Introduction and aims  Pelvic floor dysfunction (PFD) is a collection of signs, symptoms and conditions affecting the pelvic floor and urinary incontinence (UI) is the most common type of PFD. Recent systematic reviews have indicated a higher prevalence of UI among female athletes compared to their non-athletic counterparts. To date, no review has been undertaken to investigate female athletes’ experiences of PFD. This review aims to offer insight and understanding, through aggregation, summary, synthesis and interpretation of findings from studies that report elite female athletes’ experiences of symptoms of PFD.

Methods  The review protocol was registered in PROSPERO in August 2020. A systematic search was conducted in Embase, MEDLINE (OVID), Cochrane Library, CINAHL, PsycINFO and Web of Science for studies published in the English language reporting elite female athletes’ experiences of symptoms of PFD. This review included primary research studies that involved elite female athletes of any age or ethnicity.

Results  Of the 1922 citations retrieved in the search, 32 studies met the methodological criteria for data extraction and analysis. Five main themes emerged: (1) triggers for symptoms of PFD; (2) strategies adopted by athletes to manage/mitigate symptoms of PFD; (3) impact on QOL/daily life; (4) impact on performance; (5) impact on emotions.

Conclusions  The findings of this review suggest a need to further explore the experiences of PFD among elite female athletes and it is suggested that future research should adopt qualitative methods or incorporate a qualitative component.
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urine’ and is a common complaint in women of all ages. The most common types of UI include stress urinary incontinence (SUI) and urgency urinary incontinence (UUI). The International Urogynaecological Association (IUGA) and the International Continence Society (ICS) define SUI as the ‘complaint of involuntary loss of urine on effort or physical exertion (e.g., sporting activities), or on sneezing or coughing’ and UUI as ‘complaint of involuntary loss of urine associated with urgency’ [1]. Other symptoms of PFD include anorectal dysfunction (ARD), sexual dysfunction (SD), pelvic organ prolapse (POP) and pelvic pain [1, 2]. Many women find it embarrassing to discuss symptoms of PFD including continence problems with others and incontinence has been shown to negatively affect quality of life [3–5].

Mendes et al. [6] conducted a systematic review of qualitative evidence regarding adult women’s experiences of UI. Findings from the 28 included studies were grouped into eight themes in the areas of: cultural and religious backgrounds; effect on daily activities/social roles; knowledge and nature of symptoms; experiences of UI and sense of shame; negative effects on intimacy, sexuality and sexual function; UI seen as consequence of pregnancy/childbirth, aging or religious punishment; strategies adopted by women affected by UI; meeting of care needs and women’s personal preferences. The authors concluded that the preferences and expectations of women with UI should be considered and that the provision of healthcare should be personal and tailored. A need for additional research to improve the understanding of the impact of UI on the quality of life (QOL) of younger women was identified [6].

UI during exercise is not uncommon and a higher prevalence has been observed among athletes engaged in high-impact sports including running and jumping [7]. Rodríguez-López et al. [8] investigated the prevalence of UI in both female and male elite athletes and found an overall prevalence of 33% (45.1% in females, 14.7% in males) and that, whilst the prevalence of UI was 5.45 times greater in females, elite male athletes were also found to experience UI.

There have been a number of recent systematic reviews concerning PFD in female athletes [9–14]. However, the main aim of these reviews has been the investigation of the prevalence of UI in female athletes. Almousa and Bandin Van Loon [9] included a secondary aim of exploring the knowledge and attitudes of female athletes regarding UI [9] and de Mattos Lourenco et al. [10] discussed strategies adopted by the athletes to manage their UI. The reviews differ somewhat in their inclusion criteria regarding age and parity, but they all concluded consistently that there was a higher prevalence of UI among female athletes compared to non-athletes. Engaging in high-impact sports [9, 10, 12, 14] with longer hours of training [9] appears to be commonly cited as risk factor for UI, but to our knowledge, no review has been undertaken to investigate female athletes’ experiences of PFD. Studies have found that experiencing UI during elite sports may be a predictor of UI in later life [15] and also that elite athletes have been identified as an understudied population in the research into PFD and, in particular, ARD and POP and physical activity [16].

Whilst a scoping review of the research literature revealed limited qualitative research into the area of PFD among female athletes, such research may, potentially, yield further information regarding the impact of the symptoms of PFD on the female athletes’ sporting activities and their daily lives.

Therefore, this review aimed to offer insight and understanding, through aggregation, summary, synthesis and interpretation of findings from studies that report the experiences of symptoms of PFD in elite female athletes.

Materials and methods

Study design and protocol registration

This systematic review complied with the ‘Adapted PRISMA guidelines for reporting systematic reviews of qualitative and quantitative evidence’ [17, 18]. The review protocol was registered in PROSPERO in August 2020 and is available at https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?ID=CRD42020197330 [19].

Search strategy and selection criteria

With the assistance of a medical librarian (DM), the electronic databases of Embase, MEDLINE (OVID), Cochrane Library, CINAHL, PsycINFO and Web of Science were searched, initially in May 2020 and subsequently in an updated search in January 2022 for studies that reported female athletes’ experiences of incontinence/symptoms of pelvic floor dysfunction. The search terms include the following: Wom?n, Femal*, urinar* continen*, or incontinen*, Pelvic Organ Prolapse, Urinary leakage, leaking urine, vaginal wind, anal incontinen*, f?ecal incontinen*, Bladder leakage, bowel leakage, Flat* incontinen*, Physical* ADJ (strenuous OR vigorous OR moderate), Activit* ADJ (strenuous OR Vigorous OR moderate OR Leisure), sport*, Participat*.,ti, ab., Modifi*.ti, ab., Stop*.ti, ab., Adapt*.ti, ab., Change*.ti, ab., Limit*.ti, ab., Abandon .ti, ab., Ceas*.ti, ab., Barrier.ti, ab., Impact. ti, ab., Affect.ti, ab.
(1) studies that involved female athletes of any age or ethnicity and studies that included both female and male athletes together when data on female athletes could be extracted; (2) studies involving female athletes who were considered to be at an ‘elite’ level. For the purposes of this review ‘elite’ could refer to athletic performance at regional/county/state level; sport/country-specific measures and university/collegiate, international and/or national level; training; professionalism; involved in talent development and (3) studies that reported the experiences of symptoms of PFD and how this affects activities of daily living or sporting activity or quality of life (QOL). This information could be gleaned from questions included in a quantitative survey or qualitative interview-based research. Exclusion criteria were: (1) studies involving only recreational or leisure-time exercisers; (2) editorial opinion articles, letters and commentaries and (3) studies that did not report on the experiences of symptoms of PFD.

**Study selection and assessment of methodological quality**

Two reviewers (ECQ and DD, ECQ and NF) independently screened studies using the inclusion/exclusion criteria based on titles, then abstracts and then full texts. Covidence software (Covidence systematic review software, Veritas Health Innovation, Melbourne, Australia. Available at www.covidence.org) was used for the process of screening and identified agreement between reviewers. Disagreement between two reviewers was resolved by consultation with a third reviewer.

Thomas et al. [20] devised a 12-point quality assessment criteria checklist that facilitated assessment of quantitative, qualitative and mixed methods studies. Subsequently, Panda et al. [21] developed a modified version of this checklist that was used to assess the methodological quality of this review included studies. Each criterion was scored ‘1’ if met and ‘0’ if not met, and three categories of methodological quality were identified: ‘weak’ (scores 0–6), ‘moderate’ (scores 7–9) and ‘strong’ (scores 10–12).

**Data extraction and data analysis**

A double independent data extraction was conducted on the studies selected for inclusion (ECQ and DD, ECQ and NF).

Information was extracted and entered into a pre-designed extraction form in relation to the following study characteristics: authors; journal, year of study/publication, number of participants, participants’ characteristics, description of sport or athletic activity, methods of data collection, data collection instruments/tools, description of symptoms, methods of analysis and reporting of experiences concerning symptoms of PFD.

Information regarding athletes’ experiences of PFD was extracted from closed questions included in validated QOL instruments from closed questions and open-ended qualitative comments in questionnaires or from analysis of comments from focus group interviews.

There was insufficient qualitative information to carry out a meta-synthesis of the findings regarding the reporting of experiences. Thematic analysis occurred by organising the findings into themes that were tabulated and then further analysed [22]. Descriptive themes were developed. An iterative process was repeated until the themes were considered to be representative and answered the research question.

**Results**

**Selection and quality of the studies**

A total of 1922 studies remained after deduplication, 123 were screened at full-text and 32 studies met the inclusion criteria (Fig. 1). Of these, three studies were of weak quality [23–25], 28 studies were of moderate quality [8, 26–52] and one was of high quality [53].

**Descriptive analyses of the studies excluding information relating to the reporting of experiences**

A summary of the study characteristics excluding the reporting of experiences is presented in Appendix 1. Studies were conducted in 14 countries and published between 1994 and 2021. Over half of the studies reporting on the experiences of PFD in the review were published within the last 5 years (56%, 18/32 studies) [8, 27–29, 32, 36, 38, 39, 42, 45–53]. Fifteen studies involved nulliparous participants [23, 24, 26, 27, 29, 31, 35, 37–39, 41, 42, 44, 45, 53] and 15 studies included both nulliparous and parous participants [8, 25, 28, 30, 32–34, 36, 40, 43, 46, 48, 50–52]. Two studies did not report information on parity of participants [47, 49]. Thirty-one of the 32 studies used a quantitative design and only one study by Jácome et al. used a mixed methods design (questionnaire and focus group) [40]. None of the studies in this review used a qualitative design only and there was considerable heterogeneity in study designs. Seven studies utilised purposely designed questionnaires [30, 33–35, 37, 43, 44] whilst the remaining 25 used or incorporated validated instruments. The most commonly used survey instrument was the International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire-Short Form (ICIQ-UI-SF), which was used in 13/32 (31%) of the studies [8, 26–29, 31, 32, 38, 39, 42, 46–48].

UI was the most commonly reported symptom of PFD and reported in all 32 of the studies. Twenty-two studies
specifically described the prevalence of UI according to type, with SUI reported as the most prevalent in 21/22 studies [8, 23, 24, 26, 28–30, 32–36, 38, 40–43, 45–48]. Mixed urinary incontinence (MUI) was reported as the most prevalent form of UI in one study by Cardoso et al. [27]. Anorectal dysfunction (ARD) was reported in nine studies with the prevalence of constipation/straining to defecate reported in all of these studies [8, 26, 28, 29, 31, 32, 34, 46, 47] and anal incontinence (AI) reported in four studies [26, 29, 46, 47]. The prevalence of sexual dysfunction (SD) was reported in two studies [26, 29], the prevalence of POP was reported in four studies [26, 29, 41, 46] and the prevalence of pelvic pain was reported in two studies [24, 45].

