Using Colchicine to Create Poinsettia (Euphorbia pulcherrima × Euphorbia cornastra) Mutants with Various Morphological Traits
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Abstract. Commercially available novel cultivars of poinsettia, obtained through interspecific hybridization, were subject to colchicine-based mutagenesis to recover their fertility, enabling subsequent breeding. Mutagenic treatment was conducted at different concentrations of colchicine with either lanolin or cotton serving as the matrix. The results indicated that 1 day was the optimal duration of colchicine treatment and that suitable colchicine concentration varied by cultivar. Moreover, one-time treatment gave higher rates of both polyploidy and morphological mutant production than two-times treatment. Specifically, the poinsettia cultivars Dulce Rosa (5 mg·L⁻¹ colchicine with lanolin; 10 mg·mL⁻¹ colchicine with cotton) and Princettia-Hot Pink (15 mg·g⁻¹ colchicine with lanolin; 10 mg·mL⁻¹ colchicine with cotton) yielded relatively high polyploidy production efficiency and morphological mutation rate. Consequently, a total of three polyploidy mutants of 'Dulce Rosa' and 19 polyploidy mutants of 'Princettia-Hot Pink' were isolated from the seedlings. These cultivars had mutants with recovered fertility, with pollen germination rate of up to 27.5%. Moreover, unexpected non-polyploidy mutants with various morphological trait variations were also obtained.

Poinsettia (Euphorbia pulcherrima) belongs to the spurge family (Euphorbiaceae). Its place of origin is southern Mexico to Northern Guatemala. This small deciduous tree can reach more than 3 m high and grows bright red bracts in winter (Ecke et al., 2004). Most pre-1960 commercial cultivars of poinsettia were obtained from the somatic mutation of Oak Leaf (Datta, 1991). After the cultivar with red bracts was obtained by cross breeding with expanded germplasm sources, artificial mutation is induced to produce a series of cultivars with pink, marble, and yellowish-white colors. Ecke et al. (1990) investigated commercially available poinsettia cultivars, finding that more than 80% of all cultivars were obtained through mutation breeding. For example, through exposing 'Peterstar-Red' to gamma rays, six cultivars with other bract colors were obtained (Ecke et al., 2004).

The aforementioned breeding process shows that before the 21st century, many cultivars of poinsettia were primarily obtained through intraspecific hybridization or bud spontaneous mutation. However, continuous variety improvement has gradually reduced the morphological differences between cultivars. To create novel cultivars or improve resistance against pests and diseases, breeding experts worldwide have expanded the base of germplasm sources and attempted interspecific hybridization, using Euphorbia cornastra, a species native to Mexico. This novel cultivar was named Eckespoint ‘Dulce Rosa’. The bracts of ‘Dulce Rosa’ have a unique florescent pink color never previously seen in poinsettia; furthermore, through shading adjustment to control the photoperiod, this cultivar can be made to flower in spring or summer to satisfy market needs for Easter and Mother’s Day (Ecke et al., 2004). In addition, the Japanese Suntory Group used the same hybridization combination (E. pulcherrima × E. cornastra) to obtain ‘Prinsettia’, a superior cultivar with florescent dark pink bracts and notched leaf margin. After mutation breeding, six colors in this series were released into the market, including white, light pink, and hot pink cultivars.

Because of the genomic difference between the parental species used for producing ‘Dulce Rosa’ and ‘Prinsettia-Hot Pink’, these two cultivars show sterility and their flowers cannot develop normal anthers and female reproductive organs. Therefore, colchicine has been used to produce amphidiploid to recover fertility in these cultivars, enabling subsequent breeding experiments. The breeding methods of these novel poinsettia cultivars are described only in plant patent statements, which do not state the optimal concentration and method for inducing polyploidy mutation. Therefore, in this study, we aimed to determine the optimal concentration of colchicine for inducing mutation in these novel poinsettia cultivars and to evaluate the polyploidy mutagenic rate, pollen germination ability, and changes in plant traits.

