Association between functionality assessment scales and the severity of dysphagia post-stroke

Associação entre escalas de avaliação de funcionalidade e severidade da disfagia pós-acidente vascular cerebral

ABSTRACT

Purpose: The aim of this study was to investigate the association between the results of functionality scales and dysphagia severity determined from videofluoroscopy swallowing study (VSS) in post-stroke patients.

Methods: A retrospective study of 109 VSS analysis of post-stroke patients. From the exams was collected information on the diagnosis of dysphagia severity and evaluated regarding the swallowing functionality in an independent way by two evaluators.

Results: A high number of discrete dysphagia was observed. In one third of dysphagic patients it was noticed the presence of tracheal aspiration. There was a significant association between the scores of Functional Oral Intake Scale and Dysphagia Outcome and Severity Scale in post-stroke patients which means when the swallowing is highly compromised, the level of intake by mouth will be smaller according to the evaluators’ analysis (p < 0.001).

Conclusion: There is an association between the scales and it can be used as evaluative benchmarks and in the management of clinical Speech Pathology intervention.

RESUMO

Objetivo: O objetivo deste estudo foi verificar a associação entre os resultados das escalas de funcionalidade e severidade da disfagia determinadas a partir de exames de videofluoroscopia em pacientes pós-ACV. Método: Estudo observacional, retrospectivo e descritivo, com análise de 109 exames de Videofluoroscopia da Deglutição de pacientes pós-ACV. Dos exames da amostra foram coletadas informações quanto ao diagnóstico da severidade da disfagia e avaliados quanto à funcionalidade da deglutição de maneira independente por dois avaliadores.

Resultados: Foi constatada uma alta prevalência de disfagia classificada como discreta. Nos pacientes com disfagia foi observada a presença de aspiração laringotraqueal em um terço da amostra. Verificou-se associação significativa entre os escores das escalas Functional Oral Intake Scale e Dysphagia Outcome and Severity Scale em pacientes pós-ACV, ou seja, quanto maior o comprometimento da deglutição, menor o nível de ingestão por via oral na análise dos avaliadores (p < 0.001).

Conclusão: Há associação entre as escalas, podendo elas serem utilizadas como balizadores avaliativos e no gerenciamento da intervenção clínica fonoaudiológica.
INTRODUCTION

The cerebrovascular accident (CVA) is defined as a sudden focal alteration in the cerebral blood flow, with corresponding neurological manifestation. According to the type, size and location of the vessel affected, it can be asymptomatic or symptomatic. Regarding the etiology, it is classified as ischemic (ICVA) or bleeding (BCVA).

CVA is the main cause of death in Brazil, being ahead of acute myocardial infarct and all added neoplasias. It is estimated that CVA mortality in 2010 was approximately 45 death per 100 thousand inhabitants/year, which corresponds to about 10% of all death causes in the country[2].

The complications resulting from CVA are also root causes in the death certificates, among others, such as malnutrition, pneumonia and pulmonary thromboembolism, making its indirect mortality to be underestimated[1].

Oropharyngeal dysphagia is a symptom clinically identified in about 50% of patients at the post CVA acute phase. The high frequency of this symptom results from the fact that the deglutition central control occurs through a complex organization of neural elements in the brain and brainstem, being the central nervous system (CNS) required to start and coordinate the muscles involved in the oral, pharyngeal and esophageal deglutition[4] phases.

It is important to highlight that dysphagia is one of the main post VCA mortality causes because it is associated to complications responsible for a long hospital stay[1,3].

Dysphagia can be diagnosed through clinical evaluation of the deglutition, that is, evaluation performed at bedside, for which the speech-language-therapist has a range of protocols available. There also are deglutition evaluation tools, complementary to the clinical evaluations, performed by objective analysis of deglutition biodynamic, visualized by either radiologic or video endoscopic means; however a few formal protocols are found regarding this type of evaluation.

Videofluoroscopy swallowing study (VSS) permits the observation of the anatomic structures and the dynamic analysis, in real time, of the several events of the deglutition phases, through the offer of different consistency foods, in different quantities and in different body positions (lateral side and/or anteroposterior)\(^{5,6}\).

VSS contributes substantially to the understanding of the alterations, the level of severity and the dysphagia patient rehabilitation. Considered as gold standard for the diagnosis of deglutition disorder, it has great impact at decision making, not only in therapeutic terms but also at prognosis determination\(^{5,6}\).

