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Despite great advances in our understanding of the formation of the Solar System, the evolution of the Earth, and the chemical basis for life, we are not much closer than the ancient Greeks to an answer of whether life has arisen and persisted on any other planet. The origin of life as a planetary phenomenon will probably resist successful explanation as long as we lack an early record of its evolution and additional examples. Plausible but meagerly-investigated scenarios for the origin of important prebiotic molecules and their polymers on the Earth involving atmospheric chemistry, meteorites, deep-sea hot springs, and tidal flat sediments have been developed. Our view of the diversity of extant life, from which properties of a last universal common ancestor (LUCA) can be inferred, has also improved in scope and resolution. It is widely thought that the geologic record shows that life emerged quickly after the end of prolonged bombardment of the Earth. New data and simulations contradict that view and suggest that more than half a billion years of unrecorded Earth history may have elapsed between the origin of life and LUCA. The impact-driven exchange of material between the inner planets may have allowed earliest life to be more cosmopolitan. Indeed, terrestrial life may not have originated on the Earth, or even on any planet. Smaller bodies, e.g. the parent bodies of primitive meteorites, in which carbon molecules and catalytic transition metals were abundant, and in which hydrothermal circulation persisted for millions of years, offer alternative environments for the origin of life in our Solar System. However, only planet-sized bodies offer the stable physiochemical conditions necessary for the persistence of life. The search for past or present life on Mars is an obvious path to greater enlightenment. The absence of intense geologic activity on Mars, which contributes to its inhospitable state today, has also preserved its ancient history. If life did emerge on Mars or was transferred from Earth, the lack of sterilizing impacts (due to a low gravity and no oceans) means that a more diverse biota may have thrived than is represented by extant life on Earth. On the other hand, a habitable but still lifeless early Mars is strong evidence against efficient transfer of life between planets. The subsurface oceans of some icy satellites of the outer planets represent the best locales to search for an independent origin of life in the Solar System because of the high dynamical barriers for transfer, intense radiation at their surfaces, and thick ice crusts. These also present equally formidable barriers to our technology. The “ultimate” answer to the abundance of life in the Cosmos will remain the domain of speculation until we develop observatories capable of detecting habitable planets - and signs of life - around the nearest million or so stars.

1. INTRODUCTION

This contribution’s place as the last chapter in Protoplanets and Planets V may betray a subtle conceit in how we view our place in a cosmic order that runs from the interstellar medium to planetary bodies. (Read in reverse order, the chapters would suggest a more humble search for our origins among wisps of interstellar gas and dust.) Nevertheless, this sequence makes sense, both in a temporal and also a physical order: It describes a gradation in phenomena in which physical and chemical inevitability (the laws of gravity, classical and quantum mechanics, and electromagnetism) which govern the collapse of the interstellar medium and the formation of stars, are replaced by more stochastic processes such as accretion during planet formation and evolution. For example, it may be inevitable that a cooling molecular cloud collapses, a disk forms, and that runaway growth of planetesimals occurs in that disk, but the final masses, orbits, and surface environments of planets may not be predictable in more than a statistical sense. Ultimately it is no longer sufficient to describe what could happen, one must also describe what did happen. Whereas stars can be described by a relatively small number of variables (age, rotation, and metallicity, for example), planets, particularly terrestrial planets, cannot. In that context, the origin and survival of life might be the ultimate contingency.

On the other hand, what little we know about the origin of life seems to suggests some element of inevitability. The
primary constituents of life (C, H, N, and O) are four of the five most abundant elements in the universe. Some of the monomeric molecules of life (amino acids, sugars, etc.) are found everywhere. Laboratory experiments have suggested possible pathways along which those monomers might become polymers, make copies of themselves and interact in complex ways on which Darwinian selection can act. Evidence for life appears in Earth’s rock record as soon as there is any geologic record at all.

The dichotomy between chemical inevitability and historical contingency infuses studies of the origin and propensity of life in the universe (not to mention the question of what life is), and it has spawned numerous popular books on the subject. We leave resolution of that problem to scientists-cum-philosophers. In this review we concentrate on those lines of inquiry that have experienced especially fruitful development since the review of this subject by Chyba et al. (2000) for Protostars and Planets IV, including new age constraints on the appearance of element environments and life on the Earth, a re-assessment of predictions for the chemistry of the prebiotic atmosphere and oceans, the formulation of a dynamical scenario for a “late” cataclysmic bombardment that may have profoundly influenced the emergence of life, and the development of new theories for the origin of Earth’s water. Because science knows so little about the origin of life on Earth and the potential environments for its origin elsewhere, we feel it is important to be open-minded - and even provocative - in the scenarios that we consider. Our review is structured as follows: We consider the timing and environment of the origin of terrestrial life (§2) and our understanding of the combination of factors that permit Earth-like life to persist on a planet for an astronomically interesting period of time (§3). Finally, we address how the search for life elsewhere in the Solar System and particularly for life-bearing planets around other stars promises to ultimately inform us about the evolution of our own habitable planet and the possibility of other origins elsewhere in the Cosmos (§4). Other relevant reviews since that of Chyba et al. (2000) include Shock et al. (2000), Kasting and Catling (2003), Gaidos et al. (2005) and Chyba and Hand (2005).

2. WHEN AND WHERE DID LIFE EMERGE?

2.1. Origin of a Theory of Origin

Recorded speculation on the setting of the origin of life goes back at least to ancient Greek civilization. Thales of Miletus (640-546 BCE) presciently suggested that all life, including humans, arose from the single “element” water – i.e. the sea. His student Anaximander (611-545 BCE) slightly modified his master’s idea, substituting mud for water and thus proposing the first primordial “soup” hypothesis. Empedocles (490-435 BCE) further elaborated (or obfuscated) the theory, proposing that life emerged in a random fashion from a combination of the four classic Greek “elements”. The concept of “spontaneous generation” of life from non-living matter relied on unsupported anecdote and uncontrolled experiment for two full millennia, but was doomed by the invention of the compound microscope ca. 1590, the discovery of ubiquitous microorganism by Antonie von Leeuwenhoek a century later, and the coup de grace delivered by Louis Pasteur’s irrefutable 1864 demonstration of microbial contamination in all previous origin-of-life experiments. Modern inquiry into the origin of life began once science had discovered aspects of the chemical basis for life, described the theory of evolution by natural selection, and appreciated the age of the Earth: In the 1920s Oparin and Haldane independently described a new theory in which life emerged from “prebiotic” chemistry driven by electricity or solar ultraviolet radiation in a reducing atmosphere of the early Earth.

By necessity, tests of such theories have been limited to demonstrations of plausibility by laboratory experiments. This is because the same geologic activity (volcanism, plate tectonics, and metamorphism) that sustains geochemical cycles and life on Earth today has destroyed nearly all of the earliest record of surface conditions and possible life that could be used to test such theories. The Earth formed 4.56 billion years ago (Ga) but the paltry record of the first 500 million years (Myr) consists of a handful of zircon crystals as old as 4.4 Ga (Wilde et al., 2001) and a single outcrop of heavily metamorphosed gneiss dated at 4.0 Ga (Bowring and Williams, 1999). The oldest putative evidence for life on Earth is isotopically fractionated carbon in 3.85 Ga rocks from Akila Island and the 3.7-3.8 Ga Isua formation in Greenland (Siddikovski, 1988; Mozjsis et al., 1996; Rosing, 1999). However, this evidence has recently been challenged (van Zuilen et al., 2002; Fedo and Whitehouse, 2002; Mozjsis et al., 2002). Likewise, the origin and provenance of the oldest (3.46 Ga) putative microfossils, from the Apex chert in Australia (Schopf and Packer, 1987), have been disputed (Brazier et al., 2002; Brazier et al., 2004). The biological nature of even the 3.4-3.5 Ga fossil stromatolites, laminated microbial mats, in Australia and South Africa (Walter et al., 1980) has been questioned (Grotzinger and Rothman, 1996). Despite the controversy, it seems likely that at least some of the evidence for life by 3.5 Ga will withstand scrutiny and new kinds of evidence may emerge (Furnes et al., 2004). However, the geologic record of the origin and evolution of earlier, more primitive life seems irretrievably lost.

