Hemostatic alterations linked to body fat distribution, fatty liver, and insulin resistance

Sebastian Hörber1,2,3*, Rainer Lehmann1,2,3, Norbert Stefan2,3,4, Jürgen Machann2,3,5, Andreas L. Birkenfeld2,3,4, Robert Wagner2,3,4, Martin Heni1,2,3,4, Hans-Ulrich Häring2,3, Andreas Fritsche2,3,4, Andreas Peter1,2

Abstract

Objective: Obesity, in particular visceral obesity, and insulin resistance emerged as major risk factors for severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), which is strongly associated with hemostatic alterations. Because obesity and insulin resistance predispose to thrombotic diseases, we investigated the relationship between hemostatic alterations and body fat distribution in participants at risk for type 2 diabetes.

Subjects: Body fat distribution (visceral and subcutaneous abdominal adipose tissue) and liver fat content of 150 participants — with impaired glucose tolerance and/or impaired fasting glucose — were determined using magnetic resonance imaging and spectroscopy. Participants underwent precise metabolic characterization and major hemostasis parameters were analyzed.

Results: Procoagulant factors (FII, FVII, FVIII, and FIX) and anticoagulant proteins (antithrombin, protein C, and protein S) were significantly associated with body fat distribution. In patients with fatty liver, fibrinogen (298 mg/dl vs. 264 mg/dl, p = 0.0182), FVII (99% vs. 90%, p = 0.0049), FVIII (114% vs. 90%, p = 0.0098), protein C (124% vs. 111%, p = 0.0006), and protein S (109% vs. 89%, p < 0.0001) were higher than in controls. In contrast, antithrombin (97% vs. 102%, p = 0.0025) was higher in control patients. In multivariate analyses controlling for insulin sensitivity, body fat compartments, and genotype variants (PNPLA3I148MM/MI/TM6SF2E167K/K), only protein C and protein S remained significantly increased in fatty liver.

Conclusions: Body fat distribution is significantly associated with alterations of procoagulant and anticoagulant parameters. Liver fat plays a key role in the regulation of protein C and protein S, suggesting a potential counteracting mechanism to the prothrombotic state in subjects with prediabetes and fatty liver.
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1. INTRODUCTION

During the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, obesity and insulin resistance were identified as major risk factors for hospitalization and critical illness of patients with COVID-19 [1,2]. A hallmark of COVID-19 is the association with thrombotic diseases such as deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism, which essentially contributes to the increased morbidity and mortality in severe COVID-19 [2,3]. Endothelial dysfunction and alterations in the plasmatic coagulation system are potential mechanisms explaining the prothrombotic tendency in COVID-19 [4–6]. Obesity and insulin resistance are also associated with a prothrombotic state predisposing to arterial and venous thrombosis [7,8]. Studies found increased activities of procoagulant parameters (e.g. FVII and FVIII) and endothelial dysfunction markers in obese patients that might explain the increased risk for thrombotic diseases [9,10]. The site of fat accumulation in the body is a key determinant for cardiometabolic risk [11,12]. Recent data suggest that visceral obesity is even a better predictor of the severity of COVID-19, compared to general obesity, but the underlying causes are unclear [13,14]. Considering liver fat, patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) are also at increased risk for thrombotic diseases [15]. Recently, we revealed that a 1-year lifestyle intervention improves the prothrombotic tendency in subjects at increased risk for type 2 diabetes [16]. This is, at least partially, mediated by a reduction in liver fat content. However, the impact of fat accumulation in specific depots on the hemostasis system has only been addressed in a few studies, so far [8,17,18]. Subjects at increased risk for type 2 diabetes, of which fatty liver is common comorbidity [19], have not yet been studied in this context. Because most of the major hemostasis parameters are synthesized in the liver and ectopic fat has become a promising target for therapeutic interventions, it is of great interest to elucidate underlying...
associations between fatty liver and hemostatic alterations that may help to improve therapeutic strategies to reduce the cardiometabolic risk in these subjects [20].

Based on findings from COVID-19 patients, we now hypothesized that body fat distribution is a key determinant for alterations in the hemostasis system. Therefore, we investigated the relationship between the amount of visceral and subcutaneous abdominal fat, liver fat content, and established hemostasis parameters in subjects at risk for type 2 diabetes.

