The antiviral myxovirus resistance protein 1 (MX1) is an interferon-induced GTPase that plays an important role in the defense of mammalian cells against influenza A viruses. Mouse MX1 interacts with the influenza ribonucleoprotein complexes (vRNPs) and can prevent the interaction between polymerase basic 2 (PB2) and the nucleoprotein (NP) of influenza A viruses. However, it is unclear whether mouse MX1 disrupts the PB2-NP interaction in the context of pre-existing vRNPs or prevents the assembly of new vRNP components. Here, we describe a conditionally active mouse MX1 variant that only exerts antiviral activity in the presence of a small molecule drug. Once activated, this MX1 construct phenocopies the antiviral and NP binding activity of wild type MX1. The interaction between PB2 and NP is disrupted within minutes after the addition of the small molecule activator. These findings support a model in which mouse MX1 interacts with the incoming influenza A vRNPs and inhibits their activity by disrupting the PB2-NP interaction.

The myxovirus resistance (MX) genes are evolutionarily conserved in nearly all vertebrates. MX gene expression is induced by type I or III interferon, and the corresponding gene products can inhibit a wide range of viruses (1). Human MxA, for example, can suppress the replication of influenza and Thogoto viruses (both Orthomyxoviridae), vesicular stomatitis virus (a rhabdovirus), and hepatitis B virus (a hepadnavirus), and mouse MX1 inhibits influenza and Thogoto virus replication (2).

MX proteins are classified as large GTPases (3, 4). The crystal structure of Mxa revealed how the GTPase domain, the bundle-signaling element (BSE), and the stalk domain are positioned relative to each other in space (5). These three domains each have specific functions in antiviral activity. The GTPase domain is the most conserved part in the family of large GTPases, and the capacity of MX to bind with GTP determines its antiviral activity (6). The BSE is connected to the GTPase domain via a hinge. Gao et al. (5, 7) suggested that this BSE is crucial for transmitting conformational changes, caused by GTPase activity, to the third domain of MX, i.e. the stalk. The stalk domain is important for oligomerization and target recognition. It contains three interfaces and a loop region (loop L4), which mediate oligomerization through a crisscross interaction pattern. This ultimately results in the formation of oligomeric rings with the stalk domains pointing inward and the GTPase domains located at the periphery of the ring. Loop L4, present at the tip of this stalk, and directed toward the center of the MxA oligomeric ring, is important for viral target recognition (8–10). A yet unproven model proposes that MX proteins, organized in rings, wrap around their viral targets (e.g. the vRNPs) and cooperatively inhibit or disturb the function of those viral targets. However, this model has recently been challenged by the results of Nigg and Pavlovic (11), who reported that oligomerization is not crucial for the antiviral activity of human MxA.

Substantial progress has been made in the last few years in our understanding of the molecular details of the antiviral mechanism of MX proteins. However, it remains unclear how MX proteins interact with influenza A vRNPs and what the molecular consequences are of such an interaction. There is clear evidence that human influenza A viruses are more resistant to human MxA than avian influenza viruses are (12). This difference in sensitivity is associated with amino acid differences in the nucleoprotein (NP) of human and avian influenza A viruses (13–15). This suggests that NP is a direct or indirect target of mammalian MX1 proteins. In line with this, we and others previously showed that mouse MX1 can interact with NP. There is also evidence that influenza A PB2 is a target of and binds with mouse MX1 (14, 16, 17).

PB2 and NP are part of the vRNPs, which are the minimal units required for influenza RNA transcription and replication. The vRNPs consist of the viral RNA genome, multiple NP molecules, and one RNA-dependent RNA polymerase complex containing PB1, PB2, and polymerase acidic protein (PA) (18). We showed that the interaction between NP and PB2 is strongly reduced in the presence of MX1 (10, 14, 19). An appealing model is therefore that mouse MX1 prevents or dis-
rupts the PB2-NP interaction and thereby inhibits viral polymerase activity.

To elucidate whether mouse MX1 can disrupt pre-existing PB2-NP interactions or rather prevent de novo assembly of these interactions, we developed a dormant MX1 variant that could be activated post-translationally. We showed that the active form of this conditional MX1 variant behaves as the wild type protein based on its antiviral activity, nuclear localization, and interaction with NP. Finally, we used this activatable MX1 variant to show that MX1 can actively disrupt pre-existing PB2-NP interactions.