Any successful theory of biogenesis must provide a prebiotic source of the organic monomers (e.g., amino acids and nucleotides) as a starting point, and one or more mechanisms of chemical condensation of these monomers into polymers and more complex molecules. The Oparin-Haldane conjecture of an atmospheric source assumed a reducing primordial atmosphere containing abundant CH₄, NH₃ and H₂. This mechanism was brilliantly supported by Stanley Miller’s experiment (Miller, 1953). However, this scenario fell into disfavor upon the development of models predicting planetary core formation was contemporaneous with homogeneous accretion (Stevenson, 1980), leav-
ing the mantle depleted of metallic iron, and volcanic gases relatively oxidized (N₂, CO₂, and H₂O). Discharge experiments with such gas mixtures fail to produce significant quantities of organic molecules and underscore the particular importance of CH₄ or H₂ (Miller and Schlesinger, 1983; Sleep et al. 2004).

New models of Earth’s earliest atmosphere predict chemically significant concentrations of H₂ and CH₄: Although most of the iron in the Earth would have been sequestered into the core, degassing during impact of material with a carbonate-rich chondrite composition would have created a reducing atmosphere composed of CH₄, N₂, NH₃, H₂, and H₂O (Schaefner and Fegley, 2005). The isotopic and elemental abundances of rare gases suggest that this primordial atmosphere was lost: Massive hydrogen escape was probably complete by 4.47 Ga (Podesek and Ozima, 2000) and the atmosphere was closed to all elements except H and He by 4.3 Ga (Tolstikhin and O’Nions, 1994). However, this still leaves a period of between 30 and 200 Myr after core formation in which a Urey-Miller atmosphere could have existed, perhaps plenty of time for biogenesis to occur. Furthermore, hydrogen out-gassing later from volcanoes may have been more strongly retained by an anoxic atmosphere where the upper atmosphere did not contain singlet oxygen that absorbs extreme ultraviolet radiation from the Sun (Tian et al., 2005), although this is not conclusive (Catling, 2006). Nevertheless, alternative sources of organic monomers are available: One appeared serendipitously in the form of a meteorite which fell near the town of Murchison, Australia, in 1969. The archetype CM meteorite was found to contain a suite of organic molecules including many of the biotic amino acids (see review by Ehrenfreund et al. 2001). Both meteorites and comets might have provided organics to the early Earth (Chyba et al., 1990).

A decade after the Murchison meteorite fell, the first deep-sea hot spring chemotrophic ecosystem supported by the mixing of sulfidic hydrothermal fluids with oxygenated seawater was discovered (Corliss et al., 1979). The appreciation that microorganisms could have colonized such high-temperature settings and exploited chemical energy sources before the advent of photosynthesis led to interest in their potential role in the origin and early evolution of life. Currently, the hypothesis of a hydrothermal origin of life draws support from three observations: First, hydrothermal systems are sites where organic synthesis is thermodynamically favored (Shock and Schulte, 1988; Shock et al., 2001). Second, these environments contain abundant iron and nickel sulfides that may catalyze reactions of potential prebiotic importance (Huber and Wächtershäuser, 1997) and are present as co-factors in many enzymes (Johnson et al., 2005). Cody et al. (2000) showed that reaction of iron sulfide (FeS) with alkyl thiols (RSH), where R is an alkane group, produces carbonylated iron-sulfur compounds via

\[ 2\text{FeS} + 6\text{CO} + 2\text{RSH} \rightarrow \text{Fe}_2(\text{RS})_2(\text{CO})_6 + 2\text{S}^0 + \text{H}_2, \]

which they suggest to be responsible for catalysis, in lieu of mineral surfaces themselves. (The possible role of metal sulfides in prebiotic chemistry and subsequent incorporation into central metabolic pathways has been recently reviewed by Cody (2004). Holm and Andersson (2005) discuss the challenges of conducting hydrothermal chemistry under geologically relevant conditions.) Third, many thermophilic and hyperthermophilic archaea and bacteria are located near the root of phylogenetic trees constructed from small subunit ribosomal RNA gene sequences. This has been taken to suggest that a primitive character of the last universal common ancestor of all life was adaptation to high temperature, as originally suggested by Woese (1987), an inference widely, but not completely, accepted (Galtier et al., 1999; Brochier and Philippe, 2002; Di Giulio, 2003). (See the next section for an alternative explanation of thermophily.)

Another successful conjecture in origin of life studies is the idea of an “RNA world” in which ribonucleic acid (RNA) played the role of both DNA and protein in primitive organisms by carrying information and catalyzing chemistry (Orgel, 1968; Crick, 1968; Gilbert, 1986). This conjecture is supported by the appearance of RNA in ubiquitous and highly conserved - and thus evolutionarily ancient - parts of the cellular machinery such as the ribosome, the demonstration that ribonucleotides are catalytically active (Cech, 1986), and by the success of evolving catalytically small, active RNA molecules in the laboratory (Joyce, 2004). In contrast to the hypothetical high-temperature origin of life described above, the phosphodiester backbone of RNA and the nucleobases themselves are unstable under high-temperature aqueous conditions (e.g., Levy and Miller, 1998). One scenario is for an RNA world to evolve under near-freezing conditions, perhaps in pockets of eutectic brine where components were cyclically frozen and re-hydrated (Orgel, 2004; Vlassov et al., 2005). Brines have also been suggested as the site of prebiotic purine and pyrimidine synthesis and polymerization (Bada et al., 1994, Miyakawa et al., 2002a, Miyakawa et al., 2002b).

Recently, investigators have turned to wet-dry cyclic chemistry at clement temperatures, perhaps driven in the sediments of intertidal flats. Commeysras et al. (2001) describe a mechanism of prebiotic polypeptide synthesis through cyclic condensation with N-carbonyl amino acids under alternating pH conditions in the presence of significant nitrogen oxides in the atmosphere. [See also Lathe 2004 for a speculative scenario based on salt concentrations.] Alternatively, a more stable predecessor to RNA such as a peptidal molecule has been posited. Russell and Arndt (2005) argue for biogenesis at low-temperature, alkaline submarine seeps. These seeps form mounds containing precipitated iron-nickel sulfides through which strong chemical gradients are maintained between the H₂-rich, reducing fluids and more oxidizing oceans and driving the reduction of CO₂ or HCO₃⁻ to acetate (COOH).

If core metabolism reflects a hydrothermal environment, and RNA evolved before protein, then the thermal insta-
bility of RNA suggests that it in turn was preceded by an unknown protobiotic world that functioned at higher temperatures, and therefore the thermophilic character of a last universal common ancestor is unrelated to a high temperature origin of metabolism. Alternatively, RNA and the core metabolism of extant organisms appeared in different lineages. These considerations suggest a substantial evolutionary history preceding LUCA. Such a history may have involved the extensive chimerism of lineages that evolved from different environments. An analogous history is recorded in the complex organelle structure of eukaryotic microalgae that have experienced engulfment and endosymbiosis of independent unicellular lineages (McFadden, 2001). Woese (2000) has suggested that the earliest history of RNA/DNA-based life was marked by the rampant “horizontal” transfer of genes between organisms, absence of distinct lineages and communal evolution of the gene pool. Less efficient and redundant components could have been discarded (e.g., the information-carrying molecules in the high temperature contributor, the metabolic machinery in the low-temperature contributor), leaving an organism whose chemical ancestry derives from very different environments.

