Micro-level Estimation of Mercaptoacetic Acid Using its Inhibitory Effect to Mercury Catalyzed Ligand Exchange Reaction of Hexacyanoruthenate(II)
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Abstract: The sulfur-containing bioactive molecules (soft base) tend to bind strongly with Hg(II) (soft acid), thereby inhibiting the mercury (II) catalyzed exchange rate of cyanide ligand from [Ru(CN)6]4− by pyrazine. This inhibitory effect of Mercaptoacetic acid (MAA) encourages us to establish a new kinetic method for its micro-level estimation. Optimized reaction condition viz. 6.25×10−5 M [Ru(CN)6]4−, pH = 4.0, 7.5×10−4 M [Pyrazine], 0.05 M KCl, 8.5×10−5 M [Hg2+] and 45 (±0.1) °C temperature were utilized for the kinetic spectrophotometric investigation at 370nm (λmax of [Ru(CN)5Pz]3− complex). The modified mechanistic scheme for inhibition caused by sulfur donor ligand, MAA has been proposed. The proposed analytical method provides the detection of MAA up to 2.0 × 10−6 M, indicates that the methodology can be effectively and economically employed to analyze the biological samples having MAA. This method can also be convincingly adopted for the quality check of MAA containing industrial products.
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1. Introduction

Sulfur is the key element in the living organisms for the various metabolic processes. Sulfur occurs in structural proteins of a cell, in enzymes, and in large numbers of bioactive molecules, which plays a vital role in metabolism [1-4]. Thus there is a continuous demand to develop an effective methodology for the quantitative determination and detection of sulfur-bearing compounds in distinct samples. Mercaptoacetic acid (MAA), generally known as thioglycolic acid, is a bi-functional organic compound consisting of carboxyl and thiol groups. The chelating complexes of MAA with metal ions have been used for the determination of Ag(I), Pb(II), Mo(II), Sn(II), Tl(III) and Fe(III) and extraction of Cu(II) and Cd(II) [5-7]. MAA has been widely used in the leather industry for the depilation process, in fabric dying, in cosmetics (mainly in hair perming) [8-9]. The derivatives of MAA are also used as a heat stabilizer for PVC plastics, as a corrosion inhibitor and anti-scaling agent for the oil field industry [10-11]. In contrast to widespread industrial applications, the long term exposure of MAA can cause chronic deceases like cancer and reproductive problems [12]. On acute exposure, MAA causes breathing problems, skin burning, irritation and possible damage of eyes, coughing and choking, gastrointestinal damage, dizziness etc. [13]. American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) recommended 1 ppm as the airborne exposure
limit of MMA for an 8-hour work shift. The unavoidable use of MAA and its derivative in various industries and cosmetic products attracts environmental analyst and chemists to develop methods for its micro-level determination.

Numerous reports are available to determine sulfur-bearing compounds in pharmaceutical preparations, biological and analytical samples [14-20]. The determination methods include chromatography [21-24], colorimetry [16] [17], flow injection analysis [25], fluorimetry [26], voltammetry [27-29], potentiometry [30], NMR-spectrometry [31] and spectrophotometry [32-33]. The major disadvantages of most of these methods are a high initial investment and are time-consuming. Very few kinetic reports are available using various detection techniques [34-37]. Naik et al. developed a kinetic spectrophotometric method to quantitatively determine D-Pa utilizing its inhibitory effect towards Hg(II) catalyzed ligand substitution reaction [38-39].

Ruthenium (a corrosion-resistant material [40]) complexes with several bioactive ligand exhibits widespread applications as Antifungal [41], Antiamoebic [42], Anticancer [43], Antimetastatic [44], Immunosuppressant [45], Antileukemic [46-47], Antitumor [48-49] and DNA binder [50-51]. The metal-catalyzed ligand imitation of [Ru(CN)₆]⁴⁻ with nitrogen donor heterocyclic ligand in aqueous / surfactant medium have been investigated by several authors [52-53]. The mercury (II) catalyzed imitation of cyanide ligand from [Ru(CN)₆]⁴⁻ with pyrazine has been effectively utilized to determine Hg(II) at trace level [54]. The concept of HSAB (hard-soft acid-base) has been extensively used to explain the stability of different metal complexes. The sulfur-bearing compounds (soft base) exhibit a tendency to bind strongly with Hg(II) (soft acid) [38-39]. MAA suppresses the mercury(II) catalyzed exchange rate of cyanide ligand from hexacyanoruthenate(II) with pyrazine. This inhibitory effect of MAA encourages us to establish a new kinetic method for its micro-level estimation. The developed method based on ligand imitation reaction between hexacyanoruthenate(II) and pyrazine catalyzed by Hg(II) is more useful as the uncatalyzed reaction is insignificant under specified reaction condition. The present communication proposes a novel, simple and accurate method which permits to determination MAA down to 2.0 × 10⁻⁶ M with good accuracy and reproducibility. This method can also be successfully adopted for the quality check of MAA containing industrial samples.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials and instrumentation.