**Experiences of pelvic floor dysfunction**

Information regarding female athletes’ experiences of PFD was extracted from closed questions included in validated QOL instruments, from closed questions and open-ended qualitative comments in questionnaires and/or from analysis of comments from a focus group. The findings regarding the experiences of the athletes were grouped into five main themes (Table 1) and are summarised below. As previously mentioned, there was insufficient qualitative information to perform meta-synthesis of the findings.

**Theme 1: Triggers for symptoms of PFD**

The first theme Triggers for symptoms of PFD was reported in 30/32 (94%) of the studies. ‘Competition, training and physical activity’ was the most common trigger reported in 26/30 studies [8, 25–32, 34, 35, 37–40, 43–52]. In 7/26 studies [28, 30, 35, 49–52], the PFD occurred at the end/latter part of the competition or training session. ‘Specific movements during activity (sporting and daily life)’ was the next most common trigger reported in 16/30 studies [8, 23, 25–27, 29, 31, 32, 34, 46, 47].
| Study | Triggers for symptoms of PFD | Strategies adopted by athletes to manage/mitigate symptoms of PFD | Impact on QOL/daily life | Impact on performance | Impact on emotions |
|-------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Almeida et al. 2016 [26] | **Type sport in competition or training- UI** highest prevalence among the athletes who practiced artistic gymnastics and trampoline (88.9%) SUI- artistic gymnasts and trampoline = 87% | 48% (32/67) of athletes used strategies to avoid UI “Emptying the bladder before training” was the most reported strategy-3.14% (10/32) | NR | NR | NR |
| Cardoso et al. 2018 [27] | Training-UI in training in 61% (50/82) Competition-UI in competition 45% (37/82) | Strategies reported included: Hydric restriction-15% (12/82) Use of pad-12% (10/82) Sought medical assistance-4% (3/82) Sought physiotherapeutic care-0% Mentioned UI to trainer-0% | Slight impact-as measured by ICIQ-UI-SF, mean of 1.98 points for impact on QOL, reflecting a slight impact | Did not affect sports performance Most athletes considered UI did not affect their sports performance (no. % or n/n given) | NR |
| Carls 2007, USA [23] | Type of movement/activity in sport- sports 14%, exercises-11.6%, jumping-6.9% and weightlifting-2.3% Coughing-11.6%; sneezing-6.9% Walking to bathroom with a strong urge-11.6% Hearing running water with urge-4.7% (n/n unspecified) | Avoided activities-8% reported avoiding hobbies, social activities, sports and exercises because of their SUI Majority of athletes with UI did not speak to anyone about SUI-92% | Negative effect-16% with UI reported a negative effect on their social life, sports or exercise | NR | NR |
| Carvalhais et al. 2017 [28] | Training-UI in training in 74.5% (82/10) Middle/end of training sessions- Of those reporting UI in training 84.1% (69/82) reported it happened at middle/end of training | 14.6% (12/82) athletes with UI used strategies to ‘reduce visible leakage’ Use of pad-75% (9/12) of those that used strategies reported wearing pads | NR | Affected sports performance-39.1% (32/82) considered that UI affected sports practice | NR |
| Carvalho et al. 2020 [29] | Training sessions-Of the cheerleaders who reported UI then 47.6% (10/21) reported UI during training | Majority of athletes did not speak to anyone about UI-84% (37/44) Spoke to trainer-0% Spoke to family doctor-2.2% Spoke to sports doctor-2.2% Spoke to family member-11.3% (5/44) | NR | NR | NR |
| Caylet et al. 2006 [30] | Training-34.1% (15/44) reported UI in training Second part of training sessions-86.67% (13/15) reported that it happened only in the second part of training Competition-38.6% (17/44) reported UI Second part of competitions-58.8% (10/17) UI only in the second part of competition | Majority of athletes did not speak to anyone about UI-84% (37/44) Spoke to trainer-0% Spoke to family doctor-2.2% Spoke to sports doctor-2.2% Spoke to family member-11.3% (5/44) | NR | NR | NR |
### Table 1. (continued)

| Study                        | Triggers for symptoms of PFD                                                                 | Strategies adopted by athletes to manage/mitigate symptoms of PFD | Impact on QOL/daily life | Impact on performance | Impact on emotions |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Da Rota et al. 2015 [31]     | Training—UI in training: 72.7% (16/22), all incontinent women stated that UL started only after they began trampoline training<br>Higher ranking in sport - a positive association observed between higher ranking in the national championship and ICIQ score ($r = 0.573$, $p = 0.05$) | NR                                                               | Self-reported overall impact of UI on QOL was reported as “Not interfere” or “Interfering mildly” by 68.8% (11/16) of the incontinent athletes<br>Affected daily life - Only 1 athlete reported that loss of urine had a great effect in her daily life | NR                    | Embarrassment - athletes with higher training volumes felt more embarrassment and discomfort with urine leakage (no % or n/n given) |
| Dobrowolski et al. 2020 [32] | Competition and Training (% and n = unclear)<br>Type of movement/activity in sport - SU1 occurred most often among these athletes when performing “double unders” 67% (36/54) and “triple unders” 86% (48/56) | NR                                                               | Slight impact of UI on QOL - median ICIQ-UI-SF score in incontinent athletes was 4 (IQR 3–6), indicating a slight impact of UI on their overall QOL | NR                    | Affected sports performance - some athletes stopped participating in “double unders” and “triple unders” events because of UI<br>Attrition from participation in ‘double unders’ and ‘triple unders’ due to SU1 was 6% in competition; 16% in practice |
| Dockter et al. 2007 [33]     | Coughing, sneezing and/or laughing - 16.5% ($n = 18/109$) reported ‘sometimes’ or ‘frequently’<br>During physical exertion (lifting, running, jumping, abrupt movements) 16.5% ($n = 18/109$) reported ‘sometimes’, ‘often’ or ‘always’<br>UI urge to void (problem on way to toilet) - 10.1% (11/109) | NR                                                               | Prevention strategies used by retired RS with UI during RS (survey 2) - Voiding before events - 72% (46/64)<br(Voiding between events - 71% (45/63)<br>Using containment, e.g. pads or tampons - 38% (24/63)<br>Limiting fluid intake - 20% (12/61)<br>Sought treatment for UI - 0%<br>Only one female athlete identified that SU1 was one of eight reasons for retiring from RS | NR                    | NR |
| Eliasson et al. 2002 [35]    | End of the exercise session - Leakage during jumping (answered by 21 participants mainly: “at end of exercise session” 47.6% ($n = 10/21$),<br>Now, strenuous and difficult exercises - reported as triggers 38.1% ($n = 8/21$)<br>Type of activity in sport - UI reported occurring in ‘double somersaults’ 23.8% ($n = 5/21$)<br>Women in leakage group had been training longer ($p < 0.04$) and more frequently ($p < 0.03$) | NR                                                               | Strategies used by those with UI - Protective pads - 82.1% (23/28 with 12/23 of these always)<br>Frequent toilet visits - (n/n unspecified)<br>Limiting fluid intake - (n/n unspecified) | NR                    | Embarrassment - 51.4% (18/55) athletes reported that they were embarrassed and considered the leakage a social or hygienic problem (61.1%, 11/18-very embarrassed) |
| Study | Type of activity in sport- | Strategies adopted by athletes to manage/mitigate symptoms of PFD | Impact on QOL/daily life | Impact on performance | Impact on emotions |
|-------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Eliasson et al. 2008 [34] | Type of activity in sport-28% (39/138) of athletes connected leakage with specific exercise- high jumps or somersaults especially double ones | Protective pads-78% (45/58) competitive athletes (CG) used sanitary pads, and this was significantly more than recreational group (RG) 43% (34/80) \(p < 0.001\) | Affected daily life-when experiencing UI during trampolining-36% (20/58) competitive athletes were affected in their daily life 61% (31/85) were affected psychologically | Affected sports performance-12% (n = 16/133) of athletes (Competition Group & Recreation Group) had stopped trampolining due to the leakage | NR |
| Faulks & Catto 2021, [36] | Type of activity in sport -Being tackled-67% (26/39) Sprinting-61% (24/39) Jumping54%- (21/39) Making a tackle-49% (19/39) Changing direction while running-39% (15/39) Jogging-33% (13/39) Grapping or wrestling-31% (12/39) Scrummaging-28% (11/39) Lifting-23% (9/39) | Protective pads- wearing pads EG, 68% & CG, 68%, (11/16) Voiding before sports-going to the bathroom prior to sport (EG, 87.5%,14/16; CG, 100% 16/16) Reducing liquids-reducing fluids prior to sport (EG, 56.3%, 9/16; CG, 50.0%, 8/16) | Affected sports performance-28% (11/39) reported effect of SUI on performance during game or training setting 5% (2/39) reported SUI as barrier to playing rugby union in the future | NR |
| Ferreira et al. 2014 [37] | Training- experimental group/ EG-87.5% (14/16), Control Group/CG-81.3% (13/16) Competition- EG-12.5% (2/16), CG-18.8% (3/16) | NR | NR | NR |
| Gram & Bo-2020 [38] | 34 athletes reported UI and 21 athletes SUI | Of the 21 with SUI then: Physical Activity (PA)- UI on PA-57.1% (12/21) Coughing & sneezing- UI in 9.5% (2/21) | NR | Mild interference on QOL-Mean score ICIQ-U1-SF UI interfering with daily life was 1.2 (SD 1.1) | NR |
| Hagowska et al. 2018 [39] | Physical activity (PA)- slight UI on PA- in 6.14% sportswomen (n/n unspecified) | NR | Significant negative effect on QOL- Significant negative correlation was observed between SUI and the overall I-QoL questionnaire score \(r = 0.522, p < 0.001\) | Affected sports performance-Significantly worse parameters were recorded in the group of sportswomen \(r = 0.648, p < 0.001\) in the I-QoL in sub-scales ‘avoidance & limiting behaviour score’ | Embarrassment-Significantly worse parameters recorded in the sportswomen in the I-QoL in sub-scales psychosocial impact score & social embarrassment score \(p < 0.001\) |
Table 1. (continued)

