PHYSICAL PROPERTIES, STAR FORMATION, AND ACTIVE GALACTIC NUCLEUS ACTIVITY IN BALMER BREAK GALAXIES AT $0 < z < 1$
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ABSTRACT

We present a spectroscopic study with the derivation of the physical properties of 37 Balmer break galaxies, which have the necessary lines to locate them in star-forming–active galactic nuclei (AGNs) diagnostic diagrams. These galaxies span a redshift range from 0.045 to 0.93 and are somewhat less massive than similar samples of previous works. The studied sample has multiwavelength photometric data coverage from the ultraviolet to mid-infrared (MIR) Spitzer bands. We investigate the connection between star formation and AGN activity via optical, mass-excitation (MEx), and MIR diagnostic diagrams. Through optical diagrams, 31 (84%) star-forming galaxies, two (5%) composite galaxies, and three (8%) AGNs were classified, whereas from the MEx diagram only one galaxy was classified as AGN. A total of 19 galaxies have photometry available in all the IRAC/Spitzer bands. Of these, three AGN candidates were not classified as AGN in the optical diagrams, suggesting they are dusty/obscured AGNs, or that nuclear star formation has diluted their contributions. By fitting the spectral energy distribution of the galaxies, we derived the stellar masses, dust reddening $E(B - V)$, ages, and UV star formation rates (SFRs). Furthermore, the relationship between SFR surface density ($\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$) and stellar mass surface density per time unit ($\Sigma_{M_{\ast}}/\tau$) as a function of redshift was investigated using the $\text{[O\,II]}\lambda3727, 3729, \text{H}\alpha \lambda6563$ luminosities, which revealed that both quantities are larger for higher redshift galaxies. We also studied the SFR and specific SFR (SSFR) versus stellar mass and color relations, with the more massive galaxies having higher SFR values but lower SSFR values than less massive galaxies. These results are consistent with previous ones showing that, at a given mass, high-redshift galaxies have on average larger SFR and SSFR values than low-redshift galaxies. Finally, bluer galaxies have larger SSFR values than redder galaxies and for a given color the SSFR is larger for higher redshift galaxies.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The redshift interval from $z \sim 0$ to 1 accounts for roughly half of the universe’s age, and provides a valuable range to study the final stages of galaxy assembly. It is well known that the star formation rate (SFR) in galaxies has diminished since $z \sim 1$ (Cowie et al. 1996; Madau et al. 1996; Lilly et al. 1995), with Cowie et al. coining the term “d downsizing” to describe this behavior. This suggests that the most massive galaxies finished their star formation earlier than less massive systems, a trend observed in broadband colors (Bundy et al. 2006), and radio (Hopkins 2004) and infrared (Pérez-González et al. 2005) data. However, the reasons why star formation in the universe has been decreasing and why it stops for any given galaxy are still unresolved.

Feedback processes may play an important role in regulating the mass growth of galaxies and/or producing downsizing, with their physical origin having received much attention. Some processes implemented in numerical and semi-analytic models include regulation through feedback by supernovae (Cole et al. 2000; Nagashima & Yoshii 2004), and active galactic nuclei (AGNs) by reduction of gas cooling and outflows of reheated gas (Bower et al. 2006; Lagos et al. 2008). The most accepted cosmological model, however, predicts that the formation of galaxies is hierarchical, with massive ellipticals being the result of both a series of mergers between smaller galaxies and by gas accretion. In this scenario without taking into account feedback processes, elliptical galaxies continue accreting gas and forming stars. As this is not observed in present day galaxies, it is important to understand the AGN activity at $z < 1$ as a feedback source. Indeed, although AGN feedback is expected to occur more often in more massive galaxies (Kauffmann et al. 2003a; Kewley et al. 2006), it is also interesting to test its occurrence in low-mass galaxies.

Galaxies show a wide variety of physical and observational properties, with their morphologies correlating with their colors, and also with characteristics of their stellar populations. The properties such as optical colors (Strateva et al. 2001), morphological parameters (Driver et al. 2006), and spectral indices (Kauffmann et al. 2003b) come from a bimodal distribution that defines two classes of galaxies: a red population of passive galaxies that have formed their stellar masses mostly at high redshift, and a blue population that is actively forming stars. This bimodal distribution is present at least up to $z \sim 1$–2 (Giallongo et al. 2005; Kriek et al. 2008), but its origin is still not clear. The best scenario to explain these properties may be evolutive, as galaxies in different phases of their evolution reveal different colors, SFRs, spectral indexes, and morphologies. Nevertheless, it is still unresolved how these different parameters are connected.
There is some evidence for a role of AGN in the transition area between the “blue cloud” and the “red sequence” on the color–magnitude and color–mass diagrams (Kauffmann et al. 2003a; Sánchez et al. 2004; Georgakakis et al. 2008), a region also known as the green valley. AGN hosts tend to have redder colors than the bulk of star-forming galaxies (Yan et al. 2011), suggesting that they might cause or maintain the quenching of star formation in early-type star-forming galaxies (Schawinski et al. 2007; Georgakakis et al. 2008; Mendez et al. 2011), suggesting that they might cause or maintain the quenching of star formation in early-type star-forming galaxies (Schawinski et al. 2007; Georgakakis et al. 2008; Mendez et al. 2011 for more discussion). However, in the local universe, type 2 AGNs (Seyferts) are mainly hosted in young massive star-forming galaxies (Kauffmann et al. 2003a; Kewley et al. 2006), thus implying a close link between the growth of black holes (BHs) and bulges.

About half of the sources with moderate X-ray luminosities (moderately luminous AGNs) detected in deep X-ray surveys do not show broad lines or high excitation lines characteristic of AGNs in their optical spectra, indicating that the optical line selection of AGNs is incomplete (Lacy et al. 2004; Szokoly et al. 2004; Caccianiga et al. 2007). Furthermore, AGNs with high column densities of absorbing gas and dust clouds (combined with those located in the host galaxy) may be missed in X-rays (Polletta et al. 2006; Donley et al. 2007; Maiolino & Risaliti 2007). A possible explanation for this is that current X-ray and optical surveys are biased against the early phase of BH evolution. At its initial stage of formation, an AGN might be deeply buried under star-forming clouds and/or show low luminosity, because although the BH mass is growing rapidly it is small. Therefore, it might be possible to use mid-infrared (MIR) wavelengths to locate these dusty AGNs by probing emission from AGN-heated dust. Moreover, this wavelength range is much less affected by extinction and is able to penetrate through the dusty envelope surrounding the AGN (Lacy et al. 2004; Donley et al. 2007).

