Abstract

Mosaic Analysis with Double Markers (MADM) is a method for generating genetically mosaic mice, in which sibling mutant and wild-type cells are labeled with different fluorescent markers. It is a powerful tool that enables analysis of gene function at the single cell level in vivo. It requires transgenic cassettes to be located between the centromere and the mutation in the gene of interest on the same chromosome. Here we compare procedures for introduction of MADM cassettes into new loci in the mouse genome, and describe new approaches for expanding the utility of MADM. We show that: 1) Targeted homologous recombination outperforms random transgenesis in generation of reliably expressed MADM cassettes, 2) MADM cassettes in new genomic loci need to be validated for biallelic and ubiquitous expression, 3) Recombination between MADM cassettes on different chromosomes can be used to study reciprocal chromosomal deletions/duplications, and 4) MADM can be modified to permit transgene expression by combining it with a binary expression system. The advances described in this study expand current, and enable new and more versatile applications of MADM.

Introduction

Genetically mosaic animals (genetic mosaics) contain cells with different genotypes. Phenotypic analysis of genetic mosaics has become an indispensable tool in modern genetics. Genetic mosaics are usually created by using site-specific recombinases from heterologous biological systems, most prominently including Cre recombinase from the E. coli phage P1 [1] and Flp recombinase from the S. cerevisiae 2 µ plasmid [2]. DNA recombination can occur either in cis (on the same chromosome) or in trans (between chromosomes). Intrachromosomal recombination techniques usually rely on the presence of two recombination sites flanking a particular DNA sequence that will be excised upon recombination [3]. In contrast, interchromosomal recombination techniques depend on recombination between chromatin after DNA replication in the G2 phase of the cell cycle to generate sibling cells of different genotypes. Intrachromosomal recombination has been used to develop various versions of mosaic analysis in fruit flies [4,5,6,7,8,9]. The common and key feature of these approaches is that they create cells with different genotypes in vitro and at the same time label those cells with unique markers that strictly correlate with the genotype. To enable such concomitant in vivo genetic manipulation and labeling in mammals, we have established Mosaic Analysis with Double Markers (MADM) in mice (Figure 1A) [10]. We have used MADM since its inception to perform lineage studies [11] and analyze gene function in a number of biological processes including cell proliferation [12], dendritic patterning [13], neuronal migration [14] and tumor initiation and progression [15]. To expand the utility and versatility of MADM, we present here modifications and new applications of the technique, and compare different procedures for establishment of MADM-ready chromosomes.

Results

Design of new MADM cassettes

The original version of MADM relied on the DsRed2 fluorescent protein as one of the two markers [10]. Due to the low DsRed2 fluorescence signal in tests in vitro, six Myc epitope tags were added to its C-terminus. The addition of these epitope tags proved to be essential, because the detection of DsRed2 expression from knocked-in MADM cassettes in vivo required anti-Myc immunostaining [10]. For the new MADM cassettes, we chose tdTomato (tdT) over DsRed2, due to its improved...
brightness [16]. We also added three Myc epitope tags to its C-terminus, and this addition did not appear to affect the tdT fluorescence (data not shown).

The original MADM cassettes were designed to split two fluorescent protein genes approximately in the middle of each gene [10] (Figure 1B, left). To replace one fluorescent protein gene with another (e.g., DsRed2 with tdT), an entirely new set of cassettes needs to be constructed, as neither of the existing cassettes would be compatible with any new cassette. We therefore aimed to create a more flexible design for new cassettes, such that one of them would be compatible with any new cassette and could be subsequently reused. In our new design for splitting the red fluorescent protein tdT, the first exon contains only the start codon (Figure 1B, right). Therefore the two new cassettes are: $\text{GFP}^\text{N-term} - \text{intron} - \text{tdT}^\text{3Myc-ATG-less}$ (for simplicity, $\text{GT}$) and $\text{ATG}^\text{intron} - \text{GFP}^\text{C-term} - \text{loxP}$ (for simplicity, $\text{TG}$). The new TG cassette is now compatible with any $\text{GFP}^\text{N-term} - \text{intron} - \text{tdT}^\text{3Myc-ATG-less}$ (for simplicity, $\text{GT}$) cassette, where $\text{X}^\text{ATG-less}$ (for simplicity, $\text{X}$) is any gene without the start codon, without altering the genotype of the cell. B The “old” MADM cassettes contained two genes encoding fluorescent proteins (DsRed2 and GFP) split roughly in the middle. The “new” cassettes use the same GFP split, but split the second gene (for example, tdTomato) into ATG and $\text{Gene}^\text{ATG-less}$. That way, the ATG-GC-terminus cassette (for simplicity, TG) becomes a universal cassette that can be paired with any G-GeneATG-less cassette. The single white triangle represents a single loxP site, a combination of loxP sites or the loxP-flanked (floxed) neomycin resistance gene (see Figure S1 for detailed description of MADM cassettes).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033332.g001

**Figure 1. The MADM principle and design of new MADM cassettes.** A) MADM relies on two reciprocally chimeric marker genes (for example, GR and RG, see part B below for cassette description) that have been knocked into the same locus on homologous chromosomes. Recombination in the G2 phase of the cell cycle regenerates the functional marker genes on a pair of chromatids. X-segregation of chromatids (the recombinant chromatids segregate to different cells) generates a red and a green cell. Z-segregation of chromatids (the recombinant chromatids congregate to the same cell) generates a double-labeled (yellow) cell and an unlabeled cell. If a mutation (asterisk) is present distally to the GR cassette, the green cells will be homozygous for the mutation. This orientation of the cassettes corresponds to MADM in the Rosa26 locus. If the cassettes are in the opposite orientation with respect to the centromere, the genotypes for green and red cells will be inverted (for example in MADM-11). If mitotic recombination occurs in G0 or G1, a double-labeled cell is produced without altering the genotype of the cell. B) The “old” MADM cassettes contained two genes encoding fluorescent proteins (DsRed2 and GFP) split roughly in the middle. The “new” cassettes use the same GFP split, but split the second gene (for example, tdTomato) into ATG and $\text{Gene}^\text{ATG-less}$. That way, the ATG-GC-terminus cassette (for simplicity, TG) becomes a universal cassette that can be paired with any G-GeneATG-less cassette. The single white triangle represents a single loxP site, a combination of loxP sites or the loxP-flanked (floxed) neomycin resistance gene (see Figure S1 for detailed description of MADM cassettes).
identical loci on homologous chromosomes. When MADM is used to study gene function, the MADM cassettes must be located between the gene of interest and the centromere. This is because only chromosomal segments distal to the recombination sites within the MADM cassettes can undergo exchange and produce homozygosity after X-segregation in the G2 phase of the cell cycle (Figure 1A, top right). At present, only genes located on mouse chromosome (Chr.) 6 distal to the Rosa26 locus and on Chr. 11 distal to the Hipp11 locus can be subjected to MADM [10,14]. To extend the MADM technology to other genes in the mouse genome, MADM cassettes need to be inserted into additional chromosomes. One possibility is to employ random transgenesis to obtain integrations throughout the mouse genome. However, random transgene integration of one MADM cassette is in principle not suited for subsequent repeated targeting of the complementary cassette to the same locus. To overcome this problem, we performed random transgenesis using convertible precursor transgenes \( p\text{MADM}_{c2} \) and \( p\text{MADM}_{b} \) (Figure 2A, 2B) that can be subsequently transformed into GT and TG MADM cassettes.

