Over prescription of antibiotics in children with acute upper respiratory tract infections: A study on the knowledge, attitude and practices of non-specialized physicians in Egypt
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Abstract

Background
Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is currently one of the global public health threats. Increased antibiotic consumption in humans, animals, and agriculture has contributed directly to the spread of AMR. Upper respiratory tract infections (URIs) are one of the most common conditions treated by antibiotics, even if unnecessary as in cases of viral infections and self-limited conditions which represent the most cases of URIs. Investigating physicians’ knowledge, attitudes, and practice regarding antibiotic prescriptions in children with acute URIs may reflect the problem of antibiotic over prescription. This study aims to assess the problem in our community and provide information for further planning of appropriate interventions to optimize antibiotic prescriptions.

Methods
This is a cross-sectional study for all non-specialized physicians dealing with acute upper respiratory tract infections (URIs) in pediatrics sittings in Assiut district, Egypt. We used a self-administered questionnaire to assess physicians’ knowledge, attitudes, and practice. In addition, four clinical vignettes addressing different URI scenarios were included in the questionnaire to assess the patterns of antibiotic prescriptions in common cases.

Results
Our study included 153 physicians whose mean age was 32.2 ± 8.7, most of whom were pediatric residents in different health institutes in Assiut district. They had good knowledge as out of the 17 knowledge questions, the mean number of correct answers was 12.4 ± 2.9. Regarding their attitudes, mean attitude scores for inappropriate antibiotic prescribing were
low. However, of those scores, the responsibility of others had the highest score (3.8 ± 0.61). Prescribing practice in special conditions of URIs showed that 80% of participants prescribed antibiotics if fever continued for more than five days and 61.4% if the child had a yellowish or greenish nasal discharge. Among 612 clinical vignettes, 326 contained antibiotic prescriptions (53.3%), and appropriate antibiotic prescriptions represented only 8.3% overall.

Conclusions
Physicians dealing with acute URIs in outpatients’ clinics in the Assiut district have good knowledge about antibiotic use and resistance and demonstrate a good attitude toward appropriate antibiotic use. Although the percentage of inappropriate prescriptions in clinical vignettes is high, more research is required to investigate the factors of antibiotic inappropriate prescribing practice and non-adherence to guidelines. Also, it is essential to set up a national antibiotic stewardship program to improve antibiotic prescribing and contain antimicrobial resistance problems.

Background
One of the most important medical advancements of the 20th century was the development of antibiotics, which represent the largest class of medications. The development of antibiotics has benefited human society in the fight against microorganisms and saving millions of lives [1,2]. However, microorganisms such as bacteria are living organisms and their main objective is to survive and spread so when facing threats, they developed mechanisms to insure their survival. Although the antimicrobial resistance is a natural response and usually occurred by genetic modifications, but irrational human use of antibiotics facilitates and accelerates this process [3,4]. The human factors that accelerated emergence of AMR includes, antibiotic over-use and abuse, incorrect diagnosis and improper antibiotic prescribing, self-medication, bad healthcare environments, poor personal hygiene, and widespread agricultural use [1].

Antimicrobial resistance is a significant concern for global public health and a major threat to global health security, with an increasing number of resistant bacteria strains identified annually in both human and animal populations in developed and developing countries [5]. Based on a recent study of the global burden of antimicrobial resistance, it is estimated that global all-age death rate attributable to resistance was 16.4 /100,000. At the regional level, the death rate was highest in western sub-Saharan Africa (27.3 /100,000) and lowest in Australasia (6.5 /100,000). In our region -North Africa and Middle East- the death rate attributable to resistance estimated to be 11.2 /100,000. Another rate estimated in this study was disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs), globally the DALYs rate attributable to resistance was 618.7 /100,000 and in North Africa and Middle East was 429/100,000. The study also reported that, AMR all-age death rates were highest in LMICs, making AMR not only a major health problem globally but a particularly serious problem for some of the poorest countries in the world [6].

Policymakers, health organizations, and research institutes have advocated for greater control over the distribution and use of antibiotics in society, with a particular focus on antibiotic prescribers and dispensers [7]. Despite decades-long efforts to encourage the "rational use of drugs," such as the World Health Organization’s International Network for the Rational Use
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of Drugs program (WHO’s-INRUD), antibiotic use appears to be on the rise [8]. The joint effort of the WHO, World Organization for Animal Health (WOAH), and (FAO) Food and Agriculture Organization to define a Global Action Plan (GAP) led to five central objectives. The first prioritized raising awareness about antimicrobial resistance (AMR), and the fourth was optimizing antimicrobial use in humans and animals [7].

Antibiotics are among the most frequently recommended drugs in pediatric treatment worldwide. Antibiotic overuse is a significant public health issue and crucial in establishing antimicrobial resistance [9,10]. Besides the development of resistance, using excessive antibiotics in early life has been associated with microbiome alteration. Microbiome research and the recognition of the vital role of microbiota in human health have revealed the undesirable effects of using antibiotics, particularly, during the early years of life. Antibiotic use has evidenced to alter both adult and infant microbiome so that a short course of antibiotic can leave a long impact of 6 months on microbiota composition [11]. The microbial imbalance can cause serious long-term negative impact on health such as autoimmune diseases, metabolic diseases, and malnutrition [12–15]. Moreover, there are concerns regarding the abuse of broad-spectrum antibiotics such as Amoxicillin/clavulanate, even for diseases where their usage may be justifiable [16,17].