**Results**

**Generation of a Conditionally Inactive MX1 Variant That Can Be Rapidly Activated**—We previously reported that mouse MX1 can prevent the interaction between the influenza A virus vRNPs components PB2 and NP, which could explain how this protein suppresses influenza A virus replication (14). However, it is unclear whether MX1 prevents vRNP assembly or (also) inactivates pre-existing vRNPs. To address this question, we first have to overcome the problem that mammalian cells that express mouse MX1 are highly resistant to influenza A virus infection. This means that de novo synthesis and assembly of vRNPs are strongly reduced in these cells. We therefore aimed to design a dormant MX1 variant that could be rapidly activated by a small compound stimulus. We took advantage of the FRB*-FKBP-based inducible heterodimerization system to accomplish this (20, 21). In this system, chimeric constructs are generated whereby one of the proteins of interest is fused to FRB* (i.e. FRB with a T2098L mutation) and a second protein is fused to FKBP. In the presence of a suitable rapamycin analog (rapalog), the FRB* and FKBP domains can rapidly and selectively heterodimerize.

Mouse MX1 normally resides in the nucleus, a location that is required for it to suppress influenza A virus replication (22). The nuclear localization signal (NLS) of mouse MX1 resides in the C-terminal α helix of the bundle signaling element, which is localized close to the N terminus in the three-dimensional structure of MX1 (7). Our initial plan was to make a cytoplasmic version of MX1 by mutating its NLS, which would abolish its capacity to inhibit influenza A virus replication. This MX1 variant with a non-functional NLS would be genetically fused with an FRB* domain. By co-expressing an NLS-containing FKBP protein, it then becomes possible to conditionally (depending on the presence of rapalog) provide the MX1 variant with an NLS in trans and thereby promote nuclear entry and the capacity to again exert anti-influenza virus activity. For this intended conditional NLS transcomplementation, we generated a construct in which FLAG-tagged FKBP was fused to a heterologous nuclear localization signal, derived from the SV40 large T antigen (Fig. 1A).

As a control for our strategy, we generated FRB*-MX1 and MX1-FRB*, i.e. constructs in which MX1 carried its wild type NLS. These two constructs were expected to suppress influenza A virus RNA polymerase activity provided that the fused FRB* domain would not hinder this function. We first evaluated whether FRB*-MX1 and MX1-FRB* had anti-influenza virus activity using a minireplicon system that is based on A/Puerto Rico/8/34 (PR8) virus vRNP components. HEK293T cells were transfected with expression plasmids encoding PB1, PB2, PA, and NP as well as a firefly luciferase viral RNA-like reporter together with a wild type MX1, the inactive MX1T69A mutant, FRB*-MX1, MX1-FRB*, FRB*-MX1T69A, or MX1T69A-FRB*. In half of the settings, the F-NLS-FKBP expression plasmid was co-transfected as well. After transfection, cells were treated with rapalog or with vehicle. As expected, wild type MX1 strongly reduced the influenza A polymerase complex activity (Fig. 1B). However, in the absence of F-NLS-FKBP and rapalog, FRB*-MX1 and MX1-FRB* were inactive, suggesting that the N- and C-terminally fused FRB* domains interfered with the antiviral activity of MX1. Remarkably, in the presence of F-NLS-FKBP and rapalog, FRB*-MX1 regained antiviral activity in the PR8 minireplicon system to a degree comparable with that of wild type MX1 (Fig. 1B). This suggests that fusion of FRB* to the N terminus of MX1 interferes with the antiviral activity of mouse MX1 by a mechanism that can be reversed in the presence of F-NLS-FKBP by the addition of rapalog. Thus, coincidentally, we obtained a dormant MX1 variant (FRB*-MX1) that could be activated by the addition of an exogenous small molecule (rapalog) without mutating the endogenous NLS sequence.