\( \beta\text{MADM}_{c2} \) contains the ubiquitously active CA promoter and GT and TG MADM cassettes flanked by FRT sites. After individual integrants are isolated, they can be converted into GT or TG cassettes by partial recombination catalyzed by the Flp recombinase (Figure 2A). We screened ES cell clones to identify single-copy, intact \( \beta\text{MADM}_{c2} \) transgenes integrated into intergenic regions of the genome (for details see Methods). 25 out of ~190 ES clones had intact 5’ and 3’ ends of the transgene; 12 of them were estimated to be single-copy based on Southern hybridization; 6 insertion sites were identified by using inverse PCR. Among them, the location of one clone was confirmed to be within an intergenic region, in a new locus we call \( \text{M10GT} \) (M10). ~20 Mb distal to the centromere of Chr. 10 (Figure 2C, blue triangle). To obtain GT and TG transgenes, we introduced the Flp recombinase into this ES cell clone. Among ~200 ES cell subclones, ten subclones had partial recombination between the second and third FRTs to convert \( \beta\text{MADM}_{c2} \) to GT, while only one subclone had the reciprocal partial recombination between the first and the second FRTs to generate TG. We established transgenic mouse lines from these ES cells (hereafter called \( \text{M10GT} \)), and the resulting genotypes were confirmed using genomic PCR for a subset of 161 clones using “splinkerette” PCR (data not shown). However, it is important to note that although the cellular labeling obtained by \( \text{M10GT} \) appears as expected (red, green and yellow cells are all evident), this labeling may not accurately report the cellular genotypes unless the loci are biallelically and ubiquitously expressed (see below).

As expected, mice transheterozygous for GT and TG in the \( \text{M10GT} \) locus (\( \text{M10GT}/\text{M10GT} \)) do not have colored cells in the absence of Cre-mediated recombination (Figure 2D). When \( \text{Nestin-Cre} \) [18] or \( \text{HprtCre} \) [19] transgenes were separately introduced to create \( \text{M10GT}/\text{M10GT};\text{Nestin-Cre}^{+/+} \) or \( \text{M10GT}/\text{M10GT};\text{HprtCre}^{+/+} \) or \( \text{M10GT}/\text{M10GT};\text{HprtCre}^{+/+}/y \), we observed cells labeled with GFP (green), tdTomato (red), or both (yellow), in patterns predicted by Cre expression. For example, \( \text{Nestin-Cre} \) generates MADM-labeled cells throughout the central nervous system, including cortical pyramidal cells, interneurons, glia, hippocampal granule and pyramidal cells (Figure 2D), and cerebellar Purkinje cells (data not shown). \( \text{HprtCre} \) allows the labeling of cells in the liver, heart, and small intestine (Figure 2D) and all other tissues examined (data not shown). When using the same Cre driver, labeling was qualitatively less dense in MADM-10 than in MADM-6 or MADM-11 (data not shown; “MADM-number” refers to a genotype, whereas “MADM” signifies two reciprocal cassettes in the same MADM locus combined with a Cre line, while the number refers to a chromosome number). These data demonstrate that random insertion-based transgenesis in ES cells can be used to establish a functional MADM system in a new genomic locus.

However, the \( \beta\text{MADM}_{b} \)-based approach had two limitations: 1) The frequency of insertion of single copy transgenes was low (~6%); 2) Partial recombination events to generate GT and TG cassettes were highly biased in favor of GT (10:1), and therefore obtaining the TG cassette became laborious. These limitations led us to develop a second convertible precursor transgene, \( p\text{MADM}_{b} \).

\( p\text{MADM}_{b} \) contains the CA promoter driving the \( \beta\text{Geo} \) marker (a fusion of \( \beta\)-galactosidase and neomycin resistance gene), flanked by non-compatible variants of FRT: wild-type FRT and FRT5 [20]. This transgene could be subsequently converted into any other transgene, including a GT or TG cassette via Flp recombinase-mediated cassette exchange [20] (Figure 2B). To increase the chance of intact \( p\text{MADM}_{b} \) integration, ’protecting’ arms containing bacterial DNA were placed at the 5’ and 3’ ends of the transgene (500 bp and 3.0 kbp, respectively). We electro-ported \( p\text{MADM}_{b} \) into mouse ES cells and isolated ~1000 subclones; 484 showed strong lacZ expression and 325 (~32%) had intact 5’ and 3’ ends of the transgene. The intact transgene frequency was ~2–3 fold higher for \( p\text{MADM}_{b} \) than for \( \beta\text{MADM}_{c2} \), presumably due to the longer protection arms. We were able to determine insertion sites for 161 clones using “splinkerette” PCR [21]; 65 insertion sites were located in coding or intronic sequences, and 96 were located in the intergenic areas (triangles in Figure 2B). We confirmed the insertion sites by independent genomic PCR for a subset of \( p\text{MADM}_{b} \) transgene insertions located relatively close to corresponding centromeres (red triangles in Figure 2B).

To test the Flp-mediated cassette exchange reaction in ES cells, we selected one single-copy integrant located ~39 Mb from the centromere of Chr. 1 in the \( \text{M10GT} \) locus (Figure 2B) and transfected it with a Flp recombinase plasmid and a plasmid containing either the GT or TG cassette flanked with FRT5 and FRT (see Methods). The cassette exchange efficiency was ~9% (5 out of 54 sub-clones) or 25% (12 out of 48 sub-clones) for GT or TG cassettes, respectively. We established mice from these converted ES cells (hereafter called \( \text{M10GT} \)), which have regular blastocyst injection and chimera formation following the germline transmission. Similarly to MADM-10, we observed Cre-dependent labeling in conjunction with \( \text{Nestin-Cre} \) and \( \text{HprtCre} \) (data not shown). However, it is important to note that although the cellular labeling obtained by MADM-1 and MADM-10 appears as expected (red, green and yellow cells are all evident), this labeling may not accurately report the cellular genotypes unless the loci are biallelically and ubiquitously expressed (see below).