Furthermore, the environment(s) in which the origin of life took place need not resemble any environment on the modern Earth, and indeed may not be habitable by the standards of modern organisms. The evolution of life may have involved “frozen accidents” in which universal biological attributes selected for in an archaic environment are retained, even in the face of maladaptation in a new environment, because any changes in them would be too costly to the fitness of organisms. For example, while the eukaryotic cell may have arisen from a chimeric fusion of representatives of the Bacteria and Archaea, both domains of life that contain species that thrive at temperatures near 100°C, no eukaryote has been found that grows at temperatures above ~ 60°C, probably because the incorporation of membrane-surrounded organelles such as the nucleus requires permeability that renders the membrane susceptible to destruction at high temperatures. It is conceivable that life arose at temperature exceeding 120°C, but that the universal use of lipid membranes for structure and triphosphates for energy has rendered those environments forever inaccessible to life.

Darwin’s proposal that all life on Earth shares a common ancestry is supported by vast amounts of molecular work. Yet, much of the microscopic world is classified only by molecular techniques such as the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and it is conceivable that completely “alien” organisms based on different molecules flourish undetected under our feet (Davies and Lineweaver, 2005). If all Earthly life does have a single origin this might mean that the origin of life is sufficiently infrequent that the probability of it happening more than once on the same planet is low. Alternatively, it might mean that sometime in Earth history all other forms of life went extinct. Although it may be chauvinistically satisfying to think that other forms of life were out-competed by our common ancestor, Nature tolerates the competitive or non-competitive co-existence of countless forms of life, often within the same ecological niche (e.g., there are 300,000 known species of plants). Although there is no evidence that independent forms of life ever existed, it is difficult to exclude them from the first billion years of history in the absence of morphological fossils, and impossible to exclude them from the first 600 Myr as there is no record at all. Such a loss in diversity would not be the first to be inferred in the history of life. For example, the diversity of animal body plans recorded in fossil deposits of exceptional preservation such as the Burgess Shale is thought to greatly exceed later bodyplan diversity. Instead of competition, perhaps a uniquely catastrophic event extinguished all but a few, related forms of life that occupied some refuge.

### 2.2. Impacts, Bottlenecks, and Frozen Accidents

Giant impacts capable of vaporizing the oceans may have provided such an extinction event. A “late” (3.9 Ga) episode of impacts is recorded on the Moon and in the Martian meteorite ALH 84001 (Turner et al., 1997). Sterilizing impacts may have limited the emergence of life (Maher and Stevenson, 1988) and imposed a high-temperature “bottleneck” through which only adapted organisms could have passed, thus explaining the inference that LUCA was thermophile (Sleep and Zahnle, 1998, Nisbet and Sleep, 2001). Giant impacts may also have contributed to the destruction of the rock record itself. One model for this “late heavy bombardment” involves the decay of a long-lived reservoir of impactors somewhere in the outer Solar System (Fernandez and Ip, 1983). However, searches for geochemical evidence for an extraterrestrial input to the Earth system at 3.8-3.7 Ga have yielded ambiguous results (Anbar et al., 2001; Schoenberg et al., 2002; Frei and Rosing, 2005). A null result from such searches supports an alternative scenario in which the impacts occurred in a single cataclysm ca. 3.9 Ga (Dalrymple and Ryder 1993; Cohen et al. 2000). Such an event can be produced by a 1:2 mean motion resonance crossing of Jupiter and Saturn (Tsiganis et al., 2005) during an early period of giant planet migration driven by planetesimal scattering (Hahn and Malhotra, 1999). This scenario is consistent with evidence for an asteroidal origin of the impacts (Ström et al., 2005).

Previously, the earliest, evidence for life in the rock record, apparently at the tail end of a continuous period of sterilizing giant impacts, was taken to suggest that the origin of life was geologically instantaneous and would occur just as quickly on other planets were conditions correct (e.g. Lineweaver and Davis, 2002). If the scenario of a ‘brief’ cataclysm is correct, life may have emerged during the previous 600 Myr period that followed a magma ocean (Boyet and Carlson, 2005) and Moon-forming impact (Lee et al., 2002) at around 4.5 Ga. During that time the impact rate may have been permissible for life, and considerable prebiotic and biological evolution could have taken place of which we have no record. Or do we? Assuming that life emerged prior to 3.9 Ga and survived the impact bottle-
neck in deep refugia, the genetic information carried in the last universal common ancestor(s) might tell us something about that early environment. For example, oxygen in a pre-3.9 Ga atmosphere would explain the paradox of the presence of cytochrome c terminal oxidases in many species of both bacteria and archaea, and thus presumably in a LUCA, and before the origin of oxygenic photosynthetic cyanobacteria (Castersana et al., 1994). A giant ocean-vaporizing impact would extinguish photosynthetic life, but perhaps not deeper-living organisms that had profited from that oxygen (such as those that exist in modern vent systems). A narrow bottleneck would be a convenient explanation for why only one form of life exists on modern Earth. The requirement of giant planets near a resonance suggests that such cataclysms may not occur (or may occur at a different time) in extrasolar planetary systems with different giant planet architectures.

Impacts also provide a mechanism by which life might be transferred from one planet to another. Interest in the interplanetary transfer of life (related to, but to be distinguished from to conjectures of cosmological “panspermia”) was catalyzed by the discovery of meteorites from Mars, the elaboration of the spallation mechanism of impact ejection (Melosh, 1984), and dynamical simulations showing small but finite probabilities that such ejecta could be transferred between the inner planets on timescales of thousands of years or less (Gladman and Burns, 1996). Magnetic constraints on the thermal history of the ALH 84001 meteorite during the ∼17 Myr transit (Goswami et al., 1997) are permissive of life (Weiss et al., 2000). Laboratory experiments indicate that bacteria and their spores can survive the shock pressures and acceleration associated with impact ejection (Mastrapa et al., 2001; Burchell et al., 2001; Burchell et al., 2003; Burchell et al., 2004) and can find sufficient protection from radiation within rock fragments a few cm in size (Horneck et al., 2001).

Transfer between the inner planets may have been a ubiquitous process. Simulations by Gladman et al. (2005) show that 1%, 0.1% and 0.001% of ejecta from Earth reach Earth, Venus and Mars in 30,000 years. In the first case, this suggests that ejecta may have been a refugia for microorganisms during a giant impact event in which sterilizing conditions existed for thousands of years (Wells et al., 2003). Alternatively, ejecta on “express” trajectories (a few years) could have reseeded planets after giant impact extinction events, provided there was a second, life-bearing planet. Climate models suggest that Venus, if it started out with an Earth-like inventory of water, could have experienced clement surface temperatures (Kasting et al., 1993) and there is geomorphological evidence for a very early warm, wet Mars (Jakosky and Phillips, 2001). Even if sterilizing impact was inevitable on each planet, the probability of simultaneous events (within a few thousand years) on the two planets would be vanishingly small. This could mean a novel requirement for planetary habitability, that of a second habitable planet.

If life can be transferred between planets then it is not too great a leap of logic to suppose that it arose on another planet and was later transferred to Earth. [Although it appears unlikely that meteorites could be exchanged between planetary systems (Melosh, 2003; Wallis and Wickramasinghe, 2004) it was more likely for stars (possibly like the Sun) formed in a dense cluster (Adams and Spergel, 2005).] Mars is a priori the favorite alternate planet of origin because of its lower escape velocity and because there is evidence for at least episodic Earth-like conditions in the past - although the exact conditions are controversial (Carr, 1999; Craddock and Howeward, 2002; Bhattacharya et al., 2005). There is no such evidence (one way or another) for Venus and it has a deeper gravity well. Sleep and Zahnle (1998) have also found that any organisms on Mars would have been more likely to survive giant impacts in the past, again because the kinetic energy of the impact is smaller, and the absence of the latent heat of fusion of a vaporized global ocean which would delay cooling (assuming Mars had no such ocean). However speculative such theories may seem, the absence of any record of early life on the Earth suggests that we keep an open mind on such matters.