| Study                      | Triggers for symptoms of PFD | Strategies adopted by athletes to manage/mitigate symptoms of PFD | Impact on QOL/daily life | Impact on performance | Impact on emotions |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Jácome et al. 2011 [40]    | 1. Questionnaire 41.5% (44/106) Urgent need to go to the bathroom- 43.1%, (19/44) Coughing- 38.6%, (17/44) Practicing sport- 36.4%, (16/44) Sneezing- 31.8%, (14/44) Laughing- 31.8%, (14/44) 2. Focus group Activities requiring physical effort Jumping | 1. Questionnaire Discussed their leakage- 38.6% 20.4% (9/44) discussed it with a friend. 11.4% (5/44) with a relative, 4.5% (2/44) with a health professional 2.3% with the team coach (1/44) 2. Focus group strategies used to limit UI Restriction of liquids, preventative urination Performance of physical activities in restricted way, sought help-no athlete in the focus group had sought help from a health professional for UI | None of the women felt that urine loss constituted a problem | None of the women felt that urine loss constituted a problem | 2. Focus group When urine loss occurred, subjects reported being: Concerned Annoyed Frustrated Fearful ‘that a new activity might trigger another leakage’ (n/n unspecified) |
| Larsen & Yavrek 2006 [41] | N/A | Use of pad- only one woman reported using pads due to UI 3.6% (1/28) | None of the women felt that urine loss constituted a problem | None of the women felt that urine loss constituted a problem | N/A |
| Ludviksdottir et al. 2018 [42] | Coughing & sneezing (n/n unspecified) | NR | 11/18 athletes with UI Disturbed daily life-scale 0-10 36.4% (4/11) no disturbance 63.6% (7/11) score ≤ 5. | NR | N/A |
| Nygaard et al. 1994 [44] | Practice-UI 16% (23/144) Competition- 16% (23/144) Type of movement/activity in sport- Jumping/legs apart (30%), Jumping with legs together (28%), Running (30%), Impact on floor during dismount/after flips 14%. Daily Activities (excluded leaking ‘rarely’) Coughing- 15%, sneezing- 6%, heavylifting- 3%, walking to the bathroom- 29%, sleeping- 6%, on hearing running water- 11% | Use of pad- Only one woman stated that she wore a pad because of the urine loss Discussed their leakage- (n/n unspecified) Almost half of the athletes discussed the incontinence with a teammate, < 5% had discussed the UI with a trainer, coach, physician, nurse or family member | NR | NR | Embarrassment- 38% of the athletes felt embarrassed Anxiety 22% describing anxiety, fear-6% expressed fear about the condition (n/n unspecified) |
| Nygaard 1997 [43] | During Olympic sport High impact- 35.8% (19/53) Low impact- 4.5% (12/44) | Strategies during Olympic activity not recorded Current strategies-Use of pad (currently)-3 of the high-impact athletes and 1 of the low-impact athletes wearing a pad daily (n/n unspecified) Discussed their leakage- 4 athletes sought medical treatment for UI (n/n unspecified) | NR | Affected sports performance (currently)- athletes stopped an activity because of UI (n/n unspecified) | NR |
### Table 1. (continued)

| Study | Triggers for symptoms of PFD | Strategies adopted by athletes to manage/mitigate symptoms of PFD | Impact on QOL/daily life | Impact on performance | Impact on emotions |
|-------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Pires et al. 2020 [53] | **Coughing sneezing and running (as per KHQ Part II Q5)**- 69.2% (9/16) of all athletes (both CG and EG) | **High QOL in participants**<br>Pre-intervention the mean global score in the KHQ was low in both groups (CG: 8.80 ± 4.62; EG: 6.35 ± 5.19 in EG) indicating high QoL | NR | Affecting physical activities (PAs) (pre-intervention) - 69.2%, (9/13), responded ‘a little’, 7.7% (1/13) ‘moderately’ re bladder problem affecting PAs | NR |
| Poswiata et al. 2014 [24] | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| Rodríguez-López, 2021 [8] | **Type of movement/activity in sport**<br>Trigger for leakage while training: Lifting weights- 9% (14/156)<br>Running 19.2% (30/156) After running 4.5% (7/156)<br>Jumping 43.6% (68/156) After jumping 2.6% (4/156)<br>Trunk rotation 1.3% (2/156) Forward flexion 0.6% (1/156)<br>Days spent training: In female athletes, weak correlation between UI and days of training/week (r = 0.104; p = 0.028) | NR | **Severity of condition:**<br>ISI scores reported indicated that among female athletes with UI (n = 168):<br>3% (5/168) described condition as severe<br>28.6% (48/168) as moderate<br>68.5% (115/168) as slight | NR | NR |
| Sandwith & Robert 2021 [45] | **Competition and training**<br>Rugby game competition- 90% (46/51)<br>**Type of movement/activity in sport**<br>Tackled/hit- 88% (45/51)<br>Running- 41% (20/51)<br>Weight training- 18% (9/51)<br>**Time spent training**<br>Athletes who leaked urine reported more hours of training/week (p = 0.008). For every additional hour of training, the risk of UI increased by 15.3% (2.9%–29.3%, 95% CI) | **Use of pad:**<br>None of the athletes disclosed use of any incontinence products or pads during exercise<br>**Discussed their leakage/Sought treatment:**<br>Only one athlete had discussed her UI with a health professional<br>None of players had received any treatment for UI<br>Several players 18% (9/51) were interested in receiving treatment for their urinary incontinence | Degree of bother associated with UI<br>% Of players who reported that UI was ‘not a problem only a small problem’:<br>Rugby game competition- 100% (46/46)<br>Tackled/hit- 98% (44/45)<br>Running- 41% (20/50)<br>Weight training- 100% (9/9) | NR | NR |
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| Study | Triggers for symptoms of PFD | Strategies adopted by athletes to manage/mitigate symptoms of PFD | Impact on QOL/daily life | Impact on performance | Impact on emotions |
|-------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Skaug et al. 2020 [46] | **Triggers for U**  
Type of movement/activity in sport (n = 82)  
Heavy lifting (1-5RM)- 78% (64/82)  
Deadlift- 6% (52/82)  
Squat- 56% (46/82)  
Weightlifting with belt- 34% (28/82)  
Clean lift- 13% (11/82)  
Weightlifting (>6 reps)- 12% (10/82)  
Power/explosive training- 12% (10/82)  
Bench Press- 2% (2/82), Snatch lift- 1% (1/82)  
**Training & Competition** - Most women with SUI reported UI during training- 91.5% (75/82) and more than half during competition 56.1% (46/82)  
**Body mass index** was the only factor found to have a significant positive association with SUI  
**Triggers for A**  
Training and competition-  
Gas AI- 89.1% (123/138) experienced leakage during training or competition  
Liquid AI- 23.8% (14/59)  
Solid AI- 15.4% (2/13)  
**Level of competition** international level of competition was positively associated with AI  
Time spent training-  
AI-weightlifting training of <4 days per week had a significant negative association  
Use of pads- 54.9% (45/82) reported the use of pads to protect against visible leakage and 7.3% (6/82) used intravaginal tampon  
Voiding before sports activity- 86.6% (71/82) voiding before training or competition  
Restriction of fluids- 13.4% (11/82) decreased fluid intake  
Performance of PA in restricted way- 19.5% (16/82) reported they would occasionally avoid training or specific exercises because of UI  
Discussed their leakage/sought treatment- 25.6% (21/82) had never spoken about the condition with anyone  
Pelvic floor muscle training (PFMT)- 42.8% (77/180) women did not know why and 44.4% how (80/180) to train the PFMs  
78.3% (141/180) women responded they would do PFMT training to prevent or treat PFD if they knew how | **Degree of bother associated with UI**  
ICIQ-UI-SF - Mean impact of UI on daily activities was 1.8 (SD: 2.0, range: 0-9), with 11 (12.2%) scoring ≥ 5  
**Degree of bother associated with AI**  
Of women reporting AI, the mean bother of accidental loss of gas, liquid and solid stool was 2.3 (SD: 2.5, range: 0-9), 2.0 (SD: 2.5, range: 0-9) and 2.2 (SD: 2.8, range: 0-9), respectively  
The percentage of women scoring ≥ 5 on bother was  
Gas AI-15.9% (22/138)  
Liquid stool- 15.3% (9/59)  
Solid stool- 15.4% (2/13)  
**Affected sports performance** – 87.8% (72/82)) of those with SUI reported a negative effect of UI on sports performance  
Loss of concentration- 51% (42/82)  
Fear of visible leaking- 59% (48/82)  
Fear of Urine odour- 34% (28/82)  
Embarrassment- 33% (27/82)  
Negative effect on performance- 27% (22/82)  
Feeling frustrated, annoyed or worried- 24% (20/82)  
Fear of leakage happening- 23% (18/82)  
Making more mistakes -13% (11/82) |  |  |
| Study | Triggers for symptoms of PFD | Strategies adopted by athletes to manage/mitigate symptoms of PFD | Impact on QOL/daily life | Impact on performance | Impact on emotions |
|-------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Skaug et al. 2022 [47] | **Triggers for UI**<br>Type of sport - Proportion of SUI was significantly lower in cheerleaders compared to artistic gymnasts (and team gymnasts (p < 0.001))<br>Type of movement/activity in sport (n = 210)<br>Running: 4% (8/201)<br>Jumping: 50% (101/201)<br>Take-off to a gymnastic or acrobatic element: 67% (135/201)<br>Land from a gymnastic or acrobatic element: 60% (121/201)<br>In air during a gymnastic or acrobatic element: 13% (26/201)<br>Trampoline or trampolette: 51% (103/201)<br>Training & Competition -<br>Most athletes with SUI reported leakage during training – 98% (198/201)<br>44.8% during competition (90/201)<br>**Triggers for AI**<br>Years with specialization in gymnastics/cheerleading was the only variable found to be positively associated with AI<br>Training and competition -<br>Gas: 87.6% (227/259) experienced leakage during training and/or competition; 38.2% (99/259) rarely, 35.1% (91/259) occasionally, 12% (31/259) often and 2.3% (6/259) all the time<br>Liquid AI: 22.3% (29/130) experienced leakage during training and/or competition; 18.5% (24/130) rarely and 3.8% (5/130) occasionally<br>Solid AI: 17.9% (7/39) reported leakage during training/competition, all experienced it rarely | <br>Use of pads- 28.4% (57/201) reported use of pads to protect against visible leakage and 4.5% (9/201) used intravaginal tampon<br>Voiding before sports activity- 66.7% (134/201) prevoiding training/competition<br>Restriction of fluids- 8.5% (17/201) decreased fluid intake<br>Performance of PA in restricted way-<br>22.4% (45/201) reported they would occasionally avoid training or specific exercises because of UI<br>Discussed their leakage/sought treatment-<br>26.4% (53/201) had never spoken about UI<br>13 (6.5%) had spoken with their coach and 12 (6.0%) with health care personnel, 11% (57.2%) had spoken about UI with their teammates, 76 (37.8%) with friends and 40 (19.9%) with a parent<br>Pelvic floor muscle training (PFMT)-<br>0.9% (3/319) reported they did or had tried PFMT<br>41.4% (132/319) of the athletes had never heard about the PFM<br>73.7% (230/319) women responded that they would do PFMT to prevent or treat PFD if they knew how<br>12.2% (39/319) of the athletes reported that they had heard about the PFM from their coach, 13% (32/319) from teammates, 19.1% (61/319) from health personnel and 16.9% (54/319) from other sources (friends, siblings or parents)<br>The mean self-rated knowledge of the PFM was 1.5 (SD: 1.7) of 10. Thirty-two (10.0%) knew how and 58 (18.2%) why to train the PFM | <br>ICIQ-UI-SF score mean impact of UI on daily activities was 2.5 (SD: 2.4, range: 0–10), with 46 (21.4%) scoring ≥ 5<br>Ai<br>Of females reporting AI, mean bother of accidental loss of gas, liquid and solid stool was 3.0 (SD: 2.6, range: 0–10), 2.3 (SD: 2.3, 0–10) and 2.4 (SD: 2.4), respectively:<br>Gas AI – 26.6% (69/259)<br>Liquid stool – 15.4% (20/130)<br>Solid stool – 15.4% (6/39)<br>Affected sports performance – 82.6% (166/201) of those with SUI reported a negative effect of UI on sports performance<br>Impact of SUI<br>Fear of visible leaking - 66% (133/201)<br>Embarrassment - 65% (131/201)<br>Fear of Urine odour - 51% (103/201)<br>Fear of leakage happening - 99% (78/201)<br>Loss of concentration - 31% (62/201)<br>Fear of leakage happening - 99% (78/201)<br>Feeling frustrated, annoyed or worried - 29% (58/201)<br>Negative effect on performance - 18% (9/201)<br>Making more mistakes - 11% (22/201)<br>Impact of SUI<br>Fear of bowel leakage happening - 49% (137) reported that they sometimes or more often were worried about bowel leakage
| Study | Triggers for symptoms of PFD | Strategies adopted by athletes to manage/mitigate symptoms of PFD | Impact on QOL/daily life | Impact on performance | Impact on emotions |
|-------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| Thyssen et al. 2002 [25] | Training and competition - UI in training- 95.2% (119/125) UI during competition- 51.2% (64/125) | Use of pad- 60.2% (91/151) occasionally wore a pad/shield <br> Restriction of fluids- 6.6% (10/151) reduced liquid intake to reduce UI <br> Discussed their leakage/sought treatment- Only 3.3% (5/151) discussed UI with their doctor <br> Pelvic floor muscle training (PFMT)- 4.6% (6/151) had completed a pelvic floor training program because of UI | 33.8% (51/151) considered the UL as a problem<br> 21.1% (32/151) as a hygienic problem | NR | NR |
| Velázquez-Saornil et al. 2021 [48] | Training and competition - Leakage caused by exercise or physical exertion accounts for 64.3% <br> Type of sport/athletes- (No significant relationship UI/sporting discipline) <br> Greatest number of athletes with UI practice long-distance running, represented by 32.1% of all women experiencing UI <br> Jumping events lowest percentage 10.7% UI | Use of pad- 58.6% (17/28) of women use protection <br> 39.3% (11/28) wet their underwear | 0% of athletes considered that UI affected their daily life | 46.4% (13/28) were affected in their sporting environment | Anxiety/depression- 14.3% (4/28) |
| Wikander et al. 2019 [49] | UI- Training and competition lifts (n/n unspecified) <br> Voluntary comments by 27 women <br> Type of activity in sport-Deadlifts- 40.7% (n = 11), squats- 18.51% (5/27) <br> Front squats- 7.4% (2/27) <br> Wearing a belt lifting- 18.51% (5/27) <br> End of sets-14.8% (4/27) UI moderate to heavy weights <br> Heavier weights-51.9% (14/27) UI with very heavy/maximal weights <br> Activity outside sport-Jumping- 14.8% (4/27) <br> Sneezing- 7.4% (2/27) | Strategies to control or minimise UI <br> Pelvic floor exercise- 7.4% (2/27) <br> Not wearing a belt- 7.4% (2/27) <br> Prophylactic voiding- 11.1% (3/27) <br> Improving their diet 3.7% (1/27) | NR | NR | NR |
| Study                        | Triggers for symptoms of PFD                                                                 | Strategies adopted by athletes to manage/mitigate symptoms of PFD                                                                 | Impact on QOL/daily life | Impact on performance | Impact on emotions |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Wikander et al. 2020, [50]  | Training and competition - UI during competition 52.1%, (14/452) Training 37.4% (169/452)     | Pelvic floor muscle training (PFMT): 73.6% (n = 153/208) of participants who had experienced UI at some point had never undergone a pelvic floor assessment. | NR                      | NR                    | NR                  |
|                             | 38.3% (n = 173/452) experienced UI during training and/or competition as well as daily life  |                                                                                                                                |                         |                       |                     |
|                             | 17.7% (80/452) experienced UI during training and/or competition but NOT in daily life       |                                                                                                                                |                         |                       |                     |
|                             | Type of movement/activity in sport - High impact high repetition activities involving jumping and running activities most likely to cause UI - Jumping rope 39.16% (177/452), Double-unders 36.95% (167/452), Trampoline 25.00% (113/452), Running/Jogging 20.57% (93/452) | Activities least likely to provoke UI were low impact, body weight activities such as lunges UI during high repetition sets - 28.7% (N = 60/208) |                         |                       |                     |
|                             | End of session - 50% (30/60) indicated that UI was most likely to occur at the end of high repetition sets |                                                                                                                                |                         |                       |                     |
|                             | Intensity - 28.2% (N = 59/208) of incontinent women reporting UI during heavy sets            |                                                                                                                                |                         |                       |                     |
Table 1. (continued)

