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ABSTRACT

We have experimentally investigated the orientational ordering in a magnetic dispersion of cylindrically shaped $\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles in cyclohexanone, using small angle neutron scattering in the presence of both shear flow and a magnetic field. The shear rate ranged from 0 to 4000 s$^{-1}$, and the vertical magnetic field was varied from 0 to 180 Oe. The anisotropy in the small angle scattering clearly shows the orientational order of nanoparticles. The order in the shear flow can be described by the Onsager orientational distribution function, whereas the order in the field can be described by the Maier-Saupe orientational distribution function. The order parameter S shows that orientational order appears in the dispersion when the field is $\geq$ 80 Oe. At higher fields of 120 to 180 Oe, we find evidence for the formation of large-scale clusters at low shear rates $\sim$ 1s$^{-1}$, followed by a break-up of these clusters into smaller-scale clusters at intermediate shear rates 420 s$^{-1}$, and a final state of shear induced orientational order at high shear rates $\sim$550-4000s$^{-1}$. These results show that the nanoparticles exhibit three different types of nematic order depending on the combination of the applied magnetic field and shear stress.
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Introduction

Magnetic dispersions of nanoparticles have applications in magnetic data storage devices such as magnetic tapes and disks. These dispersions are known to have complex rheological and mechanical properties in the presence of shear flow in magnetic fields [1]. Especially, rodlike ferromagnetic nanoparticles are more suitable for magnetic data storage applications because they offer the possibility of additional control of orientation due to their shape anisotropy [2-5]. During manufacturing of magnetic tapes the dispersions are subjected to shear flow for coating and a magnetic field for aligning the nanoparticles. Thus, there is a need to understand the orientational ordering of nanoparticles with size polydispersity. A quantitative measure of the orientational ordering of nanoparticles as a function of shear rates and applied magnetic field, together with a theoretical basis to understand the hydrodynamic behavior of nanoparticles in the dispersions, is essential for the development of better magnetic tape and disk storage media.

Biofunctional nanoparticles are of interest for magnetic control of cellular processes with applications in nano- and regenerative medicine [6,7]. In magnetogenetics, magnetic fields are used in conjunction with targeted biofunctional nanoparticles to trigger molecular stimuli at distance. Research on magnetomechanical stimulation is reported using 300 nm iron oxide nanoparticles with a coating of antibodies in a magnetic flux density of 25-120mT.
Magno-biochemical stimulation studies are conducted using 100nm iron oxide nanoparticles with a 10 nm polymer and carboxylic acid shell in a magnetic flux density of 150mT [7]. γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles embedded in organic and inorganic matrices are attracting interest in magnetic hyperthermia and in vitro osteoblast cell studies [9]. Further research on the magnetic control of nanoparticles such as how the size polydispersity affects the nanoparticle orientation is desirable for a better understanding of field distributions and field gradients.

Small Angle Neutron Scattering (SANS) combined with a Couette shear cell is a proven technique for investigating shear induced ordering, alignment and break up in complex fluids such as cylindrical micelles in solution [10]. Earlier SANS experiments on Fe metal nanoparticle dispersions showed that a small field of 180 Oe and a shear flow of 4000s$^{-1}$ can align rodlike Fe metal nanoparticle (mean particle length of 200 nm and a mean diameter of 25nm) dispersions with size polydispersity [11,12]. A quantitative measure of the degree of orientational order of nanoparticles in a shear flow and magnetic field can be obtained by applying the Onsager theory and Maier-Saupe theory of liquid crystals. A mean-field theoretical analysis has shown that Brownian motion, anisotropic hydrodynamic drag, a steric potential, and magnetic dipolar interaction all play roles in the orientational ordering of nanoparticles [13,14]. γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticle-based materials are of interest in the magnetic data storage industry [15].

In this study, we investigate the orientational ordering of γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles with an average particle length of 300nm and average diameter of 50nm with size polydispersity, in a dispersion with cyclohexanone as solvent. SANS experiments were performed with a dispersion of 5% volume fraction of γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles in cyclohexanone in a Couette shear flow and an imposed vertical magnetic field. This paper is organized as follows. The properties of γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles and the SANS experiment are briefly described in Experimental. The SANS experimental results, data analysis and the discussion of results are presented in Results and Discussion. Finally, a summary of the present SANS investigation is given in Conclusion.

