Ultrasound monitoring of microcirculation: An original study from the laboratory bench to the clinic
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Abstract
Objective: Monitoring microcirculation and visualizing microvasculature are critical for providing diagnosis to medical professionals and guiding clinical interventions. Ultrasound provides a medium for monitoring and visualization; however, there are challenges due to the complex microscale geometry of the vasculature and difficulties associated with quantifying perfusion. Here, we studied established and state-of-the-art ultrasonic modalities (using six probes) to compare their detection of slow flow in small microvasculature.

Methods: Five ultrasonic modalities were studied: grayscale, color Doppler, power Doppler, superb microvascular imaging (SMI), and microflow imaging (MFI), using six linear probes across two ultrasound scanners. Image readability was blindly scored by radiologists and quantified for evaluation. Vasculature visualization was investigated both in vitro (resolution and flow characterization) and in vivo (fingertip microvascular detection).

Results: Superb Microvascular Imaging (SMI) and Micro Flow Imaging (MFI) modalities provided superior images when compared with conventional ultrasound imaging...
1 | INTRODUCTION

Imaging microvasculature and measuring microcirculation velocity are important elements of monitoring health status in patients being treated for a variety of disorders, such as hypertension, systemic sclerosis, wound repair, spinal cord injury, and stroke. Microcirculation can be visualized using either invasive or noninvasive approaches. Invasive or semi-invasive techniques such as intravital microscopy, radionuclide injections, thermodilution, and iontophoresis have been used to study microcirculation. However, issues including patient discomfort, long procedure times, and low accuracy have limited these approaches in standard practices. Therefore, noninvasive approaches have become more prominent in the study of microcirculation. Such approaches include micro-CT, MRI, PET, LSCI, OCT, PAT, and thermography. Certain limitations are associated with these approaches as well, including cost, portability, penetration depth, and the use of ionizing radiation. Therefore, ultrasound has become a preferred method for noninvasive, portable, non-radiating monitoring method of microvasculature in the clinic. Imaging microvasculature as well as monitoring microcirculation and microflow is essential in a number of clinical applications and medical scenarios. These applications can have implications with regards to but not limited to traumatic brain injury, aneurysm, acute spinal cord injury, peripheral tissue perfusion for critical care patients, organ transplantation, and chronic wound healing, whereby the microflow can be a surrogate of tissue health and healing following injury.

The first diagnostic use of ultrasound was in 1942, when Karl Dussik utilized it to image the brain. Ultrasound has advanced significantly since the first use of grayscale, B-mode, and anatomical images to noninvasively image tissue and now comprises a number of imaging modalities that can both visualize anatomical structures and monitor physiological values. For example, CDI and SDI modes can be used to identify the velocity as well as direction of blood flow in microcirculation. Furthermore, other modalities, such as PDI, SMI, and MFI, have been developed that possess improved sensitivity for detecting microcirculation. PDI has five times the sensitivity of CDI and is angle-independent, which helps eliminate the potential for detecting artifacts and false negatives for blood flow. SMI is a proprietary imaging technique from Canon that uses a novel algorithm to distinguish microvascular flow from motion artifacts and other noise artifacts, allowing the user to easily visualize the microvasculature. While the exact details of this proprietary algorithm are not fully described in the literature, SMI is known as a Doppler ultrasound modality capable of producing enhanced image quality through noise reduction and motion artifact elimination. This commercial software aims to visualize low-velocity blood flow and achieves high-quality images equipped with superior resolution. Similar to Canon’s SMI modality, MFI is a proprietary imaging technique from Philips, allowing the user to detect ultra-fine, low-energy flow patterns in vasculature with a diameter of <1 mm and with flow velocities <2 cm/s. MFI separates slow flow signals from tissue motion artifacts without the use of contrast agents. Despite advances in imaging techniques for ultrasound, minimal work has been done to make ultrasound usable for portable transducers facilitating continuous monitoring of microvasculature. Recently, there is a trend toward portability of ultrasound transducers. To the best of our knowledge, these devices do not yet possess the ability to monitor perfusion and microcirculation, which is important for the adoptability in future applications.