Defining a galaxy population that contributes to a decrease in the SFR over cosmic time has strong implications for theories of galaxy evolution. In this investigation, we studied the physical properties of a sample of 37 emission line galaxies at 0 < z < 1, which were detected in MIR (Spitzer) for which the multiband photometry and optical spectra were available. This sample formed part of a spectroscopic survey pilot project in the Subaru XMM deep field (SXDF) out to z ~ 2.5, with the purpose of investigating the end of star formation in massive galaxies. This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we describe the sample selection; Section 3 gives details on the acquisition of the spectrophotometric data; Section 4 explains the reduction process applied to the data; Section 5 summarizes the final sample used in this paper; in Section 6, we investigate star formation and the AGN activity of our galaxies using optical, mass-excitation (MEx), and MIR diagnostic diagrams; and in Section 7, the physical properties of our galaxy sample are derived using the spectral energy distribution (SED) fitting technique, and the relationships between SFR, specific SFR (SSFR), and SFR surface density (Σ_{SFR}) are investigated as a function of redshift, stellar mass, and color. Finally, in Section 8 we summarize our results and analysis.

Throughout this paper, we have assumed a flat Λ-dominated cosmology with \( \Omega_m = 0.28 \), \( \Omega_\Lambda = 0.72 \), and \( H_0 = 70 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \, \text{Mpc} \).

2. SAMPLE SELECTION

The galaxies presented in this paper are a subset of a sample constructed to study star formation and the AGN activity of massive galaxies in the redshift range \( z = 0.1–2.5 \), with the parent sample being chosen from the SXDF (Furusawa et al. 2008). The advantage of the SXDF is that it has been observed in many photometric bands: B, V, R, i, z (Subaru); J, H, K (UKIRT; Lawrence et al. 2007); and 3.6 \( \mu \)m, 4.5 \( \mu \)m, 5.8 \( \mu \)m, 8.0 \( \mu \)m, 24 \( \mu \)m, 70 \( \mu \)m, and 160 \( \mu \)m (Spitzer). This field, centered at R.A. = 02:18:00, decl. = -05:00:00, covers an area of ~1.22 deg\(^2\) and reaches depths of ~27 AB magnitudes at optical wavelengths and ~24 AB mag at near-infrared (NIR) and MIR wavelengths. Therefore, one can apply a number of photometric criteria in order to select galaxies with different characteristics. In our particular case, the parent sample was selected using the λ3646 Balmer and λ4000 break features as tracers of redshift, as described by Daddi et al. (2004), using the B:K color–color diagram to select star-forming galaxies in a specific redshift range, independently of the dust reddening.\(^6\) The limiting magnitude of the survey was set to \( K < 23 \) AB mag, with an additional requirement being that the source had a counterpart in all UV–optical–NIR bands.

A total of four different fields were observed using four masks, which produced 417 spectra of the selected targets. However, only 132 of these spectra had the necessary signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) for our purposes (see Sections 4.2 and 4.3). Additionally, out of these 132 spectra, we selected only those galaxies that showed the necessary emission lines in order to locate them in at least one of the Baldwin et al. (1981, hereafter BPT) diagnostic diagrams (i.e., Hβ/4861 Å, [O iii]/λ5007, Hα 6563 Å, and [N ii] λ6548, 6583 emission lines), or those presented by Lamareille (2010) and Juneau et al. (2011) to identify star formation and AGN activity in galaxies at high redshifts. These latter two diagrams are particularly useful for galaxies at \( z > 0.5 \), since the emission lines Hβ and [N ii] are beyond the spectral coverage for an optical spectrograph. Lamareille (2010) used the flux ratio \( [O \, iii]/\lambda5007 / H/\beta(\lambda4861) \) versus the equivalent width ratio \( [O \, iii]/\lambda3727 / H/\beta(\lambda4861) \), while Juneau et al. (2011) employed the flux ratio \( [O \, iii]/\lambda5007 / H/\beta(\lambda4861) \) versus the stellar mass. Both of these approaches can be applied out to \( z < 1.0 \), because the emission lines Hβ and [O iii] are beyond the spectral coverage at higher redshifts.

These constraints allowed us to explore the interplay between AGNs and star formation in galaxies at 0 < z < 1, independent of the dust reddening, and to compare different optical selection methods normally employed to study these populations. Note that the presence of the aforementioned emission lines implies a galaxy sample with evidence of recent star formation activity, thus favoring the selection of late-type galaxies, where star formation and AGN activity are usually found.

3. OBSERVATIONS

In addition to the photometry available for the SXDF, we obtained u-band data along with optical spectroscopy for a broader and more accurate study of the physical properties of the galaxies.

3.1. u-band Photometry

The u-band photometry was obtained in order to provide better constraints over the UV SFR values derived from SED fitting, and also to select Lyman break galaxies (LBGs) at redshifts \( z > 2.0 \). The latter will be used in future research.

The u-band images were obtained under photometric conditions during 2006 September 16–17 and 19–20 (PI: N. Padilla)\(^6\) Details about these color criteria can also be seen in Hanami et al. (2012).
using the MosaicII camera attached to the prime focus of the Blanco telescope at Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory. The MosaicII is a wide field camera of $8912 \times 8192$ pixel$^2$, with a pixel scale of 0.267 arcsec pixel$^{-1}$ and covering a field of view of $37' \times 37'$.

We observed the region of the SXDF centered at R.A.: 02:18:00, decl.: 05:02:12; and SXDF2 at R.A.: 02:18:30.7, decl.: 05:01:37; and SXDF3 at R.A.: 02:17:31.8, decl.: 05:02:12; and SXDF4 at R.A.: 02:18:30, decl.: 05:02:05. During this observation, the usual calibration frames were taken including ZERO, SKYFLATS, and standard stars. A small offset was applied during the acquisition of images in order to remove the gaps between the CCDs. Table 1 details the observation log for the whole run.

### Table 1

| Date       | Exp. Time |
|------------|-----------|
| 2006 Sep 16| 6 $\times$ 1000 |
| 2006 Sep 17| 5 $\times$ 1000 |
| 2006 Sep 19| 10 $\times$ 1000 |
| 2006 Sep 20| 12 $\times$ 1000 |

4. reductions

#### 4.1. u-band photometry

The reduction process for the Mosaic u-band data was performed using the IRAF package mscred, mainly following the NOAO Deep Wide Field Survey notes.\(^7\) This process includes bias, flat field and World Coordinate System (WCS) astrometric corrections, together with a projection onto a standard coordinate system and image scale on single images. Reduced images were finally stacked into a single image that was complete up to a total magnitude of $u = 24.5$ AB mag.