2. SUBJECTS AND METHODS

2.1. Study design and participants

In the present study, data from 150 subjects with impaired glucose tolerance and/or impaired fasting glucose were analyzed, who were randomly selected from the “Prediabetes Lifestyle Intervention Study” (PLIS) cohort (NCT01947595) [21]. Participants used for the present analysis were exclusively recruited from the University Hospital in Tübingen. Participants (N = 9) receiving vitamin K antagonists, direct oral anticoagulants, or estrogens were excluded owing to potential interferences with hemostasis assays. Further exclusion criteria included diabetes mellitus, previous thrombotic events, chronic kidney diseases, active malignant diseases, systemic infections, or elevated liver transaminases (>3x of the upper reference range). The study was performed at the Department of Internal Medicine and at the Institute for Clinical Chemistry and Pathobiology of the University of Tübingen. The study was carried out in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the local Ethics Committee of the University of Tübingen (055/2012B01). All participants provided written informed consent before study enrollment.

2.2. Genotyping

All genotyping was performed using a 700 k Infinium Global Screening Array from Illumina (SanDiego, CA, USA). Imputation was carried out on the Haplotype Reference Consortium reference panel using the Michigan Imputation Server. From the analyzed single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), rs58542926 (TM6SF2) was genotyped and rs738409 (PNPLA3) has been imputed (R² = 0.89).

2.3. Determination of body fat distribution and liver fat

MR examinations were performed in the early morning after an overnight fast on a 3 T whole-body imager (Magnetom Vida, Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany). MRI for the quantification of visceral adipose tissue (VAT) and subcutaneous abdominal adipose tissue (SCAT) was conducted using fuzzy means and an extended snake algorithm for segmentation of adipose and visceral adipose tissue according to previous publications [22,23]. Liver fat content was quantified in % by the ratio of lipids (methylene + methyl) and water + lipids by volume selective proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (1H-MRS).

2.4. Laboratory measurements

Determination of laboratory parameters was performed using lithium heparin, sodium citrate, sodium fluoride, or clot activator-containing tubes (all from Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany). All samples were collected after an overnight fast and immediately centrifuged after blood collection. Afterward, plasma supernatants were transfused into tubes which were stored at −80 °C until the determination of laboratory parameters.

Hemostasis measurements were performed using the following reagents from Siemens Healthineers: prothrombin time (PT): Dade Innovin; activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT): Actin FS; D-Dimer: Innovation D-Dimer; fibrinogen: Dade Thrombin; FII and FVII: Dade Innovin and respective coagulation factor poor plasma; FVIII activity: FVIII chromogenic; FIX: Actin FS and respective coagulation factor poor plasma; antithrombin: Innovation antithrombin; protein C: Berichrom protein C (chromogenic); protein S (free antigen): Innovance free protein S antigen. The Atellica COAG 360 coagulation analyzer (Siemens Healthineers, Eschborn, Germany) was used as a platform for all coagulation measurements.

Clinical chemistry parameters (creatinine, glucose, alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, and C-reactive protein) were determined on an ADVIA XPT Clinical Chemistry system (Siemens Healthineers). Glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) was determined using the Tosoh G8 HPLC analyzer (Tosoh Bioscience, Sursee, Switzerland).

2.5. Statistical analyses

Data are presented as median and interquartile range (1st – 3rd). Insulin sensitivity was calculated based on the results during an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) according to the formula by Matsuda and DeFronzo [24]. Correlation analyses given in Figure 1 were performed using the nonparametric Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient (r).

Linear regression analyses were performed to analyze the relationship between hemostasis parameters and body fat distribution, liver fat content, or metabolic variables. Results of clinical characteristics and laboratory parameters of participants with increased liver fat content (>5.56%) and control patients (liver fat content ≤5.56%) were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test [25]. Multiple linear regression analysis was performed to adjust for anthropometric and metabolic variables. SCAT and VAT were used as percent (%) of total adipose tissue in linear regression models comparing control patients and patients with increased liver fat content. A p-value < 0.05 indicates statistical significance. To test for potential multicollinearity, the variation inflation factor (VIF) was used. Multicollinearity was assumed when VIF > 5. All analyses were conducted using JMP software (V14.2.0, SAS Institute, Cary, United States). Figure 1 was created with GraphPad Prism Software (version 8). Figure 2 was created using Microsoft Office PowerPoint.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Study population