MADM expansion via targeted knock-in

Targeted knock-in [22,23] is a standard method for introducing a transgene into a precise location in the mouse genome [24]. The vast majority of ubiquitously expressed transgenes, including some made in our lab [10,25], have been made via knock-in into the Rosa26 locus [26]. To establish new MADM cassettes (GT and TG) in a locus that has been already proven to support ubiquitous expression, we inserted them into the Rosa26 locus. The knock-in procedure into Rosa26 generated the GT and TG alleles that allowed marker expression as described previously [10] (Figure 3, S1). Single-labeled, green and red, cells and double-labeled, yellow, cells were observed only when Cre was present in this version of MADM-6 containing the new MADM cassettes described above. As expected from in vitro cell culture tests, both tdT and GFP fluorescence were visible without immunostaining (Figure 3). Thus, this ‘new MADM-6’ is superior to the original version of MADM-6 [10], which required immunostaining to detect the red fluorescent protein.

To expand MADM to other chromosomes via targeted knock-in, we aimed to select loci that should enable the majority of genes on a particular chromosome to be subjected to mosaic analysis, and that are likely to support ubiquitous and biallelic expression of
Figure 2. Random integration-based approach to expand MADM to other mouse chromosomes. A and B) Schematic representations of MADM precursor (pMADM) constructs. A) pMADMα contains the CA promoter, FRT-flanked MADM GT and TG cassettes and a single polyadenylation signal (pA). The cassette containing the floxed neomycin phosphotransferase gene (loxP-pPGK-Neo-pA-loxP) is placed in the introns of both cassettes. pMADMα can be converted into either GT or TG via partial Flp-mediated recombination in ES cells. B) pMADMβ construct contains the CA promoter driving the βgeo gene (a lacZ and neomycin-phosphotransferase fusion) flanked by FRT5 and FRT. pMADMβ can be converted into any transgene, including a GT or TG cassette via Flp- and FRT5/FRT-mediated cassette exchange in ES cells. These MADM cassettes contained a hygromicin resistance gene (H) that was removed by φC31 integrase-mediated recombination (see Figure S1) before performing the experiments shown in D. C) Distribution of pMADM transgene intergenic integration sites in the mouse genome. Each centromere is represented by a blue circle, and mapped insertion sites are indicated by triangles (Mb, mega base pair). The pMADMβ insertion site used to establish MADM-10 (Figure 2D) is represented by the blue triangle located close to the centromere of Chr. 10. All the other triangles represent the insertion sites of pMADMβ transgenes based on the 5' genomic sequence amplified by Splinkerette PCR. Insertion sites that were mapped close to centromeres, and were independently confirmed by...
transgenes. Therefore, we focused on chromosomal regions close to the centromere that are located between highly expressed genes as judged by EST abundance [14]. As a specific example, we used the above strategy to knock-in MADM cassettes into the Hipp11 locus on Chr. 11, and observed Cre-dependent labeling as described [14] (Figure S1). We are in the process of generating several other mouse chromosomes with inserted MADM cassettes via the targeted knock-in approach described above.

Test for biallelic and ubiquitous marker expression

In order for MADM cassettes to reliably report cellular genotypes, the locus containing the cassettes must support biallelic expression in a cellular population of interest. Ideally, the locus should also promote biallelic expression. To examine biallelic expression of the new MADM cassettes in all loci examined in this study, we generated the following alleles: GG (GFP<sup>1</sup>-terminus-intron-GFP<sup>2</sup>-terminus) and TT (tdTMy<sup>1</sup>-terminus-intron-tdTMy<sup>2</sup>-terminus). Using the genetic scheme described in Figure 4A, we stimulated interchromosomal recombination during meiosis independently in the Hipp11, Mya1, Mya10 and R26 loci to generate GG or TT alleles in sperm or oocytes. In the process of generation of these alleles, the floxed Neo was removed from the introns as confirmed by PCR (data not shown). The newly generated alleles were then transmitted to progeny to generate GG/+ and TT/+ animals for all the loci. The frequency of progeny with GG or TT cassettes was 5.1% (4/78) for R26GG/TT mice in heart and Purkinje cells, but biallelic expression must be tested between genotype and marker expression.

In animals in which the MADM cassettes are in the same orientation on the centromere-to-telomere axis (e.g., R26<sup>GG/TT</sup>; M10<sup>TT/TT</sup>; Hipp<sup>Cre/Cre</sup>), double-labeled cells should contain a simple reciprocal translocation. Single-labeled (green and red) cells should exhibit abnormal copy numbers for parts of the chromosomes distal to the loxP sites. For the particular combination of the R26 and M10 transgenes above, the red cells should be monosomic for the Chr. 6 portion and trisomic for the Chr. 10 portion, while the green cells should be monosomic for the Chr. 10 portion and trisomic for the Chr. 6 portion (Figure 5A). We observed both single- and double-labeled cells in all tissues examined (nervous system, liver and small intestine, Figure 5B). The majority of cells were double-labeled (yellow) suggesting that most labeled cells were generated by post-mitotic recombination (Figure S2A) [10]. Another contributing factor to relative abundance of double-labeled cells could be the differential survival of cells with different genotypes. At present, we cannot distinguish between these possibilities.

Interestingly, in the olfactory epithelium, in which extensive post-mitotic cell migration does not occur, we detected “twin-spots” of adjacent green and red cells (Figure 5B). Moreover, these single-colored cells were able to further divide several times to form neighboring single-labeled clusters (Figure 5B and data not shown). Because the overall labeling frequency was very low, these red and green clusters most likely originated from a single mitotic recombination event. These observations demonstrate that MADM cassettes can be used to create uniquely labeled cells with site-specific reciprocal translocations or aneuploidy in vivo. Moreover, we show that cells with this type of aneuploidy are viable and can even divide in vivo.
In animals in which the MADM cassettes are in the opposite orientation (e.g., M10GT/H11TG, H11GT/HprtCre), double-labeled cells should contain an acentric and a dicentric chromosome. Single-labeled cells should contain a dicentric or an acentric chromosome, and also exhibit abnormal copy numbers: red cells should contain an acentric chromosome and should be trisomic for the portion of Chr. 11 distal to \( \text{loxP} \) and monosomic for the portion of Chr. 10 proximal to \( \text{loxP} \); green cells should contain a dicentric chromosome and be monosomic for the portion of Chr. 11 distal to \( \text{loxP} \) and trisomic for the portion of Chr. 10 proximal to \( \text{loxP} \) (Figure 5C). We observed both single- and double-labeled cells in various parts of the nervous system (Figure 5D). Again, most of the cells were double-labeled, and may have arisen postmitotically. It is important to note that in this case, the recombinant chromosomes that produce labeling are either dicentric or acentric. Unreliable transmission and loss of dicentric and acentric chromosomes in mitosis may therefore result in “conversion” of double-labeled cells to single- or unlabeled cells. Equivalent loss of acentric or dicentric chromosomes could convert single-labeled cells into unlabeled cells. As double-labeled cells can be generated both mitotically and postmitotically (Figure S2B), while the single-labeled cells can be generated only mitotically, the numbers of single-labeled cells may be disproportionately decreased compared to double-labeled cells due to the loss of dicentric and acentric chromosomes during mitosis.

