Comparison of mitochondrial genome and development of specific PCR primers for identifying two scuticociliates, *Pseudocohnilembus persalinus* and *Uronema marinum*
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**Abstract**

**Background**: *Pseudocohnilembus persalinus* and *Uronema marinum* (Ciliophora, Scuticociliatia), as parasitic scuticociliatid ciliates, were isolated from *Scophthalmus maximus* and *Takifugu rubripes*, respectively, in our previous studies. These ciliates are morphologically very similar; hence, it is difficult to identify specific scuticociliate species using traditional classification methods for performing taxonomic research and disease control studies.

**Methods**: We annotated the mitochondrial genomes of these two scuticociliates on the basis of previous sequencing, including analyses of nucleotide composition, codon usage, Ka/Ks, and p-distance. We also compared the nucleotide and amino acid similarity of the mitochondrial genomes of *P. persalinus*, *U. marinum*, and other 12 related ciliates, and a phylogenetic tree was constructed using 16 common genes. We chose the *nad4* and *nad7* genes to design specific PCR primers for identification.

**Results**: *P. persalinus* and *U. marinum* were found to have a close evolutionary relationship. Although codon preferences were similar, differences were observed in the usage of codons such as CGA, CGC, and GTC. Both Ka/Ks and p-distance were less than 1. Except for *yejR*, *ymf57*, *ymf67*, and *ymf75*, the amino acid sequence similarity between *P. persalinus* and *U. marinum* was greater than 50%.

**Conclusions**: The mitochondrial genomes of *P. persalinus* and *U. marinum* were thoroughly compared to provide a reference for disease prevention and control. The specific PCR primers enabled us to identify *P. persalinus* and *U. marinum* rapidly and accurately at the molecular level, thus providing a basis for classification and identification.
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**Background**

In recent years, there has been an increase in the occurrence and extent of damage due to diseases of marine animals caused by ciliates. Scuticociliatosis, a disease caused by a type of ciliates known as scuticociliates, occurs globally and has led to a high fatality rate of fishes [1, 2]. Scuticociliates include approximately 20 species that cause scuticociliatosis, including *Miamiensis*. 
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avidus, Uronema marinum, Uronema nigricans, Meta-
ophryis orientalis, Pseudocohnilembus persalinus, and
Pseudocohnilembus hargisi [3–7]. The species of para-
sitic scuticociliates differ according to the different liv-
ing environments of the host, but the symptoms caused
by them are very similar [8]. Scuticociliates can not only
invade the body surface, skin, fin, and muscle of fishes
but also their abdominal cavity, kidney, pancreas, and
even brain. Scuticociliates cause blackening of fish body
color, tissue and organ bleeding, ulceration, and other
pathological changes, leading to the death of Paralich-
thyis olivaceus, Thunnus maccocyii, Scophthalmus maximus,
and Dicentrachus labrax [4, 9–12]. Thus, to prevent
and control scuticociliatosis effectively and rapidly, it is
important to accurately detect scuticociliates. However,
the morphological forms of the subclass Scuticociliata
are very similar. The body of scuticociliates is 20–45 μm
long, melon seed-shaped, and covered with cilia. There-
fore, it is difficult to distinguish scuticociliates using a
light microscope. Previously, the species of scuticociliates
could only be identified through repeated verification by
a series of methods such as scanning electron micros-
copy, protein silver staining, and silver impregnation.
Although the morphological analysis of scuticociliates is
a useful approach to identify them, it is time-consuming
and laborious, and is thus not suitable for wider use prac-
tically in treating scuticociliatosis. Moreover, morpholog-
al analysis is based on staining techniques, which may
obscure subtle changes, leading to identification errors
[13]. The ribosomal small subunit rDNA (SSU-rDNA)
sequence analysis method is a universal tool for parasite
classification. However, the SSU-rDNAs of related para-
site species show few differences, which limits the identi-
fication of closely related species [14].

