Goblet cells are specialized epithelial cells that are essential to the formation of the mucus barriers in the airways and intestines. Armed with an arsenal of defenses, goblet cells can rapidly respond to infection but must balance this response with maintaining homeostasis. Whereas goblet cell defenses against bacterial and parasitic infections have been characterized, we are just beginning to understand their responses to viral infections. Here, we outline what is known about the enteric and respiratory viruses that target goblet cells, the direct and bystander effects caused by viral infection and how viral interactions with the mucus barrier can alter the course of infection. Together, these factors can play a significant role in driving viral pathogenesis and disease outcomes.

Introduction

Mucosal barrier sites throughout the body are tasked with coordinating the cellular response that distinguishes friend from foe while balancing homeostasis with a rapid response to infection [1]. Central to this balance are the epithelial cells that line these barriers, providing a plethora of heterogeneous, specialized cells that act as first responders to incoming pathogens. A common feature across mucosal sites is another layer of defense provided by mucus, a carbohydrate-rich, gel-like substance that, at its most basic level, prevents invading pathogens from reaching the underlying epithelium [2]. Goblet cells are specialized secretory cells that are the primary producers of the mucus barrier in the airways and intestines [3]. Their secretory milieu and overall function at these sites are dependent on contextual cues, including multimicrobe interactions. In fact, microbiota are key to these mucosal functions [4,5], as mucus barrier defects are well documented in germ-free [6] and antibiotic-treated mice [7,8]. Microbial sensing by goblet cells [6,9,10] and neighboring cells plays a role in shaping the mucus barrier. For example, in the presence of microbes, enterocytes in the small intestine secrete the metalloprotease, meprin β, which cleaves mucin (MUC) and enables its unfolding and full expansion [11]. However, both host responses to pathogens and the pathogens, including viruses, themselves can trigger a breakdown of this host–microbe crosstalk, with some pathogens adopting ways to modulate goblet cell numbers and functions. Whereas substantial work has been focused on the protective and disease-mediating features of goblet cells during bacterial and parasitic infections, we are just beginning to understand their functions in the context of viral infections. The purpose of this
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review is to highlight what is known about the direct and indirect consequences of viral infection for goblet cells, as well as the effect of mucus interactions with viruses in the intestinal and respiratory tracts.

**Goblet cell development**

Goblet cells were first discovered in the early to mid-nineteenth century and were characterized by their distinct morphology, which resembles a drinking goblet [12]. This cellular architecture is largely the result of the apical cytoplasm being full of secretory granules containing MUCs and other secretory factors, which causes the nucleus and other organelles to be pushed to the basal ‘goblet stem’. In the intestinal crypts, promotion of Atonal BHLH transcription factor 1 (ATOH1, Math1 in mice) expression and Notch inhibition drives the development of secretory progenitor cells, which then receive additional signals that turn on SAM pointed domain-containing ETS transcription factor (SPDEF) to drive transcriptional programming, resulting in mature goblet cells that are fully differentiated [13,14]. In the airways, goblet cells arise from a slightly different pathway, with increasing Notch signals and expression of SPDEF, which drives full differentiation and promotes mucus secretion [15–17]. The act of secretion is thought to be similar across goblet cells at these two mucosal sites, and it is characterized by either a constitutive or regulated process to maintain homeostasis [2,3]. Regulated secretion involves vesicle secretion and also a stimulus-driven form that is mediated by compound exocytosis characterized by rapid release of secretory granules [3,18,19]. Whereas regulated secretion has been characterized for airway goblet cells [20], less is understood about the signaling cascade that drives compound exocytosis. Neither secretory pathway has been precisely defined in the gut, but reactive oxygen species generation, autophagy, and inflammasome signaling appear to play a role in goblet cell secretion in mice [9,21–23]. The details of these mechanisms have yet to be worked out in humans, but there is evidence of species-specific differences, such as regional expression of the NLPR6 inflammasome [24,25]. Secretory processes are also largely mediated by known secretagogues, or stimuli that drive secretion, including acetylcholine, carbachol, and histamine [26–28]. In addition, goblet cell differentiation and secretion are sensitive to cytokine stimulation [29], including Th2 signaling via Interleukin (IL)-4 and IL-13 [30–32]. For these reasons, goblet cells and mucus secretion can quickly mobilize as part of the innate immune response in the intestines and airways.

**Intestinal goblet cells**

A progressive examination along the length of the intestinal tract reveals a correlative gradient between goblet cells and the microbiota, with the highest density of both being found in the distal colon (Fig. 1). The small intestine has a single, discontinuous layer of mucus, which has not been extensively measured in humans but in mice, ranges from 500 μm in the duodenum to 200 μm in the ileum [27,33,34]. In contrast to the small intestine, the large intestine has dual layers—an adherent inner layer below a looser outer layer [2]. In the mouse colon, the attached inner layer is ~ 50 μm thick whereas the top layer is thicker in the proximal region (50 μm) than in the distal region (10 μm) [27,33,34]. In human colons, the inner mucus thickness is 200–300 μm in humans [26,35–38], whereas the outer layer is ~ 400 μm in the colon [36,39]. These mucus layers are critical for keeping microbes and other luminal contents at a safe distance from the underlying epithelium, with some commensal microbes inhabiting the outer region, creating a symbiotic environment that prevents self-digestion [2]. Goblet cells tasked with maintaining this host barrier do so primarily through regulated secretion, but a subset of cells are thought to be primed for rapid secretion to flush out bacterial, parasitic, and even fungal infections [1,40]. MUC2 is the main component of the secreted gel-forming mucus in the intestines, whereas MUC1, MUC3, MUC4, MUC12, MUC13, and MUC17 are expressed as transmembrane glycoproteins [2]. MUC undergo extensive O-linked glycosylation and other posttranslational modifications [2,4] (Fig. 2). Although the precise molecular mechanisms and triggers that alter these modifications remain poorly defined in the context of infection, it is intriguing to consider that goblet cells can tailor MUC structure in response to a given pathogen. Further studies in this area are greatly needed.

