**A new, harmless, high-throughput endosperm-based DNA extraction method for wheat**
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**ABSTRACT:** In this study, a non-destructive, high-throughput, endosperm-based DNA extraction method was developed. To verify the non-destructive nature of this method, a germination test was performed on 288 seeds after sampling their endosperm, which gave a seedling emergence rate that was higher (97.6%) than that of the control group (92%). To confirm the feasibility of the new method, DNA was extracted from plants of a BC1F2 population by two different methods, namely, from endosperm using our rapid, high-throughput method (ER-DNA) and from young leaves emerging from the same sampled seed using the CTAB method (LC-DNA). The ER-DNA was undetectable by agarose gel electrophoresis, but was found to be an adequate replacement for LC-DNA for the amplification and detection of simple sequence repeats (SSRs). Further analysis revealed that ER-DNA was generally suitable for the generation of specific 500–750-bp fragments, but not for the amplification of 1,000–2,000-bp fragments. Our rapid, high-throughput method therefore has no deleterious effects on wheat seeds and yields DNA for SSR genotyping that is a suitable alternative to traditionally obtained DNA.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Under natural conditions, seed is the first tissue with the potential to become a mature plant. Consequently, extracting DNA from seeds may serve as a useful foundation for early DNA detection. In plant breeding programs, the extraction of DNA from seeds using marker-assisted selection technology can eliminate undesirable seeds before sowing to reduce workload and cost. Similarly, the development of populations for genetic research always requires considerable time and effort for genotype selection and the addition of new generations; consequently, the extraction of DNA from seeds for early detection of traits is an important and very useful way to improve the efficiency of this process. Such an approach is worthwhile, however, if the seeds do not grow normally after the DNA extraction.

In theory, the main function of wheat endosperm is to supply nutrients to the developing embryo; consequently, the removal of a very small amount of endosperm should not affect this function. In addition, the wheat embryo and wheat endosperm are genetically identical other than ploidy level. Cutting off a small piece of endosperm instead of an embryo or leaf for DNA extraction is thus acceptable.
for genetic analysis and does not prevent the seed from developing into a mature plant.

A05 and BNS366, developed by the Wheat Centre of the Henan Institute of Science and Technology, China, are superior inbred lines of potential value for wheat hybrid breeding. These two lines have different genetic backgrounds and agronomic characteristics. This situation is advantageous when selecting materials to generate a segregating population for genetic research, as genetically similar individuals in such a population are difficult to distinguish. A05 and BNS366 were therefore used to establish a BC$_1$F$_1$ population, with the resulting seeds chosen as materials for this study.

The objective of the present study was to develop and evaluate a method for extracting DNA from wheat seeds. To be useful, the method must be simple to follow, high-throughput, inexpensive, non-destructive to seeds, and yield DNA suitable for PCR. To analyse the effect of this method on seeds, we recorded seedling emergence rates. To verify the feasibility of DNA extraction from wheat seeds as an alternative to extraction from wheat leaves, we analysed both endosperm- and leaf-derived DNA using polymorphic simple sequence repeat (SSR) primers.

Numerous attempts have been made to extract DNA during early stages of plant development. For example, HILL-AMBROZ et al. (2002) developed a method for extracting DNA from germinating wheat seedlings in 96-well plates without damaging the seedlings. RICARD et al. (1983) extracted mitochondrial DNA from wheat embryos. ABD-ELSALAM et al. (2011) extracted DNA from germinated seed but used the entire seed. GUPTA et al. (2012) reported the extraction of DNA from imbibed seeds of four plant species including wheat; however, the treated seeds were not tested for germination. KANG et al. (2014) reported a half-seed method for DNA extraction of 12 plant species, including wheat, in which the germination rate of the remaining half seed was unaffected only in rice. POST et al. (2003) developed a method to extract endosperm DNA from dry barley seed using a special drill, but the seed coat was damaged in the process. PAPAZOVA et al. (2005) carried out a real-time PCR analysis of maize that included DNA extraction from both embryo and endosperm. MUTOU et al. (2014) reported the establishment of a method to evaluate seed quality by DNA extraction from rice endosperm. LIANG et al. (2016) presented a half-seed method to extract DNA from rice endosperm using 96-well plates. ZHENG et al. (2015) used special equipment to isolate DNA from cotton seeds; the DNA extraction proved to be non-damaging, and 88 BC$_1$F$_1$ and 36 BC$_2$F$_1$ individuals were selected as materials.