Materials and Methods

Propagating and managing plant materials. All parent plants for the test were planted in a planting bed in the rainproof shed of the Horticulture Center in National Chung Hsing University, Taiwan. Tip cuttings with 5 to 7 nodes were harvested in July 2013 for cutting propagation. After the bases of the tips were dipped into 1 mg·g⁻¹ of indole-3-butyric acid (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO) talcum powder, the tips were planted in foam cubes (Pioneer U.N. Co. Ltd., Taichung City, Taiwan) and the foam was placed in a mist bed for rooting. After the cuttings had rooted, they were removed from the moist environment and planted in 7.62-cm plastic pots for acclimation. The cultivation medium used was a mixture of commercial peatmoss and medium 1BY 7H (7H PO4:1389; Bas van Buuren B.V., De Lier, The Netherlands). The top of these plants were pinched 2 weeks after the cultivation, and moved to different plastic pots (size: 10.16 cm and 12.7 cm) depending on their status of growth. During the cultivation period, 1 g·L⁻¹ Jack’s water soluble fertilizer 20N–8.8P–16.6K (Scotts-Sierra Horticultural Products Co., Marysville, OH) was applied to the plants three times each week. In addition, 0.2 g·L⁻¹ FeEDTA (Dissolvine E-Fe-13 Chelated Iron Powder 13%; AkzoNobel, Amsterdam, The Netherlands), 0.2 g·L⁻¹ MgSO₄ (Bittersalz; K+S KALI GmbH, 34111 Kassel, Germany), and 0.2 g·L⁻¹ Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O were applied as appropriate, and pest control was performed regularly.

Administering colchicine treatment to poinsettia. The interspecific hybrid cultivars of poinsettia Dulce Rosa and Princettia-Hot Pink were transplanted into 5.08-cm plastic pots after their cuttings had rooted. After 4 weeks of cultivation, lanolin paste containing colchicine at the concentrations of 5, 10, 15, or 20 mg·g⁻¹ was used for the treatment. The colchicine-containing lanolin was applied to selected axillary buds at 1200 HR on Day 1 as the first treatment, and again to the same axillary buds at the same time 7 days later for the second treatment. As an alternative method, a cotton ball (diameter: 5 mm) was placed on each axillary bud and 2 mL of colchicine solution with concentration of 5, 10, 15, or 20 mg·mL⁻¹ was applied to each cotton ball at 1200 HR and 2400 HR. For consecutive treatment, the same colchicine concentration also was applied the next day at the same times. The terminal buds with about three internodes were removed from the test plants after completion of the colchicine treatment.
Three plants, each with \( \approx 20 \) cm height and at least 15 axillary buds, located at \( \approx 10 \) internodes from the shoot tip were treated. In total, 30 axillary buds were used. Eight weeks after the colchicine treatment, the survival rate of these colchicine-treated axillary buds was recorded and flow cytometric analysis was used to assess the relative DNA content of the surviving buds. These buds were then subjected to cutting propagation and cultivation using the same method mentioned previously. The surviving mutants were continuously cultivated in a natural short day for the convenience of subsequent investigation on plant morphology.

Investigating the traits of colchicine-induced mutants. The mutants obtained in the test and control plants were cultivated in a natural environment during short daylight period to investigate their cyathium pattern. When the bracts were completely colored, the primacy cyathium diameter and bract size were measured. Bract color was compared with the RHS color chart (the Royal Horticultural Society, London, UK) and recorded using color codes (i.e., color group number). In addition, the mutants’ morphological traits were documented, such as leaf shape, bract shape, and recovery of normal floral organ.

Assessing the pollen grain size and pollen germination rate of colchicine-induced mutants. The mutants with a cyathium involucrable capable of developing anthers and releasing pollen normally were selected for investigation. On a sunny day, fresh pollen from dehisced anthers on that day was collected and cultured on a microscope slide using B&K medium (Brewbaker and Kwack, 1963), which contained 20% sucrose. The pollen specimens were cultured in a dark environment at 20 °C for 10 h. An optical microscope (ZEIZZ HBO 50/AC; Zeiss Axiolab, Oberkochen, Germany) equipped with a digital camera (Prog Res CF scan; JENOPTIK, Jena, Germany) was used to observe the specimens. The germination rate and pollen size were calculated. When the length of the pollen tube was two times the pollen grain diameter, the pollen was defined as germinated. Image analysis software Prog Res Capture Pro 2.7 was adopted to measure the pollen grain diameter. For each treatment of the test, 200 pollen grains were investigated with three replicates each.

