The Tides and Tidal Circulation of Todos os Santos Bay, Northeast Brazil: a general characterization
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ABSTRACT

The Todos os Santos Bay, located on northeastern Brazil, is the second largest coastal bay in the country, after São Marcos Bay. The three main drainage basins convey an average of 120 m³/s of freshwater towards the bay, the majority of it, however, held by a dam in Paraguaçu River since 1985. The original average freshwater inflow was two orders of magnitude smaller than the estimated tidal discharge through the main bay entrance, and the oceanographic characteristics of the bay, as indicated by bay salinity measurements, are clearly marine. The tides are semi-diurnal, and are amplified up the bay by a factor of 1.5. Shallow water constituents become more important as the tide propagates along Paraguaçu Channel and Paraguaçu River, where they generate time asymmetries that change between spring and neap tides. Currents in the bay are mainly bi-directional, and are stronger during the ebbing tide in most of the bay. Offshore, relatively strong tidal currents appear to be felt in a radius of about 10 km, where they are superimposed on winds driven currents orientated to the southwest.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The Todos os Santos Bay (TSB) is the second largest coastal indentation of the Brazilian coastline, with an area of 1.086 km². It is highly populated along its northern shore, where over 3 million people is devoted to fishing, agriculture and industrial activities. On the water, ships transport crude oil, ore and all kinds of commodities to six different terminals (Figure 1).

Despite its social and economic significance, published information concerning the oceanographic characteristics of the TSB is minimal. The Brazilian Hydrographic Authority (DHN) published tidal current charts for Madre de Deus and Salvador harbors (Figure 1) (Diretoria de Hidrografia e Navegação 1963, 1975). Sparse measurements of temperature, salinity and suspend sediment concentration along the main section of the bay and Paraguaçu Channel (Figure 1) were performed by Wolgemuth et al. (1981) and Barreto and Paredes (1995), and short term (maximum of 12 hrs) current monitoring were performed close to TSB entrance and Francês Island (Wolgemuth et al. 1981, Barreto and Paredes 1995).

Recent efforts are being made to better understand the nature of the water circulation in the TSB (Secretaria de Recursos Hídricos 1997, Montenegro...
et al. 1998), with great emphasis devoted to numerical modeling. As an additional contribution to the ongoing investigation effort, this paper presents an analysis of a significant amount of unpublished data related to water level and tidal current measurements made available by the Brazilian Hydrographic Authority (DHN). It is hoped that these results will help in the calibration of the numerical models and improve the understanding of the water flow within the TSB.

2. STUDY AREA

The TSB has two entrances separated by Itaparica Island. The most important is the Salvador channel (Figure 1), which seems to provide for most of the

Fig. 1 – Location map of Todos os Santos Bay and general bathymetric contour. Darker areas are intertidal mangrove vegetation and small symbols locate maritime terminal facilities of various kinds.
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water exchange between the bay and the ocean. Average and maximum depths in the Salvador Channel are 25 m and 102 m, respectively, in relation to the DHN datum, herein adopted as a reference level (0 m DHN \(\equiv\) 1.30 m below MSL - TÁBUA DE MARÉS DE SALVADOR 1997). The Itaparica Channel, to the southwest, presents a smoother topography with an average depth of 10 m (Figure 1). Maximum depths inside the TSB are associated with the strait that separates Frades and Madre de Deus islands (over 60 m) and the entrances to Aratu Bay (30 m) and Iguape Bay (50 m) (Figure 1). Iguape Bay receives the discharge from Paraguaçu River, which forms a major fluvial delta in the central part of the bay. Very shallow depths (<5 m) characterize the northern extremity of the TSB.

Well developed ebb-tidal deltas in front of both entrances of the TSB suggest ebb-dominant conditions for most of the bay. Both deltas appear to be associated with the hydraulic barrier imposed on the littoral drift by the tidal currents exiting the bay, given that sediment exportation by the bay itself appears to be minimum (see Lessa et al. 2000). In Salvador Channel, a small flood orientated lobe in the western margin (identified in satellite images) suggests that flood tidal sediment transport prevails locally.

