The Pristine Inner Galaxy Survey (PIGS) VI: Different vertical distributions between two DIBs at 442.8 nm and 862.1 nm
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ABSTRACT

Although diffuse interstellar bands (DIBs) were discovered over 100 years ago, for most of them, their origins are still unknown. Investigation on the correlations between different DIBs is an important way to study the behavior and distributions of their carriers. Based on stacking thousands of spectra from the Pristine Inner Galaxy Survey, we study the correlations between two DIBs at 442.8 nm (λ442.8) and 862.1 nm (λ862.1), as well as the dust grains, in a range of latitude spanning ~22° (4° < |b| < 15°) toward the Galactic center ([ℓ] < 11°). Tight linear intensity correlations can be found between λ442.8, λ862.1, and dust grains for |b| < 12° or $E(B-V) > 0.3$ mag. For |b| > 12°, λ442.8 and λ862.1 present larger relative strength with respect to the dust grains. A systematic variation of the relative strength between λ442.8 and λ862.1 with |b| and $E(B-V)$ concludes that the two DIBs do not share a common carrier. Furthermore, the carrier of λ862.1 is more abundant at high latitudes than that of λ442.8. This work can be treated as an example showing the significance and potentials to the DIB research covering a large latitude range.
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1 INTRODUCTION

After over 100 years since the discovery of the diffuse interstellar bands (DIBs) in 1919 (Heger 1922), over 600 DIBs have been confirmed between 0.4 and 2.4 μm (Cox et al. 2014; Galazutdinov et al. 2017b; Fan et al. 2019; Hamano et al. 2022; Ebenbichler et al. 2022). As a set of weak and broad absorption features, today DIBs are thought to be produced by carbon-bearing molecules, like carbon or hydrocarbon chains (e.g., Maier et al. 2011; Zack & Maier 2014), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs; e.g., Salama et al. 1996; Shen et al. 2018; Omont et al. 2019), and fullerene and their derivatives (e.g., Fulara et al. 1993; Cami 2014; Omont 2016). However, due to the difficulties in the experimental research on complex molecules (Hardy et al. 2017; Kofman et al. 2017) and in the comparison between the experimental measurements and astronomical observations, buckminsterfullerene (C₆₀) is the first and only identified DIB carrier for five near-infrared DIBs so far (e.g., Foing & Ehrenfreund 1994; Campbell et al. 2015; Walker et al. 2016; Linnartz et al. 2020), although some debates about the wavelength match and the relative strength of these bands still exist (Galazutdinov et al. 2017a, 2021).

Besides the comparison between astronomical observations and experimental results, investigating the correlations between different DIBs is also one of the most important ways to study the relations between their carriers and even to find the common carrier for a set of DIBs (e.g., Friedman et al. 2011; Ensor et al. 2017; Elyajouri et al. 2017, 2018). The tightest correlation was found between two DIBs at 619.6 nm and 661.4 nm (in this work, we cite DIBs with their central wavelengths in nanometer) with very high Pearson coefficient ($r_p > 0.98$; e.g., McCaill et al. 2010; Friedman et al. 2011; Kos & Zwitter 2013; Bondar 2020). But the variation of their strength ratio has also been reported by Krelowski et al. (2016) and Fan et al. (2017), verifying that only a tight intensity correlation is not enough to conclude a common origin for different DIBs. The behavior of the relative strength between different DIBs as a function of $f_{\mu} \equiv 2N(H_2)/\{N(H_1) + 2N(H_2)\}$ was used by Fan et al. (2017) to study the relative positions of the DIB carriers. Lan et al. (2015) also investigated the correlation between DIB strength and $N(H_1)$ and $N(H_2)$ for 20 DIBs at high latitudes. Nevertheless, it is...
hard to measure $N(H_1)$ and $N(H_2)$ in ultraviolet spectra or decipher the positions of $H_1$ and $H_2$ from the radio observations. Another way is to explore the spatial distributions of different DIBs, which requires the probing of interstellar medium (ISM) above and below the Galactic plane over a large range of latitudes if we would like to get more information and conclusions from the correlation study on different DIBs. McIntosh & Webster (1993) studied the variation of the relative strength between DIBs $\lambda$442.8, $\lambda$578.0, and $\lambda$579.7 as a function of Galactic latitudes based on a sample of 65 stars. They found the carrier abundance of $\lambda$442.8 to be highest at low latitudes, which agrees with our results (see Sect. 5.2).

The DIB research benefits from the arrival of large spectroscopic surveys allowing to perform large statistically studies. The three-dimensional (3D) distributions of the DIB carriers have been mapped by Kos et al. (2014) and Gaia collaboration, Schultheis et al. (2022) for DIB $\lambda$862.1 (we take here its central wavelength as 862.086 nm measured in Gaia collaboration, Schultheis et al. 2022), and Zasowski et al. (2015) for DIB $\lambda$1527.3, based on the data from the Radial Velocity Experiment (RAVE; Steinmetz et al. 2006), Gaia–DR3 (Gaia collaboration, Vallenari et al. 2022), and the Apache Point Observatory Galactic Evolution Experiment (APOGEE; Majewski et al. 2013; Lan et al. 2015; Baron et al. 2015a,b). A direct comparison between McIntosh & Webster (1993) successfully clustered 26 weak DIBs into six groups and four variable Galactic Evolution Experiment (APOGEE, respectively. Zasowski et al. (2015), Zhao et al. (2021b), and vatory Galactic Evolution Experiment (APOGEE; Majewski et al. 2013; Lan et al. 2015; Baron et al. 2015a,b).

