Clustering Performances in Elite Basketball Matches According to the Anthropometric Features of the Line-ups Based on Big Data Technology
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The aims of this study were: 1) to conduct a descriptive analysis of the anthropometric features of the line-ups of strong teams (top 16) in the 2019 FIBA Basketball World Cup; 2) to group the line-ups mentioned above into different clusters based on their average height, weight, and body mass index (BMI); and 3) to explore the performance variables that discriminate between various line-up clusters. The play-by-play statistics were collected from 104 team objects in 67 games and 525 line-ups were analyzed using two-step cluster and discriminant analysis. Line-ups were classified into four groups: low average height and weight with middle BMI (LowH–LowW–MiddleBMI); high average height and low average weight with low BMI (HighH–LowW–LowBMI); low average height and high average weight with high BMI (LowH–HighW–HighBMI); high average height and weight with middle BMI (HighH–HighW–MiddleBMI). The results of the discriminant analysis demonstrated that LowH–LowW–MiddleBMI line-ups had the least time played and the lowest offensive rating, but the best offensive rebounds, turnovers, and fastest game pace performance; HighH–LowW–LowBMI line-ups demonstrated the best defensive rating but performed poorly with a low value of assists and a high value of turnovers; the LowH–HighW–MiddleBMI group achieved the best time played statistics but had the lowest number of free throws made; the HighH–HighW–MiddleBMI group had a higher number of assists and a higher offensive rating and 2-point field goal performance, while also achieving the lowest number of offensive rebounds and ball possessions. These results provide novel insights for coaches and performance analysts to better understand the technical characteristics of different line-ups in elite basketball competitions.
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INTRODUCTION

Basketball is one of the most popular sports worldwide, and the academic research on technical performance is well documented at both the team and player levels. The selection of line-ups is a challenging task for coaches during match preparation and match play (Ahmadalinezhad et al., 2019), and choosing an efficient line-up, considering the circumstances of the match, has a direct impact on a team's match performance. To choose the best line-up, coaches must understand the key factors that affect the line-up's performance (Gradinaru, 2014; Cui et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2020; Dong et al., 2021). In a basketball game, coaches can complete line-up adjustments by replacing players during timeouts, dead balls, and other opportunities. Factors affecting line-up efficiency include a player's position, height and weight, technical characteristics, tactical demands, physical status, etc. (Ahmadalinezhad et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2020). Therefore, line-up adjustment is an essential game method in the on-the-spot coaching process for basketball coaches. The FIBA Basketball World Cup is one of the most popular basketball competitions in the world, where elite basketball players from various countries compete against each other for the title of world champion. Analyzing this competition is useful for performance analysts and coaches in gaining a deeper understanding of the new trends of technical and tactical behaviors in basketball. Therefore, modeling of game behaviors during this competition has been conducted to observe the relation between behaviors and performance or success, in order to optimize the training and coaching processes.

Players' anthropometric attributes are an important aspect to consider during performance analysis. Multiple studies have reported that the height, weight, and body mass index (BMI; a value derived from the mass (weight) and height of a person) of players were associated with team performance (Nikolaidis et al., 2015; Teramoto and Cross, 2018; Zhang et al., 2018; Zarić et al., 2020). The playing positions of players are traditionally identified according to their height and weight, meanwhile, the technical and tactical demands of players also including appropriate anthropometric characteristic. Previous studies also showed that there was a significant BMI difference between players of different positions (Alejandro et al., 2015). Back-court players tend to have lower height, weight, and BMI, while post players are taller, heavier, and fatter (Latin et al., 1994; Sallet et al., 2005; Ostojic et al., 2006; Sisodiya and Yadaf, 2010; Alejandro et al., 2015; Nikolaidis et al., 2015). The technical, physical, and physiological characteristics of players differ between the five playing positions (Ostojic et al., 2006; Sampaio et al., 2006). Some research demonstrated that taller players are in short supply and they still have a critical effect on game success (Berri et al., 2005). However, there is a tendency toward what is called a “small-ball line-up” in basketball, which opposes the traditional notion that the height of players is a critical factor in basketball, and instead emphasizes fast game pace, opening court space, and more three-point field goal shooting (Bourbousson et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2017; Teramoto and Cross, 2018). Due to this, players with a greater height and weight may be losing their importance and attraction from the perspective of coaches.

