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Abstract: The active participation of teachers in the process of diagnosis and intervention of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) is relevant to helping mitigate future problems in children with ADHD. In training programmes, teachers usually implement strategies to improve the child’s functioning after participating in an ADHD management-training programme. However, they receive little psycho-educational training and coaching and have low-to-moderate levels of knowledge of the disorder, mostly in terms of training in classroom management strategies. This study analyses the effectiveness of training in increasing knowledge and perceived self-efficacy with regard to ADHD in 40 primary school teachers. Twenty of these teachers participated in a long-term psycho-educational training programme on the management of ADHD (intervention multimodal group), while the other 20 did not (control group). The results of the post-treatment phase revealed statistically significant differences between the two groups of teachers with regard to their knowledge (Z = −5.427; p = 0.000; d = 0.89) and perceived self-efficacy (Z = −5.150; p = 0.000; d = 0.79), in favour of the participants who had received training. Our findings have important implications for the design of training programmes for teachers in the management of ADHD in the classroom.
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1. Introduction

Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), one of the most common mental disorders affecting children and adults, is a neurodevelopmental disorder characterised by inattention, hyperactivity, and impulsivity [1], which negatively interfere in the functioning of affected people in their daily developmental routines [2,3]. Often, associations with other disorders occur (for example with learning disabilities) [4,5]. Recent studies show the negative impact of ADHD on mathematics learning, as attention plays a relevant role in mathematical ability [6]. The World Federation of ADHD indicate that it is more common in men and occurs in 5.9% of young people and 2.5% of adults, according to studies carried out in regions such as Europe, Scandinavia, Australia, Asia, the Middle East, South America, and North America [7]. The worldwide prevalence rates are estimated to be around 5%, and among the school population the prevalence of ADHD ranges from 1% to 20% [8,9], with a significant rise in the number of cases (24%) being reported between 2001 and 2010 [1,10]. In Spanish-speaking countries such as Spain, studies indicate prevalence ranges from 2–6% [11,12], with an average prevalence of 6.8% [13], and 5.4% in preschool-aged children [14].

Early detection and treatment of children with ADHD is important as it can help mitigate future problems that can arise over time. Empirically validated ADHD treatments
include stimulant medication, psychosocial intervention or a combination of the two [15–18]. Pharmacological treatments (stimulant medication), although shown to be effective, fail to maintain their long-term benefits, which has led to the inclusion of multimodal treatments (a combination of medication and psychosocial treatment) with long-term effects in ADHD treatment guidelines [19]. In psychosocial interventions, the parents and/or teachers usually implement strategies to improve the child’s functioning after participating in an ADHD management-training programme. Along this line, various studies have highlighted the importance of the active participation of teachers in the process of diagnosis and intervention of ADHD [20–24]. Teachers spend a lot of time with their students, and their experience allows them to compare their own conduct with that of a reference group. However, for this participation to be fruitful, it is important for teachers to have a basic understanding of the disorder, for several reasons [25]: 1. ADHD is one of the most prevalent neurodevelopmental disorders in the school population; 2. Teachers identify children with ADHD more often than parents or doctors [26]; 3. The behavioural assessments of the pupil that the teacher carries out, along with the parents’ assessments and neuropsychological tests, are necessary to establish a diagnosis of ADHD [21]; 4. Teachers play an active role in the implementation of interventions within the school environment [21,22]; 5. Teachers have frequent contact with pupils’ families [20,23]; 6. Teachers with a greater knowledge of ADHD behave more favourably towards affected children [20,27–30]; 7. Teachers with a greater knowledge of ADHD also have higher levels of perceived self-efficacy [31–34] and less ambivalent behaviours towards these children [35]; 8. Teachers with a greater knowledge of ADHD implement educational interventions more effectively [23].

Nevertheless, despite the benefits that may be gained from teachers trained in the management of ADHD in the classroom, current research suggests that they receive little psycho-educational training and coaching in this respect in both initial and continuing training [24,28,36,37] and have low-to-moderate levels of knowledge of the disorder, mostly in terms of training in classroom management strategies [38]. Other authors point out that teachers’ sources of learning about ADHD are usually other teachers, some training offered in schools, and their own personal experience [39]. Teachers also recognise that helping students with ADHD is a complex demand, and they often experience feelings of guilt in relation to interactions with students, implementing strategies by trial and error or strategies known through nonformal means. In this regard, questionnaire-based studies obtained correct answers ranging from 17.2% [34] to 82.8% [27]. More specifically, studies that have employed the Knowledge of Attention Deficit Disorders Scale (KADDS) [31] observed varying percentages in correct answers, ranging from 15% to 62% [24], although most obtained correct answer percentages of around 50% [21,31,40–45]. Some studies demonstrated that teachers had greater knowledge of the diagnostic symptoms of ADHD than other subjects, such as for instance treatment, probably because it is the most commonly observed feature in the classroom [21,24,28,31,33,34,46,47].

