Effect of APF Gel Application Time on Enamel Demineralization and Fluoride Uptake In Situ
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This in situ crossover and blind study was conducted to investigate the effect of professional acidulated phosphate fluoride (APF) gel application time on the subsequent inhibition of enamel demineralization. During 3 phases of 28 days each, 15 volunteers wore palatal appliances containing 4 enamel blocks, which were subjected to 3 treatment groups: not treated (control) and pre-treated with APF gel for 1 or 4 min. Dental plaque was allowed to accumulate on the blocks and the appliances were immersed in 10% sucrose solution 3 times a day simulating a cariogenic challenge. After each phase, the blocks were removed to evaluate enamel demineralization and concentration of fluoride (F) remaining after the cariogenic challenge. F formed on enamel was determined in additional enamel blocks subjected only to APF gel application. APF gel was efficient in reducing enamel demineralization (p<0.05), irrespective of the application time (p>0.05). Also, the concentration of the F formed and retained on enamel was significantly higher after APF gel application (p<0.05), but the effect of time of application was not statistically significant (p>0.05). The results suggest that APF application for either 1 or 4 min is equally efficient to increase F concentration in enamel and reduce enamel demineralization.
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INTRODUCTION

Professional acidulated phosphate fluoride (APF) application is a well-known method used for dental caries prevention and its efficacy is clearly recognized on an evidence-based perspective (1). However, most of the currently followed clinical recommendations for pre- and post-fluoride (F) application have been established in the middle of the last century. Among these recommendations, 4 min has been suggested as the optimal time for F application (2), perhaps based on the approximate time that a 2% sodium fluoride aqueous solution takes to be absorbed by the tooth surface (3). However, no consensus has been reached regarding the time that should be used for F gel application (4). In fact, in a recent report (5) about evidence-based recommendations of professional applied topical F, the clinical relevance of the application time was not considered.

The anticaries effect of professional F application depends on reaction products formed on enamel during the clinical treatment and their retention over time after the application. The formation of products on enamel is dependent on the concentration of F applied and the pH of the commercial product used.

Regarding F application time, most studies evaluating its relevance have been focused on F uptake by enamel and divergent results have been found. While some studies have shown differences between 1 and 4 min of application (6,7), others have not (8,9). However, although there seems to exist a relationship between F concentration on enamel after professional F application and the subsequent demineralization (10), in vitro studies have suggested that 4-min F application does not reduce enamel demineralization more than 1-min F application (11,12). It is possible that the difference in the amount of F products formed on enamel during 1 or 4 min is not clinically relevant to render enamel more caries resistant.

Since placebo-controlled clinical studies are no longer applicable due to ethical reasons (13) and in
situ studies have been proven sensitive to evaluate the efficacy of F treatments on caries, the aim of this study was to evaluate in situ the effect of APF gel application time on the reduction of enamel demineralization and F formed and retained on enamel.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Experimental Design

A crossover and blind in situ study was performed in 3 phases of 28 days each. Fifteen adult volunteers in good oral and general health took part in this study after signing an informed written consent form (Resolution no. 196 from National Health Council, Ministry of Health, Brasília, DF, Brazil; March 10, 1996). Only volunteers with a minimum non-stimulated salivary flow rate of 0.4 mL/min were considered eligible to participate (mean flow rate = 0.66, SD = 0.16). The volunteers wore palatal appliances containing enamel blocks pre-treated or not with APF gel. They were randomly assigned to one of the following treatments in each phase of the crossover study: 1: Control (non-treated); 2: APF - 1 min; and 3: APF - 4 min. All volunteers participated in the 3 phases of the study.

Enamel blocks (4x4x2 mm) were prepared from unerupted human third molars, which were polished flat to remove about 50 µm of the outer enamel surface (14). The blocks were randomly distributed into the treatment groups. Six blocks were placed in each palatal appliance, 4 of them fixed in a recess made in the acrylic resin (14) and the other 2 fixed with wax onto the acrylic surface. The volunteers received 1- or 4-min APF gel (1.23% F, pH 3.6-3.9; Nupro®; Dentsply Ind. e Com. Ltda., Petrópolis, RJ, Brazil) application, with the appliances in their mouth. APF gel was applied to the volunteers’ teeth using disposable trays and a cotton swab was used to carry the gel to the area of the appliance containing the 6 enamel blocks. After 1 or 4 min, the excess of gel was expectorated and volunteers were instructed not to drink or eat anything for 30 min. Immediately after application and expectoration of the gel, the 2 blocks fixed with wax onto the appliances were removed for analysis of the F formed in enamel. Over the other 4 blocks in the palatal appliance to allow plaque accumulation (15) and the volunteers wore the appliances for 28 days, removing only for eating or drinking, when they were kept moist in a plastic box. At the main mealtimes, 3 times a day, the appliances were also removed and immersed for 10 min in 10% sucrose solution (prepared immediately before use by mixing a 10 g sugar sachet with 100 mL of water). During a 10-day pre-experimental period, the washout period and the experimental phases, the volunteers brushed their natural teeth and the appliance with non-fluoridated toothpaste and were instructed to avoid the area containing the plastic meshes to prevent plaque disturbance. All volunteers lived in a city with optimally fluoridated water (0.6-0.8 ppm F).