A total of 141 patients with impaired fasting glucose and/or impaired glucose tolerance were included in the present study. Participants (85 women and 56 men) had a median age of 59 years (interquartile range (IQR): 52–65) and a median body mass index (BMI) of 30 kg/m² (26–33). Determination of body fat distribution covered a wide range of total adipose tissue (TAT, IQR: 25.6–45.2 l), visceral adipose tissue (VAT, 3.2–6.5 l), subcutaneous abdominal adipose tissue (SCAT, 7.9–17.0 l), and liver fat content (2.4–11.6%). Detailed clinical and laboratory characteristics including body fat distribution and results of hemostasis measurements are summarized in Table 1.

3.2. Relationship between hemostasis parameters, body mass index, and body fat distribution

Linear regression analyses adjusting for age and sex were performed to investigate the relationship between hemostasis parameters, body mass index (BMI), and body fat distribution (detailed results are presented in Table 2). First, we assessed the relation between BMI and hemostasis parameters. BMI was positively associated with fibrinogen, D-Dimer, FVII, FVIII, FIX, and protein S. Antithrombin was negatively associated with BMI.
TAT, VAT, and SCAT were positively associated with fibrinogen, D-Dimer, FVIII, protein S, and negatively with antithrombin. In addition, VAT was positively associated with PT, FVII, protein C, and negatively with aPTT.

Investigating the relationship between liver fat content and hemostasis parameters, a positive association with liver fat content was observed for fibrinogen, FVII, FVIII, protein C, and protein S (results of correlation analyses are given in Figure 1). Again, antithrombin was negatively associated with liver fat content.

### 3.3. Relationship between hemostasis parameters and metabolism

Next, the relationship between hemostasis parameters and several metabolic variables was investigated. Insulin sensitivity, measured as OGTT-derived insulin sensitivity, was associated with all investigated hemostasis parameters, except PT, FII, and FIX (Table 3). 2 h-glucose was related to FVII and protein C. Furthermore, glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) was related to aPTT, FVII, and protein C. Finally, C-reactive protein, a marker of inflammation, was found to be associated with all investigated hemostasis parameters, except PT and aPTT.

### 3.4. Differences in hemostasis parameters of subjects with and without fatty liver

To specifically address the impact of increased liver fat on the investigated hemostasis parameters, subjects were divided into two groups comprising subjects with increased liver fat content (>5.56%: "fatty liver"; N = 60) and control subjects (liver fat content ≤5.56%: "controls"; N = 66, table 4). The age and sex of subjects in both groups did not statistically differ. As expected, insulin sensitivity was significantly higher in control subjects, and body weight, BMI, fasting glucose, and total visceral and subcutaneous abdominal fat were

---

**Figure 1:** Relationship between hemostasis parameters and liver fat content.
significantly higher in subjects with fatty liver (Supplemental Table S2). Searching for differences in the hemostasis system between both groups, fibrinogen (298 mg/dl vs. 264 mg/dl, \( p = 0.0182 \)), FVII (99% vs. 90%, \( p = 0.0049 \)), FVIII (114% vs. 90%, \( p = 0.0098 \)), protein C (124% vs. 111%, \( p = 0.0006 \)), and protein S (109% vs. 89%, \( p < 0.0001 \)) increased in subjects with fatty liver (Table 4). Anti-thrombin (97% vs. 102%, \( p = 0.0025 \)) was higher in the control subjects. In multiple regression models, FVII, protein C, and protein S remained significantly increased in subjects with fatty liver independent of age, sex, and BMI. Additionally, adjusting for insulin sensitivity, visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue, and genotype variants (\( \text{PNPLA3}^{148 \text{M/M}} \) and \( \text{TM6SF2}^{167 \text{K/K}} \)), only protein C and protein S remained significantly increased in subjects with fatty liver.