Upper respiratory tract infections (URIs) are among the leading causes of childhood morbidity. Additionally, it is one of the most common reasons for children seeking health care in outpatient settings, posing an enormous burden on society and the health care system [1]. Therefore, examining prescriptions for childhood URIs is a commonly accepted strategy to evaluate the rationality of antibiotic use [18].

In Egypt, the rationality of antibiotic use in such cases is not well studied. Besides, a few scattered studies were conducted in Egypt on antibiotic prescribing practice among physicians. In 2020, a cross-sectional study was conducted by inviting physicians to evaluate their knowledge, attitudes, and practice regarding antibiotics. In this study, 500 physicians were recruited from across Egypt’s governorates. The study revealed that Egyptian prescribers have considerable knowledge of antibiotics. However, there are low rates of good attitudes and proper practices toward the problem of AMR [19]. Furthermore, in Minya district - one of the upper Egypt governorates - a study has been conducted to determine attitudes and practices about antibiotic prescribing for outpatient acute respiratory infections. According to this study, there is a significant rate of unjustified outpatient antibiotic prescribing and dispensing [20]. Therefore, we conducted this study to investigate physicians’ knowledge, attitudes, and practice regarding antibiotic prescribing in children with acute upper respiratory tract infections, aiming to assess the problem in our community and provide information for further planning appropriate interventions to optimize antibiotic prescriptions in such cases.

**Methods**

**Study design and setting**

This cross-sectional study was conducted in Assiut district and targeted physicians dealing with outpatient pediatric cases. The current study is compliant with the "Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology Statement" (STROBE) checklist [21].

Assiut district is the central district of Assiut Governorate, one of the upper Egypt governorates, lying 375 km south of Cairo. Based on the last census in 2017, the population of Assiut district was 974,993 [22].

Outpatient clinics dealing with pediatric cases in Assiut district are distributed among the following centers and hospitals: rural and urban primary health units (14 rural units and 19 urban units); Ministry of Health hospitals (3 hospitals, Al-Iman General Hospital, Al-Shamla
Hospital, and Women and Children’s Hospital); and Assiut University Hospitals (Assiut University Children’s Hospital and ENT clinics from the main hospital).

Study population
Inclusion criteria for this study were any non-specialized physicians dealing with outpatients’ pediatric cases having acute URIs at study sites (GPs, pediatrics, or ENT residents). Physicians who did not work at outpatient clinics and those with a postgraduate degree were excluded.

As it is exploratory study and the primary aim was to study the situation of antibiotic prescribing practice, total coverage sampling technique was adopted and all eligible physicians in study area were invited to complete the questionnaire.

According to data from the directorate of the Ministry of Health in Assiut and Assiut University Hospital, there were 80 GPs at urban and rural primary health care (PHC) units, 35 pediatric residents, and 8 ENTs at MoHP hospitals. At Assiut University Hospitals, there were 50 pediatric and 9 ENT residents. Thus, the eligible physicians were estimated to be 182 physicians.

Study instrument
Data of the present study were collected through a self-administered questionnaire developed and designed by the investigator. For this, a search of primary studies and reviews published in English language was conducted on the PubMed, Medline and Cochrane databases from January 2010 to December 2021 using the following search term strategy: "antimicrobial resistance” OR “antibiotic prescription” AND "perception” OR “attitudes” OR "knowledge” AND “upper respiratory tract infections” AND “pediatrics” OR “Children”. Then evaluation of retrieved papers and tools was performed, and relevant tools was chosen based on our study objectives [19,23–25]. Also, clinical vignettes were developed to assess the antibiotic prescribing behavior of the participants.

The questionnaire consisted of four parts. First, socio-demographic and professional characteristics; this part includes personal and demographic factors related to physicians. Also, questions about their postgraduate studies, continuous medical education (CME), and factors influencing their prescriptions were included. The second part is knowledge questions; this part includes 17 items and responses selected as yes, no, or do not know. The questions were divided into two groups: knowledge of antibiotics to test the physicians’ background on antibiotics and their use (8 items), and knowledge of antibiotic resistance to test physicians’ knowledge of antibiotic resistance and its causes (9 items). The third part includes attitude questions; 27 items assessed five attitudes aspects according to the Teixeira Antibiotic Prescribing Behavioral Model [23]:

- Ignorance: a lack of concern for antibiotic resistance resulting from over-prescribing antibiotics (7 items).
- Responsibility of others: a belief that others (patients, governments, and other professionals) are responsible for the problem of antibiotic resistance (4 items).
- Indifference: a lack of motivation to change antibiotic prescribing practices (3 items).
- Complacency: prescribing antibiotics to satisfy patient demands and expectations (8 items).
- Fear: prescribing antibiotics for fear of losing patients or losing in potential disputes with patients (5 items).

