Electrocardiographic Abnormalities in Elderly Chagas Disease Patients: 10-Year Follow-Up of the Bambuí Cohort Study of Aging
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Background—Electrocardiography has been considered an important tool in the management of Chagas disease (ChD) patients, although its value in elderly infected patients is unknown. This study was designed to investigate the prevalence and prognostic value of electrocardiographic abnormalities in Trypanosoma cruzi infected and noninfected older adults.

Methods and Results—We studied 1462 participants in Bambuí City, Brazil, with electrocardiogram (ECG) records classified by the Minnesota Code. Follow-up time was 10 years; the endpoint was mortality. Adjustment for potential confounding variables included age, gender, conventional risk factors, and B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP). The mean age was 69 years (60.9% women). The prevalence of ChD was 38.1% (n=557). ECG abnormalities were more frequent in ChD patients (87.6% versus 77.7%, P<0.001). Right bundle branch block (RBBB) with left anterior hemiblock (LAH) was strongly related to ChD (OR: 11.99 [5.60 to 25.69]). During the mean follow-up time of 8.7 years, 556 participants died (253 with ChD), and only 89 were lost to follow-up. ECG variables of independent prognostic value for death in ChD included absence of sinus rhythm, frequent ventricular and supraventricular premature beats, atrial fibrillation, RBBB, old and possible old myocardial infarction, and left ventricular hypertrophy. The presence of any major ECG abnormalities doubled the risk of death in ChD patients (HR: 2.18 [1.35 to 3.53]), but it also increased the risk in non-ChD subjects (HR: 1.50 [1.07 to 2.10]); the risk of death increased with the number of major abnormalities in the same patient.

Conclusion—ECG abnormalities are more common among elderly Chagas disease patients and strongly predict adverse outcomes. (J Am Heart Assoc. 2014;3:e000632 doi: 10.1161/JAHA.113.000632)
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Chagas disease (ChD), caused by the protozoan Trypanosoma cruzi, is a systemic chronic infection that may result in cardiac and/or digestive disease in 20% to 40% of cases.1,2 It is endemic in Latin American countries, with about 8 to 10 million infected subjects, and is a leading cause of cardiomyopathy.1,3 As a consequence of immigration from endemic countries and international travel, ChD is now an emerging world health problem.4

In the last 2 decades, ChD control programs have been remarkably successful and interruption of disease transmission has been achieved in many Latin American countries.3 Despite the effectiveness of the control measures, the disease is likely to remain a public health problem for some decades among the elderly, through the operation of a cohort effect.5 Indeed, T. cruzi infection has been shown to be a strong independent predictor of mortality of all causes and stroke mortality among the elderly in areas where the transmission has been interrupted.6,7

Electrocardiography has been considered an important tool in the management of ChD patients,8 and the presence of typical electrocardiographic abnormalities is needed for the recognition of the cardiac chronic form of the disease,9 a condition that indicates the need for more complete diagnostic evaluation and closer follow-up.10 Specific electrocardiographic abnormalities may eventually lead to specific therapeutic measures, as anticoagulation for atrial fibrillation patients and pacemaker implantation in advanced AV blocks.11 Information on ECG findings in the elderly is scanty and consists mainly of hospital- or ambulatory-based cases series, with no evaluation of the prognostic value of the abnormalities.12–15 Most previous ECG longitudinal studies were performed a long time ago, with young or middle-age samples of ChD subjects.16–18 Additionally, only one recent
cross-sectional study in middle-aged adults uses core-lab reading and classification by the internationally accepted Minnesota Code.8

In the present study, we used baseline data and 10 years of follow-up of the Bambuí Cohort Study of Aging in Brazil19 to investigate prevalence and prognostic value of electrocardiographic abnormalities, evaluated at a core-lab using the Minnesota Code, in both T. cruzi chronically infected and non-infected elderly.

Methods

Study Population

The Bambuí cohort study of aging is an ongoing study conducted in Bambuí city (15,000 inhabitants), which is situated in the Southeast of Brazil. Bambuí is one of the oldest known endemic areas for ChD. Despite the successful interruption of the transmission of T. cruzi infection by 1970, the infection has remained highly prevalent in the elderly due to a cohort effect. Procedures used in the cohort study were described in detail elsewhere. Briefly, the baseline cohort population comprised all residents aged ≥60 years on January 1, 1997, who were identified by means of a complete census conducted in the city. Baseline data collection was performed from February to May, 1997, comprising standardized interviews, blood and clinical tests, and an electrocardiogram (ECG). Cohort members underwent annual follow-up examinations in arranged clinic visits and death certificates were verified. Participants signed an informed consent and authorized death certificate verification. The Bambuí cohort study was approved by the Ethics Board of the Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Brazil.

Mortality Data Source

Deaths from any cause occurring from the beginning of the study enrollment in 1997 until December 31, 2007 were included in this analysis. They were reported by next of kin during the annual follow-up interview and ascertained through the Brazilian System of Information on Mortality, with the permission of the Ministry of Health. Death certificates were obtained for 98.9% of participants who died.