As the “small ball line-up” trend has drawn lots of attention, there has been more research into how to build an efficient line-up. Some researchers focused on modeling or predicting line-up efficiency according to players’ technical statistics (Ahmadalinezhad et al., 2019; Grassetti et al., 2019; Kalman and Bosch, 2020), while some scholars concentrated on the relationship between line-ups players’ positions and the roles they actually played on the court (Sallet et al., 2005; Kalman and Bosch, 2020). Both player efficiency and position setting are affected by the player's anthropometric features (Drinkwater et al., 2008; Gradinaru, 2014; Zhang et al., 2018). Additionally, the obvious differences between “small ball line-up” and “traditional line-up” are not only the technical and tactical factors (such as 3-point shooting ability, transition offense ability), but also the anthropometrical factors (Szalontai, 2014), which are worthy of further study. However, compared to studies focusing on player and team performance, research on line-up anthropometric attribute analysis is relatively rare. As we all know, at any given moment in a basketball game, there are always five players from each team on the court, making basketball, centrally, a teamwork sport. Players are inevitably influenced by their teammates and the line-up structure (Maymin et al., 2013). Changing the line-up also changes the flow of the game and the eventual outcome.

The FIBA Basketball World Cup provides an excellent opportunity to test the impact of the anthropometric attributes of different line-ups on the match performance of basketball teams. In this case, the current study focuses on the line-up performance of strong teams in the 2019 FIBA Basketball World Cup according to their line-ups’ anthropometric features. We took the line-up height and weight (mean of five players’ height and weight) into account and collected all the line-up combination data to analysis. Additionally, body mass index (BMI) was included as an indicator to illustrate players’ body mass and adipose tissue percentage. With these attributes, we could better describe the anthropometric features of the line-ups and classify line-ups into different groups to explore their statistical differences.

Based on the above details, the aims of our study were: (1) to conduct a descriptive analysis of the anthropometric features of the line-ups of strong teams (top 16) in the 2019 FIBA Basketball World Cup; (2) to group line-ups into different clusters based on their average height, weight, and body mass index (BMI); and (3) to explore the performance variables that discriminate between various line-up clusters.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample and Variables

A descriptive analysis was conducted in this study (Teramoto and Cross, 2010). Player's height was obtained from the official open-access FIBA World Cup Website1. The weight of players was collected from the GameLog program made by the Chinese Basketball Association, the original data of GameLog was come

1http://www.fiba.basketball
from the Sportradar Company. BMI was calculated based on the following formula: BMI = weight/(height^2).

The line-up related match statistics were collected using the Advanced Statistics Collection system, a high-level basketball game data analysis system jointly created by the Institute of Computer Science of the Chinese Academy of Sciences and the basketball data analysis team of Beijing Sport University. The ASC system allowed users to generate play-by-play stats based on game videos. The play-by-play statistics of 104 team objects in 67 games played by the top 16 teams were collected. In all games, the teams had completed 1819 line-up uses (the number of line-up appearances), and a total of 1168 line-up types were generated. The line-up statistics were transformed into 40-minute statistics (original stats/min * 40) to compare different line-ups in the same dimension (Kubatko et al., 2007). We excluded line-ups that played less than five ball possessions from the sample, considering that those who played only few possessions or played in the 2019 FIBA World Cup for only a short time might generate unreliable transformed statistics (Kubatko et al., 2007). Finally, the sample was limited to 525 line-ups and 6996 ball possession records.

Based on the observational design, this study conformed to the ethical guidelines of the authors’ affiliated institutions through the de-identification of the analyzed data and the disclosing of the data for public scrutiny. However, the data acquisition and application in this study were not ethically approved since FIBA placed no stipulations or restrictions on data reuse for scientific inquiry.

Reliability and Validity of Data
Based on the available literature (Gradinaru, 2014; Teramoto and Cross, 2018; Cui et al., 2019), the variables shown in Table 1 were selected for our analysis. In order to evaluate the reliability of the data, video recordings of 10 randomly selected games were observed together by four experienced basketball analysts. The results were compared to those collected from the Advanced Statistics Collection system. A high level of agreement (ICC = 0.98) was obtained for most statistics. A slightly different but very acceptable level of agreement (ICC = 0.90) was obtained for the line-up fouls and steals.