Wearable (or implantable) conformal devices, named in the literature as “flexible electronic” devices, have recently been growing in popularity due to their ability to monitor vital-signs and biomarkers continuously. Previous reports on wearable (or implantable) devices for monitoring blood flow have predominantly described thermal, NIRs, and capacitive-based sensors. Ultrasound wearable (or implantable) sensors have also recently been developed for various biomedical applications. Although some of these devices show great promise in adapting ultrasound technology into a small, conformal device for continuous blood flow monitoring, the currently reported spatial resolution of these devices as well as other design considerations (e.g. power, imaging modality, signal processing, transducer design, energy loss) may require further understanding for continuous monitoring of microvasculature and microcirculation.

1.1 | Objective

Here, we used commercially available ultrasound transducers and diagnostic modalities to investigate the imaging parameters and settings required for monitoring microcirculation. These parameters
can inform the design inputs and specifications of future conformal, wearable (or implantable) ultrasonic devices. In this study, we investigated the capabilities of six linear ultrasound probes on two state-of-the-art clinical ultrasound systems for imaging microvasculature as well as quantifying blood flow.

1.2 | Study breakdown

This study was done in two settings: (1) an in vitro study, with both a general-purpose phantom and a cardiac Doppler flow phantom and (2) an in vivo study, on fingertip microvasculature.

1.3 | In vitro study

A general-purpose phantom was used to study the smallest detectable feature (at various depths) available through a commercially available phantom. Similarly, a cardiac Doppler flow phantom was used to study the slowest detectable flow rate, given the limitations of the flow pump as well as the capabilities of the utilized transducers and imaging modalities.

1.4 | In vivo study

Figure 1 shows the microvasculature present in the fingertip that was imaged during the in vivo study. In addition to our interest in the relationship between the probe parameters and the obtained microvasculature images, various ultrasound imaging modalities (i.e., B-mode, CDI, PDI, SMI, and MFI) were also investigated for their use in terms of monitoring microvasculature and detecting microflow.

As mentioned previously, determining suitable parameters for imaging microcirculation can pave the path for future wearable (or implantable) conformal ultrasound transducers designed for continuous monitoring of microcirculation in patients with vascular disorders.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Description of utilized ultrasound scanners, probes, modalities, and phantoms

2.1.1 | Ultrasound scanners

Experiments were conducted using two ultrasound scanners: Philips EPIQ 7 (Philips Healthcare) and Canon Aplio i800 (Canon Medical Systems).

2.1.2 | Ultrasound modalities

Five ultrasound imaging modalities were investigated in this study: B-mode, CDI, PDI, SMI, and MFI. B-mode or grayscale is a two-dimensional ultrasound imaging method where B refers to the brightness. Doppler modalities (Color Doppler and Power Doppler) utilize Doppler effect and frequency shift in visualization and measurement of blood flow. SMI and MFI are advanced modalities that

Figure 1  Schematic of the blood vessels and the complex network of microvasculature in a human finger. (Printed with permission. © 2021 JHU Neurosurgery- Ian Suk. All rights reserved.)
have been developed for detection and visualization of slow flow by enhancing weak signals from smaller vessels and elimination of artifact signals. A description of these ultrasound imaging modalities can be found in Table 1. Imaging was performed using the imaging modes available on each system, and as a result not all of the modalities were available on every scanner or probe (e.g., SMI is a proprietary Canon imaging technique, and MFI is a proprietary Philips imaging technique).

2.1.3 | Ultrasound probes

For both in vitro and in vivo studies, each experiment was conducted using the following probes on the Philips EPIQ 7 scanner: L12-3, L12-5, and eL18-5; and these probes on the Canon Apio i800 system: 11L3, i18LX5, and i22L8. The goal was to use several linear probes with varying frequencies to better understand what frequency range (and probe design) may be suitable to visualize microcirculation and to detect microflow. A tabulated breakdown of the ultrasound probes used in the study can be found in Table 2.