2.3. Life first, planets second?

Indeed, planetary bodies much smaller than Mars represent a potential site for the origin (but not maintenance) of Earth life. Many carbonaceous chondrite meteorites record geochemical alteration by liquid water, and it is presumed that they originate from parent bodies a few tens of km across, i.e., large enough to have maintained temperatures above the freezing point of water for millions of years, but too small to have experienced differentiation and high-temperature metamorphism (Keil, 2000). The main asteroid belt presently contains more than 300 asteroids with diameters larger than 50 km and the primordial belt may have contained $10^3$-$10^4$ times as many (Weidenschilling, 1977). A scenario for the origin of life in a primitive planetesimal and its subsequent transfer to Earth would involve biogenesis while liquid water was present, transfer of protoorganisms to the Earth after the Moon-forming impact approximately 30 Myr into Solar System history (Jacobsen, 2005), and preservation of the organisms during any intervening period. This scenario is distinct from the survivability of organisms in asteroids to the present day, which Clark et al. (1999) have dismissed based on thermal, radiation, and energetic arguments.

Carbonaceous chondrite meteorites contain abundant (up to a few weight percent) water. Masses of several main belt asteroids determined by the orbits of satellites give low densities suggestive of high water ice content and/or high void space (Marchis et al., 2005) and consistent with a picture of an asteroid as an icy “rubble pile” (Weidenschilling, 1981). Highly permeable, water-rich asteroids would have been sites of hydrothermal circulation early in their history. Water in the interior of parent bodies would be liquefied and mobilized by the heat from decaying $^{26}$Al and $^{60}$Fe while protected by an ice-filled impermeable crust.
a few km thick. Additional internal heat can be provided by serpentinization (see the chapter by Jewitt et al.) and possibly impacts. Detailed three-dimensional simulations of hydrothermal convection in a 40 km body show interior temperatures remain well above the freezing point for millions of years (Travis and Schubert, 2005).

Carbonaceous chondrites (and by inference their parent bodies) also contain organic molecules, including amino acids (Kvenvolden et al., 1970) and polyhydroxylated compounds (e.g., sugars) (Cooper et al., 2001), and their possible role as a source of important biotic precursor molecules has long been scrutinized. The stable isotopes of C and N in this organic matter suggests an origin in the interstellar medium (Alexander et al., 1998), but significant processing could have occurred in the solar nebula and in meteorite parent bodies. Although aqueous alteration in many parent bodies involved relatively oxidizing conditions and thus led to loss of organic material (e.g., conversion to CO and carbonates) (Naraoka et al., 2004), a few meteorites, particularly CM meteorites like Murchison, seemed to have been altered by reducing fluids (Browning and Bourcier, 1996). Moreover, Shock and Schulte (1990) make thermodynamics arguments for amino acid synthesis by aqueous alteration of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) a common organic in the interstellar medium and primitive meteorites, and Strecker synthesis by reaction of ketones or aldehydes with HCN and NH (Shulte and Shock, 1992).

Clark et al. (1999) argue that the emergence of endogenous organisms is a priori less likely in an asteroid than on a planet because the former are smaller, and because they supposedly comprise less diverse environments. However, the macroscopic scale of an environment is unlikely to affect its potential to host microscopic prebiotic chemistry. First-order chemical kinetics depends on the concentration of reactants rather than the total molar quantity and high concentrations of reactants (the “soup”) are more plausibly produced in small environments (“puddles”) than in large ones. If the first steps in the origin of life consist of prebiotic chemistry, it is chemical diversity rather than physical or geologic diversity that is important. Melting and high-temperature metamorphism associated with the accretion and differentiation of planetary embryos and planets results in chemical equilibration and the destruction of chemical diversity. Besides many of the important terrestrial minerals such as olivines, pyroxenes, and clays, meteorites contain a diverse suite of minerals that have not been found on Earth, including various metal sulfides and phosphates (Table 1). Carbonaceous chondrite meteorites also contain abundant metallic iron-nickel grain, in contrast to the surface of the Earth where such metal alloys are extremely rare and found only associated with ophiolites (preserved pieces of oceanic crust that have been heavily altered by the reducing fluids associated with serpentinization). As discussed above, metal sulfides and metals may have played an important catalytic role in prebiotic chemistry.

Although these parent bodies were small, they were extremely numerous and diverse. Each of these bodies would have differed because of chemical gradients in the solar nebula, their precise accretion history, and their final size. The simulations of Travis and Schubert (2005) also show that within a single (undifferentiated) body there is a diversity of hydraulic histories and presumably, degrees of chemical alteration. Individual impacts at speeds low enough to be non-sterilizing would induce additional heterogeneity in physiochemical conditions. Essentially, each of these bodies would represent a different “experiment” in low-temperature inorganic and organic chemistry. Many or most of these experiments would be cut short by accretion onto large embryos where melting and differentiation would occur. However enough bodies might have survived the 30 Myr during which accretion of the Earth was completed. Disruption of these bodies by mutual collisions induced by the gravitational perturbations of Jupiter might produce frozen fragments containing protolife that could successfully transit the thick atmosphere of an abiotic Earth to thaw on and colonize its surface.

Could some form of protolife have emerged in a primordial asteroid and then persisted long enough (perhaps in a frozen state) to await collisional disruption of the body into fragments small enough for a relatively gentle arrival on the Earth? Such a scenario requires that (1) life evolved “very quickly” (within a few to tens of Myr); (2) that it was preserved in the parent body or fragments of the parent body during the period of the formation and cooling of the terrestrial planets (perhaps 30-100 Myr), (3) that it was successfully transferred to the Earth (or Mars) intact, perhaps in a small fragment; and (4) that it arrived in an environment in which it could thrive. The unsuccessful (or overly successful) search for fossil life in meteorites has been well documented, e.g. Anders et al. (1964). If life did emerge in the interior of primitive planetesimals, why has it or evidence for biological activity not been found in a collected primitive meteorite? One possibility is that any organisms or biomarkers have been

### Table 1: Uniquely extraterrestrial minerals

| Name          | Chemical formula |
|---------------|------------------|
| barringerite  | Fe_{2-2}Ni_2P    |
| breznaita     | Cr_3S_4          |
| brianite      | Na_2CaMg(PO_4)_2 |
| carlsbergite  | CrN              |
| daubreeite    | FeCr_2S_4        |
| farringtonite | Mg_5(PO_4)_2     |
| gentnerite    | Cu_6Fe_2Cr_1S_18 |
| haxonite      | Fe_{20}Ni_3C_6   |
| heideite      | (Fe,Cr)_{1-x}(Ti,Fe)_2S_4 |
| krinovite     | NaMg_2CrSi_3O_10 |
| lawrencite    | (Fe,Ni)Cl_2      |
| majorite      | Mg_2(Fe,Al, Si)_2(SiO_4)_3 |
| merrihueite   | (K,Na)_2(Fe, Mg)_5Si_12O_30 |
degraded by radiation or impacts over the intervening 4.5 billion years since these bodies were warm. Furthermore, only the small fraction of organics that are soluble have been thoroughly studied. The remainder is thought to be dominated by complex (poly)aromatic hydrocarbons (Cody et al., 2002; Sephton et al., 2003). There are controversial measurements of L-excess chirality of meteoritic amino acids (Engel and Nagy, 1982; Pizzarello and Cronin, 2000). Another explanation is that the world’s meteorite collection probably samples only ~100 parent bodies in the present asteroid belt. Finally, the population of bodies that could have seeded Earth within a few tens of Myr has been completely depleted over the age of the Solar System. In other words, if terrestrial life did emerge in a planetesimal, then we do not find it in our meteorites because that body or its fragments already arrived long ago, and we, and all life on Earth, are the result.