In a preliminary study, we isolated P. persalinus and
U. marinum from Scophthalmus maximus and Takifugu rubripes, respectively [15, 16]. P. persalinus and U. mari-
um are dominant scuticociliate pathogens that are dis-
tributed in the north of China marine aquaculture area
[17]. In our previous studies, we sequenced the whole
mitochondrial genomes of P. persalinus and U. marinum
[15, 16]. In the present study, we analyzed interspecific
differences in the mitochondrial genome between P. per-
salinus and U. marinum by comparing codon usage, Ka/
Ks, and p-distance. We also revealed evolutionary rela-
tionships and mitochondrial genome differences between
these species and 12 related ciliates by constructing a
phylogenetic tree and comparing nucleotide and amino
acid similarity of the mitochondrial genomes. Specific
PCR primers for identification were designed according
to the specific genes in the mitochondrial genomes of P.
persalinus and U. marinum. These primers enable easy,
rapid, and accurate species identification at the molecular
level. The use of PCR primers to identify scuticociliates
provided the basis for the classification and identification
of scuticociliates.

Methods

Whole mitochondrial genomes of P. persalinus and U.
marinum

The whole mitochondrial genome sequences of P. persa-
linus and U. marinum were deposited in NCBI (https://
www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) with accession numbers
MH608212 and MG272262, respectively.

Annotation of the mitochondrial genome

The MITOS (http://mitos.bioinf.uni-leipzig.de/index.
py) [18] and ORF Finder (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.
gov/orffinder/) tools were used to preliminarily annotate
mitochondrial genome sequences. BLASTp and BLAST
(https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) were used to
compare the preliminary results of annotation with
encoded protein and rRNA sequences belonging to the
reported related species in order to verify the accuracy of
the results and make corrections. The schematic diagram
was drawn using OGDRAW (https://chlorobox.mpimp-
golm.mpg.de/OGDraw.html).

Analysis of codon usage

The codon usage bias of P. persalinus and U. marinum
was compared. The RSCU was calculated using the for-
mula described in the study by Sharp and Li [19].

Analysis of Ka/Ks and p-distance

Ka/Ks analysis was performed on 25 common encoding
genes of P. persalinus and U. marinum. MUSCLE v3.8.31
(http://www.drive5.com/muscle/) software was used to
compare the selected 25 common encoding genes of P.
persalinus and U. marinum [20]. The KaKs_Calculator
2.0 was then used to calculate the Ka/Ks of all compared
sequences [21], and MEGA6 software was used to calcu-
late p-distance [22].

Phylogenetic analysis

The complete mitochondrial sequences of 12 ciliates
related to P. persalinus and U. marinum were down-
loaded from NCBI for phylogenetic tree construction.
The sequences used for comparison and their GenBank
accession numbers were as follows: Tetrahymena para-
vorax (Accession Number: NC_008338.1), Tetrahym-
ena pyriformis (Accession Number: NC_000862.1),
Tetrahymena thermophila (Accession Number:
NC_003029.1), Paramecium caudatum (Accession Num-
ber: NC_014262.1), Tetrahymena pigmentosa (Access-
ion Number: NC_008339.1), Tetrahymena malaccensis
(Accession Number: NC_008337.1), Laurentiella strenua
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(Accession Number: KX529838.1), Thuricula similis (Accession Number: MW221262), Oxytricha trifallax (Accession Number: JN383843), Halteria grandinella (Accession Number: MT471317), Euploites vanleewenhoeki (Accession Number: MK889230), and Urostyla grandis (Accession Number: KX494929.1). Sixteen common encoding genes of the complete mitochondrial genome of all ciliates were selected for phylogenetic tree construction. MUSCLE v3.8.31 software (http://www.drive5.com/muscle/) was used to compare individual genes among all 14 ciliates. The jModelTest 2.1.7 (https://code.google.com/p/jmodeltest2/) [23] was used for testing the nucleic acid model, and the model with the minimum Akaike information criterion (AIC) value was selected as the best model for phylogenetic tree construction. MrBayes v3.2.6 (http://nibsweden.github.io/MrBayes/manual.html) was used to construct phylogenetic trees with Bayesian inference [24], and RAxML 8.1.5 (https://sco.h-its.org/exelixis/web/software/raxml/index.html) was used to construct a phylogenetic tree using the maximum likelihood (ML) method, with the bootstrap value set to 1000 [25].