Evaluating the relative DNA content of colchicine-induced mutants. The relative DNA content of the surviving mutants was compared with that of the donor plants. After preliminary confirmation of relative DNA content, *Trifolium repens* was first selected as an internal standard for later flow cytometric analysis of the donor cultivar and colchicine-induced mutants. A thin slice of \( \approx 0.25 \) cm² was collected from each plant and added with 400 µL of Solution A (Nucel Extraction Buffer; Partec GmbH, Münster, Germany). The specimens were chopped evenly using a shaving blade. Subsequently, 1600 µL of Solution B (Staining Buffer; Partec GmbH) was added to the previous solution containing leaf residue and mixed for 1 min to achieve evenness. A nylon net of 30-µm mesh was used to filter impurities and plant residue to obtain a sample solution with plant nuclei for analysis. A flow cytometry device (CyFlow Cube 6, Ploidy Analyzer; Partec GmbH) was used to analyze the relative DNA content of the specimens (Chen and Mii, 2012a, 2012b).

Statistical analysis. A completely randomized design was adopted in this study. The data for all the experiments were analyzed by analysis of variation. Means were separated using the least significant difference at \( P \leq 0.05 \).

**Results**

**Effect of colchicine treatment on the mutagenesis of ‘Dulce Rosa’**. In the colchicine treatment, most axillary buds were withering or dead 2 weeks after the treatment, whereas the few surviving buds grew slowly. The survival rate, polyplody mutagenic rate, and plant morphological mutation rate of the axillary buds of ‘Dulce Rosa’ were investigated 8 weeks after their mutagenic treatment (Table 1). Significantly high survival rate was obtained when lanolin served as the matrix of colchicine was administered to the axillary buds twice at the concentration of 5 mg·g⁻¹. All axillary buds died with 20 mg·g⁻¹ colchicine, regardless of treatment frequency. Polyplody was detected in only one of the four surviving buds that were subjected to one-time treatment at a concentration of 5 mg·g⁻¹. The maximum number of non-polyplody mutants with morphological variance were obtained from the treatment with 5 mg·g⁻¹ colchicine.

By contrast, when cotton was used as the matrix of colchicine, the maximum survival rate was observed among the buds receiving 1-d treatment at 5 mg·mL⁻¹. However, the bud survival rate was still high even when colchicine concentration was increased to 20 mg·mL⁻¹. When the treatment frequency was increased to 2 d, the highest bud survival rate was obtained at 5 mg·mL⁻¹ colchicine concentration. The bud survival rates were lower at high concentrations (Table 1). Flow cytometric analysis revealed that D-M1 had a normally developed cyathium diameter and bract size (lower bract–leaf blade ratio). In contrast, some mutants obtained in these anthers could not germinate on B&K medium containing 20% sucrose for 10 h (Fig. 3C, Table 3). Flow cytometric analysis revealed that D-M1 had a tetraploid level of DNA content (Fig. 3D, Table 3). Flow cytometric analysis also revealed that D-M2 mutants had unexpectedly less DNA content than the original cultivar. Although two other mutants (D-M3 to D-M9) had identical relative DNA content to ‘Dulce Rosa’, they showed morphological differences from the donor plant. In addition, ‘Princettia-Hot Pink’ had anthers that could protrude from the involucre, they had only a few stamens of normal development (Fig. 3E). Moreover, the pollen produced in these mutants was far less than that of the original cultivar and could germinate well in the pollen culture medium (Fig. 3H). Especially, three mutants with tetraploid-level DNA contents (P-M8, P-M13, P-M14) showed relatively higher pollen germination rates than 13%, and the
Table 1. Effect of different colchicine treatment methods and concentrations on the mutagenic efficiency of poinsettia ‘Dulce Rosa’.