Each imaging modality may utilize only a portion of the spectral components available on the probe's frequency range (bandwidth). This is specifically the case as one compares the Doppler modalities, such as CDI and PDI, to B-mode. To that point, the frequencies at which the probes operated during imaging in each modality are also summarized in Table 2.

2.1.4 | Ultrasound phantoms

The ultrasound equipment and phantoms utilized in this study are shown in Figure 2. In the in vitro study, a general-purpose phantom (Model: 054GS, CIRS) was used for resolution and depth studies (i.e., investigating the smallest target on the phantom that can be experimentally visualized by the six commercial probes listed in Table 2).

In addition, use of a cardiac Doppler flow phantom (Model: 523A, CIRS) and a Doppler flow pump (Model: 769, CIRS) enabled investigation of the slowest flow rate available on the pump, that is detectable using the specified ultrasonic probes and imaging modalities.

In the in vivo study, a probe holder was used to stabilize the ultrasound probe and reduce error caused by motion artifacts. A certified sonographer operated the probes and as typical to the clinical world, qualitatively (based on experience) optimized gain, and color scales during the imaging process.

2.2 | In vitro studies

2.2.1 | What is the smallest lesion on the phantom that can be visualized?

To determine the axial and lateral resolutions, as well as the imaging depth achievable with the ultrasound probes outlined in Table 2, a general-purpose ultrasound phantom was utilized (Figure 2A). These ultrasound probes were manually held over the ultrasound phantom by a sonographer and were acoustically coupled to the phantom through ultrasound gel (Aquasonic Gel, Clinton Twp, Michigan).

The phantom was imaged in both the near and far field, to determine the axial and lateral resolution, as well as the depth (vertical distance). Figure 3A–C aims to illustrate the regions and parameters discussed here.

For the vertical distance image, the maximum possible imaging depth for each probe was studied. Images of all three regions (Figure 3D–F) were collected with each probe, and the lateral resolution of the probes, as well as the imaging depth was then determined.

2.2.2 | What is the slowest flow rate (on the pump) that is detectable in this study?

To demonstrate the accuracy of the ultrasound probes for measuring slow fluid flow, a Doppler flow pump (Model: 769, CIRS) and a cardiac Doppler flow phantom (Model: 523A, CIRS) were used (Figure 4B). For this experiment, Doppler fluid (Model: 769DF, CIRS) was circulated through the phantom. This fluid flow contains customized scatterers that aim to acoustically mimic red blood cells and, as a result, commercially claims to simulate the acoustic characteristics of blood. The Doppler flow pump was programmed to generate a pulsatile flow pattern. The flow pump was set to generate a flow rate of 0.2 ml/sec (12 ml/min) through a radius of 2 mm, thus mimicking comparable microvasculature sizes and flow rates to those in the literature. For example, Klarhofer M et al.\textsuperscript{40} discusses vessel diameters ranging from 800μm to 1.8 mm and flow rates of 3.0–26 ml/min in arteries and 1.2–4.8 ml/min in veins. Ultrasound gel was used to acoustically couple the ultrasound probes to the cardiac Doppler flow phantom. Using the ultrasound probes discussed in Section 2.1.1, the flow rate through the cardiac Doppler flow phantom was measured with all the imaging modalities described in Section 2.1. The flow rate measured by the ultrasound probes operating in each of the modalities described in Section 2.1 was then compared to the known input flow rate of 0.2 ml/s generated by the Doppler flow pump.

2.3 | In vivo microcirculation studies

2.3.1 | Experimental protocol

The human finger has been used as an in vivo model for high resolution and three-dimensional photoacoustic imaging.\textsuperscript{41} The fingertip was utilized here as the in vivo model to acquire images of complex microvasculature and slow blood circulation (anatomically distal from heart) to compare imaging modalities.