Comparison of nucleotide and amino acid similarity of mitochondrial genomes of 14 related ciliates

The COGs and identity comparison of the whole mitochondrial genomes belonging to P. persalinus, U. marinum, and 12 other related ciliates were analyzed [26]. According to the species arrangement and common gene information of the phylogenetic tree, genoPlotR (http://genoplotr.r-forge.r-project.org/) [27] was used to compare and analyze the common genes of these 14 species.

Design of specific PCR primers for identification

The whole mitochondrial genome sequences of P. persalinus and U. marinum were compared. Next, genes with both intraspecific relative conservatism and interspecific diversity among scuticociliates were selected. Among the selected genes, we chose nad4 and nad7. Primer Premier 5 was used to design specific primers based on nad4 and nad7. Primers P2-F (5′-GTATGTTTACAATGGTTAT-3′) and P2-R (5′-TATGACCATCTTTTCTCATCA-3′) were designed based on the nad4 sequence of P. persalinus; primers P3-F (5′-ATGTCTTA TGTTATGCAA-3′) and P3-R (5′-TGTATTAGTAGAA CTATTATCAT-3′) were designed based on the nad7 sequence of U. marinum. The PCR reaction system consisted of a 40 μL reaction mixture containing 20 μL KOD buffer, 0.8 μL KOD enzyme (KOD FX, Toyobo Biotech Co., Shanghai), 8 μL 2 mM dNTPs, 2 μL forward primer, 2 μL reverse primer, 2 μL model DNA, and 5.2 μL sterile purified water. The PCR reaction conditions were as follows: 94 °C for 3 min, 98 °C for 15 s, 56 °C for 30 s, 68 °C for 45 s, followed by 35 cycles and 68 °C for 5 min. Finally, the results were confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis. The PCR products were sent to Sangon Biotech Co. (Shanghai, China) for nucleic acid sequencing, and the obtained sequences were compared with the target sequences.

Results

Comparison of mitochondrial genomes of P. persalinus and U. marinum

According to the data given in Table 1, the entire mitochondrial genome of U. marinum was 1470 bp longer than that of P. persalinus. The protein-coding gene region of U. marinum was 755 bp longer than that of P. persalinus and contained three more genes than that of P. persalinus. Although both P. persalinus and U. marinum have a strong AT preference, the AT preference of U. marinum was stronger than that of P. persalinus. The AT percent in the entire mitochondrial genome of P. persalinus was 77.04%, and that of U. marinum was 80.99%. The AT (all) of U. marinum was 4.44% greater than that of P. persalinus, and the AT (third) of U. marinum was 9.8% greater than that of P. persalinus. Figure 1 shows apparent differences between the mitochondrial genomes of P. persalinus and U. marinum. The order and distribution of heavy and light chains of all common amino acid coding genes were consistent. In U. marinum, ymf57, orf346, orf141,

| Species                     | Length (bp) | Entire genome | Protein-coding gene |
|----------------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------------|
|                            |             |               | A (bp) | T (bp) | C (bp) | G (bp) | N (bp)* | Genes | GC (%) | Length (aa) | AT (%) (all) | AT (%) (3rd) |
| Pseudocohnilebus persalinus| 38,375      | 14430         | 15135     | 4101   | 4509   | 200    | 40      | 22.44 | 9174   | 77.01        | 76.18        |
| Uronema marinum             | 39,845      | 15869         | 16404     | 4562   | 4010   | 0      | 43      | 19    | 9929   | 81.45        | 85.98        |

*The mitochondrial genome of P. persalinus had two gaps, and the length of gaps was unknown. The number of N in each gap region was in accordance with NCBI sequence submission requirements, and the default was 100.

