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We give a short proof of the Cushing-Henson conjecture concerning Beverton-Holt difference equation, which is important in theoretical ecology. The main result shows that a periodic environment is always deleterious for populations modeled by the Beverton-Holt difference equation.
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1. Introduction

Recently there has been a great interest in studying nonlinear difference equations and systems, in particular those which model some real life situations in population biology and ecology, see, for example, [1–13], and the references therein.

In [3], among other things, Cushing and Henson investigate the positive solutions of a special case of the Beverton-Holt difference equation [2]

\[ x_{n+1} = \frac{\mu K_n x_n}{K_n + (\mu - 1)x_n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \]

where \( \mu > 1 \) and \( K_n = K_{n+p}, \ n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \) for some \( p \geq 2. \)

Equation (1.1) with \( K_n = K, \ n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \) appeared in theoretical ecology, where the parameters \( \mu \) and \( K \) play an important role. The coefficient \( \mu \) is considered a characteristic of the population (its intrinsic growth rate), determined by life cycle and demographic properties such as birth rates, survivorship rates, and so forth. The coefficient \( K \) is considered a characteristic of the habitat or environment (called the carrying capacity), for example, resource availability, temperature, humidity, and so forth. It is quite common for biological populations that parameters fluctuate in time. For example, in the case of (1.1), periodic fluctuations are common (caused, e.g., by annual or daily fluctuations in the physical environment), in which case \( \mu \) and/or \( K \) become periodic functions of time. Hence, it is of interest to consider nonautonomous (1.1).
A short proof of the Cushing-Henson conjecture

In [4] Cushing and Henson posed the following two conjectures.

**Conjecture 1.1.** There is a positive $p$-periodic solution \{\(\bar{x}_0, \ldots, \bar{x}_{p-1}\)\} of (1.1) and it globally attracts all positive solutions.

**Conjecture 1.2.** The following inequality holds \((1/p) \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \bar{x}_i < (1/p) \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} K_i.\)

In a private communication with Professor Elaydi we found out that both conjectures have been confirmed recently by Elaydi and Sacker (the first one in [5] and the second one in [6]). Moreover, they have shown that (1.1) possesses a positive solution with period \(p\), but it need not be the minimal period of the solution. Case \(p = 2\) has been solved in [3].

Here, we present our proofs of these two conjectures, which are simpler than those in the existing literature. The reason for the simplicity relies on the fact that (1.1) is solvable (as a special case of Riccati equation). The main result in this note, Theorem 1.3, confirms Conjecture 1.2, which is more interesting than Conjecture 1.1.

First note that by the change of variable \(y_n = 1/x_n\), (1.1) can be written in the form

\[ y_{n+1} = q y_n + (1 - q) L_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{1.2} \]

where \(q = 1/\mu\) and \(L_n = 1/K_n.\)

Since (1.1) and (1.2) are equivalent, it is enough to find \(p\)-periodic solutions of (1.2).

It is not difficult to find periodic solutions of (1.2), namely, if \(\{\bar{y}_0, \bar{y}_1, \ldots, \bar{y}_{p-1}\}\) is such a solution, from (1.2), we have that it must be

\[ \bar{y}_1 = q \bar{y}_0 + (1 - q) L_0, \quad \bar{y}_2 = q \bar{y}_1 + (1 - q) L_1, \ldots, \bar{y}_0 = q \bar{y}_{p-1} + (1 - q) L_{p-1}. \tag{1.3} \]

By iterating, or by solving linear system (1.3), we obtain

\[ \bar{y}_0 = \frac{(1 - q)[L_0 q^{p-1} + \cdots + L_{p-2} q + L_{p-1}]}{1 - q^p} \tag{1.4} \]

and by cyclicity of system (1.3), it follows that

\[ \bar{y}_i = \frac{(1 - q)[L_{\sigma^{[i]}(0)} q^{p-1} + \cdots + L_{\sigma^{[i]}(p-2)} q + L_{\sigma^{[i]}(p-1)}]}{1 - q^p}, \quad i \in \{1, \ldots, p - 1\}, \tag{1.5} \]

where \(\sigma\) is the permutation \((0, 1, 2, \ldots, p - 1) \rightarrow (1, 2, \ldots, p - 1, 0)\), \(\sigma^{[i]} = \sigma \circ \sigma^{[i-1]}\) and \(\sigma^{[0]} = \text{Id}\) (the identity permutation).

Hence,

\[ \bar{x}_i = \frac{\mu^p - 1}{(\mu - 1) \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (\mu^j/K_{\sigma^{[i]}(j)})} = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (\alpha_j/K_{\sigma^{[i]}(j)})}, \tag{1.6} \]

for \(i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p - 1\}\), where

\[ \alpha_j = \frac{(\mu - 1) \mu^j}{\mu^p - 1}, \quad j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p - 1\}. \tag{1.7} \]

Now we are in a position to confirm Conjecture 1.2 in an elegant way by proving the following result.
Remark 1.6. Actually, the problem in Conjecture 1.1 is folklore and it is di-
periodic solution was not found in a closed form and Conjecture 1.2 was not considered.

Remark 1.5. One of the referees of this paper noted that the existence of a periodic so-
lution of (1.1), as well as its global attractivity, was proved in [10]. However, in [10] the
where the inequality is strict since the sequence $K_n$ is a nonconstant sequence of positive
numbers such that $K_n = K_{n+p}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$ for some $p \geq 2.$ If $\{\bar{x}_0, \ldots, \bar{x}_{p-1}\}$ is the positive $p$-
periodic solution of (1.1), then

$$\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \bar{x}_i < \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} K_i; \quad (1.8)$$

Proof. Since the function $f(x) = 1/x$ is strictly convex on $(0, \infty)$ and \(\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \alpha_j = 1\), we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \bar{x}_i = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \frac{1}{\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (\alpha_j/K_{\sigma^{i(j)}})} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \alpha_j K_{\sigma^{i(j)}} \right] = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \alpha_j \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} K_{\sigma^{i(j)}} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} K_i; \quad (1.9)$$

where the inequality is strict since the sequence $K_n$ is nonconstant.