The present study was approved by the Johns Hopkins Medicine Institutional Review Board (IRB000292131). Ultrasound images of the nondominant middle fingertip were obtained. Participants rested their arms flat and supine. Ultrasound gel was placed on the
pad of the finger to couple the ultrasound probe to the patient. A commercially available probe holder (Doppler String Phantom, CIRS), similar to Mahapatra et al., was used to stabilize the ultrasound probe, facilitate reproducibility, and reduce error caused by motion artifacts. Gain and color scales were qualitatively optimized by the sonographer as normally practiced in the clinic. Following the setup depicted in Figure 2C, each probe described earlier was utilized to acquire B-mode images of the patient. This process was repeated for all imaging modalities described in Section 2.1. To account for physiological variability across patients, a total of four patients underwent imaging with three repetitions in each condition. Welch’s t-test statistical analyses were performed among groups, and p-values were calculated ($p \leq .05^*, p \leq .01^{**}, p \leq .001^{***}, p \leq .001^{****}$).

### TABLE 1 Summary of ultrasound imaging modalities utilized in this study

| Ultrasound imaging mode | Applications and specifications |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Grayscale imaging (B-mode) | B (brightness) mode, the use of grayscale imaging in ultrasound renders a 2D image. |
| Color Doppler imaging (CDI) | CDI is a development of pulsed wave Doppler, wherein the frequency shift is mapped onto the 2D image, with flow toward and away from the transducer plotted as different colors. |
| Power Doppler imaging (PDI) | PDI uses the amplitude of Doppler signal to detect moving matter. Power Doppler is independent of velocity and direction of flow. |
| Superb microvascular imaging (SMI) | SMI is a novel imaging technique that is specifically developed for visualization of low-velocity and small-diameter blood vessels. |
| Microflow imaging (MFI) | MFI, a newly developed ultrasound imaging technique that intends to visualize micro vessels and slow blood flow signals without using contrast agents. |

### TABLE 2 A summary of the ultrasound scanners, probes, and modalities utilized in this study

| Ultrasound scanners | Probe ID | Frequency range bandwidth available on the probe (MHz) | Utilized modalities and operational frequency (MHz) |
|---------------------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| Philips EPIQ 7      | L12-3    | 3.0–12.0                                               | B-mode 7  CDI 4  PDI 6  SMI N/A  MFI N/A |
|                     | L12-5    | 5.0–12.0                                               | B-mode 7  CDI 6  PDI 7  SMI N/A  MFI N/A |
|                     | eL18-4   | 2.0–22.0                                               | B-mode 10 CDI 10 PDI 10 SMI N/A  MFI 9.1 |
| Canon Apio i800     | 11L3     | 3.0–8.0                                               | B-mode 4  CDI 4  PDI 3  SMI 4  MFI N/A |
|                     | i18LX5   | 4.0–18.0                                               | B-mode 10 CDI 6  PDI 7  SMI 8  MFI N/A |
|                     | i22LH8   | 8.8–22.0                                               | B-mode 22 CDI 12  PDI 14  SMI 12  MFI N/A |

Note: Also tabulated columns 3–8 are utilized frequency ranges for these probes and modalities. Microimaging modalities (SMI/MFI) are not available (N/A) on some of the ultrasound modes.

### FIGURE 2 Experimental setup and equipment utilized on this study: (A) a general purpose phantom was used for in vitro resolution studies, i.e., the smallest detectable feature (at various depths) available to us through a commercially available phantom; (B) similarly, a cardiac flow pump (left) and Doppler phantom (right) were also utilized in the in vitro study to the slowest detectable flow rate, given the limitations of the flow pump as well as the capabilities of the utilized transducers and imaging modalities; and finally, and (C) the experimental set up for in vivo study, showcasing the participant’s hand, the ultrasound probe holder, and a sample scanner.
2.3.2 Assessment of the in vivo images by radiologists

Following de-identification of the images, three radiology readers (one adult radiologist, one pediatric radiologist, and one sonographer) ranked the quality of the images on a scale from 1 to 10, with 1 being “unreadable” and 10 being “the clearest”.