Post VCA neurogenic dysphagia classification varies according to the level of involvement of the neurological event and depends on factors such as its location and the injury extension, the patient alert status, as well as the consistency, volume and viscosity of the food offered\(^{7}\).

The rating scales of the involvement level of the oropharyngeal dysphagia are tools used in the diagnostic process of this symptom at the deglutition clinical and objective evaluations, to help identifying the risk at dysfunction classification, as an instrument to define the procedures or as a parameter at the rehabilitation efficiency control. These scales have been suggested in several studies and not always use consensual criteria\(^{9}\).

Dysphagia Outcome and Severity Scale – DOSS\(^{7}\) is a scale developed to systemically evaluate the dysphagia functional severity based on the objective evaluation and to make recommendations on the type of diet, level of independency and type of nutrition.

This scale can be used by physicians trained to determine the dysphagia level of severity based on objective measures through DVF. Due to its high reliability, this tool can be valuable at the objective measurement of the natural history for the dysphagia prognosis among the population and to measure the treatment efficacy\(^{7}\).

Regarding the functionality level at feeding, it is used at the clinical practice, the Functional Oral Intake Scale – FOIS\(^{9}\), which describes seven distinct levels of oral intake, as well as it suggests the feeding via for patients with VCA and dysphagia or, yet, the need of deglutition compensating measures.

Considering the prevalence and incidence of post VCA deglutition disorders, or, yet, the associated comorbidities, this study has as objective to verify the association among the scale results of dysphagia functionality and severity, determined from video fluoroscopy exams, in post VCA patients.

METHODS

It is about an observational, retrospective and descriptive study of DVF image exam analysis. The research was performed in a general hospital of Santa Casa de Misericórdia of Porto Alegre. The sample by convenience of post VCA patients was composed by reports and dynamic images of deglutition exams performed in the radiology sector of this hospital, between January 2013 and June 2015. This study was approved under n. 927.637 by the Ethics Committee of Santa Casa de Misericórdia of Porto Alegre – SCMPA.

In the study were included VSS of adult individuals with age higher than 18 years, of both genders, having in their medical history VCA diagnosis and presence of speech-language therapy diagnosis independently of the dysphagia severity, according to DOSS scale\(^{7}\). The study exclusion factors were the reports of the incomplete video fluoroscopy exams.

The speech-language pathologist responsible for performing the VSS of the hospital radiology service received internal and external patients with medical forwarding from different specialties, medical attendance and hospitals to perform the exam. The VSS exam reports are physically stored in paper, at the service and the exam images in Vepro information electronic system. The exam is performed by testing the different food consistency, prepared by adding barium, according to the command of patient’s greatest safety. They are tested according to the individual’s collaboration and to the answer to the requested maneuvers, being the patient sitting at 90\(^{\circ}\) at the lateral side view and, when possible, at the anteroposterior positioning.

The sorting of the DVF exams of patients with VCA diagnosis was manually performed by the assistant researcher, from the report description, which contains the medical forwarding as well as the reports by relatives or self-referred.
In those cases in which the patient performed more than one deglutition exam, it was considered the result of the first exam.

After this first selection, the exams were compiled and recorded in Digital Versatile Disc (DVDs). From these were analyzed and evaluated the videos of each exam stored, digitalized in recording connected to the Fluoroscopy Unit equipment of Siemens’ brand, model Axion icons R100, by two independent speech-language therapists.

From the exams was collected information regarding the gender and age of the patient, characteristics of the deglutition phases (oral and pharyngeal), presence or not of tracheal aspiration, as well as the level of severity defined according to DOSS scale classification, by the speech-language pathologist at work, in other words: normal deglutition; deglutition within the functional limits; light dysphagia; mild to moderate; moderate; moderate to severe; or severe.

Through the independent analysis of the deglutition dynamics, the evaluators established the levels of deglutition functionality, by using the FOIS, scale classification, which is also formed by seven levels of classification.

The findings were transferred to independent spreadsheets of the database and forwarded to statistical analysis and checking of the findings matching.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

To describe the sample profile according to the variables in study, frequency tables were elaborated with absolute (n) and relative (%) values of the categorical variables (gender, age range, aspiration) and descriptive statistics of the numeric variables (age and scale scores), with average values, standard deviation, minimum and maximum values, median and quartiles.