The attitude questions were designed according to the five-point Likert scale, having options of "very disagree," "disagree," "neutral," "agree," and "very agree" for the first three
aspects (ignorance, responsibility of others, and indifference). However, for the last two aspects (complacency and fear), the scale having options of "never," "rarely," "sometimes," "often," and "always" was used. Cronbach’s alpha was used to calculate the reliability of attitude scales. The internal consistency (alpha) for all attitude scales was 0.80, indicating good consistency.

The fourth part includes two types of questions to assess physician practice. First, questions about their choice in specific conditions of upper respiratory tract infections and whether they will prescribe antibiotics "always," "sometimes," or "never" in such cases. Second, they were asked to assess four different clinical vignettes; for each case 7 items were evaluated, they were asked about the suggested diagnosis, if the antibiotic was needed, and if yes, what was the type and duration. They were also asked if they would prescribe injectable or combined antibiotics and if they will ask parents to comeback for follow-up.

Each case was assessed to see if it had an inappropriate antibiotic prescription or not based on WHO-aware guidelines, Nelson’s Pediatric Antimicrobial Therapy recommendations, and Assiut University Hospitals antibiotic guidelines [26–28]. In this study, the operational definition used for inappropriate prescription was the WHO inappropriate prescription definition. According to this definition, the he prescription is considered inappropriate according to the WHO definition in the following cases: a) if not indicated, b) if indicated but the wrong type and/or duration of the antibiotic has been prescribed, and c) use of injectable antibiotics or combination of antibiotics if there is no actual indication for this [29]. The clinical vignettes and recommended treatment are presented in Table 1.

Validity study questionnaire. Content validity of the instrument was evaluated in two different stages in accordance with published guidelines [30]. First is the development stage, which involves reviewing the literature to build the questionnaire and determine items. Second is the judgment stage, in which the professional opinion of pediatrics and public health experts is evaluated. This stage aimed to pre-test the questionnaire and assess the accuracy, clinical terminology, completeness, and meaning of all the statements for content validity. Also, face validity was assessed, which includes an evaluation of the grammar, clarity of language, organization, appropriateness, and logical sequence of the statements [31].

Ethics approval and consent to participate
The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Faculty of Medicine, Assiut University (IRB no.: 17200370) and registered on clinicaltrials.gov (NCT04127682). A formal permission letter was obtained from the Directorate of the Ministry of Health in Assiut.

| Case | Clinical Vignette | Suggested Diagnosis | Recommended Antibiotic type and duration |
|------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| 1    | A 5-year-old girl developed a high-grade fever for 2 days (39°C) and a sore throat. Two days before the fever, she had nasal flu and cough. On examination, the pharynx was congested; otherwise, no other remarkable findings. | Viral acute URIs | No antibiotic is needed |
| 2    | A playful one-and-half-year-old child had a runny nose for two days, followed by a fever (38°C). He had a dry cough and, as per his mother, could not sleep because of his stuffy nose. | Common Cold | No antibiotic is needed |
| 3    | A 4-year-old child complained of ear pain. He had a temperature of 38.9°C. He had a cold for several days but was eating well, and his activity was normal. | Acute Otitis media | Amoxicillin OR Amoxicillin + Clavulanic acid for 5 days |
| 4    | A 9-year-old boy developed a high-grade fever of 3 days (40°C). He complained that he could not eat because of throat pain. On examination, the pharynx was congested with exudate on the tonsils. Also, there were palpable cervical lymph nodes. | Acute Follicular Tonsilitis | Amoxicillin OR Phenoxymethyl Penicillin orally for 10 days OR Benzathine Penicillin IM injection as a single dose. |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0277308.t001
The questionnaire cover letter informed participants about the purpose of the study, ensured all information would remain confidential and informed them that consent to participate was implied upon their agreement to participate and by signing of cover letter and completing the questionnaire.

**Pilot study**

A pilot study was carried out on 20 physicians before data collection, and their results were not included in the analysis. The pilot aimed to test the reliability of the questionnaire by the test-retest method. It also aimed to estimate the required time for implementing the questionnaire, which was 20–30 minutes. Therefore, an interval of 2 to 4 weeks was allowed to elapse between the first and second administration of the questionnaire.

**Data collection**

Data were collected from September 2021 to February 2022 as this is the time of year with increased URIs (fall and winter seasons). Two well-trained research assistants from the research office of the Directorate of the Ministry of Health in Assiut helped the researcher to distribute the questionnaires in MoHP hospitals and PHC units and to watch, keep understanding and completeness of data, and answer all the questions asked by the physicians to ensure the accuracy and completeness of data. Aim of the study was explained to physicians and instructions to complete the questionnaire has been given.

**Statistics**

Data were entered in Microsoft Excel 2010 and then transferred to Stata/IC 16.1 for further data management and analysis. Incomplete questionnaires with more than 50% missing data or incomplete responses to clinical vignettes were excluded from the analysis. Graphic presentations were conducted using Microsoft Excel 2010.

