Shear induced polarization: collisional contributions
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ABSTRACT: It has been realized that thermal shear plays a similar role as thermal vorticity in polarizing spin of particles in heavy ion collisions. We point out that shear has a fundamental difference that it leads to particle redistribution in the medium. The redistribution gives rise to an additional contribution to spin polarization through the self-energy, which is parametrically the same order as the one considered so far in the literature. The self-energy contribution is in general gauge dependent. We introduce double gauge links stretching along the Schwinger-Keldysh contour to restore gauge invariance. We also generalize the straight path to adapt to the Schwinger-Keldysh contour. We find another contribution associated with the gauge link, which is also parametrically the same order. We illustrate the two contributions with a massive probe fermion in massless QED plasma with shear. A modest suppression of spin polarization is found from the combined contributions when the probe fermion has momentum much greater than the temperature.
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1 Introduction

It has been suggested that orbital angular momentum carried by participants in off-central heavy ion collisions (HIC) can result in spin polarization of final state particles [1, 2]. Realistic model calculations have indicated that significant vorticity is present in quark-gluon plasma (QGP) produced in HIC [3–5]. Theoretical predictions of final particle spin polarization have been made based on a spin-orbit coupling picture [6–8]. Such a picture is indeed consistent with early experimental measurement of Lambda hyperon global polarization [9]. However, recent measurement of Lambda hyperon local polarization [10] shows an overall sign difference from theoretical predictions [11–13]. Different explanations have been proposed to understand the puzzle [14, 15], yet no consensus has been reached.

Recently it has been realized that shear can also contribute to spin polarization [16, 17]. In particular, it has been found based on a free theory analysis that spin responds to thermal vorticity and thermal shear in the same way. Phenomenological implementations have shown the right trend toward the measured local polarization results [18–22]. However, as we shall show in this paper, the contribution discussed so far is still incomplete. Vorticity and shear differ in one important aspect: the former does not change the particle distribution while the latter necessarily does. The redistribution of particles by shear flow leads to an extra contribution to spin polarization. The extra contribution can be consistently described in the framework of quantum kinetic theory (QKT), see [23] for a review and references therein. Rapid development of QKT has been made to include collisional term...
systematically via self-energy over the past few years [24–37]. The QKT is formulated using the Wigner function, whose axial component can be related to spin polarization. The axial component of Wigner function for fermion in a collisional QKT is given by [28, 37, 38]\(^\text{1}\)

\[
A^\mu = -2\pi h \left[ a^\mu f_A + \frac{\epsilon^{\mu
u\rho\sigma} P_{\rho\sigma} D_\nu f}{2(P \cdot u + m)} \right] \delta(P^2 - m^2),
\]

with \(P\) and \(u\) being momentum of particle and flow velocity. \(a^\mu f_A\) is a dynamical contribution [38–42]. \(D_\nu\) is a covariant derivative acting on the distribution function \(f\) defined as \(D_\nu = \partial_\nu - \Sigma^\nu_> - \Sigma^\nu_< \frac{1-f}{f}\). The partial derivative term is what has been considered so far, the extra contribution comes from self-energies \(\Sigma^/>\_<\). Naively one may expect the self-energy term to be suppressed by powers of coupling in a weakly coupled system described by the QKT. In fact this is not true. In a simple relaxation time approximation, the self-energy contribution can be estimated as \(\frac{\delta f}{\tau_R}\). The appearance of \(\delta f\) follows from the fact that the self-energy contribution in the covariant derivative vanishes in equilibrium by detailed balance. The combination \(\frac{\delta f}{\tau_R}\) can be further related to \(\partial f_0\) by kinetic equation with \(f_0\) being local equilibrium distribution. Consequently the self-energy contribution is at the same order as the derivative one, with the dependence on coupling completely canceled between \(\frac{1}{\tau_R}\) and \(\delta f\).

A second question we attempt to address is the gauge dependence of spin polarization. Since theoretical calculation is usually done in the QGP phase while experiments measure particle after freezeout. The gauge dependence is only present in the partonic level calculations. On general ground, we expect that it is a gauge invariant spin polarization that is passed through freezeout. However, (1.1) is expressed in terms of self-energy, which is in general gauge dependent. It is necessary to include gauge link contribution to restore gauge invariance. Since collisions are mediated by off-shell particles, it is essential to consider quantum gauge field fluctuations in the gauge link. The quantum gauge field fluctuation also feels the flow via interaction with on-shell fermions. It turns out that there is a similar contribution associated with the gauge link, which is also at the same order as the derivative one. As a conceptual development, we generalize the definition of gauge link to the Schwinger-Keldysh contour, in which the collisional QKT is naturally derived. We also adapt the straight path widely used for background gauge field to the Schwinger-Keldysh contour to allow for consistent treatment of quantum gauge field fluctuations.

The aim of the paper is to evaluate the two contributions mentioned above. We illustrate the calculations by using a massive probe fermion in a massless QED plasma. While the method we use is applicable to arbitrary hydrodynamic flow, we consider the plasma with shear flow only for simplicity. The paper is organized as follows: in section 2, we briefly review the classical limit of QKT, which is the Boltzmann equation widely used in early studies of transport coefficients. By solving the Boltzmann equation we determines the particle redistribution in the presence of shear flow. The information of particle redistribution will be used to calculate the self-energy contribution and the gauge link contribution in sections 3 and 4 respectively. Analytic results can be obtained at the

\(^1\)The definitions of Wigner function in [28] and [37] differ by a sign. We use the latter definition.
leading logarithmic order. The results will be discussed and compared with the derivative contribution in section 5. Finally we summarize and provide outlook in section 6.

2 Particle redistribution in shear flow

We consider a QED plasma with $N_f$ flavor of massless fermions in a shear flow. The shear flow relaxes on the hydrodynamic scale, which is much slower than the relaxation of plasma constituents, thus we can take a steady shear flow. The presence of shear flow leads to redistribution of fermions and photons, which gives rise to off-equilibrium contribution to energy-momentum tensor responsible for shear viscosity. The kinetic equation addressing this problem has been written down long ago [43–45]. The kinetic equation is simply the Boltzmann equation with collision term given by elastic and inelastic scatterings. Recently a QKT for QED has been derived using Wigner function formalism by one of us assuming the lowest order distribution functions being unpolarized [37]. The QKT reduces to the Boltzmann equations for unpolarized distributions in [43–45] when specialized to QED. It also allows for study of polarization effect in next order correction systematically. In particular it links the distribution function $f$ in (1.1) with the unpolarized one satisfying the Boltzmann equation. For simplicity we keep to the leading-logarithmic (LL) order, for which the inelastic scatterings are irrelevant. The resulting Boltzmann equations for fermion and photon read respectively

$$(\partial_t + \hat{p} \cdot \nabla_x) f_p = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{p',k',k} (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(P + K - P' - K') \frac{1}{16 p_0 k_0 p_0' k_0'} 
\times \left[ |M|_{\text{Coul},f}^2 (f_p f_k (1 - f_{p'}) (1 - f_{k'}) - f_{p'} f_{k'} (1 - f_p) (1 - f_k)) 
+ |M|_{\text{Comp},f}^2 (f_p f_{k'} (1 + f_{p'}) (1 - f_{k'}) - f_{p'} f_{k'} (1 - f_p) (1 + f_k)) 
+ |M|_{\text{anni},f}^2 (f_p f_{k'} (1 + f_{p'}) (1 + f_{k'}) - f_{p'} f_{k'} (1 - f_p) (1 - f_k)) \right], \quad (2.1a)$$

$$(\partial_t + \hat{p} \cdot \nabla_x) \tilde{f}_p = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{p',k',k} (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(P + K - P' - K') \frac{1}{16 p_0 k_0 p_0' k_0'} 
\times \left[ |M|_{\text{Comp},\gamma}^2 (\tilde{f}_p f_k (1 - f_{p'}) (1 + f_{k'}) - f_{p'} \tilde{f}_{k'} (1 + f_p) (1 - f_k)) 
+ 2 N_f |M|_{\text{anni},\gamma}^2 (\tilde{f}_p \tilde{f}_k (1 - f_{p'}) (1 - f_{k'}) - f_{p'} \tilde{f}_{k'} (1 + f_p) (1 + f_k)) \right]. \quad (2.1b)$$

We have used $f_p$ and $\tilde{f}_p$ to denote distribution functions for fermions and photon carrying momentum $p$ respectively. $|M|^2$ is partially summed amplitude square with the subscripts “Coul”, “Comp” and “anni” indicate Coulomb, Compton and annihilation processes respectively. The subscripts $f$ and $\gamma$ distinguish the fermionic and photonic amplitude squares, whose explicit expressions we shall present shortly. The overall factor $\frac{1}{2}$ on the r.h.s. coming from spin average and $f_p \equiv \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4}$. When there is imbalance between electron and position, there should be a separate equation for position. We restrict ourselves to neutral plasma, in which the positron distribution is identical to that of anti-fermion.
By rotational symmetry, we expect the parametrization adopts simple relations for the collision term. We solve (2.1) in a gradient expansion. The l.h.s. starts at the first order when the spacetime derivatives act on local equilibrium distributions. The same local equilibrium distributions give a vanishing r.h.s. at zeroth order in gradient by detailed balance. From linear response, we expect the deviation from equilibrium distribution to be proportional to thermal shear thus is first order in gradient. A first order r.h.s. arises as the collision term linearized in the deviation from equilibrium distributions. We can parametrize the local equilibrium distribution by thermal velocity $\beta_\mu = \beta u_\mu$ as $f_p^{(0)} = \frac{1}{e^{\beta \cdot p} + 1}$ and $\tilde{f}_p^{(0)} = \frac{1}{e^{\beta \cdot p} - 1}$ and the thermal shear is given by

$$S_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i \beta_j + \partial_j \beta_i) - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij} \partial \cdot \beta. \quad (2.2)$$