#### 4.2. Spectroscopic: IMACS/Magellan Data

The IMACS/Magellan spectra were reduced using the Carnegie Observatories System for Multi Object Spectroscopy (COSMOS)\(^8\) routines, which are based on an accurate optical model of IMACS that predicts (after alignment and calibration) a precise description of the spectral features (position, angle, scale). In this way, the process of visual line searching is improved. The reduction process includes corrections by ZERO and FLAT frames, subtraction of the sky lines and background, and spectral extraction.

The COSMOS routines produce a three-dimensional image formed by layers that contain the two-dimensional spectrum corresponding to the mask slits, so the two-dimensional spectra for each of the exposures were combined into a single three-dimensional image. During this final process, the cosmic rays were removed. The one-dimensional spectrum extraction process was carried out using the apall routine, while the flux calibration was performed using the calibrate routine, all belonging to IRAF. However, due to parasite light problems, only 44 spectra had a good enough S/N to measure a secure redshift. Therefore, considering that the original mask had 227 slits, the success rate was 19%.

### Table 2

| Date       | Field     | Exp. Time |
|------------|-----------|-----------|
| 2008 Nov 1 | SXDF3     | 2 $\times$ 1830 |
| 2008 Nov 3 | SXDF3     | 2 $\times$ 1830 |
|            |           | 1 $\times$ 1037 |
| 2008 Nov 4 | SXDF3     | 4 $\times$ 1830 |
| 2008 Nov 5 | SXDF3     | 4 $\times$ 1830 |
| 2008 Nov 6 | SXDF3     | 2 $\times$ 1830 |
| 2008 Nov 20| SXDF1     | 2 $\times$ 1830 |
| 2008 Nov 21| SXDF1     | 4 $\times$ 1830 |
| 2008 Nov 22| SXDF1     | 4 $\times$ 1830 |
| 2008 Nov 25| SXDF1     | 3 $\times$ 1830 |
| 2008 Nov 26| SXDF1     | 2 $\times$ 1830 |
| 2008 Dec 24| SXDF2     | 5 $\times$ 1830 |
| 2008 Dec 25| SXDF2     | 5 $\times$ 1830 |
| 2008 Dec 26| SXDF2     | 2 $\times$ 1830 |
| 2008 Dec 27| SXDF2     | 2 $\times$ 1830 |

\(^7\) http://www.noao.edu/noao/deep/ReductionOpt/frames.html

\(^8\) http://obs.carnegiescience.edu/Code/cosmos

---

\(3.59 \, \text{Å pixel}^{-1}\)
and flux calibrations. The reduced two-dimensional spectra were processed to recover the positive and negative spectra in order to join them in a single two-dimensional spectrum using standard IRAF routines. Finally, the one-dimensional extraction process and flux calibration were performed using the *apall* and *calibrate* routines within IRAF. Similar problems were encountered as those described in the previous section. From 190 slits arranged in three masks, a secure redshift was only obtained for 89 spectra, representing a success rate of 46%.

### 4.4. Emission Line Fluxes

Fluxes of the emission lines were measured using the *splot* routine within IRAF, adopting the Gaussian mode as it provides an adequate representation of the observed line profiles. Prior to taking the emission line measurements, the spectrum of the underlying stellar population was subtracted in order to produce a pure emission line spectrum. This is particularly important since both the Hβ and Hα emission lines are affected by Balmer stellar absorption. Furthermore, prior to the emission line measurements, the aperture photometry was used to correct errors in the spectra due to flux loss. Stellar template spectra were obtained from stellar population synthesis models as described in Section 4.5.

Based on the technique applied to Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) galaxies by Tremonti et al. (2004), the calculated B-, V-, R-, i-, z-band fluxes were compared with the fluxes from the spectrum. The correction factor for each spectrum was estimated as the average of the flux ratio between the aperture spectrum and the continuum calculated for each filter, with typical values of such corrections being about 30%. The flux limit in the emission lines was $4 \times 10^{-18}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ at the 3σ level. In Hα, this value corresponded to a limit in the SFR of $\sim 0.0001 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ at $z = 0.045$, and of $\sim 0.1 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ for the most distant galaxy of our sample at $z = 0.93$.

### 4.5. Spectral Energy Distribution Fitting

The GALAXEV code (Bruzual & Charlot 2003) was used to generate a database of SED templates spanning the ages of [0.001 Gyr; 13.5 Gyr] and the metallicities Z of [0.004; 0.05]. We assumed a Salpeter initial mass function (IMF; Salpeter 1955) and two types of star formation histories (SFHs): passive evolution of stars (single burst; i.e., simple stellar population, SSP) and an exponentially declining one (SFR(t) $\sim e^{-t/\tau}$, with $\tau$ spanning [0.25 Gyr; 15 Gyr]). A dust reddening law (Calzetti et al. 2000) was applied to each template using $E(B - V)$ values spanning [0; 0.5] (see Section 7.1 for more details). Then, a least-squares $\chi^2$ fitting method was used to obtain the best template that matched the dominant stellar population, with emission lines being masked prior to the fitting. By using these templates, the stellar mass of the sample galaxies was estimated, which revealed values of total stellar masses in the range $10^8$–$10^{10}$ $M_\odot$. To avoid the age–metallicity degeneracy of the SED fits, a constant metallicity of $Z = 0.004$ was used. This value was taken as the mean metallicity value found in galaxies that had the same stellar mass range as our galaxies (Gallazzi et al. 2005), ignoring possible mass–metallicity evolution.

Both total and aperture photometry of the galaxies were used to perform SED fitting. The $u$, $B$, $V$, $R$, $i$, $z$, $J$, $H$, and $K$ aperture magnitudes were calculated in a diaphragm with the same aperture of the slit as that used for spectroscopy (1′′), with SExtractor$^9$ code being used to obtain the magnitudes.

---

$^9$ [http://www.astromatic.net/software/sexttractor](http://www.astromatic.net/software/sexttractor)
6.1. Optical Star-forming–AGN Diagrams

Kewley et al. (2006) used the SDSS DR4 to show that Seyferts and LINERs formed separate branches on the standard optical BPT diagnostic diagrams, and thereby improved the empirical separation between star-forming, Seyferts, LINER, and composite galaxies. Figure 3 shows the $[\text{O} \text{iii}]$ (λ5007)/$\text{H} \beta$ (λ4861) (hereafter $[\text{O} \text{iii}]/\text{H} \beta$) versus $[\text{N} \text{ii}]$ (λ6548, 6583)/$\text{H} \alpha$ (λ6563) (hereafter $[\text{N} \text{ii}]/\text{H} \alpha$, left panel) and the $[\text{O} \text{iii}]/\text{H} \beta$ versus $[\text{S} \text{ii}]$ (λ6717, 6731)/$\text{H} \alpha$ (λ6563) (hereafter $[\text{S} \text{ii}]/\text{H} \alpha$, right panel) diagnostic diagrams for the sample galaxies at $z < 0.5$ and $z < 0.48$, respectively. In the left panel, three galaxies (SXDF021834.7-050432, SXDF021758.7-050035, and SXDF021839.0-050423) that could host an AGN can be observed, while the remaining ones are clearly of the star-forming type. It is interesting to note that two of these galaxies (SXDF021834.7-050432 and SXDF021758.7-050035) were also classified as AGNs from their MIR colors (see Section 6.2). On the other hand, the right panel does not show any AGN candidates. Unfortunately, it was not possible to measure the $[\text{S} \text{ii}]$ λ6717, 6731 lines in the previous AGN candidates due to their redshifts ($z > 0.48$), which placed the emission lines beyond the observed spectral range. Nor was it possible to detect the $[\text{O} \text{ii}]$ λ6300 emission line in any sample galaxy, since this line was relatively weak, and our spectra had low S/Ns.