DNA extraction from seeds has additionally been reported for many other plant species, including broccoli (VAN DEYNEZ et al. 2006), canola (MIDDLETON et al. 2003), carrot (VAN DEYNEZ et al. 2006), common bean (INCE et al. 2011), cowpea (SHARMA et al. 2011), grapevine (RATHNAYAKE et al. 2014), groundnut (ROOMI et al. 2013), lettuce (VAN DEYNEZ et al. 2006), melon (VAN DEYNEZ et al. 2006), mothbean (SHARMA et al. 2011), mungbean (SHARMA et al. 2011), nuts (AKKAK et al. 2008), onion (VAN DEYNEZ et al. 2006), pepper (INCE et al. 2011; VAN DEYNEZ et al. 2006), radish (VAN DEYNEZ et al. 2006), sesame (SHARMA et al. 2011), soybean (INCE et al. 2011; SHARMA et al. 2011; KING et al. 2014; AL-AMERY et al. 2016), squash (VAN DEYNEZ et al. 2006), sweet corn (VAN DEYNEZ et al. 2006), tomato (INCE et al. 2011; VAN DEYNEZ et al. 2006), and watermelon (VAN DEYNEZ et al. 2006).

Compare with previous research, the new DNA extraction method owns several advantages at the same time. In this study, the endosperm of dry wheat seeds were selected as the basic material for DNA extraction, so the DNA can be extracted at the earliest stage. After the process, the wheat seeds can normally germinate and seedling emergence, so this method was harmless. And then, using this method, wheat seeds can be extract DNA of high quality in batch mode. Besides, the segregation populations were selected as the experimental samples and SSR markers were selected as one of detect method, so this new method have great practical value. At last, more strategies were used to detect and measure the DNA quality of new method, so the application range was more specific.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Polymorphism analysis

A total of 99 SSR primers from 21 chromosomes were selected to analyse polymorphisms between A05 and BNS366.

Establishment of populations

BNS366, as the recurrent parent, was crossed with A05 to establish a BC$_1$F$_1$ population. Seeds of the BC$_1$F$_1$ population used in this study were acquired by selfing of the BC$_1$F$_1$ population. Molecular identification of each plant was carried out using 10 polymorphic SSR markers.
DNA extraction from wheat endosperm

DNA was extracted from wheat seeds according to the following protocol. First, dry wheat seeds were soaked for 10 min in water at room temperature (25 °C) and then allowed to dry on filter paper. When the seed coat had loosened on the back of the wheat seed, an incision was made in the seed coat with a scalpel. Approximately 0.4 mg of tissue was excised from the wheat endosperm (Figure 1) and transferred to a 96-well plate. Following this excision, the seed was transferred to a 96-well deep-well plate, with the seed carefully positioned to ensure one-to-one correspondence between its location and that of its excised endosperm tissue in the 96-well plate. After addition of 100 µl of 0.1 M NaOH to each well of the 96-well plate followed by incubation at 99 °C for 12 min, 100 µl of TE (0.01 M Tris base and 0.001 M EDTA, pH 2.0) was added to balance the pH. The resulting mixtures were then used as DNA templates for PCR.

The following labelling scheme was used to keep track of endosperm DNA and seed samples. Each 96-well plate and corresponding 96-well deep-well plate was designated as 1, 2, or 3, with the labels A1 to H12 used to distinguish different positions on the same plate. For example, 2-D10 was used to refer to the seed and the DNA from its endosperm located in row D column 10 on 96-well plate 2 and 96-well deep-well plate 2, respectively. Finally, the letters E and L were used to distinguish DNA samples isolated by different methods. For example, 1E-B4 means that the DNA sample was extracted from endosperm by the above-described rapid method, whereas 1L-B4 was used to designate DNA extracted by the cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method (see below) from young leaves developing from the corresponding seed.