Besides MUC, goblet cells also secrete a multitude of other proteins that enhance the mucus barrier and have direct antimicrobial activities (Fig. 2). These proteins include calcium-activated chloride channel regulator 1 (CLCA1) [41,42], Fe gamma binding protein (FCGBP) [43], anterior gradient 2 (AGR2) [44], zymogen granule 16 (ZG16) [45], and trefoil factor 3 [46], although the antiviral functionality of these secreted proteins has yet to be elucidated. Mucus also serves as a conduit for defensins and other antimicrobial proteins and peptides secreted by Paneth cells located at the base of the crypts [47], as well as IgA, which play dual roles in modulating interactions with commensal microbes [48] and protection from pathogens [49].
Overall, with this arsenal of defenses, goblet cells can play an active role in the response to infection and have the potential to modify their response to combat-specific pathogens.

**Goblet cells and enteric viral infection**

Some of the earliest reports of enteric viruses targeting goblet cells and neighboring epithelial cells in the gut relied on electron microscopy to identify virus particles. Examples include reports of ‘reovirus-like’ virus in an infant with nonbacterial diarrhea [50], mouse adenovirus 2 in experimentally infected mice [51], and bovine coronavirus infection in calves [52]. Most recently, a number of ‘mini-gut’ models have been developed using induced or embryonic pluripotent stem cells to generate organoids that contain both epithelial and mesenchymal cell populations, as well as...
coli2884n and enteroids, which are derived from intestinal crypt cells in the colon and small intestine, respectively, but lack a mesenchymal cell layer [53]. Together, these models can form both spherical and 2-D cell layers that reflect the tissue architecture and physiology of the intestinal villi and have increased our understanding of enteric viral infection in secretory cell types because immortalized intestinal cell lines frequently do not reflect the diverse epithelial heterogeneity found in vivo [53]. For example, the study of porcine epidemic diarrhea virus, a coronavirus that causes high mortality in neonatal pigs, has been limited by the lack of a robust cell culture model, but it was recently shown that multiple intestinal cell types, including goblet cells, in enteroids and colonoids are infected by this virus, which mirrors the infection in vivo [54].

Enteroid models have also been used extensively in the study of human enteric viruses, giving a sense of their goblet cell propensities in vivo. Human adenovirus species C (prototype strain 5p) was the first virus identified as preferentially infecting a subset of goblet cells in enteroids; however, this cell tropism was strain specific, and a preference for goblet cells was not observed with human adenovirus species F (prototype strain 41p) [55]. Enterovirus 71 was also shown to have a strong preference for goblet cells in 2-D epithelial monolayers derived from human fetal small intestinal crypts [56]. The infection drove a reduction in MUC1 and MUC2 expression, highlighting the ability of this virus to alter goblet cell function and, perhaps, combat mucus flushing from the gut [56]. The human astrovirus VA1 species has also been shown to infect goblet cells and other epithelial cell types in human enteroids [57]. Interestingly, murine astrovirus (MuAstV) appears to have an even higher propensity for goblet cells. This was first detected by in situ hybridization and electron microscopy and then confirmed by single-cell transcriptional profiling of small intestinal epithelial cells [58]. Based on the transcriptional activity in goblet cells, MuAstV was determined to target actively secreting cells specifically and to drive a further increase in the expression of Muc2, Cleal1, Fgbp, and Zg16, as well as an increase in mucus thickness between and at the tops of the villi. These data indicate that MuAstV might benefit from causing this host pathway to produce more mucus in response to infection, perhaps as a means of facilitating virus egress and/or dissemination [58]. MuAstV infection also drove a change in microbiome composition and reduced colonization by enteropathogenic Escherichia coli, an adherent bacterial pathogen [58]. To what extent the increase in mucus secretion is sustained after MuAstV is cleared from the gut remains to be determined, but goblet cell exhaustion has been reported after parasitic infections that similarly drive hypersecretion [59,60]. This is a critical finding, given that such exhaustion can lead to a weakened mucus barrier, which could result in inflammation or even secondary bacterial infection [61]. In fact, this increased susceptibility to bacterial infection has been proposed as a disease mechanism for porcine epidemic disease virus, which causes goblet cell depletion in neonatal pigs [61]. Therefore, the combined effects of virus-driven changes in goblet cells can alter the microbiome and potentially exacerbate gastrointestinal illness, as well as alter host susceptibility to other enteric pathogens (Fig. 2).

Even in the absence of direct infection, enteric viruses can drive substantial changes in goblet cell abundance, function, and differentiation. For example, whereas enterocytes undergo apoptosis after rotavirus infection in mice, goblet cell numbers are decreased in the duodenum and jejunum as a result of delayed intestinal repair [62]. Transmissible gastroenteritis virus, a coronavirus that causes significant mortality in neonatal pigs, also indirectly affects goblet cells by infecting Paneth cells in the crypt and causing a loss of Notch signals required for neighboring stem cells to regenerate enterocytes and mitigate villus blunting [63]. Instead, the loss of Notch signals drives an increase in goblet cell numbers and mucus production after infection [63]. Similar to the effect seen with porcine epidemic virus, these alterations in goblet cells result in increased susceptibility to enterotoxigenic E. coli [64]. Much like bacteria that co-opt MUC interactions to enhance colonization [65,66], the increase in mucus production caused by transmissible gastroenteritis virus is beneficial to the virus because binding the sialic acid-rich MUC helps to facilitate receptor interactions [67,68]. Indeed, a similar process has been described for the picornavirus Theiler’s murine encephalomyelitis virus (TMENV), which binds to terminal sialic acid moieties on MUC to enhance infection [69]. Although the precise biological mechanism of how this binding propensity enhances infection is incompletely understood for TMENV, there are several possible explanations beyond receptor binding and entry. For example, MUC-bound virus could be protected from inactivation in a low-pH environment, as well as from host digestive enzymes. Alternatively, binding to mucus may promote longer retention of the virus in the gut, thereby enabling the virus to become peristalsis-resistant [69]. A third possibility is that the sialic acid-binding sites on TMENV correspond to neutralizing antibody sites [69], which could help the virus evade host immunity.
It is intriguing to consider whether these proviral mechanisms are more broadly applicable to other enteric viruses. A recent study noted that recovery of norovirus, rotavirus, astrovirus, sapovirus, and humpavirus from sewage was enhanced by a pig-MUC capture method [70]. In separate studies, MUC has been shown to promote poliovirus infectivity [71], to enhance the thermostability of reovirus [72], and to stabilize human astrovirus serotype 1 capsid and thereby preserve the infectivity of the virus during heat treatment [73]. Given that changes in mucus production can also cause changes in microbiome composition, it is also possible that virus–bacteria interactions play a role in these proviral mechanisms, as was noted in previous comprehensive reviews [74,75].