Electrocardiogram

At the baseline examination, a digitally recorded 12-lead ECG (Hewlett Packard MI700A) was obtained at rest using standardized procedures. ECGs were analyzed by experienced cardiologists at the ECG Reading Center (EPICARE, Wake Forest University) and visually classified by the Minnesota Code (MC) criteria.20 QT interval was corrected to heart rate using the QT index (QTI), calculated as \[ \text{QTI} = \left( \frac{\text{QT}}{656} \times \left( \frac{\text{HR}}{100} \right) \right) \]. In this study, major and minor ECG abnormalities were defined as set out in Prineas et al,22 modified to include ECG abnormalities typical of Chagas cardiomyopathy with prognostic significance, as frequent supraventricular or ventricular premature beats.

Major ECG abnormalities included old myocardial infarction (MI) (major Q-wave abnormalities [MC 1.1.x or 1.2.x]) or possible MI (minor Q-waves abnormalities with ST segment or T-wave abnormalities [1.3.x and 4.1.x, 4.2, 5.1, or 5.2]), complete intraventricular blocks (7.1, 7.2, 7.4, or 7.8), frequent supraventricular or ventricular premature beats (MC 8.1.x, except 8.1.4), major isolated ST segment or T-wave abnormalities (MC 8.1.x, 8.2.1), major atrioventricular conduction abnormalities or pacemaker use (MC 6.1, 6.2.x, 6.4, 6.8, 8.6.1 or 8.6.2), major QT prolongation (>115%) and left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) (MC 3.1 together with [4.1.x, 4.2, 5.1, or 5.2]).

Minor ECG abnormalities included minor isolated Q and QS waves (MC 1.3.x), minor isolated ST segment or T-wave abnormalities (MC 4.3, 4.4, 5.3 or 5.4), high R waves (MC 3.x), ST segment elevation (MC 9.2.1), incomplete bundle branch blocks or left anterior hemiblock (MC 7.3, 7.6 or 7.7), minor QT prolongation (>111% and <116%), short PR interval (MC 6.5), left (MC 2.1) or right axis deviation (MC 2.2), first degree atrioventricular block (MC 6.3), wandering atrial pacemaker (MC 8.1.4), persistent supraventricular rhythm (MC 8.4.1), and high P-wave amplitude (MC 9.3).

Trypanosoma cruzi Infection Status and B-Type Natriuretic Peptide Assay

Diagnosis of chronic ChD relies on serologic methods. Infection with T. cruzi was defined by seropositivity in 3 examinations, a hemaglutination assay (Bio-Mérieux, France), and 2 enzyme-linked immunoabsorbent assays (Abbott and Viener). B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) was measured using a microparticle-based immunoassay (MEIA/AxSYM; Abbott).24

Other Baseline Information

Other variables in this study included age, gender, traditional cardiovascular risk factors, body mass index, serum creatinine, anti-hypertensive medication, and digoxin medication. The cardiovascular risk factors evaluated were (yes=1, no=0): current smoking, systolic blood pressure ≤145 mmHg (upper tertile in the study population), total cholesterol/high-density lipoprotein (HDL) ratio ≥5.52 mmol/L (upper tertile), and diabetes mellitus. Current smokers were those who had...
smoked at least 100 cigarettes during their lifetime and were still smokers. Systolic blood pressure was defined as the mean of 2 out of 3 measures using standard protocols. Diabetes mellitus was defined as a fasting blood glucose level ≥126 mg/dL and/or current use of insulin or oral antidiabetic drug treatment. Body mass index (BMI) was defined as the weight divided by the squared height. Fasting total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, blood glucose, and serum creatinine were determined with standardized enzymatic methods.

Current medication use was ascertained during the interview by reviewing prescriptions and/or the medication packaging, and they were classified as described elsewhere. No participants reported previous use of antitrypanosomal drugs, so this variable was not considered in the present analysis.

### Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS 18.0 statistical software. A $P$ value of 0.05 was considered statistically significant and all $P$ values are 2-tailed. To assess the relation between specific ECG findings and chronic *T. cruzi* infection, unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios were estimated by logistic regression. The analyses were based on 3 models. First, we estimated the crude association between chronic *T. cruzi* infection and the ECG findings (model 1), and then adjusted for age (continuous) and gender (model 2) and for classical cardiovascular risk factors, including hypertension, diabetes mellitus, current smoking, systolic blood pressure, total cholesterol/HDL ratio, and serum creatinine (model 3).

Simple linear regression was used to evaluate changes in major ECG abnormality prevalence by variation of age (in years) in both *T. cruzi* infected and non-infected groups. Comparison of linear regression slopes between groups was made with the appropriate test.

To examine the unadjusted association between ECG findings and all-cause mortality, cumulative survival curves were computed using Kaplan-Meier estimates. Hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals for mortality were estimated using the Cox proportional hazards model after confirming that the assumption of proportionality was met. The analyses were also based on 4 models, for each ECG abnormality but also for the presence of major and minor ECG abnormalities and the number of major ECG abnormalities in each subject. First we estimated the crude association between ECG findings and mortality (model 1), and then adjusted incrementally for age (continuous) and gender (model 2); for age, gender, and classical cardiovascular risk factors (see above, model 3); and for model 3 plus BNP levels (model 4). We retained all variables in the fully adjusted model because no pairs exhibited significant collinearity.