According to the K06 diagrams, there were one Seyfert 2, two composite galaxies, and 15 star-forming ones out of the sample of 18 classified galaxies, corresponding to percentages of 5%, 11%, and 83%, respectively. This result is in reasonable
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agreement with that presented by K06, who found 3% of Seyfert 2, 7% of LINERs, and 7% of composite galaxies for a sample with $0.04 < z < 0.1$. Although our sample had almost twice the percentage of Seyfert and composite galaxies than that of K06, care must be taken about this result since our sample is small and incomplete.

6.1.1. The Blue Star-forming–AGN Diagram

In our study, the L10, diagram proved useful for galaxies at $z > 0.5$, since Hα 6563 Å was well beyond the spectral range available in our data. Figure 4 shows [O III] / Hβ versus the equivalent width ratio $W_{[OIII]}$ / $W_{H\beta}$ diagram and reveals three galaxies classified as AGNs: two are Seyfert 2 galaxies (SXDF021834.7-050432 and SXDF021721.6-050245), and one is LINER (SXDF021821.7-044659). It is interesting to note that these galaxies were also classified as AGNs by their MIR color diagrams (see Section 6.2). From these latter diagrams, two additional galaxies were AGN candidates, with one being a star-forming–Seyfert 2 (SXDF021758.7-050035), and the other a star forming–composite (SXDF021836.9-045046).

Out of 23 galaxies that were in the L10, diagram, there were 2 (8%) Seyfert 2, 1 (4%) LINER, 10 (43%) star-forming–Seyfert 2 galaxies, 3 (13%) star-forming–composite galaxies, and 7 (30%) star-forming galaxies. Assuming that the star-forming–Seyfert 2 and star-forming–composite regions are the only star-forming types, we obtained an upper limit of 20 (87%) for star forming. In a much larger sample of 1213 galaxies with $0.5 < z < 0.9$, Lamareille et al. (2009) found 3% of Seyfert 2s, no LINERs, 19% of composites galaxies, and 78% of star-forming galaxies. However, it should be noted that the classification scheme used by Lamareille et al. (2009) was slightly different from the one we used. In our case, the new classification proposed by L10, was used.

6.1.2. Mass-excitation Star-forming–AGN Diagram

J11 proposed the MEx diagnostic diagram to identify star-forming galaxies and AGNs at intermediate redshift, using the
SDSS DR4 to show that combining the [O\textsc{iii}]/H\beta line ratio with the total stellar mass successfully distinguished AGN from star formation emission. This diagram relies on the fact that AGNs are mainly hosted in massive galaxies with stellar masses of $M_\star \gtrsim 10^{10} M_\odot$ (Kaufmann et al. 2003a; Kewley et al. 2006) and that the [O\textsc{iii}]/H\beta line ratio is a well-known feature for recognizing AGNs in classical BPT diagrams.

The total stellar masses were estimated by SED fitting (see Section 7.3 for more details), with Figure 5 showing the MEx diagram for our sample of galaxies. Note that this MEx diagram was calibrated using the Chabrier IMF (Chabrier 2003), and therefore in this figure our stellar mass values were converted from the Salpeter IMF to the Chabrier IMF. According to J11, this diagram shows three regions. Of these, the one located above the empirical curve corresponds to AGN galaxies, while the one below corresponds to star-forming galaxies. Transition objects (equivalent to composite galaxies in the K06 diagrams) are located in the other small region between the two empirical curves. It can be observed from this figure that only one AGN (SXDF021834.7-050432) was detected among our six AGN candidates selected from the MIR colors and emission line diagrams. This raises the question as to whether the MEx diagram is in fact effective for detecting AGNs in low-mass hosts. For this reason, we used the Greene & Ho (2004), and Barth et al. (2008) data, and specifically we chose those galaxies with total masses lower than $10^{10} M_\odot$ in order to check the relative number of AGNs detected using the MEx diagram. Figure 5 also shows that the MEx diagram missed a high percentage (more than 70%) of the AGNs in low-mass host galaxies.

6.2. MIR Star-forming–AGN Diagram

The Spitzer data were used to select galaxies with strong emission in the MIR regime relative to stellar emission. From these data, three types of emission could be distinguished: (1) galaxies dominated by the AGN, which had a power-law-like emission in the 3–10 $\mu$m band that originated from very hot dust heated by the intense AGN radiation field, (2) star-forming galaxies rich in gas and dust and largely dominated by polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) features,\(^{10}\) and

\(^{10}\) PAHs are aromatic molecules ubiquitous in the interstellar medium of our own galaxy and nearby galaxies with ongoing or recent star formation.
(3) starbursts, which had a very steeply rising continuum at 12–16 μm (Laurent et al. 2000; Weedman et al. 2006; Smith et al. 2007). However, there were galaxies with a power-law SEDs in the MIR that also showed a slight increase in the NIR, which might have been associated to the redshifted 1.6 μm peak from the stellar continuum, suggesting that both AGN and star formation activity may coexist in these galaxies (Alonso-Herrero et al. 2006; Donley et al. 2007; Lacy et al. 2007).

Figure 3. Left panel: [O iii]/Hβ vs. [N ii]/Hα diagnostic diagram. The conservative boundary line for AGNs was taken from Kewley et al. (2001). Seyfert 2–LINER and star-forming–composite (AGN+star-forming) limits were taken from Kauffmann et al. (2003a). Black square symbols are galaxies that could be found to host an obscured AGN if their MIR colors are explored (see Section 6.2 for more details). Right panel: [O iii]/Hβ vs. [S ii]/Hα diagnostic diagram. Boundary lines were taken from Kewley et al. (2001, 2006).