When only thermal shear is present, we can evaluate the l.h.s. as

$$\hat{p}_i \nabla_i f_p^{(0)} = - f_p^{(0)} (1 - f_p^{(0)}) \partial_i \beta_j \frac{P_i P_j}{E_p} = - f_p^{(0)} (1 - f_p^{(0)}) S_{ij} I_{ij} p,$$

$$\hat{p}_i \nabla_i \tilde{f}_p^{(0)} = - \tilde{f}_p^{(0)} (1 + \tilde{f}_p^{(0)}) \partial_i \beta_j \frac{P_i P_j}{E_p} = - \tilde{f}_p^{(0)} (1 + \tilde{f}_p^{(0)}) S_{ij} I_{ij} p, \quad (2.3)$$

with $I_{ij} = \hat{p}_i \hat{p}_j - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij}$ being a symmetric traceless tensor defined with 3-momentum $p$. We have also replaced $P_i P_j$ by its traceless part by traceless property of $S_{ij}$. Following the method in [44], we parametrize the deviation of distributions by

$$f_p^{(1)} = f_p^{(0)} (1 - f_p^{(0)}) \hat{f}_p, \quad \tilde{f}_p^{(1)} = \tilde{f}_p^{(0)} (1 + \tilde{f}_p^{(0)}) \hat{\tilde{f}}_p, \quad (2.4)$$

with the superscripts $(0)$ and $(1)$ counting the order of gradient. To linear order in gradient, the parametrization adopts simple relations for the collision term

$$f_p f_k (1 - f_{p'}) (1 - f_{k'}) - (p, k \leftrightarrow p', k') = f_p^{(0)} f_k^{(0)} (1 - f_p^{(0)}) (1 - f_k^{(0)}) (\hat{f}_p + \hat{f}_k - \hat{f}_{p'} - \hat{f}_{k'}) ,$$

$$f_p \tilde{f}_k (1 + \tilde{f}_{p'}) (1 - f_{k'}) - (p, k \leftrightarrow p', k') = f_p^{(0)} \tilde{f}_k^{(0)} (1 + \tilde{f}_p^{(0)}) (1 - f_k^{(0)}) (\hat{f}_p + \hat{f}_k - \hat{f}_{p'} - \hat{f}_{k'}) ,$$

$$f_p \tilde{f}_k (1 + \tilde{f}_{p'}) (1 + f_{k'}) - (p, k \leftrightarrow p', k') = f_p^{(0)} \tilde{f}_k^{(0)} (1 + \tilde{f}_p^{(0)}) (1 + f_k^{(0)}) (\hat{f}_p + \hat{f}_k - \hat{f}_{p'} - \hat{f}_{k'}) . \quad (2.5)$$

By rotational symmetry, we expect

$$\hat{f}_p = S_{ij} I_{ij}^p \chi(p), \quad \hat{\tilde{f}}_p = S_{ij} I_{ij}^p \gamma(p). \quad (2.6)$$
Using (2.5) and (2.6), we obtain a linearized Boltzmann equation from (2.1):

\[- f_p(1 - f_p) S_{ij} I_{ij}^p p = - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{k}'} \frac{(2\pi)^4}{2} (P + K - P' - K') \frac{1}{16p_0 k_0 p_0' k_0'} S_{ij} \]

\[\times \left[ |\mathcal{M}|^2_{\text{Coul, } f} \left( I_{ij}^p x_{ij} + I_{ij}^{p'} x_{ij} - I_{ij}^{k'} x_{ij} \right) f_p f_k (1 - f_{p'}) (1 - f_{k'}) \right. \]

\[+ |\mathcal{M}|^2_{\text{Comp, } f} \left( I_{ij}^p x_{ij} + I_{ij}^{p'} \gamma_{ij} - I_{ij}^{k'} \gamma_{ij} \right) f_p f_k (1 - f_{p'}) (1 - f_{k'}) \]

\[+ |\mathcal{M}|^2_{\text{anni, } f} \left( I_{ij}^p x_{ij} + I_{ij}^{p'} \gamma_{ij} - I_{ij}^{k'} \gamma_{ij} \right) f_p f_k (1 + f_{p'}) (1 + f_{k'}) \]

\[- \tilde{f}_p(1 + \tilde{f}_p) S_{ij} I_{ij}^p p = - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{k}'} \frac{(2\pi)^4}{2} (P + K - P' - K') \frac{1}{16p_0 k_0 p_0' k_0'} S_{ij} \]

\[\times \left[ |\mathcal{M}|^2_{\text{Coul, } \gamma} \left( I_{ij}^p \gamma p + I_{ij}^{p'} \gamma_{ij} - I_{ij}^{k'} \gamma_{ij} \right) \tilde{f}_p f_k (1 - f_{p'}) (1 - f_{k'}) \right. \]

\[+ |\mathcal{M}|^2_{\text{Comp, } \gamma} \left( I_{ij}^p \gamma p + I_{ij}^{p'} \gamma_{ij} - I_{ij}^{k'} \gamma_{ij} \right) \tilde{f}_p f_k (1 - f_{p'}) (1 - f_{k'}) \]

\[- |\mathcal{M}|^2_{\text{anni, } \gamma} \left( I_{ij}^p \gamma p + I_{ij}^{p'} \gamma_{ij} - I_{ij}^{k'} \gamma_{ij} \right) \tilde{f}_p f_k (1 + f_{p'}) (1 + f_{k'}) \right], \quad (2.7)\]

where we have used short-hand notations \( x_p = \chi(p) \) and \( \gamma_p = \gamma(p) \). \( S_{ij} \) is arbitrary, thus we can equate its coefficient on two sides. The resulting tensor equations can be converted to scalar ones by contracting with \( I_{ij}^p \). The flavor dependence in the amplitude squares can be expressed in terms of elementary amplitude squares as

\[|\mathcal{M}|^2_{\text{Coul, } f} = 2N_f |\mathcal{M}|^2_{\text{Coul}} \]

\[|\mathcal{M}|^2_{\text{Comp, } f} = |\mathcal{M}|^2_{\text{Comp}} \]

\[|\mathcal{M}|^2_{\text{anni, } f} = \frac{1}{2} |\mathcal{M}|^2_{\text{anni}} \]

\[|\mathcal{M}|^2_{\text{Coul, } \gamma} = 2N_f |\mathcal{M}|^2_{\text{Coul}} \]

\[|\mathcal{M}|^2_{\text{Comp, } \gamma} = 2N_f |\mathcal{M}|^2_{\text{Comp}} \]

\[|\mathcal{M}|^2_{\text{anni, } \gamma} = N_f |\mathcal{M}|^2_{\text{anni}}, \quad (2.8)\]

with

\[|\mathcal{M}|^2_{\text{Coul}} = 8e^4 s^2 + u^2 \]

\[|\mathcal{M}|^2_{\text{Comp}} = 8e^4 \frac{s^2}{l^2} \]

\[|\mathcal{M}|^2_{\text{anni}} = 8e^4 \left( \frac{u}{l} + \frac{l}{u} \right) . \]

The factor \( 2N_f \) in Coulomb case comes from scattering with \( N_f \) fermions and anti-fermions. For scattering between identical fermions, the symmetry factor \( \frac{1}{2} \) in the final state is compensated by an identical \( u \)-channel contribution to the LL accuracy. Similarly the factor \( 2N_f \) in the Compton case comes from scattering of photon with \( N_f \) fermions and anti-fermions. The factor \( N_f \) in photon pair annihilation corresponds to \( N_f \) possible final states and \( \frac{1}{2} \) in fermion pair annihilation is a final state symmetry factor.
The phase space integrations are performed in appendix A. The results turn the linearized Boltzmann equations (2.7) into

\[ f_p^{(0)}(1 - f_p^{(0)}) \frac{2p}{3} = e^4 \ln e^{-1} \left( \frac{\pi^3}{8N_f} \cosh^{-2} \frac{\beta p}{2} \left( 6\chi_p + p((-2 + \beta p \tanh \frac{\beta p}{2})\chi_p' - p\chi_p'' ) \right) \right. \]

\[ + \frac{2\chi_p - \gamma_p}{p} \frac{\pi^2}{8\beta^2} \frac{4\pi}{3} f_p^{(0)} (1 + \tilde{f}_p^{(0)}) \left. \right] \]

\[ \tilde{f}_p^{(0)} (1 + \tilde{f}_p^{(0)}) \frac{2p}{3} = e^4 \ln e^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \right) 4N_f \frac{\gamma_p - \chi_p}{p} \frac{\pi^2}{8\beta^2} \frac{4\pi}{3} \tilde{f}_p^{(0)} (1 - f_p^{(0)}). \tag{2.9} \]

The second equation of (2.9) is algebraic. It is solved by

\[ \frac{\gamma_p - \chi_p}{(2\pi)^3} = \frac{1}{e^4 \ln e^{-1}} \frac{2\beta^2}{\pi^2 N_f} p^2 \frac{1 + \tilde{f}_p^{(0)}}{1 - f_p^{(0)}}. \tag{2.10} \]

The first equation is differential and need to be solved numerically. In the limit \( \beta p \gg 1 \), the differential terms are subleading, reducing it to an algebraic equation. Combining with (2.10), we find the following asymptotic solution

\[ \chi(p \to \infty) = \frac{1}{e^4 \ln e^{-1}} \frac{3(1 + 2N_f)\beta^2 p^2}{4\pi^2 N_f^2}. \tag{2.11} \]

We have combined \( \chi_p \) and \( \gamma_p \) with \( \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \) in (2.10) and (2.11). It is convenient as the same factor will appear in phase space integration measure. The numerical solution is obtained with the boundary condition (2.11) and \( \chi(p = 0) = 0 \).\(^2\) In fact, it has been pointed out in [44] that the ansatz \( \chi_p, \gamma_p \sim p^2 \) gives very good approximation to the numerical solution. Figure 1 compares (2.11) with numerical solution, confirming this point. As a further check, we calculate shear viscosity for plasma at constant temperature. In this case

\(^2\)A series analysis of the differential equation in (2.9) around \( p = 0 \) indicate \( \chi(p) \sim p^2 \).
Figure 2. Self-energy of probe fermion from Coulomb scattering with medium fermion. The massive probe fermion carries momentum $P$ and the massless medium fermions run in the loop.