### Results

#### Study Participants

From 1606 baseline participants, 1496 performed both serological tests for *T. cruzi* and ECG. From these, 34 had inconclusive results for *T. cruzi* and/or the quality of the ECG. Simple linear regression was used to evaluate changes in major ECG abnormality prevalence by variation of age (in years) in both *T. cruzi* infected and non-infected groups. Comparison of linear regression slopes between groups was made with the appropriate test.

To examine the unadjusted association between ECG findings and all-cause mortality, cumulative survival curves were computed using Kaplan-Meier estimates. Hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals for mortality were estimated using the Cox proportional hazards model after confirming that the assumption of proportionality was met. The analyses were also based on 4 models, for each ECG abnormality but also for the presence of major and minor ECG abnormalities and the number of major ECG abnormalities in each subject. First we estimated the crude association between ECG findings and mortality (model 1), and then adjusted incrementally for age (continuous) and gender (model 2); for age, gender, and classical cardiovascular risk factors (see above, model 3); and for model 3 plus BNP levels (model 4). We retained all variables in the fully adjusted model because no pairs exhibited significant collinearity.

#### Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of the Study Participants According to the Serological Status Group, The Bambuí Cohort Study of Aging, 1997–2007

| Characteristics          | Chagas Disease (n=557) | Non-Chagas Disease (n=905) | $P$ Value |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| Age, y                   | 68 (64 to 74)          | 67 (63 to 73)             | 0.041     |
| Male gender              | 181 (32.5)             | 391 (43.2)                | <0.001    |
| Systolic blood pressure, mmHg | 133 (119 to 149)       | 136 (124 to 161)          | 0.003     |
| Treatment for hypertension | 285 (51.2)           | 457 (50.5)                | 0.829     |
| Diabetes                 | 57 (10.3)              | 152 (16.8)                | 0.001     |
| Smoking                  | 98 (17.6)              | 166 (18.3)                | 0.727     |
| Digoxin usage            | 124 (22.3)             | 100 (11)                  | <0.001    |
| Body mass index, kg²/m   | 23.9 (20.8 to 27.3)    | 25.4 (22.3 to 28.3)       | <0.001    |
| Creatinine, mg/dL        | 0.85 (0.75 to 0.97)    | 0.85 (0.745 to 0.99)      | 0.787     |
| Cholesterol (total), mg/dL | 229 (200 to 266)      | 227 (200 to 262)          | 0.617     |
| HDL cholesterol, mg/dL   | 48.5 (40 to 58)        | 46 (38 to 56)             | 0.001     |
| Cholesterol/HDL ratio    | 4.8 (3.68)             | 4.98 (5.96)               | 0.017     |
| BNP, pg/mL               | 119.5 (63 to 206.5)    | 64 (35 to 112)            | <0.001    |

Data is presented as median (interquartile range) or numbers (%). Figures in bold represent significant $P$ values. BNP indicates B-type natriuretic peptide; HDL, high-density lipoprotein.
Table 2. Association Between ECG Findings and ChD in the Baseline, The Bambuí Cohort Study, 1997–2007