| Study                                      | Triggers for symptoms of PFD                                                                 | Strategies adopted by athletes to manage/mitigate symptoms of PFD                                                                 | Impact on QOL/daily life | Impact on performance | Impact on emotions |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Wikander et al. 2021A [51]                 | Training and competition - 23.1% (111/480) had experienced ‘athletic incontinence’           | Strategies to control or minimise UI were listed under the following headings (no, N = or % given):                             | NR                        | NR                    | NR                  |
|                                            | 17.9% of women (86/480) had been continent before commencing powerlifting now UI in training or competition but not during everyday activities (Type 1 athletic incontinence) | Bracing related: Modifying technique, ± belt use, ± PFMs                                                                                                                                 |
|                                            | 5.2% (25/480) had UI before commencing powerlifting but are now continent during everyday activities while continuing to experience UI during training or competition (Type 2 athletic incontinence) | Preparation/setup related: Blowing/exhaling prior to lift, pelvic floor lift, dynamic warmup/post-workout stretching routine |
|                                            | Type of movement/activity in sport-                                                         | Pelvic floor related: PFMT, pre-contraction of PF                                                                               |
|                                            | 30.6% (147/480) experienced UI in competition during maximum lift attempts                 | Technique/form/breathing related: exhaling during lift, ribcage positioning, bracing PFMs                                      |
|                                            | 40.4% (194/480) experienced UI in training during maximum lift attempts                    | Other training related: Avoid wearing belt, frequent voiding, fluid restriction, take spare underwear to gym and competitions |
|                                            | 12.5% (60/480) during sumo deadlifts                                                        | General: wear protection, physiotherapy treatment/PFMT/stretching/relaxation and massage, TENS, core exercises, avoid straining/certain activities/laughing, reducing caffeine |
|                                            | 35.2% (169/480) during high repetition sets                                                  | Sought treatment- 20.9% (49/234) with UI had undergone a pelvic floor assessment                                             |
|                                            | End of session- 64.5% (109/169) stated UI worse at end of sets                              | Pelvic floor muscle training (PFMT)- 71.71% (344/480) of participants stated that they were either confident/very confident re PFMs | NR                        | NR                    | NR                  |
|                                            | Intensity- 79.3% (N = 134/169) indicated that UI was an issue if the sets were heavy         |                                                                                                                                 |
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Table 1. (continued)

| Study                        | Triggers for symptoms of PFD                                                                 | Strategies adopted by athletes to manage/mitigate symptoms of PFD                                                                 | Impact on QOL/daily life | Impact on performance | Impact on emotions |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Wikander et al. 2021B [52]   | Training and competition-16.2% (31/191) of weightlifters reported ‘type one athletic incontinence’ 17.8%, (34/191) UI in competition i 25.7%, (49/191) UI in training 25.7%, (49/191) Type of movement/activity in sport-57.1% (40/70) experienced urinary leakage during high repetition sets Max. effort lift in competition 16.8% (32/191) Max. effort lift in training 24.6%, (47/191) Wearing a belt provoked UI n 3.7% (7/191) Intensity-67.5% (27/40) of these women indicated that leakage was only an issue if the sets were heavy End of session-50% (20/40) who experienced UI during high repetition sets stated that the leakage was more likely to occur at the end of the set | Strategies to control/minimise UI (no. N =, % given) Antibiotics for recurring urinary tract infections, yoga and Pilates, emptying bladder before training and frequent urination during training sessions/competitions Engaging pelvic floor before lifting Focusing on breathing, bracing core before lifts, trying to not over brace, wearing a pad, using a tampon or avoiding the use of tampons, practicing pelvic floor exercises outside training, release work, massage, focus on pelvic mobility, core training, not overtightening belt, wearing dark-coloured clothing, maintaining a low body mass, crossing legs before sneezing Sought treatment-24.3% (17/70) of those with UI had undergone a pelvic floor assessment Pelvic floor muscle training (PFMT)-77.1% (54/70) of those with UI stated that they were confident/very confident in their ability to perform pelvic floor exercises | NR                                      | NR                                   | NR                               |

Key findings highlighted in bold
32–36, 40, 44–47, 49–52]. Being ‘on the way to toilet/sudden need to go to toilet’ was reported as a trigger in 5/30 studies [23, 33, 34, 40, 44] suggesting symptoms of mixed urinary incontinence (MUI).

Theme 2: Strategies adopted by athletes to manage/mitigate symptoms of PFD

The second theme Strategies adopted by athletes to manage/mitigate symptoms of PFD was reported in 23/32 (72%) of the studies. The ‘use of pads/containment strategies’ was the most commonly identified strategy reported in 16/23 studies. The ‘use of pads/containment strategies’ was the most commonly identified strategy reported in 16/23 studies [25, 27, 28, 32, 34, 35, 37, 40, 42, 46, 47, 51, 52]. Fourteen of the 23 studies found that athletes ‘Discussed condition with others/sought help’. However, very few participants discussed/sought help for their PFD with a health professional [23, 25, 27, 30, 32, 34, 40, 43–47, 51, 52]. ‘Increased frequency of urination/pre-voiding/voiding during events’ were strategies adopted by athletes in 10/23 studies [26, 32, 33, 35, 37, 40, 46, 47, 49, 52] and ‘fluid restriction’ was reported in 9/23 studies [24, 25, 27, 32, 35, 37, 40, 46, 47, 51]. ‘Modification or avoidance of certain activities/movements’ was reported in 7/23 studies [8, 23, 40, 46, 47, 49, 51, 52]. Pelvic floor muscle exercises/training (PFMEs/PFMT) was a strategy used by participants in 7/23 studies [25, 40, 47, 49–52].