Figure 4. Lamareille [O iii]/Hβ vs. W[O ii]/W[Hβ] diagnostic diagram. AGN–star-forming boundaries are presented as Lamareille (2010). Black square symbols are galaxies that could host an AGN according to their MIR colors.

Figure 5. Mass-excitation star-forming–AGN diagnostic diagram. The empirical curves were taken from Juneau et al. (2011), which separate between star-forming and AGNs. The region located between the two empirical curves on the MEx diagram contains composites galaxies. Gray square symbols are our AGN candidates, either selected in the optical or MIR diagnostic diagrams. Black triangles are low-mass Seyfert 2 galaxies of Barth et al. (2008) and narrow line Seyfert 1 galaxies of Greene & Ho (2007).

There were 19 galaxies in our sample with available photometry in all IRAC/Spitzer bands. For these galaxies, we constructed color–color diagrams, with Figure 6 showing the Lacy et al. (2007) log(S8.0/S4.5) versus log(S8.5/S3.6) diagram (left panel) and the Stern et al. (2005) (3.6–4.5 μm) versus (5.8–8.0 μm)
Another possibility is that some MIR AGN candidates may be star-forming contaminants.

Figure 6. Left panel: $\log(S_{6.0}/S_{1.4})$ vs. $\log(S_{5.8}/S_{1.6})$ diagram. Right panel: $(3.6–4.5 \mu m)$ vs. $(5.8–8.0 \mu m)$ diagram. Black solid lines show the limits adopted by Stern et al. (2005) and Lacy et al. (2007). Blue squares are AGN candidates selected by the Kewley’s diagrams, while red triangles are AGN candidates selected from Lamareille’s diagram (see Section 6.1).

Since we expected some of our galaxies to be significantly affected by dust (Calzetti et al. 2000; Hopkins et al. 2003; Papovich et al. 2006), the internal stellar reddening was intermediate fluxes ($\sim 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) in various X-ray energy bands. In the SXDF, they found 30 AGNs at redshift $0.04 < z < 2.209$, of which 14 were at $z < 1.0$, with seven of these being broad line AGNs. A search was made in public X-ray catalogs for counterparts of our AGN candidates. Ueda et al. (2008) published X-ray data, observed with XMM, with sensitivity limits of $6 \times 10^{-16}$, $8 \times 10^{-16}$, $3 \times 10^{-15}$, and $5 \times 10^{-15} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the $0.5–2$, $0.5–4.5$, $2–10$, and $4.5–10$ keV bands, respectively. However, no counterparts were found in this catalog or in the Barcons sample, even for our massive AGN SXDF021834.7-050432 that satisfied the AGN criteria for the K06, L10, and Lacy et al. (2007) diagrams. This result would suggest that the AGN candidates in our sample belonged to the low luminosity class ($L_X < 10^{43}$ \text{ erg s}^{-1}$), and also that both the X-ray and optical surveys may have suffered from biases against the most obscured phases of BH growth. Similar examples can also be found in Poletta et al. (2006) and Caccianiga et al. (2007).

An estimation was made of the fluxes that our sources could have in the $2–10$ keV band, based on the relation found between color $(R – K)_{\text{Vega}}$ versus $\log(L_X)$ at $2–10$ keV for type 2 AGNs (Brusa et al. 2010). The most massive AGN in the sample had $R – K = 3.0$, which corresponded to an $L_X \sim 6 \times 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (see Figure 7, right panel from Brusa et al. 2010). At the redshift of the galaxy, this luminosity implied $S_X \sim 3 \times 10^{-15}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, corresponding to the detection limit flux of the XMM survey. The remaining AGN candidates had lower $R – K$ values and similar redshifts as the most massive AGNs in our sample, which implied even lower X-ray fluxes.

7. PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF THE SAMPLE

7.1. Reddening

Since we expected some of our galaxies to be significantly affected by dust (Calzetti et al. 2000; Hopkins et al. 2003; Papovich et al. 2006), the internal stellar reddening was...
Figure 7. Stellar reddening vs. nebular reddening. The left panel shows nebular extinction obtained from the Balmer decrement, while the right panel shows the nebular extinction calculated through the [OII] λ3727 luminosity (Kewley et al. 2004). Stellar extinction was obtained from SED fitting to aperture magnitudes. The short-dashed line shows the 1:1 ratio, while the long-dashed line shows the ratio found by Calzetti et al. (2000) for local starburst galaxies, with the nebular reddening being more significant than the internal stellar reddening.

estimated for each galaxy by adopting the dust extinction law from Calzetti et al. (2000), which is a simple but reasonable approximation to better known extinction laws such as those of Fitzpatrick (1986; Small Magellanic Cloud type), Fitzpatrick (1999; Milky Way type), and Bouchez et al. (1985; LMC type). A value of $R_V = 4.05$, instead of the typical value of $R_V = 3.1$ (Milky Way) was used as this was the most suitable value for our sample galaxies, given that moving to higher redshifts would have implied galaxies with higher luminosity, greater star formation, and higher dust amounts than those observed in local galaxies (i.e., actively star-forming galaxies; Calzetti et al. 2000). Using this extinction law with a set of $E(B - V)$ values to run the $\chi^2$ SED fitting program, it was observed that the sample galaxies had stellar $E(B - V)$ values in the range [0.0; 0.3].

For galaxies that showed the necessary emission lines, the nebular $E(B - V)$ was also measured using the Balmer decrement or the [OII] λ3727 luminosity recipe given by Kewley et al. (2004). The ratio $H_{\alpha}/H_\beta = 2.85$ was adopted for the star-forming galaxies, while for AGNs we chose $H_{\alpha}/H_\beta = 3.1$ (Gaskell & Ferland 1984; Veilleux & Osterbrock 1987; Osterbrock 1989). Figure 7 shows a comparison between the stellar reddening (obtained from SED fitting) versus nebular extinction (obtained from the Balmer decrement, left panel; and from the [OII] luminosity, right panel), where in general terms, the nebular reddening can be observed to be higher than the stellar reddening. This can be explained by considering that ionizing stars are close to dusty molecular clouds, while non-ionizing stars responsible for the UV–optical continuum reside in regions with smaller dust amounts (Calzetti et al. 1994). However, we also had a few exceptions that revealed the opposite behavior, with two galaxies showing low S/N spectra together with the $H_{\alpha}$ emission lines redshifted to 9000–10000 Å, a region where the sky line subtraction was subject to large residuals.