In contrast to these benefits derived by the virus from MUC binding, there is also evidence that MUC can serve as a ‘trap’ for virus particles, in much the same way that it traps bacteria and parasites [1]. In multiple studies, sialic acid-dependent strains of rotavirus exhibited reduced infectivity when co-incubated with mucus [62,76–79], whereas deglycosylation or neuraminidase (NA) treatment to remove sialic acid moieties from mucus abrogated this effect and enabled cells to be infected [76,77,79]. An increase in mucolytic bacteria has also been shown to aid rotavirus in its effect to subvert mucus interference, again highlighting an important multimicrobe interaction [80]. Reovirus can also combat mucus via its σ1 protein, which exhibits glycosidase activity and, therefore, could be important for mucus penetration [81]. In short, MUC appears to serve as a ‘double-edged’ sword that viruses may combat or co-opt during infection (Fig. 2).

Beyond these cellular functions related to mucus barrier maintenance, goblet cells also play a critical role in the development of oral tolerance, with mucus driving tolerogenic effects via crosstalk with immune cells [82,83]. Therefore, it is intriguing to consider whether virus association with mucus could serve as an immune evasion strategy or whether viral infection of goblet cells could trigger the formation of goblet cell–associated antigen passages [84], which enable luminal contents to be sampled by the underlying antigen-presenting cells in the lamina propria. Is it possible that enteric viruses, in a similar manner to Salmonella enteric serovar Typhimurium [85], use goblet cell-associated antigen passages to mediate extra-gastrointestinal spread? In addition, S. Typhimurium is known to colonize the mouse cecum due to exposure of the epithelium in areas without a thick mucus barrier [86], which could also benefit viruses that are greatly impeded by mucus. Similarly, the overlaying mucus on the epithelial layer of Peyer’s patches is known to be much thinner than the surrounding epithelium [27], indicating that viruses that gain entry or infect these sites, such as murine norovirus via microfold cells [87], may also play a role. The extent to which this and other functions of goblet cells in the gut contribute to protection against or the pathogenesis of viral infections is an exciting new avenue of research waiting to be explored.

Airway goblet cells

Distinct from the intestinal epithelium, the lung airways are lined by a pseudostratified epithelial layer that consists of basal and club progenitor cells that differentiate into ciliated and secretory cell types, including goblet cells [15,88]. The mucus barrier in the airways comprises the periciliary fluid and airway liquid surface layers (Fig. 3). In mice, the airway liquid surface layer is 10–30 µm thick whereas in humans, the periciliary fluid is ~4–7 µm and the top mucus layer is highly variable, ranging from 10 to 70 µm in depending on the section of the airway [89–93]. Together with ciliated cells that display motile cilia on their apical surface, mucus secretion from goblet cells and submucosal gland cells is critical for mediating mucociliary clearance, which sweeps inhaled debris and potential pathogens out of the airways [94]. Although the airways harbor 14 distinct MUC that support the mucus layers, the primary secreted MUC that are analogous to MUC2 in the intestinal tract are MUC5B and MUC5AC [15].

Respiratory viruses in goblet cells

In contrast to intestinal goblet cells, much has been uncovered about airway goblet cell interactions with viruses, particularly with respect to mucus binding (Fig. 3). Goblet cells are the direct targets of many human respiratory viruses, such as hantavirus [95], rhinovirus [96], and multiple influenza viruses, including influenza A viruses H1N1, H5N1, H7N9, H5N6, and H3N2, as well as influenza B virus [97–99]. Influenza virus is probably the most studied respiratory virus with direct MUC interactions: its hemagglutinin (HA) surface protein binds to epithelial sialic acid to mediate cell entry. Thus, mucus binding by influenza viruses is in part a side effect of their receptor usage, but mucus has been shown to both aid and hinder infection, with evidence of host-variable distinctions [100]. Previous studies demonstrated that MUC5AC overexpression in transgenic mice reduced H1N1 virus titers in the lung, which coincided with reduced immune cell infiltration [101]. Cell surface MUC can also serve as decoy...
receptors for influenza [102,103], and Muc1-deficient mice exhibit more severe H1N1 virus infection [102], highlighting the important role that MUC can play in antiviral defense. However, because influenza virus also displays a NA protein on its virion surface, it can mitigate these mucus effects. Indeed, NA is important for virus release when HA molecules tether nascent virus particles to the cell surface [104,105] and also for viral entry, in which it combats mucus hindrance [106–109]. Studies have shown that influenza virus can even co-opt mucus binding as a means of traversing the mucus barrier to find its cellular receptor [110–112]. Therefore, a careful balance between HA and NA expression on the virus surface is key to optimal infection [113], and virus interactions with mucus have been shown to have a significant impact on transmission [114,115] and on cross-species restriction [116].