Experimental

Magnetic dispersion with a 5% volume fraction of accicular cobalt modified γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles in cyclohexanone were prepared using a method similar to that described in the literature [16]. To inhibit aggregation, Polyvinylchloride copolymer (Nippon Zeon MR110, Mn = 12000) containing 0.7 wt.% SO4 and 0.5 wt.% OH functional groups was used as the wetting resin [11]. The amount of the copolymer used in the dispersion was about 8% of the weight of the nanoparticles. The resin introduces a short-range repulsion between the particles. The acicular cobalt modified γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ magnetic nanoparticles have an average length of 300 nm, average diameter of 50nm including a polymer shell, and a size polydispersity. The particles are ferromagnetic with a coercivity of ~683 Oe and a saturation magnetization of ~85 emu/g. The cobalt content in our dispersion is ≤ 0.05 vol.%. For brevity, we refer to these particles as γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ particles. Small angle neutron scattering from the dispersions was measured using the 30m NG3 SANS instrument at the NIST Center for Neutron Research, Gaithersburg, Maryland (Figure 1).

The experimental set up consists of a Couette shear cell in the radial geometry and with shear flow in the x-direction [17] and a Helmholtz coil that produces a magnetic field of 180 Oe along the z-direction, i.e., perpendicular to the plane of shear flow. The gap in the Couette shear cell was set to 0.5mm. The Couette shear cell consists of an inner quartz cylindrical stator with an outer diameter of 60mm for 0.5mm gap and an outer quartz cylindrical rotor with an inner diameter of 61mm. Approximately, 11ml sample volume fills the 5mm gap in the cell. A schematic of the experimental setup is shown in Figure 1 Neutrons of 0.6 nm wavelength and a sample-to-detector distance of 13.1 m were chosen to produce values of the horizontal scattering vector $q = 4\pi\sin\theta/\lambda$, where $\theta$ is the scattering angle, in the range of 0.044 to 0.34 nm$^{-1}$. The shear rate $\gamma'$ is given by $\partial v/\partial y$, where $v_y$ is the flow velocity. Neutrons were incident on the sample along the $y$-direction. The magnetic field is applied along the vertical ($z$)-direction, i.e., in the vorticity direction. Scattered neutrons were detected in the $x$-$z$ plane by an area-sensitive detector. SANS measurements were performed under a range of experimental combinations of shear flow and/or magnetic field.

The shear rate was increased with the beam on. The shear rate was increased contiuousley between each set of measurements and the rate was held constant for each measurement duration of ~10min and with about 1 min waiting time so that the nanoparticle dispersion could relax to the new state. For each measurement, the SANS intensity data were collected as two-dimensional contour plots in the $q_x$ - $q_z$ plane. Each data set was corrected for detector background, detector sensitivity, scattering from the empty cell, and transmission. The intensity was scaled to absolute units of cross-section per unit volume as is done in conventional SANS data reduction [18]. We define $\psi$ as the angle between the direction of the scattering vector $q$ and the positive x-axis. The scattered neutron intensity $I(\psi)$, which is a function of the azimuthal angle $\psi$, is obtained by integrating the scattered neutron counts at the magnitude of the scattering vector $q = 0.08$ nm$^{-1}$, with a dq = 0.0045nm$^{-1}$, using the NIST SANS data reduction program [18]. $I(\psi)$ was first obtained for different values of the scattering vector and compared.

Qualitatively,  $\psi$ are the same for all q values, with an oscillatory intensity when there is orientational order in the dispersion. We chose $q = 0.08$nm$^{-1}$ because it yields better azimuthal resolution in addition to a higher signal-to-noise ratio. $I(\psi)$ thus obtained has two contributions, one is the scattering by the nanoparticles and the other is scattering in the solvent. The contribution from the
solvent is isotropic and does not depend on either the shear rate or magnetic field. Note that 75% of the scattering length density contrast of the iron atoms arises from the nuclear contribution and the remaining 25% arises from the magnetic contribution [19,20]. Since the magnetic moment and the magnetization easy axis of the particle are both expected to be along its long axis, their contributions give a measure of the orientation of the particles in the dispersion.