Statistical Analysis
According to the height, weight, and BMI of the line-ups, we used two-step cluster with log-likelihood as the distance measure and carried out Schwartz’s Bayesian criterion to classify line-ups into four groups (Gómez et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017). Then, discriminant analysis was used to determine which statistical variables created the greatest divergence between different line-up clusters. The discriminant analysis model fits these derived rate variables robustly (Cohen et al., 2014). Variables with structure coefficient values [>|0.30] are considered critical factors for the discriminant functions that significantly separate line-up groups (Hoyt et al., 2008). In classifying new data, we used the leave-one-out method of cross-validation to evaluate the usefulness of discriminant functions. The analyzes were performed using the statistical software IBM SPSS for MAC, version 26 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, United States). Statistical significance was set at 0.05. The visualization was conducted using Tableau software (Tableau Desktop version 2020.3).

RESULTS
The results of the two-step cluster analysis showed that four groups of line-ups were obtained: low average height and weight with middle BMI (LowH–LowW–MiddleBMI); high average height and low average weight with low BMI (HighH–LowW–LowBMI); low average height and high average weight with high BMI (LowH–HighW–HighBMI); high average height and weight with middle BMI (HighH–HighW–MiddleBMI). Firstly, the statistics of the average height, weight, and BMI of four groups and the line-up distribution between the top 16 teams are shown in Table 2. Secondly, a description of the proportion of the 4 cluster groups of the 16 teams in the 2019 FIBA Basketball World Cup is provided to illustrate the constitution of the line-ups of the strong national teams (Figure 1). Lastly, all of the means and standard deviations of 18 variables for 4 clusters of line-ups are presented in Table 3, as well as the structure coefficients for two functions of variables.

The top 16 teams had 525 line-ups overall (offensive possessions >5) in the 2019 FIBA Basketball World Cup. The average line-up height ranged from 189.2 to 208.4 cm; the average line-up weight ranged from 86 to 107.8 kg; the mean BMI of the players ranged from 22.1 to 26.6 kg/m^2. The cluster distribution of these 525 line-ups were as follows: 112 of these were in the LowH–LowW–MiddleBMI group; 156 line-ups were included in the HighH–LowW–LowBMI group; 158 line-ups belonged to the LowH–HighW–HighBMI group; the minimum number of line-ups was 99 in the HighH–HighW–MiddleBMI group.

The discriminant analysis revealed 3 functions, but only the first two were significant. The first function (explained 49.9% total variance) and second function (explained 30.7% total variance) accounted for 80.6% of the cumulative variance. Function 1 emphasized two variables that hold structure coefficients [>|0.30]: AST (SC = 0.667) and OREBs (SC = −0.349). Function 2 placed a significant emphasis on 6 variables: 2PMs (SC = 0.622); DRTG (SC = 0.385); TP (SC = −0.344); BP (SC = 0.308); TOV (−0.308); FTM (SC = 0.337).

Discriminant Variables From Each Cluster Group
The low average height and weight with middle BMI (LowH–LowW–MiddleBMI) group was optimally associated with a number of the explained variables (free throws made, 2-point field goals missed, ball possessions, turnovers and offensive rebounds). This group also had the lowest association with two of the explained variables (offensive rating and time played). The high average height and low average weight with low BMI (HighH–LowW–LowBMI) group had the best association with the discriminant variable defensive rating and the lowest association with two variables (assists and turnovers) from both functions. The low average height and high average weight with high BMI (LowH–HighW–HighBMI)
TABLE 1 | Operational definitions of 24 variables selected for the analysis.