Based on the survey data, some studies devoted to the investigation on the intensity correlations between different DIBs. Elyajouri et al. (2017) made use of $\sim$300 spectra of early-type stars in APOGEE to explore the correlations between the strong DIB at $\lambda$1527.3 $\mu$m and the three weak DIBs at 1.5627, 1.5653, and 1.5673 $\mu$m. A comparison between the DIB at 1.5273 $\mu$m and some optical DIBs was done as well. Based on 250 stacked spectra at high latitudes, Baron et al. (2015b) successfully clustered 26 weak DIBs into six groups and four of them were tightly associated with $\text{C}_2$ or CN. A data-driven analysis was also done by Fan et al. (2022) for 54 strong DIBs measured in 25 high-quality spectra of early-type stars. And they suggested a continuous change of properties of the DIB carriers between different groups. The results of Puspitarni et al. (2015) showed a similar variation of the strength with the distance of background stars for DIBs $\lambda$661.4 and $\lambda$862.1 in a field centered at $(\ell, b) = (212.9^\circ, -2.0^\circ)$. But a direct comparison between $\lambda$661.4 and $\lambda$862.1 was not made.

In this work, we take advantage of the data from the metal-poor Pristine Inner Galaxy Survey (PIGS; Arentsen et al. 2020b) which contain a large number of spectra (13235) and two strong DIBs ($\lambda$442.8 and $\lambda$862.1) in its blue-band and red-band spectra, respectively. Metal-poor stars have the advantage that the DIBs are less or not at all affected by stellar lines. We measure the two DIBs in stacked spectra and investigate their relative vertical distributions. In Sect. 2, we briefly introduce the PIGS survey. The stacking of spectra and the DIB measurements are described in Sect. 3. The results of the intensity correlations and vertical distributions of the two DIBs and dust grains are presented in Sect. 4 and discussed in Sect. 5. The main conclusions are summarized in Sect. 6.

Figure 1. Spatial distribution ($\ell$, $b$) of 6980 PIGS targets, overplotted on the dust reddening map of Planck Collaboration et al. (2016). Colored dots represent the targets assigned into different fields (black circles), as a result of the k-means clustering with $N = 36$ (see Sect. 3 for details).

2 PRISTINE INNER GALAXY SURVEY (PIGS)

The Pristine Inner Galaxy Survey (PIGS; Arentsen et al. 2020b,a, 2021) is an extension of the Pristine survey, which uses the metallicity-sensitive narrow-band $\text{CaH}$ filter on the Canada-France-Hawaii-Telescope (CFHT) to search for and study the most metal-poor stars (Starkenburg et al. 2017). PIGS aims at obtaining spectra for the metal-poor stars in the Galactic bulge and studying their kinematics (Arentsen et al. 2020a), as well as the chemical and dynamical evolution of the inner Galaxy (Arentsen et al. 2020b; Sestito et al. 2022). The PIGS targets were selected with a magnitude limit of $13.5 < G < 16.5$ mag for Gaia (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018) or $14.0 < g < 17.0$ mag for Pan–STARRS1 (Chambers et al. 2016), and a reddening limit of $E(B−V)$ $\leq$ 0.7 mag from Green et al. (2018). Most of these targets (88%) have $\text{Fe/H} < -1.0$ dex, with a peak around $-1.5$ dex and a tail down to $-3.0$ dex (Arentsen et al. 2020b). The targets were observed with AAOmega+2dF on the AAT, obtaining simultaneous blue-band (370–550 nm, $R \sim 1300$) and red-band (840–880 nm, $R \sim 11 000$) spectra. The spectra were analyzed with the FERRE$^1$ code, which simultaneously derived effective temperatures, surface gravities, metallicities, and carbon abundances. For details on the analysis, see Arentsen et al. (2020b). In the original analysis, both the observed and model spectra were normalized using a running mean. For this work, we perform a re-normalization of the original observed and best-fitting synthetic spectra using the fit-continuum task in the Python spectutils package. A third and fifth order Chebyshev polynomial was used for red-band and blue-band spectra, respectively.

There are 13235 PIGS spectra observed between 2017 and 2020, of which we make use of 6980 of them, distributed into 36 fields (see Fig. 1), with $S/N > 50$ measured between 840–880 nm and $T_{\text{eff}} < 7000$ K, which assures the quality of the observed and synthetic spectra. For this subsample, $S/N$ is mostly below 150 per pixel for red-band (computed between 840–880 nm) with a mean of 77, and below 50 per pixel for blue-band (computed between 400–410 nm) with a mean of 30. Thus, in this work, we only fit and measure two