The frequency and percentage of correct answers were calculated for the knowledge score. For each aspect, the mean score of each participant was calculated and ranged from 1 to 5; the higher the score, the more inappropriate the prescription attitude. Quantitative data were presented as mean ± SD or median (min-max), while categorical data were presented as frequencies and percentages. For comparison of knowledge score according to post-graduate degree enrollment status and between inappropriate prescriptions and appropriate or no prescription cases; students’ t-test was used. To examine the correlation between knowledge and attitude scores Spearman’s correlation was performed. In all statistical tests p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

Of 182 eligible physicians, 153 (84.1%) completed the questionnaire and were subjected to the full analysis. Twenty-five physicians were excluded for not being available during data collection time, and four questionnaires were excluded due to incomplete data (Fig 1).

The mean age of respondents was 32.2 ± 8.7, and 81.7% were less than 35 years old. The median duration for practice since graduation was four years, and junior doctors in practice for less than one year represented 26% of participants. Females represented 57.5% of respondents. Most study participants work in the university hospital (39.2%); pediatric residents represented the most significant proportion (64.1%), while GPs represented 23.5%. Twenty-four percent of participants did not enroll in any postgraduate degree, while 53.6% enrolled in a pediatric master’s degree. Nearly half of the participants received integrated management of
childhood illness (IMCI) training, and 43.1% used IMCI guidelines in their practice. Last year, only 28% of the participants attended continuous medical education events addressing antimicrobial resistance (Table 2).

Nearly eighty-nine percent of participants reported that they rely on their clinical assessment for prescribing antibiotics in cases of upper respiratory tract infections, followed by reported symptoms by the patients or their parents (60%). In contrast, patient expectations were reported to have a minor influence on the decision of antibiotic prescribing in those cases (Fig 2).

As regards their knowledge about antibiotics use and resistance, the participants have adequate knowledge as the mean number of correct answers for 17 knowledge questions was $12.4 \pm 2.9$. The most incorrectly answered questions in the knowledge of antibiotics were second and third, as 61.4% reported that broad-spectrum antibiotics are preferred in treating URT infections, and nearly half of them believe that antibiotics are an effective drug for fever. At the same time, the most incorrectly answered questions in antibiotic-resistant knowledge were the fourth and fifth questions, as 77.8% of participants did not know that antibiotic resistance can spread from animals to humans, and 54.9% did not know that antibiotic resistance can spread from person to person (Table 3). There was no statistically significant difference in mean score between those enrolled in postgraduate degrees and those didn’t enroll in any degree as mean score of postgraduate degree participants was $12.26 \pm 3.1$ and for others was $12.86 \pm 2.0$ (p-value = 0.264).
Mean attitude scores for inappropriate antibiotic prescribing are illustrated in Fig 3, showing the responsibility for others has the highest score (4.21 ± 0.58), followed by the indifference score (2.94 ± 0.70). There was a significant negative correlation between knowledge score and ignorance attitude score (r = -0.428, p-value < 0.001) and significant positive correlation between knowledge scores and responsibility of others attitude score (r = 0.506, p-value < 0.001) (Fig 4).

Prescribing practice in special conditions of URT infections showed that 80% of participants always prescribe antibiotics if fever continues for more than five days, and 61.4% always prescribe antibiotics if the child has yellowish or greenish nasal discharge. On the other hand, 61.4% of participants do not prescribe antibiotics based on caregiver requests (Fig 5).

Table 2. Socio-demographic and professional characteristics of the study participants (n = 153).

| Variable                        | Frequency | %   |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-----|
| Age (years)                     |           |     |
| 24–35                           | 125       | 81.7|
| > 35                            | 28        | 18.3|
| Mean ± SD                       |           |     |
|                                 | 32.2 ± 8.7|     |
| Years of Practice               |           |     |
| ≤ 1                             | 40        | 26.1|
| 1–5                             | 60        | 39.2|
| > 5                             | 53        | 34.6|
| Median (Min–Max)                |           |     |
|                                 | 4 (4 months–35 years)|     |
| Gender                          |           |     |
| Male                            | 65        | 42.5|
| Female                          | 88        | 57.5|
| Place of work                   |           |     |
| University Hospital             | 60        | 39.2|
| Ministry of Health Hospital     | 44        | 28.8|
| Urban PHC unit                  | 37        | 24.2|
| Rural PHC unit                  | 12        | 7.4 |
| Job title                       |           |     |
| GP                              | 36        | 23.5|
| Pediatric Resident              | 98        | 64.1|
| ENT Resident                    | 12        | 7.8 |
| Family Physician                | 7         | 4.6 |
| Postgraduate degree enrollment  |           |     |
| No                              | 37        | 24.2|
| Master of pediatrics            | 82        | 53.6|
| Master of ENT                   | 13        | 8.5 |
| Master of family medicine       | 2         | 1.3 |
| Egyptian fellowship program *   | 19        | 12.4|
| IMCI Attendance                 | 74        | 48.4|
| Use of IMCI in practice         | 66        | 43.1|
| Attendance of CME address AMR last year | 43    | 28.1|

PHC: Primary health care, GP: General practitioner, ENT: Ear, nose & throat, IMCI: integrated management of childhood illness, CME: Continuous medical education, AMR: Antimicrobial resistance.