Length (aa): Total length of amino acid sequence of all mitochondrial proteins. *“aa” represents amino acids; AT (%) (all): The percentage of the A and T base of the coding region sequence of all proteins in the total coding region sequence; AT (%) (3rd): The percentage of the sequence consisting of the sum of A and T bases in the third base of all amino acid codons
orf149, and orf202 were annotated, and the gap between rpl6 and ymf64, ymf63, and ymf65, and nad9 and cob was larger.

Analysis of codon usage

Figure 2 shows that in general, the whole mitochondrial genome of *P. persalinus* and *U. marinum* had almost the same preference for relative synonymous codons, with only a slight difference. The usage of CAG that coded for Gln and AAG that coded for Lys in *P. persalinus* was higher (0.286 and 0.353, respectively) than that in *U. marinum*. In *P. persalinus*, CGA and CGC coded for Arg and GTC coded for Val. The usage degrees were 0.020, 0.061, and 0.140, respectively. However, in *U. marinum*, CGA and CGC were not used to code for Arg; in contrast, the usage of AGA for coding Arg was 0.353 higher than that for *P. persalinus*; moreover, GTC was not used in *U. marinum* to code for Val.

Analysis of Ka/Ks and p-distance

The Ka/Ks values (Fig. 3) of 25 common encoding genes of *P. persalinus* and *U. marinum* were less than one, which indicated that the encoding genes were mainly subjected to negative selection and the overall gene evolution tended to be conservative. Among the 25 common encoding genes, Ka/Ks of *yejR* was relatively high at 0.59, which suggested that it may have a relatively relaxed selection pressure. The specific value of Ka/Ks is shown in Additional file 1: Table S1.

The data provided in Fig. 4 showed that the 3rd p-distance, except for nad1-a, nad9, rpl16, rpl2, and rpl6, was higher than the p-distance obtained by the other two calculation methods, and the largest difference was observed for nad10. The codons of the *cox2*, *nad1-a*, *nad9*, *rpl6*, and *rps19* genes did not show much difference in the p-distance calculated from the first and second bases or the third base and the CDS region, probably because Met or proteins with strong codon preference accounted for a large proportion of the proteins encoded by them.

Phylogenetic tree

The clustering results showed that *P. persalinus* and *U. marinum* were clustered together. *P. persalinus* and
**U. marinum** belonged to Philasterida and were closely related to *Paramecium caudatum* which belonged to Peniculida. Other ciliates were in obvious clades: Hymenostomatida, Peniculida, Sessilida, Sporadotrichida, Urostylida, and Euplotida (Fig. 5).

**Comparison of nucleotide and amino acid similarity of the mitochondrial genomes of 14 related ciliates**

The results showed that most of the functional genes annotated by COGs were related to secondary metabolite biosynthesis, transport, and catabolism. This indicates that these functions were essential for the growth and reproduction of ciliates and that these genes were also the most conservative ones. Except for *yejR, ymf57, ymf7*, and *ymf75*, the amino acid sequence identity of other genes of both *P. persalinus* and *U. marinum* was greater than 50%. The amino acid sequence of the *nad10* gene was the most identical among the compared species; the identity of *nad10* was >80% in six ciliates and >60% in all ciliates. Additionally, the *rps12, nad7, nad1-b, atp9, nad3, cob, cox1, nad1-a*, and *nad4* genes were also highly identical. The identity of the *nad3* and *nad4* genes in seven ciliates; the *nad1-b, atp9, cob, cox1*, and *nad1-a* genes in eight ciliates; the *rps12* gene in nine ciliates; and the *nad7* gene in 12 ciliates was >50%, which indicated that they were relatively conserved genes among
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**Fig. 2** Relative synonymous codon usage of the mitochondrial genome of *P. persalinus* and *U. marinum*. **a** *P. persalinus*. **b** *U. marinum*
these species. The identity of \textit{nad7} was < 50\% only in \textit{L. strenua}. The \textit{nad7} gene plays a role in the biosynthesis, transport, and catabolism of secondary metabolites as well as in energy production and conversion. Perhaps \textit{L. strenua} is very different from other ciliates with regard to some of these aspects. The \textit{ymf66}, \textit{rps13}, \textit{rps19}, \textit{rpl2}, \textit{rps14}, \textit{rpl6}, \textit{ymf64}, \textit{ymf63}, \textit{ymf65}, \textit{rpl16}, and \textit{ymf56} genes had a high identity of greater than 50\% in \textit{P. persalinus} and \textit{U. marinum}, but less than 50\% identity in other ciliates. This is probably because \textit{P. persalinus} and \textit{U. marinum} are phylogenetically close species which are isolated from other ciliates.