$T_{ij} = \eta T_{ij}$. Expressing $T_{ij}$ using kinetic theory, we obtain

$$\eta = \frac{1}{15} \int p \left[ 4 N_f f_p (1 - f_p) \chi_p + 2 \bar{f}_p (1 + \bar{f}_p) \gamma_p \right].$$  \hspace{2cm} (2.12)

Integrations with numerical solution reproduces the corresponding entries in table I of [45]. Integrations with approximate solution (2.10) and (2.11) gives results with an error of about 1% for $N_f = 1$ and about 3% for $N_f = 2$. We will simply use the approximate solution in the analysis below.

### 3 Self-energy correction

In the previous section, we have determined the redistribution of constituents in plasma with thermal shear. Now we introduce a massive probe fermion to the plasma and study its polarization in the shear flow. To this end, we need to calculate self-energy correction to axial component of its Wigner function (1.1). In general both Coulomb and Compton scatterings contribute to the self-energy. Following [26], we take the heavy probe limit $m \gg eT$ so that the Coulomb scattering dominates in the self-energy. The Coulomb contribution to the self-energy diagram is depicted in figure 2. We evaluate the self-energy as

$$\Sigma^>(P) = \pm e^4 N_f \int_{P', K', K} (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 (P + K - P' - K') \gamma^\mu S^>(P') \gamma^\nu D^{22}_{\mu\beta}(-Q) D^{11}_{\alpha\nu}(-Q) \times \text{tr} \left[ \gamma^\alpha S^<(K) \gamma^\beta S^>(K') \right],$$  \hspace{2cm} (3.1)

with $f_p = \int \frac{dp}{(2\pi)^3}$ and $Q = P' - P$. $\Sigma^<$ can be obtained by the replacement $>\leftrightarrow<$, 11 $\leftrightarrow$ 22. The propagators in (3.1) are given by

$$S^>(P) = 2\pi \epsilon(p_0)(P + m)(1 - f_p) \delta(P^2 - m^2), \quad S^<(K) = 2\pi \epsilon(k_0) K(1 - f_k) \delta(K^2),$$

$$D^{22}_{\mu\beta}(-Q) = \frac{i g_{\mu\beta}}{Q^2}, \quad D^{11}_{\alpha\nu}(-Q) = -\frac{i g_{\alpha\nu}}{Q^2}. \hspace{2cm} (3.2)$$

For probe fermion, pair annihilation is irrelevant.

$\Sigma^>(x, y)$ is defined by $-e^2 \langle \bar{A}(x) \psi(x) \bar{\psi}(y) A(y) \rangle$. 

---

---
We have indicated propagators of medium fermions by an underline. \( S^\leq \) can be obtained by the replacement \( 1 - f_k \rightarrow -f_k \). Feynman gauge is used for photon propagators. The component of self-energy contributing to polarization is \( \Sigma^{>\lambda} = \frac{1}{4} \text{tr} \left[ \Sigma^{>}(P)\gamma^\lambda \right] \). The traces involved in this component are evaluated as

\[
\text{tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu S^{>}(P')\gamma^\nu \gamma^\lambda \right] = 4 \left( P'^\mu g^\nu\lambda + P'^\nu g^\mu\lambda - P'^\lambda g^\mu\nu \right) 2\pi\epsilon(p'_0)\delta(P'^2 - m^2)(1 - f'_p),
\]

\[
\text{tr} \left[ \gamma^\alpha S^{<(K)}\gamma^\beta S^{>(K')} \right] = 4 \left( K^\alpha K'^\beta + K'^\alpha K^\beta - K \cdot K' g^{\alpha\beta} \right) (2\pi)^2\epsilon(k_0)\epsilon(k'_0) \times \delta(K^2)\delta(K'^2)(-f_k)(1 - f'_k).
\]

Note that the LL contribution arises from the regime \( q \ll P, K \), we may replace \( \epsilon(p'_0) \approx \epsilon(p_0) = 1 \) for probe fermion and \( \epsilon(k_0)\epsilon(k'_0) \approx \epsilon(k_0)^2 = 1 \). Below we assume an equilibrium distribution for probe fermion for illustration purpose. Relaxation of this assumption only involves unnecessary complication. It can be important for realistic modeling of phenomenology, which will be studied elsewhere. The medium fermions is off-equilibrium, with the distribution determined in the previous section. The combination needed for polarization is \(-f_p \Sigma^>_k(P) - (1 - f_p) \Sigma^<_k(P)\). Using (3.1) and (3.3), we obtain

\[
-f_p \Sigma^>_k(P) - (1 - f_p) \Sigma^<_k(P)
\]

\[
= -16e^4N_f \int d^3kd^3q \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \delta(p_0 + k_0 - k'_0) \frac{1}{8p'_0k_0k'_0} [2k_p \cdot K - q_k P \cdot K] \frac{1}{(Q^2)^2} \times (f_p(1 - f'_p))f_k(1 - f_k)
\]

\[
= -16e^4N_f \int d^3kd^3q \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \delta(p_0 - p'_0 + q_0) \delta(k_0 - k'_0 - q_0) \frac{1}{8p'_0k_0k'_0} [k_k P \cdot K' + k'_k P \cdot K] \times \frac{1}{(Q^2)^2} S_{ij} \left( I_{ij}^k \chi_k - I_{ij}^k \chi_k' \right) f_p^{(0)} f_k^{(0)} (1 - f_p^{(0)}) (1 - f_k^{(0)})
\]

\[
\equiv S_{ij} R_{ijk}.
\]

We have inserted a factor of 2 corresponding to fermions and anti-fermion in the loop and kept term up to \( O(q^2) \) in the square bracket. In the second equality, we have used the assumption that only the distribution of medium fermions is off-equilibrium. \( R_{ijk} \) involves complicated tensor integrals of \( \vec{k} \) and \( \vec{k}' \). They are evaluated by first converting to tensor integrals of \( \vec{q} \) by rotational symmetry and \( \delta(k_0 - k'_0 - q_0) \), which correlates \( \vec{k} \) and \( \vec{q} \). The resulting tensor integrals of \( \vec{q} \) are further performed with rotational symmetry and \( \delta(p_0 - p'_0 + q_0) \). Details of the evaluation can be found in appendix B. In the end, we find the following component relevant for spin polarization

\[
A^i = 2\pi \epsilon^{ijk}p_j R_{mnk}S_{mn} \delta(p^2 - m^2) \simeq -\frac{1}{p_0 + m} (J_2 + I_3) \frac{\epsilon^{iml}p_mp_l S_{mn}}{p^3} \delta(p^2 - m^2) C_f,
\]

\[
(3.5)
\]

\[
-8-
\]
with
\[ I_2 = \frac{\pi^2 \cosh^{-2} \frac{\beta p_0}{2} \left( (15p^4 - 87p^2 p_0^2 + 72p_0^4) \ln \left( \frac{p_0 - p}{p_0 + p} \right) + \frac{8p_0^5}{p_0} - 126p_0^3 + 144p_0p^3 \right)}{72\beta} + \frac{3 \cosh^{-2} \frac{\beta p_0}{2} \left( (12p^2 p_0 - 12p_0^3) \ln \left( \frac{p_0 - p}{p_0 + p} \right) + 28p^3 - \frac{28p_0^5}{3p_0} - 24p_0p^3 \right) \zeta(3)}{8\beta^2} \]
\[ I_3 = - \frac{(p^4 - 9p^2 p_0^2 + 8p_0^4) \ln \left( \frac{p_0 - p}{p_0 + p} \right) - \frac{38p_0^3 p^3}{3} + 16p_0^3 p}{4\beta (1 + \cosh(\beta p_0))} \zeta(3) \]
(3.6)
and \( C_f = \frac{3N_f(1+2N_f)}{4\pi^2 N_f^2} \). We reiterate that the self-energy correction scales as \( \partial f(0) \), with the dependence on coupling cancels as follows: \( e^4 \) from vertices and \( \ln e^{-1} \) from LL enhancement combine to give \( \frac{1}{T_0} \sim e^4 \ln e^{-1} \), which is canceled by a counterpart in \( f(1) \sim e^4 \ln e^{-1} \).

Before closing this section, we wish to comment on the gauge dependence of (3.6). We illustrate this with a comparison of Feynman gauge and Coulomb gauge. Let us rewrite (3.1) as

\[ \Sigma^\gamma = e^2 \int_Q \gamma^\mu S^\gamma(P') \gamma^\nu D^{\alpha\beta}_{\mu\nu}(-Q) D^{\alpha\beta}_{\mu\nu}(-Q) \Pi^{\alpha\beta}(Q), \]
(3.7)

with \( \Pi^{\alpha\beta}(Q) \) being the off-equilibrium photon self-energy. In the presence of shear flow, the self-energy can be decomposed into four independent tensor structures as

\[ \Pi^{\alpha\beta}(Q) = P^{\alpha\beta}_T \Pi^\gamma_T + P^{\alpha\beta}_L \Pi^\gamma_L + P^{\alpha\beta}_{TT} \Pi^\gamma_{TT} + P^{\alpha\beta}_{LT} \Pi^\gamma_{LT}. \]
(3.8)

Here \( P_T/L \) are transverse and longitudinal projectors defined by

\[ P^{\alpha\beta}_T = P^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{P^{\alpha\rho} P^{\beta\sigma} Q^\rho Q^\sigma}{q^2}, \quad P^{\alpha\beta}_L = P^{\alpha\beta} - P^{\alpha\beta}_T, \]
(3.9)

with \( P^{\alpha\beta} = u^\alpha u^\beta - g^{\alpha\beta} \). \( P^{\alpha\beta}_{TT} \) and \( P^{\alpha\beta}_{LT} \) are emergent projectors owning to the shear flow, which are constructed as\(^5\)

\[ P^{\alpha\beta}_{TT} = P^{\alpha\beta}_T S_{\rho\sigma} P^{\alpha\beta}_T, \quad P^{\alpha\beta}_{LT} = P^{\alpha\beta}_L S_{\rho\sigma} P^{\alpha\beta}_T + (L \leftrightarrow T). \]
(3.10)

Note that photon self-energy is gauge invariant but propagator is not. Now we illustrate gauge dependence is generically present by using Feynman and Coulomb gauges.

