INTRODUCTION

Gastric cancer is the fourth most common malignancy in the world and the second-leading cause of cancer death in both sexes worldwide. More than 70% of cases occur in developing countries, and half of the world total occurs in Eastern Asia alone, mainly in Japan and China (3, 10, 30). In Brazil, gastric cancer is the third most common cancer in males and the fifth most common cancer in females. Rio Grande do Sul state, in Southern Brazil, has similar figures. The main histological type of gastric cancer is adenocarcinoma. Objectives - To assess the trends of this cancer over 25 years in a reference center in central Rio Grande do Sul. Methods - We reviewed the records of upper gastrointestinal endoscopies performed at the University Hospital of Santa Maria, RS, between 1986 and 2010. We evaluated the incidence, age and gender distribution, anatomical subsite and histological subtype of gastric cancer throughout this 25-year period. Results - We identified histologically confirmed primary gastric adenocarcinoma in 335 (1.6%) of the 20,521 patients who underwent upper gastrointestinal endoscopy during the study period. The mean age of patients was 62.4 (± 13.0) years, and 67.8% were male (a male:female ratio of 2.0:1). Cardia cancer accounted for 14.3% of the cases, and non-cardia cancer accounted for 85.7%. There were no differences in mean age or gender distribution by anatomical location or histological subtype. There was also no difference in the proportions of histological subtypes by anatomical location. Over the 25-year period, there was no change in the anatomical distribution of tumors, but there was a significant decrease in the intestinal subtype and a steady increase in the diffuse subtype ($P = 0.02$). The subset of 39 patients (11.6%) who presented at < 45 years of age was more likely to be female and to have tumors of the diffuse subtype than was the total series of patients. Conclusions - Over this 25-year period, there were no significant trends in age, gender distribution, or the proportions of cardia and non-cardia gastric adenocarcinomas in this series of patients from Southern Brazil. There was a significant decrease in the intestinal subtype and a steady increase in the diffuse subtype of this malignancy. In patients under 45 years old, gastric cancer was more frequent in women, and the diffuse subtype predominated.
to identify changes in the occurrence of this cancer in relation to age, gender, anatomical location and histological subtype.

METHODS

We reviewed the records of all patients at the University Hospital of Santa Maria who underwent upper gastrointestinal endoscopy from January 1986 to December 2010 and had a diagnosis of gastric cancer. Patients with a diagnosis of primary gastric adenocarcinoma confirmed by histopathological examination were selected for the study. Patients with a diagnosis of distal esophageal adenocarcinoma, gastric malignancy other than adenocarcinoma, gastric metastases, and benign gastric tumors were excluded.

We recorded each patient’s age and sex, as well as the anatomical location and histological subtype of each tumor. We defined cardia cancer as a lesion primarily involving the area between 1.0 cm proximal and 2.0 cm distal to the gastroesophageal junction\(^{(19)}\). We classified lesions primarily involving the fundus, body or antrum as non-cardia cancers. We used Lauren’s classification of diffuse and intestinal histological subtypes, based on guidelines from the World Health Organization\(^{(15, 15, 16)}\). We grouped the patients in 5-year time periods to evaluate time trends.

The Chi-square test and the Fisher’s exact test were used, as appropriate, to test the associations between age, gender, tumor location and histological subtypes and occurrence of gastric cancer. Trend analyses were performed by the Mantel test for trend. Data were analyzed with SPSS 18, and \(\alpha < 0.05\) was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

A total of 20,521 upper GI endoscopies were performed at the University Hospital of Santa Maria during the 25-year study period. We identified 335 patients with a primary diagnosis of gastric adenocarcinoma, for an overall frequency of 1.6%. The frequency of detection by 5-year periods is shown in Table 1. There was no significant trend in the frequency of detection over time (\(P = 0.089\)).

The mean age of patients was 62 (± 13) years, with a range from 23 to 103 years. The mean age of men was 63 (± 12), ranging from 32 to 85 years, and the mean age of women was 61 (± 16), ranging from 23 to 103 years. Of the 335 cases, 227 (67.8%) were males and 108 (32.2%) were females, for a male:female ratio of 2.1:1. No significant changes were observed in mean age, or the distribution of the disease by gender over the 25 years of the study (Table 2).

Forty-eight (14.3%) of the tumors were located in the cardia, 11 (3.3%) in the fundus, 96 (28.7%) in the body, 51 (15.2%) in both the body and antrum, and 129 (38.5%) in the antrum. Thus 48 (14.3%) were cardia cancers and 287 (85.7%) were non-cardia cancers. Analyzed by gender, 44/227 (19.4%) of the male cases and 17/108 (15.7%) of the female cases presented in the cardia (\(P = 0.5\)). We observed no significant change in the relative frequency of cardia and non-cardia tumors over time (\(P = 0.6\)).