The de-ionized distilled water and analytical grade reagents were used throughout the kinetic measurements. A calculated amount of pyrazine (Merck) and K₄[Ru(CN)₆]·3H₂O (Merck) were used for the preparation of their stock solutions. Mercaptoacetic acid, procured from Himedia, was used without further purification. All the stock solutions were stored in amber-colored bottles to avoid their possible photo-degradation. HgCl₂ solution was prepared daily since the loss in [Hg²⁺] was observed as it gets adsorbed on the glass surface. Hydrochloric acid (S, D. Fine-Chem Ltd. India) and potassium hydrogen phthalate (Merck) were used to prepare a desired buffer solution by the reported method. The ionic strength of the reaction medium was maintained by KCl (Merck).

The measurement of absorbance at fixed wavelength and acquisition of other kinetic data was carried out on a double beam (Systonic S-926) spectrophotometer fitted with a
thermo-stated cell compartment. The standard buffer solutions were used to calibrate the digital pH meter (Toshniwal, CL46) before pH measurements.

2.2. Procedure.

The detailed kinetic investigation of the substitution reaction was utilized to judiciously select the optimum reaction condition at which the indicator reaction is more sensitive and proceed at the fastest rate. All the reacting solutions viz., buffer solution of pH = 4.0, 7.5×10^{-4} M [Pyrazine], 6.25×10^{-5} M [Ru(CN)_6^{4-}], 8.5 ×10^{-5} M [Hg^{2+}], 0.05 M KCl and mercaptoacetic acid were placed in thermostat at 45°C to attain thermal equilibrium. Then 2.0ml from each solution was pipette out and mixed swiftly in the order: buffer solution, pyrazine, KCl, HgCl_2, and mercaptoacetic acid in an Erlenmeyer flask and immersed in the thermostat to fix the same temperature. 2.0ml of hexacyanoruthenate(II) was finally added to trigger the reaction. After vigorous shaking, the reaction mixture was transferred immediately to the spectrophotometer’s cell, kept in a temperature-controlled cell compartment at 45°C via circulating water arrangement. The advancement of the indicator reaction was monitored by examining the escalation in absorbance at 370nm, which corresponds to the stable yellow-colored [Ru(CN)s Pz]^3- complex. To quantitatively determine MAA, a calibration curve was drawn by plotting the change in absorbance as a function of varying [MAA] at fixed time intervals.

3. Results and Discussion

The exchange of cyanide ligand with pyrazine from hexacyanoruthenate(II), catalyzed by Hg(II) produces a yellow-colored [Ru(CN)s Pz]^3-. The reaction product exhibits a strong absorption band at 370nm due to metal to ligand charge transfer (MLCT) transition. The product absorbs strongly at 370nm without any interference from the reactants, catalyst, and other reaction reagents [54].

![Figure 1. Calibration curve for the Mercaptoacetic acid determination.](https://biointerfaceresearch.com/)

The earlier reports on thioglycolic acid and sodium thiosulphate exhibit that the thio compounds inhibit the substitution rate of cyanide with an incoming ligand from hexacyanoruthenate(II) using Hg(II) as a catalyst [34-36]. Mercaptoacetic acid, a sulfur donor ligand, also decreases the rate of a reaction under investigation by forming a stable catalyst–inhibitor [Hg(II)----MAA] complex, thereby decreasing the effective concentration of Hg(II) catalyst resulting in loss of its catalytic efficiency. It is also observed that the rate of inhibitor reaction decreases with the proportional addition of [MAA].
Optimized reaction conditions were utilized to measure the absorbance change $A_t$ ($t = 15$ and $20$ min) with varying [MAA] ($0.20 \times 10^{-5}$ to $3.0 \times 10^{-5}$). The plot of $A_t$ versus [MAA] exhibits a linear dependency (Figure 1). It can be served as a calibration curve for the MAA determination. The relevant expressions are given by equations 1 and 2.