For LL accuracy, we can simply use bare photon propagators in (3.7). For spacelike momentum \( Q \) relevant for our case, we have a simple relation \( D^{11}_{\alpha\beta} = -D^{22}_{\alpha\beta} = -iD^{\alpha\beta}_R \). The retarded propagator in Feynman and Coulomb gauges have the following representations

Feynman: \( D^R_{\alpha\beta} = P^{\alpha\beta}_T \frac{-1}{Q^2} + \left( \frac{Q^2}{q^2} u^\alpha u^\beta - \frac{g_0 (u^\alpha Q^\beta + u^\beta Q^\alpha)}{q^2} + \frac{Q^\alpha Q^\beta}{q^2} \right) \frac{-1}{Q^2} \)

Coulomb: \( D^R_{\alpha\beta} = P^{\alpha\beta}_T \frac{-1}{Q^2} + \left( \frac{Q^2}{q^2} u^\alpha u^\beta \right) \frac{-1}{Q^2} \).
(3.11)

\(^5\)The obvious structure constructed by sandwiching \( S_{\rho\sigma} \) with two \( P_L \) is not independent.
Using (3.8) and (3.11), we easily see contribution to $\Sigma^<$ from $\Pi^<$ is identical to $\Pi^T$ in two gauges. For contribution from $\Pi_L^<$ and $\Pi_{LT}^<$, we use Ward identity $\Pi_{\alpha\beta}^\alpha Q_\alpha = 0$, $P_{\nu}^{Tb} u_\alpha = 0$ to find the following structures, which are present only in Feynman gauge

$$\Pi_L^\alpha u_\alpha Q_\mu Q_\nu, \quad \Pi_L^\alpha u_\beta u_\alpha Q_\mu Q_\nu + (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu), \quad \Pi_{LT}^\alpha u_\alpha Q_\mu P_{\beta\nu}^T + (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu).$$

(3.12)

We have also confirmed the gauge dependence of self-energy contribution by explicit calculations.

4 Gauge link contribution

The gauge dependence we found in the previous section should not be a surprise. The reason is the underlying quantum kinetic theory is derived using a gauge fixed propagators. For Wigner function of the probe fermion, its gauge dependence can be removed by inserting a gauge link. If the gauge field in the link is external, i.e. a classical background, the gauge link simply becomes a complex phase. However, when we consider self-energy of fermions arising from exchanging quantum gauge fields, we need to worry about ordering of quantum field operators from expanding the gauge link and interaction vertex. A systematic treatment of the ordering is still not available at present. We will follow a different approach.

When fluctuations of quantum gauge fields appear both in the interaction vertices and in the gauge link, it is natural to order them on the Schwinger-Keldysh contour. The latter is also the base of collisional kinetic theory in the recent development of quantum kinetic theory. However we immediately find the well-known straight path for the gauge link becomes inadequate for the Wigner function joining points on forward and backward contours. To find a proper generalization in Schwingwer-Keldysh contour, let us take a close look at the gauge transformation of the bare Wigner function $S^<(x, y)$:

$$S^<(x, y) \rightarrow e^{-ie\alpha_2(y)} S^<(x, y) e^{ie\alpha_1(x)},$$

(4.1)

with $\alpha_{1,2}$ being gauge parameters on contour 1 and 2 respectively. If there is only classical background field, the gauge fields on contour 1 and 2 are the same, we may take $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$. In this case, placing the straight path on either contour is equivalent. This is no longer true when quantum fluctuations are present. We propose to use double gauge links

$$\bar{S}^<(x, y) = \psi_1(x) \bar{\psi}_2(y) U_2(y, \infty) U_1(\infty, x),$$

(4.2)

with $U_i(y, x) = \exp \left( -ie \int_y^x dw \cdot A_i(w) \right)$ and the $i = 1, 2$ identifying the forward and backward contours respectively. Assuming quantum fluctuations vanishes at past and future infinities, we easily arrive at the gauge invariance of (4.2). We have not specified the paths for the gauge links appearing in (4.2). A natural choice would be to take the straight line joining $x$ and $y$ and extending to future infinite. This is illustrated in figure 3. When there
is only classical background gauge field, $A_1 = A_2$ so that the two gauge links in (4.2) cancel partially, leaving a phase from the straight path between $x$ and $y$.

Now we are ready to evaluate possible corrections associated with the gauge link. Note that we need a correction of $O(\partial f_0)$. Such a contribution can arise from the diagram in figure 4. We shall evaluate its contribution to axial component of Wigner function below. Note that the diagram in figure 4 contains one quantum fluctuation of gauge field from the link and the other from the interaction vertex. Both fluctuations can occur on either contour 1 or 2, and they need to be contour ordered. Enumerating all possible insertions of the two gauge fields along the Schwinger-Keldysh contour, we obtain

$$
-e^2 S_{11}(x, z) \gamma^\lambda S^<(z, y) \left( \int_x^\infty dw^\mu D_{\lambda\mu}^{11}(z, w) + \int_y^\infty dw^\mu D_{\lambda\mu}^< (z, w) \right) \\
+e^2 S^<(x, z) \gamma^\lambda S_{22}(z, y) \left( \int_x^\infty dw^\mu D_{\lambda\mu}^{<2}(z, w) + \int_y^\infty dw^\mu D_{\lambda\mu}^{22}(z, w) \right),
$$

(4.3)

where the two lines corresponding to the vertex coordinate $z$ taking values on contour 1 and 2 respectively and the two terms in either bracket corresponding to link coordinate $w$ taking values on contour 1 and 2 respectively. The relative sign comes from sign difference of vertices on contour 1 and 2. $D_{\lambda\mu}^{>/<}$ stands for resummed photon propagators in medium with shear flow.
Using $S_{11} = -iS_R + S^\rho$ and $S_{22} = S^\rho + iS_A$ and the representation

\begin{align*}
S_R &= \text{Re} S_R + \frac{i}{2} (S^\rho - S^\rho) \simeq \frac{i}{2} (S^\rho - S^\rho), \\
S_A &= \text{Re} S_R - \frac{i}{2} (S^\rho - S^\rho) \simeq -\frac{i}{2} (S^\rho - S^\rho), \quad \text{(4.4)}
\end{align*}

we obtain $S_{11} \simeq S_{22} \simeq \frac{1}{3} (S^\rho + S^\rho)$ with $\text{Re} S_R$ ignored in the quasi-particle approximation. Similar expressions can be obtained for $D_R$. Plugging the resulting expressions into (4.3), we have

\begin{align*}
&-\frac{e^2}{2} \left[ S^\rho(x, z) \gamma^\lambda S^\rho(z, y) \int_y^x dw^\mu D^\lambda_\mu(z, w) - S^\rho(x, z) \gamma^\lambda S^\rho(z, y) \int_y^x dw^\mu D^\lambda_\mu(z, w) \right] \\
&-\frac{e^2}{2} S^\rho(x, z) \gamma^\lambda S^\rho(z, y) \int_y^x dw^\mu \left( D^\lambda_\mu(z, w) - D^\lambda_\mu(z, w) \right) \\
&-e^2 S_{11}(x, z) \gamma^\lambda S^\rho(z, y) \int_y^\infty dw^\mu \frac{1}{2} \left( D^\lambda_\mu(z, w) - D^\lambda_\mu(z, w) \right) \\
&-e^2 S^\rho(x, z) \gamma^\lambda S^\rho(z, y) \int_y^\infty dw^\mu \frac{1}{2} \left( D^\lambda_\mu(z, w) - D^\lambda_\mu(z, w) \right). \quad \text{(4.5)}
\end{align*}

The first line is very similar to what we have considered in self-energy correction. The other lines are all proportional to the photon spectral density $\rho_\lambda(z, w) = D^\lambda_\mu(z, w) - D^\lambda_\mu(z, w)$, which is medium independent, thus the other lines are subleading compared to the first one. Below we keep only the first line.