The scenario that life arises in the interior of undifferentiated, primitive body and subsequently found a permanent home on a differentiated planet requires a population of small bodies with a dynamical lifetime longer than (but not much longer than) the accretion time scale of a potentially habitable planet. Terrestrial planet formation is a relatively efficient process, i.e. most planetesimals are accreted into large embryos (which differentiate and melt) rather than small bodies, nevertheless final clearing may take well over 100 Myr (Goldreich et al., 2004). In addition, the gravitational perturbation of a gas giant planet such as Jupiter inhibits planet formation and scatters bodies at large distances. Thus, the formation of a giant planet and the equivalent of an asteroid belt may be a prerequisite for the emergence of life in a planetary system.

3. ELEMENTS OF HABITABILITY

3.1. The Habitable Zone

Once life is established on a planet, and assuming it survives catastrophes such as giant impacts, what factors are important to its persistence over a significant (i.e. observable) period of time? The range of orbital semi-major axes for which the surface temperatures on Earth-like planets would permit liquid water describes a “habitable zone” around a star (Huang, 1959). This will change with stellar luminosity evolution (Hart, 1979) and will depend on the concentration of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere and therefore on geochemical feedbacks (Kasting et al., 1993) and rates of geologic activity such as volcanism (Franck et al., 2000). That region of space in which a planet on a stable orbit will remain in the habitable zone over an extended period of time is known as the continuously habitable zone. The Earth’s orbit is relatively stable against the perturbations of the other planets over billion-year timescales (Laskar, 1994). It will remain in the habitable zone for another 1-2 billion years before experiencing a runaway greenhouse (Caldeira and Kasting, 1992).

However, the known systems of extrasolar planets have giant planet configurations quite unlike that of our Solar System. Yet unseen terrestrial planets in the habitable zones of these stars may have orbits that are dynamically unstable against gravitational perturbation by the detected giant planets. The criterion of dynamical habitability has motivated a host of publications that explore the stability of small (i.e. massless) planets within known giant planet systems (Érdi et al., 2004; Asghari et al., 2004; Ji et al., 2005; Jones et al., 2005, see also references in Gaidos et al., 2005). These show that small planets could persist in the habitable zone of some, but not all these systems for the duration of the simulations (which tend to be limited to millions of years). The kinematics of hypothetical extrasolar planets and the implications for habitability have been less explored: In the presence of at least two other planets, planets may experience chaotic obliquity fluctuations. The presence of oceans would moderate surface temperatures, however, making them habitable at least for simple life (Williams and Pollard, 2003). A similar conclusion is reached for planets on eccentric orbits (Williams and Pollard, 2002). Planets on the close-in habitable zones around much fainter M stars will be subject to tidal locking however even in this case sufficient convective heat transport to the dark side can maintain atmospheres against collapse (Joshi et al., 1997). Although we may have a quantitative understanding of the allowed ranges of orbital and rotation necessary for the habitability of an Earth twin, many other factors determine whether a planet can support life (Taylor, 1999). Some of these, including the frequency of supernovae and giant impacts have been explored by Gonzalez et al. (2000).

3.2. Planetary Water

Water is an indisputably indispensable commodity of planetary habitability and a defining constituent of Earth’s surface. Any model of terrestrial planet habitability must include a component that addresses the abundance of water, and any such component must satisfactorily explain the origin of Earth’s water. The inner regions (~1 AU) of model primordial solar nebulae are devoid of water, as a consequence of diffusion of water vapor outwards along a thermal gradient and condensation at a “snow line”, and in apparent agreement with the correlation between the water content and the orbital distance of asteroids (assumed to be their formation distance). It is also thought that retention of water against loss to space is efficient only when a planet had grown to a certain mass. Compared to the abundance of water in primitive materials such as CI chondrites (1-10%), indeed the bulk Earth is dry; roughly 0.023% by weight for the oceans and an uncertain but probably similar amount for the water in the hydrous mantle (Lécuyer, 1998). Rare gas isotopic and elemental abundances also indicate the loss of copious hydrogen to space (Pepin, 1991) and since water is the major reservoir of hydrogen (at least on the modern Earth) and this must be accounted for as well (see below).

The accretion of a late “veneer” of water-rich material
has been postulated as the source of Earth’s water. Water-rich carbonaceous chondrite meteorites were early suspects (Boata, 1954). Observations and models of the solar nebula suggest that bodies beyond 2.5 AU may be water rich and the source of carbonaceous chondrites. The relative abundance of deuterium to hydrogen of H$_2$O in these meteorites spans the value of seawater ($1.53 \times 10^{-5}$). (In these discussion, it should be kept in mind that the material that was the source of Earth’s water may not have any representatives in our meteorite collections or indeed in the Solar System; terrestrial planet accretion is a relatively efficient process!) A major contribution by comets (Chyba, 1987), is not consistent with the D/H values nor the abundances of rare gases (Dauphas and Marty, 2002) and is dynamically difficult. Another mechanism of inwards water transport is the condensation of ice grains beyond the “snow lines” where temperatures are below 160 K, inwards migration by gas drag, and sublimation (Cyr et al., 1998, Cuzzi and Zahnle, 2003, Mousis and Alibert, 2005).

New developments in isotopic geochemistry and numerical dynamics calculations have added substance to investigations of the source and timescales of delivery of Earth’s water. Investigators have sought to use the abundance of siderophile elements (Ni, Co, Ge, and the platinum group elements) in the Earth’s crust as a constraint on any “l ate” (post core-formation) accretion of primitive material onto the Earth (Chyba et al., 1990). Righter (1997) has proposed that the high abundances are instead controlled by equilibration with metallic iron at the base of an early magma ocean. New results illuminate, but don’t resolve, this controversy; neodymium isotope data support the existence of a magma ocean (Boyet and Carlson, 2005) but new high-pressure experiments for some elements have not supported Righter’s explanation for crustal siderophile abundances (Holzheid et al., 2000; Righter, 2003; Kegler et al.; 2005). Based on analysis of the hafnium-tungsten and samarium-neodymium isotope systems, the bulk of the Earth is now thought to have accreted in about 10 Myr, and was essentially complete at 30 million years (Jacobson, 2005; Boyet and Carlson, 2005). Rapid accretion of the Earth makes the delivery of siderophile elements more dynamically plausible since complete clearing of planetesimals may have taken as long as 300 Myr (Goldreich et al., 2004). This implies that dehydrated but undifferentiated material near Earth’s orbit supplied the siderophile elements but no water. (Of course, those same simulations fail to produce the Earth in the required 30 Myr!)