$^1$ FERRE (Allende Prieto et al. 2006) is available from http://github.com/callendeprieto/ferre
strong DIBs, λ442.8 and λ862.1, in stacked blue-band and red-band spectra, respectively, due to the low S/N of individual PIGS spectra. We applied a simple k-means algorithm (Lloyd 1982) to cluster targets into different fields to avoid the possible overlap of observed PIGS fields, especially in the southern footprint, and to have a cleaner selection of target stars in the same (ℓ, b) range, because an overlap of fields would smooth the variation of dust reddening and DIB strength with (ℓ, b). In some cases it is also helpful for fields with worse quality spectra, to have a larger number of stars, such as the field at (ℓ₀, b₀) = (9.91°, −10.11°). The clustering was completed by the Python scikit-learn package (Pedregosa et al. 2011) with N = 36, and the result is shown in Fig. 1. In the following analysis, the PIGS “fields” refer to the assigned clustered regions, which follow but are not exactly the same as their observational footprints. Discrete footprints are well clustered (e.g., the targets at 5° < b < 12°), while in crowded regions, such as the targets around (ℓ, b) = (5°, −8°), the clustered fields may be different from the observational ones. The central coordinates (ℓ₀, b₀), radius, and target number of each field are listed in Table 1.

3 FIT AND MEASURE DIBS IN STACKED SPECTRA

Limited by the PIGS sample size and the low S/N of individual spectra, we choose to stack spectra in each field according to their Galactic coordinates (ℓ, b) without taking the stellar distance into account. Thus the DIB measured in the stacked spectra is a measure of the average column density of its carrier toward a given sightline.

Before stacking spectra in each field, the stellar components in observed spectra are first subtracted by the synthetic spectra, providing the ISM spectra for each target. Figure 2 shows two ISM spectra derived from the blue-band and red-band observed spectra, respectively, subtracted by their synthetic spectra. The DIB signals are clear, although their profiles are contaminated by noise and the residuals of stellar lines, such as the Fe I line close to the center of λ862.1. We emphasize that in the stacked ISM spectra these contamination are significantly alleviated (see Figs. 3 and 4) due to the averaging of a substantial number of spectra and the large velocity dispersion of stars in each field (standard deviation >100 km s⁻¹).

The second step is to shift the ISM spectra back to the heliocentric frame using the stellar radial velocities (RV_star, in km s⁻¹), that is λ′_pixel = λ_pixel + RV_star × λ_pixel / c, where λ′_pixel and λ_pixel are the wavelength pixels in the heliocentric and stellar frames, respectively, and c = 3 × 10⁵ km s⁻¹ is the speed of light. Finally, stacking of individual ISM spectra in each field is done for the blue band and red band, respectively, by taking the median value of their flux which could reduce the influence of the outlier pixels and discrepancy between the individual observed and synthetic spectra. A S/N is calculated between 860.5 and 861.5 nm for red-band stacked ISM spectra by mean(flux)/std(flux). For blue-band stacked ISM spectra, fluxes are used in two windows, that is 430–433 nm and 452–455 nm. Exam-
The number of spectra used for stacking in each field.

b Median $E(B-V)$ ± its standard deviation in each field derived from the Planck map.

c Signal-to-noise ratio of the stacked blue-band ISM spectra.

d Measured central wavelength in the heliocentric frame.

e Full width at half maximum of DIB J442.8.

f Fitted equivalent width of DIB J442.8.

The spectra of early-type stars (Kos 2017; Zhao et al. 2021a). Specifically, the blue-band stacked ISM spectra are first locally renormalized with the spectral window of 430–455 nm by an iterated method using a second-order polynomial (see Sect. 2.2 in Zhao et al. 2021a for details). Then the ISM spectra are initially fitted by a Lorentzian function for the profile of J442.8, as suggested by Snow et al. (2002), and a constant continuum. Finally, GPR is applied with a Lorentzian function as its mean function and a Matérn 3/2 kernel to model the correlated noise, including both the stellar residuals and random noise. Only one kernel is used here because DIB J442.8 is the broadest feature in the ISM spectra. The priors of fitting parameters mainly follow those in Kos (2017) and Zhao et al. (2021a), that is Gaussian.
The parameter optimization, for both red band and blue band, is implemented by a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) procedure (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013). The 50% values in the posterior distribution generated by MCMC are treated as the best estimates, with lower and upper errors derived from the differences of 16% and 84% to 50% values, respectively. Fit examples of $\lambda442.8$ and $\lambda862.1$ are shown in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively.

4 RESULT

The goodness of fit is significantly affected by the S/N of the stacked ISM spectra. Figure 5 shows the S/N of each stacked ISM spectrum versus the corresponding fitted FWHMs of DIBs $\lambda442.8$ and $\lambda862.1$. It can be seen that in the spectra with low S/N, the fitted FWHM could be much larger than the average value and/or have much larger uncertainties than average. Therefore, we limit S/N > 85 and S/N > 400 for blue-band and red-band stacked ISM spectra, respectively, which gives us 29 fields with reliable fitting results of the two DIBs. Further analysis is based on this sample. The stacked ISM spectra and fits to the two DIBs are shown in Figs. A1 and A2, respectively. The measured central wavelength, FWHM, and fitted equivalent width (EW) of the two DIBs $\lambda442.8$ and $\lambda862.1$ are listed in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The EW uncertainty is calculated as $\Delta EW = \sqrt{\text{FWHM}^2 + \text{EW}^2}$, where $\Delta \lambda$ is the spectral pixel resolution (0.1 nm for blue-band and 0.025 nm for red-band) and $R_C = \text{std}(\text{data} - \text{model})$ is the noise level of the profile. This formula is similar to those in Vos et al. (2011) and Vollmann & Eversberg (2006), who considered the main source of EW uncertainty as S/N and the placement of the continuum. $\text{EW}_{0.842}$ has larger uncertain-