7.2. Ages

Galaxies are composed of stellar populations of different ages and metallicities related to their SFHs. The $\chi^2$ statistics were used to find the age of the dominant stellar population, for two types of SFHs: passive evolution (a single burst, i.e., SSP) and exponentially declining histories (SFR(t) $\sim e^{-t/\tau}$, for $0.25 \text{ Gyr} < \tau < 15 \text{ Gyr}$). In general terms, we observed that the exponentially declining model showed much better $\chi^2$ values than the SSP models. However, for a few sample galaxies both models provided similar $\chi^2$ values and ages, but it should be noted that the age parameter was no limited by the age of the universe. Figure 8 shows the age distribution of the sample galaxies (top panel), which peaked at $10^9$ yr. The bottom panel shows age versus spectroscopic redshift, where it can be observed that younger galaxies had higher redshifts.

In the left panel of Figure 9, the age values were compared with the rest-frame color index ($u - B$), a good indicator of galaxy age (Rudnick et al. 2006; Kriek et al. 2008). The right panel shows how the dispersion of the data disappeared almost completely when a dust extinction correction was applied. However, there were two distinct branches, which can possible be explained as an effect of a degeneracy in the color–$\tau$ (SFR mean lifetime) parameter space of the SED model. It is also interesting to note that the fits obtained before and after dust extinction correction were very similar (slopes $\sim 1.75$).

7.3. Stellar Masses

Total magnitudes were used in all available bands of the sample galaxies to calculate their total stellar masses. For this purpose, we used the same spectral library generated with the GALAXEV code (see Section 4.5), and applied a least $\chi^2$ fitting method to obtain the best template that matched the calculated total magnitudes. Figure 10 shows the mass distribution of our galaxies (top panel). This plot peaked at $10^{9.25} M_\odot$ and it ranged from $10^{7.5} M_\odot$ to $10^{11} M_\odot$. Most of the galaxies (72%) had masses in the range $10^{8.5} - 10^{10} M_\odot$, and therefore the sample was mainly composed of low-mass galaxies. This could imply a problem when comparing AGN in other samples that included massive ($M_* \gtrsim 10^{10} M_\odot$) galaxies. The bottom panel shows stellar masses as a function of redshift, where it can be observed...
all but one galaxy was located in the blue cloud region. It is interesting to note that the only object located in the green valley region was SXDF021834.7-050432, which was the most massive AGN (black squares) of the sample. This result clearly indicates that most of the sample galaxies had strong star formation and therefore this might also explain the low number of AGNs detected (∼8%) by the optical diagnostic diagrams. In other words, the starburst was conspicuous (Pastoriza et al. 1999; Greene & Ho 2007).

7.4. Black Hole Masses

It is widely accepted that all galaxies with a massive bulge component contain a central massive BH. The good correlations between the mass of the central BH and the physical properties of the surrounding stellar bulge have provided evidence that BHs play a key role in the evolution of galaxies. Bennert et al. (2011) also found the following correlation between the BH mass \( M_{\text{BH}} \) and the total stellar mass of the host \( M_{\text{host}} \):

\[
\log \left( \frac{M_{\text{BH}}}{M_\odot} \right) = 1.12 \log \left( \frac{M_{\text{host}}}{M_\odot} \right) + 1.15 \log(1 + z) - 19.88. \tag{1}
\]

Moreover, the X-ray luminosity \( L_X \) produced by the BH of our AGN candidates in the 2–10 keV range can be derived using the equation of Kiuchi et al. (2006):

\[
\log \left( \frac{L_{\text{2–10 keV}}}{\text{erg s}^{-1}} \right) = \log \left( \frac{M_{\text{BH}}}{M_\odot} \right) - \log \left( \frac{\text{BC}}{30} \right)
+ \log \left( \frac{\lambda}{0.1} \right) + 35.6. \tag{2}
\]

This is particularly interesting since this is an independent way to calculate X-ray luminosities for our galaxies and these values can then be compared to those obtained in Section 6.3. Using a mean redshift of 0.5, for a total stellar mass range of \( 10^{8.2} – 10^{10.7} M_\odot \) (calibrated to Chabrier IMF), a BH mass range of \( 10^{5.5} – 10^{7.2} M_\odot \) was obtained. Similar values were found in low-mass Seyfert 2 by Barth et al. (2008).

We have assumed conservative values for the bolometric correction \( (\text{BC} = 30) \) and for the Eddington ratio \( (\lambda = 0.1) \); Kiuchi et al. 2006; Ballo et al. 2007; Goulding et al. 2010). Figure 12 shows the \( L_X \) obtained from the BH mass \( L_X,2–10\text{keV},M_{\text{BH}} \) against the \( L_X \) predicted by the \((R – K)_{\text{Vega}}\) color \( L_X,2–10\text{keV},R – K_{\text{Vega}} \) (Brusa et al. 2010; Section 6.3), with the solid line defining a 1:1 relation. The arrows show how \( L_X,2–10\text{keV},M_{\text{BH}} \) would vary for other values of \( \lambda \) and BC. In general terms, there is a fair agreement between both X-ray luminosity estimates. The differences found might be attributed to the \( \lambda \) and BC values adopted, which are not necessarily the same for all galaxies.

7.5. Star Formation Rates

The emission line fluxes from H\(\alpha\) 6563 Å, and [O\(\text{iii}\)]λ3727 lines were used to estimate the SFRs of our galaxy sample. To carry this out, the new estimators corrected by the dust attenuation of Kennicutt et al. (2009) were utilized. In addition, the SFR was determined in an independent way using the UV continuum obtained from the fitted templates and the estimators of Kennicutt (Kennicutt 1998; Kennicutt et al. 2009). Line fluxes were reddening corrected using the Balmer decrement or the Kewley et al. (2004) recipe depending on the emission lines available in the spectrum. The UV fluxes were corrected

---

**Figure 8.** Top panel: age distribution for the sample galaxies. Bottom panel: age vs. redshift. Black square symbols are our AGN candidates. The solid line shows the age of the universe as a function of the redshift.
For this purpose, the SFR surface density $\Sigma$, which therefore allowed us to study the SFR cosmic evolution.

versus SFR UV. In this plot, there are four duplicate galaxies using the Figure 9.

Indeed, as the UV fluxes were estimated via SED extrapolation from UV–optical–NIR wavelengths to FUV/NUV regions, the UV fluxes may also have overestimated. A much better correlation was observed for the SFR$_{H\alpha, [O\text{II}]}$ versus SFR$_{[O\text{II}]}$, with the SFR from an exponentially declining SFH (right panel) indicating that the SFRs obtained by both methods were remarkably similar.