* Either family medicine or pediatrics fellowship program.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0277308.t002
As each participant responded to four clinical vignettes, a total of 612 vignettes were assessed. Among them, 326 contained antibiotic prescriptions (53.3%). Appropriate antibiotic prescriptions represented only 8.3% overall. By analysis of difference in knowledge scores between cases which prescribed inappropriate antibiotic (n = 299) and those with appropriate or no antibiotic prescriptions (n = 313), there was no statistically significant difference. The mean total knowledge score in inappropriate prescriptions was 12.3 ± 2.8 while in appropriate prescriptions and non-prescriptions group was 12.6 ± 2.9 (p-value = 0.170).

Further analysis of cases revealed that for case one, which is a viral infection and no need for antibiotics, 54 (35.3%) participants prescribed antibiotics. Most of the antibiotics prescribed in these cases were penicillin (70.4%), especially amoxicillin + clavulanic acid, which was the most frequent type used (39.5%). In 14.8% of cases, antibiotics were prescribed as an injection, and 3 participants mentioned that they would prescribe a combined antibiotic in this case. In this case, the mean duration of antibiotic use was 5.8 ± 1.4 days (Table 4).

Regarding the second case, a viral infection case (common cold), no antibiotic was needed; 11 (7.2%) participants were prescribed antibiotics. In this case, penicillin represented the most prescribed antibiotic class (63.6%), especially amoxicillin, which represented (71.4%) of all prescribed penicillin. Only one participant prescribed an injectable antibiotic, while 2 prescribed combined antibiotics. In this case, the mean duration of antibiotic use was 5.6 ± 1.7 days (Table 4).

The other two cases were bacterial infections where antibiotics were indicated. For the third case, otitis media, the most prescribed class was antibiotics and penicillin by 112 (73.2%). Amoxicillin and amoxicillin + clavulanic acid represented the most prescribed penicillin (36.2% and 37.2%, respectively). Also, cephalosporins were prescribed in 17 cases in which...
ceftriaxone represented 52.9%. Sixteen participants prescribed injectable antibiotics, representing 9.8%, while 11 (9.8%) prescribed combined antibiotics. In this case, the mean duration of antibiotic use was 6.8 ± 2.4 days. Only 15 (13.4%) were appropriate by assessing the appropriateness of prescribed antibiotics (Table 4).

Regarding the fourth case, follicular tonsilitis, 149 (97.4%) prescribed antibiotics, and penicillin was the most prescribed class. Amoxicillin + clavulanic acid represented the most prescribed penicillin (50.4%). Also, cephalosporins were prescribed in 24 cases, in which ceftriaxone represented 45.8% of them. Of the prescribed antibiotics, 101 (67.8%) were injectable, while 49 (32.9%) were combined antibiotics. In this case, the mean duration of antibiotic use was 7.0 ± 2.4 days. Only 12 (8.0%) were appropriate by assessing the appropriateness of prescribed antibiotics (Table 4).

**Discussion**

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is one of the global threats nowadays. Increased antibiotic consumption in humans, animals, and agriculture has contributed directly to the spread of
AMR [5]. In Egypt, a National Action Plan (NAP) for antimicrobial resistance was launched by the Ministry of Health and Population (MoHP) in collaboration with WHO in 2018 [7,32]. It is a nationwide multi-sectoral five-year plan (2018–2022) aiming to control and combat antimicrobial resistance through a One Health approach. The objectives of NAP are aligned with the global action plan based on national needs and priorities [32]. The current study acts toward achieving the first and second goals of this NAP, which focus on improving AMR’s awareness and understanding and promoting the rational use of antibiotics.

Overuse and consumption of antibiotics in healthcare are related to physicians’ prescribing behaviors as patients usually comply with their advice even if antibiotics are over-the-counter (OTC) drugs in the country. However, the prescription by the physician is still the main driver
Antibiotic prescribing practices rely on multiple factors, namely, the intrinsic factors such as knowledge and attitude of prescribers and external factors such as patients and the institutional environment [33]. Knowledge and attitudes are the core of intrinsic determinants of antibiotic prescribing practices. Knowledge can influence prescribing behaviors directly or indirectly through influencing attitudes. The knowledge and attitudes of prescribers can be shaped by many sociodemographic characteristics, such as gender, qualifications, clinical expertise, continuing education, and years of practice [24]. Furthermore, when physicians prescribe medicines, they are likely to take considerations of many external factors apart from relevant clinical standards and guidelines. Pressures from patients, peers, employers, governments, and the public may affect antibiotic prescribing decisions. These factors can exert impacts on prescribing practices directly or indirectly through influencing attitudes [24,34].

