Psychosomatic Status, Personality Traits, and Coping Styles of Bereaved and Non-Bereaved Survivors of the 2008 Wenchuan Earthquake, China
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Objectives: This study examined personality, coping styles, and psychosomatic characteristics and their relationships in bereaved and non-bereaved earthquake survivors.

Study design: Cross-sectional survey.

Methods: A survey was conducted with a sample of 102 non-bereaved survivors and 79 bereaved survivors from Mianyang, Anyang, and similar districts 2 weeks after Wenchuan earthquake. Survivors completed questionnaires, including items about demographics, personality characteristics, coping styles, and psychosomatic status.

Results: Bereaved survivors had lower scores for gregariousness, trust, and optimism, but higher scores for depressed mood, loneliness, becoming easily fearful, irritation, and anxiety than non-bereaved survivors. In addition, bereaved participants scored higher for avoiding problems, self-blame, and fantasy coping styles than non-bereaved ones. Personality and coping styles significantly correlated with psychosomatic status in bereaved and non-bereaved survivors. Optimism and openness to feelings personality characteristics, and self-blame, avoiding problems, and rationalization coping styles significantly predicted psychosomatic status of bereaved survivors, whereas openness to fantasy, optimism, order, and trust personality characteristics, and self-blame and avoiding problems coping styles significantly predicted psychosomatic status of non-bereaved survivors.

Conclusion: Earthquake survivors experienced post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms and negative emotions. Bereaved survivors experienced more serious PTSD symptoms and negative emotions relative to non-bereaved survivors. Appropriate psychological crisis interventions should be conducted for earthquake survivors, especially bereaved survivors.

Keywords: personality, coping, psychosomatic status, bereaved survivors, Wenchuan earthquake
INTRODUCTION

Natural disasters, such as earthquakes, can cause considerable bodily injury, fear, and property damage, which may result in psychological injury (1, 2), including psychological trauma [i.e., post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms] and negative emotions (i.e., depression) (3, 4). A large number of studies have examined various psychological factors (e.g., psychosocial competencies, life stress, and previous psychiatric illness) that were correlated with PTSD symptoms and negative emotions of earthquake survivors (5–7). Among these factors, personality and coping styles are of interest.

Personality is defined as consistent patterns of behavior reflecting thoughts and emotions, and it is considered stable or slow changing. Previous research about the relationship between personality and traumatic events has typically regarded personality as an independent variable predicting the incidence of PTSD or related negative emotions. For example, an investigation of Australian Vietnam veterans found that having neurotic traits was significantly and positively correlated with combat-related PTSD (8). A study of survivors of fire found that neurotic traits formed an effective index for predicting negative emotions of disaster survivors (9). Another study also found that personality characteristics could predict PTSD symptoms and related adverse emotions in earthquake survivors (10). Thus, personality characteristics may be significant predictors of PTSD symptoms and negative emotions in disaster survivors.

Coping styles refer to active efforts through positive or negative behaviors to deal with stress, involving a series of cognitive and behavioral strategies (11). Positive coping can help to ameliorate adverse symptomatology, whereas avoidant coping can strengthen negative emotional responses to earthquakes (12, 13). A large number of studies have found that coping is strongly related to emotions or PTSD symptoms after trauma. For example, one study examining psychological distress and coping strategies subsequent to the 1995 Dinar earthquake in Turkey found that the use of coping styles, such as the problem-solving/optimistic approach, was negatively related to distress levels (14). Another study found that the number of coping strategies (e.g., problem-focused coping and active and avoidant emotional coping) significantly positively correlated with PTSD severity and complicated grief among American college students experiencing the unexpected death of an immediate family member and close friend (15). These findings were also confirmed by studies on the Indian Ocean tsunami, hurricane, and earthquake (13, 16, 17). These studies revealed that coping styles could predict PTSD and negative emotions (12).