At the association analysis between the two scales it was used the chi-square test or Fisher exact test. To analyze the matching between the evaluations of the two evaluators of FOIS scale it was calculated the Kappa coefficient of agreement and used Bowker symmetry test for the comparison of the amounts between the two evaluators. Kappa values above 0.75 indicate high agreement and above 0.40 indicate moderate agreement.

To numerically analyze the relation between the scores of the two scales it was calculated the Spearman correlation coefficient, due to the lack of regular distribution of the variables.

The level of significance adopted for the statistical tests was 5% (P<0.05).

RESULTS

At the established time, 160 post VCA patients were addressed to perform the Deglutition video fluoroscopy exam. From these, 109 were included in the study and 51 excluded due to their image exams not being available at the system anymore.

Table 1 presents the descriptive analysis of categorical variables of the sample. The average age was 69 years, being the most frequent age range between 70 and 79 years (31%); 51% of the sample was formed by the male gender.

The prevalence of dysphagia was of 94% and the results found regarding the level of severity, according to DOSS scale were: 5.5% severe; 3.8% moderately severe; 12.8% moderate; 18.3% mild to moderate; 36.7% mild; 22.7% classified with deglutition within functionality limits and 0% with regular deglutition.

It was observed the presence of laringotracheal aspiration in 33% of the patients with dysphagia.

The evaluators classified the feeding functionality between the levels 1 and 6 and could not find classification of regular deglutition or total via oral diet without restrictions (equivalent to level 7 in both scales).

The association analysis between the scales DOSS and FOIS of the different evaluators is described on Tables 2 and 3. It was verified significant association between the scores of the two scales (p < 0.001), in other words, the higher the score in DOSS scale, higher the score at FOIS scale; according to both evaluators’ analysis, the higher the dysphagia severity, lower the level of food oral intake.

Through the symmetry test, it was verified significant classification difference for FOIS scale, in which evaluator 1 had more frequency of level 6 and evaluator 2 more frequency of level 5 (S = 25,88; GL = 15; P = 0,039), being the moderate

| Table 1. Descriptive analysis of the categorical variables |
|----------------------------------------------------------|
| GENDER  | Frequency | %     |
| NALE    | 56        | 51.38 |
| FEMALE  | 53        | 48.62 |
| ASPIRATION  |         |       |
| NO     | 73        | 66.97 |
| YES    | 36        | 33.03 |
| AGE   |           |       |
| 30-39  | 3         | 2.75  |
| 40-49  | 9         | 8.26  |
| 50-59  | 13        | 11.93 |
| 60-69  | 26        | 23.85 |
| 70-79  | 34        | 31.19 |
| ≥80    | 24        | 22.02 |
| *FOIS EVALUATOR 1 |      |       |
| 1      | 9         | 8.26  |
| 2      | 12        | 11.01 |
| 3      | 10        | 9.17  |
| 4      | 6         | 5.50  |
| 5      | 22        | 20.18 |
| 6      | 50        | 45.87 |
| *FOIS EVALUATOR 2 |      |       |
| 1      | 7         | 6.42  |
| 2      | 6         | 5.50  |
| 3      | 13        | 11.93 |
| 4      | 14        | 12.84 |
| 5      | 40        | 36.70 |
| 6      | 29        | 26.61 |

*FOIS: Functional Oral Intake Scale
matching between the evaluators’ classification for FOIS(9) scale (Table 4).

The correlation among the scores of FOIS(9) scale and between the analyses of the two evaluators are shown on Graph 1. There was significant difference among all the scores of the scales of both evaluators (p < 0.001; r = 0.839).

**DISCUSSION**

In the 1990s, the incidence of oropharyngeal dysphagia was studied by several researchers, with the objective of determining the prevalence of this symptom in the neurological etiologies, viewing at the need to define suitable therapeutic plans appropriate for the different dysphagic populations(10). Among the neurologic diseases, VCA is the one with the highest incidence of dysphagia, with a profile of incidence between 30% and 96%, depending on the study method for this variation. The factors considered are injury time, location and range of the cerebral injury, type of VCA and the diagnostic method of the deglutition disorder(11-13). In the present study, the incidence of dysphagia found, considering the factor of VCA presence, was of 94%, according to the classification of the DOSS(7) scale, corroborating the incidence studies.

The speech-language pathologist follow up on the dysphagia patient requires the discussion with the multi professional team regarding the indication of indirect therapies (without food introduction) or direct (with the use of food), related to the releasing moment of the oral intake in a systematic way, the indication of full oral intake diet, with the possibility of weaning of the alternative feeding intake. For this, clinical and instrumental parameters are used in which scales to measure such progression or regression are of extreme importance at the professional clinic practice.