7.5.1. SFR Cosmic Evolution

Our galaxies spanned the redshift range $0.04 < z < 1.0$, which therefore allowed us to study the SFR cosmic evolution. For this purpose, the SFR surface density $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ was estimated in the inner 2.5 kpc region of the sample galaxies. This radius represented the mean projected size for a 1'' aperture at the mean distance of the sample. In the same way, the stellar mass per unit area and time as $\Sigma_{M_*/\tau}$ was defined, by dividing the stellar mass per unit area by the age of the dominant stellar population calculated in the SED fitting. This value represented the average SFR with which the galaxies gathered their stellar mass, under the hypothesis of a constant SFR. Figure 14 (left panel) shows the $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}_{[O\text{II}], H\alpha}}$ (blue squares) obtained from $[O\text{II}]\lambda3729$ or $H\alpha$ lines, with $\Sigma_{M_*/\tau}$ (red squares) showing our galaxies as a function of redshift. The solid blue line is the linear fit for $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}_{[O\text{II}], H\alpha}}$, while the long-dashed red line shows the fit for $\Sigma_{M_*/\tau}$. The figure also shows the $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}_{[O\text{II}], H\alpha}}$ values for zCOSMOS and SDSS star-forming galaxies (Silverman et al. 2009) with redshifts in the range $0 < z < 1.02$ (triangles). These values were calculated using Figure 10 from Silverman et al. (2009, hereafter S09), and then the S09 SFR values were divided by the projected area corresponding to the slit aperture at the galaxy redshift. The short-dashed line shows the fit for this sample. As can be observed, the slope of the linear fit for $\Sigma_{M_*/\tau}$ (1.06 $\pm$ 0.38) was very similar to that obtained for the S09 $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}_{[O\text{II}]}}$ values ($\sim 1.13$), and was lower than the one obtained for our $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}_{[O\text{II}], H\alpha}}$ values. This result encouraged us to investigate possible bias effects. We determined whether this effect was consistent with a possible bias due to the low-mass galaxies present in our sample, as galaxies with higher stellar mass values tended to have higher SFRs. In order to avoid this possible effect, the SFR values for those galaxies with $M_*/10^9 M_\odot$ were scaled using the relation found by Elbaz et al. (2007; SFR $\propto M_*/\tau^{0.9}$), and this value was used considering our mass detection limit shown in Figure 10. In Figure 14 (right panel), it can be seen that the slope of the new fit (1.18 $\pm$ 0.29) was now consistent with S09, which implied a similar increasing rate of $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ as a function of redshift.

7.5.2. SFR and Specific SFR Relations

The relation between SFR and total stellar mass in the galaxy sample was now investigated. Finlator et al. (2006) studied the physical properties of LBGs at $z = 4$ in cosmological hydrodynamics simulations, and found a strong correlation between SFR and stellar mass, with a slope of $\sim 1.14$ in their simulated galaxy sample. Although Weinberg et al. (2002) had already reported this correlation in their simulated galaxies in LCDM models at $z = 3$, no attempt was made to calculate its slope. Daddi et al. (2007) and Elbaz et al. (2007) also observed this relation using data from the Great Observatories Origins Deep Survey (GOODS) at $1.4 < z < 2.5$ and $0.8 < z < 1.2$, respectively. Figure 15 shows log(SFR$_{[O\text{II}], H\alpha}$) versus log($M_*$) for the galaxies separated into two groups: intermediate-redshift galaxies ($0.5 < z < 1.0$, gray triangles) and low-redshift galaxies ($0 < z < 0.5$, black squares). The obtained linear
fits are shown for both subsamples as a long-dashed line (intermediate-redshift galaxies) and a solid line (low-redshift galaxies). For comparison, the fit obtained for the SDSS galaxies with $z < 0.2$ (Brinchmann et al. 2004) is also given (dashed line). Similarly, the dotted line shows the trend observed in the GOODS sample by Elbaz et al. (2007, hereafter E07), while the dot-dashed line shows the fit calculated by Daddi et al. (2007). It is remarkable that all samples had similar slopes and also a zero point shift that correlated with the redshift range of the galaxy sample. Our calculated slopes were $0.76 \pm 0.30$ for the intermediate-redshift subsample, comparable to the value reported by E07 (0.9), and $0.77 \pm 0.1$ for the low-redshift subsample, quite similar to that obtained by Brinchmann et al. (2004, hereafter B04; 0.77). Similar values were also found by Santini et al. (2009) using GOODS-MUSIC galaxies at $0.3 < z < 1.0$, who found slopes of 0.73 and 0.70 for their subsamples at $0.6 < z < 1.0$ and $0.3 < z < 0.6$, respectively.
Figure 13. Left panel: SFRHα, [O ii] vs. SFRUV. Line fluxes were corrected using the $E(B-V)$ calculated through the Balmer decrement or the Kewley et al. (2004) recipe, depending on the availability of the necessary emission lines. The UV fluxes were corrected using the $E(B-V)$ estimated from the SED fitting. Right panel: SFRHα, [O ii] vs. SFRSFH, obtained using exponentially declining SFHs ($SFR \sim e^{-t/\tau}$). In both panels, points connected with a solid line represent the SFR calculated from Hα (black squares) or [O ii] (gray triangles) for the same galaxy. The solid line represents a 1:1 correlation, while the dashed line shows the linear fit applied to the sample. Empty circles represent our AGN candidates.

Figure 14. $\Sigma$SFRHα, [O ii] (blue squares) and $\Sigma M^*/\tau$ (red squares) as a function of redshift. Left panel shows $\Sigma$SFRHα, [O ii] values prior to stellar mass normalization, where a systematic bias can be observed. In the right panel, $\Sigma$SFRHα, [O ii] values for galaxies with $M_* < 10^9 M_\odot$ were normalized to $10^9 M_\odot$ (see the text for details). In both panels, the long-dashed red and solid blue lines show the linear fits for $\Sigma M^*/\tau$ and $\Sigma$SFRHα, [O ii] respectively. As a comparison, we also plotted the $\Sigma$SFR[O ii] values calculated for zCOSMOS star-forming galaxies (Silverman et al. 2009) with redshifts spanning $0 < z < 1.0$ (empty triangles). The short-dashed line shows the linear fit for this sample.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Even though our sample was relatively small, it gives additional support to the Daddi et al. (2007) and E07 results, i.e., for a given stellar mass the SFR is on average larger at higher redshifts.

The SSFR is a measure of the rate at which new stars are added relative to the total stellar mass of a galaxy. Brinchmann & Ellis (2000) and Bauer et al. (2005) studied star-forming galaxies at $0 < z < 1.5$, and found an anticorrelation between stellar mass and SSFR. Figure 16 shows this relation for the sample galaxies, separated as shown previously. We have also included in the plot the fits obtained by B04, Rodighiero et al. (2010) for GOODS-N galaxies at $0.5 < z < 1.0$, and Karim et al. (2011) for COSMOS galaxies at $1.6 < z < 2.0$. It should be noted that...
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Figure 15. SFR\(^{[O\text{II}]}\) vs. total stellar mass. Black squares represent our low-redshift galaxies (0 < z < 0.5), while gray triangles are our intermediate-redshift galaxies (0.5 < z < 1.0). The solid and long-dashed lines show the linear fits obtained for each group. The short-dashed line shows the trend observed in SDSS at redshift z < 0.2 (Brinchmann et al. 2004), the dotted line shows the trend observed in GOODS by Elbaz et al. (2007) at redshift 0.8 < z < 1.2, while the dot-dashed line shows the trend found by Daddi et al. (2007) in GOODS at 1.4 < z < 2.5.