Seed germination rate

To evaluate the effect of endosperm sampling on seed germination, we performed germination tests on three replications of 96 seeds that had been subjected to DNA extraction from endosperm. As a control, we used 301 intact seeds soaked for 10 min in water. All seeds in control (CK) and treatment groups were transferred to wet filter paper and incubated in a climate chamber at 20 °C under a 15-h/9-h day/night photoperiod. Seeds from the treatment groups were arranged according to sample number, whereas control group seeds were ordered randomly. The seedling emergence rate was recorded 15 days after sowing.
DNA extraction from wheat leaves

The CTAB method was used to extract DNA from wheat leaves (LC-DNA) following the method described by POREBSKI et al. (1997). The extracted DNA was checked for concentration and purity. To compensate for several cases in which no DNA was obtained because the seeds failed to germinate, an equivalent volume of TE was substituted for the missing LC-DNA prior to SSR detection.

PCR amplification

Each reaction mixture for amplification of SSR loci contained 1 µl template (50 ng DNA), 2 µl each of forward and reverse primers, 5 µl of 2× Es Taq MasterMix (www.cwbiotech.com), and 2 µl distilled deionised water. PCR cycling conditions were as follows: 94 °C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 (or more) s, and 72 °C for 30 s, with a final extension step of 72 °C for 5 min. The 55 °C extension time was increased according to the length of the expected PCR product, i.e., by approximately 1 min for each additional 1,000 bp.

Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) and agarose gel electrophoresis

PCR amplification products were separated by 6% PAGE at 170 V for 1.5 h. The products were visualised by silver staining using 1 g l⁻¹ silver nitrate for 20 min at 20 °C followed by development in a solution of 20 g l⁻¹ NaOH, 0.4 g l⁻¹ Na₂CO₃, and 0.06% (w/v) formaldehyde. SSR banding patterns in the segregating population were analysed and assigned values as follows: '1' if the banding pattern was the same as BNS366, '2' if the banding pattern was the same as A05, and '3' if the banding pattern was a composite of the two parents.

Finally, the amplified DNA was checked for quality by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis at 110 V for half an hour followed by staining with Goldview (Solarbio, Beijing, China).

DNA quality comparison of two methods

In order to evaluate the DNA quality using new method, in this study, four steps had been taken between new method and traditional method. Step 1, measure the DNA concentrations and A260/A280 ratios by spectrophotometer (NanoDrop ND-2000, Thermo). Step 2, 1% agarose gel electrophoresis (110v, 0.5 hour) was used to show the DNA integrity. Step 3, 6% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (170v, 1.5 hour) was used to distinguish the gene types of the BC₁F₂ population. Step 4, used different primers (corresponding with different length PCR Products, 500-750bp, 750-1000bp, 1000-2000bp) to estimate the length of DNA.

RESULTS

Comparison of efficiency between two extraction methods

The CTAB method contain a total of 8 main reagent, NaOH, HCl, Tris, EDTA, CTAB, chloroform, isoamylol and ethyl alcohol. The new method only contain 4 main reagent, NaOH, HCl, Tris and EDTA. The CTAB method contain 14 necessary steps, but the new method contain 4 necessary steps. The whole process of the CTAB method take about 1.5 hours, the new method take about 20 minutes only. When a large number of samples need be processed, the new method show great advantage by using multibarrel-micropipettor. Based on rough calculations, deal with 1000 samples need less than 8 hours using new method, but more than 56 hours using CTAB method.

The effect of DNA extraction on wheat seeds

Control group replicates CK-1, CK-2, and CK-3 collectively contained 301 wheat seeds. In replicate CK-1, 94% of seeds (94 seeds) developed into seedlings, while 5% (5) exhibited no significant germination-related changes. The radicle of the other remaining seed grew normally but could not penetrate the seed coat. In the CK-2 group, 92% of seeds (93) developed into seedlings and 8% (8) failed to germinate. In the CK-3 group, 90% of seeds (90) formed seedlings, while 8% (8) did not germinate. Two seeds developed normal radicles, but the young bud was unable to penetrate the seed coat. The first treatment-group replicate comprised 96 wheat seeds, of which 97.9% (94) developed into seedlings and 2.1% (2 seeds: 1-B12 and 1-G4) showed no significant evidence of germination. The second replicate group contained 96 wheat seeds; 97.9% of these (94 seeds) grew into seedlings, while 2.1% (2 seeds: 2-B12 and 2-B12) did not germinate. Of the 96 wheat seeds in the third treatment replicate, 97% (93) developed into seedlings and 3% (3 seeds: 3-A12, 3-C9, and 3-D4) did not germinate significantly (Table 1). According to our statistical analysis, the emergence rate of the treatment group was significantly higher than that of the control group (P<0.01).