Numerical simulations have been employed to investigate mechanisms by which water-bearing material beyond 2.5 AU might be transported inwards to the orbit of the Earth. The late impactor cataclysm scenario described in Gomes et al. (2005) is not a contender as the event occurs long after the earliest evidence for water on the planet, i.e. the isotopic composition of oxygen in 4.4-4.3 Ga zircons (Mojzsis et al., 2001). [Zircons are abundant in granitic rocks produced by partial melting in the presence of water, but zircons have also been found in lunar igneous rocks (Meyer et al., 1996).] Also, the estimated total accreted mass is too low to supply the water. An alternative mechanism is that self-scattering of planetary embryos (and their water) in the late stages of planetary accretion moved water inwards (Mordbielli et al., 2000). N-body simulations (Chambers and Wetherill, 1998; Chambers, 2001) suggest that the Earth is the result of the fusion of tens of individual planetary embryos, which formed within a broad range of orbital distances. Some of them may originate from regions at or beyond 2.5 AU where hydrated minerals or even ices were stable. Only a small number of these volatile-rich embryos are expected to contribute to the formation of an Earth at 1 AU but a single Moon-sized embryo formed at 3 AU and made of 10% water by mass would give the Earth the equivalent of 5 modern oceans. In this scenario, the delivery of water to the telluric planets by “wet” embryos from more distant parts of the primordial solar system is a stochastic process relying on a small number of collisions. As a consequence, the water content of terrestrial planets is expected to be variable, even within a single planetary system. Raymond et al. (2004) carried out simulations of embryo scattering and accretion terrestrial planet formation with different nebular solid densities, position of the “snow line”, and orbit of an outer giant planet. The vast majority of planets that formed in the “habitable zone” (0.8-1.5 AU) had water inventories equal to or greater than that of the Earth. They found that the terrestrial planets in their simulations ended with an average water abundance about that of Earth, as long as the giant planet configuration was not too different from the one in the Solar System. They showed that dry planets and extremely water-rich planets can also be expected.

This mechanism of water delivery can explain the difference in the water inventories of Earth and Mars: At the orbital distance of Mars, planetary formation is less efficient because of the influence of Jupiter, and Mars can be a remaining dry embryo (or the result of a very small number of dry embryos) formed locally and to which water was only brought by the late bombardment (Lunine et al., 2003). However, some discrepancies between N-body simulations and observations still need to be explained. Wiechart et al. (2001) pointed out that the identical isotope fractionation of oxygen on the Earth and the Moon implies a similar composition of the Moon-forming impactor “Theia” and the proto-Earth. Oxygen isotopic fractionation is a signature of the heliocentric distance of formation. Even if Earth and Theia formed at the same distance from the Sun (Belbruno and Gott, 2005) it is difficult to explain how Theia and the proto-Earth could have shared the same isotopic signature. Although oxygen isotopes might have been homogenized in the circumterrestrial disk in the aftermath of the giant impact (Pahlevan and Stevenson, 2005) this would not explain the terrestrial-like superchondritic $^{142}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd (Boyet and Carlson, 2005).

Another potential issue with the delivery of water by embryos is its escape from the embryos themselves. “Wet” embryos formed from km-sized objects in $\sim 10^4$ yr but
were unable to radiate away the energy of accretion (> $3GM^2/5R$) in this period because the required cooling rate exceeds (by orders of magnitude) the ~300 W m$^{-2}$ runaway greenhouse limit. This created a “magma ocean” phase, during which a dense steam atmosphere equilibrated with a molten rocky surface (Zahnle, 1998). For embryos with masses between 0.01 and 0.1 Earth masses, this phase lasted 0.5 to 4 Myr, which is comparable to the typical lifetime for protoplanetary gas disks (Lyo et al., 2003; Armitage et al., 2003). While the disk was present, its opacity screened the embryos from intense UV radiation from the young star (Ribas et al., 2005). Once the disk is absent, however, this radiation can drive photolysis of water in the upper atmospheres of water and escape of hydrogen to space. Furthermore, if core formation in these embryos is incomplete, water reacts with iron in the mantle, releasing large amounts of molecular hydrogen (Zahnle, 1998). Escape to space of hydrogen from the relatively low gravitational potential of lunar-sized embryos would be efficient. The history of water may be very different in the inner regions of planetary systems that hosted different-sized embryos (due to a different mass surface density and isolation mass, for example) or had a different disk lifetime than that of our Solar System.

How much water is “enough”, and where does it end up? Matsui and Abe (1986) showed that the amount of water at Earth’s surface is roughly what would expect were it controlled by the solubility of water in silicate melt, i.e. an early magma ocean. Besides the reservoir of the global ocean, a significant amount of water may be sequestered in the mantle. The concentration of water in Earth’s mantle is a subject of active research (Tarits et al., 2004) but it may be the equivalent of several oceans (Litasov et al., 2003). A significant amount of water could have been lost as the hydrous silicates reacted with metallic Fe during core formation to form iron hydrides (FeH$_x$) that would be sequestered into core. The residual oxygen then reacted with ferrous iron in the mantle. Hirao et al. (2004) estimates that the core could contain H that is the equivalent of 8-24 oceans of water. Water may also have been lost by erosion of the atmosphere by giant planets, and (as hydrogen) by continued hydrodynamic escape from the growing planet (Pepin, 1991). Chen and Ahrens (1997) estimated that such impacts produce ground velocities above the escape velocity resulting in the escape of almost all the atmosphere. However, the question was revisited by Genda and Abe (2003): They found that, even in a collision the size of the Moon-forming impact, less than 30% of the atmosphere of both bodies is lost to space. Therefore, giant impacts can actually result in a net delivery of water to the growing protoplanet.

There may be other, important mechanisms for the removal of volatiles, including water from the surfaces of otherwise “habitable” planets. The habitable zone of M stars is very close to the star. Because M stars tend to have a higher ratio of X-ray and ultraviolet flux to bolometric flux, radiation and stellar wind-driven escape of planetary atmospheres may be important. Exospheric temperatures between 10,000 and 30,000 K are expected. It is within this range of temperature that Jeans (thermal) escape of the atmosphere is significant. Figure 1 shows the mass loss from a terrestrial planet for O (solid), N (dotted) and C (dashed) for a CO$_2$-rich atmosphere with 10% of nitrogen, as a function of the planetary mass. (Planets with high CO$_2$ levels are attractive in this context because the diurnal temperature difference on the tidally-locked planet is damped.) The mass loss is given in mass of Earth atmosphere per billion year. Thin lines are for $T_{exo}=10,000$ K and thick lines for $30,000$ K. At these temperatures, H loss is of course diffusion-limited.

But around G stars, terrestrial planets may have water abundances much larger than that of the Earth. Kuchner (2003) described another mechanism of forming water-rich worlds; migration of entire icy planets inwards by interaction with a gas or planetesimal disk. Such “ocean planets” have also been described by Léger et al. (2004). The abundance of water in a planet-forming nebula may have other secondary, but potentially important implications for habitability, namely the presence of a giant planet and its dynamical effects. For example, the leading theory for the formation of Jupiter (and some of the habitability properties that it may confer to the Earth) involves the rapid accretion of a core before depletion of nebular gas, an accretion accelerated by condensation of water beyond the “snow line” (Stevenson and Lunine, 1987). Nebulae with varying water abundances would presumably be more or less likely to form gas-accreting cores.
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**Fig. 1.** — Mass loss from a terrestrial planet in the habitable zone of an M stars for O (solid), N (dotted) and C (dashed) for a CO$_2$-rich atmosphere with 10% nitrogen, as a function of the planetary mass. The mass loss is given in units of Earth’s present atmosphere per billion years. Thin lines are for an exosphere temperature of 10,000 K and thick lines are for 30,000 K. At these temperatures, H loss is diffusion limited (Kalikov et al., 2006).