Figure 16. SSFR\(^{[O\text{II}]}\), H\(^{\alpha}\) vs. total stellar mass. Black squares represent our low-redshift galaxies (0 < z < 0.5), while gray triangles are our intermediate-redshift galaxies (0.5 < z < 1.0), with the solid and long-dashed lines showing the linear fit for each group, respectively. For comparison, the blue empty inverted triangles are galaxies at redshift 0.55 < z < 1.23 from the Twite et al. (2012) sample, and the red empty triangles are galaxies from the GOODS-NICMOS sample of Bauer et al. (2011) at 1.5 < z < 2. The blue dashed line and red dot-dashed lines show the linear fit estimated for the Twite et al. (2012) and Bauer et al. (2011) galaxies, respectively. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

The SSFR values were computed using aperture SFR values. Our hypothesis is that SFR takes place in the few central kiloparsecs and beyond this region the star formation is negligible. In fact, for the mean distance of our sample of galaxies the aperture is ~6 kpc. It can be observed that our subsamples followed a very similar trend to the B04 and Rodighiero et al. (2010) samples. We calculated a slope of −0.24 ± 0.30 for the intermediate-redshift sub-sample that was comparable to that obtained by Rodighiero et al. (2010; −0.28). In addition, our calculated slope of −0.23 ± 0.10 for the low-redshift sub-sample was quite similar to the one obtained by B04 (−0.23). A similar slope was also found by Rodighiero et al. (2010; −0.24) in their sub-sample at 0 < z < 0.5. Our data therefore give additional support to the idea that, for galaxies with a given mass, the SSFR is on average larger for higher redshifts.

7.5.3. SSFR–Color Relation

The correlation between morphology and broadband colors was studied by Driver et al. (2006) and Pannella et al. (2009), with the latter authors finding that at higher redshift both early-type and late-type galaxies have on average higher SSFR values than their counterparts at low redshift. Figure 17 shows the SSFR\(^{[O\text{II}]}\), H\(^{\alpha}\) versus dust attenuation corrected rest-frame color (u − B)\(^{\text{AB}}\) diagram for our galaxy sample. As in the previous figures, we discriminated intermediate-redshift galaxies and low-redshift ones. A linear fit to these subsamples gave slopes of −1.32 ± 0.69 and −1.46 ± 0.66, respectively. For comparison, we also included the Twite et al. (2012) sample at 0.55 < z < 1.23 and the Bauer et al. (2011) sample at 1.5 < z < 2. It can be observed that our results are in good agreement. For the
Moreover, it can be also observed that there was a trend with younger galaxies having a bluer color than older galaxies. A clear correlation was obtained between age and color, with a double sequence in color (age) and stellar mass. This could imply a larger reservoir of cold gas and higher SFRs. The latter could explain the double branch in the color–age plot, with a double sequence in $r$ values. Alternatively, the IMF could have been closer to top heavy, which would also explain this difference.

8. SUMMARY

We investigated the physical properties, star formation, and AGN activity in a sample of 37 Balmer break galaxies with emission lines at redshift $0.045 < z < 0.93$. Using SED fits, it was calculated that most of the galaxies had masses in the range $10^{8.5} - 10^{10} M_\odot$. This sample forms part of a spectroscopic survey pilot project in the SXDF out to $z \sim 2.5$, with the purpose of investigating the end of star formation in massive galaxies.

According to the classical BPT (Kewley et al. 2006) and Lamareille (2010) diagnostic diagrams, 31 (84%) star-forming galaxies, two (5%) composite galaxies, and three (8%) AGNs (two Seyfert 2 types and one LINER) were found. In addition, the MEX diagram (Juneau et al. 2011) detected one out of the three AGN, found by alternative emission line diagnostics (a Seyfert 2).

Nineteen of our sample galaxies had available photometry in all the IRAC/Spitzer bands. According to the MIR diagnostic diagrams (Stern et al. 2005; Lacy et al. 2007), we found that six galaxies (32%) could host an AGN, with three of these objects being the aforementioned Seyfert 2 and LINER, while the remaining three galaxies were classified in the optical diagrams as composite or starburst. This suggests that they could have hosted an obscured AGN or that the nuclear star-forming activity was masking the AGN optical signatures.

For each of the sample galaxies, the SFR was calculated using four different parameters: (1) $\text{[O II]} \lambda 3727$ luminosity, (2) $H_\alpha$ luminosity, (3) UV luminosity, and (4) SED fitting with an exponentially declining SFH. All of these methods were found to give very similar results, with a range of $0.01 - 100 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$.

The cosmic evolution of the SFR surface density $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ was compared with the cosmic evolution of the stellar mass surface density per unit time ($\Sigma_{M_\star}/r$), and it was found that both these quantities increased for higher redshift galaxies. Despite our sample being small, these results are in good agreement with the trends found in other surveys, such as SDSS and $z$COSMOS (Silverman et al. 2009). A strong correlation was observed when SFR versus stellar mass was compared with more massive galaxies revealing higher SFR values. The same trend was observed when comparing different samples at different redshifts with higher redshift galaxies showing on average higher SFR values. This result has already been reported for SDSS galaxies (Brinchmann et al. 2004) and GOODS galaxies (Elbaz et al. 2007; Daddi et al. 2007).

When the SSFR versus stellar mass relation was investigated, it was found that less massive galaxies had higher SSFR values than more massive galaxies. Moreover, for a particular mass, high-redshift galaxies revealed on average larger SSFR values. This trend was also reported by Brinchmann et al. (2004), Rodighiero et al. (2010), and Karim et al. (2011), among others. Finally, we compared SSFR versus $(\mu - B)$ color and found that younger galaxies, corresponding to younger ages from SED fitting results, had larger SSFR values. This result was also reported by Twite et al. (2012) and Bauer et al. (2011), but we also showed that for a particular $(\mu - B)$ color, the high-redshift galaxies had on average higher SSFR values.

Even though our sample was small and therefore possibly exposed to strong selection effects, the results obtained were in good agreement with other authors using much larger samples. Nevertheless, a larger sample is necessary to be able to produce more statistically robust conclusions.
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