| ECG Variables                        | N (%) | ChD (n=557) | Non ChD (n=905) | Model 1: Unadjusted | Model 2: Adjusted for Age and Gender | Model 3: Adjusted for Clinical Variables* |
|--------------------------------------|-------|-------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
|                                      | All Subjects (n=1462) |              |                 |                    |                                     |                                          |
| Rhythm                               |       |             |                 |                    |                                     |                                          |
| Sinus rhythm                         | 1184 (81.0) | 395 (70.9)  | 789 (87.2)      | 0.36 (0.27 to 0.47) | 0.35 (0.27 to 0.47)                | 0.34 (0.26 to 0.45)                     |
| Sinus tachycardia                    | 31 (2.1)  | 10 (1.8)    | 21 (2.3)        | 0.77 (0.36 to 1.65) | 0.74 (0.34 to 1.58)                | 0.86 (0.39 to 1.86)                     |
| Sinus bradycardia                    | 51 (3.5)  | 28 (5.0)    | 23 (2.5)        | 2.03 (1.16 to 3.56) | 2.35 (1.33 to 4.16)                | 2.09 (1.17 to 3.74)                     |
| Frequent VPB                         | 93 (6.4)  | 56 (10.1)   | 37 (4.1)        | 2.62 (1.71 to 4.03) | 2.70 (1.75 to 4.16)                | 2.89 (1.86 to 4.49)                     |
| Frequent SPB                         | 110 (7.5) | 60 (10.8)   | 50 (5.5)        | 2.06 (1.40 to 3.05) | 1.98 (1.33 to 2.95)                | 1.97 (1.32 to 2.96)                     |
| Atrial fibrillation or flutter       | 51 (3.5)  | 34 (6.1)    | 17 (1.9)        | 3.40 (1.89 to 6.14) | 3.42 (1.88 to 6.22)                | 3.43 (1.87 to 6.32)                     |
| Pacemaker                            | 6 (0.4)   | 6 (1.1)     |                |                    |                                     |                                          |
| Intraventricular block               |       |             |                 |                    |                                     |                                          |
| LBBB                                 | 36 (2.5)  | 18 (3.2)    | 18 (2.0)        | 1.65 (0.85 to 3.19) | 1.60 (0.82 to 2.13)                | 1.58 (0.80 to 3.11)                     |
| RBBB                                 | 159 (10.9) | 129 (23.2)  | 30 (3.3)        | 8.79 (5.81 to 13.30) | 9.42 (6.19 to 14.33)                | 9.69 (6.34 to 14.82)                     |
| LAH+RBBB                             | 59 (4.0)  | 51 (9.2)    | 8 (0.9)         | 11.30 (5.32 to 24.0) | 11.82 (5.54 to 25.21)              | 11.99 (5.60 to 25.69)                    |
| LAH                                  | 77 (5.3)  | 43 (7.7)    | 34 (3.8)        | 2.14 (1.35 to 3.40) | 2.39 (1.49 to 3.84)                | 2.36 (1.47 to 3.80)                     |
| Incomplete LBBB                      | 76 (5.2)  | 24 (4.3)    | 52 (5.7)        | 0.74 (0.45 to 1.21) | 0.77 (0.47 to 1.27)                | 0.79 (0.48 to 1.32)                     |
| Incomplete RBBB                      | 53 (3.6)  | 31 (5.6)    | 22 (2.4)        | 2.37 (1.36 to 4.13) | 2.33 (1.33 to 4.07)                | 2.18 (1.24 to 3.83)                     |
| Atrioventricular block               |       |             |                 |                    |                                     |                                          |
| First degree                         | 55 (3.8)  | 38 (6.8)    | 17 (1.9)        | 3.83 (2.14 to 6.85) | 3.85 (2.14 to 6.92)                | 3.77 (2.09 to 6.81)                     |
| Second degree                        | 0       | 0           | 0               | —                   | —                                   | —                                        |
| Third degree                         | 4 (0.3)   | 3 (0.5)     | 1 (0.1)         | 4.90 (0.51 to 47.18) | 5.39 (0.55 to 52.74)              | 6.04 (0.61 to 60.20)                    |
| Ischemic                             |       |             |                 |                    |                                     |                                          |
| Old MI and possible old MI           | 62 (4.2)  | 33 (5.9)    | 29 (3.2)        | 1.90 (1.14 to 3.17) | 1.96 (1.17 to 3.27)                | 2.05 (1.21 to 3.46)                     |
| Major isolated ST-T abnormalities   | 181 (12.4) | 63 (11.3)  | 118 (13.0)      | 0.85 (0.61 to 1.18) | 0.78 (0.56 to 1.08)                | 0.81 (0.58 to 1.14)                     |
| Minor isolated ST-T abnormalities   | 784 (53.8) | 266 (47.8) | 518 (57.2)      | 0.68 (0.55 to 0.84) | 0.59 (0.47 to 0.74)                | 0.61 (0.48 to 0.76)                     |
| ST segment elevation                 | 19 (1.3)  | 5 (0.9)     | 14 (1.5)        | 0.58 (0.21 to 1.61) | 0.74 (0.26 to 2.09)                | 0.71 (0.25 to 2.03)                     |
| Other                                |       |             |                 |                    |                                     |                                          |
| LVH                                  | 46 (3.1)  | 11 (2.0)    | 35 (3.9)        | 0.50 (0.25 to 0.99) | 0.50 (0.25 to 1.0)                | 0.55 (0.27 to 1.10)                     |
| Short PR interval                    | 7 (0.5)   | 5 (0.9)     | 2 (0.2)         | 4.09 (0.79 to 21.15) | 5.03 (0.96 to 26.27)              | 4.26 (0.81 to 22.28)                    |
| Major QT prolongation                | 53 (3.6)  | 33 (5.9)    | 19 (2.1)        | 2.65 (1.55 to 4.52) | 2.93 (1.64 to 5.23)                | 2.82 (1.57 to 5.09)                     |
| Minor QT prolongation                | 59 (4.0)  | 36 (6.5)    | 23 (2.5)        | 2.94 (1.65 to 5.22) | 2.49 (1.45 to 4.27)                | 2.71 (1.57 to 4.68)                     |
| Low QRS amplitude                    | 30 (2.1)  | 14 (2.5)    | 16 (1.8)        | 1.43 (0.69 to 2.96) | 1.27 (0.61 to 2.63)                | 1.02 (0.48 to 2.17)                     |

Figures in bold represent significant values. ChD indicates Chagas disease; LAH, left anterior hemiblock; LBBB, left bundle branch block; LVH, left ventricular hypertrophy; major QT prolongation, QTc>116%; MI, myocardial infarction; minor QT prolongation, QTc>112%; QT, QT prolongation index: (QT/656)×(HR+100); RBBB, right bundle branch block; SPB, supraventricular premature beats; VPB, ventricular premature beats.