Figure 3. Targeted knock-in approach to create new Rosa26 MADM with GT and TG cassettes. A) Schematic representation of new alleles: R26GT and R26TG. B), C) and D) Representative confocal images from tissues indicated on the bottom and genotypes indicated on top. Expected labeling was observed only when Cre was present (compare B with C and D). Bright cellular labeling observed in C and D originates from native tdT and GFP fluorescence (no additional immunostaining was performed). Some sections were stained with DAPI to label nuclei (blue). Scale bars, 50 μm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033332.g003

Figure 4. Test for global, biallelic expression from the newly modified MADM loci by creation of GG/TT transheterozygotes. A) Mating scheme outlines the creation of GG and TT alleles via Cre-mediated meiotic recombination. The two new lines for each locus were crossed to each other to generate the transheterozygous GG/TT animals. B) Representative confocal images of unstained tissue sections obtained from animals with genotypes represented above. Cells or groups of cells, in which the expression of one marker is markedly higher than the expression of the other, are indicated by asterisks. Scale bars, 100 μm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033332.g004
MADM-Tet: combining MADM with a binary expression system

Introduction of a binary expression system into MADM could expand the scope and utility of the technique by permitting expression of any transgene in one of the two mitotically generated and uniquely labeled sibling cells. In addition, if a binary system can be regulated, it would enable new types of analyses and enhance their spatial and temporal resolution. These additional capabilities could be used to: 1) rescue mutations with transgenes and test the critical periods of gene function (mutation in gene X combined with temporally-regulated expression of gene X); 2) test genetic interactions (mutation in gene X and temporally-regulated expression of gene Y, or dominant negative gene Y); 3) test the effect of gene overexpression; or 4) enable versatile subcellular labeling (e.g., synapse-specific labeling by expressing Synaptophysin-GFP fusion protein to assess synaptic phenotypes) [33]. For example, the inclusion of one or more binary expression systems in the similar mosaic system in flies (Mosaic Analysis with a Repressible Cell Marker, MARCM) [6] has greatly extended its utility [9,34].

The new design of MADM cassettes allowed us to reuse the TG cassette for this purpose. In addition, we generated another new cassette containing a split transcription factor, the tetracycline transactivator, tTA2 [17]. Therefore, the two new cassettes for MADM-Tet are:

\[ \text{ATG-intron-GFPc-terminus} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{GFPN-terminus-intron-tTA2ATG-less} \]

The plasmids containing these cassettes were tested in tissue culture to show that they express functional GFP and tTA2 only in the presence of Cre (data not shown). We knocked-in the new \text{G-tTA2} cassette into the \text{Rosa26} locus, and tested it by creating a quadruple-transgenic mouse: \text{R26TG/G-tTA2;Nestin-Cre}^+/-;\text{TRE-KZ}^+/- (Figure 6A). \text{TRE-KZ} (originally called \text{telo}2.1-\text{ires}-\text{tau}-\text{lacZ}) is a random transgene encoding the potassium channel \text{Kir}2.1 and a tau-LacZ fusion under the control
of the TRE promoter [35]. Immunostaining against GFP and LacZ revealed the two antigens: the GFP signal (green) was distributed throughout the cell, while the tau-LacZ signal (red) was predominantly located in neuronal and glial processes (Figure 6B). Thus, MADM-Tet enables a TRE transgene to be expressed in a subset of MADM-labeled cells.

Discussion

MADM is a powerful tool for high-resolution mosaic analysis of gene function in mice in vivo that requires MADM cassettes on the chromosomes harboring genes of interest [10,12,13,14,15]. Therefore, to maximize the applicability of MADM to most genes in the mouse genome, it would be ideal to establish MADM cassettes near the centromeres of all mouse autosomes.

Here, we created new mice that harbor MADM transgenes on different chromosomes, and compared two different methods for introducing MADM cassettes into new loci. We also established a test for biallelic and ubiquitous marker expression from these new loci harboring MADM transgenes. Using this test, we observed ubiquitous and biallelic expression from two targeted knock-ins, but stochastic expression from two randomly introduced transgenes. At present, we cannot determine whether the stochastic expression of the single-copy, randomly integrated transgenes is due to the loci themselves or due to the fact that all randomly integrated transgenes characterized in this study also contain...
plasmid bacterial DNA, which was used as a “buffer” to protect transgene ends. Our recent observations during the development of a site-specific transgenesis technique show that bacterial DNA can have a severe silencing effect, which is most prominent in the liver [36]. The silencing effect of bacterial elements on mammalian transgenes has been observed before in randomly integrated transgenes [37] and episomal transgenes [38]. This correlation suggests that the bacterial sequences flanking these transgenes could contribute to their variable expression. Therefore, targeted knock-ins or new random transgenesis screens, where bacterial protection arms are avoided, should be the methods of choice for expanding MADM cassettes onto other chromosomes.

In the future, we recommend that new genomic loci harboring MADM cassettes should be tested for biallelic expression by creation of GG and TT alleles from GT and TG alleles (Figure 4A). To expedite this key validation experiment, we recommend creation of the GG allele before or in parallel to the GT and TG alleles. The ubiquity of the expression in the new locus can then be assessed by examining the extent of double-labeled cells for any cell type of interest. However, the most rigorous test for biallelic expression should finally be performed by crossing the GG and TT alleles in the same locus as described in Figure 4.

Visual inspection of the efficiencies of MADM labeling (including both single- and double-labeled cells) revealed that $H110-R26M10-M1$. For $M10$ and particularly $M1$, these estimates are not completely reliable as these loci are not reliably expressed. Nevertheless, these data suggest that homologous recombination efficiencies differ quite widely for different chromosomal loci in somatic cells in vivo, and they are consistent with similar findings previously reported in embryonic stem cells [39]. The expression levels of the marker genes driven by the same pCA promoter in $M10$, $H11$ and $R26$ loci do not appear dramatically different, at least in tissues in which the markers are reliably expressed.

We also show that complementary MADM cassettes on different chromosomes can be used to produce and label cells that undergo various translocation events. This new application of MADM now permits the analysis of single-cell phenotypes produced by precisely defined translocation events in vivo. Interestingly, in the $M10/H11$ translocation case, we observed double-labeled Purkinje cells with elaborate dendritic trees, suggesting that the presence of dicentric and acentric chromosomes does not perturb the development or maintenance of a complex dendritic arbor. Systematic studies in the future can determine the consequences of chromosomal aneuploidy on the differentiation and function of different cell types.