Table 2. Fitting results of DIB $\lambda862.1$ in the red-band stacked ISM spectra. The field numbers are the same as Table 1.

| Field Nr | S/N$^a$ | $I_C^b$ $^{\pm \text{err}}$ (nm) | FWHM$^c$ $^{\pm \text{err}}$ (nm) | EW$^d$ $^{\pm \text{err}}$ (Å) | EW$^e$ $^{\text{int}}$ (Å) |
|----------|----------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1        | 853.6    | 862.06$^{\pm0.02}$ $^{0.06}$  |       | 0.088 $^{\pm0.004}$ | 0.091 |
| 2        | 555.0    | 862.07$^{\pm0.02}$ $^{0.06}$  |       | 0.091 $^{\pm0.003}$ | 0.093 |
| 3        | 756.1    | 862.06$^{\pm0.02}$ $^{0.06}$  |       | 0.157 $^{\pm0.006}$ | 0.159 |
| 4        | 996.9    | 862.06$^{\pm0.03}$ $^{0.07}$  | 0.105 $^{\pm0.006}$ | 0.107 |
| 5        | 529.5    | 862.06$^{\pm0.02}$ $^{0.06}$  | 0.189 $^{\pm0.004}$ | 0.190 |
| 6        | 519.3    | 862.04$^{\pm0.03}$ $^{0.08}$  | 0.085 $^{\pm0.005}$ | 0.091 |
| 7        | 930.8    | 862.06$^{\pm0.02}$ $^{0.06}$  | 0.105 $^{\pm0.006}$ | 0.101 |
| 8        | 595.4    | 862.04$^{\pm0.02}$ $^{0.06}$  | 0.187 $^{\pm0.005}$ | 0.191 |
| 9        | 447.1    | 862.05$^{\pm0.03}$ $^{0.07}$  | 0.071 $^{\pm0.004}$ | 0.072 |
| 10       | 583.6    | 861.96$^{\pm0.02}$ $^{0.06}$  | 0.094 $^{\pm0.004}$ | 0.093 |
| 11       | 722.8    | 862.08$^{\pm0.02}$ $^{0.06}$  | 0.109 $^{\pm0.005}$ | 0.112 |
| 12       | 563.9    | 862.05$^{\pm0.02}$ $^{0.06}$  | 0.220 $^{\pm0.007}$ | 0.227 |
| 13       | 780.2    | 862.07$^{\pm0.02}$ $^{0.06}$  | 0.140 $^{\pm0.004}$ | 0.145 |
| 14       | 754.3    | 862.07$^{\pm0.02}$ $^{0.06}$  | 0.199 $^{\pm0.005}$ | 0.203 |
| 15       | 740.9    | 862.04$^{\pm0.02}$ $^{0.06}$  | 0.123 $^{\pm0.004}$ | 0.127 |
| 16       | 719.3    | 862.09$^{\pm0.02}$ $^{0.06}$  | 0.170 $^{\pm0.007}$ | 0.172 |
| 17       | 868.2    | 862.06$^{\pm0.02}$ $^{0.06}$  | 0.103 $^{\pm0.007}$ | 0.111 |
| 18       | 830.6    | 862.08$^{\pm0.02}$ $^{0.06}$  | 0.100 $^{\pm0.004}$ | 0.102 |
| 19       | 579.6    | 862.07$^{\pm0.02}$ $^{0.06}$  | 0.084 $^{\pm0.004}$ | 0.087 |
| 20       | 944.7    | 862.06$^{\pm0.02}$ $^{0.06}$  | 0.129 $^{\pm0.004}$ | 0.135 |
| 21       | 915.9    | 862.06$^{\pm0.02}$ $^{0.06}$  | 0.090 $^{\pm0.003}$ | 0.094 |
| 22       | 730.9    | 862.06$^{\pm0.02}$ $^{0.06}$  | 0.124 $^{\pm0.006}$ | 0.127 |
| 23       | 886.1    | 862.07$^{\pm0.02}$ $^{0.06}$  | 0.140 $^{\pm0.007}$ | 0.147 |
| 24       | 589.3    | 862.04$^{\pm0.02}$ $^{0.06}$  | 0.114 $^{\pm0.004}$ | 0.117 |
| 25       | 882.8    | 862.07$^{\pm0.02}$ $^{0.06}$  | 0.113 $^{\pm0.006}$ | 0.116 |
| 26       | 674.8    | 862.05$^{\pm0.02}$ $^{0.06}$  | 0.100 $^{\pm0.005}$ | 0.100 |
| 27       | 627.4    | 862.07$^{\pm0.02}$ $^{0.06}$  | 0.133 $^{\pm0.003}$ | 0.134 |
| 28       | 491.1    | 862.06$^{\pm0.02}$ $^{0.06}$  | 0.137 $^{\pm0.005}$ | 0.142 |
| 29       | 547.3    | 862.05$^{\pm0.02}$ $^{0.06}$  | 0.102 $^{\pm0.004}$ | 0.101 |

$a$: Signal-to-noise ratio of the red-band stacked ISM spectra.
$b$: Measured central wavelength in the heliocentric frame.
$c$: Full width at half maximum of DIB $\lambda862.1$.
$d$: Fitted equivalent width of DIB $\lambda862.1$.
$e$: Integrated equivalent width of DIB $\lambda862.1$. 