| Groups                        | Variable                          | Abbreviation | Definition                                                                 |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Anthropometric attributes     | Line-up height                    | LH           | The average height of line-up players.                                      |
|                               | Line-up weight                    | LW           | The average weight of line-up players.                                      |
|                               | Body mass index                   | BMI          | The average BMI of line-up players. BMI = weight/(height²)                  |
| Technical variables           | Time played                       | TP           | The number of minutes a line-up played overall.                             |
|                               | 2-point field goals scored        | 2PM          | The number of 2-point field goals successfully made by a line-up.           |
|                               | 3-point field goals scored        | 3PM          | The number of 3-point field goals successfully made by a line-up.           |
|                               | Turnovers                         | TOV          | The number of losses of offensive ball possession to the defense.           |
|                               | Steals                            | STL          | The number of interceptions of passes or dribbling in the defense.          |
|                               | Blocks                            | BLK          | The number of field goal blocks made by a defender.                         |
|                               | Line-up fouls                     | LF           | The number of fouls that line-ups committed.                               |
|                               | Fouls received                    | FM           | The number of fouls drawn from the opposition.                              |
|                               | Assists                           | AST          | The number of passes that directly lead to a field goal being scored by teammate. |
|                               | Free throws made                  | FTM          | The number of free throws successfully made by a line-up.                   |
|                               | Free throws missed                | FTMs         | The number of free throws missed by a line-up.                             |
|                               | Offensive rebounds                | OREB         | The number of rebounds a line-up caught during the offensive part.          |
|                               | Defensive rebounds                | DREB         | The number of rebounds a line-up caught during the defensive part.          |
|                               | Foul throws made                  | freethrowsmade | The number of fouls drawn from the opposition.                              |
|                               | Foul throws missed                | freethrowsmissed | The number of fouls drawn from the opposition.                              |
|                               | Offensive rebounds                | OREB         | The number of rebounds a line-up caught during the offensive part.          |
|                               | Defensive rebounds                | DREB         | The number of rebounds a line-up caught during the defensive part.          |
|                               | Fouls                             | FM           | The number of fouls drawn from the opposition.                              |
|                               | Fouls received                    | FM           | The number of fouls drawn from the opposition.                              |
|                               | Blocks                            | BLK          | The number of field goal blocks made by a defender.                         |
|                               | Line-up fouls                     | LF           | The number of fouls that line-ups committed.                               |
|                               | Turnovers                         | TOV          | The number of losses of offensive ball possession to the defense.           |
|                               | Steals                            | STL          | The number of interceptions of passes or dribbling in the defense.          |
|                               | Blocks                            | BLK          | The number of field goal blocks made by a defender.                         |
|                               |Line-up height                | LH           | The average height of line-up players.                                      |
|                               | Line-up weight                  | LW           | The average weight of line-up players.                                      |
|                               | Body mass index                 | BMI          | The average BMI of line-up players. BMI = weight/(height²)                  |
| Possession variables          | Ball possessions                 | BP           | The number of times that a ball was under control.                         |
|                               | Offensive rating                 | ORTG         | Number of points that a line-up achieved in 100 possessions.                |
|                               | Defensive rating                 | DRTG         | Number of points that a line-up lost in 100 possessions.                    |

DISCUSSION

Compared to research focused on the performance analysis of basketball teams and player research on teammate interactions and coordination, the line-up performance has been studied to a lesser extent (Eccles and Tenenbaum, 2004; Ward and Eccles, 2006; Jackson et al., 2007; Eccles and Johnson, 2008; Poizat et al., 2009). As a middle level between the player and the team, line-up analysis has high academic value and a number of practical applications (Nikolaidis et al., 2015; Ahmadalinezhad et al., 2019; Kalman and Bosch, 2020). The purpose of this study was to examine the effects of anthropometric features on line-up performance in the 2019 FIBA Basketball World Cup. Four categories were obtained according to the average height, weight and BMI of the line-ups. With the results of the discriminant analysis, the performance variables that discriminate the various line-up clusters were identified.

Team line-up changes in multi-player ball games significantly affect the dynamics of game progression and the teams’ performance (Maymin et al., 2013; Oh et al., 2015; Varela-Quintana et al., 2018). As a result, it can be inferred that different line-ups have special functions in various situations that may arise during matches. We focused on the effect of the line-up’s anthropometric features on team performance because the team’s anthropometric attributes (especially player height, weight, and BMI) have drawn lots of attention in basketball performance analysis, along with the popularity of the “small-ball” concept (Teramoto and Cross, 2018; Zarić et al., 2020). Zhang et al. (2018) classified players into different groups according to players’ height, weight, and experience. Teramoto and Cross (2018) used the average height of players weighted by playing time to examine line-up and team height. However, these features probably cannot be used to investigate the anthropometric features of line-ups appropriately. In order to explore the influence of anthropometric factors on line-up performance, the mean of five-man height, weight, and BMI of all the line-up combinations were taken into account. To the best of our knowledge, this study was the first to systematically explore the association between the anthropometric factors of line-ups and line-up performance in international basketball games, and might inspire other researchers to study similar areas in this field, while helping coaches to understand the features of different kinds of line-ups.