In addition, the identity of several nucleotide sequences such as \textit{tRNA-Tyr} (GTA), \textit{nad10}, \textit{rps12}, \textit{nad7}, \textit{tRNA-Phe} (GAA), \textit{nad1-b}, \textit{atp9}, \textit{nad3}, \textit{cob}, \textit{rns}, \textit{tRNA-Trp} (TCA), \textit{cox1}, \textit{nad1-a}, \textit{nad4}, and \textit{rnl} was relatively high. This result is consistent with the identity of amino acid sequences. Among them, \textit{rns} and \textit{rnl} encoded rRNA; \textit{tRNA-Tyr} (GTA), \textit{tRNA-Phe} (GAA), and \textit{tRNA-Trp} (TCA) encoded tRNA; \textit{nad10}, \textit{nad7}, \textit{nad1-b}, \textit{atp9}, \textit{nad3}, \textit{cob}, \textit{cox1}, \textit{nad1-a}, and \textit{nad4} were related to the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, transport, and catabolism as well as to energy production and conversion; and \textit{rps12} was related to chromatin structure and dynamics as well as to the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, transport, and catabolism. This finding showed that all 14 ciliates had similar secondary metabolite transport and metabolic patterns.

Combined with the analysis of the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 5), it was found that the length and arrangement of the common 16 genes of these ciliates are very different at the order level (Fig. 6). Considering the order Philasterida to which \textit{P. persalinus} and \textit{U. marinum} belong as a benchmark, in the order Hymenostomatida, which is most closely related to Philasterida, the common genes are not different in the order in which they are arranged, with only slight differences in the length
and distribution of light and heavy chains. A large number of rearrangements occurred in other orders of ciliates, wherein the length and distribution of light and heavy chains are also very different. Moreover, Sporadotrichida and Urostylida have the same sort order of common genes, wherein \( rps12 \) is slightly distant from \( nad4 \) in Urostylida. In all 14 ciliates, \( rps19 \) and \( rpl2 \), and \( nad10 \) and \( rps12 \) are always next to each other.

**Specific primer design and amplification**

The result of comparison of the selected gene sequences and design of primers is shown in Fig. 7. The amplification results of specific primers (Fig. 8) indicated that the designed specific primers had good specificity. When the P2 primer was used to amplify the DNA of \( P. \) persalinus and \( U. \) marinum, only the lanes using the \( P. \) persalinus gene as a template showed a single clear band. When the P3 primer was used to amplify the DNA of \( P. \) persalinus and \( U. \) marinum, only the lanes using the \( U. \) marinum gene as a template showed a single clear band. The result of sequence comparison showed that the amplified sequence of the specific primer was consistent with the target sequence.