The readers were asked to assess all images in two separate categories: 1. detectability of microvasculature and visualization of vessels and 2. detectability of blood flow. Specifically, grayscale images were assessed for the quality of the vessel wall visualization, while color Doppler images were assessed based on the fluid flow detection. Similarly, MFI and SMI images were assessed based on microvasculature visualization and microflow detection. The readers were blinded to each image’s experimental group. Finally, these blinded survey readers were also asked to identify any case in which they perceived the image modality as showing flow noise or artifact where not truly present.

Statistical distribution of the data, such as maximum, minimum, median, first quartile, and third quartile, was analyzed by MATLAB (Mathworks). The outcomes were plotted as Violin plots using the R software package (University of Auckland).³²

3 RESULTS

3.1 In vitro study: characterization of ultrasound probe capabilities in detecting small dimensions

To better understand the capabilities of the above-specified ultrasound probes, a series of in vitro characterization experiments were performed. Specifically, the probes were used to image a general-purpose ultrasound phantom (see Figure 3A–C) as well as a cardiac Doppler flow phantom (see Figure 4B). The general-purpose ultrasound phantom was used to determine the axial and lateral resolutions as well as the imaging depth for these probes. The quantitative results from this study are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3 demonstrates the theoretical axial resolutions (calculated from the amount associated with the speed of sound, \( c \) (m/s), divided by frequency, \( f \) (Hz)): \( \lambda = c/f \). The table also lists the experimental values obtained when imaging the smallest lesion available to us on the general-purpose phantom. As a result, while a lesion of 250 \( \mu \)m was observed in the axial resolution experimentally, the same transducer can theoretically visualize a 100 \( \mu \)m lesion.
Table 3 also displays the ability of these probes for visualizing structures on the scale of 250 μm, which is in the physiologically relevant range. This scale appears to be closer to venous vessels in the microcirculation (<200μm), as opposed to arterial vessels (<100μm). Based on the frequency of the probes utilized in this study, and assuming linear acoustics, we hypothesize that an ultrasound probe with a center frequency of closer to 30MHz may be required to visualize 50–100μm microvasculature.

3.2 | In vitro study: characterization of ultrasound probes capabilities in measuring slow flow rates

The cardiac Doppler flow phantom was used to determine the ability of the ultrasound probes in measuring slow fluid flows, which are common in microvasculature. Doppler fluid was pumped through the phantom at a controlled rate of 0.2 ml/sec, the slowest flow possible for the available pump, and the flow rate was measured using the probes described in Section 2.1.1 with imaging modalities described in Section 2.1. Figure 4A shows representative images taken by each probe operating under different ultrasound modalities. The results from this study are summarized in Table 4.

As expected, higher frequency ultrasound probes quantified the known fluid flow rate more accurately. Additionally, the power Doppler imaging mode generated more accurate results than color Doppler mode.

As evident from Table 4, and specifically on the Philips scanner, the flow rate detected using the MFI modality was comparable in value to the expected ground truth. Even though the PDI and CDI values on the Philips system were comparable to one another, there appeared to be a considerable error margin in these two modalities compared to the value generated by the Doppler pump. Alternatively, on the Canon scanner, the PDI values were comparable to the SMI and to the ground truth (value of 0.2 ml/s) set by the Doppler pump (Table 4). These observations could be due to the nature of these proprietary modalities and their dependencies on Doppler signals, power, or motion artifacts.

The small surface geometry and limitations in the imaging depth of the higher frequency Canon probe (i22LH8) prevented the ability to study SMI and PDI on the cardiac Doppler phantom. For other modalities in this probe, particularly PDI, the results considered an outlier among the detected flow rate values. Furthermore, while the MFI mode was used on the eL18-4 probe, it was not available on the L12-3 and L12-5 probes. Therefore, future investigations can aim to investigate the accuracy of the MFI and SMI modes on an in vitro setting more thoroughly. Despite this limitation, all the probes and imaging modalities were used in vivo to visualize the microvasculature in the fingertip.