| Treatment | Concn (mg·g⁻¹/mg·mL⁻¹) | Frequency (no.) | Bud survival rate (%) | Polyploidy mutagenic rate (%) | Morphological mutation rate (%) |
|-----------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Lanolin   | 5                        | 1               | 13.4 ab               | 3.4 ab                        | 10.0 a                        |
|           | 2                        | 16.7 ab         | 0.0 b                 | 0.0 c                         | 0.0 c                         |
|           | 10                       | 1               | 13.4 ab               | 0.0 b                         | 0.0 c                         |
|           | 2                        | 13.4 ab         | 0.0 b                 | 0.0 c                         | 0.0 c                         |
|           | 15                       | 1               | 06.7 bc               | 0.0 b                         | 03.4 bc                        |
|           | 2                        | 0.0 c           | 0.0 b                 | 0.0 c                         | 0.0 c                         |
|           | 20                       | 1               | 0.0 c                 | 0.0 b                         | 0.0 c                         |
|           | 2                        | 0.0 c           | 0.0 b                 | 0.0 c                         | 0.0 c                         |
| Cotton    | 5                        | 1               | 20.0 a                | 0.0 b                         | 0.0 c                         |
|           | 2                        | 16.7 ab         | 0.0 b                 | 0.0 c                         | 0.0 c                         |
|           | 10                       | 1               | 13.4 ab               | 6.7 a                         | 16.7 ab                        |
|           | 2                        | 13.4 ab         | 0.0 b                 | 0.0 c                         | 0.0 c                         |
|           | 15                       | 1               | 06.7 bc               | 0.0 b                         | 06.7 ab                        |
|           | 2                        | 10.0 abc        | 0.0 b                 | 0.0 c                         | 0.0 c                         |
|           | 20                       | 1               | 16.7 ab               | 0.0 b                         | 06.7 ab                        |
|           | 2                        | 10.0 abc        | 0.0 b                 | 0.0 c                         | 0.0 c                         |
| Treatment |                          |                 | **0.0092**            | 0.4846 NS                      | 0.8013 NS                      |
| Concentration |                      |                 | **0.0008***           | 0.1610 NS                      | 0.8013 NS                      |
| Frequency  |                          |                 | 0.5270 NS             | 0.0417*                        | 0.000***                       |
| Treatment*Concentration |                |                 | **0.1190** NS         | 0.0377*                        | 0.0031**                       |
| Treatment*Frequency |                   |                 | **0.8325 NS**         | 0.4846 NS                      | 0.3248 NS                      |
| Concentration*Frequency |             |                 | 0.9181 NS             | 0.1610 NS                      | 0.8013 NS                      |
| Treatment*Concentration*Frequency |         |                 | 0.3988 NS             | 0.0377*                        | 0.0031**                       |

zSignificance was determined by analysis of variance; NS, *, **, *** Nonsignificant or significant at P ≤ 0.05, 0.01, or 0.001, respectively. Means within a column followed by the different letters are significantly different according to least significant difference test; P ≤ 0.05 indicates significant difference.

yTotal of 30 axillary buds were used for each treatment.

x(No. of surviving axillary buds/No. of axillary buds treated) × 100; the survival rate was investigated 8 weeks after the mutagenic treatment.

w(Number of polyploidies/No. of axillary buds treated) × 100. Mutants with considerably different relative DNA content from that of the control group 8 weeks after the treatments were categorized as polyploidies.

vTwice treatment with lanolin: 7 d after the first mutagen treatment, the same buds received second treatment with lanolin containing the same concentration of colchicine.

Table 2. Effects of different treatment methods and colchicine concentrations on the mutagenic efficiency of poinsettia ‘Princettiia-Hot Pink’.