### 3.3. Planetary composition and diversity

As proposed by Kuchner (2003) and Léger et al. (2004), Earth-sized planets around other stars may have very differ-
ent bulk compositions than that of our planet. Even seemingly minor differences in planetary composition could affect - perhaps dramatically - geologic activity and geochemical cycles at the planet’s surface. Just as distance from the Sun, accretion history, and incorporation of varying amounts of nebular gas have produced a diversity of planets in our Solar System, we should expect no less diversity, or probably much more, among a collection of planetary systems with different cosmochemical inheritances and formation histories. For example, two abundant planet-forming elements are silicon and iron. Si is an α-chain element and produced in massive stars, whereas Fe is produced primarily in type I SN from intermediate stars. As a consequence the ratio Fe/Si has increased with time. This will influence the size of planetary cores relative to the mantle as well as the abundance of radiogenic 60Fe, an important heat source in the early nebula. Even the relative abundances of the major silicate mineral-forming elements (which controls such properties as melting temperature) vary more from star to star than they do within the Solar System (Fig. 2). Some potential relationships between cosmochemistry, planetary composition, and habitability have been discussed by González et al. (2000) and Gaidos et al. (2005). Gaidos (in prep.) calculated the relative rates of geologic activity on an Earth whose bulk mantle composition was that of CI chondrites (perhaps not far from the actual Earth) and a planet of identical size whose composition was that of enstatite EH chondrite after the metal has been removed. The latter has a significantly higher concentration of the long-lived radioisotopes 39K, 212Th, 235U, and 238U (Anders and Grevesse, 1989; Newsom, 1995) and such a body would have significantly enhanced rates of geologic activity, and would remain active for a longer period of time.

A major parameter that controls the composition of planets is the ratio of carbon to oxygen (C/O) in the primordial nebula. Carbon and oxygen are the two most abundant elements in the interstellar medium after hydrogen and helium their predominant form in the interstellar medium is thermodynamically stable CO molecule. Collapse of molecular cloud gas leads to higher pressures that favor the formation of water and methane,

$$CO + 3H_2 \rightarrow CH_4 + H_2O.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

However, this reaction is kinetically inhibited on formation time scales (millions of years) and requires a catalyst such as free iron (Lewis and Prinn, 1980) If oxygen is more abundant then carbon, then nearly all C is bound in CO and remaining O is available for the formation of H2O. Conversely, excess C results in all O being bound in CO, absence of H2O, and the formation of graphite and organic molecules.

The solar photosphere has a C/O of 0.5 ± 0.07 (Allende Prieto et al., 2002), and presumably the primordial nebula was oxidizing and water-rich. Measurements of C and O abundances in nearby solar-type stars both with and without planets suggest a significant scatter in C/O (Gaidos, in prep.) with the Sun occupying a relatively C-poor, “water-rich” region of the distribution and some stars with C/O > 1. Solar-mass stars do not themselves produce significant C or O, and therefore these abundances reflect that of the gas and dust (ISM and molecular clouds) from which the stars formed. Stellar nucleosynthesis theory predicts that the relative production and ejection of carbon and oxygen from massive stars (in winds and supernova ejecta) depends on stellar mass, metallicity, and the amount of “dredge-up” from the carbon-rich interior (Woosley and Weaver, 1995). About 57% of the C returned to the ISM from a solar-metallicity stellar population is via the winds of massive stars: 33% is produced in intermediate-mass stars and the remainder in high-mass star ejecta. Oxygen is almost entirely (87%) derived from supernovae and the rest is from their winds. Molecular clouds and their offspring can have different C/O because of local supernovae. Thus stars and disks that form from the chemically heterogeneous and evolving interstellar medium will start with different C/O ratios. The mean C/O of stellar ejecta increases with galactic radius such that the older bulge should be more oxygen rich than the younger disk. As the Galaxy ages, the C/O ratio of the ISM and the stars that form from it increases. (Figure 3). This picture is consistent with observations of dwarf galaxies (Garnett et al., 1995).

Within a single star-forming region, the C/O can vary because of condensation and sedimentation of grains (Lattanzio, 1984) or contamination by very massive, short-lived stars within the same generation. In fact, the primordial chemistry of the Solar System may have been influenced by
mass loss from nearby massive stars. Olive and Schramm (1982), among others, have suggested that anomalous Al, Pd, and O isotope ratios in the Solar System can be explained if the primordial nebula was contaminated with ejected from supernovae, possibly from short-lived massive stars formed in association with the Sun. Local C/O in a planet-forming disk will also be altered by diffusion of water outwards along the thermal gradient (Cyr et al., 1999) and pile-up of C-rich interstellar dust in the inner regions of a disk.
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Fig. 3.—Calculated evolution of the C/O of stellar wind and supernova ejecta (top line) and the average interstellar medium (bottom line) in the disk as a function of the abundance of heavy elements normalized to the solar value. The dashed line is the approximate threshold above which reducing, rather than oxidizing conditions are expected. The solar photosphere has C/O of 0.5. Adopted from Gaidos, in prep.

The condensation sequence in a nebula with C/O ∼ 1 will be markedly different than that proposed for solar conditions, namely carbides will replace silicates and carbon will precipitate as graphite (Larimer, 1975; Sharp, 1990). Gaidos (2000) suggested that terrestrial planets would be composed of silicon carbide, a ceramic with melting temperatures exceeding 3000 K, as well as other carbides. Seager and Kuchner (2005) discuss the properties of potential C/O ≫ 1 planets and calculated an atmospheric spectrum. They proposed that the surface of these planets will be covered with organics. A “ceramic planet” will have a Fe-Ni core containing 5-7% of dissolved carbon. Because of the high melting temperature of SiC, the planet will heat up by a corresponding amount until mantle convection can remove the heat produced by radiogenic elements. The core will be entirely molten and this may mean that such a planet will lack a magnetic field (Gaidos, in prep.). Excess carbon in the mantle will exist as either graphite, diamond, or liquid carbon, depending on conditions. The last will be extremely buoyant and may erupt to the surface. Because of the high thermal conductivity of SiC (2-3 times that of silicates) a thick, rigid lithosphere will develop and plate tectonics will be less likely. This example shows that future searches for other Earths may find instead rather exotic planets. There is really only one way to find out.

4. EXTRASOLAR EARTHS AND OTHER ORIGINS

4.1. Prospects in the Solar System

It is difficult to test theories of the origin of life when we are limited to a single example and when all of the early record of that life is lost. Thus searches for a second origin of life outside the Earth are paramount to understanding our own origins. Historically, Mars has been the favorite target in the Solar System; it is the nearest planet with an accessible surface, and has an atmosphere and evidence for past geological processes and water. Initial disappointment that the Viking missions did not turn up unambiguous evidence for even simple life forms, and that the surface proved chemically inhospitable, directed subsequent searches for habitable conditions (i.e., liquid water) into Mars’ past (or most recently with the MARSIS radar, deep beneath its surface). Geomorphological evidence from orbit in the form of outflow channels, valley networks and possible playa lakes has now been complemented by more direct geological evidence in the form of aqueous alteration and evaporite deposition (Squyres et al., 2004; Herkenhoff et al., 2004; Klingelhöfer et al., 2004; Rieder et al., 2004; Haskin et al., 2005; Hynek, 2004). A picture is emerging of a very early period (of uncertain duration, but perhaps a few hundred Myr) of a warm, wet Mars, and a cold Mars in the intervening time (Jakosky and Phillips, 2001; Gaidos and Marion, 2003; Solomon et al., 2005). A very exciting possibility is that, due to a cold climate regime and the absence of plate tectonics, Mars has preserved information about early prebiotic conditions that has been lost on Mars. The oldest planetary rock on Earth is one from Mars (ALH 84001, 4.5 Ga).