Coral reefs border the southeastern side of Itaparica and Frade islands, as well as the southern side of Maré Island (Leão and Brichta 1996), all exposed to the E-SE swell. This suggests that marine, and not estuarine, conditions exist at least in the eastern half of the TSB (see also Wolgemuth et al. 1981). The non-existence of living coral reefs in the west side of the bay seems to be more related to a higher turbidity of the water than to variations in water temperature or salinity (Z. Leão, Geology Dept. – UFBA, per. comm. 1997).

The climate is tropical humid, with a ten-year average mean air temperature of 25.3°C close to Salvador, and a 30 year mean rainfall of about 1900 mm/y for the bay area. Three main watersheds drain into the TSB, namely Paraguaçu, Subaé and Jaguaribe rivers (Figure 1), with long term average discharges of 112 m³/s, 7.7 m³/s and 0.32 m³/s, respectively (CEPLAB 1979, Wolgemuth et al. 1981). The first two empty into the northern and western margins of the TSB, whereas the third debouches very close to the mouth of the Itaparica Channel, and probably have a minor impact in the oceanographic conditions inside the TSB. Highest measured daily discharges for Paraguaçu River, 50 km upstream from TSB, was 6,253 m³/s (CEPLAB 1979). The seasonal variation of water discharge for Paraguaçu River is shown in Figure 2, with higher discharges concentrated on summer months (maximum of 3,500 m³/s in the Figure). At the Pedra do Cavalo Dam (Figure 1), built in 1980, the average maximum discharge between 1992 and 1997 was 2,300 m³/s, whereas the average minimum was 50 m³/s (Mestrinho 1998). It is worth noting, however, that only a small part of the incoming discharge is released downstream by the dam. Between 1994 and 1995 the average downstream freshwater release was around 50% smaller than values measured upstream, with values as low as 6% in March 1994 (Mestrinho 1998). The average tidal prism of the TSB (surface area multiplied by the average tidal range) is around 1.76 \(\times\) 10⁹ m³, which corresponds to a discharge of 39 \(\times\) 10³ m³/s through the Salvador Channel. This value is about 200 times higher than the average freshwater discharge (\(\equiv\) 200 m³/s) of the three main water courses.

Salinity and temperature variations in the main section of the TSB is clearly open marine (36.7 to 33.0 and 24°C to 30°C, respectively, Wolgemuth et al. 1981). Estuarine conditions are found only along the Paraguaçu Channel and Paraguaçu River (Wolgemuth et al. 1981) (Figure 2). In three separate cruises, these authors determined that maximum salinity intrusion along the Paraguaçu River reached less than 3 km upstream from Iguape Bay, as freshwater was observed at this point during a period of low river discharge (20 m³/s). In Iguape Bay a minimum salinity value of 5 was observed with a river discharge of 170 m³/s. The TSB was well mixed in all cruises where temperature and salinity profiles were performed, with salinity values varying less
than 1.5 in water depths ranging from 20 m to 30 m (Wolgemuth et al. 1981).

3. DATA SOURCES

Tide gauge data was obtained from the Brazilian Hydrographic Authority (DHN) for 11 different sites inside the TSB, and an additional 2 sites on the open coast in the proximity of the bay (Figure 3). The open ocean stations were located 60 km to the north (Praia do Forte) and 30 km to the south (Morro de São Paulo) of the TSB. The inside-bay stations are spread along the northern margin up to the mouth of Subaé River and 15 km into Paraguaçu River. Only the data from São Roque, Najé and Cachoeira (15 days long time series) were recorded simultaneously. The station at Salvador has the longest record (1 year), whereas Cacha Prego has the shortest (8 days). The remaining stations have time series between 30 and 45 days long. Data for São Francisco do Conde refer to the harmonic constants only. Because most of the time series do not overlap, the analysis of the tidal characteristics of the TSB will be based on the harmonic constituents resolved from each station. Table I shows some of the main tidal constituents for key locations inside and outside the bay.

Good quality tidal-current data was collected in several campaigns during 1974, and is also stored at DHN. Data is available for 15 points inside the TSB (Figure 3), clustering mainly in 7 areas: i) the strait between Frade and Madre de Deus islands, ii) the entrance of Aratu Bay, iii) the entrance of Itaparica Channel, iv) the Salvador Harbor, v) one station to the south of Maré Island, vi) one station east of Itaparica, and vii) the entrance of TSB (Salvador Channel). The existing data refer to a three-days (on average) time series of current speed and direction measured with a savonius rotor, with a 1-hour measurement interval performed at 2, 3 or 4 different levels (generally 10 minutes apart), depending on the water depth. The measurement depths appear to have been tied to the DHN datum, and have not been corrected for any tidal variation during the measuring period.