One factor that has been found to be associated with teachers’ levels of ADHD knowledge, even cross-culturally, is psychoeducational training in ADHD management [21,24,33,41,48–53]. Thus, it has been shown that even brief training of primary school teachers in this area can increase their knowledge and perceived self-efficacy in the management of ADHD [53]. In the same vein, some studies have also found an association between ADHD training and teachers’ perceived levels of self-efficacy in managing ADHD in the classroom [49,52,53]. For all the above reasons, we consider it relevant that teachers receive optimal psychoeducational training in the management of ADHD. The aims of this study are: to analyse the potential impact of a training programme for teachers in ADHD on their levels of knowledge of the disorder and their level of perceived self-efficacy. To this end, we compared two groups of teachers: one that participated in a training course and another that did not. On the basis of these aims, we hypothesised that statistically significant differences would be obtained between the groups in the post-treatment phase, with the multimodal intervention group showing a superior increase in their knowledge and perceived self-efficacy.
2. Materials and Methods

A quasi-experimental mixed design (intra- and intergroup) was carried out in which pre- and post-treatment data were collected [54,55]. 40 teachers of 20 children diagnosed with ADHD (two teachers per child) took part in the study. The characteristics of the participants can be seen in Tables 1 and 2.

Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics of the sample of children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD).

| Characteristics      | Control Group | Multimodal Group |
|----------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Age                  |               |                  |
| 7 years              | 3 (30%)       | 3 (30%)          |
| 8 years              | 4 (40%)       | 4 (40%)          |
| 9 years              | 3 (30%)       | 3 (30%)          |
| Mean age             | 7.6           | 7.8              |
| Sex                  |               |                  |
| Male                 | 8 (80%)       | 9 (90%)          |
| Female               | 2 (20%)       | 1 (10%)          |

Table 2. Sociodemographic characteristics of the sample of teachers.

| Characteristics                  | Control Group | Multimodal Group |
|----------------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Mean age                         | 40.3          | 38.4             |
| Sex                              |               |                  |
| Males                            | 4 (20%)       | 7 (35%)          |
| Females                          | 16 (80%)      | 13 (65%)         |
| Experience as a teacher (mean)   | 23.5          | 19.1             |
| Previous experience with children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) | 9 (45%) | 12 (60%) |
| Previous attendance of ADHD courses | 0            | 1 (5%)           |

All subjects gave their informed consent for inclusion before they participated in the study. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Abat Oliba University, CEU Universities (EDU2012-31402).

All children were taking stimulant medication prescribed by their paediatricians at the start of the study. Half of the teachers of these children also participated in psychoeducational training (multimodal intervention group), while the other half of the teachers did not receive training (wait-list control group, medication only). Once the study was completed, at the beginning of the following academic year, the teachers in the control group were offered the opportunity to participate in a training course on ADHD management.

2.1. Sample of Children

The 10 children in the control group were chosen from three private schools in Barcelona after obtaining the parents’ informed consent. The children ranged from 7 to 9 years old, with a clear predominance of boys over girls. All the children were diagnosed with combined subtype ADHD.

The 10 children in the multimodal treatment group came from a private school in Barcelona, and their parents gave their informed consent for their children to participate in
the study. The ages of this group ranged from 7 to 9 years, with a clear predominance of boys over girls. Eight were diagnosed with combined subtype ADHD, one with ADHD subtype inattentive, and one with ADHD subtype hyperactive-impulsive.

2.2. Sample of Teachers

40 teachers took part in the study. They were assigned to the multimodal intervention group (N = 20) or the control group (N = 20). They were all primary school teachers. The average age of the teachers in the control group was 40.3 years, with a clear predominance of women over men. These teachers had an average previous teaching experience of 23.5 years, and almost half of them had experience teaching students with ADHD. None of these teachers had previously attended any specialised ADHD course.