In short, prior research has provided valuable information on personality, coping styles, and psychosomatic status in trauma events. However, research gaps still exist. As mentioned above, disaster survivors may face tremendous mental anguish due to bodily injury, fear, or property damage, but the most profound may be the loss of family members or relatives in a disaster (18). Previous studies have found that, compared with non-bereaved survivors, bereaved survivors may experience greater psychological trauma (i.e., PTSD symptoms) and negative emotions (i.e., depression) (3, 4, 19–21). However, few studies have compared personality and coping styles between bereaved survivors and non-bereaved survivors in China (19). Additionally, to the best of our knowledge, no research has examined whether the personality traits and coping styles predicting psychosomatic status differ between bereaved and non-bereaved survivors. Examining personality characteristics, coping styles, and psychosomatic status shortly after trauma can provide useful information for the development of appropriate emergency interventions for disaster survivors.

Against this background, the present study was designed to address two primary research questions such as (a) what were the differences between bereaved and non-bereaved earthquake survivors in terms of personality, coping styles, and psychosomatic status? and (b) what were the factors of personality traits and coping styles associated with psychosomatic status in the two groups?

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

A convenience sample was drawn of 210 people from earthquake disaster areas; 187 returned their questionnaires (Beichuan, 71; An county, 76; Qu county, 22; Mianyang and other areas, 18). Twenty-three survivors failed to complete the questionnaires for physical or emotional reasons, and 181 indicated whether they had lost relatives in the earthquake. The final sample consisted of 102 survivors who had not lost relatives and 79 survivors who had lost relatives.

Procedure

A week after the Wenchuan Earthquake, the Chinese government set up temporary housing in Mianyang Jiuzhou Gymnasium, South Lake Gymnasium, and Southwest University of Finance, where they provided basic living supplies to survivors. The Red Cross Society of China organized a group of experts in order to collect information on the psychological stress of survivors. The authors of this manuscript were among these experts. Before the survey, all researchers were trained in the study procedure. In the temporary housing are the researchers invited survivors to respond a survey and inform them the survey intention. If they were willing to participate, the survey would continue, if not, the survey would give up. Because we believed that survivors would be experiencing a highly diverse range of extreme emotions, and because we were involved in providing psychological intervention for survivors participating in the questionnaire survey, we administered the survey only after determining the individual’s emotional state and willingness. Among the survivors, 12 survivors had different levels of education. These survivors (e.g., illiteracy) gave oral responses to the questionnaire, while others filled it independently. Those who completed the questionnaires were offered compensation in the form of a radio.

Measures

Big Five Personality Traits

Personality traits were assessed using the Revised NEO Personality Inventory, a measure of the Big Five personality traits. This 240-item
tool was developed by Costa and McCrae (22). It has been translated into Chinese and has been widely used in China; the Chinese version has good reliability and validity (23, 24). Considering the disaster conditions and survivors' emotions, we adopted an abbreviated version measuring only 15 specific personality traits (e.g., gregariousness, warmth, dutifulness, and trust), following previous studies. The abbreviated questionnaire consisted of 89 items rated on a 5-point scale from 1 (“totally disagree”) to 5 (“totally agree”). Previous studies reported a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.86 for the abbreviated version (25, 26). In the present study, Cronbach’s alpha of the subscales for various personality traits ranged from 0.71 to 0.89. Thus, the scale had adequate internal consistency.

Coping Style Questionnaire
The 62-item Coping Style Questionnaire (10), which measures the dimensions of avoiding problems, fantasizing, self-blaming, asking for help, rationalization, and problem solving, was adopted to examine the coping styles of survivors in the study. The measure has been widely used in the previous research. Questions were answered on a 5-point scale ranging from 1 (“not sure at all”) to 5 (“very sure”). A compound score for each coping style was calculated by averaging the scores of all the items for that coping style. In the study by Zhang et al. (10), Cronbach’s alpha was 0.88 and the split-half reliability coefficient was 0.87. In the present study, Cronbach’s alpha and the split-half reliability coefficient were 0.85 and 0.83, respectively.