Reported DHN current direction was inverted (180°) at all measurement sites but Frade Channel, as indicated by a comparison between the current time series and the predicted tide of the closest tide gauge (a harmonic analysis performed on the predicted tide showed no difference in relation to the original constituents). Current direction was also corrected for compass deviation.

Tidal current data outside the TSB was collected between January and June 1993 in two stations fronting Rio Vermelho and Jaguaribe beaches (Figure 3). Vertical profiling of the tidal current direction and velocity (at 1 m interval) was carried out with an electromagnetic current meter. Measurements lasted for an almost complete tidal cycle (when sea conditions allowed) and were not simultaneous in the two stations. A 30 min interval generally elapsed between the first measurement at the surface and the last close to the bottom. From the existing data, we selected only those data sets that encompassed quasi-complete tidal cycles, being 12 from Rio Vermelho and 4 from Jaguaribe. Standard wind velocity and direction for the first semester of 1993 was obtained from the meteorological station located a few kilometers from Rio Vermelho beach.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Tides

The tide in the BTS is characteristically semi-diurnal, with form-number $K = (O_1 + K_1)/(M_2 + S_2)$ (Pond and Pickard 1983) varying between 0.11 (ocean) and 0.04 (Najé). The analysis of the longest time series (Salvador) shows maximum tidal ranges of 2.7 during an equinoctial-spring tide. Diurnal tidal inequalities are small ($\leq 0.25$ m) and better observed at low water.

Although just three stations present simultaneous records, tidal propagation inside the bay can be assessed through the analysis of the main semi-diurnal tidal constituents (Palmer et al. 1980, Aubrey and Speer 1985). Table II shows the average tidal ranges and phase lags for all the stations in the
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TIDAL CIRCULATION IN TODOS OS SANTOS BAY

Fig. 2 – Salinity distribution during a cruise in May 1977 with fresh water discharge from Paraguaçu River at around 40 m³/s (after Wolgemuth et al. 1981), average freshwater discharge into the bay (prior to the dam construction in 1985) and the variation of fresh water discharge from the Paraguaçu River during 1960 (inset).

It is observed that the tide is amplified as it propagates inside the bay, with mean spring tide ranges increasing from 1.86 m in the ocean to 2.72 m in São Francisco do Conde. A similar amplification is
Fig. 3 – Location of the tidal and tidal current measurement sites.

also observed in the lower Paraguacu River, where the mean spring tide range increases from 2.54 m in Itaparica to 2.71 m in Najé. Upstream from this point the tide range decreases slightly, reaching 2.68 m in Cachoeira.

The $M_2$ phase angle shifts from 101° in the ocean to 115° in Madre de Deus Island and Itaparica (Table I). The phase shift increases inside Paraguacu River, reaching 126° in Najé and 142° in Cachoeira, which means an average time lag of about 1 hour and 20 minutes. To graphically illustrate this phenomena, the tides at Praia do Forte, Salvador, Aratu Bay, Madre de Deus Island and Itaparica were predicted (Franco 1988) for May 1976 in order to be plotted with the simultaneous water level measurements performed at São Roque, Najé and Cachoeira.
TABLE I
Amplitude and phase angle of some important tidal constituents at some stations outside and inside TSB.

| Name         | Praia do Forte | Cacha Prego | Aratu | Salvador | USIBA | Madre de Deus |
|--------------|----------------|-------------|-------|----------|-------|---------------|
|              | cm             | cm          | cm    | cm       | cm    | cm            |
| K1           | 3.7            | 199.0       | 5.12  | 215.46   | 4.1   | 215.4         | 2.5   | 190.9       | 3.3   | 200.7       |
| O1           | 6.9            | 118.0       | 5.65  | 109.82   | 6.6   | 122.2         | 8.7   | 127.0       | 6.6   | 127.9       |
| M2           | 67.0           | 101.0       | 69.34 | 125.39   | 82.43 | 116.30        | 78.0  | 110.3       | 82.8  | 107.0       | 91.7  | 112.5       |
| S2           | 26.1           | 114.0       | 24.18 | 228.16   | 33.19 | 129.19        | 30.5  | 124.3       | 33.2  | 137.4       | 33.8  | 127.6       |
| N2           | 13.5           | 98.0        | 21.96 | 126.70   | 15.75 | 119.22        | 14.5  | 108.9       | 12.6  | 116.1       | 16.7  | 103.8       |
| K2           | 7.1            | 114.0       | 9.03  | 130.24   | 8.4   | 116.3         | 9.0   | 137.4       | 9.2   | 127.6       |
| M4           | 2.5            | 142.0       | 1.32  | 306.73   | 1.0   | 291.1         | 1.1   | 301.3       | 3.5   | 300.0       |
| MS4          | 0.7            | 166.0       | 2.36  | 146.96   | 1.95  | 56.0          | 1.2   | 15.1        | 2.4   | 57.7        | 2.5   | 20.6        |
| M6           | 0.6            | 84.0        | 0.3   | 15.3     | 0.6   | 333.1         |       |             |       |             |

