The odds and implications of coinheritance of hemophilia A and B.

Permalink
https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2xn8q6bj

Journal
Research and practice in thrombosis and haemostasis, 4(5)

ISSN
2475-0379

Authors
Karch, Corinne
Masser-Frye, Diane
Limjoco, Jacqueline
et al.

Publication Date
2020-07-12

DOI
10.1002/rth2.12345

Peer reviewed
The odds and implications of coinherence of hemophilia A and B

Corinne Karch BS1 | Diane Masser-Frye MS, MSW2 | Jacqueline Limjoco RN, CCRP2 | Sarah E. Ryan MS, CGC3 | Shelley N. Fletcher BSc3 | Kevin D. Corbett PhD4 | Jill M. Johnsen MD3,5 | Courtney D. Thornburg MD, MS2,6

1Virginia Commonwealth University School of Medicine, Richmond, Virginia
2Rady Children's Hospital San Diego, San Diego, California
3Bloodworks Northwest, Seattle, Washington
4Department of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California
5Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington
6Department of Pediatrics, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California

Correspondence
Courtney D. Thornburg, Rady Children's Hospital San Diego: UC San Diego, 3020 Children's Way, MC 5035, San Diego, CA 92123.
Email: cthornburg@rchsd.org

Handling Editor: Dr Cihan Ay

Abstract
We report 2 patients with coinherence of the X-linked bleeding disorders hemophilia A and hemophilia B. We describe the family pedigrees, clinical features, and genotyping. The case report addresses the key clinical questions of how to manage patients with both hemophilia A and B and how to counsel families regarding recurrence risk. The patients with coinherited hemophilia A and B require a combination of factor VIII and factor IX replacement to achieve hemostasis. We calculated the estimated genomic meiotic recombination frequency between F8 and F9 to be 38%. The findings in these cases are consistent with this calculation. These findings provide critical information for management of families with coinherited hemophilia A and B.
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1 | BACKGROUND

Congenital hemophilia A (HA) and B (HB) are rare X-linked recessive disorders, occurring in approximately 1 in 5000 and 1 in 30 000 live male births, respectively.1 Combined inheritance of HA and HB is even more rare, but has been reported due to inheritance of 1 variant from each parent2 or due to 2 variants from a single parent.3–6 We report 2 families with pathogenic genetic variants for HA and HB and evaluate how the variant F8 and F9 alleles were inherited within the families. In addition, we review implications for diagnosis, genetic counseling, and management.
2 CASE DESCRIPTIONS

2.1 Family 1

An 11-month-old male presented with bleeding from a torn frenulum without other bleeding history. The mother reported a history of heavy menstrual bleeding, postpartum hemorrhage, and bleeding with dental work. There was no other family history of bleeding, including the proband's fraternal twin brother. Laboratory results showed a normal PT of 10.6 seconds, an activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT) of 124.2 seconds (normal, 24.0–34.0), which corrected on mixing. Factor VIII (FVIII) activity was <1% and factor IX (FIX) activity was 23%. Results were normal for factors XI (FXI) and von Willebrand factor (VWF) antigen and activity. This led to a diagnosis of severe HA and mild HB. The patient was initially treated with recombinant FVIII (rFVIII) replacement for bleeding. At age 21 months, after ~3 exposure days (EDs), a low-titer, low-responding FVIII inhibitor was identified on surveillance labs. The inhibitor was managed with immune tolerance induction (ITI) with rFVIII. Once tolerance was achieved, he was switched to prophylaxis without inhibitor recurrence. He also received on-demand recombinant FIX (rFIX) for bleeding unresponsive to rFVIII replacement and for major bleeding and surgery. He has no history of FIX inhibitor.

The mother has mild FVIII deficiency (42%) and normal FIX activity (62%) (Table 1). The laboratory evaluation of the proband's fraternal twin showed an APTT of 34.9 seconds; FIX activity of 27%; and normal FVIII, FXI, and VWF antigen and activity, consistent with