*Adjusted for age, gender, diabetes mellitus, current smoking, systolic blood pressure, treatment for hypertension, ratio of total cholesterol to high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and serum creatinine.

†LAH+RBBB cases are included in RBBB count.
tracing was insufficient for interpretation and were excluded from the present analysis.

Among the 1462 participants in this study, the mean age was 69 years, women predominating (60.9%). The prevalence of *T. cruzi* infection was 38.1% (n = 557). Baseline characteristics of the study participants according to the serological status group are shown in Table 1. In comparison with non-infected subjects, ChD subjects were slightly older, with a lower proportion of males and diabetics, used digoxin more frequently, had lower values of body mass index, systolic blood pressure, and cholesterol/HDL ratio, but higher HDL cholesterol and BNP values.

### Prevalence of ECG Abnormalities

ECG abnormalities were more frequently found in ChD patients (87.6% versus 77.7%, *P*<0.001). ECG abnormalities significantly associated with *T. cruzi* infection in the whole sample were sinus bradycardia, frequent supraventricular or ventricular premature beats, atrial fibrillation, right bundle branch block (RBBB) (complete and incomplete), left anterior hemiblock, first-degree AV block, and prolonged QT interval (Table 2). RBBB, particularly when associated with left anterior hemiblock (LAH) (Figure 1), was strongly associated with the presence of ChD (OR: 11.99 [5.60 to 25.69]). RBBB and/or LAH occurred in 40% of the ChD participants and in only 8% of the non-ChD elderly.

Table 3 shows the prevalence of major and minor abnormalities in both ChD and non-ChD elderly subjects, as well as the number of participants with major ECG abnormalities. The prevalence and the number of major abnormalities are significantly higher in ChD participants (*P*<0.001). There is a trend of reduction of the proportion of elderly participants with normal ECG with the advancing of age both in infected...
Figure 2. Proportion of ECG abnormalities according to age at baseline in Chagas disease patients (A) and non-infected participants (B). Proportion of number of major ECG abnormalities (0, 1, 2, or more) according to age at baseline in Chagas disease patients (C) and non-infected participants (D).

Figure 3. Regression lines of prevalence of major ECG abnormalities by age (in years, varying from 60 to 88 or more) in Chagas disease group (A) and non-Chagas disease group (B).
and non-infected subjects, with a concomitant increase of the prevalence of major ECG abnormalities and with participants with ≥ 2 major abnormalities (Figure 2). The regression slope of the prevalence of major ECG abnormalities by age (in years, from 60 to 88 years or more) was 0.016 (95% CI 0.009 to 0.023) for the T. cruzi-infected group and 0.014 (95% CI 0.007 to 0.020) for the non-infected group (P value for comparison of regression slopes=0.59), suggesting a similar rate of development of definite cardiopathy in both groups with albeit higher levels among the ChD group at each age level (Figure 3).

**Prognostic Significance of ECG Abnormalities**

The mean follow-up time was 8.7 years (median time 11.0 years). During this period, 556 participants died, and only 89 were lost to follow-up, resulting in a total of 12 795 person-years of observation. Of the total number of deaths,
253 were in ChD subjects (45%). Several ECG variables were independently associated with increased risk of death in ChD patients (Table 4), including frequent ventricular and supraventricular premature beats, atrial fibrillation, RBBB, possible old MI, major isolated ST-T abnormalities and LVH; sinus rhythm is related to lower risk of death. Major and minor QT interval prolongation, ST elevation, and low QRS amplitude were related to higher risk of death in univariate analysis, but did not maintain their significance in the fully adjusted model.

Atrial fibrillation, incomplete left bundle branch block, possible old MI, major isolated ST-T abnormalities and LVH were independently related to a higher risk of death in the non-infected population (Table 5).

The presence of any major ECG abnormalities doubles the risk of death in ChD patients (HR: 2.18 [1.35 to 3.53]), but it also increases the risk in non-ChD participants (HR: 1.50 [1.07 to 2.10], see Table 6). Those ChD patients with normal ECG or with minor abnormalities did not show increased risk of death when compared with the non-infected population. The risk of death increases with the number of major abnormalities in the same patient both in ChD and in non-ChD participants (Table 6). Figure 4 graphically shows the survival