For VSS quantitative interpretation, DOSS(7) scale assumes the assessment regarding the dysphagia presence and severity,
combined with the observation of the subjective phenomena presented during the exam and with the diet in taken by the individual/patient.

The study that originated DOSS\cite{ref7} scale evaluated 135 patients with different clinical deficiencies, from these, 81% neurological, being also included in its sample post VCA patients. It classified them regarding the level of dysphagia severity in: 7% severe; 12.6% moderately severe; 15.6% moderate; 21% mild; 16% with deglutition within the functionality limits; and 5% with regular deglutition\cite{ref7}.

Data related to severe, moderate and mild to moderate dysphagia levels of the present study seem to be close to the study that originated DOSS scale.

The lack or presence of aspiration has been one of the video fluoroscopic signals of higher relevance, being this signal essential for decision making at the management of oral food intake and for the indication of the most adequate consistency in each case\cite{ref9,ref17}. The aspiration incidence in VCA neurogenic dysphagia framework is described in studies between 155 and 50%\cite{ref18,ref19}.

In the present study it was verified the aspiration presence in 33% of the cases, confirming data found in other studies for this population.

FOIS\cite{ref9} scale complements the evaluation of the deglutition disorder, suggesting the procedure regarding the feeding way for the adequate and efficient handling of the post VCA affected patients, having dysphagia as a consequence. In this study, the higher frequency in this scale was of the levels 5 and 6, with moderate agreement between the evaluators. The study that originated the scale FOIS\cite{ref9} evaluated 302 post VCA patients in acute phase and after the period between 1 and 6 months of the event and classified the level of functionality in different moments. At the admission and after a month from VCA level 4 was the most frequent and, after 6 months, level 7\cite{ref9}.

In a study performed in 2012 with patients whose VCA diagnostic occurred between 24h and 48h before, evaluated by means of clinical and objective deglutition assessment through deglutition video endoscopy – DVE, there was predominance of level 7 of FOIS\cite{ref9,ref20} scale.

Such divergence found in these studies\cite{ref9,ref20} that evaluated deglutition at the acute phase post VCA can be explained by the presence of different associated comorbidities and also by the use of different evaluation instruments, considering the limitations of DVE exams in relation to DVF, once DVE makes it possible the superior visualization from the oropharynges (what makes it impossible the visualization via oral), while in the DVF it is possible to observe the food from the chewing until the esophageal phase\cite{ref21}.

In the present study levels 5 and 6 were of higher frequency, differently from the ones found in those previous studies, what can be explained by the fact that the post VCA patients addressed to perform the DVF had presented former complaints of deglutition difficulty, without considering the time of the cerebrovascular event.

Food functionality verified in FOIS\cite{ref9} scale is also an important parameter to measure the level of oral intake in pre and post speech language therapy at the oropharyngeal

| Table 4. Analysis of matching between evaluators for scale FOIS |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| Frequency percentage FOIS       | FOIS            |
|                                 | 1               | 2               | 3               | 4               | 5               | 6               | Total             |
| 1                                | 5               | 0               | 2               | 2               | 0               | 0               | 9                 |
|                                 | 4.59            | 0.00            | 1.83            | 1.83            | 0.00            | 0.00            | 8.26              |
| 2                                | 1               | 4               | 5               | 2               | 0               | 0               | 12                |
|                                 | 0.92            | 3.67            | 4.59            | 1.83            | 0.00            | 0.00            | 11.01             |
| 3                                | 1               | 2               | 4               | 2               | 1               | 0               | 10                |
|                                 | 0.92            | 1.83            | 3.67            | 1.83            | 0.92            | 0.00            | 9.17              |
| 4                                | 0               | 0               | 1               | 3               | 2               | 0               | 6                 |
|                                 | 0.00            | 0.00            | 0.92            | 2.75            | 1.83            | 0.00            | 5.50              |
| 5                                | 0               | 0               | 1               | 5               | 14              | 2               | 22                |
|                                 | 0.00            | 0.00            | 0.92            | 4.59            | 12.84           | 1.83            | 20.18             |
| 6                                | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 23              | 27              | 50                |
|                                 | 0.00            | 0.00            | 0.00            | 0.00            | 21.10           | 24.77           | 45.87             |
| Total                            | 7               | 6               | 13              | 14              | 40              | 29              | 109               |
|                                 | 6.42            | 5.50            | 11.93           | 12.84           | 36.70           | 26.61           | 100.00            |
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dysphagia, indicating the changes occurred at the feeding during the therapeutic process\(^{3,22,23}\).