Figure 5. FWHM versus S/N of stacked ISM spectra for DIBs $\lambda442.8$ (upper panel) and $\lambda862.1$ (lower panel). The dashed green lines indicate S/N = 85 and 400, respectively.
ties than that of EW$_{862.1}$ due to the lower S/N of the blue band than that of the red band.

The integrated EW of $\lambda$862.1 is also calculated and listed in Table 2. This is not done for $\lambda$442.8 because of the stellar residuals within the DIB profile. The comparison between fitted and integrated EW$_{862.1}$ is presented in Fig. 6. The EW difference is on average smaller than its uncertainty. But the integrated EW tends to be slightly larger than the fitted EW, which could be caused by the residuals of stellar lines near the DIB or the potential asymmetry of the DIB profile. The fitted EW$_{442.8}$ and EW$_{862.1}$ are used for the following analysis.

The average FWHM of $\lambda$442.8 measured in this work is 2.06 ± 0.13 nm, which is slightly larger than the report in Snow et al. (2002), 1.725 nm. Lai et al. (2020) attributed the wide range of FWHM values of $\lambda$442.8 in literature (e.g., 1.7 nm in Galazutdinov et al. 2020; 2.4 nm in Fan et al. 2019; 3.37 nm in Lai et al. 2020) to the differences in the local radiation field. The average FWHM of $\lambda$862.1 measured in this work is 0.47 ± 0.03 nm, which is close to the measurement of 0.43 nm in Herbig & Leka (1991) and 0.469 nm in Maiz Apellániz (2015).

4.1 Latitude groups

In this work, we derive $E(B-V)$ for each PIGS target from the map of Planck Collaboration et al. (2016) using the python package dustmaps (Green 2018) because these target stars are mainly very distant and at high latitudes (93.6% with $|b| > 4^\circ$). The median $E(B-V)$ in each PIGS field, together with its standard deviation as a measure of uncertainty, are listed in Table 1. To check the reliability of the Planck map for PIGS targets, we compare the reddening values with estimates from two other sources: the 3D reddening values derived with the StarHorse algorithm (Queiroz et al. 2018) specifically for the PIGS stars using the PIGS spectroscopic stellar parameters, Pan–STARSS1 photometry, and Gaia parallaxes (Arentsen et al. in prep.), and the 3D Bayestar reddening map (Green et al. 2019) applying the StarHorse distances. The usage of PIGS stellar parameters into StarHorse delivers a more constrained and less uncertain reddening and distances than those from the StarHorse Gaia database (Anders et al. 2022) for the same stars, but that only used photometry and parallaxes as input. About 80% PIGS target stars have StarHorse and Bayestar $E(B-V)$, among which, 90% stars are further than 5 kpc. The comparison between the Planck, StarHorse, and Bayestar reddenings is presented in Fig. 7. $E(B-V)$ from Planck and StarHorse are highly consistent with each other (the mean difference is smaller than one thousandth magnitude), while the Bayestar $E(B-V)$ is slightly larger (0.033 mag on average) than the Planck values, which could be due to the different methods of reddening inference. The differences of median $E(B-V)$ in the 29 used PIGS fields (red squares in Fig. 7) between Planck, StarHorse, and Bayestar are mostly smaller than their uncertainties. This ensures that the usage of Planck $E(B-V)$, which can be derived for all the PIGS targets, can be a safe measure of the dust column densities toward these sightlines.

In our sample, $E(B-V)$ is strongly correlated with the Galactic latitude. Thus, the PIGS fields are divided into three latitude stripes to highlight the effect of latitude in the following analysis. The middle stripe is further separated into two at $\ell = -1^\circ$ considering the effect of $\ell$ toward the Galactic center (GC). Finally, we roughly define four latitude groups (see Fig. 8): G1: $|b| > 12^\circ$ (red), G2: $8^\circ < |b| < 12^\circ$ and $\ell < -1^\circ$ (yellow), G3: $8^\circ < |b| < 12^\circ$ and $\ell < -1^\circ$ (cyan), and G4: $|b| < 8^\circ$ (blue).

4.2 Linear relations between different interstellar materials

One of the basic characteristics of most strong DIBs is the increase of their strength with dust reddening. Figure 9 shows the correlation between DIB strength (EW$_{442.8}$ and EW$_{862.1}$) and $E(B-V)$. Linear correlation with $E(B-V)$ can be found for both $\lambda$442.8 and $\lambda$862.1 with a Pearson coefficient of $r_p = 0.92$ and $r_p = 0.83$, respectively. The two outliers in G2 (yellow points) are due to the local variation of $E(B-V)$, that one with $E(B-V) = 0.59$ mag is higher than its vicinity and the other with $E(B-V) = 0.27$ mag is lower than its neighboring values (see Fig. 8). Deviations from the linear correlation between DIB and $E(B-V)$ can also be found at high latitudes (see red points in Fig. 9), which will be discussed in detail below. A line fits of $E(B-V) = 0.363(\pm 0.041) \times EW_{442.8} - 0.048(\pm 0.051)$ corresponds to $EW_{442.8}/E(B-V) = 2.75 \pm 1.00$ mag$^{-1}$, which is in the intermediate range compared to the results in literature (e.g., 2.89 of Isobe et al. 1986 and 2.01 of Fan et al. 2019). For $\lambda$862.1, we derived a coefficient of $E(B-V)/EW_{862.1} = 3.500 \pm 0.459$ mag$^{-1}$ with a very small intercept ($-0.007 \pm 0.059$). This value is slightly larger than previous results (e.g., Munari et al. 2008; Kos et al. 2013; Kretowski et al. 2019) but between the values derived from Gaia–DR3 DIB results with different $E(B-V)$ sources (see Table 3 in Gaia collaboration, Schultheis et al. 2022).