Comparison of DNA quality and concentration between the two extraction methods

Concentrations of LC-DNA, i.e., the DNA extracted from wheat leaves by the CTAB
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The concentration of 1L-H9, which was 478 ng µl⁻¹. ER-DNA, corresponding to DNA extracted from wheat endosperm using our newly developed, rapid method, ranged in concentration from 200 to 1,500 ng µl⁻¹. In regard to DNA quality, A260/A280 ratios of 23 samples of LC-DNA varied between 1.8 and 2.0, with one sample having an A260/A280 ratio of 1.63. Among ER-DNA samples, 20 had A260/A280 ratios between 1.0 and 1.5, while three had a ratio of approximately 2.0 and one had a ratio of 4.37. Agarose gel electrophoresis of 87 LC-DNA samples yielded single, distinct bands corresponding to DNA fragments larger than 2,000 bp. Among the remaining LC-DNA samples, diffuse bands were associated with six samples (1-A10, 1-B1, 1-B2, 1-D4, 1-H9, and 1-12) and no bands were evident for three samples (1-B12, 1-G4, and 1-H9). No bands were observed upon electrophoresis of any of the 36 ER-DNA samples.

Screening for polymorphic SSR primers

A total of 99 SSR primers randomly selected from 872 SSR primers reported by SOMERS et al. (2004) and distributed on 21 chromosomes were screened between the parents, B36 and A05, using DNA extracted from young leaves by the CTAB method. Of the tested primers, 10 (barc174, barc56, barc164, barc55, barc158, gwm480, wmc169, gwm539, and cfd84) were polymorphic between the two parents (Figure 2).

Detection of SSRs in the two groups of DNA

The above-mentioned 10 polymorphic primers were used to genotype 190 DNA samples extracted from 96 wheat seeds and 94 young leaves. On the basis of two PCR replications, no difference was found in most genotypes between the two different DNA extraction methods (Table 2). Upon repeating the PCR amplifications once more to identify the actual genotypes, we confirmed that all genotypes were identical between the two extraction methods (Table 2). With respect to identifying actual genotypes, we found that genotypes of LC-DNA were more likely to be misread than those of ER-DNA. We thus believe that ER-DNA is a suitable replacement for LC-DNA in SSR-based analyses. Part of the genotyping are shown in figure 3.

Testing genetic purity of experimental seeds

Ensure the purity of crop seeds was a significant step to agricultural production. In this study, the truthfulness of the experimental seeds related to the conclusion of this study. The results of SSR detection show that the gene types of all 94 seeds were from A05 and/or B36. As BC1F2 population in this study, the separation ratio of three types (“2”, “3” and “1” in order) of every SSR marker should be 1 : 2 : 5. After chi-square tests, the separation radios of 7 in 10 SSR markers (barc174, barc56, barc164, barc158, gwm480, wmc169 and gwm539 in order) were accordance with 1 : 2 : 5 (c² =2.613, 1.379, 3.770, 1.174, 2.740, 2.681 and 3.804 in order; c² 0.05, 2=5.991). However three other SSR markers (barc55, wmc24 and cfd84) didn’t show the theoretical separation ratio.

Limitations on the use of ER-DNA

Because target-fragment lengths of SSR primers are always less than 500 bp, we selected seven other primers with longer target fragments to determine size limitations on the use of ER-DNA for PCR. When expected PCR product sizes were between 500 and 750 bp, the anticipated bands were

| Groups | Number of seeds | Seedlings number | Seedling rate | Seeds which were failed to seedling | Reason of failing to seedling |
|--------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| ck-1   | 100            | 94              | 0.94          |                                    | Five seeds failed to germinate, one seed failed to break trough seed coat |
| ck-2   | 101            | 93              | 0.92          |                                    | Eight seeds failed to germinate |
| ck-3   | 100            | 90              | 0.9           |                                    | Eight seeds failed to germinate, two seeds failed to break trough seed coat |
| 1      | 96             | 94              | 97.9%         | 1-B12 and 1-G4                     | Two seeds failed to germinate |
| 2      | 96             | 94              | 97.9%         | 2-A1 and 2-B12                     | Two seeds failed to germinate |
| 3      | 96             | 93              | 96.9%         | 3-A12,3-C9 and 3-D4                | Three seeds failed to germinate |