The average age of the multimodal treatment group was 38.4 years. In this group too there was a clear predominance of women over men. These teachers had an average teaching experience of 19.1 years, and just over half of them had had some experience of teaching students with ADHD. Only one of these had attended a brief previous course on ADHD outside of this project.

2.3. Measurements

This study employed the Spanish version of KADDS [21,31,32] both before and after the implementation of the intervention. It consists of a questionnaire with 36 items with three choices (true, false, don’t know). The questions were grouped into three subscales: (a) General information on the nature, causes, and consequences of ADHD (15 items); (b) Symptoms/Diagnosis (nine items); and (c) Treatment (12 items).

Studies of other samples have shown that the Spanish version of the questionnaire has adequate reliability indices [21,32], specifically an elevated alpha coefficient over the total scale (0.89), a high correlation between subscales (range r = 0.62–0.69; r = 0.50–0.55; r = 0.48–0.63), and of each subscale with the total score (range r = 0.79–0.87; r = 0.85–0.90; r = 0.78–0.87).

A 7-point Likert scale was used to evaluate teachers’ levels of perceived self-efficacy with regard to successfully teaching these children. The participants answered the following question: “How effectively do you think you can teach a pupil with ADHD? Answer bearing in mind that 1 is the lowest (not trained to effectively teach a child with ADHD) and 7 is the highest (fully trained)”.

2.4. Procedure

The teacher training programme took place in the teacher’s own school between October and June every two weeks. In total, teachers attended 17 sessions of two hours each. The training sessions were divided into eight thematic blocks [56] (Figure 1) based on the manual of Miranda et al. (1999) and other ADHD intervention programmes [57,58]: 1. General knowledge of ADHD; 2. Intervention modes in ADHD; 3. Behaviour improvement strategies; 4. Teaching management strategies; 5. Adaptations in teaching activities; 6. Stress management strategies; 7. Communication skills; 8. End-of-course summary.

This training programme and the way in which it was implemented have a number of characteristics that differentiate it from the training courses usually carried out in this field. Firstly, it lasts for an extended period of time, a full academic year, in order to provide teachers with advice in parallel with the circumstances and problems that arise in the class. Secondly, the programme includes sessions on stress management and emotional management techniques for teachers, as well as on improving their communication skills with families. Finally, the coach, one of the researchers of the study, is a specialist in the management of ADHD and has a long history of teacher training in this area. Teacher attendance at the course was very high (98%), possibly because it was held at the school where they worked and at the end of the school day.
| Month     | Contents                                                                 |
|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| September | Presentation and organisation (session 0):                               |
|           | - Schedule.                                                              |
|           | - Completion of informed consent forms and questionnaires.               |
| October   | I INTRODUCE MYSELF: My student and I (sessions 1 and 2):                |
|           | - Description of pupils with ADHD.                                      |
|           | - Mutual recommendation of ADHD bibliography for teachers.              |
| November  | I KNOW AND I UNDERSTAND: Knowledge of ADHD (sessions 3–4):              |
|           | - Exchange of experiences through lectures on ADHD.                     |
| December  | WHAT WE CAN DO: Intervention procedures for ADHD (session 5):           |
|           | - Analysis of empirically validated intervention procedures.             |
| January   | I POSITIVELY EVALUATE THE CHILD. THE CHILD SELF-EVALUATES AND REINFORCES |
|           | (sessions 6, 7, 8):                                                     |
|           | - Training in behavioral modification and cognitive-behavioral techniques.|
| March     | I ADAPT THE CLASSROOM AND ACTIVITIES (sessions 9–12):                   |
|           | - Strategies for education management:                                  |
|           | - Learning teaching and classroom adaptations to favor attention and behavior.|
| April     | WE BUILD BRIDGES (sessions 13 and 14):                                  |
|           | - Strategies to improve skills in communicating with families.          |
| May       | I IMPROVE MY SELF CONTROL (sessions 15 and 16):                         |
|           | - Training in relaxation techniques for teachers in conflict situations.|
| June      | FAREWELL: what I take with me (session 17):                             |
|           | - Highlight the progress observed in the teachers and their pupils.     |
|           | - Completion of questionnaires.                                          |

Figure 1. Schedule and contents of a teacher intervention programme. Note: ADHD = Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

For the statistical analysis, we used the software programme SPSS-26.0 with a confidence interval equal to or less than 0.05.