Finally, we demonstrate that by replacing one of the fluorescent markers with the tTA2 transcription factor, MADM can also express a TRE-controlled transgene of interest in a small population of cells. This capability can be used in the future to combine transgene expression with loss-of-function mutations in the same, uniquely labeled cells. Further modifications of the technique would extend MADM-Tet capabilities. For example, a new G-tTA2 cassette that would include labeling of tTA2-expressing cells independently of the tTA2 activity would allow their visualization before or after the expression of tTA-dependent transgenes. Efficient generation of reliable TRE transgenes would further facilitate the use of MADM-Tet. As a built-in TRE transgene was mostly silent in the Rosa26 locus [as part of the G-TET allele; Figure 51B and S3] and because TRE transgenes are prone to silencing [40,41], we have been modifying the TIGRE T1 [42] locus to enable integrase-mediated site-specific transgenesis [36] for efficient creation of reliable TRE transgenes. Together, these advances enable new applications of MADM and will facilitate additional extensions of MADM in the future.

Methods

Ethics Statement

All animal procedures were in compliance with the institutional animal care guidelines and were approved by Stanford University’s Administrative Panel on Laboratory Animal Care (APLAC, protocol number 14007).

Plasmid construction

Recombinant DNA was constructed using standard techniques. When fragments were amplified by PCR, we used Plusion Taq polymerase (Finnzymes), and confirmed the sequences fully by DNA sequencing. All synthetic DNA fragments were also fully confirmed by DNA sequencing.

pMADMa (pCA-FRT-G-Neo-T-FRT-T-Neo-G-FRT-pA), pCA promoter (containing the chicken β-actin promoter and a CMV enhancer) and the SV40 polyadenylation signal [44] from pCA (I22) [10], and synthetic DNA fragments containing FRT sites were sequentially introduced into pBluescript to create a plasmid intermediate, pKMS (pCA-FRT-Xmnl-EcoRl-FRT-SpI-HindIII-FRT-pA). The Xmnl/EcoRl fragment of MADM-TG cassette [14] (see construction details below) was introduced into a pBluescript vector to flank this cassette with Spel and HindIII. Then, the Spel/HindIII restriction fragment of MADM-TG cassette [14] were sequentially introduced into pKMS to generate pMADMa. The construct was digested with restriction enzymes PvuI and AflIII, and the insert was gel-purified using Qiagen gel extraction kit and eluted into 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 0.1 mM EDTA. The purified and linearized DNA contained ~50 bp and ~300 bp of vector sequence at its 5’ and 3’ ends, respectively. This vector sequence was deliberately retained to minimize the transgene damage with exonucleases after electroporation of the DNA into mouse ES cells.

pMADMb (pFRT5-pCA-βGeo-pA-pPGK-TK-pA-FRT). The following fragments were assembled together in this order to make pMADMb:

i) 5’ protection arm: ~500 bp PCR fragment of β-lactamase gene from pBluescript amplified by the following primers: GGTACCAcatatttataataaatgatttacctaaagag- gatctttcacc and GGTACCTAaCTGCGCTTGAGTCGTTG.

ii) 319 bp PCR fragment of xanthine guanine agglutinin (Wg4) gene amplified by PCR primers: GGTACGCGTTCGGGCAACACACGT and AGGCTCCATGCAGCGGATCCCGAGTCGTTG. This arm was placed immediately downstream of the protection arm to provide unique sequence in the mouse genome for the Spinkere SITE PCR. Single NadH restriction site was artificially introduced in the 3’ end of this arm for Spinkere SITE PCR.

iii) FRT5 (GAAGTTCCTATTCCGAAGTTCCTATTCTT-CAAAAAGGTATAGGAACTTC) from a synthetic DNA was introduced after the unique 5’ arm.

iv) pCA promoter from pCA (H22) [10].

v) NadH/Кpnl fragment containing the βGeo gene from the plasmid Z/EG [45].

vi) Kpnl/HindIII fragment containing the pPGK-TK-pA cassette from the plasmid pLOXNT [24]. (Note that we eventually decided not to use thymidine kinase (TK)-based selection.)
The construct was digested with restriction enzymes PvuI and AluIII, and the insert was gel-purified using Qiagen gel extraction kit and eluted into 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 0.1 mM EDTA. The purified and linearized DNA contained ~500 bp and ~3000 bp of vector sequence at its 5’ and 3’ ends, respectively. The vector sequences served as protection arms against endonucleases after electroporation into the mouse ES cells.

**pExG** and **pExT** (pFRT5-pCA-GT-pA-FRT-attP3-pPGK-Hyg-pA-attB and pFRT5-pCA-TG-pA-FRT-attP3-pPGK-Hyg-pA-attB): Synthetic DNA fragments containing FRT5 [20,44] and FRT were sequentially introduced 5’ and 3’ to the MADM cassettes in the MADM-GT and GTG constructs to generate intermediates pFRT5-GT-FRT and pFRT5-TG-FRT. Independently, pattB-Hyg-attP3 was generated by flanking the hygromycin resistance gene (Hyg) driven by the phosphoglycerate kinase promoter (pPGK) with BglIIICS1 integrase recognition sites: three 70-bp long attP sites from pBT298 [36] and a “full length” attB [45]. To create the final constructs (pExG and pExT), the XmnI/BamHI fragment from pattB-Hyg-attP3 was introduced into the ScaI site of pFRT5-GT-FRT and pFRT5-TG-FRT. The constructs were prepared by using Endotoxin Free-Maxi prep (Qiagen) for the electroporation into the mouse ES cells.

### MADM cassettes

To construct and test final MADM targeting constructs we created a set of constructs in the pCA (H2) plasmid, which contains a polynucleotide linker between the pC4 promoter (chicken β-actin promoter and CMV enhancer) and an SV40 polyadenylation sequence [10].

**pCA-G-intron-T**. The previously used first GFP exon from the GR cassette [10] and tdT3MycATG-less were assembled in pH2z2 separated by the previously described modified β-globin intron containing BglIII and loxP sites [10].

**pCA-T-intron-G**. The previously used second GFP exon from the RG cassette [10] was assembled with a fragment containing a Kozak sequence, ATG start codon and the same β-globin intron described above in pH2z2.