TABLE 2 | Height, weight, and BMI in different line-up groups.

| Cluster1 LowH–LowW–MiddleBMI | Cluster2 HighH–LowW–LowBMI | Cluster3 LowH–HighW–HighBMI | Cluster4 HighH–HighW–MiddleBMI |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|

| Height (cm)                  | 194.53 ± 1.90             | 200.41 ± 1.87              | 198.43 ± 1.92               | 203.52 ± 1.48               |
| Weight (kg)                  | 93.98 ± 2.03              | 94.55 ± 2.17               | 98.39 ± 1.50                | 101.34 ± 2.16               |
| BMI (kg/m²)                  | 24.76 ± 0.57              | 23.49 ± 0.44               | 24.96 ± 0.58                | 24.40 ± 0.42                |

Descriptive statistics were presented as mean ± standard deviation.
Table 1

The top eight teams (line-up proportion is presented) participated in the 2019 FIBA Basketball World Cup; interestingly, only 42 short-height line-ups were offensive possessions. As such, it does not appear as though the top eight teams consider using low height and weight line-ups very often. Players’ heights and weights play an important role in team performance, due to the advantages brought by their physical condition and the control of higher space brought by their height, which cannot be improved by training (Zhang et al., 2018; Zarić et al., 2020). The LowH–LowW–MiddleBMI line-ups had the best association with the following discriminant variables: ball possessions, 2-point field goals missed, offensive rebounds, and number of free throws made. The evidence presented by Garcia-Rubio et al. (2014) and Ferioli et al. (2018) demonstrated that the agility, endurance,
and their talent and anthropometric features (Rangel et al., 2019). The high height and low weight with low BMI cluster showed the best association with two of the discriminant variables (defensive rating and turnovers) for both functions. This cluster achieved the best defensive rating (94.142 points lost in 100 ball possessions) and the steal and block statistics might give us an explanation for this. With a lower weight and BMI, players in this line-up can move more quickly and make more switches or press defense (Nikolaou et al., 2015); with a higher height, players can control a higher space (Teramoto and Cross, 2018). With the best defense rating and a good offensive rating (second place out of the four clusters), this cluster had the best net rating. Compared to other groups, the excellent rating performance of HighH–LowW–MiddleBMI line-ups highlight that movement ability and agility might play a more important role in modern basketball, which emphasizes transition efficiency and dynamic offense and defense (Yano et al., 2020). Lastly, coaches who want to use a HighH–LowW–MiddleBMI line-up should consider controlling their line-up’s turnovers to enable a better performance.

The LowH–HighW–HighBMI (159 of 525) group included line-ups with a low height, a high weight, and a high-level BMI. This group had the best association with the discriminant variable time played and the lowest association with the free throws made variable in both functions. A notable feature of this group was that its BMI was highest out of all of the groups, while its average height was a low level (second place) out of all of the groups. Obviously, because of their anthropometric features, LowH–HighW–HighBMI line-ups can hardly employ a fast game pace (the number of ball possessions they achieved put them in third place). Moreover, the fact that this group achieved the lowest number of free throws and fouls received meant that this cluster lacked an impact force toward their opponents. Previous studies demonstrated that body mass status may influence players’ running and jumping performance (Ostojic et al., 2006; Nikolaou et al., 2015); therefore, we assume that the reason for the slow pace and lack of an impact may not be due to the average height of the line-up, but to the line-up’s weight and BMI.

The HighH–HighW–MiddleBMI (99 of 525) group comprised line-ups with high heights and weights, and mid-level BMIs. Some line-ups of this type had 3 or even 4 power forwards and centers on the court. The HighH–HighW–MiddleBMI line-ups had the best association with three of the discriminant variables (assists, offensive rating and defensive rating) from both functions, as well as the lowest association with several of the explained variables (ball possessions, offensive rebounds and 2-point field goals missed). This was surprising, as we had expected that, with the “small-ball” style being popular worldwide, the number of big-man line-ups might be reduced. However, the highest height and weight line-ups were more often used by the top eight teams (71 of 99) with the best mean offensive rating (123.34 points in 100 possessions). HighH–HighW–MiddleBMI line-ups had the best assist and the second-best turnover performance, which are considered key factors in winning a game according to previous studies (Mori et al., 2002; Gómez et al., 2008; Lorenzo et al., 2010). A possible explanation could be associated with the ball possessions and game pace of this line-up, as high height and weight players carried out more half-court offense rather than transitions (Garcia et al.,
The threat of post players was so critical that coaches would arrange for more help defense, then inside-out passes would happen and result in assists (Drinkwater et al., 2008; Koon Teck et al., 2012). Additionally, fewer transitions and higher space control ability mean fewer turnovers (Teramoto and Cross, 2010). Besides their assist and turnover performance, these line-ups’ remarkable field goal percentage also contributed to them achieving the best offensive rating. The HighH–HighW–MiddleBMI group achieved first place in terms of their 3-point field goal percentage and second place for their 2-point field goal percentage, which means that these line-ups possessed excellent shooting skills.