It has been known that the ratio of $E(B-V)/EW$ can vary significantly from one sightline to another and is also affected by the use of different data samples and methods. Nevertheless, we argue that the positive correlation between DIB strength and dust reddening in diffuse or intermediate ISM with a proper coefficient can be treated as a validation of the DIB measurement. For our results, the range of EW$_{442.8}$ at given $E(B-V)$ between 0.2 and 0.8 mag is consistent with archival data shown in Fig. 5 in Lai et al. (2020) and early results of Herbig (1975) shown in Fig. 6 in Snow et al. (2002). The variation of EW$_{862.1}$ relative to $E(B-V)$ is also within the regions, considering the scatter, shown in Fig. 8 in Gaia collaboration, Schultheis et al. (2022).

The tight linear correlation ($r_p = 0.94$) between the DIB strength of $\lambda$442.8 and $\lambda$862.1 can be seen in Fig. 10 for EW$_{442.8} > 0.9 \, \AA$. A linear fit yields EW$_{862.1}$/EW$_{442.8} = 0.098 \pm 0.007$ with a very small offset of $-0.008 \pm 0.009$. Note that the strongest DIB $\lambda$442.8 is stronger than $\lambda$862.1 by a factor of 10 for our results, which is
consistent with the average of their relative strength measured in Fan et al. (2019). However, three fields with EW_{442.8} < 0.9 Å have EW_{862.1} much larger than that expected by the linear relation.

4.3 Variation of the relative strength with Galactic latitude and reddening

The systematic variation of EW_{862.1}/EW_{442.8} with the Galactic latitude (|b|) and dust reddening (E(B − V)) are presented in the upper panels in Figs. 11 and 12, respectively, whose uncertainty considers both the contribution of EW_{862.1} and EW_{442.8} by error propagation. EW_{862.1}/EW_{442.8} becomes larger than average for |b| ≥ 10° or E(B − V) ≤ 0.3 mag, where an increase of EW_{862.1}/EW_{442.8} can be found with the increasing |b| and the decreasing E(B − V). The uncertainty of EW_{862.1}/EW_{442.8} tends to be larger in the fields with high latitudes or small E(B − V), which have a risk to blur the variation of the DIB relative strength. But we notice that for the G1 fields (red points in Figs. 11 and 12) with E(B − V) < 0.2 mag and

Figure 8. Spatial distribution (ℓ, b) of 29 PIGS fields, overplotted on the dust reddening map of Planck Collaboration et al. (2016). Different colors indicate different latitude groups defined in Sect. 4.1, that is red: G1, |b| > 12°; yellow: G2, 8° < |b| < 12° and ℓ > −1°; cyan: G3, 8° < |b| < 12° and ℓ < −1°, and blue: G4, |b| < 8°. The median E(B − V) of each filed is also indicated.

Figure 9. Correlation between DIB EW of λ442.8 (upper panel) and λ862.1 (lower panel) measured in stacked ISM spectra and median E(B − V) from Planck map in corresponding fields. The red lines are the linear fits. The fitting results and the Pearson coefficient (r_p) are also indicated. The points in different colors belong to different latitude groups defined in Sect. 4.1 and shown in Fig. 8.
$|b| > 12^\circ$, the increasing magnitude of their mean $EW_{862.1}/EW_{442.8}$ $(0.140)$ to the fitted coefficient $(0.098)$ is $0.042$, which is bigger than their mean uncertainty $(0.018)$ by a factor of two. Moreover, a tight negative correlation ($r_p = -0.88$) can be found between $EW_{862.1}/EW_{442.8}$ and $E(B-V)$ for $E(B-V) < 0.31$ mag, which also confirms that the variation of $EW_{862.1}/EW_{442.8}$ with $|b|$ and $E(B-V)$ is not caused by the EW uncertainty but indicates the different distributions of the carriers of the two DIBs (see Sect. 5 for more discussions). For $E(B-V) > 0.45$ mag, $EW_{862.1}/EW_{442.8}$ tends to slightly increase with $E(B-V)$ (see top panel in Fig. 12), but more data are needed to confirm this trend. We also emphasize that in Fig. 10, three G3 fields (cyan points) with $EW_{442.8} > 0.9$ Å were used for the linear fit of DIB strength to make the offset of the line close to zero, but their $EW_{862.1}/EW_{442.8}$ already present a systematic variation with respect to $E(B-V)$.