Theme 3: Impact of PFD on QOL/daily life

The third theme Impact on QOL/daily life was reported on in 18/32 (56%) of the studies. The findings were diverse. Hagovska et al. [39] described a significant negative correlation between athletes’ prevalence of SUI and overall I-Qol score, and Eliasson et al. [34] reported that, as a result of their PFD, 36% (20/85) of the trampolinists were affected in their daily lives and 61% (31/85) were affected psychologically. However, the majority of the studies (16/18) reported that, for most of the participants, PFD did not have a marked impact on the athletes’ QOL/daily life [8, 23–25, 27, 31, 32, 38, 40–42, 45–48, 53].

Theme 4: Impact on performance

The fourth theme Impact on performance was reported on in 12/32 (38%) of the studies. One study reported that PFD ‘did not impact performance’ [27]. The remaining 11/12 studies reported that PFD had an ‘effect on some of the athletes’ performance’ [28, 32, 34, 36, 38–40, 46–48, 53] and 6 of these studies reported that some athletes had stopped an activity or limited their behaviour/activity in their sport [32, 34, 36, 39, 40, 43].

Theme 5: Impact on emotions

The fifth and final theme Impact on emotions was reported in 9/32 (28%) studies. The following negative emotions in some athletes were reported as a consequence of PFD: ‘embarrassment’ in 6/9 studies [31, 35, 39, 44, 46, 47]; ‘fear’ in 5/9 studies [38, 40, 44, 46, 47]; ‘concern/anxiety/worry’ in 5/9 studies [40, 44, 46–48]; ‘annoyance’ in 4/9 studies [40, 46–48]; finally, ‘frustration’ was an emotion reported by some athletes in 3/9 studies [40, 46, 47].

Discussion

The primary aim of this review was to investigate the experiences of symptoms of PFD in elite female athletes. There was heterogeneity in study designs including a wide variety of athletic/sporting activity and most of the information regarding the athletes’ experiences came from quantitative research studies where the athletes’ experience of PFD was not the main focus. This was predominantly quantitative research involving questionnaires. Only one mixed methods study by Jaume et al. [40] included a qualitative component to elicit athletes’ experiences and this involved a relatively small focus group (n = 7). It is notable that > 50% of the studies were published within the last 5 years and this may indicate an increased interest in understanding the impact of PFD on athletes. Five main themes were identified and only three studies contributed findings to all five themes. Two of these were recent studies by Skaug et al., which, in addition to investigating prevalence, also investigated the impact and bother of PFD on powerlifters and weightlifters [46] and cheerleaders and gymnasts [47]. The third study was the mixed methods study by Jaume et al. [40].

Systematic reviews regarding female athletes and PFD to date have predominantly focused on the prevalence of UI in female athletes [9–13]. As prevalence was not the main focus of the current review, the prevalence reported here was only that relating to those studies reporting elite athletes’ experiences of PFD and does not allow direct comparisons with previous reviews regarding prevalence of PFD in athletes.

The fact that UI was reported in all the studies in this review was not surprising as UI is the most common form of PFD [54]. Similar to reviews by de Mattos Lourenço et al. [10] and Rebullido et al. [14], the ICIQ-UI-SF was found to be the most commonly utilised survey instrument. The prevalence and experiences of other forms of PFD including POP, ARD, sexual dysfunction and pelvic pain reported in the studies were also identified in this current review and
it is notable that in all previous systematic reviews concerning athletes to date only the prevalence of UI was analysed. It is suggested that future systematic reviews should consider including the prevalence of other types of PFD to provide a more complete picture of PFD in these athletes. Bø and Nygaard [16] in a narrative review concerning physical activity and PFM function, identified AI and POP as understudied outcomes.

This current review included only studies involving ‘elite’ athletes. Swann et al. [55], in a systematic review that aimed to evaluate how sports psychology research has defined elite athletes, identified eight broad categories of an ‘elite or expert athlete’. These included experience, international and/or national level, training, professionalism, involved in talent development, regional level, sport/country-specific measures and university. Williams et al. [56] suggested that for team sports, the recommendation for defining ‘elite’ is that success in highly competitive leagues and competitive experience should be given priority over international experience. In this current review we used a definition of ‘elite’ based on the recommendations from the above literature. It remains difficult, however, to find a consistent definition of the term ‘elite’ as it refers to athletes. Almousa and Bandin Van Loon [9], in a systematic review investigating the prevalence of UI in nulliparous female athletes, highlighted that many studies failed to use level of sports (professional, amateur) to classify the participants.

The fact that ‘competition, training and physical activity’ was most commonly reported as a trigger for symptoms of PFD followed by ‘specific movements during activity (sporting and daily life)’ may not be surprising. Such activity may cause an increase in intra-abdominal pressure, impact the pelvic floor and is associated with SUI [1], the most prevalent type of PFD reported in this review. However, questions surrounding the effect of physical activity and exercise on the pelvic floor remain unresolved in the research literature and a need for further high-quality research to fill the gaps in knowledge concerning the role of strenuous physical activity in symptoms of PFD has been identified [16]. It is also be suggested that that future research regarding triggers for symptoms of PFD and when the symptoms occur in female athletes should be conducted as this may help inform the design of a pathway pelvic floor muscle (PFM) rehabilitation programme for such athletes.

In seven of the studies the PFD was reported as presenting in the second half/latter part of training or competition, and this may point to PFM fatigue. Previous research has suggested that, while some uncertainty remains regarding the extent to which PFM fatigue affects UI, the development and/or worsening of UI may be influenced by PFM fatigue [57–59].

In this review the studies that reported on strategies adopted by athletes to manage/mitigate symptoms of PFD indicated that, whilst many athletes reported wearing pads, pre-voiding and restricting fluids, few appeared to seek attention from a health professional. Similarly, de Mattos Lourenco et al. [10] also reported strategies to prevent UI including use of pads, pre-voiding and limiting fluid intake and suggested that reasons why athletes do not discuss the condition or seek help may include the fact that they feel ashamed or perceived that it is normal or inevitable at their age. Another possible reason may be that the athletes consider that their use of some strategies such as pre-voiding may be sufficient to manage their UI. Interview-based, qualitative research may assist in investigating this issue further.

UI is a treatable condition. Recent research has shown that PFMT in young continent women resulted in improved muscle activation and pelvic floor muscle strength [60]. Findings of a Cochrane review [61] have shown that PFMT can cure or improve all types of UI but is most effective in SUI. Similar to the findings of other reviews, we suggest that it is important to provide education resources for athletes regarding symptoms and treatment options for PFD and educate the professionals (health professionals and coaches/trainers) involved with these athletes about the prevalence of PFD in athletes and increase screening for symptoms [9, 10, 12, 13].

In this current review the findings regarding the impact of PFD on female athletes’ QOL/daily life were quite varied and consequently no conclusion can be reached. Future research could include systematic reviews of UI and QOL in athletes and conducting qualitative research may deepen knowledge and understanding of the impact and experiences of PFD on female athletes’ QOL and daily life. UI has been shown to have a considerable impact on women’s lives [6] and have an adverse effect on QOL [3, 5]. A systematic review and meta-analysis on UI and QOL in both sexes reported that UI was associated with poor QOL, but an acknowledged limitation of the review was that only cross-sectional and case-control studies were included [4].

The fact that 11/12 studies reporting on the impact of PFD on performance reported that it had an effect and, that some athletes had stopped or limited their activity during their sport, is of concern. A need for further research to investigate the mechanisms causing PFD in athletes has been identified [38]. It would also be interesting to evaluate
if athletes who limit their sporting activity because of PFD have considered seeking or have sought treatment for their symptoms. Further mixed-methods or qualitative, interview-based research may assist in investigating this issue in athletes.

Only nine (28%) studies in this review reported on the impact of PFD on the athletes’ emotions and there was limited information given in some of these studies. Embarrassment was the most frequently reported emotion followed by fear, concern and anxiety. It is important that athletes are educated regarding PFD and the treatment options available to help allay, mitigate and resolve some of these negative emotions surrounding UI. Mendes et al. [6] reported that UI is a condition that can involve embarrassment, stigma and distress in women. Once again, we suggest that further qualitative, interview-based research may assist in investigating the impact of PFD on the athletes’ emotions.

**Limitations**

Limitations of this review included the heterogeneity of the study designs, the inclusion of studies published in the English language only and that the prevalence reported in this review only referred to the prevalence of PFD in studies that reported on female athletes’ experiences of PFD. However, the main limitation of this review was the paucity of qualitative evidence that was available in the research literature concerning athletes’ experiences of PFD.

**Conclusion**

This review explored the experiences and impact of PFD in elite athletes and found a relative paucity of qualitative evidence. Five main themes were identified. Further qualitative and mixed methods, sports-specific research may serve to deepen knowledge and understanding of elite female athletes’ experiences and impact of PFD on athletes’ sporting activities and their daily lives and enrich the understanding of this condition in women competing at an elite level.