Psychosomatic Situation Scale
The Psychosomatic Situation Scale was employed to investigate the psychosomatic status of survivors (10). The scale was initially developed for assessing Chinese children’s mental health (27) and subsequently modified by Zhang et al. (10) to assess individuals’ earthquake-related post-traumatic mental health. The modified scale contains 53 items and 3 subscales: emotion (depression, loneliness, irritability, anger, anxiety, and fear), cognitive dysfunction (distraction and inefficacy), and physiological adaptation (disinterest, insomnia, decreased appetite). As seen in Table 1, bereaved participants had significantly lower gregariousness, trust, and optimism than non-bereaved survivors (p < 0.05). The remaining personality traits did not significantly differ between bereaved and non-bereaved participants.

Personality Characteristics
As can be seen in Table 3, bereaved survivors reported significantly lower gregariousness, trust, and optimism than non-bereaved survivors (p < 0.05). The remaining personality traits did not significantly differ between bereaved and non-bereaved participants.

Relationships among Personality, Coping Styles, and Psychosomatic Status
As seen in Table 5, psychosomatic status scores were negatively correlated with gregariousness, competence, deliberation, and
optimism ($r = -0.51$ to $-0.29, P < 0.01$) and positively correlated with fantasy and tender mindedness ($r = 0.28–0.33, P < 0.01$). In addition, psychosomatic status scores were negatively correlated with solving problems ($r = -0.29, P < 0.05$) but positively correlated with the other coping styles ($r = 0.29–0.66, P < 0.01$).

Multiple regression analysis revealed the following predictors of psychosomatic status of bereaved survivors: the optimism and openness to feelings personality characteristics and self-blaming, avoiding problems, and rationalization coping styles (Table 6). Predictors for non-bereaved survivors were as follows:

**TABLE 2 | Coping style differences between bereaved and non-bereaved survivors (M ± SD).**

| Factor                | Bereaved survivors | Non-bereaved survivors | t    |
|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------|
| Avoiding problem      | 3.36 ± 0.92        | 2.92 ± 0.92            | −3.23** |
| Help from others      | 3.24 ± 0.80        | 3.19 ± 0.78            | −0.43 |
| Self-blaming          | 3.11 ± 1.13        | 2.43 ± 1.04            | −4.19**|
| Rationalization       | 3.48 ± 0.80        | 3.29 ± 0.71            | −1.68 |
| Fantasy               | 3.72 ± 0.66        | 3.49 ± 0.63            | −2.40**|
| Solving problem       | 3.66 ± 0.68        | 3.55 ± 0.71            | −1.01 |

*P < 0.01.
The tests used for assessment of the respective psychological variables.

**TABLE 4 | Personality differences between bereaved and non-bereaved survivors (M ± SD).**

| Factor            | Bereaved survivors | Non-bereaved survivors | t    |
|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------|
| Gregariousness    | 4.11 ± 0.55        | 3.85 ± 0.80            | 2.53* |
| Openness to fantasy | 2.96 ± 0.67     | 3.10 ± 0.68            | −1.36 |
| Excitement seeking | 3.38 ± 0.57      | 3.47 ± 0.63            | −1.02 |
| Trust             | 3.90 ± 0.61        | 3.69 ± 0.54            | 2.40* |
| Altruism          | 3.72 ± 0.45        | 3.69 ± 0.44            | 0.51  |
| Compliance        | 7.70 ± 0.62        | 3.53 ± 0.70            | 1.68  |
| Tender-mindedness | 3.94 ± 0.66        | 3.96 ± 0.66            | −0.19 |
| Self-discipline    | 3.72 ± 0.62        | 3.67 ± 0.67            | 0.44  |
| Competence        | 3.39 ± 0.63        | 3.37 ± 0.62            | −0.41 |
| Order             | 3.55 ± 0.45        | 3.48 ± 0.51            | 1.00  |
| Deliberation      | 3.45 ± 0.65        | 3.28 ± 0.63            | 1.74  |
| Openness to feelings | 3.34 ± 0.51    | 3.44 ± 0.50            | −1.21 |
| Warmth            | 3.47 ± 0.62        | 3.58 ± 0.61            | −1.18 |
| Dutifulness       | 3.75 ± 0.51        | 3.72 ± 0.52            | 0.33  |
| Optimism          | 3.59 ± 0.69        | 3.15 ± 0.76            | 4.04**|