| Name         | São Fco. do Conde | Itaparica | São Roque | Najé | Cachoeira |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|------|----------|
|              | cm                | cm        | cm        | cm   | cm       |
| K1           | 4.8               | 265.0     | 4.63      | 220.72 | 4.7     | 202.0     | 2.6     | 190.7     | 3.3   | 200.7   |
| O1           | 6.1               | 113.0     | 6.97      | 122.17 | 6.1     | 144.0     | 2.6     | 153.0     | 5.4   | 124.0   |
| M2           | 96.6              | 112.0     | 93.0      | 110.19 | 93.0    | 130.1     | 35.0    | 166.0     | 35.1  | 196.0   |
| S2           | 39.4              | 127.0     | 36.9      | 123.31 | 36.9    | 130.24    | 35.0    | 166.0     | 35.1  | 196.0   |
| N2           | 16.65             | 107.98    | 22.3      | 112.0  | 22.3    | 112.0     | 9.5     | 166.0     | 9.5   | 196.0   |
| K2           | 10.28             | 124.37    | 10.0      | 130.18 | 10.0    | 130.18    | 9.5     | 166.0     | 9.5   | 196.0   |
| M4           | 3.65              | 287.65    | 5.8       | 312.0  | 5.8     | 312.0     | 6.6     | 302.0     | 14.9  | 273.0   |
| MS4          | 2.28              | 0.68      | 4.4       | 40.0   | 4.4     | 40.0      | 4.0     | 131.0     | 6.5   | 257.0   |

TABLE II
Basic tidal characteristics at different stations inside the TSB (M$_2$ refers to amplitude and M$_2^0$ to phase angle).

| Station          | Tidal range (m) | Time lag (hours)$^1$ |
|------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
|                  | AVG | Spring | Neap | AVG | AVG | Spring | Neap | AVG | AVG | High tide | Low tide | High tide | Low tide |
| Praia do Forte   | 1.47 | 1.86 | 0.81 | –   | –   | –      | –    | –   | –   | –         | –        | 0.5       | 1.0      |
| Salvador         | 1.71 | 2.17 | 0.95 | 0.33 | 0.5 | 0      | 0.5   | 0   | 0   | 0         | 1.0      | 0         | 1.0      |
| Cacha Prego      | 1.52 | 1.86 | 0.99 | 0.88 | 1.0 | > 1.5  | 1.5   | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0       | 0.5      | 1.0       | < 0.5    |
| USIBA            | 1.82 | 2.32 | 0.99 | 0.22 |     |        | 0.5   | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.0       | 1.0      | 0.5       | < 0.5    |
| Aratu Harbor     | 1.81 | 2.31 | 0.98 | 0.55 |     |        | 0.5   | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5       | 1.0      | 0         | 1.0      |
| Madre de Deus    | 2.01 | 2.51 | 1.15 | 0.41 | 0.5 | < 0.5  | 0.5   | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5       | 1.0      | 0.5       | < 0.5    |
| Petrobras' Terminal | 2.07 | 2.53 | 1.23 | 0.51 |     |        | 0.5   | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5       | 1.0      | 0.5       | < 0.5    |
| São Francisco do Conde | 2.12 | 2.72 | 1.14 | 0.40 |     |        | 0.5   | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5       | 1.0      | 0.5       | < 0.5    |
| Itaparica        | 1.97 | 2.54 | 1.03 | 0.33 | 0.5 | < 1.0  | < 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5       | 1.0      | 0.5       | < 0.5    |
| São Roque        | 2.04 | 2.59 | 1.12 | 0.43 | 1.0 | 0.5   | 1.5   | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.5       | 1.0      | 1.5       | < 0.5    |
| Najé             | 2.21 | 2.71 | 1.31 | 0.90 | 1.5 | 1.5   | 2.5   | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.5       | 1.0      | 2         | < 0.5    |
| Cachoeira        | 2.18 | 2.68 | 1.28 | 1.48 | 1.5 | < 2.0  | 2.5   | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1.0       | 1.0      | 1.0       | < 0.5    |