MX1-FRB* failed to suppress the influenza A RNA polymerase activity regardless of the presence or absence of F-NLS-FKBP and rapalog. It has been reported that fusion of FRB* to a protein can have a destabilizing effect and lead to degradation of the fusion protein (21, 22). To assess whether such a destabilizing effect could explain the lack of antiviral activity of FRB*-MX1 or MX1-FRB*, we determined protein expression levels in lysates from transfected cells by Western blotting analysis. When compared with the other MX1 constructs, MX1-FRB* and MX1T69A-FRB* expression levels in the detergent-extractable cell fraction were strongly reduced (Fig. 1C). Co-expression of F-NLS-FKBP and the addition of rapalog did not increase the expression levels of MX1-FRB* and MX1T69A-FRB*. Therefore, the low expression levels may explain the lack of antiviral activity of the MX1-FRB* variant. In contrast to the C-terminal FRB* fusions, the expression levels of FRB*-MX1 and FRB*-MX1T69A were only slightly reduced when compared with those of MX1 and MX1T69A (Fig. 1C). To gain some insight into how the FRB* domain fused to the N terminus of MX1 disturbed the antiviral activity in a reversible way, we co-expressed FRB*-MX1 (with or without F-NLS-FKBP) with wild type MX1 at different ratios. In the absence of rapalog, the activity of MX1 dropped dose-dependently when FRB*-MX1 was co-expressed, suggesting a dominant negative effect of FRB*-MX1 (Fig. 1D). As a control for dominant negative interference, MX1 was co-expressed with MX1T69A. However, when rapalog and F-NLS-FKBP were present, the dominant negative effect of FRB*-MX1 on MX1 was at least partially reversed (Fig. 1D). This reversible dominant negative effect on MX1 suggests that FRB*-MX1 can still form dimers or even higher order oligomers.

**FRB*-MX1 and F-NLS-FKBP Dimerize in a Rapalog-dependent Way**—To further document the dependence on rapalog addition to activate FRB*-MX1 in the presence of F-NLS-FKBP, we performed a dose range experiment in which different concentrations of this small molecule compound were added to
transfected cells. Starting from a concentration of 25 nm rapalog, FRB*-MX1 inhibited the PR8 polymerase activity in a F-NLS-FKBP-dependent way and reached a maximum at 100 nm (Fig. 2). Taken together, this shows that N- or C-terminal fusion of an FRB* domain to mouse MX1 is detrimental for its antiviral activity. However, in the case of FRB*-MX1, the antiviral activity, based on an influenza A minireplicon reporter assay, can be restored in the presence of F-NLS-FKBP by the addition of rapalog in a dose-dependent way.

Subcellular Localization of FRB*-MX1 and F-NLS-FKBP—
The subcellular localization of MX1, FRB*-MX1, MX1-FRB*, MX1T69A, FRB*-MX1T69A, and F-NLS-FKBP in the absence or presence of rapalog was also visualized. In the absence of F-NLS-FKBP, FRB*-MX1 is expressed mainly in the nucleus and in a punctate pattern and, like MX1, it can also be detected in the cytoplasm (Fig. 3A). When F-NLS-FKBP was expressed alone, it was distributed evenly over the whole cell despite its added NLS, presumably because it is small enough to diffuse freely in and out of the nucleus (predicted molecular mass: 14.3 kDa) and because of a masking effect of the NLS by the FLAG tag. When FRB*-MX1 and F-NLS-FKBP were expressed together, F-NLS-FKBP was redistributed to the MX1 nuclear speckles in the presence of rapalog (Fig. 3). This suggests that rapalog induces the dimerization of F-NLS-FKBP and FRB*-MX1.

To verify that F-NLS-FKBP and FRB*-MX1 heterodimerize in the presence of rapalog, we performed a co-immunoprecipitation experiment. In addition, we estimated how fast this dimerization could occur after adding rapalog to the cells. F-NLS-FKBP was pulled down with an anti-FLAG antibody,
and co-immunoprecipitation of FRB*-MX1 was evaluated by Western blotting. This experiment revealed that FRB*-MX1 interacts with F-NLS-FKBP only in the presence of rapalog (Fig. 4). Interestingly, FRB*-MX1 already co-immunoprecipitated with F-NLS-FKBP when rapalog was added just 5 min prior to cell lysis (Fig. 4).

Activated FRB*-MX1 Interacts with Influenza A NP—MX1 can interact with influenza A virus NP (14). Therefore, we tested whether FRB*-MX1 could also interact with NP and, if so, whether this interaction was dependent on the presence of F-NLS-FKBP and rapalog. HEK293T cells were transfected with expression plasmids encoding NP and MX1 or FRB*-MX1, and the latter setup was with or without co-transfected F-NLS-FKBP. The cells were treated with rapalog or vehicle starting at 16 h before cell lysis. Subsequently, NP was pulled down, and then the presence of co-immunoprecipitated MX1 or FRB*-MX1 protein was determined. As expected, wild type MX1 formed a complex with NP, irrespective of the presence or absence of rapalog (Fig. 5). FRB*-MX1, however, only interacted with NP when both F-NLS-FKBP and rapalog were present (Fig. 5). Therefore, interaction of FRB*-MX1 with influenza A virus NP correlates with its antiviral configuration.