This study indicates a relevant finding for the classification of the dysphagia level associated to DOSS\(^7\) and FOIS\(^9\) scales, either by considering the aspiration presence or the safer consistency of food to be indicated. At the analysis performed it was verified a difference statistically significant between the evaluators, suggesting that these are reproducible at the clinical practice, and therefore, can be used and associated at large scale.

Besides the classification scales of dysphagia severity and ingestion functionality by oral intake being used in a complementary way to the evaluation tools, they can also be aggregated as benchmarks of dysphagia progression or regression\(^{23,29}\), being possible to relate their levels, in other words, the worse the individual's dysphagia level in DOSS\(^7\) scale, the higher food ingestion restriction within the consistencies by oral intake at FOIS\(^9\) scale he will have.

The matching between these two scales is described in a current study\(^{23}\) however, the value attribution of this association was not found in the literature or other published studies.

Some limitations of the study must be indicated, in other words, regarding the use of FOIS\(^9\) functionality scale had been done from the analysis of DVF exam, it is clear that, at the clinical evaluation, there is important data to define the level of functionality, such as: cognition level, collaboration on performing maneuvers and complementary clinical information.

Regarding the moderate agreement between the evaluators there is the divergence regarding the especial preparation or not of the food, preserving the multiplicity of food consistency. It was also observed the restriction of the database analysis due to the lack of diagnosed information that could contribute to the understanding of cerebrovascular diseases and neurogenic dysphagia.

**CONCLUSION**

It was verified that there was significant association between DOSS and FOIS scales in post VCA patients and these can be used together as evaluative benchmarks and in face of speech-language therapy clinical intervention, considering that this indication must be conciliated to the clinical evaluation results.