Most of the recruited physicians in this study were less than 35 years old. This was expected as this study aimed to assess antibiotic prescriptions in GPs and physicians who have not yet specialized or hold a degree. In Egypt’s health system, most medical school graduates enroll in postgraduate studies or fellowship programs to become specialized directly after graduation. This also explains this study’s small proportion of GPs [35]. Moreover, as the study looked for antibiotic prescribing in pediatric cases, most participants were pediatric residents.

Previous studies demonstrated that continuous medical education improves antibiotic prescribing behaviors [36]. In the current study, only 28% of participants attended a CME event last year addressing antibiotic use and resistance. Another crucial training also contributed to improving antibiotic prescriptions is the integrated management of childhood illness (IMCI) [37]. In the current study, nearly half of the participants attended IMCI training, and 43% reported using IMCI guidelines in their practice. In Egypt, the IMCI training program has been provided as pre-employment training for MoHP physicians since 2002 [38].

Based on the Teixeira Antibiotic Prescribing Behavioral Model (TAPBM), knowledge and attitudes toward antibiotic use and resistance significantly impact prescribing practice [33]. In the present study, participants showed sufficient knowledge about antibiotic use and resistance. The knowledge result is consistent with the Egyptian national survey conducted by El-M...
Sokarry et al., in which participating physicians showed good knowledge [19]. Furthermore, the most incorrectly reported information was related to the transmission of resistant organisms from animal to human and from person to person. Also, this was reported in El-Sokkary et al.’s survey, in which more than half of the physicians did not know that the resistant organism could be transmitted from person to person [19]. Modifications added to medical curriculum in the last couple of years may have affected the medical school graduates’ knowledge. Although of good knowledge scores, high percentage of inappropriate prescriptions was reported in the current study that may be explained by that, curriculum changes mainly focused on theoretical knowledge “Passive learning” and not integrated in active learning of case management [39,40].

Regarding participant attitudes, the responsibility of others (i.e., other physicians, patients, or other healthcare providers) was the highest attitude score, consistent with the result of a systematic review by Rodrigues et al., in which 35 studies for physician antibiotic prescribing behavior were reviewed. In this review, the responsibility of others was described as influencing antibiotic prescribing in six papers [24]. Moreover, in the current study, the indifference score was higher than the complacency, fear, and ignorance scores, which may be linked to inappropriate antibiotic prescribing behavior. This is consistent with Rodrigues et al.’s review.

### Table 4. Participants’ responses to clinical vignettes.

| Diagnosis                      | Case 1 N (%) | Case 2 N (%) | Case 3 N (%) | Case 4 N (%) |
|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Correct                        | 141 (92.2%)  | 136 (88.9%)  | 140 (91.5%)  | 147 (96.0%)  |
| Incorrect                      | 9 (5.9%)     | 9 (5.9%)     | 6 (3.9%)     | 3 (2.0%)     |
| Uncertain                      | 3 (2.0%)     | 8 (5.2%)     | 7 (4.6%)     | 3 (2.0%)     |

#### Antibiotic prescription

|                     | Case 1 N (%) | Case 2 N (%) | Case 3 N (%) | Case 4 N (%) |
|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Yes                 | 54 (35.3%)   | 11 (7.2%)    | 112 (73.2%)  | 149 (97.4%)  |
| No                  | 99 (64.7%)   | 142 (92.8%)  | 41 (26.8%)   | 4 (2.6%)     |

#### For Prescribed Cases

|                  | Case 1 N (%) | Case 2 N (%) | Case 3 N (%) | Case 4 N (%) |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Penicillin       | 38 (70.4%)   | 7 (63.6%)    | 94 (83.9%)   | 119 (79.9%)  |
| Amoxicillin      | 9 (23.7%)    | 5 (71.4%)    | 34 (36.2%)   | 11 (9.2%)    |
| Amoxicillin + clavulanic acid | 15 (39.5%) | 1 (14.3%) | 35 (37.2%) | 60 (50.4%) |
| Ampicillin sulbactam | 4 (10.5%) | 1 | 4 (4.3%) | 38 (31.9%) |
| Amoxicillin + flucloxacillin | 2 (5.3%) | 0 | 7 (7.4%) | 1 (0.8%) |
| Benzathine penicillin G | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 (2.5%) |
| Not specified penicillin | 8 (21.1%) | 0 | 14 (14.9%) | 6 (5.0%) |
| Cephalosporins    | 47 (7.4%)    | 1 (9.1%)     | 17 (15.2%)   | 24 (16.1%)   |
| Ceftriaxone       | 1 (25.0%)    | 0            | 9 (52.9%)    | 11 (45.8%)   |
| Other cephalosporins | 3 (75.0%)     | 1 (100%)     | 8 (47.1%)    | 13 (54.2%)   |
| Macrolides (azithromycin) | 9 (16.7%) | 3 (27.3%) | 1 (1.0%) | 6 (4.0%) |
| Not specified      | 3 (5.6%)     | 0            | 0            | 0            |
| Injectable antibiotic | 8 (14.8%) | 1 (9.1%) | 16 (14.3%) | 101 (67.8%) |
| Combined (more than 1 antibiotics) | 3 (5.6%) | 2 (18.2%) | 11 (9.8%) | 49 (32.9%) |
| Duration of antibiotic use (days) | 5.8 ± 1.4 | 5.6 ± 1.7 | 6.8 ± 2.4 | 7.0 ± 2.4 |