Activated FRB*-MX1 Protects Cells against Influenza A Virus Infection—FRB*-MX1 can inhibit influenza A polymerase complex activity in a minireplicon system in the presence of F-NLS-FKBP and rapalog. In a next step, we wanted to verify whether this conditionally activatable MX1 variant could also suppress influenza A virus replication in an infection setting. To investigate this, HEK293T cells were transfected with expression vectors for MX1 or FRB*-MX1 and F-NLS-FKBP. Cells transfected with GTPase-deficient MX1T69A were included as a negative control. Thirty hours after transfection, the cells were infected with PR8 virus at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 1. At different time points before and after infection, rapalog was added to the cells. Sixteen hours after infection, the cells were analyzed by flow cytometry to determine the percentage of infected (vRNP-positive) cells in the transfected (MX1-posi-
As expected, the majority of wild type MX1-expressing cells had very low vRNP levels, whereas most of the MX1T69A-expressing cells were infected (Fig. 6). In the absence of rapalog, most of the FRB*-MX1-expressing cells were infected (Fig. 6). However, when rapalog was added 1 h before, at the same time as or 1 h after infection, up to 50% of FRB*-MX1-expressing cells displayed very low vRNP levels, suggesting that these cells, the virus failed to replicate (Fig. 6). FRB*-MX1 loses its ability to suppress vRNP expression when rapalog is added 4 h after the start of infection (Fig. 6). Taken together, FRB*-MX1, which is activated by rapalog shortly before or after infection, can inhibit influenza A virus replication.

**Activated FRB*-MX1 Disrupts the PB2-NP Interaction**—We previously reported that mouse MX1 interferes with the interaction of PB2 and NP, which correlates with its antiviral activity (14). This reduced PB2-NP interaction could be the result of an active disruption of the PB2-NP interaction in pre-existing vRNPs or the result of inhibition of the formation of new vRNPs. It is very difficult to distinguish between these two possibilities, because either way, this implies that MX1 blocks infection at an early step. Either the very small amount of incoming vRNPs is inactivated by MX1, or the incoming vRNPs remain associated and newly produced vRNPs are prevented from being formed by MX1. The conditionally activatable FRB*-MX1 variant described above now allowed vRNP formation to proceed for a certain amount of time before the addition of rapalog, to initiate activation of its antiviral activity. In this way, it is possible to investigate the effect of MX1 on a large enough pool of pre-existing vRNPs.

**FIGURE 3.** Confocal microscopy images of transfected HEK293T cells. A, HEK293T cells were transfected with 75 ng of the respective Mx1 constructs (Mx1, FRB*-MX1, MX1-FRB*, MX1T69A, FRB*-MX1T69A) or with F-NLS-FKBP. After 24 h, the cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100, and stained for MX1 (green) or FLAG (F-NLS-FKBP) (red). Cell nuclei were visualized with Hoechst (blue). Images were recorded with a confocal microscope (Leica Sp5 AOBS confocal system). B, FRB*-MX1 and F-NLS-FKBP were co-localized. HEK293T cells were transfected with 75 ng of F-NLS-FKBP and 75 ng of the respective MX1 constructs (MX1, FRB*-MX1, MX1-FRB*, MX1T69A, or FRB*-MX1T69A). Six hours after transfection, cells were treated with 100 nm rapalog (in ethanol) or with the equivalent volume of ethanol (no rapalog). Twenty-four hours after transfection, the cells were fixed, permeabilized, and stained as described in A.
NP-PB2V5 interaction, but only when both F-NLS-FKBP and rapalog were present. Interestingly, disruption of the NP-PB2V5 complex in the presence of FRB*-MX1 was apparent even when rapalog was added only 15 min before cell lysis. Taken together, this suggests that activated FRB*-MX1 can disrupt pre-existing viral PB2-NP interactions.

Discussion

Mouse MX1 inhibits influenza virus replication by blocking primary transcription (23). However, until now the details of the antiviral mechanism of MX1 have remained elusive. We and others previously reported that the presence of mouse MX1 prevents the interaction between the influenza A virus vRNPs are strongly reduced. This makes it technically very difficult to address whether MX1 prevents vRNP assembly or (also) inactivates pre-existing vRNP complexes.