Recommendations for qualitative research include further investigating:

- The impact of PFD on elite female athletes’ emotions
- Why do many elite female athletes not discuss their PFD with others?
- Triggers for PFD reported by elite female athletes
- Strategies adopted by elite female athletes to manage/mitigate their PFD
- Why do many elite female athletes not seek help from a health professional?
- Do elite female athletes who limit their sporting activity due to PFD seek treatment?
### Table 2. Summary of characteristics of the included studies excluding information regarding experiences

| Author/year/country | Aim | Study Design | Sample size (n) | Participants/Type of sport | Age of participants | Data Collection* | Analysis | Prevalence of PFD symptoms** in athletes (a. UI; b. ARD; c. SD; d. POP; e. pelvic pain) |
|---------------------|-----|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Almeida et al. 2016, Brazil [26] | To investigate occurrence of symptoms of PFD among young female nulliparous athletes and nonathletes, paired by BMI. Also, influence of sport modality on occurrence and severity of urinary dysfunctions | Cross-sectional study | 163 (67 athletes & 96 non-athletes, paired by BMI) | Volleyball, swimming, judo, artistic gymnastics trampoline Nulliparous | Age range = 15 to 29 years Mean age (± SD) years - athletes 18 (± 5), non-athletes 21 (± 4) | Questionnaire: background information, sports practice and UI questions Included questions extracted from ICIQ-UI-SF, ISI, FSFI, Rome III, ICIQ-VS | Descriptive statistics Mann-Whitney test, chi-squared test, Fischer exact test, odds ratio | a. UI - 52.2% Overall prevalence-52.2% (n = 35/67) b. ARD AI (flatus)-64.6% (n = 42/67), AI (fæces)-0% Constipation- 68.2% (n = 45/67) c. SD - dyspareuna 13.8% (4/29), vaginal laxity - 13.8% (4/29) d. POP-0% reported |
| Cardoso et al. 2018, Brazil [27] | To evaluate the prevalence of UI in female athletes practising high-impact sports and its association with knowledge, attitude, and practice | Observational and knowledge, attitude and practice survey | n = 118 Athletics, handball, Volleyball, basketball, futsal and judo Nulliparous | Mean age (± SD) years = 21.6 (± 2.7). ≥ 18 years and ≤ 30 years | Questionnaire: background information, sports practice and UI questions ICIQ-UI-SF KAP survey | Descriptive statistics Chi-squared test, univariate & multivariate analysis, odds ratio | a. UI - 70.0% Overall Prevalence -70.0% (82/118) MUI - 54% (44/82) - most common SUI - 23% (19/82) UUI - 23% (19/82) UI during practice - 61% (50/82) UI during competition - 45% (37/82) |
| Carls 2007, USA [23] | To identify the prevalence of stress incontinence (SUI) in young female athletes and assess the need for preventative UI education | Cross-sectional study | N = 86 (34 school athletes & 52 college athletes) | Basketball, track, softball, volleyball, cheerleading, weightlifting, pompon dance Nulliparous | Age range = 14 to 21 years Average age 17 | Questionnaire: BFLUTS revised to include questions pertaining to sports and educational needs | Descriptive statistics calculated by hand | a. UI SUI - 28% (24/86) UUI - 7% |
| Carvalhais et al. 2018, Portugal [28] | To evaluate the prevalence of UI in female elite athletes of different sports compared to age-matched controls and to investigate possible risk factors for UI | Cross-sectional study | N = 744 (372 elite athletes & 372 age-matched controls) | Variety (n = 28) Sub-divided (G1 technical G2 endurance, G3 aesthetic G4 weight G5 Ball games, G6 Power G7 gravity) Nulliparous and parous | Age range = 15 to 48 years. Median age = 19 years for both athletes and controls | Questionnaire: Section 1 - background information; Section 2 - medical, obstetric & gynaecological and UI history Section 3 - sports practice and UI - ICIQ-UI-SF | Descriptive statistics Mann-Whitney test, chi-squared test, Fischer exact test, binary logistic regression, odds ratio | a. UI - 29.6% Overall prevalence (110/372) SUI - 19.6% most common (73/372) UUI - 3.8% (14/372) MUI - 5.9% (22/372) Other UI - 0.3% (n = 1/372) b. ARD Constipation - median 33, IQR 8.9 |
| Author/year/ country | Aim | Study Design | Sample size (n) | Participants/Type of sport | Age of participants | Data Collection* | Analysis | Prevalence of PFD symptoms** in athletes (a. UI; b. ARD; c. SD; d. POP; e. pelvic pain) |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Carvalho et al. 2020, Brazil [29] | To investigate the occurrence of urinary, anal, sexual and POP symptoms as well as symptoms originating from premenstrual syndrome in women who practice cheerleading | Cross-sectional study | N = 156 (78 cheerleaders & 76 nonathletes) | Athletes - high-performance cheerleaders, nulligravida, normal weight (BMI between 18.5 and 24.9 kg/m²) | Age range = 15 to 29 years, mean age (± SD) years - athletes 20.8 (± 2.3), non-athletes 21.9 (± 2.4) | Questionnaire: background information, sports practice and UI questions ICIQ-UI-SF Questions extracted from KHQ, FISI, FSFI, ICIQ-VS, MSQ | Descriptive statistics Shapiro-Wilk test (to confirm normality of data), Mann-Whitney test, odds ratio | a. UI - 26.9% UI overall prevalence 26.9% (21/78) SUI - 47.6% (10/21) during training UUI - 66.7% (14/21) was the most prevalent MUI - 23.8% (5/21) b. ARD AI - 62.8% (49/78) and was most prevalent symptom of PFD Flatus - 55.1% (43/78) Constipation - 25.6% (20/78) c. SD dyspareunia - 53.8% (40/71) Vaginismus - 2.8% (2/71) Dysmenorrhea - 92.3% (72/78) d. POP - 7.7% (6/78) |
| Caylet et al. 2006, France [30] | To assess the prevalence of UI in elite athletes versus the general population and to analyse the condition of occurrence of urine loss | Survey-epidemiological athlete/ non-athlete study | N = 583 (157 elite athletes & 426 controls) | Athletes from volleyball, swimming, rugby, handball, basketball, football, ‘other’. Controls from ‘Physicians & Occupational Networks’. | Age range = 18 to 35 years Mean age (± SD) years - athletes 23.37 (± 4.5), non-athletes 25.06 (± 4.6) | Questionnaire: A validated survey for use at a hospital clinic. Medical, obstetrical, gynaecological history, physical activities, including type of sports and duration of activities and UI | Descriptive statistics Student’s t-test, Kruskal-Wallis test, chi-squared test, Fischer exact test, McNemar test | a. UI - 28% UI Overall prevalence 28% (44/157) SUI - 41.9% (64/157) - was the most prevalent UUI - 34.9% (54/154) MUI - 23.2% (35/154) |
| Da Roza et al. 2015, Portugal [31] | To investigate in young nulliparous female trampolinists hypothetical associations between the level of athletic performance and the volume of training with urine leakage | Cross-sectional cohort study | N = 22 | Trampolinists, classified as elite and non-athlete. Nulliparous | Age range = 14 to 25 years, mean age (± SD) - 18.1 (± 2.4) | Questionnaire: background information, sports practice and UI questions ICIQ-UI-SF | Descriptive statistics Shapiro-Wilk test, Spearman rank correlation test | a. UI - 72.7% (16/22) b. ARD Constipation - 0% |

*Data Collection: Questionnaire, ICQ-UI-SF, KHQ, FISI, FSFI, ICIQ-VS, MSQ.