Table 1 - Seedling rate of the seeds which were used to extract DNA from endosperm.
only obtained from a few ER-DNA samples (7 of 24) by PCR, whereas 19 of 24 LC-DNA samples yielded the specific band. No distinct bands were obtained from ER-DNA when desired PCR product sizes ranged from 1,000 to 2,000 bp; in contrast, these fragments were successfully amplified from most LC-DNA samples. In this study, LC-DNA was therefore found to be more suitable than ER-DNA for amplifying longer target regions.

**DISCUSSION**

According to our germination test results, the protocol used to extract DNA from seed endosperm in this study was not harmful to seeds; in fact, it improved the emergence rate, as emergence rates of the treatment group were higher than those of the CK group. A few seeds in the CK group failed to germinate because the developing shoot could not penetrate the seed coat, which suggests that mechanical damage to the seed coat is beneficial to germination. Aside from germination failures due to seed coats, the treatment group still contained a smaller percentage of dormant seeds compared with the CK group and exhibited a significantly higher emergence rate (P<0.05). Consequently, separation of part of the seed coat from the endosperm and/or removal of a small piece of endosperm were other possible beneficial factors for germination and emergence. Some studies have shown that lack of permeability to water or air, resistance to mechanical penetration, and the presence of chemical substances in the seed coat can inhibit germination (MIYAMOTO et al. 1961; AMANO et al. 2002).

Some reports (NANDAKUMAR et al. 2004; BORA et al. 2016) show that SSR marker were used to identify the seed purity. In this study, all of 10 SSR markers show all gene types of 94 seeds were from the parents. And more or less, every seed (except “1-C1”) own different gene types among 10 SSR markers. So we inferred that the experimental seeds were hybrid offspring of A05 and B36, there were no pollen pollution in every generation. Based the design of this study and Mendel’s law, the gene types of every primer should fit suitable separation radio. In order to prove the experimental seeds were BC1F2 population, the chi-square tests were performed. The results of 7 primers show that the experimental seeds were real BC1F2 population. Forming reason of segregation distortion were lethal genes or other segregation distortion factors (NASUDA et al. 1998; MARAIS et al. 2001). CHU et al (2006) had reported that a hybrid
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Necrosis gene was linked with barc55, so we guess that the existence of segregation distortion region caused the separation radio of the three primers didn’t fit the theoretical value. And 7 primers were enough to show the truthfulness of the experimental seeds.

Our evaluation of DNA extracted by the two different methods revealed higher concentrations of LC-DNA than ER-DNA. Given that an A260/A280 ratio of 1.8 to 2.0 indicates high purity, LC-DNA was of higher quality. Because the endosperm-based method did not include a procedure for removing RNA, proteins and sugars, ER-DNA was of lower purity. LC-DNA had good integrity according to the results of agarose gel electrophoresis. Compared with other LC-DNA samples, the DNA extracted from seed 1-H9 was present in a lower concentration and had a smaller A260/A280 ratio; this DNA was undetectable after agarose gel electrophoresis, most likely because of its low concentration and/or low A260/A280 ratio (similar to ER-DNA samples). Because a low-concentration DNA should still appear as a weak band, the undetectability of this sample was more likely due to the low purity, as some impurities can disturb the binding process between DNA molecules and nucleic acid stain. As implied by the low A260/A280 ratio, too much protein was present in the DNA sample. Studies have found that some proteins can bind to DNA strands (SHANAHAN et al. 2004; KUZNETSOV et al. 2006; OFRAN et al. 2007; SI et al. 2015); consequently, the binding of excess protein to DNA strands may have interfered with the detection of this sample on the agarose gel.