The spin polarization of probe fermion comes from axial component of the Wigner function. We apply Wigner transform to the first line of (4.5). Since the two terms are simply related by $\leftrightarrow$, we focus on the evaluation of the first term. Its Wigner transform is given by

\begin{align*}
&-\frac{e^2}{2} \int_{s, z, w} e^{i P \cdot s} \int_{P_1, P_2, Q} S^\rho(P_1) \gamma^\lambda S^\rho(P_2) D^\rho_\lambda(-Q) e^{-i P_1(x-z) - i P_2(z-y) + i Q(z-w)}. \quad \text{(4.6)}
\end{align*}

The $z$-integration imposes momentum conservation as $\int_z e^{i (P_1 - P_2 + Q) \cdot z} = \delta(P_1 - P_2 + Q)$, which allows us to simplify the remaining exponentials as $e^{i P \cdot s} e^{-i P_1(x-y) + i Q(y-w)}$. The $w$-integration is performed along the straight line

\begin{align*}
\int_y^x dw^\rho e^{-i Q \cdot (w-y)} &= \int_0^1 ds^\rho e^{-i Q \cdot s} \simeq s^\rho, \quad \text{(4.7)}
\end{align*}

where we have used $Q \cdot s \ll 1$. The condition corresponds to exchange of soft photon, which is necessary for LL enhancement as we already know from the self-energy calculations. We finally replace $s^\rho \to -i \frac{\partial}{\partial P_\rho}$ to arrive at

\begin{align*}
&\frac{ie^2}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial P_\rho} \int_{Q} S^\rho(P) \gamma^\lambda S^\rho(P + Q) D^\rho_\lambda(-Q). \quad \text{(4.8)}
\end{align*}

For the axial component, we need the following trace

\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{4} \text{tr} \left[ (\not{P} + m) \gamma^\lambda (\not{P} + Q + m) \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \right] &= -ie^{\alpha \beta} P_\alpha Q_\beta. \quad \text{(4.9)}
\end{align*}
Collecting everything, we obtain the following contributions to axial component of Wigner function

\[
-\frac{e^2}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial P_\rho} \left[ \int_Q \left( (1 - f_p)f_{P'\rho}D_{\lambda'}(Q) - f_p(1 - f_{P'\rho})D_{\lambda'}(Q) \right) \epsilon^{\alpha\lambda\beta\mu} P_{\alpha\beta} Q_{\mu}(2\pi)^2 \times \delta(P^2 - m^2)\delta(P'^2 - m^2) \right],
\]

with \( P' = P + Q \). We further use explicit representation of photon propagators in Feynman gauge

\[
D_{\lambda'}(Q) = (-1)2N_f e^2 \int_K \text{tr} [K \gamma^\alpha K' \gamma^\beta] (1 - f_k)(-f_{k'}) \frac{-i g_\lambda^\alpha i g_\beta^\beta}{Q^2} (2\pi)^2 \delta(K^2) \delta(K'^2),
\]

with \( K' = K - Q \). For the purpose of extracting LL result, we have used bare propagators for photons. The factor of \( 2N_f \) arises from equal contributions from \( N_f \) flavors of fermion and anti-fermion in the medium. A similar expression for \( D_{\lambda'}(Q) \) can be obtained by interchanging \( K \) and \( K' \) in (4.11). Plugging (4.11) into (4.10), we have

\[
+N_f \frac{\partial}{\partial P_\rho} \left[ \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \int 2k_0 2p_0^2 2k' \delta(2K \cdot Q) \epsilon^{\alpha\lambda\beta\mu} P_{\alpha\beta} Q_{\mu}(2\pi)^2 \delta(P^2 - m^2)\delta(P'^2 - m^2) \right].
\]

It has a similar structure with loss and gain terms as the self-energy counterpart (3.4), thus a result proportional to the shear gradient is expected when we take into account redistribution of particles through \( f \to f^{(0)} + f^{(1)} \). The remaining task of evaluating the phase space integrals are tedious but straightforward with method sketched in the previous section. Here we simply list the final results with details collected in appendix C

\[
\mathcal{A}' = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} C_f \frac{9\zeta(3)}{2\beta^4} (J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4) \epsilon^{\alpha\lambda\beta\mu} P_{\alpha\beta} Q_{\mu}(2\pi)^2 \delta(P^2 - m^2),
\]

with

\[
J_1 = \frac{8\pi \beta^2 p^3}{p_0},
J_2 = -\frac{8\pi \beta^2 p_0^5}{p_0^3},
J_3 = -\frac{4\pi \beta^2 \left( 8p^3 - 56p^3 p_0^3 + 66pp_0^4 + (6p_0^6 - 39p^2 p_0^4 + 33p_0^6) \ln \frac{p_0 - p}{p_0 + p} \right)}{9p_0^3},
J_4 = -\frac{1 + e^{\beta p_0} 2\pi \beta^3 (-2p^2 + 11p_0^2) \left( -4p^3 + 6pp_0^2 + (3p_0^3 - 3p^2 p_0) \ln \frac{p_0 - p}{p_0 + p} \right)}{1 + e^{\beta p_0}}. (4.14)
\]
The regularity of the results is also non-trivial in that the logarithmically divergent factor we have regularity of the results serves as a check of our results. For the relativistic limit since we expect the spin polarization to be well-defined in the non-relativistic limit. The denominator in (5.2), which holds separately for self-energy and gauge link contribution.

The fact that the non-relativistic limit is regular in $m \ll p$ and relativistic limit $m \gg p$, we have

$$A_0^i = \frac{2\pi}{2(p_0 + m)} \epsilon^{iml} p_n p_l S_{mn} f_p (1 - f_p) \delta(P^2 - m^2),$$

$$A_\Sigma^i = -C_f \frac{1}{(p_0 + m)p} \epsilon^{iml} p_n p_l S_{mn} (I_2 + I_3) \delta(P^2 - m^2),$$

$$A_U^i = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{9\zeta(3)}{2\beta^4} C_f \frac{1}{2p^5} \epsilon^{iml} p_n p_l S_{mn} (J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4) f_p (1 - f_p) \delta(P^2 - m^2).$$

(5.1)

The first two lines come from partial derivative and self-energy terms in (1.1) respectively. The third line comes from the gauge link contribution. The first one is known in the literature [16, 17]. The second and third ones are the main results of the paper. The expressions of $I$ and $J$ can be found in (3.6) and (4.14).

It is instructive to take limits to gain some insights from the long expressions. We consider the limit $p_0 \gg T$, which allows us to replace in (3.6) the cosh functions by Boltzmann factors and tanh function by unity. Similarly $f_p (1 - f_p)$ can also be replaced by Boltzmann factor. The limits further allows us to neglect the second line in $I_2$ and $J_1$ through $J_3$. On top of this, we consider separately non-relativistic $m \gg p$ and relativistic limit $m \ll p$. For the former $m \gg p$, we have

$$A_0^i \sim \pi \frac{\epsilon^{iml} p_n p_l S_{mn} e^{-\beta p_0} \delta(P^2 - m^2)},$$

$$A_\Sigma^i \sim -\frac{9\zeta(3) C_f}{5\beta m^2} \epsilon^{iml} p_n p_l S_{mn} e^{-\beta p_0} \delta(P^2 - m^2),$$

$$A_U^i \sim -\frac{11\zeta(3) C_f}{5\beta m^2} \epsilon^{iml} p_n p_l S_{mn} e^{-\beta p_0} \delta(P^2 - m^2).$$

(5.2)

The fact that the non-relativistic limit is regular in $p$ is a non-trivial: it follows from a cancellation between powers of $p$ from expansion of $I$’s and $J$’s in the numerator and $p^5$ in the denominator in (5.2), which holds separately for self-energy and gauge link contribution. Since we expect the spin polarization to be well-defined in the non-relativistic limit. The regularity of the results serves as a check of our results. For the relativistic limit $m \ll p$, we have

$$A_0^i \sim \frac{\pi}{p} \frac{\epsilon^{iml} p_n p_l S_{mn} e^{-\beta p_0} \delta(P^2 - m^2)},$$

$$A_\Sigma^i \sim \frac{(2\pi^2 - 135\zeta(3)) C_f}{9\beta p^2} \epsilon^{iml} p_n p_l S_{mn} e^{-\beta p_0} \delta(P^2 - m^2),$$

$$A_U^i \sim -\frac{9\zeta(3) C_f}{2\beta p^2} \epsilon^{iml} p_n p_l S_{mn} e^{-\beta p_0} \delta(P^2 - m^2).$$

(5.3)

The regularity of the results is also non-trivial in that the logarithmically divergent factor $\ln \frac{p_0 - p}{p_0 + p}$ as $P \to \infty$ is compensated by a vanishing prefactor in both self-energy and gauge

---

Footnotes:

6Note that we have assumed the probe fermion has an equilibrium distribution $f_p = f_p^{(0)}$.

7In arriving at the first line, an identity similar to (2.3) needs to be used.

8Note that we can still have $m \gg \epsilon T$ such that Ignoring Compton scattering is justified.
Figure 5. $B_M/B_0$ versus $p/T$ for probe fermion mass $m = 100$ MeV at $T = 150$ MeV for $N_f = 2$. $B_M$ are defined by $A^i_M = B_M \epsilon^{mir} p_r p_i S_{rn}$ with $M = \partial, \Sigma, U$.

link contributions in the relativistic limit. It is worth mentioning that in both limits $A^i_\Sigma$ and $A^i_U$ have opposite sign to $A^i_\partial$. The magnitude of $A^i_\Sigma$ is larger (smaller) than $A^i_U$ in the non-relativistic (relativistic) limit. In the limit $p_0 \gg T$ we consider, $A^i_\Sigma$ and $A^i_U$ are suppressed by the factor $\frac{1}{2m}$ or $\frac{1}{2p}$ compared to $A^i_\partial$. The suppression factor can be easily understood from (5.1): $A^i_\partial$ depends on the temperature through the factor $f_p(1 - f_p)$, which arises from our local equilibrium assumption on the distribution function of the probe fermion. The other two contributions originate from collisions between probe fermion and medium fermion, thus is characterized by at least one power of temperature, giving rise to a factor $\frac{T}{p_0}$ or $\frac{T}{p}$, which is consistent with the explicit limits we have. The medium dependence is also reflected in the constant $C_f$, which encodes the field content of the medium. In view of application to spin polarization in heavy ion collisions, the contributions from self-energy and gauge link depend on the numerical factors. We plot in figure 5 three contributions for phenomenologically motivated parameters, with the caveat that our QED calculation is only meant to provide insights to QCD case. We take $m = 100$ MeV, $T = 150$ MeV and $p$ in the range of a few GeV. The plot shows for a combined contribution from self-energy and gauge link leads to a modest suppression of the derivative contribution.

6 Summary and outlook

We have revisited spin polarization in a shear flow and found two new contributions. The first one is the self-energy contribution arising from particle redistribution in the shear flow. We illustrate it with a massive probe fermion in a massless QED plasma. It is found that the self-energy contribution is parametrically the same as the derivative contribution considered in the literature.