#### Rational antibiotic prescription

|                   | Case 1 N (%) | Case 2 N (%) | Case 3 N (%) | Case 4 N (%) |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Appropriate prescription | 0 | 0 | 15 (13.4%) | 12 (8.0%) |
| Inappropriate prescription | 54 (100%) | 11 (100%) | 97 (86.6%) | 137 (92.0%) |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0277308.t004
as they reported a direct relationship between high indifference scores and inappropriate antibiotic prescriptions [24]. Although ignorance of antibiotic resistance, fear of complications, and complacency with patients’ expectations and pressure were reported in many studies as essential factors for inappropriate antibiotic prescribing behavior, participants in the current study had low scores for those attitudes [24,34,41]. The low complacency score was consistent with participants’ reports about the factors affecting their antibiotic prescribing and cases of acute URTIs in which patients’ expectations were the most negligible factor. Moreover, most of them never prescribe antibiotics when the caregiver asks for them.

Many studies report patient-related factors affecting antibiotic prescribing behavior. Physicians may feel that specific clinical symptoms frequently connected with prescriptions suggest a bacterial infection or a more severe illness [42]. As reported in many studies for GPs’ antibiotic prescriptions in cases of acute RTIs, the following respiratory symptoms are associated with increased odds of antibiotic prescriptions: fever > 38˚C, productive cough, otalgia, bulging tympanic membrane, otorrhea, and tender cervical nodes [43–46]. In the current study, clinical assessment and reported symptoms were the factors that most affected the antibiotic prescribing decision. Furthermore, more than half of the participants always prescribed antibiotics in cases of fever lasting longer than five days or nasal discharge that is greenish or yellowish, which is consistent with the findings from prior studies, and was not justified according to the guidelines [47,48].

Clinical vignettes are an effective tool to explore antibiotic prescribing patterns for different cases and have been used previously in many studies [49]. In the current study, participants prescribed antibiotics for more than half of the cases (53%), and most of the prescriptions were inappropriate (91.7%). A study conducted in Minya district in Egypt revealed that 82% of pediatric cases were prescribed antibiotics, and 80% were not [50]. These results are consistent with many studies from developing countries; as in the Chinese study, antibiotics were prescribed for 59% of pediatrics URTIs cases, and 91.3% were inappropriate [51]. While in India, in PHC facilities, 85% of patients had antibiotic prescriptions, and nearly 61.0% of prescribed antibiotics for children were inappropriate [52]. In Yemen, a study investigated antibiotic prescription patterns at outpatient departments (OPDs) at four hospitals in Aden. The study revealed that the percentage of prescriptions involving antibiotics was 84%. Upper respiratory tract infections represent 37% of cases that received antibiotics [53]. In Saudi Arabia, a study conducted in Makkah PHC centers revealed that antibiotics were prescribed in 56.7% of the cases, and most of these cases were for simple common cold [54].

Among the inappropriate prescriptions in the current study, antibiotics were prescribed for 7% of common cold cases and 35% of viral URT infection cases with a sore throat. This percentage is low compared to other studies conducted in Egypt by examining prescriptions in which antibiotics were prescribed for 53.3% of common cold cases and 96% of viral pharyngitis cases. This difference may be attributed to using case vignettes rather than being like countering real patients, and responses may represent socially desirable answers rather than actual antibiotic prescribing practice [49]. However, the most prescribed type of antibiotic was penicillin, especially amoxicillin and amoxicillin + clavulanic acid, and this is consistent with other studies which investigated the types of antibiotics used in acute URTIs [54–57]. The type of prescribed antibiotics in such cases should be considered as in cases of vial tonsilitis caused by infection mononucleosis (IM); the use of aminopenicillins as amoxicillin is associated with development of skin rashes [58]. The exact mechanism behind them is unclear. It is not well explained yet, whether a true allergic drug reaction or virus-dependent rash. The rash may be due to the viral infection itself, the incidence of skin eruption development in acute IM is 4.2–13% without drug intake, but often these patients are put on antibiotics, frequently amoxicillin, and the rash appears a few days after the initiation of the antibiotic therapy [59,60]. Following
amoxicillin intake within acute IM the incidence of skin reactions ranges between 27.8% and 69% in adults while in children, morbilliform skin eruptions nearly always develop following amoxicillin intake within acute IM [60,61].

In the present study, the antibiotic prescriptions for bacterial cases in which antibiotics are indicated are consistent with other studies. However, the percentage of inappropriate prescriptions was high due to the wrong choice, duration of antibiotics, or use of injectable or combined antibiotics. For otitis media (OM) cases, 73% of participants prescribed antibiotics, and non-prescribing antibiotics here cannot be considered inappropriate as some guidelines recommend delayed prescription or even nonprescription if not complicated. Among those prescriptions, nearly 87% were inappropriate, and most were due to inappropriate duration, as the recommended duration for OM treatment is five days, while in this study, the mean duration was 6.8 ± 2.4.