### Table 5. Prognostic Value of ECG Findings in Non-Infected Elderly Patients (n=905) for Mortality, The Bambú Cohort Study, 1997–2007

| ECG Variables                     | HR (95% CI)                  | Unadjusted | Adjusted for Age and Gender | Adjusted for Clinical Variables* | Fully Adjusted Model† |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| **Rhythm**                        |                              |            |                             |                                  |                       |
| Sinus rhythm                      | 0.56 (0.42 to 0.75)          | 0.77 (0.57 to 1.03) | 0.83 (0.61 to 1.13)         | 0.86 (0.63 to 1.17)             |                       |
| Sinus tachycardia                 | 1.37 (0.71 to 2.66)          | 1.57 (0.81 to 3.05) | 1.20 (0.61 to 2.35)         | 1.29 (0.65 to 2.53)             |                       |
| Sinus bradycardia                 | 0.58 (0.24 to 1.41)          | 0.59 (0.24 to 1.43) | 0.70 (0.29 to 1.71)         | 0.71 (0.29 to 1.74)             |                       |
| Frequent VPB                      | 1.23 (0.72 to 2.10)          | 1.00 (0.58 to 1.71) | 0.97 (0.56 to 1.66)         | 0.98 (0.57 to 1.69)             |                       |
| Frequent SPB                      | 1.70 (1.13 to 2.57)          | 1.20 (0.79 to 1.82) | 0.98 (0.63 to 1.53)         | 1.01 (0.65 to 1.57)             |                       |
| Atrial fibrillation or flutter    | 3.73 (2.14 to 6.51)          | 2.24 (1.28 to 3.93) | 2.39 (1.35 to 4.21)         | 1.92 (1.05 to 3.51)             |                       |
| **Intraventricular block**        |                              |            |                             |                                  |                       |
| LBBB                              | 1.83 (0.94 to 3.54)          | 1.69 (0.87 to 3.28) | 1.26 (0.60 to 2.66)         | 1.55 (0.78 to 3.08)             |                       |
| RBBB                              | 1.48 (0.85 to 2.58)          | 0.86 (0.49 to 1.51) | 1.04 (0.59 to 1.82)         | 1.08 (0.61 to 1.90)             |                       |
| LAH + RBBB                        | 2.44 (1.01 to 5.92)          | 1.07 (0.44 to 2.61) | 1.32 (0.54 to 3.23)         | 1.36 (0.56 to 3.33)             |                       |
| LAH                               | 1.15 (0.66 to 2.00)          | 0.70 (0.40 to 1.22) | 0.59 (0.33 to 1.03)         | 0.57 (0.32 to 1.01)             |                       |
| Incomplete LBBB                   | 2.22 (1.51 to 3.25)          | 2.25 (1.53 to 3.31) | 2.13 (1.44 to 3.14)         | 2.07 (1.39 to 3.07)             |                       |
| Incomplete RBBB                   | 1.21 (0.62 to 2.35)          | 1.19 (0.72 to 1.91) | 1.32 (0.68 to 2.56)         | 1.45 (0.72 to 2.94)             |                       |
| **Atrioventricular block**        |                              |            |                             |                                  |                       |
| First degree                      | 1.63 (0.81 to 3.30)          | 1.74 (0.86 to 3.51) | 1.96 (0.96 to 3.99)         | 2.00 (0.98 to 4.08)             |                       |
| **Ischemic**                      |                              |            |                             |                                  |                       |
| Old MI and possible old MI        | 2.48 (1.56 to 3.95)          | 2.20 (1.38 to 3.51) | 2.03 (1.26 to 3.26)         | 1.91 (1.18 to 3.07)             |                       |
| Major isolated ST-T abnormalities | 1.70 (1.27 to 2.28)          | 1.64 (1.22 to 2.20) | 1.37 (1.01 to 1.87)         | 1.30 (0.94 to 2.03)             |                       |
| Minor isolated ST-T abnormalities | 1.10 (0.88 to 1.39)          | 1.20 (0.95 to 1.52) | 1.11 (0.87 to 1.42)         | 1.07 (0.84 to 1.37)             |                       |
| ST segment elevation              | 1.27 (0.52 to 3.07)          | 1.50 (0.61 to 3.66) | 1.71 (0.70 to 4.21)         | 1.61 (0.65 to 3.97)             |                       |
| **Other**                         |                              |            |                             |                                  |                       |
| LVH                               | 2.30 (1.47 to 3.58)          | 1.94 (1.25 to 3.02) | 1.66 (1.05 to 2.63)         | 1.62 (1.02 to 2.56)             |                       |
| Major QT prolongation             | 1.39 (0.69 to 2.80)          | 1.51 (0.75 to 3.04) | 1.65 (0.81 to 3.34)         | 1.71 (0.84 to 3.47)             |                       |
| Minor QT prolongation             | 2.35 (1.37 to 4.02)          | 1.22 (0.70 to 2.13) | 0.97 (0.54 to 1.75)         | 0.96 (0.53 to 1.73)             |                       |
| Low QRS amplitude                 | 1.22 (0.54 to 2.73)          | 1.01 (0.45 to 2.28) | 0.87 (0.38 to 1.98)         | 0.53 (0.20 to 1.42)             |                       |

Figures in bold represent significant values. BNP indicates B-type natriuretic peptide; LBBB, left bundle branch block; LVH, left ventricular hypertrophy; major QT prolongation, QT > 115%; MI, myocardial infarction; minor QT prolongation, QT > 111%; QT, QT prolongation index: (QT/656) × (HR + 100); RBBB, right bundle branch block; SPB, supraventricular premature beats; VPB, ventricular premature beats.