In our sample, fields at high latitudes in general have small $E(B-V)$, but the dust distribution shown in Fig 8 also varies with longitudes and sightlines. Consequently, we can find a G3 field, with $(l, b) = (-4.59^\circ, 8.63^\circ)$ and $E(B-V) = 0.26$ mag, that follows the negative trend between $EW_{862.1}/EW_{442.8}$ and $E(B-V)$ but does not present a clear variation of $EW_{862.1}/EW_{442.8}$ with $|b|$. The non-monotonic relationship between $|b|$ and $E(B-V)$, as well as the averaging of ISM and the complicated environments toward the GC, also introduces the scatters in Figs. 11 and 12.

Similar pictures can also be found for $EW_{442.8}/E(B-V)$ and $EW_{862.1}/E(B-V)$ which are shown in the middle and lower panels in Figs. 11 and 12, respectively. A remarkable increase of $EW/E(B-V)$ can be found for two G1 fields in our sample for both $442.8$ and $862.1$. $EW_{862.1}/E(B-V)$ stay around the linear relation in a wide range of $|b| \leq 11^\circ$ or $E(B-V) \geq 0.3$ mag. Nevertheless, $EW_{442.8}/E(B-V)$ presents larger scatters with respect to $|b|$ and $E(B-V)$ than $EW_{862.1}/E(B-V)$, which implies that the carrier abundance of $442.8$ would be more sensitive to the dust column densities and latitudes than that of $862.1$. $EW/E(B-V)$ tends to be larger than average for $E(B-V)$ between 0.25 and 0.4 mag, but no linear tendency can be found.

5 DISCUSSION

5.1 Relative vertical distributions between DIB carriers and dust grains

By covering a wide range of Galactic latitude ($4^\circ < |b| < 15^\circ$) and dust reddening ($0.1 < E(B-V) < 0.8$ mag), our results show that the DIBs $442.8$ and $862.1$ engage similar behavior with dust grains, that is the change of their $EW/E(B-V)$ is constant and around the mean value considering the uncertainties for $|b| < 12^\circ$ or $E(B-V) > 0.3$ mag, which indicates that the abundance of the DIB carriers and dust grains increase with each other in the Galactic middle plane. On the other hand, $EW/E(B-V)$ becomes significantly larger than average in the G1 fields at high latitudes. This phenomenon could be interpreted as an evidence that DIBs and dust grains have different vertical distributions in the Milky Way, because in our sample small $E(B-V)$ generally indicate sight lines towards higher latitudes. However, clearly more data especially at higher galactic latitudes are necessary to confirm the different vertical distributions between the DIBs and the dust.

For our present sample, we cannot quantitatively estimate a scale height for the DIB carrier or dust because of the limited sample size.
and the gaps at $|b| \sim 12^\circ$ and $E(B-V) \sim 0.2$ mag. But the increase of $EW/E(B-V)$ at high latitudes indicates the decrease of the column density of dust grains with respect to that of the DIB carriers, implying a larger scale height of the DIB carriers for both $\lambda 442.8$ and $\lambda 862.1$ than that of the dust grains if we assume a simple disk model for them and that one with a larger scale height would have a larger scale length as well. This result is consistent with the detection of DIBs toward sightlines with negligible reddenings at high latitudes (Baron et al. 2015b) and the result of Kos et al. (2014) who measured $\lambda 862.1$ in RAVE spectra in a range of $240^\circ \leq \ell \leq 330^\circ$. However, based on the Gaia–DR3 results, Gaia collaboration, Schultheis et al. (2022) determined a scale height of DIB $\lambda 862.1$ as $98.69^{+10.81}_{-8.38}$ pc in a range of $0^\circ \leq \ell \leq 360^\circ$ and $4^\circ \leq |b| \leq 12^\circ$, which is smaller than the usually suggested scale height of dust grains, such as $134.4^{+8.5}_{-9.8}$ pc (Drimmel & Spergel 2001) and $125^{+17}_{-9}$ pc (Marshall et al. 2006). The discrepancy could be a result of the variation of the distribution of DIB carriers and dust grains from one sightline to another (see the wavy pattern of the dust shown in Lallement et al. 2022 for example). Furthermore, the vertical distribution of interstellar materials would be more complicated than a single exponential model. Guo et al. (2021) fitted the dust distribution with a two-disk model and got two scale heights of $72.7^{+2.4}_{-2.1}$ pc and $224.6^{+0.7}_{-0.7}$ pc for the thin and thick disks. Similarly, Su et al. (2021) also characterized the molecular disk, traced by $^{12}$CO $J=(1-0)$ emission (Su et al. 2019), by two components with a thickness of $\sim 85$ pc and $\sim 280$ pc, respectively, in a range of $16^\circ \leq \ell \leq 25^\circ$ and $|b| \leq 5.1^\circ$. The Gaia result presents an average of the scale height of the carrier of $\lambda 862.1$ in vicinity of the Sun ($\lesssim 3$ kpc). Nevertheless, the PIGS target stars are located toward the GC ($\ell < 11^\circ$) and more distant ($90\% \geq 5$ kpc) that could trace a different relative vertical distribution between DIB carriers and dust grains, if we expect their distributions vary in different manners.