On the other hand, 97% of follicular tonsilitis cases had an antibiotic prescription, and 92% were inappropriate. Hence, the inappropriate type and duration together composed most of the inappropriateness. For those cases, the most prescribed antibiotic was amoxicillin + clavulanic acid (40%). However, guidelines do not recommend the antibiotic of choice as amoxicillin and/or penicillin V, except for penicillin allergy [26,28]. Also, injectable and combined antibiotics were high in those cases, which exposed children to more complications. Non-adherence to antibiotic guidelines is a common problem in cases of acute bacterial tonsilitis. In our literature search, we found many studies that assessed the proportion of patients not receiving the first-choice antibiotic treatment for bacterial tonsilitis. In these investigations, the non-compliance rate with guidelines ranged from 27.0% to 63.0% among children [62–65]. However, no study was conducted to deeply explore why there is a high rate of non-adherence to guidelines in those cases.

Although this study is a descriptive and aiming to explore the current practice, but it is obvious that, there is a need to introduce corrective actions to control antibiotic over prescription in such cases. Interventions that attempt to improve antibiotic prescribing tend to be more successful when they combine doctor, patient and public education and when the design is multi-faceted [66–69]. A systematic review that focused on antibiotic use for RTIs, found that multiple interventions that contained at least educational materials for doctors were most effective [70]. In current study the main problem was in practice and adherence to guidelines, so we need to adopt interventions that act toward monitoring and improvement of physicians’ practical knowledge and action. One of suggested interventions is medical audit and feedback as in the Audit Project, Swedish general practitioners (GPs) recorded their consultations at two time points and received feedback in between. The medical audit resulted in a 10% decrease in antibiotic prescription within the intervention group [71]. Providing individual prescribing feedback has also been shown to lead to improvements in guideline adherence [72]. Other recommended corrective tool is educational sessions for physicians; a review of interventions targeting doctors to improve antibiotic use for RTIs showed that educational sessions, appear to be more effective than audit and feedback [73]. Educational sessions can include: information on the core principles of rational antibiotic use, introduction to new tools (guidelines for example), diagnostic skills training and sessions on patient communication [74,75]. A follow-up study for educational intervention has been conducted in Netherlands and reported that, sessions incorporating these subject areas can lead to sustained improvement in antibiotic use that can last longer than two to four years [76]. In designing an educational program for this problem, it is advisable to use WHO competency framework for health workers’ education and training on antimicrobial resistance. This WHO competency framework for education on AMR is strategic and timely, given the widespread perception among health workers of insufficient knowledge and expertise on the topic, resulting in
inappropriate antimicrobial prescription and use practices [77]. Those competences can be delivered either in pre-service or in-service trainings. The framework containing core and additional AMR competencies, which have been organized across four domain areas. The domain areas include foundations that build awareness of antimicrobial resistance, appropriate use of antimicrobial agents, infection prevention and control (IPC), and diagnostic stewardship and surveillance. In each domain, the framework provides knowledge, skills and attitudes that should be acquired by healthcare workers either prescribers or non-prescribers and also by public health officers and health services managers [77].

Our study relied on physician recall and self-reported practices, which has significant limitations. We did not evaluate the relationship of attitudes to actual antimicrobial prescribing. Therefore, there may have been information bias because of a tendency for respondents to provide answers they believed the researchers wanted to hear, resulting in an underestimation of the prevalence of improper attitudes and prescription behaviors. Other factors influencing physician attitudes regarding antibiotic prescribing, such as pharmaceutical promotion, restricted consultation, dispensing times, and financial incentives, were not investigated. The research was conducted in a single Egyptian district, limiting its generalizability. The four clinical vignettes used to evaluate antibiotic prescriptions were limited to a few features, not actual patients. Furthermore, they did not permit ordering further point-of-care diagnostic testing as would have been appropriate before administering antibiotics.

Moreover, we did not measure antibiotic consumption and prescription audit to determine the appropriate use of antibiotics and the efficacy of interventions. Although these limitations, this study is the first evaluation of knowledge, attitudes, and practices reported regarding antibiotic prescriptions for treating acute URTIs in children by pediatricians in Assiut, Egypt. The results of this survey can be used to improve education, antimicrobial surveillance, and antibiotic prescribing patterns among physicians in our setting.

Conclusions

Physicians dealing with acute URTIs in outpatients’ clinics in Assiut district have good knowledge about antibiotic use and resistance and demonstrate a good attitude toward appropriate antibiotic use. However, the percentage of inappropriate prescriptions for clinical vignettes is high. More research is required to determine the causes of improper antibiotic prescribing and non-compliance with guidelines. Also, it is crucial to set up a national antibiotic stewardship program to improve antibiotic prescribing and contain antimicrobial resistance problems.
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