| Treatment | Concn (mg·g⁻¹/mg·mL⁻¹) | Frequency (no.) | Bud survival rate (%) | Polyploidy mutagenic rate (%) | Morphological mutation rate (%) |
|-----------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Lanolin   | 5                        | 1               | 60.0 a                | 16.7 b                        | 26.7 a                         |
|           | 2                        | 13.4 ef         | 00.0 c                | 03.4 cd                        |
|           | 10                       | 1               | 33.4 bcde             | 6.7 c                         | 16.7 abc                        |
|           | 2                        | 16.7 ef         | 00.0 c                | 06.7 cd                        |
|           | 15                       | 1               | 53.3 ab               | 30.0 a                         | 30.0 a                         |
|           | 2                        | 26.7 cdef       | 00.0 c                | 06.7 cd                        |
|           | 20                       | 1               | 46.7 abc              | 00.0 c                         | 06.7 cd                        |
|           | 2                        | 06.7 f          | 03.4 c                | 03.4 cd                        |
| Cotton    | 5                        | 1               | 13.4 ed               | 00.0 c                         | 10.0 bcd                        |
|           | 2                        | 23.3 def        | 03.4 c                | 10.0 bcd                        |
|           | 10                       | 1               | 26.7 cdef             | 03.4 c                         | 23.3 ab                        |
|           | 2                        | 40.0 abcd       | 00.0 c                | 10.0 bcd                        |
|           | 15                       | 1               | 20.0 ef               | 00.0 c                         | 00.0 d                         |
|           | 2                        | 46.7 abc        | 00.0 c                | 16.7 abc                        |
|           | 20                       | 1               | 13.4 ef               | 00.0 c                         | 06.7 cd                        |
|           | 2                        | 26.7 cdef       | 00.0 c                | 03.4 cd                        |
| Treatment |                          |                 | **0.1706 NS**         | 0.000 ***                      | 0.2968 NS                      |
| Concentration |                      |                 | **0.1212 NS**         | 0.0021 **                      | 0.0360 *                        |
| Frequency  |                          |                 | 0.0488 *              | 0.000 ***                      | 0.0032 **                       |
| Treatment*Concentration |                |                 | **0.987 NS**          | 0.0008 ***                      | 0.1537 NS                      |
| Treatment*Frequency |                   |                 | **0.00000 NS**        | 0.000 ***                      | 0.0032 **                       |
| Concentration*Frequency |             |                 | 0.2415 NS             | 0.0001 ***                      | 0.3784 NS                      |
| Treatment*Concentration*Frequency |         |                 | **0.7007 NS**         | 0.0001 ***                      | 0.0078 **                       |

zSignificance was determined by analysis of variance; NS, *, **, *** Nonsignificant or significant at P ≤ 0.05, 0.01, or 0.001, respectively. Means within a column followed by the different letters are significantly different according to least significant difference test; P ≤ 0.05 indicates significant difference.

yTotal of 30 axillary buds were used for each treatment.

x(No. of surviving axillary buds/No. of axillary buds treated) × 100; the survival rate was investigated 8 weeks after the mutagenic treatment.

w(Number of polyploidies/No. of axillary buds treated) × 100. Mutants with considerably different relative DNA content from that of the control group 8 weeks after the treatments were categorized as polyploidies.

vTwice treatment with lanolin: 7 d after the first mutagen treatment, the same buds received second treatment with lanolin containing the same concentration of colchicine.

uTwo-day treatment with cotton: the same buds received a second treatment with cotton containing the same concentration of colchicine on next day.
The highest pollen germination rate was obtained in P-M13 (27.5%) (Table 4, Fig. 3I). Although P-M10 showed the same diploid level of DNA content as ‘Princettia-Hot Pink’, it showed high pollen germination rate (22.5%), which was comparable to those of the tetraploid-level mutants. Some mutants (P-M1 and P-M11) with diploid level of DNA contents also showed pollen germination ability, although the germination rates were lower than 5%. These non-tetraploid mutant strains with pollen germination ability had larger size of pollen diameter than ‘Princettia-Hot Pink’. Moreover, most of the remaining mutants exhibited more or less different DNA contents compared with the donor plant ‘Princettia-Hot Pink’. Especially, three mutant strains (P-M4, P-M9, P-M15) showed lower DNA contents than the original cultivar (Table 4).

**Discussion**

In a previous study, Pickens et al. (2006) tried colchicine in vitro treatment on poinsettia ‘Winter Rose’, in which several factors, such as colchicine concentration (0.00001, 0.0001, 0.001, and 0.01 mg·mL⁻¹), incubation duration (0, 1, 2, 4 d), and different culture methods (liquid-solid and solid-solid medium) did not give any significant difference on the survival rate of the treated buds, and polyploidy could not be obtained. However, in the present study, polyploidy was successfully obtained by treating axillary buds with colchicine ex vitro. The results demonstrated that different application methods (cotton vs. lanoline) and colchicine concentrations affected the induction efficiency of polyploidy and bud survival rate. In ‘Dulce Rosa’, no correlation between the survival rate and treatment frequency was found between lanolin and cotton treatments used as a matrix (Table 1). In ‘Princettia-Hot Pink’, however, treatment frequency gave different effects on the survival of buds after colchicine treatment with lanolin and that with cotton; survival rates of the buds with lanolin were greatly reduced by twice treatment, whereas survival rates were increased by the 2-d