Our impression is that bigger players do not seem to be as good at shooting, especially from mid and long distances (Teramoto and Cross, 2010; Nakano et al., 2020). Two possible explanations for this matter may be as follows: firstly, as centers and power forwards have more offensively threaten than back-court players in post, it is easier for them to score 2-point field goals; secondly, with the development of basketball tactics, a trend has arisen in which big players’ shooting skills have become increasingly important, and it is no surprise to see centers accurately shooting from outside the 3-point line (Zhang et al., 2017; Chang, 2018). Based on our results, 13 centers shot at least one 3-point field goal per game, with an excellent shooting percentage in the top eight teams. This evidence helps us to understand why the top eight teams used more HighH–HighW–MiddleBMI line-ups.

What beyond our expectation is the rebound performance in this study. Rebound is a key indicator of a winning game according to other research (Gómez et al., 2008). However, the defensive rebounds statistic did not differ significantly between the four categories (the structure coefficient absolute value of defensive rebounds is smaller than 0.3). In the aspect of offensive rebounds, the lowest-height cluster (LowH–LowW–MiddleBMI) showed the best performance in terms of their OREBs. We assume that the reason for this might be complicated. One possible explanation would be the fact that taller players have fewer rebounds than before because of further rebounds caused by the increase of long-distance shots, so guards and forwards may catch rebounds (especially offensive rebounds) more easily (Okubo and Hubbard, 2015). Other suitable explanations could be explored in future studies.

As mentioned above, the four clusters exerted various features that might help us to gain a better understanding of some of the hotspots of basketball analysis, the first of which is the “small-ball” style. Since the Golden State Warriors won three NBA championships in the 2015–2018 seasons, the “small-ball” style has attracted worldwide attention (Teramoto and Cross, 2018; Ahmadalinezhad et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2020). This style favors speed, open space, transition attacks, and the use of the three-point shot in the offense and a flexible switch defense (Ahmadalinezhad et al., 2019). In our study, the LowH–LowW–MiddleBMI and HighH–LowW–LowBMI groups were considered in this way as they partially performed as small-ball line-ups by emphasizing movement ability and shooting skill. The LowH–LowW–MiddleBMI line-ups had the fastest game pace and the most 3-point field goal attempts. The HighH–LowW–LowBMI line-ups had the best defensive rating, the second-best ball possessions, and the second-best field goal percentage. Combined with the evidence of previous studies (Berri et al., 2005; Sallet et al., 2005), we assumed that low-weight and fully skilled players, especially forward players, might key factors in small-ball line-ups. Secondly, the “small-ball” trend questions the perceived importance of height in basketball (Schwartz, 2015). However, according to our analysis and other studies (Berri et al., 2005; Bourbousson et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2017; Kalman and Bosch, 2020), team height might be underestimated. Line-ups with the greatest height (HighH–HighW–MiddleBMI line-ups) achieved the best offensive performance in the 2019 FIBA Basketball World Cup. This illustrates that big players with superior skills can compose a threatening line-up. In this case, a high-height line-up is still a good choice for international team coaches.

LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

This study provided a new way in which to understand the influence of line-ups’ anthropometric features on their technical performance. However, the limitations of the current study should be noted. Firstly, as we were restricted by time and workload, we only analyzed the 2019 FIBA Basketball World Cup. In order to obtain better results, a larger line-up sample size is necessary. Secondly, contextual factors (such as opponent line-up features, score differences, and so forth) should be considered in further research to explore the line-up performance in different game situations. Thirdly, due to the difficulty of data collection, only mean of five players’ heights, weights, and BMIs were analyzed in our study as lineup characteristics, all three of which are basic factors of anthropometric features. Indicators such as arm length and shoulder width have also been shown to be important factors affecting the play of basketball players, while the impact of anthropometric features varies among players at different positions, suggesting that there is still potential for continued in-depth research on line-ups’ anthropometric feature. Hence, in the future, more anthropometric indicators of line-ups and the distribution of players’ individual anthropometric attributes within their line-ups could also be a promising research direction. This study can help coaches better understand the feature of line-ups, but in coaching applications, coaches should realize that selecting players and setting lineups should also take into account both the game context as well as the players’ technical and tactical abilities and the chemistry of the players in the lineups. Future research could provide an in-depth analysis of the interaction of line-ups’ anthropometric characteristics with context factors, players’ technical and tactical features to conduct a better application value.