Much like in the gut, basal mucus production in the lung is intended to flush out pathogens and other irritants in the airways. However, this important host response can become exaggerated to the point where it contributes to acute respiratory distress syndrome (Fig. 3). Most recently, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) was shown to infect goblet cells in human bronchial explants and was also identified by postmortem analysis [117,118], but such infection was not observed in 2-D airway organoid cultures [119], perhaps reflecting differences in the detection methodology. Interestingly, SARS-CoV-1 infection was not shown to target goblet cells in vitro or in vivo [119–121], whereas canine respiratory coronavirus, a related betacoronavirus, has been shown to infect goblet cells in canine tracheal cultures [122]. Even if goblet cells are not frequently infected by SARS-CoV-2, investigation of their role in mediating airway disease is warranted, as clinical reports have commonly identified mucus accumulation in fatal cases of COVID [123–125] and because several other viral infections drive goblet cell hyperplasia and mucus metaplasia, leading to compromised lung function. Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) is a textbook example of this and is by far the most prevalent cause of lower respiratory disease in infants and young children [126]. Without directly infecting goblet cells, RSV induces goblet cell hyperplasia and hypersecretion via the induction of Th2 cytokines [127], but IL-4 receptor antagonism can block these cytokine signals and has been effective in vivo [128]. It was also shown that IL-12p40, which generally functions as a brake on Th2 hyper-responsiveness, is critical for controlling disease caused by RSV as well as that caused by human metapneumovirus, a related pneumovirus [129,130]. Rhinoviruses, which are responsible for the common cold, similarly drive an increase in MUC production in vitro and in vivo [131–133], and although this has been shown to be protective against infection [134], it can cause complications in the event that the mucus response becomes excessive. Drug treatments, including those with anticholinergic agents, corticosteroids, and anti-inflammatory drugs, have proved effective at mitigating overexuberant goblet cell responses caused by rhinovirus and RSV in vitro and in vivo [135,136]. However, it is important to note that these interventions can come at a cost to antiviral immune responses and may result in reduced viral clearance [137]. Therefore, additional study of goblet cell functions could aid

**Fig 3.** Goblet cells that line the airways are critical to maintaining homeostatic conditions that serve as protection against viral infection (shown at left). A) Mucus can bind the virus and sequester it from the epithelial layer. B) Mucus secretion aids mucociliary clearance of virus particles. C) Mucus-associated antibody can also help to block viral infection. D) Goblet cell-driven airway disease can be caused by overexuberant mucus responses (shown at right), leading to impaired mucociliary clearance. E) Some viruses can benefit from MUC interactions to help enhance their stability, infection, and transmission.
the design of appropriate interventions to mitigate aberrant host responses and promote antiviral responses that will improve respiratory virus disease outcomes.

Concluding remarks
Armed with MUC and other antimicrobial proteins that offer structural and functional interactions with microbes and host immune cells, goblet cells provide a critical link in the innate immune responses in the airways and intestines. We are only beginning to scratch the surface of the heterogeneity within goblet cell populations but improving our understanding of how goblet cell responses are shaped by host, microbe, and viral factors will be critical to developing strategies that modulate their activity. Ultimately, understanding their basic biology will help to improve host susceptibility and disease outcomes to enteric and respiratory viral infections.

Acknowledgements
We thank Rebekah Honce for graphical design of the figures and Keith A. Laycock, PhD, ELS, for scientific editing of the manuscript. Funding for this research included National Institutes of Health Allergy and Infectious Diseases grants R21 AI135254-01 and R03 AI1126101-01 to SS-C and T32 AI106700-03 to VC, as well as funding from ALSAC to SS-C.

Conflict of interest
The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Author contributions
VC and SS-C came up with the idea of reviewing this topic. VC wrote the manuscript. VC and SS-C edited this manuscript.

References
1 Kim JJ & Khan WI (2013) Goblet cells and mucins: role in innate defense in enteric infections. Pathogens 2, 55-70.
2 Pelaseyed T, Bergström JH, Gustafsson JK, Ermund A, Birchenough GMH, Schütte A, van der Post S, Svensson F, Rodrigues-Piêneiro AM, Nyström EEL et al. (2014) The mucus and mucins of the goblet cells and enterocytes provide the first defense line of the gastrointestinal tract and interact with the immune system. Immunol Rev 260, 8-20.
3 Birchenough GMH, Johansson MEV, Gustafsson JK, Bergström JH & Hansson GC (2015) New developments in goblet cell mucus secretion and function. Mucosal Immunol 8, 712–719.
4 Allaire JM, Morampudi V, Crowley SM, Stahl M, Yu H, Bhullar K, Knodler LA, Bressler B, Jacobson K & Vallance BA (2018) Frontline defenders: goblet cell mediators dictate host-microbe interactions in the intestinal tract during health and disease. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 314, G360–G377.
5 Davies JM & Abreu MT (2015) Host-microbe interactions in the small bowel. Curr Opin Gastroenterol 31, 118–123.
6 Bergström A, Kristensen MB, Bahl MI, Metzendorf SB, Fink LN, Frøkiær H & Licht TR (2012) Nature of bacterial colonization influences transcription of mucin genes in mice during the first week of life. BMC Res Notes 5, 402.
7 Wlodarska M, Willing B, Keeney KM, Menendez A, Bergstrom KS, Gill N, Russell SL, Vallance BA & Finlay BB (2011) Antibiotic treatment alters the colonic mucus layer and predisposes the host to exacerbated Citrobacter rodentium-induced colitis. Infect Immun 79, 1536–1545.
8 Chen C-Y, Hsu K-C, Yeh H-Y & Ho H-C (2019) Visualizing the effects of antibiotics on the mouse colonic mucus layer. Ci Ji Yi Xue Za Zhi 32, 145–153.
9 Birchenough GMH, Nyström EEL, Johansson MEV & Hansson GC (2016) A sentinel goblet cell guards the colonic crypt by triggering Nlrp6-dependent Muc2 secretion. Science 352, 1535–1542.
10 Becker S, Oelschlaeger TA, Wullaert A, Pasparakis M, Wehkamp J, Stange EF & Gersemann M (2013) Bacteria regulate intestinal epithelial cell differentiation factors both in vitro and in vivo. PLoS One 8, e55620.
11 Schütte A, Ermund A, Becker-Pauly C, Johansson MEV, Rodriguez-Piêneiro AM, Bäckhed F, Müller S, Lottaz D, Bond JS & Hansson GC (2014) Microbial-induced meprin β cleavage in MUC2 mucin and a functional CFTR channel are required to release anchored small intestinal mucus. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 111, 12396–12401.
12 Chapter IV (1968) The goblet cell in general. Acta Ophthal (Copenh) 46, 25–35.
13 VanDussen KL & Samuelson LC (2010) Mouse atonal homolog 1 directs intestinal progenitors to secretory cell rather than absorptive cell fate. Dev Biol 346, 215–223.
14 Noah TK, Kazanjian A, Whitsett J & Shroyer NF (2010) SAM pointed domain ETS factor (SPDEF) regulates terminal differentiation and maturation of intestinal goblet cells. Exp Cell Res 316, 452-465.
15 Whitsett JA (2018) Airway epithelial differentiation and mucociliary clearance. Am Am Thorac Soc 15, S143–S148.
Goblet cells and virus pathogenesis

16 Chen G, Korhagen TR, Xu Y, Kitzmiller J, Wert SE, Maeda Y, Gregoriuff A, Clevers H & Whitsett JA (2009) SPDEF is required for mouse pulmonary goblet cell differentiation and regulates a network of genes associated with mucus production. *J Clin Investig* **119**, 2914–2924.