**pCA-G-intronNeo-T** and **pCA-T-intronNeo-G**. We inserted a BglIII/BamHI fragment containing the neomycin resistance gene (Neo) driven by a SV40 promoter and followed by the HSV TK polyadenylation site into the BglIII site of pCA-G-intron-T or pCA-T-intron-G, respectively. We created three different versions of the Neo cassette to contain different numbers or identities of recombination sites: version 1: pLN: loxP-pSV40-Neo-pA; version 2: pFLN: FRT-loxP-pSV40-Neo-pA; version 3: pFLLFLN: FRT-Lox5171-Lox2272-FRT-loxP-pSV40-Neo-pA. The loxP versions, Lox5171 and Lox2272 are compatible with each other and with loxP, but each one is incompatible with itself [46]. They were introduced in attempts to increase recombination efficiency. Comparisons of these intron versions and their effect on recombination efficiency will be described elsewhere (A. Henner and H. Zong, in preparation). The intron versions that were used for creating targeting constructs for particular loci described in this study are schematically represented in Figure S1.

**pBT234** (pCA-G-intron-tTA2ATG-less-pA). Used for testing the cassettes before the construction of final targeting constructs.

**pBT237** (pCA-G-intronNeo-tTA2ATG-less-pA). pGLLFLN was cloned into BglII site of pBT234. **pBT270** (pCA-G-intronNeo-tTA2ATG-less-pA-ii-TRE-tdT3Myc-pA-ii). It-TRE-tdT3Myc-pA-ii from pBT264 [47] was inserted into pBT250.

### Targeting constructs

All targeting constructs for the Rosa26 locus were created by inserting a Pmel/AscI-digested fragment from a plasmid plasmid into the pROSA26-Pa [48]. The pRosa26-GT precursor is pCA-G-intronNeo-T; pRosa26-TG precursor is pCA-T-intronNeo-G; pRosa26-G-tTA2 (pBT239) precursor is pBT236; pRosa26-GTET (pBT272) precursor is pBT270.

### Control constructs

**pBT235** (pCA-GFP4m). Used as a positive control for GFP expression. GFP4m (or mut4EGFP) is a thermostolerant GFP variant [49,50]. All other GFP-containing constructs in this study contain this variant of GFP.

**pCA-G-intron-G**. Described originally in [10]. Used as a positive control for split GFP expression.

**pBT225** (pCA-tdT3Myc): Used as a positive control for ttd expression and Muc staining.

**pCA-ATG-intron-ttdT**. Constructed initially as a test for splitting the ttdT gene into an exon containing a start codon (ATG) and an exon containing the rest of ttdT by the β-globin intron containing the BglIII site and loxP [10].

**pBT224** (pCA-tTA2-pA). Used as a positive control for tTA2 activity in conjunction with pBT239 (TRE-tdT3Myc-pA) [47]. The tTA gene was cloned from pUHT61-1 [17].

**pBT267** (pCA-ATG-intron-tTA2ATG-less-TRE-tdT3Myc-pA) and **pBT268** (pCA-ATG-intron-tTA2ATG-less-iiTRE-tdT3Myc-pA-ii). Constructed to compare the effect of insulators on decreasing tTA2-independent activation of TRE. The constructs were also tested in the presence of doxycycline to assess which construct has higher background expression of ttdT3Myc.

### Screening of ES cell clones obtained by random transgenesis

We used standard techniques [24] to modify R1 mouse ES cells, which originated from a 129 mouse strain [51].

pMADMz construct was introduced into ES cells via electroporation, and individual G418-resistant clones were evaluated for intact transgene integration by genomic PCR using primers KM1 (GTTGCTCAAGGCGATTAGT) and KM2 (TTATG-TAACGCGGAACTCCCA) to detect the 5’ end of each transgene (PCR product, 211 bp), and CCCCTGGAACCTGAAACATA and TGTGGAATTGTGAGCGGATA to detect the 3’ end of each transgene (PCR product, 275 bp). We further analysed the genomic DNA from the ES cells containing intact transgenes by Southern blotting. We used a probe for Neo, which is located in the

---

*Note: This sequence was originally introduced after the TK cassette.*
intron of MADM cassette, and the genomic DNA obtained from the R26\textsuperscript{GFP}\textsuperscript{+} mouse line as a reference for a single-copy transgene. For ES cells that contained single-copy transgenes based on the Southern blot, we performed inverse PCR to identify the 5′-flanking genome sequence. Genomic DNA was digested with restriction enzyme NlaIII and subjected to the ligase-mediated self-ligation. The resultant circular DNA was then used as a template for a two-step nested PCR to amplify the transgene flanking region. For the first round of PCR, we used primers: TAATCGGACCGCGTGGTTA and GTTTCGCCAGTCGAGGT. For the second round of PCR, we used 0.3 μl of the first round PCR product and primers: ACTTAATCGCCCTTGCACTTAC and ACCACTTGCCACCTATCACC (for TACGGCC (for ends of the transgene by PCR. We used the following primers: DNA from 96-well plates. We tested the intactness of 5′- and 3′- ends of the transgenes by PCR. We used the following primers: CAGCAC and TATAGGGCGAATTGGGGAAT. The final PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis, gel-extracted by the Qiagen gel extraction kit, and analyzed by DNA sequencing. For the intact single-copy transgenes integrated in intergenic regions close to any centromere, we performed additional Splikerette PCR [21] to confirm integration sites.

\(\beta\text{MADM}\) was also introduced into R1 mouse ES cells by electroporation and individual G418-resistant clones in 96-well plates were evaluated for the expression of \(\beta\text{gfp}\) by lacZ staining. 96-well plates were washed with PBS, fixed by 0.2% glutaraldehyde in PBS for 5 min. at room temperature (RT), and washed 3 times at RT with the staining buffer (2 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}, 0.01% Deoxycholate, 0.02% NP40, 100 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.5). Cells were then treated with the solution containing: 5 mM potassium ferricyanide (Sigma), 5 mM potassium ferrocyanide (Sigma), 2.5 mM 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-beta-D-galactopyranoside (X-gal, Invitrogen) at 37°C for 1-2 hours. We recorded the activity of lacZ in individual clones and then extracted genomic DNA from 96-well plates. We tested the intactness of 5′- and 3′- ends of the transgenes by PCR. We used the following primers: CTATGCCCGAACACCTCCTG and ATGATACTGGCCAAGTACGCCC (for \(\beta\text{MADM}\) 5′ end); CCCCTGAAACCTTGAAACAATA and ACCACCTGGCACCACCTATCACC (for \(\beta\text{MADM}\) 3′ end). The clones with high lacZ expression and intact transgenes, were further analyzed by Splikerette PCR [21] to identify the flanking genomic sequence at the 5′- end of the transgene. Splikerette PCR was reported to be more efficient than inverse PCR method we used for \(\beta\text{MADM}\) screening. The genomic DNA was digested with NlaIII and a specifically designed Splinker was 

\begin{align*}
\text{FRT1} & : \text{CGGAATACCACTGAAATTGG} \\
\text{FRT2} & : \text{CGCCTCAGGACTCTTCCTTT} \\
\text{FRT3} & : \text{AAGCATCAACGACAACAAG} \\
\text{FRT4} & : \text{TGAGTTTGGACAAACCACAAC} \\
\end{align*}

Another PCR used primers GAAACTGGGCATGTGGAGAC and GGGCGTACTTGGCATATGAT to amplify the junction containing \(\text{FRT5}\) of the transgene: AAGCATCAACGACAACCTCTG and TCGCAGTCTCTGCGATTAG (create a 286 bp product) and \(\text{FRT}3\) (of the transgene): AAGGATACAGGACAAACCG and 5′-GGGATACACTGGAAATTGG (create a 200 bp product). The ES cells clones that contained correctly recombined cassettes were used to generate chimeric mice by injection into C57BL/6 blastocysts.