Results and Discussion

Scattering Vector Dependence of SANS Intensity - Particle Size Estimate

In general, the scattering intensity $I(q)$ is proportional to the SANS differential cross-section as given by [21]:

$$I(q) = N_P V^2 \rho_S(q) \sin \theta + B_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $N_P$ is the number density of the scattering centers, $V_P$ is the volume of one concentration center, $P(q)$ the particle form factor (or shape factor), $S(q)$ is the inter-particle structure factor, and $B_0$ is a constant background. Therefore, $I(q)$ contains information on the shape, size, and interaction(s) between the scattering centers in the dispersion. By modelling $P(q)$ and $S(q)$ one can obtain information on the particle sizes and the inter-particle interactions, respectively. The magnetic contribution is zero on average because the particles are randomly oriented. In a dispersion with a volume fraction of 5 % $\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ particles, there may be a small contribution from the structure factor. However, this contribution is expected to be important only at low q values. Here we are mostly interested in the high q range where we can model the scattering intensity with the form factor. Therefore, for high q, dilute particles concentrations, and randomly oriented particles, the structure factor $S(q)$ at high q can be taken as unity.

For cylindrically shaped core shell particles of length $L$, core radius $r$, and shell thickness $t$, the single particle form factor is given by [22]

$$F(q,\gamma) = 2(\rho_{core} - \rho_{shell})V_{core}h(L)\left(\frac{qL}{2} \cos \gamma\right)J_1(q r \sin \gamma) + \frac{2}{L} (\rho_{core} - \rho_{shell})V_{shell}h(L)\left(q \left(\frac{L}{2} + r\right) \cos \gamma\right)\frac{J_1(q (r + t) \sin \gamma)}{q (r + t) \sin \gamma}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\gamma$ is the angle between the cylinder axis and the scattering vector $q$. Here $V_{core} = \pi r^2 L$ is the volume of the particle core, $\rho_{core}$ is the neutron scattering length density (nSLD) of the core, $\rho_{shell}$ is the nSLD of the shell which is a polymer, $\rho_{shell}$ is the nSLD of the solvent, $I_1(x) = \sin(x)/x$, and $J_1(x)$ is the first order Bessel function of x. Polydispersity of the radius $p$ of the particles is defined as $<r^2> = <r^2> <r>$. The scattering intensity is calculated by first doing an orientational average over the cylinder form factor, which is then averaged over a log-normal distribution of the cylinder radius $r$ [18]. Polydispersity in particle size results in damping of the oscillations in the form factor at high q values [22-24].

The polydispersity of radius $p$ is thus included by integrating the cylinder form factor, $P(q)$, over a log-normal distribution of cylinder radius. The integration is normalized by the second moment of the oscillations in the form factor at high q values [22-24].

The nSLD of the shell which is a polymer, $P(q)$, over a log-normal distribution of cylinder radius. The integration is normalized by the second moment of the radius distribution. The scattering intensity per unit volume is given by

$$I(q) = \phi \bar{P}(q),$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\phi$ is the particle volume fraction, and $\bar{P}(q)$ is the size averaged form factor. $\bar{P}(q)$ is given by

$$\bar{P}(q) = \frac{1}{V_{poly}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(q) f(r) dr,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $P(q)$ is the orientation-averaged form factor of a cylinder of length $L$ and radius $r$ [10, 25–29], $f(r)$ is the log-normal distribution of the radius, and $V_{poly}$ is the polydispersity volume. $P(q)$ is given by

$$P(q) = \frac{Scale}{V_{core}} \int_0^{\infty} F(q,\gamma)^2 \sin \gamma d\gamma$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where Scale is the proportionality factor, $V_{core} = \pi r^2 L + \pi t^2 L$ is the volume of the core and the shell, with $t$ being the shell thickness. The best fit to this model, displayed as a solid line in Fig. 2, yields the diameter $2r = 24.4 \pm 2$ nm, length $L = 315 \pm 30$ nm, a shell of $\sim 10$ nm, and the polydispersity of radius $p \sim 0.44$. The size of the particles estimated from SANS is comparable to the average sizes ($2r \sim 50$ nm, and $L \sim 300$ nm) of the particles and suggests that the selected q-range is sensitive to ordering of various sizes of the particles in the distribution.