*P < 0.05.
**P < 0.01.
The tests used for assessment of the respective psychological variables.

**TABLE 5 | The correlations among personality, coping styles, and psychosomatic status.**

| Correlation with psychosomatic status | r   | P    |
|--------------------------------------|-----|------|
| Personality                          |     |      |
| Gregariousness                       | −0.29| 0.000|
| Openness to fantasy                  | 0.33 | 0.000|
| Excitement seeking                   | −0.08| 0.303|
| Trust                                | −0.14| 0.070|
| Altruism                             | −0.01| 0.891|
| Compliance                           | −0.18| 0.063|
| Tender-mindedness                    | 0.28 | 0.006|
| Self-discipline                      | −0.14| 0.060|
| Competence                           | −0.32| 0.000|
| Order                                | 0.01 | 0.996|
| Deliberation                         | −0.44| 0.000|
| Openness to feelings                 | 0.11 | 0.179|
| Warmth                               | 0.08 | 0.308|
| Dutifulness                          | −0.09| 0.226|
| Optimism                             | −0.51| 0.000|
| Copy style                           |     |      |
| Avoiding problem                     | 0.66 | 0.000|
| Help from others                     | 0.29 | 0.000|
| Self-blaming                         | 0.58 | 0.000|
| Rationalization                      | 0.52 | 0.000|
| Fantasy                              | 0.33 | 0.000|
| Solving problem                      | −0.29| 0.000|

*The tests used for assessment of the respective psychological variables.*

DISCUSSION

The assessment of psychosomatic status demonstrated that bereaved survivors had higher scores for multiple negative emotions, such as depressed mood, loneliness, and becoming fearful easily, than non-bereaved survivors. These findings were also in line with the previous studies, which found that bereaved individuals had a more serious mental condition and more negative emotions than non-bereaved individuals (19, 28–31). Consistent with the findings of previous studies, the present study indicated...
that bereaved survivors may experience more serious negative emotions and poorer mental conditions than non-bereaved survivors.

In addition, the present study found that in terms of personality dimensions, bereaved individuals reported lower gregariousness, trust, and optimism than non-bereaved survivors, which was consistent with previous research (29, 32). These findings indicated that the emotion state that our measure of personality reflected among bereaved participants may be poorer than those of non-bereaved survivors (33). Based on the current exploratory analysis, the results were justifiable. We speculate that this may be due to the double impact of the earthquake and having lost relatives. After losing family members or relatives in an earthquake, bereaved survivors may experience deeper grief over the loss, exhibit more unwillingness to communicate with others, and/or may be more fearful of facing or accepting harsh realities. The interpretation above was based on research conjecture. This has to admit that generalization of the results should be cautioned. Replication study may be conducted in future. It is worth mentioning that we do not know whether the differences were temporary or permanent, or how long it would last. Future study may follow disaster survivors to see the associations of bereavement with personality traits over time. Future research also may use the more complicated statistical analysis, such as adjusting for multiple testing and moderator or mediator testing to explore the relationship among personality traits, mental health, and bereavement/non-bereavement.