Mammalian cells that express mouse MX1 suppress influenza A virus replication and are highly resistant to influenza A virus infection, which means that de novo synthesis and assembly of vRNPs are strongly reduced. This makes it technically very difficult to address whether MX1 prevents vRNP assembly or (also) inactivates pre-existing vRNP complexes. We therefore aimed to design an antivirally inactive MX1 variant that could be rapidly activated by a small compound stimulus.

To generate such a conditional MX1 variant, we made use of the inducible heterodimerization between FRB* and FKBP in the presence of the cell-permeable small compound rapalog. We explored two conditional MX1 variants, i.e. one with the FRB* domain fused at the N terminus (FRB*-MX1) and one with an FRB* domain at the C terminus (MX1-FRB*). As such, both variants were inactive in a PR8 minireplicon assay, suggesting that the addition of the FRB* domain hinders the antiviral activity of the MX1 protein. However, in the presence of F-NLS-FKBP and rapalog, the variant with the FRB* domain at the N terminus regained antiviral activity almost to a similar level as the wild type MX1 protein. The antiviral activity of FRB*-MX1 was dependent on the presence of both F-NLS-FKBP and rapalog, and positively correlated with the dose of rapalog. The activatable phenotype of the FRB*-MX1 variant was also confirmed in a PR8 infection experiment. Also, during infection, antiviral activity of FRB*-MX1 was dependent on the presence of both F-NLS-FKBP and rapalog. Nevertheless, the resulting antiviral activity appeared to be lower than that of wild type MX1 (Fig. 6). This is not unexpected because newly translated MX1 in pCAXL-Mx1-transfected cells is immediately active.

To further corroborate that the activated FRB*-MX1 variant functionally resembles the wild type MX1 protein, we investigated the binding of FRB*-MX1 with a viral target, i.e. the NP protein. For this, we performed a co-immunoprecipitation experiment in cells expressing NP and FRB*-MX1 with or without F-NLS-FKBP and in the absence or presence of rapalog. This experiment revealed that FRB*-MX1 could bind to NP, but only in its active form, i.e. in the presence of F-NLS-FKBP and rapalog. Finally, we also performed a co-immunoprecipitation experiment to investigate the effect of FRB*-MX1 on the PB2-NP interaction. This experiment showed that activated FRB*-MX1 was able to inhibit the PB2-NP interaction to a similar level as the wild type MX1 protein. Taken together, these results suggest that the active form of FRB*-MX1, i.e. in complex with F-NLS-FKBP and rapalog, functionally resembles the wild type MX1 protein.

As soon as 5 min after the addition of rapalog, we observed a clear interaction between F-NLS-FKBP and FRB*-MX1, and this interaction became more pronounced over time. This suggests that the heterodimerization of F-NLS-FKBP and FRB*-MX1 occurs very fast after the addition of rapalog. Such a fast activation of FRB*-MX1 is crucial to investigate the effect of FRB*-MX1 on the PB2-NP interaction in existing vRNPs independent of its effect on the formation of new vRNPs. In line with this, the interaction between PB2 and NP disappeared, even if rapalog was added for only 15 min to cells that expressed FRB*-MX1 and F-NLS-FKBP. This suggests that FRB*-MX1 is activated very rapidly, and actively disturbs pre-existing vRNPs. Taken together, given that activated FRB*-MX1 functionally resembles its wild type counterpart in terms of inhibiting influenza A virus replication, this suggests that wild type mouse
MX1 can target the incoming influenza A vRNPs and actively disturb them.

The potency of activated FRB*-MX1 to inhibit influenza A virus in the context of an infection was also studied. This experiment showed that FRB*-MX1 could inhibit influenza infection if it was activated at the latest 1 h after infection. If rapalog was added 4 h after infection, FRB*-MX1 could no longer suppress the expression of newly produced vRNPs. This result is not unexpected, if one keeps in mind that mouse MX1 is only active in the nucleus. After virus entry, the vRNPs are transported to the cell nucleus where viral transcription and replication take place. After a few hours, these vRNPs are then transported to the cell cytoplasm and cell membrane, where they assemble into new virus particles. As MX1 is present in the nucleus, it can target the vRNPs only when these are also present in the nucleus. Thus, MX1 needs to be activated before or at the same time as the vRNPs are imported or are present in the nucleus, and before they are transported out of the nucleus. Therefore, our results indicate that mouse MX1 can actively disturb the PB2-NP interaction within existing vRNPs in the nucleus. To investigate the effect of MX1 later in infection, another read-out than NP expression is needed to measure antiviral activity. It could be interesting to investigate whether MX1, for example, could inhibit the export of vRNPs out of the nucleus.