Shear Induced Orientational Ordering

The application of shear in the experiment results in the orientational ordering of nanoparticles along the flow direction, which is in the horizontal plane. This order can be described by a nematic phase similar to that of liquid crystals and other rodlike structures [30]. In the nematic phase the nanoparticles exhibit long range orientational order but no translational order. To describe the nematic order, we chose the preferred direction to be the vorticity direction in the shear flow, which is the same as the direction of applied magnetic field, i.e. the z-axis, that is defined as the director. We define the angle between the particle long axis and the director as $\theta$. The nematic order is often characterized by the orientational distribution function (ODF) $f(\theta)$, which is a single-particle distribution function and describes the distribution of orientations of the particles about the director. For the nematic order of nanoparticles in the dispersion, the Onsager orientational distribution function [31] can be written as:

$$f(\theta) = \frac{\alpha \cosh(\alpha \sin(\theta))}{\sinh(\alpha)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $\alpha$ is the distribution parameter. Then the nematic order parameter $S$ of a system is given by

$$S = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d(\cos \theta) P_2(\cos \theta) f(\theta),$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)
where $P_2(x)$ is the Legendre polynomial of variable $x$. Combining Eq. 6 and Eq. 7 and integrating, we get an analytical expression for $S$ as

$$S = 1 + \frac{3}{\alpha^2} \left[ 1 - \alpha \coth (\alpha) \right]$$

(8)

We use the approach of Savenko and Dijkstra for determining the nematic order parameter $S$ and orientational distribution function from small angle scattering [12,32]. In this approach, the small angle scattering intensity as a function of the angle $\psi$, which is the angle with the positive $q_x$ axis of the SANS 2D plot, can be related to the ODF $f(\theta')$ using the Leadbetter formula [33-36]. Here $q_x$ is parallel to the $x$-axis in our notation as in Ref. 8. If we consider the Onsager ODF defined above for $f(\theta')$, then we get:

$$I(\psi) = I_c \frac{\alpha}{\sinh (\alpha)} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) L_1 \sin (\psi) \right]$$

(9)

where $L_1(z)$ is the modified Struve function of $z$ of order 1, and is defined as [36]

$$L_1(z) = \frac{z}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)} \sinh \left( z \cos (\theta') \sin (\theta') \right) \int_\theta \theta' d\theta'$$

(10)

where $I_c$ is a proportionality constant. The intensity at $\psi = 0$ is given by

$$I(0) = I_c \frac{\alpha}{\sinh (\alpha)}$$

We define the shear rate increasing cycle as the set of measurements in which the shear rate of a particular scan was higher than the shear rate of the previous scan. Similarly, the shear rate decreasing cycle is defined as the set of measurements in which the shear rate of a particular scan was lower than that of the previous scan.

Figures 1-3 shows the azimuthal angle dependence of the neutron scattering intensity $I(\psi)$ in the dispersion at four selected shear rates in the range of $0 \text{ s}^{-1}$ to $4000 \text{ s}^{-1}$, with zero-applied magnetic field. The intensity is isotropic when the dispersion is at zero shear rate because the particles are randomly oriented in the absence of an external torque. When the shear rate is increased to $310 \text{ s}^{-1}$, the nanoparticles tend to align in the flow and the scattering intensity develops an anisotropy along the $q_z$ direction with sinusoidal oscillations which have maxima at $\psi = 90^\circ$ and $270^\circ$. The anisotropy in the intensity is a clear indication of the orientational order of nanoparticles and thus the formation of a nematic phase. With increasing shear rate, the amplitude of the oscillations increases monotonically.
The solid lines in Figure 3(a) are best fits using Eq. (9). Figure 3(b) shows the plot of the Onsager orientational distribution corresponding to the $\alpha$ value given by the best fit of the intensity to Eq. (9). The Onsager orientational distribution parameter $\alpha$ and the order parameter $S$ are displayed in (Figures 4a & 4b) respectively, for both the shear rate increasing cycle and for the shear rate decreasing cycle. Both $\alpha$ and $S$ increase sharply with increasing shear rate below a few hundred $s^{-1}$, and then tend to show a lower increase when the shear rates are in the thousand $s^{-1}$ range. $\alpha$ reaches a value of 0.9 and $S$ a value of 0.05 at the highest shear rate of 4000 $s^{-1}$, considered. The values are marginally higher in the shear rate decreasing cycle, indicating that the orientational disorder is not completely reversed with the decrease of the shear rate.