This study also found that bereaved survivors had higher scores for negative coping styles, including avoiding problems, self-blame, and fantasy, than non-bereaved survivors. The present study indicated that the coping styles of bereaved survivors tended to be negative compared to those of non-bereaved survivors (34). These results were similar to other findings that negative coping styles of bereaved survivors who endured an earthquake were more closely related to negative emotions (13, 17, 35). It may be due to that their loss may have bereaved them of social support. Someone who could have provided support now was dead or the loss of a relative impacted the capacity of the survivor’s support network to provide support in a negative way. It would be particularly valuable for future studies to develop a series of interventions or psycho-educational programs to improve coping strategies in earthquake survivors, especially bereaved survivors.

The correlation analysis showed personality and coping styles were significantly related to psychosomatic status. Regression analysis revealed that optimism, self-blame, and avoiding problems were significant predictors of psychosomatic status in bereaved and non-bereaved survivors. These findings were consistent with many studies showing that positive personality characteristics were related to better psychosomatic status (36) and more negative coping styles were associated with worse psychosomatic status (16, 37). Meanwhile, the study found rationalization and openness to feelings significantly predicted psychosomatic status of bereaved survivors, while trust, openness to fantasy, and order significantly predicted the psychosomatic status of non-bereaved survivors. These findings indicated that different personality characteristics and coping styles were associated with the psychosomatic status of bereaved and non-bereaved survivors. Given that there are few empirical studies on the relationships among personality, coping, and psychosomatic state in bereaved and non-bereaved earthquake survivors (19), this study makes an important contribution to the existing literature and practice, particularly in the Chinese context.

In short, the present findings generally echoed the theoretical perspective that people experienced PTSD symptoms and negative emotions in disasters (i.e., earthquakes), and those bereaved survivors in these disasters experienced more serious PTSD symptoms and more negative emotions when compared to non-bereaved survivors (19). The findings indicate the necessity of appropriate psychological crisis interventions or psycho-educational programs, such as improving social support and coping strategies, for bereaved and non-bereaved earthquake survivors.

Several limitations exist in the current study. First, its cross-sectional design precludes inferences about causality. Second, despite steps taken to ensure the quality of data collection,

| TABLE 6 | Multiple regression analysis among personality, coping styles, and psychosomatic status. |
| Groups                | Variables | $R^2$ | $B$  | SE $\beta$ | $\beta$ | t-value |
|-----------------------|-----------|-------|------|------------|--------|---------|
| Bereaved survivors    | Constant  | 0.70  | 20.73| 6.793      | 3.05** |         |
|                       | Self-blaming | 3.01  | 0.738| 0.33       | 4.08** |         |
|                       | Avoiding problem | 1.92  | 0.967| 0.17       | 1.99*  |         |
|                       | Rationalization | 2.94  | 0.950| 0.23       | 3.09*  |         |
|                       | Optimism  | −4.15 | 1.024| −0.30      | −4.06* |         |
|                       | Openness to feelings | 2.89  | 1.404| 0.14       | 2.06*  |         |
| Non-bereaved survivors| Constant  | 0.70  | −1.21| 9.482      | −0.13  |         |
|                       | Avoiding problem | 0.28  | 0.059| 0.39       | 4.78** |         |
|                       | Self-blaming | 0.16  | 0.061| 0.22       | 2.67** |         |
|                       | Openness to fantasy | 4.52  | 0.998| 0.27       | 4.53** |         |
|                       | Optimism  | −4.54 | 1.094| −0.27      | −4.15**|         |
|                       | Order     | 4.71  | 1.512| 0.18       | 3.12** |         |
|                       | Trust     | −3.01 | 1.104| −0.16      | −2.73**|         |

*P < 0.05.  **P < 0.01.

The tests used for assessment of the respective psychological variables.
factors (e.g., previous psychiatric illness) not considered may have affected data quality. Third, because of resource limitations and the severity of the environment, random sampling was not possible, so the generalization of the results may be limited. Moreover, non-random sample selection probably caused potential selection bias. Finally, this study only considered Chinese earthquake survivors, but cultural factors may affect survivors’ responses (38). For example, Chinese survivors were more likely to suppress their emotions (38) relative to Western survivors.
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