We showed that the antiviral activity of FRB*-MX1 is activated in the presence of F-NLS-FKBP and rapalog. Exactly how FRB*-MX1 is activated by rapalog in the presence of F-NLS-FKBP remains elusive. FRB*-MX1 can still enter the nucleus, and its localization is not altered in the presence of F-NLS-FKBP.
FKBP and upon the addition of rapalog. This suggests that the activation mechanism is not based on an altered localization. An interesting observation is that FRB*-MX1 is not able to bind the influenza NP protein until it is activated. This could mean that the FRB* domain shields the NP interaction interface of MX1, or alters its conformation and thereby prevents its interaction with NP. This suggests that the presence of F-NLS-FKBP and rapalog alters or stabilizes the conformation of FRB*-MX1, allowing its interaction with NP. Another possibility, which is not mutually exclusive, is that the addition of the FRB* domain to MX1 alters its conformation, resulting in a loss of GTPase activity, leading to a loss in antiviral activity. The addition of F-NLS-FKBP and rapalog could then restore the natural conformation of MX1, leading to an active GTPase protein.

Although we assume that the FRB* domain destabilizes or alters the conformation of MX1, this disturbance may be only partial. FRB*-MX1 still localizes to distinct spots in the nucleus. In addition, when FRB*-MX1 and the wild type MX1 are co-expressed in the minireplicon system, the FRB*-MX1 protein has a dominant negative effect on the antiviral activity of the wild type MX1 protein, suggesting that both proteins can still form dimers (Fig. 1D). In contrast to FRB*-MX1, the opposite variant MX1-FRB* could not be activated in the presence of F-NLS-FKBP and rapalog, which correlated with strongly reduced expression levels. This could suggest that the addition of the FRB* domain at the C terminus of MX1 has a more profound destabilizing effect, resulting in protein degradation. This loss of function could not be reverted by F-NLS-FKBP and rapalog. Taken together, we described the generation of an activatable MX1 protein, FRB*-MX1, which in its active form functionally resembles the wild type MX1 protein. We used this conditional MX1 variant to show that active MX1 protein can actively disrupt the PB2-NP interaction in existing vRNPs.

**Experimental Procedures**

**Cells**—HEK293T cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 2 mM l-glutamine, 0.4 mM sodium pyruvate, non-essential amino acids, 100 units/ml penicillin, and 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin. Madin-Darby canine kidney cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 2 mM l-glutamine, non-essential amino acids, 100 units/ml penicillin, and 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin.

**Viruses**—Mouse-adapted PR8 (H1N1 (14)) was propagated by multicycle growth on Madin-Darby canine kidney cell monolayers in the presence of trypsin (2 μg/ml) and concentrated from the culture supernatant by centrifugation at 25,000 × g for 16 h at 4 °C. Pelleted virions were resuspended in phosphate-
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buffered saline containing 20% glycerol, aliquoted, and stored at −80 °C until use.

Plasmids—The mammalian expression plasmids pCAXL-Mx1, -Mx1T69A, -PB1, -PB2, PB2V5, -PA, and -NP and the pH-NSLuc influenza A polymerase reporter plasmid have been described (14). The pRL-CMV plasmid (Promega, catalog number E2261) contains a Renilla luciferase gene under the control of a CMV promoter and was used to normalize for transfection efficiency. FRB* carrying the T2098I mutation (20) and FKBP-coding plasmids were a kind gift from Dr. Bram van Raam (Ghent University and VIB). The FRB*-MX1 (WT or T69A) and MX1 (WT or T69A)-FRB* fusions were generated by fusion PCR, using the vectors pCAXL-Mx1 (WT or T69A) and an FRB*-containing plasmid as templates. The fusion proteins were cloned into pCAXL between XhoI and MluI restriction sites. F-NLS-FKBP was generated by adding the coding information for a FLAG tag (DYKDDDDK) and the nuclear localization signal of SV40 large T antigen (PKKKRKV) 5′ of the coding information for FKBP, and the fusion was subsequently cloned into pCAXL between XhoI and MluI restriction sites. The resulting plasmids were named pCAXL-FRB*-Mx1 (WT or T69A), pCAXL-Mx1 (WT or T69A)-FRB*, and pCAXL-F-NLS-FKBP (Fig. 1).