**Figure 4:** (Color online): Shear rate dependence of (a) the Onsager distribution parameter $\alpha$ and (b) order parameter $S$ in 5% volume fraction $\gamma$-$Fe_2O_3$ nanoparticle dispersions with zero-applied field.

**Orientational Ordering in a Magnetic Field and Hysteresis**

In the absence of shear flow, the orientational distribution of particles induced by the magnetic field can be described by the orientational distribution function of uniaxial ferromagnetic particles [35], which is similar to the Maier-Saupe orientational distribution function [32,34]:

$$f(\theta') = \frac{1}{Z} \exp(m \cos^2 \theta'), \quad (11)$$

where $m$ is a parameter that gives a measure of the orientational distribution of the particles and $Z$ is the normalization constant such that $\int_0^{\pi/2} \sin \theta' f(\theta') = 1$. From this normalization, we obtain the relation between $Z$ and $m$ as

$$Z = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{m}} \text{erfi}(m), \quad (12)$$

where erfi(x) is the imaginary error function of variable $x$. Then the nematic order parameter $S$ of a system is given by

$$S = \int_0^{\pi/2} d(\cos \theta') P_2(\cos \theta') f(\theta'), \quad (14)$$

where $P_2(x)$ is the Legendre polynomial of variable $x$. The small angle scattering intensity as a function of angle $\psi$, the angle with the positive $q_x$-axis of the SANS 2D plot is related to the Maier Saupe ODF $f(\theta')$ by the following equation [34]:

$$I(\psi) = I_0 + \frac{\exp(m \cos^2 \theta')}{\sqrt{mZ \cos \theta'}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{erf}\left(\sqrt{m \cos \theta'}\right), \quad (14)$$

where $I_0$ is the $q$ independent scattering contribution and erf(x) is the error function of variable $x$. Note that the SANS experiments were always performed with a steady shear flow. Combining Eq. 11, Eq. 12, Eq. 13 and integrating, we get an analytical expression for $S$ in the case of magnetic field induced orientational order as

$$S = \frac{0.85}{\sqrt{m}} \text{erfi}\left(\frac{m}{m}\right) - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3m}{4} \quad (15)$$

When the dispersion is in an applied magnetic field, the steric interaction and the dipolar interaction between the magnetic particles are expected to contribute to the orientational order. Our SANS experiments with the 5% $\gamma$-$Fe_2O_3$ in cyclohexanone dispersion in the field clearly show anisotropy in the $q_z$ direction, indicating the alignment of nanoparticles in the vertical direction in real space. (Figures 4) to 11 show the results of orientational distribution parameter $m$, and order parameter $S$, obtained by fitting the $I(\psi)$ for an applied field $H = 20$ to $180$ Oe, using Eq. 14. This orientational order in the dispersion in the magnetic field can be described as a nematic phase with vertical arrangement of the nanoparticles. Experiments on the dispersion in both the field increasing cycle and field decreasing cycle were conducted under conditions of zero shear rate to investigate the field dependence of the orientational order. Figure 5 shows the SANS intensity as a function of azimuthal angle for $H = 60$ Oe and $H = 180$ Oe in the field increasing cycle, and for $H = 60$ Oe in the field decreasing cycle. A clear hysteresis is observed in the SANS intensity with the onset of order with the field $\geq 80$ Oe in the field increasing cycle, which is
retained in the field decreasing cycle all the way to a zero-applied field \( H \). The \( m \) values returned by the best fit to Eq. 14 are 1.33 \( \pm 0.05 \) at 180 Oe, 1.2 \( \pm 0.05 \) at 60 Oe (decreasing cycle), and \( m \sim 0 \) at 60 Oe (increasing cycle).