**Prevalence of PFD symptoms: a. UI (urinary incontinence), b. ARD (anorectal disorder), c. SD (sexual dysfunction), d. POP (pelvic organ prolapse), e. Pelvic pain.
| Author/year/country | Aim | Study Design | Sample size (n) | Participants/Type of sport | Age of participants | Data Collection* | Analysis | Prevalence of PFD symptoms** in athletes (a. UI; b. ARD; c. SD; d. POP; e. pelvic pain) |
|---------------------|-----|--------------|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Dobrowolski et al. 2020, Canada [32] | To determine prevalence, impact and management of stress urinary incontinence (SUI) among rope-skipping (RS) athletes. First survey aimed at identifying prevalence, impact and management strategies of SUI among current RS athletes. Second to determine whether SUI contributes to athletes' decisions to retire from RS participation | Cross-sectional observational study | Survey 1 (current RS athletes) N = 103 (89 females & 14 males), survey 2 (retired RS athletes) N = 77 (74 females & 3 males) | Rope-skipping (RS) athletes, current and retired Nulliparous and parous | Female age range = 13 to 59 years, median age (IQR) - 16 (15–21) years, male age range = 13 to 35 years, median age (IQR) - 18 (13–28) years | Questionnaire: Survey 1 - background information, 11-point Likert scale regarding UI interference with rope skipping, ICIQ-UI-SF and an unvalidated sport-specific questionnaire inspired by the IIQ-7 Survey 2 - Questionnaire asking reasons for retiring from RS | Descriptive statistics Odds ratio | prevalence in female RS: a. UI SUI - 75% (67/89) b. ARD constipation - 12% (10/87) |
| Dockter et al. 2007, USA [33] | To determine the prevalence of UI in female collegiate athletes as compared to the prevalence in age-matched controls and to determine if there was a difference in the prevalence of UI among various sporting activities. Also examined strategies to prevent or manage UI in this population | Prospective cross-sectional survey | N = 177 (109 female collegiate athletes & 68 non-athletic controls) | Athletes competing in track and field athletics, basketball, soccer, softball, volleyball Nulliparous and parous | Age range = 18 to 25 years, mean age (± SD) years - athletes 19.17 (± 1.04), non-athletes 18.82 (± 0.75) | Questionnaire: Questionnaire designed for the purpose of the study | Descriptive statistics Independent t-test, chi-squared test | a. UI SUI - UL during coughing, sneezing and/or laughing - 46.8% (51/109); UL during physical activity - 40.4% UUI - 29.6% |
| Eliasson et al. 2002, Sweden [35] | To survey the prevalence of stress urinary incontinence in female elite trampolinists | Cross-sectional study | N = 35 Trampolinists Nulliparous | Age range = 12 to 22 years, mean age 15 years | 1. Questionnaire: Questionnaire designed for the purpose of the study 2. Pad test 3. PFM strength by perineometer | Descriptive statistics Mann Whitney test, Spearman's rank correlation test | a. UI - 80% SUI overall prevalence 80% (28/35) reported SUI, but only during trampoline training |
| Eliasson et al. 2008, Sweden [34] | To describe the occurrence of urinary leakage in young and mostly nulliparous women with a history of regular organised trampoline training as adolescents and to identify possible risk factors | Cross-sectional study | N = 305 [85 'competition' group (CG) & 220 'recreational group (RG)] Female ex-trampolinists in Sweden with licence for trampolining between 1995–1999 Nulliparous and parous | Female ex-trampolinists in Sweden licence for trampolining between 1995–1999 Nulliparous and parous | Median age = 21 (range 18–44) years | Questionnaire: Questionnaire designed and validated for study of UI in young nulliparous/primiparous women | Descriptive statistics Mann Whitney test, chi-squared test, logistic regression | a. UI - overall = 68% Total of 68% (209/305) of trampolinists reported UL SUI - 45% (138/305) reported UL when trampolining Current UI - CG - 57% (48/85); RG - 48% (106/210) b. ARD constipation - overall = 14.1% (43/305) CG - 13% (11/85); RG - 15% (32/210) |
| Author/year/country | Aim | Study Design | Sample size (n) | Participants/Type of sport | Age of participants | Data Collection* | Analysis | Prevalence of PFD symptoms** in athletes (a. UI; b. ARD; c. SD; d. POP; e. pelvic pain) |
|---------------------|-----|--------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Faulks & Catto 2021, Australia [36] | To establish the prevalence of SUI among elite female rugby union players within Australia. Study further analysed rates of SUI between participants playing in the forward and back positions, self-reported consequences of SUI on athletic performance during a game or training session and the percentage who believed SUI to be a reason for withdrawing from rugby union in the future. | Cross-sectional study | N = 65 | Elite female rugby union players from the Australian Rugby Union | Mean age (± SD) - 26 (± 5.1) years | Questionnaire: Modified version of the QUID | Descriptive statistics |Chi-squared test | a. UI - 60% (39/65) during a game or training |
| Ferreira et al. 2014, Portugal [37] | To verify the effectiveness of the pelvic floor muscle rehabilitation programme (PFMRP) in female volleyball athletes, analysing the amount and frequency of urinary leakage | Experimental | N = 32 [16 experimental group (EG) & 16 control group (CG)] | Volleyball athletes with symptoms of SUI | Mean age (range) years - EG 19.4 ± 3.24 (16–25); CG 19.1 ± 2.11 (17–26) | 1. Questionnaire: Baseline information  
2. Pad test  
3. Urinary diary assessment of frequency of UL | Descriptive statistics | Shapiro-Wilk, Student’s t-test, chi-squared test, Fischer exact test | a. UI - All participants in the study reported symptoms of SUI |
| Gram & Bo 2020, Norway [38] | To investigate the prevalence and risk factors for UI in rhythmic gymnasts and the impact of UI on performance and their knowledge of the pelvic floor and PFM training. | Cross-sectional study | N = 107 | Rhythmic gymnasts | Age range = 12 to 21 years, mean age (± SD) years - 14.5 (± 1.6) | Questionnaire: Background information, influence of UI on sports practice, ICIQ-UI-SF Triad-specific self-report questionnaire LEAF-Q  
2. Clinical hypermobility testing | Descriptive statistics | Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Shapiro-Wilk, Student’s t-test, chi-squared test, Fischer exact test, logistical regression | a. UI - 31.8% UI was reported in 31.8% (34/107) athletes of which: SUI - 61.8% was most reported (21/34) and of those reporting SUI, 57.1% reported leakage only during physical activity. UUI - 8.8% (3/34) MUI - 17.6% (6/34) No obvious reason - 11.8% (4/34) |
| Author/Year/Country | Aim | Study Design | Sample size (n) | Participants/Type of sport | Age of participants | Data Collection* | Analysis | Prevalence of PFD symptoms** in athletes (a. UI; b. ARD; c. SD; d. POP; e. pelvic pain) |
|---------------------|-----|--------------|----------------|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Hagovska et al. 2018, Slovakia [39] | To determine the prevalence of SUI symptoms in sports-women (high-intensity physical activity) and non-sportswomen (low-intensity physical activity), according to estimated intensity of physical activity in metabolic equivalents using the IPAQ questionnaire. Another goal was to identify relationships among SUI symptoms, intensity of PA & QOL. | Cross-sectional study | N = 557 (270 sportswomen & 287 non-sportswomen) | Women from university sport clubs at national levels. No further detail regarding types of sport | Nulliparous | Mean age all (± SD) years 20.9 (± 2.8), sportswomen 20.7 (± 3.3); non-sportswomen 21.1 (± 2.3) | Questionnaire: Questionnaires used- ICIQ-UI-SF OAB-q I-QoL IPAQ | Descriptive statistics Logistic regression, odds ratio, Pearson correlation coefficient |
| Jácome et al. 2011, Portugal [40] | To investigate the prevalence of UI in a group of female athletes and to explore the impact on their lives by identifying their emotions regarding urine loss and techniques used to reduce UI episodes. | Cross-sectional survey & focus group | N = 106 | Track and field athletes, basketball, indoor football | Nulliparous and parous | Mean age (± SD) = 23 (± 4.4) years, Range not given. Inclusion criteria for age ≥ 18 years and < 45 years | 1. Questionnaire: (N = 106) Background information/sports practice; UI characterisation; risk factors for UI 2. Focus group (N = 7) Non-directive manner, semi-structured guide | a. UI - 41.5% | Binomial test, chi-squared test, Fisher exact test, 2-way ANOVA, thematic analysis |
| Larsen & Yavorek 2006, USA [41] | To evaluate both baseline pelvic support and incontinence in relation to physical activity in nulliparous college age women. | Prospective observational study | N = 144 | Women at the US Military Academy College athletes | Nulliparous | Mean age = 19.6 years | 1. Questionnaire: Questionnaire designed for the purpose of the study 2. POPQ | Descriptive statistics | a. UI - 19.4% |
| Ludviksdottir et al. 2018, Iceland [42] | To measure and compare PFM strength in competition-level athletes and untrained women and evaluate the women's ability to contract the PFMs correctly and to explore frequency of SUI and assess the women's knowledge and awareness of PFMs. | Case control study | N = 34 (18 athletes & 16 untrained women) | Various sports (handball, soccer, gymnastics, badminton, weightlifting, bootcamp, crossfit) | Nulliparous | Women, age range = 18 to 30 years. Mean age (± SD) years = athletes 24.2 (± 3.2), untrained 24.1 (± 2.9) | 1. Background information, exercise training, knowledge of PFMs ICIQ-UI-SF 2. PFM strength measurement - by Myomed 932 pressure sensor 2 | Descriptive statistics T-test, odds ratio, binary logistical regression | a. UI - 61.1% |

* Data Collection: ICIQ-UI-SF, OAB-q, I-QoL, IPAQ

** Prevalence of PFD symptoms: a. UI, b. ARD, c. SD, d. POP, e. pelvic pain
Table 2. (continued)

| Author/year/ country | Aim | Study Design | Sample size (n) | Participants/Type of sport | Age of participants | Data Collection* | Analysis | Prevalence of PFD symptoms** in athletes (a. UI, b. ARD; c. SD; d. POP; e. pelvic pain) |
|----------------------|-----|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Nygaard et al. 1994, USA [44] | To determine the prevalence of the symptom of urinary incontinence during athletic endeavours among a group of nulliparous, elite college varsity female athletes | Cross-sectional study | N = 144 | Gymnastics, volleyball, swimming, field hockey, softball, basketball, golf, track athletics, tennis Nulliparous | Mean age (± SD) = 19.9 (± 3.3) years | Questionnaire: Questionnaire designed for the purpose of the study | Descriptive statistics Student’s t-test, chi-squared test, Fisher exact test | a. UI - 49% UI was reported by 49% (71/144) of the female athletes 28% (40/144) athletes admitted to at least one episode of UI while practicing or competing in their sport. Other bladder symptoms noted during competition included: Urgency - 31% Increased frequency - 37% Bladder pain - 7% |
| Nygaard 1997, USA [43] | To determine whether women engaged in strenuous, provocative exercise are more likely to be incontinent in future life than similarly fit women who participated in less provocative exercise | Retrospective cohort study | N = 104 | Ex-Olympian low-impact (swimming) and high-impact sports (gymnastics, track & field athletics). Nulliparous and parous | Mean age (range) years - low-impact group 42.4 (30–54), high-impact group 46.2 (30–63) | Questionnaire: Questionnaire designed for the purpose of the study | Descriptive statistics Two-tailed t-test, chi-squared test, Fisher's exact, Wilcoxon rank sign, logistic regression | a. UI - during Olympic sporting activity Total of 35.8% (19/53) high-impact athletes (3 could not recall) and 4.5% (2/44) low-impact athletes, 4 could not recall (swimmers) reported having UI during their time as Olympians Currently - SUI - 41.1% (23/56) high-impact athletes and 50% (24/48) low-impact athletes (swimmers) reported SUI currently UUI - 33.9% (19/56) high-impact athletes and 16.7% (8/48) low-impact athletes (swimmers) reported UUI currently |
| Pires et al. 2020, Portugal [53] | To investigate the effects of pelvic floor muscles training in elite female volleyball athletes and whether it is an effective therapy for stress urinary incontinence | Randomised controlled trial | N = 13 athletes (7 in experimental group (EG) & 6 in control group (CG)) | Volleyball athletes Nulliparous | Mean age ± SD (range) years - experimental group 22.7± 4.99 (18–30), control group 21.83± 5.19 (18–31) | I.Questionnaire: Questionnaire background sociodemographic and anthropometric data, KHQ 2. Pad test 3. Pelvic floor muscle strength measurement by perineometer | Descriptive statistics Shapiro-Wilk test, Levene, M Box test, Student’s t-test, ANOVA | a. UI (SUI) - 61.5% UI was reported by 61.5% (8/13) of the female athletes at initial evaluation (both CG & EG) |
| Poswiata et al. 2014, Poland [34] | To determine the prevalence of SUI in a group of elite female endurance athlete. Also, to compare the SUI rates in the groups of female cross-country skiers and runners to determine whether the training weather conditions like temperature and humidity might also influence the prevalence of UI | Cross-sectional study | N = 112 Female endurance athletes (57 cross-country skiers and 55 runners) | Cross-country skiers Runners Nulliparous | Mean age (± SD) years - cross-country skiers 26.61 (± 4.41), runners 29.49 (± 6.02). | Questionnaire: Short form of the UDI-6 | Descriptive statistics Chi-squared test | a. UI - 50% UI was reported by 56/112 (50%) of the female athletes SUI - 45.5% (51/112) UUI - 27.6% (31/112) MUI - 18.7% (21/112) Frequency - 58.04% (65/112) Bladder-emptying problems - 33.04% (37/112) e. Pelvic pain pain or discomfort in lower abdominal or genital area 36.61% (41/112) Overall: no statistically significant differences were noted between the groups |