Influenza A Virus Minireplicon System—HEK293T cells were seeded at 5 × 10⁴ cells per well in 24-well plates 24 h before transfection. Transfections were performed in triplicate using the calcium phosphate precipitation method. To determine the effect of different MX1 variants on the influenza A RNA-dependent RNA polymerase complex activity, as reported by the firefly luciferase levels, 75 ng of the respective MX1 expression vectors were co-transfected with plasmids pCAXL-PB1, -PB2, -PA, and -NP (25 ng each), firefly luciferase reporter pHW-NSLuc (100 ng), and pRL-CMV (25 ng). In addition, 75 ng of pCAXL or pCAXL-F-NLS-FKBP was co-transfected. At different time points after transfection, cells were treated or not with different concentrations of A/C Heterodimerizer (Clontech, catalog number 635057; hereafter named rapalog) or vehicle (ethanol) as indicated in the figure legends. Cells were lysed 48 h after transfection with luciferase lysis buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, 2 mM DTT, 2 mM CDTA, 10% glycerol, and 1% Triton X-100). Luciferase activity in these lysates was measured by using the Dual-Luciferase® Reporter Assay System (Promega, catalog number E-1960) and a GloMax® 96 Microplate Luminometer (Promega). The ratio of the firefly and Renilla luciferase activities (firefly/Renilla luciferase × 1000) was calculated to normalize for transfection efficiency. Expression of transfected MX1 or MX1 fusion constructs, NP and F-NLS-FKBP, in the cell lysates was confirmed by Western blotting analysis.

Antibodies and Chemicals—A rabbit polyclonal antiserum raised against the C-terminal part of mouse MX1 has been described (14). The monoclonal anti-V5 used in co-immunoprecipitation was from Pierce (catalog number MA5-15253), the monoclonal anti-V5-HRP antibody used for Western blots was from Invitrogen (catalog number R96125), and the monoclonal anti-FLAG® M2 was purchased from Sigma (catalog number F3165). Monoclonal anti-influenza A virus NP clones A1 and A3 (ascites blend, mouse, NR-4282) and polyclonal anti-influenza virus ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes, A/Scotland/840/74 (H3N2) (antiseraum, goat, NR-3133), were obtained from the National Institutes of Health Biodefense and Emerging Infections Research Resources Repository (NIAID, National Institutes of Health). The fluorescently labeled antibodies Alexa Fluoro® 488 donkey anti-rabbit IgG (catalog number A21206), Alexa Fluoro® 594 donkey anti-mouse IgG (catalog number A21203), and Alexa Fluoro® 647 donkey anti-goat IgG (catalog number 21447) were from Life Technologies Europe B.V. N-Ethylmaleimide (NEM) was obtained from Sigma (catalog number E-3876) and dissolved in ethanol at a concentration of 2 mM. Protease inhibitor cocktail tablets were from Roche Applied Science (catalog number 11 873 580 001). Rapalog (also called A/C Heterodimerizer) dissolved in ethanol was purchased from Chlontech (catalog number 635057).

Immunofluorescence Microscopy—HEK293T cells were seeded a 5 × 10⁴ cells per well on glass coverslips in 24-well plates. Twenty-four hours later, the cells were transfected using the calcium phosphate precipitation method with 75 ng of pCAXL-Mx1, pCAXL-FRB*-Mx1, and pCAXL-F-NLS-FKBP together with pCAXL-Mx1, pCAXL-F-NLS-FKBP (Fig. 1). In another set of experiments, HEK293T cells were transfected with pCAXL-F-NLS-FKBP together with pCAXL-Mx1, pCAXL-F-NLS-FKBP, and pCAXL-F-NLS-FKBP (Fig. 1). Six hours later, the cells were treated with 100 nM rapalog or vehicle (as control). Twenty-four hours after transfection, the cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100, and stained with rabbit anti-MX1 serum (diluted 1/1000) followed by Alexa Fluoro® 488-labeled donkey anti-rabbit IgG (diluted 1/600). F-NLS-FKBP was visualized with anti-FLAG monoclonal antibody (diluted 1/400) followed by Alexa Fluoro® 594-labeled donkey anti-mouse IgG (diluted 1/600). Cell nuclei were visualized with Hoechst (Invitrogen, catalog number H21492; diluted 1/1000). Images were recorded with a confocal microscope (Leica Sp5 AOBS confocal system) using a 63× HCX PL Apo 1.4 oil immersion objective. A bandpass filter of 510−555 nm was positioned before the detector to measure the fluorescence of Alexa Fluoro® 488 after excitation with an argon 488-nm laser.