Firstly, descriptive statistics were used to analyse the socio-demographic characteristics of the participants, and the averages and deviations typical of diverse measurements. Likewise, in order to carry out the intra- and intergroup comparisons, nonparametric tests were applied, as the parametricity conditions were not met. A Wilcoxon test was used to compare related samples (intragroup) between the pre- and post-treatment phases. Comparisons were also made between the control group and multimodal treatment group,
both in the pre- and post-treatment phase, by applying a Mann Whitney U test. We also calculated the effect size (d of Cohen) on measures that were statistically significant.

3. Results
3.1. Knowledge and Perceived Self-Efficacy

3.1.1. Control Group

In both the pre-test and post-test phases, teachers who did not receive the ADHD training answered a moderate percentage of the questions correctly (around 50%) (Table 3). The greatest number of correct answers was obtained in the Symptoms/Diagnosis subscale, followed by Treatment, and finally General Information.

Table 3. Intragroup comparisons on Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) knowledge and perceived self-efficacy in teachers of the control group.

| Knowledge          | Pre Treatment | Post Treatment | Z    | p     |
|--------------------|---------------|----------------|------|-------|
| Total Scale        | M 54.6        | M 53.5         | −1.080 | 0.280 |
|                    | SD 5.20       | SD 6.04        |      |       |
| General Information| M 43.30       | M 43.96        | −0.312 | 0.755 |
|                    | SD 9.55       | SD 9.77        |      |       |
| Symptoms/Diagnosis | M 64.38       | M 63.27        | −0.933 | 0.351 |
|                    | SD 12.26      | SD 11.44       |      |       |
| Treatment          | M 56.22       | M 53.31        | −1.381 | 0.167 |
|                    | SD 10.77      | SD 9.51        |      |       |
| Perceived self-efficacy | M 2.6    | M 2.3         | −2.49  | 0.014 * |
|                    | SD 0.88       | SD 0.92        |      |       |

Note: M = mean; SD = Standard Deviation. * p < 0.05.

The level of knowledge of these teachers about ADHD did not increase in the post-test phase, neither in the overall scale nor in the subscales (Table 3). The data also reveal that these teachers experienced a significant reduction in their level of perceived self-efficacy (in the post-test phase) with regard to successfully teaching their students with ADHD (Table 3).

3.1.2. Multimodal Intervention Group

Our data shows that before participating in the intervention, teachers answered half the questions correctly and that the greatest number of correct answers was obtained in the Symptoms/Diagnosis subscale, followed by Treatment, and finally General Information.

After the intervention, teachers correctly answered an average of 77.30% of the questions. The greatest number of correct answers in the post-test was obtained in the Treatment subscale, followed by the Symptoms/Diagnosis subscale, and finally General Information. The data indicate that after treatment, the increase in the teachers’ level of knowledge of ADHD is significant, both in the total score and in the three subscales (Table 4).

Additionally, the teachers who participated in the training programme significantly increased their level of perceived self-efficacy in successfully teaching their students with ADHD after the intervention (Table 4).

3.1.3. Comparison Between Both Groups

While in the pretreatment phase, both groups had similar levels of knowledge about ADHD, in the post-treatment phase, the teachers from the multimodal treatment group had significantly greater knowledge, both in the overall scale and in the three subscales (Table 5). The level of perceived self-efficacy was similar in both groups in the pre-test phase. However, after treatment, the level of perceived self-efficacy of the teachers from the multimodal treatment group increased above that of teachers from the control group, with the differences being statistically significant (Table 5).
Table 4. Intragroup comparisons on Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) knowledge and perceived self-efficacy in teachers of the multimodal treatment group.

| Knowledge                  | Pre Treatment | Post Treatment | Z    | p       | d  |
|----------------------------|---------------|----------------|------|---------|----|
| Total Scale                | M 53.6        | M 77.30        | −3.927 | 0.000 ** | 0.90 |
| General Information        | M 43.30       | M 73.96        | −3.932 | 0.000 ** | 0.85 |
| Symptoms/Diagnosis         | M 60.49       | M 75.48        | −2.888 | 0.004 ** | 0.51 |
| Treatment                  | M 57.05       | M 82.47        | −3.835 | 0.000 ** | 0.79 |
| Perceived self-efficacy    | M 2.35        | M 4.75         | −4.008 | 0.000 ** | 0.78 |

Note: M = mean; SD = Standard Deviation. ** p < 0.01.