The self-energy contribution is gauge dependent. In order to restore the gauge invariance of spin polarization, we have proposed a gauge invariant Wigner function, which contains double gauge links stretching along the Schwinger-Keldysh contour. This allows us to include gauge field fluctuations in both forward and backward contours, which is needed for consistent description of gauge field mediated collisions. We have found a second contribution associated with the gauge link, which is also parametrically of the same order.
Both contributions come from particle redistribution in the medium due to the shear flow. The particle redistribution is determined in a steady shear flow, thus the two contributions correspond to non-dynamical ones. A complete description of spin polarization still lacks a dynamical contribution corresponding to the term $a^\mu f_A$ in (1.1). Note that $a^\mu f_A$ satisfies its own kinetic equation following from next order gradient expansion of the QKT, cf (103) of [37], with a collision term necessarily involving non-local collisions. Such a contribution has been identified recently in [46]. It is worth pointing out that current phenomenological studies seem to indicate an insufficient magnitude from the derivative contribution as compared to measured spin polarization data [19, 20]. The suppression from the new contributions found in this workseems to point to an important role by the dynamical contribution. Initial efforts have already been made already in [47, 48].

For phenomenological application, several generalizations of the present work are needed: first of all it is crucial to generalize the QED analysis to QCD case. Such a generalization in collisionless limit has been made in [49, 50]. In the collisional case, we expect the redistribution of both quarks and gluons to play a role; secondly going beyond the LL order is necessary to understand the significance of Compton and annihilation processes in the spin polarization problem; last but not least it is also important to relax our assumption of the equilibrium distribution for the probe fermion. These will be reported elsewhere.
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A Phase space integrations in Boltzmann equation

In this appendix, we perform the phase space integral of the r.h.s. of (2.7). As we remarked earlier, the actual integral equation we solve is with $S_{ij}$ replaced by $I_{ij}$. We first rewrite the integral measure as

$$\int_{P',K'} (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 (P + K - P' - K') = \int \frac{d^3 k d^3 q d^4 q_0}{(2\pi)^6} \delta(p_0 - p_0' + q_0) \delta(k_0 - k_0' - q_0),$$

(A.1)

with $Q = P' - P = K - K'$. We then decompose the vector $\vec{q}$ and $\vec{k}$ as

$$\vec{q} = q \cos \theta \hat{p} + \vec{q}_\perp, \quad \vec{k} = k \cos \theta' \hat{p} + \vec{k}_\perp,$$

(A.2)

with $\theta(\theta')$ being angles between $\vec{q}(\vec{k})$ and $\hat{p}$. This allows us to rewrite the integration measure as

$$\int d^3 k d^3 q = \int q^2 dq d\theta d\phi_{qp} k^2 dk d\theta' d\phi_{kp},$$

(A.3)

where $\phi_{qp}$ and $\phi_{kp}$ are azimuthal angles of $\vec{q}$ and $\vec{k}$.

The evaluation of the integral simplifies significantly in the LL approximation, which is known to arise in the region $q \ll p, k$ such that we can perform an expansion in $q$ [44]. Let
It remains to perform the tensor contractions. Combining (A.6) and (A.7) with
\[ \delta \text{ function is easily integrated to give} \]
\[ \cos \theta = \cos \bar{\theta}, \]
Here \( k \) is the angle between \( \vec{q} \) and \( \vec{k} \), and corrections to the arguments higher order in \( q \) have been ignored. We first perform the azimuthal angle integration
\[ \int d\phi \delta (k_0 - k_0' - q_0) = \int d\bar{\phi} \delta (\cos \Omega - q_0') \]
where \( \Omega \) is the angle between \( \vec{q} \) and \( \vec{k} \) and corrections to the arguments higher order in \( q \) have been ignored. We first perform the azimuthal angle integration
\[ \int d\phi \delta (k_0 - k_0' - q_0) \]
\[ \simeq 2\pi \frac{2}{q(1 + \frac{q_0}{p})} \left( - \cos^2 \theta' + 2 \cos \theta' \cos \Omega + 1 - \cos^2 \theta - \cos^2 \Omega \right)^{1/2}. \]
Here \( \bar{\phi} \) and \( \Delta \phi \) are the average and difference of \( \phi_{ap} \) and \( \phi_{kp} \). The delta function fixes \( \Delta \phi \) through \( \cos \Omega = \cos \theta \cos \theta' + \sin \theta \sin \theta' \cos \Delta \phi \). The square root constrains the integration domain of \( \cos \theta' \) as: \( \cos \theta \cos \Omega - \sin \theta \sin \Omega < \cos \theta' < \cos \theta \cos \Omega + \sin \theta \sin \Omega \). The other delta function is easily integrated to give
\[ \int d\cos \theta' \delta (p_0 - p_0' + q_0) \simeq \frac{1}{q \left( 1 - \frac{q_0}{p} \right)}. \]
Combining (A.6) and (A.7) with \( \frac{1}{16p_0 k_0 p_0' k_0'} \), we obtain a simpler expression
\[ \simeq 2\pi \frac{2}{q^2 (1 + \frac{q_0}{p})} \left( - \cos^2 \theta' + 2 \cos \theta' \cos \Omega + 1 - \cos^2 \theta - \cos^2 \Omega \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{q \left( 1 - \frac{q_0}{p} \right)} \frac{1}{16p_0 k_0 p_0' k_0'}, \]
\[ \simeq \frac{2\pi}{2} \frac{2}{q^2 (1 + \frac{q_0}{p})} \left( - \cos^2 \theta' + 2 \cos \theta' \cos \Omega + 1 - \cos^2 \theta - \cos^2 \Omega \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{16p_0 k_0 p_0' k_0'}. \]
It remains to perform the tensor contractions
\[ I_{pp} \equiv I_{ij}^p \left( I_{ij}^p \chi_p - I_{ij}^{p'} \chi_{p'} \right) = \frac{2}{3} \chi_p - \left( \hat{p} \cdot \hat{p}' \right)^2 \chi_{p'}, \]
\[ I_{pk} \equiv I_{ij}^p \left( I_{ij}^p \chi_k - I_{ij}^{p'} \chi_{k'} \right) = \left( \hat{p} \cdot \hat{k} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{3} \chi_k - \left( \hat{p} \cdot \hat{k}' \right)^2 \chi_{k'}. \]
Using the following relations
\[
\hat{p} \cdot \hat{p}' = \frac{p + q \cos \theta}{p + q_0}, \quad \hat{p} \cdot \hat{k} = \cos \theta', \quad \hat{p} \cdot \hat{k}' = \frac{k \cos \theta' - q \cos \theta}{k - q_0},
\] (A.10)
and expanding \(\chi_p' = \chi_p + q_0 \chi_p' + \frac{1}{2} q_0^2 \chi_p''\), \(\chi_k' = \chi_k - q_0 \chi_k' + \frac{1}{2} q_0^2 \chi_k''\), we find the following types of integrals
\[
\int \cos \theta' \cos^n \theta' \left( -\cos^2 \theta' + 2 \cos \theta' \cos \Omega + 1 - \cos^2 \theta - \cos^2 \Omega \right)^{1/2},
\] (A.11)
with \(n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4\). These integrals evaluate to polynomials in \(\cos \theta \cos \Omega\) and \(\sin \theta \sin \Omega\), whose values are already fixed by delta functions. We can then perform integrations over \(q_0\) and \(q\) in order. It turns out that all the potentially \(\frac{1}{q}\) divergence vanish after integration over \(q_0\). This occurs because the integrand is odd in \(q_0\), leaving a logarithmic divergence. The divergence can be rendered finite by screening effect through self-energy of soft photon. Fortunately to extract the LL result, we can simply impose cutoffs in the integral \(\int_{eT} d\eta \) without explicit inclusion of self-energy, which gives the LL enhancement factor \(\ln e^{-1}\) [44].

Another significant simplification arises because terms depending on \(\chi_k\) and its derivatives vanish identically. It follows that the remaining \(k\)-integration can be performed explicitly, turning the integro-differential equation into a differential equations. We have for the contribution to r.h.s. from Coulomb scattering
\[
\frac{\pi^3 \cosh^{-2} \frac{3p}{2} \left( 6 \chi_p + p(-2 + \beta \tanh \frac{2p}{T}) \chi_p' - p \chi_p'' \right)}{72 \beta^3 p^2}.
\] (A.12)

**B Evaluation of self-energy contribution**

We reproduce \(R_{mnk}\) defined in (3.4) below for convenience
\[
R_{mnk} = -16 e^4 N_f \int d\eta_0 d\eta_0' d\eta_0'' \int \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \delta(p_0 - p_0' + q_0) \delta(k_0 - k_0' - q_0)[k_k P' \cdot K' + k_k' P' \cdot K]
\times \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \delta(p_0 - p_0'' + q_0) \delta(k_0 - k_0' - q_0)[k_k P'' \cdot K' + k_k'' P'' \cdot K]
\times \delta(p_0'' - p_0''') \delta(k_0 - k_0' - q_0)[k_k'' P'' \cdot K' + k_k''' P'' \cdot K].
\] (B.1)

Defining
\[
T_{kmn} = (k_0 k_k' + k_0' k_k) \left( I_{mn}^{k} \chi_k - I_{mn}^{k'} \chi_{k'} \right),
T_{jlmn} = (k_j k_l' + k_j' k_l) \left( I_{mn}^{k} \chi_k - I_{mn}^{k'} \chi_{k'} \right),
\]
we can rewrite the tensor structures in (B.1) as
\[
[k_k P' \cdot K' + k_k' P' \cdot K] \left( I_{mn}^{k} \chi_k - I_{mn}^{k'} \chi_{k'} \right) = \eta_0'' T_{kmn} - \eta_0 T_{klmn}.
\] (B.2)