| Table 1 | Coagulation testing, genotypes, and clinical phenotypes of family 1 and family 2 |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| APTT, seconds | FVIII activity, % | F8 variant | FIX Activity, % | F9 Variant | Clinical phenotype |
| Family 1 | | | | | |
| I.1 | ... | 42 | F8 deletion of exon 26 and 3'-UTR | 69 | F9 c.[277 + 102G > C;*1368A > C] | Menorrhagia, postpartum hemorrhage, excessive bleeding from dental work (age 43 y) |
| II.1 | 34.3 | 94 | ND | 70 | ND | No bleeding (age 24 y) |
| II.2 | 32.6 | 87 | ND | 55 | ND | No bleeding (age 23 y) |
| II.3 | 31.6 | 108 | ND | 76 | ND | No bleeding (age 22 y) |
| II.4 | 124.2 | <1 | F8 deletion of exon 26 and 3' UTR | 23 | F9 c.[277 + 102G > C;*1368A > C] | Hemarthrosis, soft tissue bleeds, and excessive bleeding from dental work in the absence of FIX replacement Treatment: prophylactic and on-demand rFVIII; on-demand rFIX (age 17 y) |
| II.5 | 34.9 | 99 | None detected | 27 | F9 c.[277 + 102G > C;*1368A > C] | Soft tissue and mucosal bleeding secondary to trauma Treatment: on-demand rFIX (age 17) |
| II.6 | 30.2 | 165 | ND | 70 | ND | No bleeding (age 19 y) |
| II.7 | ... | 47 | ND | 52 | ND | No bleeding (age 13 y) |
| II.8 | 117.2 | <1 | F8 deletion of exon 26 and 3'-UTR | 47 at birth; 80 on repeat at 1 y | None | Ecchymosis, hemarthrosis Treatment: prophylactic and on-demand rFVIII (age 7 y) |
| II.9 | ... | ... | ND | ND | ND | No bleeding (age 3 y) |
| Family 2 | | | | | |
| I.1 | reportedly normal during pregnancy | F8 c.6089G > A | 48 | F9 partial deletion of exon 8 | Easy bruising and heavy menstrual bleeding (age 27 y) |
| II.1 | >100 | 21 | F8 c.6089G > A | <1 | F9 partial deletion of exon 8 | Bruising, mucosal bleeding, hemarthrosis; FIX inhibitor Treatment: ITI with rFIXFc plus prophylactic rFVIIa and rFVIIIIFc (age 2 y) |
| II.2 | 49 (within normal newborn range) | 72 | ND | 29 (within normal newborn range) | ND | No bleeding (age 6 m) |

Note: APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; FIX, factor IX; FVIII, factor VIII; ITI, immune tolerance induction; ND, testing not completed or not available; rFIX, recombinant factor IX; rFVIII, recombinant factor VIII; UTR, untranslated region.

*Normal range: APTT range, 25.0–34.0 s; FVIII activity range, 60%–150%; FIX activity range, 60%–150%.
a diagnosis of mild HB. A younger half-brother was diagnosed with only severe HA as a neonate.

Genotyping of F8 and F9 was done with My Life, Our Future (Table 1 and Figure 1). The F8 variant identified, c.6901-7056+?del, resulting in deletion of exon 26 and the 3′-untranslated region, has previously been reported in multiple families with severe HA, meeting criteria to be classified as a pathogenic variant. Two F9 variants were identified and cosegregated in multiple family members, c.[277 + 102G > C, *1368A > C]. Both variants are absent in population databases. These were considered variants of unknown significance in the absence of additional American College of Medical Genetics criteria supporting pathogenicity.

2.2 | Family 2

A 2-month-old male was evaluated for easy bruising and scalp hematoma without other bleeding history. The mother and maternal grandmother reported a history of easy bruising and heavy menstrual bleeding. There was no other family history of bleeding, including in many maternal male relatives. Laboratory results showed a PT of 12.7 seconds and an APTT of >100 seconds, which corrected on mixing. FVIII activity was 35% (21% on repeat plasma-based assay and 17% on chromogenic assay) and FIX activity was <1%. Results were normal for FXI and VWF antigen and activity. This led to a diagnosis of severe HB and mild HA (Table 1). The patient was initially treated with rFIX replacement for bleeding. At 9 months of age, after 3 EDs, a 1.3-BU FIX inhibitor was identified on surveillance labs; repeat was 0.7 BU. On ED 5, he developed anaphylaxis and lack of clinical response in the setting of traumatic mouth bleed; inhibitor was 4.7 BU. He started ITI with daily rFIXFc after desensitization. After 2 months, his inhibitor was negative at 12 months, and after 11 months his pharmacokinetics were sufficient for every-other-day dosing. Initially, daily recombinant factor VIIa (rFVIIa) and twice weekly rFVIIIFc were given prophylactically; rFVIIa was discontinued once inhibitor was negative and he had detectable trough FIX activity.

The mother had only FVIII and IX activity testing during pregnancy; results were reportedly normal. The second son had FVIII and IX activities that were normal for his age.

Genotyping of F8 and F9 identified a missense pathogenic variant in F8 (c.6089G > A; p.Ser2030Asn), which was previously reported in multiple families with hemophilia A,9,14,15 and a pathogenic partial deletion of exon 8 in F9, which was not previously described (Figure 1). The mother’s genetic testing confirmed she carries the F8 variant and the F9 deletion. Both are presumed to be de novo since the maternal grandmother tested negative for the 2 variants identified in the family, and the maternal grandfather has a negative bleeding history.

The F9 deletion appears to be a de novo somatic variant that likely occurred in early embryogenesis given the presence of the deletion at a lower level in leukocyte DNA.

