Detection of Transferrin Receptor CD71 on a Shear Horizontal Surface Acoustic Wave Biosensor
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ABSTRACT A semi-empirical model was applied to estimate the frequency shift of a shear-horizontal surface-acoustic-wave (SH-SAW) biosensor for detecting a disease-related biomarker antigen transferrin receptor (CD71) in the sample. In the simulation to investigate its sensitivity, a shift of the SH-SAW resonant frequency occurred by applying an incremental surface mass density change on the surface. The semi-empirical model was proposed and developed by using the experimental and numerical results to relate the concentration of the biomarker to the frequency shift. Results indicated that the thickness of the SiO2 guiding layer affects the sensitivity of SH-SAW sensing, and the dependence is non-monotonically. The SH-SAW sensor was used for specific detection of biotin at a varied concentration. With the concentration of the targeted antigen in the range 0.4 ∼ 4.2 μg/mL, a typical exponential relation was found between the quantitative target and the frequency shift. Measurement results showed that the mass-loading effect of the antibody-antigen has a reliable response with a sensitivity of 0.94 kHz/(μg/mL). Effects of the sample flow rate on the antigen-antibody interaction and thus the frequency shift of the SH-SAW sensor were also evaluated. It is demonstrated that the proposed model provides a useful approach to analyze effectively the frequency shift dependence on the concentration and the flow rates of sensed molecules in a flow-type SH-SAW sensor.

INDEX TERMS Shear-horizontal surface-acoustic-wave (SH-SAW) biosensors, transferrin receptor, frequency shift, CD71.

I. INTRODUCTION

Surface acoustic-wave (SAW) sensors have been widely applied in biochemical fields such as biomarker detection [1]. In these devices, a transmitting interdigital transducer (IDT) generates a SAW on a piezoelectric substrate, which propagates through a delay line to the receiving IDT [2]. The SAW properties such as frequency, phase and velocity, may change corresponding to the mass loading and the perturbation on the functionalized sensing film, therefore makes the sensor able to detect specific biomarker [3]. On the other hand, a shear-horizontal wave is obtained when a wave-guiding layer of appropriate thickness and piezoelectric substrate properties is determined. The shear-horizontal fluctuation analysis is suitable for an interface between a wave-guiding layer and an external solid or liquid medium being measured. Therefore, the shear-horizontal SAW (SH-SAW) device especially has benefits in biological applications in liquids [4]. In fact SH-SAW device have been successfully applied to detect bacteria, the DNA hybrid reaction and cancer cells [5]–[7].

The sensitivity of a SH-SAW device under mass loading is determined by the acoustic velocity and thickness of the wave-guiding layer [8]–[10]. The optimal thickness of the guiding layer depends on its mechanical properties, which can be determined theoretically or experimentally [11], [12]. For example, finite-element method (FEM) have effectively been used to analyze the frequency response on applying a voltage to propagate at the surface of the device [13]–[15]. The results indicate that the sensitivity of the device could be
predicted reasonably accurate with varied guiding layers and samples. The mass sensitivity and other electromechanical properties as well as the optimization of SH-SAW sensors have been also demonstrated by using FEM analysis, and were validated by experimental results [16]. Specifically, in a previous study, a SH-SAW biosensor was used to capture the antibody of epidermal growth factors (EGF) reliably and with great sensitivity. [17]. A semi-empirical model for the relation of frequency shift and the concentration of the specific biomarker was proposed for rapid estimation based on the numerical simulation. The model is useful to estimate accurately the frequency shift of the SH-SAW sensor while diminishing the complication of the analysis of mass transport on the sensing film.

An SH-SAW sensor can be functionalized by applying self-assembled film with streptavidin molecules modified on a sensing surface to bind a specific biotinylated antibody of Transferrin receptor, for example, CD71. The streptavidin-biotin system has been intensively investigated and is widely applied in biochemical fields utilizing the high affinity between streptavidin and biotin in antigen-antibody interactions[18], [19]. The signal can be amplified under a multilevel combination process in immunoassay research [20]. Transferin is the main iron-transfer protein in plasma. It imports the iron from transferrin into cells on interacting with a particular protein membrane receptor, CD71 [21]. CD71 expression is altered to become a likely predictive feature of multiple cancer cells or disease signs [22]–[24]. A determination of the quantity of CD71 has hence significant benefits for disease diagnostics and clinical applications. Standard assays of CD71 cells are currently based on immunological methods such as immunoradiometric assays (IRMA) [25], enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) [26] and immunonephelometry [27]. These methods are typically time-consuming, complicated and expensive. We hence investigated the feasibility of applying a SH-SAW sensor to detect CD71 at various concentrations in biofluids.