There was an overall predominance of the intestinal histological subtype (54.0% of classified tumors) over the diffuse subtype (46.0%), but the proportion of diffuse tumors increased significantly over the 25-year time period of the study (\(P = 0.02\)) (Table 3). There was no statistically significant difference in the distribution of the histological subtypes by the anatomical location of the lesion, overall or over time (data not shown).

| TABLE 1. Frequency of gastric adenocarcinoma between 1986 and 2010 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Period | Gastric adenocarcinoma cases | Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy exams | Frequency (%) |
|-------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| 1986-1990 | 24 | 1148 | 2.1 |
| 1991-1995 | 60 | 4530 | 1.3 |
| 1996-2000 | 87 | 5661 | 1.5 |
| 2001-2005 | 71 | 4678 | 1.5 |
| 2006-2010 | 93 | 4504 | 2.1 |
| Total (1986-2010) | 335 | 20521 | 1.6 |

| TABLE 2. Time trends in age, gender, anatomical location and histological subtype of gastric adenocarcinoma between 1986 and 2010 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Age | 1986 | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | 2006 | Total | \(P\)-trend over time |
| Mean age (SD) | 63 (12) | 64 (12) | 61 (13) | 60 (12) | 65 (14) | 62 (13) | 0.2 |
| Gender | | | | | | | 0.5 |
| Males, n (%) | 19 (79.2) | 38 (63.3) | 56 (64.4) | 47 (66.2) | 67 (72.0) | 227 (67.8) | |
| Females, n (%) | 520.8) | 22 (36.7) | 31 (35.6) | 24 (33.8) | 26 (28.0) | 108 (32.2) | |
| Anatomical location | | | | | | | 0.9 |
| Cardia, n (%) | 2 (8.3) | 9 (15.0) | 12 (13.8) | 17 (23.9) | 8 (8.6) | 48 (14.3) | |
| Non-cardia, n (%) | 22 (91.7) | 51 (85.0) | 75 (86.2) | 54 (76.1) | 85 (91.4) | 287 (85.7) | |
| Histological subtype | | | | | | | 0.02 |
| Diffuse, n (%) | 7 (29.2) | 23 (38.3) | 29 (33.3) | 29 (40.8) | 49 (52.7) | 137 (40.9) | |
| Intestinal, n (%) | 17 (70.8) | 33 (55.0) | 42 (48.3) | 37 (52.1) | 32 (34.4) | 161 (48.1) | |
| Unclassified, n (%) | 0 (0.0) | 4 (6.7) | 16 (18.4) | 5 (7.0) | 12 (12.9) | 37 (11.0) | |
| Total cases | 24 | 60 | 87 | 71 | 93 | 335 | |

\(^{1}\)All percentages are column percents within the variable (gender, anatomical location and histological subtype)
Thirty nine patients (11.6%) developed gastric adenocarcinoma before 45 years of age. This young group was different from the overall group of patients in several respects: there was a predominance of women (22, 56.4%) compared to men (17, 43.6%), and a greater proportion of the tumors were of the diffuse histological subtype (64.5% of the classified tumors). There were no differences regarding anatomic location (Table 3). The number of these young cases was too small to evaluate for trend over time.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we analyzed the occurrence of gastric adenocarcinoma over a period of 25 years in a tertiary care hospital which is the referral center for the central region of Rio Grande do Sul State, in Southern Brazil. We found that the overall frequency of finding gastric cancer has not changed, and it is still a disease of the elderly which predominates in men. Moreover, the cardia/non-cardia distribution has not changed, but the distribution of intestinal and diffuse histological subtypes has changed significantly over this time period.

Although the overall incidence of gastric cancer in RS is declining, the overall frequency of finding gastric cancer per 100 upper gastrointestinal endoscopies in this series did not change over the 25 year-period. The reasons for the generalized decline in gastric cancer rates are complex and not completely understood. Possible reasons include a more varied and affluent diet, better food preservation, including refrigeration, as well as the control of *Helicobacter pylori* (*H* pylori) infection. A possible explanation for the unchanged rate in this series could be that most patients coming to our hospital are from low social classes, with low income and with few or no sanitation facilities. Refrigeration is not always available, and tobacco smoking is more common than in populations with higher income and education levels.

The mean age and male predominance of the patients in our series is similar to that in other reports. Throughout the world, stomach cancer is a disease of the elderly population with the predominance in men(8, 30).

Trend analyses in our study showed no changes in mean age or gender proportions over the years. The explanation for male predominance in our series is unknown, but one factor which could contribute is the greater exposure of this gender to smoking. An additional environmental factor which may be related to the development of gastric cancer in Southern Brazil is the consumption of large quantities of salted red meat in “churrasco”, a form of barbecue. It has been reported that the consumption of red meat is more common in the male population(2, 18). High consumption of red meat has previously been shown to be a risk factor for gastric cancer in Uruguay(2) and other countries(1). The intake of salty foods is also a well-established risk factor for gastric cancer(2, 23), and a recent study found this association only in males(21), raising one more possible explanation for the prevalence of gastric adenocarcinoma in men in our population.