*Adjusted for age, gender, diabetes mellitus, current smoking, systolic blood pressure, treatment for hypertension, ratio of total cholesterol to high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and serum creatinine.
†Adjusted for variables in * and BNP levels.
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Discussion

This large, population-based, cohort study, with long-term follow-up and adjustment for co-variables, provides unique information about the prevalence and prognostic value of ECG abnormalities in ChD community-dwelling elderly. One of the most important findings of our study is the high frequency of ECG abnormalities in \textit{T. cruzi}-infected elderly: 86.9% of ChD patients had any ECG abnormality, 56.0% with at least 1 major and 26.0% with 2 or more major ECG abnormalities (versus 75.8%, 31.8%, and 7.7%, respectively, of non-infected subjects). These results suggest that ECG abnormalities and cardiac lesion in aged ChD subjects may be caused by both Chagas cardiomyopathy and common conditions of the elderly, as ischemic and hypertensive heart disease. They indicate also that only a minority of ChD elderly participants is free of ECG abnormalities and, as consequence, in the so-called indeterminate form of ChD. Infection by \textit{T. cruzi} occurs early in life and almost all cases evolve into this indeterminate chronic form, defined by the presence of infection, confirmed by either serologic or parasitological tests, and the absence of symptoms and of electrocardiographic and radiologic abnormalities (comprising heart, esophagus and colon evaluation).

Classical cohort studies in endemic areas (with young and middle-aged people) showed that indeterminate form constitutes the most common chronic form (50% to 60% of cases), and that 30% to 40% of these ChD patients may persist forever in this clinical situation. Our findings show that this statement is not applicable to the elderly infected population, because only 13.1% of infected patients (versus 24.2% in non-infected) presented with a normal ECG.

In this cohort, the presence and the number of major ECG abnormalities have prognostic significance in both infected and non-infected elderly, although the risk is always higher in ChD subjects. These findings are in concordance with our previous results in this cohort, showing that \textit{T. cruzi} infection in the elderly is associated with increased risk for mortality and that both BNP levels and ECG abnormalities are related to

| ECG Classifications | HR (95% CI) | Unadjusted | Adjusted for Age and Gender | Adjusted for Clinical Variables* | Fully Adjusted Model† |
|---------------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| Chagas disease (n=557) |            |            |                             |                                |                        |
| Normal ECG | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Minor abnormality | 1.22 (0.72 to 2.05) | 1.19 (0.70 to 2.02) | 1.15 (0.67 to 1.95) | 1.10 (0.65 to 1.87) |
| Major abnormality | 2.93 (1.82 to 4.70) | 2.50 (1.55 to 4.03) | 2.31 (1.43 to 3.74) | 2.18 (1.35 to 3.53) |

| Non-Chagas disease (n=905) |            |            |                             |                                |                        |
| Normal ECG | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Minor abnormality | 1.09 (0.79 to 1.51) | 1.08 (0.78 to 1.49) | 1.04 (0.74 to 1.44) | 1.01 (0.72 to 1.41) |
| Major abnormality | 2.17 (1.59 to 2.97) | 1.77 (1.29 to 2.44) | 1.56 (1.12 to 2.18) | 1.50 (1.07 to 2.10) |

| T. cruzi infected (n=557) |            |            |                             |                                |                        |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1.98 (1.44 to 2.71) | 1.67 (1.22 to 2.30) | 1.56 (1.13 to 2.16) | 1.53 (1.11 to 2.12) |
| 2 or more | 3.39 (2.49 to 4.60) | 3.01 (2.21 to 4.09) | 2.88 (2.11 to 3.93) | 2.78 (2.03 to 3.79) |

| Non Trypanosoma cruzi infected (n=905) |            |            |                             |                                |                        |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1.77 (1.37 to 2.28) | 1.56 (1.21 to 2.02) | 1.43 (1.09 to 1.86) | 1.37 (1.04 to 1.80) |
| 2 or more | 3.08 (2.21 to 4.30) | 2.05 (1.45 to 2.89) | 1.83 (1.28 to 2.62) | 1.83 (1.28 to 2.63) |

Figures in bold represent significant values. BNP indicates B-type natriuretic peptide.

*Adjusted for age, gender, diabetes mellitus, current smoking, systolic blood pressure, treatment for hypertension, ratio of total cholesterol to high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and serum creatinine.

†Adjusted for variables in * and BNP levels.
increased risk of death from all causes or from stroke. All these findings oppose a previous concept that elderly patients with ChD have less cardiac functional and organic damage, with lower mortality and better survival, and reinforce that the severity of ChD in old ages is probably similar to that observed in young adults.