The interpretation of Theorem 1.3 is that a periodic environment is always deleterious for populations modelled by (1.1) in the sense that the average of the resulting population oscillations is strictly less than the average of $K$’s.

Remark 1.4. Conjecture 1.1 can be confirmed in a similar fashion. Namely, similar to (1.4), for arbitrary solution $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of (1.1) the following relationship holds:

$$y_{mp+i} = q^p y_{(m-1)p+i} + (1-q) \left[ L_{\sigma^{i(0)}} q^{p-1} + \cdots + L_{\sigma^{i(p-2)}} q + L_{\sigma^{i(p-1)}} \right], \quad (1.10)$$

$m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\},$ and consequently

$$y_{mp+i} = q^m y_i + \frac{1-q^m}{1-q^p} (1-q) \left[ L_{\sigma^{i(0)}} q^{p-1} + \cdots + L_{\sigma^{i(p-2)}} q + L_{\sigma^{i(p-1)}} \right], \quad (1.11)$$

$m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}.$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ in the last formula for each $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\},$ and noticing that $q \in (0, 1),$ we obtain that every solution of (1.2) converges to the $p$-cycle $(\bar{y}_0, \ldots, \bar{y}_{p-1}),$ which implies that every solution of (1.1) converges to the $p$-cycle $(\bar{x}_0, \ldots, \bar{x}_{p-1}),$ as desired.

Remark 1.5. One of the referees of this paper noted that the existence of a periodic solution of (1.1), as well as its global attractivity, was proved in [10]. However, in [10] the periodic solution was not found in a closed form and Conjecture 1.2 was not considered. Actually, the problem in Conjecture 1.1 is folklore and it is difficult to find its origins.

Remark 1.6. If $\mu$ in (1.1) is not constant but periodic with period $p,$ for example, $\mu_n = \mu_{n+p},$ then Conjecture 1.1 is also true. Indeed, in this case (1.2) becomes

$$y_{n+1} = q_n y_n + (1-q_n) L_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (1.12)$$

where $q_n = 1/\mu_n.$ As above it can be obtained that

$$\bar{y}_i = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (1-q_{\sigma^{i(j)}}) L_{\sigma^{i(j)}} \prod_{k=j+1}^{p-1} q_{\sigma^{i(k)}}}{1-\prod_{j=0}^{p-1} q_j}, \quad i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}, \quad (1.13)$$
and that arbitrary solution \((y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}\) of the equation has the form
\[
y_{mp+i} = \left( \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} q_j \right)^m y_i + \frac{1 - \left( \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} q_j \right)^m}{1 - \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} q_j - 1} \left( 1 - q_{\sigma^{|i|}(j)} \right) L_{\sigma^{|i|}(j)} \left( \prod_{k=j+1}^{p-1} q_{\sigma^{|i|}(k)} \right),
\]
(1.14)
m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and } i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}.

Letting \(m \to \infty\) in (1.14) it follows that \(y_{mp+i} \to \bar{y}_i\), for each \(i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}\), from which the result follows.

From (1.13), we have that
\[
\bar{x}_i = \frac{\prod_{k=0}^{p-1} \mu_k - 1}{\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \left( (\mu_\sigma^{|i|}(j) - 1)/K_{\sigma^{|i|}(j)} \right) \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \mu_{\sigma^{|i|}(k)}}, \quad i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}.
\]
(1.15)

Let
\[
\alpha_{ij} = \frac{(\mu_\sigma^{|i|}(j) - 1) \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \mu_{\sigma^{|i|}(k)}}{\prod_{k=0}^{p-1} \mu_k - 1}, \quad i, j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}.
\]
(1.16)

Then, similar to the proof of Theorem 1.3, we obtain
\[
\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \bar{x}_i = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \frac{1}{\prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \mu_k - 1} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \alpha_{ij} K_{\sigma^{|i|}(j)} < \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \alpha_{ij} K_{\sigma^{|i|}(j)}
\]
(1.17)
\[
= \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} K_j \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \alpha_{ij - j \text{(mod } p)}
\]
\[
= \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} K_j \frac{(\mu_j - 1) \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \mu_{\sigma^{|i|}(k)}}{\prod_{k=0}^{p-1} \mu_k - 1}.
\]

From (1.17) it follows that
\[
\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \bar{x}_i < \frac{(\max_i \mu_i)(\max_i \mu_i - 1)}{(\min_i \mu_i)(\min_i \mu_i - 1)} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} K_i,
\]
(1.18)
which gives an estimate of the average \((1/p) \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \bar{x}_i\) for the case when \(\mu_n\) is a nonconstant periodic sequence, see [7].
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Space dynamics is a very general title that can accommodate a long list of activities. This kind of research started with the study of the motion of the stars and the planets back to the origin of astronomy, and nowadays it has a large list of topics. It is possible to make a division in two main categories: astronomy and astrodynamics. By astronomy, we can relate topics that deal with the motion of the planets, natural satellites, comets, and so forth. Many important topics of research nowadays are related to those subjects. By astrodynamics, we mean topics related to spaceflight dynamics.

It means topics where a satellite, a rocket, or any kind of man-made object is travelling in space governed by the gravitational forces of celestial bodies and/or forces generated by propulsion systems that are available in those objects. Many topics are related to orbit determination, propagation, and orbital maneuvers related to those spacecrafts. Several other topics that are related to this subject are numerical methods, nonlinear dynamics, chaos, and control.
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