At the end of each phase, the 4 blocks were removed and cleaned with a soft-bristle brush. Enamel demineralization was determined in two of them and F retained in enamel was analyzed in the other two. At least 7 days of washout period was allowed between each experimental phase. New appliances containing a new set of enamel blocks were made for the next phase.

Enamel Demineralization Assessment

Enamel demineralization was determined by cross-sectional microhardness (CSMH) because there is a high correlation (0.91) between enamel microhardness and % mineral in caries lesion (16). Briefly, the blocks were sectioned longitudinally through the center, embedded in acrylic resin in such a way that the cut section was exposed and could be polished. The indentations were made at 10 µm from the outer enamel surface up to 90 µm. Three rows of indentations were made, one in the central region of the dental block and the other two at 100 µm on both sides of the first row, using a Shimadzu HMV 2000 tester (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) and Knoop diamond under 25 g load for 5 s. The mean values at all measuring points at each distance from the surface from the 2 blocks were then averaged. The Knoop hardness number (KHN) values were converted to mineral contents (vol%) (16).

Fluoride Determination in Enamel

Total F was determined after acid etching extraction (17). Five enamel layers were sequentially removed from the 2 blocks only subjected to APF application to
determine F formed and from 2 enamel blocks subjected to the cariogenic challenge to evaluate retained F. In addition, F was determined in other non-treated 30 blocks in order to estimate the baseline values (F before). Each enamel block was immersed in 0.25 mL of 0.5 M HCl for 30, 30, 30, 60 and 60 s under agitation (14). An equal volume of TISAB II (1.0 M acetate buffer pH 5.0, 1 M NaCL and 0.4% CDTA) modified with 20 g NaOH/L was added to each solution containing the dissolved enamel layer. F measurements were performed using an ion-selective electrode Orion 96-09 and an ion analyser Orion EA-940 (Orion Research Inc., Boston, MA, USA). The amount of enamel removed (g) by each acid etching was calculated from the inorganic phosphorus concentration determined by light spectrophotometry, assuming its content in enamel to be 17.4% (14). Due to the density variation of caries lesions, the data (µg F/g of enamel) were expressed per layer rather than as a function of depth (18). The mean values from the 2 blocks were averaged to obtain a single value per volunteer.

Statistical Analysis

The mean values from the 2 blocks were averaged in such a way that the experimental unit was the volunteer (n=15). The significance of treatments was analyzed by analysis of variance and Tukey’s test, but all data were transformed to log₁₀ in order to fit the assumptions of homocedasticity and normal distribution of errors. Paired t-test was used to compare F formed and retained in enamel for each treatment group. For all statistical analysis, BioEstat 2.0 software was used and the significance level was set at 5%.

RESULTS

Figure 1 shows mineral content (% vol) in enamel after the cariogenic challenge, according to the treatment groups and the distance from the surface. APF gel applied either for 1- or 4-min was statistically more efficient than the control in reducing mineral loss up to 20 µm from the enamel surface (p<0.05). However, the differences between 1- and 4-min APF application times were not statistically significant (p>0.05) at any distance from the enamel surface.

Figure 2 shows the distribution of F concentration throughout the enamel surface. The comparison of groups before the cariogenic challenge showed a significantly higher F concentration formed up to the third enamel layer removed for blocks treated with APF for 1 or 4 min compared to the control group (p<0.05). However, no significant differences were found between the treatment groups (p>0.05). Accordingly, after the in situ challenge, a significantly higher F concentration in the first layer of enamel removed was found for blocks previously treated with APF for 1 or 4 min when compared to the control group (p<0.05), and without significant differences between them (p>0.05). When the F concentration on enamel before and after the cariogenic challenge within each group was compared,
a significant increase in F concentration in the first 3 layers of enamel removed was found for the control group (p<0.05). For groups treated with APF for 1 and 4 min, F retained after the cariogenic challenge in the first enamel layer removed was significantly lower when compared to the F formed by APF application (p<0.05).