$^1$approximate, relative to Praia do Forte / $^2$estimate, based on M$_2$ phase angle difference / $^3$estimate, based on the predicted tides for May 1976 at some stations.

An. Acad. Bras. Cienc., (2001) 73 (2)
(note that meteorological/oceanographic noise is assumed as non-existent, since predicted and observed water levels match very closely). Figure 4 shows the results for May 12th (spring tide – a) and May 19th (neap tide – b). It must be pointed out that the water level at each station is tied to a mean level calculated by the sum of $M_2$, $S_2$, $K_2$ and $N_2$, therefore not referred to a same datum. The excessive over-elevations of the mean water level observed inside the TSB, and specially along Paraguaçu River (#8, #9 and #10) is, hence, a result of an increase in the amplitude of those semi-diurnal constituents.

During spring tides (Figure 4a) the maximum tide range of 3.15 m is attained in Cachoeira, which is 0.9 m larger than that in the ocean (2.25 m). The time lags inside the TSB are small, around a few minutes only, but grow significantly upstream from Iguape Bay (Figure 1), past the river delta. Increasing tidal distortion along Paraguaçu River (rising tides take only 5 hours) maximizes low-water time lags. While high tide in Cachoeira lags behind that in the ocean by 1.5 hour (as predicted by the phase angles – Table II), low tide lags behind by about 3 hours.

Tidal propagation during neap tides is similar to that in spring tides, except for the fact that low tide in Cachoeira lags about only 1 hour behind that in the ocean. This change in the low-tide time lag between neap and spring tides is due to a change is the distortion undergone by the tide wave along the Paraguaçu River channel. The distortion appears to be caused (at least partially) by the presence of the fluvial delta prograding into Iguape Bay. Very low tide levels during spring tides significantly reduces the overall channel depth across the delta, enhancing friction and retarding the ebb flow, thus delaying the low tide (Figure 4a). Phase angle relationship between $M_2$ and $M_4$ ($2M_2^2 - M_4^2$) in Najé and Cachoeira are $50^\circ$ and $11^\circ$, the lowest amongst the stations, which reflect the prolonged stabilization of the water level close to low tide (Speer et al. 1991, Lessa 2000). During neap tides the channel is relatively deep enough at low water not to cause flow retardation, and a significant time lag is only observed in Cachoeira. This phenomena has also been reported in other estuaries (Lincoln and Fitzgerald 1988, Speer et al. 1991, Hume and Bell 1993, Lessa and Masselink 1995), all coincidently associated with the presence of a (tidal) delta. Very shallow channel depths also contribute to a fast transfer of energy from the principal harmonic constituents to their sub-harmonics, as normally occur with $M_2$ (Dronkers 1986, Aubrey and Speer 1985, Friedrichs and Aubrey 1988). It is observed that even though $M_2$ is amplified up the bay and into the Paraguaçu River, the ratio $M_4/M_2$ is one order of magnitude larger in Cachoeira than that in the ocean.

Tidal asymmetry in Najé and Cachoeira changes between spring and neap tides (Figure 4b). The very distorted tide waves associated with spring tides, where rising tides are much shorter than falling tides, give rise to an opposite asymmetry in Najé (with shorter falling tides) and to an almost symmetric wave in Cachoeira. A change in the degree of asymmetry is also noticed in Najé, where the duration of the falling tide becomes shorter during neap tides. In summary, neap tides favor shorter falling tides whereas spring tides favor longer falling tides. A reason for this might be related to a different impact of the mangrove flats on the rising tide during spring and neap tides (Lessa and Masselink 1995, Lessa 2000).