With the progress and development of immunological technology, the rate of the antigen-antibody reaction which is involved in a clinical diagnosis or a specific treatment is becoming increasingly important. There are many factors affecting the reaction rate and thus the sensitivity of the sensor, such as concentration, temperature, pressure and the reactant itself, which leads to a complicated mass-transport analysis. Several studies of SH-SAW devices have investigated optimizing the sensitivity by a determination of an optimal thickness of a guiding layer or increasing the microstructure designed [28]–[30]. In this work, we analyzed a SH-SAW sensor to investigate factors influence the mass sensitivity using numerical simulation. Representative devices were subsequently fabricated to perform experiments for validating the simulation results. On the basis of a previous research [17], a semi-empirical model was proposed to correlate the concentration of biomolecules with the frequency shift of the SH-SAW sensor.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. SIMULATION METHOD

To investigate the sensitivity and the shear horizontal wave characteristics, a FEM analysis was performed (COMSOL Multiphysics) based on a 3D piezoelectric-effect model. The constitutive equations were solved to relate the applied electric potential and the induced mechanical displacement. Details of the theory for piezoelectric analysis are available in [31].

Fig. 1 shows the geometry of the device simulated in this work. The basic geometric definition of the SH-SAW is similar as for a previous approach [17] which composed of a delay line SH-SAW device with a 36° Y-X LiTaO3 substrate and a SiO2 guiding layer deposited on the sensing surface. Detailed parameters of the IDTs are listed in Table 1. The characteristic resonance wavelength (λc) corresponds to a fundamental oscillation frequency from 120.6 MHz to 123.8 MHz. The sensing area was defined in the middle of these two sets of IDT. The simulation structure has a width of 2.074 mm (61 λc) in the z-direction. The delay line between the input and the output IDTs has a distance 4420 μm. The substrate thickness...
is 500 μm, while the thickness of the guiding layer was varied to investigate its effect.

For generating a SAW, electric signal 5 V was applied to the odd electrode fingers of the input IDT while the even fingers were grounded. The output signals were acquired at the output IDT. A PML of thickness 6λc was set from the boundaries of the device to minimize the reflection of the wave from the boundaries of the device [32]. Euler angles (0°, −54°, 0°) was set to define the orientation of the substrate with respect to the global coordinate in the simulation. Based on preliminary tests of the grid convergence, the mesh consisting of 90,772 elements was adopted for further simulation.

A dual-port circuit model was solved in the frequency domain. With voltage signals applied to the terminals, the extracted current is present as admittance. The relation between admittance (Y-parameter) and scattering parameter (S-parameter) establishes an equivalent circuit model [33].

To obtain the mass sensitivity of the SH-SAW device, the frequency shift (∆f1) with adding an incremental surface mass density (∆ρ, kg/m2) on the SiO2 layer was calculated to present a mass loading of the device with an Eigen-mode analysis.

For the proposed semi-empirical model [17], the incremental surface mass density with respect to the biomolecule concentration (∆ρ) for a specific SH-SAW sensor corresponding to the concentration of a target molecule is determined by applying the relation:

\[ \Delta \rho = k_1 e^{-k_2/c_0}/m_{\text{sub}} \]  

in which c0 is the molecule concentration of the measuring sample, msub is the mass per area of the substrate, k1 and k2 are empirical coefficients to be evaluated by comparing the simulation and experimental results. With this model, the dependence of ∆f on ∆ρ is readily converted to the dependence of ∆f on c0 after the corresponding empirical coefficients are determined.

### B. FABRICATION OF SH-SAW SENSORS

The SH-SAW chip with a delay-line structure was fabricated with a typical MEMS method, including lithography development, e-beam evaporator and lift-off on 36° Y-X black LiTaO3 of thickness 0.5 mm. An Au/Cr metal layer (200/20 nm) was deposited with an e-beam evaporator including the sensing area and IDT. Thicknesses 0.5, 0.8 and 1.4 μm of a SiO2 guiding layer were then deposited on the delay lines and IDT with plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition.