DISCUSSION

In situ studies have been considered as intermediate between in vitro and in vivo studies because they allow the control of some clinical variables related to caries development (19). In situ studies have been used for different purposes. Using this model, Delbem et al. (20) have previously evaluated the effect of water rinse on the anticaries effect of acidulated phosphate fluoride (APF) gel application.

In this in situ study, APF gel increased F concentration in enamel compared to the control irrespective of application time (Fig. 2), which is in agreement with the findings of in vitro investigations (8,9,12). The F concentration formed on enamel by APF-gel application for 4 min was approximately 30% higher than that formed after 1-min application, but this difference was not significant to render enamel more resistant to demineralization. The findings can be explained by the fact that although there is a dose-response relationship between F formed on enamel and the decrease of demineralization, it is not linear (10).

Furthermore, after the 28-day cariogenic challenge, F concentration in enamel decreased in the APF-treated groups (Fig. 2). F concentration decreased by 24% and 34% when APF was applied for 1 or 4 min, respectively. This reduction has been found in vitro (12,18) and in vivo (15) and is due to the release of loosely bound F formed on enamel by APF treatment to the oral environment (10). On the other hand, F concentration in enamel not treated with APF increased after the cariogenic challenge. This can be explained by the exposure of volunteers to fluoridated water during the experiment, resulting in gain of F by enamel from environment, as shown in vitro (12) and in situ (14). Thus, this gain of F in the control group is a consequence of the physicochemical effect of F during caries development.

Regarding enamel demineralization, APF gel application was efficient in reducing mineral loss because the vol% mineral found for both treated groups was significantly higher than that for the control. This statistically significant effect of the treatments was observed up 20 µm from the surface, indicating that a shallow caries lesion was formed. It can be explained by the fact that 10% sucrose used 3 times a day is not a high cariogenic challenge. Nevertheless, the effect of APF gel reducing enamel demineralization agrees with the findings of a study done under more cariogenic conditions (15). Furthermore, when the vol% mineral found for the control and treated groups was compared with that observed in sound enamel, the % mineral loss calculated was 20% and 7%, respectively. Thus, APF gel reduced the mineral loss by 13%, confirming in situ the efficiency of this method to reduce caries (1). Nevertheless, no in situ effect of the application time was found because the difference of vol% min between the APF groups treated for 1 or 4 min (Fig. 1) was not statistically significant. The lack of difference between the APF gel application times was observed up to the deepest distances evaluated, consistently with the results of previous in vitro studies (11,12).

Shortening the time of professional F application is desirable for safety reasons considering that a certain amount of F is ingested during this clinical procedure. In summary, this in situ study gives support to in vitro investigations suggesting that APF gel application for 4 min does not reduce enamel demineralization more efficiently than does a 1-min application time.

RESUMO

Considerando que o efeito do tempo da aplicação profissional de flúor fosfato acidulado (FFA) na subsequente inibição da desmineralização do esmalte dental não está claramente estabelecido, este foi avaliado em um estudo in situ, cruzado e cego. Em 3 fases de 28 dias cada uma, 15 voluntários utilizaram um dispositivo palatino contendo 4 blocos de esmalte, que foram submetidos a 3 grupos/tratamentos: não tratado (controle) e pré-tratado com FFA gel por 1 ou 4 min. Placa dental foi acumulada sobre os blocos e 3 vezes ao dia os dispositivos foram imersos em uma solução de sacarose a 10% simulando um desafio cariogênico. Após cada fase, os blocos foram removidos para avaliação da desmineralização do esmalte e concentração de fluoreto (F) remanescente após o desafio cariogênico. O F formado no esmalte foi determinado em blocos adicionais submetidos apenas à aplicação de FFA gel. O tratamento com FFA gel reduziu a desmineralização do esmalte (p<0,05), independentemente do tempo de aplicação (p<0,05). Adicionalmente, a concentração de F formado e retido no esmalte foi significativamente maior após a aplicação do FFA gel (p<0,05), mas o efeito do tempo de aplicação não foi estatisticamente significante (p>0,05). Os resultados sugerem que não há diferença entre os tempos 1 ou 4 min de aplicação de FFA gel em termos de aumento da concentração de F no esmalte e redução de sua desmineralização frente a um desafio cariogênico.
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