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Abstract

For discrete big data which have a limited range of values, Conventional machine learning methods cannot be applied because we see clutter and overlapping of classes in such data: many data points from different classes overlap. In this paper we introduce a solution for this problem through a novel heuristics method. By applying a running average (with a window-size = d) we could transform Discrete data to broad-range, Continuous values. When we have more than 2 columns and one of them is containing data about the tags of classification (Class Column), we could compare and sort the features (Non-class Columns) based on the R2 coefficient of the regression for running averages. The parameters tuning could help us to select the best features (the non-class columns which have the best correlation with the Class Column). “Window size” and “Ordering” could be tuned to achieve the goal. This optimization problem is hard and we need an Algorithm (or Heuristics) for simplifying this tuning. We demonstrate a novel heuristics, Called Simulated Distillation (SimulaD), which could help us to gain a somehow good results with this optimization problem.

Introduction

There are numerous previous heuristics for feature selection methods [1]. Heuristics are based on human intuitions for solving technical problems [2]. Here we provide a new and novel heuristic for Feature Selection Method.

For discrete big data which have a limited range of values, Conventional machine learning methods cannot be applied because we see clutter and overlapping of classes in such data: many data points from different classes overlap. In this paper, by applying a novel heuristics for feature selection method, we overcome this problem. We use the moving average filter [3] and linear regression [4] to achieve the goal.

The Problem Description

Suppose that we have 2 column of discrete data (Column A and Column B) about software quality from users’ perspective with Likert-scale values [5] [6] [7]. We wish to find the probable correlation between these two columns. If the range of discrete values is limited, then we couldn’t shape a sufficient space of locus points to run regression algorithms (see figure-1).

We need a schema to map these limited-range, discrete values to broad-range, continuous values. This schema must conserve the necessary characteristics of initial values to show us any probable correlation between values.

The Proposed Method

By applying a running average [8] (with a window-size = d), we could transform the data to broad-range, Continuous values (see figure-2). It’s could be considered as a type of continuous measuring of discrete
data. Then we could apply regression algorithms to investigate the inherent correlation between these two sets of values (see figure-3). A real-world example is provided in figure-4. We could consider each point of the resulting continuous space locus, as a representation of a micro-community with d users population.

By varying the window size (d), the regression factor $R^2$ is varying. For different datasets, we could plot different d-$R^2$ diagrams. Extremum points of these plots are depicting an inherent characteristics feature of the dataset (see figure-5).

**Comparing with Random base-line**

We could examine the level of correlation by comparing the $R^2$ coefficient for two different settings: 1) when columns are filled with the running averages of under study data, 2) the columns are filled with running averages of a randomly-generated base-line data.

**Ordering of Data Effects the Correlation Results**

Each ordering of the data yields a different $R^2$ coefficient. So after the window size, the ordering is another parameter for tuning the results. An appropriate ordering could show us the inherent correlation between two columns (albeit after applying the regression). A set of N data items has N! different orderings and we couldn't check each one. So we need some algorithm (or Heuristics) to select appropriate ordering of data. As a simple one, we could average (or select the optimum from) the results of some random-selected samples of the “Ordering Space” of the data.

**Feature Selection Method**

When we have more than 2 columns and one of them is containing data about the tags of classification (Class Column), we could compare and sort the features (Non-class Columns) based on the $R^2$ coefficient of the regression for running averages.

The parameters tuning could help us to select the best features (the non-class columns which have the best correlation with the Class Column). “Window size” and “Ordering” could be tuned to achieve the goal. Again our optimization problem is hard and we need an Algorithm (or Heuristics) for simplifying this tuning. We demonstrate a novel heuristics, Called Simulated Distillation (SimulaD), which could help us to gain a somehow good results with this optimization problem.

```java
for (int o = 0; o < 200; o++) { // Exhausting
    LinearRegression.maxR2 = 0;

    for (int z = 1; z < 10; z++) { // Speed-Up
        for (int u = 1; u < 84; u++) { // Rotation
            for (int y = 0; y < 3; y++) { // Intensification
```
// Extraction

computeNewOrderAndRegression(u, 400, makhzan);

} } } }

Definition 1

Winner(E) = F <=>

F has the best R2 coefficient among features in Exhausting Epoch E.

Definition 2

\[
\text{Win-ratio}(F) = \frac{\text{# of Exhausting Epochs where } F \text{ is winner}}{\text{Total Number of Exhausting Epochs}}
\]

We used Iranian National Computing Grid services[1] (80 computing cores) to do the computations.