Recent discoveries have also rejuvenated the possibility of habitable environments on current Mars, albeit at isolated locations in the subsurface. These include the presence of abundant regolith ice, the discovery of “young” gully-like formations, and the detection of atmospheric methane (Mumma et al., 2004; Krasnopolsky et al., 2004; Formisano et al., 2004). Methane can be produced from the high-temperature reduction of CO$_2$ by H$_2$ during hydrothermal serpentinization of mafic rock (Oze and Sharma, 2005; Lyons et al., 2005). While the possibility of biogenic methane cannot yet be ruled out, the estimated atmospheric concentration of a few tens of parts per billion and the lifetime in the atmosphere (∼300 years) suggest a source flux much weaker than the estimated abiogenic flux of methane on Earth. If reports of latitudinal variation in methane abundance are correct (M. Mumma, private communication), the
lifetime must be much shorter (~1 yr) and the flux commensurately higher. Combined with an upper limit for SO$_2$ (Krasnapolsky, 2005) this might disfavor an abiotic seepage source. However, Martian geochemistry might be more reducing, thereby favoring a higher CH$_4$/SO$_2$ ratio, and SO$_2$ disproportionates in water to sulfate (which is soluble) and hydrogen sulfide (which will rapidly oxidize to sulfuric acid in the Mars atmosphere). Regardless, Mars CH$_4$ gives future astrobiological investigations a focus, e.g., measurement of the ratio of stable carbon isotopes to search for biogenic fractionation. If life is found on Mars, one possibility is that it will be unexpectedly familiar. Efficient ejection and transfer of material between the planets may have produced a common ancestry between the planets. However, if Mars was once habitable and no evidence for past or present life is found, this constrains models of lithopanspermia.

Beyond Mars, there are prospects for habitable environments in the water-rich interiors of the icy satellites of Jupiter, including Europa and Callisto, and the satellites of Saturn, Titan and Enceladus. The debate on the suitability of these objects to support life centers around the potential energy sources available; while plausible energy sources are many orders of magnitude lower than the potential energy from sunlight on Earth (Gaidos et al., 1999), there are several mechanisms by which very low energy fluxes might be generated in the form of a redox gradient between the atmosphere and the surface, or between the crust and an interior ocean (Gaidos et al., 1999; Chyba and Phillips, 2002). At the minimum, these bodies offer examples of possible prebiotic chemistries in the Solar System that might be figuratively and literally frozen in time. However, the same dynamical barriers, radiation environment, and thick crust that have isolated these bodies from contamination by interplanetary transfer of Earth material also challenge the technologies of humans that choose to investigate these intriguing environments.

4.2. Extrasolar planets

Because the objects in our Solar System are likely to represent a meager sample of the cosmic diversity of possible habitats for life, a more complete understanding of the potential abundance and distribution of life depends on the successful exploration of other planetary systems. The Kepler (Borucki et al., 2003) and Corot (Bordé et al., 2003) observatories will be capable of detecting Earth-sized planets as they transit the parent star and will foreshadow the eventual deployment of far more advanced telescopes that can directly detect the emitted or reflected light from such planets. As spatial resolution of such planets is beyond foreseeable technology and sources of funding, such characterization will rely on spectroscopy of their surfaces and atmosphere. Life manifests itself by biosignatures, in this case spectral features of the surface or atmosphere that reflect its biogeochemical activity and cannot be found in the absence of life. However, it is possible that abiotic mechanisms that are not known in the Solar System might produce what was thought to be a reliable biomarker. In fact many features once claimed to be biosignatures now have convincing abiotic explanations, e.g. Martian vegetation (Sinton, 1957) and “nanobacteria” in the ALH 84001 meteorite (McKay et al., 1996). The reliability of a biosignature depends strongly on contextual information. For instance the detection of an O$_2$-containing atmosphere does not have the same implications on the icy moon of a giant planet compared to a terrestrial planet in the habitable zone of its star (Selsis et al., 2002). This is because on the latter the weathering of minerals will consume oxygen and the only source of comparable intensity is oxygenic photosynthesis. Conversely, the detection of O$_2$ or O$_3$ is certainly a better biomarker when associated with a reducing compound such as CH$_4$ or NH$_3$ (Lovelock, 1975).

Moreover, the absence of a biosignature may not be evidence that a planet is lifeless, just that a particular metabolism is not present, that the activity is below detectable limits, or that differences in the planet’s abiotic chemistry mask the biological effect. Let us consider that a metabolism $M$ (for instance, oxygenic photosynthesis) produces a biogenic species $S$ (O$_2$) which, upon accumulation in the atmosphere can result in a spectral signature $B$ (the 760 nm band of O$_2$ or the 9.6 $\mu$m of O$_3$). The non-detection of $B$ could have multiple explanations: 1) Life forms based on $M$ do not exist on this planet. 2) Life forms based on $M$ do exist but $S$ does not reach detectable concentrations. This was probably the case on Earth between the emergence of O$_2$-producers and the rise of O$_2$, a period that could have lasted 500-1500 Myr (Catling and Claire, 2005). 3) $S$ reaches levels that would be detectable alone but $B$ is masked by other spectral features: For instance, the 9.6 $\mu$m O$_3$ band would be masked by the high CO$_2$ level required for greenhouse warming in most of the habitable zone (Selsis et al., 2002b). The Lovelock example is another case in point. The thermodynamic disequilibrium that Lovelock advocated as a biosignature is a result of photosynthesis and the conversion of electromagnetic energy into potential chemical energy in the atmosphere. In the absence of photosynthesis, an appropriate biosignature might be the complete absence of such a disequilibrium, as this represents an unused source of energy for microorganisms (Weiss et al., 2000).

As a practical approach, one can use the Earth as a reference. The spectrum of the Earth exhibits various features that are the direct or indirect consequence of biological activity. This was demonstrated by observations from the Galileo probe as it passed near Earth and detected the presence of O$_2$ (and O$_3$) simultaneously with CH$_4$ (Sagan et al., 1993). Table 2 gives some groups of atmospheric molecular bands that could serve as biosignatures for future missions. Any biomarker should include the signature of H$_2$O, water being considered as a requisite for life as we know it. Some of the listed features are not observable in the spectrum of present Earth but may have been present in the past. Some other biogenic compounds, such as N$_2$O were probably never observable in a low resolution spec-
trum of the Earth but would be at slightly higher concentrations. In addition to atmospheric molecules, the vegetation “red edge” (the increase of plant reflectivity between 700 and 800 nm) may be another promising way to detect complex extraterrestrial life (Arnold et al., 2002, Seager et al., 2005). However, the red edge results from photosynthetic pigments like chlorophyll that are much more complex than simple gases such as O₂. A life form able to use H₂O as an electron donor to reduce CO₂ will produce O₂ whatever the pigments or the energy source. On the other hand, evolution could select other pigments, characterized by different radiative properties. Moreover, detecting the red-edge on a distant Earth replica requires a level of resolution and sensitivity that will not be reached by the next generation of telescopes. There may be other, more readily obtainable pieces of information contained in the time-variability of emitted or reflected radiation from a planet about its ability to support life, e.g., period of rotation and the presence of an ocean or thick atmosphere (Ford et al., 2001; Gaidos and Williams, 2004; Williams and Gaidos, 2005).

Our complete ignorance of when and where life emerged in our Solar System and the complexities associated with the maintenance of life on planetary bodies means that this area of scientific inquiry will be driven by observations into the foreseeable future. As a consequence, the first planet-characterizing missions must be designed for broader objectives than the search for a specific biomarker. Perhaps the best approach is to “expect the unexpected” and to design instruments not on the basis of a specific biosignature, but to maximize the potential for characterization of the physical and chemical properties of the planet. Inference of biological activity on a planet could emerge from a more general understanding of its spectrum, even if none of the expected biosignatures are found. For the foreseeable future, a working definition for the biosignatures of remote, inaccessible planetary life might remain chemical phenomena that cannot be explained by all known abiotic chemistry. This is ultimately an unsatisfactory state of affairs but we should not despair too quickly: Not quite four centuries have elapsed since Galileo turned his telescope to the other planets in our Solar System and it has been a mere decade since the discovery of the first extrasolar planet around a main-sequence star. Should our species choose to desist from threatening the life and habitability of this world, our progeny will have the fullness of time to answer the question of whether other planets host living beings and whether any of them also ponder the same question.
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