3.3 | In vivo study: characterization of ultrasound images of fingertip microvasculature

This section shows the results of the in vivo study obtained from the non-dominant middle fingertip of four volunteers. For each of the two ultrasound scanners, six linear probes, and each imaging modality, four images were acquired by a certified sonographer (6 linear ultrasound probes, four imaging modalities –SMI/FMI were not available in two of the probes- and four images were taken for each making 88 images in total per volunteer and 352 in total). For all of the four participants in this study, the imaging
protocol (number of probes, imaging modalities, and repetition of imaging) was the same. As depicted in Figure 2C and described in Section 2.3, the subject’s arm was extended and rested on the table and the ultrasound probe was held on the middle finger with a commercial probe holder. Figure 5 shows representative images obtained from each ultrasound probe in the above-specified modalities. It should be noted that the built-in color maps from one scanner to another, resulting in a potential source of confusion (e.g., note the addition of the yellow color for PDI in the Canon scanner, when compared to Philips).51,52

Figures 6–9 show the results of the quantitative scoring assessment, conducted by radiologists, for vasculature visualization and flow detection using the above specified linear probes and ultrasound modalities.

### 3.3.1 Identification of appropriate probes in microvascular visualization, given a defined modality

Figure 6 takes a deeper look into each imaging modality (B-mode, CDI, PDI, and SMI/MFI) separately and summarizes how the radiologists scored the clarity of microvasculature visualization in vivo for each probe. The plots show the clarity of visualization (10 being the best) by each probe for a fixed modality.

Across all imaging modalities, the i22LH8 probe of the Canon system with a frequency range of 8–22 MHz scored the highest (the clearest visualization) of the linear probes investigated in this study. Figure 6D provides findings related to the modalities specific to microcirculation. A comparison between the radiologists’ readability scores of SMI/MFI modalities, across a variety of probes, reveals enhanced readability in images acquired by i22LH8 (on average 79%); when compared to images acquired by the 11L3 probe (on average 40%). Similarly, images acquired by the i18LX5 probe and eL18-4, respectively, received scores of 53% and 59%, on average. While an increased number of radiologists can improve the results, this finding provides context with respect to how the most commonly used vascular probes function within microcirculation modalities.

It is worthwhile noting that L12-3 is a linear probe advertised by Philips as being designed specifically for vasculature imaging. Our results indicated that this probe earned the second highest maximum score by radiologists in the B-mode modality.

Since the L12-3 did not possess the compatibility with microvascular imaging modalities (SMI or MFI), the eL18-4 by Philips and i22LH8 by Canon proved to provide superior detectability of vasculature in the microvascular (SMI//MFI) modes.

### 3.3.2 Identification of appropriate probes in microflow detection, given a defined modality

One of the goals of this study was to detect slow circulation and determine which probe (and frequency) could reasonably monitor...
microcirculation. To address this aim, the radiologists were asked to score all images for flow detection and blood circulation. The graphs in Figure 7 represent quantified values, scored by radiologists, when comparing various probes for each of the ultrasound modalities capable of microflow detection in vivo.

As shown in Figure 7A, and as expected, flow detection is not applicable in B-mode. While the i22LH8 (Hockey Stick) probe from Canon scored the highest median rank by radiologists for flow detection, it is important to note the elongated statistical distribution associated with the data points for other probes in the violin plots in Figure 7. This large variability in scores suggests that other probes can also provide comparable high scores in the detectability of microflow; though as expected, ultrasound imaging clarity seems to be subjective.

3.3.3 Performance of a single probe in various modalities in terms of microvasculature visualization and microflow detection

In this section, we investigated the obtained data to analyze the performance of a single probe for visualizing microvasculature, and detection microflow in distinctive ultrasound modalities (B-mode, CDI, PDI and SMI/MFI).

Microvasculature visualization

Figure 8 shows the performance associated with each one of the six probes in this study based on scored values obtained from the radiologists for microvasculature visualization.