Our results are also important to further clarify the natural history of ChD, specifically regarding the progression from the indeterminate to the cardiac form. Longitudinal studies in endemic areas have shown that about 2% of middle-aged ChD patients progress from the indeterminate to the cardiac form every year. Our data (see Figure 3) show that the rate of appearance of new ECG major abnormalities in those without these findings, a surrogate of development of overt cardiac disease, is 1.6%/year in the T. cruzi-infected elderly group, a figure very close to what has been reported for the middle-aged population. However, this rate is not different from the rate observed in non-infected subjects in the same community (1.4%/year), reinforcing the role of other cardiopathies in the development of new ECG abnormalities in aged patients, infected or not. As a consequence, the higher mortality observed in ChD elderly may be due to the continuous process of cardiac damage starting with the infection in childhood and during the whole adulthood of these patients, leading to a higher frequency of ECG abnormalities, an established marker of cardiopathy, in the infected elderly, in comparison to non-infected ones.

Among specific ECG findings, RBBB is the most frequent major abnormality in the infected elderly population (23.2%). The association of RBBB with LAH, atypical finding of chronic ChD, is strongly associated (OR: 11.99) with the presence of T. cruzi infection in this cohort. The combined RBBB and/or LAH were present in 30.9% of ChD participants and in only 7.1% of the non-ChD elderly. Recently, in a cohort of blood donors, the combined RBBB and/or LAH was present in 34% of ChD patients aged <60 years. Most important, RBBB is an independent predictor of the risk of

Figure 4. Cumulative incidence rates of mortality in Chagas disease patients and non-infected participants according to ECG abnormalities (respectively, A and B) according to the number of major ECG abnormalities (respectively, C and D).
death in the elderly, confirming some previous reports in younger subjects. This prognostic significance is maintained even after full adjustment for gender, age, cardiovascular risk factor, and BNP levels, this last biomarker itself an excellent surrogate of LV dysfunction. Some other ECG abnormalities that are also typical of Chagas cardiomyopathy, as frequent ventricular premature beats, atrial fibrillation, and pathological Q-waves, are more frequent in ChD elderly subjects and have also independent prognostic value in infected subjects, as reported in some previous cohort studies of middle-age ChD patients. Nonetheless, some features seem to be characteristic of this elderly population. The prevalence of frequent SPB is higher in infected elderly subjects, with independent prognostic meaning. The prognostic significance of SPB in ChD elderly subjects has never been reported before. On the other hand, an ECG abnormality that has been recognized as typical and of prognostic importance in previous studies with ChD populations, low voltage QRS amplitude, was not more prevalent nor was it of prognostic value (after adjustment for at least age and gender) in this cohort of infected elderly subjects. Because this abnormality has been included as a risk factor in the most cited score for risk prediction in Chagas cardiopathy, this finding suggests that this score should be reevaluated specifically for the elderly with Chagas cardiopathy, to confirm whether it retains its predictive accuracy in this population.

Although the main goal of the study was to evaluate the prevalence and the prognostic significance of ECG abnormalities in the T. cruzi-infected elderly population, it also shed light on the prevalence and prognostic value of the ECG in the non-infected elderly in Brazil. Indeed, no previous study addressed the value of ECG in aged people in Brazil and information has to be inferred from foreign studies. We find that atrial fibrillation, major Q-waves, or minor Q-waves combined with major ST/T abnormalities and left ventricular hypertrophy have prognostic value in non-infected elderly, confirming studies from other countries. Incomplete left bundle branch block is also a prognostic marker in this sample, a finding that has to be further investigated, as this abnormality has been considered a surrogate for left ventricular hypertrophy. However, it should be stressed that, in Minnesota Code, incomplete left bundle branch block is defined exclusively by QRS duration ≥0.10 second and <0.12 second in leads I, aVL, and V5 or V6 (code 7 to 6) and may be a nonspecific marker of delayed ventricular depolarization.

The present study has limitations. Left ventricular systolic function, the main prognostic risk factor in most cardiopathies, has not been directly evaluated in this work. However, previous studies showed that BNP levels and LV ejection fraction are highly and inversely correlated, the BNP concentration—used for risk adjustment in this cohort—being a reliable surrogate of the LV systolic function. Other established risk markers in ChD, as functional class, increased cardiothoracic ratio in the chest x-ray and the presence of non-sustained ventricular tachycardia in Holter monitoring, were not evaluated in this cohort. Moreover, although the linear association between the prevalence of ECG abnormalities and age was used to infer the rate of development of cardiopathy in infected and non-infected elderly participants, we did not confirm these results by repeating ECGs in individuals.

In conclusion, ECG abnormalities are more frequent in T. cruzi-infected than in non-infected, community-dwelling elderly; a normal ECG is an uncommon finding in infected aged persons. Among ECG abnormalities associated with T. cruzi infection, RBBB, especially in association with left anterior hemiblock, is the most typical finding. ECG variables independently associated with prognosis in ChD included non-sinus rhythm, frequent ventricular and supraventricular premature beats, atrial fibrillation, RBBB, old MI, possible old MI, and LVH. The presence of any major ECG abnormalities doubles the risk of death in ChD patients, but it also increases the risk in non-ChD elderly; the risk of death increases with the number of major abnormalities in the same patient. ECG abnormalities are more common among elderly Chagas disease patients and strongly predict adverse outcomes.
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