17 Rajavelu P, Chen G, Xu Y, Kitzmiller JA, Korhagen TR & Whitsett JA (2015) Airway epithelial SPDEF integrates goblet cell differentiation and pulmonary Th2 inflammation. *J Clin Investig* **125**, 2021–2031.

18 Specian RD & Neutra MR (1982) Regulation of intestinal goblet cell secretion. I. Role of parasympathetic stimulation. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* **242**, G370–G379.

19 Johansson MEV (2012) Fast renewal of the distal colonic mucus layers by the surface goblet cells as measured by *in vivo* labeling of mucin glycoproteins. *PLoS One* **7**, e41009.

20 Davis CW & Dickey BF (2008) Regulated airway goblet cell mucin secretion. *Annu Rev Physiol* **70**, 487–512.

21 Wlodarska M, Thaiss CA, Nowarski R, Henao-Mejia J, Zhang J-P, Brown EM, Frankel G, Levy M, Katz MN, Philbrick WM et al. (2014) NLRP6 inflammasome orchestrates the colonic host-microbial interface by regulating goblet cell mucus secretion. *Cell* **156**, 1045–1059.

22 Patel KK, Miyoshi H, Beatty WL, Head RD, Malvin NP, Cadwell K, Guan J-L, Akira S, Seglen PO et al. (2013) Autophagy proteins control goblet cell function by potentiating reactive oxygen species production. *EMBO J* **32**, 3130–3144.

23 Wu Y, Tang L, Wang B, Sun Q, Zhao P & Li W (2019) The role of autophagy in maintaining intestinal mucus barrier. *J Cell Physiol* **234**, 19406–19419.

24 Ranson N, Veldhuis M, Mitchell B, Fanning S, Cook AL, Kunde D & Eredi R (2018) Nod-like receptor pyrin-containing protein 6 (NLRP6) is up-regulated in ileal Crohn’s disease and differentially expressed in goblet cells. *Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol* **6**, 110–112.e8.

25 Gremel G, Wanders A, Cedernaes J, Fagerberg L, Hallström B, Edlund K, Sjöstedt E, Uhlen M & Pontén F (2015) The human gastrointestinal tract-specific transcriptome and proteome as defined by RNA sequencing and antibody-based profiling. *J Gastroenterol* **50**, 46–57.

26 Gustafsson JK, Ermund A, Johansson MEV, Schütte A, Hansson GC & Sjövall H (2011) An ex vivo method for studying mucus formation, properties, and thickness in human colonic biopsies and mouse small and large intestinal explants. *Am J Physiol 302*, G430–G438.

27 Ermund A, Schütte A, Johansson MEV, Gustafsson JK & Hansson GC (2013) Studies of mucus in mouse stomach, small intestine, and colon. I. Gastrointestinal mucus layers have different properties depending on location as well as over the Peyer’s patches. *Am J Physiol 305*, G341–G347.

28 Halm DR & Halm ST (2000) Secretogogue response of goblet cells and columnar cells in human colonic crypts. *Am J Physiol 278*, C212–C233.

29 Linden SK, Sutton P, Karlsson NG, Korolik V & McGuckin MA (2008) Mucins in the mucosal barrier to infection. *Mucosal Immunol* **1**, 183–197.

30 Cohn L, Homer RJ, MacLeod H, Mohrs M, Brombacher F & Bottomly K (1999) Th2-induced airway mucus production is dependent on IL-4Rα, but not on eosinophils. *J Immunol* **162**, 6178–6183.

31 Sharba S, Navabi N, Padra M, Persson JA, Quintana-Hayashi MP, Gustafsson JK, Szeponik L, Venkatakrishnan V, Sjöling A, Nilsson S et al. (2019) Interleukin 4 induces rapid mucin transport, increases mucus thickness and quality and decreases colitis and *Citrobacter rodentium* in contact with epithelial cells. *Virulence* **10**, 97–117.

32 Wynn TA (2003) IL-13 effector functions. *Annu Rev Immunol* **21**, 425–456.

33 Johansson MEV, Jakobsson HE, Holmén-Larsson J, Schütte A, Ermund A, Rodriguez-Piñeiro AM, Arike L, Wising C, Svensson F, Bäckhed F et al. (2015) Normalization of host intestinal mucus layers requires long-term microbial colonization. *Cell Host Microbe* **18**, 582–592.

34 Paone P & Cani PD (2020) Mucus barrier, mucins and gut microbiota: the expected slimy partners? *Gut* **69**, 2232–2243.

35 Johansson MEV, Phillipson M, Peterson J, Velchic A, Holm L & Hansson GC (2008) The inner of the two Muc2 mucus-dependent mucus layers in colon is devoid of bacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **105**, 15064–15069.

36 Johansson MEV, Gustafsson JK, Holmén-Larsson J, Jabbar KS, Xia L, Xu H, Ghishan FK, Carvalho FA, Gewirtz AT, Sjövall H et al. (2014) Bacteria penetrate the normally impenetrable inner colon mucus layer in both murine colitis models and patients with ulcerative colitis. *Gut* **63**, 281–291.

37 Pullan RD, Thomas GA, Rhodes M, Newcombe RG, Williams GT, Allen A & Rhodes J (1994) Thickness of adherent mucus gel on colonic mucosa in humans and its relevance to colitis. *Gut* **35**, 353–359.

38 Fydker K, Strus M, Kowalska-Duplaga K, Gosiewski T, Wedrychowicz A, Jedynak-Wasowicz U, Sladek M, Pieczarkowski S, Adamski P, Kochan P et al. (2009) Mucosal bacterial microflora and mucus layer thickness in adolescents with inflammatory bowel disease. *World J Gastroenterol* **15**, 5287–5294.