CONCLUSION

In summary, four different line-up groups were obtained by cluster analysis. LowH–LowW–MiddleBMI line-ups had the least time played and the lowest offensive
Multiple line-up groups serve various functions on the basis of their anthropometric attributes. This appears to underline the fact that line-up height and weight still play an essential role in modern basketball competitions against the backdrop of the small-ball concept. Our findings may be helpful for coaches when recruiting players, planning training projects, and setting game strategies according to the type and function of their line-ups.
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**SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 1**

| Line-up Type | Anthropometry | Technical | Tactical |
|--------------|---------------|-----------|----------|
| LowH-LowBMI  | High            | Low       | Low      |
| LowH-LowBMI  | High            | Low       | Low      |
| LowH-LowBMI  | High            | Low       | Low      |
| LowH-LowBMI  | High            | Low       | Low      |
| LowH-LowBMI  | High            | Low       | Low      |
| LowH-LowBMI  | High            | Low       | Low      |
| LowH-LowBMI  | High            | Low       | Low      |
| LowH-LowBMI  | High            | Low       | Low      |
| LowH-LowBMI  | High            | Low       | Low      |
| LowH-LowBMI  | High            | Low       | Low      |

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

XX, MZ, and QY: conceptualization and supervision. QY: methodology, software, data curation, and visualization. XX and MZ: validation and writing—review and editing. QY: formal analysis and writing—original draft preparation. MZ: funding acquisition. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**FUNDING**

This project was supported by the Shanghai Key Lab of Human Performance (Shanghai University of Sport) under Grant No. 11DZZ261100, the Chinese Basketball Association under Grant No. 2020JSB008, and the Hangzhou Philosophy and Social Science Key Research Base under Grant No. 2021JD21.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.955292/full#supplementary-material

Supplementary Table 1 | The anthropometric, technical and tactical data of all the 525 line-ups.

**SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 1**

| Line-up Type | Anthropometry | Technical | Tactical |
|--------------|---------------|-----------|----------|
| LowH-LowBMI  | High            | Low       | Low      |
| LowH-LowBMI  | High            | Low       | Low      |
| LowH-LowBMI  | High            | Low       | Low      |
| LowH-LowBMI  | High            | Low       | Low      |
| LowH-LowBMI  | High            | Low       | Low      |
| LowH-LowBMI  | High            | Low       | Low      |
| LowH-LowBMI  | High            | Low       | Low      |
| LowH-LowBMI  | High            | Low       | Low      |
| LowH-LowBMI  | High            | Low       | Low      |
| LowH-LowBMI  | High            | Low       | Low      |
| LowH-LowBMI  | High            | Low       | Low      |

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

XX, MZ, and QY: conceptualization and supervision. QY: methodology, software, data curation, and visualization. XX and MZ: validation and writing—review and editing. QY: formal analysis and writing—original draft preparation. MZ: funding acquisition. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**FUNDING**

This project was supported by the Shanghai Key Lab of Human Performance (Shanghai University of Sport) under Grant No. 11DZZ261100, the Chinese Basketball Association under Grant No. 2020JSB008, and the Hangzhou Philosophy and Social Science Key Research Base under Grant No. 2021JD21.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.955292/full#supplementary-material

Supplementary Table 1 | The anthropometric, technical and tactical data of all the 525 line-ups.
Gryko, K., Mikolajek, K., Marszałek, J., Adamczyk, J. G., Molić, B., Waśkiewicz, Z., et al. (2020). How did basketball teams win EuroBasket 2015? A non-standard analysis of performance based on passes, dribbling and turnovers. *Int. J. Perform. Anal. Sport* 20, 339–356. doi: 10.1080/24748668.2020.1749013

Gryko, K., Mikolajek, K., Mauczyk, A., Cao, R., and Adamczyk, J. G. (2018). Structural analysis of shooting performance in elite basketball players during FIBA EuroBasket 2015. *Int. J. Perform. Anal. Sport* 18, 380–392. doi: 10.1080/24748668.2018.1479923