### C. SURFACE FUNCTIONALIZATION

To capture specifically and selectively the target protein, the surface of the sensing section was functionalized as illustrated in Fig. 2. The chip was first treated with O2 plasma to enrich the surface with −OH bonds [34]. The sensing surface was then silanized with immersing in a solution of 3-mercaptopropyl trimethoxysilane (MPTS, 4%, Sigma, 175617) in ethanol (99.5%) for 2 h. The surface with hydroxyl groups underwent a condensation with the methoxy groups of MPTS and was covered with sulfdryl (−SH) [35]. After rinsing with pure ethanol and drying with N2, a cross-linker of 4-maleimidobutyric acid N-hydroxysuccinimide ester (GMBS, 200 µg/mL, Sigma, 63175) in ethanol was connected with the sulfydryl for covalent bonding of the streptavidin (200 µg/mL, Biosynth Carbosynth, 9013-20-1) diluted in phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.4, PBS) and immersed for 5 h [36], followed by PBS rinsing and nitrogen blow-drying. To activate the sensing area, biotinylated antibodies of Transferrin receptor CD71 (100 µg/mL, Sigma, SAB4700517) were assembled on the sensing surface of the sensor device for 3 h [37].

### D. SH-SAW SENSING SYSTEM

Fig. 3 shows the experiment setup. The fluid was pumped into the reaction cell with a microfluidic system (Fluitgent MFCS-EZ) composed of a PMMA flow channel allowing biomolecules to flow on the sensing surface continuously and stably with air-tight. These devices were connected in a positive-feedback circuit, resulting in SAW oscillators for signal detection. A power supply (Keysight E3611A) provided the input voltage. A frequency counter (Keysight 53220A) was used to measure the frequency output from the readout electronics package. The instant frequency shift was recorded with a universal counter control and automation program (Keysight BenchVue). Other details for this dual-delay-line measurements could be found in reference [17].

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### A. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

The corresponding relation between the S-parameter and the Y-parameter can be determined with the S-parameters.
obtained from the simulation and experiments. In the experiment, the S-parameters in the SAW delay line were measured with a vector network analyzer (VNA). A comparison between the simulation results and experimental measurements illustrated in figure 4 shows a reasonably good agreement on the frequency spectrum. The simulation results of $S_{11}$ show a pronounced resonance frequency (122.6 MHz) similar to the measured results (122.3 MHz) for the designed center resonance frequency. The typical insertion loss (IL) $S_{21}$ of the fabricated SH-SAW devices was approximately $-13$ dB and demonstrated reasonably good agreement between the simulation and experimental results near the center frequency.

**B. RESPONSE FREQUENCY OF A SH-SAW BIOSENSOR WITH BIOTIN PROTEIN**

In the experiment, biotin protein at varied concentration was used to detect the response frequency with guiding layers of three thicknesses to evaluate the mass sensitivity. The incremental surface mass density ($\rho$, kg/m$^2$) of the sensing surface varied from 0 to $5 \times 10^{-6}$ kg/m$^2$ was applied to investigate the corresponding frequency shift of SiO$_2$ with varied thickness. Numerical results of the Eigen-mode response sensitivity of device are shown in Fig. 5. The slope of each line represents the mass sensitivity of each thickness. The sensitivity of the device varies non-monotonically with respect to the thickness of the guiding layer. The coupled resonance of appropriate guiding layer thickness can improve the mass sensitive of the device [38], as was also illustrated from the results shown in Fig. 5.

The frequency shift changes with respect to the mass loading are evaluated to determine the mass sensitivity of the sensor. The incremental surface mass density ($\rho$, kg/m$^2$) of the sensing surface varied from 0 to $5 \times 10^{-6}$ kg/m$^2$ was applied to investigate the corresponding frequency shift of SiO$_2$ with varied thickness. Numerical results of the Eigen-mode response sensitivity of device are shown in Fig. 5. The slope of each line represents the mass sensitivity of each thickness. The sensitivity of the device varies non-monotonically with respect to the thickness of the guiding layer. The coupled resonance of appropriate guiding layer thickness can improve the mass sensitive of the device [38], as was also illustrated from the results shown in Fig. 5.

**FIGURE 3.** (a) Configurations of the dual SH-SAW biosensors. (b) Experiment apparatus. (c) The dual SH-SAW biosensors and the circuitry board (the insert).

**FIGURE 4.** Comparison of (a) $S_{11}$ and (b) $S_{21}$ between simulated and measured frequency responses in the range of major resonance frequencies. Arrows indicate the location of the center frequency.

**FIGURE 5.** Simulated results of frequency shift ($\Delta f$) with respect to incremental surface mass density ($\Delta \rho$).

**FIGURE 6.** Experimental results of frequency shift with varied concentration of biotin. Measured results are shown for thicknesses 0.5, 0.8 and 1.4 $\mu$m of the guiding layer; each data point represents an average of three measurements with standard deviation.
that the experiments for guiding layer thicknesses of 0.5, 0.8 and 1.4 μm were repeated more than fifteen times to ensure the repeatability of the data.