### Table 3. Morphological traits of colchicine-induced mutants of poinsettia ‘Dulce Rosa’.

| Mutant line | Single cyathium diam (cm) | Bract length (cm) | Bract width (cm) | Bract–leaf blade ratio | Bract color (RHS) | Pollen grain diam (μm) | Pollen germination rate (%) | Relative DNA content (units) |
|-------------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Control     | 13.2                      | 7.6 a             | 2.5 e            | 2.4 a                  | 57D              | -                      | -                           | 2.0                         |
| D-M1        | 12.7                      | 6.2 ef            | 2.8 d            | 1.9 b                  | 57A              | 49.8                   | 8                           | 3.96                        |
| D-M2        | 17.3                      | 5.3 g             | 4.2 a            | 1.9 bc                 | 57B              | -                      | -                           | 1.69                        |
| D-M3        | 16.3                      | 7.8 a             | 3.6 b            | 2.2 a                  | 57B              | -                      | -                           | 2.0                         |
| D-M4        | 15.9                      | 6.8 cd            | 3.1 c            | 2.2 a                  | 57B              | -                      | -                           | 2.0                         |
| D-M5        | 18.0                      | 6.7 d             | 3.1 c            | 2.2 a                  | 67C              | -                      | -                           | 2.0                         |
| D-M6        | 19.0                      | 7.0 bc            | 3.8 b            | 1.6 c                  | 66A              | -                      | -                           | 2.14                        |
| D-M7        | 15.9                      | 6.3 e             | 2.7 de           | 2.2 a                  | 57B              | -                      | -                           | 2.0                         |
| D-M8        | 17.7                      | 6.0 f             | 3.4 c            | 2.3 a                  | 57C              | -                      | -                           | 2.16                        |
| D-M9        | 17.4                      | 7.2 b             | 3.4 c            | 2.2 a                  | 57C              | -                      | -                           | 2.0                         |

*Means within a column followed by the different letters are significantly different according to least significant difference test; P ≤ 0.05 indicates significant difference. RHS = Royal Horticultural Society.

*yThe pollen was cultured in B&K medium containing 20% sucrose in a dark environment at 20 °C for 10 h.

*xControl: ‘Dulce Rosa’.

*Abnormal floral organ in which the anthers within the involucre did not develop normally and no pollen was contained in the anther.

![Fig. 1. Inflorescence of poinsettia ‘Dulce Rosa’ and its colchicine-induced mutants. Bar = 5 cm. CK (control): ‘Dulce Rosa’, D-M1 to D-M7: mutant lines obtained by colchicine treatments.](image-url)
treatment in the case of cotton (Table 2). The reasons for such complicated effects of treatment frequency and kind of matrix used for colchicine application are still unclear and need to be clarified.

Flow cytometric analysis revealed that colchicine treatments on ‘Dulce Rosa’ and ‘Princettia-Hot Pink’ induced many mutants with unexpected DNA contents apart from typical chromosome-doubled plants as described in results (Tables 3 and 4). These unexpected DNA content mutants are usually known to occur for the following reasons: failure of homologous pairing, nonseparation of chromosomes, a deferred anaphase, or the gain and loss of the entire chromosome strain of a cell. Because colchicine is an antimicrotuble agent, it interferes with the functioning of spindle fibers (Ranney, 2006). Therefore, it is reasonable to consider that the loss or addition of relatively few chromosomes had occurred in these mutants due to the impaired mitosis caused by colchicine treatment.

To solve the breeding problems caused by sterility, chromosome doubling technology has been successfully used to recover functional pollen in rose (Kermani et al., 2003) and carnation (Nimura et al., 2006). In the present study, 11 mutants obtained after colchicine treatments showed normal floral organs with some fertile pollen as assessed by pollen germination ability (1 for ‘Dulce Rosa’ and 10 for ‘Princettia-Hot Pink’) (Tables 3 and 4). The stamens of the original ‘Dulce Rosa’ did not protrude from the involucre. However, some of the mutants developed normal stamens that could release pollen. In mutants D-M1 and P-M13, pollen grain revealed to have regular shape and larger size compared with those of ‘Dulce Rosa’ and ‘Princettia-Hot Pink’, respectively (Tables 3 and 4, Fig. 3C and H). The same results were also observed in polyploidy mutant of verbena (Lucía et al., 2015).