The screening of seeds for breeding selection was one of the motivations for this study. Although the emergence rates recorded here may differ from those obtained in soil in a real field environment, the complete development of young seedling lines from germinating seeds can be observed and analysed. One of the main conclusions of this study is that DNA extracted from endosperm can replace leaf DNA in SSR-based analyses. This conclusion is supported by evidence obtained using leaf DNA selected as a control from the same plant and our abundant data (including approximately 300 wheat seeds, 10 polymorphic markers from 99 SSR primers, 94 pairs of DNA samples. and 940 pairs of SSR genotypes).

### Table 2 - Results of SSR detection.

| Names of SSR primers | DNA groups | Number of seeds/seedlings | Number of readable genotypes | Number of plants extracted DNA by two methods | Number of same genotypes between two types of DNA | Number of same genotypes between two types of DNA, after identification | Number of misreading genotypes |
|----------------------|------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| barc174              | LC-DNA     | 94                       | 94                           | 94                                         | 88                                              | 94                                                               | 4                             |
|                      | ER-DNA     | 96                       | 96                           | 94                                         | 94                                              | 94                                                               | 2                             |
| barc56               | LC-DNA     | 94                       | 94                           | 94                                         | 94                                              | 94                                                               | 0                             |
|                      | ER-DNA     | 96                       | 96                           | 94                                         | 94                                              | 94                                                               | 0                             |
| barc164              | LC-DNA     | 94                       | 94                           | 94                                         | 94                                              | 94                                                               | 0                             |
|                      | ER-DNA     | 96                       | 96                           | 94                                         | 94                                              | 94                                                               | 0                             |
| barc55               | LC-DNA     | 94                       | 94                           | 94                                         | 94                                              | 94                                                               | 0                             |
|                      | ER-DNA     | 96                       | 96                           | 94                                         | 94                                              | 94                                                               | 0                             |
| barc158              | LC-DNA     | 94                       | 94                           | 94                                         | 93                                              | 94                                                               | 1                             |
|                      | ER-DNA     | 96                       | 96                           | 94                                         | 94                                              | 94                                                               | 0                             |
| wmc24                | LC-DNA     | 94                       | 94                           | 94                                         | 93                                              | 94                                                               | 1                             |
|                      | ER-DNA     | 96                       | 96                           | 94                                         | 94                                              | 94                                                               | 0                             |
| gwm480               | LC-DNA     | 94                       | 94                           | 94                                         | 93                                              | 94                                                               | 1                             |
|                      | ER-DNA     | 96                       | 96                           | 94                                         | 94                                              | 94                                                               | 0                             |
| wmc169               | LC-DNA     | 94                       | 94                           | 94                                         | 93                                              | 94                                                               | 3                             |
|                      | ER-DNA     | 96                       | 96                           | 94                                         | 94                                              | 94                                                               | 3                             |
| gwm539               | LC-DNA     | 94                       | 94                           | 94                                         | 93                                              | 94                                                               | 0                             |
|                      | ER-DNA     | 96                       | 96                           | 94                                         | 94                                              | 94                                                               | 0                             |
| cfd84                | LC-DNA     | 94                       | 94                           | 94                                         | 94                                              | 94                                                               | 0                             |
|                      | ER-DNA     | 96                       | 96                           | 94                                         | 94                                              | 94                                                               | 0                             |
To find a DNA extraction method in early stage of plant, some studies (HILL-AMBROZ et al. 2002; SHARMA et al. 2011; ABD-ELSALAM et al. 2011) suggest that bud or young leaves can be used to extract DNA. However, compare with this study, the stages of plant were too late. It will be about one week from dry seed to young leaves. And if you want to sow thesis seeds, it is very hard for storage once the seed germinated. Some other researches (KANG et al. 1998; POST et al. 2003; KING et al. 2014; LIANG et al. 2016) had given similar plans compare with this research. However they still cut a too big piece of seed (one tenth or half of one seed), sometimes they did not even consider the harmful of embryo. Besides, the gene types of seed coat might not be same to the embryo (obtain seeds by hybridization), many researchers pay no attention to this point.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we have demonstrated that our new method of extracting DNA from endosperm has no deleterious effect on seedling emergence and that the resulting ER-DNA can replace LC-DNA in SSR genotyping analyses. Compared with LC-DNA, however, ER-DNA is of lower concentration and purity. In addition, its application is limited to amplification of fragments shorter than 750 bp.
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