Tumor location is important in gastric cancer because of its therapeutic and prognostic implications. Tumors in the middle third of the stomach have a better prognosis after the surgical treatment, while proximal tumors are more aggressive and have a worse prognosis(8, 25). Several studies have reported an increasing prevalence of proximal lesions in the last decades(6, 10, 12, 18, 22, 24, 27, 31), including a report from the Brazilian Southeastern region that observed slight increase in incidence of proximal gastric cancer, although not so remarkable as it is reported(14). We did not observe this trend in our study. In our series, distal tumors were 6 times as common as proximal tumors, and this proportion was relatively constant throughout the 25 years. Similar data has been found in other studies, with no clear explanation(22). As noted previously, inhabitants of the Southern region of Brazil have a high consumption of red meat, which has been associated with an increased risk of distal, but not proximal, gastric adenocarcinoma(11). This could be one possible explanation for the unchanged location of gastric cancer in this region.

The histopathological subtypes described by Nevalainen(16) differ not only in histological appearance, but also in epidemiology, therapy and prognosis. In our study, the more prevalent subtype overall was intestinal adenocarcinoma. Similar to other reports(24, 30), however, we observed a rising trend of the diffuse subtype, which in our series was most apparent after the year 2001. The reasons for this increase are still speculative. There were no significant differences in

### TABLE 3. Comparison of cases < 45 and ≥ 45 years old

|                  | Cases    | Cases    | Total |  
|------------------|----------|----------|-------|-------
|                  | < 45 years | ≥ 45 years |       |  
| Gender           |          |          |       |  
| Males, n (%)     | 17 (43.6)| 210 (70.9)| 227   | 0.01  
| Females, n (%)   | 22 (56.4)| 86 (29.1)| 108   |       
| Anatomical location |      |          |       |  
| Cardia, n (%)    | 4 (10.3)| 44 (14.9)| 48    | 0.6   
| Non-cardia, n (%)| 35 (89.7)| 252 (85.1)| 287   |       
| Histological subtype |     |          |       |  
| Intestinal, n (%)| 11 (28.2)| 150 (50.7)| 161   | 0.03  
| Unclassified, n (%)| 8 (20.5)| 29 (9.8)| 37    |       
| Total cases      | 39       | 296      | 335   |       

1. All percentages are column percents within the variable (gender, anatomical location and histological subtype).
2. Comparison the data for cases < 45 vs ≥ 45.
the trends of diffuse or intestinal subtypes by gender over the 25 years of our study.

A recent report from the US found an increasing incidence of diffuse type gastric cancer in the cardia, as well as decreasing incidence of both histological types in the more distal stomach\(^2\). This association of the Lauren\(^1\) subtypes with anatomical location is not considered in most studies that report the changing trends of proximal cancer\(^10, 12, 22, 24, 27, 31\). In our study, both histological subtypes had a similar prevalence in both cardia and non-cardia adenocarcinoma throughout the 25-years period. Therefore, we did not observe that any histological type had a preference for any location.

Gastric cancer is an uncommon condition in young patients, but recent reports from the US suggest that the incidence in Caucasian patients younger than 40 years has increased\(^4\). In our series, we did not have enough young cases to evaluate the trend in cases over time, but we compared patients less than 45 years old with older patients and we found that gastric cancer in the younger patients was more common in women, and the diffuse histological subtype predominated. Other studies have also observed a female and a diffuse subtype predominance in young gastric cancer patients, in contrast to older patients, in whom males and the intestinal subtype still predominate\(^4, 23\). \(H\) pylori is considered the most important risk factor for development of gastric cancer, and its prevalence has declined over the past decades\(^7, 20\); so a further decline in gastric cancer incidence in young patients would be expected. However other factors which may have influenced gastric cancer risk have also changed during this time, including the widespread use of proton pump inhibitors (PPIs), changes in the gastric microbial environment after loss of \(H\) pylori colonization, and lifestyle risk factors (smoking and diet)\(^9\). All of these issues require further elucidation.

Our study has several limitations. As in all retrospective studies, some pertinent data was missing, including data related to \(H\) pylori infection, the use of PPIs, smoking, and diet. Another limitation of our study was that it was restricted to a single reference center, which may not be widely representative. However, the information reported here can be used to explore the relationship between the same variables and gastric cancer in other centers, in other populations. And in spite of these limitations, the present study contributes new information on the occurrence of the gastric adenocarcinoma and its anatomic and histological subtypes over a 25 year period of time in a distinct population, and raises new ideas for further studies.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The results of our study indicate that there was no significant change in the overall frequency, the mean age, the gender distribution, or the anatomic location of gastric adenocarcinomas in RS over the past 25 years. There was, however, a significant increase in the occurrence of the diffuse histological subtype and a decrease in the intestinal subtype, without a preference of either subtype for any location in the stomach. In patients under 45 years old, gastric cancer was more frequent in women, and the diffuse subtype predominated. Population-based studies are needed to confirm these findings and to elucidate the role of possible risk factors for proximal and distal gastric cancer in Southern Brazil.
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