Figure 8A shows the L12-3 vascular probe (by Philips) in various modalities, excluding the microvascular modalities that were not available. The data suggests that this probe was ranked higher in Doppler modes for microvasculature detection.

Based on the plots shown on Figure 8A-F it appears that the probes equipped with microvascular modalities (i.e., SMI/MFI) scored higher for their abilities to visualize the microvasculature of interest. These probes include Canon’s i18LX5, Philips’ eL18-4, and Canon’s i22LH8, respectively. The comparison of different imaging modalities in these probes is shown in the i22LH8 probe, where there is a statistically significant difference of average scoring of SMI in vascular visualization compared to PDI, CDI and B-mode. Similarly, in eL18-4 the average scores of microvessel visualization using MFI and Doppler modalities are significantly superior to grayscale mode (Figure 8C). SMI achieved higher scores using probe i18LX5
in vasculature visualization compared to other imaging modalities, however there was no significant difference among them (Figure 8E).

**Microflow detection**

Figure 9 shows the performance associated with each of the six probes based on scored values obtained from the radiologists for microflow detection.

Similar to the microvasculature visualization section discussed above, Figure 9 illustrates that probes equipped with SMI/MFI techniques scored higher by radiologists in terms of their capabilities for enhanced microflow detection.

Based on the plots shown in Figure 9C-F, it appears that the probes equipped with microvascular modalities (i.e., SMI/MFI) scored higher for their abilities to detect the microflow of interest. These probes are Canon's i18LX5, Philips' eL18-4, and Canon's i22LH8, respectively.

Frequency aside, the i22LH8 (Hockey Stick) probe shown in Figure 9F was scored, by the radiologists, as the most suitable both in SMI and Doppler modalities, in terms of its ability for microflow detection. The average value of flow detection in SMI modality is higher compared to other Doppler modalities in this study (Figure 8A-F). However, there is no significant difference between the average scoring value of SMI/MFI to the Doppler modes. No flow information was applicable in grayscale.

4 | **DISCUSSION**

4.1 | **Summary of findings and assumptions**

This study investigated the imaging capabilities of six linear ultrasonic probes across two clinical ultrasound systems to determine the optimal settings for visualizing and monitoring microcirculation. In addition to investigating different probe designs, five ultrasound modalities (B-mode, CDI, PDI, SMI, and MFI) were also studied both in vitro, using ultrasound phantoms, and in vivo, using fingertip microvasculature. Below is a summary of findings:

4.1.1 | **Probe design**

As expected, the geometry and frequency of a probe have plausible influence on performance. Overall, the i22LH8 probe provided the best image quality for microcirculation detection among the six
probes in this study. We expect that the high frequency of this probe played a role in this outcome, as well as the fact that the probe is a vascular probe. Even within the same probe, as one may notice in Figure 5 and Table 2, some of the images/modalities utilizing higher frequencies did not necessarily provide better images. This could be due to the fact that those frequencies were not necessarily using the optimal/center frequencies of the probes.

4.1.2 | Imaging modalities

As expected, it was found that the newly introduced SMI and MFI imaging modalities on the Canon and Philips systems, respectively, provided superior microvasculature images when compared with conventional ultrasound imaging modalities. Undoubtedly, each ultrasonic modality has its own advantages and limitations; and in a qualitative field, such as radiology, no one study can claim absolute superiority in applicability of one modality over another for a certain use. This said, in our specific study with the goal of visualization of microvasculature or detection and monitoring of microcirculation, the state-of-the-art modalities, such as SMI and MFI, provided images with higher acceptability among radiologists. Given the context, the proprietary aspect of these modalities may limit the advances of this field.

4.1.3 | Angular dependency of the Doppler measurements

The study demonstrated that accurate quantification of microcirculation can be challenging due to the unknown angle between the transducer and microvasculature. This challenge was addressed, during the in vitro studies, by utilizing a Doppler phantom that incorporated a fixed angle as part of its structure.