To convert \(\beta\text{MADM}\)'s into MADM-\textit{GT} or \(\textit{TG}\), 25 mg of one of the exchange cassette plasmids (\textit{ExG} or \textit{ExT}) and 25 mg of \textit{pPGK-Flpo-Puro} plasmid (Addgene plasmid 13793) [52] were introduced into the selected ES cells (~5x10\textsuperscript{6} cells). We cultured the ES cells without selection for 72 hours and then applied hygromycin (120 mg/ml) for one week. Individual hygromycin-resistant clones in 96-well plates were divided into five replicates: two for stock, one for lacZ, staining, and two for genomic DNA preparation. To detect the \(\text{FRT5}/\text{FRT}\) mediated site-specific recombination events, we used PCR with primers: CTATGCCCGAACACCTCCTG and GGGCGTACTTGGCATATGAT to amplify the junction containing \(\text{FRT5}\). This PCR not only confirmed that the ES clone still contained the MADM transgene, but also generated different sizes of PCR products for non-recombined (510 bp) and recombined (550 bp) clones. The PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis on a 2% agarose gel. Site-specific recombination was confirmed by additional PCR primer sets that specifically amplify the newly formed junctions at \(\text{FRT5}\) (5′ of the transgene): CTATGCCCGAACACCTCCTG and TCGCAGTCTCTGCGATTAG (create a 286 bp product) and \(\text{FRT}3\) (of the transgene): AAGGATACAGGACAAACCG and 5′-CCGGATACACTGGAAATTGG (create a 200 bp product). The ES cell clones that contained correctly recombined cassettes were used to generate chimeric mice by injection into C57BL/6 blastocysts. The chimeras were directly crossed to a \(\Phi\text{C31o integrase mouse line [Jackson laboratory, stock} # 007670\) [52] to remove the \(\text{pPGK-Neo}\text{-pA cassette from the genome in the next generation.}

### Tissue processing, immunohistochemistry and imaging

Tissues were processed according to previously described procedures [10,11]. Neither tdT nor GFP required immunostaining for visualization. Although the majority of the data presented in the paper were obtained from unstained tissue sections, sections can be immunostained for better signal preservation according to previously published methods [11] using the following primary antibodies: chicken anti-GFP (1:500; Aves Labs), goat anti-MYC (1:200; Novus; the best results are obtained if antibody is pre-absorbed with fixed, finely minced, wild-type brain according to the previously described procedure [33]), rabbit anti-\textit{DrRed} (1:1000; Clontech), or rabbit anti-LacZ (1:500; MP Biomedicals [previously Cappel] Cat. No. 0855970). Secondary antibodies (donkey anti-chicken FITC, donkey anti-rabbit Cy3 and donkey anti-goat Cy3 from Jackson ImmunoResearch), were used at 1:200 dilution. In some cases, sections were also stained with DAPI. Sections were imaged with a Nikon CCD camera or a confocal microscope (Zeiss 510).

### Genotyping

Mouse DNA was extracted and genotyping PCR performed as described previously [36].
For genotyping M1 MADM transgenes, we used primers: KM5 (CTATGCGCACAACCTCTTG), KM6 (ATCATATGCCAGTAGCCGCC), KM7 (GGGGTGCATCTGTCAGCTCT) and KM8 (TTGGCTTGCAATTCTTGCAGA). These primers amplify a 512 bp transgene fragment and a 280 bp wt M1 locus fragment.

For genotyping M10 MADM transgenes, we used primers: KMI (GTGCTGCGAAAGCGATTAAGT) and KM2 (TTATGTAAGCGGCCACTCCA). These primers amplify a 211 bp fragment for either MADM cassette. To distinguish heterozygous vs. homozygous transgene, we used additional primers KM3 (CATATTCGAACTTACACACACT) and KM4 (ATCATG-GAGGAGCTGGGAG). These amplifies a 300 bp fragment from the wt M10 locus.

H11 MADM transgenes (available at The Jackson Laboratory): MADM-11-GT, stock# 013749 and MADM-11-TG, stock# 013751) were genotyped as described [14], using primers: SH176 (TGGAAGGAGCAAAACAGTGTCAC), SH177 (TCAATGCG-GGGGGAGTCGTT), SH178 (TTCCTCTTCTGGCTCTAT- CTTGC) according to the genotyping protocol deposited to the Jackson Laboratory.

For genotyping Rosa26 knock-ins we used primers Rosa4 (TCAATGGCGGGGGTGTT), Rosa10 (CTCTGCGC- TCTCTGCTCTTCT) and Rosa11 (CGAGGCGGATCACAAG- TTCATCCAGTG). These primers amplify a 250 bp knock-in fragment and a 330 bp wt Rosa26 locus fragment.

For genotyping TRE-KΔ2, we used primers Tau1 (GGTGCGAAGTTGCGATATAAT) and Tau2 (CAGCTTGGT- GGTTCATGATCT) to amplify a 315 bp tau fragment. We combined them with primers IMR0015 (CAAACTTGTCATTGTCGTTG) and IMR0016 (GTTCAGTGAGTGCACTATTCT) to amplify a 290 bp internal control fragment.

For genotyping Foxg1-Cre-A (CACCCTGTCAGTATAAGCGC) and Foxg1-Cre-B (GCAT- CATGTTAGAGACCGCCGTA) to amplify a 270-bp fragment of TA. We combined them with primers GlobinL (CACAATGCGTACACA- CAGGATGAGGAGCCGAG) and GlobinR (CTCTGCA- TGGCTCAAGTTCAGCGCATCAGCGG) to amplify a 300 bp internal control fragment.

For genotyping Cre transgenes, we used Foxg1-Cre-A (CACCCTGTCAGTATAAGCGC) and Foxg1-Cre-B (GCAT- CATGTTAGAGACCGCCGTA) to amplify a 270-bp fragment of TA. We combined them with primers GlobinL (CACAATGCGTACACA- CAGGATGAGGAGCCGAG) and GlobinR (CTCTGCA- TGGCTCAAGTTCAGCGCATCAGCGG) to amplify a 300 bp internal control fragment.