Reaction Condition: pH = 4.0 ± 0.02, [Pyrazine] = 7.5×10^{-4} M, I = 0.05 M (KCl), [Ru(CN)$_6$]$^{4-}$ = 6.25×10^{-5} M, Temperature = 45.0 ± 0.1 °C and [Hg$^{2+}$] = 8.5×10^{-5} M.

\[ A_{15} = 0.120 - 3.52 \times 10^4[\text{MAA}] \]  
\[ A_{20} = 0.146 - 4.76 \times 10^4[\text{MAA}] \]  

The standard deviation and linear regression coefficient for $A_{15}$ and $A_{20}$ versus [MAA] plots are 0.0026, 0.0006 and 0.9985, 0.9967, respectively. The reproducibility and accuracy of the present method for MAA determination were tested by dissolving the calculated amount of MAA in distilled water and performing experiments for recovery. The recovered MAA inclusive of percentage error and standard deviation are reported (Table 1). The observed detection limit for MAA was $2.0 \times 10^{-6}$ M.

The modified mechanistic scheme for inhibition, caused by sulfur donor ligand MAA to the mercury (II) catalyzed exchange of cyanide with pyrazine from hexacyanoruthenate(II) can be proposed by exploiting the same reaction without inhibitor and may schematically be represented by equations (3) – (7).

Table 1. Recovery results and % error for MAA determination

| [MAA]×10^5 M (Taken) | $A_{15}$ | % Error | $A_{20}$ | % Error |
|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 0.25                 | 0.26 ± 0.016 | + 0.04 | 0.29 ± 0.032 | + 0.16 |
| 0.60                 | 0.60 ± 0.02  | 0.000 | 0.60 ± 0.00  | 0.000 |
| 1.10                 | 1.05 ± 0.06  | - 0.045 | 1.09 ± 0.03  | - 0.009 |
| 1.30                 | 1.29 ± 0.08  | - 0.008 | 1.33 ± 0.04  | + 0.023 |
| 1.75                 | 1.75 ± 0.00  | 0.000 | 1.73 ± 0.06  | - 0.011 |
| 2.25                 | 2.21 ± 0.05  | - 0.018 | 2.25 ± 0.00  | 0.000 |
| 2.50                 | 2.50 ± 0.01  | 0.000 | 2.55 ± 0.08  | + 0.020 |
| 2.90                 | 2.92 ± 0.08  | + 0.007 | 2.88 ± 0.07  | - 0.007 |

Since the inspected substitution reaction does not proceed without catalyst under specified reaction condition (not considered in the above scheme) [54], so the current Hg(II) catalyzed reaction system must produce more efficient and accurate results for the MAA determination. Considering “$S_0$” as the initial concentration of [Ru(CN)$_6$]$^{4-}$ we can deduce the rate expression very similar to the enzyme-catalyzed reaction (in the presence of an inhibitor) for a single substrate. The catalyzed reaction rate ($V_o$) in the absence of an inhibitor can be represented by Eq. (8).

\[ V_o = \frac{V_{\text{max}}}{1 + \frac{K_m}{[S_0]}} \]  

Equation (8) can be rearranged in the form of Lineweaver-Burk expression [55] (Eq. 9).
Scheme 1. The mechanistic pathway for the Hg(II) catalyzed exchange of cyanide with pyrazine from hexacyanoruthenate(II)

\[
[Ru(CN)_6]^{4-} + Hg^{2+} + H_2O \xrightarrow{K} [(CN)_3RuCN \text{--(Hg}^{2+}\text{)--H}_2O]^{2-} \tag{3}
\]

\[
\text{[Catalyst Inhibitor complex]}
\]

\[
-HgCN^+ \xrightarrow{k_1 \text{ (slow)}} [Ru(CN)_3H_2O]^{3-} \tag{5}
\]

\[
-H_2O + \text{Pyrazine} \xrightarrow{k_2 \text{ fast}} [Ru(CN)_3 - \text{Pyrazine}]^{3-} \tag{6}
\]

\[
\text{HgCN}^+ + H^+ \xrightarrow{\text{defection of inhibitor}} Hg^{2+} + HCN \tag{7}
\]

Figure 2. The Lineweaver-Burk plot for the determination of \(K_m\) and \(V_{\text{max}}\) in the absence of inhibitor.