4.2 | Shortcomings and future directions

4.2.1 | In vitro phantoms

Currently, there is no phantom that captures the small scale and three-dimensional curvature of microvasculature with materials
that mimic the acoustic characteristics (e.g., speed of sound and attenuation) of soft tissue. Future work could use bifurcation models with microfluidic channels to represent realistic anatomy more accurately, although this approach would present a two-fold challenge: (i) capturing the three-dimensional curvature of such vasculature will be difficult, as most microfluidic devices are structured in a two-dimensional (planar) manner and (ii) most microfluidic devices are built out of PDMS (polydimethylsiloxane) or other materials that are not acoustically friendly. 53,54 Although ideally the general-purpose phantom would have had smaller lesions to more closely mimic finger microvasculature, the study was considered satisfactory for the purposes of the in vitro study as a first step toward the in vivo study. Accessibility of in vitro phantoms with the proper dimensions, three-dimensional curvatures, and acoustic properties may be a challenge requiring in depth knowledge of the acoustofluidics field.

4.2.2 | In vitro flow measurements

Our results demonstrated detection of flow rates down to 0.2 ml/s (equal to 12 ml/min). Klarhöfer M et al. reports blood flow rates of 3.0–26 ml/min in arteries and 1.2–4.8 ml/min in veins for circulation in the human finger.50 As a result, the rate we investigated in our in vitro study—0.2 ml/s (equal to 12 ml/min)—is within the range for arteries, however it might not be in the appropriate range for veins.40 Future studies may need to utilize a more accurate flow pump to investigate venous flow rates.

4.2.3 | In vivo study

The in vivo experiments could be expanded upon by increasing the sample size for both the number of subjects as well as the number

---

**FIGURE 8** The scored (perceived) clarity of microvasculature visualization in vivo for different probes given a certain ultrasound modality. These plots illustrate the values of the scores for the perceived clarity of microvasculature visualization for various modalities under probe (A) L12-3, (B) 11L3, (C) L12-5, (D) i8LX5, (E) eL18-4, and (F) i22LH8. As mentioned previously, MFI is only available in eL18-4 and SMI is only available in probes of the Canon ultrasound system. A Welch’s t-test statistical analysis was performed among groups, and p-values were calculated (p ≤ .05*, p ≤ .01**, p ≤ .001***, p ≤ .001****). The significance is only shown for the superior ultrasonic probes.
of radiologists reviewing the ultrasound data. Additionally, the middle finger circulation can change when held horizontally or vertically (facing up or down). Future studies can investigate this effect, as well as patient-dependency of such effects.

4.2.4 | Ultrasound probes

To revisit our assumptions, it is important to note that this study primarily focused on ultrasound frequency as one of the main variables associated with the image quality required for microcirculation. However, ultrasound probe design is more elaborate than solely the variation of frequency. For example, this study only used linear probes (mainly for consistency purposes). Due to the typically curved geometries present in the human body, future studies could investigate the microvasculature imaging capabilities of convex or concave ultrasound probes. Additional design parameters of the probes (e.g., number of elements, element spacing, the crystal structure) can also influence the image quality and hence need to be understood further.

4.3 | Long-term implications of the study

This study aimed to pave the path for identification of the ultrasound parameters required for high resolution visualization of microvasculature. The results presented herein may, in the long-term, help facilitate the use of wearable or implantable ultrasound transducers for continuous monitoring of microcirculation.

5 | PERSPECTIVES

Monitoring microcirculation and visualizing microvasculature are critical for providing diagnoses to medical professionals to guide
clinical interventions. As such, this information can help mitigate complications and injury progression. In this paper, we studied microvasculature imaging capabilities of six linear ultrasonic probes and five ultrasound modalities across two clinical ultrasound systems to determine the optimal imaging parameters. These results could pave the path for the design of ultrasound transducers for monitoring of microcirculation to help patients across a broad spectrum of needs—from burn victims to stroke patients.
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