**REFERENCES**

1. Cruz MR, Martins S, Brondani R. Doenças cerebrovasculares. In: Duncan BB, Schmidt MI, Giugliani ERJ, Ducan MS, Giugliani C. Medicina ambulatorial: conduitas de atenção primária baseada em evidências. 4. ed. Porto Alegre: ArtMed; 2013. p. 703-18.
2. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. DATASUS: Departamento de Informática do SUS [Internet]. Informações de saúde. Brasília: Ministério da Saúde; 2010 [citado em 2015 Outubro 8]. Disponível em: http://www2.datasus.gov.br/DATASUS/index.php?area=02
3. Rofes L, Vilardell N, Clavé P. Post-stroke dysphagia: progress at last. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2013;25(4):278-82. PMid:23460388. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/nmo.12112
4. Estrella F, Schneider FL, Aquini MG, Marrone ACH, Seffani MA, Jotz GP. Controle neurológico da deglutuição. In: Jotz GP, Angelis EC, Barros APB. Tratado de deglutição e disfagia: no adulto e na criança. Rio de Janeiro: Revinter; 2009. p. 20-34.
5. Almeida RCA, Haguette RCB, Andrade ISN. Deglutição com e sem comando verbal: achados videofluoroscópicos. Rev Soc Bras Fonoaudiol. 2011;16(3):291-7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1516-80342011000300009.
6. Shem KL, Castillo K, Wong SL, Chang J, Kao M, Kolakowsky-Hayner SA. Diagnostic accuracy of bedside swallow evaluation versus videofluoroscopy to assess dysphagia in individuals with tetraplegia. PM R. 2012;4(4):283-9. PMid:22541374. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pmr.2012.01.002
7. O’Neill KH, Purdy M, Falk J, Gallo L. The dysphagia outcome and severity scale. Dysphagia. 1999;14(3):139-45. PMid:10341109. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s0005499000110.
8. Silva RG, Motonaga SM, Cola PC, Gatto AR, Ribeiro PW, Carvalho LR, et al. Estudo multicêntrico sobre escalas para grau de comprometimento em disfagia orofaríngea neurogênica. Rev Soc Bras Fonoaudiol. 2012;17(2):167-70. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1516-80342012000200011.
9. Crary M, Mann GDC, Groher ME. Initial psychometric assessment of a functional oral intake scale for dysphagia in stroke patient. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2005;86(8):1516-20. PMid:16084801. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2004.11.049.
10. Furkim AM, Behlau MS, Weckx LLM. Avaliação clínica e videofluoroscópica da deglutição em crianças com paralisia cerebral tetrapálica espástica. Arq Neuropsiquiatr. 2003;61(3-A):611-6. PMid:14513167. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0004-282X2003000400016.
11. Paixão CT, Silva LD, Camerini FG. Perfil da disfagia após um acidente vascular cerebral: uma revisão integrativa. Rev Rene Fortaleza. 2010;11(1):181-90.
12. Oliveira ARS, Costa AGS, Moraes HCC, Cavalcante TF, Lopes MMO, Araujo TL. Fatores clínicos preditores do risco para aspiração e aspiração respiratória em pacientes com Acidente Vascular Cerebral. Rev Lat Am Enfermagem. 2015;23(2):216-21. PMid:26039291. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0908-02622015175299.
13. Almeida TM, Cola PC, Magnoni D, França JID, Silva RG. Prevalência de disfagia orofaríngea no acidente vascular cerebral após cirurgia cardíaca. Rev. CEFAC. 2015;17(5):1415-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0998-02162015175299.
14. Rodrigues ESR, Castro KAB, Rezende AAB, Herrera DS, Pereira AM, Takada JAP. Fatores de risco cardiovascular em pacientes com acidente vascular cerebral. Rev Amazônia. 2013;1(2):21-28.
15. Tiesges Z, Mead G, Allerhand M, Duncan F, Wijck FV, Fitzsimons C, et al. Sedentary behavior in the first year after stroke: a longitudinal cohort study with objective measures. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2015;96(1):15-23. PMid:25220942. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2014.08.015.
16. Zhang L, Yan T, You L, Li K. Barriers to activity and participation for stroke survivors in rural China. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2015;96(7):1222-8. PMid:25701640. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2015.01.024.
17. Chaves JD, Mangilli LD, Sassi FC, Jayanthi SK, Zilberstein B, Andrade CRF. Análise videofluoroscópica bidimensional perceptual do tempo da deglutição em indivíduos acima de 50 anos. ABCD Arq Bras Cir Dig. 2013;26(4):274-9. PMid:24510034. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0102-67202013000400005.
18. Chen S, Chie W, Lin Y, Chang Y, Wang T, Lien I. Can the aspiration detected by videofluoroscopic swallowing studies predict long-term survival in stroke patients with dysphagia? Disabil Rehabil. 2004;26(23):1347-53. PMid:15742979. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0963828041233217047.
19. Smithard DG, O’Neill PA, England RE, Park CL, Wyatt R, Martin DF, et al. The natural history of dysphagia following a stroke. Dysphagia. 1997;12(4):188-93. PMid:9294937. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00009553.
20. Nunes MCA, Jurkiewicz AL, Santos RS, Furkim AM, Massi G, Pinto GS, et al. Correlation between brain injury and dysphagia in adult patients with stroke. Int Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2012;16(3):313-21. PMid:2599151.
21. Stafocher TS, Mourão LF. Estudo do disfarce da fase faringea da deglutição em adultos assintomáticos submetidos a videofluoroscopia e videocapendoscopia. In: XXI Congresso Interno de Iniciação Científica da UNICAMP, 2013 Out 23-25; Campinas. Anais. Campinas: UNICAMP, 2013. p. 1.
22. Silva RG, Jorge AG, Peres FM, Cola PC, Gatto AR, Spadotto AA. Protocolo para Controle de Eficácia Terapêutica em Disfagia Orofaringea Neurogênica (Procedon). Rev CEFAC. 2010;12(1):75-81. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/ S1516-18462010000100010.

23. Carnaby-Mann GD, Crary MA. McNeill dysphagia therapy program: a case-control study. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2010;91(5):743-9. PMid:20434612. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2010.01.013.

24. Dias CJSBS, Lopes IIS, Nogueira DMS. Functional Oral Intake Scale (FOIS): contributo para a validação cultural e linguística para o português Europeu [dissertação]. Portugal: Escola Superior de Saúde do Alcoitão, Santa Casa da Misericórdia do Alcoitão; 2015. 96 p.

Author contributions
KOP participated on the elaboration of the article and research project, data collection and research conclusion; MCAFC participated as counselor of the research project and elaboration of the article; BS participated as co-counselor of the research project and elaboration of the article.