reduced the natural coagulation cascade thereby preventing natural wound healing (25-27). For these reasons, recent groups utilizing anticoagulants have thus suggested their removal from their standardized platelet concentrates that have been utilized for over 15 years (17). Therefore, and for these reasons, an increasing trend of utilizing PRF as a replacement blood-derived growth factor for tissue regeneration has been more heavily utilized most noticeably in the dental field (16, 28, 29). It has been reported that PRF acts to release growth factors much more slowly as a result of both the use of a fibrin scaffold capable of entrapping growth factors and releasing them slowly over time, as well as additionally housing of leukocytes, a cell-type responsible for additional growth factor release (28). Interestingly, two recent articles have further shown that by decreasing centrifugation speeds, a higher proportion of leukocytes could be maintained in the upper layer where PRF is collected and thereby increasing total growth factor release (16, 29, 30).

The low speed centrifugation concept was utilized to produce a roughly 1mL layer of liquid PRF by centrifugation at 700 rpm (only 60G) for 3 min as opposed to conventional PRF centrifugation protocols of 2700 rpm for 12 min. Therefore, while this modified centrifugation protocol produces much less liquid as per not having substantial centrifugation time/speed to separate the two layers, it produces a 1 mL layer of i-PRF that may be utilized in a liquid formulation prior to fibrin clot formation (coagulation) without having to use anti-coagulants; thereby being 100% naturally-derived. Therefore, the aim of this study was to first investigate the effect of PRP and i-PRF on human osteoblast behavior. To the best of these authors knowledge, this is the first cell study evaluating the potential of i-PRF on any cell-type.

In the present study, modified plaque index: at 3 and 6 months, there was a statistically non-significant difference between groups regarding modified plaque index. At 6 months, there was a statistically a significant difference between groups regarding Peri-implant pocket depth (PD). I-PRF group was lower in Peri-implant pocket depth (PD) than PRP group. i-PRF, an autologous biomaterial rich in growth factors has been shown to improve tissue healing and provides a better understanding of the clinical effects and improvement of clinical parameters.

In the present study, at 6 months, there was a statistically a significant difference between groups regarding stability. I-PRF group was higher stability than PRP group. The results demonstrated that i-PRF application increased the stability of
implants during the first month of healing. Mean ISQs continuously increased for i-PRF implants, while in the PRP group an increase was seen between the first and fourth weeks. Other studies observed a decrease in stability, mostly during the period between the first and sixth weeks. These differences in results may be attributed to either short follow-up periods in the cited studies or to the fact that i-PRF accelerates healing.

PDGF and PRP were applied around implants to provide bone regeneration and to increase BIC. The histomorphometric findings showed that the amount of bone around the implants exposed to PRP was much greater than that around control implants. However, many studies have stated that growth factors rich in thrombocytes have no significant effects on bone healing.

A thirty-four implant was placed dental implants in the mandibular interforaminal region and applied PRP to the implant osteotomies on one side. They followed the changes in the stability of the implants with RFA. RFA measurements were made every 4 days until the 44th day after placement. The investigators found statistically non-significant differences between PRP+ and PRP– implants in terms of stability. Ergun et al. placed implants with PRP and compared their stability with that of a PRP– group over a period of 36 months; no differences were found between groups. They suggested that this could be related to the primary stability of implants. These different results in PRP treatments may be a result of the fact that there is no gold standard preparation of PRP, and various approaches have been used for its preparation.

It has been reported that the biologic effects of PRP seem to start in the second week when PRP with a platelet concentration of approximately 1,000,000/μL is used. In the current study, an effect of i-PRF was detected during the first week. This result supports the in vitro findings that showing that i-PRF expresses a stronger and more durable effect on the proliferation and differentiation of osteoblasts than PRP.

The current study, the mean ISQs for i-PRF implants were 59.39 ± 15.88 at the time of insertion and 77.19 ± 6.06 at the third week postoperative. On the other hand, the mean ISQs for Prp– implants were 62.67 ± 13.61 at the time of insertion and 70.49 ± 7.74 at the third week postoperative. These findings are in accordance with previous studies demonstrating that secondary stability increases over time. The present study, i-PRF application during implant surgery enhanced the stability of implants than PRP. Although the sample size was limited, this technique provided an advantage, especially when bone quality at the implant site was inadequate.

CONCLUSION

The results of the present study demonstrate that the application of injectable platelet rich fibrin during implant surgery enhanced the stability of implants when compared to platelet rich plasma. Within the limitations of this study, the results indicate that i-PRF application results in improved implant stability in the fourth week of osseointegration. However, further clinical, and histologic are required to support these results.
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