The scattering intensity at all field values can be fit with Eq. (14). The orientational distribution parameter \( m \) and the order parameter \( S \) extracted from the best fits are shown in Figure 6 as a function of applied magnetic field. In the field increasing cycle, the anisotropy is negligible below a characteristic field value of \( \sim 60 \) Oe. Therefore, there is no evidence for orientational order in the dispersion. The anisotropy in the scattering appears at a field strength of \( \geq 80 \) Oe in this cycle. The anisotropy of the scattering intensity increases with the field as the number of particles ordering parallel to the field increases. The order parameter \( S \) increases with further increasing of the applied field and saturates at a value of about 0.19 with a field of 180 Oe. The values of the order parameter \( S \) in the field when \( H \geq 100 \) Oe in the field increasing cycle and \( H > 0 \) the field decreasing cycle) are larger compared the highest value of the order parameter \( S \sim 0.5 \) found at the highest shear flow rate of 4000 s\(^{-1}\) is indicative of both ordering of more particles as well as agglomeration of particles in the presence of the magnetic field.

The irreversibility of the order parameter with the field indicates that the particles mutually induce dipole moments even when the magnetic field is reduced to zero, and then attractive forces between the particles dominate over the repulsive forces. The attractive forces between the particles support the possibility of antiferromagnetically aligned agglomerates at zero or near zero fields. The applied magnetic field flips the alignment of particles from an antiferromagnetic to ferromagnetic configuration in some of the agglomerates and this results in a higher degree or ordering, and thus the increase of the order parameter \( S \) with the magnetic field. The hysterisis in the order parameter \( S \) with the field indicates that the particles mutually induce dipole moments even when the field is reduced to zero and attractive forces dominate over the repulsive forces. The attractive forces between the particles gives rise to antiferromagnetically aligned agglomerates at zero or near-zero fields.
Orientational Order in a Shear Flow and a Magnetic Field

Figure 7 shows the Maier-Saupe orientational distributional parameter $m$ and the order parameter $S$ for shear rates less than $10 \text{s}^{-1}$. Taking the uncertainty into account, we note that both $m$ and $S$ are approximately zero, suggesting the lack of orientational order in a field of 40 Oe. As the shear rate is increased, we find that both $\alpha$ and $S$ increase gradually and reach 0.9 and 0.049, respectively. These values indicate orientational order of nanoparticles in the horizontal plane. Figure 8 displays $m$, $\alpha$, and $S$ values as a function of shear rate in a field of 80 Oe. When the applied field is increased to 80 Oe, $m$ increases to 1.3 and $S$ increases to 0.19 at zero shear rate. These values show that a field of 80 Oe is strong enough to induce a significant amount of orientational order in the vertical direction in the dispersion. The order in the field is maintained up to a shear rate of $100 \text{s}^{-1}$.

![Figure 7: (Color online): Distribution parameters $m$ and $\alpha$, and order parameter $S$ as a function of shear rate in a field of 40 Oe.](image)

![Figure 8: (Color online): Shear rate dependence of distribution parameters $m$ and $\alpha$, and order parameter $S$ in a field of 80 Oe](image)

When the shear rate is further increased, the particles align in the horizontal plane as indicated by the increasing trends found in the $\alpha$ and $S$ values, which reach ≈0.9 and ≈0.05, respectively, at the highest shear rate of 4000 $\text{s}^{-1}$. Figure 9 shows $m$, $\alpha$, and $S$ values as a function of shear rate in a field of 120 Oe. We find that $m$ and $S$ continue to increase with increasing field. For example, $m$ is close to 1.75 and $S$ is about 0.26 when the shear rate is 0.2 $\text{s}^{-1}$. When the shear rate is increased, we see a two-step drop in $m$ and $S$ values; a steep decrease between the shear rates of 0.2 and 1 $\text{s}^{-1}$, followed by a gradual decrease between the shear rates of 1 and 240 $\text{s}^{-1}$. We suggest that the steep decline seen in $m$ and $S$ is due to changing sizes of large-scale clusters of nanoparticles such as chains and other anisotropic shapes. The gradual decrease of $m$ and $S$ with shear rates between 1 and 240 $\text{s}^{-1}$ may be associated with alignment of small-scale clusters of nanoparticles. When the shear rate is 240 $\text{s}^{-1}$, order in the dispersion is completely lost, resulting in random orientations. At shear rates greater than 240 $\text{s}^{-1}$, the orientational order develops in the horizontal direction as the particles align in the shear flow.
Figure 9: (Color online): Shear rate dependence of orientational distribution parameters $m$ and $\alpha$, and order parameter $S$ in a field of 120 Oe.