Genotyping for the presence of the neomycin resistance gene (Neo) was performed using primers IMR3742 (GTGAGCTG- CACTTCCAGGAG), IMR3743 (GACCTTCCAGGATGTA- ATG), IMR013 (CTTGCTGCGAGGACCATCT) and IMR014 (AGGTGAGATGAGGAGAGT). These primers produce a 280 bp Neo band and a 180 bp wt band.

Mouse maintenance and crosses

All mice were kept in a mixed background. All mouse lines contained some 129 and CD1 strain backgrounds, and some additionally contained C57Bl/6 and FVB. We preferred to keep mice with as much CD1 background as possible to increase fecundity.

We kept GT and TG stocks separately from each other. This approach prevents mixing up the stocks and allowed us to use the same PCR for genotyping either stock using the common pairs of primers. Other transgenes were crossed into one of the MADM cassette alleles. Once a Nestin-Cre or HprtCre line is crossed into one of the MADM cassette strains, the loxp-flanked (floxed) Neo is removed in the germline. Therefore any double positive animal of this type will transmit to its progeny the MADM cassette with removed Neo. The MADM cassette alleles were then usually homozygosed during maturation to obviate the need for genotyping for that allele. For example, to generate the experimental animals R26GT/TG;Nestin-Cre+/-; TRE-KΔ2+/-, we would create two lines: R26GT/TG and R26GT/TG;Nestin-Cre+/-; TRE-KΔ2+/-.

The line, as well as other MADM-cassette lines, were usually kept homozygous (no genotyping required). In the case of R26GT/TG only, some homozygous males show decreased fertility, so from time to time a homozygous female was crossed to a CD1 wt male, and after that the homozygous stock was reestablished by crossing heterozygous mice to each other. The second line was created by sequentially introducing Nestin-Cre and TRE-KΔ2 transgenes into R26GT mice. After the triple-transgenic mice R26GT;Nestin-Cre+/-; TRE-KΔ2+/- were created, they were crossed to R26GT/TG to create R26GT/TG; Nestin-Cre+/-; TRE-KΔ2+/-.

These mice were maintained by crossing to R26GT/TG homozygous stock and genotyping only for the presence of Cre and tau.

All GG and TT alleles were generated by Cre-mediated interchromosomal recombination and were detected by screening tail samples for expression of GFP or tdT under the fluorescence microscope. In addition, under UV light, these animals appeared uniformly green and red, respectively. All GG and TT alleles had lost the floxed Neo from the intron as confirmed by Neo PCR (see Genotyping).

HprtCre is located on the X chromosome [19]. If maximal level of recombination is desired, it is recommended to use males for phenotypic analysis (HprtCre/Y as opposed HprtCre/+). In females, due to the random X inactivation, only roughly half of the cells have the active HprtCre allele.

Reagent availability

The DNA constructs described in this paper will be deposited to Addgene. We will also deposit the following lines to The Jackson Laboratory: R26GT (stock# 017912), R26TG (stock# 017921), R26TT (stock# 017922), R26G-tTA (stock# 017990), Myla10GT (stock# 017923), and Myla10TG (stock# 017932). Note that we have already deposited the following lines to The Jackson Laboratory: Rosa26Gt (also called MADM-GG, stock# 006053) [10], Hipp11GT (also called MADM-11GT, stock# 013749), and Hipp11TG (also called, MADM-11TG, stock# 013751) [14]. Whereas the GT and TG mice can be used for MADM analysis of genes located on those specific chromosomes, GG and TT mice express high-level green or red fluorescence proteins globally and can be used, for example, as tissue donors in transplantation or chimeragenesis experiments.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Loci and alleles used in this study. A) Myla1 (M1) on Chr. 1; B) Rosa26 (R26) on Chr. 6; C) Myla10 (M10) on Chr. 10 and D) Hipp11 (H11) on Chr. 11. Panel D is modified after [14], where H11+ and H11− were referred to as MADM-11GT and MADM-11TG, respectively. The loxp-flanked (floxed) Neo in any of the alleles above is converted into a single wild-type loxp site after the allele is crossed to a germline-expressed Cre transgene (Nestin-Cre or HprtCre). All GG and TT alleles described here were created by Cre-mediated interchromosomal recombination in meiosis and have lost the floxed Neo. The previously described R26GG allele (also referred to as MADM-GG), which was created by targeted knock-in, contains the floxed Neo in the intron [10].

(TIF)

Figure S2 A scheme for generation of translocations and aneuploidy using MADM. A) A cell containing Cre and two non-homologous chromosomes with reciprocal cassettes in the
same orientation, e.g., Chr. 6 and Chr. 10, can generate cells containing the reciprocal translocation or aneuploidy. B. A cell containing Cre and two non-homologous chromosomes with reciprocal cassettes in the opposite orientation, e.g., Chr. 10 and Chr. 11, can generate cells with acentric and dicentric chromosomes and aneuploidy. In this case, change in labeling and genotype could result from the loss of acentric or dicentric chromosomes during cell division.

Figure S3 A built-in TRE reporter within the G-TET allele is mostly silent. A) With the aim of simplifying the use of MADM-Tet by minimizing the number of transgenes that need to be combined in a single animal, we generated another version of G-TET2 that had a built-in TRE reporter (TRE:tdT-3Myc), which we call G-TET (Figure S1B). In the G-TET construct, we flanked the TRE expression unit with pairs of insulators to decrease the tTA-independent leakiness of TRE. This leakiness was initially observed in transient transfection experiments with a plasmid containing a pCMV-containing unit preceding the TRE unit (pBT267). This leakiness was significantly decreased when insulators were inserted to flank the TRE (pBT268, data not shown). We tested the G-TET construct in vivo by creating a knock-in mouse in Rosa26 and then by creating a triple-transgenic mouse: R26R;Rosa26;Rosa26Cre;Nestin-Cre;G-TET. We observed only GFP expression. The panel shows an epifluorescence image of a cortical tissue section from the genotype indicated on top, stained with anti-GFP and anti-Myc antibodies, and DAPI. B) To test for TRE activation in the brain, we crossed R26R;G-TET to Foxg1tTA knock-in allele, which expresses tTA strongly in the mouse forebrain [53] and is capable of activating a TRE line previously generated in our lab by random transgenese (TRE:G-6-T; [33]). However, when G-TET was crossed to Foxg1tTA, the activation was observed only in a subset of vomeronasal receptor neurons in a tTA-dependent manner. The panel shows native tdT fluorescence in forebrain tissue sections with genotypes indicated on top. Thus, we conclude that our TRE:tdT-3Myc, which is part of G-TET, cannot be activated by tTA in most cells of the forebrain.
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