Co-immunoprecipitation—To study the interaction of MX1 with NP, HEK293T cells (seeded at 1.2 × 10⁶ cells per 9-cm dish) were transfected using the calcium phosphate precipitation method with 0.5 μg of pCAXL or pCAXL-NP, 1 μg of pCAXL-Mx1 or pCAXL-FRB*-Mx1, and 1 μg of pCAXL or pCAXL-F-NLS-FKBP. At different time points after transfection, the cells were treated with 100 nM rapalog (in ethanol) or vehicle (as control). Total lysates were prepared 18 h later in 600 μl of low salt lysis buffer, pH 7.2, containing 25 mM NEM (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.2, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 1% NP-40, 25 mM NEM, and a protease inhibitor cocktail). The cells were lysed for 20 min on ice and centrifuged for 3 min at 16,000 × g to remove insoluble material. NP was immunoprecipitated from 135 μl of the cleared lysates with monoclonal anti-NP for 3 h at 4 °C. Immune complexes were collected by incubation for 1 h at 4 °C in the presence of protein G-Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare, catalog number 17-0618-01) followed by centrifugation. Immunoprecipitates were washed six times with high-salt lysis buffer, pH 7.2 (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.2, 500 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, and 1% NP-40). Proteins were
eluted from the beads by heating for 10 min at 95 °C in 2X Laemmli buffer. Finally, lysates and immunoprecipitated fractions were separated by SDS-PAGE (8% acrylamide), and MX1 proteins, NP, and F-NLS-FKBP were visualized by Western blotting with antibodies directed against MX1, NP (polycystic goat anti-NP), and FLAG tag, respectively.

To analyze the interaction between PB2 and NP, HEK293T cells (seeded at 1.2 × 10⁶ cells per 9-cm dish) were transfected using calcium phosphate precipitation with expression plasmids pCAXL-PB1, -PB2V5, -PA, and -NP and pHW-NSLuc (100 ng each) together with 1 μg of pCAXL or pCAXL-Mx1 or with 1 μg of pCAXL-FRB*-Mx1 and 1 μg of pCAXL or pCAXL-F-NLS-FKBP. After 6 h or at specific times before lysis (as mentioned in the figure legend), the cells were treated with 100 mM rapamycin or vehicle, and 18 h later, cell lysates were prepared as described above. The cleared lysates were split in two. Monoclonal anti-V5 antibody was added to one part of the lysate, and anti-NP monoclonal antibodies were added to the other part. After a 3-h incubation at 4 °C, immune complexes were isolated with protein G-Sepharose beads and visualized by Western blotting with antibodies directed against MX1, anti-V5-HRP, and NP (polycystic goat anti-NP).

Flow Cytometric Analysis of Influenza A Virus-infected Cells—HEK293T cells (5 × 10⁵ cells per 6-cm dish) were transfected with 200 ng of pCAXL or with 200 ng of pCAXL-Mx1, -Mx1T69A, -FRB*-Mx1, or FRB*-MXT69A. In addition, 200 ng of pCAXL-F-NLS-FKBP or 200 ng of pCAXL was co-transfected. The FuGENE HD transfection reagent (Promega catalog no. H11003) was used for transfection according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After 30 h, the cells were infected with mouse-adapted PR8 at an MOI of 1 for 16 h. At different time points before or after infection, the cells were treated with 100 mM rapamycin or with vehicle as control. Next, the cells were collected, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100. The cells were stained for MX1 (with anti-MX1, diluted 1/1000) and influenza virus NP (with anti-NP, diluted 1/2000). Alexa Fluor® 488-labeled donkey anti-rabbit IgG (diluted 1/600) and Alexa Fluor® 633-labeled donkey anti-goat IgG (diluted 1/12000) were used as secondary antibodies. The number of MX1- and RNP-positive cells was determined in GenStat. Infected cell proportion were logit-transformed in GenStat. Infected cell proportion were logit-transformed in GenStat. The data were analyzed using FACSDiva (BD Biosciences) and FlowJo X (TreeStar) software.

Statistics—The data were analyzed with GraphPad Prism 7 (Figs. 1 and 2) or with GenStat software (Fig. 6). For the PR8 minireplicon, the relative luciferase activities were compared by using a two-way analysis of variance, with the replicates of experiments being set as blocks. Significance was assessed by an F test. For the infection assay (Fig. 6), a logistic regression was performed on the data of infected cell proportions, as implemented in GenStat. Infected cell proportion were logit-transformed to provide additivity and stabilize the variance. The significance of the activity of the different MX1 constructs was assessed by an F test.
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