Note: PFD = Pelvic Floor Disorders; UI = Urinary Incontinence; ARD = Anal Rectal Disease; SD = Sex Dysfunctions; POP = Pelvic Organ Prolapse; UUI = Urge Incontinence; MUI = Mixed Incontinence; SUI = Stress Incontinence; KHQ = King’s Health Questionnaire; UDI-6 = Urinary Distress Inventory-6.
| Author/year/ country | Aim | Study Design | Sample size (n) | Participants/Type of sport | Age of participants | Data Collection* | Analysis | Prevalence of PFD symptoms** in athletes (a. UI; b. ARD; c. SD; d. POP; e. pelvic pain) |
|----------------------|-----|--------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Rodríguez-López 2021, Spain [8] | To determine the prevalence of urinary incontinence (UI) among elite athletes and to compare prevalence between sexes and across different sports modalities | Observational, cross-sectional study | N = 455 female athletes (total N = 754 elite athletes; females n = 455 and males n = 299) | Wide variety (38 sports) Examples: athletics, soccer, gymnastics, rugby, judo, swimming, hockey, karate, orienteering dance, other sports Nulliparous and parous | Mean age (± SD) years = female athletes 23.18 ±7.10 (Overall - 23.04 ± 7.16, males - 22.81 ± 7.26) | Questionnaire: Background information - anthropometric data, medical history, sports practice, and UI data ICIQ-UI-SF 3IQ IS | Descriptive statistics Shapiro-Wilk Student’s t-test chi-squared test Odds ratio (OR) Pearson’s coefficient | a. UI - 45.1% UI was reported by 205/455 (45.1%) of the female athletes SUI - 66% (135/205) UI - 16% (33/205) MUI - 4% (8/205) b. ARD constipation - 13.2% (n = 60/455) a. UI - 54% UI was reported by 51/95 (54%) of the female athletes SUI - 82% (42/51) UI - 39% (20/51) Bladder-emptying problems - 16% (8/51) c. Pelvic pain pain or discomfort in lower abdominal or genital area 26% (13/51) |
| Sandwith & Robert 2021, Canada [45] | To determine the prevalence of urinary incontinence among female university varsity rugby players. Secondary objectives are to understand when the incontinence occurred and to assess the degree of bother experienced | Cross-sectional study | N = 95 Female rugby players Nulliparous | Female rugby players | Mean age (± SD) years = 19.9 ± 1.8 | Questionnaire: Background information - age, height, weight and hours spent training was obtained A specific rugby-related activity questionnaire was developed. Degree of bother, previous treatment, desire for treatment, when incontinence occurred and coping strategy UDI-6 | Descriptive statistics. Unpaired t-test Linear regression | a. UI - 54% UI was reported by 51/95 (54%) of the female athletes SUI - 82% (42/51) UI - 39% (20/51) Bladder-emptying problems - 16% (8/51) |
| Skaug et al. 2020, Norway [46] | To investigate prevalence and risk factors for PFD powerlifters and Olympic weightlifters. Furthermore, to investigate the impact and bother of PFD and knowledge of the PFM | Cross-sectional study | N = 180 females (total N = 384 females n =180 and males n = 204) | Top national & international level male & female powerlifters & Olympic weightlifters Nulliparous and parous | Mean age weightlifters SD (range) years - female lifters 31.0 weightlifters 10.7 (18–65) (Males - 34.0 weightlifters 13.5 (18–84)) | Questionnaire: Background information - age, body mass index [BMI], parity, training frequency, level of competition, years specialising in sport, generalised hypermobility, straining at toilet, female athlete triad, knowledge of PFM ICIQ-UI-SF Questions from: ICIQ-B for AL ICIQ-V for POP LEAF-Q | Descriptive statistics Shapiro-Wilk Odds ratio (OR) Logistic regression | a. UI female athletes - 50% UI was reported by 90/180 (50%) of the female lifters SUI - 41.7% (75/180) UIU - 1% (3/180) MUI - 3.9% (7/180) b. ARD female athletes - 80% AI - 80% (144/180) Liquid - 32.8% (99/180); solid - 7.2% (13/180); gas - 76.7% (138/180) Straining to defecate - female athletes Never - 9.4% (17/180); rarely - 39.4% (71/180); some of the time - 46.7% (84/180); most of the time - 3.9% (7/180); always - 0.6% (1/180) c. POP 23.3% (42/180) |
| Author/year/ country | Aim | Study Design | Sample size (n) | Participants/Type of sport | Age of participants | Data Collection* | Analysis | Prevalence of PFD symptoms** in athletes (a. UI; b. ARD; c. SD; d. POP; e. pelvic pain) |
|----------------------|-----|--------------|----------------|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------|--------------------------------------|
| Skaug et al. 2022, Norway [47] | To investigate the prevalence of and risk factors for UI and AI in high-performance female artistic gymnasts, team gymnasts and cheerleaders and to investigate the bother of UI and AI, influence of SUI on sport performance and the athletes' knowledge of the pelvic floor muscles (PFM) | Cross-sectional study | N = 319 (n = 68 artistic gymnasts, n = 116 team gymnasts, n = 135 cheerleaders) | Artistic gymnasts, team gymnasts, cheerleaders at the top national junior and senior level in Norway | Mean age ± SD (range) years - All athletes - 17.4 ± 3.2 (12–36) Artistic gymnasts 16.8 weightlifters 3.6 (12–36) Team gymnasts 17.1 ± 2.7 (13–28) Cheerleaders 17.9 ± 3.3 (12–29) | Questionnaire: Background data, medical and sport practice, knowledge of PFM ICIQ-UI-SF Questions from: ICIQ-B for AI, LEAF-Q | Descriptive statistics Logistic regression Odds ratio (OR) Chi-squared test | a. UI - Overall UI - 67.4% UI was reported by 215/319 (67.4%) of the athletes SUI - 63% (201/319); UII - 11.6% (31/319); MUI - 9.4% (27/319) b. ARD - Overall AI - 70.6% Urinary incontinence was reported by 48/68 (70.6%) of the artistic gymnasts SUI - 70.6% (48/68); UII - 8.8% (6/68); MUI - 8.8% (6/68) |
Table 2. (continued)

| Author/year/country | Aim | Study Design | Sample size (n) | Participants/Type of sport | Age of participants | Data Collection* | Analysis | Prevalence of PFD symptoms** in athletes (a. UI; b. ARD; c. SD; d. POP; e. pelvic pain) |
|---------------------|-----|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Thyssen et al. 2002 Denmark, [25] | To determine the frequency of urinary loss in elite women athletes and dancers | Cross-sectional study | N = 291 | Badminton Handball Basketball Volleyball Athletics Gymnastics Aerobics Ballet Nulliparous and parous | Average age (range) years – 22.8 (14–51) | Questionnaire: Questionnaire designed for the purpose of the study | Descriptive statistics McNemars test | a. UI - 51.9% A total of 151/291 (51.9%) had experienced urine loss while participating in their sport or in daily life situations. UI during sport - 4% (125/291) experienced urine loss while participating in their sport. |
| Velázquez-Saornil et al., Spain 2021 [48] | To assess the prevalence of UI in federated female athletes (federative sports licence). In addition to observing urine leakage in the study subjects according to the sport discipline of athletics and age, the methods of containment, the characteristics of leakage and the risk factors that may lead to UI were analysed. Biopsychosocial component of UI in this group of athletes was also analysed | Cross-sectional study | N = 63 | Athletics 5 categories: 1. Long-distance running 2. Speed events 3. Middle distance races 4. Jumping events 5. Throwing events Nulliparous and parous | Mean age ± SD (range) years – 30.78 ± 12.16 (18–61) | Questionnaire: KHQ ICQ-UI SF | Descriptive statistics Chi-squared test Student’s t-test | a. UI - 44.4% 28/63 women (44.4%) reported UI SUI -89.3 %, (25/28) (25% reported coughing and sneezing and 64.3% reported coughing and sneezing during physical exercise) |
| Wikander et al. 2019, Australia [49] | To determine the prevalence of UI in competitive women powerlifters and establish if commonly cited risk factors affect the incidence of UI | Cross-sectional study | N = 134 | Competitive powerlifters Information regarding parity not collected | Age range 20–59 years | Questionnaire: Background information with sports-specific questions ISI | Descriptive statistics Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA, Cohen’s f, Kendall’s tau B Thematic analysis of comments section | a. UI - 41% UI had been experienced by 41% (55/134) of women powerlifters at some stage in life, 37% (50/134) during lifting activities 11% (15/134) during everyday activities |
| Wikander et al. 2020, Australia, UK, USA, Canada & New Zealand [50] | To determine the prevalence of urinary and athletic incontinence and establish which activities and contexts were most likely to provoke urine leakage in women CrossFit competitors | Cross-sectional study | N = 452 | Women CrossFit competitors Nulliparous and parous | Mean age ± SD (range) years – 36 ± 9 (20–63) | Questionnaire: Developed specifically for CrossFit participants. Focus on context in which UI occurred and exercises most likely to cause UI ISI | Descriptive statistics | a. UI - 46% 46% (N = 208/452) of women experienced UI at some point in their life and 41.8% (N = 189/452) reported having experienced UI in the last 3 months prior to the study |
Table 2. (continued)

| Author/year/country | Aim                                                                 | Study Design       | Sample size (n) | Participants/Type of sport | Age of participants | Data Collection* | Analysis                  | Prevalence of PFD symptoms** in athletes |
|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Wikander et al. 2021A Australia, UK, USA, Canada & New Zealand [51] | To determine the prevalence of UI in competitive women powerlifters; identify possible risk factors and activities likely to provoke UI and establish self-care practices | Cross-sectional study | N = 480          | Women powerlifters Nulliparous and parous | Mean age ± SD (range) years – 35 ± 10 (20–89) | Questionnaire: Background information Questions to identify actions and events associated with UI, self-care strategies ISI | Descriptive statistics Kendall’s tau-b Eta correlation coefficient | a. UI - 48.8% 48.8% (234/480) of participants in this study reported experiencing UI at some point in their lifetime 43.9% (211/480) had experienced UI in the 3 months prior to the study |
| Wikander et al. 2021B Australia & other English-speaking countries (not specified) [52] | To explore multifactorial issue of UI in competitive women weightlifters focus on prevalence, risk factors and activities provoking UI. In addition to identify self-care strategies used by incontinent competitive women weightlifters. Finally, confidence in performing PFE & utilization of women’s health professionals | Cross-sectional study | N = 191          | Women weightlifters Nulliparous and parous | Mean age ± SD years- 35.92 ± 12 (20–89) | Questionnaire: Background information Question to identify actions and events associated with UI, self-care strategies ISI | Descriptive statistics Pearson’s correlations | a. UI - 36.6% 36.6% (70/191) of women had experienced UI at some point in their life, 31.9% (61/191) reported having experienced UI during the previous 3 months |

*BFLUTS = Bristol Female Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms Questionnaire; FISI = Fecal Incontinence Severity Index; FSFI = Female Sexual Function Index; ICIQ-UI-SF = International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire-Short Form; ICIQ-VS = International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire-Vaginal Symptoms; IIQ-7 = Incontinence Impact Questionnaire; IPAQ = International Physical Activity Questionnaire; I-QoL = International Quality of Life Questionnaire; ISI = Incontinence Severity Index; KAP = Knowledge Attitude and Practice; LEAF-Q = Low Energy Availability in Females Questionnaire; KHQ = King’s Health Questionnaire; MSQ = Menstrual Symptom Questionnaire; OAB-q = Overactive Bladder Questionnaire; POPQ = Pelvic Organ Prolapse Quantification; QUID = Questionnaire for Urinary Incontinence Diagnosis; Rome III = Rome III Questionnaire; 3IQ = Three Incontinence Questions Questionnaire
**PFD = Pelvic Floor Dysfunction; UI = urinary incontinence; SUI = stress urinary incontinence; UUI = urge urinary incontinence; MUI = mixed urinary incontinence; UL = urinary leakage; ARD = anorectal dysfunction; AI = anal incontinence; POP = pelvic organ prolapse; PFM = pelvic floor muscle; SD = sexual dysfunction
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