Table 5. Intergroup comparisons on Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) knowledge and perceived self-efficacy between the control group and multimodal group in the post-treatment phase.

| Knowledge                  | Control Group | Multimodal Group | Z    | p       | d  |
|----------------------------|---------------|------------------|------|---------|----|
| Total Scale                | M 53.5        | M 77.30          | −5.414 | 0.000 ** | 0.89 |
| General Information        | M 43.96       | M 73.96          | −5.416 | 0.000 ** | 0.85 |
| Symptoms/Diagnosis         | M 63.27       | M 75.48          | −2.871 | 0.004 ** | 0.47 |
| Treatment                  | M 53.31       | M 82.47          | −5.273 | 0.000 ** | 0.83 |
| Perceived self-efficacy    | M 2.3         | M 4.75           | −5.150 | 0.000 ** | 0.79 |

Note: M = mean; SD = Standard Deviation. ** p < 0.01.

4. Discussion and Conclusions

One of the main aims of this study was to analyse the potential impact of a training programme for teachers in ADHD on their levels of knowledge of the disorder. In this regard, we found that the two groups of teachers had a moderate level of knowledge (53%) prior to taking part in the study. This result is similar to those observed in previous studies that employed the same questionnaire: 42.65% [21]; 45.8% [40]; 47.8% [31]; 48.9% [41]; 45% [42]; ranging between 15–62% [24]; 49% [43]; 48% [44]; and 55.2% [45].

After the training, we observed that the teachers who did not participate in the training programme did not increase their level of knowledge of ADHD. Nevertheless, there was a significant increase in the level of knowledge of the teachers who took part in the training course, both in the total scale and the subscales, with the level of global knowledge rising to 77.30%. The subscale to receive the best score was the Treatment subscale, followed by the Symptoms/Diagnosis and General Information subscales, probably because the training course received by the teachers dedicated several sessions to the intervention modes and the conceptualisation of ADHD.

These results are consistent with those obtained in previous studies, which also observed a significant increase in teachers’ knowledge of ADHD after participating in a training course in ADHD management [38,41,51–53,59]. In conclusion, the training of teachers of children with ADHD in instructional strategies to improve the teaching-learning process—especially in mathematics—increases both the level of knowledge about the disorder as well as the perceived self-efficacy in teaching ADHD students [60]. The second aim of our study consisted in analysing the possible effects of the teacher-training programme on the teachers’ level of perceived self-efficacy. In the post-treatment phase, the level of perceived self-efficacy with regard to successfully teaching students with ADHD...
decreased significantly in the control group. By contrast, a significant increase in perceived self-efficacy was observed in the teachers who attended the training course.

These results can be understood in light of what we mean by self-efficacy [61] and the factors that can increase it. Teachers who neither received any ADHD-specific training nor received assistance from a support group/specialised professional during the academic year possibly did not have access to any model that provided them with an opportunity for vicarious learning. Moreover, they may neither have been in a position to be able to review their past and present experiences nor received feedback on their conduct with respect to students with ADHD over the school year.

However, in the teachers of the multimodal intervention group, participation in the psycho-educational group may have increased the main factors affecting an individual’s perceived self-efficacy. Thus, the training allowed teachers to reflect on their previous teaching experience as well as being an invaluable source of vicarious learning. Moreover, it provided them with positive feedback after applying the techniques they had learnt during the course in their own classrooms.

This finding is consistent with the results of other studies that confirmed the existence of a positive relation between specific training for teachers in ADHD and their beliefs in their capacity to successfully teach students with ADHD [21,24,32,49,52,62–67].

In conclusion, our study confirms that training teachers in the management of ADHD in the classroom can bring about a significant increase in their level of knowledge and perceived self-efficacy, hence the importance of promoting the implementation of these training courses for primary education teachers, as has been done in this study.

Nevertheless, our study has some limitations that should be taken into account so that they can be avoided in future studies on teaching students with ADHD. Firstly, the small size of the subsamples used led us to employ nonparametric tests that could have a lower discriminatory power. A further limitation was that we did not have data from any follow-up assessments that could have allowed us to determine the effectiveness of a long-term intervention.

Lastly, teachers’ perceived self-efficacy could have been measured using a more objective validated instrument, not just the Likert scale.

Despite these limitations, our study sheds light on the benefits of designing and implementing training programmes for teachers in the management of ADHD with the characteristics of the programme presented (long duration, emotional management sessions, and communication skills with families), with the ultimate aim of rising to the challenge of successfully teaching students with ADHD.
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