Reaction Condition: pH = 4.0 ± 0.02, [Pyrazine] = 7.5 × 10^{-4} M, Temperature = 45.0 ± 0.1 °C, I = 0.05 M (KCl) and [Hg^{2+}] = 8.5 × 10^{-5} M.

\[
\frac{1}{V_o} = \frac{1}{V_{\text{max}}} + \frac{K_m}{V_{\text{max}}} \frac{1}{[S_o]} \tag{9}
\]

Here \(K_m\) corresponds to M-M (Michaelis-Menten) constant; the maximum rate at larger substrate concentration is represented by \(V_{\text{max}}\), and \(V_o\) shows the initial reaction rate in the defection of inhibitor.

The plot of \(1/V_o\) versus \(1/[S_0]\) is in accordance with the equation of straight line having a slope and intercept of \(K_m/V_{\text{max}}\) and \(1/V_{\text{max}}\), respectively (Figure 2). The calculated value of \(K_m\) was found to be 0.188 ± 0.015 mM.
Equation (10) represents the initial reaction rate ($V_i$) at constant catalyst concentration, in the presence of inhibitor (MAA).

$$K'_m = K_m \left(1 + \frac{[I_o]}{K_{CI}}\right)$$

$$V_i = \frac{V_{max}}{1 + \frac{K'_m}{[S_o]} \left(1 + \frac{[I_o]}{K_{CI}}\right)}$$ (10)

Where $K'_m$ represents the probable value of M-M constant for the catalyzed reaction in the presence of inhibitor [56], $K_{CI}$ shows the dissociation constant of the catalyst inhibitor complex (C-I) and the initial inhibitor concentration is $I_o$.

Equation (11) gives the double reciprocal of the above equation, which is in accordance to the Lineweaver-Burk expression.

$$\frac{1}{V_i} - \frac{1}{V_{max}} = \frac{K_m}{[S_o]V_{max}} + \frac{K_m}{[S_o]V_{max}} \frac{[I_o]}{K_{CI}'}$$ (11)

Equation (12) rules out the formation of substrate inhibitor complex, while for good results, the inhibitor “I” and catalyst “C” must form a stable complex.
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investigation. On the other hand, the cations and anions which may complex with MAA and other reactants must also be absent.

Table 2. Effect of diverse ions on Mercaptoacetic acid determination

| External ion | [External ion] M, limit | Interference     |
|--------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| NO₃⁻         | 2.0 × 10⁻⁴             | Almost non interfering |
| I            | 6.0 × 10⁻⁴             | No Interference  |
| SO₄²⁻        | 2.0 × 10⁻⁴             | Interfering significantly |
| Na⁺          | 6.0 × 10⁻⁴             | Interfering appreciably |
| Pb²⁺         | 4.0 × 10⁻⁴             | No Interference  |
| Cu²⁺         | 2.0 × 10⁻⁴             | No Interference  |
| Cd²⁺         | 8.0× 10⁻⁵              | No Interference  |
| Mg²⁺         | 6.0 × 10⁻⁴             | No Interference  |
| Zn²⁺         | 2.0 × 10⁻⁴             | No Interference  |
| Fe³⁺         | 8.0 × 10⁻⁴             | No Interference  |
| Al³⁺         | 4.0 × 10⁻⁴             | No Interference  |
| C₅O₄²⁻       | 4.0 × 10⁻⁴             | Interfering significantly |
| HEDTA        | 8.0 × 10⁻⁴             | Interfering significantly |
| EDTA         | 6.0 × 10⁻⁴             | Interfering significantly |
| DTPA         | 6.0 × 10⁻⁴             | Interfering significantly |
| IDA          | 8.0 × 10⁻⁴             | Interfering significantly |

4. Conclusions

A sensitive, rapid, and more accurate spectrophotometric method was developed to determine MAA at a micro-level. The present investigation, based on ligand exchange reaction between hexacyanoruthenate(II) and pyrazine catalyzed by Hg(II) is more useful as the uncatalyzed reaction is insignificant under specified reaction condition. The addition of inhibitor only retards the rate of catalyzed reaction, which eventually escalates the efficiency and accuracy of the present system for inhibitors determination. The proposed method provides the detection of MAA up to 2.0 × 10⁻⁶ M indicates that the methodology can be effectively and economically utilized to analyze the industrial and biological samples having MAA. This method can also be convincingly adopted for the quality check of MAA containing products.
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