4. DISCUSSION

The present study aimed to investigate the impact of visceral and subcutaneous abdominal adipose tissue and liver fat content on alterations in the plasmatic coagulation system in patients at risk for type 2 diabetes. First, we demonstrated that both visceral adipose tissue (VAT) and subcutaneous abdominal adipose tissue (SCAT) along with liver fat are significantly associated with alterations in the plasmatic coagulation system (refer Figure 2). We identified procoagulant factors (FVII, FVIII, and FIX) and anticoagulant proteins (antithrombin, protein C, and protein S) to be associated with VAT, SCAT, and/or liver fat. Most of the differences in plasmatic coagulation between patients with and without fatty liver were driven by important confounders, whereas higher protein C and protein S in the case of fatty liver were independent of those. Our findings indicate that body fat distribution is an important determinant of the alterations in the hemostasis system. In particular, liver fat was identified as an independent determinant of protein C and protein S in subjects at increased risk for type 2 diabetes. These findings suggest a potential counteracting mechanism to the prothrombotic tendency in fatty liver. Abnormalities in the hemostasis system predisposing to a prothrombotic state are linked to obesity and insulin resistance [8]. In particular, body fat distribution is an established cardiometabolic risk factor explaining the increased risk for cardiovascular diseases in obesity [20]. In the present study, VAT and SCAT were associated with increased activities of several procoagulant factors (FVII, FVIII, and FIX), of which FVIII and FIX are established risk factors for arterial and venous thrombosis [26,27]. The link between visceral (abdominal) obesity and hemostatic alterations is well established and several reports have consistently denoted that increased activities of procoagulant factors are associated with abdominal obesity that agree with the current results [8,17,18]. Our study extends these findings and demonstrates that SCAT is also, to a similar degree as visceral obesity, associated with increased procoagulant factors (FVIII and FIX). In a study by Winfield et al. it was even depicted that SCAT has a stronger relationship with hypercoagulability than VAT, following trauma injury [28]. Consequently, fat accumulation in the two major compartments, visceral and subcutaneous abdominal adipose tissue, contributes to cardiometabolic risk through altered plasmatic hemostasis. In accordance with these findings, antithrombin was negatively associated with VAT and SCAT in the present study. However, the anticoagulant proteins, protein C and protein S, were found to be positively associated with VAT; and protein S was also associated with SCAT. Only a
few studies addressed the association of anticoagulant proteins and visceral obesity and found comparable or slightly increased activities of anticoagulant proteins in subjects with visceral obesity compared to control subjects [17,29,30]. To the best of our knowledge, the association of anticoagulant proteins and SCAT has not been studied so far. Our findings support the central role of VAT and SCAT as contributors to the alterations of procoagulant factors and anticoagulant proteins. Hence, fat accumulation in these compartments affects the complex regulation of the hemostasis system involving procoagulant and anti-

coagulant parameters.

Because most of the investigated hemostasis parameters are mainly produced by the liver, and fatty liver is closely linked to obesity and insulin resistance, the specific role of increased liver fat on hemostasis parameters needs to be elucidated [31]. Hemostatic alterations are known to increase the risk for cardiovascular diseases in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) [32,33]. Accordingly, we found increased levels of hemostasis parameters in subjects with fatty liver compared to control subjects without fatty liver. However, for most of these parameters, the differences disappeared after adjusting for important confounders. Only protein C and proteins S remained significantly increased in subjects with fatty liver.

Protein C and protein S are vitamin K-dependent proteins and belong to the anticoagulant system. Activated protein C together with protein S can specifically inactivate FVa and FVIIIa, thereby limiting the coagulation process. Increased activities of protein C and protein S have been reported in obese patients and it is speculated that this might represent a compensatory mechanism for the hypercoagulable state in obesity [34–36]. However, antithrombin, another natural anticoagulant, was negatively associated with liver fat which corresponds to the prothrombotic state in participants with fatty liver. NAFLD is considered to be associated with hypercoagulability [37,38], and hence, further studies are required to investigate whether increased activities of protein C and protein S, as observed in our study, can counteract this hypercoagulable state. The increases in protein C and protein S are a specific feature of liver steatosis/NAFLD and cannot be transferred to advanced liver diseases, as patients with liver cirrhosis have markedly decreased levels of anticoagulant proteins including protein C and protein S [37,39].

Few other studies have investigated the role of liver steatosis/fatty liver or liver diseases in relation to single parameters of the hemostasis system and results were often limited to a small number of subjects [37,39–42]. Assy et al. reported increased levels of protein C and protein S in subjects with fatty liver compared to control subjects and patients with chronic hepatitis [39,43]. However, the number of patients with fatty liver was low (N = 10–15) and no adjustments for metabolic variables were made in a study by Papatheodoridis et al.