**Discussion**

In the present study, by performing a comparative analysis of the mitochondrial genomes of \( P. \) persalinus and \( U. \) marinum, which are very similar in morphological forms, the \( nad4 \) and \( nad7 \) genes were first proposed as molecular markers to differentiate these ciliate species. The interaction between nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD\(^+\)) and NAD\(^+\)-dependent enzyme plays an important role in cellular redox reactions, energy metabolism, and signal transduction processes. NADH dehydrogenase subunit genes include \( nad1 \), \( nad4 \), \( nad2 \), \( nad5 \) [28], \( nad7 \), and so on. Several studies have reported the application of NADH dehydrogenase subunit genes in species identification and genetic variation as interspecific.
The nad1 (NADH dehydrogenase subunit 1) gene has a higher nucleotide replacement rate and greater variability than other mitochondrial genes [29]. Choudhary et al. deduced the genetic structure and diversity of Bactrocera zonata based on the nad1 gene and cox1 (mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1) gene [30]. Mikaeili et al. used the nad1 and cox1 genes to identify Toxocara canis and Toxocara cati [31]. The nad4 (NADH dehydrogenase subunit 4) gene is one of the genes that evolved faster in the mitochondria. In the field of the classification, identification, and population inheritance of parasites, many scholars believe that the nad4 gene sequence is an ideal genetic marker. For example, Li et al. used nad4 to find interspecies differences among T. canis, T. cati, Toxocara malaysiensis, Toxocara vitulorum, and Toxascaris leonina from different regions [32]. Hao et al. found that the genetic variation of the cox1 gene in Ascaridia galli was lower than that of the nad4 gene, and they therefore considered that the nad4 gene was more suitable than the cox1 gene as a molecular marker for studying the genetic variation of A. galli [33]. The nad7 gene was also considered to be an accurate fragment for species identification and phylogenetic reconstruction; it was used for identifying Amoebozoa [34] and was also reported to be used for identifying Russian wild ginseng and Mielichhoferia elongata [35, 36].
results of the present study also proved that the nad4 and nad7 genes were ideal genetic markers for studying the identification of ciliate species.

The morphological forms of scuticociliates are too similar to be identified and distinguished visually. In recent years, because of the improvements in methods for obtaining molecular information of ciliates and the wide application of bioinformatics analytical methods, genetic analysis of ciliates has become a common method to study the phylogenetic evolution of ciliates. However, most gene analyses of ciliates were limited to basic gene sequencing, and the subsequent analysis was mostly limited to the analysis and comparison of SSU-rDNA and 18S rRNA. For example, Stidworthy et al. used SSU-rDNA to analyze and identify Philasterides dicipranchi, which lives in sharks [37], and Felipe et al. identified P. dicipranchi and M. avidus as different species using 18S rRNA, α-tubulin, and β-tubulin genes [38]. However, these methods cannot fully meet the requirements of ciliate classification and function research; therefore, reports on using different methods to analyze ciliate genes have gradually increased. P. persalinus was identified using a fluorescent dye-labeled SSU-rDNA-targeted oligonucleotide probe, which was optimized in fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) by Zhan et al. [39]. Xiong et al. sequenced the whole genome of P. persalinus and analyzed horizontal gene transfer (HGT) to understand its virulence [40]. Whang et al. found that the genetic differences in the cox1 sequence were greater than those of SSU-rDNA in P. persalinus, P. longisetus, U. marinum, and M. avidus. The authors designed specific primers based on the cox1 sequence to successfully identify them [13]. In the present study, specific primers were designed based on the nad4 gene and the nad7 gene of P. persalinus and U. marinum. These primers could serve as a fast and accurate tool for species identification of scuticociliates. The function and composition of the mitochondrial genomes of P. persalinus and U. marinum were also analyzed in detail to provide a solid data foundation for follow-up research.

Conclusions
In conclusion, the whole mitochondrial genomes of P. persalinus and U. marinum were thoroughly compared by analyzing nucleotide composition, codon usage, Ka/Ks, and p-distance. In addition, we compared amino acid and nucleotide identity of P. persalinus and U. marinum with 12 other related ciliates and constructed a phylogenetic tree. We have successfully designed specific PCR primers to identify P. persalinus and U. marinum using the nad4 and nad7 genes.
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