Table 4. Morphological traits of colchicine-induced mutants of poinsettia ‘Princettia-Hot Pink’.x

| Mutant line | Single cyathium diam (cm) | Bract length (cm) | Bract width (cm) | Bract–leaf blade ratio | Bract color (RHS) | Pollen grain diam (µm) | Pollen germination rate (%) | Relative DNA content (units) |
|-------------|--------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Control     | 11.3                     | 4.9               | 2.8              | 2.3                    | 57C               | 43.8                   | 0 d                         | 2.0                         |
| P-M1        | 14.2                     | 5.8 h             | 3.6 ef           | 1.6 egfg               | 67C               | 51.7 b                 | 4.5 d                       | 2.03                        |
| P-M2        | 14.2                     | 5.6 i             | 2.8 h            | 2.0 bc                 | 67C               | - w                    | -                           | 2.39                        |
| P-M3        | 19.2                     | 8.4 b             | 4.7 ab           | 1.8 ede                | 67C               | 51.9 b                 | 3.8 d                       | 2.50                        |
| P-M4        | 19.7                     | 8.5 ab            | 3.9 d            | 2.2 ab                 | 66C               | 29.1 f                 | 0.5 d                       | 1.36                        |
| P-M5        | 16.0                     | 5.6 hi            | 3.1 g            | 1.7 defg               | 57B               | - w                    | -                           | 2.0                         |
| P-M6        | 12.9                     | 6.7 f             | 3.4 f            | 1.8 ede                | 63B               | - w                    | -                           | 4.72                        |
| P-M7        | 15.7                     | 7.1 c             | 3.7 de           | 2.3 a                  | 57C               | - w                    | -                           | 2.0                         |
| P-M8        | 14.2                     | 5.5 hi            | 3.5 e f          | 1.6 fg                 | 61C               | 52.7 b                 | 13.0 c                      | 4.54                        |
| P-M9        | 19.7                     | 8.7 a             | 4.9 a            | 1.8 defg               | 67C               | 47.1 c                 | 4.0 d                       | 1.68                        |
| P-M10       | 19.7                     | 7.8 d             | 5.0 a            | 1.6 efg                | 57B               | 56.7 a                 | 22.5 ab                     | 2.0                         |
| P-M11       | 18.6                     | 8.1 c             | 3.7 def          | 2.2 ab                 | 67C               | 39.0 c                 | 0.8 d                       | 2.0                         |
| P-M12       | 14.3                     | 6.2 g             | 4.2 e            | 1.5 g                  | 57C               | 51.5 b                 | 2.5 d                       | 2.72                        |
| P-M13       | 17.8                     | 8.1 c             | 4.9 a            | 1.7 def                | 57B               | 52.8 b                 | 27.5 a                      | 3.75                        |
| P-M14       | 15.7                     | 7.3 e             | 4.5 b            | 1.7 def                | 57C               | 56.0 a                 | 17.8 bc                     | 4.72                        |
| P-M15       | 11.2                     | 4.9 j             | 2.6 h            | 1.9 cd                 | 57C               | - w                    | -                           | 1.48                        |

xMeans within a column followed by the different letters are significantly different according to least significant difference test; P ≥ 0.05 indicates significant difference.

yThe pollen was cultured in B&K medium containing 20% sucrose in a dark environment at 20 °C for 10 h.

xControl: ‘Princettia-Hot Pink’.

wAbnormal floral organ in which the anthers within the involucre did not develop normally and broke to release the pollen.

Fig. 2. Inflorescence of poinsettia ‘Princettia-Hot Pink’ and its colchicine-induced mutants. Bar = 5 cm. CK (control): ‘Princettia-Hot Pink’, P-M1 to P-M7: mutant lines obtained by colchicine treatments.
expression of the quantitative traits and finally to trait differences (Chen, 2007).

**Conclusion**

In summary, two interspecific hybrid cultivars of poinsettia ‘Dulce Rosa’ and ‘Princettia-Hot Pink’ were able to create polyploidy mutants by colchicine treatments. The polyploidies showed restored pollen germination ability to some extent, and the mutants with various plant characteristics and valuable traits, such as specific bract type and larger bract size, also were obtained. This information might be useful for the poinsettia breeders to obtain the amphidiploid mutant with functional pollen for continuing their breeding works, as well as to use non-polyploidy mutants with ornamental value directly or as novel germplasm.
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