### Table 1 — Characteristics of the study participants.

| Characteristics of the study participants. |
|--------------------------------------------|
| **Anthropometric and laboratory characteristics.** |
| **Sex (w/m, n)** | 85/56 |
| **Age (years)** | 59 (52–65) |
| **Body weight (kg)** | 84 (72–100) |
| **Body-Mass-Index (kg/m²)** | 30 (26–33) |
| **Fasting glucose (mmol/l)** | 5.8 (5.6–6.2) |
| **D-GT- derived insulin sensitivity** | 8.3 (5.8–12.5) |
| **Glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c, mmol/mol)** | 40 (38–43) |
| **C-reactive protein (mg/dl)** | 0.14 (0.05–0.31) |
| **Creatinine (mg/dl)** | 0.8 (0.7–0.9) |
| **ALT (U/l)** | 26 (20–35) |
| **AST (U/l)** | 24 (20–30) |
| **Body fat distribution** |
| **Total adipose tissue (TAT, l)** | 34.0 (25.6–45.2) |
| **Visceral adipose tissue (VAT, l)** | 4.5 (3.2–6.5) |
| **Subcutaneous abdominal adipose tissue (SCAT, l)** | 12.0 (7.9–17.0) |
| **Liver fat content (%)** | 5.4 (2.4–11.6) |

**Genotyping**:

- PNPLA3
- TM6SF2

**Hemostasis measurements**

| **PT (%)** | 89 (80–98) |
| **aPTT (sec)** | 27 (25–29) |
| **Fibrinogen (mg/dl)** | 279 (237–315) |
| **D-Dimer (µg/mL FEU)** | 0.6 (0.4–0.8) |
| **Factor II, %** | 99 (90–108) |
| **Factor VII, %** | 95 (76–116) |
| **Factor VIII, %** | 104 (79–123) |
| **Factor IX, %** | 103 (89–118) |
| **Antithrombin, %** | 100 (84–107) |
| **Protein C, %** | 118 (105–130) |
| **Protein S, %** | 106 (88–114) |

Data were accessible from the following numbers of participants: A 126, B 112.

Abbreviations: I: liter; OGTT: oral glucose tolerance test; ALT: alanine aminotransferase; PT: prothrombin time; aPTT: activated partial thromboplastin time.

### Table 2 — Relationship between hemostasis parameters, BMI, and body fat distribution.

| **BMI, kg/m²** | 1.00 |
| **TAT, I** | 0.21* |
| **VAT, I** | 0.21* |
| **SCAT, I** | 0.14 |
| **Liver fat content, %** | 0.13 |

Given Effect sizes (βstd) for each association are shown.

Data were adjusted for age and sex.

### Table 3 — Relationship between hemostasis parameters and metabolic variables.

| **Insulin sensitivity** | 0.001 |
| **2 h-glucose, mmol/l** | 0.15 |
| **HbA1c, mmol/mol** | 0.15 |

Given Effect sizes (βstd) for each association are shown.

Data were adjusted for age and sex.

Abbreviations: PT: prothrombin time; aPTT: activated partial thromboplastin time; CRP: C-reactive protein.
protein C and protein S levels were reported to be higher in patients with NAFLD (N = 60) compared with chronic viral hepatitis patients (N = 90) [41]. Patients without liver diseases were not included and results were not adjusted for metabolic variables. In our study, we included subjects with an increased risk for type 2 diabetes without overt liver diseases. We performed a comprehensive analysis of procoagulant and anticoagulant parameters in metabolically well-characterized participants using state-of-the-art methods for hemostasis measurements and for the determination of adipose tissue compartments and liver fat content. Results were adjusted for relevant variables including insulin sensitivity, body fat composition, and genotype variants of important regulators of hepatic triglyceride content (PNPLA3I148M/M and TM6SF2E167K/K) [44,45]. Therefore, we believe that our analyses may adequately assess the specific role of liver fat on the investigated hemostasis parameters.

In conclusion, our analyses identified visceral and subcutaneous abdominal adipose tissue as potential contributors to the alterations in the hemostasis system. Liver fat was independently associated with protein C and protein S suggesting a potential counteracting mechanism to the prothrombotic tendency in subjects with prediabetes and fatty liver.
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