Time lags between Itaparica and Carira Prego (Table II) are in the order of 30 minutes to 1 hour, indicating that the tidal wave travels, as could be anticipated, faster through Salvador Channel (deeper) than through Itaparica Channel (shallower). The two tidal waves would be expected to meet behind Itaparica Island somewhere close to Funil Bridge (Figure 1), a place where sediment accumulation is suggested by satellite imagery. A similar situation in large bays with two entrances is reported by Souza (1995), Medeiros and Kjerfve (1993) and Wolanski et al. (1990).

A Doodson low-pass filter on the year long time series of tidal elevation from Salvador Harbor shows the amplitude of long period waves inside the bay. Waves with a period of fifteen days and ampl-
Fig. 4 – Simultaneous tidal cycles for 9 stations inside the TSB and the ocean station on the May 12th (spring tides – A) and May 19 (neap tides – B) 1976. The data belonging to São Roque, Najé and Cachoeira stations are field records, whereas the others are a result of tidal prediction. Low- and high-tide time lags between the ocean station and the innermost station (Cachoeira) are also indicated.

Amplitudes up to 12 cm are common and superimposed on a lower frequency signal with 7.5 cm in amplitude spanning almost 1 year. The highs observed in February and May, and the lows occurring around August and January, follow a common pattern observed in the whole Brazilian eastern coast and are explained by the annual cycle of solar heating and the wind stress over the Brazilian current (Mesquita, 2001).
4.2 Currents

4.2.1 Open Coast

The data showed a strong influence of the tides on currents observed along the south-facing coast fronting Salvador. The tidal currents are most of the time superimposed on a wind-forced SW flowing current which prevails in Jaguaribe (Figure 5). Stronger tidal influence around Rio Vemelho is indicated by i) a clear bimodal direction distribution (Figure 5a and 5b) and ii) fast flowing currents at all depths in the water column when compared to Jaguaribe (Figure 5c). In Rio Vemelho, flooding tidal currents, with a westward orientation, are both predominant and stronger, with maximum velocities (80 cm/s) exceeding those associated with the short-lived ebbing tides (maximum velocity of 50 cm/s) at all depths (Figure 5b and 5c).

Stronger, wind-driven flow in Jaguaribe is also directed down-coast, with maximum current values of 50 cm/s close to the surface. Figure 5c and 5d show that a consistently strong flow direction is restricted to the upper 10 m of the water column, below which the velocities wane and deviate from that on the surface between 90° and 180°. The surface current appears to change direction only when strong (above 300 cm/s) south winds set in.

Current directions in Rio Vemelho are approximately the same at all depths (Figure 5d), excepting at times close to high and low water when velocities is nearing zero. At these occasions the flow stars to change direction generally close to the bottom, and opposing ebbing and flooding tidal currents are then observed in the vertical profile.

Near bottom velocities up to 30 cm/s in Rio Vemelho suggests that sediment entrainment and transportation can be active even when the wave stress on the sea bed is not taken into account (wave induced sediment entrainment appears to occur to a depth of about 20 m – Dominguez and Leão 1993). Given that availability of sediment exists, transportation would occur towards the mouth of TSB. This would help to explain the existence of a large shoal (Banco the Santo Antônio – Figure 1), at the eastern side of the bay entrance.

4.2.2 Inside-Bay

The time period for current data acquisition inside the bay is shown in Figure 6 against the predicted tides for Itaparica (see Figure 3 for location). Most of the measurements fall around spring tides, which makes it possible to correlate the current strength in different sections of the bay.

Current direction was generally concordant at different depths in most of the measurement sites, suggesting that a homogeneous water column existed during the period of observation. This is in agreement with a well-mixed water column pointed out by Wolgemuth et al. (1981). Divergent current directions were associated with small current velocities (< 20 cm/s) or with the proximity of slack water.

Figure 7 shows a plot of the current velocity at eight stations inside the bay. Maximum current velocities occurred close to mid-tide at all stations, indicating that tides propagate as a standing wave. Very dynamic conditions occurred at Salvador Channel, Salvador Harbor (#2 and #5) and at the Petrobrás Terminal (#13), where maximum values of about 80 cm/s were observed (Figure 7). The area at or around the entrance to Aratu Bay presented very low flow velocities even at spring tides, suggesting a larger channel conveyance area in relation to the tidal prism of Aratu Bay. Relatively low flow velocities at Itaparica Channel (#8 and also at #9) is ascribed to the neap tidal conditions, and it is expected that current velocity values double during spring tides. Overall, it appears that tidal currents inside the TSB are small, except for the area around the bay entrance and the topographic constrictions close to Frade Island.