In all three cases the generated acoustic energy is efficiently transmitted with the guiding layer to the sensing surface, markedly increasing the device sensitivity [38], [39]. The measured results showed that the streptavidin-biotin reaction has a reliable response due to mass-loading effects. It is obvious that the mass sensitivity does not change monotonically with the thickness of the guiding layer. As predicted by the numerical simulation results shown in Fig. 5, the frequency shift is highest for the thickness of 1.4 μm and lowest for the thickness of 0.8 μm at a specific protein concentration. It is thus evident that the current numerical simulation is consistent with the experiments in terms of the characteristics of sensitivity, which enables the optimization of the sensor design by applying the simulation.

C. RESPONSE FREQUENCY OF A SH-SAW BIOSENSOR WITH CD71 PROTEIN

Before the interaction of the antigen and the antibody, the biotinylated antibody of CD71 was immobilized on the sensing film, which consisted of modified streptavidin molecules. The SH-SAW sensor with a guiding layer of thickness 0.5 μm SiO₂ was selected in this configuration. The flow rate of the sample containing CD71 protein is 2 μL/min. The frequency shift of the SH-SAW sensors varied with concentration of the CD71 antigen as shown in Fig. 7. The experiment for CD71 antigen measurement was repeated nineteen times and the standard deviation is shown on the figure. A typical exponential relation was found between the concentration and the frequency shift of the SH-SAW sensor. The sensitivity level is found to be 0.97 kHz/(μg/mL) for a biomarker concentration less than 1.66 μg/mL. A negative control measurement was performed using IgG protein. The maximum frequency shift of the negative control was approximately 100 Hz, which might be due to a small amount of molecules sticking to the surface. The experimental results for the CD71 protein showed a reliable response of this immunosensor to the mass-loading effects of the antibody-antigen reaction. It should also be noted that at low concentration (~0.5 μg/mL) the frequency shift is approximately 200 Hz. The influence from the noise showing in the negative control should be carefully considered in this range.

D. SEMI-EMPIRICAL CORRELATION MODEL FOR A SH-SAW BIOSENSOR

The simulated frequency shift corresponding to an increased mass density of the sensing surface, as shown in Fig. 5, can be converted to the frequency shift on CD71 concentration using Equation (1) with using the experimental results shown in Fig. 7 to evaluate \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \). Empirical coefficients are determined to be \( k_1 = 1.803 \times 10^{-8} \), \( k_2 = 1.265 \) and the mass sensitivity is \( 3.565 \times 10^8 \) Hz/m^2/kg in the current configuration. The response frequency shift correlated with the antibody-antigen reaction was measured at flow rates of 2, 10, 50 and 100 μL/min of the CD71 protein, and the empirical coefficients were determined correspondingly. As shown in Fig. 8(a), the proposed semi-empirical model demonstrate that the frequency shift increases with increasing the flow rate. It is possible that the pressure from of the flow added
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a virtual mass loading on the sensing surface with increasing flow rate which would affect the sensor response in addition to the antibody-antigen reaction. Another possibility is the affinities in the analyte binding for the immobilized antibody of a surface varied with the flow rate [40]. This effect might result in a significant increasing binding rate when the flow rate is increased.

From the results of $k_1$ and $k_2$ shown in Fig. 8(b), an exponential and a linear trend were found, respectively. It should be pointed out that once those empirical coefficients were evaluated, the response frequency shift of the CD71 protein corresponding to varied flow rates and concentrations can be quickly calculated. of the SH-SAW biosensor.

IV. CONCLUSION

This research presented numerical simulation and experiments to investigate the mass sensitivity of a SH-SAW sensor and its frequency shift, for the detection of cancer-related biomarker antigen CD71. The center frequency was found to be 122.6 MHz and 122.3 MHz from simulation and measure-

The concentration of the targeted biomarker (CD71) varied from 0.66 to 4.16 $\mu$g/mL, a typical exponential relation was found between the concentration and the frequency shift of the SH-SAW sensor. The sensitivity level was found to be 974 Hz/($\mu$g/mL) for a biomarker concentration less than 1.66 $\mu$g/mL. The experimental results showed a clear response of this immuno sensor to the mass-loading effects of the antibody-antigen reaction. On the basis of the experimental and numerical results, a semi-empirical model was applied to determine the frequency shift corresponds to the concentration of the biomolecules. The empirical coefficients were further evaluated from correlating the experimental results at varied flow rate of the sample. The proposed model demonstrates a useful approach to analyze effectively the frequency shift dependence on the concentration of sensed molecules.
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