By rotational symmetry and the fact the \(\vec{k}\) and \(\vec{q}\) is correlated by \(\delta(k_0 - k_0' - q_0)\), we can convert \(\int d^3 k \delta(k_0 - k_0' - q_0) (T_{kmn} and T_{klmn})\) to tensors of \(\vec{q}\). Note that \(T_{mnk}(T_{klmn})\) is
traceless and symmetric in $mn$ and $T_{klmn}$ is also symmetric in $kl$, they can be decomposed using the tensor basis constructed out of $\vec{q}$ with the same symmetry properties as

\[
\int d^3k \delta(k_0 - k'_0 - q_0) T_{kmn} = A_3 I^p_{mn}q_k + B_3 \left( q_m \delta_{nk} + q_n \delta_{mk} - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{mn} q_k \right),
\]

\[
\int d^3k \delta(k_0 - k'_0 - q_0) T_{jlmn} = A_4 I^q_{mn}q_j q_l + B_4 I^q_{mn}q^2 \delta_{jl},
\]

(B.3)

\[+ C_4 \left( \frac{1}{2} (q_m \delta_{nj} q_l + q_n \delta_{mj} q_l + j \leftrightarrow l) - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{mn} q_j q_l \right) + D_4 q^2 \left( d_{jm} \delta_{ln} + \delta_{jn} \delta_{lm} - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{mn} \delta_{jl} \right).\]

The coefficients are scalar functions of $\vec{q}$, which can be evaluated by contracting (B.3) with the tensor basis

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{2}{3} q^2 & \frac{4}{3} q^2 \\
\frac{4}{3} q^2 & \frac{20}{3} q^2
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
A_3 \\
B_3
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
K_{31} \\
K_{32}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{2}{3} q^4 & \frac{2}{3} q^4 & \frac{4}{3} q^4 & \frac{4}{3} q^4 \\
\frac{4}{3} q^4 & 2q^4 & \frac{4}{3} q^4 & 0 \\
\frac{4}{3} q^4 & \frac{4}{3} q^4 & \frac{11}{3} q^4 & \frac{20}{3} q^4 \\
0 & \frac{20}{3} q^4 & 20q^4 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
A_4 \\
B_4 \\
C_4 \\
D_4
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
K_{41} \\
K_{42} \\
K_{43} \\
K_{44}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(B.4)

with

\[
K_{31} = \int d^3k \delta(k_0 - k'_0 - q_0) \left( k_0 \vec{k} \cdot \vec{q} + k'_0 \vec{k}' \cdot \vec{q} \right) \left[ \left( \vec{k} \cdot \vec{q} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{3} \right] \chi_k - (k \rightarrow k'),
\]

\[
K_{32} \simeq \int d^3k \delta(k_0 - k'_0 - q_0) \left[ \left( 4k_0^2 \vec{k} \cdot \vec{q} - \frac{2}{3} k_0 \vec{k}' \cdot \vec{q} - \frac{2}{3} k'_0 \vec{k} \cdot \vec{q} \right) - (k \leftrightarrow k') \right],
\]

\[
K_{41} \simeq \int d^3k \delta(k_0 - k'_0 - q_0) 2 \vec{k} \cdot \vec{q} \vec{k}' \cdot \vec{q} \left[ \left( \vec{k} \cdot \vec{q} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{3} \right] \chi_k - (k \rightarrow k'),
\]

\[
K_{42} \simeq \int d^3k \delta(k_0 - k'_0 - q_0) 2k_0 q_0^2 \left[ \left( \vec{k} \cdot \vec{q} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{3} \right] \chi_k - (k \rightarrow k'),
\]

\[
K_{43} \simeq \int d^3k \delta(k_0 - k'_0 - q_0) \left[ \frac{2}{3} \vec{k} \cdot \vec{q} \vec{k}' \cdot \vec{q} + 2(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{q})^2 \frac{k'_0}{k_0} \right] \chi_k - (k \leftrightarrow k'),
\]

\[
K_{44} \simeq \int d^3k \delta(k_0 - k'_0 - q_0) \frac{8}{3} k_0 k'_0 q_0^2 \left[ \chi_k - \chi_{k'} \right].
\]

(B.5)

We have again dropped terms higher order in $q$. For later use, we perform a counting of the leading order result of $K$'s. Note that $\vec{k} \cdot \vec{q} \simeq k q \cos \Omega \simeq k q_0$ and the square brackets are of $O(q_0)$, we deduce $K_{31}, K_{32} \sim O(q), K_{41}, K_{42}, K_{43}, K_{44} \sim q_0 q$. It follows that to leading order $A_3, B_3 \sim O(1/q), A_4, B_4, C_4, D_4 \sim q_0/q^2$. The explicit results can be obtained by using similar tricks used in appendix A. The expressions are lengthy and not shown here.

For the axial component of Wigner function in (1.1), we need to integrate the structures $\epsilon^{ijk} p_j (p_0 T_{mnk} - p_k (T_{klmn})$ with $\int dq_0 d^3q \delta(p_0 - p'_0 + q_0)$. The tensor integrals can be simplified by noting that the results are expected to be pseudotensors and the only pseudotensor symmetric in $mn$ is $\epsilon^{i}_{mnp}p_k + m \leftrightarrow n$. We can then project the tensor integrands as

\[
\epsilon^{ijk} p_j T_{kmn} = \frac{1}{2p^4} \left( \epsilon^{iml} p_n p_l + m \leftrightarrow n \right) \left( T_{kijn} p_j p_k - T_{kkn} p_i p^2 \right),
\]

\[
\epsilon^{ijk} p_ip_j T_{kln} = \frac{1}{2p^4} \left( \epsilon^{iml} p_n p_l + m \leftrightarrow n \right) \left( T_{khhjn} p_j p_k p_n - T_{khkn} p_i p^2 \right),
\]

(B.6)
with the understanding that the equal sign hold only after integrating over \( \vec{k} \) and \( \vec{q} \). Summation over repeated indices is implied. Using (B.3), we can express the tensor contractions on the r.h.s. of (B.6) as

\[
T_{kjn}p_kp_n p_j = \vec{p} \cdot \vec{q} \left[ \left( \frac{(\vec{p} \cdot \vec{q})^2}{q^2} - \frac{1}{3} p^2 \right) A_3 + \frac{4}{3} p^2 B_3 \right],
\]

\[
T_{jjn}p_n = \vec{p} \cdot \vec{q} \left( \frac{2}{3} A_3 + \frac{10}{3} B_3 \right),
\]

\[
T_{khjn}p_kp_k p_n p_j = \left( \frac{(\vec{p} \cdot \vec{q})^2}{q^2} - \frac{1}{3} p^2 \right) \left( (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{q})^2 A_4 + p^2 q^2 B_4 \right) + \frac{4}{3} p^2 (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{q})^2 C_4 + \frac{4}{3} p^2 q^2 D_4,
\]

\[
T_{jhn}p_n p_k p_k p_j = \left( \frac{(\vec{p} \cdot \vec{q})^2}{3} (A_4 + B_4) + \left( \frac{11}{6} (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{q})^2 + \frac{1}{2} p^2 q^2 \right) C_4 + \frac{10}{3} p^2 q^2 D_4. \tag{B.7}
\]

With the projection, we can simplify the integral as

\[
\epsilon^{ijk} p_j R_{mnk} S_{mn} = -16 e^4 N_f \int dq_0 dk^2 dk d\cos \theta' A_3 \left( p^2 T_{kmn} - p^2 T_{klm} \right) \frac{1}{(Q^2)^2} \frac{1}{8p^2k^2} 
\]

\[
\times \frac{1}{(-\cos^2 \theta' + 2 \cos \theta' \cos \cos \Omega + 1 - \cos^2 \theta - \cos^2 \Omega)^{1/2}} f_1^{(0)} f_1^{(0)} (1 - f_1^{(0)})(1 - f_1^{(0)}),
\]

\[
= -\frac{4}{2\pi} f^{ijkl} p_j p_k S_{mn} C_f, \tag{B.8}
\]

with the second equality defines \( I \). We have also factored out the flavor dependent factors from the overall \( N_f \) and \( \chi \) into the constant \( C_f = \frac{3 N_f (1 + 2 N_f)}{4 \pi^2 N_f^2} \).

Let us see how logarithmic divergence occurs in \( I \) by the following power counting. From the leading order power counting for the coefficients made earlier and using \( \vec{p} \cdot \vec{q} \simeq p_0 q_0 \) from \( \delta(p_0 - p_0' + q_0) \), we deduce the l.h.s. of (B.7) are of \( \sim O(q_0/q) \).\(^9\) This is to be combined with power counting in the remainder of the integral

\[
\frac{q_0}{q} \frac{1}{q^4} \frac{1}{q^4} \sim \frac{q_0}{q^2}, \tag{B.9}
\]

with the second to fourth factors on the l.h.s. of (B.9) coming from \( \delta(p_0 - p_0' + q_0) \), \( dq_0 d^3q \) and \( \frac{1}{(Q^2)^2} \) respectively. Similar to the analysis in appendix A, the leading order result vanishes upon integration over \( q_0 \) because of the oddness of integrand in \( q_0 \). We need to expand to next to leading order (NLO). It is instructive to split \( I \) into three parts:

\[
I_1 : \epsilon^{ijk} p_j p_0 T_{kmn} \rightarrow \epsilon^{ijk} p_j q_0 T_{kmn}, \quad \epsilon^{ijk} p_j p_0' T_{klm} \rightarrow \epsilon^{ijk} p_j q_0' T_{klm}
\] with LO \( A_3, B_3, A_4, \ldots, D_4 \), and \( f_{p} f_{k}(1 - f_{p'})(1 - f_{k'}) \rightarrow f_{p} f_{k}(1 - f_{p})(1 - f_{k}), \)

\[
I_2 : \epsilon^{ijk} p_j p_0 T_{kmn} \rightarrow \epsilon^{ijk} p_j p_0 T_{kmn}, \quad \epsilon^{ijk} p_j p_0' T_{klm} \rightarrow \epsilon^{ijk} p_j p_0 T_{klm}
\] with NLO \( A_3, B_3, A_4, \ldots, D_4 \), and \( f_{p} f_{k}(1 - f_{p'})(1 - f_{k'}) \rightarrow f_{p} f_{k}(1 - f_{p})(1 - f_{k}), \)

\[
I_3 : \epsilon^{ijk} p_j p_0 T_{kmn} \rightarrow \epsilon^{ijk} p_j p_0 T_{kmn}, \quad \epsilon^{ijk} p_j p_0' T_{klm} \rightarrow \epsilon^{ijk} p_j p_0' T_{klm}
\] with LO \( A_3, B_3, A_4, \ldots, D_4 \), and \( f_{p} f_{k}(1 - f_{p'})(1 - f_{k'}) \) expanded to \( O(q_0) \). \( \tag{B.10} \)