Hoyt, W. T., Imel, Z. E., and Chan, F. (2008). Multiple regression and correlation analysis: techniques: recent controversies and best practices. *Rehab. Psychol.* 53:321. doi: 10.1037/a0013021

Jackson, B., Beauchamp, M. R., and Knapp, P. (2007). Relational efficacy beliefs in athlete dyads: an investigation using actor–partner interdependence models. *J. Sport Exerc. Psychol.* 29, 170–189. doi: 10.1123/jsep.29.2.170

Kalmán, S., and Bosch, J. (2020). “NBA lineup analysis on clustered player tendencies: A new approach to the positions of basketball & modeling lineup efficiency of soft lineup aggregates. 42 Analytics (2020),” in *Proceedings of the 14th MIT Sloan Sports Analytics Conference, (Boston, MA).* 1–17.

Koon Teck, K., Wang, C., and Mallett, C. (2012). Discriminating factors between successful and unsuccessful elite youth Olympic female basketball teams. *Int. J. Perform. Anal. Sport* 12, 119–131. doi: 10.1080/24748668.2012.1186588

Kubatko, J., Oliver, D., Pelton, K., and Rosenbaum, D. T. (2007). A starting point for analyzing basketball statistics. *J. Quant. Anal. Sports* 3. doi: 10.2222/1559-0410.1070

Latin, R. W., Berg, K., and Baechle, T. (1994). Physical and performance characteristics of NCAA division Imale basketball players. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 8, 214–218. doi: 10.1519/00124278-19941000-00002

Lorenzo, A., Gómez, M. Á, Ortega, E., Ibáñez, S. J., and Sampaio, J. (2010). Game related statistics which discriminate between winning and losing under 16 male basketball games. *J. Sports Sci. Med.* 9:664.

Matulisaitis, K., and Bietiks, T. (2021). Prediction of offensive possession ends in elite basketball teams. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 18:1083. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18031083

Maymin, A., Maymin, P., and Shen, E. (2013). NBA chemistry: positive and negative synergies in basketball. *Int. J. Comput. Sci. Sport.* 4, 2–23.

Mori, S., Ohtani, Y., and Imanaka, K. (2002). Reaction times and anticipatory skills of karate athletes. *Hum. Mov. Sci.* 21, 213–230. doi: 10.1016/S0167-9458(02)00103–3

Nakano, F., Fukashiro, S., and Yoshioka, S. (2020). The effect of increased shooting distance on energy flow in basketball jump shot. *Sports Biomech.* 19, 366–381. doi: 10.1080/14763141.2018.1480728

Nikolaidis, P. T., Asadi, A., Santos, E. J., Calleja-González, J., Pedolo, J., Chitourou, H., et al. (2015). Relationship of body mass status with running and jumping performances in young basketball players. *Muscles Ligaments Tendons J.* 5:187. doi: 10.11138/mltj/2015.5.3.187

Oh, M.-H., Keshri, S., and Iyengar, G. (2015). “Graphical model for basketball match simulation,” in *Proceedings of the2015 MIT Sloan Sports Analytics Conference, (Boston, MA).*

Okubo, H., and Hubbard, M. (2015). Rebounds of basketball field shot fields. *Sports Eng.* 18, 43–54. doi: 10.1007/s12283-014-0165-z

Oliver, D. (2004). *Basketball on Paper: Rules and Tools for Performance Analysts.* Sterling, VA: Potomac Books, Inc.

Ostojevic, S. M., Mazic, S., and Dikic, N. (2006). Profiling in basketball: physical and physiological characteristics of elite players. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 20:740. doi: 10.1519/00124278-200611000-00003

Poizat, G., Bourbousson, J., Saury, J., and Sève, C. (2009). Analysis of contextual and physiological characteristics of elite players. *Int. J. Sport Exer. Psychol.* 7, 465–487. doi: 10.1080/1612197X.2009.9671920

Rangel, W., Ugrinowitsch, C., and Lamas, L. (2019). Basketball players’ versatility: Assessing the diversity of tactical roles. *Int. J. Sports Sci. Coach.* 14, 552–561. doi: 10.1177/1747954119859683

Sallet, P., Perrier, D., Ferret, J., Villée, V., and Baverel, G. (2005). Physiological differences in professional basketball players as a function of playing position and level of play. *J. Sports Med. Phys. Fitness* 45:291.