[1] https://turin.ipm.ir/en/

Algorithm Steps

1- Based on intuitions, consider a window size d for data.

2- For \( k_1 \) times: // Exhausting

a. MaxR2 = 0;

b. Winner = null;

c. For \( k_2 \) times: // Speed-Up

i. For each non-class column u (feature u): // Rotation

1. For \( k_3 \) times: // Intensification and Extraction

a. Randomly reorder the data records.
b. Calculate running averages (with window size = d) for non-class column u (feature u).

c. Compute R2 for regression on u-running-averages and the class column.

d. if MaxR2 < resulting_R2_from_previous_step:

   i. MaxR2 = resulting R2; Winner = u.

   d. Print MaxR2 and Winner.

**Computational Complexity**

For a dataset with N features and M records, the computational complexity of proposed heuristics algorithm is: $O(k_1 k_2 k_3 NM)$.

**Discussion On Application Domain**

When we want to study a number of quality variables for a system, we can use different methods of quality quantification: Likert scale quality questionnaires [9], fuzzy logic [10] [11], totaling over system segments, statistical distribution approximation [12], Continuous signal approximation from discrete samples [13] and so on.

Our proposed method, which is suitable for many systems, especially complex socio-technical systems, is as follows: Using Likert-scale quality spectrum questionnaires to collect quantitatively discrete data about system quality variables, then convert this Discrete data to continuous data that are suitable for machine learning (by applying SimulaD algorithm on them).

**The Advantage Over Other Methods**

Our proposed algorithm uses the concept of micro-community [5]. Each micro-community is like a field of probability around each of the records. So in fact our approach uses the mathematical concept of "probability field", albeit conceptually rather than technically, instead of using other mathematical objects (such as sets in fuzzy logic, signals in signal processing, totaling in statistics and so on). The stochastic nature of probability fields are very match with “quality fluctuations” in the real world. So it could be a suitable quantitative model for describing quality variables of complex systems.

Focusing on micro-communities could unravel hidden topological structures in data (for an example, see figure-7). So it could be useful in the topological data analysis.

**Conclusion**

By applying a running average (with a window-size = d), we could transform the data to broad-range, Continuous values. It’s could be considered as a type of continuous measuring of discrete data. We could
compare and sort the features (Non-class Columns) based on the R2 coefficient of the regression for running averages. We have demonstrated a novel heuristics, Called Simulated Distillation (SimulaD), which could help us to gain a somehow good results with optimization problem of “Window Size” and “Ordering”.
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Figures
**Figure 1**

The Locus space for limited-range, discrete values of Columns A and B.

|   | A   | B   | Running Averages of A | Running Averages of B |
|---|-----|-----|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 1   | 3   | 1.63                  | 3.23                  |
| 2 | 1   | 3   | 1.63                  | 3.23                  |
| 3 | 1   | 5   | 1.63                  | 3.21                  |
| 4 | 1   | 5   | 1.67                  | 3.19                  |
| 5 | 1   | 5   | 1.67                  | 3.17                  |
| 6 | 1   | 4   | 1.67                  | 3.17                  |
| 7 | 1   | 4   | 1.67                  | 3.17                  |
| 8 | 1   | 4   | 1.67                  | 3.17                  |
| 9 | 1   | 2   | 1.63                  | 3.17                  |
| 10| 1   | 2   | 1.63                  | 3.17                  |
| 11| 1   | 2   | 1.63                  | 3.17                  |
| 12| 1   | 2   | 1.63                  | 3.17                  |
| 13| 3   | 4   | 1.71                  | 3.06                  |
| 14| 3   | 4   | 1.67                  | 3.04                  |
| 15| 3   | 4   | 1.67                  | 3.04                  |
| 16| 3   | 2   | 1.67                  | 3.06                  |
| 17| 1   | 3   | 1.63                  | 3.06                  |
| 18| 1   | 3   | 1.63                  | 3.06                  |
| 19| 3   | 3   | 1.58                  | 3.09                  |
| 20| 1   | 1   | 1.54                  | 3.11                  |

**Figure 2**

The Locus space for broad-range, continuous values of running averages with window-size=50.
**Figure 3**

The Regression was applied to investigate the correlation.

**Figure 4**

From discrete values to concurrent regression
Figure 5

WindowSize-R2 diagram, plotted for two different datasets.
Figure 6

Win ratio in the Exhausting Epochs, depicted for one of the features.
Figure 7

Depicted for micro communities, based on window size=1000, for a Diet and COVID-19 dataset [14].