\(^9\)We have regarded \( q_0^2 \sim q^2 \) and keep only explicit odd power of \( q_0 \) in the estimate.
It turns out $I_1$ vanishes identically. The other two $I'$s are obtained by integration with approximate $x_k$ from (2.11)

\[
I_2 = \frac{\pi^2 \cosh^{-2} \frac{\beta p}{2} \left( (15p^4 - 87p^2p_0^2 + 72p_0^4) \ln \left( \frac{p_0 - p}{p_0 + p} \right) + \frac{8p^5}{p_0^2} - 126p^3p_0 + 144p_0^3 \right)}{72\beta} \\
+ \frac{3 \cosh^{-2} \frac{\beta p}{2} \left( (12p^2p_0 - 12p_0^2) \ln \left( \frac{p_0 - p}{p_0 + p} \right) + 28p^3 - \frac{38p^5}{3p_0^2} - 24p_0^2 \right)}{8\beta^2} \zeta(3) \\
I_3 = \frac{(p^4 - 9p^2p_0^2 + 8p_0^4) \ln \left( \frac{p_0 - p}{p_0 + p} \right) - \frac{38p^5}{3p_0^2} + 16p_0^3p_0^2 \left( \pi^2 - 9 \tan \frac{\beta p}{2} \zeta(3) \right)}{4\beta (1 + \cosh(\beta p_0))}.
\]

(C.11)

C Evaluation of gauge link contribution

Let us define

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial P_\rho} \left[ \left( f_p^{(0)}(1 - f_p^{(0)})D_{\lambda\mu}(Q) - f_p^{(0)}(1 - f_p^{(0)})D_{\lambda\mu}(Q) \right) e^{\alpha\lambda\beta\mu} P_\alpha Q_\beta \delta(P^2 - m^2) \delta(P'^2 - m^2) \right] \\
= \frac{\partial}{\partial P_\rho} \left[ F_\mu^\rho(P, Q) \delta(P^2 - m^2) \delta(P'^2 - m^2) \right].
\]

We consider $p_0 > 0$. Since $q \ll p$, we have also $p' > 0$, allowing us to localize the delta functions in (C.1) to the particle contributions

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial P_\rho} \left( \frac{\delta(p_0 - E_p) \delta(E_p + q_0 - E_{p+q})}{2E_p} F_\rho^\mu(p_0 = E_p) \right) \\
= \frac{\partial E_p}{\partial P_\rho} \left( \frac{\delta(p_0 - E_p) \delta(E_p + q_0 - E_{p+q})}{2E_p} F_\rho^\mu(p_0 = E_p) \right) \\
+ \frac{\partial E_{p+q}}{\partial P_\rho} \left( \frac{\delta(p_0 - E_p) \delta(E_p + q_0 - E_{p+q})}{2E_p} F_\rho^\mu(p_0 = E_p) \right) \\
- \frac{\partial E_p}{\partial P_\rho} \left( \frac{\delta(p_0 - E_p) \delta(E_p + q_0 - E_{p+q})}{2E_p} F_\rho^\mu(p_0 = E_p) \right) \\
+ \frac{\partial E_{p+q}}{\partial P_\rho} \left( \frac{\delta(p_0 - E_p) \delta(E_p + q_0 - E_{p+q})}{2E_p} F_\rho^\mu(p_0 = E_p) \right). \tag{C.2}
\]

The above should be viewed as a function of $p_0$. We find then the term $\propto \delta'(p_0 - E_p)$ vanishes identically. The remaining terms can be combined by using $\frac{\partial E_p}{\partial P_\rho} = \frac{p_0^\lambda \partial P_\lambda}{E_p}$, $\frac{\partial E_{p+q}}{\partial P_\rho} = \frac{p_0^\lambda(P_\rho + Q_\lambda)}{E_{p+q}}$

\[
\left( \frac{P_\rho^\lambda P_\lambda}{E_p} - \frac{P_\rho^\lambda (P_\lambda + Q_\lambda)}{E_{p+q}} \right) \delta(p_0 - E_p) \delta'(E_p + q_0 - E_{p+q}) F_\rho^\mu(p_0 = E_p) \\
- \left( \frac{P_\rho^\lambda P_\lambda}{E_p} + \frac{P_\rho^\lambda (P_\lambda + Q_\lambda)}{E_{p+q}} \right) \delta(p_0 - E_p) \delta(E_p + q_0 - E_{p+q}) F_\rho^\mu(p_0 = E_p) \\
+ \left( \frac{P_\rho^\lambda P_\lambda}{E_p} \frac{\partial F_\rho^\mu(p_0 = E_p)}{\partial p_0} + \frac{p_0^\lambda \partial F_\rho^\mu(p_0 = E_p)}{P_\lambda} \right) \delta(p_0 - E_p) \delta(E_p + q_0 - E_{p+q}). \tag{C.3}
\]
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The first two lines and the last line of (C.3) come from derivatives on \( \delta(P^2-m^2) \), \( \delta(P'^2-m^2) \) and that on \( P_{\rho'}^\mu \) in (C.1) respectively. From the definition of \( P_{\rho'}^\mu \), it is clear that the last line is nonvanishing only if \( \frac{\partial}{\partial P_{\rho'}} \) acts on \(-f_p^{(0)}(1-f_{p'}^{(0)})\). Therefore in the last line, we may keep only the corresponding contribution. Eq. (C.3) can be further simplified by noting that with an extra factor of \( Q \) in (C.1) as compared to the self-energy contribution. It is sufficient to approximate factors by their leading order expansion in \( Q \). Using \( E_{p+q} \approx E_p \),

\[
\frac{P_{\rho} P_{\lambda}}{E_p} - \frac{P_{\rho} (P_{\lambda}+Q_{\lambda})}{E_{p+q}} = -\frac{P_{\rho} Q_{\lambda}}{E_p} + \frac{P_{\rho} P_{\lambda} \vec{p} \cdot \vec{q}}{E_p^2} \text{ and integrating by part, we obtain}
\]

\[
\left[ \left( \frac{P_{\rho} Q_{\lambda}}{E_p} - \frac{P_{\rho} P_{\lambda} \vec{p} \cdot \vec{q}}{E_p^2} \right) \frac{\partial F_{\rho}^{(0)}}{\partial q_0} - \frac{2 P_{\rho} Q_{\lambda}}{E_p^2} \frac{F_{\rho}^{(0)}}{E_p} + \frac{P_{\rho} P_{\lambda} \partial F_{\rho}^{(0)}}{E_p \partial p_0} \right] \delta(P^2-m^2) \delta(P'^2-m^2), \tag{C.4}
\]

with the understanding that the derivative \( \frac{\partial}{\partial q_0} \) acting on \(-f_p^{(0)}(1-f_{p'}^{(0)})\) inside \( P_{\rho'}^\mu \) only.

We have also replaced \( \frac{\delta(p_0-E_p)}{2E_p} \frac{\delta(E_p+q_0-E_{p+q})}{2E_{p+q}} \) by \( \delta(P^2-m^2) \delta(P'^2-m^2) \). Eq. (C.4) can be evaluated by the same method discussed in appendix B. We shall not spell out details here but just stress a subtle point related to \( \frac{\partial}{\partial q_0} \) as before, we will replace \( \vec{p} \cdot \vec{q} \) by \( p_0 q_0 \).

It becomes ambiguous whether the replacement should be made before or after the \( q_0 \)-derivative. The correct way is to first replace in all possible places and then take the derivative. The reason is that the projection onto the pseudotensor in (B.6) is justified only after angular integrations, which imposes \( \vec{p} \cdot \vec{q} = p_0 q_0 \).

Taking \( \mu = i \) and factoring out the flavor dependent constant \( C_f \) as before, we obtain

the following results

\[
\int_0 Q \frac{\partial}{\partial P_{\rho}} F_{\rho}^{(0)} \delta(P^2-m^2) \delta(P'^2-m^2) \frac{1}{p (2\pi)^4} L \frac{\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} p_\nu p_\rho S_{\mu\nu}}{2p^4} f_p^{(0)}(1-f_{p'}^{(0)}) C_f \delta(P^2-m^2), \tag{C.5}
\]

with \( L = L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4 \) corresponding to four terms in (C.4) respectively. The explicit expressions are given below

\[
L_1 = \frac{8\pi \beta^2 k^3 p^3}{p_0},
\]

\[
L_2 = -\frac{8\pi \beta^2 k^3 p^5}{p_0^3},
\]

\[
L_3 = -\frac{4\pi \beta^2 k^3 \left(8 p^5 - 50 p^3 p_0^2 + 66 p p_0^4 + (6 p^4 p_0 - 39 p^2 p_0^3 + 33 p_0^5) \ln \frac{p_0 - p}{p_0 + p} \right)}{9 p_0^4},
\]

\[
L_4 = -\frac{2\pi \beta^3 \tanh \frac{\beta p_0}{2} k^3 \left(-2 p^3 + 11 p_0^2 \right) \left(-4 p^3 + 6 p p_0^2 + (3 p^2 p_0 + 3 p_0^2) \ln \frac{p_0 - p}{p_0 + p} \right)}{9 p_0^4}. \tag{C.6}
\]

The \( k \)-integrals are easily performed to give (4.13).
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