39 Sontheimer-Phelps A, Chou DB, Tovaglieri A, Ferrante TC, Duckworth T, Fadel C, Frismantas V, Sutherland AD, Jalili-Firoozinezhad S, Kasendra M
et al. (2020) Human colon-on-a-chip enables continuous in vitro analysis of colon mucus layer accumulation and physiology. Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol 9, 507–526.

40 Graf K, Last A, Gratz R, Allert S, Linde S, Westermann M, Gröger M, Mosig AS, Gresnigt MS & Hube B (2019) Keeping Candida commensal: how lactobacilli antagonize pathogenicity of Candida albicans in an in vitro gut model. Dis Model Mech 12, dmm039719.

41 Nyström EEL, Birchenuous GMH, van der Post S, Arike L, Gruber AD, Hanson GC & Johansson MEV (2018) Calcium-activated Chloride Channel Regulator 1 (CLCA1) controls mucus expansion in colon by proteolytic activity. EBioMedicine 33, 134–143.

42 Nyström EEL, Arike L, Ehrencrona E, Hanson GC & Johansson MEV (2019) Calcium-activated chloride channel regulator 1 (CLCA1) forms non-covalent oligomers in colonic mucus and has mucin 2-processing properties. J Biol Chem 294, 17075–17089.

43 Johansson MEV, Thomson KA & Hanson GC (2009) Proteomic analyses of the two mucus layers of the colon barrier reveal that their main component, the Muc2 mucin, is strongly bound to the Fcgbp protein. J Proteome Res 8, 3549–3557.

44 Bergström JH, Berg KA, Rodríguez-Piñeiro AM, Stecher B, Johansson MEV & Hanson GC (2014) AGR2, an endoplasmic reticulum protein, is secreted into the gastrointestinal mucus. PLoS One 9, e104186.

45 Bergström JH, Birchenuous GMH, Katona G, Schroeder BO, Schütte A, Ermund A, Johansson MEV & Hanson GC (2016) Gram-positive bacteria are held at a distance in the colon mucus by the lectin-like protein ZG16. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 113, 13833–13838.

46 Taupin D & Podolsky DK (2003) Trefoil factors: initiators of mucosal healing. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 4, 721–732.

47 Holly MK & Smith JG (2018) Paneth cells during viral infection and pathogenesis. Viruses 10, 225.

48 Kaetzel CS (2014) Cooperativity among secretory IgA, the polymeric immunoglobulin receptor, and the gut microbiota promotes host-microbial mutualism. Immunol Lett 162, 10–21.

49 Blutt SE & Conner ME (2013) The gastrointestinal frontier: IgA and viruses. Front Immunol 4, 402.

50 Suzuki H & Konno T (1975) Reovirus-like particles in jejunal mucosa of a Japanese infant with acute infectious non-bacterial gastroenteritis. Tohoku J Exp Med 115, 199–211.

51 Takeuchi A & Hashimoto K (1976) Electron microscope study of experimental enteric adenovirus infection in mice. Infect Immun 13, 569–580.

52 Doughri AM & Storz J (1977) Light and ultrastructural pathologic changes in intestinal coronavirus infection of newborn calves. Zentralbl Veterinmed B 24, 367–385.

53 Lanik WE, Mara MA, Mihi B, Coyne CB & Good M (2018) Stem cell-derived models of viral infections in the gastrointestinal tract. Viruses 10, 124.

54 Li L, Fu F, Guo S, Wang H, He X, Xue M, Yin L, Feng L & Liu P (2019) Porcine intestinal enteroids: a new model for studying enteric coronavirus porcine epidemic diarrhea virus infection and the host innate response. J Virol 93, e01682-18.

55 Holly MK & Smith JG (2018) Adenovirus infection of human enteroids reveals interferon sensitivity and preferential infection of goblet cells. J Virol 92, e00250-18.

56 Good C, Wells AI & Coyne CB (2019) Type III interferon signaling restricts enterovirus 71 infection of goblet cells. Sci Adv 5, eaau4255.

57 Kolawole AO, Mirabeli C, Hill DR, Svoboda SA, Janowski AB, Passalaqua KD, Rodriguez BN, Dame MK, Freiden P, Berger RP et al. (2019) Astrovirus replication in human intestinal enteroids reveals multicellular tropism and an intricate host innate immune landscape. PLoS Pathog 15, e1008057.

58 Cortez V, Boyd DF, Crawford JC, Sharp B, Livingston B, Rowe HM, Davis A, Alsallaq R, Robinson CG, Vogel P et al. (2020) Astrovirus infects actively secreting goblet cells and alters the gut mucin barrier. Nat Commun 11, 2097.

59 Moncada DM, Kammanadiminti SJ & Chadee K (2003) Mucin and Toll-like receptors in host defense against intestinal parasites. Trends Parasitol 19, 305–311.

60 Kim YS & Ho SB (2010) Intestinal goblet cells and mucins in health and disease: recent insights and progress. Curr Gastroenterol Rep 12, 319–330.

61 Jung K & Saif LJ (2017) Goblet cell depletion in small intestinal villous and crypt epithelium of conventional nursing and weaned pigs infected with porcine epidemic diarrhea virus. Res Vet Sci 110, 12–15.

62 Boshuizen JA, Reimerink JHH, Korteland-van Male AM, van Ham VJJ, Bouma J, Gerwig GJ, Koopmans MPG, Büler HA, Dekker J & Einerhand AWC (2005) Homeostasis and function of goblet cells during rotavirus infection in mice. Virolology 337, 210–221.

63 Wu A, Yu B, Zhang K, Xu Z, Wu D, He J, Luo J, Luo Y, Yu J, Zheng P et al. (2020) Transmissible gastroenteritis virus targets Paneth cells to inhibit the self-renewal and differentiation of Lgr5 intestinal stem cells via Notch signaling. Cell Death Dis 11, 40.

64 Xia L, Dai L, Yu Q & Yang Q (2017) Persistent transmissible gastroenteritis virus infection enhances enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli K88 adhesion by promoting epithelial-mesenchymal transition in intestinal epithelial cells. J Virol 91, e01256-17.