Figure 10: (Color online) Left: SANS intensity $I(\psi)$ in a field of 180 Oe. The error bars are equal to one standard deviation. The solid line is the best fit to the $\psi$ dependence of scattering intensity using the Maier-Saupe orientational distribution of nanoparticles, defined by Eq. (13). Right: Maier-Saupe orientational distributions for the best fit values of $m$.

Figure 11: (Color online) Left: SANS intensity $I(\psi)$ as a function of shear rate. The error bars are equal to one standard deviation. The solid line is the best fit by the $\psi$ dependence of scattering intensity using the Onsager orientational distribution of nanoparticles defined by Eq. (13). Right: Onsager orientational distributions for the best fit values of $\alpha$. 
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The α and S values found at the highest shear rate of 4000 s\(^{-1}\) in a field of 120 Oe are the same as those observed in the field of 80 Oe, suggesting that the field at this shear rate has almost no effect on the degree of orientational order in the dispersion. Figure 10 shows the SANS intensity as a function of ψ in the nanoparticle dispersion with an applied field of 180 Oe, for selected shear rates between 0 and 420 s\(^{-1}\). The panels on the right display the Maier-Saupe orientational distribution function for the m values given by the best fit to Eq. 13. Figure 11 shows the SANS intensity versus ψ plot for selected shear rates between 970 and 4000 s\(^{-1}\). The panel on the right shows the Onsager orientational distribution parameter α given by the best fit to Eq. 9. Figure 12 displays the shear rate dependence of m, α, and S values for the applied field of 180 Oe. The order parameter S is ∼0.28 at a shear rate of 0.2 s\(^{-1}\) in 180 Oe, which is ∼27% higher than the S value found at the same shear rate in 120 Oe.

Figure 12: (Color online): Shear rate dependence of orientational distribution parameters m, α, and order parameter S in 5% volume fraction γ-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanoparticle dispersion with field of 180 Oe.

The values of m and S in 180 Oe at any given shear rate are also relatively higher than those found in 120 Oe, but the trends in the shear rate dependence of these parameters are similar to those found in a field 120 Oe. The relatively higher S values below a shear rate of 1 s\(^{-1}\) in 180 Oe may be attributed to further increasing trends of clustering of nanoparticles or more anisotropic shapes such as elongated chains at a higher magnetic field. The ratio of field induced order to shear induced order cross-over takes places at a shear rate of about 420 s\(^{-1}\), which is higher compared to the cross-over shear rate in 120 Oe. As in the case of the 120 Oe field data, we find the ordering of large-scale clusters below a shear rate of 1 s\(^{-1}\) and the ordering of smaller scale clusters above this shear rate.

Conclusion

Shear and magnetic field induced orientational ordering of cylindrically shaped and 5% vol. fraction Co doped γ-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanoparticles, dispersed in cyclohexanone, is investigated using small angle neutron scattering (SANS). Analysis of the anisotropy in SANS intensity shows that a higher degree of orientational order can be achieved in a magnetic field when the field is larger than 80 Oe. The larger size of the γ-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) particles (∼300 nm in length) as compared to Fe metal particles (∼200 nm in length) [11,12] seems play a role in the higher degree of alignment and order in the magnetic field. When the dispersion is sheared together with an applied magnetic field (120 Oe or higher) in the vorticity direction, we find a two-step transition from field induced order to shear induced order. We suggest that the complex structures of nanoparticles such as chains occur at the origin of the first transition at a shear rate of ∼1 s\(^{-1}\). At higher shear rates, the chains are likely to break down into smaller sized clusters and orient in the shear flow. We hope that the present work will stimulate new research to further investigate the clustering of particles in magnetic field, for example using SANS combined with the polarization analysis of the scattering intensity. Onsager or Maier-Saupe orientational distribution functions, which are used in the present analysis, have been designed for describing uniaxial symmetries. Future work can also explore an analysis of orientational order of magnetic nanoparticles using less symmetric distributions.
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