5.2 Different vertical distributions between carriers of different DIBs

Tight intensity correlations have been reported for many strong optical DIBs (e.g., Friedman et al. 2011; Xiang et al. 2012; Kos & Zwitter 2013). But most of these works rely on OB stars that mainly reside in the Galactic middle plane, where one can always get a linear relationship between different interstellar materials in a broad enough distance range. Thus, a tight linearity is a necessary but not sufficient condition to conclude a common origin for different DIBs. An example is $\lambda 578.8$ and $\lambda 579.7$ that they have been proved to have different origins (e.g., Krelowski & Westerlund 1988; Cami et al. 1997; Kos & Zwitter 2013) but high-level correlations can still be found with $r_p > 0.9$ (e.g., Friedman et al. 2011; Xiang et al. 2012; Kos & Zwitter 2013).

The variation of $EW_{862.1}/EW_{442.8}$ with $|b|$ and $E(B-V)$ is a strong evidence that $\lambda 442.8$ and $\lambda 862.1$ do not share a common carrier. Moreover, their carriers could be well mixed in the fields with high reddenings or low latitudes seen though their tight intensity correlation ($r_p = 0.94$). But the carrier of $\lambda 862.1$ becomes more abundant with respect to $\lambda 442.8$ at higher latitudes, which is consistent with McIntosh & Webster (1993) who found that the strength of $\lambda 442.8$ relative to those of $\lambda 578.0$ and $\lambda 579.7$ was greatest at low latitude and decreased with increasing latitude. Baron et al. (2015a) also showed that $\lambda 442.8$ was absent in their spectra at high latitudes while $\lambda 578.0$ and $\lambda 579.7$ tended to have higher EW per reddening. Our results are in agreement with their findings that different DIB carriers could present different vertical distributions and the carrier of $\lambda 442.8$ seems to be mainly located in the Galactic plane compared to other DIBs. A different origin for $\lambda 442.8$ and $\lambda 862.1$ is not unexpected as they are far away from each other in wavelength and their profiles show different shapes. However, they can be treated as an example to illustrate the significance to explore the spatial distributions, especially for high-latitude regions, of different DIBs when we would like to confirm a common or different origin for them.

A potential risk of the above interpretation is the change of the environmental conditions, like temperature, from the Galactic middle plane to the regions far away from it. It is therefore possible that a single DIB carrier produces two DIBs from two different transitions that show different vertical structures. As $C_{\nu,n}$ is the only identified DIB carrier and the variation of its DIBs with environments has not been observed, it is hard to characterize this effect. Further studies, combined with other known atomic or molecular species, could address this problem to some extent. In the future, much can be gained from the large sky-area spectroscopic surveys to investigate if there exists a layered structure along the vertical direction for a set of DIBs, revealing a hierarchical distribution of various macromolecules or a dependence of their electronic transitions on the interstellar environment.
6 CONCLUSIONS

Based on stacking blue-band and red-band ISM spectra from the PIGS sample, we successfully fitted and measured two DIBs J442.8 and J862.1 in 29 fields with a mean radii of 1°. Their FWHM was estimated as 2.06 ± 0.13 nm for J442.8 and 0.47 ± 0.03 nm for J862.1, which are both consistent with previous measurements.

Our results depict a general image of the relative distributions of two DIBs and dust grains toward the GC with |ℓ| < 11° and 4° < |b| < 15°. The DIB carriers and dust grains are well mixed with each other for |b| < 12° or E(B − V) > 0.3 mag. Tight linear correlations are derived between EW and E(B − V) for both J442.8 (r_p = 0.92) and J862.1 (r_p = 0.83). For |b| > 12°, J442.8 and J862.1 have larger relative strength with respect to the dust grains, which implies a larger scale heights of the carriers of J442.8 and J862.1 than that of dust grains toward the GC.

A tight linear intensity correlation (r_p = 0.94) is also found between J442.8 and J862.1 when |b| ≤ 10° or E(B − V) ≥ 0.3 mag, with a relative strength of EW_{J862.1}/EW_{J442.8} = 0.098 ± 0.007. But an increase of EW_{J862.1}/EW_{J442.8} with the increasing |b| and the decreasing E(B − V) for the fields at high latitudes concludes different carriers for the two DIBs. Our results suggest that the carrier of J862.1 could have a larger scale height than that of J442.8.

This work can be treated as an example to show the significance and potentials of the DIB research covering a large range of latitudes listed below:

(i) The variation of the DIB relative strength at high latitudes is a strong evidence to conclude a common or different origin for different DIBs.
(ii) Vertical distributions of different DIBs can help us to reveal the structure of the Galactic ISM, especially the carbon-bearing macromolecules which are supposed to be the DIB carriers.
(iii) Relative distributions between different DIBs are also clues of their carrier properties. For example, a DIB with larger scale height would imply that its carrier can be formed earlier or more quickly in the Galactic plane and then be transported to the high-latitude regions. Alternatively, we would trace carriers formed in the Galactic halo.
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APPENDIX A: DIB FITTING IN STACKED ISM SPECTRA

This paper has been typeset from a TeX/LaTeX file prepared by the author.
Figure A1. Fits of $\lambda_{442.8}$ in blue-band stacked ISM spectra. The black lines are the stacked ISM spectra, and the red lines are the fitted DIB profile. The results are sorted by the measured EW$_{442.8}$. The Galactic coordinates ($\ell$, $b$) of each field are also indicated.
Figure A2. The same as Fig. A1, but for DIB $\lambda_{862.1}$.