65 Cornick S, Tawiah A & Chadee K (2015) Roles and regulation of the mucus barrier in the gut. Tissue Barriers 3, e982426.
The FEBS Journal 288 (2021) 7060–7072 © 2021 Federation of European Biochemical Societies
imaging for the assessment of cystic fibrosis airway therapies. PLoS One 8, e55822.
92 Matsui H, Grubb BR, Tarran R, Randell SH, Gatzy JT, Davis CW & Boucher RC (1998) Evidence for periciliary liquid layer depletion, not abnormal ion composition, in the pathogenesis of cystic fibrosis airways disease. Cell 95, 1005–1015.
93 Derichs N, Jin B-J, Song Y, Finkbeiner WE & Verkman AS (2011) Hyperviscous airway periciliary and mucous liquid layers in cystic fibrosis measured by confocal fluorescence photobleaching. FASEB J 25, 2325–2332.
94 Benam KH, Vladar EK, Janssen WJ & Evans CM (2006) Bidirectional virus infection of primary airway epithelial cell secretion and nonciliated cell tropism following Andes virus infection of primary differentiated ferret nasal epithelial cell cultures. J Virol 80, 1087–1097.
95 Lachowicz-Scroggins ME, Boushey HA, Finkbeiner WE & Widdicombe JH (2010) Interleukin-13-induced mucous metaplasia increases susceptibility of human airway epithelium to rhinovirus infection. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 43, 652–661.
96 Essaidi-Laziosi M, Brito F, Benaoudia S, Royston L, Cagno V, Fernandes-Rocha M, Piaz I, Zdobnov E, Huang S, Constant S et al. (2018) Propagation of respiratory viruses in human airway epithelia reveals persistent virus-specific signatures. J Allergy Clin Immunol 141, 2074–2084.
97 Hui KPY, Ching RHH, Chan SKH, Nicholls JM, Sachs N, Clevers H, Peiris JSM & Chan MCW (2018) Mucociliary defense: emerging cellular, molecular, and animal models. Am Am Thorac Soc 15, S210–S215.
98 Rowe RK & Pekosz A (2006) Bidirectional viral secretion and nonciliated cell tropism following Andes virus infection of primary differentiated ferret nasal epithelial cell cultures. J Virol 80, 1087–1097.
99 Delaveris CS, Webster ER, Banik SM, Boxer SG & Bertozzi CR (2020) Membrane-tethered mucin-like polypeptides sterically inhibit binding and slow fusion kinetics of influenza A virus. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 117, 12643–12650.
100 Palese P, Tobita K, Ueda M & Compans RW (1974) Characterization of temperature sensitive influenza virus mutants defective in neuraminidase. Virology 61, 397–410.
101 Basak S, Tomana M & Compans RW (1985) Sialic acid is incorporated into influenza hemagglutinin glycoproteins in the absence of viral neuraminidase. Virus Res 2, 61–68.
102 Cohen M, Zhang X-Q, Sennaati HP, Chen H-W, Varki NM, Schooley RT & Gagneux P (2013) Influenza A penetrates host mucus by cleaving sialic acids with neuraminidase. Virol J 10, 321.
103 Matrosovich MN, Matrosovich TY, Gray T, Roberts NA & Klenk H-D (2004) Neuraminidase is important for the initiation of influenza virus infection in human airway epithelium. J Virol 78, 12665–12667.
104 Ohuchi M, Asaoka N, Sakai T & Ohuchi R (2006) Roles of neuraminidase in the initial stage of influenza virus infection. Microbes Infect 8, 1287–1293.
105 Yang X, Steukers L, Forier K, Xiong R, Braeckmans K, Reeth KV & Nauwynck H (2014) A beneficiary role for neuraminidase in influenza virus penetration through the respiratory mucus. PLoS One 9, e100266.
106 Vahey MD & Fletcher DA (2019) Influenza A virus surface proteins are organized to help penetrate host mucus. eLife 8, e43764.
107 Guo H, Rabouw H, Slomp A, Dai M, van der Vegt F, van Lent JWM, McBride R, Paulson JC, de Groot RJ, van Kuppeveld FJM et al. (2018) Kinetic analysis of the influenza A virus HA/NA balance reveals contribution of NA to virus-receptor binding and NA-dependent rolling on receptor-containing surfaces. PLOS Pathog 14, e1007233.
108 Sakai T, Nishimura SI, Naito T & Saito M (2017) Influenza A virus hemagglutinin and neuraminidase act as novel motile machinery. Sci Rep 7, 45043.
109 de Vries E, Du W, Guo H & de Haan CAM (2020) Influenza A virus hemagglutinin–neuraminidase–receptor balance: preserving virus motility. Trends Microbiol 28, 57–67.
110 Yen H-L, Liang C-H, Wu C-Y, Forrest HL, Ferguson A, Choy K-T, Jones J, Wong DD-Y, Cheung PP-H, Hsu C-H et al. (2011) Hemagglutinin-neuraminidase balance confers respiratory-droplet transmissibility of the pandemic H1N1 influenza virus in ferrets. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108, 14264–14269.
111 van Riel D, den Bakker MA, Leijten LME, Chutinimitkul S, Munster VJ, de Wit E, Rimmelzwaan...
GF, Fouchier RAM, Osterhaus ADME & Kuiken T (2010) Seasonal and pandemic human influenza viruses attach better to human upper respiratory tract epithelium than avian influenza viruses. *Am J Pathol* **176**, 1614–1618.

116 Zanin M, Marathe B, Wong S-S, Yoon S-W, Collin E, Oshansky C, Jones J, Hause B & Webby R (2015) Pandemic swine H1N1 influenza viruses with almost undetectable neuraminidase activity are not transmitted via aerosols in ferrets and are inhibited by human mucus but not swine mucus. *J Virol* **89**, 5935–5948.

117 Hui KPY, Cheung M-C, Perera RAPM, Ng K-C, Bui Lamers MM, Beumer J, van der Vaart J, Knoops K, Schaefer I-M, Padera RF, Solomon IH, Kanjilal S, van den Brand JMA, Haagmans BL, Leijten L, van Shieh W-J, Hsiao C-H, Paddock CD, Guarner J, Carsana L, Sonzogni A, Nasr A, Rossi RS, Priestnall SL, Mitchell JA, Brooks HW, Brownlie J & Erles K (2009) Quantification of mRNA encoding cytokines and chemokines and assessment of ciliary function in canine tracheal epithelium during infection with canine respiratory coronavirus (CRCoV). *Vet Immunol Immunopathol* **127**, 38–46.