3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The human X chromosome shows an average rate of meiotic recombination (exchange of genetic information between chromosomes

---

**Figure 1** Family pedigrees. Family pedigrees are demonstrated and include available genotyping results. Confirmed affected family members are indicated with shading for hemophilia A, hemophilia B, or both. For family 1, males II-1, II-2, and II-6 are presumed to have normal genotype based on factor levels. Genotypes are unknown for females II-3, II-7, and II-9. For family 2, male II-2 is presumed to have normal genotype based on factor levels.
during meiosis) of 1.19% per megabase (1.19 cM/Mb). The F8 locus (Xq28:154.8-155.0 Mb [human hg38 genome assembly]) and F9 locus (Xq27.1-27.2; 139.53-139.56 Mb) loci are located at a physical distance of ~15.5 Mb apart on the q arm of the X chromosome. Using the average recombination rates of the X chromosome, the predicted recombination rate would be 15%. However, the interval close to the X-chromosome telomere, inclusive of F8 and F9, shows a markedly higher recombination rate of ~2.46 cM/Mb. Based on this information, we estimate the recombination rate between the F8 and F9 loci to be ~38% (15.5 Mb × 2.46 cM/Mb) (Figure 2).

We describe 2 cases of co-inherited HA and HB. In family 1, there were 6 males and 3 females born to the mother. The females were not genotyped and were otherwise uninformative. The mother is heterozygous for variants in both F8 and F9 genes, and these could either lie in cis (on the same chromosome) or in trans (on opposite chromosomes). Four sons inherited either both or neither F8 and F9 alleles, while two sons inherited one F8 or F9 hemophilia-associated allele. If the F8 and F9 variant alleles lie in cis in the mother, with recombination giving rise to the 2 offspring separating 1 F8 or F9 allele each, the observed meiotic recombination rate is 33%. If the mother’s variant alleles lie in trans, then 4 meiotic recombination events would be required for males to have alleles align in cis, giving a recombination rate of 67%. Given the calculated genomic meiotic recombination rate of 38% between these genes, we suspect that the mother’s variant alleles are in cis, but either way recombination between the F8 and F9 genes in this family was common.

In any female family member at-risk for having disease-causing variants in both the F8 and F9 genes, prenatal counseling must include the possibilities of passing on none, both, or a single variant hemophilia-causing allele, depending on whether recombination occurs between the genes. For potentially affected females, we recommend testing factor activities by age 2 years. Some female hemophilia genetic carriers bleed excessively even with normal factor levels, making it reasonable to offer genetic testing before adolescence if there is bleeding or need for invasive procedure even if levels are normal.

In family 1, the mother and her twin boys had the two F9 variants. It is possible that only 1 of these variants is pathogenic. With the available information, it is impossible to assess the pathogenicity of these 2 variants independently because neither variant has been reported individually.

In family 2, the mother carries 2 variants that were not identified in her mother. Her father was not tested but is clinically unaffected by report. The F8 missense variant appears to be a de novo germline variant that was likely present in the sperm or the egg at the time of her conception. The F9 deletion appears to be a de novo somatic variant that likely occurred in early embryogenesis given the presence of the deletion at a lower level in leukocyte DNA. Given the likely somatic mosaicism of the F9 variant, the risk for recurrence is further complicated, as it is unknown what percentage of oocytes carries the variant. The mother’s second pregnancy was managed in close collaboration with obstetrics and hematology since the male fetus was potentially affected by severe HB and/or mild HA.

Combined factor deficiencies are likely underestimated, and comprehensive coagulation testing is recommended for all patients and siblings for accurate diagnosis and treatment. Patients with HA and HB need FVIII and FIX replacement. We are considering switching from rFVIII prophylaxis to emicizumab for the proband in family 1 with severe HA and mild HB, though it is unclear if mild FIX deficiency would blunt the hemostatic efficacy of this bispecific antibody treatment. There are emerging novel treatments for patients with HA or HB that generate thrombin without factor replacement. Patients with combined HA and HB could be excellent candidates for these novel treatments.

In summary, recurrence risk counseling is complex when there are 2 X-linked disorders within a family, particularly in the absence of genotypes for all potentially affected individuals. Due to the possibility of meiotic recombination, one cannot assume that 2 disorders are present in cis in

**FIGURE 2.** Meiosis schematic for hemophilia. (A) Schematic of 1 pair of homologs (each replicated into a pair of sister chromatids) as they align and recombine in meiotic prophase. Each homolog pair receives 1-2 meiotic crossovers (marked), which result in recombinants. White circles indicate the location of the centromere, which mediates spindle attachment during meiosis. (B) Schematic of the human X chromosome, with the F8 and F9 loci marked. At right is the measured recombination rate in cM/Mb (adapted from Kong et al), with the interval between F8 and F9 highlighted in blue. This interval shows a higher average recombination rate (2.46 cM/Mb) than the X chromosome as a whole (1.19 cM/Mb).
a female relative when a male first-degree relative is affected with both conditions. We calculated a high genomic meiotic recombination frequency of 38% between the F8 and F9 genes, and further discuss the inheritance and management implications of this phenomenon in 2 families. We expect this work will be useful to inform the estimations of recurrence risk for hemophilia A and B in individual families.
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