The current direction field shows prevailing bidirectional currents at most of the sites (Figure 8), with the exception of the station located south of Maré Island (#7), where an even distribution of the data points in relation to the current direction axis.
Fig. 5 – Frequency distribution of the tidal-current directions at Rio Vermelho and Jaguaribe, and a velocity direction plot of all data showing i) a strong bimodal (tidal) distribution in Rio Vermelho and ii) the predominance of a stronger southwest current in both locations. Negative velocities are associated with westward flows in Rio Vermelho and southwestward flows in Jaguaribe. The results derive from 12 intermittent tidal cycles from Rio Vermelho and 4 intermittent tidal cycles in Jaguaribe.
(i.e., relatively broad tidal ellipse) occurs. It is observed that the strongest flows generally fall inside the shaded area, which indicates the direction of the ebbing tide. Stronger flood flows are only clearly indicated at Aratu Bay Channel (#11).

In well mixed tidal channels, with insignificant fresh water inflow, the existence of stronger flows in one direction (flood or ebb) is generally counterbalanced by an extended flow time in the opposite direction, so mass conservation is attained (Kjerfve 1978, Kjerfve and Proehl 1979). Figure 8 shows, however, that stations #11, #1 and #16 present a larger number of data points falling within the area of current dominance. It thus suggests that the measurements were performed in areas of the cross-sections where flood (#11) or ebb (#1, #16) tidal flows were dominant. This indicates that lateral flow inhomogeneities are present at some cross-sections inside the bay, situation similar to that identified in the Paranaguá Bay estuary (Lessa et al. 1998).

5. CONCLUSIONS

Water circulation inside the Todos os Santos Bay appears to be driven primarily by tides, since the average fresh water input is 2 orders of magnitude smaller than the average tidal discharge through Salvador Channel. Therefore, the water column is well mixed and currents are mostly bi-directional, excepting in the central, more exposed part of the bay where rotating currents occur. Although the bay is well mixed, the tidal current data indicate that lateral flow inhomogeneities probably exist in some cross-sections, such as at the bay entrance.

Wind shear seems to play an important role in the circulation of the more superficial layers, as indicated by flows with opposing direction in the first few meters of the water column. Seasonal circulation patterns might, therefore, be more related to the changing wind field between summer and winter than to varying fresh water discharge rates, especially if it is remembered that most of the fresh water inflow during summer is now buffered by Pedra do Cavalo Dam.

Figure 9 shows a schematic model for the overall circulation in TSB, indicating the average tidal range, average high water time lag, maximum flood and ebb current directions, relative freshwater inflow, direction of the net-bedload sediment transport and the path of the tidal incursion. A hypersynchronous situation, with increasing tidal energy towards the upper reaches of the bay, is suggested...
Fig. 7 – Tidal currents inside the TSB (see Figure 6 for an assessment of the tidal range during the time of measurement).
Fig. 8 – Tidal current velocities at 5 m of depth at some stations and their respective directions. Shaded zones indicate ebb-directed flows whereas clean zones indicate flood-directed flows.
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by larger tidal ranges in São Francisco do Conde (northern extremity) and along Paraguaçu Channel and Paraguaçu River. The two tidal waves that propagate along Itaparica Channel, entering it via Itaparica and Cacha Prego, are likely to form a nodal point somewhere around Funil Bridge, where a shallower zone and possibly faster sedimentation is indicated by the satellite imagery. Along the Paraguaçu River the tides are modulated by the water depth over the river delta, which changes between spring and neap conditions.

Ebb dominant conditions seem to prevail in most part of the bay, as implied by the direction of the strongest currents, the morphology of sub-tidal channel junctions and the sedimentary deposits, especially the ebb tidal deltas at both entrances. Given that coarse sediment yield to the bay is presently insignificant (Lessa et al. 2000), the presence of the tidal deltas appears to be the result of the obstruction of a longshore sediment transport by currents exiting the bay. Measurements of the coastal currents close to the bay indicate that the combination of the tidal currents with a SW, wind-driven current create large, westward orientated flow velocities capable of transporting sediments towards the bay mouth.
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