118 Schaan JF, Fouchier RAM, Osterhaus ADME & Kuiken T (2008) Pathology of experimental SARS coronavirus infection in cats and ferrets. *Vet Pathol* **45**, 551–562.

119 Shieh W-J, Hsiao C-H, Paddock CD, Guarnier J, Goldsmith CS, Tatti K, Packard M, Mueller L, Wu M-Z, Rollin P et al. (2005) Immunohistochemical, in situ hybridization, and ultrastructural localization of SARS-associated coronavirus in lung of a fatal case of severe acute respiratory syndrome in Taiwan. *Hum Pathol* **36**, 303–309.

120 van den Brand JMA, Haagmans BL, Leijten L, van Riel D, Martina BEE, Osterhaus ADME & Kuiken T (2008) Pathology of experimental SARS coronavirus infection in cats and ferrets. *Vet Pathol* **45**, 551–562.

121 Lammers MM, Meurer J, van der Vaart J, Knoops K, Puschhof J, Breugem TI, Ravelli RBG, Paul van Schayck J, Mykytyn AZ, Duimel HQ et al. (2020) SARS-CoV-2 productively infects human gut enterocytes. *Science* **369**, 50–54.

122 van den Brand JMA, Haagmans BL, Leijten L, van Riel D, Martina BEE, Osterhaus ADME & Kuiken T (2008) Pathology of experimental SARS coronavirus infection in cats and ferrets. *Vet Pathol* **45**, 551–562.

123 Carsana L, Sonzogni A, Nasr A, Rossi RS, Pellegrinelli A, Zerbi P, Rech R, Colombo R, Antonini S, Corbellino M et al. (2020) Pulmonary post-mortem findings in a series of COVID-19 cases from northern Italy: a two-centre descriptive study. *Lancet Infect Dis* **20**, 1135–1140.

124 Farooqi FI, Morgan RC, Dhawan N, Dinh J, Yatzkan G & Michel G (2020) Airway hygiene in COVID-19 pneumonia: treatment responses of 3 critically Ill cruise ship employees. *Am J Case Rep* **21**, e926596-1-e926596-8.

125 Menter T, Haslbauer JD, Nienhold R, Savic S, Hopfer H, Deigendesch N, Frank S, Turek D, Willi N, Pargger H et al. (2020) Postmortem examination of COVID-19 patients reveals diffuse alveolar damage with severe capillary congestion and variegated findings in lungs and other organs suggesting vascular dysfunction. *Histopathology* **77**, 198–209.

126 Shi T, McAllister DA, O’Brien KL, Simees EAF, Madhi SA, Gessner BD, Polack FP, Balsells E, Acacio S, Aquayo C et al. (2017) Global, regional, and national disease burden estimates of acute lower respiratory infections due to respiratory syncytial virus in young children in 2015: a systematic review and modelling study. *Lancet* **390**, 946–958.

127 Carvajal JJ, Avellaneda AM, Salazar-Ardiles C, Maya JE, Kalergis AM & Lay MK (2019) Host components contributing to respiratory syncytial virus pathogenesis. *Front Immunol* **10**, 2152.

128 Ripple MJ, You D, Honnegowda S, Giaimo JD, Sewell AB, Becnel DM & Cormier SA (2010) Immunomodulation with IL-4R alpha antisense oligonucleotide prevents respiratory syncytial virus-mediated pulmonary disease. *J Immunol* **185**, 4804–4811.

129 Chakraborty K, Zhou Z, Wakamatsu N & Guerrero-Plata A (2012) Interleukin-12p40 modulates human metapneumovirus-induced pulmonary disease in an acute mouse model of infection. *PLoS One* **7**, e37173.

130 Wang S-Z, Bao Y-X, Rosenberger CL, Tesfaizgi Y, Stark JM & Harrod KS (2004) IL-12p40 and IL-18 modulate inflammatory and immune responses to respiratory syncytial virus infection. *J Immunol* **173**, 4040–4049.

131 Inoue D, Yamada M, Kubo H, Sasaki T, Hosoda M, Numasaki M, Tomioka Y, Yasuda H, Sekizawa K, Nishimura H et al. (2006) Mechanisms of mucin production by rhinovirus infection in cultured human airway epithelial cells. *Respi Physiol Neurobiol* **154**, 484–499.

132 Yuta A, Doyle WJ, Gaumond E, Ali M, Tamarkin L, Baranuik JN, Van Deusen M, Cohen S & Skoner DP (1998) Rhinovirus infection induces mucus hypersecretion. *Am J Physiol* **274**, L1017–1023.

133 Hewson CA, Haas JJ, Bartlett NW, Message SD, Laza-Stanca V, Kebadze T, Caramori G, Zhu J, Edbrooke MR, Stanciu LA et al. (2010) Rhinovirus induces MUC5AC in a human infection model and in vitro via NF-kB and EGFR pathways. *Eur Respir J* **36**, 1425–1435.

134 Jakiela B, Gielicz A, Plutecka H, Hubalewska-Mazgaj M, Mastalerz B, Bochenek G, Soja J, Januszek R, Aab A, Musial J et al. (2014) Th2-type cytokine-induced mucus metaplasia decreases susceptibility of human bronchial epithelium to rhinovirus infection. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* **51**, 229–241.
135 Wang Y, Ninaber DK, van Schadewijk A & Hiemstra PS (2020) Tiotropium and fluticasone inhibit rhinovirus-induced mucin production via multiple mechanisms in differentiated airway epithelial cells. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* **10**, 278.

136 Mata M, Martinez I, Melero JA, Tenor H & Cortijo J (2013) Roflumilast inhibits respiratory syncytial virus infection in human differentiated bronchial epithelial cells. *PLoS One* **8**, e69670.

137 Singanayagam A, Glanville N, Bartlett N & Johnston S (2015) Effect of fluticasone propionate on virus-induced airways inflammation and anti-viral immune responses in mice. *Lancet* **385** (Suppl 1), S88.